Primary monophasic synovial sarcoma of the cervical esophagus confirmed by detection of the SS18-SSX2 fusion transcripts: case report and literature review
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Abstract

Background: Synovial sarcoma (SS) of the esophagus is extremely rare. Because of the microscopic features of SS, the monophasic type can easily be misdiagnosed as other spindle cell tumors. Here, we present the first case of a primary SS of the esophagus in the presence of SS18-SSX2 fusion transcripts.

Case presentation: A 47-year-old Japanese woman was initially diagnosed with thyroid papillary carcinoma in the left lobe and leiomyoma of the cervical esophagus and subsequently underwent left thyroid lobectomy and enucleation of the esophageal tumor. Four years after the first surgery, the esophageal tumor recurred. Endoscopic biopsy of the tumor revealed atypical cell proliferation with spindle cell features and mitoses. Immunohistochemistry showed focal positivity for bcl-2 and HHF35. Furthermore, the presence of SS18-SSX2 fusion transcripts was confirmed by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction analysis, using a paraffin-embedded tumor specimen. Therefore, the tumor was diagnosed as monophasic SS of the cervical esophagus. We re-evaluated the surgical specimen enucleated 3 years previously, which was initially diagnosed as leiomyoma, and the diagnosis of SS was confirmed. The patient underwent cervical esophagectomy with isolated jejunal interposition reconstruction. Three years after the second surgery, SS recurred in the distal anastomotic site between the jejunum and the esophagus, and the patient underwent thoracoscopic esophagectomy with gastric conduit reconstruction. The pathological grade of the lesion worsened with every recurrence.

Conclusions: Monophasic SS can be difficult to discriminate from other spindle cell tumors based on microscopy alone, and molecular analysis could be useful for confirming the precise diagnosis of monophasic SS.
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Background

Synovial sarcoma (SS) is a soft tissue malignancy typically occurring in close proximity to the large joints of the extremities in young adults and with a male preponderance [1]. No cellular origin has yet been proven; however, current research suggests that it might develop from primitive mesenchymal cells or myoblasts [2, 3]. SS does not arise from the synovium although named so because of its microscopic resemblance to normal synovium. SS of the gastrointestinal tract, including the esophagus, is extremely rare. The monophasic fibrous type can easily be misdiagnosed as other spindle cell tumors because of the microscopic features of SS. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) is routinely used in the differential diagnosis; however, there is no specific marker for SS. The majority of patients with SS carry the pathognomonic t(X;18) (p11.2;q11.2) translocation, resulting in fusion of the SS18 (formerly SYT) gene on chromosome 18 with an SSX gene on chromosome X [4]. Here, we report the first case of monophasic SS of the esophagus in the presence of SS18-SSX2 fusion transcripts detected by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis using a paraffin-embedded tumor specimen, which was originally misdiagnosed as leiomyoma.

Case presentation

A 47-year-old Japanese woman was referred to our hospital because of thyroid papillary carcinoma in the left lobe and esophageal submucosal tumor (SMT). All her laboratory data were within the normal ranges. Esophagoscopy showed a 50-mm-sized tumor, 18 cm from the incisor, covered with intact mucosa and located at the left wall of the cervical esophagus (Fig. 1a). A contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) revealed an oval-shaped mass with limited calcification, measuring 52 × 34 × 21 mm in the cervical esophagus (Fig. 1b, c), whereas neither lymph node swelling nor distant metastasis was observed. 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron-emission tomography/computed tomography (FDG-PET/CT) did not reveal any FDG uptake in the esophageal lesion (Fig. 1d). The histological diagnosis based on percutaneous ultrasound-guided core needle biopsy analysis was spindle cell tumor. IHC revealed negative staining for c-kit, CD34, desmin, HHF35, SMA, and S-100, and the MIB-1 index was < 1%. The patient was initially diagnosed with thyroid papillary carcinoma and leiomyoma of the cervical esophagus and underwent left thyroid lobectomy and enucleation of the esophageal tumor. Macroscopically, the tumor was oval and smooth (Fig. 1e), and its incised surface was yellow–white, homogeneous, and elastic hard (Fig. 1f). Histological examination of the surgical specimen of the esophagus reestablished the diagnosis of leiomyoma.

Four years after the first surgery, the cervical esophageal tumor recurred. Esophagoscopy showed a 70-mm-sized protruding tumor located at the left wall of the cervical esophagus 18 cm from the incisor (Fig. 2a). A
Fig. 2 Images obtained before the second surgery. Esophagoscopy showed a 70-mm-sized protruding tumor (a). Contrast-enhanced CT showed a well-circumscribed mass in the cervical esophagus (b, c). FDG-PET/CT showed FDG uptake in the esophageal tumor (d). Macroscopic findings of the secondary tumor. It was pedunculated and multilobulated and covered by the thinning esophageal mucosa (e), while its incised surface was whitish tan and had areas of focal hemorrhage (f).

Fig. 3 Images obtained before the third operation. Esophagoscopy showed a 20-mm-sized extrinsic mass located at the distal anastomotic site between the jejunum and the esophagus (arrowheads) (a). Contrast-enhanced CT showed a globular mass at the distal anastomotic site between the jejunum and the esophagus (arrow) (b, c). FDG-PET/CT revealed some FDG uptake in the tumor (arrow) (d).
contrast-enhanced CT revealed a well-circumscribed mass in the cervical esophagus (Fig. 2b, c). FDG-PET/CT showed FDG uptake in the tumor (Fig. 2d). Microscopy of the endoscopic biopsy revealed atypical cell proliferation in the lesion with spindle cell features and the presence of a few mitoses. IHC showed focal positivity for bcl-2 and HHF35 and negativity for CD34, c-kit, desmin, SMA, S-100, and DOG-1. We suspected that the tumor, which was previously diagnosed as leiomyoma, was in fact SS. Therefore, we sought to confirm the presence of the SS18-SSX fusion transcript by RT-PCR using primers targeting the SS18, SSX1, SSX2, and SSX4 genes, and SS18-SSX2 fusion transcripts were detected. Based on these analyses, the tumor was re-diagnosed as monophasic SS of the cervical esophagus. We re-evaluated the surgical specimen enucleated 3 years previously, which was initially diagnosed as leiomyoma, and corrected the diagnosis to SS. The patient underwent cervical esophagectomy with isolated jejunal interposition reconstruction. The tumor did not invade beyond the wall from the surface of the adventitia of the esophagus, and no lymph node swelling was observed macroscopically during the operation. Macroscopically, the secondary tumor was pedunculated and multilobulated and covered by the thinning esophageal mucosa (Fig. 2e), while the incised surface was whitish tan and had areas of focal hemorrhage (Fig. 2f). Complete resection was achieved based on the pathological examination. Although adjuvant therapy was considered, it was not provided after consultation with the patient.

Three years after the second surgery, SS recurred at the distal site of the anastomosis between the jejunum and the esophagus. Esophagoscopy showed a 20-mm-sized extrinsic mass (Fig. 3a). A contrast-enhanced CT revealed a globular mass (Fig. 3b, c), and FDG-PET/CT showed some FDG uptake in the tumor (Fig. 3d). The patient underwent thoracoscopic esophagectomy with gastric conduit reconstruction. Regarding malignancy, the pathological indicators of SS gradually became worse at every surgery based on the number of round cells, cellularity, the size of the nuclei, the degree of atypism, and the number of mitoses (Fig. 4a–c). Doxorubicin and ifosfamide constituted the first adjuvant therapy, which was then started and planned to be given for five courses. Three months after the surgery, she was free from tumor recurrence.

Discussion
Primary esophageal SS is extremely rare, and only 14 cases of primary esophageal SS, including our case, have been reported to date. A summary of these cases is shown in Table 1 [5–17]. The age and sex distribution vary among the cases. The most common tumor location is the cervical to upper third of the thoracic esophagus. The gross type and histological type of all cases except for ours were the polypoid type and the biphasic type, respectively. The biphasic type consists of epithelial cells with spindle cells in various proportions, whereas the monophasic type consists of spindle cells alone and must be differentiated from other spindle cell tumors.

Although IHC for bcl-2, HHF35, and TLE-1 is useful for the diagnosis of spindle cell tumors, the diagnostic ability of IHC to detect SS is limited. Therefore, molecular analysis might be essential for diagnosing of SS. In at least 90% of the cases, the diagnosis of SS can be confirmed by detecting the chimeric transcript using RT-PCR. In rare instances, SS does not carry the SS18-
SSX transcripts. These tumors may arise from alternative gene fusions (such as SS18L1/SSX1) or cryptic rearrangements. Since a novel SS18-SSX fusion-specific antibody was recently reported, this technology could provide high sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of SS [18]. To our knowledge, the present case is the first SS18-SSX fusion positive. However, no further explanation was done in their description.

A summary of the reported cases of primary synovial sarcoma of the esophagus

| Author, year, reference | Age | Sex | Location | Size (cm) | Gross type | Histological type | Translocation | Treatment | Follow-up |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|----------|----------|------------|------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Palmer et al. 1983 [5]  | 75  | F   | Ut       | 2.5      | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | –            | S+RT      | Dead, 24 m |
| Amr et al. 1984 [6]     | 25  | M   | Ut       | 5 x 3 x 1.5 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | –            | S+RT      | Alive, 36 m |
| Caldwell et al. 1991 [7] | 29  | F   | Ut       | –        | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | –            | S+RT      | Alive, 195 m |
| Perch et al. 1991 [8]   | 15  | M   | Ce       | 10 x 6 x 3 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | –            | S+RT      | Alive, 78 m |
| Antón-Pacheco et al. 1996 [9] | 14  | F   | Ce       | 7 x 6 x 6 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | S+CT+RT      | Alive, 30 m |
| Palmer et al. 1983 [5]  | 20  | M   | Ut       | 7.5 x 4 x 2 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | –            | S+CT+RT   | Alive, 20 m |
| Bonavina et al. 1998 [11] | 63  | F   | Lt       | 5 x 5    | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | –            | S+CT      | Alive, 13 m |
| Billings et al. 2000 [12] | 47  | M   | EGJ      | 5.2 x 2.2 x 1.3 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | t(X;18)* | S        | Alive, 21 m |
| Butori et al. 2006 [13] | 72  | F   | UtMt     | 11.2 x 5.5 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | t(X;18)* | S+CT      | Alive, 6 m |
| de Alencar et al. 2012 [14] | 13  | F   | Ce       | 4 x 3    | –          | Biphasic         | –            | S        | Alive, – |
| Niihara et al. 2015 [15] | 70  | M   | Ce       | 7 x 3.3 x 1.4 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | t(X;18), SSX1 | S, RT after recurrence | Dead, 42 m |
| Doroudinia et al. 2017 [16] | 28  | M   | UtMt     | 10 x 10 x 5 | Polypoid   | Biphasic         | –            | S        | –         |
| Garcia-Rodriguez et al. 2019 [17] | 54  | F   | Ut       | 7.8 x 4.6 | SMT        | Biphasic         | t(X;18)* | CT+S      | –         |
| Present case             | 47  | F   | Ce       | 5.2 x 3.3 x 2 | SMT        | Monophasic       | t(X;18), SSX2 | S        | Alive, 81 m |

In their description, SS18-SSX fusion was positive. However, no further explanation was done.

**Conclusions**

Monophasic SS, an extremely rare tumor in the esophagus, is difficult to distinguish from other spindle cell tumors. When an esophageal spindle cell tumor, especially of the polypoid type and located at the cervical to upper third of the thoracic esophagus, is observed, the possibility of SS should be considered. Molecular analysis appears useful for confirming the diagnosis of monophasic SS.
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