Abstract: We aimed to examine the impact of a daily pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy on intrapartum fetal acidemia using a large birth cohort study in Japan. We used data on singleton pregnancies in the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS) involving births from 2011 to 2014 through vaginal delivery to calculate the maternal dietary inflammatory index (DII). Participants were categorized according to DII quintiles. A multiple logistic regression model was used to estimate the risk of a pro-inflammatory diet on fetal umbilical artery pH. In total, 56,490 participants were eligible for this study. Multiple regression analysis showed that nulliparous women who had undergone vaginal delivery and were consuming a pro-inflammatory diet had an increased risk of pH < 7.10 (adjusted odds ratio [aOR]: 1.64, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.12–2.39). Among these women, the risk of pH < 7.10 was not affected by the duration of labor (aOR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.11–2.42). In conclusion, following a pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy is a risk factor for fetal acidosis among nulliparous women undergoing vaginal delivery. A high DII diet during pregnancy may modify the intrapartum fetal heart rate pattern via intrauterine inflammation.
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1. Introduction

Recently, the concept of pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory diets has been reported. Diets that contain artificial trans fats, vegetable oil, refined carbohydrates, or processed meat causing
inflammation are referred to as pro-inflammatory diets [1–4]. In contrast, diets that contain fruits such as berries or cherries, fatty fish, green tea, and extra virgin olive oil reduce inflammation and are termed anti-inflammatory diets [5–9]. The dietary inflammatory index (DII) is used to assess the anti- or pro-inflammatory potential of an individual’s diet [10]. The greater the DII score, the more pro-inflammatory the diet. A high DII has been proved to be associated with non-communicable diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and asthma [11]. However, few studies have conducted about the correlation between high DII during pregnancy and intergenerational effect, reporting associated with fetal growth [12,13].

Fetal acidosis at birth is a major cause of short- and long-term childhood morbidity and mortality, including persistent pulmonary hypertension, hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, and neurodevelopmental delay [14–16]. Umbilical cord pH at birth is frequently used to detect fetal acidosis. It results mainly from the interruption of placental blood flow and subsequent severe fetal hypoxia during labor [17,18]. Intrapartum fetal oxygenation, especially during intrauterine inflammation, is essential for neonatal prognosis because a fetus with inflammation is vulnerable to hypoxic stress, which can result in brain damage [19].

In our previous study using the largest Japanese birth cohort study, we reported that a high DII score (pro-inflammatory effect) before pregnancy associated with leukocytosis during the first trimester increases the risk of preterm birth (PTB) and low birth weight (LBW) [20]. This previous study indicated that a high DII diet during pregnancy also increases the risk of intrauterine inflammation and might affect short-term outcomes such as perinatal asphyxia, especially among women whose first births were by vaginal delivery, which has the potential risk of intrapartum hypoxic stress to the fetus.

This study was conducted to examine the hypothesis that a pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy is a risk factor for fetal acidosis at birth. Specifically, we asked two questions in this study: (i) Is fetal acidemia (determined by fetal arterial umbilical cord pH at birth) affected by pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy? (ii) If so, does parity, which could affect fetal oxygenation at birth, modify the risk of fetal acidosis?

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

In our analysis, we used data from the Japan Environmental Children’s Study (JECS), a government-funded birth cohort study that started in January 2011. The JECS investigated the effect of several environmental factors during pregnancy on children’s health [21]. Participants’ (mothers) eligibility requirements for JECS were as follows: (1) They lived in the Study Area at the time of application and are expected to live in Japan in future; (2) the expected delivery date was between 1 August 2011 and mid-2014; and (3) they could participate in this study without difficulty in understanding or writing Japanese.

The JECS protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ministry of the Environment’s Institutional Review Board on Epidemiological Studies and the ethics committees of all participating institutions. The JECS was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, and written informed consent was obtained from all participating women.

2.2. Data Collection

The dataset released in June 2016 (dataset: jecs-ag-20160424) was used for this study. This dataset comprised three keys sets of information: (1) a self-reported questionnaire obtained around the participants’ first visit, including medical background (e.g., maternal age, history of previous pregnancy, maternal height, smoking status, and maternal weight before pregnancy), (2) food frequency questionnaire (FFQ), which is a self-reported questionnaire collected during the second/third trimester, and (3) obstetrics outcome that was retrieved from the medical records of the institutions of the participants. In the present analysis, we used the FFQ data that were completed during the
second/third trimester. This FFQ used in this study has been previously validated using 12-day weighed food records in adults aged 40–74 years in Japan [22]. For this study, we excluded cases with insufficient data, multifetal gestation, and cases with cesarean delivery. We also excluded cases with obstetric complications, such as preterm birth (PTB) < 37 weeks, low birth weight infant (LBW) < 2500 g, hypertension disorders of pregnancy (HDP), and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), as these complications potentially affect fetal oxygenation.

2.3. Calculation of DII

The DII score is a comprehensive indicator of daily inflammatory and anti-inflammatory meal contents developed by Shivappa et al. [10]. The greater the positive DII score, the more the pro-inflammatory effect of the diet. On the other hand, a higher negative value indicated a more anti-inflammatory diet. The method of calculation of DII in JECS data has been previously reported [20]. In brief, 30 food parameters were obtained from each participant’s FFQ, including alcohol; β-carotene; vitamins A, B-12, B-6, C, D, and E; carbohydrate; energy; cholesterol; protein; total fat; fiber; saturated fat; monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs); polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs); fatty acids (n-3 and n-6 FAs); niacin; thiamin; riboflavin; iron; magnesium; zinc; selenium; folic acid; garlic; ginger; and onion [20]. The DII of each participant was calculated as follows: First, dietary data were linked to a worldwide database that provided a robust estimate of the mean and standard deviation (SD) for each parameter included in the DII [10]. The Z score was calculated by subtracting the standard global average from the reported amount and dividing the results by SD. As the Z scores were not normally distributed (right skewing), the Z score for each value changed to a centralized percentile score. Then, the percentile centered on the food parameter was multiplied by each food parameter effect score (obtained by reviewing 1943 research articles to determine the relationship between food parameter and inflammation) to obtain a food parameter-specific DII score. Finally, all of it was summed to create an overall DII score for each participant as follow: DII = I1 × P1 + I2 × P2 + ... + I30 × P30 (where “I” is the effects of inflammation from reviewed research articles on food parameter effect scores, and “P” is food-specific centered percentile score derived from food data. The minimum/maximum DII in pregnant populations one year before pregnancy in previous JECS study were reported to range from −6.16 to +5.80 [20].

2.4. Obstetric Outcomes and Confounding Factors

Fetal arterial blood was obtained at the site of delivery, and UmA-pH was measured immediately after delivery. Fetal acidosis was defined as UmA-pH < 7.20, < 7.10, or < 7.00 according to one previous study showing that an UmA-pH threshold of 7.20 is associated with an increased risk of adverse short-term outcomes [23]. UmA-pH threshold of 7.10 is associated with an increased risk of adverse neurological sequelae [18]. Cerebral palsy is thought to occur more frequently at UmA-pH < 7.00 [24]. PTB was defined as delivery before 37 gestational weeks. LBW was defined as birth weight < 2500 g. Pregnant woman with HDP and/or GDM were diagnosed by obstetricians at each institution. Mode of delivery was categorized as vaginal or cesarean delivery. Parity was categorized as nulliparous or multiparous. The following were considered as confounding factors: maternal age, maternal body mass index (BMI), maternal smoking status, and duration of labor. Based on the previous JECS study where maternal age < 20 and ≥ 30 years was reported to be a risk factor for poor obstetrics outcome [25,26], maternal age was categorized into the following three groups: ≤19, 20–29, and ≥30 years. Maternal pre-pregnancy BMI was calculated. Following this, we categorized participants into three BMI groups as follows: < 18.5, 18.5–25.0, and ≥25.0 kg/m². A self-reported questionnaire during first trimester provided information on their smoking status during the first trimester: “never smoked,” “quit smoking before pregnancy,” “quit smoking during early pregnancy,” and “kept smoking during pregnancy.” Women who “kept smoking during pregnancy” were considered smokers (smoking category); otherwise, they were considered as non-smokers (non-smoking). Duration of labor was defined as length (hour) from uterine contraction every ten minutes to the end of their delivery.
2.5. Statistical Analyses

Participants were categorized according to quintiles (Q1 was the most anti-inflammatory group, whereas Q5 was the most pro-inflammatory group). First, maternal characteristics and obstetrics outcomes were summarized according to DII category. Then, because UmA-pH at delivery is strongly affected by the fetal oxidative condition before delivery and especially by parity [27], the participants were also stratified into two groups on the basis of parity (nulliparous or multiparous), and DII and obstetrics outcomes were compared between the stratified groups. One-way ANOVA, T-tests, Mann–Whitney U tests were conducted to compare continuous variables, and the Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables, as appropriate. After stratification by parity, logistic regression models were used to calculate the adjusted odds ratios (aORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for UmA-pH < 7.20, < 7.10, and < 7.00, respectively, with Q1 as the reference. In Model 1, we calculated OR in univariate analysis. In Model 2, we calculated aOR adjusted for maternal age, BMI before pregnancy, and smoking status. For the vaginal delivery group, duration of labor was added in Model 1, which was then defined as Model 3. In this analysis, maternal age 20–29 years and BMI 18.5–25.0 kg/m² were used as reference parameters. SPSS version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. A p-value < 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

3. Result

The total number of fetal records of infants delivered between 2011 to 2014 included in the JECS was 104,102. Of these, 1994 and 5008 infants were excluded because of multiple gestation and insufficient data for DII, respectively. Then, 18,728 and 11,058 participants were excluded because of insufficient data and obstetrics complication (3529 for PTB, 3989 for LBW, 1705 for HDP, and 1835 for GDM), respectively. Finally, 10,824 cases of cesarean delivery were excluded, and 56,490 participants were deemed eligible for this study and categorized into two groups on the basis of the method of delivery and parity. Finally, the groups were divided into five categories according to quintiles of their DII score (Figure 1). The median DII score ranged from −6.06 to +5.57 (mean: −0.04. standard deviation (±SD): 2.63) (Figure 2).
Figure 1. Study inclusion and exclusion criteria. DII: dietary inflammatory index.
3.1. Maternal Background and Obstetric Outcomes

Table 1 summarizes the maternal background and obstetric outcomes according to quartiles for DII score. There was a significant difference in maternal age (both continuous value and category), BMI category before pregnancy, and smoking during pregnancy. While maternal age < 20 years was the most common in the highest DII score quartile (the most pro-inflammatory group), maternal age ≥ 30 years was the most common in the lowest DII score quartile (the most anti-inflammatory group). Both BMI < 18.5 and ≥ 25.0 were the highest in Q5 group, which is the highest pro-inflammatory group. Regarding the obstetric outcome, significant differences in the occurrence of UmA-pH < 7.20 were seen ($p < 0.01$). These occurrences were the highest among the most pro-inflammatory groups (7.1%).

### Table 1. Maternal medical background and obstetric outcomes.

| Variable | Q1 (Most Anti-Inflammatory Group) | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 (Most Pro-Inflammatory Group) | p-Value |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----|----|----|---------------------------------|---------|
| Maternal background | | | | | | |
| DII, mean (±SD) | $-3.67$ (0.79) | $-1.64$ (0.49) | $-0.02$ (0.46) | $1.62$ (0.50) | $3.72$ (0.81) | $<0.01$ a |
| Maternal age, mean year (±SD) | $31.9$ (4.7) | $31.4$ (4.7) | $31.0$ (4.8) | $30.5$ (4.9) | $29.3$ (5.2) | $<0.01$ a |
| Maternal age category, % | | | | | | |
| <19 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.9 | |
| 20–29 | 30.5 | 34.2 | 37.9 | 42.0 | 50.5 | $<0.01$ b |
| ≥30 | 69.1 | 65.3 | 61.4 | 57.1 | 47.6 | |
| BMI, % | | | | | | |
| <18.5 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 16.9 | |
| 18.5–24.9 | 76.0 | 75.9 | 74.8 | 74.4 | 74.4 | 72.8 | $<0.01$ b |
| ≥25.0 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 10.3 | |
| Primipara, % | | | | | | |
| | 30.9 | 35.9 | 39.2 | 42.3 | 49.0 | $<0.01$ b |
| Smoking, % | | | | | | |
| | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 6.3 | $<0.01$ b |
| UmA-pH, mean (±SD) | $7.31$ (0.14) | $7.32$ (0.08) | $7.31$ (0.10) | $7.31$ (0.10) | $7.31$ (0.15) | $<0.01$ a |
| UmA-pH < 7.20, % | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 7.1 | $<0.01$ b |
| UmA-pH < 7.10, % | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.24 b |
| UmA-pH < 7.00, % | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.39 b |

DII: dietary inflammatory index, SD: standard deviation, BMI: body mass index, UmA: Umbilical Artery. a p-value, one-way analysis of variance. b p-value, chi-square test.

3.2. Comparison of DII and Obstetrics Characteristics among Subgroups

Table 2 shows the results of DII and the comparison of outcomes between nulliparous and multiparous women. A higher mean DII and the highest number in the Q5 group were observed among nulliparous women than among multiparous women. The occurrence of UmA-pH < 7.20
and < 7.10 was significantly higher in nulliparous women (both \( p < 0.01 \)). The duration of labor was significantly longer in nulliparous women (9 h vs 4 h, \( p < 0.01 \)).

### Table 2. Comparison of DII and obstetrics characteristics among subgroups.

| Variable                                      | Nulliparous | Multiparous | \( p \)-Value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
|                                              | \( n = 22,289 \) | \( n = 34,210 \) |               |
| Maternal age, mean year (\( \pm \)SD)         | 29.3 (5.1)  | 31.8 (4.6)  | < 0.01 a      |
| DII, mean (\( \pm \)SD)                      | 0.41 (2.61) | -0.27 (2.61)| < 0.01 a      |
| DII, %                                        |             |             |               |
| Q1 (Most anti-inflammatory)                   | 15.8        | 22.8        |               |
| Q2                                            | 18.0        | 21.2        |               |
| Q3                                            | 19.9        | 20.1        | < 0.01 b      |
| Q4                                            | 21.5        | 19.2        |               |
| Q5 (Most pro-inflammatory)                    | 24.9        | 16.8        | < 0.01 b      |
| UmA-pH, mean (\( \pm \)SD)                   | 7.30 (0.11) | 7.32 (0.12)| < 0.01 a      |
| UmA-pH < 7.20, %                              | 8.7         | 4.9         | < 0.01 b      |
| UmA-pH < 7.10, %                              | 1.4         | 0.8         | < 0.01 b      |
| UmA-pH < 7.00, %                              | 0.2         | 0.2         | 0.18 b        |
| Duration of labor, median hours (IQR)         | 9 (6–15)    | 4 (3–7)     | < 0.01 c      |

DII: dietary inflammatory index, SD: standard deviation, PTB: Preterm birth, LBW: Low birth weight, UmA: Umbilical Artery, IQR: Interquartile range; a \( p \)-value, t-test. b \( p \)-value, chi-square test., c \( p \)-value, Mann–Whitney U test.

#### 3.3. Relationship between Dietary Inflammatory Index and Fetal Acidosis

Table 3 summarizes the association between DII categories and the risk of pH < 7.20. Among nulliparous women, the occurrence of pH < 7.20 was 9.4% in Q5, which was the highest among the five groups. However, both Model 2 and Model 3 show that Q5 had no significant risk for pH < 7.20 (aOR: 1.12, 95% CI: 0.97–1.31 and aOR: 1.12, 95% CI: 0.96–1.30, respectively).

Table 4 summarizes the association between DII categories and the risk of pH < 7.10. For nulliparous women, the risk of pH < 7.10 was significantly increased in the Q5 group (aOR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.12–2.39) in Model 2 after adjusting for confounding factors. In Model 3, the risk of pH < 7.10 was still increased after adjusting for duration of labor (aOR: 1.64, 95% CI: 1.11–2.42). For multiparous women, no significant association was observed between DII category and the occurrence of pH < 7.10 in both Model 2 and Model 3.

Table 5 summarizes the association between DII category and risk of pH < 7.00. There was no association between DII category and risk of pH < 7.00 for both nulliparous and multiparous women.

### Table 3. Relationship between dietary inflammatory index and umbilical artery pH < 7.20.

| Parity     | Q1 (Most Anti-Inflammatory Group) | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 (Most Pro-Inflammatory Group) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|----|----|----|----------------------------------|
| Nulliparous|                                   |    |    |    |                                  |
| Number     | 3495                              | 4051| 4463| 4788| 5492                             |
| Case, %    | 8.5                               | 8.9 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 9.4                              |
| Model 1 OR (95% CI) | Ref (0.90–1.23) | 1.03 | 0.92 | 1.12 (0.96–1.30) |
| Model 2 aOR (95% CI) | Ref (0.88–1.21) | 1.03 | 0.93 | 1.12 (0.97–1.31) |
| Model 3 aOR (95% CI) | Ref (0.88–1.21) | 1.03 | 0.94 | 1.12 (0.96–1.30) |
### Table 3. Cont.

| Parity         | Q1 (Most Anti-Inflammatory Group) | Q2   | Q3   | Q4   | Q5 (Most Pro-Inflammatory Group) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|----------------------------------|
| **Multiparous**|                                   |      |      |      |                                  |
| Number         | 7813                              | 7240 | 6916 | 6527 | 5705                             |
| Case, %        | 5.1                               | 4.9  | 5.1  | 4.5  | 4.8                              |
| Model 1 OR     | Ref                               | 0.96 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.94 (0.80–1.10)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.83–1.11)                        | (0.88–1.18) | (0.75–1.02) |                               |
| Model 2 aOR    | Ref                               | 0.96 | 1.03 | 0.89 | 0.97 (0.83–1.14)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.83–1.11)                        | (0.89–1.19) | (0.76–1.04) |                               |
| Model 3 aOR    | Ref                               | 0.96 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.97 (0.83–1.14)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.83–1.11)                        | (0.88–1.19) | (0.79–1.03) |                               |

OR: odds ratio, aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, Ref: reference. In Model 2, aOR was calculated by logistic regression analysis, using maternal age, body mass index before pregnancy, and maternal smoking status. In Model 3, aOR was calculated by Model 2 and duration of labor.

### Table 4. Relationship between dietary inflammatory index and umbilical artery pH < 7.10.

| Parity         | Q1 (Most Anti-Inflammatory Group) | Q2   | Q3   | Q4   | Q5 (Most Pro-Inflammatory Group) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|----------------------------------|
| **Nulliparous**|                                   |      |      |      |                                  |
| Number         | 3495                              | 4051 | 4463 | 4788 | 5492                             |
| Case, %        | 1.1                               | 1.5  | 1.3  | 1.4  | 1.8                              |
| Model 1 OR     | Ref                               | 1.33 | 1.19 | 1.26 | 1.61 (1.11–2.34)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.89–2.00)                        | (0.79–1.78) | (0.85–1.87) |                               |
| Model 2 aOR    | Ref                               | 1.31 | 1.12 | 1.28 | 1.64 (1.12–2.39)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.87–1.97)                        | (0.78–1.77) | (0.86–1.90) |                               |
| Model 3 aOR    | Ref                               | 1.33 | 1.22 | 1.32 | 1.64 (1.11–2.42)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.88–2.02)                        | (0.80–1.84) | (0.88–1.98) |                               |
| **Multiparous**|                                   |      |      |      |                                  |
| Number         | 7813                              | 7240 | 6916 | 6527 | 5705                             |
| Case, %        | 0.9                               | 0.7  | 0.7  | 0.9  | 0.7                              |
| Model 1 OR     | Ref                               | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.97 | 0.78 (0.53–1.15)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.58–1.19)                        | (0.57–1.18) | (0.69–1.39) |                               |
| Model 2 aOR    | Ref                               | 0.84 | 0.84 | 1.00 | 0.84 (0.57–1.24)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.59–1.20)                        | (0.59–1.21) | (0.70–1.42) |                               |
| Model 3 aOR    | Ref                               | 0.82 | 0.79 | 0.95 | 0.81 (0.55–1.21)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.57–1.17)                        | (0.55–1.14) | (0.67–1.36) |                               |

OR: odds ratio, aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, Ref: reference. In Model 2, aOR was calculated by logistic regression analysis, using maternal age, BMI before pregnancy, and maternal smoking status. In Model 3, aOR was calculated by Model 2 and duration of labor.

### Table 5. Relationship between dietary inflammatory index and umbilical artery pH < 7.00.

| Parity         | Q1 (Most Anti-Inflammatory Group) | Q2   | Q3   | Q4   | Q5 (Most Pro-Inflammatory Group) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|----------------------------------|
| **Nulliparous**|                                   |      |      |      |                                  |
| Number         | 3495                              | 4051 | 4463 | 4788 | 5492                             |
| Case, %        | 0.1                               | 0.3  | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.3                              |
| Model 1 OR     | Ref                               | 2.59 | 0.98 | 1.64 | 2.55 (0.85–7.63)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.84–8.05)                        | (0.26–3.65) | (0.51–5.34) |                               |
| Model 2 aOR    | Ref                               | 2.67 | 1.05 | 1.79 | 2.26 (0.87–8.21)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.86–8.30)                        | (0.28–3.92) | (0.55–5.83) |                               |
| Model 3 aOR    | Ref                               | 2.43 | 1.05 | 1.78 | 2.47 (0.80–7.64)                  |
| (95% CI)       | (0.77–7.63)                        | (0.28–3.90) | (0.55–5.79) |                               |
Table 5. Cont.

| Parity   | Q1 (Most Anti-Inflammatory Group) | Q2       | Q3       | Q4     | Q5 (Most Pro-Inflammatory Group) |
|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|----------------------------------|
| Multiparous | Number 7813 | 7240     | 6916     | 6527   | 5705                             |
|          | Case, % 0.2 | 0.1      | 0.2      | 0.1    | 0.2                              |
|          | Model 1 OR (95% CI) Ref (0.32–1.75) | 1.04     | 0.74     | 1.16   (0.52–2.59)               |
|          | Model 2 aOR (95% CI) Ref (0.32–1.78) | 1.06     | 0.76     | 1.22   (0.54–2.74)               |
|          | Model 3 aOR (95% CI) Ref (0.28–1.62) | 0.97     | 0.76     | 1.22   (0.55–2.75)               |

OR: odds ratio, aOR: adjusted odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, Ref: reference. In Model 2, aOR was calculated by logistic regression analysis, using maternal age, body mass index before pregnancy, and maternal smoking status. In Model 3, aOR was calculated by Model 2 and duration of labor.

4. Discussion

Several studies have examined the association between DII and non-communicable diseases [11], and a pro-inflammatory diet is thought to be positively related to systematic inflammation. Using a birth cohort study, Sen et al. [12] reported that a pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy was associated with maternal systematic inflammation and fetal growth restriction. Yang et al. [13] reported that a pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy increases high-sensitive C-reactive protein levels and neonatal birth weight. Ishibashi et al. [20] reported that a pre-pregnancy pro-inflammatory diet was associated with maternal leukocytosis in the first trimester, increased the risk of PTB < 34 weeks (aOR: 1.29, 95% CI 1.07–1.55), and < 2500 g LBW (aOR: 1.08, 95% CI 1.01–1.16), using the same birth cohort data set as the present study. This is the first report to examine the association between maternal diet during pregnancy and fetal acidosis, which was defined by a low cord pH at birth, using the largest birth cohort study in Japan. Our study suggested that vaginal delivery at first birth increased the risk of fetal pH < 7.10 among women who followed a high pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy. Our results also suggested that the risk of fetal acidosis was not modified by the duration of labor among women at first birth.

In clinical practice, fetal oxygenation during labor is assessed on the basis of the fetal heart rate (FHR) pattern, using cardiotocography (CTG), which was introduced in the late 1960s, and it remains the gold standard for the management for intrapartum fetal assessment [28]. A severely low oxygenated status in the last half hour before delivery is associated with an increased risk of neonatal encephalopathy, cerebral palsy, and neonatal acidosis; thus, prompt delivery based on the severity of the abnormal FHR pattern and the progress of labor is recommended [28]. In Japan, as in other countries, a significant abnormal FHR pattern is an indication for CS, and the Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Japan Association of Obstetricians and Gynecologists recommend that service providers pay high attention to the intrapartum FHR pattern for early medical intervention [29] and allow vaginal delivery only for the women who are considered to have a benign fetal condition on the basis of FHR pattern. Therefore, we excluded cases of cesarean delivery because these cases not only included selective cesarean delivery due to conditions such as malpresentation, previous history of cesarean delivery, or uterine surgery but also included emergent cesarean sections for potential fetal asphyxia, as in PTB, LBW, HDP, intrapartum significant abnormal FHR pattern, or labor dystocia. Consequently, this study is considered to have included cases with good intrapartum fetal oxygenation.

The study also showed that the risk of acidosis for the high DII group varied based on parity. The possible reason why a high DII increased the risk of fetal acidosis at birth only among nulliparous women is their duration of labor. Nulliparous women tend to have difficulty in the progress of labor. As shown in Table 2, the median duration of labor in nulliparous women was twice longer than that in multiparous women. Prolonged duration of labor is associated with an increased risk of fetal acidosis [30]. However, our study showed that a high DII diet during pregnancy still increased the risk
of fetal acidosis with pH < 7.10 among nulliparous women after accounting for the duration of labor in Model 3 of the multivariate analysis, indicating that this risk does not only depend on the duration of labor.

Another possible reason, although very speculative, why high DII is associated with an increased risk for fetal acidosis is that maternal systemic inflammation, caused by a high DII diet, can progress to local organ inflammation, such as chorioamnionitis, so called intrauterine inflammation. Intrauterine inflammation with an intrapartum hypoxic condition has a synergic effect on the fetal deteriorated condition [31]. Nevertheless, an intrapartum FHR pattern in cases with intrauterine inflammation is not well understood. In humans, several observational studies have reported that intrauterine inflammation could cause an abnormal FHR pattern [32–35]. Salafia et al. [36] reported that the sensitization of the smooth muscle of the umbilical vessel by the surrounding inflammatory cytokines may cause uterine contractions or other minor trauma, as well as a potentially more severe abnormal FHR pattern. However, human observational studies are limited to analyses of FHR patterns that are mostly benign, as it is not ethical to observe FHR patterns in those who are potentially at an increased risk of fetal acidosis without intervention. However, in an experiment involving pregnant sheep, some underlying conditions, such as a fetal acute hypoxic state due to magnesium sulfate use, were found to modify the FHR pattern. This could result in underestimation of the actual fetal condition, causing a delay in the management of the abnormal FHR pattern during labor [37]. Kyozuka et al. [38] reported that development of severe acidosis in a fetus because of lipopolysaccharide induced intrauterine inflammation with an impaired FHR pattern indicates low oxygenation. Therefore, a scenario in which a high DII during pregnancy can increase the risk of fetal acidosis at birth is when the intrapartum FHR pattern is modified because of severe intrauterine inflammation with resultant delay in obstetric intervention on the basis of the FHR pattern.

This is the first-large-scale study conducted in Japan by the Japanese government with meticulous attention to data collection. Therefore, this study is considered representative of the general pregnant population in Japan [39]. Additionally, we stratified participants according to the mode of delivery and parity, which affected the oxidative conditions at birth, with a sufficiently large number of participants in each group. Nevertheless, this study also has potential limitations that should be considered. First, although we had previously reported that a high DII diet before pregnancy is associated with leukocytosis in the first trimester, we did not measure plasma inflammatory markers, such as white blood cell, cytokines, such as CRP, IL-6, and TNFα, at the time of delivery in the present study. We were also not aware of the histological findings of the inflamed placenta or umbilical cord in each case. Second, we had no records on FHR monitoring in cases of acidosis, which has broad acceptance as a valuable aid in optimizing fetal outcomes. Therefore, we are not aware of the FHR patterns of cases of severe acidosis due to a high DII among nulliparous women. Third, although we accounted for some confounding factors and excluded cases with obstetric complications, unknown factor that affect the fetal pH condition might exist.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we found that a pro-inflammatory diet during pregnancy was a risk factor for fetal acidosis at birth among nulliparous women with vaginal delivery. Furthermore, these risks were not modified by the duration of labor. Conventionally, obstetric clinical practice has focused on perinatal asphyxia solely by fetal oxygenation just before delivery. Our study indicated that dietary habits may affect intrapartum fetal oxygenation via modification of the intrapartum FHR pattern. The JECS is expected to continue to examine the impact of several environmental factors on the long-term children’s outcomes. Thus, we hope to further investigate the influence of a high DII during pregnancy on short- and long-term neurodevelopment outcomes.

Author Contributions: All authors approved the final manuscript. H.K. initiated the concept and designed the study to which H.K., T.M., T.F., A.K., A.Y., K.F., and K.H. gave advice. M.K., A.S., and Y.O., collected the data. H.K. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. H.N., S.Y. (Shun Yasuda), M.H., K.F., S.Y. (Seiji Yasumura),
M.K., A.S., Y.O., K.H., and the JECS group reviewed the manuscript and gave critical advice. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** The findings and conclusions of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official views of the Ministry of the Environment. The authors are grateful to all participants in the study. Members of the JECS Group as of 2019: Michihiro Kamijima (principal investigator, Nagoya City University, Nagoya, Japan), Shin Yamazaki (National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan), Yukihiro Ohya (National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan), Koichi Hashimoto (Fukushima Medical University, Fukushima, Japan), Chisato Mori (Kochi University, Chiba, Japan), Shuichi Ito (Yokohama City University, Yokohama, Japan), Zentaro Yamagata (University of Yamanashi, Chuo, Japan), Hidekuni Inadera (University of Toyama, Toyama, Japan), Takeo Nakayama (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan), Hiroyasu Iso (Osaka University, Suita, Japan), Masayuki Shima (Hyogo College of Medicine, Nishinomiya, Japan), Youichi Kurozawa (University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kitakyushu, Japan), and Takahiko Katoh (Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Bendsen, N.T.; Stender, S.; Szecsi, P.B.; Pedersen, S.B.; Basu, S.; Hellgren, L.I.; Newman, J.W.; Larsen, T.M.; Haugaard, S.B.; Astrup, A. Effect of industrially produced trans fat on markers of systemic inflammation: Evidence from a randomized trial in women. *J. Lipid Res.* 2011, 52, 1821–1828. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Patterson, E.; Wall, R.; Fitzgerald, G.F.; Ross, R.P.; Stanton, C. Health implications of high dietary omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *J. Nutr. Metab.* 2012, 2012. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Lopeá-Alarcón, M.; Perichart-Peira, O.; Flores-Huerta, S.; Inda-Icaza, P.; Rodriguez-Cruz, M.; Armenta-Álvarez, A.; Bram-Falcón, M.T.; Mayorga-Ochoa, M. Excessive refined carbohydrates and scarce micronutrients intakes increase inflammatory mediators and insulin resistance in prepubertal and pubertal obese children independently of obesity. *Mediat. Inflamm.* 2014, 2014. [CrossRef]

4. Basta, G.; Schmidt, A.M.; De Caterina, R. Advanced glycation end products and vascular inflammation: Implications for accelerated atherosclerosis in diabetes. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 2004, 63, 582–592. [CrossRef]

5. Skrovankova, S.; Sumczynski, D.; Mlcek, J.; Jurikova, T.; Sochor, J. Bioactive compounds and antioxidant activity in different types of berries. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, 16, 24673–24706. [CrossRef]

6. Kelley, D.S.; Adkins, Y.; Reddy, A.; Woodhouse, L.R.; Mackey, B.E.; Erickson, K.L. Sweet Bing cherries lower population-based dietary inflammatory index. *Public Health Nutr.* 2016, 20, 2546–2557. [CrossRef]

7. Ellulu, M.S.; Khaza’ai, H.; Patimah, I.; Rahmat, A.; Abed, Y. Effect of long chain omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on inflammation and metabolic markers in hypertensive and/or diabetic obese adults: A randomized controlled trial. *Food Nutr. Res.* 2016, 60. [CrossRef]

8. Tipoe, G.I.; Leung, T.M.; Hung, M.W.; Fung, M.L. Green tea polyphenols as an anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory agent for cardiovascular protection. *Cardiovasc. Hematol. Disord. Drug Targets* 2007, 7, 135–144. [CrossRef]

9. Konstantinidou, V.; Covas, M.I.; Muñoz-Aguayo, D.; Khymenets, O.; de la Torre, R.; Saez, G.; Tormos, M.C.; Toledo, E.; Martí, A.; Ruiz-Gutiérrez, V.; et al. In vivo nutrigenomic effects of virgin olive oil polyphenols within the frame of the Mediterranean diet: A randomized controlled trial. *FASEB J.* 2010, 24, 2546–2557. [CrossRef]

10. Shivappa, N.; Steck, S.E.; Hurley, T.G.; Hussey, J.R.; Hébert, J.R. Designing and developing a literature-derived, population-based dietary inflammatory index. *Public Health Nutr.* 2014, 17, 1689–1696. [CrossRef]

11. Phillips, C.M.; Chen, L.W.; Heude, B.; Bernard, J.Y.; Harvey, N.C.; Duijts, L.; Mensink-Bout, S.M.; Polanska, K.; Mancano, G.; Suderman, M.; et al. Dietary inflammatory index and non-communicable disease risk: A narrative review. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 1873. [CrossRef]

12. Sen, S.; Rifas-Shiman, S.L.; Shivappa, N.; Wirth, M.D.; Hébert, J.R.; Gold, D.R.; Gillman, M.W.; Oken, E. Dietary inflammatory potential during pregnancy is associated with lower fetal growth and breastfeeding failure: Results from project viva. *J. Nutr.* 2016, 146, 728–736. [CrossRef]
13. Yang, Y.; Kan, H.; Yu, X.; Yang, Y.; Li, L.; Zhao, M. Relationship between dietary inflammatory index, hs-CRP level in the second trimester and neonatal birth weight: A cohort study. *J. Clin. Biochem. Nutr.* 2020, 66, 163–167. [CrossRef]

14. Malin, G.L.; Morris, R.K.; Khan, K.S. Strength of association between umbilical cord pH and perinatal and long-term outcomes: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BJOG* 2010, 117, 1417–1424. [CrossRef]

15. Wu, Y.W.; Colford, J.M., Jr. Chorioamnionitis as a risk factor for cerebral palsy: A meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2000, 284, 1417–1424. [CrossRef]

16. Jobe, A.H. Antenatal factors and the development of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Semin. Neonatol.* 2003, 8, 9–17. [CrossRef]

17. Rainaldi, M.A.; Perlman, J.M. Pathophysiology of birth asphyxia. *Clin. Perinatol.* 2016, 43, 409–422. [CrossRef]

18. Yeh, P.; Emery, K.; Impey, L. The relationship between umbilical cord arterial pH and serious adverse neonatal outcome: Analysis of 51,519 consecutive validated samples. *BJOG* 2012, 119, 824–831. [CrossRef]

19. Nelson, K.B.; Grether, J.K. Potentially asphyxiating conditions and spastic cerebral palsy in infants of normal birth weight. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 1998, 179, 507–513. [CrossRef]

20. Ishibashi, M.; Kyozuka, H.; Yamaguchi, A.; Fujimori, K.; Hosoya, M.; Yasumura, S.; Masahito, K.; Sato, A.; Ogata, Y.; Hashimoto, K.; et al. Effect of pro-inflammatory diet before pregnancy on gestational age and birth weight: The Japan environment and children’s study. *Matern. Child. Nutr.* 2019, 16, e12899. [PubMed]

21. Kawamoto, T.; Nitta, H.; Murata, K.; Toda, E.; Tsukamoto, N.; Hasegawa, M.; Hasegawa, M.; Yamagata, Z.; Kayama, F.; Kishi, R.; et al. Rationale and study design of the Japan environment and children’s study (JECS). *BMC Public Health* 2014, 14, 25. [CrossRef]

22. Yokoyama, Y.; Takachi, R.; Ishihara, J.; Ishii, Y.; Sasazuki, S.; Sawada, N.; Shinozawa, Y.; Tanaka, J.; Kato, E.; Kitamura, K.; et al. Validity of short and long self-administered food frequency questionnaires in ranking dietary intake in middle-aged and elderly Japanese in the Japan public health center-based prospective study for the next generation (JPHC-NEXT) protocol area. *J. Epidemiol.* 2016, 26, 420–432. [CrossRef]

23. Victory, R.; Penava, D.; Da Silva, O.; Natale, R.; Richardson, B. Umbilical cord pH and base excess values in relation to adverse outcome events for infants delivering at term. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2004, 191, 2021–2028. [CrossRef]

24. MacLennan, A. A template for defining a causal relation between acute intrapartum events and cerebral palsy: International consensus statement. *BJOG* 1999, 319, 1054–1059. [CrossRef]

25. Kyozuka, H.; Fujimori, K.; Hosoya, M.; Yasumura, S.; Yokoyama, T.; Sato, A.; Hashimoto, K. The Japan environment and children’s study (JECS) in Fukushima prefecture: Pregnancy outcome after the great East Japan earthquake. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* 2018, 246, 27–33. [CrossRef]

26. Kyozuka, H.; Fujimori, K.; Hosoya, M.; Yasumura, S.; Yokoyama, T.; Sato, A.; Hashimoto, K. The effect of maternal age at the first childbirth on gestational age and birth weight: The Japan environment and children’s study (JECS). *J. Epidemiol.* 2019, 29, 187–191. [CrossRef]

27. Barton, D.P.; Turner, M.J.; Boylan, P.C.; MacDonald, D.; Stronge, J.M. Fetal acidosis in labour: A prospective cohort study on the effect of parity. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 1991, 39, 93–98. [CrossRef]

28. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Practice bulletin no. 116: Management of intrapartum fetal heart rate tracings. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 2010, 116, 1232–1240. [CrossRef]

29. Minakami, H.; Maeda, T.; Fujii, T.; Hamada, H.; Itsukushima, Y.; Itakura, A.; Itoh, H.; Ishibata, M.; Kanagawa, T.; Kanai, M.; et al. Guidelines for obstetrical practice in Japan: Japan Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology (JSOG) and Japan Association of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (JAOG) 2014 edition. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res.* 2014, 40, 1469–1499. [CrossRef]

30. Bleich, A.T.; Alexander, J.M.; McIntire, D.D.; Levine, K.J. An analysis of second-stage labor beyond 3 hours in nulliparous women. *Am. J. Perinatol.* 2012, 29, 717–722. [CrossRef]

31. Peebles, D.M.; Wyatt, J.S. Synergy between antenatal exposure to infection and intrapartum events in causation of perinatal brain injury at term. *BJOG* 2002, 109, 737–739. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Salafia, C.M.; Ghidini, A.; Sherer, D.M.; Pezzullo, J.C. Abnormalities of the fetal heart rate in preterm deliveries are associated with acute intra-amniotic infection. *J. Soc. Gynecol. Investig.* 1998, 5, 188–191. [CrossRef]

33. Sameshima, H.; Ikenoue, T.; Ikeda, T.; Kamitomo, M.; Ibara, S. Association of nonreassuring fetal heart rate patterns and subsequent cerebral palsy in pregnancies with intrauterine bacterial infection. *Am. J. Perinatol.* 2005, 22, 181–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Buhimschi, C.S.; Abdel-Razeq, S.; Cackovic, M.; Pettker, C.M.; Dulay, A.T.; Bahtiyar, M.O.; Zambrano, E.; Martin, R.; Norwitz, E.R.; Bhandari, V.; et al. Fetal heart rate monitoring patterns in women with amniotic fluid proteomic profiles indicative of inflammation. *Am. J. Perinatol.* 2008, 25, 359–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Matsuda, Y.; Ogawa, M.; Nakai, A.; Tagawa, M.; Ohwada, M.; Ikenoue, T. Severe fetal acidemia in cases of clinical chorioamnionitis in which the infant later developed cerebral palsy. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2015, 15, 124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Salafia, C.M.; Mangam, H.E.; Weigl, C.A.; Foye, G.J.; Silberman, L. Abnormal fetal heart rate patterns and placental inflammation. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 1989, 160, 140–147. [CrossRef]

37. Yasuda, S.; Kyozuka, H.; Nomura, Y.; Fujimori, K. Effect of magnesium sulfate on baroreflex during acute hypoxemia in chronically instrumented fetal sheep [published online ahead of print, 2020 May 27]. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol. Res.* 2020, 46, 1035–1043. [CrossRef]

38. Kyozuka, H.; Yasuda, S.; Hiraiwa, T.; Nomura, Y.; Fujimori, K. The change of fetal heart rate short-term variability during the course of histological chorioamnionitis in fetal sheep. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 2018, 228, 32–37. [CrossRef]

39. Yamaguchi, A.; Kyozuka, H.; Fujimori, K.; Hosoya, M.; Yasumura, S.; Yokoyama, T.; Sato, A.; Hashimoto, K.; The Japan Environment and Children’s Study Group. Risk of preterm birth, low birthweight and small-for-gestational-age infants in pregnancies with adenomyosis: A cohort study of the Japan environment and children’s study. *Acta. Obstet. Gynecol. Scand.* 2019, 98, 359–364. [CrossRef]

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).