The Elimination of DNA from the Cry Toxin-DNA Complex Is a Necessary Step in the Mode of Action of the Cry8 Toxin
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Abstract
Several crystal (Cry) proteins are known to occur as DNA-protein complexes. However, the role of the DNA associated with the activated toxin in the mechanism of action of the Cry toxin has long been ignored. Here, we focused on the DNA-activated Cry toxin complex. Both forms of the Cry8Ca2 and Cry8Ea1 toxins, i.e., with or without bound DNA, were separately obtained. Size-exclusion chromatography analysis indicated that the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex has a tight or compact structure. The Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex is more likely to move toward the air/water interface and is more hydrophobic than the toxin without DNA. Competitive binding assays indicated that the Cry8Ca2 and Cry8Ea1 toxins without DNA specifically bind to the midgut of Anomala corpulenta and Holotrichia parallela larvae, respectively. In contrast, the association of DNA with each toxin might result in the nonspecific recognition of the Cry toxin and its target receptor in the insect midgut. The association of the DNA fragment with the Cry8 toxin was shown to protect the Cry protein from digestion by proteases. Based on our results, we propose an additional step in the mechanism of action of the Cry8 toxin and elucidate the function of the associated DNA as well as the importance of the removal of this DNA for the insecticidal activity of the toxin.

Introduction

Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) has been extensively studied because of its ability to produce insecticidal proteins during sporulation. The insecticidal properties of these Bt proteins have been commercially exploited as biological insecticides [1,2]. Many crystal (Cry) proteins exist as protoxins with a molecular mass of 130 kDa. The activation of the protoxin appears to occur via a sequential series of proteolytic cleavages that begin at the C-terminus and proceed toward the N-terminus until a protease-stable toxin, typically a 26-kDa moiety, is generated [3]. DNA condensation has been observed in the region of crystalline inclusion body formation, which is an important stage for Cry production in Bt [4]. It has been reported that some Cry proteins appear to be complexes with DNA [4]. For example, a 20-kbp DNA fragment was observed in Cry proteins isolated from seven subspecies of Bt and in the Cry1Aa, Cry1Ab, and Cry1Ac proteins cloned and expressed in Escherichia coli [5,6]. Studies have been conducted to determine the form of DNA associated with the Cry1A protoxin, and only the N-terminal toxic moiety of the protoxin has been found to interact with DNA [5]. Previously, we studied the DNA associated with the activated toxin and speculated that the association of this DNA with the Cry8Ea1 toxin serves to stabilize the protein against aggregation and increases the tendency of the toxin to move toward the phospholipid membrane [6].

The mode of action of the Cry protein involves the following steps: solubilization of the crystals in the midgut, protoxin activation by midgut proteases, binding of the activated toxin to the receptors localized in the apical microvilli of insect midgut cells, oligomerization of the toxin, insertion of the toxin into the apical membrane, and pore formation that ultimately kills the cells [7]. However, the function of the DNA associated with the activated toxin in the mechanism of action of the Cry toxin has not been determined.

Cry8Ca, a type of Cry protein, is toxic to Anomala corpulenta and A. exoleta larvae, and Cry8Ea is toxic to Holotrichia parallela larvae. All of these insect larvae are important pests in agriculture, horticulture, and forestry [1,8–10]. In the present study, the binding specificities of both forms of the Cry8Ea1 and Cry8Ca2 toxins, i.e., with and without bound DNA, to the midgut of the target insect larvae were compared. The Cry8Ca2 and Cry8Ea1 toxin-DNA complex was isolated, and the role of DNA binding was investigated. Based on the these results, we propose an additional step in the mechanism of action of the Cry8 toxin and elucidate the function of the associated DNA as well as the importance of the removal of this DNA for the insecticidal activity of the toxin.
Materials and Methods

Materials

The bacterial strain Bt HBF-1, which contains the cry8Ca2 gene (accession No. AY518201) that encodes the Cry8Ca2 protein [10], was obtained from the Institute of Plant Protection, Hebei Agricultural University, Agricultural and Forestry Sciences, Baoding, China. The Bt strain HD8E with the plasmid pSTK-3E, which contains the cry8Ea1 gene (GenBank accession No. AY329081) that encodes the Cry8Ea1 protein, was developed and stored at the State Key Laboratory for Biology of Plant Diseases and Insect Pests, Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences [11,12].

Superdex 200, Resource-Q, and Sephadex G-50 columns were obtained from Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, and ultra-centrifuge filters were purchased from Millipore. DNase I (RNase-free) was purchased from Takara. CHES and Triton X-100 were obtained from Amresco. Egg-yolk phosphatidyl choline (PC) was purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL), and calcein was obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR).

Proteinase K, TPCK-treated trypsin, bovine pancreas α-chymotrypsin, cholesterol (Ch), and stearylamine (S) were purchased from Sigma. All other reagents were local products of analytical grade.

Purification of the Cry8 toxin and Cry8 toxin-DNA complex

The Bt HBF-1 strain was grown at 30°C in PB medium (0.5% peptone and 0.3% beef extract) for approximately 54 h. The Bt HD8E strain was grown, and the protoxin was obtained as previously described [13]. Cell harvesting and crystal purification were performed according to the procedure described by Luo et al. [14]. The purification of the Cry8Ca2 toxin, Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex, Cry8Ea1 toxin, and Cry8Ea1 toxin-DNA complex was performed according to a previously described method for Cry8Ea1 [6]. Briefly, the Cry toxin-DNA complex was obtained by initial activation of the protoxin with chymotrypsin followed by purification using size-exclusion chromatography with a Superdex 200 column (HR 10/30) equilibrated with 50 mM Na2CO3 (pH 10.2) using a Pharmacia FPLC system. The Cry toxin-DNA complex was further treated with DNase I (1 U/mg toxin) at 4°C for 12 h. The Cry toxin without DNA was obtained by a second application to the Superdex 200 column using the same buffer and parameters described above.

Determination of the surface pressure caused by the penetration of the protein into the air/water interface

The surface pressure of various protein solutions was measured using the Wilhelmy plate method [15] with a NIMA 9000 microbalance (Nima Technology Ltd., Coventry, UK) as described by Xia and Sui [16]. The Cry8Ca2 toxin or toxin-DNA complex was added to a 50 mM Na2CO3 (pH 10.2) solution to a final concentration of 0.45 mM. We used this high concentration to get a surface pressure that keeps almost no significant final concentration of 0.45 mM. We used this high concentration to get a surface pressure that keeps almost no significant final concentration of 0.45 mM. The lipids were hydrated in 2.6 ml of a solution of 10 mM CHES and 150 mM KCl pH 9 for 5 min followed by vortexing. To prepare the SUVs, the lipid suspension was subjected to sonication three times for 2 min using a Branson-1200 bath sonicator. The SUVs were used within 2–3 d after their preparation.

Calcein-containing liposomes were prepared by sonication (three times for 2 min) of the SUVs in calcein (50 mM) dissolved in 150 mM KCl and 10 mM CHES, pH 9, as previously described [17]. Non-entrapped calcein was removed by gel filtration on Sephadex G-50 (1×15 cm column) eluted with the same buffer.

Calcein-release assays

Calcein leakage experiments were performed as previously described [17,18]. Calcein-loaded SUVs (100 µl) were added to 900 µl of a solution of 150 mM KCl and CHES 10 mM, pH 9, in a glass tube. Calcein fluorescence was excited at 490 nm (2 nm slit) and monitored at 520 nm using a Fluoromax-4 spectrometer (HORIBA S. yvon). Finally, different freshly prepared Cry toxins (20 nM) were added to the SUVs and incubated for 20 min. The released calcein induced an increase in fluorescence due to the dequenching of the dye in the external medium. Maximal leakage at the end of each experiment was assessed by lysis with 0.1% Triton X-100 (final concentration). All fluorescence experiments were performed in quadruplicate at 20°C.

Preparation of brush border membrane vesicles (BBMVs)

The BBMVs were prepared from the midguts of third instar larvae of A. corpulenta and H. parallela using the differential magnesium precipitation method described by Woltersberger et al. [19]. Leucine aminopeptidase activity in BBMV preparations ranged from 10 to 15 fold relative to that in crude homogenates. The prepared BBMVs were stored at aliquots at −80°C.

Competition binding assays

The Cry8Ea1 toxin and toxin-DNA complex were bionylated using a protein bionylation kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The molar ratio in the reaction mixture is ~13:1 of bionylation reagent (BAC-SulfoNHS) to protein. Briefly, 20 μg of BBMVs proteins from A. corpulenta or H. parallela larvae was incubated with 10 nM bionylated toxin in the presence or absence of a 50- to 1,000-fold excess of unlabeled toxin in binding buffer (PBS, 0.1% w/v bovine serum albumin, 0.1% v/v Tween 20 at pH 7.6) for 1 h. The unbound toxin was removed by centrifugation for 10 min at 14,000 g. The BBMVs were suspended in 100 µl of binding buffer and washed twice with the same buffer. Finally, the BBMVs were suspended in 20 µl of PBS (pH 7.6), and an equal volume of 2× Laemmli sample loading buffer (0.125 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 20% glycerol, 10% 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.01% bromophenol blue) was added. The samples were boiled for 5 min, loaded onto SDS-PAGE gels, and electrotransferred to PVDF membranes [21]. The bionylated protein that remained bound to the vesicles was visualized by incubation with ExtrAvidin-Peroxidase conjugate (1:10,000 dilution) for 1 h, followed by color development with the ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Pierce), according to the manufacturer’s protocol.
Bioassay

Solutions of Cry8Ea1 toxin or toxin-DNA complex were diluted in 50 mM Na2CO3 (pH 10.2) as a series of gradient concentrations and then mixed at a ratio of 40:200 (ml/g) with soil containing 20 15-d larvae of H. parallela and their foodstuff (ultraviolet-sterilized potato pieces) as previously described [22]. As the negative control, solutions without the toxins were added to the same soil. All bioassays were conducted at 25°C with a soil humidity of 18–20%. Each assay was repeated three times to reduce bias. The larval mortality was scored after incubation for 7 and 14 d to calculate the 50% lethal concentration (LC50) of Cry8Ea1 toxin based on probit analysis [23].

Digestion of the Cry8Ea1 toxin and toxin-DNA complex by Proteinase K

The Cry8E toxin and toxin-DNA complex were digested with different amounts of proteinase K (1:5, 1:10, 1:20, 1:30, 1:40 and 1:50, weight/weight) in 50 mM Na2CO3 at 37°C for 5 min. Subsequently, phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) was added to a final concentration of 1 mM to stop the proteolysis. Quantitation of the 65 kDa toxin bands was performed by densitometry analysis using BandScan 4.5 software from Glyko (http://www.glyko.com/BandScan/Features.html).

Figure 1. The Cry8Ca2 protoxin-DNA complex. A. Electrophoretic analysis of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin by SDS-PAGE. Lane 1, molecular mass marker; Lane 2, Cry8Ca2 protoxin; and Lane 3, digestion of Cry8Ca2 with chymotrypsin (1:50, w/w) at 37°C for 1 h. B. The association of DNA with the Cry8Ca2 protoxin. Lane 1, DNA Marker I; DNA/Eco130I: 19329, 7743, 6223, 4254, 3472, 2690, 1882, 1489, 925, and 421 bp; Lane 2, DNA associated with the Cry8Ca2 protoxin; and Lane 3, DNA detection after DNase I treatment at 37°C for 1 h. C. Electrophoretic analysis of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin by SDS-PAGE after DNase I treatment. Lane 1, molecular mass marker; Lane 2, Cry8Ca2 protoxin; Lane 3, Cry8Ca2 protoxin after DNase I treatment at 37°C for 1 h; Lane 4, further digestion of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin by chymotrypsin (1:50, w/w) at 37°C for 1 h after DNase I treatment; D. Electrophoretic analysis of the Cry8Ca2-DNA complex after DNase I and trypsin digestion. Lanes 1–3 corresponded to lanes 1–3 in B; Lanes 4–5, further digestion of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin by trypsin (1:30 and 1:50, w/w) at 37°C for 1 h after DNase I treatment; Lanes 6–7, further digestion of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin by chymotrypsin (1:30 and 1:50, w/w) at 37°C for 1 h after DNase I treatment; Lane 8, digestion of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin by proteinase K (final concentration, 50 μg/ml).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0081335.g001

Results

The presence of DNA associated with the Cry8Ca2 protoxin and the activated toxin

The isolated Cry8Ca2 protoxin was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and agarose gel electrophoresis (Fig. 1). Similar to many other Cry toxins, the observed molecular mass of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin was approximately 130 kDa, and it could be activated into the 65-kDa toxin by chymotrypsin (Fig. 1A). An approximately 20-kbp DNA fragment was associated with the protoxin. This DNA fragment appeared to be susceptible to nuclease attack, and digestion with DNase I at 37°C for 1 h eliminated most of the DNA (Fig. 1B). After DNase I digestion, the protoxin could still be activated into the 65-kDa toxin by trypsin or chymotrypsin (Fig. 1C). The initial treatment of the Cry8Ca2 protoxin with DNase I followed by digestion with chymotrypsin or trypsin also resulted in a 20-kbp DNA fragment, which was detected associated with the toxin (Fig. 1D).

After activation by chymotrypsin, either ion-exchange chromatography (Resource-Q) or size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 200) was used to obtain the purified Cry8Ca2 toxin (Fig. 2). In ion-exchange chromatography (Fig. 2A1), the Cry8Ca2 toxin was eluted at between 0.2 M – 0.6 M NaCl, as indicated in peak 1 (Fig. 2A2), and was detected without DNA association (Fig. 2A3, Lane 3). Using size exclusion chromatography, the peak fraction indicated by the arrow (Fig. 2B1) was determined to be the fraction containing Cry8Ca2 (Fig. 2B2, Lane 2), which was found to contain bound DNA (Fig. 2B3, Lane 2). After DNase I digestion, the Cry8Ca2 toxin without DNA was obtained after a second application to the Superdex 200 column (Fig. 2B2, lane 1; Fig. 2B3, lane 1).

Two aliquots of the Cry8Ca2 toxin, one that was freshly purified and another that had been stored at 4°C for 5 d, were applied to the Superdex 200 column. Identical procedures were performed for the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex. The elution profiles are shown in Fig. 3. The Cry8Ca2 toxin and toxin-DNA complex had identical elution volumes, indicating that they have a similar molecular size. After storage, most of the Cry8Ca2 toxin aggregated into high molecular weight multimers (the peak indicated by the arrow in Fig. 3A), whereas only a small amount of the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex had aggregated (the peak indicated by the arrow in Fig. 3B).

Penetration of the toxin into the air/water interface

The penetration of the Cry8Ca2 toxin and Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex into the air/water interface without the phospholipid was measured. The results (Fig. 4) indicate that the maximum Δr value induced by the Cry8Ca2 toxin was 7.6 mN/m (Fig. 4A), whereas the maximum Δr value induced by the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex was 13.9 mN/m (Fig. 4B). There was about 2 fold difference between the data, which indicate that the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex is more likely to move toward the air/water interface and is more hydrophobic than the toxin without DNA.

Pore-forming ability of Cry8 toxin and its toxin-DNA complex analyzed by calcine-release assays

We analyzed the pore-forming ability of the Cry8 toxin and its toxin-DNA complex using SUV liposomes and calcine-release assays. Calcine-containing SUVs were prepared, and the integrity of the lipid membrane vesicles was determined after the addition of the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex had aggregated (the peak indicated by the arrow in Fig. 3B).
of the toxins. The release of entrapped calcine from the SUVs was measured as dequenching of the calcine fluorescence and was thereby monitored continuously as an increase in the fluorescence intensity [17]. The data are expressed as a percentage of the maximal fluorescence release obtained using Triton X-100 treatment as the positive control. As shown in Fig. 4 (C and D), the Cry8 toxin and its toxin-DNA complex, including both Cry8E and Cry8C, can cause the release of calcine from the interior of the SUVs. The Cry8E and Cry8C toxins showed a similar extent of calcein release at approximately 90% release, which was greater than that of the toxin-DNA complexes. These findings indicate that both the Cry8 toxin and its toxin-DNA complex were able to form pores and affect the integrity of the SUV liposomes, but that the toxin without DNA showed a stronger ability than did the corresponding toxin-DNA complex. By t-test, it was confirmed that the difference of the pore-forming ability between the Cry8 toxin and its toxin-DNA complex was statistically significant.

**Competitive binding assays**

The binding of the Cry8Ca2 toxin and toxin-DNA complex to the BBMVs of the A. corpulenta larvae in addition to the binding of the Cry8Ea1 toxin and toxin-DNA complex to the BBMVs of the H. parallela larvae were analyzed using competitive binding assays. The results of the homologous and heterologous binding of the Cry toxin and toxin-DNA complex to the BBMVs are shown in Fig. 5. In the homologous competitive binding assay, an excess amount of unlabeled Cry8Ea1 toxin or Cry8Ca2 toxin inhibited the binding of the biotinylated Cry toxin to the BBMVs of the target insect. The homologous competitive binding profile indicated that the Cry8Ea1 toxin specifically bound to the BBMVs of...
of the *H. parallela* larvae, whereas the Cry8Ea1 toxin-DNA complex bound nonspecifically to these BBMVs (Fig. 5A). Similarly, the Cry8Ca2 toxin specifically bound to the BBMVs of the *A. corpulenta* larvae, whereas the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex bound nonspecifically to these vesicles (Fig. 5B). Commercial calf thymus DNA was added to the Cry8E or Cry8C toxin under the homologous competitive binding conditions as a control for external DNA, and the result indicated that external DNA does not interfere with the specific binding. The profile of heterologous competitive binding indicated that the biotinylated Cry toxin-DNA complex does not compete with the Cry toxin while binding to the BBMVs of the insect. This result suggests that the Cry toxin, but not the Cry toxin-DNA complex, specifically recognizes the receptor in the midgut of the target insect.

The LC₅₀ of the Cry8Ea1 with or without DNA against the *H. parallela* larvae was determined using laboratory bioassays. The LC₅₀ of the Cry8E toxin was 57.50 (25.84 – 341.22) µg/g soil and that of the Cry8E toxin-DNA complex was 47.59 (31.42 – 84.21) µg/g soil. Their 95% fiducial limits partially overlapped.

**Discussion**

Previous studies have shown that the DNA bound to Cry is not an artifact of the crystal purification procedure but is an integral component of the crystal that specifically interacts with the protoxin [4,5]. Clairmont *et al.* proposed a virus-like structure for the DNA-protoxin complex, described as having a central DNA core surrounded by proteins that interact with the DNA and peripheral ends of the C-terminal region that extend outward [5]. This structure is not supported by the results obtained for Cry8Ea1 and Cry8Ca2 in this present study.

Consistent with the results obtained for Cry8Ea1 [6], the data obtained for Cry8Ca2 indicate that two different DNA fragments were associated with the protoxin: one fragment is susceptible to nuclease attack, likely because it is relatively more exposed, and the second fragment cannot be detected until the protoxin is activated by trypsin or chymotrypsin. The second DNA fragment is associated with the activated toxin. Clairmont *et al.* also demonstrated that protoxin interacts with the DNA via the N-terminal toxic moiety [5]. Our research focused on the DNA-activated toxin complex. The analysis using size exclusion chromatography indicated no obvious differences between the elution volumes of the purified Cry8Ca2 toxin and toxin-DNA complex, which was also observed for the Cry8Ea1 toxin and toxin-DNA complex [6]. Typically, the retention time in size exclusion chromatography should be different for samples that are bound to DNA compared with those free of DNA, especially if the bound molecule is a large DNA fragment. However, the similar elution volumes of the purified Cry8E toxin and Cry8 toxin-DNA complex indicate that the toxin has a similar size with or without DNA. These results imply that the Cry8 toxin-DNA complex possesses a relatively compact structure compared with Cry8Ea1 and Cry8Ca2. Based on these results, we propose that the structure of the activated toxin may differ before and after the digestion of the bound DNA: when bound to DNA, the activated toxin...
possesses a tight and compact structure, and upon removal of the constraints imposed by the DNA, the toxin changes to a relaxed structure.

Previously, we proposed that DNA associated with the Cry8Ea1 toxin serves to stabilize the protein from aggregation and increases the tendency of the toxin to move toward the phospholipid membrane [6]. Consistent with the results obtained for the Cry8Ea1 toxin-DNA complex, the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex is more likely to move toward the air/water interface and is more hydrophobic than the toxin without DNA, indicating that the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex might increase the tendency of the toxin to move toward the phospholipid membrane. In addition, the results obtained for the Cry8Ca2 toxin-DNA complex confirmed that the associated DNA protects the toxin from nonspecific aggregation. The pore-forming ability of the Cry8E and Cry8C toxins with or without DNA was assessed based on calcein-release assays using SUV liposomes. After removing the associated DNA, both the Cry8E and Cry8C toxins had a stronger pore-forming ability. The associated DNA makes the structure of Cry8 toxin tight and compact, which might influence the pore-forming ability of the toxin. Although the Cry8 toxin-DNA complex is more hydrophobic than the toxin without DNA, the pore-forming ability, whether strong or not, actually is not determined only by its hydrophobic nature.

The interaction of the Cry toxin with different membrane proteins, such as cadherin-like proteins (CAD), aminopeptidase N (APN), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP), is an important process in the mode of action of the Cry toxin [2,24]. Our results from the competitive binding assays indicated that the association of DNA with the toxin rendered the toxin unable to specifically bind its target receptor from the midgut of the insect. After removal of the DNA from the toxin-DNA complex by DNase I, the Cry8Ea1 toxin
toxin specifically bound to its target in the midgut of the insect. Many studies have focused on the interaction of the Cry toxin with insect BBMVs, and most have shown that the Cry toxin interacts with BBMVs specifically, with clear competition of the unlabeled toxin with the toxin-binding sites using standard methods; however, these methods do not account for bound DNA nor make any provision for removing DNA [25–31]. In our previous research, no DNA could be detected in the toxin obtained in the main elution peak from the Resource-Q column before or after phenol/chloroform extraction (Fig. 2A). In the other studies, the Cry toxin was purified by anion-exchange chromatography [25–31], and thus the obtained Cry toxin might also be lacking DNA.

The bioassay results indicated that the DNA had no obvious influence on the insecticidal activity of Cry8Ea1 toxin. Because we determined that the DNA associated with the Cry8Ea1 toxin can be eliminated by the target midgut juice, the DNA will not interfere with the final specific binding of the toxin with its target in BBMVs.

Our findings support our previous supposition that DNA associated with the
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Figure 7. SDS-PAGE analysis of the digestion products of the Cry8E toxin and Cry8E toxin-DNA complex by proteinase K at 37°C for 5 min. A. Digestion of the Cry8E toxin by proteinase K. B. Digestion of the Cry8E toxin-DNA complex by proteinase K. Lane 1, molecular mass marker; Lanes 2–7, products of the digestion of the toxin or toxin-DNA complex by proteinase K at protein (weight/weight) ratios of 1:5, 1:10, 1:20, 1:30, 1:40, and 1:50, respectively; Lane 8A, the Cry8E toxin; and Lane 8B, the Cry8E toxin-DNA complex. A t-test was used to analyze statistical differences of the mean values of the digestion products of the Cry8E toxin-DNA complex by Proteinase K when compared with the Cry8E toxin, as indicated in the right table.
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Figure 8. The mechanism of action of the Cry toxin including the proposed role of the toxin-bound DNA.
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