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A rigid barrier between the heart and sternum protects the heart and lungs against rupture during negative pressure wound therapy

Sandra Lindstedt, Richard Ingemansson and Malin Malmsjö

Abstract

Objectives: Right ventricular heart rupture is a devastating complication associated with negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) in cardiac surgery. The use of a rigid barrier has been suggested to offer protection against this lethal complication, by preventing the heart from being drawn up and damaged by the sharp edges of the sternum. The aim of the present study was to investigate whether a rigid barrier protects the heart and lungs against injury during NPWT.

Methods: Sixteen pigs underwent median sternotomy followed by NPWT at -120 mmHg for 24 hours, in the absence (eight pigs) or presence (eight pigs) of a rigid plastic disc between the heart and the sternal edges. The macroscopic appearance of the heart and lungs was inspected after 12 and 24 hours of NPWT.

Results: After 24 hours of NPWT at -120 mmHg the area of epicardial petechial bleeding was 11.90 ± 1.10 cm² when no protective disc was used, and 1.15 ± 0.19 cm² when using the disc (p < 0.001). Heart rupture was observed in three of the eight animals treated with NPWT without the disc. Lung rupture was observed in two of the animals, and lung contusion and emphysema were seen in all animals treated with NPWT without the rigid disc. No injury to the heart or lungs was observed in the group of animals treated with NPWT using the rigid disc.

Conclusion: Inserting a rigid barrier between the heart and the sternum edges offers protection against heart rupture and lung injury during NPWT.
median sternotomy followed by NPWT at -120 mmHg for 24 hours, in the absence (eight pigs) or presence (eight pigs) of a rigid plastic disc between the heart and the sternal edges. In the present article we measure epicardial bleeding after NPWT of a sternotomy wound. Petechial refers to one of the three major classes of purpuric conditions. The most common cause of petechial is through physical trauma. In the present article we believe that the epicardial bleeding is caused by trauma from the NPWT. The macroscopic appearance of the heart and lungs was inspected and the area of epicardial petechial bleeding was measured after 12 and 24 hours of NPWT.

Material and methods
Animals
A porcine sternotomy wound model was used. Sixteen domestic landrace pigs with a mean body weight of 70 kg were fasted overnight with free access to water. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Research, Lund University, Sweden. All animals received humane care in compliance with the European Convention on Animal Care.

Anesthesia and surgery
Premedication was performed with an intramuscular injection of xylazine (Rompun® vet. 20 mg/ml; Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany; 2 mg/kg) mixed with ketamine (Ketaminol® vet. 100 mg/ml; Farmaceutici Gellini S.p.A., Aprilia, Italy; 20 mg/kg). Before surgery, a tracheotomy was performed and an endo-tracheal tube was inserted. Anesthesia was maintained with a continuous infusion of ketamine (Ketaminol® vet. 50 mg/ml; 0.4-0.6 mg/kg/h). Complete neuromuscular blockade was achieved by continuous infusion of pancuronium bromide (Pavulon; N.V. Organon, Oss, the Netherlands; 0.3-0.5 mg/kg/h). Fluid loss was compensated for by continuous infusion of Ringer’s acetate at a rate of 300 ml/kg/h. Mechanical ventilation was established with a Siemens-Elema ventilator (Servo Ventilator 300, Siemens, Solna, Sweden) in the volume-controlled mode (65% nitrous oxide, 35% oxygen). Ventilatory settings were identical for all animals (respiratory rate: 15 breaths/min; minute ventilation: 8 l/min). A positive end-expiratory pressure of 5 cmH2O was applied. A Foley catheter was inserted into the urinary bladder through a suprapubic cystostomy. Upon completion of the experiments, the animals were given a lethal dose (60 mmol) of intravenous potassium chloride.

Wound preparation for NPWT
A midline sternotomy was performed. The pericardium and the left and right pleura were opened. The wound was treated with NPWT in the presence or absence of a rigid plastic disc inserted between the heart and the sternum. A polyurethane foam dressing with an open-pore structure was trimmed so as to be slightly larger than the wound. The first layer was placed between the sternal edges. A second layer of polyurethane foam dressing was placed between the soft tissue wound edges. The wound was sealed with a transparent adhesive drape and connected to a vacuum source set to deliver a continuous negative pressure -120 mmHg.

Experimental procedure
The pigs were divided into two groups of eight animals. In one group a rigid barrier disc was inserted between the heart and the sternum before the application of NPWT, while the other group was exposed to NPWT without a disc. The animals were treated with a continuous negative pressure of -120 mmHg for 24 hours. The NPWT dressing was changed after 12 hours. The heart and lungs were inspected with regard to injury after 12 and 24 hours. The length and width of the area affected by petechial bleeding on the epicardial surface were measured and the area was calculated (Figure 1).

Calculations and statistics
Calculations and statistical analysis were performed using GraphPad 5.0 software (San Diego, CA, USA). Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann-Whitney test when comparing two groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s test for multiple comparisons when comparing three groups or more. Significance was defined as $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**), $p < 0.001$ (***) and $p > 0.05$ (not significant, n.s.). All differences referred to in the text have been statistically verified. Values are presented as means ± the standard error on the mean (S.E.M.).
Results
Heart injury
The surface of the right ventricle of the heart was red and mottled as a result of epicardial petechial bleeding in all cases following NPWT (Figure 1). After 12 hours of NPWT, the area of epicardial bleeding was significantly larger when NPWT had been performed without the rigid disc (10.40 ± 1.10 cm²) than with the disc (1.03 ± 0.20 cm², p < 0.001, Figure 2). The area of epicardial petechial bleeding was only slightly larger after 24 hours of NPWT than after 12 hours (11.90 ± 1.10 cm² without the disc and 1.15 ± 0.19 cm² with the disc, Figure 2).

Right ventricular heart rupture was observed in three of the eight animals treated with NPWT without the rigid disc, while no ruptures were observed in the animals treated with NPWT when using the disc (Figure 3).

Lung injury
Lung ruptures were observed in two of the eight animals treated with NPWT without the disc, while none was seen when using the disc (Figure 4). Lung contusion and emphysema were seen in all cases without the disc, while no such changes were observed when NPWT was applied with the disc.

Discussion
The intention of this study was to investigate whether a rigid disc offers protection against heart and lung injury during NPWT. The results show both heart and lung injury after NPWT without the rigid disc. When NPWT is applied, the tissues are drawn together, towards the source of the vacuum. It is well known that NPWT results in wound contraction [12-16], however, the effect of the tissues deeper in the wound, such as the heart and lungs in the sternotomy wound, have been less well studied. In one of our previous studies using MRI it was shown that the heart and lungs were also drawn towards the vacuum [11]. This caused the right ventricle to bulge into the space between the sternal edges, and these sharp edges protruded into the anterior surface of the heart [11]. This is a plausible mechanism for the potentially hazardous events associated with NPWT.
The importance of protecting exposed organs during NPWT has received increasing attention recently, following reports of heart rupture and death. Sartipy et al. described 5 cases of injury following NPWT of deep sternal wound infection in Sweden, 3 of which were fatal [7]. In a series of 21 deep sternal wound infections treated with NPWT, Bapat et al. reported that one of a total of 5 mortalities was due to right ventricular rupture [17]. Enkner et al. also reported one fatality due to right ventricular rupture among 54 deep sternal wound infection patients treated with NPWT [8]. Khoynezhad et al. reviewed 3 of their own cases of heart rupture following NPWT, together with literature reports of 39 earlier cases, and found the incidence of heart rupture to be 7.5% [9]. They suggested that adhesion of the right ventricle to the infected sternal bone and soft tissues was a likely causative factor, but that the presence and mobility of the unstabilized sternal bone edge constituted a significant risk in the event of the patient breathing deeply or coughing [9].

In November 2009, the FDA filed an alert [18] to draw attention to this problem. We are now beginning to understand the mechanism underlying the injury to organs exposed to NPWT [11]. Inserting a rigid barrier between the heart and the sternum prevents the heart from being drawn up and deformed by the sternal edges [11]. To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this study is the first study to test a protective device to prevent heart and lung injury during NPWT. In the present article we measure epicardial bleeding after NPWT of a sternotomy wound. Petechial refers to one of the three major classes of purpuric conditions. The most common cause of petechial is through physical trauma but might also be a sign of thrombocytopenia or as vasculitis. In the present article we believe that the epicardial bleeding (petechial seen on the surface of the epicardium) is caused by trauma from the NPWT. We believe that the NPWT in combination with sharp sternal edges are two most important factors resulting in complications as right ventricular heart rupture and bypass graft rupture, whereas the sharp sternal edges are the most important factor. Here we present evidence that inserting a rigid barrier between the heart and the sternum effectively prevents injury to the heart and lung during NPWT. A rigid barrier may thus be a clinically practicable device, offering protection to exposed organs in NPWT.

In summary, right ventricle rupture is a feared complication of NPWT in sternotomy wounds. The cause may be that the heart and lungs are drawn up towards the anterior thoracic wall and forced against the sharp sternal edges during NPWT. Inserting a rigid barrier between the heart and the sternum offers protection against heart rupture and lung injury during NPWT.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Swedish Medical Research Council, Lund University Faculty of Medicine, the Swedish Government Grant for Clinical Research, Lund University Hospital Research Grants, the Swedish Medical Association, the Royal Physiographic Society in Lund, the Åke Wiberg Foundation, the Anders Otto Swärd Foundation/Ulrika Eklund Foundation, the Magnus Bergvall Foundation, the Crafoord Foundation, the Anna-Lisa and Sven-Erik Nilsson Foundation, the Jeansson Foundation, the Swedish Heart-Lung Foundation, Anna and Edwin Berger’s Foundation, the Marta Lundqvist Foundation, and Lars Hierta’s Memorial Foundation.

Authors’ contributions

SL, MM, and RI carried out the animal studies. SL, MM, and RI carried out the design of the study and MM and SL performed the statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 21 March 2011 Accepted: 8 July 2011 Published: 8 July 2011

References

1. Raudat CW, Pagel J, Woodhall D, Wojtanowski M, Van Bergen R: Early intervention and aggressive management of infected median sternotomy incision: a review of 2424 open-heart procedures. Am Surg 1997, 63(3):238-241, discussion 241-232.
2. EI Oakley RM, Wright JE: Postoperative mediastinitis: classification and management. Ann Thorac Surg 1996, 61(3):1030-1036.
3. Crabtree TD, Codd JE, Fraser VJ, Bailey MS, Olsen MA, Damiano RJ Jr: Multivariate analysis of risk factors for deep and superficial sternal infection after coronary artery bypass grafting at a tertiary care medical center. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2004, 16(1):63-61.
4. Lu JC, Grayson AD, Jha P, Srinivasan AK, Fabri BM: Risk factors for sternal wound infection and mid-term survival following coronary artery bypass surgery. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2003, 23(6):943-949.
5. Oldejong MC, de Lange MV, Lichtenhahn DH, de Boer WJ: Vacuum-assisted closure in the treatment of poststernotomy mediastinitis. Ann Thorac Surg 1999, 68(6):2359-2362.
6. Sjogren J, Gustafsson R, Nilsson J, Malmojo M, Ingemanson R: Clinical outcome after poststernotomy mediastinitis: vacuum-assisted closure versus conventional treatment. Ann Thorac Surg 2005, 79(6):2049-2055.
7. Sartipy U, Lockowandt U, Gabel J, Jideus L, Delgren G: Cardiac rupture during vacuum-assisted closure therapy. Ann Thorac Surg 2006, 82(2):1110-1111.
8. Ennker IC, Malkoc A, Pietrowski D, Vogt PM, Ennker J, Albert A: The concept of negative pressure wound therapy (NPWT) after poststernotomy mediastinitis—a single center experience with 54 patients. J Cardiothorac Surg 2009, 4:5.
9. Khoynezhad A, Abbas G, Palazzo RS, Graver LM: Spontaneous right ventricular disruption following treatment of sternal infection. J Card Surg 2004, 19(1):74-78.
10. Petzina R, Ugander M, Engblom H, Torbrand C, Molnari A, Hetzer R, Arheden H, Malmojo M: Hemodynamic effects of vacuum-assisted closure therapy in cardiac surgery: assessment using magnetic resonance imaging. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2007, 133(3):1154-1162.
11. Malmojo M, Petzina R, Ugander M, Engblom H, Torbrand C, Molnari A, Hetzer R, Arheden H, Ingemanson R: Preventing heart injury during negative pressure wound therapy in cardiac surgery: assessment using real-time magnetic resonance imaging. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009, 138(3):712-717.
12. Chen SZ, Li J, Li XY, Xu LS: Effects of vacuum-assisted closure on wound microcirculation: an experimental study. Asian J Surg 2005, 28(3):211-217.
13. Etoz A, Özgenel Y, Özcen M: The use of negative pressure wound therapy on diabetic foot ulcers: a preliminary controlled trial. Wounds 2004, 16: 264-269.
14. Campbell PE, Smith GS, Smith JM: Retrospective clinical evaluation of gauze-based negative pressure wound therapy. Int Wound J 2008, 5(2):280-286.
15. Ito T, Nozaki M, Kikuchi Y, Honda T, Nakazawa H: Effects of different negative pressures on reduction of wounds in negative pressure dressings. J Dermatol 2003, 30(8):596-601.
16. Malmsjö M, Ingemansson R, Martin R, Huddlestone E: Negative pressure wound therapy using gauze or polyurethane open cell foam: effects on pressure transduction and wound contraction. *Wound Rep Reg* 2009, 17(2):200-5.

17. Bapat V, El-Muttardi N, Young C, Venn G, Roxburgh J: Experience with Vacuum-assisted closure of sternal wound infections following cardiac surgery and evaluation of chronic complications associated with its use. *J Card Surg* 2008, 23(3):227-233.

18. FDA PPHN: Serious Complications Associated with Negative Pressure Wound Therapy Systems. 2009.

doi:10.1186/1749-8090-6-90

Cite this article as: Lindstedt et al.: A rigid barrier between the heart and sternum protects the heart and lungs against rupture during negative pressure wound therapy. *Journal of Cardiothoracic Surgery* 2011 6:90.