Mechanistic cross-talk between DNA/RNA polymerase enzyme kinetics and nucleotide substrate availability in cells: Implications for polymerase inhibitor discovery
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Enzyme kinetic analysis reveals a dynamic relationship between enzymes and their substrates. Overall enzyme activity can be controlled by both protein expression and various cellular regulatory systems. Interestingly, the availability and concentrations of intracellular substrates can constantly change, depending on conditions and cell types. Here, we review previously reported enzyme kinetic parameters of cellular and viral DNA and RNA polymerases with respect to cellular levels of their nucleotide substrates. This broad perspective exposes a remarkable co-evolution scenario of DNA polymerase enzyme kinetics with dNTP levels that can vastly change, depending on cell proliferation profiles. Similarly, RNA polymerases display much higher $K_{m}$ values than DNA polymerases, possibly due to millimolar range rNTP concentrations found in cells (compared with micromolar range dNTP levels). Polymerases are commonly targeted by nucleotide analog inhibitors for the treatments of various human diseases, such as cancers and viral pathogens. Because these inhibitors compete against natural cellular nucleotides, the efficacy of each inhibitor can be affected by varying cellular nucleotide levels in their target cells. Overall, both kinetic discrepancy between DNA and RNA polymerases and cellular concentration discrepancy between dNTPs and rNTPs present pharmacological and mechanistic considerations for therapeutic discovery.

Both RNA and DNA polymerases have been well-studied with respect to their structural and mechanistic properties. Generally, polymerization consists of an initial DNA or RNA template–binding step, an elongation step in which the polymerase binds and incorporates incoming dNTP or rNTP substrates, and a termination step. RNA polymerases, which can be classified through their primer-dependent or primer-independent (de novo) initiations, differ from DNA polymerases, which are all primer-dependent (1–3). The variety of initiation mechanisms for viral RNA polymerases include cap-snatching, template-primed, protein-primed, de novo, or a combination of these (4, 5).

Structural analysis and enzyme kinetic assays have provided insight into the differential mechanisms involved in the substrate specificity and binding efficiency of various viral and cellular polymerases (6–9). Kinetic parameters can be used to compare the enzymatic activities of different polymerases and are determined in steady state (observes product formation in the presence of equimolar enzyme and enzyme-substrate complexes) or pre-steady state (observes the formation and consumption of enzyme-substrate intermediates) conditions, respectively (10). Here, we discuss the significance of DNA and RNA polymerase enzyme kinetics in the scope of the availability of nucleotide substrates, which can vary significantly in cells. Also, because nucleoside/nucleotide analogs, which are extensively used as anti-cancer and anti-viral pathogen agents, compete against cellular natural nucleotides, we discuss the interplay between cellular nucleotide availability and the efficacy of these analogs.

Variations in cellular dNTP availability

During the cell cycle, cellular dNTP pools are carefully regulated by enzymes that either degrade or synthesize dNTP molecules in preparation for various cell cycle checkpoints. In S phase, expression of dNTP biosynthesis machinery, such as ribonucleotide reductase and thymidine kinase, is up-regulated, enabling the completion of DNA replication and dNTP consumption prior to mitotic division (11–14). Whereas sterile α motif (SAM) domain and histidine-aspartate domain (HD)-containing protein 1 (SAMHD1) is constitutively expressed throughout the cell cycle (15, 16), dNTP degradation by the enzyme peaks during G1 to aid in G1/S transition (17). Although essential for other cellular processes (18, 19), dNTPs are commonly thought of as the building blocks of DNA. dNTP molecules are incorporated into nascent DNA, primarily during DNA replication in S phase; thus, physiological concentrations of cellular dNTPs dictate the replicative capacity of any given cell. As such, nucleotide concentrations in tumor cells (7.2–32 μM) and transformed cell lines (3.3–79 μM) with uncontrolled cell cycles are nearly 5-fold greater than those found in normal resting cells (1.5–5.4 μM) yet similar to those found in actively dividing cells (5.2–37 μM) (Table 1) (20, 44).

| Condition          | dNTP Concentration (μM) |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Normal resting     | 1.5–5.4 μM              |
| Actively dividing  | 5.2–37 μM               |
| Tumor              | 7.2–32 μM               |

Indeed, constitutively elevated cellular dNTP pools are considered a biomarker of transformed tumorigenic cells and result from a large proportion of the cell population undergoing S phase DNA replication and peak dNTP synthesis (45–47).

Terminally differentiated/nondividing cells like macrophages do not undergo mitotic division and thus have no necessity to
support chromosomal DNA synthesis. Indeed, human primary monocyte–derived macrophages display 125–250-fold lower (20–40 nM) dNTP concentrations than activated human CD4+ T cells (2–5 µM) (Table 1) (21). The extremely low dNTP pools found in nondividing macrophages result from both a lack of dNTP biosynthesis and abundant expression of active dNTPase SAMHD1 (48, 49). In addition, it is important to note that cellular dNTP pools are not comprised of equimolar concentrations of the five different nucleotides. Rather, when excluding blood-forming cells, human cells contain on average 2.4, 17, 4.5, 2.7, and 0.7 µM concentrations of dATP, dTTP, dCTP, dGTP, and dUTP, respectively (Table 1). Availability of the correct dNTP substrate is crucial during the DNA replication process to avoid misincorporations and mismatch extension events that result in genomic mutagenesis if not properly repaired (50).

Extensive studies have been conducted to accurately determine the cellular dNTP concentrations of various cell types. As a result, there are currently multiple methods used to measure and report intracellular dNTP concentrations with the most common being liquid chromatography tandem MS (LC–MS/MS) methods and DNA polymerase–based enzymatic assays (51). With the numerous dNTP quantification tools, each comes with a unique challenge. For example, whereas LC–MS/MS accurately determines dNTP levels, this assay requires separation of mono-, di-, and triphosphate molecules via LC prior to quantification by MS/MS, making this a labor-intensive and expensive method of dNTP measurement (52, 53). Conversely, pitfalls in enzyme-based quantification methods result from the fact that DNA polymerases vary in their substrate specificity and sensitivity, often incorporating the wrong dNTP substrate or lacking polymerization activity in low-dNTP environments (54). Current polymerase-based dNTP measurement tools overcome this issue by utilizing HIV-1 reverse transcriptase in quantification assays, exploiting the viral polymerase for its unique ability to polymerize in low dNTP concentrations unlike the Klenow polymerase, which was previously used in similar assays (21). HIV-1 reverse transcriptase will be discussed in further detail later in this review, which compiles dNTP measurement collected using a variety of the aforementioned quantification methods. Interestingly, the mitochondrial membrane serves as an effective barrier that creates differential dNTP concentrations in mitochondrial and cytoplasmic cellular compartments, resulting in two distinct dNTP pools that can be independently quantified (44). This review will not discuss mitochondrial dNTP pools or DNA polymerase γ kinetics; rather, it will explore viral and nuclear DNA polymerase kinetics with regard to intracellular (nuclear and cytoplasmic) dNTP availability. Whereas concentrations for metabolites in fluids are often reported in molarity (e.g. µM), physiological dNTP concentrations are often reported in pmol/10^6 cells for cultured cells or nmol/g wet weight for tissues and require a measurement of intracellular volume for conversion to molarity (20). Further documentation of this cell-dependent variable in a variety of cultured and primary cells would be invaluable in the determination of applicable dNTP concentration data, providing a clearer understanding of intracellular conditions for pharmacological applications and kinetics studies that seek to define the substrate or ligand affinity of an enzyme in relation to the physiological concentrations of those molecules. More specifically, thorough and accurate documentation of intracellular dNTP concentrations could provide context for the enzyme kinetic parameters that have been reported for numerous cellular and viral DNA polymerases that function in a variety of diverse cellular and tissue environments.

### DNA polymerase kinetics: Cellular and viral DNA polymerases

DNA polymerases synthesize DNA through replication of genomic materials in the form of DNA or RNA templates (55,
This process consumes cellular dNTPs; thus, the rate of DNA synthesis is kinetically dependent upon intracellular dNTP availability that can vary, depending on cell type. Therefore, it is a reasonable assumption that DNA polymerases have been kinetically optimized through evolution to properly support cellular DNA synthesis. In other words, exposure to cell-specific substrate conditions can drive DNA polymerase evolution and kinetics. Steady-state kinetic parameter $K_m$ represents the substrate concentration needed for the enzyme to operate at half the $V_{max}$ and is commonly used to compare the operation capabilities of enzymes that catalyze the same chemical reactions at given substrate concentrations. Here, we reviewed the published $K_m$ values of many cellular and viral polymerases.

Human replicative DNA polymerases (pol) α, δ, and ε are responsible for accurately and efficiently replicating the majority of genomic DNA in dividing cells (57). Whereas the $K_m$ values of dNTP incorporation by pol α have been reported to be in the range of 0.16–4.00 $\mu$M (Table 1), pol ε synthesizes DNA with a $K_m$ of 2.5–9.6 $\mu$M (30, 31). Similarly, replicative DNA polymerase δ displays $K_m$ values ranging from 1.2 to 6.6 $\mu$M (28); however, this is reduced to 0.067 $\mu$M in the presence of proliferating cell nuclear antigen, an essential processivity factor that recruits pol δ to the replication site and increases polymerase binding to the DNA template (29, 58, 59). Interestingly, the larger $K_m$ values associated with incorporation of dTTP by these replicative polymerases agree with the large relative concentrations of dTTP within the intracellular dNTP pools of a normal dividing cell (Table 1). However, replicative DNA polymerases are not the only polymerases with $K_m$ values coinciding with dNTP availability within dividing cells. DNA polymerase β is known to be involved in base excision repair, or gap-filling DNA synthesis, and has been reported to have a $K_m$ value as low as 1.7 $\mu$M and as high as 31 $\mu$M, depending on the template sequence and dNTP substrate (22–24, 32, 36, 60). In 2001, Vande Berg et al. (61) found that the $K_m$ associated with gap-filling DNA synthesis using a gapped template (0.18 ± 0.02 $\mu$M) was 12-fold lower than when utilizing a nongapped substrate (2.36 ± 0.75 $\mu$M). DNA pol γ and δ display relatively lower $K_m$ values through two differential means that are independent of intracellular dNTP concentration—interaction with an accessory protein or preferential binding to a template substrate, respectively. Overall, $K_m$ values of cellular replicative DNA polymerase are close to dNTP concentrations found in dividing cells, supporting a possibility of the kinetic adaptation of these host replicative DNA polymerases to optimally support host chromosomal DNA replication at the dNTP concentrations found in dividing cells.

Many viruses replicate their viral DNA genomes within target host cells by using the dNTPs available within the infected cells. Herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1) is able to infect a variety of cell types ranging from epithelial cells to neurons. HSV-1 polymerase incorporates individual dNTPs with $K_m$ values ranging from 0.15 to 7.6 $\mu$M (Table 1) or 1.1 ± 0.07 $\mu$M for all dNTPs (62). Importantly, rather than replicating in normal dNTP conditions, HSV-1 encodes a viral ribonucleotide reductase protein that increases intracellular dNTP concentrations during viral replication. It is possible that the possession of its own dNTP biosynthesis capability enables this virus to replicate even in nondividing cells with poor dNTP availability, such as neurons. Like HSV-1, Epstein–Barr Virus (EBV) encodes its own dNTP biosynthesis machinery that provides dNTPs for its viral DNA genome replication regardless of cellular dNTP biosynthesis and proliferation conditions. Increased dNTP substrate availability in EBV infections coincides with a large $K_m$ value (6.2–13 $\mu$M) (Table 1). With no virus-driven dNTP biosynthesis abilities, vaccinia virus from the Poxviridae family and human cytomegalovirus from the Herpesviridae family display similar $K_m$ values ranging from 0.90 to 3.80 (63, 64) and from 0.67 to 3.77 $\mu$M (25, 65–68), respectively, depending on the identity of the incorporated dNTP molecule.

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) utilizes a virally encoded reverse transcriptase (RT) that synthesizes DNA from both DNA and RNA templates to replicate the viral genome during the replication cycle. Liver-tropic HBV primarily targets human hepatocytes during viral infection and harbors an RT that polymerizes dNTP incorporation with relatively low $K_m$ values (0.04–0.40 $\mu$M) (Table 1). Variations in reported HBV RT $K_m$ values arise from different methods of quantifying DNA polymerase activity (37–39).

Retroviruses also employ their own RTs for RNA- and DNA-dependent DNA polymerization of the viral genome. Whereas lentiviruses, including HIV-1, replicate in both dividing and nondividing cells (69–71), other nonlentiviral retroviruses, such as murine leukemia virus (MuLV) and avian myeloblastosis virus (AMV), execute productive infection exclusively in dividing cells (72–74). Indeed, whereas MuLV and AMV RTs synthesize DNA efficiently at the dNTP concentrations found in dividing cells, these RTs failed to synthesize DNA at the low-dNTP concentrations found in nondividing macrophages (21). In contrast, HIV-1 replicates within human CD4+ T cells and macrophages; thus, the cell tropism of HIV-1 is comprised of two cell types with vastly different intracellular dNTP environments. Indeed, the SAMHD1-mediated low dNTP concentrations (nanomolar range) present in macrophages can kinetically block HIV-1 proviral DNA synthesis (20, 21, 48). However, it was reported that HIV-1 RT is able to synthesize DNA even within the restrictive dNTP pools of the macrophage, an environment that completely inhibits the DNA synthesis activity of MuLV and AMV RTs. Pre-steady-state kinetic analysis demonstrated that the failure of MuLV RT to synthesize DNA within low dNTP concentrations is due to its low dNTP-binding affinity (75). Conversely, the successful DNA synthesis activity of HIV-1 RT at restrictive dNTP concentrations mechanistically results from its higher dNTP-binding affinity (75), which enables HIV-1 to complete viral reverse transcription in nondividing macrophages. Overall, these RTs may have evolved to display differential DNA polymerase kinetics to optimally support viral DNA synthesis in their target cells, ultimately contributing to their differential cell tropisms (dividing versus nondividing cells).

Interestingly, HIV-2 and some simian immunodeficiency virus strains target host dNTPase SAMHD1 for proteasomal degradation using virally encoded Vpr or Vpx proteins. Virus-induced SAMHD1 degradation increases intracellular dNTP pools in macrophages and enables the completion of reverse transcription in this restrictive cell type. Whereas HIV-1
cannot counteract host SAMHD1, numerous studies have identified that HIV-1 RT incorporates dNTPs into nascent DNA with a $K_m$ of 0.01–0.30 $\mu$M in single-nucleotide incorporation experiments while possessing an overall $K_m$ of 0.0063 $\mu$M when all nucleotides are present (41). As an RNA- and DNA-dependent polymerase, HIV-1 RT has been found to polymerize from an RNA template with greater efficiency than when using a DNA template (76, 77). Therefore, the high binding affinity and nanomolar range $K_m$ value of HIV-1 RT enables slow but complete reverse transcription in the SAMHD1-mediated dNTP-depleted conditions of the nondividing macrophage. Interestingly, lentiviruses that do not possess the ability to counteract SAMHD1 have been found to harbor RTs that are more catalytically efficient and able to more quickly incorporate incoming dNTP molecules than RTs originating from lentiviruses that can counteract SAMHD1 and increase intracellular dNTP pools during viral infection (78–80). These findings imply not only that HIV-1 RT was evolutionarily honed to circumvent SAMHD1 restriction in target host macrophage cells harboring low dNTP concentrations, but also that SAMHD1 may have influenced RT kinetics among lentiviruses, depending on their anti-SAMHD1 capability that modulates intracellular dNTP levels in nondividing target cell types.

**Efficacy of anti-HIV-1 nucleotide/nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs)**

NRTIs are a class of reverse transcriptase inhibitors that mimic the molecular structure of natural nucleotides and inhibit viral reverse transcription through a variety of mechanisms, namely chain termination or translocation inhibition. The nucleotide-like structure of NRTIs (in their triphosphate forms) supports binding within the RT active site and subsequent incorporation into the nascent DNA strand during reverse transcription. In 1987, thymidine analog 3’-azido-3’-deoxynthymidine 5’-triphosphate (AZT-TP) was the first anti-HIV drug to be approved by the Food and Drug Administration. The 3’-azide group enables the drug to function as a chain terminator once incorporated into viral DNA by RT. Incorporated with $K_m$ values of 0.13–0.19 and 2.9–35.2 $\mu$M when using RNA and DNA templates, respectively, AZT-TP was found to be a comparable substrate to dTTP during polymerization from an RNA template (Table 1) (76, 77, 81). Extensive studies of the chain-terminating drug revealed that AZT-TP selectively inhibits retroviral HIV-1 and simian immunodeficiency virus RTs (82, 83) and does not appear to be a substrate of cellular DNA polymerase, such as pols $\alpha$, $\delta$, and $\beta$ (60, 84, 85). In biochemical inhibitor studies, the IC$_{50}$ of a drug indicates the drug concentration needed to reduce enzyme biochemical activity by half. Studies conducted using HIV-1 RT from various HIV-1 strains reported IC$_{50}$ values for AZT-TP in the range of 0.02–0.10 $\mu$M (Table 1). With an IC$_{50}$ of 0.014 $\mu$M in HIV-1 RT systems, EFdA-TP shows no activity against cellular polymerases $\alpha$ and $\beta$ while slightly inhibiting mitochondrial DNA polymerase $\gamma$ with an IC$_{50}$ of 10 $\mu$M—a concentration over 700-fold greater than that of HIV-1 RT (91, 94).

Comparison of the selective inhibition of HIV-1 RT by AZT-TP and EFdA-TP with the toxicity of zalcitabine and didanosine against cellular DNA polymerase $\beta$ reveals the complicated nature of anti-retroviral drug design. Subsequent to meticulous chemical design strategies, selective targeting of viral polymerases requires careful consideration of the varying $K_m$ values associated with incorporation of the drug by cellular and viral polymerases, the competition of NRTI molecules with endogenous cellular dNTP substrates, and the potential excision or metabolic pathways that might aid or hinder drug efficacy. Indeed, the efficacy of these NRTIs is significantly improved in nondividing macrophages compared with activated CD4$^+$ T cells due to the SAMHD1-mediated depletion of the natural dNTP substrate that all NRTI-TP compete against in this nondividing target cell type (20, 99, 100). Whereas additional factors, such as substrate binding affinity and the rate of substrate incorporation, also influence the efficacy of an NRTI, the drug must always outcompete the cell-dependent availability of natural dNTP substrates.

**Cellular NTP concentrations and enzyme kinetics of cellular and viral RNA polymerases**

Whereas cellular dNTPs are used solely for DNA synthesis, cellular rNTPs show highly versatile utilities in cells. First, rNTPs are substrates of cellular RNA polymerases for...
transcription. Second, rNTPs, especially ATP and GTP, play key regulatory roles in a wide variety of cell signaling pathways. Third, ATP is an energy unit that controls numerous biological, chemical, and dynamic processes in living cells (101, 102). Intracellular rNTP concentrations are close to millimolar ranges (Table 2), which are 100–1,000 times higher than dNTP concentrations regardless of cell cycle stage (20, 107). This cellular abundance of rNTPs accommodates diverse and high cellular demands.

Unlike DNA polymerases, cellular DNA-dependent RNA polymerases initiate RNA synthesis from their promoter sequences in a primer-independent manner during transcription. This distinct method of polymerization initiation often causes methodological difficulties in polymerase enzyme kinetic analyses due to the presence of a threshold rather than a biological curve for nucleotide incorporation. However, bacterial, cellular, and phage RNA polymerases have been extensively investigated for their enzyme kinetics. Most bacterial and phage RNA polymerases, such as E. coli and T7 phage RNA polymerases, respectively, display high micromolar ranges of $K_m$ values (300–900 μM) analogous to reported rNTP concentrations for initiation (108–111). Interestingly, these relatively high $K_m$ values correlate with elevated intracellular rNTP concentrations within E. coli (0.5–3.5 mM) (102, 112, 113).

Analysis of mammalian cellular DNA-dependent RNA polymerase enzyme kinetics is scarcely reported, potentially due to the structural complexity of eukaryotic RNA polymerases that require diverse, differential, and numerous regulatory co-factors for initiation and elongation during RNA synthesis. However, multiple RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRPs) of viruses that infect human cells have been investigated for their elongation steady-state enzyme kinetics using simple primer-dependent RNA polymerase activity assays. This is because these viral RdRPs can enzymatically initiate RNA synthesis without regulatory factors (114, 115). Table 2 summarizes the $K_m$ values of these viral RdRPs. Overall, it appears that viral RdRPs display higher $K_m$ values, compared with cellular DNA polymerases, which may be related to the highly abundant rNTP concentrations present within the cell.

Importantly, many viral RdRPs have been extensively investigated as antiviral targets, and numerous nucleotide/nucleoside analogues have been tested for their antiviral activity that block viral RNA synthesis (116). As discussed earlier with dNTPs, the efficacy of ribonucleotide/nucleoside inhibitors can be affected by the concentrations of cellular rNTPs that these analogues compete against during viral RNA genome synthesis catalyzed by viral RdRPs. It is logical to consider that the development of effective viral RdRP ribonucleotide/nucleoside inhibitors could be more challenging compared with that of deoxynucleotide/nucleoside inhibitors against DNA polymerases simply because intracellular rNTP concentrations are much higher than dNTP concentrations. Furthermore, due to other utilities of rNTPs in cells, ribonucleotide/nucleoside analogues can generate more nonspecific cellular toxicity. To overcome the intrinsically high competition with natural rNTP substrates and preserve the highly diverse utilities of rNTPs within the cell, ribonucleotide/nucleoside analogues targeting viral RdRP should display much higher specificity against viral RdRPs without unwanted interruption of natural rNTP cellular functions. Interestingly, some viruses have adapted stages during RNA synthesis that require unusually high concentrations of rNTPs to proceed.

### Flaviviridae RdRP kinetics

The initiation of RNA synthesis, which represents the rate-limiting step, serves as a barrier for de novo synthesis in flaviviruses and is heavily reliant on rNTP concentrations. GTP, the second nucleotide to be incorporated during RNA synthesis, is required to be unusually high for de novo synthesis to occur, a common characteristic seen across the Flaviviridae family. Both hepatitis C virus (HCV) and dengue virus (DENV) are the best-studied disease-causing flaviviruses. HCV NS5B protein is a well-studied RdRP that utilizes two rNTP-binding pockets inside the catalytic site, one that recognizes the initiating rNTP and the second for the complementary rNTP that corresponds to the second template nucleotide (6, 117). Untranslated positive-strand HCV RNA genomes can serve as templates for further RNA replication or be assembled into virions (118). De novo synthesis is the process in which NS5B initiates primer-independent RNA synthesis and is initiated by the incorporation
of a single GTP molecule—an event characterized by a $K_m$ value of 40 $\mu M$ (119). Following transcription initiation, elongation proceeds with $K_m$ values ranging from 0.24 to 2.34 $\mu M$, depending on the identity of the incorporated rNTP (Table 2). The 40-fold divergence in the $K_m$ values associated with GTP incorporation during transcription initiation and elongation represents the stark difference in concentration requirements for these two phases of RNA synthesis. It is important to note that the reported $K_m$ values calculated in vitro do not indicate the concentration required for in vivo environments because several factors have been demonstrated to affect NS5B substrate affinity for GTP (e.g., divalent cation concentrations) (114). Initiation of RNA synthesis proved to require higher GTP concentrations (>100 $\mu M$) regardless of the starting template nucleotide, suggesting that GTP plays a role as a structural regulator for NS5B. Additionally, the authors noted that at high concentrations, the other rNTPs were able to initiate de novo synthesis only in the absence of GTP (119–121). This suggests that only in nonphysiological conditions can the other rNTPs participate as the initiation nucleotide. The elongation $K_m$ values represent the polymerization step that is not hindered by rNTP concentrations due to the relatively abundant rNTP levels that are sufficient for preventing enzymatic delays.

DENV is a single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus. DENV NS5 is a nonstructural protein with RdRP and methyltransferase activity performed via separate protein domains that are connected by a linker. De novo initiation for minus strand RNA synthesis is mediated by secondary viral RNA structures that guide the NS5 complex to the 3’ end of the genome (122). Once positioned at the 3’ end of the viral RNA, NS5 is then able to position the initiating nucleotide (ATP) into the priming site, followed by a GTP molecule, which yields the pppAG primer (123, 124). The involvement of GTP and ATP in the formation of the initiation primer suggests that there will be two $K_m$ values for each nucleotide: one for transcription initiation and another for transcript elongation. The $K_m$ values associated with incorporation of GTP and ATP during elongation are 0.37 and 2.25 $\mu M$, respectively (Table 2). Unlike HCV NS5B, DENV NS5 incorporates the initiating ATP molecule with a $K_m$ of 5.43 ± 2.50 $\mu M$, a concentration only 2-fold higher than what is required during elongation (104). The $K_m$ for GTP during de novo initiation has not been calculated because GTP is reportedly required to be present in concentrations much greater than 100 $\mu M$ to produce transcription product (104, 125).

In studies investigating both HCV and DENV RdRPs, low-affinity GTP-specific binding sites have been identified and characterized as possible points of stabilization for a polymerase conformational change that supports efficient transcription initiation (123, 126). Analysis of the Flaviviridae RdRPs during RNA synthesis revealed that these enzymes undergo a closed-to-open conformational change during the transition from initiation to elongation (104, 127). In the “closed” state, the enzyme is only able to accommodate a single-stranded RNA template and the incoming nucleotide in the catalytic core (127, 128). This tight conformation found in primer-independent RdRPs restricts the flexibility of producing a second RNA strand, requiring a transition to an “open” state for transcript elongation (129, 130). Because the closed-to-open transition of the viral RdRPs is an essential step for viral replication, targeting the GTP-specific binding pocket with inhibitors has been identified as a possible drug mechanism (131). The low affinity of the binding pocket to GTP suggests the possible utilization of competitive inhibitors against that site to prevent the conformational change between “open” and “closed” states (132). A unique feature of primer-independent RdRPs is the presence of a biphasic profile as a result of the $K_m$ gap between the incorporation of the initiation nucleotide and elongation rNTPs. The absence of a selective pressure for these viral RdRPs lies in the relatively high concentrations of cellular rNTPs. While the substrate affinity in RdRPs during elongation is severalfold lower than what is observed in DNA polymerases, the preference for rNTPs remains thousands of times separated from dNTPs (133, 134). Preference for rNTPs is further enhanced intracellularly due to the high rNTP/dNTP ratio (105, 135, 136).

**Influenza virus RdRP kinetics**

Influenza A virus (IAV) contains a single-stranded, fragmented RNA genome of negative-sense polarity. The IAV RdRP is a heterotrimeric complex that hijacks host RNAs as a primer for mRNA viral transcription, whereas synthesis of genomic RNA is primer-independent and relies solely on de novo initiation (137). The molecular switch that controls the IAV RdRP to synthesize transcripts or genomic RNA is still not clear; however, studies suggest that viral protein levels might play a key role. De novo transcription initiation is proposed to be heavily influenced by high rNTP concentrations and favors the production of genomic RNA (119, 138, 139). Similar to HCV, de novo initiation of IAV replication requires the incorporation of an initiating nucleotide, often GTP or ATP, with a concentration above 100 $\mu M$. During conditions of lower ATP, GTP, and CTP concentrations, the reaction has been shown to favor transcription via primer extension with a $K_m$ between 10 and 30 $\mu M$ (Table 2) (140, 141). This suggests that the abundance of the initiating nucleotide might dictate the molecular switch between genomic RNA and viral mRNA transcript synthesis.

**Efficacy of viral RdRP inhibitors**

In steady-state kinetic drug studies, the ratios of $V_{max}/K_m$ for a single nucleotide over that of the associated drug analog is defined as drug selectivity. Antiviral drugs against RNA viruses are most effective when viral RdRP selectivity for the drug is high and the host RNA polymerase selectivity for the drug is low. Remdesivir is an adenosine nucleotide analog prodrug that interferes with RdRP activity through a delayed chain termination mechanism. Remdesivir has demonstrated broad-spectrum antiviral activity and thus has been tested against a variety of RNA viruses. Recently, the Götte group (106) determined that Ebola virus (EBOV) RdRP incorporates ATP with a $K_m$ value of 1.5 $\mu M$. Under the same conditions, the $K_m$ value describing incorporation of remdesivir-triphosphate (TP) was calculated to be ~6 $\mu M$, with only slight discrimination against the natural ATP substrate (142).

In a study aimed at comparing the activity of several adenosine analogues against Middle East respiratory syndrome...
coronavirus (MERS-CoV), remdesivir-TP stood out not only because it was the most efficiently incorporated drug within the compound panel ($K_m = 0.0063 \mu M$), but also because it was more efficiently incorporated than a natural ATP substrate ($K_m = 0.017 \mu M$) (143). In contrast, ATP analogues ara-ATP and 2’-C-methyl-ATP (2’-CM-ATP) were found to be incorporated with far less selectivity (>150-fold) than a natural ATP substrate (143). Whereas the data demonstrating the preference for remdesivir-TP over natural ATP are promising, the rNTP concentrations used to calculate the kinetic values (0.02–0.25 \mu M) were 10,000-fold lower than physiological conditions (2,102 \mu M) (Table 2) (20). Additionally, although the incorporation of the delayed terminating drug remdesivir-TP is successful, there is still opportunity to overcome the arrest. The mechanism of delayed termination halts the RdRP after the third nucleotide following remdesivir-TP incorporation, and the percentage of RdRP that overcomes this arrest is partially related to rNTP concentrations. Because the rate of incorporation of remdesivir-TP drops considerably as we approach physiological concentrations, we can infer that there is marginal antiviral efficacy at physiological/cellular conditions. Additionally, the biochemical assessment of remdesivir-TP potency lacks many of the variables present during in vivo settings. Studies have shown inconsistency in remdesivir-TP potency related to the cell types used in experiments (144). Several features noted during analysis suggested that differing outcomes could possibly be a result of cellular drug metabolism or uptake (145).

Similarly, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and the novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, RdRPs both demonstrated sensitivity to remdesivir-TP at the same capacity as the MERS-CoV RdRP, incorporating the drug with $K_m$ values of 0.0012–0.0023 \mu M (106). In all three cases, remdesivir-TP caused delayed chain termination 3 bases downstream of the drug incorporation site. Whereas the selectivity of SARS-CoV-2 RdRPs for remdesivir-TP was favorable, at physiological rNTP concentrations, there could be a reduction of the inhibitory effect. Experiments that gradually increased the concentration of the rNTP following the remdesivir-TP incorporation site resulted in a significant reduction of terminated products. Furthermore, the maximum rNTP concentration used to determine the surmountable drug effects during this study was 10 \mu M, still 100-fold less than the average physiological rNTP concentration. Even under conditions with substantially lower rNTP concentrations, there was an almost complete loss of termination (106).

Conclusions and perspectives

Comparison of intracellular dNTP and rNTP concentrations with the enzyme kinetics of cellular and viral polymerases reveals a dynamic relationship between enzyme kinetics and physiological substrate availability. Construction and expansion of a database containing intracellular nucleotide/nucleoside conditions in a variety of human and animal cell types would be beneficial to provide context for enzyme kinetics studies and aid in the discovery and development of competitive enzyme inhibitors. Utilizing the wealth of highly sensitive dNTP/rNTP measurement methods developed over time, creation of this resource, although requiring accurate measurement of cell volumes to yield units (cellular concentration) applicable to enzymology and pharmacology studies, would provide insight into the diverse and dynamic intracellular conditions present during animal studies and drug trials. As summarized in Fig. 1, cellular replicative DNA polymerases harbor $K_m$ values close to the dNTP concentrations observed in dividing cells, making it possible that these $K_m$ values might have been evolutionarily adapted for the optimal execution of DNA synthesis in dividing cells. However, lentiviral HIV-1 RT (Fig. 1) employs its uniquely low $K_m$ values to complete proviral DNA synthesis and support viral replication in nondividing cells, such as macrophages, that are characterized by very poor dNTP availability. The highly abundant cellular rNTPs found across cell types enable RNA polymerases to efficiently initiate transcription and synthesize RNAs even with their demand for the high rNTP concentrations during the initiation of RNA synthesis (Fig. 1).

Whereas this review mainly focuses on the steady-state kinetic $K_m$ values of polymerases, it is important to note that the catalytic rate ($k_{cat}$) of polymerases can interplay with their $K_m$ values to achieve overall optimal DNA and RNA synthesis in cells. In addition, when comparing intracellular dNTP/rNTP concentrations with polymerase kinetic parameters, it is important to note that variation in reported $K_m$ values can arise through discrepancies in (i) template features (DNA versus RNA, sequence, length, and structure), (ii) reaction conditions (buffer components, pH, presence of all dNTPs versus one dNTP), (iii) polymerase origins (viral strains, purification methods), and (iv) modes of the polymerizations (initiation versus elongation). Similarly, when considering nucleotide/nucleoside inhibitor efficacy, IC$_{50}$ data can vary, depending on viral polymerase subtypes and physiological Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations (98, 146). In addition to the steady-state kinetic analyses, numerous
structural and mechanistic investigations (i.e. pre-steady-state kinetic studies) of polymerases elucidated highly orchestrated and dynamic molecular actions of enzymatic DNA and RNA synthesis. Overall, despite variations in data resulting from experimental disparities, cellular and viral polymerases appear to have been evolutionarily optimized to efficiently perform DNA and RNA synthesis within the cellular dNTP and rNTP concentrations naturally available during polymerization (Fig. 2). With this, it is plausible that the cellular dNTP concentrations, which significantly vary, depending on metabolic balance between dNTP biosynthesis and degradation (Fig. 2), may have driven the enzyme kinetic variations among DNA polymerases. Finally, this evolutionary cross-talk between polymerase enzyme kinetics and cellular nucleotide substrate availability is an important concept platform for the discovery of polymerase inhibitors.
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