iASPP suppresses Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation to maintain Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis and control tumor growth and drug resistance
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Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is an essential event in the modulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis, which is coordinated by multiple biological processes, ranging from cell proliferation to apoptosis. Deregulated Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis is linked with various cancer hallmarks; thus, uncovering the mechanisms underlying Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis dynamics may lead to new anticancer treatment strategies. Here, we demonstrate that a reported Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel protein TMCO1 (transmembrane and coiled-coil domains 1) is overexpressed in colon cancer tissues at protein levels but not at messenger RNA levels in colon cancer. Further study revealed that TMCO1 is a substrate of ER-associated degradation E3 ligase Gp78. Intriguingly, Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation at K186 is under the control of the iASPP (inhibitor of apoptosis-stimulating protein of p53) oncogene. Mechanistically, iASPP robustly reduces ER Ca\(^{2+}\) stores, mainly by competitively binding with Gp78 and interfering with Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation. A positive correlation between iASPP and TMCO1 proteins is further validated in human colon tissues. Inhibition of iASPP-TMCO1 axis promotes cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) overload–induced apoptotic cell death, reducing tumor growth both in vitro and in vivo. Thus, iASPP-TMCO1 represents a promising anticancer treatment target by modulating Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis.
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Intracellular calcium (Ca\(^{2+}\)) impacts nearly every aspect of cellular life, such as proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, and migration. As such, it is probably unsurprising that accumulating evidence has connected Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling disorders with carcinogenesis and tumor progression (1, 2). Thus, identification of the molecular players involved in Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling remodeling may not only improve our understanding of cancer pathology but also provide promising targets for the development of novel anticancer treatment strategies.

To achieve precise control over multiple cellular processes, cells have evolved elegant mechanisms to tightly control Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis by modulating the flow of Ca\(^{2+}\) into and out of cells and organelles (3). This process is integrally and coordinately governed by a complicated system that comprises Ca\(^{2+}\)-pumps, channels, and exchangers on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and plasma membrane (4). As a result, Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations vary wildly across intracellular organelles, with the ER serving as the most important Ca\(^{2+}\) store (5). ER Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis is crucial, as Ca\(^{2+}\) release from ER leads to the elevation of cytoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\), which acts as triggers for Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent events in response to diverse stimuli. For example, the opening of ER channels, such as inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3) receptors (IP3Rs) and ryanodine receptors (RYRs), results in passive release of ER Ca\(^{2+}\) into the cytosol (6–8), whereas the sarco/ER Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase (SERCA) pumps refill the ER with Ca\(^{2+}\) against the concentration gradient to maintain its high Ca\(^{2+}\) content (9). Cells also utilize extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) influx mechanisms to refill the cell’s internal stores in response to ER Ca\(^{2+}\) depletion through the activation of ER Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding protein STIM1 followed by that of Orai1, a plasma membrane Ca\(^{2+}\) channel (10, 11). It should also be noted that TMCO1 (transmembrane and coiled-coil domains 1), a highly conserved ER-resident Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel protein, has been discovered recently, which provides a distinctive mechanism to maintain Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis by preventing the overfilling of ER stores with Ca\(^{2+}\) through inducing Ca\(^{2+}\) release from the ER to the cytosol (12). Changes in the expression of ER-resident IP3R, SERCAs, RyR, or STIM1 have been connected with the initiation or progression of various cancer types, such as melanoma, glioblastoma, colon, prostate, and breast cancers (13–18). Their association with drug resistance and cancer metastasis has also been demonstrated (19–23). Such studies underscore the notion that Ca\(^{2+}\) flux across the ER may represent a potential target for anticancer treatment. Concordantly, several SERCA, IP3R, and RyR inhibitors or agonists have been identified that disrupt Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis efficiently in breast and prostate cancer or glioma cells (16, 24–26). However, unlike above-described ER Ca\(^{2+}\) modulators, previous studies on TMCO1 have mainly focused on the genetic variations or mutations of this gene in glaucoma or disorders associated with craniofacial
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dysmorphisms, skeletal anomalies, and intellectual disability (27–31). There is little known about the roles of TMCO1 in cancer, and it is not clear how its activity is regulated.

On the other hand, the functions of oncogenes and tumor suppressors in modulating Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis have increasingly attracted attention (32). The activation of oncogene Bel-2 or loss of tumor suppressor PTEN has been shown to promote carcinogenesis via their noncanonical function of modulating Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. iASPP (Inhibitor of Apoptosis Stimulating Protein of P53) is a well-known p53 inhibitor that binds p53 in the nucleus and represses p53’s transcriptional activity to inhibit either apoptosis or senescence in a context-dependent manner (33, 34). Recently, iASPP has been found to be predominantly localized in the cytosol in cancer cells, where it stabilizes and activates the master antioxidative transcription factor Nrf2 via a p53-independent mechanism (35). In accordance with its inhibition of apoptosis and senescence and ability to reduce oxidative stress, iASPP has been found to be frequently overexpressed in multiple human cancers, being responsible for drug resistance and the poor prognosis of cancer patients (36–38). However, whether or not the activation of iASPP contributes to the dysregulated Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling in cancer has yet remained unexplored.

Here, we not only provide evidence that TMCO1 overexpression (OE) confers tumor growth and apoptosis resistance in colon cancer, rendering it a potential oncogene, but also demonstrate the regulatory mechanisms of TMCO1 through proteasome-induced protein degradation mediated by the well-known ER-associated degradation (ERAD) E3 ligase Gp78. Intriguingly, the activity of Gp78 in regulating TMCO1 is under the control of oncogene iASPP, and thereby we establish a connection between the iASPP oncogene and Gp78/TMCO1-regulated Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis in a p53- and Nrf2-independent manner. These data suggest that TMCO1 has oncogenic activity in colon cancers and highlight the notion that oncogenes influence Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling to exert their prooncogenic functions.

Results

TMCO1 Protein Is Increased in Colon Cancers. To understand the roles of the recently identified Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel protein TMCO1 in cancer, we first studied the protein levels of TMCO1 via the Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium (CPTAC). By doing so, TMCO1 was found to be dramatically increased across different cancer types, such as breast invasive carcinoma (BRCA), lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), uterine corpus endometrial carcinoma (UCEC), stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD), and colon adenocarcinoma (COAD) (Fig. 1A, Upper). Among those, COAD exhibited the highest expression fold-change (Fig. 1A, Upper). The up-regulation of TMCO1 protein was further validated in 40 pairs of colon cancer tissues and their matched adjacent normal controls by Western blot (WB) (Fig. 1B). We also found that the increased TMCO1 protein was significantly associated with the advanced stages of colon cancers but not associated with sex and age (Fig. 1C and D).

In contrast to protein expression, TMCO1 messenger RNA (mRNA) expression patterns were variable among different types of cancers by analyzing transcriptomic data from The Cancer Genome Atlas. For example, it was significantly increased in BRCA and LUAD but not changed in UCEC, STAD, and COAD (Fig. 1A, Lower). Consistently, our RT-PCR results showed no obvious change on TMCO1 mRNA in colon cancers either (Fig. 1E). Gene amplification at the TMCO1 locus was associated with the increased TMCO1 mRNA (SI Appendix, Fig. SI4), and this genetic event was relatively frequently observed in BRCA and LUAD (SI Appendix, Fig. SI8), which may contribute to the increased TMCO1 expression in these two cancer types. However, in those cancers with no changes on TMCO1 mRNA levels, such as UCEC, STAD, and COAD (Fig. 1A and SI Appendix, Fig. SI8), the posttranslational mechanisms may be involved in regulating TMCO1.

To explore this, we take COAD for further analysis. Consistent with the in vitro results, TMCO1 protein was increased at protein levels but not at mRNA levels (Fig. 1F). We also found that proteasome inhibitor MG132, but not lysosome inhibitor chloroquine (CO), Elevated TMCO1 protein expression, suggesting that TMCO1 can be regulated by proteasome degradation (Fig. 1G and H and SI Appendix, Fig. S1 C and D). The proteasomal degradation of a target protein is mainly mediated by polyubiquitination (39). In line with this, TMCO1 was found to be polyubiquitinated, as indicated by higher molecular bands/smears in an immunoprecipitation (IP) assay in multiple colon cancer cell lines (Fig. 1I). Our data also showed that polyubiquitinated TMCO1 was increased by MG132 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 E and H) or by introducing increased doses of ubiquitin (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 F and H). Conversely, by using UPS2ec, a deubiquitylating enzyme, the polyubiquitinated-TMCO1 signal was significantly decreased (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 G and H). These data suggest that TMCO1 can be regulated by ubiquitin-mediated proteasome degradation.

TMCO1 Is a Substrate of E3 Ligase Gp78. We wondered whether the dysregulated TMCO1 protein turnover contributes to its activation in COAD. To test this, the potential E3 ligase involved in regulating TMCO1 protein stability was first explored. Because TMCO1 is localized at the ER (12), its protein levels were measured after inhibiting the expression of a panel of ER-localized E3 ligases (Gp78, RNF5, RNF185, HRDI, SPFH1, and TMEM129). The results revealed that TMCO1 protein levels were increased by knocking down (KD) of Gp78, RNF5, or RNF185 but not by KD of HRDI, SPFH1, or TMEM129 (Fig. 2A and SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A–D). An IP assay revealed that Gp78 was present in exogenously expressed TMCO1 precipitates, while neither RNF185 nor RNF5 was likely to bind with TMCO1 (Fig. 2B). Direct interaction between TMCO1 and Gp78 was further validated in an in vitro IP assay using recombinant proteins (Fig. 2C). We therefore reasoned that TMCO1 may be a substrate of Gp78.

In support of this idea, the steady-state levels of TMCO1 protein were decreased by Gp78 OE, and no further reduction was observed upon adding MG132 (Fig. 2D and SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). In addition, wild-type (WT) Gp78, but not an E3 ligase–defective Gp78 mutant (C337/374S, MT), ubiquitinated TMCO1 (Fig. 2E and SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). Furthermore, ubiquitin mutant vectors K0, K48, K29, and K63, which contain arginine substitutions at all lysine residues except the ones at the indicated positions, were used in transfection assays to study Gp78-mediated polyubiquitination of TMCO1. Remarkably, TMCO1 polyubiquitination could be detected in the presence of the K48 plasmid but not K0, K29, or K63 (Fig. 2F and SI Appendix, Fig. S2 E and F). Consistent with this, the defective K48R ubiquitin mutant prevented the formation of TMCO1 ubiquitin chains (Fig. 2F and SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). Moreover, small interfering RNA (siRNA)–mediated Gp78 KD almost completely abolished TMCO1 K48-linked ubiquitination (Fig. 2F and SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). Therefore, Gp78 is a major E3 that catalyzes K48-linked ubiquitination of TMCO1.

As a substrate of Gp78, we also wondered which residue in TMCO1 is ubiquitinated. To this end, we applied a commonly used bioinformatic tool. As predicted, K40, K116, K160, K172, and K186 were most likely to be sites that could be modified by ubiquitination (SI Appendix, Fig. S2G). To validate the bioinformatic results, we generated ubiquitination-defective TMCO1 mutants (K40R, K116R, K160R, K172R, and K186R). A ubiquitination assay was conducted after respectively introducing individual mutant constructs into HT-29 cells. As shown, K186R-TMCO1 largely lost its ability to be ubiquitinated, while
other mutants were ubiquitinated to similar extents as WT TMCO1 (Fig. 2G and SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). Cycloheximide (CHX) is widely used to inhibit protein synthesis in eukaryotic cells. Following CHX treatment, the half-life of TMCO1 was determined to be 4 h in control cells, while the half-life of K186R-TMCO1 was much longer than that of its WT counterpart (Fig. 2H), and Gp78 expression failed to reduce the levels of K186R-TMCO1 (Fig. 2I and SI Appendix, Fig. S2H). Therefore, Gp78 catalyzes the polyubiquitination of TMCO1 at K186, leading to its ubiquitin-mediated proteasome degradation.
Oncogene iASPP and TMCO1 Are Associated with Each Other In Vivo in Colon Cancer Tissues. We then reasoned that Gp78 dysregulation may be the determinant of TMCO1 expression in COAD in vivo. However, it is unlikely the case because Gp78 protein was found to be comparable in COAD and normal controls by analyzing CPTAC samples (SI Appendix, Fig. S3A). Further analysis also showed that there were no obvious differences in the expression levels of Gp78 in colon cancer specimens versus the paired adjacent normal controls by WB (n = 40 pairs) (Fig. 3A and B). No obvious correlation between Gp78 and TMCO1 was detected either (Fig. 3C). Thus, it is plausible that additional levels of regulation by oncogene or tumor suppressor may exist to determine the activity of Gp78 in regulating TMCO1 in vivo.

To explore this, we analyzed Gp78-interacting proteins using a mass spectrum approach following anti-Gp78 pull-down. As expected, we identified Gp78 itself and the well-known Gp78-binding protein CP3A4 as specific components in the anti-Gp78 immunoprecipitants. TMCO1-specific peptides were also detected. Intriguingly, a well-known oncogene, namely iASPP, was also identified as a potential interacting protein with TMCO1. Thus, we hypothesized that iASPP may play a role in regulating TMCO1 expression in vivo.

**Fig. 2.** TMCO1 is a substrate of Gp78. (A) Representative WB images were determined by WB after Gp78 KD in HT-29 and HCT-116 cells. β-actin was used as a loading control. (B and C) The interactions between TMCO1 and candidate E3 ligases, Gp78, RNF5, and RNF185 were analyzed by IP assays in HT-29 cells (B). The direct binding between TMCO1 and Gp78 was determined by an in vitro IP using purified proteins (C). (D) TMCO1 protein levels were detected by WB after Gp78 OE in the presence or absence of proteasome inhibitor MG132 (40 μM). HSP70 was used as an E3 ligase-regulated protein control and β-actin was used as a loading control. (E–G) Ub-TMCO1 was determined by IP/WB in HT-29 cells overexpressing WT Gp78-Flag or E3 ligase-defective mutant (MT), C337/374S Gp78-Flag (E), in cells overexpressing WT or mutant (K48, K48R) Ubiquitin (ub)-HA in combination with Gp78 KD (F), or in cells overexpressing WT TMCO1 or TMCO1 with mutations at the predicted ubiquitination sites (G) in the presence of MG132 (20 μM). (H) Representative WB (Left) and quantification (Right) of Flag-tagged TMCO1 (WT and K186R) protein in the presence of 100 μg/mL CHX for the indicated time periods in HT-29 cells. β-actin was used as a loading control. Flag-tagged TMCO1 levels in the untreated cells were normalized to 1. The Tagged TMCO1 (WT, K116R, and K186R) levels were evaluated and quantified by WB and imageJ after ectopic Gp78 expression in HT-29 cells. β-actin was used as a loading control. Data are derived from three independent experiments and represented as mean ± SEM in the bar graph (A, D, E–G, and H). Quantification data are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S2A, E, and H). Values in the WT controls were normalized to 1. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 (H).
iASPP, was found to be among the significant hits (Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). This attracted our attention because iASPP has been shown to be overexpressed in multiple cancers, including COAD (35, 40–42), and our data also revealed that iASPP was increased in colon cancer tissues compared with matched adjacent normal controls (Fig. 3E). Like TMCO1, iASPP expression was correlated with advanced stages of colon cancer (Fig. 3F). In addition, the fold increase of TMCO1 was positively correlated with that of iASPP (Fig. 3G), indicating that iASPP expression is associated with TMCO1 expression in vivo.

iASPP Protects TMCO1 from Ubiquitin–Proteasome Degradation.

The next question is whether or not iASPP causes TMCO1 up-regulation. To this end, the levels of TMCO1 were examined after iASPP OE or KD in colon cancer cell lines. Remarkably, TMCO1 was consistently and dramatically increased by iASPP OE and decreased by iASPP KD (Fig. 4A). This is likely specific because the expression levels of other ER-resident Ca^{2+} modulators, such as IP3R1, RyR2, SERCA2, and STIM1, were not changed by iASPP expression (Fig. 4A). In addition, we found no effect of iASPP on TMCO1 mRNA expression (Fig. 4A), while MG132 but not CQ completely rescued iASPP KD–caused TMCO1 repression (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). Compared with the half-life at 4 h in the controls, iASPP-overexpressing cells showed a prolonged half-life at ∼12 h, and iASPP KD cells exhibited a shortened half-life at ∼1 h (Fig. 4C and D). Furthermore, iASPP OE inhibited TMCO1 polyubiquitination, while iASPP KD increased it (Fig. 4E and F). These data collectively suggest that oncogene iASPP protects TMCO1 from ubiquitin-mediated proteasome degradation.

The Effect of iASPP on TMCO1 Protein Stability Is Dependent on Gp78.

We next asked whether or not iASPP inhibits TMCO1 protein turnover. p53 and Nrf2 are the best-known mediators of iASPP functions; however, we observed that the effect of iASPP in regulating TMCO1 was p53- and Nrf2-independent (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B). In contrast, iASPP-regulated TMCO1 expression was completely abrogated by Gp78 KD (Fig. 4G and SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). This was not due to changes in iASPP protein itself because Gp78 KD produced no obvious effect on iASPP expression (Fig. 4G and SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). In addition, ubiquitination-defective TMCO1 K186R was highly resistant to
iASPP-mediated TMCO1 increase when compared with WT TMCO1 or a ubiquitination-site–irrelevant TMCO1 mutant (K116R) (Fig. 4H and SI Appendix, Fig. S4C). Therefore, iASPP stabilizes TMCO1 in a Gp78-dependent manner.

**iASPP Stabilizes TMCO1 by Competitively Binding with Gp78.** We further explored the underlying mechanism by which iASPP inhibits Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation. For this purpose, the effects of iASPP on the expression of Gp78 were first examined. However, Gp78 levels did not change with iASPP expression or KD (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). Of interest, we observed that the Gp78, TMCO1, and iASPP forms complex (Fig. 5A), which is in line with our mass spectrum results (Fig. 3D). In addition, the binding between Gp78 and TMCO1 was decreased with iASPP OE (Fig. 5A and SI Appendix, Fig. S5B). It is thus logical to propose that iASPP may act by inhibiting the binding between Gp78 and TMCO1. If this is the case, iASPP may physically associate with either Gp78 or TMCO1. To test this idea, an in vitro binding assay was applied using in vitro–translated or recombinant proteins of iASPP, Gp78, and TMCO1.
The binding among the purified TMC01 and Gp78 protein and in vitro translated iASPP protein were determined by an in vitro IP assay. (C–F) The interactions of full-length (FL) Gp78 or Gp78 truncates, as indicated in the diagram (C), with TMC01 (D) or iASPP (E), and the interaction among Gp78, iASPP, and TMC01 (F) with increasing dose of iASPP protein (0, 4, and 8 μL) were evaluated by an in vitro IP assay. (G) A model proposed on the binding mechanisms of TMC01/Gp78 and iASPP/Gp78. The representative images derived from three independent experiments. The WB bands (A and F) were quantified by Image J and presented as mean ± SEM in the bar graphs shown in the SI Appendix, Figs. S5 B and D, respectively.

Fig. 5. iASPP stabilizes TMC01 by competitively binding with Gp78. (A) The binding between Gp78 and TMC01 was compared by IP after iASPP OE. (B) The binding among the purified TMC01 and Gp78 protein and in vitro translated iASPP protein were determined by an in vitro IP assay. (C–F) The interactions of full-length (FL) Gp78 or Gp78 truncates, as indicated in the diagram (C), with TMC01 (D) or iASPP (E), and the interaction among Gp78, iASPP, and TMC01 (F) with increasing dose of iASPP protein (0, 4, and 8 μL) were evaluated by an in vitro IP assay. (G) A model proposed on the binding mechanisms of TMC01/Gp78 and iASPP/Gp78. The representative images derived from three independent experiments. The WB bands (A and F) were quantified by Image J and presented as mean ± SEM in the bar graphs shown in the SI Appendix, Figs. S5 B and D, respectively.

and TMC01. The results showed that iASPP was coprecipitated with Gp78, while its interaction with TMC01 was only observed when Gp78 was present in the mixture (Fig. 5B). Therefore, iASPP directly interacts with Gp78 but not TMC01.

Considering its ability to directly bind with Gp78, we reasoned that iASPP reduces TMC01/Gp78 interaction possibly by competing with TMC01 in binding with Gp78. To test this possibility, the binding mechanisms of iASPP/Gp78 and TMC01/Gp78 were explored. We generated four Gp78-truncated mutants, as depicted in Fig. 5D. An in vitro binding assay with an anti-v5 tag antibody revealed that v5-tagged TMC01 coimmunoprecipitated with WT- and F2(301–400)Gp78, both containing the Ring domain, but not others, suggesting that the Ring domain is essential for Gp78’s binding with TMC01 (Fig. 5D). To better understand which TMC01 domains contribute to the interaction with Gp78, Gp78 was coexpressed with Flag-tagged forms of full-length, C-, or N-terminal–truncated TMC01 mutants in HeLa cells. The IP assay revealed that Gp78 coimmunoprecipitated with WT and C-terminal (90–188) TMC01 but not the N terminus (1–89) (SI Appendix, Fig. SSC). Therefore, TMC01 binds with Gp78 through its residues mapped to the C terminus (90–188).

Interestingly, using an in vitro IP assay we found that (301–400) Gp78, the region identified to bind with TMC01, also contributes to its binding with iASPP (Fig. 5E), further supporting the hypothesis that iASPP competes with TMC01 in binding with Gp78. To provide more direct evidence, the binding between TMC01 and Gp78 was compared in the presence of increasing amounts of iASPP protein. Indeed, the interaction between TMC01 and Gp78 gradually decreased with increased binding of iASPP with Gp78 (Fig. 5F and SI Appendix, Fig. SSD). Meanwhile, our results revealed the key residue in (92–294) iASPP that is required for its interaction with Gp78 (SI Appendix, Fig. SSE), whereas the featured domain in the C terminus of iASPP is unlikely to contribute to its binding with Gp78 (SI Appendix, Fig. SSE). These data together suggest that iASPP competes with TMC01 to bind with (301–400) Gp78, leading to increased TMC01 stability (Fig. 5G).

A Function of iASPP to Modulate ER Ca\(^{2+}\) Stores by Stabilizing TMC01. Given that TMC01 is a Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel protein and the function of iASPP in regulating TMC01, we further investigated iASPP’s activity in regulating Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. To this end, we measured Ca\(^{2+}\) flux in HT-29 and HCT-116 cells grown under Ca\(^{2+}\)-free conditions in the presence of ionomycin. Ionomycin was expected to permeabilize the membrane of the stores to Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\), causing rapid Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) leakage. Because the experiments were conducted in the absence of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through cell membrane was prevented, and therefore detected cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) flux indirectly reflects Ca\(^{2+}\) content in the ER. Under such conditions, the signal of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\), as quantified by the area under the curve (AUC), was evident after ionomycin loading. Remarkably, iASPP OE significantly reduced AUC compared with the corresponding controls (Fig. 6 A and B), whereas iASPP KD enhanced it (Fig. 6 C and D). The impact of iASPP on ER Ca\(^{2+}\) content was confirmed by ionomycin addition after Thapsigargin (TG)–induced Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) transient (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A). Therefore, iASPP reduces ER Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) stores.

In addition, we confirmed that TMC01 KD led to an increased level of Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) flux by monitoring cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) after ionomycin treatment (Fig. 6 E and F). By contrast, TMC01 OE reduced Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) content at ER (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). In addition, endogenous TMC01 levels were negatively associated with ER Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) content by analysis of four representative colon cancer cells with different TMC01 levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C). TMC01 OE also reduced Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) content at the ER in nonmalignant HEK293T cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S6D), suggesting this activity of TMC01 is common. The dependency of iASPP on TMC01-regulated Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) release from the ER was further validated (Fig. 6 E and F). The results show that the effect of iASPP on ionomycin-induced Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) responses was completely abolished by TMC01 KD (Fig. 6 E and F). Similar to iASPP, Gp78 was also found to regulate Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) flux in a TMC01-dependent manner (SI Appendix, Fig. S6E). Also notably, iASPP KD elevated the AUC compared with corresponding controls in p53 null H1299 cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S6F). Restoring p53 expression in H1299 cells increased ER Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) content; however, it has no obvious impacts on the effect of iASPP OE (SI Appendix, Fig. S6G). Despite observing increased Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) release after Nrf2 KD, the ability of iASPP to regulate Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) flux was not changed significantly in Nrf2 KD cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S6H). These data are in line with our finding that iASPP regulates TMC01 in a p53- and Nrf2-independent manner and further supports the idea that iASPP regulates Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) homeostasis mainly by controlling the protein levels of TMC01.

iASPP–TMC01 Promote Tumor Growth Both In Vitro and In Vivo. Considering the close association between iASPP and TMC01 both in vitro and in vivo and the pivotal roles of Ca\(^{2+}\)\(^+\) homeostasis in carcinogenesis, we sought to explore the activity of the identified iASPP–TMC01 axis on tumor growth. As shown,
TMCO1 KD dramatically reduced colony formation of HT-29 and HCT-116 cells in a soft agar assay (Fig. 7A). iASPP KD elicited a similar effect (Fig. 7A), while there was no further reduction upon their combination (Fig. 7A).

The effects of iASPP-TMCO1 on tumor growth were also evaluated in vivo using a nude mouse xenograft model. The same numbers of HT-29 cells with different expression levels of iASPP and/or TMCO1 were inoculated into nude mice. Remarkably, either iASPP or TMCO1 KD reduced tumor growth and weight (Fig. 7B and C). The effect of iASPP was largely diminished by TMCO1 KD (Fig. 7B and C). There were no obvious changes in body weight in the different xenograft inoculated mouse models (Fig. 7D). In agreement with the in vitro data, we found that TMCO1 was reduced in iASPP KD xenografts (Fig. 7E).

**iASPP-TMCO1 Axis Blunts Apoptotic Ca2+ Signals.** Mounting evidence has suggested that some chemotherapeutic drugs act by disrupting Ca2+ homeostasis (43). We therefore further investigate the effects of iASPP+TMCO1 axis on the sensitivity of Ca2+-related apoptosis. Toward this end, the apoptosis rates of iASPP or TMCO1 KD cells and their matched controls were examined before and after triggering Ca2+ release from the ER by TG or histamine treatment. As expected, apoptotic levels, as indicated by caspase 3/7 activities and Annexin V–positive rates, were increased by prolonged treatment with TG or histamine for 24 h (Fig. 8A and B). Consistently, the percentages of Annexin V–positive apoptotic cells in iASPP or TMCO1 KD cells were much higher than in controls, under both unstressed and TG- or histamine-treated conditions (Fig. 8A and B). By contrast, TMCO1 OE inhibits apoptosis (SI Appendix, Fig. S8A). Intriguingly, pretreatment of BAPTA-AM (BAPTA–acetoxymethyl ester) to chelate cytosolic Ca2+ abolished the effect of TG- and histamine-induced cell death, suggesting their effect is largely relying on the increased cytosolic Ca2+ (Fig. 8A and B). Similar results were obtained in cells treated with staurosporine, a widely used chemotherapeutic drug (44) that has been reported to induce Ca2+-dependent apoptosis (Fig. 8A and B). Supportively, cytosolic Ca2+ was increased by drug treatments and TMCO1 KD augmented above effects, while BAPTA-AM completely abolished Ca2+ increase (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B). Staurosporine elevated cytosolic Ca2+ but not ER Ca2+ content (SI Appendix, Fig. S8B and C). However, under treatment with the Ca2+-independent apoptosis inducer etoposide (45), iASPP KD promoted apoptosis sensitivity...
regardless of TMCO1 KD (Fig. 8A and B). By soft agar assay, the same results were also observed. Namely, iASPP KD dramatically inhibited colony formation of HT-29 cells under the treatment of staurosporine. TMCO1 KD showed a similar effect, but double KD of iASPP and TMCO1 produced no further reduction (Fig. 8C). Calpains are known effectors of cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated apoptosis (46). Despite having no obvious impacts on calpain 1 (CAPN1) expression, iASPP OE decreased and iASPP KD increased calpain activities (SI Appendix, Fig. S8D). These data suggest that iASPP regulates Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated apoptosis by reducing calpain activities.

We further validated the roles of iASPP-TMCO1 in vivo in the HT-29 xenograft model. Staurosporine inhibited tumor growth, and iASPP KD or TMCO1 KD alone sensitized HT29 xenograft’s response to staurosporine. However, double KD failed to produce additional effects (Fig. 8D–F). There were no obvious body weight changes in the different mice models (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). These data suggest that iASPP and TMCO1 regulates the sensitivity to staurosporine by similar mechanism. In addition, iASPP KD and TMCO1 KD efficiency was confirmed by WB of xenografts. We also validated that iASPP KD reduced TMCO1 expression (Fig. 8G). Consistently, staurosporine-induced apoptosis, as indicated by the caspases 3/7 activity, was increased by inhibiting either iASPP or TMCO1. No further induction was observed with their combination (Fig. 8H). These data collectively suggest that inhibition of the iASPP-TMCO1 axis sensitizes cancer cells to Ca\(^{2+}\)-regulated cell death.

**Discussion**

Although Ca\(^{2+}\) deregulation has long been dismissed as a bystander of cancer development and resistance to therapy, accumulating preclinical and clinical evidence has supported a central role for alterations in Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis over recent years (2, 47). As such, Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis has begun to attract attention as a potential target for the development of novel anticancer therapies (2). However, Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent changes in cell function are highly context specific, which made it a challenge to delineate their role in cancer.

In this report, we demonstrate that a recently identified Ca\(^{2+}\) channel protein, TMCO1 is up-regulated in COAD at protein levels but not at mRNA levels. Further study revealed that TMCO1 is a substrate of E3 ligase Gp78. Intriguingly, the activity of Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation is under the control of oncogene iASPP. Mechanistically, iASPP promotes TMCO1 protein stability by competitively binding with Gp78, thus preventing Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation. Inhibition of iASPP-TMCO1 expression leads to increased sensitivity to Ca\(^{2+}\) signals of apoptosis (Fig. 8J). Furthermore, positive association between iASPP and TMCO1 expression is observed in vivo in human colon cancer tissues, further highlighting the significance of iASPP-TMCO1 in the pathogenesis of COAD.

The critical role of TMCO1 in modulating Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis was demonstrated in a recent study (12). Since then, TMCO1 has similarly been demonstrated to be involved in regulating osteoblast functions and ovarian follicle development (30, 48). However, whether or not it is associated with cancer has remained unclear. The identification of a positive correlation between the expression of the iASPP oncogene and TMCO1 suggests that TMCO1, like many other Ca\(^{2+}\) modulators, may contribute to the development of cancer. Indeed, TMCO1 levels are frequently elevated in human colon cancer tissues compared with adjacent normal controls. Increased TMCO1 is associated with advanced stages of colon cancer. Suppressing TMCO1 expression via genetic strategies promotes the occurrence of apoptosis. Additionally, TMCO1 inhibition leads to reduced tumor growth both in vitro in soft agar and in vivo in nude mice. In such contexts, altered expression of TMCO1 might
be an adaptive response or might offer a survival advantage by inducing resistance to apoptosis. Controversially, Chien-Feng Li et al. recently claimed a tumor-suppressive role for TMCO1 in urinary bladder urothelial carcinoma (49). The discrepancy may be explained by different tissue contexts between colon cancers and bladder carcinomas. This idea matches the observations that both Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel inhibitors and antagonists are able to inhibit tumor growth in a cell context–dependent manner (50). Indeed, cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) is required for cell proliferative signaling, while Ca\(^{2+}\) overload leads to cell death (46, 51, 52). Understanding which Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, pumps, or even exchangers are altered in a particular cancer and their roles will aid their exploitation to kill cancer cells. In addition, Chien-Feng Li et al. have shown that TMCO1 inhibits tumors by recruiting PHLPP2 (PH domain and Leucine rich repeat Protein Phosphatase 2) to dephosphorylate Akt (49). It is not clear whether this is related to the remodeling of Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. Whether TMCO1 has ER-Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent tumor-suppressor activity and under which circumstance this is the case remain to be elucidated.

It is also noteworthy that genetic inhibition of the iASPP-TMCO1 axis sensitizes cells under both unstressed conditions and treatment with reagents or chemotherapeutics that have been shown to disturb Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. These results indicate that the iASPP-TMCO1 axis is not just a marker but also a control tumor growth and drug resistance.
target that offers single or adjunct therapeutic opportunities. Cancer cells with iASPP/TMCO1 OE have less intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) for release from the ER upon activation of IP3R or other treatment-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) overload, resulting in apoptosis resistance. Such evidence is in keeping with previous work (53, 54) and supports the idea that modulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis is a critical step in the regulation of cell responses to stress conditions and favors drug resistance in cancer.

Another important discovery of this study is the regulatory mechanism of TMCO1, which is mediated by E3 ligase Gp78. We provide solid evidence that TMCO1 is a substrate of Gp78 and that its levels can be modulated by Gp78. Notably, disruption Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis leads to ER stress coping response, such as the activation of ERAD. ERAD serves to degrade ER-associated misfolded or unassembled proteins, alleviating ER stress and facilitating adaptive survival. However, ERAD also targets folded functional proteins (55). Gp78 is an intrinsic ERAD ubiquitin E3 ligase (56), and TMCO1 serves as a functional substrate of ERAD, suggesting that cells may control ER stress by maintaining Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis through ERAD pathways. However, there was no association between Gp78 and TMCO1 in clinical samples. A potential explanation is that other E3 ligases may contribute to TMCO1 degradation. In support of this, in addition to Gp78, we found that RNF185 and RNF5 were able to reduce TMCO1 protein levels, possibly by indirect mechanisms, because they were not found to bind with TMCO1 in our experimental system. Alternatively, Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation is subjected to additional layers of regulation, such as the presence of oncogenic iASPP, as demonstrated here. Our data suggest that iASPP may be more important in controlling TMCO1 protein levels because a positive association between iASPP and TMCO1 was identified in our clinical samples. This may be because the presence of Gp78 is sufficient for TMCO1 degradation in cancer cells. Its activity in regulating its substrate TMCO1 is controlled by additional factors, such as iASPP. Thus, modulation of TMCO1’s activity and expression by targeting the interaction of iASPP Gp78 may enable the development of a new kind of targeted anticancer therapy. Furthermore, Gp78’s activity has been shown to be regulated by ubiquitin-specific protease 13 (USP13), a Ring domain binding protein with the deubiquitination enzyme activity (57). iASPP-regulated Gp78 inhibition identified in this study represents an alternative mechanism by which an oncogene is directly involved in regulating Gp78’s binding with its substrate.

iASPP is an oncogene that has been shown to directly interact with p53. Such interaction is unlikely to occur in the cytosol as iASPP forms dimers there, which simultaneously block its p53 binding sites (33, 58). Therefore, iASPP regulates Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis independently of p53, although a previous study has found that p53 regulates Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling via its cytosolic activity elicited from the ER and/or mitochondria (59). Similarly, despite the robust effect of iASPP in regulating the antioxidative activity of Nrf2 and the clear involvement of Nrf2 in regulating Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis, iASPP regulates Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis independently of Nrf2. Of note, Nrf2 mainly regulates Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis by modulating the redox-sensitive transient receptor potential cation channel (60). Additional work is urgently needed to disentangle the role of iASPP in regulating the cross talk between oxidative stress and Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. In addition, we found that iASPP/TMCO1-induced apoptosis is mainly dependent on the cytosolic Ca\(^{2+}\) signal because cytosolic chelator BAAT-PAM largely abolished the treatment-induced cell death. However, we cannot exclude the involvement of mitochondria, as mitochondria and ER are functionally and physically associated (61).

In summary, our data highlight key examples of a Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel protein that is involved in the development of cancer and an oncogene that modulates Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis. We demonstrate the biochemical mechanism of iASPP-regulated Gp78-mediated TMCO1 degradation, which causes deregulated Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis and increased resistance to increased Ca\(^{2+}\)-triggered apoptosis in cancer cells. These findings suggest the future potential of the development of pharmacological or genetic approaches for the treatment of cancer by modulating Ca\(^{2+}\) homeostasis.

Materials and Methods
Cell Lines and Transfection. The human colorectal cancer cell line HCT-15, SW480, SW620, COLO 205, HCT-116, HT-29, and the lung cancer cell line H1299 had been authenticated and characterized by the supplier. The detailed culture conditions, transfection methods, and siRNA sequences used in this study can be found in SI Appendix, Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Colon Cancer Patient Samples. A total of 40 pairs human colorectal cancer tissues and their corresponding adjacent normal controls were collected from the Third Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University in China. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All samples were obtained and stored in liquid nitrogen immediately after surgery. The study has been approved by the Research Ethics Committee of Harbin Medical University, China.

Other Methods. RNA extraction and qRT-PCR, IB assay, measurement of Ca\(^{2+}\) flux, in vitro translation, purification of recombinant protein, IP assay, ubiquitination assay, apoptosis assay, caspase 3/7 activity assay, clonogenic survival assay, in vivo xenografted tumor model, calpain activity assay, mass spectrum, and statistical analysis can be found in SI Appendix, Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.
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