Optimized Detection of Acute MHV68 Infection With a Reporter System Identifies Large Peritoneal Macrophages as a Dominant Target of Primary Infection
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Investigating the dynamics of virus-host interactions in vivo remains an important challenge, often limited by the ability to directly identify virally infected cells. Here, we utilize a beta-lactamase activated fluorescent substrate to identify primary targets of murine gammaherpesvirus 68 (MHV68) infection in the peritoneal cavity. By optimizing substrate and detection conditions, we were able to achieve multiparameter characterization of infected cells and the ensuing host response. MHV68 infection leads to a pronounced increase in immune cells, with CD8+ T cells increasing by 3 days, and total infiltrate peaking around 8 days post-infection. MHV68 infection results in near elimination of large peritoneal macrophages (LPMs) by 8 days post-infection, and a concordant increase in small peritoneal macrophages (SPMs) and monocytes. Infection is associated with prolonged changes to myeloid cells, with a distinct population of MHC IIhigh LPMs emerging by 14 days. Targets of MHV68 infection could be readily detected. Between 1 and 3 days post-infection, MHV68 infects ∼5–10% of peritoneal cells, with >75% being LPMs. By 8 days post-infection, the frequency of MHV68 infection is reduced at least 10-fold, with infection primarily in SPMs, with few infected dendritic cells and B cells. Importantly, limiting dilution analysis indicates that at 3 days post-infection, the majority of MHV68-infected cells harbor latent rather than lytic virus at frequencies consistent with those identified based on reporter gene expression. Our findings demonstrate the utility of the beta-lactamase MHV68 reporter system for high throughput single-cell analysis and identify dynamic changes during primary gammaherpesvirus infection.
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INTRODUCTION

The gammaherpesviruses (γHV) are a highly conserved family of DNA tumor viruses characterized by their capacity to establish lifelong, latent infection in their hosts. The γHV include the human viruses, Epstein–Barr virus (EBV), and Kapossi’s-associated sarcoma virus (KSHV), multiple primate γHV and murine gammaherpesvirus 68 (MHV68, official ICTV nomenclature MuHV-4) (Barton et al., 2011; Dittmer and Damania, 2016; Young et al., 2016). For most individuals, γHV infection is well-controlled, with no overt deleterious consequences. However, particularly in immunosuppressed individuals, chronic γHV infection is associated with the development of malignancies, including lymphomas and carcinomas (Cesarman et al., 2019; Farrell, 2019; Shannon-Lowe and Richardson, 2019).

The γHV result in a lifelong infection of their hosts, involving a number of different cell types over time. In vivo, MHV68 is thought to lytically replicate in epithelial cells of mucosal surfaces (e.g., nasopharynx and lung) following mucosal exposure, with long-term latent infection primarily occurring in memory B cells in secondary lymphoid organs such as the spleen (Sunil-Chandra et al., 1992; Frederico et al., 2012; Lawler et al., 2015). Additionally, MHV68 has been reported in various tissues depending on the route of infection [peritoneal cavity (Weck et al., 1999), lymph node, omentum (Gray et al., 2012), bone marrow (Coleman et al., 2010), and gut (Peacock and Bost, 2000; Cho et al., 2019, 2020)], with various types of infection observed in B cell subsets (Flano et al., 2000; Coleman et al., 2010; Rekow et al., 2016; Collins et al., 2020), myeloid cells (macrophages and dendritic cells) (Weck et al., 1999; Flano et al., 2000), endothelial cells (Suarez and van Dyk, 2008), and intestinal epithelial cells (Peacock and Bost, 2000). The vast array of potential viral reservoirs calls for an efficient and robust method to track infection.

In the past, limiting dilution analyses were used to track MHV68 in various tissues (Barton et al., 2011). These methods are highly sensitive, but limited in their ability to identify specific cell types that are targets of infection. A variety of MHV68 reporter viruses have been generated. These reporter viruses are able to be used with single-cell immunophenotyping methods, such as flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry. However, these fluorescent proteins are expressed only in the early stages of infection and can be difficult to detect in autofluorescent cell types (Rosa et al., 2007; Hwang et al., 2008; Collins et al., 2009; Collins and Speck, 2012).

The use of a beta-lactamase tagged virus, MHV68.LANA:βlac, expands upon these capabilities (Nealy et al., 2010). The beta-lactamase gene is linked to ORF73, a viral gene encoding LANA, the episome-maintenance protein and transcription factor that is expressed throughout the viral lifecycle. It is notable that while the LANA protein is expressed throughout infection, it is generally expressed at a low level, and thus, combination with the enzymatic amplification of beta-lactamase is critical. Further development of this beta-lactamase tagged virus system allowed tracking of cells infected with panels of mutant viruses both in vitro and in vivo, as well as live cell sorting of rare infected cells for downstream analyses of function and multiparametric mass cytometry and transcriptomics (Diebel et al., 2015; Berger et al., 2020).

The peritoneal cavity (PerC) is an important and relevant tissue of immunological function, as well as a source of easily isolated immune cells. Despite many viral infections being delivered intraperitoneally, the immune response to infection in this cavity is not well characterized. The cavity is composed primarily of macrophages and B cells (Ray and Dittel, 2010). Peritoneal B cells are predominantly B-1 cells, “innate” B cells that produce natural antibodies (Baumgarth, 2017). MHV68 has been identified to establish latency in peritoneal macrophages and B-1 cells (Weck et al., 1999; Rekow et al., 2016). Macrophages are of two types: large peritoneal macrophages (LPMs), characterized as tissue resident, self-renewing “clean-up” phagocytes, and small peritoneal macrophages (SPMs), which are more inflammatory, and replaced from circulating monocytes in the blood (Ghosn et al., 2010). LPMs are the dominant population, however it is SPMs that are elicited from thioglycolate stimulation, a common method in obtaining peritoneal macrophages (Ghosn et al., 2010). Lytic infection of primary macrophages has also been established in vitro (Tarakanova et al., 2007; Rosa et al., 2007) but characterization of acute gammaherpesvirus infection of the PerC is limited.

Here, we optimized detection of a reporter virus, MHV68.LANA:βlac, using full spectrum (i.e., spectral) multiparameter flow cytometry to achieve single cell resolution of virus infection during primary infection in the PerC. We show that MHV68 infection in the PerC leads to dramatic changes in immune cell populations, most notably a transient elimination of LPMs and an increase in SPMs, monocytes, and CD8+ T cells. We use MHV68.LANA:βlac to track infection over time, identifying, and immunophenotyping virally infected cells up to 2 weeks post infection. We show that LPMs represent the dominant reservoir of MHV68 during acute infection, and that infection in the PerC at this time is a mixture of both lytic and, predominantly, latent infection. These studies demonstrate a method for expansive immunophenotyping that can be integrated with detection of the MHV68.LANA:βlac reporter virus, and present new insights in acute gammaherpesvirus infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jax and bred in house (Catalog #00664). Mice were maintained at the University of Colorado Anschutz Medical Campus in accordance with IACUC protocol and were used for experiments at 6–12 weeks of age. Both male and female mice were used.

Viral Infections

Wild-type (WT) MHV68 and MHV68.LANA:βlac viruses were grown and prepared as described previously (Diebel et al., 2015). For in vitro infections, mouse 3T12 fibroblasts and ex vivo peritoneal cells were infected overnight, with no removal of
inoculum, at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 and 10 plaque forming units (PFU) per cell, respectively. Cells were cultured in DMEM with L-glutamine, 1% Penicillin/Streptomycin and 10% FBS. For *in vivo* infections, mice were inoculated intraperitoneally (i.p.) with $1 \times 10^6$ PFU of virus diluted in sterile PBS.

**Harvest of Peritoneal Cells**

Mice were sacrificed via CO$_2$ euthanasia and cervical dislocation. The PerC was exposed and filled with 10 mL of ice cold PBS containing 3% FBS using a 27 gage needle. After removal of the needle, the mouse was then agitated to encourage release of immune cells from the peritoneal wall, followed by fluid and cell extraction using an 18 gage needle. If necessary, cells were subjected to red blood cell lysis with ACK lysis buffer (Gibco Catalog #A1049201), washed and counted using the Beckman Coulter Vi-CELL BLU.

**Flow Cytometric Analysis of Peritoneal Cells**

Following harvest and preparation, peritoneal cells were subjected to flow cytometric analysis. First, cells were assessed for viability using a 30 min RT incubation with Zombie NIR (BioLegend) diluted in PBS. CCF2-AM substrate (LiveBLAzer$^\text{TM}$ FRET-B/G Loading Kit with CCF2-AM, Thermo Fisher Scientific) (Zlokarnik et al., 1998) was freshly diluted prior to substrate loading for 30–60 min RT in PBS. Cells were subjected to Fc receptor blocking using Fc Shield (Tonbo Biosciences) for 10 min, followed by antibody staining for 25 min. The following antibodies, with antibody clones designated in parenthesis, were used: CD19(1D3)-PECy7, CD11b(M1/70)-APC (eBiosciences/Thermo Fisher Scientific), CD11c(HL3)-BVU737, CD4(GK1.5)-BVU395, CD8a(3-6.7)-PerCPCy5.5 (BD), CD3e(145-2C11)-PECy5, F4/80(RM8)-BV785, MHC II(M5/14.15.2) PerCP, Gr1(RB6-8C5)-AlexaFluor700, Gal3(M3/38)-AlexaFluor647 (BioLegend). All staining reactions were done at RT in the dark in PBS containing 1% FBS for Fc receptor blockade. Samples were acquired on a Cytek Aurora Spectral Flow Cytometer. First, cells were assessed for viability using a 30 min RT incubation with Zombie NIR diluted in PBS. CCF2-AM substrate (LiveBLAzer$^\text{TM}$) linked to a fluorescein (identified as “B,” Figure 1A) and a coumarin (identified as “A” in Figure 1A) linked to a fluorescein (identified as “B,” Figure 1A) via a cephalosporin group. The coumarin group absorbs light at a maximum wavelength of 408 nm and, via Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET), transfers the energy to the fluorescein group, which emits at a maximum wavelength of 520 nm. In the uncleaved state, CCF2 (Figure 1A, left)

In parallel, cells were subjected to mechanical disruption and plated in a comparable series of dilutions prior to plating on MEF monolayers (Niemeyer et al., 2018). Co-cultures were incubated at 37$^\circ$C for 21 days prior to assessment of cytopathic effect (CPE). A dashed line at 63.2% was used to determine the frequency of virus-positive cells, defined by Poisson distribution. Analysis was performed via non-linear regression analysis in GraphPad Prism, with calculation of 95% confidence intervals. Data represents three experiments with two mice per condition per experiment.

**Data Analysis**

Analysis of flow cytometry data was performed using Cytek SpectroFlo version 2.1 and DeNovo FCS Express version 7. Fluorophore signatures with partial overlap of emission spectra were resolved by unmixing using SpectroFlo software without compensation. Statistical analysis was performed using student's *t*-test in GraphPad Prism version 8.

**RESULTS**

**Optimization of MHV68.LANA:βlac Detection Analyzing CCF2-AM Substrate Cleavage by Spectral Flow Cytometry**

We sought to harness the powerful technology of multiparametric flow cytometry with the beta lactamase reporter virus to track virally infected cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Previous use of a beta-lactamase expressing MHV68 virus detected virally infected cells utilizing conventional, band-pass flow cytometry (Coleman et al., 2010; Nealy et al., 2010; Diebel et al., 2015; Niemeyer et al., 2018). Due to the broad emission spectrum of the beta-lactamase substrate, this approach was limited in its utility for simultaneous measurement of multiple fluorescent markers. In this study, we utilized the power of full spectrum (herein referred to as spectral) flow cytometry. This technique characterizes fluorophores by their entire spectra, rather than peak emission, allowing for the detection of fluorophores relatively close in peak emission and thus vastly expanding the number of fluorophores that can be simultaneously analyzed. Full spectrum flow cytometry also allows for the detection of fluorescent reporters and proteins whose spectra are not well characterized or are unknown (Nolan and Condello, 2013; Nolan et al., 2013).

Studies with the MHV68.LANA:βlac reporter virus rely on detection of cleavage of a beta-lactamase substrate, such as CCF2-AM (Zlokarnik et al., 1998; Knapp et al., 2003), an esterified beta-lactamase substrate that readily crosses the cell membrane (Figure 1). Once inside the cell, endogenous cytoplasmic esterases cleave ester groups, resulting in the lipophilic molecule CCF2. CCF2 consists of a coumarin (identified as “A” in Figure 1A) linked to a fluorescein (identified as “B,” Figure 1A) via a cephalosporin group. The coumarin group absorbs light at a maximum wavelength of 408 nm and, via fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET), transfers the energy to the fluorescein group, which emits at a maximum wavelength of 520 nm. In the uncleaved state, CCF2 (Figure 1A, left)
FIGURE 1 | Continued
To determine if our optimized CCF2-AM staining conditions worked in primary cells, we infected mouse peritoneal cells (PerCs) directly \textit{ex vivo}. The PerC is rich in macrophages and B cells, two cell types known to be latently infected following MHV68 infection (Weck et al., 1999; Rekow et al., 2016). PerCs were isolated and incubated overnight \textit{in vitro} with either WT MHV68 or MHV68.LANA:βlac (MOI = 10 PFUs/cell), followed by use of optimized CCF2-AM incubation conditions. WT MHV68 infected cultures had minimal fluorescence in the CCF2 cleaved substrate detection channel (Figure 1C, top row). In contrast, ~12% of MHV68.LANA:βlac infected PerCs demonstrated CCF2 cleaved substrate-dependent fluorescence (Figure 1C, bottom row). Furthermore, these conditions allowed us to use additional fluorophores excited by the violet and blue lasers, a result unachievable using the manufacturer’s recommended staining conditions. The use of multiple antibodies to detect a panel of cell surface markers allowed us greater resolution to immunophenotype virus-positive cells. MHV68.LANA:βlac was detected in a high frequency of F4/80+ macrophages, with a lower frequency of cleaved substrate detected in CD11c+ dendritic cells and CD19+ B cells, and no detectable fluorescence in CD3+ T cells (Figure 1C). Next, we tested our ability to detect MHV68.LANA:βlac infection in PerCs harvested from mice that were subjected to intraperitoneal infection and harvested at 3 days post-infection (dpi). Again, a
FIGURE 2 | Intraperitoneal MHV68.LANA:βlac infection induces T cell accumulation in the peritoneal cavity. Experimental conditions were performed as outlined in Figure 1D. Mice were harvested at 1, 3, 8, and 14 dpi. (A) Viable cell counts of PerCs as a function of time and infection cohort. Each symbol is representative of one mouse and bars represent the mean value of samples. Asterisks denote significance between Mock and MHV68 (8 dpi), Mock and MHV68.LANA:βlac (8 dpi), and Mock and MHV68.LANA:βlac (14 dpi). (B) Flow cytometry dot plots showing percent of CD4- and CD8α-positive PECs at various time points post infection with MHV68.LANA:βlac. Samples are gated on live, singlets CD19- CD11c- CD11b- F4/80- CD3ε+. (C) Quantification of flow cytometry data showing mean percent and number of CD4 and CD8α-positive PECs are various time points post infection. Statistical analysis was performed using student’s t test in GraphPad Prism (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001). Data representative of three experiments with 2–3 mice per condition per experiment.

MHV68 Results in Dramatic Changes to the Immune Landscape of the Peritoneal Cavity

The viral lifecycle of γHV5s is dynamic, with significant changes in viral load and cell and tissue tropism over time. To identify the consequence of acute MHV68 infection on cell types and targets of virus infection, mice were infected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with either WT MHV68 or MHV68.LANA:βlac, and analyzed over 14 days of infection. Though i.p. infection is likely not the natural route of infection for this virus, i.p. infections are a common strategy for virus delivery, including MHV68 (Barton et al., 2011). Additionally, MHV68 has a known tropism for peritoneal cells, and i.p. infection establishes robust and temporally synchronized infection within this tissue, providing an opportunity to investigate detailed kinetics of viral infection.

Cell counts revealed that i.p. MHV68 infection triggers a robust inflammatory response in the PerC, peaking at 8 dpi (Figure 2A). This is accompanied by appreciable splenomegaly (Supplementary Figure 1). Leukocyte cell counts began to subside after 8 dpi, but remain elevated compared to mock-infected mice. Despite host cell counts in response to MHV68.LANA:βlac infection appearing more variable than those following MHV68 infection, no significant differences were observed between these two experimental groups. MHV68 infection induced a prominent increase in T cell frequency.
and number, dominated by CD8+ T cells (Figures 2B,C). Notably, CD8 T cells increased by 3 dpi, indicating rapid engagement of CD8 T cells. Immune cell populations were defined as according to the gating strategy detailed in **Supplementary Figure 2**.

Murine gammaherpesvirus 68 infection was also associated with pronounced changes in myeloid subsets. In naive mice, there are two dominant macrophage populations, LPMs, defined as F4/80<sup>Low</sup> CD11b<sup>High</sup> MHC II<sup>Low</sup>, and SPM, defined as F4/80<sup>Low</sup> CD11b<sup>Mid</sup> MHC II<sup>High</sup> (Ghosn et al., 2010). MHV68 infection reduced the frequency of LPMs relative to mock-infected mice, from day 1 through day 14 post-infection, with no LPMs detected at 8 dpi and an apparent reappearance by day 14 (Figures 3A,B). This dramatic loss of LPMs was accompanied by a pronounced increase in the frequency and number of F4/80<sup>Mid</sup> CD11b<sup>Mid</sup> cells, consistent with an SPM or monocyte phenotype, between days 3 and 14 post-infection (Figures 3A,B). The phenotype of F4/80<sup>Low</sup> CD11b<sup>Mid</sup> cells changed over time. In mock-infected mice, F4/80<sup>Mid</sup> CD11b<sup>Mid</sup> cells were predominantly a Gr1<sup>High</sup> MHC II<sup>High</sup> phenotype consistent with SPMs (Ghosn et al., 2010). Following MHV68 infection, however, F4/80<sup>Mid</sup> CD11b<sup>Mid</sup> cells demonstrated a transient increase in the frequency of Gr1<sup>High</sup> MHC II<sup>Low</sup> cells, consistent with monocyte infiltration into the PerC (Figure 3C). By day 8 and 14 post-infection, F4/80<sup>Mid</sup> CD11b<sup>Mid</sup> cells were primarily Gr1<sup>Low</sup> MHC II<sup>High</sup> cells, consistent with SPMs (Figure 3C).

The disappearance and reappearance of the LPM population by 14 dpi suggested self-renewal and/or replenishment. Despite prior reports detailing LPMs as self-renewing (Cassado Ados et al., 2015), the complete absence of LPMs at 8 dpi suggests replenishment from another population. Monocytes from the circulation are a likely candidate, as they have been shown to enter the PerC and acquire LPM characteristics (Bain et al., 2015); the complete absence of LPMs at 8 dpi suggests self-renewal and/or replenishment. Despite the decreased frequency of LANA:βlac+ cells was relatively constant between 1 and 3 dpi, with at least 5% of total peritoneal cells demonstrating CCF2-AM substrate cleavage, a single-cell marker for LANA:βlac expression (Figure 4). The frequency of LANA:βlac+ cells decreased significantly (~ 10-fold) by 8 dpi, with a further decrease in the frequency of LANA:βlac+ PerCs by 14 dpi (Figure 4). Despite the decreased frequency of LANA:βlac+ cells over time, these cells were readily detected above the limit of detection defined by coincident analysis of mock and WT MHV68 infected samples (Figure 4).

We next immunophenotyped PerCs from infected mice using a panel of 12 cell surface markers. As predicted from our initial in vivo experiments (Figure 1D), we detected the virus in multiple cell types, including macrophages, dendritic cells, and B cells, at varying frequencies (Figure 5). Of these, the majority (>75%) of LANA:βlac+ cells were identified within LPMs early after infection (1 and 3 dpi) (Figure 5). By 8 dpi, however, F4/80<sup>Mid</sup> CD11b<sup>Mid</sup> SPMs were the major LANA:βlac+ population (Figure 5), a change in tropism coincident with a nearly complete disappearance of LPMs from the PerC (as shown in Figure 3). B cells and dendritic cells accounted for less than 5% of LANA:βlac+ cells through 14 dpi (Figure 5). Despite an increase in number over time of infection (Figure 3), neutrophils were not identified as a target of early peritoneal infection (data not shown). Thus, we conclude that macrophages are the major target of MHV68 infection in the PerC during the first 2 weeks of infection.

In parallel, we assessed the percentage of each cell population that was virally infected. This analysis identified a hierarchy of infection 1 day post infection, with ~25% of LPMs, 7% of dendritic cells, 5% of SPMs/monocytes and 0.2% of B cells which were LANA:βlac+ (Figure 6). LPMs remained a predominant target of infection at 3 dpi, with >40% of LPMs characterized by LANA:βlac expression, in contrast to SPM/monocytes, dendritic cells and B cells which contained <3% LANA:βlac+ cells out to 14 dpi (Figure 6). LANA:βlac expression was not detected in T cells at any time analyzed. These data identify multiple targets of primary MHV68 infection in the PerC.

**MHV68.LANA:βlac+ Is detectable in macrophages, dendritic cells, and B cells in the peritoneal cavity**

We next analyzed the cellular distribution of MHV68.LANA:βlac infection in the PerC over time. The frequency of LANA:βlac+ cells was relatively constant between 1 and 3 dpi, with at least 5% of total peritoneal cells demonstrating CCF2-AM substrate cleavage, a single-cell marker for LANA:βlac expression (Figure 4). The frequency of LANA:βlac+ cells decreased significantly (~ 10-fold) by 8 dpi, with a further decrease in the frequency of LANA:βlac+ PerCs by 14 dpi (Figure 4). Despite the decreased frequency of LANA:βlac+ cells over time, these cells were readily detected above the limit of detection defined by coincident analysis of mock and WT MHV68 infected samples (Figure 4).

**MHV68 Infection Is Associated With Altered Macrophage Expression of MHC II and CD86 in Infected and Uninfected Cells**

Given the impact of MHV68 infection on myeloid cells, and the partial infection of LPMs and SPMs, we next analyzed how MHV68 infection affected macrophage phenotype in a cell-intrinsic and cell-extrinsic manner. To do this, we analyzed MHC II and CD86 expression, two proteins differentially expressed in LPMs and SPMs at baseline (Ghosn et al., 2010), comparing LPMs and SPMs in mock-infected mice with LANA:βlac+...
FIGURE 3 | Alterations in peritoneal myeloid subsets after MHV68.LANA:βlac infection. Flow cytometric analysis of myeloid cells and neutrophils in the peritoneal cavity after MHV68.LANA:βlac infection. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of PerCs, showing expression of CD11b and F4/80 on live, singlet, CD19- CD11c- events at the indicated times post infection. Gates identify distinct cell subsets, including large peritoneal macrophage (LPM) and small peritoneal macrophages (SPM). (B) Quantification of flow cytometry data shown in (A) showing mean percent and number of live singlets that are LPMs and SPM/monocytes at various times post infection. (C) Dot plots showing expression of Gr-1 and MHC II on CD19- CD11c- CD11brep F4/80rep PerCs over time. (D) Histograms comparing expression of MHC II (left) and CD86 (right) in SPM/monocytes (top) and LPMs (bottom), as a function of time (colored according to legend). Day 8 samples did not have any detectable LPMs (as indicated). (E) Quantification of flow cytometry data shown in (A), demonstrating mean percent and number of neutrophils at time points post infection. Statistical analysis was performed using student’s t-test in GraphPad Prism (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001). Data representative of three experiments with 2–3 mice per condition per experiment.
>94% of LANA:βlac+ and LANA:βlac− LPMs were MHC II$^{\text{Low}}$ CD86$^{\text{High}}$, comparable to mock-infected LPMs (Figure 7, left panel). By 3 dpi, however, MHV68 infected mice demonstrated an increased frequency of MHC II$^{\text{High}}$ LPMs compared to mock-infected mice. MHC II$^{\text{High}}$ CD86$^{\text{High}}$ LPMs were most prominent among virally infected (LANA:βlac+) LPMs (49.2% of events), with an intermediate frequency (23.6%) in LANA:βlac− LPMs (Figure 7, middle panel). CD86 expression remained high in LPMs in all conditions (Figure 7, bottom panel). These data demonstrate that MHV68 infection is associated with an increased frequency of MHC II$^{\text{High}}$ LPMs, a process that occurs in both virus-infected and -uninfected cells during acute infection.

In parallel, we analyzed the impact of MHV68 infection on the phenotype of F4/80$^{\text{Mid}}$ CD11b$^{\text{Mid}}$ cells, a phenotype containing a mixed population of SPM and monocytes (referred to collectively as SPM/monocytes). Mock-infected SPM/monocytes were primarily characterized by an MHC II$^{\text{High}}$ CD86$^{\text{Low}}$ phenotype (Figure 7). After overnight infection and through 3 dpi, LANA:βlac+ SPM/monocytes had a relatively comparable frequency of MHC II$^{\text{High}}$ events comparable to mock-infected mice. In contrast, LANA:βlac− SPM/monocytes at these early times had a reduced frequency of MHC II$^{\text{High}}$ events compared to mock-infected cells (Figure 7). By 8 dpi, however, both LANA:βlac+ and LANA:βlac− SPM/monocytes had an increased frequency of MHC II$^{\text{High}}$ events relative to mock-infected mice. While CD86 expression was comparable in all measured SPM/monocyte populations after overnight infection, LANA:βlac+ demonstrated a transient upregulation at 3 dpi relative to mock-infected and LANA:βlac− cells, with both LANA:βlac+ and LANA:βlac− cells characterized by a modest upregulation of CD86 by 8 dpi (Figure 7). In total, these data identify that MHV68 infection is associated with enhanced MHC II and CD86 expression in virally infected and -uninfected macrophage subsets in the PerC.

**FIGURE 4** | MHV68.LANA:βlac is detectable during acute infection in the peritoneal cavity. Flow cytometric analysis of MHV68.LANA:βlac infection in the peritoneal cavity of MHV68 infected mice. **(A)** Dot plots showing the frequency of PerCs positive for cleaved CCF2 fluorescence throughout infection, comparing peritoneal cells isolated from mock, MHV68 or MHV68.LANA:βlac infected mice. Populations were gated on live singlets. **(B)** Quantification of the frequency and number of LANA:βlac+ PerCs defined based on positive cleaved CCF2 fluorescence. Statistical analysis was performed using student’s t test in GraphPad Prism (*p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001). Data representative of three experiments with 2–3 mice per condition per experiment.
The MHV68-Infected Peritoneal Cavity Contains Both Lytic and Latent Infection Early After Infection

Our analysis of the cellular distribution of LANA:βlac identified peritoneal macrophages as a prominent infected cell type at early time points post-infection. However, the state of virus infection in these cells remained in question. Though MHV68 has been reported to latently infect macrophages at late times post-infection (Weck et al., 1999), MHV68 has also been reported to lytically replicate in macrophages in vitro and in vivo (Tarakanova et al., 2007; Lawler et al., 2015). Additionally, it remained possible that the detection of LANA:βlac in peritoneal macrophages may result from phagocytosis of other lytically infected cells rather than bona fide infection. To address this issue, we quantified the frequency of cells capable of producing virus using a limiting dilution-based assay, comparing virus production from intact and mechanically disrupted PerCs (Weck et al., 1996). In this assay, virus production from intact cells can result from either preformed, cell-associated virions or reactivation from latency. In contrast, mechanical disruption of cells prevents reactivation from latency, a process that requires viable cells, while having minimal impact on the detection of preformed virus (Weck et al., 1996). PerCs from mock, MHV68,
A substantial portion of peritoneal macrophages are positive for MHV68-LANA::βlac infection during acute infection. Flow cytometric analysis of cell subsets that demonstrate MHV68-LANA::βlac infection in the peritoneal cavity of MHV68-LANA::βlac infected mice. (A) Dot plots showing the frequency of T cells, B cells, dendritic cells, SPM/monocytes, and LPM that express cleaved CCF2 fluorescence, the indicator for LANA::βlac expression, at various times post infection. (B) Graphs demonstrating data shown in (A). Each dot is representative of one mouse and lines represent mean of samples. Data representative of three experiments with 2–3 mice per condition per experiment.

Peritoneal cavities isolated from MHV68- or MHV-68.LANA::βlac infected mice were isolated at 3 dpi and plated in a series of dilutions on a MEF monolayer, a sensitive and permissive indicator for virus infection. Cocultures were observed for virus-induced CPE on MEF monolayers, quantifying preformed virus in mechanically disrupted PerCs and the sum of preformed virus and reactivation from latency in intact PerC co-cultures.

Peritoneal cavities isolated from MHV68- or MHV-68.LANA::βlac infected mice demonstrated virus-induced CPE in both intact and mechanically disrupted cells (Figure 8). In contrast, mock-infected PerCs demonstrated no detectable CPE in either condition (Figure 8). When we quantified the frequency of intact cells capable of producing virus, we found that ∼1 in 9 PerCs (∼11, 95% confidence interval: 8.4–14%) were associated with virus production in both MHV68 and MHV-68.LANA::βlac-infected mice. In contrast, limiting dilution analysis of mechanically disrupted cells identified a frequency of ∼1 in 100 (1%) of cells containing preformed virus (Figure 8). These data indicate that PerCs from MHV68-infected mice contain a mixture of latent and preformed virus, with latent infection comprising the dominant fraction of infected cells. Of note, the quantification of virus-infected cells determined via this functional assay was greater than that observed by flow cytometry at the same time point post infection (∼11 versus ∼5 ± 0.6%). Thus, consistent with previous reports (Nealy et al., 2010) assessment of infection frequency by LANA::βlac cleavage and CCF2 fluorescence is likely an underestimate of total primary infection frequency.
FIGURE 7 | MHV68 infection is associated with altered macrophage expression of MHC II and CD86 in infected and uninfected cells. Flow cytometric analysis of MHC II (top row) and CD86 (bottom row) expression in large (LPM) and small (SPM) peritoneal macrophages, in mock or MHV68.LANA::βlac infected PerCs. Histograms compare the phenotype of virus-infected (LANA::βlac+) and uninfected (LANA::βlac−) cells. Gates define the percent of events positive for each marker. Virus-infected (LANA::βlac+) and uninfected (LANA::βlac−) cells were defined according to the gates drawn in Figure 6A. Data representative of three experiments with 2–3 mice per condition per experiment.

FIGURE 8 | The MHV68-infected peritoneal cavity contains both lytic and latent infection at 3 days post infection. (A) Flow cytometry analysis of peritoneal cells infected with MHV68.LANA::βlac. Shown is a representative dot plot of PerCs analyzed for cleaved CCF2 fluorescence at 3 dpi, gated on live singlets. Average frequency and standard error of the mean (upper left) were calculated from three experiments with 2–3 mice per condition per experiment. (B) Analysis of virus infection in peritoneal cells obtained from mock, MHV68 or MHV68.LANA::βlac infected mice. PerCs were harvested at 3 dpi and subjected to limiting dilution analysis on mouse embryonic fibroblasts, with virus production quantified by cytopathic effect (CPE) 21 days post-plating. Analysis quantifies the mean frequency of wells which were positive for virus in intact and mechanically disrupted samples. 24–36 wells per dilution per condition were analyzed for undisrupted samples, and 12 wells per dilution per condition for disrupted samples. Dashed line at 63.2% is used to determine the frequency of virus-positive cells, defined by Poisson distribution. Analysis performed via non-linear regression analysis in GraphPad Prism. Data representative of three experiments with two mice per condition per experiment.
DISCUSSION

MHV68 is an established and efficient model virus for studying both pathogen and host elements of gammaherpesvirus infection. Though much progress has been made in characterizing the virus and the response it induces, its range of target cells, its dynamic viral lifecycle, and its low frequency of infected cells during latency make it difficult to track infection at the single-cell level. The MHV68.LANA:βlac virus overcomes many of these issues by providing a highly sensitive, efficient, and robust readout of infected cells detected by flow cytometric analysis, allowing the rapid analysis of thousands of cells.

The MHV68.LANA:βlac system has been previously used to identify infected cells (Coleman et al., 2010; Nealy et al., 2010; Diebel et al., 2015; Niemeyer et al., 2018) using conventional bandpass flow cytometry. In our study, we utilized full spectrum, spectral flow cytometry, a technology that bypasses restrictions of overlapping fluorescence emission spectra through the detection of unique emission profiles. We optimized CCF2-AM substrate and incubation conditions, allowing for detection of cleaved CCF2 fluorescence. Importantly, it is of note that virtually all cells positive for cleaved CCF2 fluorescence also retain an uncleaved CCF2 fluorescence spectrum, suggesting that beta-lactamase expressing cells are unable to cleave every molecule of CCF2-AM within the cytoplasm. It is unclear whether there is an ideal concentration of CCF2-AM substrate that would allow for complete cleavage by beta-lactamase, and whether this would be desirable, given that detection of the uncleaved substrate also provides valuable evidence of equal substrate loading. Following identification of these optimized conditions, we were able to measure CCF2-AM fluorescence in concert with a panel of twelve surface markers, a major advance in utility of this reporter system.

With the optimization of MHV68.LANA:βlac detection by spectral flow cytometry, we characterized the impact of acute MHV68 infection on cells in the PerC, examining both the broad impact of MHV68 infection as well as the specific targets of MHV68 infection. We found that MHV68 infection is associated with pronounced changes in the cellular composition of PerCs, with rapid increases in the frequency and number of CD8 T cells, and pronounced changes to myeloid cells, including transient elimination of LPMs coupled with expansion of SPMs and monocytes. MHV68 infection was further associated with long-term phenotypic changes in LPMs and SPMs, including upregulation of MHC II expression in LPMs.

One of the most pronounced changes in the PerC was the transient depletion of LPMs. The disappearance of LPMs, a phenomenon referred to as the “macrophage disappearance reaction,” is a well-known outcome in response to inflammatory stimuli, including thioglycollate, LPS, virus, and infection with the parasite Trypanosoma cruzi (Ghosn et al., 2010; Cassado Ados et al., 2015; Gautam et al., 2019). During this response, LPMs are presumed to traffic to the omentum, where they potentially carry antigen to stimulate B cells to mature in milky spots, small lymphoid structures within the omentum (Rangel-Moreno et al., 2009). As the omentum is a highly vascularized tissue, it is also possible that macrophages further disseminate to the lymphatics or the bloodstream (Meza-Perez and Randall, 2017).

We did not see any sign of death in LPMs before elimination, nor did we observe their presence when adding additional EDTA to our extraction solution (data not shown), suggesting that the loss of LPMs is not due to their lysis or adherence to the peritoneal walls.

Despite the depletion of LPMs at 8 dpi, LPM-like cells reappeared in the infected PerC by 14 dpi. It is unclear how this subset is repopulated, as LPMs were originally described as tissue-resident and self-renewing (Ghosn et al., 2010). One alternate explanation could be that LPMs are replaced by SPMs or monocytes, as these cell subsets exhibit similar F4/80 and CD11b expression during the recovery phase, with LPM and SPM characterized by relatively comparable expression of MHC II and CD86 at later times post-infection. The potential replacement of LPMs by SPMs or monocytes is supported by previous studies (Cain et al., 2013; Yona et al., 2013; Bain et al., 2016) and represents an important unresolved question in the context of MHV68 infection. Delineation between these two populations during infection could be furthered clarified by staining for other discriminating markers, such as ICAM-2 or TIM-4.

In addition to observing widespread changes in peritoneal cell composition, our studies allowed detailed analysis of targets of early MHV68 infection in the PerC. We observed a robust level of infection, with as many as 8% of peritoneal cells infected at 1 day post infection. We note that these numbers are likely an underestimate of the total number of virally infected cells, as not all virally infected cells will express LANA at a given time point (Nealy et al., 2010; Niemeyer et al., 2018), and there are likely cells that are unable to produce functional LANA:βlac enzyme. Furthermore, some virally infected cells may be too fragile to survive the lengthy staining protocol and harsh fluidics of flow cytometry. Indeed, our limiting dilution analyses at 3dpi identified a greater percentage of infected cells (~11%) than identified by flow cytometry (~5%).

Our analysis revealed a high frequency of infection (25–40%) in LPMs through 3 dpi. At 8 dpi, the SPM/monocyte population becomes the predominant source of infection. We note that the majority of LANA:βlac+ within the SPM/monocyte population express high levels of MHCII, suggesting these cells are SPMs rather than monocytes (Ghosn et al., 2010). Dendritic cells and B cells represented a minor fraction of LANA:βlac+ events. The presence of virus in antigen presenting cells during early infection in the PerC is an exciting finding, as these cells have the ability to initiate local antigen-specific immune responses within the cavity as well as the potential to disseminate virus to other tissues via trafficking to lymphoid tissues.

We further identified that virus infection resulted in changes to macrophage phenotype, both within virus-infected cells and in uninfected cells. Both LANA:βlac+ and LANA:βlac− macrophages displayed upregulation of the activation markers MHC II and CD86, a trend that was most prevalent within LANA:βlac+ populations. It is unclear whether viral infection of these cells induces upregulation of activation markers, or alternatively, whether activated macrophages are more likely viral targets. Regardless, upregulation of these markers has functional consequences for peritoneal macrophages. High expression of MHC II expression suggests that these cells may either be...
activated and/or directly capable of presenting antigen to virus-specific CD4 T cells.

The observation that MHV68 is predominant in LPMs before their disappearance at 8 dpi also suggests that MHV68 may potentially exploit LPM responses to inflammation to facilitate virus dissemination to the omentum. Consistent with this, previous studies by Gray et al. (2012) identified MHV68+ cells in the omentum, including B220+ B cells and a smaller fraction of CD11b+ cells, presumed to be macrophages. It is also possible that MHV68+ LPMs either undergo lytic replication and/or are directly targeted for destruction by antiviral T cells.

It is notable that early infection contained a mixture of latently infected cells and cells undergoing lytic replication, with the vast majority of infected peritoneal cells containing latent virus. The detection of latency is often precluded by the presence of lytic infection, however high frequencies of latency at an early time point of 3 dpi suggests direct latency infection of target cells, in this case macrophages. This early establishment of latency is consistent with a previous report identifying the early establishment of latency in the lung following intranasal infection (Flano et al., 2005). While this analysis focused on bulk PerCs, future studies, purifying MHV68:LANA:βlac+ peritoneal macrophages may provide further evidence for latent infection during these early stages of infection and may help to understand host cues that initiate viral latency.

We note that at 14 dpi, the LANA: βlac is not well detected above background. Previous studies using this reporter virus reported LANA:βlac+ cells at less than or equal to 0.1% of splenocytes at 16 dpi (Nealy et al., 2010; Lange et al., 2019), and limiting dilution qPCR experiments have reported frequencies of less than 1% infected cells in specific cell populations in the PerC at 16 dpi (Rekow et al., 2016). Based on these data, we infer LANA: βlac+ cells are a rare but present population within the PerC at 14 days or later post infection. These cells may be more effectively detected by greatly increasing the number of peritoneal cells analyzed and/or by enriching for cell types known to be infected prior to analysis.

In total, this study demonstrates optimization of the MHV68:LANA:βlac reporter system, to identify targets of virus infection using spectral flow cytometry. By tracking MHV68 infection in the PerC, this analysis identified both broad changes in the immune landscape of the PerC as well as differential protein expression profiles in virus-infected and uninfected cells. These studies identify MHV68-induced myeloid reprogramming, characterized by the induction of MHC II in LPMs and CD86 induction in SPMs, consistent with virus-induced alterations to the PerC previously associated with MHV68-induced cross-protection against bacterial infection (Barton et al., 2007). We further identify peritoneal macrophages as a prominent target of acute infection and identify a mixture of lytic and latent infection early post-infection, with a striking dominance of latent infection. This work offers an optimized technique for high throughput single-cell immunophenotyping of virally infected cells, emphasizes the dynamic interactions between MHV68 and the host, and provide critical cellular context that will allow future analysis of factors that regulate early virus-host dynamics.
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Supplementary Figure 1 | MHV68:LANA:βlac is detectable in B cells at 8 days post infection in the spleen. C57BL/6 mice were infected i.p. with 1 million PFUs of WT MHV68 or MHV68:LANA:βlac. At 1, 3, 8, and 14 dpi, mice were sacrificed and spleens were harvested, counted, and stained with CCF2-AM, viability, and surface markers. (A) Viable cell counts of harvested spleens in mock, MHV68 or MHV68:LANA:βlac infected mice. (B) Dot plots showing the frequency of splenocytes positive for cleaved CCF2. LANA:βlac signal is enriched above background (defined by WT MHV68 infection) at 8 dpi. (C) Quantification of the mean frequency and number of LANA:βlac+ cells shown in (B). (D) Dot plots showing percentage of T cells, B cells, dendritic cells, and macrophages that are positive for cleaved CCF2. B cells are the only population with cleaved CCF2 signal, with about 0.5% of cells staining positive. Data representative of three experiments with 2–3 mice per condition per experiment.
Supplementary Figure 2 | Gating strategy for analysis of spectral flow cytometry data. Representative gating scheme adapted from Ghosn et al. (2010). Sample derived from Percs of a mock-infected mouse. FSC histogram denotes size difference between SP/Monocyte and LPM populations.
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