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ABSTRACT

In the present study, 18 selected *Azadirachta indica* constituents, which includes azadiractin, azadiron, epicatechin, epoxyazadiradione, gallic acid, gedunin, isomargolonone, kaempferol, mahmoodin, margolone, margolonone, myristinin A, nimbolide, nimocinolide, quercetin, quercitrin, rutin and sugiol were assessed on the docking behaviour of Aflatoxin polyketide synthase (APKS) by utilizing PatchDock method. Furthermore, Molecular physico-chemical, Bioactivity scores and Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME) analyses were also carried out using Molinspiration and Swiss ADME respectively. The molecular Physico-chemical analysis predicted that rutin has shown three violations for Lipinski’s rule of five. And whereas, ADME analysis also showed to have high gastro-intestinal (GI) absorption effect for all the ligands (except for azadiractin, quercetin, quercitin and rutin). The docking studies revealed that myristinin A showed the best binding energy (-350.92 kcal/mol) for the target enzyme Aflatoxin polyketide synthase (APKS). Thus the present findings provide new insights in understanding 18 *Azadirachta indica* constituents as a possible inhibitor against PKS.
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INTRODUCTION

Food and animal feed contamination by mycotoxin is one of the causative factors responsible for food insecurity throughout the world.¹ *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and *Penicillium* are the three genera of fungi which are generally reported to produce mycotoxins.² Aflatoxins are one of the key mycotoxins produced by the *Aspergillus* species. Twenty different types of aflatoxins have been reported so far. Aflatoxins are highly carcinogenic, toxic and cause food contamination which in turn leads to various health issues.³ Aflatoxins have been reported to possess a serious impact on the social, political and economic aspects of society.⁴ Polyketides are the secondary metabolites produced by bacteria and fungi. Biogenesis of aflatoxin in *Aspergillus flavus* is a complex process and usually, polyketides are synthesis by a mega protein complex called polyketide synthase (PKS) with multiple domains.⁵ In particular, type I polyketide synthase belongs to fungi, which has been reported in many toxigenic *Aspergillus* species.⁶ Polyketide synthase (PKS) contains three major domains (like acyl carrier proteins,
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acetyl transferase and ketoacyl synthase) and five other domains (like cyclase, dehydratase, enol reductase, keto reductase and methyl transferase). Moreover, inhibiting (or) modulating polyketide synthase (PKS) activity will reduce aflatoxin biosynthesis. Neoeriocitrin has been reported to inhibit the polyketide synthase. Similarly, five other natural compounds (such as astraglin, azadiradione, catechin, nimbin and salanin) have been reported to inhibit the polyketide synthase. The previous reports motivated us to perform the current study on 18 chosen Azadirachta indica constituents, which includes azadiractin, azadiron, epicatechin, epoxazadiradione, gallic acid, gedunin, isomargolonone, kaemferol, mahmoodin, margolone, margolonone, myristin A, nembolide, nimcinolide, quercetin, quercetrin, rutin and sugiol. These 18 Azadirachta indica constituents were studied on the docking analysis of Aflatoxin polyketide synthase (APKS) by utilizing PatchDock method. Moreover, molecular Physico-chemical, bioactivity score and Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME) analyses were also performed using molinspiration and Swiss ADME respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL

Ligand Preparation
Chemical structures of selected ligands namely i) azadiractin [CID 5281303]; ii) azadiron [CID 185587]; iii) epicatechin [CID 1203]; iv) epoxazadiradione [CID 122801]; v) gallic acid [CID 370]; vi) gedunin [CID 12004512]; vii) isomargolonone [CID 189727]; viii) kaempferol [CID 5280863]; ix) mahmoodin [CID 1265666]; x) margolone [CID 189728]; xi) margolonone [CID 189726]; xii) myristin-A [CID 497359]; xiii) nimbolide [CID 100017], xiv) nimcinolide [CID 6442906]; xv) quercetin [CID 5280343]; xvi) quercitrin [CID 5280459]; xvii) rutin [CID 5280805] and xviii) sugiol [CID 94162] were downloaded from PubMed (www.PubMed.com) database. The energy minimized three dimensional chemical structures were performed for Patch Dock study.

Target Protein Preparation
The 3D (three-dimensional) structure of the Aflatoxin polyketide synthase (PDB ID no: 3ILS with a resolution of 1.7 Å) was retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (www.rcsb.org). A chain of APKS protein was processed by removing all non-standard molecules including the crystallographically observed water particles (water without hydrogen bonds). The APKS protein was prepared/processed using UCSF Chimera software (www.cgi.ucsf.edu/chimera).

Molecular Physico-chemical and Bioactivity Score/Drug-likeness Analysis
Molecular Physico-chemical and bioactivity score/drug-likeness analysis were also carried out using molinspiration online tool, according to the earlier report.

ADME Analysis
Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME) analysis was carried out using the Swiss ADME analysis method.

Docking Studies
Docking studies were performed by the PatchDock online server (http://bioinfo3d.cs.tau.ac.il/PatchDock). PatchDock uses a geometry-based molecular docking algorithm method was utilized to recognize the binding scores and residues and also atomic contact energy (ACE) of the given ligands (18 Azadirachta indica constituents). Finally, the binding site analysis was done by using PyMOL software (www.pymol.org).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Azadirachta indica is a divine tree common name in English is “neem”, which is native to Burma and India. A. indica has been used in Indian traditional medicine since immemorial time. More than 100 chemical constituents have been reported from A. indica that include ascorbic acid, azadirachtin, azadiron, catechin, cerebrosides, chlorogenic acid, cyclic trisulphide, cyclic tetrasulphide, deacetylNimbim, ellagic acid, epicatechin, epigallocatechin, ferulic acid, gallic acid, gedunin, n-hexacosanol, hyperoside, isomargolonone, kaempferol, limonoids, lupeol, mahmoodin, margolone, margolonone, myricetin, myristin A, nembolide, nimbandiol, nimbic acid, nimbidic acid, nimbidin, nimbol, nimbolide, nimboin, protomelacins, quercetin, quercetrin, rutin, salannin, scopoletin, β-
sitosterol, sodium nimbidinate, stigmasterol, valasinin and zafaral.5,16-19 Thus in the present study, 18 *Azadirachta indica* constituents were chosen as ligands as tabulated in the Table-1.

Table-1: Represents the Two-dimensional (2D) and Three-dimensional (3D) Structures of 18 *Azadirachta indica* Constituents

| S. No. | Name of the Selected Ligands (with Pubchem CID no) | Two Dimensional (2D) Structures | Three Dimensional (3D) Structures |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1.     | Azadiractin [CID-5281303]                         | ![Azadiractin](image)            | ![Azadiractin](image)            |
| 2.     | Azadiron [CID-185587]                            | ![Azadiron](image)              | ![Azadiron](image)              |
| 3.     | Epicatechin [CID-1203]                           | ![Epicatechin](image)           | ![Epicatechin](image)           |
| 4.     | Epoxyazadiradione (CID-122801)                    | ![Epoxyazadiradione](image)     | ![Epoxyazadiradione](image)     |
| 5.     | Gallic acid [CID-370]                            | ![Gallic acid](image)           | ![Gallic acid](image)           |
| No. | Compound | CAS Number |
|-----|----------|------------|
| 6.  | Gedunin  | CID-12004512 |
| 7.  | Isomargolonone | CID-189727 |
| 8.  | Kaempferol | CID-5280863 |
| 9.  | Mahmoodin | CID-126566 |
| 10. | Margolone | CID-189728 |
| 11. | Margolonone | CID-189726 |
| 12. | Myristinin A | CID-497359 |
|   | Compound     | CID Number  |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| 13. | Nimborolede  | CID-100017  |
| 14. | Nimocinolide | CID-6442906 |
| 15. | Quercetin    | CID-5280343 |
| 16. | Quercitrin   | CID-5280459 |
| 17. | Rutin        | CID-5280805 |
| 18. | Sugiol       | CID-94162   |
It is important to know the physio-chemical and bioactivity score of these 18 ligands (Azadirachta indica constituents) before carrying out the docking studies. Furthermore, Lipinski’s rule of five (or) thumb of five was used to know the above-mentioned two properties and also aids in the analysis of the oral bioavailability of lead molecule. In general, in violation of the rule of five (RoF) is when-

(i) \( \log A > 5 \);
(ii) Molecular Weight greater than 500;
(iii) Number of N, O (hydrogen bond receptor) greater than 10;
(iv) Number of OH and NH (hydrogen bond donor) greater than 5 and
(v) A number of the rotatable bond (rotb) greater than 15. However, in the present investigation, rutin has shown three violations, as shown the Table-2.

Similarly in the case of bioactivity score, if the score is greater than zero is “active”, -5.0 to -0.0 is “moderately active” and less than -5.0 is “inactive.” Except azadiractin and gallic acid, all other ligands showed “active” bioactivity score towards enzyme inhibitor descriptor as presented in Table-3. Similarly, ADME prediction is needed before performing docking analyses and this is generally agreed in the early stage of Drug - Discovery, Screening and Design, because of its special feature. Table-4 exhibited the ADME study of 18 ligands (Azadirachta indica constituents); except four ligands (azadiractin, quercetin, quercitin and rutin) all other ligands are shown to have high gastro-intestinal absorption property.

### Table-2: Molecular Physico-chemical Descriptors Analysis of 18 ligands (Azadirachta indica constituents) using Molinspiration Online Software Tool

| Ligand Names | Log A | TPSA | Natoms | MW | nON | nOHNH | Nviolation | Nrotb | Volume |
|--------------|-------|------|--------|----|-----|-------|------------|-------|--------|
| Azadiractin  | 1.42  | 213.37 | 51     | 720.72 | 16  | 3     | 2          | 10    | 611.69 |
| Azadiron     | 5.53  | 56.52 | 32     | 436.59 | 4   | 0     | 1          | 1     | 423.93 |
| Epicatechin  | 1.37  | 110.37 | 21    | 290.27 | 5   | 0     | 1          | 1     | 414.14 |
| Epoxyazadiradione | 3.66 | 86.11 | 34     | 466.57 | 6   | 0     | 3          | 1     | 437.10 |
| Gallic acid  | 0.59  | 97.98 | 12     | 174.89 | 5   | 0     | 1          | 1     | 135.10 |
| Gedunin      | 4.34  | 95.35 | 35     | 482.57 | 7   | 0     | 3          | 1     | 439.15 |
| Isomargolonone | 3.17 | 71.44 | 23     | 314.38 | 4   | 1     | 0          | 1     | 293.81 |
| Kaempferol   | 2.17  | 111.12 | 21    | 286.24 | 6   | 0     | 0          | 1     | 232.07 |
| Margoloneone | 3.17  | 71.44 | 23     | 314.38 | 4   | 1     | 0          | 1     | 293.81 |
| Myristinina  | 8.58  | 127.44 | 40    | 548.68 | 7   | 5     | 2          | 13    | 519.11 |
| Nimboline    | 1.94  | 92.06 | 34     | 466.53 | 7   | 0     | 0          | 4     | 417.03 |
| Nimocinolide | 3.43  | 130.37 | 36    | 500.59 | 8   | 3     | 1          | 3     | 456.40 |
| Quercetin    | 0.64  | 190.28 | 32    | 448.38 | 11  | 7     | 2          | 3     | 363.95 |
| Quercitin    | 0.64  | 190.28 | 32    | 448.38 | 11  | 7     | 2          | 3     | 363.95 |
| Rutin        | -1.06 | 269.43 | 43    | 610.52 | 16  | 10    | 3          | 6     | 496.07 |
| Sugiol       | 5.51  | 37.30 | 22     | 300.44 | 2   | 1     | 1          | 1     | 306.04 |

Octanol-Water partition coefficient, "Polar surface area," Number of non-hydrogen atoms, • Molecular weight, ‡ Number of hydrogen bond acceptors [ O and N atoms], □ Number of hydrogen bond donors [ OH and NH groups], * Number of Rule of 5 violations, ◄ Number of rotatable bonds, ** Molecular volume.

### Table-3: Drug-likeness Property Analysis of 18 Ligands (Azadirachta indica constituents) using Molinspiration Tool

| Ligand names | GPCR** ligand | Ion channel modulator (ICM) | Kinase inhibitor (KI) | Nuclear receptor ligand (NRL) | Protease inhibitor (PI) | Enzyme inhibitor (EI) |
|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Azadiractin  | -0.71        | -1.51                       | -1.46                | -0.67                        | -0.35                  | -0.71                |
| Azadiron     | 0.13         | 0.11                        | -0.54                | 0.47                          | 0.06                   | 0.44                 |
| Epicatechin  | 0.41         | 0.14                        | 0.09                 | 0.60                          | 0.26                   | 0.47                 |
| Epoxyazadiradione | 0.18 | 0.17                      | -0.40               | 0.60                          | 0.16                   | 0.47                 |
| Gallic acid  | -0.77        | -0.26                       | -0.88                | -0.52                         | -0.94                  | -0.17                |
| Gedunin      | 0.20         | 0.02                        | -0.46                | 0.55                          | 0.11                   | 0.42                 |
| Isomargolonone | 0.24 | 0.02                      | -0.46               | 0.69                          | -0.19                  | 0.36                 |
| Kaempferol   | -0.10        | -0.21                       | 0.21                 | 0.32                          | -0.27                  | 0.26                 |
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Table-4: ADME Analyses of 18 Ligands (Azadirachta indica constituents) using Swiss ADME Online Tool

| Ligand Names       | GI | BBB | P-gp | CYP1A2 | CYP2C19 | CYP2C9 | CYP2D6 | CYP3A4 | Log Kp (cm/s) |
|--------------------|----|-----|------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| Azadiractin        | Low| No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -9.92        |
| Azadiron           | High| No  | No   | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -4.90        |
| Epicatechin        | High| No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -7.82        |
| Epoxyazadiradione  | High| No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -6.14        |
| Gallic acid        | High| No  | No   | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -6.84        |
| Gedunin            | High| No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -6.25        |
| Isomargolonone     | High| Yes | Yes  | No     | Yes     | No     | No     | Yes    | -6.17        |
| Kaempferol         | High| No  | No   | Yes    | No      | No     | Yes    | Yes    | -6.70        |
| Margolone          | High| Yes | Yes  | No     | Yes     | No     | No     | Yes    | -6.17        |
| Myristin A         | Low | No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -3.33        |
| Nimbolide          | High| No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -7.61        |
| Nimocinolide       | High| No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -7.75        |
| Quercetin          | Low | No  | No   | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -8.42        |
| Quercitrin         | Low | No  | No   | Yes    | No      | No     | No     | No     | -8.42        |
| Rutin              | Low | No  | Yes  | No     | No      | No     | No     | No     | -10.26       |
| Sugiol             | High| Yes | No   | No     | Yes     | No     | Yes    | No     | -4.14        |

*Gastrointestinal (GI) absorption, *Blood-brain barrier (BBB) permeant, *P-gp-(P-glycoprotein) substrate, *Cytochrome (CYP) P450 Inhibitors, *Skin Permeation (in cm/s).

Polyketides (natural products) are usually biosynthetic in bacteria, fungi, plants and viruses by an enzyme called polyketide synthases. Polyketides acts as an important drug target against aflatoxin contamination. However, polyketide synthases (PKS) have not been utilized as (protein) drug targets against any microbial pathogen so far. Fungal polyketide synthases (type I) comprises important functional domains such as- (i) ketosynthase; (ii) acyltransferase; (iii) acyl carrier protein, as well as (iv) product template (PT) domain that regulates the aldol cyclization of poly-beta-ketone intermediates. Thus in the present study Aflatoxin polyketide synthase (APKS) was chosen as the target protein, where the docking studies and binding free energy calculations showed myristinin A has the maximum binding energy (-350.92 kcal/mol) with that of APKS as shown in Table-5. Isomargolonone has exhibited the lowest binding energy (-98.83 kcal/mol) with that of APKS, whereas remaining Azadirachta indica constituents exhibited the following trend as shown below: kaempferol (-242.53 kcal/mol) < quercetin (-236.98 kcal/mol) < epocatechin (-234.27 kcal/mol) < rutin (-216.06 kcal/mol) < azadiron (-198.35 kcal/mol) < gedunin (-173.38 kcal/mol) < margolonone (-168.93 kcal/mol) < epoxyazadiradione (-159.08 kcal/mol) < mahmoodin (-156.08 kcal/mol) < nimocinolide (-144.59 kcal/mol).
kcal/mol) < nimbolide (-140.58 kcal/mol) < sugiol (-137.63 kcal/mol) < quercitrin (-134.37 kcal/mol) < margolone (-109.01 kcal/mol) < gallic acid (-108.92 kcal/mol) and azadiractin (-107.54 kcal/mol). Interestingly epicatechin, gallic acid and kaempferol exhibited interaction with His2055 amino acid (AA) residue of APKS as shown in Table-5. The current finding showed in good agreement with the earlier report, namely five *Azadirachta indica* constituents such as astraglin, azadiradione, catechin, nimbin and salanin have been reported to inhibit the polyketide synthase activity.  

Table-5: Binding Energy Analyses of 18 Ligands (*Azadirachta indica* constituents) with that of Aflatoxin Polyketide Synthases (APKS) using PatchDock Method

| S. No. | Ligand Names       | -ACE* (-kcal/mol) | Interaction of Amino Acid (AA) Residue | Bond Distance (Å) |
|--------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1.     | Azadiractin        | 107.54            | His1911                                | 2.8               |
|        |                    |                   | Lys2021                                | 3.4               |
|        |                    |                   | Phe2056                                | 3.0               |
| 2.     | Azadiron           | 198.35            | No                                     | -                 |
| 3.     | Epicatechin        | 234.27            | His2055                                | 2.6               |
|        |                    |                   | His2088                                | 3.2               |
| 4.     | Epoxyazadiradione  | 159.08            | Ile1967                                | 3.3               |
|        |                    |                   | Gln1969                                | 3.2               |
|        |                    |                   | Arg2061                                | 3.3               |
|        |                    |                   | Thr2062                                | 2.6               |
|        |                    |                   | Gln2063                                | 3.1               |
| 5.     | Gallic Acid        | 108.92            | Tyr1979                                | 2.9               |
|        |                    |                   | His2055                                | 3.0               |
| 6.     | Gedunin            | 173.38            | Gln1969                                | 2.7 and 3.4       |
|        |                    |                   | Thr2062                                | 3.6               |
| 7.     | Isomargolonone     | 98.83             | Met2054                                | 2.0               |
| 8.     | Kaempferol         | 242.53            | Gln1969                                | 3.6               |
|        |                    |                   | Tyr1979                                | 3.2               |
|        |                    |                   | His2055                                | 3.3               |
| 9.     | Mahmoodin          | 156.08            | No                                     | -                 |
| 10.    | Margolone          | 109.01            | No                                     | -                 |
| 11.    | Margolonone        | 168.93            | Glu2045                                | 1.7               |
| 12.    | Myristinin A       | 350.92            | Gln1969                                | 2.0               |
|        |                    |                   | Ala2038                                | 2.3               |
|        |                    |                   | Phe2056                                | 2.7               |
|        |                    |                   | Arg2061                                | 3.2               |
| 13.    | Nimbolide          | 140.58            | Thr2062                                | 3.2               |
| 14.    | Nimocinolide       | 144.59            | Met2054                                | 2.4               |
|        |                    |                   | Phe2056                                | 2.4               |
|        |                    |                   | Thr2062                                | 3.5               |
| 15.    | Quercetin          | 236.98            | Tyr1979                                | 3.0               |
| 16.    | Quercitrin         | 134.37            | Gln1969                                | 2.8 and 3.3       |
|        |                    |                   | Gln2059                                | 2.4               |
|        |                    |                   | Lys2060                                | 2.2               |
|        |                    |                   | Thr2062                                | 3.6               |
|        |                    |                   | Asp2067                                | 2.4               |
| 17.    | Rutin              | 216.06            | Glu1916                                | 2.2               |
|        |                    |                   | Asn1920                                | 3.0               |
| 18.    | Sugiol             | 137.63            | Arg2061                                | 3.5               |

* Atomic Contact Energy (ACE)

**CONCLUSION**

In the present investigation, entire 18 constituents/ligands from *Azadirachta indica* have exhibited the potential to bind and dock with Aflatoxin polyketide synthase (APKS) except for three ligands (azadiron,
mhammoodin and margolone). Isomargolonone has exhibited the lowest binding energy with that of PKS. Thus, it is firmly proposed that the findings of the current study may give new knowledge about these 18 ligands (Azadirachta indica constituents) as potential APKS inhibitors concerning the prevention of aflatoxin contaminations.
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