PCR identification of culicoid biting midges (Diptera, Ceratopogonidae) of the Obsoletus complex including putative vectors of bluetongue and Schmallenberg viruses
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Abstract

Background: Biting midges of the Obsoletus species complex of the ceratopogonid genus Culicoides were assumed to be the major vectors of bluetongue virus (BTV) in northern and central Europe during the 2006 outbreak of bluetongue disease (BT). Most recently, field specimens of the same group of species have also been shown to be infected with the newly emerged Schmallenberg virus (SBV) in Europe. A reliable identification of the cryptic species of this group is fundamental for both understanding the epidemiology of the diseases and for targeted vector control. In the absence of classical morphological characters unambiguously identifying the species, DNA sequence-based tests have been established for the distinction of selected species in some parts of Europe. Since specificity and sensitivity of these tests have been shown to be in need of improvement, an alternative PCR assay targeting the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was developed for the identification of the three Obsoletus complex species endemic to Germany (C. obsoletus, C. scoticus, C. chiopterus) plus the isomorphic species C. dewulfi.

Methods: Biting midges of the genus Culicoides caught by UV light traps all over Germany were morphologically pre-identified to species or complex level. The COI region was amplified from their extracted DNA and sequenced. Final species assignment was done by sequence comparison to GenBank entries and to morphologically identified males. Species-specific consensus sequences were aligned and polymorphisms were utilized to design species-specific primers to PCR-identify specimens when combined with a universal primer.

Results: The newly developed multiplex PCR assay was successfully tested on genetically defined Obsoletus complex material as well as on morphologically pre-identified field material. The intended major advantage of the assay as compared to other PCR approaches, namely the production of only one single characteristic band for each species, could be realized with high specificity and sensitivity.

Conclusion: To elucidate the biological characteristics of potential vectors of disease agents, such as ecology, behaviour and vector competence, and the role of these haematophagous arthropods in the epidemiology of the diseases, simple, cost-effective and, most importantly, reliable identification techniques are necessary. The PCR assay presented will help to identify culicoid vector species and therefore add to bluetongue and Schmallenberg disease research including vector control and monitoring.
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Background

*Culicoides* biting midges play an important role as vectors of disease agents such as bluetongue virus (BTV), African horse sickness virus, epizootic hemorrhagic disease virus and Oropouche virus [1]. Presently, they are also being discussed as the vectors of a newly emerged virus provisionally called ‘Schmallenberg virus’ (SBV) that appears to have caused a considerable number of abortive cases in sheep and cattle in various European countries [2,3]. Having been neglected scientifically for a long time, the biting midges of Europe were only propelled into the focus of research with the unexpected outbreak of bluetongue disease (BT) in 2006 and its subsequent fulminant spread over much of Europe. BT is a non-contagious disease of wild and domestic ruminants caused by BTV, an *Orbivirus* of the family *Reoviridae* with 26 known serotypes [4]. BTV-infected animals can present with a number of symptoms which in the worst case may be fatal [5].

The first cases of BTV serotype 8 infections in northern Europe were detected in August 2006 in the Netherlands, Belgium and Germany [6]. In 2007, a massive geographic spread of the disease and a dramatic increase in the number of affected farms and infected animals were observed. At the end of 2007, the whole territory of Germany was declared a restriction zone after BT cases had been reported from 13 out of 16 federal states [7]. In 2008, 15 European states were affected and over 50,000 outbreaks were notified [8]. The direct costs for agriculture of the 2007 epidemic alone were calculated to have exceeded €150 million [9].

The spread and initial mismanagement of BT in northern Europe reflected the unpreparedness towards such an outbreak in general, and the lack of knowledge regarding the epidemiology of the disease, its endemic vectors and possible ways of control in particular. For example, contingency plans and specialists were not available, diagnostic tools were not established and evaluated, ruminant movement restrictions were only implemented with delay and insecticides were applied unprofessionally and uncontrolled.

In the Old World, the main vector of BTV used to be *C. imicola* [10] but this species was not known to occur in Europe north of the Alps. This was later confirmed during several entomological monitoring programmes to identify possible BTV vectors [7,11,12] thus supporting previous assumptions that other European *Culicoides* species might also be able to transmit BTV [13,14]. Recent studies suggested the following species as putative BTV vectors: *C. obsoletus* [7], *C. scoticus* [15], *C. chiopterus* [16], *C. dewulfi* [17], *C. pulicaris* [13] and *C. achrysi* [7]. Probably most important among these are the members of the Obsoletus complex which are not only very common but also have a widespread distribution in Europe [18]. Indeed, the regional and temporal occurrence of the complex species correlates well with previous outbreaks of BT in southern Europe [19,20].

In addition to providing the likely vectors of BTV, field specimens of the same group of *Culicoides* species have recently been demonstrated to be infected with the newly emerged SBV. The virus was detected in pools of *C. obsoletus* and *C. dewulfi* in Belgium and of unspeciated Obsoletus complex biting midges in Denmark and Italy [21-23].

The Obsoletus complex is composed of three siblings in central and northern Europe: *C. obsoletus, C. scoticus* and *C. chiopterus*. For a long time, *C. dewulfi* had also been considered a member of this complex due to its morphological similarity, but recent phylogenetic studies have suggested that this species should be treated as a separate taxonomic group [24].

As the four species have different ecologies and behaviours, however, it is elementary to be able to distinguish them efficiently and reliably. The classical mode of species identification which relies on morphological traits such as the wing pattern of adult midges [25,26] or special structures of the male genitalia [25,27] requires a high specific knowledge of the *Culicoides* morphology and a lot of experience. In addition, female members of species complexes are isomorphic or show overlapping character variants thus rendering morphological differentiation impossible or, at least, highly unreliable. As opposed to females, male midges of the Obsoletus complex sibling species are readily distinguishable by morphological characters, but are rarely caught with common collection techniques [28].

Due to the shortcomings of morphological clues for the identification of biting midge species belonging to species complexes, PCR based differentiation systems targeting mitochondrial [28-32] or ribosomal DNA markers [33,34] have been developed. Some of these, however, are not sufficiently practicable for routine purposes or do not involve all siblings occurring in central Europe. Dallas et al. [29] and Gomulski et al. [33], for instance, developed primers that are not species-specific, but amplify a defined DNA fragment from any *Culicoides* species. Further steps such as sequencing are necessary to identify the species. Pagès & Sarto i Monteys [30] focused on the differentiation of the two putatively most important vector species of the Obsoletus complex, *C. obsoletus* and *C. scoticus*, and Pagès et al. [31] dealt with cryptic, so far unrecognized species in the subgenus *Culicoides* in Catalonia. In addition, some PCR assays are based on the detection of two or more amplification products, at least one of which is representative for more than one species (e.g. [32,34]), so that misidentification of the specimen can easily occur if one product is
disproportionately weak and overlooked. Owing to this and other reasons, some of the established PCR assays have been found during European interlaboratory ring trials to lack specificity and sensitivity. In particular, *C. scoticus* could often not be identified at all or was misidentified as another species by the participating laboratories [35].

The aim of the present study was, therefore, to develop a more specific multiplex PCR method for the unambiguous identification of the three Obsoletus complex biting midge species occurring in Germany as well as of the morphologically very similar species *C. dewulfi*, using the COI region as a genetic marker and producing one single characteristic amplification product per species.

**Methods**

**Culicoides** samples

The *Culicoides* specimens used in this study were derived from the German entomological BT surveillance programme carried out from March 2007 to May 2008 [7] and from studies on breeding site characteristics of Obsoletus complex species in Germany [36]. The midges had been collected in various German federal states (Table 1) on cattle and sheep holdings by UV-light BG Sentinel traps (Biogents, Germany) and by emergence traps set up on different kinds of animal dung. The collected material had been stored in 75% ethanol. Identification of the specimens was done morphologically following the keys by Delécolle [37] and Glukhova [38], to species level in the case of males and to complex level in the case of females, by two *Culicoides* specialists independently, one validating the identification results of the other. Final species assignment of females was done only after analysis of COI DNA sequences.

**DNA extraction**

The DNA was extracted by means of the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s supplementary protocol “Purification of total DNA from insects using a disposable microtube pestle” or by using the NucleoSpin RNA Virus Kit (Macherey-Nagel). Depending on the kit used, single midges were homogenized in 180μL PBS, pH 7.2 (Qiagen) or 200μL RAV1 buffer (Macherey-Nagel) with a TissueLyser II (Qiagen) or manually by disposable microtube pestles prior to DNA extraction. DNA elution was done in 100μL EB buffer (Qiagen) or 100μL RE buffer (Macherey-Nagel), respectively.

Several biting midges were not subjected to DNA extraction but manually homogenized with a pestle in 20μL of RNase free water. These homogenates were then directly used as DNA templates.

**DNA amplification**

A fragment of the COI gene region was amplified from individual midges using the primers C1-J-1718 and C1-N-2191 [29] and newly designed degenerate primers, PanCuli-COX1-211F (5′-ATCATAATTGGTGGT TTGGWAAYTGA-3′) and PanCuli-COX1-727R (5′-TATAAACTTCDGGRTGNCCAAARAATC-3′). The PCR approach made use of the QuantiTect Multiplex NoROX PCR Kit (Qiagen) with a 20μL reaction mixture containing 10μL 2x QuantiTect Multiplex PCR Mastermix, 1μM of each primer and 5μL DNA template. The thermoprofile consisted of 15 min at 94°C (initial denaturation), 42 cycles of 30 s at 94°C (denaturation), 30 s at 60°C (annealing) and 30 s at 72°C (extension), and a further 5 min at 72°C (final extension). Amplicons were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis (1.5%) for approximately 45 min at 120 V and visualized by ethidium bromide staining.

For comparative identification of field-collected biting midge specimens, the PCR assay according to Mathieu et al. [34] was performed, strictly following the protocol described by the authors.

**DNA sequencing**

PCR products were excised from the agarose gels and recovered by the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). Concentrations of the resulting DNA eluates were measured in a NanoDrop 2000 UV/Vis Spectral Photometer (Thermo Scientific), and a volume corresponding to approx. 20-30 ng each was used for cycle sequencing by means of the BigDye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems). The cycled DNA fragments
were purified with SigmaSpin Post-Reaction Clean-Up Columns (Sigma Aldrich), and the eluates (approx. 20μL) were mixed with the same volume of Hi-Di formamide (Applied Biosystems). The samples were sequenced on a 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Every amplicon was sequenced bidirectionally with the PCR primers used as sequencing primers.

**Sequence analysis and primer design**

Each single DNA sequence was analyzed using the web-based Sequence Scanner programme (https://products.appliedbiosystems.com). The complete COI sequence of a midge was obtained by alignment of the forward and reverse sequences using CLC Sequence Viewer (www.clcbio.com). To maximize the data sets, the sequences obtained were supplemented with sequences available for the Obsoletus complex species in question and for *C. dewulfi* from GenBank: *C. obsoletus* (HM022849-51), *C. chiopterus* (AM236747-48, AM236750-51), *C. scoticus* (AM236643-51, HM022872-74) and *C. dewulfi* (HM022876-81, AM236698-705).

CLC Sequence Viewer was also used to obtain a consensus sequence for each species. All consensus sequences were aligned with ClustalW2 (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2), and polymorphic regions were utilized for the design of species-specific primers. The genetic distances were obtained with the MEGA 5.05 software [39].

**Species-specific PCR**

PCR amplification by the newly designed primers was also performed using the QuantiTect Multiplex PCR NoROX Kit (Qiagen). The reaction mixtures consisted of 10μL 2x QuantiTect Multiplex PCR Mastermix, 0.5 μM of each primer and 1-2μL DNA template, totaling 20μL. Amplification conditions were as follows: 15 min at 94°C, followed by 42 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 45 s at 63°C and 45 s at 72°C, and a final 5 min at 72°C. Amplicons were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis as described.

The PCR was tested both on specimens that had been genetically defined previously and on field material morphologically examined. When adding DNA of a genetically defined midge to a single-tube multiplex PCR to check for primer interference, negative controls which contained water instead of DNA were neither produced for non-target species nor for the negative control which contained water instead of DNA. In each case the agarose gel analysis showed amplification of the correct target species, PCR-products were morphologically different. The PCR products were easy to recognize on a gel and assigned to a species. Figure 1 shows the localization of the primer hybridization sites within the COI consensus sequences.

To test the specificity of the primers, standard PCRs were performed using DNA of genetically defined specimens of the various Obsoletus complex species. While in each case the agarose gel analysis showed amplification of the correct target species, PCR-products were neither produced for non-target species nor for the negative control which contained water instead of DNA template (Figure 2).

The four species-specific primers were also tested in a single-tube multiplex PCR to check for primer interference. When adding DNA of a genetically defined midge of one of the four species, all PCR products again showed the correct species and the expected amplicon size (Figure 3). Cross-hybridization of the primers with

| Species | *C. obsoletus* | *C. chiopterus* | *C. scoticus* |
|---------|---------------|----------------|--------------|
| *C. chiopterus* | 0.138 | | |
| *C. scoticus* | 0.150 | 0.176 | |
| *C. dewulfi* | 0.273 | 0.350 | 0.241 |
| Species | Primer code   | Primer sequence (5'-3')                      | Product length (bp) |
|---------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| C. dewulfi | dew-COI-fwd  | C GCCCGACATA GGCTACCCCTCTATAGGCCTGAGATTATAA | 468                 |
| C. obsoletus | obs-COI-fwd  | CAGGAGCTGCTGAGATTTGCTCT  | 318                 |
| C. scoticus | sco-COI-fwd  | CCACATTATATTAGCGCATCCTACC  | 237                 |
| C. chiopterus | chio-COI-fwd | CTTTATTTGTGGGTCTGTCCT    | 190                 |

**Table 3 Suggested species-specific primers**

**Figure 1** Alignment of COI consensus sequences of the three Obsoletus complex species and C. dewulfi. **bold** = PCR amplification and sequencing primers, **red** = suggested species-specific primers. Asterisks mark identical nucleotide positions.
non-Obsoletus complex biting midge species cannot be excluded but morphological examination (in this case morphological pre-identification to complex level) is taxonomic standard and should in any case precede genetic examination.

The multiplex PCR assay was further tested on the DNA of all sequenced biting midges (Table 1) as well as on field-collected biting midge specimens from all over Germany which had morphologically been pre-identified as belonging to the Obsoletus complex or as being C. dewulfi. While all 46 sequenced midges were correctly identified by the newly developed PCR, 213 field-collected midges were subjected in parallel to the PCR published by Mathieu et al. [34] and to the newly designed PCR. The identification results were concordant in 207 specimens (181 C. scoticus, 22 C. obsoletus, 3 C. chiopterus, 1 C. dewulfi) right after the first PCR run although some products amplified by the primers of Mathieu et al. [34] were difficult to identify: several C. obsoletus samples showed multiple non-specific products in addition to the specific bands while the C. chiopterus-specific product was hardly visible (data not shown).

In six samples, the two PCR tests indicated different species, necessitating a re-testing or even sequencing of the specimens to find out the correct species. Five of the six samples were connected with weak and diffuse bands on the gels after electrophoresis, all of them after PCR amplification according to Mathieu et al. [34]. In three of these cases, the bands suggested C. obsoletus whereas the new PCR indicated C. scoticus. While repetitions with both PCR assays brought a concordant result in one specimen only, COI sequencing of the other two midges was necessary for final identification. All three midges were C. scoticus.

In another case, the PCR according to Mathieu et al. [34] generated a C. dewulfi-specific amplicon while the new PCR again produced a fragment characteristic for C. scoticus. Repetitions also produced C. scoticus in both cases concordantly.

A sixth midge could not be unambiguously identified either by the PCR according to Mathieu et al. [34] or by the newly designed PCR. Using the PCR according to Mathieu et al. [34], three DNA fragments were produced in a first PCR run that did not match one of the possible fragment combinations identifying a species, whereas a repetition suggested C. scoticus. The newly designed PCR generated a strong C. dewulfi-characteristic band and a weak C. obsoletus-characteristic band both in a first and in a repetitive PCR run. DNA sequencing confirmed C. dewulfi.

Of a further 110 field-collected Obsoletus complex/C. dewulfi biting midges processed only by the new PCR, the DNA of 83 samples had been extracted by a kit (Qiagen) whereas 27 midges had been crushed with a pestle in 20μL of water for the supernatant to be directly used as a PCR template instead of extracted DNA. Except for three specimens where the results were not conclusive, clear and distinct amplicons assignable to one of the four biting midge species were generally produced (Figure 4). These identified 52 C. obsoletus, 45 C. scoticus, 9 C. dewulfi and 1 C. chiopterus. While one of the inconclusive cases showed two bands (Figure 4, lane 16), a strong one characteristic of C. scoticus and a weak one characteristic of C. chiopterus, two of them generated no PCR product at all. A repetition of the PCR with these latter two specimens that had been processed for DNA extraction by the kit led to the same results. Control
measurements with the NanoDrop displayed no DNA in either sample indicating unsuccessful DNA extraction. A repetition of the PCR with the sample that had generated two bands was not possible because it was one of the crushed midges where all supernatant was used for the first PCR.

**Discussion**

While most PCR assays for the identification of *Culicoides* biting midge species have been designed on the basis of a limited number of individual DNA sequences, we included 20 COI sequences per species in our sequence analyses, both by generating new data from field-collected specimens and by extracting data from GenBank. While intraspecific sequence divergence in the COI region examined was found to be low, interspecific divergence was comparably high. These results suggested that the COI gene is a suitable DNA region for the differentiation of closely related *Culicoides* species. This is in contrast to the results of European interlaboratory ring trials, where laboratories could freely use molecular identification assay protocols targeting either internal transcribed spacer (ITS)1, ITS2 or COI DNA regions. The trials indicated that the use of ITS region markers of the ribosomal DNA will lead to higher rates of correct species identification than mitochondrial COI markers [35]. Already existing COI-based PCR protocols for the differentiation of Obsoletus complex species/*C. dewulfi* (e.g. [28,30,32]) were, therefore, recommended to be adjusted regarding their specificities and sensitivities. During the development of our PCR identification assay, we evaluated the ITS2 region in addition to the COI region and found considerable intraspecific variability (data not shown). This was particularly high in *C. scoticus* suggesting that this species is much more variable than the others examined (cf. [40]), which may explain the general problems in its identification by the DNA sequence based methods previously tested [35].

So far, a PCR identification assay using the ITS1 as a genetic marker [34] was preferred in our and other laboratories due to a high frequency of interpretable results. However, as could be demonstrated by sequence analysis, misidentifications occurred. Those that came to our immediate attention were almost always connected with PCR results representing as rather weak bands on a gel. Additional unnoticed misidentification may have occurred (and in fact could later be demonstrated) due to the fact that the PCR system is based on more than one band identifying a species, except for *C. scoticus* which is characterized by only one band. Identification becomes incorrect when unspecific bands occur that cannot be distinguished from correct secondary bands, or when correct weak secondary bands are overlooked. For example, when a second or a third specific band is almost invisible due to inefficient DNA amplification, the remaining one or two bands denote an incorrect species. In other words, the incorrect occurrence of additional bands, caused for instance by contamination, or inefficient amplification will not be noticed by the experimenter.

An alternative PCR assay, generating one single amplification product of specific length and, correspondingly, a single characteristic band on a gel for each species involved, was therefore regarded necessary. The developed PCR was designed to identify *C. obsoletus, C. chiopterus, C. scoticus* and *C. dewulfi*, four morphologically indistinguishable species probably participating in BTV and SBV transmission in Europe [8,21-23]. Species-specific primers were designed and successfully tested for their specificities when combined with a universal primer. Specific DNA fragments were produced for each of the species both when a simple PCR assay and when a multiplex PCR was performed. We were also able to identify all *C. scoticus* samples without problems. A variation of the protocol to run the multiplex PCR successfully without a previous DNA extraction step might render it more time- and cost-effective, although it has to be taken into account that the volume of DNA solution might be sufficient for a single PCR only and virological testing will not be possible.

**Conclusion**

The developed multiplex PCR is a reliable diagnostic tool for the differentiation of Obsoletus complex members, including *C. scoticus* which has formerly been shown to be prone to misidentification, and *C. dewulfi*. Although the primer set was primarily developed for, and so far has only been tested on, the German endemic midge fauna, it may be applicable to a much larger.
geographic range, provided that intraspecific sequence variation remains limited. The assay may also be useful for the identification of Culicoides larvae, thus allowing biological and ecological species analyses over the whole year.

In summary, the rate of biting midge misidentification seems to be low with both PCR assays compared. Doubtful cases, however, are probably less frequent with the newly developed PCR as one single band identifies a species unambiguously and no band or a secondary band clearly indicate that something during the processing of the specimen to be identified went wrong, most likely either the morphological pre-identification (i.e. the specimen did not belong to the Obsoletus complex/C. dewulfi) or the PCR handling.
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