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ABSTRACT This article contains highlights and a selection of the scientific advances from the European Respiratory Society’s General Pneumology Assembly that were presented at the 2019 European Respiratory Society International Congress in Madrid, Spain. The most relevant topics from the different groups will be discussed, covering a wide range of areas including rehabilitation and chronic care, general practice and primary care and M-health and E-health. In this review, the newest research and actual data as well as award-winning abstracts and highlight sessions will be discussed.
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Introduction

The European Respiratory Society International Congress is the world’s largest scientific and educational conference in the field of respiratory medicine. For the Madrid 2019 Congress, 4315 abstracts were accepted for presentation. The General Pneumology Assembly of the European Respiratory Society is the largest of the 14 assemblies. In total 317 abstracts were presented in 20 sessions related to this assembly and the four groups of which it consists. It is impossible for any delegate to follow all scientific and clinical advances and breakthroughs presented during this conference. This review aims to provide the reader with an overview of a few of the most interesting presentations of each group, deemed noteworthy by the authors of this manuscript.

Pulmonary rehabilitation and chronic care

Session: Best abstracts in pulmonary rehabilitation and chronic care

Work presented in this session covered various important issues in pulmonary rehabilitation (PR), ranging from optimising and maintaining the effects of exercise training to behavioural changes.

Because exercise intolerance often goes hand-in-hand with hypoxaemia in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), devices allowing a higher oxygen flow are of interest. SCHNEEBERGER et al. [1] compared a novel device for supplemental oxygen therapy (SOT), the Oxymizer (Drive DeVilbiss Healthcare, Port Washington, NY, USA), to the conventional nasal cannula (CNC) in 26 IPF patients with SOT indication. 22 patients completed two endurance shuttle walk tests using, in a randomised cross-over design, both Oxymizer or CNC. The use of Oxymizer instead of CNC improved walking capacity and oxygen saturation on pulse oximetry $S_{PO_2}$ and was associated with lower heart and breathing rate. Despite these effects, a majority of patients (64%) still preferred the CNC for daily use. The authors concluded that offering SOT through Oxymizer could provide clinically relevant benefits for IPF patients.

Additional prognostic information in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients can be provided with the assessment of functional and exercise capacity, but this assessment is difficult to implement in care context. Thus, WALSH et al. [2] aimed to test the prognosis value of 4 m gait speed (4MGS) in a cohort of 371 patients with COPD attending hospital outpatient clinics. In a multivariate analysis, they compared the predictive values of 4MGS, Age, forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV$_1$) and Sex (GAFS) index to the Age Dyspnoea Obstruction (ADO) index and FEV$_1$. GAFS was predictive of 3-year mortality with a better discrimination than the other indexes, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.74. The model was also validated in an independent cohort of 472 patients attending community COPD clinics. The AUC for this cohort was 0.74. The authors concluded that gait speed can provide additional prognostic information than ADO or FEV$_1$ alone in COPD patients, in a feasible manner.

DUARTE FREITAS et al. [3] conducted a randomised-controlled trial to investigate whether a behavioural change intervention can improve asthma control through an increase of physical activity levels. Control group and intervention group followed the same usual care intervention, with an addition of 8 weeks of behavioural change intervention focused on goal-setting and feedback for the intervention group. The intervention had significant beneficial effects compared to the control group on asthma clinical control, health-related quality of life and physical activity levels. Because improvement in asthma clinical control and physical activity levels were correlated, the authors suggested that beneficial effects of the behavioural change intervention on asthma clinical control were mediated by the increase in physical activity levels.

A randomised double-blind, cross-over study conducted by BONNIEVE et al. [4] aimed to investigate whether noninvasive high- and/or low-frequency lumbar transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (HF or LF TENS) can improve endurance capacity of COPD patients, as previously shown with intrathecal administration of fentanyl. To meet these objectives, 10 COPD patients performed in a randomised order three constant workload exercises associated with three modalities of stimulation, a sham TENS, an LF TENS at 4 Hz, or a HF TENS at 100 Hz. LF and HF TENS did not induce differential effects compared to the sham condition on dyspnoea, lower limb fatigue and endurance capacity. However, muscle oxygenation tended to be higher in both TENS conditions compared to sham, reflecting, according to the authors, a modulation of the quadriceps muscle activity.

While muscle dysfunction is well described in COPD patients, evidence regarding temporal muscle mRNA responses to both aerobic exercise training (AET) and training cessation (TC) are lacking. LATIMER et al. [5] conducted a trial in 19 COPD patients and 10 healthy controls investigating mRNA response after 1, 4 and 8 weeks of AET and 4 weeks after TC. 94 mRNAs involved in the response to AET were quantified with RT-qPCR from muscle biopsies performed at each time. The authors observed a lack of increase in peak oxygen uptake ($V_{O_{2\text{peak}}}$) following exercise training in COPD patients compared to healthy controls. However, muscle mRNA levels were altered in a similar manner in COPD patients and healthy controls in
response to AET and TC. The authors concluded that skeletal muscle mRNA responsiveness to AET was not blunted and dissociated from the whole-body \( V_{O2}\text{peak} \).

A survival advantage has been described before for COPD patients who completed PR. Extracting data from the Office for National Statistics concerning Wales and England from Jan 2015 to Jan 2017, EVANS et al. [6] aimed to identify whether the case-mix severity differences or the effects of PR themselves explained this advantage. 7092 COPD patients were included. 58% of the patients completed PR and these patients differed from the non-completer group on age, dyspnoea, comorbidity occurrence, walking distance, home oxygen use, smoking status and hospital admission. The mortality rate was lower in the completer group even with adjustment for the parameters described above. This study described a higher cumulative mortality in patients who did not complete PR, (HR 1.42 (95% CI 1.20–1.67)), after adjustment for confounding factors.

While the beneficial effects of PR in COPD patients are well described, little is known about strategies allowing long-term maintenance of these benefits. BLERVAQUE et al. [7] assessed the efficiency of a pragmatic maintenance programme in COPD patients, with a follow-up of 5 years. This programme included weekly supervised exercise training sessions completed with discussion groups and health education. 144 COPD patients were included. The significant benefit provided by the PR on 6-min walk distance (6MWD) remain significant up to 48 months after the PR discharge in the COPD patients involved in the maintenance program. In the same way, the benefits on dyspnoea (MRC scale) and quality of life (VQ11 questionnaire) were maintained up to 5 years and 4 years respectively.

**General Practice and Primary care**

**Session: Diagnosis and assessment of airway diseases in primary care**

There was a wide range of primary care content at the 2019 ERS International Congress. The Primary Care Day focused on infections, vaccinations, and case studies with excellent speakers on day-to-day respiratory cases. Our highlights this year come from the primary care oral presentations on Sunday 29 September, showcasing research into the diagnosis and assessment of airway diseases.

IRINEO GONZÁLEZ et al. [8], explained that spirometry training in Mexico is optional, and rarely performed in primary care. Addressing this, an education programme was delivered to 439 primary care clinicians. Initial testing identified 33% of participants did not know how to perform spirometry, and 37% had no equipment. The education programme demonstrated a great improvement in participant knowledge. A second phase of training including certification of spirometry was delivered 10 months later; however, due to high staff turnover, only 70 of the original participants attended. Future research will consider condensing education and certification into one instalment and increasing spirometry availability.

In the Netherlands, spirometry is straightforward to achieve, but the quality compared to American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) standards [9] is unclear. To investigate, VAN DE HEIS et al. [10], assessed usefulness and quality of spirometry from 149 primary care patients. 88% of spirometry readings were considered "clinically useful" by clinicians (two pneumonologists, 15 GPs), meaning they felt able to make a diagnosis from spirometry and available clinical data. However, when compared to the ATS/ERS standards only 13% of readings met the full criteria. Furthermore, when clinician diagnoses were compared, there was little agreement between the pulmonologists (kappa=0.38 (95% CI 0.27–0.50)), or between general practitioners and pulmonologists (versus pulmonologist 1 kappa=0.39 (95% CI 0.22–0.44); versus pulmonologist 2; kappa=0.44 (95% CI 0.32–0.55)). These data suggest that meeting the ATS/ERS criteria was not necessary for clinicians to feel confident in making a diagnosis, though agreement between pulmonologists and between pulmonologists and GPs was poor.

Even if spirometry quality is sufficient, demonstrating expiratory airflow limitation in asthma can be difficult. Bronchial provocation can be useful in the diagnostic assessment of asthma [11] but is traditionally performed in hospitals [12]. Therefore, BINS et al. [13], investigated the safety and usefulness of community performed bronchial provocation in a Dutch primary care diagnostic centre. 998 patients underwent histamine bronchial provocation with no adverse events. The authors concluded bronchial provocation of adults in the community was safe and feasible, could reduce the number of referrals to secondary care and that under- and over-diagnosis of asthma occurred if bronchial provocation was not used.

Objective tests are also used to monitor asthma control. To monitor children, guidelines recommend measuring lung function routinely and, in some circumstances, Fractional exhaled nitric oxide \( F_{ENO} \) [14, 15]. Spirometry and \( F_{ENO} \) are used regularly in hospitals but less commonly in primary care. To investigate the value of spirometry and \( F_{ENO} \) for monitoring asthma in primary care children, Lo et al. [16], trained staff in 10 general practices to perform the tests in the UK. Of the 612 children recruited with “GP diagnosed asthma” or “suspected asthma”, 575 achieved spirometry and 472 completed \( F_{ENO}\). 46% of children with
available \( F_{\text{ENO}} \) and spirometry reported good control (Asthma Control Test (ACT)/Children’s Asthma Control Test (CACT) >19) but had at least one abnormality identified by objective tests. Interestingly, 49% of the 191 reporting poor control (ACT/CACT ⩽19), had normal spirometry and \( F_{\text{ENO}} <35 \text{ ppb} \). These data suggest that assessing asthma in primary care using symptoms, or objective tests in isolation will not provide a full picture of the child.

Two studies considered how to optimise management of adults with COPD. Firstly, Baron et al. [17], investigated asthmatic traits in 3532 individuals with pulmonologist-diagnosed COPD or asthma-COPD overlap (ACO). Over 60% had at least one “asthma sign”. 7% had a history of asthma, 13% had reversibility of ⩾12% and 16% had atopy. They concluded that asthma traits could help primary care clinicians consider which individuals with COPD are most likely to benefit from inhaled corticosteroids [18]. Secondly, Jordan et al. [19] presented a prediction model to identify COPD patients at risk of hospitalisation. Age, COPD Assessment Test score, percentage of predicted FEV1, respiratory admissions in the past year, BMI and diabetes were valuable predictors for an individual being admitted within 2 years. The model performed well (c-statistic 0.75 (95% CI 0.72–0.79) and will be externally validated.

The final abstract investigated the value of the STOP-BANG questionnaire (SBQ) [20] in identifying individuals with obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). Plana Pes et al. [21], recruited 565 primary care adults (30–70 years). 38% scored ⩾3 on the SBQ and underwent home polysomnography. Of these, 93% were diagnosed with OSA. The team concluded an SBQ ⩾3 could be an adequate threshold to screen for OSA in primary care.

Overall, the session highlighted the breadth of research topics and methods used to investigate clinical questions arising from primary care. Learning from researchers across different countries helped address shared goals and challenges arising from efforts to maximise the value of new and existing questionnaires, models and tests to improve the diagnosis and management of respiratory disease.

M-health/E-health

Session: M-health/e-health poster discussion session

This year the ERS experienced the birth of the special interest Group on m-health/e-health, in the General Pneumology Assembly. This new group actively invites respiratory researchers, scientists, patient organisations, industry representatives, technicians and statisticians with experience in digital technologies to become a part of this movement and to contribute with their specific knowledge. At the m-health/e-health poster discussion session, we got a marvellous first glimpse of the future of respiratory medicine and were provided with a selected sample of current initiatives.

Telemedicine

Telemedicine is a very promising field of medicine, especially for hospitals caring for rural areas, because it provides a way to overcome travelling distances. Additionally, it allows for further communication and checks that would not be convenient in a regular setting. During the session, De las Heras et al. presented the results of a randomised controlled trial in patients with IPF comparing usual care to tele-rehabilitation with a Virtual Autonomous Physiotherapist Agent (VAPA), on exercise capacity and quality of life [22]. They showed that tele-rehabilitation with VAPA is feasible for IPF and found a significant difference in the 6MWD. Vilainhio et al. presented the results of the introduction of continuous telemonitoring in home mechanical ventilation. In 8% of patients, they found a clinically relevant situation to act, which could be solved with just a phone call in 87% of cases [23]. This telemedicine troubleshooting could enhance the compliance of long-term therapy in home respiratory therapies.

Health apps

In recent years, taking into account the growing number of smartphone users, we have seen apps rapidly taking on a central role in people’s lives. They can be used to promote self-management, follow up disease outcomes, detect deterioration early and provide information on a variety of issues. At our session, Rijsenbreek-Nouwens et al. showed that quality of life and asthma control remained at a higher level in asthma patients after pulmonary rehabilitation if they used an e-health support platform compared to those who received usual care. Interestingly, this was particularly so for high engagers of the e-health system, suggesting that this is an important parameter to measure [24]. Pinheiro et al. [25] displayed a beautifully created app for children, making use of gamification to increase adherence. Children had to perform a forced expiratory manoeuvre that was registered by their smartphone’s microphone. This resulted, if performed properly, in a dragon creating a huge fireball, which blew away a structure and interestingly, if performed sub-optimally, in smaller fireballs. The use of the app meant children performed spirometry unknowingly, and optimal performance was incentivised, in an intuitive and fun way. Puig Sanchez et al. [26] presented trial results comparing a newly developed stop smoking app to
usual care. It included motivational texts upon request and mini-games, which patients could start when they felt the need for a smoke. They showed significantly increased cessation rates, which was all the more impressive considering most patients in the intervention group hardly used the app. A low uptake in app usage was a common feature across different presentations. Future research should focus on user engagement from the start, for example by incorporating end-users in the design phase of the app.

Devices
The stethoscope is one of the defining features of the doctor, featuring prominently around the neck in movies or television series that include doctor. But what if this stethoscope doesn’t actually need the doctor any more? This is the central question that GRZYWALSKI et al. [27] sought to answer by assessing multiple respiratory sounds with plug-in stethoscopes with automatic sound detection and analysis. This automated device was significantly better at detecting different respiratory sounds than doctors. Fortunately, health professionals are still required to interpret the plug-in stethoscope in the context of medical history and other tests. However, it does paint a future whereby the actual presence of the patient inside the hospital might no longer be required for all diagnostics. Another important area of innovation is the emergence of “Quantified Self” and the use of all sorts of wearable devices. MANNÉE et al. [28] presented the first model of an easy to use smart shirt, specifically designed to assess tidal volumes during different types of daily activity. This could be used at home instead of having to come to the clinic for exercise testing.

Artificial intelligence and big data
Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning techniques are of great interest for many involved in m-health. Of course, this presents a very promising new field of medicine, with hopeful reports of earlier detection and more personalised treatment of all sorts of diseases. DEMCHUK et al. [29] showed an automated decision support system providing personalised treatment advice for pneumonia, taking into account all comorbidities and interactions with other medication. This resulted in significantly fewer medication errors. However, the use of AI in medicine is certainly not a field without controversy. AI provokes interest as it is potentially superior to existing methods of analysis but also uncertainty as data analysis by neural networking is not well understood. This poses the question: “Should we follow the advice of a system if we do not understand how the system came to that advice?” Interestingly, Das et al. [30] presented a new type of pulmonary function interpretation algorithm that shed some light on which determinants played a role in AI decision making and provided a new score that gives an indication of whether AI is right or not. Hopefully, with further development, we can get more of a grip on how to use AI in the future and understand it better.

The ERS Congress 2019 was of great interest for those engaged in digital medicine. As well as presentations, the new m-health/e-health Group had its first meeting and shared ideas for next year’s conference, to present in the digital health sessions.

Concluding remarks
The authors of this article hope that this short summary of the impressive amount of lung research and advances in pulmonary care presented through the General Pneumology Assembly of the ERS creates curiosity to follow up on topics of interest to each individual reader.

It was our goal to stimulate discussion and exchange of scientific novelties and clinical developments. We also hope to have encouraged the readership to attend the ERS International Congress 2020 in Vienna.
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