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Thickness effect on ferroelectric properties of La-doped HfO$_2$ epitaxial films down to 4.5 nm†

Tingfeng Song, a Romain Bachelet, b Guillaume Saint-Girons, b Ignasi Fina, a* and Florencio Sánchez a*

Stabilization of the orthorhombic phase of HfO$_2$ with La allows very high polarization and endurance. However, these properties have not been confirmed yet in films having thickness of less than 10 nm. We have grown (111)-oriented La (2 at%) doped epitaxial HfO$_2$ films on SrTiO$_3$(001) and Si(001) substrates, and we report on the thickness dependence of their ferroelectric properties. Films of less than 7 nm have a high remanent polarization of about 30 µC cm$^{-2}$, show slight wake-up, endurance of at least 10$^{10}$ cycles and retention of more than 10 years, both latest properties measured at the same poling voltage. La-doped HfO$_2$ films even as thin as 4.5 nm also show robust ferroelectric properties.

1. Introduction

The existence of a ferroelectric phase in Si-doped HfO$_2$ films was first reported in 2011 by Böscke et al.. In the following years, ferroelectricity was observed in HfO$_2$ films doped with other chemical elements, including Al, Ga, Co, Mg, Zr, In, Er, Y, Nd, Sm, Gd, La, Sr and Ba. The dopant content required to stabilize the ferroelectric phase depends on the atom. For example, in Si, Al or Y doped films the optimal doping content is less than 10 at% and the optimal doping content windows are narrow. Instead, for Zr doped films the optimal window is centered around 50 at% and it is wide. Naturally, the remanent polarization ($P_r$) also depends on the doping atom and content. Theoretical and experimental studies have shown that $P_r$ is higher when HfO$_2$ is doped with atoms having a large ionic radius such as Y, La or Sr.

Among the large radius dopant atoms studied, La has enabled excellent ferroelectric properties. Kosadaev et al. reported La-doped HfO$_2$ films with $P_r$ above 13 µC cm$^{-2}$ and very high endurance (limited by fatigue) of up to 10$^{10}$ cycles. Schroeder et al. reported very high $P_r$ above 27 µC cm$^{-2}$ and moderately high endurance of 10$^{7}$ cycles, without fatigue and with low wake-up effect. Schenk et al. concluded that the high polarization of polycrystalline La:HfO$_2$ films is due to the strong orientation of the [001] polar axis towards the normal in these films. Ferroelectricity with $P_r$ around 9 µC cm$^{-2}$ was reported in films up to 1 µm thick. In contrast, to our knowledge, the ferroelectric properties of La:HfO$_2$ films thinner than 10 nm have not been reported.

Recently, the ferroelectric phase was stabilized in epitaxial La-doped HfO$_2$ films. Films of thickness $t = 8$, 12 and 16 nm were grown on different oxide monocrystalline substrates buffered with La$_{0.67}$Sr$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ (LSMO) electrodes. The $t = 12$ nm film on (001)-oriented SrTiO$_3$ (STO) exhibited the best properties, with $P_r = 16$ µC cm$^{-2}$ and endurance (limited by fatigue) of 10$^{7}$ cycles, while the high leakage of the thinner film ($t = 8$ nm) did not allow the measurement of the ferroelectric properties. We report here on the ferroelectric properties of (111)-oriented epitaxial La:HfO$_2$ films with thicknesses ranging from 4.5 to 17.5 nm. Epitaxial films, enabling better control of microstructure and crystal orientation, can help to better understand the properties of ferroelectric HfO$_2$. We show that films with 6.9 nm thick, deposited on LSMO/STO(001), have a high $P_r$ up to around 30 µC cm$^{-2}$ with endurance greater than 10$^{10}$ cycles. Robust ferroelectricity is preserved in 4.5 nm films, with $P_r$ above 25 µC cm$^{-2}$, endurance of 10$^{9}$ cycles. We also show that epitaxial La:HfO$_2$ films on buffered Si(001) wafers exhibit excellent ferroelectric properties: a film of $t = 6.9$ nm has $P_r$ above 32 µC cm$^{-2}$, endurance of more than 5×10$^9$ cycles. All films show good extrapolated retention beyond 10 years.

2. Experimental

La:HfO$_2$ films and bottom LSMO electrodes were grown in a single process by pulsed laser deposition using a KrF excimer laser. Sintered Hf$_{0.98}$La$_{0.02}$O$_{2-x}$ and La$_{0.67}$Sr$_{0.33}$MnO$_3$ ceramics were used as targets. The LSMO electrodes were deposited at a substrate temperature $T_s = 700$ °C, an oxygen pressure of 0.1 mbar and a laser frequency of 5 Hz. The growth parameters for La:HfO$_2$ films were 2 Hz of laser frequency, $T_s = 800$ °C and oxygen pressure of 0.1 mbar. A series of La:HfO$_2$ films of
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thickness \( t = 4.5, 6.9, 9.2 \) and 17.5 nm were grown on the LSMO electrodes (\( t = 25 \) nm) in a single process. STO(001) and STO buffered Si(001) were used as substrates in each deposition process. The STO buffer layers were grown ex-situ by molecular beam epitaxy. Circular platinum top electrodes of 20 \( \mu \)m in diameter and 20 nm in thickness, were deposited ex-situ by sputtering on the La:HfO\(_2\) films through stencil masks for electrical measurements. Platinum top electrodes of 14 \( \mu \)m in diameter were additionally deposited on the \( t = 4.5 \) nm film on Si(001) to reduce leakage effect on the measurement of polarization loops.

The crystal structure was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Cu K\( \alpha \) radiation, using a Siemens D5000 and a Bruker D8-Advance diffractometers equipped with a point detector, and a Bruker D8-Advance diffractometer equipped with a 2D detector. The surface topography was studied by atomic force microscopy (AFM) using a Keysight S100 in dynamic mode.

Ferroelectric polarization loops, leakage current, endurance and retention were measured at room temperature, using an AixACCT TFAnalyser2000 platform, and connecting the LSMO bottom electrode to the ground and biasing the top Pt contact. Ferroelectric polarization loops were obtained in dynamic leakage current compensation (DLCC) mode with a frequency of 1 kHz. Endurance was evaluated using bipolar square pulses, and after cycling, the memory window was extracted by DLCC.

Retention was measured polarizing the sample using triangular pulse of 0.25 ms and determining the \( P \), from the first polarization curve of the polarization loop measured at 1 kHz using the positive-up negative-down protocol after a delay time. Leakage current has been measured with integration time of 2s and averaging data collected with increasing and decreasing voltage. Capacitance (C) loops were measured using an impedance analyzer (HP4192LF, Agilent Co.) operated with decreasing voltage.

The ferroelectric polarization loops of the La:HfO\(_2\) films on STO(001) confirm the presence of the orthorhombic phase in the entire thickness range and the appearance of the monoclinic phase in the thicker films, without substantial differences with the films on STO(001). The out-of-plane lattice parameter associated to the o-(111) reflection, \( d_{o(111)} \), was determined from the position of the corresponding diffraction peak in the \( \theta \) 2\( \theta \) scans (Fig. 1c). The \( d_{o(111)} \) value of the \( t = 4.5 \) nm film on STO(001) is close to 3.0 \( \text{Å} \), and decreases with increasing thickness to less than 2.98 \( \text{Å} \) in films thicker than around 10 nm. The films on Si(001) show a similar thickness dependence, but with smaller lattice parameters (\( d_{o(111)} \) in the 2.95-2.96 \( \text{Å} \) range). The lower \( d_{o(111)} \) of the La:HfO\(_2\) films on Si(001) is due to the low coefficient of thermal expansion of Si(001), which causes tensile stress in the films when cooled after growth. The \( d_{o(111)} \) parameters of equivalent La-doped (1 at\%) Hf\(_{0.5}\)Zr\(_{0.5}\)O\(_2\) films\(^{25}\) are also shown in Fig. 1c. The substrate and thickness dependencies are coincident, but the \( d_{o(111)} \) parameters of the La:HfO\(_2\) films are slightly expanded. The pole figure around the o-(111) reflections of La:HfO\(_2\) and (111) of STO (Fig. 1d) of the \( t = 17.5 \) nm film on STO(001) confirm the epitaxy of the orthorhombic phase.

The AFM topographic images (Fig. 2) of the La:HfO\(_2\) films on STO(001) show extremely flat surfaces. The morphology of terraces and steps presented by the STO substrates\(^{26}\) is replicated in the deposited LSMO and La:HfO\(_2\) films. The terraces in the films are well-visible, and the root mean square roughness, calculated in 5 \( \mu \)m \( \times \) 5 \( \mu \)m areas, is 2.8 \( \text{Å} \) in the \( t = 17.5 \) nm film and less than 2 \( \text{Å} \) in the thinner films.

The ferroelectric polarization loops of the La:HfO\(_2\) films on STO(001), measured using a high maximum applied field, are shown in Fig. 3a. The maximum electric field applied to measure the loops was lower in the thicker films, since the coercive electric field \( E_c \) and the breakdown electric field decreases with the thickness. The two thinner films have a very high polarization, with \( P_i \) around 26 and 32 \( \mu \)C cm\(^{-2}\) in the \( t = 4.5 \) nm and \( t = 6.9 \) nm films, respectively. The polarization is less with increasing thickness, with \( P_i \) around 10 \( \mu \)C cm\(^{-2}\) in the \( t = 17.5 \) nm film. The \( t = 6.9 \) nm La:HfO\(_2\) film on Si(001) has the largest \( P_i \) around 32 \( \mu \)C cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 3b). Note that the round shape of the loops near the maximum applied electric field is a signature of residual leakage contribution, which results in a possible overestimation of the remanent polarization within 2 \( \mu \)C cm\(^{-2}\). All the loops shown in Fig. 3 have been collected after cycling the sample 10 times to avoid the wake-up effect discussed as follows and obtain comparable results. The dependencies of \( P_i \) with the thickness of the La:HfO\(_2\) films on STO(001) and Si(001) are represented in Fig. 3c. Both series exhibit a similar thickness dependence, with maximum \( P_i \) in the \( t = 6.9 \) nm films. The \( P_i \) of
the La:HfO2 films ranges from 10 to 30 µC cm−2 on STO(001), and from 12 to 32.5 µC cm−2 on Si(001). We reported a similar peak dependence for HZO28 and La-doped HZO25 films on STO(001). In contrast, epitaxial HZO29 and La-doped HZO25 films on Si(001) did not exhibit a peak and films thinner than 5 nm had the highest \( P_r \). The dependence of the coercive electric field with thickness is shown in Fig. 3d. The \( E_C \) of the films on both STO(001) and Si(001) decreases linearly with the thickness (in logarithmic scale), with slope about 0.5. Thus, it follows the \( E_C \propto t^{-0.5} \) dependence usual in high-quality ferroelectric perovskite films10–22 and epitaxial HfO2-based films12,23,28,29, but scarcely observed in polycrystalline HfO2-based films.33

The films exhibit a small wake-up effect, basically observed only in the first cycle and when the applied maximum voltage was lower than the used to obtain fully saturated loops (Fig. 4). The wake-up is evidenced by a double peak in the current-voltage curves, causing a slight shrinkage in the polarization loop. The wake-up effect is more pronounced if the maximum applied electric field is reduced (Fig. S2, ESI†). The minimal wake-up effects is in sharp contrast with the persistent wake-up effects commonly observed in polycrystalline La:HfO2 films,55–7 usually explained by the displacement of oxygen vacancies that induce the transformation from the monoclinic phase to the orthorhombic phase.7 However, the wake-up effect is usually null in epitaxial doped HfO2 films, even in epitaxial HZO films on STO(001) that have a significant amount of monoclinic phase.17 In the case of epitaxial La:HfO2 films on Si(001), the

![Fig. 1 XRD measurements of the La:HfO2 films on STO(001) (a) XRD 2θ X maps obtained with a 2D detector. The X range is from -10° to +10°. (b) XRD θ-2θ scans obtained with a point detector. (c) Out-of-plane lattice distance, \( d_{(111)} \) (black circles). The red circles are the \( d_{(111)} \) values of the La:HfO2 films on Si(001), determined from the θ-2θ scans shown in Fig. S1, ESI†. Blue and green triangles are reported \( d_{(111)} \) values of epitaxial La-doped (1 at%) Hf0.75Zr0.25O2 films on STO(001) and Si(001), respectively.25](#)

![Fig. 2 Topographic AFM images (5 µm × 5 µm) of La:HfO2 films on STO(001) of thickness (a) 4.5 nm, (b) 6.9 nm, (c) 9.2 nm, and (d) 17.5 nm. Insets: 1 µm × 1 µm topographic images.](#)

![Fig. 3 Polarization loops of the films on STO(001) (a) and Si(001) (b). Dependence on thickness of the remanent polarization (c) and the coercive electric field (d) of the films on STO(001) (black squares) and Si(001) (red circles).](#)
wake-up effect is similar and also limited to a few cycles (Fig. S3, ESI†). Note also that the residual leakage contribution, signalled by a current increase near the maximum applied voltage and more prominent in thinner films, also reduces while cycling. This is in agreement with the oxygen vacancies redistribution upon cycling scenario proposed in polycrystalline films, although here the effects are minimal, indicating that the oxygen vacancies amount is smaller in epitaxial films.

The leakage current curves of the films on STO(001) are shown in Fig. 5a. The leakage of the t = 4.5 nm film (3x10⁻⁶ A cm⁻² at 1 MV cm⁻¹) is moderately low considering the ultra-thin thickness. The leakage of the thicker film, t = 17.5 nm, ranges from around 5x10⁻⁸ A cm⁻² at low field up to about 4x10⁻⁷ A cm⁻² at 2 MV cm⁻¹. The t = 6.9 nm film is highly insulating, with leakage well below 10⁻⁶ A cm⁻² at low field and less than 5x10⁻⁸ A cm⁻² at 1 MV cm⁻¹. Therefore, the t = 6.9 nm film combines a very high $P_r$, about 30 µC cm⁻² and very low conductivity. The films on buffered Si(001) are more leaky, and only the t = 17.5 nm film exhibits low leakage of less than 10⁻⁷ A cm⁻² at 1 MV cm⁻¹ (Fig. S4, ESI†). The dielectric permittivity loops of the films on STO(001), Fig. 5b, vary greatly with the thickness of the films. The loop corresponding to the t = 4.5 nm film has a well-defined butterfly shape. The hysteresis reduces with thickness increasing, and it is barely appreciated in the t = 17.5 nm film. The value of the dielectric constant also depends on the thickness. The permittivity at high electric field is ~30 in the t = 4.5 and 6.9 nm films, and it is reduced to ~28 in the t = 9.2 nm film and to ~24.5 in the t = 17.5 nm film. The decrease of the dielectric constant is in agreement with the observation by XRD of an increase of the monoclinic phase fraction with thickness, and with the reported dependencies of the permittivity of other doped HfO₂ films on the ratio between orthorhombic and monoclinic phase.29,34–36

The endurance of the films on STO(001) is shown in Fig. 6a–d. The polarization of the t = 4.5 nm film (Fig. 6a), cycled by electric pulses of amplitude 6.7 MV cm⁻¹, increases from 2$P_r = 19.5$ µC cm⁻² in the pristine state to 24.5 µC cm⁻² after a few (less than ten) cycles, evidencing the small wake-up effect described above. With additional cycles the capacitor is fatigued and $2P_r$ decreases to 18.8 µC cm⁻² after 10⁵ cycles and then more abruptly to 6 µC cm⁻² after 10⁶ cycles. The loss of polarization is, in average, about 75% after eight magnitudes. The t = 6.9 nm film exhibits similar behavior when cycled at 5.8 MV cm⁻¹, being $2P_r = 2.8$ µC cm⁻² after 10⁶ cycles (Fig. 6b). The polarization was higher for applied pulses of 6.5 MV cm⁻¹, and $2P_r$ was 4 µC cm⁻² when the measurement was stopped after 10⁶ cycles. A higher cycling electric field, 7.2 MV cm⁻¹, causes a larger switchable...
polarization, but the capacitor undergoes hard breakdown after 10⁸ cycles (open blue triangle in Fig. 6b). Representative polarization loops of the t = 6.9 nm film, measured applying several maximum electric fields and number of cycles, are shown in Fig. S5. The endurance of the t = 9.2 nm (Fig. 6c) is similar, while it is highly degraded in the t = 17.5 nm film (Fig. 6d). It has been observed a similar degradation of endurance in other epitaxial doped-HfO₂ films thicker than around 10 nm.²⁵,²⁹ Li et al., reported endurance up to 10⁷ cycles in epitaxial La-doped (5.5 cat%) HfO₂ films.¹¹ The endurance was limited by fatigue, and they found that the loss of polarization was much more severe when the electrical stress was lower (lower pulse amplitude or shorter pulse width) and also when the interval between pulses was longer. It was argued that the high density of charged domain walls in incompletely switched capacitors could be pinned and then cause fatigue. In the case of polycrystalline doped HfO₂ films, a similar reduction of fatigue with increasing electric field has been reported.³⁷–³⁹ In agreement, Starschich et al.⁴⁰ observed that hard breakdown occurred earlier, as the cycling frequency was lower, and they assumed that this was due to the suppression of generation of oxygen vacancies at high frequency. In our epitaxial films, the amplitude of the electric field has a critical effect on the junction breakdown if it is too high. However, the amplitude of the electric field has no impact on the fatigue (Fig. S6, ESI†). The endurance of our films is also degraded by a lower cycling frequency, which causes here more fatigue or even breakdown (Fig. S7, ESI†). Note that low frequency measurements imply long period pulses. Using the data shown in Fig. S7 as example, a capacitor cycled N times by bipolar pulses of 3V at 10 kHz is poised for a time 100 longer than another cycled at 1 MHz. Therefore, the degradation effects induced by the applied field scale exponentially with frequency decrease, as expected in the defects redistribution in the bulk of the device.⁴¹ Besides, longer pulse also promotes the migration of free carriers towards domain walls, thus inducing severer pinning effect. Instead Li et al.⁴² found reduction of fatigue with increasing frequency and proposed that the faster degradation of polarization by cycling with pulses of lower amplitude or higher frequency was caused by the less saturated switching in these cases (the frequency effect was due to the fact that the coercive field increases with the frequency). Similar reduction of fatigue due to less saturated switching has been also reported in epitaxial films. In this latter case fatigue decreases while decreasing the temperature because of the increase of the coercive electric field.⁴³ The fatigue mechanism suggested by Li et al.⁴² considered that oxygen vacancies migrate during each cycle from switchable regions to non-switchable regions, creating local fields. The intensity of the local field strength would increase with cycling until the domains of the initially switchable regions would be pinned.

The retention of the films on STO(001) is shown in Fig. 7, where the remanent polarization is plotted as a function of the delay time after poling (t₀). Each film was poled at the same electric field used in the endurance measurements (Fig. 6), and for positive (blue squares) and negative (red circles) poling fields. The experimental data are fitted (blue and red dashed lines) to the rational dependence \( P_\tau = P_0 \cdot t_\tau^{-m} \).⁴³ The vertical black dashed line corresponds to a time of 10 years. The extrapolated data indicate that the four films retain a high fraction of the initial polarization. The memory window (2\( \Delta P \)) extrapolated to 10 years of the thicker film, which has a high amount of paraelectric monoclinic phase, is 54% of the initial value. The percentage of the initial memory window of the thinnest film, t = 4.5 nm, is 53% despite the expected high depolarization fields. The t = 6.9 and 9.2 nm films maintain 69 and 63% of the initial memory window. Therefore, all films exhibit excellent retention after being poling with the same electric field used to determine the endurance properties. The retention of more than 10 years is accompanied of endurance of at least 10⁸ cycles in the t = 4.5 nm film and 10¹⁰ cycles in the t = 6.9 nm. The equivalent t = 6.9 nm film on Si(001) also exhibits endurance of at least 5x10⁸ cycles and retention of more than 10 years (Fig. S8, ESI†).

4. Conclusions

In summary, the orthorhombic phase of HfO₂ has been epitaxially stabilized in La-doped films. The films present majority of orthorhombic phase, (111)-oriented, with increased fraction of monoclinic phase in films thicker than 10 nm. The robust ferroelectric properties of La-doped HfO₂ are preserved in films even as thin as 4.5 nm. The films exhibit minimal wake-up, basically limited to the first cycle. Films with thickness less than 7 nm show a remanent polarization of about 30 µC/m², endurance of 10¹⁰ cycles, and retention of more than 10 years. These excellent properties are achieved in films epitaxially grown on either SrTiO₃(001) or buffered Si(001) substrates.
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