Relevance of LiPF$_6$ as Etching Agent of LiMnPO$_4$ Colloidal Nanocrystals for High Rate Performing Li-ion Battery Cathodes
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ABSTRACT: LiMnPO$_4$ is an attractive cathode material for the next-generation high power Li-ion batteries, due to its high theoretical specific capacity (170 mA h g$^{-1}$) and working voltage (4.1 V vs Li$^+$/Li). However, two main drawbacks prevent the practical use of LiMnPO$_4$: its low electronic conductivity and the limited lithium diffusion rate, which are responsible for the poor rate capability of the cathode. The electronic resistance is usually lowered by coating the particles with carbon, while the use of nanosize particles can alleviate the issues associated with poor ionic conductivity. It is therefore of primary importance to develop a synthetic route to LiMnPO$_4$ nanocrystals (NCs) with controlled size and coated with a highly conductive carbon layer.

We report here an effective surface etching process (using LiPF$_6$) on colloidally synthesized LiMnPO$_4$ NCs that makes the NCs dispersible in the aqueous glucose solution used as carbon source for the carbon coating step. Also, it is likely that the improved exposure of the NC surface to glucose facilitates the formation of a conductive carbon layer that is in intimate contact with the inorganic core, resulting in a high electronic conductivity of the electrode, as observed by us. The carbon-coated etched LiMnPO$_4$-based electrode exhibited a specific capacity of 118 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 1C, with a stable cycling performance and a capacity retention of 92% after 120 cycles at different C-rates. The delivered capacities were higher than those of electrodes based on not etched carbon-coated NCs, which never exceeded 30 mA h g$^{-1}$. The rate capability here reported for the carbon-coated etched LiMnPO$_4$ nanocrystals represents an important result, taking into account that in the electrode formulation 80 wt% is made of the active material and the adopted charge protocol is based on reasonable fast charge times.
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INTRODUCTION

Lithium transition metal phosphates with olivine structure have been proposed since almost 20 years$^1$ as cathode materials for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries, due to their high chemical and thermal stability and the low cost of their precursors. Olivines (orthorhombic space group $Pnma$) are characterized by the presence of longitudinal channels along their crystallographic $b$-axis. These channels are suitable for lithium-ion intercalation and deintercalation reactions that take place during the cathode discharge and charge processes, respectively.$^2$ The most studied (and now commercially available) olivine is lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO$_4$, LFP) which is characterized by a working potential of 3.45 V vs Li$^+$/Li, with a theoretical capacity of 170 mA h g$^{-1}$. In LFP, the intercalation/deintercalation process is biphasic (from Li$_{1-x}$FePO$_4$ to FePO$_4$ and vice versa) and is reversible. The lithiated and the nonlithiated phases have similar structures, so that the conversion from one phase to the other entails a small volume change, which is indeed desirable for the electrode cycling stability. Among the other olivines, LiMnPO$_4$ (LMP) is more attracting than LFP, since it has the same theoretical specific capacity as LFP (170 mA h g$^{-1}$), but is characterized by a higher working potential (4.1 V vs Li$^+$/Li). The pervasive use of LMP would enable the development of batteries with energy densities that are approximately 20% higher than those based on LFP.$^3,4$ However, compared to LFP, LMP is affected by a few additional issues, such as lower delivered capacity and cycling stability, and its poor rate capability. These are related to the low electronic conductivity (about 2 orders of magnitude lower than that of LFP)$^5$ and the poor Li$^+$ intercalation/deintercalation kinetics. The latter arises from the pseudo Jahn–Teller distortion of the crystal lattice occurring at the interface between LiMnPO$_4$ and MnPO$_4$ during electrode cycling.$^6,7$
Many attempts have been made to overcome these limiting factors in olivine type materials for batteries. The poor electronic conductivity is usually improved by carbon coating,\textsuperscript{6,8-10} while working with nanosized crystals helps to reduce the Li\textsuperscript+ diffusion length\textsuperscript{11-17} and consequently improves the ionic conductivity. Beneficial effects on the electrochemical performances are also seen by the incorporation of dopants, such as V\textsubscript{18}, Fe\textsubscript{19}, Ce\textsubscript{20}, Ni\textsubscript{21} and Mg\textsubscript{22} that can buffer the pseudo Jahn–Teller distortion. To circumvent the effects of high electronic and ionic resistances, it is customary in the laboratory to adopt charge protocols for the cathode cycling tests (i.e., low currents and long charge times),\textsuperscript{23-26} since a slower charging process maximizes the final achievable capacity. These conditions are however far from the realistic case in which an actual battery works and that requires fast charge processes. Only few reports on LMP-based cathodes have adopted fast charge protocols, and all of them based on composite electrode formulations that invariably contain a considerable fraction of carbon and polymeric binder (more than 25% in weight).\textsuperscript{14,15,27} Also, carbon was present not only as coating layer but also in the form of additives (e.g., carbon black powders) that were deemed necessary to enhance the electric conductivity. At the cathodic working potentials, both binder and carbon are inactive for Li\textsuperscript+ intercalation/deintercalation. Therefore, a mass of the active material that is, at best, only 75% of the total electrode mass, translates into an overall low energy density of the electrode.\textsuperscript{28} These figures are far from the 4% wt in total mass of conductive carbon and Polyvinylidene difluoride (PVdF) binder used in commercial electrodes based on active materials different from LFP and LMP.\textsuperscript{29}

We developed recently a colloidal synthesis approach to LFP and LMP nanocrystals (NCs) and, for the specific case of LFP, we also set up a subsequent surface treatment and a carbon coating procedure that delivered high performance LFP NCs-based cathodes.\textsuperscript{30,31} The treatment consisted in an etching of the NC surface with LiPF\textsubscript{6} which removed the organic ligands bound to the surface of the NCs and enabled then the formation of a compact carbon film in the following carbon coating step. Here, we have extended that procedure to LMP NCs which, similarly to the LFP NCs of our previous work,\textsuperscript{31} were prepared by a colloidal approach. We compared the performance at room temperature (23 °C) of etched (etchLMP/C) and not etched (neLMP/C) carbon coated LiMnPO\textsubscript{4} NCs-based cathodes (Scheme 1), using an electrode formulation with 80 wt% of active material (i.e., LMP) and 20 wt% of carbon plus PVdF binder. Data from galvanostatic charge/discharge tests, the cyclic voltammetries (CVs), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements indicated a remarkable improvement of the electrochemical performances of the etched samples tested at high discharge rates (≥1C) and with a reasonable fast charging protocol. The etchLMP/C-based cathodes exhibited an increase of discharge capacity by more than 300% with respect to the not etched samples at 1C. Moreover, our composite electrodes had a higher fraction of active material (80%) with respect to previous reports (75% at best), which contributes to reducing the need of carbon additive and polymeric binder, with a consequent benefit for the future development of high energy density LMP-based cathodes.

**Scheme 1. Synthetic Route to neLMP/C and etchLMP/C NCs**

![Diagram of synthetic route](image)

“The scheme depicts the procedure used to prepare the neLMP/C particles (top right) by carbon coating of not etched NCs (neLMP, top left) and the etchLMP/C particles (bottom right) by carbon coating of etched NCs (etchLMP, bottom left).”

---

**EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

**Chemicals.** Oleylamine (OAm, 70% purum, LOT#STBF0846 V), 1-octadecene (technical grade, 90%, LOT#MKBS8289 V), manganese chloride anhydrous (99.99% purum), ammonium phosphate dibasic (≥99.0% purum, LOT#BCBD7253 V), lithium iodide (99.99% purum, LOT#MKBN9943 V), α-α-glucose (99.5% purum, LOT#089 K00603), chloroform (99.8% purum, LOT#STBF4628 V), ethanol (99.8% purum, LOT#BCBQS114 V), N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, polyvinylidene difluoride (PVdF), 1 M LiPF\textsubscript{6} electrolyte solution in ethylene carbonate (EC)/dimethylcarbonate (DMC) 1:1 (battery grade), Whatman glass fibers separator (GF/D 0.67 mm thick), and Li metal foils (99.9% purum, Lot#SIBD1554 V) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Coin type 2032 components (SS casing items) were purchased from M.T.I. Corporation. Carbon powder (carbon super P) was purchased from Alfa Aesar.

**Synthesis of LiMnPO\textsubscript{4} NCs.** Colloidal LMP NCs were prepared with a procedure that was adapted from our previous reports on LFP NCs\textsuperscript{30,31} 0.90 g of lithium iodide (LiI), 0.63 g of manganese(II) chloride (MnCl\textsubscript{2} anhydrous), 0.60 g of ammonium phosphate dibasic ((NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}), 50 mL of oleylamine, and 50 mL of 1-octadecene were mixed in a 250 mL three-neck round-bottom flask connected to a standard Schlenk line. The solution was degassed under vacuum for 4 h at 120 °C, after which it was heated overnight at 250 °C (heating rate 5 °C/min) under N\textsubscript{2}. It was then precipitated and cleaned by repeated additions of chloroform and ethanol followed by centrifugation at 9000 rpm.

**LiPF\textsubscript{6} Treatment.** The as-synthesized LMP NCs (500 mg) were dissolved in 10 mL of chloroform and mixed with 10 mL of 0.33 M lithium hexafluorophosphate (LiPF\textsubscript{6}) aqueous solution. The final 20 mL mixture was vigorously shaken and sonicated for 0.5 h. After 15 min, the LiMnPO\textsubscript{4} NCs were transferred into the aqueous phase. The aqueous phase was then collected and centrifuged at 9000 rpm. The precipitate was vigorously shaken in a mixture of ultrapure water (30 mL) and chloroform (20 mL), to remove the excess of LiPF\textsubscript{6} and organics from the NCs. After centrifugation at 9000 rpm, the liquid phase was discarded and the precipitate was then redispersed in 20 mL of ultrapure water and 10 mL of ethanol and it was finally centrifuged at 8000 rpm. This last step was repeated twice.

**Carbon Coating of the LMP NCs.** A coating layer of carbon was produced on both etched and not etched LMP NCs, following the
procedure described below. For the etchLMP sample, about 500 mg of NCs was dispersed in aqueous glucose solution (150 mg of glucose in 5 mL of water), while for neLMP the NCs were solubilized in 5 mL of glucose dispersion in chloroform. The solutions were sonicated for 0.5h and then dried overnight under vacuum at 40 °C. The pyrolytic procedure that produced the carbonaceous coating was the same for both samples. A quartz combustion boat containing the dried mixture (NCs + glucose) was placed in the middle of a tubular furnace under a slightly reducing atmosphere (95% Ar, 5% H₂, 50 sccm) and was heated up to 450 °C (5 °C/min), kept at 450 °C for 1 h and then slowly heated to 650 °C (3 °C/min) and kept at 650 °C for 1 h. The furnace was then cooled down to room temperature, while still under Ar/H₂ (95/5%) atmosphere.

X-ray Diffraction (XRD). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a PANalytical Empyrean X-ray diffractometer equipped with a 1.8 kW Cu Kα ceramic X-ray tube, PIXcel1D 2×2 area detector and operating at 45 kV and 40 mA. The diffraction patterns were collected in air at room temperature using parallel-beam (PB) geometry and symmetric reflection mode. XRD data analysis was carried out using HighScore 4.1 software from PANalytical.

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA). The synthesized carbon coated NCs (dried powder) were analyzed by TGA to quantify the fraction of carbon content with respect to the inorganic fraction in the NCs. The thermal analysis was performed using a TGA Q500 instrument, from room temperature (RT) to 700 °C (equilibration time 5 min at 30 °C, heating rate 10 °C/min) in air flux.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). Conventional TEM imaging was performed using a JEOL JEM-1011 microscope working at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) measurements were acquired with a JEOL JEM-2200FS microscope equipped with a Schottky gun working at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV, a CEOS spherical aberration corrector in the objective lens allowing a spatial resolution of 0.9 Å, and an in-column energy filter.

Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) Measurements. Surface area measurements were carried out by nitrogen physisorption at 77 K in a Quantachrome equipment, model autosorb iQ. The specific surface areas were calculated using the multipoint BET model, considering 11 equally spaced points in the P/P₀ range of 0.05–0.30. Prior to measurements, the samples (30–500 mg in form of powder) were degassed for at least 3 h at 60 °C under vacuum to eliminate weakly adsorbed species.

Electrochemical Measurements. The electrochemical characterization of the etched and not etched NCs, in both cases after carbon coating, was carried out on composite electrodes with the following composition: 80 wt % of LiMnPO₄ NCs, 15 wt % of total carbon (considering both carbon coating and conductive carbon SuperP) and 5 wt % of PVdF. The electrodes were prepared by “doctor blade” deposition of a slurry in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone of the three components on etched aluminum foil used as current collector. Circular electrodes (geometric area of 1.77 cm²) were cut from the dried dispersions, pressed and further dried at 120 °C under vacuum for at least 2 h before use. The active material mass loading for the tested electrodes was about 5 mg cm⁻². Coin-type (2032) electrochemical cells with Li as counter and reference electrode were assembled and sealed in an argon atmosphere drybox (H₂O and O₂ < 0.1 ppm). A dried glass fibers membrane (Whatman GF/D) was used as separator, adding 500 µL of 1 M LiPF₆ electrolyte solution in EC:DMC 1:1 (LP30). The electrochemical behavior was tested by galvanostatic charge/discharge, cyclic voltammetries (CVs) at 30 µV s⁻¹, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The cells were charged using a constant current–constant voltage (CC–CV) protocol, at different currents (i.e., different C-rates: C/10, 1C, 2C, 5C, and 10C) considering 1C = 170 mA g⁻¹ (LMP) up to 4.5 V followed by constant voltage step at 4.5 V for 1 h. The cells were then discharged down to 2.5 V at the same C-rate used for the corresponding charge step. EIS runs were performed on fully charged (4.5 V) and fully discharged (2.5 V) cells, in the range 100 Hz to 10 mHz with a perturbation amplitude of 5 mV and 10 points/decade. CVs and galvanostatic charge/discharge tests were carried out on a MGP-2 (BioLogic) potentiostat/galvanostat, while the electrochemical impedance spectra were collected on a PARSTAT 2273 potentiostat/galvanostat. All the measurements were done at room temperature (23 °C). The reported potentials are referred to the Li electrode and the specific capacity data are referred to the mass of the LiMnPO₄ in the cathode (not considering carbon coating).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Material Characterization. The LiMnPO₄ NCs were prepared via a colloidol method, by modification of the synthesis that we had previously developed for iron-based phosphor-olivine. The synthesis required the use of organic ligands such as oleylamine and octadecene to stabilize the NCs growth and to control their size. At the same time, the presence of these long chain ligands makes the NCs hydrophobic and soluble only in nonpolar or moderately polar solvents (for example chloroform). The hydrophobicity of the NCs represents a serious drawback during the carbon coating procedure, which usually involves the use of an aqueous glucose solution as carbon source: as the NC solution and the glucose solution would not mix, we were forced to use a glucose dispersion in chloroform. The insolubility of glucose in a nonpolar solvent reduces the possibility of formation of a uniform carbon coating around each individual NC as a consequence of the sugar decomposition during the annealing step. In order to make the NCs hydrophilic and therefore allow an adequate mixing with an aqueous glucose solution, the aliphatic surfactants were removed by a postsynthesis step, by treating the NCs with an aqueous LiPF₆ solution, following the same etching procedure developed in our previous paper. The activity of LiPF₆ as etching agent is due to its reaction with water, which produces in situ hydrofluoric acid, according to the following mechanism:

LiPF₆ + H₂O → LiF + POF₂ + 2HF

The generated HF can act as an etchant on the surface of the LMP nanoparticles, by removing the hydrophobic surfactant molecules and replacing them with hydrophilic PF₆⁻ anions. The choice of LiPF₆ was additionally driven by the fact that anhydrous organic solutions of this salt are commonly used as electrolytes for lithium-ion batteries. We additionally tested Na₃PO₄ and (NH₄)₂HPO₄ as alternative etching agents, but the corresponding electrodes evidenced lower electrochemical performance (see discussion later and also Figure S5 of the Supporting Information), presumably related to the lower efficiency of such agents in removing the original organic ligands, and therefore they were not considered further.

If, from one side, the hydrophobicity of NCs can be crucial to allow a good contact between particles and carbon, on the other it is also important to maintain the nanometer size dimensions of the particles during the heating treatment that is followed to generate the conductive carbon layer (and that is carried out at a temperature of 650 °C). Such harsh conditions can potentially cause partial coalescence of the NCs into bigger particles. In our case, this was prevented by adopting a double step pyrolytic process (see the Experimental Methods for details) and by maintaining the samples at the final temperature of 650 °C for only 1h.

We performed carbon coating on both etched (etchLMP) and not etched (neLMP) LiMnPO₄ NCs, to study the effect of the surface treatment step on the electrochemical performances of the LMP-based cathodes. In the case of etchLMP, the only carbon source came from the added glucose, while for neLMP the carbon sources were represented by both glucose and the
organic ligands coming from the synthesis. The X-ray
diffraction (XRD) spectra of the neLMP, etchLMP, neLMP/
C, etchLMP/C dry powders are reported in Figure 1a. All
samples were characterized by similar diffraction patterns,
corresponding to pure LiMnPO₄ with orthorhombic olivine-
type structure (Pnma space group; ICSD collection code:
167253). From the XRD spectra, we can conclude that neither
structural changes in the crystals nor impurities were generated
during both etching and carbon coating.

TEM images of the initial neLMP, as well as the etchLMP,
neLMP/C and etchLMP/C samples are reported in Figure 1b.
The initial neLMP NCs exhibited dumbbell and platelet-like
shapes, with sizes ranging from few nm to 50 nm, and a speci-
fic surface area of 47 m² g⁻¹. On average, the lateral size of the NC
decreased by about 5−10% after the LiPF₆ treatment, which is
beneﬁcial as it helps to reduce the Li⁺ diffusion length.
Moreover, the etchLMP showed an increased surface area of
119 m² g⁻¹, conﬁrming what we had previously observed on a
similar treatment on LFP NCs. The difference in size
between the not etched and the etched NCs was retained after
the respective samples were carbon coated, even though the
carbon coating caused some reshaping and agglomeration of
the NCs. The extent of agglomeration was actually minimized
by the pyrolytic protocol adopted here. For comparison, the
TEM image of Figure S1a, which is referred to etchLMP/C
prepared by a simplest single step pyrolytic process at 650 °C
(onestep-etchLMP/C), indicates that these particles are clearly
bigger than the initial particles, with sizes exceeding 100 nm.
The carbon coated neLMP/C and etchLMP/C NCs were
analyzed by HRTEM (Figure 1a). Both samples were
characterized by an amorphous carbon layer surrounding the
crystals, with the preservation of the platelet morphology. The
etched NCs appeared to have a thinner carbon layer than the
not etched ones, for which the coating was almost 2.0 nm thick,
as evidenced from the fringes at the edges of the particles. To
assess the weight fraction in the NCs due to the carbon coating,
the neLMP/C and etchLMP/C samples were subjected to
TGA analysis under air. The data are reported in Figure 1b
as percentage of weight loss vs temperature. The two samples
exhibited a weight loss in the 300−600 °C range: here, the loss
of weight coincides with the carbon coating that was converted in CO₂, while the residual weight corresponds to LiMnPO₄, which is stable even at temperatures above 700 °C. The carbon content was found to be 12.4% wt. for neLMP/C and 9.7% wt. for etchLMP/C. Also, while the TGA curve of the etchLMP/C sample exhibited one step, with a decomposition temperature of 420 °C, the curve of the neLMP/C sample exhibited two steps, with decomposition temperatures of 420 and 570 °C. Since in the etched sample the only carbon source comes from glucose, we assign the lower temperature step in the two samples to the decomposition of the carbon originating from glucose, while the higher temperature step that was seen only in the neLMP/C sample should have arisen from the pyrolysis of carbon originating from the surfactant shell (made of oleylamine and octadecene).

Electrochemical Performance. As preliminary electrochemical test, we investigated the behavior of the etchLMP/C and neLMP/C NCs by CVs. Figure 3a compares the CVs equivalent circuit that better fits the EIS data of the fully charged cells (see Figure 3b inset) is composed by an uncompensated resistance (R₀) in series with one parallel Rₓ/Qₓ and QₓW elements, with Rₓ and Qₓ corresponding to charge transfer resistance and constant phase element respectively, QₓW corresponding to a constant phase element related to Li⁺ diffusion. From the fitting of the experimental data, the extrapolated Rₓ for the neLMP/C is ~212 Ω cm² while Rₓ for the etchLMP/C is almost 18 times lower (i.e., 12 Ω cm²). The lower Rₓ value for the etched sample is in good agreement with the CVs data and represents a clear evidence of the higher conductivity achieved by etching the NCS prior to carbon coating. Therefore, etching does improve the quality of the carbon coating that is grown on the particles. From the data in the lower frequency range (the so-called Warburg region) using eqs 1 and 2, it is possible to estimate the ratio between the Li⁺ diffusion coefficients (Dₓ) for the etched and not etched samples:35,36

\[
\frac{D_{x,\text{etchLMP/C}}}{D_{x,\text{neLMP/C}}} = \left( \frac{\sigma_{\text{neLMP/C}}}{\sigma_{\text{etchLMP/C}}} \right)^2
\]

(1)

\[
D_{Li} = \frac{RT^2}{nF^2A^2C^2\sigma^2}
\]

(2)

where R is the Regnault gas constant, T is the absolute temperature, n is the number of electrons transferred in the redox process, F is the Faraday constant, A is the surface area of the LMP-based electrode, C is the Li⁺ concentration in the solid electrode, and σ is the Warburg factor. The Warburg factors σ were determined from the plot (Figure S2) of the real components of the impedance (Zₚ) versus the reciprocal of the square root of the angular frequency (ω⁻¹/²), according to the following expression:

\[
Z_π = R_π + \frac{1}{σω^{-1/2}}
\]

(3)

The estimated Dₓ ratio reveals that the Li⁺ diffusion is almost 2 orders of magnitude faster in the case of etchLMP/C compared to the neLMP/C. This remarkable result further confirms the critical role of NC surface etching: this step not only improves the electron conductivity, but it positively affects the Li⁺ diffusion rate.

The electrochemical behavior of the etched and not etched LMP/C-based cells was finally studied by galvanostatic charge/discharge cycles. Figure 3c compares the first voltage profiles upon galvanostatic charge/discharge at the low C-rate of C/10 of the two studied LMP samples. The etchLMP/C-based electrode delivered a specific capacity around 130 mA h g⁻¹, while the not etched sample exhibited a poor capacity of only 35 mA h g⁻¹. Already since these first charge/discharge cycles, the superior performance of the etchLMP/C sample was clearly visible. In view of actual exploitation in a real battery scenario, we studied the etchLMP/C-based cathodes at different charge and discharge C-rates, ranging from 1C to 10C, with particular attention to the charge process. The adopted charge protocol (see the Experimental Methods for details) enabled a reasonable fast charge time of maximum 2h at 1C-rate, and even faster at higher C-rates. Figure 4 reports the specific capacity and the recovered charge vs cycle number (a) and the corresponding voltage profiles for the first charge/discharge cycle (b) at each tested C-rates for etchLMP/C sample. For comparison, Figure 4a reports in addition the specific capacity
of the neLMP/C-based cell, while the voltage profiles for the first charge/discharge cycle are reported in Figure S3. The etchLMP/C electrode exhibited a specific capacity of 118 mA h g⁻¹ at 1C with a stable cycling performance, with a capacity fade of 0.06% per cycle during the first 50 cycles. At 2C, 5C, and 10C, the capacities were 110, 90, and 60 mA h g⁻¹, respectively. The etchLMP/C delivered capacity was higher than that of the neLMP/C NCs, which never exceeded 30 mA h g⁻¹ at all tested C-rates. At the end of the whole charge/discharge protocol (120 cycles at different C-rates), the etchLMP/C electrode delivered, at 1C, a capacity of 109 mA h g⁻¹. This value corresponds to 92% of the initial capacity. When a slower charge protocol (i.e., charging constant voltage times of 10 h) was adopted, the etchLMP/C-based cathode showed a specific capacity increase of about 14% at both C/10 and 1C (Figure S4) with respect to the data reported in Figure 4. However, even if slow charge protocols are a common practice in the literature and allow higher discharge capacity values, the cell performances are far from what is required for a practical use of a battery that usually needs fast charge processes. The good rate capability of etchLMP/C NCs, compared to the not etched sample can be primarily related to a better and more effective carbon coating on the NCs surface. As mentioned earlier, other etching agents, such as Na₃PO₄ and (NH₄)₂HPO₄, were tested, but the electrochemical results (Figure S5) confirmed the superior performance of LMP sample treated with LiPF₆. Clearly, the high cost of LiPF₆ and the in situ formation of toxic HF in such treatment represent important concerns. Therefore, further research will be devoted to identify a much cheaper and less toxic etching agent. The rate capability here reported for the etchLMP/C NCs represents an important result, taking into account the electrode formulation (80% wt. active material) and the adopted charge protocol.

![Figure 4. Galvanostatic charge/discharge performances at discharge rates of 1C, 2C, 5C, and 10C. The electrodes were charged following a constant current–constant voltage protocol. (a) Comparison of specific capacity (mA h g⁻¹) and recovered charge (%) vs cycle number for etchLMP/C and neLMP/C–based electrodes. (b) Voltage profiles upon the first galvanostatic charge/discharge cycle for etchLMP/C–based electrode.](image)

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, we have prepared LiMnPO₄ nanocrystals through colloidal synthesis, followed by surface etching with LiPF₆ and carbon coating with glucose. We demonstrate the crucial and beneficial effect of the postsynthesis etching procedure on the electrochemical performances of so-prepared etchLMP/C-based cathodes for LIBs. The aforementioned electrodes showed good and stable electrochemical performances, displaying discharge capacities at 1C of 118 mA h g⁻¹, 4 times higher than those of electrodes based on not etched LMP/C. The favorable influences of the adopted etching process are as follows: (i) The efficient removal of the hydrophobic passivated surfactants shell, present on NCs surface after the colloidal synthesis. This increases the nanoparticles’ solubility in the aqueous glucose solution used as carbon source for NCs coating, enabling the formation of a good conductive carbon layer. (ii) The possibility to prepare composite electrodes with a reduced amount of carbon additive and polymeric binder, with a consequent benefit on the energy density of LMP-based cathodes. The protocol reported here enabled the preparation of LMP/NCs-based cathodes with high rate capability and which can be charged with a reasonable fast CC–CV procedure (of maximum 2 h at 1C-rate), which is of paramount importance for the future development of high rate and high power Li-ion batteries.
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