Monthly physical health reviews (including observations and weights), High Dose Antipsychotics Monitoring, Bloods and ECG records. After the initial baseline audit in Apr., 2019, some of the Quality Improvement (QI) approaches (4 PDSA cycles, driver diagrams, model for improvement) were used before conducting the re-audit in Oct., 2019.

**Result.** The baseline audit in Apr., 2019 showed 98% compliance with physical assessment within 24 hours of admission, however, there was a significant gap in the monthly physical health reviews (62%), Annual HIP (30%), High-dose antipsychotic monitoring (10%) and ECG/Bloods for antipsychotic monitoring (64%) as per guidelines. 10 Female and 12 male patients had regularly refused obs, weight checks and physical health monitoring.

The re-audit showed an overall improvement of 92% in compliance, with increased High-dose antipsychotic monitoring (100%), Monthly physical health clinics (88%), Annual HIP (75%), Annual antipsychotic monitoring/bloods/ECG(95%).

**Conclusion.** Interventions, using QI approaches, between baseline and re-audit, included MDT discussion around strategies to improve patients’ engagement with monthly physical health clinics with Specialty doctor, adding to care plan points, timescales and reminders in doctors’ diaries for next bloods and ECGs due, MDT and patients’ health education and a designated support staff for physical obs and maintaining physical health files. This helped in providing a framework to test recommended changes and evolve design based on repeated date collection between cycles.

The QI Interventions helped in implementation of a more holistic approach towards assessments due to which, the re-audit demonstrated a sustained improvement in compliance with all aspects of physical health monitoring.
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**Aims.** To re-audit seclusion practices within a Tier 4 Adolescent PICU provision in London, originally audited in 2018. To ensure restrictive practices are only used in exceptional circumstances for short term risk management. To evaluate whether practice has improved following introduction of incidence reduction strategies and identify any further areas of development.

**Background.** This Tier 4 Adolescent PICU provides treatment of up to 16 high risk and unwell adolescents with severe and enduring mental health illnesses. Seclusion should be a short term risk management strategy with subsequent review of the care plan and treatment. It should be used for the shortest time possible. Following the audit in 2018, three strategies were implemented to reduce restrictive practice: (1) daily nursing safety huddles, (2) weekly Incidence Reduction meetings, and (3) ongoing QI project on restrictive practice.

**Method.** Data were collected from all patients requiring seclusion between January and December 2019 (n = 18), which included 46 incidents. Data were collected from RiO computer records, extracting details of patient demographics, reasons and context of seclusion, risk reduction steps prior, length of seclusion, monitoring, and modifications to care plans.

**Result.** Average length of stay in seclusion was 20h, reduced from 30h previously. Over half of patients requiring seclusion had symptoms of psychosis, consistent with the original audit. Majority of incidents involved assault to staff (80.4%) as indication for seclusion, compared to 50% previously. In 58.7% of cases, verbal de-escalation was followed by further risk reduction with oral medication. Overall, rapid tranquillisation was required in 45.7% of incidents. Restraint was used in 84.8% of incidents, always in combination with at least one other management strategy.

Just under half of seclusions were monitored and documented in line with Trust guidelines, however, there was significant improvement in documentation of consultant reviews within 24h from under 70% to over 90%. Care plan modification rates improved from 63% to over 95%.

**Conclusion.** Majority of seclusion incidents were due to violent acts by young people presenting with psychotic features/disorder. This reflects the complex nature of psychosis and the substantial need for research to reduce restrictive practice in such cases.

Ongoing review of data relating to seclusion will continue to inform and improve practice. This re-audit demonstrates improvement in various areas after implementation of strategies to reduce restrictive practice – importantly, average time in seclusion, documentation of 24 hour consultant reviews and focus on non-pharmacological risk reduction approaches in care plan modifications.
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**Aims.** To assess the use of a piloted shorter version of the local Checklist for Antipsychotic Initiation and Review (CAIR) form by an Older Persons Community Mental Health Team (OPCMHT), and to assess whether the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guideline on use of antipsychotics for the management of behavioural and psychological symptoms of dementia (BPSD) is being adhered to.

**Method.** Retrospective audit analysing notes of all patients currently open to the OPCMHT that are prescribed an antipsychotic medication for the management of BPSD. Patients with a diagnosis of any subtype of dementia and prescribed any antipsychotic were included. Data collected from paper notes using an audit proforma.

**Result.** The total number of patients was 11. The most common diagnosis was Alzheimer’s disease (45%), followed by mixed type dementia (36%), vascular dementia (9%) and Lewy Body dementia (9%). The majority of the patients reside in their own home (64%) whilst the remaining 36% reside in a residential home for the elderly and mentally infirm. The CAIR form was present in 73% of the patient’s notes, however only 37% had the new, piloted, shorter version of the CAIR form. Of the CAIR forms present, only 63% were fully completed. There was documented evidence that 100% of patients had an assessment of underlying causes of their challenging behaviour; that non-pharmacological interventions were tried first; and that target symptoms were identified. There was evidence of a discussion with the patient or carer about the risks and benefits of antipsychotic use for all patients, however the details of the discussion was often vague. All patients had a review of the antipsychotic medication within the last three months.

**Conclusion.** There was evidence that pre-prescribing assessments are being undertaken for all patients. There needs to be clearer