Comparison of severity predictive rules for hospitalised nursing home-acquired pneumonia in Korea: a retrospective observational study
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Abstract

Background: Nursing home-acquired pneumonia (NHAP) is the leading cause of death among long-term care residents.
Aims: To compare current scoring indices (NHAP model score, Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI), CURB-65 (confusion, urea nitrogen, respiratory rate, blood pressure, age >65 years) and SOAR (systolic blood pressure, oxygenation, age, respiratory rate)) in predicting mortality and admission to the intensive care unit (ICU) in patients with NHAP.
Methods: This retrospective observational study was conducted between July 2008 and June 2011 using data from the Korean Nursing Home Networks. Two hundred and eight nursing home residents were hospitalised with pneumonia in one general hospital. The primary outcome measure was 30-day all-cause mortality. Secondary outcome measures were intensive respiratory or vasopressor support (IRVS), and severe pneumonia (ICU admission or IRVS).
Results: PSI class V showed the highest Youden index (0.45), specificity (66.7%), positive predictive value (PPV, 40.0%), negative predictive value (NPV, 91.5%), and area under the curve (AUC, 0.73) for 30-day mortality. For severe pneumonia, PSI class V showed the highest Youden index (0.40), specificity (72.8%), PPV (62.2%), NPV (77.1%), and AUC (0.70). Similarly, PSI class V showed the highest Youden index (0.35), specificity (68.3%), PPV (51.1%), NPV (80.5%), and AUC (0.69) for IRVS.
Conclusions: The PSI has superior discriminatory power in predicting all three clinical outcomes (30-day mortality, severe pneumonia, and IRVS) compared with the NHAP model score, CURB-65 and SOAR.
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Introduction

Nursing home-acquired pneumonia (NHAP) is the leading cause of death among long-term care residents and the second most common cause of transfer to acute care facilities where it accounts for 2–18% of patients hospitalised for pneumonia.¹ Nursing home residents develop pneumonia approximately 10 times more frequently than older adults in the community.² Their hospitalisation rate is reported to be nearly 30 times higher than those in the community,³ with an estimated 30-day mortality rate of 10–56% depending on severity and initial response to therapy.⁴⁻⁵

Few models have been developed specifically to measure the severity of NHAP. Naughton et al. derived a model (NHAP model score) to predict 30-day all-cause mortality in nursing home residents that included four predictors (respiratory rate >30/min, pulse >125/min, altered mental status and history of dementia).⁶ van der Steen et al. developed a severity model in Dutch residents of nursing homes with dementia and pneumonia that was validated in a US population. The assumption is that the van der Steen model...
applies to all nursing home residents and not just those with dementia, but this has not been determined.\(^7\)

Several severity indices for community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) can be used to decide the site of care and guide both general management and antibiotic treatment by relating a number of clinical and laboratory features to significant outcomes such as mortality. Comparative studies of indices for CAP in NHAP have recently been carried out.\(^6,9\) Indices included in these studies were the Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI),\(^10\) CURB-65 criteria (confusion, urea nitrogen, respiratory rate, blood pressure, age >65 years)\(^11\) and the SOAR criteria (systolic blood pressure, oxygenation, age, respiratory rate).\(^12\) Each scoring system has its strengths and drawbacks, and the nursing home-focused index (NHAP model score) was not included in the abovementioned studies. We therefore sought to compare the performance of the indices (NHAP model score, PSI, CURB-65, and SOAR) in predicting mortality, intensive care unit (ICU) admission and intensive respiratory or vasopressor support (IRVS) in patients with NHAP.

**Methods**

**Study population**

This study was conducted between July 2008 and June 2011 using data from the Korean Nursing Home Networks. This network was established in July 2008 for the purpose of constructing a healthcare system for nursing home residents and to provide consumer-centred welfare and medical services. Currently, the network is composed of 35 nursing homes with one general hospital in Incheon metropolitan city and 30 nursing homes with one university hospital in Gyeonggi province. The present study was part of the LOVE (Long-term care of Old people Via KorEan nursing home network) study, which was initiated to gauge the value of the network in the early detection, management, and prevention of geriatric diseases among nursing home residents. All patients aged >65 years who had been admitted to one general hospital and who fulfilled the definition of NHAP were included in the study. This retrospective observational study was approved by the institutional review board of Myongji Hospital to review and publish information from the patients’ records (Myongji Hospital IRB number 10-073) and the board dispensed with the need for permission.

**Data collection**

Data collected at admission included age, gender, admission from a nursing home, coexisting illness, symptoms, and clinical parameters. The clinical parameters included blood pressure, pulse rate, respiratory rate, percutaneous oxygen saturation, tympanic temperature, and mental confusion. A patient’s mental status was clinically assessed by the attending emergency physician and mental confusion was defined as a Glasgow Coma Scale score of <15 or a new onset of disorientation to time, place or person for practical purposes. Additional data collected for all patients immediately after admission included laboratory results (complete blood count, arterial blood gas, glucose, electrolytes and urea), radiographic findings reported by the radiologists, and outcome variables (requirement for ICU admission or mechanical ventilation, length of stay in hospital, and all-cause 30-day mortality). The primary outcome measure was 30-day all-cause mortality. Secondary outcome measures were IRVS (i.e. invasive or non-invasive mechanical ventilation or infusions of vasopressors for blood pressure support) and severe pneumonia (ICU admission or IRVS).

**Definition of pneumonia and severity scores**

A clinical diagnosis of pneumonia required the presence of new radiographic infiltrates and at least one of the following clinical signs.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with NHAP

| Characteristic | Mean±SD or N (%) |
|---------------|------------------|
| Age (years)   | 80.0±9.0         |
| Sex (men)     | 118 (56.7)       |
| Co-morbidities|                 |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 74 (35.6) |
| Heart failure | 13 (6.3)         |
| Hypertension  | 129 (62.0)       |
| Diabetes mellitus | 47 (22.6) |
| Dementia      | 109 (52.4)       |
| Parkinson disease | 19 (9.1)  |
| Chronic lung disease | 24 (11.5) |
| Cancer        | 11 (5.3)         |
| Previous fracture | 39 (18.8) |
| Physical examination findings | |
| Confusion     | 129 (62.0)       |
| Systolic BP <90mmHg | 34 (16.3) |
| Diastolic BP <60mmHg | 75 (36.1) |
| Pulse rate ≥120/min | 19 (9.1) |
| Respiratory rate ≥30/min | 15 (7.2) |
| Body temperature <35°C or ≥40°C | 3 (1.4) |
| O₂ saturation ≤90% | 73 (35.1) |
| Laboratory and radiographic findings | |
| WBC count <4,000 cells/mm³ | 8 (3.8) |
| Haematocrit <30% | 46 (22.1) |
| Platelet count <105 cells/mm³ | 4 (1.9) |
| Glucose level ≥250mg/dL | 15 (7.2) |
| BUN level >19mg/dL | 134 (64.4) |
| BUN level >30mg/dL | 70 (33.7) |
| Sodium level <30mmol/L | 24 (11.5) |
| Arterial pH <7.35 | 23 (11.1) |
| PaO₂ <60mmHg | 90 (43.3) |
| SaO₂ <90% | 61 (29.3) |
| PaO₂/FIO₂ <250 | 86 (41.3) |
| Multiorgan involvement | 51 (24.5) |
| Pleural effusion | 19 (9.1) |
| Clinical outcomes | |
| ICU admission | 55 (26.4) |
| Received ventilation | 34 (16.3) |
| Received vasopressor support | 63 (30.3) |
| 30-day mortality | 46 (22.1) |
| Hospital length of stay (days) | 14.5±14.0 |

BP = blood pressure, BUN = blood urea nitrogen, FIO₂ = fraction of inspired oxygen, ICU = intensive care unit, NHAP = nursing home-acquired pneumonia, PaO₂ = arterial oxygen pressure, SaO₂ = arterial oxygen saturation, SD = standard deviation, WBC = white blood cell.
Predicting nursing home-acquired pneumonia

or symptoms to be included in this study: cough, pleuritic chest pain, fever \( \geq 38^\circ \text{C} \) (100.5°F), purulent sputum, respiratory rate \( \geq 25/\text{min} \), or altered breath sounds on auscultation (rales, rhonchi, or dullness to percussion).\(^{13}\)

Over the study period, all consecutive patients admitted to the hospital through their nursing home with pneumonia were eligible. Patients with hospital-acquired pneumonia that developed after being hospitalised for more than 48 hrs or within 14 days of leaving the hospital were not included. Additionally, immunocompromised patients such as those with neutropenia after chemotherapy or human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and those who had undergone transplantations were excluded. Of 272 consecutive patients admitted with a provisional diagnosis of NHAP, 208 (76%) were included in the study; 64 patients (14%) were excluded either because they had exclusion criteria or a non-NHAP diagnosis.

Severity of pneumonia was evaluated using the prediction rule calculated according to the NHAP model score,\(^{6}\) PSI,\(^{10}\) CURB-65 criteria,\(^{11}\) and SOAR criteria.\(^{12}\)

Statistical analyses

A statistical software package (SPSS for Windows Version 15.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical comparisons. Descriptive statistics of demographic and clinical variables were presented by frequencies, percentage or mean±standard deviation (SD). \( \chi^2 \) tests were used to compare categorical variables. The Youden index (sensitivity + specificity – 1), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of each rule were compared. The overall accuracies in predicting 30-day mortality, receiving IRVS and severe pneumonia were calculated as the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC); p values <0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the 208 patients included in the study are shown in Table 1; 118 (56.7%) were male and the mean age was 80.0±9.0 years. Forty-six patients (22.1%) died within 30 days of admission, 55 (26.4%) needed ICU admission and 34 (16.3%) needed ventilation support.

| Risk groups | No. of patients (N=208) | 30-day mortality (N=46) | Severe pneumonia (N=83) | IRVS (N=69) |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| PSI         |                         |                         |                        |             |
| III         | 21 (10.1)               | 1 (4.8)                 | 6 (28.6)               | 2 (9.5)     |
| IV          | 97 (46.6)               | 9 (9.3)                 | 21 (21.6)              | 21 (21.6)   |
| V           | 90 (43.3)               | 36 (40.0)               | 56 (62.2)              | 46 (51.1)   |
| p Value     | <0.001                  | <0.001                  | <0.001                 |             |
| CURB-65     |                         |                         |                        |             |
| 1           | 22 (10.6)               | 2 (9.1)                 | 7 (31.8)               | 2 (9.1)     |
| 2           | 68 (32.7)               | 6 (8.8)                 | 15 (22.1)              | 16 (23.5)   |
| 3           | 74 (35.6)               | 21 (28.4)               | 35 (47.3)              | 28 (37.8)   |
| 4           | 38 (18.3)               | 14 (36.8)               | 20 (52.6)              | 19 (50.0)   |
| 5           | 6 (28.8)                | 3 (50.0)                | 6 (100.0)              | 4 (66.7)    |
| p Value     | <0.001                  | <0.001                  | <0.001                 |             |
| SOAR        |                         |                         |                        |             |
| 1           | 103 (49.5)              | 15 (14.6)               | 30 (29.1)              | 23 (22.3)   |
| 2           | 77 (37.0)               | 19 (24.7)               | 32 (41.6)              | 27 (35.1)   |
| 3           | 26 (12.5)               | 11 (42.3)               | 19 (73.1)              | 19 (73.1)   |
| 4           | 2 (1.0)                 | 1 (50.0)                | 2 (100.0)              | 0 (0.0)     |
| p Value     | 0.013                   | <0.001                  | <0.001                 |             |
| NHAP model score |                 |                         |                        |             |
| 0           | 43 (20.7)               | 2 (4.7)                 | 7 (16.3)               | 8 (18.6)    |
| 1           | 80 (38.5)               | 20 (25.0)               | 33 (41.3)              | 28 (35.0)   |
| 2           | 63 (30.3)               | 15 (23.8)               | 24 (38.1)              | 20 (31.7)   |
| 3           | 11 (5.3)                | 4 (36.4)                | 9 (81.8)               | 6 (54.5)    |
| 4           | 7 (3.4)                 | 5 (71.4)                | 7 (100.0)              | 4 (57.1)    |
| 5           | 4 (1.9)                 | 0 (0.0)                 | 3 (75.0)               | 3 (75.0)    |
| p Value     | 0.001                   | <0.001                  | 0.042                  |             |

Values are N (%). CURB-65 criteria=confusion, urea nitrogen, respiratory rate, blood pressure, age >65 years; IRVS=intensive respiratory or vasopressor support; NHAP=nursing home-acquired pneumonia; PSI=Pneumonia Severity Index; severe pneumonia=admission to intensive care unit or IRVS; SOAR criteria=systolic blood pressure, oxygenation, age, respiratory rate.
received mechanical ventilation. The mean length of stay in hospital was 14.5±14.0 days. Hypertension was the most common chronic disease (62.0%) in study subjects, followed by dementia (52.4%), cerebrovascular disease (35.6%), diabetes mellitus (22.6%), previous fracture (18.8%), chronic lung disease (11.5%), Parkinson’s disease (9.1%), heart failure (6.3%) and cancer (5.3%).

Physical, laboratory and radiological findings
The three most common physical findings were confusion (62.0%), decreased (≤60mmHg) diastolic blood pressure (36.1%) and decreased (≤90%) peripheral oxygen saturation (35.1%). The three most common laboratory findings were blood urea nitrogen level >19mg/dL (64.4%), arterial oxygen pressure (PaO2) <60mmHg (43.3%), and PaO2/FiO2 <250 (41.3%). Multilobar involvement was found in 51 patients (24.5%) on chest x-ray and pleural effusion was found in 19 (9.1%) – see Table 1.

Comparisons of clinical outcomes
Table 2 shows patient distribution and the corresponding clinical outcomes (30-day mortality, severe pneumonia, and need for IRVS) for each risk score of the four predictive rules. All four predictive rules showed an increasing risk of death, severe pneumonia and IRVS with increasing numbers of risk factors, which was statistically significant. The PSI and CURB-65 classified a significantly larger proportion of patients (43.3% and 28.8%, respectively) as the highest score group compared with SOAR (1.0%) and the NHAP model score (1.9%). The 30-day mortality rate of the highest score group was 40.0% in PSI, 50.0% in CURB-65, 50.0% in SOAR, and 0% in the NHAP model score, but the rate of the second highest group of the NHAP model score was 71.4%. All patients in the highest CURB-65 and SOAR score groups developed severe pneumonia (ICU admission or IRVS).

Comparison of predictive accuracy
The calculated sensitivity and specificity and corresponding AUCs of each rule in identifying 30-day mortality, severe pneumonia, and IRVS are shown in Table 3. PSI class V showed the highest Youden index (0.45), specificity (66.7%), PPV (40.0%), NPV (91.5%), and AUC (0.73) for 30-day mortality. For severe pneumonia, PSI class V showed the highest Youden index (0.40), specificity (72.8%), PPV (62.2%), NPV (77.1%), and AUC (0.70). Similarly, PSI class V showed the highest Youden index (0.35), specificity (68.3%), PPV (51.1%), NPV (80.5%), and AUC (0.69) for IRVS. The PSI had a superior discriminatory power in predicting all three clinical outcomes (30-day mortality, severe pneumonia and IRVS) compared with CURB-65, SOAR, and the NHAP model score.

Discussion
Main findings
We evaluated 208 nursing home residents hospitalised with

| Table 3. Comparison of the accuracy of assessment tools in predicting 30-day mortality, severe pneumonia, and IRVS of patients with NHAP |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 30-day mortality | PSI class V | CURB-65 ≥3 | SOAR ≥2 | NHAP model score ≥2 |
| Youden index | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.15 |
| Sensitivity (%) | 78.3 (65.9 to 90.6) | 82.6 (71.2 to 94.0) | 67.4 (53.3 to 81.5) | 52.2 (37.2 to 67.2) |
| Specificity (%) | 66.7 (59.3 to 74.0) | 50.6 (42.8 to 58.4) | 54.3 (46.6 to 62.1) | 62.3 (54.8 to 69.9) |
| PPV (%) | 40.0 (29.7 to 50.3) | 32.2 (23.6 to 40.8) | 29.5 (20.7 to 38.4) | 28.2 (18.5 to 38.0) |
| NPV (%) | 91.5 (86.4 to 96.6) | 91.1 (85.1 to 97.1) | 85.4 (78.5 to 92.4) | 82.1 (75.2 to 89.0) |
| AUC | 0.73 (0.65 to 0.81) | 0.69 (0.61 to 0.77) | 0.64 (0.54 to 0.73) | 0.64 (0.55 to 0.72) |
| Severe pneumonia | | | | |
| Youden index | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.18 |
| Sensitivity (%) | 67.5 (57.2 to 77.8) | 73.5 (63.8 to 83.2) | 63.9 (53.3 to 74.4) | 51.8 (40.8 to 62.8) |
| Specificity (%) | 72.8 (64.9 to 80.7) | 54.4 (45.5 to 63.3) | 58.4 (49.6 to 67.2) | 66.4 (58.0 to 74.8) |
| PPV (%) | 62.2 (52.0 to 72.4) | 51.7 (42.5 to 60.8) | 50.5 (40.8 to 60.2) | 50.6 (39.7 to 61.4) |
| NPV (%) | 77.1 (69.0 to 84.8) | 75.6 (66.5 to 84.6) | 70.9 (62.0 to 79.8) | 67.5 (59.1 to 75.9) |
| AUC | 0.70 (0.62 to 0.77) | 0.66 (0.58 to 0.73) | 0.65 (0.57 to 0.73) | 0.67 (0.59 to 0.74) |
| IRVS | | | | |
| Youden index | 0.35 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.10 |
| Sensitivity (%) | 66.7 (55.3 to 78.1) | 73.9 (63.3 to 84.5) | 66.7 (55.3 to 78.1) | 47.8 (35.7 to 59.9) |
| Specificity (%) | 68.3 (60.5 to 76.2) | 51.8 (43.4 to 60.2) | 57.6 (49.2 to 65.9) | 62.6 (54.4 to 70.7) |
| PPV (%) | 51.1 (40.6 to 61.6) | 43.2 (34.2 to 52.3) | 43.8 (34.2 to 53.5) | 38.8 (28.2 to 49.4) |
| NPV (%) | 80.5 (73.3 to 87.8) | 80.0 (71.6 to 88.4) | 77.7 (69.5 to 85.8) | 70.7 (62.6 to 78.9) |
| AUC | 0.69 (0.61 to 0.76) | 0.67 (0.59 to 0.74) | 0.66 (0.58 to 0.74) | 0.60 (0.52 to 0.68) |

Values in brackets are 95% confidence intervals.

AUC=area under the curve (ROC curve analysis); CURB-65 criteria=confusion, urea nitrogen, respiratory rate, blood pressure, age >65 years; IRVS=intensive respiratory or vasopressor support; NHAP=nursing home-acquired pneumonia; NPV=negative predictive value; PPV=positive predictive value; PSI=pneumonia severity index; severe pneumonia=admission to intensive care unit or IRVS; SOAR criteria=systolic blood pressure, oxygenation, age, respiratory rate; Youden index=sensitivity + specificity – 1.
pneumonia at one general hospital without entry barrier of tertiary care facilities and compared the relationships between the severities of NHAP scored by three prediction tools (PSI, CURB-65, and SOAR) with the NHAP model score. There was a useful predictability of severity of NHAP in PSI class. We defined the severe groups in each category as PSI class V, CURB-65 \( \geq 3 \), SOAR \( \geq 2 \), and NHAP model score \( \geq 2 \). Concerning 30-day mortality, PSI class V showed the highest Youden index (0.45), specificity (66.7%), PPV (40.0%), NPV (91.5%), and AUC (0.73). PSI class V showed a similar tendency for the highest predictabilities for severe pneumonia and IRVS.

**Strengths and limitations of this study**

Several limitations must be considered in the interpretation of our results. The first limitation is the retrospective design. Secondly, our study was conducted on a relatively less number of patients versus other large clinical studies but sufficient to produce clinical outcomes. Third, there is a difference in the referral system between Korea and other countries. In a study of NHAP in the USA, of 280 patients treated initially in the nursing home, 175 (62.5%) were treated with an oral agent whereas 105 (37.5%) were treated initially with a parenteral (intramuscular or intravenous) antibiotic. In our study population pneumonia was mostly treated in hospital because parenteral antibiotics and imaging studies including chest x-ray are not available in Korean nursing homes.

**Interpretation of findings in relation to previously published work**

NHAP affects the most fragile and debilitated residents in long-term care settings and is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. Prediction rules may be useful adjuncts for clinical decision-making. Hutt and Kramer recommended hospitalization if two or more of the following are present: oxygen saturation <90% on room air, systolic blood pressure <90mmHg, respiratory rate >30 breaths/min, necessity for 3L/min of oxygen, unstable chronic lung disease, heart failure, diabetes, patient unable to be aroused if previously conscious, and new or increased agitation.

A number of existing prediction rules aim to stratify CAP into different risk categories based on combinations of different prognostic variables. The application of these rules is focused mainly on CAP. Few studies have compared the prognostic ability of CAP prediction rules for severe NHAP. A study of NHAP compared the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of predicting severe pneumonia by five different predictive rules (PSI, CURB-65, M-ATS, R-ATS, España rule) and evaluated the usefulness of PSI and CURB-65 in NHAP. The investigators concluded that the PSI and CURB-65 are useful tools for identifying less severe NHAP. It was conducted at a university teaching hospital emergency department and admissions of pneumonia via outpatient departments were not enrolled in the study. El-Solh et al. evaluated 457 nursing home residents hospitalised with pneumonia at two university-affiliated tertiary care facilities and found that the need for ICU care was better identified with the SOAR model than with the other scoring rules (CURB, CURB-65, and CRB-65) in patients with NHAP.

Naughton et al. derived a model to predict 30-day mortality in patients with NHAP which demonstrated increasing mortality with increasing score. These results were consistent with those of our study. However, the CAP models tested (PSI, CURB-65, and SOAR) were marginally better than the NHAP model score in predicting the three outcomes evaluated. The model of Naughton et al. was derived from a cohort where three-quarters of patients with NHAP were not hospitalised, but most of the patients with pneumonia in our cohort were initially treated in hospital. In addition, the Naughton model was derived from pneumonia in the winter season (from November to April) but we investigated pneumonia occurring in all four seasons.

**Implications for future research, policy and practice**

The PSI has better discriminatory power in predicting all three clinical outcomes (30-day mortality, severe pneumonia, and IRVS) of hospitalised patients with NHAP in Korea compared with the NHAP model score, CURB-65, and SOAR. The PSI contains several variables which need in-hospital evaluation such as arterial pH, PO2 <60, serum sodium level, haematocrit, serum glucose level, blood urea nitrogen level, and pleural effusion on the chest x-ray. The difficulty lies in checking those variables in general nursing homes that lack a laboratory and imaging tools. It is therefore necessary to develop an easier and more accurate prediction tool for severe pneumonia that is applicable in the setting of nursing homes.

**Conclusions**

The PSI has better discriminatory power in predicting all three clinical outcomes (30-day mortality, severe pneumonia, IRVS) than the NHAP model score, CURB-65, and SOAR.
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