Laparoscopic repair of the uterine scar defect – successful treatment of secondary infertility: a case report and literature review
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Background. The aim of this paper is to present a clinical case of laparoscopic repair of a uterine scar defect, to assess the effectiveness of treatment reviewing the latest literature sources, and to provide recommendations of uterine scar defect management.

Materials and methods. We report the case of a 33-year-old woman with an insufficient uterine scar and one-year history of secondary infertility. Following this, she underwent corrective laparoscopic repair, successfully got pregnant two months later and carried pregnancy to full term. We discuss the prevalence of caesarean scar defects, their clinical symptoms, diagnostic methods, various treatment techniques, and their outcomes.

Results and conclusion. Caesarean scar defects, insufficient uterine scars, isthmocele or scar dehiscence following a caesarean section involve myometrial discontinuity at the site of a scar previous caesarean section. These anatomical defects associated with prolonged menstrual bleeding, chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia and secondary infertility. Laparoscopic repair of the uterine scar defect is an effective method of treatment of secondary infertility. Patients with a previous history of caesarean section who present complaints of secondary infertility, need a detailed evaluation of the uterine scar before planning future pregnancies.
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INTRODUCTION

Caesarean section is the most frequently performed surgery in obstetrics, which has increased in incidence over the recent decades. The frequency of caesarean section reaches 25.7% in non-developed countries of the world. In developed countries such as Sweden, Italy, or the USA the frequency of caesarean section varies from 16.3% to 38.2% (1, 2). The annual rate of caesarean section in the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Centre of Vilnius University Hospital Santariskių Klinikos is 23.4%. Caesarean section is an inevitable and beneficial operation in many high-risk cases of pregnancy, however, at the same time, it
relates to possible complications due to the uterine scar insufficiency (3).

The uterine scar insufficiency is diagnosed in about 1.9% of women who have undergone a caesarean section (4). The uterine scar defect, also called the uterine scar insufficiency, isthmocele, or scar dehiscence (“opening”), is a breakdown of myometrium along the scar defect (3). One of the possible complications of this pathology is secondary infertility (5–7). However, 92% of women successfully get pregnant after endoscopic treatment of the scar defect (8).

The paper presents a clinical case of secondary infertility, resulting from uterine scar insufficiency. Literature review is provided, examining the incidence of uterine scar insufficiency, its symptoms, diagnosis and the strategy of treatment.

A CLINICAL CASE

A 33-year-old female presented with an inability to conceive for one year. She underwent a caesarean section due to labour dystocia six years ago. The laboratory and instrumental tests were performed to find out the reason of infertility. Hormonal blood tests showed no changes (Table).

| HORMONES                         | RESULT    |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Follicle-stimulating Hormone (FSH)| 4.62 IU/l |
| Luteinizing Hormone (LH)         | 8.54 IU/l |
| Estradiol (E2)                   | 160.2 pmol/l |
| Prolactin (PRL)                  | 164.1 mU/l |
| Testosterone (T)                 | 1.26 nmol/l |
| Sex Hormone Binding Globulin (SHBG) | 68.96 nmol/l |
| Free Androgen Index (FAI)        | 1.83%     |
| Thyrotropin (TSH)                | 2.77 mIU/l |

A transvaginal sonography revealed both ovaries with no visible pathology, endometrium 9 mm thick. A hysterosalpingography confirmed normal bilateral fallopian tubes and a saddle-shaped uterus. A cervical smear was taken to check against sexually transmitted diseases. Ureaplasma parvum was detected by the PCR method and treated for ten days with doxycycline 100 mg. After the treatment clomiphene citrate was used to stimulate the ovaries, chorionic α-gonadotropin for ovulation induction, and the intrauterine insemination was performed. After this procedure the woman got pregnant, however, she had a miscarriage on the 6th week of pregnancy.

During a repeated transvaginal sonography, the uterine scar of the past caesarean section was evaluated: the endometrial contour at the isthmus was deformed and the anterior uterine wall was deficient up to 0.8 cm, which led to a suspected scar insufficiency (Figure). Hysteroscopic or laparoscopic repair of the scar was recommended.

At first a hysteroscopy was performed and the following was found: at the isthmus of the uter-
Infusion therapy and narcotic analgesics were prescribed after the surgery. The postoperative period was uneventful. The wound healed in the normal manner. The patient was discharged on the fourth day after the operation.

DISCUSSION

Uterine scar defects associated with abnormal bleeding symptoms have already been mentioned in literature since 1975 (9). In 1995, the obstetrician gynecologist Morris was the first to describe the uterine scar insufficiency in women after caesarean section, to analyse in detail the anatomical and histological deformities and changes of scar tissue at the isthmus area of the anterior uterine wall (10).

The meta-analysis of delivery after a previous caesarean section found that incidence of uterine scar defect was 1.9% (11). In the other studies the frequency of scar defect varies from 0.6 to 3.8%, depending on diagnostic methods (2, 11, 14).

Risk factors for uterine scar are suturing of myometrium by a one-layer seam, more than one caesarean section, retroflexed uterus, incision in cervical area, etc. (8, 12, 13). Weaker scar formation was noticed in women with preeclampsia (14).

The most commonly mentioned symptoms related to the scar insufficiency are prolonged menstrual bleeding, intermenstrual bleeding. Rare symptoms are chronic pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, infertility (5, 15, 16). The intensity of symptoms is directly related to the defect size. Small uterine scar defects can be asymptomatic (4). Women with larger scar defects usually complain of longer duration of bleeding, they are more likely to suffer from a complex of symptoms (13, 18).

In our presented clinical case the main complaint of the patient was secondary infertility. There are two main mechanisms that can cause secondary infertility to the patient with a uterine scar defect: bleeding from the defect of the scar area and retention of menstrual blood in the scar area (2, 17). Sperm movement towards the ovum is disrupted due to bleeding from the uterine scar, and blastocyst can be washed out from the uterine cavity. Retention of blood in the scar area harms the quality of the sperm, cervical mucus, and interferes with successful implantation (2, 13, 19).

In most cases the scar defect is diagnosed to women who are investigated due to the above-mentioned symptoms (8). Visualization methods such as hysterography, sonohysterography, and transvaginal ultrasound can be used for the evaluation of the integrity of the anterior wall of the uterus (1, 15). An ultrasound examination is considered to be the first diagnostic method (8). In the sonographic examination the uterine scar defect is identified by “niche” term meaning a triangular hypoechogenic zone in the assumed uterine scar area (14). The apex of the triangular zone is usually oriented to the anterior wall of the uterus, and the base points to the uterine cavity or the cervical canal (8). The remaining thickness of solid myometrium can be measured when diagnosing the defect (1). The defect depth and breadth can be evaluated during hysterography and sonohysterography (13, 22).

In 2015, the obstetrician gynaecologist Tanimura with co-authors described new diagnostic criteria of secondary infertility for determination of the conditional uterine scar defect:

- Retention of blood in the uterine scar or the uterine cavity during the period from the end of menstruation to ovulation;
- Unsuccessful attempts to become pregnant after two or more procedures of artificial insemination or due to other unknown cause of infertility (17).

Literature mentions some treatment options of the uterine scar defect. However, there is no exact treatment algorithm. Both hysteroscopic scar tissue resection and laparoscopic restoration of the uterine wall are mentioned to be successful methods of treatment (11, 17, 20). Results of many studies point to advantages of laparoscopic wall recovery when treating uterine scar insufficiency (12). Such results are explained as incomplete removal of scar tissue during hysteroscopy (8, 13).

In our presented case, successful treatment of secondary infertility was achieved with laparoscopic surgery: by removing a fibrous uterine scar and renewing the edges of the uterine scar. Prior to this, diagnostic hysteroscopy was performed, during which a deepening at the isthmus of the uterus was found, which could not be examined fully during the hysteroscopy. The combined technique when both methods are being applied is called the Rendezvous technique. The characteristic feature of the surgery is the “Halloween sign”. This is a visualization of a defect during laparoscopy.
when the hysteroscope with a light source is located behind a defect. This helps to detect the exact width, depth, and localization of the defect (21).

It is recommended to get pregnant at least three months after the surgical intervention. According to literature data, patients usually get pregnant in a natural way in 12–24 months (8). In all cases, it is recommended to complete the pregnancy by a caesarean section, however, there are cases mentioned of successful delivery through natural delivery paths (1).

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. If a patient who is planning to get pregnant suffers from symptoms characterized by the uterine scar insufficiency and if the thickness of the residual myometrium is measured to be bigger than 3 mm, then it is recommended to monitor the lower uterine segment by ultrasound. When the thickness of the residual myometrium is measured to be less than 3 mm, then it is recommended to perform a planned laparoscopy during which the scar tissue is resected and the edges of the scar are renewed (13).

2. If a patient expresses complaints but does not seek to get pregnant, it is recommended to perform an operative hysteroscopy (2).

3. If the scar defect is diagnosed by chance and the patient does not have any of these symptoms, surgery is not recommended (2).

4. If a patient gets pregnant after uterine scar repair, then it is recommended to monitor the lower uterine segment by ultrasound each month from the 20th to the 32nd weeks of pregnancy and then weekly until delivery (2).

5. It is recommended to end a pregnancy at 38–39 weeks of gestation by a planned caesarean section. If premature delivery and tocolysis is unsuccessful, then it is recommended to end the pregnancy by an urgent caesarean section (2).
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LAPAROSKOPINIS GIMDOS RANDO DEFEKTO ATSTATYMAS – SĖKMINGAS ANTRINIO NEVAISINGUMO GYDYMO METODAS: KLINIKINIS ATVEJIS IR LITERATŪROS APŽVALGA

Santrauka
Tikslas. Pristatyti pacientės, kuriai buvo atliktas laparoskopinis gimdos randas atstatymas, klinikinį atvejį siekiant įvertinti šio gydymo metodo efektyvumą ir pateikti gimdos randas defekto gydymo rekomendacijas.

Medžiaga ir metodai. Straipsnyje pateikiamas 33 metų amžiaus moters su gimdos randas defektu ir vienų metų antriniu nevaisingumu klinikinis atvejis. Pacientei atliktas laparoskopinis gimdos randas atstatymas, po kurio, praėjus 2 mėnesiams, sekmągytintoj ir išnešėjo vaisių iki gimdymo termino. Straipsnyje aptariami gimdos randos defekto dažnį, sukeltus klinikinius simptomus, diagnostikos metodus, įvairias gydymo taktikas ir jų išteis.

Rezultatai ir išvados. Gimdos randos dektas, dar įvardijamas kaip gimdos randas nepakankamumas, istmcele arba randas „prasvirėmas“ – tai miometro neviensti- sumas, esantis randos po atliktos cezario pūvio operacijos srityje. Šis anatominis defektas susijęs su ilgais menstruacijomis, tepliojimais tarp menstruacijų, lėtiniu dubens skausmu, dismenoreja, dispareunija ir antriniu nevaisingumu. Laparoskopinis gimdos randas atstatymas – efektyvyus gydymo būdas siekiant atkurti vaisingumą.

Raktažodžiai: gimdos randas defektas, cezario pūvio operacija, antrinis nevaisingumas, laparoskopija, hysteroskopija, Rendezvous technika