Head Regeneration in Hemichordates is not a Strict Recapitulation of Development
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Background: Head or anterior body part regeneration is commonly associated with protostome, but not deuterostome invertebrates. However, it has been shown that the solitary hemichordate Ptychodera flava possesses the remarkable capacity to regenerate their entire nervous system, including their dorsal neural tube and their anterior head-like structure, or proboscis. Hemichordates, also known as acorn worms, are marine invertebrate deuterostomes that have retained chordate traits that were likely present in the deuterostome ancestor, placing these animals in a vital position to study regeneration and chordate evolution. All acorn worms have a tripartite body plan, with an anterior proboscis, middle collar region, and a posterior trunk. The collar houses a hollow, dorsal neural tube in ptychoderid hemichordates and numerous chordate genes involved in brain and spinal cord development are expressed in a similar anterior–posterior spatial arrangement along the body axis. Results: We have examined anterior regeneration in the hemichordate Ptychodera flava and report the spatial and temporal morphological changes that occur. Additionally, we have sequenced, assembled, and analyzed the transcriptome for eight stages of regenerating P. flava, revealing significant differential gene expression between regenerating and control animals. Conclusions: Importantly, we have uncovered developmental steps that are regeneration-specific and do not strictly follow the embryonic program. Developmental Dynamics 245:1159–1175, 2016. © 2016 The Authors. Developmental Dynamics published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of American Association of Anatomists
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Introduction

Regeneration has captured the interest and imagination of people for centuries. Popularized in myths, science fiction, and even horror movies, regeneration of missing and damaged tissue is a common reality in the animal kingdom. Nearly every animal phyla contains at least some species that consistently regenerate all or certain tissues and structures (Bely and Nyberg, 2010; Somorjai et al., 2012; Giangrande and Licciano, 2014). All deuterostome groups, with the possible exception of Xenoturbella, have at least some species with the capacity to regenerate (Sánchez Alvarado, 2000). Numerous chordates, including lancelets, tunicates, frogs, fish, salamanders, and even humans are able to regenerate to some degree (Brown et al., 2009; Bely and Nyberg, 2010; Somorjai et al., 2012; Giangrande and Licciano, 2014). Every extant class of echinoderms have been reported to regenerate (Candida Carnevali et al., 2009), while some species of hemichordates, which are a sister group to the echinoderms, undergo asexual reproduction and regenerate all anterior and posterior body parts when amputated (Willey 1899; Dawydoff 1902; Rao 1954; Rychel and Swalla, 2008; Humphreys et al., 2010; Miyamoto and Saito, 2010).

When and in what animal lineage did the ability to regenerate first evolve? Was regeneration a stem metazoan trait that was subsequently lost or reduced in numerous taxa or has regeneration evolved independently several times across the metazoans? Porifera (Bely and Nyberg, 2010; Giangrande and Licciano, 2014), Cnidaria (Bosh, 2007; Dubuc et al., 2014), Ctenophora (Ryan et al., 2013; Moroz et al., 2014), and Placozoa (Bely and Nyberg, 2010) have extensive regenerative abilities and, as basal metazoans, these lineages suggest an ancient and ancestral mechanism of regeneration. Comparing gene regulatory networks used during regeneration across various animal phyla will help to elucidate common molecular mechanisms of regeneration.

Understanding the morphological and genetic basis of regeneration may yield clues to unlocking regeneration in animals with limited or no regenerative abilities, like humans. Millions of
people suffer from neurodegenerative diseases, spinal cord injuries, and limb amputations (Brown et al., 2005; Ziegler-Graham et al., 2008; Mahabaleshwarkar and Khanna, 2014). Furthermore, aging and age-related diseases will eventually affect everyone. Regeneration may slow the aging process and stem cells present one feasible way to combat a multitude of diseases and injuries (Rando and Wyss-Coray, 2014). If regeneration is a stem deuteronome trait, it is likely that humans possess many, if not all, of the genetic switches controlling regeneration, but those switches have been modified or inactivated in some way over evolutionary time. It may, therefore, be possible to re-activate those pathways in humans using information gained from studying genetic models made from animals with extensive regenerative capabilities.

We are seeking to identify the morphological and genetic underpinnings controlling regeneration in the solitary hemichordate, Ptychoderella flava (Eschscholtz, 1825), which is a basal deuteronome and capable of regenerating all anterior and posterior structures when bisected (Rychel and Swalla, 2008; Humphreys et al., 2010). It is critical to know when internal structures regenerate to use genetic knock downs and knock outs, as well as overexpression, to characterize the function of genes directing the regeneration process. Moreover, hemichordates are the only deuteronome known to be able to regenerate an anterior head-like structure and entire central nervous system (CNS). Ptychoderella flava progresses from a fertilized embryo to a planktonic, feeding larva that can remain in the water column for up to 300 days (Hadfield, 1978; Lin et al., 2016). Upon metamorphosis, the larva develops into a juvenile worm that settles to the ocean floor to begin a benthic lifestyle. Solitary hemichordates are exclusively marine and adult animals have a tripartite body plan with an anterior proboscis that is used for digging and burrowing in the sand and mud, a middle collar region with a hollow, dorsal neural tube in ptychoderid hemichordates, a ventral mouth between the proboscis and collar, and a long posterior trunk with pharyngeal gill slits and gonads in the anterior trunk, a hepatic region in the mid-trunk and a terminal anus (Balser and Ruppert, 1990; Brown et al., 2008; Luttrell and Swalla, 2014).

The hemichordate nervous system is quite interesting. Upon embryogenesis in direct developing hemichordates and metamorphosis in indirect developers, dorsal and ventral nerve cords develop along the full length of the trunk and nerve rings form around the base of the collar and the base of the proboscis (Balser and Ruppert, 1990; Kaul and Stach, 2010; Miyamoto et al., 2010; Miyamoto and Wada, 2013; Kaul-Strehlow et al., 2015). Adult echiinoderms also develop nerve cords throughout each arm and a circumferential nerve ring around the central disc; however, it still remains to be determined whether echiinoderm and hemichordate nerve cords are homologous structures (Sly et al., 2002; Holland et al., 2013). In addition to nerve cords, P. flava also develops a hollow, neural tube that is positioned dorsally in the collar region. Our lab has shown this structure forms from ectoderm that invaginates and rolls up forming a hollow tube, similar to chordate neurulation (Morgan, 1894; Luttrell et al., 2012; Miyamoto and Wada, 2013; Luttrell and Swalla, 2014).

Lastly, hemichordates have a diffuse nerve net throughout the ectoderm, similar to the adult tunicate, Ciona intestinalis (Balser and Ruppert, 1990; Lowe et al., 2003; Dahlberg et al., 2009; Miyamoto et al., 2010; Kaul-Strehlow et al., 2015). The adult acorn worm, in light of these similarities, has aspects of the different types of nervous systems that are present in the deuterostomes. Remarkably, P. flava is able to regenerate all of these structures, making hemichordates a model system to study nervous system evolution and regeneration in this clade of animals. Furthermore, as invertebrates, hemichordates lack the numerous genome duplications events present in the vertebrates (Dehal and Boore, 2005; Hughes and Liberles, 2008), thereby making functional studies of the regeneration genes more tractable in this animal.

Here, we report the spatial and temporal regeneration of internal body structures to complement the previously published external morphology regeneration data (Willey, 1899; Rao, 1954; Nishikawa, 1977; Rychel and Swalla, 2008; Humphreys et al., 2010). We also include analyses of the transcriptome from regenerating P. flava animals. In total, eight different stages of regeneration were sequenced, assembled, and annotated to track changes in early gene expression that direct regeneration of anterior structures. We document expression profiles and gene ontologies of hundreds of putative genes associated with anterior head regeneration. These data build a foundation for future experiments that may show what genes are sufficient and necessary to start the regeneration program in P. flava. Our morphological data shows the regenerative response to these early changes in gene expression and we document complete anterior regeneration of the proboscis, collar, and anterior trunk. Furthermore, we uncovered morphological differences between early development and regeneration of anterior structures, including the neural tube. The assembled transcriptomes reported here not only complement recent P. flava developmental transcriptomes (Shu-Hwa et al., 2014; Tagawa et al., 2014; Simakov et al., 2015), but also open the door to systematic comparisons of embryonic processes to regenerative events in hemichordates specifically, and deuterostomes in general.

Results

Regeneration of External and Internal Anterior Features in P. flava

Intact, healthy, adult worms (Fig. 1A) were bisected in the trunk between the branchial region and the hepatic sacs (Fig. 1B) and the posterior piece was monitored for regeneration signs at the cut site (Fig. 1C). The first observable change in tissue composition in Ptychoderella flava is wound healing. This process is relatively rapid and takes place within the first 2 days following amputation at 26°C. Wound healing culminates in ectoderm covering and sealing the open cut site (Fig. 1D–F). Over the next 3 days, a regeneration blastema forms at the anterior end (Fig. 1G,H). Cells accumulate and proliferate in the blastema to yield a new, rudimentary anterior head, or proboscis (Fig. 1I). Between days 4 and 5 post bisection, the mouth has opened on the ventral side (Figs. 1J, 3D1–3).

Over the next several days, cells continue to proliferate enlarging the proboscis and the collar begins to wrap around the base of the proboscis (Fig. 1K–T). The collar regenerates in the ventral and lateral positions first (Fig. 1K–P). Gill slits and gill bars start to form in the anterior most region of the trunk after 10 to 12 days, before the collar ectoderm completely regenerates and closes on the dorsal side (Fig. 1Q–T). At 13 days post bisection, the ventral and lateral collar ectoderm has fully regenerated (Fig. 2). The dorsal most region of the collar has not yet formed, but will continue to regenerate and finally close forming a continuous ring around the neck region after approximately 2 weeks of regeneration. The epibranchial nerve ring that that wraps around the posterior collar is visible in the newly regenerated tissue and
forms as the collar regrows and not after the collar has fully shaped (Fig. 2).

The internal regeneration morphology of *Ptychodera flava* is striking after the first day the animal is bisected. Both ectoderm and endoderm of the trunk region come together at the cut site and form a near continuous layer (Fig. 3A1). The folds of pre-existing endoderm are still present and the neural net is maintained below the ectoderm (Fig. 3A1). Existing muscle fibers of the trunk are still obvious at the anterior end where the wound has sealed (Fig. 3A1). Hepatic collagen is visible below the ectoderm at the cut site, denoting endoderm of the existing hepatic sacculations are taking part in wound healing (Fig. 3A1). On day 2 of regeneration, the wound has completely healed and the ectoderm epithelium is smooth and continuous (Fig. 3B1,B2). Dorsal and ventral blood vessels run the length of the animal, allowing blood cells to circulate. Blood is visible in the dorsal and ventral endoderm of the cut site, indicating that both blood vessels are likely supplying blood to this region (Fig. 3B2).

Hepatic collagen is still visible in the dorsal endoderm, suggesting that at least parts of this tissue have not undergone apoptosis.
or have been remodeled at this stage. By day 3 of regeneration, the blastema has formed a rudimentary proboscis (Fig. 3C1–4). A nerve net is present below the ectoderm in this region, at least partially originating from the existing trunk tissue and not wholly regenerated de novo (Fig. 3C1–4). At this stage, a structure resembling the presumptive stomochord appears posterior to the rudimentary proboscis and also appears to be derived from endodermal tissue (Fig. 3C3,C4). The hepatic sacculations are clearly visible in the existing trunk denoting the dorsal side and a thick nerve net is still present on the ventral side below the ectoderm posterior to the cut site (Fig. 3C1–C4).

As the proboscis grows, anterior internal tissues continue to be elaborated. Hemichordates are tricoelomates, having separate coeloms in the proboscis, collar, and trunk regions. The proboscis coelom is fully formed after 4 days of regeneration (Fig. 3D1–3). The dorsal blood vessel flows anteriorly from the trunk, through the collar, ultimately forming the heart in the posterior proboscis (Benito and Pardos, 1997). The dorsal vessel has regenerated into the proboscis, filling the coelom with blood at 4 days postampulation (Fig. 3D1–3). The mouth, which was visible in the external morphology at day 5, is clearly open in sagittal sections at day 4 (Fig. 3D1–3). The stomochord is a stiff, rod-like structure in the posterior proboscis and provides structural support for the superimposing heart/kidney complex (Balser and Ruppert, 1990; Rychel and Swalla, 2008; Miyamoto and Wada, 2013). The stomochord is apparent in sections after 5 days of regeneration (Fig. 3E2–4). At this stage, the stomochord forms between the boundary where ectoderm and endoderm meet. At this time, the heart-kidney complex regenerates in the lateral and dorsal positions around the stomochord (Fig. 3E1–4). The dorsal blood vessel is obvious at this stage, flowing into the proboscis just above the stomochord where the heart/kidney complex is regenerating (Fig. 3E1–4). The ventral blood vessel is supplying blood and cells to the presumptive ventral collar coelom as well (Fig. 3E1–4). One day later, the regenerated collar coeloms can be seen in the dorsal and ventral regions (Fig. 3F1–4). The proboscis skeleton provides structure and support for the collar and overlying dorsal blood vessel and neural tube (Luttrell et al., 2012). The proboscis skeleton regenerates from endoderm in the collar region and is visible in endodermal inpocketing at 13 days postamputation (Fig. 4P). The hollow, dorsal neural tube, which is found only in the collar region in ptychoderid hemichordates, begins to form in the posterior collar as the ectoderm closes on the dorsal side at 13 days postbisection (Fig. 4T). These morphological regenerative events are summarized in Table 1.

Dynamic and Discrete Cohorts of Gene Expression Patterns Are Observed During Early Stages of Head Regeneration

Regeneration of these morphological structures is driven by a significant change in gene expression as evidenced by the transcriptome data. A line graph was constructed to show clusters of up-regulated, putative genes showing similar expression profiles along all time points sampled (Fig. 5A). Nearly all genes in cluster A are significantly up-regulated 2 hr after the animal is bisected; however, the expression level of these genes steadily and drastically declines beginning 6 hr into the regeneration process. A heatmap of cluster A identifies the individual, putative genes and their expression profile across all time points (Fig. 5B). Heatmaps of the genes in cluster B shows overall expression is near baseline
values at the 2-hr time point, but then expression increases significantly after 6 hr and is elevated approximately 8-fold higher than control animals after 4 days of regeneration (Fig. 5C). Heatmaps of the cluster C and cluster D genes show similar expression profiles, both being only slightly elevated after 2 hr of regeneration and then progressively increasing until the 24-hr time point, at which time both clusters begin to show decreased expression levels, with cluster C displaying the most dramatic decrease (Fig. 5D,E). However, expression of putative genes in both clusters still remain over two log fold change higher than controls after 4 days of regeneration.

Down-regulated, putative genes were also identified and grouped into clusters of similar expression domains. A line graph shows four clusters of predicted genes with very different expression profiles (Fig. 6A). Heatmaps of cluster A show overall expression levels slightly below baseline values between 2 and 6 hr of regeneration and then expression gradually decreases with a steep decline between 3 and 4 days of regeneration. A detailed listing of these putative genes is included in Figure 7. Cluster B genes also start off at near baseline values and expression steadily decreases; however, this group of genes reverses at the 48-hr time point and expression levels begin to increase, although overall expression of this cluster remains significantly down-regulated at the 96-hr time point compared with control animals (Fig. 8).

Predicted genes in cluster C show an interesting expression pattern along all sampled time points. Expression is initially

---

**Fig. 3.** A1–F4: Sagittal sections of Ptychodera flava anterior regeneration days 1–6 (A–F). Sections were made through the mid-sagittal area showing the internal morphology of proboscis and early collar regeneration. cc, collar coelom; dv, dorsal vessel; E, ectoderm; En, endoderm; hc, hepatic collagen; hs, hepatic sacculations; m, muscle; mo, mouth; nn, nerve net; p, proboscis; pc, proboscis coelom; pst, presumptive stomochord; st, stomochord; vv, ventral vessel. Scale bars = 1 mm and all sections are at the same magnification. All sections are anterior up and dorsal to the right and ventral to the left. All sections are stained with Milligan’s trichrome stain. Collagen = green; nuclei, muscle = magenta.
significantly reduced by over three log fold compared with control animals at the 2-hr time point and then expression sharply increases over the next 2 hr, only to abruptly decrease again to nearly an 8-fold change from control animals at the 6-hr time point. Expression levels then steadily elevate again over the next 18 hr, at which point expression sharply declines again between 24 and 48 hr of regeneration, with expression values greater than 8-fold lower than control animals. Expression then rebounds over the next 48 hr and returns to around the same levels measured at the 2-hr time point, which is still nearly four log fold lower than expression in control animals (Fig. 6B). Cluster D genes are initially down-regulated after 2 hr of regeneration, but are significantly up-regulated from baseline levels at the 96-hr time point (Fig. 6C). Additionally, a heatmap was constructed for putative transcription factors and their relative expression compared with control animals over all time points (Fig. 9).
Gene Activity Associated With Cell Proliferation and Metabolic Changes is Prominently Detected in the Early Stages of *P. flava* Head Regeneration

Gene ontology (GO) analysis was completed to categorize the function of differentially expressed putative genes. We display the number of genes per significantly enriched GO term for 72 hr and 96 hr and no other time points because there was no enrichment for the other time points. Over half of the significantly enriched genes for which we have GO annotations play a role in cell proliferation and differentiation, as well as organ morphogenesis (Fig. 10A). Approximately 12% of the significantly enriched genes for which we have GO annotations are involved with cell signaling (Fig. 10A). Predicted orthologs of highly conserved developmental pathways were detected, including members of the Wnt signaling pathway, the fibroblast growth factor signaling pathway, and the Notch signaling pathway (Fig. 10A).

We further classified the amount of both up- and down-regulated genes at the 72-hr time point and 96-hr time point into biological processes, molecular function, and cellular component categories (Fig. 10B). The vast majority of up-regulated genes at the 3- and 4-day time points are involved with extracellular space and matrix roles (Fig. 10B). At 72 hr post bisection, hundreds of genes are up-regulated for biological processes, such as cell adhesion and lipid metabolism (Fig. 10B). Genes regulating small molecule and single organism metabolic processes on the other hand are down-regulated after 72 hr of regeneration, as well as numerous genes involved with oxidoreductase activity (Fig. 10B). Biological process genes aligned with aging, extracellular structure organization and matrix organization are down-regulated at the 96-hr time point (Fig. 10B). The cellular component categories of extracellular space and region part, as well as oxidoreductase activity in the molecular function category are also down-regulated at 96 hr post bisection (Fig. 10B).

### Discussion

**External Regeneration Morphology**

Ptychoderid hemichordate regeneration is a remarkable process that results in external structures regenerating in an anterior to posterior manner patterned off the existing body axis. This is similar to juvenile worm development in *P. flava* and another ptychoderid hemichordate, *Balanoglossus simodensis*, when the proboscis forms first during metamorphosis (Miyamoto et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2016). The collar and trunk then differentiate and the trunk elongates. One difference between development and regeneration, however, is that gill slits start to regenerate before the collar has fully formed, unlike early development in direct developing hemichordates when gill slits form after the collar and trunk have delineated from one another (Kaul-Strehlow and Stach, 2013; Kaul-Strehlow et al., 2015).

Furthermore, during direct development, the neural tube also starts to invaginate from dorsal, collar ectoderm in the posterior region of the collar before the first gill slit formation (Kaul-Strehlow and Stach, 2013); however, we show in this study that the first gill slit regenerates at least 2 days before the neural tube begins to form in the indirect developing *P. flava*. Few studies directly compare development or regeneration of the neural tube and gill slits in ptychoderid worms, but two studies state that the collar develops or regenerates before the branchial region (Nielsen and Hay-Schmidt, 2007; Humphreys et al., 2010). This is not evidence that the neural tube specifically has developed at this point and future studies will need to confirm the timing of development of the neural tube compared with development of the branchial region in *P. flava*. These differences, however, do show a potential temporal plasticity during regeneration of anterior structures in *P. flava*.

During regeneration, cells proliferate and accumulate to form a blastema at the cut site, which then differentiate to replace...
missing or damaged tissue. The question remains whether these proliferating cells are bona fide stem cells occupying an unknown niche that are recruited to the cut site or are these somatic cells that have undergone de-differentiation and returned to a progenitor cell state and then assigned new fates in the regenerating tissue? Numerous genes in \textit{P. flava} are both up- and down-regulated beginning just 2 hr after the animal is bisected. Differential gene expression increases rapidly during the early time.

![Figure 5](image.png)

**Fig. 5.** Up-regulated gene cluster expression patterns in regenerating \textit{Ptychodera flava}. \textbf{A:} The line graph shows all putative genes that are initially up-regulated and cluster together in their expression profiles along all time points sampled. Red, cluster A genes; green, cluster B genes; blue, cluster C genes; and purple, cluster D genes. The X-axis is time of regeneration and the Y-axis is the log2 fold change in gene expression compared with control animals. \textbf{B–E:} Heatmaps showing the individual genes identified in clusters A, B, C, D, respectively.
points sampled in this study resulting in wound healing and may drive regeneration through the recruitment of stem cells to the cut site forming the regeneration blastema within 3 to 4 days postamputation. Rychel and Swalla (2008) showed that proliferating cell nuclear antigen positive cells are found in scattered mesenchyme cells throughout the tissue near the cut site by day 2 of regeneration at 28°C in P. flava. There is some slight variation in wound healing and blastema growth times between the results reported by Rychel and Swalla (2008) and here, but the overall results are consistent. Our lab is currently working to determine the origin and molecular profile of these proliferating cells to verify whether these are stem cells or de-differentiated somatic cells. We are using stem cell markers in regenerating and nonregenerating animals to detect molecular signatures consistent with known stem cell lines.

Internal Regeneration Morphology

While epidermal tissue regenerates anterior to posterior, internal structures appear to regenerate based on need and function. The mouth, which is critical to feeding and thereby supports the high metabolic cost of regeneration, forms and opens after just 4 days. The worm does not burrow and ingest sand to deposit feed at this point; however, they are likely taking in organic nutrients suspended in the water column. Regenerating worms start to burrow in sand around 10 days postbisection. Blood vessels and mechanical support elements for vital organs and tissues also seem to be essential as these are among the first internal structures in the new tissue.

The stomochord in the posterior proboscis is vacuolated and acts as a hydrostatic skeleton, providing support for the heart-kidney complex (Miyamoto and Wada, 2013). Several studies have shown that, in both direct and indirect developing acorn worms, the stomochord is elaborated from endoderm during juvenile worm development (Kaul-Strehlow and Stach, 2013; Miyamoto and Wada, 2013; Satoh et al., 2014). At 3 days postbisection, we show what may be the presumptive stomochord regenerating from endoderm; however, the identity of this structure is not clear. At this stage, it is not positioned directly below the future heart/kidney complex in the proboscis as the stomochord should be, but rather it is more internal in the presumptive collar region. This structure could also be the hydropore, which is a rounded tube, but that is also not in the correct position, as the hydropore is in the dorsal proboscis/collar ectoderm region and expels circulating waste from the proboscis coelom to the environment (Röttinger and Martindale, 2011). It may be that the angle of the sections is not exactly sagittal, at which point internal structures may appear skewed. It may also be that regenerating structures look out of place during the early stages. Future studies will be needed using molecular markers to confirm the identity of this structure.

This study does clearly show, however, that the stomochord is elaborated from tissue at the ectoderm/endoderm boundary at 5 days postbisection. Tracing the anterior proboscis ectoderm to the anterior stomochord shows that ectoderm is definitely connected to this structure. Conversely, tracing the endodermal tissue to the posterior stomochord also shows that it is definitely connected to this tissue. So the question remains; at what point does endoderm become ectoderm? Our lab is using endodermal and ectodermal molecular markers to answer this provocative question and determine from what tissue type the stomochord regenerates.

The proboscis skeleton is cartilaginous and provides a rigid framework to protect the collar and overlying dorsal blood vessel and neural tube. The proboscis skeleton regenerates from
endoderm, similar to early development (Luttrell et al., 2012; Miyamoto and Wada, 2013). A key difference is that the proboscis skeleton and dorsal vessel regenerate before neural tube regeneration. During normal development this process is reversed and the dorsal vessel and neural tube develop before the proboscis skeleton (Luttrell et al., 2012). Another major difference is the way the neural tube regenerates. During normal development, dorsal collar ectoderm invaginates, rolls up forming a hollow tube. During regeneration, the neural tube begins to form at day 13 as the collar ectoderm nears closure on the dorsal side. It is the closure of this ectoderm that actually forms the tube and not from invaginating ectoderm after the collar fully regenerates and closes.

This study shows that regeneration in *P. flava* does not strictly follow the developmental program and there are morphological steps that are regeneration specific. Furthermore, Arimoto and Tagawa (2015) showed that the *hedgehog* gene, which is highly conserved in the metazoans (Adamska et al., 2007) and involved
with both morphogenesis and regeneration (Huangfu and Anderson, 2006; Rink et al., 2009), is expressed in both the pharyngeal endoderm and anterior tip of *P. flava* larvae, however, it is only expressed in the pharyngeal region during regeneration in *P. flava*. The regenerating proboscis tip does not express *hedgehog* and this evidence suggests there are different gene expression patterns that are regeneration specific in *P. flava* as well.

In the vertebrates, the notochord and dorsal vessel secrete signaling molecules to partially pattern the neural tube and peripheral nervous system, respectively. The notochord secretes sonic *hedgehog*, signaling the overlying ectoderm to become neural, invaginate and roll up forming the neural tube, while the dorsal blood vessel secretes bone morphogenetic protein molecules that signal neural crest cells to become peripheral neurons (Rickmann et al., 1985; Altaba et al., 1995; Briscoe et al., 1999; Schneider et al. 1999; Young et al., 2004). Hemichordates lack a notochord; therefore, the dorsal vessel may play a pivotal role in guiding CNS formation in the collar region during early development.

**Fig. 8.** Down-regulated gene cluster B expression patterns in regenerating *P. flava*. The heatmap shows the individual genes in cluster B of Figure 6 and their expression profile along all time points sampled compared with control animals.
development. The dorsal vessel may still be directing this process during regeneration as this structure is formed and has regenerated into the proboscis by day 4. The tissue directly above the dorsal vessel in the collar region is thickened with a rich nerve net by 9 days postbisection and this tissue will become the ventral part of the neural tube. It is likely that signals directing a
neural tube fate are coming on early during collar regeneration, before the dorsal, collar ectoderm being present, and these signals may be emanating from the dorsal vessel.

Regeneration of the branchial region starts to occur before the collar closes dorsally and the neural tube forms. Between days 10 and 12, the first gill slit appears directly between the regenerating collar and the existing hepatic sacs. The regenerating branchial region in *P. flava* contains both pigmented and unpigmented cells. The anterior most branchial region closest to the collar is clear to white, while the posterior regenerating branchial region closest to the original cut site contains pigmented cells. Rychel and Swalla (2008) used a TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated deoxyuridinetriphosphate nick end-labeling) assay to show numerous apoptotic cells in the endoderm of the hepatic sacs near the cut site 8 days after amputation. This suggests that, while the anterior most branchial tissue is likely elaborated from newly generated, unpigmented proliferating cells, the cells in the tissue closest to the cut site undergo apoptosis and the tissue is remodelled from the existing cells in the hepatic region. This hypothesis remains to be confirmed, but it will be exciting if internal structures regenerate using both stem cells and somatic cells in *P. flava* because it will provide a model to study two different modes of regenerating tissue in a stem deuterostome.

**Expression of Putative Vertebrate Anterior Head Genes**

Understanding the genetic and morphological basis of regeneration in hemichordates is vital to unlocking more extensive neural regeneration in humans. No vertebrate has been shown to be able to regenerate an anterior head or an entire CNS. Vertebrates that are capable of regenerating a small part of their CNS, like fish and amphibians (Slack et al., 2008; Sirbulescu and Zupanc, 2010; Krohne et al., 2011; Lau et al., 2013), possess numerous copies of single genes due to whole genome duplication events (Dehal and Boore, 2005; Hughes and Liberles, 2008). This genetic redundancy complicates functional studies of key genes controlling the regeneration process. Hemichordates not only lack whole genome duplications, but consistently regenerate their anterior head and complete CNS and, therefore, present a remarkable model system to study and uncover key genetic switches directing regeneration of anterior structures in the deuterostomes.

Several studies have detailed expression of genes involved with chordate brain and spinal cord development in the direct developing hemichordate, *Saccoglossus kowalevskii* (Lowe et al., 2003; Pani et al., 2012; Röttinger and Lowe, 2012). These orthologous genes show a similar spatial distribution of chordate patterning along the anterior–posterior body axis in *S. kowalevskii*. Numerous chordate forebrain genes are expressed in the developing hemichordate proboscis region while chordate midbrain genes are expressed in the hemichordate collar region and chordate hindbrain and spinal cord genes are expressed in the hemichordate trunk region (Lowe et al., 2003; Pani et al., 2012; Röttinger and Lowe, 2012). These overlapping similarities suggest that at least parts of the gene regulatory networks that pattern the chordate CNS were likely present in the common ancestor of the deuterostomes and the hemichordate proboscis and collar could be considered an early representation of the chordate head. No chordate has been shown to regenerate an anterior head or CNS after complete amputation and, therefore, hemichordates are vital to revealing gene networks regulating CNS regeneration in the deuterostomes.

We compared regeneration in *P. flava* and identified several putative orthologs of chordate CNS patterning genes that are up-regulated after 3 and 4 days of regeneration. The regeneration blastema has formed at these time points, and cells continue to proliferate to generate a rudimentary proboscis at 3 to 4 days postamputation. *Sine oculis homeobox 3* (*six3*), *distal-less (dll)*, *paired box homeobox 6* (*pax6*), and *frizzled-5* are all significantly up-regulated at the 96-hr time point, which is just as the proboscis begins to form. *Six3*, *Pax6*, and *dll* are transcription factors that are involved with patterning the chordate forebrain (Echevarria et al., 2003; Wilson and Houart, 2004; Paek et al., 2009). Frizzled-related Wnt antagonists have been shown to be necessary and sufficient to establish the telencephalon region of the chordate brain (Houart et al., 2002; Paek et al., 2009). Of the 26 putative transcription factors identified as being differentially expressed in this study, 7 of them are known to be involved in head and neural development and they are all significantly up-regulated 3 and 4 days into regeneration as the rudimentary proboscis is beginning to form. It is likely that other chordate head genes are up-regulated during anterior regeneration in *P. flava*, but they are possibly expressed at later time points as the proboscis continues to regenerate and the collar begins to form. Future studies will be aimed at identifying these transcripts and their expression domains.

**Conclusions**

We have shown that * Ptychodera flava * regeneration is not strictly a morphological recapitulation of development. There is extensive temporal plasticity during regeneration, as well as different modes of acquiring the same anterior structures. Putative orthologs of chordate head genes are also significantly up-regulated just before anterior proboscis regeneration. It will be interesting and informative to discover whether additional head genes are expressed as the proboscis and collar undergo regeneration and we will then use in situ hybridization to localize gene expression in regenerating tissues.

The origin of blastemal cells in *P. flava* still remains to be determined. Our lab is currently working to determine whether this animal uses genuine stem cells or dedifferentiated somatic cells to propagate missing tissue. In humans, when a cell differentiates into a particular cell type, the cell fate assignment normally cannot be reversed or transformed. If hemichordates are using dedifferentiated cells to elaborate new tissue, this may reveal cell signaling pathways necessary for the gain and loss of cell fates in the deuterostomes.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Animal Collection and Management**

* Ptychodera flava * were collected at Paiko Peninsula in Honolulu, Hawaii, in early November. During low tide, when the water level was approximately 3 feet deep, animals were removed from the coral beds by snorkeling. The light sand cover was fanned by hand to expose the underlying coral and hemichordates. Animals were collected into 50-ml tubes and carried back to the lab with the tubes immersed in a cooler with sea water.
Animals were bisected at the level of the anterior hepatic sacs and allowed to regenerate for various time points (Figs. 1 and 2). Regenerating worms were kept in running seawater tanks at Kewalo Marine Laboratory at 27°C during regeneration. Some worms were collected and shipped to the University of Washington in Seattle, Washington, and kept at 26°C while regenerating. Animals were immersed in a 1:1 solution of sea water and 7.5% MgCl₂ for 15 min to relax muscles and constrain movement and then observed with a Nikon 1000 dissecting microscope and photographed with a Cool Snap camera. Pictures were taken daily for 15 days and near daily thereafter until 55 days post bisection.

**Histology**

Regenerating animals were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline overnight at room temperature and stored at -20°C in 100% methanol. They were placed into 100% ethanol for, 20 min at room temperature and then moved to a 1:1 mixture of 100% ethanol and polyester wax (nine parts Poly[ethylene glycol] [400] distearate and one part 1-hexadecanol, (Poly-sciences, Warrington, PA) (Steedman, 1957; Norenburg and Barrett 1987) at 40°C for 1 hr. The animals were then moved to 100% polyester wax at 40°C for 1 hr and then embedded in fresh 100% polyester wax. Next, 7-μm sections were cut on a Spencer 829 microtome and mounted on gelatin subbed slides. Slides were deparaffinized, and processed through Milligan’s trichrome staining, a histological stain that differentiates muscle cells, nuclei, and cartilage (Fig. 3; Presnell and Schreibman, 1997). Slides were rinsed in 95% ethanol and treated for 5 min with potassium dichromate HCl mordant and then rinsed in distilled water. Slides were then stained for 5 min in acid fuchsin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and rinsed again in distilled water. Slides were then stained 5 min in phosphomolybdic acid (Sigma) followed by 5 min in Orange G (Sigma) and then rinsed in distilled water. Finally, slides were treated for 2 min in 1% glacial acetic acid and stained 5 min with Fast Green FCF (Sigma) and then treated again for 3 min with 1% glacial acetic acid. Slides were dehydrated with ethanol and mounted with Permount (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Sections were photographed using a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope mounted with a Cool Snap camera.

**RNA Isolation and cDNA Preparation**

Following bisection, animals were allowed to regenerate for either 2 hr, 4 hr, 6 hr, 12 hr, 24 hr, 48 hr, 72 hr, or 96 hr. Between 50 and 100 mg of tissue were removed with a sterile, feather surgical blade from the cut site of the posterior segment in four regenerating animals at each time point (Figs. 1A–C). Four healthy, intact animals were bisected and total RNA was isolated from the cut site of the posterior half to serve as reference controls. RNA was isolated from the tissue using either a Promega SV Total RNA Isolation System, catalog number Z3100, or a USB PrepEase RNA Spin Kit, part number 78766 1 KT. RNA was analyzed on a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer for nucleic acid concentration and purity and then stored at -80°C until cDNA was synthesized using the SuperScript® III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR by Invitrogen.

**cDNA Library Preparation and Sequencing**

mRNAseq libraries for sequencing were generated from 1 to 1.5 μg of high quality total RNA, as assessed using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. RNA was purified using poly-T oligo-attached magnetic beads. Libraries were made according to the manufacturer's directions for the TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit v2 (Illumina, RS-122-2101). Resulting short fragment libraries were checked for quality and quantity using the Bioanalyzer. Equal molar libraries were pooled, requantified and sequenced as 100 bp paired read on the Illumina HiSeq. 2000 instrument using HiSeq Control Software 1.5.15. Following sequencing, Illumina Primary Analysis version RTA 1.13.48 and Secondary Analysis version CASAVA-1.8.2 were run to demultiplex reads for all libraries and generate FASTQ files.

**Transcriptome Assembly and Annotation**

To create a reference for expression analysis, paired end sequence files from all *P. flava* experimental time points were pooled (605,821,125 pairs totaling 122,164,225,000 bp) and assembled using Trinity, version r2013-02-25, (Grabherr et al., 2011), with default parameters. We vector clipped and contaminate filtered the resulting assembly with SeqClean, version 2011-02-22, (Seq-Clean, 2011). The resulting transcriptome reference contained 527,715 sequences, with an average length of 1,022, and N50 of 1,961, 208,773 of these sequences contain an ORF of at least 60 amino acids. All sequences were used for expression analysis with sequences from the same Trinity “component,” roughly equal to a gene, treated as a single gene.

To maximize the utility of this transcriptome assembly, sequences were annotated with the best BLASTx (Camacho et al., 2008) hit to Swissprot (UniProt, 2015) and NCBI's NR database, using an e-value cutoff of 0.001. We also identified PFAM (Finn et al., 2014) domains present in *P. flava* transcripts using hmmscan, version 3.1b1, from the HMMER package (Eddy, 2011) with an e-value cutoff of 0.01. In addition, we annotated these transcripts with tmhmm, version 2.0c, (Krogh et al., 2001) signalP version 4.1 (Petersen et al., 2011), and ncoils (Lupas et al., 1991). We identified putative transcription factors based on PFAM domain hits using a list from transcriptionfactor.org. For transcripts with multiple isoforms, annotations were collapsed to the gene level as determined by Trinity.

**Differential Expression Analysis**

To identify differentially expressed genes, single end reads from each experimental time point were aligned to the transcriptome using Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012) with default parameters. Alignments were quantified using Samtools (Li et al., 2009). Isoform counts were summed to generate a “per gene” count. Differential expression analysis and normalization was performed using the R package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014). All time points were contrasted with the zero hour (0 hr) time point. Data used for the gene expression analysis have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) under accession number GSE70295.

**Hierarchical Clustering and Heatmaps**

To select genes of interest for clustering, differentially expressed genes (P < 1e-5), having an expression level log2 fold change greater than one or less than negative one, for one or more time
points, and having a hit to the Swissprot protein database were identified. These genes were hierarchically clustered on the distances of the Pearson correlation of the log2 fold change, using the complete linkage method to find similar clusters (Figs. 5 and 6, 7, 8). Significantly differentially expressed genes ($P < 1e-5$) that had transcription factor domains were clustered on the distances of the Pearson correlation of the log2 fold change, using Ward’s minimum variance method to find similar clusters (Fig. 9). All heatmaps were created using the R package heatmap (Kolde, 2013).

**Gene Ontology Analysis**

Gene Ontology (GO) (Gene Ontology, 2015) terms were assigned to each *P. flava* gene based upon homologous PFAM domains and significant Swissprot hits. These terms were used to impute functional categories of differentially expressed genes and calculate categorical enrichment. The GO slim terms used were from the generic GO slim terms list available at the Gene Ontology Web site. GO term enrichment was performed using the R package topGO (Alexa and Rahnenfuhrer, 2010). To examine higher-level GO terms, significantly enriched ($P < 0.01$) GO terms were mapped to select higher-level GO terms using GO.db. These select GO terms were then mapped to the significantly differentially expressed genes ($P < 1e-5$) (Fig. 10).
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