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ABSTRACT

Presence of Phytophthora palmivora was both obtained in the soil samples and organic debris layed in leaf axil of coconut. Block planted to CAMT x MRD hybrid had the highest number of Phytophthora propagules (2.0). This was followed by CAMT (1.4) and KINGD (1.1). Aromatic and Catigan Green Dwarf had the least Phytophthora propagules collected.

Isolation of Phytophthora from the soil samples and organic debris from leaf axil was done thru dilution plate and baiting method respectively using six month old Malayan Red Dwarf (MRD) nuts. Highest infection (100%) on bait nuts was also obtained in CAMT x MRD hybrid 3.5 days after inoculation. Reisolation of Phytophthora from bait nuts gave positive results. Morphological characterization of the sporangium showed considerable variation in shapes and size.

More Phytophthora propagules was obtained in soil samples collected in areas previously planted with Malayan Yellow Dwarf x West African Tall (MAWA). Phytophthora palmivora is basically a soil borne pathogen but could easily be transported by wind and rainsplash, tent building ants and flying beetles.

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the nature of the disease and its causal organism is a must for the development of an effective and economical control measure. Phytophthora bud rot of coconut, being one of the earliest diseases discovered in the country should be manageable by now had researches regarding its nature been vigorously pursued by past scientists. However, it was only in the mid 80s when thousands of coconut palms were killed by the fungus that studies regarding the disease had been conducted. To date, scientists in the country are still trying to unravel the complex nature of this pathogen. This study is one of the basic researches that need to be done for the development and effective application of a control strategy for the disease.
OBJECTIVE

To establish benchmark information as to the presence and density of *Phytophthora* propagules in soil and leaf axil of the different coconut populations planted in DRC.

METHODOLOGY

Collection of Samples

Organic Debris from Leaf Axil

Eight blocks of coconut areas planted to various cultivars/hybrids were selected in the study. These blocks were planted with Aromatic Green Dwarf (AROGD), Catigan Green Dwarf (CATGD), Kinabalan Green Dwarf (KINGD), Malayan Red Dwarf (MRD), Camotes Tall (CAMT), CAMT x MRD, CATGD x LAGT and KAPGD x CAMT. Thirty six (36) randomly selected palms were sampled. All of the organic debris lodged on the leaf axils of every palm, starting from frond number 10 down to the last mature frond were taken, placed in a sterile plastic bag, and brought to the laboratory. Ten grams per sample were weighed for the Dilution Plate Method (DPM) and 250 g for the baiting method.

Soil

Thirty six (36) randomly selected composite soil samples taken at 3-5 cm deep per sampling site in the eight coconut areas block in DRC were taken. Ten grams per sample was used for the Dilution Plate Method to establish the density of *Phytophthora* propagules and the 250 g for the baiting method to establish the presence of infectious propagules of the fungus.

Dilution Plate Method

Ten (10) g organic debris from leaf axil and soil sample from each palm were taken and mixed with 95 ml sterile water blank in a dilution bottle. The mixture was placed in a reciprocating shaker for 15 minutes after which a series of dilution was made following the scheme below:

\[
\begin{align*}
95 \text{ ml sterile water blank (}10^{-1}\text{)} & \quad 1 \text{ ml} \quad 95 \text{ ml sterile water blank (}10^{-3}\text{)} \\
1 \text{ ml} & \quad 9 \text{ ml sterile water blank (}10^{-2}\text{)} \\
1 \text{ ml} & \quad 9 \text{ ml sterile water blank (}10^{-4}\text{)}
\end{align*}
\]
One milliliter each of the dilution per treatment was pipetted into sterile plates. Pre-cooled melted Carrot Agar medium was then poured into the inoculated plates which were gently rotated back and forth for uniform mixing of the suspension with the medium. The plates were incubated for 7 days and the number of colonies that grew per plate were counted. The fungi observed were then isolated into pure cultures.

Baiting Method

Healthy six (6) month old Malayan Red Dwarf MRD nuts were carefully collected and surface sterilized by 70% ethyl alcohol and then wiped dried with cotton. Ten pin pricks were made at the center of the equatorial portion of the nut using a sterile needle. Two hundred grams of soil and another of the organic matter per sample per variety were placed in a sterile plastic basin, after which two hundred fifty ml of sterile distilled water was added. A bait nut was then placed/mounted into each basins with the pricked portion slightly touching the surface of the water. The basin with the bait nuts were incubated under continuous light for seven days after which symptom of Phytophthora infection was observed.

Isolation and Purification of P. palmivora

Following the method described in the Philippine Council for Agriculture and Resources Research and Development (PCARRD) Manual (1980), the bait nuts manifesting symptoms of the disease were surface sterilized using 70% ethyl alcohol. From the advancing margins of the infected parts of the nuts, 5-mm² tissues were excised. The tissues were rinsed with ethyl alcohol, soaked in distilled water for 1 minute, then dried in a sterile filter paper. The tissue were planted in plated carrot agar (CA) medium and incubated under 24°C temperature. When growth of the pathogen was observed, the advancing portion of its mycelium was transferred and then maintain in CA slants to be used for further examination.

Data gathered

Dilution Plate Method (DPM):

The number of Phytophthora propagules per treatment was calculated using the formula: \( B = \frac{A \times D.F.}{W} \) where B represents the number of propagules per gram of dry soil, A represents the average number of colonies on the best dilution, D.F. as the dilution factor, and W as the oven-dry weight of the soil sample (PCARRD Manual 1980).

The fungi isolated were purified and identified to genus level using monograph on illustrate Genera of Imperfect Fungi (1972) by Barnett and Hunter.
Baiting Method:

Symptom development was observed fifteen days after incubation of bait nuts. Reisolation of the pathogen was done on positively infected nuts.

Morphological Characteristic of *P. palmivora*

*P. palmivora* isolated from the infected parts of the nuts were purified for sporangium measurement. Observation of sporangial length (L), breadth (B) pedicel length and papillation were measured using 50 sporangia of each isolate and the mean values were calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil

*Phytophthora* infectious propagules are present in areas planted with different cultivars as indicated by the positive infection on the bait nuts. Soil samples collected from areas planted to CAMT x MRD gave highest infection (100%) on bait nuts 3.5 days after inoculation followed by KINGD and KAPGD x CAMT with 97.2 and 91.7% infection respectively on baits nuts 4.0 days after inoculation (Table 1a). Interestingly, Aromatic Green Dwarf had the lowest nut infected (25%) followed by Catigan Green dwarf (30.6%) and CATGD x LAGT (38.8%). Reisolation of *Phytophthora* from the bait nuts gave all positive result. As shown in Table 2a, the highest number of *Phytophthora* propagules from soil samples was recorded in CAMT x MRD hybrid. Bait nut was positively infected within 2.6 days after inoculation.

Leaf Axil

Organic matter from the leaf axil of different coconut cultivars stands harbor *Phytophthora* propagules as indicated by the positive infection on bait nuts. Percentage of nuts infected ranged from 5.6 to 38.9% (Table 1b). Highest infected bait nuts was observed again in CAMT x MRD (38.9%) followed by Kinabalan Green Dwarf (19.4%), Aromatic and Catigan Green Dwarf had the lowest nut infection (0%).

Consistent with the report of Rillo and Paloma (1987) and Abad 1999, that yellow pigmented population (MRD) and CAMT x MRD are apparently more prone to *Phytophthora* infection than green pigmented population in this case Aromatic and Catigan Green Dwarf.

Highest *Phytophthora* propagules was observed in $10^2$ dilution in organic debris from leaf axil collected in CAMT x MRD hybrid (Table 2b). This suggests that *Phytophthora* isolates from CAMT x MRD hybrid is more virulent as compared with other isolates. This confirm report by Poliquit & Abad 1999 that *phytophthora* has pathogenic variability in terms of aggressiveness to colonize the hosts. Likewise, more *P. palmivora* propagules was observed in areas previously planted to Malayan Yellow Dwarf x West African Tall (MAWA) as in the case of coconut cultivars/hybrids planted...
with Kinabalan Green Dwarf, CAMT, CAMT x MRD, KAPGD x CAMT & CATGD x LAGT. Consistent with the report of Guest (2002) that *Phytophthora palmivora* basically a soil-borne pathogen but will adapted to infect aerial part of the plant such as coconut bud rot & fruit rot. *Phytophthora* inoculum moves from the soil to the canopy as a result of human activity rainsplash and flying beetles.

Highest number of propagules was observed again in CAMT x MRD hybrid (1.5) followed by CAMT (1.2). Aromatic and Catigan Green Dwarf consistently zero *Phytophthora* propagules was recorded (Table 2b).

It was also observed that among the four PKI cultivars/hybrid used in the study *Phytophthora* propagules was highest in CAMT x MRD, indicated apparent susceptibility of this hybrid because of MRD parentage (Concibido 1990).

Table 1a. Nuts infected with *Phytophthora palmivora* in different cultivar/ hybrid (Soil Samples)

| Cultivars/Hybrid | DAI | Nuts infected |
|------------------|-----|---------------|
|                  | No. | %             |
| **A. Dwarf**     |     |               |
| AROGD            | 12.0| 9.0           | 25.0 |
| CATGD            | 11.5| 11.0          | 30.6 |
| MRD              | 9.5 | 17.0          | 47.2 |
| KINGD            | 4.0 | 35.0          | 97.2 |
| **B. Tall**      |     |               |
| CAMT             | 7.0 | 25.0          | 69.4 |
| **C. Hybrid**    |     |               |
| CAMT x MRD       | 3.5 | 36.0          | 100.0 |
| KAPGD x CAMT     | 4.0 | 33.0          | 91.7 |
| CATGD x LAGT     | 7.0 | 14.0          | 38.8 |
| **Mean**         | 6.5 | 26.0          | 73.4 |

DAI - days after inoculation
Table 1b. Nuts infected with *Phytophthora palmivora* in different cultivar/hybrid (Leaf Axil)

| Cultivars/Hybrid | DAI | Nuts infected |
|------------------|-----|---------------|
|                  |     | No. | %  |
| A. Dwarf         |     |     |    |
| AROGD            | 15.0| 0.0 | 0.0|
| CATGD            | 15.0| 0.0 | 0.0|
| MRD              | 14.5| 2.0 | 5.6|
| KINGD            | 12.5| 7.0 | 19.4|
| B. Tall          |     |     |    |
| CAMT             | 13.0| 5.0 | 13.9|
| C. Hybrid        |     |     |    |
| CAMT x MRD       | 10.5| 14.0| 38.9|
| KAPGD x CAMT     | 13.5| 4.0 | 11.1|
| CATGD x LAGT     | 15.0| 2.0 | 5.6|
| Mean             | 13.0| 5.5 | 15.5|

DAI - days after inoculation

Table 2a. Number of *Phytophthora* propagules (10⁻³) & Infected nuts in different cultivar/hybrid (Soil Sample)

| Cultivars/Hybrid | DAI | No. of Propagules | Nuts infected |
|------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------|
|                  |     | No. | %  |     |     |     |
| A. Dwarf         |     |     |    |     |     |     |
| AROGD            | 15.0| 0.0 | 0.0| 0.0 | 0.0 |
| CATGD            | 15.0| 0.0 | 0.0| 0.0 | 0.0 |
| MRD              | 14.0| 1.0 | 2.0| 5.6 |     |
| KINGD            | 13.0| 1.1 | 29.0| 80.6|     |
| B. Tall          |     |     |    |     |     |     |
| CAMT             | 14.0| 1.4 | 34.0| 94.4|     |
| C. Hybrid        |     |     |    |     |     |     |
| CAMT x MRD       | 2.6 | 2.0 | 36.0| 100.0|     |
| KAPGD x CAMT     | 13.0| 1.0 | 7.0 | 19.4 |     |
| CATGD x LAGT     | 14.0| 1.0 | 2.0 | 5.6  |     |

DAI - days after inoculation
Table 2b. Number of *Phytophthora* propagules (10^3) & Infected nuts in different cultivar/ hybrid (Leaf Axil)

| Cultivars/Hybrid | DAI | No. of Propagules | Nuts infected |
|------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------|
|                   |     |                   | No. | %    |
| D. Dwarf          |     |                   |     |      |
| AROGD             | 15.0| 0.0               | 0.0 | 0.0  |
| CATGD             | 15.0| 0.0               | 0.0 | 0.0  |
| MRD               | 15.0| 0.0               | 0.0 | 0.0  |
| KINGD             | 10.0| 1.0               | 15.0| 41.7 |
| E. Tall           |     |                   |     |      |
| CAMT              | 5.5 | 1.2               | 26.0| 72.2 |
| F. Hybrid         |     |                   |     |      |
| CAMT x MRD        | 2.5 | 1.5               | 35.0| 97.2 |
| KAPGD x CAMT      | 6.0 | 1.0               | 24.0| 66.7 |
| CATGD x LAGT      | 15.0| 0.0               | 0.0 | 0.0  |

DAI - days after inoculation

**Baiting Method**

The presence of *P. palmivora* in leaf axil and soil as determined through baiting is shown in Table 3. All soil samples and organic debris in leaf axil were positive for *P. palmivora* except from AROGD & CATGD. After 4 days of incubation under continuous light, lesions around the pricked portion of the bait nuts were observed (Fig. 1). This symptom is typical of nuts that are positively infected with *P. palmivora*. Reisolation of the pathogen in Carrot Agar (CA) and microscopic examination of the isolates were then made to confirm of the mycelial growth is that of *P. palmivora*. 
Table 3. *Phytophthora palmivora* from soil and leaf axil of 36 sample palms

| Cultivar/Hybrid | Sample Collected   |
|-----------------|--------------------|
|                 | Leaf Axil | Soil |
| A. Dwarf        |           |      |
| AROGD           | -         | -    |
| CAT GD          | -         | +    |
| MRD             | +         | +    |
| KINGD           | +         | +    |
| B. Tall         |           |      |
| CAMT            | +         | +    |
| C. Hybrid       |           |      |
| CAMT x MRD      | +         | +    |
| KAPGD x CAMT    | +         | +    |
| MAWA            | +         |      |
| CATGD x LAGT    | +         | +    |

+ present
- absent

Fig. 1. Lesions caused by *Phytophthora palmivora* in the bait nuts, 4 days after incubation under continuous light.

**Dilution Plate Method**

Leaf axils of the coconut palms sampled in the study were found to have mycoflora associated with the organic matter deposited thereon. The list of the seven fungi collected from the samples and identified up to its genus level (Table 4). The fungi include *Aspergillus* sp, *Penicillium* A, B *Pestalotiopsis* sp, *Trichoderma* species & two (2) unidentified fungal isolates and *Phytophthora palmivora*. The identify of the
two fungi could not be pursued because they did not sporulate \textit{in vitro}. Of the seven (7) fungi isolated from soil samples, only six (6) were present in the organic debris from leaf axil, namely, \textit{Aspergillus} sp, \textit{Penicillium} sp. A, \textit{Pestalotiopsis} sp, \textit{Phytophthora palmivora} and unidentified fungal (A & B isolates). There were many kinds of fungi isolated in soil than in organic debris, with the presumption that some fungi do not favorably coexist with \textit{P. palmivora} due to competition and antagonism. On the other hand, the presence of some fungi along with the pathogen many suggest that these fungi have its capacity to compete and therefore coexist with \textit{P. palmivora}. It is also possible that they have different niches or mode of survival, thus not adversely affecting each other.

The occurrence of the various fungi obtained from the soil and organic matter are reflected in Table 4. The collection of \textit{Pestalotiopsis} sp., the causal organism of leaf spot/blight of coconut although a disease of lesser economic importance than \textit{Phytophthora} bud rot, deserves some attention. Its presence points to leaf axil as source of pathogen propagules that should be considered in crafting coconut disease management schemes.

**Morphological Characteristic of \textit{P. palmivora}**

Eight \textit{Phytophthora} (4 Dwarfs, 1 tall & 3 hybrids) isolates were purified and measured for the sporangial dimension. Considerable variation was observed in sporangial shapes and sizes among the isolates from eight blocks (Table 5). The isolates observed produced papillae and caducous sporangia on Carrot Agar medium. Waterhouse (1974) reported that these isolates were considered caducous if the majority of their sporangia readily detached from sporangiophores and did not have frayed raptures at their stalk (pedicel) bases. Most of the sporangia observed were borne terminally either a simple sympodia or on irregular sporangiospores. The eight isolates produced ovoid, ellipsoid, obpyriform type of sporangia with a round or slightly tapering based and an occluded short pedicel.

| Fungies                          | Occurrence |
|----------------------------------|------------|
|                                  | Leaf Axil  | Soil Sample |
| \textit{Aspergillus} sp.         | +          | +           |
| \textit{Penicillium} sp A        | +          | +           |
| \textit{Penicillium} sp B        | -          | +           |
| \textit{Pestalotiopsis} sp       | +          | -           |
| \textit{Phytophthora palmivora}  | +          | +           |
| \textit{Trichoderma} sp          | -          | +           |
| Unidentified fungal isolate A    | +          | +           |
| Unidentified fungal isolate B    | +          | +           |

+ present - absent
Table 5. Morphological characteristic/measurement of *Phytophthora* sporangia isolated from different cultivars (soil sample)

| Cultivar/Hybrid | SPORANGIUM DIMENSION (µ) |   |   |   |   |
|----------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|---|
|                | Length | Breadth | Pedicel Length | Pedicel Width | Papilla Length | Papilla Width |
| A. Dwarf       |         |         |               |               |               |               |
| AROGD          | 0.09    | 0.07    | 0.97          | 0.92          | 0.12          | 0.16          |
| CATGD          | 0.09    | 0.08    | 1.19          | 0.76          | 0.10          | 0.17          |
| MRD            | 0.08    | 0.08    | 1.05          | 0.69          | 0.12          | 0.18          |
| KINGD          | 0.09    | 0.08    | 1.03          | 0.71          | 0.11          | 0.17          |
| B. Tall        |         |         |               |               |               |               |
| CAMT           | 0.09    | 0.08    | 1.06          | 0.72          | 0.11          | 0.17          |
| C. Hybrid      |         |         |               |               |               |               |
| CAMT x MRD     | 0.09    | 0.08    | 1.01          | 0.78          | 0.12          | 0.18          |
| KAPGD x CAMT   | 0.09    | 0.08    | 1.08          | 0.72          | 0.11          | 0.18          |
| CATGD x LAGT   | 0.09    | 0.07    | 0.97          | 0.72          | 0.12          | 0.16          |

Fig. 2. *Pencillium* sp. A, isolated from leaf axil & soil samples showing light green colonies cultured on Potato Dextrose

Fig. 3. *Aspergillus* sp. showing dark green colony isolated both from leaf axil & soil samples cultured on PDA
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Presence of *Phytophthora palmivora* was observed both in soil and coconut leaf axil microhabitat. This could give way to improved disease management measures in coconut and may offer awareness for further detailed studies. *Phytophthora* is basically a soil-borne pathogen, although species including *P. palmivora* are well adopted to attack aerial parts of plants causing disease such as coconut bud rot, coconut fruit rot, durian cankers, durian leaf blights, and fruit rot. *Phytophthora palmivora* inoculum moves from the soil into the canopy as a result of human activity, rainsplash, tent building ants and flying beetles. Once in the canopy, secondary inoculum spread *phytophthora* to infect coconut bud rot & fruit rot. Secondary inoculum moves by direct contact, contamination of farm implements, rainsplash, ants, flying beetles and mammals.
Results of the study gave an information on the presence and density of *Phytophthora* propagules either in the soil and leaf axil. Once bud rot is being observed in an area, immediate removal by cutting and burning of the infected palms is recommended to ensure the inoculum potential of *Phytophthora* will be reduced. Likewise, other important pest (e.g. rhinoceros beetle) will have no habitable breeding place for multiplication and thus, outbreak of the pest can be prevented. An inventory of *Phytophthora palmivora* mycoflora and arthropods in coconut leaf axil microhabitats will be conducted. Farms sanitation-fungicide spraying can be a component in an integrated *Phytophthora* disease management scheme together with the use of disease-tolerant materials.

Trials should be conducted especially on local coconut cultivars (e.g. green pigmented population) which was observed to be least susceptible to bud rot & fruit rot.
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