Human Intestinal Barrier Function in Health and Disease
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The gastrointestinal tract consists of an enormous surface area that is optimized to efficiently absorb nutrients, water, and electrolytes from food. At the same time, it needs to provide a tight barrier against the ingress of harmful substances, and protect against a reaction to omnipresent harmless compounds. A dysfunctional intestinal barrier is associated with various diseases and disorders. In this review, the role of intestinal permeability in common disorders such as infections with intestinal pathogens, inflammatory bowel disease, irritable bowel syndrome, obesity, celiac disease, non-celiac gluten sensitivity, and food allergies will be discussed. In addition, the effect of the frequently prescribed drugs proton pump inhibitors and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on intestinal permeability, as well as commonly used methods to assess barrier function will be reviewed.
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INTRODUCTION

The intestine is the main organ involved in the uptake of nutrients and water. At the same time, it constitutes an essential barrier against harmful substances and pathogens from the external environment. The intestinal barrier is mainly composed of the mucus layer, the epithelial layer, and the underlying lamina propria. Tight junction (TJ) proteins connect the intestinal epithelial cells and regulate the paracellular permeability. In addition, components such as immune cells, the intestinal microbiota, and anti-microbial peptides have crucial roles in supporting appropriate gut barrier function (see Figure 1).

Disruption of this barrier results in increased intestinal permeability, which in turn facilitates translocation of harmful substances and pathogens to the bloodstream. The pathophysiology of a number of diseases is associated with a dysfunctional intestinal barrier, and some of these diseases and their underlying mechanisms will be discussed in this review. To date, the key work has been done in animal models and in vitro, and little is known about the equivalent processes in humans.

THE INTESTINAL EPITHELIAL BARRIER IN INFECTION

Infectious intestinal epithelium, including various bacteria and viruses, have different mechanisms of gaining access to the host. Some directly adhere to and invade the intestinal epithelial barrier, whereas others disrupt this barrier via the secretion of toxins. In either case, various common pathogens have developed mechanisms that target the host’s TJ proteins. By disrupting the TJ complex, epithelial permeability increases and the pathogens’ invasion process is facilitated.

TJ proteins. TJs are protein complexes that connect adjacent epithelial cells near their apical pole.1 The core TJ complex is composed of transmembrane proteins, including occludin, junctional adhesion molecules, and members of the claudin family, depending partly on the location of the epithelium and its permeability. Occludin and claudins interact with the zonula occludens (ZO) proteins that link to the actin cytoskeleton, thereby regulating cell cycle control and linking it with cell polarity and permeability function. TJs have a crucial role in paracellular permeability by conferring selectivity to the flow of ions, small molecules, and solutes between cells. Additionally, they regulate cellular polarity by preventing the diffusion of receptors from the apical membrane above the TJs to the basolateral membrane. This can have a crucial role in the responsiveness of cells to directional stimuli and transport functions, as well as proliferation.

In all epithelium, TJ assembly and disassembly is a dynamic process involving endocytosis, migration, and recycling. This is influenced by the activity of multiple cytokines and kinases including subsets of the protein kinase C family, which can phosphorylate occludin and affect stability in the dynamic TJ complex.2,3 Several cytokines can modulate TJ dynamics,4 e.g., tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) induces TJ permeability through the extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2
pathway. Intracellular cAMP, energy levels, oxidative stress, and calcium imbalance also impact TJ dynamics and assembly through their varied effects on cellular kinases.

**TJ proteins as targets in infections.** TJs have an important role in the infection mechanisms of a range of viral and bacterial pathogens, by acting as receptors or targets of bacterial virulence factors (Table 1). The end result is typically disruption of the TJs, leading to increased epithelial permeability, and facilitation of the translocation and colonization of pathogens into the body.

TJ rearrangements are implicated in the pathology of gastrointestinal infections with different pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (Table 1). Enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC) are a common cause of diarrheal disease, particularly in infants and characteristically cause a loss of enterocyte microvilli (also known as effacement) and formation of a raised pedestal structure for firm bacterial attachment. These cellular effects are mediated by the formation of a Type III secretion system (encoded in the locus of enterocyte effacement) and by injection of multiple effector proteins into the cell cytoplasm (reviewed in Frankel and Phillips). One of these effectors (Tir) gets phosphorylated by the host and thereby inserts into the apical membrane to serve as a receptor for bacterial intimin, leading to firm attachment of EPEC. The other effectors elicit numerous cellular responses through the activation of various protein kinases, including myosin light-chain kinase, which leads to TJ disruption and increased paracellular permeability. The myriad events leading to TJ disruption contribute to the pathogenesis of diarrhea caused by EPEC and are still being investigated at the molecular level.

Similar to EPEC, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* also possess an attaching and effacement locus, but exert less profound effects on the barrier. One reported difference is the increased expression of claudin-2, which forms cation-selective channels in the paracellular space, resulting in water transportation across the TJs. Increased expression of claudin-2 is also observed in the intestinal epithelium of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) patients with active disease and is associated with barrier dysfunction and ‘leak-flux’ diarrhea. TNF-α has been shown to upregulate the expression of claudin-2 via phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase signaling.

Enteroaggregative *E. coli* and enterotoxigenic *E. coli* colonize the epithelium via specific interactions with pilus and produce enterotoxins that cause diarrhea through effects on chloride secretion in the intestinal epithelium. The enterotoxins responsible for diarrhea are the heat-labile toxins I, II and heat-stable toxins STa, STb, and EAST1 (enteroaggregative heat-resistant toxin 1), all of which increase chloride ion secretion from the intestinal epithelial cells.
Recently, STb was shown to cause a redistribution of claudin-1, ZO-1, and occludin in T84 intestinal cell monolayers, which is likely to be involved in the observed increase in permeability, although the mechanisms by which these changes are brought about remain to be elucidated.24

During pathogenesis, Helicobacter pylori causes disruption of cell–cell adhesions and loss of cell polarity. CagA toxin, which is secreted into the host cells by a type 4 secretion system, induces multiple signaling events leading to cytoskeleton disruption, disruption of TJs, and the loss of cell polarization, with severe physiological consequences.25 These events are considered to increase the diffusion of iron and nutrients to support bacterial growth during colonization. Ultimately, barrier disruption would also allow H. pylori to invade the paracellular space and gain access to the lamina propria.

Production of zonula occludens toxin (ZOT) in culture supernatants of Vibrio cholerae was shown to correlate with their capacity to cause diarrhea by decreasing strand complexity of ZO and increasing intestinal permeability.26 Subsequently, the activity of ZOT was mapped to the hexapeptide immediately downstream of the ZOT cleavage site and was shown to cause TJ disassembly via increased phosphorylation of ZO-1 and myosin 1C, leading to decreased protein interactions with ZO-1 and rearrangement of actin filaments by proteinase-activated receptor 2 activation.28

In summary, several gastrointestinal pathogens mediate changes in TJs to disrupt paracellular permeability to facilitate release of nutrients and to gain access to the lamina propria. Pharmacological or nutritional approaches to maintain the integrity of TJ and the epithelium may interfere with the pathogenesis of disease caused by these gastrointestinal pathogens. This could be due to the intake of specific probiotics that strengthen the function of TJs or are able to replace existing pathogens or inhibit their adherence.30 Accordingly, several probiotic strains have been shown to successfully prevent traveler’s diarrhea.31

### THE ROLE OF INTESTINAL BARRIER FUNCTION IN IBD

The causes of IBD are still not understood, but there is no doubt that the intestinal tissue injury is caused by an excessive immune/inflammatory process in the gut wall. Consequently, immune suppression is the mainstay of therapy. In terms of the relationship between gut barrier function and IBD, the critical question is whether impaired barrier function is secondary to gut inflammation and damage, or if it is important as an independent event, which may either protect or confer risk of IBD.

**Gut barrier defect and inflammation.** In 1995, Gordon and co-workers32 developed a chimeric mouse model in which some of the small bowel epithelium expressed N-cadherin instead of E-cadherin, thereby disrupting the E-cadherin homotypic interactions that help maintain barrier integrity. At the regions of the intestine expressing N-cadherin, the epithelium was leaky and the mice developed focal inflammation in these areas. Several subsequent studies using gut epithelial gene-specific knockout mice confirmed that a dysfunctional epithelial barrier results in spontaneous intestinal inflammation. Markedly, genes associated with uncontrolled cell death seem to be involved.33–35 Also, defects in mucus assembly and production can lead to spontaneous development of colitis in mice models.36–39

The clear lesson from this work is that if the gut epithelium is disrupted, ingress of bacterial components into the lamina propria is sufficient to trigger IBD. However, many animal models have shown that even in the presence of an apparently normal gut epithelial barrier, changes in immune regulation can result in exaggerated mucosal immune responses and IBD phenotypes. For example, in dirty animal houses, all interleukin-10 (IL-10)-null mice develop small and large bowel inflammation early in life, whereas in clean animal houses, only a colitis develops and the onset of IBD is delayed.40 The importance of IL-10 is further demonstrated by the fact that children with IL-10R loss-of-function mutations develop a
severe enteritis early in life. Regulation of inflammatory responses is also crucial to intestinal homeostasis, as shown by the identification of IL-23R variants as risk factors for both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Thus, in the absence of immune regulation, the low levels of bacterial antigens that cross into the lamina propria are sufficient to trigger inflammation. In healthy individuals, translocating bacteria and bacterial antigens are mopped up by macrophages in the lamina propria. However, e.g., in children with defects in their ability to deal with low-grade bacterial infections, such as chronic granulomatous disease or glycogen storage disease type 1b, ~40% of patients develop a lesion similar to Crohn’s disease.

Epithelial barrier dysfunction in IBD. There is a widely held perception that a determinant of susceptibility to IBD, especially to Crohn’s disease, is an inherent/genetic defect in the intestinal barrier, which allows greater ingress of luminal antigens into the tissues (see Figure 2). Patients with active IBD have clear epithelial barrier defects, exemplified most typically by overt ulceration. When patients enter remission, barrier function improves; however, it rarely returns to normal. This is most probably due to the fact that inflammation continues at a relatively low level. One way to determine if there is indeed a tendency for those who develop IBD to have a leaky gut is to study unaffected relatives who are known to have a 30-fold increase in the risk of developing IBD. Permeability tests in these individuals are normal; however, in response to an insult, such as a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), a subset of relatives (35%) did show markedly increased permeability (+2 s.d. of the controls). Overall, these first-degree relatives to Crohn’s disease patients had a 110% increase in permeability compared with a 57% increase in healthy controls after the NSAID challenge. Therefore, while the epithelial barrier per se may not be intrinsically leaky in IBD, the response to injury may be impaired, perhaps because of impaired healing or delayed epithelial restitution.

A particularly attractive way to determine if the epithelial barrier function is important in IBD is to attempt to treat active IBD with agents that help to restore the barrier, such as trefoil peptides or growth factors. In a very small clinical study of patients with distal colitis, epidermal growth factor enemas were superior to placebo enemas in inducing remission. Ten out of 12 patients in the treatment group were in remission after 2 weeks compared with 1 out of 12 in the control group. However, this work has not been replicated in a larger properly powered study.

A number of proinflammatory cytokines produced in excess in IBD also change the epithelial barrier function. It is very well known that interferon-γ, TNF-α, and IL-13 increase the epithelial permeability.

Many studies have shown that in active IBD there is a dysbiosis of the microbiota, which could be a cause for a disturbed epithelial barrier function. Reduced complexity of the phylum Firmicutes is a common signature of fecal microbiota of Crohn’s disease patients and in particular decreased abundance of \textit{Faecalibacterium prausnitzii}. Several species within the phylum Firmicutes ferment complex carbohydrates in the colon and produce butyrate, which has been reported to increase production of secreted mucus and has other potential barrier-protecting functions (see section on Irritable Bowel Syndrome). Additionally, \textit{E. coli} pathobionts exhibiting pathogen-like behaviors that disrupt the epithelial barrier are more frequently cultured from IBD patients.

Figure 2. Epithelial barrier dysfunction and inflammation in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Genetically encoded variation in the epithelial barrier function may allow microbes to cross the barrier and trigger a T-cell response (1). The cytokines produced by activated T cells and macrophages loosen tight junctions allowing more antigens to cross (2). Finally, degradation of the basement membrane causes the epithelial cells to be shed and massive penetration of microbes into the gut wall occurs (3).
IBD susceptibility loci associated with epithelial barrier function. About 10 years ago, candidate gene studies suggested that two loci, OCTN1 (organic cation transporter 1) and DLG5 (Disks large homolog 5), were susceptibility loci for Crohn’s disease. Subsequent studies could, however, only show weak associations. More recent genome-wide association studies have identified risk variants of five epithelial-associated loci in ulcerative colitis. Briefly, these are the CDH1 locus that encodes the E-cadherin gene, LAMB1, which encodes laminin, HNF4a (hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha), an epithelial-specific transcriptional regulator, GNA12, a guanine nucleotide-binding protein and ECM-1, which encodes an extracellular matrix protein. Interestingly, HNF4α-null mice develop a spontaneous colitis. An additional prominent variant consistently associated with an increased risk of IBD is NOD2 (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-containing protein 2), which encodes a receptor recognizing bacterial cell wall components and activating a respective immune response. It is suggested that defects in NOD2 result in lower α-defensin secretion from Paneth cells and thus in an increased amount of mucosal bacteria. Patients with mutations in the gene that encodes cytoplasmic phospholipase A2 suffer from devastating intestinal inflammation as prostaglandins are needed to maintain gut barrier function.

In conclusion, it needs to be noted that genetically encoded variants are not causal and have only a very small effect compared with environmental factors. In the small bowel at least, environmental agents such as spices and NSAIDs (see also section on Proton Pump Inhibitors and NSAIDs) can alter barrier function, and it may be the case that the effects of small genetically encoded variants synergize with environmental insults. For Crohn’s disease, which is not seen in the developing world, it may well be that infectious agents that disrupt barrier function early in life may be protective against the immune hyper-reactivity, which drives the disease, perhaps by raising the threshold at which T-cell immunity is triggered, or by activating healing and regulatory circuits. In addition, the intestinal microbiota composition seems to be an important environmental factor in IBD, either as an excessive immune response to a normal microbiota or an appropriate immune response to an altered microbiota (or components thereof).

It is still unclear if it is possible to uncouple the inflammation of IBD in remission from secondary effects of the inflammation on barrier function, and whether maintaining the barrier would allow patients to remain in remission longer. The challenge is to identify nutritional or pharmaceutical compounds that show a positive effect on barrier function and could thus be used to prolong remission.

IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME AND INTESTINAL BARRIER FUNCTION

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common disorder affecting 3–22% of the adult population in Western countries. It is characterized by recurrent abdominal pain or discomfort that occurs in association with altered bowel habits. The pathogenesis of IBS is unclear, but is considered to involve aberrations along the gut–brain axis. Interestingly, 4–32% of patients suffering from acute gastroenteritis develop IBS during the 3–12 months follow-up, predominantly of diarrhea-predominant character. This subtype of IBS is defined as postinfectious IBS (PI-IBS). The prevalence of mood or anxiety disorders in IBS patients exceeds 35%, which is considerably higher compared with control populations, and these disorders seem also to be a risk factor for the development of PI-IBS. Visceral hypersensitivity and intestinal barrier function in IBS. In PI-IBS, it is assumed that the initial infectious agent triggers a sustained immune and inflammatory response with direct consequences for intestinal barrier function. This low-grade inflammation is especially characterized by mast cell activation, which is directly linked to impaired barrier function by release of, e.g., proteases and histamine.

Given the high prevalence of sensory abnormalities in IBS, and the correlation of visceral sensitivity with symptoms, an increased colorectal perception is considered to be a biological hallmark of IBS. Increased visceral perception (hypersensitivity) and motor responses (compliance, contraction, accommodation) may be caused by peripheral (e.g., inflammation, infection) or central (e.g., attention, anticipation, and mood) sensitization mechanisms. Soluble mediators in the gut lumen may partly be responsible for this sensitization and contribute to alterations of intestinal barrier function with systemic implications. Accordingly, the supernatant of cultured colonic biopsies from IBS patients (n = 39) significantly reduced barrier function in Caco-2 cells compared with healthy controls (n = 14) and control solutions (n = 12), measured as transepithelial resistance (P < 0.0001) as well as paracellular permeability (P = 0.001). In addition, the supernatant of colonic biopsies from PI-IBS patients increased the degranulation rate of rat peritoneal mast cells, concomitant with an increased expression of protease-activated receptor 2. These effects could be attenuated by treating the mast cells with phenyl N-tetrahydroxylbutylintrone, a potent scavenger of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS are important mediators of adaptive and innate immune regulatory function and a delicate balance between induction of immune responses and prevention of inadequate oxidative stress and damage should be maintained. Increased levels of ROS may lead to increased recruitment of regulatory T cells and downregulation of the forkhead box O3a transcription factors, with a subsequent decrease of intestinal antioxidants such as catalase and superoxide dismutase, potentially leading to damages of the intestinal barrier function.

The role of intestinal microbiota and intestinal barrier function in IBS. The question arises about what role the intestinal microbiota have in IBS in general and more specifically, with respect to sensitization and intestinal barrier function. Evidence increases that IBS is associated with distinct changes in microbiota, involving several groups of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria. This is consistent with findings of altered toll-like receptor expression in colonic biopsies, as well as toll-like receptor-related cytokine responses in the peripheral blood of IBS patients.
The altered host–microbe interplay in IBS fits with a pathophysiologic concept integrating the intestinal ecosystem, immune activation, intestinal barrier, afferent sensory signaling, and the brain. The intestinal barrier probably has a rather central role in this interplay and may serve as a “surrogate” marker of aberrations in its regulation. Disturbed intestinal barrier function coincides with changes in TJ function and hence altered expression of genes coding for TJ proteins. On a functional level, this can result in increased mucosal permeability. Ex vivo experiments using the Ussing chamber showed an almost twofold increased permeability in colonic biopsies of IBS patients (n = 12) compared with healthy controls (n = 5, P = 0.01), which was correlated with lower expression of ZO-1 mRNA in biopsies from IBS patients (n = 21) compared with controls (n = 12, P = 0.04).70 As described above, further in vitro analysis showed that soluble factors in the colonic epithelium may mediate this effect.71 In addition, Zhou et al.78 found that a subset of diarrhea-predominant IBS patients (21/54, 39%) had signs of increased intestinal permeability using the lactulose/ mannitol test, which correlated with an increased Functional Bowel Disorder Severity Index. In this study, patients with increased visceral hypersensitivity and increased permeability had a twofold higher Functional Bowel Disorder Severity Index score compared with patients with normal sensitivity and permeability, and a 16-fold higher score compared with controls.78 A subsequent study found an increased barrier function in 8 out of 19 patients with IBS (42%).79 Mujagic et al.80 assessed the intestinal permeability at different sites of the gastrointestinal tract of IBS patients. After adjusting for confounders, they found an increased small intestinal permeability (lactulose/rhamnose ratio) only in diarrhea-predominant IBS patients, while the colonic permeability was unchanged.

Similar to IBD, alterations of the gut microbiota in IBS also affect butyrate-producing bacteria. Butyrate, a short-chain fatty acid, is an important end-product of intestinal microbial fermentation of mainly dietary fiber. It has been shown to reduce visceral perception in humans.81 This could be linked to beneficial effects on intestinal barrier function by the anti-inflammatory properties of butyrate, via inhibition of nuclear factor-kappa B activation, as well as through the activation of specific G-protein-coupled receptors, GPR41 and GPR43. These receptors are expressed on polymorphonuclear leukocytes highly present in the colonic mucosa and are supposed to have a role in the immune surveillance of the colonic mucosa towards the microbial activity.82

**Stress and intestinal barrier function in IBS.** As it is well known that acute stress may affect intestinal barrier function negatively,83,84 the question arises whether this may have a role in the pathogenesis and pathophysiology of visceral hypersensitivity in IBS. Corticotropin-releasing factor and poststress intestinal mast cell activation have the central role in this interplay.85 Although most studies have been using animal models, functional brain imaging by magnetic resonance imaging has opened up new possibilities for pathophysiologic studies in humans. Recently, the role of hyperactivation of corticotropin-releasing factor/corticotropin-releasing factor 1 signaling in IBS patients has been elucidated, as well as the possibility of pharmacologic intervention with a corticotropin-releasing factor 1 receptor antagonist, both by applying functional magnetic resonance imaging.86

The intestinal barrier function has an important part in the pathophysiology of IBS, and its role needs to be further elucidated. There is an unmet need for non-pharmacological treatments for patients suffering from this life-long disorder, and nutritional compounds that can strengthen the barrier function could be a promising treatment option. Easy to perform clinical tests to assess barrier function (see section later on) support the identification of subgroups of patients with barrier dysfunctions.

**IMPAIRED INTESTINAL BARRIER FUNCTION IN OBESITY**

Obesity is classically associated with metabolic alterations related to glucose homeostasis such as glucose intolerance, type 2 diabetes, and insulin resistance, as well as cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension and dyslipidemia.87 Systemic low-grade inflammation is a hallmark of metabolic syndrome and its related disorders,88 and is directly related to intestinal barrier dysfunction. Investigation is ongoing to determine the factors triggering this low-grade inflammation and to understand how they affect disease progression.

**Obesity, impaired barrier function, and metabolic endotoxemia.** Gut microbiota-derived lipopolysaccharide (LPS) has been identified as a factor involved in the onset and progression of inflammation and of metabolic diseases associated with obesity (insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes).89 LPS are cell wall components of Gram-negative bacteria. They are potent inducers of inflammation and can initiate severe systemic effects. Under healthy physiological conditions, the intestinal epithelium acts as a barrier that prevents translocation of LPS. Cani et al.89 demonstrated that in mice a high-fat diet resulted in chronically increased plasma LPS levels. A continuous infusion of LPS over 4 weeks in these mice led to a metabolic state comparable to high-fat feeding, including increased macrophage infiltration into the adipose tissue, hepatic steatosis, and an increase in inflammation markers, as well as onset of liver insulin resistance. High LPS plasma levels are defined as metabolic endotoxemia.89

Among the factors explaining metabolic endotoxemia after a high-fat diet, changes in gut barrier function seem to have an especially important role. A high-fat diet led to reduced expression of epithelial TJ proteins in mice.89 In addition, it was shown that high-fat diet feeding reduced mucus layer thickness and impaired anti-microbial peptide production, which could be counteracted by prebiotic treatment as well as treatment with Akkermansia muciniphila.91,92 The administration of prebiotics improved intestinal barrier function via a proglucagon-derived peptide (GLP-2)-dependent mechanism in genetically obese mice.93 GLP-2 is produced by L-cells and is involved in gut barrier function via TJ protein regulation.90 Muccioli et al.90 showed that the gut microbiota can also regulate gut permeability via the endocannabinoid system, the tone of which is increased in adiposity.

Recent evidence suggests that emulsifiers commonly used in processed food have a negative effect on gut lining by...
altering the intestinal microbiota composition, which results in increased microbiota encroachment of the intestinal mucus layer\textsuperscript{84} (for a review see also Cani and Van Hul\textsuperscript{95}).

**Lipid-induced metabolic endotoxemia in humans.** The relationship between a high-fat diet, obesity, type 2 diabetes, and metabolic endotoxemia is also becoming established in humans. Erridge et al.\textsuperscript{96} demonstrated in a study with healthy human subjects \textit{(n} = 12) that a high-fat meal induced metabolic endotoxemia, which fluctuated rapidly to concentrations sufficient to induce a significant degree of inflammation. In this study, plasma endotoxin levels increased from 8 to 12 pg/ml after the meal. Amar et al.\textsuperscript{97} found a positive relationship between energy intake and metabolic endotoxemia in a cohort of 201 healthy men. This relationship between fatty acid ingestion and metabolic endotoxemia has been confirmed in multiple independent studies.\textsuperscript{98–100} Furthermore, it has been shown that metabolic endotoxemia increases adipose tissue markers of inflammation such as TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-6, as well as insulin resistance in healthy volunteers.\textsuperscript{101}

Creely et al.\textsuperscript{102} showed that circulating LPS levels were 76\% higher in type 2 diabetic subjects \textit{(n} = 25) compared with controls \textit{(n} = 25, \(P<0.0001\)), reinforcing the hypothesis that LPS might act as a gut microbiota-related factor involved in the development of type 2 diabetes and obesity in humans. This was further confirmed by Pussinen et al.\textsuperscript{103} who investigated the FINRISK\textsuperscript{97} cohort comprising 7,169 subjects aged 25–74 years, which were followed up for 10 years. Also in this study, a strong relationship between metabolic endotoxemia and type 2 diabetes was found. Both the subjects with prevalent diabetes \textit{(n} = 537) and those with incident diabetes \textit{(n} = 462) had higher metabolic endotoxemia compared with the non-diabetic subjects \textit{(P}< 0.001). In addition, metabolic endotoxemia was significantly associated with an increased risk for incident diabetes (52\% increased risk in the highest quartile compared with the lowest one).\textsuperscript{103} Metabolic endotoxemia positively correlated with several markers of cardiovascular risk factors and type 2 diabetes such as triglycerides, cholesterol, glucose, and insulin in diabetic patients.\textsuperscript{104}

Endotoxemia and gut-derived toxins are suggested to also have causative roles in the onset and progression of liver inflammation and damage in chronic liver diseases in humans.\textsuperscript{105,106} This hepatic component of metabolic syndrome involves a complex spectrum of pathological changes, including steatosis, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, fibrosis, and cirrhosis.\textsuperscript{107,108} Changes in TJ protein expression and distribution are suggested as critical factors in the impairment of gut barrier function and subsequent alterations in gut permeability observed in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease patients.\textsuperscript{109}

Although the mechanisms linking metabolic endotoxemia with the gut microbiota remain to be demonstrated in humans, one study has shown a positive correlation between waist circumference and large intestinal permeability markers (6–12 h ratio sucralose/mannitol). Moreover, by combining computed tomography and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry to measure abdominal fat (visceral and subcutaneous), liver fat, and total body fat, it was shown that gut permeability was significantly and positively correlated with visceral fat and liver fat, but not with subcutaneous or whole-body fat. Thus, this study strongly supports that intestinal permeability may link gut microbiota dysbalance, inflammation, steatosis, and visceral fat accumulation,\textsuperscript{110} whereas the small intestinal permeability seems undisturbed in obese subjects.\textsuperscript{110,111}

These findings and future studies will hopefully provide the basis for new therapeutic possibilities for obesity based on diets that can improve intestinal barrier function.

**INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY IN CELIAC DISEASE, NON-CELIAC GLUTEN SENSITIVITY, AND FOOD ALLERGY**

**Intestinal permeability in celiac disease.** Celiac disease is an immune-mediated disorder of the small intestine that occurs in genetically susceptible individuals (HLA-DQ2/DQ8 haplotype). It is triggered by an abnormal reaction towards gliadin, a component of gluten proteins found in wheat and related proteins of other grains. Celiac disease is characterized by various degrees of villous atrophy of the small bowel mucosa, malabsorption, and impaired integrity of the small bowel epithelium with increased lymphocytic infiltration.\textsuperscript{112–114}

Celiac disease patients are known to have an abnormal TJ structure\textsuperscript{115–118} and increased intestinal permeability.\textsuperscript{118–118} An early study by Van Elburg et al.\textsuperscript{118} showed that even in relatives of patients with celiac disease, an increased intestinal permeability is present, with a mean lactulose/mannitol ratio of 0.243 in celiac disease patients and 0.158 in relatives compared with 0.043 in healthy controls. It is hypothesized that in celiac disease, gliadin passes through the intestinal epithelium into the lamina propria, where it then triggers an immune reaction, whereas a healthy intestinal epithelium is impermeable to gliadin.\textsuperscript{114} In addition, it has been shown \textit{in vitro} and in animal studies that gliadin itself increases intestinal permeability by enhancing the release of zonulin,\textsuperscript{119–121} presumably via binding to the chemokine receptor CXCR3.\textsuperscript{122} Zonulin, a protein that reduces intestinal barrier function by modulating TJ proteins (such as ZO-1), is about sixfold increased in the intestinal submucosa of patients with active celiac disease compared with healthy controls.\textsuperscript{123} Accordingly, ZO-1 is reduced in duodenal biopsies in those patients, an effect which is reversed following a gluten-free diet.\textsuperscript{113,114}

**Intestinal permeability in non-celiac gluten sensitivity.** In the past years, it has become more and more recognized that a clinical reaction to food containing gluten can also occur without the involvement of allergic or autoimmune mechanisms. This condition is classified as non-celiac gluten sensitivity.\textsuperscript{124} It is not completely clear if gluten or other components in wheat are responsible for the symptoms,\textsuperscript{125} and not much is known about its pathophysiology yet. Gluten-sensitive individuals are negative for anti-tissue transglutaminase antibodies, and epithelial lesions in the small intestine or villous atrophy are absent, but some studies have shown signs of a mild mucosal immune activation.\textsuperscript{126,127} Only two small studies have investigated the role of the intestinal permeability in this condition. Sapone et al.\textsuperscript{126} did not find an increased intestinal permeability in the small bowel
Intestinal permeability in food allergy. In children and adults suffering from food allergy or intolerance, an increased intestinal permeability that can persist even in an allergen-free diet has been reported. Ventura et al. measured the intestinal permeability in 21 patients with food allergy and in 20 patients with food hypersensitivity who had been on an allergen-free diet for 6 months, and found an ~3-fold increase compared with healthy controls (n = 40) using the lactulose/mannose test. The intestinal permeability correlated positively with symptom severity. Járvinen et al. used the same test to investigate the intestinal permeability in 131 asymptomatic children with food allergy, and found that 38% had an increased permeability even though they were on strict elimination diets. It is hypothesized that in those patients, food particles/allergens can cross the epithelial barrier and cause an allergic reaction characterized by mast cell recruitment and allergen-specific IgE production. In turn, inflammatory mediators (cytokines, proteases) lead to further disintegration of barrier function and increased passage of allergens. These studies suggest that an increased permeability can be a risk factor for developing food allergy in a subset of patients; however, the increased intestinal permeability could also be a consequence of the allergic reaction, and more clinical studies are needed to investigate this further.

THE EFFECT OF PPIs AND NSAIDS ON INTESTINAL BARRIER FUNCTION

Various drugs have been associated with the occurrence of a disturbed intestinal epithelial barrier function. Of those, proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) belong to the most prescribed medications worldwide, and are often administered in combination.

Proton pump inhibitors. PPIs specifically target the proton pumps (H⁺K⁺-ATPases), which are responsible for the gastric acid secretion in the stomach, and also occur in the colon, where they contribute to whole-body potassium homeostasis. Inhibition of these colonic proton pumps may therefore affect the local electrolyte balance, thereby compromising fluid acidification, and, consequently, immune reactions in colonic mucosa.

Effect of PPIs on intestinal barrier function. The PPIs omeprazole and lansoprazole were shown to induce smooth muscle relaxation and to inhibit contractile activity, indicating that they do not only affect the proton pumps. In this manner, they may affect the regulation of the TJ complex and hence the intestinal epithelial barrier function, because the TJs are directly linked to the intracellular actin–myosin cytoskeleton. Omeprazole, and to a lesser extent also lansoprazole or esomeprazole, have been shown to cause an increase in paracellular permeability in the mucosa of gastric corpus mucosa of rats in an ex vivo setting. This confirmed the earlier observations of Hopkins et al., who observed an increase in the paracellular permeability of rat gastric mucosa upon addition of omeprazole. In GERD (gastroesophageal reflux disease) patients, esomeprazole treatment resulted in a significant increase in upper gastrointestinal permeability as evaluated by the sucrose permeability test in 21 out of 26 patients (84%, P = 0.001). In healthy controls, the effects of esomeprazole were shown to be positively correlated with the duration of therapy. The use of PPIs thus seems to induce a paracellular transepithelial leak in the gastric corpus, which allows compounds to cross the mucosa. This may be considered as a harmful event; however, it might also have a beneficial application by facilitating the absorption of small-sized drugs or other molecules, which normally could not cross the gastrointestinal barrier or would have been digested in the gastrointestinal lumen before absorption. Gabello et al. showed that addition of omeprazole to rat gastric tissue increased the absorption of the peptide bradykinin and of the drug digoxin, whereas passage of the peptide oxytocin and of the drug phenytoin was not affected by the presence of the PPI. Future studies should establish the inclusionary and exclusionary structural criteria of peptide passage through the PPI-induced leak. The possibly increased bioavailability of small-sized structures should be considered when prescribing PPIs to patients who are on multidi drug therapy.

Mechanisms behind the effect of PPIs on barrier function. The underlying mechanism of the disturbing effects of PPIs on the intestinal epithelial barrier function is poorly understood. Given the fact that PPI intake is not associated with serious adverse effects, it is unlikely that PPIs induce cell death. It has been shown in an in vitro study that the PPIs omeprazole, lansoprazole, and SCH 28080 (2-methyl-8-(phenylimethoxy)-imidazo[1,2-alpyridine-3-acetonitrile) decreased the contractile response of rat vas deferens to electrical stimulation. This effect was at least, in part, due to an inhibitory effect of the PPIs on Ca²⁺ entry into the cells. Similarly, omeprazole and lansoprazole inhibited spontaneous contractions and caused dose-dependent relaxation of smooth muscle in guinea-pigs. As the contraction induced by the addition of Ca²⁺ was completely relaxed by omeprazole and lansoprazole, calcium channel blockade by the PPIs seemed to be involved in this effect. Calcium influx in cells is known to modulate contractions of the...
intracellular cytoskeleton. Hence, the effect of PPIs on calcium homeostasis probably has a role in the effects of PPIs on the regulation of intestinal epithelial barrier function. The effects on local potassium homeostasis, which may affect mucosal immune activation as mentioned above, may also contribute to the modulation of this barrier function. Mullin et al.\textsuperscript{139} postulated that we should consider the fact that PPIs directly act on a phosphatase. It could be that PPIs do not only inhibit the H,K-ATP-ase but also other phosphatases. As phosphatase-mediated dephosphorylation of specific TJ proteins is known to alter TJ permeability, this may contribute to the observed disturbances in PPI-induced barrier dysfunction.

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. NSAID therapy is associated with the occurrence of serious adverse effects, such as epigastric pain, abdominal pain, constipation, abdominal distension, mucosal inflammation, erythema, erosions, and ulcers.\textsuperscript{142,143} In severe cases, it may induce bleeding, ileus, and perforation. The prevalence of NSAID-induced enteropathy (determined by means of fecal occult blood test, assessment of intestinal inflammation, and intestinal permeability) is observed in 19–72% of patients.\textsuperscript{144} Acetylsalicylic acid causes less damage to intestinal mucosa because, in contrast to the other NSAIDs, it does not undergo enterohepatic circulation.\textsuperscript{145}

Effect of NSAIDs on intestinal barrier function and possible mechanisms behind. The pathogenesis of NSAIDs is well investigated, but still not fully understood. It has been suggested that the toxic topical effect of NSAIDs has separate phases. First, NSAIDs are incorporated into biological membranes because of their lipophilic properties. They interact with brush border phospholipids, thereby causing direct damage to intestinal epithelium.\textsuperscript{146} NSAIDs also uncouple oxidative phosphorylation, which leads to mitochondrial dysfunction and, consequently, to a reduction in intracellular ATP.\textsuperscript{147,148} This ATP depletion results in a decreased intestinal epithelial barrier function, as the regulation of the intracellular actin–myosin complex is an ATP-dependent process. The modulation of membrane phospholipids and intracellular ATP levels are followed by leakage of intracellular calcium and increased production of free oxygen radicals. Taken together, these processes will directly modulate intestinal permeability by affecting the contraction of the intracellular cytoskeleton, and the integrity of the TJ complex. This increased permeability subsequently induces the last phase of NSAID-induced enteropathy, which is infiltration of luminal compounds (such as bile acids, bacterial breakdown products, acid, pepsin) into the intestinal mucosa, leading to immune activation and, in some cases, inflammation.\textsuperscript{149–151} The inflammation may then progress to erosions and ulcers, which, in turn, can lead to bleeding and perforations.

In addition to the phases mentioned above, which have a topical effect leading to mucosal damage, NSAIDs can also induce mucosal damage by its prostaglandin-inhibiting properties. After absorption, NSAIDs inhibit cyclooxygenase-1 and -2 (COX-1 and -2). COX-1 inhibition leads to a decrease in mucosal blood flow, whereas inhibition of COX-2 probably has an effect on immune modulation.\textsuperscript{152}

The intestinal barrier function is disturbed in 60–80% of patients using NSAID therapy.\textsuperscript{144,153–155} Some investigators believe that especially bacteria and their breakdown products are responsible for the initial inflammatory response, because of their neutrophil chemotactant properties.\textsuperscript{151,156} Increased intestinal epithelial permeability, which occurs within hours after ingestion of virtually all conventional NSAIDs, is associated with inflammatory enteropathy,\textsuperscript{156} and with significant complications.\textsuperscript{157,158} At present, there is no consensus on the best strategy to prevent this damage. Several studies showed promising results, but follow-up confirmation studies are lacking. Prostaglandin administration may inhibit NSAID-induced enteropathy, especially during a short-term therapy.\textsuperscript{159–161} The topical administration of ATP by nasointestinal tube significantly reduced the intestinal permeability increase after indomethacin intake to control levels in healthy volunteers, measured using the lactulose/rhamnose test ($P<0.01$).\textsuperscript{162} Enteric-coated capsules containing ATP however showed no effect.\textsuperscript{163} Also, the intake of recombinant human lactoferrin reduced the NSAID-mediated increase in small intestinal permeability from 0.346 to 0.028 (lactulose/rhamnose ratio, $P<0.05$).\textsuperscript{164}

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE INTESTINAL BARRIER FUNCTION

A variety of methods are currently used to assess the intestinal barrier function, all of which come with their own advantages and drawbacks. It is important to note that each method is specific for a certain section of the gastrointestinal tract and measures different functional aspects of epithelial integrity of the intestine.

For an in vivo assessment of the barrier function, intestinal permeability assays using orally administered, nondegradastr-sugars or other molecules such as $[^{51}]$Cr-EDTA or polyethylene glycol can be used. Common methods use probes of two different sizes. The larger size molecule (e.g., lactulose) can only cross the intestinal barrier by paracellular passage if it is compromised, and is not taken up actively. The smaller molecule (e.g., rhamnose) crosses the epithelial barrier transcellularly and acts as a control for gastric emptying and dilution, transit time, and epithelial absorptive area, as well as systemic distribution and renal function. The urinary excretion ratio is then used as a standardized assessment of intestinal permeability of the intestinal segment where the permeability probes are absorbed. Nowadays, small bowel and colon permeability are mostly analyzed using multisugar tests.\textsuperscript{165} The noninvasiveness of this method is certainly an advantage; however, the analytical analysis by high pressure liquid chromatography or liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry is rather laborious and necessitates advanced laboratory skills.

In addition, there are several biomarkers that can be measured in the blood that can act as indicators of deteriorated barrier function. These include, for example, LPS and intestinal fatty acid-binding protein (for more detail refer to van Wijck et al.\textsuperscript{165} and Bischoff et al.). Different to measurements in portal vein blood of animals, LPS
measurements in peripheral blood of humans is still a technical challenge and results need be interpreted with caution.1

The most established system to assess intestinal permeability ex vivo is the Ussing chamber, which is commonly used to measure the transport of ions, nutrients, and drugs across various epithelial tissues such as intestinal biopsies or tissue specimens.166 It allows for a more complex experimental setup than in vivo methods, but is invasive due to the need for fresh intestinal tissue specimens. Furthermore, the method is highly dependent on experimental and laboratory skills and very labor intensive.

CONCLUSION

Maintenance of the intestinal barrier function is important for our health, and a dysfunction is a risk factor for a variety of disorders and diseases. Various viral and bacterial pathogens exert their harmful effects through modulating TJ proteins, thus disrupting intestinal permeability and facilitating their access to the host. The inflammatory processes occurring in the intestinal epithelium in IBD are associated with a clearly damaged intestinal barrier. It is still unknown if the disrupted barrier is a consequence of the ongoing inflammation, or if it is an independent process involved in the pathophysiology of IBD. On the one hand, animal studies have shown that a primary gut barrier defect can lead to inflammation, and on the other hand, IBD can also develop in the presence of an intact barrier, with T-cell regulation being a critical factor. Genetic variants of genes involved in barrier function are associated with the risk of developing IBD; however, environmental factors such as the gut microbiota composition seem to have an even more important role. In IBS, the involvement of the intestinal barrier is not as clear as in IBD, but at least a subset of patients present with increased intestinal permeability. There is evidence that the intestinal barrier has a central role in the pathophysiological concept of a dysregulated microbe–gut–brain axis in IBS. In addition, an altered gut microbiota, which is often found in IBS, as well as the presence of specific bacterial metabolites or other soluble factors can influence intestinal permeability.

In animal models, gut microbiota-derived LPS, which passes through a dysfunctional intestinal barrier, has been shown to be one of the triggering factors in the development of obesity and associated disorders by contributing to a low-grade inflammatory state with systemic effects. Even though there is increasing evidence in humans that points to an altered gut barrier in obesity, the link between intestinal permeability, increased metabolic endotoxemia, inflammation, and the development of obesity still remains to be demonstrated. In celiac disease and food allergy, an increased intestinal permeability is clearly established and is known to have a major role in their pathophysiology.

Also, other disorders that were not discussed in this review have been associated with a disturbed intestinal barrier function. An increased intestinal permeability in children with autism spectrum disorder as well as their first-degree relatives could be shown in one study.167 Also in depression, a disturbed intestinal barrier seems to have a role. Significantly increased serum levels of IgM and IgA towards LPS derived from Gram-negative bacteria belonging to the normal commensal gut microbiota have been detected in patients with chronic depression, suggesting that there is an increased permeability in the gut of depressed patients facilitating bacterial translocation.168 A ‘leaky gut’ is also hypothesized to be involved in the pathophysiology of other psychological disorders such as schizophrenia, even though concrete evidence is still missing.169–171 Additional factors known to affect intestinal permeability include alcohol abuse,172 strenuous exercise,173,174 and enteral feeding.175

Hopefully, future studies will increase our knowledge on how intestinal barrier dysfunction is caused and how it can be prevented or restored, providing new therapeutic strategies for a variety of diseases.
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