Transfer Learning–Based Artificial Neural Networks Post-Equalizers for Underwater Visible Light Communication
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In this article, we demonstrate two transfer learning–based dual-branch multilayer perceptron post-equalizers (TL-DBMLPs) in carrierless amplitude and phase (CAP) modulation-based underwater visible light communication (UVLC) system. The transfer learning algorithm could reduce the dependence of artificial neural networks (ANN)–based post-equalizer on big data and extended training cycles. Compared with DBMLP, the TL-DBMLP is more robust to the jitter of the bias current ($I_{bias}$) of light-emitting diode (LED), which indicates that TL-DBMLP does not require further training in $I_{bias}$ varying UVLC system. In terms of voltage peak-to-peak (Vpp) varying VLC system, DBMLP requires a training set with a size of more than $10^5$ and 50 training epochs, which quantitatively prove the effectiveness of DBMLP in reducing reliance on large amount of training epochs. On the counterpart, the TL-DBMLP only requires a training set with a size of less than $2 \times 10^4$ and 10 training epochs, which quantitatively prove the effectiveness of DBMLP in reducing reliance on big data. Finally, we experimentally demonstrate that transfer learning can effectively reduce ANN dependence on extensive size training data and large amount of training epochs, whether in VLC systems with varying $I_{bias}$ and varying Vpp.
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INTRODUCTION

The limited bandwidth of traditional communication systems has always been a major problem that hinders human exploration and marine resources development. With the in-depth research of scientific research organizations in visible light communication (VLC), many scientists have noticed the potential of VLC in underwater applications (Chi et al., 2018; Oubei, 2018; Zhao et al., 2020). VLC system has been widely proved to have GHz level system bandwidth (Wang et al., 2019; Zhao and Chi, 2020; Zhao et al., 2020). Because of the skin effect of wireless communication in the underwater environment, long-distance high-speed wireless communication cannot be realized. Fortunately, green and blue light is just located in the transmission window of seawater, which indicates the potential of VLC to realize long-distance and high speed UVLC. Therefore, to achieve Gbps-level wireless communication at a distance greater than 100 m underwater, it is necessary to introduce UVLC into the field of underwater wireless communication. To improve the efficiency of spectrum utilization and thus increase the system data rate, we have adopted high-order modulation, CAP64. High-order modulated signals have higher requirements for signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which also poses challenges to the performance of post-equalization algorithms.
However, the nonlinear response of LED, electronic amplifier, and PIN introduces severe nonlinear distortion to the VLC system, which will reduce the SNR of VLC systems. Furthermore, the complex underwater environment composed of turbulence, marine life, and scattering will further aggravate the nonlinear distortion of the UVLC system, which challenged the digital signal processing algorithms related to signal recovery (Miramirkhani and Uysal, 2017; Oubei et al., 2017). Underwater optical turbulence is caused by refractive index fluctuations caused by changes in temperature, density, and salinity in the underwater environment. The change of the refractive index on the propagation path of the optical signal will cause the fluctuation of the intensity of the optical signal on the receiver, thereby causing nonlinear distortion of the received signal. It is necessary to perform post-equalization on such severely distorted received signals (Oubei et al., 2017). Conventional post-equalizer algorithms, such as least mean squares, recursive least squares, and Volterra series-based post-equalizer, could effectively recover the linear distorted received signal in UVLC systems. However, these post-equalization algorithms have a weak ability to recover high-order nonlinear distorted signal in the UVLC system. The conventional post-equalization algorithms essentially construct a mapping between the received signal and the transmitted signal. According to the universal approximation theorem, MLP can approximate the mapping between the received signal and the transmitted signal with arbitrary precision (Hornik et al., 1990; Barron, 1993). A lot of research work has confirmed that MLP post-equalizer has better BER performance than conventional post-equalization algorithms in VLC systems (Ghassemlooy et al., 2013; Haigh et al., 2014; Chi et al., 2018). Our previous research demonstrated a DBMLP post-equalizer, which are experimentally proved to have dramatically better nonlinear distortion compensation capability than conventional post-equalizer algorithms (Zhao and Chi, 2020; Zhao and Chi, 2020). Just like ANN algorithms in other fields, such as natural language processing and computer vision, ANN post-equalization algorithms rely heavily on large-scale training sets and a large amount of training epochs. According to the previous research, the ANN post-equalizer training stage requires a training set with a size of more than $10^5$ and 50 training epochs (Chuang et al., 2018; Lohani et al., 2018). However, in the practical UVLC system, a large-size training set will occupy too much signal bandwidth, which restricts the effective bandwidth of the UVLC system. Furthermore, the number of training epochs will increase the system delay. Therefore, proposing an ANN post-equalization algorithm that relies on a small size training set and small-epochs learning is essential for applying the ANN post-equalization algorithm in the practical UVLC system.

In this article, based on preliminary work, we propose two transfer learning–based ANN post-equalizers named as TL-DBMLP-I and TL-DBMLP-V (Zhao et al., 2019a; Zhao et al., 2019b). As TL-DBMLP-I is robust to $I_{bias}$ varying UVLC systems, it has no dependence on training data and training period. When TL-DBMLP-I is used in the practical UVLC system of $I_{bias}$ varying, fine-tuning is not required. Furthermore, only training set with a size of $2 \times 10^5$ and 10 training epochs is needed for the TL-DBMLP in the practical Vpp varying UVLC systems. The training set and the training epochs required by TL-DBMLP-V is only 5 and 20% of conventional DBMLP, respectively. Finally, we solved the dependence of the artificial neural network post-equalizer in the UVLC system on big data and long-period training through the transfer learning method, which will be beneficial to the application of the artificial neural network post-equalizer in the actual UVLC system.

**PRINCIPLE OF TL-DBMLP**

The essence of transfer learning is to transfer the parameters and structure of a pretrained model to a new model to help the new model’s training process (Raghu and Schmidt, 2020; Panigrahi et al., 2021). Considering that the time-varying UVLC system still has some time-invariant characteristics, we can share the parameters of pretrained DBMLP (it can also be understood as the model learned the time-invariant characteristics of UVLC system) with the new model to optimize the learning efficiency and to reduce the requirements for the training data set. In the pretraining stage, the DBMLP is trained with a data set including $10^6$ samples. The training set is obtained by sampling in UVLC with different $I_{bias}$ or Vpp. In this way, we can train DBMLP from a relatively convergent state instead of starting from a completely untrained initial state. The structure and parameters of DBMLP is discussed in detail in our previous research (Zhao and Chi, 2020). The main purpose of this study was to discuss the application of transfer learning algorithms. If you are interested in the details and performance of DBMLP, please refer to Zhao et al., (2020).

According to Figure 1, The DBMLP is pretrained in the pretraining stage. Two different training sets with varying $I_{bias}$ (130–200 mA) and Vpp (0.3–0.8 V) are used for training the DBMLP, which leads to two kinds of pretrained DBMLP named DBMLP-I and DBMLP-V, respectively. The training set including more than $10^6$ samples obtained by oscilloscope in a UVLC system. In order to prevent TF-DBMLP from memorizing the generation rules of pseudo-random sequences, our training set was generated based on Mersenne Twister. The period of Mersenne Twister is $2^{19937} – 1$, which is much larger than the length of the training set. Meanwhile, the test set was also generated based on Mersenne Twister with different seed (Matsumoto and Nishimura, 1998). Furthermore, during the training process, we will shuffle the training set and then train TL-DBMLP in each epoch. In this way, the TF-DBMLP could not memorize the transmitted signal. The structure and parameters of DBMLP are described in our previous research (Zhao and Chi, 2020). In this way, the DBMLP-I and DBMLP-V could compensate for the time-invariant distortions of received signals in the $I_{bias}$ varying and Vpp varying UVLC systems, respectively. The outputs of the DBMLP could be express as follows:

$$y = f(x; W_0, b_0) = W_{2,2}^T \tanh(W_{2,1}^T x^{(0)} + b_{2,1}) + W_{1,2}^T x^{(0)} + b_1 + b_{2,2},$$

where $W_0, b_0$ are the initialized trainable weights and bias of ANN, which are uniform random numbers between -0.05 and 0.05.
0.05, which including $W_1, W_2, b_1, b_2, x^{(i)}$ is the input feature of DBMLP, which is also the received signal. $y$ is the outputs of DBMLP. tanh is an active function, which could be expressed as follows:

$$\tanh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The mean square error (MSE) is then used as the loss function to evaluate the distance between the target distribution and the model distribution.

$$W_p, b_p = \arg\min_{W, b} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|\tilde{y}^{(i)} - y^{(i)}\|^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $W_p, b_p$ are the pretrained parameters of DBMLP, $m$ is the number of samples in the training set, $\tilde{y}^{(i)}$ is the transmitted signal and $y^{(i)}$ is the predicted signal by DBMLP.

The pretrained DBMLP is then transferred to the practical UVLC system, which corresponds to the red arrow in Figure 1. Before the pretrained DBMLPs are used in a specific VLC system with constant $I_{bias}$ and $V_{pp}$, the pretrained DBMLP is finetuned with a small size training set and several rounds of training epochs. The training set is composed of the transmitted signal and the received signal in a channel of a certain $I$ (range between 130 and 200 mA) and a certain $V_{pp}$ (range between 0.3 and 0.8 V). Consequently, the final output of TL-DBMLPs (including TL-DBMLP-I and TL-DBMLP-V) could be expressed as follows:

$$y = f(x; W_{\text{finetuned}}, b_{\text{finetuned}}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $W_{\text{finetuned}}, b_{\text{finetuned}}$ are the fine-tuned weights and bias of TL-DBMLP, respectively.

The function of TL-DBMLPs is to compensate the linear and nonlinear distortion of received signals to reduce the bit error rate (BER) of received signals. Since the initialization parameters of TL-DBMLPs are no longer random numbers but pretrained values, TL-DBMLP is only needed to be fine-tuned before the TL-DBMLPs are utilized in practical UVLC systems. Therefore, the fine-tuning process of TL-DBMLP only requires a small amount of training data and training cycles.

**EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

Figure 2A describes our experimental setup. At the transmitter end, we generated a set of QAM64 signal in MATLAB. Then we get the CAP64 signal through upsampling, I/Q separation, and pulse shaping. Then an arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) is
used to convert the digital signal into the analog electrical signal. The amplified electrical signal is added to DC through Bias-Tee and used to drive the LED. The silicon-based blue LED is the transmitter to convert the electrical signal to the optical signal.

After propagating through the 1.2-m water tank, the optical signal is concentrated on the PIN via a convex lens at the receiver end. The receiver end is composed of a PIN photodetector, an electric amplifier, and an OSC. The PIN converts the optical signal to the electrical signal. The electrical signal is captured by OSC after it is amplified by the electric amplifier. After that, the captured signal will be processed offline through digital signal processing. The details of the components in Figure 2 are provided in Table 1.

To show the nonlinearity in LED and UVLC system more intuitively, we measured the P–I curve of the silicon-based blue LED in Figure 3A, respectively. It can be clearly seen that the response between LED bias current and output power is nonlinear. Furthermore, we provided the AM/AM response of the UVLC system in Figure 3B. The units of x-axis and y-axis are the normalized amplitude of the transmitted signal and received signal, respectively. It can be seen that as the amplitude of the transmitted signal increases, the change of the received signal is not linear.

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In order to prove that the TL-DBMLPs training can converge faster under the new ULVC system, we experimentally compared the MSE of the two TL-DBMLPs (TL-DBMLP-I and TL-DBMLP-V) and the conventional DBMLP in the training process, which is described in Figure 4A. An ANN post-equalizer completes one training process on a whole set of training data, which is called one epoch. All the following experimental results are based on a training set containing $2 \times 10^6$ samples and testing set with $2 \times 10^5$ samples obtained from the transmitted signal with a bit rate of 3.1 Gbps. The percentage in Figure 4 represents the proportion of the data set used as the training set and the rest of the data set is used as the validation set. Compared with conventional DBMLP, we can clearly notice that both TL-DBMLPs have a relatively low MSE (relatively convergent state) at the beginning of fine-tuning. For the four types of pretrained DBMLPs, only 10 epochs of training can make the MES less than 0.0026. And further training will no longer significantly reduce MSE of the pretrained DBMLPs. On the
counterpart, the loss that the two DBMLPs can achieve after 25 epochs of training is 0.0028. Therefore, it can be considered that TL-DBMLPs can quickly converge in the fine-tuning process.

Furthermore, Figure 4A describes the dependence of three kinds of ANN post-equalizer algorithms on the training set’s size. We can find that TL-DBMLP-I and TL-DBMLP-V have minimal dependence on the size of the training set. Reducing the size of the training set from 50 to 10% of the total data set does not significantly increase the MSE of DBMLP-I and DBMLP-V. Take the state after 10 epochs of fine-tuning as examples, the MSE of DBMLP-I and DBMLP-V increase from 0.00260 and 0.00266 to 0.00263 and 0.00279, respectively. In terms of DBMLP, the loss increases from 0.00292 to 0.00916. Therefore, it can be concluded that the dependence of TL-DBMLPs on the size of the training set is much lower than that of conventional DBMLP.

Since MSE can only reflect the convergence state during the ANN training process, it cannot directly reflect the BER performance of the ANN post-equalization algorithms. Therefore, we tested the impact of the size of the training set on the error performance of DBMLP, TL-BMLP-I, and TL-DBMLP-V in Figure 4B. The gray curve verifies that DBMLP has a strong dependence on the size of the training set. When the training set is reduced from 50 to 10%, the BER of DBMLP equalized UVLC increase from 0.0028 to 0.0164. In terms of TL-DBMLP-V, the BER only increase from 0.0021 to 0.0032. This is because the rise in Vpp will significantly aggravate the nonlinear distortion of the UVLC system. This leads to a decrease in the commonality between channels in UVLC systems with different Vpp. Therefore, the commonalities that TL-DBMLP-V can learn during the pretraining phase will be reduced. In a specific Vpp UVLC system, a certain amount of training epochs is required to fine-tune TL-DBMLP-V. According to the experimental results, 10% of the total data set can reduce the BER of TL-DBMLP-V equalized UVLC below the 7% hard-decision forward error correction (HD-FEC) threshold (BER = 0.0038). The red curve shows that the BER performance of TL-BMLP-I has a very low dependence on the size of the training set. Since the change of \( I_{bias} \) has a relatively small influence on the nonlinear distortion in the UVLC system, the commonality of signal distortion in UVLC systems with current changes is greater than that of UVLC systems with Vpp changes. Therefore, compared with TL-DBMLP-V, there are more commonalities than TL-DBMLP-I can learn during the pretraining phase. Consequently, we can conclude that DBMLP is much more dependent on the size of the training set than TL-DBMLPs. And TL-DBMLP-I has almost no dependence on the size of the training set. Because the pretrained TL-DBMLP has already compensated for the nonlinearity distortion existing in the UVLC system to a certain extent. Even at different operating points, TL-DBMLP has good BER performance before retraining. Therefore, only a small size of training data is required to achieve the best BER performance of TL-DBMLP.

Figure 5 intuitively shows the impact of the training epochs on the BER performance of DBMLP and TL-DBMLPs. Since our target is to obtain a high BER performance ANN post-equalization algorithm trained on a small number of epochs and training data, we set the size of the training set to 10% of the length of the whole data set. When the size of training set is not large enough (10%), the BER performance of DBMLP after different epochs of training is very unstable. In fact, we found that even with training with the same epochs, the BER performance of the obtained DBMLP will be very different. Because, before each training process start, the trainable parameters of the DBMLP must be initialized. The initialization is based on uniform random numbers between \(-0.05\) and \(0.05\). Therefore, the initialization state of the trainable parameters will be different in each training process. However, when the size of training set and the number of epochs is not large enough, the BER performance of DBMLP will be significantly affected by the initialization state. As a result, the BER performance of DBMLP is unstable. The instability of BER performance also hinders the application of DBMLP in practical UVLC systems.

According to the green curve in Figure 5, the increase of the training epochs can slightly improve the BER performance of TL-DBMLP-V. The reason is consistent with the analysis in Figure 4B. Similarly, we could notice that the red curve hardly changes with the increase of epochs. So far, we have experimentally proved that TL-DBMLP-I has good generalization in the UVLC system with varying \( I_{bias} \). Even
without fine-tuning, TL-DBMLP-I still has good BER performance. Meanwhile, 10 epochs of training with 10% of the whole data set could effectively fine-tune the trainable parameters of TL-DBMLP-V.

In order to compare the signals equalized by the three kinds of ANN equalizers intuitively, we visualized the equalized signals. According to Figure 5 (ia) (iia) and (iiia), the signals near the outer constellation points are not gathered together well. These show that there is a certain degree of nonlinear distortion in the UVLC system. Compared with DBMLP, the two fine-tuned TL-DBMLPs can recover the received signal better. Meanwhile, as the
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**FIGURE 5** Relationship between DBMLP and TL-DBMLP training period and BER performance. Constellation diagram, eye diagram, and frequency spectrum of the equalized signal are provided in (i), (ii), (iii).
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**FIGURE 6** In 3.1 Gbps UVLC systems (A) with different $\iota_{bias}$, 10 epochs fine-tuned TL-DBMLP-I is compared with other nonlinear post-equalization algorithms. (B) With different $V_{pp}$, 10 epochs fine-tuned TL-DBMLP-V is compared with other nonlinear post-equalization algorithms.
eye diagrams of signal equalized by two fine-tuned TL-DBMLPs (Figure 5 (iib) (iiib)) is clearer than DBMLP equalized signal in Figure 5 (iib), the SNR of TL-DBMLPs equalized signal is also better than DBMLP equalized signal. According to the frequency spectrum in Figure 5 (ic) (iic) and (iiic), all three kinds of ANN equalizers could effectively recover the distorted signal at receiver end. However, in the high-frequency range, the capability of TL-DBMLPs to compensate for high frequency fading is significantly better than DBMLP.

To test the generalization of TL-DBMLPs, we tested the BER performance of TL-DBMLP-I in U VL C systems with different $I_{\text{bias}}$. According to Figure 6A, after 10 epochs of training with 50% data as the training set, the BER performance of DBMLP is only comparable to Volterra-based equalizer. The BER of TL-DBMLP-V equalized U VL C systems are much lower than two DBMLPs equalized U VL C systems. Furthermore, the BER performance of TL-DBMLP-I without fine-tuning is comparable to fine-tuned TL-DBMLP-I. This phenomenon further illustrates that the U VL C system with TLDBMLP varying $I_{\text{bias}}$ does not require fine-tuning. In other words, in the U VL C system with varying $I_{\text{bias}}$, TLDBMLP-I no longer needs to be fine-tuned. Therefore, it no longer occupies system bandwidth and causes system delays.

Correspondingly, we tested the BER performance of TL-DBMLP-V in U VL C systems with different Vpp. In order to make the BER performance of DBMLP comparable to TL-DBMLP-V, the training data need to be increased from 10 to 50%. In Figure 6B, the BER performance of the TL-DBMLP-V without fine-tuning is slightly inferior to Volterra-based postequalizer. Compared with TL-DBMLP-V without fine-tuning, the performance of the fine-tuned TL-DBMLP-V has been significantly improved, which shows that TL-DBMLP-V needs to be fine-tuned. Simultaneously, when the training set is increased from 10 to 50%, the error performance of TL-DBMLP-V is improved. Figure 6B shows that TL-DBMLP-V still needs a certain amount of training data for fine-tuning. But the amount of data required is much smaller than that of DBMLP.

According to the results and analysis above, TL-DBMLP-I does not need to be fine-tuned when it is utilized in the actual U VL C system with varying $I_{\text{bias}}$. In the fine-tuning process of TL-DBMLP-V, the required training data and epochs are $2 \times 10^4$ and 10 epochs, respectively. On the counterpart, the training process of DBMLP requires more than $10^5$ training data and more than 50 training epochs.

**CONCLUSION**

This study proposed two kinds of TL-DBMLPs, including TLDBMLP-V and TL-DBMLP-I. For Vpp varying CAP64 U VL C system, the training process of TL-DBMLP-V required $2 \times 10^4$ training data and 10 epochs, which was only 20% of conventional DBMLP. More importantly, our proposed TL-DBMLP-I had good generalization in the U VL C system with varying $I_{\text{bias}}$ changes. Therefore, TL-DBMLP-I did not require fine-tuning when it was utilized in a practical CAP64 U VL C system. Compared with conventional ANN post-equalizer, the demonstrated TL-DBMLPs only occupied a small system bandwidth, and the system delay caused by the training process was also greatly reduced, which was very important for the application of the ANN post-equalization algorithms in the practical U VL C system.
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