ERRATUM: “CLONING DROPOUTS: IMPLICATIONS FOR GALAXY EVOLUTION AT HIGH REDSHIFT” (ApJ, 593, 640 [2003])

Rychard Bouwens, Tom Broadhurst, and Garth Illingworth

Several proof corrections were not carried over faithfully to the final printed version of this paper.

1. In the printed version, the text references to Figures 6–12 are incorrect, although the figures themselves are correctly numbered. In the text, all mentions of Figure 6 should read Figure 8 (and refer to the figure that appears correctly as Figure 8 in the printed text); references to Figure 7 should read Figure 9; to Figure 8 should read Figure 10; to Figure 9 should read Figure 11; to Figure 10 should read Figure 12; to Figure 11 should read Figure 6; and to Figure 12 should read Figure 7.

2. In the second paragraph of § 2, the sentences
   “Such selection is possible. This is the result of the strong Lyman-continuum break and because high-redshift blue continuum-dominated galaxies occupy a particularly unique region in color-color space because of the increasingly strong Lyman-continuum break at 912 Å (Meier 1976; Cowie & Lilly 1989; Guhathkurta, Tyson, & Majewski 1990; Steidel & Hamilton 1992, 1993) and because of an increasingly strong break at higher redshift caused by the intervening Ly\,\alpha forest eating into the spectrum shortward of 1216 Å (Madau 1995).”
   Should read:
   “Such selection is possible because high-redshift blue continuum-dominated galaxies occupy a particularly unique region in color-color space. This is the result of the strong Lyman-continuum break at 912 Å (Meier 1976; Cowie & Lilly 1989; Guhathkurta, Tyson, & Majewski 1990; Steidel & Hamilton 1992, 1993) and the increasingly strong break at higher redshift caused by the intervening Ly\,\alpha forest eating into the spectrum shortward of 1216 Å (Madau 1995).”

3. In paragraph 3 of section 2, “the Bruzual & Charlot (1993) spectrophotometric tables” should specify “the Bruzual & Charlot (1993) spectrophotometric tables (2000 update).”

4. In the second and third paragraphs of § 5.2, the values for $M_{1700, AB}$ and $\Phi$ were stated incorrectly. In the second paragraph the correct values should be $M_{1700, AB} = -21.07$ and $\Phi = 0.00139 \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$. In the third paragraph, the correct values should be $M_{1700, AB} = -21.11$ and $\Phi = 0.00120 \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$.

The press sincerely regrets these errors.