**Circle the Wagons: Circular RNAs Control Innate Immunity**
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Circular RNAs are generated at low levels from many protein-coding genes. Liu et al. now reveal that many of these transcripts bind and inhibit the double-stranded RNA (dsRNA)-dependent kinase PKR. Upon viral infection, circular RNAs are globally degraded to release PKR, which becomes activated to aid in the immune response.

Most eukaryotic genes are interrupted by intronic sequences that must be removed from pre-messenger RNAs by the splicing machinery. These introns are typically spliced out in a sequential order, resulting in the production of a linear mRNA. However, the splicing machinery can also “backsplice” and join a splice donor to an upstream splice acceptor (e.g., join the end of exon 2 to the beginning of exon 1), thereby generating a circular RNA with covalently linked ends (Figure 1). Thousands of genes can generate circular RNAs that accumulate in the cytoplasm, but most rarely do so because backsplicing is far less efficient (~1%) than canonical splicing (reviewed in Wilusz, 2018). Nevertheless, some circular RNAs accumulate to high levels and sequester microRNAs or RNA binding proteins or, alternatively, serve as templates for translation. Most other individual circular RNAs are expressed at exceedingly low levels, so it has remained unclear what biological function (if any) they exert. In this issue of Cell, Liu et al. (2019) reveal that circular RNAs can collectively bind and suppress activation of the kinase PKR, thereby controlling innate immune responses.

The innate immune system is the first line of defense against invading pathogens and involves a set of receptors that recognize pathogen structures (reviewed in Mogensen, 2009). Among these receptors, PKR recognizes long (>33 bp) dsRNAs in the cytoplasm and then inhibits protein synthesis. PKR thus needs to be readily activatable yet maintained in an inactive state in uninfected cells to prevent inappropriate reactions and autoimmunity. Previous work has shown that PKR activation can be blocked upon binding the adenovirus small noncoding VAI RNA (Kitajewski et al., 1986) or short (16–33 bp) dsRNAs (Zheng and Bevilacqua, 2004), and Liu et al. (2019) now find that many endogenous circular RNAs are able to bind PKR. Interestingly, when the binding profiles of linear and circular RNAs of the same sequence were compared, circular RNAs bound much more strongly to PKR. This suggested that circular RNAs have distinct structures from linear RNAs. Indeed, structural mapping revealed that most circular RNAs in cells form stable secondary structures with short (16–26 bp) imperfect duplexes, while linear RNAs folded into more dynamic, unstable structures (Liu et al., 2019).

The short dsRNA regions within circular RNAs enable binding to PKR, but for what purpose? Liu et al. (2019) found that high levels of individual circular RNAs are sufficient to suppress PKR activity in vitro, but most circular RNAs are expressed at only a handful of copies per cell. It is thus highly unlikely that any individual circular RNA can function as an efficient PKR inhibitor in vivo. Nevertheless, if one considers all circular RNAs as a group, there are ~9,000–10,000 copies of circular RNAs in each HeLa cell, and most form 1–4 dsRNA regions. This translates to no less than 10,000 dsRNA regions present within circular RNAs that could potentially bind and inhibit PKR. To test this stoichiometry-based model, Liu et al. (2019) used plasmids to express individual circular RNAs to very high levels (5,000–6,000 copies per cell), thereby increasing the overall circular RNA pool. They then examined PKR activation kinetics after these HeLa cells were stimulated with the dsRNA mimic poly(I:C) or infected with an RNA virus, encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV). Compared to wild-type cells, PKR activity was greatly reduced by circular RNA overexpression. In contrast, overexpression of a linear RNA of the same sequence had no effect on PKR, nor did overexpression of a circular RNA that lacked dsRNA regions. Collectively, these results indicate that endogenous circular RNAs can bind PKR to shield its dsRNA-mediated activation even in the presence of pathogenic dsRNAs.

If circular RNAs naturally bind and inhibit PKR, the question then becomes how PKR is activated when needed. Circular RNAs have been considered to be highly stable transcripts as their covalently closed structures make them resistant to exonucleases. Remarkably, Liu et al. (2019) show that the vast majority (80%–90%) of circular RNAs are degraded within 1-2 hr of cells being stimulated with poly(I:C) or infected with EMCV. This is due to activation of oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) and the cytoplasmic endonuclease RNase L, which catalyzes cleavage of viral and cellular RNAs after UN dinucleotides (where N = A, C, G, or U) (Wreschner et al., 1981). While 10%–30% of linear mRNAs were degraded after poly(I:C) stimulation or EMCV infection, the depletion of mature circular RNAs was much more drastic (Liu et al., 2019). This is largely because circular RNAs are rarely generated, and the amount of nascent circular RNAs being produced cannot compensate for the rapid degradation. The authors thus propose that this rapid fall in mature circular RNA levels enables
PKR to be released and then activated upon recognizing pathogenic dsRNAs. When circular RNA levels remained high (e.g., due to the circular RNA overexpression plasmids), Liu et al. (2019) found that PKR failed to be efficiently activated. This suggests that RNase L may act upstream of PKR, but there are previous studies that have found no requirement for RNase L in PKR activation, e.g., during infection with murine coronavirus (Kindler et al., 2017). In fact, extended PKR activation was observed in RNase L knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts after stimulation with poly(I:C) or EMCV infection (Khabar et al., 2003). The underlying reason(s) for these conflicting results are currently unclear.

Liu et al. (2019) further found a global reduction in circular RNAs in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from patients with the autoimmune disease systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) compared to normal controls. This was coupled to increased RNase L activity (perhaps due to more dsRNA being present in patient-derived cells) and enhanced PKR activation. Nevertheless, overexpression of circular RNAs was able to reverse these phenotypes and cause reduced expression of IFNβ and type I IFN-induced genes. This suggests the exciting potential of modulating circular RNA levels as a therapeutic strategy for SLE. For example, exogenously produced circular RNAs could be introduced, although the dosages would need to be well controlled, especially since overexpression of circular and lariat RNAs can facilitate some viral infections (Zhang et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). In addition, there are conflicting reports on whether such exogenously produced circular RNAs themselves trigger immune responses (Wesselhoft et al., 2019).

Considering that little long dsRNA is thought to be present in the cytoplasm of uninfected normal cells, it will be very important in the future to clarify why PKR would need to be subjected to such active suppression by circular RNAs. Interestingly, circular RNA levels were not modulated by many other immune stimulatory treatments examined by Liu et al. (2019), including lipopolysaccharide or interferon-β. This indicates context specificity and further work is now needed to determine if circular RNAs play any role in the activation of other innate immune receptors, especially in well-characterized disease and animal models.
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Deneke et al. (2019) discover that dynamic interactions of cell cycle and actomyosin contractility systems synchronize nuclear cleavages, generating a cytoplasmic flow that results in a spatially uniform distribution of zygotic nuclei in the early Drosophila embryo. This work underscores the importance of self-organizing mechanisms before the onset of zygotic transcription.

There is no doubt that a lion’s share of the remarkable robustness in embryonic development arises from genetic regulation (Peter and Davidson, 2015). The genome of an organism provides a script for the sequential unfolding of a bewildering number of cellular and tissue-level processes that ensure reliable formation of functional organs. Even slight alterations in this script or imperfections in its interpretation can disrupt embryogenesis, either terminating it altogether or causing devastating developmental abnormalities. Numerous mechanisms ensure that the script itself remains unchanged and that it is followed down to the last detail. Notably however, some of the earliest steps of embryonic development are reliably executed before the all-powerful gene networks start to function. During this stage of development, i.e., before the onset of zygotic transcription, the early embryo relies on mechanisms that do not require a script, but are just as robust and reliable as gene regulation. In this issue of Cell, Deneke and colleagues demonstrate how these highly desirable properties can arise in a self-organizing manner, from dynamic interactions of core intracellular systems (Deneke et al., 2019; Figure 1).

The experimental model is the early Drosophila embryo at the stage during which synchronized 13 mitotic divisions lead to an exponential increase in the number of zygotic nuclei, generating ~6,000 nuclei in only 2 hours after egg fertilization (Rabinowitz, 1941; Foe and Alberts, 1983). By the end of the 2nd hour of embryogenesis, the nuclei are distributed in a spatially-uniform monolayer under a common plasma membrane of the embryo, ready to be patterned by maternal morphogen gradients. How does the embryo form this relatively static and blank canvas for subsequent pattern formation and morphogenetic events?

The early divisions occur in the middle of the embryo, forming an expanding group of nuclei that occupy larger and larger volume, just as one would expect for an exponentially growing group of cells in three dimensions. The second phase of nuclear cleavages brings about a surprise: Instead of continuing to spread and filling the entire volume of the embryo, the nuclei appear to be “carried” to the surface of the embryo, distributing themselves under the common plasma membrane. Once at the membrane, nuclei remain in two dimensions and divide four more times, before the onset of zygotic transcription and tissue patterning. The repositioning of the nuclei from a three- to a two- dimensional space occurs in a highly reliable fashion, always leading to a uniform nuclear monolayer after nine rounds of nuclear divisions. However, the mechanisms underlying this robust dimensionality reduction have remained unclear, partly because imaging divisions inside the embryo is technically challenging. Genetic and pharmacological studies pointed toward several possible mechanisms, including local restructuring of cytoskeleton and control of cell surface contractility, but these mechanisms were largely qualitative and difficult to test experimentally, leaving one of the critical steps of early Drosophila embryogenesis unexplained (von Dassow and Schubiger, 1994; Royou et al., 2002).
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