Intertwined Weyl phases emergent from higher-order topology and unconventional Weyl fermions via crystalline symmetry
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We discover three-dimensional intertwined Weyl phases, by developing a theory to create topological phases. The theory is based on intertwining existing topological gapped and gapless phases protected by the same crystalline symmetry. The intertwined Weyl phases feature both unconventional Weyl semimetallic (monopole charge $>1$) and higher-order topological phases, and more importantly, their exotic intertwining. While the two phases are independently stabilized by the same symmetry, their intertwining results in the specific distribution of them in the bulk. The construction mechanism allows us to combine different kinds of unconventional Weyl semimetallic and higher-order topological phases to generate distinct phases. Remarkably, on 2D surfaces, the intertwining causes the Fermi-arc topology to change in a periodic pattern against surface orientation. This feature provides a characteristic and feasible signature to probe the intertwining Weyl phases. Moreover, we provide guidelines for searching candidate materials, and elaborate on emulating the intertwined double-Weyl phase in cold-atom experiments.

INTRODUCTION

Owing to fertile ground of crystalline symmetries, topological gapless phases, characterized by nontrivial band degeneracies, have been undergoing rapid development in condensed matter physics [1–3]. A representative example is the diversification of prototypical Weyl phases. Beyond conventional Weyl fermions with monopole charge $\pm 1$ [4–9], unconventional Weyl fermions, which possess a higher monopole charge due to crystalline symmetry, were discovered [10–19]. For instance, threefold Weyl fermions with charge $\pm 2$ can be stabilized by a nonsymmorphic symmetry [10–13], and double(triple)-Weyl fermions with a quadratic(cubic) twofold degeneracy can be protected by a rotation symmetry [14–19].

On the other hand, crystalline symmetries have largely enriched topological gapped phases [20–31]. Among these phases, higher-order topological insulators, which feature anomalous boundary states, have drawn particular attention recently [32–45]. In contrast to conventional bulk-boundary correspondence, i.e., a $d$-dimensional bulk topology corresponds to $(d-1)$-dimensional boundary states, the boundary states of higher-order topological insulators are further restricted by crystalline symmetries and exhibit boundary states in a lower dimension, e.g., corner or hinge states. Recently, it has been found that higher-order topological semimetals (e.g. Dirac, Weyl, and nodal-line) can be realized by nontrivially stacking higher-order insulators [46–58]. Specifically, higher-order Weyl semimetals were discovered by stacking two-dimensional (2D) higher-order topological insulators, with broken time-reversal and/or inversion symmetry, in a third dimension [50–55]. Note that in this process, for electronic systems, the Kramers degeneracy of the original higher-order topological insulators, if any, has to be broken before stacking [50]. In this regard, the higher-order Weyl semimetal phase depends on the 2D sub higher-order phases. The phase transition between these phases results in the higher-order Weyl points, which are typically of conventional type with charge $\pm 1$.

Though topological gapless phases characterized by nontrivial band degeneracies, and topological gapped phases featuring anomalous boundary states, can be stabilized by the same crystalline symmetry, so far, their interplay remains to be explored.

In this work, we develop a theory to generate topological phases of matter, by intertwining existing ones protected by a crystalline symmetry. We discover intertwined Weyl phases, which feature the exotic interplay of unconventional Weyl fermions and higher-order topology. In the bulk, the two phases are independently stabilized by the crystalline symmetry: the Weyl fermions have a monopole charge larger than 1 by the symmetry, and a higher-order topological phase was further superposed by the symmetry. The intertwining results in a specific distribution of the two phases in the bulk, i.e., the higher-order topology exists in the region outside pairs of unconventional Weyl points with opposite charges. Due to their independence, the two phases are separately tunable. The combination of different unconventional Weyl semimetallic and higher-order topological phases results in distinct intertwined Weyl phases. Note that this mechanism is different from the higher-order Weyl semimetal which is determined by the higher-order topology as discussed above.
RESULTS
Intertwining topological phases by crystalline symmetry

We begin by showing how to intertwine topological phases by crystalline symmetry. The essential physics can be captured by the following simple but generic Hamiltonian in 3D momentum space,

\[ H(k) = H_{\text{Weyl}} + m \Gamma_h \]

\[ = k_+^n \tau_3 \sigma_- + k_-^n \tau_3 \sigma_+ + k_z \tau_3 \sigma_3 + m \Gamma_h, \]

where \( k_\pm = k_x \pm i k_y \), \( \sigma_\pm = (\sigma_1 \pm i \sigma_2)/2 \), and \( \sigma_i \) and \( \tau_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)) are Pauli matrices for (pseudo)spin and orbital degrees of freedom, respectively. \( H_{\text{Weyl}} \), responsible for generating unconventional Weyl points, is superposed with \( m \Gamma_h \), a term for introducing higher-order topology. \( m \) is a real model parameter, and \( \Gamma_h \) a \( 4 \times 4 \) matrix. After being projected to the boundary, \( m \Gamma_h \) acts as a mass term that is constrained by the symmetry, as we will discuss in Eqs. (3) and (4). Each of the two parts is invariant under the \( 2n \)-fold rotation symmetry \( C_{2n} \) about the \( z \) axis, with \( 2n \leq 6 \) by lattice restriction (\( n \in \{2, 3\} \)).

As shown in Figure 1, the intertwining can be induced by a crystalline symmetry that superposes the two phases at the same time:

1. The bulk Weyl points with higher monopole charge are stabilized by rotation symmetry. Unconventional Weyl points with charge \( \pm n \) (\( n > 1 \)) can be generated by breaking time-reversal and/or inversion symmetry in \( H_{\text{Weyl}} \). After the substitution of \( (k_x, k_y) = (k \cos \theta, k \sin \theta) \) [see Figure 2(a)], \( H_{\text{Weyl}} \) can be rewritten as

\[ H_{\text{Weyl}}(\theta) = k^n e^{-i n \theta} \tau_3 \sigma_- + k^n e^{-i n \theta} \tau_3 \sigma_+ + k_z \tau_3 \sigma_3. \]

As can be seen, these unconventional Weyl points are protected by rotation symmetry [14]

\[ \hat{C}_{2n} H_{\text{Weyl}}(\theta) \hat{C}_{2n}^{-1} = H_{\text{Weyl}}(R_{2n} \theta). \]

Here, \( \hat{C}_{2n} = \tau_3 \sigma_3 \), and \( R_{2n} \theta = \theta + \pi/n \) acts in momentum space.

2. The higher-order topology is further enforced by rotation symmetry. The topological protection by symmetry can be explicitly demonstrated at the boundary. After projecting the Hamiltonian to the boundary subspace, we can get an effective Hamiltonian on the surface in the form of (See Supplementary Note 2 for derivation details.)

\[ h_{\text{surface}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i k_i \sigma_3 + m(\theta) \gamma_h, \]

where \( a_i \) is a real coefficient, which may depend on \( k_z \), \( \theta \) is the surface orientation, and \( k_i \) the momentum parallel to the surface [see Figure 2(a)]. The higher-order term \( m(\theta) \gamma_h \), originated from \( m \Gamma_h \), is still rotation-symmetric, \( \hat{C}_{2n} m(\theta) \gamma_h \hat{C}_{2n}^{-1} = m(R_{2n} \theta) \gamma_h \), with the projected rotation operator \( \hat{C}_{2n} = \sigma_3 \). Note that \( m(\theta) \), which stems from the constant \( m \) term in Eq. (1), becomes \( \theta \)-dependent.
after the projection by the orientation-dependent boundary wavefunctions. $\gamma$ anticommutes with $\sigma_\parallel$, e.g., $\sigma_1$ or $\sigma_2$, so that it acts as a mass term in Eq. (3). Thus, we obtain

$$m(\theta) = -m(R_{2\theta}) = -m(\theta + \pi/n). \quad (4)$$

This relation means $m(\theta)$ must have a zero value between $\theta$ and $\theta + \pi/n$, which leads to a gapless point in the surface spectrum. The 1D Hamiltonian (3) for a fixed $k_z$ is characterized by a $\mathbb{Z}$ topological invariant. Note that the same mechanism leads to higher-order topological insulators protected by crystalline symmetry, where gapless points correspond to corner or hinge modes [43, 44]. Here, as a manifestation of higher-order topology, the gapless points result in 1D hinge states, as shown by the green solid lines in Figure 1.

The two topological phases in superposition no longer act individually, but intertwine with each other, as we discuss next. Thus, we refer to the resultant phase as ‘intertwined Weyl phase’, which is different from each individual phase.

We emphasize that in the intertwined Weyl phase, the unconventional Weyl points and the higher-order topology are independently stabilized by the symmetry. This is different from the higher-order Weyl semimetals, where the higher-order Weyl points depend on the higher-order topological phases and are typically conventional ones of topological charge-1 [51–55]. Due to the independent nature of the two phases, they can be tuned separately to generate various intertwined Weyl phases. Specifically, different unconventional Weyl fermion phases can be incorporated to build with higher-order topological phase under the same or different crystalline symmetries, resulting in distinct kinds of intertwined Weyl phases, as we will discuss later.

**Intertwining and the change of Fermi-arc topology in a periodic pattern**

In the bulk, the intertwining results in a specific distribution of the two phases. This is because the higher-order topology cannot survive in regions with non-trivial Chern number. To have vanishing Chern number, it is required that the unconventional Weyl points with opposite charges appear in pairs. In this way, there can exist regions with trivial Chern numbers to host higher-order topology. As shown in Figure 2 by green arrows, the higher-order topological (HOT) phase exists outside pairs of unconventional Weyl points with opposite charges.

Inside pairs of unconventional Weyl points, e.g., the lower and upper two sets of Weyl points in Figure 2(b), there is no $m(\theta)$, the surface Hamiltonian (3) describes Fermi arcs like those in unconventional Weyl semimetals. On 2D surfaces, the existence of these Fermi arcs is isotropic, i.e., they do not depend on surface orientation $\theta$. However, in HOT regions, the higher-order topological term $m(\theta)$ exists. The existence of Fermi arcs in this region depends on $m(\theta)$ and becomes anisotropic. We find that due to the intertwining of the two parts of Fermi arcs, the Fermi-arc topology on 2D surfaces is drastically changed. We use $m(\theta)$ in Eq. (4) to explain the phenomenon in detail below.

First, the gapless point enforced by the higher-order topological phase in Eq. (4) crucially affects the Fermi-arc topology. We use the spectrum of the surface Hamiltonian (3), $E_{\text{surface}} = \pm \sqrt{(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i k_i^2)^2 + m^2(\theta)}$, for illustration. If there is no gapless point $[m(\theta) \neq 0]$ in the HOT phase region for a specific angle $\theta$, no Fermi arc can go through this HOT phase region because $E_{\text{surface}}$ is gapped, e.g., as shown by Figures 2 (b) and (d). In contrast, if there is a gapless point $[m(\theta) = 0]$ in HOT region, Fermi arcs can go through this HOT region because $E_{\text{surface}}$ is gapless for some $k_i$, e.g., as shown by Figure 2 (c). In this regard, the gapless point $[m(\theta) = 0]$ determines whether the Fermi arcs can go through the higher-order phase region at an angle $\theta$. Thus, strikingly, the Fermi-arc topology changes with $\theta$. 

![Figure 2. The intertwining results in the change of Fermi-arc topology in a periodic pattern.](image-url)
Second, \( m(\theta) \) in Eq. (4) is antiperiodic.Remarkably, it becomes periodic in the surface spectrum \( E_{\text{surface}} \) after taking the square, since the square of \( m(\theta) \) obeys

\[
m^2(\theta) = m^2(\theta + \pi/n),
\]

which has a period of \( \pi/n \).

The periodic function \( m^2(\theta) \) indicates that the topology of Fermi arcs not only changes with the surface orientation \( \theta \), but also in a periodic pattern. We emphasize that the change of Fermi-arc topology comes from the intertwining of unconventional Weyl fermions and higher-order topology. Thus, this phenomenon is absent in any individual phase alone. This is distinct from Ref. [59], where the edge states in different samples are absent in any individual phase alone. This is distinct from the intertwining of unconventional Weyl fermions and higher-order topology. Thus, it constitutes the characteristic feature of intertwined Weyl phases.

After figuring out how \( m(\theta) \) influences the behaviour of boundary states on the 2D surfaces, it is also necessary to discuss its impact on the boundary states on the 1D hinges. The hinge states, seen in the HOT phases, are determined by the condition \( m(\theta) = 0 \). For \( m(\theta) \neq 0 \), no localized zero modes can be observed. This is in accordance with the behaviour of the higher-order topological phase. Thus, the hinge states of higher-order topology can still be observed in 1D, as shown by the green lines in Figure 1.

Exemplification of intertwined double-Weyl and triple-Weyl phases

The intertwined double-Weyl phase is generated by the fourfold rotation symmetry \( C_4 \), i.e., \( n = 2 \), as we show previously. The Weyl points carry a monopole charge of \( \pm 2 \), which emit two Fermi arcs on the surface. According to Eq. (5), on 2D surfaces, the change of Fermi-arc topology is of period \( \pi/2 \). In Figures 2(b, c, d), we show the topology of Fermi arcs in three representative orientations of \( \theta = 0, \theta = \pi/4, \) and \( \theta = \pi/2 \) in a full period of \([0, \pi/2] \), respectively. Clearly, by rotating surfaces, the Fermi-arc topology changes from Figure 2(b) \( \theta = 0 \) to Figure 2(c) \( \theta = \pi/4 \), and then returns to the initial topology in Figure 2(d) \( \theta = \pi/2 \). On 1D hinges, the boundary states occur at \( m^2(\theta) = 0 \), e.g., \( \theta = n\pi/4 \) with \( n = 1, 3, 5, 7 \). This is in accordance with the boundary states of higher-order topological phase with \( C_4 \) symmetry, as shown in Figure 1(a).

A similar story applies to the intertwined triple-Weyl phase which is enforced by sixfold rotation symmetry \( C_6 \), i.e., \( n = 3 \). On 2D surfaces, each triple-Weyl point emits three Fermi arcs on the surface, and the topology of Fermi arcs changes periodically with a period of \( \pi/3 \), as shown by Figures 2(e, f, g) in a full period \([0, \pi/3] \). On 1D hinges, the boundary states occur at, e.g., \( \theta = n\pi/6 \) with \( n = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 \). They are in accordance with the boundary states of higher-order topological phase with \( C_6 \) symmetry, as shown in Figure 1(b).

**Exemplification of intertwined double-Weyl and triple-Weyl phases**

**Intertwined double-Weyl phase**

We now apply our theory to the intertwined double-Weyl semimetals, which can be realized in cold-atom experiments or other artificial systems. The model Hamiltonian reads

\[
H(\mathbf{k}) = 2A(\cos k_y - \cos k_z)\tau_3\sigma_1 + 2A\sin k_x \sin k_y \tau_3\sigma_2 + M(\mathbf{k})\tau_3\sigma_3 + \epsilon\tau_0\sigma_3 + m\tau_1\sigma_1,
\]

where \( M(\mathbf{k}) = M_0 - 2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y + \cos k_z) \). The four double-Weyl points are located on the \( k_z \) axis at \( k_z = \pm k_{w1} \) and \( k_z = \pm k_{w2} \), where \( k_{w1(2)} = \arccos((M - (\pm)\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + m^2})/2t) \) with \( M = M_0 - 4t \). By series expansion around the Weyl points at \((0, 0, \pm k_{w1(2)}) \), i.e., \((k_x, k_y, k_z) \rightarrow (\delta k\cos\theta, \delta k\sin\theta, \delta k z) \), the form of the low energy model \( H(\mathbf{k}) = A\delta k e^{2i\theta}\tau_3\sigma_z + A\delta k e^{-2i\theta}\tau_3\sigma_z + t\delta k\tau_3\sigma_3 + \epsilon\tau_0\sigma_3 + m\tau_1\sigma_1 \) is the same as Eq. (1). Double-Weyl points are generated by the \( \epsilon \) term that breaks time-reversal symmetry. They possess monopole charge of \( \pm 2 \) [60, 61]. \( m\tau_1\sigma_1 \) corresponds to \( m\Gamma_3^2 \) in Eq. (1), which is responsible for the higher-order topology. The whole system is protected by fourfold rotation symmetry \( C_4 = \sigma_3\tau_3 \). The Fermi arcs shown in Figures 2 (b)-(d) are numerically calculated by using the model (6) with open boundary conditions.

In Figure 3 (a), the Chern number in \( k_x k_y \)-plane against \( k_z \) is plotted. Here, without loss of generality, we assume \( k_{w2} < k_{w1} \), for the chosen parameters given...
in the caption of Figure 3. We can see that the Chern number changes by 2 when passing a double-Weyl point. This is because the Chern number on the surface that encloses the Weyl point equals to the monopole charge, as it does for conventional Weyl phases. This explains the non-trivial Chern number between the upper pair \( k_z \in (k_{w2}, k_{w1}) \) and lower pair \( k_z \in (-k_{w1}, -k_{w2}) \) of Weyl points. However, the distribution of the Chern number in the intertwined phase is different from conventional ones. In order to host higher-order topological phases, it is required to have a region where the Chern number vanishes. Here, the region is between the lower and upper pairs of Weyl points, i.e., \( k_z \in (-k_{w2}, k_{w2}) \), as shown by the HOT region (green arrow) in Figure 3. In this region, the existence of boundary states depends on the orientation \( \theta \), as shown by Figure 3 (c). In contrast, in the region with non-zero Chern number, i.e., inside the two sets (upper and lower) of Weyl points, the Fermi arcs exist regardless of the angle \( \theta \).

Effective boundary theory

To understand the periodic behavior of Fermi-arc topology, a boundary theory applicable to any surface orientation is constructive. We can achieve this goal by firstly deriving two boundary states for each \( \theta \) in the absence of the higher-order term \( m \tau_1 \sigma_1 \) in Eq. (6). The spinor part of two boundary states takes the form of \( \psi_1 \propto (e^{-2i\theta}, \sqrt{2} + 1, 0, 0) \) approximately in the region of \( k_z \in (-k_{w2}, k_{w2}) \), and \( \psi_2 \propto (0, 0, e^{-2i\theta}, \sqrt{2} + 1) \) in the region of \( k_z \in (-k_{w1}, k_{w1}) \). Note that the contribution from spatial part of the boundary states does not affect the main results, and is neglected for simplicity. By projecting the whole Hamiltonian into the subspace spanned by the boundary states, we can obtain the effective boundary Hamiltonian as (See Supplementary Note 1 and 2 for derivation details.)

\[
h_{\text{surf}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (2k_{\parallel} - k_z^2)\sigma_3 - m \cos(2\theta)\sigma_1 \right].
\] (7)

up to a constant term \(-1/\sqrt{2}\sigma_0\), and \( k_z^2 = 2t \cos k_z - M \). Clearly, the boundary Hamiltonian is in the form of Eq. (3). The effective Hamiltonian is valid in the region where \( \psi_1 \) and \( \psi_2 \) overlap, i.e., \( k_z \in (-k_{w2}, k_{w2}) \) between the middle two Weyl points, where the Chern number is trivial. On a 1D hinge, the gapless points at \( m \cos(2\theta) = 0 \) result in hinge Fermi arcs, as shown by the green solid lines in Figure 1.

On 2D surfaces, clearly, the periodic change of Fermi-arc topology, shown in Figures 2 (b)-(d), is caused by the higher-order term \( m^2 \cos^2(2\theta) \). The period is \( \pi/2 \), as determined by \( m^2 \cos^2(2\theta) \) in the spectrum, in accordance with the general theory of Eq. (5). Within a single period, the higher-order topology enforces the appearance of gapless point [Eq. (4)], which is located at \( m \cos(2\theta) = 0 \). The gapless point drastically changes the topology of Fermi arcs, because it determines whether the arcs can go through the region of \( k_z \in (-k_{w2}, k_{w2}) \) between the middle two Weyl points or not. Figures 2 (b)-(d) show three representative orientations in a full period of \( \theta \in [0, \pi/2] \). The Fermi arcs cannot go through the region between the two middle Weyl points at \( \theta = 0 \). After rotating to \( \theta = \pi/4 \) at the gapless point, the Fermi arcs are allowed to go through. Finally, at \( \theta = \pi/2 \), the Fermi arcs return to their initial topology, completing one period.

Guidelines for material search

Two key ingredients of the discussed intertwined Weyl phases are fourfold (sixfold) rotation symmetry and the unconventional Weyl points on the rotation axis. Both requirements can be fulfilled in tetragonal, cubic, or hexagonal space groups, where the desired symmetries emerge. There is a correspondence between the absolute value of the chirality of a crossing and the rotation eigenvalues of the involved bands [62], such that a crossing between certain rotation eigenvalues leads to the required unconventional Weyl points. The total phase of eigenvalues accumulated by such crossings for any pair of bands is restricted by the periodicity of Brillouin zone. To be more specific, to obtain crossings of the required charge of \( \pm2 \) (\( \pm3 \)), the phase of the eigenvalues of fourfold (sixfold) rotation must change by \( \pi \). By looking at Figure 1 it is evident that intertwined Weyl points require an even number of such changes of the eigenvalue phase. Thus, the necessary crossings can only occur if the total accumulated phase, which is consistent with the periodicity of the Brillouin zone, is equal to 0 mod 2\( \pi \), like it is fulfilled in Eq. (6). This excludes for nonsymmorphic systems certain filling factors, where the \( k \)-dependence of rotation eigenvalues would, for example, require an odd number of crossings. An appropriate filling \( b \) for a 2\( n \)-fold rotation with a fractional translation of \( \frac{2n}{5} \) has to fulfill \( \frac{2nb}{5} \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Commonly, a set of four crossings like in Figure 1 would be accidental. Nevertheless, enforced crossings can be found within fourfold degenerate points, e.g., in space groups 106 and 133 on the path M-A. These comprise unconventional Weyl points related by mirror symmetry [63]. Hereby, mirror pairs of enforced unconventional Weyl points coincide. If a weak time-reversal and mirror symmetry breaking is introduced to such a system, one may obtain Weyl points in the configuration discussed in Figure 1(a) with no other enforced bands at the Fermi energy. A comprehensive material search is left for future works.

Cold-atom experimental realization

Owing to technical advances, cold atoms have been widely applied in quantum simulations of topological matter [64–67], and now are also readily available for realizing our intertwined double-Weyl semimetal described by Eq. (6). Here we present the realization proposal using fermionic atoms. We choose two hyperfine states as the pseudo-spins \( \uparrow \downarrow \) for the \( \sigma \) degrees of freedom. For our model Hamiltonian, which requires two extra
degrees of freedom, we consider the atomic gases loaded in a 2D bilayer optical lattice, and thus, the layer index $\lambda$ is used to represent the $\tau$ subspace. The setup is illustrated in Figure 4. The energy offset of the hyperfine states is prepared as $\Gamma_\lambda(\phi)$ which not only depends on the layer index but also is manually controlled by the parameter $\phi$.

In order to engineer the intra-layer spin-flipped hopping, we use laser fields of three modes to couple the pseudo-spins. The spatial modulations of the field modes are prepared as $M_1(\mathbf{r}) = iM_1 \sin(k_{1L}x) \sin(k_{1y})$, $M_2(\mathbf{r}) = M_2 \cos(k_{1L}x) \cos(3k_{1y}) \cos(k_{1z})$, and $M_4(\mathbf{r}) = -M_2 \cos(3k_{1L}x) \cos(k_{1y}) \cos(k_{1z})$, where $k_{1L} = \pi/d$ and $d$ denotes the lattice constant. Due to the odd parity of $M_1(\mathbf{r})$ in the $xy$-plane [69], the on-site and nearest-neighbor (NN) couplings vanish, while the next-NN coupling dominates, resulting in $\sin k_{1z} \sin k_{1y} \sigma_1$. Due to the crystalline symmetry, the combination of $M_2(\mathbf{r})$ and $M_4(\mathbf{r})$ leads to the NN coupling $(\cos k_{1y} - \cos k_{1z}) \sigma_1$. After making operator transformations, all the intra-layer terms host opposite signs for different layers. Furthermore, the higher-order topological term $\tau \sigma_1$ is naturally introduced by the inter-layer hopping. The details of the realization proposal are shown in the Supplementary Note 3.

DISCUSSION

Based on the theory to create topological phases by intertwining existing ones, we have discovered the intertwined Weyl phase. The intertwined Weyl phase is different from the individual unconventional Weyl semimetallic phase or higher-order topological phase, and exhibits its distinct characteristic topological features.

The intertwining results in the drastic change of Fermi-arc topology in a periodic pattern, which constitutes the characteristic feature of the intertwined phase. We have proposed a feasible cold-atom experiment to verify our theory and to realize the intertwined Weyl phases.

Our theory could serve as a guiding principle to generate topological phases based on existing ones. A direct application would be to investigate the intertwining between topological gapless phases with emergent particles other than Weyl fermions and topological crystalline phases, that are protected by the same symmetry [28–30, 70, 71].

Finally, we note that Fermi-surface topology is crucial for electronic properties of material. The change of Fermi-arc topology in the intertwined Weyl phases offers a direction of tuning Fermi-surface topology. It would inspire further research on electrical, magnetic, thermodynamic, and transport properties, that are determined by Fermi-surface topology. For instance, a potential application is to investigate the quantum oscillations of Fermi-arc surface states [71]. These oscillations are periodic against the inverse of magnetic field $1/B$. Their frequency $F$ is determined by the Onsager relation $F = \Phi_0/(2\pi^2)A_s$. Here $\Phi_0 = h/2e$ is the magnetic flux quantum, and $A_s$ is the Fermi surface cross section. In intertwined Weyl phases, the cross section $A_s$ depends on the surface orientation $\theta$. As shown in Figure 3 (c), by changing $\theta$, one may interpolate between small Fermi surfaces (localized around $k_{w1}$ and $k_{w2}$) and extended Fermi surfaces (connecting $k_{w1(2)}$ to $-k_{w1(2)}$), which must yield a substantial change in the observed quantum oscillation spectra. Thus, the intertwined Weyl phases are expected to have a significant change of quantum oscillations upon rotating surface termination.

METHODS

Analytical derivation and symmetry analysis

The derivation of the analytical results makes use of the $k \cdot p$ methods and symmetry analysis. The numerical calculation is based on tight-binding model and Green’s function method. Details of these derivations are given in the Supplementary Notes 1, 2, and 4. This includes the calculation of Fermi arcs in intertwined double-Weyl, triple-Weyl semimetals, and the analysis of symmetry for intertwined double-Weyl and triple-Weyl semimetals. The Supplementary Note 3 also contains a detailed discussion of the cold-atom realization of intertwined double-Weyl semimetals.
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