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Abstract
The aims of this study are to model the wave energy harvester device using a single pendulum on a pontoon called Single Vertical Pendulum Mechanism (SVPM) and analyze the voltage generated by the mechanism. The simulation method was conducted in order to provide the power generated by SVPM. The SVPM's dimension was designed on a laboratory scale and the wave amplitude was adjusted by the amplitude on the laboratory pool. The mechanism model uses wave energy as the excitation force of the pendulum. The pendulum oscillates, and drives the transmission gear which transmits the force to the generator. The generator produces electrical energy. The variation used in the simulation was the mass of the pendulum, the length of the pendulum's arm, and the wave amplitude. The maximum power that can be generated by SVPM was 5,735 Watt occurred when the arrangement of SVPM was using the pendulum mass of 0.75 kg and the pendulum length of 0.2 m. The parameter that most affect the generated power of SVPM was the wave amplitude.
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1. Introduction

Wave energy is one of renewable energy which its source is accessible because the earth consists of mostly 70 percent of the ocean [1]. The feasibility studies of the wave's potential energy in several countries have been conducted [2] [3] [4]. The potential energy that is able to be harvested from the ocean's wave is approximately 885 TWh [5]. The potential energy of wave ocean varies based on the wave energy density. Theoretically, around 29,500 TWh/year is the potential energy of wave ocean which its density is over 5kW/m [6]. Moreover, approximately 93,000 TWh/year could be generated from worldwide wave [6].

Based on the potential energy from ocean waves, the systems which could convert the energy of wave into electrical energy have been studied. Wave Energy Converters (WEC) which have three types, i.e. oscillating-body WEC, oscillating water column (OWC), and the last, overtopping device [7] were one of the methods to harvest the wave energy. The study about the oscillating-body WEC which used the parabolic openings as the wave reflector has been conducted [8]. The result showed that the parabolic opening on the oscillating-body WEC can amplify the heave response three times higher than the conventional opening. The most economic value (the cost of maintenance and utilization) among those three types of WEC is the overtopping device which consists of flexible material and piezoelectric paint [14].

The aim of this study is to model a mechanism which able to harvest the wave energy. This study was using the oscillating-body WEC principal. The small pontoon was used as the oscillating-body which consists of a single vertical pendulum, transmission gear, and generator. The effects of the mass of the pendulum, the length of the pendulum's arm, and the wave amplitude to the generated voltage were analyzed too.

2. Theoretical Method

The design of wave energy harvester called Single Vertical Pendulum Mechanism (SVPM) is shown in fig. [1] which consists of (1) pendulum, (2) pendulum's arm, (3) sprocket, (4) bearing, (5) generator, (6) gear transmission,
Figure 1. The design of a Single Vertical Pendulum Mechanism on the Pontoon-boat

Figure 2. The angular displacement of the pendulum
and (7) pontoon-boat. The design of the mechanism was on the laboratory scale. While the dynamics model of the transmission of the harvester system is presented in fig. 2. The motion of wave agitates the pendulum to oscillate and then drives the gear transmission which is transmitted the input force to the generator. The electrical energy is generated by the generator. The potential energy provided by pontoon mechanism was simulated.

The motion equations of the simulation method are stated below:

\[
\begin{align*}
(M_p + \frac{1}{3} M_b) \ddot{\theta}_p + C.\dot{\theta}_p + \left[ K_p + \left( M_p + \frac{1}{2} M_b \right) g \right] \theta_p + C.(\dot{\theta}_p - \dot{\theta}_A) + K_p.(\theta_p - \theta_A) &= 0 \quad (1) \\
J_A.\ddot{\theta}_A + C.\dot{\theta}_A + K_p.\theta_A - C.(\dot{\theta}_p - \dot{\theta}_A) - K_p.(\theta_p - \theta_A) &= -(F_1 - F_2)R_A \quad (2) \\
J_B.\ddot{\theta}_B + C.(\dot{\theta}_B - \dot{\theta}_1) + K_a.(\theta_B - \theta_1) &= (F_1 - F_2)R_B \quad (3) \\
J_1.\ddot{\theta}_1 + C.\dot{\theta}_1 + K_a.\theta_1 - C.(\dot{\theta}_B - \dot{\theta}_1) - K_a.(\theta_B - \theta_1) &= -F_{21}R_1 \quad (4) \\
J_{23}.\ddot{\theta}_3 + 2.C.\dot{\theta}_3 + 2.K_b.\theta_3 &= F_{12}R_2 - F_{43}R_3 \quad (5)
\end{align*}
\]

If the motion of a pendulum is clockwise (CW), the gear 4 transmits the motion to the gear 5 and gear 9, while the gear 6, 7, and 8 are in idle position. The motion equation for gear 4, 5, and 6 are presented below:

\[
\begin{align*}
J_4.\ddot{\theta}_4 + K_C.\theta_4 + K_C.(\theta_4 - \theta_5) &= F_{34}R_4 \quad (6) \\
J_5.\ddot{\theta}_5 + C.\dot{\theta}_5 + K_C.\theta_5 - K_C.(\theta_4 - \theta_5) &= F_{56}R_5 \quad (7) \\
J_6.\ddot{\theta}_6 + C.\dot{\theta}_6 + K_d.\theta_6 - C.(\theta_9 - \theta_G) + (K_{d1} + K_{d2}).(\theta_4 - \theta_G) &= -F_{56}R_6 \quad (8)
\end{align*}
\]

From eq. (2) - (3) and from the relations of each gear of \( R_A^3 \theta_A = R_B^3 \theta_B; R_1 \theta_1 = R_2 \theta_3; R_3 \theta_3 = R_4 \theta_4; \frac{R_4}{R_2} = \frac{R_5}{R_4} = \theta_4; \frac{R_4}{R_2} = R_0 \theta_0 \) the equation can be rewritten by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( J_A + \left( \frac{R_A}{R_B} \right)^2 J_B \right) \ddot{\theta}_A + C.\dot{\theta}_A + K_p.\theta_A + \left( \frac{R_A}{R_B} \right)^2 C.\dot{\theta}_A + \left( \frac{R_A}{R_B} \right)^2 K_a.\theta_A + C.(\dot{\theta}_p - \dot{\theta}_A) - K_p.(\theta_p - \theta_A) - \frac{R_A}{R_B} C.\dot{\theta}_1 - \frac{R_A}{R_B} K_a.\theta_1 &= 0 \quad (9) \\
\left[ J_1 + \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^2 J_{23} + \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^2 \frac{R_3}{R_4} J_4 \right] \ddot{\theta}_1 + \left[ 2.C + 2. \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^2 C \right] \dot{\theta}_1 + \left[ 2.K_a + 2. \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^2 K_b + 2. \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)^2 \frac{R_3}{R_4} K_C \right] \theta_1 - \frac{R_A}{R_B} C.\dot{\theta}_1 - \frac{R_A}{R_B} K_a.\theta_1 &= 0 \quad (10) \\
\left( J_9 + \left( \frac{R_9}{R_5} \right)^2 J_5 \right) \ddot{\theta}_9 + \left( C + \left( \frac{R_9}{R_5} \right)^2 C \right) \dot{\theta}_9 + \left[ K_{d3} + 2. \left( \frac{R_9}{R_5} \right)^2 K_C \right] \theta_9 + C.(\dot{\theta}_9 - \dot{\theta}_G) + (K_{d1} + K_{d2}).(\theta_9 - \theta_G) - \frac{R_1}{R_3} \frac{R_3}{R_4} \frac{R_9}{R_5} K_C.\theta_1 &= 0 \quad (11)
\end{align*}
\]
The mechanical equation for the generator which its input is in clockwise motion is:

\[ J_G \ddot{\theta}_G + B \dot{\theta}_G + T_e = C. (\dot{\theta}_G - \dot{\theta}_G) + (K_{d1} + K_{d2}).(\theta_9 - \theta_G) \]  \quad (12)

In case that the pendulum rotates counterclockwise, the gear 6 receives the motion from gear 4 and transmits it to the gear 7, then to the gear 8. In order, the equations are:

\[ J_4 \ddot{\theta}_4 + K_C \cdot \dot{\theta}_4 + K_C \cdot (\dot{\theta}_4 - \dot{\theta}_6) = F_{34} R_4 \]  \quad (13)
\[ J_6 \ddot{\theta}_6 + C. \dot{\theta}_6 + K_C \cdot \theta_6 - K_C \cdot (\dot{\theta}_4 - \dot{\theta}_6) = -F_{76} R_6 \]  \quad (14)
\[ J_7 \ddot{\theta}_7 + 2C. \dot{\theta}_7 + 2K \cdot \dot{\theta}_7 = F_{67} R_7 + F_{87} R_7 \]  \quad (15)
\[ J_8 \ddot{\theta}_8 + C. (\dot{\theta}_8 - \dot{\theta}_G) + K_{d2} \cdot \dot{\theta}_8 + K_{d1} \cdot (\theta_8 - \theta_G) = F_{78} R_8 \]  \quad (16)

By substituting the eq. (13) to eq. (5) and eq. (14) - (15) to eq. (16), then by using the relations between each gear \((\theta_7 = \frac{R_8}{R_6} \theta_6; \theta_7 = \frac{R_8}{R_6} \theta_8)\) leads to the new equations:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} J_1 + \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^2 J_{23} + \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \cdot R_3 \right)^2 J_4 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\theta}_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 2. C + 2 \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^2 \cdot C \end{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 2.K_a + 2 \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2}\right)^2 \cdot K_b + 2 \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \cdot R_3 \right)^2 \cdot K_C \end{bmatrix} \cdot \theta_1 - \frac{R_A}{R_B} C. \dot{\theta}_A - \frac{R_A}{R_B} K_a. \dot{\theta}_A - \frac{R_3}{R_4} \cdot R_1 \cdot R_6 \cdot K_C \cdot \theta_8 = 0 \] \quad (17)
\[ \begin{bmatrix} J_8 + \left(\frac{R_8}{R_7}\right)^2 J_7 + \left(\frac{R_8}{R_7} \cdot R_6 \right)^2 J_6 \end{bmatrix} \ddot{\theta}_8 + \begin{bmatrix} 2.C + 2 \left(\frac{R_8}{R_7}\right)^2 \cdot C + 2 \left(\frac{R_8}{R_7} \cdot R_6 \right)^2 \cdot C \end{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_8 + \begin{bmatrix} K_{d1} + K_{d2} + 2 \left(\frac{R_8}{R_7}\right)^2 \cdot K + 2 \left(\frac{R_8}{R_7} \cdot R_6 \right)^2 \cdot K_C \end{bmatrix} \cdot \theta_8 - C. \ddot{\theta}_G - K_{d1}.(\theta_7) - \frac{R_1}{R_2} \cdot R_3 \cdot R_8 \cdot K_C. \cdot \theta_1 = 0 \] \quad (18)

While the equation of the generator when the pendulum rotates CCW is:

\[ J_G \ddot{\theta}_G + B \dot{\theta}_G + T_e = C. (\dot{\theta}_G - \dot{\theta}_G) + K_{d1} \cdot (\theta_8 - \theta_G) \] \quad (19)

The electrical equation of the generator is:

\[ L \frac{di}{dt} + R \cdot i + e_o = K \cdot \dot{\theta}_G \] \quad (20)

It can be examined that some of the parameter written on the equation of motion was the mass of pendulum and the arm of the pendulum. In order that the variations used in this study were the mass and the arm of the pendulum. The mass of pendulum was varied from 0,25 kg to 0,75 kg with an interval of 0,25 kg. While, the variation of the pendulum’s arm was 100 mm, 150 mm, and 200 mm. The effect of wave amplitude to the power generated by the system was analyzed too.
Table 1. Symbols

| Symbol | Description                          |
|--------|--------------------------------------|
| $M_p$  | The mass of the pendulum              |
| $M_b$  | The mass of pendulum’s arm            |
| $L$    | The length of pendulum’s arm          |
| $C$    | The damping constant of bearing       |
| $K_p$  | The stiffness constant of 1st shaft   |
| $K_a$  | The stiffness constant of 2nd shaft   |
| $K_t$  | The stiffness constant of 3rd shaft   |
| $K_C$  | The stiffness constant of 4th shaft   |
| $K_d$  | The stiffness constant of 5th shaft   |
| $F_{ij}$ | The contact force of gear           |
| $\theta_i$ | The angle/the angular displacement of gear |
| $\dot{\theta}_i$ | The angular velocity of gear         |
| $\ddot{\theta}_i$ | The angular acceleration of gear     |
| $R_i$  | The radius of the gear                |

3. Results and Discussion

The pendulum of the pontoon oscillates due to the motion of the wave. The angular displacement of the pendulum as a response to the wave amplitude is presented in fig. 3. The angular displacement of the pendulum increases as the wave amplitude increases. The result was corresponding well to the equation of harvester’s angular displacement [11]. The positive sign and the negative sign indicate that the motion of the pendulum is reversed and could not fully rotate. While the rotation of the generator’s shaft could not rotate in the reversed direction so that the one-way clutch was installed in gear 5 and gear 6. The motion of gears has been described above. The angular displacement of gear 8 and gear 9 which was the input motion of the generator’s shaft is shown in fig. 4.

Figure 4a shows the angular displacement of gear 8 which rotated when the pendulum rotated in counterclockwise. While the gear 9 rotated as the pendulum rotated in clockwise. The angular displacement of gear 8 was higher the angular displacement of gear 9. It due to the differences in the dimension and the arrangement of gear 8 and gear 9. The radius of gear 8 was smaller than the radius of gear 9. The effect of wave amplitude to the angular displacement of gear 8 and gear 9 was the same as the effect to the angular displacement of the pendulum. The increasing of wave amplitude causes the increasing of the angular pendulum of gear 8 and gear 9.

Figure 3. The angular displacement of the pendulum
Figure 4. The angular displacement of (a) gear 8, and (b) gear 9

Then, the generated power of SVPM is presented in fig. 5. The oscillation on the graph indicates that the input motion to the generator was a sinusoidal function instead of step function which correlates to the wave motion. The unsteady peak on the graph was caused by the alternation of the gear 8 and gear 9 motion which was the input of the generator’s shaft. The zero-value of the generated power implies the motion of the pendulum has zero-velocity at the maximum displacement from its equilibrium position. The increasing of wave amplitude causes the increment of angular displacement of the pendulum which enhances the angular displacement of gear 8 and gear 9, then escalates the generated power.

Figure 5. The generated power of SVPM in wave amplitude variation

The discussion about the effect of wave amplitude has been discussed above. Figure 7 declares the quantitative data of the generated power in the variation of wave amplitude. The highest generated power was 0.75 Watt occurred when the wave amplitude is 0.06 m. The effect of the wave amplitude was dominant compared to the effect of the pendulum length. The differences in generated power in the variation of pendulum length was slight and almost form a step trend line.
Figure 6. The RMS of generated power in mass variations vs the length of pendulum, (a) Wave amplitude (A) = 0.04 m, (b) A = 0.05 m, and (c) A = 0.06 m

Figure 7. The RMS of generated power in wave amplitude variations vs the length of pendulum, (a) pendulum mass (m) = 0.25 kg, (b) m = 0.5 kg, and (c) m = 0.75 kg
Figure 8 presents more generated power’s data which the wave amplitude variations are from 0,01 m to 0,1 m with an interval of 0,01 m. It provides the effect of the pendulum mass and the effect of the wave amplitude to the generated power more clearly. The effect of the pendulum mass is detectable in the higher wave amplitude. While the effect of the wave amplitude to the generated power is observable from low wave amplitude.

4. Conclusions

It can be concluded that the design of SVPM could generate the maximum electrical power of 0,75 Watt which occurred at the wave amplitude of 0,06 m, the pendulum length of 0,2 m, and the pendulum mass of 0,75 kg. The pendulum length and the pendulum mass give a slight effect on the generated power of SVPM. While the wave amplitude affects more dominant to the generated power of SVPM. As stated above, the dimension of the mechanism and the wave amplitude were on a laboratory scale. The generated power may be higher if it applied on a real scale with the real ocean wave amplitude which is approximately 0,1 m to 1,2 m. Furthermore, the simulation’s results could be compared to the experimental result in later study.

References

[1] A. Ressurreição, J. Gibbons, T. P. Dentinho, M. Kaiser, R. S. Santos, and G. Edwards-Jones, “Economic valuation of species loss in the open sea,” Ecological Economics, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 729–739, 2011.

[2] O. Yaakob, F. E. Hashim, K. M. Omar, A. H. M. Din, and K. K. Koh, “Satellite-based wave data and wave energy resource assessment for south china sea,” Renewable energy, vol. 88, pp. 359–371, 2016.

[3] G. Kim, W. M. Jeong, K. S. Lee, K. Jun, and M. E. Lee, “Offshore and nearshore wave energy assessement around the korean peninsula,” Energy, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 1460–1469, 2011.

[4] S. P. Zanous, R. Shafaghat, R. Alamian, M. S. Shadloo, and M. Khosravi, “Feasibility study of wave energy harvesting along the southern coast and islands of iran,” Renewable energy, vol. 135, pp. 502–514, 2019.

[5] A. de Andres, A. MacGillivray, O. Roberts, R. Guanche, and H. Jeffrey, “Beyond lcoe: a study of ocean energy technology development and deployment attractiveness,” Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments, vol. 19, pp. 1–16, 2017.

[6] M. Mustapa, O. Yaakob, Y. M. Ahmed, C.-K. Rheem, K. Koh, and F. A. Adnan, “Wave energy device and breakwater integration: A review,” Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 77, pp. 43–58, 2017.

[7] J. Xie and L. Zuo, “Dynamics and control of ocean wave energy converters,” International Journal of Dynamics and Control, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 262–276, 2013.

[8] C. Zhang and D. Ning, “Hydrodynamic study of a novel breakwater with parabolic openings for wave energy harvest,” Ocean Engineering, vol. 182, pp. 540–551, 2019.

[9] C. Iuppa, L. Cavallaro, E. Fati, and D. Vicinanza, “Potential wave energy production by different wave energy converters around sicily,” Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy, vol. 7, no. 6, p. 061701, 2015.

[10] P. Contestabile, C. Iuppa, E. Di Lauro, L. Cavallaro, T. L. Andersen, and D. Vicinanza, “Wave loadings acting on innovative rubble mound breakwater for
overtopping wave energy conversion,” *Coastal Engineering*, vol. 122, pp. 60–74, 2017.

[11] N. Viet, X. Xie, K. Liew, N. Banthia, and Q. Wang, “Energy harvesting from ocean waves by a floating energy harvester,” *Energy*, vol. 112, pp. 1219–1226, 2016.

[12] S. F. Nabavi, A. Farshidianfar, and A. AfsharFard, “Novel piezoelectric-based ocean wave energy harvesting from offshore buoys,” *Applied Ocean Research*, vol. 76, pp. 174–183, 2018.

[13] K. Yi, M. Collet, S. Chesne, and M. Monteil, “Enhancement of elastic wave energy harvesting using adaptive piezo-lens,” *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 93, pp. 255–266, 2017.

[14] H. Mutsuda, Y. Tanaka, Y. Doi, and Y. Moriyama, “Application of a flexible device coating with piezoelectric paint for harvesting wave energy,” *Ocean Engineering*, vol. 172, pp. 170–182, 2019.