Factors Affecting Microvascular Responses in the Bulbar Conjunctiva in Habitual Contact Lens Wearers
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PURPOSE. To investigate the factors affecting microvascular responses in the bulbar conjunctiva of habitual contact lens (HCL) wearers.

METHODS. A functional slit-lamp biomicroscope (FSLB) was used to image the temporal bulbar conjunctiva of habitual contact lens (HCL) wearers and non–contact lens (NCL) wearers. The vessel diameters and blood flow velocities (BFVs) were measured. Fractal analysis using Dbox as vessel density and D0 as vessel complexity were used to quantitatively analyze the microvascular network. One eye each of 91 NCL wearers and 75 HCL wearers was imaged.

RESULTS. The BFV of NCL wearers was 0.50 ± 0.14 mm/s, which was negatively correlated with age (r = −0.22, P < 0.05). The BFV, vessel diameter, Dbox, and D0 of HCL wearers was significantly higher than NCL wearers (P < 0.05). In these HCL wearers, BFVs were positively correlated with contact lens (CL) hours of wear per day and CL days of wear per week. BFV, Dbox, and D0 were not related to CL years of wear, CL power, CL base curve, and CL diameter (P > 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS. Vascular responses on the bulbar conjunctiva occurred in HCL wearers and appeared to be unrelated to sex or age, CL years of wear, and lens parameters, indicating that wearing a CL itself may be the predominant factor inducing these responses.
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Contact lenses (CLs) have become popular for both medical and cosmetic use. It has been estimated that more than 140 million people wear contact lenses worldwide, including 38 million in the United States.1–3 With the increasing uptake of CL wear, more and more people are potentially at risk for CL-related issues.4 Common CL-related complications include corneal infiltration, sterile corneal ulcers, and CL-related ocular discomfort (CLD).5–7 These complications are often accompanied by a common clinical sign, bulbar conjunctival redness (conjunctival hyperemia), which is also a principal clinical sign of a conjunctival vascular response to CL wear and underlying ocular inflammation. Our previous studies using advanced ophthalmic imaging on conjunctival microvasculature and microcirculation have indicated that vascular responses on the ocular surface, especially on the bulbar conjunctiva, occur in neophyte CL wearers8 and habitual CL wearers.3,5 Chen et al.8 studied the microvascular responses to short-term contact lens wear in neophyte contact lens wearers and found that blood flow velocity of the bulbar conjunctiva was increased after 6 hours of CL wear. Shi et al.3 studied the blood flow velocity in the bulbar conjunctiva in a small group of habitual CL wearers and found that conjunctival blood flow velocity was elevated in daily CL wearers when they did not wear their lenses during imaging. However, these previous studies did not characterize the vasculature in the healthy population, nor the factors affecting the vascular responses to long-term lens wear.

Despite the alteration of the vasculature in the ocular surface, most CL wearers successfully wear their lenses long-term and rarely develop severe complications, such as infection,9 sterile keratitis, or corneal infiltrative events.10,11 It is critical to first understand the ocular vasculature in the healthy population, then determine the factors that affect the vascular responses in long-term successful CL wearers. Clinical observation of a conjunctival vascular response is subjective, with poor reliability and repeatability.12,13 With the introduction of an advanced modality for imaging conjunctival microvasculature and microcirculation, the functional slit-lamp biomicroscope (FSLB), quantification of subclinical vascular responses can be readily performed noninvasively.14 The goal of the research was to study the vasculature of the conjunctiva in a healthy population and determine the factors affecting microvascular responses in the bulbar conjunctiva in habitual CL wearers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microcirculation and Microvasculature Measurement by FSLB

The FSLB imaging system has been described in detail in our previous studies9,14 and the imaging protocol was the same as used in our previous studies.9,14 FSLB devices in Miami, FL, USA, and Wenzhou, China, have similar configurations and both devices were carefully calibrated. Briefly, a traditional slit-lamp was adapted with a digital camera that can measure the blood flow velocity and vessel diameter. Both FSLB devices...
were attached with the Canon digital camera (Canon 60D; Canon Inc, Melville, NY, USA). The inherent Movie Crop Function (MCF) in the camera generates the equivalent of approximately \( \times 7 \) magnification, and it combines with the built-in slit-lamp optical magnification of up to \( \times 30 \), which resulted in total magnification of up to approximately \( \times 210 \).

In the present study, the FSLB based on the Nikon slit-lamp (Nikon FS-2; Nikon, Inc., Melville, NY, USA) in Miami has a field of view of 0.9 \( \times 0.7 \) mm\(^2\) with the MCF and slit-lamp magnification setting of \( \times 30 \). The FSLB based on the Kanghua slit-lamp (SLM-4ER; Kanghua, Inc., Chongqing, China) in Wenzhou has a field of view of 1.1 \( \times 0.9 \) mm\(^2\) with the MCF and slit-lamp magnification setting of \( \times 25 \). Image size of 640 \( \times \) 480 pixels was used in the video recording mode (ISO 400, shutter speed 1/60). Six different locations approximately 1 mm away from the limbus on the temporal bulbar conjunctiva were imaged for the measurements of blood flow velocity, vessel diameter, and flow rate. To obtain the bulbar conjunctiva’s microvascular network, the camera was set to a still photo shot model with an ISO of 500 and a shutter speed of 1/15. The magnification was approximately \( \times 22 \) optical magnification with an image size of 5184 \( \times \) 3456 pixels. A green filter was used to capture a field of 15.74 \( \times \) 10.50 mm\(^2\) of the temporal conjunctiva with the Miami FSLB. A green filter was used to capture a field of 14.65 \( \times \) 9.75 mm\(^2\) with the Wenzhou FSLB.

Custom software has been developed and used for the quantification of blood flow velocity, vessel diameter, blood flow rate, and vessel density as described in our previous studies.\(^8,9\) With input of camera settings and fields of view of each of the FSLB devices, vessel diameters, BFVs, and flow rates were measured through a series of image-processing procedures from the video recording.\(^6\) Blood flow velocity measurements were performed using the automatic space-time image technique to track motion of the red blood cell cluster.\(^6\)

The vessel diameter was defined as the full width at the half-maximum (FWHM) of the intensity profile, which was perpendicular to the center line of the vessel. The flow rate was calculated based on blood flow velocity and vessel diameter using the question previously published.\(^7\) Using custom developed software, the microvascular network was automatically segmented with a series of image-processing procedures.\(^6\) and fractal analysis was performed using a commercially available software program (Benoit; TruSoft Inc., St. Petersburg, FL, USA).\(^6\) The monofractal and multifractal values were obtained to evaluate the vessel density (Dbox) and complexity (D0).

### Table 1. Characteristics of the HCL Wears Group

| Mean ± SD | Range |
|----------|-------|
| Subject number | 75 |
| Male versus female | 10.65 |
| Age, y | 25.7 ± 5.9 | 16–52 |
| SBP, mm Hg | 110.4 ± 12.8 | 91–154 |
| DBP, mm Hg | 71.0 ± 9.0 | 51–94 |
| HR, beats per minute | 74.1 ± 9.8 | 52–95 |
| Duration of CL wear in a day, h | 12.4 ± 2.7 | 6–17 |
| Duration of CL wear in a week, d | 6.3 ± 1.3 | 3–7 |
| Duration of CL wear, y | 8.2 ± 5.5 | 0.5–32 |
| Replacement schedule of CL, d | 80.5 ± 112.0 | 1–365 |
| Power, Diopeters | −4.4 ± 2.0 | −1.0 to −10.0 |
| Base curve, mm | 8.6 ± 0.1 | 8.3–8.8 |
| Diameters, mm | 14.1 ± 0.1 | 13.8–14.5 |

### Statistical Analysis

All data management and statistical analyses were performed in Excel (version 2010; Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary NC, USA). The sample size was calculated by a software program (Gpower, version 3.1.9) recommended by Faul et al.\(^{19}\) and Bonett and Wright.\(^{20}\) According to our previous study,\(^9\) a sample size of 58 subjects in each group of the NCL and HCL groups would be enough to detect the true difference of the blood flow velocity in the bulbar conjunctiva with a detection power of 0.9. In the present study, 75 HCL wearers and 91 NCL subjects were recruited, which would ensure enough power to detect the true difference between groups. All parameters were compared between HCL wearers and NCL wearers through analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with adjusted age and sex. Pearson correlation coefficients were used to determine the relationships between the microvascular parameters and other parameters. All data were presented as the mean ± SD and a value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

In NCL wearers, the BFV was 0.51 ± 0.14 mm/s in female NCL wearers, which was significantly higher than that of male NCL wearers (0.42 ± 0.13 mm/s, \( P < 0.001 \), Fig. 1). The flow rate...
was significantly higher in female NCL wearers than that in male NCL wearers ($P = 0.026$, Fig. 1). No significant differences were observed in vessel diameter, microvascular density, and complexity between females and males (Fig. 1). The BFV and flow rate were significantly and negatively correlated with age ($r = -0.228$, $P = 0.030$ and $r = -0.227$, $P = 0.051$, separately, Fig. 2; Table 2). No significant correlations were found between microvascular network density and complexity with age, SBP, DBP, and HR (Table 2; Fig. 2).

The BFV of HCL wearers was $0.61 \pm 0.15$ mm/s, which was significantly higher than that in NCL wearers ($0.50 \pm 0.14$ mm/s, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 3), after the age and sex were adjusted. The flow rate was significantly higher in HCL wearers than that in NCL wearers ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 3). The vessel diameter was significantly larger in HCL wearers than that in NCL wearers ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 3). Similarly, microvascular network density and complexity in HCL wearers were both significantly higher than those in NCL wearers (both $P < 0.001$; Fig. 3).

In HCL wearers, no significant difference in microvascular parameters between male and female HCL wearers was found.

BFV was significantly and positively correlated with CL hours of wear per day and CL days of wear per week ($r = 0.328$, $r = 0.248$, separately, both $P < 0.05$; Fig. 4). The vessel diameter was significantly and positively correlated with CL replacement schedule ($r = 0.298$, $P = 0.009$), but negatively correlated with CL hours of wear per day ($r = -0.240$, $P = 0.038$). BFV, flow rate, vessel diameter, and microvascular network density and complexity were not correlated with the time of day (o'clock), CL years of wear, CL power, CL base curve, and CL diameter; the microvascular network density and complexity also were not correlated with CL hours of wear per day, CL days of wear per week, and CL replacement schedule.

| Table 2. Correlations Between the Characteristics and the Microcirculation and Microvasculature in NCL Wearers |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Microcirculation | Age, y | SBP, mm Hg | DBP, mm Hg | HR |
| BFV, mm/s        |   $r$   |         |         |   $p$   |
|                  | $-0.228$ | $-0.054$ | $-0.035$ | 0.096 |
| Flow rate, ql/s  | $0.030^*$ | 0.665 | 0.720 | 0.438 |
| Vessel diameter, μm | $-0.128$ | $-0.039$ | 0.014 | $-0.201$ |
| Vessel density, Dbox | $0.227$ | 0.753 | 0.911 | 0.103 |
| Vessel complexity, D0 | $0.079$ | 0.034 | 0.093 | $-0.129$ |

*HR in beats per minute.

$P < 0.05$.
DISCUSSION

This study characterized microcirculation and the microvascular network in the bulbar conjunctiva in a relatively large population of healthy subjects and HCL wearers. The bulbar conjunctival vasculature is regarded as the terminal vascular bed of the human internal carotid artery. Studies of the conjunctival microvasculature have shown that systemic diseases (i.e., diabetes, stroke, and sickle cell retinopathy) affect the microcirculation of the conjunctival microvasculature.\(^2\)\(^{21-23}\) Studying changes in microstructure and microcirculation in healthy subjects can better explain changes caused by...
disease in the human conjunctival microvasculature and the factors of microvascular responses in HCL wearers. The results of the present study showed that bulbar conjunctival BFV declined with age, but neither the density nor complexity of the microvascular network changed.

Age was identified as a factor affecting conjunctival BFV in the present study. The observation of BFV during aging mirrors the findings in the retina. Burgansky-Eliash et al.24 reported a reduction in retinal venular flow velocity of 9.7% per decade above 40 years of age. Wei et al.25 reported a decrease of 3.5% per decade of age in retinal venular flow velocity. BFV and retinal vascular network density both decrease with aging.25 In contrast to the findings in the retina,25 the density of the bulbar conjunctival vasculature does not appear to change during aging, as found in the present study, possibly due to the terminal vessel bed and its location, which may be influenced by the external environment and ocular conditions in old age. For example, ocular dryness is a common condition in the elderly, which may cause the vessel density to increase slightly to offset the possible changes due to aging.26

Sex is another crucial factor in the vascular system of the human body. The difference in BFV in the bulbar conjunctiva...
found between females and males may be explained by the sex difference in the carotid artery, which supplies the conjunctival vascular system. A higher BFV in the carotid artery is reported in females compared with males. Although this difference in the carotid artery should be considered at clinically relevant thresholds for intervention, notable differences in conjunctival BFV may not be clinically significant. The BFV in females was approximately 0.5 mm/s, which was much lower than that of HCL wearers, and the difference between sexes appeared to be suppressed in response to CL wear. Characterization of the microvasculature and microcirculation in healthy subjects and factors that affect these measurements (i.e., age and sex) could serve as a foundation to better understand changes in response to CL wear. Cheung et al. reported that HCL wearers displayed microvascular abnormalities in morphology representing conjunctival vasculopathies. Elevated BFV and changes in microvascular network in HCL wearers have been documented previously, but no relationships between vascular responses and other recorded parameters in HCL wearers have been identified. E elevated BFV and hyperemia in the bulbar conjunctiva was also found in neophyte CL wearers, but BFV and hyperemia were not related to base curves of the lenses during 2-week daily wear. Compared with healthy subjects, the microcirculation was elevated in HCL wearers, but they were unrelated to CL years of wear, CL power, CL base curve, and CL replacement schedule. The relationship between BFV and CL hours of wear per day and CL days of wear per week was also identified, but the relationship was not strong, indicating that all CL wearers fall under a general category based on the perspective that a CL is a foreign object attached to the ocular surface that engages the vasculature to elicit a response, which has been regarded as possible subclinical chronic inflammation.

This subclinical chronic inflammation can be characterized as the middle ground between the basal state and infected or damaged states. This phenomenon is due to elevated immune activity, creating a steady state of alertness. It could be speculated that wearing a lens itself may be the predominant factor eliciting vascular responses, surpassing other factors such as CL power and CL base curve. In other words, wearing CLs triggers or modulates vascular responses, which are maintained at a low level in the middle ground between basal and extreme states (Figs. 5, 6). This may explain the elevated vascular response to CL wear alone rather than in combination with other affecting factors, except for CL hours of wear per day and CL days of wear per week in the present study. Although increased BFV and microvascular network density can be explained by an underlying inflammatory response, the relationship between BFV and inflammatory markers has not been established, warranting further studies.

As with most studies, our findings should be considered in the context of our study limitations. First, we did not study microvascular changes at the end of the day right before the CL wearers removed their lenses, which may have helped determine the possible ceiling point, and we did not evaluate these NCL wearers after a night of sleep. Second, we did not study recovery after the CL wearers stopped wearing their lenses for days or weeks. Third, we did not measure inflammatory mediators and ocular discomfort or explore the relationship among BFV inflammatory mediators and ocular discomfort; further studies are needed.

In summary, vascular responses on the bulbar conjunctiva occurred in HCL wearers and appeared to be unrelated to...
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demographic characteristics, CL years of wear, and CL parameters, indicating that wearing a CL itself may be the predominant factor inducing these responses.
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