Double parton scattering via photon-proton interactions: a new light on the transverse proton structure
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In this contribution we present the main results of the investigation about double parton scattering (DPS) in quasi-real photon-proton interactions. We show the first evaluation of the DPS cross-section at leading-order for the four-jet photo-production observed at HERA. To this aim the $\gamma-p$ effective cross section has been computed for the first time. One of the main outcomes of this analysis is that the DPS contribution is not negligible and potentially measurable. Furthermore, possible future data could be used to get new information on the transverse proton structure not accessible in other processes.
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1. Introduction

Here we discuss the main outcomes of Refs. [1]. In collisions involving hadrons, the role of multiple parton interactions (MPI), due to extending nature hadrons, has been established [2–9]. Here we focus on double parton scattering (DPS). In fact, new non-perturbative information on the structure of proton, not accessible in single parton scattering (SPS), can be obtained [10–13]. Indeed, the DPS cross-section depends on the almost unknown double Parton Distribution Functions (dPDFs), i.e., the number densities of a parton pair with a given transverse distance $b_\perp$ and carrying longitudinal momentum fractions ($x_1, x_2$) of the parent hadron [12–31]. Up today, data on dPDFs are not available and usually, the experimental findings are collected in the so-called $\sigma_{SPS}$, in $pp$ collisions [32], and recently in $pA$ collisions [33]. This quantity controls the magnitude of DPS contribution under the assumptions of two uncorrelated hard scatterings and full factorisation of dPDFs in terms of ordinary PDFs. It has been shown that the knowledge of $\sigma_{SPS}$ can provide information on the proton structure [10–11]. We remark that from data $\sigma_{eff} \sim 8 - 35$ mb [34–36] in Ref. [1] we calculated the DPS cross-section in $ep$ collisions and thus photon-proton interactions. In fact, the splitting quasi-real photon can initiate the DPS [37]. In particular we considered the four-jet photoproduction observed at HERA and analysed by the ZEUS collaboration [38]. In this case, the DPS involves a photon of variable and controllable transverse size, depending on its virtuality $Q^2$. Since a complete formulation of photon and proton dPDFs is missing at the moment, we elaborate on a much simpler quantity, $\sigma_{eff}$. We also include in the analysis the estimate of main background, i.e., the SPS four-jet photo-production cross-section [1]. Furthermore, we then show that the $Q^2$ dependence of $\sigma_{eff}$ is crucial to obtain the first estimate of the mean transverse distance between partons in the proton. To this aim we provided the necessary integrated luminosity to observe the $Q^2$ dependence of the DPS cross sections in HERA kinematics.

2. Effective cross-section for $\gamma p$ DPS

Here we introduce $\sigma_{eff}^\gamma$. One can generalize the definition of the same quantity for $pp$ collisions [10] which involves the proton effective form factor (eff) [39]. Starting from the proton and photon dPDFs, i.e., $D_{q/q/\gamma/p}(x_i, x_j, k_\perp)$ and $D_{q/q/\gamma}(x_k, x_i, k_\perp)$, respectively where $ij$ and $kl$ are the flavours of the interacting partons, $k_\perp$ is the momentum imbalance, Fourier conjugate variable to the partonic transverse distance, $b_\perp$, and $x$’s are the longitudinal momentum fractions carried by each parton. For both mesons and baryons [13,40,42], dPDFs can be calculated from the Light-Front (LF) wave functions for some quark model. Then, one can define the so called eff [39] which reads, e.g., for the photon:

$$F_2^\gamma(\bar{k}_\perp) = \frac{\sum_q \int dx \ D_{q/q/\gamma}(x, \bar{k}_\perp; Q^2)}{\sum_q \int dx \ D_{q/q/\gamma}(x, \bar{k}_\perp = 0; Q^2)} . \quad (1)$$

This quantity is used to define $\sigma_{eff}^\gamma$ in the approximation that momentum correlations and parton flavor dependence are neglected. Moreover, if the proton dPDFs can be factorized in terms of PDFs, one gets:

$$\sigma_{eff}^\gamma(Q^2) = \left[ \int \frac{\rho^2 k_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} F_2^\gamma(k_\perp) F_2^\gamma(k_\perp; Q^2) \right]^{-1} . \quad (2)$$

In this scenario, the $\gamma p$ DPS cross section for the production of the final state $A + B$ is rearranged in a pocket formula $\sigma_{DPS}^{A+B} \sim \sigma_{SPS}^A \sigma_{SPS}^B / \sigma_{eff}^\gamma$. Since the are no data on
\(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\), in Ref. [1] we calculated it by using the models of Refs. [3, 4] to describe both the photon splitting mechanism. For the proton eff, we used the approach of Ref. [20], which we address as model “S”, returning a \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp} \sim 30\) mb. In addition, we also used a Gaussian ansatz. The width of the of this quantity, is a free parameter which produces \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp} = 15\) mb (“G1” model) and \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp} = 25\) mb (“G2” model). We remind that in the case of Ref. [4], where the LO QED is used, a detailed analysis on the regularization procedure is provided in Ref. [1]. We present our numerical estimates for \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp}(Q^2)\) in Fig. (1). One may notice that the hadronic models of Ref. [3] systematically returns a higher \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp}\) with respect to that of Ref. [4]. Moreover, there is large sensitivity to the proton eff. We observe that, in the limit of high photon virtuality, the value of \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp}\) can be predicted in complete analogy with the gluon splitting case elaborated in Ref. [4], see Ref. [1] for details.

3. The geometry of \(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\)

Here we show how the quantity, previously presented, if measured, could unveil new information on the proton structure. In fact in hadron-hadron collisions, such a goal is almost prevented due to the lack of data on the proton eff [10, 11]. Nevertheless, in this case, \(\left\langle b^2 \right\rangle_p\), i.e. the mean transverse distance between two partons in the proton can be extracted from data on \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp}\). We consider \(\tilde{F}_2(b_{\perp})\), probability distribution of finding two partons at a given transverse distance \(b_{\perp}\) [10, 11, 32], i.e., the Fourier Transform of the eff:

\[
\left[\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right]^{-1} = \int d^2 b_{\perp} \tilde{F}_2(b_{\perp}) \tilde{G}_2(b_{\perp}; Q^2) = \sum_n C_n(b_{\perp}; Q^2) \langle (b_{\perp} - \bar{b}_{\perp})^m \rangle_p ,
\]

where, in the last passage, we Taylor expanded the photon distribution around \(\bar{b}_{\perp}\). A realistic description of \(C_n(b_{\perp}; Q^2)\), together with data on the \(Q^2\) dependence of \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp}(Q^2)\), will allow to access the transverse distance of partons in the proton. In fact, for a given specific dependence of \(C_n\) on \(Q^2\), one can identify an operator, \(\mathcal{O}_n^{mb}(Q^2)\), such that

\[
\mathcal{O}_n^{mb}\left[\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right]^{-1} = \mathcal{O}_n^{mb} C_m(b_{\perp}; Q^2) \langle (b_{\perp} - \bar{b}_{\perp})^m \rangle_p ,
\]

and then one can select and extract \(\langle (b_{\perp} - \bar{b}_{\perp})^m \rangle_p\), i.e. the relevant information on the proton structure. Details and examples of the application of this procedure are provided in Ref. [1] since data on \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp}\) are not yet available. The only practical limitation is represented by the accuracy with which the dependence of \(\sigma_{p p}^{pp}\) on \(Q^2\) could be eventually measured. This relation strongly motivate this type of measurements at facilities where the photon virtuality can be experimentally measured such as the future Electron Ion Collider [46]. We show here an instructive example.

We consider a Gaussian photon effective form factor and thus the relative normalized probability distribution reads:

\[
\tilde{F}_2^\gamma(b; Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{\pi \alpha^2} e^{-b^2 Q^2 / \alpha^2} .
\]

The width depends on a free parameter \(\alpha\). The main transverse distance between the partons, produced by the splitting mechanism, is:

\[
\langle b^2 \rangle_p = \int d^2 b \, b^2 \tilde{F}_2^\gamma(b; Q^2) = \frac{\alpha^2}{Q^2} .
\]

One should notice that, despite the simplicity of the model, the mean distance between the two produced partons goes to zero, as expected for high virtualities. We can now expand \(\tilde{F}_2^\gamma(b; Q^2)\):

\[
\tilde{F}_2^\gamma(b; Q^2) \sim \frac{Q^2}{\pi \alpha^2} - \frac{Q^4}{\pi \alpha^4} b^2 + O(b^4),
\]

where \(C_0(Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{\pi \alpha^2}\) and \(C_2(Q^2) = -\frac{Q^4}{\pi \alpha^4}\). In this scenario, a suitable operator which isolates \(\langle b^2 \rangle_p\) is \(\mathcal{O} = d/(Q^2 dQ)|_{Q^2=0}\). With this choice, we can prove that:

\[
\frac{d}{Q^2 dQ} \left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right)^{-1} - C_0(Q^2)\right] \bigg|_{Q^2=0} = 0 .
\]

\[
\frac{d}{Q^2 dQ} \left(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right) \bigg|_{Q^2=0} = \frac{4}{\pi \alpha^4} .
\]

We also consider, for simplicity, that the proton distribution is:

\[
\tilde{F}_2^p(b) = e^{-b^2 \beta^2 / \pi},
\]

and the mean transverse distance reads

\[
\int d^2 b \, b^2 \tilde{F}_2^p(b) = \frac{1}{\beta^2} .
\]

The \(\gamma p\) effective cross section would be:

\[
\left[\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right]^{-1} = \frac{\beta^2 Q^2}{\pi (\alpha^2 \beta^2 + Q^2)} ,
\]

and therefore, the application of the operator leads to:

\[
\frac{d}{Q^2 dQ} \left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right)^{-1} - C_0(Q^2)\right] \bigg|_{Q^2=0} = -\frac{4}{\pi \alpha^4} \beta^2 ,
\]

\[
\frac{d}{Q^2 dQ} \left(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right) \bigg|_{Q^2=0} = \frac{4}{\pi \alpha^4} ,
\]

which can be combined to give

\[
\langle b^2 \rangle_p = \frac{d}{Q^2 dQ} \left[\left(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}(Q^2)\right)^{-1} - C_0(Q^2)\right] \bigg|_{Q^2=0} = \frac{1}{\beta^2} ,
\]

This is an example of how from the \(Q^2\) dependence of \(\sigma_{\gamma p}^{\gamma p}\), one could extract proton information if the photon splitting mechanism is well established.
4. The four-jet photo-production cross-section

The four-jet photoproduction at HERA has been investigated by the ZEUS collaboration [47] by considering jets with transverse energy $E_{T}^{jet} > 6$ GeV and laboratory pseudorapidity $|\eta_{jet}| < 2.4$, in the kinematic region $Q^{2} < 1$ GeV$^{2}$ and $y = E_{T}/E_{l}$, i.e. the energy fraction transferred between the photon and lepton, in the range $0.2 \leq y \leq 0.85$. The collaboration pointed out how the inclusion of MPI significantly improve the description of the data. [38,38,49]. Therefore, in Ref. [1], we adopted the same kinematical cuts of Ref. [47] together with the pocket formula to evaluate $\sigma_{DPS}$ is now [45]:

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{DPS}^{ij}}{dy} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \int dy \, dQ^2 \, \frac{f_{1/e}(y,Q^2)}{\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(Q^2)} \times \frac{\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(Q^2)}{\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(Q^2)} \times \int d\mu_{p} \, dx_{\gamma} \, f_{d/p}(x_{\gamma}) \frac{f_{2/\gamma}(x_{\gamma})}{\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(x_{\gamma})} \times \int d\mu_{p} \, dx_{\gamma} \, f_{d/p}(x_{\gamma}) \frac{f_{2/\gamma}(x_{\gamma})}{\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(x_{\gamma})} \times \int d\mu_{p} \, dx_{\gamma} \, f_{d/p}(x_{\gamma}) \frac{f_{2/\gamma}(x_{\gamma})}{\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(x_{\gamma})}.
$$

The sum runs over active parton flavors and $d\sigma^{2j}$ is the differential partonic cross sections. Moreover, we tool into account the unintegrated photon flux $f_{j/e}$ on $Q^2$ since $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$ depends on $Q^2$. The distributions $f_{a/A}(x_{a})$ are the proton ($A = p$) and of the photon ($A = \gamma$) PDFs for which we use the leading order sets of Ref. [51] and [52], respectively. We remark that the Dijet cross sections have been calculated to leading order accuracy by using with ALPGEN [53]. We set both the factorization and renormalization scales to the average transverse momentum of the jets. As discussed in Ref. [1], there are two distinctive contributions determined by the fractional momentum of partons in the photon, $x_{\gamma}$: i) the resolved photon, where the photon behaves effectively like an hadron with its own PDF and ii) the direct one, where the photon interacts as a point-like particle. One can notice that for the first case populates the whole $x_{\gamma}$ range while the latter, at LO, is peaked around at $x_{\gamma} = 1$. Since the two contributions can mix due to higher-order corrections [54], here, as in Ref. [55,56], we considered specific kinematic cuts in order to isolate the resolved mechanism. In the latter case ($x_{\gamma} < 0.75$) and a direct-enriched one ($x_{\gamma} > 0.75$). Therefore, we select, in the DPS cross-section, $x_{\gamma,1} + x_{\gamma,2} < 0.75$. Furthermore, in order to evaluate the possible background, in Ref. [1], the four-jet photoproduction SPS process has been evaluated to with ALPGEN for $x_{\gamma} < 0.75$, see Table I. Let us address that the experimental four-jet photoproduction cross-section, $\sigma_{exp}$, turns to be $135$ pb for $x_{\gamma} < 0.75$ [47]. We report in Tab. I $\sigma_{DPS}$ and $\sigma_{SPS}$ obtained for three ranges of photon virtualities in HERA kinematics. As one can see, the DPS cross section leads to a sizeable non negligible contribution. Moreover, as discussed in Ref. [1], higher order corrections [47,60] for both dijet photo-production and SPS four-jet emission could provide significant effects, see some qualitative details in Ref. [1]. In this case, the LO results could represent: i) an upper limit on the SPS background and ii) a lower limit on the DPS cross section. Therefore, one should expect that also high order corrections validate the important role of DPS in this process. For the moment being, the largest theoretical uncertainty comes from the models of the proton and photon structures. Nevertheless, a large DPS contribution is predict by all models adopted, suggesting that jets photoproduction in $ep$ collisions could represent a golden channel to observe DPS.

5. Extraction of the $Q^{2}$-dependence of $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$

Here we discuss the $Q^{2}$ dependence of the cross-section. The latter is important to extract information on the proton structure. We perform such an analysis within the HERA settings presented in the previous Section. We sketch Eq. (15) as $d\sigma_{DPS}(bin) \sim \int_{bin} dQ^2 g(Q^2)/\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(Q^2)$, where $bin$ stands for a given interval of integration over $Q^2$ and the function $g$ encodes the flux factor, the PDFs and elementary cross sections. Then we define the ratio $R = d\sigma_{DPS}(bin1)/d\sigma_{DPS}(bin2)$ . In the case $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$ was a constant, the latter quantity would be: $R \sim \int_{bin1} dQ^2 g(Q^2)/\int_{bin2} dQ^2 g(Q^2) \sim 2.1$. Therefore, any discrepancy from this value would directly point to $Q^{2}$ effects on $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$ or possible correlations breaking the pocket formula. As one can see in the last column of Tab. I, all models predict a non trivial dependence of $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$ on $Q^{2}$. We also estimated the minimum integrated luminosity to experimentally access $Q^{2}$ effects in $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(Q^2)$. We have converted the cross sections in Tab. I in expected number of events with a given integrated luminosity. The results are presented in the right panel of Fig. [1] where we pltted two scenarios: i) the blue curves indicate results for the $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(Q^2)$; ii) the red curve indicate the number of events obtained with a constant, $Q^{2}$ independent, $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$ which reproduces the total cross section for $Q^{2} < 1$ GeV$^{2}$ obtained with $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}(Q^2)$. Here we make use of the photon proton models which lead to the minimal integrated luminosity obtained the two scenarios are distinguished and therefore exposes the $Q^{2}$-dependence of $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$ is $L = 200$ pb$^{-1}$. See further details on Ref. [1].

6. Conclusions

In the present analysis we have calculated the effective cross sections for photon induced processes which are essential ingredients in the predictions of DPS cross sections in quasi-real photon proton interactions. The latter have been evaluated by means of electromagnetic and hadronic models of the photon and proton structures, respectively. For the four-jet final state in HERA kinematics we found a sizeable DPS contribution.

In the case the photon virtuality $Q^{2}$ could be measured, we have proven that data on $\sigma_{\gamma p}^{eff}$ could be used to extract new information on the proton structure. We set lower limits
on the integrated luminosity needed to observe such a dependence.
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TABLE I. Predictions for the LO DPS and SPS cross sections for four-jet photo-production in three ranges of $Q^2$. In the fourth column, the ratio between the calculated cross-sections to the total one is displayed. In the DPS case, each row corresponds to prediction obtained with a given $pp_{eff}$ ($G_1, G_2, S$), and the photon wave function of Refs. [43] (three upper rows) and Ref. [44] (three bottom rows). In the last column the ratio $R$ is shown.

| $Q^2$ | $\sigma_{DPS}$ [pb] | $\sigma_{SPS}$ [pb] |
|-------|----------------|------------------|
| $\leq 10^{-2}$ | $10^{-2} \leq Q^2 \leq 1$ | $Q^2 \leq 1$ | $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_{exp}}$ | $R$ |
| [GeV$^2$] | [GeV$^2$] | [GeV$^2$] | [%] |
| G$_1$ | 35.1 | 18.6 | 53.7 | 40 | 1.89 |
| w.f. | G$_2$ | 29.1 | 15.2 | 44.3 | 33 | 1.91 |
| [43] | S | 26.4 | 13.7 | 40.1 | 30 | 1.93 |
| G$_1$ | 87.8 | 54.3 | 142.1 | 101 | 1.62 |
| w.f. | G$_2$ | 54.3 | 33.4 | 87.7 | 65 | 1.63 |
| [44] | S | 50.5 | 31.1 | 81.6 | 60 | 1.62 |

LO SPS | 77.5 | 36.6 | 114.1 | 86 | 2.12 |
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