Qualitative thallium urinary assays are almost as valuable as quantitative tests: implication for outpatient settings in low and middle income countries
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Abstract

Background: Thallium (Tl), lead and steroid exposures were reported as a result of street drug consumption such as heroin and cocaine.

Objective: This study aimed to compare the values of qualitative and quantitative assays for detecting Tl as an adulterant in opioid-like compound drug users.

Methods: This case-control study was conducted throughout a specified time bracket ranging from May 2015 to November 2015 in Imam Reza Hospital, Mashhad, Iran. In general, urine thallium samples for 100 opioid overdosed subjects with a history of chronic opioid use and 50 non-drug users were studied. Qualitative 24 hours-urinary-thallium-level (QL) and quantitative 24 hours-urinary-thallium-level (QT) were conducted in both groups. Independent-samples t-test and Spearman’s Coefficient were applied for analytical purposes. SPSS software 16 was used to conduct statistical analyses with P values less than 0.05 regarded as significant.

Results: A total of 150 cases were studied. Raw opium users accounted for 66% of the cases followed by mixed (28%) and heroin users (6%). Mean (SD) QT level for QL was 26.8 (1) μg/L, while it was 2.3 μg/L (0.4 μg/L) for negative QL, which was far below QL positive cases (p=0.002). The qualitative test showed more accuracy at higher quantitative levels. In all cases, qualitative test was fully sensitive (100%), highly specific (89%) with a positive likelihood ratio (PLR) of 9.1 and a negative likelihood ratio (NLR) of 0.

Conclusion: These results suggest that qualitative assays could be used with confidence in assessing Tl exposure in drug users. Physicians may easily and confidently use Tl qualitative tests in rehabilitation centers, where toxicology laboratories may not be available.
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1. Introduction

Thallium (Tl) is a systemic, metallic toxicant, which has high affinity with sulphhydryl groups of cells and potassium binding sites throughout the body (1, 2). Alopecia, painful peripheral neuropathy, toxic encephalopathy,
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gastrointestinal symptoms and visual disturbances are the characteristic manifestations following Tl exposure (3, 4). Tl is responsible for several types of intoxications, nonetheless, a toxicological profile for Tl in humans has not been recently updated (5-7). Thallium is abnormal to be detected in the human body irrespective of the level. Yet, it is considered as dangerous when reaching 10-15 mg/kg of body weight (8-10). Tl influx into the cell resembles potassium as these two elements share a common charge and ionic radius. Furthermore, Tl exerts an inhibitory effect on Na-k ATPase while binding to sulfhydryl groups (11). Opioids constitute common drugs used at a national level in Iran, ranging from opioid (82%), to opium ashes (28%), methadone for non-medical usages (16.6%), heroin and heroin/cracked (16%), morphine (2.6%) (12). In this respect, thallium has been identified as an infamous adulterant added by street druggists to opioids, heroin and cocaine, leading to intoxication at varying levels (13-16). To date, drug adulteration such as lead, Tl and steroid concurrent toxicities have not been investigated in admitted opioid overdose cases with history of drug use (17). Substance use is a major public health hazard across the globe. In Iran, where people believe that opioid consumption may prevent cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, and diabetes, is a problem looming larger than ever (18, 19). In previous articles, we reported clinical and preclinical findings of opioid and heroin poisoned patients with thallium exposure as an adulterant (13, 16). Tl in urine could be measured in qualitative and quantitative terms. In this study, we tried to evaluate qualitative Tl 24 hours’ urine tests (QL) compared to quantitative Tl urine levels (QT) in case and control groups.

2. Material and Methods
2.1. Patients and design
This case-control study was done from May to November 2015. One hundred opioid users for more than three years, aged 18 to 65 years old, who referred to Imam Reza Hospital were compared with 50 a matching sex and age group of opioid naïve controls. Cases with history of diphenoxylate, acetaminophen codeine and tramadol use were excluded. Using immunoassay tests, we tested urinary morphine to confirm opioid drug use.

2.2. Laboratory assessment
Milliliter urine was gathered from 24-hour urinary samples of patients and kept in 100 mL polyethylene containers at -20°C. First, 24-hour urinary samples were examined for Tl in the two groups using a qualitative (semi-quantitative) and quantitative assays.

2.2.1. Qualitative analysis (QL):
Based on semi-quantitative Tl-screening test, <50 μg/L was considered negative, trace (50-100 μg/L), +1 (100-200 μg/L), +2 (200-300 μg/L), +3 (300-400 μg/L), and +4 (>400 μg/L) (13).

2.2.2. Quantitative analysis (QT):
Tl concentration in urine was quantitatively measured using graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry (Perkin Elmer, Model 3030 with HGA 400 Programmer) (20). We used a Tl standard solution, Tl (I) nitrate in nitric acid 0.5 mol/L (1000 mg/L; Tl Certipur®, Merck, USA). To measure Tl in urine, the liquid phase was separated from the sediment after extraction with nitric acid, sulfuric acid, and Triton X-100. To prevent graphite tube damage, 2% ammonium monovanadate was added to 1% sodium hydroxide. We centrifuged the solution at high speed for five minutes, and 25 μL from the supernatant was injected into the graphite. The absorbance rate was measured at 276.8 nm similar to previous studies (21, 22). Quantitative analysis considered to be the diagnostic gold standard, and the value of qualitative assays were compared to this reference.

2.3. Statistical analysis
Independent-samples t-test and Spearman’s coefficient test were run using SPSS 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) (23). P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results
3.1. Qualitative and quantitative tests of urinary Tl level
The mean (SD) quantitative urinary Tl levels in cases and controls were 21 (53) μg/L and 1 (1) μg/L, which was significantly different (p<0.001). In total, 85% of urine samples were negative for Tl when tested with qualitative assay. Using QT test, the mean urinary Tl level was 26.8 (1) μg/L in the positive cases that were determined with QL test. Mean QT urinary Tl level was 2.3 (0.4) μg/L in the QL determined negative cases, which was significantly lower than QL positive cases (p=0.002). Quantitative tests in 114 (76%) of the cases were below acceptable levels consistent with negative qualitative results (Table 1). The QT results were not normal in 25 (17%) of the samples (5-50 μg/L). Among them, qualitative tests were negative for 14 cases that account for 56%. Qualitative tests for the rest of them (n=11) were observed to be trace (seven cases) or +1, +2, and +3 (four cases) (Table 2). For 11 (7.3%)
samples, the result of the quantitative test was abnormal (≥50 μg/L). Qualitative test results were +1, +2, or +3 in all these cases (Table 2).

3.2. Diagnostic associations
In all cases, qualitative test was fully sensitive (100%), highly specific (89%) with a positive likelihood ratio (PLR) of 9.1 and a negative likelihood ratio (NLR) of 0 (Table 3). Among the cases, sensitivity and specificity were 100% with a PLR of 5.5. and an NLR of 0. In the control group, sensitivity and specificity were estimated to be 100% with an infinite PLR and an NLR of zero (Table 3).

Table 1. Outcomes related to qualitative and quantitative tests (n=150)

| Group                  | Qualitative test; n (%) | Quantitative Test |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|                        | Negative | Trace | +1, +2, +3 | Below Acceptable Level (5-50 μg/L) | 114 (76) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 114 (76) |
|                        |          |       |           | Above Acceptable Level (≥50 μg/L) | 14 (9.3) | 7 (4.7) | 15 (10) | 36 (24) |
| Total                  |          |       |           |                                 | 128 (85.3) | 7 (4.7) | 15 (10.0) | 150 (100) |

Table 2. Results of the qualitative and quantitative tests by separation of the control and case groups

| Group | Quantitative test |
|-------|-------------------|
| Case  | Quantitative test |
|       | Negative | Trace | +1, +2, +3 | Total |
|       | 66 (66) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 66 (66) |
|       | 14 (14) | 6 (6.0) | 14 (14) | 34 (24) |
| Total | 80 (80) | 6 (6.0) | 14 (14) | 100 |
| Control | Quantitative test |
|       | Negative | Trace | +1, +2, +3 | Total |
|       | 48 (48) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 48 (96) |
|       | 0 (0) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1) | 2 (4) |
| Total | 48 (48) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (1) | 50 (100) |

Table 3. Diagnostic tests

| Test                | Quantitative Test*; n (%) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|
|                     | Negative | Positive |
| Qualitative test    | Total samples | 114 (76) | 14 (9.3) |
| Positive            | 0 (0) | 22 (14.7) |
| Negative            | Control group | 66 (66) | 14 (14) |
| Positive            | 0 (0) | 20 (20) |
| Negative            | Case group | 48 (96) | 0 (0) |
| Positive            | 0 (0) | 2 (2) |

*Quantitative test assumed to be the standard.

4. Discussion
This study found that QL is highly sensitive and specific when compared to QT in determining thallium levels in urine. The value of this test extends to both opioid overdosed and naïve subjects. Qualitative assays could be a good surrogate for quantitative tests. Iran’s neighbour Afghanistan, has been the biggest producer of opioids worldwide for many years, as well as having a long history of opioid use. This has resulted in similar complications when compared to other countries (24, 25). It has been shown that street drug smugglers and dealers add other medications and heavy metals such as lead or Tl to magnify the effects or increase the weight of drugs for financial gains (26, 27). This may lead to serious but subtle toxicities. Following a case report by Questel et al. (14), an outbreak of Tl exposure in chronic opioid users was reported by Afshari et al. (13) from Iran in which recurrent symptoms of thallotoxicosis were attributed to adulterated street drug use (13). There could be found a statistically significant difference between the case and control groups in both quantitative and qualitative analyses reports; nevertheless, inconsistencies were detected, making definitive diagnosis less likely if not impossible. According to qualitative urinary Tl findings, 85% of the cases were negative for Tl, which could be accounted for by the fact that the Tl level might not be identified in urine or blood. False, normal or low levels of thallium urine were seen less in cases whose 24-hour urine samples were taken, making it a more reliable method of detection compared to blood sampling with higher falsehood rates (28). There are three phases to be seen in acute Tl poisoning. Primarily, the element spreads intravascularly within the first few hours. It subsequently propagates through the central nervous system for the following two days. The third phase regards elimination mainly via GI and renal system, after another 24 hours (29).
Thallium poisoning is a serious health problem with many complications from gastroenterological to neurological and dermatological manifestations (30, 31). The most common manifestations pertaining to Tl intoxication among drug abusers include neuropathy (both sensory and motor), tremor, ataxia, dry skin, scalp hair loss, sweating, vomiting, nausea, constipation, paresthesia, polyneuropathy, abdominal pain and alopecia (9, 30, 32). The clinical signs and symptoms pertaining to Tl poisoning may vary based on dosage, body weight, individual susceptibility, and the onset of treatment (28). Combined assays were proven superior to single methods in their specificity and sensitivity. To enhance survival rates and minimize deficits, it seems essential to use more reliable and efficient methods of toxicity detection along with proper clinical management of the given condition. Given the importance of recognition of Tl as a harmful heavy metal in consumed opiates, the findings of the current study may improve the methods of heavy metal adulteration detection. This study and the related findings can be of benefit in line enhancing metal adulteration techniques, efficacy and reliability, given the common detection of such heavy elements in consumed opiates. In all, it is safe to assume that qualitative methods can replace quantitative ones thanks to their higher sensitivity, specificity and PLR as well as small NLR. This study is subject to certain limitations including incontinency pertaining to opioid concentration and the dose of the drug used by street drug abusers. The highest sensitivity and specificity were proved for quantitative methods, implying that they are considered as gold standard detection methods.

5. Conclusions
In settings that opioid use and overdose are common, and Tl has been reported as an adulterant across a country such as Iran, testing symptomatic opioid users for Tl should be emphasised. Quantitative measurement of Tl is preferred; however, toxicology laboratories may not be widely accessible in less well-off regions. This study found that qualitative assays are almost as sensitive and specific as quantitative assays, which has led us to support their use. The applied benefit of this study may extend to rehabilitation centres, where physicians can use available qualitative assays to detect Tl.
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