Scan Time Reduction for Readout-Segmented EPI Using Simultaneous Multislice Acceleration: Diffusion-Weighted Imaging at 3 and 7 Tesla
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Purpose: Readout-segmented echo-planar imaging (rs-EPI) can provide high quality diffusion data because it is less prone to distortion and blurring artifacts than single-shot echo-planar imaging (ss-EPI), particularly at higher resolution and higher field. Readout segmentation allows shorter echo-spacing and echo train duration, resulting in reduced image distortion and blurring, respectively, in the phase-encoding direction. However, these benefits come at the expense of longer scan times because the segments are acquired in multiple repetitions times (TRs). This study shortened rs-EPI scan times by reducing the TR duration with simultaneous multislice acceleration.

Methods: The blipped-CAIPI method for slice acceleration with reduced g-factor SNR loss was incorporated into the diffusion-weighted rs-EPI sequence. The rs- and ss-EPI sequences were compared at a range of resolutions at both 3 and 7 Tesla in terms of image fidelity and diffusion postprocessing results.

Results: Slice-accelerated clinically useful trace-weighted images and tractography results are presented. Tractography analysis showed that the reduced artifacts in rs-EPI allowed better discrimination of tracts than ss-EPI.

Conclusion: Slice acceleration reduces rs-EPI scan times providing a practical alternative to diffusion-weighted ss-EPI with reduced distortion and high resolution. Magn Reson Med 74:136–149, 2015. © 2014 The Authors Magnetic Resonance in Medicine published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
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INTRODUCTION

Single-shot echo-planar imaging (ss-EPI) is the standard method for acquiring diffusion-weighted (DW) images (1) due to its low sensitivity to motion artifact and fast imaging capability. The long time between ss-EPI echoes generates distortions at air-tissue interfaces and the long echo-trains cause blurring, which limits the echo-train length and, therefore, the achievable spatial resolution. These effects, which are increasingly problematic at higher field strength, can be mitigated by methods like parallel imaging that reduce the effective echo spacing and echo train length, although the gains achievable in practice with this method are limited by coil geometry. Additionally, the acquisition of k-space can be segmented to reduce echo-train length by an arbitrary amount, provided that any velocity dependent phase difference between multiple shots is accounted for with phase navigation (2,3). Briefly, diffusion-encoding gradients cause major phase disturbances in the image domain due to tissue deformation during the cardiac cycle (4–7), which can be measured with a low-resolution “navigator” image and corrected for in reconstruction (8–10). Several schemes have been proposed (9–14), including readout-segmented EPI (rs-EPI) (15), which can be modified to acquire a 2D navigator as a second EPI echo train (16,17). Note that the technique of readout segmentation has also been used to improve the point-spread function with the GRASE sequence (18). However, these methods all suffer from long scan times because the k-space acquisition is segmented, thus requiring multiple repetition time (TR) periods to form an image, whereas ss-EPI collects the entire volume in a single TR. Strategies to accelerate these segmented techniques would thus be very powerful, particularly at 7 Tesla (T), where rs-EPI has been shown to have increased advantages over ss-EPI (19).

The rs-EPI sequence segments the acquisition of k-space in the readout direction to shorten the echo-spacing and the echo train duration, thereby reducing phase-encode distortion artifacts and $T_2^*$ blurring, respectively, in comparison to ss-EPI. In diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), the number of shots per image limits the number of slices and/or diffusion directions that can be acquired in a reasonable scan time. Readout partial Fourrier acquisition has been proposed to accelerate rs-EPI acquisition times (20), but this incurs an SNR penalty.

A more powerful way to reduce scan time would be to simultaneously excite multiple slices and acquire the combined signal so that fewer slice excitations are required to achieve the same slice coverage (21). This allows direct reduction of the repetition time (TR) by a factor equal to the number of slices simultaneously imaged. This incurs a small reduction in SNR per image due to $T_1$ saturation with reduced TR, while SNR efficiency (SNR per square root time) increases substantially up to a maximum at $1.25T_1$ (22). The simultaneous multislice (SMS) method was introduced...
by Larkman et al. (21) and uses the spatial sensitivity of multichannel array coils (23) to separate the combined slice images with a parallel imaging algorithm. Crucially, SMS acceleration by factor $R$ does not incur a $R$ SNR penalty (as occurs in conventional, in-plane parallel imaging) because $k$-space is not undersampled relative to an unaccelerated, single-slice acquisition. However, the coil (g-factor) related SNR loss (24) for these slice separation reconstructions can be significant, depending on coil design and slice spacing. For example, it is difficult to achieve high acceleration factors for axial slices in the brain because head coil designs tend to have fewer elements in the superior–inferior direction. SMS using such unfavorable geometries results in relatively excited slices with highly similar coil profiles such that voxels cannot be easily separated. The CAIPIRINHA method (25) improves the separability of slice-aliased voxels by introducing an apparent shift of the simultaneously excited slices relative to each other, such that the aliased locations of two slices experience an effective difference in coil sensitivity due to the in-plane shift. The apparent shift of slices was initially accomplished in single-line readouts by altering the RF excitation phase. CAIPIRINHA was subsequently extended to EPI using slice gradients ($G_z$) during the readout (26). The “blipped-CAIPI” method (27) was introduced to reduce voxel blurring in the EPI method by using alternating polarity $G_z$ blips to avoid accumulation of phase at the edges of slices during the readout.

Recent studies have used SMS acceleration to increase the number of directions or b-values for DTI, or to achieve higher temporal resolution for functional MRI (27–32). In the present work, we demonstrate the use of SMS with blipped-CAIPI to reduce scan times in rs-EPI, in which the simultaneous acquisition of multiple slices mitigates the need to acquire each slice with multiple $k$-space segments. This technique has the potential to yield high-resolution images with reduced distortion and blurring without the current burden of long scan times. A preliminary account of this work has been presented recently (33).

This study describes the modifications and considerations required for application of blipped-CAIPI to the rs-EPI sequence. Single slice rs-EPI is compared with SMS rs-EPI and SMS rs-EPI image quality and tractography is compared with ss-EPI. DTI and high-resolution trace-weighted data with slice acceleration factor $R_{slice} = 2$ slice acceleration were acquired at 3T and high-resolution DTI data with $R_{slice} = 3$ were acquired at 7T.

**METHODS**

The blipped-CAIPI technique was first described by Setsompop et al. (27). In this section, details of the method relevant to the implementation and reconstruction of blipped-CAIPI rs-EPI are discussed, including the changes to the pulse sequence, the reconstruction and the combination with the navigator phase correction. The experiments with the new sequence and the data analysis are then described.

**Blipped-CAIPI Modifications**

The rs-EPI sequence was modified as shown in Figure 1a to play out multiband RF pulses and blipped-CAIPI slice gradients. The diffusion preparation module was a modified monopolar scheme (34) to allow a shorter echo time (TE) than a conventional Stejskal-Tanner preparation (35). Images were reconstructed off-line in Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA) from raw scanner data.

To generate simultaneous multislice excitation and refocusing pulses with a desired slice separation, windowed sinc RF pulses were phase-modulated and summed. Blipped-CAIPI modifications were implemented as described in Setsompop et al. (27). An image shift in the phase-encode direction is introduced to a subset of the excited slices by using the slice gradient ($G_z$) to create a slice-dependent phase variation $\Delta \phi$ between echoes in the EPI echo-train. $G_z$ blips were played out together with the phase-encode blips (as illustrated in Figure 1a) to impart different amounts of phase at different slice locations. A field of view (FOV)/2 shift was achieved with a $\pi$ phase difference in the value of $\Delta \phi$ between simultaneously excited slices. The polarity of the blip gradients is alternated so that through-slice phase variation does not accumulate during the readout and cause blurring. In combination with in-plane generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisition (GRAPPA) acceleration factor $R_{pe} = 2$, this scheme produces a FOV/2 shift in the $2 \times$ undersampled phase-encode FOV, and hence a FOV/4 shift in the full FOV after reconstruction of missing lines. Slices that are not at $G_z$ isocenter have a constant phase offset from the desired $0 - \pi - 0 - \ldots$ phase variation between simultaneously excited slices. This phase offset was removed from the raw data at the start of the image reconstruction (27).

The slice-GRAPPA reconstruction (27) was used to separate the raw slice-accelerated readout segments and their navigators into single-slice data. For each of the simultaneously excited slices, separate kernels, or weight sets, were fit in $k$-space from the combined data to corresponding reference data acquired without simultaneous excitation. The single-band reference scans consist of two types of calibration data: phase references for Nyquist ghost correction and calibration data for GRAPPA. The phase reference data consists of a non-phase-encoded segment for each single-band slice, while the GRAPPA reference data consists of the central segment for each single-band slice (note that a single reference data set serves for both slice and in-plane GRAPPA). Hence, the extra time for reference scans in the SMS acquisition is $2R_{slice}$ TR periods. In our experiments, these reference acquisition times ranged from 9.2 to 48 s. $3 \times 3$ kernels were fit using the Nyquist phase-corrected central segments of the $b = 0$ data and were then applied to all segments of subsequent $b = 0$ and diffusion-weighted volumes of the slice-accelerated, combined data to reconstruct single-slice images.

**Multishot Diffusion Corrections**

The normal rs-EPI navigator correction can be performed after separating the readout segments and their navigator echoes into single-slice data. For reference, the complete data acquisition and reconstruction pipeline is shown in Figure 1b. The basic rs-EPI reconstruction used was similar to that described in Frost et al. (20), except for the
addition of the blipped-CAIPI phase correction for off-isocenter slices and slice-GRAPPA unaliasing. Also, Nyquist ghost correction was performed before regridding, rather than after, which was found to be a slightly more robust correction. For partial Fourier acquisitions with a reduced number of readout segments, POCS reconstruction of the missing data (20) was applied after navigator correction.

In the navigator correction, the segments and navigator echoes are Fourier transformed to image-space and the phase of the navigator is removed from the corresponding segment’s phase. The segments are Fourier transformed back to k-space so that they can be spliced together to form the full k-space.

One important feature of our rs-EPI implementation is the re-acquisition of segments with excessive motion-induced phase error, which is assessed in real-time by quantifying signal spread based on the “distribution width” of the raw navigator data (16). The shots with the worst motion corruption are reacquired (36,37) to ensure a robust acquisition of artifact-free DW images. The distribution width, given in Eq. [1], is appealing because it judges motion corruption robustly and it is a fast calculation that can be performed on the raw, undersampled k-space data in real time without image reconstruction.

\[
W_x = \int \int |S_i(k_x, k_y)| \cdot |k_x - \Omega_x| \, dk_x \, dk_y. \tag{1}
\]

In Eq. [1], \(S_i\) is the complex k-space signal in each receive channel \(i\) and \(\Omega_x\) is the \(k_x\) coordinate of the

FIG. 1. a: Blipped-CAIPI rs-EPI sequence with modifications to the original rs-EPI colored blue. These are multiband RF pulses and the slice-GRAPPA FOV/2 slice-gradient scheme. b: Reconstruction flow chart with blipped-CAIPI modifications colored blue. Data were acquired as the combined signal (colored green) from multiple slices and the reacquisition was based on these combined navigator data. The combined data were separated into single-slice data (colored red), with the slice-GRAPPA unaliasing reconstruction, before the in-plane GRAPPA reconstruction, navigator correction, POCS reconstruction of partial Fourier acquisitions and combination of readout segments.
location with the maximum signal amplitude across all channels. In SMS rs-EPI, these k-space data represent multiple aliased slices, which could compromise detection of motion corruption in one of the combined slices, a point that we will return to below.

Data Acquisition

The diffusion-weighted SMS rs-EPI sequence and a ss-EPI sequence were used to acquire data from two healthy volunteers on a MAGNETOM Verio 3T scanner and another healthy volunteer on an actively shielded MAGNETOM 7T scanner (both from Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) under approved technical development ethics protocols. Acquisition parameters of all the sequences used are shown in Table 1, with the overall study design summarized below. In total, SMS rs-EPI data from seven healthy volunteers and three stroke patients are presented.

3T Experiments

At 3T with $R_{slice} = 2$, the excitation and refocusing pulse durations were 2.56 ms and 5.12 ms, respectively. A 32-channel head coil (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) was used for the 3T experiments.

The effect of SMS on rs-EPI data was evaluated in four subjects by acquiring diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) protocols (one $b = 0$ and three orthogonal $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$ diffusion directions) with both conventional rs-EPI and SMS rs-EPI. Both sequences used 3 readout segments, $R_{PE} = 2$ in-plane acceleration and the rs-EPI pulse duration. The diffusion-weighted SMS rs-EPI sequence and a ss-EPI sequence were used to acquire data from two healthy volunteers on an actively shielded MAGNETOM 7T scanner (both from Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) under approved technical development ethics protocols. Acquisition parameters of all the sequences used are shown in Table 1, with the overall study design summarized below. In total, SMS rs-EPI data from seven healthy volunteers and three stroke patients are presented.

Table 1

| EPI sequence | Resolution (mm$^2$) | FOV (cm$^2$) | Matrix | Readout segments (ms) | ES | $R_{slice}$ / $R_{PE}$ | PE | PF | TR / TE | # b=0/ Diff. dir. | Scan time (min) |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------|--------|----------------------|----|------------------------|----|----|--------|------------------|----------------|
| 3T protocols |                     |             |        |                      |    |                        |    |    |        |                  |                |
| rs (DTI)     | 2 iso               | 22×22×12.6  | 110×110×58 | 5    | 0.32               | 2 / 2 | -          | 4.1 / 73 | 12/60 | 32 (3 av) |
| ss           | 2 iso               | 19×19×12.6  | 96×96×58  | -    | 0.7                | - / 2 | 6/8       | 8.4 / 87 | 12/60 | 50 |
| rs           | 1.5 iso             | 22×22×9.3   | 144×144×62 | 7    | 0.32               | 2 / 2 | -          | 4.8 / 79 | 12/60 |
| ss           | 1.5 iso             | 19×19×9.3   | 128×128×62 | 0.95 | - / 2              | 6/8 | 13.9 / 88 | 12/60 |
| rs (ADC)     | 1.7×1.7×5          | 22×22×13    | 128×128×26 | 3    | 0.40               | - / 2 | -          | 4.8 / 70 | 1/3  | 0:48 |
| ss           | 1.7×1.7×5          | 22×22×13    | 128×128×26 | 0.40 | - / 2              | -    | 4.8 / 70 | 1/3  |
| rs (patient 1) | 1.5 iso             | 22×22×11.7  | 146×146×78 | 5    | 0.36               | 2 / 2 | -          | 9.6 / 60 | 1/3  | 4:40 |
| ss           | 1.8×1.8×2$^b$       | 24×24×13$^b$| 130×130×50 | 1.05 | - / 2              | 6/8 | 9.7 / 98 | 1/3  |
| rs (patient 2) | 1.5 iso             | 24×24×11.7  | 160×160×78 | 5    | 0.36               | 2 / 2 | -          | 6.9 / 72 | 1/3  | 3:20 |
| ss           | 1.5 iso             | 24×24×11.7  | 160×160×78 | 1.04 | - / 2              | 6/8 | 13.8 / 102 | 1/3  |
| rs (TrW)     | 1×1×5              | 22×22×13    | 220×220×26 | 11   | 0.32               | 2 / 2 | -          | 2.3 / 75 | 1/3  | 2:14 |
| ss           | 1×1×5              | 22×22×13    | 220×220×26 | 1.10 | - / 2              | 6/8 | 7.8 / 90 | 1/3  |
| rs (TrW)     | 1.1×1.1×4          | 22×22×12    | 192×192×30 | 9    | 0.32               | 2 / 2 | -          | 2.7 / 70 | 1/3  | 2:20 |
| ss           | 0.9×0.9×4          | 22×22×12    | 256×256×30 | 11   | 0.32               | 2 / 2 | -          | 3 / 76  | 1/3  | 3:05 |
| 7T protocols |                     |             |        |                      |    |                        |    |    |        |                  |                |
| rs (DTI)     | 1.2 iso             | 19.2×19.2×11.88 | 160×160×99 | 6 (6/7 PF) | 0.32 | 3 / 2 | - | 8 / 63 | 4/32 | 35 |
| ss           | 1.2 iso             | 19.2×19.2×11.88 | 160×160×99 | 0.82 | - / 2 | 6/8 | 10.8 / 63 | 12/128 |

$^a$All protocols acquired with $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$.

$^b$An 0.6 mm gap between slices was used.
necessitate multishot acquisitions for high resolution. However, traditional multiband pulses generated by summing frequency-modulated pulses could only achieve limited acceleration due to specific absorption rate (SAR) limits. With \( R_{\text{slices}} = 3 \), the excitation and refocusing pulse durations were increased to 5.12 ms and 10.24 ms, respectively, so that the pulses did not exceed the subject-specific maximum RF voltage and to reduce the SAR of the pulses. At 7T, acquiring three slices simultaneously did not translate into a 3× acceleration of the scan duration because the TR had to be increased to remain within SAR limits. The scan times were in fact reduced by a factor of 2 with the \( R_{\text{slices}} = 3 \) acceleration. A 32-channel head coil (Nova Medical, Wilmington, MA) was used for the 7T experiments.

A comparison between SMS rs-EPI (six segments with 6/7 readout partial Fourier encoding) and ss-EPI DTI data was performed at 7T with 1.2 mm isotropic resolution on one subject. At high resolution, scan time becomes particularly problematic for rs-EPI due to the need for a greater number of readout segments. A reasonable scan time of \( \sim 30 \text{ min} \) was targeted, so rs-EPI at this resolution was limited to 32 directions. Because there is a significant improvement in the quality of diffusion analyses when acquiring more than 30 directions (with more modest gains over 60 directions) (39), we decided to acquire a greater number of directions with ss-EPI to achieve the fairest comparison. The rs-EPI and ss-EPI protocols acquired 32 and 128 directions, respectively, with diffusion-weighting of \( b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2 \). The number of ss-EPI directions was chosen such that the ss-EPI scan time matched the rs-EPI scan time. The rs-EPI protocol used 6/7 readout partial Fourier to reduce the scan time per diffusion direction. A \( b = 0 \) image of a resolution phantom was also acquired to compare blurring in the rs- and ss-EPI protocols.

Data Processing and Analysis

The following postprocessing pipeline was applied to the DTI datasets. Eddy current correction was applied to the images using FMRIB’s Diffusion Toolbox (40), which consists of an affine registration (12 degrees of freedom) of all volumes to the initial \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) volume. Non-brain tissue was removed from the images using Brain Extraction Tool (41), and the diffusion tensor was fitted to the reconstructed data using FMRIB’s Diffusion Toolbox. Fractional anisotropy (FA) maps were calculated and for tractography BEDPOSTX (42) was used to generate probability density distributions on two crossing fiber orientations at each voxel. In the 3T data, tractography was seeded in the subject’s structural space for several major association, commissural and projection fibers: the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), cingulum bundle, optic tract, fornix, corpus callosum (CC), and corticospinal tract (CST). Also, SNR and blurring were quantified in the raw images from the DTI protocol. SNR was estimated from the \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images that were interleaved with the diffusion scans (the number of \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images in each protocol is given in Table 1). Voxel-wise SNR was estimated by dividing the mean signal across \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) repeats by the standard deviation in signal. The mean and standard deviation within the brain extracted mask were taken as the SNR estimate and the error, respectively. Resolution was estimated using the smoothest tool in the FMRIB Software Library (http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/analysis/techrep/tr00df1/tr00df1/node4.html). The mean pixel size across all \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images was estimated with smoothest and normalized to the rs-EPI estimate within each resolution. For comparison with experimental measurements, echo-train parameters were used to calculate the theoretically expected percentage of voxel blurring and the effective phase-encode resolution, \( \Delta y \), using the following formula for spin-echo EPI (43):

\[
\Delta y = \Delta y \left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{T_2} + \frac{1}{T_2} \right) \frac{T_{\text{acq}}}{\pi}
\]

where \( \Delta y \) is the nominal voxel size, \( T_{\text{acq}} \) is the duration of the readout and \( T_2 \) and \( T_2' \) are the decay constants of the thermodynamic and external field induced effects, respectively. The total loss of resolution is approximated as the result of two separate \( T_2 \) and \( T_2' \) filters. Based on \( T_2 = 47 \text{ ms} \) and \( T_2' = 80 \text{ ms} \) for 3T (44) and \( T_2 = 28 \text{ ms} \) (45) and \( T_2' = 48.5 \text{ ms} \) (46) for 7T, white matter \( T_2' \) values of 114 ms at 3T and 66 ms at 7T were calculated according to:

\[
\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{T_2'} + \frac{1}{T_2'}
\]

For the DWI data, trace-weighted images were calculated by taking the geometric mean of the three \( b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images acquired with orthogonal diffusion weightings. Maps of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) were generated from the \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images and normalized (ADC) were generated from the \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images acquired with orthogonal diffusion weightings. Maps of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) were generated from the \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images acquired with orthogonal diffusion weightings. Maps of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) were generated from the \( b = 0 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) images acquired with orthogonal diffusion weightings.

RESULTS

Basic Implementation of SMS rs-EPI

A comparison of ADC between rs-EPI and SMS rs-EPI in four subjects is shown in Figure 2. Visual inspection of the images in Figure 2a suggests that the SMS rs-EPI data are very similar to the rs-EPI data and that the SMS acceleration does not introduce serious artifacts. The mean ADC values in white matter for the slices shown in part a) are plotted in Figure 2b. Histograms of the ADC difference in white matter in all slices between SMS rs-EPI and rs-EPI are shown in Figure 2c. These plots show that the ADC estimates are well matched in rs-EPI and SMS rs-EPI when the scan time (including slice unaliiasing, GRAPPA and Nyquist correction calibration TRs) is reduced from 1:26 min to 48 s with slice acceleration.

As mentioned above, one aspect of our rs-EPI approach that could be compromised by SMS excitation is the reacquisition scheme, in which corrupted data are identified based on navigator distribution width and reacquired subsequently. In SMS rs-EPI, we chose not to separate navigators for different slices to enable rapid computation of the distribution width. For the relatively
small number of simultaneously excited slices tested here, the distribution width was found to be very successful at identifying motion-corrupted data for reacquisition (as judged by visually inspecting all images). An example of the detection of motion corruption with $R_{\text{slic}} = 2$ acceleration during the on-line reacquisition is shown in Figure 3. In Figure 3a, before reacquisition, the navigator for readout-segment number 3 (the central readout segment) shows more dispersed k-space signal than the other navigators. Based on the distribution width metric, this segment was judged to be one of the 20% most corrupted of all the segments so it was marked for reacquisition. When this segment was reacquired, there was less motion corruption (indicated by the reduced navigator spread) and the signal dropout in the center of slice 10 is restored. This example, which was acquired with diffusion encoding close to the superior–inferior axis of the brain, highlights the nature of motion-phase artifacts in diffusion, which are mainly due to the pulsatile deformation of the brain during systole (5–7,10). It also shows the importance of having cleanly acquired data close to the center of k-space to minimize artifacts and for this reason the navigator reacquisition is preferentially weighted to central segments. The final (postreacquisition) diffusion-weighted images were visually inspected for artifacts (like Figure 3a) due to possible failure of the reacquisition. No such artifacts were observed in any of the images, indicating that the
straightforward analysis of the aliased k-space data spread is able to detect motion corruption at these low acceleration factors, even if there is only significant motion in one of the excited slices within the composite multislice dataset.

In Figure 3b, we examine this specific case in more detail. The segment marked for reacquisition (navigator segment number 3 from Figure 3a) has been unaliased and the signal is shown from coil elements 2 and 21, which are close to slices 38 and 10, respectively. In the unaliased slice 10 navigators, the proximity of the slice to the coil element results in high signal in channel 21 and a clear depiction of the dispersion of k-space due to motion. These unaliased navigators are included to...
demonstrate that the detection of motion corruption could be performed separately on each of the simultaneously excited slices to improve the sensitivity to slice-specific effects, although this would require a more sophisticated reconstruction. With higher slice acceleration factors, identification of motion corruption may only be possible in unaliased slice data or with coil-specific k-space distribution widths to consider the signal (and hence corruption) from more localized regions of the coil.

Comparison of SMS rs-EPI and ss-EPI

Figure 4 compares $1 \times 1 \times 5$ mm trace-weighted images acquired with SMS rs-EPI and ss-EPI. The left and center columns show the typical distortion and signal pile-up regions in ss-EPI and the improvement with SMS rs-EPI. The right-hand column demonstrates that ss-EPI distortions are reduced in more superior regions, however, the impressive sharpness of the SMS rs-EPI data is evident. Note that the ss-EPI images (here and in all ss-EPI images presented) are reconstructed with zero-filled partial Fourier reconstruction. This is a standard approach in commercial applications of DW ss-EPI to avoid possible artifacts due to motion-induced phase error in partial Fourier reconstruction algorithms.

Raw images acquired with the 3T DTI protocols are shown in the online Supporting Figure S1, which is available online. These demonstrate the reduced blurring and distortion in the rs-EPI data due to the shorter echo train duration and echo-spacing, respectively, but also the higher SNR that is achieved with three ss-EPI averages in the same scan time. Raw images acquired at 7T are shown in Figure 5 demonstrating that the improvement in rs-EPI image quality relative to ss-EPI increases at higher field. The increased blurring in ss-EPI is quantified in Supporting Table S1, which presents a comparison of SNR and resolution in the raw $b=0$ s/mm$^2$ images. The estimated ss-EPI phase-encode pixel sizes are larger than rs-EPI by 27% at 2 mm resolution, 46% at 1.5 mm resolution, and 50% at 7T 1.2 mm resolution. Based on the echo-train durations in the presence of $T_2$ and $T_2^*$ decay, the nominally 1.5 mm ss-EPI pixels are expected to be blurred by 44% compared with 22% blurring for rs-EPI. For the nominally 1.2 mm voxels at 7T, this increases to 79% blurring for ss-EPI and 41% for rs-EPI. Some SNR variation between ss- and rs-EPI (see Supporting Table S1) is expected to reflect the different amounts of blurring (with SNR increasing linearly with the breadth of the blurring kernel in the phase-encode direction). The blurring in the ss-EPI images is a
combination of $T_2^*$ effects and smoothing due to the zero-filled phase-encode partial Fourier reconstruction. A further comparison of phase-encode blurring at 1.5 mm (3T) and 1.2 mm (7T) nominal resolutions is shown in Supporting Figure S2. The same shim settings were used for the ss- and rs-EPI acquisitions. The increased blurring and distortion in ss-EPI is evident in the images and the profiles of the signal through the red dotted lines highlight the ability of rs-EPI to resolve small structures. Signal that is represented by one voxel in the rs-EPI images is blurred into the neighboring two voxels in the ss-EPI images.

Trace-Weighted SMS rs-EPI of Vascular Pathology

A comparison of stroke imaging with SMS rs-EPI and ss-EPI is presented in Figure 6. In scans on patient 1, a 1.5 mm isotropic SMS rs-EPI acquisition is compared with a 1.8 x 1.8 x 2 mm ss-EPI scan that was part of a longitudinal clinical study of lacunar stroke. Matched 1.5 mm isotropic resolution scans were compared on the second patient. The similarity between true stroke lesions and distortion-induced signal pile-up suggests that rs-EPI could be particularly useful in the lower brain and neck regions. Supporting Figure S3 demonstrates the potential of SMS rs-EPI for further improvements in the resolution of trace-weighted images in two healthy subjects and one TIA patient.

High Angular Resolution SMS rs-EPI of White Matter

For the major association, commissural and projection fibers, probabilistic tractography generally improved at 1.5 mm compared with 2 mm in both the rs- and ss-EPI, producing more true positive tracks and fewer false positive tracks. For example, in 1.5 mm rs- and ss-EPI data, tractography seeded in the anterior pillars of the fornix correctly tracked into the hippocampus and did not mistrack into the anterior commissure, but not in 2 mm data.

Tractography in the 1.5 mm rs- and ss-EPI datasets was comparable in terms of the ratio of true to false positives. The streamlines generally died out earlier in the rs-EPI data, such that the total number of streamlines reaching cortex (whether true or false positives) was greater in ss-EPI. This effect is thought to be attributable to the lower SNR in the rs-EPI data. On the other hand, we found that dispersion of tracts was higher in the ss-EPI data. For instance, rs-EPI yielded improved tractography in the CST (Fig. 7). In regions where CST and SLF cross (centrum semiovale), the CST mistracked anteriorly into the SLF in ss-EPI (Figure 7a, arrows), while the CST projections into M1 and S1 cortex were much more cleanly identified with rs-EPI. The difference between rs-EPI and ss-EPI tractography of the left and right CST in representative slices is shown in Figure 7b. In regions that are plausible segmentations of CST, rs-EPI tracts “dispersed” less than ss-EPI, so that generally and in the example of Figure 7b, in the center of tracts, there were more rs-EPI streamlines, and at the periphery there were more ss-EPI streamlines. This could be a consequence of the lower blurring in rs-EPI. Although the number of tracts reaching all regions of cortex was higher in ss-EPI, the greater mistracking was to a degree such that the fidelity of the tract reconstruction was overall superior in rs-EPI.

An example of the benefits of reduced blurring and distortion in rs-EPI at 7T is shown in the 7T DTI results in Figure 8. The rs-EPI data resolves the thin anterior commissure between hemispheres whereas the tract is not visible in the ss-EPI data. The Figure also demonstrates the reduced blurring and distortion in tracts such as...
as the cingulum. Figure 9 shows that rs-EPI has a closer correspondence to the expected fiber structure at the cortex boundary. rs-EPI vectors are more homogeneous in fiber tracts and are perpendicular to the cortical surface as they enter the banks of the sulci, whereas the ss-EPI vectors are in some cases tangential to the cortical surface.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that a blipped-CAIPI SMS modification is compatible with the rs-EPI sequence and, in particular, with multishot navigator corrections and navigator-based reacquisition. Comparison of ADC maps in Figure 2 showed that SMS acceleration does not introduce serious artifacts and the data are well matched to single-slice rs-EPI with respect to image quality. SMS has previously been used to accelerate diffusion-weighted ss-EPI acquisitions and it is now expected to address one of the major current shortcomings of rs-EPI: long scan times, which can be prohibitive. Acquisition of whole-brain, high-resolution, trace-weighted data in clinically relevant scan times is now possible, as are measurements with a large number of diffusion directions. DWI results in Figures 4 and 6 suggest that faster DWI scans with reduced distortion and signal pile-up could be useful for clinical imaging in regions of field inhomogeneity, such as frontotemporal cortex, brainstem and spine. We have also demonstrated the feasibility of tractography from high-resolution rs-EPI data. Although ss-EPI streamlines had a greater tendency to reach cortex, likely as a consequence of higher SNR, the rs-EPI streamlines were more faithful to the local anatomy, as seen in Figure 7. At 3T, differences between rs-EPI and ss-EPI were subtle for many tracts, but higher resolution in all cases improved the accuracy of tractography. It is thus significant that rs-EPI does not suffer from the distortion-resolution tradeoff that limits ss-EPI. The 7T DTI results show clear benefits of the resolution and quality of the

**FIG. 7.** 3T results from the 1.5 mm isotropic ss- and rs-EPI acquisitions. a: Tractography comparison in the CST. Maximum intensity projections (within-hemisphere) of the CST are shown overlaid onto representative slices of the structural image. Streamlines seeded in the left and right hemispheres are shown in blue–light blue and red–yellow, respectively, at the same threshold (>100 streamlines). Green arrows indicate mis-tracking into the SLF, in regions where both CST and SLF cross. b: Difference between rs- and ss-EPI tractography in the CST in a representative slice. Regions where there are more rs-EPI streamlines are shown in red. Regions where there are more ss-EPI streamlines are shown in green.
rs-EPI data, although the SNR is noticeably higher in the
ss-EPI diffusion parameters. For example, it was possible
to resolve the anterior commissure in rs-EPI data, but not
in ss-EPI data, which is consistent with the findings of a
previous 7T rs-EPI study (19). The high ss-EPI SNR is
due to the higher number of directions achievable in the
same scan time (128 compared with 32) and is also
expected to be increased by blurring caused by T2* decay
and zero-filled phase-encode partial Fourier reconstruc-
tion. Overcoming SAR limitations, as discussed below,
to reduce TR will improve the SNR per unit time of the
7T rs-EPI DTI.

Combining across ss-EPI images with reversed phase-
encode directions would enable some distortion reduc-
tion (47). However, these correction algorithms are
unable to remove extreme distortions in which the local
“true” field Jacobian approaches zero or becomes nega-
tive (corresponding to signal pile-up or a reversal of the
order of signal in the image, respectively), which can
occur in high-resolution or high-field acquisitions. It is
therefore preferable to minimize artifacts at the acqui-
sition stage. Our tractography results further suggest that
there are benefits of the reduced blurring in rs-EPI data.

High resolution does still pose an SNR challenge, which
motivates higher SMS acceleration factors to increase SNR efficiency. SMS acceleration at high field
poses additional challenges. Higher slice acceleration
will require RF pulse designs to address limits on SAR
and peak B1, especially at higher field because SAR
scales with B0^2 and B1^2. RF pulses could be designed
with VERSE (48) to reduce the peak RF voltage and SAR,
however, this adversely affects the slice profile, particu-
larly at higher field where there is increased B0 inhom-
genity. Lengthening the pulses reduces SAR but also
increases sensitivity to off-resonance effects in the
excited slices. For the simple summation of phase-
modulated pulses used in this study, the peak RF voltage
(which scales linearly with the number of slices) and SAR
were usually close to the scanner-imposed limits
(which are calculated on a subject-specific basis).

The amount of slice acceleration used will depend on
TR limitations and what is deemed an acceptable level
of slice separation artifact (22). As mentioned above, the
SNR efficiency peaks at a TR value of approximately
1.25T1, so acceleration factors that achieve this TR are
sufficient. For whole-brain imaging, thick-slice trace
weighted acquisitions with low numbers of slices
(25–30) can use slice acceleration factors of 2–3 to oper-
ate in this TR regime of 1–2 s at 3T. Thin-slice DTI
acquisitions, which require more slices and hence longer
TR (~10 s), would be candidates for higher acceleration
factors. Increasing slice acceleration and/or combining
with in-plane parallel imaging, will lead to more g-factor
noise amplification and residual slice aliasing, as dis-
cussed below. Protocols that use in-plane parallel imag-
ing to minimize TE and susceptibility artifacts will
therefore have tighter constraints on slice acceleration.

The success of the slice separation reconstruction
relies primarily on the geometry of the receive coil used,
in particular the spatial sensitivity variation between ele-
ments in the slice direction and, when blipped-CAIPI is
used, in-plane. The simultaneous use of in-plane parallel
imaging with blipped-CAIPI will in general present a
tradeoff between the two types of acceleration. Blipped-
CAIPI spreads the slice-aliasing pattern in the phase-
encode direction to create additional sensitivity variation
between aliased voxels, thereby reducing the g-factor in
the unaliasing reconstruction. There is interaction
between the aliasing patterns due to in-plane and slice acceleration and less scope to spread the slice-aliasing in the reduced phase-encode field of view, resulting in increased residual artifacts and g-factor (22). However, the SNR performance of SMS acquisitions (un-shifted and blipped-CAIPI) has been shown to improve at higher field strength (27), and with coil designs optimized for parallel imaging (49), where in both cases the improvement is due to increased spatial orthogonality between coil elements.

At 7T, it was necessary to increase TR to comply with SAR limits, such that our three-slice simultaneous excitation in practice only resulted in a factor of two reduction in scan time. The recently introduced power independent of number of slices (PINS) pulses (50) have SAR that is approximately independent of the number of simultaneously excited slices. An RF pulse envelope is multiplied with a comb function (the result is a sampled pulse envelope) so that a series of repeating slices is excited within the range of the transmit coil. PINS pulses have the disadvantages of limited bandwidth (due to the blipped gradient waveforms) and restrictions on slice geometry (which can be overcome if PINS pulses are only used for refocusing (51)). As a consequence of the low bandwidth, we expect there to be significant challenges when using these pulses to excite thin slices (for high-resolution isotropic DTI) in the presence of 7T off-resonance. We plan to explore the use of PINS with rs-EPI in future work. Simultaneous multislice excitation using parallel transmission has also been demonstrated recently as a strategy for reducing RF power and/or improving B1+ homogeneity (52,53).

In the rs-EPI approach, minor motion corruption (outside of systole) is removed by the nonlinear navigator correction, while segments with more significant corruption (during systole) are simply reacquired. The navigator-based reacquisition was able to identify the shots with the most motion corruption based on the aliased navigator signals from the simultaneously acquired slices. Lower slices in the brain suffer the worst
motion artifact (5–7,10), so as the number of simultaneously acquired slices is increased it may be necessary to modify the real-time detection of motion corruption to robustly detect dropout in a subset of the slices. With higher acceleration factors, the presence of just one corrupted slice causes that corruption to be a smaller fraction of the total signal making it harder to detect. In such cases, the signal from individual receive channels could be considered in a more detailed way based on their proximity to the slices, rather than summing all coil contributions to calculate one distribution width. Alternatively, the data could be unaliased in real-time and the distribution widths calculated for the k-space signal from each slice.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we have applied the blipped-CAIPI SMS method to ss-EPI to realize 50% reduction in scan time with potential for further acceleration. We have shown slice acceleration to be compatible with the multishot diffusion approach, and the shorter scans mean that using S2S-M20, the blipped-CAIPI method used for high-resolution trace-weighted imaging and tractography is now a practical alternative to ss-EPI, offering reduced distortion and blurring. Further work on achieving higher slice acceleration factors will improve the SNR per square root time.
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