Small-diameter magnetic and metallic nanowire arrays grown in anodic porous alumina templates anodized in selenic acid

Mahdieh Ahmadzadeh1 · Mohammad Almasi Kashi1,2 · Mohammad Noormohammadi1 · Abdolali Ramazani1,2

Received: 14 February 2021 / Accepted: 2 May 2021 / Published online: 25 May 2021
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract
Despite the introduction of selenic acid for anodic porous alumina (APA) preparation with small nanopore diameters (<20 nm), no attempt has been made to use it in the fabrication of small-diameter magnetic and metallic nanowire arrays. In this paper, considering the high cost of selenic acid, it has been attempted to initially use oxalic acid in preparation of an ordered pattern in the first anodization step and then employ selenic acid for the second anodization step by matching the appropriate voltage. By investigating the nanopore formation pattern in both acids, it was shown that the nanopores formed in the selenic acid had considerably smaller diameter (13 nm) than that of oxalic acid (35 nm). Under optimized conditions of electrodeposition, various metals were then grown in the selenic APA templates in order to fabricate nanowires with small diameters. The pore-filling percentage and crystalline properties were also investigated.
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Abbreviations
APA Anodic porous alumina
PED Pulsed electrodeposition
FESEM Field-emission scanning electron microscopy
F Pore-filling percentage
VSM Vibrating sample magnetometer

1 Introduction
The fabrication method of nanostructures and nanomaterials such as nanorods, nanowires, nanotubes and nanodots based on the template is a combination technique, employing different metals, semiconductors and oxides [1–3]. The templates used for the fabrication of these nanostructures include anodic porous alumina (APA) [4], porous silicon template [5], carbon nanotubes [6], polymeric membranes [7], etc., among which the APA template has been mostly used in the preparation of nanotubes and nanowires. Controlling structural properties of APA has been of great practical importance for use in a variety of conventional and sophisticated materials and applications such as templates, filters, magnetic storage media, three-dimensional photonic crystals, plasmonic nanodevices and thermal resistors [8–11]. Electrochemical methods have been more commonly used compared to chemical ones because the chemical growth of APAs is uncontrollable and difficult. APA templates are fabricated by anodizing aluminum (Al) in several acidic electrolytes so that their nanostructural characteristics can be tailored by appropriate selection of the electrolyte and anodization voltage [12–14]. In this regard, three electrolyte types have been used for anodizing Al under various applied voltages, resulting in the following self-ordered APAs: sulfuric acid (19–27 V), oxalic acid (40 V), and phosphoric acid (160–195 V). Accordingly, the interpore distance of APAs can be tuned based on the applied voltage, arising from a proportional constant (k) of 2.5 nm/V. The aforementioned anodization electrolytes have also been employed for the surface finishing of Al and its alloy compounds, thus enhancing their chemical and physical properties [15] while also being used for self-ordered fabrication of APAs [16].

On the other hand, malonic and tartaric acids have been investigated for preparing APAs, giving rise to self-ordered templates at 120 V and 195 V, respectively. A part from the five acidic electrolytes in the anodization of Al, other solutions such as citric, glycolic, chromic and malic acids have been used, according to the literature [17, 18]. Moreover,
mixing the electrolyte solutions with each other has offered the opportunity of controlling the anodization voltage and respective cell size [19–25].

In recent years, Al anodization has been newly reported using selenic acid electrolyte (H₂SeO₄) [26–29]. As known, the solution of selenic acid can dissolve some metals including silver, gold, and palladium. The standard electrode potentials for selenic acid are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SeO}_4^{2-} + 4H^+ + 2e^- & = H_2SeO_3 + H_2O \quad E^0 = 1.151 \text{V} \\
H_2SeO_3 + 4H^+ + 4e^- & = Se + 3H_2O \quad E^0 = 0.739 \text{V}
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

It is worth noting that while the cell size of the APA template induced in selenic acid-based electrolyte is similar to the cell size of the oxalic APA, its pore diameter is smaller, being similar to the APA pore diameter formed in sulfuric acid. In other words, the APA formed in selenic acid can be used as a substitute for the oxalic APA, thus preparing small-diameter templates. APAs formed in carboxylic acids including oxalic acid are fluorescent [30, 31], thereby complicating the corresponding applications as a matrix for spectroscopic research (e.g., Raman spectroscopy) [32]. In spite of the templates fabricated using oxalic and phosphoric acids, the APAs formed in selenic acid do not show fluorescence background in the Raman spectra [33].

As a starter material, one of the important applications of APAs is to fabricate nanowire arrays, arising from their high-ordering, controllable diameter and length of the pores, and significant mechanical and chemical properties [34]. In this respect, although selenic APAs have already been fabricated, no attention has been paid to the fabrication of nanowires inside their nanopores.

The electrodeposition process of nanowires inside APA templates includes three well-known methods: direct current, alternating current and pulsed electrodeposition (PED) [35]. Prior to performing the direct current method, the alumina barrier layer must be removed, and a conductive layer is sputtered at the bottom of nanopores to act as the cathode. Such further processing is not suitable for large-scale applications and fast fabrication of nanowires.

On the other hand, by thinning of the barrier layer in chemical solution, the alternating current method is performed [36], which in turn requires high voltage and frequency to be applied to deposit nanowires, resulting in low pore-filling percentage \(F_p\) of the APAs [37, 38].

Using the PED method, one can overcome the aforementioned flaws in the direct and alternating current methods as it employs off-time between deposition pulses, refreshing the concentration of metal ions at the electrodeposition interface. This may increase uniformity of the growth of nanowires [39, 40]. In this way, the PED method may lead to the formation of uniform nanowires, although the pore filling and nanowire electrodeposition efficiency depend on a variety of factors such as the diameter and length of nanopores, electrolyte concentration, and so forth. Changing the nanopore diameter can influence some properties of nanopores and nanowires fabricated in them, including the variation of transparency and conductivity of metallic nanowires [8], the change in magnetic properties of magnetic nanowires [41], the variation of optical properties of nanopores [9], etc. Overall, by comparing between nanopores anodized in selenic acid and other acids, the following advantages could be indicated for selenic acid APAs: (i) the nanopore diameter is reduced to less than 20 nm, thus allowing for the fabrication of low-dimensional nanostructures and studying their properties at this small scale; (ii) the lack of fluorescence background in the Raman spectrum; (iii) having the same interpore distance as that of oxalic acid APAs, enabling us to use both oxalic and selenic acids in the two-step anodization process; and (iv) the self-ordering of nanopores along with the ability to control the nanopore length. These advantages make the selenic acid APAs interesting for the electrodeposition of magnetic and metallic nanowire arrays [26–29].

In this paper, APA templates prepared by selenic anodization are employed in the fabrication of small diameter (13 nm) nanowires with an inter-wire distance of 100 nm. Due to the high cost of selenic acid, the two-step anodization process is performed with the combination of oxalic and selenic acids as the first and second steps, respectively. The reason for choosing oxalic acid was its availability, and the same interpore distance as that formed by selenic acid. Using a PED method, the nanowires of APA are filled with different magnetic and metallic elements. Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) analysis is used to determine \(F_p\). Magnetic properties of the magnetic nanowires are measured by a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) at room temperature. The crystalline structure of nanowires is also studied by X-ray diffraction (XRD).

2 Experimental details

High-purity Al (99.999%) disk, 8 mm in diameter and 1 mm in thickness, was used to fabricate APA templates by using a two-step anodization process [42]. Prior to the anodization, the Al sheets were ultrasonically cleaned for 5 min in acetone. The samples were thoroughly washed with deionized water and then electropolished in a solution of perchloric acid and ethanol at a ratio of 1 to 4 at 0 °C. For the electropolishing procedure, the current density and time were set to 100 mA/cm² and 10 min, respectively.

Optimum conditions for selenic acid were considered for anodization according to reports on selenic acid [28]. But given the availability and interpore distance similar to that formed by oxalic acid, the first step of anodization was carried out using oxalic acid, and in the second step of anodization,
the electrolyte solution was replaced by selenic acid. For this purpose, the first step of anodization was carried out in oxalic acid at 40 V and 17 °C and 5 h. In order to remove the oxide layer formed in the first step, chemical wet etching was performed in 0.2 M chromic and 0.5 M phosphoric acid solutions at 60 °C for 6 h [40, 43]. The second step of anodization was performed using selenic acid at 45 V and 0 °C for 4 h. This process resulted in nanopore arrays with an approximate diameter and interpore distance of 13 nm and 100 nm, respectively. To promote thinning of the barrier layer, following the second anodization, the voltage decreased stepwise from 45 to 12 V with a rate of 0.001 V/s. This process causes the formation of dendrites at the pore bottom, thereby facilitating the electrodeposition (see Fig. 1) [44].

The pulsed electrodeposition process was then performed by employing the sample and graphite sheet as the working and counter electrodes, respectively. A pulsed AC power supply (GW-Instek SFG-830) controlled by a programmable logger was also used. The purpose of this study was to prepare small-diameter nanowires; therefore, the pore filling of obtained nanoporous template in oxalic and selenic acids was examined using magnetic and metallic elements such as Ni, Co, Zn and AgNi. Since different parameters, including reduction/oxidation voltage, off-time, solution pH, and current density, are involved in the electrodeposition mechanism, optimal conditions for each element need to be taken into account. Generally, the electrodeposition mechanism is defined based on the Faraday’s law, so that the material electrodeposited on the cathode is proportional to the charge passed through the electrolyte in an electrochemical cell. The chemical reactions taking place in the cell for the nanowires fabricated in this study can be expressed as follows:

\[ M(aq)^{n+} + ne^- \rightarrow M(s) \]  
\[ M \rightarrow M^{n+} + ne^- \]

in which \( M \) is the magnetic (Ni and Co) and metallic (Zn and Ag) elements. The reactions (2) and (3) occur in the cathode (reduction) and anode (oxidation), respectively. In fact, the aqueous ions migrate to the cathode and are reduced on it in the form of metallic atoms. To start the reduction reactions, it is necessary to apply a negative voltage, which is different for different electrodeposition conditions [45]. The more positive the standard reduction potential of each ion, the easier the reduction reaction [46]. The standard reduction potential of Ni, Co, Zn and Ag ions is as follows: −0.25, −0.28, −0.76 and +0.80 V, respectively. Therefore, AgNi nanowires can be electrodeposited easier than the other magnetic and metallic nanowires, likely leading to higher amount of \( F_p \).

To electrodeposit the samples, the concentration of electrolyte solutions was set as follows:

Ni nanowire: 0.6 M NiSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O + 0.72 M boric acid,
Co nanowire: 0.3 M CoSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O + 0.72 M boric acid,
Zn nanowire: 0.6 M ZnSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O + 0.72 M boric acid,
AgNi nanowire: 0.01 M AgNO\(_3\) + 0.3 M NiSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O + 0.72 M boric acid.

It should be noted that a bath of 0.15 M CoSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O, 0.9 M NiSO\(_4\).7H\(_2\)O, 0.15 M FeSO\(_4\).5H\(_2\)O with 45 g/l boric acid at 5 °C was employed to fill the branched nanopores of the APA template (i.e., CoFeNi pre-plating) [47], prior to the electrodeposition of Zn nanowires. Other conditions for

![Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of various steps of nanowire preparation](image-url)
each element were set according to the optimal conditions reported previously [48] (Table 1).

The magnetic properties of the prepared nanowires were measured using a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, Magnetic DaneshPajoh Kashan Co.) at room temperature with an applied field parallel to the nanowire axis. Pore filling was then evaluated for the magnetic and metallic elements. To calculate the filling percentage \( (F_p) \) theoretically, we first need to calculate the theoretical charge for full filling of the nanopores based on the following equation: [48, 49].

\[
Q_{\text{theo}}(C) = \frac{2Z\rho LS_{\text{eff}}}{m}
\]

where \( Z \) is the valency of the deposited ion, \( F \) is the Faraday constant, \( \rho \left( \text{g/cm}^3 \right) \) is the density of the element, \( m \left( \text{g/mol} \right) \) is the molecular weight of the element, and \( L \left( \text{cm} \right) \) is the thickness of the APA template. Also, \( S_{\text{eff}} \left( \text{cm}^2 \right) \) can be obtained from the following equation:

\[
S_{\text{eff}} \left( \text{cm}^2 \right) = \pi \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \times fS
\]

in which \( d \left( \text{cm} \right) \) is the pore diameter, \( f \left( \text{pore/cm}^3 \right) \) is the pore density in APA, and \( S \left( \text{cm}^2 \right) \) is the sample surface area exposed to the electrolyte. Thus, the theoretical pore filling \( (F_p) \) is calculated from the following relation:

\[
\%F_p = \frac{Q_{\text{rev}}}{Q_{\text{theo}}} \times 100
\]

where \( Q_{\text{rev}} \) is the electrical charge deposited for the nanowire growth up to the top surface of APA templates. Two methods are mentioned detecting the nanowire overflow process: the first method is reversal of the decreasing potential during electrodeposition and the noise generation in the potential profile. The other method is the change of the recorded electric charge slope (which varies for different elements).

The experimental pore filling was also obtained through FESEM (MIRA3 TESCAN operating at 15 kV) images of the top surface of the filled APA template using Image J program. The crystalline properties of magnetic and metallic nanowires were investigated by XRD (XRD; Philips, X'PertPro; Cu Kα radiation with \( \lambda = 0.154 \text{ nm} \)), after dissolving the residual aluminum from APA template in CuCl₂ solution.

### 3 Results and discussion

Figure 2 shows FESEM images of alumina nanopores, which have been fabricated during the second anodization process in oxalic and selenic acids. The first anodization step of both samples has been performed in oxalic acid to form an ordered pattern on the Al substrate after dissolving the oxide layer. By considering the much lower price of oxalic acid than selenic acid, the ordered pattern was selected to be created by the oxalic acid. As can be seen in Fig. 2a, b, the diameters of nanopores formed in the second anodization step are different from each other. For the oxalic acid anodization, the average diameter of nanopores reaches 35 nm, whereas the average diameter of nanopores reaches 13 nm for the selenic acid anodization. The diameter trends continue, according to Fig. 2c, d. This indicates that the chemical dissolution occurring in the electrolyte/oxide interface is completely different from each other, so that it is concentrated in the pore centers using the selenic acid. This is perhaps the reasoning behind the different proportionality coefficient between the selenic anodization voltage and nanopore diameter \( (K_{\text{Sel}} = 0.24 \text{ nm/V}) \) compared to that of the reported values for the mild anodization \( (K_{\text{D}} = 0.9 \text{ nm/V}) \) [50] and hard anodization \( (K_{\text{HA}} = 0.4 \text{ nm/V}) \) [51].

For the anodization in selenic acid, while the passed current is in order of the mild anodization, the resulting nanopore diameter indicates that the dissolution in selenic acid follows a different process, leading to a small oxide dissolution surface. However, this process continues, although the patterned alumina layer has been obtained using the oxalic acid. In Fig. 3, the total thickness and the barrier layer area are shown. It is seen that a layer with a thickness of 6 µm is formed. The pore diameter in the barrier layer interface shows that the oxide formed has continued its own regime of the oxide dissolution during the entire process so that the diameter remains small. It is worth noting that the average pore density of the APA template was calculated to be \( 10^{10} \) pore/cm².

Table 1 Electrodeposition conditions for preparing magnetic and metallic nanowires in selenic APA templates

| Nanowire electrodeposition | Solution pH | Pulse time (ms) | Off-time (ms) | Reduction voltage (V) | Oxidation voltage (V) | Current density (mA/cm²) |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Ni                        | 4           | 2.4            | 19.2         | 13                    | 12                    | 50                       |
| Co                        | 5.2         | 2.4            | 50           | 12                    | 10                    | 20                       |
| Zn                        | 4           | 2.4            | 19.2         | 13                    | 12                    | 20                       |
| CoFeNi pre-plating        | 5           | 2.4            | 24           | 13                    | 12                    | 15                       |
| AgNi                      | 4           | 2.4            | 19.2         | 13                    | 12                    | 20                       |
After performing the two-step anodization process explained in the experimental details, two samples were anodized using oxalic (Ox) and selenic (Se) acids under the same conditions in terms of voltage in order to find the suitable acid for the thinning of the barrier layer. Subsequently, the Ox and Se thinned samples were electrodeposited with 0.3 M Co solution and compared with each other. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen in Fig. 4a, the thinning current for the Ox and Se samples is completely different from each other. Both samples have initially undergone the selenic anodization for 4 h. Then, in the following, one of them has been thinned by the selenic acid and the other with the oxalic acid. The anodization current of the sample anodized with the selenic acid is averagely 0.3–0.4 mA higher, indicating higher electrical conductivity of this layer as the voltage of both samples has decreased.
under the same voltage regime. The curves in Fig. 4b, c show the variation of reduction voltage for Ox and Se samples during the Co electrodeposition process, indicating two completely different behaviors. The reduction voltage in the sample whose barrier layer has been thinned by selenic acid decreases the same as the oxalic acid. But in the following, it has immediately increased and remained constant, whereas the reduction voltage of the sample thinned in oxalic acid decreases progressively. Based on previous works, the progressive reduction of this voltage is necessary to reach optimum and uniform electrodeposition [48]. Also, by performing the PED, the presence of the oxidation half cycle leads to an increase in the barrier layer resistivity [52]. Therefore, the reduction voltage needs to increase progressively in order to keep the electrodeposition current constant. While this progressive increase is observed in the sample thinned by oxalic acid, the reduction voltage starts to increase and remain constant in the sample thinned by selenic acid. This is indicative of non-optimized growth of nanowires in the nanopores.

By investigating magnetic properties of the two samples, it is observed that the sample thinned in oxalic acid has coercivity ($H_c$) of about 2550 Oe, whereas that of the sample thinned in selenic acid reaches 988 Oe. Moreover, the hysteresis loop of the sample thinned in oxalic acid is much more square. By investigating the results of XRD analysis [Fig. 4d], the Ox sample shows diffraction peaks corresponding to hexagonal close-packed (hcp) structure of cobalt (JCPDS card no. 05-0727). It is found that the Ox sample has considerably higher hcp-(002) peak intensity compared to that of the Se sample with almost amorphous structure. In other words, the larger $H_c$ of the sample thinned in oxalic acid results from the crystalline growth along the [002] direction as explained in previous studies [53–55].

Figure 5 shows FESEM image of free-standing magnetic and metallic nanowires released from the templates. As seen in these figures, it is possible to grow nanowires into the templates with small pore diameter. Magnetic and metallic nanowire images confirm the formation of small-diameter nanowires. The variation of overfilling is related to the kind of deposited elements into the nanoporous templates. The overfilling charge $Q_{rev}$ of Co, Ni, Zn and AgNi nanowires is 1.1, 2.56, 0.8 and 1.4 C, respectively. Moreover, the respective theoretical charge $Q_{theo}$ of Co, Ni, Zn and AgNi nanowires is found to be 1.2, 3.6, 1.2 and 1.5 C. Therefore, the length of the grown nanowires varies as the corresponding deposited charge is different. In other words, the lengths of Ni, Co, Zn and AgNi are measured to be 7.7, 4.9, 7 and 5.6 µm, respectively, according to Fig. 5.

In order to investigate the amount of pore filling, the template surface filled with nanowires was mechanically etched with a depth of 1 µm below the initial surface of the template. The surface of all samples was fixed using an O-ring, eliminating the effect of the surface area (0.35 cm$^2$) on experimental $F_p$. Figure 6 shows FESEM micrographs of the top surface of the etched samples. The obtained pore filling through these micrographs of various nanowires is displayed in Fig. 7, representing the highest pore filling of 82% for AgNi. These experimental data are also compared with that of the theoretical method.

The calculated pore filling is not the same as that of obtained by FESEM micrographs. Some nanowire may not be overfilled during the pore filling. This may be the reason of such differences between experimentally obtained data and theoretically calculated one. In the present study, using small pulses, short off-times and small pore diameter, an 82% pore filling was found for AgNi nanowires. The fabrication of high-quality nanowires with uniform length resulted from the full filling of nanopores is important and advantageous for many applications ranging from electrochemical sensors to cathode materials for lithium storage capacity and high-density magnetic storage medium [56–58]. Notably, Ni
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nanowires have become important for their electrochemical energy storage, catalytic, magnetic and microwave absorbing properties [59, 60] as they can be manipulated easily using an external magnetic field. Co nanowires with large shape and magnetocrystalline anisotropies can be employed in the development of high-density magnetic memories [61].
Functionalized Zn and calcined Zn (ZnO) nanowire arrays with high crystallinity can be valuable in dye-sensitized solar cells and gas sensors with fast response/recovery times [62]. Ag NWs have also exhibited high chemical stability against different harsh media while also showing superior conductivity and transmittance [63].

The crystalline characteristics of embedded magnetic and metallic nanowires into the APA templates using selenic acid were determined by XRD analysis, and the results are shown in Fig. 8. As can be seen in the XRD pattern of Fig. 8a, face-centered cubic (fcc)-Ni(111) and fcc-Ni(220) peaks appear at $2\theta = 44.52^\circ$ and $76.40^\circ$ (JCPDS card no. 04-0850) for Ni nanowires, respectively. From Fig. 8b, the peaks of Zn nanowires are indexed to the hexagonal structure of Zn (JCPDS card no. 87-0713). In this case, the intensity of the (002) peak is much higher than that of others, indicating that Zn nanowires electrodeposited in the small-diameter pores are textured along the [001] direction. It should be noted that the presence of the CoFe (110) at $2\theta = 44.85^\circ$ is related to the CoFeNi pre-plating of the branched sections of Zn nanowires.
For AgNi nanowires (Fig. 8c), the diffractions peaks are attributed to fcc structure of Ag (JCPDS card no. 04-0783). The absence of Ni peaks in the XRD pattern of AgNi nanowires can be related to the additive role of Ni during the electrodeposition due to its low content arising from the smaller valence of Ni than that of Ag [49]. Moreover, the more positive standard reduction potential of Ag (+0.8 V) than that of Ni (−0.25 V) can lead to the domination of the electrodeposition of Ag.

4 Conclusions

Using a two-step anodization, APA templates with small diameters were fabricated. In the first step, the anodization was performed in 0.3 M oxalic acid at 40 V in order to create an ordered pattern. The second anodization step was carried out in 0.3 M selenic acid at 45 V after removing the oxide layer. In this case, by investigating the diameter of nanopores, it was shown that the nanopore diameter was considerably smaller (∼13 nm). After performing the barrier layer thinning process in oxalic acid, various metals including Co, Ni, Zn and AgNi were electrodeposited in the nanopores in order to fabricate small-diameter nanowires. The pore filling of the nanopores was found to be relatively high (53–82%). The magnetic properties of the cobalt nanowires fabricated in the APA template showed that their coercivity can improve up to 2550 Oe.
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