Thyroid eye disease (TED) can affect the eye in myriad ways: proptosis, strabismus, eyelid retraction, optic neuropathy, soft tissue changes around the eye and an unstable ocular surface. TED consists of two phases: active, and inactive. The active phase of TED is limited to a period of 12–18 months and is mainly managed medically with immunosuppression. The residual structural changes due to the resultant fibrosis are usually addressed with surgery, the mainstay of which is orbital decompression. These surgeries are performed during the inactive phase. The surgical rehabilitation of TED has evolved over the years: not only the surgical techniques, but also the concepts, and the surgical tools available. The indications for decompression surgery have also expanded in the recent past. This article discusses the technological and conceptual advances of minimally invasive surgery for TED that decrease complications and speed up recovery. Current surgical techniques offer predictable, consistent results with better esthetics.
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Graves’ disease (GD) is a systemic autoimmune disease that targets the thyroid gland, orbit and the skin. Despite advances in the treatment of endocrinologic dysfunction associated with GD, few therapies are available for the thyroid eye disease (TED). Recent progress has identified several molecular mediators and molecular interactions responsible for this process.[1] A worldwide effort to understand this enigmatic disease marks the establishment of International TED Study group.[2] We look forward to an era where we can understand the molecular basis for GD, treat it medically and avoid or reverse the sequelae that require surgical correction.

However, until then, surgery will remain an important tool in the management of patients who are unfortunate to get these mechanical and fibrotic sequelae. The surgical rehabilitation of TED has continued to evolve, restoring appearance and function to the patients. This article highlights the technological and conceptual advances that have allowed us to perform minimally invasive surgery for TED, reducing complications, and speed up recovery. The authors acknowledge that incisions, as well as surgical choices for orbital decompression vary based on personal preference and training, and this article represents our preferred approach to TED.

The Four Stages of Surgical Rehabilitation

There are four components of TED that require attention from the surgical point of view: proptosis, restrictive strabismus, eyelid abnormality (retraction), and cosmetic concerns (fat bags, rhytids, etc.). Based on these four components, the surgical management of TED involves four major stages of rehabilitation: orbital decompression, extraocular muscle surgery, eyelid repositioning, and cosmetic soft tissue redraping.[3] Not all patients require all four stages, but one may require more than one stage of surgery.

Proptosis is one of the most visible and obvious side-effects of TED that demands treatment. Traditionally, orbital decompression was performed only for extreme proptosis, or compressive optic neuropathy. However, with technological advances in the treatment of endocrinologic dysfunction, orbital decompression surgery is increasingly common and accepted to perform orbital decompression not only for extreme vision-threatening proptosis, but also cosmetically disfiguring proptosis.[4] Though optic neuropathy responds well to surgery, the nerve is resilient, and minor degrees of compressive optic neuropathy are probably not as emergent as we once thought.[7] Proptosis demands treatment not only from a cosmetic standpoint, but also to reduce the symptoms of exposure, and in rare cases, avoid the emergent complication of global luxation. Earlier, decompression surgery was performed through large incisions and more invasive procedures, with resultant complications such as sinusitis, interferomedial globe displacement, and scarring. Today, advancements in orbital decompression allow new areas of bone removal, an awareness of orbital fat as the “first wall” for decompression, and the use of smaller incisions.[4]

The second stage constitutes the correction of diplopia, which is the most disabling side-effect of TED, and the most
challenging to treat. The current day decompression surgeries focus on decreasing the rate of “new-onset” strabismus.

Correction of eyelid abnormality constitutes the third stage, and most commonly includes correction of upper and lower eyelid retraction. There are several surgical options described for the correction of eyelid retraction. The fourth stage of cosmetic concerns includes eyelid fat bags, periorbital hollows, and rhytids such as glabellar folds. These are best treated toward the end of the surgical rehabilitation, addressing the esthetic concerns and restoring the normal appearance.

Timing of Surgical Correction

Surgical rehabilitation is carried out in the “inactive” phase of TED. Hence, differentiating active from inactive disease is important in TED. The congestive orbitopathy (inactive, but red eye due to vascular congestion) further adds to the confusion, and can attract unnecessary administration of steroids. It is a common myth that control of systemic thyroid abnormality (normally done by the endocrinologist) would reverse the ocular changes in TED. The systemic thyroid abnormality and TED can be considered as two separate but co-existing entities. Established sequelae of TED rarely reverse, and almost always require surgical rehabilitation by an ophthalmic plastic surgeon. Typically, decomposition begins with orbital fat removal, and if required removal of the bony wall is added as the deep lateral wall, medial wall, and floor in that order. The preference of the available walls for decompression of progressively severe disease may vary from surgeon to surgeon based on the training, access to specialized instruments, and specific factors related to an ethnic group. As a thumb-rule however, each orbital wall would provide approximately 2 mm reduction in proptosis, and fat alone would provide 2 mm reduction.

Fat decomposition: The conceptual “First Wall”

Removing orbital fat is a major technical and conceptual advance in orbital decompression. Initially, there was concern that cutting into the intraconal fat could worsen strabismus. However, it has been found actually to improve double vision, reduce congestive orbitopathy, proptosis, and even compressive optic neuropathy. For many surgeons, removal of intraconal fat is the “first wall” of orbital decompression for mild (2-3mm) proptosis. Orbital fat, especially in patients of TED, is very vascular and after excision, the cut ends of small bleeders may retract. Therefore, hemostasis is essential, and fat removal should be meticulous and only under direct visualization.

Lateral wall decompression

Recently, the lateral wall decompression has emerged as a primary procedure for moderate proptosis. The deep lateral wall (sphenoid bone) can be accessed through either a coronal incision or orbital approach through an eyelid crease, or swinging eyelid incision with substantially less morbidity compared to an open craniotomy. Removing bone from the deep lateral wall causes less consecutive strabismus,
eliminates the risk of sinusitis (as with floor or medial wall decompression), effects faster resolution of postoperative strabismus and is especially useful for woody/fibrotic orbits. Deep lateral wall decompression can be carried superiorly to include fossa for lacrimal gland and inferiorly up to the inferior orbital fissure (the “basin” of inferior orbital fissure).

Medial wall decompression

The medial wall can be accessed through a hidden transcaruncular incision, a medial skin incision (Lynch), or via endonasal approach using an endoscope. With the transcaruncular route, the access is quick, and adequate bony decompression can be achieved without complex instrumentation like an endoscope. A simple Kerrison’s bone punch is adequate to achieve medial wall decompression. Therefore, for cases that require 2–4 mm correction of proptosis, the medial wall can be chosen, with or without fat decompression.

Orbital floor decompression

The orbital floor can be accessed through a hidden inferior trans-conjunctival incision, or a sub-ciliary skin incision, or trans-antral (Caldwell-Luc) approach using an endoscope. If done through trans-conjunctival incision it can be continued with transcaruncular incision medially for combined medial wall decompression or continued as swinging eyelid incision laterally for combined lateral wall decompression. Floor decompression can be achieved easily without specialized instruments, but runs the risk of infra-orbital anesthesia, and hypoglobus. Floor decompression if planned, should be done in a manner that preserves the infraorbital nerve to avoid anesthesia. This can be achieved using the Piezoelectric technology to avoid soft tissue damage.

Three wall decompression

A three-wall decompression is usually chosen for severe grades of proptosis (exophthalmometry >26 mm), and all three walls: Lateral, medial, and floor are addressed along with intraconal fat removal.

“Balanced” decompression

For moderate to severe proptosis, a balanced decompression of the medial and lateral orbital wall can reduce the occurrence of postoperative strabismus. A balanced decompression is proposed to be equally effective as a three wall decompression.

A balanced decompression is thought to prevent inferomedial displacement of the globe and produce an equal prolapse of the medial and lateral rectus muscles into the surrounding space. In performing balanced two wall decompressions, transcaruncular, or endoscopic approaches to the medial wall may be used in combination with a lateral decompression.

“Unbalanced” decompression

For moderate to severe proptosis, a decompression could be referred to as “unbalanced” if the medial wall and orbital floor are addressed. The chances of new-onset diplopia and globe dystopia are significant with the trans-antral approach, where the floor, as well as medial wall, are entirely removed. However, preservation of the inferomedial orbital strut can prevent most of the complications associated with an unbalanced orbital decompression, whether performed trans-conjunctivally or endonasally. In addition, unbalanced decompression can be done without the need for any additional instrumentation like the mechanical drill.
Extended indications of orbital decompression

Apart from TED, orbital decompression can also be performed for pseudoproptosis due to unilateral axial myopia [Fig. 4], malar hypoplasia with the scleral show and in the case of shallow orbits such as Crouzon’s disease [Fig. 5].\(^{[28]}\) The benefit of proptosis reduction is not much in craniosynostosis, but nevertheless it can provide protection from exposure keratopathy and globe luxation that are common occurrences in these patients.

Customized orbital decompression

Often, decompression surgery cannot be exercised as a one-size-fits-all approach, and customization is required based on the findings. For example, a case with unilateral hyperglobe [Fig. 6] can be treated with deliberate orbital floor decompression, to symmetrize globe position. Similarly, floor decompression should be included in the surgical plan of patients with the excessive inferior scleral show [Fig. 6]. Patients with only proptosis and no eyelid retraction can undergo decompression via the preferential conjunctival incision. Those with excessive upper eyelid retraction and proptosis can undergo deep lateral wall decompression via an eyelid crease incision, to simultaneously recess the levator. Similarly, patients who scar badly or have a prior history of hyperpigmentation of scars (Fitzpatrick Grades IV–VI) can undergo trans-conjunctival approach. Patients with upper eyelid fullness due to prolapsed lacrimal gland would need bone removal from superolateral orbit (fossa for lacrimal gland).

Our preferred choice is to opt for inferior trans-conjunctival “fat” decompression for mild cases (2 mm or less). For 2–4 mm reduction in proptosis, we prefer to add medial wall decompression as the first choice due to the speed and ease of surgical approach. For moderate reduction of 4–6 mm proptosis, either a deep lateral wall decompression or a balanced two wall decompression is preferred. We often choose the “unbalanced” two wall decompression, leaving the inferomedial orbital strut intact. For extreme requirements of correction 6–8 mm or more, we routinely perform a three wall decompression with a combination of eyelid crease and trans-conjunctival approach.

Safe orbital decompression

Thought orbital decompression is fairly safe in the hands of experienced orbital surgeons, the potential complications include infra-orbital anesthesia due to nerve injury, new-onset strabismus, optic nerve injury, and sometimes cerebrospinal fluid leak.\(^{[6,9]}\) Equipment such as the piezoelectric technology that emulsifies bone without harming the soft tissue is of great help in preventing inadvertent soft tissue trauma.\(^{[21]}\) Bone removal around the infra-orbital nerve is safe and predictable with piezoelectric technology and allows maximal bony decompression in extreme cases. Similarly, the orbital navigation technology provides exact intra-operative localization, and safety.\(^{[27]}\) While performing bony decompression in critical areas such as orbital apex, and medial wall, navigation technology is of prime importance to deliver a safe surgical result [Fig. 7].

The second stage: Strabismus surgery

Strabismus surgery is usually carried out in the inactive phase of TED, following orbital decompression surgery. However, decompression may be skipped in some patients due to the presence of minimal proptosis, or because the patient is concerned about the functional aspect of diplopia rather than esthetics. When strabismus surgery is required, the most common surgery performed is a recession of tight muscles (often using adjustable sutures) so as to maximize the area of binocular single vision [Fig. 8]. It is important to explain it to the patient, that binocular single vision may not be achievable in all directions of gaze. Patients should, therefore, be encouraged to have realistic expectations.\(^{[29]}\) Strabismus surgery has improved over time, with a better understanding of the anatomy and physiology of the orbital connective tissue system and pulleys.\(^{[29]}\) The oculoplastic surgeon must be aware of lower eyelid retraction induced by the recession of the inferior rectus muscle, and treat it either in the same sitting or subsequently.\(^{[30]}\)

The third stage: Eyelid abnormality

The advances in eyelid surgery in TED have led to the management of eyelid retraction with smaller incisions,
transconjunctival approach, and fat grafting.\textsuperscript{[31-33]} Nonsurgical treatment with hyaluronic acid gel may be useful for temporary improvement in some cases.\textsuperscript{[34]} Overall, the surgical correction of eyelid retraction gives good results [Fig. 9], although there is still unpredictability and sometimes more than one stage of surgery is required.\textsuperscript{[33]} Our preferred approach is trans-cutaneous levator recession (full thickness blepharotomy), due to the ease and clarity of anatomical exposure, and better eyelid crease symmetry.

**The fourth stage**

The fourth stage of surgical rehabilitation involves procedures to address the aesthetic concerns of periorcular sequelae of GD. These include deep glabellar folds, excess periorbital fat bags, and mid-face descent leading to periorbital hollows. Botulinum toxin injection can be given into the corrugators superciliii muscles to relax the dynamic glabellar lines. Usually, a decompression surgery eliminates the lower eyelid fat bags. However, there may be cases of mild proptosis where a decompression may have been deferred, and the prolapsed fat is cosmetically bothersome. A conservative blepharoplasty or a hyaluronic acid filler injection into the tear trough improves the esthetic appearance [Fig. 10].

**Summary**

The techniques for the surgical rehabilitation of patients with Graves’ orbitopathy continue to improve. We have been able to decrease the incidence of some of the worst complications—particularly, new-onset double vision. We can
accomplish surgical rehabilitation through smaller incisions and less invasive procedures that hasten postoperative recovery. Less invasive techniques have expanded the indications for decompression, allowing us to operate lesser degrees of proptosis for cosmetic reasons. Despite these advances, we cannot avoid the fibrotic and structural changes that can be camouflaged and compensated, but not truly cured, by surgery. While we continue to evolve the surgical rehabilitation, we eagerly await path-breaking advances in immunotherapy, which could prevent the fibrotic changes that are currently the hallmark of TED.[33]
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