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Legesse Admassu  Sakatu Hunduma  Abdissa Mekonnen
Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Holeta Agricultural Research Center, P.O. Box 2003, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

Abstract
Soil and climatic conditions in West Shoa are on the margins of agricultural capability due to low soil fertility and soil acidity. The overall objective of this research was to develop guidelines for the production of barley in West Shoa, with the goal of establishing modern agronomic recommendations. We conducted a 3-year study in Welmera Wereda to examine the effect of seeding rate and nitrogen fertilization rate on malt barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) yield components and grain yield. Increasing seeding rate from 75 kg ha-1 to 175 kg ha-1 did not alter grain yield and other yield component traits. Increasing N fertilization from 0 to 69 kg N ha-1 increased productive tillers and spike length at harvest, resulting in linear increases in grain yield. Highest N rates had greatest lodging. Based on our results, agronomic recommendations for West Shoa now include barley seeding rates of as low as 75 kg ha-1, with N applications up to at least 46 kg N ha-1.

Keywords: nitrogen fertilizer, seed rate, quality, malt barley
DOI: 10.7176/JNSR/11-19-01
Publication date: October 31st 2020

INTRODUCTION
Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world, ranking fourth after wheat, maize, and rice in terms of production (Shah et al., 2009). Barley one of the most important food crops predominantly grown from 1500 to 3500 m above sea level in Ethiopia (Lakew et al., 1996). It covers an area of about 1.13 million ha, but its national average yield is low at 1.7 t ha-1 (CSA, 2014). In the central highlands of Ethiopia barley is an important grain crop for food in the forms such as bread, porridge, soup, roasted grain and preparing alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks. It is an important food grain and malting crop in the Ethiopian highlands, with malting barley a major source of income for smallholder farmers (Yirga et al., 1998). In recent years, the demand for malting barley has increased significantly because of the increase in demand from breweries (Mohammed & Legesse, 2003). According to the Ethiopian standard authority and Asella malt factory (AMF), the protein level of the raw barley quality standard for malt should be between 9-12% (EQSA, 2006). Although there is a considerable potential for increased production of high quality malting barley, the production of malting barley in Ethiopia has not expanded enough to benefit most barley growers. The factors constraining the productivity of barley in the different barley production systems have been identified and documented (Mulatu & Lakew, 2011). The most important abiotic stresses include low soil fertility, low soil pH, poor soil drain age, drought, and poor agronomic practices.

Nitrogen (N) is one of the most essential and vital elements in plants and is also a major limiting factor in crop production (Kraiser et al., 2011). Plants take up N from soil and utilize it for a variety of metabolic purposes, including synthesis of proteins, enzymes, phyto-hormones, nucleic acids ribonucleic acid and deoxyribonucleic acid (RNA and DNA), and other biological molecules. It particularly influences malting barley yield and grain protein content and consequently malting quality (Spaner et al., 2001). Excess soil N may raise the protein content of the kernel, which is undesirable for malting. It is also reported that excessive N rates reduce grain yields probably due to a lower efficiency in the remobilization of photo assimilates from the vegetative organs to the grains (Yang et al., 2001; Yang and Zhang, 2006). Maximum yield is obtained by the application of N fertilizer at the higher end of current recommendations. So in malting barley production there is often a conflict between the aim of growing crops to meet the requirements of malting industries and of achieving the highest gross margin if the standard for grain N content is not met. After anthesis, no more effective grain sites can be formed so the N is deposited in the grain. If for example, many grains are formed but the grain size is limited by the available carbohydrate after anthesis, the limited by the available carbohydrate after anthesis, the resulting high grain N concentration and low mean grain weight are undesirable for malting.

In Ethiopia, where pH, organic carbon, and N content of most soil s are low, N fertilizer rates applied for malting barley production vary between 18 and 41 kg N ha-1. In other part of the world the N fertilizer is usually applied to malt barley varies between 25 and 60 kg N ha-1 (Lázzari et al., 2007). The N rate above 41 kg ha-1 in Ethiopia has been considered to produce higher grain N content. Manipulating plant density is an effective practice to optimize tiller and main stem competition for light, water, and nutrition, therefore enhancing grain yield and N.
use efficiency (Chen and Neill, 2006). One potential problem with increased sowing density is the risk of an increase in fungal pathogens, especially in row pattern (Olsen and Weiner, 2001). High seeding rates also make the seeds shriveled and increase its protein and decrease its malting quality.

To maximize yield and quality of malting barley, it has been shown that N management practices should be adjusted according to anticipated availability of water and N in the soil (McKenzie et al., 2005) and the needs of particular cultivars (Edney et al., 2012). Management strategies for malting barley must therefore maintain a balance between achieving economic yield responses and maintaining the grain protein concentration within a desirable range, which is possible under appropriate conditions of N application (Fathi, McDonald, and Lance, 1997). Thus, management of N and seeding rate is a critical issue for yield and quality of malting barley. Although it is expected that N fertilizer rates and seeding rate are important, little is known about their effects and interactions in the Ethiopian context. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the effects of seeding rates and N fertilizer rates on the yield and quality of malting barley under rain-fed conditions in the Ethiopian highlands.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The trial was conducted on farmers’ fields from 2014-2016 during the main cropping seasons in West Shewa, Welmera Wereda in the central highlands of Ethiopia. The rainfall is bimodal with long-term average annual rainfall 1100mm, about 25% of which falls from June to September and the rest from January to May and average minimum and maximum air temperature of 6.2 and 22.1 0C, respectively. The environment is seasonally humid and major soil type of the trial sites is Eutric Nitisol (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). Treatments were a factorial combinations of five level of seed rates (75, 100, 125, 150 & 175 kg ha⁻¹) and four levels of N fertilizer (0, 23, 46, 69 kg N ha⁻¹). Treatments were laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. The plot size was 3m by 3m (9 m²) and the spacing between plots and blocks were 0.5m and 1m, respectively. The recommended phosphorus fertilizer amount (46 kg P ha⁻¹) was uniformly applied as triple super phosphate (TSP) to all plots at sowing. Urea was used as the source of N which was applied in two doses; half at sowing and half at mid tillering stage. Other agronomic practices were applied based on local research recommendations.

Statistical analysis
The data were subjected to analysis of variance using the procedure of the of SAS statistical package version 9.0 (SAS Institute, 2001). Means for the main effects were compared using the means statement with least significant difference (LSD) test at the 5% level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Table 1: Table of means for main effects of seeding rate and N fertilizer application rate on malt barley crop parameters

| Treatments (kg ha⁻¹) | Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) | Biomass yield (kg ha⁻¹) | Protein content (%) | Productive tiller (m²) | Spike length (cm) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| Seedling rate        |                       |                        |                     |                        |                  |
| 75                   | 2487                  | 7892.9                 | 10.59               | 280                    | 6.6              |
| 100                  | 2431                  | 7706.4                 | 10.52               | 284                    | 6.4              |
| 125                  | 2501                  | 8177.1                 | 10.74               | 290                    | 6.4              |
| 150                  | 2542                  | 8207.1                 | 10.35               | 293                    | 6.4              |
| 175                  | 2594                  | 8243.9                 | 10.54               | 309                    | 6.2              |
| Sig. ns              |                       |                        |                     |                        |                  |
| Nitrogen rate        |                       |                        |                     |                        |                  |
| 0                    | 1611c                 | 6528c                  | 10.20c              | 233c                   | 5.9c             |
| 23                   | 2194b                 | 8099b                  | 10.35bc             | 272b                   | 6.3b             |
| 46                   | 3204a                 | 8854a                  | 10.95a              | 335a                   | 6.6a             |
| 69                   | 3035a                 | 8701a                  | 10.68ab             | 322a                   | 6.8a             |
| Sig. ***             | ***                   | ***                   | ***                 | ***                   | ***              |
| CV (%)               | 19.5                  | 16.2                   | 5.2                 | 15.5                   | 5.9              |

Within each column, means with different letters are significantly different at p < 0.05; CV, coefficient of variation

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) result showed that seeding rate did not significantly (p>0.05) affected grain yield, biomass yield, and yield components of barley like productive tiller and spike length (Table 1). Protein content was also not significantly (p>0.05) affected by seed rate though the highest protein content was obtained at 125 kg ha⁻¹. ANOVA result showed that N rate has significantly (p<0.001) affected grain and biomass yield of barley (Table 1). 46 kg ha⁻¹ N rate gave significantly higher grain yield though it did not show significant difference with that of 69 kg N ha⁻¹. As N rate increases from 0 to 46 kg N ha⁻¹ the grain yield also showed consistent increment. This result were in agreement with Amare and Adane, 2015 reports who mentioned that
significant increases in grain yields of malt barley crop with increasing levels of N fertilizer. Significantly higher biomass yield was obtained with the N application rate of 46 kg ha\(^{-1}\) though it was statistically similar with N rate of 69 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Nil application of N significantly (p<0.001) brought about lower grain and biomass yield followed by 23 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). N rate has significantly (p<0.001) affected protein content of malt barley. Significantly higher protein content was recorded by the application of 46 kg ha\(^{-1}\) though it was not significantly different from 69 kg N per ha. As N rate increased protein content also increased up to 46 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) then it started to decrease (Table 1). Similarly, Amare and Adane, 2015 found that with low available nitrogen in the soil, malt barley responds well to applied fertilizer, showing increases in both grain yield and protein content. Increasing in protein may increase steep times, create undesirable qualities in the malt, excessive enzymatic activity and low extract yield (Johnston et al., 2007). ANOVA result also indicated that N rate has significantly (p<0.001) affected productive tillers of barley (Table 1). Significantly higher productive tillers were recorded by the application of 46 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) though it was statistically at par with 69 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Significantly lowest tillers were recorded by nil application of N followed by 23 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Number of productive tillers increased with increment of nitrogen level in this research work. This might be due to the role of N fertilizer in accelerating vegetative growth of plants and nitrogen stimulates tillering, that could be due to its effect on cytokine/protein synthesis. The results were in agreement with Abdullatif et al. (2010) reported increasing in the number of productive tillers with nitrogen fertilization. Similarly, Evans et al. (1975) found that tillering is enhanced by increased light and N availability during the vegetative crop phase. ANOVA result also revealed that N rate levels has significantly (p<0.001) affected spike length of barley (Table 1). Significantly higher spike length was recorded by the application of 69 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) though it was not statistically different from that of 46 kg N ha\(^{-1}\). Significantly higher spike length was recorded by 69 kg N per ha nil application of N followed by 46 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Seeding rate by N rate interaction did bring significant differences in any of dependent variables tested in this study.

| Treatments (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Grain yield (kg ha\(^{-1}\)) | Total Cost (Birr ha\(^{-1}\)) | Gross Income (Birr ha\(^{-1}\)) | Net Benefit (Birr ha\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Seeding rate                |                             |                               |                               |                               |
| 75                          | 2487                        | 4500                          | 20304                         | 17882                         |
| 100                         | 2431                        | 4750                          | 22554                         | 17125                         |
| 125                         | 2501                        | 5000                          | 23193                         | 17505                         |
| 150                         | 2542                        | 5250                          | 23805                         | 17629                         |
| 175                         | 2594                        | 5500                          | 24201                         | 17850                         |
| N rate                      |                             |                               |                               |                               |
| 0                           | 1611                        | 5550                          | 15084                         | 8952                          |
| 23                          | 2194                        | 6050                          | 22779                         | 13694                         |
| 46                          | 3204                        | 6550                          | 26955                         | 22283                         |
| 69                          | 3035                        | 7050                          | 27306                         | 20263                         |

Economic analysis revealed that optimum seeding rate has brought about economical advantages. The economic analysis revealed that optimum seeding rate was important due to the fact that it gave the highest net economic benefit (Table 2). Seeding rate of as low as 75 kg ha\(^{-1}\) have the highest monitory advantage in Ethiopian birr over the recommended seeding rate (125 kg ha\(^{-1}\), 150 and 175 kg ha\(^{-1}\). Economic analysis also indicated that N application was also observed to be economically advantageous. The economic analysis revealed that N fertilizer 46 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was the optimum N application rate due to the fact that it gave the highest net economic benefit (Table 2). N application of 46 kg ha\(^{-1}\) gave a 21% monitory advantage in Ethiopian Birr 23 kg N per hectare.

CONCLUSION
Results of the study indicate that application of 46 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) gave better yield with acceptable protein content. A seeding rate of 75 kg ha\(^{-1}\) also gave higher grain yield with acceptable protein content. Use of 75 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seeding rate and 46 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) was more economically beneficial than other seeding and N rate. Farmers could use 75 kg ha\(^{-1}\) seed rate and 46 kg ha\(^{-1}\) for higher grain yield and best quality of malt barley on Nitisols of West Shoa under rainfed conditions. As the current research was done on the specific location, further trials replicated over seasons and across locations are needed to recommend agronomical optimum and economically feasible level of N fertilizer with better grain yield and quality of malt barely varieties in general.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
The author is grateful for the financial support provided by the Crop process of Holetta Agricultural Research Center (HARC) to undertake the experiment. My special gratitude also goes to agronomy research colleagues at HARC for their technical and material support throughout the entire work.
REFERENCES

Abdullatif, M., Asmat, U.M., sattar, A., Fiaz, H., Abbas, G. and Huss, J. 2010. Response of growth and yield of wheat to NPK fertilizer. Science international (lahore), 24 (2): 185-189.

Amare Aleminew and Adane Legas. 2015. Grain quality and yield response of malt barley varieties to nitrogen fertilizer on Brown Soils of Amhara Region, Ethiopia. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 11 (3): 135-143.

Central Statistical Agency (CSA). 2014. Area and production of major crops for 2013/2014 Meher (main rainy season) for private peasant holdings in Ethiopia. Statistical bulletin. Addis Ababa.

Chen, CH., Neill K. 2006. Response of Spring Wheat Yield and Protein to Row Spacing, Plant Density, and Nitrogen Application in Central Montana // Fertilizer Facts. – Montana State University Extension, No. 37, p. 2–3

Edney, M.J., O ‘Donovan, J.T., Turkington, T.K., Clayton, G.W., McKenzie, R., Juskiw, P., Harker, K.N. 2012. Effects of seeding rate, nitrogen rate and cultivar on barley malt quality. J Sci Food Agric.92:2672–2678.

Evans, L.T., Wardlaw L.F. and Fischer, R.A.1975. Wheat. In: Evans, L.T. (ed.) Crop Physiology Journal. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

EQSA (Ethiopia Quality Standards Authority). 2006. Malting barley specification. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Fathi, G., McDonald, A.D., and Lance, R.C.M. 1997. Responsiveness of barley cultivars to nitrogen fertilizer. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 37: 199 – 211.

IUSS Working Group WRB. 2006. World reference base for soil resources A framework for international classification, correlation and communication (World Soil Resources Reports No. 103). FAO; Rome, Italy.

Johnston, A., Murrel, S. and Grant, C. 2007. Nitrogen fertilizer management of malting barley: Impacts of crop and fertilizer nitrogen prices, 21-24

Kraiser, T., D. E., Gras, A. G., Gutierrez, B., Gonzalez, and R. A., Gutierrez. 2011. A holistic view of nitrogen acquisition in plants. Journal of Experimental Botany 62:1455 –1466.

Lakew, B., Gebre, H. and F. Alemayehu. 1996. Barley production and research in Ethiopia. In: Gebre G, van Leur J, editors. Barley research in Ethiopia: past work and future prospects. Addis Ababa: IAR/ICARDA; p. 1–8.

Lázzari, M.A., Rosa, M., and M. Echagüe. 2007. Nitrogen Uptake by Malting Barley Grown under conditions Found in Buenos Aires Province, Argentina. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 38 (3-4), 371-388.

McKenzie, R.H., Middleton, A.B., Bremer, E. 2005. Fertilization, seeding date and seeding rate for malting barley yield and quality in southern Alberta. Can J Plant Sci. 85:603–614.

Mohammed, H., Legesse, G. 2003. An Overview of malt barley production and marketing in Arsi. Proceeding s of t he works hop o n constraints and prospect s of m alt t bar ley, production, supply and marketing; 2003 Mar 1 5. Asella: Asella Malt Factory and Industrial Projects Service,p. 1–25.

Mulatu, B., Lakew, B. 2011. Barley research and development in Ethiopia – an overview. In: Mulatu B, Grando E, editors. Barley research and development in Ethiopia. Aleppo: ICARDA/EIAR; p. 1–18.

Olsen, J., Weiner J. 2001. The influence of Triticum aestivum density, sowing pattern and nitrogen fertilization on leaf area index and its spatial variation. Basic and Applied Ecology, vol. 8, p. 252–257.

Shah, J.M., Z, Asgher., J, Zeng., X, Quan, E, Ali, I, H, Shamsi & G, Zhang. 2009. Growth and physiological characterization of low nitrogen responses in Tibetan wild barley (Hordeum spontaneum) and cultivated barley (Hordeum vulgare). Journal of Plant Nutrition, 40:6, 861-868.

Spaner, D., Todd, A.G., McKenzie, D.B. 2001. The effects of seeding date and nitrogen fertilization on barley yield and yield components in a cool maritime climate. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science, 107: 105–110.

Yang, J. Zhang, J. 2006. Grain filling of cereals under soil drying. New Phytologist,169, 223-236.

Yang, J., Zhang, J., Wang, Z., Zhu, Q., & Liu, L. 2001. Water deficit-induced senescence and its relationship to the remo-bilization of pre-stored carbon in wheat during grain filling. Agronomy Journal, 93, 196-206.

Yirga, C., Alemayehu F., Sinebo, W. 1998. Barley livestock production system in Ethiopia: an overview. In:Yirga C, Alemayehu F, Sinebo W, editors. Barley-based farming system in the Highlands of Ethiopia. Addis Ababa: EIAR; p. 1–10.