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Abstract

The study was to develop poly-γ-glutamic acid (γ-PGA)-coated Doxorubicin (Dox) lipoplexes that enhance the antitumor activity against liver tumors. γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes were performed by electrostatistically attracting to the surface of cationic charge liposomes with anionic γ-PGA. With the increasing of γ-PGA concentration, the particle size of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes slightly increased, the zeta potential from positive shifted to negative, and the entrapment efficiency (EE) were no significant change. The release rate of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes slightly increased at acidic pH, the accelerated Dox release might be attributed to greater drug delivery to tumor cells, resulting in a higher antitumor activity. Especially, γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes exhibited higher cellular uptake, significant in vitro cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells, and improved in vivo antitumor efficacy toward HepG2 hepatoma-xenografted nude models in comparison with Dox liposomes and free Dox solution. In addition, the analysis results via flow cytometry showed that γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes induce S phase cell cycle arrest and significantly increased apoptosis rate of HepG2 cells. In conclusion, the presence of γ-PGA on the surface of Dox lipoplexes enhanced antitumor effects of liver tumors.
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Background

Primary liver cancer is one of the most frequently diagnosed cancers globally. In recent years, the incidence of primary liver cancer is on the rise worldwide [1]. Generally, surgical resection is the most effective treatment for primary liver cancer. However, due to advanced intrahepatic disease [2], resection is not possible. In particular, surgical resection is not suitable for patients with irresectable tumors. Hence, systemic chemotherapy is still the main treatment approach for irresectable liver cancer.

Doxorubicin, a cytotoxic anthracycline antibiotic, was commonly employed for liver cancer chemotherapy. Nevertheless, its application is often accompanied by its serious toxicity and side-effects, including cardiotoxicity and myelosuppression [3]. In order to reduce the toxic side-effects and improve the effect of chemotherapy, various tumor-specific drug carrier systems have been investigated, such as modified liposomes [4] and self-assembled nanoparticles, micelles [5], and dendrimer [6]. Recently, polypeptide nanocarriers for hepatoma chemotherapy exhibited the excellent tumor inhibition and improved safety in vivo [7–12]. Moreover, polypeptide nanocarriers showed great potential for intracellular delivery of antitumor drug [13, 14].

Specifically, anionic polymeric carriers were reported to avoid aggregation with negatively charged serum proteins and erythrocytes, which overcame serum inhibitory effects [15]. In addition, anionic polymer decreased the toxicities of cationic complexes, but did not reduce efficacies [16]. So, anionic polymer-coated lipoplexes have shown potential as safe systemic vectors [17].

γ-PGA is an anionic polymer with the properties of nontoxicity, biodegradable, biocompatibility, and nonimmunogenicity. It was widely used for drug delivery and tissue engineering [18, 19]. Several studies have shown that γ-PGA can increase the intracellular uptake and the transfection efficiency of DNA [15, 20]. Besides, anionic
γ-PGA polyplexes induced accumulation in the liver after intravenous injection [21]. Therefore, anionic γ-PGA polyplexes has been used as an outstanding carrier for drug delivery for the liver.

In the present study, the γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes (PGA-L-Dox) are achieved based on electrostatic interactions of γ-PGA and cationic charged liposomes [22]. We attempted to develop anionic γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes that enhance the antitumor activity against liver tumors and decreased the side-effects of Dox.

This work was to investigate the characterization of PGA-L-Dox, the in vitro cytotoxicity and cellular uptake in HepG2 cells. The analysis of apoptosis and cell cycle were also carried out via flow cytometry. In addition, the in vivo antitumor effect of PGA-L-Dox was studied in HepG2 tumor-bearing nude mice (Scheme 1).

**Methods**

**Materials**

Doxorubicin (98%) was purchased from Wuhan xinxinjiali bio-tech Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). Egg lecithin (E80) was purchased from Lipoid GmbH (Ludwigshafen, Germany). Cholesterol and stearyamine (SA) were supplied by Sigma International Inc. r-Polyglutamic acid (PGA, molecular weight 1200 kDa) was obtained from Zhejiang Haining city biotechnology violet gold harbour limited company. Ammonium sulfate was supplied by Nanjing Longyan chemical Co., Ltd. Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) was purchased from Sigma International Inc. Methylthiazolyldiphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) was obtained from Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology. All other materials were of analytical grade.

**Preparation of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes**

Egg lecithin, cholesterol, and SA were mixed with a molar ratio (10:1:0.5). The lipid mixture was dissolved in the solution of chloroform and methanol (3:1). This mixture was dried by reducing the pressure at 45 °C using the rotary evaporator (Eyela, N-1001S-W, Japan), then residual solvent in the lipid film was removed by evaporation under high vacuum for 6 h. Liposomes were prepared by the method of ultrasound films. The resulting multilamellar vesicles were subjected eight times of extruding through a 200-nm pore-sized polycarbonate membrane using an Avanti Mini-Extruder (Avanti polar lipids Inc., USA).

Dox were loaded into liposomes by the method of a transmembrane pH gradient as described previously [23]. The dried lipid film was hydrated with the 250 mmol · L⁻¹ ammonium sulfate solutions by gently mixing for 30 min. Then Dox (10 mg) in PBS (pH 8.0) was added to the liposome suspension at a drug-to-lipid molar ratio of 1:15 and incubated at 60 °C for 1 h. And then unencapsulated-free Dox was removed from liposomal Dox by an equilibrium dialysis method.

γ-PGA were dissolved in PBS buffer at the concentration of 0.025, 0.1, 0.25% w/v. γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes was accomplished by mixing cationic liposomes with γ-PGA solution. Unmodified liposomes were obtained by mixing the cationic liposomes with an equal volume of PBS buffer.

**Physicochemical characterization of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes**

The morphology of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes was examined by a transmission electron microscope (TEM)
with negative-staining method at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. The particle size and zeta potential was investigated with a Nicomp™ 380 Particle Sizer/Zeta Potential (Particle Sizing System, Santa Barbara, USA) at 25 °C.

The entrapment efficiency (EE) of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes was evaluated by the ultrafiltration (UF) method according to the literature reported [24]. The in vitro release experiment was investigated by dialysis bag method at 37 °C with constant shaking at 100 rpm. All the experiments were measured in triplicate.

In vitro cytotoxicity

HepG2 cells were seeded into 96-well plates at the concentration of $1 \times 10^4$ cells per well. After 24 h incubation, the cultures were treated with the formulations. After 48 h exposure to the drug, culture medium was removed. Twenty microliters of 5 mg/mL MTT solution was then added to the culture wells and incubated for 3 h, and then the supernatant was removed and replaced by 100 μL DMSO to dissolve the formazan crystals. After vortex mixing, the absorbance was measured by ELISA at 570 nm. The absorbance was linearly proportional to the number of live cells with active mitochondria.

\[
\text{Cell viability } (\%) = \frac{\text{Abs}_T}{\text{Abs}_C} \times 100
\]

Where \(\text{Abs}_T\) is the Absorbance of cells treated group and \(\text{Abs}_C\) is the absorbance of control group (incubated with cell culture medium only).

Cellular uptake studies

The HepG2 cell lines were purchased from American Type Cell Culture (ATCC) cell bank. The cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco-BRL, NY, USA) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone Laboratories, Inc., UT, USA), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were incubated at 37 °C in a minimum relative humidity of 95% air with 5% CO₂. Cellular uptake of Dox-loaded formulations was assessed in HepG2 cells using fluorescence microscopy. HepG2 cells were seeded into 96-well plates and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Dox solution (free Dox), Dox liposomes (L-Dox), and γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes (PGA-L-Dox) with the Dox concentration of 10 μg/ml were added to each well, followed by 4 h incubation, the medium was removed, and cells were rinsed with cold PBS three times. After the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS at 25 °C for 15 min, the cell nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258 for 15 min. Each well containing cells were mounted with 50% glycerol and were then observed under Olympus IX71 fluorescence microscope (Olympus America Inc.).

Analysis of apoptosis by flow cytometry

HepG2 cells of all groups were digested and collected using 0.25% trypsin, and then washed with PBS solution. The cell density was adjusted to $1 \times 10^6$ cells/ml. Annexin V-FITC (5 μl) and 5 ml of propidium iodide (PI) were added for staining 30 min at 4 °C in the dark, and stained cells were immediately analyzed by Flow Cytometer (BD FACSAriaIII).

Analysis of cell cycle via flow cytometry

A 0.25% trypsin digest was used to collect HepG2 cells of all groups, and cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and fixed at 4 °C with 75% cold ethanol overnight, then washed with PBS solution again. The final volume was 100 μl at a concentration of $1 \times 10^6$ cells/ml. DNA Stain comprehensive dye liquor (500 ml; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added for staining at room temperature in a dark place for 30 min until flow cytometry analysis. The DNA Stain contained RNase, PI, and Triton X-100 at the end concentrations of 50mg/l, 100mg/l, and 1 ml/l, respectively.

In vivo antitumor efficacy

The antitumor efficacy was evaluated using HepG2 cells-bearing nude mice. Nude mice (male, 5–6 weeks old and weighting 18–22 g) were purchased from the Animal Center at Guilin Medical University. The animal experiments were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee at Guilin Medical University. At first, $0.5 \times 10^5$ HepG2 cells were subcutaneously inoculated into the right flanks. Five days later, following treatment was started. Animals were randomized into four groups.

| Time (day) | Particle size (nm) | PI     | Zeta potential (mV) | EE (%)  |
|-----------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| 0         | 226.5 ± 43.1       | 0.258 ± 0.061 | −27.8 ± 5.4         | 91.6 ± 4.7 |
| 30        | 231.8 ± 46.3       | 0.264 ± 0.067 | −25.9 ± 5.0         | 88.9 ± 5.2 |

**Table 1** Physicochemical properties of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes (n = 3)

| Formulation | The concentration of γ-PGA (%w/v) | Particle size (nm) | PI     | Zeta potential (mV) | EE (%)  |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|---------|
| L-Dox       | –                                 | 188.3 ± 23.5       | 0.204 ± 0.053 | 24.4 ± 5.3         | 92.4 ± 5.6 |
| PGA-L-Dox   | 0.025                             | 205.2 ± 28.7       | 0.248 ± 0.039 | 8.2 ± 4.0          | 90.7 ± 5.2 |
|             | 0.1                               | 226.5 ± 43.1       | 0.258 ± 0.061 | −27.8 ± 5.4        | 91.6 ± 4.7 |
|             | 0.25                              | 239.5 ± 74.6       | 0.276 ± 0.085 | −39.8 ± 7.5        | 88.3 ± 4.9 |

**Table 2** The stability of s of 0.1% γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes (n = 3)
groups \((n = 5)\). Placebo control (normal saline), free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox were injected via tail vein (equal to 5 mg/kg body weight of Dox). Alternatively, after 15 days treatment, the animals were sacrificed, tumors were excised, and tumor weight was measured. The antitumor activity was evaluated by detecting the tumor volumes and tumor weight [25]. Body weight was monitored for safety assessment.

**Results and discussion**

Characterization of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes

γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes (PGA-L-Dox) was performed via electrostatic interaction by mixing cat-ionic liposomes with anionic polyelectrolytes solutions containing 0.025, 0.1, 0.25%w/v of γ-PGA. The physicochemical properties were summarized in Table 1. The particle size of unmodified liposomes (L-Dox) was 188.3 ± 23.5 nm, polydispersity index (PI) was 0.204 ± 0.053, and the zeta potential was positive charge (24.4 ± 5.3 mV) with positively charged SA. The particle size of γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes slightly increased with increasing γ-PGA concentration. While, the zeta potential of γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes from positive shifted to negative with increasing γ-PGA concentration, which indicated the existence of excessive amount of carboxyl groups on the surface of lipoplexes. The EE of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes were no significant change with increasing γ-PGA concentration. From the charge stability consideration of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes, we chose γ-PGA with the concentration of 0.1% for further studies. Table 2 shows that particle size, PI, zeta potential, and EE of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes have no obvious changes in a 4 °C sealed environment in a month.

In Fig. 1a, TEM images showed that γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes have a circular shape and the thin gray film covered on the surface of vesicle core. The average size is approximately 200 nm, which is in agreement with the particle size measured by the dynamic light-scattering method.

The Dox release profiles from γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes and L-Dox were studied in PBS at pH 5.8 and 7.4 at 37 °C for 60 h, and the results were shown in Fig. 1b. Compared with unmodified liposomes (L-Dox), γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes showed slow release behaviors in PBS at pH 5.8 and 7.4, which likely resulted from the electrostatic interaction between carboxyl groups on the surface of lipoplexes and Dox. In the initial release stages of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes, no initial burst release was observed. Moreover, the release rate of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes slightly increased as the pH decreased from 7.4 to 5.8, the accelerated Dox release at acidic pH might be attributed to greater drug delivery to the acidic intracellular microenvironments of tumor cells, resulting in a higher antitumor activity [13].

**In vitro cytotoxicity**

Cytotoxicity of Dox formulations was evaluated with cell viability by MTT assay. The efficacy was tested on HepG2 cells after 48 h treatment. Figure 2 shows that all formulations loaded with Dox concentration from 0.03 to 18.75 μM inhibited the growth of HepG2 cells in a dose-dependent manner. For HepG2 cells, PGA-L-Dox demonstrated more significant cytotoxicity than free Dox solution (free Dox) \((p < 0.05\) or \(p < 0.01\)) and PGA-L-
Fig. 3 Fluorescent microscopic images of (a) Free Dox, (b) L-Dox, (c) PGA-L-Dox. Red fluorescent signals are related to Dox and blue ones correspond to DAPI. The final images show the merged Dox and DAPI ones. Scale bar is 50.0 μm.

Fig. 4 The apoptosis rate of HepG2 cells via Flow cytometry assay after 48 h treatment with: free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox (containing Dox concentrations of 10 μg/ml). The histogram shows the apoptosis rate (%) of HepG2 cells (n = 3). **p < 0.01 vs. free Dox, #p < 0.05 vs. L-Dox.
Dox showed superior cytotoxicity than L-Dox ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$) at the same drug concentration in HepG2 cells.

**Cellular uptake studies**

Cellular uptake of free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox was analyzed by fluorescent microscopy. The images were shown in Fig. 3, HepG2 cells incubated with free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox. Red fluorescence is obtained from Dox, and the blue ones correspond to DAPI. These formulations contain the same concentration of Dox. After 4 h incubation, the cellular uptake of PGA-L-Dox was more efficient than L-Dox, Free Dox was not almost uptaken by HepG2 cells. The result is in agreement with the cytotoxicity. Moreover, from the merged images, L-Dox was mainly in the cytoplasm and PGA-L-Dox showed higher fluorescence intensity in the nuclei, which might be the reason that PGA-L-Dox results in a higher cytotoxicity.

**Analysis of apoptosis and cell cycle**

HepG2 liver cancer cells were treated with free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox (containing Dox concentrations of 10 μg/ml) for 48 h. Apoptosis of HepG2 cells were determined by flow cytometry. Figure 4 shows that PGA-L-Dox increased significantly the apoptosis rate of HepG2 liver cancer cells than free Dox group ($p < 0.01$) and L-Dox group ($p < 0.05$).

Flow cytometry was used to investigate the cell cycle of HepG2 cells, Fig. 5 shows that exposure of HepG2 cells to PGA-L-Dox cause obviously cell cycle arrest in the S phase (40.83%) more than free Dox group (23.28%) and L-Dox group (26.25%).

**In vivo antitumor activity**

The in vivo antitumor activity of formulations was evaluated in the right flank HepG2 cancer cell in nude mice. As shown in Fig. 6a, Various Dox formulations suppressed tumor growth to different extents. In detail, PGA-L-Dox and L-Dox significantly inhibited tumor growth compared with NS groups ($p < 0.01$), and PGA-L-Dox is superior to that of L-Dox ($p < 0.05$). In Fig. 6b, Tumors of the normal saline group weighted (0.72 ± 0.14) g, While, for the free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox group, the tumor weighed (0.46 ± 0.13) g, (0.37 ± 0.11) g, and (0.22 ± 0.08) g, respectively. Figure 6c directly shows photographs of excised tumors on HepG2 cancer cell in nude mice from the tested groups. Compared with the normal saline group, free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox groups exhibited significant antitumor efficacy ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$). Especially, the in vivo antitumor activity of PGA-L-Dox groups was superior to that of L-Dox ($p < 0.05$). In Fig. 6d, L-Dox and PGA-L-Dox groups significantly reduced body weight loss compared with the free Dox groups ($p < 0.05$).

**Discussion**

In the present study, γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes were developed as delivery carriers for enhancing the antitumor activity against liver tumors. γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes were performed by coating cationic charge liposomes with anionic γ-PGA. Because higher molecular weight γ-PGA has longer chains [26], winding of γ-PGA in space increased the particle size, and coating of anionic γ-PGA decreased zeta potentials of liposomes, which indicated the existence of excessive amount of carboxyl groups from
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**Fig. 5** The cell cycle analysis of HepG2 cells via Flow cytometry after 48 h treatment with free Dox, L-Dox, and PGA-L-Dox (containing Dox concentrations of 10 μg/ml)
γ-PGA on the surface of lipoplexes (Table 1). This could help to improve the stability of liposomes [20]. The results of physicochemical properties confirmed that γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes were stabilized in a month, without aggregation and drug leakage.

Usually, due to the surface of tumor cells with negative charge, cationic liposomes or cationic polymeric carriers have shown higher uptake and gene expressions in tumor cells in vitro by electrostatic adsorption process [27]. In the study, cationic liposomes (L-Dox) showed significant cellular uptake, free Dox was not almost uptaked by HepG2 cells (Fig. 3). And L-Dox at the Dox concentrations of 3.75 and 18.75 μM showed higher in vitro cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells than free Dox (Fig. 2).

However, in the blood circulatory system, cationic carriers easily aggregated with negatively charged serum proteins and blood cells, which prevented the carriers binding to tumor cells further [28]. Recently, anionic polymeric carriers were reported to overcome the serum inhibitory effect [15], and anionic γ-PGA polymeric carriers showed high transfection efficiency [20]. Generally, because of the electrostatic repulsion, anionic polymeric carriers cannot be taken up well by tumor cells. In fact, the results in Figs. 2 and 3 shows that, compared to free Dox and L-Dox, anionic γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes demonstrated high cellular uptake and in vitro cytotoxicity in HepG2 cells. From Fig. 6a, b, γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes group showed significant in vivo antitumor effects in comparison to L-Dox group and free Dox group. The results were in agreement with that of the in vitro cytotoxicity and cellular uptake. The results suggest that anionic γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes has different uptake mechanism from cationic polymeric carriers. It was speculated that γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes were taken by the tumor cells via γ-PGA-specific receptor-mediated energy-dependent process [20].

Clinically, Dox is highly effective against solid tumor growth, exerting its cytotoxic effects through DNA intercalation, topoisomerase II inhibition, DNA and RNA synthesis prevention, and free radical formation [23, 29]. In our study, the results of apoptosis and cell cycle showed that γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes induce S
phase cell cycle arrest and significantly increased apoptosis rate of HepG2 cells (Figs. 4 and 5). It indicated that once the cell cycle was arrested, DNA synthesis was inhibited, proliferation rate of cells was reduced, and finally cell death through apoptotic mechanisms.

Recently, γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes was reported to induce accumulation in the liver after intravenous injection [21], increased liver targeting ability, and reduced the cardiac toxicity [25, 30]. So γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes not only have passive targeting in liver tissue but also might have tumor cell-specific receptor targeting. In the study, the obtained results have confirmed significant antitumor effects in liver tumors. The result indicated γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes stopped body weight loss of mice and improved security of Dox. In future studies, pharmacokinetics and tissue distribution of γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes would be explored, and the uptake mechanism should be clarified.

Conclusions
The present work developed γ-PGA-coated lipoplexes as drug delivery carriers to enhance the antitumor activity against liver tumors. We successfully coated the cationic charged liposomes with anionic γ-PGA by electrostatic interactions. Importantly, γ-PGA-coated Dox lipoplexes showed high cellular uptake and in vitro cytotoxicity in liver tumor cells, increased significantly apoptosis of HepG2 cells, increased the cell ratio of tumor cells in S phase markedly, and exhibited significant in vivo anti-tumor efficacy. These findings indicated the presence of γ-PGA on the surface of Dox lipoplexes enhanced antitumor effects of liver tumors.
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