Systemic Ablation of **Camkk2** Impairs Metastatic Colonization and Improves Insulin Sensitivity in TRAMP Mice: Evidence for Cancer Cell-Extrinsic CAMKK2 Functions in Prostate Cancer
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**Abstract:** Despite early studies linking calcium-calmodulin protein kinase kinase 2 (CAMKK2) to prostate cancer cell migration and invasion, the role of CAMKK2 in metastasis in vivo remains unclear. Moreover, while CAMKK2 is known to regulate systemic metabolism, whether CAMKK2’s effects on whole-body metabolism would impact prostate cancer progression and/or related comorbidities is not known. Here, we demonstrate that germline ablation of Camkk2 slows, but does not stop, primary prostate tumorigenesis in the Transgenic Adenocarcinoma Mouse Prostate (TRAMP) genetic mouse model. Consistent with prior epidemiological reports supporting a link between obesity and prostate cancer aggressiveness, TRAMP mice fed a high-fat diet exhibited a pronounced increase in the colonization of lung metastases. We demonstrated that this effect on the metastatic spread was dependent on CAMKK2. Notably, diet-induced lung metastases exhibited a highly aggressive neuroendocrine phenotype. Concurrently, Camkk2 deletion improved insulin sensitivity in the same mice. Histological analyses revealed that cancer cells were smaller in the TRAMP;Camkk2−/− mice compared to TRAMP;Camkk2+/+ controls. Given the differences in circulating insulin levels, a known regulator of cell growth, we hypothesized that systemic CAMKK2 could promote prostate cancer cell growth and disease progression in part through cancer cell-extrinsic mechanisms. Accordingly, host deletion of Camkk2 impaired the growth of syngeneic murine prostate tumors in vivo, confirming nonautonomous roles for CAMKK2 in prostate cancer. Cancer cell size and mTOR signaling was diminished in tumors propagated in Camkk2-null mice. Together, these data indicate that, in addition to cancer cell-intrinsic roles, CAMKK2 mediates prostate cancer progression via tumor-extrinsic mechanisms. Further, we propose that CAMKK2 inhibition may also help combat common metabolic comorbidities in men with advanced prostate cancer.
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1. Introduction

Prostate cancer is the most commonly diagnosed non-skin cancer in men in the U.S. [1]. If detected early, prostate cancer is treatable with surgery and/or radiation. However, if allowed to proceed, the disease becomes incurable. Because of its high prevalence, prostate cancer accounts for the second most cancer-related deaths in the U.S. [1].

Patients presenting with prostate cancer that cannot be surgically resected or treated with local radiation are commonly next given androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) as a systemic treatment backbone. ADT inhibits activation of the transcription factor and primary driver of prostate cancer, the androgen receptor (AR) [2]. While initially effective, the disease often relapses within 2–3 years, resulting in a form of the disease called castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC) that ironically remains largely driven by AR. Subsequent second-generation AR antagonists and other non-AR targeting therapies have been approved for the treatment of CRPC, but these treatments are not curative. Due to the resistance associated with ADT and second-generation AR-targeting drugs, it is vital to identify alternative therapeutic targets driving CRPC downstream of reactivated AR. One direct AR downstream target that promotes prostate cancer progression is the calcium-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase 2 (CAMKK2) [3–5].

CAMKK2 is a serine/threonine kinase that facilitates cancer progression primarily via the phosphorylation and activation of downstream targets such as CAMKI, CAMKIV, and AMPK [6–8] (Reviewed in detail in [9]). To date, the majority of cancer cell-intrinsic effects of CAMKK2 in prostate cancer have been attributed to AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK), which can mediate prostate cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion (Reviewed in detail in [10]). While CAMKK2 has also been described to signal through CAMKI, CAMKIV, and occasionally AKT, it is currently unknown if these alternative CAMKK2 substrates have roles in prostate cancer [9]. CAMKK2’s oncogenic roles in prostate cancer cell biology have logically led to investigations into CAMKK2’s pro-cancer roles in vivo.

Initial studies examining CAMKK2’s roles in vivo have leveraged pharmacological, molecular, and genetic approaches. Treatment with the CAMKK2 inhibitor STO-609 decreased tumor growth in a CRPC subcutaneous xenograft mouse model, demonstrating that CAMKK2 can be pharmacologically targeted [5]. Molecular knockdown or genetic knockout of CAMKK2 in human xenograft models of CRPC confirmed CAMKK2’s cancer cell-autonomous roles in vivo [11]. Previous work using a germline knockout (KO) of Camkk2 in the Pb-Cre;Ptenfl/fl genetic mouse model (GEMM) of prostate cancer demonstrated decreased incidence and severity of prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PIN) compared to Camkk2 WT mice [12]. Importantly, Camkk2 ablation blocked progression to primary adenocarcinoma. However, the Pb-Cre;Ptenfl/fl GEMM, as a relatively benign prostate cancer model, does not allow CAMKK2 to be studied in the context of metastasis or progression to highly aggressive subtypes such as neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC). Because CAMKK2 is associated with prostate cancer migration and invasion in vitro [3], we utilized the more aggressive GEMM, Transgenic Adenocarcinoma Mouse Prostate (TRAMP), which can recapitulate multiple stages of prostate cancer progression including metastasis and transition to NEPC [13,14], to explore CAMKK2’s role in advanced prostate cancer in vivo.

Beyond CAMKK2’s role in cancer, CAMKK2 regulates several other physiological conditions that could indirectly contribute to cancer progression. Inhibition of CAMKK2 enhances the immune response to infection, promotes macrophage maturation, and regresses age-related bone degeneration [15–19]. In addition, CAMKK2 inhibition protects mice from developing metabolic syndrome shown through a decrease in blood glucose and
insulin resistance as well as regression of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) [20–22]. This phenomenon was of interest to us because a major comorbidity for prostate cancer patients is an increased risk of metabolic syndrome [23,24]. Thus, in addition to testing CAMKK2’s role in an aggressive prostate cancer GEMM, we sought to simultaneously assess its impact on systemic metabolism to determine if targeting CAMKK2 could be dually beneficial in both treating the tumor and its linked comorbidities (Overview of approach—Supplemental Figure S1).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animal Experiments

All animal experiments were approved by and conducted under the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (Houston, TX, USA) (MDACC) and the University of Houston (Houston, TX, USA) (UH) according to NIH and institutional guidelines (MDACC IACUC #00001738-RN01 and UH IACUC #14-039). For all animal studies, 8–12 mice/cohort were used as indicated in the figure legends.

2.2. GEMM Models

Original Camkk2−/− mice have previously been described [22]. C57BL/6 TRAMP mice (Strain #003135) were obtained from Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). To create a germline Camkk2 knockout line for syngeneic experiments and one that could be more directly comparable to existing Camkk2 floxed mice for future studies (note, Camkk2−/− mice crossed to TRAMP mice in this study were original Camkk2−/− mice while Camkk2−/− mice used in syngeneic experiments were created from Camkk2 floxed mice [25], we crossed female Sox2-Cre mice (mixed background) to male Camkk2f/f mice (C57BL/6J) to create Camkk2-null allele-carrying mice. This approach caused the expression of Cre in early oogenesis prior to any differentiation, allowing floxed alleles to be deleted after the fusion of the pronuclei in the fertilized egg in what would become embryonic germ cells [26]. Germline knockouts/null alleles were then detected with genotyping and selected for further breeding. Founder mice were backcrossed onto a pure C57BL6/J genetic background for >7 generations. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis was carried out by the MDACC Laboratory Animal Genetic Services Core to characterize the background strain purity. Newly derived Camkk2−/− mice were confirmed to be 99–99.5% C57BL/6, whereas the original Camkk2−/− mice were 80.5–85% C57BL/6. Immunoblot analysis further confirmed the loss of CAMKK2 protein in the newly derived mice (Supplemental Figure S2).

2.3. Immunoblot Analysis

Pieces of mouse brains (specifically cerebellum) were resected and homogenized using a handheld homogenizer in 1 mL ice-cold RIPA buffer and agitated at 4 °C for 1 h. Protein concentrations were measured using Bradford’s reagent (Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate, Cat #5000006; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Immunoblotting was conducted as before [27].

2.4. High-Fat Diet Studies

High-fat diet (HFD) was obtained from Bio-serv (60% kcals from fat, cat#: S3282). TRAMP;Camkk2+/+ and TRAMP;Camkk2−/− mice (described in GEMM Models) were fed control chow until 6 weeks of age and then stayed on control chow or switched to HFD for 15 or 30 weeks. At endpoints, prostates/tumors, kidneys, and livers were removed and weighed for all mice.

2.5. Plasma

Blood was collected from the mouse heart using a cardiac puncture approach and transferred to heparin-coated tubes. Blood was then centrifuged for 10 min at 2000 × g at 4 °C. The supernatant (plasma) was transferred to new, pre-chilled tubes and either used
immediately for assays or stored at −20 °C (short-term) or −80 °C (long-term, i.e., months-years). Plasma was analyzed for circulating glucose, insulin, triglycerides, cholesterol, free fatty acids, and leptin by the Baylor College of Medicine (BCM) Mouse Metabolism and Phenotyping Core. Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance* (HOMA-IR*) was calculated using the formula: (glucose × insulin)/22.5. In this study, mice were not fasted prior to the collection of the plasma.

2.6. Cell Culture

RM-9 cells were gifted from the laboratory of Timothy Thompson at MDACC and grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 200 mM L-glutamine, and 1 M HEPES. TRAMP-C2 cells were attained from ATCC and grown in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS, 5% Nu-Serum (Cat# 45001-090; VWR International, Radnor, PA, USA), insulin (cell-culture grade) (Cat# 47743-634; VWR International), and 0.01 nM dihydrotestosterone (DHT; Steraloids Inc., Newport, PI, USA).

2.7. Proliferation Assays

Proliferation assays were carried out as previously described [28]. Briefly, 5000 RM-9 or TRAMP-C2 cells were plated in charcoal-stripped serum for 72 h and then treated with vehicle or indicated androgens for 7 days and relative cell numbers were quantified using a Hoechst-based DNA dye.

2.8. Syngeneic Models

50,000 RM-9 cells in 200 µL DPBS were injected subcutaneously into flanks of 8-week-old, Camkk2+/+ and Camkk2−/− mice. 1 × 10⁶ TRAMP-C2 cells in 200 µL DMEM: Matrigel® (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA; Cat #356231) 1:1 vol/vol were injected subcutaneously into flanks of 8-week-old, Camkk2+/+ and Camkk2−/− mice. Tumor volume was calculated by the formula: (length × width²)/2. Mice were harvested when tumors reached 1.5 cm³.

2.9. Histology and Immunostaining

Processing, embedding, hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), and immunostaining were conducted by the MD Anderson Cancer Center DVMS Veterinary Pathology Services (Houston, TX, USA). Additional processing, embedding, H&E, and immunostaining were conducted by the MD Anderson Cancer Center Science Park Research Histology, Pathology and Imaging Core (RHPI) (Smithville, TX, USA). Additional immunostaining was conducted by HistoWiz (Brooklyn, NY, USA). Image analysis was conducted using QuPath v0.3.0 (University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK).

List of antibodies used for this study.

| Antibody          | Company              | Cat#     | Use |
|------------------|----------------------|----------|-----|
| p-HH3 (Ser10)    | Millipore Sigma      | 06-570   | IHC |
|                  | Burlington, MA, USA  |          |     |
| Ki67             | Bethyl Laboratories  | IHC-00375-1 | IHC |
| Cleaved caspase-3| Cell Signaling       | 9661     | IHC |
|                  | Technology           |          |     |
|                  | Danvers, MA, USA     |          |     |
| p-S6 (Ser235/236)| Cell Signaling       | 2211     | IHC |
|                  | Technology           |          |     |

2.10. Evaluation of Metastasis

For all mice harvested at 30 weeks, 10 slides were cut from lungs at 4 µm and every third slide was stained for H&E to a total of four (slides 1, 4, 7, and 10) H&E-stained slides per lung. H&E-stained slides were then analyzed for metastasis. Metastatic lesions
were assessed for each slide as follows: micrometastasis (area of the metastatic lesion is <10,000 µm²) and large metastasis (area of the metastatic lesion is >10,000 µm²). The average metastatic lesions per 4 slides was reported. % coverage of metastasis/lung was analyzed by QuPath and reported.

2.11. Average Cell Area and Volume

Average cell areas for entire tumors were obtained via QuPath v0.3.0 cell detection analysis. Predicted cell volume was extrapolated from cell areas using the equation Volume = ($\sqrt{\text{area}}$)³ ÷ 2 to approximate the commonly used formula Volume = (length × width²) ÷ 2.

2.12. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel 2016 (Redmond, WA, USA) and GraphPad Prism 9 (San Diego, CA, USA). One-way or two-way ANOVAs and two-tailed Student’s t-tests were used to determine the significance among groups as indicated in the figures or figure legends. Grouped data are presented as mean ± SEM unless otherwise noted. p values are indicated in figures or figure legends.

3. Results

3.1. Camkk2 Deletion Initially Protects Mice from Localized Disease Progression at 15 Weeks, but Relapses at 30 Weeks in a Spontaneous Prostate Cancer Mouse Model

To understand what role CAMKK2 played in the progression of aggressive prostate cancer, we crossed TRAMP mice with Camkk2−/− mice to create TRAMP;Camkk2−/− (KO) and matched TRAMP;Camkk2+/- (WT) mice. Cohorts of mice were sacrificed at 15 and 30 weeks to study the role of CAMKK2 in prostate cancer initiation and progression, respectively (Figure 1A). Although average prostate (plus potential localized cancer) weights were not significantly different between cohorts (Figure 1B), TRAMP;Camkk2−/− (KO) mice developed less high-grade PIN at 15 weeks compared to the TRAMP;Camkk2+/- (WT) cohort (Figure 1C,D). At 15 weeks, KO mice exhibited lower Ki67 staining (Figure 1E), but negligible changes in cleaved caspase 3 (CC3) staining (Supplemental Figure S3A), suggesting decreased proliferation in KO mouse prostates relative to WT controls. Interestingly, at 30 weeks, the KO cohort experienced a relapse (Figure 1C), with no significant difference in Ki67 staining (Figure 1E) or CC3 staining (Supplemental Figure S3B) compared to the WT cohort, suggesting a mechanism of resistance was activated in response to the loss of Camkk2 by 30 weeks.

3.2. Camkk2 Deletion Does Not Change Cancer Progression Rates in Castrated TRAMP Mice but Does Alter Tumor Biology

Next, we castrated mice to determine if CAMKK2 status would impact cancer progression in TRAMP mice under conditions that mimic ADT (Figure 2A). As expected, most prostates atrophied in both WT and KO cohorts of castrated TRAMP mice (Figure 2B), mirroring human prostate response to ADT. Equivalent amounts of prostates/tumors (20%; n = 2/group) developed into poorly differentiated/neuroendocrine (PD/NE)-like cancers in both cohorts and prostate/tumor weights as well as Ki67 staining were not significantly different between cohorts (Figure 2B–D; Supplemental Figures S4 and S5A) at 30 weeks, indicating that Camkk2 inhibition did not increase (or decrease) ADT-mediated long-term protection against cancer progression in the context of localized PD/NE tumors. Interestingly, tumors in the KO cohort (2/10 mice developed tumors in this cohort) exhibited much larger regions of necrosis compared to the tumors that developed in the WT cohort (2/10 mice developed tumors in this cohort), suggesting differences in tumor biology (Figure 2E,F). Additionally, tumor weight trended lower and CC3 staining trended higher in Camkk2 KO mice (Figure 2D; Supplemental Figure S5B), suggesting that KO CRPC tumors grew slower and were more necrotic.
Figure 1. Camkk2 knock out impairs PIN development at 15 weeks, but disease relapse occurs by 30 weeks in C57BL/6 TRAMP mice. (A) Schema for mouse experiment. (B) Prostate (plus localized cancer if present) weight normalized to kidney weight for 15 weeks (left) (WT n = 10, KO n = 10) and 30 weeks (right) (WT n = 10, KO n = 10). (C) % low-grade PIN (LGPIN), high-grade PIN (HGPIN), and cancer incidence among cohorts. (D) Representative images of prostates and tumors. (E) Ki67 staining of tumors at 15 weeks (left) and 30 weeks (right). *** p < 0.001; ns = not significant by t-test.

Figure 2. Camkk2 knock out increases tumor necrosis in castrated TRAMP mice. (A) Schema for mouse experiment. (B) % atrophied prostate and poorly differentiated or neuroendocrine (PD/NE) cancer (WT n = 10, KO n = 10). (C) prostate (WT n = 8, KO n = 7) and (D) tumor (WT n = 2, KO n = 2) weight normalized to kidney weight. (E) Representative images of prostates and tumors. (F) % coverage of viable vs necrotic cells in tumors (WT n = 2, KO n = 2) (Fisher’s exact test: p < 0.0001). ns = not significant by t test.
3.3. Systemic Camkk2 Deletion Protects against Metabolic Disorder in a High-Fat Diet-Induced Model of Obesity and Prostate Cancer

Previous findings reported that inhibition of CAMKK2 could counteract aspects of metabolic syndrome [22], as well as protect against NAFLD [20]. However, it is unknown whether CAMKK2 inhibition in prostate cancer models would also offset symptoms of metabolic syndrome, a common comorbidity for men with advancing prostate cancer [24]. To test this, we randomized TRAMP mice at 6 weeks to either a high-fat diet (HFD) or normal diet (ND) and collected plasma and organs at 15 and 30 weeks for further analyses (Figure 3A). After 15 weeks (9 weeks on HFD), TRAMP;Camkk2+/+ mice displayed fatty livers as assessed by H&E and gross anatomy, which were reduced in the TRAMP;Camkk2−/− mice (Figure 3B; Supplementary File S2). However, the protection afforded by Camkk2 loss against fatty liver in KO mice was only obvious at 15 weeks and was negligible by 30 weeks. Normalized (to kidney) liver weights were not significantly altered by Camkk2 status (Supplemental Figure S6). Plasma analyses revealed that the TRAMP;Camkk2−/− mice had a significant decrease in circulating insulin levels that resulted in reduced HOMA-IR* scores of the non-fasted mice despite maintained blood glucose levels (Figure 3C–E), suggesting improved insulin sensitivity in Camkk2 KO TRAMP mice. Total cholesterol levels were increased when mice were switched to a HFD but CAMKK2 loss in our model did not significantly change cholesterol levels (Figure 3F). As expected, HFD increased circulating leptin levels in WT TRAMP mice (Figure 3G). Camkk2 knockout reduced leptin levels in HFD-fed TRAMP mice at 15 but not 30 weeks (Figure 3G), while free fatty acids (FFA) and triglycerides (TG) were reduced at 15 weeks in ND-fed TRAMP mice (Figure 3H,I). Collectively, these metabolic data indicate that CAMKK2 inhibition impacts symptoms of metabolic syndrome including sustained improvements in insulin sensitivity in preclinical models of prostate cancer.

3.4. Camkk2 Deletion Impairs the Metastatic Colonization of NEPC Tumors

Using the TRAMP model allowed us to investigate the impact of a systemic Camkk2 knockout, not just on spontaneously developed localized prostate cancer, but also on the subsequent metastasis to distant organs such as the lung. We observed that Camkk2 knockout, regardless of diet, reduced localized prostate cancer at 15 weeks but not at 30 weeks (Figure 4A,B). Camkk2 status did not influence prostate/primary tumor weight in obese TRAMP mice (Supplemental Figure S7). Notably, a HFD, while having minimal impact on the overall incidence of metastasis, promoted metastatic colonization relative to mice maintained on a normal diet (Figure 4C–G, Supplementary File S3). However, this HFD-mediated metastatic colonization was dependent on CAMKK2 (Figure 4F,G; Supplementary File S3). In fact, metastatic lesions were clearly visible to the naked eye in the majority of the HFD-fed TRAMP;Camkk2−/+ , but only one of the TRAMP;Camkk2−/− histology slides. Although not significant, there was a trend toward a decreased overall incidence of metastasis in both ND- and HFD-fed TRAMP mice when Camkk2 was ablated (Figure 4E). Importantly, the metastases observed in this model all exhibited the classic NEPC morphology of reduced cytoplasm, nuclear molding, and granular chromatin (ex. Supplemental Figure S4). Taken together, CAMKK2 inhibition suppressed the metastatic colonization of NEPC tumors in a HFD-driven GEMM of aggressive prostate cancer.
Figure 3. Camkk2 knockout ameliorates high-fat diet (HFD)-induced insulin resistance. (A) Schema for mouse experiment in which TRAMP;Camkk2^{+/+} (WT) and TRAMP;Camkk2^{-/-} (KO) mice were fed either a normal (ND) or high fat diet (HFD) (15 weeks ND: WT n = 10, KO n = 10; 15 weeks HFD: WT n = 10, KO n = 8; 30 weeks ND: WT n = 10, KO n = 9; 30 weeks HFD: WT n = 10, KO n = 9). (B) Gross morphology and H&E comparison of livers from ND- and HFD-fed TRAMP mice. Raw images and weights of all livers are available in Supplementary File S2. (C–I) Plasma analyses of non-fasted mice. (C) Calculated HOMA-IR* scores, and measured levels of (D) insulin, (E) glucose, (F) total cholesterol (TC), (G) leptin, (H) triglycerides (TG), and (I) free fatty acids (FFA). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001; **** p < 0.0001; Two-way ANOVAs.
maintenance on a normal diet (Figure 4C–G, Supplementary File S3). However, this HFD-mediated metastatic colonization was dependent on CAMKK2 (Figure 4F,G; Supplementary File S3). In fact, metastatic lesions were clearly visible to the naked eye in the majority of the HFD-fed TRAMP;Camkk2+/+, but only one of the TRAMP;Camkk2−/− histology slides. Although not significant, there was a trend toward a decreased overall incidence of metastasis in both ND- and HFD-fed TRAMP mice when Camkk2 was ablated (Figure 4E).

Importantly, the metastases observed in this model all exhibited the classic NEPC morphology of reduced cytoplasm, nuclear molding, and granular chromatin (ex. Supplementary Figure S4). Taken together, CAMKK2 inhibition suppressed the metastatic colonization of NEPC tumors in a HFD-driven GEMM of aggressive prostate cancer.

Figure 4. Camkk2 knockout decreases high-fat diet-induced metastatic colonization of NEPC in TRAMP mice. (A) Schema for mouse experiment in which C57BL/6 TRAMP;Camkk2+/+ and TRAMP;Camkk2−/− mice were fed either a high-fat diet (HFD) or normal diet (ND) (HFD WT n = 8; HFD KO n = 8; ND WT n = 9; ND KO n = 10). (B) % low-grade PIN (LGPIN), high-grade PIN (HGPIN), and cancer incidence among cohorts. Lung metastasis was quantified by counting incidents of (C) micrometastases (area of metastasis is <10,000 µm²), (D) large metastases (area of metastasis is > 10,000 µm²), or (E) any metastatic lesions. In addition, (F) image analysis was performed on lung H&E slides to quantify the % metastatic area relative to total lung (total lung = 100%). (G) Representative H&E stains of lung metastases (red arrows) were found. For full data set and analyses, see Supplementary File S3. * p < 0.05; Two-way ANOVA.

3.5. Host Camkk2 Ablation Decreases Cancer Cell Size and mTOR Signaling in the TRAMP GEMM Model of Prostate Cancer

When analyzing cancer cell morphology between cohorts in the TRAMP mice, we observed a subtle, yet highly reproducible (p < 0.0001; n > 1 x 10⁶ cells/group) decrease in cell size (area and predicted volume) in both ND and HFD KO cohorts compared to WT mice (Figure 5A,B: mean cell area and volume; Supplemental S8: mode cell area and volume). Given the lower circulating insulin levels in Camkk2 KO mice (Figure 3D), we
hypothesized that this change in cell size could be due to decreased mTOR activity, a downstream effector of insulin signaling and major regulator of cell size [29–31]. To test this, we stained tumors for p-S6, a marker of mTOR activation. As expected, increased p-S6 staining was observed in both primary tumors and metastatic lesions in HFD-fed mice relative to control-fed mice (Figure 5C). Interestingly, p-S6 levels were significantly decreased only in the metastatic lesions from HFD-fed TRAMP mice lacking Camkk2 relative to WT (Figure 5C), mirroring the effects we observed on metastatic colonization (Figure 4F–G). While there was a trend toward decreased p-S6 in primary tumors, the change in p-S6 did not reach significance.

Figure 5. Host Camkk2 ablation decreased cancer cell size and mTOR signaling in TRAMP mice. (A) Individual cell areas and predicted cell volumes for primary tumors derived from 30-week old TRAMP;Camkk2+/+ and TRAMP;Camkk2−/− mice described in Figure 4A. (B) Individual cell areas and predicted cell volumes for lung metastases derived from the same TRAMP;Camkk2+/+ and TRAMP;Camkk2−/− mice. (C) Representative images (left) and quantification (right) of p-S6 IHC stains (n = 5/group) from tumors in (A) and lung metastases in (B). ns = not significant; * p < 0.05, **** p < 0.0001. For each morphometric analysis, n > 1 × 10^6 cells/group.

3.6. Host Camkk2 Ablation Decreases Tumor Growth and Cancer Cell Size in Syngeneic Mouse Models of Prostate Cancer: Evidence of Cancer Cell-Extrinsic Roles for CAMKK2 in Prostate Cancer

To separate CAMKK2’s tumor extrinsic functions from its intrinsic functions in cancer, we subcutaneously injected cells from two syngeneic, Camkk2-intact/WT mouse prostate cancer lines (RM-9 and TRAMP-C2) into litter-matched Camkk2+/+ (WT) or Camkk2−/− (KO) host mice (Figure 6A). While TRAMP-C2 is hormone-sensitive, RM-9 exhibits de novo
resistance to androgen deprivation in vitro and in vivo (Supplemental Figure S9). Both syngeneic tumors grew more slowly in the KO mice indicating that cancer cell-extrinsic CAMKK2 promotes prostate cancer progression (Figure 6B,C, and Supplemental Figure S10). As observed in the TRAMP mice, both syngeneic tumors exhibited smaller average cell sizes when propagated in Camkk2 KO host mice compared to WT mice (Figure 6D,E; Supplemental Figure S11). Also consistent with what we observed in TRAMP metastatic lesions, tumors grown in mice lacking Camkk2 exhibited decreased mTOR activity as assessed by p-S6 IHC (Figure 6D,E). Together, our data suggest that, in addition to the previously described cancer cell-intrinsic role of CAMKK2 in prostate cancer [3–5,11,32], CAMKK2 also promotes prostate cancer progression via tumor extrinsic mechanisms. Specifically, we propose that CAMKK2 enables metabolic syndrome, which causes increased levels of circulating insulin or some insulin-like molecule that can promote oncogetic mTOR signaling in distant prostate cancer cells (Figure 7).

**Figure 6.** Host Camkk2 ablation decreased tumor growth, cancer cell size, and mTOR signaling in syngeneic mouse models of prostate cancer. (A) Schema for syngeneic mouse experiment. C57BL6 RM-9 (B) and TRAMP-C2 (C) murine prostate cancer cells were subcutaneously injected into syngeneic Camkk2+/− and Camkk2−/− host mice and tumor growth was monitored over time (RM-9/Camkk2 WT n = 12; RM-9/Camkk2 KO n = 9; TRAMP-C2/Camkk2 WT n = 10; TRAMP-C2/Camkk2 KO n = 11). (D) RM-9 and (E) TRAMP-C2 average cell areas and average cell volumes were quantified from harvested tumors (left). In addition, % positive staining of p-S6 in syngeneic tumors (WT n = 3, KO n = 3) was quantified (right). ns = not significant; * p < 0.05, *** p < 0.001, **** p < 0.0001. For each morphometric analysis, n > 1 x 10^6 cells/group.
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one consequence of this is lowered circulating insulin (or some insulin-like molecule), which slows early
primary tumor growth and later metastatic colonization through decreasing cancer cell-intrinsic,
oncogenic mTOR signaling.

4. Discussion

Although the initial report of CAMKK2’s functional role in prostate cancer noted
effects on prostate cancer cell migration and invasion [3], to our knowledge, CAMKK2’s role
in prostate cancer metastasis in vivo had not been described until this study. Interestingly,
we also discovered an oncogenic role for CAMKK2 in driving the progression of NEPC, an
extremely aggressive subtype of the disease [33]. This was surprising given that CAMKK2
is a direct target of AR in both hormone-sensitive and CRPC states [3,5,34]. Because
of this direct regulation by AR, CAMKK2’s functions in prostate cancer were largely
assumed to be limited to classic, AR+ adenocarcinomas. However, prior reports suggesting
roles for CAMKK2 in an AR- cell line in culture [35] and here in vivo indicate roles for
CAMKK2 even in AR-indifferent prostate cancers. Given the lack of effective treatments
for this highly aggressive cancer subtype, it suggests that the use of CAMKK2 inhibitors,
which are currently in development [27,36–40], warrants further investigation for the
treatment of NEPC.

While CAMKK2 is an interesting therapeutic target due to its role in prostate cancer
 cell biology, prior reports indicate that CAMKK2 inhibitors may have additional benefits for
prostate cancer patients [23]. One of the most common comorbidities for men undergoing
ADT is metabolic syndrome [24]. This side effect of AR-targeting treatment may contribute
in part to the limited improvements in overall survival observed in patients since 2012
despite the development and FDA approval of several new prostate cancer therapies [1].
Notably, we observed simultaneous anti-cancer effects with improvements in liver pathology
and insulin sensitivity in our HFD/obesity-driven TRAMP model following Camkk2
ablation (Figure 3). These data indicate that systemic CAMKK2 inhibitors may provide dual
benefits for men with advanced prostate cancer, inhibition of both the cancer and linked
comorbidities. Consistent with the concept that selective CAMKK2 inhibitors could be
well tolerated in patients, mice harboring germline Camkk2 deletions are viable, fertile, and
have long lifespans [25]. Clearly, the observed benefits of CAMKK2 inhibition on systemic

Figure 7. Working model for CAMKK2’s proposed tumor-extrinsic role in prostate cancer. We
propose that Camkk2 KO in the liver and/or pancreas protects against metabolic syndrome. One
consequence of this is lowered circulating insulin (or some insulin-like molecule), which slows early
primary tumor growth and later metastatic colonization through decreasing cancer cell-intrinsic,
oncogenic mTOR signaling.
metabolism will need to be formally tested in diverse models of ADT-driven metabolic syndrome to provide a more comprehensive evaluation of anti-CAMKK2-mediated efficacy.

To date, CAMKK2’s oncogenic effects in prostate cancer have solely been attributed to its known cancer cell-autonomous functions [3–5,11,32,35]. Given the multiple effects of Camkk2 ablation on cancer and macrometabolism, perhaps not surprisingly, here we observed for the first time an additional cancer cell-extrinsic role for CAMKK2 in prostate cancer progression (Figure 6). It is our working hypothesis that CAMKK2 activity in peripheral metabolic organs such as the liver and pancreas, as previously reported [21,22], facilitates higher circulating levels of insulin or some insulin-like molecules (ex. IGF-1), known mitogens, to restore or maintain metabolic homeostasis (Figure 7). A byproduct of the elevated insulin is increased oncogenic mTOR signaling at distant sites. These data are consistent with a growing body of literature that suggests that increases in circulating levels of insulin or insulin-like molecules may be more directly promoting the progression of multiple cancer types more so than other conditions associated with obesity/high body mass index (BMI) [41,42]. To that end, prior studies have demonstrated that insulin and IGF-1 can directly stimulate the proliferation of a subset of cancer cells including TRAMP-C3 prostate cancer cells [43,44]. Arguing against a specific role for IGF-1 is a prior report that liver-specific deletion of Igf1 in TRAMP mice did not impact tumor progression [45]. Likewise, we also observed minimal effects of Camkk2 ablation on metastasis in lean mice. Whether liver-specific deletion of Igf1 in the context of obesity would alter disease outcome is unknown. While our data suggest that CAMKK2 functions in tissues or organs that control systemic metabolism, we do not yet know the exact tissue(s) through which CAMKK2 is functioning. Based on prior reports studying CAMKK2 in the liver and pancreas [21,22], we speculate that CAMKK2 activity in one or both organs may play a significant role in prostate cancer progression.

Previously, a different cancer cell-extrinsic role was reported in breast cancer involving the regulation of the anti-tumor immune response through CAMKK2’s functions in macrophages [46], and more recently in a preclinical model of lymphoma, myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) [47]. While our initial histological analysis did not note any overt changes in tumor immune cell infiltration, more in-depth profiling is required to determine if an immunosuppressive switch is occurring within the prostate cancer tumor microenvironment. Moreover, established links between obesity and inflammation indicate that it will be important to determine: (1) if there is crosstalk between these two areas, (2) what role CAMKK2 may play in their regulation, and (3) the ultimate impact on cancer.

Systemic Camkk2 ablation slowed disease progression in the TRAMP GEMM of prostate cancer (Figure 1) similar to what was observed in the Pb-Cre4;Pten<sup>f/f</sup> GEMM of prostate cancer [12]. Unlike what was observed in Pb-Cre4;Pten<sup>f/f</sup> mice, at 30 weeks TRAMP;Camkk2<sup>−/−</sup> mice exhibited relapsed local prostate cancer progression. The exact cause of this difference is unclear, but we speculate that it is due in part to TRAMP mice being an inherently more aggressive model of prostate cancer compared to Pb-Cre4;Pten<sup>f/f</sup> [48]. The disease relapse observed in the TRAMP mice indicates some type of acquired resistance to CAMKK2 inhibition that could be important when designing future combination therapies should CAMKK2 inhibitors make it to the clinic. The mechanism of resistance may coincide with the onset of NEPC lesions, which are known to occur in TRAMP (albeit less frequently on the C57BL/6 genetic background used in this study compared to the more commonly used C57BL/6;FVB mixed background), but not Pb-Cre4;Pten<sup>f/f</sup> mice, which maintain an adenocarcinoma phenotype [48]. While pathogenesis in Pb-Cre4;Pten<sup>f/f</sup> mice is driven by the loss of a single tumor suppressor (PTEN), the TRAMP model is driven by the inactivation of multiple tumor suppressors (RB1, p53, and to a lesser extent PP2A). Although the loss of function of all these tumor suppressors is common in advanced prostate cancer [49], deletion or mutation of any two of the combination of PTEN, RB1, TP53 predicts poor response to AR-targeted therapy and decreased overall survival [50]. As such, these genetically defined prostate cancers have been classified as aggressive variant prostate cancers (AVPCs), of which NEPCs are typically included [33]. Curiously, while
disease relapse was observed at 30 weeks in TRAMP mice at the primary site (prostate) regardless of diet, systemic Camkk2 loss dramatically impaired the metastatic colonization of the lungs at the same timepoint in HFD-fed TRAMP mice (Figure 4). The differences in tumor growth at these two sites (primary and metastasis) mirrored changes in p-S6 staining, indicating that mTOR signaling was reactivated in the primary tumor but continued to be suppressed in the lung macrometastases. These data suggest that tumor-extrinsic CAMKK2 signaling may have a greater role in metastasis compared to primary tumor growth.

It is unknown if the tumor-extrinsic effects we observed for CAMKK2 are specific to any genetic subtypes. Neither the GEMM (TRAMP) nor syngeneic mouse models (RM-9 and TRAMP-C2) used in this study are driven by alterations in PI3K-AKT signaling, unlike the Pb-Cre4;Ptenf/f GEMM. We speculate that the higher levels of circulating insulin in the Camkk2 WT mice, exacerbated during obesity, promote aberrant PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling that potentially provides the missing third oncogenic piece to AVPCs previously driven by the other two genetic hallmarks of AVPC, RB1, and TP53 genetic alterations. This would be consistent with GEMM studies demonstrating that tumors harboring alterations in all three tumor suppressors (Pten, RB1, Tp53) have de novo resistance to AR-targeted therapy whereas the presence of only two of the three alterations requires an additional period of acquired resistance [51,52]. Tumors in Pb-Cre4;Ptentf/t mice may be less sensitive to insulin/tumor-extrinsic CAMKK2 functions since there is already high basal mTOR signaling. However, recent work has suggested that HFD-mediated hyperinsulinemia may also accelerate disease progression in Pb-Cre4;Ptentf/t (FVB) mice [53]. Future studies directly comparing these models will help to resolve this issue.

CAMKK2-regulated differences in cancer cell size and mTOR signaling (assessed by p-S6 IHC) combined with the sustained alterations in circulating insulin levels suggest that insulin mediates CAMKK2’s effects on cancer via control of systemic metabolism. However, we cannot rule out contributions from other factors linked to metabolic syndrome such as leptin, triglycerides, and free fatty acids that were altered by CAMKK2 deficiency at 15 weeks but not 30 weeks (Figure 3). For example, insulin may have a substantial role in metastasis, whereas additional factors like leptin, triglycerides, and free fatty acids could have important roles in promoting localized, primary tumor growth (consistent with the observed prostate pathology at 15 and 30 weeks (Figures 1 and 4)). Indeed, there is compelling preclinical and clinical data supporting important roles for local factors such as fats and paracrine growth factors in promoting primary tumorigenesis [54–56]. Moreover, inhibition of CAMKK2 in Pb-Cre4;Ptentf/t mice was reported to decrease intratumoral lipogenesis [12]. Whether the systemic ablation or inhibition of CAMKK2 could decrease circulating levels of free fatty acids, which could then impair proper insulin sensitivity is unclear. Arguing against a direct role for lipids, circulating insulin levels, while correlating best with metastatic outgrowth (Figures 3 and 4), did not consistently correlate with total cholesterol, triglycerides, or free fatty acid levels (Figure 3). However, decreases in lipids such as triglycerides or free fatty acids observed in Camkk2 KO mice at early time points could improve insulin signaling that is sustained even after the benefit of Camkk2 loss on triglyceride or free fatty acid levels dissipates. Why CAMKK2 inhibition does not sustain decreases in these local factors is unclear but it may be linked to its regulation of adipocyte differentiation, which could change the cellular milieu over time [57]. Our data suggest that treatment of primary prostate cancer may necessitate that CAMKK2 inhibitors be combined with additional therapies to overcome the localized resistance mechanisms.

Efforts are ongoing by multiple groups to develop new potent and selective CAMKK2 inhibitors with the goal to create a clinical-grade drug for use in patient trials [27,36–40]. The data presented here indicate that CAMKK2 inhibitors may have additional benefits for patients beyond what was initially anticipated. First, our data suggest that CAMKK2 inhibitors could have value in the treatment of distant metastases and/or NEPC, leading causes of prostate cancer mortality. In addition, CAMKK2 inhibitors may offer patients a “2 for 1” deal by treating not only the cancer directly, but also disease-linked comorbidities like metabolic syndrome. Moreover, if CAMKK2’s hepatic functions are proven to be a
-major driver of prostate cancer progression, this could present new opportunities from a drug development/pharmacokinetic standpoint, given that many drugs often accumulate in the liver [58].

Caveats

Although the data presented here provide compelling new evidence for CAMKK2 functions in prostate cancer progression and potentially related effects on systemic metabolism, we are cognizant that this initial study has several caveats. First, we are yet to functionally link the CAMKK2-mediated changes in circulating insulin levels to the observed changes in cancer cell size, mTOR signaling, and cancer progression. Experiments testing the necessity and sufficiency of insulin or insulin-like molecules will be essential to solidify our working model (Figure 7). Second, we did not measure total body weights. In future studies, this would be an important parameter to measure since Camkk2−/− mice were initially described to have an altered appetite [25]. However, CAMKK2’s impact on circulating insulin levels was later shown to be independent of its effects on appetite [22]. Third, our plasma measurements were not done on fasted mice because we did not want to add additional variables when assessing tumor biology. Future studies will require bona fide measures of glucose homeostasis such as fasting insulin and glucose levels, as well as glucose and insulin tolerance tests. Fourth, we did not have a HFD-fed, castrated TRAMP cohort. In prostate cancer patients, ADT is known to increase the risk of metabolic syndrome [23,24,59]. We would predict that castrated mice fed a HFD-diet may benefit even more from CAMKK2 inhibition, a scenario that remains to be tested. Fifth, we did not use a HFD with either of our syngeneic mouse models nor did we collect blood for plasma analysis. Hence, we had to rely on historical measurements [20,21] as well as comparisons to our TRAMP experiments. Based on prior observations, we anticipate Camkk2 ablation could have even more pronounced effects in obese syngeneic mouse models. Finally, although we focused on mTOR signaling due to the changes in insulin, we cannot rule out a role for the other predominant growth-control pathway, the Hippo signaling cascade [60].

5. Conclusions

In summary, our data demonstrate new oncogenic roles for CAMKK2 in metastatic colonization and NEPC progression. In addition, genetic targeting of Camkk2 in immune-intact mouse models of obesity-driven prostate cancer demonstrated simultaneous anticancer efficacy and improvements in whole-body metabolic homeostasis that we propose may be linked via a novel tumor-extrinsic, CAMKK2-mediated mechanism of action. Together, these data force us to reevaluate the potential mechanisms of action from prior data reporting anti-cancer effects in models leveraging systemic CAMKK2 inhibition via either germline genetic alterations (ex. Camkk2−/− mice) or small molecule inhibitors (ex. STO-609). Nevertheless, the multiple mechanisms of action of CAMKK2 underscore the potential of CAMKK2 inhibitors for the treatment of cancer as well as other diseases such as diabetes, fatty liver disease, and more.
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