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Abstract
This work presents the use of pulsed fibre laser ablation in water (PLAW) to study the corrosion behaviour and surface hardness of stainless steels. A stainless-steel plate was ablated with a pulsed ytterbrium-doped fibre laser at a power of 4.2 W. The laser-ablated sample was subjected to corrosion testing using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and potentiodynamic polarisation. The results correlated with surface morphology, X-ray diffraction profiles and Vicker’s hardness values. PLAW can enhance the corrosion resistance of stainless steels in both neutral and acidic electrolytes. The corrosion potential of the laser-treated samples was more positive at −126 and −423 mV compared with that of the as-received samples at −209 and −439 mV in neutral and acidic electrolytes, respectively. The inhibition efficiencies of the laser-treated samples in neutral and acidic electrolytes were 98% and 52%, respectively. An improvement in the surface microhardness at a maximum of 8.7% was reported on the fibre laser-ablated stainless steels, thereby demonstrating the efficiency of fibre-laser-assisted PLAW in inhibiting corrosion and improving the hardness of stainless steel.
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Abstrak
Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji kesan penggunaan teknik ablasi laser gentian denyut dalam air terhadap kakisan dan kekerasan permukaan keluli kalis karat. Dalam kajian ini, plat keluli kalis karat telah diablasi dengan laser gentian denyut terdop ytterbrium pada kuasa 4.2 W. Seterusnya, plat sampel ini dikaji terhadap kakisan dengan teknik spektroskopi impedans elektrokimia dan polarisasi potentiodynamic. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa teknik ini dapat memperbaiki kawalan rintangan kakisan keluli kalis karat dalam elektrolit neutral dan asid. Potensi kakisan yang lebih positif diperolehi pada sampel terablasi laser gentian, iaitu pada -126 mV dan -423 mV berbanding dengan sampel kawalan (-209 mV dan -439 mV), masing-masing dalam elektrolit neutral dan asid. Kekerasan permukaan pada sampel terablasi adalah 98% dalam elektrolit neutral dan 24% dalam elektrolit asid. Sebanyak 8.7% peningkatan kekerasan telah dicapai pada keluli kalis karat dalam proses ablasi keluli kalis karat dalam meningkatkan kawalan kakisan dan kekerasan permukaan.
**Introduction**

Metals are essential materials in manufacturing fields; they are used from heavy and small–medium industries to households. Stainless steels are widely used for different applications, such as kitchen utensils, structural beams, chemical vessels, outdoor usage and others, because of its outstanding mechanical and physical characteristic that can withstand harsh environment. Nonetheless, stainless steels, especially AISI 304 stainless steel, are still susceptible to corrosion, particularly due to pitting, crevice corrosion and stress corrosion cracking, when exposed to tensile stresses in a warm chloride environment. Therefore, enhancing the surface properties of the materials is highly required.

Numerous methods have been developed to prevent corrosion, such as protective coatings [1], cathodic protection [2] and corrosion inhibitor use [3]. However, these conventional methods are impractical because they require chemicals, high installation cost and maintenance and are not environmentally friendly [4]. Pulse laser ablation in liquid (PLAL) is a novel, clean and easy approach; it is marketed for its ability to modify the surface composition with high speed, low processing time and easy operation. Hence, PLAL can modify surface properties by enhancing the corrosion resistance, mechanical properties and biocompatibility of the uniform microstructures. Most studies that used this technique for surface modification have been performed using neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet, Nd-YAG laser [5], excimer laser [6] or carbon dioxide, CO$_2$ laser [7]. Sun et al. [7] fabricated 304 stainless steel blades with chromium-chromium borides, Cr-CrB$_2$ powders by using CO$_2$ laser to enhance the microhardness and wear performance of 304 stainless steels; they revealed that the corrosion potentials of all alloyed layers were higher (-0.4306 V) compared with those of non-laser treated metal (-0.4493 V). Yue et al. [8] modified the surface of Ti-6Al-4V alloy using excimer laser to improve the corrosion behaviour for dental applications and demonstrated that the corrosion resistance of the alloys increased by seven times from the original. Laser surface modification can inhibit wear and enhance the fatigue resistance of machine components, because it can control surface morphology up to the submicron or even at a finer depth resolution than the submicron level. Fibre laser is environmentally safe, simple, low cost and practical for use in comparative studies [9, 10].

The current study mainly emphasises on the improvement of corrosion behaviour of ASTM 304/2B stainless steel by utilising the chemical-free technique, namely, PLAL with fibre laser. Surface morphology and hardness were determined using X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Vickers hardness test. Electrochemical corrosion testing was conducted to determine corrosion inhibition efficiency by using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) techniques and potentiodynamic polarisation (PDP) to study the effect of metal surface modification through PLAL on corrosion resistance.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

ASTM 304/2B stainless steel with a 6 cm x 4 cm dimension and a 1.2 mm thickness was the target metal that was used in this study. The composition of the metal steel is shown in Table 1 and is determined via arc-spark optical emission spectroscopy (WAS Foundry-Master, Oxford Instruments). Sodium chloride, NaCl (99% purity) was obtained from R&M Chemicals. Hydrochloric acid, HCl (ACS reagent, 37%) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Ethanol, C$_2$H$_5$OH (95% purity) and acetone, CH$_3$COCH$_3$ (99.5% purity) were obtained from R&M Chemicals for sample cleaning purposes. Alumina suspension with a particle size of 0.05 µm was purchased from Buehler for metal polishing.

**Table 1. Nominal chemical composition of metal used in the study (wt.%)**

| Type of Metal          | C  | Cr  | Ni  | Si  | Mn  | P   | S   | Fe  |
|-----------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| ASTM 304/2B stainless steel | 0.03 | 19.2 | 8.11 | 0.38 | 1.12 | 0.02 | <0.005 | 70.7 |
Sample preparation
Metal surfaces were initially polished with silicon carbide, SiC abrasive paper (grit size 500–2000 µm), followed by fine polishing using ASTM standard E3-11 0.05 µm alumina suspension. Acetone and ethanol were sequentially used to assist the ultrasonic cleaning on metal surfaces in a Crest 5 Gallon Heated Ultrasonic Cleaner (4HT-1014-6, Crest Ultrasonics Corp.) for 20 minutes at 50 °C. Finally, the metals were air dried prior to laser ablation.

Experimental set up
Laser ablation on stainless steel was performed using a pulsed ytterbium-doped fibre laser (YLP-1-100-20-20-HC, IPG Photonics) with a wavelength of 1064 nm and a pulse width of ~100 ns. The metal target was fixed on a perspex block that is immersed in water at 5 mm depth from the metal surface using an 800 mL beaker. The experimental set up is shown in Figure 1. An impact diameter of 50 µm was used to ablate the metal targets. During laser ablation, an overlapping rate of 60%, a scanning speed of 2000 mm/s, a power irradiation of 4.2 W and laser pulse number of 100 000 were applied. At the end of the process, the metal surfaces were cleaned with wiper and air dried.

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram of PLAL experiment set up](image)

Electrochemical corrosion testing
The corrosion behaviour of the samples was studied using electrochemical testing on a potentiostat (Gamry Instrument Potentiostat/Galvanostat/ZRA model Ref. 600) that includes EIS and PDP. Two corrosion media were employed, including 3.5 wt.% NaCl [11] and 10% HCl [12] at room temperature. A three-electrode set up was used. The sample, as the working electrode, was mounted on the cell with an exposed area of 1.0 cm² to the electrolyte. Platinum wire and saturated calomel electrode (SCE) were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. Prior to EIS measurement, the cell was switched to open-circuit-potential mode for 1 hour until potential stabilisation was achieved. EIS was performed at a frequency range of 10 –0.01 Hz that was applied at a signal amplitude perturbation of 10 mV. PDP measurement was conducted subsequently at a potential window of -500 –500 mV versus SCE and a scan rate of 0.5 mV/s.

Sample characterisation
The surface morphologies and elemental composition of the metal surfaces were studied using a field-emission SEM that is equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDX) (FEI Model, Quanta 400F) with a current beam of 1.1 nA and a beam voltage of 10 kV. A high-angle X-ray diffractometer (Bruker/D8 Advance) that is
equipped with Ni-filtered Cu Ka radiation with an accelerating voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA was used to study the crystallographic structure on the sample surfaces. The grazing incidence technique was used. Microhardness tests were performed using a Vickers hardness tester (Mitutoyo, Model HM-210) to determine the microhardness in accordance with the ASTM B931-03 standard. The hardness of the as-received and laser-treated samples were determined by pressing an indenter onto the sample with a controlled test force \( F \) of 2 N and a dwell time of 10 s. The size of the indentation was optically determined by measuring two diagonals \( d \) of the square indentation with a microscope as an integral part of a Vickers tester. Five measurements were obtained for each sample, and the average value of the Vickers number (Hv) was calculated based on equation 1 [13], as follows:

\[
\text{Vickers hardness} = 1.844 \frac{F}{d^2} \text{ (kgf/mm}^2) \tag{1}
\]

Results and Discussion

Corrosion behaviour in NaCl and HCl solutions

Figure 2 presents the Nyquist plots for the samples immersed in 3.5 wt.% NaCl and 10% HCl, which represent neutral and acidic media, respectively. Both Figure 2 (a) and (b) illustrate capacitive loops even though Figure 2(a) shows an incomplete loop because of the high corrosion resistance of stainless steel towards a neutral medium. The presence of capacitive loop indicates that the corrosion of the stainless steel was mainly controlled by a charge transfer process, which is due to the dielectric nature of the metal oxide film on the surface [14]. In both media, laser-treated samples displayed larger capacitive loop compared with the as-received samples. Thus, the improved corrosion resistance is achieved upon laser treatment. Nonetheless, the Nyquist plot obtained in the neutral NaCl medium (Figure 2(a)) did not show perfect semicircles because stainless steel naturally shows higher resistance in the less corrosive neutral medium than in acidic medium. In the acidic medium, complete semicircles were obtained (Figure 2(b)) for both laser-treated and untreated samples. A good time constant (RC) behaviour was recorded at high frequency, which was attributed to the capacitive behaviour of stainless steel. This characteristic was accompanied by inductive behaviour at low frequency. This inductive loop is evident in other studies on metal corrosion in acid, mainly due to the slow changes in the surface microstructures of the samples because of the breakdown of passivation film upon corrosion. The different corrosion behaviours in neutral and acidic media were studied from the impedance and Bode plots (Figure 3). In neutral medium, two RCs were detected from the Bode plots, as shown by two continuous capacitive arcs, indicating the presence of layers of oxide film covering the sample surface. The interfacial processes in the NaCl electrolyte were modelled using the equivalent circuit fitting. The data are displayed in Table 2. The components in the equivalent circuit fitted in NaCl electrolyte include the film resistance \( (R_f) \), electrolyte resistance \( (R_e) \) and the constant phase element of the surface layer (CPE1). The parameter group \( (R_f-CPE2) \) offers data on the mass transport during corrosion attack and charge transfer reaction through the oxide films, representing the capacitance of the double layer and charge transfer resistance, respectively. The increase in \( R_{ct} \) values upon laser treatment indicates that the diffusion of ions into the micropores of the oxide film was reduced due to the large resistance to charge transfer, that is, \( R_f \) on the film surface.

In acidic medium, only one RC was displayed in the Bode plots, which may be attributed to the less significant effect of the oxide film. This film acts as a protective barrier for corrosion. Hence, the RC also correlated with the Nyquist plots, where much smaller capacitive loops were obtained in a harsh environment. The appearance of the inductive loop also indicated changes in the specimen properties during the corrosion process. The components in the equivalent circuit that was fitted for the HCl solution include the solution resistance \( (R_s) \), charge transfer resistance \( (R_{ct}) \), constant phase element of double layer (CPE2) and the inductance parallel with resistance (Figure 2(b)). Herein, we investigated corrosion resistance using the obtained charge transfer resistance. The \( R_{ct} \) values obtained on the laser sample showed insignificant improvement of corrosion resistance. In the circuit fitting, the CPE component was used because of the non-ideal capacitive behaviour of the system, in which the surface of the samples was expected to be non-uniform [15]. The impedance of CPE is shown in equation 2 [16], as follows:

\[
Z_{CPE} = Y_0^{-1} \left( jw \right)^{-n} 
\tag{2}
\]

where \( Y_0 \) represents the magnitude of the CPE, and \( n \) is an exponential term between 0 and 1. The variation of \( n \) is dependent on the surface roughness of the surface. \( n \) varied between 0 and 1 for a corrosion system.
Figure 2. Nyquist plots from impedance spectroscopy for laser treated and as-received stainless steel in (a) 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution and (b) 10% HCl solution with equivalent circuit model

Figure 3. The experimental impedance and phase data in Bode format for stainless steel in (a) 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution and (b) 10% HCl solution and equivalent circuits to fit the impedance data

The inhibition efficiency (I.E.%) was calculated based on equation 3 [17].

\[
\text{I.E.\%} = \frac{(R_{ct} - R^{0}_{ct})}{R_{ct}} \times 100\%
\]  

(3)

where \(R_{ct}\) and \(R^{0}_{ct}\) are the charge-transfer resistance that were obtained from the circuit fitting with respect to laser-treated and as-received samples, respectively.
Table 2. Electrochemical impedance parameters and inhibition efficiency of stainless steel in 3.5 wt.% NaCl and 10% HCl solutions

| Sample                                      | $R_f$ (Ωcm$^1$) | $R_s$ (Ωcm$^1$) | CPE1/ CPE_{eff} /n | CPE2 /n | $R_{ct}$ (Ωcm$^1$) | I.E. % |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|-------|
| Stainless Steel in 3.5 wt.% NaCl Solution   |                  |                  |                    |         |                     |       |
| Laser treated                               | 1.18x10$^7$      | 21.31            | 1.45x10$^{-5}$ / 0.93 | 1.50x10$^{-2}$/ 0.96 | 1.58x10$^6$ | 98.73  |
| As-received                                 | 2.13x10$^6$      | 21.88            | 2.33x10$^{-5}$/ 0.92 | 9.22x10$^{-2}$/ 0.27 | 2.00x10$^4$ | -     |
| Stainless Steel in 10% HCl Solution         |                  |                  |                    |         |                     |       |
| Laser treated                               | -                | 1.86             | 1.59x10$^{-4}$ / -  | -       | 61.96               | 51.88  |
| As-received                                 | -                | 1.96             | 2.89x10$^{-4}$/ -   | -       | 29.81               | -     |

In both solutions, the value of $R_{ct}$ of laser-treated sample appeared to be larger than the as-received sample, which contributed to the increase in the inhibition efficiency. The increased $R_{ct}$ value that was observed on the laser-treated sample signified the improved resistance of the metal surface to electrochemical reaction. The surface-modified layer can restrict chemical reaction between metal surface and corrosive medium [16]. The improvement in corrosion resistance was shown to be more apparent in the less corrosive NaCl environment. Behpour et al. [18] reported that the maximum I.E.% of 304 stainless steel corrosion in 2 M HCl solution by a Ferula gumosa (galbanum) extract obtained from 90.7% at 1000 ppm of galbanum extract. In the current study, the highest I.E.% of laser-treated stainless steel in 3.5 wt.% NaCl was 98.7%, which displayed similar efficiency with the abovementioned study.

Figure 4 depicts the PDP of laser-treated and as-received samples in 3.5 wt.% NaCl and 10% HCl at 25 °C. Laser-treated samples showed slightly lower anodic and cathodic potential compared with the as-received sample, illustrating an enhancement in corrosion resistance. This finding may be associated with the presence of protective film, and previous studies have also shown that fine particles of chromium oxide (Cr$_2$O$_3$) can be formed when stainless steel is irradiated by laser [19]. Active–passive transitions were particularly observed from the appearance of shoulder at a potential that is exhibits increased positivity to the concerning corrosion potential values in neutral medium. This existence of shoulder indicated the occurrence of a passivation process due to the formation of the oxide layers. This phenomenon is not observed in the acidic medium, which is in good agreement with the obtained impedance results.

The corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$), corrosion current density ($I_{corr}$) and pitting potential ($E_{pit}$) extracted from the polarisation curves are tabulated and shown in Table 3. Notably, the laser-treated sample featured an improved corrosion behaviour compared with the as-received sample. The laser-treated sample exhibited a shift in Ecorr towards a noble direction, and the value of Icorr decreased conversely. Thus, the corrosion resistance of stainless steel is confirmed to be improved after pulse fibre laser ablation in water (PLAW) treatment. This finding correlate with the EIS results, in which laser-treated sample showed a more positive corrosion potential compared with the as-received samples in both corrosive media. Shadangi et al. [20] reported that laser-shock-peened interstitial free steel exhibited increased positive corrosion potential. This improvement in corrosion resistance after laser treatment may be attributed to the changes in microstructure and chemical composition. Furthermore, this laser treatment led to a solid solution strengthening effect, refined microstructure and increased Cr content that is found in stainless steel. Hence, an increasingly homogeneous distribution of Cr in stainless steel sample can be found because of laser treatment with overlapped pulse geometry, which offers an adequate barrier against corrosion. This finding will be discussed in the latter section.
Figure 4. Polarisation curves of the samples immersed in (a) 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution and (b) 10% HCl solution with the blank and laser treated sample; and the inset shows the magnified Tafel region of the polarisation curve.

Table 3. The results of potentiodynamic corrosion test of stainless steel in 3.5 wt.% NaCl and 10% HCl solution

| Sample        | 3.5 wt.% NaCl Solution | 10% HCl Solution |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------|
|               | Corrosion Potential, $E_{corr}$ (mV) | Pitting Potential, $E_{pit}$ (mV) | Corrosion Current Density, $I_{corr}$ (μA/cm²) | Corrosion Potential, $E_{corr}$ (mV) | Corrosion Current Density, $I_{corr}$ (mA/cm²) |
| Laser treated | -126                   | 245.1            | 48.30                        | -423                       | 1.47                          |
| As-received   | -209                   | 259.7            | 53.80                        | -439                       | 2.52                          |

A decreased corrosion current density implies a decreased corrosion rate. The laser-treated sample in NaCl solution exhibits a slightly lower $I_{corr}$ than the as-received sample, thereby indicating that laser-treated samples are more unsusceptible to corrosion in neutral solution than untreated samples. The $E_{corr}$ value of laser-treated sample was more positive at 83 mV higher than the as-received stainless steel in NaCl solution. Lu et al. [21] found that the $E_{corr}$ of laser shot-peened stainless steel shifts to an increasingly noble direction from -790 mV to -656 mV in NaCl solution, which is in good agreement with our results. The $E_{pit}$ of the as-received and laser-treated samples was 259.7 and 245.1 mV, as detected in the neutral medium. This finding was mainly attributed to the overlapping geometry that ablated on the sample surface, which altered the microstructure and chemical composition of the steel. An increased $E_{pit}$ of the laser-treated sample indicates a decrease in sensitivity towards corrosion. Hence, the laser-treated sample poses increased corrosion resistance.
Similarly, the $E_{\text{corr}}$ of laser treated sample shifted to a more noble value compared with the as-received sample in HCl solution at approximately 16 mV more positive than the original stainless steel. This finding indicated an improvement of stainless steel with passivation ability even in extreme corrosive condition, though the improvement is less apparent in HCl solution compared with that in neutral medium. As reported by Wang et al. [15], the $E_{\text{corr}}$ that is obtained with laser-treated stainless steel substantially exceeds values of -504 mV. Nonetheless, the method of corrosion protection that was implemented in the study was cathodic protection, where 304 stainless steels were coupled with CdTe/TiO$_2$ electrode. Hence, this surface modification method can also offer comparative result with common corrosion protection methods, considering that the corrosion potential of laser-treated stainless steel in this work is almost at the same range (~480–402 mV) as that in previous reports on heptamolybdate ions as corrosion inhibitor on stainless steel under almost similar experimental conditions (~480 - 402 mV) [22].

**XRD analysis**

Figure 5 shows the XRD profiles of the as-received and PLAW-treated samples. The diffraction peaks show the presence of $\alpha$-Fe (ferrite phase), as indicated by the peaks at 44.88°, 65.5° and 83.1°, the $\gamma$-Fe (austenite phase) at 92° and martensitic at 99° in the as-received sample.

Interestingly, the $\alpha$-Fe peak at 44.88° in as-received sample disappeared after PLAW treatment. The peak from 44.88° to 44.08° slightly shifted after the laser treatment, indicating the formation of a $\gamma$-Fe peak upon laser treatment. $\gamma$-Fe is usually found as a result of rapid cooling during laser treatment, which is in good agreement with the results of Song et al. [23]. This occurrence is possibly attributed to the quenching effect on the metal surface immediately after laser ablation on the metal surface, thereby causing a change in the phase. Intensity at 67° also increased upon laser ablation, which is attributed to the increase in the surface metal oxide composition of Cr$_2$O$_3$ [24, 25]. Upon laser ablation, Cr may chemically react with O to form a passive film of hydrated Cr$_2$O$_3$, which is highly adherent and resistant to chemical attack [24]. Whenever the passive film is damaged, passivation immediately occurs [26]. The Cr$_2$O$_3$ that are present in laser-treated stainless steels isolate metal from the corrosive environment, thereby retarding corrosion. This process may be a possible reason for the enhanced corrosion resistance of stainless steel under potentiodynamic polarisation measurement. The ferrite network restricted the in-depth growth of pits because of the high Cr content in the austenite stainless steel and decreased the number of MnS inclusions, which are known to be the common sites for corrosion pit initiation.

Figure 5. X-ray diffraction profiles of (a) laser treated stainless steel and (b) as-received sample
Surface morphology analysis

Figures 6 and 7 show the SEM images and distribution maps of the major elements of laser-treated and as-received stainless steels. The EDX analysis of laser treated and as-received sample before corrosion is presented in Table 5. The elemental mapping showed the homogeneous distribution of Fe, O, Cr and Mn. The image reveals that the microstructure patterns are consistent with the distribution of the major elements. Uniform chemical composition in the laser-treated area (Figure 6) proved that rapid solidification occurred due to high cooling rate during PLAW treatment. This high cooling rate refined the grain structures. The EDX mapping showed that the Cr and O particles that were evenly distributed over the surface on the laser-treated sample were slightly larger (Figure 8) than those in the as-received sample. Thus, the elemental composition on the surface comprised great amounts of Cr, possibly due to the laser treatment effect. This explanation was confirmed by the XRD results, which showed an increase in the intensity of the Cr$_2$O$_3$ peak on the laser-treated sample. This finding was also correlated with the EIS results, which revealed that laser-treated samples were less prone to corrosion.

Figure 6. SEM image and distribution of major elements of laser treated sample before corrosion process: (a) SEM image; (b) the distribution map of O; (c) the distribution map of Cr; (d) the distribution map of Mn; (e) the distribution map of Mn and (f) the distribution map of Fe.
Figure 7. SEM image and distribution of major elements of as-received sample before corrosion process: (a) SEM image; (b) the distribution map of O; (c) the distribution map of Cr; (d) the distribution map of Mn; (e) the distribution map of Mn and (f) the distribution map of Fe.

Figure 8 shows the surface morphologies and EDX spectrum of stainless steel samples that were immersed in NaCl solution after PDP measurement. Severe corrosive attack was observed on the as-received sample in NaCl solution, as shown by the strongly damaged surface due to pit formation and deformation (Figure 8(a)). Individual corroded pits clustered together and extended the area of corrosion attack. By contrast, the size of the pits on the laser-treated surface was relatively small. Moreover, the as-received sample showed high roughness and irregularity. Slight changes were observed in the surface morphology of the laser-treated sample, which has been subjected to only 4.2 W of power irradiation and allows less formation of refined grain structure in the laser-modified region.

EDX analysis in Figure 8 and Table 4 shows a little increment in oxide content on the laser-treated sample after corrosion compared with that of the untreated sample. EDX analysis showed that PLAW treatment seemed to result in the formation or thickening of the oxide film on the stainless steel, which increased the corrosion protective ability of stainless steel. Laser treatment on the stainless steel surface was suspected to lead to the refined microstructures through Cr content increase and solid solution strengthening. Hence, PLAW treatment is postulated to produce a homogeneous passive film of hydrated Cr$_2$O$_3$. Cr$_2$O$_3$ is commonly known as a protective metal oxide that is adherent and acts as a barrier against chemical attack [27]. The higher Cr content by 0.9 wt.% in the laser-treated sample (Figure 9(b)) relative to the as-received sample accounts for the less corroded morphology compared with the severely corroded morphology (Table 4). Figure 9 depicts the surface morphologies and EDX spectrum of samples immersed in HCl solution after PDP measurement. The EDX analysis shown in Figure 9 and Table 4 corresponds to the element distribution, which explains the appearance of few chromium and oxygen signals owing to the overlap geometry that ablated on the stainless steel surface. Thus, a continuous chromium-rich oxide layer
was internally formed. Upon laser treatment, chromium may react with oxygen to form a protective film, Cr₂O₃, which can hinder chemical attack. This behaviour reflects the effectiveness of PLAW treatment on the corrosion resistance of stainless steel in a corrosive environment. This finding is in good agreement with the electrochemical measurement results that were previously discussed.

Figure 8. Corroded edge surface of the (a) laser treated and (b) as-received sample with relevant EDX result respectively after immersion in NaCl solution for 1 hour

Table 4. EDX analysis of laser treated and as-received samples before and after corrosion process with respective weight (wt.%)

| Sample                                 | Weight (wt.%) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|
|                                         | C  | O  | Cr | Mn | Fe | Ni | Al | Si |
| Before corrosion process               |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Laser treated sample                   | 6.09| 1.62| 17.24| 1.21| 65.82| 7.24| 0.33| 0.45|
| As-received stainless steel            | 6.02| 2.12| 17.18| 1.19| 65.70| 6.88| 0.46| 0.44|
| After corrosion process (NaCl solution)|    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Laser treated sample                   | 14.03| 4.04| 18.17| 1.19| 55.26| 6.67| 0.64| -  |
| As-received stainless steel            | 6.07| 2.65| 17.27| 1.43| 64.61| 6.79| 0.68| 0.48|
| After corrosion process (HCl solution) |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Laser treated sample                   | 9.75| 1.59| 19.12| 1.50| 60.44| 7.10| -  | 0.50|
| As-received stainless steel            | 5.36| 1.93| 17.53| 1.44| 66.03| 7.25| -  | 0.44|
The analyses demonstrated that laser treatment prominently improved the corrosion resistance of stainless steel without the use of coating or the addition of a corrosion inhibitor. This improvement is possibly associated with one major reason—a chemical reaction occurred on the metal surface, which resulted in metal oxide formation that passivated the corrosion and hardened the metal surface.

**Vickers hardness test**

Vickers hardness test was performed for the as-received and laser-treated stainless steel. Currently, limited works have reported on the relationship between metal corrosion resistance characteristics and metal hardness. Solid solution formation upon surface modification processes, such as laser treatment, strengthens the effect of mechanical strength can hence provide some insight on corrosion behaviour. The mean of microhardness of the stainless steel as a function of depth is illustrated in Figure 10. The microhardness of laser-treated sample increases and then continuously decline along the depth from the surface. The surface microhardness (196.94 Hv) of laser-treated sample was slightly improved by 8.7% from the as-received sample (181.2 Hv). This finding can be attributed to the martensite formation on the surface, and the hardness was significantly higher than the core original material due to the rapid cooling effect of the PLAL treatment [28]. This gradual enhancement was only apparent at <400 µm depth from the surface. The microhardness profile demonstrated that the hardness value reached its maximum only at a 100 µm depth from the surface. PLAW only induced slight work hardening due to low heating and high cooling rate on the surface. Hence, the microhardness only showed evident improvement at the upper layer of the stainless steel. This finding may also be due to the presence of coarse microstructure in the laser-treated sample because of the high cooling and low heating rate that were regulated from the PLAW treatment using ultrapure water as a liquid medium. The microstructure of the laser-treated layer did not considerably change after subsequent laser ablation process in the PLAW treatment, and hence, the improvement in microhardness was not noteworthy. However, this slight improvement of microhardness can be attributed to the compressive residual stress that was induced by PLAW treatment, which increased the yield strength and surface microhardness in the near-surface layer of the stainless steel.
Conclusion

In this study, ASTM 304/2B stainless steel was subjected to PLAL treatment using fibre laser to study the effect of the treatment on corrosion resistance and surface and hardness properties of the steel. The influence of corrosion resistance on the surface modification revealed that stainless steel showed significant improvement, as evidenced by the 83 mV higher Ecorr value from that of the as-received sample and 98% inhibition efficiency in 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution. The improvement on the corrosion resistance was less remarkable in acidic medium. The microhardness of stainless steel slightly improved by 8.7% compared with that of the as-received metal due to the low laser ablation power applied. Hence, we conclude that fibre laser can be used for laser ablation to enhance the corrosion resistance and surface hardness of steel.
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