Genomic evidence of neo-sex chromosomes in the eastern yellow robin
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Abstract

Background: Understanding sex-biased natural selection can be enhanced by access to well-annotated chromosomes including ones inherited in sex-specific fashion. The eastern yellow robin (EYR) is an endemic Australian songbird inferred to have experienced climate-driven sex-biased selection and is a prominent model for studying mitochondrial-nuclear interactions in the wild. However, the lack of an EYR reference genome containing both sex chromosomes (in birds, a female bearing Z and W chromosomes) limits efforts to understand the mechanisms of these processes. Here, we assemble the genome for a female EYR and use low-depth (10×) genome resequencing data from 19 individuals of known sex to identify chromosome fragments with sex-specific inheritance. Findings: MaSuRCA hybrid assembly using Nanopore and Illumina reads generated a 1.22-Gb EYR genome in 20,702 scaffolds (94.2% BUSCO completeness). Scaffolds were tested for W-linked (female-only) inheritance using a k-mer approach, and for Z-linked inheritance using median read-depth test in male and female reads (read-depths must indicate haploid female and diploid male representation). This resulted in 2,372 W-linked scaffolds (total length: 97,872,282 bp, N50: 81,931 bp) and 586 Z-linked scaffolds (total length: 121,817,358 bp, N50: 551,641 bp). Anchoring of the sex-linked EYR scaffolds to the reference genome of a female zebra finch revealed 2 categories of sex-linked genomic regions. First, 653 W-linked scaffolds (25.7 Mb) were anchored to the W sex chromosome and 215 Z-linked scaffolds (74.4 Mb) to the Z. Second, 1,138 W-linked scaffolds (70.9 Mb) and 179 Z-linked scaffolds (51.0 Mb) were anchored to a large section (coordinates ~5 to ~60 Mb) of zebra finch chromosome 1A. The first ~5 Mb and last ~14 Mb of the reference chromosome 1A had only autosomally behaving EYR scaffolds mapping to them. Conclusions: We report a female (W chromosome–containing) EYR genome and provide genomic evidence for a neo-sex (neo-W and neo-Z) chromosome system in the EYR, involving most of a large chromosome (1A) previously only reported to be autosomal in passerines.
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Data Description

Wildlife species that have genomic variation distributed heterogeneously through environmental and geographic space can be excellent models for studying evolutionary processes under natural conditions. The eastern yellow robin (EYR, NCBI:txid44318), Eopsaltria australis, is a common endemic eastern Australian songbird (Fig. 1) that shows geographically discordant patterns of mitochondrial and nuclear genome variation. Whereas nuclear DNA variation in the EYR is structured mainly north to south, its 2 mitochondrial lineages (mitolineages) occur in contrasting climates in an east-west (coast-to-inland) direction, with a narrow contact zone between them, despite ongoing male-mediated gene flow [1]. This pattern is inferred to have arisen when EYR experienced 2 instances of climate-driven mitochondrial introgression into different nuclear backgrounds: from the northern population into the southern through the inland route, and from the southern into the northern population along the coast [2]. Because mitogenome divergence is mirrored by a fraction of the EYR nuclear genome that maps to the chromosome 1A of the zebra finch and is enriched for genes with mitochondrial functions, each inferred mitochondrial introgression is hypothesized to have been accompanied by co-introgession of a co-evolved nuclear region [3]. Accordingly, the species has been highlighted as an exceptional model in the emerging field of “mitonuclear ecology,” which addresses evolutionary interactions between mitochondrial and nuclear genomes and their products [4].

Whereas progress on understanding mitonuclear interactions in the EYR has been made by mapping genomic reads to a male zebra finch Taeniopygia guttata reference genome [5], the ~40 million years of evolution between the 2 species limits the assumptions that can be made about the degree of synteny of their genome organization. Moreover, the male reference lacks the female-specific W chromosome in birds. Nuclear genomic architecture (e.g., concentrations of genes with mitochondrial functions that are subject to suppressed recombination) has considerable potential to be a driver of mitonuclear evolution [6]. Furthermore, female-specific selection has been inferred for the EYR, based on fine-scale spatial separation of mitolineage distributions and their correlation with climate, despite male-biased gene flow in a species with female-biased dispersal [1]. Accordingly, genomic architecture with the potential to affect the sexes differently could be a key player in mitonuclear evolution in this species. Thus to test among alternative hypotheses concerning mechanisms of potential co-evolution between elements of the nuclear genome and maternally transmitted mitochondrial DNA, reference sequences of both sex chromosomes are required. For example, the female-specific W chromosome is necessarily co-inherited with mitochondrial DNA, and a species could experience evolution so that the W chromosome bore genes relevant to mitochondrial function [1]. Substantial female-specific gene regions are known from birds, notably neo-sex chromosome systems that can provide females with gene sequences unavailable to males [7, 8].

Using a combination of Illumina and Nanopore reads, which have been shown to produce contiguous genome assemblies [9–12], we assembled a female inland EYR reference genome and used population genomic data from populations harbouring only inland mitochondrial lineages [13] to identify and annotate W and Z sex chromosomes. This procedure could also detect sex-linked chromosomes other than the typical W and Z avian sex chromosomes such as neo-sex chromosomes (caused by fusions between autosomal and sex chromosome elements) that are uncommon but known in birds, notably throughout the Sylvioidae, and in a honeyeater [7, 8, 14–16].

Sample Collection, Library Construction, and Sequencing

Two EYR females, EYRO54 and EYRO56, were captured at Stuart Mill, western Victoria, in the same net on 6 April 2009, as part of another project [17, 18]. DNA was extracted from 40 μL of blood using a Qiangen DNAeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiange, Hilden, Germany). A standard paired-end Illumina library was constructed from 100 ng of QSonica-fragmented (~350 bp fragment size) EYRO54 DNA using the NEBUltra Illumina Library Preparation kit (New England Biolabs, Ipwich, MA). The library was quantified with a Tapestation 4000 (Aglient, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and sequenced on the Novaseq6000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) at the Deakin Genomics Centre using a run configuration of 2 x 150 bp. Two Oxford Nanopore sequencing libraries were constructed from G-tube fragmented (~8 kb) EYRO54 genomic DNA using the LSK108 library preparation kit (Oxford Nanopore, Oxford, UK). Sequencing was performed on 2 MinION R9.4.1 flowcells for 48 hours followed by fast5 base-calling using Albacore v2.0.1. A total of 6.63 Gb Nanopore data in 916,218 reads (N50 = 10,224 bp) were generated after adaptor-trimming using Porechop v0.2.3 [19]. Nanopore reads used for this study had a 13% error rate, estimated on the basis of a mean pairwise sequence similarity of 87% (median = 89%) between Nanopore reads.
reads and the assembled EYR genome, aligned using Minimap2 [20]. The DNA of EYR056 was used to construct a mate-pair library with an insert size of 1 kb and sequenced by BGI for earlier studies [18]. EYR054 is similar genetically to EYR056 according to whole mitogenomes, microsatellites, and being female contemporaries in an area of the species’ range where only the inland mitolineage occurs, in an isolated habitat patch characterized by high local genetic relatedness [3, 18, 21].

For low (∼10×) depth whole-genome resequencing, 10 female and 9 male EYR individuals bearing inland mitogenomes (EYR-A) were selected from northern (n = 9) and southern (n = 10) populations [2, 13] away from the contact zone between the inland and coastal mitolineages (Supplementary Table S1). Prior to illumina sequencing, EYR individuals were genetically sexed based on the intron length variation of homologous sections of CHD (chromo-helicase-DNA-binding) genes located on W (female-limited) and Z (occurs in both sexes) chromosomes [22]. These fragments have been sequenced previously for the EYR for both sexes [1]. DNA extraction from 16 blood samples and 5 tissues (Supplementary Table S1) was performed using a Qiagen DNAeasy extraction kit. Illumina library construction and whole-genome sequencing were performed at the Deakin Genomics Centre using the methods described above, generating a mean of 17 Gb (minimum = 12 Gb; maximum = 31 Gb) sequencing output per sample (Supplementary Table S1).

Genome Size Estimation, Hybrid de novo Assembly, and Annotation

Raw Illumina EYR054 reads were poly-G, adaptor-, and quality trimmed using fastp v0.18.0 [23]. The trimmed reads were used for genome profiling based on Jellyfish2-calculated k-mer frequency (k = 25) that estimated a genome size of 993 Mb with 1.12% heterozygosity for EYR054 (Fig. 2A) [23–25]. We used MaSuRCA v3.2.4 (MaSuRCA, RRID:SCR_010691) [26] to perform a hybrid assembly of the EYR054 Nanopore and poly-G trimmed Illumina reads followed by gap-closing with Sealer v2.0.2 [27]. For the MaSuRCA assembly, Illumina reads were first error-corrected and used to construct contigs using the de Bruijn graph approach. These contigs were then used to error-correct the Nanopore long reads, generating “mega reads” contigs, and used for Overlap-Layout-Consensus assembly. Subsequently, the MaSuRCA hybrid assembly was gap-closed with Sealer v2.0.2 using Illumina paired-end reads from the same individual. Given that EYR056 and EYR054 are from the same population away from the hybrid zone [3, 17] and thus likely possess similar versions of chromosomes, the EYR054 assembly was further scaffolded with mate-pair data from EYR056 using BESST [28] to generate the final assembly for subsequent analyses (Table 1). Using mate-pair data improved the assembly N50 from 585 to 987 kb. The Sealer–gap-closed EYR054-only assembly is also made available in the GigaDB [29], should the future work on this species require single-individual assembly.

BUSCO v3 (BUSCO, RRID:SCR_015008) [30] assessment of the assembled genome based on the avian protein database (aves_odb9) indicates 94.2% genome completeness with a low level of duplicated genes (Table 1). Prior to gene prediction, the genome was masked for repeats using RepeatModeler (RepeatModeler, RRID:SCR_015027) v1.0.11 and RepeatMasker (RepeatMasker, RRID:SCR_012954) v4.0.7 [31, 32]. The soft-masked genome (15.77% masked, Table 1), along with the reference proteome of a male collared flycatcher [33], was used as the input for BRAKER2 annotation [34], resulting in the prediction of 23,905

![Figure 2: Genomic profiling and in silico sexing of the eastern yellow robin. (A) Genomescope profile calculated from trimmed illumina data of EYR054 using a k-mer length of 25. (B) Median coverage per individual for 3 sets of scaffolds with different inheritance, for the female sample (n = 10) and male sample (n = 9), with individuals sequenced at ∼10× coverage each. Autosomal = Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH)-containing chromosome fragment [1] scaffold QKXG0002030; W = W chromosome fragment: scaffold QKXG0001703; Z = Z chromosome fragment: scaffold QKXG0001459. (C) Frequency distribution of base-by-base read-depth calculated from the mapping of pooled male (blue line) and female (red line) reads to the female genome assembly. This is subsequently used to estimate the read-depth of haploid and diploid scaffolds.](image-url)
The collared flycatcher proteome was used here in preference to zebra finch because the former has greater protein similarity to EYR.

**Identification of Sex Chromosome Scaffolds**

Scaffolds inherited in sex-specific fashion (“sex-linked,” “W-linked,” or “Z-linked”) were identified using 2 methods (explained below) applied to sequence data obtained from 10 female and 9 male EYR individuals as detailed above. Paired-end reads for each resequenced male and female were polyadenylated, or “Z-linked,” and adaptor-trimmed using fastp (default setting) [35, 36]. The trimmed reads were mapped to the EYR genome using Bowtie2 (Bowtie, RRID:SCR_003476) v2.3.4 [35]. High mapping quality in the repetitive regions because short reads will not be able to map uniquely to these regions. Subsequently, 90 million mapped PE reads were subsampled from each individual (to equalize coverage across individuals) and used to estimate for each individual the median read-depth for each scaffold, and the fraction of the length of each scaffold that was covered by reads, using BAMStat04 as implemented in the jvarkit package [36, 37].

Genome-wide identification of sex-linked scaffolds based on pooled male and female reads could be compromised if any individuals had their sexes mis-assigned. Accordingly, to confirm the sex of the individual to which each set of sequence data was ascribed, the read-depth profiles for all 19 EYRs were assessed for the CHD sexing region noted above. BLASTN was used to align the CHD-W and CHD-Z nucleotide sequences (GenBank accession KC466840–KC466844 CHD-W and KC466845–KC466853 CHD-Z) to 2 separate, long scaffolds (W chromosome scaffold: QKXG01001703.1 with a length of 310,213 bp; Z chromosome scaffold: QKXG01001459.1 with a length of 211,357 bp). For comparison, an autosomal scaffold, QKXG01002030.1 (3,864,097 bp) was identified that contained a fragment of the single-copy autosomal GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) gene, sequenced previously for EYR (Genbank accession KC466694–KC466739) [1]. For the Z chromosome scaffold, a median read-depth centered on ~5× (haploid depth) was observed in females, and ~10× (diploid) in males, while for the W chromosome scaffold it was ~5× (haploid) in females and ~0× (absent) in males; ~10× diploid depth was observed for the autosomal scaffold in both sexes (Fig. 2B).

BAM files from individual EYRs were merged by sex using samtools v1.9 [38] to generate 1 pooled alignment BAM file per sex. A histogram of read-depth frequency for each sex was then generated using “samtools depth” to estimate the read-depth cut-off for the identification of candidate W- and Z-linked scaffolds (Fig. 2C). The expected diploid depth for each sex was estimated on the basis of the peak observed read-depth (male = 77×; female = 83×, Fig. 2C). A minor peak corresponding to haploid read-depth (~40×) was observed for females but not males, consistent with females being hemizygous for sex-linked regions (Fig. 2C). A strong peak of low read-depth sequences (<5×) was seen only for males, consistent with them lacking a W chromosome (Fig. 2C).

To identify candidate W-linked scaffolds, we applied 2 established approaches with complementary strengths that take advantage of sequence data being available for each sex. First, we used a differential mapping approach, based on the expecta-
ton that a W-linked scaffold should exhibit zero median read-depth in males, with >75% of the scaffold having female reads mapping to it [7, 8]. Second, we used the YGS (“Y chromosome Genome Scan”) k-mer approach, designed for detecting W- or Y-linked regions [39]. The k-mer approach removes identical repetitive sequences that might lead to false-positive matches to W-linked regions while retaining useful information from unique variants of repetitive regions: this is an advantageous attribute in the face of the elevated repetitiveness expected of W chromosome sequences [39]. The k-mer approach was implemented as follows. For the pooled male reads, pooled female reads, and the female EYR genome assembly dataset, separate lists were built of all overlapping 16-bp sequences (“16-mers”): k = 16 was chosen on the basis of genome size, and empirical validation that it produced bimodal frequency distributions of k-mer presences in larger (>1 Gb) genomes [39]. Then, scaffolds from the assembled female genome are assumed to be W-linked if >75% of their single-copy k-mers are absent in the pooled male reads but present in both of the female genome and pooled female reads.

Together, the 2 approaches identified 2,372 candidate W-linked scaffolds (total length of 97.87 Mb) that were used for downstream analyses. A great majority (1,952 [82.3%], amounting to 86.32 Mb) of the candidate W-linked scaffolds were identified by both approaches, with 174 (7.3%, 2.64 Mb) being exclusive to the k-mer approach, and 246 (10.4%, 8.91 Mb) found only by the differential mapping approach. Inspection of the repetitiveness in the candidate W-linked scaffolds identified only by the k-mer approach indicates that they are 80% repetitive (total repeat length/total sequence length × 100%), consistent with the high sensitivity of the k-mer approach in identifying repetitive sex-linked scaffolds [39]. In contrast, the candidate W-linked scaffolds found by the differential mapping approach alone were only 32.6% repetitive.

Because Z-linked scaffolds are present in males and females, it is not possible to use the YGS k-mer approach to identify candidates. Thus, we identified putative Z-linked scaffolds on the basis of differences in read-depth between males and females, similar to the differential mapping method for W-linked scaffold discovery outlined above. To allow for variation in sequencing depth, we conservatively defined a candidate Z-linked scaffold as one exhibiting >58× median read-depth in males (i.e., 0.75 times the observed male diploid read-depth of 77×) and <62× median read-depth in females (i.e., 1.5 times the observed female haploid read-depth of 41.5×). Scaffolds passing these thresholds were further filtered to retain only those having both male and female reads mapping to >75% of the scaffold length. This resulted in the identification of 586 candidate Z-linked scaffolds with a total length of 121.8 Mb and N50 of 551.6 kb.

The total lengths of W-linked scaffolds (97.87 Mb) and Z-linked scaffolds (121.82 Mb) are much greater than expected from the typical sizes of sex chromosomes in Passerida, of which EYR is a member (e.g., in Xu et al. 2019, Passerida W chromosome range from 3.37 to 4.75 Mb and Z chromosomes range from 68.8 to 74.7 Mb) [40]. These observations raised the possibility of the presence of a neo-sex chromosome system, and hence it was of great interest to compare the sex-linked regions to a well-annotated reference genome, as follows.

### Genomic Evidence of Neo-Sex Chromosomes in Eastern Yellow Robin

To assess the accuracy of our approaches for detecting sex-linked regions known in related reference genomes, and to test for possible neo-sex chromosomes, the candidate W- and Z-linked scaffolds were separately anchored to the female zebra finch genome (bTaegut2 [41], accessed 19 December 2018) using RaGoo v1.0 (with default settings) [42]. A total of 215 Z-linked scaffolds (74.4 Mb) were anchored to the zebra finch Z chromosome, and 653 W-linked scaffolds (25.7 Mb) to the zebra finch W chromosome. Surprisingly, a substantial proportion of candidate W-linked (n = 1,138, 70.9 Mb) and Z-linked (n = 179, 51.0 Mb) scaffolds were also anchored to the autosomal zebra finch chromosome 1A. Thus, each sex-linked scaffold anchored to 1 of 3 female zebra finch chromosomes: W, Z, or chromosome 1A. Using the entire EYR draft genome assembly as the RaGoo input led to the anchoring of several W- and Z-linked scaffolds with the best hits to the zebra finch chromosome 1A, resulting in a substantially larger pseudomolecule for chromosome 1A (143.6 Mb), a length that is nearly double that of the zebra finch chromosome 1A (71.64 Mb), which suggests the presence of 2 separate sex-linked versions of chromosome 1A in EYR (Fig. 3). By re-anchoring the EYR scaffolds in the absence of first the candidate W-linked and then the candidate Z-linked scaffolds, 2 distinct versions of a chromosome 1A pseudomolecule were recovered that we designated putative neoZ-1A and neoW-1A chromosomes and used for subsequent analyses.

To assess the robustness of the sex-based scaffold assignment approach and to check the sex-specific read-depth and length coverage along the putative neo-sex chromosomes involving chromosome 1A (which we refer to as “pseudomolecules” neoW-1A [Fig. 3 Chr1A: pink bar] and neoZ-1A [Fig. 3 Chr1A: light blue bar]), pooled female and male reads were mapped to the constructed EYR Z, W, autosomal chromosome 5, and neoZ-1A and neoW-1A pseudomolecules. The mean read-depth in 100-kb non-overlapping sliding windows was calculated using the “coverage” command in bedtools v2.25.0 [43] and visualized with ggplot2 in R v3.5.2 [44]. The mean read-depth across the pseudomolecules was largely consistent with the scaffold sex assignment, i.e., zero depth for males and haploid for females for the W chromosome (Fig. 4C) and neoW-1A (Fig. 4A), diploid depth for males and haploid for females for the Z chromosome (Fig. 4D) and neoZ-1A (Fig. 4B), and diploid depth for both sexes for autosomal chromosome 5 (Fig. 4E). In contrast to the W and Z chromosomes, several distinct genomic regions with read-coverage consistent with that of an autosomal chro-
Genomic evidence of neo-sex chromosomes in the eastern yellow robin

Figure 4: Read-depth of pooled male (blue dots) and pooled female (red dots) reads across EYR pseudomolecules neoW-1A, neoZ-1A, W, Z, and autosomal chromosome 5. Read-depth (the number of reads for each nucleotide in the genome) was estimated for each 100-kb sliding window. The locations of candidate W-linked scaffolds on the neoW-1A and Z-linked scaffolds on neoZ-1A pseudomolecules are indicated by the black lines below the read-depth plots. Coordinate (Mb) refers to the position on the pseudomolecule.

mosome (Fig. 4E) were observed for neoW-1A (Fig. 4A) and neoZ-1A (Fig. 4B), mostly at the pseudomolecule termini.

Identification of Chromosome 1A–Anchored Gametologous Gene Pairs

Using FastANI, we calculated the pairwise sequence identity between the neoW-1A or neoZ-1A pseudomolecule and the zebra finch chromosome 1A [45] and found that both exhibited substantial sequence similarity (calculated mean nucleotide identity of 86%) across the whole of zebra finch chromosome 1A (Fig. 5A and B). NeoW-1A exhibited ~20 Mb greater assembled length (92.5 Mb) than did neoZ-1A (72.5 Mb) (Fig. 5A and B). Accumulation of repeats contributed to this: 36.6% of the EYR neoW-1A sequence was characterized as repetitive by RepeatMasker, while this value is only 10% for the EYR neoZ-1A sequence. One expectation under sex chromosome evolution is the development of evolutionary strata—regions of suppressed recombination identified by spatial clusters of Z-W orthologs with similar divergence estimates [46]. Accordingly, using FastANI, we calculated the pairwise sequence identity between EYR neoW-1A and neoZ-1A in a non-overlapping sliding window of 10 kb. By aligning the putative neoW-1A to the neoZ-1A, we observed high (mostly >90%) pairwise sequence identity throughout the pseudomolecule (Fig. 5C). However, there was considerable heterogeneity in absolute sequence similarity, with zones of ~100%, ~98%, ~95%, and ~92% identity clumped along the pseudomolecules, suggestive of evolutionary strata (Fig. 5C) [46].

Orthologous genes shared between the EYR and collared flycatcher (which has higher protein similarity to EYR than does the zebra finch) were inferred using OrthoFinder2 [47]. Of the 957 genes located on the collared flycatcher chromosome 1A, 725 formed a one-to-one (n = 513) or one-to-many (n = 212) orthologous group with the EYR genes located on the neoZ-1A or neoW-1A pseudomolecule. We restricted the ortholog analysis to only genes predicted from the sex-linked scaffolds (identified based on EYR scaffold assignment) because the “autosomal-behaving” scaffolds on the neoZ-1A and neoW-1A pseudomolecules (Fig. 4A and B) may consist of unassigned sex-linked, recombining sex-linked (collapsed into a single scaffold), or truly autosomal scaffolds that will affect gametologous pairing. This resulted in the identification of 419 Z-linked genes on EYR neoZ-1A pseudomolecule and 221 W-linked orthologs on neoW-1A, for a total of 488 different sex-linked genes. Among these were 148 putative gametologous gene pairs (i.e., homologs with sufficiently low recombination for one version to be identifiable W- and 1 Z-linked) between EYR neoW- and neoZ-1A (Supplementary Table S2). The smaller number of W-linked EYR genes that formed an orthologous group with the collared flycatcher chromosome 1A genes compared to that of Z-linked EYR genes may be due to the more fragmented assembly and higher repetitiveness of W-linked EYR scaffolds that precludes the accurate annotation of genes in the W-linked scaffolds when using the default BRAKER2 annotation settings [48]. It is also possible that W-linked EYR genes on chromosome 1A have diverged, been lost, or been degraded beyond detection, as expected under sex chromosome evolution [46].

Neo-sex chromosomes have reduced effective population size relative to the autosomes that contribute to them: this is expected to decrease the effectiveness of purifying selection, especially when compounded by reduced recombination [49–
Figure 5: Characterization of the inferred neo-sex chromosomes in eastern yellow robin. Linear genome comparison of the (A) neoW-1A and (B) neoZ-1A pseudomolecules (blue horizontal bars) with the zebra finch chromosome 1A (green horizontal bars). The neoW-1A alignment is ∼20 Mb longer than that of neoZ-1A. The red lines denote regions of nucleotide similarity with >70% nucleotide identity calculated over a 10-kb non-overlapping sliding window. (C) Pairwise sequence identity per 10-kb sliding window (to obtain high resolution) between the neoW-1A and neoZ-1A scaffolds mapped along the neoZ-1A pseudomolecule, with coordinates relating to the neoZ-1A pseudomolecule. Zones of different levels of sequence similarity can be seen along the pseudomolecule. The blue line denotes the smoothed conditional means for pairwise identity and the grey zone around it indicates the 95% confidence interval. (D) Paired box plots showing the dN/dS ratios of neoW-1A and neoZ-1A gene copies (gametologs) of the eastern yellow robin compared with collared flycatcher orthologs as references. Collared flycatcher was used here in preference to zebra finch because the former has greater protein similarity to EYR. Each dot represents a gene and grey lines connecting red and blue dots represent gametologs.

These effects, along with Muller’s ratchet and hitchhiking, should promote the accumulation of deleterious mutations, commonly revealed as elevated non-synonymous to synonymous (dN/dS) ratios, particularly for sex-limited chromosomes such as the neo-W in birds [7, 8, 53]. To calculate the dN/dS ratios for EYR neo-sex gametologous gene pairs, protein alignment was first performed for all 148 putative neo-sex gametologous gene pairs with their respective collared flycatcher orthologs using Clustal Omega v1.2.1 [54] followed by codon-based alignment with pal2nal (-nogap option to remove gaps and in-frame stop codons) [55]. The pal2nal output for each orthologous group was used to calculate dN/dS ratios via codeml in the paml v4.9i package [56]. When the collared flycatcher orthologous 1A genes were used as the reference for each comparison, 120 out of 148 of the neoW-1A gametologs exhibited higher dN/dS than their neoZ-1A gametologous partners (Wilcoxon paired samples signed rank test, P = 3.9e−14; Fig. 5D).

Conclusion

We report a hybrid genome assembly using Nanopore and Illumina reads of a female EYR, the first published genome for the family Petroicidae. The identification of sex-linked scaffolds using a combination of read-depth and k-mer YGS approaches, followed by chromosomal anchoring to the genome of a female zebra finch, provided strong evidence for the presence of a neo-sex chromosome system in EYR involving most of chromosome 1A. The inferred neoW-1A pseudomolecule showed the characteristics expected of a sex-limited neo-sex chromosome, including elevated dN/dS ratios, increased levels of repetitive sequences, and signals of strata of levels of sequence divergence [7, 8, 53]. Further work is required to understand the formation of the neo-sex system we infer. One relatively simple model is that one copy of chromosome 1A fused with the W chromosome, and the second copy of chromosome 1A fused with one copy of chromosome 1A.
became inherited in a neo-Z fashion; but more complicated scenarios are possible [7, 8, 15]. Given that the divergence between inland and coastal EYR lineages is partly due to a genomic region enriched for nuclear genes with mitochondrial functions that maps to the autosomal chromosome 1A in other songbirds [3], the role of neo-sex chromosomes in maintaining lineage divergence despite nuclear gene flow warrants further investigation involving a female genome of the coastal lineage. Future work should also test whether unlikely but possible neoZ-1A differences between the EYR054 used for assembly here and EYR056 used for scaffolding affected the neo-Z assembly. Chromosome 1A is not one of the chromosomes implicated in multiple known vertebrate neo-sex systems [53], but given its unusual concentration of genes with mitochondrial functions, it will not be surprising if subsequent equivalent cases are found [3]. Our results show that assuming close synteny between a songbird of interest and a distantly related reference genome can lead to incomplete or incorrect evolutionary inferences. The present genome assembly will be an important molecular resource for understanding and re-evaluating genome evolution in EYR, a key model wildlife species in the emerging field of “mitonuclear ecology” [4]. The discovery of neo-sex chromosomes in this bird species adds another, independent model to the limited number in which sex chromosome evolution can be studied through the lens of relatively young sex chromosomes [15, 53].
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