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ABSTRACT

Context. The precise mechanisms that provide the nonradiative energy for heating the chromosphere and corona of the Sun and other stars are at the focus of intense contemporary research.

Aims. Observations at submm and mm wavelengths are particularly useful to obtain information about the run of the temperature in the upper atmosphere of Sun-like stars. We used the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) to study the chromospheric emission of the $\alpha$ Centauri binary system in all six available frequency bands during Cycle 2 in 2014-2015.

Methods. Since ALMA is an interferometer, the multitelescope array is particularly suited for the observation of point sources. With its large collecting area, the sensitivity is high enough to allow the observation of nearby main-sequence stars at submm/mm wavelengths for the first time. The comparison of the observed spectral energy distributions with theoretical model computations provides the chromospheric structure in terms of temperature and density above the stellar photosphere and the quantitative understanding of the primary emission processes.

Results. Both stars in the $\alpha$ Centauri binary system were detected and resolved at all ALMA frequencies. For both $\alpha$ Cen A and B, the existence and location of the temperature minima, first detected from space with Herschel, are well reproduced by the theoretical models of this paper. The temperature minimum for $\alpha$ Cen B is lower than for A and occurs at a lower height in the atmosphere, but for both stars, $T_{\text{min}}/T_{\text{eff}}$ is consistently lower than what is derived from optical and UV data. In addition, and as a completely different matter, a third point source was detected in Band 8 (405 GHz, 740 \textmu m) in 2015. With only one epoch and only one detection, we are left with little information regarding that object’s nature, but we conjecture that it might be a distant solar system object.

Conclusions. The submm/mm emission of the $\alpha$ Cen stars is indeed very well reproduced by modified chromospheric models of the quiet Sun. This most likely means that the nonradiative heating mechanisms of the upper atmosphere that are at work in the Sun are also operating in other solar-type stars.
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1. Introduction

Outside the solar system, Alpha Centauri ($\alpha$ Cen) is our nearest neighbor at only a little more than a parsec away ($\pi = 0.742$). It is a double star, and its primary, $\alpha$ Cen A, has the same spectral type and luminosity class as the Sun, viz. G2 V. The secondary, $\alpha$ Cen B, is a somewhat cooler star, of spectral type K1 V. Using asteroseismology, the age of the main-sequence stars $\alpha$ Cen A and B has been determined to 4.85 ± 0.5 Gyr by Thévenin et al. (2002), whereas statistical methods resulted in estimates of 8 to 10 Gyr, depending on the method used, i.e., the Ca II $R_{\text{HK}}$ index or the X-ray luminosity, respectively (see, e.g., Eiroa et al. 2013, and references therein).

The proximity of $\alpha$ Cen, the similarity of A, and the differences of B, compared to the Sun provide an excellent opportunity to study the stellar-solar relationship, as the understanding of the physics of the Sun and the stars is an iterative process that provides feedback in both directions. For instance, an outstanding problem of modern solar physics is the heating of the outer atmospheric layers, i.e., of the chromosphere and corona (Wedemeyer-Böhm et al. 2007). A few hundred kilometers above the solar photosphere, the temperature gradient changes sign at the location of the temperature minimum. From early theoretical models of the chromosphere, this phenomenon was already found for $\alpha$ Cen A and B as well (and in addition, for $\alpha$ Boo and $\alpha$ CMi; Ayres et al. 1976). The primary observables were the wings of optical and UV resonance lines, for example, Ca II H&K and Mg II h&k, the cores of which are formed higher up in the chromosphere. In addition, high temperature tracers also include high ionization lines and continua in the UV from the transition region and radio emission and X-rays from the corona.

The temperature minimum of $\alpha$ Cen was directly observed in the far-infrared spectral energy distribution (SED) by Liseau et al. (2013). However, the far-infrared data did not resolve the binary in its individual components and the...
interpretation had to rely on photometry at shorter wavelengths. Observations with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) at three frequencies finally resolved the pair and the individual SEDs were spectrally mapped throughout the submillimeter (submm) up to 3 mm (Liseau et al. 2015). α Cen was observed with three more ALMA bands during Cycle 2. The stars themselves were unresolved and appeared as point sources observed with three more ALMA bands during the period July 2014 to May 2015 (Table 2). The binary α Cen AB was observed in all six ALMA continuum bands. The field of view (primary beam) varied from about 10″ for the longest. Similarly, the angular resolution (synthesized half power beam width) ranged from 0″2 to 1″5. With angular diameters of 0″008 and 0″006 for A and B at 2 µm (Kervella et al. 2003), the stars were point-like to the ALMA interferometer in all wave bands (cf. Table 1). The ALMA program code is 2013.1.00170.S and the observations in Bands 3, 7, and 9 have already been described in detail by Liseau et al. (2015) and are not repeated here.

The observations in Bands 4, 6, and 8 were taken in the standard wideband continuum mode with 8 GHz effective bandwidth spread over four spectral windows in each of the bands. The Band 4 observations, taken on 2015 Jan 18 with 34 antennas, were centered on 145 GHz (2068 µm) with ~24 min of observing time with 5.5 min on source. The Band 6 observations, taken on 2014 Dec 16 with 35 antennas, were centered on 233 GHz (1287 µm) with ~14 min of observing time with ~2 min on source. Finally, the Band 8 observations, taken on 2015 May 2 with 37 antennas, were centered on 405 GHz (740 µm) with ~21 min of observing time with ~7 min on source. The visibilities were flagged and calibrated following standard procedures using the CASA package\(^1\) v4.2.2 for Bands 4 and 6, and v4.3.1 for Band 8. The quasar J1617-5848 was used as complex gain calibrator in Bands 4 and 8, while J1408-5712 was used in Band 6. The quasar J1427-4206 was used as bandpass calibrator in Bands 6 and 8, while J1617-5848 was used in Band 4. Flux calibration was carried out with Ceres in Band 4 when at 74° elevation, while α Cen was at 44°. The quasar 1427-421 was used for flux calibration in Band 6 when it was at 57° elevation and α Cen was at 46°, while Titan was used in Band 8 when it was at 50° elevation and α Cen was at 52°.

Imaging was performed using natural weighting in Bands 4, 6, and 8, and one round of phase-only self-calibration was carried out on all three images to improve the rms noise. The synthesized beam sizes are listed in Table 1 and the primary beam-corrected flux densities and the rms noise per synthesized beam in the pointing center are listed in Table 2. We also imaged the ALMA spectral windows separately in each band to assess the spectral index within each band and the resultant flux densities for α Cen A and B are listed in Table A.1.

\(^1\) CASA is an acronym for Common Astronomy Software Application.

### Table 1. Positions of α Cen A and B with ALMA in right ascension and declination (ICRS J 2000.0).

| Date yyyy-mm-dd | Start UTC hh mm s | End UTC hh mm s | α Cen A hh mm ss.s | α Cen B hh mm ss.s | Synthesized beam a" × b" | PA° |
|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----|
| B3             | 2014-07-03        | 00 47 20.4     | 01 38 19.4        | 14 39 28.893      | 14 39 28.333           | 60-49.596               | 1.81 ± 1.22 19 |
| B7             | 2014-07-07        | 02 26 24.4     | 02 44 53.8        | 14 39 28.883      | 14 39 28.325           | 60-49.596               | 0.43 ± 0.28 47 |
| B9             | 2014-07-18        | 00 56 05.7     | 01 26 49.4        | 14 39 28.870      | 14 39 28.309           | 60-49.596               | 0.22 ± 0.16 36 |
| B6             | 2014-12-16        | 11 04 36.6     | 11 18 34.2        | 14 39 28.650      | 14 39 28.120           | 60-49.596               | 1.64 ± 1.07 71 |
| B4             | 2015-01-18        | 13 35 24.5     | 13 59 40.8        | 14 39 28.624      | 14 39 28.110           | 60-49.596               | 3.16 ± 1.67 82 |
| B8             | 2015-05-02        | 03 04 14.2     | 03 25 01.7        | 14 39 28.439      | 14 39 27.934           | 60-49.558               | 0.77 ± 0.68 70 |

### Table 2. ALMA flux density data for the α Centauri binary.

| Primary beam-corrected flux density, Sν ± ΔSν (mJy), and signal-to-noise [S/N] |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Band 9                        | Band 8                        | Band 7          | Band 6          |
| 679 GHz                       | 405 GHz                       | 343.5 GHz       | 233 GHz         |
| 442 µm                        | 740 µm                        | 873 µm          | 1287 µm         |
| A                              | 107.2 ± 1.50 [71]             | 35.32 ± 0.211 [168] | 26.06 ± 0.19 [137] |
| B                              | 57.6 ± 4.5 [13]               | 16.53 ± 0.19 [87] | 11.60 ± 0.34 [34] |

2. Observations and data reduction

The binary α Cen AB was observed in all six ALMA continuum bands during the period July 2014 to May 2015 (Table 2).
would be close to the ratio of their respective solid angles through 9 is $\alpha = \log S_i/\log v$ shown next to them. Right: fits are shown with solid lines, performed as in the left panel, to the data above, and with dotted lines below 200 GHz (~1.5 mm). The ALMA bands, with their central wavelengths, are identified at the bottom of the figure.

Table 3. Stellar flux ratios and in-band (spw 1 – spw 4) spectral indices.

| B   | $\alpha$ | $\nu$ | $S_\nu(B)/S_\nu(A)$ | $\alpha_{\alpha\text{CenA}}$ | $\alpha_{\alpha\text{CenB}}$ |
|-----|----------|-------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 9°  | 442      | 679   | 0.54 ± 0.044         | ...                         | ...                         |
| 8   | 740      | 405   | 0.47 ± 0.008         | 1.3                         | 1.6                         |
| 7°  | 873      | 343.5 | 0.44 ± 0.015         | 1.0                         | 0.9                         |
| 6   | 1287     | 233   | 0.46 ± 0.007         | 1.5                         | 0.9                         |
| 4   | 2068     | 145   | 0.41 ± 0.017         | 1.8                         | 2.0                         |
| 3   | 3075     | 97.5  | 0.47 ± 0.007         | 1.7                         | 1.6                         |

Notes. * Bandwidth that is too small or errors that are too large.

3. Results

The binary system is well resolved at all frequencies. The J2000-coordinates for α Cen A and B on the observational dates are presented in Table 1, together with the sizes of the synthesized beams (ellipses with semimajor axes $a$ and semiminor axes $b$ in arcseconds) and their orientations (position angle PA in degrees). The frequencies of the bands are given in Table 2, where the primary beam-corrected flux densities, $S_\nu$, are reported together with their statistical errors. As can be seen, the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spans the range 10–100 for α Cen B, and exceeds to nearly 300 for α Cen A. The absolute flux calibration is quoted in terms of goals, viz. better than 5% for bands B 3 and B 4, better than 10% for B 6 and B 7, and at best about 20% for B 8 and B 9. These goals are shown for α Cen A and B in Fig. 2.

3.1. Relative fluxes from 0.4 to 3.1 mm

The average flux ratio for the binary over the ALMA bands 3 through 9 is $[S_\nu(B)/S_\nu(A)]_{ave} = 0.464 \pm 0.051$ (Table 3). This would be close to the ratio of their respective solid angles $(R_B/R_A)^2 = 0.497 \pm 0.003$, where the radii are those of their interferometrically measured photospheric disks of uniform brightness (Kervella et al. 2003). Comparison with the value for

\[ \chi^2(a, b) = \sum_{i}(y_i - a - b x_i)/\sigma_i^2 \text{ and } Q = \Gamma \left( \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \right). \]

Fig. 1. Measurements of the flux density of α Cen A (blue circles) and α Cen B (red circles) with ALMA, with statistical $1\sigma$ error bars inside the symbols. Left: assuming that $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$, least-square fits to the Bands 3 to 9 flux densities are shown with dashed lines with the power-law exponent $\alpha = \log S_i/\log v$ shown next to them. Right: fits are shown with solid lines, performed as in the left panel, to the data above, and with dotted lines below 200 GHz (~1.5 mm). The ALMA bands, with their central wavelengths, are identified at the bottom of the figure.

3.2. Spectral slopes of the SEDs

A first order characterization of the emission mechanism(s) can be obtained from the spectral slope of the logarithmic SED. Assuming that $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$, linear regression (Press et al. 1986) to the Bands 3 to 9 data results in a spectral index $\alpha_{\lambda, 3-9} = 1.92 \pm 1.06$ with a $\chi^2 = 0.015$ for α Cen A. For α Cen B, the corresponding $\alpha_{\lambda, 3-9} = 1.97 \pm 1.50$ and $\chi^2 = 0.033$; see Fig. 1. The goodness-of-fit is $Q = 0.9999$ for both.

This apparent constancy of the slope that is close to a value of two over the entire ALMA range, from 0.4 to 3.1 mm, is perhaps surprising. A more careful inspection of the data reveals that the slopes at the shorter wavelengths appear marginally steeper, but that the long-wavelength data, not totally unexpected, seem to flatten out. Dividing the data into two subsets for both stars, i.e., below and above 1.5 mm (200 GHz), yields $\alpha_{\lambda, 3} = 1.4$ and $\alpha_{\lambda, 60} = 2.0$ for the spectral indices of the α Cen A-SED. Similarly, for α Cen B, the corresponding $\alpha_{\lambda, 3, 60} = 0.7$ and $\alpha_{\lambda, 60} = 2.2$ (Fig. 1). In these cases, the formal fit errors are considerably larger for both α Cen A and B. However with regard to the fits in the left panel, the observed Band 3 flux densities are in excess by more than 110σ for A and by more than 30σ for B. Therefore, the flattening of the SEDs toward lower frequencies is real.

Observations at longer wavelengths would help to better constrain the run of the SED. Unfortunately, at declination south of ~60° the number of sensitive observing facilities is limited. Trigilio et al. (2013) and (2014) proposed Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) observations at 15 mm (17 GHz) and 16 cm (2 GHz). C. Trigilio privately communicated to us that both stars were recently detected at 17 GHz. However, having no further information, we provide here our own flux estimates both stars were recently detected at 17 GHz. However, having no further information, we provide here our own flux estimates for ATCA observations of the binary ($S/N > 5$). These are based on extrapolations beyond ALMA Band 3 and the sensitivity specifications of the 6 km compact array for the K band.
(15 mm) and C/X band (4 cm)\(^4\), resulting in estimates of the \(S/N = 54\) (0.27 mJy) and 13 (0.13 mJy) for \(\alpha\) Cen A and \(S/N = 26\) (0.04 mJy) and 7 (0.02 mJy) for \(\alpha\) Cen B, respectively. These values refer to 12 hour on-source integrations (rms = 0.003 mJy). The corresponding brightness temperatures are shown below, in Fig. 4.

Spectral indices for flux integrations over the individual bands are shown in Table 3, except for Band 7, where the relative errors are too large (negative slope within the band). Inside the individual bands, the data were collected through four spectral windows (sw; see Fig. A.1), with the flux data for these provided in Appendix A.

4. Discussion

4.1. The stellar brightness temperatures

The direct observation of the temperature minima of \(\alpha\) Cen AB at far-infrared wavelengths indicated a clear kinship with the Sun’s chromosphere (Liseau et al. 2013, 2015). At these wavelengths, the continuum opacity is dominated by inverse bremsstrahlung, with some contributions due to free-free \(\text{H}^+\) processes (e.g., Dulk 1985; Wedemeyer et al. 2016).

Figure 2 shows the observed SEDs of both stars in terms of their brightness temperatures\(^5\)

\[
T_B = \frac{2 \pi h v}{k} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{4 \pi^2 R_{\text{star}}^2 (1.0 + h/R_{\text{star}}^2 h v^3)}{D^2 c^2 S_v} + 1 \right) \right]^{-1},
\]

where \(R_{\text{star}}\) is the stellar radius, \(h\) is the height at which the observed radiation originates, \(v\) is the radiation frequency, \(D\) is the distance to the source, \(S_v\) is the observed flux density, and the other symbols have their usual meaning.

For the Sun, \(h/R \sim 10^{-4}\), where \(h\) refers to the height above the solar photosphere, where the optical depth in the visual \(\tau_{\text{visual}} = 1\) and \(h = 0\). We assume similar \(h/R\) values for the \(\alpha\) Cen stars and use their photospheric radii, i.e., \(R_{\text{star}} + h \sim R_{\text{star}}\), where \(R_{\text{star}}\) refers to the values determined by Kervella et al. (2003). When \(hv/kT \ll 1\) (Rayleigh-Jeans regime), Eq. (2) simplifies to

\[
T_B = \left( \frac{D}{R_{\text{star}}} \right)^2 \frac{c^2}{2 \pi k v^2} S_v.
\]

Consequently, in the Rayleigh-Jeans regime (RJ), optically thick free-free emission (or Bremsstrahlung) behaves as \(S_v \propto v^2\), so that the spectral index, \(\alpha = \Delta \log S_v/\Delta \log v = 2\) (Fig. 1). In that case, observed brightness temperatures correspond to actual physical temperatures. The data for the \(\alpha\) Cen stars reveal a positive temperature gradient that is reminiscent of the solar chromosphere, and different frequencies probe the temperature stratification of the atmosphere. To determine the chromospheric height values \(h\), requires a structure model of the atmosphere that details the run of density and fractional ionization of the gas (De la Luz et al. 2014; Loukitcheva et al. 2015, and references therein).

In Fig. 3, \(T_B(\lambda)\) for the disk integrated \(\alpha\) Cen A is compared with observed values for the quiet Sun (Loukitcheva et al. 2004).

4.2. Theoretical model chromospheres for \(\alpha\) Cen

The region close to the temperature minimum is optically thick in the FIR/submm (Liseau et al. 2015) which, as a consequence of the negative temperature gradient, limits our view to higher, cooler layers above the optical photosphere. Therefore, the received flux at a given frequency directly measures the temperature of the plasma at a particular atmospheric height. This fact can be used to construct analytically the temperature profile to
A more sophisticated method is to build a theoretical model chromosphere that at its base is anchored in the photosphere. The result of this is indicated in Fig. 3, showing both the temperature minimum and temperature increase that are retrieved by the semiempirical non-LTE model chromosphere of \( \alpha \) Cen A, based on a modified hydrostatic equilibrium model (C7) of the solar chromosphere (Avrett & Loeser 2008; De la Luz et al. 2014). C7 can be viewed as an average of the five most widely used solar chromosphere models (Vernazza et al. 1981; Lousse et al. 2004; Fontenla et al. 2007; Avrett & Loeser 2008; De la Luz et al. 2014).

The temperature profile is computed iteratively from the modified density/pressure structure, ionization balance and opacity (lines and continua). As the conditions in the chromosphere strongly deviate from thermodynamical equilibrium, both the ionization-excitation and the radiative transfer are treated in non-LTE (De la Luz & Tapia, in prep.). Figure 3 also shows the sharp drop in proton density \( n(H) \) and the increase of the turbulent speed \( \upsilon_{\text{turb}} \), steepening into shocks. Although \( \alpha \) Cen B is not a solar analog like A, a modified solar model also provides an acceptable fit to the data. The modeled \( T_B(h) \) of the K-star \( \alpha \) Cen B is also shown in Fig. 3.

For \( \alpha \) Cen A, the temperature profile is shallower than for the Sun and \( T_{\text{min}} = 3548 \) K at \( h = 615 \) km, where the proton density \( n(H) = 4.7 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-3} \). The corresponding model parameters for \( \alpha \) Cen B are 3407 K, 560 km and \( 9.5 \times 10^{13} \) cm\(^{-3} \), respectively.

The temperature minimum in the \( T_{\text{eff}} \) scale of the \( \alpha \) Cen A model, \( T_{\text{min}}/T_{\text{eff}} = 0.61 \), is as low as what has been observed in CO lines from the Sun \( (T_{\text{min}}/T_{\text{eff}} = 0.65; \text{Avrett 2003}, \text{and references therein}) \). This is lower than what traditionally has been derived from the wings of resonance lines, viz. \( > 0.7 \) for both \( \alpha \) Cen A and the Sun (Ayres et al. 1976; Avrett 2003).

At the longest wavelengths, the exponent of the observed SED changes, which is likely because the free-free emission is turning from optically thick to thin beyond 1.5 mm (frequency exponent tends from about 2 to 0). Especially at 3 mm, the Band 3 data are not reproduced well by the model, the density of which is too low to generate sufficient free-free and H\(^{\text{+}} \) opacity for the required flux. However, from Table 4, it can be seen that the radiation from \( \alpha \) Cen A in Bands 4 and 3 probably originates rather high up, at about 2000 km and near the base of the transition region (TR) into the hot corona, which is seen in the X-rays from the \( \alpha \) Cen binary (DeWarf et al. 2010; Ayres 2014). The X-ray emission is particularly strong from the more active companion \( \alpha \) Cen B.

It is likely that wave energy is dumped and dissipated in these thin layers of the TR base (Soler et al. 2015; Shelyag et al. 2016). Therefore, this region is critical to the understanding of the heating processes of the outer atmospheres of the stars and the Sun. Given the available evidence, ALMA Band 5 observations will eventually be particularly crucial for the observation of these layers in the \( \alpha \) Cen stars. These stars deserve continued monitoring, including observations at longer wavelengths.

\( \alpha \) Cen A and B are known to be variable on both short and long timescales (DeWarf et al. 2010; Ayres 2015). In X-rays and the FUV, both stars show flickering but also solar-like magnetic cycles, with \( \alpha \) Cen B being more active. Repeat observations would assess the level of activity in the submm/mm regime. Between 2014 and 2017, \( \alpha \) Cen A is expected to go through its broad shallow maximum of its \( \sim 19 \) yr cycle, whereas B presumably passes through a minimum of its 8 year cycle. Thus, perhaps in contrast to the solar case, changes of the chromospheric emission from the active K dwarf could occur over a period of a few
4.3.1. Solar-type

In addition to α Cen, a handful of other solar-type stars (late F to early K) have been observed at long wavelengths. These stars are all within 6 pc. For ε Eridani (K2 V), measurements were made at 1.3 mm and 7 mm (MacGregor et al. 2015) and at 3.6 cm (Güdel 1992) and 6 cm (Bower et al. 2009); for τ Ceti (G8.5 V) at 1.3 mm (MacGregor et al. 2016) and at 8.7 mm and 2 cm (Villadsen et al. 2014). Further, 40 Eridani A (K0.5 V) and η Cassiopeiae A (F9 V) at 8.7 mm, and the latter also at 2 and 6 cm, have also been observed by Villadsen et al. (2014).

As seen in Fig. 4, there is only limited overlap with the wavelength domain of the α Cen binary and upper limits, rather than detections, dominate at cm wavelengths. However, for all detected cases (four stars in addition to α Cen A and B), the fluxes were not consistent with photospheric values but are significantly higher. Therefore, it was generally concluded that this excess emission originates in stellar chromospheres, similar to those in the Sun and α Cen AB.

4.3.2. Giants

Harper et al. (2013, and references therein) discuss ongoing observational and theoretical work on giants (luminosity class III), and address the possibility of separating the acoustic from MHD heating processes in the upper atmospheres observationally because of the large-scale heights in these stars. Their convective cells and envelopes are much larger than those of main-sequence stars, which may make it possible to distinguish between these effects observationally. In addition and in contrast to the smaller and more compact main-sequence stars (class V), giants are relatively bright and hence offer themselves as possible candidates for calibration purposes for observations in the submm/mm/cm regime (see also Cohen et al. 2005).

4.4. A new, unidentified point-like source near α Cen

In May 2015, an unidentified object was detected in the Band 8 observations of α Cen (Fig. 5). This point source, designated U and with integrated flux over the band of about 4 mJy (Table 5), was within a few arcseconds of the binary. As this object was not detected in any other data set (including UV, VIS and NIR with HST and VLT; see Kervella et al. 2006; Kervella & Thévenin 2007), other epoch data are lacking and hence the nature of this object is unknown.

Figure 5 also shows the SED of this object, consisting of one detection and five upper limits at the 3σ level. However, the data could be consistent with blackbody emission, viz. \( S_\nu \propto \nu^5 \), and may be due to a submm galaxy, a stellar object, a brown dwarf or a planetary object. A companion star of the α Cen system does not present a viable explanation, as any star would be brighter than 10th magnitude in the V band, and hence must be discarded.

The submm galaxy option would imply that the proper motion of U would be minuscule, and that it would be quickly left behind the α Cen stars as they pace, at the rate of 3″/7 yr\(^{-1}\) through the sky. As α Cen is in close projection to the plane of the Galaxy, a stellar nature of U may perhaps appear more natural. However, this putative star remained undetected in recent deep searches, implying that U is either a distant heavily extinguished background star or a nearby, very cold object, i.e., a brown dwarf or a planetary object. The parallax and proper motion would clearly distinguish among these possibilities.

Very low-temperature brown dwarves, such as the T 8.5-type ULAS J003402.77−005206.7 with an estimated temperature of 575 K, or the even cooler Y2 object WISE J085510.83−071442.5 with \( T_{\text{eff}} = 250 \) K (Tinney et al. 2014; Leggett et al. 2015), can serve as known examples, i.e., an extremely cool brown dwarf at a distance of nearly 20 000 AU may be a viable candidate for the identification of source U. However, like the Y2 object, the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) should have picked it up. Unless it is close
Table 5. Primary beam-corrected flux density and 1σ upper limits for the U source in mJy.

| Band 9  | Band 8  | Band 7  | Band 6  | Band 4  | Band 3  |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 679 GHz | 405 GHz | 343.5 GHz | 233 GHz | 145 GHz | 97.5 GHz |
| 442 μm | 740 μm | 873 μm | 1287 μm | 2068 μm | 3075 μm |
| <3.6   | 4.24 ± 0.49 | <1.34 | <3.2 | <0.5 | <0.2 |

5. Conclusions

ALMA observations of α Centauri at 0.44, 0.74, 0.87, 1.3, 2.1, and 3.1 mm clearly resolved the binary, but not the stellar disks, at all wavelengths. The SEDs of these continuum measurements are consistent with radiation that follows $S_\nu \propto \nu^2$, except at the lowest frequencies where the SEDs appear to flatten. This is particularly pronounced for the more active secondary, a K1 star, which is possibly indicative of time variability within half a year or, perhaps more likely, of optically thin free-free emission. The ALMA data were modeled with modified solar chromosphere models that result in the physical structure of the stellar chromospheres. This adapted solar model works very well for the solar analog α Cen A (G2 V), but also for the K1 V star α Cen B. Comparison with the data indicates that the temperature minima of both α Cen A and B are lower than on the quiet Sun. These correspond to the low temperatures seen in lines of the CO molecule on the Sun and occur at atmospheric heights of 615 km and 560 km, respectively. The ALMA data for α Cen AB can be put into context with observations of other nearby solar-type stars that show that chromospheric mm-wave emission is a common feature among these stars and that an increase in the sample size can be expected in the near future.

The ALMA imaging at 0.74 mm led to the discovery of a previously unknown point source within a projected distance of 7.5 AU from α Cen AB. The ALMA observations were performed at different occasions during one year (2014–2015), but this source was clearly detected only on one date. At the three sigma level, the SED of this object is consistent with that of a blackbody and we speculate about its nature. Unless it is a highly variable background source, we find it most likely that it is a distant member of our solar system.

Acknowledgements. We thank the referee for his/her valuable comments on the manuscript. This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JOA/ALMA/2013.1.00170.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA), and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada) and NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan), in cooperation with the Republic.
Fig. 6. Band 8 flux density as a function of the distance from the Sun with the diameter as the parameter, in $10^3$ km and next to or atop the curves and arbitrarily limited to 6000 km, i.e., slightly smaller than the diameter of Mars. Both the surface temperature and radius are a priori undetermined. A few known TNOs with their names are shown with red dots (www.minorplanetcenter.org/iau/lists/Sizes.html). In parentheses, the apparent diameter in milli-arcseconds and the estimated blackbody temperature are given. The size of the ALMA synthesized beam, $\theta_{\text{beam}} = 120$ mas, is given in the upper right corner, confirming that these objects would be point-like to ALMA. The observed Band 8 flux density of the unidentified object is indicated by the horizontal blue-shaded dashed line ($\pm 1\sigma$). The distance to the U source remains to be determined.
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Appendix A: Sub-band fluxes

Fig. A.1. Measurements of the flux density of α Cen A (blue circles) and of α Cen B (red circles) in the sub-band windows (spw), see Table A.1. The error bars represent the 1σ rms values. Band 9 is too narrow to allow meaningful measurement in sub-windows and only a single value is given.

The flux densities of the spectral windows per band are provided in Table A.1 (α Cen A) and the data are plotted in Fig. A.1. For Band 9, only a single value is given, as the windows are too narrow for meaningful individual measurement.

For α Cen B, the relative drop in intensity in the second spw of Band 4 is conspicuous. This is not evident for α Cen A, and the glitch can therefore not be caused by different calibrations. α Cen AB are point sources and were observed simultaneously. Hence, simultaneous visibility fitting, in which the positions are fixed to reduce the noise (Martí-Vidal et al. 2014), should not result in such large differences unless there is something in the data, e.g., a spectral feature in α Cen B that is not present in the SED of α Cen A. New observations of B, at higher S/N in Band 4, would be necessary to resolve this issue.

Table A.1. Sub-band (spw) flux densities for α Cen AB.

| B  | ν (GHz) | \(S_\nu\) A (mJy) | rms(A) (mJy) | \(S_\nu\) B (mJy) | rms(B) (mJy) |
|----|--------|------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 3  | 90.4959 | 3.03             | 0.04        | 1.42            | 0.06        |
| 3  | 92.4320 | 3.00             | 0.11        | 1.41            | 0.12        |
| 3  | 102.4946| 3.75             | 0.06        | 1.64            | 0.07        |
| 3  | 104.4946| 3.81             | 0.06        | 1.83            | 0.05        |
| 4  | 138.7133| 5.92             | 0.15        | 2.50            | 0.15        |
| 4  | 140.6508| 5.96             | 0.14        | 2.32            | 0.14        |
| 4  | 149.2758| 6.74             | 0.15        | 2.62            | 0.15        |
| 4  | 151.2758| 6.82             | 0.16        | 2.98            | 0.16        |
| 6  | 224.000 | 13.12            | 0.22        | 5.37            | 0.14        |
| 6  | 226.000 | 13.75            | 0.17        | 6.21            | 0.09        |
| 6  | 240.000 | 14.33            | 0.14        | 7.03            | 0.18        |
| 6  | 242.000 | 14.64            | 0.46        | 6.43            | 0.11        |
| 7  | 336.4946| 26.75            | 0.53        | 10.50           | 0.59        |
| 7  | 338.4321| 25.18            | 0.39        | 10.81           | 0.58        |
| 7  | 348.4946| 25.69            | 0.38        | 12.22           | 0.27        |
| 7  | 350.4946| 26.25            | 0.48        | 12.61           | 0.90        |
| 8  | 397.9946| 37.17            | 0.57        | 15.66           | 0.28        |
| 8  | 399.9321| 35.47            | 0.65        | 15.69           | 0.51        |
| 8  | 409.9946| 38.65            | 0.65        | 17.39           | 0.57        |
| 8  | 411.9946| 38.19            | 0.38        | 17.84           | 0.51        |
| 9  | 678.9600| 107.20           | 1.50        | 57.60           | 4.50        |