Background: Drug therapy in the elderly needs an emphasis on age-related changes in drug pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics profile. Hospitalized elderly patients are at risk of more than one disease and polypharmacy associated with these; they are at risk of drug-related problems. This study aimed to assess the role of clinical pharmacy on identifying and resolution of drug-related problems among elderly patients admitted to medical ward of Northwest Ethiopia comprehensive specialized hospitals.

Methods: A multicenter prospective observational study was conducted. A systematic sampling technique was used. The identified drug-related problem was recorded and classified using Cipolle, and adverse drug reaction was assessed using Naranjo algorithm of adverse drug reaction probability scale, and Medscape was used for drug-drug interaction. Data were analyzed by using STATA software version 14.1. Logistic regression was used, and results were reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals with P-value < 0.05 statistically significant.

Result: A total of 389 study participants were included in the study. About 266 (68.4%) of the participants had at least a single drug-related problem. About 503 drug-related problems were identified with a mean of 1.32 (CI: 1.27-1.36) drug-related problem per patient. The three-leading categories of drug-related problems were dose too high 108 (21.5%), nonadherence 105 (20.9%), and adverse drug reaction 96 (19.1%). Alcohol use (AOR = 2.2, 95CI%: 1.23-3.94), source of the drug (AOR = 2.85, 95CI%: 1.63-4.98), length of hospitalization (AOR = 2.32, 95CI%: 1.37-3.95), number of comorbidities (AOR = 1.48, 95CI%: 1.09-1.99), and polypharmacy (AOR = 3.06, 95CI%: 1.72-5.46) were important risk factors for drug-related problems. From the intervention provided, 84.7% were accepted by prescribers. Among the total drug-related problems 67.4% of the problem was totally solved.

Conclusion: This study revealed that DRPs were high among elderly patients admitted to medical ward of Northwest Ethiopia. Comorbidity, length of hospitalization, polypharmacy, payer, and alcohol drinker were more likely to developed drug-related problems. Treatment optimizations were also done by clinical pharmacists and interventions were well accepted by prescribers.

1. Introduction

An absolute increment of the proportion of older populations and getting of illness has become an outstanding demographic trend [1–3]. Older adults are prescribed on average two to five prescription medications with more medications prescribed for those reporting more than one illness [4]. Drug therapy is growing more complex, thus making
appropriate patient management increasingly challenging especially for older adults [5]. The number of drug-related problems (DRP) increased with the number of drugs used [6, 7]. A drug-related problem is "an undesirable patient experience that involves drug therapy and that actually or potentially interferes with a desired patient outcome" and that interferes with achieving the expected goals of therapy [8].

Older adults are more than twice as likely to require hospitalization compared with adults in middle age [9]. Comorbidity accompanied by multiple medication use often observed in elderly patients had been associated with several unfavorable outcomes [10]. Those are increase hospitalizations, long-term care admissions, emergency department visits, additional prescriptions, inappropriate medications use, medication non-adherence, drug duplication, drug-drug interactions, higher healthcare costs, and adverse drug reactions [10, 11]. Drugs for older patients have unique challenges since many medications need to be used with special caution because of age-related changes in pharmacokinetics (reduction in renal and hepatic clearance and an increase in the volume of distribution of fat-soluble drugs) and pharmacodynamics [2, 12].

The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that >50% of all medicines are prescribed, dispensed, or sold inappropriately and more than one half of patients fail to take them properly [13]. In the United States (US), around 200 000 peoples die due to DRPs and cost at least $US 200 billion each year [14]. Thus, drug-related problems lead to substantial morbidity and mortality [15]. The risk of DRPs increases with age and usually increased hospital readmission, morbidity, mortality, and health care costs [6]. A total of 34% to 50% of hospitalized older adults experience poor health outcomes, which can prolong their hospital stay, increase their risk for institutionalization, and increase hospital costs and mortality rates [16]. But pharmaceutical interventions have an average cost savings of $US 1511.0 per case by identified and resolved DRPs [17].

Medication use in elderly accounts for almost one-third of all medication prescribed in the USA. The use of one or more prescription drugs among older adults had increased from 74% in 1988–1994 to 90% in 2009–2012 [18]. Around two-thirds of Australians over the age of 60 years had use 4 or more drugs [19]. Having more than one disease and polypharmacy are a major problem for elderly patients. It has a major risk factor for prescribing error and adherence problems, ADRs, and other adverse health outcomes [5].

Unresolved DRPs can lead to significant drug-related morbidity or mortality. The impact of clinical pharmacy on clinical and economic outcomes has been addressed in many studies [20]. Appropriate pharmacotherapy can help to minimize the risk of unfavorable outcomes of pharmacotherapy [8]. Treatment optimizations of drug therapy are major strategies to improve good treatment outcomes, reduce expenditure, and potentially save lives. Intervention strategies to improve geriatric pharmacotherapy is targeted at improving the regulatory processes of drug testing, reducing inappropriate prescribing, preventing beneficial drug underuse and use of potentially harmful drugs, and preventing adverse drug interactions [21].

Clinical pharmacy is a health science discipline in which pharmacists provide patient care that optimizes medication therapy and promotes health, wellness, and disease prevention [22]. Pharmacist interventions not only certainly influence patient care but also decrease unnecessary medical outflow [23]. Clinical pharmacists have been shown to improve the usage of high-risk drugs and improve the accuracy of medication regimens in geriatric patients when they do medication reviews [22, 24].

In Ethiopia, there are different studies regarding to DRPs in pediatrics and the adult population. However, those were not interventional, and no studies were conducted among elderly patients particularly in Northwest Ethiopia. Hopefully, this research could be a paramount role in health policymakers, insurers, and other stakeholders in developing policies and guidelines for the prevention and management of DRPs to improve the quality of care.

2. Methods
2.1. Study Setting, Design, and Period. A multicenter prospective observational study was conducted in Northwest Ethiopia comprehensive specialized hospitals: University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Felege Hiwot Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Tibebe Ghion Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, and Debre Markos Comprehensive Specialized Hospitals from April 30, 2021, to July 30, 2021.

2.2. Study Population. Patients aged 60 years and above were admitted to the medical wards of Northwest Ethiopia comprehensive specialized hospital during the data collection period and who fulfill inclusion criteria.

2.3. Inclusion Criteria and Exclusion Criteria. Patients of either gender of age 60 years and above who were admitted to the medical ward and took at least one medication and were able to participate in the study were included. Those who have incomplete documented data, patients with hearing, and speaking problems were excluded.

2.4. Sample Size and Sampling Technique. The number of patients to be involved in the study is determined by using the single population proportion formula:

\[ n = \frac{(Z_{\alpha/2})^2 \cdot P \cdot (1-P)}{d^2}, \]  

where: \( n \) = minimal sample size required, \( Z_{\alpha/2} \) = standard normal deviation at 95% confidence interval corresponding to 1.96, \( P \) = the probability of DRP on elder event (prevalence), and \( d \) = the margin of error, a 4% margin of error.

Based on a prospective observational study done at Jimma Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia, the prevalence of DTP was 81.5%, so I take the \( P \) value from here. Therefore, the \( P \) value was 0.815\%, \( Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96 \), and \( d = 0.04 \). Therefore, \( N = 362 \).

After accounting for non-respondents and patients who refused to participate in the study, a final sample size of 398 has been reached.
There are five comprehensive specialized hospitals in Northwest Ethiopia. The sample was allocated based on the patients' flow and the bed number of the hospital. Proportional allocation was used to select study subjects based on the number of patients that the respective hospitals contained in their medical wards.

The source population and the sample were \( N = 888 \) and \( n = 389 \), respectively.

The interval size \( K \):
\[
K = \frac{N}{n} = \frac{888}{389} = 2.28 \approx 2.
\]

Sample of each hospital = patient flow each hospital ward \( \times \) sample size/source of population
- UGCSH = 196 \( \times \) 389/888 = 85.86 \( \times \) 86
- DTCSH = 167 \( \times \) 389/888 = 73
- FHCSH = 209 \( \times \) 389/888 = 91.56 \( \times \) 92
- TGCSH = 145 \( \times \) 389/888 = 63
- DMCSH = 171 \( \times \) 389/888 = 74.9 \( \times \) 75

The study participants were chosen using systematic random sampling technique. Simple random selection was used to choose the first research participant from one of the two values. Then, every other patient was selected from the patient registration book by selecting elderly patients until the required sample reached from April 30, 2021, to July 30, 2021.

### Table 1: Socio demographic characteristics of elderly patients admitted to medical ward of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021 (\( n = 389 \)).

| Variables          | Categories              | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Sex                | Male                    | 191       | 49.1       |
|                    | Female                  | 198       | 50.9       |
| Age                | 60-74                   | 298       | 76.6       |
|                    | \( \geq 75 \)           | 91        | 23.4       |
|                    | Single                  | 31        | 8.0        |
|                    | Married                 | 225       | 57.8       |
| Marital status     | Divorced                | 60        | 15.4       |
|                    | Windowed                | 73        | 18.8       |
|                    | Orthodox                | 315       | 81.0       |
|                    | Muslim                  | 62        | 15.9       |
| Religion           | Protestant              | 9         | 2.3        |
|                    | Other*                  | 3         | 0.8        |
|                    | Unable to read and write| 280       | 72.0       |
| Educational status | Primary                 | 70        | 18.0       |
|                    | Secondary               | 20        | 5.1        |
|                    | College and above       | 19        | 4.9        |
|                    | Farmer                  | 264       | 67.9       |
|                    | Merchant                | 71        | 18.2       |
| Occupation         | Government employee     | 12        | 3.1        |
|                    | Retire                  | 42        | 10.8       |
| Residence          | Urban                   | 113       | 29.0       |
|                    | Rural                   | 276       | 71.0       |
| Source of drug     | Payment                 | 182       | 46.8       |
|                    | Free                    | 207       | 53.2       |

Asterisk (∗) represents Adventists (2) and Atheist (1).

2.5. Data Collection Process and Management. The data was collected by using a structured questionnaire adopted from different literature and medical records. The data collection tool was assessed by two senior clinical pharmacists who are academicians and researchers for face validity, completeness, clarity of its contents, and approval obtained. The data collectors were five clinical oriented pharmacists (B.Pharm) who are working at medical ward of Northwest Ethiopia, and the supervisors were four senior pharmacists (2 MSc. in clinical pharmacists and 2 B.Pharm). The collected data were checked out for its completeness during data collection by the principal investigator and supervisors. The principal investigator evaluated the appropriateness of medical therapy using various references such as Beers 2019 Criteria, Dipro 11 edition, UpToDate 2018, Ethiopian National Guideline on Major NCDs 2016, American diabetic association for diabetes, American college of cardiology (2017 CHF; 2018 blood cholesterol; 2017 HTN), the American Society of Hematology (ASH) (2020 guidelines for the management of venous thromboembolism), and IDSA (2019 pneumonia).

Drug-related problem classification was based on the Cipolle DRP classification. The adverse drug reaction (ADR) probability scale was assessed according to the Naranjo ADR probability scale. Accordingly, ADR probability
scale was categorized by taking the sum of 10 questions and grouped as definite, probable, possible, or doubtful if the total score is ≥9, 5–8, 1–4, and 0, respectively, and Medscape was used to check drug-drug interactions. The status of interventions was documented based on Pharmaceutical care network Europe (PCNE) V9.00.

A pretest was done on 20 patients before the actual data collection, and some modification (example, income) was considered based on the result of the pretest.

### 2.6. Data Processing and Analysis

Data were cleaned, coded, and entered into Epi data version 4.6.2 software and exported to STATA version 14.1 for further analysis. Categorical variables were described by frequencies and percentages, and continuous variables were described by mean and standard deviation and median and interquartile range after checking the normality of the data. Data were expressed in form of tables, graphs, charts, and texts described based on the characteristics of the data. Binary logistic regression was conducted for each independent variable with the dependent variable to a candidate for multivariable analysis. Variables that pass bivariable logistic regression at the 95% confidence intervals with a P value of less than 0.25 were selected to multivariable logistic regression constructed to investigate the associations between these variables and the presence of DRPs. Those variables with a P value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant in multivariate analysis. Odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval was also computed for each variable for the corresponding P value to show the strength of association; final results were reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs.

### 2.7. Operational Definitions

Elders: Even if ages of 65 years are mostly used as the definition of elderly persons in developed countries, the age of 60 is for developing countries [1, 14].

### Table 2: Social drug and clinical characteristics of elderly patients admitted to medical ward of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021 (n = 389).

| Variables                        | Categories | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Alcohol                          |            | 176       | 45.2       |
| Social drug use                  | Cigarette  | 19        | 4.9        |
|                                 | Khat       | 8         | 2.1        |
|                                 | <30        | 26        | 6.7        |
|                                 | ≥60        | 240       | 61.7       |
|                                 | 30-60      | 123       | 31.6       |
| Renal function, GFR (ml/min/1.73 m^2) | <18.5     | 20        | 5.1        |
|                                 | 18.5-24.9  | 297       | 76.4       |
|                                 | ≥25        | 72        | 18.5       |
|                                 | Median (IQR) | 66.6 (51.6-85.2) | |
|                                 | BMI (kg/m^2) | ≥25       | 18.5       |
|                                 | Median (IQR) | 5.6 ± 2.4 | |
|                                 | LoH (days) | <7        | 166        | 42.7       |
|                                 | ≥7        | 223       | 57.3       |
|                                 | Number comorbidity mean (SD) | <5 | 139 | 35.7 |
|                                 |           | ≥5        | 250        | 64.3       |

NB: GFR: glomerular filtration rate; SD: standard deviation filtration rate, BMI: body mass index, LOH: length of hospitalization.

### Table 3: Type of DRPs identified from elderly patients admitted of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021 (n = 503 DRPs).

| Types of DRPs                    | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Dose too high                    | 108       | 21.5       |
| Non-adherence                    | 105       | 20.9       |
| ADR                              | 96        | 19.1       |
| Need additional drug therapy     | 74        | 14.7       |
| Dose too low                     | 73        | 14.5       |
| Unnecessary drug therapy         | 36        | 7.1        |
| Infective drug therapy           | 11        | 2.2        |
| Total DRPs                       | 503       | 100        |
Table 4: Drugs which were involved for actual ADR on elderly patients admitted to medical ward of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021.

| Drug involved                  | Types of ADR          | Frequency | Percentage |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Azithromycin (6¶), morphine (4¶), and ceftriaxone (3¶) | Diarrhea/constipation  | 13        | 15.85      |
| Prednisolone (2¶, 2 ψ), ferrous sulfate (6¶), hemi-up (1 ψ), and warfarin (2 ψ) | Epigastric pain       | 13        | 15.85      |
| Pyrazinamide (2 ψ, 2 ¶), phenytoin (3 ¶), and propylthiouracil (2 ¶) | Skin rash             | 9         | 13.41      |
| Warfarin (2 ψ), heparin (2*, 3 ψ), and aspirin (1 ψ) | Bleeding               | 8         | 10.98      |
| Captopril (2 ψ), furosemide (1¶), vancomycin (1¶), and nifedipine (2*, 1 ψ) | Hypotension           | 7         | 4.88       |
| Adrenaline 1 Ω, 2¶,3 ψ       | Headache               | 6         | 7.32       |
| Insulin 3*, 2 ψ              | Hypoglycemia           | 5         | 6.10       |
| Furosemide 3 ψ,2¶             | Hypokalemia            | 5         | 18.29      |
| Enalapril 4 ψ                | Acute kidney injury    | 4         | 4.8        |
| Hydrochlorothiazide (2¶) and cimetidine (1¶) | Confusion              | 3         | 3.66       |
| Dexamethasone 1*, 2¶          | Hyperglycemia          | 3         |            |
| Isoniazid 2¶                  | Weakness               | 2         | 2.44       |
| Diazepam (1¶) and morphine (1 ψ) | Depression           | 2         | 2.44       |
| Spironolactone 2¶             | Hyperkalemia           | 2         | 2.44       |

Note: Ω = doubtful ¶ = possible, ψ = probable * = definite.

3. Results

About half of the patients (50.9%) out of the 389 study participants were female. The mean (SD) age of the study participants was 69 ± 7.46 years. More than half (57.8%) of the patients were married. Almost two-thirds (67.9%) of the patients were farmers and came from rural areas (71.0%). Over half of the participants (53.2%) received their medication for free (Table 1).

3.1. Social Drug and Clinical Characteristics. Regarding to social drug use behavior, 45.2% of patients were taking alcohol. Around three fourths (76.4%) of the patients of body mass index were normal, and the mean (SD) of BMI was 22.5 ± 2.9 kg/m². The median (IQR) GFR were 66.6 (51.6-85.2) ml/min/1.73 m² (Table 2).

3.2. Prevalence of Specific DRPs. The three main types of drug-related problems were examined in this study. DRPs were dose too high (21.5%), non-adherence (20.9%), adverse drug reactions (19.1%), need additional drug therapy (14.5%), and dose too low (14.5%) (Table 3).

3.3. Adverse Drug Reaction. There were 96 ADRs in all (82 were actual and 14 were potential ADRs). Based on Naranjo probability scale, 41 (50%) patients had probable and 33 (40%), 7 (9%), and 1 (1%) had possible, definite, and doubtful ADR, respectively (Figure 1).

3.4. Specific Drugs for ADRs. A total of 82 patients had medication side effects; among the most frequent ADRs were diarrhea/constipation, epigastric pain, skin rash, and bleeding (Table 4).

3.5. Drug-Drug Interaction. Only those DDIs that have DRP causes were evaluated in this study. There were discovered 154 DDIs in all. Among these, 93 were dose too high, 47 were dose too low, and 14 potential adverse drug
reactions. Omeprazole + clopidogrel, ceftriaxone + heparin, and ceftriaxone + nifedipine were the most common DDIs (Table 5).

3.6. Number of DRPs per Patients. A total of 503 DRPs were found in this investigation. Most patients (about 266; 68.38%; CI: 63.74-73.02) experienced at least one drug-related problem. Out of those, 82 (30.84%) patients had two DRPs, 49 (18.42%) patients had three, and 19 (7.14%) patients had four DRPs. The patient’s average number of drug-related problems was 1.32 (CI: 1.27 to 1.36) (Figure 2).

3.7. Top Eleven Drugs which Were Involved in Specific DRPs. The most frequent drug class involved in DRPs was warfarin, followed by atorvastatin, omeprazole, heparin, ceftriaxone, digoxin, and dexamethasone (Figure 3).

Table 5: Drug-drug interaction among elderly patients admitted to medical ward of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021.

| Drugs                        | Frequency | Severity | Risk of interaction                      |
|------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------------------|
| Atorvastatin + cimetidine    | 22        | Major    | Increase the level of anticoagulation     |
| Omeprazole + clopidogrel     | 18        | Major    | Decrease effect of clopidogrel           |
| Ceftriaxone + heparin        | 15        | Major    | Increase effect of atorvastatin          |
| Warfarin + metronidazole     | 11        | Major    | Increase bleeding risk                   |
| Cimetidine + clopidogrel     | 11        | Major    | Decrease effect of clopidogrel           |
| Warfarin + cimetidine        | 8         | Major    | Increase effect of warfarin              |
| Digoxin + nifedipine         | 7         | Significant | Increase the level of digoxin            |
| Azithromycin + heparin       | 6         | Major    | Increase effect of heparin               |
| Omeprazole + digoxin         | 6         | Major    | Increase risk of digoxin level           |
| Digoxin + azithromycin       | 6         | Major    | Increase level of digoxin                |
| Morphine + clopidogrel       | 6         | Major    | Decrease effect of clopidogrel           |
| Azithromycin + warfarin      | 5         | Major    | Increase effect of warfarin              |
| Warfarin + ciprofloxacin     | 5         | Major    | Increase the effect of ciprofloxacin     |
| Aspirin + enalapril          | 5         | Major    | Decrease renal function                  |
| Enalapril + NPH              | 5         | Significant | Increase effect of insulin               |
| Warfarin + heparin           | 3         | Major    | Both increase anticoagulation            |
| Dexamethasone + cimetidine   | 3         | Major    | Increase level of dexamethasone          |
| Digoxin + metoprolol         | 3         | Major    | Increase risk of bradycardia             |
| Cimetidine + hydrocortisone  | 2         | Major    | Increase effect of hydrocortisone        |
| Dexamethasone + rifampicin   | 2         | Major    | Decrease effect of dexamethasone         |
| Morphine + tramadol          | 1         | Major    | Increase dependency                      |
| Omeprazole + isoniazid       | 1         | Major    | Increase effect of omeprazole            |
| Spironolactone + potassium chloride | 1 | Major    | Risk of hyperkalemia                     |
| Omeprazole + rifampicin      | 1         | Major    | Decrease effect of omeprazole            |
| Haloperidol + ondansetron    | 1         | Major    | Both increase QT interval                |

Figure 2: Number of DRP identified from elderly patients admitted to medical wards of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021.

Figure 3: Top eleven drugs involved in drug-related problems among elderly patients admitted to medical ward of medical ward of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 202.
3.8. Interventions, Prescriber Acceptance Rate and Outcome of Problem. Pharmacists submitted 493 DRPs for intervention out of a total of 503 that were found, while other healthcare professionals addressed the remaining problems. About a total of 855 interventions were provided at different levels by clinical pharmacists. Nearly half 445 (52.0%) of interventions were at the prescriber level, followed by 323 (37.8%) at drug level and 87 (10.2%) at patient level. The acceptance rate was calculated based on the interventions proposed and discussed with the prescriber. After discussing with the prescriber of interventions were going to drug level, 39 (12.1%) of the drugs were stopped, 54 (16.7%) of new drugs were started, 79 (24.5%) dosage changed, 25 (7.7) of drugs changed, and 126 (39.0) of drugs were monitored. From the proposed, 377 (84.7%) DRPs were accepted. Among total DRPs, about 67.4% of the problems were solved, and 11.5% were partially solved (Table 6).

3.9. Factors for the Occurrence of DRPs. Multivariable logistic analysis revealed that patients who paid for their medication had a 2.85 (AOR = 2.85, CI: 1.63-4.98) times higher risk of developing DRPs than those who stayed for less than seven days. The likelihood that DRPs would develop increases by the odd of 1.48 when there are comorbidities (AOR = 1.48, 95CI percent: 1.09-1.99). Patients who took an average of five or more medicines had a 3.06 (AOR = 3.06; CI: 1.72–5.46) times higher risk of developing DRPs than those who used fewer than five drugs (Table 7).

### Table 6: Interventions, prescriber acceptance rate and status of problems on elderly patients admitted to medical ward of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021.

| Interventions                        | Number | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Intervention by other                | 10     | 1.2        |
| Interventions by pharmacists         |        |            |
| At prescriber level 445 (52.0%)      |        |            |
| Total intervention provided          | 855    | 98.8       |
| Int. proposed and discussed with prescriber | 386   | 86.7       |
| Prescriber informed only             | 59     | 13.3       |
| Patient drug counseling              | 76     | 87.4       |
| Spoken to family/care giver         | 11     | 12.6       |
| Drug stopped                         | 39     | 12.1       |
| New drug started                     | 54     | 16.7       |
| At patient level 87 (10.2%)          |        |            |
| Intervention by other                | 10     | 1.2        |
| Drug level 323 (37.8%)               |        |            |
| Dosage changed                       | 79     | 24.5       |
| Drug changed                         | 25     | 7.7        |
| Monitored                            | 126    | 39.0       |
| Int. not accepted                    | 68     | 15.3       |
| Int. not accepted and implemented    | 309    | 82         |
| Int. accepted and partially implemented | 36    | 9.5        |
| Int. accepted but not implemented    | 32     | 8.5        |
| Int. not accepted; no agreement      | 65     | 95.6       |
| Int. not accepted not feasible       | 3      | 4.4        |

### Status of the problems (503)

| Problem solved                      | 339    | 67.4       |
| Prob. partially solved              | 58     | 11.5       |
| Problem not solved                  | 106    | 21.1       |
| Lack of coordination of prescriber  | 82     | 77.4       |
| No possibility to solve problem      | 6      | 5.6        |
| Lack of coordination of patient     | 18     | 17         |

4. Discussion

This study assessed the prevalence and factors contributing to an increased risk of developing one or more DRPs and provided interventions for each DRP among elderly patients admitted to medical wards of Northwest Ethiopia comprehensive specialized hospitals. Drug-related problems are becoming a major public health concern [26]. Due to physiologic changes, several concomitant conditions, an increase in the number of medications, and the complexity of drug regimens, elderly individuals are more at risk of developing DRPs. Therefore, identification and optimization of DRPs in this population is decisive.

In this study, 68.38% of patients had at least one DRP, which is comparable with a study conducted in Northern Sweden 66% [27], but higher than in Canada 41% [28], in Spain 45.1% [26], in Chin 34.5% [29], and in Bangkok 63.3% [30] but lower than the study conducted in India.
need close monitoring of their prescription regimens, and it aids in identifying patients who are most vulnerable and the medications. The dose of a drug often impacts the benefit to people, in addition to their underlying medical issues. The changed pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of aged patients, in comparison with their younger counterparts, often result in a wide range of drug-related problems, which can result in a large number of DRPs. Patients who were taking alcohol about 2.2 times more likely to have DRPs. But in a study conducted in Bangkok, there is no statistical difference between a drinker and nondrinker [30]. This could be a result of problems with drug availability, price, and low drug awareness among the general people of this study. It revealed that both demographic and clinical characteristics (drug source, alcohol usage, number of diseases, number of drugs, and length of hospitalization) had a statistically significant impact on the development of DRPs.

In the current study, dosage too high was the most frequent DRP, followed by non-adherence, adverse medication reactions, and need additional drug therapy, which is comparable with a study conducted in Belgrade [34]. A meta-analysis and systematic study in Ethiopia were shown that need additional drug therapy, low dose, and non-adherence were the most common subtypes of DRPs [35], whereas in a study conducted in the USA, dose too low was the predominated followed by dose too high and needs additional drug therapy and ADR [36]. This is brought on by the changed pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of aged people, in addition to their underlying medical issues. The dose of a drug often impacts the benefits and side effects of the medications.

Finding of contributing factors for DRPs is crucial since it aids in identifying patients who are most vulnerable and need close monitoring of their prescription regimens, and to provide pharmaceutical care interventions. The results of this study revealed that both demographic and clinical characteristics (drug source, alcohol use, number of diseases, number of drugs, and length of hospitalization) had a statistically significant impact on the development of DRPs.

Accordingly, the source of the drug is one of the risk factors for DRPs, and patients who paid for their medication had a 2.85 times higher likelihood of developing the DRPs, which is in line with a study conducted at Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital [37]. But most studies out of Ethiopia showed that the source of medication is not the risk factor of DRPs. Patients who were taking alcohol about 2.2 times had DRPs. But in a study conducted in Bangkok, there is no statistical difference between a drinker and nondrinker [30]. This could be a result of problems with drug availability, price, and low drug awareness among the general people in Ethiopia.

Patients with one or more medical conditions increased their risk of developing DRPs by 1.48 times when compared to those who did not have any comorbid conditions; this conclusion is consistent with research from the Netherlands [38], India [39], and Norway [40], but differs from a study performed in China [41].

Table 7: Results of a bivariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis of factors associated with DRPs among elderly patients admitted to medical ward of UoGCSH, DTCSH, FHCSH, TGCSH, and DMCSH, Northwest, Ethiopia, 2021.

| Variables | Categories | DRPs |COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) | P value |
|-----------|------------|------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Age       | 60-74      | 192 (72.2%) | 106 (86.2%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
|           | ≥75        | 74 (27.8%) | 17 (13.8%) | 2.40 (1.35-4.28) | 0.003 | 1.88 (0.94-3.77) | 0.076 |
| Marital status | Married | 136 (51.1%) | 89 (72.4%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
|           | Divorced   | 50 (18.8%) | 10 (8.1%) | 3.27 (1.58-6.79) | 0.001 | 1.29 (0.55-3.03) | 0.561 |
|           | Windowed   | 56 (21.1%) | 17 (13.8%) | 2.16 (1.18-3.95) | 0.013 | 1.72 (0.82-3.59) | 0.151 |
|           | Farmer     | 183 (68.8%) | 81 (65.9%) | 2.26 (0.71-7.22) | 0.169 | 3.94 (0.90-17.19) | 0.068 |
| Occupational status | Merchant | 44 (16.5%) | 27 (21.9%) | 1.63 (0.48-5.57) | 0.436 | 2.32 (0.50-10.73) | 0.283 |
|           | Employment | 6 (2.3%) | 6 (4.9%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
|           | Retire     | 33 (12.4%) | 9 (7.3%) | 3.67 (0.95-14.15) | 0.059 | 4.23 (0.78-22.87) | 0.094 |
|           | Free       | 117 (44.0%) | 90 (73.2%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
| Source of drug | Payment | 149 (56.0%) | 33 (26.8%) | 3.47 (2.18-5.54) | <0.001 | 2.85 (1.63-4.98) | <0.001* |
|           | Yes        | 143 (53.8%) | 33 (26.8%) | 0.32 (0.20-0.50) | <0.001 | 2.20 (1.23-3.94) | 0.008* |
| Alcohol   | No         | 123 (46.2%) | 90 (73.2%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
|           | Yes        | 18 (6.8%) | 1 (0.8%) | 8.85 (1.17-67.11) | 0.035 | 4.67 (0.45-48.83) | 0.198 |
| Cigarette | No         | 248 (93.2%) | 122 (99.2%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
| Comorbidity mean (SD) | 2.67 ± 1.09 | 1.95 (1.53-2.49) | <0.001 | 1.48 (1.09-1.99) | 0.010* |
| Number of drug | <5  | 62 (23.3%) | 77 (66.2%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
|           | ≥5        | 204 (76.7%) | 46 (33.8%) | 5.51 (3.47-8.75) | <0.001 | 3.06 (1.72-5.46) | <0.001* |
| LOH (days) | <7  | 88 (33.1%) | 78 (63.4%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |
|           | ≥7        | 178 (66.9%) | 45 (36.6%) | 3.51 (2.24-5.48) | <0.001 | 2.32 (1.37-3.95) | 0.002* |
|           | <30       | 18 (6.8%) | 8 (6.5%) | 1.29 (0.54-3.08) | 0.570 | 0.86 (0.29-2.51) | 0.783 |
| GFR (ml/min/1.73 m²) | 30-60 | 96 (36.1%) | 28 (22.8%) | 1.96 (1.19-3.22) | 0.008 | 1.68 (0.89-3.13) | 0.104 |
|           | >60       | 152 (5.1%) | 87 (70.7%) | 1.00 | 1 | 1.00 | 1 |

NB: GFR: glomerular filtration rate, LOH: length of hospitalization, COR: crude odd ratio; AOR: adjusted odd ratio; CI: confidence interval, P value < 0.05, *P value.
conducted in Gondar hospital [41] and a multicenter study in southwestern Ethiopian hospitals [42], which is not statistically different. In fact, as comorbidities and the number of medications rise, patients are at a higher risk of getting DRPs.

When compared to patients who did not take polypharmacy, those on polypharmacy experienced DRPs three times more frequently; this result is supported by a study done in the Netherlands [43], in Taiwan [44], Spain [26], and China [29]. Many studies in Ethiopia and outside of Ethiopia have proved that polypharmacy has been highly associated with DRPs. In this fact, polypharmacy is the main risk factor for DRPs because of increased drug-drug interactions, adverse drug reactions, medication errors, non-adherence, and health costs.

Patients who stayed in the hospital for seven or more days were 2.3 times more likely to have DRPs; it is supported by a meta-analysis conducted in Ethiopia [45], a study in China [29], and a multicenter prospective study in southwestern Ethiopia [42]. The reason might be the likelihood of infection in patients who stayed in the hospital for a long time necessitates more management, which raises the expense of patient healthcare.

After identified DRPs, interventions were also made for each DRP. About 855 (98.8%) interventions were provided by clinical pharmacists proposed at three levels: at prescriber, patient level, and drug level. As a result, interventions put forward at the prescriber level were 52.0%, at a patient 10.2%, and drug levels 37.8%, whereas a study in Mangalore showed that interventions at prescriber, at the patient level, and drug level were 77.7%, 26.54%, and 12.32%, respectively [46]; a study in Jimma hospital prescriber level was 40.4% patient/career level 25.7%; and drug level was 33.9% [47]. This difference may be due to the clinical pharmacists who reviewed the DRPs were not integrated into the healthcare team, having no direct involvement with the patient care and did not participate in ward rounds, and the system of the government emphasize towards clinical pharmacy.

Through this investigation, the prescriber’s acceptance rate was calculated considering the interventions provided at the prescriber level. Hence, out of 445 interventions provided, 84.7% of them were accepted. This finding was comparable with a study done in Turkey 85.4% [48], and Jimma 81.6% [47], but higher than a study conducted in Spain 74.1% [15] and lower than a study conducted in China 91.9% [28] and in Jimma 91.7% [49]. The variations in acceptance rates could be attributed to the clinical pharmacists’ ability to communicate, the mechanism for identifying drug-related problems, and physicians’ attitudes about pharmacists.

The outcome of clinical pharmacists’ interventions and the status of DRPs were determined. The results of this study show that, of the total number of identified DRPs, 67.4% were fully solved and 11.5% were partially solved, which is in line with research done in Canada [20] and Belgrade [29]. However, this conclusion differs from those in Spain [50] and Mangalore [12]. The difference may be due to the physicians’ attitude to pharmacists, and clinical pharmacists strictly follow the status of the problem.

These results cannot be generalized to populations other than those who were admitted to the medical ward because this study solely assesses DRPs among individuals admitted to the medical ward. This circumstance can be considered a limitation of the study.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

This study revealed that DRPs were high among elderly patients admitted to the medical ward of northwest Ethiopia. The most prevalent were dose too high, non-adherence, and ADR. Comorbidity, length of hospitalization, ploy-pharmacy, payer, and patients who drink alcohol were more likely to develop DRPs. In addition, treatment optimizations were done by clinical pharmacists, and interventions were well accepted by prescribers. Special preference ought to be given to elder patients who are at a higher risk of developing DRP.

Abbreviations

ACEI: Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors
ADR: Adverse drug reaction
DDI: Drug-drug interaction
DRP: Drug-related problem
DTCSH: Debre Tabor Comprehensive Specialized Hospital
DMCSH: Debre Markos Compressive Specialized Hospital
FHCSH: Felege Hiwot Comprehensive Specialized Hospital
TGCSH: Tibebe Gion Comressive Specialized Hospital
UoGCSH: University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital
USA: United States of America
WHO: World Health Organization.

Data Availability

The data sets used/analyzed at this study are available from the corresponding author up on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval

The research and ethical review committees of the Department of Clinical Pharmacy, School of Pharmacy, College of Medicine and Health Science, and University of Gondar provided their approval. Letter of cooperation was submitted to the medical ward for each of five hospitals to access medical ward patients and conducts the study. Written consent was obtained by an outline of the concept and purpose of the study and inviting the patient to participate. Privacy and confidentiality of all information accessed from the patients’ medical record were be kept and restricted from any disclosure other than allowed to access them (data collector, supervisor, principal investigator, and advisers).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have not any conflict of interest.
Authors’ Contributions

SB was in charge of the project, and he also designed and developed the research concept, as well as writing the article. GB and EG contributed to the final version of the paper by modifying it and reviewing it. TY and SA were in charge of data analysis and organization. All authors evaluated and approved the final paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to University of Gondar College of Medicine and Health Sciences for their logistical assistance. We are also appreciative to the medical ward managers, data collectors, study participants, and other staff members in northwest Ethiopian comprehensive specialized hospitals.

References

[1] Organization, WH, World health statistics, World Health Organization, 2015.
[2] A. A. Mangoni and S. H. Jackson, “Age-related changes in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics: basic principles and practical applications,” British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 6–14, 2004.
[3] P. Maresova, E. Javanmardi, S. Barakovic et al., “Consequences of chronic diseases and other limitations associated with old age - a scoping review,” BMC Public Health, vol. 19, no. 1, p. 1431, 2019.
[4] G. P. Stoehr, S. Y. Lu, L. Lavery et al., “Factors associated with adherence to medication regimens in older primary care patients: The steel valley seniors survey,” Factors associated with adherence to medication regimens in older primary care patients: the Steel Valley Seniors Survey., vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 255–263, 2008.
[5] M. A. Steinman and J. T. Hanlon, “Managing medications in clinically complex elders: ‘There’s got to be a happy medium’,” JAMA, vol. 304, no. 14, pp. 1592–1601, 2010.
[6] A. Ahmad, M. R. Mast, G. Nijpels, P. J. Elders, J. M. Dekker, and J. G. Hugtenburg, “Identification of drug-related problems of elderly patients discharged from hospital,” Patient Preference and Adherence, vol. 8, p. 155, 2014.
[7] E. Ertuna, M. Z. Arun, S. Ay, F. Ö. Fatma Özge Kayhan Koçak, B. Gökdemir, and G. Ispirli, “Evaluation of pharmacist interventions and commonly used medications in the geriatric ward of a teaching hospital in Turkey: a retrospective study,” Clinical Interventions in Aging, vol. 14, pp. 587–600, 2019.
[8] R. J. Cipolle, L. M. Strand, and P. C. Morley, Pharmacaceutical care practice: the patient-centered approach to medication management, McGraw Hill Professional, 2012.
[9] A. M. Mudge, P. McRae, R. E. Hubbard et al., “Hospital-associated complications of older people: a proposed multicomponent outcome for acute care,” Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 352–356, 2019.
[10] H. Komiya, H. Umegaki, A. Asai et al., “Factors associated with polypharmacy in elderly home-care patients,” Geriatrics & Gerontology International, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 33–41, 2018.
[11] M. K. Anshila, D. P. Nambiar, D. V. Augustine, M. Saseendran, A. R. Shabraya, and S. Siva, “Evaluation of Drug Related Problems in Patients with Chronic Disease at Tertiary Care Teaching Hospital,” Int J Health Sci Res, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 144–155, 2020.
[12] P. A. Rochon, K. E. Schmader, and H. Sokol, Drug prescribing for older adults, 2019.
[13] Organization, WH, The pursuit of responsible use of medicines: sharing and learning from country experiences, World Health Organization, 2012.
[14] W. Simonson and J. L. Feinberg, “Medication-related problems in the elderly,” Drugs & Aging, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 559–569, 2005.
[15] T. M. Abegaz, E. M. Birru, and G. B. Mekonnen, “Potentially inappropriate prescribing in Ethiopian geriatric patients hospitalized with cardiovascular disorders using START/STOPP criteria,” PLoS One, vol. 13, no. 5, article e0195949, 2018.
[16] C. Palmisano-Mills, “Common problems in hospitalized older adults: four programs to improve care,” Journal of Gerontological Nursing, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 48–54, 2007.
[17] Y. Tasaka, A. Tanaka, D. Yasunaga, T. Asakawa, H. Araki, and M. Tanaka, “Potential drug-related problems detected by routine pharmaceutical interventions: safety and economic contributions made by hospital pharmacists in Japan,” Journal of pharmaceutical health care and sciences, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2018.
[18] S. Lee, L. Jiang, D. Dowdy, Y. A. Hong, and M. G. Ory, “Effects of the chronic disease self-management program on medication adherence among older adults,” Translational Behavioral Medicine, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 380–388, 2019.
[19] R. Elliott, C. Pharmacist, and A. Health, “Problems with medication use in the elderly: an Australian perspective,” Journal of Pharmacy Practice and Research, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 58–66, 2006.
[20] A. Somers, H. Robays, P. De Paepe, G. Van Maele, K. Perchudoff, and M. Petrovic, “Evaluation of clinical pharmacist recommendations in the geriatric ward of a Belgian university hospital,” Clinical Interventions in Aging, vol. 8, p. 703, 2013.
[21] E. Topinková, J. P. Baeyens, J. P. Michel, and P. O. Lang, “Evidence-based strategies for the optimization of pharmacotherapy in older people,” Drugs & Aging, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 477–494, 2012.
[22] “the definition of clinical pharmacy,” Pharmacotherapy, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 816–817, 2008.
[23] H. A. M. Al Rahbi, R. M. Al-Sabri, and H. R. Chitme, “Interventions by pharmacists in out-patient pharmaceutical care,” Saudi pharmaceutical journal, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 101–106, 2014.
[24] S. C. Weddle, A. S. Rowe, J. W. Jeter, R. C. Renwick, S. M. Chamberlin, and A. S. Franks, “Assessment of clinical pharmacy interventions to reduce outpatient use of high-risk medications in the elderly,” Journal of Managed Care & Specialty Pharmacy, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 520–524, 2017.
[25] J. F. Vm, N. Horvat, and W. Tommy, “Pharmaceutical Care Network Europe foundation,” Classification for drug related problems revised, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 15-16, 2018.
[26] N. Garin, N. Sole, B. Lucas et al., “Drug related problems in clinical practice: a cross-sectional study on their prevalence, risk factors and associated pharmaceutical interventions,” Scientific Reports, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2021.
[27] C. Peterson and M. Gustafsson, “Characterisation of drug-related problems and associated factors at a clinical pharmacist
A. Paisansirikul, A. Ketprayoon, W. Ittiwattanakul, and K. Ramanath and S. Nedumballi, "K. F. B. Y. Hailu, D. F. Berhe, E. K. Gudina, K. Gidey, and S. V. Kova, "B. J. Courtman and S. B. Stallings, "A. J. Leendertse, A. C. Egberts, L. J. Stoker, P. M. van den Bemt, "A. M. Campbell, K. C. Coley, J. M. Corbo et al., "M. Greeshma, S. Lincy, E. Maheswari, S. Tharanath, and S. Viswam, "Identification of drug related problems by clinical pharmacist in prescriptions with polypharmacy: a prospective interventional study,” Journal of Young Pharmacists, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 460–465, 2018.

S. Ruangritchankul, N. M. Peel, L. S. Hanjani, and L. C. Gray, "Drug related problems in older adults living with dementia,” PLoS One, vol. 15, no. 7, article e0236830, 2020.

O. A. Abdela, A. S. Bhagavathula, H. Getachew, and Y. Kelifa, "Risk factors for developing drug-related problems in patients with cardiovascular diseases attending Gondar University Hospital, Ethiopia,” Journal of Pharmacy & Bioallied Sciences, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 289–295, 2016.

F. Bekele, T. Tsegaye, E. Negash, and G. Fekadu, "Magnitude and determinants of drug-related problems among patients admitted to medical wards of southwestern Ethiopian hospitals: a multicenter prospective observational study,” PLoS One, vol. 16, no. 3, article e0248575, 2021.

F. Willeboorde, L. H. Grundeken, L. P. van den Eijkel, F. G. Schellevis, P. J. M. Elders, and J. G. Hugtenburg, "Information on actual medication use and drug-related problems in older patients: questionnaire or interview?,” International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 380–387, 2016.

D.-C. Chan, J. H. Chen, H. K. Kuo et al., “Drug-related problems (DRPs) identified from geriatric medication safety review clinics,” Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 168–174, 2012.

B. Kefale, A. Degu, and G. T. Tegegne, “Medication-related problems and adverse drug reactions in Ethiopia: a systematic review,” Pharmacology Research & Perspectives, vol. 8, no. 5, article e00641, 2020.

S. I. Al-Azzam, K. H. Alzoubi, S. AbuRuz, and Q. Alefan, "Drug-related problems in a sample of outpatients with chronic diseases: a cross-sectional study from Jordan,” Therapeutics and Clinical Risk Management, vol. 12, p. 233, 2016.

A. W. Garedow, E. Mulisa Bobasa, A. Desalegn Wolide et al., "Drug-related problems and associated factors among patients admitted with chronic kidney disease at Jimma University Medical Center, Jimma Zone, Jimma, Southwest Ethiopia: a hospital-based prospective observational study,” International Journal of nephrology, vol. 2019, 9 pages, 2019.

"American Geriatrics Society 2019 updated AGS beers criteria® for potentially inappropriate medication use in older adults,” Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 674–694, 2019.

E. W. Gathara, Prevalence of drug therapy problems and determination of outcomes among patients attending medical outpatient clinic at Kenyatta National Hospital, University of Nairobi, 2018.

C. Silva, C. Ramalho, I. Luz, J. Monteiro, and P. Fresco, "Drug-related problems in institutionalized, polymedicated elderly patients: opportunities for pharmacist intervention,” International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 327–334, 2015.

B. J. Courtman and S. B. Stallings, "Characterization of drug-related problems in elderly patients on admission to a medical ward,” The Canadian Journal of Hospital Pharmacy, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 161–166, 1995.

Z. Ma, S. Sun, C. Zhang et al., “Characteristics of drug-related problems and pharmacists’ interventions in a geriatric unit in China,” International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 270–274, 2021.

A. Paisansirikul, A. Ketprayoon, W. Ittiwattanakul, and A. Petchlorian, “Prevalence and associated factors of drug-related problems among older people: a cross-sectional study at King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital in Bangkok,” Drugs-real world outcomes, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 73–84, 2021.

K. Ramanath and S. Nedumballi, “Assessment of medication-related problems in Geriatric patients of a rural tertiary care hospital,” Journal of Young Pharmacists, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 273–278, 2012.

K. F. Šola, I. Mucalo, A. Brajković, I. Jukić, D. Verbanac, and S. Vladimir Knežević, "Drug therapy problems identified among older adults placed in a nursing home: the Croatian experience,” Journal of International Medical Research, vol. 48, no. 6, p. 030006052092879, 2020.

B. Y. Hailu, D. F. Berhe, E. K. Gudina, K. Gidey, and M. Getachew, “Drug related problems in admitted geriatric patients: the impact of clinical pharmacist interventions,” BMC Geriatrics, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2020.

S. V. Kovačević, B. Miljković, M. Ćulafić et al., “Evaluation of drug-related problems in older polypharmacy primary care patients,” Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 860–865, 2017.

F. Adem, J. Abdela, D. Edessa, B. Hagos, A. Nigussie, and M. A. Mohammed, “Drug-related problems and associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 1–24, 2021.

A. M. Campbell, K. C. Coley, J. M. Corbo et al., "Pharmacist-led drug therapy problem management in an interprofessional geriatric care continuum: a subset of the PIVOTS group,” Am Health Drug Benefits, vol. 11, no. 9, pp. 469–478, 2018.

O. Hassen and A. Beyene, “The effect of seizure on school attendance among children with epilepsy: a follow-up study at the pediatrics neurology clinic, Tikur Anbessa specialized hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,” BMC Pediatrics, vol. 20, pp. 1–7, 2020.

A. J. Leendertse, A. C. Egberts, L. J. Stoker, P. M. van den Bent, and HARM Study Group, "Frequency of and risk factors for preventable medication-related hospital admissions in the Netherlands,” Archives of Internal Medicine, vol. 168, no. 17, pp. 1890–1896, 2008.

M. Greeshma, S. Lincy, E. Maheswari, S. Tharanath, and S. Viswam, "Identification of drug related problems by clinical pharmacist in prescriptions with polypharmacy: a prospective interventional study,” Journal of Young Pharmacists, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 460–465, 2018.

S. Ruangritchankul, N. M. Peel, L. S. Hanjani, and L. C. Gray, "Drug related problems in older adults living with dementia,” PLoS One, vol. 15, no. 7, article e0236830, 2020.