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Abstract

**Background:** In the current study, folic acid conjugated magnetite PEG-PCL-PEG triblock copolymer were synthesized and loaded with 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA) for targeted delivery of drug to HT29 colon cancer cells.

**Methods:** The cytotoxic effect and cellular uptake of synthesized nanoparticles was assessed on HUVEC and HT29 cell lines. In addition, antitumor effects of nanoparticles were investigated based on gene expression of Bax and Bcl2, Annexin V/PI staining, ROS production and colony formation.

**Results:** As compared to 5-FU, an improvement in therapeutic index was demonstrated for 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA according to cytotoxicity induced in HUVEC and HT29 cells. In addition, 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA was found to be more antitumor efficient in comparison to 5-FU based on Bax/Bcl2 ratio, percentage of cell death, ROS production and colony formation ability (P<0.05).

**Conclusion:** The obtained results suggested that 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA could be considered as promising targeted drug delivery system.

Background

Colorectal cancer is among three most prevalent cancers in both men and women with high rate of mortality [1]. 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) is currently known as the first-line chemotherapeutic drug for treatment of colorectal cancer which interfere with DNA and RNA synthesis through inhibition of thymidylate synthesis. However severe side effects and a low response rate, approximately 15%, have been reported for this drug. The main reasons for its low efficiency includes: short half-life in plasma due to catabolization into inactive metabolites by dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), wide systemic body distribution and development of multidrug resistance [2]. Developing nanotechnology-based drug delivery system has been suggested owing to its ability to encapsulate and release drug in a controlled manner in specific sites. Amphiphilic copolymers which consist of hydrophobic and hydrophilic parts are referred to as an efficient drug delivery system because of their ability to assemble into a micellar form with a hydrophobic core for encapsulating drug and a hydrophilic shell for increasing blood circulation time [3, 4]. Poly-ε-caprolactone (PCL) is a hydrophobic polyester approved by FDA. Hydrolysis of PCL through esterase cleavage cause it to be completely converted to 6-hydroxycaproic acid, which is considered as natural metabolite in the body [5, 6]. This hydrolytic degradation is a very slow process due to its high hydrophobicity and crystallinity nature. PCL copolymerization using hydrophilic polymers like polyethylene glycol (PEG) can alter polymer crystallinity, permeability, solubility and thereby results in faster degradation rate depending on the utilized ratios. Accordingly, copolymer compounds consisting of PCL and PEG have attracted attention in the field of drug delivery research [7-10].

Besides, nanoparticle facilitates more tumor localization as the result of enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect [11]. Furthermore conjugating nanoparticles with specific ligands which their receptors are more expressed on cancer cells has been known as a promising approach for targeted
delivery of drugs [12]. Folic acid (FA), a hydrophilic vitamin with a low molecular weight has been considered as one of these targeting ligand since it is well known that folate-receptors are over-expressed on the membrane of most of cancer cell lines [13, 14].

Incorporation of superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPION ) into nanocarriers has been demonstrated to provide feasibility of detection and tracing via different technique such as Prussian blue staining, atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and T₂-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (T₂W-MRI) [15-17].

In the current study, the SPION incorporated FA-PEG-PCL-PEG loaded with 5-FU was synthesized. To evaluate the role of folic acid as a targeting agent, Cellular uptake and cell viability assay was implemented in two different cell lines HT29 colon carcinoma and HUVEC human umbilical vein endothelial cells. Then, the cytotoxic effects of synthesized nanoparticles were investigated on HT29 based on expression of apoptosis related genes, induced cell death, reactive oxygen species production and colony formation assay

Methods

Synthesis of Magnetite Nanoparticles

Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles were synthesized according to the chemical coprecipitation method [18]. First, FeCl₃·6H₂O (2.6 g) and FeCl₂·4H₂O (1 g) were dissolved in deionized water and stirred at 70°C under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, ammonia solution 25% (10 mL) was added drop by drop. After stirring for 2 h (420 rpm), SPIONs were separated using external magnetic field and washed with water and ethanol, three and two times, respectively. At last, the nanoparticles were dried in vacuum at 45°C for 48 h.

Synthesis of poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL)

3 ml of ε-caprolactone (27 mmol) was dissolved in 5ml of Dimethylformamide (DMF) in a three necked round bottom flask. Then, 5µl of ethylene glycol (1mmol) was added under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction temperature was gradually increased to 80°C followed by addition of stannous octoate [Sn (Oct)₂] and increasing temperature to 120°C. After 24h, the polymer was precipitated in water at 0°C and then dried at 40°C. In order to purify polymer, it was dissolved in DMF and precipitated in water. The product was obtained with 82% yield.

Synthesis of adipoyl chloride-functionalized PCL

1gr of caprolactone (0.2mmol) was dissolved in DMF and 146 µl (1 mmol) of adipoyl chloride and catalytic amount of triethylamine was added. The reaction was continued for 24h at 80°C. Then functionalized polymer was precipitated in water followed by drying in the vacuum oven at 40°C. The purified product was obtained by dissolution of crude product in DMF and then precipitated in cold water.
Synthesis of PEG-PCL-PEG

1gr of functionalized PCL was dissolved in 10 ml of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and afterward 206 mg N, N'-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) and 122 mg 4-Dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) was gradually added to solution. After 1 h, 2 gr of PEG was added and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. PEG-PCL-PEG copolymer was precipitated in diethyl ether and then dried in vacuum oven at 40°C. To have more purified product, dissolving in DMSO and precipitating in diethyl ether was repeated.

Synthesis of folic acid functionalized PEG-PCL-PEG

220 mg of folic acid, 103 mg of DCC and 61 mg of DMAP was dissolved in 10 ml of DMSO and the reaction was continued for 4 h to activate acidic group of folic acid. Then 1 gr of PEG-PCL-PEG copolymer was added and the reaction was continued overnight. After 24h, the product was precipitated in diethyl ether and dried at 40°C. Dissolving in DMSO and precipitating in diethyl ether was repeated to acquire more purified product (Scheme 1).

Synthesis of 5-FU loaded magnetite/PEG-PCL-PEG-Folic acid

Preparation of the 5-FU loaded magnetite/PEG-PCL-PEG-Folic nanoparticles was performed by using W1/O1/W2 double emulsion solvent evaporation method [19]. For preparation of inner aqueous solution (W1), 10 mg of 5-FU drug was dissolved in 1.5 ml of distilled water containing 10 mg of Tween 60. 30 mg of magnetite nanoparticles was dispersed in 7 ml of Dichloromethane (DCM) to prepare an organic phase using an ultrasonic probe. Then 50 mg of the folic acid conjugated polymer (PEG-PCL-PEG-FA) and 200 mg of Span 60 were added to the oil phase (O1). W2 aqueous solution was made of 100 mg tween 60 dissolved in 8 ml of distilled water and 8 ml of glycerin. The inner aqueous solution (W1) was emulsified in the organic phase (O1) by ultrasonication using the sonicator probe at an output of 50 W for 30 s in an ice-bath to obtain an W1/O1 emulsion. This primary emulsion was emulsified in second aqueous solution (W2) by ultrasonication for 30 s (50 W) in an ice-bath to obtain a W1/O1/W2 double emulsion. The resulting double emulsion was diluted in 30 mL aqueous solution composed of 15 ml distilled water and 15 ml glycerin under mechanical stirring for a period of 3 h at room temperature, and the DCM was removed by solvent evaporation. The obtained magnetic nanoparticles were cleaned by repeating procedure of collecting and re-suspending in distilled water for two times and then were collected with a magnet. Finally, the resulting nanoparticles were dried by freeze-drying and stored at 4°C. Blank nanoparticles were prepared in the same way, except that the inner aqueous solution was prepared with 1.5 ml of distilled water and 10 mg of tween60, but without 5-FU drug.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance analysis

In order to investigate synthesis procedure and characterize the structure of the synthesized products, the ^1H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) analysis was performed on poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL), adipoyl chloride-functionalized PCL, PEG-PCL-PEG and folic acid functionalized PEG-PCL-PEG using a Varian
Inova, 500 MHz spectrometer. Deuterated chloroform (CDCl$_3$) was used as solvent of samples to acquire $^1$H NMR spectra.

**Characterization of size, zeta potential and morphology of nanoparticles**

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) analysis was used to characterize the distribution of the hydrodynamic size of blank and 5-FU loaded nanoparticles. The surface charge of nanoparticles was evaluated by Zeta sizer (Nanoflex, Germany).

The morphology of 5-FU loaded nanoparticles was investigated using a Transmission electron microscope (TEM, Zeiss LEO906, Germany). The samples were prepared on 400-mesh carbon coated copper grid and imaged at accelerating voltage of 100 kV.

**Encapsulation efficiency and drug loading capacity**

To measure the drug loading capacity (DLC) and encapsulation efficiency (EE), 5 mg of 5-FU- loaded NPs (5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA) was dissolved in acetone and its absorption was measured using a UV-spectrophotometer (Ultraospec 3000, Pharmacia Biotech, USA) at a wavelength of 265 nm (characteristic absorption peak of 5-FU) followed by converting measured absorption to corresponding concentration according to calibration curve. The (DLC) and (EE) were determined according to equations 1 and 2:

$$DLC(\%) = \frac{\text{weight of drug in nanoparticle}}{\text{nanoparticle weight}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 1}

$$EE(\%) = \frac{\text{weight of drug in nanoparticle}}{\text{weight of drug in the feed}}$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 2}

**In vitro drug release profiles**

Investigation of *in vitro* release profile of 5-FU from NPs (5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA) was carried out based on equilibrium dialysis bag diffusion method at pH=7.4. First, 3 mg of 5-FU loaded NPs was suspended in Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS) and transferred to a dialysis bag (MWCO 12,400 Da). The dialysis bag was fully immersed into a tube containing 10 mL PBS and stirred at the shaking speed of 100 rpm at 37°C. At predetermined time points, 1.5 ml of media was sampled and replaced with an equal volume of PBS. The absorption of released 5-FU was measured using an UV-spectrophotometer at 265 nm.

**Cell culture**

HT29 and HUVEC cells were respectively cultured in complete RPMI and DMEM/Ham's F-12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/mL) and streptomycin (100 mg/mL) under humidified incubator with 5% carbon dioxide at 37°C.
MTT assay

The cellular cytotoxicity of 5-FU and synthesized nanoparticles was investigated using MTT assay. In brief, HUVEC and HT-29 human colon cancer cells were cultured in a 96-well plate at a density of $7 \times 10^3$ cells per well. After 24 h, the cells were treated with varying concentrations of 5-FU ranging from 0.6 to 80 µM and equivalent concentrations of blank and drug loaded NPs. After 48 h incubation, the cells were washed and treated with MTT (5 mg/ml). Plates were incubated at 37°C in the dark. After 4 h, the medium containing MTT was removed followed by addition of 100 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and incubating for 15 min to solubilize formazan crystals. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT). Finally, cell viability was determined based on equation 3:

$$ \text{cell viability} \% = \frac{\text{absorbance of treated cells}}{\text{absorbance of control cells}} \times 100 \quad \text{Eq. 3} $$

To compare the cytotoxicity of 5-FU with 5-FU loaded nanoparticle on HT29 and HUVEC, the IC50 values of these agents was calculated regarding to cell viability curves and also a therapeutic index was defined as the ratio of IC50 of normal cell to IC50 of cancer cell [20].

Cellular uptake of NPs

Prussian blue staining

The cellular uptake of NPs was visually investigated using the Prussian blue staining assay. The HT 29 and HUVEC cell lines were seeded in 6-well plates. After 24h, both cell lines were incubated with amount of SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA NPs which has capability of encapsulating IC10 of 5-FU against HT29 within 48 h. After treatment time, the cells were washed with PBS for three times, then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 20 min followed by staining with the Prussian blue solution (2% potassium ferrocyanid and 2% hydrochloric acid with 1:1 ratio) for 30 min. Subsequently, cells were washed with PBS, and imaged using an optical microscope at the magnification of 400X (Olympus CK2; Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan)

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES)

In order to quantitative evaluation of the cellular uptake of NPs, both cell lines were cultured in T-25 cell culture flasks at a density of $5 \times 10^5$ cells, treated in the same way as described before. After treatment, the cells were washed with PBS, trypsinized, collected and counted for quantification purposes. The cells were digested with 1 mL of concentrated $\text{HNO}_3$ at 140°C for 2 h. The samples were diluted to 5 mL with deionized water and the concentration of iron was measured using an ICP-OES assay (VISTA-PRO, Varian, Australia). Finally, the average iron content per cell was calculated.
Gene expression study using quantitative real-time PCR

The HT29 cells were treated with 5-FU, 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA, and SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA for 48 h as previously described. The cells were washed, trypsinized and collected. Then, total RNA of all samples was extracted using RNX-plus reagent (CinnaGen, Iran) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration and purity were determined using a NanoDrop One Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). Afterward, 2μg of total RNA was reversely transcribed to synthesize cDNA using the cDNA Synthesis kit (Takara Bio Inc., Japan) according to its instructions. The obtained cDNA was employed in qRT-PCR to evaluate the expression of two member of BCL2 family genes: Bax and Bcl2. GAPDH was used as the house keeping gene. The reaction mixture was prepared according to PCR Master Mix Green (Ampliqon, Denmark) using real-time PCR StepOne plus (Applied Biosystems, USA) with a standard thermal profile. The protocol of reaction conditions was: initial denaturation at 95°C for 15 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, and annealing/ extension at 60°C for 60 s. The primer sequences were:

| primer  | Sequence                   |
|---------|----------------------------|
| GAPDH-f | CACATCGCTCAGACACCATG       |
| GAPDH-r | TGACGTTGCATGGAATTGG        |
| Bax-f   | AAGAAGCTGAGCGAGTGTCT       |
| Bax-r   | GTTCTGATCAGTCCGCGC         |
| Bcl2-f  | TCGCCCTGTGGATGACTGA        |
| Bcl2-r  | CAGAGACAGCCAGGAAATCA       |

Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining assay

Flow cytometry analysis was carried out to examine the cell apoptosis induced by the 5-FU and NPs using the Annexin-V-FITC/Propidium iodide (PI) apoptosis detection kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Mabtag). Briefly, after treatment of HT29 cells, the cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, trypsinized and suspended in the 1X binding buffer at a concentration of about 5×10^5 cells/mL. Thereafter, 5μL of FITC Annexin-V and 5μL of Propidium iodide (PI) were added to each sample and incubated for 15 min at room temperature under dark conditions then measured immediately to yield a FL-1 vs FL-2 dot plot in FACS (Becton Dickinson). The data were obtained by averaging of triple measurements.

Measurement of ROS production
Effects of aforementioned treatments on ROS formation was assessed by using 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) which is the most common indicator of intracellular ROS [21]. DCFH-DA is a cell permeable dye which is first cleaved by intracellular esterase and then converted to its fluorescent derivative 2′,7′-dichlorofluorescein (DCF), when oxidized by ROS. Briefly, cells were cultured into 24-well plates and after 24 h, they were treated as previously described. After 48 h incubation, the cells were washed followed by further incubation with DCFH-DA in dark condition at 37°C for 60 min. Finally, cells were washed and DCF fluorescence was detected immediately using a plate reader at excitation wavelength of 485 nm and fluorescence emission at 530 nm.

Colonial formation assay

Assessment of the long-term cytotoxic effects of drug and NPs was implemented based on colony formation assay. The HT29 cell line at a density of $5 \times 10^5$ were cultured in T-25 cell culture flasks. After 24 h incubation, the cells were treated with 5-FU (at IC10 concentration), 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA and SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA (at the concentration that contains IC10 of 5-FU) for 48 h. Then, the treated and control cells were washed with PBS and collected after trypsinization. Specified number of cells were seeded in 60 mm Petri dishes and incubated in RPMI with 10% FBS for a period of 8 days. The cells were fixed using 4% Formaldeyde and finally stained with 0.5% Crystal violet. The colonies were counted using an optical microscope to determine the plating efficiency (PE) by equation 4.

$$PE(\%) = \frac{\text{number of the colonies}}{\text{number of cultured cells}} \quad \text{Eq. 4}$$

The PE of treated groups were normalized to PE of control group to obtain corresponding survival fraction.

Statistical analysis

The results of all experiments were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s test using Graphpad prism 6. A $p$-value of 0.05 or lower was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Nuclear magnetic resonance analysis

$^1$H NMR spectra of synthesized polymers were obtained and shown in Figure 1. The characteristic peaks of synthesized PCL were observed at about 4.1 (b), 1.6 (c) 1.4(d) and 2.3 ppm (e). Moreover, appearance of the three branched peaks at 4.2 ppm was attributed to methylene and ethylene glycol groups (Figure 1A). As can be seen in spectrum B of this figure, a new peak was appeared at 3 ppm as PCL was functionalized by adipoyl chloride which is attributed to methylene hydrogen in the vicinity of acyl group.
or carboxylic acid. Presence of intensified peak at 3.6 ppm was related to methylene groups of polyethylene glycol in the spectrum of PEG-PCL-PEG (spectrum C). Functionalization of triblock copolymer by folic acid was confirmed by appearance of peaks at 4.4, 6.5 and 7.5 ppm (Figure 1D).

**Size, zeta potential, morphology and in vitro release of nanoparticles**

The hydrodynamic size of blank nanoparticles and drug loaded nanoparticles was measured using DLS which have mean diameter 37.2 nm and 85 nm, respectively (Figure 2A&B). TEM analysis of drug-loaded NPs confirmed that nanoparticles had a spherical shape with average particle size of about 50 nm (Figure 2C) that was slightly smaller than the hydrodynamic size measured by DLS which may be attributed to the attachment of H₂O molecules on the NPs during the DLS analysis. The size and zeta potential of both NPs have been shown in Table 1. The DLC and EE was also shown in Table 1. The in vitro cumulative release profiles of 5-FU from 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA at 37°C and pH = 7.4 have been shown in Figure 2D. As it is illustrated, a biphasic release pattern which was consist of an initial burst release, followed by a sustained drug release. The initial phase 20.05 ± 0.33% of drug released within 8 h. At later times, the rate of release tended to decrease in such a way that After 48 h, the cumulative release was reached 26.25 ± 0.78%. A continuous release was also observed for 15 days and ultimately, 77.23±3.96% of cumulative release was attained.

**MTT assay**

To investigate the cytotoxic effects of 5-FU and synthesized NPs based on MTT assay, various concentrations of 5-FU and equivalent concentration of nanoparticles in the form of blank and drug loaded were added to HUVEC or HT-29 cells. As shown in Figure 3A&B, the cytotoxicity of 5-FU, 5-FU-loaded and blank nanoparticles against both of cell lines were concentration dependent. The inhibitory concentration (IC50) value of 5-FU, 5-FU-loaded and blank nanoparticles were 46.16±4.7, 5.1±0.88 and 62.6±3.8 μM for HT29 and 5.2 ± 0.79, 3.86 ± 0.11 and 71.4 ± 1.8 μM for HUVEC, respectively. These results demonstrated at equivalent concentration of 5-FU, treatment with 5-FU loaded nanoparticle leads to lower viability in comparison with free 5-FU. To further compare the cytotoxicity of 5-FU with 5-FU loaded nanoparticle on HT29 and HUVEC, the IC50 values of these agents was plotted (Figure 3C) and a therapeutic index was defined as the ratio of IC50 of normal cell to IC50 of cancer cell and illustrated in table 2.

IC10 concentration of 5-FU was calculated according to its cell viability curve against HT29 (2.25 μM). Equivalent concentration of NPs which contains same amount of 5-FU was calculated using DLC and percentage of cumulative release at 48 h (21.9 μg/mL). These concentrations were chosen as treatment dose to be employed for further experiments.

**Cellular uptake of NPs**

The uptake of nanoparticles in HUVEC and HT29 cell lines after 48 h with 21.9 μg/ml of NPs was confirmed by the Prussian blue staining (Figure 4). The quantitative cellular uptake was also assessed
using ICP-OES method by measuring iron concentration. Based on this experiment, the average iron content was 2.96 ± 0.27 and 12.65 ± 1.2 pg/cell for HUVEC and HT29, respectively.

**In vitro anti-tumor effects of nanoparticles**

**Gene expression study using quantitative real-time PCR**

The mRNA expression of Bax and Bcl2 was investigated to compare the apoptotic effects of 5-FU, 5-FU loaded NPs and blank NPs. As depicted in Figure 5A, implementation of these treatments at equivalent 5-FU concentration led to upregulation of Bax gene in 5-FU (P< 0.05) and 5-FU loaded NPs groups (P<0.001) while insignificant change in Bax gene was observed for blank NPs. The changes in level of Bcl2 gene found to insignificant. The ratio of Bax/Bcl2, which is known as regulator of apoptosis [22], was calculated for different treatments (Figure 5B). Bax/Bcl2 ratio was remarkably higher in 5-FU and 5-FU loaded NPs compared to control cells (P < 0.001). It was also found that 5-FU loaded NPs results in further increase of Bax/Bcl2 ratio in comparison with 5-FU (P < 0.01).

**Annexin V-FITC/PI double staining assay**

In our study, the Annexin V-FITC/PI double fluorescent staining assay was used to determine the apoptosis and necrosis induced by treatments. As illustrated by flow cytometry analysis in Figure 5A & B, 5-FU and 5-FU loaded NPs groups induced significant percentage of overall cell death (early apoptosis+ late apoptosis+ necrosis) in comparison to untreated group (P < 0.001). While, there was no significant differences between the control group and blank NPs (P > 0.05). The result of flow cytometry also revealed that 5-FU loaded NPs are superior to 5-FU regarding to overall cell death (p <0.01).

**ROS generation**

According to DCF fluorescent intensity, 5-FU and 5-FU loaded NPs were identified as ROS generating agent (P <0.001). It was also corroborated that 5-FU loaded NPs lead to produce higher amount of ROS as compared to 5-FU (P <0.01). Biocompatibility of blank NPs was illustrated in accordance to its insignificant DCF intensity (P >0.05) (Figure 6A).

**Colony formation assay**

long term effects of 2.2 μM 5-FU, 21.9 μg/mL blank and 21.9 μg/mL drug loaded NPs (which contain IC10 of 5-FU) on HT29 cells was evaluated based on the colony formation assay. As illustrated in Figure 6B, the survival fraction (SF) of blank NPs had no significant difference with control cells (P > 0.05) which approved the safety of the blank NPs. The survival fraction of 5-FU alone and 5-FU loaded NPs was equal to 0.715± 0.010 and 0.627 ± 0.051, respectively. This result showed that anti proliferating effect of 5-FU loaded NPs was significantly higher than that of 5-FU (P < 0.05).

**Discussion**
Although 5-FU is known as the mainstream in chemotherapy of broad range of cancers including breast and colorectal cancers, its short half-life in plasma, as well as wide systemic distribution, hinders it mostly to be reached to tumor sites. This drawback necessitate intermittent administration of high dose 5-FU which leads more severe side effects such as cardiotoxicity, vomiting, diarrhea, and severe anemia [23]. This issue can be addressed by incorporating 5-FU into polymeric nanoparticles through increasing blood circulation time and providing controlled drug release.

In current study, a sustained release has been provided by incorporating drug into synthesized polymer which is due to presence of PCL in copolymer structure. Because PCL is highly hydrophobic, it resists against water penetration that implies possessing very slow rate of degradation. However, this behavior of PCL is modified by copolymerization with PEG that results in accelerating degradation to some extent that is required for a drug nanocarrier. Slower release rate of 5-FU from PCL based NP in comparison with PLGA based NP has been reported which is known as manifestation of higher crystallinity nature [24, 25]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that hydrophobic/hydrophilic ratio has crucial role in stabilizing of formed micelle. It has been also reported the micelles formed by triblock copolymer are more advantageous over diblock formed micelles in terms of stability which is attributed to lower critical micelle concentration (CMC) of triblock copolymers [26]. PCL-PEG-PCL is a type of PCL/PEG based triblock which has been utilized as 5-FU nanocarrier [27]. A comprehensive evaluation on PCL/PEG based triblock, consisting PCL-PEG-PCL and PEG-PCL-PEG with different ratio of copolymerization, has demonstrated that CMC values of PEG-PCL-PEG type is about half of those measured for its identical PCL-PEG-PCL which indicates higher stability of PEG-PCL-PEG type [28]. So based on these evidences, the molecular weights of 2000 and 6000 gr/mol was considered for PEG and PCL respectively to synthesize PEG-PCL-PEG triblock. To take advantage of active targeting, folic acid was conjugated to the triblock copolymer. Since folic acid acts as a coenzyme in different cellular process such as DNA synthesis, repair and cell division, folate receptors are mainly overexpressed on the surface of most of cancer cells owing to their higher metabolism [29]. To examine whether the nanoparticle is actively targeted, cell viability using MTT assay was achieved on 2 different cell lines that differs in folate receptor expression level. In the case of treatment with 5-FU in the form of free drug, we observed HUVEC cells (the normal cell line) underwent severe cytotoxicity compared to HT29 regarding to their obtained IC50. This may attributed to high expression of multidrug resistance associated gene in cancer cells [30]. Nonetheless it was found that whereas IC50 of 5-FU loaded NPs against HT29 was drastically reduced compared to 5-FU alone, a mild decrease was seen in that of HUVEC. This indicates higher percent of cancer cells inhibition for a given level of normal cell cytotoxicity can be achieved by means of treatment with 5-FU loaded NPs which implies increased therapeutic index. This results is in accordance to what we expected due to folate receptor mediated endocytosis which is dominant in HT29 cells [31, 32] while HUVEC cells are known to express very low level of folate receptor [33].

The role of folic acid as a targeting ligand has been confirmed by San et al. They found that cellular uptake of Fol-Cur-NPs was greater than Cur-NPs in folate receptor expressing Y79 cells, whereas no significant difference in cellular uptake of Fol-Cur-NPs and Cur-NPs was found in folate receptor non-
expressing A549 cells. The IC50 of Fol-Nut-Cur-NPs for Y79 cells was found to be 35 and 8.6 times lower compared to free drugs and uncojugated drug loaded NPs [34].

Antitumor efficacy of 5-FU and 5-FU loaded NPs was corroborated at utilized dose by different endpoints. This foundation could be ascribed to action of 5-FU. Several studies demonstrated that 5-FU induce apoptosis via generating ROS [35, 36]. Further assessment indicated 5-FU loaded NPs possess more inhibitory efficiency than 5-FU on HT29 cells. This claim was approved according to higher ratio of Bax/Bcl2, increased generation of ROS, less viable cell identified in Annexin V / PI staining and ultimately less clonogenicity of cells treated with 5-FU loaded NPs. This finding can be justified by considering their different cellular uptake pathways. It is well known that 5-FU as hydrophilic drug has a poor penetration into cell through diffusion and is highly prone to washed out while NPs could internalize into cell via endocytosis [23, 37-40]. Endocytosis of NPs has been shown as an approach to bypass drug efflux pumps and subsequently reverse multidrug resistance [41-43]. In our study, folic acid conjugation was done to take advantage of more efficient type of endocytosis which is mediated by ligand-receptor interaction. Wang et al also have been reported An IC50 of 5.69 mg/mL for 5-FU loaded PLGA-1, 3-diaminopropane-folic acid nanoparticles against HT29 cells that is considerably lower than IC50 of 14.17 and 22.91 mg/mL for 5-FU loaded PLGA and 5-FU alone respectively [31]. Another study that could be mentioned in case of enhancing effect of folic acid conjugation was conducted by Akinyelu et al [44]. They observed more significant cytotoxicity and apoptosis in MCF7 cells treated by 5-FU loaded Folate-tagged chitosan-gold nanoparticles.

Conclusion

In current study folic acid conjugated PEG-PCL-PEG triblock copolymer were synthesized and subsequently loaded 5-FU and magnetite nanoparticle to constitute 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA nanoparticles. This formalism was found to possess enhanced antitumor efficacy as compared to same concentration of free 5-FU. Therefore, we could conclude that it has the potential to be utilized as a targeted drug delivery system.
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### Tables

**Table 1. The characterization of nanoparticles**

| Nanoparticles           | size | Zeta potential | DLC (%) | EE (%) |
|-------------------------|------|----------------|---------|--------|
| SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA    | 37.2 | -27            | _       | _      |
| 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA | 85   | -20.1          | 5       | 56     |

**Table 2. Therapeutic index of 5-FU and 5-FU loaded NPs**

| treatment      | 5-FU | NP(5-FU) |
|----------------|------|----------|
| Therapeutic index | 0.113 | 0.756    |
Figure 1

1H NMR Spectra of synthesized polymers: on poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL) (A), adypoil chloride-functionalized PCL (B), PEG-PCL-PEG (C) and folic acid functionalized PEG-PCL-PEG (D)
Figure 1

1H NMR Spectra of synthesized polymers: on poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL) (A), adypoil chloride-functionalized PCL(B), PEG-PCL-PEG (C) and folic acid functionalized PEG-PCL-PEG (D)

Figure 2
Characterization of nanoparticles particle size distribution measurements using DLS: A) SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA, B) 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA, C) TEM image of 5-FU-SPION-PEG-PCL-PEG-FA and D) in vitro 5-FU release in PBS (pH=7.4) at 37°C.
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Figure 3

In vitro cell viability assessment using MTT assay after 48 h incubation of HT29 (A) and HUVEC (B) cells with blank NPs, 5-FU and 5-FU loaded NPs. (C) Calculated IC50 of 5-FU and 5-FU loaded NPs against HUVEC and HT29 cells based MTT cell viability curves.
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Figure 4

Uptake of NPs by HUVEC and HT29 cells after 48 h incubation
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Figure 5

A) Quantitative real time PCR analysis of expression of Bax and Bcl2 genes in HT29 cells as the result of treating with blank NPs, 5-FU, 5-FU loaded NPs. B) Bax/Bcl2 ratio obtained for aforementioned treatments.
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Figure 6

A) The Annexin V/PI staining assay for cell death detection in HT29 cells. B) the mean percentage of apoptosis and necrosis of HT29 cells after 48 h treatment by blank NPs, 5-FU, 5-FU loaded NPs.
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Figure 7

A) Effects of blank NPs, 5-Fu and 5-FU loaded NPs on DCF fluorescence intensity and B) survival fraction of HT29 cells after 48 h of incubation
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