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The effects of stress incontinence surgery on sexual function and life quality of women

Murat Tuncer, Fatih Tarhan, Alper Kafkasli, Kadir Demir, Ugur Yucetas, Gokhan Faydaci, Bilal Eryildirim, Kemal Sarica

Dr. Lutfi Kirdar Kartal Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey.

Summary  Objective: To evaluate Transobturator tape (TOT) and Burch colposuspension procedure’s effects on sexual functions and life quality. Materials and Methods: A total of 81 patients who underwent TOT (n = 49) or Burch (n = 32) with stress incontinence were included in this prospective study. Preoperatively and at postoperative 6 month follow up pad and stress tests, physical examinations, Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI), International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire - Short Form (ICIQ-SF), Urinary Distress Inventory (UDI-6), Incontinence Impact Questionnaire (IIQ-7) questionnaires were performed. Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I) questionnaire was added postoperatively. Results: According to stress test, success rate was found to be 69% and 43%, in the TOT and Burch groups respectively. Pad test decreased in both groups (p < 0.05). PGI-I scores was higher in the TOT group when compared to Burch group (p = 0.031). ICIQ-SF scores were improved in both TOT (p < 0.0001) and Burch groups (p < 0.012). IUDI-6 and IIQ-7 scores improved only in TOT group. Total FSFI scores did not change in both groups but only in TOT group sexual desire improved. Total FSFI scores did not change in patients that were successful and unsuccessful according to the stress test in both TOT and Burch groups (p < 0.05). Conclusions: TOT and Burch procedures have no effect on the sexual functions. However TOT improved life quality of patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Urinary incontinence was described by International Continence Society (ICS) as any involuntary leakage of urine (1). Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) and sexual dysfunction are problems with a high prevalence that negatively affect the quality of life. The prevalence of urinary incontinence was found to be 29% in a recent study and incontinence prevalence was indicated as 50% for stress urinary incontinence, 28% for urgency urinary incontinence and 22% for mixed urinary incontinence based on the type (2). Sexual dysfunction of women is described as sexual desire, sexual arousal, orgasm and/or sexual pain disorders that cause personal stress (3). Sexual dysfunction is seen around 25-71% among women of different age groups (4-6).

The dramatic negative effect of urinary incontinence on the sexual life of women was proven in performed studies. So that, this condition may lead women even to abandon sexual activity completely with a high incidence (7, 8). Mid-urethral sling procedures, are the most commonly used procedures in the surgical treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women, and they are actually preferred over traditional procedures such as Burch colposuspension (9, 10).

The initial studies performed to assess the effects of surgical procedures for SUI on the sexual function of women were retrospective studies in which small patient groups were evaluated and validated questionnaires were not used. Thereafter, many studies were performed in which validated questionnaires were used during preoperative and postoperative periods and sexually active women were included. In these studies, sexual functions were reported as improved, unchanged or worsened after SUI surgery (11-14).

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effects of Transobturator tape (TOT) and Burch colposuspension procedures performed for urodynamic stress urinary incontinence on the quality of life and sexual functions of women.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The patients were recruited from our outpatient clinic in a nonrandomized fashion between October 2011 and January 2014. Patients who had a diagnosis of SUI following urodynamic examination and candidates to be treated surgically were included into this prospective study program. Study protocol was approved by Dr. Lutfi Kirdar Kartal Training and Research Hospital’s Etic Committee. All the steps of the study were planned and applied carefully according to Helsinki Declaration.

Patients who underwent a previous pelvic organ prolapse or incontinence surgery, who were previously diagnosed with a sexual dysfunction, had hormone replacement therapy and use of drugs that may affect sexual function.

No conflict of interest declared.
such as antidepressants, antipsychotics, beta-blockers and who did not have a regular sexual life were all excluded from the study program.

From October 2011 to December 2012 all the patients underwent Burch colposuspension. Then from January 2013 to January 2014 TOT was performed in all the patients as a new procedure in our clinic. Thus, out of a total of 81 patients that were included in our study, 49 patients overall underwent TOT procedure (Group 1) and 32 underwent the Burch colposuspension (Group 2).

During the preoperative assessment, age, BMI, number of births and medical history of the patients were recorded. A detailed physical examination including 1-hour pad-test and stress test, Q-type test and pelvic organ prolapse assessments based on pelvic organ prolapse quantification (POP-Q) system (15) was performed.

All patients underwent filling cystometry and pressure flow study in accordance with the good urodynamic practice of International Continence Society (ICS) (16) and they all filled the validated version of International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire - Short Form (ICIQ-SF), Urinary Distress Inventory (UDI-6), Incontinence Impact Questionnaire (IIQ-7) and Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) preoperatively (17-19).

They were re-evaluated at 6 months postoperatively by ICIQ-SF, UDI-6, IIQ-7, FSFI questionnaires, stress test and pad-test. Vaginal examination was performed in order to assess the complications. In addition to these, Patient Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I) questionnaire was used to assess the efficiency of the surgical procedure subjectively (20). FSFI score > 22.7 was accepted as normal (4).

Data were shown as mean ± standard error. Prizm 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA) program was used during analysis and the data were assessed by paired t, Mann Whitney-U, Wilcoxon test and McNemar tests. P < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

### Results

The mean age of all evaluated patients was determined to be 49.76 ± 0.97 (32-68) years. There was no statistically significant difference between two groups in terms of demographic results such as age, number of births and BMI (body mass index). Demographic characteristics of the cases according to the groups were shown in Table 1. According to the stress test, success rate was found higher in TOT group compared to Burch group (69% vs 49%) (p = 0.417). In preoperative and postoperative pad tests of the patients, a significant decrease was detected in TOT group (p < 0.001) as well as Burch group (p = 0.0003). Postoperative PGI-I scores that evaluate subjective success was found better in TOT group when compared to Burch group (1.75 ± 0.20 and 0.79 ± 0.39, respectively (p = 0.031). ICIQ-SF scores were significantly decreased postoperatively in both TOT group (p < 0.0001) and Burch group (p = 0.012). The mean pad tests and ICIQ-SF scores of the patients were given in Table 2.

Improvement in UDI-6 ve IIQ-7 scores during postoperative period was only seen in TOT group. There was a deterioration in UDI-6 ve IIQ-7 scores in Burch group which was not statistically significant. The mean UDI-6

### Table 1.

Demographic characteristics of the patients according to the groups.

|          | TOT (n: 49) | Burch colposuspension (n: 32) | P     |
|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| Age (years) | 48.86 ± 1.14 (32-65) | 51.84 ± 1.77 | 0.132 |
| Parity (n) | 3.16 ± 0.21 (0-7) | 3.86 ± 0.44 (16) | 0.145 |
| BMI (Kg/m²) | 31.30 ± 0.92 (20-45.9) | 30.69 ± 0.99 | 0.748 |

TOT: Transobturator tape; BMI: Body Mass Index.

### Table 2.

The mean values of preoperative and postoperative pad tests, ICIQ-SF of the patients.

|          | TOT (n: 49) | Burch colposuspension (n: 32) | P     |
|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| ICIQ-SF  | 16.44 ± 0.80 | 5.81 ± 0.99 | < 0.0001* |
| Pad test | 21.91 ± 3.31 | 5.60 ± 1.47 | < 0.0001* |

ICIQ-SF: International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire - Short Form
PGI-I: Patient Global Impression of Improvement
* paired t test, ** Wilcoxon test, *** Mann-Whitney test.

### Table 3.

Preoperative and postoperative UDI-6 and IIQ-7 scores of the patients according to groups.

|          | TOT (n: 49) | Burch colposuspension (n: 32) | P     |
|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| UDI-6    | 9.28 ± 0.49 | 7.05 ± 1.49 | < 0.0001* |
| IIQ-7    | 10.92 ± 1.01 | 7.88 ± 0.95 | 0.755** |

UDI-6: Urinary Distress Inventory
IIQ-7: Incontinence Impact Questionnaire
* paired t test, ** Wilcoxon test.
Table 4.
Mean total FSFI and sub-area scores for mid-urethral sling and Burch colposuspension groups.

|                      | TOT (n: 49) | Burch colposuspension (n: 32) |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Preoperative Postoperative P | Preoperative Postoperative P |
| Desire               | 3.11 ± 0.17 | 3.65 ± 0.11 | 0.003* | 3.08 ± 0.21 | 3.08 ± 0.24 | 0.945** |
| Arousal              | 3.49 ± 0.17 | 3.70 ± 0.13 | 0.224* | 3.11 ± 0.27 | 3.04 ± 0.28 | 0.898** |
| Lubrication          | 5.30 ± 0.21 | 5.57 ± 0.14 | 0.268* | 4.73 ± 0.42 | 4.56 ± 0.47 | 0.791** |
| Orgasm               | 4.54 ± 0.18 | 4.61 ± 0.15 | 0.612* | 4.00 ± 0.36 | 3.95 ± 0.36 | 1.000** |
| Satisfaction         | 4.11 ± 0.19 | 4.00 ± 0.18 | 0.660* | 3.73 ± 0.33 | 3.53 ± 0.32 | 0.301** |
| Pain                 | 4.51 ± 0.21 | 4.80 ± 0.25 | 0.365* | 4.85 ± 0.36 | 4.66 ± 0.49 | 0.922** |
| Total FSFI           | 25.06 ± 0.83 | 26.37 ± 0.78 | 0.158* | 23.36 ± 1.53 | 24.33 ± 1.31 | 0.583** |

FSFI: Female Sexual Function Index, * paired t test, ** Wilcoxon test.

Table 5.
Mean FSFI scores of the patients in both groups according to stress test.

|                      | TOT (n: 49) | FSFI | Burch colposuspension (n: 32) |
|----------------------|-------------|------|-------------------------------|
| Preoperative Postoperative P | Preoperative Postoperative P |
| Stress test (+)      | 24.53 ± 1.16 | 26.52 ± 0.96 | 0.178 | 23.78 ± 3.84 | 22.04 ± 2.50 | 1.000 |
| Stress test (-)      | 25.89 ± 1.13 | 26.15 ± 1.36 | 0.842 | 26.23 ± 3.36 | 24.33 ± 2.43 | 0.688 |

* Wilcoxon test.

ve IQ-7 scores related to the quality of life were given in Table 3. In TOT group, no change was observed in total FSFI scores and the other sub-domains except an increase in the sexual desire (p = 0.03) in the postoperative period. In Burch group, there was no significant postoperative change in total FSFI scores and all sub-domains (p > 0.05). The preoperative and postoperative total and subdomain scores of FSFI of the patients were given in Table 4.

The patients were evaluated according to stress test as improved and nonimproved SUI and as a result no difference was detected in postoperative total FSFI scores between them both in TOT and Burch groups (p = 0.622 and p = 0.625, respectively). The changes in total FSFI scores of the patients according to the stress test were given in Table 5.

In TOT and Burch groups respectively in preoperative period 26.5% (13/49) and 31.2% (10/32) and in postoperative period 18.3% (9/49) and 28.1% (9/32) of the patients had sexual dysfunction. There was no significant change in number of patients with sexual dysfunction before and after the operation for both TOT and Burch groups (p = 0.683 and p = 0.617, respectively). When surgically successful patients of both groups were evaluated together, again the rate of sexual dysfunction did not have a significant change (p = 0.724).

Complications developed at a rate of 8.1% (4/49) in TOT group and 4.5% (1/32) in Burch group. These were mesh erosion in 1 patient, de novo urgency in 2 patients and temporary urinary retention in 1 patient in TOT group. In Burch group overall complication was de novo urgency only in 1 patient.

**DISCUSSION**

The main purpose of the medical and surgical treatments performed for the urinary incontinence is to provide an improvement in the quality of life by recovering incontinence and it is thought that an improvement will also be obtained in the sexual functions as a result of this. In our study, TOT was found more superior over Burch operation in providing SUI. Although there are some studies supporting the results of our study in the literature (21, 22), a study showed that Burch colposuspension surgery is more successful than TOT operation (23). On the other hand another meta-analysis found no difference between early and late period success rates between these two methods (24).

In a study comparing TVT and Burch colposuspension, no difference was found in terms of long-term efficiency and quality of life (25). It is difficult to make a comment since there are few comparative studies regarding quality of life. However, it was reported that among the patients who underwent TOT operation a significant improvement was seen in quality of life (26) and UDI-6 and IIQ-7 scores were significantly improved postoperatively (27). In our present study that compared TOT and Burch surgical techniques, quality of life improved after TOT surgery however but there was no improvement in Burch group.

The results of the limited number of comparative studies that assess the effect of stress incontinence surgeries on sexual functions are conflicting. In a prospective study by Caym et al. comparing the effects of Burch colposuspension and vaginal sling procedures on the sexual functions of women, more reduction was detected in FSFI
scores in Burch colposuspension group compared to vaginal sling group (21).

In a multicentric and prospective study by Filacamo et al. evaluating the effects of mid-urethral sling operations (transobturator procedure and retropubic procedure) on the sexual functions of women and including the patients with urodynamic stress urinary incontinence and sexually inactive patients, a significant increase was observed in total FSFI and sub-area scores of all patients. It was found that the number of sexually active patients improved during the postoperative period and it was suggested that there was no difference between both surgical procedures (28). Also, in the study by Demirkesen et al. comparing TVT and Burch colposuspension operations, it was observed that there was a greater but non-significant negative influence on sexual functions in TVT group (29).

In our study both types of stress incontinence surgery did not show a positive or negative effect on sexual functions. However, only in TOT group, a significant increase was observed in sexual desire following surgery. The reason may probably be related to the improvement in the coital incontinence in this group in which surgical success is high.

Considering all the mechanisms, it is thought that one of the factors that improves sexuality is probably the treatment of coital incontinence with mid-urethral sling (30), which was not evaluated in our study.

According to our knowledge in the previous studies, no analysis on sexual function was carried out in relation to the success of the surgical procedure. We compared FSFI scores of the patients according to the success of the surgical procedure using stress test in order to better understand the effect of the surgical procedure on sexual functions as distinct from the others. When we compare the sexual functions between surgically successful and unsuccessful groups, we found that both had no significant change in pre and postoperative FSFI scores in TOT and Burch groups.

Furthermore, no improvement occurred in sexual function following surgery among the ones with preoperative sexual dysfunction.

Regarding the limitations of our study, non-randomization of the patients could be accepted as a potential limitation but still the patient groups were identical. Another limitation was that the patients were not evaluated in terms of coital incontinence.

We believe that further prospective and randomized controlled studies including larger series of cases are certainly needed in order to evaluate the effects of SUI procedures according to surgical success on sexual function and quality of life.

**Conclusions**

According to our results, we can say that in TOT and Burch colposuspension procedures the sexual function of women was not affected by the type of surgical procedure and the success of the operation. However the surgical technique affected the quality of life in patients which was improved by TOT procedure when compared with Burch operation.

**References**

1. Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, et al. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: Report from the standardisation subcommittee of the International Continence Society. Neurourol Urodyn. 2002; 21:167-78.

2. Alawel W, Alharbi M. Urinary incontinence: prevalence, risk factors, and impact on health related quality of life in Saudi women. Neurourol Urodyn. 2012; 31:642-5.

3. Basson R, Berman J, Burnett A, et al. Report of the international consensus development on female sexual dysfunction: Definitions and classifications. J Urol. 2000; 163:888-93.

4. Çaylan S, Akbay E, Bozlu M, et al. The prevalence of female sexual dysfunction and potential risk factors that may impair sexual function in Turkish women. Urol Int. 2004; 72:52-57.

5. Laumann EO, Nicolosi A, Glasser DB, et al. GSSAB Investigators’ group. Sexual problems among women and men aged 40-80 y: prevalence and correlates identified in the Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviours. Int J Impot Res. 2005; 17:39-57.

6. Ponholzer A, Roehrich M, Racz U, et al. Female sexual dysfunction in a healthy Austrian cohort: prevalence and risk factors. Eur Urol. 2005; 47:366-375.

7. Morgan DM, Dunn RL, Stoffel JT, et al. Are persistent or recurrent symptoms of urinary incontinence after surgery associated with adverse effects on sexual activity or function? Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2008; 19: 509-15.

8. Cohen BL, Barboglio P, Gousse A. The impact of lower urinary tract symptoms and urinary incontinence on female sexual dysfunction using a validated instrument. J Sex Med. 2008; 5:1418-23.

9. De leval J. Novel surgical technique for treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: transobturator vaginal tape inside-out. Eur Urol. 2003; 44:724-30.

10. Novara G, Artibani W, Barber MD, et al. Updated systematic review and meta-analysis of the comparative data on colposuspensions, pubovaginal slings, mid urethral tapes in the surgical treatment of stress urinary incontinence. Eur Urol. 2010; 58:218-38.

11. Jha S, Radley S, Farkas A, Jones G. The impact of TVT on sexual function. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2009; 20:165-9.

12. Jha S, Moran P, Greenham H, Ford C. Sexual function following surgery for urodynamic stress incontinence. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2007; 18:845-50.

13. Pace G, Vicentini C. Female sexual function evaluation of the tension-free vaginal tape (TVT) and trans-obturator suburethral tape (TOT) incontinence surgery. Results of a prospective study. J Sex Med 2008; 5:387-93.

14. Murphy M, Van Raalte H, Mercurio E, et al. Incontinence-related quality of life and sexual function following the tension-free vaginal tape versus the “inside-out” tension-free vaginal tape obturator. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2008; 19:481-7.

15. Bump RC, Mattiasson A, Bo K, et al. The standardization of terminology of female pelvic organ prolapse and pelvic floor dysfunction. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1996; 175:10.

16. Schäfer W, Abrams P, Liao L, et al. International Continence Society. Good urodynamic practices: Uroflowmetry, filling cystometry, and pressure-flow studies. Neurourol Urodyn. 2002; 21:261-74.

17. Çetinel B, Özhan B, Can G. The validation study of ICIQ-SF turkish version. Turkish Journal Urology. 2004; 30:332-338.

18. Cam C, Sahilli M, Ay P, et al. Validation of the Short Forms of
the Incontinence Impact Questionnaire (IIQ-7) and the Urogenital Distress Inventory (UDI-6) in a Turkish Population. Neurourology and Urodynamics. 2007, 26:129-133.
19. Ohcaz E, Malhan S. Prevalence and risk factors for female sexual dysfunction in Turkish women. J Urol. 2006; 175:654-8.
20. Yalcìn I, Bump RC. Validation of two global impression questionnaires for incontinence. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003; 189:98-101.
21. Çayan F, Dilek S, Akbay E, Çayan S. Sexual function after surgery for stress urinary incontinence: vaginal sling versus Burch colposuspension. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2008; 277:31-36.
22. Bandarian M, Ghanbari Z, Asgari A. Comparison of transobturator tape (TOT) vs Burch method in treatment of stress urinary incontinence. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2011; 31:18-20.
23. Drahovzal P, Martan A, Svahik K, et al. Longitudinal trends with improvement in quality of life after TVT, TVT-O and Burch colposuspension procedures. Med Sci Monit. 2011; 17:CR67-72.
24. Lapitan MC, Cody JD. Open retropubic colposuspension for urinary incontinence in women. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012; 13:6.
25. Ward KL, Hilton P. UK and Ireland TVT Trial Group. Tension-free vaginal tape versus colposuspension for primary urodynamic stress incontinence: 5-year follow up. BJOG. 2008; 115:226-33.
26. Shaker HS, Ban HM, Hegazy AS, Mansour MF. Functional and quality of life outcome of transobturator tape for treatment of female stress urinary. Int Urogynecol J. 2011; 22:99-103.
27. Liang CC, Tseng LH, Lo TS, et al. Sexual function following outside-in transobturator midurethral sling procedures: a prospective study. Int Urogynecol J. 2012; 23:1693-8.
28. Filocamo MT, Serati M, Frumenzio E, et al. The Impact of Mid-Urethral Slings for the Treatment of Urodynamic Stress Incontinence on Female Sexual Function: A Multi-center Prospective Study. J Sex Med. 2011; 8:2002-8.
29. Derinhusen O, Oral B, Tunc B, Alici B, Cetinele B. Does Vaginal Anti-Incontinence Surgery Affect Sexual Satisfaction? A Comparison of TVT and Burch-Colposuspension. Int Braz J Urol. 2008; 34:214-219.
30. Serati M, Salvatore S, Vuccella S, et al. Female urinary incontinence during intercourse: A review on an understudied problem for women’s sexuality. J Sex Med. 2009; 6:40-8.

Correspondence
Murat Tunçer, MD (Corresponding Author)
murat.tuncer77@hotmail.com
Fatih Tarhan, MD
tarhanf@yahoo.com
Alper Kaftaş, MD
alpakaftash@hotmail.com
Kadir Demir, MD
kadirdre@gmail.com
Uğur Yucetas, MD
dryucetas@yahoo.com
Gölbahar Faydaci, MD
faydaci@gmail.com
Bilal Eyıldırım, MD
bileyildirim@yahoo.com
Kemal Sarıca, MD
saricaemail@gmail.com
Dr. Lütfi Kirdar Kartal Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

110 Archivio Italiano di Urologia e Andrologia 2016; 88, 2