ComparISON of old and local apple varieties and seedlings (Malus domestica Borkh.) in the variability of some morphological characters of fruits and seeds
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Old and local varieties of cultivated plant species selected from natural populations adapted to long-term cultivation, which represent a rich genetic potential for the development of agroecosystems and agriculture under specific conditions, resources for an environment aestheticization, landscaping and development of cultural traditions. The research focused on determining the economic value of a selected collection of old and local varieties of apple tree (Malus domestica Borkh.), widespread in Slovakia for their practical use in organic farming or as genetic resources for breeding new varieties for organic food production. For experimental evaluation, we used two collections: 1) 73 old and local varieties of apple trees concentrated and preserved ex situ in a clone repository in the village Bacúch; 2) 77 self-sown seedlings, that spontaneously emerged as a result of free pollination and are growing in situ around Nitra, Levice, Nové Zámky, Šaľa, Galanta, Hlohovec, Piešťany, Piešťany, Partizánske, Zlaté Moravce. We determined for all specimens the range for the weight of fruits 53.63–207.40/16.13–197.59 (g), height of fruits 41.47–72.93/29.55–74.04 (mm), diameter of fruits 51.46–84.66/36.85–78.43 (mm), length of core/13.16–27.36/11.24–25.86 (mm), diameter of core 18.26–33.46/13.72–30.86 (mm), weight of 10 seeds 0.38–0.77/0.29–0.98 (g), height of seeds 6.68–9.90/6.16–9.83 (mm), diameter of seeds 3.73–5.71/3.51–5.27 (mm). The results document that in both collections there are genotypes suitable for organic cultivation, and further selective improvement.
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Introdución

The native range of apple tree (Malus domestica Borkh.) is difficult to determine, as the species is a product of domestication and multiple hybridizations across the world over thousands of years. In Slovakia, fruit growing has a long tradition. Apple trees have a dominant position in fruit growing. Malus domestica from the genus Malus from the family Rosaceae and the subfamily Pomoideae is an example of the most important, the most widespread and best adapted fruit tree of temperate zone in terms of production. Malus occupies a central place in the folklore, culture and art (Robinson et al., 2001; Harris et al., 2002; Juniper and Mabberley, 2006; Velasco et al., 2010).

A local variety is a domesticated, locally adapted, traditional variety of a species of plant that has developed over time, through adaptation to its natural and cultural environment of agriculture, and due to isolation from other populations of the species. Local varieties are generally distinguished from cultivars.
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They have been selected from natural populations and grown for nutritional use or other purposes. Due to their long-term cultivation in different areas, they have adapted to certain specific growing conditions, thus acquiring a high degree of tolerance against adverse environmental factors. Old cultivars and varieties are highly disease resistant to apple scab, powdery mildew, green apple aphid, apple codling moth in general (Militaru et al., 2015; Papp et al., 2015). Cultivation the less susceptible varieties is the most obvious way to reduce problems with pests and diseases; therefore, the choice of apple varieties for organic farming is extremely important. Great effort has been put into developing breeding programmes to create scab resistant varieties. However, older varieties that originated before the appearance of pesticides might be less susceptible than newer varieties and would thereby be a better choice for organic farming (Kühn et al., 2003; Militaru et al., 2015; Papp et al., 2015).

Regarding polyphenols, it is known that old and local apple varieties were characterized by a higher content of polyphenols and stronger antioxidant properties than commercial varieties, which enjoys a high growth rate, but unfortunately, these new varieties are characterized by a very low content of bioactive compounds, including polyphenolic compounds (Kuznetsova et al., 2017; Oszmiański et al., 2019). The consumption of such apple varieties may reduce the polyphenolic compounds in the dietary supply (Iacopini et al., 2010; Donno et al., 2012). Some studies presented the amounts of biologically active substances in old and new varieties were similar (Wojdyło et al., 2010). In the study of Feliciano et al. (2010), both traditional and exotic apple varieties from Portugal showed high amounts of polyphenols. It should be noted that environmental conditions can influence on the polyphenol amounts.

Local varieties represent the means of production for the development of agroecosystems and agriculture in specific conditions, resources for the aestheticization of the environment, landscaping and the development of cultural traditions (Brindza, 2001; Tóth et al., 2004; Canopoulos et al., 2017). One of the largest collections of old apple varieties is located in a neighbouring Poland and Ukraine and spread over the territory of the then ancient Eastern Galicia in Central Europe (Dovbysh and Borodai, 2011; Žygalas et al., 2011).

It is generally known that many local varieties, as well as cultivars, were selected from local self-sown individuals – seedlings (Boček, 2008a, 2008b; Hulin et al., 2012; Posolda et al., 2019). The establishment of clone repositories to save the endangered gene pool of plants has an application in our country for many fruit species such as pear, cherry, plum, chestnut, etc. (Bolvansky and Užík, 2012; Paprstein et al., 2013; Benedíková et al., 2016). It is necessary to identify and evaluate genotypes based on the morphometrical and biochemical traits in various conditions, as evidenced by the many authors (Ivanišová et al., 2017; Vinogradova et al., 2017; Grygorieva et al., 2017a,b, 2018a,b; Fatrová Šramková et al., 2019; Levon and Golubkova, 2019; Vergun et al., 2020).

This study aimed to evaluate the genetic resources of apple tree for organic farming in the collection of old and local varieties of Malus domestica Borkh. as well as self-sown seedlings widespread in Slovakia.

Material and methodology

Biological material

Two collections of biological material were used as genetic resources for the study:

1. Old and local varieties from different areas of Slovakia which are kept ex situ in a clone repository in the village Bacúch – 73 selected genotypes. In the experiments, samples were marked as R and the appropriate number.

2. Wild self-sown individuals – fruit-beraing seedlings from different localities (Nitra, Levice, Nové Zámky, Šaľa, Galanta, Hlohovec, Pieštán, Prievidza, Partizánske, Zlaté Moravce) in the form in situ – 77 selected genotypes. In the experiments, samples were marked as S and the appropriate number.

The total number of evaluated genotypes were 150.

Fruits with peduncle were taken from trees in September and October 2010 and analysed in the morphometric laboratory at the Institute of Biodiversity Conservation and Biosafety in Nitra (Slovakia).

Morphometrical analysis

They were evaluated the following characters:

a) fruits – 30 fruits were evaluated from each genotype (n = 30), weight of fruit (g), height of fruit (mm), diameter of fruit (mm), length of core (mm), diameter of core (mm), depth of stalk cavity (mm), depth of eye basin (mm);

b) seeds – 30 seeds were evaluated from each genotype (n = 30), weight of 10 seeds (g), height of seed (mm), diameter of seed (mm).

The weights were determined by digital scale (Kern ADB-A01S05, Germany; KERN DS – type D-72336,
Kern and Sohn GmbH, Germany), accurate to 0.01 g. Fruits and seeds were measured by a digital calliper (METRICA 111 – 012, Czech Republic) accurate to 0.02 mm.

**Image analysis**

1. Fruit: the shape of the fruit, the shape of the apical part of the fruit (at the stalk), depth of stalk cavity, depth of eye basin, the shape of the basal part of the fruit, basic colour of the skin at the full maturity, the colour of the pulp of ripe fruit.
2. Seeds: the shape of seeds.

Images were obtained using the stereomicroscope ZEISS SteREO Discovery.V20 (MicrolImaging GmbH 37081 Göttingen, Germany), and Fuji FinePix S 7000 and Panasonic DMC FZ50 digital cameras.

**Statistical analysis**

It was evaluated the variability of each character using descriptive statistics. For the characteristics it was used the basic descriptors of variability: average, minimum measured value, maximum measured value, the coefficient of variation (%). The degree of variability was determined by the coefficient of variation values.
The given parameter is independent of the unit of the evaluated character. Theoretically, they can acquire different values (Stehlíková, 1998). We used analysis of variance (ANOVA) in the program STATISTICA 1.10 to determine the dependence between individual characters.

Results and discussion

Evaluation and identification of genotypes based on morphological traits are important for the detection and selection of individuals that are suitable genetic material for hybridization and breeding program of new varieties, which contributes to the global conservation of biological diversity (Monka et al., 2014; Grygorieva et al., 2017a,b; Motyleva et al., 2017, 2018; Ivanišová et al., 2017; Vinogradova et al., 2017; Brindza et al., 2018, 2019; Fatrcová-Šramková et al., 2019; Horčínová Sedláčková et al., 2020).

Morphometrical analysis of fruits

When evaluating the genotypes under study (Table 2), the average weight of the fruits has been determined in the range of 3.63 g (R18/9) – 207.40 g (R30/7)/16.13 g (S12) – 197.59 g (S03). The coefficients of variation were determined in the range of 4.18 (R33/12) – 17.02 (R18/7) %/11.08 (S38) – 40.61 (S22) %. These data demonstrate that the characters are from the low to very high degree of variability. The above comparisons show that it is possible to search for genotypes with the required fruit size in the populations of wild seedlings.

The differences in the weight of tested varieties were significant, and that is in full compliance with the studies assortment of old apple varieties from Denmark 77–205 g (Kühn et al., 2003), Montenegro 62.23–182.34 g (Božović et al., 2013), Croatia 26–325 g (Jakobek et al., 2020), Romania 117.0–186.5 g (Mitre et al., 2015), Bosnia and Herzegovina 63.77–208.97 g (Stanivuković et al., 2017).

Dvořák et al. (1976) classified fruits according to 3-years-old average weight as extremely small (below 15 g); very small (16–48 g); small (49–70 g); smaller (71–110 g); medium (111–150 g); larger (151–200 g); large (201–250 g); very large (251–350 g) and extremely large (above 351 g). Michálek (2003) divides apple varieties according to the size of the fruit while declaring the size of the fruit according to the dimensions – height and diameter of the fruit at the place of the largest diameter. According to the given descriptor, it recognizes smaller fruits – the average transverse diameter is up to 55 mm, medium-sized (55–70 mm), large fruits (71–85 mm) and very large fruits (more than 85 mm). According to the above
Table 2  Variability of fruits of old and local varieties of *Malus domestica* Borkh.

| Seedlings | Genotypes from repository Bacúch |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| **Weight of fruits (g)** | **Weight of fruits (g)** |
| n | min | max | x̅ | V | H | n | min | max | x̅ | V | H |
| **Genotypes with low values** | **Genotypes with high values** |
| S12 | 30  | 12.20 | 20.50 | 16.13 | 18.83 | k | R18/9 | 30  | 47.7  | 59.7  | 53.63 | 7.59 | g |
| S69 | 30  | 30.00 | 45.00 | 36.45 | 11.95 | j | R35/10 | 30  | 50.7  | 70.1  | 59.36 | 11.13 | f |
| **Height of fruits (mm)** | **Height of fruits (mm)** |
| n | min | max | x̅ | V | H | n | min | max | x̅ | V | H |
| **Genotypes with low values** | **Genotypes with high values** |
| S12 | 30  | 27.40 | 32.30 | 29.55 | 5.60 | e | R33/12 | 30  | 38.70 | 43.70 | 41.47 | 3.33 | d |
| S69 | 30  | 33.60 | 39.30 | 36.38 | 4.70 | ed | R1/4 | 30  | 41.5  | 44.6  | 42.86 | 1.86 | d |
| **Diameter of fruits (mm)** | **Diameter of fruits (mm)** |
| n | min | max | x̅ | V | H | n | min | max | x̅ | V | H |
| **Genotypes with low values** | **Genotypes with high values** |
| S12 | 30  | 33.50 | 40.00 | 36.85 | 6.03 | f | R18/9 | 30  | 48.90 | 54.20 | 51.46 | 3.71 | e |
| V69 | 30  | 43.10 | 50.10 | 45.67 | 5.57 | r | R22/3 | 30  | 49.00 | 53.80 | 51.58 | 2.94 | e |
| **Depth of stalk cavity (mm)** | **Depth of stalk cavity (mm)** |
| n | min | max | x̅ | V | H | n | min | max | x̅ | V | H |
| **Genotypes with low values** | **Genotypes with high values** |
| S24 | 30  | 0.00  | 5.30  | 2.04  | 83.00 | cd | R22/3 | 30  | 0.00  | 3.60  | 1.67  | 67.63 | e |
| S12 | 30  | 1.00  | 4.40  | 2.96  | 34.28 | cd | R1/12 | 30  | 4.20  | 6.40  | 5.36  | 16.29 | d |
| **Depth of eye basin (mm)** | **Depth of eye basin (mm)** |
| n | min | max | x̅ | V | H | n | min | max | x̅ | V | H |
| **Genotypes with low values** | **Genotypes with high values** |
| S22 | 30  | 8.10  | 18.10 | 11.69 | 29.09 | a | R3/16 | 30  | 7.20  | 10.00 | 8.86  | 9.77 | a |
| S20 | 30  | 4.80  | 19.20 | 9.58  | 39.18 | b | R16/14 | 30  | 7.60  | 9.50  | 8.60  | 6.71 | a |
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The average height of fruits of the genotypes under the study was in the range 41.43 mm (R33/12) – 72.93 mm (R30/7) – 29.55 mm (S12) – 74.04 mm (S22). The collection of self-sown seedlings showed a significantly higher variation range in both evaluated traits. The coefficients of variation confirm the low or the medium degree of variability of the characters. Parameters are shown in Table 2.

Jakobek et al. (2020) recorded heights (34–79 mm) and diameters (41–89 mm) of old varieties. The average height and diameter of old apple varieties cultivated in Bosnia and Herzegovina (Stanivuković et al., 2017) were recorded in the interval 50.08–67.21 mm and 53.52–80.23 mm, respectively. Results showed by Božović et al. (2013) in Montenegro, where the intervals of evaluated traits were 42.29–64.70 mm and 54.08–78.27 mm respectively, are similar to the data shown. Michálek (2003) states that from the market point of view, mainly varieties with medium to large fruits are in demand. Small or too large fruits are commercially unattractive. This customer requirement must be taken into account at assessing genotypes as a potential gene pool in breeding programs, as there are 19.5 % of samples with small fruits (below 55 mm) in our research collection.

An important diagnostic feature is the depth of stalk cavity and depth of eye basin, because the measured features may have a specific range for each variety and genotype. We determined the average depth of the stalk cavity in the collection of old and local varieties/wild seedlings in the range of 1.67 mm (R22/3) – 14.82 mm (R14/11)/2.04 mm (S24) – 16.11 mm (S20). The results show that some fruits did not have stalk cavity (Table 2). We determined the average depth of eye basin in the collection of old and local varieties/wild seedlings in the range of 2.10 mm (R18/9) – 8.86 mm (R3/16)/1.22 mm (S38) – 11.69 mm (S22). We
did not find any significant differences between the collections. The values of the coefficients of variation confirm the low or extremely high degree of variability of the traits.

Michálek (2003) distinguishes the shapes of the stalk cavity as narrow and shallow, wide and shallow, wide and deep, narrow and deep. In some varieties, a characteristic swollen formation is formed, which often overgrows and tilts the stalk to one side. We recorded a relatively large variability of the pomological feature (Figure 3). In the calyx part of the fruit, the shape, size and eye basin are important features. The depth of eye basin and its shape can be important because they are a little variable (Figure 4). According to Michálek (2003), we know the following eye basin: the small eye basin, the spacious eye basin, the short eye basin, the funnel eye basin, plumpness eye basin.

The average length of core of the genotypes under the study was in the range of 13.16 mm (R31/2) – 27.36 mm (R3/2)/11.24 mm (S10) – 25.86 mm (S03), and diameter of core was in the range of 18.26 mm (R1/4) – 33.46 mm (R20/8)/13.72 mm (S75) – 30.86 mm (S3). We did not find any significant differences between the collections in the length and diameter of core, but diameters were relatively lower in the collection of wild seedlings. Coefficients of variation confirm the low or medium degree of variability of both characters.
We determined the average value of the fruit shape index in the collection of old and local varieties and in the collection of wild seedlings. It is in the range from 0.69 (R1/4) to 1.26 (R41/4) and from 0.74 (S02) to 1.01 (S22). The comparison of genotypes with low and high values of the trait and variation ranges of the evaluated trait shows that genotypes with different values of the fruit shape index were determined in both collections. We did not find any significant differences between the collections. The coefficients of variation confirm the low degree of variability of the trait in both collections. Jakobek et al. (2020) recorded fruit shape index values (0.7–1.2) of old varieties.

The results from the analysis of variance of the evaluated traits (Table 3, Table 4) confirm the statistically significant differences between the evaluated genotypes.

Iqbal et al. (2011) described analytical methods tested in a laboratory for estimation of volume of axi-symmetric fruits like apples based on single view fruit images and the shape-based analytical models. The fruits are categorized into spherical, ellipsoid and paraboloid shapes with appropriate analytical models for their volume estimation. In both our collections of genotypes, spherical, elliptical and parabolic fruits are

Figure 4 Variability in the characters of the depth of stalk cavity and depth of eye basin of the evaluated genotypes of the apple tree (Malus domestica Borkh.)
Table 3  Analysis of variance of evaluated fruit traits of genotypes of old and local varieties of *Malus domestica* Borkh. from the repository Bacúch

| Factors                  | f  | S          | MS            | F              | H     | LSD   |
|--------------------------|----|------------|---------------|----------------|-------|-------|
| **Weight of fruit (g)**  |    |            |               |                |       |       |
| Between genotypes        | 9  | 124512.500 | 13 834.720    | 137.226        | 0.000 | 0.05  | 14.447|
| Within genotypes         | 90 | 9073.531   | 100.817       |                | 0.01  | 17.018|
| Total                    | 99 | 133586.031 |               |                |       |       |
| **Height of fruit (mm)** |    |            |               |                |       |       |
| Between genotypes        | 9  | 4463.000   | 495.888       | 70.057         | 0.000 | 0.05  | 3.828 |
| Within genotypes         | 90 | 637.052    | 7.078         |                | 0.01  | 4.509 |
| Total                    | 99 | 5100.052   |               |                |       |       |
| **Diameter of fruit (mm)**|    |            |               |                |       |       |
| Between genotypes        | 9  | 5470.781   | 607.864       | 73.086         | 0.000 | 0.05  | 4.149 |
| Within genotypes         | 90 | 748.535    | 8.317         |                | 0.01  | 4.888 |
| Total                    | 99 | 6219.316   |               |                |       |       |
| **Depth of stalk cavity (mm)** |    |            |               |                |       |       |
| Between genotypes        | 9  | 652.568    | 72.507        | 81.934         | 0.000 | 0.05  | 1.353 |
| Within genotypes         | 90 | 79.645     | 0.884         |                | 0.01  | 1.594 |
| Total                    | 99 | 732.213    |               |                |       |       |
| **Depth of eye basin (mm)**|    |            |               |                |       |       |
| Between genotypes        | 9  | 321.236    | 35.693        | 54.705         | 0.000 | 0.05  | 1.162 |
| Within genotypes         | 90 | 58.721     | 0.652         |                | 0.01  | 1.369 |
| Total                    | 99 | 379.958    |               |                |       |       |
| **Length of core (mm)**  |    |            |               |                |       |       |
| Between genotypes        | 9  | 494.136    | 54.904        | 81.520         | 0.000 | 0.05  | 1.180 |
| Within genotypes         | 90 | 60.615     | 0.673         |                | 0.01  | 1.391 |
| Total                    | 99 | 554.752    |               |                |       |       |
| **Diameter of core (mm)** |    |            |               |                |       |       |
| Between genotypes        | 9  | 502.640    | 55.849        | 29.630         | 0.000 | 0.05  | 1.975 |
| Within genotypes         | 90 | 169.636    | 1.884         |                | 0.01  | 2.327 |
| Total                    | 99 | 672.277    |               |                |       |       |

Note: f – number of degrees of freedom; S – the sum of squares; MS – average square; F – Fischer test value; P – statistical significance by Fischer test; H – homogeneity; LSD – a least significant difference
most represented (Figure 3), which is in accordance with the literature data.

Apples may vary in colour, from uniformly dark-red, red, reddish, green, orange, yellow, white, or bi-coloured, such as striped or blushed red on a yellow or green background. Results have shown high variability of shapes and colours in both collections of *Malus domestica*.

The core of the fruit usually consists of five seed carpels pockets or carpels. Sometimes some fruits have only four or three carpels. Each pocket contains seeds. The number of seeds per carpel is determined by the vigour and health of the plant. Different varieties of apples will have a different number of seeds. Each carpel generally contains two seeds. Seeds are smooth, shiny, and chestnut brown (Jackson, 2003; Huff, 2012–2013). The individual varieties are characterized not only by the shape of the core but also by its size and its location (Michálek, 2003). Figure 5 documents some differences in the shape of the core. On the cross-section, we can see 10 vascular bundles in a circle around the core. They seem like darker or lighter dots. In total, we can observe 10 vascular bundles, of which 5 are located directly opposite the tops of the carpels, the other 5 are between them. The vascular bundles determine the angularity of the fruit. If they are in a circle and evenly

### Table 4

Analysis of variance of evaluated fruit traits of seedlings of *Malus domestica* Borkh.

| Factors                     | f  | S        | MS     | F      | H     | LSD  |
|-----------------------------|----|----------|--------|--------|-------|------|
| Weight of fruit (g)         |    |          |        |        |       |      |
| Between genotypes           | 9  | 24722.800| 27469.420| 50.756 | 0.05  | 33.472|
| Within genotypes            | 90 | 48708.177| 541.202 | 0.01   | 39.431|
| Total                       | 99 | 295932.990|        |        |       |      |
| Height of fruit (mm)        |    |          |        |        |       |      |
| Between genotypes           | 9  | 11953.730| 1328.193| 65.014 | 0.05  | 6.503 |
| Within genotypes            | 90 | 1838.614 | 20.429  | 0.01   | 7.661 |
| Total                       | 99 | 13792.348|        |        |       |      |
| Diameter of fruit (mm)      |    |          |        |        |       |      |
| Between genotypes           | 9  | 12673.470| 1408.163| 83.695 | -0.00 | 5.901 |
| Within genotypes            | 90 | 1514.241 | 16.824  | 0.01   | 6.952 |
| Total                       | 99 | 14187.709|        |        |       |      |
| Depth of stalk cavity (mm)  |    |          |        |        |       |      |
| Between genotypes           | 9  | 827.314  | 91.923  | 33.774 | -0.00 | 2.373 |
| Within genotypes            | 90 | 244.951  | 2.721   | 0.01   | 2.796 |
| Total                       | 99 | 1072.266 |        |        |       |      |
| Depth of eye basin (mm)     |    |          |        |        |       |      |
| Between genotypes           | 9  | 575.351  | 63.928  | 46.523 | -0.00 | 1.686 |
| Within genotypes            | 90 | 123.668  | 1.374   | 0.01   | 1.986 |
| Total                       | 99 | 699.019  |        |        |       |      |
| Length of core (mm)         |    |          |        |        |       |      |
| Between genotypes           | 9  | 1722.531 | 191.392 | 39.174 | 0.05  | 3.180 |
| Within genotypes            | 90 | 439.705  | 4.885   | 0.01   | 3.746 |
| Total                       | 99 | 2162.237 |        |        |       |      |
| Diameter of core (mm)       |    |          |        |        |       |      |
| Between genotypes           | 9  | 1096.727 | 121.858 | 34.799 | -0.00 | 2.692 |
| Within genotypes            | 90 | 315.156  | 3.501   | 0.01   | 3.171 |
| Total                       | 99 | 1411.883 |        |        |       |      |

Note: f – number of degrees of freedom; S – the sum of squares; MS – average square; F – Fischer test value; P – statistical significance by Fischer test; H – homogeneity; LSD – a least significant difference.
developed, the fruit is uniformly rotund in cross-section. If they are in two circles, the outer ones tend to be more developed and the fruit is thus become slightly angular (Kohout, 1960; Dvořák et al., 1976; Michálek, 2003). The examples on the presented photo (Figure 5) document that in the evaluated collection of genotypes has a relatively large variability of this pomological feature.

**Morphometrical analysis of seeds**

On the seeds, we evaluated the characteristics of the weight of 10 seeds (g), the height of seeds (mm) and the diameter of seeds (mm). We determined the average weight of seeds in the genotypes under the study in the range from 0.38 g (R15/5) to 0.77 g (R41/1) and from 0.29 g (S28) to 0.98 g (S92). In the collection of wild seedlings, we recorded a higher range of variation in the evaluated trait. The coefficients of variation confirm the low or medium degree of variability of the trait. The average height of seeds for the collection of old and local varieties was in the range from 6.67 mm (R18/9) to 9.89 mm (R16/14) and for the collection of wild seedlings from 6.16 mm (S40) to 9.83 mm (S67). We did not find any significant differences between the collections. The coefficients of variation confirm the low degree of variability of the trait. We determined the

**Figure 5**  Comparison of selected genotypes from the evaluated collection of seedlings of *Malus domestica* Borkh. in the number of vascular bundles in the longitudinal and cross section.
Table 5  Variability of seeds of old and local varieties and of wild seedlings of *Malus domestica* Borkh.

| Weight of seeds (g) | Seedlings | Genotypes from repository Bacúch |
|---------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
|                     | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH |
| Genotypes with low values |
| S28                 | 30 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 15.38 | c | R15/5 |
| S12                 | 30 | 0.25 | 0.36 | 0.30 | 13.20 | c | R23/3 |
| Genotypes with high values |
| S92                 | 30 | 0.88 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 5.18 | a | R41/1 |
| S89                 | 30 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 7.14 | a | R29/5 |

| Height of seeds (mm) | Seedlings | Genotypes from repository Bacúch |
|---------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
|                     | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH |
| Genotypes with low values |
| S40                 | 30 | 5.81 | 6.72 | 6.16 | 4.66 | c | R18/9 |
| S62                 | 30 | 5.44 | 6.71 | 6.25 | 6.07 | c | R31/10 |
| Genotypes with high values |
| S67                 | 30 | 9.06 | 10.63 | 9.83 | 5.58 | a | R16/14 |
| S38                 | 30 | 8.10 | 10.22 | 9.34 | 6.30 | a | R27/11 |

| Diameter of seeds (mm) | Seedlings | Genotypes from repository Bacúch |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
|                      | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH |
| Genotypes with low values |
| S82                  | 30 | 3.02 | 3.96 | 3.51 | 7.88 | c | R23/3 |
| S07                  | 30 | 3.19 | 3.99 | 3.57 | 6.77 | c | R41/4 |
| Genotypes with high values |
| S72                  | 30 | 4.85 | 5.48 | 5.26 | 3.64 | a | R5/4 |
| S89                  | 30 | 4.66 | 5.47 | 5.08 | 4.37 | a | R19/12 |

| Index of seed shape | Seedlings | Genotypes from repository Bacúch |
|---------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
|                      | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH | n | min | max | x̅ | V | TH |
| Genotypes with low values |
| S62                  | 30 | 1.17 | 1.41 | 1.35 | 5.26 | bc | R19/12 |
| S40                  | 30 | 1.44 | 1.68 | 1.54 | 4.69 | b | R7/6 |
| Genotypes with high values |
| S49                  | 30 | 2.07 | 2.84 | 2.45 | 10.60 | a | R27/11 |
| S19                  | 30 | 1.88 | 2.62 | 2.28 | 10.57 | a | R16/12 |

Note: n – the number of measurements; min, max – minimal and maximal measured values; x̅ – arithmetic mean; V – coefficient of variation (%); H – LSD homogeneity test at P<0.05.
average diameter of seeds in the collection of old and local varieties in the range 3.73 mm (R23/2) – 5.71 mm (R5/4) and for the collection of wild seedlings 3.51 mm (S82) – 5.26 mm (S72). We did not find any significant differences between the collections. The coefficients of variation show that the degree of variability of this trait within both collections varies from low to high (Table 5). Our results do not diverge from the data of Jacobek et al. (2020), who estimated the weight of seeds in fruits from 0.07 to 0.53 g, and the weight of a single seed from 0.03 to 0.08 g.

A comparison of genotypes shows that genotypes with different seed shape indices were identified in both collections. We did not find any significant differences between the collections. The coefficients of variation show that the degree of variability of this trait within both collections varies from low to high.

Figure 6 shows a comparison of selected genotypes from the evaluated collection of the natural seedlings of the apple tree (*Malus domestica*) in seed shapes.

The analysis of variance of the evaluated traits (Table 6) confirmed the statistically significant differences between the evaluated genotypes.
Conclusions

Based on morphometric analysis of fruits and seeds of both collection:
1. of old and local varieties,
2. of spontaneous seedlings from free pollination,
we determined the range of phenotypic variability for all traits and combinations of traits in both groups of evaluated genotypes.

When comparing the ranges of variability for all evaluated traits, we found a significant degree of agreement. The results confirm that some individuals that grow wild and represent spontaneous seedlings from free pollination have a set of economically important traits and are ready to be used as potential genetic resources for a breeding program. Future efforts focused on “wild forms” should focus on preserving all unique genotypes to maintain both cultural heritage and biological genetic diversity.
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Table 6  Analysis of variance of evaluated seed traits of genotypes from two collections of Malus domestica Borkh.

| Factors                      | f   | S       | MS      | F     | H    | LSD   |
|------------------------------|-----|---------|---------|-------|------|-------|
|                              |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Genotypes from repository Bacúch |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Weight of 10 seeds (g)       |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Between genotypes            | 9   | 0.888   | 0.098   | 42.074| 0.000| 0.05  |
| Within genotypes             | 90  | 0.211   | 0.002   |       | 0.01 | 0.082 |
| Total                        | 99  | 1.099   |         |       |      |       |
| Height of seeds (mm)         |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Between genotypes            | 9   | 78.918  | 8.768   | 24.898| 0.000| 0.05  |
| Within genotypes             | 90  | 31.696  | 0.352   |       | 0.01 | 1.005 |
| Total                        | 99  | 110.614 |         |       |      |       |
| Diameter of seeds (mm)       |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Between genotypes            | 9   | 16.238  | 1.804   | 10.330| 0.000| 0.05  |
| Within genotypes             | 90  | 15.718  | 0.174   |       | 0.01 | 0.708 |
| Total                        | 99  | 31.957  |         |       |      |       |
| Seedlings                    |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Weight of 10 seeds (g)       |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Between genotypes            | 9   | 0.724   | 0.080   | 268.465| 0.000| 0.05  |
| Within genotypes             | 90  | 0.027   | 0.000   |       | 0.01 | 0.029 |
| Total                        | 99  | 0.751   |         |       |      |       |
| Height of seeds (mm)         |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Between genotypes            | 9   | 43.955  | 4.884   | 25.377| 0.000| 0.05  |
| Within genotypes             | 90  | 17.320  | 0.192   |       | 0.01 | 0.743 |
| Total                        | 99  | 61.276  |         |       |      |       |
| Diameter of seeds (mm)       |     |         |         |       |      |       |
| Between genotypes            | 9   | 10.109  | 1.123   | 15.295| 0.000| 0.05  |
| Within genotypes             | 90  | 6.609   | 0.073   |       | 0.01 | 0.459 |
| Total                        | 99  | 16.719  |         |       |      |       |

Note: f – number of degrees of freedom; S – the sum of squares; MS – average square; F – Fischer test value; P – statistical significance by Fischer test; H – homogeneity; LSD – a least significant difference
of research, education and development program «Agrobiodiversity for improving nutrition, health and life quality» and within the project ITEBIO – ITMS 26220220115.

References

BENEDIKOVÁ, D., GLASA, M., BENKOVÁ, M., SNAJDAR, N. 2016. Monitoring and preservation of old cherry cultivars in the Slovak Republic. In Acta Horticulturae, vol. 1139, p. 225–260. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2016.1139.39

BOČEK, S. 2008b. Old and regional varieties, their importance and use at present. [In Czech: Staré a krajové odrůdy, jejich význam a využití v současnosti]. In: Ovocné děviny v krajině. Sborník přednášek a seminárních prací. Hostětín, pp. 7–19. ISBN 978-80-904109-2-3. [In Czech]

BOLVANSKÝ, M., UŽÍK, M. 2012. Variability of chestnut in selected localities of Slovakia. Biodiversity in agricultural landscape and ecosystem. In Proceedings of the International Congress RÉVERSE-INTERREG IVC. Pešťany : Research Institute of Plant Porduction, p. 44–47.

BOŽOVIČ, D., JACIMOVIĆ, V., LAZOVIC, B. 2013. Old apple varieties in Central Montenegro. In Agriculture & Forestry, vol.59(2), p. 217–223.

BRINDZA, J. 2001. Ochraná genofondu rastlín. [Conservation of plant geen pool]. Nitra – Bratislava, 2001. 143 s. ISBN 80-7137-974-3. [In Slovak]

BRINDZA, J., GRYGORIEVA, O., KLYMENKO, S., VERGUN, O., MAREČEK, J., IVANIŠOVÁ, E. 2019. Variation of fruits morphometric parameters and bioactive compounds of Asimina triloba (L) Dunal germplasm collection. In Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 13(1), p. 1–7. https://doi.org/10.5219/1019

BRINDZA, J., MOTYLEVA, S. et al. 2018. Peř a včelé peřové obnôžky z niektorých druhov rastlín. [Pollen and bee pollen from certain plant species]. 1 vyd., Nitra: Slovenská poľnohospodárska univerzita, 134 s. ISBN 978-80-552-1862-5. [In Slovak]

DONNO, D., BECCARO, G.L., MELLANO, M.G., TORELLO MARINONI, D., CERUTTI, A.K., CANTERINO, S., BOUNOUS, G. 2012. Application of sensory, nutraceutical and genetic techniques to create a quality profile of ancient apple cultivars. In Journal of Food Quality, vol. 35, p. 169–181. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-457X.2012.00442

DOVBYSH, O.P., BORODAI, O.IU. 2011. Davni sorty yablun, shcho vyroshchuvalysya v Ukraini [Old varieties of apple trees cultivated in Ukraine]. In: Dolatowski J., Piorecki A. (eds) Stare odmiany jabloni w dawnej Galicji Wschodniej. Bolestraszyce, p. 83–95. [In Ukrainian]

DVOŘÁK, A. et al. 1976. Jablka. [Apples]. 1 vyd. Praha : Academia, 592 s. ISBN 509-21-857. [In Czech]

FATRCOVÁ ŠRAMKOVÁ, K., BRINDZA, J., IVANIŠOVÁ, E., JURÍKOVÁ, T., SCHWARZOVÁ, M., HORČINOVÁ SEDLÁČKOVÁ, V., GRYGORIEVA, O. 2019. Morphological and antiradical characteristics of Rugosa rose (Rosa rugosa Thunb.) fruits canned in different kind of honeys and in beverages prepared from honey. In Potravinárstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 13(1), p. 497–506. https://doi.org/10.5219/1065

FELICIANO, R.P., ANTONES, C., RAMOS, A., SERRA, A.T., FIGUEIRA, M.E., DUARTE, C.M.M., DE CARVALHO, A., BRONZE, M.R. 2010. Characterization of traditional and exotic apple varieties from Portugal. Part 1— Nutritional, phytochemical and sensory evaluation. In Journal of Functional Foods, vol. 2, p. 35–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2009.12.004

GANOPoulos, I., TOURVAS, N., XANTHOPoulos, A., ARAVANopoulos, A., AVRAMIDOU, E., ZAMBOUNIS, A., TSAFTARIS, A., MADESIS, P., SOTIROPoulos, T., KOUTINAS, N. 2017. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of apple (Malus x domestica Borkh.) genetic resources in Greece. In Scientia Agricola, vol. 75(6), p. 509–518. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1678-992X.2016-0499

GRYGORIEVA, O., KLYMENKO, S., BRINDZA, J., SCHUBERTOVÁ, Z., NIKOLAIEVA, N., ŠÍMKOVÁ, J. 2017a. Morphometric characteristics of sweet chestnut (Castanea sativa Mill.) fruits. In Potravinárstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 11(1), p. 288–295. https://doi.org/10.5219/684

GRYGORIEVA, O., KLYMENKO, S., ILINSKA, A., BRINDZA, J. 2018a. Variation of fruits morphometric parameters of Elaeagnus multiflora Thunb. germplasm collection. In Potravinárstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 12(1), p. 527–532. https://doi.org/10.5219/922.

GRYGORIEVA, O., KLYMENKO, S., VERGUN, O., HUDZ, N., NIKOLAIEVA, N., SCHUBERTOVÁ, Z., PALIAMARCHUK, O., BRINDZA, J. 2017b. Morphological characteristics and determination of volatile organic compounds of Diospyros virginiana L.genotypes fruits. In Potravinárstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 11(1), p. 612–622. https://doi.org/10.5219/808

GRYGORIEVA, O., KLYMENKO, S., VINOGRADOVA, Y., VERGUN, O., BRINDZA, J. 2018b. Variation in morphometric traits of fruits of Mespilus germanica L. In Potravinárstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 12(1), p. 782–788. https://doi.org/10.5219/999

HARRIS, S. A., ROBINSON, J. P., JUNIPER, B. E. 2002. Genetic clues to the origin of the apple. In Trends in Genetics, vol. 18(8), p. 426–430.

HORČINOVÁ SEDLÁČKOVÁ, V., HULIN, M., BRINDZA, J. 2020. Comparison of old and landrace varieties of the apple tree (Malus domestica Borkh.) in the variability of some morphological characters of leaves and flowers. In Agrobiodiversity for Improving Nutrition, Health and Life Quality, vol. 4, p. 112–123. https://doi.org/10.15414/agrobiodiversity2020.2585-8246.112-123

HUFF, J. 2012-2013. Preservation and diversification of heirloom and antique apple varieties in Southern Ohio. Procejt Final Report FNC12-865, North Central, Ohio.
HULIN, M., BRINDZA, J., TÓTH, D., HAJDU, Š., OSTROVSKÝ, R. 2012. Natural apple seedlings (Malus domestica Borkh.) as genetic resources for breeding new varieties. In Conservation of plant diversity. 1. vyd. 540 s. Conservation of plant diversity. Chisinau: Academia de stiinte a Moldovei, p. 315–323. ISBN 978-9975-62-311-7.

IACOPINI, P., CAMANGI, F., STEFANI, A., SEBASTIANI, L. 2010. Antiradical potential of ancient Italian apple varieties of Malus × domestica Borkh. In a peroxynitrite-induced oxidative process. In Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, vol. 23(6), p. 518–524. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2009.05.004

IQBAL, S.M., GOPAL, A., SARMA, A. S.V. 2011. Volume estimation of pollen of 14 old unsprayed apple varieties. In Acta Horticulturae, vol. XXXI, p. 34–37. http://dx.doi.org/10.5219/415

MILITARI, M., BUTAC, M., SUMEDREA, M., CĂLINESCU, M., MARIN, F.C. 2015. Evaluation of resistance to pests and diseases of some old apple varieties. In Fruit Growing Research, vol. XXXI, p. 34–37.

MOTYLEVA, S. M., BRINDZA, J., ŠIMKOVÁ, J., HORČINOVÁ, V., SEDLÁČKOVÁ, V. 2018. Comparative Study of morphometric characteristics and mineral composition of pollen Malus domestica Borkh. Agrobiodiversity for Improving Nutrition, Health and Life Quality, vol. 2, p. 285–291. https://doi.org/10.15414/agrobiodiversity.2018.2585-8246.285-291

OSZMIAŃSKI, J., LACHOWICZ, S., GAMŚJÄGER, H. 2019. Phytochemical analysis by liquid chromatography of ten old apple varieties grown in Austria and their antioxidative activity. In European Food Research and Technology. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-019-03411-z

POPSKA, I., MITRE, V., ARDELEAN, M., SESTRAS, R., SESTRAS, A. 2009. Evaluation of old apple cultivars grown in Central Transylvania, Romania. In Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca, vol. 37(1), p. 235–237.

SODA, M., MLČEK, J., TÓTH, M. 2015. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity and mineral composition of low-temperature fractioning products of Malus domestica Borkh. Common Antonovka. In Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 8, p. 333–340. https://doi.org/10.5219/820

POSOLDA, M., MLČEK, J., URBANOVÁ, M., ŘEZNÍČEK, V. 2018. Rescue of Old Sweet Cherry Cultivars. In Acta Horticulturae, vol. 976, p. 227–230. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.976.29

ROBINSON, J.P., HARRIS, S.A., JUNIPER, B. E. 2001. Taxonomy of the genus Malus Mill. (Rosaceae) with emphasis on the cultivated apple, Malus domestica Borkh. In Plant Systematics and Evolution vol. 226, p. 35–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10258-006-0126-2

SEDOV, E.N. 2013. Results and prospects in apple breeding. In Universal Journal of Plant Science, vol. 1(3), p. 55–65. https://doi.org/10.13189/uips.2013.010301

SOLOMATIN, N.M., SOLOMATINA, E.A., SOROKOPUDOV, VN. 2017. Evaluation of fruits of red-flesh apple hybrids for Jabloň. Biológia, pestovanie, využívanie [Apple tree. Biology, cultivation, utilization] vol. 1, p. 338–341. http://dx.doi.org/10.15414/actaun201967020425

SOUKUP, J., PAPRSTEIN, F., SEDLAK, J. AND HOLUBEC, V. 2013. Rescue of Old Sweet Cherry Cultivars. In Acta Horticulturae, vol. 976, p. 227–230. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.976.29

SOLOMATIN, N., SOLOMATINA, E., SOROKOPUDOV, V. N. 2017. Navigation of resistance to pests and diseases of some old apple varieties. In Fruit Growing Research, vol. XXXI, p. 34–37.

SOLOMATIN, N.M., SOLOMATINA, E.A., SOROKOPUDOV, VN. 2017. Evaluation of fruits of red-flesh apple hybrids for...
the production of stewed fruit. Pomiculture and small fruits culture in Russia. In Plodovodstvo i âgodovodstvo Rossii, vol. 51, p. 312–317. ISSN 2073-4948

STANIVUKOVIĆ, S., ŽUJIĆ, M., ŽABIĆ, M., MIČIĆ, N., BOSANČIĆ, B., ĐURIĆ, G. 2017. Characterization of Old Apple Cultivars from Bosnia and Herzegovina by Means of Pomological and Biochemical Analysis. In Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca, vol. 45(1), p. 97–104. https://doi.org/10.15835/nbha45110537

STEHLÍKOVÁ, B. 1998. Základy bioštatistiky [Fundamentals of biostatistics]. Účebné texty pre dištančné štúdium. Nitra: Ochrana biodiverzity. [In Slovak]

TÓTH, M., KÁSA, K., SZANI Z., BALIKÓ, E. 2004. Traditional Old Apple Cultivars as New Gene Sources for Apple Breeding. In Xth Eucarpia Symposium on Fruit Breeding & Genetics, Eds. F. Laurens and K. Evans, In Acta Horticulturae, vol. 663, p. 609–612.

VELASCO, R., ZHARKIKH, A., AFFOURTIT, J., DHINGRA, A., CESTARO, A., KALYANARAMAN, A., FONTANA, P., BHATNAGAR, S. K., TROGGIO, M., PRUSS, D., others. 2010. The genome of the domesticated apple (Malus × domestica Borkh.). In Nature Genetics, vol. 42, p. 833–839.

VERGUN, O., RAKHMETOV, D., RAKHMETOVA, S., FISHCHENKO, V., SHYMANSKA, O. 2020. Content of nutrients in different parts of Ipomoea batatas L. (Lam.). In Agrobiodiversity for Improving Nutrition, Health and Life Quality, vol. 4, p. 101–111. https://doi.org/10.15414/agrobiodiversity.2020.2585-8246.101-111

VINOGRAĐOVA, YU., GRYGORIEVA, O., VERGUN, O., BRINDZA, J. 2017. Morphological characteristics for fruits of Aronia mitschurinii A. K. Skvortsov & Maitul. In Potravinarstvo Slovak Journal of Food Sciences, vol. 11(1), p. 754–760. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf000510

WOJDIŁO, A., OSZMIŃSKI, J., LASKOWSKI, P. 2008. Polyphenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of new and old apple varieties. In Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, vol. 56, p. 6520–6530. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf800510

ŻYGALA, E., ANTONIEWSKA, E., LIB, D., PIORĘCKI, N. 2011. Inwentaryzacja i zachowanie starych odmian drzew owocowych w Dawnej Galicji Wschodniej [Inventory and conservation of old varieties of fruit trees in the ancient Eastern Galicia]. In: Dolatowski J., Pioręcki A. (eds) Stare odmiany jabłoni w dawnej Galicji Wschodniej. Bolestraszyce, p. 7–82. [In Poland]