Gastric inverted hyperplastic polyp: A case report

QIANG MA, LIXIANG GAO, NAIYING SUN, YING CHEN, LI LIU, LIMIN LIU, WENJUN GUO and XINGJIE YANG

Department of Pathology, Sunshine Union Hospital, Weifang, Shandong 261000, P.R. China

Received September 2, 2022; Accepted October 19, 2022

DOI: 10.3892/etm.2022.11705

Abstract. Inverted hyperplastic polyp (IHP) is a rare disease characterized by the downward growth of proliferative mucosal components into the submucosal layer. It is often misdiagnosed as other submucosal tumors and accurate diagnosis requires pathological examination. Most patients with IHP have no clinical symptoms and some have non-specific symptoms, such as abdominal distension, abdominal pain and even anemia. IHP is treated via endoscopic mucosal resection or endoscopic sub-mucosal section. The present study reported a case of IHP and discussed its clinical manifestations, clinicopathological diagnosis, differential diagnosis and treatment to improve our understanding of the disease.

Introduction

Inverted hyperplastic polyp (IHP) was first reported by Kamata et al (1). This disease is very rare and is characterized by the downward growth of hyperplastic mucosa into the submucosa, forming an inverted histological morphology that presents an overall vase-like appearance under low magnification, whereas hyperplastic polyps generally grow to the mucosal surface and into the gastric cavity (2). The polyp consists of foveolar-type cells, pyloric gland-like cells, gastric gland cells and smooth muscle cells (3,4) and a few cases have been accompanied by canceration (1). IHP was considered heterotopic or hamartomatous until the 1990s and various terms have been coined for the lesions, including ‘solitary polypoid hamartoma,’ ‘unusual heterotopia of pyloric glands,’ and ‘submucosal unusual polyps’ (5). IHP is often misdiagnosed as other tumor types and correct diagnosis usually requires pathological assessment. The present study reported a case of IHP diagnosed on admission due to abdominal pain and treated by endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR).

Case report

Case presentation. A 75-year-old man presented with abdominal pain and constipation, which he had experienced for one and a half years. The patient originally developed abdominal distension and pain (upper abdomen dominant) without obvious reasons, accompanied by defecation once every 3-4 days, laborious defecation and dry stool. The patient took trimethobenz and probiotics after symptom onset to help with defecation, but the therapeutic effect was not significant. The month before he presented for care, he experienced unexplained diarrhea followed by alternating episodes of diarrhea and constipation. The patient denied having other illnesses. Laboratory examination and routine blood and fecal tests were normal and showed no *Helicobacter pylori* infection.

Endoscopy showed a 15-mm submucosal eminence with a smooth surface in the greater curvature of the stomach. After normal saline (with epinephrine and indigo carmine) was injected into the base to lift the tumor, the tumor was completely excised with a high-frequency electrical snare and the wound surface was clamped with metal for hemostasis (Figs. 1 and 2). Based on that results of the endoscopy, neuroendocrine tumors, heterotopic pancreatic and gastrointestinal stromal tumors were considered and the final diagnosis awaited pathological examination. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Sunshine Union Hospital (approval no. 2022-11-0028).

Pathological findings

Macro-examination. A gray mass measuring 1.5x1.4x1 cm was excised. The tissue was fixed with 4% neutral formalin at room temperature for 48 h, dehydrated with alcohol and xylene, embedded in paraffin at 62˚C and then cooled. The 4-µm serial sections were prepared and then stained with hematoxylin for 5 min and eosin for 2 min at room temperature.

Microscopic observation. Histopathological examination showed that the fundic gland and gastric pit of the inherent gastric mucosa were normal in shape, with mild atrophy but no enterolization. Submucosal ‘inverted’ glands were seen as nodular, lobulated hyperplasia with thin, smooth muscle bundles encircling the ‘nodules’ or ‘lobules’ or surrounding a single gland. Some glands were similar in size to the normal intrinsic glands and some were saccular-dilated with visible eosinophilic secretions in the glandular cavity. Similar to the chief cells, the cells of the normal-sized glands were located in the basement and had a short columnar shape, round nuclei and basophilic
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cytoplasm. The cells of the dilated glands were similar to the surface mucous cells of the gastric pit as they were located in the base with a high columnar shape, oval nuclei and pale, stained cytoplasm that could be flattened due to the extrusion of secretions. None of the above cells had obvious atypia or mitotic figures. Scattered infiltration of lymphocytes or formation of lymphoid follicles were seen in the interstitium (Figs. 3 and 4). Immunohistochemical staining were incubated with primary antibodies mucin-5AC (MUC-5AC; working solution; cat. no. MAB-0079; Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology Development Co., Ltd.), mucin-6 (MUC-6; working solution; cat. no. ZM-0396; OriGene Technologies, Inc.), smooth muscle actin (SMA; working solution; cat. no. ZM-0003; OriGene Technologies, Inc.), Desmin (working solution, cat. no. Kit-0023; Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology Development Co., Ltd.), CD10 (working solution, cat. no. MAB-068; Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology Development Co., Ltd.), caudal-related homeobox transcription factor 2 (CDX-2; working solution; cat. no. MAB-0216; Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology Development Co., Ltd.), mucus-2 (MUC-2; working solution; cat. no. MAB-0075; Fuzhou Maixin Biotechnology Development Co., Ltd.) and Ki-67 (working solution, cat. no. ZM-0166; OriGene Technologies, Inc.) overnight at 4°C from EnVision Systems (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) showed diffuse strong positive expression of MUC-5AC and MUC-6 in the cytoplasm of the polyp glands (Figs. 5 and 6) and positive expression of SMA and Desmin in the muscular layer of mucosa and the smooth muscle bundle of interstitial tissue of the polyps (Fig. 7). The staining further showed negative expression of CD10, CDX-2 and MUC-2 and the Ki-67 proliferating index was less than 2% of the glands in the polyps.

**Pathological diagnosis.** The patient was diagnosed with (greater curvature of stomach) IHP.

**Treatment and follow-up.** The tumor was completely removed by EMR and postoperative recovery was good. There was no recurrence during the 6-week follow-up.

**Discussion**

Gastric hyperplastic polyps usually present with multiple types of benign lesions above the gastric mucosa; inverted growth of polyps into the gastric mucosa is rare. Gastric IHP is characterized by inverted growth of hyperplastic mucosa under normal mucosa and it has been reported that IHP can be pedicled (5). Kim et al. (6) classified gastric inverted polyps into three types according to the characteristics of the lesion's connection with mucosal surfaces, smooth muscle boundaries and tissues. Type 1 is characterized by a central mucosal communicating...
structure and clear smooth muscle borders and has a typical shape of a round vase under low magnification. Half of type 1 may be accompanied by simultaneous cancer transformation. Type 2 is similar to type 1 but has no central communicating structure. Type 3 is mainly histologically characterized by lobular tissue composed of cystic or hyperplastic glands and smooth muscle formation, without a mucosal communicating structure or smooth muscle boundary. According to this classification method, the present case can be classified as type 1 IHP. Kono et al. (7) showed that IHP could be found in individuals of any age, with a mean age of 55.6 years, and without significant gender difference. They further showed that IHP can occur at the fundus of the stomach, body of the stomach and gastric antrum with a maximum diameter of 11 cm. The pathogenesis of IHP remains unclear at present. It may be caused by i) congenital malformations of gastrointestinal submucosal glands developed on the basis of embryo remnants or ii) repeated acquired inflammation and ulcer cause laceration of the muscularis mucosae and invasion of mucosal epithelial cells into the submucosal layer (2,7). As the first does not explain why IHP is more common in older adults, the second explanation is more compelling (2,8). In combination with the above, the occurrence of IHP in our patient may be related to gastric injury caused by prolonged gastric...
discomfort. IHP is reportedly accompanied by canceration, so it is of great significance to make a clear diagnosis of IHP.

IHP has no specific symptoms; abdominal discomfort is most common and some patients may experience anemia. If IHP occurs in the intestine, it may present symptoms of intestinal obstruction. When epigastric discomfort occurs, clinicians may first consider gastric ulcer, duodenal ulcer, or even malignant diseases, such as gastric cancer or liver cancer; few will consider IHP. At this time, gastrointestinal endoscopy provides an effective method of differential diagnosis. Under the endoscope, IHP is often misdiagnosed as other submucosal lesions. Diagnosis usually relies on histopathology distinguishing it from other diseases, such as gastritis cystica profunda (GCP), gastrointestinal stromal tumors, neuroendocrine tumors and ectopic pancreas. It is most difficult to distinguish IHP from GCP as the development processes of IHP and GCP are currently considered to be similar and GCP may be a precursor lesion of IHP (2). IHP and GCP are especially difficult to differentiate if the lesion has submucosal glandular ectopic sites (9). IHP often presents with a vase-like shape and ‘opened’ on the mucosal surface, with edge tissues turning inward and an increased number of internal glands, which are relatively densely arranged and irregular in shape. GCP often occurs at the anastomotic site after gastric surgery, with a single gland, small number and relatively loose arrangement (2).

Most IHP are benign lesions, but because IHP can become cancerous and the location of the canceration may be deep or shallow (8), biopsy cannot rule out the possibility of malignant change. Moreover, biopsy often faces incomplete pathological sampling of the remaining masses, thus reducing the diagnostic accuracy. Complete endoscopic excision should be performed, regardless of whether the mass is benign or malignant, to reduce the risk of surgical metastasis of the mass as well as the physical and financial burden on the patient. The currently recommended surgical methods are endoscopic sub-mucosal section and EMR and the former is preferred for patients with tumors >2 cm (4). When combined malignant transformation is found, it is important to examine the depth of infiltration of malignant components, vascular invasion and the incised edge to determine whether additional surgery is required. Regular follow-up examinations should be performed postoperatively to prevent recurrence.

In summary, IHP is a rare sub mucosal lesion with unique pathological features. As it can become cancerous, its misdiagnosis should be vigilantly avoided. Endoscopic treatment is preferred, including complete resection of the tumor and close follow-up to prevent recurrence.
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