Possible Evidence for Cosmic-Ray Acceleration in the Type Ia SNR RCW 86: Spatial Correlation between TeV Gamma-Rays and Interstellar Atomic Protons
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Abstract

We present a detailed morphological study of TeV gamma-rays, synchrotron radiation, and interstellar gas in the young Type Ia supernova remnant (SNR) RCW 86. We find that the interstellar atomic gas shows good spatial correlation with the gamma-rays, indicating that the TeV gamma-rays from RCW 86 are likely predominantly of hadronic origin. In contrast, the spatial correlation between the interstellar molecular cloud and the TeV gamma-rays is poor in the southeastern shell of the SNR. We argue that this poor correlation can be attributed to the low-energy cosmic rays (\(\sim 1\) TeV) not penetrating into the dense molecular cloud due to an enhancement of the turbulent magnetic field around the dense cloud of \(\sim 10^{-100}\) \(\mu\)G. We also find that the southwestern shell, which is bright in both synchrotron X-ray and radio continuum radiation, shows a significant gamma-ray excess compared with the interstellar proton column density, suggesting that leptonic gamma-rays via inverse Compton scattering possibly contribute alongside the hadronic gamma-rays. The total cosmic-ray energies of the young TeV gamma-ray SNRs—RX J1713.7—3946, Vela Jr, HESS J1731—347, and RCW 86—are roughly similar, which indicates that cosmic-ray energies can be accelerated in both the core-collapse and Type Ia supernovae. The total energy of cosmic rays derived using the gas density, \(\sim 10^{26} - 10^{29}\) erg, gives a safe lower limit due mainly to the low filling factor of interstellar gas within the shell.
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1. Introduction

A longstanding question is how cosmic rays, which mainly consist of relativistic protons, are accelerated in interstellar space. Supernova remnants (SNRs) are the most reliable candidates for acceleration sites of Galactic cosmic rays with an energy up to \(\sim 3 \times 10^{15}\) eV (the “knee” energy) because high-velocity shock waves of \(\sim 3000-10,000\) km s\(^{-1}\) offer an ideal site for diffusive shock acceleration (DSA; e.g., Bell 1978; Blandford & Ostriker 1978). However, the principal acceleration sites of cosmic rays are still being debated due to a lack of sufficient observational evidence.

Young SNRs with bright TeV gamma-ray shells have received much attention on account of their high potential for accelerating cosmic rays close to the knee energy. TeV gamma-rays from young SNRs are mainly produced by relativistic cosmic-ray protons and electrons through two mechanisms, called hadronic or leptonic processes. In the hadronic process, interactions between cosmic rays and interstellar protons produce a neutral pion that quickly decays to two gamma-ray photons. Conversely, cosmic-ray electrons energize a low-energy photon to TeV gamma-ray energy via inverse Compton scattering. Numerous attempts have been made to distinguish the two processes using broadband spectral modeling in the radio, X-ray, and gamma-ray range. In most cases, however, it is difficult to distinguish between hadronic and leptonic gamma-rays (e.g., H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2018c).

Investigating the interstellar neutral gas associated with SNRs holds a key to distinguishing between hadronic and leptonic gamma-rays. Fukui et al. (2012) presented a good spatial correspondence between TeV gamma-rays and the total interstellar proton column density—taking into account both the molecular and atomic components—in the young shell-type SNR RX J1713.7—3946 (\(\sim 1600\) yr). This provides one of the essential conditions for gamma-rays to be predominantly of hadronic origin, because in such a case, the gamma-ray flux is proportional to the target-gas density if we assume an azimuthally isotropic distribution of cosmic rays. By estimating an average interstellar proton density of \(\sim 130\) cm\(^{-3}\), Fukui et al. derived the total energy of accelerated cosmic rays to be \(\sim 10^{48}\) erg, corresponding to \(\sim 0.1\%\) of the total kinetic energy released in a supernova explosion. Subsequent studies presented similar results for young TeV gamma-ray SNRs HESS J1731—347 (Fukuda et al. 2014) and Vela Jr (Fukui et al. 2017). All are thought to be core-collapse SNRs; they are expected to be strongly associated with the rich interstellar gas. To better understand the origin of cosmic rays and their

\[^{8}\] Bremsstrahlung of high-energy electrons can also explain gamma-ray emission, but in general, inverse Compton scattering is the dominant leptonic process in young SNRs.
energies, we need to look to other gamma-ray SNRs that have a different type of progenitor, such as Type Ia SNRs. RCW 86 (also known as G315.4−2.3 or MSH 14−63) is a Type Ia SNR in the southern sky with a bright GeV−TeV gamma-ray shell (e.g., Claas et al. 1989; Ueno et al. 2007; Yamaguchi et al. 2008; Aharonian et al. 2009; Williams et al. 2011; Ajello et al. 2016; H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c). The shell diameter is ∼30 pc (∼40 arcmin) at a distance of 2.5 kpc (e.g., H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c), which is well suited for morphological studies. The young age of ∼1800 yr (Clark & Stephenson 1975; Zhao et al. 2006) makes it a target for investigating the acceleration of cosmic rays close to the knee energy.

The origin of the gamma-ray emission in RCW 86 has been discussed for several years. H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c) used spectral modeling to demonstrate that both the leptonic and hadronic scenarios are explained by the observed GeV−TeV gamma-rays. Conversely, Ajello et al. (2016) used one- and two-zone spectral models but showed only the leptonic scenarios. This is because the observed GeV gamma-ray emission shows a hard spectra with a spectral index of ∼1.42, which is consistent with the leptonic origin under the standard DSA model. The authors also discovered an H I void toward the SNR whose velocity is ∼35 km s−1. However, no detailed study has compared the gamma-ray distribution with the interstellar gas including both molecular clouds and atomic gas.

Subsequently, Sano et al. (2017a; hereafter Paper I) presented the interstellar molecular and atomic gas distribution toward the SNR RCW 86. They concluded that an interstellar gas with a radial velocity from −42 to −28 km s−1 is likely associated with the SNR based on three factors: (1) the CO and H I show a good spatial correspondence with the X-ray shell, (2) H I shows an expanding gas motion due to accretion winds from the progenitor system, and (3) an enhanced CO J = 2−1/1−0 intensity ratio only in the surface of the molecular clouds is detected, suggesting heating and/or compression by the shock waves (see Section 3 in Paper I). They also found an H I envelope on the molecular cloud, indicating that the progenitor system of RCW 86 had a weaker wind than that of the core-collapse SNR RX J1713.7−3946. This further supports the idea that RCW 86 is a Type Ia SNR, and its progenitor system is a white dwarf and a low-mass star with weak-velocity accretion winds.

In this paper, we present a detailed morphological study of the TeV gamma-rays, interstellar molecular and atomic gases, and synchrotron radio and X-rays to investigate whether the TeV gamma-rays are predominantly of hadronic or leptonic origin. Section 2 describes the observational data sets. Section 3 comprises four subsections: Section 3.1 gives the distributions of the TeV gamma-rays; Sections 3.2 and 3.3 make a spatial comparison of the TeV gamma-rays, synchrotron radiation, and CO/H I; Section 3.4 presents the total interstellar proton map and compares it with the TeV gamma-rays. Sections 4 and 5, contain a discussion and our conclusions, respectively.

2. Observational Data Sets

2.1. TeV Gamma-Rays

We used the TeV gamma-ray image of RCW 86 shown in Figure 1 of H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c). The TeV gamma-ray image corresponds to energies above ∼100 GeV. The total exposure time was ∼57 hr for RCW 86. The point-spread function (PSF) of the image is 0.061 (68% radius). The image was smoothed with a Gaussian function with 0.06, resulting in a PSF of ∼0.086.

2.2. GeV Gamma-Rays

The test static (TS) map of GeV gamma-rays that appeared in Ajello et al. (2016) was also used for tracing the low-energy cosmic rays. The energy range of the TS map is above 1 GeV. The PSF of the image is ∼0.27 (68% radius). A more detailed analysis can be found in Ajello et al. (2016).

2.3. Radio Continuum and X-Rays

We use the 843 MHz radio continuum image obtained using the Molonglo Observatory Synthesis Telescope (MOST) installed in Australia (Whiteoak & Green 1996). The angular resolution of the radio continuum is ∼43″. To create the X-ray image, we used the data sets of XMM-Newton presented in Paper I. We used the XMM-Newton Science Analysis System version 16.0.0 and HEAsoft version 6.18 to analyze both the EPIC-MOS and EPIC-pn data sets with a total of 12 pointings

---

Table 1

| Observation ID | α2000 (degree) | δ2000 (degree) | Start Date (yyyy-mm-dd hh:mm:ss) | End Date (yyyy-mm-dd hh:mm:ss) | MOS1 Exposure (ks) | MOS2 Exposure (ks) | pn Exposure (ks) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 0110010701    | 220.73         | −62.63         | 2000 Aug 16 04:04:38             | 2000 Aug 16 10:43:07           | 16                | 16                | 15              |
| 0110011301    | 221.31         | −62.41         | 2000 Aug 16 12:03:46             | 2000 Aug 16 17:37:28           | 11                | 11                | 5               |
| 0110011401    | 220.51         | −62.42         | 2000 Aug 16 20:18:03             | 2000 Aug 17 01:36:33           | 9                 | 10                | 6               |
| 0110010501    | 220.14         | −62.60         | 2001 Aug 17 11:47:26             | 2001 Aug 17 16:25:47           | 9                 | 7                 | 3               |
| 0110102501    | 220.24         | −62.70         | 2003 Mar 4 09:46:46              | 2003 Mar 4 13:11:34            | 9                 | 8                 | 6               |
| 0208000101    | 221.26         | −62.34         | 2004 Jan 26 22:30:59             | 2004 Jan 27 15:12:51           | 46                | 47                | 44              |
| 0504810101    | 221.57         | −62.50         | 2007 Jul 28 07:45:25             | 2007 Jul 29 16:12:53           | 94                | 99                | 76              |
| 0504810601    | 221.57         | −62.30         | 2007 Jul 30 15:45:31             | 2007 Jul 31 01:52:21           | 19                | 19                | 16              |
| 0504810201    | 221.40         | −62.47         | 2007 Aug 13 17:42:42             | 2007 Aug 14 14:37:56           | 50                | 55                | 35              |
| 0504810401    | 220.15         | −62.60         | 2007 Aug 23 03:17:26             | 2007 Aug 23 23:33:12           | 62                | 62                | 50              |
| 0504810301    | 220.50         | −62.22         | 2007 Aug 25 02:49:31             | 2007 Aug 25 23:34:05           | 61                | 62                | 44              |
| 0724940101    | 221.22         | −62.68         | 2014 Jan 27 18:48:07             | 2014 Jan 29 00:03:07           | 95                | 95                | 77              |

Note. All exposure times represent the flare-filtered exposure.
(see Table 1). Because the X-ray emission of RCW 86 fills the field of view of the EPICs, we used the XMM-Newton Extended Source Analysis Software (ESAS; Kuntz & Snowden 2008). We filtered out soft proton flares using the ESAS procedure, resulting in a good exposure time of 481 ks for EPIC-MOS1, 491 ks for EPIC-MOS2, and 377 ks for EPIC-pn. To obtain quiescent particle background (QPB) subtracted, exposure-corrected, and adaptively smoothed images in the energy band of 2–5 keV, which is dominated by the continuum radiation from synchrotron X-rays produced by cosmic-ray electrons with TeV energy (Rho et al. 2002; Ajello et al. 2016).

2.4. CO and H I

To estimate total interstellar proton column density in both the molecular and atomic forms, we used the $^{12}$CO($J = 1–0$) and H I data from Paper I. The CO data were acquired using NANTEN2 installed in the Republic of Chile, while the H I data were acquired using the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) and combined with single-dish data from the Parkes 64 m radio telescope. The final beam size of CO was $\sim 180''$ and that of H I was $160'' \times 152''$, with a position angle of $-3^\circ$. The typical noise level is $\sim 0.42 K$ at 0.16 km s$^{-1}$ velocity resolution for CO, and $\sim 1.0 K$ at 0.82 km s$^{-1}$ velocity resolution for H I.

Paper I analyzed in detail both the CO and H I spatial and velocity distributions and concluded that the interstellar gas in the velocity range from $-46$ to $-28$ km s$^{-1}$ is most likely associated with RCW 86. Therefore, in the present study, we adopt the same velocity range as the interstellar gas associated with the SNR.

3. Results

3.1. TeV Gamma-Ray Distribution

Figure 1(a) shows the TeV gamma-ray distribution of RCW 86. Two bright gamma-ray peaks appear toward the northeast at $(l, b) \sim (315^\circ 56, -2^\circ 10)$ and toward the southwest at $(l, b) \sim (315^\circ 24, -2^\circ 44)$. A shell-like morphology appears clearly with elongated ellipticity in the south–north direction. The shell radius and thickness of the entire SNR are calculated to be $\sim 15$ pc and $\sim 5$ pc, respectively (H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c). Despite these results, we note that the shell radius of the northwestern part appears to be shorter than that of the other parts. To clarify the azimuthal dependence of the shell radius, we assumed a three-dimensional spherical shell with a single Gaussian function $F(r)$ (e.g., Fukui et al. 2012):

$$F(r) = A \exp\left[-(r - r_0)^2/2\sigma^2\right],$$

(1)

where $A$ is a normalization factor, $r_0$ is the radius of the shell in units of degrees, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the Gaussian function in units of degrees. We assign the central position of the shell to be $(l, b) = (315^\circ 43, -2^\circ 29)$, as determined by H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c).
Table 2
Best-fit Parameters of the TeV Gamma-Ray Shell

| Azimuthal Angle (degrees) |  $\sigma$  | Extent$^a$ |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------|
| $+90^\circ < \theta < +180^\circ$ | 7.5 ± 4.6 | 9.4 ± 4.6 |
| $0^\circ < \theta < +90^\circ$ | 12.8 ± 0.9 | 14.7 ± 0.9 |
| $-90^\circ < \theta < 0^\circ$ | 12.9 ± 1.1 | 14.7 ± 1.1 |
| $-180^\circ < \theta < -90^\circ$ | 12.3 ± 0.8 | 14.1 ± 0.8 |

Note.
$^a$ Extent of the shell is defined as $r_0 + $PSF/2.

Figure 1(b) shows the radial scatter profiles of TeV gamma-ray excess counts and an average value, which is shown as a step function in radius $r$ at every 0086 (3.8 pc at 2.5 kpc). We divide the shell into four sectors: first quadrant ($+90^\circ < \theta < +180^\circ$), second quadrant ($0^\circ < \theta < +90^\circ$), third quadrant ($-90^\circ < \theta < 0^\circ$), and fourth quadrant ($-180^\circ < \theta < -90^\circ$), where $\theta$ is the azimuthal angle and is measured clockwise, as shown in Figure 1(a). The best-fit parameters are summarized in Table 2. We find that the shell defined as $r_0 + $PSF/2 extends $\sim 10$ pc in the first quadrant and over $\sim 15$ pc in the other quadrants. Hereafter, we focus on the TeV gamma-ray and multiwavelength distributions within the extent of the shell.

3.2. Distribution of X-Rays and Radio Continuum

Figures 2(a) and (b) show the synchrotron X-rays and radio continuum images of RCW 86 superposed on the TeV gamma-ray contours (solid lines). The dashed contours of Figures 2(a) and (b) indicate the X-ray and radio continuum contours that were smoothed to match the PSF of the TeV gamma-rays. Both the X-rays and radio continuum show a nearly circularly symmetric shell with no strong emission inside. The most prominent X-ray peak is in the direction of the southwestern shell around $(l, b) \sim (315.5^\circ, 2.25^\circ)$, which is also bright in TeV gamma-rays (see the solid contours in Figures 2(a) and (b)). The second brightest X-ray peak at $(l, b) \sim (315.72^\circ, -2.25^\circ)$ appears to be spatially shifted with respect to the gamma-ray peak, whereas the northern part of the shell shows a good spatial correlation between the X-ray and gamma-ray images. In contrast, the northernmost gamma-ray shell around $(l, b) \sim (315.73^\circ, -2.33^\circ)$ has no clear counterpart in either the synchrotron X-rays or the radio continuum. This region seems to be located not only inside the shell of the synchrotron X-rays, but also in that of the radio continuum, even after both the X-ray and radio continuum maps were smoothed to match the PSF of the TeV gamma-rays. Moreover, the bright gamma-ray peaks mainly come from inside the radio continuum shell, whereas no counterparts exist in the diffuse and bright radio continuum except in the northern shell.

Figure 3 compares the spatial distribution of the synchrotron and TeV gamma-ray radiation as a function of the azimuthal angle defined in Figure 1(a), where the vertical scale is normalized so that the maximum values for each emission coincide. Hereafter, all data sets are averaged every 30° in the azimuthal angle within the region indicated by the white solid line in Figure 1(a). The gamma-rays show a roughly flat distribution with azimuth angle. The azimuthal distributions of the synchrotron X-rays and radio continuum radiation have roughly similar trends to each other, but the positions of their intensity peaks are offset. There are two intensity peaks of $-135^\circ$ and $15^\circ$ for the X-rays; $-165^\circ$ and $-15^\circ$ for the radio continuum; and no bright emission from $90^\circ$ to $180^\circ$. The linear Pearson correlation coefficient (hereafter the correlation coefficient) is $\sim -0.59$ for the X-rays and radio continuum, $\sim 0.28$ for the gamma-rays and X-rays, and $\sim -0.02$ for the gamma-rays and radio continuum. The spatial difference between the synchrotron X-rays and radio continuum radiation is consistent with that of previous studies (Ajello et al. 2016; H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c).

3.3. Distribution of H I and CO

Figures 4(a) and (b) show the integrated H I and CO intensity maps in the velocity range from $V_{LSR} = -46$ to $-28$ km s$^{-1}$. The H I cavity with an expanding velocity of $\sim 8$ km s$^{-1}$ is likely associated with the SNR, as proposed in Paper I. We find that the H I distribution with an intensity of $\sim 870$–900 K km s$^{-1}$ (green area) spatially coincides with the bright gamma-ray shell except at the regions $(l, b) \sim (315.60^\circ, -2.25^\circ)$ and $(315.40^\circ, -2.55^\circ)$. No bright gamma-rays were detected in the northwestern region where the H I intensity is 1000 K km s$^{-1}$ or higher (yellow and red areas). The most prominent molecular cloud “CO $-37$ E” shows a good spatial anti-correlation with the eastern half of the gamma-ray shell. In the northwest, a diffuse molecular cloud named “CO $-40$ NW” is located outside the gamma-ray shell. In addition, these molecular clouds show clumpy structures on scales of a few parsecs (see the black contours in Figure 4(b)).

3.4. Total Interstellar Protons

To obtain the total proton column density, we estimate proton column densities in both the molecular and atomic components (e.g., Fukui et al. 2012, 2017; Yoshiike et al. 2013; Fukuda et al. 2014; Kuriki et al. 2018). The proton column density of the molecular component $N_p$($H_2$) can be derived from the following relation between the molecular hydrogen column density $N(H_2)$ and the $^{12}$CO($J = 1 - 0$) integrated intensity $W$(CO):

\[ N(H_2) = X \times W$(CO)$ \text{(cm$^{-2}$)}, \]

\[ N_p$H_2$ = 2 \times N(H_2) \text{(cm$^{-2}$)}, \]

where $X$ is a conversion factor between the $N(H_2)$ and $W$(CO). We utilize $X = 0.5 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (K km s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$, which is derived in the Appendix. The maximum values of $N_p$($H_2$) are $\sim 2.3 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the CO $-37$ E cloud, and $\sim 2.0 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the CO $-40$ NW cloud.

When we estimate the proton column density in atomic form $N_p$($H_1$), the optical depth of H I should be considered. According to Fukui et al. (2015), 85% of atomic hydrogen in the local interstellar atomic gas is optically thick with respect to the H I 21 cm emission (optical depth $\sim 0.5$–3), so we cannot use the usual equation assuming the optically thin case (optical depth $\ll 1$), per Dickey & Lockman (1990):

\[ N_p$(H_1) = 1.823 \times 10^{18} \times W$(H I)$ \text{(cm$^{-2}$)}, \]

where $W$(H I) is the integrated intensity of H I in units of K km s$^{-1}$. More recently, Fukui et al. (2017) derived the optical-depth-corrected $N_p$(H I) as a function of W(H I) (see Figure 9 and Section 4.4 of Fukui et al. 2017) using the dust-opacity map at 353 GHz obtained from the Planck and IRAS data sets (for details, see Planck Collaboration et al. 2014) and
assuming nonlinear dust properties (see Roy et al. 2013; Okamoto et al. 2017). In the present study, we estimate a conversion factor between the optical-depth-corrected $N_p(H\text{I})$ and $W(H\text{I})$ using the results of Fukui et al. (2017) as a function of $W(H\text{I})$. We then derive the optical-depth-corrected $N_p(H\text{I})$ map using the conversion factor and the $W(H\text{I})$ values of RCW 86. We finally obtain the average optical-depth-corrected $N_p(H\text{I})$ to be $\sim (3.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ in the RCW 86 region, which is $\sim 2.3$ times greater than the result in the optically thin case.

Figures 5(a)–(c) show column density maps for the molecular proton $N_p(H_2)$, atomic protons corrected for optical depth $N_p(H\text{I})$, and the sum of molecular and atomic protons $N_p(H_2 + H\text{I})$, respectively. Figure 5(d) shows azimuthal profiles of the normalized TeV gamma-rays, $N_p(H_2)$, $N_p(H\text{I})$, and $N_p(H_2 + H\text{I})$. We find that the TeV gamma-rays correlate well spatially with $N_p(H\text{I})$, except at azimuthal angles from $-180^\circ$ to $-120^\circ$, where the TeV gamma-rays have significant excess counts relative to $N_p(H\text{I})$. In contrast, no strong spatial correlations appear between the TeV gamma-rays and $N_p(H_2)$, while a high correlation with $N_p(H_2 + H\text{I})$ is found only between azimuthal angles from $-15^\circ$ to $180^\circ$. The correlation coefficient is $\sim 0.83$ for the gamma-rays and $N_p(H_2)$, $\sim -0.50$ for the gamma-rays and $N_p(H_\text{I})$, and $\sim -0.27$ for the gamma-rays and $N_p(H_2 + H\text{I})$.

4. Discussion

4.1. Origin of Gamma-Rays

The origin of the gamma-rays from RCW 86 has been discussed based on spectral modeling for the gamma-ray and synchrotron radiation (Ajello et al. 2016; H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c). However, according to Inoue et al. (2012) and Gabici & Aharonian (2014), leptonic gamma-rays (inverse Compton scattering of low-energy photons by cosmic-ray electrons) and hadronic gamma-rays (neutral-pion decay from interactions between cosmic rays and interstellar protons) are difficult to distinguish based on spectral modeling alone because of the many uncertain parameters in the model (e.g., magnetic-field strength, spectral index of gamma-rays, gas density, and clumpiness). In this section, we discuss how
the TeV gamma-rays from RCW 86 are likely dominated by a hadronic origin based on spatial comparisons among the gamma-ray, synchrotron radiation, and interstellar gas distribution.

**4.1.1. Morphological Studies**

We first consider a spatial comparison between the gamma-ray and synchrotron radiation. The similarity between the morphology of the gamma-rays and synchrotron X-rays on the 10 pc scale supports the idea that both the gamma-rays and X-rays are produced by the same relativistic particles, namely, cosmic-ray electrons that emit the gamma-ray, X-rays, and radio continuum. According to previous X-ray studies, large spatial variations of the physical condition are reported in RCW 86 (Vink et al. 2006; Broersen et al., 2014; Tsubone et al., 2017). In fact, Ajello et al. (2016) demonstrated that the broadband spectrum is well fitted by the leptonic scenario using the two-zone model. Furthermore, several publications reported that reverse shock acceleration occurred in the southwestern region where the dense interstellar gas is associated with the SNR (Rho et al. 2002; Ajello et al. 2016; Sano et al., 2017a; H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al., 2018c). In the present gamma-ray data set, we could not determine the reverse shock acceleration due to the large PSF. Further spatially resolved spectral modeling and comparative studies with the ISM are needed to test that scenario.

Next, we focus on a spatial comparison between the gamma-ray and interstellar gas. Assuming an azimuthally isotropic distribution of cosmic rays, distributions of the leptonic gamma-rays will reflect that of accelerated cosmic-ray electrons because low-energy seed photons such as cosmic microwave background are uniformly distributed on an SNR scale. Nonetheless, the hadronic gamma-ray flux is proportional to the target interstellar gas density. The spatial correspondence between interstellar protons and gamma-rays provides one necessary condition for the hadronic origin of gamma-rays and can be used decisively to understand the origin of gamma-rays (Aharonian et al., 1994, 2006, 2008; Fukui et al., 2003, 2012, 2017; Hayakawa et al., 2012; Fukui 2013; Yoshiike et al., 2013; Maxted et al., 2013a, 2013b, 2018a, 2018b, 2018c; Fukuda et al., 2014; de Wilt et al., 2017; Lau et al., 2017, 2019; Sano et al., 2017b; Kuriki et al., 2018). Estimating the total amount of interstellar protons in both...
atomic and molecular forms is therefore crucial for testing this interpretation (e.g., Fukui et al. 2012).

We argue that the good spatial correspondence between the interstellar proton column density of atomic form, $N_p(\text{H}^\text{I})$, and the TeV gamma-rays is possible evidence for hadronically produced gamma-rays from RCW 86. Figure 5(a) shows clearly that the TeV gamma-ray shell coincides with an interstellar cavity of atomic hydrogen. In the azimuthal profiles, the TeV gamma-rays show almost the same trends as the $N_p(\text{H}^\text{I})$ with a correlation coefficient ~0.84. This result is similar to that of previous studies, e.g., the correlation coefficient between the total interstellar protons and TeV gamma-rays is ~0.78 for RX J1713.7−3946 (Fukui et al. 2012) and ~0.95 for Vela Jr (Fukui et al. 2017).

However, to conclude that the gamma-rays from RCW 86 are predominantly of hadronic origin, three problems must be solved. The first problem is the poor spatial correspondence between the interstellar proton column density of molecular form $N_p(\text{H}_2)$ and TeV gamma-rays. The second problem is the very hard Fermi-LAT spectrum of ~1.42 (Ajello et al. 2016). The third problem is the TeV gamma-ray excess relative to $N_p(\text{H}^\text{I})$ at azimuthal angles from $-180^\circ$ to $-120^\circ$.

4.1.2. Diffusion Length of Cosmic Rays

The poor spatial correlation between $N_p(\text{H}_2)$ and TeV gamma-rays can be understood by noting that the low-energy cosmic rays do not penetrate into dense molecular clouds.
According to Inoue et al. (2012), the penetration depth \( l_{pd} \) of cosmic rays is

\[
l_{pd} = 0.1 \eta^{0.5} \left( \frac{E}{10 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{0.5} \left( \frac{B}{100 \mu G} \right)^{-0.5} \times \left( \frac{\tau_{age}/1000 \text{ yr}}{0.5} \right) \text{(pc)},
\]

where \( \eta \) is a turbulence factor defined as the degree of magnetic-field fluctuations \((\eta = B^2/\delta B^2 \gtrsim 1)\), \( E \) is the cosmic-ray energy, \( B \) is the magnetic-field strength, and \( \tau_{age} \) is the age of the SNR. In RCW 86, a TeV gamma-ray image is shown for energies above \( \sim 100 \text{ GeV} \), which means that the image traces the spatial distribution of cosmic rays with energies of \( \sim 1 \text{ TeV} \) or higher if the hadronic process dominates. Since the TeV gamma-ray spectrum is steep \((\Gamma = -2.3)\) with a low cutoff \((\sim 3.5 \text{ TeV})\), most of the TeV events are from a few TeV (H.E.S.S Collaboration et al. 2018c). The age of the SNR is \( \sim 1800 \text{ yr} \) (Clark & Stephenson 1975; Zhao et al. 2006).

The magnetic-field strength and turbulence factor \( \eta \) \((=B^2/\delta B^2)\) both have large ambiguity. The magnetic-field strength \( B \) in interstellar quiescent gas clouds is given by the following equation (Crutcher et al. 2010):

\[
B \sim 10 \left( \frac{n}{300 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{0.65} \left( \mu G \right),
\]

where \( n \) is the number density of the interstellar gas. According to Paper I, the CO cloud “CO –37 E” has a number density of \( \sim 300 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), which gives a magnetic-field strength of \( \sim 10 \mu G \). It should be noted that the value is a lower limit because the magnetic-field strength is measured in quiescent clouds without any shock disturbance. In the case of a shocked region, the strong magnetic field was reported in the young SNRs (e.g., Völk et al. 2002; Vink & Laming 2003; Bamba et al. 2004; Uchiyama et al. 2007). In fact, Völk et al. (2005) derived a magnetic-field strength of \( \sim 100 \mu G \) from the morphology of synchrotron X-rays in the southwestern filaments of RCW 86. Furthermore, Paper I shows that shock-cloud interaction occurs around the molecular clouds associated with RCW 86. When the SNR shock waves interact with the clumpy interstellar gas, the turbulence and magnetic field are strongly enhanced around the gas clumps, which are rim-brightened by synchrotron radiation (both X-ray and radio continuum). According to three-dimensional magnetohydrodynamic simulations, the average magnetic field is \( \sim 30 \mu G \) downstream of the shock, and the turbulence factor is \( \sim 1 \), which corresponds to Bohm-limit diffusion (Inoue et al. 2012).

We therefore use \( E = 10 \text{ TeV} \), \( \tau_{age} = 1800 \text{ yr} \), \( B = 10–100 \mu G \), and \( \eta = 1 \), so the penetration depth is \( l_{pd} = 0.13–0.42 \text{ pc} \). The result is significantly less than the size of the molecular clouds, which are \( \sim 5–12 \text{ pc} \) (see Paper I). We therefore conclude that the low-energy cosmic rays traced by the TeV gamma-ray image of H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c) cannot, at the moment, penetrate into the dense molecular gas. This means that the dense molecular cloud is not a target of the low-energy cosmic rays, and a strong spatial correspondence between the TeV gamma-rays and \( N(H_2) \) or \( N(H_2 + H) \) is not expected.

The energy-dependent diffusion of cosmic rays is also consistent with the GeV gamma-ray map of RCW 86 obtained with Fermi-LAT. Figure 6 shows TeV gamma-ray excess images as shown in Figure 1 \((E > 100 \text{ GeV}, \text{ H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c})\) and the TS map of Fermi GeV gamma-rays \((E > 1 \text{ GeV}, \text{ H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c})\). The GeV gamma-ray map shows a dip-like structure toward the dense molecular cloud CO –37 E; the significance level toward the CO cloud \((\sqrt{\text{TS}} \sim 4\sigma)\) is 1.5 times smaller than the gamma-rays surrounding the cloud \((\sqrt{\text{TS}} \sim 6\sigma)\). On the other hand, the TeV gamma-rays are still bright toward the molecular cloud. The TeV gamma-ray excess toward the CO cloud is only
∼1.3 times lower than the TeV gamma-rays surrounding the cloud. Since the GeV gamma-ray map (Figure 6(b)) traces low-energy cosmic-ray protons with \( E \sim 10 \text{ GeV} \), the penetration depth is expected to be about 10 times shorter than the high-energy cosmic rays with a few TeV, as shown by the TeV gamma-ray image (Figure 6(a)). We therefore conclude that the low-energy cosmic rays traced by the Fermi GeV gamma-ray map cannot penetrate into the dense molecular cloud at this time. To confirm that scenario, we need further gamma-ray images at energies above 10 TeV, which can trace the \( ∼100 \text{ TeV} \) cosmic-ray protons if the hadronic process dominates. In this case, the bright gamma-ray emission will be found toward the dense molecular cloud in RCW 86.

We also argue that low-energy cosmic rays of a few TeV can penetrate into the H\( \text{I} \) clouds and produce TeV gamma-rays via the hadronic process. Fukui et al. (2018) carried out synthetic observations of H\( \text{I} \) utilizing magnetohydrodynamical simulations of the inhomogeneous turbulent ISM (Inoue et al. 2012). The authors revealed that the cold neutral medium (cold/dense H\( \text{I} \)) shows a highly clumpy distribution with a volume filling factor of 3.5%, while the warm neutral medium (low-density ambient H\( \text{I} \)) shows a diffuse distribution with a large filling factor of 96.5%. The typical width of the cold/dense H\( \text{I} \) filaments is \( ∼0.1 \text{ pc} \), which is significantly smaller than the size of the molecular cloud associated with RCW 86, but is similar to the penetration depth of cosmic rays.

Based on the above discussion, the very hard Fermi-LAT spectrum of \( ∼1.42 \) in RCW 86 can also be explained by the penetration length of cosmic-ray protons. If the GeV gamma-rays taken with Fermi-LAT are of hadronic origin, the energy of accelerated cosmic-ray protons is a few GeV. In this case, the penetration length of cosmic-ray protons at 10 GeV is \( ∼0.001–0.004 \text{ pc} \), which is significantly smaller than the size of the cold/dense H\( \text{I} \) filaments (\( ∼0.1 \text{ pc} \)), as shown by Fukui et al. (2018). Therefore, cosmic-ray protons traced using Fermi-LAT cannot penetrate either into dense molecular clouds or into the cold/dense H\( \text{I} \) filaments in RCW 86. We therefore expected a very hard GeV gamma-ray spectrum because effective target interstellar protons will be reduced for the cosmic-ray protons with an energy of \( ∼1 \) GeV (see also Inoue et al. 2012; Gabici & Aharonian 2014). An alternative explanation is the strong modified shock. According to H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c), the broadband gamma-ray spectra of RCW 86 can be described by the hadron-dominant model with a proton spectral index of \( \Gamma_\text{p} \sim 1.7 \) and a cutoff energy of 35 TeV. The index of \( \Gamma_\text{p} \sim 1.7 \) is different from the \( \Gamma_\text{p} = 2 \) of the standard DSA model, but is expected under the strong modified shocks (Berezko & Ellison 1999; Malkov 1999).

4.1.3. Contributions of Leptonic Gamma-Rays in the Southwestern Shell

We argue that the leptonic gamma-rays may be responsible for the gap between the TeV gamma-rays and \( N_\gamma(H\text{I}) \) at azimuthal angles from \( −180^\circ \) to \( −120^\circ \). The regions in which TeV gamma-ray excess occurs correspond to the southwestern shell of the SNR, which emits the brightest peaks of both synchrotron X-rays and radio continuum radiation (Figures 2(a) and (b)).

A similar situation also occurs for the TeV gamma-rays from SNR HESS J1731−347. Fukuda et al. (2014) found a significant difference (factor of two) in the azimuthal profile between the normalized interstellar proton column density and the gamma-rays from the southern part of the shell. Both synchrotron X-rays and radio continuum are bright but show a significantly lower density of \( ∼10 \text{ cm}^{-2} \). They suggested that the low-density gas may lead to lower amplification of the magnetic fields via shock-cloud interaction and thereby favor the leptonic origin of the TeV gamma-rays in the south of HESS J1731−347, because the synchrotron cooling of cosmic-ray electrons is not significant. The authors estimated the leptonic contribution to \( ∼20\% \) of the total gamma-rays in HESS J1731−347.

For RCW 86, the southwestern shell has a large amount of gas (\( ∼150 \text{ cm}^{-2} \)) in the cloud H\( \text{I} \) clump (see Paper I), and the magnetic field is strongly enhanced (e.g., Volk et al. 2005). The difference between the \( N_\gamma(H\text{I}) \) and the TeV gamma-rays is therefore expected to be smaller than that for HESS J1731−347. According to the SED modeling by H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c), the peak flux of the hadronic gamma-ray is \( ∼18 \) times higher than that of the leptonic gamma-ray in the hadron-dominant model (see Figure 5 in H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c). We therefore propose that the leptonic gamma-rays accounts for only \( ∼6\% \) of the total gamma-ray flux in RCW 86, which represents the gap between the TeV gamma-rays and \( N_\gamma(H\text{I}) \) at azimuthal angles as shown in Figure 5(d).

We also note slight differences between \( N_\gamma(H\text{I}) \) and gamma-rays at the azimuthal angles of \( ∼15^\circ \) and \( ∼−90^\circ \), which correspond to regions with low \( N_\gamma(H\text{I}) \) (see the blue area in Figure 5(a)). These regions have the potential to provide significant contributions from leptonic gamma-rays, because of the low magnetic field and gas density. However, we will not discuss it further due to the limited angular resolution of the TeV gamma-ray data. Further gamma-ray observations using the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) should provide a gamma-ray image with the spatial resolution necessary to allow us to distinguish the differences between \( N_\gamma(H\text{I}) \) and gamma-rays.

4.1.4. Comparison with RX J1713.7−3946

We also discuss the difference between the SNRs RCW 86 and SNR RX J1713.7−3946. The main physical properties of both SNRs are listed in Table 3 along with other TeV SNRs which will be discussed later. Both RCW 86 and RX J1713.7−3946 are quite similar in age, diameter and total proton mass associated. On the other hand, the TeV gamma-ray of RX J1713.7−3946 shows good spatial correspondence with the total interstellar proton column density \( N_\text{p}(H_2\text{+H}) \) (Fukui et al. 2012), while that of RCW 86 spatially coincides with only the interstellar protons in atomic form \( N_\text{p}(H\text{I}) \). One should consider the possibility that the size of molecular clouds is slightly different between the SNRs RCW 86 and RX J1713.7−3946. According to Moriguchi et al. (2005), the typical size of molecular clouds associated with RX J1713.7−3946 is \( 2.8 ± 0.6 \text{ pc} \), while that of the CO –37 E cloud in RCW 86 is \( ∼12.4 \text{ pc} \) (see Paper I). The low-energy cosmic rays in RCW 86 therefore have difficulty penetrating the denser part of molecular clouds owing to their large size.

In addition, the shock-interacting time is also possibly different between both SNRs. For RX J1713.7−3946, all molecular clouds associated with the SNR have been impacted by shock waves for the last 1000 yr (e.g., Sano 2016). In contrast, shock waves of RCW 86 reached CO –37 E cloud...
very recently. According to Yamaguchi et al. (2008), the time elapsed since the Fe-rich ejecta were heated by the reverse shock was estimated to be \( \sim 380 \) yr or less. Although the molecular cloud appears to be embedded within the SNR in the projected image (see Paper I), the short time elapsed can be explained in terms of the shock-cloud interaction with an inclination angle to the line of sight. If CO \(-37\) E cloud is hit by shock waves from the direction perpendicular to the line of sight, the photometric absorption will be observed (e.g., Sano et al. 2015; Sano 2016). However, there is no shadowing effect due to the molecular cloud in the soft-band X-ray image (0.5–1.0 keV; see Paper I). This trend is also consistent with the X-ray spectroscopy using Suzaku data sets. The absorbing column density of the southeastern shell is \( \sim (2.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{21} \) cm\(^{-2}\), which is the smallest value of the whole SNR (Tsubone et al. 2017). We finally conclude that the CO \(-37\) E cloud lies behind the SNR and the shock has only recently reached it, hence cosmic rays accelerated in the shock waves could not produce the bright gamma-rays at this time.

An alternative idea is that the density profiles of the molecular clouds are different. Sano et al. (2010) revealed that the molecular core C embedded in RX J1713.7–3946 has a density gradient following a \( r^{-2.2 \pm 0.4}\) law, where \( r\) is the radius of the molecular core. If the density gradient of the CO \(-37\) E cloud in RCW 86 is steeper than that of core C in RX J1713.7–3946, the mass illuminated by cosmic rays from RCW 86 should be further reduced than that of RX J1713.7–3946. To test this interpretation, we need additional observations of the CO \(-37\) E cloud in multiple CO transitions using ASTE, Mopra, and ALMA.

### 4.2. Total Cosmic-Ray Energy Budget

As discussed in Section 4.1, the TeV gamma-rays from RCW 86 favor the hadron-dominant origin. To obtain the total cosmic-ray energy, we first derive the number density of interstellar protons associated with the SNR. Using the result of Figure 5(a), the average number density and mass of interstellar atomic protons are estimated to be \( \sim 75 \) cm\(^{-3}\) and \( \sim 2 \times 10^5 \, M_\odot\), respectively, assuming a shell radius of \( \sim 15 \) pc and a thickness of \( \sim 5 \) pc (H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. 2018c). The average number density is roughly consistent with the estimate made in Paper I. The total cosmic-ray energy \( W_p \) above 1 GeV can be derived using the hadron-dominant model in H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c):

\[
W_p \sim 9 \times 10^{49} (n/1 \text{ cm}^{-3})^{-1} \text{ (erg)},
\]

where \( n \) is the number density of interstellar protons associated with the SNR. Adopting \( n = 75 \) cm\(^{-3}\), we finally obtain \( W_p \sim 1.2 \times 10^{58} \text{ erg} \). Table 3 compiles the young TeV gamma-ray SNRs—RX J1713.7–3946, Vela Jr, HESS J1731–347, and RCW 86. The total cosmic-ray energy is roughly similar for these SNRs, indicating that both core-collapse and Type Ia supernovae can accelerate cosmic rays up to a total energy of \( \sim 10^{48}–10^{50} \) erg, corresponding to 0.1%–1% of the typical kinematic energy of a supernova explosion (\( \sim 10^{51} \) erg). These values are significantly below the \( \sim 10\% \) \( \sim 10^{50} \) erg derived from conventional exceptions (e.g., Gabici et al. 2008). The difference is mainly due to an effect of the filling factor of the interstellar gas within the shell. Generally, the interstellar gas is not uniformly distributed within the shell of SNRs (e.g., Fukui et al. 2003; Kuriki et al. 2018). Additionally, recent numerical studies of H\(_1\) support the presence of small-scale clumpy structures of cold/dense H\(_1\) (e.g., Fukui et al. 2018). We can only detect hadronic gamma-rays in the regions where the interstellar gas is located. Although it is very difficult to derive the filling factor of interstellar gas within the shell, our estimation can be considered a lower limit of the total cosmic-ray energy. Future GASKAP H\(_1\) observations using the Australian Square Kilometre Array Pathfinder (DeBoer et al. 2009) will spatially resolve the small-scale structure of atomic hydrogen with a high-angular resolution of \( \sim 30 \) arcsec.

### 5. Conclusions

We present herein a detailed morphological study of TeV gamma-rays, synchrotron radiation, and interstellar gas to investigate whether the TeV gamma-rays from RCW 86 are predominantly originating from leptonic or hadronic mechanisms. The main conclusions are as follows:

| Table 3 |
| Comparison of Physical Properties in Young Shell-type SNRs |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RX J1713.7–3946 | RCW 86          | Vela Jr         | HESS J1731–347  |
| Age (yr)        | 1600            | 1800            | 2400            | 4000            |
| Distance (kpc)  | 1               | 2.5             | 0.75            | 5.2             |
| Radius (pc)     | 8.2             | 7.5             | 5.9             | 11              |
| Molecular proton mass \((10^4 M_\odot)\) | 0.9            | ...             | 0.1             | 5.1             |
| Atomic proton mass \((10^4 M_\odot)\) | 1.1             | 2.0             | 2.5             | 1.3             |
| Total proton mass \((10^4 M_\odot)\) | 2.0             | 2.0             | 2.6             | 6.4             |
| \(N_p(H_2)\) (cm\(^{-3}\)) | 60             | ...             | 4               | 48              |
| \(N_p(H_2+H\,I)\) (cm\(^{-3}\)) | 70             | 75              | 96              | 12              |
| \(N_p(H_2+H\,I)\) (cm\(^{-3}\)) | 130            | 75              | 100             | 60              |
| \(N_p(H_2)/N_p(H\,I)\) | 0.9             | <0.01           | 0.04            | 4               |
| Total cosmic-ray energy \((10^{48} \text{ erg})\) | 0.4             | 1.2             | 0.7             | 7               |
| SNR Type        | CC              | Type Ia         | CC?             | CC              |

Note. We adopt \(N_p(H_2+H\,I)\) and distances to the latest hadronic models presented by H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018a) for RX J1713.7–3946, H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018c) for RCW 86, H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2018b) for Vela Jr, and Guo et al. (2018) for HESS J1731–347.
1. The proton column density of the atomic gas shows good spatial correlation with the TeV gamma-rays in the azimuthal profiles, indicating that the TeV gamma-rays from RCW 86 are likely dominated by a hadronic origin. In contrast, poor spatial correlation is seen in the southeastern shell of the SNR between the proton column densities of molecular gas and the TeV gamma-rays. We argue that this poor correlation may be understood by considering that the low-energy cosmic rays (~1 TeV) do not penetrate into dense molecular clouds because of the young SNR age, ~1800 yr, an enhancement of the turbulent magnetic field around the dense cloud of ~10–100 μG, and a turbulence factor of ~1.

2. The southwestern shell shows a significant gamma-ray excess that does not reflect the distribution of the proton column density in atomic form. We argue that the leptonic gamma-rays possibly also contribute to the hadronic gamma-rays, which is consistent with the enhancement of both the synchrotron X-rays and radio continuum from the southwestern shell. If this is the case, the TeV gamma-rays from RCW 86 would mainly consist of hadron-dominant gamma-rays over the entire SNR, but the contribution of the leptonic gamma-rays to the total flux of gamma-rays is ~6%.

3. The total cosmic-ray energy is roughly similar for all the young TeV gamma-ray SNRs—RX J1713.7–3946, Vela Jr, HESS J1731–347 and RCW 86—indicating that cosmic rays can be accelerated by both core-collapse Type Ia supernovae and core-collapse supernovae. The total energy of cosmic rays, ~10^{38–39} erg, derived using the gas density gives a safe lower limit, mainly because of the low filling factor of interstellar gas within the shell.
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Appendix
Determination of Conversion Factor

To derive the conversion factor $X$ between the molecular hydrogen column density $N(H_2)$ and integrated intensity of CO, $W(CO)$, we utilize the Planck dust opacity $\tau_{353}$ at the frequency of 353 GHz, dust temperature, and NANTEN 12CO($J=1\rightarrow0$) maps following the method presented by Okamoto et al. (2017).

Figures 7(a) and (b) show the NANTEN 12CO($J=1\rightarrow0$) maps. The integrated velocity ranges are (a) $V_{LSR}$ from $-46$ to $-28$ km s$^{-1}$ and (b) $V_{LSR}$ from $-15$ to $0$ km s$^{-1}$. The former corresponds to the velocity range that is associated with RCW 86, and the latter represents the local molecular cloud velocity. The molecular clouds as shown in Figure 7(a) are a part of the molecular supershell GS 314.8–0.1–34 discovered by Matsunaga et al. (2001). Figures 7(c) and (d) show the Planck dust opacity $\tau_{353}$ at the frequency of 353 GHz and the dust temperature map, respectively. We find good spatial correspondence between the CO and $\tau_{353}$ toward the CO $\sim 37$ E cloud and filamentary molecular cloud located at the south of the SNR. We also note that the southern shell of RCW 86 shows a high dust temperature $>20$ K, indicating shock heating.

According to Okamoto et al. (2017), the total proton column density $N_p(H_2 + H_1)$ can be derived by

$$N_p(H_2 + H_1) = 9.0 \times 10^{24} (\tau_{353})^{1/3}, \quad (8)$$

where the nonlinear term of 1/3 indicates the dust-growth factor discussed by Roy et al. (2013) and Okamoto et al. (2017).

Figure 8 shows a correlation plot between $W(CO)$ and $N_p(H_2 + H_1)$ which was derived by using Equation (8). We use the data points of CO with 3$\sigma$ or higher significance, except for the region detected in the local molecular clouds. We perform linear fittings using the MPFITEXY routine for each dust temperature range, which provides the slope, intercept, and reduced-$\chi^2$ values (Williams et al. 2010). We find that using only the data points with dust temperature $<19.5$ K gives a best fit with a reduced-$\chi^2$ ~ 1.02 (degree of freedom = 87). We finally obtain that the slope is ~1.0 $\times$ 10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (K km s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$ and the intercept is ~5.6 $\times$ 10$^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. From Equation (3), $N_p(H_2 + H_1)$ can be also written as

$$N_p(H_2 + H_1) = 2X \cdot W(CO) + N_p(H_I) \quad (9)$$

$$\equiv (\text{slope}) \cdot W(CO) + (\text{intercept}). \quad (10)$$

We therefore obtain the conversion factor $X$ to be ~0.5 $\times$ 10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (K km s$^{-1}$)$^{-1}$. 
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Figure 7. Distribution of (a, b) NANTEN $^{12}$CO($J = 1-0$, $V_{LSR}$: $-46$ to $-28$ km s$^{-1}$) and (c) Planck dust opacity $\tau_{353}$ at the frequency of 353 GHz, and (d) Planck dust temperature toward RCW 86. The integration velocity ranges are (a) $V_{LSR}$ from $-46$ to $-28$ km s$^{-1}$ and (b) $V_{LSR}$ from $-15$ to 0 km s$^{-1}$. The superposed contours are the same as those in Figure 1.

Figure 8. Correlation plot between $W($CO$)$ and $N_{\text{H}}$ derived using the dust opacity $\tau_{353}$ and Equation (7). The linear regression by $\chi^2$ fitting is shown by the solid green line.
