Rotation of stress fibers as a single wheel in migrating fish keratocytes
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Crawling migration plays an essential role in a variety of biological phenomena, including development, wound healing, and immune system function. Keratocytes are wound-healing cells in fish skin. Expansion of the leading edge of keratocytes and retraction of the rear are respectively induced by actin polymerization and contraction of stress fibers in the same way as for other cell types. Interestingly, stress fibers in keratocytes align almost perpendicular to the migration-direction. It seems that in order to efficiently retract the rear, it is better that the stress fibers align parallel to it. From the unique alignment of stress fibers in keratocytes, we speculated that the stress fibers may play a role for migration other than the retraction. Here, we reveal that the stress fibers are stereoscopically arranged so as to surround the cytoplasm in the cell body; we directly show, in sequential three-dimensional recordings, their rolling motion during migration. Removal of the stress fibers decreased migration velocity and induced the collapse of the left-right balance of crawling migration. The rotation of these stress fibers plays the role of a “wheel” in crawling migration of keratocytes.

Results

3D configuration of stress fibers in a keratocyte. Keratocytes maintain their overall shape during crawling migration (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Movie S1). The lamellipodium of keratocytes is filled with a dense meshwork of thin actin filaments. In contrast, thick fibers composed of actomyosin, termed stress fibers, are present in the cell body. To capture an accurate 3D view of the structure of the cytoskeleton, we...
simultaneously stained filamentous actin (F-actin) and myosin IIA in fixed keratocytes, and optical sections perpendicular to the z-axis were detected by confocal microscopy (Fig. 1B–E).

To accurately observe the configuration of the stress fibers, we arranged the optical sections from bottom to top at 0.3-µm intervals (Fig. 1B,C). Colocalization of F-actin and myosin IIA is shown as white in Fig. 1C. Stress fibers were detected in lower optical sections (yellow arrows in Fig. 1C) and also in upper (cyan arrows in Fig. 1C). Both ends of the upper stress fibers are extended into the lower optical sections (cyan arrowheads in Fig. 1C). Optical sections parallel to the x-z plane were then made at 1.3-µm intervals (Fig. 1D,E) from the reconstructed 3D images. The upper stress fiber (cyan arrows in Fig. 1E) is curved along the contour of the cell body, although the lower (yellow arrows in Fig. 1E) extends in a straight line, indicating that the stress fibers are arranged to surround the cytoplasm in the cell body. We also observed staining of the cell nucleus with myosin IIA antibody (green in Fig. 1C,E) consistent with the observations by Svitkina et al. and Okeyo et al.27,29.

Rotation of stress fibers in a migrating keratocyte. In the cell body of keratocytes, F-actin and myosin IIA colocalized on the stress fibers (Fig. 1) as reported in previous studies27,31. Thus, next, we loaded only Alexa phalloidin into live keratocytes for high speed 3D recording. The sequential 3D images of the actin cytoskeleton in migrating keratocytes were constructed from the recorded time series of optical sections (Supplementary Movie S2). The movie clearly shows the stress fibers rotating like a wheel. The sequential 3D recordings were made not only using confocal microscopy but also by light sheet microscopy42.

The time series of optical sections parallel to the x-z plane at the center, right and left of the cell (Fig. 2A) were made from the sequential 3D images (Fig. 2B and Supplementary Movie S3). The bright spots representing cross sections of a single stress fiber (yellow arrows in Fig. 2B) clearly rotated. The angular velocities of the stress fibers
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**Figure 1.** Three-dimensional configuration of stress fibers in a keratocyte. (A) A DIC image of a keratocyte. L: lamellipodium, C: cell body. (B) Positions of the optical sections shown in (C). (C) Sequential optical sections. F-actin (magenta) and myosin IIA (green). Yellow and cyan arrows: a bottom and a top stress fiber (white). Cyan arrowheads: ends of the top stress fiber. (D) Positions of the optical sections shown in (E). (E) Sequential perpendicular optical sections. F-actin (magenta) and myosin IIA (green). Yellow and cyan arrows: a bottom and a top stress fiber (white) correspond to those indicated by the same-color arrows in (C). Yellow arrows are located at the same position in each picture. Green at the center of the cell body in (C,E) represents a nucleus. Scale bars, 10 µm. Images in (C,E) are typical of 43 cells.
at each cross section (Left, Center and Right in Fig. 2A) were calculated from 12 migrating cells (Fig. 2C). There was no significant difference in the angular velocity among the left, center and right of individual stress fibers (Fig. 2D), indicating that the stress fibers rotate without kinking.

We estimated the migration velocity of keratocytes ($r\omega$ in Fig. 2E) from the product of the radius and the angular velocity of the cell body. The estimated velocity, about 5 $\mu$m/min, was half of the real migration velocity (Trajectory in Fig. 2E) calculated from the trajectory of the center of the cell body. This result agrees with estimates made by Anderson et al. and Svitkina et al. from observations of endocytosed bead movements 27,41, suggests that the cause of the cell body-rotation should be the rotation of the stress fibers, and indicates that the rotation of stress fibers is not the only power source of the propulsion of the cell. Combination of the stress fiber-rotation and other power sources such as frontal actin polymerization at the leading edge9,28,43 should realize the propulsion of the cell. We verified this assumption in the following experiments.

Rotation of stress fibers is not a result of propulsion of keratocytes. In the case when the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation to the cell propulsion is 100% and that of other sources is 0%, the rotating stress fibers should appear to stop at the ventral surface of the cell in the laboratory frame of reference. On the other hand, when the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation is 0% and the other sources are 100%, the fibers at the ventral surface of the cell should appear to advance at the same velocity as the propulsion of the cell body. To estimate the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation to the propulsion of the cell, we compared the velocities of propulsion in detail between the stress fibers and the cell body at the ventral surface of migrating cells with various velocities (Fig. 3A–E).

Figure 2. Rotation of stress fibers in a migrating keratocyte. (A) Positions of three optical sections, Left, Center and Right. The distance between Left and Right was defined as the length of 80% of the width of the cell body. (B) Rotation of stress fibers at three optical sections in (A), constructed from the data acquired by confocal microscopy. Yellow arrows: an identical stress fiber. Scale bar, 5 $\mu$m. (C) Angular velocity of the rotating stress fibers at Left, Center and Right. Twelve different cells are represented in different colors. (D) Angular velocity ratios of Left to Center and Right to Center calculated from the data in (C). (E) Migration velocity. $r\omega$: estimated from the product of the radius and the angular velocity at Center. Trajectory: calculated from the trajectory of the cell. Five of the twelve data in (C–E) were obtained under confocal microscopy and seven under light sheet microscopy. Error bars in (D,E) represent SEM. The $p$-values were calculated using Student’s $t$-test. *$p < 0.05$. 

In the case where the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation to the cell propulsion is 100% and that of other sources is 0%, the rotating stress fibers should appear to stop at the ventral surface of the cell in the laboratory frame of reference. On the other hand, when the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation is 0% and the other sources are 100%, the fibers at the ventral surface of the cell should appear to advance at the same velocity as the propulsion of the cell body. To estimate the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation to the propulsion of the cell, we compared the velocities of propulsion in detail between the stress fibers and the cell body at the ventral surface of migrating cells with various velocities (Fig. 3A–E).

Rotation of stress fibers is not a result of propulsion of keratocytes. In the case when the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation to the cell propulsion is 100% and that of other sources is 0%, the rotating stress fibers should appear to stop at the ventral surface of the cell in the laboratory frame of reference. On the other hand, when the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation is 0% and the other sources are 100%, the fibers at the ventral surface of the cell should appear to advance at the same velocity as the propulsion of the cell body. To estimate the contribution of the stress fiber-rotation to the propulsion of the cell, we compared the velocities of propulsion in detail between the stress fibers and the cell body at the ventral surface of migrating cells with various velocities (Fig. 3A–E).
The images of the actin cytoskeleton in a typical fast-migrating cell (Fig. 3A) and a slow one (Fig. 3B) are shown. From the sequential images at 2-s intervals, narrow regions (white rectangles in Fig. 3A, B) were cropped and aligned sequentially to construct kymographs (Fig. 3C and D) from sequential images taken at 2-s intervals, respectively. Yellow and cyan arrows: movements of the stress fibers and cell edge. (E) Relationship of the propulsion velocities between stress fiber and cell body in the laboratory frame of reference. Data of 87 cells from 14 experiments are shown. The slope of the regression line obtained by the Least Squares method was 1.02. When the propulsion velocity of stress fiber is zero, the velocity of the cell body is estimated from the regression line to be 5.29 \( \mu \)m/min. This value is almost the same as the value estimated from the rotation of the stress fibers (\( r \omega \) in Fig. 2E), suggesting that the rotation of stress fibers may not be merely a result of propulsion of keratocytes but contributes to it as one of the causes, at least when frontal actin polymerization is weak.
To test this possibility, we cut off leading edge from a migrating keratocyte by a glass microneedle and observed whether the rotation of stress fibers stopped or not. As expected, the stress fibers continued to rotate even after the cutting (Fig. 3F and Supplementary Movie S6).

Role of stress fiber rotation in cell migration. If the rotation of the stress fibers contributes to the propulsion of keratocytes, removal of them should have a serious affect. Treatment with low concentrations of blebbistatin, an inhibitor of myosin II ATPase, induces disassembly of stress fibers and lateral expansion of keratocytes. We simultaneously stained F-actin and myosin IIA in fixed blebbistatin-treated keratocytes. Their shape was slightly expanded laterally (Fig. 4A; compare with Fig. 1C). Stress fibers were disassembled in the cell body, although colocalization of F-actin and myosin IIA was detected at the rear left and right ends, and the surface of the cell body, as was the case in blebbistatin-untreated cells (Fig. 1C).

Then, to clarify whether the cell body rotates without stress fibers, we loaded only Alexa phalloidin into live blebbistatin-treated keratocytes. The sequential 3D recordings were made using confocal microscopy (Supplementary Movie S7). We detected no cell-body rotation from 17 experiments. Migration velocity of the blebbistatin-treated cells was significantly lower than that of untreated cells (Fig. 4B).

Blebbistatin not only disassembles the stress fibers but also inhibits cytoplasmic myosin II, such as those in lamellipodium, suggesting that some effect of blebbistatin other than disassembly of stress fibers may affect the migration. Thus, next, a portion of stress fibers at the ventral surface of a migrating cell, to which Alexa
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**Figure 4.** Migration of blebbistatin treated stress fiber-less keratocytes. (A) Sequential optical sections of a blebbistatin-treated keratocyte. F-actin (magenta) and myosin IIA (green). Areas of colocalization of F-actin and myosin IIA appear white. Green at the center of the cell body represents a nucleus. Positions of the optical sections are shown in Fig. 1B. Scale bars, 10 µm. Images in (A) are typical of 21 cells. (B) Migration velocity. Bleb: blebbistatin-treated cells (n = 43 cells from 3 experiments). Un: untreated cells. (C) Directionality. Bleb: blebbistatin treated cells (n = 41 cells from 3 experiments). Un: untreated cells (n = 44 cells from 3 experiments). Error bars in (B, C) represent SEM. The p-values were calculated using Student's t-test. *p < 0.05.
Phalloidin had been loaded beforehand, was directly ablated by laser microablation (yellow arrow in Fig. 5A and Supplementary Movie S8). Outlines of the cell body traced from DIC images (Fig. 5A) and their centers every 4 s before and after ablation are shown (Fig. 5B, C). The length of the trajectory of the center appears to decrease after the ablation. As expected, the velocities of the centers obtained from 7 cells decreases after ablation (Fig. 5D). In the case when the laser beam was irradiated to the outside, but to the vicinity of, the stress fibers, it did not affect the migration of the cell (Fig. 5G and Supplementary Movie S9).
The role of wheels is not only propulsion but also steering. To reveal whether the rotation of stress fibers plays a role in steering, first, we compared the directionality of migration between blebbistatin-treated cells and untreated cells. Directionality is expressed as the linear distance between the start and end points of migration for 30 min divided by the pathlength of the trajectory between the same points. The directionality of the blebbistatin-treated cells was significantly lower than that of the untreated cells (Fig. 4C). We then measured the migration direction during a 10-μm migration just after the ablation of stress fibers (Fig. 5E) and compared them with those of migrating cells without ablation (Fig. 5F). The direction of migration became unstable as a result of the ablation of the stress fibers. The cells turned to the same side as the ablated portion, suggesting that the ablated parts of the stress fibers lost their function but the other side continued to advance, so the cells turned toward the ablated side.

Discussion
A detailed observation of the actin cytoskeleton of fish epidermal keratocytes revealed that (I) stress fibers are arranged surrounding the cell body, (II) they are rotating as a single wheel even after cutting off the leading edge of the cell, (III) they do not move at the bottom when the cell migrates slowly, and (IV) removal of stress fibers decreases the migration velocity and induces the collapse of the left-right balance of the crawling migration. These results indicate that the rotation of the stress fibers plays the role of a wheel in crawling migration of keratocytes.

In the ablation experiments (Fig. 5), we can not completely exclude the possibility that the cytoskeleton other than the stress fibers destroyed by the ablation induced the collapse of the left-right balance of the crawling migration. Roy et al. showed that local activation of thymosin β4, an inhibitor of actin polymerization, induced the collapse of the left-right balance of the crawling migration44. They concluded that thymosin β4 destroyed the stress fiber locally, and the left-right balance collapsed. Their results also support that stress fibers are necessary for the left-right balance of the crawling migration of keratocytes.

In slow-migrating cells such as fibroblasts, it is widely accepted that there are three types of stress fibers: dorsal and ventral stress fibers that are linked to adhesion sites and transverse actin arcs that slide along dorsal stress fibers away from the front of the cell to the rear45–48. Whereas, fast-migrating cells such as Dictyostelium cells and neutrophils appear to contain no stress fibers, but rather thin F-actin bundles16,20,49. Fish keratocytes migrate at about 10 μm/min (Figs 2E and 4B), similar to Dictyostelium cells and neutrophils50. However, keratocytes contain stress fibers, which are typically seen in slow-migrating cells. The arrangement of the stress fibers in the cell body of keratocytes (Fig. 1) is significantly different from that of fibroblasts. Keratocyte is a unique cell that combines features of both fast and slow cell types.

The driving force of the rotation remains a mystery. We can sometimes observe stationary circular keratocytes. In contrast to motile keratocytes, arrangement of stress fibers in them are radially symmetric51. It is similar to the early stage of actin network self-organization in fibroblasts plated on the circular fibronectin area52. In permeabilized fibroblasts, myosin IIA-enriched transverse fibers move centripetally. The fibers completely diminish by the inhibition of myosin II ATPase with blebbistatin just like the stress fibers in keratocytes (Fig. 4). At the bottom of the migrating keratocyte, the stress fibers may move to the rear in the cell frame with reference of the same mechanism as the centripetal movement of transverse fibers in fibroblasts.

The left and right ends of bottom stress fibers are connected to the substratum via focal adhesions53. The contractile forces of stress fibers are detected as traction forces in the substratum at the trailing left and right ends26,32,40. At the rear end of a migrating keratocyte, detachment of both ends of a stress fiber from the substratum should take place by the contraction of actomyosin. This detachment movement of the rear stress fiber retracts the rear27,30–32 and may be transformed into an upward movement that becomes a driving force for the rotation of the wheel built of stress fibers. Keratocytes appear to achieve efficient migration by a combination of leading-edge extension and the rotation of the stress-fiber wheel in the cell body.

Migrating fibroblasts34 and keratinocytes54 sometimes show the shape like keratocytes spontaneously during their migration. The amib Dictyostelium cells55 and the rat bladder carcinoma cells treated with imatinib56, an Abl family kinase inhibitor, also show the keratocyte-like shape. The arrangement of stress fibers in the tumor cells is just like that in keratocytes. The rotation of stress fibers may not be a special phenomenon characteristic to fish keratocytes but a universal migration mechanism. The observation of the rotation of stress fibers in migrating cells other than keratocytes remains of interest for future study.

Wheels are not suitable for traveling on rough surfaces. The reason that wheels did not evolve as a mobile organ in the living body may be the irregularity of natural surfaces. Fish keratocytes migrate using a wheel on the flat epithelial surfaces.

Methods
Cell culture. Keratocytes from the scales of Central American cichlids (T. nicaraguense) were cultured as previously described35. Briefly, without sacrificing the fish, a few of their scales were removed and washed in culture medium (Leibovitz’s medium: L-15, L5520: Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan) and antibiotic/antimycotic solution (09366-44: Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). The scales were sandwiched between two coverslips and allowed to adhere to one for 1 h at 23 °C. The coverslips were washed in calf serum (Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan) and antibiotic/antimycotic solution (09366-44: Nacalai Tesque) for 30–60 s to separate any cell-cell adhesions. All methods were carried out in accordance with national guidelines and the Regulation on Animal Experimentation at Yamaguchi University. All experimental protocols were approved by Yamaguchi University Animal Use Committee.

Fixed cell staining. Fixed cell staining was performed according to the methods described previously26,57. Briefly, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, permeabilized with 0.02% Triton X-100 for 10 min,
and blocked with 0.2% gelatin for 30 min. The cells were then incubated with primary antibody: rabbit polyclonal myosin IIA (1:200 dilution, M8064, Sigma-Aldrich) and Alexa Fluor 546 phalloidin (0.33 units/ml, A22283; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) for 60 min. After several washes with 0.2% gelatin, the cells were incubated with secondary antibody: Alexa Fluor 488 Anti-rabbit IgG (1:2,000 dilution, A-11034, Life Technologies) for 60 min.

**Loading of Alexa phallolidin into live keratocytes.** Alexa Fluor 488 phallolidin (A12379: Life Technologies) or Alexa Fluor 546 phallolidin (A22283: Life Technologies) was directly introduced into live migrating keratocytes using a self-made small-volume electroporator as described previously.

**Blebbistatin treatment.** (±)-Blebbistatin (13186; Cayman, Ann Arbor, MI) was dissolved at 100 mM in DMSO and then diluted 2,000 times with culture medium. This blebbistatin medium was then added to the chamber, to the bottom of which the cells had adhered, just after removal of the culture medium. After 30 min, the cells in the chamber were used for experiments without removal of the blebbistatin medium.

**Confocal microscopy.** Fluorescence images of live and fixed cells were detected using an inverted microscope (Ti; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with a laser confocal scanner unit (CSU-X1: Yokogawa, Tokyo, Japan), high speed z-axis scanner (NZ100CE, Prior, Cambridge, UK) and an EM CCD camera (DU987: Andor, Belfast, UK) through a 100 × objective lens (CFI Apo TIRF 100 × H/1.49: Nikon). For live cell recording, optical sections were recorded at 0.5-μm intervals. About 40 slices of the optical sections were recorded to construct a 3D cell image of a certain position. The exact number of the slices was dependent on the height of each cell. The time interval for recording each optical section was 56 msec. Cutting leading edge from a migrating keratocyte was performed by manipulating a glass microneedle using a micromanipulator (MO-202: Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) under confocal microscopy. The microneedle was made from a glass capillary (2–000–010, Drummond, Broomall, PA) using a pipette puller (PG-1, Narishige) and a microforge (MF-830, Narishige).

**Light sheet microscopy.** The optical system of the light sheet microscope used in this study had been previously built by one of the authors, SN.

**Laser microablation of stress fibers.** Microablation of stress fibers was performed as described previously by the application of a 10-Hz pulse beam, at a wavelength of 355 nm and pulse energy of 85 μJ, for several seconds from a laser (FTSS 355-50, CryLaS, Berlin, Germany) through a 100 × objective lens (CFI Fluor 40 × W: Nikon) which was set perpendicular to the light sheet illumination. Optical sections were recorded at 1.2-μm intervals. One hundred slices of the optical sections were recorded at 25-msec intervals to construct a 3D image of a cell a certain position.

**3D image reconstruction.** The consecutive images of each optical section detected by confocal microscopy or LSFM were reconstructed into 3D images using a software (FluoRender, SCI, Univ. of Utah, UT).

**Data availability.** The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.
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