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Abstract

Background/Objectives: The purpose of this study was to identify factors of leadership and organizational support that have effect on establishing the characteristics of agile organizational culture adopted recently by many organizations as a way to deal with rapidly changing market.

Methods/Statistical analysis: A research model was developed, based on previous studies, by selecting Empowerment, Use of Collective Intelligence, and Continuous Learning, selected as Characteristics of Agile Organizational Culture, and Servant Leadership and Perceived Organizational Support (POS) as the influencing variables. The relationships among variables were identified using regression analysis and mediating effect analysis. The subjects were workers working at headquarters of the National Agricultural Cooperative Federation and the Agribusiness Group. The questionnaire survey was conducted for about one month from Jan., 2020 and a total of 157 valid samples were obtained. SPSS (Ver. 22.0) was used in statistical analysis.

Findings: The results showed that the Servant Leadership has statistically significant effect on Empowerment and Use of Collective Intelligence but not on Continuous Learning which are Characteristics of Agile Organizational Culture. The Perceived Organizational Support (POS) has statistically significant effect on all of the Characteristics of Agile Organizational Culture: Empowerment, Use of Collective Intelligence, and Continuous Learning. The results of mediating effect analysis showed that POS partially mediated the effect of Servant Leadership on Empowerment and Use of Collective Intelligence and fully mediated the effect on Continuous Learning. The results suggested that, for the establishment of agile culture in an organization, it is very important to exercise Servant Leadership, which is a leadership that maximize the potential of the members of the organization based on the spirit of human respect, and to give to members the perception of the full support and rewards provided by organization.

Improvements/Applications: This study was conducted for employees working at a specific organization therefore it is difficult to generalize the results to overall industries and organizations. In the future, it is necessary to expand sample population and to conduct comparative studies between groups that have introduced agile organizational culture and ones that does not. It is also recommended to conduct additional studies on the factors of leadership and organizational support that have effect on the characteristics of agile organizational culture.
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1. Introduction

The recent rapid progress of the 4th Industrial Revolution or digital transformation is gradually shortening the business cycle and product life cycle. This indicates that the time for companies to maintain the differentiated competitive advantage they have achieved is becoming shorter and also that it is becoming very difficult to predict and adapt to changes in an industry, market or business environment. This change in the environment has led to a number of cases where market leaders such as Motorola, Sony, and Kodak that once unrivaled in their own power have collapsed by new chasers. Many Korean companies, in response to this change in market, have invested heavily in management and organizational culture that can deal with the rapid market changes. In particular, agile method, organization, and cultures derived from software development methods have been actively introduced. The purpose of this study was,
considering that the leadership is one of the most important factors in forming an organizational culture, to provide implications for many companies planning to build an agile organizational culture in the future by identifying various influence relations among servant leadership, which has democratic and human-centered characteristics, perceived organizational support, and characteristics of agile organizational culture.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Theoretical Background

2.1.1 Servant Leadership

The servant leadership was presented for the first time by Greenleaf (1970) in his book *The servant as Leader* (Greenleaf, 1970). The servant leadership is one that gives priority to the interests of the members of the organization rather than the leader's own concern and enables the members of the organization to reach their full potential based on the spirit of respect for human and thus is important for the survival and development of today's enterprise (Choi, 2017). The concept of servant leadership is rather comprehensive therefore many scholars have suggested various sub-concepts. Spears (1995) presented ten virtues as leadership based on democratic principles: persuasion, listening, commitment to growth, empathy, healing, awareness, foresight, stewardship, community building, and conceptualization (Spears, 1995). The persuasion is based on open dialogue, not control or command, listening emphasizes the importance of communication between leaders and subordinates, and commitment to growth means that leaders should always help the members of the organization to grow. Dierendonck (2011) categorized servant leadership into six virtues: empowering and developing people, providing direction, interpersonal acceptance, humility, authenticity, and stewardship. 'Empowering and developing people' is empowering subordinates, while believing in and emphasizing subordinates' competencies and giving them a right to make their own decision by developing such competencies (Dierendonck, 2011). Lee (2019), after reviewing many previous studies, categorized servant leadership as building community, conceptualization, and commitment to the growth. 'Building community' is explained as a leadership, unlike traditional one that prevents members of the organization from expressing their opinions and ideas, that builds and enhances the value of relationships between members by inducing communication, voluntary participation, and cooperation in the process of achieving the goals (Lee, 2019).

2.1.2 Perceived Organizational Support

Perceived organizational support (POS), a concept based on social exchange theory, was established as a theory by Eisenberger et al. (1990) and describes the extent to which an organization is immersed in its members (Eisenberger and Peter, 1990). POS is similar to organizational commitment in that it is an individual's attitude toward the organization, but organizational commitment is a members' commitment to the organization while the organizational commitment is, on the contrary, an organization's commitment to their members (Park, 2017). POS, personifying the organization, describes that the perceived level of the support of a member increase when he/she receives praise, encouragement, and recognition, leading to enhancement of organizational commitment, which has a positive effect on the organization's performance (Jin and Kim, 2016). POS enhances the relationships among the members of the organization by increasing their self-recognition and self-esteem and facilitating the communication and cooperation among them (Organ et al., 1990). The members whose POS is high contribute voluntarily and autonomously to the achievement of organization's goals and act on behalf of benefit of the organization (Rhoades and Eisenberger, 2002). POS is also closely related to the individual attitudes and behaviors in an organization, and these relations affects the attitudes of members to propose and implement creative ideas on their own, which contributes to organizational performance by increasing their job satisfaction and organizational commitment (Kim, 2018). The members who recognize that the organization's support and consideration is sufficient during their learning, in addition, are likely to achieve higher performance in learning and build more excellent learning organization, resulting in facilitation of learning in the organization (Jeong and Lee, 2017).

2.1.3 Characteristics of Agile Organizational Culture

The agility, a concept that was used as the organization's adaptivity in the 1950s, emerged as a response to rapid change and a dynamic environment in the early 1990s. In the 2000s, the adjective 'Agile' was first used in software development field (AN et al., 2019). In February 2001, 17 prominent software developers including Kent Beck, after a lengthy discussion to share their experiences and explore ways to work on better software projects at Snowbird Ski Resort, Utah, USA, announced Agile Manifesto and the derived method was named 'Agile'. Agile, which coined in software development theory, has recently been adopted by many organizations as an important concept for detecting enterprise's environmental dynamics, threats and opportunities. Agile organizations refer ones that adopt this Agile methodology and possess agile capacity to respond to market changes quickly and effectively by promoting
adaptability and flexibility (Siakas and Siakas, 2007). Agile culture has not yet been defined as a concept, and the characteristics of agile organizations have been defined focusing on the factors by many scholars. Agile culture is a characteristic of a horizontal organization with flexibility and spontaneity where members actively participate, and cooperation, participation, delegation, agreement and compromise has been proposed as important characteristics of the culture (Harraf, et al. 2015). Harraf et al. (2015) studied the elements of organizational agility and claimed that this includes ten pillars represented by Empowerment, Tolerance for Ambiguity, Structural Fluidity, Culture of Innovation, Vision, Communication, Change Management, Market Analysis and Response, Operations Management, and Development of a Learning Organization (Harraf, et al. 2015). In addition, Gren Lucas, Richard Torkar, and Robert Feldt (2016) indicated that agile culture has characteristics such as continuous learning and improvement, support and collaboration, team orientation, empowerment, support for informal communication, knowledge sharing, and change orientation (Gren et al., 2015). Jeong (2019) proposed autonomic structure, collective intelligence, innovation culture, and immersive talent management as the main characteristics of agile organizations (Jeong, 2019).

2.2 Proposed Work

2.2.1 Research Model

This study, based on the previous studies, developed the research model as shown in Fig. 1 by adopting Servant Leadership, which is a leadership that leads the organization's potential to the fullest based on democratic principles, and POS, meaning organizational commitment to members, as independent variables, Empowerment, Use of Collective Intelligence, and Continuous Learning as characteristics of organizational culture as dependent variables, and POS as a parameter to mediate causal relationship between Servant Leadership and Characteristics of Agile Organizational Culture (Hung and Trinh, 2019); (Irshad, 2017).

![Research Model Diagram](image)

**Figure 1. Research model**

2.2.2 Research Hypotheses

Various previous studies on the relationship among Servant Leadership, POS, and Characteristics of Agile Organizational Culture have been reviewed as described above and Empowerment, Use of Collective Intelligence, and Continuous Learning as a central Characteristics of Agile Organizational Culture have been adopted on which two independent variables are expected to have effect, and the following hypotheses were proposed:

- **H1:** Servant Leadership will positively affect Empowerment
- **H2:** Perceived Organizational Support will positively affect Empowerment
- **H3:** Servant Leadership will positively affect Use of Collective Intelligence
- **H4:** Perceived Organizational Support will positively affect Use of Collective Intelligence
- **H5:** Servant Leadership will positively affect Continuous Learning
- **H6:** Perceived Organizational Support will positively affect Continuous Learning
- **H7:** Perceived Organizational Support will have significant mediating effect on the relationship between Servant Leadership and Empowerment
- **H8:** Perceived Organizational Support will have significant mediating effect on the relationship between Servant Leadership and Use of Collective Intelligence
H9: Perceived Organizational Support will have significant mediating effect on the relationship between Servant Leadership and Continuous Learning

2.3 Research Methods

2.3.1 Population and Sample Selection
The subjects of this study were workers from employees to general managers working at headquarters of the National Agricultural Cooperative Federation and Agribusiness Group in Korea, which has been committed to building horizontal and flexible organizational culture and introducing agile organization and culture since 2018. The reason for choosing workers of headquarter in sample survey was to increase the significance of the response by selecting a group with an excellent understanding of agile culture in the early stages of introducing the culture. The questionnaire survey was conducted for about one month from Jan., 2020 and a total of 157 valid samples were obtained. SPSS (Ver. 22.0) was used in statistical analysis.

2.3.2 Measurement and Operational Definition of Variables

The measurement tool was composed based on several previous studies and operational definitions of variables were developed as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Operational definition of variables

| Variable                          | Operational Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Ref.     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Servant Leadership                | The degree to which the leader does his best to help his subordinates, solves his difficulties, uses wisdom to predict the situation, and provides sufficient explanation and persuasion for understanding | Lee (2019), Yu (2019) |
| Perceived Organizational Support  | The degree to which an organization values the members’ achievements, goals, and values, tries to grow them, is always concerned for them, actively helps them when problems arise, and is proud of their achievements | Park (2019) |
| Empowerment                       | The degree to which members of an organization have independence and autonomy in their work, make decisions on their own, and exercise influence and control over their work                                      | Kim (2019), Kim (2019) |
| Use of Collective Intelligence    | The degree to which members works together with their peers, are delighted to work with them, know each other's abilities, and share their personal insights or learning outcomes with them. | Cho (2017), Kim (2015) |
| Continuous Learning              | The degree to which one continues to participate in in-house education, external education, club activity, study groups to develop one's careers, seeks advice on work, and refer to related materials to know exactly one's own strength and weakness. | Song (2018) |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Validity and Reliability Analysis
This study first conducted validity analysis on the factors that constitute servant leadership, POS, and characteristics of agile organizational culture. The exploratory factor analysis was performed to verify the validity in which principal component analysis was performed to extract the constituent factors and Varimax orthogonal rotation was used to differentiate factors clearly. The results showed that the data is suitable for factor analysis as evidenced by KMO of .866, higher than normal value .8, and χ2(p) of .000 in Bartlett sphericity test. In addition, the accumulation variance %, a value of rotation sums of squared loadings, exceeded the general level of 60%, reaching 64.3%, indicating that five factors fully explain the total variance of the measured variables. In the rotated component using the Varimix rotation method, the loading value of each factor in the matrix was higher than .5, confirming the
convergent validity, and the communality was higher than general standard, .4, suggesting no problem in using this data. Reliability analysis results confirmed the reliability in that all Cronbach's alpha coefficients exceeded .6, a general standard. The Cronbach's alphas coefficients when each factor was removed were less than that for the corresponding variable except for POS4, indicating that no measurement variable should be removed. POS4 was not removed because the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the POS variable was very high, .904, indicating sufficient reliability. The validity and reliability analysis results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Exploratory factor analysis and reliability test

| Factors | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | CM  | Ca-D | Ca  |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|-----|------|-----|
| SL4     | .880 |    |    |    |    | .824 | .916 |     |
| SL5     | .834 |    |    |    |    | .730 | .922 |     |
| SL3     | .829 |    |    |    |    | .707 | .922 |     |
| SL8     | .824 |    |    |    |    | .739 | .920 |     |
| SL6     | .788 |    |    |    |    | .646 | .927 | .932 |
| SL2     | .750 |    |    |    |    | .635 | .927 |     |
| SL7     | .736 |    |    |    |    | .643 | .927 |     |
| SL1     | .736 |    |    |    |    | .674 | .926 |     |
| POS2    |     | .868 |    |    |    | .824 | .873 |     |
| POS3    |     | .856 |    |    |    | .781 | .875 | .904 |
| POS5    |     | .801 |    |    |    | .733 | .883 |     |
| POS6    |     | .796 |    |    |    | .739 | .878 |     |
| POS4    |     | .609 |    |    |    | .492 | .905 |     |
| POS1    |     | .584 |    |    |    | .561 | .902 |     |
| EMP6    |     |    | .794 |    |    | .698 | .835 |     |
| EMP5    |     |    | .781 |    |    | .665 | .833 |     |
| EMP2    |     |    | .735 |    |    | .625 | .845 | .866 |
| EMP4    |     |    | .721 |    |    | .625 | .847 |     |
| EMP3    |     |    | .715 |    |    | .634 | .842 |     |
| EMP1    |     |    | .616 |    |    | .508 | .856 |     |
| CL2     |     |    |    | .814 |    | .703 | .750 |     |
| CL1     |     |    |    | .810 |    | .705 | .755 |     |
| CL3     |     |    |    | .731 |    | .595 | .774 | .807 |
| CL4     |     |    |    | .657 |    | .507 | .779 |     |
| CL6     |     |    |    | .546 |    | .484 | .794 |     |
| CL5     |     |    |    | .498 |    | .470 | .803 |     |
| UCI3    |     |    |    |    | .789 | .705 | .618 |     |
| UCI4    |     |    |    |    | .700 | .607 | .608 | .716 |
| UCI2    |     |    |    |    | .625 | .606 | .683 |     |
| UCI1    |     |    |    |    | .547 | .529 | .703 |     |
| EV      |     |    |    |    |    | 5.804 | 4.172 |     |
| V %     |     |    |    |    |    | 19.345 | 13.906 | 12.883 |
| AV %    |     |    |    |    |    | 19.345 | 33.252 | 46.134 |

KMO = .866, Bartlett’s χ² = 3086.594, p-value = .000

Ref) SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support, EMP: Empowerment, CL: Continuous Learning, UCI: Use of Collective Intelligence, EV: Eigen Value, V%: Variance%, AV%: Accumulation Variance%, CM: Communality, Ca-D: Cronbach’ α if item is deleted, Ca: Cronbach’ α
3.2 Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis, a statistical technique that analyzes whether the relationship between two variables is independent or related, is performed to identify the directionality and multiple collinearity between variables, before regression analysis. The Pearson's correlation analysis was performed and the results showed that correlation coefficients were positive and statistically significant (p < .01), indicating that the regression analysis to analyze the causal relationship is feasible. The results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Correlation coefficient analysis

|       | SL   | POS  | CL   | EMP  | UCI  |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SL    | 1    |      |      |      |      |
| POS   | .365** | 1    |      |      |      |
| CL    | .224** | .384** | 1    |      |      |
| EMP   | .313** | .487** | .231** | 1    |      |
| UCI   | .388** | .371** | .410** | .388** | 1    |

**: The correlation is valid at .01 level

Ref) SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support, CL: Continuous Learning, EMP: Empowerment, UCI: Use of Collective Intelligence

3.3 The Result of Hypothesis Testing

3.3.1 The Results of Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis was performed to investigate the effects of servant leadership and POS on empowerment, use of collective intelligence, and continuous learning which are characteristics of agile organizational culture. The results of testing the relationship between servant leadership and POS on empowerment are shown in Table 4. All VIFs were less than 10, indicating no multicollinearity among the independent variables and the Durbin-Watson coefficient was 2.077, which is far from 0 and 4 and close to 2, confirming the independence of the residual term. These results showed that this data is fit for regression analysis. The coefficient table was reviewed to investigate whether the independent variable has a linear effect on the dependent variable, and the results showed statistically significant effect evidenced by t(ps) of .039 and .000 for servant leadership and POS, respectively. The non-standardized coefficients B for both were positive, meaning that the higher servant leadership and POS are associated with the higher empowerment, supporting H1 and H2. The standardization coefficients β indicated that the influence of the POS (.431) on empowerment is much higher than that of servant leadership (.155). These results indicate that the efforts to increase POS are more important for the cultural characteristics of empowerment to be well implemented, though exercise of servant leadership is also important. R² of .259 indicated that the independent variables, servant leadership and POS, accounted for 25.9% of the dependent variable, empowerment.

Table 4. Effect of servant leadership, perceived organizational support on empowerment

|       | B    | SE   | β    | t    | P    | VIF |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|
| (Constant) | 1.271 | .272 | 4.669 | .000 |     |     |
| SL    | .140 | .067 | .155 | 2.085 | .039 | 1.154 |
| POS   | .426 | .074 | .431 | 5.780 | .000 | 1.154 |

F=26.851(p=.000), R²=.259, adjusted R²=.249, Durbin-Watson's d =2.077

Dependent Variable: Empowerment

Ref) SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support
The effects of servant leadership and POS on the use of collective intelligence were tested and the results are shown in Table 5. All VIFs were less than 10, indicating no multicollinearity among the independent variables and the Durbin-Watson coefficient was 1.986, confirming the independence of the residual term. The coefficient table was reviewed to determine whether the independent variable has a linear effect on the dependent variable, and the results showed statistically significant effect evidenced by t(p)s of .000 and .001 for servant leadership and POS, respectively. The non-standardized coefficients B for both were positive, meaning that the higher servant leadership and POS are associated with the higher use of collective intelligence, supporting H3 and H4. The standardization coefficients β indicated that the influence of servant leadership (.291) on the use of collective intelligence is higher than that of POS (.265). R² of .211 indicated that the independent variables, servant leadership and POS, accounted for 21.1% of the dependent variable, use of collective intelligence.

### Table 5. Effect of servant leadership, perceived organizational support on use of collective intelligence

|          | B    | SE  | β   | t     | P    | VIF |
|----------|------|-----|-----|-------|------|-----|
| (Constant)| 2.401| .220|      | 10.907| .000 | 1.154 |
| SL       | .206 | .054| .291| 3.785 | .000 | 1.154 |
| POS      | .205 | .060| .265| 3.443 | .001 | 1.154 |

Dependent Variable: Use of Collective Intelligence  
Ref) SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support

Lastly, the effects of servant leadership and POS on the use of continuous learning were tested and the results are shown in Table 6. All VIFs were less than 10, indicating no multicollinearity among the independent variables and the Durbin-Watson coefficient was 1.780, confirming the independence of the residual term. The significance of the regression coefficients were tested to identify an independent variable that has significant effect on the dependent variable and the results showed that the servant leadership has no significant effect (t(p) = .225) while POS has statistically significant one (t(p) = .000) and positive non-standardized coefficients B, meaning that the higher POS is associated with the higher level of continuous learning, supporting H6, while H5 was rejected. R² of .155 indicated that the independent variables, servant leadership and POS, accounted for 15.5% of the dependent variable, continuous learning.

### Table 6. Effect of servant leadership, perceived organizational support on continuous learning

|          | B    | SE  | β   | t     | P    | VIF |
|----------|------|-----|-----|-------|------|-----|
| (Constant)| 1.797| .284|      | 6.337 | .000 | 1.154 |
| SL       | .085 | .070| .097| 1.219 | .225 | 1.154 |
| POS      | .337 | .077| .348| 4.380 | .000 | 1.154 |

Dependent Variable: Continuous Learning  
Ref) SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support

3.3.2 The Results of Mediating Effect Test

The three-step test proposed by Baron & Kenny was used to investigate whether POS mediates the influence of servant leadership on characteristics of agile organizational culture. The mediating effect of POS on the relationship between servant leadership and empowerment was analyzed and the results are shown in Table 7. In the first step of mediating effect test, the servant leadership was shown to have positive effect on POS (p<.001) with explanatory power of 13.3% (Ji, 2019); (Jiang and Liang, 2019). In the second step, servant leadership was shown to have positive effect on empowerment (p <.001) with explanatory power of 9.8%. In the third step of the mediation effects
test, POS was shown to have significant effect on empowerment (p < .001), indicating a mediating effect. In the third step, servant leadership was statistically significant (p < .05), with the regression coefficient (B) decreasing from .282 to .140, indicating that POS partially mediates the effect of servant leadership on empowerment, supporting H7.

**Table 7. Mediating effect of POS on empowerment**

|           | Step1      | Step2       | Step3       |
|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| (Constant)| 1.849***   | 2.059***    | 1.271****   |
| POS       | .333***    | .282***     | .140*       |
| R²        | .133       | .098        | .259        |
| F         | 23.815***  | 16.784***   | 26.851***   |

Dependent Variable: Empowerment

Durbin-Watson’s d = 2.087

*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Ref) SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support, EMP: Empowerment

The mediating effect of POS on the relationship between servant leadership and use of collective intelligence was analyzed and the results are shown in Table 8. In the first step of mediating effect test, the servant leadership was shown to have positive effect on POS (p < .001) with explanatory power of 13.3%. In the second step, servant leadership was shown to have positive effect on use of collective intelligence (p < .001) with explanatory power of 15.0%. In the third step of the mediation effects test, POS was shown to have significant effect on use of collective intelligence (p < .001), indicating a mediating effect. In the third step, servant leadership was statistically significant (p < .001), with the regression coefficient (B) decreasing from .274 to .206, indicating that POS partially mediates the effect of servant leadership on use of collective intelligence, supporting H8.

**Table 8. Mediating effect of POS on use of collective intelligence**

|           | Step1      | Step2       | Step3       |
|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| (Constant)| 1.849***   | 2.781***    | 2.401***    |
| SL        | .333***    | .274***     | .206***     |
| POS       | .205**     | .205**      | .205**      |
| R²        | .133       | .150        | .211        |
| F         | 23.815***  | 27.407***   | 20.591***   |

Dependent Variable: Use of Collective Intelligence

Durbin-Watson’s d = 1.990

*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Ref) SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support, UCI: Use of Collective Intelligence

The mediating effect of POS on the relationship between servant leadership and continuous learning was analyzed and the results are shown in Table 9. In the first step of mediating effect test, the servant leadership was shown to have positive effect on POS (p < .001) with explanatory power of 13.3%. In the second step, servant leadership was shown to have positive effect on continuous learning (p < .01) with explanatory power of 5.0%. In the third step of the mediation effects test, POS was shown to have significant effect on continuous learning (p < .001), indicating a mediating effect. In the third step, servant leadership was not statistically significant (p > .05), with the regression coefficient (B) decreasing from .197 to .085, indicating that POS completely mediates the effect of servant leadership on continuous learning, supporting H9.
Table 9. Mediating effect of POS on continuous learning

|        | Step1  | Step2  | Step3  |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| POS    | 1.849*** | 2.420*** | 1.797*** |
| CL     | .333*** | .197**  | .085   |
| SL     | .337*** | .197**  | .085   |
| POS    | .337*** | .197**  | .085   |
| R²     | .133   | .050   | .155   |
| F      | 23.815*** | 8.197**  | 14.172*** |

Dependent Variable: Continuous Learning

Durbin-Watson’s d = 1.793

*p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Ref: SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support, CL: Continuous Learning

These results of mediating effect analysis suggested that servant leadership have a direct effect on the characteristics of agile organizational culture, and furthermore stronger effect through POS. The servant leadership, in particular, has been shown to affect continuous learning only through POS, suggesting that, since the executive servant leadership alone is not sufficient to provide a continuous learning culture that is one of the characteristics of an agile organization, members must be given perception of the full support and rewards provided by organization.

Table 10 summarizes the above hypothesis test results.

Table 10. Hypothesis test results summary

| Hypothesis | Description | Supported |
|------------|-------------|-----------|
| H1         | SL → EMP    | Supported |
| H2         | POS → EMP   | Supported |
| H3         | SL → UCI    | Supported |
| H4         | POS → UCI   | Supported |
| H5         | SL → CL     | Not Supported |
| H6         | POS → CL    | Supported |
| H7         | SL → (POS) → EMP | Supported |
| H8         | SL → (POS) → UCI | Supported |
| H9         | TU → (POS) → CMS | Supported |

Ref: SL: Servant Leadership, POS: Perceived Organizational Support, EMP: Empowerment, CL: Continuous Learning, UCI: Use of Collective Intelligence, EV: Eigen Value, V%: Variance%, AV%: Accumulation Variance%, CM: Communality, Cα-D: Cronbach’ α if item is deleted, Cα: Cronbach’ α

4. Conclusion

4.1 Study Results and Implications

This study empirically tested the effect of servant leadership and perceived organizational support (POS) on the characteristics of agile organizational culture. The results showed that the servant leadership, an independent variable, has a positive effect on the empowerment and use of collective intelligence among characteristics of agile organizational culture, however, not on continuous learning. The hypothesis that the servant leadership has significant effect on continuous learning, which was developed based on the commitment to growth and developing people, as characteristics of servant leadership, was rejected. This may be due to various factors such as the nature of the sample, necessitating further research in the future. The perceived organizational support (POS) has statistically
positive effect on all three variables of the characteristics of agile organizational culture: empowerment, use of collective intelligence, and continuous learning. Therefore, it can be seen that POS is an important variable that directly affects agile organizational culture along with servant leadership. The results of test of mediating effect of the POS showed that the POS mediates all the effects of servant leadership on the empowerment, use of collective intelligence, and continuous learning which are characteristics of agile organizational culture. POS, in particular, was shown to completely mediate the relationship between servant leadership and continuous learning. These results indicate that servant leadership plays an important role in establishing the characteristics of agile organizational culture in an organization and that, because the POS mediates this relationship, the characteristics of agile organizational culture may be established more firmly when the organization continues to signal the members with the perception of sufficient support and recognition provided by organization.

4.2 Limitations and Future Research Directions

This study was conducted for employees working at headquarters of a specific organization therefore it is difficult to generalize the results to overall industries and organizations. In the future, it is necessary to conduct comparative studies between groups that have introduced agile organizational culture and ones that does not. It is also recommended to conduct additional research and theorize the relationship among various leadership types, factors of organizational support, and characteristics of agile organizational culture.
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