Endoscopic mucosal resection with circumferential mucosal incision of duodenal carcinoid tumors
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Abstract
Duodenal carcinoids are a rare form of neuroendocrine tumors, and tend to invade the submucosa during the early stage. Endoscopic treatment is generally recommended for duodenal carcinoids less than 10 mm in diameter. Although a few reports have described the use of endoscopic resection of duodenal carcinoids, there are no published studies on endoscopic mucosal resection with circumferential mucosal incision (EMR-CMI). We performed EMR-CMI for 5 cases of duodenal carcinoids in the duodenal bulb. The mean tumor diameter was 4.6 ± 1.8 mm. Although all of the tumors were located in the submucosa, R0 resection was performed without complication in each case. EMR-CMI may thus be a safe and effective treatment for duodenal carcinoids less than 10 mm in diameter.
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Core tip: Endoscopic treatment for duodenal carcinoids is generally recommended less than 10 mm in diameter. Although a few reports have described endoscopic resection of duodenal carcinoids, there are no published studies on endoscopic mucosal resection with circumferential mucosal incision (EMR-CMI). We performed EMR-CMI for 5 cases of duodenal carcinoids in the duodenal bulb. The mean tumor diameter was 4.6 mm. Although all of the tumors were located in the submucosa, R0 resection was performed without complication in each case. EMR-CMI may thus be a safe and effective treatment for duodenal carcinoids less than 10 mm in diameter.
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INTRODUCTION
Carcinoid tumors are a rare neuroendocrine malignancies that are most frequently found in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. Duodenal carcinoids account for 2%-5% of GI carcinoid tumors, and usually present as solitary small lesions confined to the duodenal submucosa. Endoscopic treatment is generally recommended for duodenal carcinoids less than 10 mm as it is associated with a low frequency of lymph node invasion and distant metastases. A few reports have described the use of endoscopic resection for the treatment of duodenal carcinoids. However, to our knowledge, no studies have been published to date on endoscopic mucosal resection with circumferential mucosal incision (EMR-CMI) for
these tumors. In this study, we described our experience of EMR-CMI for the treatment of 5 cases of duodenal carcinoids.

CASE REPORT

Between December 2006 and September 2012, 5 patients (4 men and 1 woman) with a duodenal carcinoid tumor underwent EMR-CMI at Nihonkai General Hospital. All patients were asymptomatic, and the tumors were incidentally detected during a screening esophago-gastro-duodenoscopy (EGD). All procedures were performed by a single endoscopist (Homma K), and all patients were examined by endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS) and abdominal computed tomography (CT) before EMR-CMI. The diagnosis of carcinoid tumor was confirmed by an endoscopic forceps biopsy. Indications for treatment by EMR-CMI were a tumor of diameter 10 mm or less that was confined to the submucosal layer with a clear separation between the tumor and the muscularis propria layer, as assessed by a 20-MHz EUS microprobe (UM2R, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), and no lymph node invasion or distant metastases on abdominal CT.

After obtaining informed consent from the patient, EMR-CMI was performed under moderate sedation with a combination of pentazocine and flunitrazepam. A single-channel upper GI endoscope with a water-jet system (GIF-Q260J, Olympus) was used. The procedure began with a submucosal injection of hyaluronic acid solution (Mucoup, Johnson and Johnson, Japan) with a 0.1 mL mixture of 0.1% epinephrine and 0.4% indigocarmine dye in order to maintain prolonged elevation and good visibility. A circumferential mucosal incision was performed using a SB knife Jr (Sumitomo Bakelite, Tokyo, Japan) or Mantis Hook (Pentax, Tokyo, Japan), and an additional submucosal injection of hyaluronic acid solution was given beneath the lesion. The adequately raised lesion was then ensnared using a snare (B wave; Zeon Medical, Tokyo, Japan) or Mantis Hook (Pentax, Tokyo, Japan), and no lymph node invasion or distant metastases on abdominal CT.

A negative surgical margin was confirmed histologically at the resection site, periodic follow-up EGD was performed for all patients. The average age at the time of diagnosis was 64.2 ± 10.2 years (range 47-74 years). The tumors were located in the submucosa within the duodenal bulb in all cases, and the mean tumor size was 4.6 ± 1.8 mm (range 3-8 mm). En bloc resection was performed for all patients, and no complications were observed. The average resection time was 19.4 ± 3.6 min (Table 1) and the subsequent postoperative hospitalization period was 5 d in all patients. The median follow-up period was 13 ± 1.8 mm (range 3-8 mm).

In this study, we described one of the cases in greater detail in order to illustrate the typical endoscopic and histological findings associated with these tumors (Case 1). A 74-year-old woman presented with a carcinoid tumor located in the anterior wall of the duodenal bulb (Figure 1A). EUS revealed a hypoechoic mass measuring 3 mm in diameter, originating from the submucosal layer (Figure 1B). Abdominal CT revealed no lymph-node invasion or distant metastases. After local injection of hyaluronic acid solution with epinephrine and an indigocarmine dye to the submucosa around the lesion, a circumferential incision was performed using a SB knife Jr (Figure 2A). En bloc resection was then performed by using a standard polypectomy technique with K-snare (Figure 2B and C). The mucosal defect was closed with endoscopic clippings, and the entire procedure was completed in 26 min. A negative surgical margin was confirmed histologically (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

Duodenal carcinoids are generally considered to be indolent tumors, but because of rarity, their natural history has not been adequately described to date[6]. The metastatic potential of duodenal carcinoids is closely dependent on the size of the tumor. In a series of 99 duodenal carcinoids, Burke et al[3] reported that the mean tumor diameter was 18 mm (range 2-50 mm) and that metastasis was present in 21% of the cases. None of the patients with tumors less than 10 mm in diameter developed metastatic disease during a mean follow-up period of 65 mo. Zyromski et al[10] also reported that 24 patients with duodenal carcinoid tumors less than 20 mm remained disease free after local excision during a mean follow-up of 46 mo. In another author described 14% of 201 patients with duodenal carcinoids less than 10 mm in diameter developed metastases, whereas this increased to 47% for patients with tumor diameters between 21 and 50 mm[7].

In addition to the tumor size, involvement of the muscularis propria and the presence of mitotic figures have also been proposed as possible risk factors for metastases in duodenal carcinoids[8]. Therefore, the accurate assessment of invasion depth is important for a successful treatment outcome. EUS has been reported to be an appropriate method for assessing carcinoid tumors including duodenal lesions[8,10]. In a series of 36 GI carcinoid tumors including 7 duodenal lesions evaluated by EUS, Yoshikane et al[9] reported that the tumors were generally visualized as hypoechoic and homogenous lesions, and the accuracy of determining the depth of invasion was 75%. Furthermore, when limiting this assessment to the lesions detectable on EUS, the accuracy was as high as 90%. In the present study, all cases were detectable on EUS and the accuracy of determining the depth of invasion was 100%, despite the relatively small number of cases.

European guidelines recommended that duodenal carcinoids less than 10 mm in diameter that are confined to the submucosa as seen on EUS should be treated by endoscopy in the absence of apparent lymph node invasion and distant metastases[11,12]. However, the appropriate treatment for duodenal carcinoids larger than 10 mm is still controversial. Endoscopic treatment might be considered in patients with a high risk of perioperative complications because of old age or advanced comorbidity. If endos-
Table 1  Characteristics of five patients with a duodenal carcinoid tumor

| No. | Age (yr) | Sex | Site             | Size (mm) | Depth | Time (min) | En bloc resection | Complication |
|-----|----------|-----|------------------|-----------|-------|------------|-------------------|--------------|
| 1   | 74       | F   | Bulb, anterior   | 3         | Sm    | 20         | Yes               | No           |
| 2   | 67       | M   | Bulb, anterior   | 4         | Sm    | 15         | Yes               | No           |
| 3   | 47       | M   | Bulb, anterior   | 5         | Sm    | 21         | Yes               | No           |
| 4   | 74       | M   | Bulb, anterior   | 3         | Sm    | 25         | Yes               | No           |
| 5   | 59       | M   | Bulb, anterior   | 8         | Sm    | 16         | Yes               | No           |

F: Female; M: Male; Sm: Submucosa.

Figure 1  Endoscopic and endoscopic ultrasonography findings. A: Endoscopic image showing an elevated lesion in the anterior wall of duodenal bulb; B: Endoscopic ultrasonography image of the lesion, a 3 mm hypoechoic mass lesion that was located in the submucosal layer.

Figure 2  Endoscopic image showing the endoscopic mucosal resection with circumferential mucosal incision procedures. A-C: The entire lesion was removed en bloc.

Figure 3  Histopathologic assessment of the resected specimen. A: Macroscopic view of the resected specimen; B: Well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor was confined to the submucosa (hematoxylin and eosin, original magnification ×20).
copy is deemed unsuitable, laparoscopic techniques could be a suitable alternative[1].

Several endoscopic approaches have been reported for the treatment of carcinoid tumors. Endoscopic resection of carcinoid tumors with polypectomy or strip biopsy with grasping forceps is sometimes associated with margin involvement and crush injury of the resected specimens[12-14]. EMR with band ligation, which is clinically accepted for R0 resection method for carcinoid tumors in the rectum, has been scarcely reported in the duodenal lesions, and its safety profile for the treatment of duodenal carcinoids is unknown[3,16]. We believe that duodenal wall is thin, and band ligation of duodenal wall has a potential risk of muscular involvement. Endoscopic submucosal dissection, which is an emerging technique for the treatment of superficial GI lesion, has high perforation rates for the treatment of duodenal carcinoids (Suzuki et al[3]; 2/3 perforations, Matsumoto et al[16]; 2/5 perforations). Meanwhile, EMR-CMI was originally introduced as a preferred technique for large colonic lesions by Moss et al[8]. They reported that EMR-CMI resulted in deeper submucosal resections histologically compared to conventional EMR, which would be a preferred feature for the resection of duodenal carcinoids originating from the submucosa. In the present study, in which the tumors originated from the submucosa, R0 resection was successfully completed in all of the cases without any complications. We believe that adequate injection of hyaluronic acid solution into the submucosa and careful mucosal incision using a scissors-type knife was key to perform EMR-CMI safely. The average resection time, of nearly 20 min, was considered to be a safe even for older patients.

In conclusion, EMR-CMI may be a safe and effective approach for the treatment of duodenal carcinoids less than 10 mm in diameter in the absence of lymph node invasion or distant metastases. We hope that further clinical studies will help to verify these findings.
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