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Abstract

The processing of synaptic potentials by neuronal dendrites depends on both their passive cable properties and active voltage-gated channels, which can generate complex effects due to their nonlinear properties. In this study, we characterized the actions of the hyperpolarization-activated cation current (I\textsubscript{h}) on dendritic processing of subthreshold excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) in mouse CA1 hippocampal neurons. Although I\textsubscript{h} generates an excitatory inward current that exerted a direct depolarizing effect on the peak voltage of weak EPSPs, it produced a paradoxical hyperpolarizing effect on the peak voltage of stronger but still subthreshold EPSPs. Using a combined modeling and experimental approach, we found that the inhibitory action of I\textsubscript{h} is caused by its interaction with the delayed rectifier M-type K\textsuperscript{+} current. In this manner, I\textsubscript{h} can enhance spike firing in response to an EPSP when spike threshold is low but inhibit firing when spike threshold is high.

Introduction

Neurons actively process and integrate synaptic potentials through the actions of a wide array of voltage-gated ion channels that are often differentially expressed throughout a neuron’s dendritic tree\textsuperscript{1}. In some instances, the effects of voltage-gated channels on dendritic processing are relatively straightforward and well understood. For example, dendritic voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels can amplify synaptic potentials\textsuperscript{2}.
through the generation of local or propagated dendritic action potentials\textsuperscript{3, 4}. In contrast, dendritic voltage-gated or calcium-activated K\textsuperscript{+} channels can reduce EPSP amplitude and dampen dendritic excitability\textsuperscript{5-7}. However, in other cases, nonlinear interactions between dendritic voltage-gated channels give rise to complex effects that are less easily understood. In this study we focus on the paradoxical effects of the hyperpolarization-activated HCN cation channels on the processing of EPSPs in the apical dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons, where these channels are expressed in a gradient of increasing density with increasing distance from the soma\textsuperscript{8-11}.

Unlike most voltage-gated channels, HCN channels activate with hyperpolarization and deactivate with depolarization. Their mixed permeability to K\textsuperscript{+} and Na\textsuperscript{+} ions results in a reversal potential (E\textsubscript{h}) of approximately \(-30\, \text{mV}\), causing these channels to generate an excitatory inward current (I\textsubscript{h}) at subthreshold potentials. These biophysical properties underlie the role of I\textsubscript{h} as a pacemaker current in cardiac myocytes and thalamocortical relay neurons, where activation of I\textsubscript{h} following action potential repolarization generates a depolarizing current that drives spontaneous, rhythmic firing\textsuperscript{12, 13}. In neurons that are not spontaneously active, I\textsubscript{h} contributes a 5–10 mV depolarizing influence on the resting membrane potential and increases the resting membrane conductance (that is, it lowers the input resistance), thereby regulating the spatial and temporal integration of synaptic inputs\textsuperscript{10, 14-16}.

Despite the fact that I\textsubscript{h} provides a depolarizing current at subthreshold potentials, several studies indicate that it has a paradoxical inhibitory effect on the ability of an EPSP to trigger an action potential. Thus, enhancement of I\textsubscript{h} – by the anticonvulsant lamotrigine\textsuperscript{17}, application of dopamine\textsuperscript{18}, or induction of long-term potentiation\textsuperscript{19, 20} – decreases excitability and spike firing. Conversely, downregulation of I\textsubscript{h} – through genetic deletion of HCN1\textsuperscript{21}, pharmacological blockade using cesium\textsuperscript{15, 22} or the organic antagonist ZD7288\textsuperscript{15, 16}, or following induction of long-term depression\textsuperscript{23} or seizures\textsuperscript{24} – increases EPSP amplitude, temporal summation, and spike firing.

The inhibitory effects of I\textsubscript{h}, by which we mean the inhibition seen when I\textsubscript{h} is enhanced, have generally been attributed to its action to increase the resting membrane conductance. This so-called ‘shunting effect’ on the excitatory postsynaptic current decreases the amplitude of an EPSP\textsuperscript{10, 22}, where EPSP amplitude (ΔV\textsubscript{EPSP}) is defined as the difference between the peak voltage of an EPSP (V\textsubscript{peak}) and the resting potential. However, the impact of an EPSP depends not on its amplitude but on the voltage reached at its peak, which determines whether an EPSP is suprathreshold\textsuperscript{25}. Importantly, I\textsubscript{h} exerts two opposing influences on V\textsubscript{peak}: its shunting effect decreases EPSP peak voltage whereas its direct depolarizing effect increases V\textsubscript{peak} (see Fig. 1a).

In this study, we first show in a simple computational model that, in the absence of other voltage-gated conductances, I\textsubscript{h} should be always excitatory for EPSPs negative to the I\textsubscript{h} reversal potential—that is, the depolarizing action of I\textsubscript{h} on V\textsubscript{peak} is always greater than its shunting effect. This implies that any inhibitory effect of I\textsubscript{h} on V\textsubscript{peak} must be caused by its interactions with other voltage-gated conductances.
One such interaction results in an inhibitory effect of $I_h$ on the duration of $Ca^{2+}$ action potentials in the distal dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons. In this instance, the depolarizing effect of $I_h$ on the resting membrane increases the resting inactivation of N- and T-type voltage-gated $Ca^{2+}$ channels, thus inhibiting the $Ca^{2+}$ spikes. In principle, this effect of $I_h$ on resting potential and resting inactivation could also explain how $I_h$ suppresses the firing of $Na^+$ action potentials. However, it remains unclear whether $I_h$ can exert an inhibitory effect on $V_{peak}$ for subthreshold EPSPs.

Here, we found that $I_h$, through interactions with voltage-gated $K^+$ channels, could indeed produce an inhibitory effect on peak voltage of subthreshold EPSPs. Interestingly, the influence of $I_h$ on $V_{peak}$ depended on synaptic strength. Thus, whereas $I_h$ shifted $V_{peak}$ to more positive potentials for weak EPSPs, $I_h$ inhibited $V_{peak}$ for stronger, but still subthreshold, EPSPs. In other words, the effects of $I_h$ on $V_{peak}$ crossed over from depolarizing to hyperpolarizing as a function of increasing synaptic strength, with the “crossover” potential occurring below both the reversal potential for $I_h$ and the action potential threshold. This indicated that the net effect of $I_h$ is essentially inhibitory as it made it more difficult for an EPSP to reach threshold. Both our computational and experimental results demonstrated that the inhibitory effect of $I_h$ was caused by its action to depolarize the resting membrane, which enhanced the resting activation of the delayed-rectifier M-type $K^+$ channels. Because the M-channels are under neuromodulatory control, the influence of $I_h$ on dendritic integration may switch from inhibitory to excitatory depending on the state of M-channel regulation. Such modulation may have important implications for regulation of long-term synaptic plasticity that contributes to learning and memory, and for the treatment of epileptic disorders in which both $I_h$ and M-channels may play a role.

RESULTS

Dual influence of $I_h$ on EPSPs in CA1 pyramidal neurons

We first examined the influence of $I_h$ on neuronal activity in mouse hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons by performing whole-cell current-clamp recordings of both resting membrane properties and somatic EPSPs evoked by stimulation of the Schaffer collateral pathway (Fig. 1b). In response to hyperpolarizing current steps injected in the CA1 neuron soma, the membrane voltage exhibited a depolarizing sag that is characteristic of $I_h$ activation (Fig. 1c). We then applied focal synaptic stimulation of increasing strength to elicit EPSPs of increasing amplitude to determine the relationship between $V_{peak}$ and stimulus strength (Fig. 1d). In all experiments, inhibitory synaptic transmission was blocked using GABA$_A$ and GABA$_B$ receptor antagonists.

Next, we applied the organic antagonist ZD7288 to block $I_h$ and repeated the above measurements of resting membrane properties, voltage sag and EPSP input-output curve. Relatively low concentrations of ZD7288 ($10 \mu M$) and short exposure times ($10-15$ min) were used to minimize nonspecific effects of this drug on synaptic transmission. These conditions were sufficient to eliminate the voltage sag in response to hyperpolarizing currents, indicating effective block of $I_h$ (Fig. 1c). The average sag ratio decreased from $10.2 \pm 1.0\%$ under control conditions to $-3.1 \pm 0.5\%$ in the presence of ZD7288 ($n=7$, $p < 0.001$, paired t-test). Application of ZD7288 also shifted the resting membrane potential (RMP) by...
∼ 5 mV to more negative voltages (RMP under control conditions equaled −68.9 ± 1.5 mV; RMP in ZD7288 equaled −74.0 ± 1.4 mV; n=7; p < 0.001, paired t-test), and increased the input resistance by > 2-fold (control: 138.6 ± 8.2 MΩ; ZD7288: 287.8 ± 25.1 MΩ, n=7; p < 0.001, paired t-test), consistent with previous findings19, 23, 24, 26, 34.

A comparison of EPSP input-output curves in the presence and absence of ZD7288 showed that the effects of I_h on peak EPSP voltage depended on EPSP size (Fig. 2). For small EPSPs, the presence of I_h increased V_peak, shifting it to more positive potentials as expected for an inward, excitatory current (Fig. 2a, 30 μA stimulus). However, as the stimulus strength was increased to evoke larger EPSPs, V_peak in the absence of I_h approached its value in the presence of I_h (Fig. 2a, 45 μA stimulus). Eventually with even stronger stimuli, a crossover occurred, where the presence of I_h decreased the peak EPSP voltage to values more negative than those reached in the absence of I_h (Fig. 2a, 60 μA stimulus). This depolarizing/hyperpolarizing crossover effect was clearly seen when V_peak was plotted as a function of stimulus strength in the presence and absence of I_h (Fig. 2b). Surprisingly, the crossover occurred for subthreshold EPSPs whose peak voltages were well below the I_h reversal potential of −30 mV, that is, at voltages where I_h provided an inward, depolarizing current.

Subthreshold hyperpolarizing effects of I_h on V_peak were seen in 6 out of 7 cells that we examined. The one exception occurred in a cell whose resting potential in the presence of I_h was unusually positive (−64 mV) so that spikes were evoked with small current stimuli (35 μA). In some cells, crossover occurred well below the action potential threshold, providing clear evidence that I_h can exert an unambiguously inhibitory influence on subthreshold EPSP peak voltage (see Fig 2b). In other cells, the crossover from depolarizing to hyperpolarizing effects occurred near threshold (Fig. 2c). In such cells V_peak in the presence of ZD7288 approached or overlapped with V_peak in the absence of drug up to potentials very near spike threshold. A slight increase in stimulus intensity could then evoke spikes in the presence but not absence of ZD7288. Such results are consistent with previous findings that I_h has a paradoxical effect to inhibit spiking17-19, 23.

I_h is purely excitatory when the sole active conductance

How can we explain the inhibitory effect of I_h to reduce the peak EPSP voltage at potentials negative to the I_h reversal potential? As discussed in the Introduction, whereas the depolarizing current carried by I_h should make V_peak more positive, the shunting effect of the I_h conductance is expected to decrease V_peak. To determine whether these two opposing effects of I_h could yield a net inhibitory influence on V_peak, we first examined a single-compartment computational model containing only a passive leak conductance, a physiologically-realistic model of I_h10, 17, and a linear excitatory synaptic conductance modeled as an alpha function35 (Fig. 3a). We determined the V_peak attained for different strengths of synaptic input when either the maximal conductance of I_h or the voltage at which I_h was half-maximally activated (V_1/2) was varied across a range of physiologically and experimentally relevant values10, 17.

When we increased I_h by increasing its maximal conductance, the resting membrane potential was shifted to more positive values as expected. Similarly, depolarizing shifts of
$V_{1/2}$, which increased resting $I_h$, also depolarized the membrane (Fig. 3b). Enhancing $I_h$ by either method diminished the EPSP amplitude ($\Delta V_{\text{EPSP}}$) for all synaptic strengths examined (Fig. 3c). These results confirmed previously reported findings for $I_h$.

Despite the effect of $I_h$ to decrease $\Delta V_{\text{EPSP}}$, the increase in $I_h$ always had a depolarizing effect on the peak EPSP voltage, as long as the membrane potential was negative to the $I_h$ reversal potential of $-30$ mV (Fig. 3d,e). $I_h$ did shift $V_{\text{peak}}$ to more negative potentials for very large synaptic conductances that drove $V_{\text{peak}}$ positive to $E_h$, where $I_h$ provided an outward current that hyperpolarized the membrane. Thus, because the threshold for firing a spike is negative to $-30$ mV (typically around $-50$ mV), our results show that $I_h$, in the absence of other voltage-gated conductances, was always excitatory for subthreshold EPSPs. This excitatory effect of $I_h$ persisted even when its maximal conductance was varied by 100-fold (Fig. 3e), mimicking the range of $I_h$ conductances reported along the somatodendritic gradient in CA1 and layer V neocortical pyramidal neurons.

One well-characterized effect of $I_h$ is to reduce temporal summation during a burst of EPSPs, an effect that has been attributed to the action of the $I_h$ conductance to decrease the membrane time constant and to the hyperpolarization caused by the deactivation of $I_h$ during the burst of EPSPs.

Previous studies reported a particularly strong inhibitory effect of $I_h$ when its action to depolarize the resting membrane is compensated by injection of hyperpolarizing current or a reduction in external $K^+$ concentration. Such results are not surprising because when changes in resting potential are prevented the inhibitory effect of $I_h$ to enhance the membrane conductance should predominate. Indeed, when we simulated this protocol by adjusting the leak conductance reversal potential to keep the resting potential constant, an increase in $I_h$ had a marked inhibitory effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ (Supplementary Fig. 1b). However, the more important question is how does $I_h$ exert its inhibitory effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ when the resting potential is free to adopt its intrinsic value, as occurs under physiological conditions.

**$I_h$ interacts with a $K^+$ current to inhibit EPSPs**

Our computational results above demonstrated a purely excitatory effect of $I_h$ on subthreshold EPSPs and thus suggested that the inhibitory effect of $I_h$ on large EPSPs observed in our experiments must involve an interaction with other voltage-gated conductances. One possibility we considered is that the resting membrane depolarization caused by the presence of $I_h$ may increase the activation of a low-threshold voltage-gated $K^+$ conductance, and that this interaction may have a net inhibitory impact on the peak voltage of the EPSP. To test this, we extended our computational model by adding a Hodgkin-Huxley delayed-rectifier $K^+$ conductance and repeated the above simulations.
In the presence of the delayed rectifier $K^+$ conductance, an increase in $I_h$ always depolarized the resting membrane and diminished EPSP amplitude ($\Delta V_{\text{EPSP}}$), as seen in the single-conductance model (Supplementary Fig. 4a,b). However, inclusion of the voltage-gated $K^+$ conductance now revealed a clear inhibitory effect of $I_h$ on EPSP peak voltage. For small EPSPs, $I_h$ still exerted a net depolarizing influence on $V_{\text{peak}}$ (Fig. 4a, left), as observed in the model with $I_h$ alone. However, for larger EPSPs, $I_h$ now exerted a hyperpolarizing influence on $V_{\text{peak}}$ (Fig. 4a, right). This inhibitory effect was observed even when the peak EPSP voltage was negative to both the reversal potential of $I_h$ ($-30$ mV) and typical values for action potential threshold ($-50$ mV) (Fig. 4b). Thus, these computational results reproduced the key findings of our synaptic stimulation experiments, including the presence of a subthreshold crossover voltage at which $I_h$ shifted from having a depolarizing effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ to having a hyperpolarizing influence (Fig. 4b). As observed in the model with $I_h$ alone, when the resting potential was held fixed (by altering the leak conductance reversal potential), $I_h$ had a purely inhibitory effect, reducing $V_{\text{peak}}$ at all synaptic strengths (Supplementary Fig. 4c).

Which biophysical properties of the voltage-gated $K^+$ conductance are required to enable the subthreshold inhibitory effects of $I_h$? We first examined the importance of the $K^+$ conductance kinetics by making the rate of activation infinitely slow, such that the $K^+$ conductance remained at its initial equilibrium value set by the resting potential during the entire time course of the EPSP. Under these conditions $I_h$ still exerted a dual depolarizing/hyperpolarizing influence (Fig. 4c), increasing $V_{\text{peak}}$ for small EPSPs but reducing $V_{\text{peak}}$ for larger subthreshold EPSPs. In contrast, when we made the activation rate infinitely fast, so that the $K^+$ conductance attained its steady-state level of activation instantaneously throughout the EPSP, $I_h$ now exerted a purely excitatory effect (Fig. 4d), shifting $V_{\text{peak}}$ to more depolarized values for all EPSP sizes. Thus, the ability of $I_h$ to inhibit $V_{\text{peak}}$ requires that the $I_h$-dependent enhancement in steady-state resting $K^+$ conductance persists throughout the EPSP.

Next we examined how shifting the steady-state voltage-dependence of $K^+$ current activation affects the ability of $I_h$ to influence the EPSP. We found that the crossover voltage at which $I_h$ changes from having a depolarizing influence on $V_{\text{peak}}$ to having a hyperpolarizing influence became more negative as the voltage-dependence of $K^+$ conductance activation was shifted to more hyperpolarized potentials. Conversely, depolarizing shifts in $K^+$ current activation properties moved the crossover voltage to more positive potentials (data not shown). Importantly, a subthreshold crossover voltage, and hence an inhibitory effect of $I_h$, was observed over a wide voltage range of $K^+$ current activation parameters, indicating a robust effect.

**$I_h$ interacts with the M-type $K^+$ current to inhibit EPSPs**

Because the above computational results relied on the squid axon $K^+$ conductance, it was important to explore whether a model incorporating a mammalian voltage-gated $K^+$ conductance could also interact appropriately with $I_h$ to yield subthreshold inhibitory effects. We reasoned that the $K_V7$ M-type $K^+$ current was a good candidate to mediate the inhibitory effects of $I_h$ as the M-current is present in CA1 pyramidal neurons, activates at subthreshold
voltages, exhibits a slow time course of activation, and displays non-inactivating gating properties. We therefore examined a model containing $I_h$, a passive leak conductance, an excitatory synaptic input, and a model of the M-current based on previously published studies in mammalian pyramidal neurons.

We found that the M-current also enabled $I_h$ to exert a dual depolarizing/hyperpolarizing effect on the peak voltage of subthreshold EPSPs. As observed with the Hodgkin-Huxley $K^+$ conductance model, $I_h$ interacted with M-current to depolarize the peak voltage of weak EPSPs but to hyperpolarize $V_{peak}$ for stronger but still subthreshold EPSPs (Fig. 4e). Also similar to the Hodgkin-Huxley $K^+$ conductance, shifts in the $V_{1/2}$ of M-current activation (Fig. 5a,b) or changes in M-current maximal conductance (Fig. 5c,d) altered the crossover voltage at which $I_h$ began to exert an inhibitory influence. In the presence of M-current, $I_h$ was also able to exert a net inhibitory effect on peak voltage during a burst of strong EPSPs, as observed previously, although the influence of $I_h$ by the final EPSP was minimal due to its deactivation during the burst (Supplementary Figs. 2b,c and 3b,c).

In contrast to the crossover in the EPSP input-output curves in response to changes in $I_h$, a comparison of EPSP input-output curves with or without M-current revealed that this $K^+$ current exerted a purely inhibitory effect, shifting peak EPSP voltage to more negative potentials at all synaptic strengths. This inhibitory action of M-current was seen either in the absence or presence of a fixed level of $I_h$ (data not shown). Such an effect is consistent with previous results that the M-current inhibits neural activity.

So far we have considered the interaction of $I_h$ and M-current in the context of a single compartment model. However, $I_h$ is present in a gradient of increasing density along the apical dendritic tree of both CA1 and layer 5 pyramidal neurons, where $I_h$ density at the distal tips of the dendrites is up to 50-fold larger than that in the soma. In contrast, the precise subcellular localization of the M-type $K^+$ channels is less clear, with some studies reporting dendritic M-currents versus others claiming only somatic and/or axonal localization. To examine the importance of the subcellular localization of these channels, we incorporated the $I_h$ dendritic gradient into a multicompartment model of a CA1 neuron in which excitatory inputs were targeted to the apical dendrites. Importantly, an inhibitory effect of $I_h$ on the somatic peak EPSP voltage was still observed, regardless of whether M-current was present in dendrites or restricted to the soma (Supplementary Fig. 5b,c). When M-current was restricted to the soma, dendritic $I_h$ exerted a purely depolarizing effect on the local dendritic EPSPs recorded at the site of synaptic input, 250 μm from the soma. However, the dendritic $I_h$ still was able to inhibit the peak somatic voltage for large, subthreshold EPSPs. Conversely, in multicompartment models lacking an M-current, $I_h$ produced a purely depolarizing effect on both local dendritic EPSPs and somatic EPSPs (Supplementary Fig. 5a). These results clearly show that the inhibitory effects of $I_h$ on somatic EPSPs require the presence of M-type $K^+$ channels but are not very sensitive to the subcellular distribution of either $I_h$ or M-current.

**Inhibitory effects of $I_h$ prevented by M-current blockade**

We next took an experimental approach to examine whether the inhibitory effects of $I_h$ in CA1 neurons do indeed arise from its interaction with the M-current by applying the specific...
M-current inhibitor XE99130, 39, 40, using a drug concentration (10 μM) that does not alter synaptic transmission under the conditions of our experiments. Application of XE991 produced a variable depolarization of the resting membrane that was large enough to lead to spontaneous firing in some CA1 neurons, consistent with the inhibitory role of the M-current. Such cells were not studied further because the spiking interfered with EPSP measurements. In those cells that did not fire spontaneously, XE991 produced a relatively small 3.4 mV (p = 0.10; n=7) depolarization of the resting membrane (Fig. 6d).

Next we examined the effects of I\(_h\) on somatic EPSPs with M-current blocked by measuring \(V_{\text{peak}}\) as a function of stimulus strength, first in the presence of I\(_h\) and then following I\(_h\) blockade with ZD7288. Addition of ZD7288 in the presence of XE991 hyperpolarized the resting membrane by \(~\) 8 mV (RMP in XE991 alone = −65.5 ± 1.7 mV; RMP in XE991 + ZD7288 = −73.5 ± 1.8 mV; n = 7, p < 0.001, paired t-test), similar to its effect in the absence of XE991. Of particular interest, blockade of the M-current abolished the inhibitory effect of I\(_h\), which now exerted a purely excitatory effect on the peak EPSP voltage for both weak and strong stimuli (Fig 6a-c; compare to Fig. 2 with normal M-current). This result was seen in all cells tested (n=7). In addition, when M-current was blocked, the presence of I\(_h\) now increased the excitability of the cell, as evidenced by spike firing at lower stimulus strengths in the absence of ZD7288 than in its presence (Fig 6a, right panel). These findings confirm the modeling results and further support the idea that I\(_h\) alone exerts an excitatory influence on neuronal activity and that the inhibitory effects of I\(_h\) require an interaction with voltage-gated K\(^+\) currents.

**DISCUSSION**

Here we found that I\(_h\) exerts dual depolarizing/hyperpolarizing effects on the peak voltage of subthreshold EPSPs as a function of synaptic strength. For weak EPSPs, I\(_h\) exerts a depolarizing effect on \(V_{\text{peak}}\). In contrast, for strong EPSPs, I\(_h\) exerts an inhibitory, hyperpolarizing effect. Whereas previous studies described an inhibitory influence of I\(_h\) on EPSP amplitude (Δ\(V_{\text{EPSP}}\)) and firing of both Na\(^+\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes, our results provide the first demonstration that I\(_h\) can also exert an inhibitory effect on subthreshold peak EPSP voltage. This is an important distinction because peak EPSP voltage, rather than EPSP amplitude, determines the impact of the EPSP on neuronal firing.

Our results also provide insight into the mechanism of the paradoxical effect by which the depolarizing inward I\(_h\) can produce a net hyperpolarizing effect on peak EPSP voltage. First, using a simple computational model, we found that I\(_h\) acting in the absence of other voltage-gated channels exerts a purely depolarizing effect on the peak membrane potential achieved by subthreshold EPSPs. This indicates that the direct excitatory effect of I\(_h\) to depolarize the membrane predominates over its inhibitory effect to increase resting membrane conductance. This direct excitatory effect of I\(_h\) also underlies its classical contribution to the pacemaker depolarization that generates rhythmic firing in both cardiac myocytes and certain CNS neurons, such as thalamic relay neurons.
In contrast to the results of the simple model in which \( I_h \) is purely excitatory, we found that \( I_h \) produced an inhibitory effect on \( V_{\text{peak}} \) of large, subthreshold EPSPs in models containing delayed-rectifier voltage-gated K\(^+\) channels. The excitatory to inhibitory crossover effect of \( I_h \) on peak EPSP voltage that occurred in such models provides an interesting example of the nonlinear interplay of voltage-dependent currents. The depolarization of the resting membrane by \( I_h \) enhances the resting voltage-gated K\(^+\) conductance beyond that attained in the absence of \( I_h \). At the peak of a weak EPSP, the outward driving force on K\(^+\) is quite small compared to the large inward driving force on current through \( I_h \) channels, causing the direct depolarizing effect of \( I_h \) to be dominant. In contrast, at the peak of large EPSPs, the outward driving force on K\(^+\) is increased and the inward driving force on \( I_h \) is decreased. As a result, the inhibitory effect of the K\(^+\) current is dominant. Our computational results further suggest that the inhibitory effect of \( I_h \) requires that the K\(^+\) current kinetics be relatively slow compared to the time course of the EPSP. Under these conditions, the inhibitory effect of \( I_h \) to enhance the resting K\(^+\) conductance can persist throughout the EPSP.

Both our experimental and computational findings implicate the M-type K\(^+\) current as the likely mediator of the inhibitory effects of \( I_h \) in CA1 pyramidal neurons. The relatively negative voltage range of activation of the M-current allows it to respond to the small changes in resting potential mediated by \( I_h \); the slow activation kinetics of the M-current ensure that such changes in resting activation influence the peak EPSP voltage. Moreover, in experiments where we blocked the M-current with XE991, \( I_h \) exerted a purely excitatory effect, confirming that M-current is necessary for the inhibitory effect of \( I_h \). One other important computational result is that the inhibitory effect on somatic peak EPSP voltage caused by the interaction of \( I_h \) and M-current does not depend on channel distributions within the somatodendritic compartments. This is important as \( I_h \) is known to be present in a gradient of increasing density in apical dendrites whereas it is unclear whether M-current is restricted to axo-somatic compartments or is also present in dendrites.

In contrast to the dual depolarizing/hyperpolarizing effects of \( I_h \) on \( V_{\text{peak}} \), all manipulations that increased the M-current, whether in the absence or presence of \( I_h \), hyperpolarized \( V_{\text{peak}} \) with no crossover effect. This is consistent with a large number of previous studies showing an inhibitory influence of the M-current. The purely inhibitory nature of the M-current arises because its two actions to enhance membrane conductance and to generate a hyperpolarizing outward current both act in the same direction to inhibit peak EPSP voltage and neuronal firing. This is in contrast to \( I_h \), whose direct depolarizing and shunting effects have opposing influences.

Interestingly, both \( I_h \) and M-current can be modulated by neurotransmitters and second messenger cascades, raising the possibility that the mode of action of \( I_h \) on dendritic integration can be tuned from inhibition to excitation. For example, both cyclic nucleotides and phosphatidylinositol (4,5)-bisphosphate (PIP\(_2\)) shift the voltage dependence of \( I_h \) activation to more positive potentials. As we reported above, upregulating \( I_h \) has a depolarizing effect on small EPSPs but an inhibitory effect on large EPSPs; downregulation of \( I_h \) leads to the opposite outcomes. Similarly, a loss of M-current, as
occurs with muscarinic receptor stimulation\textsuperscript{48} and PIP\textsubscript{2} depletion\textsuperscript{49}, will drive I\textsubscript{h} into a purely excitatory mode of action on V\textsubscript{peak}. In contrast, a large increase in M-current, as occurs in response to an increase in cAMP\textsuperscript{32}, will cause I\textsubscript{h} to exert a predominantly inhibitory effect on V\textsubscript{peak} (see Fig. 5). Changes in both the M-current and I\textsubscript{h} have been implicated in epileptic diseases\textsuperscript{24, 29, 31, 32}, and understanding how these two currents interact to regulate excitability may ultimately be important for developing new therapeutic approaches.

The dual depolarizing/hyperpolarizing effects of I\textsubscript{h} on peak EPSP voltage have interesting implications for how this current may differentially regulate neuronal firing depending on the state of excitability of a neuron. Under conditions where spike threshold is low and negative to the I\textsubscript{h} crossover voltage for inhibition, manipulations that enhance I\textsubscript{h} will increase V\textsubscript{peak} and, thus, have an excitatory effect on the ability of an EPSP to trigger an action potential. In contrast, when spike threshold is high and positive to the crossover voltage, manipulations that enhance I\textsubscript{h} will decrease V\textsubscript{peak} and, thus, inhibit the ability of an EPSP to elicit an output. Thus, the polarity of the effect that a change in I\textsubscript{h} exerts on neuronal firing will depend on the overall excitability of the cell. Even if I\textsubscript{h} and I\textsubscript{m} remain constant, the effect of their interaction on neuronal output can shift from excitatory to inhibitory as a result of modulatory changes in other voltage-gated conductances that alter spike threshold. Such nonlinear subthreshold interactions among voltage-gated channels provide a rich variety of mechanisms to fine-tune the relationship between excitatory synaptic input and neuronal output.

**METHODS**

**Slice Electrophysiology**

Whole-cell recordings were obtained from hippocampal CA1 pyramidal cells in submerged horizontal brain slices from P28–P40 mice. Recordings were performed at 31°C–33°C with inhibitory transmission blocked by GABA\textsubscript{A} (2 μM gabazine) and GABA\textsubscript{B} receptor antagonists (1 μM CGP-55845). Stimulating current pulses (0.1–0.2 ms) were applied through focal extracellular electrodes with a constant current generator once every 15 s. For graded stimulation, current amplitude was adjusted to evoke an EPSP in control conditions and then incremented until spike threshold was reached. Identical current pulses were reapplied after addition of 10 μM ZD7288 to block I\textsubscript{h}. All procedures conformed to US National Institutes of Health regulations and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of Columbia University and the New York State Psychiatric Institute. See Supplementary Information for full details.

**Statistical Analysis**

Average sag ratio expressed as \([(1 − \Delta V_{ss} / \Delta V_{min}) \times 100\%]\) where $\Delta V_{ss} = RMP − V_{ss}$ and $\Delta V_{min} = RMP − V_{min}$. Comparisons were made using paired t-tests where appropriate. An unpaired t-test was used to compare control RMP to XE RMP. p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Results expressed as mean ± S.E.
Computational Modeling

Computational models were implemented and run in NEURON50 (version 5.9; http://www.neuron.yale.edu/neuron). The $I_h$, 10, 17 and M-type $K^+$ conductance models were based on experimental studies. See Supplementary Information for full details.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1. Experimental paradigm and effects of pharmacological blockade of $I_h$

(a) Diagram illustrating the opposing effects of $I_h$ on subthreshold EPSPs, involving a positive shift of the resting membrane potential (RMP) and a decrease in the EPSP amplitude ($\Delta V_{EPSP}$). Red, In presence of $I_h$; Blue, In absence of $I_h$. $V_{peak}$, potential at the peak of the EPSP. $\Delta V_{EPSP} = V_{peak} - RMP$.

(b) Schematic of experimental setup. Whole-cell current-clamp recordings were obtained from CA1 pyramidal neurons (recording patch electrode). An extracellular stimulating patch electrode was placed $\sim 150 \mu m$ away from the soma in stratum radiatum under visual guidance.

(c) Somatic voltage response to a hyperpolarizing current step in absence (control) and presence of ZD7288 (10 μM). Note the RMP hyperpolarization after application of ZD7288. Under control conditions, the membrane voltage reached an initial minimum value ($V_{min}$) and then showed a depolarizing sag to a steady-state value ($V_{ss}$) due to activation of $I_h$. Hyperpolarizing sag was eliminated by ZD7288.

(d) Sample EPSPs evoked in response to a range of stimulus strengths under control conditions and after block of $I_h$ using ZD7288.
Figure 2. Dual effects of $I_h$ on peak voltage of subthreshold EPSPs

(a) Representative EPSP responses for three stimulus strengths recorded at the soma under control conditions (red) and in the presence of ZD7288 (10 μM) (blue). Top panel: $I_h$ had a depolarizing effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ for a small EPSP elicited by a weak stimulus. Middle panel: For an intermediate strength stimulus, $V_{\text{peak}}$ was similar in the presence or absence of $I_h$. Bottom panel: With a stronger stimulus, $I_h$ had an inhibitory effect so that its blockade led to a more depolarized $V_{\text{peak}}$. (b) Relation between $V_{\text{peak}}$ and stimulus current strength in the presence (control, red) or absence (+ZD7288, blue) of $I_h$. All EPSPs were subthreshold. The 0 μA points (dashes) denote the resting potential. Note that $I_h$ had an inhibitory effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ over a large range of subthreshold stimulus strengths. (c) $V_{\text{peak}}$ versus stimulus strength plots for a cell in which $I_h$ had a depolarizing effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ for a large range of weak stimuli. An inhibitory effect of $I_h$ was only observed for a strong stimulus that was just subthreshold.
Figure 3. Computational model predicts excitatory role for $I_h$ in absence of other voltage-gated channels
(a) Diagram of the single compartment model containing $I_h$ (HCN channels), a linear leak conductance, and a synaptic conductance (alpha function). (b) Effect of $I_h$ on resting membrane potential (RMP). Increasing maximal $I_h$ conductance ($\bar{g}_h$) or depolarizing shifts of the $I_h V_{1/2}$ produced positive shifts in RMP. (c) Effects of $I_h$ on EPSP amplitude, defined as difference between peak EPSP voltage ($V_{\text{peak}}$) and RMP. Increasing $\bar{g}_h$ or depolarizing shifts of $V_{1/2}$ caused a reduction in EPSP amplitude. Synaptic strength was set to produce an $\sim 8$ mV EPSP in the absence of $I_h$. (d) Sample EPSPs from model for various synaptic input strengths ($\bar{g}_{\text{syn}}$ in $\mu$S) in absence of $I_h$ (black traces) and presence of $I_h$ for two levels of $\bar{g}_h$ (in units of $S \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$). (e) The effect of $I_h$ on the relation between $V_{\text{peak}}$ and synaptic strength ($V_{1/2} = -90$ mV in d and e). Increasing $\bar{g}_h$ to indicated values had a depolarizing effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ for all membrane potentials below $E_h$ ($-30$ mV). The y-intercept shows the effect of $I_h$ on RMP.
Figure 4. Computational model including a Hodgkin-Huxley voltage-gated K⁺ conductance predicts subthreshold inhibitory effects of $I_h$ on peak voltage of strong EPSPs

(a) EPSPs from model for three synaptic input strengths ($\bar{g}_{syn}$) in absence or presence of $I_h$, for two levels of $\bar{g}_h$ as indicated ($I_h$: $V_{1/2} = -90$ mV; $I_K$: $\bar{g}_{Kdr} = 0.036$ S · cm⁻², $V_{1/2} = -52$ mV). (b) Effect of $I_h$ on relation between $V_{\text{peak}}$ and synaptic strength ($V_{1/2} = -90$ mV). Increases in $\bar{g}_h$ had a depolarizing effect on $V_{\text{peak}}$ for weak synaptic inputs and an inhibitory effect on strong inputs. Notably, the inhibitory regime occurred negative to the $I_h$ reversal potential, $E_h$ (−30 mV). Arrow indicates crossover voltage where $I_h$ changes from a depolarizing to hyperpolarizing influence. (c) Effects of $I_h$ on $V_{\text{peak}}$ when the kinetics of delayed-rectifier K⁺ conductance activation were made infinitely slow. (d) Effects of $I_h$ on $V_{\text{peak}}$ when the kinetics of delayed-rectifier K⁺ conductance activation were made infinitely fast. (e) Effects of $I_h$ on $V_{\text{peak}}$ in a model containing the mammalian M-type K⁺ current ($V_{1/2} = -35$ mV). Increasing $\bar{g}_h$ in this model also produced mixed depolarizing and hyperpolarizing effects on $V_{\text{peak}}$, with a defined crossover voltage (arrow).
Figure 5. Computational results demonstrating that changes in M-current properties alters crossover voltage at which $I_h$ first exerts an inhibitory influence on EPSP $V_{\text{peak}}$

(a) Effect of $I_h$ on relation between $V_{\text{peak}}$ and synaptic strength depends on M-current $V_{1/2}$ values (in mV) ($\bar{g}_M$ equals 0.035 S · cm$^{-2}$ throughout). Crossover voltage in response to an increase in $\bar{g}_h$ was shifted to more negative values as M-current $V_{1/2}$ was made more negative. (b) The effects of M-current $V_{1/2}$ on synaptic conductance magnitude (orange line, right scale) or $V_{\text{peak}}$ (blue line, left scale) at which crossover occurred (from data in panel a). Regions above the blue or orange lines correspond to $V_{\text{peak}}$ or synaptic strength values at which $I_h$ was inhibitory; regions below the line correspond to $V_{\text{peak}}$ or synaptic strengths for which $I_h$ depolarized $V_{\text{peak}}$. (c) Effect of $I_h$ on relation between $V_{\text{peak}}$ and synaptic strength depends on M-current maximal conductance values ($\bar{g}_M$, S · cm$^{-2}$) (M-current $V_{1/2} = -35$ mV). Crossover voltage in response to an increase in $\bar{g}_h$ was shifted to more negative potentials as $\bar{g}_M$ was increased. (d) The effects of varying the maximal M-conductance on $V_{\text{peak}}$ (blue line) or synaptic conductance (orange line) at which crossover occurred.
Figure 6. Pharmacological blockade of M-current caused $I_h$ to have a purely excitatory influence
(a) Experimental EPSPs recorded at the soma in response to three stimulus strengths when M-current was blocked with XE991 (10 μM). EPSPs shown in XE991 (XE, red traces) and XE991 plus 10 μM ZD7288 (XE + ZD, blue traces). Presence of $I_h$ had an excitatory effect on $V_{peak}$ for weak (left panel), intermediate (middle panel) and strong (right panel) stimuli. Note strongest stimulus evoked a spike in the presence of $I_h$ but not in its absence. (b) $I_h$ had an excitatory effect on relation between $V_{peak}$ and stimulus strength with M-current blocked by XE991. Data shown in the absence (red) or presence (blue) of ZD7288. The 0 μA data (dashes) denote the RMP. (c) Similar results as in (b) for a second cell.