OAS-RNase L innate immune pathway mediates the cytotoxicity of a DNA-demethylating drug
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Drugs that reverse epigenetic silencing, such as the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor (DNMTi) 5-azacytidine (AZA), have profound effects on transcription and tumor cell survival. AZA is an approved drug for myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukemia, and is under investigation for different solid malignant tumors. AZA treatment generates self, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), transcribed from hypomethylated repetitive elements. Self dsRNA accumulation in DNMTi-treated cells leads to type I IFN production and IFN-stimulated gene expression. Here we report that cell death in response to AZA treatment occurs through the 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS)-RNase L pathway. OASs are IFN-induced enzymes that synthesize the RNase L activator 2-5A in response to dsRNA. Cells deficient in RNase L or OAS1 to 3 are highly resistant to AZA, as are wild-type cells treated with a small-molecule inhibitor of RNase L. A small-molecule inhibitor of c-Jun NH2-terminal kinases (JNKS) also antagonizes RNase L-dependent cell death in response to AZA, consistent with a role for JNK in RNase L-induced apoptosis. In contrast, the rates of AZA-induced and RNase L-dependent cell death were increased by transfection of 2′,5′-sa, by deficiencies in ADAR1 (which edits and destabilizes dsRNA), PDE12 or AKAP7 (which degrades 2′-5A), or by ionizing radiation (which induces IFN-dependent signaling). Finally, OAS1 expression correlates with AZA sensitivity in the NCI-60 set of tumor cell lines, suggesting that the level of OAS1 can be a biomarker for predicting AZA sensitivity of tumor cells. These studies may eventually lead to pharmacologic strategies for regulating the antitumor activity and toxicity of AZA and related drugs.
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DNA methyltransferase inhibitors (DNMTis) have been investigated as potential cancer therapeutic agents for at least 45 y (1). Methylation of cytosine residues in CpG islands of DNA results in epigenetic silencing of transcription, while removal of these methyl groups has the opposite effect of promoting transcription (2). Currently, the DNMTis 5-azacytidine (AZA) and 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (DAC) are approved for myelodysplastic syndromes, and also improve survival in acute myeloid leukemia (3–6). In addition, DNMTis are under investigation for the treatment of various solid tumors, including non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and breast and colorectal cancer (7, 8). DNMTis deplete cellular levels of DNA methyltransferases, leading to DNA hypomethylation and wide-ranging effects on cancer cells (9–12). However, there remains a critical need to better understand the molecular mechanisms for the antitumor and cytotoxic effects of DNMTis, to increase their clinical efficacy while minimizing toxicity.

Studies on the cancer therapeutic mechanisms of DNMTis, including AZA, have focused on the induction of tumor suppressor genes (8, 13) and, more recently, on the expression of self, double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). DNA demethylation caused by treatment with nanomolar levels of DNMTis induces the bidirectional transcription of human endogenous retrovirus (ERV)-like genes, leading to the formation of dsRNA, an IFN response, and inhibition of cell proliferation (14, 15). dsRNA, a common viral pathogen-associated molecular pattern, induces an innate immune response that includes the expression of type I IFN and IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) (14, 15). Therefore, expression of self dsRNA accounts for the long-known observation that DNMTis induce ISGs (16). However, the connection between self dsRNA induction by AZA and tumor cell death induced by DNMTis is less clear.

The 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS)-RNase L pathway is an IFN-stimulated antiviral response that requires dsRNA (17, 18). Type I and type III IFNs induce the transcription of a family of human OAS genes encoding enzymatically active OAS1 to 3 isozymes and enzymatically inactive OASL (19). dsRNA binds to and activates OAS1 to 3, which then use ATP to synthesize a series of 5′-triposphorylated, 2′,5′-linked oligoadenylates (2-5As) (20). The only well-established function of 2-5A is activation of the single-stranded RNA-specific endoribonuclease
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RNase L (21). 2-5A binds to the inactive and latent monomeric form of RNase L, inducing dimerization and activation (also requiring ATP or ADP binding to the protein kinase-like domain of RNase L) (22–24). Activated RNase L cleaves viral and cellular RNAs, suppressing viral replication in part by eliminating virus-infected cells by apoptosis (25, 26).

Self dsRNA accumulates during DNMTi treatment, and also when there is a mutation in the dsRNA-editing enzyme ADAR1, leading to the genetic disease Aicardi–Goutières syndrome (27). Previously, we reported that CRISPR-mediated knockout of RNase L prevents the lethal effect of ADAR1 deletion in A549 NSCLC cells (28). ADAR1 converts adenosine to inosine, destabilizing dsRNA and preventing or reducing the activation of OAS and, indirectly, also suppressing RNase L activation. Together, the present types of dsRNA, IFN, and RNase L expression (including OAS) in DNMTi-treated cells and the requirement for RNase L in cell death due to ADAR1 knockout led us to examine whether RNase L contributes to the elimination of cancer cells by DNMTi's. Here we report that cells lacking RNase L or OAS1 to 3 are protected from the cytotoxic effects of AZA. Furthermore, small-molecule inhibitors of either RNase L or c-Jun NH₂-terminal kinases (JNKs), which have been implicated in RNase L-mediated apoptosis, protected cells from AZA (29). Conversely, deletion of the 2-phosphodiesterases (PDEs) that degrade 2-5A (PDE12 and AKAP7) (30, 31), deletion of ADAR1 that edits and destabilizes dsRNA (28), or ionizing radiation (IR) that activates IFN-dependent signaling (32) each increased the RNase L-dependent cytotoxic effects of AZA. Basal OAS1 expression in the NCI-60 set of human tumor cell lines correlated with AZA sensitivity. These findings suggest potential targets for enhancing the antitumor activity and mitigating the toxicity of AZA.

Results

Cells Lacking RNase L Are Resistant to AZA Treatment. DNMTi's induce the accumulation of self dsRNA in several different tumor cell lines (7, 14, 15). We confirmed this in A549 NSCLC cells treated with 50 μM AZA for 48 h by visualizing cytoplasmic dsRNA accumulation with a monoclonal antibody against dsRNA (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). While there was no detectable dsRNA in untreated cells, accumulation of dsRNA in the cytoplasm was apparent after AZA treatment. To determine whether RNase L affects AZA sensitivity, we compared parental vector control A549 cells (wild type; WT) with RNase L knockout (KO) cells, previously generated with CRISPR-Cas9 technology (33) (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). Cells treated with different amounts of AZA at 50 μM, after a transient treatment of 48 h, were plated for survival as a function of time using an IncuCyte real-time cell imaging system (Essen Bioscience) and a dual-dye method. While the majority of WT cells appeared dead after 48 h of AZA treatment, the AZA-treated RNase L KO cells appeared normal (Fig. 1 A–D). Quantification of WT cell survival showed that 63 and 77% of the cells had died by 60 h in response to 50 or 100 μM AZA, respectively (Fig. 1E). Cell death in WT cells was observed at concentrations of 6 μM AZA or greater. In contrast, the RNase L KO cells were highly resistant to 100 μM AZA after 60 h, with little or no death (Fig. 1F).

To confirm that RNase L was responsible for AZA sensitivity, RNase L KO A549 cells were transiently transduced with lentiviral constructs encoding either WT or nuclease-dead mutant (R667A) RNase L (34) (Fig. 1G). Knockin (K-In) of WT RNase L sensitized the cells to AZA, leading to decreased cell survival, whereas introduction of mutant RNase L (R667A) had a diminished effect on cell survival (Fig. 1H). These results demonstrate that AZA-induced cell death requires RNase L with a functional catalytic domain.

Individual OAS1, 2, or 3 Isoforms Are Sufficient for Increasing AZA-Induced Cell Death. Immortalized, human mammary epithelial hTert-HME1 (HME) cells were also rendered highly resistant to AZA by knockout of RNase L (Fig. 2 A–C). The relative contributions of the different OAS isoforms to AZA-induced cell death were determined with double-knockout (DKO) HME cells, each expressing only one of the three enzymatically competent OAS isoforms. DKO cells lacking OAS2 and 3, OAS1 and 3, or OAS1 and 2 express only OAS1 (O1), 2 (O2), or 3 (O3), respectively (Fig. 2D). Survival of the different types of untreated cells was unaffected (Fig. 2 E and F). Different types of OAS1 and 2 express only OAS1 (O1), 2 (O2), or 3 (O3), respectively (Fig. 2D). Survival of the different types of untreated cells was unaffected (Fig. 2 E and F). Different types of OAS1 and 2 express only OAS1 (O1), 2 (O2), or 3 (O3), respectively (Fig. 2D). Survival of the different types of untreated cells was unaffected (Fig. 2 E and F).

Effect of MAVS on AZA Sensitivity. dsRNA signaling to the type I IFN genes requires the MDSCS-RIG-I/MACS pathway (35). Therefore, to determine whether IFN production, with subsequent OAS induction, is required for AZA-induced cell death, A549 cells in which MAVS was knocked out individually or in combination with RNase L were used (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). MAVS KO A549 cells were only slightly less sensitive to AZA treatment than WT cells, suggesting that, although IFN production may have contributed to AZA-mediated cell death, its effects were modest (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 B and C). However, the RNase L-MACS DKO cells were resistant to AZA at concentrations as high as 50 μM (SI Appendix, Fig. S2D), similar to RNase L KO cells (Fig. 1F). These results suggest that basal
To further validate the involvement of RNase L in AZA-mediated apoptosis (29). Accordingly, AZA-induced cell death was inhibited by treating WT A549 cells with the JNK inhibitor SP600125 (Fig. 4D). The RNase L KO cells were resistant to AZA in the absence or presence of this inhibitor (Fig. 4E). The rescue from cell death by the JNK inhibitor implicates JNK in the RNase L-dependent mechanism of apoptosis in response to AZA treatment.

Knockouts of Host Phosphodiesterases That Degrade 2′-5′A Increase Cell Death in Response to AZA. 2′-5′A is degraded in cells through the action of the 2′-5′-phosphodiesterases AKAP7 and PDE12 (30, 31, 37). Therefore, to increase 2′-5′A accumulation and RNase L activity during AZA treatment, the genes encoding AKAP7 and PDE12 were knocked out in A549 cells, individually and in combination (Fig. 5A). Previously, ablation of either AKAP7 or PDE12 increased 2′-5′A levels by retarding its degradation (31, 37). Deletion of AKAP7 slightly increased cell-death rates in the presence of AZA, whereas PDE12 knockout had a much larger effect (Fig. 5B). The DKO for both AKAP7 and PDE12 greatly increased cell death in response to AZA (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that extending the half-life of 2′-5′A in cells by depleting or inhibiting 2′-5′A catabolic enzymes increases sensitivity to AZA.

2′-5′A Increases the Sensitivity of A549 Cells to AZA. To determine whether direct activation of RNase L would impact tumor cell killing by AZA, WT and RNase L KO A549 cells were treated with AZA alone, transfected with 2′-5′A, or treated with both agents (Fig. 5 C and D). Whereas transfection with a low dose of 2′-5′A (1 μM) by itself had no effect on WT cell survival and AZA (25 μM) by itself reduced cell survival, the combination of 2′-5′A (1 μM) and AZA (25 μM) eliminated nearly all cells (Fig. 5C). In contrast, RNase L KO cells were completely resistant to AZA, 2′-5′A, or the combination of both agents (Fig. 5D). These results demonstrate that exogenous 2′-5′A increases the cell-lethal effect of AZA and that the mechanism of action is entirely dependent on RNase L.

ADAR1 Knockout Increases the Susceptibility of Cells to AZA. ADAR1 edits adenosine (A) to inosine (I) in dsRNA, which destabilizes dsRNA because A-U base pairs are more stable than I-U mismatches (38–40). Our prior study suggested that the accumulation of constitutively produced self dsRNA in ADAR1 KO cells leads to OAS-RNase L activation and cell death (28). Because the knockout of ADAR1 is lethal in A549 cells, it was necessary to use combination knockout cells for these experiments. To determine whether ADAR1 antagonizes the cell-lethal effect of AZA, A549 cells of different ADAR1, MAVS, and RNase L genotypes were treated with AZA. Western blots for these cell lines are shown in Fig. 5E.

Previously, we reported that RNase L activity triggers the phosphorylation of JNKs, and also that JNK-deficient cells are resistant to RNase L-mediated apoptosis (29). Accordingly, AZA-induced cell death was inhibited by treating WT A549 cells with the JNK inhibitor SP600125 (Fig. 4D). The RNase L KO cells were resistant to AZA in the absence or presence of this inhibitor (Fig. 4E). The rescue from cell death by the JNK inhibitor implicates JNK in the RNase L-dependent mechanism of apoptosis in response to AZA treatment.

Knockouts of Host Phosphodiesterases That Degrade 2′-5′A Increase Cell Death in Response to AZA. 2′-5′A is degraded in cells through the action of the 2′-5′-phosphodiesterases AKAP7 and PDE12 (30, 31, 37). Therefore, to increase 2′-5′A accumulation and RNase L activity during AZA treatment, the genes encoding AKAP7 and PDE12 were knocked out in A549 cells, individually and in combination (Fig. 5A). Previously, ablation of either AKAP7 or PDE12 increased 2′-5′A levels by retarding its degradation (31, 37). Deletion of AKAP7 slightly increased cell-death rates in the presence of AZA, whereas PDE12 knockout had a much larger effect (Fig. 5B). The DKO for both AKAP7 and PDE12 greatly increased cell death in response to AZA (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that extending the half-life of 2′-5′A in cells by depleting or inhibiting 2′-5′A catabolic enzymes increases sensitivity to AZA.

2′-5′A Increases the Sensitivity of A549 Cells to AZA. To determine whether direct activation of RNase L would impact tumor cell killing by AZA, WT and RNase L KO A549 cells were treated with AZA alone, transfected with 2′-5′A, or treated with both agents (Fig. 5 C and D). Whereas transfection with a low dose of 2′-5′A (1 μM) by itself had no effect on WT cell survival and AZA (25 μM) by itself reduced cell survival, the combination of 2′-5′A (1 μM) and AZA (25 μM) eliminated nearly all cells (Fig. 5C). In contrast, RNase L KO cells were completely resistant to AZA, 2′-5′A, or the combination of both agents (Fig. 5D). These results demonstrate that exogenous 2′-5′A increases the cell-lethal effect of AZA and that the mechanism of action is entirely dependent on RNase L.

ADAR1 Knockout Increases the Susceptibility of Cells to AZA. ADAR1 edits adenosine (A) to inosine (I) in dsRNA, which destabilizes dsRNA because A-U base pairs are more stable than I-U mismatches (38–40). Our prior study suggested that the accumulation of constitutively produced self dsRNA in ADAR1 KO cells leads to OAS-RNase L activation and cell death (28). Because the knockout of ADAR1 is lethal in A549 cells, it was necessary to use combination knockout cells for these experiments. To determine whether ADAR1 antagonizes the cell-lethal effect of AZA, A549 cells of different ADAR1, MAVS, and RNase L genotypes were treated with AZA. Western blots for these cell lines are shown in Fig. 5E.

Previously, we reported that RNase L activity triggers the phosphorylation of JNKs, and also that JNK-deficient cells are resistant to RNase L-mediated apoptosis (29). Accordingly, AZA-induced cell death was inhibited by treating WT A549 cells with the JNK inhibitor SP600125 (Fig. 4D). The RNase L KO cells were resistant to AZA in the absence or presence of this inhibitor (Fig. 4E). The rescue from cell death by the JNK inhibitor implicates JNK in the RNase L-dependent mechanism of apoptosis in response to AZA treatment.

Knockouts of Host Phosphodiesterases That Degrade 2′-5′A Increase Cell Death in Response to AZA. 2′-5′A is degraded in cells through the action of the 2′-5′-phosphodiesterases AKAP7 and PDE12 (30, 31, 37). Therefore, to increase 2′-5′A accumulation and RNase L activity during AZA treatment, the genes encoding AKAP7 and PDE12 were knocked out in A549 cells, individually and in combination (Fig. 5A). Previously, ablation of either AKAP7 or PDE12 increased 2′-5′A levels by retarding its degradation (31, 37). Deletion of AKAP7 slightly increased cell-death rates in the presence of AZA, whereas PDE12 knockout had a much larger effect (Fig. 5B). The DKO for both AKAP7 and PDE12 greatly increased cell death in response to AZA (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that extending the half-life of 2′-5′A in cells by depleting or inhibiting 2′-5′A catabolic enzymes increases sensitivity to AZA.
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ADAR1 Knockout Increases the Susceptibility of Cells to AZA. ADAR1 edits adenosine (A) to inosine (I) in dsRNA, which destabilizes dsRNA because A-U base pairs are more stable than I-U mismatches (38–40). Our prior study suggested that the accumulation of constitutively produced self dsRNA in ADAR1 KO cells leads to OAS-RNase L activation and cell death (28). Because the knockout of ADAR1 is lethal in A549 cells, it was necessary to use combination knockout cells for these experiments. To determine whether ADAR1 antagonizes the cell-lethal effect of AZA, A549 cells of different ADAR1, MAVS, and RNase L genotypes were treated with AZA. Western blots for these cell lines are shown in Fig. 5E.

Previously, we reported that RNase L activity triggers the phosphorylation of JNKs, and also that JNK-deficient cells are resistant to RNase L-mediated apoptosis (29). Accordingly, AZA-induced cell death was inhibited by treating WT A549 cells with the JNK inhibitor SP600125 (Fig. 4D). The RNase L KO cells were resistant to AZA in the absence or presence of this inhibitor (Fig. 4E). The rescue from cell death by the JNK inhibitor implicates JNK in the RNase L-dependent mechanism of apoptosis in response to AZA treatment.
and SI Appendix, Fig. S3) in which IFN was used to induce levels of ADAR1 p150. ADAR1 p150, and to a lesser extent OAS1, was also induced by AZA (SI Appendix, Fig. S3B). Cells with ADAR1-RNase L-MAVS TKO or ADAR1-RNase L DKO were resistant to AZA (Fig. 5F). In contrast, ADAR1-MAVS DKO cells showed increased AZA sensitivity compared with WT cells, presumably because of increased accumulation of self dsRNA due to the absence of ADAR1 (Fig. 5F). To further determine the relative contribution of ADAR1 isoforms, AS49 cells lacking the IFN-inducible ADAR1 p150 isoform were compared with WT AS49 cells (Fig. 5G and SI Appendix, Fig. S3). ADAR1 p150 KO cells were more sensitive to AZA, suggesting that p150 is primarily involved in AZA-induced cytotoxicity. These results indicate that the AZA sensitivity of cells can be increased by ADAR1 deficiency.

**IR Increases AZA-Induced Cell Death in an RNase L-Dependent Manner.** IR induces type I IFN signaling, and OAS genes are included in the IFN-related DNA damage resistance signature, whose expression correlates with radiation resistance in cancer cells (32, 41). To determine the effect of IR on AZA-mediated cell death through the OAS-RNase L pathway, WT and RNase L KO AS49 cells were exposed to 10 Gy of IR and then either mock-treated or treated with 12.5 or 25 μM AZA (Fig. 5 H–J). The kinetics of cell death was determined by real-time cell imaging. There was no effect on cell viability of IR treatment alone in WT and RNase L KO cells, as AS49 cells are relatively resistant to radiation (Fig. 5H). However, the combination of AZA and IR significantly increased the cell-death rates in WT cells compared with AZA treatment alone, whereas the RNase L KO cells were resistant to IR and AZA (Fig. 5 I and J). These results suggest that IR increases RNase L-dependent cell death triggered by AZA treatment.

**OA51 Expression in the NCI-60 Set of Human Tumor Cell Lines.** To determine whether AZA sensitivity is correlated with OAS-RNase L levels in different tumor cell types, we interrogated gene expression profiles of the NCI-60 database of 60 human tumor cell lines in the presence or absence of AZA (Fig. 6 and SI Appendix, Table S1). In these 60 cell lines, representative of the histologic and genetic diversity of cancer, the expression levels of OA51 (Fig. 6A) and OA5AS (Fig. 6B) predict sensitivity to AZA; that is, the higher the expression levels of these enzymes, the greater the sensitivity of the cells to the lethal effect of AZA. These results suggest that OA51 levels, in particular, can be a marker for sensitivity to AZA-induced cytotoxicity.

**Discussion**

The OAS-RNase L Pathway Mediates Tumor Cell Death in Response to AZA. DNMTi’s have long been known to induce an IFN response that is characterized by ISG expression (16), although the molecular mechanism has only recently been elucidated. Hypomethylation of DNA resulting from DNMTi treatment leads to production of self dsRNA from ERVs, short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), and other repetitive DNA elements, triggering an innate immune response that resembles the response induced by viral infections, or by ADAR1 KO in the absence of viral infection (14, 15, 28, 42). dsRNA signals through the MDAS-5R-1/2/MAVS/IRF3-IRF7 pathway to induce type I and III IFNs which, in turn, induce the expression of ISGs, including OA51 to 3, that mediate most biological effects of these IFNs. For example, DAC was shown to induce an IFN response in colorectal cancer-initiating cells (CICs) (Fig. 6A) and OA51. OA51-MAVS KO cells MDAS/IRF3/IRF7-/- were resistant to IR treatment. M. Long-term growth of CICs was inhibited following transient treatment with a low dose of DAC. Similarly, the cellular response to DNMTi’s (AZA or DAC) was characterized by high expression of ERVs and IFN, which sensitized melanoma to immunotherapy with anti-CTLA-4 (15).

dsRNA also directly activates two types of IFN-induced enzymes, the protein kinase PKR, which blocks translational initiation, and OA51 to 3, which synthesize 2-5A activators of RNase L (43). The only well-established function of 2-5A is activation of RNase L, an antiviral protein with prophagocytotic activity (21, 25, 26, 36). Here we observe that deletion of RNase L or OA51 to 3 isoforms renders cells highly resistant to AZA-induced death. These results are in contrast to the consequences of viral infections of cells, in which OAS3 is the dominant OAS isoform, at least in some cell types (33). In addition to demethylating DNA, AZA treatment leads to RNA demethylation (44), which increases RNA immune signaling through TLR3 (45). However, the dependence on the dsRNA-activated OAS-RNase L pathway for AZA-induced cell death suggests that it is DNA demethylation leading to dsRNA, and not RNA demethylation, that is responsible for the cytotoxic response. RNase L causes apoptosis through a ribotoxic stress pathway involving JNK-dependent signaling (29). Consistent with the involvement of RNase L in AZA-induced cell death is the observation that a small-molecule inhibitor of JNK suppresses apoptosis in response to AZA.

Previously, AZA-induced cytotoxicity was linked to reversible DNA damage stemming from the formation of covalent complexes between DNA and DNMTs (46, 47). Also, during DNA damage induced by IR or chemotherapeutic agents, U1 and U2 small noncoding RNAs accumulate in the cytoplasm, activating the pathogen recognition receptor RIG-I and IFN-dependent signaling (32). In support of this idea, we show here that IR increases the cytotoxicity of AZA through RNase L. Very interestingly, many different primary malignant tumors, including those of the head and neck, lung, prostate, breast, and high-grade gliomas, express high levels of OAS expression as part of the IFN-related DNA
damage resistance signature (41). Therefore, AZA-induced cell death through OAS-RNase L is likely to preferentially target these cancer cells in vivo.

Chemically or Genetically Induced Self dsRNA Triggers Activation of OAS-RNase L and Cell Death. Genetic deficiency in the dsRNA-editing enzyme ADAR1 can lead to RNase L-dependent death (28) or IFN-stimulated translational arrest through PKR (48). There are similarities between the cellular effects of AZA treatment and ADAR1 mutation, in that both lead to the formation of self dsRNA, transcribed from repetitive DNA elements, including Alu (SINE) and hERV elements (14, 15, 48). When ADAR1 is mutated, the deficiency in the dsRNA editing is believed to lead to increased activation of OAS-RNase L, whereas during AZA treatment it is likely that increased transcription of repetitive DNA elements causes OAS-RNase L activation. Since ADAR1 deletion is lethal in A549 cells, we used ADAR1-RNase L DKO A549 cells in our experiments (28). Because both ADAR1 knockout and AZA treatment result in the synthesis of self dsRNA, the combination of ADAR1 deficiency with AZA treatment was especially cytotoxic.

MAVS Is Not Required for AZA-Induced Cell Death. Induction of type I IFNs by dsRNA occurs through the MDA5-RIG-I/MAVS/IRF3–IRF7-NF-κB signaling pathway (49). To determine whether the same pathway is required for AZA-induced cell death, MAVS KO cells were studied. MAVS deletion reduced but did not prevent cell death by OAS-RNase L in response to AZA treatment, a result similar to our findings in ADAR1 KO cells (28). Therefore, basal levels of OAS isozymes are sufficient to mediate cell death through RNase L activation (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Table S1). Prior studies on mouse hepatitis virus showed that, in mouse bone marrow macrophages, basal levels of OAS are sufficient for RNase L activation (33, 50). Taken together, these results reveal that IFN does not need to be induced for an antiviral or cell-death response through OAS-RNase L, provided that sufficient basal levels of OAS and RNase L are present. Furthermore, the correlation of basal OAS1 and OASL levels with AZA sensitivity in the NCI-60 tumor cell panel indicates that OAS1 (or OASL) can be a biomarker for AZA sensitivity in cancer.

DNMTi's, RNase L, and Cancer. Prior studies on the antitumor activities of AZA and DAC showed effects against melanoma through increased immune checkpoint therapy (15) and against colorectal cancer through targeting of colorectal cancer-initiating cells (14). Also, an earlier study showed that DAC could overcome resistance to IFN-induced apoptosis in renal carcinoma and melanoma (51). DNMTi treatment of mouse cells with mutant p53 led to massive dsRNA accumulation, originating at least in part from the transcription of repetitive DNA elements, culminating in a cellular suicidal type I IFN response (42). The transcribed repetitive DNA elements included SINEs, satellite repeats, endogenous IAP retroviral DNA, and noncoding RNA genes (42). However, A549 and HME cells are p53-wild type (52, 53), yet both are AZA-sensitive.

**Fig. 5.** Effect of RNase L on cell death during AZA treatment is increased by (A and B) KO of PDE12 and/or AKAP7, (C and D) 2-5A transfection, (E–G) KO of ADAR1 or its p150 isoform, or (H–J) IR. (A) Western blots of vector control WT, AKAP7 KO, PDE12 KO, and PDE12-AKAP7 DKO A549 cells, probed with the indicated antibodies. (B) Percent survival of WT, AKAP7 KO, PDE12 KO, and PDE12-AKAP7 DKO cells after AZA treatment. The data are the averages ± SD of three biological replicates. Three biological replicates were performed, each with a minimum of three technical replicates. (C and D) Percent survival of WT and RNase L KO cells with and without 1 μM 2-5A transfection. (E) Western blots of WT, ADAR1 p150 KO, ADAR1-RNase L DKO, ADAR1-MAVS DKO, and ADAR1-RNase L-MAVS TKO cells. (F and G) Percent cell survival after AZA treatment. The data are the averages ± SD of three identical replicates. Three biological replicates were performed, each with a minimum of three technical replicates. (H–J) Percent cell survival after IR (10 Gy) for 30 min, followed by AZA treatment in comparison with mock treatments. The data are the averages ± SD of three identical replicates. Two biological replicates were performed, each with a minimum of three technical replicates. **P < 0.01, ****P < 0.0001.

**Fig. 6.** Basal OAS1 and OASL expression correlate with AZA sensitivity among NCI-60 tumor cell lines. Drug sensitivity to AZA is represented as GI50, the drug concentration resulting in a 50% growth reduction, quantified by measurement of total RNA at day 6 (raw data were downloaded from the National Cancer Institute Development Therapeutics Program; dtp.nci.nih.gov) (higher GI50 indicates less sensitivity to drug). GI50 was correlated with expression of OAS1 (A) and OASL (B) in the cell lines (gene expression values by microarray from the Gene Expression Omnibus database, accession no. GSE5846). Probe sets were 205552_s_at (for OAS1) and 210797_s_at (for OASL). The statistical method is Spearman’s ranked correlation coefficient test, calculated using SAS v9 software.
Therefore, AZA sensitivity, and the involvement of RNase L in AZA-induced cell death, is not limited to cells with mutant p53, at least not in the human cell types examined in this study.

Our findings suggest strategies for increasing the anticancer effects of AZA. For instance, combining AZA with IR was highly effective in eliminating tumor cells in an RNase L-dependent manner. We also showed that knockdown of PDE12 or AKAP7, which impair 2-5A degradation, increased tumor cell killing by AZA. Therefore, treatments that increase RNase L activity directly or indirectly, for instance by inhibiting PDE12 (30, 37) and/or AKAP7 (31), are predicted to increase the antitumor activities of AZA or DAC. Alternatively, inhibitors of RNase L, such VL, are expected to mitigate the cytotoxicity of AZA. Therefore, our studies have therapeutic implications for either increasing the efficacy of DNMTi treatment of cancer or mitigating its toxicity.

Materials and Methods
Detailed materials and methods for construction of gene knockout cells, immunoblotting procedures, cell-death assays, caspase-3/7 assays, IR, immunofluorescence, and statistics are described in SI Appendix.
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