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Abstract

Background: In contrast to the rapid increase in thyroid cancer (TC) incidence, thyroid cancer mortality rates have remained low and stable over the last decades. In Ecuador, however, TC mortality has increased and to determine possible drivers, a retrospective analysis of all patients attending a thyroid cancer referral center in Ecuador was conducted. Methods: From June 2014 to December 2017, a cross-sectional study was conducted at the Hospital de Especialidades Eugenio Espejo (HEEE), a regional reference public hospital for endocrine neoplasia in adults in Quito, Ecuador. We identified the mechanism of detection, histopathology and treatment modalities from a patient interview and review of clinical records. Results: Among 452 patients, 74.8% were young adults and 94.2% (426) of patients were female. 13.7% had a family history of thyroid cancer, and median of tumor size was 2 cm. The incidental finding was 54.2% whereas 45.8% was non-incidental. Thyroid cancer histology reported that 93.3% had papillary thyroid cancer (PTC), 2.7% follicular, 1.5% Hurtle cells, 1.6% medullary, 0.7% poor differentiated, and 0.2% anaplastic carcinoma. The mean MACIS (metastasis, age, completeness, invasion, and size) score was 4.95 (CI 4.15-5.95) with 76.2% of the thyroid cancer patients having MACIS score equal or less than 6. The very low and low risk of recurrence was 18.1% (79) and 62% (271) respectively. An analysis of 319 patients with non-metastatic thyroid cancer showed that 10.7% (34) of patients had surgical complications. Moreover, around 62.5% (80 from 128 patients with thyroglobulin laboratory results) of TC patients had a stimulated-thyroglobulin (sTg) value equal or higher than 2 ng/ml. Overall, a poor surgical outcome was present in 35.1% (112) patients. Out of 436 patients with differentiated thyroid carcinoma (DTC), 86% (n=375) received radioactive iodine (RAI). Conclusion: Thyroid cancer histological characteristics and method of diagnosis are like the ones described in other reports without any evidence of the high frequency of aggressive thyroid cancer histology. However, we observed evidence of overtreatment and poor surgical outcomes that demand additional studies to understand their association with thyroid cancer mortality in Ecuador.

Background

The incidence of thyroid cancer (TC) has increased over the last three decades in most countries
around the globe\textsuperscript{1}. In the United States, an analysis of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) between 1975 and 2015 found that TC incidence has increased from 4.9 to 15 per 100,000 people\textsuperscript{2}. Similar epidemiological changes have been observed in Central and South America. From 2008 to 2012, TC incidence rates in these regions increased 8 to 12 times\textsuperscript{3}. In Ecuador, the annual incidence fluctuated from 3 to 22 per 100,000 in the last 16 years, with women having higher incident rates than men\textsuperscript{4}.

In contrast with the rapid increased in TC incidence\textsuperscript{5-8}, worldwide thyroid cancer mortality rates have remained low and stable over the last decades\textsuperscript{9-11}. In Ecuador, however, thyroid cancer incidence and mortality have increased, and Ecuadorian thyroid cancer mortality rates are one of the highest in the world\textsuperscript{4,12,13}. Ecuador is a setting with limited epidemiological resources, and the drivers behind this rising incidence and mortality rates are unclear.

Ideally, a large population-based study examining the thyroid cancer characteristics and treatment trends may help clarify the triggers of mortality rate in Ecuador. However, such a study design is not possible with the current TC data infrastructure in Ecuador. Instead, we conducted a retrospective analysis of all patients attending a thyroid cancer referral center in Ecuador to determine possible drivers of high rates of thyroid cancer mortality (type of thyroid cancer diagnosis and surgical outcome). This information might help gain insights into what factors could be contributed to thyroid cancer mortality.

Methods

Setting and participants

From June 2014 to December 2017, a cross-sectional study was conducted at the Hospital de Especialidades Eugenio Espejo (HEEE), a regional reference public hospital for endocrine neoplasia in adults in Quito, Ecuador. Ecuador is geographically divided into four major natural regions (Coast, Highland, Amazon, and Galapagos Islands). Due to HEEE is located within the Highland region, its patients come mostly from this area. All the patients who were seen for thyroid cancer at HEEE were
included, except the patient who did not have the histopathology report. Patients who had initial management (including surgery) outside HEEE were also included.

Data collection and variables

Two sources of data were used to collect the variables of interest. First, a study coordinator interviewed eligible patients during their first postsurgical appointment at the endocrine clinic. During this process, the study coordinator captured: 1) demographic characteristics such as age, degree of education, region of residence (Coast, Highland, Amazon and Galapagos Islands), age at diagnosis, and ethnicity; 2) family history of TC; 3) environmental risk factors; 4) methods of diagnosis (incidental or non-incidental findings). Second, study team members reviewed medical records of included patients to extract the following information: 1) thyroid gland functionality (euthyroid, hypothyroidism, or hyperthyroidism), thyroid ultrasound characteristics, and thyroid nodule fine-needle aspiration (FNA) cytotologic results based on Bethesda System; 2) surgical characteristics such as type and extension of surgery; 3) thyroid gland histopathological features including tumor size, type, focality, minor or gross local invasion, and cervical lymph node involvement or distant metastases; 4) TC markers measured after thyroidectomy and before radioactive iodine therapy, including thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH), stimulated thyroglobulin (sTg), inhibited (iTg), and anti-thyroglobulin antibodies (aTg); 5) surgical characteristics such as type and extension of surgery, and complications (hypocalcemia <6 months and >6 months after procedure, recurrent laryngeal nerve injury); and finally 6) the radioactive iodine treatment, its doses, and scan results.

Data management

Baseline characteristics data were managed as follows: employment and education were classified according to the National Institute of Statistics and Census (INEC) from Ecuador, and thyroid surgery settings were grouped as tertiary (hospitals providing specialized TC management) and non-tertiary hospitals. Furthermore, patients were considered to have a family history of TC when first and second generation-degree relatives had the disease. Based on thyroid histopathologic features, patients were diagnosed as medullar or non-medullar TC, the latter being further classified as differentiated (papillary and follicular), poorly differentiated, undifferentiated (anaplastic), or
squamous cell carcinoma\textsuperscript{15}. The risk of recurrence in differentiated TC was calculated by using the American Thyroid Association (ATA) 2009 risk stratification system, which classifies patients’ risk of recurrence as low, intermediate, or high\textsuperscript{16}. Due to the overwhelming increasing incidence of patients with papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) with an intrathyroidal tumor size of < 1 cm, a new category was included to the ATA risk of recurrence calculator: “very low risk”\textsuperscript{17}. Furthermore, the risk of mortality in patients with PTC was estimated based on MACIS score (metastasis, age, completeness, invasion, and size)\textsuperscript{18}. A cutoff of 6 was employed to group patients as either low (MACIS < 6) or high risk (MACIS ≥ 6) of mortality.

Thyroid cancer method of detection was divided in two groups: non-incidental diagnosis (when the TC was found in asymptomatic patient) and incidental diagnosis when a thyroid nodule harbouring TC is found during the workup of non-nodular thyroid disease, or during an imaging test requested for reasons unrelated to a thyroid disorder or symptom (e.g. preventive ultrasound), or TC is found incidentally in the histological examination of the thyroid gland removed for a benign condition\textsuperscript{11}.

We classified the quality of thyroidectomy based on post-operative thyroglobulin \textit{sTg} levels (at least 6 weeks after the procedure)\textsuperscript{19–21}, and the frequency of surgical complications\textsuperscript{22–24}. We considered that the quality of surgery was optimal when there were no post-surgical complications and when patients had a \textit{sTg} ≤2 ng/dl, and poor when patients had at least one permanent surgical complication or post-operative \textit{sTg} > 2ng/dL. Given that surgical complications and post-operative \textit{sTg} levels could be affected by the presence of metastatic disease, we limited the assessment of the quality of surgical outcomes to patients with non-metastatic differentiated TC undergoing initial thyroid surgery (total thyroidectomy and central neck dissection).

\textit{Statistical methods}

For categorical variables, frequencies and percentages were reported. For numerical variables, we used mean and median with their corresponding standard deviation (SD) or interquartile ranges (IQR), as measurements of central tendency and dispersion. Normal distribution was determined by visual inspection and by using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Our dependent variables used for exploratory
analysis were incidental findings and quality of surgery, which are dichotomous variables. For our bivariate and multivariate analysis, we decided to use prevalence ratio (PR) instead of odds ratios (OR), due to PR is easier to interpret and OR tend to overestimate the results. To calculate this PR, we planned to use a generalized linear model (GLM) with the binomial family and the log link. However, convergence problems were found with some of the variables. Such issues are common. At the end we chose, from all possible solutions, to use Poisson as the family for the GLM with robust variance. For the multivariate analyses, we decided to include in the models for incidental findings and poor quality of surgery all variables which p-value was smaller than 0.05 and those considered to be important by the investigators. The results are reported as prevalence ratios (PR) and their respective 95% confidence interval. Statistical analysis was performed with STATA.

Results
From 2014 to 2017, 452 TC patients were included, 74.8% of the patients were between 20 to 54 years old and with a median tumor size of was 2 cm [IQ 1.2, 3.1]. Around 94.2% of TC patients were female, 13.7% had a family history of TC. Thyroid cancer histology was: 93.3% had papillary thyroid cancer (PTC), 2.7% follicular, 1.5% Hurtle cells, 1.6% medullary, 0.7% poor differentiated, and 0.2% anaplastic. The mean MACIS (metastasis, age, completeness, invasion, and size) score was 4.95 (IQ 4.15, 5.95) with 76.2% of the TCs having MACIS score equal or less than 6 (Table 1).

Mechanism of detection
The methods of TC diagnosis were: 54.2% incidental (93.5% by ultrasound, 5.3% histology, and 1.2% unrelated test) and 45.8% non-incidental (palpable and symptomatic nodule). Furthermore, 100% of patients with microcarcinoma (≤10mm) were incidental finding; the proportions further fell to 68.4%, 43.7%, 9.3%, and 23% for the 11-20mm, 21-30mm, 31-40mm and ≥41mm groups, respectively (Figure 1).
Multivariate analysis, controlled for MACIS score, age, the presence of cervical lymph nodes and risk of recurrence, found that a higher tumor size was associated with higher prevalence of people who were incidentally diagnosed with thyroid cancer (PR = 0.96 [CI: 0.94, 0.97]) (Table 2).

Treatment modalities

Surgical characteristics and outcomes

All patients were treated with total thyroidectomy. An analysis of 319 patients with non-metastatic differentiated thyroid carcinoma (DTC) showed that 10.7% (34) of patients had surgical complications, 7.8% (25) of patients developed permanent hypoparathyroidism, 2.2% (7) had recurrent laryngeal nerve injury, and 0.6% (2) showed spinal nerve injury. Moreover, around 61% (80 from 128 patients with thyroglobulin laboratory results) of TC patients had a sTg value equal or higher than 2 ng/ml. By using both surgical complications and sTg values, the percentage of patients who had a poor surgical outcome was 35%. The univariate analysis showed that the tumor size, MACIS score and the presence of metastatic cervical lymph nodes in the central compartment were associated with poor surgical outcome. However, in multivariate analysis, only metastatic cervical lymph nodes was associated with poor surgical outcome (PR=1.45 [IC:1.07, 1.97]) (Table 3).

Iodine therapy

Out of 436 patients with DTC, 86% (n=375) received radioactive iodine (RAI). The median dose of RAI was 100 mCi (IQR: 100-150) and the median lapse between surgery and RAI therapy was 4 months (IQR: 3-7 months). 95% of people with very low risk and low risk, received RAI treatment (Table 4).

Discussion

We conducted a retrospective analysis of all TC patients receiving care at a regional reference hospital in Ecuador. This analysis revealed that 74.8% of TC patients were between 20 and 54 years old, and the majority was papillary thyroid cancer at low or very low risk of recurrence. Approximately half of these cases were found incidentally (patients without symptoms of TC), and a quarter of TC patients had a poor surgical outcome. Despite being mostly low-risk cancer, all patients receive total
thyroidectomy, and the majority received RAI.

Although this sample only represents a small subset of all thyroid cancers in Ecuador, histological characteristics and method of diagnosis are similar to the ones described in other reports\textsuperscript{28–33}. We did not see an increased frequency of aggressive thyroid cancer histological findings that might explain the increase in thyroid cancer mortality in Ecuador. We observed that the majority of thyroid cancer cases were of low risk of recurrence and mortality. Moreover, we found that more than half of thyroid cancers were diagnosed incidentally, and the minority of patients presented with symptoms resembling findings in countries where thyroid cancer overdiagnosis drives increasing incident trends\textsuperscript{34–37}.

Even though thyroid cancer histology and mode of presentation did not show any hint to explain thyroid cancer increased mortality, we found that there was evidence of overtreatment and poor surgical outcomes. One-third of patients had either surgical adverse event or a post-surgical Tg value that suggested residual benign or malignant thyroid tissue. The high frequencies of poor surgical outcomes suggest a lack of surgical thyroid cancer expertise\textsuperscript{38,39}. In Ecuador, there are no residency programs dedicated to training surgeons about the treatment of TC. The few existing thyroid focused surgeons are insufficient in covering the rising demand for new patients with this tumor. Yet, most TC patients do not receive care or treatment in a reference hospital, and thus, they maybe at higher risk of complications and perhaps unrecognized death due to thyroid cancer surgery. Another driver of the increased thyroid cancer mortality in Ecuador, not assessed in this study, maybe attribution bias. That is, patients with thyroid cancer who died, and the cause of death is attributed to thyroid cancer even if cancer was likely not it due\textsuperscript{40}. This misclassification bias exaggerates cancer-specific mortality. Morticians not familiar with thyroid cancer prognosis may be more willing to allocate cause of death to thyroid cancer when the chain of events leading to death is unclear or unknown. Moreover, we observed that the majority of thyroid cancer cases were of low or very low risk of recurrence, however, most of them received high doses of RAI therapy. Although RAI use would not have a detrimental impact of thyroid cancer mortality, it used adds to the patient’s burden of treatment and
risk of adverse events. 41-43.

Conclusions
Considering the paucity of population-based cancer registries in Ecuador, this study provides additional information about the thyroid cancer diagnosis and treatment in a tertiary referral center in Ecuador. We observed thyroid cancer histological characteristics and method of diagnosis are like the ones described in other reports without any evidence of the high frequency of aggressive thyroid cancer histologists. However, we observed evidence of overtreatment and poor surgical outcomes that demand additional studies to understand their association with thyroid cancer mortality in Ecuador.

Limitations
This study has several limitations. This is not a population-based study; therefore, selection bias may influence our results. Furthermore, there were patients with missing data, lowering our sample size and confidence in the estimates. Information about the histopathological characteristics and post-surgical treatment were unavailable because not all patients began the treatment in HEE and some of them came to this hospital after surgery or after radioactive therapy was performed. Moreover, in the interview of the patients, the question of family history was exposed to recall bias. Finally, we were not able to provide information about the outcomes for these patients as this data is currently collected and become material for a subsequent study.
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Tables

Table 1. Characteristics of Thyroid Cancer Patients Before Thyroidectomy

| Variable* | Total (n = 452) |
|-----------|----------------|
|           | n (% )         |
| Sex       |                |
| Female    | 426            |
| Males     | 26             |
| Age at diagnosis (mean: 44.6, SD: 14.56) |
| <20 years old | 17 3.8 |
| 20-34 years old | 106 23.5 |
| 35-44 years old | 104 23 |
| 45-54 years old | 128 28.3 |
| 55-64 years old | 53 11.7 |
| 65-74 years old | 26 5.8 |
| 75-84 years old | 16 3.5 |
| >84 years old | 2 0.4 |
| Residence |                |
| Coast     | 49 10.8        |
| Highland  | 389 86.1       |
| Amazon    | 14 3.1         |
| Galapagos | 0 0            |
| Employment|                |
| Domestic chores | 332 73.5 |
| Student   | 20 4.4         |
| Labor     | 100 22.1       |
| Education level |          |
|                                |       |       |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| None                           | 22    | 4.9   |
| Elementary                     | 267   | 59.1  |
| School                         |       |       |
| High school                    | 132   | 29.2  |
| College                        | 31    | 6.9   |
| Family history of thyroid cancer|       |       |
| Yes                            | 62    | 13.7  |
| No                             | 390   | 86.3  |
| BMI ($n = 298$) ($mean: 28.75, SD: 5.53$) | | |
| Normal                         | 76    | 25.5  |
| Overweight                     | 113   | 37.9  |
| Obesity                        | 109   | 36.6  |
| Self-reported exposure to ($n = 63$) | | |
| Radiation                      | 4     | 6.3   |
| Chemicals in agriculture       | 59    | 93.7  |
| Cigarette Smoking ($n = 393$)  |       |       |
| Yes                            | 17    | 4.3   |
| No                             | 376   | 95.7  |
| Thyroid function               |       |       |
| Euthyroid                      | 372   | 82.3  |
| Hypothyroidism                 | 72    | 15.9  |
| Hyperthyroidism                | 8     | 1.8   |
| Methods of detection           |       |       |
| Non- incidental                | 207   | 45.8  |
| (palpable nodule)              |       |       |
| Incidental                     | 245   | 54.2  |
| Ultrasound                     | 229   | 93.5  |
| Histology                      | 13    | 5.3   |
| Unrelated test                 | 3     | 1.2   |
| Setting of thyroid surgery ($n = 450$) | | |
| Tertiary Hospital              | 270   | 60    |
| Non- tertiary hospital          | 180   | 40    |
| Size of tumor ($n = 406$) ($median = 2 cm [IQ 1.2, 3.1]$) | | |
| ≤1cm                           | 89    | 21.9  |
| >1cm                           | 317   | 78.1  |
| Focality ($n = 416$)           |       |       |
| Unifocal                       | 230   | 55.3  |
| Multifocal                     | 186   | 44.7  |
| Cervical Lymph nodes metastasis ($n = 436$) | | |
| Si                             | 211   | 48.4  |
| No                             | 225   | 51.6  |
| MACIS score ($n = 408$) ($median = 4.95 cm [IQ 4.15, 5.95]$) | | |
| ≤6                             | 311   | 76.2  |
|                |        |     |
|----------------|--------|-----|
|                | Histopathology, (n=447) |     |
|                | Papillary | 417 | 93.3|
|                | Follicular | 12  | 2.7 |
|                | Hurtle cells | 7   | 1.5 |
|                | Poor      | 3   | 0.7 |
| differentiated | Anaplastic | 1   | 0.2 |
|                | Medullary | 7   | 1.6 |
| Risk recurrence (n=437) | Very low risk | 79  | 18.1|
|                | Low risk  | 271 | 62.0|
|                | Indeterminate | 49  | 11.2|
| risk           | High risk | 38  | 8.7 |

* All variables without a specific number of patients were calculated from the whole population (n=452). All the others show the number of people from which the variables were available.
Table 2. Factors associated with the prevalence of diagnosis

| Variables                        | Incidental | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                                  | No (n= 206) | Yes (n= 246)        | PR (95% CI) p         |                       |
| Age, mean (SD)                   | 43.0 (16.1) | 46.3 (13.4)         | 1.01 (1.00, 1.01) 0.037 | 1.01 (1.00, 1.01)     |
| Sex, n (%)                       |            |                     |                       |                       |
| Male                             | 14 (6.8)   | 12 (4.9)            | Reference 0.433       |                       |
| Female                           | 192 (93.2) | 234 (95.1)          | 1.19 (0.78, 1.81)     |                       |
| Positive Family history n (%)    |            |                     |                       |                       |
| No                               | 179 (86.5) | 211 (86.1)          | Reference 0.914       |                       |
| Yes                              | 28 (13.6)  | 34 (13.8)           | 1.01 (0.79, 1.29)     |                       |
| BMI, (n= 299) mean, (SD)         | n= 142     | n= 157              | 1.00 (0.99, 1.02) 0.630 |                       |
| Tumor size in mm, (n= 406) mean, (SD) | n= 177     | n= 229              | 0.96 (0.95, 0.97) 0.000 | 0.96 (0.94, 0.97)     |
| Multifocal (n= 328), n (%)       |            |                     |                       |                       |
| Unifocal, n (%)                  | 103 (55.4) | 127 (55.2)          | Reference 0.974       | Reference             |
| Multifocal, n (%)                | 83 (44.6)  | 103 (44.8)          | 1.00 (0.84, 1.19)     | 1.32 (1.13, 1.54)     |
| Positive Cervical Lymph nodes n (%)|            |                     |                       |                       |
| No                               | 93 (46.3)  | 132 (56.2)          | Reference 0.041       | Reference             |
| Yes                              | 108 (53.7) | 103 (43.8)          | 0.83 (0.70, 0.99)     | 1.09 (0.93, 1.27)     |
| MACIS score (n=406), mean (SD)   | 5.6 (1.6)  | 4.9 (1.4)           | 0.86 (0.79, 0.92) 0.000 | 0.92 (0.81, 1.04)     |
| Risk recurrence (n=437)          |            |                     |                       |                       |
| Very low risk                    | 0          | 79 (33)             | Reference*            |                       |
| Low risk                         | 147 (73.5)| 124 (52.3)          |                       |                       |
| Intermediate risk                | 33 (16.5)  | 16 (6.8)            | 0.56 (0.37, 0.85) 0.006 | 0.98 (0.67, 1.43)     |
| High risk                        | 20 (10.0)  | 18 (7.6)            | 0.77 (0.54, 1.11) 0.163 | 1.04 (0.82, 2.16)     |

* For univariate and multivariate analysis low and very low risk categories were combined and taken as reference.
Table 3. Factors associated with poor optimal surgical outcomes

|                          | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|                          | PR (95% CI)         | P value               |
|                          |                     | PR (95% CI)           | P value               |
| Sex                      |                     |                       |
| Male                     | Reference           | 0.295                 |
| Female                   | 0.74 (0.42, 1.30)   | 0.684                 |
| Age, mean (SD)           | 1.00 (0.99, 1.01)   | 0.191                 |
| Setting of Surgery       |                     |                       |
| Tertiary Hospital        | Reference           | 0.878                 |
| Non-tertiary hospital    | 0.98 (0.71, 1.32)   | 1.01 (0.75, 1.37)     |
| Tumor size (n=306), mean (SD) | 1.01 (1.00, 1.02) | 0.001                 |
| Tumor focality, n (%)    |                     |                       |
| Unifocal                 | Reference           | 0.425                 |
| Multifocal               | 1.13 (0.84, 1.53)   |                       |
| Positive Central Cervical Lymph nodes metastasis, n (%) | | |
| No                       | Reference           | 0.004                 |
| Yes                      | 1.54 (1.15, 2.06)   | 1.45 (1.07, 1.97)     |
| MACIS score              | 1.14 (1.02, 1.28)   | 0.017                 |
| Histology variant        |                     |                       |
| Non-aggressive           | Reference           | 0.651                 |
| Aggressive               | 1.11 (0.70, 1.79)   |                       |
| Risk recurrence          |                     |                       |
| Very low risk            | Reference*          |                       |
| Low risk                 | 0.95 (0.51, 1.77)   | 0.861                 |
| Indeterminate risk       |                     |                       |
| High risk                | 0.95 (0.19, 4.73)   | 0.946                 |

* For univariate and multivariate analysis low and very low risk categories were
Table 4. **Radioactive Iodine and risk of recurrence**

| Risk recurrence               | Yes n = 375 (89.3%) | No n = 45 (10.7%) | PR (95 % CI)   | P value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Very low risk (micro PTC) n (%) | 49 (63.6)          | 28 (36.4)         | 0.2 (0.13, 0.35) | 0.001   |
| Low risk                      | 248 (95)            | 13 (5)            |                |         |
| Indeterminate risk            | 43 (91.5)           | 4 (8.5)           |                |         |
| High risk                     | 35 (100)            | 0 (0)             |                |         |
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**Figure 1**

Tumor size by method of diagnosis