Antihistamine Pretreatment to Reduce Incidence of Withdrawal Movement After Rocuronium Injection

The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of antihistamine therapy for withdrawal movements caused by rocuronium injection. One hundred seventy one ASA I-II adults undergoing elective surgery were randomly assigned to one of two groups. Patients in the control group (Group C) were premedicated with 2 mL normal saline, and those in the antihistamine group (Group A) were premedicated with 2 mL (45.5 mg) pheniramine maleate. After the administration of thiopental sodium 5 mg/kg, rocuronium 0.6 mg/kg was injected. Withdrawal movements were assessed using a four-grade scale. The administration of antihistamine reveals lower grade of withdrawal movement after rocuronium injection.
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INTRODUCTION

Rocuronium bromide is a non-depolarizing aminosteroidal drug characterized by rapid onset and intermediate duration of action. Pain is a common side effect of rocuronium injection, reported to occur in 50-80% of patients (1-3). Conscious patients administered sub-paralyzing doses often suffer severe burning sensation on injection of the drug (4). Even after loss of consciousness, rocuronium injection causes a withdrawal movement of the injected hand or arm. The pathophysiologic mechanism of pain caused by the intravenous (IV) administration of rocuronium injection remains unclear. Changes in plasma histamine concentration after administration of rocuronium are not significant (5). However, analysis of dermal microdialysis-induced mast cell stimulation reveals that high concentrations of rocuronium lead to significant increases in histamine and tryptase release (6, 7). Histamine is widely known for causing pruritus, rarely provokes pain (8), but high concentrations of histamine may activate polymodal nociceptors, resulting in pain production (5, 9). Peripheral veins are innervated with polymodal nociceptors that mediate the pain response to the injection of rocuronium (6, 10). There are no published studies on the incidence and degree of movement against rocuronium injection pain after pretreatment with antihistamines. This randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study was designed to evaluate the effectiveness of antihistamine pretreatment in reducing withdrawal movements associated with the administration of rocuronium.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A minimum sample size of 127 cases was required in this study by a statistical power analysis (conducted with $\alpha=0.05$, power=0.80, and determined by the estimated relative proportions in each category for each group using our observations). After institutional ethics committee approval and informed patient consent were obtained, 171 patients (ages 18 to 65 yr, ASA physical status I or II, undergoing general anesthesia for elective surgery) were recruited for a prospective, randomized, and blinded study. Exclusion criteria are: patients with neurological deficits, opioid or local anesthetic allergies, recent exposure to antihistamines or antidepressants, asthma, pregnancy, those who received analgesics within the previous 24 hr, those with difficult venous access, and those requiring rapid sequence induction. All patients were premedicated with glycopyrrolate 0.2 mg and midazolam 2 mg intramuscularly 30 min before induction of anesthesia. Patients were randomly allocated into two groups using a sealed envelope system. The control group (n=79, group C) received 2 mL of saline, and the antihistamine group (n=92, group A) received pheniramine maleate 2 mL (45.5 mg) intramuscularly 30 min before induction of anesthesia. Patients were monitored with electrocardiogram, pulse oximeter, and non-invasive arterial pressure on arrival at the operating room. Anesthesia was induced with 2.5% thiopental sodium 5 mg/kg. Just after loss of consciousness, rocuronium 0.6 mg/kg was inject-
ed over 5 sec. Crystalloids were then administered at maximum gravity flow. Eight patients in group C and 12 patients in group A were excluded from the study since they received succinylcholine instead of rocuronium, owing to poor mask ventilation or complaints of pain during thiopental sodium administration. To estimate the incidence and degree of the withdrawal movements associated with rocuronium, patient response was graded by the investigator according to the following scale proposed by Ahmad and colleagues (1) (Table 1). Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) were recorded before the administration of thiopental sodium (baseline), 1 min after injection of rocuronium, and 1 min after tracheal intubation. Statistical analyses were performed using a statistical package (SPSS 15.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, U.S.A.). Data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as number of patients. Demographic data was analyzed using a Student’s t-test. The incidence and degree of movements, and site of IV cannula were compared using a chi-square test for trend. The incidence of withdrawal movement according to the site of the IV cannula was analyzed using chi-square test for trend. Hemodynamic variables were analyzed using a one-way repeated measures ANOVA. P < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

No significant differences were noted in terms of demographic variables of patients in Group C and Group A (Table 2). The overall incidence of withdrawal was 84.5% (60/71) in Group C and 70% (56/80) in Group A, and there were no significant differences between two groups. The incidence are greater than expected in grade 3/4 withdrawal movement, and lesser than expected in grade 1/2 withdrawal movement in Group C. Group C reveals lesser incidence than expected value in grade 3/4 withdrawal movement (P=0.008) (Table 3). The overall incidence and grade of withdrawal responses were independent of the site on the IV cannula (Fig. 1). MAP

Table 1. Assessment of withdrawal responses

| Withdrawal Grade | Withdrawal response                          |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1                | No response                                 |
| 2                | Movement at the wrist only                  |
| 3                | Movement/withdrawal involving arm only (elbow/shoulder) |
| 4                | Generalized response                        |

Table 2. Demographic data of study subjects

| Variables          | Group C (Control) | Group A (Antihistamine) |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (yr)           | 40.7±13.0         | 42.5±11.9               |
| Gender (M/F)       | 30/41             | 33/47                   |
| Weight (kg)        | 62.0±10.7         | 63.5±13.7               |
| Height (cm)        | 162.4±9.0         | 163.6±9.7               |
| Body mass index (BMI) | 23.4±2.83       | 23.7±4.84               |
| Intravascular catheter site (hand/wrist/forearm) | 28/23/20 | 35/22/23 |

Values represent numbers of patients or mean±SD. There were no significant differences between two groups.

Table 3. Incidence and grade of withdrawal movements during intravenous rocuronium injection

| Grade of withdrawal movement | Group C (Control) (n=58) | Group A (Antihistamine) (n=62) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                             | 9 (16%)                  | 15 (24%)                        |
| 2                             | 3 (5%)                   | 12 (19%)                        |
| 3                             | 24 (41%)                 | 14 (23%)                        |
| 4                             | 22 (38%)                 | 21 (34%)                        |
| Overall incidence of withdrawal movement | 49 (84%) | 47 (76%) |

Values represent numbers of patients and percentage of patient response. Under chi-square test for trend, the proportions of observations on different rows vary from column to column (P=0.008). Withdrawal response 1, no movement; 2, movement at hand or wrist only; 3, movement/withdrawal involving elbow and/or shoulder movement; 4, general response.

Table 4. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) during anesthesia induction

| Variables          | Baseline | 1 min after rocuronium injection | 1 min after intubation |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| MAP (mmHg)         |          |                                  |                        |
| Group C (Control)  | 97.2±14.6| 103.6±18.9                       | 119.9±22.1             |
| Group A (Antihistamine) | 100.5±11.9 | 102.9±16.7                     | 124.8±21.5             |
| HR (BPM)           |          |                                  |                        |
| Group C (Control)  | 71.8±12.9| 92.5±13.3                        | 104.3±12.9             |
| Group A (Antihistamine) | 74.7±15.7 | 90.1±12.7                     | 104.7±13.6             |

Values are mean±SD. No significant differences were noted between the groups. BPM, beats per minute.
and HR during anesthesia induction were not significantly different between two groups (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that the degree of withdrawal movement associated with the administration of rocuronium injection can be diminished by pretreatment with an antihistamine. The incidence of pain associated with rocuronium injection is high; reports suggest that 50-80% of patients suffer burning sensation (1-3). Withdrawal movements associated with rocuronium injection may negatively affect patient outcomes. Withdrawal movements may induce pulmonary aspiration secondary to gastric regurgitation in unconscious patients (11), cause dislocation or displacement of the IV catheter, or lead to an emergency situation. The exact mechanism of rocuronium-induced pain remains unclear, but it has been reported that the pain may be due to polymodal nociceptor stimulation caused by the osmolality or pH of the solution, and/or by the release of endogenous mediators, such as histamine, bradykinin, kinin and other substances mediating inflammation (12). Rocuronium is supplied as an isotonic solution of pH 4, and pain is known to be induced by low pH injections (13). However, absence of pain in patients receiving 0.9% NaCl adjusted to pH 4 is inconsistent with this hypothesis (12). Several methods have been attempted to reduce this withdrawal movement and pain, with variable results: pretreatment with ondansetron, lidocaine, tramadol, and fentanyl (3), remifentanil (14) and injection of a mixture of rocuronium and sodium bicarbonate (4, 15). Cheong and Wong (2) found that both 10 mg and 30 mg of lidocaine given before the administration of rocuronium significantly reduced the incidence and severity of pain on rocuronium injection in adults, and the larger dose was more effective in adult patients. However, side effects after the pretreatment is possible, such as anaphylaxis (16), coughing (17), chest rigidity, hypotension and bradycardia (18).

The purpose of this study was to determine the effectiveness of antihistamine pretreatment in reducing pain withdrawal associated with rocuronium administration. Pheniramine maleate is an alkylamine antihistamine of which mode of action is achieved by reversible and competitive inhibition of the interaction of histamine with H1 receptors on cells, preventing histamine effects on target organs (8). Several studies have also reported that the analgesic effect of antihistamines (19-21). Although antihistamine has a similar structure to lidocaine, allergic cross reactivity has not been reported. Antihistamine has been recommended as an alternative analgesics for the patients who have allergy to lidocaines (22).

After IV administration of pheniramine maleate, peak plasma concentrations are achieved at 15 min (23), with terminal half-lives estimated to range between 8 and 17 hr. We administrated pheniramine maleate at the time of premedi-
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