Insulin Induced Down Regulation of the Progesterone Receptor Number in Neutrophils in the Synthesis of Maspin in Breast Cancer

Abstract

Purpose: The binding of either progesterone or insulin to their specific receptors on neutrophils has been reported to stimulate nitric oxide (NO) induced maspin synthesis in these cells. Experiments were carried out to determine the role of progesterone receptor interaction in the nitric oxide induced maspin synthesis in neutrophils that was preincubated with insulin.

Methods: progesterone receptor positive (PR+), progesterone receptor negative (PR−) neutrophils were isolated from the blood cancer subjects. Maspin was determined by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay after in vitro translation of maspin mRNA. NO was determined by methemoglobin method.

Results: Immunohistochemical studies of progesterone receptor (PR) demonstrated the presence of progesterone receptor in the normal peripheral neutrophils and less in number in PR+ breast cancer neutrophils. In contrast, PR− breast cancer neutrophils lacked the progesterone receptor, suggesting pathophysiological defects in the synthesis of PR protein in peripheral PR− neutrophils.

It was also found that as a result of incubation of neutrophils with insulin the binding affinity for progesterone to its receptor in normal neutrophils remained essentially unchanged which demonstrated Kd = 47.619 nM compared to Kd of the binding of progesterone is 46.08 nM in the normal neutrophils that were not pretreated with insulin. The progesterone receptors which were 11.5×10^10/cell in the untreated cells was found to be decreased to 8.2×10^10/cell (p<0.005, n=6) after the same cell were treated with 200µU of insulin.

The reduction of PR number on normal neutrophils due to the pretreatment with insulin resulted in the decreased NO induced maspin synthesis from 2.329± 0.012 nM to 1.410± 0.002 nM. Decreased PR number in PR+ breast cancer neutrophils due to disease condition and pretreatment with insulin reduced the maspin synthesis from 1.138± 0.024 nM to 0.555± 0.003 nM compared to normal control.

Conclusion: These results suggested that insulin down regulated maspin synthesis in normal and in breast cancer neutrophils by decreasing the progesterone receptor number in both cases.
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Introduction

It is reported that a classical model for progesterone dependant condition is the breast cancer tissue in women [1]. However the hormonal aberrations that promote the development of breast cancer remain unclear [2], and the breast cancer risk is associated with the phases like the early onset of menarche, late menopause or post menopausal obesity [3,4]. The progesterone has been both positively and negatively related to the development of the malignancy [1].

The expression of the effect of the steroid hormones is reported to be mediated through the binding of the hormones to the nuclear receptors, and a DNA binding domain which recognizes a sequence of DNA known as hormone responsive elements (HRE) [5].

The progesterone receptor status has prognostic significance [6]. The breast cancer tumors that had no receptors of progesterone are reported to results in worse prognostic outcome than those in cases where the receptor of this hormone was present [7]. On the basis of presence progesterone receptor in the lesion the breast cancer patients are classified into two groups: (1) progesterone receptor positive (PR+) and (2) Progesterone receptor negative (PR−). The presence of progesterone receptor (PR+) is a better prognostic indicator for the breast cancers [8]. In contrast the progesterone receptor negative (PR−) tumors have been reported
to have a poorer prognosis than that of the progesterone receptor positive (PR+) tumors [9]. It is seen that in patients with PR+ tumors the occurrence of metastases is 3-6 times less probable than in patients with PR− tumors [10]. The clinical data indicated that the PR− breast cancers are less sensitive to therapy than those with PR+ tumors, and PR− patients had a shorter Disease free interval than those of PR+ patients [11].

Taking these reports together it could be suggested that progesterone plays important role in both the control of breast cancer, and in the better prognostic outcome of the malignancy [1].

As discussed above although progesterone and its receptors could have critical role in the development and in the prognostic outcome of the human breast cancer, the role of this steroid in relation to the receptor ligand interaction in the synthesis of maspin remains obscure and contradictory [12].

The physiologic events that influence the effect of one ligand through its binding to its own receptors resulted in the binding and in the consequent effect of a second ligand to its own receptors are generally called “cross talk” between the receptors [13-17]. We report here that progesterone and insulin were capable of stimulating maspin synthesis through the production of NO in neutrophils. The results of the investigation that suggested the existence of “cross talk” between the receptors of insulin with those of the progesterone in neutrophils, in the context of NO induced maspin synthesis effected by the steroid and the possible pathological implication of the crosstalk in the synthesis of the anti breast cancer protein in human breast cancer are presented herein [18-20].

Materials and Methods

Ethical clearance

The protocol used in the study was approved by the Internal Review Board, Sinha Institute of Medical Science and Technology. Appropriate permission was also obtained from the I.R.B. for the use of rabbits in the studies.

Chemicals

Recombinant Human maspin (rh Maspin) was a kind gift of Dr. Sally Twining, Dept. of Biochemistry, Medical College of Wisconsin, USA. ELISA maxisorp plates were obtained from NUNC, Denmark. Progesterone and other chemicals used were from Sigma Chemical Co.USA. Progesterone antibody was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific, NY, USA.

Preparation of Progesterone and Insulin solution

Progesterone and Insulin solution were prepared by dissolving the compounds in 0.9% NaCl, at pH 7.4.

Selection of patients with breast cancer and normal volunteers

Only female breast cancer patients between 35-65 years (mean 45years, n=20), participated in the study. None of them had received any therapy. Equal number of age matched normal female volunteers compared to that of the selected breast cancer subjects were asked to kindly participate in the study.

Determination of progesterone receptor status of neutrophils from breast cancer subjects

PR statuses were determined by immunohistochemical techniques using fluorescence tagged antibodies and cells were observed and photographed. The neutrophils of the breast cancer subjects were classified as PR+ neutrophils or PR− neutrophils [19].

Collection of blood

The blood samples (20-25ml) were collected by venipuncture and anticoagulated by gently mixing 1vol.of 0.13M sodium citrate with 9 vol. of blood [20].

Immunization of the animals

Polyclonal antibodies against r-human maspin, progesterone, were raised by repeated immunization in White New Zealand rabbit [21].

Assay of NO

Nitric oxide formation was assayed by methemoglobin method by following the protocol described before by using a Beckman Spectrophotometer [22].

Preparation of neutrophil suspension and the incubation of the isolated neutrophils with progesterone and insulin

Neutrophils isolated from the citrated blood samples suspended in HBBS buffer at pH 7.4 (6 ×10⁸ cells/L) [23] were incubated for 2.5h at 23°C with 200µU of porcine insulin to reach equilibrium then were again incubated with different concentrations of progesterone and another set of neutrophils incubated with different concentrations of only progesterone for 4 h at 37°C under sterile conditions.

In vitro translation of maspin-mRNA

Nucleic acids containing maspin mRNAs were isolated by Trizol methods from the neutrophils and were incubated with ribosomal preparation, mixture of all amino acids (0.1µ mol each/ml) and 2mM ATP for 6h, was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 10 min at 0°C. The supernatant was used for the determination of maspin by ELISA [24,25].

Enzyme linked immunosorbant assay (ELISA) for Maspin

Maspin was quantitated by ELISA using polyclonal antibody developed against rh Maspin [26].

Scatchard plot analysis of the equilibrium binding of progesterone to its receptor in neutrophils

The neutrophils with the bound progesterone were separated from the unbound hormones by filtration over GF/C filter. The concentrations of progesterone in the sample were determined by ELISA. The dissociation constant (Kd) and the receptor numbers (n) were determined from Scatchard plots [27].
Statistical analyses

The results obtained are presented as mean ± SD. The significance of the results was determined by Students’ t-test, and p< 0.005 was considered to be significant.

Results

Determination of progesterone receptor in normal, PR+, PR– in peripheral neutrophils in blood

Immunohistochemical studies of the statuses of progesterone receptor in PR+ neutrophils and in the normal peripheral neutrophils demonstrated the presence of progesterone receptors. In contrast, the PR- neutrophils from the breast cancer patients showed the absence of PR, suggesting pathophysiological defects in the synthesis of progesterone receptors proteins in the peripheral neutrophils (Figure 1).

The Figure 1 presented is representative of six or more experiments using neutrophils from six different subjects from each group. The immunohistochemistry of the PR was determined as described in the Methods section. The cells are observed under 45X objective.

Figure 1: The immunohistochemistry of progesterone receptors (PR) in neutrophils and breast tissue from normal volunteers and patients with breast cancer: Panel 1(A,B,C), panel 2(A,B,C), panel 3(A,B,C) represent normal neutrophils, PR+ neutrophils, PR– neutrophils respectively.
Scatchard plot of the equilibrium binding of progesterone to intact normal neutrophils

Scatchard plot of the equilibrium binding of progesterone to normal neutrophils demonstrated typical homogeneous progesterone receptor population (Line A in Figure 2). The analysis of the binding characteristics showed there were 11.5±1.011 x 10^15 progesterone receptor binding sites/cell, with dissociation constant (Kd) 47.619nM.

Neutrophils to suspension were incubated with 200µU of insulin for 2.5h at 23°C to reach equilibrium binding of insulin to these cells. These cells were next treated with progesterone to determine the binding of progesterone to neutrophils pre incubated with insulin without removing insulin from the binding assay mixture.

The effect of progesterone on the synthesis of NO and maspin in normal PR+ and PR– neutrophils pre incubated with insulin

It was found that the pre incubation of normal PR+ neutrophils with insulin resulted in the significant impairment of the synthesis of both NO and maspin in these cells when compared to the control (Table 1). The treatment of PR– neutrophils which failed to produce either NO or maspin when treated with estrogen also failed to produce these agents when these cells were pretreated with insulin.

Table 1: The effect of progesterone on the synthesis of NO and maspin in normal PR+ and PR– neutrophils pre incubated with insulin.

| Addition                  | Maspin (nM/6X 10^9 cells) | NO (µM/ 6X 10^9 cells) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
|                           | PR+                       | PR–                    |
| Progesterone (25 nM)      | 2.329±0.012               | 0                      |
|                           | 1.317±0.082               | 0                      |
| Progesterone (25 nM) + Insulin (200 µU) | 1.410±0.002               | 0                      |
|                           | 0.737±0.004               | 0                      |

The effect of progesterone on the synthesis of NO and maspin in Breast Cancer PR+ and PR– neutrophils pre incubated with insulin

It was found that the pre incubation of breast cancer PR+ neutrophils with insulin resulted in the significant impairment of the synthesis of both NO and maspin in these cells when compared to the control (Table 2). The treatment of breast cancer PR– neutrophils which failed to produce either NO or maspin when treated with progesterone also failed to produce these agents when these cells were pretreated with insulin.

Table 2: The effect of progesterone on the synthesis of NO and maspin in Breast Cancer PR+ and PR– neutrophils pre incubated with insulin.

| Addition                  | Maspin (nM/ 6 X 10^9 cells) | NO (µM/ 6 X 10^9 cells) |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
|                           | PR+                      | PR–                    |
| Progesterone (25 nM)      | 1.138±0.024               | 0                      |
|                           | 0.720± 0.006              | 0                      |
| Progesterone (25 nM)+ Insulin (200 µU) | 0.555±0.003               | 0                      |
|                           | 0.313±0.002               | 0                      |

Discussion

Hormones through their specific binding sites on the cell surface implicate their specific effects in the target cells. Expression of the hormone effects depends on the ligand (hormone) receptor binding. The ‘cross talk’ between the hormone receptors may significantly either increase or decrease the activity of unrelated hormones in the system. Insulin, a hypoglycemic hormone has its specific functions, in this case influenced the activity of progesterone which is known to induce the synthesis of maspin, an anti breast cancer protein through the NO synthesis in normal cells.
as well as from the breast cancer neutrophils. PR- reported to produce worse prognostic outcome of the disease when compared to that in PR+ breast cancer neutrophils [9,10,28].

It is also reported that NO induced maspin synthesis is significantly less in PR+ neutrophils isolated from breast cancer subjects compared to that of normal [19].

Again, preincubation with insulin of PR+ neutrophils either isolated from breast cancer subjects or from normal individuals depicted down regulation of progesterone receptor number which in turn resulted in the impairment of NO induced maspin production by progesterone.

In this study, binding of insulin to its receptors cause reduced binding (down regulation) of the progesterone to its receptors by decreasing the number of progesterone receptors on the cell surface. As insulin reduces the number of progesterone receptors, it is called heterologous down regulation. In our study, the effect of insulin represented a case of heterologous down regulation of progesterone receptors in neutrophils mediated through an apparent ‘cross talk’ between different receptors [29].

It has been reported that PR+ neutrophils from the breast cancer patients produced less amount of NO induced maspin synthesis compared to normal control again the pre incubation of PR+ neutrophils with insulin resulted in the down regulation of progesterone receptor number in the intact neutrophils that resulted in the impairment of NO induced maspin production by this steroid hormone.

Our results implied that the systemic presence of insulin might adversely affect the systemic production of the anti breast cancer protein due to the insulin induced heterologous down regulation of the steroid receptor numbers in the neutrophils that not only resulted in the progesterone induced maspin synthesis, but also inhibited NO synthesis induced by the steroid in these cells.

It may be speculated that in Type2 diabetes mellitus which causes hyperinsulinemia due to systemic insulin resistance might actually lead to worse prognostic outcome in breast cancer. On the other hand in Type1 diabetes mellitus where insulin synthesis is impaired might be beneficial in breast cancer due to maspin synthesis [30-33].
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