SN 2010lp—a Type Ia supernova from a violent merger of two carbon–oxygen white dwarfs
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ABSTRACT

SN 2010lp is a subluminous Type Ia supernova (SN Ia) with slowly evolving lightcurves. Moreover, it is the only subluminous SN Ia observed so far that shows narrow emission lines of [O i] in late-time spectra, indicating unburned oxygen close to the center of the ejecta. Most explosion models for SNe Ia cannot explain the narrow [O i] emission. Here, we present hydrodynamic explosion and radiative transfer calculations showing that the violent merger of two carbon–oxygen white dwarfs of 0.9 and 0.76 M⊙ adequately reproduces the early-time observables of SN 2010lp. Moreover, our model predicts oxygen close to the center of the explosion ejecta, a pre-requisite for narrow [O i] emission in nebular spectra as observed in SN 2010lp.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) form a relatively homogeneous class of objects. It is widely accepted that they result from thermonuclear explosions of carbon–oxygen (CO) white dwarfs (WDs) in binary systems (see, e.g., Hillebrandt & Niemeyer 2000). However, in the absence of direct progenitor detections (Li et al. 2011; Bloom et al. 2012) both the exact nature of the progenitor systems (double- versus single-degenerate) and the details of the explosion mechanism are not well known (Hillebrandt & Niemeyer 2000). There is now indirect observational evidence (Patat et al. 2007; Li et al. 2011; Bloom et al. 2012; Dilday et al. 2012; González Hernández et al. 2012; Shappee et al. 2013; Schaefer & Pagnotta 2012) that more than one progenitor type may be responsible for the bulk of normal SNe Ia (e.g., Hillebrandt et al. 2013 for a review).

A promising method to investigate the nature of SNe Ia is the comparison of theoretical explosion models to observed SN lightcurves and spectra. This has been used extensively in the past (e.g., Höflich & Khokhlov 1996; Kasen et al. 2009; Sim et al. 2010). However, it can be very difficult to discriminate between different progenitor models for normal SNe Ia from optical lightcurves and spectra alone (Röpke et al. 2012). Nevertheless, specific progenitor and explosion models have been identified for some of the peculiar sub-classes of SNe Ia, such as, e.g., the subluminous 2002cx-like SNe (Jordan et al. 2012; Kromer et al. 2013).

In a companion paper, G. Pignata et al. (in preparation) present observations of SN 2010lp, a peculiar subluminous SN Ia in NGC 1137 (distance modulus μ = 33.03 mag, redshift z = 0.010, E(B − V) = 0.21 mag assumed). At early times this SN has similar brightness and spectra to the subluminous 1991bg-like SNe (Filippenko et al. 1992; Leibundgut et al. 1993) and, like those, does not show double-peaked I-band lightcurves. However, the lightcurve evolution of SN 2010lp is much slower than in 1991bg-like SNe. G. Pignata et al. (in preparation), e.g., derive a Δm15(5) of 1.24 for SN 2010lp, while typical 1991bg-like SNe have a Δm15(5) of ~1.9 (Taubenberger et al. 2008). Moreover, narrow [O i] emission has been identified in a nebular spectrum of SN 2010lp (Taubenberger et al. 2013), indicating a concentration of unburned material near the center of the ejecta. This is very challenging for most current explosion scenarios of SNe Ia discussed in the literature. Neither Chandrasekhar-mass (M⊙) delayed detonations (e.g., Seitenzahl et al. 2013) nor sub-M⊙ double detonations and edge-lit detonations (Nomoto 1982; Livne 1990; Fink et al. 2010) are expected to leave O in the central ejecta, since the densities there are so high that almost all the fuel is completely burned to iron-group elements (IGEs). In contrast, in M⊙-deflagrations the turbulent burning leads to a well-mixed ejecta structure, i.e., O is present down to the center of the ejecta (e.g., Ma et al. 2013; Fink et al. 2013). As shown by Kozyma et al. (2005), [O i] emission can be present in such models. However, since O and IGEs are abundant over a wide velocity range, the predicted [O i] feature is broad, unlike the narrow feature observed in SN 2010lp. Taubenberger et al. (2013) pointed out that an ejecta structure similar to that of the violent merger of a 1.1 and a 0.9 M⊙ WD as presented in Pakmor et al. (2012b) might produce narrow [O i] emission in a small region close to the center of the ejecta. The particular 1.1+0.9 M⊙ merger of Pakmor et al. (2012b), however, is significantly too bright to be a good match to SN 2010lp.

Here, we present a hydrodynamic simulation of the violent merger of two CO WDs of 0.9 and 0.76 M⊙. By calculating synthetic observables from radiative transfer simulations, we find that this model reproduces the observed early-time properties of SN 2010lp extremely well. Moreover, the explosion ejecta contain O near the center, which might potentially lead to [O i] emission in nebular spectra as observed in SN 2010lp.
The Letter is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe the progenitor system and the hydrodynamic explosion simulation of our model. In Section 3 we present the detailed chemical composition of the explosion ejecta, which we then use in Section 4 to obtain synthetic observables and compare them with SN 2010lp. In Section 5 we discuss our findings before drawing conclusions in Section 6.

2. MERGER AND EXPLOSION

Pakmor et al. (2011) used the smoothed-particle hydrodynamics (SPH) code stellar-gadget (Pakmor et al. 2012a; Springel 2005), to study the inspiral of various pairs of low-mass WDs with different mass ratios. Including a 13-isotope network, they investigated whether the mergers of these binaries reach sufficiently high temperature and density that the system could detonate. Here, we simulate the explosion of the lowest-mass WD. The primary WD is compressed and heated. In the hottest regions, initial iron-group and intermediate-mass elements and some O. As these ashes expand, they wrap around the tidal tail, which once was the secondary WD. In this low-density material the detonation propagates faster at higher densities, the asymmetric at the time of detonation initiation (72 s in Figure 1).

We take the hydrodynamic structure of the merger at the time of detonation initiation as obtained by Pakmor et al. (2011) and use the leaves code (Reinecke et al. 2002) to model the propagation of the detonation through the merged object. LEAFs applies the level-set technique (Reinecke et al. 1999) to model detonation fronts (Fink et al. 2010). To keep track of the rapidly streaming ejecta, we use the expanding-grid technique of Röpke (2005).

The full evolution of our model from the beginning of the SPH inspiral simulation to detonation initiation to homologous expansion is shown in Figure 1. At the start ($t = 0$ s), the binary system has an orbital period of $\sim 36$ s on a circular co-rotating orbit. As shown by Pakmor et al. (2012a), this relatively tight orbit has no significant effect on the detonation initiation since, to the accuracy afforded by current simulations, resolution is the limiting factor. In the following 60 s the primary (more massive) WD accretes matter from the tidally deformed secondary WD. Owing to the high accretion rate, material on the surface of the primary WD is compressed and heated. In the hottest regions, C burning is ignited, and most of the C is burned in a local thermonuclear runaway that reaches $T = 2.67 \times 10^9$ K and $\rho = 1.91 \times 10^6$ g cm$^{-3}$ at 72 s (black cross in Figure 1).

We assume that a detonation forms at this instant (Seitenzahl et al. 2009) and map the merger to a uniform 768$^3$ Cartesian grid to model the detonation with leaves. Within the mapping process 0.027 $M_\odot$ are lost since some SPH particles lie outside the selected box size of $4 \times 10^9$ cm. However, the initial density of this material is so low that it will not be burned and neither affects the dynamics of the ejecta nor the synthetic observables.

On the grid the detonation is ignited in a spherical bubble of radius $3 \times 10^7$ cm around the hottest cell. This ignition region contains 799 cells and consists mainly of O and intermediate-mass elements due to the preceding C burning. Within 2 s after detonation initiation (74 s), almost all material is burned and the explosion ejecta are unbound (energy release from nuclear burning: $1.4 \times 10^{51}$ erg). At 172 s (corresponding to 100 s after detonation initiation) the ejecta are streaming freely with an asymptotic kinetic energy of $1.1 \times 10^{51}$ erg.

3. NUCLEOSYNTHESIS

To obtain the detailed chemical composition of the explosion ejecta, we perform a nucleosynthesis post-processing calculation with a 384-isotope nuclear network (Travaglio et al. 2004). As input for this calculation we use $10^9$ Lagrangian tracer particles for which we recorded the thermodynamic trajectories throughout the hydrodynamic explosion simulation. For the initial composition of the tracer particles we take 50% O and 48.29% C (by mass). Assuming that the main-sequence progenitor had a solar metallicity, the remaining 1.71% are distributed according to the solar values of Asplund et al. (2009) for all elements but H and He. To account for core He-burning all primary C, N, O was converted to $^{22}$Ne (by number).

The final ejecta contain 0.21 $M_\odot$ of IGEs, of which 0.18 $M_\odot$ are $^{56}$Ni. The most abundant other species are O (0.50 $M_\odot$), Si (0.37 $M_\odot$), C (0.21 $M_\odot$) and S (0.14 $M_\odot$).

To obtain the spatial distribution of chemical species in the ejecta, the final composition of the tracer particles is mapped on a 200$^3$ Cartesian grid in asymptotic velocity space. For this, we use an SPH-like algorithm that approximately conserves the integrated yields (see Kromer et al. 2010). As can be seen from Figure 2, the distribution of the ejecta is rather complex, a direct consequence that the merging binary is quite asymmetric at the time of detonation initiation (72 s in Figure 1). Since detonations propagate faster at higher densities, the primary WD burns first, producing ashes that consist mainly of iron-group and intermediate-mass elements and some O. As these ashes expand, they wrap around the tidal tail, which once was the secondary WD. In this low-density material the detonation propagates more slowly and burning is less complete, leaving a significant amount of unburned O. This O from the secondary WD stays at low velocities and reaches down to the center of the ejecta.
Figure 2. Slice through the midplane ($x$-$y$) of the simulation volume when the ejecta have reached homologous expansion at 100 s after the explosion. We show the mass density (top left) and mass fractions of select species as outlined in the different panels. The contours in the density plot indicate regions that contain $> 90\%$ material originating from the secondary (blue) or primary (gray) WD.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

4. COMPARISON WITH OBSERVATIONS

To obtain synthetic observables for our model, we perform Monte Carlo radiative transfer simulations with the artis code (Kromer & Sim 2009; Sim 2007). As input for this simulation, we take the density distribution from the hydro model and the composition structure from the mapping of the tracer particles, and rescale both to a 50$^3$ Cartesian grid. For our simulation we use the atomic data set as described by Gall et al. (2012) and propagate $10^8$ photon packets for 111 logarithmically spaced time steps between 2 and 120 days after explosion. To reduce the computational costs, a gray approximation is used in optically thick cells (cf. Kromer & Sim 2009), and for $t < 3$ days local thermodynamic equilibrium is assumed.

In Figure 3 we present broad-band lightcurves of our model in comparison to the observed photometry of SN 2010lp (G. Pignata et al. in preparation). As for the lightcurves, we find a significant sensitivity to viewing-angle effects in the spectrum. For several lines-of-sight as well as the angle-average our synthetic spectrum reproduces SN 2010lp remarkably well. While there are minor discrepancies in the strength of the Ca ii (slightly too strong) and Si ii (slightly too weak) features, our model clearly shows the hallmark features of 1991bg-like SNe which are also found in SN 2010lp: the broad Ti ii absorption trough between 4000 and 4400 Å, the relatively strong O i triplet $\lambda\lambda 7772, 7774, 7775$ and low velocities in the observed absorption features compared to normal SNe Ia. In fact, the velocities of our spectra are even slightly lower than those observed in SN 2010lp.

Regarding the observed [O i] emission in a late-time spectrum of SN 2010lp (Taubenberger et al. 2013), we cannot make a direct prediction for our model at the moment. Our multi-dimensional radiative transfer code artis does not yet take into account the non-thermal excitation and ionization processes necessary to model nebular spectra. One-dimensional codes do not provide a viable alternative either, since mapping the highly asymmetric ejecta of our merger model to one-dimensions leads to artificial mixing of chemical species, preventing any useful prediction. However, from Figure 2 it is obvious that our model has O at low velocities, a pre-requisite for narrow [O i] emission in nebular spectra as observed in SN 2010lp.
5. DISCUSSION

In comparison to the 0.9+0.9 $M_\odot$ merger of Pakmor et al. (2010), our 0.9+0.76 $M_\odot$ model is significantly brighter (Figure 5). This is due to an increased yield of $^{56}$Ni in our model. As discussed by Pakmor et al. (2011), the $^{56}$Ni production depends only on the density profile of the primary WD at the time of explosion (for a given mass ratio $q = M_\text{secondary}/M_\text{primary}$ this translates to a dependence on $M_\text{primary}$). In our model, with $q = 0.84$, the density profile of the primary WD at ignition is almost identical to that of an isolated, hydrostatic WD. In contrast, in the Pakmor et al. (2010) merger, with $q = 1$, tidal interactions between primary and secondary are strong enough to disturb the density profiles of both WDs, thus reducing their central density and consequently the $^{56}$Ni production.

Owing to the low luminosity and the peculiar spectral features of the 0.9+0.9 $M_\odot$ merger, Pakmor et al. (2010) proposed their model as a potential progenitor system for 1991bg-like SNe. However, they failed to reproduce the rapid lightcurve evolution typical of those SNe (Figure 5). Since the opacity in SN ejecta is correlated with their mass, this indicates that the ejecta mass of the Pakmor et al. (2010) model is too large for 1991bg-like SNe.
Maguire et al. (2011) argued that a violent merger of two low-mass CO WDs, as presented by Pakmor et al. (2010), might be the progenitor of PTF10ops—another slowly declining subluminous SN 1a. The excellent agreement of our model and SN 2010lp strengthens this connection between low-mass CO–CO WD mergers (primary masses $\sim 0.9 M_\odot$) and the emerging class of slowly evolving subluminous SNe Ia like PTF10ops and SN 2010lp.

While binary population synthesis calculations of Ruiter et al. (2013) do not predict a sufficiently large number of low-mass CO–CO mergers to account for the observed number of all faint SNe Ia (see their Figure 5), the number might be sufficient to account for slowly declining subluminous SNe Ia (from the present observational sample their number is significantly smaller than that of 1991bg-like SNe).

1991bg-like systems, in contrast, require less massive ejecta. Within the helium-ignited violent merger scenario (Pakmor et al. 2013) CO–He WD systems with a CO WD mass of $\sim 0.8–0.9 M_\odot$ might provide appropriate progenitors for this class of objects. According to population synthesis calculations of Ruiter et al. (2011), mass-transferring CO–He systems should be abundant in that mass range. However, more detailed studies, in particular explosion simulations and radiative transfer calculations, will be required to investigate the viability of this model.

In agreement with the absence of narrow [O i] lines in nebular spectra of SN 1991bg and SN 1999by, CO–He mergers would not be expected to show [O i] emission at late times, since the central O in our model originates from the secondary WD.

For CO–CO mergers the question of whether low-velocity [O i] emission may be expected in late-time spectra is more difficult. A first necessary condition for narrow [O i] emission is of course the presence of O close to the center of the ejecta. This depends on the mass ratio of the binary systems: mergers with $q \approx 1$ do not produce O in the center. In such systems the secondary is already almost destroyed at the time the primary explodes. Consequently, the ejecta of the primary are able to push the material of the secondary away. In contrast, in mergers with $q < 1$ the secondary is still very compact when the primary explodes, and thus only marginally affected by the ejecta of the primary. Consequently, the O-rich ashes of the secondary WD stay in the central part of the ejecta.

However, the mere presence of O close to the center of the ejecta is not sufficient for low-velocity [O i] emission in nebular spectra. This depends on the exact excitation and ionization state of the plasma (see Taubenberger et al. 2013 for a detailed discussion). Low-mass violent CO–CO mergers with central O, like our model, could show [O i] emission due to their low luminosity (note, however, that the spatial proximity between $^{56}$Ni and O in our model could still lead to ionization of the O). In contrast, massive mergers, like the 1.1+0.9 $M_\odot$ merger of Pakmor et al. (2012b) that resembles normal SNe Ia but also contains O in the central ejecta, are more luminous. Consequently, the central O may be ionized, leading to no [O i] emission in agreement with late-time observations of normal SNe Ia.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an explosion model of the violent merger of two CO WDs of 0.9 and 0.76 $M_\odot$, respectively. In the explosion ejecta we find O close to the center—a pre-requisite for narrow [O i] emission in nebular spectra as observed in the subluminous SN Ia 2010lp. Moreover, from radiative transfer simulations we have shown that our model adequately reproduces the observed early-time observables of SN 2010lp—in particular its low luminosity and slowly evolving lightcurves, but also its colors and peculiar spectral features.

Our findings support the suggestion of Maguire et al. (2011) that violent mergers of two CO WDs with low-mass primaries ($\sim 0.9 M_\odot$) are a better match to the emerging class of slowly evolving subluminous SNe Ia like PTF10ops and SN 2010lp, rather than to the rapidly declining 1991bg-like SNe as suggested by Pakmor et al. (2010).

The excellent agreement between our explosion model and SN 2010lp demonstrates the power of theoretical explosion models in finding possible progenitors of (peculiar) SNe Ia. In particular the merger scenario, comprising a large variety of possible progenitor properties, warrants detailed scrutiny.
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