Facile measurement of single-crystal elastic constants from polycrystalline samples
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Elastic constants are among the most fundamental properties of materials. Simulations of microstructural evolution and constitutive/micro-mechanistic modeling of materials properties require elastic constants that are predominately measured from single crystals that are labor intensive to grow. A facile technique is developed to measure elastic constants from polycrystalline samples. The technique is based upon measurements of the surface acoustic wave velocities with the help of a polydimethylsiloxane film grating that is placed on a polished surface of a polycrystalline sample to confine surface acoustic waves that are induced by a femtosecond laser and measured using pump-probe time-domain thermoreflectance. Electron backscatter diffraction is employed to measure the crystallographic orientation along which the surface acoustic wave propagates in each grain (perpendicular to the polydimethylsiloxane grating). Such measurements are performed on several grains. A robust mathematical solution was developed to compute the surface acoustic wave velocity along any crystallographic orientation of any crystal structure with given elastic constants and density. By inputting various starting values of elastic constants to compute the surface acoustic wave velocities to match experimental measurements in several distinct crystallographic orientations using an optimization algorithm, accurate elastic constant values have been obtained from seven polycrystalline metal samples to be within 6.8% of single-crystal measurements. This new technique can help change the current scenario that experimentally measured elastic constants are available for only about 1% of the estimated 160,000 distinct solid compounds, not to mention the significant need for elastic constants of various solid solution compositions that are the base of structural materials.

Many compounds cannot even be grown into single crystals. As a result, experimental values of elastic constants of about 99% of the estimated 160,000 distinct solid compounds are unavailable (Rodgers, J.A., Private Communication, December 2014), not to mention the vast amounts of solid solution compositions that are the base of structural alloys. In the absence of elastic constants for solid solutions, the anisotropic properties of the pure elements are often used as model inputs for the solid solutions, which can lead to significant error in the simulated/computed properties.

It is highly desirable to extract single-crystal tensor data from polycrystalline samples, and it is even more desirable to perform measurements on one or two polished surfaces of a polycrystalline sample. One can imagine the usefulness of such measurements on multi-phase samples where one can obtain the elastic constants for different phases without making monolithic single-phase single crystals.

A so-called acoustic spectro-microscopy (ASM) was developed in the late 1990s to measure elastic constants from polycrystalline Ni with ~1 mm diameter grains.11, 12 The method requires an extremely high accuracy of eccentricity during the rotational ASM measurements as well as painstaking (within 0.5 µm) alignment of the optical microscopy unit with the ASM unit when the sample is switched between them. The ASM measurements also rely on the use of a transducer liquid such as water, adding complexity and constraint to the type of samples to be measured. For these reasons, the method was not widely used.

INTRODUCTION

Single-crystal elastic constants are essential data not only for constitutive and micro-mechanistic modeling of various properties (especially mechanical properties including plastic deformation and fracture),1, 2 but also for simulations of microstructure evolution during phase transformations and materials processing.3, 4 Moreover, since single crystals are directly used in many applications from microelectronic devices to jet engine turbine blades, anisotropic elastic properties are essential for the design of those devices and components.

Even though significant progress has been made in computing the elastic constants using density functional theory,5 accurate experimental measurements are still essential until the computed results are fully validated for accuracy. The elastic constants are predominately obtained from measurements on relatively large single crystal samples. Several experimental techniques have been developed to facilitate the measurement of elastic constants such as the resonant acoustic spectroscopy (RUS),6, 7 and the Brillouin scattering.8, 9 These methods, albeit simpler in measurements, still require single crystals that are usually labor-intensive and time-consuming to grow. The RUS technique has been applied to measure elastic constants from micro-single-crystal samples of several hundred microns in dimensions and it has the advantage of being able to perform temperature-dependent measurements when the apparatus is enclosed within an inert environment.
Very recently a laser pump-probe measurement was developed to extract elastic constants from a coarse-grained polycrystalline stainless steel sample by measuring the surface acoustic waves (SAWs).\(^\text{13}\) The method is only applicable to cubic crystal structures with the assumption of a random distribution of the crystal orientations and requires measurements on about a thousand grains; thus it can only be applicable to samples satisfying these stringent conditions. Other methods are developed to extract elastic constants from highly textured samples only.\(^\text{14, 15}\)

Nanoindentation modulus measurement coupled with orientation measurement using electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) have recently been applied to obtain orientation-dependent Young’s modulus, but the method has not yet demonstrated the capability of evaluating the full elastic constant values.\(^\text{16}\) Atomic force microscopy (AFM)-based methods have extremely high spatial resolutions and can quickly produce qualitative modulus maps.\(^\text{17, 18}\) but extraction of accurate elastic constants from the AFM modulus maps is still far from a reality, especially for hard materials such as metals, inorganic semiconductors, and ceramics.

The facile technique to be established in this study is built upon two prior studies. A robust mathematical solution was first developed to compute the SAW velocity along any crystallographic orientation of any crystal structure type with given elastic constants and density.\(^\text{19}\) Such a solution with inclusion of the thermal expansion effect induced by localized heating of a Gaussian-shaped laser beam is essential to accurately correlate the SAW velocities to the elastic constant values. The solution includes full computation of all the bulk waves, including the longitudinal (L) mode, the fast transverse (FT) mode, and the slow transverse (ST) mode, as well as SAWs including both the Rayleigh wave (RW) and the pseudo surface acoustic wave (PSAW).

Another development is an easy-to-implement and accurate measurement of the SAW velocity with a spatial resolution of 10–15 µm.\(^\text{20}\) A polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) film with parallel line grating is precast from a commercially available Si grating mold with 700 nm periodicity and 50% duty cycle. The PDMS film grating is placed on a sample with the grating lines in contact with the polished surface. A femtosecond laser with a beam diameter of ~5 µm is employed to generate the SAWs by passing through the transparent PDMS film grating. The SAW velocity perpendicular to the PDMS grating direction is measured from the frequency of the time-domain thermorefectance (TDTR) signal of the probe beam that was split from the same laser.\(^\text{20}\) The advantages of the PDMS grating-assisted measurements include that: (1) the dispersion due to the broadband signal on the anisotropic surface is suppressed; (2) only the SAW perpendicular to the PDMS grating lines survives; and (3) the SAW velocity can be accurately measured as demonstrated along several known high-symmetry crystallographic orientations of single crystal samples.\(^\text{20}\) The excellent agreement between the experimental measurements and model predictions also validates the mathematical solution described above.\(^\text{19}\)

These two prior studies set the foundation for the measurement of full-tensor elastic constants from polycrystalline samples without the constraints of highly textured grains or completely randomly oriented grains. EBSD mapping will be employed to evaluate the crystallographic orientations perpendicular to the PDMS film grating for the few grains to be measured. The quite arbitrary orientations in polycrystalline samples, often away from high-symmetry ones, frequently lead to the simultaneous presence of several bulk and SAW modes; thus a highly reliable and efficient strategy needs to be developed for the computer algorithm to automatically identify the right SAW from all the wave modes. In addition, very efficient optimization procedures need to be implemented into the forward-calculation algorithm in order to most effectively optimize the input elastic constant values to obtain the best match to the measured SAW velocities along several crystallographic orientations.

This study intends to complete the development of the new technique to enable measurement of elastic constants from polycrystalline samples by integrating the above advances with EBSD orientation mapping and the development of efficient algorithms to handle arbitrary orientations as well as effective inverse optimization procedure/code. Direct comparison of the elastic constants obtained from polycrystalline samples of pure Al, Co, Fe, Nb, Ni, Sn, and Ta with highly reliable values measured from single crystals will benchmark the accuracy for the new technique.

Brief description of the models
An algorithm based on elastodynamic equations was developed and coded in the MATLAB language to predict the SAW velocities along any arbitrary crystallographic orientation when the crystal structure, elastic constants, and density are given.\(^\text{19}\) Under the assumption of linear elastic deformation in a homogeneous anisotropic crystal in the absence of any body force, body torque, dissipative process or external fields, the governing equation is expressed as:

\[
C_{ijkl} \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} - \rho \frac{\partial^2 U_i}{\partial t^2} \quad x_3 \leq 0
\]  

where \(U\) is the displacement, \(\rho\) crystal density, \(C_{ijkl}\) the elastic constants, and \(x\) and \(t\) space and time, respectively. The \(x_3 \leq 0\) condition refers to a semi-infinite system and only the lower half of the crystal is considered. Here the Einstein summation convention is employed. When the restriction of half space is removed, the solutions to Eq. (1) correspond to the three (and only three) bulk wave modes: the L wave, the FT wave, and the ST wave, respectively. These bulk wave solutions from the unconstrained Eq. (1) are accomplished by expressing the displacement \(U\) as an exponential function and then substituting it into Eq. (1) as elegantly summarized by Rosenbaum.\(^\text{21}\) Our bulk wave model is coded according to these solutions.

In order to solve for the surface waves, the initial and boundary conditions need to be set for the response of the surface displacement under a point stimulii exerted by an external force in a semi-infinite crystal. The evolution of the surface displacement provides information to predict the SAW velocities along any orientation of interest given the elastic constants, orientation, and density. The corresponding boundary conditions are set as:

\[
s_{ij} \big|_{z=0} = C_{ijkl} \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_k} \big|_{z=0} = \delta_3 \delta(x, y) \delta(t)
\]  

\[
U_i(x, y, z, t) = 0, \quad \text{when} \ x, y, z, t \rightarrow \infty
\]

where,

\[
\delta_3 = \begin{cases} 
1 & i = 3 \\
0 & i \neq 3
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\delta(x, y) = \delta(x) \delta(y)
\]

\[
and i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3
\]

The corresponding initial condition is determined by the principle of causality

\[
U_i(x, y, z, t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \ t < 0
\]

Boundary condition (2) refers to the beginning when the force only exerts on the origin and the stress only has a non-vanish component normal to the surface. Boundary condition (3) refers to the vanishing displacement on the surface at infinite time or space. Initial condition (7) refers to the zero displacement before any trigger (laser impingement). Various ways to deal with such surface wave solutions are carefully reviewed by Every et al.\(^\text{22}\) and Favaretto-Cristini et al.\(^\text{23}\)
surface modeling results, the rest two surface modes (RW and PSAW) can be identified quickly. A careful comparison of the bulk-wave-only behaviors without the surface waves (Fig. 1b) with those in the presence of SAWs (Fig. 1a) shows that the FT wave behavior was slightly altered by the SAWs but the L wave is unaffected; thus, the FT wave position matching between the bulk-wave-only results and those of full calculations can only be qualitative. The PSAW is “supersonic” since its velocity exceeds the limiting bulk velocity that is defined as the slowest bulk wave velocity, i.e., the velocity of the ST wave along the orientation of interest. In contrast, RW is “subsonic” and thus it can be identified along any given propagation orientation as the peak with a velocity lower (higher slowness) than that of the ST wave (only in extremely rare cases the RW velocity is “supersonic” and such situations can be identified by the full surface and bulk wave calculations). For orientations along which the RW is too weak to be observable, the PSAW is selected as the SAW. This is accomplished by a first search of the RW peak in the slowness region above that of the ST wave; and in the absence of an observable RW peak, the program/algorithm selects the first peak with the slowness lower than that of the ST wave. The SAW velocity is simply the reciprocal of the slowness of the identified SAW/PSAW peak.

The presence of multiple bulk and surface waves along a low-symmetry orientation is shown in Fig. 2b as an example, in contrast to a high-symmetry [010] orientation in Fig. 2a (the same orientation as the vertical axis of Fig. 1a), both for pure Ni. The slowness (reciprocal of velocity) of the ST wave is also computed for each orientation from the bulk wave model and is denoted as the dashed lines in Fig. 2. Even though the ST peak happens to be too weak to be observable in both orientations in Fig. 2, the computation of its position from the bulk wave model is essential to help identify the RW peak. The RW peak can be identified since it has lower velocity (higher slowness) than the corresponding ST wave along the same orientation.

**Experimental measurements**

EBSD was employed to map the orientation of the grains, which was performed with the XL-30 ESEM system (FEI, Inc.), which had a high-brightness field-emission electron gun. The EBSD detector and the associated imaging processing software were from TSL Inc. Microhardness indents were placed around a location of interest to enable the location and its orientation to be precisely referenced during EBSD as well as the subsequent TDTR measurement. The EBSD pattern of an 800 × 1000 µm region of the pure Ni sample taken with a step size of 8 µm is shown in Fig. 3a, which provided the Euler angles at each pixel that connect the sample coordinate system with the crystallographic principal coordinate system. The rolling direction (A1, [100]) is vertical and pointing upward; the transverse direction (A2, [010]) is horizontal and pointing left; and the normal direction [001] is vertically pointing out of the page/image, as shown in Fig. 3.

Assuming the PDMS film was placed with the parallel lines exactly vertically on Fig. 3a, then the SAW propagation direction would be exactly horizontal. The SAW velocity along this direction/orientation for each pixel can be computed using the models and algorithms described in the previous section with the single-crystal elastic constants and density of Ni from the literature as the input. Figure 3b is the computed SAW velocity map that shows the expected SAW velocity values (some spots were not computed due to the poor quality of the EBSD indexing). During the actual experimental measurement, the PDMS film lines may be placed not exactly along the vertical direction (with the grating vector pointing horizontally), then the angle between the film grating vector and the horizontal line can be measured by referencing to the microhardness indents. It is then a straightforward
mathematical rotation to obtain the real crystallographic orientations along which the SAW propagates in each grain. The real SAW propagation directions are essential input to the models to predict the SAW velocities.

After attaching the PDMS film on the polished surface of the samples where indents have been placed and the EBSD pattern has been taken, the TDTR measurements were then performed to obtain the SAW velocities from several grains. The TDTR measurement setup for the elastic constant measurement is the same type of system that has been employed for the measurements of several other thermophysical properties such as thermal conductivity, specific heat capacity, and coefficient of thermal expansion. The TDTR laser system is described in detail elsewhere. A Ti-sapphire femtosecond laser, pumped by a semiconductor (Nd:YVO) laser, generated a laser beam whose wavelength was adjusted to be 780 nm. The beam was split by a polarizing beam splitter into two beams with the pump beam being modulated to 9.3 MHz using an electro-optic modulator and employed to trigger acoustic waves on the surface of a sample. The other beam, the probe beam, was used to detect the acoustic waves through the change of the surface displacement profile that was confined within the parallel grating lines of the PDMS film. The mechanism of the TDTR signal is explained in detail by Li et al. A delay-stage was employed to adjust the optical path length travelled by the pump beam relative to the probe beam, and hence the time difference/delay of the arrivals of the two beams on the surface. The probe beam with a diameter of ~5 µm was placed at the exact location of the pump beam with about the same laser beam diameter. When the pump beam was moved away from the probe beam in this pump-probe measurement, the signal diminished very quickly, indicating that the generated SAW was confined close to the pump beam diameter and thus the spatial resolution of the measurement can be on the order of 10–15 µm. A lock-in amplifier was used to assist the acquisition of both the in-phase and the out-of-phase signals for the wave velocity analysis. The entire process was controlled and monitored by a carefully programmed in-house LabVIEW VI (Virtual Instrument) code.

By adjusting the delay stage/time to vary the relative arrivals of the pump and the probe beams on the same spot on the sample surface, the TDTR in-phase signal intensity as a function of the delay time was obtained as shown in the example of Fig. 4a. The periodic modulation was due to the interaction of the SAW with
the PDMS grating structure. The intensity was gradually dying out because the heat was flow inward to the sample. A Fourier transform of the signal in Fig. 4a yielded a few peaks in the frequency domain as shown in Fig. 4b. The highest peak corresponds to the SAW mode. The higher-frequency lower-intensity peak, if it emerged, was the result of the harmonics of the PDMS film since it was always at exactly twice the frequency of the SAW peak. A few lower frequency peaks were always present and some were associated with the eigenmodes of the PDMS film itself, and could be easily identified since their positions did not change from one sample to another. Since the acoustic wavelength is equal to the period of the PDMS grating film (700 nm in this case), the experimental SAW velocity \( V_{\text{exp}} \) is simply the product of wavelength (700 nm) times the frequency of the SAW.

Since the SAW velocities were measured under the physical sample coordinate system while the models predict the velocities under the crystallographic principal coordinate system, it is necessary to convert the EBSD Euler angles through three consecutive rotations to bring the sample coordinate system to be coincidence with the principal coordinate system of the crystals.

**RESULTS**

Based on the EBSD orientation map and the indents placed on the pure Ni sample, nine grains were selected for the TDTR measurements as marked on Fig. 3a with the measured SAW velocities \( V_{\text{exp}} \) listed in Table 1. During these measurements, large grains were intentionally selected for the measurement since it is easier to locate them in the TDTR system using the indents as references. Reliable SAW velocity measurements can be made in smaller (e.g., 30 \( \mu \)m diameter) grains as long as they can be located accurately during the TDTR measurements to allow accurate placement of the laser beams in the center of the grains to avoid any boundary effect. Since the actual crystallographic orientations along which the SAW propagated can be obtained from the EBSD data, the SAW velocities for each grain can be computed using the literature elastic constants of pure Ni, as shown in Table 1 as \( V_{\text{model}} \), the \( V_{\text{model}} \) and \( V_{\text{exp}} \) are within 5% for all the nine grains, indicating that both models and the measurements are very reliable.

| Grain | Location (x, y) µm | \( V_{\text{model}} \) m/s | \( V_{\text{exp}} \) m/s | Difference (%) |
|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| G1    | 560, 950          | 2976.2                   | 2886.7                   | 3.1           |
| G2    | 170, 520          | 2437.3                   | 2396.0                   | 1.7           |
| G3    | 435, 340          | 2902.2                   | 2914.3                   | −0.4          |
| G4    | 589, 500          | 2621.7                   | 2612.7                   | 0.3           |
| G5    | 738, 889          | 2629.6                   | 2640.3                   | −0.4          |
| G6    | 22, 32            | 2868.9                   | 2812.3                   | 2.0           |
| G7    | 25, 209           | 2866.5                   | 2808.1                   | 2.1           |
| G8    | 78, 446           | 2907.0                   | 2897.3                   | 0.3           |
| G9    | 630, 306          | 2895.0                   | 2899.4                   | −0.2          |

Note: The location is the \( x-y \) coordinates (in µm) relative to the origin which is the upper left corner of Fig. 3.

In addition to Ni (measured on nine grains with the minimum grain dimension of ~60 \( \mu \)m), similar measurements have been performed on pure Al (nine grains with the minimum grain dimension of ~100 \( \mu \)m), Ta (six grains with the minimum grain dimension of ~40 \( \mu \)m), Nb (six grains with the minimum grain dimension of ~240 \( \mu \)m), and bcc Fe (eight grains with the minimum grain dimension of ~100 \( \mu \)m) as representative cubic
materials. The SAW signal is very weak for Ta, so a very thin Al film (less than 20 nm) was deposited as an effective transducer to enhance the signal intensity. The elastic constants of Al have a very low anisotropy, our normal starting value setting for the optimization can only extract $C_{44}$ and the anisotropic ratio $2C_{44}/(C_{11}-C_{12})$ accurately. More accurate $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ were obtained through decreasing step size and increasing the number of the initial values.

The measured $C_{ij}$ values from all five cubic polycrystalline pure metals are summarized in Table 2 in comparison with results from single crystal measurements, showing that the maximum difference is only 5.3% among all the 15 measured $C_{ij}$ values with the standard deviation between the polycrystalline (this study) and single-crystal (the literature) measurements for $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{44}$ being 2.2, 3.2, 3.5%, respectively. The excellent agreement is graphically shown in Fig. 5.

For non-cubic materials, we prepared and measured a hexagonal pure Co sample (measured on 10 grains with 3 PDMS orientations with the minimum grain dimension of ~30 µm) and tetragonal β-Sn sample (measured on 11 grains with 3 PDMS orientations with the minimum grain dimension of ~30 µm). The PDMS film rotations enabled us to measure SAW velocities along more distinct crystalographic orientations in order to obtain elastic constants with more distinct components ($C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, $C_{13}$, $C_{33}$, and $C_{44}$ for Co; and $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, $C_{13}$, $C_{33}$, $C_{44}$, and $C_{66}$ for β-Sn).

The pure Co sample was heat treated at 900 °C for 100 h and then furnace cooled to ambient temperature to form the hcp Co phase. The TDTR signal was generally small but observable regardless of the orientations of the SAW measurements. The signal for some grain–PDMS combinations was noisy; thus, only 16 effective measurements were obtained for the elastic constant evaluation. The elastic constants obtained from this study are compared in Table 2 with those obtained by McSkimin from single crystals. The results clearly show the largest difference is only 6.8% for $C_{44}$ and all other values are within 3%.

The pure Sn sample was heat treated at 200 °C for 100 h and then furnace cooled to ambient temperature to make sure the tetragonal β-Sn phase was obtained and the grain size was large.

![Graph showing comparison of elastic constants](image)

**Fig. 5** Comparison of the elastic constants measured from polycrystalline Al, Co, Fe, Nb, Ni, Sn, and Ta samples using ultrafast laser-generated SAWs with those reported in the literature measured from single crystals. The single crystal data are from refs. 28–34.
DISCUSSION

In order to save computation time, especially considering that the number of independent elastic constants increases with decreasing crystal symmetry, a neural network machine learning program was developed to accelerate the optimization process and to increase the robustness of the final elastic constant values. An examination of the compilations of experimental elastic constants of cubic crystals reveals that the ranges of $C_{11}$, $C_{12}$, and $C_{44}$ are about 4–800 GPa, 2–300 GPa, and 2–300 GPa, respectively. By systematically inputting the $C_{ij}$ values in these ranges and computing the corresponding $V_{model}$, a big data set was generated of elastic constants and SAW velocities ($V_{model}$). This data set was employed to train the network to find the order inside it. The network training was performed through the MATLAB neural network tool box. The neural network algorithm allowed us to just input the experimental velocities into the network and get back the elastic constants immediately. The neural network results agree with our forward-calculation results.

The size of our data set used to train the neural network depends on crystalline symmetry. For cubic materials such as Ni, about 6000 elastic constant—SAW velocity pairs were generated for each orientation with the elastic constants varying from 4–800 GPa for $C_{11}$, 2–300 GPa for $C_{12}$, and 2–300 GPa for $C_{44}$, respectively. The Born stability criteria were guaranteed during the random generation of $C_{ij}$: $C_{11} - |C_{12}| > 0$; $C_{11} + 2C_{12} > 0$; and $C_{44} > 0$.

Our network architecture employs one hidden layer and one output layer. The input data presented to the network are those SAW velocities generated through our SAW model and the elastic constants, which work as the target data (desired network output), used to produce those velocities. They form the elastic constant–velocity pairs provided to train the network. Of the total generated data, 70% goes to the training set, 15% goes to the validation set, and the remaining 15% goes to the testing set. The objective function is to minimize the difference between the desired elastic constants provided by us and the retrieved elastic constants trained from the neural network. The training algorithm used here is Levenberg–Marquardt. The training process completes once the mean square error of the validation set starts to increase.

To make sure the model is not over fitted, different numbers of neurons were tested in the hidden layer. When the training result has a very high $R$ value while the testing result has a very low $R$ value, it is over fitted, and thus the number of neurons used in the hidden layer is reduced accordingly. In contrast, when the training result has a very low $R$ value, it is under fitted, and thus the number of neurons is increased accordingly. Such trial-and-error fitting leads to an optimal number of ~26 neurons in the hidden layer to have an $R$ value above 0.95 for all the training set, validation set, and testing set.

CONCLUSIONS

An easy-to-use technique is developed to measure single-crystal elastic constants from a polished surface of polycrystalline samples without the need of growing single crystals which is usually very time-consuming and labor intensive. The new technique is made possible by integrating: (1) a robust mathematical solution for SAWs and computer code to compute the SAW velocity along any crystallographic orientation of any crystal structure with given elastic constants and density; (2) an easy-to-use PDMS film grating that suppresses the dispersion due to the broadband signal and isolates one SAW parallel to the PDMS film grating vector direction to be measurable; (3) accurate measurement of the SAW frequency from the TDTR signals; (4) accurate measurement of crystallographic orientations using EBSD; and (5) a robust algorithm/code to optimize the elastic constants from the orientation-dependent SAW velocities. Measurements on polycrystalline samples of 7 pure metals show that the 26 elastic constants measured during this study are all within 6.8% of the single-crystal measurements reported in the literature. This SAW–PDMS–TDTR–MODEL technique has a spatial resolution about 10–15 μm and has demonstrated reliable measurements from 30 μm diameter/width grains. The methodology is applicable to any crystal structures and can contribute significantly to the establishment of elastic constant databases for the Materials Genome Initiative and for the accelerated materials design using the Integrated Computational Materials Engineering approach.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The polycrystalline pure metals employed for this study were all purchased from commercial sources: Al (99.9995 wt.% purity) from Alfa Aesar; Co (99.95 wt.% purity), Fe (99.95 wt.% purity), Sn (99.95 wt.% purity), and Ni (99.95 wt.% purity) from the Micron Metals, Inc.; and Nb (99.95 wt.% purity) and Ta (99.95 wt.% purity) from Kamis Inc. High-quality metallic surface finish is required for both EBSD orientation imaging and the TDTR measurement. The samples all went through the usual metallographic preparation procedures including grinding with progressively finer grit SiC grinding papers (up to 1200 grit) and polishing with 1 μm diamond paste. A final step was the usual process for EBSD sample preparation, either a vibratory polishing with 0.05 μm colloidal silica spheres suspended in water or an electro-polishing for very soft metals such as Al.

The PDMS films of about 1 x 1 cm squares were prepared from a pure Si grating mold with parallel groves of 700 nm periodicity and 50% duty cycle (SNS-C14.3-0808-350-D45-P, LightSmyth Technology). The PDMS solution (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning) and its curing agent with a ratio 10:1 were well-mixed and poured onto the Si wafer mold. After curing at ambient temperature in a desiccator linked to a mechanical vacuum pump, and then cured at 80 °C for at least 1 day. The PDMS film was then peeled off from the Si mold and placed onto the polished surface of the samples with the PDMS film grating lines touching the surface. The PDMS film worked like a set of comb transducers, playing the role of confining the acoustic mode propagating along the direction parallel to the film grating vector with a wavelength the same as the film periodicity. The PDMS film fabrication process is mostly following the literature practice, but modified with one formula instead of two.
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