Crystal Structure of the Heterotrimeric Integrin-Binding Region of Laminin-111

Highlights
- The crystal structure of an integrin-binding fragment of laminin has been determined
- The interactions between the coiled coil and the α chain LG domains are revealed
- The γ1 chain tail is located on the surface of α chain domains LG1 and LG2
- Integrins are proposed to bind directly to a glutamic acid in the γ1 chain tail
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In Brief
The heterotrimeric laminins are important cell-adhesive proteins. Pulido et al. have determined the crystal structure of a minimal integrin-binding fragment of laminin-111, which reveals the quaternary interactions essential for integrin binding.
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SUMMARY

Laminins are cell-adhesive glycoproteins that are essential for basement membrane assembly and function. Integrins are important laminin receptors, but their binding site on the heterotrimeric laminins is poorly defined structurally. We report the crystal structure at 2.13 Å resolution of a minimal integrin-binding fragment of mouse laminin-111, consisting of ~50 residues of α1β1γ1 coiled coil and the first three laminin G-like (LG) domains of the α1 chain. The LG domains adopt a triangular arrangement, with the C terminus of the coiled coil situated between LG1 and LG2. The critical integrin-binding glutamic acid residue in the γ1 chain tail is surface exposed and predicted to bind to the metal ion-dependent adhesion site in the integrin β1 subunit. Additional contacts to the integrin are likely to be made by the LG1 and LG2 surfaces adjacent to the γ1 chain tail, which are notably conserved and free of obstructing glycans.

INTRODUCTION

Basement membranes (BMs) are a type of extracellular matrix that underlies all epithelia and surrounds muscle, fat, and peripheral nerve cells (Yurchenco, 2011). BMs are composed of a characteristic set of evolutionarily ancient glycoproteins: laminins, type IV and type XVIII collagens, nidogen, and perlecans (Hynes, 2012). BM assembly begins with the polymerization of laminins at the cell surface; this process requires cellular receptors, including integrins, α1-dystroglycan, and sulfated carbohydrates (Hohenester and Yurchenco, 2013). Integrin-mediated cell adhesion to laminins is essential for embryo development and for tissue function in adult animals (Yamada and Sekiguchi, 2015; Yurchenco, 2011). Disruption of the laminin-integrin interaction causes a severe skin-blistering disorder in humans (Has and Nyström, 2015); the interaction is also perturbed in many cancers (Ramovs et al., 2016). Moreover, integrin-mediated adhesion to laminin supports the long-term self-renewal of stem cells in culture (Miyazaki et al., 2012; Rodin et al., 2010).

Laminins are large heterotrimers composed of one of five α chains, one of three β chains, and one of three γ chains (Aumailley et al., 2005). The laminin-binding integrins α3β1, α6β1, α7β1, and α6β4 have distinct specificities for the 15 known laminin heterotrimers (Nishiyu et al., 2006). Laminin-111 (α1β1γ1) was originally purified from the Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm (EHS) mouse tumor and has been extensively studied for nearly 40 years. The N-terminal regions of the α1, β1, and γ1 chains form the short arms of the cross-shaped laminin molecule, and their C-terminal regions associate to form a long α-helical coiled coil (Beck et al., 1990). The α1 chain continues for another ~1,000 residues after the coiled coil, which are folded into five consecutive laminin G-like (LG) domains (Timpl et al., 2000). It has long been known that integrin binding requires the native quaternary structure of laminin: first, a proteolytic laminin-111 fragment termed E8 (~220 residues of coiled coil and LG1-LG3) was shown to be sufficient for integrin-mediated cell adhesion, but the activity was lost when E8 was dissociated into its constituent chains (Deutzmann et al., 1990). Second, a glutamic acid in the third position from the C terminus of the γ1 chain (Glul1605 in mouse γ1) was shown to be essential for integrin binding to laminin-511, but the isolated γ1 tail was inactive (Ido et al., 2007). A structural explanation for these observations has been difficult to obtain, however. Here, we report the crystal structure of the integrin-binding region of laminin, which reveals that the critical γ1 tail lies on top of the LG1 and LG2 domains of the α chain. We propose that integrins recognize this composite surface on the laminin heterotrimer.

RESULTS

Structure Determination

To obtain a heterotrimeric laminin fragment amenable to crystallization, we shortened the coiled coil of the E8 fragment from ~220 to ~50 residues. Our design of this mini-E8 fragment was inspired by a peptide study, which showed that ~50 residues are sufficient for a stable laminin α2β1γ1 coiled coil (Nominzu et al., 1996). Co-expression of the α1, β1, and γ1 chains of mini-E8 resulted in a stable heterotrimer with the expected disulfide bond between the β1 and γ1 chains (Figures 1A and 1B). HT1080 fibrosarcoma cells, which adhere to laminin-111 and E8 using integrin α6β1 (Brown and Goodman, 1991; Deutzmann et al., 1990), adhered equally well to mini-E8, and cell adhesion was abrogated by mutation of the critical integrin-binding residue, γ1 E1605Q (Figure 1C). The crystal structure of enzymatically deglycosylated mini-E8 was determined to a resolution of 2.13 Å (Table 1). The crystallographic model is complete except for residues 2,539–2,546 in α1 LG3 and the last two residues of γ1.
Overall Structure of Laminin-111 Mini-E8
The three LG domains of the α1 chain in mini-E8 are arranged in a triangle (Figure 1D), in agreement with negative-stain electron micrographs of laminin-111 (Bruch et al., 1989). The coiled coil of mini-E8 is attached perpendicularly to the LG1-LG3 triangle, with its C terminus located between LG1 and LG2. In a previous crystal structure of the isolated LG1-LG3 domains of the laminin α2 chain, LG1 was completely dissociated from LG2 and LG3 (Carafoli et al., 2009). Thus, the presence of the coiled coil is required to establish the triangular LG arrangement in mini-E8. Of the three helices making up the coiled coil, the α1 helix is closest to the center of the triangle. LG1 interacts extensively with the coiled coil and places LG2 on the opposite side of the coiled coil. The association of LG2 with the coiled coil is remarkably tenuous: apart from a single direct interaction involving α1 Tyr2483, all the contacts are mediated by water molecules. The interface between LG2 and LG3 is also dominated by water-mediated contacts. LG3 is farthest from the coiled coil and closes the triangle by forming an extensive interface with LG1. The β1 and γ1 helices are connected by a disulfide bridge near their C termini. The γ1 chain extends for another seven residues, which fold over the α1 LG1-LG2 linker and position the critical γ1 Glu1605 residue on the surface between the LG1 and LG2 domains (Figure 1).

The mini-E8 structure is in excellent agreement with a recent crosslinking study of laminin-111 (Armony et al., 2016). The authors observed crosslinks from α1 Lys2119 to LG1, LG2, and the LG2-LG3 linker, consistent with the location of Lys2119 in the penultimate turn of the α1 helix and its side chain pointing toward the center of the LG1-LG3 triangle (Figure S1A). Furthermore, crosslinks were observed from γ1 Lys1606 (adjacent to the critical γ1 Glu1605) to LG1 and LG2, consistent with the position of the γ1 tail in our structure (Figure S1B).

The Heterotrimeric Coiled Coil of Mini-E8
The coiled coil in mini-E8 spans seven heptad repeats, the first two of which have weak electron density. The heptads adopt the standard arrangement (Cohen and Parry, 1990), with predominantly aliphatic residues in the a and d positions forming the core of the helical bundle (Figure 2). Unusually for a coiled coil, the α1 helix is completely straight, except for a kink at Pro2095. When the coiled coil is viewed from its C terminus, α1, β1, and γ1 are arranged in a counterclockwise order. Our mini-E8 structure thus confirms the register and chain order proposed in the recent crosslinking study (Armony et al., 2016). Sequence comparison shows that the very C terminus of
the coiled coil is conserved in all laminin isoforms (Figure 2). However, the α4 and α5 chains deviate from the regular heptad pattern in the N-terminal half of the mini-E8 coiled coil, and may require additional regions for stable association with the β and γ chains.

Interactions Involving the C Terminus of the Coiled Coil

The β1 helix of the coiled coil is continuous all the way to the C-terminal β1-γ1 disulfide bond. In contrast, the α1 and γ1 helices terminate two turns earlier, and their C-terminal regions interact with each other and with LG1 (Figure 3A). The α1 chain straightens after Ile2124 to become the first β strand of LG1 at Ala2127. The γ1 chain bulges after Pro1595, with the side chain of Phe1599 pointing back toward Pro1595. The opening of the coiled coil near its C terminus creates a hydrophobic pocket that accommodates the α1 LG1 residue Trp2293, thereby establishing the intimate interface between LG1 and the coiled coil (Figure 3A). Sequence comparison suggests that similar quaternary interactions are formed in all laminin heterotrimers: aromatic residues corresponding to α1 Trp2293 and Tyr2295 are present in all other α chains (Carafoli et al., 2009), and a proline corresponding to γ1 Pro1595 is present in all γ chains (Figure 2).

The γ1 tail, which is critical for integrin binding (Ido et al., 2007), is almost entirely resolved in our mini-E8 structure; only the Glu1605 side chain and the C-terminal two residues are missing (Figure 3B). The side chain of γ1 Asn1600 (conserved in all vertebrate γ1 sequences) forms two hydrogen bonds with the two C-terminal peptide carbonyl groups of the β1 helix. Further along, the γ1 tail forms two main-chain hydrogen bonds with the α1 LG1-LG2 linker. Thus, a complex network of quaternary interactions organizes the C-terminal region of the coiled coil, including the γ1 tail. We note that the LG1 and LG2 surfaces on either side of the γ1 tail are more highly conserved than LG3, and that none of the five N-linked glycosylation sites of mini-E8 are located in this region (Figure 3C).

The LG1-LG3 Interface

The LG1-LG3 interface is the largest interdomain contact in mini-E8 (Figure S2), yet the interface residues are not conserved in other laminin α chains. For instance, α1 LG3 residue Ala2661, which points into a snug pocket on the LG1 surface, is replaced by arginine in the α3, α4, and α5 chains (Carafoli et al., 2009). The lack of conservation in this region sharply contrasts the strict conservation of quaternary interactions near the C terminus of the coiled coil, and suggests that the precise nature of the LG1-LG3 interface may be less important, as long as a circular LG1-LG2-LG3 structure is established.

DISCUSSION

The broad features of the quaternary structure of laminins were established many years ago (Beck et al., 1990). Since then, crystal structures have been determined of several key regions (Carafoli et al., 2012; Hohenester and Yurchenco, 2013; Moran et al., 2015; Pulido et al., 2016), but the coiled coil and the integrin-binding region have defied all crystallographic attempts to date. Our high-resolution crystal structure of laminin-111 mini-E8 now reveals how the coiled coil is integrated into the LG tandem, and provides a satisfying explanation as to why no single laminin chain is sufficient for integrin binding (Yamada and Sekiguchi, 2015).

The identification of γ1 Glu1605 as a key residue for integrin αβ6/1 binding suggested two possible scenarios: either this residue binds directly to the integrin, or it is required to organize the LG tandem into a competent conformation (Ido et al., 2007). Our structure strongly favors the first scenario. Because the γ1 tail is located on the surface and appears to be quite mobile, it is difficult to imagine how γ1 Glu1605 could be essential for quaternary structure. It is more likely that this acidic residue is the ligand for the metal ion-dependent adhesion site in the integrin β1 subunit, similarly to the aspartic acid of the famous Arg-Gly-Asp motif in the Fn9 domain of fibronectin (Xiong et al., 2002). This interpretation is in line with results from exhaustive mutagenesis of the laminin α5 chain, which failed to identify acidic residues within the LG1-LG3 region that are essential for integrin binding (Yamada and Sekiguchi, 2015). In fibronectin, the so-called synergy site in the Fn9 domain makes additional contacts to the integrin α chain (Nagae et al., 2012). In laminin-111, an equivalent function may be provided by the conserved LG1 and LG2 surfaces adjacent to the γ1 tail. This interpretation would be consistent with the finding that the integrin specificity of laminin heterotrimers is largely determined by the α chain (Nishiuchi et al., 2006). It is also noteworthy that the epitopes of two function-blocking antibodies map to the LG1 and LG2 domains, respectively (Ido et al., 2006; Yamashita et al., 2010). Because no structure of a laminin-binding integrin is available, and
because the laminin γ1 tail could reorient substantially upon integrin binding, it is difficult to predict how the LG1 or LG2 domains might contact the integrin. The requirement of LG3 for function is likely to be indirect: removal of LG3 (Ido et al., 2004) would destabilize the quaternary structure, and replacement with LG3 from another α chain (Kikkawa et al., 2007) would not be tolerated because of the lack of conservation in the LG1-LG3 interface.

In summary, our structure of the integrin-binding region of laminin-111 rationalizes a large number of biochemical findings and provides the framework for future studies into the mechanism of laminin recognition by integrins.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Expression Vectors**
All coding sequences were obtained by PCR amplification from cDNAs kindly provided by Peter Yurchenco. Our numbering scheme is based on the UniProt entries for mouse laminin α1 (UniProt: P19137), mouse laminin β1 (UniProt: P02469), and mouse laminin γ1 (UniProt: P02468). The E8 fragment spans α1 residues 1,911–2,707, β1 residues 1,561–1,786, and γ1 residues 1,548–1,607. The inserts were cloned into modified pcDNA vectors (Kohfeldt et al., 1997). The α1 and γ1 constructs were made without tags and contain a vector-derived APLA sequence at their N terminus. The β1 constructs for biochemical experiments were made with a fused N-terminal His-tag (APLVRHHHHHHLA). The β1 construct for crystallization was made with a tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease-cleavable His-tag (Pulido et al., 2016), leaving an N-terminal GALA sequence after TEV protease cleavage.

**Protein Expression and Purification**
The heterotrimERIC E8 and mini-E8 fragments, as well as their γ1 E1605Q mutants, were produced using the FreeStyle 293 Expression System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s protocols. In brief, 293F cells were grown in a shaking incubator at 37°C with 8% CO2 in serum-free FreeStyle 293 Expression Medium to a cell density of 10^6 cells/mL. The cells were cotransfected with the respective expression vectors at a 1:1 molar ratio. Transfections were performed using polyethylenimine (PEI; VWR International) and a DNA:PEI ratio of 1:3 (w/w).

The conditioned media containing the secreted heterotrimers were collected 72 hr after transfection. The filtered media were adjusted to a final concentration of 20 mM Na-HEPES (pH 7.5) and loaded onto 5-mL HisTrap excel columns (GE Healthcare) using an Äkta pure chromatography system (GE Healthcare). The columns were washed with 20 mM Na-HEPES and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.5), and the proteins were eluted with the same buffer containing 200 mM imidazole. Fractions containing protein were concentrated using Vivaspin centrifugal devices (Sartorius) to a final concentration of 1 mg/mL and further purified on a Superdex 200 10/300 column (GE Healthcare) using 20 mM Tris-HCl and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.5) as the running buffer.

The mini-E8 protein for crystallization was produced as described above using the FreeStyle 293 Expression System, but with 5 μM kifunensine (Industrial Research) added to the growth medium. Twenty-four hours after transfection the conditioned medium was collected, and the transfected cells were resuspended in fresh kifunensine-containing medium, and further incubated for 48 hr. The protein was purified from the combined conditioned media using HisTrap affinity chromatography and concentrated to 1 mg/mL. This protein solution was then dialyzed into 20 mM Tris-HCl and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.5) and simultaneously digested with His-tagged TEV protease and His-tagged EndoH endoglycosidase (made in E. coli using expression vectors kindly provided by Stephen Curry and Daniel Leahy, respectively) at enzyme:substrate ratios of 1:10 for 18 hr at room temperature. The reaction mixture was loaded onto a 1-mL HisTrap FF column (GE Healthcare) and the flowthrough collected. The final purification step was done by size-exclusion chromatography, as described above.

**Cell Adhesion Assay**
Cell adhesion assays were performed using HT1080 human fibrosarcoma cells (ATCC). The cells were grown at 37°C with 5% CO2 in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Twenty-four-well plates (Corning Life Sciences) were coated with 100 nM mouse EHS tumor laminin-111 (Sigma-Aldrich), recombinant E8, recombinant mini-E8, or the respective γ1 E1605Q mutants, at 4°C overnight. The following day, the wells were blocked with 2% BSA in PBS for 2 hr at room temperature. HT1080 cells were harvested, centrifuged, and resuspended in serum-free DMEM at a density of 3 × 10^5 cells/mL, and then plated on the coated wells. After incubation at 37°C for 30 min, the attached cells were fixed, stained with Diff-Quik (International Reagents), and photographed using an inverted microscope.

**Reductive Methylation**
Deglycosylated mini-E8 was reductively methylated using the Reductive Alkylation Kit (Hampton Research) following the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, 1 mL of a 5 mg/mL solution of mini-E8 was reacted with dimethylamine borane complex solution and formaldehyde at 4°C for 22 hr. The reaction was stopped by addition of glycine. The reaction mixture was concentrated using a Vivaspin device and further purified by size-exclusion chromatography, as described above.

Figure 2. Structure and Sequence Conservation of the Coiled Coil
The N termini of the three helices (α1 chain, green; β1 chain, blue; γ1 chain, magenta) are on the left. The side chains of residues in the α and β positions of the heptad repeats (Cohen and Parry, 1996) are shown as sticks. Below the structure is an alignment of all mouse laminin chains with the α and β positions highlighted in cyan. The first β strand of the α1 LG1 domain is indicated by an arrow. The β1-γ1 inter-chain disulfide bond and the critical glutamic acid in the γ1 chain are in orange and magenta, respectively.
For the analysis of surface conservation in Figure 3C, we aligned the laminin-111 mini-E8 structure (Sims et al., 2008) with the C-terminal regions of the α1 (blue) and γ1 (pink) chains. The Cys2279-Cys2305 disulfide bond is reduced due to radiation damage.

(B) Interactions of the γ1 tail (pink) with the α1 LG1-LG2 linker (green) and the C terminus of the β1 chain (blue). A simulated annealing omit map of the γ1 tail is shown as a pink mesh (F_{calc} - F_{obs} = 2σ contouring). There is no electron density for the side chain of γ1 Glu1605.

(C) Surface conservation of the α1 chain (green, strictly conserved in 14 vertebrate laminin α1 sequences; yellow-green, strongly similar). The positions of N-linked glycans are indicated by transparent gray spheres. See also Figure S2.
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