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ABSTRACT

The Spitzer Space Telescope has undertaken the deepest ever observations of the 24μm sky in the ELAIS-N1 field with the MIPS instrument as part of GOODS Science Verification observations. We present completeness corrected extragalactic source counts down to 24μm flux densities of 20μJy (30% completeness), a factor of 10000 more sensitive than IRAS. The shape of the counts confirms model predictions for a strong evolution of the infrared luminosity function between redshift of 0 and 1, and suggests a flattening in the evolutionary parameters at higher redshifts. Models that fit the counts indicate that luminous infrared galaxies (10^{11} < L(8-1000μm) < 10^{12} L_\odot) in the redshift range 0.5 < z < 2.5 constitute >60% of 24μm sources seen in the flux range 20 < S_\nu < 1000 μJy. At least 85%, and possibly all of the 24μm sources have a counterpart in the IRAC 3.6μm and 4.5μm near-infrared channels consistent with the expected spectral energy distribution of infrared luminous galaxies at moderate redshift. The similarity between the observed mid-infrared to near-infrared flux ratios of the Spitzer detected sources and the 15μm/HK-band flux ratios of the ISOCAM 15μm sources seen in the HDF-N strongly suggests that faint 24μm sources are high-redshift analogs of ISOCAM 15μm sources, and that they have the potential to provide an evolutionary connection between the well-studied z ∼ 3 Lyman-break galaxy population and the dusty starburst galaxies seen at z ∼ 1.
1. Introduction

Deep extragalactic surveys at mid-infrared and submillimeter wavelengths have revealed a population of dusty galaxies with large mid- and far-infrared luminosities at intermediate and high redshifts (see e.g. Franceschini et al. 2003, and references therein). The number counts of these objects is more than an order of magnitude higher than expected if the local infrared luminosity function of galaxies were constant with redshift. Model fits to the observed counts at these wavelengths by various groups suggest a rapid increase in the co-moving number density of infrared luminous galaxies between redshifts of 0 and 1–1.5 followed by a flattening or decrease out to higher redshifts (Xu et al. 2001; Chary & Elbaz 2001; Lagache et al. 2003; King & Rowan-Robinson 2003, ; hereafter XU, CE, LDP and KRR respectively). The mid-infrared bandpasses i.e. ISOCAM 15µm and Spitzer 24µm filters trace rest-frame 7.7µm and 12µm at z~1 and z~2 respectively where the radiation is dominated by the line and continuum emission from polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and very small dust grains (see e.g. Dwek et al. 1997, for a review). As a result, it has been unclear if the evolutionary turn over at z~1 is because of the k-correction in the ISOCAM 15µm filter which would selectively detect objects with strong 7.7µm PAH features at that redshift or because of a real change in the evolutionary parameters. In this paper, we present faint 24µm counts from Spitzer observations of the European Large Area ISO Survey-N1 (ELAIS-N1) field, evaluate their contribution to the extragalactic background light and compare the properties of these objects with the ISOCAM detected 15µm sources with particular emphasis on their near-infrared/IRAC counterparts. Throughout this paper, we refer to luminous infrared galaxies (LIGs) as objects with 10^{11} < L_{IR} < 10^{12} L_\odot and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (ULIGs) as objects with L_{IR} > 10^{12} L_\odot.

2. Observations

The Spitzer observations of ELAIS-N1 (α = 16h09m20s; δ = 54°57′00″; J2000) were undertaken under Director’s Discretionary Time as part of the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS) Science Verification program to assess the effect of source confusion on ultradeep Spitzer surveys. The maximum integration time amounted to 4620sec per detector pixel of MIPS 24µm integration and 6900sec of IRAC observations corresponding to
background limited 5σ sensitivities of 6µJy/pix and 0.4µJy/pix (3.6 & 4.5µm) respectively (see Fazio et al. 2004; Rieke et al. 2004, for instrument description). The output of the Spitzer Science Center v9.5 pipeline was post-processed after making corrections for varying sky background, striping (jailbar) correction for every fourth column due to a bias drift in the readout electronics, and distortion corrections based on a grid of 2MASS stars and cross-channel counterparts in the IRAC and MIPS images. The images were then resampled (drizzled; Fruchter & Hook 2002) onto a 1.2″ pixel grid for MIPS and 0.6″ for IRAC and sources extracted. The observations covered a total area of ∼165′′ with an integration time greater than 900s. Two rectangular strips with a combined area of 50′′ have the deepest exposures (>4200sec). Figure 1 shows a fraction of the 24µm image with dots representing the IRAC counterparts of the MIPS sources.

For the IRAC data, roughly half the field was covered by the 3.6µm and 5.8µm channels, while the other half was covered by the 4.5 and 8.0µm channels. Only the 3.6 and 4.5µm IRAC images are considered here, which together provide the best angular resolution and faintest flux limits currently available for studying the counterparts to the 24µm sources. The source density in the IRAC channels was found to be about a factor of 4 higher than in the 24µm image at these depths.

Sources catalogs were generated using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). SExtractor parameters were fine tuned from simulated pure-noise images. These images were simulated by generating noise-only data frames where the rms for each 30s frame was the same as measured in the data. Each frame was then run through the post-processing pipeline, applying the same distortion corrections and drizzle parameters in generating a final stack as for the real data. In general, we find that the majority of the sources in the real data are indistinguishable from a point source and so hereafter, we assume that all sources are point sources. The PSF was measured from the real data and normalized by comparing the flux in an 18″ radius aperture of the PSF with an equivalent SIRTF/TINYTIM (Krist, personal communication) generated PSF with the same FWHM. Point sources were then added on to the pure noise image with three different model flux distributions corresponding to the KRR, CE and LDP models. Figure 2 illustrates the difference in source density between the data and the models. Allowing for a matching radius of 2.9″, we find that our SExtractor parameters result in ≤1% of spurious sources in our simulated images where we know the input catalog of sources. The flux of the extracted source was measured in a circular aperture of radius 6″ and corrected upwards by a factor 1.8 to account for the wings of the PSF performed. We found that as a result of the large source density and the 4.7″ FWHM PSF, using larger beams results in substantial contamination of the source flux from neighboring sources.
Completeness corrections and flux calibration were measured using a thorough Monte-Carlo approach. In each iteration of the process, 14 artificial sources were added to the original data image, and SExtractor run on the resultant new image, with detection and flux measurement parameters identical to those used for the original catalogs. The number of sources added was deliberately kept small to minimize source crowding in the images. Sources present in the original image were tagged in the new image depending on the change in their position and/or change in their flux density. Thus, if a source in the new image had a position within 2.9′′ in the artificial image and had a flux difference of less than 5% it was tagged as unaffected by the artificial sources. An artificial source was regarded as detected if one of the untagged sources matched the input position of the artificial source to within 2.9′′. Detection and flux measurement parameters were identical to those described above. The process was repeated a 1000 times with the 14 sources randomly generated from a flat flux distribution between 20µJy and 2000µJy. A matrix $P_{ij}$ for the output flux distribution of the artificial sources was generated where $i$ is the input flux and $j$ the output flux (e.g. Smail et al. 1995). The nature of the $P_{ij}$ matrix is such that for a particular $i$, the sum over all $j$ is less than unity. This is the completeness correction factor which was measured to be 50% at an input flux value of 35µJy. The observed catalog of sources in the real image was then distributed among the flux bins. The $P_{ij}$ matrix was renormalized such that the sum over $i$ for a particular $j$ was equal to the number of detected output sources in that flux bin. The completeness corrected counts in each flux bin is then the sum over $j$ of the renormalized $P_{ij}$ matrix. This approach was tested on the three simulated images, each with their own $P_{ij}$ matrix, and the input and output catalog compared to ensure the accuracy of the approach.

Uncertainties in the observed counts were assumed to be Poissonian i.e. $\sqrt{N_j}$ where $N_j$ is the number of observed counts in the $F_j$ flux bin. These were then propagated through the $P_{ij}$ matrix to derive the uncertainty in the completeness corrected counts. Attempts to quantify the systematics in the counts were undertaken. These were dominated by the adopted size of the beam used to measure the flux and the resultant correction. A 5% flux calibration error was also introduced to assess the effect on the counts. The resultant systematic uncertainties are marked as the hatched region on the top panel of Figure 3.

To assess the accuracy of the source extraction at the faintest flux bins, where the completeness fraction is $\sim$30%, a pure noise image was generated on a 1.2′′ pixel grid and point sources added with a flux distribution that is identical to the completeness corrected counts at $S_{24\mu m} > 20\mu$Jy but with an extrapolation to flux densities of 1µJy using the CE model. Artificial sources were added and extracted from this simulated image using the technique described earlier. The completeness corrected counts in this simulated image are only marginally different from those derived from the real data and hence we conclude that
despite the large completeness corrections, the shape of the counts at the faint end are reliably determined.

3. Galaxy Counts and Extragalactic Background Light

Table 1 and Figure 3 shows the completeness corrected 24 $\mu$m counts in ELAIS-N1 and the actual number of detected sources in each flux bin. Also shown in Figure 3 are the model 24$\mu$m counts from XU, CE, LDP and KRR. All the model counts are too high at bright flux densities ($S_{24\mu m} > 500\mu$Jy) with the CE model being the most deviant. This could be attributed to cosmic variance and poor statistics on bright objects in this field. However, based on the Spitzer 24$\mu$m counts presented by Papovich et al. (2004) in this issue which offer much better statistics at these brighter flux densities, it appears that this is a real feature. This is most likely because the evolutionary models of the various groups were fit to the published ISOCAM 15$\mu$m counts from various different fields at flux densities of ~1 mJy which had large associated uncertainties and have since been shown to be too high (see e.g. Gruppioni et al. 2002; Elbaz et al. 1999). At flux densities fainter than 400 $\mu$Jy, our counts indicate that both the LDP model and KRR model are inconsistent with the observed counts. The LDP model is about 30% too low and has a faint end slope of $S^{-1.7}$ while the KRR model is comparably low and has a faint end slope of $S^{-1.95}$. For comparison, the observed counts in this field at flux densities in the range 20–200$\mu$Jy can be fit by a polynomial of the form $dN/dS$ (arcmin$^{-2}$ $\mu$Jy$^{-1}$) = $92 \times (S \ \mu$Jy)$^{-1.6\pm0.10}$. The XU and CE models are within the uncertainties in the counts in this flux range and have $S^{-1.6}$ slopes as well.

To quantify the quality of the model source counts fits to the data at the faint end, we performed a minimum sum of absolute errors, a minimum chi-square as well as a maximum likelihood analysis of the observed completeness corrected counts since the uncertainty is dominated by Poissonian errors. The likelihood, $L$ is calculated as:

$$\ln L = \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} m_i \ln(c_i) - \sum_{i=0}^{i=n} c_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The third term in the calculation of the likelihood, $-\sum \ln(m_i!)$ is irrelevant and is omitted in Equation 1. $m_i$ is the model counts in each of $n$ flux bins and $c_i$ is the observed counts corrected for completeness in those flux bins. We find that for both the minimum absolute errors and chi-square technique, the CE model which includes both density and luminosity evolution provides the best fit to the counts at flux values fainter 500 $\mu$Jy. However, the maximum likelihood technique indicates that the XU model is marginally a better fit. Thus,
we conclude that the CE and XU models both provide reasonably good fits to the observed data at the faint end. Although neither of these models provide good fits at $S_{24\mu m} > 500\mu Jy$, the counts at these flux limits are dominated by galaxies at $z \lesssim 0.5$. Roughly speaking, the implication of this poor fit is that at these redshifts, the LIG component is evolving much more rapidly than the ULIG component. However, the paucity of sources at faint $24\mu m$ fluxes implies that the rapid increase in the number density of infrared luminous galaxies between redshifts of 0 and 1−1.5 that is required by the models does not extend to higher redshifts and the evolution either reaches a plateau or declines. Since the optical/ultraviolet luminosity density (with no dust corrections) also rises but at a slower rate between $z \sim 0$ and $z \sim 1$ (see Steidel et al. 1999, and references therein), the implication is that extinction-corrections to UV-derived star-formation rate measurements are increasing with redshift, particularly between $0 < z < 1$.

The predicted redshift distribution of the sources (Figure 4) in this flux range as derived from the models shows a bi-modal structure, peaking at redshift 1 and redshift 2 with $\sim 20\%$ of sources being at redshifts between $2 < z < 3$. The bi-modal nature of the redshift distribution is a result of the two strongest set of PAH features, those around $8\mu m$ and $12\mu m$, being redshifted into the $24\mu m$ passband. Luminous infrared galaxies account for about $60\%$ of all sources while ULIGs are about $10\%$. The remainder consists of M82-analogs, i.e. low-redshift objects with $L(8-1000\mu m) < 10^{11} L_\odot$.

The robustness of this redshift distribution can be questioned since it depends on empirical evolutionary models which broadly fit other multi-wavelength counts but provide poor fits at bright $24\mu m$ flux densities. CE emphasized the degeneracy in evolutionary parameters for the infrared luminosity function i.e. a number of models which provide reasonable fits to the observed counts can yield varying counts/star-formation rate estimates. However, the key conclusion was that all models required a flattening in their $(1+z)^\alpha$ evolution at $z \sim 1$. This is confirmed by the Spitzer observations. We have re-derived the evolutionary parameters to fit the $24\mu m$ counts in this paper and Papovich et al. (2004) as well as the far-infrared counts in Dole et al. (2004). We find that the key variable is the ratio of LIGs to ULIGs which we now evolve independently unlike in CE. Using the new evolutionary parameters which we will discuss in a later paper, we find that although the fractional contribution of LIGs and ULIGs can differ slightly from that presented in Figure 4, the shape of the overall redshift distribution remains the same.

The CE models derive the total $24\mu m$ EBL to be $3.7nW m^{-2} sr^{-1}$ of which $32\%$ comes from sources brighter than $1000\mu Jy$. Since the CE model is a factor of $\sim 4$ too high at the bright flux densities, the $24\mu m$ EBL must be significantly lower than this estimate. The $24\mu m$ IGL from the detected sources in the flux density range $20 < S_\nu < 1000 \mu Jy$ in these
Spitzer observations is $1.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ nW m}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1}$ while the completeness corrected counts yield a value of $2.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ nW m}^{-2} \text{sr}^{-1}$. Thus, we conclude that these deep Spitzer observations have resolved out at least 50% of the 24µm EBL and probably as much as 65%.

4. Near-Infrared Counterparts of 24µm Sources

ISOCAM 15µm sources appear to be disparate from the SCUBA 850µm sources in that they have relatively bright optical/near-infrared counterparts which span the range of Hubble-types in morphology. This seems to suggest that they are typically massive galaxies with stellar mass $\gtrsim 10^{10} \text{ M}_\odot$ (Franceschini et al. 2003). In contrast, the SCUBA 850µm sources are optically faint and mostly irregular systems (Chapman et al. 2003). This could be a result of morphological k−correction since visible light observations of SCUBA sources trace rest-frame ultraviolet emission which could be patchy due to dust extinction internal to the galaxy. Since the models suggest that the 24µm sources presented here are at redshifts intermediate to the ISOCAM and 850µm sources, this would imply that they have rest-frame properties that are intermediate to those sources.

We matched sources between MIPS and IRAC using a nearest neighbor match between the 24µm catalog and the 3.6 and 4.5 µm catalogs (Figure 5). At a 24µm flux limit of 50µJy, more than 85% of the sources have IRAC counterparts within 3″, as compared to the 25% expected for random matches to objects with the same density; thus at least 60% of the matches are physical.

Direct inspection of the remaining 15% shows that in almost all cases, separations of 3″ or larger arise not from a lack of physical counterpart, but due to a variety of other factors: 7.8% of MIPS sources fall in a region of low exposure time in either the IRAC image or MIPS image or both; 3.6% are cases where the IRAC counterpart was not automatically detected because it falls near a much brighter IRAC sources and was not deblended from the brighter IRAC sources; 6.5% of MIPS sources appear to be blended emission from two or more nearby IRAC sources in which case the MIPS source appears slightly extended/distorted and its centroid falls between the IRAC positions which are more than 3″ away. There are however 0.9% of cases where the MIPS source genuinely appears to have no IRAC counterpart to the sensitivity of the IRAC image. Since the distribution of MIPS/IRAC flux ratios is broad, depending on the nature of the source and its redshift, faint MIPS sources could have counterparts which are below the flux threshold of the IRAC observations. Therefore we conclude that the fraction of 24µm sources with reliable near-infrared associations is $\sim100\%$. 
Having identified the counterparts of the sources, we then proceed to estimate a flux ratio between the mid-infrared and near-infrared wavelengths. For the MIPS catalog we adopted a beam size corrected flux value as described in Section 2. For the near-infrared we adopted SExtractor flux values in a 6″ diameter beam and applied a 20% beamsize correction based on estimates published by the Spitzer Science Center. Figure 5 illustrates the mid-infrared to near-infrared flux ratios of the Spitzer 24μm sources detected here. Also shown as solid circles is the 15μm to HK-band flux ratio (partly from Barger et al. 2002, and partly from the GOODS data) for the ISOCAM 15μm sources in the HDF-N which have spectroscopically determined redshifts peaking at ~0.8. Due to the shape of the dust spectral energy distribution at these wavelengths, sources at the same redshift will mostly be brighter at observed 24μm than at observed 15μm. However, at z ~ 2, the properties of 24μm sources should trace the same rest-frame emission as the 15μm observations at z~1. Since, the mid-infrared flux of a galaxy traces its total star-formation rate, while the near-infrared flux is a measure of the stellar mass in the galaxies, the similarity in this flux ratio between the ISOCAM and MIPS sources broadly suggests that the specific star-formation rate i.e. the ratio of SFR to stellar mass, is very similar among the z~1 ISOCAM galaxies and z~1-2 MIPS galaxies.
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Fig. 1.— Image showing the quality of the MIPS 24μm data in the ELAIS-N1 field. The stretch is in flux values in units of microJy. The dots indicate the position of the IRAC counterpart.
Fig. 2.— Comparison between the source densities in the real data (center) and the simulated image from the King & Rowan-Robinson model (top) and the Chary & Elbaz model (bottom). Clearly the KRR model predicts too many faint sources while the CE model has too many bright sources with respect to the data.
Fig. 3.— Completeness corrected galaxy counts in the MIPS 24µm channel from Spitzer observations of ELAIS-N1. The error bars reflect the Poissonian uncertainty. The horizontal bars represent the minimum and maximum flux density in that bin. The lines show four preferred models for 24µm counts (see text). The symbols are plotted at the average of the flux densities of the detected sources in that bin for the data while the lines are plotted at the counts-weighted flux average for the models. The lower plot in the figure shows the histogram of the actual number of sources detected in each flux bin without any completeness correction.
Fig. 4.— Redshift distribution expected for 24µm sources in the flux range $20 < S_\nu < 500$µJy as derived from the best fitting CE model. The dotted line indicates the contribution from ULIGs ($L_{\text{IR}} = L(8-1000\mu m) > 10^{12} L_\odot$), the dashed line is for LIGs with the triple-dot-dashed line the contribution from low-luminosity starbursts with $L_{\text{IR}} < 10^{11} L_\odot$. The size of the redshift bins is 0.1.
Fig. 5.— Ratio between the mid-infrared (MIR; 24μm) flux density and the near-infrared (NIR) flux density in the 3.6μm (plus) or 4.5μm (triangles) IRAC channels for all 24μm sources brighter than 50μJy. Also shown in the lower plot is the distribution of separation in arcseconds between the MIPS source and its IRAC counterpart. Of the ∼1000 sources brighter than 50μJy in our 24μm catalog, more than 85% have associations in the IRAC images which are less than 3″ away. The plot also shows the 15μm to HK-band flux ratio for the ISOCAM sources in HDF-N (solid circles). To qualify the nature of the MIPS sources, we also show the evolution of the colors of two nearby galaxies; the ultraluminous starburst Arp220 (solid squares) and the quiescent starburst/spiral galaxy M51 (solid triangle) as a function of redshift. The redshift increases in steps of 0.25 with the redshift of the first point as labelled. Arp220 and M51 at lower redshift will be to the right of this plot.
Table 1. 24μm Counts in the ELAIS-N1 field

| $S_{low}$ | $S_{high}$ | Avg $S_{\nu}$ | Observed dN/dS | Corrected dN/dS | Uncertainty dN/dS |
|-----------|------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 20.0      | 30.0       | 24.8          | 1.2E-01        | 3.6E-01         | 2.5E-02          |
| 30.0      | 45.0       | 37.1          | 8.9E-02        | 2.0E-01         | 1.3E-02          |
| 45.0      | 67.5       | 55.2          | 5.9E-02        | 1.0E-01         | 6.8E-03          |
| 67.5      | 101.2      | 83.8          | 3.8E-02        | 5.3E-02         | 3.6E-03          |
| 101.2     | 151.9      | 123.1         | 2.4E-02        | 2.8E-02         | 2.0E-03          |
| 151.9     | 227.8      | 185.9         | 1.5E-02        | 1.5E-02         | 1.1E-03          |
| 227.8     | 341.7      | 277.9         | 6.8E-03        | 6.4E-03         | 5.7E-04          |
| 341.7     | 512.6      | 415.8         | 3.0E-03        | 2.5E-03         | 2.7E-04          |
| 512.6     | 768.9      | 597.9         | 5.6E-04        | 4.9E-04         | 1.0E-04          |
| 768.9     | 1153.3     | 891.2         | 1.3E-04        | 1.2E-04         | 4.3E-05          |

$^a$ $S_{\nu}$ is in μJy while dN/dS is in # arcmin$^{-2} \mu$Jy$^{-1}$. Uncertainty in dN/dS is the Poissonian noise propagated through the $P_{ij}$ matrix.