Partial mowing of urban lawns supports higher abundances and diversities of insects
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Abstract
Within the citizen science project “Flowering meadows for Saxonian butterflies”, more than 640 meadows are partially and at maximum three times annually mowed in order to change urban lawns into habitats for insects. In 2019, insect diversity was evaluated using the 100 sweep net technique during five visits at nine butterfly meadows (BM) and nine intensively mowed lawns (IML). The mean arthropod biomass of these five visits per site is significantly higher on BM compared to IML. All adult individuals of Apidae, Coleoptera, Heteroptera, Orthoptera, Papilionoidea as well as Asilidae and Syrphidae have been identified morphologically, revealing 260 species from all study sites. The mean number of species per visit is significantly higher on BM compared to IML. 90 species are recorded as larva and the mean number of species per visit is significantly higher on BM compared to IML. 42 species are recorded as larva as well as adult. The records of larvae provide evidence for reproduction on the meadows.

Implications for insect conservation
Our results clearly demonstrate that a reduced mowing frequency together with an always partial mowing of the area support higher biomass, abundances and diversities of insects as well as reproduction of insects on urban lawns. Therefore, reduced mowing frequency together with partial mowing is recommended for urban lawns as well as meadows in the countryside and conservation areas to foster insect diversity.
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Introduction
Urban lawns fulfil different functions for human recreation (White et al. 2013; Tsurumi and Managi 2015), but a large part of these areas is not used at all despite intensive mowing. Modern rotary mowers immediately taking up the yield are used up to 14 times per year and lawn, including in autumn in order to remove fall foliage. The lawns are usually mowed over their entire area during each treatment. As a result, there is hardly any time and space left for the development of most insects.

There is an increasing awareness for the potential that urban lawns can have for plant and insect diversity. Giuliano et al. (2004) found that park size, field size, and plant species richness are positively related to lepidopteran abundance in New York City parks. In contrast, only a weak species-area-relationship was found for grassland plants and Hemiptera in urban roundabouts in the U.K., whereas species richness was significantly affected by the type of mowing (Helden and Leather 2004). In Finland, road verges with delayed and
partial mowing had higher species richness and abundance of butterflies and diurnal moths, compared to mid-summer and late-summer complete mowing (Valtonen et al. 2006).

Noordijk et al. (2009) also investigated different mowing management of road verges in the Netherlands and found that mowing twice per year with removal of hay showed highest values for numbers of flower species and inflorescences as well as total abundance of insects and actual flower visits. Also, the early summer cut proved to be very important for insect feeding opportunities, due to the reflowering of plants later in the growing season. Nevertheless, these plots were entirely devoid of flowers for some period right after mowing (Noordijk et al. 2009). In Paris, small public gardens that employed a conservation program such as zero pesticide and adaptive mowing practices as well as introducing sub-habitats such as ponds, flower meadows and unmanaged patches supported a higher diversity of insects and birds (Schwartz et al. 2013). Garbuzov et al. (2014) applied four different mowing regimes in a suburban public park in Saltdean, UK. They found 50 times greater insect abundance in that area of the park which has been not mowed for a year, while significantly lowest abundance was found where regular mowing took place every two weeks during spring and summer. Regular mowing regimes until June 2nd and July 5th were intermediate. A mowing management comprising only one or two mowing events per year and the removal of the mown grass from the surface is applied in the city of Tübingen, Germany since 2010. It significantly increases the diversity indices of orthopterans, butterflies, hemipterans and bees (Hiller and Betz 2014; Kricke et al. 2014; Unterweger et al. 2017; Wastian et al. 2016). Also, the change from mowing lawns every few weeks to mowing only once or twice per season results already after six years in an increase of the number of plant species by 30% and the species composition is shifting from common mowing-tolerant lawn species to typical meadow species (Sehrt et al. 2020). This confirms results from the city of Rennes in France where plant diversity increased by 15% to 62% after 25 years of reduced mowing frequency.

Higher insect diversity indices have been also found in Switzerland (Braschler et al. 2009; Humbert et al. 2012a; Buri et al. 2013; Bruppacher et al. 2016) and the Czech Republic (Cizek et al. 2012) in non-urban grasslands which are less often mowed and at which spatial adaptations on the mowing management are incorporated, though some studies show diverging results for different taxa (Braschler et al. 2003, 2016). In contrast, different scheduling of mowing had little or no effect on insect diversity (Humbert et al. 2012b).

Here, we investigated the effect of reduced mowing frequency and partial mowing of lawns to analyse the potential that urban lawns may have for different groups of insects. Beside adults, we were particularly interested in the possible occurrence of insect larvae, as their occurrence provide evidence of local reproduction.

### Material and methods

#### The Butterfly meadows project

The original title of the public project in German is ‘Puppenstuben gesucht—Blühende Wiesen für Sachsens Schmetterlinge’. The first part of the title means ‘Dollhouses wanted’. It is a pun, as the word “Puppe” in German means doll, but also chrysalis (pupa) and so the project is looking for places where chrysalises can stay. The second part of the title means ‘Flowering meadows for Saxonian butterflies’. The project started in 2015 focusing on urban lawns which are not used for recreation. People are called to apply a management comprising at maximum three times mowing per year and leaving each time 10–30% of the lawn uncut (called ‘partial mowing’ in the present paper). The uncut part moves among mowing events. An explanatory movie is available at [http://schnellebuntebilder.de/projects/schmetterlingswiesen](http://schnellebuntebilder.de/projects/schmetterlingswiesen) (in English). Until 2020, 640 ‘butterfly meadows’ with an average size of 3.814 m² have been registered for this activity. These meadows are managed by 500 users, e.g. private persons, companies, schools, hospitals and local authorities. On the project web-site [www.schmetterlingswiesen.de](http://www.schmetterlingswiesen.de) (in German), participants are welcome to write a blog about the activities on their meadows and to document the sightings of plants and animals, particularly insects. In December 2018, an external evaluation was conducted with the focus on the motivations for volunteering in this project (Moczek 2019; Moczek et al. 2021).

#### Study sites

Nine butterfly meadows (BM) and nine intensively managed lawns (IML) in or near the city of Dresden were studied. The mowing of the BM constitutes of the combination of (1) at maximum three times mowing per year, (2) leaving each time 10–30% of the lawn uncut, which also includes the winter season (the unmowed part rotates during each treatment), (3) mulch is not allowed, rotary machines are allowed and bar mowers are recommended as well as (4) the removal of the mown grass is mandatory. There is no instruction for scheduling the mowing events and the margins of some BM are cut more often in order to gain public acceptance easier.

The IML usually experiences a higher number of mowing events, each with a clear cut of the entire area. Each BM has an individual number, under which detailed information can be found at [www.schmetterlingswiesen.de](http://www.schmetterlingswiesen.de). These numbers are also used in the following. The code
numbers of the IML start with an “N”, followed by the number of the nearest BM. Table 1 shows location and mowing regime of the investigated meadows.

### Sampling

Each study site has been visited five times from the end of May until the beginning of August 2019. During each visit, sweep netting (Marchand 1953; Schwoerbel 1957; Witsack 1975; Schuch et al. 2012) with 100 sweeps was carried out. Contents of the sweep net were killed with cyanide and afterwards weighed (fresh weight—before being preserved further) with a fine scale, in order to record the arthropod biomass per 100 sweeps. Every visit took place under similar conditions. Sampling has been carried out between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m. as well as under weather conditions recommended by the butterfly monitoring (Sevilleja et al. 2019). Adults of Papilionoidea and Apidae were placed in paper bags or pinned, respectively. All other adults are stored in 80% isopropanol. Larvae were preserved in 100% ethanol. A sixth survey from 2nd to 6th September 2019 focused on Orthoptera by visual and acoustical identification in the field as well as by sweep netting through vegetation, aiming at a comprehensive inventory of species at each meadow. In addition to the insect recording, all herbaceous plants at blossom were recorded on BM during each visit. Since the IML were mowed very shortly and hardly any herbaceous plants at blossom except daisies (*Bellis perennis*) and dandelions (*Taraxacum officinale* agg.) could be found, we refrained from recording plant species on IML.

### Morphological identification of species

Adult Apidae, Coleoptera, Heteroptera, Orthoptera, Papilionoidea as well as Asilidae and Syrphidae were identified morphologically, if necessary, preparation of genitalia was made. The following literature was used for identification of the adults: Apidae: Dathe (1980), Amiet (1996), Amiet et al. (1999), Amiet et al. (2001), Amiet et al. (2004), Amiet et al. (2007), Amiet et al. (2010). Asilidae: Wolff et al. 2018. Coleoptera: Harde (1966), Mohr (1966), Fürsch (1967), Vogt (1967), von Peez (1967), Ermisch (1969), Kaszab (1969), Machatschke (1969), Evers (1979), Harde (1979), Lohse (1979), Brandl (1981), Kippenberg (1981), Lohse (1981), Kippenberg (1983), Lohse (1983a), Lohse (1983b), Lohse and Tischler (1983), Novak (1986), Audisio (1993), Behne (1994), Warchalowski (2003), Zapata de la Vega and Sánchez-Ruiz (2003), Odnosum (2004), Bezděk and Basela (2015), Caldara and Legalov (2016). Heteroptera: Wagner (1952), Wagner (1961), Wagner (1966), Wagner (1967), Péricart (1972), Péricart (1983), Moulet (1995), Péricart (1998a), Péricart (1998b), Péricart (1998c) Matocq (2004), Derjanschi and Péricart (2005), Kment and Bryja (2006), Aglyamzhanov (2009), Putshkov and Moulet (2009),

| Type  | Meadow number | Northern latitude | Eastern longitude | n Times mowed | Partially mowed | Size in m² |
|-------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------|----------|
| BM    | 12            | 51.1289           | 13.7903           | 0            | –              | 2030     |
| IML   | 12            | 51.1295           | 13.7933           | 4            | No             | 2038     |
| BM    | 48            | 51.0492           | 13.7746           | 1            | Yes            | 2010     |
| IML   | 48            | 51.0493           | 13.7769           | 4            | No             | 960      |
| BM    | 131           | 51.0191           | 13.7284           | 0            | –              | 726      |
| IML   | 131           | 51.0179           | 13.7285           | 5            | No             | 815      |
| BM    | 144           | 51.0422           | 13.7067           | 1            | Yes            | 1360     |
| IML   | 144           | 51.0475           | 13.7089           | 4            | No             | 965      |
| BM    | 192           | 51.0283           | 13.7388           | 1            | Yes            | 1245     |
| IML   | 192           | 51.0286           | 13.7421           | 4            | Yes            | 1346     |
| BM    | 246           | 50.8941           | 13.7590           | 2            | Yes            | 3460     |
| IML   | 246           | 50.8933           | 13.7575           | 5            | No             | 1745     |
| BM    | 272           | 51.0150           | 13.6319           | 1            | Yes            | 960      |
| IML   | 272           | 51.0145           | 13.6359           | 5            | No             | 3000     |
| BM    | 1708          | 50.9860           | 13.5887           | 0            | –              | 1715     |
| IML   | 1708          | 50.9866           | 13.5853           | 2            | No             | 3210     |
| BM    | 1885          | 50.9400           | 13.7914           | 1            | Yes            | 2275     |
| IML   | 1885          | 50.8937           | 13.7583           | 5            | No             | 1460     |
DNA extraction, PCR, sequencing and species level identification based on the DNA-Barcode

DNA barcoding (Hebert et al. 2003, 2004) was applied for species identification of larvae. All larvae are individually analysed. For DNA extraction whole larvae smaller than 2 mm or small pieces of tissue of larger larvae were used. The DNA was isolated using the Macherey–Nagel Nucleospin® tissue-kit (Düren, Germany) according to instructions. For PCR, we used the primer LCO1490-JJ / HCO2198-JJ (Astrin and Stüben 2008) or HybLCO/ HybHCO with a universal primer tail (T7/T3) for sequencing (Wahlberg and Wheat 2008). PCR was carried out in 25 μl batches with DFS-Taq (Bioron, Roemerberg, Germany) and 5 μl undiluted DNA. Deviating from the user manual, 1 μl MgCl2 (50 mM) and 0.25 μl BSA (20 ng / μl) were added to the PCR. The PCR program was: step 1: 5 min at 95° C; step 2: 95° C at 0:30 min, 49° C at 0:30 min, 72° C at 1:00 min in 39 cycles and step 3: 72° C at 10 min. PCR results were checked on a 2% agarose gel. For sequencing we used the EZ-Seq service from Macrogen europe (Netherlands). Samples were sequenced forwards by standard (sequencing primer: LCO1490-JJ or T7), reverse-sequencing (sequencing primer: HCO2198-JJ or T3) applied in some cases only. Sequences were quality checked using the Chromas program (technelysium, version 2.6.6). Obtained sequences were used to search in the identification engine of the Barcode of Life Data System (BOLD) Version 4 (as of November 2019) for species-level identification (Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007, 2013). Sequence matches with Sequence identity greater than 99% were accepted as species identification. In nine cases, we obtained over 99% sequence matches with two species each and eventually determined the species after verifying the voucher specimens morphologically.

Statistical analyses

If not otherwise stated, the analyses are based on the results from the 100-sweep net method. Species diversity is analyzed using the Shannon Index and comparison of meadows to show their similarity in species inventory by the Sørensen–Dice quotient (Dice 1945; Sørensen 1948). Mean biomass, mean number of species and Shannon Index showed no normal distribution and were therefore statistically analyzed with Mann–Whitney-U-Tests to show if there are any significant differences between butterfly meadows and intensely mowed lawns. All statistical explorations, tests, boxplots and other graphics were created with RStudio (R Core Team 2019) using packages ggplot2 (Wickham 2016), and dismo (Hijmans et al. 2017).

Results

Citizen Science data.

Thanks to the observations recorded by the participants on the project website, we learned that insects are coming back already during the first season during which the mowing regime is adapted. After the first three seasons of the project, citizen science data were available for 77 meadows and the most common butterflies sighted were Maniola jurtina (number of observations = 66), Coenonympha pamphilus (n = 57), Polygonumatus icarus (n = 57), Pieris rapae (n = 52), Pieris napi (n = 48), Aphantopus hyperantus (n = 46), Aglais io (n = 39), Pieris brassicae (n = 35), Thymelicus lineola (n = 30) and Araschnia levana (n = 28).

Arthropod biomass

The mean arthropod biomass of five visits per meadow ranges from 1.26–4.51 g on the BM as well as from 0.01–0.33 g on the IML (Fig. 1, online resource 1). The mean biomass of BM is significantly higher than that of IML (Mann–Whitney-U-Test, N=9, p < 0.01). The outliers are based on a high abundance of orthopterans on BM 1708 and a high abundance of honeybees on IML 1708.

Adults

Using the 100-sweep net method, a total of 260 species based on adult individuals were identified morphologically from all study sites across all groups (Table 2, online resource 2). The mean number of species per visit on the BM ranges from 13.6–24.8 species and is significantly higher than the mean number of species on the IML, which ranges...
There are 10 orthopteran species recorded by sweep netting (Online resource 2) and 13 by the sixth survey for orthoptera (Online resource 3). Two species were only recorded by sweep net, five species only by acoustic and visual method. In total, 15 species were recorded on all study sites. The total number of orthopteran species on BM ranges from 4–11 and is significantly higher than the total number of species on the IML ranging from 1–5 (Mann–Whitney-U-Test, N = 9, p < 0.01) (Fig. 3).

The Shannon-Index on BM ranges from 4.63–5.81 and is significantly higher on the BM than on the IML ranging from 0.00–3.08 (Mann–Whitney-U-Test, N = 9, p < 0.01) (Fig. 4).

The mean Sørensen–Dice coefficient is 35.98% among BM (Table 3). 127 of the recorded 260 species only occur on one single meadow. In contrast, only three species (Apis mellifera, Chorthippus biguttulus, Sphaerophoria scripta) were recorded on all nine butterfly meadows (Fig. 5).

### Larvae

90 species were identified based on individuals in their larval stage. 86 of these species were recorded from BM, two species from IML and two species from both, BM and IML.
IML (Online resource 4). 42 of these species were also recorded as adults (compare online resource 2). No larvae were recorded by sweep netting for Apidae, Papilionoidea, Asilidae and Syrphidae.

The mean number of larval species per visit on the BM ranges from 2.4–8.6 species and is significantly higher than the mean number of species on the IML, which ranges from 0–0.4 species (Mann–Whitney-U-Test, N = 9, p < 0.01) (Fig. 6).

The mean Sørensen–Dice coefficient regarding the larval inventory is 23.05% (Table 4).

Number of plant species

136 herbaceous plant species at blossom were recorded on the butterfly meadows (Online resource 5). The mean Sørensen–Dice coefficient regarding the plant species inventory is 39.49% (Table 5).
Discussion

The results show significantly higher arthropod biomass and $\alpha$-diversity of insects on butterfly meadows compared to intensively mowed lawns. Significantly higher are also the records of insect larvae on butterfly meadows, placing evidence that these meadows are habitats for reproduction for a number of insect species. The data strongly support the results achieved for orthopterans by Humbert et al. (2012a) and Buri et al. (2013) that a reduced number of mowing events together with partial mowing coincides with significantly higher biomass as well as number of insect species in their adult and larval stages.

**Table 3** Sørensen–Dice coefficient insect species in adult stage among BM

|        | 12   | 48   | 131  | 144  | 192  | 246  | 272  | 1708 | 1885 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 12     | 12   | 12   | 12   | 12   | 12   | 12   | 12   | 12   | 12   |
| 48     | 31.58| 27.20| 35.14| 37.09| 34.21| 37.76| 38.75| 35.66| 35.98|
| 131    | 27.20| 27.20| 27.20| 27.20| 27.20| 27.20| 27.20| 27.20| 27.20|
| 144    | 35.14| 35.14| 35.14| 35.14| 35.14| 35.14| 35.14| 35.14| 35.14|
| 192    | 37.09| 37.09| 37.09| 37.09| 37.09| 37.09| 37.09| 37.09| 37.09|
| 246    | 34.21| 34.21| 34.21| 34.21| 34.21| 34.21| 34.21| 34.21| 34.21|
| 272    | 37.76| 37.76| 37.76| 37.76| 37.76| 37.76| 37.76| 37.76| 37.76|
| 1708   | 38.75| 38.75| 38.75| 38.75| 38.75| 38.75| 38.75| 38.75| 38.75|
| 1885   | 35.66| 35.66| 35.66| 35.66| 35.66| 35.66| 35.66| 35.66| 35.66|
| Mean   | 35.98| 35.98| 35.98| 35.98| 35.98| 35.98| 35.98| 35.98| 35.98|

**Fig. 5** Number of species depending on the number of meadows from which they were recorded.

**Fig. 6** Mean number of species recorded in their larval stage during five visits using sweep netting per meadow, separated by BM and IML.
The Sørensen–Dice coefficient demonstrates a moderate similarity of species composition for adult insects (mean 35.98%) as well as for plants (mean 39.49%). The coefficient is slightly lower when looking at the larvae alone, which can be explained by the fact that the larvae of some species groups cannot be detected with the applied method due to their occurrence in the lower vegetation like nocturnal larvae of satyrine butterflies or in the soil like endogeic bees. The coefficient may refer to different sets of requisites on the meadows, but it has also been taken into account that the 100 sweeps do not take a complete inventory of a given meadow and therefore different parts of the species communities might have been taken. A complete recording of all species would take more time for field and laboratory work. Since hundreds of meadows are already registered in the project and their number is still increasing, we are searching for even less time consuming methods in order to evaluate insect diversity. With regard to the goal of the project and the intention of the volunteers managing the meadows for supporting insects, such a method should be even non-invasive. Examples are the Butterfly Monitoring counting individuals along a transect (Van Swaay et al. 2012) or acoustic monitoring of orthopterans (Fischer et al. 1997; Gardiner et al. 2005; Lehmann et al. 2014).

The analysed insect groups comprise the main pollinator groups bees, beetles, butterflies and hover flies as well as representatives of the main trophic groups plant feeders (Apidae, Coleoptera part, Heteroptera, Orthoptera, Papilionoidea), predators (Asilidae, Syrphidae part, Coccinellidae) and saprophages (Syrphidae part). Among bees, most species are polyphagous, while some are oligophagous like Andrena hattorfiana on Dipsacaceae and Colletes fodiens on Asteraceae and also the cuckoo bees are well presented. In Orthoptera, the recorded species are predominantly generalists, but provide some information regarding the mowing regime. A few species are indicators for some structural diversity of vegetation (Conocephalus fuscus, Leptophyes albovittata), dry conditions (Chorthippus mollis) or moisture (Stetophyma grossum). Orthopteran larvae were only recorded on BMs. The resettlement of meadows takes place by macropteres, especially if adjacent populated areas are absent, which is very often the case in an urban setting. The recorded presence of brachypteres is interpreted as resettlement by macropteres in preceding years. Roeseliana roeselii is only recorded by brachypteres on BM which are extensively managed already for a longer period or where there are adjacent areas which are not intensively mowed (BM 246, 272, 1708, 1885). Chorthippus parallelus is missing.

| Table 4 | Sørensen–Dice coefficient of species recorded in their larval stage on BM |
|---------|------------------------------------------------|
| BM      | 12   | 48   | 131  | 144  | 192  | 246  | 272  | 1708 | 1885 |
| 12      | 32.00|
| 48      | 24.39| 20.69|
| 131     | 21.82| 32.56| 11.76|
| 144     | 28.57| 32.43| 21.43| 28.57|
| 192     | 22.22| 33.33| 18.18| 25.53| 19.51|
| 246     | 13.33| 24.24| 8.33 | 21.05| 31.25| 21.62|
| 272     | 36.92| 30.19| 27.27| 31.03| 23.08| 45.61| 25.00|
| 1708    | 15.38| 22.22| 22.22| 18.75| 14.29| 12.12| 8.33 | 4.55 |
| Mean    | 23.05|

| Table 5 | Sørensen–Dice coefficient of herbaceous plant species at blossom on BM |
|---------|------------------------------------------------|
| BM      | 12   | 48   | 131  | 144  | 192  | 246  | 272  | 1708 | 1885 |
| 12      | 62.61|
| 48      | 51.49| 32.69|
| 131     | 51.85| 59.46| 53.61|
| 144     | 57.14| 53.19| 50.00| 41.38|
| 192     | 35.42| 44.44| 16.47| 30.43| 34.67|
| 246     | 32.69| 42.99| 32.26| 36.00| 28.92| 34.09|
| 272     | 45.28| 58.72| 35.79| 43.14| 42.35| 42.22| 44.90|
| 1708    | 25.35| 29.73| 20.00| 23.88| 20.00| 40.00| 25.40| 43.08|
| Mean    | 39.49|
on intensively mowed lawns. On BM, it is predominantly recorded by brachypteres and few macropteres that are able for resettlement. In contrast, the winged Chorthippus biguttulius is present in low numbers even on intensively mowed lawns and with high numbers on BM. BM 1885 is an orchard which could have a much higher number of species, but is dominated by grasses with tendencies to fallow. BM 1708 has been known from earlier years during which more species were present that are now missing, e.g. Phaneroptera falcata, Conocephalus fuscus, Chorthippus albomarginatus, Chorthippus brunneus (Kästner, unpubl.). These two BM represent the often observed tendency in the project that people mow not often enough or do not mow at all, which results in effects of fallow and reduced species diversity. This points to the necessity to communicate that some mowing is required in order to prevent a meadow from becoming fallow ground.

We found the highest biomass (mean 4.5 g) and highest species number (83 spp.) on BM 1708 which is also used as a pasture, pointing to the fact that low-intensity grazing can favour an even higher insect diversity due to inconsistent food uptake, disruption of vegetation and placement of excrements.

The successful running of the project achieving hundreds of BMs in Saxony might still be regarded as a starting point as the majority of urban lawns is still intensively mowed. As an example, the city of Dresden is responsible for 1.438 ha of urban green spaces, of which 674 ha comprise lawns, meadows and pastures (Jähnigen communication by letter, 2020) which indeed is still a huge potential to promote insect diversity by reducing the number of mowing events together with partial mowing.

The overall recommendations for the management of urban lawns in order to promote insect diversity are in line with several previous authors. First, the reduction of mowing frequency (Valtonen et al. 2006; Noordijk et al. 2009; Garbuzov et al. 2014; Hiller and Betz 2014; Kricke et al. 2014; Unterweger et al. 2017; Wastian et al. 2016; Braschler et al. 2009; Humbert et al. 2012a; Buri et al. 2013; Bruppacher et al. 2016; Cizek et al. 2012; Braschler et al. 2003, 2016). We recommend to leave an uncut area of about 30% during each mowing event. Here, plants and insects can continue their development. This also applies for winter to allow hibernation. The uncut area rotates with every mowing event in order to prevent fallow. Fourth, wherever possible, low intensity grazing with livestock should be applied as it promotes seed dispersal by livestock, structural diversification of the vegetation cover and development of a dung fauna (Conradi 2010; Rada et al. 2014; Christé et al. 2016; Tälle et al. 2016).

In order to achieve that the adaptive management of urban lawns is applied to as many areas as possible, it must be taken into account that the lawns have different owners, e.g. private persons, companies, schools, hospitals and local authorities. In our opinion, a public project like “Flowering meadows for Saxonian butterflies” is ideally suitable to reach different stakeholders, providing basic information on the ecology of plants and insects, as well as the necessity of an adaptive management. The knowledge is transferred via the project webpage, prints, public talks and workshops. The latter also allows to learn about knowledge gaps and practical problems of different stakeholders as well as to develop together possible solutions, like a sample specification of services for local authorities. The public awareness also contributed to introduce partial mowing in actions funded by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD). In Saxony, it is allowed since 2018 to leave less than 10% of meadows uncult when EAFRD funds are taken. This positively affects meadows in nature protected areas, which have been regularly completely mowed during the last decades.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-021-00331-w.
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