Genetic diversity among accessions of acerola based on the quality of fruits
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Abstract

Knowledge of the quality of fruits and the genetic variability among genotypes of acerola is important to optimize the use of genetic resources in improvement programs. The objective of the present study was to assess physico-chemical characteristics to determine genetic divergence among 24 genotypes of acerola. Sixty ripe fruits were collected per plant in a commercial orchard in the municipality of Jequitibá-MG. They were assessed in two production seasons with regard to their physical, chemical, and physico-chemical characteristics. Multivariate analysis of the genetic divergence among the genotypes was performed using principal component analysis, Tocher’s clustering method, and the nearest-neighbor hierarchical method, using the standardized mean Euclidean distance as the measure of dissimilarity. Genotypes 13, 20, and 23 exhibited means that were higher than the overall mean values for the main characteristics (fruit mass, ratio, and vitamin C content). Genotypes 3, 6, 8, 21, and 24 stood out with regard to fruit mass and ratio, i.e., they were larger and sweeter. Genotype 16 stood out with regard to fruit mass and vitamin C content. Results obtained using the methods were comparable, and the methods were effective in discriminating between the genotypes. This indicated variability, with potential for use in improvement programs. Based on the mean values for the chemical and physical characteristics of fruits and considering the genetic divergence among the genotypes, it was possible to suggest nine crosses to generate a future improved population, namely between genotype 11 and genotypes 3, 6, 8, 13, 16, 20, 21, 23, and 24.

Keywords: ascorbic acid, Malpighia emarginata D. C., genetic improvement

Introduction

Brazil has ideal conditions for the cultivation of acerola and is one of the world’s largest producers of this fruit, which is notable for its high vitamin C content and for being a source of carotenoids, provitamin A, thiamin, riboflavin, niacin, and minerals such as calcium, iron, and phosphorous. Acerola may be consumed fresh or used in the processing of several food products, especially in the pharmaceutical industry, and as a food supplement (Segtowick et al., 2013; Reis et al., 2017). There has been a recent increase in the demand for acerola in domestic and international markets, especially due to the promotion of functional food consumption, which has boosted the planting of new orchards (Ferreira et al. 2009, Ritzinger & Ritzinger, 2011).

As a result of this expansion, the genetic variability that exists in commercial orchards of acerola, which is mostly the result of seed propagation, is associated with some disadvantages such as the segregation of plant and fruit characteristics, resulting in highly heterogeneous orchards in terms of quality and quantity. This hinders farming practices, in particular the farms’ harvesting systems (Moura et al., 2007; Oliveira et al., 2009). The lack of established commercial varieties that ensure high fruit quality and yield reflects the need to genetically improve the crop.
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Commercial production requires that producers be provided with adapted genetic materials with superior characteristics that favor production. Studies on fruit and seed morphology and chemical characterization of fruit pulp are used to aid programs of pre-improvement of non-domesticated species (Moura et al., 2013) and to determine the genetic variability among individuals or accessions of a population (Almeida Júnior et al., 2014).

Therefore, together with the analysis of the performance of the accessions, studies on genetic divergence are of great importance for improvement programs because they assess variability among accessions (Silva et al., 2017) and provide information for the identification of mother plants that can be used in crosses with a higher probability of obtaining superior progeny in the segregating generations. Genetic diversity may be studied using various methods such as the use of molecular markers (Almeida et al., 2011), the coefficient of parentage (Costa e Silva et al., 2014), and multivariate analysis (Assis et al., 2014).

Genetic divergence has been assessed through predictive biometric techniques that consider the morphological and physiological differences between parent materials (Cruz et al., 2012). The predictive techniques available include principal component analysis, Tocher’s clustering method, and the nearest-neighbor hierarchical method.

The objective of this study was to assess the genetic divergence among different accessions of acerola by qualitative analyses of fruits to identify superior materials that can be used in future improvement programs.

Material and methods

The experimental material was obtained from a 15-year-old commercial acerola orchard, in the municipality of Jequitibá-MG, located at latitude 19°15’S and longitude 44°15’W and at an altitude of 644 m. The mean annual temperature is 20.2 °C, the mean annual rainfall is 1328.7 mm, and the climate is humid tropical (IGA, 2012). The orchard had approximately 800 plants of acerola and exhibited phenotypic variability, the result of sexual propagation of materials collected from various localities. The area does not have an irrigation system and all plants in the orchard were under the same soil conditions and under the cultivation practices recommended for this crop. Among these plants, 24 were pre-selected for the study based on their history, vigor, yield, and health. For each accession, 60 fruits per plant were randomly collected at the physiological maturation stage, which was determined by their intense red color, in two production seasons. After harvesting, the fruits were adequately packaged, labeled, and sent to the Laboratory of Plant Production at the Sete Lagoas campus of the Federal University of São João Del Rei, to be assessed.

The following characteristics were evaluated in each of the two production seasons, according to the methodologies proposed by the Adolfo Lutz Institute (IAL, 2008): mean fruit mass in g (FM), mean seed mass in g (SM), and mean pulp mass in g (PM) (all measured with digital precision scales); pulp yield in % (PY); volume in mL (VO), obtained by immersing the fruits in a graduated cylinder with water; longitudinal diameter of the fruit in mm (LD) and transverse diameter of the fruit in mm (TD) (both measured with a digital pachymeter); shape index (SI) (the ratio between the longitudinal diameter and the transverse diameter); hydrogen ionic potential (pH); titratable acidity in % of malic acid (TA), determined by titration; total soluble solids in °Brix (TSS), obtained by refractometry; ratio (the ratio between soluble solids and titratable acidity); fruit pulp moisture in % (PM), calculated based on the fresh and dry masses of the fruit pulp, which were determined before and after drying in a vacuum oven at 70 °C until constant weight.

The method described by Benlloch et al. (1993), using a SHIMADZU high-performance liquid chromatographer (CLAE) with a UV-visible detector, was used to determine the ascorbic acid content (vitamin C) and the results were expressed in mg of ascorbic acid per 100 g of fresh pulp.

The mean of the values obtained in the two production seasons for each characteristic of the different accessions of acerola assessed were subjected to descriptive analysis and the results were expressed as mean, standard deviation,
and coefficient of variation. Genetic divergence among the accessions was studied using principal component analysis, the nearest-neighbor hierarchical method, and Tocher’s optimization method. The standardized mean Euclidean distance was used as a measure of dissimilarity. The cophenetic correlation coefficient (r) was used to calculate the dendrogram and Pearson’s correlation. All analyses were performed using the GENES software (Cruz, 2013).

**Results and discussion**

Variability was observed among the 24 accessions based on the quality of the fruits (Table 1). This variability may be exploited to select better individuals to be used as clonal varieties or as the basis for the selection of parents to be used in crosses.

The means of fruit mass of the accessions varied between 2.63 and 6.51 g (Table 1). In 79.17% of the evaluated accessions, the mean fruit mass was greater than 4 g. Moura et al. (2007) evaluated 45 clones of acerola and reported a mass variation between 3.33 and 11.75 g, whereas Santos et al. (2012) assessed fruits from various localities and obtained a range between 5.45 and 7.21 g. Lima et al. (2014) reported a range between 4.03 and 7.10 g among six accessions of acerola in the region of Muzambinho-MG. These variations are probably due to the different edaphoclimatic conditions of the crop environments and the intrinsic genetic differences between the analyzed materials.

The mean seed mass ranged between 0.53 and 1.59 g and the mean pulp mass varied between 2.10 and 5.18 g. These results differed from those obtained by Carpentieri-Pípolo et al. (2000). They analyzed 14 genotypes of acerola and the seed and pulp means ranged between 0.61 and 2.90 g and between 2.28 and 7.28 g, respectively. The mean fruit volume of the accessions was between 2.00 and 5.68 mL. According to Gonzaga et al. (1999), the larger the fruits, the easier and quicker the harvest because there is less labor involved and, consequently, reduced production costs, and the fruits are more attractive for consumption.

Pulp yield ranged between 67.17% and 81.76%, which is a satisfactory result for the pulp processing industry. These values are within the range reported by Carpentieri-Pípolo et al. (2000), who obtained means between 61.36 and 87.78%. Maciel et al. (2010) assessed 18 genotypes of acerola and reported yield means between 41.06% and 72.54%. According to these authors, this is an essential quality characteristic of acerola destined for processing because it directly affects the cost/benefit ratio.

The longitudinal diameter of the fruits varied between 16.56 and 22.42 mm and the transverse diameter ranged between 17.59 and 25.48 mm. These values are greater than those obtained by Lima et al. (2014), who reported a mean longitudinal diameter between 15.39 and 20.05 mm and a transverse diameter between 16.67 and 23.86 mm. However, these variations were similar to those indicated by Santos et al. (2012), who obtained longitudinal and transverse diameters varying between 17.91 and 21.48 mm and between 22.33 and 24.04 mm, respectively.

The shape index, which is expressed by the ratio between the longitudinal and transverse diameters, ranged between 0.80 and 0.95, a result that confirms that acerola has a subglobose shape. The closer the shape index is to 1.0, the more the fruits tend to have a round shape. The values obtained are in line with those reported by Lima et al. (2014). Fruit diameter and shape index are important attributes for the markets because they affect the attractiveness of the fruit and, therefore, the consumer’s choice of individual fruits.

The mean pH ranged between 2.97 and 3.75. This result is similar to those obtained by Mariano-Nasser et al. (2017) (2.85 – 3.39), Batista et al. (2015) (3.15 – 3.30), and Santos et al. (2012) (3.3 – 3.52). This parameter is a factor of great influence on the quality and safety of food products and its assessment is very important in the industrial processing of fruit pulp because it gives an indication of its conservation potential, which is demonstrated by the developed acidity.

With regard to soluble solids, the mean values were between 5.56 and 8.55 °Brix. These values are higher than those obtained by Santos et al. (2012), who reported means between 4.7 and 5.3 °Brix. The variations presented in that study are within the range for soluble solids described
Univariate analysis of the quality of acerola fruits: Mean (M), minimum value (Min), maximum value (Max), coefficient of variation (CV), and standard deviation (SD) of the characteristics of the fruits of the acerola accessions in two production seasons

| Access | FM | SM | PM | PY | VO | LD | TD | SI | pH | TSS | TA | Ratio | PM | VIT.C |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|----|-------|----|-------|
| 1      | 2.99 | 0.55 | 2.44 | 81.41 | 2.42 | 17.21 | 19.03 | 0.90 | 3.54 | 6.95 | 1.58 | 4.64 | 90.04 | 1,184.90 |
| 2      | 4.52 | 0.94 | 3.58 | 79.18 | 3.88 | 19.25 | 21.23 | 0.90 | 3.37 | 5.56 | 1.00 | 5.90 | 90.77 | 902.29  |
| 3      | 4.87 | 1.12 | 3.76 | 76.94 | 4.49 | 19.71 | 22.45 | 0.88 | 3.33 | 6.01 | 0.96 | 6.90 | 92.27 | 1,051.29 |
| 4      | 4.12 | 0.92 | 3.19 | 77.43 | 3.32 | 19.70 | 20.82 | 0.95 | 3.31 | 7.70 | 1.07 | 7.59 | 90.51 | 1,039.10 |
| 5      | 4.10 | 1.14 | 2.96 | 72.10 | 3.31 | 21.35 | 19.10 | 0.91 | 3.48 | 7.13 | 1.05 | 6.89 | 91.05 | 874.24  |
| 6      | 6.17 | 1.33 | 4.84 | 78.00 | 5.25 | 21.17 | 24.76 | 0.85 | 3.62 | 6.31 | 1.04 | 6.14 | 91.46 | 900.41  |
| 7      | 4.52 | 1.18 | 3.34 | 74.09 | 3.83 | 19.35 | 21.56 | 0.90 | 3.26 | 7.53 | 1.87 | 4.88 | 91.89 | 1,043.10 |
| 8      | 4.97 | 0.92 | 3.29 | 81.76 | 5.58 | 19.70 | 23.25 | 0.86 | 3.71 | 6.55 | 0.86 | 6.63 | 92.33 | 826.62  |
| 9      | 5.64 | 1.12 | 4.52 | 80.40 | 5.05 | 20.62 | 23.99 | 0.86 | 3.27 | 7.26 | 1.62 | 4.65 | 91.19 | 1,084.30 |
| 10     | 3.62 | 0.84 | 2.79 | 76.88 | 3.50 | 17.40 | 20.87 | 0.83 | 2.97 | 6.71 | 1.35 | 5.01 | 91.95 | 1,359.95 |
| 11     | 2.63 | 0.53 | 2.10 | 77.97 | 2.00 | 16.56 | 17.59 | 0.94 | 3.04 | 8.55 | 3.13 | 3.01 | 90.29 | 1,721.80 |
| 12     | 3.99 | 0.89 | 3.09 | 76.76 | 3.22 | 18.20 | 20.38 | 0.90 | 3.41 | 6.66 | 1.72 | 4.07 | 90.77 | 1,381.20 |
| 13     | 5.29 | 1.22 | 4.07 | 76.63 | 4.42 | 19.93 | 22.95 | 0.87 | 3.75 | 6.24 | 1.06 | 5.92 | 90.11 | 1,111.90 |
| 14     | 4.30 | 0.86 | 3.44 | 79.95 | 3.58 | 19.29 | 21.62 | 0.89 | 3.68 | 5.75 | 1.29 | 5.06 | 91.25 | 1,227.80 |
| 15     | 4.42 | 0.93 | 3.49 | 78.87 | 3.70 | 17.98 | 22.07 | 0.82 | 3.30 | 7.15 | 1.52 | 4.72 | 90.55 | 1,432.60 |
| 16     | 5.26 | 1.28 | 3.98 | 74.47 | 4.08 | 19.98 | 22.85 | 0.88 | 3.31 | 8.03 | 1.83 | 4.97 | 91.36 | 1,231.70 |
| 17     | 4.11 | 0.87 | 3.24 | 78.80 | 3.84 | 20.05 | 21.08 | 0.95 | 3.26 | 6.03 | 1.15 | 5.33 | 92.36 | 1,126.80 |
| 18     | 5.92 | 1.45 | 4.47 | 75.28 | 5.04 | 22.42 | 21.12 | 0.93 | 3.51 | 6.51 | 1.58 | 4.49 | 90.95 | 1,128.50 |
| 19     | 3.50 | 1.12 | 2.37 | 67.17 | 2.81 | 17.92 | 19.57 | 0.92 | 3.32 | 8.14 | 1.68 | 5.19 | 90.11 | 1,364.47 |
| 20     | 4.69 | 0.95 | 3.74 | 79.66 | 3.46 | 19.98 | 21.83 | 0.92 | 3.43 | 6.18 | 0.98 | 6.67 | 91.57 | 1,266.70 |
| 21     | 6.20 | 1.59 | 4.62 | 74.38 | 4.21 | 20.03 | 23.24 | 0.80 | 3.04 | 6.29 | 1.69 | 4.21 | 91.13 | 1,323.90 |
| 22     | 4.03 | 0.98 | 3.06 | 75.70 | 4.00 | 18.98 | 20.91 | 0.91 | 3.38 | 8.46 | 2.20 | 5.93 | 90.33 | 1,178.40 |
| 23     | 4.77 | 1.04 | 3.73 | 78.22 | 4.08 | 19.15 | 22.50 | 0.85 | 3.57 | 7.08 | 0.93 | 8.31 | 92.24 | 1,823.40 |
| 24     | 6.51 | 1.33 | 5.18 | 77.97 | 5.68 | 21.93 | 25.48 | 0.86 | 3.35 | 6.99 | 1.19 | 5.90 | 92.32 | 994.36  |

M: Mean; FM: Mean fruit mass (g); SM: Mean seed mass (g); PM: Mean pulp mass (g); PY: Pulp yield (%); VO: Volume (ml); LD: Longitudinal diameter of the fruit (mm); TD: Transverse diameter of the fruit (mm); SI: Shape index; Ratio: Ratio between the longitudinal and transverse diameters; pH: Hydrogen ions potential; TA: Titratable acidity (% of mass of acid); TSS: Total soluble solids (%Brix). Ratio: Ratio between soluble solids and titratable acidity. PM: percentage of pulp mass moisture; and VIT.C: mg of ascorbic acid per 100 g of fresh fruit pulp mass of the acerola accessions.

The ratio means varied between 3.01 and 8.31, with a mean of 5.46. These results are in line with those obtained by Santos et al. (2012). The ratio between soluble solids and titratable acidity indicates the degree of balance between the fruit’s sugar and organic acid content and is directly related to fruit flavor (Chitarra & Chitarra, 2005). Therefore, it is an important variable in the selection of table varieties, because the higher the ratio, the sweeter the fruits.

The mean moisture content of the fruits varied between 90.04% and 92.36%. According to Souza et al. (2008), this factor has great influence on the shelf life of food products because high moisture levels may lead to microbial growth and deterioration in the short term.

Vitamin C content varied between 802.62 mg and 1,823.44 mg per 100 g of fresh pulp. Moura et al. (2007) analyzed 45 clones of acerola and obtained values between 500.90 mg and 1,854.92 mg per 100 g of fresh pulp. These results refer to ripe fruits. Because vitamin C content is of interest to the pharmaceutical...
industry, it is advantageous to harvest the fruits before ripening as, according to Ferreira et al., 2009, the ascorbic acid content in acerola fruit decreases as ripening progresses.

Accessions 13, 20, and 23 stood out with regard to fruit mass, the primary component of the production yield characteristic; ratio, which affects the palatability of fruits; and vitamin C content, an important attribute for nutrition and for the acceptance of acerola in the external markets. The means of these three characteristics in these accessions were higher than the overall mean values. Accessions 3, 6, 8, and 24 stood out with regard to fruit mass and ratio and accessions 16 and 21 were superior with regard to fruit mass and vitamin C content (Table 1). These accessions have potential for use as clonal varieties and also in future crosses because they were superior in at least two of the most important agronomic characteristics or stood out in at least one of them (Oliveira et al., 2009). Moreover, the pulp yield of these nine accessions was greater than 74%, which is the determinant for industrial yield.

The Pearson’s coefficients of correlation were significant for several pairs of characteristics involving fruit mass, seed mass, pulp mass, volume, longitudinal diameter, and total soluble solids (Table 2).

The estimates of the correlation between the variables ranged between -0.4057 for pH x TSS and 0.9836 for FM x TD.

The highest correlations (higher than 0.90) were obtained between FM and PM (0.9778).

Table 2. Pearson’s correlations between the characteristics of the fruits of the acerola accessions in two production seasons

|           | SM  | FM  | PM  | SM  | PM  | PY  | VO  | LD  | TD  | SI  | pH  | TSS | TA  | Ratio | PM  |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|
| SM        | 0.856 ** |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| FM        | 0.9778 ** | 0.7848 ** |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| PM        | 0.9786 ** | 0.9531 ** | 0.9015 ** | 0.7936 ** |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| PY        | 0.0963 | -0.4172 ** | 0.1963 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| VO        | 0.8815 ** | 0.6553 ** | 0.8328 ** | 0.2534 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| LD        | 0.8197 ** | 0.7522 ** | 0.7901 ** | -0.0164 | 0.7532 ** |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| TD        | 0.9836 ** | 0.8276 ** | 0.9531 ** | 0.1362 | 0.9015 ** | 0.7936 ** |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| SI        | -0.4799 ** | -0.3742 ** | -0.4624 ** | -0.1491 | -0.431 *** | -0.0199 | -0.5763 ** |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| pH        | 0.2199 | 0.0552 | 0.1911 | 0.2497 | 0.3311 | 0.3356 | 0.2155 | 0.0591 |     |     |     |     |     |       |      |
| TSS       | 0.3311 | -0.431 * | 0.2033 | -0.2569 | 0.8197 ** | -0.355 | 0.0591 | 0.1963 | -0.2821 | -0.1031 | 0.4801 ** | -0.5687 ** | 0.355 | -0.1214 | -0.2533 | 0.366 | 0.4451 ** | -0.2294 | -0.2136

FM: Mean fruit mass (g); SM: Mean seed mass (g); PM: Mean pulp mass (g); PY: Pulp yield (%); VO: Volume (mL); LD: Longitudinal diameter of the fruit (mm); TD: Transverse diameter of the fruit (mm); SI (shape index): Ratio between the longitudinal diameter and the transverse diameter; pH: Hydrogen ionic potential; TA: Titratable acidity in % of malic acid; TSS: Total soluble solids in °Brix.; Ratio: Ratio between soluble solids and titratable acidity; PM: Percentage of fruit pulp moisture; and Vit. C: mg of ascorbic acid per 100 g of fresh mass of fruit pulp of the acerola accessions. ** and *: Significant at 1 and 5% of probability, respectively, by the t-test.
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Table 3. Groups formed using Tocher’s optimization method, based on the standardized mean Euclidean distance of the acerola accessions

| Group | Accessions          |
|-------|---------------------|
| I     | 7, 16, 22, 15, 12, 10, 17, 20, 14, 3, 5, 4, 9, 13, 18, 6, 8, 23, 21, 24 |
| II    | 19                  |
| III   | 1                   |
| IV    | 2                   |
| V     | 11                  |

The dendrogram obtained by the nearest-neighbor method (Figure 1), shows the formation of three main groups, with the cut-off point at 80% of the total distance. The first and second groups isolated accessions 11 and 2, respectively. The third group was composed of the remaining accessions. The cophenetic correlation coefficient was 0.7101, i.e., greater than 0.7, the value that indicates an adequate fit of the clustering method. These results are in line with those obtained with Tocher’s method since they confirm the high divergence between accessions 19, 1, 2, and 11 and the other accessions.

Several clustering methods, such as Tocher’s, Ward’s, nearest-neighbor, and UPGMA methods, as well as physical and chemical characteristics, have been widely used in studies on the genetic diversity of fruit species (Reis et al., 2017; Matias et al., 2016; Silva et al., 2014; Pereira da Silva et al., 2012; Rufini et al., 2011).

In general, researchers have opted for graphical representation when 80% of the total variation of the data is explained by up to the first four principal components (Cruz et al., 2012). For better visualization, the first three principal components were used for graphical representation, which were sufficient to explain more than 74% of the observed variation (Table 4). The first principal component explained 45.76% of the variation, with the variable fruit mass having the highest weightage. The second component (seed mass) explained 15.61% of the variation and the last component (pulp mass) explained 12.90% of the variation. Accessions close together in the factorial plane are less divergent than accessions that are far apart (Figure 2). The distribution of the accessions allowed confirmation of the individualization of the accessions obtained with Tocher’s and nearest-neighbor methods.
Table 4. Estimation of eigenvalues and the proportion of variance explained by the principal components obtained from the analysis of assessed acerola accession traits

| Components                | % Variance | % Accumulated |
|---------------------------|------------|---------------|
| Fruit mass                | 45.76      | 45.76         |
| Seed mass                 | 15.61      | 61.37         |
| Pulp mass                 | 12.90      | 74.28         |
| Pulp yield                | 7.31       | 81.59         |
| Volume                    | 6.41       | 87.99         |
| Longitudinal diameter     | 4.66       | 92.65         |
| Transverse diameter       | 2.97       | 95.62         |
| Shape index               | 2.23       | 97.84         |
| pH                        | 1.32       | 99.17         |
| Titratable acidity        | 0.50       | 99.66         |
| Total soluble solids      | 0.21       | 99.87         |
| Ratio                     | 0.08       | 99.95         |
| Pulp moisture             | 0.03       | 99.98         |
| Vitamin C                 | 0.02       | 100.00        |

Figure 2. Distribution of the 14 acerola accessions evaluated with regard to the first three principal components.

Crosses should be indicated based on the means of the accessions’ characteristics and the divergence among the accessions. Thus, accessions 1, 2, 11, and 19 were consistently divergent from the remaining accessions by Tocher’s (Table 2) and nearest-neighbor methods (Figure 1), both based on the standardized mean Euclidean distance and by the principal component method (Figure 2). Accessions 1, 2, and 19 should not be used in future crosses because they do not have relevant agronomic characteristics (Table 1). Accession 11 stood out for its vitamin C content (1,721.80 mg per 100 g of pulp), which was considerably higher than the reference value for the acceptance of acerola for pulp production (a minimum of 800 mg of ascorbic acid per 100 g of pulp according to the Brazilian legislation). For this reason, this accession could be used in the crop’s genetic improvement program.

Thus, to obtain an improved population with good agronomic mean values and that capitalizes the favorable effects of genetic complementation, it is recommended that crosses be made between accession 11 and accessions 3, 6, 8, 13, 16, 20, and 23.
Conclusions

There is diversity among the acerola accessions with regard to the quality characteristics of the fruits.

Accessions 13, 20, and 23 have potential for improvement programs aiming for productivity, based on the characteristics pulp mass, chemical quality, and the ratio between total soluble solids and total titratable acidity.

Accession 11 stood out with regard to vitamin C content and because it diverges genetically from the remaining accessions.

The formation of an improved population with good agronomic mean values and that capitalizes the favorable effects of genetic complementation should involve crosses between accession 11 and accessions 3, 6, 8, 13, 16, 20, 21, 23, and 24.
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