A Multicenter Study On Quality Of Life of The “Greater Patient” In Congenital Ichthyoses

Damiano Abeni
Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata IRCCS: Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico

Roberta Rotunno
Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù: Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesu

Andrea Diociaiuti (✉ andrea.diociaiuti@opbg.net)
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0879-9825

Simona Giancristoforo
Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù: Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesu

Domenico Bonamonte
University Hospital Polyclinic of Bari: Azienda Ospedaliero-Università Consorziale Policlinico di Bari

Carmelo Schepis
OASI Maria SS Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico per il Ritardo Mentale e l'Involuzione Celebrazione: Associazione OASI Maria SS

Iria Neri
University Hospital of Bologna Sant'Orsola-Malpighi Polyclinic: Azienda Ospedaliero-Università di Bologna Policlinico Sant'Orsola-Malpighi

Daniele Castiglia
Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata IRCCS: Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico

Giovanna Zambruno
Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù: Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesu

May El Hachem
Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù: Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesu

Research

Keywords: Rare skin disease, genodermatosis, autosomal recessive congenital ichthyosis, lamellar ichthyosis, congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma, quality of life, caregiver, Family Dermatology Life Quality Index, Family Burden of Ichthyosis

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-489315/v1
Abstract

Background: Autosomal recessive congenital ichthyoses (ARCI) are a genetically heterogeneous group of rare and chronic disorders characterized by generalized skin scaling and hyperkeratosis, erythroderma, and palmoplantar keratoderma. Additional features include ectropion, eclabium, ear deformities, foul-smell, joints contractures and walking problems, recurrent infections, as well as pruritus and pain. No curative therapy is available and disease care mainly relies on daily application of topical emollients and keratolytics to the whole-body surface. Altogether, disease signs and symptoms and treatment modalities have a major impact on quality of life of patients and their caregivers. However, very few studies have evaluated the family disease burden in ARCI.

Methods: We have performed an Italian multicenter cross-sectional study to assess the secondary disease impact on family members of pediatric and adult patients with ARCI, using a validated dermatology-specific questionnaire, the Family Dermatology Life Quality Index (FDLQI). Disease severity was assessed by the dermatologist in each center.

Results: Seventy-eight out of 82 patients who were accompanied by at least one family member filled the FDLQI. Forty-eight (61.5%) patients were aged less than 18 years. The mean FDLQI score was 10.3 (median 10), and the most affected dimensions were (i) time needed for care, (ii) extra-housework, and (iii) household expenditure. Higher total FDLQI score significantly correlated with more severe disease score (P= 0.003). Features associated with greater family burden included recurrent infections (P= 0.004), foul-smell (P=0.009), palmoplantar keratoderma (P= 0.041), but also presence of scales on the face (P= 0.039) and ear deformities (P= 0.016).

Conclusions: Our findings highlight the major socio-economic and psychological burden imposed by ARCI on the QoL of caregivers. In addition, they show that global evaluation of disease impact also on family members is an essential part of patient-reported outcomes. Finally, our data underline the need to develop specific measures for family support.

Background

Autosomal recessive congenital ichthyoses (ARCI) represent a genetically heterogeneous group of cornification disorders due to mutations in at least 10 different genes (TGM1, ALOX12B, ALOXE3, ABCA12, NIPAL4, CYP4F22, PNPLA1, CERS3, SULT2B1, SDR9C7) and an overall estimated prevalence of 16.2 cases per million inhabitants [1–3]. ARCI include two major clinical subtypes: lamellar ichthyosis (LI) and congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma (CIE). Clinical features are severe and highly disabling: at birth the profound alteration of skin barrier, due to a collodion baby or ichthyosiform erythroderma presentation, usually requires hospitalization in a neonatal intensive care unit [4]. Over time, the patients develop whole body skin scaling including palmoplantar keratoderma (PPK). LI patients present generalized thick, large, dark scales, while CIE is typified by small, thin, whitish desquamation on an erythrodermic skin (Fig. 1). Additional ARCI clinical features and symptoms comprise hypohidrosis with
heat intolerance, foul-smell, recurrent infections, pain, and pruritus [1, 4, 5]. Disease complications can cause functional damage including: (i) visual impairment due to ectropion and recurrent keratitis, (ii) hearing defects related to scaling in the external auditory canal, and (iii) walking problems consequent to joint contractures of the limbs. In addition, skin and extracutaneous manifestations profoundly alter patients’ body image and self-perception. Due to the chronic life-long nature of the disease, patients and caregivers are confronted with the need to daily apply to the whole body surface a number of topical treatments, specifically emollients, keratolytics, and retinoids, which may be combined with oral retinoids in more severe cases [4, 5]. These care modalities are merely symptomatic, as at present there is no curative treatment for this disease group.

ARCI manifestations and complications, together with the constant need for time-consuming care, and the relevant financial burden deriving from direct and indirect costs of ichthyosis management, profoundly impact quality of life (QoL), both of patients and their families [5, 6]. Thus, evaluation of the disease impact on family members, who are usually involved in care giving, should be part of an integrated care approach to congenital ichthyoses. However, only a single study has specifically assessed family disease burden in a small group of children suffering from different types of congenital ichthyoses [7]. More recently, a disease-specific tool to assess the impact of ichthyoses on family members, the Family Burden of Ichthyosis (FBI), has been developed and validated on ARCI caregivers in France [8]. An Italian version of the FBI is available [9].

We have performed an Italian multicenter cross-sectional study to assess the secondary disease impact on “the greater patient” [10], i.e., on the family members of pediatric and adult patients affected with ARCI, using the Family Dermatology Life Quality Index (FDLQI) [11], and compared the findings with those obtained using the FBI [12].

**Results**

Of 102 consecutive pediatric and adult patients seen in the involved centers, 8 refused to participate; 82 of the 94 consenting patients were accompanied by at least one family member/caregiver. Of these, 78 (95.1%) filled the FDLQI questionnaire. Among these patients, 48 were aged less than 18 years (61.5%), and the remaining ones were adults (Table 1). Sixty-eight out of 78 patients (87.2%) were affected with LI, and 10 had CIE (12.8%). Thirty-three patients (42.3%) underwent one consultation/year, 27 (34.6%) twice a year, and 18 (23.1%) ≥ 4 consultations/year. In addition, 56 caregivers (76.7%) reported loss of working days related to the patient disease and needs. Among these 78 patients the more frequent disease signs and complications were: palmoplantar keratoderma (N = 57, 73.1%), scales on face (N = 56, 71.8%), dark scales (N = 38, 48.7%), large scales (N = 37, 47.4%), followed by foul-smell (N = 28, 35.9%), ectropion (N = 25, 32.1%), fissures (N = 25, 32.1%), recurrent infections (N = 18, 23.1%), and external ear deformities (N = 16, 20.5%). Rare complications were eclabion (N = 5, 6.4%) and walking problem (N = 12, 15.4%). In addition, the vast majority of patients complained itching (N = 69, 88.5%). Molecular diagnosis was available in 45 patients (57.7%).
| Variable         | Level | N  | %   | Mean FDLQI score | Median FDLQI score | P-value* |
|------------------|-------|----|-----|------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Overall          |       | 78 | 100 | 10.3             | 10.0              |          |
| Sex              | Male  | 35 | 44.9| 11.0             | 10.0              |          |
|                  | Female| 43 | 55.1| 9.8              | 10.0              | 0.698    |
| Age (years)      | < 18  | 48 | 61.5| 10.0             | 10.0              |          |
|                  | ≥ 18  | 30 | 38.5| 10.8             | 10.5              | 0.837    |
| Clinical type    | LI§   | 68 | 87.2| 10.2             | 10.0              |          |
|                  | CIE^  | 10 | 12.8| 8.9              | 9.5               | 0.482    |
| Mutated gene     | Undetermined | 33 | 42.3| 9.5              | 9.0               |          |
|                  | ABCA12| 6  | 7.7 | 13.0             | 12.0              |          |
|                  | ALOX12B| 10 | 12.8| 11.7             | 12.0              |          |
|                  | ALOXE3| 2  | 2.6 | 6.5              | 6.5               |          |
|                  | CYP4F22| 5  | 6.4 | 7.6              | 8.0               |          |
|                  | NIPAL4| 4  | 5.1 | 6.2              | 7.5               |          |
|                  | SDR9C7| 0  | 0   | NA               | NA                |          |
|                  | TGM1  | 18 | 23.1| 10.3             | 10.0              | 0.163    |
| Signs/symptoms score | 0–5  | 56 | 71.8| 9.2              | 8.0               |          |
|                  | ≥ 6   | 22 | 28.2| 13.1             | 13.0              | 0.003    |
| Ear deformity    | NO    | 62 | 79.5| 9.7              | 8.5               |          |
|                  | YES   | 16 | 20.5| 12.8             | 13.0              | 0.016    |
| Ectropion        | NO    | 53 | 67.9| 9.9              | 9.0               |          |
|                  | YES   | 25 | 32.1| 11.3             | 12.0              | 0.128    |
| Eclabion         | NO    | 73 | 93.6| 10.1             | 9.0               |          |
|                  | YES   | 5  | 6.4 | 14.0             | 13.0              | 0.069    |

*Independent-samples Mann-Whitney U test for two samples, and Kruskal-Wallis 1-way ANOVA for 3 or more samples, P values < 0.05 are in bold; §LI: lamellar ichthyosis, includes 2 patients with harlequin ichthyosis; ^CIE: congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma; °PPK: palmoplantar keratoderma; totals may vary because of missing values.
| Variable         | Level | N  | %   | Mean FDLQI score | Median FDLQI score | P-value* |
|------------------|-------|----|-----|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| Thick scales     | NO    | 41 | 52.6| 9.9              | 9.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 37 | 47.4| 10.9             | 12.0               | 0.336    |
| Dark scales      | NO    | 40 | 51.3| 9.1              | 9.5                |          |
|                  | YES   | 38 | 48.7| 11.6             | 12.0               | 0.094    |
| Face scales      | NO    | 22 | 28.2| 8.5              | 7.5                |          |
|                  | YES   | 56 | 71.8| 11.0             | 11.0               | 0.039    |
| Fissures         | NO    | 53 | 67.9| 9.8              | 9.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 25 | 32.1| 11.4             | 11.0               | 0.209    |
| PPK“             | NO    | 21 | 26.9| 8.6              | 6.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 57 | 73.1| 11.0             | 11.0               | 0.041    |
| Itch             | NO    | 9  | 11.5| 9.6              | 11.0               |          |
|                  | YES   | 69 | 88.5| 10.4             | 10.0               | 0.796    |
| Recurrent infections | NO | 60 | 76.9| 9.5              | 8.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 18 | 23.1| 13.0             | 13.0               | 0.004    |
| Foul-smell       | NO    | 50 | 64.1| 9.2              | 8.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 28 | 35.9| 12.4             | 12.0               | 0.009    |
| Walking problems | NO    | 66 | 84.6| 10.0             | 9.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 12 | 15.4| 12.4             | 12.5               | 0.048    |
| Visit/year       | 1     | 33 | 42.3| 10.5             | 9.0                |          |
|                  | 2     | 27 | 34.6| 8.0              | 8.0                |          |
|                  | ≥ 4   | 18 | 23.1| 13.6             | 13.0               | 0.007    |
| Mother works°    | NO    | 39 | 55.7| 10.4             | 9.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 31 | 44.3| 10.0             | 10.0               | 0.781    |
| Father works°    | NO    | 9  | 13.2| 7.4              | 8.0                |          |
|                  | YES   | 59 | 86.8| 10.7             | 10.0               | 0.160    |

*Independent-samples Mann-Whitney U test for two samples, and Kruskal-Wallis 1-way ANOVA for 3 or more samples, P values < 0.05 are in bold; §LI: lamellar ichthyosis, includes 2 patients with harlequin ichthyosis; ^CIE: congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma; "PPK: palmoplantar keratoderma; °totals may vary because of missing values.
The mean FDLQI score for the entire sample was 10.3 (median = 10.0) (Table 1). A significantly higher burden, assessed by the total FDLQI score, was observed in family members of patients with a more severe disease score, as determined by the presence of six or more signs and symptoms (P = 0.003). Foul-smell (P = 0.009) and recurrent infections (P = 0.004) were the two signs that affected more severely the family QoL. Other single signs and complications significantly associated with greater caregiver burden were: visible scales on the face (P = 0.039), ear deformities (P = 0.016), PPK (P = 0.041), and walking problems (P = 0.048). Furthermore, dark body scales (P = 0.094) and eclabion (P = 0.069) approached statistical significance. Unexpectedly, pruritus did not significantly impact the family QoL (P = 0.796). Finally, FDLQI scores were significantly higher for family members of patients who required ≥ 4 visits per year (P = 0.007).

When considering single FDLQI items, the most affected dimensions were “time needed for care” (mean value for this item = 1.95), “extra house-work” (1.40), and “household expenditure” (1.36). Also when stratifying for the (Children) Dermatology Life Quality Index [(C)DLQI] total score (i.e., scores < 10 versus ≥ 10) [13], as assessed in the same patient group in a recent study [12], or for disease severity score (Fig. 2a, b), these three items were the most burdensome both for mildly/moderately and severely affected QoL, as well as for the severe and less severe levels of the clinical involvement. Looking at associations between single FDLQI items and disease severity, higher values for “emotional distress”, “physical well-being”, “extra house-work”, and “household expenditure” were significantly associated with the presence of ≥ 6 ichthyosis signs and/or complications (Fig. 2b). The correlation between the total (C)DLQI and total FDLQI scores was modest (Spearman’s correlation coefficient = 0.340). However, a major patient QoL impairment, as assessed by a (C)DLQI score ≥ 10, was significantly associated with higher FDLQI values for “extra house-work”, and “household expenditure” (Fig. 2a). Finally, the FDLQI total score showed a weak-to-moderate correlation with the FBI total score, with a Spearman’s correlation coefficient = 0.391 (Fig. 2c) [12].

**Discussion**

The present study evaluated the impact of ARCI, as a major and highly disabling group of congenital ichthyoses, on the QoL of family members by means of a widely used dermatology-specific questionnaire, the FDLQI. Interestingly, 30 out of 78 patients, whose family members/relatives filled the questionnaire, were adults: the unusually high number of adult patients accompanied by a relative attests...
the need for care and support in this disease. A second relevant aspect was the high response rate, indeed, the FDLQI was not filled in 16 cases, but 12 of them corresponded to adult patients who attended the consultation alone, resulting in an actual response rate of 95.1% (78/82).

The positive association between FDLQI total score and disease severity level, as well as with frequent visits (≥ 4/year) and with single serious ARCI complications, such as recurrent infections and walking problems, could have been expected. On the other hand, clinical features which do not substantially contribute to the actual severity of disease, but do affect the patient's body image (e.g., scales on face, dark scales, and ear deformities), were also related with a higher FDLQI total score. This finding indicates that patient esthetical damages may significantly impact on parents/caregivers, likely due to family concerns about emotional and social aspects of their affected relative life. In a recent study on the same patient group, there was no significant correlation between these signs and patient QoL, as evaluated by the (C)DLQI, while disease symptoms, in particular itch and pain, were associated with higher (C)DLQI scores [12].

It is interesting to note that itch, while being a major problem for the patients [14], does not seem to substantially affect the family members’ QoL. In addition, in the present study only a modest correlation was detected between the total (C)DLQI and FDLQI scores. Overall, these findings attest that major variations exist among factors impacting QoL of patients versus their familial caregivers. As disease manifestations affect differently the QoL of the patients and their families, a global evaluation of disease impact also on family members is an essential part of patient-reported outcomes.

No previous studies have been performed evaluating family disease burden in congenital ichthyoses using a dermatology-specific questionnaire. However, the three FDLQI items with the highest score (i.e., time for care, extra housework, and household expenditure) in our study were similar to those reported as more affected by Gänemo and co-workers who used an atopic dermatitis-specific questionnaire (Dermatitis Family Impact Questionnaire) on parents of 15 children with different types of congenital ichthyoses [7]. They reported that the highest scores were obtained for “effect on housework”, “helping with the child treatment”, and “expenditure”. Furthermore, our findings are in keeping with the results of a French National survey aimed at characterizing the specific impact of inherited ichthyoses on patient daily life and socio-economic aspects, using an ad hoc questionnaire [15]. A large majority of patients reported additional daily housework and time spent for skin care, as well as significant out-of-pocket expenditure linked to their disease [15]. Disease-related costs are even more relevant considering that the National Health System covers in France, like in Italy, expenses for care of rare diseases.

Of note, the use of FDLQI also enables comparisons with other rare skin diseases. Interestingly, the family disease burden was slightly greater in ARCI than in a severe subtype of a genetic skin fragility disease, epidermolysis bullosa (EB), recessive dystrophic EB (mean FDLQI in ARCI: 10.3 vs 9.8 in EB) which is characterized by generalized skin blistering and unremitting wounds [16]. For dystrophic EB, the most frequently reported problems were the time spent on looking after the patient, emotional distress, physical well-being, and increased household expenditure. Thus, several variables appear common to rare and chronic skin diseases involving the entire body surface with major esthetical damage and requiring daily, time-consuming care.
For another rare and chronic skin disease, hidradenitis suppurativa (HS), that presents with inflammatory nodules, abscesses, draining sinuses and scars mainly localized to the axillary, inguinal and inframammary folds and the perineum, the mean FDLQI for partners of patients was 8.7, indicating a moderate disease effect on their life [17]. Quality of partners’ life correlated significantly with disease severity, but not with other factors. The main item influencing partners’ QoL was increased daily household expenditure followed by additional housework, disrupted recreation and leisure activities and affected physical well-being [17]. The partly different factors affecting the partners’ QoL are in keeping with differences in characteristics and management of HS versus EB and ARCI.

Finally, it is important to note that the correlation between the FDLQI and the FBI total scores was, at best, moderate in our patient group. This suggests that the two instruments are not superimposable, but rather complementary, and provides evidence supporting their concurrent use.

**Conclusions**

Our findings highlight the major burden imposed by ARCI on the QoL of caregivers. Multiple dimensions of everyday life were heavily affected, in particular due to the time needed for care, extra housework, and household expenditure. The impact on QoL of family members was related not only to the severity of the disease and of most disabling disease complications, but also to the presence of signs mainly altering patients’ physical appearance. Altogether, our results emphasize the relevance to offer a psychological and socio-economic support to both patients and their family members, in order to guarantee an optimized global care. Finally, measuring the secondary disease impact on “the greater patient” should be part of patient-reported outcomes evaluated during clinical trials.

**Methods**

*Study design and population*

This cross-sectional study is part of a multicenter survey of patient-reported outcomes in ARCI, as previously described [12]. Briefly, consecutive pediatric and adult patients with a clinical diagnosis of ARCI were recruited between March 2018 and June 2019 in the Dermatological Units of five Italian reference centres for ichthyosis. Exclusion criteria were a diagnosis of a different ichthyosis form and/or refusal to give the consent to participate to the study. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of the coordinating (Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital) and participating centers, and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Participants or their legal guardians signed the written informed consent before entering the study. All information, both for the patients and the “greater patient”, were collected during the same clinical session. The ARCI type was determined or verified by an experienced dermatologist based on the clinical history and features, according to the ichthyosis classification [1]. Part of the patients had also received molecular genetic diagnosis.

*Outcome measures*
Clinical evaluation

Information was collected on patient demographics and history, family socio-economic and occupational status, frequency of disease-related consultations, consequences on school and working activities. Clinical severity was assessed using a score based on 19 signs and symptoms as previously described [12]. Information on molecular diagnosis and mutated gene was also collected when available.

Family Dermatology Life Quality Index (FDLQI)

The FDLQI is a dermatology-specific instrument, which measures the adverse impact on the health-related quality of life of the “greater patient” [11]. It consists of 10 items with possible answers on a 4-point Likert scale. The items concern the impact of a patient's skin disease on different aspects of the family caregivers' quality of life (emotional and physical wellbeing, relationships, social life, leisure activities, burden of care, impact on job/study, housework and expenditure). The time frame of reference for items concerns the last 1 month. The scores of individual items (0–3) are added to give a total score that ranges from 0 to 30; a higher score indicates greater impairment of QoL [11]. The validated Italian version of the FDLQI [16] was administered to the parents of all paediatric patients and also to caregivers of adult patients who attended the consultation accompanied.

Family Burden of Ichthyosis

The FBI is a disease-specific questionnaire of which the Italian version has been produced and validated [8, 9]. It was administered to ARCI patient family members, as previously described [12], together with the FDLQI.

Dermatology Life Quality Index and Children's Dermatology Life Quality Index ((C)DLQI)

The (C)DLQI are widely used dermatology-specific questionnaires to measure health-related QoL over the previous week [18, 19]. The DLQI is validated for patients ≥ 16 years old; the CDLQI can be used between ages 4 and 16 years. We used the scores of the validated Italian versions of the two questionnaires as potential predictors of burden for the “greater patient” [20]. As recommended by the authors, a cut-off of 10 defined more severe impairment of QoL.

Statistical analysis

For the description of the study population, categorical variables were described as number and percentages, and continuous variables as mean and standard deviation. Then, for each level of the variables of interest, mean and median values of the family-centred measures were computed. Differences in the self-reported scores were tested using the Mann-Whitney U test for two samples, and the Kruskal-Wallis 1-way ANOVA for three or more samples (e.g. mutated gene). The correlation between the disease severity score and the family-reported outcomes was studied using the Spearman's correlation coefficient. All analyses were performed with the statistical package IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0.0.1 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Age was grouped into two categories, i.e. 1–17 and ≥ 18 years of age. The total disease severity score, based on clinical signs and symptoms, was classified into two categories, i.e. 0–5 and ≥ 6. Finally, the number of disease-related consultations per
year was grouped into three categories: once a year, once every six months, and at least once every 3 months.

We compared the FDLQI scores with the scores obtained from the FBI questionnaire using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). The ICC is equivalent to the kappa statistic for continuous values. It has the advantage over the Pearson or Spearman correlation coefficient in that it is a true measure of agreement, combining information on both the correlation and the systematic differences between the readings. Only for this purpose, we have transformed the original FDLQI scores to a scale of 100 to obtain the same units of measurement as used by the FBI.
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Figures

Figure 1

A 2-year-old female with lamellar ichthyosis due to TGM1 mutation shows typical diffuse thick brownish adherent scales (a); a 3-year-old male with congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma due to ABCA12 mutation presents generalized erythroderma with fine whitish scales (b).
Figure 2

Mean and 95% confidence intervals for the scores of the 10 items of the Family Dermatology Life Quality Index (FDLQI) questionnaire stratified by the (Children) Dermatology Life Quality Index [(C)DLQI] total score: mild-moderate versus severe (a). Mean and 95% confidence interval, for the scores of the 10 items of the FDLQI questionnaire stratified by the clinical disease severity score: mild-moderate versus severe
(b). Scatterplot (with fit line and 95% confidence interval) of the correlation between FDLQI and Family Burden of Ichthyosis (FBI) scores (c).