Split and overlapped binary solitons in optical lattices
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We analyze the energetic and dynamical properties of bright-bright (BB) soliton pairs in a binary mixture of Bose-Einstein condensates subjected to the action of a combined optical lattice, acting as an external potential for the first species, while modulating the intraspecies coupling constant of the second. In particular, we use a variational approach and direct numerical integrations to investigate the existence and stability of BB solitons in which the two species are either spatially separated (split soliton) or located at the same optical lattice site (overlapped soliton). The dependence of these solitons on the interspecies interaction parameter is explicitly investigated. For repulsive interspecies interaction we show the existence of a series of critical values at which transitions from an initially overlapped soliton to split solitons occur. For attractive interspecies interaction only single direct transitions from split to overlapped BB solitons are found. The possibility to use split solitons for indirect measurements of scattering lengths is also suggested.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) are fascinating tools for simulating different physical systems. Advanced laser technology and its successful applications to ultracold atoms have enabled us to engineer potentials of different geometries. A well-established technique consists in creating a linear optical lattice (LOL) by interfering pairs of counter propagating laser beams [11]. On the other hand, laser beams can also be used to vary atomic interaction periodically in space with the help of optical Feshbach resonances [2]. Periodically modulated atomic interaction leads to a nonlinear optical lattice (NOL). The LOL has been used to investigate different physical phenomena in condensed matter physics, including Bloch oscillations [3, 4], generation of coherent atomic pulses (atom laser) [5], dynamical localization [6, 7], Landau-Zener tunneling [8, 10] and superfluid-Mott transitions [11].

Interatomic interaction in BECs gives rise to a nonlinearity which permits localized bound states to remain stable for a long time, due to the balance between the effects of nonlinearity and dispersion. In the presence of a LOL, the interplay between lattice periodicity and interatomic interaction was shown to induce modulation instabilities of Bloch wavefunctions near the edge bands [12], leading to the formation of localized excitations with chemical potentials inside band gaps, the so-called gap solitons (GSs). These excitations have been investigated both for continuous BECs, in one-dimensional [13–17] and multi-dimensional [18, 20] settings, and for BEC arrays [21, 22] in the presence of attractive and repulsive interactions. NOL can also support special kinds of solitons both in 1D [23] and in multi-dimensional settings in combination with LOL [24, 25]. NOLs have been used to avoid dynamical instabilities of gap-solitons and to induce long-lived Bloch oscillations [26], Rabi oscillations [27] and dynamical localization [28] in the nonlinear regime. For comprehensive reviews on single-component BECs in linear and/or nonlinear optical lattices see [23, 29, 31].

On the other hand, the analysis of the physical properties of binary mixtures of condensates still displays open issues, and represents an interesting research topic [32–35]. In the past years some work has been done on the stability and dynamics of binary BEC mixtures with both components loaded in LOLs [39] or in NOLs [40] or combinations thereof [11, 44].

However, BEC mixtures with one component loaded in a LOL and the other loaded in a NOL have not been investigated, to the best of our knowledge. This setting is particularly interesting because it may support new types of matter waves, due to the interplay between the different types of OL and the intrinsic nonlinearities. In particular, in absence of any interaction (e.g. with all scattering lengths tuned to zero), the spectrum of the component in the LOL displays a band structure, while that of the other component has free-particle features. It is known that for attractive intraspecies interactions, uncoupled mixtures will feature localized states. In this situation one can expect that a rich variety of bound states can be formed once the interspecies interaction is switched on.

The aim of the present paper is to study localized matter waves of binary BEC mixtures with one component loaded in a LOL and the other in a NOL. In particular, we concentrate on localized states which have chemical potentials of both components in the lower semiinfinite part of the spectrum. We call these states bright-bright (BB) solitons, or also “fundamental” solitons, because when intraspecies scattering lengths are both negatives (the case investigated in this paper) they coincide with the ground
state of the system. We show that BB solitons can be
classified according to the distance between the lattice
sites where centers of their components densities are
located. Denoting these distances by \( nL \), \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \),
with \( L \) the spatial period of the lattices (assumed to be
the same for both LOL and NOL), the \( n = 0 \) and \( n \neq 0 \)
families are referred to as overlapped and split BB soli-
tons, respectively. The existence and stability of these
solitons are investigated both by a variational approach
(VA) for the mean-field two-component Gross-Pitaevskii
equation (GPE), and by direct numerical integrations of
the system. In particular, the dependence of the exis-
tence ranges of BB soliton pairs on the interspecies inter-
action parameter, \( \gamma_{12} \), is investigated. As an interesting
result, we find that one can pass from one soliton family
to another by simply changing the strength of the inter-
species interaction. In particular, starting from an over-
layered \((n = 0)\) BB soliton one finds a series of repulsive
values of \( \gamma_{12} \) at which the transition from the \( n \)
to the \( n + 1 \)-split BB soliton occurs as \( \gamma_{12} \) is adiabatically
increased away from the uncoupling limit \( (\gamma_{12} = 0) \). On
the contrary, for attractive interspecies interaction only
direct transition from split to overlapped BB solitons are
possible. Since critical values at which transitions oc-
cur depend on physical parameters of the mixture, these
phenomena suggest that split BB solitons could be used
for indirect measurements of scattering lengths in real
experiments.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we in-
trude the mean field equations for the coupled system
and envisage a variational study for stationary localized
states. We examine the linear stability of these states
for attractive and repulsive intercomponent interaction.
In Section III, we introduce a time-dependent variational
approach, with Gaussian trial solutions, to study differ-
ent classes of BB soliton pairs. The stability of split and
overlapped families of soliton pairs is checked by numeri-
cal integration of the mean-field equations. In Section IV,
a numerical routine is employed to understand the role
of interspecies interaction in the splitting mechanism, for
both attraction and repulsion between different species.
Finally, in Section V we make concluding remarks.

II. ANALYTICAL FORMULATION

Throughout this paper, we shall consider a quasi-one-
dimensional binary mixture of BECs, in which the trans-
verse motion is frozen into the ground state of a tight
transverse trapping potential, with trapping frequency
\( \omega_{\perp} \). The mean-field dynamics of a mixture in which the
two species’ particles have equal mass \( m \) is modeled by the
coupled GPEs \[ \text{[45]} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial \tau} &= \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} + V_j(s) + 2a_j(s)\hbar \omega_{\perp} |\psi_j|^2 \\
&\quad + 2a_{12}\hbar \omega_{\perp} |\psi_{3-j}|^2 \right) \psi_j,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( j = 1, 2 \) is the species index, \( V_j \)'s are the exter-
nal trapping potentials, \( a_j \)'s the intraspecies scattering
lengths (which generally depend on position) and \( a_{12} \)
the interspecies scattering length. The wave functions
are normalized to the numbers of particles

\[
N_j = \int ds |\psi_j|^2.
\]

Since our system is subject to an external potential
proportional to \( \cos(2k_1 s) \) generated by two counterpropa-
gating laser beams, the inverse wavenumber \( k_1^{-1} \) and
the recoil energy \( E_r = (\hbar k_1)^2/2m \) provide natural units
for length, energy and time \[ 29 \]. To simplify the notation,
we introduce the adimensional quantities

\[
\begin{align*}
x &:= k_1 s, \quad t := \frac{2E_r}{\hbar} r, \\
V_j &:= \frac{V_j}{E_r}, \quad \psi_j := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_{\perp}}{2E_r k_1}} \psi_j, \\
N_j &:= \frac{\hbar \omega_{\perp}}{2E_r} N_j, \quad \gamma_j := 2a_j k_1, \quad \gamma_{12} := 2a_{12} k_1,
\end{align*}
\]

yielding the GPEs

\[
\frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial t} = \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_j(x) + \gamma_j(x) |\psi_j|^2 + \gamma_{12} |\psi_{3-j}|^2 \right) \psi_j,
\]

and the constraint

\[
\int dx |\psi_j(x)|^2 = N_j.
\]

(Note how the variables \( N_j \), which will be used through-
out this article, coincide with the actual numbers of par-
icles \( N_j \) only up to a factor.) In the physical case of
interest, only the first species is subject to an external
lattice potential:

\[
V_1(x) = V_{01} \cos(2x), \quad V_2(x) = 0.
\]

The loose longitudinal harmonic trapping will be ne-
glected, since we will focus on states that are localized
over a few lattice sites. As for the interspecies coupling
constants, we shall assume that the interaction of the
second-species particles depends on the lattice modula-
tion:

\[
\gamma_1(x) = \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_2(x) = \gamma_2 + V_{02} \cos(2x).
\]

To allow the existence of bright solitons, which are sta-
tionary states with both species localized, the average
coupling constants \( \gamma_j \) are assumed to be negative (so that
\( |\gamma_2| > |V_{02}| \)). Matter-wave bright solitons have also been
observed experimentally in trapped systems \[ 32 \]. Since
the presence of the linear and nonlinear lattice poten-
tials in \[ 4 \] is an obstruction to finding exact bright soli-
ton solutions, our study will be based on a reasonable
variational approach, with a subsequent numerical test.
A. Stationary solutions: overlapped solitons

We are interested in the stationary solutions of the coupled GPEs (14). The form \( \psi_j(x,t) = \phi_j(x)e^{-i\mu_j t} \) yields the stationary GPEs

\[
\left( -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_j(x) - \mu_j + \gamma_j(x)|\phi_j|^2 + \gamma_{12}|\phi_{3-j}|^2 \right)\phi_j = 0,
\]

where the external potentials and coupling constants are given, respectively, by (10) and (11). Each stationary state is characterized by the chemical potentials \((\mu_1, \mu_2)\), which are fixed by the normalization conditions.

Let us assume that the intraspecies interactions are attractive \((\gamma_j < 0)\). Moreover, we shall focus on the case \( V_{01}, V_{02} < 0 \); in this situation, due to the (linear and nonlinear) trapping mechanisms, density profiles peaked around the points where \( \cos(2x) = 1 \) are energetically favorable for both species. In order to investigate the features of BB soliton pairs, we choose a Gaussian trial solution

\[
\phi_j(x) = A_j \exp \left[ -x^2/2a_j^2 \right].
\]

Since the amplitudes \( A_j \) and the widths \( a_j \) are bound by the normalization conditions

\[
N_j = \int dx |\phi_j(x)|^2 = \sqrt{\pi}a_j^2 A_j^2,
\]

the functions (9) have only one free parameter. Moreover, this class of trial solutions fits overlapped BB solitons, with the peak of their densities sitting at the same position, say, at \( x = 0 \). Since, due to attractive interspecies interactions, the superposition of densities lowers the energy of the system, we expect the most energetically favorable soliton pair to be overlapped.

At fixed numbers of particles, the Gross-Pitaevskii energy functional for \( \phi_j \) in the class (9) can be viewed as a function of the soliton width:

\[
E = \int dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1,2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_j(x)|\phi_j|^4 \right] + \gamma_{12} |\phi_1|^2 |\phi_2|^2 + V_1(x)|\phi_1|^2 \]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \left( \sqrt{\pi} a_1 + \sqrt{\pi} a_2 \right)^2 + \sqrt{8\pi} V_{01} N_1 e^{-a_1^2}
\]

\[
+ \frac{V_{02} a_1^2 e^{-a_1^2}}{a_2} + \frac{\gamma_1 a_1^2}{a_1} + \frac{\gamma_2 a_2^2}{a_2} + \frac{\gamma_{12} N_1 N_2}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}}.
\]

The optimal width values \((\bar{a}_1(N_1, N_2), \bar{a}_2(N_1, N_2))\) are determined by

\[
\left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial a_j} \right|_{a_j = \bar{a}_j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2,
\]

and fix the trial ground state. The corresponding energy will be denoted by

\[
E_{\text{min}}(N_1, N_2) = E|_{a_j = \bar{a}_j(N_1, N_2)}.
\]

The chemical potentials \( \mu_j = \partial E_{\text{min}}/\partial N_j \) can be used to test the linear stability of the ground state solution through the Vakhitov-Kolokolov criterion [46].

Relevant properties of the overlapped solitons can be inferred from the energy functional in Eq. (11) and the chemical potential. If one keeps constant the total number of atoms \( N = N_1 + N_2 \), the change in the energy \( E_{\text{min}}(N_1, N_2) \) of the trial ground state with \( N_1 \) (or equivalently \( N_2 \)) can be analyzed. Let us fix for definiteness \( \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = -1, \gamma_{12} = -0.5, V_{01} = -0.5, V_{02} = -0.25 \). Throughout the paper, numbers \( N_j \) of order one will be extensively used: recall that they are related to the actual numbers of atoms \( N_j \) by the factor \( 2E_r/\hbar \omega_z \) thru Eq. (5).
Gaussian Ansatz becomes less accurate in the repulsive case deviations of the VA and numerical curves are larger. This discrepancy is due to the fact that the VA profiles for the two components. Despite the discrepancy in the location of the intersection point, equality of profiles is well confirmed by numerical GPE results.

III. SPLIT BB SOLITONS AND DYNAMICAL PROPERTIES

In the previous section, the choice of Gaussian trial wave functions aimed at studying overlapped BB soliton configurations, with the peaks of the two density profiles coinciding at $x = 0$. Due to attractive interspecies interaction, this configuration is expected to be the lowest-energy BB soliton pair. It is possible however to extend the analysis to split BB solitons, in which the centers of mass of the two species do not coincide.

Let us initially consider the uncoupled limit $\gamma_{12} = 0$. In the case $V_{01} < 0$ and $V_{02} < 0$, one expects an infinite set of degenerate energy-minimizing BB solitons, since the centers of mass of each species can be located at any point $x_{0j}$ such that $\cos(2x_{0j}) = 0$, regardless of the other species’ density profile. These minimizing configurations can be classified in families

$$BB_n(\gamma_{12} = 0), \quad \text{with } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

according to the absolute distance between the centers of mass:

$$\Delta x := |x_{02} - x_{01}| \in \left(\left(\frac{n - 1}{2}\right)\pi, \left(\frac{n + 1}{2}\right)\pi\right).$$

Clearly, the energy of the BB soliton configurations is the same for all families, $E_n(\gamma_{12} = 0) = E_0$. When the effect of the interspecies coupling $\gamma_{12}$ can be treated as a small perturbation, one expects the existence of stationary Gross-Pitaevskii solutions close to the ones at $\gamma_{12} = 0$, which can still be classified in families $BB_n(\gamma_{12})$ according to the criterion. However, if $\gamma_{12} < 0$, the interspecies attraction will break the energetic degeneracy in favor of the overlapped configuration $BB_0$. Thus, the split solitons in $BB_{n > 0}(\gamma_{12})$ become metastable. While configurations with a very large distance between the two species are almost unaffected by interspecies interactions, larger values of $|\gamma_{12}|$ weaken the (local) stability of solitons with small $n$, since attractive interactions can give a sufficient amount of energy to overcome the (linear or nonlinear) potential barrier and reduce the distance between the centers of mass. Thus, one expects a critical
value $\gamma^{(n)}_{cr}$, such that for $\gamma_{12} < \gamma^{(n)}_{cr}$ metastable solutions in $BB_n$ would no longer exist.

In the following, we will numerically analyze the existence of split BB soliton pairs, as well as their energetic and dynamical behavior. To this end, we shall generalize our Ansatz to include the positions of the component density centers as free parameters. We will also consider time-dependent parameters to investigate the dynamics of the system. The Gross-Pitaevskii equations \[18\] can be restated as a variational problem \[19\]

$$\delta \int L \left( \psi_j, \psi^*_j, \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \psi^*_j}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial \psi^*_j}{\partial t} \right) \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad (16)$$

where the Lagrangian density reads

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left[ i \left( \psi_j \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial t} - \psi_j \frac{\partial \psi^*_j}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial x^2} - \gamma_j |\psi_j|^4 \right]$$

$$- V_{01} \cos(2x) |\psi_1|^2 - \frac{V_{02}}{2} \cos(2x) |\psi_2|^4$$

$$- \gamma_{12} |\psi_1|^2 |\psi_2|^2. \quad (17)$$

We generalize the Gaussian Ansatz \[4\] to

$$\psi_j(x,t) = A_j \exp \left[ i \frac{dx_{0j}}{dt} (x - x_{0j}) + i \phi_j (x - x_{0j})^2 + i \theta_j \right]$$

$$\times \exp \left[ - \frac{(x - x_{0j})^2}{2a_j^2} \right], \quad (18)$$

where the variational parameters $(A_j, a_j, x_{0j}, \phi_j, \theta_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ are generally time-dependent and represent, respectively, the amplitude, width, center-of-mass position, frequency chirp and overall phase of a soliton in the $j$-th component. The Lagrangian $L$ for the trial wave functions \[18\], obtained by integrating the Lagrangian density in \[17\], reads

$$L = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L \, dx$$

$$= \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{A_j^2}{a_j} + \sqrt{2} \gamma_j a_j A_j^4 + 4a_j^3 \phi_j^2 \right]$$

$$- 2a_j A_j^2 \left( \frac{dx_{0j}}{dt} \right)^2 + 4a_j A_j^2 \frac{d\phi_j}{dt} + 2a_j^3 A_j^2 \frac{d\theta_j}{dt}$$

$$+ 4V_{01} e^{-a_1^2} A_1^2 \cos(2x_{01})$$

$$+ \sqrt{2} V_{02} e^{-\frac{1}{a_2^2}} a_2^2 A_2^4 \cos(2x_{02})$$

$$+ 4\gamma_{12} e^{-\frac{(x_1 - x_{01})^2}{a_1^2 + a_2^2}} A_1^2 A_2^2 \frac{\phi_j^2}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}}. \quad (19)$$

Note the dependence of the interaction term on $\Delta x$, defined in \[15\].

The functional derivatives of $L$ with respect to the variational parameters yield a set of Euler-Lagrange equations. After appropriate manipulation, we can obtain a
The problem of finding stationary solutions within the effective potential. The equations \[\text{(24)}\] can also be linearized around the stable equilibrium points for \(\Pi\), to obtain information on small center-of-mass and width oscillations. On the other hand, due to the (still restrictive) form of the trial wave functions, the far-from-equilibrium dynamics of Eqs. \[\text{(24)}\] cannot be considered physically relevant (see the discussion below.) In Table \[\text{4}\] we show some illustrative results of the optimal parameters \(\Delta \gamma, a_1\) and \(a_2\) for solitons in the classes \(BB_n\) with \(n = 0, 1, 2\). The numbers of particles are fixed to \(N_1 = N_2 = 1\). At \(\gamma_{12} = 0\), the three minima are degenerate. At \(\gamma_{12} = -0.25\), the split solitons in the family \(BB_2\) are locally stable [see Eq. \[\text{(14)}\]], while the global minimum is, as expected, the overlapped configuration. Instead, no local minimum can be found in the family \(BB_1\), indicating that \(\gamma_{c(1)}^{(1)} > -0.25\).

A more systematic picture of the existence and behavior of solitons for small \(n\) with varying \(\gamma_{12}\) is given in Fig. \[\text{4}\] a numerical minimization procedure is used to find stable configurations with their centers of mass close to some initial points \((x_{01}, x_{02})\). A minimum with centers of mass around \((x_{01}, x_{02}) = (0, 0)\) can be found for all negative \(\gamma_{12}\) (top panels). On the other hand, the search of minima whose centers of mass are close to \((\tilde{x}_{01}, \tilde{x}_{02}) = (\pi, 0)\) and \((x_{01}, x_{02}) = (-\pi, \pi)\) yields discontinuous behaviors in the optimal parameters (central and bottom panels). The discontinuities are present because solitons in \(BB_1\) (respectively \(BB_2\)) exist only for \(\gamma_{12} > \gamma_{c(1)}\) (respectively \(\gamma_{12} > \gamma_{c(2)}\)), while for more negative values the algorithm actually finds the global minimum belonging to \(BB_0\). It is also worth noticing that in Fig. \[\text{4}\] the optimal widths \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) of the overlapped solitons decrease with \(|\gamma_{12}|\). In the case of split solitons, the amplitudes remain almost constant, with a slight increase (more evident in \(a_2\) with \(|\gamma_{12}|\)), due to the attraction exerted between densities.

These findings are corroborated by the behavior of the center-of-mass positions displayed in Fig. \[\text{5}\] where the displacement of the center of mass of the second species with increasing interspecies interaction is observed. The situation is the same as that depicted in Fig. \[\text{3}\] bottom panels. In the left panel of Fig. \[\text{5}\] the jump of \(x_{02}\) as \(|\gamma_{12}|\) is decreased signals the disappearance of the local energy minimum. The value of the local minimum of the effective potential energy \[\text{(22)}\] is shown in the right panel.

In order to check the existence and stability of BB soliton pairs as approximate solutions of the GPEs, we employ a numerical simulation of the dynamics generated by \[\text{(18)}\]. First, we have checked the stationarity of overlapped soliton pairs, localized around \(x = 0\). It is possible to verify, for different values of \(\gamma_{12}\), that the soliton pair determined by the minimization procedure is stationary within very good approximation. In Fig. \[\text{6}\] the time evolution of the overlapped solitons is represented for \(\gamma_{12} = -0.25\) (left) and \(\gamma_{12} = -1\) (right). Then, we have tested the behavior of split soliton pairs in \(BB_2\) in different regimes. In the case \(\gamma_{12} = -0.25\), which is larger than the critical value \(\gamma_{c(2)^*} \approx -0.4\), the split con...
parameters are fixed as \( BB \) all the time of the simulation (left panel of Fig. 7). When preserves the qualitative features of the initial state for configuration evolves in time with slight distortions, but it instability of the soliton pair.

In the previous section we observed that split BB solitons can become unstable at some negative critical values of the interspecies scattering length. We shall now investigate these critical values in more detail by direct numerical integration of the GPEs, both for attractive and repulsive interatomic interactions.

Let us first discuss the repulsive case. We can consider as initial state an overlapped BB soliton, centered at \( x = 0 \), with no interspecies coupling \( (\gamma_{12} = 0) \), and adiabatically switch on a repulsive interspecies interaction between components at \( t > 0 \). One expects that, due to the repulsive interspecies interaction, the initial BB\(_0\) soliton will evolve into a split one belonging to the BB\(_1\) family at some value \( \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{\text{rep}}^{(1)} \) and then into the BB\(_2\) family at \( \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{\text{rep}}^{(2)} \). This picture coincides with the numerical results in Fig. 8 both in terms of the chemical potentials and the distances between peaks \( \Delta x \), normalized to \( \pi \). The jumps in the distance are correlated with jumps in the chemical potentials at the critical values, which are uniquely fixed by the parameters of the system. In Fig. 8, the profiles of the split solitons with \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \) are represented, at two different \( \gamma_{12} \) values belonging to their existence curve. Despite the smaller value of \( \gamma_{12} \), the two BB components in the \( n = 1 \) case appear to be more distorted in their overlapping region than in the \( n = 2 \) case. This is an evident consequence of the exponential decay of the soliton-soliton interaction with distance [see Eq. (10)]. Notice that, as in the attractive case, the normalized distance between soliton centers is not an integer number. This is a clear consequence of the existence of a repulsive force between components.

When attractive interactions \( \gamma_{12} < 0 \) are adiabatically turned on at \( t > 0 \), we expect that an initial split soliton in BB\(_{n_0}\), with \( n_0 > 0 \), will undergo only one jump towards \( n = 0 \). Indeed, from the analysis in the previous section, we can deduce that the negative critical values are ordered as \( \gamma_{\text{cr}}^{(n)} > \gamma_{\text{cr}}^{(n+1)} \). Thus, if \( \gamma_{12} > \gamma_{\text{cr}}^{(n_0)} \),
interactions give enough energy to overcome all the intermediate barriers from the $n_0$-th down to $n = 0$. This intuitive result, based on energetic considerations, match very well the results of the numerical simulation, as one can see from Fig. 10, where the cases $n_0 = 2$ and $n_0 = 1$ are represented.

Since the critical values of $\gamma_{12}$ at which the transitions occur are uniquely fixed by the parameters of the mixture, including the number of atoms and intraspecies interactions, an experimental implementation of the above numerical simulations could be used for indirect measurements of the interspecies scattering length of BEC mixtures. The interspecies scattering length can also be measured from the oscillatory motion of coupled solitons as predicted in [52].

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have considered matter-wave bright-bright solitons in coupled Bose-Einstein condensates, by assuming that the first component is loaded in a linear optical lattice and the second component in a nonlinear optical lattice. In particular, the existence and stability of split and overlapped BB solitons has been investigated by VA, by direct numerical integrations of the coupled GPEs, and by direct numerical integrations of the system. The dependence of the existence ranges of BB solitons on the interspecies interaction parameter has been also investigated. In particular, for repulsive interspecies interactions we showed the existence of a series of critical values of $\gamma_{12}$ at which transitions from the $n$- to the $n+1$- split BB soliton occur. For attractive interspecies interaction we showed that only direct transitions from a split BB solitons to the overlapped BB soliton are possible. Since critical values at which transitions occur depend on physical parameters of the mixture, these phenomena suggest that split BB solitons could be used for indirect measurements of these parameters in experiments.
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