Predictive Value of Systemic Immune Inflammation Index for Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation in Patients Undergoing Isolated Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting

İzole Koroner Arter Bypass Greft Uygulanan Hastalarda Postoperatif Atriyal Fibrilasyon için Sistemik İmmün Enflamasyon İndeksinin Öngörücü Değeri

ABSTRACT

Objective: Inflammation plays an important role in the initiation of postoperative atrial fibrillation (PoAF) in individuals undergoing cardiac surgery. Thus, this study aimed to investigate the predictive value of the systemic immune inflammation index (SII) to develop PoAF in such patients.

Methods: In total, 391 consecutive patients undergoing an isolated coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) were retrospectively analyzed. PoAF was defined according to the current guideline. The SII is determined using the following equation: neutrophil (N) x platelet (P) ÷ lymphocyte (L).

Results: The incidence of PoAF in the present study was 24% (n=97 cases). Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that the SII was an independent predictor of PoAF (Odds ratio: 1.002 95% confidence interval: (1.001-1.002), p<0.01). The optimal value of the SII in detecting PoAF was established by a receiver operating characteristic curve assessment, and it was >807.8 with 60.8% sensitivity and 80.9% specificity [area under the curve (AUC): 0.7107]. The AUC value of SII in detecting PoAF was much greater than the AUC values of both the neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and the platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR) (AUC: 0.6740 and AUC: 0.6426, respectively).

Conclusions: This study revealed that SII was an independent predictor of PoAF in patients who were operated on for isolated CABG. Additionally, SII had a better discriminative ability for PoAF compared to either NLR or PLR among these cases.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Enflamasyon, kalp cerrahisi olan hastalarda postoperatif atriyal fibrilasyon (PoAF) başlangıcında önemli bir rol oynadığından, bu çalışma bu tür hastalarda PoAF gelişimi için sistemik immün enflamasyon indeksinin (SII) öngörücü değeri arastırma amaci ile yapıldı.

Yöntemler: Toplantıda, izole bir koroner arter bypass greft (KABG) uygulanan 391 arıtır hastalık geriye dönük olarak analiz edildi. PoAF mevcut kılavuzu göre tanımlanmıştır. SII nötrofiller (N) x trombositler (P) ÷ lenfositler (L) formülü kullanılarak belirlendi.

Bulgular: Bu çalışmada PoAF insidansı %24'tür (n=97 olgu). Çok değişkenli lojistik regresyon analizi, SII'nin PoAF'ın bağımsız bir öngörücü olduğu olduğunu ortaya çıkarmıştır (olasılık oran: 995 güven aralığı: 1.002 (1.001-1.002), p<0.01). PoAF tespitinde SII'nin optimal değeri, alıcı işlem karakteristik eğri değerlendirmesi ile
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ASABEH
INTRODUCTION

Postoperative atrial fibrillation (PoAF) is the most commonly observed supraventricular arrhythmia following cardiovascular surgery, with an estimated incidence of 20%-40%. It typically occurs on postoperative 2-4 days and often spontaneously terminates without any interventions. Prior studies showed that the development of PoAF after cardiac surgery was linked with longer hospitalization, higher risk of thromboembolic events, and a slightly increased risk of death during the index hospitalization. Furthermore, the occurrence of PoAF has been demonstrated to be significantly related to an increased risk of stroke in the long-term follow-up. The exact mechanisms of PoAF following cardiac surgery are complex and incompletely defined; however, inflammation, sympathetic activation, and cardiac ischemia are shown to induce and maintain PoAF. Particularly, systemic inflammation had previously been shown to predict the onset and recurrence of PoAF in patients who had undergone cardiac surgery, including coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG).

The systemic immune inflammation index (SII), which is developed to assess patients’ inflammatory state, is determined using the following equation: neutrophil (N) × platelet (P) ÷ lymphocyte (L). Previously, cancer cases with higher SII were shown to have poor outcomes and increased mortality. Additionally, the predictive value of this index has been examined in predicting deaths in cases with acute and chronic coronary syndromes (CCS). Nevertheless, the current literature reported insufficient data on the usefulness of SII in predicting the PoAF occurrence in patients after cardiac surgery, especially those undergoing an isolated CABG. Systemic inflammation plays an important role in the initiation of PoAF in patients following cardiac surgery, thus, this study mainly aimed to explore the predictive accuracy of this index for PoAF occurrence in such patients.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Study Population

Firstly, consecutive cases with symptomatic coronary artery disease that underwent an isolated CABG at our facility between January 2018 and January 2021 were screened. Then, those who had acute and chronic infections, chronic inflammatory or autoimmune dysfunction, liver problems, malignancy, and significant valvular heart diseases as well as those previously diagnosed with AF were excluded. Therefore, 391 patients undergoing an isolated CABG were analyzed in this study. Systemic inflammation plays an important role in the initiation of PoAF in patients following cardiac surgery, thus, this study mainly aimed to explore the predictive accuracy of this index for PoAF occurrence in such patients.

SII and Transthoracic Echocardiography Examination

For each case, venous samples were collected from the antecubital vein before cardiac surgery. An auto-analyzer was used to assess all blood cell counts, including neutrophils, lymphocytes, and platelets. The SII was computed as P × N ÷ L ratio.
Transthoracic echocardiography was performed for each case included in the study. The left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF) was determined based on the modified Simpson method. Additionally, the left anteroposterior atrial diameter (LAPd) was determined from the parasternal long-axis. The LA volume index (LAVI) was computed by dividing the volume of the LA by the surface body area.

**Statistical Analysis**

All statistical calculations were performed using SAS University Edition (Copyright © 2015, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normality of data. Quantitative variables with a normal distribution were reported as mean (standard deviation), and those without a normal distribution were expressed as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages. The independent Student’s t-test and Mann-Whitney U tests were used for inter-group comparisons of continuous variables. The chi-square test or Fisher’s Exact test was used to compare categorical variables, as appropriate. Univariate logistic regression analysis was used to determine the predictors of PoAF. A multivariable logistic regression analysis was performed with variables that exhibit statistical significance in univariate logistic regression (p<0.05). To avoid multicollinearity, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet to lymphocyte ratio (PLR), neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet were excluded from the multivariable logistic regression model. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to obtain the best SII cutoff value for predicting PoAF. The Bayesian information criterion (BIC), 2 Log likelihood (-2LL), Akaike information criterion (AIC), and area under the curve (AUC) were used to assess the comparisons of the abilities of variables to predict PoAF. Lower levels of AIC, BIC, and -2LL indicate a better model fit, and a high AUC value indicates effective discrimination ability for the diagnostic prediction. A 2-sided p-value of >0.05 was considered significant, and the confidence interval was set at 95%.

**RESULTS**

The research population was grouped into two categories as patients who developed PoAF and those who did not. The incidence of PoAF in the present study was 24% (n=97 cases). Baseline features and previous medications of all cases are displayed in Table 1. Both groups were similar in terms of comorbidities and previous medications. Additionally, LVEF, LAPd, and LAVI were not different in both groups. Table 2 presents the data of laboratory results and intraoperative data of each case that is included in the study. Patients who developed PoAF had higher neutrophil, monocyte count, C-reactive protein (CRP), NLR, PLR, and SII but lower lymphocyte counts. Other laboratory data were similar between the groups. Both groups had similar intraoperative data, including aortic cross-clamp time, number of distal anastomoses, LIMA graft use, CPB time, drainage amount, intubation time, the use of inotropic support, and the use of blood products. As expected, patients with PoAF had a longer stay in the

### Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of the patients.

|                         | PoAF (+) (n=97) | PoAF (-) (n=294) | p-value |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Age, years              | 58.8±11.2      | 57.1±12.9       | 0.261  |
| Male, n (%)             | 70 (72.2)      | 229 (77.9)      | 0.249  |
| Hypertension, n (%)     | 34 (35)        | 98 (33.3)       | 0.756  |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)| 31 (31.9)      | 81 (27.6)       | 0.405  |
| Hyperlipidemia, n (%)   | 34 (35)        | 92 (31.3)       | 0.492  |
| Smoking, n (%)          | 43 (44.3)      | 118 (40.1)      | 0.467  |
| Body mass index, kg/m²  | 23.6 (20.9-26.8)| 23.4 (21.2-26.1)| 0.511  |
| Cerebrovascular disease, n (%) | 8 (8.3) | 21 (7.1) | 0.719  |
| Peripheral artery disease, n (%) | 13 (13.4) | 26 (8.8) | 0.194  |
| COPD, n (%)             | 16 (16.5)      | 32 (10.9)       | 0.144  |
| LVEF, %                 | 50 (45-55)     | 50 (45-60)      | 0.109  |
| Heart rate, bpm         | 69 (62-77)     | 70 (65-76)      | 0.103  |
| Left atrium diameter, mm | 38 (35-41)   | 39 (36-41)      | 0.191  |
| LAVI, mL/m²             | 27.3 (3.2)     | 26.7 (2.8)      | 0.08   |

### Previous medications

|                         |              |               |        |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------|
| Acetylsalicylic acid, n (%) | 45 (46.4)  | 149 (50.7)    | 0.464 |
| Beta-blocker, n (%)      | 41 (42.3)    | 131 (44.6)    | 0.694 |
| Calcium channel blocker, n (%) | 12 (12.4) | 39 (13.3)    | 0.821 |
| ACE inhibitor, n (%)     | 26 (26.8)    | 84 (28.6)     | 0.737 |
| Statin, n (%)            | 24 (24.7)    | 61 (20.8)     | 0.408 |

PoAF: Postoperative atrial fibrillation, COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, LVEF: Left ventricle ejection fraction, LAVI: Left anteroposterior volume index, ACE: Angiotensin-converting enzyme.
intensive care unit (ICU). Additionally, the SYNTAX score was similar between the groups.

Both univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were applied to identify the determinants of PoAF. LVEF, neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, monocyte count, SII, CRP, and ICU stay were determinants of PoAF in univariable analysis. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that monocyte count, SII (odds ratio: 1.002 (1.001-1.002), p<0.01), CRP, and ICU stay were independent predictors for PoAF (Table 3). As shown in Table 4, SII had lower AIC, BIC, and -2LL, and higher AUC values.

The ROC curve assessment revealed that the optimal value of the SII level in detecting PoAF was >807.8 with 60.8% sensitivity and 80.9% specificity (AUC: 0.7107) (Figure 1). Of note, the AUC value of SII in detecting PoAF was much higher than the AUC values of the NLR and PLR (AUC: 0.6740 vs. AUC: 0.6426, respectively). According to a box-plot assessment, individuals with PoAF had considerably greater SII than those without PoAF (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

This study revealed that SII was a significant and independent predictor of PoAF in patients who were

| Table 2. Laboratory and intraoperative data of all cases. |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Laboratory data**                          | PoAF (+) (n=97) | PoAF (-) (n=294) | p-value |
| Hemoglobin, g/L                               | 14.3 (1.9)     | 14.4 (1.7)     | 0.529   |
| Platelet count, µx10³/µL                       | 250.8 (62.4)   | 239.5 (62.6)   | 0.124   |
| Neutrophil count, µx10³/µL                     | 5.7 (4.4-7.6)  | 4.9 (3.6-6.5)  | <0.001  |
| Lymphocyte count, µx10³/µL                     | 1.9 (1.4-2.3)  | 2.2 (1.6-2.9)  | <0.001  |
| Monocyte count, µx10³/µL                       | 0.6 (0.4-0.8)  | 0.5 (0.4-0.7)  | <0.001  |
| Creatinine, mg/dL                              | 0.9 (0.7-1)    | 0.8 (0.7-1)    | 0.089   |
| Potassium, mEq/L                               | 4.1 (3.9-4.6)  | 4.1 (3.8-4.5)  | 0.176   |
| Magnesium, mEq/L                               | 2.1 (0.4)      | 2.2 (0.5)      | 0.358   |
| CRP, mg/dL                                     | 2.6 (2.3-3.5)  | 2.3 (1.4-3.6)  | <0.001  |
| LDL, mg/dL                                     | 114 (95-142)   | 113 (95-139)   | 0.730   |
| HDL, mg/dL                                     | 32 (27-41)     | (26-36)        | 0.087   |
| Triglyceride, mg/dL                            | 134 (95-184)   | 153 (108-192)  | 0.128   |
| NLR                                            | 3.8 (2-4.7)    | 2.1 (1.6-2.8)  | <0.001  |
| PLR                                            | 126.7 (100-206.9) | 105.6 (80.4-147.7) | <0.001 |
| SII                                            | 908 (455-1435) | 486 (353-716)  | <0.001  |

**Intraoperative findings**

| Aortic cross-clamp time, min                   | 60 (55-64)     | 59 (49-64)     | 0.125   |
| Number of distal anastomoses, n (IQR)          | 3 (2-3)        | 3 (2-3)        | 0.663   |
| LIMA graft use, n (%)                          | 93 (95.9)      | 287 (97.6)     | 0.477   |
| CPB time, min                                  | 80 (80-100)    | 80 (65-100)    | 0.218   |
| Amount of drainage, mL                         | 700 (400-1000) | 700 (440-930)  | 0.751   |
| Intubation time, h                             | 7 (5-10)       | 7 (5-10)       | 0.796   |
| Stay in the ICU, h                             | 40 (28-52)     | 37 (25-52)     | 0.025   |
| Use of inotropic support, n (%)                | 12 (12.4)      | 23 (7.8)       | 0.174   |
| Use of blood products, n (%)                   | 27 (27.8)      | 58 (19.7)      | 0.093   |
| SYNTAX score                                   | 23.3 (6.5)     | 22.5 (5.1)     | 0.246   |

PoAF: Postoperative atrial fibrillation, CRP: C-reactive protein, LDL: Low-density lipoprotein, HDL: High-density lipoprotein, NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet to lymphocyte ratio, SII: Systemic immune inflammation index, IQR: Interquartile range, LIMA: Left internal mammary artery, CPB: Cardiopulmonary bypass, ICU: Intensive care unit.
operated on for isolated CABG. Additionally, elevated preoperative SII had a better effective discriminative ability for PoAF compared to either NLR or PLR among these cases.

PoAF is the most commonly observed arrhythmia complication that develops after cardiovascular surgery. The incidence of such complication is slightly higher after valve-replacement surgery; however, it occurs in approximately 30% of cases undergoing CABG. Allowing with the current literature, the incidence of PoAF in our study was 24.1%\(^3\). Current data indicates that PoAF does slightly prolong the hospital stay duration; however, it is less likely to have an impact on the survival of cases compared with chronic AF\(^4\). Similarly, in the present investigation, patients who developed PoAF were observed to have longer ICU stays than those who did not. In the current knowledge, growing evidence shows that inflammation plays a crucial role both in the onset and maintenance

### Table 3. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis for PoAF predictors.

|                      | Univariable | Multivariable |
|----------------------|-------------|---------------|
|                      | OR (95% CI) | p-value | OR (95% CI) | p-value |
| LAVI                 | 1.074 (0.992-1.163) | 0.078 | - | - |
| LVEF                 | 0.970 (0.944-0.997) | 0.031 | - | - |
| Neutrophil           | 1.229 (1.096-1.381) | <0.001 | - | - |
| Lymphocyte           | 0.502 (0.362-0.678) | <0.001 | - | - |
| Monocyte             | 1.024 (1.012-1.037) | <0.001 | 1.017 (1.004-1.031) | 0.011 |
| NLR                  | 1.381 (1.221-1.573) | <0.001 | - | - |
| PLR                  | 1.008 (1.004-1.011) | <0.001 | - | - |
| SII                  | 1.002 (1.001-1.002) | <0.001 | 1.002 (1.001-1.002) | <0.001 |
| CRP                  | 1.360 (1.112-1.668) | 0.003 | 1.299 (1.035-1.635) | 0.025 |
| SYNTAX score         | 1.029 (0.986-1.073) | 0.187 | - | - |
| Stay in the ICU      | 1.016 (1.000-1.032) | 0.047 | 1.018 (1.000-1.037) | 0.047 |

All clinically relevant parameters were included in the model. PoAF: Postoperative atrial fibrillation, OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval, LVEF: Left ventricle ejection fraction, LAVI: Left anteroposterior volume index, CRP: C-reactive protein, NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet to lymphocyte ratio, SII: Systemic immune inflammation index, ICU: Intensive care unit

### Table 4. Diagnostic performance comparison of PoAF predictors.

|       | AIC  | BIC  | AUC  | -2LL |
|-------|------|------|------|------|
| NLR   | 437.8 | 445.7 | 0.674 | 433.8 |
| PLR   | 455.1 | 463.1 | 0.643 | 451.1 |
| SII   | 416.6 | 424.6 | 0.711 | 412.6 |

PoAF: Postoperative atrial fibrillation, NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet to lymphocyte ratio, SII: Systemic immune inflammation index, AIC: Akaike information criterion, BIC: Bayesian information criterion, AUC: Area under the curve, -2LL: 2 Log likelihood

**Figure 1.** Receiver operating characteristics curve comparisons of systemic immune inflammation index, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, and platelet to lymphocyte ratio.

SII: Systemic immune inflammation index, NLR: Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio, PLR: Platelet to lymphocyte ratio, ROC: Receiver operating characteristic
of PoAF after cardiovascular surgery\(^6,7\). Previously, several well-known markers of inflammation, such as CRP and interleukin-6, were linked with an increased risk of PoAF following cardiac surgery\(^15,16\). Similar to these previous studies, an independent relationship was observed between the CRP and PoAF in our study. Hence, it can be concluded that inflammatory markers can be used to determine the risk of PoAF in patients undergoing CABG. A previous study demonstrated that a high SYNTAX score was linked with more frequent PoAF in patients undergoing isolated on-pump CABG\(^9\). However, the SYNTAX score was not an independent predictor of PoAF in our study since our study population included only patients undergoing isolated on-pump CABG, in whom the SYNTAX score was high. Our study revealed that both LA diameters and LAVI were comparable between the groups. Patients with significant valvular heart disease and those previously diagnosed with AF were eliminated, thus these findings might be expected.

The SII is an innovative inflammatory biomarker that combines neutrophil, lymphocyte, and platelet counts to reflect the overall inflammatory status of the body. The predictive value of this index has been examined for poor outcomes in individuals who suffer from a variety of cancers\(^10,18\). Additionally, a prior investigation by Seo et al.\(^19\) revealed the predictive accuracy of SII for mortality in chronic heart failure cases. Moreover, some previous studies compared the prognostic significance of this index to its components, including NLR or PLR.

For example, in a recent investigation by Erdoğan et al.\(^20\), SII levels have been shown to hemodynamically predict severe coronary obstruction better than either NLR or PLR in patients with the CCS. Another study by Yang et al.\(^21\) revealed that SII predicted major cardiovascular events better than traditional risk factors in CCS cases after the intervention. However, the current knowledge reported no data about the use of SII in predicting PoAF in patients who underwent an isolated CABG. To our knowledge, our study is the first to evaluate SII in predicting PoAF in patients after CABG and revealed that SII was independently associated with PoAF in patients undergoing isolated CABG. Remarkably, SII was also observed to have a higher AUC value for PoAF compared to the AUC value of either NLR or PLR.

Our study results are considered valuable in daily clinical practice. As a simple and readily available prognostic biomarker, SII can be easily obtained from routine complete blood tests without additional costs. Preoperative SII level is believed to be used in assisting the clinicians in identifying high-risk patients and making better medical decisions in clinical practice.

**Study Limitations**

This study has some major limitations. First, this study had a retrospective design. Second, the study included a limited number of cases despite the adequate sample size in the power analysis. Third, multivariable analysis was conducted to determine independent predictors; however, some unmeasured confounders might be present, which might affect the study results. Fourth, spot laboratory data was used to determine the relationship between PoAF and SII. Unfortunately, data regarding the post-op SII was not collected. Fifth, data regarding the in-hospital mortality and the choice of arrhythmic medications were not collected. Finally, more prospective studies are warranted to validate the link between PoAF and SII.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present study shows that preoperative SII can be used in predicting PoAF in patients undergoing an isolated CABG.
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