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Abstract

We report the localization of the X-ray emission from two strongly lensed AGN, CLASS B0712+472 (z = 1.34) and CLASS B1608+656 (z = 1.394). We obtain milliarcsecond X-ray astrometry by developing a novel method that combines parametric lens modeling with a Bayesian analysis. We spatially locate the X-ray sources in CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656 within 11 mas and 9 mas from the radio source, respectively. For CLASS B0712+472, we find that the X-ray emission is copspatial with the radio and optical emission. On the other hand, for CLASS B1608+656, the X-ray emission is copspatial with radio but displaced with respect to the optical emission at the 1σ level, which positions this source as an offset AGN candidate. This high astrometric precision improves on the limitations of existing X-ray instruments by two orders of magnitude. The demonstrated method opens a path to search for offset and binary AGN at z > 1, and to directly test supermassive black hole formation models in a redshift range that has been mostly underconstrained to date.

1. Introduction

Active galactic nuclei (AGN) are supermassive black holes (SMBHs) actively accreting the surrounding gas and stars (e.g., Padovani et al. 2017). They are among the most energetic sources in the universe, hence they have a strong impact on the shaping and evolution of their host galaxies (e.g., Fabian 2012). Understanding the properties of AGN at all redshifts is crucial to assess how they form and what role they play in the evolution of galaxies across the cosmic time.

Binary SMBH systems are a natural consequence of the current structure formation scenario, where the galaxy building is driven by a hierarchical process of merging (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2008; Somerville & Davé 2015). These AGN pairs can be identified as two distinct flat-spectrum radio sources or two X-ray sources, through multiple peaks of the narrow emission lines or via drops in the broadband spectral energy distribution of an AGN due to a gap in the accretion disk caused by the secondary SMBH (e.g., Burke-Spolaor et al. 2011; Fu et al. 2012; Gültekin & Miller 2012; Koss et al. 2012; Yan et al. 2015). We follow the nomenclature of Burke-Spolaor et al. (2014) and define dual AGN as those SMBH pairs separated by <10 kpc, while binary AGN are closer pairs of SMBHs separated by <100 pc, which approximately corresponds to the Bondi radius of an SMBH of 5 × 10^5 M⊙.

In these SMBH pairs, it is possible that only one is active. In this case, the binary system may be observed as an offset AGN, as the radio/X-ray radiation is offset with respect to the peak of the optical emission of the host galaxy (Orosz & Frey 2013; Lena et al. 2014; Barrows et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2016; Barrows et al. 2018; Skipper & Browne 2018). Nevertheless, offset AGN are not necessarily binary SMBHs; they could also be a single recoiling SMBH, i.e., an SMBH that has been displaced from the center during the merging process (Madau & Quataert 2004; Volonteri & Madau 2008; Lena et al. 2014). Both binary and offset AGN can provide important clues regarding the fraction of galaxy mergers across the cosmic time (e.g., Comerford et al. 2012; Silva et al. 2021).

It has been predicted that the merging of SMBHs would produce loud gravitational waves at the frequency range nHz−μHz, which is the observing range of the Laser Interferometer Space Antenna (LISA) and the Pulsar Timing Array (PTA; e.g., Enoki et al. 2004; Burke-Spolaor et al. 2019; De Rosa et al. 2019). Even though the observed numbers of offsets and binary SMBHs currently seem to fit the theoretical expectations from the ΛCDM model, such a sample is limited mostly to low-z SMBHs (e.g., Rosas-Guevara et al. 2019; Bartlett et al. 2021). However, galaxy mergers are more common at early times (e.g., Conselice et al. 2003; Hopkins et al. 2006), so we expect to more frequently observe offset and binary SMBHs at high redshifts. To fully test the hierarchical cosmological model and characterize the primary sources of LISA and PTA, it is therefore necessary to assess the occurrence of offset/binary SMBHs at large distances.

The main issues for the detection and identification of offset and binary AGN systems are the high sensitivity and angular resolution required to spatially resolve them on pc scales. Hence, at high redshift, spatially resolving binary AGN is even more challenging, requiring milliarcsecond angular resolution and long exposure times. Given the paucity of radio-loud AGN that can be observed with very long baseline interferometry (VLBI), the ideal observing band to obtain a representative sample is at X-ray wavelengths. However, X-ray telescopes have very limited angular resolution (Chandra resolution is ∼0″5), which makes the identification of such systems at large redshifts impossible.
Gravitational lensing can provide the necessary amplification and magnification for studying the pc scales of distant background sources (Barnacka 2017, 2018). Gravitational lensing consists of the deflection of light from a distant background source by a foreground massive object (called a lens; see Congdon & Keeton 2018). As a consequence of galaxy–galaxy lensing, multiple magnified (and distorted) images of the same high-redshift source may be observed to be separated on scales of $>1''$, because the typical mass of a lensing galaxy is $M \sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ (Koopmans et al. 2006; Auger et al. 2009). These scales are resolvable, for instance, at X-ray wavelengths with the Chandra telescope, at optical wavelengths with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST), and at radio wavelengths with VLBI arrays. Once corrected for the distortion due to lensing, it then becomes possible to recover the multiwavelength emission of distant sources on subgalactic scales (Deane et al. 2013; Barnacka et al. 2015; Spilker et al. 2015; Barnacka et al. 2016; Dye et al. 2018; Massardi et al. 2018; Spingola et al. 2019, 2020b; Rybak et al. 2020; Berta et al. 2021) and search for offset/binary AGN systems (Spingola et al. 2019; Spingola & Barnacka 2020; Schwartz et al. 2021).

Here, we present an innovative method to push the limitations of the X-ray telescopes and constrain at high precision the location of the X-ray emission to search for offset and binary AGN systems. As a pilot study, Spingola & Barnacka (2020) selected two gravitationally lensed sources in a so-called “caustic configuration” (i.e., quadruply imaged sources; see Barnacka 2017). Gravitational lenses with elliptical mass distribution deflect the light of background sources that, when ray-traced back to the source plane, form the shape of characteristic caustics (i.e., points of infinite magnification). The diameter of such a caustic in the source plane depends on the lens ellipticity and scales with the Einstein radius. Sources positioned in the proximity of the inner side of such caustic experience significant magnification of lensed images and amplification of the image positions with respect to the location of the source (Barnacka 2017, 2018). Since these specific lensed sources are at high magnification, they can provide a promising sample to search for offset and binary AGN over a wide range of spatial separations, including the crucial sub-kpc scales (where the SMBH pairs are gravitationally bound), which are challenging to reach at high redshifts. We use these caustics as nonlinear spatial amplifiers, which allows us to connect to the International Celestial Reference Frame established by radio observations, thus overcoming the technological limitations of existing instruments.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe the two targets and the X-ray observations. In Section 3, we provide the details of our methodology to recover the X-ray source position and its uncertainty. We then present our results (Section 4), a discussion of the results (Section 5), and our conclusions (Section 6). We adopt the Planck Collaboration et al. (2016) cosmological values, namely $H_0 = 67.8 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.308$, and $\Omega_k = 0.692$. This set of cosmological parameters gives a scale of 8.626 pc mas$^{-1}$ at $z = 1.34$ and 8.653 pc mas$^{-1}$ at $z = 1.394$.

2. Chandra Observations

We apply our novel method to two flat-spectrum radio-loud gravitationally lensed AGN: CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656. These systems are both quadruply imaged. A detailed description of the radio and optical properties and lens modeling analysis of the targets can be found in Spingola & Barnacka (2020). Here, we summarize the key characteristics and focus on X-ray emission.

2.1. CLASS B0712+472

The HST emission of the lensed images of CLASS B0712+472 is detected at high significance, especially the most magnified images A and B (Hsueh et al. 2017; Spingola & Barnacka 2020). The quasar is at $z = 1.34$, and the lensing galaxy at $z = 0.406$ (Fassnacht & Cohen 1998). Adaptive optics observations at NIR wavelengths showed that there is also a faint diffuse emission into arcs, which is likely due to the dust and stellar emission of the AGN host galaxy (Hsueh et al. 2017). Chandra observations of CLASS B0712+472 were carried out on 2003 December 17 (ObsID 4199) for 97.7 ks live time (Fassnacht et al. 2008), for the purpose of studying a foreground group of galaxies at $z = 0.29$. See also Momcheva et al. (2015) and Wilson et al. (2017) for other data on these groups, concurring that the group at $z = 0.29$ had a minimal effect on the lensed images as reported by Fassnacht & Lubin (2002). Fassnacht et al. (2008) reported X-ray detection of three images out of the four, with images A and B blended together due to their small angular separation of about $0''/2$.

We have used CIAO--4.14 (Fruscione et al. 2006) with CALDB 4.9.6 to reanalyze the REPRO 4 Chandra X-ray data from the ObsID 4199. We find 856 photons between 0.5 and 7 keV in the rectangular region shown in the left panel of Figure 1. Fitting to a power law with foreground absorption of $n_H = 7.26 \times 10^{-20}$ H atoms cm$^{-2}$ in our galaxy (Dickey & Lockman 1990) gives an energy index $\alpha = 0.52 \pm 0.060$ and therefore an unabsorbed energy flux of $(7.28 \pm 0.32) \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

Separately fitting 595 photons in an $0''/7$ radius circle centered on the blended A/B location gives a fit with $\alpha = 0.54 \pm 0.07$. Fitting the remaining photons gives $\alpha = 0.47 \pm 0.11$, so we conclude that all four images are consistent with the flat spectral index $\alpha = 0.52$. Absorption can also be present in the lensing galaxy, especially if it is late-type (Dai & Kochanek 2009). Allowing for intrinsic absorption at the quasar redshift $z = 1.34$ gives a more typical quasar index of $\alpha = 0.64 \pm 0.10$, with an intrinsic column density fit to $(4.1 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{22}$ H atoms cm$^{-2}$. Wang et al. (2016) reported a total flux in the 0.3–8 keV band of $9.8 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ based on assuming that the spectral index was $\alpha = 0.7$. We derive $6.9 \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for the same energy band, if we fix the spectral index to be $\alpha = 0.7$. The different contamination models on the ACIS filter in 2003 could possibly account for these differences.

An analysis of the optical and radio observations in the source plane has shown that the two emissions are cospatial, with a relative offset of $17 \pm 42$ pc (Spingola & Barnacka 2020). This astrometric precision at $z = 1.34$ could be achieved thanks to the sensitivity of the observations and a magnification factor $\mu > 10$.

---

4 We use the convention that the flux density $f_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$. Spingola, Schwartz, & Barnacka
2.2. CLASS B1608+656

The source in the lensing system CLASS B1608+656 consists of a post-starburst galaxy located at $z_s = 1.394$, which is magnified by two lensing galaxies at $z_l = 0.630$ (Fassnacht et al. 1996). CLASS B1608+656 shows strong flux density variability at both radio and optical wavelengths (e.g., Fassnacht et al. 1999). Dai & Kochanek (2005) used Chandra to observe CLASS B1608+656 for 29.7 ks on 2003 September 21 (ObsID 3461). They detected three of the lensed images, with the fourth being too faint. The authors did not find an X-ray emission that could be associated with a group (or a cluster) associated with the two main lensing galaxies. Dai & Kochanek (2005) also modeled the 0.4–8 keV spectrum of CLASS B1608+656, and used a $\chi^2$ distribution to fit a power-law photon index of $\Gamma = 1.4 \pm 0.3$, a Galactic absorption of $N_H < 6.4 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$, and upper limits on the absorption column at the AGN redshift of $N_H < 2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. The observed X-ray flux ratios were broadly consistent with those at the radio wavelengths.

The post-starburst spectrum of CLASS B1608+656 could be linked to a merger event that is responsible for the radio–optical offset found by the VLBI–HST source plane analysis of Spingola & Barnacka (2020). The authors found a radio–optical offset of $214 \pm 137$ pc, which makes this source a promising offset-AGN candidate at high redshift to be investigated at X-ray wavelengths.

We reanalyzed the Chandra observation of CLASS B1608+656 (ObsID 3461, PI: Kochanek), omitting a short 8.1 ks (ObsID 429) observation from 2000 January. We used CIAO-4.12 (Fruscione et al. 2006) and the REPRO 4 data, yielding 79 photons in the 0.5–7 keV band for CLASS B1608+656. Our power law fit, with galactic absorption fixed at $2.68 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Dickey & Lockman 1990), gave $\alpha = 0.43 \pm 0.21$ and an energy flux in the 0.5–7 keV band of $(2.99 \pm 0.44) \times 10^{-14}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. The Chandra image for this system is shown in the right panel of Figure 1.

3. Methodology

In this section, we describe our novel method to locate, at high astrometric precision, the X-ray emission in the source plane. The method can be divided in two main steps: simulation of the X-ray source positions (Section 3.1) and maximum likelihood plus correlation analysis in the lens plane to identify the best set of lensed images associated with a specific source location (Section 3.2).

3.1. Lens Models and Simulated Source Positions

We performed lens mass modeling to simulate the range of the X-ray source position. The model corrects for the lensing distortion by applying a parameterized profile for the mass density distribution of the deflector. For the investigated systems, the lens mass models were inferred from VLBI and HST observations, thus providing high-fidelity source reconstruction. The lens mass model parameters for CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656 have uncertainties less than 10% (Koopmans et al. 2003; Hsieh et al. 2017; Spingola & Barnacka 2020). All the lens mass model parameters and their uncertainties are reported in Table 5 of Spingola & Barnacka (2020). The mass density distribution of the lens of CLASS B0712+472 is parameterized by two mass components (an elliptical power law plus an exponential disk), because the lensing galaxy is a late-type galaxy (Hsieh et al. 2017). The lens mass model of CLASS B1608+656 consists of two elliptical power laws, as there are two main lensing galaxies, with no evidence of disk structure (Koopmans et al. 2003; Suyu et al. 2010).

We use the VLBI source position (Table 6 of Spingola & Barnacka 2020) as a reference point to generate equally spaced source positions in the perpendicular and parallel directions with respect to the caustics. The actual spacing will depend on the statistical significance we can achieve with the given number of X-ray photons. For CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656, we take lines of possible source positions spaced at 1 mas and 5 mas, respectively, to determine the initial locations of the X-ray sources (Figures 3 and 4).
3.2. Maximum Likelihood Plus Correlation Analysis

In this section, we describe our general method (briefly introduced in Schwartz et al. 2021) that can be applied to any multiply imaged lensed sources, but we add with some notes specific to the sources CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656 reported on in this article.

**Step 1:** We simulate the Chandra point source response numerically, for each observation of each lensed image (Figure 2). We run 1000 simulations incorporating the actual observation duration, aspect solution, dither, estimated source flux, and charge-coupled device (CCD) event pileup from the observation, and merge the results into a single fits file. We use SAOTrace (Jerius et al. 2004) for a high-fidelity mirror simulation, and Marx (Davis et al. 2012) for a high-fidelity simulation of the ACIS image, including the energy-dependent subpixel event repositioning. The ray-tracing takes the celestial position of each image from the radio position given in Table 3 or Table 4 of Spingola & Barnacka (2020), respectively, for the four images (A, B, C, and D) of CLASS B0712+472 or CLASS B1608+656.

**Step 2:** We use the lensing mass model (described in Section 3.1) to perform a forward ray-tracing using GRAVLENS (Keeton 2001a, 2001b) of the trial source positions, predicting the separations and magnifications of sets of four lensed images associated with each test source, while keeping the lens mass model parameters fixed to those from Spingola & Barnacka (2020). These are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The uncertainties on the lens mass model parameters lead to positional differences of less than 1 mas (Spingola & Barnacka 2020), and therefore they are negligible for the fitting process of the X-ray lensed images. In a general case, we might use a finer grid spacing perpendicular to the caustic where the magnification gradient is very steep, while a coarser grid might be taken parallel to the caustic. We add additional lines of positions parallel and perpendicular to the caustic locally, to better define the confidence contours of the allowed locations. In a more general case, one might fill in a two-dimensional grid either at regular points or at a sparse or randomly sampled array, depending on how quickly the best-fit statistic is changing.

**Step 3:** We construct a series of models for the predicted X-ray counts by placing the simulated point-source images from Step 1 at the predicted lens plane separations and with the predicted relative intensities, for each simulated source position in Step 2.

**Step 4:** We bin the observed X-ray data into an array of \( j \times k \) square bins, each with \( n_i \) counts. For these two systems, we used 1/4 the CCD pixel size, 0.6123. This grid remains fixed with \( n_i \) observed counts in each bin. We extend the array about 5″ beyond the apparent positions of the X-ray images in the observed data, since our model is sensitive to pixels that are expected to contain only background counts. Figure 1 shows the regions we used for these two AGN.

**Step 5:** We normalize each quartet of images and we raster our model in two dimensions using steps of 1/8 of the bin size used in Step 4. Then, for each simulated quartet, we increment the position in the lens plane by this step size, sort into the bins used for the observed data array, and add the expected background to predict the expected counts, \( \lambda_i \), for each of the \( j \times k \) data bins.

**Step 6:** At each of the trial source plane positions, we estimate the maximum likelihood of the simulated data to the observed data. We consider the maximum likelihood for observing the counts in each bin based on Poisson statistics. From the likelihood probability

\[
P(\lambda, n) = \prod_{i} (\exp(-\lambda_i) \lambda_i^{n_i} / n_i!),
\]

we compute

\[
C = -2 \ln P = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{j \times k} \ln(\lambda_i^{n_i} \exp(-\lambda_i) / n_i!).
\]
We allow the amplitudes of each of the four images to vary by factors consistent with the unknown possibility of ratio anomalies due to microlensing or source variability. We renormalize the total simulated counts to equal the observed number of counts, $M = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{k} \lambda_i$.

**Step 7:** We linearly interpolate between raster coordinates to find the values of the image A focal plane coordinates that minimize the likelihood

$$C = 2 \left( M - \sum_{i=1}^{j \times k} (n_i \ln \lambda_i) \right),$$

for each trial source position. As a function of the unknown source position along each one-dimensional line, we define confidence regions using the theorem by Wilks (1938) that proves the quantity $\Delta C$ (i.e., the likelihood ratio) is distributed as $\chi^2$ almost independent of the underlying density distribution for the observed counts (Cash 1979). The results for locations along each line are shown in the top panels of Figures 5 and 6, along with the confidence limits for one interesting parameter.

**Step 8:** We take the likelihood at each point of all the one-dimensional lines of trial source positions, and interpolate and extrapolate to create a piecewise continuous function in two dimensions. For the two interesting parameters of $\Delta RA$ and $\Delta Dec$ relative to image A, we create the two-dimensional contours of statistical confidence about the minimum. Results are shown in the bottom panels of Figures 5 and 6.

As an additional test, we measured the position of each lensed image and then backward ray-traced the X-ray emission to the source plane. We found that the angular separation of the lensed images for both systems is very different from what is measured using the high angular resolution observations in hand (i.e., optical HST and radio VLBI data). As a result, the optical/radio-derived lens mass models could not reproduce the X-ray images, which is peculiar considering the poor astrometric precision of Chandra data. Typically, such astrometric anomalies for galaxy–galaxy lensing are small (mas scales) and may be detected only using high angular resolution data (i.e., VLBI), which have the astrometric sensitivity to detect small offsets due to non-smooth mass distributions (Chen et al. 2007; Spingola et al. 2018; Hartley et al. 2019). Instead, the X-ray lensed images are expected to be well in agreement with a smooth mass density model. As the optical, radio, and X-ray emissions are lensed by the same massive object, we concluded that the poor astrometric precision of Chandra observations does not allow us to robustly infer the position of the lensed images, which is to be expected because the merging images are extremely close (hundreds of mas) and image D is faint and difficult to locate in both systems.

### 4. Results

Figures 5 and 6 show the respective X-ray source localizations for CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656.

For CLASS B0712+472, the X-ray source is at $(-8.5, +6.3)$ mas with respect to the VLBI source plane position. The best position has 1σ error bars $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ mas perpendicular to the caustic, (where the minus is to the SE and the plus to the NW) and $-14$ mas (to the SW) $+11$ mas (to the NE) parallel to the caustic. The measured X-ray source position is about 1σ offset from the radio VLBI source, and offset, at 90% confidence, with respect to the optical emission (Figure 5). Spingola & Barnacka (2020) measured the radio and optical emissions to be within $(2 \pm 5)$ mas, so the present result allows the X-rays to also be coplanar with both those bands.

The X-ray emission in CLASS B1608+656 is located $(-7.2, -4.8)$ mas relative to the VLBI source plane position, with 1σ errors $+11$ to NW, $-8$ to SE) mas perpendicular to caustic, and $(-35$ to SW, $+20$ to NE) mas parallel to caustic (Figure 6). The parallel results do not converge to closed contours beyond...
5. Discussion

5.1. Importance of Astrometric Precision

Astrometry is essential to all areas of astrophysics. For instance, the precise measurement of positions allows astronomers to study the structure and formation of our galaxy (e.g., Helmi et al. 2018; Belokurov et al. 2020; Libralato et al. 2021), determine the dark matter profile in galaxies in the Local Group (e.g., Massari et al. 2018, 2020), associate sources observed at different wavelengths (e.g., D’Abrusco et al. 2019; Lindgren 2020), study the density profile of lensing galaxies on subhalo scales (e.g., Chen et al. 2007; Sluse et al. 2012; Spingola et al. 2018), and measure AGN jets’ proper motions at cosmological distances (e.g., Frey et al. 2015; Perger et al. 2018; An et al. 2020). A precise localization of the radio/X-ray emission from the AGN and the peak of optical emission of their host galaxy is crucial in order to identify and confirm dual and offset AGN, especially those at small angular separation, which trace the final stages of galaxy merging (e.g., Deane et al. 2014). To fully characterize these last phases, it is necessary to reach a pc-scale astrometric precision also at cosmological epochs, when mergers were more common. This is particularly difficult at X-ray wavelengths, as at \( z = 1 \) the spatial resolution of Chandra is \( \sim 4 \) kpc, which is not comparable to the spatial resolution that can be achieved, for example, with VLBI at high redshifts (e.g., Spingola et al. 2020a; Momjian et al. 2021; Perger et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2021). Also, the astrometric precision depends on the significance of the source detection (i.e., signal-to-noise ratio). Therefore, reaching a subkpc astrometric precision is possible only for the brightest sources, which may not be representative of the entire AGN population at high \( z \) (e.g., Bosco et al. 2021). For these reasons, to date at high redshift only binary/offset SMBHs at wide angular separation have been found, which trace the very early stages of galaxy merging (e.g., Vito et al. 2021).

5.2. Milliarcsecond Astrometric Precision at X-Ray Wavelengths

In this work, we exploit nonlinear amplification of the lens caustics combined with a novel Bayesian method to obtain precise measurement of the X-ray emission in order to search for binary/offset AGN systems at a small angular separation at \( z > 1 \). The caustic provides a reference frame, and its ability to amplify sources changes with the source location, projection, and distance to the caustic. In one dimension, perpendicular to the caustic, we obtain X-ray astrometric precision (1\( \sigma \)) of order 2.5 mas (\( \sim 20 \) pc, with 845 photons for CLASS B0712+472) and 10 mas (\( \sim 80 \) pc, with 79 photons for CLASS B1608+656). Such precision allows us to confirm that the AGN in CLASS B0712+472 is located at the center of its host galaxy (traced by the peak of the optical emission; see Spingola & Barnacka 2020), while the X-ray emission in CLASS B1608+656 is offset with respect to the optical at 1\( \sigma \) level, but cospatial within 3\( \sigma \) (Figure 6). The lower number of photons...
detected for CLASS B1608+656 results in a worse astrometric precision, which prevents us from being able to securely confirm the offset AGN nature of this object. In both cases, we reach an astrometric precision that, at X-ray wavelengths, is comparable only to local X-ray observations (i.e., tens of milliarcseconds, Kong et al. 2010; Ratti et al. 2010; Auchettl et al. 2015; Ponti et al. 2019; Tomsick et al. 2020, 2021). This precise location is what we are looking for to identify binary/offset AGN candidates at a small physical separation in the early universe (see Section 5.3). The method allows us to improve on the absolute astrometry of Chandra instrument by two orders of magnitude. In terms of relative astrometry, the method gives us a factor of 10 improvement (or magnification) by allowing us to translate the accuracy of relative astrometry of dozens of milliarcseconds in the lens image plane to a few milliseconds accuracy in the source plane. Our ability to improve on the astrometry is determined by how well we can measure relative positions of the lensed images of the source, which depends on the photon statistics. The second key factor is the distance of the source from the caustic. The sources located closer to the caustic of the lens experience higher flux magnification, and the relative positions of the lensed images change more drastically. Both sources, CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656, are at a moderate distance from the caustic (8 and 37 mas, respectively), and are magnified by a factor of ~10 (8 and 14, respectively). The two sources are, therefore, located at a distance from the caustic curve equal to 1.3% of the Einstein radius (E.R.) in CLASS B0712+472 and 4.1% of the E.R. in CLASS B1608+656. As demonstrated by Barnacka (2017), the most significant amplification of any offsets between the

Figure 5. Top: likelihood values for different trial source positions of CLASS B0712+472. In all cases, black filled triangles are along lines perpendicular to the caustics and gray circles are along lines parallel to the caustics, which correspond to the points shown in Figure 3. The black crosses are additional lines of points chosen to define the contours. Bottom: source plane reconstruction of the X-ray (black star), radio VLBI (black ellipse), and the peak of optical HST (gray ellipse) emissions in CLASS B0712+472. The size of each ellipses indicates the 1σ uncertainty. The radio VLBI and HST source locations are from Spingola & Barnacka (2020). The contours enclose the possible X-ray source position with 99%, 95%, 90%, and 68% confidence, from outer to inner, respectively. The black dashed line shows a portion of the caustic. We highlight that the elongation of the contours is caused by the almost constant magnification factor in the direction parallel to the caustic line.

Figure 6. Top: likelihood values for different trial source positions of CLASS B1608+656. In all cases, black filled triangles are along lines perpendicular to the caustics, gray circles are along lines parallel to the caustics, which correspond to the points shown in Figure 3, and the black crosses are additional lines of points chosen to define the contours. Bottom: contours of allowed X-ray source plane position. The three outer contours, 99%, 95%, and 90%, respectively, do not close parallel to the caustic, due to limited X-ray statistics and the very small change in the potential gradient in that direction. The inner two contours are at 82% and 68% confidence. The black and gray ellipses indicate the radio VLBI and the HST optical source positions, respectively. The size of the ellipses indicate the 1σ uncertainty. The black dashed line shows a portion of the caustic. We highlight that the elongation of the contours is caused by the almost constant magnification factor in the direction parallel to the caustic line.
multiband emission can be observed when the source is within 2.0% of the E.R. from the caustic. Although this is an extremely rare lensing configuration, with less than 1% probability, the magnification bias increases the probability of observing those sources by an order of magnitude (Barnacka 2017).

Previously, we applied this method to the X-ray observations of the radio-loud lensing system MG B2016+112 (Schwartz et al. 2021). From MG B2016+112, we detected only 24 photons, which we found to come from two X-ray sources, making this object a promising dual AGN candidate at \( z = 3.273 \) (Schwartz et al. 2021, but see also Spingola et al. 2019). We could locate the two X-ray sources at a precision of 100 mas, which corresponds to \( \sim 800 \) pc at the redshift of the source.

We highlight that, in all these cases, the lens mass models are precise, having uncertainties on the set of mass parameters smaller than 10% (Spingola et al. 2019; Spingola & Barnacka 2020). Such precision in the lens mass models can be achieved only with stringent constraints, which are primarily given by the positions and fluxes of the lensed images. Therefore, combining VLBI- and optical-derived models with X-ray observations is a powerful way to locate the X-ray emission at pc-scale precision at high redshifts. Including MG B2016+112 in our sample of three lensed AGN at \( z > 1 \), our method spatially locates the X-ray emission in two dimensions at a precision between 25 and 800 parsecs.

5.3. Gravitationally Lensed Offset and Binary AGN

Gravitationally lensed sources provide an ideal unbiased sample to assess the frequency of binary, dual, and offset AGN at high redshift, which is crucial to test SMBHs’ formation and evolution models. For example, finding even one lensed dual AGN implies a higher fraction of such systems with respect to what current simulations predict, making dual AGN systems potentially up to an order of magnitude more abundant than currently thought (e.g., Rosas-Guevara et al. 2019; Spingola et al. 2019). This is reinforced by the recent discovery at optical wavelengths of the lensed dual AGN PS J1721+8812 at \( z \sim 2.38 \) (Lemon et al. 2021). The two lensed AGN are at a wider separation (\( \sim 6 \) kpc) than MG B2016+112 (\( \sim 175 \) pc), as also confirmed by radio observations (Mangat et al. 2021). Another lensed binary AGN candidate is the blazar PKS 1830−211 at \( z = 2.51 \); Nair et al. (2005) suggested that the measured precession period of the jet could be also consistent with the system hosting two SMBHs.

A more systematic approach is needed to better assess the rate of binary and offset AGN at high redshifts. In particular, quadruply imaged sources are at a premium for these studies, because their higher magnification allows us to measure smaller offsets (Barnacka 2017, 2018). In fact, in the two quadruply imaged systems analyzed in this work, we could spatially locate the X-ray emission on a scale of hundreds of parsecs in projection. This is an excellent precision to discover multiple and offset AGN that are undergoing the final stages of galaxy merging. Inferring the percentage of offset and binary AGN in the early universe is a powerful probe of the duration of the inspiral timescale and its connection to the circumbinary disk (e.g., Lodato et al. 2009; Rafikov 2016; Lopez Armengol et al. 2021).

In Figure 7, we show that our method currently can find the smallest offset and binary AGN systems at \( z > 1 \). We compare our results with the largest offset, binary, and dual AGN searches, which use several observing bands. In order to find offset AGN typically one measures the distance between the emission from the SMBH (X-ray, radio, or optical point-like emission) from the peak of the optical emission of the host galaxy (Binggeli et al. 2000; Koss et al. 2012; Orosz & Frey 2013; Lena et al. 2014; Barrows et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2016; Skipper & Browne 2018; Shen et al. 2019; Reines et al. 2020). Instead, the presence of two flat-spectrum radio sources is generally a strong indication for pairs of AGN, as is the presence of double peaks in the narrow emission lines (Wang et al. 2009; Burke-Spolaor 2011; Liu et al. 2011; Fu et al. 2012; Comerford et al. 2013, 2015; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2015; Tremblay et al. 2016). All these methods can robustly find offset and binary AGN, but they are mostly limited to the local universe (\( z < 1 \)) because of the sensitivity and angular resolution that are necessary to reveal such systems. Unveiling offset and pairs of non-lensed AGN at high redshift at the smallest separations (i.e., \( < 1 \) kpc) can currently be done only by using radio VLBI observations (Burke-Spolaor 2011; Orosz & Frey 2013; Tremblay et al. 2016, Figure 7). However, radio-loud AGN are rare, as only up to 10% of the total AGN population is radio-loud (Padovani et al. 2017). Therefore, they trace only a small portion of all SMBHs.

5.4. Intrinsic X-Ray Properties of Two Lensed AGN

The X-ray emission from AGN might come from the innermost regions close to the SMBH (the accretion disk-corona; e.g., Begelman & McKee 1983; Haardt & Maraschi 1991), and if present, the jets (e.g., Chartas et al. 2000; Harris et al. 2003; Worrall 2009; Blandford et al. 2019). Assessing the origin of the X-ray emission is important in order to firmly classify a system an offset or dual AGN. For instance, a dual AGN candidate could actually consist of a core-jet AGN, and an observed offset between the optical and X-ray emission could be attributed to an unresolved extended jet that is offset from the central optical quasar-like emission. The X-ray emission in jets is complex, as it involves several mechanisms (e.g., Schwartz et al. 2000) and can be extended on several kpc (e.g., Schwartz et al. 2020). At high redshift, studies of the X-ray properties of AGN are mostly limited to the brightest (\( > 10^{44} \) erg s\(^{-1} \)) sources (e.g., Vito et al. 2019; Ighina et al. 2022; Snios et al. 2021).

There is no evidence for extended X-ray emission in the two lensed AGN analyzed in this work. Therefore, we consider the emission coming from a compact point-like source at the position of our best localization (Figures 5 and 6), where the respective magnification factors are \( \mu_{\text{X-ray}} = 22 \pm 9 \) and \( \mu_{\text{X-ray}} = 15 \pm 1 \) for CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656. We obtain an intrinsic (delensed) luminosity in the 0.5 to 7 keV rest frame of 1.9 \( \pm 0.7 \times 10^{43} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) for CLASS B0712+472 and 1.5 \( \pm 0.4 \times 10^{43} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) for CLASS B1608+656. Therefore, these two AGN have X-ray luminosities at soft energies that place them neither as the brightest nor as the faintest AGN (e.g., Gilli et al. 2009; Aird et al. 2015), with the caveat that these values come from a single-epoch observation, and therefore variability could play a role.

We found X-ray indices that are a few tenths flatter than typical (Section 2), which could be attributed to intrinsic absorption at the source redshift with a column density \( N_H = (2.5 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2} \) in CLASS B0712+472 and \( N_H < 2 \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2} \) in CLASS B1608+656. Therefore, these
two sources have low intrinsic absorption and can be considered Compton thin AGN. Similar values have been found in other the multiple imaged AGN over a wide range of redshifts, such as PG 1115+080 (z = 1.72, Chartas 2000; Chartas et al. 2007) and APM 08279+5255 (z = 3.91, Chartas et al. 2002b), MG J0414+0534 (z = 2.64, Chartas et al. 2002a), and MG B2016+112 (z = 3.273, Chartas et al. 2001; Schwartz et al. 2021).

6. Conclusions and Future Prospects

In this paper, we presented the localization of the X-ray emission detected by Chandra in two gravitationally lensed sources CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656 for the first time. Thanks to precise lens mass models and our novel Bayesian technique, we could infer the source position at milliarcsecond precision. The X-ray sources in CLASS B0712+472 and CLASS B1608+656 are located within 2 ± 4 mas and 8.2\(^{\pm}\)\(17\) mas in projection from the radio source, respectively. This is an unprecedented astrometric precision for X-ray observations of sources at redshifts 1.34 (CLASS B0712+472, 845 photons detected) and 1.394 (CLASS B1608+656, 79 photons detected). We found that the optical, radio, and X-ray emissions are coplanar within uncertainties in CLASS B0712+472. On the other hand, the optical emission is offset at 1\(\sigma\) level with respect to the X-ray and radio emission in CLASS B1608+656, which is a promising offset AGN candidate.

These results demonstrate how gravitational lensing can unveil multiwavelength offsets of tens of parsecs in a redshift range that is most critical to test galaxy evolution models—and otherwise inaccessible with current instruments. This method can, therefore, be used to find offset and binary AGN, which are the primary target sources of the future missions of LISA and PTA.

We plan to apply our novel technique to the entire Chandra lensing sample, which covers a redshift range \(z = 0.66–4.12\) (blue area).

Figure 7. Offset (kpc, logarithmic scale) as a function of redshift for the largest binary, dual, and offset AGN searches. By offset, we mean the separation between the optical peak of the emission of the host galaxy and radio/X-ray emissions (for offset AGN) or the angular separation between the two AGN (for binary AGN). The surveys are colored by observing bands (as indicated in the legend on the top right). The average offset found in the offset AGN surveys is shown with a filled circle (Binggeli et al. 2000; B00; Koss et al. 2012, K12; Orosz & Frey 2013, OF13; Lena et al. 2014, L14; Kim et al. 2016, K16; Barrows et al. 2016, B16; Skipper & Browne 2018, SB18; Shen et al. 2019, S19; Reines et al. 2020, R20), while the average separation of two AGN in binary AGN surveys is shown using a filled triangle (Wang et al. 2009, W09; Burke-Spolaor 2011, B11; Liu et al. 2011, L11; Fu et al. 2012, F12; Comerford et al. 2013, C13; Comerford et al. 2015, C15; Müller-Sánchez et al. 2015, MS15; Tremblay et al. 2016, T16). Upper and lower limits on the offsets are shown with up/down arrows. The black dashed line indicates the canonical separation between binary and dual AGN (100 pc), which is roughly the Bondi radius of an SMBH of \(5 \times 10^8 \text{M}_\odot\). We plot in black our results for the three lensing systems, CLASS B0712+472, CLASS B1608+656 (this work and Spingola & Barnacka 2020), and MG B2016+112 (Spingola et al. 2019; Schwartz et al. 2021), which cover the smallest angular separations and highest redshifts. We also plot with a black triangle the recently discovered lensed dual AGN PS J1721+8842 (Lemon et al. 2021; Mangat et al. 2021).
