Clostridioides difficile remains the most frequently reported nosocomial infection and can lead to substantial morbidity and mortality. In a prior study from Manitoba, Canada, Lambert and colleagues identified 1006 cases of C. difficile infection (CDI) over 1 year (between July 2005 and June 2006), with 51% of the cases acquired in a health care facility (HCF) and 27% acquired in the community.

Many previous studies about the epidemiology of CDI in North America have used the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes for CDI from administrative health or hospital discharge databases, or databases from a single institution to determine the occurrences or trends of CDI. There are caveats to using the ICD codes for CDI from hospital discharge abstract databases, as they have a low sensitivity, resulting in about 30% of laboratory-confirmed CDI cases in hospitals being missed. Moreover, the epidemiological patterns assessed using these codes versus laboratory-confirmed cases can be quite different. In addition, hospital discharge data do not include many community-associated cases and often cannot distinguish onset in the community versus occurrence in hospital.

Understanding population time trends of CDI incidence and recurrence is key to understanding its morbidity. We used a data set of laboratory-confirmed cases of CDI in the province of Manitoba, Canada, to describe the epidemiology of CDI over a decade.

We conducted a population-based historical cohort study using Manitoba Health’s population-wide laboratory-based CDI data set linked to administrative health databases. All individuals living in Manitoba and experiencing a CDI episode between 2005 and 2015 were included (n = 8471) and followed up from CDI diagnosis. We assessed time trends of CDI, incidence and predictors of recurrence and severe outcomes, and health care encounters after CDI diagnosis. CDI episodes were stratified by community versus hospital site of acquiring CDI.

Between 2005 and 2009, overall CDI diagnoses decreased by an average of 12.6% per year (95% confidence interval [CI] –4.4 to –20.0), with no statistically significant change from 2010 to 2015. In stratified analysis, incident and recurrent CDI had a similar decrease in the initial study time period and then stabilized. The proportion of community-associated CDI cases increased by an average of 4.8% per year (95% CI 2.8 to 6.8) during the study period. CDI acquired in a health care facility had a higher recurrence rate and more severe outcomes. Recurrence of CDI increased the likelihood of admission to hospital.

Between 2005 and 2015, the rates of overall laboratory-confirmed CDI, incident CDI, recurrent CDI and severe outcomes following CDI initially decreased before stabilizing, and an increasing proportion of CDI cases were community-associated. There is an increasing need to test for CDI among outpatients with diarrhea and to increase efforts to prevent recurrent CDI.
and predictors of recurrence, severe outcomes, health care encounters after CDI diagnosis and community v. hospital associated CDI.

Methods

Setting and population
Manitoba is a central Canadian province that had a population of 1.36 million people in 2018.5 Manitoba Health, Seniors and Active Living (MHSAL) is the provincial agency that oversees the delivery of universal health care in the province. Laboratory-confirmed CDI cases among individuals 18 years or older in the entire province of Manitoba between July 2005 and December 2015 were included in this study.

Data sources
Manitoba Health, Seniors and Active Living maintains several administrative databases to monitor health care services and for reimbursement to health care providers for the services rendered. These include admissions to hospital, Discharge Abstract Database, physician claims data set and the Drug Programs Information Network database (that includes all outpatient dispensed prescriptions). Several prior studies have validated the accuracy of data in MSHAL databases, which have been used for many epidemiological studies.7–10

The MHSAL Public Health Branch Epidemiology and Surveillance Unit has maintained a population-based CDI database since 2005, developed from the legally mandated universal reporting of documented CDI cases in the province to the unit. The MHSAL CDI data set includes identifying information on individuals with CDI, including their personal health identification number (PHIN), postal code of residence at the time of CDI diagnosis, the date the stool specimen was collected and the results reported. Only loose stool, which takes the shape of its container, is tested by the Manitoba laboratories, thereby minimizing the detection of asymptomatic carriers (estimated to be 2%–7% of the population11,12).

Between July 2005 and May 2013, Manitoba laboratories performed immunoassays for the glutamate dehydrogenase antigen and C. difficile toxins A and B, followed by the cytopathic effect assay (using viable human fibroblasts) or culture for discordant results (e.g., positive glutamate dehydrogenase antigen and negative C. difficile toxin A & B immunoassay).13,14 Since May 2013, 3 laboratories (responsible for about 70% of the testing) implemented a nucleic acid amplification test (the Illumigene assay, Meridian Biosciences) for confirmation of glutamate dehydrogenase antigen-positive samples.14

Since 1984, all individuals in the province are assigned a unique PHIN. We used scrambled anonymized PHINs to link all databases included in the current study.

Study measures
We used previously recommended and used definitions for CDI9,10 listed in Appendix 1, Supplementary Table A, available at www.cmajopen.ca/content/8/4/E737/suppl/DC1) to determine whether it was acquired in the community or in an HCF and whether it was incident or recurrent. Index date was defined as the date stool specimen was collected. Community-associated CDI refers to CDIs that were likely acquired in the community. Hospital-associated CDI refers to the CDIs likely acquired in the hospitals, including those manifesting in the hospital (health care facility [HCF] onset, HCF-associated CDI) or after hospital discharge (community-onset HCF-associated CDI).

The Socioeconomic Factor Index (SEFI) was used to assign socioeconomic status to each case. The SEFI is based on the neighbourhood of residence on the index date using a validated measure from Statistics Canada Census data.16 Comorbidity burden was determined from ambulatory care and hospital admission diagnoses in the year before index CDI using the Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score.17 Specific common comorbid medical conditions that increase the risk of CDI (diabetes, renal disease) were used as separate covariates and were not included in the CCI for the analysis of predictors of recurrence and severe CDI.16–20 Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) was identified using a definition based on previously validated administrative data.10

Statistical analysis
We calculated the overall incidence and recurrence of HCF-associated; HCF-onset HCF-associated, community-onset HCF-associated, and community-associated CDI per 100 000 person-years of follow-up.

We used Joinpoint software (version 4.5.0.1)21 to assess CDI time trends. Unadjusted and direct age-adjusted (to the 2006 Canadian population;22 as rates have been reported to increase with age) rates were calculated.23 Time trends were expressed as annual percent change in rates with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).24 The models incorporated estimated standard errors of the age-adjusted rates. The joinpoint regression analysis approach aims to break a trend over time into 2 or more piecewise linear sections and identify the years in which the slopes change over time.

Potential patient-level predictors of recurrence of CDI were evaluated using a multivariable competing risks proportional hazards regression model. Death was considered the competing event with censoring at loss of health care coverage or end of the study period. Model covariates included sex, age, CDI infection type (HCF- v. community-associated), SEFI, diabetes, renal disease, IBD, length of stay in hospital (for the subgroup analysis among HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI) and frequency of ambulatory care visits and CCI score in the year before the index date. We performed separate analyses for the first and second recurrence.

A multivariable logistic regression model was used to estimate odds ratios for factors associated with outcome severity. Severe outcomes (death, admission to the intensive care unit, or colectomy) were identified after the index episode of CDI (first incident or recurrent CDI in the study period) in the 30 days after index CDI. Of note, no individual left the province in the 30 days after a CDI episode, and the last 30 days of the data set were not used in this analysis. Model covariates included presence or absence of recurrence, sex,
age, CDI infection type, SEFI, diabetes, renal disease, IBD, length of stay in hospital (for the subgroup analysis among HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI) and frequency of ambulatory care visits and CCI score in the year before the index date.

Predictors of hospital admission after community-associated CDI were evaluated using multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models with hospital admission as the event and a maximum follow-up period of 6 months with censoring loss of health care coverage, end of study period or out-of-hospital death as end points. Only first episodes of CDI that occurred during the study period were included in this analysis.

Patterns of hospital readmission after CDI detected in patients were also evaluated using multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models with hospital readmission as the event and the maximum follow-up 6 months with censoring at loss of health care coverage, out-of-hospital death or end of the study period as end points. Only first infections diagnosed in patients who were subsequently discharged were included in this analysis, and the follow-up time started at the discharge date.

Data management and analyses were completed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

**Ethics approval**

This study was approved by the Health Research Ethics Board at the University of Manitoba and the Health Information and Privacy Committee at Manitoba Health.

**Results**

Patient demographic and clinical characteristics are provided in Table 1.

During the study period (2005–2015), the overall rate of CDI was 92.7 (95% CI 90.7 to 94.6), incident CDI 75.1 (95% CI 73.4 to 76.9) and recurrent CDI 17.5 (95% CI 16.7 to 18.4) cases per 100 000 person-years. The average rate of HCF-associated CDI was 55.0 cases (95% CI 50.5 to 53.5), with HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI 39.0 (95% CI 37.7 to 40.3) and community-onset HCF-associated 16.1 (95% CI 15.2 to 16.9) per 100 000 person-years; community-associated CDI was 28.0 cases (95% CI 26.3 to 28.4) per 100 000 person-years.

The age-standardized CDI rates were similar to the unadjusted rates: total CDI 88.6 (95% CI 86.7 to 90.5); HCF-associated CDI 52.0 (95% CI 50.5 to 53.5), with rates of HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI 36.5 (95% CI 35.3 to 37.7)

| Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with incident or recurrent CDIs |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Characteristic                  | All patients    | Incident CDI    | Recurrent CDI   | p value†        |
| sex, male                       | 3549 (41.8)     | 2905 (42.3)     | 644 (39.8)      | 0.064           |
| age, median (IQR), yr           | 71 (55–82)      | 70 (53–82)      | 77 (63–85)      | < 0.001         |
| SEFI, median (IQR)              | –0.08 (–0.57 to 0.40) | –0.07 (–0.57 to 0.40) | –0.10 (–0.59 to 0.39) | 0.30 |
| no. of ambulatory care visits in the year preceding the index CDI, median (IQR) | 24 (14–39) | 22 (13–37) | 30 (20–45) | < 0.001 |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index      |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| 0                               | 2339 (27.6)     | 2033 (29.6)     | 306 (18.9)      | < 0.001         |
| 1                               | 1491 (17.6)     | 1199 (17.4)     | 292 (18.0)      |                 |
| 2                               | 1510 (17.8)     | 1195 (17.4)     | 315 (19.4)      |                 |
| ≥ 3                             | 3131 (36.9)     | 2428 (35.4)     | 703 (43.5)      |                 |
| diabetes                        | 2434 (28.7)     | 1956 (28.5)     | 478 (29.5)      | 0.41            |
| renal disease                   | 1522 (17.9)     | 1208 (17.6)     | 314 (19.4)      | 0.091           |
| inflammatory bowel disease      | 280 (3.3)       | 224 (3.2)       | 56 (3.4)        | 0.70            |
| type of index CDI (by location of acquisition) |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| HCF-onset HCF-associated        | 3563 (42.0)     | 2824 (41.1)     | 739 (45.7)      | < 0.001         |
| Community-onset HCF-associated  | 1469 (17.3)     | 1133 (16.5)     | 336 (20.7)      |                 |
| Community-associated            | 2558 (30.1)     | 2259 (32.9)     | 299 (18.5)      |                 |
| indeterminate                   | 881 (10.4)      | 639 (9.3)       | 242 (14.9)      |                 |

Note: CDI = Clostridioides difficile infection, HCF = health care facility, IQR = interquartile range, SEFI = Socioeconomic Factor Index.

*Except where indicated otherwise.
†The comparison is between characteristics of those with incident versus recurrent CDI.
and community-onset HCF-associated CDI 15.5 (95% CI 14.7 to 16.3); community-associated CDI 27.3 (95% CI 26.3 to 28.4); incident CDI 72.2 (95% CI 70.5 to 73.9); and recurrent CDI 16.4 (95% CI 15.6 to 17.2) per 100 000 person-years.

**Time trends**

The total CDI rate decreased from 2005 to 2009 by an average of 12.6% per year (95% CI –4.4 to –20.0), and then had a nonsignificant average increase of 2.3% annually (95% CI –2.2 to 6.9) from 2010 to 2015 (Figure 1). Incident CDI initially decreased an average of 16.1% annually from 2005 to 2008 (95% CI –27.2 to –3.2) and recurrent CDI an average of 11.5% per year from 2005 to 2010 (95% CI –20.7 to –1.1), followed by nonsignificant increases in both. Severe cases of CDI initially decreased by an average of 16.6% per year from 2005 to 2012 (95% CI –23.1 to –9.6), but then increased nonsignificantly afterward (24.7% [95% CI –10 to 72.7]).

The rate of HCF-associated CDI decreased by an average of 15.9% per year from 2005 to 2009 (95% CI –23.9 to –7.1), and then continued to show a 4.2% downward average annual trend thereafter (95% CI –9.5 to 1.5) (Figure 1).

All other CDI categories showed time trends that were not statistically significant. The incidence of community-onset HCF-associated CDI had an initial downward trend of 16.9% from 2005 to 2008 (95% CI –32.5 to 2.2), and then an increasing trend of 1.7% per year from 2008 to 2015 (95% CI –3.3 to 7.0). Community-associated CDI also had an increasing, though not statistically significant, trend of 1.7% per year from 2005 to 2015 (95% CI –2.3 to 5.9).

As a result of these individual time trends, the proportion of community-associated CDI increased an average of 4.8%
per year (95% CI 2.8 to 6.8) over the duration of the study (Figure 2; Appendix 1, Supplementary Table B).

**Recurrent CDI**
Among 5795 patients with CDI, there were 1021 recurrences and 421 deaths within 56 days. Older age, female sex and HCF-associated CDI were associated with increased risk of recurrent CDI (Table 2). Older age, higher frequency of ambulatory care visits in the year preceding initial CDI and community-onset HCF-associated CDI were associated with a second CDI recurrence (Table 2).

**Severe CDI**
Advanced age, lower socioeconomic status, greater frequency of ambulatory care visits, increasing CCI score and diagnosis of renal disease were associated with an increased risk of a severe outcome (Table 3). Furthermore, HCF-associated CDI cases had more severe outcomes than community-associated disease.

In univariate models, there was no difference between incident and recurrent groups, but patients in the recurrent group were older, had greater comorbidity and had more frequent ambulatory care visits. When these differences were taken into account in the multivariable model, patients with recurrent cases were less likely to experience severe outcomes.

**Admission to hospital within 30 days of CDI**
About one-quarter (25.4%) of those with incident CDI and 36.3% of those with recurrent CDI were in hospital on the index date and in the 30 days post-index date (or until death), whereas 36.7% of those with incident CDI and 35.6% of those with recurrent infection were never in hospital immediately after CDI diagnosis (no hospital admissions on or for 30 days after the index date). Of all patients, 11.2% of those with incident CDI and 10.2% of those with recurrent CDI were admitted once, whereas 3.8% of those with incident CDI and 2.5% of those with recurrent CDI were discharged and then readmitted at least once in the 30 days after the index date. The percentage of patients with incident and recurrent CDI who were in hospital over the 30 days post-index date are provided in Figure 3 and suggest a higher rate of admission to hospital for the individuals with recurrent CDI.

**Admission to hospital within 6 months of CDI**
The risk of hospital admission within 6 months for outpatients with CDI increased with older age, lower socioeconomic status, higher CCI score, and greater frequency of ambulatory care visits in the 1-year period before the index date. Community-associated CDI was associated with lower rates of hospital admission than community-onset HCF-
associated cases. Recurrence of CDI (v. incident infection) increased the risk of hospital admission (Table 4).

The risk of readmission within 6 months of initial discharge for all individuals admitted to hospital with CDI (incident and recurrent) who survived to discharge increased with lower socioeconomic status, greater CCI score and higher frequency of ambulatory care visits before the index date. Community-associated CDI was associated with lower rates for readmission to hospital after a hospital discharge than HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI. Recurrence of CDI increased the rate for readmission to hospital only if that recurrence happened after discharge from the original hospital admission (Appendix 1, Supplementary Table C).

**Interpretation**

In this population-based study using laboratory confirmed CDI cases between 2005 and 2015, the rates of overall CDI incidence, recurrent CDI and severe outcomes following CDI all initially decreased before stabilizing. Rates of HCF-associated CDI initially decreased as well; however, community-associated CDI cases had no substantial change through the years. These patterns led to an increasing proportion of CDI cases that are community-associated. This finding reinforces the practice of testing patients not admitted to hospital with diarrhea for CDI.

There are several potential reasons for decrease in incident CDI rates from 2005 to 2008. Increased awareness of CDI-associated risk factors and infection control procedures and judicious use of antibiotics are all possible explanations for the initial decrease. Increased rates of testing could have then counterbalanced this trend and led to the stabilization of rates from 2009 onward.

Predictors of severe outcomes were advanced age, increased number of comorbidities, prior diagnosis of renal disease and HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI. Early diagnosis and prompt treatment is especially important in these groups.

| Table 2: Predictors of recurrent CDI among patients with diagnosis of CDI |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Covariate                  | Recurrence type; adjusted HR (95% CI)* |
|                            | First recurrence† | Second recurrence‡ |
| Age, yr (Ref: 18–69 yr)    |                 |                 |
| 70–79                      | 1.51 (1.27 to 1.79) | 1.61 (1.14 to 2.28) |
| ≥ 80                       | 2.01 (1.72 to 2.34) | 2.76 (2.06 to 3.70) |
| Female sex (v. male)       | 1.16 (1.02 to 1.32) | 0.99 (0.78 to 1.25) |
| SEFI (Ref: richer v. poorer)| 0.92 (0.82 to 1.04) | 0.98 (0.78 to 1.23) |
| CCI (Ref: 0)§              |                 |                 |
| 1                          | 1.23 (1.02 to 1.47) | 0.83 (0.57 to 1.20) |
| 2                          | 1.17 (0.96 to 1.42) | 1.35 (0.96 to 1.91) |
| ≥ 3                        | 1.18 (0.97 to 1.45) | 1.23 (0.84 to 1.78) |
| Diabetes (v. none)         | 1.06 (0.91 to 1.23) | 1.00 (0.76 to 1.31) |
| Renal disease (v. none)    | 1.07 (0.89 to 1.30) | 1.17 (0.84 to 1.63) |
| IBD (v. none)              | 1.13 (0.79 to 1.63) | 0.81 (0.36 to 1.83) |
| Ambulatory care visits in prior year (v. most) |                 |                 |
| Least                      | 1.17 (0.96 to 1.43) | 1.93 (1.20 to 3.11) |
| Quartile 2                 | 1.20 (0.97 to 1.48) | 1.92 (1.21 to 3.05) |
| Quartile 3                 | 1.10 (0.88 to 1.38) | 2.12 (1.37 to 3.27) |
| CDI subtype (v. community-associated) |                 |                 |
| HCF-onset HCF-associated¶ | 1.20 (1.02 to 1.42) | 1.28 (0.91 to 1.79) |
| Community-onset HCF-associated | 1.25 (1.03 to 1.53) | 1.51 (1.03 to 2.21) |
| Indeterminate              | 1.18 (0.93 to 1.50) | 1.34 (0.86 to 2.11) |

Note: CDI = *Clostridioides difficile* infection, CCI = Charlson Comorbidity Index, CI = confidence interval, HCF = health care facility, HR = hazard ratio, IBD = inflammatory bowel disease, Ref = Reference, SEFI = Socioeconomic Factor Index.

*HRs were adjusted for all factors listed in table.
†For the first recurrence analysis, we included 5795 incident CDIs with > 14 days follow-up. There were 1021 recurrences (events) and 421 deaths (competing events).
‡For the second recurrence analysis, we included 5558 incident CDIs with > 28 day follow-up. There were 295 recurrences (events) and 500 deaths (competing events).
§Renal disease and diabetes omitted from CCI calculation.
¶In the subgroup analysis of those with HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI (n = 2338), longer hospitalization (> 14 days) before CDI onset was associated with increased risk of both first CDI recurrence (n = 477; HR 1.70 [95% CI 1.40 to 2.06]) as well as the second CDI recurrence (n = 142; HR 1.76 [95% CI 1.23 to 2.52]).
Although recurrent CDI was associated with lower risk of severe outcomes, it was associated with increased risks of admission and readmission to hospitals. This could have substantial cost implications, as costs for nosocomial CDI readmissions have been estimated to be about Can$128,200 per hospital annually.

There are no similar Canadian population-based studies to which we can contrast our results, although other studies have suggested an increasing occurrence of CDI over the same time period as was evaluated in this study. For example, a retrospective cohort study from the United States assessed those insured within the Optum Insight network. The analysis showed that the incidence of CDI increased by 42.7%, from 44.1 cases for 100,000 person-years in 2001 to 62.9 cases in 2012, whereas the incidence of multiple recurrent CDI also increased. A multicentre study in the US from 2000 to 2006 reported an increase in the incidence of HCF-onset HCF-associated, and community-onset HCF-associated cases of CDI, whereas the overall incidence of community-onset community-associated and indeterminate cases of CDI remained stable. However, this study only assessed inpatient laboratory data from 6 academic medical centres.

A Canadian study from 2009 to 2015 assessed adult inpatients from 64 acute care hospitals, and reported that HCF-associated cases of CDI decreased from 5.9 to 4.3 cases per 10,000 inpatient days. Another population-based cohort study in Ontario, Canada assessed community-onset cases of CDI from 2003 to 2010, using ICD codes during an emergency department visit, or nonelective hospital admission with or without a colectomy. There were 7950 people with community-onset CDI, with a crude annual mean incidence of 7.8 per 100,000 people, which is lower than that in our study.

We identified increasing age, female sex, greater comorbidity burden and HCF-associated CDI as predictors of recurrent
Figure 3: Inpatient status for all incident and recurrent CDI in the 30 days following laboratory confirmation of CDI among those who were outpatients at CDI onset. Note: CDI = *Clostridioides difficile* infection.

### Table 4: Predictors of admission to hospital within 6 months for outpatients with CDI*

| Covariate                                      | Adjusted HR (95% CI)† |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Recurrence (Ref: No recurrence)               | 1.58 (1.31 to 1.91)   |
| Age, yr (Ref: 18–69 yr)                        |                       |
| 70–79                                          | 1.55 (1.25 to 1.92)   |
| ≥ 80                                           | 1.49 (1.23 to 1.81)   |
| Male sex (v. female)                           | 0.95 (0.81 to 1.11)   |
| SEFI‡                                          | 1.17 (1.07 to 1.27)   |
| CCI (Ref: 0)§                                  |                       |
| 1                                              | 1.54 (1.2 to 1.96)    |
| 2                                              | 1.35 (1.03 to 1.76)   |
| ≥ 3                                            | 1.83 (1.43 to 2.36)   |
| CDI subtype (v. community-associated)          |                       |
| Community-onset HCF-associated                 | 1.46 (1.21 to 1.77)   |
| Indeterminate                                  | 1.31 (1.05 to 1.63)   |
| Ambulatory care visits in previous year (v. least) |               |
| Quartile 2                                     | 1.34 (0.99 to 1.82)   |
| Quartile 3                                     | 1.84 (1.36 to 2.48)   |
| Most                                           | 2.20 (1.6 to 3.02)    |

Note: CDI = *Clostridioides difficile* infection, CCI = Charlson Comorbidity Index, CI = confidence interval, HCF = health care facility, HR = hazard ratio, SEFI = Socioeconomic Factor Index.

*307 admissions among 2530 outpatients diagnosed with CDI.

†The HRs were adjusted for all the factors listed in the table.

‡SEFI was handled as a continuous variable.

§Renal disease and diabetes omitted from CCI calculation.
CDI, which supports previous research. Renal insufficiency has also been found to be a risk factor, which was not shown in our study. Antibiotic use and gastric acid suppression have been reported to be associated with recurrent CDI, which we were unable to account for with our data as we did not have access to in-hospital medication dispensation data sets.

The lack of continued reduction in overall CDI rates is important to note in our study and suggests additional measures are necessary to develop and test to decrease the burden of this disease.

Our data support treating older individuals admitted to hospital with multiple comorbidities, who also have a higher risk of severe outcomes with CDI, with treatment modalities associated with a lower risk of recurrent CDI.

Limitations
We were unable to review individual charts to obtain other relevant clinical and laboratory information. Hence, we could not further stratify patients by the Infectious Diseases Society of America definition of CDI severity by leukocytosis or an elevated serum creatinine. We were also unable to account for the use of antimicrobial or gastric acid suppression medications. Whether our results can be generalized to other jurisdictions will need to be determined in additional studies using population-based CDI laboratory data sets.

Conclusion
Our population-based study on CDI incidence and trends in Manitoba, Canada, suggests that although rates of CDI were initially decreasing from 2005, they have remained relatively stable for the last several years, suggesting the need for renewed focus to prevent CDI. An increased proportion of CDI cases are community associated, reflecting a decrease in HCF-onset HCF-associated CDI, perhaps because of improved hospital practices and an increasing need to test for CDI among outpatients with diarrhea. Recurrent CDI is associated with increased admission and readmission to hospital and, hence, continues to merit ongoing efforts to prevent recurrent CDI, particularly among those who do poorly with a CDI infection, such as older people admitted to hospital with multiple comorbidities.
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