A Drug Screening Method Based on the Autophagy Pathway and Studies of the Mechanism of Evodiamine against Influenza A Virus
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Abstract

In this research, we have established a drug screening method based on the autophagy signal pathway using the bimolecular fluorescence complementation - fluorescence resonance energy transfer (BIFC-FRET) technique to develop novel anti-influenza A virus (IAV) drugs. We selected *Evodia rutaecarpa* Benth out of 83 examples of traditional Chinese medicine and explored the mechanisms of evodiamine, the major active component of *Evodia rutaecarpa* Benth, on anti-IAV activity. Our results showed that evodiamine could significantly inhibit IAV replication, as determined by a plaque inhibition assay, an IAV RNA promoter luciferase reporter assay and the Sulforhodamine B method using cytopathic effect (CPE) reduction. Additionally, evodiamine could significantly inhibit the accumulation of LC3-II and p62, and the dot-like aggregation of EGFP-LC3. This compound also inhibited the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer, the expressions of Atg5, Atg7 and Atg12, and the cytokine release of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 after IAV infection. Evodiamine inhibited IAV-induced autophagy was also dependent on its action on the AMPK/TSC2/mTOR signal pathway. In conclusion, we have established a new drug screening method, and selected evodiamine as a promising anti-IAV compound.

Introduction

Influenza A virus (IAV) poses a global health and economic threat as the next worldwide pandemic. Current antiviral drugs are limited by their negative side effects and by the emergence of drug-resistant strains [1]. Current vaccine production is also still problematic due to the difficulty of working with the relatively low immunogenicity of some strains and the need to protect against the large number of strains circulating in the environment [2,3,4,5]. Therefore, it is still urgent to develop novel drug screening methods. It has been reported that macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy) is involved in the replication of IAV, and inhibiting autophagy will inhibit the replication of IAV [6], and recently, Mu J. et al have shown that the highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses (H5N1) can cause “autophagic cell death” through suppressing mTOR (mammalian target of rapamycin) signaling, and inhibition of autophagy can reduce H5N1-mediated cell death and mortality [7]. So autophagy inhibition is a good strategy for developing novel anti-IAV drugs.

Autophagy is a highly conserved process in all eukaryotic cells, the regulation of autophagy is complicated, it can be divided into six stages: initiation, nucleation, elongation, closure, maturation and degradation [8]. Initiation of autophagy is regulated by mTORC1 via ULK1/2-Atg13- FIP200-Atg101 complex [9,10]. At initiation, the mTORC1 complex is further negatively regulated by the tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) 1/2 heterodimer via promoting the conversion of Rheb-GTP to Rheb-GDP. TSC2 is further regulated by PI3K/AKT, LKB1/AMPK and MAPK pathways. The activated AMPK can phosphorylate and activate TSC2, and sequentially inhibit mTOR and activate autophagy [11].

During nucleation and maturation stages, Beclin1 plays a central role via its interactions with many cofactors (Atg14L, UVRAG, Beclin2, Bcl-1, Rubicon, Ambra1, HMGB1, nPIST, VMP1, SLAM, IP3R, PINK and Survivin). Beclin1 complex I (Vps34, p150, Beclin1 and UVRAG) promotes the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes [12,13]. Beclin1 has been proved to be a major target for manipulation of autophagy by many viruses [14]. But because many proteins interact with Beclin1, the regulation of Beclin1 is very complicated. During elongation stage, there is an important event, the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer [15]. Comparing with other complexes, such as ULK1/2-Atg13- FIP200-Atg101 complex, Beclin1 complex I and II, the regulation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer is relatively more simple and explicit. As shown in Fig. S1, at elongation stage, Atg12 must conjugate with Atg5, mediated by Atg7 and Atg10. Subsequently,
this Atg5-Atg12 heterodimer must conjugate with Atg16 to form an Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer, which is necessary to promote the transformation of LC3-I (Atg8) to LC3-II (Atg8-PE). LC3-II is necessary for the formation of autophagosome. This process can be reversed by Atg4. Moreover, Naon Joumai et al. have shown that the Atg5-Atg12 conjugate plays an important role in innate antiviral immune responses against viral infection, and inhibiting the formation of Atg5-Atg12 heterodimer can inhibit virus replication [16]. So we select the formation of Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer as our drug screening target and establish a drug screening method using the bimolecular fluorescence complementation- fluorescence resonance energy transfer (BiFC-FRET) technique.

BiFC-FRET is a recently emerged technique and is used widely in biology, medicine and chemistry. The BiFC technique can investigate the interaction of two proteins in live cells, and the BiFC-FRET technique can investigate the interaction of three proteins in live cells [17]. To the authors’ knowledge, no report describing the use of the BiFC-FRET technique to construct a drug screening method has been published.

Among traditional Chinese medicines, there are many antiviral drugs whose mechanism of action is only poorly understood. Using our drug screening method, we screened 83 types of traditional Chinese medicines, and found Evodia rutaecarpa Benth had the highest activity, so we selected Evodia rutaecarpa Benth as our medicinal plant of interest. We purchased evodiamine, the major active component of Evodia rutaecarpa Benth, and determined its antiviral activity to check the availability of our drug screening method, and further explored the mechanism of evodiamine action on anti-IAV activity.

Results
The Design of our Drug Screening Method and the Results of the Initial Screening
The design of our drug screening method was shown in Fig. S2. Human Atg5 and Atg12 genes were fused with the N’- and C’- fragments of a red fluorescence protein (RFP), respectively. The Atg16 was inserted into a pEGFP-C1 plasmid. After cotransfection with these three plasmids, pMC-atg5, pMN-atg12 and pEGFP-atg16, an intact RFP would reconstitute due to the interaction between Atg5 and Atg12, this process was called BiFC, sequentially, the FRET would take place due to the interaction between the Atg5-Atg12 heterodimer and Atg16. We determined the formation of the drugs on the interaction between Atg5, Atg12 and Atg16 using a microplate reader at 610 and 509 nm after excitation at 488 nm. The FRET efficiency (FRET%) was expressed as the ratio of acceptor (610 nm)- and the donor (509 nm)-emission intensities after the deduction of the background intensity. During initial screening, we did not quantify the interaction between Atg5 and Atg12, because the influence of drugs on the formation of Atg5-Atg12 heterodimer finally influenced the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer. But from the color graphs obtained from an upright fluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse 90i), the changes in each treatment group could be observed.

Using this screening method, we screened 83 types of traditional Chinese medicines, and the result was shown in Table S1, there were 15 examples that could significantly (P<0.05) inhibit the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer, i.e. Serissa serissoides (DC.) Druce., Pseudacodium praeruptorum Dunn., Aloe barbadensis Miller., Borneolum syntheticum, Ginkgo biloba L., Schizonepeta tenuifolia Briq., Hedyotis diffusa Willd., Rheum palmatum L., Litsia cubeba (Lour.) Pers., Kinnierowia striata (Thunb.) Schindl., Apium graveolens L. var. dulce DC., Chrysanthemum indicum L., Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi., Captis chinensis Franch., Silybum marianum L. In addition, there were 3 examples that could significantly (P<0.01) inhibit the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer, i.e. Areca catechu L., Buddleja lindleyana Fort., Eucalyptus coryophyllata Thunb., Carum carvi L., Evodia rutaecarpa Benth. In these medicinal plants, to our knowledge, there were 7 examples that had not been reported to possess anti-IAV activity, i.e. Pseudacodium praeruptorum Dunn., Borneolum syntheticum, Ginkgo biloba L., Silybum marianum (L.) Gaertn., Buddleja lindleyana Fort., Eucalyptus coryophyllata Thunb., and Evodia rutaecarpa Benth, and in these 7 medicinal plants, the crude extract of Evodia rutaecarpa Benth showed the highest activity, so we chose Evodia rutaecarpa Benth as our medicinal plant of interest, and purchased evodiamine (Evo), the major active component of Evodia rutaecarpa Benth, detected the anti-IAV activity of evodiamine and checked the availability of our drug screening method, meanwhile, we also explored the mechanisms of evodiamine on anti-IAV activities.

Moreover, we also determined the Z’-factor which was a statistical parameter to quantify the suitability of a particular assay for use in a high-throughput screen, the Z’-factor of our drug screening method was 0.5153. According to the criterion stated in the previous report [18], our drug screening method had a valid Z’ value (Z’>0.5).

Evodiamine also Inhibits the Formation of the atg5-atg12/atg16 Heterotrimer Determined by BiFC-FRET Technique and Co-immunoprecipitation Assay
As above mentioned, evodiamine is the major active component of Evodia rutaecarpa Benth, its molecular formula is C_{16}H_{13}N_{3}O_{3}, its molecular mass is 303.23. In the initial screening, Crude extract of Evodia rutaecarpa Benth could significantly (P<0.01) inhibit the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer. Here we identified whether evodiamine also had this activity. Purity of evodiamine we purchased was>98%.

As shown in Fig. 1, in the background groups (Fig. 1 A and B), A549 cells were transfected with the pMC-atg5 or pMN-atg12 plasmid, and showed no BiFC signal because the individual N’- or C’- fragments of red fluorescence protein could not emit red light after excitation. In Fig. 1 C, A549 cells were transfected with a pEGFP-atg16 plasmid and treated these cells with evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL). The result showed that the CMV promoter of the plasmid we used could normally transcript under the treatment of evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL). In the blank group (BG), the cells were cotransfected with the pMC-atg5, pMN-atg12 and pEGFP-atg16 plasmids, and did show some BiFC signal, and FRET (Fig. 1 M) signals, which might be due to the autophagy of the cell per se. In the negative control (NC) group, the cells were cotransfected with these three plasmids and infected with IAV A/ShanTou/169/06 (MOI = 2.0, incubation time = 8 h), the BiFC (Fig. 1 G) and FRET (Fig. 1 M) signals dramatically increased, which showed that the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer was increased after IAV infection. Evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) could significantly (P<0.01) down-regulate the BiFC (Fig. 1 J) and FRET (Fig. 1 M) signals, which proved evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) could significantly inhibit the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer.

To further confirm the influence of evodiamine on the interaction between the Atg5-Atg12 heterodimer and Atg16 in physiological condition, we performed an immunoprecipitation assay using anti-Atg5 antibody; then performed a Western blot assay using anti-Atg16 antibody. As shown in Fig. 2 A, the conjugation of Atg5 and Atg16 was obviously increased after infection with IAV A/ShanTou/169/06 (NC group comparing
Figure 1. Evodiamine inhibits the interaction of Atg5, Atg12 and Atg16 determined by the BiFC-FRET assay. (A, B) The A549 cells were transfected with pMC-atg5 or pMN-atg12, respectively. (C) The A549 cells were transfected with the pEGFP-atg16 plasmid and treated with
evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL). (D–L) The BIFC-FRET assay of evodiamine, the A549 cells in all groups were cotransfected with the pMC-atg5, pMN-atg12 and pEGFP-atg16 plasmids. In the BG group, the cells were not infected and not treated with drugs. In NC and Evo groups, the cells were infected (MOI = 2.0), and at the same time treated without or with evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) for 8 h, respectively. The graphs were obtained using an upright fluorescence microscope (10×40). The D, G and J graphs were obtained after excitation at 587 nm to show the BIFC signal between atg5 and atg12. The E, H and K graphs were obtained after excitation at 488 nm, but the FRET signal was determined at 610 nm and 509 nm after excitation at 488 nm using a microplate reader, and the result of FRET assay was shown in (M). The F, I and L showed the colocalization of atg5, atg12 and atg16. Data shown were the mean ± SD of two independent experiments with three replicates. *P<0.05, **P<0.001, vs. the NC.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042706.g001

with the BG group), and evodiamine could obviously inhibit this process (Evo group comparing with the NC group), which also indicated that evodiamine could inhibit the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/atg16 heterotrimer.

Evodiamine Inhibits the Replication of IAV

Before drug screening assay, we had determined the cytotoxicity of our collected plant extracts and compounds on the MDCK and A549 cells. Here we showed the cytotoxicity of evodiamine on MDCK in Fig. 3A, evodiamine significantly inhibited the viability of MDCK cells in the range of 25 to 200 μg/mL; Y = −0.0020X + 0.8305, R² = 0.9248, IC₅₀ = 140.6607 μg/mL. We selected 12.5 μg/mL as the optimal concentration for our experiments.

We first investigated the effect of evodiamine on the replication of IAV A/ShanTou/169/06 using a plaque inhibition assay. As indicated in Fig. 3B and G, evodiamine significantly inhibited viral replication in the range of 1.54–12.5 μg/mL; Y = −18.32120 Ln(X) + 3438753.99, R² = 0.98, EC₅₀ = 2.6154 μg/mL. The antiviral index (IC₅₀/EC₅₀) was 53.78.

Moreover, we also investigated the antiviral activity of evodiamine using an IAV vRNA promoter luciferase reporter plasmid. IAV consists of eight gene segments, and each segment is flanked by untranslated regions (UTRs) at the 5’ and 3’ termini, which are highly conserved and can anneal to form a bulged duplex structure that is essential for transcription and replication, called the vRNA promoter. In our study, we inserted a negative strand luciferase gene into these two UTRs to construct a “-5’UTR- (luc)- UTR3’-” fragment. This fragment was then flanked by human rRNA promoter and rRNA terminator again to construct a “- hpol p-5’UTR- (luc)- UTR3’- hpol t-” fragment. Finally, this fragment was inserted into a pGL3-Basic plasmid and named pPoll-luc plasmid (Fig. 3D). After transfection with this plasmid for 6 h, IAV was added (MOI = 0.01), and the luciferase (Luc) activity was determined at 18 h p.i. The results were shown in Fig. 3E. Evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) was found to significantly (P<0.05) inhibit the replication of IAV, as comparing with the NC group.

In addition, we had determined the antiviral activity of evodiamine on other 7 IAV strains including A/PuertoRico/8/34 (H1N1), A/ShanTou/1233/06 (H1N1), A/Quail/HongKong/G1/97 (H9N2), A/Chicken/Guangdong/A1/03 (H9N2), A/Chicken/Guangdong/1/05 (H5N1), A/ShanTou/602/06 (H3N2) and A/ ShanTou/364/05 (H5N2) by Sulforhodamine B (SRB) method using CPE reduction. The result showed that evodiamine could obviously inhibit these IAV strains. At 12.5 μg/mL, the cell viabilities in all groups remained 76.65–85.57% to the mock control, the EC₅₀ of evodiamine on these IAV strains were 1.31, 0.28, 0.75, 3.12, 1.58, 0.54 and 0.40 μg/mL, respectively (Fig. 4A). We also determined the antiviral activity of evodiamine on A/ShanTou/169/06(H1N1) at different MOI (0.001, 0.01 and 0.1), the result showed that evodiamine could obviously inhibit this IAV strain at MOI of 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1, the EC₅₀ were 1.17, 7.33 and 37.12 μg/mL, respectively (Fig. 4B).

Evodiamine Inhibits the Accumulation of Autophagosome Induced by IAV

It has been reported that autophagy significantly increases after IAV infection 2 h, and the ratio of LC3-II to β-actin reaches a large value after IAV infection 6 h [6]. In our study, we first investigated the ratio of LC3-II to β-actin after IAV infection 6, 12 and 24 h, using a Western blot. As shown in Fig. 5A, B and C, after virus infection, the ratio of LC3-II to β-actin in the NC group significantly (P<0.01) increased as compared with that in the BG group. Evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) could significantly (P<0.01) down-regulate the ratio of LC3-II to β-actin as compared to the NC group. Additionally, evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) also could significantly (P<0.01) inhibit the ratio of p62 (a well-known autophagic substrate) to β-actin as compared to the NC group (Fig. 5D).

It is well known that LC3-II can accumulate on the autophagosome membrane. We constructed a fusion protein, EGFP-LC3, which could form dot-like structures on autophagosome membrane that was considered to be a specific marker of autophagosomes. As demonstrated in Fig. 6A and B, the percentages of cells containing EGFP-LC3 dots to cells expressing EGFP significantly (P<0.01) increased after virus infection (NC group) as compared with the blank group, whereas this percentage in the evodiamine-treatment (12.5 μg/
Figure 3. Evodiamine inhibits the IAV replication. (A) The result of the cytotoxicity assay. The cells in the control group were not treated with evodiamine but solvent vehicle (DMSO <0.5%). (B) The plaque inhibition assay of evodiamine. (C) The result of the plaque inhibition assay. In the NC group, the cells were infected with IAV, but not treated with drugs; In the PC group, the cells were infected with IAV and treated with ribavirin (25 μg/ml), MOI=0.001, incubation time = 48 h. (D) The schematic representation of the vRNA promoter luciferase reporter (pPolI-vluc) plasmid. (E) The inhibition of evodiamine on IAV replication using IAV vRNA promoter luciferase reporter plasmid. In the blank group (BG), the cells were transfected with the pPolI-vluc plasmid but not infected with the IAV; In the NC group, the cells were transfected with the pPolI-vluc plasmid and infected with the IAV, but not treated with drugs; In the PC and Evo groups, the cells were transfected with pPolI-vluc, infected with IAV and treated with ribavirin (25 μg/ml) and evodiamine (12.5 μg/ml), respectively; Data shown were the mean±SD of two independent experiments of three replicates. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, vs. the NC.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042706.g003
Evodiamine Inhibits the Expressions of Atg5, Atg7 and Atg12

IAV infection can up-regulate the expression levels of Atg7, Atg16L1 and the Atg5-Atg12 heterodimer [19]. Here, we detected the influence of evodiamine on the expressions of Atg7, Atg5, Atg12 and Beclin1. As shown in Fig. 7, the expressions of Atg5, Atg7 and Atg12 in the NC group were significantly increased as compared to the blank group (BG). Ribavirin (25 μg/mL) and evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) significantly inhibited the expressions of Atg5, Atg7 and Atg12 at both the mRNA transcription and protein translation levels as compared to the NC group. The influence of evodiamine on the expression of Beclin1 was not obvious.

Evodiamine Inhibits IAV-induced Autophagy may be via AMPK/TSC2/mTOR Pathway

Recently, Ma J. et al have shown that the highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses (H5N1) can cause “autophagic cell death” through suppressing mTOR signaling, which is dependent on TSC2 activity [7]. TSC2 can be regulated through differential phosphorylation mechanism, such as LKB1/AMPK, PI3K/AKT and p38 MAPK pathways. Here we examined the influence of evodiamine on the AMPK/TSC2/mTOR signal pathway in MDCK cells after IAV infection. As shown in Fig. 8 B and C, pAMPK and pTSC2 was up-regulated after IAV infection (NC group) comparing with the blank group (BG), and evodiamine (Evo group) could inhibit the levels of pAMPK and pTSC2 comparing with the NC group. The phospho-S6 (pS6) is a marker of mTOR activation. After IAV infection (NC group), the pS6 was down-regulated comparing with the BG group, and evodiamine (Evo group) could increase pS6 comparing with the NC group. Ribavirin (25 μg/mL) also had little effect on the AMPK/TSC2/mTOR signal pathway.

Evodiamine can Inhibit the Cytokine Release of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 Induced by IAV

Autophagy can directly regulate the expression a number of inflammatory cytokines [20]. Here we also determined the influence of evodiamine on the release of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 of A549 cell determined by ELISA. As shown in Fig. 9, after IAV infection, the levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 were significantly (p<0.01) increased, whereas both of ribavirin (25 μg/mL) and evodiamine (12.5 μg/mL) significantly inhibited the release of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8.
Discussion

Up to now, there are several anti-IAV drug screening methods that have been reported. There are several virus-based drug screening models which target the neuraminidase [21], the M2 ion channel [22] and the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase [23], and there are also several cell-based drug screening models which target the cytopathic effect (CPE) [24,25,26]. Usually, virus-based drug screening models often lead to the inevitable selection of drug-resistant viral mutants, whereas cell-based drug screening models that target cell factors often decrease these resistant mutants [27].

It is well known that autophagy is a highly conserved process in all eukaryotic cells, so the drug screening method that we have established may lead to the discovery of novel antiviral drugs that resist the development of drug-resistant virus strains.

Although there are several cell-based drug screening models that target the CPE, we think these models have some limitations, because there is an effective antiviral mechanism used by the infected cells to commit suicide by triggering premature apoptosis [28,29], and the antiviral mechanism of many drugs may work by promoting the apoptosis of virus-infected cells. Thus, after drug treatment, the CPE may be larger than that with no drug treatment. In our drug screening model, we detect the BiFC-FRET signal at 8 h p.i. (MOI = 2.0). The time of drug treatment is relatively short, before the CPE occurs, the drugs that inhibit IAV-induced autophagy can be picked out.

Using this drug screening method, we have obtained 20 examples of medicinal plants that can significantly (P<0.05 or 0.01) inhibit the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer. After plaque inhibition assay, we find all of them have anti-IAV activity (Data not shown), which may prove that inhibiting the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer can really inhibit the replication of IAV.

In these 20 medicinal plants, there are 7 examples that have not been reported to possess anti-IAV activity, the crude extract of Evodia rutaecarpa Benth shows the highest activity, so we choose Evodia rutaecarpa Benth as our medicinal plant of interest, and explore the mechanism of evodiamine, the major active component of Evodia rutaecarpa Benth, on anti-IAV activity. After a series of assays, we find evodiamine not only inhibits the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer, but also inhibits the accumula-
Figure 6. Evodiamine inhibits the IAV-induced autophagy determined by EGFP-LC3 assay. (A) Evodiamine inhibits the dot-like aggregation of EGFP-LC3 onto autophagosomes. (B) The percentage of cells containing EGFP-LC3 dots to cells expressing EGFP. After transfection...
tion of LC3-II and p62, the dot-like aggregation of EGFP-LC3 and the expressions of Atg5, Atg7 and Atg12 after IAV infection.

Moreover we also have determined the influence of evodiamine on the AMPK/TSC2/mTOR signal pathway after IAV infection. As shown in Fig. 8 A, AMPK is a cellular energy sensor. It is activated by the high ratio of AMP/ATP, which is indicated by the Thr172 phosphorylation in AMPK. AMPK activation causes the phosphorylation of Thr1227, Ser1387 and Ser1345 in TSC2 [11,30], and enhances TSC2 activity. TSC2 is a GTPase-activating protein (GAP) toward Rheb, a Ras family GTPase. TSC2 inactivates Rheb by directly stimulating Rheb-GTP hydrolysis. Rheb-GTP activates mTOR by phosphorylating mTOR on Ser 2448 [31]. So AMPK activation can enhance TSC2 activity, promotes Rheb-GTP hydrolysis, then inhibits mTOR activity, and finally stimulates autophagy. Virus infection can lead to cellular stress, which may activate AMPK. Ma J. et al have shown that avian influenza A virus H5N1 can suppress mTOR signaling dependent on TSC1/TSC2 complex and induces autophagic cell death [7]. In our study, we have shown that the activities of AMPK and TSC2 are increased and the mTOR activity is suppressed after IAV infection, whereas evodiamine can reverse this process, and inhibits autophagy induced by IAV.

In addition, some scientists have suggested that the cytokine storm effects will contribute to the high death rate in a flu pandemic, autophagy may be responsible for the cytokine storm effects.
induced by the H5N1 and H9N2 viruses [32]. Autophagy can positively regulate the transcription and secretion of TNF-α, IL-8 and IL-6. Disruption of normal autophagic pathways has been linked to the inflammasome activation and the increased secretion of IL-1α and IL-1β [20]. Here we have shown evodiamine can inhibit the release of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 and IL-8 after IAV infection, the underlying mechanism may be related to the inhibition of autophagy by evodiamine.

It has been reported that many viruses exploit the autophagy machinery to facilitate their replication, such as hepatitis B virus [33], hepatitis C virus [34] and coxsackievirus B3 [35]. Thus, drugs screened by our drug screening method may have the potential for a broad-spectrum of antiviral activity.

In conclusion, we have established a drug screening method based on the autophagy pathway utilizing BiFC-FRET technique, and show that evodiamine may be a promising new inhibitor of IAV infection.

**Materials and Methods**

**Medicinal Plant and Compound**

Medicinal plants were purchased from the Yulin medicine market (Guangxi, China). Specimens were deposited in our lab. Evodiamine (purity>98%) was purchased from the National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, China).

**Viruses, Cells and Cytotoxicity Assay**

The virus stocks of IAV subtypes A/ShanTou/169/06(H1N1), A/PuertoRico/8/34 (H1N1), A/ShanTou/1233/06 (H1N1), A/ShanTou/602/06 (H3N2), A/ShanTou/364/05 (H3N2), A/Quail/HongKong/G1/97 (H9N2), A/Chicken/Guangdong/A1/03 (H9N2) and A/Chicken/GD/1/05 (H5N1) were prepared in MDCK cells or 10-day-old embryonating eggs. The virus titer was determined by a plaque assay [36]. Except for the antiviral assay by the SRB method using CPE reduction, we only used A/ShanTou/169/06(H1N1) as the test virus strain in other experiments. The cytotoxicity of the test drugs on the MDCK and A549 cells was determined using a MTT assay, as previously reported [37]. The concentration of the test drugs required to lower cell viability by 50% (IC50) was calculated using Origin 8.0 software. The maximal concentration with no cytotoxicity was used as the optimal concentration.

**Construction of Plasmids**

To construct the BiFC-FRET plasmids, N- and C- segments of a red fluorescent protein (GenBank:HQ423140.1), corresponding to amino acids 1 to 159 and 160 to 262, respectively, were inserted into pcDNA 3.0 and named pMC and pMN plasmids, respectively. Human atg5 (NM_004849.2) was inserted into pMC and named pMC-atg5. Human atg12 (NM_004707.3) was inserted into pMN and named pMN-atg12. Human atg16L1

---

**Figure 8. Evodiamine influences the AMPK/TSC2/mTOR pathway after IAV infection.** (A) The speculated cellular response to viral infection mediated by AMPK/TSC2/mTOR pathway. (B, C) The influence of evodiamine on the AMPK/TSC2/mTOR signal pathway determined by Western blot assay. The treatments were same with that mentioned in Fig. 7. The data shown were the ratios of the expression of the target gene to β-actin. Data shown were the mean±SD of three independent experiments. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, vs. the NC.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042706.g008
(NM_030803.6) was inserted into pEGFP-C1 and named pEGFP-atg16. To construct the IAV vRNA promoter luciferase reporter plasmid, human pol-I promoter (GenBank:NT_167214.1) was cloned into a pGL3-basic vector and named pPol-1-p vector. The firefly luciferase (Luc) gene was cloned into the pPol-1-p vector and named pPolI-vluc, which contained a negative strand luciferase (-Luc) gene flanked by the 5′ and 3′ untranslated regions (UTRs) of the IAV A/PuertoRico/8/34 NP segment, and followed by a human pol-I terminator at 3′ untranslated regions. In addition, the human LC3B gene (NM_022818.4) was inserted into pEGFP-C1 and named pEGFP-LC3. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

Initial Screening Assay

In the initial screening, A549 cells were seeded into the 96-well microplate for 24 h, then cotransfected with pMC-atg5, pMN-atg12 and pEGFP-Atg16 plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After 6 h, the cells were infected with IAV (MOI = 2.0) and treated with drugs for 8 h. After 1 h at 4°C, the fluorescence intensity was determined using a Tecan infinite M1000 premium Quad4 monochromator microplate reader. To assess the interaction between Atg5-Atg12 heterodimer and Atg16L1 in the BiFC-FRET assay, the fluorescence intensities were determined at 610 nm and 509 nm with a 20-nm bandwidth after excitation at 488 nm with a 20-nm bandwidth, 100-µs lag time and 200-µs integration time. The FRET efficiency (FRETe) was expressed as the ratio of the acceptor (610 nm) and the donor (509 nm) emission intensities according to the following formula:

\[
FRETe = \frac{\Delta FI_{610\text{nm}}}{\Delta FI_{509\text{nm}}}
\]

\[
Z' = 1 - \frac{3\sigma_c + 3\sigma_m}{|\mu_c - \mu_m|}
\]

In these equations, “RFU_{test}” was the fluorescence intensity of test groups, RFU_{background} was the fluorescence intensity of background, RFU was the relative fluorescence unit. The Z'-factor was a statistical parameter to quantify the suitability of a particular assay for use in a high-throughput screen [18]. The notations \(\sigma_c\) and \(\sigma_m\) were the standard deviations of the negative control (NC) and the blank group (BG), respectively, and \(\mu_c\) and \(\mu_m\) were the average values of the NC and BG groups, respectively.

To observe the influence of our test drugs on the formation of the Atg5-Atg12/Atg16 heterotrimer, A549 cells were plated onto glass cover slips in 6-well plates that were coated with poly-L-lysine for 24 h and then were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). After 6 h, the cells were infected with IAV (MOI = 2.0) and treated with drugs for 8 h. After 1 h at 4°C, the cells were fixed using 3% formaldehyde for 20 min and then visualized using an upright fluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse 90i). All images were acquired under the same setting.

Plaque Inhibition Assay

A549 cells were seeded in a 6-well plate for 24 h, the viruses were pretreated with a medium containing evodiamine (1.54, 3.08, 6.25 and 12.5 µg/mL) for 2 h. After the A549 cells were washed with...
PBS 3 times, the pretreated viruses were added and adsorbed for 1 h (MOI = 0.001), washed with PBS 3 times, and then the cells were cultivated in the mediums containing evodiamine (1.54, 3.08, 6.25 and 12.5 μg/mL) for 48 h. After froth-thawing one time, the supernatants were collected, and the titers were determined with a standard plaque assay [36]. The concentration of compound required to inhibit the virus titer by 50% (50% effective concentrations [EC50]) was calculated using Origin 8.0 software. The antiviral index was represented as the ratio of the EC50 to EC50.

**IAV vRNA Promoter Luciferase Assay**

A549 cells were seeded in a 24-well plate for 24 h. After transfection with the pPAll-ocluc plasmid for 6 h, IAV and the test drug were added (MOI = 0.01), and the cell lysate was collected at 18 h post infects (p.i.). Luciferase activity was determined following the instrument of Luciferase Reporter Assay Kit (BD Biosciences Clontech).

**TCID50 and Antiviral Assay by the SRB Method using CPE Reduction**

IAV stock solution was diluted with MEM medium in serial dilutions, after incubation with MDCK cells for 48 h, the TCID50 was calculated following the method of Reed and Muench. Antiviral activities of test drugs were evaluated by the sulforhodamine B (SRB) method using CPE reduction [38]. Briefly, MDCK cells were seeded in 96-well plate. 0.09 ml of virus suspension (50 TCID50) and 0.01 ml medium containing various concentrations of test compounds were added. At 48 h, after washing, 100 μl–20°C 70% acetone was added. After removing acetone, the plates were dried, and added 100 μl 0.4% (w/v) SRB, after washing, the plates were dried and added 100 μl 10 mM Tris-base solution. OD was read at 562 nm. Three wells were used for each test drug (negative-drug-treated) and the mock controls (non-infected non-drug-treated). 0.5% DMSO was used in each group. Percent protection of test compounds (cell viability) was calculated as following, the concentration of 50% protection was defined as the EC50.

**Analysis of EGFP-LC3 Puncta**

After transfection with the pEGFP-LC3 plasmid for 6 h, A549 cells were infected with IAV (MOI = 2.0) and treated with our drugs for 8 h. The cells were visualized under an invert fluorescence microscope (10×40), and the percentages of cells containing EGFP-LC3 dots to cells expressing EGFP were calculated in 10 fields chosen at random from three independent experiments.

**Western Blotting**

Anti-LC3B, anti-Beclin1, anti-Apg5, anti-Apg7, anti-Apg12, anti-M2, anti-pAMPK(Thr172), anti-pTSC2/Ser1(387) and anti-β-actin antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology® Inc. Company. Anti-pS6 (Ser235/236) and S6 antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., USA. The Western blotting assay was performed as previously reported [39].

**Reverse-transcription (RT-PCR)***

Extraction of RNA and the RT-PCR reactions were performed according to the protocols of the TRIzol® Reagent Kit and the RT-PCR Kit (invitrogen). PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1% agarose gel and visualized on an UV-transilluminator.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data shown were the mean±SD. A statistical significance was determined using the SPSS 13.0 software.

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 IAV interferes in the autophagy signal pathway. Autophagy can be divided into several stages. During the elongation stage, Apg5 must conjugate with Apg12, mediated by Apg7 and Apg10. Subsequently, the Apg5-Apg12 heterodimer must conjugate with Apg16. The Apg5-Apg12/Apg16 heterotrimer can promote the transformation of LC3-I (Apg8) to LC3-II (Apg8-PE). This process can be reversed by Apg4, which promotes the conversion of LC3-II to LC3-I. LC3-II is necessary for the formation of autophagosome. During the maturation stage, autophagosome must fuse with lysosome, mediated by Beclin1. IAV involves in autophagy by 1) producing ROS, which damages Apg4, impedes the conversion of LC3-II (Apg8-PE) to LC3-I (Apg8); 2) binding to Beclin1 by IAV M2 protein; and 3) up-regulating the expression of several autophagy related genes, which can increase the autophagic flux.

(TIF)

**Table S1**

Inhibition of plant extracts on the formation of the Apg5-Apg12/Apg16 heterotrimer. Data shown are means±SD from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *p<0.05, **p<0.01 vs. the NC.
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