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Yuan Yang,1 Meng Zhang,2 Hai-Xin Bo,3 Dong-Ying Zhang,2 Liang-Kun Ma,2 Pei-Hong Wang,4 Xiao-Hua Liu,5 Li-Na Ge,6 Wen-Xuan Lin,7 Yang Xu,3 Ya-Lan Zhang,9 Feng-Juan Li,10 Xu-Juan Xu,11 Hong-He Wu,12 Todd Jackson,13 Gabor S Ungvari,14,15 Teris Cheung16,16 Li-Rong Meng,17 Yu-Tao Xiang18,19

ABSTRACT
Objective This study examined the prevalence of exposure to secondhand smoke, its correlates and its association with quality of life (QOL) among pregnant and postnatal Chinese women.

Design This was a multicentre, cross-sectional study.

Setting Participants were consecutively recruited from eight tertiary hospitals located in eight municipalities or provinces in China.

Participants A total of 1140 women were invited to join this study and 992 (87.02%) completed all measures.

Primary and secondary outcome Measures women’s secondhand smoking behaviour (frequency and location of exposure to secondhand smoking), and their QOL measured by the WHO Quality of Life Questionnaire.

Results A total of 211 women (21.3%, 95% CI 18.7% to 23.8%) had been exposed to secondhand smoking. Exposure to secondhand smoking was most common in public areas (56.4%), and residential homes (20.5%), while workplaces had the lowest rate of exposure (13.7%). Women with physical comorbidities were more likely to report secondhand smoking exposure, while older women, women living in urban areas, those with college or higher education level, and women in their second trimester were less likely to report exposure to secondhand smoking.

Conclusion The prevalence of exposure to secondhand smoking is becoming lower among pregnant and postnatal women living in urban areas, those with college or higher education level, and women in their second trimester were less likely to report exposure to secondhand smoking.

INTRODUCTION
Both active smoking and exposure to secondhand smoking during pregnancy are well-known risk factors for compromised health and poor future health of infants. Studies have found that active smoking in pregnancy is associated with increased risk for low birth weight, small chest circumference and sudden infant death syndrome, while exposure to secondhand smoking is associated with preterm delivery, fetal growth restriction, spontaneous abortion and fetal death.12

Secondhand smoking refers to involuntary inhalation of tobacco smoke by a non-smoker for more than 15 min per week.3 It is estimated that around a third of adults and 40% children are regularly exposed to secondhand smoking globally.4 Women’s exposure to secondhand smoking during pregnancy has varied from 17% to 94%.5,6

Previous studies have found that exposure to secondhand smoking is more common than active smoking among pregnant women. For instance, a cross-sectional study in Greece showed that 36% of women reported active smoking, but 94% of women were exposed to secondhand smoking during pregnancy.8 A similar study in Taiwan found that 7.2% of pregnant women smoked during pregnancy and 40.6% of these women were exposed to secondhand smoking.1 A study in southern China found that 2.63% of pregnant women...
had a history of smoking; of these, 52.15% were also exposed to secondhand smoke during their pregnancy.9

Pregnant and postnatal women are more likely to be exposed to secondhand smoking in public areas and home settings. A study from Jordan found that substantial percentages of pregnant women were exposed to secondhand cigarette smoke (51.4%), and waterpipe smoke (48.7%) at home and in public spaces (31.4% and 21.4%, respectively). Within the home environment, husbands were the most common source of secondhand smoking exposure.10 A Chinese study found that public spaces were the most common setting of exposure to secondhand smoking (35.9% before pregnancy, and 37.2% during pregnancy, respectively), and more than 70% of women were exposed to secondhand smoking for 15–59 min/day.3 Correlates of secondhand smoking exposure during and/or after pregnancy include lower education level and poorer mental health status.7 9–11

China is the largest tobacco-producing and tobacco-consuming country worldwide.3 According to official statistics, China is home to over 300 million smokers, accounting for around 30% of the world’s smoking population, and at least 740 million non-smoking people in China are exposed to secondhand smoking.12 To create a healthier environment for the public, the Chinese government has made significant efforts to prohibit public smoking as one of the goals for the 2011–2015 period. In 2011, the Ministry of Health issued regulations banning smoking in all enclosed public locations (eg, hotels, restaurants, theatres and meeting rooms).12 At present, China’s top legislature is actively planning to release additional tobacco-control legislation nationwide.12

Smoking behaviour is determined, in part, by sociocultural factors and economic status13; for example, tobacco advertisements, legislation and sales promotion policies are different between Western countries and China; therefore, findings derived from Western contexts may not be applicable to Chinese populations. Furthermore, although some relevant studies have been conducted in China, generalisations to the larger population cannot be made due to several limitations, such as small sample sizes and single-site study designs.14 To the best of our knowledge, no studies to date have examined exposure to secondhand smoking in larger samples of pregnant/postnatal women in China based on multicentre designs.14

Quality of life (QOL) is defined as individuals’ perception of their lives in terms of culture and value systems in their living environment in relation to their goals, expectations and concerns.15 No specific studies on the association between exposure to secondhand smoking and QOL among pregnant/postnatal women have been published in China. To address these gaps, we explored the prevalence of exposure to secondhand smoking, its demographic and clinical correlates, and its association with QOL in a multisite study of pregnant and postnatal women in China. Additionally, in order to understand the potential influence of secondhand smoking on different QOL domains, we conducted a network analysis to examine the relationships between secondhand smoking exposure and individual QOL items.

METHODS

This was a multicentre, cross-sectional study conducted between February and October 2019. Participants were consecutively recruited from eight tertiary hospitals located in eight municipalities or provinces in China (ie, Beijing, Xinjiang, Liaoning, Guangdong, Qinghai, Hubei, Jiangsu and Sichuan). Study sites located in central, northern, southern, eastern and western China were included to represent a range of major geographical regions in China, reduce sampling biases related to single site research, and increase sample representativeness.

Patients who were undergoing treatment in the participating hospitals during the study period were invited to take part in this study. Eligibility criteria included: (1) age 18 years or older; (2) currently pregnant or in postnatal period (ie, 1 week after child birth) and (3) ability to understand Chinese and provide written informed consent. Patients were excluded if they had severe physical diseases (eg, cancer and heart disease). Women with other physical comorbidities (eg, pregnancy induced hypertension, gestational diabetes mellitus) and status as a current smoker were not excluded. Ethical approval was obtained from Beijing Union University Hospital (ID: S-K1273). All participants were approached and invited by research nurses who explained the study aims and procedure. After obtaining written informed consent, face-to-face interviews were conducted.

A predesigned data collection sheet was used to collect basic demographic information (ie, maternal age, education level, marital status, employment status, gestation, personal monthly income, history of miscarriage, placenta proposition and physical comorbidities). Secondhand smoking was assessed by querying (1) frequency of exposure to secondhand smoking (≥15 min/day) in the last 12 months via three options: ‘0’≤1 day/week, ‘1’=1–3 days/week and ‘2’=4–7 days/week. Those who endorsed option 1 or 2 were considered to be ‘secondhand smokers’; (2) location of secondhand smoking (ie, home, workplace or public space) were also assessed.16

The 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS), Chinese version, was used to assess severity of self-reported depressive symptoms in the past week during pregnancy or the postnatal period.17 Total EPDS scores range from 0 to 30, with higher scores indicating more severe depressive symptoms. The Chinese version of the EPDS has demonstrated excellent psychometric properties.18

The 26-item WHO Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF) was used to evaluate QOL covering physical, psychological, social and environmental domains.19 Each item was scored from 1 to 5, with higher total scores indicating higher QOL. The Chinese version of the WHOQOL-BREF has satisfactory psychometric properties.20
Sample size estimation

The sample size \(N\) was calculated with the formula:
\[
N = \frac{Z^2_{\alpha}}{\chi^2_{2}} \times \frac{P(1-P)}{d^2}
\]
where \(Z\) is the statistic of the significance test, \(\alpha\) is the significance level, \(P\) is the prevalence and \(d\) is the allowable error. In this study, \(\alpha\) was set at 0.05, \(Z\) was set at 1.96 and \(d\) was 0.1P. Based on a previous finding \(3\) that the proportion of secondhand smoking in pregnant and postnatal women was around 30% in China, to enable further subgroup analyses, we increased the expected sample size by 10%. Finally, at least 986 participants should be recruited in this study.

Patient and public involvement statement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

Data analysis

Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests were performed to examine normality in distributions of continuous variables. Differences in basic demographics and clinical variables between pregnant/postnatal women exposed to secondhand smoking versus non-exposed peers were assessed using independent samples t-tests, \(\chi^2\) tests or Mann-Whitney U tests, as appropriate. A multiple logistic regression analysis was performed to examine the independent demographic and clinical correlates of exposure to secondhand smoking. Secondhand smoking was the dependent variable, while measures on which there were significant group differences in univariate analyses were entered as independent variables. Group differences in QOL between women exposed to secondhand smoking and non-exposed women were examined using analyses of covariance controlling for other variables on which these groups differed in univariate analyses. Data analyses were performed using SPSS V.24.0. The significance level was set at 0.05 (two-tailed) for each analysis.

In this study, network analysis was performed using R \((V.4.0.3)\). \(22\) In network analysis, each symptom is represented as a ‘node’, and the link between two nodes is shown as an ‘edge’. Nodes that are stronger and/or more connected with others are located in the central area of the network model, and a thicker edge indicates a stronger correlation between two nodes. \(23\) We adopted an Extended Bayesian Information Criterion combined with the graphical least absolute shrinkage and selection operator method to explore the network structure of the association between secondhand smoking and QOL items. \(24\) ‘Bootnet’ \(25\) and ‘qgraph’ \(26\) packages in R programme were used to generate the network and test network stability. Green colour edges indicated positive correlations between two nodes, while red edges indicated negative correlations. \(23\)

A case-dropping bootstrap procedure was performed to compute the correlation stability coefficient (CS coefficient) (1000 replicates), which represents the stability of the network model. A CS coefficient (correlation=0.7) represents the maximum percentage of sample cases that can be dropped from the original sample to retain a correlation of 0.7 between the original centrality indices and the centrality indices based on case-subset network in at least 95% of the samples. \(25\) The CS coefficient is required to be above 0.25, and preferably 0.50. \(25\) Following previous network analysis research, \(26\) we calculated the CS coefficient for strength (ie, the index for identifying central symptoms in the network) and bridge strength index (ie, the index for identifying bridge symptoms). \(27\) \(28\) Because the first two items of the 26-item WHOQOL-BREF assess an individual’s global QOL and are redundant with the assessment of QOL in physical, psychological, social and environmental domains, in the subsequent network analysis, only 24 items were included (QOL3-QOL26).

RESULTS

From 1140 women who were invited to join this study, 992 (87.02%) completed all measures. Of these, 211 women (21.3%, 95% CI 18.7% to 23.8%) suffered from secondhand smoking. Secondhand smoking exposure was more common in public areas (56.4%) than the home environment (20.5%). Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants are presented in table 1.

In univariate analyses, women who were younger (p<0.001) or in their third trimester (p=0.015), and those with physical comorbidities (p=0.023) were more likely to report secondhand smoking exposure. Those living in urban areas (p<0.001), having higher education levels (p<0.001), and earning higher monthly incomes (p=0.011) were less likely to report secondhand smoking exposure. Proportionately fewer pregnant women in their second trimester reported exposure to secondhand smoking (OR=0.504, 95% CI 0.275 to 0.921, p=0.026), though there were no differences for other trimesters or the postnatal period. Finally, there were no significant differences in postnatal depressive symptoms or QOL domains between women who were exposed to secondhand smoking exposure and non-exposed peers.

A multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that women who reported physical comorbidities were more likely to report secondhand smoking exposure (OR=1.801, 95% CI 1.172 to 2.769, p=0.007), while older women (OR=0.942, 95% CI 0.903 to 0.982, p=0.005), women living in urban areas (OR=0.552, 95% CI 0.370 to 0.825, p=0.004) and in their second trimester (OR=0.504, 95% CI 0.275 to 0.921, p=0.026), and those with college or higher education levels (OR=0.657, 95% CI 0.464 to 0.929, p=0.017) were less likely to report secondhand smoking exposure (table 2).

Even though univariate analyses did not find significant associations between secondhand smoking exposure and total scores of QOL domains, network analysis revealed six significant links between secondhand smoke exposure and QOL items (figure 1). The strongest negative edge was the connection between secondhand smoke and QOL9 (‘physical environment health’, edge...
weight=−0.060), and the strongest positive edge was the connection between secondhand smoke and QOL3 (‘pain and discomfort’, edge weight=0.037) (table 3, and online supplemental table 1). CS coefficients for strength and bridge strength were both 0.751, exceeding the recommended threshold of 0.2525; the CS coefficients indicated that even after dropping 75% of the sample, the results did not change significantly compared with the original results (figure 2). Therefore, our network model is considered to be stable and robust.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first multicentre, large-scale study to examine the prevalence of exposure to secondhand smoking and its association with QOL among pregnant and postnatal women in China. Over one-fifth (21.3%) of pregnant and postnatal women in the sample experienced secondhand smoking, a rate that is noticeably lower than figures reported by previous research based on single study sites. For example, Wen et al reported that 52.1% of pregnant Chinese women had been exposed to secondhand cigarette smoking during their pregnancy while Yang et al found that 75.1% of non-smoking preg-nant women reported regular exposure to secondhand smoking through their smoking husband. Caution is warranted in interpreting generalisability of findings from previous studies based on participants recruited from only one Chinese province. In addition, the prevalence of secondhand smoking in this study was significantly lower than the figure (52.8%, 95% CI 51.2% to 54.4%) observed in a national study with 179350 adult samples in China during 2013.30

In recent years, there has been a heightened awareness of harmful effects of smoking on perinatal health and

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants (N=992)

| Variable                              | Total (N=992) | No (N=781) | Yes (N=211) | χ²/Z | df | P value |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------|-------------|------|----|---------|
| **Urban area**                        |               |            |             |      |    |         |
| N                                     | 837           | 681        | 156         |      |    | <0.001  |
| %                                     | 84.4          | 87.2       | 73.9        |      |    |         |
| **Pregnancy phase**                   |               |            |             |      |    |         |
| First trimester                       | 180           | 138        | 42          | 22.163 | 1 | <0.001  |
| Second trimester                      | 218           | 188        | 30          | 14.2 |    |         |
| Third trimester                       | 491           | 380        | 111         | 52.6 |    |         |
| Postnatal                             | 103           | 75         | 28          | 13.3 |    |         |
| **College and above**                 | 674           | 556        | 118         | 17.776 | 1 | <0.001  |
| **Employed**                          | 612           | 493        | 119         | 3.103 | 1 | 0.078   |
| **Have four and more family members** | 492           | 376        | 116         | 3.148 | 1 | 0.700   |
| Monthly income=5000 RMB               | 481           | 395        | 86          | 40.8 | 6.411 | <0.011  |
| **First delivery**                    | 571           | 452        | 119         | 56.4 |    |         |
| **Adverse pregnant experience**       | 131           | 102        | 29          | 13.7 | 0.068 | 0.795   |
| **Previous natural miscarriage**      | 180           | 136        | 44          | 20.9 | 1.323 | 0.250   |
| **Previous abortion by drugs**        | 288           | 217        | 71          | 33.6 | 2.773 | 0.096   |
| **Placental preposition**             | 61            | 46         | 15          | 7.1  | 0.428 | 0.513   |
| **Having physical comorbidities**     | 132           | 94         | 38          | 18.0 | 5.139 | 0.023   |

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants (N=992)

| Variable                              | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | T/Z | df | P value |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|----|---------|
| **Age (years)**                       | 29.384    | 4.173     | 29.684    | 4.066 | 28.272 | 4.382 | 4.403 | 990 | <0.001  |
| **BMI**                               | 24.130    | 4.229     | 24.095    | 4.258 | 24.258 | 4.124 | −0.494 | 990 | 0.621   |
| **Physical QOL**                      | 15.214    | 2.062     | 15.237    | 2.098 | 15.129 | 1.927 | 0.673 | 990 | 0.501   |
| **Psychological QOL**                 | 15.276    | 2.435     | 15.317    | 2.350 | 15.125 | 2.729 | 1.011 | 990 | 0.312   |
| **Social QOL**                        | 15.578    | 2.406     | 15.624    | 2.327 | 15.410 | 2.678 | 1.148 | 990 | 0.251   |
| **Environmental QOL**                 | 15.079    | 2.486     | 15.154    | 2.430 | 14.801 | 2.672 | 1.832 | 990 | 0.067   |
| **EPDS total score**                  | 5.414     | 4.365     | 5.270     | 4.216 | 5.947 | 4.852 | −1.371 | 990 | 0.170   |

In bold: p<0.05; a: Mann-Whitney U test.
1 RMB = 0.144 US dollar
BMI, body mass index; EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; QOL, quality of life.

In recent years, there has been a heightened awareness of harmful effects of smoking on perinatal health and
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health of unborn infants, so pregnancy is considered to be a golden opportunity for smoking cessation. In addition, comprehensive tobacco control policies and anti-nicotine educational campaigns have been well developed and implemented in China over the past few years. For instance, China has attempted to reduce smoking in public areas by initiating a spate of legal and economic measures. Since 2010, seven big cities in China (i.e., Harbin, Guangzhou, Tianjin, Shenzhen, Xi’an, Wuhan and Shanghai) have released local legislation to ban smoking in public spaces. In addition, experts have suggested raising taxes and retail prices of tobacco to help lower consumption among minors, young people and low-income earners. Anti-smoking policy development and heightened public awareness may explain the relatively lower prevalence of secondhand smoking in this study compared with past work.

Physical comorbidities were positively associated with secondhand smoking, a finding that echoes previous research linking secondhand smoking during pregnancy to various negative health outcomes, such as preterm delivery, fetal growth restriction, spontaneous abortion,

| Table 2 | Independent correlates of exposure to secondhand smoking |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Variable | Multivariate logistic regression |
|         | OR | 95% CI | P value |
| Age (years) | 0.942 | 0.903 to 0.982 | 0.005 |
| Urban | 0.552 | 0.370 to 0.825 | 0.004 |
| Pregnancy phase | – | – | – |
| First trimester | 0.990 | 0.555 to 1.765 | 0.973 |
| Second trimester | 0.504 | 0.275 to 0.921 | 0.026 |
| Third trimester | 0.937 | 0.562 to 1.561 | 0.803 |
| Postnatal | ref | – | – |
| College and above | 0.657 | 0.464 to 0.929 | 0.017 |
| Monthly income≥5000 RMB | 0.809 | 0.582 to 1.126 | 0.209 |
| Having physical comorbidities | 1.801 | 1.172 to 2.769 | 0.007 |

In bold: p<0.05.
1 RMB = 0.144 US dollar

| Table 3 | Centrality and bridge centrality index of variables |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
|          | Strength | Bridge strength |
| Secondhand smoking | 0.171 | 0.171 |
| QOL-physical health | Q3 Pain and discomfort | 0.766 | 0.022 |
| Q4 Medical treatment | 0.705 | 0.016 |
| Q10 Energy | 0.959 | 0 |
| Q15 Discomfort | 0.928 | 0.021 |
| Q16 Sleep | 0.645 | 0 |
| Q17 Ability to perform daily living activities | 1.218 | 0 |
| Q18 Capacity for work | 1.105 | 0.037 |
| QOL-psychological health | Q5 Positive feelings | 1.019 | 0 |
| Q6 Self-esteem | 1.123 | 0 |
| Q7 Thinking, learning, memory and concentration | 0.892 | 0 |
| Q11 Bodily image and appearance | 0.853 | 0 |
| Q19 Satisfy with you | 1.040 | 0 |
| Q26 Negative feelings | 0.480 | 0 |
| QOL-social relationships | Q20 Personal relationships | 0.967 | 0.008 |
| Q21 Social support | 0.957 | 0 |
| Q22 Sexual activity | 1.062 | 0 |
| QOL-environmental health | Q8 Freedom, physical safety and security | 1.068 | 0 |
| Q9 Physical environment | 0.986 | 0.060 |
| Q12 Financial resources | 1.011 | 0 |
| Q13 Opportunities for acquiring new information and skills | 1.056 | 0.007 |
| Q14 Participation in and opportunities for recreation/leisure | 0.811 | 0 |
| Q23 Home environment | 1.043 | 0 |
| Q24 Health and social care: accessibility and quality | 1.032 | 0 |
| Q25 Transport | 0.812 | 0 |

QOL, quality of life.

**Figure 1** Network of secondhand smoke exposure and quality of life (QOL). Note: In the diagram, orange nodes represent quality of life (QOL), and light blue node represents secondhand smoke exposure. Nodes with stronger correlations are closer to each other. The thickness of an edge indicates the strength of the correlation. SHS: secondhand smoke. Green lines: positive associations, red lines: negative associations.
birth defects and fetal death. Secondhand smoking can also increase risk for atherosclerosis and cardiovascular diseases, lung cancers, oral and oesophageal cancers, and bone marrow myeloid leukaemia. Potential mechanisms for physical comorbidities include decreased oxygen supply and the enhanced production of free radicals that impair cellular constituents, influence protein oxidation and even cause damage to the DNA. Due to processes of oxidation and reconstitution, secondhand (and thirdhand) smoking may have greater toxicity than tobacco smoke.

Dovetailing with results of previous studies, we found that younger, and less educated women were more likely than older women and those with higher education levels to experience secondhand smoking exposure. People who are older, and have higher education levels often have more awareness of potential harm induced by secondhand smoking behaviours. Based on this assumption, anti-nicotine educational programmes targeting younger women of reproductive age and their spouses may aid in decreasing tobacco consumption behaviour and creating smoke-free environments that are especially useful for reducing exposure to secondhand smoking among pregnant/postnatal women and their infants.

Associations of residence with women’s active smoking and secondhand smoking behaviours have been inconsistent. Some studies have found that pregnant women in rural areas are more likely to be exposed to secondhand smoking, while other research has found no such relationship. In this large-scale study, women in urban areas were less likely to report secondhand smoking during pregnancy than did rural cohorts. One plausible hypothesis that may contribute to this difference is that women and their spouses living in rural area may receive less public health advice, education and guidance about negative health outcomes of smoking behaviour during pregnancy. In addition, tobacco control policies and measures are more often poorly enforced in rural areas. Taken together, these contentions suggest geographical region and anti-smoking policy enforcement may play a pivotal role in the cessation of active smoking and secondhand smoking behaviour among pregnant and postnatal women. In contrast to previous research that has not found significant associations between pregnancy phase and secondhand smoking, in this study, women in their second trimester were less likely to experience secondhand smoking. In light of its novelty, this finding warrants additional attention in future work to evaluate its replicability.

Finally, univariate analysis showed that there were no statistically significant differences between women exposed to secondhand smoking and non-exposed peers in relation to self-reported depressive symptoms or QOL domains. Our findings conflict with results from two systematic reviews that have linked exposure to secondhand smoking during pregnancy to increased risk for depressive symptoms that potentially impede QOL. This discrepancy could be due to variations in sample size, use of different assessment tools, and socio-economic status differences between studies. Arguably, the WHOQOL-BREF is a generic measure that may not be sensitive enough to detect minor changes of QOL.
measured by the total score of each domain in pregnant and postnatal women.

Unlike traditional approaches that focus on total or mean scores on a phenomenon singularly, network analysis focuses on the inner structure of a phenomenon at an item level. Network analysis revealed that there were several potential links between secondhand smoking exposure and QOL items, including connections of secondhand smoke with poorer physical environment health, and increased pain and/or discomfort, results that are consistent with previous studies indicating current smokers and/or those currently exposed to secondhand smoke report significantly more pain (eg, headache, back pain and neck and shoulder pain), and poorer neighbourhood physical environments.

Strengths of this study included its multicenter design, large sample size, and use of standardised measures of depressive symptoms and QOL. However, several limitations should be noted. First, causal relationships between sociodemographic, clinical variables and secondhand smoking could not be established due to the non-experimental study design. Second, the impact of recall biases on findings cannot be ruled out given that data were collected using self-reported instruments. Third, unmeasured correlates of secondhand smoking behaviours, such as interpersonal relationships, violence experiences, and family support were not investigated in this study but have potential relevance to exposure.

Fourth, for logistical reasons related to our focus on a highly selective group (pregnant or postnatal women) rather than the general population, random sampling was not used; therefore, the validity of the findings should be replicated in future studies. Finally, due to different sociocultural and economic contexts, the current findings cannot be generalised to pregnant and postnatal women in other countries.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the prevalence of exposure to secondhand smoking was lower among pregnant and postnatal Chinese women in this study than rates reported in previous studies based on smaller, geographically-limited samples. Considering the detrimental impact of secondhand smoking on health of pregnant/postnatal women and their infants and QOL, it is important to establish and maintain smoke-free environments in both public and private places for this group, particularly for those who are less-educated, living in rural areas and have physical comorbidities. Anti-smoking education and tobacco control policies should extend beyond urban areas to rural areas of China. Psychosocial interventions to facilitate smoking cessation should also be considered given that beneficial health outcomes may result for mothers, infants and their families.
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