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Abstract—Robotics poses a challenge for software engineering as the vast numbers of different robot platforms impose different requirements on robot control architectures. The platform dependent development of robotic applications impedes reusability and portability. The lack of reusability hampers broad propagation of robotic applications.

The MontiArcAutomaton architecture and behavior modeling framework provides an integrated, platform independent structure and behavior modeling language with an extensible code generation framework. MontiArcAutomaton’s central concept is encapsulation and decomposition known from Component & Connector Architecture Description Languages. This concept is extended from the modeling language to the code generation and target runtime framework to bridge the gap of platform specific and independent implementations along well designed interfaces. This facilitates the reuse of robot applications and makes their development more efficient.

I. INTRODUCTION

Robotics poses a challenge for software engineering. There are vast numbers of robots ranging from industrial manipulators, over service robots, to autonomous cars and space explorers. Most of these robots define their own unique hardware and software architectures. The software for these robots is usually implemented by robotics domain experts using general-purpose programming languages [1]. This lack of abstraction leads to monolithic solutions for limited problems [2] which hampers reuse and prevents the development of new robotics applications [3].

Within the last decade reuse for robotics software has intensively been pursued. Most of this research focuses on applying some form of component-based based software development to robotics [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]. These platform-specific building blocks encapsulate domain knowledge, but their development and integration still require robotics experts to be programming experts.

Model-based development of software architecture and behavior of robotics applications with abstraction from the target platforms helps domain experts to focus on their tasks. The technical implementation details are handled by code generators. While existing modeling tools for robotics architectures [1], [10] focus on architecture and communication issues, we have developed the integrated architecture and behavior modeling framework MontiArcAutomaton [11], [12], [13] for robotic systems. The goals of the MontiArcAutomaton framework are:

1) target platform independent development of robotic control software,
2) problem specific modeling of control behavior,
3) support for reuse and portability of components and libraries, and
4) efficient deployment to different target platforms with support for native implementations.

We address the first two goals with the MontiArcAutomaton modeling language and the latter two with the code generation and library concepts.

II. MONTIARC AUTOMATON MODELING

The language MontiArcAutomaton inherits from the Architecture Description Language MontiArc [14] to model distributed and hierarchically decomposed robotics systems as Component & Connector architectures. Components are either atomic and their behavior is directly defined, or they are composed from other components and their behavior is derived from the composition. Communication between components is only possible via unidirectional connectors between the typed ports of components. We distinguish between the definition and the instantiation of components. MontiArc allows multiple instantiation of components and supports the definition of generic components, which can be instantiated for different types, and configurable components, which can be configured with concrete values at their instantiation. MontiArc thus imposes stable component interfaces as identified necessary [1], [5], [10] and therefore promotes a separation of concerns into system integration and component implementation.

Figure 1 illustrates these concepts on the architecture of a simple robot called BumperBot which traverses an area by driving straight until hitting an obstacle, it then drives backwards a little, rotates and drives straight forward again. The robot consists of five components, where the controller receives input from a touch sensor via the port bump and sends movement commands to two motors via the ports left and right. To determine the time driving backwards, the BumperBot additionally contains a Timer which is set by controller using the port timer. The component implementations of TouchSensor and Motor are platform specific. While the models themselves remain stable, their implementations are imported from a corresponding platform specific library.

Component behavior in MontiArcAutomaton can be modeled platform independently using the I/O² automata [15], [16] paradigm. The behavior of the atomic component

[RRW13c] Jan Oliver Ringert, Bernhard Rumpe, Andreas Wortmann
MontiArcAutomaton: Modeling Architecture and Behavior of Robotic Systems
In: Workshops and Tutorials Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA), May 6-10, 2013, Karlsruhe, Germany.
www.se-rwth.de/publications

The authors are with the Software Engineering department, RWTH Aachen University, Germany, http://www.se-rwth.de.
*J.O. Ringert is supported by the DFG GK/1298 AlgoSyn.
controller, which defines how the robot reacts to an obstacle, is modeled as an I/O\textsuperscript{\omega} automaton over the ports of the embedding component. We are currently experimenting with embedding further domain specific languages into MontiArc component definitions. As an example, the behavior of component timer is implemented using a language of rules over ports and variables of the component. The first line describes that after receiving the command SET on port cmd independent of the current value of variable \( t \), the component emits no signal on port signal (denoted by \( \perp \)) and \( t \) is set to 0.

### III. Code Generation and Platform-Specific Code

MontiArcAutomaton provides code generators for several target languages [12]. Currently supported target languages are Mona (for formal analysis), EMF Ecore (for graphical editing), Java, and Python (both for deployment). The code generators for Java and Python additionally support the robot operating system (ROS) [7] either by means of rosjava\textsuperscript{1} or natively. The framework further provides an Eclipse\textsuperscript{2} based editor for the textual and graphical development of MontiArcAutomaton models.

We have developed a model library of standard components that need target and runtime specific implementations. MontiArcAutomaton code generators support the generation of wrapper components that can be configured with a specific implementation without modifying the generated code. This mechanism allows to provide hand written implementations that persist regeneration of code from models. We use the same mechanism for all generated code, which enables us, e.g., for testing purposes, to replace the manual or generated implementations of components.

Currently, MontiArcAutomaton only supports component composition, automata, and native code implementations of components. We plan to extend the support for other modeling languages, e.g., the rules language illustrated in component timer in Fig. 1, through MontiCore's language embedding mechanisms [17]. This way engineers will be able to implement behavior using automata, native code, and their favorite DSLs while the composition of components to systems is – oblivious to their implementation – on the architecture level using MontiArc with explicitly defined component interfaces.

### IV. Conclusion

We have presented the MontiArcAutomaton framework and modeling language, which allow platform independent modeling of robot control architectures and behavior as Component & Connector architectures. The models provide stable interfaces and can be decomposed to be developed independently. MontiArcAutomaton models of the software architecture are platform independent and can be transformed.

---

\textsuperscript{1}The rosjava website: https://code.google.com/p/rosjava/.

\textsuperscript{2}The Eclipse foundation website: http://www.eclipse.org/.

---

![Fig. 1. Software architecture and behavior model of the BumperBot robot. The behavior of component controller is implemented as an I/O\textsuperscript{\omega} automaton. The behavior of component timer is implemented as a set of rules.](image-url)
to platform specific implementations by generators for different target platforms. These can easily be developed using the compositional MontiArcAutomaton code generation framework. The MontiArcAutomaton framework is based on MontiCore and thus extensible with different behavior modeling languages up to implementations in native programming languages.
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