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Changes in abundance of mRNAs during oocyte growth and maturation and during pre-implantation embryo development have been documented using quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qPCR), microarray analyses, and whole genome sequencing. However, these techniques require amplification of mRNAs, normalization using housekeeping genes, can be biased for abundant transcripts, and/or require large numbers of oocytes and embryos which can be difficult to acquire from mammalian species. We optimized a single molecule RNA fluorescence in situ hybridization (RNA-FISH) protocol, which amplifies fluorescence signal to detect candidate transcripts, for use with individual oocytes and embryos. Quantification using the software Localize showed patterns of Gdf9 and Pou5f1 mRNA expression in oocytes and embryos that were consistent with previously published data. Interestingly, low levels of Nanog mRNA were also accurately and reproducibly measured in oocytes and one- and two-cell embryos suggesting that RNA-FISH could be used to detect and quantify low abundance transcripts. Unlike other techniques, RNA-FISH is also able to detect changes in the localization patterns of mRNAs which may be used to monitor post-transcriptional regulation of a transcript. Thus, RNA-FISH represents an important technique to investigate potential mechanisms associated with the synthesis and stability of candidate mRNAs in mammalian oocytes and embryos.

Messenger RNAs are synthesized at a high rate in the oocyte. However, this transcriptionally active period is restricted to the oocyte growth phase with transcriptional quiescence coinciding with chromatin condensation prior to oocyte maturation and persisting through fertilization and the first cleavage stages of embryonic development. In addition to high transcription rates during oocyte growth, the half-life of most oocyte mRNAs is long (~2 weeks) resulting in the accumulation of transcripts in the oocyte cytoplasm. After fertilization, stored mRNAs undergo extensive post-transcriptional modifications which results in either protein translation and/or degradation until activation of transcription from the embryonic genome. These initial characterizations were made based on global changes in mRNA synthesis and degradation using radiolabeling, 5-Bromouridine 5′-triphosphate (BrUTP) labeling, and non-specific stains (e.g. Hoechst). The use of microarray technologies demonstrated that mRNA degradation during oocyte meiotic maturation and after fertilization is selective with a subset of oocyte-expressed mRNAs retained in the developing embryo. Likewise, microarray and RNA sequencing experiments have been used to monitor the dynamic changes in gene expression during pre-implantation embryonic development, which led to the identification of minor and major periods of zygotic genome activation. Coupled with functional assays (e.g. knock-out mouse models), maternally expressed mRNAs that are essential for embryonic development (i.e. maternal effect genes) have also been identified.

It is undeniable that these collective methodologies have produced a wealth of information about relative changes in the abundance of mRNAs during important periods of oocyte maturation and embryonic development. However, most of the data represents the average relative abundance of a transcript in a pool of cells that has often times been normalized to a constitutively expressed housekeeping transcript. Furthermore, these
assays cannot identify changes in mRNA localization, which is an important component of post-transcriptional regulation of mRNA storage, translation, and degradation including in maturing oocytes and pre-implantation embryos. Finally, measuring mRNA abundance associated with increased transcription in growing oocytes, which are found in pre-antral follicles tightly associated with somatic granulosa cells, can produce confounding results due to technical difficulties separating oocytes from the surrounding granulosa cells.

The development of single cell RNA sequencing has overcome some of these limitations, although it still requires linear amplification of cDNA prior to sequencing. It should be noted that this technique has been successfully used to identify changes in mRNA abundance in rhesus macaque, bovine, mouse, and human oocytes and/or embryos. One-step RT-PCR assays have also been developed but a NCBI search showed that the majority of published data used this technique to primarily detect viral load in mammalian samples. Thus, there is a need for additional methods to reproducibly determine not only the absolute abundance of candidate mRNAs in individual cells but also changes in the location of these mRNAs in the oocyte or embryo during critical transitional periods in oocyte and embryo development (e.g. chromatin condensation, fertilization, and the maternal zygotic transition). In the current study, we modified a commercially available single molecule fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (RNA-FISH) technique which had previously been used to quantify and localize β-actin mRNA in neurons and human papillomavirus DNA in cervical cancer cell lines. To determine the accuracy and validity of this method in oocytes and embryos, we analyzed the absolute abundance and localization of three well described transcripts, (Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog) in mouse oocytes and embryos.

### Results

**Optimization of single molecule, branched DNA fluorescence *in situ* hybridization technique to detect the housekeeping transcripts Ppib, Polr2a and Ubc in individual murine oocytes.** The objective of the first set of experiments was to optimize a single molecule RNA fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (RNA-FISH) protocol for use with oocytes and embryos. Commercially available assay kits were purchased from Affymetrix (QuantiGene ViewRNA ISH cell assay) and Advanced Cell Diagnostics (ACD, RNAscope Fluorescent Multiplex Assay). Both of these kits were designed using a similar chemistry; i.e., branched DNA technology, which amplifies the fluorescence signal rather than RNA or cDNA. Specific to this experiment, proprietary probes for common housekeeping mRNAs including ubiquitin C (Ubc), peptidylprolyl isomerase B (Ppib), and RNA polymerase II subunit A (Polr2a) were purchased from ACD (Table 1). Likewise, a proprietary negative control probe which recognizes the *Bacillus subtilis* dihydrodipicolinate reductase (DapB) mRNA was also purchased (Table 1). Each of these probes were designed based on published NCBI sequence data for the murine or *B. subtilis* RNAs, respectively using an algorithm described by Bushnell et al. to optimize specificity. Each probe consisted of 10–20 oligonucleotide pairs with each of these pairs having a double Z configuration. One side of the Z (40–50 bases per pair) was complementary to a specific candidate transcript (e.g. Ubc) and the other side of the Z was complementary to pre-amplifier DNA sequence (Fig. 1). Sequential hybridization of pre-amplifier and amplifier molecules to the transcript-specific probes formed a branched DNA configuration which was subsequently bound by fluorophores (Fig. 1). This assembly structure has ~400 binding sites per fluorophore, which generates an ~8000-fold amplification of the signal for each target RNA.

| Gene Name | Accession Number | Company | Catalog # | Probeset Target |
|-----------|------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|
| Gdf9      | NM_008110        | Affymetrix | VB1-10331  | bp 182–1280     |
| Pou5f1    | NM_013635        | Affymetrix | VB6-14382  | bp 222–1301     |
| Nanog     | NM_028016        | Affymetrix | VB4-15555  | bp 189–1187     |
| Ubc       | NM_019639        | ACD     | 310771    | bp 34–860       |
| Ppib      | NM_011149        | ACD     | 313911    | bp 98–856       |
| Polr2a    | NM_009089        | ACD     | 312471    | bp 2802–3678    |
| DapB      | EF191515         | ACD     | 310043    | bp 414–862      |

Table 1. Probe Information for RNA-FISH. The exact sequences of the Quantigene (Affymetrix) and RNAscope (ACD) probesets are proprietary. The algorithm used to design the probes is described by Bushnell et al.
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**Figure 1.** Single Molecule RNA-FISH Hybridization Scheme. Candidate mRNAs were detected by sequential hybridization with oligonucleotide probe pairs, pre-amplifier and amplifier probes, and fluorophore (label probe) which resulted in amplification of fluorescence signal. One side of each oligonucleotide probe pair was composed of sequence complementary to a specific target mRNA; that is, *Ppib*, *Ubc*, *Polar2a*, *Gdf9*, *Pou5f1*, *Nanog*, or *DapB* (inset box). The other side of the oligonucleotide pair contained complementary sequence to the pre-amplifier. Note that only 1 oligonucleotide pair is shown for simplicity; however, the oligonucleotide probes used were composed of 10–20 pairs that spanned the length of the target mRNA.

Figure 1: Oocytes and embryos were very fragile in this step, tended to float in the buffer, and became essentially transparent which initially made it difficult to find them post-hybridization. The use of the Agtech 6-well plates aided in locating the oocytes and embryos after hybridization because of the reduced surface area at the bottom of the well. Furthermore, it was important to alter the plane of focus when looking for the transparent cells as they were often submerged in the buffer but not fully settled onto the surface of the well. We attempted to use PBS-based buffers described for immunofluorescence for the transcript-specific probe, pre-amplifier DNA and amplifier DNA hybridizations. However, the end result was detection of fluorescence ringed around the plasma membrane of the oocyte suggesting aggregation of pre-amplifier DNA, and/or amplifier DNA which prevented their entry into the oocyte. Therefore, care was taken to make sure that oocytes and embryos were submerged in the propriety hybridization solutions throughout the incubation periods and cells were gently moved from hybridization to the PBS-based wash buffers in order to minimize lysis.

Using this protocol, individual, MII oocytes were hybridized with probes specific for *M. musculus Ubc*, *Ppib*, and *Polar2a* probes using a multiplex strategy. Alternatively, MII oocytes were hybridized with probe specific for *B. subtilis DapB* which is not expressed in mammalian cells. After hybridization with each of the 4 transcript-specific probes, pre-amplifier and amplifier hybridization was performed followed by application of fluorophores specific to each probe (Ubc = 647 nm, Ppib = 488 nm, and Polar2a = 550 nm) and therefore represented a negative control for *Ubc*, *Ppib*, and *Polar2a*. Images from confocal microscopy showed punctate signal for each of the mammalian housekeeping mRNAs (Fig. 2). As expected the fluorescence intensity was visually highest for *Ubc* (high expresser), intermediate for *Ppib* (moderate expresser), and lowest for *Polar2a* (low expresser). Furthermore, there was no overlapping signal for each RNA (Fig. 2, merged image) and imaging of the MII oocytes hybridized with *DapB* in each fluorophore channel showed little to no signal (Fig. 2). These data as well as the algorithm used to design transcript specific probes described above demonstrated specificity of this assay.

Quantification of individual mRNA molecules for *Ubc*, *Ppib*, *Polar2a* in MII-oocytes and 2-cell embryos using Localize. Each punctate fluorescence signal in each confocal image was representative of a single mRNA molecule and therefore data from these images could be quantified. Although confocal microscopy produces high resolution images, manual counting of the signal proved difficult due to the large size of the oocyte and the inability to objectively exclude spots with low fluorescence intensity which could be attributed to background noise. To overcome these obstacles, we used the software program Localize, which is a fluorescent particle identification and counting program written in Interactive Data Language (IDL). The program identifies the center of each signal detected by microscopy while excluding background signal (i.e. noise) based on a photon.
threshold determined by a Gaussian mask fitting algorithm\textsuperscript{26}. In this way, the program counts any signal that exceeds this threshold which in our case was an individual mRNA; signal below the threshold were not counted.

In order to count fluorescence particles, Z-series images with maximum projection were obtained from confocal microscopy of MII-oocytes or 2-cell embryos that were subjected to RNA-FISH using the transcript-specific probes for \textit{Ppib}, \textit{Polr2a}, \textit{Ubc}, or \textit{DapB}. Sequential Z-images were subsequently stitched together using the Grid/Collection stitching plug-in for Image J Fiji (Fig. 3A). The plug-in, which was designed by Preibisch et al.\textsuperscript{27}, determines the best overlap of fluorescence signal from the sequential images and uses this information to optimally construct a composite image. In our experiment, it enabled us to produce a composite image for each oocyte or embryo that could be used to count individual fluorescence particles using Localize without over counting particles from individual Z-series images. To count the fluorescence associated with each transcript specific probe, the Localize program was run with the output being the number of spots counted (Fig. 3A). The analysis was performed using images generated from MII-oocytes (n = 11) and 2-cell embryos (n = 8) hybridized with probes for \textit{Ppib}, \textit{Polr2a}, and \textit{Ubc} (Fig. 3B). The number of transcripts for \textit{Ppib} (255.4 ± 21.9), \textit{Polr2a} (158.5 ± 19.07), and \textit{Ubc} (445.1 ± 55.61), were counted in MII oocytes (n = 11, Fig. 3C). Transcripts for \textit{Ppib} (253.4 ± 17.11), \textit{Polr2a} (50.88 ± 11.31), and \textit{Ubc} (197.4 ± 30.62) were similarly identified and counted in 2-cell embryos by Localize (Fig. 3C). These average transcript numbers for each housekeeping gene showed low variability between cells within a developmental stage and statistical analysis indicated significant differences (P < 0.0095) between \textit{Ppib}, \textit{Polr2a}, and \textit{Ubc} transcript numbers consistent with predicted expression levels. Furthermore, we identified

![Figure 2. Representative Confocal Microscopy Images of RNA-FISH Performed with \textit{M. musculus} Positive Control and \textit{B. subtilis} Negative Control Probe Sets. Transcripts for \textit{Ppib} (488 nm, green), \textit{Polr2a} (550 nm, red), and \textit{Ubc} (647 nm, blue) were detected as punctate spots in individual \textit{in vivo} matured oocytes (MII oocyte). Conversely, there was a lack of punctate spots detected for each fluorophore when MII-oocytes were hybridized with \textit{DapB}-specific probe set. The image for each transcript in each oocyte represented the middle z-section generated by confocal microscopy. All cells were counterstained with DAPI (greyscale in each image). The white scale bar for each image is 10 μm.](image-url)
significant differences in the mRNA abundance of *Polr2a* ($P < 0.004$) and *Ubc* ($P < 0.0027$) between oocytes and embryos (Fig. 3C) which is consistent with both anecdotal information and a comprehensive study performed by Mamo *et al.* $^{28}$. Image stitching and Localize analysis of MII-oocytes ($n = 4$) hybridized with *DapB* and each fluorophore showed high variability and low counts (18 ± 10.1, 488 nm; 18.7 ± 9.4, 550 nm; and 91 ± 28.6, 647 nm).

Expression profile of *Gdf9*, *Pou5f1*, and *Nanog* in cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs), MII oocytes, 1-cell embryos, and 2-cell embryos. The next objective was to examine and quantify the expression profile of known oocyte and/or embryo specific transcripts using RNA-FISH. Briefly, cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) containing germinal vesicle (GV) oocytes ($n = 42$), presumptive MII oocytes ($n = 36$), 1-cell embryos ($n = 28$), and 2-cell embryos ($n = 20$) were each collected from at least 4 CD-1 female mice that were stimulated with eCG or eCG/hCG (see Materials and Methods). RNA-FISH was subsequently performed using transcript specific probes for *Gdf9*, *Pouf51*, or *Nanog* (Table 1) as described for the housekeeping transcripts. Hybridized mRNAs for *Gdf9*, *Pou5f1*, and *Nanog* were labeled with 550 nm, 647 nm, and 488 nm fluorophores, respectively and detected using confocal microscopy. As expected, robust staining for *Gdf9* and *Pou5f1* mRNAs was detected in the GV-oocytes.
Unexpectedly, specific staining for Nanog was also detected in GV-oocytes with the majority of transcripts overlaying the nucleolus region (Fig. 4). Transcripts for Gdf9 continued to be detected in MII-oocytes, 1-cell embryos and 2-cell embryos. The signal strength was diminished at each stage. Interestingly, the Gdf9 transcripts seemed to preferentially localize to the subcortical region of the 1-cell embryo (Fig. 4). Signal strength of Pou5f1 was maintained at each oocyte and embryo stage which is consistent with its classification as a maternal effect gene; i.e., it would be retained during oocyte maturation and early embryonic development (Fig. 4). Likewise, Nanog transcripts also continued to be detected in GV-oocytes and 1-cell embryos as well as 1-cell and 2-cell embryos with even distribution of these transcripts in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4). Specific fluorescence detection of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog was verified by omission of any transcript specific probe in the negative control cells (Fig. 4) and the low-level detection of DapB in MII-oocytes (Fig. 2). Lack of Gdf9, Pou5f1, or Nanog hybridization in the cumulus granulosa cells surrounding the GV-oocytes also was indicative of probe specificity.

Quantification of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog in oocytes and embryos using Localize. Confocal microscopy produced serial images which were stitched together using ImageJ-Fiji and counted using Localize as described for the housekeeping mRNAs. Transcripts encoding Gdf9 were most abundant in GV-oocytes (777 ± 8 transcripts) and this number steadily declined to 646 ± 7.8 transcripts in 1-cell embryos and 262 ± 9.7 transcripts in 2-cell embryos (Fig. 5). Conversely, 423–576 Pou5f1 transcripts were detected in GV-stage oocytes through 2-cell embryos with the highest number of Pou5f1 transcripts in 1-cell embryos (Fig. 5). Interestingly, 169–233 Nanog transcripts were consistently detected in oocytes and 1-cell and 2-cell embryos (Fig. 5).

Droplet Digital PCR analysis of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog transcripts in MII oocytes and 1- and 2-cell embryos. Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) amplification of cDNA has
been the gold standard for measuring the abundance of candidate transcripts within a cell. The development of quantitative PCR methods including real-time RT-PCR (qPCR) and droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) has improved the quantitative power of these techniques. Thus, we compared the absolute quantification of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog mRNAs determined by RNA-FISH to the abundance of each transcript using ddPCR. Briefly, RNA from GV-oocytes, presumptive MII-oocytes, 1-cell embryos, and 2-cell embryos was collected, reverse transcribed, and the resulting cDNA used in ddPCR reactions containing primers for Gdf9, Pou5f1, or Nanog (Table 2). Each biological replicate for ddPCR represented cDNA collected from 15–20 oocytes or embryos and 3–4 biological replicates were analyzed per each developmental group. Numerically, the abundance of Gdf9 was highest in the MII oocytes (333.7 ± 187.4) and steadily declined in the 1-cell (151.3 ± 77.83) and 2-cell embryo (33.29 ± 15.26); however, there were no statistical differences in mRNA abundance between the oocytes and embryos due to high variation (Fig. 5). As expected, Pou5f1 transcripts were numerically stable in the COCs (23.98 ± 10.49), MII-oocytes (90.5 ± 21.35), 1-cell embryos (44.15 ± 13.77), and 2-cell embryos (57.33 ± 29.63) (Fig. 5). Finally, Nanog was detected in COCs (1.52 ± 0.65) but was inconsistently detected at the other developmental stages (Fig. 5). It

Figure 5. Copy numbers per oocyte or embryo of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog mRNAs were quantified by Localize analysis of RNA-FISH assays or droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) analysis of cDNA. (A) The average number of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog transcripts in cumulus oocyte complexes (COCs, black bars), MII-oocytes (white bars), 1-cell embryos (light grey bars) and 2-cell embryos (dark grey bars) was determined based on ddPCR (left side) or RNA-FISH (right side). Each ddPCR reaction was performed using 3–4 biological replicates of cDNA with each replicate generated from a pool of 15–20 oocytes or embryos. The number of each transcript in each oocyte or embryo (y-axis) was calculated as described in Methods. Standard error of the mean (SEM) within each experimental group is shown. One pg of gBlock synthetic DNA for each candidate gene served as the positive control for each ddPCR assay. (B) The average number of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog transcripts (±SEM) detected by RNA-FISH and counted by Localize in individual GV-oocytes (black bar), MII-oocytes (white bar), 1-cell embryos (light grey bar), and 2-cell embryos (dark grey bars) are shown. The number (n) of oocytes and embryos analyzed for each transcript is indicated in each bar. One-way ANOVA and Tukey pair-wise comparison post-test analyses were performed to compare the abundance of each mRNA in each oocyte and embryo stage. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05 and is indicated by differences in letters above the bars.
should be noted that these transcript numbers are lower than what was observed by RNA-FISH; however, the relative expression profile for each transcript at each transcriptional stage was similar between the two techniques.

**Discussion**

*In situ* hybridization (ISH) for detection of DNA and RNA in histological sections was described by Pardue and Gall28,29 and John et al.31 in 1969. Over the years, modifications to ISH have replaced radiolabeling with fluorophore labeling as the method of detection. Furthermore, sensitivity of the assay has been improved. For example, coupling of PCR or RT-PCR amplification of target DNA or RNA, respectively with ISH has been used to detect low abundance transcripts, single copy genes, and viral and other foreign DNAs32. Despite the increased sensitivity of PCR coupled ISH, the technique is complex and dependent on PCR efficiency. Therefore, reproducibility of assays can be difficult to attain and, in some cases, frequent false negative or false positive results can occur. Furthermore, the data is only semi-quantitative unless the PCR and ISH are followed by flow cytometry32. To circumvent these problems, signal amplification chemistry has been improved in order to enable detection of single molecules of DNA or RNA. Examples of this improved signal detection includes the use of multiple short oligonucleotide probes conjugated with fluorescent dyes or attachment of an enzymatic reporter to the probe (e.g. HRP)33,34. Additionally, Player et al.25 described the development of branched DNA based probes for use in ISH as a sensitive method to detect human papillomavirus DNA in intact cells. This technology has also been used to detect both mRNAs and viral DNAs in adherent fixed cells as well as formaldehyde fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue sections22,23. Our study modified the RNA-FISH procedure to detect housekeeping (Ubc, Polr2a, and Ppib) mRNAs in MII-oocytes and 2-cell embryos while oocyte/embryo-specific (Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog) mRNAs were detected in cumulus-oocyte complexes, MII oocytes, and pre-implantation embryos. Procedurally, the proprietary permeabilization and wash buffers supplied in the commercially available kits were replaced with PBS-based wash buffers to prevent cell lysis. Oocytes and embryos were also subjected to hybridization and wash steps in small volumes of reagents in AgTech 6-well plates and secured to slides in mounting media. These modifications along with the amplification of fluorescence afforded by the branched DNA chemistry allowed for detection of individual transcripts. The specificity of this detection was validated by the low to undetectable levels of fluorescence when cells were hybridized with transcript-specific probe designed against the *Bacillus subtilis* *DapB* mRNA and when the assay was performed omitting a transcript-specific probe.

Buxbaum et al.26 coupled the branched DNA ISH chemistry with the software program Localize26 in order to quantify the number of β-actin mRNAs in different regions of a neuronal dendrite. We used this same software analysis to count mRNAs for Ubc, Polr2a, Ppib, Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog in oocytes and embryos. Confocal images were stitched together which generated a composite image that was subsequently counted (Fig. 3). The development of the stitching plug-in for ImageJ-Fiji27 ensures optimal overlapping of signal and thereby reduces overcounting of the same signal present in multiple Z-series images. While the sizes of individual mRNAs are generally smaller (~300 nm) than the thickness of each Z-series image, the extended conformation of the branched DNA upon which the fluorophores adhere likely results in a molecule with a much larger size. Taken together, we assume that counts were not inflated for each transcript due to the use of the stitching algorithm and we were likely not under-counting transcripts due to the extended size of the signal.

The data generated from the RNA-FISH analyses showed well-described profiles of Gdf9 and Pou5f1 mRNA abundance between immature oocytes, mature oocytes, and pre-implantation embryos consistent with previously reported data35,36. Reich et al.37 previously showed, using microarray analyses, that mRNAs expressed in the human MII-oocyte were also found in the associated polar body. Indeed, both Gdf9 and Pou5f1 transcripts were also localized in the polar body of MII-oocytes and 2-cell embryo using RNA-FISH (Fig. 4). Interestingly and unexpectedly, low but specific signal for Nanog was detected in GV and MII oocytes as well as 1- and 2-cell embryos (Figs 4 and 5). The levels of Nanog transcript in MII-oocytes (173.2 ± 11.55) were significantly higher than the detection of DapB (18 ± 10.1) in MII-oocytes using the same fluorophore (488 nm). Furthermore, no Nanog mRNA was visualized by RNA-FISH in the granulosa cells associated with GV-oocytes using Fluor-o-oocytes indicating specific detection of this transcript in oocytes and embryos (Fig. 4). Droplet digital PCR analysis also identified low abundance of Nanog mRNAs in oocytes and embryos; however this detection was inconsistent suggesting that transcript abundance is at the sensitivity level of this assay (Fig. 5). Synthesis of a full-length mRNA is dependent on transcriptional initiation and elongation. Interestingly, Guenther et al.38 showed that transcriptional initiation occurs at both “active” and “inactive” genes with the synthesis of detectable mRNAs regulated by productive versus non-productive elongation of the transcript. Given that the RNA-FISH technique described in this study can detect transcripts with the hybridization of only 3 oligonucleotide probe pairs, the detection of Nanog mRNAs in the oocyte and early pre-implantation embryo may be reflective of transcriptional initiation during oocyte growth which may or may not produce full-length mRNAs dependent on regulation of transcriptional elongation. However, the Nanog hybridization produced bright punctate signal and therefore, low-levels of full-length Nanog mRNAs may be synthesized in the oocyte which may or may not be translated into functional protein. Regardless,

| Gene Name | Accession Number | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer | gBlock |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|
| Gdf9      | NM_008110        | 5′-GCC GGG CAA GTA CAG CC-3′ | 5′-TTT GTA AGG GAT GGA GCC G-3′ | bp 121–1446 |
| Pou5f1    | NM_013633        | 5′-GAG GAG TCG CAG GAC ATG AAA G-3′ | 5′-GCT TCA GCA GCT TGG CAA AC-3′ | bp 69–1127 |
| Nanog     | NM_028018        | 5′-AAG GGG TGG CAG AAA AAC C-3′ | 5′-GTG CGT AGC CCT TCT GAA TCA-3′ | bp 219–1136 |

Table 2. PCR Primer Sequences and gBlocks Gene Fragment Sequences for ddPCR Experiments. Primers and gBlock Gene Fragment Sequences were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. The gblock base pairs (bp) indicate the region of the reference sequence that was used to design the gBlock.
these data demonstrate the sensitivity of the RNA-FISH technique, which could be monopolized to more clearly define the regulation of mRNA synthesis and post-transcriptional stability in mammalian oocytes and embryos.

We propose that the RNA-FISH technique described in this manuscript represents an important tool for several lines of investigation in reproductive physiology and developmental biology. For example, it may be used to assess how localization patterns of mRNAs change during growth and maturation of oocytes and embryos in the absence or presence of different manipulations (e.g. heat stress, culture media components, maternal age). Coupled with immunofluorescence data, these experiments may also provide important evidence about synthesis and/or stability of specific mRNAs and thereby provide potential information about mechanisms associated with transcriptional and/or post-transcriptional regulation of mRNA abundance. Importantly, much less is known about changes in gene expression during oocyte growth. This is due to the technical difficulty of isolating pure cell populations. We propose that this method would enable tracking of not only oocyte-expressed candidate genes but also candidate genes expressed in granulosa and theca cells as follicles grow and develop toward the pre-ovulatory stage.

Methods

Mouse oocyte/embryo collection. All animal procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln and all methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. For this specific study, CD-1 outbred mice had ad libitum access to water and normal rodent chow (Harlan Teklad, T2918.15); they were maintained on a 12:12 dark:light cycle. At 6–8 weeks of age female mice were stimulated with 5 IU equine chorionic gonadotropin (eCG) and 3 IU human CG (hCG) as described (39). Cumulus-oocyte-complexes (COCs) containing germinal vesicle (GV) stage oocytes were collected 44 hours after eCG by puncturing antral follicles on the ovarian surface with a 27-gauge needle, while unfertilized MII oocytes were collected from the oviduct ampulla 16 hours post-hCG. To collect 1- and 2-cell embryos, eCG/hCG-stimulated females were placed with intact males of proven fertility overnight. Presumptive one-cell embryos were collected from the oviduct ampulla 16 hours after hCG stimulation. Two-cell embryos were flushed from the oviduct 1.5 days after mating.

Oocyte/embryo fixation and single molecule RNA fluorescent in situ hybridization assay (RNA-FISH). Freshly isolated cumulus-oocyte complexes, MII oocytes and pre-implantation embryos collected from at least 3 CD-1 mice per developmental stage were fixed in 100 μL drop of 4% paraformaldehyde with 0.1% polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) for 20 min, washed through 3 drops of wash buffer (0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.1% PVP in 1 × PBS) and permeablized (1% Triton X-100 in 1 × PBS) for 30 min. After permeablization oocytes and embryos were placed in wash buffer for 10 min prior to hybridization steps. Each hybridization step was performed in solutions within one well of an Agtech 6-well Solution dish (D18, Agtech, Manhattan, KS).

Affymetrix ViewRNA Cell Assay Hybridizations. Oocytes and embryos were subjected to limited protease digestion using 80 μL of Q5 diluted 8000-fold in 1 × PBS. Following the 5 min protease treatment oocytes and embryos were transferred to 100 μL wash buffer for 10 min. Permeablized and protease-treated oocytes and embryos were subsequently transferred to 80 μL of probe-containing solution (proprietary ViewRNA ISH probe sets for murine Gdf9, Pou5f1, or Nanog (see Table 1) diluted 1:100 in QF diluent) and incubated for 3 hours at 40 °C. For the studies presented, oocytes and embryos were co-hybridized with probes for Pou5f1 and Nanog while single hybridizations were performed using Gdf9. Negative control cells were hybridized in QF diluent with no probe set added. Due to the specific gravity of the probe containing solution, oocytes and embryos tend to float and become transparent. It was crucial that oocytes and embryos were fully submerged during the entire 3-hour incubation. Following probe hybridization, oocytes and embryos were washed and then subjected to sequential hybridization with pre-amplifier DNA, amplifier DNA and fluorophore (Gdf9, LP1-550; Pou5f1, LP6-650; and Nanog, LP4-488). Each of the proprietary hybridization DNAs/label were diluted 25-fold in the provided diluent. Hybridizations with pre-amplifier, amplifier, and fluorophore were performed at 40 °C for 30 min each.

Advanced Cell Diagnostics RNAscope Hybridizations. Oocytes and embryos were subjected to limited protease digestion using 1 × Pretreat 4. After 5 minutes, oocytes and embryos were transferred to wash buffer for 10 min. Permeablized and protease-treated oocytes and embryos were subsequently transferred to 80 μL of probe-containing solution (proprietary RNAscope 3-plex Positive Control Probe Mm containing M. musculus Ubc, Ppib, and Polr2a (see Table 1) combined as described by the manufacturer) and incubated for 2 hours at 40 °C. Alternatively, cells were hybridized with Negative Control probe containing B. subtilis DapB (see Table 1). Due to the specific gravity of the probe containing solution, oocytes and embryos tend to float and become transparent. It was crucial that oocytes and embryos were fully submerged during the entire 2-hour incubation. Following probe hybridization, oocytes and embryos were washed and then subjected to sequential hybridization with pre-amplifier and amplifier DNA (Amp1-FL, Amp 2-FL, and Amp 3-FL) and fluorophore (Amp4A, LtB; Ubc-647 nm; Ppib-488 nm; Polr2a-550 nm; DapB-550 nm, 488 nm, and 647 nm). Hybridizations with pre-amplifier, amplifier, and fluorophore were performed at 40 °C for 15–30 min each as indicated by the manufacturer. Following each hybridization step (regardless of the kit), oocytes or embryos were transferred through 3 wells of wash buffer. Oocytes and embryos were subsequently counterstained with 4′, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 20 minutes prior to mounting on 25 × 75 mm microscope slides (Gold Seal®, 3039) in 12 μL ProLong® Gold Antifade Mountant reagent (P36934, ThermoFisher Scientific) and 25 × 25 mm coverslips (48368 084, VWR, Radnor, PA).

Confocal Imaging and mRNA quantification in individual oocytes and embryos. Hybridized oocytes and embryos were imaged using a Nikon A1 “LSCM” on a Nikon-90 laser scanning confocal microscope
at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln Center of Biotechnology Microscopy Core with image capturing assistance provided by Dr. Christian Elowsky. Sequential imaging was performed to avoid nonspecific fluorescence detection. Appropriate filter sets were applied based on the fluorophore used for each transcript (Gdf9, Pol2a, and Dapb 550 nm; Pou5f1, Ubc, and Dapb 647 nm; Nanog, Ppib, and Dapb 488 nm) and Z-series sectioning performed. Individual Z-section images were visualized with NIS-Elements 4.4 image program and exported for compatibility with Image J. To count individual fluorescence signal indicative of a single mRNA molecule, images for each section were maximum-projected and stitched together in Image J Fiji using the Grid/Collection plug-in to form a composite image (1.45 S. Wayne Rasband, NIH, USA). Individual spots of fluorescence were located and counted in each composite image using the software program Localize which was written in Interactive Data Language (ITT Visual Information Solutions). Specifically, the Localize program was run using each composite image and the default threshold of 10.0 photons and band pass threshold of 400 (Fig. 3A); the output of the program was the number of spots counted.

Traditional RNA isolation, reverse transcription and droplet digital polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR) analysis of target transcripts. RNA was isolated from a pool of 15–20 oocytes or embryos collected from at least 2 CD-1 mice per developmental stage using TRI Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Isolated RNA was reverse-transcribed using MMLV-RT as previously described. Complementary DNA (cDNA) from oocytes and embryos were diluted 2-fold prior to combination with 1XQX200 ddPCR EvaGreen Supermix (BioRad Laboratories), which includes a proprietary SYBR green fluorescent dye and RNA polymerase, and 100 μM of gene specific primers (Table 1). In addition, primers were combined with either no-template PCR (negative control) or synthetic reverse target fragments (10 pg/μL; Integrated DNA Technology, Table 1) which represented the PCR positive control. Each sample was emulsified by the QX200 Droplet Reader (BioRad) resulting in 20,000 droplets containing the EvaGreen supermix (Bio-Rad), cDNA template with or without the targeted sequence, and target cDNA primers per reaction tube. Forty rounds of PCR amplification were performed using the C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad) and the number of droplets positive and negative for fluorescence for each sample was measured using the QX200 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad). The copy number of targeted transcript per μl of cDNA was quantified using QuantiSoft (Bio-Rad) and the number of target transcripts μ per oocyte or embryo calculated as follows:

\[
\text{copy #/μl of cDNA} \times \text{dilution factor} \times 25 \mu l \ \text{cDNA} \\
\# \text{oocytes or embryos}
\]

Statistical Analyses. Comparison of Gdf9, Pou5f1, and Nanog mRNA abundance in vivo produced GV-stage cumulus oocyte complexes, MI oocytes, 1-cell embryos, and 2-cell embryos was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). For each comparison, one-way ANOVA was performed followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post-test. Data were presented as mean ± SEM. Differences in mRNA abundance between each oocyte or embryo stage were considered significant at P < 0.05.
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