The Infall of the Virgo Elliptical Galaxy M60 toward M87 and the Gaseous Structures Produced by Kelvin–Helmholtz Instabilities
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Abstract

We present Chandra observations of hot gas structures, which are characteristic of gas-stripping during infall, in the Virgo cluster elliptical galaxy M60 (NGC4649) located 1 Mpc east of M87. Chandra X-ray images at 0.5–2 keV show a sharp leading edge in the surface brightness that is 12.4 ± 0.1 kpc north and west of the galaxy center in the direction of M87 and characteristic of a merger cold front due to M60’s motion through the Virgo ICM. We measured a temperature of 1.00 ± 0.02 keV for an abundance of 0.5 Z⊙ inside the edge and 1.37 ± 0.03 keV for an abundance of 0.1 Z⊙ in the Virgo ICM free stream region. We find that the observed jump in surface brightness yields a density ratio na/na = 6.4 ± 0.4 between gas inside the edge and in the cluster free stream region. If the edge is a cold front due solely to the infall of M60 in the direction of M87, we find a pressure ratio of 4.7 ± 2 and Mach number of 1.7 ± 0.3. For 3.7 keV Virgo gas, we find a total infall velocity for M60 of νM60 = 1030 ± 180 km s⁻¹. We calculate the motion in the plane of the sky to be νtran = 1013 ± 183 km s⁻¹, implying an inclination angle of ξ = 11 ± 3. Surface brightness profiles also show the presence of a faint, diffuse gaseous tail. We identify filamentary gaseous wing structures caused by the galaxy’s motion through the ICM. The structure and dimensions of these wings are consistent with simulations of Kelvin–Helmholtz instabilities, as expected if the gas-stripping is close to inviscid.
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1. Introduction

The earliest X-ray images taken with Einstein revealed galaxy clusters to be far from dynamically relaxed systems (Jones et al. 1979). Extensive evidence now exists for subcluster and galaxy infall into clusters. As galaxies move through the intracluster medium (ICM) they undergo hydrodynamical and tidal interactions of varying severity. Surface brightness edges (contact discontinuities in density and temperature) may be caused by a variety of physical mechanisms. When seen in cluster galaxies moving through the intracluster gas, these surface brightness edges may be the result of ram-pressure-stripping as the galaxy moves through the ICM. These “cold fronts” are contact discontinuities at the edge of two gas regions of different densities and temperatures (e.g., Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2007).

From X-ray observations of diffuse galaxy and cluster gas, and the galaxy redshift, the total galaxy velocity and direction of motion can be measured, providing one of the few ways that galaxy velocities in the plane of the sky can be inferred. The gas densities and temperatures are determined from X-ray observations, from which the components of thermal pressure on both sides of the contact discontinuity are derived. Approximating the flow to that of uniform gas about a blunt body, the total galaxy velocity follows from the ratio of thermal pressures at the stagnation point and free stream region (Vikhlinin et al. 2001). These cold fronts from ram-pressure-stripping are often accompanied by additional gas features such as wings and stripped gaseous tails (see e.g., Machacek et al. 2005, 2006; Su et al. 2017). The morphology of these features may allow one to constrain the microphysical properties of the surrounding gas (Roediger et al. 2015a, 2015b). Cold front edges may also be induced by gas bulk motions (sloshing) caused by ongoing galaxy mergers. In this case multiple surface brightness edges or a spiral pattern are often observed, depending on the orientation of the merger with respect to the observer’s line of sight (e.g., Markevitch & Vikhlinin 2007). The measured ratio of thermal pressures across a sloshing cold front is 1. Finally, surface brightness edges may be due to shocks from episodic nuclear activity, lifting galaxy gas higher in the galaxy gravitational potential and making it easier to be stripped. Several or all of these processes may act in concert on galaxies in galaxy clusters as the galaxies and clusters evolve.

The Virgo cluster of galaxies is located 17.2 ± 0.6 Mpc away (Mei et al. 2007). Böhringer et al. (1994) show Virgo to be an evolving cluster; the smooth X-ray distribution toward the center (M87) and the patchy distribution in the outer regions suggest that the cluster is still forming. The galaxy dynamics of other ellipticals in Virgo have been studied, including NGC4472 (Kraft et al. 2011), M86 (Forman et al. 1979; Randall et al. 2008), and NGC4552 (Machacek et al. 2006; R. P. Kraft et al. 2017, in preparation).

M60 (α = 12h43m39.6, δ = 11°33′09″), is a massive elliptical galaxy located, in projection, 195 arcmin (0.97 Mpc) east of M87 (α = 12h30m49.4, δ = 12°23′28″). M60's line-of-sight velocity (vrad = 1117 ± 6 km s⁻¹; Trager et al. 2000), compared to that of M87 (vrad = 1307 ± 7 km s⁻¹; Smith et al. 2000), implies that M60 is moving through the Virgo ICM with at least Δvrad = 190 ± 13 km s⁻¹ toward us relative to M87. We obtain key measurements of the gas in the massive elliptical galaxy M60 using archival Chandra X-ray observations.
(see Table 1) with a cleaned coadded exposure time of 262 ks. These deep data sets reveal a wealth of gas-stripping-related structures, showing that M60 is undergoing ram-pressure stripping as it falls through the ICM toward the cluster center. In the Chandra image (Figure 1), we see that the gas removed from the core of M60 has formed wings, a western edge, and a faint eastern filamentary tail. Understanding the nature of these edges and filamentary gaseous structures, and determining the velocity of M60 through the Virgo ICM are the focus of this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we list the five Chandra observations used, explaining the data reduction and processing methods. Section 3 documents the critical steps undertaken to analyze the Chandra data, highlighting the observational results from the reprocessed, coadded data and discussing the results drawn. Our conclusions are presented in Section 4. All coordinates are J2000, and unless otherwise indicated, all errors are 90% confidence levels. Using the Surface Brightness Fluctuation (SBF) distances from Mei et al. (2007), the distance to M87 is 17.2 ± 0.6 Mpc, and 1′ = 4.98 kpc. These measurements assume a Hubble constant $H_0 = 73$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ in the ΛCDM cosmology and are consistent with the SBF measurements by Tonry et al. (2001) (16.1 ± 1.2 Mpc).

### Table 1

| ObsID | Date       | Exposure (ks) | Cleaned Exposure (ks) |
|-------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|
| 8182  | 2007 Jan 30| 52.37         | 48.28                 |
| 8507  | 2007 Feb 1 | 17.52         | 17.52                 |
| 12975 | 2011 Aug 8 | 84.93         | 80.84                 |
| 12976 | 2011 Feb 24| 101.04        | 101.04                |
| 14328 | 2011 Aug 12| 13.97         | 13.97                 |

**Note.** The cleaned exposure is after removal of periods of anomalously high and low count rates.

2. Observations and Data Reduction

We reprocessed and then coadded five observations of M60 (Table 1) taken with the Chandra X-ray Observatory using the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer array (ACIS) with ACIS-S (chip S3) at the aimpoint, giving a total exposure of 270 ks. A sixth, 38 ks observation from the archive (ObsID 785) was badly flared and thus was excluded from our analysis. All the data were taken in VFAINT mode and analyzed using the standard X-ray processing packages, CIAO 4.7 (CALDB 4.6.8), FTOOLS 6.15, Sherpa 4.4, and XSPEC 12.9.0. The data were filtered with `lc_clean` and `deflare` to remove events in periods of abnormally low or high counts, where the count rate deviates more than 20% above or below the mean. This gave a useful exposure time of 262 ks.

Some events from M60’s bright core are redistributed along the ACIS readout direction during readout. These out-of-time events may contaminate both imaging and spectral analyses of the faint diffuse X-ray emission of interest. We model the readout contribution to the background using a background tool `readout_bkg`, based on the algorithm developed by Vikhlinin et al. (2005). The cosmic X-ray contribution to the background was taken from the blank-sky background files; a series of source-free background sets obtained at high galactic latitude to avoid contamination from our Galaxy. For each observation the relevant blank-sky background files for that date were downloaded and reprocessed. These were the period D source-free data sets for the S3 CCD with exposure 400 ks. Identical energy filters and the same cleaning process using CIAO were applied to the blank-sky background files. The normalization of the background was set by the ratio of exposure times between the M60 and blank-sky background data sets, with a last adjustment to account for the time variability of the particle background component made by matching the detected count rate for background and source in the 10–12 keV energy band, where particle backgrounds dominate. Both the readout and cosmic X-ray background contributions were subtracted from our subsequent image analysis. Exposure-corrected, background-subtracted flux images were created for each observation in the soft (0.5–2.0 keV) and hard (2.0–7.0 keV) X-ray energy bands, binned at a two-by-two pixel resolution (0.984 × 0.984). The exposure-corrected, background-subtracted, coadded mosaic of the five Chandra observations used in our analysis, with the identified point sources excluded, is shown in Figure 1. The dynamical gas features studied within this paper are marked. The reader may view a less processed coadded mosaic of the five data sets, which includes point sources, in Figure 5.
3. Results

M60 shows several features evidencing gas dynamics. Figure 1, in the 0.5–2.0 keV energy band, shows a surface brightness edge at \( \sim 12 \) kpc north and west from the galaxy center in the direction of M87, a north wing arcing to the northeast and a less prominent, possibly bifurcated, wing extending to the south. We will also show evidence for a faint diffuse tail downstream. These features are similar to those in M89 caused by ram-pressure-stripping as M89 interacts with the Virgo ICM during infall (Machacek et al. 2006, R. P. Kraft et al. 2017, in preparation). We suggest that M60 is similarly undergoing gaseous stripping as it moves through the Virgo ICM, forming the upstream edge, wings to the northeast and south, and a faint diffuse eastern tail. A leading edge, a faint tail, and wings caused by Kelvin–Helmholtz Instabilities (KHI) are expected for ram-pressure-stripping through an inviscid medium (Roediger et al. 2015a). We study the gas properties in these features in M60 and compare with the simulations of M89 (Roediger et al. 2015a, 2015b), a similar Virgo galaxy, to test this scenario.

### 3.1. Surface Brightness Profiles

To study the leading edge, we construct circular surface brightness profiles from the background-subtracted, exposure-corrected, coadded 0.5–2.0 keV image, using logarithmic radial steps. The profiles are each centered on the X-ray peak of M60 \((\alpha = 12^h43^m39.7^s, \delta = 11^\circ33^\prime10^\prime\prime0\) and confined to sectors to the north and west that avoid the wings and exclude the interacting spiral galaxy NGC 4647. We similarly construct the circular surface brightness profile in the eastern (downstream) direction to search for a diffuse, faint tail. These sectors are defined in Table 2 and are shown in Figure 2.

Our results are shown in Figure 3. Note that the profiles in the N and W sectors are the same in the radial range of overlap. This suggests that they are part of the same leading edge caused by ram pressure, only interrupted in the excluded region by the impending merger of NGC 4647. Due to our Chandra coverage we can study the morphology of the surface brightness profile to larger radii to the north (profile N) than to the west (profile W). We thus confine our analysis to the profile of the N sector of the leading edge, shown in Figure 3, to measure gas properties to larger radii and probe farther from the galaxy into the surrounding Virgo ICM. We see three distinct regions, the characteristic edge profile for galaxy gas for \( r < 149^\prime\prime \) (12 kpc), a very slowly varying, nearly flat surface brightness profile for \( r > 268^\prime\prime \) (22 kpc), and a steeply falling region of excess emission in between. At the 0.97 Mpc distance of M60 from M87, taken to be the center of the Virgo cluster, emission from undisturbed Virgo cluster gas would appear to be flat over the

---

**Table 2**

Profile Sectors

| Profile Label | A (degree) | B (degree) |
|---------------|------------|------------|
| N             | 63         | 88.3       |
| W             | 330        | 27.6       |
| E             | 152        | 214        |

Note. The sector is centered at the X-ray peak defined as subtending the angle measured counterclockwise from angle A to angle B. All angles are measured counterclockwise from west.

---

~50 kpc scale measured by the profile, consistent with the profile behavior at \( r > 22 \) kpc. We take this to be representative of the “free stream region” from Vikhlinin et al. (2001). We suggest the sharply falling surface brightness profile at \( 12 < r < 22 \) kpc may be Virgo gas gravitationally attracted to M60’s deep gravitational potential at close radii, i.e., the pile-up region (Vikhlinin et al. 2001). These regions are also shown in Figure 2.
Vikhlinin et al. (2001) showed that the edge of a cool dense gas cloud moving through surrounding hot gas can be seen in radial X-ray surface brightness profiles as a discontinuity (“edge”), and that the relative velocity of the gas cloud and its surrounding ICM can be determined by the ratio of thermal gas pressures between gas at the stagnation point and cluster gas in the free stream region. If the dense M60 gas were at rest relative to the ICM, the thermal pressures would be equal on both sides of the edge. However, for M60 infalling through the Virgo ICM, where it is subjected to both ram and thermal pressures, this equality is only true at the stagnation point where the relative gas velocity is zero. Following Vikhlinin et al. (2001), we use the gas pressure just inside the edge as a proxy for the pressure at the stagnation point. We then use the pressure ratio between gas at the stagnation point and in the free stream region to derive the relative velocity between M60 and the Virgo ICM, as detailed in Section 3.4. To calculate pressures, characterize the nature of the edge, and, in the case of ram-pressure-stripping, calculate the velocity of the galaxy, the relative temperatures and electron densities must be obtained for the gas on both sides of the edge.

3.2. Gas Densities

As in Machacek et al. (2006), we assume spherically symmetric electron power-law density models inside and outside the edge \((r = r_e)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
n_i(r < r_e) &= \rho_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_e} \right)^{-\alpha_i} \\
n_o(r > r_e) &= \rho_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_e} \right)^{\alpha_o}.
\end{align*}
\]

with normalization \(\rho_0\), inner and outer power-law indices \(\alpha_i\) and \(\alpha_o\), respectively, and a discontinuous density “jump” \(J_d = n_i(r_e)/n_o(r_e)\) across the edge. We then determine the density by integrating the X-ray emissivity along the line of sight to fit the surface brightness profile, using a multi-variate \(\chi^2\)-minimization scheme with the position of the edge \((r_e)\), the density power-law indices \(\alpha_i\) and \(\alpha_o\), and the square root of the surface brightness discontinuity \(\sqrt{J_{sb}} \propto J_d\) across the edge allowed to vary. The measured surface brightness discontinuity \(J_{sb}\) is related to the density jump \(J_d\) at the edge through the ratio of X-ray emissivities

\[
J_{sb} = \frac{\Lambda_i}{\Lambda_o} (J_d)^2,
\]

where \(\Lambda_i/\Lambda_o\) are the cooling functions for gas inside (outside) the edge, respectively.

At a projected distance of \(~1\) Mpc from M87, we expect the X-ray surface brightness of the Virgo ICM to be slowly varying over the angular scales probed by our merged observations. Thus, we extrapolate the flat Virgo surface brightness at \(r > 22 \text{kpc}\) to the observed edge and fit the resulting profile to determine the gas density ratio between galaxy gas inside the edge and undisturbed Virgo gas (the free stream region) outside the edge. Our results are shown in Figure 4 and listed in Table 3. We find an edge position at \(12.4 \pm 0.1 \text{kpc}\) and \(\sqrt{J_d} = 10.5^{+1.7}_{-1.1}\).

For massive galaxy clusters where ICM gas temperatures are high, the cooling functions in Equation (2) are largely independent of gas abundances and only a weak function of the temperature such that \(\Lambda_i/\Lambda_o \sim 1\) and \(\sqrt{J_{sb}} \sim (J_d)\). The density jump can be inferred from the surface brightness profile fits alone. However, for gas at lower temperatures (\(\lesssim 1\)–2 kev), X-ray-cooling is line-dominated and the cooling function is strongly dependent on gas metallicity. If these abundances are different, which is likely the case for galaxy gas and ICM gas in the outskirts of a galaxy cluster, the ratio of cooling functions in Equation (2) may deviate significantly from 1 and must be determined from spectral modeling before we can infer the gas density ratio of interest and complete the calculation of the infall velocity for M60. (see, e.g., Machacek et al. 2005).

3.3. Spectral Modeling: Gas Temperatures and Abundances

To determine the mean spectral properties of the gas in M60, we use CIAO tool \textit{specextract} to extract a mean spectrum in a \(200^\circ\) circular region centered on the observed X-ray peak. We also extract spectra for the regions N1, N2, and N3 along the northern profile shown in Figure 2 to characterize the Virgo emission (N3) at the position of M60 and to complete the cold front analysis across the leading surface brightness edge (see Figure 4). Point sources above a detection threshold of \(\sim 2 \times 10^{37} \text{erg s}^{-1}\) (see Appendix), as well as emission from the interacting companion galaxy NGC 4647, are excluded from the data. The resulting spectra are modeled using XSpec 12.9.0. (See Arnaud 1996.)

3.3.1. Mean X-Ray Spectral Properties of M60

We first consider the average spectral properties of M60 as a whole, using the blank-sky background files as in Section 3.1 for backgrounds. This will allow us to determine the mean abundance and temperature of gas within M60. ACIS readout
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XMM-Newton observations that beyond 500 kpc from M87, the X-ray surface brightness of the Virgo ICM is highly variable, varying by as much as a factor 2 at 0.9 Mpc, the projected distance of M60 from M87. Using Suzaku, Simonescu et al. (private communication) further showed that the flux and temperature of Virgo gas at large radius depends strongly on the azimuthal direction of the observation, with higher fluxes and temperatures found along directions that coincide with higher density filaments. We thus choose to measure the spectral properties of the Virgo gas using our combined Chandra data in region N3 (see Figure 2), where the northern surface brightness profile shown in Figure 3 flattens, rather than adopt a model from the literature. We model the spectrum of the Virgo ICM in region N3 using an absorbed thermal plasma APEC model with fixed Galactic hydrogen absorption and 0.1 Z⊙ abundance (Urban et al. 2011). We find a best-fit temperature, model normalization, and intrinsic 0.5–2 keV flux of $1.37^{+0.32}_{-0.15}$ keV, $1.75 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^{-5}$, and $8.9 \times 10^{-15}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ (see Table 5). X-ray emission in the cluster outskirts is faint, contributing only 0.3% of the 0.5–2 keV flux in the 200′′ circular region encompassing M60. From the VAPEC model normalization we find a mean electron density for this region of $3 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^{-3}$ with $\sim$30% uncertainties, yielding a thermal gas pressure of $1.35 \times 10^{-13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$. These results are consistent with Urban et al. (2011), given the measured variability observed at these large radii. Since we directly measure the thermal properties of the Virgo cluster gas, i.e., gas density, temperature, and thermal gas pressure, from the spectral fitting of the X-ray emission of the gas, any evolutionary effects of the ambient cluster magnetic field on determining those thermal properties have implicitly been accounted for in that measurement. Ambient magnetic fields could also contribute an additional non-thermal component to the total pressure in the Virgo Cluster. However, using the simulated magnetic field profile for the Virgo Cluster from Pfrommer & Dursi (2010), the expected non-thermal pressure due to ambient magnetic fields is at most $\sim$1% of the thermal pressure at these radii and likely could be much less. Thus it does not significantly affect our analysis.

3.3.3. Spectral Fitting across the Edge

To determine the infall velocity of M60 into the Virgo galaxy cluster, we need to measure the temperatures and densities of gas on either side of the edge. We chose the northern profile sector for our analysis because detector coverage allows us to measure gas properties out to greater distances consistent with undisturbed Virgo gas (N3). Additionally the northern sector lies perpendicular to the readout direction, and the inner radius of region N1, the region just inside the edge, lies outside the bright core region, where readout would be greatest, minimizing the contribution of these out-of-time events in these regions. Thus, readout in the spectral analysis of the northern regions (N1, N2, N3) may be neglected. We also note that a comparison of X-ray and kinematical mass measurements for M60 shows that magnetic fields do not contribute significantly to the pressure inside the edge in region N1 ($6.2 < r < 12.4$ kpc) (Paggi et al. 2014).

We model the inner two northern regions (N1 and N2), similar to that of N3 in Section 3.3.2, with an absorbed APEC model with fixed Galactic hydrogen absorption and abundances at 0.5 Z⊙ for region N1 in M60, consistent with our measured...
Table 5
Northern Edge Spectral Models

| Region | $kT$ (keV) | Norm $(10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-5})$ | Flux $(10^{-14} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ | $\Lambda$ $(10^{-23} \text{ erg cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$ | $\chi^2/(\text{dof})$ |
|--------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| N1     | 1.00 ± 0.02 | 2.34            | 3.19            | 1.36              | 112/127        |
| N2     | 1.6^{+0.3}_{-1.3} | 1.82 | 0.93 | 0.51 | 186/154 |
| N3     | 1.37^{+0.35}_{-0.19} | 1.75 | 0.89 | 0.51 | 293/243 |

Note. Spectra were modeled using an absorbed Apec model with Galactic absorption and abundance fixed at 0.5 $Z_{\odot}$ for M60 galaxy gas (N1) and at 0.1 $Z_{\odot}$ for regions N2 and N3 consistent with Virgo Cluster gas.

Table 6
M60 Velocity Analysis

| $T_\lambda$/$T_{\lambda_0}$ | $\Lambda_\lambda$/$\Lambda_{\lambda_0}$ | $n_e/n_{e_0}$ | $p_\perp/p_{\perp_0}$ | $M_a$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $v$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $v_t$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $\xi$ (deg) |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 0.73^{+0.13}_{-0.01} | 2.66 | 6.44^{+1.04}_{-0.67} | 4.7^{+1.7}_{-1.4} | 1.7 ± 0.3 | 1030 ± 180 | 1012^{+183}_{-192} | 11 ± 3 |

Note. Velocities assume a sound speed of 604 km s$^{-1}$ for 1.37 keV Virgo gas and M60 radial velocity $v = -190 ± 15$ km s$^{-1}$. Uncertainties for derived values assume extremes in the 90% CL uncertainties for measured properties.

mean Fe abundance for M60 galaxy gas, and at the Virgo value (0.1 $Z_{\odot}$) for gas in the pile-up region N2. Our results are given in Table 5.

Since both galaxy and Virgo gas have temperatures of $\sim$1 keV, the cooling function $\Lambda(\Delta T, T)$ and thus emissivity $1/\tau$ depend sensitively on the metal abundance $A$ of the gas. We determine the cooling functions for each of the northern spectral regions (N1, N2, N3) using

$$\Lambda = \frac{10^{-14} F D_L^2}{N_{\text{APEC}} [D_h(1 + z)]^2},$$

where $F$ is the unabsorbed model flux in the 0.5–2 keV energy band, to match that of the surface brightness profile, $N_{\text{APEC}}$ is the APEC model normalization, $z$ is the redshift, $D_h(D_0)$ are the luminosity (angular size) distances, respectively, and $D_L^2 \sim [D_h(1 + z)]^2$ for $z \ll 1$. Values for $N_{\text{APEC}}$, $F$, and $\Lambda$ are also given in Table 5.

3.4. Constraining M60’s Dynamical Motion

Using the fitted surface brightness jumps $\sqrt{\langle J_{\lambda_0} \rangle}$ from Table 3 and the cooling functions given in Table 5 in Equation (2), we calculate the density ratio between galaxy gas inside the edge and free streaming Virgo gas and multiply these by the respective temperature ratios from the spectral fits to obtain the pressure ratio between the stagnation point and the Virgo free stream region. Uncertainties in derived ratios are estimated using the extreme values of the 90% CL uncertainties for measured properties. Our results are listed in Table 6.

The Mach number $M_a = v/c_e$ (where $c_e$ is the speed of sound in the cluster free stream region) for the cold gas cloud moving through the hot ICM is determined from the ratio of pressures (see Equations (4) and (5)).

$$\frac{P_{\perp}}{P_0} = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_a^2\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, M_a \ll 1 \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{P_{\perp}}{P_0} = \left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_a^{\frac{-1}{\gamma - 1}} \left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{2 M_a^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma - 1}}, M_a \geq 1 \tag{5}$$

where $\gamma = 5/3$ is the adiabatic index of the monatomic gas. From the pressure ratios given in Table 6 and Equations (4) and (5), we find M60 moving at Mach 1.7 ± 0.3 relative to the Virgo ICM. The sound speed in completely ionized 1.37 keV Virgo gas in the free stream region is $c_s = 604$ km s$^{-1}$, such that we find that the speed $v = M_a c_e$ of M60 relative to the Virgo ICM is $1030 ± 180$ km s$^{-1}$.

The physical separation of M60 and M87 is 971 kpc, confirmed with the distance moduli measurements in both Tonry et al. (2001) and Mei et al. (2007). The radial velocity difference between M60 and M87 is $\Delta v_{\text{rad}} = -190 ± 15$ km s$^{-1}$ (Trager et al. 2000). Taking the Virgo cluster ICM to be at rest relative to M87, we determine the relative transverse component of M60’s velocity as $v_t = 1012^{+183}_{-192}$ km s$^{-1}$. The components of M60’s motion through the Virgo ICM imply an inclination angle with respect to the plane of the sky of $\xi = 11 ± 3$ degrees. Nearly all of M60’s motion is therefore in the plane of the sky, consistent with the sharp surface brightness edge observed. We estimate the time $t_{\text{pp}}$ for pericenter passage assuming a constant infall velocity and direct projected path toward M87, such that $t_{\text{pp}} \sim 0.971$ Mpc/$v_t = 0.95$ Gyr. If the motion of M60 in the plane of the sky is directly toward M87, this will be an upper bound on the infall time.

MHD simulations suggest that magnetic fields may wrap around a galaxy during infall, forming a thin draping layer. In this layer ambient cluster magnetic fields may become amplified to a maximum value such that their magnetic pressure equals the ram pressure of the ICM on the galaxy (Lyutikov 2006; Pfrommer & Dursi 2010). Recent simulations also suggest these fields may become tangled and not suppress ram-pressure-stripping (Ruszkowski et al. 2014).

For M60 infalling at 1030 km s$^{-1}$ through Virgo gas of electron density $3 \times 10^{-5}$ cm$^{-3}$, this would imply a possible maximum magnetic field of $\sim$3.9 $\mu$G within a 150 pc thick draping layer outside the edge. Unfortunately, our combined observations of M60 are not deep enough to constrain the properties of any such layer. (See, e.g., Su et al. 2017, for an analysis of NGC 1404, a similar galaxy, but with a Chandra exposure a factor of 2.6 longer than the one presented here.)
span across each double wing structure). The northeastern wings are of approximately equal length (150") and separated by a cavity 28" wide. The wings to the south are less symmetric, with the front wing being shorter than the downstream wing by a factor of 2.

The dimensions of these filamentary wings were compared with simulations by Roediger et al. (2015a, 2015b) of the Virgo galaxy M89 (NGC4552). The lower panel of Figure 5 shows a soft band X-ray projection of M89 that demonstrates how turbulent stripping can create wings of diffuse gas to the sides of the galaxy, as we observe for M60. The size of the wings scales with the size of the gas atmosphere, hence larger wings are seen in M60, compared to the simulations of the Virgo elliptical M89, since most of M89’s gas has already been stripped.

The double wing structure is seen in the simulation image (Figure 5 lower panel). The wings are attached directly to the sides of the galaxy, as is the case for M60, another indicator of motion nearly in the plane of the sky. This can also be explained by the inclination angle; the simulations assume motion purely in the plane of the sky, while M60 has an inclination of 11 ± 3 degrees as shown in Section 3.4. For M89 these features are attached to the upstream edge rather than to the sides (Machacek et al. 2006). Thus, the simulation better represents M60 in this instance.

Two processes can disturb the sharp edge of a cold front; Rayleigh–Taylor instabilities and KHI (Roediger et al. 2012). The Rayleigh–Taylor instability occurs when two fluids of different densities are accelerated into each other. The galaxy gas is accelerating into the ICM, but the Rayleigh–Taylor instability is suppressed by M60’s gravity, so the effective acceleration points in the opposite direction.

KHI instabilities, formed at the surface of the cold front edge where velocity shears may produce turbulent motions, are likely the cause of the filamentary wings seen in Figures 1 and 5, perhaps aided by previous AGN outbursts that may have lifted the galaxy gas upward in M60’s gravitational potential making it easier to strip.

The simulations predict some faint emission behind the wings downstream leading to a diffuse tail trailing the galaxy. There is a faint excess of emission seen for r > 10 kpc in the eastern surface brightness profile (Figure 3) over that observed in the northern or western profiles, suggestive of such a tail.

The simulations of M89 predict a downstream edge marking the boundary between the galaxy atmosphere and diffuse tail. We performed our edge analysis as outlined in Section 3.2, on the eastern profile of M60 to look for this downstream edge (See Figures 2 and 3 and Table 2).

Using the power-law model from Equation (1), the eastern profile is well fit with upstream and downstream power-law slopes of $\alpha_u = 1.42^{+0.03}_{-0.04}$ and $\alpha_d = 1.86^{+0.38}_{-0.32}$, respectively. We find a small jump $\sqrt{(J_b)} = 1.43^{+0.23}_{-0.17}$ at $r = 12.9^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$ kpc downstream from the center of M60. Since we expect from simulations that the near tail is composed of galaxy gas displaced but not yet completely stripped from M60 (Roediger et al. 2015a), the abundances across the eastern edge should be the same. Thus, $\sqrt{(J_d)} = J_u$, the ratio of the gas density across the eastern downstream edge. Figure 6 shows the extracted surface brightness profiles for both the upstream (northern cold front) and downstream (eastern tail) edges. The simulations generally find the downstream edge at a larger radius than the upstream edge, even more so than is observed for M60.
The surface brightness profiles are symmetric in both radial directions until each respective edge. In the simulations of M89, the upstream edge of the cold front and wings look sharper than the downstream edge, consistent with what we see in Figure 6.

There are several factors that could keep the tail of M60 faint. A steep initial gas density profile for M60 would mean there was less gas to strip at larger radii and the stripped gas may mix quickly with the surrounding ICM. The large distance of M60 from M87 (971 kpc) and the galaxy velocity of $v_{\text{M60}} = 1030 \pm 180$ km s$^{-1}$ suggest multiple possibilities. First, we could be witnessing the very early stages of stripping. Second, M60 may have already passed through the Virgo system once and we are observing it shortly upon completing a turn around in its orbit. This would suggest stripping is occurring primarily from KHI, such that no large volumes of gas are being pushed behind the galaxy. In either case, stripping may be less efficient in the low-density Virgo outskirts, requiring gas to first be uplifted by periodic AGN activity before being pushed back into the tail.

4. Conclusions

Using archival data from the Chandra X-ray Observatory (with a total cleaned exposure time of 262 ks), we identified a surface brightness discontinuity (edge) $r_e = 12$ kpc from the center of M60 to the north and west in the direction of M87, the Virgo Cluster center. The surface brightness edge is produced by the ram-pressure-stripping of the gas in M60 as it passes through the Virgo ICM. From the surface brightness profile, taken to the north to minimize instrumental effects and maximize radial distance coverage, we measured gas temperatures within the edge in M60, the Virgo gas pile-up region (12.4 kpc < $r$ < 22 kpc) immediately outside the edge, and in the Virgo free stream region ($r > 22$ kpc), and measured the density ratio between gas inside the edge and in the Virgo free stream region to determine the physical motion of the elliptical galaxy M60 through the Virgo ICM.

We find:

- X-ray emission in M60 is gas-dominated and well fit in the mean by a VAPEC spectral model with $kT = 0.906 \pm 0.004$ keV and Fe, O, Mg, and Si abundances of $0.46 \pm 0.2 Z_\odot, 0.16 \pm 0.04 Z_\odot, 0.93 \pm 0.06 Z_\odot,$ and $0.91 \pm 0.05 Z_\odot$, respectively.
- Fixing the abundance inside the edge at $0.5 Z_\odot$ to be consistent with M60’s mean results and at $0.1 Z_\odot$ for Virgo gas at 0.971 Mpc from the literature, gas temperatures along the northern profile for galaxy gas inside the edge, in the pile-up region, and in the Virgo free stream region are $1.00 \pm 0.02$ keV, $1.6^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ keV, and $1.37^{+0.35}_{-0.16}$ keV, respectively.
- The leading (northern) edge at $r_e = 12.4 \pm 0.1$ kpc from the galaxy center coincides with a jump in density of $n_e/n_o = 6.44^{+1.05}_{-0.67}$ between gas inside the edge and Virgo gas in the free stream region.
- The measured pressure ratio $p_e/p_o = 4.7^{+1.7}_{-1.4}$ between galaxy gas inside the edge and in the Virgo free stream region implies that M60 is infalling with a total velocity of $v_{\text{M60}} = 1030 \pm 180$ km s$^{-1}$ (Mach $1.7 \pm 0.3$) relative to the Virgo ICM. Given the relative radial velocity of $\Delta v_{\text{rad}} = -190 \pm 15$, this yields an inclination angle of $\xi = 11 \pm 3$ degrees with respect to the plane of the sky, consistent with the observed sharp surface brightness edge. M60’s inferred transverse infall velocity, $v_\text{t} = 1012^{+183}_{-192}$ km s$^{-1}$, places an upper bound on the time to pericenter passage of $\sim 0.95$ Gyr.
- Extended wing-like features are observed to the northeast and south of M60. Comparison of these features with simulations of ram-pressure-stripping suggests that these wings are likely produced by Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities caused by M60’s motion through a nearly inviscid Virgo ICM. The thin and long filamentary gas wings scale with the size of the gas atmosphere and are attached directly to the sides of M60, an indicator of motion in the plane of the sky, consistent with the small measured inclination angle.
- Excess emission observed to the east (downstream) of M60’s motion and confirmed in the northern surface brightness profile is consistent with the existence of a faint, diffuse tail, similar to those seen in simulations of ram-pressure-stripping. The faintness of the tail is due either to insufficient stripping of the gas due to M60’s distance from the cluster core, or because we are observing M60 shortly after completing a turn around of its orbit.

Data reduction and analysis were supported by the CXC CIAO, Sherpa, XMM-ESAS software packages, and CALDB v4.4.8. Archival data was extracted from the Chandra Webchaser and XMM data archives. The NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by JPL/Caltech, under contract with NASA was used throughout, as were the ADS facilities and arXiv for the literature. We thank Gary Mamon and Paul Nulsen for useful discussions of the Virgo cluster and gas-stripping, respectively. This work was supported by Chandra grants GO1-13141X, GO1-12110X, and GO0-1106X, NASA contract NAS8-03060, the University of Southampton, and the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory.
Appendix

The Chandra data measure the X-ray emission from all sources in M60; this will encompass the diffuse galaxy gas, any unresolved point sources, such as cataclysmic variables (CVs), accreting white dwarfs (ABs) and low mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs), as well as the Virgo ICM emission along the line of sight. We model the relative contribution of each of these unresolved stellar X-ray sources to the X-ray luminosity of M60 below.

A.1. Cataclysmic Variables and Accreting Binaries

Based on X-ray observations of M32, Revnivtsev et al. (2007) found that unresolved stellar objects may provide a large fraction of the diffuse X-ray emission in low-mass galaxies. In their follow-up paper, Revnivtsev et al. (2008) showed that the old stellar populations in galaxies can be characterized by a universal value of X-ray emissivity per unit stellar mass or per unit K-band luminosity.

From studying the K-band images of M60 taken with the 2MASS survey, we determined the stellar mass and implied X-ray luminosity of the stars. The K-band luminosity measured in a 200′′ radius region centered on the X-ray peak is $L_K = 2.90 \times 10^{11} L_{K,⊙}$. Bell et al. (2003) showed that the relation between the galaxy’s stellar mass and the K-band luminosity can be expressed as

$$\log \left( \frac{M_\star}{L_K} \right) = a_K + b_K \times (B - V),$$

(6)

where $M_\star$ is in units of $M_⊙$ and $L_K$ is in units of $L_{K,⊙}$. Using $a_K = -0.206$, $b_K = 0.135$ (Bell et al. 2003) and the extinction-corrected color for M60 $B - V = 0.95$ from RC3 data (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991 NED) in Equation (6), we find a stellar mass of $M_\star = 2.4 \times 10^{11} M_⊙$, where systematic uncertainties in the $M/L$ relationship may be as high as 25%.

Revnivtsev et al. (2007) give the relation between X-ray luminosity and stellar mass in the soft (0.5–2.0 keV) energy band as follows:

$$L_X^{0.5-2.0\text{keV}} = 7 \times 10^{38} \left( \frac{M_\star}{10^{11} M_⊙} \right) \text{ erg s}^{-1}. $$

(7)

Using Equation (7), the stellar contribution to the soft X-rays is therefore $L_X^{0.5-2.0\text{keV}} = 1.68 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, such that the stellar component is 1.5% of the total 0.5–2 keV emission. One should note, however, that there is no order of factor 2 scatter in the $L_X - L_K$ relation for these components in early-type galaxies (see, e.g., Bogdan & Gilfanov 2011).

In fitting the X-ray spectra, this component is modeled with a mekal model plus power law with a fixed temperature $kT = 0.5$ keV, Anders and Grevesse abundance $A = 1.0 Z⊙$, and power-law exponent $\Gamma = 1.9$ (Revnivtsev et al. 2008). The relative normalization of the two components is fixed by setting the ratio of the mekal model to power-law fluxes to be 2.03 in the 0.5–2 keV band and the overall normalization is fixed such that the contribution of CV and AB stars is 1.5% of the 0.5–2 keV flux.

A.2. Low-mass X-Ray Binaries

A second component to the X-ray emission is required to account for unresolved LMXBs below the individual source detection threshold that contribute to the overall diffuse X-ray luminosity. The azimuthally averaged spatial distribution of the number of LMXBs for most normal galaxies follows closely the distribution of the near-infrared light (Gilfanov 2004). The combined luminosity functions of LMXBs for such galaxies are

$$dN \over dL_{38} = \begin{cases} \frac{K_1}{(L/L_{X,38})^{-\alpha_1}}, & L_{38} < L_{b,1} \\ \frac{K_2}{(L/L_{X,38})^{-\alpha_2}}, & L_{b,1} < L_{38} \leq L_{b,2} \\ \frac{K_3}{(L/L_{X,38})^{-\alpha_3}}, & L_{b,2} < L_{38} \leq L_{\text{cut}} \\ 0, & L_{38} > L_{\text{cut}} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

$$K_1 = \frac{440.4 \pm 25.9}{10^{11} M_⊙}, \quad \alpha_1 = 1.0, \quad \alpha_2 = 1.64, \quad L_{b,1} = 0.19, \quad L_{b,2} = 5.1 \quad \text{(Gilfanov 2004).}$$

The total number of LMXBs and their collective luminosity is directly proportional to the stellar mass of the host galaxy. In regions without significant diffuse X-ray emission, 7 counts is typically sufficient to detect a point source with Chandra. Assuming a power-law LMXB spectrum with a slope of $\Gamma = 1.56$, and the combined exposure time of 262 ks, the estimated source detection sensitivity would be $6 \times 10^{36} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. However, due to the presence of copious diffuse emission in M60, the actual source detection sensitivity is significantly higher than this. Based on a sensitivity map that was computed using the CIAO LIM_SENS task, we estimate that in most regions of M60 we detect sources brighter than $L_{\text{lim}} = 2 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. Based on this source detection sensitivity and Equations (8) and (9), we predict that the X-ray luminosity of unresolved LMXBs in M60 is

$$L_{0.5-2 \text{keV}} = 2.6 \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ in the 0.5–2 keV band, approximately } 2\% \text{ of the total X-ray luminosity within the 200′′ radius region.}$$
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