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Abstract
Ginger (Zingiber officinale) is rich in antioxidants and phytochemicals with anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial and anticancer properties. Ginger has been used as a condiment and for the treatment of ailments for many years. However, there are limited studies on the antioxidant and scavenging power of processed ginger. The objectives of this study were to determine the effects that processing has on the total phenolic and flavonoid content of ginger and its antioxidant potential using 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), Radical-Scavenging Activity and Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP). Fresh ginger (FG) was used as a control with oven drying (OD) sun drying (SD) and freeze drying (FD) as processing methods. Freeze-dried ginger (77.87%) showed a significantly higher (p < 0.05) free radical scavenging ability as compared to other treatment groups (18.40% - 72.90%). However, sundried ginger had the highest ferric-reducing antioxidant power at 35.28 ± 0.69 mmol Fe (II)/mg ginger. Total phenolic content content (mg GAE/100g) for fresh, oven dried, sun-dried, and freeze-dried were 514.02 ± 3.92, 796.46 ± 8.16, 878.76 ± 13.17, and 1021.15 ± 12.95, respectively. Flavanoid content of processed ginger was highest in freeze-dried samples (458.82 CE/100g ginger).
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1. Introduction
Ginger, Zingiber officinale Roscoe, (Zingiberaceae) is widely cultivated in tropical and subtropical countries
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where it is mainly used as food spice and for medicinal purposes. In the foods and beverages industry, ginger is used as an additive for its aroma and pungency [1]. The chemical composition of fresh ginger varies with varieties or cultivar [2], the location for which the ginger is cultivated [3], extraction methods [4] and the processing methods [5]. In the USA, ginger ranks among 20 top-selling herbal supplements with retail sale of about United States (US) $1.38 million in the 2010, a 17.4% increase over previous year [6]. Ali, Blunden, Tanira, and Nemmar [7] reviewed the pharmacological, phytochemical and toxicological properties of ginger including anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-lipidemic, immuno-modulatory, anti-tumorigenic, and anti-hyperglycemic properties and its impact on several metabolic and age-related degenerative diseases.

Ginger is mainly traded on the world market in processed forms as powders and extracts. Attributes of exportable ginger are mainly based on aroma or pungency which is derived from key chemical components such as volatile essential oils and non-volatile oleoresin [8]. These volatile essential oils include zingeberene, curcumene and farnesene [9], while the non-volatile pungent compounds contain the bioactive compounds such as gingerols, shogaols, zingerone and paradols. These compounds give ginger its characteristic hot sensation [10]. The most abundant non-volatile compound in ginger is gingerol [7], but it is also rich in many bioactive compounds such diarylheptanoids, 3-dihydroshogaols and other methyl ether derivatives [10] [11]. During thermal processing, gingerol is dehydrated to form shagaol which gives ginger its characteristic pungency. pH may also effect the conversion rate of gingerol to shogaoal [12]. Processing effects the chemical profile of ginger through loss of especially cyclic compounds and the appearance of others may be due to degradation, oxidation and rearrangement of constituent molecules [11] [13]. Different dehydration methods for instance microwave drying, freeze drying, air drying and vacuum drying effect the availability of some compounds [13]. In a study done by Chan, Lim, Wong,, Lim, Tan, Lianto, & Yong, [14] on the effects of thermal and non-thermal drying methods on the antioxidant properties, total phenolic content and ferric-reducing power of leaves and tea of ginger resulted in the decline of these properties and attributes in some of the drying methods.

The purpose of this research was to investigate the total phenolic, and flavonoid content, the Radical-Sca-venging Activity (DPPH) and Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) from extracts of fresh and processed ginger.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

All solvents and chemicals were obtained from Fisher Scientific (New Jersey, USA).

2.2. Sample Preparation

Healthy and matured ginger rhizomes were purchased from a local health store in Huntsville, Alabama, USA in April 2012. Ginger samples were washed and manually peeled, weighed and sliced into pieces of about 4 mm in thickness and further chopped in tiny bits before processing. Sundried ginger was prepared as per standard protocol (8 hours of sunlight exposed) until desired level of dryness was obtained.

2.2.1. Freeze Drying

Freeze drying of ginger was done as follows: An initial weight of 25 g of sliced ginger used was freeze dried at a temperature of −80°C over a period of 18 hours at vacuum pressure of 3 kPa in a freeze dryer (Virtis Genesis-25 Super XL, USA).

2.2.2. Oven Drying

Twenty-six grams of chopped ginger were spread evenly in a pre-weighed aluminum pan and placed in an experimental oven (Isotemp Oven, Fisher Marietta OH, model 6925) at a temperature of 75°C over a period of 12 hours (AOAC 1990).

2.3. Extraction Methods

In the extraction, 5 g of treatment samples were weighed into a container fully covered with aluminum foil and then 50 ml of 80% methanol was added and placed on stirrer (VWR Standard Multiposition Stirrers, model 986912, USA) for 2 hours at room temperature. The samples were centrifuged (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Len-
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gend XTR Centrifuge, Germany) at a temperature of 4°C at 4000 ×g for 20 minutes. The supernatant was de-
canted and filtered with Whatman No. 2 filter paper into round bottom flask and then attached to a rotary eva-
porator (BUCHI, Rotavapor R-215, Switzerland), the solvent was evaporated at a temperature of 40°C and at a
rotational speed and pressure of 270 rpm and 204 mbar respectively for a period of 5 hours. The residue was
then made up to a final volume of 10 mL with 80% methanol and stored at −80°C until further analyzed for anti-
oxidant and free-radical-scavenging activity, total phenolic and flavonoid content. Triplicate were done for each
of the samples; fresh ginger (FG), oven dried (OD), sun dried (SD) and freeze dried (FD) ginger.

2.4. Chemical Analysis of Fresh and Processed Ginger

2.4.1. Determination of Total Phenolic in Fresh and Processed Ginger

Total phenolic content was measured using a modified Folin Ciocalteu method as described by Singleton, Or-
throfer, and Lamuela-Raventos [15] and Dewanto, Wu, Adom, and Liu [16]. Five milligram of gallic acid was
weighed and diluted in 10 mL of ddH2O from which the standard curve was generated from dilution ranging
from 0.02 - 0.4 mg/mL. Twelve and a half microliters of briefly prepared Folin Ciocalteau reagent was added to
each of 12.5 μL of samples and standards and then incubated for 5 minutes. Fifty microliters of ddH2O and 125
μL of 7% NaHCO3 were then added to the mixture and again incubated at room temperature for 90 minutes after
which the absorbance was read at 750 nm using a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek Instruments, USA).
Total phenolic concentration of the fresh and processed ginger was expressed in mg Gallic Acid Equivalent per
100 g of ginger as the average of 3 replications.

2.4.2. Total Flavonoids

Total flavonoid concentration was determined by a colorimetric assay as described by Kim, Jeong, and Lee [17].
Standards were prepared from different concentrations of catechin (0.02 - 0.4 mg/ml). To 25 μL each of diluted
sample, blank and standards, 7.5 μL of a 5% NaNO2 solution were added and incubated for 5 minutes after
which 15 μL 10% AlCl3 was added. Fifty microliters of 1M NaOH and 40 μL of ddH2O were added to the mix-
ture, absorbance read at 510 nm and the quantification was done using the calibration curve. Total flavonoid
concentration of the fresh and processed ginger was expressed in mg catechin per 100 g of ginger as the average
of 3 replications.

2.4.3. Determination of Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP)

The FRAP assay was conducted as described by Benzie and Strain [18] with modifications. Fourteen millgram
of FeSO4∙7H2O was dissolved in 5 ml of distilled from which standard curve (0.1 mM - 1.0 mM) was prepared.
FRAP reagents were prepared from a combination of three reagents. Reagent A, 300 mM acetate buffer of pH
3.6; Reagent B, TPTZ solution which was made up of 31 mg of 2, 4, 6 tri pyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 10 mL 40
mM HCl, and Reagent C had 54 mg of ferric chloride (FeCl3∙6H2O) dissolved in 10mL of distilled water. Ten
microliters of known dilutions of ginger extracts were combined with 200 μL of FRAP reagent in a 96 well plate
and the mixture was incubated for 10 minutes at 37°C. After incubation, the samples were analyzed at an abso-
bance of 593 nm with a microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek Instrument Incorporated, Vermont, USA). The
change in absorbance was compared with the standards at a minute interval for 4 minutes. The samples were
analyzed in triplicates and the results are expressed as mmol Fe (II)/g dry weight.

2.4.4. Measurement of DPPH Scavenging Activity

Ginger, Zingiber officinale, has shown to exhibit highest antioxidant activity among the ginger spices [19]. The
free-radical scavenging activity of 80% methanol ginger extracts of fresh and processed ginger was determined
as described by Brand-Williams, Cuvelier, & Berset, [20] with some modification. Forty microliters of appro-
priately diluted concentrations of ginger extracts were added to 200 μL of 0.4 mg 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl
(DPPH) dissolved in 10 mL of 100% methanol. The mixture was agitated and incubated in the dark at room
temperature and absorbance was measured with microplate reader (Synergy HT, BioTek Instrument Incorporated,
Vermont, USA) at 517 nm at time intervals of 0, 30, 60, and 90 minutes. Free radical scavenging activity
was measured as the amount of extracts required to reduce the initial absorbance at 517 nm of DPPH radical
concentration by 50% (IC50) as compared to the control. This was calculated as the Percentage inhibition of
DPPH as shown below.

\[
\%\text{ inhibition of DPPH} = \left[\frac{\text{Abs control} - \text{Abs sample}}{\text{Abs control}}\right] \times 100
\]
2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed by (Analysis Of Variance) ANOVA using the SAS 9.0 (2011) statistical program using data from three independent experiments. Each experiment was repeated three times. Values were given as means ± SEM (Standard Error of the Mean) and means were separated using Tukey’s studentized range test. Level of significance were considered at P ≤ 0.05

3. Results

This study observed that selected processing methods resulted in significant (P < 0.05) differences in total phenol and flavonoid contents, as well as the free radical scavenging activity and ferric reducing antioxidant power.

3.1. Phenolic and Flavonoids

The highest total phenolic content was observed in freeze dried ginger (FD) (1021.15 mg GAE/100g) and the lowest was observed in fresh ginger (FG) extracts (514.02 mg GAE/100g). In this study, significant differences (P < 0.05) were observed among all processed ginger extracts and the FG which was used as a control. FG had a significantly (P < 0.05) lower total flavonoid content (238.96 ± 5.12 mg CE/100g) compared to processed ginger; oven dried (OD), sun dried (SD) and freeze (FD). The total flavonoid content among all the ginger extracts were significantly (P < 0.05) different with the highest flavonoid content observed in FD extracts (458.82 ± 8.37) as shown in Table 1. The total phenol and flavonoid contents for FG, OD, SD, and FD are given on a dry weight basis.

The total phenols were 35.46%, 41.50% and 49.66%, respectively higher in OD, SD and FD compared to FG (control). However, total flavonoid in FG was 26% and 42% and 92% lower compared to OD, SD and FD. Among the processed ginger, OD had significantly (P < 0.05) lower total phenolic (796.46 ± 8.16 mg GAE/100g) and flavonoid contents (302.18 ± 6.12 mg CE/100g) compared to SD and FD.

3.2. DPPH Radical Inhibition

The DPPH radical inhibition (%) by fresh and processed ginger extracts is shown in Figure 1. At the lowest concentration (12.5 mg/ml), inhibition of DPPH by FG was 9.25 which was the lowest of all ginger extracts. FD and SD ginger exhibited the greatest effect in DPPH inhibition at the lowest concentration (12.5 mg/ml).

![Figure 1. DPPH radical scavenging activity of ginger extracts at different concentration. Abbreviations: DPPH-1,1-Diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl.](image)

| Ginger  | Phenols (mg GAE/100g) | Flavonoids (mg CE/100g) |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| FG      | 514.02 ± 3.92          | 238.96 ± 5.12           |
| OD      | 796.46 ± 8.16          | 302.18 ± 6.12           |
| SD      | 878.76 ± 13.17         | 339.58 ± 4.49           |
| FD      | 1021.15 ± 12.95        | 458.82 ± 8.37           |

FG: Fresh ginger; OD: Oven dried ginger; SD: Sun dried ginger; FD: Freeze dried ginger; GAE: Gallic Acid Equivalents; CE: Catechin Equivalents. Values are Mean ± SEM; n = 4. Values not sharing common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05) using Tukey’s studentized range test.
The DPPH inhibition at 25 - 100 mg/ml was similar for the processed ginger (OD, SD and FD). However, FG had a similar inhibition compared to OD, SD and FD only at 50 mg/ml. The percentage DPPH inhibition increased from 12.5 mg to 100 mg/ml with the highest inhibition seen at 100 mg/ml. FG showed lower potential in scavenging free radicals at lower concentrations, but had the highest inhibition (100%) at the highest concentration (100 mg/ml).

At a concentration of 12.5 mg/ml, processed ginger (OD, SD and FD) had a 5-, 6- and 7-fold greater inhibition of the DPPH radical compared to FG. However, at 25 mg/ml, OD, SD and FD had a 38%, 42% and 48% higher effect in inhibition of the DPPH radical compared to FG.

### 3.3. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Potential (FRAP)

The Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Potential (FRAP) of ginger extracts is shown in Table 2. The highest FRAP was observed in SD (35.28 ± 0.69 mmol Fe (II)/mg) and the lowest observed in OD extracts (27.78 ± 0.63 mmol Fe (II)/mg). In this study, no significant differences (P < 0.05) were observed among the control (FG), OD and FD. However, there were significant differences (P < 0.05) between SD and all the processed ginger (OD) and (FD) and the control (FG). The FRAP was 3% higher in FG compared to OD. However, FRAP in FG was 4.12% and 23.14% higher compared to FD and SD. Among the processed ginger extracts, OD (27.78 ± 0.63 mmol Fe (II)/mg) had a significantly (P < 0.05) lower FRAP compared to FD and SD.

### 4. Discussion

In this study, processing impacted the chemical profile of ginger, as it was observed in Table 1 and Table 2 and Figure 1. Studies by Noor, Azian, Mustafa Kamal, & Nurul Azlina [21], indicated that processing changed the structure of the cell wall, impacting on the availability of phytochemicals. They further stated that cell walls upon rapture, releases essential oils and oleoresin (which is rich in these phytochemicals). Ali et al. [7] studied the extraction of different parts of Halia spp of ginger with different solvents: methanol, acetone, and chloroform. Their studies revealed increase in extract with increase polarity of solvent.

A comparison of organic solvent extracts of processed and unprocessed ginger as antibacterial agent was studied by Gao and Zhang [22]. Although the study showed antibacterial activity against gram-positive bacteria and gram-negative, there was no significant differences among the different processed ginger groups.

Thermal methods are known to cause a decline in the total phenolic content compared to non thermal methods [14] [23]. This was evident in this study where the total phenolic and flavonoid content of the processed ginger increased with reducing temperature used in processing, that is, from oven drying, sun drying, and freeze drying.

The low levels of antioxidant activity seen in the control (fresh ginger) were as a result of lower yield in essential oils. The DPPH activity is comparable to the FRAP of the processed and fresh ginger [24]. A similar result was seen in a study by Denre (2014), where the DPPH inhibition of several herbs and spices was negatively correlated with the total phenolic content [25].

### 5. Conclusions

According to the results in this experiment, total phenols in the processed ginger were higher compared to the unprocessed ginger. Freeze dried ginger had the highest phenolic and flavonoids compared to other processed

---

**Table 2. Free-radical scavenging (DPPH) activity and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) of fresh and processed ginger.**

| Ginger  | DPPH (%)     | FRAP (mmol Fe (II)/mg) |
|---------|--------------|------------------------|
| FG      | 18.40 ± 0.13b | 28.65 ± 0.16b          |
| OD      | 69.09 ± 0.58c | 27.78 ± 0.63b          |
| SD      | 72.90 ± 0.13d | 35.28 ± 0.69a          |
| FD      | 77.87 ± 1.01a | 29.84 ± 0.69b          |

FG: Fresh ginger; OD: Oven dried ginger; SD: Sun dried ginger; FD: Freeze dried ginger; DPPH: Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; FRAP: Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power. Values are Mean ± SEM; n = 4. *Values not sharing common superscript are significantly different (P < 0.05) using Tukey’s studentized range test.*
ginger (OD and SD). Similar results were seen where FD had showed higher potency in ferric reducing antioxidant power and percentage DPPH inhibition. However, at concentration of 50 mg/ml processed and fresh ginger had the same DPPH inhibition percentage. At higher concentration (100 mg/ml), FG was a better free radical scavenger compared to the processed ginger.
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