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Correlation between Renal Artery Anatomy and Hypertension: A Retrospective Analysis of 3000 Patients

Jiayi Shen, Lingchun Lyu, Xiaoyan Wu, Jiansong Ji, Chunlai Zeng, Shan Li, Yanan Zhao, Jian Xu, Li Lin, Chenyin Lu, Wei Mao, and Tiemin Wei

1Lishui Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Lishui, Zhejiang 323000, China
2Lishui Cardiovascular Clinical Research Center, Lishui, Zhejiang 323000, China
3Key Laboratory of Imaging Diagnosis and Minimally Invasive Intervention Research, Lishui, Zhejiang 323000, China
4Lishui Cardiovascular & Cerebrovascular Diseases Control Centre, Lishui, Zhejiang 323000, China
5Zhejiang Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Hangzhou 310003, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Wei Mao; maoweilw@163.com and Tiemin Wei; lswtm@sina.com

Received 4 April 2021; Revised 4 December 2021; Accepted 13 December 2021; Published 29 December 2021

Abstract

Objective. To assess the correlation between renal artery anatomy and blood pressure in Undiagnosed Hypertension and Diagnosed Hypertension. Methods. The renal artery CT scanning imaging data and laboratory data of 3000 inpatients and outpatients were collected retrospectively in 4 centers of China. Morphometric parameters were assessed using the quantitative vascular analysis (unit: mM). Results. 687 cases (23.2%) had accessory renal arteries unilaterally, and 216 cases (7.3%) had bilateral accessory renal arteries, including left kidney 825 (27.9%) and right kidney 798 (27.0%). The presence of accessory renal arteries and renal artery branches was higher in the diagnosed hypertension group as compared with the undiagnosed hypertension group (MARB, \( p < 0.001 \); ARA, \( p < 0.001 \); others, \( p < 0.001 \)). Consequently, multivariate regression analysis showed that age (OR = 2.519 (95% CI: 0.990–6.411, \( p < 0.001 \)), dyslipidemia (OR = 1.187 (95% CI: 0.960–1.454, \( p = 0.007 \)), renal hilum Outside the main renal artery branch (MRAB) (OR = 2.069 (95% CI: 1.614–2.524, \( p = 0.002 \)), and accessory renal artery (ARA) (OR = 2.071 (95% CI: 1.614–2.634, \( p < 0.001 \)) were risk factors of hypertension. In addition, higher renin activity was associated with ARA patients (2.19 ± 2.91 vs. 1.75 ± 2.85, \( p < 0.001 \)). Conclusions. When comparing renal arteries side by side, the anatomical length of the renal arteries is significantly different. In addition, the prevalence of accessory renal arteries and renal artery branches is higher in the hypertension group. The auxiliary renal artery and the main renal artery branch outside the renal portal are independent factors of hypertension. Renal sympathetic nerve activity is affected by renin activity and is related to the accessory renal artery.

1. Introduction

Hypertension is highly prevalent and is related to the morbidity and mortality of cardiovascular diseases. Hypertension remains the major risk factor for the most significant cardiovascular events such as stroke, myocardial infarction, and heart failure and one of the most prevalent chronic diseases [1]. The causes of hypertension are complicated, and kidney is an important cause of various complications of hypertension, including renal parenchymal lesions and renal vascular lesions. With increasing attention to renal vascular causes and hypertension goals, more detailed knowledge of renal artery anatomy is urgently needed [2–7]. The former is due to acute and chronic glomerulonephritis, diabetic nephropathy, chronic pyelonephritis, polycystic kidney and kidney transplantation, and other kidney diseases [8], whereas the latter is due to renal artery disease, leading to renal ischemia and activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. Few reports have investigated the correlation between the normal diameter of renal arteries and the occurrence and development of hypertension [9]. Changes in the anatomy of the renal artery may...
affect blood pressure control and hypertension. A recent study has shown that there is a correlation between the presence of accessory renal arteries and hypertension [10]. Knowledge of renal artery anatomy appears to be crucial for the understanding of the profound pathophysiology of hypertension and the development of intravascular treatment options [11]. Previous studies have reported that accessory renal arteries are significantly more common in patients with drug-resistant hypertension [12], but not in essential hypertension. There are also many correlations between anatomical variation of renal arteries and renal innervation therapy (RDN). During the 6-month follow-up, the diameter of the renal artery after RDN was related to changes in systolic blood pressure (SBP). The change of SBP has nothing to do with the length of renal artery and the existence of ARA [13]. Renal artery anatomy has been studied in people with refractory hypertension, but it is still lacking in people with general hypertension. This study attempts to explore the relationship between the anatomical structure of the kidneys, accessory renal arteries, and hypertension in 3,000 patients who underwent enhanced CT scan.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population. The study is a multicenter retrospective analysis. The renal artery CT scanning imaging data and laboratory data of 3000 inpatients and outpatients were collected retrospectively in 4 medical institutions in southwest China. Patients were selected between the ages of 45 and 75, whose electronic medical records without a history of urinary system surgery and aldosteronism. Due to lack of data and the exclusion criteria, 46 patients were excluded, and 2954 patients were selected for retrospective analysis. Diagnosed hypertension is defined as systolic office blood pressure (SBP) ≥140 mmHg or diastolic pressure (DBP) of ≥90 mmHg or has received antihypertensive therapy. Undiagnosed hypertension has no history of hypertension or normal blood pressure. Automated office blood pressures (AOBP) were obtained through an automated oscillatory measuring device (e.g., Omron HEM-705 monitor, Omron Healthcare, Vernon Hills, Illinois, USA). All blood pressure measurements were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the VII Joint National Committee. Current antihypertensive drugs had been confirmed by electronic medical records.

2.2. Renal CT Scan. Siemens dual-source computed tomography (CT) scanner (Siemens Definitive, Siemens Medical, Forchheim, Germany) was used for scanning with iodine contrast agent (iodixanol, 320 mg I/ml) at a flow rate of 3.5–4.5 ml/s; the scan parameters were as follows: collimator 192 × 0.6 mm, rotation time 0.25 seconds, spacing 3.2, start CARE KV technology (tube voltage adjustment range 70–120 kV), automatic tube current adjustment technology (CARE Dose 4D), refer to 320 mAs/rot in milliseconds. The image postprocessing parameters were as follows: the thickness of the abdominal aortic reconstruction layer is 0.75 mm, the interval between the layers is 0.5 mm, and the convolution kernel is Bv40. The reconstruction of aorta ranged from thoracic spine to pubic symphysis, the thickness of reconstructed layer was 1.0 mm, the interval between layers was 0.7 mm, and the circumflex nucleus was Bv40. All raw data were transmitted to the DECT postprocessing workstation (Syngo version VB10, Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany). including volume rendering, curved multiplane reforming, and maximum intensity projection.

2.3. Renal Artery Quantitative Analysis. Morphometric parameters such as minimum, mean, and maximum diameter as well as length were assessed using the Discover syngo.via (Syngo VIAL10B) as previously described (unit: mm) [13]. The accessory renal artery (ARA) was defined as a branch of abdominal aortic. MARB was defined as the main renal artery branch outside the renal hilum. Hemodynamically significant stenosis was excluded. The nomenclature of the renal arteries is shown in Figure 1. The parameter was recorded, and two experienced investigators were blind to the patient’s characteristics.

2.4. Renin Activity Test. The data of renin activity were obtained in the electronic laboratory system. Laboratory measurement process: for patients who were not taking antihypertensive drugs, venous blood should be drawn from the lying position after lying down for two hours. If patients had taken antihypertensive drugs, they should stop taking antihypertensive drugs the day before the examination. The venous blood was quickly injected into a special anticoagulation tube, shaken immediately, placed in an ice water bath to cool, and then taken out during centrifugation. After centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 5 minutes, plasma was separated and renin levels were measured using a Maglumi 2000 automatic analyzer (SNIBE, Shenzhen, China).

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Data management and all statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 23.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Data were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables and as numbers (%) for categorical variables unless otherwise stated. The comparison between two groups was performed using Pearson’s χ²-test or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test or the Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables (if applicable). p < 0.01 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Patients’ Population. The average age of patients was 62.21 ± 11.38 years old, and that of men was 46.86%. The undiagnosed hypertension group and the diagnosed hypertension group included 2028 patients and 926 patients, respectively. Type 2 diabetes and coronary artery disease (CAD) were prevalent in 291 (9.85%) and 221 (7.48%) patients, respectively. There were statistically significant differences between the two groups in age (61.08 ± 11.67 vs.
3.4. Analysis of Renal Artery Structure and Renin Activity. The higher renin activity was associated with ARA especially in the diagnosed hypertension group (Figure 3). The renin activity in patients with ARA of the diagnosed hypertension group (2.19 ± 2.91) was higher compared to patients with ARA of undiagnosed hypertension group (1.43 ± 2.07) and also higher than patients with NAR of diagnosed hypertension group (1.75 ± 2.85) (p < 0.001, both).

However, on both sides, patients with a diameter ≤4.0 mm had higher levels of renin activity than patients with a diameter >4 mm (Figure 4). In ANOVA analysis, renin activity was statistically different in different renal artery diameters on the left (p < 0.001) and right (p < 0.001).

3.5. Adjusted Risks of the Accessory Renal Artery in Hypertension Patients. Univariate logistic regression and multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the correlation between hypertension and ARA in the diagnosed hypertension group (Tables 4 and 5). In model 1, the odds of ARA (OR = 0.954; 95% CI = 0.741–1.229; p = 0.718) for hypertension was 0.954. However, in model 2 that included adjustments for age, sex, drinker, smoker, BMI, dyslipidemia, and right renal artery mean diameter + left renal artery mean, regression analysis indicated that the ARA (OR = 2.071; 95% CI = 1.614–2.634; p = 0.001) was independent of the hypertension. It shows that the diameter of renal artery is very important to the correlation between ARA and hypertension.

4. Discussion

The present study analyzed the renal vascular structure and accessory renal artery of 3000 patients and subsequently introduced the relationship between accessory renal artery and hypertension. The renal artery originates outside the aorta below the superior mesenteric artery. The right renal artery is longer than the left renal artery because it passes through the inferior vena cava [14, 15]. Our findings also confirm this finding. We also compared the diameters of the left and right aortic arteries. The diameter of the left aortic artery is larger, but there is no statistical difference. However, Palmieri et al. found there is no difference between the diameter of the main renal arteries with and without accessory renal arteries [14]. But we did not find a correlation between the diameter and length of renal artery and hypertension.

The prevalence of accessory renal arteries in Chinese is 30.5% (23.2% unilaterally and 7.3% bilaterally) similar to previous studies [16, 17]. The prevalence of MRAB and ARA was higher in the diagnosed hypertension group compared to undiagnosed hypertension group, which is ranging from 14.3% to 15.3% and 14.8% to 17.1%, respectively. Previous studies have shown uncertainty about gender differences in prevalence [14, 16], and our records indicate that male renal artery is more common than female.

Our results indicate that there is a correlation between renal sympathetic nerve activity and the average diameter of accessory renal artery and renal artery. Increased length of accessory renal artery and plaque can cause hemodynamic changes [18, 19]. Therefore, due to pathophysiological mechanisms, differences in renal vascular structure may lead to changes in the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system. A moderate decrease in renal blood flow may cause tissue...
hypoxia or damage, which may result in increased renal sympathetic nerve activity (RSNA). Consistent with this, our results indicate that the proportion of accessory renal arteries in patients with hypertension is higher (14.8% vs. 17.1%). The adjusted risk of accessory renal artery in hypertensive patients is 2.069 ($p < 0.002$). In the current multicenter retrospective analysis of the Chinese population, ARA is an independent risk factor for hypertension. Previous studies on hypertension in ARA [16, 18–20] have shown that ARA causes hypertension through the renin-angiotensin system. Angiographic study [18] has shown that the renal parenchyma slower blood flow and lower blood pressure leading to the perfusion defect in the supply area, thus resulting in increased renin secretion and increased blood pressure. A recent study also showed [19] that ARA was thinner and longer than the main renal artery, with lower perfusion pressure and higher blood flow resistance. Our results also confirm the link between renin and ARA and the diameter of the renal artery. It is suggested that lack of local perfusion may lead to increased renin secretion due to insufficient perfusion of renal artery and renal parenchyma [21, 22]. However, the general role of ARA in the development of hypertension is still elusive [23].

As with all studies, we should acknowledge the limitations of our work. Even though our CT scan shows the maximum diameter, minimum diameter, length, and type of accessory renal artery in the renal artery, we did not discuss differences in other geometric factors, such as angle effects. In addition, this study is a retrospective analysis of the structural differences between the accessory renal artery and renal aorta in patients diagnosed with hypertension, but no prospective analysis of the impact of these factors on hypertension. However, this study confirmed the correlation between renin secretion and accessory renal artery diameter and renal artery diameter, providing a new way to study the relationship between accessory renal artery and hypertension.

### Table 1: Baseline data between undiagnosed hypertension and diagnosed hypertension.

|                          | All patients | Undiagnosed hypertension | Diagnosed hypertension | $p$ value |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Number of patients       | 2954         | 2028                      | 926                    | —         |
| Age, years               | 62.21 ± 11.38| 61.08 ± 11.67             | 63.79 ± 11.27          | <0.01     |
| Sex, male n (%)          | 1385 (46.86) | 984 (48.49)               | 401 (43.30)            | <0.01     |
| Smoker, n (%)            | 1059 (35.86) | 751 (37.03)               | 308 (33.30)            | 0.05      |
| Drinker, n (%)           | 1678 (56.84) | 1193 (58.88)              | 485 (52.38)            | <0.001    |
| GFR, ml/(min * 1.73 m²)  | 72.69 ± 1.92 | 69.74 ± 1.86              | 74.80 ± 2.36           | <0.01     |
| Dyslipidemia             | 578 (19.57)  | 317 (15.64)               | 261 (28.19)            | <0.001    |
| Type 2 diabetes, n (%)   | 291 (9.85)   | 142 (7.00)                | 149 (16.09)            | <0.001    |
| CAD, n (%)               | 221 (7.48)   | 110 (5.42)                | 111 (11.99)            | <0.001    |

GFR = glomerular filtration rate; standard of dyslipidemia: triglyceride > 1.7 mmol/l or total cholesterol > 5.17 mmol/l; CAD, coronary artery disease.

### Table 2: Comparison of blood pressures, diagnostic rate of hypertension, and pulse pressure in each group.

|                          | All patients | Undiagnosed hypertension | Diagnosed hypertension | $P$ value |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Number of patients       | 2954         | 2028                      | 926                    | —         |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 129.5 ± 16.4 | 120.5 ± 10.9 | 140.9 ± 15.1 | <0.001 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 72.4 ± 8.1  | 70.0 ± 6.9   | 75.4 ± 8.6  | <0.001 |
| Pulse pressure (mmHg)    | 55.9 ± 13.7  | 49.7 ± 9.6   | 63.7 ± 14.2 | <0.001 |
| Number of antihypertensive dugs, n (%) | 799 (27.0) | —                     | 799 (86.3) | —         |

Figure 2: Types of renal artery and accessory renal artery described in enhanced renal CT scan. Different accessory renal arteries with renal CT scan. (a) No accessory renal artery (NAR); (b) main renal artery branches outside the renal hilum (MRAB); (c) the accessory renal artery (ARA).
Table 3: Comparison between undiagnosed hypertension and diagnosed hypertension.

|                                | All patients | Undiagnosed hypertension | Diagnosed hypertension | \( p \) value |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| **Left main renal artery (LMA)** |              |                            |                         |              |
| Length, mm                     | 33.8 ± 12.5  | 32.5 ± 11.8                | 34.8 ± 12.5             | 0.153        |
| Minimum diameter, mm           | 4.4 ± 1.1    | 4.8 ± 1.6                  | 4.2 ± 1.0               | 0.624        |
| Mean diameter, mm              | 5.4 ± 1.1    | 5.3 ± 1.2                  | 5.1 ± 1.1               | 0.765        |
| Maximum diameter, mm           | 8.2 ± 1.7    | 8.1 ± 1.6                  | 8.3 ± 1.5               | 0.394        |
| **Right main renal artery (RMA)** |              |                            |                         |              |
| Length, mm                     | 41.1 ± 12.4  | 41.3 ± 12.1                | 40.2 ± 11.5             | 0.287        |
| Minimum diameter, mm           | 4.3 ± 1.2    | 4.2 ± 1.31                 | 4.3 ± 1.4               | 0.167        |
| Mean diameter, mm              | 5.2 ± 1.1    | 5.2 ± 1.0                  | 5.1 ± 1.3               | 0.124        |
| Maximum diameter, mm           | 6.8 ± 1.6    | 6.6 ± 1.5                  | 6.9 ± 1.7               | 0.827        |
| **Accessory renal artery**     |              |                            |                         |              |
| Left kidney, \( n \) (%)       | 825(27.9)    | 521(25.6)                  | 304(32.8)               | <0.001       |
| Right kidney, \( n \) (%)      | 798(27.0)    | 491(24.2)                  | 307(33.1)               | <0.001       |
| MARB, \( n \) (%)              | 433(14.6)    | 291(14.3)                  | 132(15.3)               | <0.001       |
| ARA, \( n \) (%)               | 460(15.6)    | 301(14.8)                  | 159(17.1)               | <0.001       |
| Others, \( n \) (%)            | 10(0.4)      | 4(0.2)                     | 6(0.6)                  | <0.001       |
| Unilateral, \( n \) (%)        | 687(23.2)    | 486(23.9)                  | 201(21.7)               | 0.178        |
| Bilateral, \( n \) (%)         | 216(7.3)     | 155(7.6)                   | 61(6.5)                 | 0.307        |

LMA = left main renal artery; RMA = right main renal artery. Others, other branches of renal artery.

Figure 3: Renin activity with different types of renal artery and accessory renal artery. Quantitative analysis of renin activity in different subgroups. No accessory renal artery (NAR); main renal artery branches outside the renal hilum (MRAB), the accessory renal artery (ARA).

Figure 4: Renin activity of different renal artery mean diameter. Changes of renin activity according to the diameters of left (a) and right (b) renal artery and according to renal artery mean diameter. \( p \) values are ANOVA for trends.
5. Conclusion

In conclusion, when comparing renal arteries by side, the anatomic length of the renal arteries is significantly different, but there is no difference in diameter. Furthermore, the prevalence of the accessory renal artery was higher in the hypertensive group in each type. It is worth noting that ARA was an independent factor of hypertension. Renal sympathetic nerve activity is affected by renin activity and is related to ARA and mean renal artery diameter.
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