Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation Is Regulated by SET through Interactions with p53 and β-Catenin
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SUMMARY

The multifunctional histone chaperone, SET, is essential for embryonic development in the mouse. Previously, we identified SET as a factor that is rapidly downregulated during embryonic stem cell (ESC) differentiation, suggesting a possible role in the maintenance of pluripotency. Here, we explore SET’s function in early differentiation. Using immunoprecipitation coupled with protein quantitation by LC-MS/MS, we uncover factors and complexes, including p53 and β-catenin, by which SET regulates lineage specification. Knockdown for P53 in SET-knockout (KO) ESCs partially rescues lineage marker misregulation during differentiation. Paradoxically, SET-KO ESCs show increased expression of several Wnt target genes despite reduced levels of active β-catenin. Further analysis of RNA sequencing datasets hints at a co-regulatory relationship between SET and TCF proteins, terminal effectors of Wnt signaling. Overall, we discover a role for both P53 and β-catenin in SET-regulated early differentiation and raise a hypothesis for SET function at the β-catenin-TCF regulatory axis.

INTRODUCTION

To develop into the complete adult organism, lineage specification and restriction events occur to direct differentiation of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) into specific cell types. Three days after fertilization in mouse, the inner cell mass of the pre-implantation blastocyst segregates into the hypoblast and pluripotent epiblast stem cells, the latter possessing the dual ability to self-renew and differentiate into any somatic cell type (Schlesinger and Meshorer, 2019). Understanding early embryonic development involves deciphering the journey taken by an epiblast stem cell toward one of three germ layers: mesoderm, ectoderm, and endoderm (Gadue et al., 2005). Although this process remains cryptic, some progress has been made, owing to ESC culturing techniques and the ability to control their pluripotent state in vitro (Evans and Kaufman, 1981; Murry and Keller, 2008; Ying et al., 2003, 2008). In the last two decades, many of the participants that maintain the balance between ESC self-renewal and differentiation have been revealed; in particular, core pluripotency factors, including NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2 (Boyer et al., 2005) in concert with other transcription factors (Ivanova et al., 2006; Thomson et al., 2011), epigenetic modifiers (Ang et al., 2011; Liang and Zhang, 2013; Loh et al., 2007), chromatin remodelers (Alajem et al., 2015; Gaspar-Maia et al., 2009; Gatchalian et al., 2018), signaling cascades (Lee et al., 2012; Niwa et al., 2009), and overall three-dimensional chromatin structure (Dixon et al., 2015; Ricci et al., 2015).

Earlier attempts in our lab to screen for pluripotency regulators in mouse ESCs (mESCs) identified SET (TAF-I/IPP2A) as a protein that was significantly downregulated during ESC differentiation (Edupuganti et al., 2017; Harikumar et al., 2017). A closer look revealed that only one of two SET isoforms, SETβ, decreases during differentiation, whereas its counterpart, SETα, produced from an alternative promoter, is increased (Edupuganti et al., 2017). SET has been found to participate in different cellular processes, including cell-cycle regulation (Canela et al., 2003; Estanyol et al., 1999), cell migration (Klooster et al., 2007), DNA damage repair (Kalousi et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2014; Mandemaker et al., 2020), linker histone H1 incorporation (Kato et al., 2011), and as an inhibitor of phosphatase 2A (Li et al., 1996). Furthermore, we have previously demonstrated SET’s involvement in pluripotency and early neuronal differentiation: SET contributes to the hyperdynamic association of histone H1 with chromatin in ESCs, and SET deletion causes ESCs to favor an endoderm lineage instead of neuroectoderm (Edupuganti et al., 2017). Despite these findings, a mechanistic role of how SET regulates early differentiation events remains unclear. It is likely that SET has numerous functions that act in concert to regulate stem cell identity or maintain a pluripotent chromatin state.

In this study, we investigate the effect of SET-knockout (KO) in mESCs. We find that SET-KO leads to premature differentiation, proliferation defects, and misregulation...
of developmental genes during differentiation. Next, following immunoprecipitation pull-down of the SET complex, we performed individual protein quantitation by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) to identify additional binding partners of SET in ESCs. Among the putative SET-interacting proteins, we validate a previously characterized interaction of SET with p53 (Wang et al., 2016), and further reveal that SET binds to β-catenin.

Despite its well-known roles in the regulation of multiple cellular processes, including DNA repair, apoptosis, cell cycle, and senescence (Ko and Prives, 1996; Riley et al., 2008), the function of p53 in ESCs remains largely elusive. Several studies have proposed a role for p53 in somatic cell reprogramming and pluripotency, as well as in ESC self-renewal (Hong et al., 2009; Kawamura et al., 2009; Maríón et al., 2009; Sarig et al., 2010; Utikal et al., 2009). SET has been reported to inhibit p53 transcriptional activity under normal physiological conditions, an inhibition that is relieved upon stress-induced CTD acetylation of p53. p53 mutants mimicking an acetylated state (p53KR) display strong interaction toward SET, while other mutants mimicking the acetylated state (p53KQ) fail to interact with SET (Wang et al., 2016). Moreover, increased expression of p53 targets, p21 and PUMA, was observed in whole-cell spleen extracts of SET-KO mice (Kon et al., 2019). Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that SET might play a similar role in pluripotent cells and inhibit p53 transcriptional activity.

β-Catenin (CTNNB1) was originally known for its role in establishing cell-cell adhesion, and more recently in regulating pluripotency (Huelsken and Birchmeier, 2001; Moon et al., 2002; Wodarz and Nusse, 1998). Importantly, β-catenin is also the primary mediator of the evolutionarily conserved canonical Wnt signaling pathway. Precisely how the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway contributes to the maintenance of ESC properties has been the subject of debate within the scientific community. Several studies have found that the pathway is essential for self-renewal (Sato et al., 2004; ten Berge et al., 2011), while others suggest that the activation of the pathway induces mesodermal and/or endodermal differentiation (Bakre et al., 2007; Davidson et al., 2012; Lindsay et al., 2006).

As both p53 and β-catenin are themselves implicated in pluripotency and lineage choice regulation, we chose to investigate their relationship with SET and examine their involvement in early differentiation. To this end, we generated small hairpin RNA (shRNA) knockdown (KD) cell lines for both p53 and β-catenin in a SET-KO background and investigate their involvement in SET-KO-related defects in ESCs. Together, our findings suggest that SET plays a vital role in ESCs via its interaction with p53 and β-catenin and prevents lineage skewing during early differentiation.

**RESULTS**

**Loss of SET Leads to a Premature Differentiation Phenotype**

To investigate the role of SET in ESC differentiation, we used CRISPR-Cas9 to generate SET-KO mESCs as described previously (Edupuganti et al., 2017). We ruled out potential off-target effects by using different single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting two different exonic regions and selected one KO clone from each system. We confirmed the KO both at the RNA and the protein level (Figure S1A). As SET-KD ESCs showed reduced cell proliferation (Edupuganti et al., 2017), we first examined if this was also true for SET-KO cells. Consistent with previous results, SET-KO ESCs showed a reduced staining for alkaline phosphatase (Figures 1A and 1B) and a significant decrease in cell proliferation rates (Figure 1C). We then examined the morphological differences associated with SET-KO ESCs. Unexpectedly, a fraction of SET-KO ESC colonies exhibited partially differentiated morphology (Figure 1D). Western blot for pluripotency markers, NANOG and OCT4, revealed a slight reduction in protein levels for both factors (Figures 1E and S1E).

To investigate this phenomenon, we performed RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) on SET-KO and wild-type (WT) ESCs. SET-KO ESCs displayed 754 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) compared with WT (Figure S2A). To ensure that the precocious phenotype was not simply due to mis-regulated SET expression, we further generated a doxycycline (Dox)-inducible SETα cell line in KH2 ESCs (see Supplemental Experimental Procedures) and performed RNA-seq following overexpression of SETα (1 μg/ml Dox, 24 h) (Figure S1B). SET-over-expression (OE) ESCs had 605 fewer DEGs than SET-KO when compared with WT ESCs (Figure S2A). Of the 13 genes we chose as markers of pluripotency, almost all were downregulated in SET-KO cells when compared with WT, with the exception of Lin28, and supporting the role of SET in this response, the opposite trend was seen in SET-OE ESCs for the same gene set (Figure 1F).

Among our chosen pluripotency markers, LIN28, an RNA-binding protein, was upregulated following SET-KO (Figure 1F). LIN28 is expressed at low amounts in naive ESCs and has been shown to facilitate the transition from the “naive” to the “primed” pluripotent state (Zhang et al., 2016). Furthermore, expression of FGF5, a marker of primed pluripotency, was slightly upregulated in SET-KO ESCs, although this was non-significant (p = 0.08) (Figure S1D). With this in mind, to determine the extent to
which SET depletion affects pluripotency, we generated a list of 2i (“ground-state” naive)-, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (“confused” naive)-, and epiblast stem cell (EpiSC) (primed)-specific genes using data from transcriptomic analyses comparing culture conditions of mESCs (Ghimire et al., 2018) (Table S1). Using these lists of genes, we then generated gene expression histograms of log2-fold changes (LFC) in SET-KO and SET-OE mESCs compared with WT controls. Based on these expression criteria, SET-KO ESCs show a shift toward a primed or a more differentiated state, supporting the SET-KO premature differentiation phenotypes (Figures 1A and 1D). Along the same lines, considering the LFC of genes in SET-KO relative to WT ESCs, the mean LFC of LIF-specific genes is significantly higher than of 2i-specific genes (p < 0.001) (Figure 1G). This trend is also evident when comparing EpiSC- and LIF-specific genes; SET-KO ESCs show a higher LFC of genes specific to the more differentiated epiblast state (p < 0.001) (Figure S2B). On average, 2i-specific genes were not significantly differentially expressed in SET-KO ESCs but were slightly decreased in SET-OE ESCs (p < 0.001) (Figure 1G). We note that, since the cells were cultured in LIF/serum, we cannot exclude that some SET-related changes for 2i-specific gene expression may have been suppressed as a...
result of the relatively heterogeneous culture conditions (Schlesinger and Meshorer, 2019).

Interestingly, a significant number of downregulated DEGs following SET-KO are target genes of transcription factors involved in pluripotency regulation (OCT4, SOX2, NANOG, KLF4, and TCF3) (Figure 1H; Table S2). In fact, of the four most significant putative regulatory transcription factors of SET-KO DEGs, the majority of each set of genes overlaps with at least one other pluripotency factor (Figure 1I). Furthermore, the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis of DEGs in SET-KO ESCs reveals a significant enrichment of factors relating to “signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells” (Figures S5A and S5B). Taken together, these observations point to a role for SET in maintaining pluripotent regulatory gene networks, particularly of the naive pluripotent state.

SET-KO Disrupts Lineage Specification following Differentiation

Our RNA-seq analysis indicated that almost two-thirds of DEGs were upregulated following SET-KO (Figure S2A). KEGG pathway analysis of only upregulated DEGs revealed an enrichment of several morphogenetic and structural related pathways, including “focal adhesion,” “ECM-receptor interaction,” and “regulation of actin cytoskeleton” (Figure S5B, top). Furthermore, included in the top ten enriched gene ontology (GO) terms for biological processes from analysis of SET-KO DEGs were “embryonic axis specification” and “heterochromatin organization,” which are fundamental processes that occur early in embryonic development—particularly during the naive-to-primed pluripotency transition (Boroviak and Nichols, 2014; Probst and Almouzni, 2008). Indeed, almost a quarter (23/100) of the top 100 GO terms featured the words “development” or “differentiation” (Table S3). These results suggested that activation of lineage specifying cellular processes may be occurring in response to loss of SET.

The observation that loss of SET leads to exit from pluripotency and an upregulation of developmental processes prompted us to determine the impact of SET depletion on lineage-specific genes. To test this, we performed RNA-seq and qPCR, and quantified changes in gene expression of markers from all three germ lineages in undifferentiated ESCs (Figure 2A). Consistent with the precocious phenotypic abnormalities, several endoderm/primitive endoderm lineage markers were significantly upregulated, along with a slight upregulation of mesodermal markers (Figure 2B). In contrast, ectodermal markers displayed marginal changes (Figure 2B). These data demonstrate that loss of SET leads to the upregulation of specific differentiation genes. We then examined the effect of SET-KO following retinoic acid (RA) differentiation (4d). Several markers for mesodermal and ectodermal lineages, which were upregulated in undifferentiated KO cells, including Col1a1, Nkx2-5, and

Figure 2. SET-KO Disrupts Lineage Specification Following Differentiation

(A) Heatmap showing expression of lineage-specific markers relative to WT following RNA-seq analysis of SET-KO ESCs before and after 4 days of RA differentiation (values scaled by row).

(B) qPCR analysis showing the relative expression of lineage markers for endoderm, mesoderm, and ectoderm in WT and SET-KO ESCs. Expression levels were normalized to GAPDH (n = 3 independent biological experiments; error bars represent ±SEM).

(C) Same as (B) after 4 days of RA differentiation.
Nodal (Figure 2B), reverted to normal levels in the differentiated cells (Figure 2C). Moreover, several primitive endoderm markers remained significantly upregulated in the SET-KO cells following differentiation (Figure 2C). These results demonstrate that SET-KO leads to differentiation defects, highlighting the importance of SET during early ESC differentiation, as well as in maintaining the pluripotent state. Earlier studies have shown that ESCs maintain developmental genes in a poised but repressed state until differentiation cues are received (Bernstein et al., 2006; Harikumar and Meshorer, 2015). The observation that SET-KO cells show upregulation of lineage-specific genes consistently throughout RA differentiation suggests that not only does SET suppress differentiation genes in ESCs, but also that SET is required to prevent skewed differentiation.

P53 Is Partially Responsible for Misregulation of Developmental Genes in SET-KO Cells

After establishing that SET-KO ESCs have a disrupted pluripotent state, we wished to identify the putative protein complexes SET might belong to or interact with. We began by purifying endogenous SET protein from ESCs and identified its interaction partners using LC-MS/MS (Table S4). We compared our data with existing datasets from MS performed in a human epithelial cell line, and identified several common interacting partners, including p53, β-catenin, α-catenin, δ-catenin, and H1, among others (Krishnan et al., 2017). p53 and its family members were shown to play essential roles during differentiation, development, and reprogramming (Jain and Barton, 2018). We therefore initially focused on the interaction between SET and p53 and tested its potential role in pluripotency and
differentiation. First, we confirmed the LC-MS/MS data using immunoprecipitation followed by western blot analysis for endogenous SET protein (Figure S3A). We next examined the effect of SET-KO on p53 levels using western blot and qPCR. Surprisingly, p53 protein level, but not mRNA level, was significantly upregulated in SET-KO ESCs, suggesting that SET-KO leads to the stabilization of p53 protein (Figures 3A and 3B). This is in contrast to a previous study that did not observe stabilization of p53 protein in SET-KO mice: immunohistochemistry analysis of SET-KO embryos demonstrated that, although levels of p21, a gene activated by p53, increased, protein levels of p53 remain unchanged (Kon et al., 2019). qPCR analysis of p53 downstream targets showed increased levels of p21, Mdm2, Lcr3, and Igfbp3; however, other target genes, including Bax, Gadd45, and Mdm4, were unaffected (Figure 3C). This suggests that elevated p53 is indeed transcriptionally active and regulates selective genes in SET-KO ESCs. SET-OE ESCs do not have decreased expression of p53; however, p53 target genes, p21 and Mdm4, appeared slightly downregulated, albeit not to a statistically significant degree (Figure S3B).

The increase in p53 stability we observed in SET-KO ESCs led us to investigate whether increased levels of p53 might be responsible for the misregulation of lineage choice in the absence of SET. To this end, we used shRNAs to generate stable KDs for p53 in WT and SET-KO ESCs. qPCR analysis showed that 70%–80% KD efficiency was achieved in both cell lines compared with respective shRNA control cell lines (Figure S3D). Our western blot analysis also confirmed KD of p53 in both WT and SET-KO cells (Figure 3D). As the initial levels of p53 in the SET-KO ESCs are much higher than WT, p53 levels in SET-KO ESCs are almost comparable with those of WT-sh controls following p53 KD (Figure 3D). This confirms our previous observations that p53 protein levels are stabilized in the absence of SET. DNA damage and several other stress conditions have been shown to arrest cell cycle in a p53-dependent manner (Brady et al., 2011; Sullivan et al., 2018). We performed proliferation assays to determine whether p53-induced cell-cycle arrest was contributing to proliferation defects associated with SET-KO ESCs. No significant changes were observed upon p53 KD in WT and SET-KO cells (Figure 3E), suggesting that SET-KO-mediated decrease in proliferation is independent of p53. Likewise, expression of pluripotency factors was not significantly affected by p53 KD in SET-KO cells (Figure S3C). Next, we examined whether upregulated p53 is associated with increased apoptosis. Cleaved caspase-3, a marker of apoptosis (Carty et al., 1998), showed no induction in WT or SET-KO cells (Figure 3F). This suggests that the decrease in the proliferative capacity of SET-KO ESCs is also independent of apoptosis.

We next tested the expression level of differentiation markers in p53-KD and SET-KO cells. As KO of p53 partially rescues embryonic lethality in SET-KO mice (Kon et al., 2019), we predicted that KD of p53 would correspondingly revert some of the misregulation of lineage-related genes in the absence of SET. Indeed, using qPCR we found that primitive endodermal genes, such as Hnf1a, Hnf1b, and Gata4, which were significantly upregulated in the SET-KO cells by 4- to 16-fold, were largely rescued upon p53 KD in undifferentiated ESCs (Figure 3G). This p53-KD-mediated rescue was also observed in RA-differentiated SET-KO cells—albeit to a lesser degree (Figure 3H). p53 KD further reduced the expression of Hnf1a, Hnf1b, and Gata4 only in RA-differentiated WT cells (Figures 3G and 3H). Interestingly, despite the important role of p53 in regulating mesodermal differentiation genes (Hadjal et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2012), KD of p53 had little effect on mesodermal markers in our system (Figure 3I). Furthermore, ectodermal marker Neuro-d was consistently downregulated in p53-KD ESCs, in both WT and SET-KO ESCs (Figure 3I). These data suggest that p53 upregulation is at least in part responsible for activation of primitive endodermal genes in SET-KO cells.

The Canonical Wnt Signaling Pathway Is Activated in SET-KO ESCs

We next focused on the interaction between SET and potential signaling components involved in pluripotency regulation. Having identified an interaction between β-catenin and SET in our MS data, we asked whether β-catenin contributes to the misregulation of differentiation genes in SET-KO cells. To this end, we generated shRNA-stable KD cell lines for β-catenin in WT and SET-KO ESCs. Western blots confirmed ~50%–70% β-catenin KD efficiency, and both western blots and qPCR analyses demonstrated similar levels of β-catenin WT and SET-KO ESCs (Figures 4A–4C). Interestingly, however, active β-catenin appeared to show a mild decrease in SET-KO ESCs (p = 0.036), which we quantified by densitometry in several other SET-KO clones (Figures 4C and S4A).

β-catenin has a well-established role as the key mediating factor in the canonical Wnt signaling pathway (Moon et al., 2002). Intracellular Wnt signaling has been shown to play important roles in proliferation and differentiation of stem cells (Reya and Clevers, 2005). No stark differences in pluripotency factor expression was observed following β-catenin KD in SET-KO ESCs. Although β-catenin KD reduced levels of NANOG in SET-KO ESCs, its levels were comparable with KD in WT cells. A slight reduction of OCT4 was observed following KD β-catenin in SET-KO ESCs (Figure S4B). We then examined the effect of β-catenin KD on cell proliferation. β-catenin KD significantly decreased cell proliferation in both WT and SET-KO ESCs.
We next investigated the expression of Wnt genes. To our surprise, we observed that Wnt genes, including Wnt3, Wnt7b, and Wnt8a, were markedly upregulated in SET-KO ESCs, and were all rescued upon β-catenin KD (Figure 4F). Since targets of Wnt signaling include some members of the Wnt signaling pathway itself, this may be due to the self-regulatory mechanisms of Wnt gene expression (MacDonald et al., 2009). Concordantly, β-catenin KD in SET-WT cells also reduced Wnt gene expression. To ensure that this induction of Wnt gene expression corresponded with increased β-catenin-mediated transcription, we used the TOPFlash luciferase assay, which measures the binding of β-catenin/TCF to response elements by activating a
reporter activity (Moon et al., 2002). We observed that β-catenin activity is significantly higher in both SET-KO clones compared with WT ESCs—similar to the observed increase in Wnt gene expression (Figure 4G). KD of β-catenin in SET-KO cells returned TOPFlash reporter levels to their original WT state, indicating that β-catenin is indeed a functionally relevant component of SET-KO-induced Wnt signaling activation (Figure 4H).

Next, we investigated the effect of β-catenin KD on lineage markers with qPCR. We found that upregulation of differentiation markers, including Gata4, Gata6, and Noggin, was increased in SET-KO/β-catenin KD ESCs, despite β-catenin KD alone not leading to upregulation of these genes (Figure 4I). The opposite effect was seen only for Nodal in SET-KO ESCs, where β-catenin KD was able to rescue its aberrant upregulation, although to a lesser degree. Moreover, in genes such as Hnf4 and T, β-catenin KD alone caused downregulation, which was also the case in SET-KO ESCs. Overall, the extent to which β-catenin KD worsens or rescues SET-KO induced lineage marker dysregulation does not appear to be germ layer specific (Figure 4I).

To see whether activation of canonical Wnt signaling could rescue the lineage specification defects in SET-KO cells, we treated WT and KO cells with 3 μM of CHIR99021 (CHIR), a small molecule that stabilizes β-catenin via inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3). As expected, addition of CHIR led to strong increases in expression of Sp5 and Axin2, well-established Wnt target genes (Figure 4J) (Ramakrishnan and Cadigan, 2017). CHIR treatment, however, had little effect on active β-catenin levels in SET-KO cells (Figure 4D). CHIR has been shown to increase expression of pluripotency factors in ESCs by facilitating translocation of β-catenin into the nucleus, we therefore examined protein levels of NANOG and OCT4 following addition of CHIR (Wu et al., 2013). NANOG levels increased following Wnt activation by CHIR in SET-KO cells but no similar increase in OCT4 levels was observed, consistent with previous reports in WT ESCs (Ai et al., 2016) (figure 4J). This indicates that β-catenin retains nuclear activity SET-KO ESCs despite decreased levels of its active form.

To test whether CHIR could rescue lineage specification or proliferation defects in SET-KO cells, we assayed SET-KO ESCs in the presence and absence of CHIR. Apart from a slight reduction in Gata4 and Gata6 expression, CHIR treatment did not appreciably affect lineage marker expression (Figure 4K). Likewise, CHIR treatment was unable to rescue proliferation defects associated with SET-KO cells (Figure 4E). These results imply that, despite a clear role for β-catenin in SET-KO in TCF/LEF1 activation, canonical β-catenin activity or protein stability by themselves are not wholly responsible for abnormal SET-KO phenotypes. Interestingly, well-characterized targets of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, Axin2 and Sp5, were not upregulated in SET-KO cells, although Sp5 was significantly upregulated in SET-OE cells (Figure 4C). Nevertheless, SET-KO cells do remain responsive to CHIR-induced Wnt target activation (data not shown).

Revisiting our RNA-seq data we found that, after performing gene enrichment analysis on SET-KO DEGs using the WikiPathways database (Kutmon et al., 2016), we found a significant number of genes relating to “Wnt signaling pathway and pluripotency” (Figure 5A). Members of the TCF/LEF1 family of transcription factors are the primary downstream effectors of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway (Cadigan and Waterman, 2012). In particular, TCF3 has been implicated in the exit from pluripotency due to its role in the repression of many pluripotency-related genes (Yi et al., 2011). With this in mind, we analyzed our RNA-seq data in SET-KO and SET-OE ESCs and focused on the expression of genes shown to be specifically regulated by a TCF3-β-catenin interaction, based on TCF3 mutants unable to bind β-catenin (Yi et al., 2011). We found a slight correlation between levels of SET and expression of these β-catenin-regulating TCF3 target genes (Figure 5B). However, this was not the case for all TCF3 target genes: 3 genes, Nodal, Eomes, and Tnfrsf19, were upregulated in SET-KO and not in SET-OE ESCs (Figure S6).

Finally, utilizing the WikiPathways enrichment analysis, we mapped the implicated DEGs on the “Wnt signaling pathway and pluripotency” pathway network (Figure 5C). Interestingly, we find that three genes (Cnd2, Jun, and Cd44) downstream of differentiation-promoting TCF/LEF1-β-catenin activity are significantly upregulated in SET-KO ESCs. This may reflect the greater level of Wnt signaling in SET-KO ESCs or their more differentiated state. Alternatively, the interaction of SET and β-catenin may also be involved in mediating downstream TCF/LEF1 effector function, particularly as TCF3-β-catenin targets and pluripotency-related genes are downregulated in SET-KO ESCs (Figures 1F and 5B). We hypothesize that SET may have a facilitatory effect on downstream β-catenin effector function, thereby arbitracting the suppression of Wnt signaling and differentiation programs to sustain the pluripotent state of ESCs (Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

SET was initially characterized as a nuclear proto-oncogene following its discovery in patients with acute undifferentiated leukemia (Lindern et al., 1992). In addition, important functions of SET as a molecular chaperone and as an inhibitor of histone acetyltransferase activity have been previously established (Kato et al., 2011; Seo et al., 2001). In previous work, we identified a transcriptional switch early
during ESC differentiation, where the predominant SET isoform expressed in ESCs, SETα, is replaced by the isoform predominantly expressed in differentiated cells, SETβ. This conversion is regulated by alternative promoters, each of which is bound by a distinct set of transcription factors (Edupuganti et al., 2017). SET depletion is embryonically lethal (Edupuganti et al., 2017; Kon et al., 2019), indicating that SET plays a crucial role in early development. Furthermore, although SET is highly conserved in nature and significantly expressed in the early embryo (Bayarkhangai et al., 2018), the precise role of SET in regulating early differentiation and pluripotency has not yet been adequately addressed.

In this study, our experiments have revealed a role for SET in maintaining the pluripotent state. In addition to spontaneously differentiating in culture, SET-KO ESCs have a lower overall expression of pluripotency markers and a higher expression of genes specific to a less naive or a more primed pluripotent state. This corresponds to the disturbed expression of markers of endoderm, ectoderm, and mesoderm germ lineages. Following induced differentiation with RA treatment, the abnormal upregulation of primitive endoderm markers was retained. To explore this further, we sought to uncover new interactors of SET by coimmunoprecipitation LC-MS/MS experiments. P53 was among the putative SET binding partners identified in our screen, validating reports that SET binds the unacetylated C terminus of p53 (Kim et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016). In addition, we found a novel interaction of SET with β-catenin, a multipotential protein with a clear but inconclusive role in the regulation of pluripotency (Yi et al., 2011). Here, we have investigated the contributions of these two proteins to the disturbance of lineage specification in SET-KO ESCs and propose a model for how SET may regulate pluripotency.

In accordance with previous results, we observed that several p53 downstream targets were upregulated in SET-KO ESCs, along with upregulation of differentiation programs (Wang et al., 2016). Interestingly, we found that
p53 protein levels are increased in SET-KO cells, with no significant effect on p53 mRNA levels. Post-translational modifications or a pluripotency-specific p53 interaction network may account for this discrepancy and warrants further investigation. In addition, p53 KD in SET-KO ESCs and RA-differentiated cells show rescue of primitive endoderm and endodermal genes, without prominent effects on mesodermal genes and ESC proliferation. These results are consistent with previous studies showing that, in ESCs, p53-regulated target genes are enriched in differentiation-associated genes rather than apoptosis- and cell-cycle-associated genes (Lee et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012; Liang and Zhang, 2013).

These data suggest that a major role of SET in ESC pluripotency is to inhibit p53 transactivation and subsequently prevent the upregulation of primitive/endoderm differentiation genes. As developmental defects in SET-KO mice are only partially rescued by KO of p53 (Kon et al., 2019), it is likely that additional p53-independent interactions of SET with other factors are necessary to maintain normal ESC proliferation. Interestingly, although a previous study established a connection between the p53 family and Wnt inputs during mesodermal differentiation, we observed that neither β-catenin nor p53 are involved in the regulation of mesoderm genes in SET-KO ESCs (Wang et al., 2016). Unlike AURKA or GSK3β, SET has not been reported to possess kinase activity or methyltransferase activity and is not directly involved in catalyzing PTMs. However, data from the current study and others show that SET is heavily associated with protein phosphatases (Table S4) (Krishnan et al., 2017) and inhibits PP2A activity (Li et al., 1996). As SET forms complexes with p53 and β-catenin, and since SET-KO transactivates both of them, we speculate that the loss of SET activates protein phosphatases. For instance, relief of phosphatase inhibition could disturb the balance between PTMs and thereby affect the function of p53 and GSK3β. This is further reflected in transcriptomic and cellular perturbations observed in SET-KO ESCs.

The multipotential nature of both SET and β-catenin, and the detection of their interaction in our MS data, led us to speculate about the relationship between the two proteins in the early embryo. To study this relationship, we depleted β-catenin in SET-KO cells. We found that, unlike the situation in WT ESCs, where knocking down β-catenin has a moderate effect on proliferation and cell survival, β-catenin KD induces apoptosis and a severe survival phenotype in SET-KO ESCs, indicating that β-catenin is indispensable for the survival of ESCs in the absence of SET. Curiously though, KD of β-catenin did not have a discernible impact on the expression of lineage-specific markers in SET-KO ESCs. Similarly, treatment with CHIR, a Wnt signaling
activator, was not able to rescue lineage-gene misregulation
in SET-KO cells. Instead, we found that SET depletion re-
sulted in the upregulation of several Wnt genes and that
β-catenin KD in SET-KO ESCs rescued expression of several
Wnt genes, with a mild effect on other developmental
genes. In the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, following
the binding of a Wnt ligand to its receptor, β-catenin is pro-
tected from phosphorylation and subsequent degradation
by ubiquitination (Clevers, 2006). Downstream signaling
causes β-catenin to translocate into the nucleus where it ul-
timately associates with TCF/LEF family transcription fac-
tors to regulate target genes (Schuijers et al., 2014). Given
that Wnt signaling stabilizes β-catenin protein levels in
the canonical pathway, we were initially surprised to find
that β-catenin protein levels remain largely unaltered in
SET-KO cells (Figure 4C). Intriguingly, we found that,
instead, a mild decrease in active β-catenin occurred
following SET-KO. Furthermore, we did not find an increase
in expression of canonical Wnt signaling target genes, such as
Axin2 or Sp5. We later observed that β-catenin transacti-
vation was significantly higher in SET-KO ESCs, suggesting
that the increase in Wnt gene expression in the absence of
SET is consistent with increased nuclear transactivation,
despite ostensibly decreased active β-catenin levels and
expression of canonical Wnt genes.

mESCs treated with GSK3β inhibitor or WNT3a promote
the pluripotent state and prevent differentiation of ESCs
(Q.-L. Ying et al., 2008). In contrast, other studies have
shown that sustained Wnt activation promotes meso-
dermal differentiation (Bakra et al., 2007; Gadue et al.,
2006; Lindsley et al., 2006). It has been proposed that the
influence of Wnt signaling on cell fate decision depends on
type, developmental time, and interaction with
other signaling pathways (Hoppler and Kavanagh, 2007;
MacDonald et al., 2009; Sokol and Melton, 1992; ten Berge
et al., 2008; van Amerongen and Nusse, 2009). Although
we find that the SET-β-catenin interaction is implicated in
mESC Wnt signaling, we also observe that, paradoxically,
activation of canonical Wnt signaling is accompanied by
premature differentiation and proliferation defects in
SET-KO ESCs. These results suggest that there are overlap-
ning and non-overlapping genes that may be differentially
regulated by β-catenin depending on the presence of SET.
We speculate that SET-dependent β-catenin not only acti-
vates Wnt genes but also regulates other targets. Further
genome-wide studies will identify the effects of SET-KO
on β-catenin redistribution its influence on gene activity.
Indeed, SET may play a role in targeting β-catenin specifi-
cally to TCF3, instead of other TCF/LEF1 proteins, at plu-
ripotency-related genes as the consensus binding sequence
of TCF/LEF1 transcription factors are highly conserved and
TCF/LEF1 target genes have a large degree of overlap
(Brantjes et al., 2002).

Several of the TCF3 target genes we retrieved from the
ChIP-X enrichment analysis database, which were upregu-
lated in SET-OE ESCs, were reciprocally downregulated in
SET-KO ESCs (Table S5). Among these genes was Fzd5.
The FZD5 protein is a receptor for WNT5a, a ligand for the
non-canonical Wnt signaling pathway. WNT5a has been
shown to reduce TOPFlash β-catenin reporter activa-
tion without affecting the stability of β-catenin protein it-
self (Flores-Hernández et al., 2020; Mikels and Nusse,
2006; J. Ying et al., 2008). Interestingly, we also found a
broadly inverse pattern, with downregulation of β-cate-
nin-dependent TCF3 targets observed in SET-KO cells and
a consistent reciprocal increase in expression of the same
genes in SET-OE cells (Figure 5B). These results hint that,
in SET-KO ESCs, redistribution of β-catenin on chromatin
as Wnt targets leads to activation of the
β-catenin TOPFlash reporter, rather than an increase in
β-catenin levels per se.

As β-catenin itself is capable of relieving TCF3-induced
repression, our data allude to a synergy between SET and
β-catenin in the positive regulation of TCF3 target genes.
For example, SET, together with β-catenin, may function
to prevent TCF3 from recruiting repressive complexes to
promoters, thereby allowing expression of key pluripo-
tency-related genes (Figure 6). However, if a SET-TCF3 inter-
action exists, it may be indirect as TCF3 was not present in
our MS data (Table S4), nor were we able to confirm a direct
interaction of SET with TCF3 by coimmunoprecipitation ex-
periments (data not shown). Loss of SET leads to the upregu-
lation of other downstream Wnt signaling target genes, such as
Nodal, Tnfrsf19, and N6a1 (Figure S6), which are less
coupled to TCF3 activity. Notably, β-catenin-TCF1 activity
implicated in promoting differentiation of mESCs (Chat-
terjee et al., 2015). Indeed, this may contribute to the prema-
ture differentiation phenotype we detect in SET-KO ESCs.
Based on our analysis, we speculate that TCF3-β-catenin
interaction could be a major player in SET-KO ESCs; howev-
er, we cannot rule out the involvement of other TCF factors
in regulating the β-catenin activity. To validate these hy-
potheses, further efforts should be made to purify a TCF1/
TCF3/β-catenin-SET complex on chromatin. In addition,
SET mutants unable to bind β-catenin could be generated
to observe how Wnt signaling, lineage specification, and
maintenance of self-renewal, are affected following the
disturbance of the SET-β-catenin interaction.

To conclude, this study reveals an interaction of SET with
p53 and β-catenin in regulating early ESC differentiation.
Our data suggest that a major role of SET in ESCs is to exert
an inhibitory effect on p53 transactivation. We also hy-
pothesize that, by preventing the formation of a subset of
β-catenin-TCF/LEF complexes, SET may function to fine-
tune the balance between initiating differentiation pro-
grams and maintaining stem cell identity. Our findings
warrant deeper investigation of these interactions in early development and disease.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cells**

R1 and KH2 mESCs were cultured using standard conditions. RA was used for differentiation. CRISPR KO cells were generated as described previously (Edupuganti et al., 2017; Ran et al., 2013) using sgRNAs targeting SET exon3. Alkaline phosphatase assay was performed using a kit (Sigma, cat. no. 86R-1KT) following the manufacturer's instructions.

**Antibodies**

The following antibodies were used: SET (A302-261A, Bethyl), OCT4 (sc-805, Santa Cruz), NANOG (A300-397A, Bethyl), GAPDH (ab8245, Abcam), p53 (2,524, Cell Signaling), β-catenin (610153, BD Transduction), active β-catenin (8814, Cell Signaling), α-tubulin (ab4074, Abcam), and HA (ab9110, Abcam).

**LC-MS/MS**

Cell pellets were lysed (10% glycerol, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.9], 0.9% Triton X-100, 0.1% NP40, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSE, 10 μl protease inhibitors cocktail) for 30 min at 4°C and centrifuged at maximum speed. SET antibodies were added to the supernatant and incubated overnight at 4°C. The beads were washed, and the supernatants were used for LC-MS/MS and western blotting.

**TOPFlash Reporter Assay**

Cells were transfected with plasmids containing seven TCF/LEF (TOPFlash, Addgene, cat. no. 12456) or seven mutant TCF/LEF (FOPFlash, Addgene, cat. no. 12457) using TransIT (Mirus). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were lysed, and luciferase activity was measured using a Nano-Glo Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) in a Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Reader (BioTek). Cells were co-transfected with 1 ng of plasmid containing NanoLuc luciferase as an internal control.

**RNA-Seq and Bioinformatics**

RNA extraction was performed using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN). RNA (500 ng) was used to generate libraries with a QuantSeq 3′ mRNA-Seq Kit (Lexogen). Reads were aligned with STAR (v.2.5.4b) using default settings against the mm10 mouse genome obtained from Ensembl and reads were counted with featureCounts.

Full experimental can be found in the supplementary information.

**Data and Code Availability**

Sequencing data performed for this study were deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus, accession number GSE154607.
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