Study of familial pattern in patients with hyperopia

Abstract

Purpose: Purpose of the study was:
i. To determine the most prevalent type of hyperopia.
ii. To determine the familial pattern in patients with hyperopia, including hereditary and genetic model.

Methodology: A cross sectional convenient type study was conducted at Eye Department, Mayo hospital, Lahore Pakistan. It included selection of research type, target population, sample size, study design of survey, Perform and dummy tables, sampling method, research methodology, organizational issues, pilots study and work plan. The study was committed in the months of August, September and October 2013. All the sample size was screened for hyperopia. Perform and questionnaire was formed from data collection.

Results: Out of total 100 hyperopic patients, 53(53%) were female while 47(47%) were male. 41(41%) were with the complaint of decreased vision, 13(13%) were with blurring, 1(1%) was with watering, 3% with itching, 6% with headache and 35% were with all these combined symptoms. All these patients were using spectacles and none were using contact lenses. 82(82%) of these patients were using spectacles for the last 1 year, 16(16%) for the last 1-3 years and 2(2%) were using spectacles for the last 4-6 years. Out of total 100 hyperopic patients 89(89%) were having no ocular history, 10(10%) were having previous ocular history, while 1(1%) were unaware of their ocular history. 70(70%) out of 100 were having no family history of hyperopia, 2(2%) have father with hyperopia history, 9(9%) have history of hyperopia in mother, 1(1%) in their brothers and 8(8%) were having history of hyperopia in their sisters.

Conclusion: This study showed that no strong family history is associated with hyperopia. Only 11% of patients had hyperopia in both parents, all exhibiting with the hyperopia and almost clear fundus shows small positive correlation between maternal hyperopia and hyperopia in their children indicating heritable hyperopia susceptibility.
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Introduction

Hyperopia is a refractive error in which parallel rays of light entering the eye reach a focal point behind the plane of the retina, while accommodation is maintained in a state of relaxation.1 Hyperopia is a visual condition in which, patient can see clearly objects at distant, but have difficulty in seeing near objects. It is a common refractive error in children and adults. The effects of hyperopia greatly depends upon age, magnitude and the condition of accommodative and convergence system. In older children, hyperopia that is not corrected can also affect the learning ability of affected person.2 Due to different definitions by different researches, it is difficult to determine the prevalence of hyperopia.3 Usually, most of the infants are hyperopic (about +2.00 D) while premature infants can be less hyperopic or myopic. In full term babies, the prevalence of refractive error has a normal bell shaped distribution.4 Estimating 4-9% of babies of 6-9 months of age have hyperopia more than +3.25.5 6 It is also known that the prevalence of hyperopia is 3.6% in the 1-year-old children. During infancy, higher levels of astigmatism are with moderate degree of hyperopia. Despite the reduction of prevalence of refractive error, its distribution still peaks toward mild hyperopia.7 The prevalence of hyperopia is apparently increased as, with the development of presbyopia, latent hyperopia is becoming manifest.8 There is effect of ethnicity, but no effect of gender in the prevalence of hyperopia. A study of 1,880 Chinese schoolchildren showed that the hyperopia greater than +1.25D has prevalence of only 1.2%. The risk of developing clinical physiologic hyperopia is usually determined by combining the hereditary factors and biological variation.9 It is observed that, magnitude and prevalence are more in childhood, but it decreases gradually due to the process of emmetropization. After childhood, there are minor chances of developing physiological hyperopia.

However, pathological hyperopia can be at any age, and it can be associated with diabetes mellitus, tumors or inflammations. As the degree of hyperopia increases, visual acuity decreases because of optical bluriness. Symptoms depends upon the degree of hyperopia, as in young patient and small degree of hyperopia usually patient is asymptomatic. Patient becomes symptomatic in mild hyperopia due to decrease in reserved amplitude of accommodation and if hyperopia is too great, so it will cause asthenopia, blurriness and fatigue while doing near work. The sign and symptoms of hyperopia include blurred vision, red eyes, facial controlling when reading, frequent blinking, asthenopia, decrease binocularity and decrease coordination between hand and eye. The main symptoms in moderate to high degree hyperopia are amblyopia and strabismus in children. If hyperopia remains uncorrected, it may lead to bad motor development in children.10 If hyperopia remains uncorrected in infants, it is associated with delays in motor and cognitive development in visual process.11 Familial pattern means a same process happening over and over again
from one generation to the next generation in a family. Refractive errors are the causes the basic visual impairment all over the world. Current researches in genetics believe that loci have genes for refractive error in different manner. It is believed that now it is possible to understand the basic biology of refractive error, by genetic and environmental knowledge with in vivo measurements of biological conditions.

Methods

A cross sectional convenient type study was conducted at College of Ophthalmology and Allied Vision Sciences in the main OPD of eye department, Mayo Hospital, Lahore during three months. A total of 100 hyperopic patients of both genders were included in the study by non-probability convenient sampling technique. It included selection of research type, target population, sample size, study design of survey, Proforma and dummy tables, sampling method, research methodology, organizational issues, pilots study and work plan. All the sample size was screened for hyperopia. Performa and questionnaire were formed from data collection and question regarding familial pattern were asked. SPSS 20.00 was used to analyze the data.

Results

Out of total 100 hyperopic patients, 53(53%) were female while 47(47%) were male. 41(41%) were with the complaint of decreased vision, 13(13%) were with blurring, 1(1%) was with watering, 3% with itching, 6% with headache and 35% were with all these combined symptoms. All these patients were using spectacles glasses and none were using contact lenses. 82(82%) of these patients were using spectacles for the last 1 year, 16(16%) for the last 1-3 years and 2(2%) were using spectacles for the last 4-6 years. Out of total 100 hyperopic patients 89(89%) were having no ocular history, 10(10%) were having previous ocular history, while 1(1%) were unaware of their ocular history. 70(70%) out of 100 were having no family history of hyperopia, 2(2%) were having positive family history in their fathers, 9(9%) were having history in their mothers, 11(11%) in their brothers and 8(8%) were having family history of hyperopia in their sisters (Figure 1-5).

Discussion

The study shows familial pattern in hyperopic patients. Only 2% has positive father history of hyperopia, 9 percent has positive mother history of hyperopia, 11% has positive brother history of hyperopia and 8% has positive sister history of hyperopia so the siblings has maximum importance positive family history and that is only 19% so it is not as much high as compared to other refractive errors. According to our study that was done about the correlation between refractive errors and siblings of the patient demonstrate that it is only on the chance base that is great correlation would present. As a twin research was done Thomas’s hospital. In this environment and genes were studied by taking different errors of refractions and as a result...
it was found that genetic factor are major factor in the absence or presence of hyperopia and myopia and in case of astigmatism it is seems to be dominantly inherited. In this study 89% have no ocular disease history 10% have positive ocular history and 1% don’t know out of 100 patients.

As a twins study has declared a high rate of inheritance of are refractive error that was up to 90%. Some families demonstrate that one third of population variance is attributable to the effects of shared family environment. While studying 2301 twin pairs it was concluded that there is very low effect of the shared family environment. These differences can be explained by different factors, one is because twins are perfectly age a match and don’t include cohort effects so it was concluded family studies has more power to detect shared environmental effect. The analysis shows that 19% hyperopic patients have refractive error in the paternal family and 11% have hyperopia in paternal family and on the other hand while seeing maternal history only 28% patients have maternal history with a refractive error and 13% have hyperopia in maternal family so according to this study positive maternal family history of hyperopia has more effect on next generation. Refractive errors are disorder of human eye having heterogeneous basis and it is good for genetic order. Different degree of refractive error can cause poor visual development, glaucoma, this disfigurement of surface of cornea, cataract which can ultimately cause detachment in effective person to do treatment that is done to decrease the progression, exact background knowledge of genetic mechanism in different errors is required and also the relationship between environment and genes can be predictable. If we detect refractive error in early stages then it will caused effective and helpful in screening programs. This study explain the genetic model associated with non-syndrome errors of refraction.

Conclusion

Hyperopia is commonly occurring disease caused by a combination of genes and environment factor but it also concluded that hyperopia has less effect than other type of refractive errors. This study is beneficial in studying the relationship between refractive errors and the effecting factors. As refractive errors are complex heterogeneous disorder of the eye so they can cause bad visual developmental cataract, glaucoma, disfigurement of corneal surface and ultimately detachment. This study showed that no strong family history is associated with hyperopia. Only 11% of patients had hyperopia in both parents, all exhibiting with the hyperopia and almost clear fundus shows small positive correlation between maternal hyperopia and hyperopia in their children indicating heritable hyperopia susceptibility.
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