INTRODUCTION

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), a group of highly hydrophobic and organic compounds consisting of two or more fused aromatic rings, are ubiquitous in environment (Purcaro, Moret, & Conte, 2013; Singh, Varshney, & Agarwal, 2016; Xia et al., 2010). PAHs are harmful to human health, and a number of them are carcinogenic, mutagenic, and genotoxic (Cai, Lv, Zhang, & Zhang, 2012; Martorell et al., 2010). Consequently, 16 PAHs were selected by the United State Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) as priority pollutants based on their occurrence and relative carcinogenicity. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) also officially established a list of “15 + 1” European Union (EU) priority PAHs, which is distinguished from the 16 USEPA PAHs. Among these regulated PAHs,
benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), classified as Group 1 (carcinogenic to humans), is the most investigated compound due to its proved carcinogenic activity (Alomirah et al., 2010; Moret, Purcaro, & Conte, 2005). However, the EFSA found that BaP is not a sufficient indicator for PAH occurrence in food and suggested that the sum of benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (Chr), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), and BaP (PAH4), as well as the sum of BaA, Chr, BbF, BaP, benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF), benzo[g,h,i]perylene (BghiP), dibenz[a,h]anthracene (DahA), and indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IchP) (PAH8), is the most suitable criterion (Alomirah et al., 2011; Li, Wu, Wang, & Akoh, 2016; Purcaro et al., 2013; Rozentale et al., 2015). Consequently, the EU reported that the maximum levels (MLs) for BaP and PAH4 in smoked meat products were 2 and 12 μg/kg, respectively (Commission Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 amended by Commission Regulation (EU) No 835/2011).

Human beings can be easily suffered from these compounds via a variety of pathways. In particular, dietary intake of food is the major exposure route of PAHs for nonsmoking and nonoccupationally exposed populations (Domingo, 2014; Purcaro et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2016). The sources of PAH in food can come from food processing and preparation, cooking procedures, environmental contamination, and direct contact with nonfood grade mineral oil and contaminated package material (Purcaro et al., 2013). Generally, the amount of PAHs generated during the thermal food processing might cause by many parameters, such as temperature, duration of the treatment, distance from the source of heat, fat content, oxygen accessibility, and the type of combustible used (Akpambang et al., 2009; Essumang, Dodoo, & Adjei, 2013; Lee et al., 2016; Oz & Yuzer, 2016).

With the rapid economic growth and food structure change, meat and meat products have become daily food for most Chinese consumers (He, Yang, Xia, Zhao, & Yang, 2016). In particular, grilled and fried meat products are becoming increasingly popular in both homes and restaurants due to their well flavor and high nutritional values. Shandong, a coastal province in east China (34.61–37.91°N and 115.08–122.41°E), is an important industrial region and one of the top manufacturing provinces in China (Chai et al., 2017). Nearly 100 million people live in this region, where grilled and fried meat products represent a significant part of the daily diet. The aim of the present study was firstly to perform a PAH contamination survey on these samples. Finally, the dietary exposure and health risk estimation with the consumption of these foodstuffs were estimated.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Chemicals and reagents

A certified solution of 16 USEPA priority PAHs with a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml for each, containing naphthalene (Nap), acenaphthylene (Acy), acenaphthene (Ace), fluorene (Fl), phenanthrene (Phe), anthracene (Ant), fluoranthene (Flu), pyrene (Pyr), benz[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (Chr), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), benzo(k)fluoranthene (BkF), benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), dibenz[a,h]anthracene (DahA), indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene (IchP), and benzo[g,h,i]perylene (BghiP), was obtained from AccuStandard (New Haven, USA). In the present study, all solvents and reagents were of HPLC grade and analytical grade, respectively. Acetonitrile, methanol, acetone, n-hexane, and dichloromethane were all obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Ultrapure water was produced by a Milli-Q purification water system (Millipore Co., USA).

2.2 | Standard solutions and calibration curve

A series of working solutions, containing each PAH at concentration of 0.5, 1.0, 2.5, 5.0, 10, 25, and 50 ng/ml, were prepared by suitable dilution of the stock solutions with acetonitrile. The obtained solutions were stored at 4°C and renewed weekly.

2.3 | Sampling and sample pretreatment

In the present study, 52 representative samples of various grilled (23) and fried (29) meat samples were purchased from the main retail outlets and local markets in 17 cities of Shandong province, China. The samples were collected during June to September in the year 2015. Each sample was homogenized and stored at ~20°C until analyzed. If the samples contained nonedible parts, it should be removed firstly. It should also be pointed out that no further cooking procedure of the samples was done before analysis.

Approximately 20 g of the homogenized sample was weighed, and 100 ml of petroleum ether was added. Then, the sample was shaken for 30 s, ultrasonicated for 20 min, and centrifuged at 4500 g for 5 min. After the supernatant of the extracts was decanted, this procedure was repeated two more times. Finally, the combined extracts were rotary evaporated at 35°C to eliminate the solvents, and the residue was reconstituted in 5 ml of acetonitrile–acetonitrile (v/v = 1:1) solution for further cleanup.

The cleanup procedures of the samples were performed based on the method described in our published study (Jiang et al., 2015). In brief, the extracts were cleanup on two sets of SPE columns, which were Oasis HLB column (WAT106202, 6 cc/200 mg) and Sep-Pak Florisil (WAT043390, 6 cc/1 g) column, respectively. Thereafter, the final eluate was collected in a 10-ml glass tube vial, evaporated under nitrogen stream at 35°C, and then reconstituted with 1 ml of acetonitrile–toluene (v/v = 9:1) before injection into the HPLC system.

2.4 | HPLC analysis

A high-performance liquid chromatography system (waters, made in Singapore) combined with a fluorescence detector was used for PAHs determination. Separation of analytes was carried out on a Waters PAH C18 analytical column (4.6 × 250 mm, 5 μm) maintained at 35°C. A flow rate of 1.0 ml/min was selected, and the injection volume was 10.0 μl. The gradient elution procedure, consisted of acetonitrile (A) and water (B), was performed as follows: 50% A...
good accuracy of the method. The intra- and inter-day precision was determined by recovery experiments, which were conducted by spiking the samples with PAHs standards at levels of 2, 10, and 50 μg/kg (n = 5), respectively. Inter-day precision was performed by repeating this procedure on three consecutive working days. The recoveries ranged from 71.3% to 123% (Table 2), indicating good accuracy of the method. The intra- and inter-day precision was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD). As shown in Table 2, the intra-RSD and inter-RSD values were in the range of 3.5%–13.8% and 4.9%–15.6%, respectively. The LODs and LOQs were calculated based on the analyte concentration giving a signal-to-noise of at least threefold (S/N > 3) and 10-fold (S/N > 10), respectively. The LODs and LOQs for 15 PAHs were in the range of 0.06–0.30 μg/kg and 0.20–1.00 μg/kg, respectively.

2.5 | Method validation and quality control

The data acquisition and analysis were processed with the Empower 2 software. Quantification was performed by an external standard method. The method was validated for linearity, accuracy, precision, limit of detection (LOD), and quantification (LOQ). Linearity was evaluated by constructing a standard curve for each PAH in the range of 0.5–50 ng/ml. Results demonstrated that all standard curves displayed good linearity with the correlation coefficients (r²) higher than 0.992, as displayed in Table 2. Accuracy and intraday precision were determined by recovery experiments, which were conducted by spiking the samples with PAHs standards at levels of 2, 10, and 50 μg/kg (n = 5), respectively. Inter-day precision was performed by repeating this procedure on three consecutive working days. The recoveries ranged from 71.3% to 123% (Table 2), indicating good accuracy of the method. The intra- and inter-day precision was expressed as relative standard deviation (RSD). As shown in Table 2, the intra-RSD and inter-RSD values were in the range of 3.5%–13.8% and 4.9%–15.6%, respectively. The LODs and LOQs were calculated based on the analyte concentration giving a signal-to-noise of at least threefold (S/N > 3) and 10-fold (S/N > 10), respectively. The LODs and LOQs for 15 PAHs were in the range of 0.06–0.30 μg/kg and 0.20–1.00 μg/kg, respectively.

2.6 | Dietary exposure estimation

A common method to estimate daily intake for each PAH was the combination of contamination data with food consumption levels. Generally speaking, this estimation was calculated by an integration of mean levels of individual PAHs with the food consumption assumption of adult population with a body weight of 60 kg (Akpambang et al., 2009; Alomirah et al., 2011; Kao, Chen, Huang, Chen, & Chen, 2014). According to the data from the Chinese National Nutrition Survey in 2012, the average level of meat consumption was 89.7 g/day (He et al., 2016). Besides, a worst-case scenario was estimated based on the maximum PAH contamination levels obtained from the samples analyzed.

2.7 | Health risk estimation

Since individual PAHs have different ability to produce a toxic effect, the toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) (Table 3) are utilized for the estimation of the potential risk of PAH compounds (Essumang et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015; Li, Wu et al., 2016). BaP, the most potent carcinogenic and representative PAH, has a reference TEF value of 1. For a further step, in order to assess the hazard of PAH compounds, the toxicity equivalency quotient (TEQ), expressed as the BaP equivalent concentrations, was obtained by multiplying the concentration of each PAH with its TEF (Jiang et al., 2015; Li, Wu et al., 2016; Xia et al., 2010). The TEQ_{BaP} of food was calculated according to Equation (1).

\[
\text{TEQ}_{\text{BaP}} = \sum \text{C}_i \times \text{TEF}_i
\]

where C_i is the determined PAH value for the “ith” compound with the defined TEF_i. The carcinogenic potencies of 15 PAHs were estimated as the sum of each individual TEQ_{BaP}

The incremental lifetime cancer risk (ILCR) of population groups in Shandong associated with dietary exposure of PAHs in meat was calculated by Equation (2) based on our and others’ reported methods (Jiang et al., 2015; Li, Wu et al., 2016):

\[
\text{ILCR} = \text{TEQ}_{\text{BaP}} \times \text{IR} \times \text{EF} \times \text{ED} \times \text{SF} \times \text{CF} / (\text{BW} \times \text{AT})
\]

where ILCR = the incremental lifetime cancer risk of dietary exposure; IR = the ingestion amount of meat products (0.0897 kg/day), which was obtained from the data of the Chinese National Nutrition Survey in 2012 (He et al., 2016). SF = the oral cancer slope factor of BaP; which obeys lognormal distribution with a geometric mean of 7.3 mg kg^{-1} day^{-1} (USEPA, 2001). ED = the exposure duration (year) (for children: ED = 7; for adolescents: ED = 7; for adults: ED = 43; for seniors: ED = 10) (Li, Dong et al., 2016; Xia et al., 2010). BW = average body weight during exposure duration (kg); AT = the average life span for carcinogens (equal to 76 years in China, 27,740 days, which was based on the World Health Statistics released by the World Health Organization (WHO) (Xu, Zhang, Yang, Zou, & Zhao, 2014). EF = the exposure frequency (365 days/year); CF = the conversion factor (10^{-6} mg/ng).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Contamination levels of PAHs in meat samples

The developed and validated method was further utilized for the quantitative analysis of 15 PAHs in grilled and fired meat products. Table 3 displayed the occurrence and mean contamination level of each single PAH in all meat products. Briefly, the concentrations of total PAHs (Σ_{15}PAH) in 52 different meat samples ranged from 8.23 to 341 μg/kg with a mean contamination level of 63.3 μg/kg.
| PAHs | $r^2$ | LOQ (μg/kg) | Level 1 (2 μg/kg) | Level 2 (10 μg/kg) | Level 3 (50 μg/kg) |
|------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|      |       |             | Recovery (%)      | Intra-RSD (%)     | Inter-RSD (%)     |
|      |       |             |                   |                   |                   |
| Nap  | 0.9993 | 0.30        | 78.9              | 7.3               | 6.6               |
| Ace  | 0.9985 | 0.30        | 80.7              | 5.9               | 8.4               |
| Fle  | 0.9999 | 0.30        | 81.2              | 8.4               | 9.8               |
| Phe  | 0.9921 | 1.00        | 107               | 13.8              | 15.6              |
| Ant  | 0.9993 | 0.20        | 89.1              | 8.8               | 9.1               |
| Flu  | 0.9937 | 0.30        | 115               | 6.7               | 8.1               |
| Pyr  | 0.9996 | 0.30        | 111               | 10.8              | 9.3               |
| BaA  | 0.9998 | 0.20        | 101               | 7.2               | 7.8               |
| Chr  | 0.9996 | 0.20        | 89.9              | 5.9               | 8.4               |
| BbF  | 0.9992 | 0.20        | 106               | 8.3               | 10.5              |
| BkF  | 0.9995 | 0.20        | 83.3              | 6.0               | 6.5               |
| BaP  | 0.9997 | 0.20        | 90.8              | 5.7               | 7.6               |
| DahA | 0.9994 | 0.20        | 92.7              | 7.1               | 8.2               |
| IcdP | 0.9987 | 0.20        | 74.6              | 10.4              | 11.8              |
| BghiP| 0.9996 | 0.20        | 78.5              | 6.9               | 5.8               |

Validation parameters for the proposed method for the determination of target PAHs.
The proportions of the light PAHs (LPAHs, include Nap, Ace, Fle, Phe, Ant, Flu, Pyr, BaA, and Chr) above the LOQ were higher than the heavy PAHs (HPAHs, include BbF, BkF, BaP, DahA, BghiP, and IcdP). The trend was similar with those in edible oils in China, Italy, and Kuwait (Alomirah et al., 2010; Jiang et al., 2015; Moret et al., 2005). Concerning on the individual PAH, Nap was the dominant PAH with a mean level of 23.0 μg/kg, which was consistent with the results of smoked meats in southwest China (Li, Dong et al., 2016).

### 3.1.1 Contamination levels of PAHs in grilled meat products

As shown in Table 4, the concentrations of \( \Sigma_{15} \)PAH in 23 grilled meat samples were ranged from 12.0 to 341 μg/kg, indicating a...
wide variability in tested samples. The mean concentration was 80.0 µg/kg. The average levels of PAH8, PAH4, and BaP were 3.34, 2.65, and 0.33 µg/kg, respectively. Higher mean levels of the light PAHs (LPAHs, include Nap, Ace, Fle, Phe, Ant, Flu, Pyr, BaA, and Chr) than the heavy PAHs (HPAHs, include BbF, BkF, BaP, DahA, BghiP, and IcdP) were observed. Among the LPAHs, Nap was detected at the highest average levels (23.9 µg/kg), followed by Fle (11.4 µg/kg), Phe (11.1 µg/kg), and Ace (9.98 µg/kg), respectively. Among the HPAHs, BbF was the dominant analyte with a mean level of 0.43 µg/kg, followed by BaP, BkF (0.23 µg/kg), and IcdP (0.18 µg/kg), respectively.

The PAH levels observed here were compared with those reported for grilled meat products in published studies. Elhassaneen (2004) found that the range of 11 PAHs in charcoal-broiled beef burgers varied from 0.31 to 14.95 µg/kg and the levels of the BaP concentrations were between 0.99 and 4.8 µg/kg. Reinik et al. (2007) determined the content of 12 PAHs in 14 Estonian homemade grilled meat products, finding the mean values of Σ12PAH ranged from 8.6 to 20 µg/kg. Farhadian, Jinap, Abas, and Sakar (2010) analyzed nine types of Malaysian popular grilled meat dishes and found that the total levels of Flu, BbF, and BaP ranged from 3.51 to 132 µg/kg.

Many factors may be responsible for the production of PAHs in meat foods resulting in a wide variability of the contamination levels. Generally speaking, PAHs were significantly formed from pyrolysis of organic matter during the meat grilling process at high temperature (Akpambang et al., 2009; Farhadian et al., 2010; Kao et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2016; Viegas, Novo, Pinto, Pinho, & Ferreira, 2012). Therefore, the grilling procedure and fat content in meat seem to be the major reasons for high PAH levels in meat products (Lee et al., 2016; Oz & Yuzer, 2016; Purcaro et al., 2013; Viegas et al., 2012). In briefly, fat drips from the meat samples onto the flames and subsequently burns resulting in smoke generation, which cause the formation of PAHs through the incomplete combustion of charcoal (Lee et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2016).

In short, the fried meat products were mainly suffered from LPAHs contamination, which was the same trend with the grilled meat products in this study.

Limit data on the contamination levels of PAHs in fried foods are available from the published studies. Perello, Marti-Cid, Castell, Llobet, and Domingo (2009) reported that the total concentrations of 16 individual PAHs in fried meat and fish samples were ranged from 13.30 to 35.42 µg/kg. Olatunji, Fakudje, Opeolu, and Ximba (2015) found that the sum of BaP and BkF in the different fried fish samples was between 1.04 and 1.46 µg/kg. Recently, Li, Wu et al. (2016) reported that the sum concentrations of 16 PAHs and PAH4 in youtiao, a Chinese traditional fried food, were varied from 9.90 to 89.97 µg/kg and from 1.41 to 26.56 µg/kg, respectively. In general terms, the contamination of PAHs compounds found in fried foods was probably a consequence of high-temperature processing and PAHs contamination of fried oils and raw materials (Oz & Yuzer, 2016; Rose et al., 2015). Moreover, the content variations of PAHs in fried foods depended on many factors, such as the fat content in food, penetration of oil, duration, temperature achieved, and air circulations (Li, Wu et al., 2016; Rose et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2016).

For a further step, the contamination levels of BaP and PAH4 in this study were compared with the MLs for them as defined in the EU Commission Regulation for smoked meat products. Among the analyzed samples, the concentrations of BaP ranged from <LOQ to 2.18 µg/kg with a mean level of 0.36 µg/kg. The incidence rate (23 out of 52 samples) was 44.2%, which was similar with the proportion (41%) reported by the EFSA for grilled and smoked meat samples from European countries (EFSA, 2008). For comparison, Alomirah et al. (2011) reported that BaP was found in 60% of the grilled and smoked foods in Kuwait, which was higher than the frequency reported here. Only one sample (2.18 µg/kg) exceeded the ML of 2 µg/kg for BaP, which was a grilled pork product. For PAH4, the mean concentration was 1.97 µg/kg, being significantly below the 12 µg/kg ML of the EU. Moreover, one sample (12.97 µg/kg) exceeded the ML, which was the same grilled pork product for BaP.

### 3.2 Dietary exposure estimation

Table 5 reported the estimated daily intake of individual PAHs, Σ15PAH, PAH8, and PAH4 by the average adult population from grilled and fried meat products. For grilled meat products, the average dietary intake of individual PAHs compounds ranged from 0.21 to 35.7 ng/kg bw/day, while the mean exposures calculated for Σ15PAH, PAH8, and PAH4 were 120, 4.99, and 3.96 ng/kg bw/day, respectively. When the worst-case scenario was considered, the values for the sum of Σ15PAH, PAH8, and PAH4 raised to 510, 21.8, and 19.4 ng/kg bw/day, respectively. For fried meat samples, the average exposures of Σ15PAH, PAH8, and PAH4 were found to be 74.8, 3.11, and 2.12 ng/kg bw/day, whereas maximum intakes were estimated to be up to 176, 8.97, and 8.97 ng/kg bw/day, respectively. In addition, the mean daily intake of BaP for adult population from grilled and fried meat products was found to be
TABLE 5  Estimated daily intake (ng/kg bw/day) of PAHs in grilled and fried meat products

|                | Grilled meat products (n = 23) | Fried meat products (n = 29) |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                | Means*                         | Maximums*                    | Means              | Maximums                   |
| Nap            | 35.7                           | 137                          | 33.4              | 113                        |
| Ace            | 14.9                           | 86.6                         | 9.58              | 28.3                       |
| Fle            | 17.0                           | 139                          | 6.11              | 20.5                       |
| Phe            | 16.7                           | 121                          | 8.81              | 23.5                       |
| Ant            | 4.02                           | 24.4                         | 1.57              | 6.80                       |
| Flu            | 12.5                           | 109                          | 8.21              | 107                        |
| Pyr            | 13.8                           | 124                          | 4.10              | 33.8                       |
| BaA            | 1.36                           | 8.13                         | 0.40              | 2.41                       |
| Chr            | 1.47                           | 6.04                         | 0.67              | 8.40                       |
| BbF            | 0.64                           | 3.24                         | 0.46              | 3.74                       |
| BkF            | 0.34                           | 2.62                         | 0.27              | 1.24                       |
| BaP            | 0.49                           | 3.26                         | 0.58              | 2.92                       |
| DahA           | 0.21                           | 3.20                         | 0.18              | 1.61                       |
| IcdP           | 0.27                           | 2.00                         | 0.36              | 2.51                       |
| BghiP          | 0.21                           | 1.18                         | 0.18              | 1.33                       |
| Σ_{15}PAH      | 120                            | 510                          | 74.8              | 176                        |
| PAH8c          | 4.99                           | 21.8                         | 3.11              | 8.97                       |
| PAH4d          | 3.96                           | 19.4                         | 2.12              | 8.97                       |

*The data for total samples.  
*PAH8 includes the sum of BaA, Chr, BbF, BkF, BaP, DahA, BghiP, and IcdP.  
*PAH4 includes the sum of BaA, Chr, BbF, and BaP.

The estimated dietary intakes of PAH compounds are compared with the data obtained in published studies. Reinik et al. (2007) reported that the estimated mean daily intake of BaP by the Estonia population from the consumption of meat products was 0.45 ng/kg bw/day (29.4 ng/day) and 0.58 ng/kg bw/day (34.8 ng/day), respectively. Generally speaking, the degree of PAH dietary intake depends on both the nutritional habits of the local population and the contamination levels of PAHs in foods (Kao et al., 2014; Reinik et al., 2007). Therefore, the daily exposure of PAHs for the consumers, who frequently eat large quantities of meat products, might be considerably more than the average data.

### 3.3 Health risk estimation

According to USEPA (2001), the ILCR model was utilized for the health risk assessment of Shandong population caused by dietary PAHs exposure. Generally, additional human cancer risk of one in a million over a 70 years life span (ILCR = 10\(^{-6}\)) is regarded as an acceptable or inconsequential level, while a one in a ten thousand chance (ILCR = 10\(^{-4}\)) or greater is considered to be a serious level (Jiang et al., 2015; Kao et al., 2014; Xia et al., 2010). In the present study, the values of ILCR were estimated to 2.69 \times 10^{-6}, 1.14 \times 10^{-6}, 3.75 \times 10^{-6}, and 1.02 \times 10^{-6}, for children, adolescents, adults, and seniors in a 76-year life span, respectively. Therefore, health risk assessment of dietary exposure to grilled and fried meat products was in the USEPA acceptable level, indicating a potential cancer risk potency. Among the four groups, adults suffered from highest carcinogenic risk, followed by children, adolescents, and seniors. The trend was similar with published data obtained in previous studies (Ding, Ni, & Zeng, 2013; Jiang et al., 2015; Li, Wu et al., 2016; Xia et al., 2010). In particular, the body weight of children was significantly lower than others, which caused a relatively high-risk value for children. Therefore, it should be emphasized that children were the most sensitive group to PAHs exposure and special attention should be paid for their health (Ding et al., 2013; Marti-Cid, Llobet, Castell, & Domingo, 2008).

A comparison with previous studies was given. Xia et al. (2010) reported that ILCR due to the dietary exposure of PAHs in Taiyuan ranged from 7.08 \times 10^{-6} to 4.04 \times 10^{-5} for different groups, which were higher than the results in this study. Duan et al. (2016) reported that the median value of estimated ILCR attributable to PAH dietary intake was 6.65 \times 10^{-5}, which was also higher than our present result. Li, Dong et al. (2016) demonstrated that the ILCR values due to PAHs exposure from intake of smoke meats were range from 4.46 \times 10^{-7} to 4.64 \times 10^{-6} for eight groups in southwest China. Kao et al. (2014) reported that the estimated ILCR value due to dietary PAHs exposure from kindling-free charcoal-grilled meat products was no more than 0.26 \times 10^{-6}, indicating a slight cancer risk potency. As discussed in published studies, the health risk assessment of PAHs exposure is a complex issue. The different ILCR values can be explained, in part, by the fact that the exposure duration, the PAH contamination levels, and daily food consumption amounts were different in these studies (Li, Wu et al., 2016). In short, a higher health risk assessment is usually associated with higher contamination and consumption levels.

Although the risk levels due to PAHs exposure for Shandong population were at acceptable range, it can be much higher for people who often eat large amounts of meat products. In particular, with rapid economic growth in the past three decades, a dramatically increasing trend of meat consumption has been observed. Moreover,
other types of foods suffering with PAHs contamination were not taken into account in the present health risk estimation. If all PAHs exposure routes via food ingestion were included, the estimated cancer risk level for local population would be greater than the values obtained here. Therefore, with the aim to protect food safety and human health, it is still necessary to control processing conditions to minimize PAH contamination of commercial grilled and fried meat products.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the contamination levels of 15 PAHs in 52 grilled and fried meat products in Shandong Province, China, were determined by a sensitive HPLC method. Then, the obtained data were used to estimate the daily intake of individual PAHs by local population. Finally, the health risk estimation due to dietary PAHs exposure was successfully estimated. Hence, the present study was the first attempt to provide baseline information of potential health risk for dietary exposure of PAH-containing grilled and fried meats, which could be useful for health management of the consumers in Shandong Province, China.
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