Revision Bronchoscopy After Endobronchial Valve Treatment for Emphysema: Indications, Findings and Outcomes
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Background: Endobronchial valve (EBV) treatment is an effective treatment for patients with severe emphysema. Revision bronchoscopies after endobronchial valve treatment can be essential to prolong the effect of treatment or address long-term complications.

Purpose: To evaluate the indications, endoscopic findings and outcomes of revision bronchoscopies and investigate if any predictors for granulation tissue formation, after EBV treatment, can be identified.

Patients and Methods: Patients who underwent EBV treatment between 2016 and 2019 in our hospital, as routine care, were included. If a patient underwent a revision bronchoscopy, data regarding revision bronchoscopies, including indication, finding, intervention and pulmonary function testing (PFT) after revision bronchoscopy were analysed.

Results: One hundred seventy-nine patients were included of which 41% required at least one revision bronchoscopy. In 43% of the revision bronchoscopy patients, the indication was loss of initial treatment effect. In 53% of the revision bronchoscopy patients, granulation tissue was found to be the underlying cause. Valve replacement(s) were performed in 51% of the revision bronchoscopy cases. Permanent valve removal was required in 13% of all patients. Overall, revision bronchoscopies led to improvements in PFT outcomes for patients experiencing no or a loss of initial treatment effect. No clinically relevant predictors for granulation tissue formation were identified.

Conclusion: Performing a revision bronchoscopy after EBV treatment is a useful procedure leading to an improved treatment effect in most patients. Granulation tissue formation, causing valve dysfunction, is the most common cause of longer term problems.
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Introduction

Bronchoscopic lung volume reduction using one-way endobronchial valves (EBVs) is being adopted as a treatment option for carefully selected patients suffering from severe emphysema.1 One-way valves are implanted in all airway (sub) segments of the most emphysematous lobe, after having confirmed the absence of interlobar collateral ventilation.2 The goal is to achieve a lobar atelectasis, resulting in significant volume reduction of the treated ‘target’ lobe which leads to a reduction of static hyperinflation.3-7 The treatment is usually well-tolerated and results in clinically meaningful improvements in pulmonary function, exercise capacity, physical activity, and quality of life.3-8 These positive outcomes have led to the inclusion of EBV treatment in clinical guidelines making the treatment...
available for a larger number of patients worldwide. However, this increase in treatment volume will also lead to new challenges.

One of the challenges is the effectiveness and sustainability of implanted valves on the longer term. To prolong treatment effect or address any long-term complications, it is advised to perform an additional bronchoscopic evaluation (revision bronchoscopy) in the following situations: patients with no volume reduction approximately 6 weeks after treatment, sudden loss of treatment benefit and/or loss of volume reduction, persistent cough, persistent hemoptysis or an obstruction pneumonia. During this revision bronchoscopy, the previously placed valves are inspected and if needed cleaned, replaced or removed. In previous randomized controlled trials, between 19% and 39% of the patients required an additional bronchoscopic evaluation in the first year after treatment. However, minimal to no details were reported.

A possible observation during a revision bronchoscopy is the presence of granulation tissue, which has been described in the context of loss of initial treatment effect, hemoptysis and persistent cough. Granulation tissue formation is one of the therapeutic challenges of EBV treatment and for that matter in all interventional bronchoscopic procedures with lung implantable devices like stents. Although device-induced granulation tissue formation is a long-known problem, not much is known about the etiopathogenesis, or which risk factors are associated with the development of granulation tissue. For airway stents, it is suggested that bacterial colonization and mechanical irritation of the device to the airway wall are the main instigators of the inflammatory response leading to granulation tissue formation, although there is limited evidence to support this hypothesis.

To date, most studies, researching EBV treatment, focused on the clinical results and short-term complications, and not on the longer-term practical challenges that come with this treatment. Since revision broncoscopies after EBV treatment are an essential part in preserving long-term treatment effect, we set out to evaluate the indications, endoscopic findings and outcomes of revision broncoscopies. In addition, we will address the issue of granulation tissue formation and explore whether there are patient factors before treatment that can predict the development of granulation tissue.

Patients and Methods
Study Design
This is a prospective cohort study collecting data from emphysema patients who underwent EBV treatment, as routine care, between September 2016 and September 2019 at the University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG) and are included in the BREATH-NL registry (NCT02815683). In this analysis, detailed data was collected regarding additional bronchoscopies performed after EBV treatment between September 2016 and March 2020. All patients gave written informed consent for the usage of their data for scientific purposes. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and due to the non-invasive character of the BREATH-NL registry the ethics committee of the UMCG decided that formal ethics approval was not needed.

Patient and Data Collection
Patients treated with EBVs (Zephyr, PulmonX Corp., Redwood City, CA, USA) in our hospital were included in the analysis. Patients who underwent an additional bronchoscopy for pneumothorax management were excluded from our analysis, because this was beyond the scope of this study.

Pulmonary function testing (PFT) outcomes, total score on the Saint George Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) and the 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) were collected at baseline and 6-month follow-up for all patients.

For the patients who underwent a revision bronchoscopy, the hospital admission letters, review of bronchoscopic recordings, images and reports were used to collect data on the indication for the revision bronchoscopy, main endoscopic finding and which intervention was performed during revision bronchoscopy. If a patient underwent more than one revision bronchoscopy, data were only collected for the first revision bronchoscopy. The main endoscopic finding (diagnosis of revision bronchoscopy) is defined as the most probable cause for the symptomatology of the patient.

For the patients, who underwent a revision bronchoscopy and were diagnosed with granulation tissue, we collected PFT outcomes at four time points. PFT data were collected at the following time points: (1) baseline value, (2) best value before revision bronchoscopy, (3) value before revision bronchoscopy and (4) best value after revision bronchoscopy, in case valves remained in situ.
Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or median (min–max). Frequency data are presented as n and percentage. For analysing changes in PFT outcomes, a paired sample t-test or a Wilcoxon signed-rank test, in case of a non-normal data distribution, was used. Patient characteristics at baseline and results of the microbiology cultures, taken prior to valve implantation, are compared between groups using an independent sample t-tests or a Fisher’s exact test.

A logistic regression analysis was performed to test for any independent predictors and determine the net effect of each variable for the formation of granulation tissue after EBV treatment. Variables are entered into the analysis if univariate analysis resulted in a p-value <0.2. Backward modelling based on the log-likelihood score was used to construct the best fitting model.

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS statistics, version 23 (IBM, Armonk, USA). P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

In the study period, 188 patients received EBV treatment in our hospital of which 9 patients received an additional bronchoscopy for pneumothorax management and were excluded from this analysis. Therefore, 179 patients (73% female, mean age 63 years) were included (Figure 1). The baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. The treatment target lobe was the left lower lobe in 41% of the patients, left upper lobe in 21%, right lower lobe in 18%, right upper lobe in 14%, right middle lobe in 3% and both the right upper and middle lobe in 3%. The median follow-up time from treatment to data-analysis was 24 (6 to 42) months.

Efficacy Outcomes of All Patients

Six months post EBV treatment patients showed clinical meaningful improvements in pulmonary function outcomes with a mean increase in FEV₁ of 184 ± 198 mL (25 ± 22% change from baseline), a mean decrease in RV of 677 ± 685 mL (14 ± 13% change from baseline), a decrease in total SGRQ score of 16 ± 20 points, and 53 ± 70 meter improvement on 6-minute walking test (all p<0.01).

Revision Bronchoscopies

At time of data analysis, 74 (41%) patients underwent at least one revision bronchoscopy for an indication related to the valves. The median time from the initial treatment to the first revision bronchoscopy was 206 (21 to 1146) days (Figure 2). A second revision bronchoscopy was indicated in 24 patients and a third revision bronchoscopy in 6 patients.

Indications, Findings and Interventions of Revision Bronchoscopies

The main indications for a revision bronchoscopy were loss of initial treatment effect (32 of 74, 43%) and no or minimal...
## Table 1: Patient Characteristics Prior to Endobronchial Valve Treatment

|                                | Total Cohort (n=179) | No Granulation Tissue (n=140) | Granulation Tissue (n=39) | p-value |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                                | n        | Value | n        | Value | n        | Value |        |
| Sex (% female)                 | 179      | 73%   | 140      | 71    | 39       | 77    | 0.55   |
| Age (years)                    | 179      | 63 ± 8| 140      | 63 ± 8| 39       | 62 ± 8| 0.51   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 179      | 24 ± 4| 140      | 24 ± 4| 39       | 24 ± 4| 0.29   |
| Smoking history (pack-years)   | 178      | 43 ± 24| 139     | 44 ± 25| 39       | 42 ± 20| 0.61   |
| FEV₁ (% of predicted)          | 179      | 27 ± 10| 140     | 26 ± 9| 39       | 28 ± 11| 0.18   |
| FVC (% of predicted)           | 179      | 70 ± 25| 140     | 68 ± 24| 39       | 74 ± 27| 0.20   |
| RV (% of predicted)            | 177      | 238 ± 58| 138   | 237 ± 54| 39       | 241 ± 71| 0.73   |
| TLC (% of predicted)           | 177      | 137 ± 14| 138    | 136 ± 15| 39       | 140 ± 13| 0.18   |
| Distance on 6-minute walking test (m) | 179 | 316 ± 98| 139   | 312 ± 99| 39       | 329 ± 93| 0.34   |
| SGRQ, total score (points)     | 173      | 58.7 ± 12.1| 136 | 58.9 ± 12.3| 37       | 58.0 ± 11.2| 0.71   |
| mMRC (points)                  | 174      | 2.7 ± 0.6| 136    | 2.8 ± 0.7| 38       | 2.6 ± 0.5| 0.06   |
| CAT (points)                   | 170      | 22.3 ± 5.2| 133   | 22.5 ± 5.3| 37       | 21.4 ± 4.6| 0.25   |
| Target lobe volume (mL)        | 178      | 1846 ± 579| 140   | 1787 ± 563| 38       | 2064 ± 590| 0.01   |
| Pathogenic bacteria (% present) | 175      | 27%   | 136      | 26%   | 39       | 31%   | 0.64   |
| Aspergillus species (% present) | 175      | 14%   | 136      | 13%   | 39       | 18%   | 0.44   |
| Bronchitis Index Score of the target lobe²⁶ | 104 | 5.9 ± 2.8| 78   | 6.1 ± 3.0| 26       | 5.2 ± 2.1| 0.18   |
| Bronchitis score SGRQ²      | 172      | 4.6 ± 2.4| 135   | 4.6 ± 2.4| 37       | 4.9 ± 2.3| 0.46   |
| Bronchitis score CAT²        | 170      | 3.5 ± 2.1| 133   | 3.6 ± 2.1| 37       | 3.2 ± 2.0| 0.36   |

**Notes:** *Total score of part 1, question 1 and 2 of the SGRQ. Lower scores indicate more symptoms. *Total score of question 1 and 2 of the CAT. Higher scores indicate more symptoms.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity; SGRQ, St George’s Respiratory Questionnaire; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; CAT, COPD assessment test.

Treatment benefit (23 of 74, 31%). Both indications are based on patient report combined with PFT outcomes and/or no, minimal or loss of atelectasis, of the treated lobe, on a follow-up CT-scan (See Figure E1 In The Supplementary Appendix). The most important parameter for categorizing a patient as having no or minimal treatment effect was the patient report in combination with not reaching the minimal important difference for target lobar volume reduction and residual volume.²⁰,²¹ The complete list of indications is shown in Figure 1. The most common diagnosis was granulation tissue formation (39 of 74, 53%) causing valve dislocation or air leakage alongside the valve as a result of weakening of the airway tissue surrounding the valve (Figures 3 and 4A). Table 2 shows the indication with the corresponding diagnosis of the revision bronchoscopy. The most frequently performed intervention during revision bronchoscopies was valve replacement (38 of 74, 51%) (Figure 4B and Table E1 In The Supplementary Appendix).

### Permanent Valve Removal

Of the 179 patients who received valve treatment, it was necessary to permanently remove all EBVs in 24 (13%) patients. The reasons for permanent valve removal were unsatisfactory treatment effect in 14 patients (it was suspected that 5 of these patients had collateral ventilation), severe granulation tissue formation in 5 patients, severe hemoptysis (caused by granulation tissue) in 3 patients, hypoxemia in 1 patient and obstruction pneumonia in 1 patient. In 11 patients, valves were permanently removed during the first revision bronchoscopy, in 11 patients during the second and in 2 patients during the third.
Follow-Up Pulmonary Function Testing After Revision Bronchoscopy

Fifty-five patients underwent a revision bronchoscopy because of no/minimal treatment effect or loss of treatment effect. In 38 of these patients (69%), valves were retained. The PFT outcomes of these patients are shown in Table 3. Thirty of these 38 patients performed spirometry after their last revision bronchoscopy. In 63% of the patients, the revision bronchoscopy led to an improvement in FEV₁. Twenty-eight of the 38 patients performed body plethysmography after their last revision bronchoscopy. In 64% of the patients, revision bronchoscopy led to a decrease in RV.

Figure 2 Time to first revision bronchoscopy. Reverse Kaplan–Meier curve of cumulative percentage of patients who underwent a revision bronchoscopy against time from initial treatment for all patients (N=179). Patients are censored because of reaching maximum follow-up without undergoing a revision bronchoscopy or because of death without a previous revision bronchoscopy.

Figure 3 Endoscopic images of implanted valves in one patient. (A) Directly after implantation. (B) During revision bronchoscopy performed due to a loss of initial treatment effect. Granulation tissue has formed around the valves causing dislocation of the lower valve.
Granulation Tissue

Out of the 74 patients who underwent a revision bronchoscopy, 39 (53%) patients were diagnosed with granulation tissue formation. Of these patients, 15 (39%) required a second and 2 (5%) required a third revision bronchoscopy.

The majority of the patients with granulation tissue formation (21 of 39, 54%) experienced loss of initial treatment effect (Table 2). The diagnosis of granulation tissue formation was most often followed by valve replacement (20 of 39, 51%). Furthermore, valve removal, either temporarily (5 of 39, 13%) or permanently (9 of 39, 23%), was also a frequently performed intervention in the patients with valve issues related to granulation tissue formation. In case of temporarily removal, a ‘cooling down’ period of approximately 12 weeks was maintained before a follow-up revision bronchoscopy was performed with the intention to replace the EBVs. In three patients, severe granulation tissue persisted after the ‘cooling down’ period. In two of these patients, the replacement valves were implanted in a second target lobe and in one patient valves could not be replaced.

Microbiology

Prior to initial valve implantation, a 20 mL bronchial wash aspirate was routinely obtained in 175 (98%) of all treated patients and send in for basic microbiological examination. Growth of at least one pathogenic bacterium was observed in the cultures of 48 (27%) patients and 25 (14%) of all cultures showed growth of aspergillus. In six of these cultures both a pathogenic bacterium as aspergillus growth was observed. H. influenzae was the most prevalent bacterium (n=20, 11%).

The cultures, obtained prior to valve implantation, showed no differences in the presence of bacteria (p=0.64) or Aspergillus spp. (p=0.44) between the 39 patients diagnosed with granulation tissue formation and all other patients (Table E2 in the supplementary appendix).

New bronchial wash aspirates were obtained, during the revision bronchoscopy, in 58 of the 74 (78%) revision bronchoscopy patients. In 34 (59%) of these cultures, at least 1 pathogenic bacterium was isolated of which 24 had no bacterial growth prior to valve implantation and 8 patients showed growth of a new bacterium. New growth of H. influenzae (14 of 58, 24%) and S. Aureus (10 of 58, 17%) was most frequently observed. Overall, patients with granulation tissue showed more bacterial new growth than patients without granulation tissue (38% vs 68%, p = 0.03), but no difference between these groups was found for a specific pathogen (Table E3 in the supplementary appendix).

Predictors of Granulation Tissue Formation

Patients diagnosed with granulation tissue formation showed a significant higher target lobe volume, measured on CT-scan, prior to treatment compared to all other patients (2064 vs 1787 mL, p=0.01). All comparisons are shown in Table 1.

Based on logistic regression the “target lobe volume prior to treatment” is an independent predictor for granulation tissue formation with an odds ratio of 1.002 (95% CI: 1.001– 1.003, p<0.01) when correct for gender, height and age.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study which systematically reports the indications, findings and outcomes of revision bronchoscopies after EBV treatment. We found that 41% of our patients required a revision bronchoscopy to restore and optimize EBV treatment effect or to address a longer-term complication. The median interval from the initial treatment to the first revision bronchoscopy was 206 (21 to 1145) days. Most revision bronchoscopies were performed either because of a lack of treatment benefit or because of a loss of the initial treatment effect. The
| Endoscopic diagnosis                  | Total   | Loss of Initial Treatment Effect | Lack of Treatment Benefit | Hemoptysis | Hypoxemia | Persistent Cough | Valve Expectoration | Obstruction Pneumonia |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Granulation tissue                   | 39 (53%)| 21                              | 9                         | 8          | 1³        | -                | -                   | -                    |
| Clinical significant secretions      | 8 (11%) | 3                               | 2                         | -          | -         | -                | -                   | 2                    |
| Valve migration                      | 5 (7%)  | 3                               | 1                         | -          | -         | -                | -                   | -                    |
| Possible collateral ventilation      | 5 (7%)  | -                               | 5                         | -          | -         | -                | -                   | -                    |
| Missing valve                        | 4 (5%)  | -                               | 1                         | -          | -         | -                | -                   | 3                    |
| Valve size mismatch                  | 4 (5%)  | 3                               | 1                         | -          | -         | -                | -                   | -                    |
| No abnormality                       | 4 (5%)  | -                               | 3                         | 1          | -         | -                | -                   | -                    |
| Untreated subsegment                 | 3 (4%)  | 2                               | 1                         | -          | -         | -                | -                   | -                    |
| Airway kinking                       | 2 (3%)  | -                               | -                         | 1          | 1         | -                | -                   | -                    |

Note: *Granulation tissue was thought to be an incidental finding and not the cause for the hypoxemia.
most common diagnosis during the revision bronchoscopies, found in 53% of these patients, was granulation tissue formation causing valve dysfunction or hemoptysis. The most frequent performed intervention was valve replacement. In 13% of all treated patients valves were permanently removed. This is comparable to the 17% permanent valve removal in the study of Gompelmann et al.13 The main reason for permanent removal was an unsatisfactory treatment effect for which no intervention was deemed possible or result in a satisfactory treatment effect. If EBVs were retained, the revision bronchoscopy improved the treatment effect, based on pulmonary function test outcomes, in patients experiencing no treatment effect or a loss of their initial treatment effect.

Our results showed that granulation tissue formation was the main cause of valve dysfunction after EBV treatment. It was observed in 22% of all treated patients resulting in a loss of initial treatment effect, lack of treatment benefit or hemoptysis. In most patients, the dysfunctional valve was replaced, but in 26% of the patients with granulation tissue formation valves needed to be permanently removed. In total, 46% of all permanent valve removal cases was related to granulation tissue formation. These results are in accordance with the findings in the study of Gompelmann et al who observed granulation tissue formation in 40% of the patients in whom valves were permanently removed.13 These findings highlight the importance of identifying risk factors associated with the formation of granulation tissue after EBV treatment.

We observed that a higher lobar volume of the treatment lobe is associated with an increased risk for granulation tissue formation. However, the net effect is very small considering the odds ratio approximates one. Therefore, we believe that this finding is not clinically relevant or useful in patient selection. No other predictor for granulation tissue formation was identified in our study and no previous study has focused on predictors for granulation tissue formation after EBV treatment. In contrast, granulation tissue formation after airway stenting has been studied. A number of studies suggested an association between airway colonization and the formation of granulation tissue.19,22 We found no difference in airway colonization, prior to EBV treatment, between patients diagnosed with granulation tissue formation and patients without, suggesting that bacterial presence does not affect granulation tissue formation after EBV treatment.

As for airway colonization post valve implantation, it has been previously described that the presence of valves leads to

### Table 3

Changes in Pulmonary Function Test Outcomes in Patients Undergoing a Revision Bronchoscopy for Either No Effect of Treatment or Loss of the Initial Treatment Effect and in Whom Valves Were Retained During the Revision Bronchoscopy

| Test | Baseline | Treatment | Percentage
|------|----------|-----------|-------------
| FEV1 | 1.75 (0–600) | 38 (89%) | 34 (89%) |
| RV | 1.75 (0–600) | 38 (100%) | 34 (89%) |

**Abbreviations:** RV, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; RV residual volume.
We did indeed observe new bacterial growth after valve implantation in 55% of the patients who underwent a revision bronchoscopy. Furthermore, bacterial new growth was significantly more frequent in patients with granulation tissue formation. This could suggest an association between bacterial airway colonization after EBV treatment and the formation of granulation tissue. However, in our study, the post-valve implantation culture is obtained during the same revision bronchoscopy at which the granulation tissue formation was diagnosed. Furthermore, cultures’ post-valve implantation were only available for the patients who underwent a revision bronchoscopy. Therefore, we should be careful to make a statement about causality since the direction of a potential causal relationship is unknown.

Mechanical irritation of the airway wall by an foreign body, such as valves or airway stents, has also been proposed as one of the main instigators of granulation tissue formation. Mechanical irritation can be caused by pressure of the valve on the airway wall and/or repetitive friction of the valves on the airway wall during the breathing cycle and coughing. In both cases, sizing of the EBVs will be one of the most important factors. Oversizing will cause excessive pressure on the airway wall and undersizing allows for more motion in relation to the airway causing more friction. Endobronchial valves are available in a limited number of sizes and can be placed at different locations in the bronchial tree. The expert opinion is that in case of valve replacement due to granulation tissue formation, the valve(s) should be replaced more distally than the initially placed valve. The movements of these smaller more distal airways during the breathing cycle are thought to be less pronounced than the movements of the more proximal airways. If the mechanical irritation due to valve movement is related to the amount of granulation tissue formation, this might be an important step in trying to prevent its formation. Valve sizing and place of insertion are not included in this study, but an analysis concerning the association between granulation tissue formation, valve size in relation to the airway size and the location of valve placement is warranted.

This study has some limitations. Firstly, the patients in our analysis have different follow-up times ranging from 6 to 42 months. However, the aim of this study is to give a general overview of the numbers, indications and outcomes of revision bronchoscopies. Secondly, we only included data of the first revision bronchoscopy. However, a substantial number of patients needed a second or even third revision bronchoscopy. In most of these patients, the indication for second (and third) revision bronchoscopy was equal or related to the indication of the first revision bronchoscopy. However, there were some patients where this was not the case, and for those patients the indication and diagnosis during the second/third revision bronchoscopy is not included in our analysis (eg, a patient underwent a first revision bronchoscopy due to valve expectoration, who later on experienced loss of treatment effect and was diagnosed with granulation tissue formation). Lastly, to evaluate the effect of a revision bronchoscopy on treatment effect, we could only include PFT data. The revision bronchoscopy patients did not undergo additional testing, such as 6-minute walking test or questionnaires, before and after their revision bronchoscopy, so this data could not be included in this analysis. Therefore, it is unknown if the improvements in PFT data led to an improvement in quality of life and/or exercise capacity.

**Conclusion**

Endobronchial valve treatment is a valuable and guideline recommended therapy for a selected group of patients suffering from severe emphysema. We showed that 41% all treated patients will need at least one revision bronchoscopy to optimize and restore the treatment effect or to inspect the implanted valves and adjacent airways because of a complication. In our study, the valves could be preserved in most patients, which overall resulted in improvements in the treatment effect. Our results showed that granulation tissue formation is the main longer-term complication after EBV treatment. No clinically relevant risk factor for granulation tissue formation was identified in our study. We expect that all patients, treated with EBVs, will form some degree of granulation tissue. However, not in all patients the granulation tissue causes valve dysfunction or result in another complication, such as hemoptysis. Additional research is required to unravel the underlying mechanism and risk factors for device induced granulation tissue formation in the airways. This knowledge will help to improve the longevity of the EBV treatment effect by improving patient selection, valve design/selection/placement and the treatment method in general.
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