Abstract. Glioblastoma (GBM) is one of the most widespread and lethal types of cancer. However, there are currently no drugs or therapeutic strategies that can completely cure GBM. Doramectin (DRM) has a broad range of activities against endoparasites and ectoparasites, and is extensively used in livestock. In the present study, the effect of DRM on the induction of autophagy in U87 and C6 GBM and glioma cell lines, as well as the mechanism of autophagy, were examined. First, transmission electron microscopy, plasmid transfection and western blot analysis demonstrated that DRM could induce autophagy in U87 and C6 cells in vitro. Next, MTT and colony formation assays revealed that DRM-induced autophagy prevented U87 and C6 cell viability and colony formation ratio. In addition, DRM-induced autophagy promoted U87 and C6 cell apoptosis, as indicated by DAPI analysis and flow cytometry. Furthermore, transcriptome analysis demonstrated that DRM modulated a number of genes and pathways involved in autophagy. In a nude mouse xenograft model, immunohistochemical staining and the TUNEL assay demonstrated that the effect of DRM on the tumor was consistent with that in vivo. These data indicated that DRM induced autophagy mainly by blocking the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway in GBM cells. DRM-induced autophagy promoted the inhibition of GBM cell proliferation and apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. The present study suggested that DRM may be an effective drug for the treatment of GBM.

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM), the most common type of human primary brain tumor, accounts for 81% of malignant tumors in the central nervous system (1,2). GBM has been classified by World Health Organization as a grade IV glioma and it has a high recurrence rate (3,4). In a Swiss population-based study, the survival rate of patients with newly diagnosed GBM was ~18% at 1 year and only 3% at 2 years (5,6). Despite the availability of state of the art multimodality treatments, the median survival of GBM patients is 12-15 months (7,8). The current treatments for GBM include neurosurgical resection, radiotherapy and pharmacotherapy (9,10). However, these therapies fail to successfully treat GBM due to various reasons (11). One of the main reasons is the high tendency of the tumor to invade the surrounding healthy brain tissues (12). Another reason is the multi-drug resistance encountered during GBM chemotherapy (13). Therefore, there is an urgent need for novel and/or improved drugs or treatments to improve the survival of patients with GBM.

Doramectin (DRM) is a genetically modified avermectin (AVM), which is produced by actinomycetes (fungi) and has potent anthelmintic and insecticidal activities (14,15). DRM is one of the most widely used macrocyclic lactone endo/ectoparasiticides worldwide (16). It has been demonstrated that DRM could reverse the multidrug resistance of cancer cells (17). In addition, it has been reported that macrocyclic lactone endo/ectoparasiticides have also been demonstrated to suppress certain activities in human cancer cells (18,19). Macroyclic lactone endo/ectoparasiticides have also been demonstrated to suppress certain activities in human cancer cells (20). For instance, certain studies, including our previous study, have revealed that ivermectin (IVM) and AVM induce apoptosis and autophagy in glioma, HeLa and Ehrlich carcinoma cells (21-23). DRM is a third-generation derivative of the AVM class of macrolides. Unlike AVM, it has a cyclohexyl group in the C25 position of the AVM ring (Fig. 1). In addition, DRM is absorbed more quickly, and has a longer lasting effect and plasma half-life in animals compared with IVM and AVM (24). Therefore, it was hypothesized that DRM may have similar effects on cancer cells and may be a superior anticancer drug compared with existing anticancer drugs.
Programmed cell death has attracted considerable attention from researchers as a tumor suppression mechanism (25,26). This biological process emerged during anticancer therapies, such as radiation, chemotherapy and certain targeted therapies (27). There are two main morphologically distinctive forms of programmed cell death. Apoptosis and autophagy (28,29). Autophagy is a highly conserved mechanism of eukaryotic cells that serves essential roles in development, tissue homeostasis and diseases (30). A number of studies have suggested that enforced overactivation of autophagy will lead to cell death in certain contexts. For instance, excessive endoplasmic reticulum-specific autophagy mediated by the autophagy receptor FAM134B results in cell death in HeLa cells (31) and SH003 activates autophagic cell death by activating ATF4 and inhibiting G9a under hypoxia in gastric cancer cells (32).

It is well known that autophagy is characterized by the formation of double-membrane vesicles, called autophagosomes (33). In addition, a large number of studies have demonstrated that autophagy can inhibit glioma growth (34,35). However, the effects and mechanisms of DRM in inducing autophagy in GBM cells remain unclear.

The aim of the present study was to explore the roles of DRM in inducing autophagy in GBM cell lines cultured in vitro and in vivo, and to analyze the potential mechanisms of the observed effects using proteomics analysis. In addition, the present study attempted to elucidate the association between DRM-induced autophagy and apoptosis in GBM cells in vitro and in vivo.

Materials and methods

Cell lines, reagents and antibodies. U87 human GBM cell lines and C6 rat GBM cells were obtained from China Infrastructure of Cell Line Resources, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing, China) and have been authenticated by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling. In addition, STR profiling identified that the original U87 cell line was established in 1968 at the University of Uppsala (RRID: CVCL‑GP63). These cells were cultured in DMEM (cat. no. 12100-046; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented 10% new-fetal bovine serum (cat. no. 23022-8615; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (cat. no. C0222; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). The cells were cultured in 6-well plates at a density of 2x10^5 cells/well for 24 h. The cells were transfected with green fluorescent protein 3 µg GFP-LC3 (2.5 µg/µl) plasmid and 3 µg empty plasmid (4.8 µg/µl) containing no LC3 protein using Lipofectamine® 2000 (cat. no. 11668019; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, transfected cells were incubated in serum-free medium at 37°C for 4 h. After 4 h, the transfected cells were incubated with fresh medium or serum-free medium containing DRM for 48 h. After 48 h, the DRM-treated transfected cells were then visualized under an inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation). Furthermore, at 48 h after transfection, transfected cells without DRM treatment were harvested using RIPA lysis buffers (cat. no. P0013B; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) supplemented with protease inhibitors (cat. no. ST506; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Next, total proteins were used for western blot analysis. DRM-treated transfected cells were not harvested. Treatment without DRM or empty plasmid were used as a control.

Western blot analysis. U87 and C6 cells were incubated with various concentrations of DRM (0, 5, 10 and 15 µM) at 37°C for 48 h. Tumor tissue was ground to powder in a mortar containing liquid nitrogen. The DRM-treated cells and tumor tissue powder were harvested in RIPA lysis buffers (cat. no. P0013B; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) supplemented with protease inhibitors (cat. no. ST506; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Next, the concentration of total proteins was determined using a BCA assay kit (cat. no. P0012; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology). Total proteins (30 µg protein/lane) were separated by 12% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Millipore Sigma; Merck KGaA). The membranes were then blocked with 5% fat-free milk in PBS. They were then incubated with 1% osmium tetroxide for 1-2 h at 4°C. Next, the cells were dehydrated using a graded series of ethanol solutions (30, 50, 70, 90 and 100%) for 10 min at a time, and embedded in Epoxy Embedding medium (Merck KGaA) and polymerized at 37°C for 24 h. Finally, it was dyed with 3% uranium acetate and lead citrate for 30 min at room temperature. The ultrathin sections (0.1 µm) were observed using a transmission electron microscope (H7650; Hitachi, Ltd.). Treatment without DRM was used as a control.
Analysis of autophagy with CQ. The autophagy inhibitor CQ was also used to block autophagy at a final concentration of 15 µM. Briefly, U87 and C6 cells (1x10⁵ cells/well) in a 6-well plate were pretreated with CQ (15 µM) at 37°C for 2 h, and then cells were treated with DRM (15 µM) at 37°C for 48 h. Cells were collected for MTT, colony formation, western blotting, DAPI staining and Annexin V-FITC/PI staining analysis.

MTT assay. U87 and C6 cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 3x10³ cells/well and incubated overnight at 37°C for 24 h. DMEM supplemented with DRM alone or together with the autophagy inhibitor CQ was added to the 96-well plates for 48 h. MTT (1 mg/ml dissolved in PBS; 100 µl/well; cat. no. M2128; Merck KGaA) was then added to each well and cells were incubated for an additional 4 h at 37°C. MTT was carefully removed and 150 µl DMSO was added. Absorbance was measured on a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, Inc.) at a wavelength of 450 nm. The cell viability inhibition rate (IR) was calculated as the ratio between the OD of the CQ, CQ+DRM and DRM group and the OD of the control group. Cells in the control group were not treated with DRM and CQ.

Colony formation assay. U87 and C6 cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 3x10² cells/well. Following overnight incubation at 37°C, U87 and C6 cells were treated with DRM (15 µM) alone or together with the autophagy inhibitor CQ (15 µM) at 37°C for 48 h. The culture medium was discarded, and the cells were washed with PBS three times. The cells were then incubated with fresh medium at 37°C. After 2 weeks, the colonies were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature and stained with 0.4% crystal violet for 10 min at room temperature. Subsequently, the counts of cell colonies were manually scored. The number of colonies was counted and quantified. Colony formation quantification was performed using ImageJ software (version 2.0; National Institutes of Health). A colony was defined as >50 cells. Cells in the control group were not treated with DRM and CQ.

DAPI staining. The cells were seeded into 6-well plates at a density of 3x10² cells/well and treated with 15 µM DRM alone or together with an autophagy inhibitor (CQ, 15 µM) for 48 h at 37°C. The cells were harvested, washed twice with PBS, fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature and stained with DAPI (cat. no. D8471; Merck KGaA) staining solution according to the manufacturer’s instructions for 10 min at 37°C. The images were immediately captured using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation). Images were analyzed using Image Pro Plus v. 5.1 software (Media Cybernetics, Inc.). Cells in the control group were not treated with DRM and CQ.

Annexin V-FITC/PI staining for cell apoptosis. An Annexin V-FITC/PI apoptosis detection kit (cat. no. C1062S; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) was used to distinguish apoptotic cells from normal cells. U87 and C6 cells were incubated with DRM alone or together with the autophagy inhibitor CQ for 48 h. Cells were harvested and stained with the FITC-labeled Annexin V and PI for 15 min in the dark. Flow cytometry was conducted immediately to detect apoptotic cell populations. A BD Biosciences FACS Calibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) was immediately used to detect apoptotic cell populations and data were analyzed using FlowJo 7.6.2 (Tree Star, Inc.).

RNA isolation. The total RNA of each sample was extracted using a High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (cat. no. 1828665; Roche Diagnostics) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The total RNA of triplicate samples treated with or without DRM (15 µM) for 48 h at 37°C was then sent to Wuhan Boyue Zhihe Biotechnology Co., Ltd. RNA samples were then digested with RNase free DNase I (cat. no. 89836; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) to eliminate residual genomic DNA, and the digestion products were purified using magnetic beads (Axxygen; Corning, Inc.). Then, the quality and integrity of the total RNA were assessed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) and 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis. The RNA concentration was measured using a Nano Drop...
2000 instrument (Nano Drop Technologies; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). High-quality RNA samples with OD260/280 ratios ranging between 1.8 and 2.2 and OD260/230 ≥1.8-2.2, RNA integrity number (RIN) ≥7 and total RNA concentration ≥50 ng/μl were used for library preparation. After the RNA sample passed the test, the eukaryotic mRNA (in the case of prokaryote, the mRNA was enriched by removing rRNA through the kit) was enriched using magnetic beads with oligo (DT). RNA sequencing libraries were generated using the KAPA stranded RNA-Seq Kit with RiboErase (HMR; cat. no. KK8483; Kapa Biosystems; Roche Diagnostics) with multiplexing primers according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Subsequently, a fragment buffer was added to break the mRNA into short segments. mRNA was used as a template to synthesize a single-stranded cDNA with six base random primers. Then, buffer, dNTPs, DNA polymer I and RNase H were added to synthesize double-stranded cDNA. Then, the double-stranded cDNA was purified with AMPure XP beads. The purified double-stranded cDNA was first repaired with A-tail and connected with the sequencing connector; then, the fragment size was selected using AMPure XP beads. Subsequently, the second strand of U-containing cDNA was degraded with user enzyme so that the final sequencing information came from the first strand of cDNA, thus preserving the strand orientation of mRNA. Finally, the PCR amplification was carried out, and the PCR products were purified with AMPure XP beads to obtain the chain-specific cDNA library. After the construction of the library, qubit 3.0 fluorometer (cat. no. Q33216; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used for preliminary quantification, and the library was diluted to 1 ng/μl. Then, Qsep100 was used to detect the insert size of the library. After the insert size met the expectation, qPCR was used to accurately quantify the effective concentration of the library. After the inspection, different libraries were pooled according to the requirements of effective concentration and target off-line data volume, following which HiSeq sequencing was carried out. Paired end sequencing was performed using an Illumina Hiseq X Ten with a read length of 150 bp. A total of 1 μg total RNA was used for each Illumina library preparation. FastQC v0.11.9 software (Babraham Bioinformatics; https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) was used for quality analysis of the sequencing data in this project. The number of reads per gene was calculated using featureCounts v1.6.0 (featureCounts is a tool in the Subread v2.0.1 package; http://subread.sourceforge.net).

Data processing and functional annotation. Gene expression was standardized by fragments per kilobase per million mapped fragments using featureCounts v1.5.0. (36). The following analyses were conducted on differentially expressed genes (DEGs): i) A Venn diagram was drawn to identify common and unique DEGs between the groups using Venny 2.1.1 (https://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/) and a Volcano plot generated by ggplot2 v3.0.0 (37) in R Package 3.5.3 (https://neuroconductor.org/neurocLite.R) was used to analyze the screening of differentially expressed genes between samples; ii) Genesis 1.8.1 (38) was used for hierarchical clustering, it was used to compare the expression of DEGs in DRM vs. control groups using cluster analysis software; and iii) Gene Ontology (GO; http://www.geneontology.org) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG; http://www.genome.jp/), pathway annotation and enrichment analyses were carried out using the cluster profiler package 3.10.1 (https://bioconductor.org/packages/clusterProfiler/) in R Package 3.5.3 (https://neuroconductor.org/neurocLite.R) (39). Pathview in KEGG was used to analyze data (https://www.kegg.jp/pathway/map04140 and https://www.kegg.jp/kegg/mapper/color.html).

Animal models. All animal experiments were carried out at Harbin Weike Biotechnology Co., Ltd. The housing conditions were as follows: 24°C, 50-60% humidity and 12:12 h light-dark cycle. Animals were given free access to commercial rat pellet diet and tap water. A total of 36 female BALB/c mice were obtained from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd. Each BALB/c mouse weighed about 17±1.58 g and was 6 weeks old. After 1 week of feeding in a sterile environment, 100 μl PBS containing 2.0x10^5 C6 cells were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of nude mice. After 10 days, tumor-bearing mice were allocated to four groups and treated with either saline (100 μl; control group), CQ (20 mg/kg/day CQ in 100 μl; CQ group), DRM (14 mg/kg/day DRM in 100 μl; DRM group) or DRM+CQ (20 mg/kg/day CQ combined with 14 mg/kg/day DRM in 100 μl; DRM+CQ group) for 24 days. The four treatments were injected intraperitoneally into mice daily. Health and behavior of all nude mice were monitored on a daily basis. The length (L) and width (W) of tumors were measured and calculated every 3 days (V=1/2 x L x W^2). After 24 days, the tumor volume of mice in the control group made it difficult to live, including difficulty drinking, eating and moving, which brought discomfort to the life of mice. At the same time, the tumor volume had reached the purpose of the present study. First, all mice were humanely euthanized by intraperitoneal injection of Nembutal (150 mg/kg). Then, death was confirmed using cervical dislocation as a secondary method of euthanasia. All mice showed no vital signs and tumors were extracted for immunostaining and weighing. The maximum tumor volume in the present study was 760 mm^3. The experiment progressed 42 days from the injection of mice to euthanasia of mice. There were no mice that died accidentally during the experiment, and the mice showed healthy vital signs.

Immunohistochemical staining. All specimens were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for 48 h at room temperature and embedded in paraffin. Next, 5-μm-thick sections were dewaxed and rehydrated. All specimens were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in a graded series of ethanol solutions at room temperature for 5 min at a time. Sections were treated with 0.01 mol/l boiling sodium citrate buffer for 10 min. Subsequently, sections were treated with 3% H2O2 at room temperature for 30 min. Next, they were incubated in QuickBlock™ Blocking Buffer (cat. no. P0260; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) at 37°C for 20 min. Then, they were incubated in LC3 (dilution, 1:6,000; cat. no. 4599; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), p62 (dilution, 1:250; cat. no. 23214; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) and Ki-67 (dilution, 1:800; cat. no. 9027; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) primary antibodies for 90 min at 37°C. With an HRP-conjugated goat.
anti-rabbit IgG (dilution, 1:1,000; cat. no. 40295G; BIOSS) at 37°C for 90 min. The sections were incubated with a DAB Horseradish Peroxidase Color Development Kit (cat. no. P0202; Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) at room temperature for 30 min, and then washed with distilled water two times. Finally, the sections were counter stained with hematoxylin for 10 min at room temperature and the slides were observed under a light microscope (D5100; Nikon Corporation) and analyzed using ImageJ software (version 2.0; National Institutes of Health). The number of positive cell nuclei in 30 random fields from randomly chosen tumor sections for each animal was counted at a magnification of x400.

**TUNEL assay.** Cell apoptosis was assessed *in vivo* using a TUNEL assay. An In Situ Cell Death Detection kit (cat. no. 11684817910; Roche Diagnostics GmbH) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. All specimens were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for 48 h at room temperature and embedded in paraffin. Sections were first dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in ethanol solution, after incubation with proteinase K working solution (20 µM) for 30 min at room temperature. The tumor sections were washed with PBS twice. The slides were exposed to TUNEL reaction mixture prepared freshly for 1 h at 37°C in the dark. Then, the slide were rinsed three times with PBS. All slides were analyzed in a drop of PBS under a fluorescence microscope (Olympus Corporation) and analyzed using ImageJ software (version 2.0; National Institutes of Health). The number of positive cell nuclei in 30 random fields from randomly selected tumor sections for each animal was counted at a magnification of x400.

**Statistical analysis.** For quantification, Ki-67, Atg5, p62 and LC3 staining intensity was measured from the number of positive cell nuclei in 25% fields using ImageJ software (version 2.0; National Institutes of Health). All areas were chosen randomly from all sections. The intensity of bands in western blotting was also measured by ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health). All data are presented as the mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. The acquired experimental data were analyzed using SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Inc.) and GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). The differences between two groups were analyzed using an unpaired Student's t-test. Student's t-test was used when two groups were compared and one-way ANOVA was used when several groups were compared. The Tukey method was used as the post hoc test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**DRM increases autophagy in GBM cells.** Various methods were used to determine the effect of DRM on the levels of autophagy in GBM cells. TEM results revealed accumulation of autolysosomes and autophagosomes in the DRM group, but not in the control group (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, LC3 puncta were observed using the GFP-LC3 transient transfection assay. Microscopy images indicated that DRM increased the distribution and number of LC3 puncta (Fig. 2B). Additionally, LC3I/LC3II protein expression after transfection was detected by western blotting. The results demonstrated that LC3I/LC3II protein expression increased after transfection without DRM treatment (Fig. 2C). LC3 is a marker of autophagy. During the initiation of autophagy, LC3I enzymatically decomposes a small polypeptide segment and transforms it into LC3II (40). The ratio of LC3II/LC3I can be used to estimate the level of autophagy (41). At the same time, p62 and Atg5 proteins are important indicators of regulation of LC3I and LC3II protein conversion (42,43). Western blot analysis demonstrated that DRM induced the accumulation of LC3I/LC3II and Atg5 in U87 and C6 cells when the DRM concentration was 15 µM. In addition, DRM (15 µM) induced degradation of p62 in U87 and C6 cells (Fig. 2D-G). In combination, these data suggested that DRM could promote autophagy initiation in GBM cells.

**Inhibition of autophagy decreases DRM-induced apoptosis.** The effect of DRM-induced autophagy on GBM cell apoptosis was further investigated. Western blot analysis was conducted to detect the protein expression levels of p62 and LC3I/LC3II in the presence of the autophagy inhibitor CQ. As expected, the autophagy inhibitor CQ partially inhibited DRM-induced cell autophagy (Fig. 3A-C). In the DRM+CQ group compared with the DRM group, the LC3I/LC3II protein expression was decreased. Subsequently, MTT and colony formation assays were used to assess the viability and colony formation ratio of GBM cells. According to MTT assay results, DRM significantly reduced cell viability compared with DRM combined with CQ in U87 and C6 cells (Fig. 3F). As shown in Fig. 3D and E, treatment with DRM combined with CQ was associated with a significant increase in the colony formation ability of U87 and C6 cells compared with treatment with DRM only. In conclusion, these results indicated that DRM-induced autophagy could reduce the proliferation of GBM cells.

**Analysis of DEGs in DRM-treated C6 cells.** Comparison of DRM-treated C6 cells with untreated C6 cells revealed a higher degree of differential expression of genes. First, to ensure the reliability of the sequencing results, the inter-sample correlation was analyzed (Fig. 5A). As shown by the Venn diagram and Volcano plot in Fig. 5B and C, the transcript expression profiles identified 3,747 DEGs, including 1,660 upregulated and 2,087 downregulated genes.

**GO enrichment analysis of DEGs.** To further explore the potential functions of DEGs, the DEGs in the treatment groups were examined by GO functional enrichment analysis in relation to the control group. Each group of DEGs...
covered three aspects of biology. The top 50 functionally enriched classes in each group are shown in Fig. 6. With regards to biological processes, the DEGs were mainly enriched in ‘chromosome segregation’, ‘nuclear division’, ‘mitotic nuclear division’, ‘nuclear chromosome segregation’ and ‘cell division’ (Fig. 6A). With regards to cellular processes...
Figure 4. Autophagy promotes the apoptosis of U87 and C6 cells. (A) DAPI staining images of U87 and C6 cells were captured using a fluorescence microscope following treatment with or without DRM (15 µM) in the absence or presence of CQ (15 µM) for 48h. Scale bar, 50 µm. (B) U87 and C6 cells were treated with or without DRM (15 µM) in the absence or presence of CQ (15 µM) for 48 h, and the apoptotic cell ratio was measured by flow cytometry. (C) Graphical representation of quantitative analysis of the apoptotic rate. The results are presented as the mean ± SD of at least three independent experiments. **P<0.01. CQ, chloroquine; CTR, control; DRM, doramectin.
components, the DEGs were mainly enriched in ‘focal adhesion’, ‘cell-substrate junction’, ‘cell-substrate adherens junction’, ‘cytosolic ribosome’ and ‘chromosome, centromeric region’ (Fig. 6B). With regards to molecular functions, the DEGs were mainly enriched in ‘structural constituent of ribosome’, ‘growth factor binding’, ‘cell adhesion molecule binding’, ‘actin binding’ and ‘integrin binding’ (Fig. 6C).

**KEGG signaling pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs.** In order to further explore the effect of DRM on GBM signaling pathways, KEGG functional enrichment analysis of DEGs was also performed. The results in Fig. 7 revealed that the autophagy signaling pathway was not the only pathway that was enriched. Multiple signaling pathways associated with autophagy and apoptosis, such as ‘DNA replication’ and the ‘p53 signaling pathway’, ‘mTOR signaling pathway’, ‘PI3K/Akt signaling pathway’ and ‘MAPK signaling pathway’, were significantly enriched in C6 DRM-treated cells. A number of autophagy-related pathways were changed, indicating that the autophagy pathway was altered in the DRM group compared with the control group and that the DEGs in these pathway categories may be closely associated with DRM-induced autophagy. The top 100 signaling pathways that were altered after DRM treatment of C6 cells were randomly shown.

**Analysis of DEGs involved in the autophagy signaling pathway.** DEGs involved in autophagy are shown in the heat map in Fig. 8. Following treatment of C6 cells with DRM for 48 h, 51 DEGs were shown. In Fig. 9, a number of the genes that influence and control autophagy were shown, and these were also shown in the heat map, for example, a series of autophagy-related genes (Atgs), mTOR, AKT and pI3K, were identified.

**DRM-induced autophagy suppresses C6 cell xenograft growth in vivo.** The present study further determined the effect of DRM-induced autophagy on the regulation of GBM xenograft growth in vivo. No obvious differences in the weight of the mice were observed between the DRM, CQ and CQ+DRM groups and the control group (Fig. 10A). When comparing the two DRM intervention groups, significant suppression of tumor growth was observed in the CQ-untreated group compared with the DRM+CQ-treated group (Fig. 10B and C). To further verify that DRM-induced autophagy can inhibit GBM cell proliferation, immunohistochemical analysis of tumor sections was performed. As
Figure 6. Significantly enriched terms of GO analysis. (A) GO annotation analysis revealed that 50 BPs were altered in DRM-treated C6 cells compared with the control group. (B) GO annotation analysis showed that 50 CCs were altered in DRM-treated C6 cells compared with the control group. (C) GO annotation analysis showed that 50 MFs were altered in DRM-treated C6 cells compared with the control group. BP, biological processes; CC, cell components; CTR, control; DRM, doramectin; GO, Gene Ontology; MF, molecular function.
shown in Fig. 10D, compared with the CQ+DRM group, the expression levels of Ki-67, a marker of cell proliferation, were decreased in the DRM group. These results indicated that DRM-induced autophagy was involved in the reduction of tumor growth in a mouse xenograft model of GBM.

**DRM-induced autophagy promotes apoptosis in vivo.** To further detect the effect of DRM on autophagy in vivo, immunohistochemical staining and western blot analysis were performed. Immunohistochemical staining indicated that fewer p62-positive and more LC3-positive cells were observed in the tumors from DRM-treated mice compared with those from control mice (Fig. 11A). Similarly, western blot analysis revealed that the LC3I/LC3II protein was significantly elevated and P62 protein was significantly reduced in DRM-treated mice compared with the control mice (Fig. 11B). These results
demonstrated that treatment with DRM triggered autophagy in GBM cells in vivo. The association between DRM-induced autophagy and apoptosis was also explored in the nude mouse xenograft model. According to the TUNEL assay, an increased number of apoptotic cells were identified in the DRM-treated group compared with the CQ+DRM group (Fig. 1C), indicating that DRM-induced autophagy could enhance tumor apoptosis.

Discussion

The antitumor activity of macrocyclic lactones has been a research hotspot in recent years (44). Numerous studies have demonstrated that macrocyclic lactones inhibit the proliferation of cancer cells (45-47). In our previous study, it was demonstrated that IVM and AVM of the AVM family not only inhibited the proliferation of glioma cells via evoking apoptosis but also induced autophagy in glioma cells (21,48). Furthermore, IVM has been used to treat filariasis and kill ixodesscapularis ticks feeding on humans (49). In the present study, DRM, a more effective and less toxic drug from the AVM family, was used to treat GBM. Different from studies on GBM and other macrocyclic drugs, the present study adopted other research methods to explore the effects of DRM on GBM. For instance, transcriptome analysis, which can explain the molecular mechanism of DRM-induced apoptosis and autophagy in GBM cells, was conducted. Whether DRM can induce autophagy of GBM cells remains to be studied further. The present study revealed that DRM induced autophagy in vitro and in vivo. In addition, DRM-induced autophagy served an important role in suppressing GBM cell proliferation. It was further demonstrated that DRM modulated a number of pathways and genes involved in autophagy, thereby affecting the initiation of autophagy.

In the present study, TEM and a GFP-LC3 transient transfection assay demonstrated that DRM not only induced the formation of autophagosomes but also induced autophagy in glioma cells (21,48). Furthermore, IVM has been used to treat filariasis and kill ixodesscapularis ticks feeding on humans (49). In the present study, DRM, a more effective and less toxic drug from the AVM family, was used to treat GBM. Different from studies on GBM and other macrocyclic drugs, the present study adopted other research methods to explore the effects of DRM on GBM. For instance, transcriptome analysis, which can explain the molecular mechanism of DRM-induced apoptosis and autophagy in GBM cells, was conducted. Whether DRM can induce autophagy of GBM cells remains to be studied further. The present study revealed that DRM induced autophagy in vitro and in vivo. In addition, DRM-induced autophagy served an important role in suppressing GBM cell proliferation. It was further demonstrated that DRM modulated a number of pathways and genes involved in autophagy, thereby affecting the initiation of autophagy.

In the present study, TEM and a GFP-LC3 transient transfection assay demonstrated that DRM not only induced the formation of autophagosomes but also induced the formation of a higher number of GFP-LC3 puncta on U87 and C6 cells. In addition, LC3 exists in both its soluble (LC3I) and autophagosome-related (LC3II) forms, and LC3I can transform into LC3II (40). The LC3II/LC3I ratio is used to assess the levels of autophagy (41,42). During the process of autophagy, the p62 protein is involved in the conversion of LC3I to LC3II (50). As expected, DRM-induced autophagy was identified by western blot analysis, as revealed by the increase in LC3II/LC3I and the decrease in p62 protein expression. This result demonstrated that DRM can induce autophagy in GBM cells in vivo.

The functional relationship between autophagy and cell survival is complex, with recent evidence suggesting that
Figure 9. Autophagy pathway in C6 cells treated with doramectin (15 µM). Expression changes of target genes are mapped by colors. Data on KEGG graph. Rendered by Pathview. Green represents down regulated DEGs, red represents upregulated DEGs and other colors represent statistical insignificance. DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

Figure 10. DRM suppresses tumor growth in vivo. (A) Body weight of nude mice treated with CTR, CQ, CQ+DRM and DRM. (B) Tumor growth curve of different treatment groups. (C) Size of tumors formed in different groups. (D) Immunohistochemical staining of C6 xenograft tumors was performed to detect Ki-67 expression following DRM treatment. Quantitative analysis of Ki-67-positive cells in xenograft tissues from different groups. Scale bar, 50 or 30 µm. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n≥3. *P<0.05 and **P<0.01. CQ, chloroquine; CTR, control; DRM, doramectin.
autophagy is a double-edged sword in cell death (51). On the one hand, it is considered an essential mechanism of protection and survival. On the other hand, it is also regarded as a type of programmed cell death (52,53). However, the specific mechanism of the dual role of autophagy needs to be explored further. A study has reported that it is cell line-dependent (54). Some studies have suggested that different drugs had opposite effects in the same cell lines. For example, IVM-induced autophagy increased cell proliferation in glioma (48). However, 5-methoxypsoralen induced glioma cell death by inhibiting autophagy (55). Therefore, DRM, a potential anticancer agent, is required to further explore the role of autophagy in cell death. In the present study, the autophagy inhibitor CQ was used to clarify the specific mechanisms involved in the effects of DRM-induced autophagy on GBM cells. When CQ enters the lysosome, it becomes protonated because of the low pH within the lysosome, and accumulation of the protonated form of chloroquine with in the lysosome leads to less acidic conditions and thereby decreased lysosomal function (56).

Figure 11. DRM induces autophagy and autophagy promotes apoptosis in vivo. (A) Immunohistochemical staining of C6 xenograft tumors was performed to detect the expression levels of p62 and LC3 following treatment with DRM. Quantitative analysis of p62- and LC3-positive cells in xenograft tissues from different groups was also performed. Scale bar, 50 μm. (B) C6 xenograft tumors were incubated with and without DRM, and the effects of DRM on the levels of p62 and LC3I/LC3II were examined by western blot analysis, and semi-quantitative analysis of p62 and LC3I/LC3II protein expression indifferent groups was performed. (C) A TUNEL assay was used to measure apoptotic C6 cells and calculate the apoptotic index of different groups. Quantitative analysis of positive cells in xenograft tissues from different groups was also performed. Scale bar, 50 μm. Data are presented as the mean ± SD, n≥3. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 vs. control or as indicated. CQ, chloroquine; CTR, control; DRM, doramectin.
results demonstrated that DRM-induced autophagy might be one of the reasons for the inhibition of proliferation in GBM cell lines.

It is well-known that the functional relationship between apoptosis and autophagy is complex (57). They suppress or promote one another or unilaterally promote or inhibit one another. Autophagy and apoptosis have been demonstrated to be interconnected by crosstalk between several molecular nodes (58). Research has demonstrated that Atg5 can be cleaved by calpain, which interacts with Bcl-XL and promotes cytochrome c release with caspase activation and apoptosis (59). The association between DRM-induced autophagy and apoptosis in GBM cells is not well understood, but the present study revealed that DRM induced GBM cell apoptosis via the mitochondria-dependent pathway, which is highly regulated by Bcl-2 family members. In addition, the present study demonstrated that DRM increased Atg5 protein expression, suggesting that DRM-induced autophagy can affect apoptotic changes. In order to clarify the association between autophagy and apoptosis, the autophagy inhibitor CQ was used to understand the role of DRM-induced autophagy in the apoptosis of U87 and C6 cells. Flow cytometry demonstrated that DRM-induced autophagy could increase the apoptotic rate in U87 and C6 cells. DAPI staining confirmed this conclusion. Therefore, it was demonstrated that DRM-induced autophagy promoted the increase in apoptosis in GBM cells.

The molecular mechanisms of the initiation of autophagy are not yet fully understood. However, a number of studies have demonstrated that numerous signaling pathways may be involved in the initiation of autophagy (60-62). Therefore, the regulation of the autophagy pathway was investigated using the KEGG database. mTOR was identified as a protein that serves a key regulatory role in the formation and maturation of autophagosomes, and the PI3K/AKT and AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signaling pathways are also involved in the initiation of autophagy. In brief, a large number of genes are involved in regulating autophagy in cells. A transcriptome analysis was conducted on C6 cells to provide a genome-wide view of biological responses to DRM exposure. At the molecular level, transcriptome analysis revealed that a large number of significant DEGs were enriched in autophagy-related pathways. Autophagosome formation is dependent on the covalent attachment of a series of Atg proteins during protein ubiquitination (43,63). It was demonstrated that DRM induced autophagy in GBM cells at the molecular level. KEGG analysis results demonstrated that a number of autophagy pathways were altered in the DRM group compared with the control group, including the autophagy-animal, PI3K/AKT, lysosome, phagosome, mTOR, MAPK, AMPK and DNA replication signaling pathways. Previously, the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway, as a critical regulator of autophagy, has been reported to be involved in the initiation and promotion of a series of pathological disorders in tumors (64). The PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway was identified as the most enriched pathway in KEGG analysis, and the levels of PI3K, AKT and mTOR proteins were markedly reduced. This finding suggested that DRM-induced autophagy was mainly caused by the attenuation of PI3K/AKT/mTOR phosphorylation in GBM cells. Transcriptome analysis provided further evidence of DRM-induced autophagy. Our follow-up study will be based on the DEGs identified in the present study, which will serve as a foundation for exploring the other effects of DRM on GBM further.

To acquire more reliable evidence to support and verify the in vitro experimental findings, a xenograft nude mouse model was used to clarify the underlying molecular mechanisms of DRM autophagy in GBM cells in vivo. In the previous study, a subcutaneous injection of IVM was administered to a xenograft nude mouse model at a dose of 14 mg/kg, and the toxicity was found to be acceptable and the dose safe (19,45). Therefore, the same drug dosage was used in the in vivo experiment. A number of clinical trials have used CQ alone or in combination with other chemotherapies for the treatment of cancer (65,66). In the present study, the effect of DRM+CQ was tested in a xenograft nude mouse model. The in vivo experiments revealed that the tumor volume was significantly smaller in the DRM group than in the DRM+CQ group. Immunohistochemical analysis of Ki-67 activity also confirmed this conclusion. In addition, a TUNEL assay revealed that DRM-induced autophagy increased cell apoptosis in vivo. It was further demonstrated that DRM could induce autophagy in vivo. These findings were consistent with those of previous in vitro studies. Additionally, our research team has also examined the inhibitory effect of DRM on other tumors. For example, research has demonstrated that the inhibitory effect on breast cancer cells was not obvious (17). Esophageal cancer is inhibited by DRM (Li et al, unpublished data). Although DRM was found to have an effect on GBM cell proliferation and apoptosis in our previous experimental exploration (Chen et al, unpublished data), the lack of specific experimental data in this regard is a limitation of the present study. In future studies, the effects of DRM on GBM cells will be explored further to provide more data support for DRM as a novel drug for the treatment of cancer.

In conclusion, it was first demonstrated that DRM induced autophagy in U87 and C6 GBM cells in vitro and in vivo. In addition, the present study demonstrated that DRM altered a number of pathways involved in autophagy in C6 cells, and induced GBM cell autophagy mainly by blocking PI3K, AKT and mTOR at the molecular level. In addition, autophagy could inhibit GBM cell proliferation and apoptosis in vitro and in vivo. In combination, these findings provided a theoretical basis for the clinical application of DRM in the treatment of GBM.
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