Application of signal processing for DNA sequence compression
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Abstract: Due to the advancement of high-throughput sequencing technologies, it is now feasible for sequencing individual genomes in a fast and affordable manner. With the significant increase in the number of individual genomes, compression methods are needed to reduce pressure on data storage as well as enable effective data distribution and management. The compression methods can generally be divided into two classes, namely reference-free methods and reference-based methods. In reference-free methods, redundancies within the target DNA sequence to be compressed are explored. In reference-based methods, redundancies between the target DNA sequence and other reference sequences are identified to achieve compression. This type of method is applicable to population sequences which are highly similar to each other and have a small number of mismatches. Some of the methods can also be applied to partially similar sequences such as chromosome sequences or sequences having evolutionary relationship. The authors highlight recent developments in these methods. In the comparative study, the authors’ simulation results reveal that the selection of a reference sequence is a crucial factor affecting the compression performance. Use of multiple number of reference sequences and enhancement strategies such as reference rewriting are important to achieve a large compression gain.

1 Introduction

Sequencing techniques allow the DNA data to be represented as a long string made up from four nucleotide bases. This facilitates the computational analysis of our biological make-up for use in areas such as forensics applications, crime investigation or parental connection establishment [1–3]. Recent advancement in next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques enables sequencing the individual genome in a fast and affordable manner. As a result, a large number of genomic data are produced for various studies. For example, the genomic data has been used for studying variations of genetic diseases on individuals so that personalised medicines and diagnostic tools can be developed [4]. Specific mutations within the genomic data have been used to study the risk of developing certain diseases [5, 6]. The collection of data has also been used to study pattern about an organism's evolutionary history and aid in phylogenetic tree reconstruction [7–10]. On the other hand, NGS techniques have introduced a new challenge in which a lot of DNA sequences have to be stored in various databases [11, 12]. Large public databases for storing DNA sequences include the GenBank at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) [13], the European Bioinformatics Institute EMBL database [14] and the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) [15]. Records in these three databases are indeed synchronised so that each database contains a copy of the others. Researchers can then download data conveniently from these databases for study.

There are different file formats to store the DNA sequences. Examples include Genbank, EMBL, BAM, SAM, FASTQ and FASTA [16]. These file formats are used in different contexts, but they can be converted to one another easily. Although the exact details to be stored in these file formats may not be the same, they often contain two distinct parts. The first part is metadata such as sequence identifier, annotation and/or description. The second part is the actual nucleotide sequence. Currently, only general-purpose lossless compression methods such as gzip and bzip2 [17] are applied to reduce the storage.

From 1982 to now, the number of the nucleotide bases in GenBank is doubled approximately every 1.5 years [18]. In projects such as the 1000-Genomes project [19] or the UK 10k Project [20], thousands of genomes are sequenced to facilitate the study of the genomic landscape of various diseases [5]. Consider that the size of the genome sequence data of one person reaches several gigabytes, a large storage is required to store the complete genome sequences generated from these projects. To address these issues, effective compression algorithms are needed for DNA sequences.

Due to the different characteristics between the metadata and the DNA nucleotide sequence, specific compressor for a particular file format always adopts different principles in compressing the metadata and the nucleotide sequence. For example, the compressor for FASTQ adopts template compression method for the header, LZ coding for the quality line and a combination of identical sub-sequences within the target DNA sequence to be compressed so that they are encoded only once. Examples of popular compression methods in this group of techniques include BioCompress [23, 24], Cfict [25], GenCompress [26–28], CTW + LZ [29] DNACompress [30], DNASequitur [31] and GenML [32, 33]. While these tools use different ways to identify and characterise intra-sequence similarities, the compression rate is not high. Recently, statistical methods have been proposed to explore redundancies within the DNA sequence to be compressed. Various models are used to predict the occurrence probability so as to achieve compression. Examples include XM [34], GENBIT [35], HuffBit [36], DNASalibit [37], PRDNC [38], SeqCompress [39], DNAbit-2 [40] and the modified Huffman coding [41]. For these reference-free methods that explore redundancies within the DNA sequences, the storage size in most cases can be reduced by <40%.
It is certainly insufficient for large-scale data distribution and storage.

For the past decade, different effective reference-based compression algorithms have been proposed. Instead of considering only redundancies within the target sequence to be compressed, similarities among different DNA sequences are considered. This is often termed ‘inter-sequence similarities’. For example, similarities among different chromosome sequences of the same species [42, 43], similarities between sequences that may be related through evolution [44] and similarities between individual genomes within a population of the same species [17, 22, 45] can be considered. Compression can then be achieved through referencing instructions. In other words, similar subsequences are encoded once only which act as references to their occurrence at other locations of the same sequence or other sequences. Examples of methods in this group include DNAzip [12], RLCSA [46], RLZ [47, 48], GR5 [49], GReEn [50], iDoComp [51], COMRAD [52, 53], ERGC [54], CoGI [55, 56], GDC [57, 58], FRESCO [59] and RCC [60]. With the use of appropriate reference sequences, the storage size reduction in some cases can be over 90%.

The objective of this paper is to present an up-to-date account of recent research done in DNA sequence compression. Existing DNA sequence compression surveys focus mainly on those publications on DNA compression mostly from 2010 to 2018. This paper addresses the basic rationales, techniques and their important results. This study highlights the principles of different compression algorithms. Besides, we have performed a comparative study of compression methods in terms of the compression gain and the computational requirements for two population datasets. It will be beneficial to individuals who are interested in DNA compression as well as general signal processing researchers who may want to know more about DNA compression methods.

This paper is organised as follows. We will first give an introduction to DNA sequences and describe their characteristics in Section 2. After that, important properties of DNA sequences and how these properties can be characterised in a computational manner will be discussed in Section 3. Then descriptions about two kinds of compression methods are given. In particular, Section 4 describes methods that explore redundancies within a DNA sequence while Section 5 considers those reference-based methods for highly similar population sequences. Some of the reference-based methods can be extended to work on partially similar datasets and are discussed in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

2 Characteristics of DNA sequences

Biological information of living organism is encoded in the long DNA sequence which is made up from only four different nucleotide bases, namely A, T, C and G. For the past decade, different effective reference-based compression algorithms have been proposed. Instead of considering only redundancies within the target sequence to be compressed, similarities among different DNA sequences are considered. This is often termed ‘inter-sequence similarities’. For example, similarities among different chromosome sequences of the same species [42, 43], similarities between sequences that may be related through evolution [44] and similarities between individual genomes within a population of the same species [17, 22, 45] can be considered. Compression can then be achieved through referencing instructions. In other words, similar subsequences are encoded once only which act as references to their occurrence at other locations of the same sequence or other sequences. Examples of methods in this group include DNAzip [12], RLCSA [46], RLZ [47, 48], GR5 [49], GReEn [50], iDoComp [51], COMRAD [52, 53], ERGC [54], CoGI [55, 56], GDC [57, 58], FRESCO [59] and RCC [60]. With the use of appropriate reference sequences, the storage size reduction in some cases can be over 90%.

The objective of this paper is to present an up-to-date account of recent research done in DNA sequence compression. Existing DNA sequence compression surveys focus mainly on those algorithms using intra-sequence similarities [61–63]. Our focus is on the recent development of compression algorithms considering not only intra-sequence similarities, but also statistical redundancies and inter-sequence similarities. We surveyed publications on DNA compression mostly from 2010 to 2018. This paper addresses the basic rationales, techniques and their important results. This study highlights the principles of different compression algorithms. Besides, we have performed a comparative study of compression methods in terms of the compression gain and the computational requirements for two population datasets. It will be beneficial to individuals who are interested in DNA compression as well as general signal processing researchers who may want to know more about DNA compression methods.

This paper is organised as follows. We will first give an introduction to DNA sequences and describe their characteristics in Section 2. After that, important properties of DNA sequences and how these properties can be characterised in a computational manner will be discussed in Section 3. Then descriptions about two kinds of compression methods are given. In particular, Section 4 describes methods that explore redundancies within a DNA sequence while Section 5 considers those reference-based methods for highly similar population sequences. Some of the reference-based methods can be extended to work on partially similar datasets and are discussed in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

2.1 Intra-sequence repetitions

Studies find that there are many repetitions in DNA sequences. It is estimated that the human genome has around 50% of repeat sequences within itself [64]. For example, the human genome contains over 100 million copies of the Alu repeat which is about 300 bases long [65]. All modern mammals contain a highly repeated family of long interspersed repeated DNA called the L1 family [66] which is made up from >6000 bases. Hence, these subsequences sharing similar bases compositions and arrangement can be explored for compression purpose.

To illustrate the idea, consider the short segment of the chromosome 19 of the human Homo sapiens sequence in Fig. 1a. The sub-sequence ‘CTTG’ (highlighted by the green colour) can be found at two different base positions and the second occurrence from positions 48 to 51. Similarly, ‘GCCC’ can be found at three different locations (highlighted by the orange colour): first from positions 7 to 10, second from positions 14 to 17 and third from positions 45 to 48. Hence, this kind of intra-sequence similarities can be explored to achieve compression. In particular, repeats are encoded only once.
The information can be concatenated and compressed using referencing instructions. Consider ‘GGCC’ which is found from positions 7 to 10 (highlighted by the orange colour in Fig. 1a), a referencing instruction can be used to denote its second occurrence from positions 14 to 17 as follows:

Referencing instruction at position 14:
sub-sequence with (start: 7, end: 10).

In this way, the second occurrence of ‘GGCC’ can be removed from the original sequence in Fig. 1a. Fig. 1c shows the resultant sub-sequence that needs to be encoded. Through using the referencing instruction, the number of bases that needs to be encoded then reduces from 60 to 56. Similarly, the following two referencing instructions can be added to remove the remaining two similar sub-sequences ‘GGCC’ and ‘TTG’ in the last row of Fig. 1a.

Referencing instruction at position 45:
sub-sequence with (start: 7, end: 10).

Referencing instruction at position 49:
sub-sequence with (start: 2, end: 4).

After having these two referencing instructions, we only need to encode 49 bases as shown in Fig. 2 instead of 60 bases. The referencing instructions, however, need to be encoded to achieve lossless compression. A list containing the starting and the ending positions of all the referencing instructions can be constructed. The list can then be encoded further using methods such as arithmetic coding.

The above illustration considers only exact repetitions. In fact, more repeats can be found if approximate repeats are also explored. The approximate repeats mean that the two matched sub-sequences have similar base compositions except at a few base positions. These mismatched bases can be characterised through substitution, deletion and/or insertion operations. For example, ‘GCCT’ (highlighted in blue colour in Fig. 1a) is similar to ‘GGCC’ except at the last base position. In this case, we can perform the substitution operation at the last position to replace ‘C’ by ‘T’. In approximate repeats, in addition to the starting and the ending positions of repeats, the referencing instructions should also contain:

- the types of operations to be performed such as substitution, insertion and deletion;
- the relative positions at where the bases are different in the approximate repeats; and
- the replacement base for a substitution operation and the inserted base for an insertion operation.

The information can be concatenated and compressed using methods such as arithmetic coding. As long as similar sub-sequences are long, it would be advantageous in terms of compression to perform approximate matching.

DNA sequence contains a special kind of repetition called complementary repeat or palindrome. Due to its double helix structure, base in one DNA strand would bind to its complementary base in the other DNA strand. The base ‘A’ is complementary to ‘T’ while ‘C’ is complementary to ‘G’. Hence, the sub-sequence ‘GGCC’ is complementary repeat of ‘GGCC’ as the complement bases of ‘GGCC’ are ‘CGGG’ and their reverse ordering are ‘GGGC’. Similarly, ‘GCCT’ is complementary repeat of ‘AGGC’. By considering the approximate complementary repeats, we can see that the sub-sequence ‘GGGA’ (purple colour in Fig. 1a) is complementary repeat of ‘GGCC’ with the last base substituted by ‘A’. Thus sub-sequence ‘GGGA’ can be represented through referencing and substitution operations. In summary, all types of repeats including the exact repeat, the approximate repeat and the complementary repeat can be explored in DNA sequences to achieve compression.

### 2.2 Inter-sequence repetitions

In addition to intra-sequence similarities, DNA sequences contain other kinds of similarities. For example, different chromosome sequences of a species can be similar to each other. Genomes of different individuals in the same species can have high similarities as well. To illustrate these kinds of inter-sequence similarities, the short segment of the chromosome 19 of the human Homo sapiens in Fig. 1a is considered. Figs. 3 and 4 show, respectively, the sub-sequences in the chromosome 19 that can be found in different chromosome sequences of the human Homo sapiens and the two chromosome sequences of the mouse genome. In Fig. 3a, a sub-sequence from positions 38 to 57 of the chromosome 19 is essentially same as that of the chromosome 3 except at position 51 where the base ‘G’ in the chromosome 19 should be substituted by ‘A’ to obtain the corresponding sub-sequence in the chromosome 3. Similarly, from Figs. 3b–d, we can see that chromosomes 5, 8 and 11 contain approximate repeats of sub-sequences in the chromosome 19 with a few number of mismatched bases. Figs. 4a and b show the similarities between the chromosome 19 of the human Homo sapiens and chromosomes 5 and 16 of the mouse genome. With the use of referencing instructions similar to the case of intra-sequence similarities, part of the sequence can be encoded by referencing to the chromosome 19 of the human Homo sapiens for all these chromosome sequences. This example shows that similarities can commonly be found from other sequences in addition to it.

### 3 Signal processing techniques for identifying sequence similarities

Existing DNA-oriented compression methods are based on the ideas of finding various types of repeats in DNA sequences. In fact, searching of these repeats can be time-consuming. It is often not a trivial task to find all these repeats in a very long DNA sequence efficiently and effectively. Various methods have been proposed for identifying similar sub-sequences. These methods can generally be grouped into five classes, namely suffix-based array methods, optimisation methods through dynamic programming, seed extension methods, rule-based methods and parsing methods. A brief description of these methods is given here for completeness. For detailed discussion, readers may refer to previous survey papers on DNA compression methods [61–63].

A suffix tree is a kind of data structure that is used to characterise exact matches. In suffix-based methods, repeats are considered as common prefixes and a suffix tree is constructed so that similar sub-sequences are represented as the longest common prefix. Compression methods such as Cfact [25], RLCSA [46], iDoComp [51] and FRESCEO [59] have used suffix tree-based methods for identifying and characterising repeats.

The identification of similar sub-sequences can also be formulated as an optimisation problem which is solved iteratively through dynamic programming. The compressed size can be considered as a cost function for minimisation. It has been used in methods such as GenCompress [26–28] and CTW + LZ [29]. However, the computational complexity of dynamic programming is often very high. To reduce the complexity, various seed-based
methods can be used. Short seed matches considering only sub-sequence pairs with a small fixed length are first searched. These short matches are then extended to longer sub-sequence matches progressively. Seed-based methods are used frequently in DNA compression methods. Examples include DNACompress [30], MSC [56] and RCC [60].

The rule-based methods use grammar rules to characterise repeats. Consider a short DNA sequence $S =$ {ACTGCTACTG}. $S$ can be represented as $R_1 R_2 R_1$ where the two rules are defined as $R_1 \rightarrow A R_2 G$ and $R_2 \rightarrow CT$. These rules are then entropy encoded. Example rule-based compression methods are DNA Sequitur [31] and COMRAD [52, 53]. In parsing methods, the DNA sequence is usually divided into a number of phrases using a greedy strategy where the phrases denote repeats in the sequence. Parsing methods have been used in RLZ and RLZ-opt [47, 48] and LZ77 [67].

In fact, these different methods can be combined to search for repeats. For example, GDC [57, 58] has used both seed-based methods and parsing methods for repeats identification. Besides, several homology search engines are available for searching repeats in DNA sequences. Examples include Blastn [68] and PatternHunter [69]. Blastn stands for the basic local alignment search tool for nucleotides. It is freely available online [70].

4 Reference-free DNA compression methods

In reference-free compression methods, the DNA sequence is compressed through various ways of exploring the redundant information within the sequence itself. Table 1 summarises these reference-free compression algorithms by listing their publication year, the types of redundancies explored, the characteristics of the compression method as well as the bits per base (bpb) performance. Traditionally, the redundant information is the repetitive sub-sequences existed within the DNA sequence itself. This type of redundancy has been explored by many DNA compression algorithms. They generally use the five different classes of methods discussed in Section 3 (i.e. suffix-based methods, dynamic programming, seed-based methods, rule-based methods and parsing methods) to identify and represent the similar sub-sequences found within the target DNA sequence to be compressed. The repeats are encoded by referencing to its previous occurrence while the non-repetitive part is encoded using arithmetic coding, context-tree weighting (CTW) or the 2-bit lossless representation.

Starting from 2007, statistical methods are getting popular for reference-free DNA sequence compression. Some of these methods develop models to identify the unbalanced symbol occurrence
| Publication year | Name              | Redundancies considered | Characteristics of the compression method                                                                 | bpb |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1993, 1994      | BioCompress [23, 24] | Exact match             | One of the early approaches for DNA compression. A sub-sequence is encoded by referencing to an identical sub-sequence occurring in the past. Only the position of the previously occurred similar sub-sequence and the repetition length are encoded. BioCompress-1 uses 2 bpb for non-repeative sequences while BioCompress-2 uses arithmetic coding. | 1.785 |
| 1996            | Cfact [25]         | Exact match             | Uses suffix tree to characterise the repeat s. The suffix tree is built in the first pass while LZ-based coding is done in the second pass. As building the suffix tree is time-consuming, the compression time of Cfact is longer than that of BioCompress. | Around 1.8 |
| 1999, 2000      | GenCompress [26–28] | Exact and approximate match | Uses dynamic programming method to identify repeats. In approximate repeats, substitutions are considered in GenCompress-1 while deletions and insertions are also explored in GenCompress-2. | 1.7428 |
| 2000            | CTW + LZ [29]      | Exact and approximate match | Uses dynamic programming method to identify repeats. Long repeats are encoded by a LZ77-based algorithm while short repeats are compressed using CTW (context-tree weighting). | 1.7389 |
| 2002            | DNACompress [30]   | Exact and approximate match | Uses short seed matches method to find repeats. In particular, a tool called PatternHunter is used for searching repeats. Then repeats and non-repeat regions are encoded using LZ-based compression scheme. | 1.7254 |
| 2004            | DNASequitur [31]   | Exact and approximate match | Uses grammar-based method to characterise repeats. | 2.12 |
| 2005            | GeNML [32, 33]     | Exact match and substitutions in approximate match | Fixed-size blocks are encoded by referencing a previously encoded sub-sequence with a minimum Hamming distance. | 1.6882 |
| 2007            | XM [34]            | Statistical method      | A statistical approach that attempts to use a shortcode to represent a frequent symbol. A mixture of models is used for predicting the occurrence probability of a base. Adaptive coding is then used for encoding the predictions. | 1.6560 |
| 2010            | GENBIT [35]        | Statistical method      | A segment containing four bases is replaced by an 8-bit binary number. If the consecutive segments are the same, a specific bit ‘1’ is introduced as the ninth bit to achieve compression. | 2.2335 |
| 2010            | HuffBit [36]       | Statistical method      | Extended binary trees are constructed for compression. | NA |
| 2011            | DNABIT [37]        | Exact match (block-based) | Binary bits are assigned to exact repetitive fragments of DNA sequences. The sequence is sub-divided into blocks and compressed by referencing to its previous occurrence. | Around 1.6 |
| 2012            | PRDNAC [38]        | Exact match             | A symbol table about the repeats and a table about the reverse complement repeats are formed and encoded. The procedure of forming the tables is performed iteratively until an optimum compression is achieved. | 1.5170 |
| 2014            | SeqCompress [39]   | Statistical method      | Statistical models and arithmetic coding are used to achieve compression. The statistical model is developed to find frequent repeats of certain length. They are then encoded using arithmetic coding. | NA |
| 2014            | Runlength + ASCII [71] | Statistical method      | A modified run-length coding is applied which is then represented using ASCII values. | 1.6860 |
| 2014            | DNAC-K [72]        | Clustering of sub-sequences | The DNA sequence is divided into a number of sub-sequences. These sub-sequences are clustered iteratively to group similar sub-sequences together. The result of clustering is encoded by Huffman coding. | 1.6620 |
| 2016            | Optimal seed [73]  | Exact and approximate match | The seed based method is used to identify repeats. These repeats are then stored onto a dictionary which is encoded together with the parsed sequence. | 1.6190 |
| 2016            | Runlength + Huffman + ASCII [74] | Statistical method | Each base is represented using 2 bits. A modified run length encoding is then applied. This is followed by Huffman coding. Finally, the encoded sequence is converted into ASCII values. | 1.3740 |
| 2016            | DNABIT-2 [40]      | Exact blocks match      | Multiple bit pattern representations are developed to encode repetitive block patterns. These representations are then encoded using ASCII characters. | 1.5930 |
| 2017            | Context modelling approach [75] | Exact and approximate match | Context models among adjacent bases with different orders are obtained. These models are then combined through weighting to obtain one coding model for compression. | Around 1.7 |
| 2018            | Modified DNABIT [76] | Exact blocks match      | The original DNABIT method is modified to use extended ASCII encoding to further compress the encoded sequence. | 1.4330 |
| 2018            | Modified HuffBit [77] | Statistical method      | Huffman coding is applied to encode the extended binary tree. Each base is then replaced by binary bits generated from the tree. | NA |
| 2018            | Modified Huffman coding [41] | Statistical method      | By analysing frequent repeats, multiple ‘skewed’ Huffman trees are developed to achieve compression. | NA |
Due to the advancement in NGS, population sequences are possible. For example, only 0.1% of the 3 GB human genome is specific to an individual. The other 99.9% is shared among all people [57]. Therefore, reference-based compression methods can be used to explore this kind of redundancy for effective compression.

Consider an example of a set of population sequences consisting of 3615 Homo sapiens mitochondrial sequences. By comparing these 3615 sequences with the revised Cambridge reference sequence (GenBank accession number of AC_000031) [80], the average number of different bases between a DNA sequence within the population and the revised Cambridge reference sequence is only 33.8. Out of the sequence length of around 16,000 bases, the average similarity in bases is over 99.7%. Hence, it will be highly effective if these sequences are compressed by referencing to the revised Cambridge sequence so that only the base differences are encoded. This forms the basic assumption in all the recent population-based DNA compression algorithms exploring inter-sequence similarities.

In this part, compression methods for population sequences are reviewed in Section 5.1. Then our comparative study of these compression methods in terms of both the bpb performance and computational concerns is presented in Section 5.2.

### 5.1 Compression methods for population sequences

Table 2 summarises the DNA compression methods for population sequences. We can see that all these methods were proposed within the last ten years. Due to the highly similar nature of sequences within the population dataset, some methods simply encode the base-to-base difference with respect to a reference sequence. In some other approaches, inter-sequence similarities are searched using the five classes of techniques outlined in Section 3.

As shown in Table 2, all the compression methods for population sequences rely on the use of a highly similar reference to achieve compression. The reference sequence needs to be a good representation of all sequences within the population and should be highly similar to all of these sequences. As shown in [22, 59], a change of the reference sequence can have a big impact on the compression performance.

We also believe that one key factor affecting the performance is the way to select the reference sequence. Based on the differences in reference selection, we classify these algorithms into three groups. The first group uses one fixed genome as the reference sequence. The second group enhances the choice of the reference sequence through either constructing a consensus sequence or using more than one reference sequences. The third group uses...
various heuristics to define one or multiple number of reference sequences which is then modified and enhanced progressively to further improve compression.

Through collecting the published results available in the literature, the bpb performance of some of the reference-based compression methods for the dataset *S. paradoxus* [60] is studied as shown in Fig. 6. Comparing the reference-based methods in Fig. 6 with the reference-free methods in Fig. 5, we can see that the bpb for reference-based methods is in the range of 0.11–0.80. In contrast, the bpb for reference-free methods is in the range of 1.40–1.88. This shows that the use of a reference sequence can potentially improve the bpb. For compression methods in Group 1, the reference is specified by the user with prior knowledge on the dataset. Some methods choose an external genome as the reference while others choose one sequence within the population as the reference. Ideally, a way to find the best reference sequence is to perform compression by selecting one sequence within the population as the reference sequence. However, it would be very time-consuming and might not be practical for large datasets. Hence, the performance of Group 1 methods depends greatly on the ability of the user in finding a suitable reference sequence.

Selecting one sequence as the reference might not be optimal as this sequence might not be highly similar to all sequences within the population simultaneously. For compression methods in Group 2, the reference sequence can be constructed by considering base compositions of all the sequences within the population or by using repeats found within sequences in the population. The number of reference sequences can also be more than one, i.e. a set of reference sequences can also be more than one, i.e. a set of

| Year | Name | Compression method | Choice of reference sequence |
|------|------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| 2009 | DNAzip [12] | Base to base difference between a DNA sequence and a reference sequence is identified. The difference is then encoded in the form of single nucleotide polymorphisms (i.e. substitution operation), or insertions and deletions of multiple consecutive bases. | One external genome is used as the reference. |
| 2010 | Run length compressed suffix array (RLCSA) [46] | Compressed suffix array is adapted to compress a group of highly similar sequences together. Run length coding is then applied to the compressed suffix array. | The reference sequence is selected to be one of the DNA sequences inside the population dataset. |
| 2010, 2011 | Relative Lempel–Ziv (RLZ) [47, 48] | Lempel–ziv (LZ77) approach for general data compression is applied for parsing the DNA sequence into substrings. The compression is then done by encoding the sub-sequence with respect to a reference sequence. Correlations between the starting points of the sub-sequences and their positions in the reference sequence are exploited to further improve the compression performance. | The reference sequence is selected to be one of the DNA sequences inside the population dataset. |
| 2011 | Genome ReSequencing (GRS) [49] | Difference between a target sequence to be compressed and a reference sequence is evaluated. The longest common sub-sequence is obtained and the part of the sub-sequence that is different from the reference is extracted and compressed by Huffman coding. | One reference sequence is selected by the user. |
| 2012 | Genome Resequencing Encoding (GReEn) [50] | Two models are considered in compression: a copy model for similar sub-sequences and a static model for non-repeats. The copy model achieves compression through using a pointer to a position in the reference sequence that has high probability of containing a repeat. | One genome sequence is chosen as the reference sequence. |
| 2015 | iDoComp [51] | The representation in FRESCO is adapted to consider substitution and insertion operations in each approximate sub-sequence match. An adaptive arithmetic coding is then used to compress the approximated matches. | The reference sequence is selected to be one of the DNA sequences inside the population dataset. |
| 2009 | Reference-based compression approach [45] | Variations from a reference sequence including single nucleotide polymorphisms and contiguous insertions and deletions are encoded. | The reference sequence is a consensus sequence constructed by considering statistics at each base position of all the DNA sequences inside the population dataset. |
| 2012, 2015 | Compression using Redundancy of DNA (COMRAD) [52, 53] | A dictionary that stores repeats of all sequences in the population dataset is constructed iteratively. The repeats are encoded into short words to achieve compression. | The reference sequence is constructed based on the dictionary of repeats. |
| 2015 | Referential Compression Algorithm [81] | The longest matching prefix of the sequence is replaced by the matches present in the reference set to achieve compression. | The reference set is constructed from similar sub-sequences found in a set of randomly selected sequences in the population dataset. |
| 2015 | ERGC (Efficient Referential Genome Compressor) [54] | Sequences are divided into segments. Hashing is used to match repeats in these segments. Finally, repeats and non-repeat regions are fed into the PPMD (prediction by partial matching) compressor for further compression. | The reference set is constructed from fixed-sized repeats found within sequences in the population dataset. |
sequences that have been compressed during the progressive compression can be used as references. The flexibility of selecting and constructing the reference sequence can improve the overall compression in the population dataset. Generally, the bpb values in methods in Group 2 are lower than those in Group 1 as depicted in Fig. 6.

To further enhance the performance, methods in the third group enhance the selected/constructed reference sequence by using knowledge such as the most frequently occurring mismatches or any significantly long sub-sequence that cannot be matched. In this way, the reference sequence could be modified progressively when sequences in the population dataset are compressed. As shown in Fig. 6, the bpb performance of Group 3 methods is generally better than that of Group 2 methods.

Irrespective of how the reference sequence is selected, most of these methods rely on the use of a single reference sequence in the compression process.
compression. Essentially, it is assumed that a single reference sequence is sufficiently representative for all sequences inside the population. This, in fact, might not be true. For example, there are big variations between the southern group and the northern group of Han Chinese. One reference sequence may not provide good representation for both southern and northern groups at the same time. Hence in RCC, feature-based clustering is first performed to sequences within the population before applying reference-based compression. In this way, different reference sequences can be used in different clusters to describe the sub-structure found in particular groups within a population. With the use of multiple reference sequences, RCC gives the smallest bpb as shown in Fig. 6.

5.2 Comparative studies

To demonstrate the effect of the choice of reference sequence on the compression performance, we have performed a comparative analysis of some recent methods in Groups 1–3. In Group 1, where the reference sequence is pre-selected, RLCSA, RLZ-opt and iDoComp are chosen. In Group 2, MSC is considered in which the reference is based on the idea of sub-sequence matches among different DNA sequences within the population. In Group 3, GDC, FRESCO and RCC are tested. These three methods involve modifying the reference through adding extra phrases or long unmatched sub-sequences. RCC uses more than one reference sequences in its compression.

Two datasets are considered. The first dataset is s.aureus which contains a genome of bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* of 17 samples. The second dataset is e.coli which contains 33 genome samples of bacteria *Escherichia coli*. Both datasets can be downloaded at Ensembl (http://ensemblgenomes.org/). Windows system was adopted for implementation. All experiments were conducted on a computer with 2.66 Hz dual core CPU.

Table 3 summarises the compression performance in terms of the bpb. We can see that methods in Group 1 have bpb ranging from 0.51 to 1.66. In contrast, methods in Group 3 range from 0.23 to 0.77. This shows the importance of the selection of reference sequences. In RLCSA, RLZ-opt and iDoComp methods, the user has to select one sequence as the reference. But methods such as GDC and FRESCO enhance the selected reference sequence using extra phrases or rewriting. This has a positive effect on the compression performance.

However, it is always advantageous to use more than one reference sequence. We can see that the use of clustering and multiple reference sequences in RCC significantly outperform all other methods in population sequence compression. This shows that finding sub-structures within a set of population sequences is crucial for the compression performance. The flexibility in defining the set of reference sequences such as using statistical models for predicting the base composition can further be considered in the design of future compression method.

Figs. 7 and 8 show, respectively, the plots of the time taken for compression and decompression against the bpb performance for s.aureus and e.coli. The best algorithm should be the one that has small bpb and short compression/decompression time, i.e. the point locates at the lower left corner of the plot. RCC has the smallest bpb but the highest compression/decompression time. GDC has the second smallest bpb and its computations are significantly lower than RCC. Despite the fact that FRESCO allows reference rewriting while iDoCOMP simply chooses one sequence as the reference, their performances are comparable. In general, methods in Group 3 perform better than methods in Group 1. Note that the

---

| Table 3 Performance of different DNA compression algorithms on two sets of population sequences: s.aureus and e.coli |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
| RLCSA [46] | RLZ-opt [48] | iDoCOMP [51] | MSC [56] | GDC [57, 58] | FRESCO [59] | RCC [60] |
| 2010 | 2011 | 2015 | 2015 | 2011/2015 | 2013 | 2018 |
| bpb for s.aureus | 1.45 | 0.64 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.23 |
| bpb for e.coli | 1.66 | 0.91 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.77 | 0.28 |

---

**Fig. 7** Plots of
(a) Log of the compression time, (b) Log of the decompression time against bpb for s.aureus
decompression time is much faster than the compression time for all methods. Hence, in large-scale data distribution where compression is done once in the server, but decompression is performed by the user, all these methods are practical to be applied in the actual data distribution and sharing.

Figs. 7, 8 and Table 3 show that the use of multiple reference sequences can achieve the smallest bpb at the expense of computations. In RCC, normalised histogram is used to characterise a DNA sequence. K-means clustering is then performed on the normalised histogram in sequences clustering. In fact, other features such as k-mer frequencies can be used to characterise a DNA sequence and perform sequence similarity analysis. The combination of k-mer with the wavelet transform provides good characterisation of DNA sequences which can be explored for sequence clustering [83].

Further study is required to investigate the effect of using different combination of features and clustering on the compression performance in terms of both bpb and complexity considerations. Besides, as clustering is performed on the population sequences, the compression time is significantly increased. Various methods to improve the computational complexity can be investigated, such as the use of parallel computing platform [53].

6 Reference-based DNA compression methods for partially similar sequences

Partial similarities between two different DNA sequences are well known [42–44] and have been discussed in Section 2.2. These kinds of similarities are significant for compression because the size of inter-sequence repeats can be twice of the size of intra-sequence repeats [N43]. However, not all the methods in Section 5 can provide effective compression for sequences which only share partial similarity in certain portions. Methods that can be applied for characterising partial similarity include COMRAD [52, 53], ERGC [54], CoGI [55], MSC [56] and referential compression algorithm [81].

These algorithms could be extended to work as reference-based compression for a single sequence. In particular, sub-sequence matches are first performed between the target sequence to be compressed and reference sequences(s). The reference sequence can be the target sequence itself exploring intra-sequence similarity or another sequence exploring inter-sequence similarity. The sub-sequence matches are encoded which are then combined with the code of the residue to form an output bitstream. Both exact and approximate matches are considered by these methods.

The performance of these methods depends on the degree of similarities among sequences in the dataset. Table 4 shows the results of MSC in compressing a group of DNA sequences sharing a different degree of similarities [56]. For the chromosome sequences of *S. cerevisiae*, they have a certain degree of similarities, but the similarities are not too high. Despite that, the bpb still reduces from 1.92 to 1.86 if these chromosome sequences are compressed together rather than separately. ECA contains four DNA sequences of *E. coli* and its related species, while ECB contains six DNA sequences of three different groups of *E. coli*. We can see that the use of partial similarities is able to reduce the bpb significantly.

In fact, the bpb reflects the similarities of the group of DNA sequences to be compressed. ECB contains different groups of the same species, while ECA consists of sequences of related species. Thus, sequences in ECB should be more similar than those in ECA. This is in line with the findings that the bpb in ECB is significantly lower than that in ECA. Indeed, this property enables compression methods to be used for quantifying the relationship among different species. For example, the compression method has been used to build the evolution tree of mammalian DNA sequences [84]. It has also used to discover new sub-families of Alu-sequences [85, 86]. Hence, the main purpose of using compression methods in these

---

**Table 4** bpb of different groups of sequences by compressing them separately and by using MSC

| Sequence            | bpb in separate compression | bpb achieved by MSC |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| S. cerevisiae: 6 chromosomes | 1.9226 | 1.8625 |
| ECA                 | 1.8886 | 1.2742 |
| ECB                 | 1.9037 | 0.4461 |

---

**Fig. 8** Plots of (a) Log of the compression time, (b) Log of the decompression time against bpb for e.coli
cases are not to reduce the storage size, rather they are used to discover the hidden relationship among the sequences, such as whether they are coming from the same family tree.

7 Conclusion

Advances in biological and sequencing technologies have been providing us an explosive growth in genome data. The large-scale distribution and management of DNA sequences are challenging without a compression framework. In this paper, we have reviewed the properties of DNA sequences that can be exploited for compression. Two classes of DNA sequence compression methods have been discussed. The first class of methods considers similar sub-sequences found within the target DNA sequence to be compressed only. The second class extends the intra-sequence similarities to consider similarities from other sequences as well. It mainly focuses on population sequences generated from the NGS techniques. For each class of method, their basic principles and compression performance have been discussed.

For population sequences compression, one key issue is the choice of the reference sequence. The reference sequence can be selected as one sequence within the population or can be constructed by considering the statistics of all sequences within the population. Besides, the reference sequence can also be modified during progressive compression of sequences in a population dataset. Our experimental results show that by having a strategy to flexibly select, construct and modify the reference sequence, the compression performance can be significantly improved. Besides, the use of more than one reference sequences always improves the compression gain because the sub-structure exist in the population sequences can be characterised by different reference sequences. Further study is, however, required to develop an efficient framework of choosing more than one reference sequence in population sequences. Strategies such as parallel computing can be used to reduce the computational complexity. With these improvements, large-scale DNA sequence storage and distribution should be possible. Besides, other signal processing techniques such as compressive sensing could be explored for DNA sequence compression. For example, in releasing DNA-based compression methods for population sequences, the difference between the target sequence to be compressed and the reference sequence can be considered to be a ‘sparse’ signal if these two sequences are highly similar to each other. In this way, compressive sensing can be applied to the sparse signal to achieve compression. This would be a future direction for further study by the signal processing community.
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