Staphylococcal Enterotoxins and Enterotoxigenic *Staphylococcus aureus* in Raw Milk: A Screening Study
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**ABSTRACT**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the most important cause of foodborne intoxications in human beings. Staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) may lead to outbreaks because of taking food such as milk and dairy products. The aims of this study were to analyze the presence of staphylococcal enterotoxins and enterotoxigenic properties of the *S. aureus* isolates in 120 raw milk samples. One hundred and twenty raw milk samples were analyzed to detect SEs using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method. Staphylococcal enteroxin genes (*sea*, *seb*, *sec*, *sed*, *see*) were analysed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). In the current study, SEs were found 2 of 120 bulk tank milk samples. Totally 18 (38.3%) of 69 isolates were confirmed by PCR targeting *nuc* and *coa* genes in *S. aureus*. SEs genes were detected as 3 (16.6 %) of 18 *S. aureus* isolates. Staphylococcal enterotoxins in foods like milk and dairy products are the potential public health hazards. Surveillance programs and effective monitoring systems are required for controlling staphylococcal enterotoxins in raw milk.
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**Çiğ Sütte Stafilokokal Enterotoksinler ve Enterotoksijenik Staphylococcus aureus Varlığının Belirlenmesine Yönelik Bir Tarama Çalışması**

**ÖZ**

*Staphylococcus aureus* insanlarda gıda kaynaklı zehirlenmelerin başlıca nedenidir. Stafilokokal enterotoksinler (SE’ler) ile kontamine süt ve süt ürünleri tüketimi salgılara neden olabilir. Bu çalışmanın amacı, 120 çiğ süt örneklerinden alınan 120 çiğ süt örneklerinde *S. aureus* izolatların stafilokokal enterotoksinlerin ve enterotoksijenik özelliklerinin analiz edilmesidir. SE’leri sapmak için enzim bağlantılı immunosorbent testi (ELISA) yöntemi kullanarak yüz yümiş çiğ süt örnek analiz edildi. Polimeraz zincir reaksiyonu (PCR) ile stafilokokal enteroxin genleri (*sea*, *seb*, *sec*, *sed*, *see*) araştırıldı. Bu çalışmada, toplama tanklarından alınan 120 çiğ süt örneklerinde 2’inde SE tespit edilmiştir. Toplam 69 *S. aureus* izolatının 18’i (% 38.3) *nuc* ve *coa* genleri PCR yöntemi ile doğrulanmıştır. SE genleri, 18 *S. aureus* izolatının 3’ünde (%16,6) bulunmuştur. Süt ve süt ürünlerinde bulunan stafilokokal enterotoksinler halk sağlığı açısından potansiyel tehlikedir. Çiğ sütteki stafilokokal enterotoksinlerin kontrolü için surveys programları ve etkili izleme sistemleri gereklidir.

**Anahtar Kelimeler:** Çiğ süt, Stafilokokal enterotoksinler, *Staphylococcus aureus*
INTRODUCTION

Milk and dairy products are a great protein source especially for children in the age of growth (Kandpal et al. 2012). Milk is also suitable medium for foodborne pathogens which can cause a major public health risk (Ding et al. 2016). Although milk is sterile during secretion, it can be contaminated by microorganisms during milk handling, storage and processing. (De Silva et al. 2016). Foodborne outbreaks caused by milk and dairy products have led to hospitalizations and deaths for human beings (Painter et al. 2013).

Staphylococcus aureus is recognized worldwide as a major foodborne pathogen and it has to produce a variety of toxins which cause staphylococcal food poisoning (SFP) in human (Le Loir et al. 2003, Ote et al. 2011). S. aureus is normally found in healthy nose and skin mucosa in human (Kluytmans et al. 1997). Also, the presence of biofilm producing ability of S. aureus in milk and milking environment is a public health concern for the consumers (Lee et al. 2014, Lee et al. 2016). S. aureus produces a variety of toxins called staphylococcal enterotoxins (SE) (Kuzma et al. 2003, Ozdemir and Keyvan 2016). Staphylococcal enterotoxins are divided as classical and new SE like toxins (SEls). Current studies have described 23 SEs and SEls (Benkerroum 2018). Not only SE, but also SEls can lead to staphylococcal foodborne outbreak (Umeda et al. 2017). The presence of a small amount of staphylococcal enterotoxins can cause an intoxication that results from the consumption of contaminated food (Berdgoll 1989). SFP is generally self limiting and symptoms of are abdominal cramp, nausea, vomiting and with or without diarrhea (Argudín et al. 2010). Consumption of contaminated milk and dairy products are the main source of enterotoxins for human (Normanno et al. 2007, Lee et al. 2012). SEs led to outbreak because of the consumption of contaminated milk and dairy products (Schmid et al. 2009, Umeda et al. 2017)

S. aureus is also causative agent of mastitis in dairy cows (Peles et al. 2007). Dairy products may create a human illness due to contamination of milk with S. aureus (Jorgensen et al. 2005a, Duquenne et al. 2010). Subclinical mastitis, improper milking conditions during milking in dairy cows are the possible contamination ways of raw milk with S. aureus (Jorgensen et al. 2005b). Pasteurization process can inactivate S. aureus but thermostable SEs may retain biological activity (Schmid et al. 2009). Thus, detection of the staphylococcal enterotoxins and enterotoxic strains in foods is required (Morandi et al. 2007). The objective of this study were to detect staphylococcal enterotoxins and related genes in S. aureus isolated from bulk tank milk samples.

MATERIALS and METHODS

Milk samples

In this study, a total of 120 raw milk samples were obtained in Burdur province, located in the southern side of Turkey. Fifty ml of each milk sample was taken in sterile plastic collection tubes and transported to the laboratory under refrigeration (4°C–8°C), and the samples were directly processed for further analyses.

Isolation and identification of S. aureus

Serial dilutions were prepared homogenously in aseptic conditions from milk samples and inoculated on Baird Parker / RPF (BP + RPF Oxoid, CM0961) agar (Bennett and Lancette 2001). Milk samples were incubated at 35°C for 24-48 hours. Then, typical and atypical colonies were selected, and coagulase test was performed with EDTA coagulase plasma (Oxoid, R21052). Coagulase test positive colonies were analysed for Gram staining, catalase test, DNAse activity, hemolytic properties (ß-hemolysis) and mannitol fermentation test. Phenotypically positive colonies from these tests were accepted as suspected isolates of S. aureus (ISO 2003, Parisi et al. 2016).

DNA isolation

Overnight cultures in Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI, Oxoid, CM1135) were used for the DNA isolation. For this purpose, 2 ml of broth cultures were centrifuged at 5,000 g, 10 minutes and the supernatant were discarded. Bacterial pellets were washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were redispersed washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended with washed saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed两次 with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged again. Bacterial pellets were resuspended washed twice with 1 ml of saline solution and centrifuged agai
PCR. Except for nuc and coa genes, all genes were analysed by uniplex PCR method. The PCR reaction mixture was prepared from 3 µl of DNA, 0.5 µl of each primer, 4 µl of 5x FIREPol® Master Mix (Solis Biodyne, Tartu, Estonia), 12 µl of water, for a total reaction volume of 20 µl. The amplification conditions were 95 °C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55°C (nuc, coa, seb) to 56.5°C (sea, sed, sec, see) for 1 min s, and 72 °C for 40 s and a final extension step of 72°C for 10 min. The amplified PCR products were observed in 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis (Keyvan and Ozdemir, 2016).

Detection of Staphylococcal Enterotoxins

Staphylococcal enterotoxins (SET A, B, C, D, E) in raw milk samples were analyzed according to Ridascreen® SET A,B,C,D,E (r-biopharm, Germany, Art.no:R1101) test kit procedure by Enzim-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) method. For this purpose, 10 ml of milk sample was centrifuged at 3500 g/10min/10°C and cream layer discarded. The supernatant was used for the detection of enterotoxins. The absorbance value of milk samples was obtained from the ELISA plate reader at 450 nm (ELX-800; Bio-Tek Instruments, Winooski, VT, USA).

Table 1. Primers used in this study

| Target gene | Primer sequence (5’ 3’) | Product size (bp) | References |
|-------------|------------------------|------------------|------------|
| nuc         | F: ATA GGG ATG GCT ATC AGT AAT GT | 624 bp | Lem et al. (2001) |
|             | R: GAC CTG AAT CAG CTT TGT CTT C   |      |            |
|             | F: GTA GAT TGG GCA ATT ACA TTT TGG AGG | 117 bp | Kearns et al. (1999) |
| coa         | R: CGC ATC AGC TTT GTT ATC CCA TGT A |      |            |
|             | F: GGT TAT CAA TGT GCG GGT GG | 102 bp | Mehrotra et al. (2000) |
|             | R: CCG CAC TTT TTT TTC TTT CCC |      |            |
|             | F: GTA TGG TGG TGT AAC TGA GC | 164 bp | Mehrotra et al. (2000) |
| sea         | R: CCA AAT AGT GAC GAG TTA GG |      |            |
|             | F: AGA TGA AGT TGA TGT GTA TGG | 451 bp | Mehrotra et al. (2000) |
|             | R: CAC ACT TTT AGA ATC AAC CG |      |            |
|             | F: CCA ATA ATA AAT GAA AAA AAT AAA | 278 bp | Mehrotra et al. (2000) |
|             | R: ATT GGT ATT TTT TTT CGT TC |      |            |
| see         | F: AGT TTT TTT CAC AGG TCA TCC | 209 bp | Mehrotra et al. (2000) |
|             | R: CTT TTT TTT CGG TCA ATC |      |            |

DISCUSSION

Milk is a suitable medium for S. aureus growth and enterotoxin production. Pasteurization process can inactivate S. aureus from raw milk but SEs will remain stable even after heat treatment (Le Loir et al. 2003, Lee at al. 2012). Rall et al. (2008) was observed that the presence of enterotoxigenic S. aureus even after pasteurization. The reason for this, it could be the possible inefficacy of the thermal process.

SEs are the most prevalent agent of milk-borne intoxications causing risk on the public health worldwide (Benkerroum 2018). In the current study, staphylococcal enterotoxins were detected in 2 of 120 (1.66%) bulk tank milk samples. In a study from
Norway, enterotoxin production was identified 22.1% of \textit{S. aureus} isolates in bovine milk tank and SE genes were found 52.5% of the isolates (Jørgensen et al. 2005a). Previous studies from different countries were reported levels of enterotoxigenic \textit{S. aureus} as 9.4%, 20%, 37.1%, 13.1%, 26.1%, 27.1% in Jordan, Portugal, Czech Republic, Poland, Egypt, Hungary, respectively (Peles et al. 2007, Zouharova and Rysanek 2008, Pereira et al. 2009, Mansour et al. 2017, Korpsych-Dzirba and Osek 2018, Obaidat et al. 2018). Enterotoxigenic \textit{S. aureus} isolates in raw milk may pose potential public health hazard and due to thermostable enterotoxins, dairy products may cause intoxications in humans. Schmid et al. (2009) were reported an outbreak because of consumed school milk products in Austria.

**Table 2.** Absorbance value of bulk tank milk samples

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| A | 0.792 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.200 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.047 | 0.049 |
| B | 0.712 | 0.045 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.047 |
| C | 0.042 | 0.047 | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.047 |
| D | 0.042 | 0.043 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.046 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.052 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.046 |
| E | 0.043 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.098 | 0.059 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.046 | 0.045 | 0.047 |
| F | 0.043 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.049 | 0.046 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.048 |
| G | 0.045 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.044 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.047 | 0.047 |
| H | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.047 | 0.205 | 0.047 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.050 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.046 | 0.047 |

1A/1B: Positive Control, 1C/1D: Negative Control, Cut off value: 0.192, 4/H-5/A: Samples are above to cut off value

**Table 3.** Staphylococcal enterotoxins (A, B, C, D, E) in raw milk samples

| Number of samples | Color change | Cut off value |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| 120               | 4 (3.3%)     | 2 (1.6%)      |

**Table 4.** Enterotoxigenic properties of \textit{S. aureus} isolates

| Target gene | Number of positive \textit{S. aureus} isolates (n=18) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| sea         | -                                                   |
| seb         | 2 (11.1%)                                           |
| sec         | -                                                   |
| sed         | -                                                   |
| see         | 1 (5.5%)                                            |
| Total       | 3 (16.6%)                                           |

Mastitis is one of the most economically devastating problems in cattle and \textit{S. aureus} is a common causative agent of clinical and subclinical mastitis (Türkyılmaz et al. 2010, Ote et al. 2011, Rall et al. 2014). In Brasil, \textit{S. aureus} was isolated in 6.7% of raw milk samples from dairy cows with subclinical mastitis and 10.8% of bulk tank milk samples. Also, four of \textit{S. aureus} isolates were reported enterotoxigenic (Fagundes et al. 2010). Boynukara et al. (2008) was found to be enterotoxigenic 25.5% of \textit{S. aureus} strains isolated from cows with subclinical mastitis. Rall et al. (2014) were observed that 53.3% of \textit{S. aureus} isolates contained \textit{sea} gene in milk from cows with subclinical mastitis. Milk collected from dairy cows with subclinical mastitis may pose a significant source of enterotoxigenic \textit{S. aureus} which can produce SEs. Transfer of the contaminated milk to bulk tank milk may cause intoxications. Ding et al. (2016) were recommended that to control milkborne staphylococcal intoxication, efficient storage conditions of milk and dairy products are the key step for to minimize the risk of staphylococcal food poisoning. For controlling \textit{S. aureus} milk and milking environment adopting assurance quality systems are required in dairy industry (Cusato et al. 2014).

Although classical enterotoxins are the mainly isolated from staphylococcal food poisoning, SEs can also cause outbreaks and intoxications. Umeda et al. (2017) were reported an outbreak from Japan caused by new SE/SEls and these findings indicated...
that new SE/SEIs can be the potential reason of staphylococcal intoxications.

Figure 2. coa, nuc gene positive S. aureus isolates. M: Marker, +: nuc and coa gene positive S. aureus (ATCC 25923)

Şekil 2. coa, nuc geni pozitif S. aureus izolatlar. M: Marker, +: nuc ve coa geni pozitif S. aureus (ATCC 25923)

In conclusion, milk is generally get contaminated by several microorganisms. Effective milk hygiene practices and good milking environment conditions should be provided by supplier in milk industry.
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