Relationship between sensory attributes and volatile compounds of polish dry-cured loin
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Objective: The aim of this work was to determine the relationship between objective sensory descriptors and volatile flavour compound composition of Polish traditional dry-cured loin.

Methods: The volatile compounds were investigated by using solid phase microextraction (SPME) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS). For sensory assessment, the quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA) method was used.

Results: A total of 50 volatile compounds were found and assigned to 17 chemical families. Most of the detected volatile compounds derived from smoking, lipid oxidative reactions and seasoning (46.8%, 21.7%, and 18.9%, respectively). The dominant compounds were: aromatic hydrocarbon (toluene); alkanes (hexane, heptane, and 2,2,4-trimethylpentane); aldehyde (hexanal); alcohol (2-furanmethanol); ketone (3-hydroxy-2-butanone); phenol (guaiacol); and terpenes (eucalyptol, cymene, γ-terpinen, and limonene). Correlation analysis showed that some compounds derived from smoking were positively correlated with the intensity of cured meat odour and flavour and negatively with the intensity of dried meat odour and flavour, while terpenes were strongly correlated with odour and flavour of added spices.

Conclusion: The analysed dry-cured loins were characterized by specific and unique sensory profile. Odour and flavour of studied loins was mainly determined by volatile compounds originating from smoking, seasoning and lipid oxidation. Obtained results suggest that smoking process is a crucial stage during Polish traditional dry-cured loins production.
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INTRODUCTION

Traditional food is an important element of the European cultural heritage. Foodstuffs prepared with traditional methods, using old recipes, cultivated by local communities is considered to be tasty, healthy and natural. Production and sale of traditional food products provide a decisive economic input to many regions of the European Union [1]. Also in Poland a growing interest in traditional food has been observed in recent years. Smoked meats and sausages are most frequently purchased by Polish consumers, however a growing number of producers often offer more durable products with unique and high quality, classified as luxury products [2]. Such products are dry-cured meat products, which are manufactured in many countries, nevertheless the main production is located in the Mediterranean area.

Sensory quality of well-known Spanish, Italian and French dry-cured meat products varies depending on the pig breed, rearing system, carcass weight, type of cut and particular processing conditions [3,4]. However, the production of dry-cured loins and hams in these countries is similar and usually consists of four stages - dry salting with salt and other ingredients, washing, postsalting for salt equalization and then drying for 3 to 6 months, nevertheless most of them are cured for 9 to 12 months or even 24 months. During this period humidity and temperature are controlled to reduce the risk of bacterial spoilage [5]. In Poland, traditional manufacturing...
technology of dry-cured meat products is based on the dry salting with salt, spices and sometimes starter cultures, and two-step maturation (under a constant temperature and low humidity conditions) for 6 to 8 months. Obtained products can also undergo a cold smoking process [2]. Often the raw material for this production originates from native breeds. There are three native breeds of pigs in Poland – Zlotnicka White, Zlotnicka Spotted, and Pulawska, of which Zlotnicka White is the most popular [6].

Aroma and flavour are key attributes that impact the overall acceptance of dry-cured loins and are markedly affected by raw material, production process and aging time. These attributes can be determined by sensory descriptive analysis and the composition of volatile compounds [7,8]. In dry-cured meat products, during the ripening process, complex chemical and biochemical changes in the main components of raw meat (proteins and lipids) lead to the generation of flavour precursors such as peptides, free amino acids and free fatty acids, which are transformed to volatiles. Except volatile compounds derived from lipolysis and proteolysis aroma can be formed from spices added in the production process [4,9,10]. Several studies have been conducted to identify and quantify the volatile compounds in various kinds of dry-cured loins and hams, including Iberian [11,12], Parma [13], Bayonne [14], Istrian [4], and American [8]. Nevertheless, little information is available for dry-cured loins produced in Poland.

The aim of this work was to carry out a reliable identification of the volatile compounds composition in a traditional dry-cured loin made in Poland using solid phase microextraction (SPME) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS). Another purpose was to determine the relationship between objective sensory descriptors and volatile flavour compound composition of studied dry-cured loins.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Samples**
The study was carried out with 15 dry-cured loins made from meat of Zlotnicka White pigs purchased from three different manufacturers (5 dry-cured loins from each manufacturer) and 6 dry-cured loins made from meat of crossbreed of Polish Large White and Polish Landrace pigs purchased from one manufacturer. Dry-cured loins were processed by local manufacturers using the caudal half of the Longissimus dorsi muscle of all animals. Loins were seasoned with the mixture of salt, nitrates and spices (400 g per kg) and kept at 4°C and relative humidity of 75% to 80% for 2 to 4 weeks. Afterwards, loins were washed with cold water to remove the excessive salt. All 15 dry-cured loins obtained from the meat of Zlotnicka White pigs underwent a cold smoking process (16°C to 22°C) twice within 14 days (2 days of smoking and 5 days of aging), while loins made from the meat of the crossbreed of Polish Large White and Polish Landrace pigs did not undergo this process. Eventually, all loins were hung up and ripened under a constant temperature (about 10°C to 15°C) and relatively low humidity condition (65% to 75%) for 2 to 3 months.

Once the ripening process was finished, dry-cured loins were vacuum packaged to avoid an excessive dehydration of the product until evaluation. All dry-cured loins were purchased whole. For sensory evaluation the center part of loins (amount of 500 to 600 g) were used. Remaining pieces of dry-cured loins were cut in pieces of 20 to 30 g for further analysis, packed in closed Ziploc bags and frozen at –80°C. The samples were stored for up to one month.

**Sensory analysis**
The sensory analysis was conducted immediately after opening the packages. For sensory assessment, the quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA) method [15] was used and an unstructured, linear graphical scale (100 mm) was converted to numerical values (0 to 10 conventional units). Sensory quality was characterized on the basis of 16 sensory attributes, grouped in odour, flavour and overall quality. Descriptors were chosen and defined during the panel discussion and then verified in the preliminary session. The marks of anchors of the tested attributes were as follows for most of them: no intensity – high intensity and overall quality (very low to very high).

The trained 10-person assessing panel [16] was experienced (4 to 12 years of sensory evaluation practice), with good command of sensory methodology and familiarity with the sensory quality of meat and meat products. The analytical panel made each evaluation in duplicate (two sessions) therefore each mean result was based on 20 individual measurements. Between the subsequent evaluations, the assessors received hot tea without sugar to neutralize the taste.

The samples were prepared by placing size comparable, 5 mm thick slices (amount 25 to 30 g) in covered, odourless, single-use plastic boxes with lids (volume 150 mL). The slices were obtained using a commercial slicing machine (Zelmer 294.5 NP, Rzeszow, Poland). The assessment was performed in special odourless laboratory with daylight and limited noise. The condition mode was determined in accordance with Meilgaard et al [17].

**Analysis of volatile compounds**
The analysis of volatile compounds was performed according to the methodology presented by Muriel et al [9] with modifications. Volatile compounds were extracted by SPME (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) and subsequently analysed by gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC–MS) (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). To extract volatile compounds from the headspace polydimethylsiloxane/divinylbenzene absorption fiber (PDMS/DVB, 65 μm thickness) was used. The fiber was preconditioned at 250°C for 60 min in the GC injector port, according to supplier’s instructions.

Approximately 5 g of homogenized sample (Bosch MSM 67160, Gerlinger, Germany) was placed in a 20 mL vial, closed with
The obtained results indicated that all the dry-cured loins studied were characterized by relatively high overall quality. A high intensity of the odour and flavour of smoked meat and salty taste was stated (Table 1). In opposite a low intensity of the sweet taste, sour odour and flavour, “other” odour and flavour was noted. These discriminants (except for sweet taste) were characterized by high variability similar to the intensity of odour and flavour of seasoning, spicy and herbal. Differences in sensory quality of studied dry-cured loins may be the result of diversified raw material and slightly different production technology. Appearance and texture attributes of dry-cured meat products mainly depend on the genetic features of the pig breed, while flavour and odour attributes are markedly affected by processing techniques and aging time [12,18,19].

The results for the sensory evaluation were elaborated by PCA. Based on the results of this analysis 3 groups of samples varying in sensory quality have been identified. The results of PCAs showed that 57.07% of total variation in the data could be explained by two principal components (Table 2). The PC1 dimension, that explained 36.91% of the variance, was strongly and positively associated with seasoning, spicy and herbal odour and flavour, sour odour and flavour, cured meat odour and “other” flavour, and negatively with dried meat odour and flavour, salty taste and smoked meat flavour. The PC2 dimension, which explained an additional 20.16% of the variance, was positively correlated with smoked meat odour and flavour, cured meat odour and flavour and overall quality, and negatively with “other” odour and flavour (Table 2).

The distribution of all analyzed samples in the area created by two principal components (based on the sensory attributes) is shown in Figure 1. Samples were grouped into three clusters with their own, unique sensory profile. The I group had the highest intensity of sour as well as seasoning, spicy and herbal odour and

Statistical analysis
The results were elaborated using STATISTICA version 10 software (StatSoft, Inc. 2011) and Microsoft Excel 2007 program. Between examined traits simple Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. The results for the sensory evaluation were elaborated by principal component analysis (PCA). Based on the results of this analysis 3 groups of samples varying in sensory quality and volatile compounds composition have been identified. These results have been developed using one-way analysis of variance. The significance of differences between means was calculated on the basis of the least significant differences test. The impact of the sensory session was insignificant for most evaluated attributes, which positively verifies the experience of the panel and assessments conditions. The obtained results are presented as mean value with standard deviation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sensory evaluation
The obtained results indicated that all the dry-cured loins studied

### Table 1. Characteristics of sensory quality of dry-cured loins based on quantitative descriptive analysis method (n = 21)

| Intensity of studied attributes [0 to 10 c.u.] | Mean value | Standard deviation | Coefficient of variation |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Cured meat odour                              | 5.74       | 1.62               | 28.15                   |
| Smoked meat odour                             | 6.25       | 1.30               | 20.83                   |
| Dried meat odour                              | 5.28       | 1.39               | 26.36                   |
| Seasoning, spicy and herbal odour             | 3.36       | 1.90               | 56.58                   |
| Fatty odour                                   | 2.32       | 0.42               | 18.20                   |
| Sour odour                                    | 1.92       | 0.75               | 38.89                   |
| “Other” odour                                 | 1.68       | 0.79               | 46.83                   |
| Cured meat flavour                            | 5.85       | 1.56               | 26.61                   |
| Smoked meat flavour                           | 6.18       | 1.20               | 19.47                   |
| Dried meat flavour                            | 5.16       | 1.40               | 27.12                   |
| Seasoning, spicy and herbal flavour           | 3.14       | 1.72               | 54.60                   |
| Salty taste                                   | 6.04       | 1.42               | 23.46                   |
| Sweet taste                                   | 1.55       | 0.39               | 25.41                   |
| Sour flavour                                  | 2.15       | 1.04               | 48.39                   |
| “Other” flavour                               | 1.76       | 1.08               | 61.41                   |
| Overall quality                               | 6.43       | 0.69               | 10.82                   |

c.u.- conventional unites.
flavour or “other” flavour, but the lowest intensity of dried meat odour and flavour or smoked meat flavour (Figure 2). The II group was characterized by the lowest intensity of “other” odour and salty taste (which was similar as in III group). According to Yim et al [20], salty taste is not only determined by the addition of salt, but may be influenced by other compounds like nucleotides and amino acids or a low content of intramuscular fat. The III group was only distinguished by the highest intensity of smoked meat odour (Figure 2).

Overall quality has not differed significantly between separated

Table 2. The load factors of main two principal components of measured sensory attributes

|                          | PC1     | PC2     |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| Intensity of cured meat odour | 0.52    | 0.8     |
| Intensity of smoked meat odour | -0.20   | 0.83    |
| Intensity of dried meat odour | -0.81   | 0.11    |
| Intensity of seasoning, spicy and herbal odour | 0.91    | -0.04   |
| Intensity of fatty odour | -0.03   | 0.23    |
| Intensity of sour odour | 0.81    | -0.01   |
| Intensity of “other” odour | -0.07   | -0.89   |
| Intensity of cured meat flavour | 0.47    | 0.77    |
| Intensity of smoked meat flavour | -0.63   | 0.66    |
| Intensity of dried meat flavour | -0.84   | 0.07    |
| Intensity of seasoning, spicy and herbal flavour | 0.90    | -0.15   |
| Intensity of salty taste | -0.66   | -0.00   |
| Intensity of sweet taste | 0.19    | -0.01   |
| Intensity of sour flavour | 0.75    | -0.10   |
| Intensity of “other” flavour | 0.64    | -0.52   |
| Overall quality | 0.05    | 0.60    |
| % of variance | 36.91   | 20.16   |

PC1, principal component 1; PC2, principal component 2.

Figure 1. The results of principal component analysis (PCA) – distribution of all analyzed samples presented in the first two principal components PC1 and PC2. I, II, III, groups of samples varying in sensory quality.

Figure 2. Sensory profile (quantitative descriptive analysis [QDA] method) of three groups of dry-cured loins obtained according to principal component analysis (PCA). * Values are significantly different (p<0.05).
groups, however it has higher scores in III group and lower in II group. This may suggest that panelists estimated higher dry-cured loins with distinct smoked and cured meat odour and flavour. Similar findings have been observed among consumers of American dry-cured ham [8]. These results are in accordance with the ones reported by Fortin et al [21] in which juiciness, tenderness, flavour and absence of off-flavours were the most important attributes comprising the sensory experience during meat consumption.

**Volatile profile of dry cured loin**

A total of 50 volatile compounds were detected and identified in dry-cured loins (Table 3). The compounds were categorized into different families based on their chemical properties and the sensory attributes they contribute to the overall flavour profile. The identification of compounds was performed using RT, retention time; ID, method of identification; MS, identification based on MS databases; LRI, identification based on linear retention index; ST, identification based on comparison of spectra and retention time with commercial standards; ND, not detected.

**Table 3.** Contents of volatile compounds quantified in dry-cured loins (percentage of the total area)

| No. | RT  | Compound name                        | ID            | Group     | Family         | Source of volatile compounds |
|-----|-----|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| 1   | 4.668 | Pyridine                            | MS+LRI        | I         | Pyridines      | Smoke                       |
| 2   | 5.38 | Methylbenzene (Toluene)             | MS+LRI        | I         | Aromatic hydrocarbons |                         |
| 3   | 8.499 | Furfural                            | MS+LRI+ST     | II        | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 4   | 8.89 | 2-methyl-cyclopentanone             | MS+LRI        | II        | Cyclopentanes  |                             |
| 5   | 10.353 | Ethylbenzene                      | MS+LRI        | II        | Cyclopentanes  |                             |
| 6   | 10.703 | 2-Furanmethanol                    | MS+LRI+ST     | II        | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 7   | 13.527 | 2-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one       | MS+LRI        | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 8   | 17.022 | 3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one       | MS+LRI        | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 9   | 20.807 | 2,3-dimethyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one   | MS+LRI        | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 10  | 22.79 | 3-methylphenol                      | MS+LRI        | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 11  | 23.201 | guaiacol                           | MS+LRI        | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 12  | 27.363 | 3-methoxy-4-methyl-phenol           | MS+LRI        | III       | Phenols        |                             |
| 13  | 30.496 | 4-ethylnuacol                      | MS+LRI        | III       | Phenols        |                             |
| 14  | 2.266 | Hexane                              | MS+LRI+ST     | III       | Alkanes        | Lipid oxidation             |
| 15  | 3.491 | Heptane                             | MS+LRI+ST     | III       | Alkanes        |                             |
| 16  | 6.639 | Hexanal                             | MS+LRI+ST     | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 17  | 12.773 | 2-Heptanone                        | MS+LRI        | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 18  | 19.224 | Octanal                            | MS+LRI+ST     | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 19  | 23.872 | Nonanal                             | MS+LRI+ST     | III       | Aldehydes      |                             |
| 20  | 27.661 | Dodecane                           | MS+LRI        | III       | Aliphatic hydrocarbons |  |
| 21  | 27.876 | Decanal                            | MS+LRI        | III       | Phenols        |                             |
| 22  | 1.686 | Ethanol                             | MS+LRI        | III       | Aldehydes      | Carbohydrate fermentation   |
| 23  | 3.83  | 3-hydroxy-2-butanoic acid           | MS+LRI        | III       | Ketones        |                             |
| 24  | 6.059 | 2,3-Butanediol                     | MS+LRI        | III       | Ketones        |                             |
| 25  | 4.038 | Butanoic acid, methyl ester        | MS+LRI        | III       | Esters         | Microorganisms esterification |
| 26  | 11.981 | 3-methyl-butanolic acid            | MS+LRI        | III       | Acids          |                             |
| 27  | 14.865 | Thujene                            | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       | Spices                      |
| 28  | 15.16 | α-pinene                           | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 29  | 15.955 | Camphene                           | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 30  | 17.497 | Sabinene                           | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 31  | 19.374 | 4-Carene                           | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 32  | 19.74 | α-Terpinen                         | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 33  | 20.189 | Cymene                             | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 34  | 20.351 | Limonene                           | MS+LRI+ST     | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 35  | 20.498 | Eucalyptol                         | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 36  | 21.814 | γ-Terpine                          | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 37  | 22.149 | trans-4-Thujanol                    | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenoids     |                             |
| 38  | 23.521 | cis-4-Thujanol                      | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 39  | 23.71 | Linalool                            | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 40  | 25.372 | Camphor                            | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenoids     |                             |
| 41  | 26.255 | Bornol                             | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 42  | 26.726 | 4-Terpene                          | MS+LRI        | III       | Cycloalkanes   |                             |
| 43  | 31.005 | Tymol                              | MS+LRI        | III       | Phenols (derivative) |                             |
| 44  | 31.702 | 4-Vinylguaiacol                    | MS+LRI        | III       | Phenols        |                             |
| 45  | 33.191 | Eugenol                            | MS+LRI        | III       | Terpenes       |                             |
| 46  | 35.242 | Caryophyllene                      | MS+LRI        | III       | Sesquiterpenes |                             |
| 47  | 3.269 | 2,2,4-trimethylpentane             | MS+LRI        | III       | Alkanes        |                             |
| 48  | 13.968 | 1-(2-furanyl)-Ethanol              | MS+LRI        | III       | Ketones        |                             |
| 49  | 14.138 | Butyrolactone                      | MS+LRI+ST     | III       | Lactones       |                             |
| 50  | 17.9  | 3-methyl-2-(5H)-Furanone            | MS+LRI        | III       | Lactones       |                             |

RT, retention time; ID, method of identification; MS, identification based on MS databases; LRI, identification based on linear retention index; ST, identification based on comparison of spectra and retention time with commercial standards; ND, not detected.
in the headspace of dry-cured loins using SPME coupled to GC/MS (Table 3). Identified compounds were assigned to 17 chemical families: acids, alkanes, alcohols, aldehydes, aliphatic, and aromatic hydrocarbons, cycloalkanes, cyclopentanones, cyclopentenones, esters, glycol, ketones, lactones, pyridines, phenols, terpenes, and terpenoids. These groups of volatile compounds mostly agree with the ones reported by different authors for dry-cured loin [12,22] and dry-cured ham [4,7,14]. The predominant volatile compound in studied loins was methylbenzene, followed by heptane, hexane, hexanal, eucalyptol and 3-hydroxy-2-butanone (Table 3).

It is essential to identify the origin of the volatile compounds to understand the formation of flavour in dry-cured loins. As reported in case of the same or similar type of meat product, the volatile compounds composition might be due to seasoning, smoking or the reactions between lipids, protein and carbohydrates caused by microbial or endogenous enzymes [11,23]. In the studied loins most of the detected volatile compounds derived from smoking, lipid oxidative reactions and seasoning (46.8%, 21.7%, and 18.9%, respectively).

The volatiles originating from smoking were alcohols, aldehydes, aromatic hydrocarbons, cyclopentanones, cyclopentenones, phenols and pyridines, all of which are characteristic compounds of wood smoke [24]. Phenolic compounds with heavy, pungent, burnt, cresolic, smoky notes and the low sensory threshold values were essential contributors to the flavour of the studied dry-cured loins. Moreover, these compounds had antimicrobial and antioxidant activity, which significantly increases sustainability of smoked products [23]. The predominant volatile compound originating from smoking was methylbenzene (Table 3). However, Théron et al [14] reported that this aromatic hydrocarbon may be created by the oxidation of lipids, the catabolism of phenylalanine or may be just an environmental contaminant. Toluene was the component with the highest area percentage in II and III group, while in I group components with the highest area percentage were toluene and 2-furanmethanol (Table 3). The 2-furanmethanol, which gives burnt meat and vitamin-like odours, has several suggested formation pathways such as thermal degradation during smoking, the Maillard reaction or the deamination and dehydration of Amadori products during heating [8].

Considering the class of volatile compounds derived from lipid oxidation the most abundant were hydrocarbons – heptane and hexane – although due to their relatively high odour threshold values they probably did not have a significant impact on the final aroma of the studied loins [24]. Straight-chain aliphatic aldehydes are typical products of lipid oxidation with very low odour thresholds. They are responsible for giving fat odour to a product. The principal component in this group was hexanal. High concentration of this compound was also detected in dry-cured ham, fermented sausages and cecinas, which were salted and occasionally smoked and dried meats [4,24,25]. Hexanal was probably derived from oxidation of unsaturated fatty acids, such as linoleic and archidonic acid [4,7]. The aroma of hexanal has been described as green, grassy, fatty, rancid, strong, unpleasant, hot and nauseating. Other saturated aliphatic aldehydes give characteristic odours. Octanal gives meat-like, fresh, green, citrus, herbal, and floral odours. Nonanal gives odours described as rancid, fatty, soap, and plastic. While the aroma of decanal has been determined as roasty, sweet, fruity, citrus, waxy, floral, and penetrating [10,25,26]. Methylketone (2-heptanone) is normally responsible for the aroma of “blue cheese” [7,10]. In regular dry-cured products, where the microbial population is relatively low, this compound is formed by a chemical process. Though an abnormally high concentration of this volatile compound is an indicator of low quality meat products, it can also be formed by microorganisms [13].

Another, quite numerous group of volatile compounds identified in the studied dry-cured loins, was terpenes, phenols and their derivatives originating from spices. Though some terpenes have been found in meat as a result of their presence in animal feedstuffs [4]. The dominant compound was eucalyptol, however it was found only in samples from I group. Eucalyptol may originate from bay leaves, rosemary or basil [27]. Other terpenes found in high concentration were cymene, limonene, γ-terpinen, α-pine, sabine and linalool, which may have been derived from the added black pepper, rosemary and laurel [4]. High concentrations of 4-carene and camphor probably resulted from the use of rosemary, whereas thymol, which was found only in dry-cured loins from I group, was most likely derived from marjoram or thyme [27]. Characteristic odours given by terpenes are well established. The aroma of limonene has been described as fresh, citric and wood, while α-pine gives sharp, pine and peppery notes [10,26,28]. The variability observed among the groups in the content of terpenes showed that different amount of spices had been added during the production of the different dry-cured loins.

Dry-cured loins belonging to II group showed the highest concentrations of volatile compounds from fermentation of carbohydrates with 3-hydroxy-2-butanoic being the most abundant (Table 3). That compound imparts butter, soft and cheese odour and due to low sensory threshold is of great importance to the final aroma [24,28]. Presence of 3-hydroxy-2-butanoic was reported in other dry-cured loins [11,12,22], dry-cured hams [13], fermented sausages [28] and cecinas [24].

A higher concentration of fermentation compounds in dry-cured loins from II group indicates a higher metabolic activity of the microbiota in these samples, which is reflected in the amount of volatile compounds derived from microorganisms esterification. The dominant compound (3-methyl-butanolic acid) could be generated from leucine by the functions of Staphylococcus xylosus, Staphylococcus carnosus, or fungal protease EPg222 [29]. Characteristic, unpleasant aroma of 3-methyl-butanolic acid described as cheese, feet and dirty socks may contribute to lower overall quality of loins from II group. According to Montel et al [30], the...
relative input of microbial flora on volatile compounds depends on the number and identity of microorganisms and their metabolic activities. These metabolic activities depend on, *inter alia*, meat composition, added ingredients and technological variables, such as temperature, pH, gaseous environment or extent of drying. In this work, due to long drying time and higher manufacturing temperatures, microbial activity was considered as a minor factor in the formation of volatile compounds.

**Relationship between sensory attributes and volatile compounds**

The specific sensory profile of previously selected groups was reflected in their volatile profile. Odour and flavour of dry-cured loins in the I group were mainly determined by volatile compounds originating from seasoning and smoking (49.3% and 38.1%, respectively), whereas by compounds derived from lipid oxidation (7.6%) and carbohydrate fermentation (1.9%) they were determined to a small extent.

In creating the final aroma of loins in II group the majority was compounds derived from lipid oxidation (35.7%). The content of compounds originating from carbohydrate fermentation was the highest when compared to other groups and accounted for 14.2% of the total volatile content. Compounds from unknown sources, particularly 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, were the most abundant among loins in this group, which may correspond to their very high intensity of “other” odour. We assumed that high content of toluene in this group might have derived from lipid oxidation, the catabolism of phenylalanine or the environmental contamination, as loins from this group had not undergone a smoking process [14].

Smoked-derived compounds dominated in the headspace of dry-cured loins from III group (65.4% of total area percentage). Those loins have certainly undergone an intense smoking process. Lipid oxidation was also an important pathway of volatile generation in the group of dry-cured loins.

Correlation analysis showed that some compounds derived from smoking (especially, pyridine, furfural, 2-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one, 2,3-dimethyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one, and guaiacol) were positively correlated with the intensity of cured meat odour and flavour and negatively with the intensity of dried meat odour and flavour, while 2,2,4-trimethylpentane had a positive effect on the intensity of dried meat odour and flavour. Seasoning, spicy and herbal odour and flavour as well as sour odour and flavour were positively correlated with the presence of terpenes, which originate from spices added in salting phase. Similar findings have been reported by Marušić et al [4].

In conclusion, based on the results of this study, it can be stated that dominant compounds in dry-cured loins were: aromatic hydrocarbon (toluene); alkanes (hexane, heptane, and 2,2,4-trimethylpentane); aldehyde (hexanal); alcohol (2-furanmethanol); ketone (3-hydroxy-2-butanone); phenol (guaiacol); and terpenes (eucalyptol, cymene, γ-terpinene, and limonene). The analysed products were characterized by specific and unique sensory profile and on the basis of Principal Component Analysis were grouped into three different quality clusters. Odour and flavour of dry-cured loins in the I group was mainly determined by volatile compounds originating from seasoning and smoking, in II group the majority were compounds derived from lipid oxidation, while in III group dominated smoked-derived compounds. Obtained results suggest that smoking process is a crucial stage during Polish traditional dry-cured loins production.
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