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1. INTRODUCTION

Most recent control systems are implemented using the discrete-time digital computer. On the other hand, the controlled object is not only a discrete-time system but also a continuous-time system. When the continuous-time system is controlled using the digital computer, such a control system is called sampled-data control system [1]. In the single-input/-output system, there are two intervals: the holding interval of a control input and the sampling interval of a plant output. This study discusses a design method for controlling a dual-rate control system, where the sampling interval is longer than the holding interval.

In such a dual-rate control system, intersample ripples might occur even if the sampled output converges to the reference input because the control input can be changed between the sampling intervals [2]. Therefore, the condition for eliminating the intersample ripples caused by the input oscillation has been proposed [3].

This study proposes two design methods for eliminating the intersample ripples: one is an extension method based on the null-space [4], and the other is to weight on the input deviation. When the intersample response is improved, the discrete-time sampled response might be degraded, and hence the performance degradation should be fairly evaluated. The extension method using the null-space has been proposed [5]. The conventional method [6] is derived using the polynomial approach, whereas the proposed method is designed based on the state-space representation.

As a state-space approach, the conventional method [6] is designed using the null-space. However, because the integral compensation is not included, the robust-tracking for the step-type reference input or the step-type disturbance is not achieved. On the other hand, a state-space design method using the integral compensation has been proposed [7]. In this method, the intersample ripples are eliminated. However, an existing discrete-time response is not maintained because the null-space is not used. Finally, the effectiveness of the proposed method is demonstrated through numerical examples, and the control performances are quantitatively evaluated based on the numerical results. Furthermore, this study shows that the discrete-time performance of the state variable is not changed using the extension method using the null-space.

2. CONTROLLED PLANT MODEL

A controlled plant is an unknown linear continuous-time single-input and -output time-invariant system given as the following Equations (1) and (2):

\[
\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) + b_c u(t) \]

\[
y(t) = c_c^T x(t) \]

where \(x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n\) is the state variable, \(u(t) \in \mathbb{R}\) is the control input, and \(y(t) \in \mathbb{R}\) is the plant output, respectively. \(A_c \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\) is a coefficient matrix, and \(b_c \in \mathbb{R}^n\) and \(c_c \in \mathbb{R}^n\) are coefficient vectors. Because the sampled-data control system is designed, a continuous-time
plant output is sampled, and a discrete-time control input is held. Since the sampling interval of the plant output is an integer multiple of the holding interval of the control input, the following lifted dual-rate model is obtained [Equations (3)–(5)].

\[
\begin{align*}
x(k+l) &= A_x x(k) + B_i u(k) \\
u(k) &= \begin{bmatrix} u(k) & \cdots & u(k+l-1) \end{bmatrix}^T \\
y(k) &= c^T_i x(k)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( l \) is a positive integer. The dual-rate model is assumed to be known, and a sampled-data control system is designed based on this model. It is assumed that \((A_x, B_i)\) is stable.

3. OPTIMAL CONTROLLER DESIGN

The control system is designed using the following state feedback control law [Equations (6)–(8)]:

\[
\begin{align*}
u(k) &= -F z(k) \\
z(k) &= \begin{bmatrix} x(k) & x_i(k) \end{bmatrix}^T \\
x_i(k+l) &= x_i(k) + (r(k) - y(k)) T_i
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r(k) \in R \) is the reference input to be followed by the plant output, and \( T_i \) denotes the sampling interval of the plant output. \( F \in R^{n \times (n+1)} \) is the feedback gain, and it is designed using the Linear Quadratic Integrator (LQI) [Equation (9)] [8]:

\[
J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( z(k)^T Q z(k) + u(k)^T R u(k) \right)
\]

where \( Q \in R^{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \) is a positive definite matrix, and \( R \in R^{l \times l} \) is a positive semi-definite matrix. The performance index is rewritten as the following Equations (10)–(12):

\[
\begin{align*}
J &= J_z + J_u \\
J_z &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z(k)^T Q z(k) \\
J_u &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u(k)^T R u(k)
\end{align*}
\]

The closed-loop system using control law (6) is obtained as follows:

\[
z(k+l) = (A_z - B_i F) z(k) + E r(k)
\]

\[
A_z = \begin{bmatrix} A_i & 0 \\
-T_i c^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_z = \begin{bmatrix} B_i \\
0_{n+l} \end{bmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n+1} \\
T_i \end{bmatrix}
\]

4. TWO INTERSAMPLE SUPPRESSION METHODS

To eliminate the intersample ripples caused by the input oscillation between the sampling instants, two extension methods are proposed.

4.1. Null-space Method

One extension method is based on the null-space. \( B_\perp \in R^{l \times (n+1)} \) is defined as the following Equations (15) and (16):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Im}(B_\perp) &= \text{Ker}(B_i) \\
\text{Ker}(B_i) &= \{ b_\perp \in R^l | B_i b_\perp = 0 \}
\end{align*}
\]

Using the defined \( B_\perp \), the control law is extended as follows:

\[
u(k) = -F z(k) + B_\perp w(k)
\]

where \( w(k) \in R^{l \times 1} \) is a newly introduced vector.

The closed-loop system using the extended control law (11) is obtained as follows:

\[
z(k+l) = (A_z - B_i F) z(k) + E r(k) + B_i B_\perp w(k)
\]

From the definition of \( B_\perp \), the last-term in the right-hand side of Equation (18) is \( 0_{(n+1) \times 1} \). As a result, the closed-loop system is not affected by \( w(k) \). In this study, \( w(k) \) is designed so that all the elements in \( u(k) \) are equivalent.

4.2. Input-weighting Method

In the other extension method, the deviation of the control input is suppressed in LQI. To this end, the difference between \( u(k+i) \) and \( u(k+i+1) \) is penalized as the following Equations (19) and (20):

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_i (u(k+i+1) - u(k+i))^2 &= [u(k+i+1) - u(k+i)] A_i \begin{bmatrix} u(k+i+1) \\
u(k+i) \end{bmatrix} \\
A_i &= \delta \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \delta_i \in R \) is a non-negative weighting factor. To evaluate the weighted input deviation in LQI, \( J_u \) in Equation (12) is replaced with the following criterion (21):

\[
J_u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u(k)^T (R + \Delta) u(k)
\]

where \( \Delta \) is a matrix which satisfies Equation (22):

\[
\sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \delta_i (u(k+i) - u(k+i+1))^2 = u(k)^T \Delta u(k)
\]

In the proposed weighting method, \( J_u \) must be redesigned. Therefore, the Riccati equation is resolved by replacing Equation (12) by Equation (21).

5. DISCUSSION ON DISCRETE-TIME AND INTERSAMPLE CONTROL PERFORMANCE

In the dual-rate sampled-data control system, the discrete-time model is available, and the continuous-time model is not always obtained.
In such a case, when the discrete-time performance is optimized, the intersample ripples might be caused by the input deviation between the sampling instants, although the discrete-time performance is optimized.

In this study, the discrete-time performance is optimized based on the known lifted dual-rate model, and the designed control law is extended so that the intersample performance is improved. In the discrete-time performance evaluation, because the discrete-time performance function consists of $J_x$ and $J_u$, two indices are quantitatively evaluated.

In the first extension method based on the null-space, the intersample ripples can be eliminated by introducing the new design parameter. Further, the parameter is designed independent of the discrete-time closed-loop system. Therefore, $J_x$ is maintained and $J_u$ is changed. Hence, the optimization on the control input is not maintained.

In the second extension method, since the difference of the control input is always penalized, the input deviation is suppressed. As a result, the intersample ripples caused by the input deviation is eliminated. However, both $J_x$ and $J_u$ are affected by the weighting factor $\delta$.

6. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Consider a continuous-time system given as the following Equation (23):

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{3s + 1}$$

(23)

The sampling interval and the holding interval are 2s and 1s, respectively, and the following lifted dual-rate system is given as Equations (24) and (25):

$$x(k + 2) = 0.51x(k) + \begin{bmatrix} 0.72 \\ 1.0 \end{bmatrix} u(k)$$

(24)

$$y(k) = 0.28x(k)$$

(25)

The feedback gain is obtained by solving the LQI problem with $Q = R = I_2$. The reference input is a unit step function.

The control result using the original feedback control law (6) is shown in Figure 1. This figure shows that the sampled output converges to the reference input at the sampling instants. However, the control input oscillates, and the intersample output hence oscillates. In the LQI design, the amount of the control input is evaluated, but the intersample output is not evaluated. Therefore, the deviation of the control input is not attenuated, and the intersample ripples occur.

To eliminate the intersample ripples caused by the input deviation, the extended controllers are applied. The result using the extended controller designed in Subsection 4.1 is shown in Figure 2. The lower figure shows that the control input is constant between the sampling instants, and the upper figure shows that the intersample ripples are eliminated. Furthermore, the sampled output response is the same as Figure 1 even though the control input is redesigned.

The control results using the extended controller designed in Subsection 4.2 are shown in Figures 3 and 4, where $\delta$ is set to $10^0$ and $10^5$, respectively. In both figures, the amplitude of the difference in the control input is attenuated since the control input deviation between the sampling instants is penalized. The larger $\delta$, the smaller the amplitude of intersample ripples.

For comparing the controllers, the performance indices are quantitatively evaluated in Table 1, where the evaluation length is 50 steps. Table 1 shows that the index $J^{50}$ of the original LQI is best, and that of the extended methods are increased, although the intersample ripples are suppressed. In the index of state $J_z^{50}$, the original LQI is best and it is the same as the extended method of Subsection 4.1 because the original closed-loop system is maintained in the extended controller designed in Subsection 4.1.
7. CONCLUSION

This study has discussed the design methods for controlling a dual-rate sampled-data control system. First, an optimal control law is designed using LQI.

Second, the designed control law is extended using two methods: one is based on the null-space, and the other is to weight the input deviation between the sampling instants. At last, the effectiveness of the proposed method is demonstrated through the numerical examples, and the control performances are quantitatively evaluated.
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