Targeted and cellular therapies in lymphoma: Mechanisms of escape and innovative strategies
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The therapeutic landscape for lymphomas is quite diverse and includes active surveillance, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, radiation therapy, and even stem cell transplant. Advances in the field have led to the development of targeted therapies, agents that specifically act against a specific component within the critical molecular pathway involved in tumorigenesis. There are currently numerous targeted therapies that are currently Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved to treat certain lymphoproliferative disorders. Of many, some of the targeted agents include rituximab, brentuximab vedotin, polatuzumab vedotin, nivolumab, pembrolizumab, mogamulizumab, vemurafenib, crizotinib, ibrutinib, cerdulatinib, idelalisib, copanlisib, venetoclax, tazemetostat, and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cells. Although these agents have shown strong efficacy in treating lymphoproliferative disorders, the complex biology of the tumors have allowed for the malignant cells to develop various mechanisms of resistance to the targeted therapies. Some of the mechanisms of resistance include downregulation of the target, antigen escape, increased PD-L1 expression and T-cell exhaustion, mutations altering the signaling pathway, and agent binding site mutations. In this manuscript, we discuss and highlight the mechanism of action of the above listed agents as well as the different mechanisms of resistance to these agents as seen in lymphoproliferative disorders.
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**Introduction**

Lymphomas are a group of malignancies characterized by the uncontrolled proliferation of either mature B-lymphocytes or T-lymphocytes. Lymphomas can be further classified as Hodgkin or non-Hodgkin based on the presence or absence of Reed-Sternberg cells, respectively. The treatment for lymphomas includes active surveillance, chemotherapy, immunotherapy, radiation therapy, and even stem cell transplant. In terms of chemotherapy, for Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), the front-line therapy has been
ABVD (doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, dacarbazine), but despite great overall survival rates, around 30–40% of patients relapse within the first two years after treatment (1). On the other hand, for non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), R-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone) has been the front-line therapy, and despite complete responses, around 40–50% of patients develop refractory/relapsed (R/R) disease (2). It should be noted that it took decades of trial and error of trying to improve upon the backbone of ABVD and CHOP to eventually develop the brentuximab vedotin + AVD (3) and rituximab + CHOP treatment regimens that are now part of the first-line therapies. One such example of the trial-and-error process is that after a pivotal trial found unacceptable levels of pulmonary toxicity with brentuximab vedotin + ABVD, bleomycin was removed from the regimen (4). In addition, after many trials, polatuzumab vedotin was also found to significantly improve the R-CHP treatment regimen in the first-line treatment setting for NHLs (5, 6). To address high R/R rates, technological advances have led to the development of targeted therapies against driver molecular aberrations that have emerged as highly effective treatment options in patients whose malignancies harbor the allotted target (7–9). A targeted therapy can be defined as an agent that targets a critical molecular pathway involved in tumorigenesis (10). Furthermore, advances facilitating rapid genomic profiling have allowed for the formation of hypotheses regarding which patients may benefit more from a targeted therapy based on their genetic subtype (11). However, many cancers have strategically developed means to outsmart the highly precise medicines to confer resistance. Thus, we will discuss the mechanisms of escape to various targeted therapies noted in lymphoproliferative disorders.

Targeted therapies

Rituximab

A chimeric monoclonal antibody, rituximab targets the CD20 antigen expressed on lymphocytes and induces cell lysis upon binding antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC). Rituximab is used in a variety of disorders, and when it comes to malignancies, it is mainly used to treat NHLs and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Currently, the FDA has approved rituximab for use in treating NHLs, chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), rheumatoid arthritis, granulomatosis with polyangiitis, and microscopic polyangiitis. Mechanisms of resistance to rituximab are not completely understood, since the therapy relies on the host immune system to mount an immune response, and thus, host factors can also significantly impact efficacy (12). However, three main mechanisms are postulated. The first is that tumor cells have developed ways to block CDC; analysis of rituximab-resistant cell lines has shown that these cells express high levels of membrane complement regulatory proteins (mCRP) — such as CD46, CD55, and CD59 — and these inhibitory proteins block the activation of the complement cascade (13). This theory has been supported by preclinical studies displaying that neutralizing mCRPs with antibodies lead to increased effectiveness of rituximab (14). Next, though rituximab inhibits B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) expression to promote cell apoptosis, a study found that prolonged exposure to rituximab led to the downregulation of pro-apoptotic proteins Bcl-2 antagonist/killer (BAK) and Bcl-2 associated X (BAX), conferring resistance (15). Finally, the most supported mechanism of resistance is downregulation of CD20, the target antigen. Studies have identified C-terminal deletions in the CD20 gene as well as decreased expression of CD20 mRNA in cells found to be CD20 negative after rituximab exposure (16, 17). Since rituximab is utilized throughout many phases of lymphoma treatment — first-line, maintenance, and salvage — studies are being conducted to develop strategies for navigating these mechanisms of resistance.

Brentuximab vedotin

A chimeric antibody-drug conjugate, brentuximab vedotin targets the CD30 antigen expressed on lymphocytes to trigger cell death. It has been FDA-approved to treat classical HL and systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma. Additionally, brentuximab vedotin is a part of the front-line therapy for HL and T-cell NHL (18, 19). CD30 is expressed on activated lymphocytes thus it is an attractive target for therapies (20). Upon binding, the drug is internalized into the cell and subsequently releases the potent microtubule inhibitor monomethyl auristatin E (MMAE) to inhibit cell differentiation and induce apoptosis (20). An in vitro study analyzing brentuximab vedotin resistant cells found that CD30 expression was not significantly lowered in these cells (21). Instead, the resistant cells upregulated the expression of the multi-drug resistance (MDRI) gene and its subsequent product, P-glycoprotein, to confer resistance (21). Additionally, the cells displayed decreased intracellular accumulation of MMAE and increased efflux of MMAE, allowing the cells to avoid death (21). This mechanism of resistance has been further supported by a phase 1 study evaluating the effects of two broad multi-drug resistance modifiers, cyclosporine A and verapamil, on brentuximab vedotin resistance in patients with brentuximab vedotin-resistant HL (22). This study found that inhibiting MDRI restored sensitivity to brentuximab vedotin, increased intracellular MMAE levels, and improved overall brentuximab vedotin activity (22).
Polatuzumab vedotin

Polatuzumab vedotin is another antibody-drug conjugate that targets the CD79b antigen expressed on lymphocytes, and it has been FDA-approved for treating R/R diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). When antigens bind to the B-cell receptor (BCR), the ligand-receptor complex gets internalized into the cell so that the antigen can be presented on major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules on the B-cell surface. This process relies heavily on the proper functioning of CD79, a heterodimer of CD79a and CD79b, and within this, CD79b is the dominant player (23). Since CD79b is expressed on most cells of B-cell lymphomas and leukemias, it serves as a prime target for therapies such as polatuzumab vedotin (23). Upon binding to CD79b, polatuzumab vedotin induces cell death in a similar manner to brentuximab vedotin (23). Though polatuzumab vedotin is currently approved (in combination with bendamustine plus rituximab) for use in the R/R setting for DLBCL (24), the POLARIX study found that among 879 patients, the risk of disease progression, relapse, or death was lower in the group treated with polatuzumab vedotin + R-CHP when compared to the group treated with standard R-CHOP – highlighting that polatuzumab vedotin + R-CHP may soon emerge as a first-line therapy for DLBCL (5). POLARIX was a confirmatory phase 3 trial based on the positive toxicity profile seen regarding the use of polatuzumab in the phase 1-2b study in patients with previously untreated DLBCL (25). Utilizing flow cytometry to analyze CD79b cell-surface expression, one study identified that a minimal threshold of 6.82 geometric mean fluorescence intensity units for CD79b expression must be present for anti-CD79b ADCC to be effective (25). Therefore, the primary mechanism of resistance to polatuzumab vedotin is downregulation of CD79b expression (25). However, the ROMULUS phase 2 clinical trial identified resistance to MMAE as another mechanism in patients with R/R diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and follicular lymphoma (FL) (26). This trial compared polatuzumab vedotin to pinatuzumab vedotin – another antibody-drug conjugate targeted at CD22 (26). In this trial, six patients who had no response originally switched to the other antibody-drug conjugate, and none of these patients responded to the other drug – highlighting that their malignancies had developed resistance to MMAE, not the respective CD drug targets (26). Strategies to overcome resistance to polatuzumab vedotin are being devised and studied (27), and indeed many combinatorial approaches are under development in clinical trials including PolaR-ICE (rituximab, ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide) (NCT04665765), polatuzumab vedotin + GemOX (gemcitabine and oxaliplatin) (NCT04182264), and polatuzumab vedotin + mosenutuzumab (NCT03671018) (28).

Nivolumab

Nivolumab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to and blocks the programmed death receptor-1 (PD-1). It has been FDA-approved to treat classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL), melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, malignant pleural mesothelioma, renal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, urothelial carcinoma, colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, esophageal cancer, and gastric cancer. Also known as CD279, PD-1 is a checkpoint protein on T-cells and B-cells that binds to programmed death-ligand 1 and 2 (PD-L1 and PD-L2) on other cells of the body to prevent immune cells from attacking other cells in the body (29). Malignant cells express high levels of PD-L1 to help shield them from an immune system response (29). Additionally, the genes for PD-L1 and PD-L2 are located on chromosome 9p24.1, and amplification of 9p24.1 was found to be associated with increased expression of PD-L1 in HL (30).

Therefore, blocking the interaction between PD-1 and PD-L1 enhances the immune system’s anti-tumor response and delays tumor growth (29). Mechanisms of resistance to immune checkpoint inhibition involve inadequate T-cell attraction and activation in addition to impaired T-cell effector functions. In cHL, the Hodgkin Reed-Sternberg (HRS) cells produce vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) which induces regulatory T-cell proliferation and increases the expression of inhibitory receptors, including PD-1 (31). This, in turn, leads to T-cell exhaustion (31). Thus, a tumor microenvironment with a higher proportion of regulatory T-cells and inhibitory receptors can alter the efficiency of PD-1 blockade therapy (31). Next, tumor cells can have absent or aberrant HLA expression which compromises antigen presentation and affects immune checkpoint inhibition efficacy (32). In fact, in around 70% of cHL cases, HLA class I surface expression is lost (32). Tumor cells can resist PD-1 blockade therapy by increasing the production of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), the initial and rate-limiting enzyme involved in the degradation of tryptophan (33). Finally, HRS cells have decreased levels of adenosine deaminase, the enzyme involved degrading the purine adenosine (34). This increases levels of adenosine in cHL cells which activates the alternative degradation pathway involving CD32, CD203a, and CD73 (35). However, increased CD73 expression has been shown to directly reduce the effectiveness of PD-1 blockade therapy (36). Thus, increased adenosine levels in cHL cells confers resistance to immune checkpoint inhibitors such as nivolumab. Strategies to overcome resistance to nivolumab are currently being developed and studied (37), and certainly many combinatorial approaches are under development in clinical trial including nivolumab + AVD (NCT03907488) and brentuximab + nivolumab with or without ipilimumab (NCT01896999) (38).

Nivolumab and ipilimumab
Pembrolizumab

Like nivolumab, pembrolizumab is another monoclonal antibody that binds to and blocks PD-L1 (39). It has been FDA-approved for chL, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, small cell lung cancer, head and neck squamous cell cancer, urothelial carcinoma, colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, esophageal cancer, cervical cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, Merkel cell carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, and triple-negative breast cancer. Mechanisms of resistance to pembrolizumab are similar to those outlined for nivolumab. Currently, studies have identified ways to circumvent resistance to immune checkpoint inhibition in solid tumors (40, 41); however, studies are being conducted to develop strategies to overcome resistance in lymphoproliferative disorders (42, 43).

Mogamulizumab

A monoclonal antibody, mogamulizumab targets the C-C chemokine receptor 4 (CCR4) to inhibit this signal transduction pathway. This, subsequently, prevents the chemokine-mediated migration and proliferation of T-cells (44). Since CCR4 is expressed on almost all T-cells in cutaneous or peripheral T-cell lymphomas or leukemias, mogamulizumab has emerged as an attractive therapeutic option (44). It is currently FDA-approved for use in treating R/R mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome. A study on 19 patients with either mycosis fungoides or Sézary syndrome found that though all patients had T-cells with CCR4 expression prior to starting treatment, all of them had to discontinue mogamulizumab due to lack or loss of response to therapy (45). After stopping treatment, in 57% of patients, CCR4 expression was no longer detected by immunohistochemistry (45). Targeted DNA-sequencing of these samples found that loss of CCR4 expression occurred both with and without genomic alterations in the CCR4 gene (45). Additionally, the study identified that none of the patients that experienced a loss of CCR4 expression benefitted from a second course of mogamulizumab (45). It should also be noted that this study also identified a subset of patients with high CCR4 expression and an undetermined mechanism of resistance to mogamulizumab (45). Further investigations are currently underway to better understand these mechanisms of resistance and devise strategies to overcome them. Many combinatorial approaches are under development in clinical trials including mogamulizumab plus magroliam (NCT04541017) and mogamulizumab plus natural killer cells (NCT04848064) (46).

Vemurafenib

A small-molecule kinase inhibitor, vemurafenib inhibits the BRAF serine/threonine protein kinase with the V600E or V600K mutation. Cells with this aberrant molecule have unregulated cell growth through the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway (47, 48). Thus, this targeted therapy has been effectively used for treating melanoma and hairy cell leukemia (HCL). However, it is currently FDA-approved for unresectable or metastatic melanoma with the BRAF V600E mutation as detected by an FDA-approved test. Furthermore, it is also FDA-approved for patients with Erdheim-Chester disease, which is a rare histiocytic disorder (49, 50), that carry the BRAF V600E mutation (51). Initial studies on vemurafenib in HCL found a missense mutation in insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS1) in addition to the BRAF V600E mutation that induced the MAPK pathway through activation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) (52). By allowing BRAF to be bypassed to activate ERK, the IRS1 mutation conferred resistance to treatment (52). Additionally, the study identified a mutation in the Kirsten rat sarcoma (KRAS) gene, a protein involved in the RAS/MAPK pathway, that also mediated resistance to vemurafenib (52). Thus, this study outlined both ERK dependent and independent mechanisms of resistance to vemurafenib in HCL (52). Another study also found IRS1 and KRAS mutations in vemurafenib-resistant HCL cell lines, however, this study also found loss-of-function mutations in the neurofibromatosis 1 and 2 genes (NF1 and NF2) which contributed to the lack of response (53). To address these mechanisms of resistance, a study was conducted on a 74-year-old patient with vemurafenib-resistant HCL with many resistance-conferring mutations (including KRAS) present in the cell lines (54). MEK is a protein kinase upstream of ERK in the MAPK pathway, and with the addition of the MEK inhibitor cobimetinib to vemurafenib, the patient responded to such combination therapy (54). The bone marrow showed suppression of ERK activity (54). At 12 month follow up, the patient showed continued response and remained asymptomatic – highlighting MEK inhibition as a potential option for navigating resistance to vemurafenib (54).

Crizotinib

A tyrosine kinase receptor inhibitor, crizotinib specifically targets anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK), hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR, c-MET), and Recepteur d’Origine Nantais (RON). It is currently FDA-approved for treating metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with ALK or ROS-1 positivity per an FDA-approved test, ALK-positive anaplastic large cell lymphoma, and ALK-positive inflammatory myofibroblastic tumors. In non-small cell lung cancer, studies found a chromosomal rearrangement creating a gene fusion product that resulted in
a constitutively active ALK protein as the oncologic driver (55). Other studies on anaplastic large cell lymphoma, the most common T-cell NHL in children, found that tumor progression was primarily driven by a fusion product between ALK and mainly nucleophosmin 1 (NPM1) called NPM-ALK (56). For these reasons, crizotinib has emerged as an effective therapy for these malignancies. In cases where crizotinib resistance developed, studies identified ALK mutations conferring resistance; however, studies are being conducted to see if this can be overcome by using newer-generation ALK inhibitors such as alectinib, ceritinib, brigatinib, and lorlatinib (57). In other cases where crizotinib resistance developed and ALK mutations were not identified, a study used genome-wide clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) analysis to look for overexpressed genes that could be conferring resistance (58). This study found that in around 30% of crizotinib-resistant cell lines, the IL10RA gene for the IL-10 signaling pathway was overexpressed in cells both with and without ALK mutations (58). Through further investigation, this study identified how the IL-10 pathway ultimately activated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), a molecule that promotes cell survival (58). Furthermore, STAT3 was found to bind to the promoter for IL10RA and upregulate its expression — ultimately creating a feedback loop that bypasses NPM-ALK and promotes cell survival through increased STAT3 activity (58). However, the authors of this study did note that further investigation of this mechanism of resistance is needed (58). Strategies to overcome resistance have been identified in non-small cell lung cancer, but studies are needed to develop these strategies in lymphoproliferative disorders (59).

### Ibrutinib

Constitutive B-cell receptor signaling pathway activation has been implicated in numerous B-cell malignancies. One enzyme in this pathway is Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK), and this enzyme plays a crucial role in modulating cytokine and integrin expression for B-cell trafficking and proliferation (60). Thus, ibrutinib was developed to specifically inhibit BTK (although other enzymes are indirectly affected too) and provide a therapeutic effect in malignancies such as CLL, mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), DLBCL, and Waldenström’s macroglobulinemia (WM) (60). It is currently FDA-approved for use in treating MCL, CLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL), WM, MZL, and chronic graft versus host disease. For understanding mechanisms of resistance, early studies utilized whole-exome sequencing to compare baseline and relapse genomes of patients with CLL who had been treated with ibrutinib (61). One study concluded that resistance developed due to the BTKC481S mutation in the binding site on BTK for ibrutinib (61). This study also identified a mutation in the 1-phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate phosphodiesterase gamma-2 enzyme (PLCG2) — an enzyme that is further downstream of BTK in the B-cell signaling pathway; however its implication in resistance development was not entirely clear (61). Further investigations identified that this mutation did in fact contribute to ibrutinib-resistance in both CLL and WM (62, 63). It should be noted that within each malignancy, other genetic mutations have been identified conferring resistance to ibrutinib; however, the BTK and PLCG2 mutations are the most common in patients with CLL. BTK and PLCG2 mutations conferring resistance to ibrutinib have also been documented in MZL (64). In MCL specifically, studies have identified sustained distal B-cell receptor signaling pathway activation through the classical and alternative NFkB pathways as a mechanism underlying primary resistance to ibrutinib (65). In WM, BTK and PLCG2 mutations have been identified as mechanisms of resistance (66), however, responses to ibrutinib are also highly dependent on whether patients have the CXCR4WHIM mutation that confers resistance to ibrutinib (67, 68). Table 1 summarizes the mutated genes that lead to resistance to ibrutinib resistance in lymphoproliferative disorders.

**TABLE 1** Summary table of the mechanisms of resistance to targeted therapies in lymphoproliferative disorders.

| Agent   | Target | Primary clinical indications                                                                 | FDA-approval                                                                 | Mechanism of resistance                                                                 |
|---------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Rituximab | CD20   | NHLs, CLL, NHLs, CLL, rheumatoid arthritis, granulomatosis with polyangiitis, and microscopic polyangiitis | Expression of inhibitory proteins that block complement activation (13)        | Downregulation of BAK and BAX (15)                                                  |
|          |        |                                                                                             | Downregulation of CD20 (16, 17)                                               |                                                                                      |
| (Continued)                                                                                                           |

(Continued)
| Agent | Target | Primary clinical indications | FDA-approval | Mechanism of resistance |
|-------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Brentuximab vedotin | CD30 | chL, anaplastic large cell lymphoma, cHL and systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma | R/R DLBCL | Increased expression of MDR1 and P-glycoprotein (21) |
| Polatuzumab vedotin | CD79b | DLBCL, cHL and systemic anaplastic large cell lymphoma | | Downregulation of CD79b expression (25) |
| Nivolumab | PD-1 | cHL, melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, malignant pleural mesothelioma, renal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck, urothelial carcinoma, colorectal cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, esophageal cancer, and gastric cancer | | Absent or aberrant HLA expression (32) |
| Pembrolizumab | PD-1 | cHL, primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma, melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, small cell lung cancer, head and neck squamous cell cancer, urothelial carcinoma, colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, esophageal cancer, cervical cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, Merkel cell carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, endometrial carcinoma, cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, and triple-negative breast cancer | | Increased levels of adenosine that increases CD73 expression (34, 36) |
| Mogamulizumab | CCR4 | Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, R/R mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome | | Loss of CCR4 expression (45) |
| Vemurafenib | BRAF | Hairy cell leukemia, Unresectable or metastatic melanoma with the BRAF V600E mutation, Erdheim-Chester disease | | IRS1 mutation (52) |
| Crizotinib | ALK | Anaplastic large cell lymphoma, Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer with ALK or ROS-1 positivity, ALK positive anaplastic large cell lymphoma, ALK positive inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor | | ALK mutation (57) |
| Ibrutinib | BTK | CLL, MCL, CLL, WM, MZL, and chronic graft versus host disease. | | BTK<sup>C481S</sup> mutation (61) |
| Cerdulatinib | JAK-STAT | T-cell lymphoma, Orphan drug designation for peripheral T-cell lymphoma | | Generation of MYB-YY2 fusion gene (72) |
| Idelalisib | PI3K | CLL, Approved January 2014, Withdrawn January 2022 | | Increased IGF1R expression (74) |
disorders. In terms of molecular changes that contribute to resistance, studies have found that resistant DLBCL lines overexpress CD79B while resistant MCL lines overexpress MYC (70, 71). Increased expression of XPO1 and loss of TRAIL-induced apoptosis has been identified as a mechanism of resistance to ibrutinib in CLL (84, 85), while deletions on chromosomes 6q and 8p have been identified in WM (86, 87). Hence, not every lymphoproliferative disorder will display the same mechanism of resistance when exposed to BTK inhibitors. Resistance to ibrutinib has been overcome through the development of second-generation BTK inhibitors that target BTK with much more specificity compared to ibrutinib. Table 1 also summarizes therapeutical strategies to circumvent resistance.

Cerdulatinib

In the pathogenesis of B-cell malignancies, the Janus kinase and Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription (JAK-STAT) pathway produces an active STAT3 molecule that promotes cell survival even in a hostile tumor microenvironment (88). Studies
showed that inactivating either JAK or STAT3 decreased cell proliferation and increased apoptosis, and this provided the rationale for developing cerdulatinib—a small-molecule ATP-competitive inhibitor of SYK, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, and TYK2 (88). This therapy has FDA orphan drug designation for treating peripheral T-cell lymphoma. It should be noted that studies have highlighted that cerdulatinib can overcome ibrutinib-resistance in R/R CLL (89). Preliminary data has also shown the drug’s efficacy in treating small lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma (SLL), FL, DLBCL, ALL, and peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL). In vitro studies have modeled several mechanisms of resistance to cerdulatinib in ALL (72). The first was that long-term exposure to the drug facilitated the generation of the MYB-TYK2 fusion gene that conferred resistance (72). Next, resistant cells with the MYB-TYK2 fusion protein displayed hyperactivation of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway, leading to no response to the drug (72). However, withdrawing the drug for a brief period did re-sensitize the cells to treatment (72). In a phase 1 trial, eight patients with R/R CLL were given cerdulatinib, and two patients were found to have disease progression with treatment (73). These patients were found to have mutations in BTK, TP53, and EP300. Furthermore, it was proposed that the mutation in EP300, a gene encoding a histone acetyltransferase, was the mostly likely mechanism of resistance of cerdulatinib (73). Strategies to overcome resistance to cerdulatinib are highly awaited. For example, there was a phase 2 trial combining cerdulatinib with or without rituximab in patients with lymphoma (73).

**TABLE 2** Summary of mechanisms of resistance to ibrutinib in lymphoproliferative disorders and strategies to overcome resistance.

| Mutated gene/Aberration | Mechanism of resistance | Conditions | Possible treatment strategy | References |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| **BTK** (covalent)       | Reversible ibrutinib binding | + + + +     | Third generation BTK inhibitors, PROTAC-BTK, inhibitors of LYN and SYK | (61–124)   |
| **PLCG2**                | BTK-independent activation | + + + +     | Inhibitors of RAC2, LYN, and SYK | (61–120, 125, 126) |
| **CARD11**               | Increased NFκB signaling     | + + + +     | Proteasome or MALT1 inhibitor | (63, 127–129) |
| **BIRC3, TRAF2, TRAF3**  | Increased NFκB signaling     | +           | MP3K14 inhibitor           | (130, 131) |
| **CCND1**                | Cell cycle progression       | +           |                             | (132)      |
| **CCDKN2A**              | Cell cycle progression       | +           | PRMT5 inhibitor            | (133)      |
| **TNFAIP3**              | Increased NFκB signaling     | +           |                             | (129)      |
| **KLHL14**               | Increased MYD88-TLR9-BCR super-complex signaling | + | Inhibition of BCR-dependent NFκB activation/mTOR inhibitors | (134) |

+ Some pre-clinical or clinical evidence available that this particular pathway may play a role regarding resistance at the time of publication. Possible treatment strategies to overcome resistance are mainly theoretical based on pre-clinical hypotheses. The intention for this table is to show that the mechanisms of resistance may differ among lymphoproliferative disorders. This table is not meant to be comprehensive as there may be more mechanisms of resistance and more possible treatment strategies to overcome resistance involved in a particular pathway for any of these conditions particularly as our knowledge evolves over time.

**Idelalisib**

In many cancers, the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signal transduction pathway is highly active, which is why developing agents targeting PI3K was previously attractive (90). However, a challenge that arises is that four distinct PI3K isoforms exist with partially overlapping functions and differing toxic effects (90). One such agent is idelalisib, a selective inhibitor of the delta isoform of PI3K, which has shown strong efficacy in treating B-cell malignancies with an acceptable side-effect profile (90). This drug was previously FDA-approved for treating CLL, FL, and SLL (90). However, there was a voluntary withdrawal of the indication for SLL and FL in 2022 (91). Table 2 illustrates select PI3K inhibitors, their clinical indications, and FDA-approval status. In vitro studies evaluating idelalisib resistance in CLL found that it is associated with increased expression of the insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF1R) (74). Furthermore, this study also found that cells became re-sensitized to treatment when an IGF1R inhibitor was utilized (74). Another study found that CLL cells became resistant to idelalisib with increased and constitutive MAPK pathway activation, and this allowed for communication between the PI3K and MAPK pathways that circumvented PI3K inhibition (75). This study also identified that increased MAPK pathway activation was associated with the acquisition of mutations in **KRAS, BRAF, and MAP2K1** (75).
**Copanlisib**

Similar to idelalisib, copanlisib is a highly selective and potent intravenous PI3K inhibitor, yet it is unique because it can target multiple isoforms of PI3K, making it a pan-PI3K inhibitor (92, 93). For example, its unique affinity to the alpha isoform of PI3K (which is present in the pancreas) explains some of its toxicities including hyperglycemia (94). Furthermore, the intravenous route of administration as well as intermittent dosing schedule of the drug have been suggested to portray a more favorable tolerability profile compared to oral PI3K inhibitors (95). Nevertheless, the intravenous administration can also be cumbersome for patients that live far from a cancer center. It has been FDA-approved for relapsed FL. For mechanisms of resistance, a study on B-cell lymphoma resistant cells identified upregulation of IL-6, and IL-6 was able to independently activate STAT3 or STAT5 pathways to confer resistance to PI3K inhibition (76), thus the STAT pathway may be a relevant mechanism of resistance for some lymphoproliferative disorders (96). In resistant MZL cells, gene expression profiling showed upregulation of cytokine, NF-KB, MAPK, and JAK-STAT signaling pathways as well as the negative regulators of apoptosis (77), CD44 and JUN, as a mechanism underlying resistance (77). Furthermore, the cells showed decreased expression of genes involved in cell adhesion (ITGA4, ITGB1), antigen presentation, and interferon response (PARP12, GBP6) (77). This study also used flow cytometry to identify increased CXCR4 surface expression on resistant cells, and subsequently, the addition of a CXCR4 inhibitor overcame resistance to copanlisib (77).

**Venetoclax**

Venetoclax is an inhibitor of B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl2), a pro-survival molecule that regulates the intrinsic apoptosis pathway. This drug is currently FDA-approved to treat CLL, SLL, and acute myeloid leukemia (AML). By binding to Bcl2, venetoclax enables the Bim and BH3 proteins to activate the pro-apoptotic molecules, Bax and Bak. Activation of these molecules commits the cell to apoptosis through the intrinsic mitochondrial pathway and prohibits further cell proliferation. However, malignant cells have developed many mechanisms of resistance to the drug. Some studies have identified mutations in the BH3 binding groove of Bcl2 that led to a protein conformation change hindering the ability of venetoclax to bind to Bcl2 and ultimately conferring resistance (97). Additionally, G101V and D103Y mutations in Bcl2 were identified which also interfere with the drug binding to Bcl2 (78). Other studies looking at patients with R/R PLL identified many genetics aberrations in cancer-related genes that conferred resistance to treatment. These included: mutations of BTG1 and BRAF, deletions in CDKN2A/B, and amplification of PD-L1 expression – suggesting multiple mechanisms of resistance (79). Combination treatment strategies have been developed to improve the clinical efficacy and studies have shown improved response rates with venetoclax in combination with various agents including cytarabine, ibrutinib, rituximab, or bendamustine (98). Additional studies are currently being conducted to develop optimal combination regimens (98).

**Tazemetostat**

Enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (EZH2) is a part of the polycomb group gene (PcG) family, and this is a group of epigenetic regulators that represses transcription (99). Aberrant EZH2 expression and signaling has been implicated in the pathogenesis of various cancers, which led to the development of the EZH2 inhibitor, tazemetostat (99). This agent is FDA-approved to treat epithelioid sarcomas and R/R FL. Although the agent is FDA approved for FL, we are still trying to elucidate the mechanisms of resistance to tazemetostat in FL (80, 100). For example, it has been described that resistance to EZH2 inhibitors in DLBCL occurs due to the activation of survival pathways and acquired EZH2 mutations that prevent drug binding (80). Resistant DLBCL cells have been found to display increased activation of IGFIR as well as the MEK and PI3K pathways, conferring resistance to EZH2 inhibition (80). Additionally, this study identified acquired mutations in the gene for EZH2 that included EZH2Y641F, EZH2C663Y, EZH2E720G, and EZH2Y726F (80). These mutations prevented drug binding to the EZH2 mutants which decreased the effectiveness of treatment (80). Strategies to overcome resistance to tazemetostat are highly awaited in lymphoproliferative disorders.

**CAR T-cells**

CAR T-cell therapy has emerged as the breakthrough treatment for numerous hematological malignancies. The basic principle behind this autologous therapy is genetically engineering and modifying a person’s T-cells to display a tumor antigen-binding receptor that directs the T-cells to mount a response against tumor cells (101). A CAR construct is a genetically engineered antigen receptor that binds to a target antigen (101). The CAR construct, of the 3 FDA approved constructs currently in the market for lymphoma, is made to target cluster of differentiation (CD) molecules that are expressed on malignant cells (101). For example, in numerous B-cell malignancies, CD19 is a primary target since this is highly expressed throughout all stages of B-cell development and differentiation (101). CAR T-cell therapy has shown great efficacy in treating DLBCL, FL, MCL, B-cell ALL, and multiple myeloma. In fact, studies have
Corroborated CAR T-cell therapy efficacy and toxicity with standard of care products in real-world investigations (102). Additionally, CAR T-cells are FDA-approved in multiple lymphoproliferative disorders including DLBCL (103–105), MCL (106–108), and FL (109). Table 3 summarizes the currently available CAR T-cell therapies and their FDA-approved clinical indications as of July 31, 2022. Seeing as how effective CAR T-cell therapy has been in the R/R setting for lymphoproliferative disorders, studies are currently being conducted to investigate incorporating CAR T-cell therapy in earlier lines of therapy (110, 111). The main toxicities associated with CAR T-cell therapy are cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS). Studies have shown that certain therapies utilized prior to CAR T-cell therapy — including bridging and prophylaxis — may influence toxicity profiles and outcomes, hence we need to choose prior therapies carefully (112–116). To minimize the occurrences of CRS and ICANS, studies are investigating combinatorial approaches with the hope that these approaches could potentially be used to decrease toxicity and increase efficacy (117). As a cautionary note, not all combinations will serve as effective therapies; for example efficacy outcomes and peak CAR T-cell levels seem to be similar between patients treated with axicabtagene ciloleucel plus atezolizumab (an immune checkpoint inhibitor) as part of the ZUMA-6 trial compared to historical outcomes as part of the ZUMA-1 trial for axicabtagene ciloleucel alone (118). These malignancies have developed resistance to therapy through alteration of the CD19 marker itself through mechanisms such as frameshift mutations leading to nonsense mutation (81). Studies have shown that certain therapies utilized prior to CAR T-cell therapy — including bridging and prophylaxis — may influence toxicity profiles and outcomes, hence we need to choose prior therapies carefully (112–116). To minimize the occurrences of CRS and ICANS, studies are investigating combinatorial approaches with the hope that these approaches could potentially be used to decrease toxicity and increase efficacy (117). As a cautionary note, not all combinations will serve as effective therapies; for example efficacy outcomes and peak CAR T-cell levels seem to be similar between patients treated with axicabtagene ciloleucel plus atezolizumab (an immune checkpoint inhibitor) as part of the ZUMA-6 trial compared to historical outcomes as part of the ZUMA-1 trial for axicabtagene ciloleucel alone (118). These malignancies have developed resistance to therapy through alteration of the CD19 marker itself through mechanisms such as frameshift mutations leading to nonsense mutation mediated CD19 decay (81). Other studies found that resistance to anti-CD20 CAR T-cell therapy arose from the tumor cells downregulating the expression of CD20 (69). In B-cell ALL, studies found that the tumor cells switch from B-cell lineage to myeloid lineage after CAR T-cell therapy through a mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) gene rearrangement on chromosome 11q23 (82). Finally, in almost all B-cell malignancies, studies have identified T-cell exhaustion as a contributing factor to the poor persistence of CAR T-cells after infusion. Furthermore, studies have found that enhanced PD-L1 pathway signaling directly contributes to T-cell exhaustion (83). In fact, increased PD-L1 signaling downregulates CD28 co-domain signaling — a signal that is essential for the proper activation of CAR T-cells after the CAR molecule binds to the antigen on tumor cells (83). Thus, PD-L1 interferes with the proliferation and cytotoxicity of T-cells, conferring resistance to therapy (83). Strategies to overcome resistance are being developed and studied — including the addition of small molecules and monoclonal antibodies (102).

**Conclusion**

Targeted therapies in lymphoproliferative disorders have made great breakthroughs in treating aggressive malignancies. However, tumor cells continually develop new strategies for survival, and thus mechanisms of resistance to even the most specific agents. We have discussed the currently understood mechanisms of resistance to the most utilized targeted agents in lymphoproliferative diseases, and this has been summarized in Table 4.

We also have discussed the general common themes regarding mechanisms of resistance to targeted agents, and we illustrated this in Figure 1. We eagerly await further studies that identify methods to re-sensitize tumor cells to treatment to increase response rates.

| Agent        | Target                  | Isoform IC<sub>50</sub> | Clinical indication          | FDA status                  | Black box warnings                                    |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
|              | PI3K alpha              | PI3K beta                | PI3K gamma                  | PI3K delta                  |                                                       |
| Idelalisib   | PI3K delta              | 820                      | 565                         | 89                          | 2.5         | FL and SLL Approved January 2014; Withdrawn January 2022 | Fatal and serious toxicities: hepatic, severe diarrhea, colitis, pneumonitis, and intestinal perforation |
| Copanlisib   | PI3K alpha and delta    | 0.5                      | 3.7                         | 6.4                         | 0.7         | 3L FL Approved June 2021                                 | None                                                   |
| Umbrelisib   | PI3K delta and caspase 1 | >1000                    | 1116                        | 1065                        | 22          | 2L MZL and 4L FL Approved February 2021; Withdrawn June 2022 | Not applicable                                          |
| Duvelisib    | PI3K delta and gamma    | 1602                     | 85                          | 27                          | 2.5         | CLL and SLL Approved September 2018                       | Fatal and serious toxicities: infections, diarrhea, colitis, cutaneous reactions, and pneumonitis |

*Parsalisib is a PI3K delta inhibitor which was being explored in clinical trials for 3L FL; nevertheless, its application was withdrawn in January 2022. Zandelisib is a PI3K delta inhibitor that is currently still being explored in clinical trials for 3L FL at the time of this publication.

*IC<sub>50</sub>, half maximal inhibitory concentration; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase; CK1, caspase kinase.
### TABLE 4  Summary table of the currently available CAR T-cell therapies and their FDA-approved clinical indications as of July 31, 2022.

| Indication                                           | Tisagenlecleucel | Axicabtagene ciloleucel | Brexucabtagene autoleucel | Lisocabtagene maraleucel | Idecabtagene vicleucel | Citacabtagene autoleucel |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| R/R/ DLBCL                                           | Yes              | Yes                      | No                        | Yes                      | No                     | No                       |
| R/R/ High-Grade B-cell Lymphoma                      | Yes              | Yes                      | No                        | Yes                      | No                     | No                       |
| R/R Primary Mediastinal B-cell Lymphoma              | No               | Yes                      | No                        | Yes                      | No                     | No                       |
| R/R DLBCL Arising from Follicular Lymphoma           | Yes              | Yes                      | No                        | Yes                      | No                     | No                       |
| R/R DLBCL Arising from Indolent Lymphoma            | No               | No                       | Yes                      | No                       | No                     | No                       |
| R/R Follicular Lymphoma G1-3A                        | Yes              | Yes                      | No                        | No                       | No                     | No                       |
| R/R Follicular Lymphoma G3B                         | Yes              | Yes                      | No                        | Yes                      | No                     | No                       |
| R/R Mantle Cell Lymphoma                            | No               | No                       | Yes                      | No                       | No                     | No                       |
| R/R B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia    | Yes*             | No                       | No                        | No                       | No                     | No                       |

*Up to age 25 years.

### FIGURE 1
An illustrative summary of the general themes regarding mechanisms of resistance to targeted therapies.
Author contributions

AD and JM contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

JM: consulting – Pharmacyclics/Abbvie, Bayer, Gilead/Kite Pharma, Pfizer, Janssen, Juno/Celgene, BMS, Kyowa, Alexion, Fosunite, Innovent, Seattle Genetics, Debiopharm, Karyopharm, Gennab, ADC Therapeutics, Epizyme, Beigene, Servier, Novartis, Morphosys/Incyte, Mei pharma, Zodiac; research funding – Bayer, Gilead/Kite Pharma, Celgene, Merck, Portola, Incyte, Genentech, Pharmaciesclics, Seattle Genetics, Janssen, Millennium. Honoraria from Targeted Oncology, OncView, Curio, Kyowa, Physicians’ Education

Resource, Dava, Global clinical insights, MJH, Shanghai Youyao, and Seattle Genetics; speaker’s bureau – Gilead/Kite Pharma, Kyowa, Bayer, Pharmacyclics/Janssen, Seattle Genetics, Acrotech/Aurobindo, Beigene, Verastem, AstraZeneca, Celgene/ BMS, Genentech/Roche.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Lapuz C, Enjeti AK, O’Brien PC, Capp AI, Holliday EG, Gupta SA. Outcomes and relapse patterns following chemotherapy in advanced Hodgkin lymphoma in the positron emission tomography era. Blood Lymphat Cancer (2018) 8:13–20. doi: 10.2147/BLCR.S140044
2. Crump M, Satrua S, Neelapu SS, Farooq U, Van Den Eeste N, Kuruvilja J, et al. Outcomes in refractory diffuse large b-cell lymphoma: results from the international SCHOLAR-1 study. Blood (2017) 130(16):1800–8. doi: 10.1182/ blood.2017-7369620
3. Ansell SM, Radford I, Connors JM, Dlugosz-Danecka M, Kim W-S, Gallamini A, et al. Overall survival with brentuximab vedotin in stage III or IV hodgkin’s lymphoma. New Eng J Med (2022) 387(4):31–20. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoA2206125
4. Younes A, Connors JM, Park SK, Fanale M, O’Meara MM, Hunder NN, et al. Brentuximab vedotin combined with ABVD or AVD for patients with newly diagnosed hodgkin’s lymphoma: a phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study. Lancet Oncol (2013) 14(13):1348–56. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70501-1
5. Tilly H, Morschauffer F, Sehn LH, Friedberg JW, Trozni J, Shorman JP, et al. Polatuzumab vedotin in previously untreated diffuse large b-cell lymphoma. N Eng J Med (2021) 386(4):351–63. doi: 10.1056/nejmoa2115304
6. Tilly H, Morschauffer F, Bartlett NL, Mehta A, Salles G, Haisoun C, et al. Polatuzumab vedotin in combination with immunoschemotherapy in patients with previously untreated diffuse large b-cell lymphoma: an open-label, non-randomised, phase 1b-2 study. Lancet Oncol (2019) 20(7):998–1010. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30091-9
7. Munoz J, Swanton C, Kurzrock R. Molecular pro...

Author contributions

AD and JM contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

JM: consulting – Pharmacyclics/Abbvie, Bayer, Gilead/Kite Pharma, Pfizer, Janssen, Juno/Celgene, BMS, Kyowa, Alexion, Fosunite, Innovent, Seattle Genetics, Debiopharm, Karyopharm, Gennab, ADC Therapeutics, Epizyme, Beigene, Servier, Novartis, Morphosys/Incyte, Mei pharma, Zodiac; research funding – Bayer, Gilead/Kite Pharma, Celgene, Merck, Portola, Incyte, Genentech, Pharmaciesclics, Seattle Genetics, Janssen, Millennium. Honoraria from Targeted Oncology, OncView, Curio, Kyowa, Physicians’ Education

Resource, Dava, Global clinical insights, MJH, Shanghai Youyao, and Seattle Genetics; speaker’s bureau – Gilead/Kite Pharma, Kyowa, Bayer, Pharmacyclics/Janssen, Seattle Genetics, Acrotech/Aurobindo, Beigene, Verastem, AstraZeneca, Celgene/ BMS, Genentech/Roche.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Lapuz C, Enjeti AK, O’Brien PC, Capp AI, Holliday EG, Gupta SA. Outcomes and relapse patterns following chemotherapy in advanced Hodgkin lymphoma in the positron emission tomography era. Blood Lymphat Cancer (2018) 8:13–20. doi: 10.2147/BLCR.S140044
2. Crump M, Satrua S, Neelapu SS, Farooq U, Van Den Eeste N, Kuruvilja J, et al. Outcomes in refractory diffuse large b-cell lymphoma: results from the international SCHOLAR-1 study. Blood (2017) 130(16):1800–8. doi: 10.1182/ blood.2017-7369620
3. Ansell SM, Radford I, Connors JM, Dlugosz-Danecka M, Kim W-S, Gallamini A, et al. Overall survival with brentuximab vedotin in stage III or IV hodgkin’s lymphoma. New Eng J Med (2022) 387(4):31–20. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoA2206125
4. Younes A, Connors JM, Park SK, Fanale M, O’Meara MM, Hunder NN, et al. Brentuximab vedotin combined with ABVD or AVD for patients with newly diagnosed hodgkin’s lymphoma: a phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study. Lancet Oncol (2013) 14(13):1348–56. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70501-1
5. Tilly H, Morschauffer F, Sehn LH, Friedberg JW, Trozni J, Shorman JP, et al. Polatuzumab vedotin in previously untreated diffuse large b-cell lymphoma. N Eng J Med (2021) 386(4):351–63. doi: 10.1056/nejmoa2115304
6. Tilly H, Morschauffer F, Bartlett NL, Mehta A, Salles G, Haisoun C, et al. Polatuzumab vedotin in combination with immunoschemotherapy in patients with previously untreated diffuse large b-cell lymphoma: an open-label, non-randomised, phase 1b-2 study. Lancet Oncol (2019) 20(7):998–1010. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(19)30091-9
7. Munoz J, Swanton C, Kurzrock R. Molecular pro...
et al. Analyzing primary Hodgkin and reed-sternberg cells to capture the molecular implications for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy?

et al. HLA dependent immune escape mechanisms in b-cell lymphomas: VEGF-a modulates expression of inhibitory checkpoints on CD8+ T cells in expression, and further induction cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: recent advances and clinical potential.

Hematol

20. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-05-428896

34. Tiacci E, Doring C, Brune V, van Noesel CJ, Klapper W, Mechtersheimer G, et al. Polatuzumab vedotin in relapsed or refractory diffuse Large b-cell lymphoma. (2020) 38(2):155

36. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-0100

37. Nowicki TS, Hu-Lieskovan S, Ribas A. Mechanisms of resistance to PD-1 inhibition of anti-PD-1 through cacy of anti-PD-1 through

38. Springer S, Spaapen RM, Zha Y, Williams J, Meng Y, Ha TT, et al. Up-regulation of PD-L1, IDO, and (tregg) in the melanoma tumor microenvironment is driven by CD(+) T cells. Sci Transl Med (2013) 5(200):214ra116. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3006504

39. Tiacci E, Doring C, Brune V, van Noesel CJ, Klapper W, Mechtcheri G, et al. Analyzing primary Hodgkin and reed-sternberg cells to capture the molecular and cellular pathogenesis of classical Hodgkin lymphoma. Blood (2012) 120 (23):4699-202. doi:10.1182/blood-2012-05-428896

40. Beavis PA, Mileikovsky N, Henderson MA, John LB, Allard B, Loi S, et al. Adenosine receptor 2A blockade increases the efficacy of anti-PD-1 through enhanced antitumor T-cell responses. Cancer Immunol Res (2015) 3(5):506–17. doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0211

41. Nowicki TS, Hu-Lieskovan S, Ribas A. Mechanisms of resistance to PD-1 inhibition of anti-PD-1 through cacy of anti-PD-1 through

42. Fessler J, Matson V, Gajewski TF. Exploring the emerging role of the microbiome in cancer immunotherapy. Immunol Cancer (2018) 17(1):108. doi: 10.1186/s12952-019-0574-4

43. Blood BA, Higgs EF, Li S, Luke JJ, Gajewski TF. STING pathway agonism as a cancer therapeutic J Exp Med (2019) 290(1):24–38. doi: 10.1084/jem.20172765

44. Duric M, Evans M, Wang C. Mogamulizumab for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: recent advances and clinical potential. Ther Adv Hematol (2016) 7(3):171–4. doi:10.1177/2040620216636541

45. Bergy S, Fernandez-Pod S, Duran G, Kim YH, Khodadoust MS. Resistance to mogamulizumab is associated with loss of CCRA in cutaneous T cell lymphoma. Blood (2021) 138(Supplement 1):1325–. doi:10.1182/blood-2021-153177

46. Clinical trials using mogamulizumab. In: NIH National cancer institute. Available at: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/trials/search/v?id=NCI-2013-01275&r=1.

47. Duvic M, Evans M, Wang C. Mogamulizumab for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma: recent advances and clinical potential. Ther Adv Hematol (2016) 7(3):171–4. doi:10.1177/2040620216636541

48. Deshpande and Munoz 10.3389/fonc.2022.948513

49. Guo I, Zhang H, Chen B. Nivolumab as programmed death-1 (PD-1) inhibitor for targeted immunotherapy in tumor. J Cancer (2018) 9(3):418–6. doi:10.7150/jca.17141

50. Green MR, Monti S, Rodig SJ, Juszczynski P, Currie T, O'Donnell E, et al. Resistance mechanisms for the bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor ibrutinib in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Lancet Haematol (2019) 6(5):e254–e65. doi:10.1016/S2352-3026(19)30026-2

51. Garcia-Alonso S, Ocana A, Pandiella A. Resistance to antibody-drug conjugates. Cancer Res (2018) 78(9):2159–65. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-3677

52. Clinical trials using polatuzumab vedotin. In: NIH National cancer institute. Available at: https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/trials/search/v?id=NCI-2013-01275&r=1.

53. Voron T, Colussi O, Marcheteau E, Pernot S, Nizard M, Pointet AL, et al. Resistance mechanism for ibrutinib in marginal zone lymphoma. Leukemia (2014) 370(24):2286 –

54. doi:10.1182/blood-2013-01-323303

55. Morris SW, Kirstein MN, Valentine MB, Dittmer KG, Shapiro DN, Saltman DL, et al. Fusion of a kinase gene, ALK, to a nucleolar protein gene, NPM, in non-hodgkin's lymphoma. Science (1994) 263(5151):1281–4. doi:10.1126/science.8122112

56. Larose H, Burke GAA, Lowe EJ, Turner SD. From bench to bedside: the past, present and future of therapy for systemic paediatric ALCL, ALK. Br J Haematol (2019) 185(5):1043–54. doi:10.1111/bjh.15763

57. Camidge DR. Next-generation ALK inhibitors: is the median the message? Lancet Respir Med (2020) 8(1):5–7. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(19)30636-5

58. Hu G, Feldman AL. Drivers of crizotinib resistance in ALK+ ALCL. Blood. (2020) 136(4):1553–7. doi:10.1182/blood.2019-752092

59. Muller IB, De Langen AJ, Honeywell RJ, Giovanetti E, Peters GJ. Overcoming crizotinib resistance in ALK-rearranged NSCLC with the second-generation ALK-inhibitor ceritinib. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther (2016) 16(2):147–7. doi:10.1586/14737544.2016.1131612

60. George B, Chowdhury SM, Hart A, Sirac A, Singh SK, Nath UK, et al. Ibrutinib resistance mechanisms and treatment strategies for b-cell lymphomas. Cancers (Basel) (2020) 12(5). doi:10.3390/cancers12051328

61. Woyach JA, Furman RR, Liu TM, Ozer HG, Zapata M, Ruppert AS, et al. Resistance mechanisms for the bruton's tyrosine kinase inhibitor ibrutinib. N Engl J Med (2014) 370(24):2286–94. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1408029

62. Lampson BL, Brown JR. Are BTK and PCL2G2 mutations necessary and sufficient for ibrutinib resistance in chronic lymphocytic leukaemia? Expert Rev Hematol (2018) 11(3):185–94. doi:10.1080/17474086.2018.1435268

63. Xu L, Tsukmakis N, Yang G, Chen JG, Liu X, Demos M, et al. Acquired mutations associated with ibrutinib resistance in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Blood (2017) 128(19):2519–25. doi:10.1182/blood-2017-01-767126

64. Eppler N, Shanaa'AH J, Jones D, Christian BA, Ayappan S, Maddocks K, et al. Resistance mechanism for ibrutinib in marginal zone lymphoma. Blood Adv (2019) 3(4):560–2. doi:10.1182/bloodadvances.2018029595

65. Heraklevits-Rokab O, Pulver D, Lenz G, Selbig O. Ibrutinib resistance in mantle cell lymphoma: clinical, molecular and treatment aspects. Br J Haematol (2019) 188(1):306–19. doi:10.1111/bjh.15108

66. Jimenez C, Chan GG, Xu L, Tsukmakis N, Chen JG, Demos M, et al. Genomic analysis of ibrutinib resistance in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Blood (2020) 136(5):e254–e65. doi:10.1182/blood-2020-002504

67. Treon SP, Tripaps CK, Meid K, Warren D, Varma G, Green R, et al. Ibrutinib in previously treated Waldenstrom's macroglobulinemia. N Engl J Med (2015) 372(15):1340–40. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1501548
pathway-targeted therapy in lymphoma.

BMC Cancer (2019) 10.1186/s12885-019-6057-7

model of chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

Blood (2021) 134(6):534–47. doi: 10.1182/blood.2018810249

Tavakoli Shirazi P, Eadie LN, Page EC, Heatley SL, Bruning JB, White DL. Constitutive JAK/STAT signaling is the primary mechanism of resistance to JakI/2 in TK1-targeted acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Cancer Letters (2021) 512:28–37. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2021.04.027

Hamlin PA, Stevens D, Hess BT, Munoz J, Feldman TA, et al. Clonal dynamics towards the development of venetoclax resistance in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood Adv (2020) 4(19):536–43. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020027493

Scheinfeld AJ, Jhaveri BM, Tausch E, Blodhorn J, Ghaia P, Yahiaoui A, et al. IGFR1 as druggable target mediating PI3K-delta inhibitor resistance in a murine model of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood (2019) 134(6):334–47. doi: 10.1182/blood.2018810209

Murali I, Kasar S, McWilliams EM, Ithaki G, Tyukcheva S, Leviz D, et al. Activating MAPK pathway mutations mediate primary resistance to PI3K inhibitors in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL). Blood (2018) 132(Supplement 1):387–doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-11-159504

Kim JH, Kim WS, Ryu K, Kim SJ, Park C. CD79B limits response of diffuse large b-cell lymphomas.

BMC Cancer (2015) 15:911. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-15-911

Sood AK, Dave SS, Rosenwald A, Mesing M, Wang Z, et al. Characterization of chromosome 6q gene losses and their mutual exclusivity with mutations in CXCR4. Haematologica (2018) 103(9):e408–e11. doi: 10.3324/haematol.2018.191881

Ma J, Xing W, Coffey G, Dresser K, Lu K, Guo A, et al. Ceritinib, a novel dual SYK/JAK kinase inhibitor, has broad anti-tumor activity in both ABC and GCB types of diffuse large b-cell lymphoma. Oncotarget (2015) 6(14):43881–96. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.6316

Guo A, Lu P, Coffey G, Conley P, Pandey A, Wang XL. Dual SYK/JAK inhibitor overcomes ibrutinib resistance in chronic lymphocytic leukemia: Ceritinib, but not ibrutinib, induces apoptosis of tumor cells protected by the microenvironment. Oncotarget (2017) 8(8):12953–67. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.14588

Fruman DA, Cantley LC. Idfedalib—a PI3Kdelta inhibitor for b-cell cancers. N Engl J Med (2014) 370(11):1061–2. doi: 10.1056/NEJM400055

Richardson NC, Kassamon Y, Pazdur R, Gormley N. The saga of PI3K inhibitors in haematological malignancies: survival is the ultimate safety endpoint. Lancet Oncol (2022) 23(5):563–6. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(22)00300-5

Munoz J, Follows GA, Nastoupil LJ. Copanlisib for the treatment of malignant lymphoma. Clinical experience and future perspectives. Target Oncol (2021) 16(3):295–308. doi: 10.1007/s11523-021-00802-9

Dreyling M, Santoro A, Mollica L, Leppa S, Follows G, Lenz G, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of the PI3K inhibitor copanlisib in patients with relapsed or refractory indolent lymphoma: 2-year follow-up of the CHRONOS-1 study. Am J Hematol (2020) 95(4):362–71. doi: 10.1002/ajh.25711

Cheson BD, O’Brien S, Ewer MS, Goncalves MD, Farooki A, Lenz G, et al. Optimal management of adverse events from copanlisib in the treatment of patients with non-Hodgkin lymphomas. Clin Cancer Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk (2019) 19(3):135–41. doi: 10.1186/s13045-018-11021

Zinzani PL, Santoro A, Mollica L, Leppa S, Follows GA, Lenz G, et al. Copanlisib, a PI3K inhibitor, demonstrates a favorable long-term safety profile in a pooled analysis of patients with hematologic malignancies. Blood (2019) 134(Supplement_1):4009–. doi: 10.1182/blood-2019-131779

Munoz J, Dhillon N, Janku F, Wiatowich PS, Hong DS. STAT3 inhibitors: finding a home in lymphoma and leukemia. Oncol Rep (2014) 19(5):536–44. doi: 10.3892/orn.2013.2047

Yue X, Chen H, He J. Combination strategies to overcome resistance to the BCL2 inhibitor venetoclax in hematologic malignancies. Cancer Cell Int (2020) 20(1). doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00937-8

Yue X, Chen H, He J. Combination strategies to overcome resistance to the BCL2 inhibitor venetoclax in hematologic malignancies. Cancer Cell Int (2020) 20(1). doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00914-x

Duan R, Du W, Guo W. EZH2: a novel target for cancer treatment. J Hematol Oncol (2019) 13(1):104. doi: 10.1186/s13045-020-00913-9

Julia E, Salles G. EZH2 inhibition by tazemetostat: mechanisms of action, safety and efficacy in relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma. Future Oncol (2021) 17(17):2127–40. doi: 10.2217/fon-2020-1244

Porter DL, Levine BL, Kalos M, Bagg A, June CH. Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells in chronic lymphoid leukemia. N Engl J Med (2021) 385(18):1691–702. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2103849

Nastoupil LJ, Jain MD, Feng L, Spiegel JY, Ghobadi A, Lin Y, et al. Standard-of-Care axicabtagene ciloleucel for relapsed or refractory Large b-cell lymphoma: Results from the US lymphoma CAR T consortium. J Clin Oncol (2021) 39(28):3119–28. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.02104

Nefuus SS, Locke FL, Bartlett NL, Lekakis LJ, Miklos DB, Jacobson CA, et al. Axicabtagene ciloleucel CAR T-cell therapy in refractory large b-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med (2017) 377(26):2531–44. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1707447

Locke FL, Ghobadi A, Jacobson CA, Miklos DB, Lekakis LJ, Oluwole OO, et al. Long-term safety and activity of axicabtagene ciloleucel in refractory large b-cell lymphoma (ZUMA-1): a single-arm, multicentre, phase 1-2 trial. Lancet Oncol (2019) 20(1):31–42. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30864-7

Nefuus SS, Jacobson CA, Oluwole OO, Munoz J, Deol A, Miklos DB, et al. Outcomes of older patients in ZUMA-1, a pivotal study of axicabtagene ciloleucel in refractory large b-cell lymphoma. Blood. (2020) 135(23):2106–9. doi: 10.1182/blood.201901462

Munoz J, Goy A, Locke FL, Jacobson CA, Hill BT, et al. KTE-X19 CAR T-cell therapy in relapsed or refractory mantle-cell lymphoma. N Engl J Med (2020) 382(14):1331–42. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1914347

Wang M, Munoz J, Goy A, Locke FL, Jacobson CA, Hill BT, et al. Three-year follow-up of KTE-X19 in patients with Relapsed/Refractory mantle cell lymphoma, including high-risk subgroups, in the ZUMA-2 study. J Clin Oncol (2022) JCOI202370. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2021.02.3570

Deshpande A, Wang Y, Munoz J, Jain P. Brexucabtagene autoleucel: a breakthrough in the treatment of mantle cell lymphoma. Drugs Today (Barc) (2022) 58(6):283–98. doi: 10.1515/dott.2022.3378055
mutation revealed by longitudinal functional genomics in mantle cell lymphoma. Resistant in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Ganem Schaffer M, Phelps C, et al. Sequence variants in patients with primary and acquired resistance to ibrutinib in the phase 3 MCL001 (RAT) trial. J Clin Oncol (2016) 34(15_suppl):7570. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.34.15_suppl.7570

130. Wilson WH, Young RM, Schmitz R, Yang Y, Pittaluga S, Wright G, et al. Targeting b cell receptor signaling with ibrutinib in diffuse large b cell lymphoma. Nat Med (2015) 21(8):922–6. doi: 10.1038/nm.3884

131. Lenz G, Balasubramanian S, Goldberg J, Rizzo A, Schaffer M, Phelps C, et al. Genetic heterogeneity in primary and relapsed mantle cell lymphomas: Impact of recurrent CARD11 mutations. Oncotarget (2016) 7(25):38180–90. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.9500

132. Mohanty A, Sandoval N, Das M, Pillai R, Chen L, Chen RW, et al. CCND1 mutations increase protein stability and promote ibrutinib resistance in mantle cell lymphoma. Oncotarget (2016) 7(45):73558–70. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.12434

133. Zhang L, Yao Y, Zhang S, Liu Y, Guo H, Ahmed M, et al. Metabolic reprogramming toward oxidative phosphorylation identifies a therapeutic target for mantle cell lymphoma. Sci Transl Med (2019) 11(491). doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aau167

134. Choi J, Phelan JD, Wright GW, Haupp B, Huang DW, Shaffer AL3rd, et al. Regulation of b cell receptor-dependent NF-kappaB signaling by the tumor suppressor KLHL14. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA (2020) 117(11):6902–10. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1921187117

135. Phelan JD, Young WM, Webster DE, Rouland S, Wright GW, Kasbekar M, et al. A multiprotein supercomplex controlling oncogenic signalling in lymphoma. Nature (2018) 560(7718):387–91. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0290-0

136. Kapoor I, Li Y, Sharma A, Zhu H, Bodo X, Wu W, et al. Resistance to BTK inhibition by ibrutinib can be overcome by preventing FOXO3a nuclear export and PI3K/AKT activation in b cell lymphoid malignancies. Cell Death Dis (2010) 12:924. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2011.218

137. Zhao X, Lwin T, Silva A, Shah B, Tao J, Fang B, et al. Unification of de novo and acquired ibrutinib resistance in mantle cell lymphoma. Nat Commun (2017) 8:14920. doi: 10.1038/ncomms14920

138. Guan J, Huang D, Yakimchuk K, Okret S. p110alpha inhibition overcomes stomal cell-mediated ibrutinib resistance in mantle cell lymphoma. Mol Cancer Ther (2018) 17(5):1091–100. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0784

139. de Rooij MF, Kula A, Kater AP, Kersten MJ, Pals ST, Spaargaren M, Ibrutinib and idelalisib synergistically target BCR-controlled adhesion in MCL and CLL: A rationale for combination therapy. Blood (2015) 126(14):2306–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-12-61963

140. Davids MS, Kim HT, Nicotra A, Savelv A, Francouer K, Hellman JM, et al. Umbralisib in combination with ibrutinib in patients with relapsed or refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia or mantle cell lymphoma: A multicentre phase 1-1b study. Lancet Haematol (2019) 6(1):e38–47. doi: 10.1016/S2352-3026(18)30196-0

141. Hing ZA, Feng HY, Ranganathan P, Mitchell S, El-Gamal D, Woyach JA, et al. Next-generation XPO1 inhibitor shows improved efficacy and in vivo tolerability in hematological malignancies. Leukemia (2016) 30(12):2364–73. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.136

142. Hing ZA, Mantel R, Beckworth KA, Guinn D, Williams E, Smith LL, et al. Selinexor is effective in acquired resistance to ibrutinib and synergies with ibrutinib in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Blood (2015) 125(20):3128–32. doi: 10.1182/blood-2015-01-621391

143. Ming M, Wu W, Xie B, Sukhanova M, Wang W, Kadri S, et al. XPO1 inhibitor selinexor overcomes intrinsic ibrutinib resistance in mantle cell lymphoma via nuclear retention of IkappaB. Mol Cancer Ther (2018) 17(12):2564–74. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0789-ATR

144. Lukas M, Velten B, Sellner L, Tomoka K, Huiden J, Walther T, et al. Survey of ex vivo drug combination effects in chronic lymphocytic leukemia reveals synergistic drug effects and genetic dependencies. Leukemia. (2020) 34(3):2954– 50. doi: 10.1038/s41375-020-0846-5

145. Gaudio E, Tarantelli C, Kwee I, Barassi C, Bernasconi E, Rinaldi A, et al. Combination of the MEK inhibitor pimasertib with BTK or PI3K-delta inhibitors is active in preclinical models of aggressive lymphomas. Ann Oncol (2016) 27(6):1123–33. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw131
146. Hillmen P, Rawstron AC, Brock K, Munoz-Vicente S, Yates PJ, Bishop R, et al. Ibrutinib plus venetoclax in Relapsed/Refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia: The CLARITY study. J Clin Oncol (2019) 37(30):2722–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.19.00894

147. Jain N, Keating M, Thompson P, Ferrajoli A, Burger J, Borthakur G, et al. Ibrutinib and venetoclax for first-line treatment of CLL. N Engl J Med (2019) 380(22):2095–103. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1900574

148. Cervantes-Gomez F, Lamothe B, Woyach JA, Wierda WG, Keating MJ, Balakrishnan K, et al. Pharmacological and protein profiling suggests venetoclax (ABT-199) as optimal partner with ibrutinib in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Clin Cancer Res (2015) 21(16):3705–15. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-2809

149. Kater AP, Seymour JF, Hillmen P, Eichhorst B, Langerak AW, Owen C, et al. Fixed duration of venetoclax-rituximab in Relapsed/Refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia eradicates minimal residual disease and prolongs survival: Post-treatment follow-up of the MURANO phase III study. J Clin Oncol (2019) 37(4):269–77. doi: 10.1200/JCO.18.01580

150. Galicia-Vazquez G, Aloyz R. Ibrutinib resistance is reduced by an inhibitor of fatty acid oxidation in primary CLL lymphocytes. Front Oncol (2018) 8:411. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2018.00411

151. Burger M, Hartmann T, Krome M, Rawluk J, Tamamura H, Fujii N, et al. Small peptide inhibitors of the CXCR4 chemokine receptor (CD184) antagonize the activation, migration, and antiapoptotic responses of CXCL12 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia b cells. Blood (2005) 106(5):1824–30. doi:10.1182/blood-2004-12-4918

152. Mraz M, Zent CS, Church AK, Jelinek DF, Wu X, Pospisilova S, et al. Bone marrow stromal cells protect lymphoma b-cells from rituximab-induced apoptosis and targeting integrin alpha-4-beta-1 (VLA-4) with natalizumab can overcome this resistance. Br J Haematol (2011) 155(1):53–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2441.2011.08794.x

153. Mathur R, Sehgal L, Braun FK, Berkova Z, Romaguerra J, Wang M, et al. Targeting wnt pathway in mantle cell lymphoma-initiating cells. J Hematol Oncol (2015) 8:63. doi: 10.1186/s13045-015-0161-1