An Analytical Approach for the Selection of Technologies to Be Integrated in a Plug&play Façade Unit: The RenoZEB Case Study †
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Abstract: The nearly Zero Energy building (nZEB) renovation market is currently the key feature in the construction sector. RenoZEB aims to develop a systematic approach for retrofitting by assembling different technologies in a plug and play building envelope. This paper presents the methodology used to transform the RenoZEB concept in the design system. A multi-criteria decision matrix is used for the selection of the best façade technologies within the market while the analysis of the existing building conditions allows to develop a replicable approach for designing deep retrofitting intervention through a plug & play façade. The methodology appears to be a valuable support for the selection of technologies and allows to define a design guideline for the envelope.
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1. Introduction

The RenoZEB project [1] is a proposal to the call Horizon2020 [2] started in 2017 which involved 19 European partners. The aim of the RenoZEB project is to establish a systematic methodology for the deep retrofitting of energy for building stock markets by developing a BIM-based platform and tools for actors of the value chain. The project is referred to as the nearly Zero Energy Building (nZEB) renovation market by increasing property value through a new systemic approach for retrofitting. RenoZEB includes innovative components, processes, and decision-making methodologies to guide all value-chain actors in the nZEB building renovation by developing a cost-effective plug and play solution for a large-scale deep nZEB retrofitting scheme. The entire process is controlled by a digital platform which guarantees the replicability and the adaptability of the retrofitting intervention. In order to enable the methodology, a plug & play façade is composed by different macro technologies. The aim of this paper is to explain the method adopted to identify the best and suitable technologies for RenoZEB Plug&Play (P&P) façade solution by using an analytic approach for concept design and by analyzing the existing building conditions. The definition is to develop a prefabricated façade,
manufactured off-site with the purpose of reduce the time, the cost, and to improve the final quality of renovation. The method, described in the article, has been used to move from the RenoZEB P&P concept to the engineering design to achieve project purposes.

2. Methods

The RenoZEB P&P façade integrates multiple on-market technologies and the first analysis conducted focused on their selection to identify the best solution in line with the RenoZEB P&P façade concept. The analytic approach developed to design the RenoZEB P&P façade system is composed by the following steps:

1. **Technologies identification**—The identification of the best façade technologies found within the market and ranked into a multi-criteria decision matrix (MCDM) (Figure 1) regarding the RenoZEB P&P façade technological system and its components.

2. **Boundary conditions**—The analysis of the existing building boundary condition was conducted to define a baseline for the RenoZEB P&P façade application in building and consequently addressing its design.

![Figure 1. Multi-criteria decision matrix (MCDM).](image-url)
2.1. Technologies Identification

2.1.1. Multi-Criteria Decision Matrix (MCDM)

The MCDM is set on the requirements and outputs expected within the RenoZEB concept and it has been used to direct the choices related to the RenoZEB P&P façade, with the purpose to standardize the final solution towards the strategies the project aims at enhancing. The matrix is composed by 4 sections; the first column reports the main requirements and eventually sub-requirements in italic, in the columns there are the market competitors for each category and the relative evaluations. The fourth part is related to the last line with mathematical sums to the given scores. The evaluation corresponds to each RenoZEB solution and project requirement. The score system is described in the following table (Table 1).

Table 1. Evaluation system used in the multi-criteria decision analysis (MCDA).

| Correspondence                  | Degree  | Value | Symbol |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|--------|
| Not Applicable (N/A)            | 0       | ○     |        |
| Low                             | 1       | ●     |        |
| Medium                          | 2       | ●●    |        |
| High                            | 3       | ●●●   |        |

2.1.2. Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA)

Once the technologies are ranked, the matrix is analyzed in an analytic way to identify the component with the highest evaluation. This is a qualitative method which gives an overall score based on project requirements to each current market components. The selected components could be integrated in the RenoZEB P&P façade solution. Within the RenoZEB P&P façade, the existing building represents, with its structural and technological elements, the reference for the analysis and for this reason only façade systems and technologies which do not require the removal of existing building façade were evaluated. Different technological solutions for building retrofitting have been considered (double-skin façade, curtain wall with stick system and unitized systems [3]).

In line with the RenoZEB P&P façade concept, the technologies analyzed are:

- Prefabricated window module and roller shutter;
- Multifunctional insulation board (Figure 2);
- Ventilation devices;
- Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPV) and batteries;
- Building Integrated Solar Thermal (BIST);
- Hybrid solution for BIPV and BIST.

The matrix in is an example for the MCDA conducted for each technology:
2.2. Boundary Conditions

The second step of the methodology is to define the building boundary conditions as the building typology and structural system to develop a replicable approach for designing deep retrofitting intervention through the prefabricated RenoZEB P&P facade. Working on existing buildings through refurbishment activities in a less intrusive manner without affecting inner users’ daily activities (RenoZEB expected outputs) directs to consider those elements—technological, structural—that cannot be changed, representing fixed boundary conditions for the new envelope design. In this frame, window openings, structural elements (slabs, cavity walls), load capacity of structural elements, and technological characteristics of the envelope (material specifications, width, etc.) can be included. By analyzing the boundary conditions, it could be possible to identify the baselines to design the additional RenoZEB P&P façade units. Based on boundary conditions, the concept design uses these elements as guidelines to design the module that will constitute the RenoZEB envelope system. This baseline will be the parameter to be included as reference in the BIM model.
3. Results

This section resumes and concretizes the main aspects of the concept design. Despite MCDA offering an analytic analysis of components that could be installed and applied in RenoZEB envelope system, it is important to read these results considering the full view of the concept. It is central to cross the results of the MCDA of the envelope system with the MCDA for the components, to define the most valuable solutions for the project itself. The utilization of the MCDA as a standalone system could cause mismatches between solutions with problems in further concept design phases.

Considering the above for the main aspects of the RenoZEB concept, the role of industrialization and off-site manufacturing of the envelope system is central, and the prevailing analysis is the one of the technological systems of the envelope.

The results are listed in the following table (Table 2):

| Technological Component | RenoZEB Envelope System’s Component |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Prefabricated window module and roller shutter         | Compact SA                          |
| Multifunctional insulation board                        | Telescopic ducts                    |
| Ventilation devices                                        | Centralized ventilation system with air ducts in insulation layer |
| Building Integrated Photovoltaics (BIPV) and batteries   | BIPV crystalline Eventually hybrid BIPV-BIST |
| Building Integrated Solar Thermal Façade controller      | BIST with air FENER webtool          |
| Façade-integrated sensors                                 | Solar radiation and light sensors    |
| Fixing mechanisms                                        | TBD during system design on specific building structure |

Once the technological system and the components to be embedded in the RenoZEB envelope system are defined, the method defines key elements for the designing process of the façade module and the further façade system design. Six different phases were used in the adopted method (Figure 3):

1. Existing building—Identification of the building object of renovation.
2. Boundary conditions—This phase identifies the elements that are typical of the building of reference: building typology and structural system.
3. Definition of baseline—The baseline is defined by the opening’s dimensions and on the amount and location of fixing mechanisms with building structure.
4. Primary modules design—The first component to keep in consideration are the windows, thus the first primary modules are designed depending on the elements which do not change position during the renovation intervention.
5. Secondary modules design—The secondary modules are designing based on the primary one, and these modules could include the following components: PV components, solar thermal components, HVAC system’s ducts.
6. Modules aggregation—It is a crucial phase because it provides the reduction of installation time and on-site activities. The modules are joined and designed to guarantee the requested performances of the envelope system as well as the best transport optimization.

In addition, regarding the module design, a multifunctional insulation system depends on the expected result of the refurbishment. The solutions could be only insulation, ventilation devices, air ducts, wire for electrical system, PV battery and wire for electrical system, as well as thermal collector fluid ducts. The module is closed with a cladding system which could be a technical system (PV and solar thermal collector), or an external finishing material selected among different ones based on the cost, material appraisal, and local sources.
4. Conclusions

The analytics approach presented appears to be valuable for a qualitative definition of market products to be integrated in a façade plug&play solution as the one defined in RenoZEB. Indeed, the market and customer requirements can be well-defined with this method and their correspondence with products’ advantages, moving from a concept to design phase with a preliminary assessment of technologies in line with the final product outcome. In addition, the method defines a guideline for the design process for the Plug & Play façade. Despite this, the approach could be further implemented for quantitative analysis to better support the further engineering phase. Therefore, the qualitative approach is a limited characteristic of the methodology.
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