Numerical simulation of seepage failure by upward flow considering internal erosion
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ABSTRACT

In the present study, seepage failure by upward flow is numerically simulated using a seepage-deformation coupled method in which the internal erosion of fine particles and the transport of these particles are considered. The field equations are derived in the framework of multiphase mixture theory, and the erosion process is modeled as the mass transfer from the solid phase to the fluidized soil phase. In addition, the particle size distribution of soil is considered in the erosion criteria and the rate equation of the mass transfer. Using the proposed method, one dimensional upward flow in a gap-graded sandy soil is simulated. From the simulations, it is found that the seepage failure occurs under relatively low average hydraulic gradient when the internal erosion is considered. This is due to the decrease in the total stress and the non-uniformity of hydraulic conductivity due to the erosion of fine particles.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Internal erosion is the detachment of fine soil particles due to seepage flow, with ensuing increasing porosity, and transport of these particles out of the soil mass. Progressive degradation of soil structure due to the internal erosion may lead to the local failure, such as, piping, and may result in cavities in the earth structures, such as, earth dams, dikes and levees.

Recently, some numerical methods to predict soil erosion have been proposed, in which the constitutive laws of erosion, that is, the onset and the rate equations of the erosion are introduced into mathematical models in the framework of continuum mechanics (e.g., Steeb et al., 2004; Vardoulakis, 2004; Cividini et al., 2004; Wan and Wang 2004; Fujisawa et al. 2010; Uzuoka et al., 2012). In the model, the fluidized soil particles are modeled as a fluid, and internal erosion is expressed by mass transition from soil skeleton to the additional fluid and migration of the fluidized soil particles.

In the following, the field equations to simulate hydro-mechanical behavior due to the internal erosion were derived in the framework of multiphase mixture theory. The constituents are the soil particles (S), the eroded soil particles or fluidized soil particles (FS), and the pore water (W). It is assumed that the eroded soil particles moves unified with the pore fluid and the liquid phase (L) is determined as \( L = W + FS \) as shown in Fig. 1. The governing equations are the balances of mass for each phase, the balances of linear momentum for each phase, the constitutive model for soil skeleton and the erosion model. The constitutive equation for soil skeleton is of the elasto-viscoplastic type. Regarding the erosion criteria, it is assumed that the erosion takes place when the hydro-dynamical driving force acting on the small volume of mixture is larger than the resistance force over the area. The equations are spatially distretized by finite element method. The formulations of the numerical model proposed are presented in the following section.

2 NUMERICAL MODEL

The field equations to simulate hydro-mechanical behavior due to the internal erosion were derived in the framework of multiphase mixture theory. The constituents are the soil particles (S), the eroded soil particles or fluidized soil particles (FS), and the pore water (W). It is assumed that the eroded soil particles moves unified with the pore fluid and the liquid phase (L) is determined as \( L = W + FS \) as shown in Fig. 1. The governing equations are the balances of mass for each phase, the balances of linear momentum for each phase, the constitutive model for soil skeleton and the erosion model. The constitutive equation for soil skeleton is of the elasto-viscoplastic type. Regarding the erosion criteria, it is assumed that the erosion takes place when the hydro-dynamical driving force acting on the small volume of mixture is larger than the resistance force over the area. The equations are spatially distretized by finite element method. The formulations of the numerical model proposed are presented in the following section.
2.1 Definition of stress

Firstly, the total stress tensor is the sum of the partial stress tensors of each phase as

\[ \sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{ij}^s + \sigma_{ij}^w + \sigma_{ij}^{FS} \]  

(1)

The partial stress tensors of each phase are defined as

\[ \sigma_{ij}^s = \sigma_{ij}' - n^s P \delta_{ij} \]  

(2)

\[ \sigma_{ij}^w = \sigma_{ij}^w + \sigma_{ij}^{FS} = -nP \delta_{ij} \]  

(3)

where \( \sigma_{ij}^s, \sigma_{ij}^w, \) and \( \sigma_{ij}^{FS} \) are the partial stress tensors for the solid phase, the water phase, and the fluidized soil phase, respectively. \( \sigma_{ij}' \) is the effective stress tensor of the soil skeleton, \( P \) is the pore pressure. It is assumed that the partial stress of the liquid phase, which is the sum of the partial stress of the water phase and the fluidized soil phase is given in Eq. (3). Substituting Eqs. (2) and (3) into Eq. (1) gives

\[ \sigma_{ij}' = \sigma_{ij} + P \delta_{ij} \]  

(4)

Note that tension is positive for the stress tensor.

2.2 Balances of linear momentum

The equation of the balance of the linear momentum of the solid phase is given by

\[ \bar{\rho}^s \bar{u}_i^s = \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}^s}{\partial x_j} + \bar{p}^s b_i + R_{i,S}^{SL} \]  

(5)

where \( \bar{\rho}^s = n^s \rho^s \) is the mass density of the solid phase \( (n^s : \text{volume fraction of } \alpha \text{ phase}, \rho^s : \text{mass density of } \alpha \text{ phase}) \), \( \bar{u}_i^s \) is the acceleration of the solid phase, \( b_i \) is the body force vector, \( R_{i,S}^{SL} \) is the interaction force term induced by the relative motion between the solid and fluid phase.

The equation of the balance of the linear momentum of the liquid phase is given by

\[ \bar{p}^l \bar{u}_i^l = \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}^l}{\partial x_j} + \bar{p}^l b_i - R_{i,L}^{SL} \]  

(6)

where \( \bar{p}^l = n^l \rho^l \) is the mass density of the fluid phase, \( n^l = n^w + n^{FS} \) is the volume fraction of the liquid phase, \( \rho^l = \rho^w + (1-c) \rho^{FS} \) is the averaged mass density of the liquid phase, \( \bar{u}_i^l \) is the acceleration of the liquid phase. \( c_l = n^{FS}/n^l \) is the concentration of the eroded soil particles in the liquid phase. The interaction term \( R_{i,S}^{SL} \) in Eqs. (5) and (6) is proportional to the relative velocity between two phases and is given by

\[ R_{i,S}^{SL} = n^l \frac{\rho^l g}{k} q_i \]  

(7)

\[ q_i = n^l (\bar{u}_i^l - \bar{u}_i^{FS}) \]  

(8)

where \( \bar{u}_i^l = (\bar{u}_i^w + \bar{u}_i^{FS}) \) is the velocity of the liquid phase, \( \bar{u}_i^{FS} \) is the velocity of the solid phase, \( k \) is the hydraulic conductivity, \( g \) is the gravity. The acceleration terms in Eqs. (5) and (6) are disregarded in the present study.

2.3 Balances of mass

The mass balance equation of each phase is given by

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho)_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u)_{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} = \alpha_{\alpha} \]  

(9)

where \( \alpha_{\alpha} \) is mass change rate of \( \alpha \) phase due to internal erosion. The advection equation of the fluidized soil particles is derived from the balance of mass of \( FS \) phase.

The void ratio dependency of the permeability is assumed to be given by Kozeny-Carman equation as

\[ k = k_0 \frac{1 + e}{1 + e \ v^3} \]  

(10)

where \( k_0 \) is the initial hydraulic conductivity when the void ratio \( e \) is the initial value of \( e_0 \).

2.4 Erosion model

It is assumed that the driving force of the internal erosion is given by the interaction force between solid and liquid phase in Eqs. (5) and (6) (Kimoto et al. 2017). The erosion takes place when the hydro-dynamical driving force acting on the small volume of mixture is larger than the resistance force over the area. as schematically shown in Fig. 2.

\[ R_{i,S}^{SL} V_s \geq \int f_i \left( \sigma_{ij}' - \rho_c, S \right) S_s \]  

(11)

where \( V_s = \pi D^3/6n^s \) is the total volume around the soil particles, \( S_s = \pi D^3 \) and \( S_s \) are the surface area over which acts the resistance force, \( D \) is a
diameter of soil particle, $n_i$ is the direction of the relative velocity of the liquid to the solid phase. The function $f_i$ is the resistance force per unit area which is considered to be a function of the effective stress $\sigma'_{ef}$ of solid phase, non-elastic strain $\varepsilon'$, and other factor $S$ which may include the effect of suction for unsaturated seepage flow. Note that the present study is for the saturated seepage flow, and the erosion in unsaturated seepage flow will be the future study topic.

Considering that the direction of the vector $n_i$ coincides with that of $R_{li}$, Eqs. (7) and (11) give the following equation:

$$q \geq \frac{6n'k^*}{n'k^*g}D = \frac{6k^*}{\rho'g}D = q_{cr}$$

(12)

where the hydraulic conductivity is assumed to be proportional to the square of the representative diameter of soil particle as $k = kD^2$. Due to a lack of experimental data for the resistance force, $k^* = k_f^*$ is assumed in the present study. It should be noted that the critical flow rate $q_{cr}$ for the internal erosion is larger for the soil particle with larger diameter in Eq. (12) as schematically shown in Fig. 3.

In the model, the soil mass is divided into several groups with the representative particle diameter of $D_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$), and the erosion rate is given for each group as

$$\rho^S(D_i) = -\rho^S(D_i)$$

where $m^S(D_i)$ and $m^S(D_i)$ are the initial and the current mass for the soil group of representative diameter $D_i$. $\alpha_{cr}$ is a material parameter of erosion rate, and $R$ is a material parameter of the ratio of the remaining particles.

2.5 Constitutive model
An elasto-viscoplastic model proposed by Kimoto and Oka (2015) which is based on the Perzyna’s overstress type viscoplastic flow rule is adopted for the constitutive model of soil skeleton.

The governing equations are discretized in space by FEM. The unknowns are displacement of soil, pore water pressure, and the concentration of the eroded soil particles.

3 SIMULATIONS
3.1 Simulation model and cases
One dimensional upward flow is simulated. The height of the soil specimens is 300 mm, and the water level of the water tank which is connected to the bottom of the model is increased by 240 mm in 2400 sec as shown in Fig. 4. The average hydraulic gradient becomes 0.8 at 2400 sec. Finite element mesh and the boundary conditions are shown in Fig. 5.

Gap-graded soil of the mixture of 70% of silica sand No. 3 and 30% of silica sand No. 8 is assumed. The particle size distribution curve is shown in Fig. 6. The material parameters for the constitutive are shown in Table 1, which are determined from the element simulation of drained triaxial tests (Ke and Takahashi, 2014) for the gap-graded sandy soil (Fig. 7).

Two simulations are conducted as shown in Table 2. Case 0 is the case without erosion in which the erosion rate parameter $\alpha_{cr}$ is set to be zero, and Case 1 is the case considering erosion. The erosion parameter $R=0$ is assumed in the present simulation.
Table 1. Material parameters.

| Parameter                                      | Value                  |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Compression and swelling index $\lambda, \kappa$ | 0.053, 0.005           |
| Normalized initial shear modulus $G_0$ (kPa)    | 2000                   |
| Initial void ratio $e_0$                        | 0.59                   |
| Quasi-Overconsolidation ratio $OCR'$            | 1.0                    |
| Viscoplastic parameter $C_{tr}, C_{2r}$ (1/s)   | $1.0 \times 10^{-12}$  |
| Viscoplastic parameter $m'$                     | 40.0                   |
| Structural parameter $\sigma'_{s0}/\sigma'_{so}$ | 1.0, 0.0               |
| Stress ratio at critical state $M'_m$           | 1.34                   |
| Referential hydraulic conductivity $k_0$ (m/s)   | $6.0 \times 10^{-5}$   |

Table 2. Simulation cases (erosion parameters).

| Case               | $\alpha_e$ (kg/m^4) | $k^*$ (kg/m^4/s) |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 0 (without erosion) | 0.0                  | $5.0 \times 10^2$ |
| 1 (with erosion)   | $1.0 \times 10^4$    | $5.0 \times 10^2$ |

Fig. 5. Finite element mesh.

Fig. 6. Grain size distribution of gap-graded sandy soil.

Fig. 7. Determination of material-axial strain relations (drained triaxial test).

3.2 Results and discussions

Fig. 8 shows the distributions of remaining soil mass and Fig. 9 shows the time-degree of remaining soil mass relations, which is defined by the ratio of current soil mass to the initial soil mass in each element, for Case 1. Fig. 9 shows that the erosion starts at around 1500 sec in all the elements. This corresponds to the average hydraulic gradient of 0.5. The erosion occurs homogeneously along the model until 2000 sec, and then the erosion proceeds faster for the upper element. The degree of remaining soil mass reaches 0.7 after 8000 sec, this means that all the fine particles, that is, silica sand No.8, are eroded. This behavior depends on the value of the erosion parameter $k^*$.

Figs. 10, 11, 12 show the distributions of the pore water pressure, the vertical total stress, and the vertical effective stress, respectively. Fig. 13 shows the time history of the effective stress decreasing ratio (ESDR) defined by $1-\sigma'_{ve}/\sigma'_{v0}$ in which $\sigma'_{ve}$ and $\sigma'_{v0}$ are the initial and the current vertical effective stress, respectively. The values of ESDR converge to 0.8 in Case 0, where as they increase to around 1.0, that is, seepage failure occurs in Case 1. The hydraulic conductivity increases due to the increase of void ratio during erosion process as shown in Fig. 14.

From the simulations, the seepage failure occurs under lower average hydraulic gradient when the internal erosion is considered. This is mainly because of the decrease in the vertical stress. In addition, the non-uniformity of permeability due to the erosion of fine particles may lead to the failure due to the localized distribution of the hydraulic gradient.

4 CONCLUSIONS

One dimensional upward flow for gap-graded sandy soil was simulated using a seepage-deformation coupled analysis method in which the internal erosion of fine particles were considered. From the simulations, it is found that the seepage failure occurs under
relatively low average hydraulic gradient when the internal erosion is considered due to the decrease in the total stress and the non-uniformity of hydraulic conductivity due to the erosion of fine particles.

Fig. 8. Distributions of remaining soil mass (Case 1).

Fig. 9. Time-remanent soil mass relations (Case 1).

Fig. 10. Distributions of pore water pressure.

Fig. 11. Distributions of vertical total stress.

Fig. 12. Distributions of vertical effective stress.
Fig. 13. Time-effective stress decreasing ratio relations.

Fig. 14. Distributions of hydraulic conductivity (Case 1).
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