Background

Since the average lifespan has increased, a greater number of patients will develop a lumbar spinal stenosis, which will result in a lumbosacral pain.

Even though many of these cases have a positive outcome with orthopedic and conservative treatment, this disease is proving to be one of the most common causes of surgical intervention in people over 50.

In the U.S. alone an average of 125,000 laminectomies are performed on a yearly basis [1,2].

The bulging of the ligamentum flavum and the posterior longitudinal posterior ligament, as well as the facet joint subluxation that are present in the lumbar spinal stenosis, are the causing agents of the majority of the compression with a radicular component. Nevertheless, simple image studies and an MRI [3,4] are needed to confirm the compression.

This was demonstrated in the biomechanical studies.
performed in San Francisco by Dr. Zucherman and in Sweden by Dr. Rauschning, who revealed in cadaveric specimens that when in maximum extension, the lumbar spine presented a root compression due to the bulging of the ligaments (Figure 1) and the facet joint subluxation, but most importantly, in maximum flexion the ligaments would stretch, thus reducing the bulging and the subluxation and relieving the compression [5,6].

With these works, it was defined that the treatment for the lumbar spinal stenosis should be based on an expansion of the interbody space with an interbody spacer, posterior stabilization and arthrodesis. In this study the opening of the interbody space was compared with the use of the interbody spacer’s vs the HQ screw.

Given the fact that the interbody spacers frequently undergo sinking into the vertebral body and migration, Dr. Quintana began to use circular screws, as used for ligament fixation in the knee. Later, Dr. Quintana and Dr. Hernandez, with the help of engineer Montoya, designed the HQ screw. They worked on the designed so it could have a narrow tip (Figure 2) cannulated, and with an opening for graft placement.

Biomechanical testing proved that the HQ screw was very stable, and by having a circular shape it did not suffer any type of sinking. Therefore, it was concluded that the screw had at least these advantages over the Zeus spacer: narrow tip that can open the interbody space, and a better grip than the surface teeth in peek spacers.

This stability allows for the use of HQ screws without the need for transpedicular screws, making it possible to perform a posterior stabilization with a percutaneous interspinous spacer; thus, the surgeon only need to perform a 15mm incision and through this opening pass the screw through Kambin’s Triangle and a percutaneous interspinous spacer.

With this technique, the patients will undergo a quick and easy recovery, and with only a 15mm incision skin and aponeurosis can be opened; afterwards, a narrow tip dilator is inserted through Kambin’s triangle and all the way to the interbody space.

Through this dilator a cannula is introduced to perform a discectomy, to scrape the vertebral body platforms in order to produce bleeding, and to introduce the bone graft.

Kambin’s Triangle (Figure 2a) is formed by the base of the vertebral body, the facets and the hypotenuse formed by the nerve root; it measures on average 12mm wide by 18mm high [7].

Materials and methods

A total of 128 patients were taken into account treated by Dr. Hernandez, Quintana, Montes and Cantu with a 5 to 6 year follow up which were divided in 2 groups.

The first group consisted of 67 patients, which were treated with interbody peek spacers (Zeus), with a squared body, bullet tip and an opening for graft placement in its superior and inferior surface, with surface teeth for holding (Figure 2c), through Kambin’s triangle and posterolateral placement. Taking into account that these should always have a posterior stabilization with transpedicular screws.

The second group of 61 patients was treated with the before mentioned technique; however the peek spacer was substituted by a HQ screw. Given the stability that these have, when it is only one level that is affected, interspinous spacers were placed, making it possible for the procedure to be done with only one 15mm incision.

Technique

With the patient in a prone position, local anesthesia is given as well as a mild sedation. With the use of fluoroscopy and using the Anthony Yeung technique, the starting point is located. The discography needle is used to reach the work space and a guide is placed through the needle (Figure 3a). A 15mm incision is performed through which skin, subcutaneous tissue and aponeurosis is cut. A 7mm dilator is introduced which dissects muscle all the way to the base of Kambin’s Triangle. It is impacted to the midpoint of the interbody space and through
this dilator a duck-billed tip cannula is introduced. Using the endoscope the disc is extracted (Figure 3b) and the vertebral surfaces are scraped until bleeding is present. Afterwards 5grams of allogeneic graft or from bank are introduced (Figure 3c). A guide is introduced which rests on the base of the triangle and through this guide wire the interbody spacer tests are performed. Once a right size is found, one that can be introduced with a tight fit, the surgeon must select the next size up (Figure 3d). The cannulated section is filled with bone graft and it is introduced screwing in the base of Kambin’s triangle until it has exceeded the 6mm mark from the posterior wall of the vertebral body. A simple suture is performed on the skin, ending the surgical procedure [9].

Postoperative care

Patients are released from the hospital aft 24 hours of observation, emphasizing the importance of ice placement on the wound the first 3days post-op as well as a 15days regime of antibiotics, analgesics, anti-inflammatories medication, and muscle relaxants.

Patients can start to walk 2 days after the surgery with a continuous use of a lumbar support belt for the next 6 months.

Results

In order to assess pain, a visual analogue scale (VAS) was used, and the Oswesty Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire was used to assess patient functionality. Graft fusion was evaluated by simple x-ray imaging taken at 6, 12, and 18 months post–op by using the Bridwell scale Tables 1,2 [10].

Likewise, complications such as lower limb paresthesia, implant migration and sinking were taken into account.

Discussion

Regarding the peek spacer migration, a displacement was found in 10% of these results which ultimately led to the need of a second intervention, one of which resulted in an infection of the surgical site.

In the migration of the HQ screw, it was found to be less than 4mm, so there was no need to perform any surgical interventions. Due to the fact that the screw is more stable than the peek spacer, posterior stabilization is achievable by percutaneous interspinous spacers. On the other hand with peek spacers a posterior stabilization must be achieved with transpedicular implants.

On consolidation: Peek spacers can better visualize the evolution of graft fusion because they are radiolucent. Also, it was observed that stabilization was faster with autologous graft rather than synthetic or from graft banks. However, when stem cells and growth factors were mixed with the graft fusion time was accelerated.

Regarding other complications like paresthesia, we must take into account that in Kambin’s triangle, 30% of the superior platform is occupied by the root ganglion, which is quite delicate (Figure); thus it is recommended to place the screw in the base of the triangle and not in the middle.
Figure 5: Case 2- Female patient 75 years old that has a lateral subluxation of L1-L2 following direct trauma, with intersomatic narrowing of L1-L2. A: CAT scan where a posttraumatic kyphosis can be observed in L1-L2 as well as a narrowing of the intersomatic space of L2-L3. B: Lateral subluxation of L1-L2. C: Post op Lateral imaging with an H.Q. screw in L1 – L2 to widen the intersomatic space as well as the conjunction holes, and 2 interspinous spacers. D: AP Post op view where the H.Q. screw has reduced the lateral subluxation and a correct placement of the interspinous spacers. This surgery was realized with a minimal invasive technique with 2 15mm incisions with satisfactory results.

Table 1: Results.

|          | Group 1 (Peek Spacer) | Group 2 (HQ Screw) |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Good     | 87% (58)              | 90% (55)           |
| Regular  | 10% (7)               | 6% (4)             |
| Bad      | 3% (2)                | 4% (2)             |
| Total    | 67                    | 61                 |

Table 2: Complications.

|          | Group 1 (Peek Spacer) | Group 2 (HQ Screw) |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Fusion (2/3) Bridwell | 90% (60)              | 90% (55)           |
| Paresthesia | 25% (17)              | 11% (7)            |
| Migration | 10% (7)               | 5% (3)             |
| Sinking  | 5% (3)                | 0%                 |

Conclusions

The longitudinal instability is given by a reduced interbody space caused by a bulging of the posterior ligaments and the ligamentum flavum, as well as a subluxation of the facet joints.

The opening of the interbody space with peek spacers of HQ screw reduces the compression and liberates the nerve root.

It is possible to place the peek spacers and HQ screws through a percutaneous approach through Kambin’s triangle with a 12mm incision.

In our experience the HQ screw, we consider, is more stable than the peek spacers.

In order to decrease complications it is important to introduce the screw at a 45° angle on the base of the interbody space as well as the use of local anesthesia and sedation.
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The sinking of the peek spacers is in part due to their squared shape, making the load or weight bearing greater; on the other hand, the screw is circular and this helps decrease the loads [3-10].