Gender Differences in Perceived Stigma and Coping Strategies Among People Living with HIV/AIDS at Jugal Hospital, Harar, Ethiopia
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Background: HIV/AIDS is among the most devastating diseases, having multiple effects on the health and well-being of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA). There is a paucity of studies that examined the gender differences in perceived stigma among PLWHA and the different coping strategies that they use in Ethiopia.

Objective: To assess the gender differences in perceived stigma and coping strategies among PLWHA.

Methods: A comparative cross-sectional study was conducted at Jugal Hospital, Harar, eastern Ethiopia from May 01 to July 30, 2018. A total of 412 (206 females and 206 males) PLWHA were included. Face-to-face interviewer-administered data were collected. Perceived HIV stigma was assessed using the Berger HIV stigma scale. Similarly, the coping strategies were assessed using the Brief Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced (Brief COPE) scale. The data were analyzed using STATA version 13.

Results: The mean Berger stigma scale score was 65.3±11.3. The overall perceived stigma mean score was not statistically different between males and females (64.8±10.8 vs 65.8±11.7, p=0.407). Among the four subscales, women reported a higher level of disclosure stigma than men (21.1±5.5 vs 20.3±5.5; p=0.006). Regarding maladaptive coping strategies, men often used substances compared to women (2.8±1.5 vs 2.1±0.4; p<0.001). However, women used behavioral disengagement (4.6±1.1 vs 4.2±1.1; p=0.002) and self-distraction (5.9±1.5 vs 5.5±1.7; p=0.019) more often than men. Females used a higher level of adaptive coping strategies compared to males (42.5±4.9 vs 40.9±6.3, p=0.005). Furthermore, females were found to use more emotional-focused coping than males (27.6±3.2 vs 26.4±4.0; p<0.001).

Conclusion: Women reported a higher level of disclosure stigma than men and the types of coping strategies used vary between male and female. Thus, interventions to support PLWHA must take into account the gender differences in terms of perceived stigma.
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Introduction
Stigma refers to the undesirable traits that adhere to our perception of what a specific type of person should be.1 Its origins are deep within the social structure of society as a whole and in the norms and values that govern everyday life.2 Research on the relationships between health status, stigma, and coping mechanisms found that infection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) was inherently stressful and had a significant effect on the quality of life. Research has demonstrated that HIV-related stigma can have a variety of negative effects on
health behaviors, such as seeking HIV testing, willingness to disclose HIV status, accessing health care, and on quality of health care provided or received.\textsuperscript{3}

The ability to cope with change is related to the nature of the change, availability of social support, and personal factors. The extent to which people feel in control of their lives varies, and active coping strategies that enhance a feeling of control, such as task-focused coping or positive (adaptive) reframing, is beneficial.\textsuperscript{2,4} Whereas, a poorer adjustment is associated with persistent denial, venting of emotions, and behavioral disengagement that avoids dealing with change. A poorer (maladaptive) coping strategies and stress are associated with an immunological deterioration and are likely to play a role in the strong connection between HIV and mental problems such as anxiety or depression.\textsuperscript{2,5}

Coping has also been categorized as a problem- or emotion-focused. A problem-focused coping aims at solving the problem or altering the source of the stress, while an emotion-focused coping is directed at reducing or managing the emotional distress associated with or caused by the situation. The degree of sickness and the ability to understand and contain emotions is also important.\textsuperscript{6}

Research evidence has shown that men and women living with HIV have different experiences with both HIV-related perceived stigma and coping strategies used. More women living with HIV reported stigmatizing experiences than do males living with HIV.\textsuperscript{7–9} Moreover, studies conducted in Bengaluru, India, found that men internalized stigma more frequently than women; yet studies conducted in Burkina Faso, Kenya, Malawi, and Uganda reported the opposite.\textsuperscript{10–12} Concerning the coping strategies used, men are more likely than women to use problem-based coping strategies or engage in avoidance or denial. The stereotypical male is likely to confront a problem head-on and also they are assumed to be more likely to deny a problem exists. It is believed that women use a more emotional response to problems that seek social support.\textsuperscript{13}

According to the 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health survey, the prevalence of HIV in Ethiopia was 1.2%.\textsuperscript{14} Besides medical problems, PLHWA faced social problems associated with the disease. One of these problems is found to be HIV-related stigma.\textsuperscript{15} Although large numbers of PLHWA are found in Ethiopia, the situation of perceived stigma and coping strategies related to HIV has not been addressed. Understanding differences in perceived stigma among people living with HIV/AIDS and different coping strategies provide empirical evidence for program planner, decision makers and program implementer at the different level.

In view of the fact that HIV stigma and its coping strategies are influenced by its sociocultural context, it is important to study the perceived stigma associated with HIV and the coping strategies used in the context of gender. Therefore, this study examined the gender differences in the perceived stigma among PLHWA and the different coping strategies used.

Methods and Materials

Study Area and Period

This study was conducted in Jugal hospital, Harar, eastern Ethiopia from May 01 to July 30, 2018. Harar is one of the ancient cities in Ethiopia located 525 kilometers away from Addis Ababa, the capital city of Ethiopia. Based on the 2007 census conducted by the Central Statistical Agency of Ethiopia (CSA), Harari region had a total population of 183,344, of whom 92,258 were males and 91,086 were females; 99,321 or 54.17% of the population were urban inhabitants.

Study Design

A cross-sectional study was conducted to compare the gender differences in perceived stigma and coping strategies among PLHWA.

Study Population

Adult PLHWA who received HIV/AIDS-related and other health services at Jugal Hospital during the study period.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

All PLHWA receiving care and support aged ≥18 years were included in this study. PLHWA who are seriously sick, could not respond and unable to undergo the assessment were excluded from the study.

Sample Size and Sampling Techniques

Sample size calculation was based on the sample size for comparison of two sample means. From a study carried out in India,\textsuperscript{16} we get a mean score of 103 for perceived stigma among men and 102.7 among women. Finally, we used STATA version 13 to estimate the sample size with significance level (P<0.05), power (80%), common standard deviation with a proportion of 1:1. Accordingly, we obtained a sample size of 374. By adding a 10% of the calculated sample size for non-response, we obtained
Data Collection

Data Collection Instruments

Demographic characteristics such as age, sex, occupation, marital status, religion, educational status and residence area were collected from each participant using a structured questionnaire.

The perceived HIV stigma of the study participants was assessed using the Berger HIV stigma scale of 32 items. We translated it into local language for use in the present study. All participants were asked to respond to each of the items a four response options (“strong disagree,” “disagree,” “agree,” or “strong agree”). Possible scores ranged from a low of 32 to a maximum of 128, with a larger score showing a higher degree of perceived HIV stigma. The tool had four domains in their original sample of HIV-positive individuals: personalized stigma (12 items), disclosure concerns (8 items), negative self-image (10 items), and concern with public attitudes toward PLWHA (13 items). The scores for each domain vary with the number of items in the domain. There is an overlap of few items across the domains giving a total of 32 items. The personalized stigma items identify the negative consequences of other people’s knowledge of an individual’s HIV, such as experiences with or fear of rejection. The items that measured the disclosure concerns are related to controlling information about one’s HIV-positive status. The items in the negative self-image domain measured feelings about being unclean or being inferior to others because of an HIV-positive status. Lastly, public concern about PLWHA focuses on perceptions of what “other people” think of a person with HIV or what people with HIV should expect of others when their HIV-positive status is discovered.

The coping strategies of the participants were assessed using the 28-items Brief Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced (Brief COPE) scale. The Brief COPE is the abbreviated version of the COPE inventory and measures both the dispositional and situational efforts of cope. The Brief COPE has 14 subscales with each subclass having two items (self-distraction, active coping, denial, substance use, use of emotional support, use of instrumental support, behavioral disengagement, venting, positive reframing, planning, humor, acceptance, religion, self-blame). The Brief COPE was divided into three categories: problem-focused (active coping, planning, and use of instrumental support), emotion-focused (use of emotional support, positive reframing, acceptance, religion, and humor), and dysfunctional coping (venting, denial, substance use, behavioral disengagement, self-distraction, and self-blame). Furthermore, the brief COPE was organized into two categories: the adaptive coping (including all the subscales of the problem-focused and emotion-focused coping categories) and the maladaptive coping strategies (including all the subscales of dysfunctional coping).

Both Berger HIV stigma scale and Brief COPE scale exhibited good reliability in the present sample. The overall scale of the Berger HIV stigma showed a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.876 and all subscales demonstrated acceptable internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha 0.864–0.883). The overall Brief COPE scale demonstrated acceptable internal consistency with Cronbach’s alpha of 0.761 and the subscales had Cronbach’s alpha of 0.739–0.769.

Data Collectors

All data were collected by the counselors of PLWHA so as to avoid erosion of their privacy. The interviewers were both female and male health workers (Nurse by profession) who received a standard training on counseling services to PLWHA. For the data collection of this study, they were oriented for 2 days by the principal investigator, and a day-to-day onsite support was given during data collection.

Data Analysis

Data were entered into Epi-data software and the analysis was performed using STATA version 13. Internal consistency of the Berger HIV stigma scale and Brief COPE scale was assessed using Cronbach’s alpha. Descriptive summary was presented in terms of mean, range, and proportions depending on the scale of the variable. Data were presented as mean ± SE. The Student’s t-test was used to compare the mean score between the two groups (male and female) compared. Multiple linear regression analyses were applied to determine whether there is a significant difference on perceived stigma and coping strategies between female and male patients by controlling the demographic variable. Variables were included in the multivariate model if the bivariate test resulted in a p-value of less than 0.25. The statistical tests were declared at P-value <0.05.

Data Quality Control

The questionnaire was prepared in English, translated to Amharic and Afan Oromo, the two local languages.
commonly spoken in the study area, and then back translated to English by a language expert to check its consistency. The questionnaire was pre-tested on 5% of PLWHA who were not part of the actual study. An orientation was given to the data collectors on the objectives of the study, techniques of making a good interview and possible errors, obtaining and maintaining quality data, and the measurement tools used. The completed questionnaires were checked for inconsistencies and missed values during the data collection, and timely communications with data collectors were done and feedback were obtained. Questionnaires with significant problems were excluded from the analysis.

Ethical Consideration

The study proposal was approved by the Institutional Health Research and Ethics Review Committee of College of health and Medical Sciences, Haramaya University (IHRERC/249/2018). Letter of permission was written to Harari Regional State Health Bureau. Signed informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all ethical and professional considerations were followed throughout the study. During the interview, confidentiality of the collected data and privacy of each participant were kept confidential.

Results

Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Study Subjects

A total of 412 HIV-positive subjects (206 male and 206 female) were included in this study. The average age was 45.1 years for males and 40.2 years for females. More than

| Variables                        | Variable Category     | Male (n=206), n (%) | Female (n=206), n (%) | Total (n=412) | p-value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (mean ±SD)                   |                       | 45.1±9.5            | 40±8.4                | 42.6±9.2      | <0.001  |
| Age category                     | 21–30                 | 14(6.8)             | 29(14.1)              | 43(10.4)      |         |
|                                  | 31–40                 | 59(28.6)            | 104(50.5)             | 163(39.6)     |         |
|                                  | 41–50                 | 83(40.3)            | 54(26.2)              | 137(33.2)     |         |
|                                  | >50                   | 50(24.3)            | 19(9.2)               | 69(16.8)      |         |
| Residence                        | Urban                 | 199(96.6)           | 198(96.1)             | 397(96.4)     | 0.793   |
|                                  | Rural                 | 7(3.4)              | 8(3.8)                | 15(3.6)       |         |
| Educational level                | Unable to write and   | 21(10.2)            | 45(21.8)              | 66(16.0)      |         |
|                                  | read                  |                     | 6(2.9)                | 18(4.4)       |         |
|                                  | Read and write        | 12(5.8)             | 83(40.3)              | 153(37.1)     |         |
|                                  | Primary               | 70(33.9)            | 42(20.4)              | 101(24.5)     |         |
|                                  | Secondary             | 59(28.6)            | 30(14.6)              | 74(17.9)      |         |
|                                  | Diploma and above     | 44(21.4)            |                     |               | 0.002   |
| Marital status                   | Single                | 26(12.6)            | 8(3.9)                | 34(8.2)       |         |
|                                  | Married               | 106(51.5)           | 117(56.8)             | 223(54.1)     |         |
|                                  | Widowed               | 30(14.6)            | 58(28.2)              | 88(21.4)      |         |
|                                  | Divorced              | 44(21.4)            | 23(11.2)              | 67(16.3)      |         |
| Occupation                       | Gov. employee         | 35(16.9)            | 54(26.2)              | 89(21.6)      | <0.001  |
|                                  | Labor work            | 45(21.8)            | 27(13.1)              | 72(17.5)      |         |
|                                  | Student               | 3(1.5)              | 0(0)                  | 3(0.7)        |         |
|                                  | House wife            | -                   | 47(22.8)              | 47(11.4)      |         |
|                                  | Farmer                | 7(3.4)              | 1(0.5)                | 8(1.9)        |         |
|                                  | Retired               | 10(4.8)             | 5(2.4)                | 15(3.6)       |         |
|                                  | Merchant              | 36(17.5)            | 50(24.3)              | 86(20.9)      |         |
|                                  | Others                | 70(33.9)            | 22(10.7)              | 92(22.3)      |         |
| Religion                         | Orthodox              | 163(79.1)           | 122(59.2)             | 285(69.2)     | <0.001  |
|                                  | Muslim                | 31(15.1)            | 50(24.3)              | 81(19.7)      |         |
|                                  | Protestant            | 12(5.8)             | 30(14.6)              | 42(10.2)      |         |
|                                  | Catholic              | 0(0)                | 4(1.9)                | 4(0.9)        | <0.001  |
half of the participants were married (51.5% for males and 56.8% for females). Majority of the participants reside in urban (96.6% for males and 96.1% for females). In total, 397 patients (96.4%) were living in urban areas, 153 (37.1%) attended primary school, and 285 (69.2%) were orthodox in religion (Table 1).

Descriptive Analysis of Perceived Stigma and Coping Strategies
Analysis of perceived stigma among the study subjects showed a mean score of 65.3±13.3. Total stigma scores are categorized into four stigma levels: minimal (32–56), low (57–80), moderate (81–104) and high (105–128). Overall, positive reframing and turning to religion were the most frequently reported coping strategies, followed by acceptance and planning. Humor was the least frequently reported coping strategy followed by substance use (Figure 1).

Gender Difference in Perceived Stigma
The total perceived stigma mean score was not statistically different between men and women (64.8±10.8 vs 65.8±11.3, p=0.407). Women reported a higher level of disclosure stigma than men (21.8±5.6 vs 20.3±5.5, p=0.006), whereas no significant gender difference was found in the other perceived stigma subclasses (personalized stigma scale, negative image scale, public attitude scale) (Table 2). In the multivariable model, after controlling for demographic variables, there was no significant difference on the mean level of total perceived stigma score between male and female PLWHA. However, female PLWHA had a higher level of disclosure stigma score compared to male (Table 3).

Gender Difference in Coping Strategies
As shown in Table 4 there was significant difference by gender in terms of the three factors of emotional-focused

| Table 2 Gender Difference on Perceived Stigma Among PLWHA in Jugal Hospital, Harar, Eastern Ethiopia |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Perceived Stigma                                | Total (N=412)                  | Men (n=206)     | Women (n=206)   | P-value         |
|                                                  | Mean ± SD                      | Mean ± SD       | Mean ± SD       |                 |
| Personalized stigma                             | 19.2±4.5                       | 19.4±5.5        | 18.9±4.5        | 0.294           |
| Disclosure stigma                               | 21.1±5.3                       | 20.3±5.5        | 21.8±5.6        | 0.006           |
| Negative image scale                            | 20.3±4.0                       | 20.4±4.0        | 20.2±4.0        | 0.564           |
| Public attitude scale                           | 25.5±6.5                       | 24.2±6.3        | 24.7±6.7        | 0.431           |
| Total score                                     | 65.3±11.3                      | 64.8±10.8       | 65.8±11.7       | 0.407           |

Figure 1 Coping strategies used by HIV-positive patients in Jugal Hospital, Harar, eastern Ethiopia.
coping strategies: use of emotional support, religion and humor. The mean score showed that women more often used emotional support (5.8±1.6 vs 5.1±1.8; p<0.001) and religion (6.9±1.3 vs 6.4±1.6; p<0.001) compared to men. However, men use humor more often than women (2.2±0.6 vs 2.1±0.3; p=0.010). Moreover, there was significant difference by gender with the use of instrumental support (2.7±0.9 vs 3.0±0.9; p=0.001) as a coping mechanism (Table 4).

Regarding maladaptive/dysfunctional coping strategies, there was statistically significant gender difference in the use of substance, behavioral disengagement and self-distraction. Men use substance more in comparison to women (2.8±1.5 vs 2.1±0.4; p<0.001). However, women use behavioral disengagement (4.6±1.1 vs 4.2±1.1; p=0.002) and self-distraction (5.9±1.5 vs 5.5±1.7; p=0.019) more often than the men. Results further showed that there was no significant gender difference between respondents in the use of other coping strategies such as positive reframing, acceptance, active coping, planning, venting, denial, and self-blaming (Table 4).

There was a significant difference in the levels of adaptive coping strategies between males and females. Females showed a higher level of adaptive coping compared to males (42.5±4.9 vs 40.9±6.3; p=0.005). Females were found to use more emotional-focused coping than males (27.6±3.2 vs 26.4±4.0; p=0.001) (Table 4). In a multiple regression analysis, after controlling for demographic variables, the score of adaptive coping strategies and emotion-focused coping strategies were higher among female compared to male (Table 5).

**Discussion**

Analysis of perceived stigma among the study subjects revealed an average score of 65.3 (SD, 11.3). Although recent advances in medical technologies have made HIV a chronic and manageable condition, PLWHA continue to suffer from stigma. Total stigma scores are categorized

| Outcome Variable | Demographic Variables | Coefficient (β) | Standard Error | P-value | 95% Confidence Interval of B |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Total perceived stigma score | Religion | Christian | Reference | 5.18 | 1.42 | <0.001 | 2.39 | 7.98 |
| | Muslim | | | | | | | |
| | Residence | Urban | Reference | 13.49 | 2.865 | <0.001 | 7.86 | 19.14 |
| | Rural | | | | | | | |
| Educational Status | No formal education | Reference | | | | | | |
| | Primary | | | | | | | |
| | Secondary | | | | | | | |
| | Diploma and above | | | | | | | |
| Disclosure stigma score | Sex | Male | Reference | 1.58 | 0.54 | 0.004 | 0.51 | 2.64 |
| | Female | | | | | | | |
| Educational Status | No formal education | Reference | | | | | | |
| | Primary | | | | | | | |
| | Secondary | | | | | | | |
| | Diploma and above | | | | | | | |

Table 3 Multivariable Linear Regression Models with Outcomes of Total Stigma Scores and Disclosure Stigma Score for PLWHA in Jugal Hospital, Harar, Eastern Ethiopia.
Our findings indicated that women had a higher level of disclosure stigma than men. This result was consistent with the study conducted in Kenya, which showed that many women living with HIV reported high levels of stigma, leading to conceal their status from family and friends for fear of HIV/AIDS discrimination. The explanation for the high HIV stigma score may be that HIV-based stigma builds on other established biases related to gender. Women may suffer more stigma because the community may view them as being promiscuous at least once in their lifetime when they are infected with HIV.

Effective and appropriate coping strategies may minimize the impact of encountering stressful situations on one's well-being. In this study, we found that the major coping strategies employed by the study participants were positive reframing, turning to religion, acceptance and planning. These strategies are positive strategies that have been documented as very adaptive in studies and accelerate recovery from distress. We also found that a low level of substance use, which is a maladaptive strategy. Coping strategies adopted by PLWHA have very important practical applications as such strategies determine health outcomes and even the chances of spreading HIV to others. Among PLWHA, coping strategies such as behavioral disengagement and denial are found to be associated with disease progression and a poor psychological status, whereas the coping strategy of acceptance is associated with a lower level of distress.

In this study, females used significantly more emotional-based coping than males. The findings are mixed with regard to gender differences in coping with HIV infection, ranging from studies that highlighted the lack of gender differences, to studies that pointed to a higher degree of avoidance coping, spiritual coping, and seeking social support as coping strategies for HIV-infected women.

This study found that male patients were more likely than female patients to use substances. This is consistent with the finding that male patients were more likely to engage in risky behavior than females. In this study, females showed a higher level of adaptive coping compared to males. Our finding is consistent with the study from South Africa in which female patients were more likely to use adaptive strategies such as planning and religious practices. However, our findings do not support those of other authors, who found that more maladaptive than adaptive ways of coping were being used among women.

Table 4 Gender Difference in Coping Strategies Among PLWHA in Jugal Hospital, Harar, Eastern Ethiopia

| Coping Strategies                              | Men (n=206) Mean ± SD | Women (n=206) Mean ± SD | P-value |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Adaptive coping strategies                      | 40.9±6.3              | 42.5±4.9                | 0.005   |
| Emotion-focused strategies                      | 26.4±4.0              | 27.6±3.2                | <0.001  |
| Use of emotional support                        | 5.1±1.8               | 5.8±1.6                 | <0.001  |
| Positive reframing                              | 6.5±1.4               | 6.7±1.0                 | 0.108   |
| Acceptance                                      | 6.2±1.4               | 6.1±1.1                 | 0.617   |
| Religion                                        | 6.4±1.6               | 6.9±1.3                 | <0.001  |
| Humor                                           | 2.2±0.6               | 2.1±0.3                 | 0.010   |
| Problem-focused strategies                      | 14.6±2.8              | 14.9±2.2                | 0.225   |
| Active coping                                    | 5.6±1.5               | 5.7±1.2                 | 0.712   |
| Planning                                        | 6.2±1.2               | 6.2±0.9                 | 0.606   |
| Use of instrumental support                     | 2.7±0.9               | 3.0±0.9                 | 0.001   |
| Maladaptive coping strategies (dysfunctional coping subscales) | 23.3±4.1              | 23.2±3.3                | 0.853   |
| Venting                                         | 5.2±1.9               | 5.3±1.9                 | 0.570   |
| Denial                                          | 2.5±0.9               | 2.6±1.1                 | 0.422   |
| Substance use                                   | 2.8±1.5               | 2.1±0.4                 | <0.001  |
| Behavioral disengagement                        | 4.2±1.1               | 4.6±1.1                 | 0.002   |
| Self-distraction                                | 5.5±1.7               | 5.9±1.5                 | 0.019   |
| Self-blame                                      | 2.9±1.6               | 2.8±1.3                 | 0.108   |

into four stigma levels: minimal (32–56), low (57–80), moderate (81–104) and high (105–128). Based on this classification, the level of stigma reported in our study was low. This was quite similar to the findings of Li et al, in Henan province of China and Lingaraj et al, in Coimbatore, India. This may be explained by the fact that with the wide-scale ART rollout and better management of HIV, the fear of developing AIDS has now decreased in common people and resulted in a decrease in stigma.

When both the groups were compared for perceived stigma, women scored slightly a higher mean score of perceived stigma. However, this difference was not statistically significant. Different studies from the Western and African settings found higher rates of perceived stigma in women than men. Women are traditionally expected to uphold the moral fabric of society. Social rejection (personalized stigma), negative self-image (internalized stigma) and total stigma were reported to be higher in women.
There are some limitations to consider in interpreting the findings of the study. First, the participants in this study were actively involved in the hospital’s medical care and other services and may vary substantially from those individuals who have not visited medical cares. Thus, the patients in the present study may not be

Table 5 Multivariable Linear Regression Models with Outcomes of Score of Adaptive Coping Strategies, Emotion-Focused Coping Strategies and Problem-Focused Coping Strategies for PLWHA in Jugl Hospital, Harar, Eastern Ethiopia

| Outcome Variable | Demographic Variables | Coefficient (β) | Standard Error | P-value | 95% Confidence Interval of B |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------|----------------------------|
|                  |                       |                 |                |         | Lower          | Upper          |
| Score of adaptive coping strategies | Sex                   | Male            | Reference      | 2.11    | 0.58           | <0.001         | 0.97          | 3.25          |
|                  |                       | Female          |                |         |                |                |              |              |
|                  | Age group             | 31–30           | Reference      | 1.94    | 0.97           | 0.046          | 0.04          | 3.85          |
|                  |                       | 31–40           |                | 1.78    | 1.01           | 0.078          | −0.20         | 3.77          |
|                  |                       | 41–50           |                | 2.76    | 1.12           | 0.014          | 0.56          | 4.95          |
|                  | Residence             | Urban           | Reference      | −4.33   | 1.49           | 0.004          | −7.26         | −1.39         |
|                  |                       | Rural           |                |         |                |                |              |              |
|                  | Educational status    | No formal education | Reference | 0.32 | 0.76 | 0.673 | −1.18 | 1.82 |
|                  |                       | Primary         |                | 1.74    | 0.86           | 0.043          | 0.05          | 3.42          |
|                  |                       | Diploma and above |            | 2.23    | 0.89           | 0.013          | 0.47          | 3.99          |
| Score of emotion-focused coping strategies | Sex                   | Male            | Reference      | 1.49    | 0.37           | <0.001         | 0.77          | 2.21          |
|                  |                       | Female          |                |         |                |                |              |              |
|                  | Age group             | 31–30           | Reference      | 1.29    | 0.61           | 0.035          | 0.09          | 2.49          |
|                  |                       | 31–40           |                | 1.40    | 0.63           | 0.028          | 0.16          | 2.65          |
|                  |                       | 41–50           |                | 1.91    | 0.71           | 0.007          | 0.51          | 3.31          |
|                  | Residence             | Urban           | Reference      | −2.88   | 0.95           | 0.003          | −4.74         | −1.02         |
|                  |                       | Rural           |                |         |                |                |              |              |
| Score of problem-focused coping strategies | Sex                   | Male            | Reference      | 0.46    | 0.25           | 0.063          | −0.03         | 0.94          |
|                  |                       | Female          |                |         |                |                |              |              |
|                  | Educational status    | No formal education | Reference | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.151 | −0.18 | 1.15 |
|                  |                       | Primary         |                | 1.07    | 0.37           | 0.004          | 0.34          | 1.79          |
|                  |                       | Diploma and above |            | 1.36    | 0.39           | 0.001          | 0.58          | 2.14          |
representative of all the PLWHA and limits the generalizability of our findings. Second, this study was cross-sectional; thus, cause and effect cannot be determined and it may not be sensitive to changes in levels of the measurements over time.

Conclusion and Recommendation
In this study, PLWHA in the study setting experienced a low level of perceived HIV stigma. This study suggests perceived HIV stigma may vary with gender due to unique traits of males and females. Women reported a higher level of disclosure stigma than their men counterpart. Thus, interventions to support PLWHA must take gender and the unique traits of males and females into account in terms of perceived stigma. In addition, it is critical to apply tailored approaches to address the different challenges faced by women and men living with HIV. Greater involvement of family, community and nongovernmental organizations is warranted to reduce the discrimination and increase support for women with HIV.

The major coping strategies employed by the respondents were positive reframing, turning to religion, acceptance and planning; these strategies are positive coping strategies. Females used significantly more emotional-based coping than males. Male patients were more likely than female patients to use substances. The use of maladaptive coping strategy should be discouraged by improving patients coping strategies to use adaptive and positive coping mechanisms. This can be achieved both by one-on-one health education in health facilities and through the mass media.
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