Vocational High School Student Entrepreneurship Competency Based on Local Wisdom

Thamrin Tahir
Department of Economics Education
Faculty of Economics
Universitas Negeri Makassar
Makassar, Indonesia
thamrin.tahir@unm.ac.id

Muhammad Hasan
Department of Economics Education
Faculty of Economics
Universitas Negeri Makassar
Makassar, Indonesia
m.hasan@unm.ac.id

Abstract— This study aims to examine differences in entrepreneurial competency of Vocational High School students in South Sulawesi Province who obtain local wisdom-based learning with students who do not get local wisdom-based learning. This type of research is survey research using a quantitative approach. The population in the study were all students of class XII Accounting Department as many as 383, with a sample of respondents 351 students. Data retrieval uses a questionnaire. Data analysis used is the analysis of quantitative descriptive data and t test. The results showed that the entrepreneurial competencies possessed by students who obtained local wisdom-based learning were higher than students who did not obtain local wisdom-based learning.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Many countries face high levels of unemployment among their youth, especially young people with low educational qualifications (28; 3; 2). In particular, low-skilled individuals face the long-term effects of being unemployed and thus more vulnerable in the labor market throughout their lives (26). Thus, initial education and training are strong determinants of transition and successful success for individuals in the workforce (2).

Entrepreneurship education is education that aims to develop and improve students' basic competencies to start a business. The aim of learning entrepreneurship education is to improve entrepreneurial competence and entrepreneurial spirit of students. In addition to this, entrepreneurship education aims to foster student attitudes in entrepreneurship based on the interaction between competencies in operational actions, competency in decision making, competence in taking continuous action and competence in stimulating action (33; 21). Some research results show that individuals with entrepreneurial qualities have active, flexible and adaptive attitudes towards changes in the learning environment, and see environmental changes as opportunities. They have enough competence to think innovatively and practice them (6; 14).

Entrepreneurship learning has evolved as an important study in the research and development of the entrepreneurial paradigm related to the study of entrepreneurial academic studies and practical development for new entrepreneurs, but the research is still rare and not many are well understood (5). Entrepreneurs themselves are learners, who continuously explore the successful desires of their life's journey. Base On (23) argued that entrepreneurship must be understood as a learning process where theories about this phenomenon require learning theory.

Entrepreneurship is a process that is interrelated in order to create, recognize and act because of opportunities, by combining the capabilities of innovation, decision making and self-confidence (27). One of the important abilities that should be owned by each individual is his ability to build self-confidence or a feeling of confidence in success. This is especially when someone is faced with a condition of not supporting and the knowledge possessed leads to a failed assumption. More than that, self-confidence is also a very important ability when someone has to do something in which he or she has no positive experience about it. Even skilled and knowledgeable people will not be able to show their best performance if they have low self-confidence.

To foster student learning motivation, especially in Vocational Schools, learning must be creatively designed, which allows interaction and negotiation to create meaning and construction of meaning in students and teachers, so that meaningful learning is achieved. Creative and meaningful learning design becomes important because even though learning is a universal process, in reality learning occurs in a particular cultural community, as well as learning outcomes will be applied to certain cultural communities as well. In this case, the use of local culture in learning is one form of designing creative learning to produce contextually meaningful learning.

For that in the learning process in the classroom must use a cultural approach that is by linking the subject matter with concepts derived from the local culture in which students are located. Through developing the concept of local culture in the learning process, the learning process will be more easily understood and accepted by students. In other words, one way to increase student participation in learning is to use a culture-based learning approach. A culture-based learning approach can provide opportunities for students to create meaning and achieve an integrated understanding of the scientific information gained, as well as the application of scientific information in the context of the problems of the cultural community.
II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Entrepreneurship education has grown rapidly and has spread throughout the world in the past few decades. This is shown by the increasingly diverse pedagogic approach that specifically addresses the entrepreneurial process (17). Currently entrepreneurship is a separate academic study (9).

Entrepreneurship education is a complex process, apprenticeship and work with employers (16); and start-up business development (11).

Despite developments, there are several issues that are still being addressed, such as the need for entrepreneurial educators for integrated content and teaching methods (10). The competence of pedagogy and methodology still needs to be developed (18), and questions related to best practices for adoption in the entrepreneurial class arise, as predicted by (17).

There is no theory or definition of entrepreneurship education that is integrated and generally accepted as an unresolved problem (9). Base On (8) argue that there is no precise definition of entrepreneurship as a field of learning where philosophical conceptions of learning, the role of the teacher and the role of students, must be clarified in each learning exercise, especially those relating to the formation of students' entrepreneurial competencies.

In general, competence has been defined as a combination of integrated and integrated knowledge, skills and attitudes. Thus competence can change, can be learned and can be achieved through experience, training or coaching (20; 31; 30). According to (24), the holistic idea of competence focuses on the ability to successfully meet complex demands in a particular context. However, there are also many authors who prefer to determine the specific competencies that prospective entrepreneurs must have in order to succeed in a more analytical and behaviorally-oriented way (4). When identifying what competencies are considered important for prospective entrepreneurs, some authors start from the fact that taking risks seems to be an inherent and very important part of the life and success of a prospective entrepreneur (19; 7). However, taking risks also opens the door to failure and setbacks (27) and it is important that prospective entrepreneurs have the competencies that allow them to handle the risks and possible consequences. Successful entrepreneurs can continue to be enthusiastic despite these difficulties (22). Their perseverance allows them to apply themselves to work and survive until the goal is achieved (29). Successful entrepreneurs try to complete tasks even when they are bored. They survive when facing obstacles or failures (25).

III. RESEARCH METHOD

This research is an explanatory survey with a quantitative approach. Explanatory research is a type of research that seeks to find the influence of certain variables on other variables. In this study the researcher focused on collecting data through questionnaire techniques, questionnaire technique that is how to collect data through a number of questions submitted to respondents in writing. The questionnaire used in this study is a closed questionnaire through a questionnaire with the answers provided so that the respondent just answers or chooses it.

The population in the study were all students of class XII Accounting Department as many as 383 spread in 3 Vocational Schools in 3 Regencies in South Sulawesi Province, with a sample of respondents 351 students. Data retrieval using questionnaires. Data analysis in this study uses inferential statistics which include 5 main components, namely: descriptive analysis, test requirements analysis, normaliadas test, variance homogeneity test and two-party t test.

IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the calculation of descriptive analysis of entrepreneurial competencies of students who obtain local wisdom-based learning with students who do not get local wisdom-based learning are shown in Table 1.

| Learning Model | N   | Mean  | Std. Dev. | Std. Error | Information |
|---------------|-----|-------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Based on Local Wisdom | 175 | 210.80| 5.641     | 0.872      | Very high   |
| Conventional   | 176 | 145.00| 9.875     | 2.121      | Very high   |

Source: Results of research data processing, 2018.

The calculation results from the table above show that the entrepreneurial competence of students who obtain local wisdom-based learning with those that are not based on local wisdom, have values that are equally very high, but there are differences where the entrepreneurship competencies of students who get local wisdom-based learning are higher in value, namely 210.80, while students who did not obtain learning based on local wisdom amounted to 105.00.

The results of the test of the normality of entrepreneurial competencies of students who obtained local wisdom-based learning with those not based on local wisdom, the result is known that the sign (2-tailed) is 0.348 which means 0.348 > 0.05, then the data is declared normal. The results of the two-party t-test were used to test the hypothesis between students who obtained local wisdom-based learning with those not based on local wisdom, the results of the two-party t test were obtained t = 12.341, while the sign (2 tailed) 0.00. This shows that there are differences in entrepreneurial competencies between students who obtain local wisdom-based learning and those who are not based on local wisdom.

The local wisdom-based entrepreneurship learning model prioritizes learning that produces selling-value products by raising regional cultural heritage and can be a way to foster a sense of love for local products, especially products that are hereditary ancestors' cultural heritage. Besides being a cultural heritage, local wisdom provides norms that can be guided by society in daily behavior and can shape human character that is obedient to the norms that govern life, in order to achieve order, tranquility, justice in a common life. Indonesian people who obey the norms and laws with full awareness of carrying it out, is a very valuable nation's social capital. This social capital can equip
the Indonesian nation to be able to adjust to social life, both locally, nationally, regionally and internationally.

Local wisdom can be interpreted as habits, rules and values as a result of cognitive efforts adopted by certain communities or local communities that are considered good and wise, which are carried out and obeyed by the community. Ideas from local wisdom can be manifested in various forms, ranging from habits, rules, values, traditions, even religions adopted by the local community.

In the people of South Sulawesi, the values of local wisdom that support the practice of entrepreneurship include honesty, patience, complying with rules and roles, exercising responsibility, wisdom to distinguish between good and bad, training in leadership, cooperation, togetherness, cohesiveness, deliberation to reach agreement, not selfish, not easily discouraged, sacrifice for the benefit of others, vigilance, taking risks and consequences for the choices made, self-discipline, generosity, respect for friends and foes, knowing duties and obligations, placing yourself based on limits on rules and roles, tenacity, fighting spirit, sensitivity training, self-endurance, resistance to temptation, and firmness in stance.

Local wisdom can be a source of value for building elements of social capital and business capital, including trust (mutual trust) and networks (networks). Trust is described as a form of order, honesty, and cooperative behavior that arises from a group, where the form is based on the norms shared by all group members. The values of local wisdom that act as a way of life in a local community can be the basis for building a sense of trust and mutual respect among members of the community. Trust is an important capital to live together, if this mutual trust is thinned out, the interaction process will occur towards a dissociative process that will foster unfair competition and conflict. Local wisdom contains many wise values that can be used as a way of life to achieve harmony in living together.

IV. CONCLUSION

The results showed that the entrepreneurial competencies possessed by students who obtained local wisdom-based learning were higher than students who did not obtain local wisdom-based learning. Local wisdom that is internalized into entrepreneurial competence is local wisdom that lives and develops in Indonesian ethnic communities, is a nation's social capital that can be used in the face of the swift flow of global culture, so that the Indonesian nation can reduce its negative influence on society and nation. Local wisdom of Indonesian tribes, generally contains religious values, humanity, togetherness, tolerance, mutual trust, solidarity and social care can build elements of social capital, such as trust (mutual trust), networking / social relations, and norms.

REFERENCES

[1] Baron, R.A., & Markman, G.D. 2003. Beyond Social Capital: The Role of Entrepreneurs’ Social Competence in their Financial Success. Journal of Business Venturing, 18, 41-60.
[2] Biavaschi, C., Eichhorst, W., Gualetti, C., Kendzia, M.J., Murayev, A., Peters, J., Rodriguez-Planas, N., Schmii, R., & Zimmermann, K.F. 2012. Youth Unemployment and Vocational Training. Bonn: Germany.
[3] Blanchflower, D.G., & Bell, D. N. 2011. Youth Unemployment in Europe and the United States. IZA Journal of Labor Economics, 7(3), 207-229.
[4] Chwolok, A., & Raith, M.G. 2012. The Value of Business Planning Before Start-up: A Decision Theoretical Perspective. Journal of Business Venturing, 27(3), 385-399.
[5] Deakins, D. dan Freel, M. 1998. Entrepreneurial Learning and The Growth Process in SMEs, The Learning Organization, Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 144-155.
[6] Dollinger, M. J. 2003. Entrepreneurship (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
[7] Estay, C., Durrieu, F., & Akhter, M. 2013. Entrepreneurship: From Motivation to Start-up. Journal of International Entrepreneurship, 11, 243-267.
[8] Fayolle, A. and B. Gaillly. 2008. From Craft to Science: Teaching Models and Learning Processes in Entrepreneurship Education. Journal of European Industrial Training, 32(7):569-593.
[9] Fiet, J., O. 2000. The Theoretical Side of Teaching Entrepreneurship. Journal of Business Venturing, 16(1):1-24.
[10] G. Gorman, D. Hanlon, and W. King. 1997. Some Research Perspectives on Entrepreneurship Education, Enterprise Education and Education for Small Business Management: A ten Year Literature Review. International Small Business Journal, 15(3):56-77.
[11] G. Hills. 1988. Variations in University Entrepreneurship Education: An Empirical Study of an Evolving Field. Journal of Business Venturing, 3(2):109-122.
[12] G. T. Solomon, M. Weaver, and L. Fernald. 1994. A Historical Examination of Small Business Management and Entrepreneurship Pedagogy. Simulation & Gaming, 25(3):338-352.
[13] G. T. Solomon. 2007. An Examination of Entrepreneurship Education in The United States. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 14(2):168-182.
[14] Heinen, J. & Possioki, S.A. 2006. An Entrepreneurial Directed Approach to Entrepreneurship Education: Mission Impossible? Journal of Management Development, 25(1), 80-94.
[15] Isaacs, E., Visser, K., Friedrich, C., & Brijfaj, P. 2007. Entrepreneurship Education and Training at The Further Education and Training (FET) Level in South Africa. South African Journal of Education, 27(4), 613-630.
[16] Johansson, B. 1991. University Training for Entrepreneurship: Swedish Approaches. Entrepreneurship & Regional Development, 3(1):67-82.
[17] Katz, J., A. 2003. The Chronology and Intellectual Trajectory of American Entrepreneurship Education 1876–1999. Journal of Business Venturing, 18(2):283-300.
[18] Kuratko, D., F. 2005. The Emergence of Entrepreneurship Education: Developments, Trends and Challenges. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 29(5):577-598.
[19] Makkulb, Z.M. 2011. Entrepreneurial Success: An Exploratory Study Among Entrepreneurs. International Journal of Business and Management 6, 116-125.
[20] Man, T.W.Y., Lau, T., & Chan, K.F. 2002. The Competitiveness of Small and Medium Enterprises. A Conceptualization with Focus on Entrepreneurial Competencies. Journal of Business Venturing, 17, 123-142.
[21] McGee, J.E., Peterson, M., Mueller, S.L. and Sequeira, J.M. 2009. Entrepreneurial Self-Efficacy: Refining The Measure. Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, 965-988.
[22] McClelland, D.C. 1987. Characteristics of Successful Entrepreneurs. The Journal of Creative Behavior, 21, 219-233.
[23] Minniti, M. dan Bygrave, W. 2001. A Dynamic Model of Entrepreneurial Learning, Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 5-16.
[24] Mulder, M., Lams, T., Verstegen, J., Biemans, H., & Meijer, Y. 2007. Competence Development of Entrepreneurs in Innovative Horticulture. Journal of Workplace Learning, 19, 32-44.
[25] Rauch, A., & Frese, M. 2007. Let’s Put The Person Back Into Entrepreneurship Research: A Meta-analysis on The Relationship between Business Owners’ Personality Traits, Business, Creation, and Success. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology, 16,353-385.
[26] Scarpetta, S., Sonnet, A., & Manfredi, T. 2010. Rising Youth Unemployment During The Crisis: How to Prevent Negative Long-term Consequences on a Generation? Paris: OECD.
[27] Shane, S. and Venkataraman, S. 2000. The Promise of Entrepreneurship as a Field of Research. Academy of Management Review, 25(1): 217-226.

[28] Quintini, G., Martin, J. P., & Martin, S. 2007. The Changing Nature of the School to Work Transition Process in OECD Countries. The WDA-HSG.

[29] Valtonen, H. 2007. Does Culture Matter? Entrepreneurial Attitudes in The Autobiographies of Twentieth-Century Business Leaders in Finland and the United States. Business and Economic History On-line, 5, 1-24.

[30] Volery, T., Mueller, S., & von Siemens, B. 2015. Entrepreneur Ambidexterity: A Study of Entrepreneur Behaviors and Competencies in Growth Oriented Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises. International Small Business Journal, 33, 109-129.

[31] Wagener, S., Gorgievski, M., & Rijsdijk, S. 2010. Businessman or Host? Individual Differences Between Entrepreneurs and Small Business Owners in The Hospitality Industry. The Service Industries Journal, 30, 1513-1527.

[32] W. B. Gartner and K. Vesper, 1994. Experiments in Entrepreneurship Education: Successes and Failures. Journal of Business Venturing, 9:179-187.

[33] Zhang, X.H. 2007. Chinese Entrepreneurs’ Study Patterns Based on Schools and The Educational System. Inter. Forum of Teaching and Studies, 3(1): 55-60.