CO₂ sequestration by natural zeolite for greenhouse effect control
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Abstract

This paper describes the adsorption of CO₂ on pores in natural erionite exchanged with aqueous solutions of Na⁺, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺ salts at different concentrations, variable time and temperature of treatment. Experimental data of CO₂ adsorption were treated by the Freundlich and Langmuir equations. Complementarily were evaluated standard adsorption energies and the degree of interaction of the gas with the zeolite; the evolution of isosteric heats of adsorption was analyzed. The exchange with Na⁺ favors the creation of emergent pores thus causing an increase of the adsorption capacity for CO₂. The presence of Na⁺ at micropore entrances causes an increased adsorption into the nanocavities and on the external area of the ion-exchanged zeolites. The development of nanopores in erionite was evaluated through the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda and NLDFT methods. Depending on the conditions of the exchange treatment, Na⁺ was found to be most favorable, well distributed, and accessible for N₂ adsorption.
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1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) has become an important global issue due to the gradual increase in the atmospheric concentration of CO\textsubscript{2} resulted from fossil fuel combustion and the link between an increase in the CO\textsubscript{2} concentration in the atmosphere and global climate changes. Therefore, it is necessary to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} concentration significantly from the current level\textsuperscript{1}. Up to now, various technologies, such as amine solutions for absorption, membrane separation and adsorption-based separation were employed to stabilize the CO\textsubscript{2} concentration in the atmosphere by controlling the emission of CO\textsubscript{2} from various sources\textsuperscript{2-4}. Adsorption, in fact, has become the state of the art technology for the separation and recovery of CO\textsubscript{2} \textsuperscript{5}. Although the conventional sorbents such as activated carbons and zeolites possess relatively high CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption capacities at room temperature, the adsorbed amounts of CO\textsubscript{2} on these adsorbents will decline rapidly with increasing temperature. Additionally, the adsorption selectivity for CO\textsubscript{2} on activated carbons and zeolites in the presence of water vapor will become very poor\textsuperscript{6}. At high temperatures, hydrotalcites can adsorb CO\textsubscript{2}, but their adsorption capacities towards CO\textsubscript{2} are, in general, low. Basic metal oxides such as MgO and CaO have extremely large adsorption capacities for CO\textsubscript{2} but the regeneration of these absorbents is performed at higher temperatures, resulting in severe energy penalties\textsuperscript{7}. Therefore, the development of efficient adsorbents is of utmost importance for CO\textsubscript{2} capture and separation. Among the suggested materials for adsorption of CO\textsubscript{2} bests itself are zeolites of ERI type, outstanding by their high adsorption capacity, accessibility, purity and, especially, by possibility to increase their adsorption capacity by incorporating certain cations through ion exchange, and via nanodeposits formation in the internal structure of this zeolite. The replacement by ion exchange of Na\textsuperscript{+} ions in natural erionite by either Ca\textsuperscript{2+} or H\textsuperscript{+} ions increases the capacity (i.e. the accessible pore volume). Ion exchange (via a salt) and dealumination (with acid treatments) of erionite lead to the formation of a secondary porosity (i.e., mesopores are created)\textsuperscript{8}. The cavities in erionite after an ion exchange process can accommodate metal ions and clusters produced by a subsequent reduction reaction\textsuperscript{9,10}. Cation type and location of water molecules within the zeolite structure are still under investigation\textsuperscript{11}. The objectives of this study are to compare the experimental results for CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption obtained by the dynamic method in erionite zeolites exchanged with Na\textsuperscript{+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} to determine the order of selectivity with respect to this gas in the zeolites studied. With this purpose in mind, CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption isotherms on microporous ERI solids at different temperatures were measured through the gas chromatographic (GC) peak maxima technique\textsuperscript{12}. In this paper, we are also reporting isosteric heats of adsorption evaluated at different CO\textsubscript{2} loadings. Finally, we examine sorption capacities and isosteric heats of adsorption in terms of the structural properties of our assortment of ERI zeolites. To follow, for this study were used methods of X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS), High Resolution Adsorption Studies (HRADS), and gas chromatography.

2. Experimental Section

Mexican natural zeolites proceeding from Agua Prieta in the State of Sonora, Mexico were used in this work. The ERIN label accounts for the natural erionite sample, which is free of any treatment. Exchanged erionite samples ERI\textsubscript{Ca}, ERI\textsubscript{Mg}, and ERI\textsubscript{Na} were prepared from ERIN precursor and exchanged either X=1, X=2, or X=3 times with 0.1 N solutions of the corresponding cation chloride salts (i.e. CaCl\textsubscript{2}, MgCl\textsubscript{2}, NaCl) at 50 °C for 6 h. N\textsubscript{2} and He ultrahigh purity gases (> 99.999%, INFRA Corp.) were employed for the textural sorption studies of natural and exchanged erionites.

XRD patterns were determined by means of a Siemens D-500 diffractometer employing a nickel filtered Cu K\textsubscript{α} radiation and compared with the corresponding JCCPD files for identifying the phases presented in the erionite samples. Scanning Electron Microscopy images were obtained from a Vega Tescan, model JSM-5300 electron microscope equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) probe, which allows a semiquantitative determination of local composition at the nanoscale level. All N\textsubscript{2} adsorption isotherms were measured at the boiling point of liquid N\textsubscript{2} (76.4 K at the 2200 m altitude of Puebla City, México) in an automatic volumetric adsorption system (Quantachrome AutoSorb-1LC). N\textsubscript{2} adsorption isotherms were determined in the interval of relative pressures, p/p\textsubscript{0}, extending from 10\textsuperscript{-5} to 0.995. The saturation pressure, p\textsubscript{0}, was continuously registered in the course of the adsorption-desorption measurements. Powder with particle sizes corresponding to 60-80 mesh were sampled.
from all specimens under analysis. Prior to the sorption experiments, samples were outgassed at 623 K during 20 h at a pressure lesser than 10⁻⁶ mbar.

Experiments on CO₂ adsorption over substrates were performed on a gas chromatograph Gow-Mac 350 equipped with a thermal conductivity detector. Chromatographic columns are stainless steel (with internal diameter of 5 mm and length of 50 cm) and were packed with zeolite granules equivalent to mesh sizes 0.250 mm. Prior to adsorption of the gas, each sample was pretreated in situ under flowing He at 573 K. Subsequently, various volumes of CO₂ were injected into the column to measure the retention time. The adsorption isotherms of the gas on the zeolites are measured at various temperatures (463, 493, 523, 553 and 583 K); assessments of adsorbed amount function of pressure are effected applying the method of maximum chromatographic peaks (GC peak maxima method) using helium (30 cm³ min⁻¹) as carrier gas. The isosteric heat of adsorption at low degrees of coverage was calculated from the experimental adsorption isotherm data using the Clausius-Clapeyron equation.

Data corresponding to the adsorption of CO₂ on erionite samples were fitted to standard Freundlich isotherm models through linear regression in order to determine the adsorption parameters pertinent to each of the above approaches. The Freundlich adsorption equation can be written as:

\[
a = K_F + p^{1/n}
\] (1)

where \(a\) is the adsorbed amount (mmol g⁻¹), \(K_F\) is the Freundlich adsorption constant, and \(n\) is an exponential factor.

All CO₂ adsorption data were fitted to standard Langmuir adsorption equation through linear regression. From gas adsorption data at low pressures, it is possible to evaluate the Henry constants \(K_H\) at different temperatures for the series of adsorbent-adsorptive pairs employed in this work according to the following expression:

\[
K_H = \lim_{p \to 0} (a/a_m p)
\] (2)

where \(a\) represents the amount adsorbed on the solid walls at pressure \(p\), while \(a_m\) is the monolayer capacity evaluated from the Langmuir equation:

\[
\theta = a/a_m = K_p/1 + K_p
\] (3)

where \(K a_m = K_H\) is, something that can be tested graphically by plotting \(1/a\) versus \(1/p\):

\[
1/a = 1/a_m + 1/a_m K_p
\] (4)

Standard adsorption energies (\(-\Delta U_0\)) can be found from the temperature dependence of Henry constants \(K_H\) (at low pressures \(K_H \approx K_F\)), a relationship that is assumed to be consistent with a traditional van’t Hoff form:

\[
(\partial \ln K_H/\partial T) = \Delta U_0/RT^2; K_H = K_0 \exp(-\Delta U_0/RT)
\] (5)

where \(\Delta U_0 = \Delta H_0 + RT; \Delta H_0\) is the standard adsorption enthalpy, \(R\) the universal gas constant, and \(K_0\) is van’t Hoff’s pre-exponential factor.

The isosteric heat of adsorption, \(q_a\) (kcal mol⁻¹), at different adsorbate loadings can be evaluated from the adsorption isotherms data through a Clausius-Clapeyron type equation:

\[
[\partial \ln p /\partial T]_a = q_a a/RT^2
\] (6)

where \(p\) and \(T\) are the equilibrium pressure and temperature at a given adsorbate loading \((a)\).

3. Results and discussion

The texture properties and chemical composition of the zeolites are reported in Tables 1 and 2. The results of texture \((A_{SB},\) BET specific surface area; \(A_{SL},\) Langmuir specific surface area; \(A_{St},\) t-plot surface area; \(C_B,\) BET
constant; $V_\infty$, volume adsorbed at $p/p^0 = 0.95$ and expressed as the volume of liquid N$_2$ (Gurvitch rule); $W_\infty$, volume micropore estimated from $t$-plots, and $V_{necm} = V_\infty - W_\infty$, and mesopore volume) are listed in Table 1. The interesting features of ion-exchanged zeolites are their large surface area and micropore volume. Additionally it is observed that the BET constant values ($C_B$) are negative, thus indicating the inadequacy of the micropore filling mechanism given the continuous growth of the adsorbed layer on the surface of these substrates. The behavior of the textural parameters of natural and exchanged erionites obey the following sequence: $A_{Sl}$: ERINa: 3>2>1; ERICa: 2>1>3; ERIMg: 2>1>3. While $V_\infty$: ERINa: 3>2>1; ERICa: 2>1>3; ERIMg: 2>1>3. Finally, $W_\infty$: ERINa: 3>2=1, ERICa: 2>1>3, and ERIMg: 2>1>3. There exists an almost total concordance between the tendencies of the $A_{Sl}$, $V_\infty$ and $W_\infty$. The EDS chemical compositions of all erionite substrates are listed in Table 2. This Table reveals that the amount of the monolayer adsorption capacity ($q_m$), derived from the Langmuir plots, have the lowest values in ERIMg3 and $V_{meso}$= 0.95 and expressed as the volume of liquid N$_2$ (Gurvitch rule); $W_\infty$, volume micropore estimated from $t$-plots, and $V_{necm} = V_\infty - W_\infty$, and mesopore volume) are listed in Table 1. The interesting features of ion-exchanged zeolites are their large surface area and micropore volume. Additionally it is observed that the BET constant values ($C_B$) are negative, thus indicating the inadequacy of the micropore filling mechanism given the continuous growth of the adsorbed layer on the surface of these substrates. The behavior of the textural parameters of natural and exchanged erionites obey the following sequence: $A_{Sl}$: ERINa: 3>2>1; ERICa: 2>1>3; ERIMg: 2>1>3. While $V_\infty$: ERINa: 3>2>1; ERICa: 2>1>3; ERIMg: 2>1>3. Finally, $W_\infty$: ERINa: 3>2=1, ERICa: 2>1>3, and ERIMg: 2>1>3. There exists an almost total concordance between the tendencies of the $A_{Sl}$, $V_\infty$ and $W_\infty$. The EDS chemical compositions of all erionite substrates are listed in Table 2. This Table reveals that the amount of cation present in each zeolite increased, once the corresponding ion exchange has been carried out.

The dynamic chromatographic method was used for evaluating the adsorption isotherms from injection pulse data proceeding from the elution curves of the adsorptives on the zeolites under study. These CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms were measured in a region of low adsorbate concentrations; hence, adsorption on the mesopore surface can be practically neglected. Consequently, the parameters that characterize the microporous structure of our erionite adsorbents have been calculated from the CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms, without introducing any correction for adsorption on the mesopore surface. CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms at different temperatures on ERIN and ERINa zeolites are presented in parts a-d of Figure 1, while the isotherms of ERI Ca and ERIMg zeolites are presented in parts a-f of Figure 2. Complementarily were evaluated standard adsorption energies -$\Delta U_0$ while the degree of interaction of the gas with the zeolite was analyzed by the evolution of isosteric heats of adsorption -$q_{st}$. Values of Freundlich constants $K_F$ and $n$, Henry constants $K_H$, Langmuir monolayer capacity $q_m$, adsorption energies -$\Delta U_0$ and isosteric heat of adsorption -$q_{st}$ are given in Table 3. From this Table it is established that the highest values purchase for the monolayer capacity at 473 K is obtained by the sample ERICa2, while lower values acquires the sample ERIMg3. On the other hand, treatments with NaCl at different contact times and concentrations produce increases in adsorptivity, proving to be ERINa2 most favored adsorbent, see Table 2.

The Langmuir approach works reasonably well for all but one case: that corresponding to adsorption at 588 K on ERINa1, ERICa3 and ERIMg3. Similar behaviors are presented in ERINa2 at 623 K and ERICa2 at 503 K. The values of the Henry and Langmuir constants (i.e., $K_H$ and $a_m$) are listed in Table 3. The temperature dependence of the monolayer adsorption capacity ($a_m$), derived from the Langmuir plots, have the lowest values in ERIMg3 and ERIMg2 zeolites; on the contrary the highest values correspond to ERINa2 and ERINa3 zeolites.

The Freundlich model can be fitted extremely well to most CO$_2$ adsorption data, although is not suitable for ERICa1 and ERICa3 at 473, 503 and 534 K, or for ERINa2 and ERIMg2 at 473 K. The values of the Freundlich parameters ($K_F$ and $n$) related to CO$_2$ adsorption on ERI zeolites are listed in Table 3.

### Table 1. Textural parameters of natural (ERIN) and ion-exchanged (Na$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, and Mg$^{2+}$) erionite zeolites as determined from N$_2$ adsorption.

| ERI     | $A_{Sl}$ m$^2$/g | $A_{SS}$ m$^2$/g | $A_{St}$ m$^2$/g | $C_B$ | $V_\infty$ cm$^3$/g | $W_\infty$ cm$^3$/g | $V_{necm}$ cm$^3$/g |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| ERIN    | 243.9           | 169.22          | 12.99           | -70  | 0.101             | 0.076             | 0.025             |
| ERINa1  | 244.7           | 205.4           | 11.91           | -493 | 0.101             | 0.145             | 0.044             |
| ERINa2  | 504.4           | 389.6           | 21.08           | -581 | 0.189             | 0.145             | 0.044             |
| ERINa3  | 545.7           | 416.7           | 15.33           | -260 | 0.205             | 0.16              | 0.045             |
| ERICa1  | 325.5           | 270.6           | 29.65           | -165 | 0.157             | 0.102             | 0.055             |
| ERICa2  | 331.5           | 292.1           | 30.68           | -564 | 0.162             | 0.106             | 0.056             |
| ERICa3  | 293.8           | 255.9           | 31.35           | -628 | 0.147             | 0.089             | 0.058             |
| ERIMg1  | 322.7           | 289.0           | 30.97           | -689 | 0.159             | 0.104             | 0.055             |
| ERIMg2  | 343.8           | 304.6           | 33.85           | -571 | 0.169             | 0.109             | 0.060             |
| ERIMg3  | 309.4           | 275.9           | 24.14           | -653 | 0.148             | 0.100             | 0.048             |
Table 2. Chemical composition (mass %) of erionites as determined by EDS.

|         | ERIN | Na1  | Na2  | Na3  | Ca1  | Ca2  | Ca3  | Mg1  | Mg2  | Mg3  |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| NaO     | 3.694| 3.986| 4.42 | 4.103| 2.670| 2.110| 1.551| 3.357| 3.339| 2.836|
| MgO     | 1.194| 1.536| 1.376| 1.453| 1.719| 1.702| 1.685| 2.122| 2.155| 2.365|
| Al₂O₃   | 11.21 | 13.34| 14.02| 14.08| 13.01| 13.18| 13.36| 13.66| 13.13 |
| SiO₂    | 54.85 | 57.65| 56.13| 60.01| 57.66| 57.43| 57.21| 59.05| 60.17| 59.36 |
| K₂O     | 2.309 | 1.939| 1.670| 1.879| 2.718| 2.648| 2.578| 2.718| 2.650| 2.763|
| CaO     | 1.222 | 1.315| 1.502| 1.810| 1.814| 2.507| 3.200| 1.250| 1.101| 1.035|
| Fe₂O₃   | 1.801 | 2.373| 0.605| 0.682| 3.031| 2.962| 2.893| 2.755| 3.103| 2.407|
| FeO     | 1.621 | 2.136| 0.545| 0.613| 2.727| 2.665| 2.603| 2.479| 2.792| 2.166|
| Si/Al   | 4.893 | 4.320| 4.004| 4.261| 4.429| 4.356| 4.283| 4.321| 4.405| 4.518|

Table 3. Henry, Freundlich and Langmuir parameters for the adsorption of CO₂ on ERIN and ERI exchanged zeolites.

| Sample   | T, K | $K_H \times 10^2$ mmol g⁻¹ mmHg⁻¹ | $a_{eq}$ mmol g⁻¹ | $R_L$ | $K_F \times 10^2$ mmol g⁻¹ mmHg⁻¹ | $n$ | $R_L$ |
|----------|------|---------------------------------|------------------|------|---------------------------------|-----|------|
| ERINAT   | 473  | 2.069                           | 0.155            | 0.996| 2.125                           | 1.636| 0.988|
|          | 503  | 1.531                           | 0.160            | 0.996| 1.759                           | 1.602| 0.990|
|          | 543  | 0.859                           | 0.179            | 0.998| 1.188                           | 1.528| 0.990|
|          | 588  | 0.713                           | 0.173            | 0.996| 1.088                           | 1.579| 0.993|
|          | 623  | 0.615                           | 0.165            | 0.992| 0.929                           | 1.537| 0.995|
| ERINa1   | 473  | 3.617                           | 0.238            | 0.998| 3.581                           | 1.616| 0.991|
|          | 503  | 2.856                           | 0.216            | 0.997| 2.994                           | 1.630| 0.992|
|          | 543  | 1.689                           | 0.236            | 0.996| 2.014                           | 1.511| 0.995|
|          | 588  | 1.571                           | 0.186            | 0.980| 1.900                           | 1.631| 0.998|
|          | 623  | 1.060                           | 0.216            | 0.994| 1.395                           | 1.495| 0.995|
| ERINa2   | 473  | 5.171                           | 0.206            | 0.999| 4.641                           | 1.844| 0.986|
|          | 503  | 2.679                           | 0.238            | 0.999| 2.963                           | 1.509| 0.988|
|          | 543  | 2.131                           | 0.191            | 0.990| 2.468                           | 1.700| 0.996|
|          | 588  | 1.400                           | 0.242            | 0.998| 1.723                           | 1.460| 0.994|
|          | 623  | 1.070                           | 0.201            | 0.985| 1.383                           | 1.516| 0.998|
| ERINa3   | 473  | 5.759                           | 0.211            | 0.996| 4.777                           | 1.768| 0.990|
|          | 503  | 2.887                           | 0.255            | 0.998| 2.963                           | 1.509| 0.991|
|          | 543  | 2.282                           | 0.218            | 0.996| 2.543                           | 1.610| 0.992|
|          | 588  | 1.739                           | 0.220            | 0.996| 2.005                           | 1.535| 0.998|
|          | 623  | 1.292                           | 0.247            | 0.988| 1.607                           | 1.454| 0.996|
| ERICa1   | 473  | 1.902                           | 0.148            | 0.995| 2.067                           | 1.717| 0.986|
|          | 503  | 1.325                           | 0.168            | 0.995| 1.620                           | 1.587| 0.986|
|          | 543  | 0.939                           | 0.166            | 0.998| 1.282                           | 1.580| 0.987|
|          | 588  | 0.816                           | 0.163            | 0.993| 1.197                           | 1.617| 0.995|
|          | 623  | 0.636                           | 0.179            | 0.996| 0.982                           | 1.542| 0.994|
| ERICa2   | 473  | 2.300                           | 0.274            | 0.988| 2.914                           | 1.690| 0.996|
|          | 503  | 1.839                           | 0.272            | 0.983| 2.602                           | 1.720| 0.996|
|          | 543  | 0.993                           | 0.303            | 0.987| 1.660                           | 1.591| 0.997|
The trends of the isosteric heat of adsorption (\(q_{st}\)) as a function of the amount of adsorbed CO\(_2\) on ERI zeolites are presented in Table 4. Sorption data of CO\(_2\) on some other adsorbents that were previously studied\(^{16-18}\) are reported for comparison. The \(q_{st}\) values related to the ERI substrate corresponds to the following sequence: ERIN; ERINa\(_2\) > ERINa\(_3\) > ERINa\(_1\); ERICa\(_2\) > ERICa\(_1\) > ERICa\(_3\); ERIMg\(_2\) > ERIMg\(_1\) > ERIMg\(_3\). The enrichment of structure by Na\(^+\) ensures the participation of these cations in the interaction with CO\(_2\) quadrupole (0.64 Å\(^3\)) generating an improved adsorption centers (Table 4). These results can be explained considering the difference in the physical and chemical properties of CO\(_2\) molecule. First, its critical diameter is equal to 0.31 nm, with the polarizability equal to 1.9 Å\(^3\)\(^{19}\). This effect makes that the molecule is influenced to a greater extent by the electric field, which create the cations presented in solid. It is very likely that differences in properties of this gas are responsible for the CO\(_2\) to be adsorbed in remote sites, as this molecule interacts specifically with the electric field of solid. Therefore, because the \(q_{st}\) values proceeding from CO\(_2\) adsorption on ERIN, ERINa\(_1\), ERINa\(_2\), ERINa\(_3\) and ERICa\(_2\) are larger than the enthalpies of vaporization (17.165 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)), the adsorptive molecules interact strongly both with the surface and with the neighboring adsorbate molecules\(^{20}\). Hence, the primary and secondary micropore mechanism fillings seem to take place during the CO\(_2\) adsorption on ERI samples: first, a strong interaction between adsorbate molecules and the adsorbent surface occurs; next, a cohesive interaction between adsorbate molecules is developed. The fact that the magnitudes of the isosteric heats of adsorption are different from one adsorbent to another can be related to the high interaction energy depicted by the quadrupole moment of CO\(_2\) toward the oxygen atoms of the zeolites, cation clusters and also to the molecular sieve effect displayed by each adsorbent. The isosteric heat of adsorption of CO\(_2\) on ERIN, ERINa\(_1\), ERINa\(_2\), ERINa\(_3\), ERICa\(_1\) and ERICa\(_2\) is associated with nearly uniform surfaces ever since the adsorption on these substrates is smaller than that taking place on ERINa\(_2\). This behavior can be attributed to the slow diffusion of the CO\(_2\) inside the zeolitic structure mainly because of the partial blocking of pore entrances by the cations and also by the residual material that remains at the entrances of cavities. According to this, it can be expected that the available adsorption space and the different sizes of the entrance windows play major roles in the magnitudes of the isosteric
Fig. 1. Adsorption isotherms of CO$_2$ on ERIN and ERINa zeolites.

Fig. 2. Adsorption isotherms of CO$_2$ on ERICa and ERIMg zeolites.
Table 4. Standard adsorption energy (-\(\Delta U_0\), kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and isosteric heats of adsorption (-\(q_{st}\), kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) of CO\(_2\).

|        | -\(\Delta U_0\) | -\(q_{st}\) | \(\Delta H_N\) |
|--------|----------------|-------------|---------------|
| ERIN   | 20.471         | 18.800      | 1.634         |
| ERINa1 | 19.363         | 21.105      | 3.939         |
| ERINa2 | 24.155         | 26.285      | 9.119         |
| ERINa3 | 22.205         | 22.355      | 5.189         |
| ERICA1 | 17.079         | 15.659      |               |
| ERICA2 | 20.725         | 16.823      | -0.33         |
| ERICA3 | 9.233          | 10.557      | -6.69         |
| ERIMg1 | 17.246         | 17.612      | 0.446         |
| ERIMg2 | 17.320         | 18.584      | 1.418         |
| ERIMg3 | 7.859          | 10.557      | -6.09         |
| Silicalite | 20             |             |               |
| 13X    | 40             |             |               |
| SBA-15 | 30             |             |               |

heats of CO\(_2\) adsorption on ERIN and ERINa2. Therefore, progressive Na-exchange treatment of ERI develops into wider and wider pore entrances by cation exchange and larger and larger micropore volumes; so these two effects allow an increased adsorption and a cohesive interaction between adsorbed molecules. Based on the two latter effects, progressive Na exchange treatment of ERI ensures the participation of a greater number of adsorption centers in exchanged zeolites. Another possible effect produced by exchange treatment is the transformation of ultramicropore entities into supermicropore cavities, in which secondary (cohesive) adsorption is likely to take place.

4. Conclusions

Ion exchange treatments via Na\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), and Mg\(^{2+}\) salts of natural high-silica erionites can render efficient nanoporous substrates for the adsorption of different compounds. Either protons or smaller ionic species can substitute large blocking cations at the pore entrances of natural erionite, facilitating access of different molecules into the channels of erionite. The concomitant modified structure created by the ion-exchange treatment enhances the microporous channel widths, thus creating supermicropore structures. The changes experienced by the nanoporosity of natural and exchanged erionite are conveniently described by the Dubinin-Astakhov approach since the calculated values match the amplitudes of the cavities of this zeolite. Mesoporosity caused by the ion exchange treatment can be approximately evaluated via the BJH method. The highest adsorption capacity of these erionite zeolites is presented when ERIN is ion-exchanged with Na\(^+\).
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