Morphology of the antennal sensilla of two species of *Hoplopyga* Thomson, 1880 (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae)
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**ABSTRACT**

Antennal sensilla are important functional elements of sensory systems in insects. This study aimed to determine the morphology and structure of the sensilla of two species of the genus *Hoplopyga*. Adults of *Hoplopyga liturata* (Olivier, 1789) were collected in traps with sugarcane juice as an attractant. Thereafter, larvae of *Hoplopyga albiventris* (Gory and Percheron, 1833) were collected in mounds of termites (*Corinitermes cumulans* (Kollar, 1832) Isoptera). Then, they were reared in the laboratory for adult observations. Antennae of *H. liturata* and *H. albiventris* have sensilla chaetica, trichodea, placodea (type I and II), coeloconica (type I and II), and ampullacea (or pore). Females of *H. liturata* have a total of about 10657 sensilla and males have about 12512, whereas females of *H. albiventris* have about 16490 sensilla and the males 24565 sensilla. Sensilla placodea are predominant in the antenna of males and females of both species.

**Introduction**

The genus *Hoplopyga* Thomson, 1880 (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Cetoniinae, Gymnetini) includes 20 species distributed from Mexico to Argentina, of which 11 were registered in Brazil (Shaughney and Ratcliffe, 2015), namely: *H. albiventris* (Gory and Percheron, 1833), *H. brasiliensis* (Gory and Percheron, 1833), *H. cerdani* Antoine, 1998, *H. liturata* (Olivier, 1789), *H. marginesignata* (Gory and Percheron, 1833), *H. miliaris* (Gory and Percheron, 1833), *H. multipunctata* (Gory and Percheron, 1833), *H. ocellata* (Gory and Percheron, 1833), *H. ravida* (Janson, 1881), *H. riparia* Shaughney and Ratcliffe, 2015, and *H. singularis* (Gory and Percheron, 1833).

Adults of *Hoplopyga* have been noticed feeding on leaves, flowers, fruits, and plant sap (Ballou, 1937; Solís, 2004; Gonçalves and Louzada, 2005; Puker et al., 2012; Rodrigues et al., 2013, Shaughney and Ratcliffe, 2015; Kirmse and Ratcliffe, 2019), while larvae feed on mounds of termites (Isoptera) and decaying woods trunks (Luederwaldt, 1911; Vanin and Costa, 1984; Micó et al., 2001; Garcia et al., 2013; Puker et al., 2012, 2014; Shaughney and Ratcliffe, 2015).

Insect antennae are important sensory structures involved in the detection of odorants, and temperature, besides being tactile and gustative organs (Schneider, 1964; Hansson and Stensmyr, 2011). Antennal sensilla are responsible for reception of semiochemicals (or infochemicals), such as sexual or aggregative pheromones, and of other volatiles, helping insects to locate plants used as food and mating sites (Visser, 1986; Tegoni et al., 2004; Wei et al., 2016).

Adults of scarab beetles (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae) use to swarm to find partners to copulate (Rodrigues et al., 2014, 2016). During the couple formation, these beetles usually use chemical communication detecting released odors using their antennal sensilla (Meinecke, 1975; Leal, 1998). Sensilla types and number vary with species but also between males and females within a species, especially for Scarabaeidae (Ochieng et al., 2002; Romero-López et al., 2004, 2010; Tanaka et al., 2006; Handique et al., 2017; Rodrigues et al., 2019).

The study of sensorial organs of insects is important to clarify questions about fine morphology, the process of communication, and habitat perception by these animals. The present paper aimed to describe the morphology of antennal sensilla of males and females of two species of phytophagous scarab beetles included in the genus *Hoplopyga*: *H. albiventris* and *H. liturata*. Larvae of *H. liturata* were collected from decaying woods, pupal instar lasted about 18 days (Garcia et al., 2013), and immatures were described by Morón e Arce (2002) in Brazil.
the species prefer cerrado landscapes (Brazilian savanna) to urban parks (Correa et al., 2020). Hedström and Elmqvist (1985) observed *H. liturata* damaging stems of the vine species *Gouania polygama* (Jacq.) Urb. (Rhamnaceae) in Costa Rica to feed on its exudates. Such an interaction attracted the butterfly species *Prepona laetris* (Hübner, 1811) (Nymphalidae, Lepidoptera), which also fed on the vine exudates and directly on beetle excreta. The authors suggested that this interaction between the beetle and the butterfly could be a case of commensalism. Occasional copresence of cettionine beetles and butterflies around sources of plant exudates have been sometimes observed (Di Iorio, 2014).

Luederwaldt (1911) found larvae of *H. albiventris* in termite mounds of *Corinitermes* sp. Also, Puker et al. (2014) noted the preference of this species for pastures due to the presence of mounds of *Corinitermes* in these agroecosystems regularly.

### Material and methods

The study was conducted at the Universidade Estadual de Mato Grosso do Sul (UEMS), Campus of Cassiândia, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil.

Larvae of *H. albiventris* were collected from mounds of termites (*Corinitermes cumulans* (Kollar, 1832) (Isopera: Termitididae)) between August 2017 and September 2018 in a pasture area (*Urochloa decumbens* Stapf, Poaceae). These mounds were open in the field. After collection, larvae were reared in the laboratory. Each larva was placed into plastic containers (500 mL) filled with the substrate used by immatures.

Adults of *H. liturata* were collected using traps baited with a banana and sugarcane juice mixture as an attractant. The species had been collected in recent studies using the same method as in Rondônia State (Puker et al., 2020a, 2020b). Each trap was constructed from a PET bottle (2 L) with two 8 × 5 cm side openings. Three traps were used between November and December 2018, two in a “Cerrado” (Brazilian savanna) fragment and one in a pasture area. They were installed about 1.5 m high from the soil. The attractant was replaced two times per week (methodology modified by Rodrigues et al., 2013).

The reared adults of *H. albiventris* and those of *H. liturata* collected were preserved in 70% alcohol. The adults of *H. albiventris* were identified by comparison with the material of the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, while those of *H. liturata* by comparison with the material of the UEMS, Campus of Cassiândia. The revision of the genus *Hoplopyga* was also used for identifications (Shaughney and Ratcliffe, 2015).

Antennal sensilla were studied by scanning of antennal clubs taken using an electron microscope (SEM, model Zeiss EVO LS15) in the Departamento de Física e Química, Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Campus of Ilha Solteira, São Paulo State (a method by Tanaka et al., 2006). Antennae of 10 males and 10 females were dissected and maintained in 70% alcohol. Afterwards, appendages were successively washed in 80% and 90% ethanol for 15 minutes each, and then 100% ethanol for 20 minutes. The pieces were taken to dry in a CO₂ critical point dryer (model Leica® CPD300).

Antennal lamellae were also mounted on slides for optical microscope observation (model Nikon E200), following the method of Romero-López et al. (2004).

Sensilla terminology follows Keil (1999), Meinecke (1975) was used as an alternative sensilla terminology (in brackets) to easily term comparison. The Student’s t-test was used to compare the distribution of sensilla.

### Results

Adults of *H. liturata* and *H. albiventris* have antennae with ten antennomeres, and the antennal club has three antennomeres (Fig. 1).

**Figure 1** *Hoplopyga liturata*, antenna. A) female, B) male. (s) scape, (p) pedicel, (f1-f5) flagellomeres of funicle, (pl) proximal lamella, (md) medial lamella, (md) distal lamella. Scale = 200 μm.

**Hoplopyga liturata**

Antennae of male and females are quite similar to each other, but males have medial lamella longer than to females (Table 1 e Fig. 2).

| Sex      | Antennal Sensilla Distribution |
|----------|-------------------------------|
| Female   | Splendid setae, simple setae, acute setae |
| Male     | Splendid setae, simple setae, acute setae |

Lamellae have sensilla trichodea, chaetica, placodea, coeloconica and ampullacea. Sensilla trichodea are hair-like, thin, and long, and found on edges of lamellae in both genders. Sensilla chaetica are like sensilla trichodea but short and grouped all together in a brush-like structure in the outer surface of the proximal lamella. Sensilla trichodea and sensilla chaetica have been sometimes termed together as sensilla chaetica (e.g., Zauli et al., 2016) or as sensilla trichodea (e.g., Bohacz et al., 2020). Hair-like sensilla cannot be easily separated into two categories (as used here and by the generalization for insects by Keil, 1999). They are quite variable in length and shape (with acute or blunt apex, simple or serrate, smooth or with perforations or striae; see Meinecke (1975) and Bohacz et al. (2020) for sensilla comparison between scarab beetles). The present study considered sensilla trichodea to be long setae distributed in all antennomeres and sensilla chaetica the minor setae grouped in a brush-like structure (Fig. 3).

The sensilla placodea found are type I (Meinecke, 1975: sensilla B2, Fig. 4A) and type II (Meinecke, 1975: sensilla B3, Fig. 4B). These are the predominant types of antennal sensilla found along lamellae in both sexes except on the outer side of the proximal lamella.

The sensilla placodea type I are somewhat rounded and have a diameter of 8.2 ± 2.1 μm (n = 50; Fig. 4A). These sensilla are predominant in the posterior third of the outer side of proximal lamella (Fig. 4C).

The sensilla placodea type II are rounded and have diameter of 9.8 ± 3.1 μm (n = 50; Fig. 4D). These sensilla are predominant in the anterior half of the inner side of the medial lamella.

The sensilla coeloconica found are type I (short with acute apex; Meinecke, 1975: sensilla L1; Fig. 5B) and type II (short with blunt apex; Meinecke, 1975: sensilla L3; Fig. 5C). Both are found in the inner side of proximal and distal lamellae and inner and outer sides of medial lamella (sides of lamellae that can be closed). They are distributed mainly in the central areas of lamellae (Fig. 5A).

Several sensilla ampullacea (pore) are found in all lamella (Fig. 6A–B).

Males of *H. liturata* have more sensilla than females. Females (n = 08) have 10657 sensilla, of which 10279 (96.45%) are sensilla placodea and 378 (3.55%) are coeloconica. Males (n = 08) have 12512 sensilla, of which 11983 (95.77%) are sensilla placodea and 529 (4.23%) are coeloconica (Table 2).
Table 1
Size of antennal lamellae of *Hoplopyga liturata*.

| Lamellae | Female      | Male      | P    | Female | Male | P    |
|----------|-------------|-----------|------|--------|------|------|
| Proximal | 1096.5 ± 10 | 1119.0 ± 78 | NS   | 482.8 ± 14 | 498.4 ± 36 | NS   |
| Medial   | 1015.4 ± 12 | 1127.0 ± 10 | < 0.05 | 519.0 ± 12 | 504.0 ± 12 | NS   |
| Distal   | 1082.4 ± 41 | 1127.2 ± 58 | NS   | 458.6 ± 2  | 465.0 ± 48 | NS   |

Figure 2 *Hoplopyga liturata*, antennal lamella of female (left: A, C, E, G, I) and male (right: B, D, F, H, J); (dl) distal lamella; (ex) outer side; (in) inner side; (md) medial lamella; (pl) proximal lamella. Scale = A, B, C, D, E, F, J: 100 μm; G, H, I: 200 μm.

Figure 3 *Hoplopyga liturata*, proximal lamella of female, proximal lamella, outer side. Distribution of sensilla chaetica and sensilla trichodea. Scale = 200 μm.

Figure 4 Antenna of *Hoplopyga* female. A−C) *H. liturata*; D) *H. albiventris* A) sensilla placodea type I; B) sensilla placodea type II; C) distal lamella, outer side, posterior area (white dotted line) with sensilla placodea type I; D) proximal lamella, inner side, anterior area (black dotted line) with sensilla placodea type I mixed with type II, posterior area (white dotted line) with type I homogeneously distributed. Scale = A: 2 μm; B: 20 μm; C, D: 100 μm.

Figure 5 *Hoplopyga liturata*, distal lamella, inner side. A) distribution of sensilla coeloconica type I and II; B) sensilla coeloconica type I; C) sensilla coeloconica type II. Scale = A: 100 μm; B, C: 2 μm.
Hoplopyga albiventris

The proximal and distal lamellae of male antennae are longer than those of females (Table 3 and Fig. 7).
The antennae of H. albiventris are like those of H. liturata (Fig. 3) and both species have sensilla of the same types and with similar distribution. The differences of antennal structure of H. albiventris (regarding H. liturata described before) are listed below.

Sensilla placodea of type I have a diameter of 8.8 ± 2.1 μm (n = 50) and those of type II have diameter of 10.1 ± 2.1 (n = 50).

Females (n = 08) have 16490 sensilla, of which 15779 (95.69%) are sensilla placodea and 711 (4.31%) are coeloconica. Males (n = 08) have 25149 sensilla, of which 24565 (97.68%) are sensilla placodea and 584 (2.32%) are coeloconica (Table 4).

Discussion

Adults of H. liturata and H. albiventris have similar antennae structure, shape, and size, but the amount of sensilla is quite different between both species. Male antennae are slightly longer than those of females and have more sensilla than female antennae. Sexual dimorphism in scarab beetle antennae have been frequently reported (e.g., Allsopp, 1990; Romero-López et al., 2004; Mutis et al., 2014) and it could be related to how species find sexual partners or food sources by detecting volatiles with antennae.

Scarab beetles have two main and sometimes intermixed processes of aggregation: 1) beetles group around a food source using conspecific aggregative pheromones (e.g., Oryctes rhinoceros (Linnaeus, 1758), Dynastinae, studied by Renou et al., 1998) or plant volatiles (e.g., Melolontha hippocastani Fabricius, 1801, Melolonthinae, studied by Ruther et al., 2000; 2) beetles can group around potential sexual partners that releases sexual infochemicals (e.g., Phyllophaga anxia (LeConte, 1850), Melolonthinae, studied by Ochieng et al., 2002).

Sexual pheromones and plant volatiles are used together by Melolontha hippocastani (Ruther et al., 2000) and some Rutelinae (e.g., Anomala cuprea (Hope, 1839) and Phyllopertha diversa Waterhouse, 1875, studied by Hansson et al., 1999; Larsson et al., 2001) for example.

In Cetoniinae, plant volatiles are important for aggregation of the genus Pachnoda (Cetoniini; Larsson et al. 2003a; Bengtsson et al., 2011). For Cotinis nitida (Linnaeus, 1758) (Cymnetini), Domek and Johnson (1987, 1988, 1990) found evidence of females releasing sexual attractant

Table 2

| Sensilla          | proximal lamella | mediol lamella | distal lamella | Total |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
|                   | outer inner      | outer inner    | outer inner    |       |
| Placodea          | 0                | 2126           | 2254           | 2243  |
| Coeloconica       | 0                | 118            | 41             | 105   |
| Total             | 0                | 2244           | 2295           | 2348  |
| Female            | 0                | 1596           | 2060           | 10279 |
| Coeloconica       | 0                | 41             | 105            | 0     |
| Total             | 0                | 2348           | 2174           | 10657 |
| Male              | 0                | 1000           | 2608           | 11983 |
| Coeloconica       | 0                | 85             | 113            | 0     |
| Total             | 0                | 2888           | 2767           | 12512 |

Figure 6 Hoplopyga liturata. A, B) medial lamella, inner side, pores or sensilla ampullacea; C) predominance of pores along the inner side of medial lamella; D) presence of pores on the outer side of the distal lamella. Scale = A, C, D: 20 μm; B: 2 μm.
Figure 7 Hoplopyga albiventris, antenna female (left: A, C, E, G, I) and male (right: B, D, F, H, J). (dl) distal lamella; (ex) outer side; (in) inner side; (md) medial lamella; (pl) proximal lamella. Scale = A, C, E, F, I, J: 100 μm; B, D, G, H: 200 μm.

and males and females releasing aggregation volatiles related to the beetle diet (sites with fruits and feeding males attract more males and females than fruit alone or females alone). Therefore, both aggregation and sexual infochemicals could work together in the subfamily. Another possibility is that males attract females with sexual pheromones, as in Osmoderma eremita (Scopoli, 1763) (Cetoniinae, Osmodermatini, studied by Larsson et al., 2003b), perhaps to guide females to a suitable habitat.

In some phytophagous scarab beetles (e.g., Maladera matrida Argaman, 1986, Melolonthiniae, studied by Harari et al., 1994), males first find and damage a host plant and then attract females. Other scarab beetles have been reported with both behaviors: females directly attract males and females are attracted to damaged plants by males (e.g., Popillia japonica Newman, 1841, Rutelinae, studied by Smith 1923).

However, it is still not clear whether Hoplopyga males are directly attracted to females or they firstly find a food source and then attract females. It is also unclear whether these are behaviors related to antennal sexual dimorphism.

Antennal sensilla

Sensilla trichodea and chaetica, both present mainly in the outer surface of lamella, were associated with mechanoreceptors or gustative organs (contact chemoreceptors) and sometimes with airflow and sound detection (Keil, 1999; Ochieng et al., 2002; Romero-López et al., 2004; Mutis et al., 2014).

Both H. albiventris and H. liturata have large amounts of sensilla placodea, which are more abundant in males than in females of both species. This sort of sensillum was considered the main sexual pheromone receptor, as in Anomala cuprea (Leal and Mochizuki, 1993), Phylopertha diversa (Nikonov et al., 2001), and Popillia japonica (Kim and Leal, 2000). Larsson et al. (2001) found that the sensilla placodea of A. cuprea antennae are both sexual pheromones and plant volatile receptors and that where they are is decisive for their function.

Sensilla coeloconica are arranged mainly in the central area of lamellae. In antennal pedicel, Shao et al. (2019) suggested that this kind of sensilla respond to humidity and temperature (hygro-receptors and thermo-receptors). For lamellae, Kim and Leal (2000) and Romero-López et al. (2004) considered sensilla coeloconica as receptors to plant volatiles.

The numerous sensilla ampullacea, visible as small pores, were associated with detection of odorants or CO₂ (Schneider, 1964; Keil and Steinbrecht, 1984; Keil, 1999).

The antennal variation between H. albiventris and H. liturata could be related to how adults explore their habitats. Hoplopyga albiventris adults are found in open areas such as pastures (Puker et al., 2014), while immatures in termite mounds (Luederwaldt, 1911). Otherwise, H. liturata adults are found in tropical rainforests and Cerrado biomes (Shaughney and Ratcliffe, 2015; Correa et al., 2020), while larvae in rotten woods (Neita-M. et al., 2006; Garcia et al., 2013).

Hoplopyga albiventris adults have more antennal sensilla (males: 24565, females 16490) than H. liturata (males: 12512, females 10657). Perhaps such an abundance of antennal sensilla in H. albiventris is related to the detection of termite mounds or food sources in open areas. However, the number of antennal sensilla in other Cetoniinae species (found or not associated with termites) are scarce for such an assumption.

The inner parts of Hoplopyga lamellae have two main areas, one homogeneous with sensilla placodea type I and another heterogeneous with placodea (type I and II) and some coeloconica (Fig. 4). In ruteline beetles (A. cuprea), Larsson et al. (2001) observed that the homogeneous area is responsible for the detection of sexual pheromones, while the heterogeneous is related to the detection of other volatiles.

Table 3

| Lamellae | length (μm) | | width (μm) | |
|----------|-------------|---|-------------|---|
| Female   | Male        | P  | Female      | Male | P  |
| Proximal | 1155.0 ± 55 |    | 162.0 ± 27  | < 0.05 |
|          | 1100.6 ± 29 |    | 1116.1 ± 87 | NS   |
| Distal   | 1042.2 ± 26 |    | 1210.0 ± 70 | < 0.05 |
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Table 4
Number of sensilla placodea and sensilla coeloconica of Hoplopyga albiventeris.

| Sensilla          | proximal lamella | medial lamella | distal lamella | Total       |
|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
|                  | outer | inner | outer | inner | outer | inner | outer | inner |          |
| Placodea         | 0     | 3569  | 2688  | 3379  | 2801  | 3344  | 15779 |
| Coeloconica      | 0     | 201   | 136   | 144   | 0     | 230   | 711   |
| Total            | 0     | 3770  | 2822  | 3523  | 2801  | 3574  | 16490 |

The kind of sensilla found in Hoplopyga is like that found in other Old-World Cetoniinae genera (Meinecke, 1975; Baker and Monroe, 2005; Zauli et al., 2016; Bohacz et al., 2020). More data on quantity and distribution of antennal sensilla are needed to further comparison between different Cetoniinae species.
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