ON THE BENJAMIN ONO EQUATION IN THE HALF LINE

DUVÁN CARDONA AND LILIANA ESQUIVEL

Abstract. We consider the inhomogeneous Dirichlet initial boundary value problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation formulated on the half line. We study the global in time existence of solutions to the initial–boundary value problem. This work is a continuation of the ones [14, 15] by Hayashi and Kaikina where the global in time existence and the asymptotic behaviour of solutions for large time were considered.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Outline. When studying dispersive problems on the half line, boundary terms provide a perturbation to the behaviour of their solutions and the analysis for these problems can be treated by using techniques of complex analysis, namely, methods of analytic continuation. By following this philosophy, and by exploiting the Calderón commutator technique, in this work we shall study the initial-boundary value problem (IBV problem) for the Generalized Dispersive Benjamin-Ono equation on the half line

\[
\begin{align*}
&u_t + \mathcal{H}u_{xx} + u\partial_x u = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x > 0, \\
&u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \\
&u(0, t) = h(t), \quad t > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(1.1) (BO)
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where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the Hilbert transform$^1$, which, as a (Calderón-Zygmund) singular integral operator is defined via

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{H} u(x) := \text{PV} \int_0^\infty \frac{u(y)}{y-x} dy, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \tag{1.2}.
\end{equation}

For a comprehensive study of this operator on half-line we refer to the interested reader to [33]. Between the family of dispersive equations, the Benjamin-Ono equation is a model describing long internal gravity waves in a stratified fluid with infinite depth (see for instance, Benjamin [2] and Ono [22]) and turns out to be important in other physical phenomena as well (we refer the reader to Danov and Ruderman [6], Ishimori [26] and Matsumo Kaup [28] and references therein). In the case of the whole line, for the Benjamin-Ono model are well known very noticeable properties: it defines a Hamiltonian system, can be solved by an analogue of the inverse scattering method (see Ablowitz and Fokas[1]), admits (multi-)soliton solutions, and satisfies infinitely many conserved quantities (see Case [5]). It is worth to mention that, regarding the IVP associated to the BO equation (in the case of the whole line), local and global results have been obtained by various authors. Iorio [25] showed local well-posedness for data in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{3}{2}$, and making use of the conserved quantities he extended globally the result in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 2$. In Ponce [32], the author extended the local result for data in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the global result for any solution in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq \frac{3}{2}$, and further improvements were done by Molinet, Saut, and Tzvetkov [31]$^2$, Koch and Tzvetkov$^3$, Jinibre and Velo[27], Tao who showed in [34] that the IVP associated to the BO equation is globally well-posed in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R})$, Ionescu and Kenig [24], Molinet and Riboud [29, 30] and [20], just to mention a few.

1.2. Benjamin Ono Equation in the Half-line. In the case of the half-line, the BO equation and other non-linear evolution problems for pseudo-differential operators on the half-line have been considered by Esquivel, Hayashi and Kaikina [13, 12], Hayashi and Kaikina [14, 15, 16] and Kaikina [17, 18, 19]. In the homogeneous case of (1.1), with $h(t) \equiv 0$, or when (1.1) is endowed with the Neumann condition $u_x(0, t) = 0$, it was proved, among other things, in [14, 15], the well-posedness for (1.1) if $\psi \in L^{1,a}(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$, where $a \in (0, 1)$. As far as we know, the case of non-homogenous boundary condition for the initial boundary value problem (1.1) was not studied previously. The main problem addressed in this paper is to study the global in time existence of solutions to (1.1) in the case where the initial data $\psi$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{1+a}(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and the boundary condition $h(t) \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Under these conditions, in Theorem 2.1 we prove that there exist an unique global solution $u$ of (1.1) in the space $C([0, \infty) : \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+))$. In this result we observe the influence of the boundary data on the behavior of solutions.

The novelty of the present work is that we combine different approaches between the real and the complex analysis. We start our work by applying the analytic continuation method by Hayashi and Kaikina (in the aforementioned references) related to the Riemann-Hilbert problem. Indeed, the construction of the Green operator is based on the introduction of a suitable necessary condition at the singularity points of the symbol, the integral representation

$^1$The Hilbert transform has a dispersive effect in the BO equation. Note that the Hilbert transform is a definite integral, which differs from an integral term that appears in the model equation for shallow-water waves (see e.g. Hirota and Satsuma [23]), and it makes the properties of these solutions very different from those of the well-known KdV type.

$^2$Showing that the Picard iteration process cannot be carry out to prove local results for the BO equation in $\mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R})$.

$^3$Who established a local result for data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > \frac{5}{4}$, improving the one given in [32].
for the sectionally analytic function, and the theory of singular integro-differential equations with Hilbert kernels and with discontinuous coefficients, (see [18], [10] and Section 3 for details).

Later on, via the contraction principle, in Theorem 2.1 we deduce of global existence of a solutions \( u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \) to (1.1). Finally, via an analysis based also, in the Calderón commutator technique as developed by Ponce and Fonseca [9], we prove that \( u \in L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \).

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2.1 we present the notation used in our work and our main result in the form of Theorem 2.1. For the benefit of the reader, in Section 3 we explain the techniques that we follow in the proof of our main theorem. The linear problem associated to (1.1) will be analysed in Section 4 and some technical lemmata will be established in Section 5. We end our work with the proof of Theorem 2.1 in Section 6.

### 2. Notation and Main result

To state our results precisely we introduce notations and function spaces. Let \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). We denote by \( (t) := \sqrt{1 + t^2} \) and \( \{t\} := \frac{|t|}{(t)} \). We write \( A \lesssim B \) if there exist a constant \( C \), such that \( C \) does not depend on fundamental quantities on \( A \) and \( B \), such that \( A \leq CB \).

We denote the usual direct and inverse Laplace transformation by \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{L}^{-1} \)

\[
\mathcal{L} \phi(\xi) \equiv \hat{\phi} (\xi) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi x} \phi (x) \, dx, \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1} \phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{i\xi x} \hat{\phi} (\xi) \, d\xi.
\]

The Fourier transform \( \mathcal{F} \) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{F}(\phi)(p) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixp} \phi(x) \, dx.
\]

Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R} \) be an interval, for \( s \geq 0 \), we define

\[
H^s(\Omega) := \{ f = F|_\Omega : F \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = \inf \|F\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\]

Similarly, we have

\[
\dot{H}^s(\Omega) := \{ f = F|_{\Omega^+} : F \in \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}) \} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_{\dot{H}^s(\Omega)} = \inf \|F\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R})}.
\]

At this point it is important to recall the space \( H^s_0(\mathbb{R}) \), which is the closure of the class of functions in \( H^s(\mathbb{R}) \) whose support lies in \( \mathbb{R}^+ \). Recall that \( H^s(\mathbb{R}) \) is the set of distributions \( f \) satisfying \((1 + |\xi|)^s \hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2_\xi \), where \( \hat{f} \) denotes the Fourier transform in the \( x \)-variables. The space \( \dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}) \) is the homogeneous analogue consisting of distributions satisfying \( |\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi) \in L^2_\xi \).

On the other hand, if we define

\[
W^{2,k}(\Omega) = \left\{ f : \frac{d^m f}{dx^m} \in L^2(\Omega), 0 \leq m \leq k \right\},
\]

with the norm

\[
\|f\|_{W^{2,k}(\Omega)} = \left( \sum_{0 \leq m \leq k} \left\| \frac{d^m f}{dx^m} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right)^{1/2},
\]

via Calderon’s extension theorem (Theorem 12 in [3]) and standard Calderón-Zygmund estimates one can deduce \( H^k(\mathbb{R}) = W^{2,k}(\mathbb{R}) \), for all \( k \) non-negative integer, as consequence \( H^k(\Omega) = W^{2,k}(\Omega) \).

For \( s \in \mathbb{R} \), we define \( Z^{s,r}(\Omega) := H^s(\Omega) \cap L^{2,r}(\Omega) \), here \( L^{2,r}(\Omega) \) is the weighted Lebesgue space

\[
L^{2,r}(\Omega) = \{ \phi : \|\phi\|_{L^{2,r}} := \|\cdot \|_{r,\phi}(\cdot) \|_{L^2} < \infty \}.
\]

Now we state our main result.
Theorem 2.1. For $\psi \in Z^{1+\epsilon,1}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $h \in Z^{1,1}$, there exist a unique global solution $u \in C([0,\infty) : H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+))$, such that
\[
\sup_{t>0} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} \lesssim M_1(\|u_0\|_Z, \|h\|_Y),
\]
\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^{2,1}} \lesssim M_2 e^{M_3 t}(\|u_0\|_Z, \|h\|_Y).
\]

3. Sketch of the proof

For the convenience of the reader we briefly explain our strategy. First of all, we consider the linear Benjamin-Ono equation with inhomogenous boundary condition
\[
(LBO) : \begin{cases}
  u_t + \mathcal{H} u_{xx} = 0, & t > 0, \ x > 0, \\
  u(x, 0) = \psi(x), & x > 0 \\
  u(0, t) = h(t), & t > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In Lemma 4.1 we construct the Green function and the Boundary operator for Eq. (3.1), indeed we prove that the solution of this equation can be represented as
\[
u = \mathcal{G}(t) \psi + \mathcal{B}(t) h,
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{G}(t) = \mathcal{G}_1(t) + \mathcal{G}_2(t),
\]
with
\[
\mathcal{G}_1(t) \psi := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{-K(p)t} e^{px} \tilde{u}_0(p) dp,
\]
\[
\mathcal{G}_2(t) \psi := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \mathcal{K}(t) \psi(p) dp,
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{K}(t) \psi(p) := \frac{1}{2} e^{ip^2 t} \mathcal{E}^-(-\psi)(p, i) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-ip^2 t} \mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, -i) + \text{VP} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{sp^2 t} \frac{1}{1+s^2} \mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, s) ds,
\]
and
\[
\mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, s) := \lim_{s_1 \to \varphi(s)} \text{Re}_s \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \hat{\psi}(pw) e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(w, s) - e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(0, s)}} w - s_1 \Omega(w, s) dw - e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(0, s)} \hat{\psi}(\varphi(s) p) \Omega(\varphi(s), s),
\]
with
\[
\Omega(w, s) = \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{1+k(s)} e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(-1, s) \frac{(1-A(s)) k^2(s)}{1+k(s) A(s)} - \frac{w-k(s)}{w+1}},
\]
\[
\tilde{A}(s) = \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{c(q) \frac{k(s) - \varphi(s)}{\varphi^2(s)}}{(K(q) + s)(q^2 + s)} dq + \varphi(s) k(s)
\]
and the boundary operator is given by
\[
\mathcal{B}(t) h = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty e^{-px} p(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(t) h)(p) dp = \int_0^t H(x, t - \tau) h(\tau) d\tau,
\]
we recall some basic results related with the analytic continuation

Finally, the sectionally analytic function \( \tilde{\Gamma} \) is given by

\begin{equation}
\tilde{\Gamma}(w, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \ln(q - w) d\ln \left( \frac{K(q) + \xi}{K(q) + 1} \right) dq.
\end{equation}

Let \( K(p) := -|p| \), \( \bar{K}(p) := -p^2 \) and let \( \varphi(\xi), k(\xi) \) be the unique solutions of the equations \( K(p) + \xi = 0, \bar{K}(p) + \xi = 0 \) for \( \text{Re} \xi > 0 \), with \( \text{Re} \varphi(\xi) > 0 \), \( \text{Re} k(\xi) > 0 \).

In order to prove Lemma 4.1 we reduce the linear problem (3.1) to the corresponding Riemann problem. This Riemann problem has some additional necessary conditions for solvability due to the growth of the of the non-analytic non-homogeneous symbol \( K(p) = -|p| \). Therefore, we will show below that exactly one boundary value is necessary and sufficient in the problem (1.1) for its solvability and uniqueness. We call this procedure as the analytic continuation method. Therefore, via the Duhamel principle, the IBV problem (1.1) can be rewritten as the integral equation

\[
\mathcal{B}(t)h(p) := \left( e^{ip^2 t} \Psi_B(i) \hat{h}(ip^2) + \frac{1}{\pi i} \mathcal{V} \int_{-\infty}^{i \infty} e^{ip^2 s} \hat{h}(s p^2) ds \right)
\]

For 1 \( \leq r \leq s \), \( \mu \in [0, 1] \) such that \( \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{r} + \mu \) and \( n = 0, 1, \epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \) we prove the following estimates

\[
\| \mathcal{G}(t) \psi \|_{\mathcal{H}^1} + \| \partial_x \mathcal{G}(t) \psi \|_{\mathcal{L}^s} \lesssim \| \psi \|_{\mathcal{L}^{1+\epsilon, 2}} + t^{-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \mu - \frac{1}{2}} \| \psi \|_{\mathcal{H}^{\mu, n}}.
\]

Applying these estimates we prove that \( \mathcal{M} \) is a contraction mapping on a ball \( \mathcal{C}((0, \infty); \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \).

4. LINEAR PROBLEM

We consider the linearised version of the problem, that is the initial boundary value problem in (3.1). We prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Suppose that the initial and boundary data \( u_0, h \) belongs to \( \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \). Then, there exists a unique solution \( u(x, t) \) of the initial-boundary value problem (3.1), which has the following integral representation \( u(x, t) = \mathcal{G}(t) \psi + \mathcal{B}(t)h \), operators \( \mathcal{G}(t) \) and \( \mathcal{B}(t) \) was given by (3.2).

In order to prove Lemma 4.1 we recall some basic results related with the analytic continuation method.
Lemma 4.2. Let \( \phi \) be a complex function, which obeys the Hölder condition for all finite \( q \), and tends to a definite limit \( \phi_\infty \) as \( |q| \to \infty \), such that for large \( q \), the following inequality holds \( |\phi(q) - \phi_\infty| \leq C|q|^{-\mu}, \mu > 0 \). Then

\[
P\phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\infty}^{-i\infty} \frac{\phi(q)}{q - z} dq, \quad \text{Re } z \neq 0,
\]

is an analytic function in the left and right semiplanes. Here and below these functions will be denoted \( P^+\phi(z) \) and \( P^-\phi(z) \), respectively. These functions have the limiting values \( P^+\phi(p) \) and \( P^-\phi(p) \) at all points of imaginary axis \( \text{Re } p = 0 \), on approaching the contour from the left and from the right, respectively. These limiting values are expressed by Sokhotski- Plemelj formula:

\[
P^+\phi(p) = \lim_{z \to p, \text{Re } z < 0} P\phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{PV} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\phi(q)}{q - p} dq + \frac{1}{2} \phi(p),
\]

\[
P^-\phi(p) = \lim_{z \to p, \text{Re } z < 0} P\phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{PV} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\phi(q)}{q - p} dq - \frac{1}{2} \phi(p).
\]

Subtracting and adding the formula (4.2) we obtain the following two equivalent formulas

\[
P^+\phi(p) - P^-\phi(p) = \phi(p),
\]

\[
P^+\phi(p) + P^-\phi(p) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \text{PV} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\phi(q)}{q - p} dq,
\]

which will be frequently used hereafter.

Lemma 4.3. An arbitrary function \( \phi \) given on the contour \( \text{Re } p = 0 \), satisfying the Hölder condition, can be uniquely represented in the form

\[
\phi(p) = U^+(p) - U^-(p),
\]

where \( U^\pm(p) \) are the boundary values of the analytic functions \( U^\pm z \) and the condition \( U_\infty^\pm = 0 \) holds. These functions are determined by formula \( U(z) = P\phi(z) \).

Lemma 4.4 (Index Zero). An arbitrary function \( \phi \) given on the contour \( \text{Re } p = 0 \), satisfying the Hölder condition, and having zero index,

\[
\text{ind } \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} d\ln \phi(p) = 0,
\]

is uniquely representable as the ratio of the functions \( X^+(p) \) and \( X^-(p) \), constituting the boundary values of functions, \( X^+(z) \) and \( X^-(z) \), both of them analytic functions in the left and right complex semiplane, and non-vanishing on these domains. These functions are determined by the formula

\[
X^\pm(z) = e^{\Gamma^\pm(z)}, \quad \Gamma(z) = P(\ln \phi)(z).
\]

The proof of these Lemmas can be found in [10].

Proof of Lemma 4.1. To derive an integral representation for the solution of the problem (3.1), we adopt the analytic continuation method proposed in [19]. We suppose that there exists a
solution \( u(x, t) \) for the problem (3.1), such that \( u(x, t) = 0 \), for all \( x < 0 \). Note that the Laplace transform of \( H u_{xx} \) has the form
\[
\mathcal{L}(Hu_{xx}) = \mathbb{P}^- \left\{ K(p) \left( \hat{u}(p, t) - p^{-1} u(0, t) - p^{-2} u_x(0, t) \right) \right\},
\]
where the operator \( \mathbb{P}^- \) was defined by (4.1) and \( K(p) = -|p|p \). Therefore, by applying the Laplace transform with respect to both, the space and time variables in (3.1), we obtain
\[
\hat{u}(p, \xi) = \frac{1}{K(p) + \xi} \left[ \hat{u}_0(p) + \frac{K(p)}{p} \hat{u}(0, \xi) + \frac{K(p)}{p^2} \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) + \hat{\Psi}(p, \xi) \right],
\]
for some complex function \( \Psi(p, \xi) = O(p^{-\delta}), \ \delta > 0 \), such that \( \mathbb{P}^- \{ \Psi(p, \xi) \} = 0 \). Here, \( \hat{u}(0, \xi) \) and \( \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) \) are the Laplace transforms of the boundary data \( u(0, t) \) and \( u_x(0, t) \) respectively. Note that in (4.7) we have three unknown function \( \hat{u}(0, \xi), \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) \) and \( \Psi(p, \xi) \), moreover the function that appears in the right hand side of this equality is not analytic when \( \text{Re } p > a > 0 \). To solve these problems we need to introduce the “analyticity switching” functions \( Y^\pm \).

Let us denote \( \tilde{K}(p) := -p^2 \). Note that, for \( \text{Re } p > 0 \) and \( \text{Re } \xi > 0 \), the equality \( \tilde{K}(p) + \xi = 0 \) has only one root \( k(\xi) \), such that \( \text{Re } k(\xi) > 0 \). We make a cut along the negative real axis. We define
\[
\omega^+(p, \xi) = \left( \frac{p}{p - k(\xi)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \omega^-(p, \xi) = \left( \frac{p}{p + k(\xi)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
Since \( \text{Re } \xi > 0 \), one has
\[
\text{ind} \frac{K(q) + \xi \omega^-(q, \xi)}{K(q) + \xi \omega^+(q, \xi)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} d\ln \left\{ \frac{K(q) + \xi \omega^-(q, \xi)}{K(q) + \xi \omega^+(q, \xi)} \right\} = 0,
\]
via Index Zero Lemma in the form of Lemma 4.4, we have
\[
(4.8) \quad \frac{K(p) + \xi}{K(p) + \xi} = \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{Y^-(p, \xi)}, \quad p \in i\mathbb{R},
\]
where
\[
(4.9) \quad Y^\pm = e^{\Gamma(z, \xi)} w^\pm, \quad \Gamma(z, \xi) = \mathbb{P} \ln \left\{ \frac{K(\cdot) + \xi \omega^-}{K(\cdot) + \xi \omega^+} \right\}.
\]
Via Lemma 4.3 we have
\[
(4.10) \quad U^+(p, \xi) - U^-(p, \xi) = \frac{\hat{\psi}_0(p)}{Y^+(p, \xi)}, \quad I^+(p, \xi) - I^-(p, \xi) = \frac{K(p)p^{-2}}{Y^+(p, \xi)},
\]
where
\[
(4.11) \quad U(z, \xi) = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{\hat{\psi}_0(p)}{Y^+(p, \xi)} \right), \quad I(z, \xi) = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{K(p)p^{-2}}{Y^+(p, \xi)} \right), \quad J(z, \xi) = \mathbb{P} \left( \frac{K(p)p^{-1}}{Y^+(p, \xi)} \right),
\]
Applying (4.8), (4.10) into (4.7) we obtain for \( p \in i\mathbb{R} \) that
\[
(4.12) \quad \hat{u}(p, \xi) = \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} \left[ U^+ - U^- + \frac{\hat{\Phi}^+}{Y^+} + (J^+ - J^-) \hat{u}(0, \xi) + (I^+ - I^-) \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) \right].
\]
By the analyticity of the function \( \hat{u} \) in the right half-plane, we must put the following conditions
\[
\frac{\hat{\Phi}}{Y^+} + U^+ + J^+ \hat{u}(0, \xi) + I^+ \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) = 0,
\]
and

\[ (4.13) \quad U^-(k(\xi), \xi) + J^-(k(\xi), \xi) \hat{u}(0, \xi) + I^-(k(\xi), \xi) \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) = 0, \]

where \( k(\xi) \) is the only one root of the equation \( \tilde{K}(p) + \xi = 0 \) in the right half complex plane. Because \( Y^+(p, \xi) \) is analytic for \( \Re p < 0 \), we have \( \mathbb{P}^{-}\{\Phi\} = 0 \), moreover from \((4.13)\) we observe that we need to put in the IBV problem, only one boundary data, and the other unknown boundary condition will be completely determined by this equality. Thus, if we consider the Dirichlet boundary condition, \( u(0, t) = h(t) \), the other unknown boundary data \( \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) \) satisfies that

\[ \hat{u}_x(0, \xi) = \frac{1}{I^-(k(\xi), \xi)} \left( U^-(k(\xi), \xi) + J^-(k(\xi), \xi) \hat{h}(\xi) \right), \]

where \( \hat{h}(\xi) \) is the Laplace transform of \( h(t) \). Finally, we obtain for the solution of \((3.1)\) that,

\[ (4.14) \quad \hat{u}(p, \xi) = \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} \left( \frac{I^-(p, \xi)}{I^-(k(\xi), \xi)} U^-(k(\xi), \xi) - U^-(p, \xi) \right) + \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} \hat{h}(\xi) \left( \frac{I^-(p, \xi)}{I^-(k(\xi), \xi)} J^-(k(\xi), \xi) - J^-(p, \xi) \right). \]

Applying \( \mathcal{L}_-^{-1} \mathcal{L}_i^{-1} \) to \((4.14)\), we get \( u(x, t) = \mathcal{G}(t)\psi + \mathcal{B}(t)h \), where

\[ (4.15) \quad \mathcal{G}(t)\psi = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{\xi t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{px} \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} \left( \frac{I^-(p, \xi)}{I^-(k(\xi), \xi)} U^-(k(\xi), \xi, y) - U^-(p, \xi, y) \right) dp \, d\xi, \]

and

\[ (4.16) \quad \mathcal{B}(t)h = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{\xi t} \hat{h}(\xi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{px} \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} \left( \frac{I^-(p, \xi)}{I^-(k(\xi), \xi)} J^-(k(\xi), \xi) - J^-(p, \xi) \right) dp \, d\xi. \]

Now, our goal is to find a convenient expression for these operators. It follows from the Sokhotzki-Plemelj formula that \( U^-(p, \xi) = U^+(p, \xi) - \frac{\hat{\psi}_0(p)}{Y^+(p, \xi)} \), and also, via Fubini Theorem. By computing the residue at \( \xi = -K(p) \), we obtain

\[ \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{\xi t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{px} \frac{\hat{\psi}(p)}{K(p) + \xi} dp \, d\xi = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-K(p)t} e^{px} \hat{\psi}(p) dp. \]

Thus, by using these facts in \((4.15)\) we have

\[ (4.17) \quad \mathcal{G}(t)\psi = \mathcal{G}_1(t)\psi + \mathcal{G}(t)u_2, \]

where

\[ (4.18) \quad \mathcal{G}_1(t)\psi := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-K(p)t} e^{px} \hat{\psi}(p) dp, \]
\[
\mathcal{G}_2(t) \psi := \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{\xi t} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{px} \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} dp \, d\xi.
\]

(4.19)

Now, by using some analytic properties we will rewrite this operator. We consider an analytic extension of \( K(p) = -p|p| = -\text{sign}(\text{Im } p)p^2 \), in the form

\[
K(p) = \begin{cases} 
-\text{ip}^2, & \text{Im } p > 0, \\
\text{ip}^2, & \text{Im } p < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Using Cauchy Theorem we get

\[
I^-(p, \xi) = -\frac{K(p) + \xi}{p^2} \frac{1}{Y^+(p, \xi)} + \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{1}{Y^+(0, \xi)}[1 + p\tilde{A}(\xi)],
\]

(4.21)

where \( \tilde{A}(\xi) = Y^+(0, \xi)\partial_w \frac{1}{Y^+(w, \xi)}|_{w=0} \). Because \( K(k(\xi)) = -\xi \), from the last identity we obtain

\[
I^-(k(\xi), \xi) = \frac{\xi}{k^2(\xi)} \frac{1}{Y^+(0, \xi)}[1 + k(\xi)\tilde{A}(\xi)].
\]

(4.22)

Therefore, via (4.21) and (4.22) we obtain

\[
\frac{I^-(p, \xi)}{I^-(k(\xi), \xi)} = \frac{k^2(\xi)}{\xi} \frac{Y^+(0, \xi)}{[1 + k(\xi)\tilde{A}(\xi)]} \left[ \frac{-K(p) + \xi}{Y^+(p, \xi)} + \frac{\xi}{Y^+(0, \xi)}[1 + p\tilde{A}(\xi)] \right].
\]

(4.23)

By substituting (4.23) into (4.19), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{G}_2(t) \psi = -\left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} d\xi e^{\xi t} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{px} \frac{1}{K(p) + \xi} \left( \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)U^+(p, \xi)}{p^2} \right) \left[ \frac{-K(p)Y^+(0, \xi) + \xi \left( (1 + p\tilde{A}(\xi))Y^+(p, \xi) - Y^+(0, \xi) \right)}{p^2} \right] dp.
\]

(4.24)

By observing that, for \( \xi \) with \( \text{Re } \xi > 0 \), the function \( p \mapsto \frac{1}{K(p) + \xi} \) is analytic in the left half-plane, except when \( \{\text{Re } p = 0\} \), for an analytic function \( \phi(p) \), the Cauchy Theorem implies

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{px} \frac{\phi(p)}{K(p) + \xi} dp = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \frac{p^2}{p^4 + \xi^2} \phi(-p) dp,
\]

(4.25)

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} e^{px} \frac{K(p)}{K(p) + \xi} \frac{1}{p^2} dp = \frac{\xi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \frac{1}{p^4 + \xi^2} dp.
\]

(4.26)

Via (4.25), (4.26) and Fubini theorem, we have

\[
\mathcal{G}_2(t) \psi = \frac{1}{2\pi i^2} \int_0^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{-px} \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{\xi t} \frac{Y^+(-p, \xi)}{p^4 + \xi^2} \left[ \frac{1 - p\tilde{A}(\xi)}{1 + k(\xi)\tilde{A}(\xi)}k^2(\xi)U^-(k(\xi), \xi) - p^2 U^+(-p, \xi) \right] d\xi \, dp.
\]

(4.27)
Now, let us simplify the function
\[
G(p, t) := \int_{-i\infty+\varepsilon}^{i\infty+\varepsilon} e^{st} \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{p^4 + \xi^2} \left[ \frac{1 - pA\xi}{1 + k(\xi)A\xi} \left( \frac{k^2(\xi)U^-(k(\xi), \xi) - \frac{p^2}{q + p}}{1 + k(\xi)A\xi q - k(\xi)} \right) \right] d\xi
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\varepsilon}^{i\infty+\varepsilon} e^{st} \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{p^4 + \xi^2} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\hat{\psi}(q)}{Y^+(q, \xi)} \left( \frac{1 - pA\xi}{1 + k(\xi)A\xi} \frac{k^2(\xi)}{q - k(\xi)} - \frac{p^2}{q + p} \right) dq d\xi.
\]

For \( p > 0 \), via Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we know
\[
\frac{Y^+(p, sp^2)}{Y^+(q, sp^2)} = \frac{1 + \phi(s) q - k(s)}{1 + k(s) q - \phi(s)} e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(1, s) - \tilde{\Gamma}(q, s)},
\]
and
\[
p\tilde{A}(sp^2) = \tilde{A}(s),
\]
and that \( k(sp^2) = pk(s) \). Therefore, the change of variables \( \xi = p^2 s \), and \( q = pw \), imply
\[
G(p, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\varepsilon}^{i\infty+\varepsilon} e^{sp^2t} \frac{1 + \phi(s)}{1 + s^2} \frac{1}{1 + k(s)} e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(1, s)}
\]
\[
\times \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\hat{\psi}(pw)}{w - \phi(s)} \left( \frac{1 - \tilde{A}(s)k^2(s)}{1 + k(s)A(s)} - \frac{w - k(s)}{w + 1} \right) dw ds.
\]

Since \( \hat{\psi}(pw) \) is an analytic function in the right-half complex plane, by Cauchy Theorem the following equality
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\hat{\psi}(pw)}{w - \phi(s)} \left( \frac{1 - \tilde{A}(s)k^2(s)}{1 + k(s)A(s)} - \frac{w - k(s)}{w + 1} \right) dw
\]
\[
= -e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(0, s)\hat{\psi}(\phi(s)p)} \left( \frac{1 - \tilde{A}(s)k^2(s)}{1 + k(s)A(s)} - \frac{\phi(s) - k(s)}{\phi(s) + 1} \right),
\]
holds for \( \text{Re} \ s > 0 \). As a consequence of this analysis, we can rewrite the function \( G(p, t) \) in (4.28) in the form
\[
G(p, t) = \int_{-i\infty+\varepsilon}^{i\infty+\varepsilon} e^{sp^2t} \frac{1}{1 + s^2} \mathcal{E}^-(\hat{\psi})(p, s) ds,
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{E}^-(\hat{\psi})(p, s) := \lim_{s_1 \to \phi(s)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\hat{\psi}(pw)}{w - s_1} \Omega(w, s) dw
\]
\[
= \lim_{s_1 \to \phi(s)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{\hat{\psi}(pw)}{w - s_1} \Omega(w, s) dw - e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(0, s)\hat{\psi}(\phi(s)p)}\Omega(\phi(s), s),
\]
with
\[
\Omega(w, s) = \frac{1 + \phi(s)}{1 + k(s)} e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(1, s)} \left( \frac{1 - \tilde{A}(s)k^2(s)}{1 + k(s)A(s)} - \frac{w - k(s)}{w + 1} \right).
\]
Now we apply the Sokhotskii-Plemelj formula to get
\[(4.31)\]
\[G(p, t) = 2\pi i \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{ip\xi} \mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, i) + \frac{1}{2} e^{-ip\xi} \mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, -i) + \text{VP} \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} \frac{e^{sp\xi}}{1 + s^2} \mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, s) ds \right) \]
\[= 2\pi i [\mathcal{K}(t)\psi](p). \]

Consequently,
\[(4.32)\]
\[\mathcal{G}_2(t)\psi = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-px}[\mathcal{K}(t)\psi](p) dp. \]

Finally, from (4.17), (4.18) and (4.32) we arrive to the representation (3.3) of \(\mathcal{G}(t)u_0\), as we have claimed.

Now we simplify \(\mathcal{B}(t)\) given by (4.16). We rewrite \(\mathcal{B}(t)\) as follows
\[(4.33)\]
\[\mathcal{B}(t)h = \mathcal{B}_1(t)h + \mathcal{B}_2(t)h, \]
where
\[(4.34)\]
\[\mathcal{B}_1(t)h = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{s\xi} \mathcal{h}^\prime(\xi) \int_{i\infty}^{-i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{px} \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} \left( \frac{I^-(p, \xi)}{I^-(k(\xi), \xi)} J^-(k(\xi), \xi) \right) dp d\xi, \]
\[\mathcal{B}_2(t)h = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{s\xi} \mathcal{h}^\prime(\xi) \int_{i\infty}^{-i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{px} \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{K(p) + \xi} J^-(p, \xi) dp d\xi. \]

Using the Cauchy Theorem, a direct calculation gives
\[J^-(p, \xi) = \frac{K(p) + \xi}{p} \frac{1}{Y^+(p, \xi)} - \frac{\xi}{p} \frac{1}{Y^+(0, \xi)}. \]

Observing that \(K(k(\xi)) = -\xi\), and by using the last identity, we obtain
\[J^-(k(\xi), \xi) = -\frac{\xi}{k(\xi) Y^+(0, \xi)}. \]

Substituting the previous formula into (4.34), we obtain
\[(4.35)\]
\[\mathcal{B}_1(t)h = -\left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{s\xi} \mathcal{h}^\prime(\xi) \int_{i\infty}^{-i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{px} \frac{1}{K(p) + \xi} \left( \frac{k(\xi)}{1 + k(\xi) \tilde{A}(\xi)} \right) \frac{1}{Y^+(0, \xi)} \]
\[\times \left( \frac{-K(p)Y^+(p, \xi) + \xi \left( (1 + p \tilde{A}(\xi)) Y^+(p, \xi) - Y^+(0, \xi) \right)}{p^2} \right) dp d\xi, \]
\[\mathcal{B}_2(t)h = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \int_{-i\infty + \varepsilon}^{i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{s\xi} \mathcal{h}^\prime(\xi) \int_{i\infty}^{-i\infty + \varepsilon} e^{px} \frac{1}{K(p) + \xi} \left( \frac{K(p)}{p} + \frac{\xi}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{Y^+(p, \xi)}{Y^+(0, \xi)} \right) \right) dp d\xi. \]
By following a similar procedure to the one, followed in the analysis of $G(t)$, applied to the previous representation, we obtain

\[
B(t)h = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-px} \left( e^{ip^2 t} \Omega_B(p, ip^2) \hat{h}(ip^2) + e^{-ip^2 t} \Omega(p, -ip^2) \hat{h}(-ip^2) \right) p^2 + e^{ip^2 t} \Omega_B(p, \xi) \hat{h}(\xi) d\xi dp \),
\]

where

\[
\Omega_B(p, \xi) = \frac{Y^+(p, \xi) \cdot p + k(\xi)}{Y^+(0, \xi) 1 + k(\xi) A(\xi)}.
\]

Via Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, we have $\Omega_B(p, sp^2) = p^3 \Psi_B(s)$, where $\Psi_B$ is given by (3.8). Thus, the change of variables $\xi := sp^2$ implies

(4.36)

\[
B(t)h = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-px} p \left( e^{ip^2 t} \Psi_B(i) \hat{h}(ip^2) + e^{-ip^2 t} \Psi_B(-i) \hat{h}(-ip^2) \right) + V P \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sp^2 t} \Psi_B(s) \hat{h}(sp^2) ds dp \),
\]

and moreover

(4.37)

\[
B(t)h = \int_{0}^{t} H(t - \tau)h(\tau) d\tau,
\]

where

\[
H(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-px} p \left( e^{ip^2 t} \Psi_B(i) + e^{-ip^2 t} \Psi_B(-i) \right) + V P \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{sp^2 t} \Psi_B(s) \hat{h}(sp^2) ds dp,
\]

which implies the integral representation (3.7). This analysis completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

\[
\square
\]

5. Preliminaries

In this section we present some essential lemmas for our further analysis. Firstly, we prove the main properties of the operators $G(t)$ and $B(t)$ defined in (3.2) and (3.7) respectively.

Lemma 5.1. For $\psi \in Z^{1+\varepsilon, 2} := H^{1+\varepsilon}(R^+) \cap L^2(R^+, |x|^2 dx)$, with $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $n = 0, 1$, $\psi(0) = 0$, the estimate $\|\partial_x^n G(t)\psi\|_{L^2(R^+)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{n}{2}(n+\varepsilon)} \|\psi\|_{Z^{1+\varepsilon, 2}(R^+)}$ holds.

Proof. Let us recall that $G(t) = G_1(t) + G_2(t)$, where $G_1$ and $G_2$ are defined in (3.3).

Let $\psi^* = 1_{(0, \infty)} \psi$ be an extension of $\psi$ from $R^+$ to $R$, since $\psi(0) = 0$. By following [11, Lemma 2.1], we have that $\|\psi^*\|_{Z^{1+\varepsilon, 2}(R)} \leq \|\psi\|_{Z^{1+\varepsilon, 2}(R^+, |x|^2 dx)}$, where $Z^{s, r}(\Omega) := H_s^r(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega, |x|^{2r} dx)$. Then $G_R(t)\psi^*$ is defined for all $x \in R$ and $G_1(t)\psi = G_R(t)\psi^*$, where $G_R(t)\psi^*$ is defined by (3.3). By using Theorem 1 in [9], we have that $G_R(t)\psi^* \in C([0, \infty); Z^{1, 2})$ and also that $\|G_R(t)\psi^*\|_{H^1} \lesssim \|\psi^*\|_{Z^{1+\varepsilon, 2}(R^+)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{Z^{1+\varepsilon, 2}(R^+)}$. This analysis leads us to conclude that $G_1(t)\psi \in C([0, \infty); H^1)$.
Now, we estimate the $H^1$-norm of $G_2(t)$. First, we note that for $\text{Re}(w) = 0$, and $\text{Im}(w) > 0$, by a slightly abuse of notation,
\[
\hat{\psi}(pw) = \mathcal{F}\{1_{(0,\infty)}(\psi)\}(-p|w|),
\]
where $\mathcal{F}$ stands for the Fourier transform, and $c(w) := -\text{sign} \text{ Im}(w)$. Since $\|\partial_x^p e^{-px}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim p^{n-\frac{d}{2}}$ for $p > 0$, we have
\[
\left\| \partial_x^n \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \hat{\psi}(pw) dp \right\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \int_0^\infty p^{n-\frac{d}{2}} |\mathcal{F}\{1_{(0,\infty)}(\psi)\}(-p|w|)| dp
\]
(5.1)
\[
\lesssim |w|^{-(n+\frac{d}{2})} \int_{-\infty}^\infty |q|^{n-\frac{d}{2}} |\mathcal{F}\{1_{(0,\infty)}(\psi)\}(q)| dq
\lesssim |w|^{-(n+\frac{d}{2})} \left( |1_{(0,\infty)}(\psi)|_{L^2_{q}(\mathbb{R}^+)} + |1_{(0,\infty)}(\psi)|_{H^{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \right).
\]
It is clear that $|1_{(0,\infty)}(\psi)|_{L^2_{q}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |\psi|_{L^2_{q}(\mathbb{R}^+)}$. Also, note that $|1_{(0,\infty)}(\psi)|_{H^{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |\psi|_{H^{1+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^+)}$, in view of Lemma 2.1 in [11]. Therefore,
\[
\left\| \partial_x^n \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \hat{\psi}(pw) dp \right\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim |w|^{-(n+\frac{d}{2})} |\psi|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)}. 
\]
On the other hand, the change of variables $w = q\sqrt{|s|}$ applied to the function $\mathcal{E}^-$, defined in (3.5), implies the estimate
\[
\mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, s) := \mathcal{E}_1^-(\psi)(p, s) + \mathcal{E}_2(\psi)(p, s),
\]
where
\[
\mathcal{E}_1^-(\psi)(p, s) = \lim_{s \to \varphi(s) \pm 0} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \hat{\psi}(pq \sqrt{|s|}) e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(q \sqrt{|s|}, s)} - e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(0, s)} q - s |s|^{-\frac{d}{2}} \Omega(q \sqrt{|s|}, s) dq
\]
\[
\mathcal{E}_2(\psi)(p, s) = -e^{-\tilde{\Gamma}(0, s)} \hat{\psi}(\varphi(s) p) \Omega(\varphi(s), s)
\]
\[
\Omega(w, s) = \frac{1+\varphi(s)}{1+k(s)} e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(-1, s)} \left( \frac{1-\tilde{A}(s)k^2(s)}{1+k(s)\tilde{A}(s)} - \frac{k(s)}{w+1} \right).
\]
Since $\tilde{A}(s) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|s|}}\right)$ and $k(s) = O\left(\sqrt{|s|}\right) = \varphi(s)$, we have $\Omega(q \sqrt{|s|}, s) = O\left(\{s\}^\frac{d}{2}\right)\{s\}$. From which we conclude that
\[
\left\| \partial_x^n \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \mathcal{E}_1^-(\psi)(p, s) dp \right\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{|q|}{q^{n+\frac{d}{2}} |q-s|^\frac{1}{2}} dq
\]
(5.4)
\[
\lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left\{ s \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\{s\} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
A similar argument to the one used above, allows us to show that
\[
\left\| \partial_x^n \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \mathcal{E}_2(\psi)(p, s) dp \right\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left\{ s \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\{s\} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
From (5.3)-(5.4) we conclude the estimate $\|\partial_x^n \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \mathcal{E}^-(\psi)(p, s) dp \|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left\{ s \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\{s\} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$.

By combining this inequality with the definition of $G_2(t)$, given by (3.3), we have $\|\partial_x^n G_2(t)\psi\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left\{ s \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\{s\} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus, we have
\[
\left\| \partial_x^n G(t)\psi \right\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^2_{x}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left\{ s \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\{s\} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
On the other hand, Hayashi and Kaikina in [14] proved
\[ \| \partial_x^n \mathcal{G}(t) \psi \|_{L^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{n}{2}}, \]
since \( \| \psi \|_{L^1} \lesssim \| \psi \|_{Z^{1,1}} \), from (5.6) and (5.7) we can conclude
\[ \| \partial_x^n \mathcal{G}(t) \psi \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \| \psi \|_{Z^{1+\epsilon,2}(\mathbb{R}^+)} . \]
\[ \square \]

Our attention now is turned to the boundary operator \( \mathcal{B}(t) \), given by (3.7). In the next lemma we present an estimation of the \( H^1 \)-norm of \( \mathcal{B}(t) h \).

**Lemma 5.2.** For \( h \in Z^{1,1} := H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \), with \( h(0) = 0 \) we have
\[ \| \mathcal{B}(t) h \|_{H^1} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \| h \|_{Z^{1,1}} . \]

**Proof.** For any \( \text{Re}(s) = 0 \), we observe that \( \hat{h}(p^2 s) = \mathcal{F}\{ \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)} h \}(c(s)p^2 |s|) \), where \( \mathcal{F} \) is the classical Fourier transform and \( c(s) = \text{sign \ Im}(s) \). Since \( \| \partial_x^n e^{-px} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim p^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \) for \( p > 0 \), we deduce the estimates
\[ \| \partial_x^n \int_0^\infty e^{-px} \hat{h}(p^2 s) dp \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \int_0^\infty p^{n+\frac{1}{2}} |\mathcal{F}\{ \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)} h \}(c(s)p^2 |s|)| dp \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w|^{\frac{1}{2} (n-\frac{1}{2})} |\mathcal{F}\{ \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)} h \}(w)| dw \]
\[ \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \| h \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim |s|^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n}{2}} \| h \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} . \]

Since \( \Psi_B(s) = O(\{ s \} \{ s \}^{\frac{3}{2}}) \), from (3.7) and (5.9) we get
\[ \| \partial_x^n \mathcal{B}(t) h \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \| h \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left( 1 + \text{VP} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{1}{|s|^{\frac{1}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} |1 + s^2|} \{ s \} \{ s \}^{\frac{3}{2}} ds \right) \lesssim \| h \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} . \]

On the other hand, for \( t > 1 \), let us remember the equivalent representation for the operator \( \mathcal{B}(t) \)
\[ \mathcal{B}(t) h = \int_0^t H(t - \tau) h(\tau) d\tau . \]

Via the Cauchy theorem and the change of variables \( p = \tau^\frac{1}{2} w \), we rewrite the function \( H \), given by (3.8), as \( H = H_1 + H_2 + H_3 \) where
\[ H_1(x, t) = t^{-1} \int_{C^+} e^{-p x t^{-\frac{1}{2}} x p \frac{1}{2}} dp \]
\[ H_2(x, t) = t^{-1} \int_{C^+} e^{-p x t^{-\frac{1}{2}} x p \frac{1}{2}} dp \]
\[ H_3(x, t) = t^{-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-p x t^{-\frac{1}{2}} x p \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{1 - s^2} ds \]
where \( C^+ := \{ q \in \mathbb{C} : \text{arg}(q) = \delta, \pi - \delta \} \) and \( C^\pm := \{ q \in \mathbb{C} : \text{arg}(q) = \pm \delta \} \). It is important to observe for \( p \in C_\pm \) we have \( \text{Re}(p x t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) > 0, \text{Re}(\pm ip^2) < 0 \) where \( \delta > 0 \) is sufficiently small. Moreover for \( p > 0 \) and \( s \in C \) we note \( \text{Re}(isp^2) < 0 \). Since \( \| \partial_x^n e^{-px} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim |p|^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \) for \( \text{Re} p > 0 \) we get
\[ \| \partial_x^n H_1 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \int_{C^+} |p|^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-|p|^2} dp \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \]
\[ \| \partial_x^n H_2 \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim t^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \int_{C^+} |p|^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-|p|^2} dp \lesssim t^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}} \]
In an analogous way, we get \( \| \partial_x^n H_2 \|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{n}{2}} \). To control the \( L^2 \)-norm of \( \partial_x^n H_3 \) we observe
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_C e^{-|s|^2p^{n+1}} \frac{s \langle s \rangle^{\frac{3}{2}}}{|1 - s|^2} ds \, dp \lesssim 1,
\]
therefore \( \| \partial_x^n H_3 \|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{n}{2}} \). From previous inequalities we infer
(5.13)\[
\| \partial_x^n H(\cdot, t) \|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{n}{2}}.
\]
We also note that
(5.14)\[
B(t)h = \int_0^t H(x, t - \tau)h(\tau)d\tau = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} H(x, t - \tau)h(\tau)d\tau + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t H(x, \tau)h(t - \tau)d\tau,
\]
hence, (5.14) and (5.13) implies
\[
\| \partial_x^n B(t)h \|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{(t - \tau)^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}}} h(\tau)d\tau + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{n}{2}}} h(t - \tau)d\tau \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{n}{2}} \| h \|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^+)},
\]
since \( \| h \|_{L^1_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \| h \|_{Z^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \), we have \( \| \partial_x^n B(t)h \|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{n}{2}} \| h \|_{Z^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \). The proof is complete. \[\square\]

The following Lemma is used to obtain an estimate in the proof of Proposition 6.2.

**Lemma 5.3.** For \( h \in Z^{1,1} = H^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+) \) we have
(5.15)\[
\| B(t)h \|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \| h \|_{Z^{1,1}}.
\]

**Proof.** For \( p > 0 \), we observe: \( \| e^{-px} \|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \langle p \rangle^{\frac{1+2a}{2}} \). So, we can estimate
(5.16)\[
\left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-px} p^{\frac{1}{2}} \Psi_B(\pm i)h(\pm ip^2) dp \right\|_{L^2_x(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \int_0^\infty \{ p \}^{\frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon} \langle p \rangle^{\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon} | \mathcal{F} \{ 1_{(0,\infty)}h \}(p^2) \| dp
\]
\[
\lesssim \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{ w \}^{\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} | \mathcal{F} \{ 1_{(0,\infty)}h \}(w) \| dp
\]
\[
\lesssim \| \mathcal{F} \{ 1_{(0,\infty)}h \} \|_{L^2} \int_{|w| < 1} w^{-\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon} dw + \| w \mathcal{F} \{ 1_{(0,\infty)}h \} \|_{L^2} \int_{|w| \geq 1} |w|^{-(\frac{1}{4} + 2\epsilon)} dw
\]
\[
\lesssim \| h \|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \| h \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)},
\]
Now, we focus our attention to the function
\[
\int_0^\infty e^{-px} p F(p) dp, \quad \text{where } F(p) := vp \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} e^{is^2t} \frac{\Psi_B(s)}{1 + s^2} \hat{h}(sp^2) ds.
\]
Since \( \Psi(s) = O(\{ s \}^{\frac{3}{2}}) \), fix \( a \in (0, 1) \), the Cauchy–Schwartz inequality implies that
\[
|F(p)| \lesssim \left[ VP \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \{ s \}^2 |s|^{\frac{3}{2}} |1 + s^2|^{\frac{1}{2}} ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[ \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} |s|^{2a} |\hat{h}(sp^2)|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{|p|^{2a+1}} \| h \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}.\]
This analysis implies the estimate \(|F(p)| \lesssim \{p\}^{-(1+2a(\epsilon))}\langle p\rangle^{-3}\|h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}\), where \(2a(\epsilon) \in (0, \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon)\). Consequently,

\begin{equation}
\left\| \int_0^\infty e^{-px}pF(p)dp \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \lesssim \int_0^\infty \langle p \rangle^s p^{\frac{1-2s}{2}}|F(p)|dp
\lesssim \|h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \int_0^\infty \langle p \rangle^s p^{\frac{1-2s}{2}} \{p\}^{-(1+2a(\epsilon))}\langle p\rangle^{-3}dp \lesssim \|h\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}.
\end{equation}

From (5.16) and (5.17) the result follows. \(\square\)

Finally, we will prove two lemmas that we have used in the estimation of \(H^1\)-norm of \(G(t)\) and \(B(t)\).

Next, we consider the “analyticity switching” function \(Y^+(p, \xi) = e^{\Gamma^+(p, \xi)}\omega^+\), where

\begin{equation}
\omega^+(p, \xi) = \left(\frac{1}{p - k(\xi)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \omega^-(p, \xi) = \left(\frac{1}{p + k(\xi)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\Gamma(z, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q - z} \ln \left\{ \frac{K(q) + \xi \omega^-}{K(q) + \xi \omega^+} \right\} dq, \quad K(q) = -q|q|, \quad \widetilde{K}(q) = -q^2.
\end{equation}

**Lemma 5.4.** The following formula is valid for \(w, \xi \in \mathbb{C}\), with \(\text{Re } w < 0\) and \(\text{Re } \xi \geq 0\), and \(\arg(\xi) \in (\frac{3\pi}{8}, \frac{15\pi}{8})\),

\begin{equation}
Y^+(w, \xi) = e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(w, \xi)} \frac{w - \varphi(\xi)}{w - k(\xi)},
\end{equation}

where \(k(\xi), \varphi(\xi)\) are the roots of the equations \(K(q) + \xi = 0\), \(\widetilde{K}(q) + \xi = 0\) respectively, and

\begin{equation}
\tilde{\Gamma}(w, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tilde{C}} \ln(q - w)d\ln \left[ \frac{K(q) + \xi}{K(q) + \xi \omega^+} \right] dq,
\end{equation}

with \(\tilde{C} = \{ q = re^{i\theta} : r \in (0, \infty) \text{ and } \theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{4} \}\). Moreover,

\begin{equation}
Y^+(qp, sp^2) = p^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{\tilde{\Gamma}(q, s)} q - \varphi(s) q - k(s).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Using the analytical properties of the integrand function, after of integrating by parts, for \(\text{Re } w < 0\), we rewrite \(\Gamma^+\) as

\begin{equation}
\Gamma^+(w, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ln(q - w)d\ln \left[ \frac{K(q) + \xi \omega^-}{K(q) + \xi \omega^+} \right] dq.
\end{equation}

By taking the residue at the points \(q = \varphi(\xi)\) and \(q = k(\xi)\), the Cauchy Theorem implies

\(\Gamma^+(w, \xi) = \tilde{\Gamma}(w, \xi) + \ln(\varphi(\xi) - w) - \ln(k(\xi) - w) - \ln(\omega^+).\)

The claim (5.19) follows. In order to prove (5.21), let us note that after of the change of variables \(q = pw\), we have

\(\tilde{\Gamma}(qp, \pm sp^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\tilde{C}} [\ln(p) + \ln(q - w)]d\ln \left[ \frac{K(w) + s}{K(w) + s} \right] dw.\)
Since
\[
\text{ind} \left( \frac{K(w) + s}{K(w) + s} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{d\ln \left( \frac{K(w) + s}{K(w) + s} \right)}{\text{Arg} \left( \frac{K(w) + s}{K(w) + s} \right)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg} \left( \frac{K(w) + s}{K(w) + s} \right) \bigg|_{\hat{C}} = -\frac{3}{2},
\]
the analysis above implies that \( e^{\hat{F}(qp,sp^2)} = p^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\hat{F}(q,s)} \). Since \( k(sp^2) = k(s)p \), \( \varphi(sp^2) = \varphi(s)p \), via (5.19) we conclude (5.21).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 5.5.** The function \( \hat{A}(s) = Y^+(0,\xi) \partial_w \frac{1}{Y^+(w,\xi)} \bigg|_{w=0} \) satisfies the identity
\[
(5.23) \quad \hat{A}(s) = \frac{s}{\pi} \int_{\hat{C}} \frac{c(q)}{(K(q) + s)(q^2 + s)} dq + \frac{\varphi(s) - k(s)}{\varphi^2(s)}, \quad c(q) = (1 - i)\text{sign } \text{Im}(q).
\]

Moreover, \( \hat{A}(p^2s) = \frac{1}{p^2} \hat{A}(s) \) for all \( p > 0 \).

**Proof.** By a simple calculation, it follows that \( \hat{A}(s) = -\frac{k(s)}{w(s)} \partial_w \hat{\Gamma}(w,s) \bigg|_{w=0} + \frac{k(s) - \varphi(s)}{\varphi^2(s)} \). This because of
\[
\partial_w \hat{\Gamma}(w,s) \bigg|_{w=0} := \Gamma_1(s) = \frac{s}{\pi i} \int_{\hat{C}} \frac{c(q)}{(K(q) + s)(-q^2 + s)} dq,
\]
where \( c(q) = (1 - i)\text{sign } \text{Im}(q) \). Using these identities we conclude (5.23).

In order to prove the second equality, we note that \( c(qp) = c(q) \) for \( p > 0 \). Thus, the change of variables \( q = pq \), implies that \( \Gamma_1(sp^2) = \frac{1}{p^2} \Gamma_1(s) \). Since \( k(sp^2) = k(s)p \) and \( \varphi(sp^2) = \varphi(s)p \), we conclude that \( p\hat{A}(p^2s) = \hat{A}(s) \).

\[\square\]

**Lemma 5.6.** For \( \text{Re}(w) \leq 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(\xi) > 0 \) with \( \text{arg}(\xi) \in (\frac{3\pi}{8}, \frac{15\pi}{8}) \), we have the following estimates:

i) \( |e^{\hat{\Gamma}(1,\xi)}| \leq C \).

ii) \( |e^{\hat{\Gamma}(w,\xi)} - e^{\hat{\Gamma}(0,\xi)}| \leq C\{w\}^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma} \), with \( \gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \).

**Proof.** Note that \( \ln(q + 1) = O(\{q\}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \), therefore
\[
|\hat{\Gamma}(1,\xi)| \lesssim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\hat{C}} \{q\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| d\ln \left( \frac{K(q) + \xi}{K(q) + \xi} \right) \right| dq.
\]

Takin into account that \( d\ln \left( \frac{K(q) + \xi}{K(q) + \xi} \right) = O\left( \frac{|q|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{|q|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \), the previous inequality implies the estimate:
\[
|\hat{\Gamma}(1,\xi)| \lesssim |\xi|.
\]
But, we also can observe that \( d\ln \left( \frac{K(q) + \xi}{K(q) + \xi} \right) = O\left( \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \), which implies the inequality, \( |\hat{\Gamma}(1,\xi)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|\xi|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \). Therefore \( |\hat{\Gamma}(1,\xi)| \lesssim \{\xi\}^{\gamma} \). Thus we infer i).

To obtain ii), note that \( \ln(\frac{q+w}{q}) = O\left( \frac{|w|}{|q|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma} \) for \( |w| << 1 \) with \( \gamma < 1 \). Thus, we get
\[
|\hat{\Gamma}(w,\xi) - \hat{\Gamma}(0,\xi)| \lesssim |w|^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma} \int_{\hat{C}} \frac{1}{|q|^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma}} \frac{|qs|}{|q^2 + s|^2} dw \lesssim |w|^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma}.
\]

All this implies the estimate \( |e^{\hat{\Gamma}(w,\xi)} - e^{\hat{\Gamma}(0,\xi)}| \lesssim |w|^{\frac{1}{2} + \gamma} \). Moreover, in view of i) we get \( |e^{\hat{\Gamma}(w,\xi)} - e^{\hat{\Gamma}(0,\xi)}| \lesssim 1 \) for \( w >> 1 \), so we have ii).

\[\square\]

The next inequality will be used to estimate the nonlinear term.
Lemma 5.7. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a + b > 1$ we have
\begin{equation}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\langle \tau - c_1 \rangle^a \langle \tau - c_2 \rangle^b} \leq C \frac{1}{\langle c_1 - c_2 \rangle^\delta}
\end{equation}
with $\delta = \min\{a, b, a + b - 1\}$.

6. Proof of Theorem 2.1

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be exposed in two propositions. In the first of them, Proposition 6.1, we prove there exist, under certain conditions of the initial and boundary conditions, a solution in the functional space $u \in C([0, T] : H^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$. On the other hand, in Proposition 6.2, we show that this solution belongs to $C([0, T] : L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+))$.

Proposition 6.1. For any $\psi \in Z^{1+\epsilon, 2}$ and $h \in Z^{1,1}$, with $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, the IBVP (1.1) has a unique global solution $u \in C([0, T] : H^1(\mathbb{R}^+))$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 we rewrite the initial-boundary value problem (1.1) as the following integral equation
\begin{equation}
(6.1) u(t) = G(t)u_0 - \int_0^t G(t - \tau)\mathcal{N}(u(\tau))d\tau + B(t)h.
\end{equation}

For this, our goal is to prove that the transformation
\begin{equation}
(6.2) \mathcal{M}v(t) := G(t)u_0 - \int_0^t G(t - \tau)\mathcal{N}(v(\tau))d\tau + B(t)h,
\end{equation}
is a contraction on the ball $X_\rho = \{v \in X : \|v\|_X \leq \rho(\|u_0\| + \|h\|)\}$, for $\rho > 0$, to be determined later, in the functional space
\begin{equation}
X := \{v \in C([0, \infty) : H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) : \|v\|_X := \sup_{t \geq 0} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v(t)\|_{L^2} + \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\partial_x v(t)\|_{L^2} < \infty\}.
\end{equation}

We first prove that $\|\mathcal{M}v\|_X \leq \rho$, where $\rho > 0$, is small enough. In view of Lemma 5.1 we have $\|\partial_x^n G(t)u_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}n+1}\|u_0\|_Z$. Therefore
\begin{equation}
(6.3) \|G(t)u_0\|_X \lesssim \|u_0\|_Z.
\end{equation}

Similarly, Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 imply
\begin{equation}
(6.4) \|B(t)h\|_X \lesssim \|h\|_Y.
\end{equation}

Also, note that if $v \in X_\rho$, via 5.1, we have
\begin{equation}
\|\partial_x^n G(t - \tau)\mathcal{N}(v(\tau))\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}n+1}\|\mathcal{N}(v(\tau))\|_{L^1} \lesssim \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}n+1}\|v(\tau)\|_{L^2}\|\partial_x v(\tau)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}n+1}\langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}n+1}\|v\|_{X}^2.
\end{equation}

As consequence, via Lemma 5.7 we obtain:
\begin{equation}
(6.6) \|\partial_x^n \int_0^t G(t - \tau)\mathcal{N}(v(\tau))d\tau\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|v\|_{X}^2 \int_0^\infty \langle t - \tau \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}n+1}\langle \tau \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}n+1}d\tau \lesssim \|v\|_{X}^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{n+1}{2}n+1}
\end{equation}
thus we conclude the uniform estimate,
\begin{equation}
(6.7) \|\mathcal{M}v\| \lesssim \|u_0\|_Z + \|h\|_Y + \|v\|_{X}^2 < \rho.
\end{equation}

Hence, in view of (6.3), (6.4) and (6.7), we deduce the estimate
\[\|\mathcal{M}v\| \lesssim \|u_0\|_Z + \|h\|_Y + \|v\|_{X}^2 < \rho.\]
for \( \rho > 0 \), small enough. Hence, the mapping \( \mathcal{M} \) transforms the ball \( X_\rho \) into itself. In the same way, we estimate the difference
\[
\| \mathcal{M}v - \mathcal{M}w \| \leq \frac{1}{2} \| v - w \|,
\]
which shows that \( \mathcal{M} \) is a contraction mapping. Therefore we see that there exists a unique solution \( u \in C([0, \infty); H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)) \).

In the next proposition we prove that suitable conditions on the initial and boundary data, the solution to (1.1) belongs to \( C([0, \infty); Z) \). The proof is based in the argument of G. Fonseca and G. Ponce in [9]. We start it, by defining the truncated weights \( w_N(x) \) as
\[
w_N(x) = \begin{cases} \langle x \rangle, & \text{if } |x| \leq N, \\ 2N, & \text{if } |x| \geq 3N, \end{cases}
\]
\( w_N(x) \) are smooth functions, and they are requested to be non-decreasing in \( |x| \) with \( w'_N(x) \leq 1 \) for all \( x \geq 0 \). In [9], it was proved that for any \( \theta \in [-1, 1] \), and for any \( N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), \( w^\theta_N(x) \) is a \( \theta \)-weight, i.e. it satisfies that
\[
\sup_{Q \text{ interval}} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^\theta_N \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-\theta}_N \right) = c(w, \theta) < \infty.
\]
Moreover, the Hilbert transform \( H \) on the whole line, which is the singular integral defined by
\[
Hf(x) := \text{PV} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(y)}{x - y} dy, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),
\]
extends to a bounded operator on \( L^2(w^\theta_N(x) dx) \), for any \( N \), with the operator norm bounded by a constant depending on \( \theta \), but, independent of \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) (see e.g. Proposition 1 in [9]). Due to the Riesz-Kolmogorov theorem, \( H \) extends to a bounded operator on \( L^p(\mathbb{R}) \), for all \( 1 < p < \infty \), (see e.g. Duoandikoetxea [8]). Observe that the Hilbert transform \( \mathcal{H} \) on the half line \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) can be obtained from the Hilbert transform \( H \) on the whole line by the identity \( \mathcal{H}f = (HRf)|_{(0, \infty)} \), where \( R \) is the multiplication operator by the characteristic function \( 1_{(0, \infty)} \) of \( \mathbb{R}^+ \), i.e. \( Rf := f \times 1_{(0, \infty)} \).

**Proposition 6.2.** For \( u_0 \in Z, h \in Y \), the solution \( u(t, x) \in C([0, \infty); L^{2, \epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \).

**Proof.** Let \( u = v + z \) be such that \( z \) satisfies
\[
\begin{cases}
z_t + \mathcal{H}z_{xx} = 0, \\
z(x, 0) = 0, \\
z(0, t) = h(t), \quad t > 0,
\end{cases}
\]
and \( w \) solves
\[
\begin{cases}
v_t + \mathcal{H}v_{xx} + u \partial_x u = 0, \\
v(x, 0) = \psi(x), \\
v(0, t) = 0,
\end{cases}
\]
In Lemma 5.3 we had proved
\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \| z(t) \|_{L^{2, \epsilon}} \lesssim \| h \|_Y.
\]
By multiplying the differential equation (6.12) by \( w_N^{2\theta} v \) with \( 0 < \theta \leq 1 \) and integrating it on \([0, \infty)\) we have,

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty (w_N^\theta v)^2 dx + \int_0^\infty w_N^\theta \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 v w_N^\theta v dx + \int_0^\infty w_N^{2\theta} v u \partial_x u dx = 0.
\]

(6.14)

The previous identity implies that

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| vw_N^\theta \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq |I'(t)| + |II'(t)|,
\]

and consequently

\[
\| vw_N^\theta \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \| xu_0 \|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + |I| + |II|,
\]

with

\[
I \equiv I(t) := \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\infty (w_N^\theta \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 v w_N^\theta v) \, dx \right) \, dt, \quad II \equiv II(t) := \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\infty (w_N^{2\theta} v u \partial_x u) \, dx \right) \, dt.
\]

**Estimation of I.** Let us use the Calderón commutator technique, for this we denote \( \tilde{v} := E(v) \), where \( E \) is the the extension operator by zero to \( \mathbb{R}_0^- := (-\infty, 0] \), (this means, \( \tilde{v}(x) = v(x) \), for \( x > 0 \) and when \( x \leq 0 \), \( \tilde{v}(x) = 0 \)). Then we have

\[
\int_0^\infty w_N^\theta \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 v w_N^\theta v dx
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty w_N^\theta \mathcal{H} \partial_x^2 v w_N^\theta v dx - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v)(w_N^\theta v) dx + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v)(w_N^\theta v) dx
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty [w_N^\theta, \mathcal{H}] \partial_x^2 v \times w_N^\theta v dx + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v)(w_N^\theta v) dx = A_1 + III,
\]

with

\[
A_1 := \int_0^\infty [w_N^\theta, \mathcal{H}] \partial_x^2 v \times w_N^\theta v dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty [w_N^\theta, \mathcal{H}](\partial_x^2 \tilde{v}) \times w_N^\theta \tilde{v} dx,
\]

where the derivative \( \partial_x^2 \tilde{v} \) is understood in the sense of distributions. From [4, 7], Calderón’s commutator theorem allows us to estimate \([w_N^\theta, \mathcal{H}]\) as

\[
\|[w_N^\theta, \mathcal{H}] \tilde{v} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \| \partial_x^2 w_N^\theta \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \| w_N^\theta \tilde{v} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},
\]

and consequently,

\[
|A_1| \lesssim \|[w_N^\theta, \mathcal{H}] v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|[w_N^\theta \tilde{v}] \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \| \partial_x^2 w_N^\theta \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \|[w_N^\theta \tilde{v}] \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|[w_N^\theta \tilde{v}] \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}\]

\[
= \| \partial_x^2 w_N^\theta \|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \|[w_N^\theta \tilde{v}] \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2.
\]

Now, let us estimate III as follows. Observing that

\[
\int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v)(w_N^\theta v) dx
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v - \partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta v))(w_N^\theta v)dx + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta v)(w_N^\theta v)dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v)(w_N^\theta v)dx - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta v)(w_N^\theta v)dx + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta v)(w_N^\theta v)dx \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v)(w_N^\theta v)dx - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}((\partial_x^2 w_N^\theta) \times v)(w_N^\theta v)dx \\
&- 2 \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(\partial_x w_N^\theta \partial_x v)w_N^\theta vdx - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta \partial_x^2 v)(w_N^\theta v)dx + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta v)(w_N^\theta v)dx \\
&= A_2 - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}((\partial_x^2 w_N^\theta) \times v)(w_N^\theta v)dx - 2 \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}(\partial_x w_N^\theta \partial_x v)w_N^\theta vdx = A_2 + A_3 + A_4,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(A_2 := \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta v)(w_N^\theta v)dx\) and \(A_4 := -2 \int_0^\infty \partial_x w_N^\theta \partial_x v dx\). Let us study the terms \(A_2, A_3\) and \(A_4\) as follows.

(i) **Estimating** \(A_2\). Indeed, since \(H^* = -H\), (see e.g. Duoandikoetxea [8]), we obtain

\[
A_2 = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta v)(w_N^\theta v)dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \mathcal{H}\partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta \bar{v})(w_N^\theta \bar{v})dx \\
= - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_x^2 (w_N^\theta \bar{v})H(w_N^\theta \bar{v})dx = - \int_{-\infty}^\infty \partial_x ^2 (w_N^\theta v)H(w_N^\theta v)dx,
\]

and consequently, since \(v(t, 0) = 0\) via integration by parts we conclude that

\[
(6.15) \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{2} \nu_x(t, 0) \mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta v)(t, 0).
\]

Note that \(|w_N^\theta v(y)| \lesssim (1 + \gamma^\theta)|v(t, y)|\). Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{H}(w_N^\theta v)(t, 0)| &\lesssim \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \left( \frac{1}{y} \right) \left( \frac{|v(t, y)|}{y} + \frac{|v(t, y)|}{y^{1-\theta}} \right) dy \\
&\lesssim \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \frac{|v(t, y)|}{y} dy + \frac{|v(t, y)|}{y^{1-\theta}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{2(1-\theta)}} dy \right) \\
&\leq \|v(t)\|_{H^1} \int_{-\epsilon}^\epsilon \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{y}} \right) dy + \|v(t)\|_{L^2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{y^{2(1-\theta)}} dy \leq \|v(t)\|_{H^1}.
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, since Duhamel’s principle gives us an integral representation of \(v\), indeed

\[
v(t) = \mathcal{G}(t)u_0 - \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(t - \tau)\mathcal{N}(u(\tau))d\tau,
\]

by combining this integral representation with Lemma 5.1, and the fact that \(\|\mathcal{N}(u)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_x u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u\|_{H^1} \leq C\), where \(C\) is a constant depending on both the initial
and boundary data depend, we have the estimate
\begin{equation}
|v_x(t,0)| \lesssim \|v_x(t,x)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim C \left( t^{-\frac{9}{2}} + \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\frac{5}{2}} d\tau \right) \lesssim C \{ t \}^{-\frac{9}{4}} (t)^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{equation}

From (6.15)-(6.17) we have
\begin{equation}
|A_2| \lesssim C \{ t \}^{-\frac{9}{4}} (t)^{\frac{1}{4}}.
\end{equation}

(ii). **Estimating** $A_3$. Because
\[
A_3 = - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}((\partial_x^2 w_N^\theta) \times v)(w_N^\theta v)dx = - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{H}((\partial_x^2 w_N^\theta) \times \bar{v})(w_N^\theta \bar{v})dx,
\]
from the $L^2$-boundedness of the Hilbert transform we obtain,
\[
|A_3| \lesssim \int_0^\infty \left| (\partial_x^2 w_N^\theta) \times v \right| |w_N^\theta \bar{v}| dx \lesssim \| \bar{v} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \| w_N^\theta \bar{v} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \| w_N^\theta v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2.
\]

(iii). **Estimating** $A_4$. Finally, using Ponce and Fonseca [9, Page 445], we have the following estimate for the non-linear term $A_4$. Indeed,
\[
|A_4| \lesssim \int_0^\infty |\mathcal{H}(\partial_x w_N^\theta \partial_x \bar{v}) w_N^\theta \bar{v}| dx
\]
\[
\lesssim \| \bar{v} \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \| w_N^\theta \bar{v} \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 = \| v \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \| w_N^\theta v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2.
\]

: **Estimation of** $II$. Note, we can rewrite $II$ since
\[
II = \int_0^\infty w_N^\theta u^2 \partial_x u dx - \int_0^\infty w_N^\theta z u \partial_x u dx = II_1 + II_2
\]
From (3.2) in Ponce and Fonseca [9, Page 445], we have
\begin{equation}
|II_1| \leq \int_0^\infty |w_N^\theta u^2 \partial_x u| dx \lesssim \| \langle x \rangle u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \| w_N^\theta u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \| \langle x \rangle u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \| w_N^\theta u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}.
\end{equation}

Moreover via Hölder inequality we get
\begin{equation}
|II_2| \leq \| u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \| w_N^\theta z \|_{L^2} \leq \| u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \| z \|_{L^{2,1}}.
\end{equation}

From (6.19) and (6.20), we deduce the estimate
\[
|II| \lesssim \| u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \| z \|_{L^{2,1}} + \| w_N^\theta v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \| z \|_{L^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2.
\]

Summarising, we have proved that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \| w_N^\theta v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \lesssim C(t) + \| w_N^\theta v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \| v \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2.
\]

Thus, Gronwall’s inequality implies that\(^4\) we have
\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| w_N^\theta v(t, \cdot) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \leq Ce^{MT},
\]

\(^4\)Indeed, for $\kappa(t) := \| w_N^\theta v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}$, we have proved $\kappa(t) \lesssim C(t) + \kappa(t) + \| v \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2$, from which we deduce that $\kappa \in C^1([0,T])$, and by taking $M = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \kappa(t)$, we have $\kappa(t) \leq C(t) + M + \| v \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2$. After of applying Gronwall’s inequality we obtain $\kappa(t) \lesssim_T Ce^{MT} = Ce^{MT}$, for all $T > 0$, with $C \equiv C \left( T, \| v \|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} \right)$.
where \( M = \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| (x) v(t, \cdot) \|_{L^2(R^+)}^2 \), \( C \) is a constant depending on both, the initial and the boundary condition. This proves that \( v \in L^\infty([0,T], L^{2,1}(R^+)) \) and the previous estimate (6.13) implies that \( u \in L^\infty([0,T], L^{2,1}(R^+)) \).
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