Impact of Industrial Discharge on Aquatic Ecosystems of the Kłodnica River with Reference to Water Framework Directive Objectives
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Abstract

Commercial surface water classification is preliminarily based on physicochemical analyses. The ecotoxicological analyses performed during the research confirm that bioassays can support traditional monitoring as a useful tool for preliminary assess and predicting environmental damage. The problem of contaminant migration into the aquatic environment is particularly important in highly industrialized and urbanized areas, wherein rivers are constantly exposed to pollution due to anthropogenic action and for which, in accordance with the Water Framework Directive (WFD) recommendations, it is necessary to achieve good status and potential of the waters. Taking into account the above provisions, the ecotoxicological potential of surface water samples from the Kłodnica River has been assessed. During the research we used a biotest battery composed of organisms which represent three trophic levels of aquatic ecosystems: Vibrio fischeri, Daphnia magna, and Lemna minor. Also, we performed physicochemical on-site analyses. Estimated values of selected physical and chemical indicators confirm the poor state of the Kłodnica. However, high toxic effect was obtained only in a few analysed samples. Moreover, is worth noting that within the study we found a relationship between the high salinity of river water samples and the response of exposed bioindicators.
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Introduction

Protecting and improving the environment is an important part of achieving sustainable development and is vital in the long-term period. One of the most important documents in the field of water resources management and by means of water protection is the European Union Water Framework Directive (WFD) [1]. This directive is a milestone in a history of water policy in Europe. The WFD establishes a common framework for a sustainable and integrated management in relation to a different types of water. The main purpose of the directive was to establish a framework for the protection of inland surface waters and groundwater. It will ensure that all inland and coastal waters, including
aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, should achieve good status by the end of 2015. Within 15 years of the entry of WFD into force, the document was assisted by seven other EU directives relating to water policy. The WFD consists of different steps and monitoring procedures, which shall ensure that the “good ecological” and “good chemical” status of all European water bodies will be met in 2027. The constant access to “good quality of water” is especially important due to the fact that water is either an essential element necessary for life as well as an “organism of the economy” ensuring the functioning of many industries [2]. The water quality issue is especially important to Poland, which compared with other European countries has comparatively poor water resources [3]. Moreover, a large amount of pollutant discharged into the spring section of the Kłodnica River with outflows from WWTPs and mine water pose a significant problem for water users and consumers on the entire section of the river and finally pollute the Baltic Sea [4, 5]. The high level of industrialization and urbanization directly affects the quantity and quality of pollutants discharged into the environment. Anthropogenic activities introduce into the aquatic environment a large amount of toxic compounds that can cause irreversible damage [6-8]. In Poland, river water monitoring is mainly based on physicochemical analyses of the surface-water quality, and legal requirements do not include ecotoxicological evaluation. Physicochemical analyses are not able to detect all harmful substances that are present in the water. Moreover, it is difficult to predict the full response of living structures based only on the physicochemical parameters. Determining the full spectrum of pollutants and their metabolites, as well as taking into account the limitations of analytical and economic considerations, is simply impossible. Therefore, it is necessary to enrich the chemical analyses to the data from biomonitoring. The organisms accumulate large amounts of toxic compounds, even if their concentration in the environment is small and the time for reaction to a toxicant is relatively short. However, that reaction of the exposed organisms allows us to assess the toxicity of a single compound or a mixture, taking into account the effect of the synergistic or antagonistic interaction of components [8-10]. Therefore, the biotindication methods are the most effective tool for assessing the adverse effects and prevent environmental degradation. The physicochemical analyses of the pollutant should be completed with an ecotoxicological analyses in order to make the determination of ecological status of rivers [11, 12]. Such full analyses is important to ensure “good ecological” and “good chemical” status of water according to the requirements of the WFD. Taking into account the above provisions, the aim of this paper was to evaluate the usefulness of a toxicity classification system based on bioassays for routine monitoring of surface waters which are exposed to strong anthropogenic activities. Within the research the sensitivity of selected test species was evaluated. Also, the hazard classification system developed by Persoone et al. was used to assessed the ecotoxicological potential of raw water samples [13].

Material and Methods

Study Area

The study was conducted in Southern Poland, in the Silesian district. Research was carried out for the Kłodnica River basin located in the Upper Silesia Coal Basin Region (USCB). Sampling points were situated along the section of the Kłodnica from the river spring to the point situated above the Ostropka River estuary. The Kłodnica was chosen due to the fact that that the Kłodnica catchment receives wastewater from a large number of industrial and municipal companies. Therefore, water from the Kłodnica contains a wide range of contaminants, which can cause a wide spectrum of ecotoxic effects.

Samples Collection

Samples were collected from 16 sample points situated along the river. The choice of sampling points was made in order to capture the major sources of pollutants discharged into the river with both the wastewater from WWTP and mine water from four active coal mines. The location of sampling points is shown in Fig. 1. Therefore, within the study river water samples were collected from 13 samples points located both above and below the discharge points (Nos. 1-13). Until ecotoxicological analyses, water samples were stored at 4±2°C. Due to the fact that the main goal of the research was the assessment of raw water samples, the samples were not filtered until the bioassays were conducted. Additionally, in order to assess the properties of mine waters as well as in order to estimate the impact of the high load of salinity on aquatic ecosystems, at discharges points for four mine water samples (D1-D4) the on-site physicochemical analyses were performed.

Physicochemical Analyses

On-site sampling the physicochemical analysis were performed. The scope of the analysis was set due to the nature of mine waters, which are characterized by elevated temperature value and high salinity level. Using a hand measuring probe (YSI model EcoSesne), all river water samples as well as samples of coal mine water discharged into the Kłodnica were analysed for: temperature [°C], pH [-], total dissolved solids (TDS) [g/l], conductivity (SEC) [mS/cm] and salinity [g/l]. Additionally by the use of colorimetric assay MColorTest (Merck), alkalinity [mmol/l] was measured. Moreover, in order to assess the presence of pharmaceuticals in an aquatic ecosystem the chemical analysis was extended by measuring the concentration of bisphenol A (BPA) in
river water samples (laboratory analyses). Measurement of this chemical indicator allowed us to assess the impact of wastewater discharges from WWTP on the quality of river water.

Ecotoxicological Tests

The ecotoxicological assessment of river water samples was performed by the use of a biotest battery consisting of three bioassays. To take into consideration the realities of the functioning ecological systems in the study area the ecotoxicological analysis was based on a biotest battery consisting of organisms that belong to three different aquatic ecosystem trophic levels: decomposers (bacteria), producers (higher plants) and consumers (crustaceans). Key information about the tests used during the ecotoxicological analyses are summarized in Table 1.

**Lemna Minor Growth Inhibition Test**

The growth inhibition test with *Lemna minor* was performed according to OECD standard procedure [14]. According to recommendations for the preparation of environmental samples (surface water samples), the test was performed without dilution for the raw water samples in triplicate. The growth inhibition value was estimated after 168 h of exposure by calculation of a frond number. The colour of fronds as well as length and condition of roots was also assessed. The toxic effect was expressed as a percentage of root and plant growth inhibition.

**Daphnia Magna Immobilization Test**

The test was performed according to OECD standard procedure No. 202 [15] and carried out using crustacean neonates (time living <24 h). According to recommendations for the preparation of environmental samples (surface water samples), the test was performed without dilution for the raw water samples in triplicate. The duration of the test was 24 and 48 h. Respectively, after 24 and 48 h of exposure the number of immobilized organisms was recorded. For each test sample the toxic effect was expressed as a percentage of immobilization.
Vibrio Fischeri-Microtox Procedure

The test was carried out using a Microtox 500 analyzer. Within the test, reagents and test samples were handled according to the 81.9% Screening Test procedure described in the Microtox manual [16]. Test durations were 5 and 15 minutes. Respectively, after 5 min and 15 min of exposure the inhibition of bioluminescence for each analysed sample was recorded. For each exposure time the toxic effect was expressed as a percentage inhibition of bioluminescence. All calculations in the assay were performed using the standard Microtox software (MicrotoxOmni).

Toxicity Classification System

The obtained ecotoxicity data has been expressed as a percentage effect (PE), which depends on the specific effect criteria, defined in a section above respectively for each assay. The ecotoxicity data has been classified according to the hazard classification system for surface and groundwater samples developed by the Persoone team (Table 2) [13].

To indicate the quantitative importance of the toxicity obtained in individual bioassays, for each hazard class a weight score was calculated. The weight score calculation procedure assumed allocation of a test score for each bioassay of the battery. The weight scores were assigned based on assumptions present in Table 3. Final class weight scores were calculated using

| Trophic level | Organisms | Test name | Endpoint | Test duration | Type of test | Compatibility with standard |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Producers     | *Lemna minor* | OECD 221 | Growth inhibition | 168 h | chronic | SS 02 82 13 AFNOR XPT90-337 EPA 712-C-96-156 ASTM E1415-91 OECD 221 |

Consumers

| Consumers | *Daphnia magna* | OECD 202 | Immobilisation | 24 h | acute | DIN 38412 P11 EPA 600/4-90-027F EPS 1/RM/11 AFNOR XP T90-380 ISO 6341:1996 PN-EN ISO 6341:2002 OECD 202 ASTM E1193-97 ISO 10706:2000 OECD 211 AFNOR NF T90-37 |

Decomposers

| Decomposers | *Vibrio fischeri* | MICROTOX® | Bioluminescence inhibition | 5 min | acute | EPS, 1/RM/24, ISO 11348-1, 2 i 3, DIN, 38412 PN-EN ISO, 11348-2002 |

| PE | Hazard class | Hazard | Symbol |
|----|--------------|--------|--------|
| ≤20% | Class I | No acute hazard | 😊 |
| 20% ≤ PE < 50% | Class II | Slight acute hazard | 😞 |
| 50% ≤ PE < 100% | Class III | Acute hazard | 😞 |
| PE 100% in at least one test | Class IV | High acute hazard | 😞 |
| PE 100% in all tests | Class V | Very high acute hazard | 😞 |

Table 1. Characteristics of the biotest battery.

Table 2. Hazard classification system for natural waters proposed by Persoone et al. 2003 [13].
the following formulas: class weight score = (∑ all test scores)/n (n = number of test performed); class weight score in % = (class score)/(maximum class weight score) x100 [13].

Results and Discussion

The results of physicochemical analyses confirm that the Kłodnica is highly affected by municipal and mining activities. Each discharge of wastewater and mine waters into the river caused an increase in concentrations of pollution indicators such as: temperature, conductivity, total dissolved solids, salinity, and alkalinity. For example, for sample points located directly upstream and downstream of the mine water discharges, the following conductivity fluctuations were observed respectively: from 0,83 mS/cm (No. 3) to 6,27 mS/cm (No. 4) for mine water discharge from KWK Wujek ruch Śląsk (D1), and from 4,36 mS/cm (No. 7) to 13,57 mS/cm (No. 8) for mine water discharge from KWK Halemba (D2). Below the discharge of mine waters from KWK Sośnica-Makoszowy (D3) and CZOK Gliwice (D4), conductivity value increases respectively to 16,27 mS/cm (No. 10) and 13,45 mS/cm (No. 13). Each mine water inflow led to increased temperature of river water, which ranged from 13°C (No. 2) to 21°C (No. 10). Moreover, location along the river of other emission sources, closely related with increasing pollution load discharged into the river, directly affected the growth of water sample alkalinity. The alkalinity value recorded for the first sampling point (No. 1) amounted to 3,05 mmol/l, while in the last sampling point the alkalinity value reached 8,5 mmol/l (No. 13). Alkalinity of mine waters introduced into the river amounted respectively to: 3,5 mmol/l (D1); 6,5 mmol/l (D2); 7,5 mmol/l (D3) and 8,5 mmol/l (D4). The results of physicochemical analysis of raw mine water samples are summarized in the table below (Table 4).

The discharge of mine waters contributed to the increase in the salinity of the Kłodnica. Particularly, the salinity value increased after mine water discharge respectively from 0,4 g/l (No. 3) to 3,4 g/l (No. 4) for discharge of mine waters from KWK Wujek ruch Śląsk (D1) and from 2,3 (No. 7) to 7,8 g/l (No. 8) for discharge of mine waters from KWK Halemba (D2). Below the discharge of mine waters from KWK Sośnica-Makoszowy (D3) and CZOK Gliwice (D4), the river water salinity reached 9,6 g/l (No. 11). The results of physicochemical analyses of river samples are shown in Fig. 2.

The study results confirm the poor chemical status of the Kłodnica. Except for the samples collected from the spring river section (sample points located prior to wastewater discharges), any of the analysed sample do not meet the requirements for the surface water set by Articles of the Water Law Act (Dz.U.2017.1566) [17]. For most pollution indicators the limit values were exceeded.

Additionally, enrichment physicochemical analysis of the measurement of BPA concentration in river water samples indicated the relationship between discharge from WWTP and the increasing concentration of

---

Table 3. Calculation of weight score based on methodology developed by Persoone et al. [13].

| Score | Effect of each ecotoxicological test |
|-------|-------------------------------------|
| 0     | No “significant” toxic effects       |
| 1     | Significant toxic effect <PE 50     |
| 2     | Toxic effect >PE50<PE 100           |
| 3     | PE100                               |

Table 4. Characteristics of mine water discharged to the Kłodnica River.

| Parameter               | Unit | KWK “Wujek” ruch „Śląsk” | KWK “Halemba” | KWK “Sośnica-Makoszowy” | CZOK Gliwice |
|-------------------------|------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Sample No               |      | D1                        | D2            | D3                      | D4           |
| Geographical coordinates|      | X 262426.63               | 263321.86     | 266484.65               | 268963.22    |
|                         |      | Y 494855.16               | 491022.03     | 482184.49               | 478139.39    |
| Ambient temperature     | °C   | 20,0                      | 27,0          | 26,0                    | 26,0         |
| Water temperature       | °C   | 19,9                      | 23,9          | 21,0                    | 19,5         |
| SEC                     | mS/cm| 13,15                     | 23,35         | 9,22                    | 14,86        |
| TSD                     | g/l  | 8,3                       | 14,7          | 5,8                      | 9,4          |
| Salinity                | g/l  | 7,6                       | 14,1          | 5,2                      | 8,7          |
| pH                      |      | 7,40                      | 6,55          | 7,44                    | 7,25         |
| Alkalinity              | mmol/l| 4,5                       | 10,0          | 7,2                      | 8,3          |
pharmaceuticals (BPA) in surface water. The BPA concentration range in analysed samples was maintained in the range from 0 ng/l (No. 2) to 141 ng/l (No. 5). A significant increase of BPA concentration in water samples was recorded for the sampling points located directly downstream of the discharge into the receiver of treated wastewater from WWTP. For example, the impact of municipal wastewater to surface water quality can be observed for measuring points 2 and 3, located respectively above and below the discharge point from WWTP, for which the BPA concentration in water increased from 0 ng/l (No. 2) to 76 ng/l (No. 3).

The results of ecotoxicological analyses are shown in Table 5. The bioassay results show that analysed samples do not cause toxic effects with respect to freshwater crustaceans (*Daphnia magna*). The relationship between induced ecotoxicological effects and point of waste water discharges can be found only in the case of the phytotoxicity test, for sampling points 4 and 11, for which the growth inhibition of *Lemna minor* amounted to respectively 25% and 50%. A high level of growth inhibition of *Lemna minor* root was

**Table 5. Results of ecotoxicological analyses.**

| Samples Number | Bacterial (*Vibrio fischeri*) % effects (PE) 5 min | 15 min | Test score | Higher pants** (Lemna minor L.) % effects (PE) Plant | Root | Test score 24 h | 48 h | Test score | Crustaceans** (*Daphnia magna*) % effects (PE) 24 h | 48 h | Class |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|----------------|------|------------|-----------------------------------------------|------|-------|
| № 1            | -12,8                                        | -17,0  | 0          | 0                                             | -122,0 | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 2            | -11,7                                        | -20,5  | 0          | 0                                             | 50,0   | 2             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class II|
| № 3            | -19,4                                        | -25,1  | 0          | 0                                             | -33,0  | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 4            | -30,4                                        | -38,0  | 25,0       | -128,0                                        | 1     | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 5            | -3,6                                         | -11,5  | 0          | 0                                             | -72,0  | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 6            | -0,6                                         | -7,5   | 0          | -25,0                                         | -300,0 | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 7            | -17,2                                        | -30,0  | 0          | 0                                             | -128,0 | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 8            | -7,3                                         | -13,8  | 0          | 0                                             | -156,0 | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 9            | -36,3                                        | -51,0  | 0          | 0                                             | -72,0  | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 10           | -9,2                                         | -22,7  | 0          | -25,0                                         | -156,0 | 0             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |
| № 11           | -12,8                                        | -30,5  | 0          | 50,0                                          | 83,0   | 2             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class III |
| № 12           | -7,5                                         | -22,0  | 0          | 0                                             | 89,0   | 2             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class III |
| № 13           | -6,82                                        | -13,2  | 0          | 0                                             | 56,0   | 2             | 0    | 0          | 0                                             | 0    | Class I |

* Simplified methodology based on OECD guidelines No 221; ** Simplified methodology based on OECD guidelines No 202
observed for samples 11-13. It should be noted that the highest inhibition values were observed for samples collected from the points located in the final section of study area (56% for sample 13 and 89% for sample 12). Obtained results confirm the presence in the aquatic environment of high pollution loads, which as a result of ongoing interaction can caused cumulative toxic effects.

The analysed water samples did not affect the inhibition of *Vibrio fischeri* bioluminescence. However, test results obtained after 5 and 15 minutes of exposure show that environmental changes caused by human activity affect the stimulation of the natural metabolic processes of tested bacteria (*Vibrio fischeri*) in the range 7.5% (No. 6) to 51.0% (No. 9). The relationship between the stimulation of bioluminescence of *Vibrio fischeri* and the conductivity values is shown in Fig. 3. As demonstrated in the literature [18], the stimulation of bioluminescence is probably caused by the presence in the environment of high concentrations of potassium (K$^+$) and sodium (Na$^+$) ions. High potassium concentration plays a key role in the process of transcription of bioluminescence genes of *P. phosphoreum*, and often is achieved by a high sodium concentration through the Na/K pomp [18]. Therefore, the observed effect could be caused by high concentrations of potassium and sodium ions present in the mine waters in large quantities. The phytotoxicty and MICROTOX test results confirm that the Kłodnica has an ecotoxicological potential to aquatic organisms closely connected with the place and scale of industrial discharges. The MICROTOX test results confirmed that the used bioassay is a sensitive method that allows us to assess the potential environmental damage caused by municipal and industrial discharges. The effectiveness of the MICROTOX technique has been widely described in the literature [19-21].

According to the hazard classification methodology, most of analysed samples were classified as non-toxic (Class I). Due to the phytotoxicity test results, two of the analysed samples were classified as Class II (Nos. 2 and 4), and another two were classified as Class III (Nos. 11 and 12) (Table 5).

**Conclusions**

Research results clearly indicate that disposal of mine water from coal mines located in the study area (USCBR) is associated with pollution-related changes to the water quality properties – particularly with respect to its physicochemical parameters. Mine waters discharged into the Kłodnica within the study area at four points (KWK Wujek ruch Śląsk, KWK Halemba, KWK Sośnica-Makoszowy and CZOK Co.) caused significant modification to water chemistry. The physicochemical properties of water, downstream from each mine, were different. However, analysing the obtained data, three common trends can be found: water downstream from the discharge was more saline and had higher conductivity and TDS concentration.

Results of physicochemical analyses indicate that each identified mine water discharge could be considered water pollution, which can cause irreversible modification in water chemistry. High levels of contaminants present in mine waters in combination with water drainage system who determines the “jumping” dosage of pollutants into aquatic ecosystems may cause serious stress to an aquatic ecosystem. The negative impact of salinity on aquatic ecosystems has been the subject of previous studies [22, 23]. Obtained bioassay results confirm that the Kłodnica has a toxic potential closely connected with the place and scale of industrial discharge. The MICROTOX test results confirmed that the used bioassay is a sensitive method that allows us to assess the potential environmental damage caused by municipal and industrial discharges. Higher bioluminescence stimulation coincide with the area of influence of mine water discharges from active coal mines like KWK Wujek, KWK Halemba, and KWK Sośnica-Makoszowy, and from central mine...
dewatering company (e.g., CZOK Gliwice). The results indicate that the MICRITOX bioassay is an appropriate tool to permit risk assessment studies at the screening level.

The regulations concerning the discharge of mine water into rivers currently fails to impose any discharge salinity limits on mine waters or on any other significant type of pollution (e.g., major cation or anion) [24]. The area of legal regulation in respect to any discharge of mine water requires further examination. In view of the study results, as well as bearing in mind the guidelines of the WFD in particular, the achievement of good water status of the Kłodnica, there is still a need for further research to provide evidence for authorities on direct and cumulative impacts of water chemistry changes on aquatic ecosystems – particularly for waterways with high conservation value. Due to the poor chemical and ecological state of the Kłodnica and the risk of not achieving the required environmental effects, national and local authorities should take all necessary steps to counteract the untreated municipal and industrial discharges by installing adequate wastewater treatment systems. Furthermore, new permission for the industrial users should be given only for companies, which through the application of appropriate treatment techniques meet environmental standards in terms of quality of discharged wastewater. Moreover, it is important to point out that the presence of heavy metals and radionuclides, which are often present in mine water at high concentrations, has not been considered during the analyses. Therefore, both the assessment of the quality of water for the presence of these impurities, as well as evaluation of their potential negative environmental impact should be the subject of further research.
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