Pain management using acetaminophen throughout postoperative course of laparoscopic colorectal surgery: A case-matched control study
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Multimodal analgesia with acetaminophen was effective after laparoscopic surgery.
- Adverse events were less frequent with acetaminophen than epidural anesthesia.
- Frequency and variability of rescue use were lower with acetaminophen than epidural.
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ABSTRACT

Background: The main advantage of laparoscopic surgery is that it is minimally invasive because of the use of small incisions. An approach using small incisions offers many benefits including attenuation of surgical wound pain. However, the presence of postoperative pain may undermine the advantages of laparoscopic surgery as a minimally invasive technique. In addition, perioperative pain management is an important factor affecting recovery after surgery. This study investigated the usefulness of a multimodal approach to postoperative pain management with acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic after minimally invasive laparoscopic colorectal surgery.

Materials and methods: The study included 40 patients who underwent laparoscopic colorectal surgery for colorectal cancer. 20 patients received acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic for postoperative pain management and 20 received epidural anesthesia.

Results: The urethral catheter could be removed earlier in the acetaminophen group (2.1 ± 0.22 days postoperatively) compared with the epidural group (4.1 ± 0.45 days postoperatively). The frequencies of vertigo were significantly lower in the acetaminophen than epidural group (10.0% and 45.0%, respectively). The frequencies of the use of analgesics on an as-needed basis for postoperative pain relief as well as the variabilities in these frequencies, although not significantly different between the acetaminophen and epidural groups, were lower in the acetaminophen group than the epidural group.

Conclusion: We herein demonstrated that postoperative pain management with acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic, and without the use of epidural anesthesia, is a safe and useful analgesic modality.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The application of laparoscopic surgery has rapidly become widespread since the first laparoscopic cholecystectomy was reported in 1990 [1] and successful performance of laparoscopic colorectal surgery was described in 1991 [2]. The main advantage of laparoscopic surgery is that it is minimally invasive because of the use of small incisions. An approach using small incisions offers many benefits including not only improvement of cosmetic outcomes and attenuation of surgical wound pain but also early ambulation, early initiation of oral intake due to early return of normal bowel function, and early rehabilitation [3–7].

However, the presence of postoperative pain may undermine
the advantages of laparoscopic surgery as a minimally invasive technique. In addition, perioperative pain management is an important factor affecting recovery after surgery [8–11]. Consequently, the concept of multimodal analgesia has become widespread; this involves the use of different classes of analgesics with different mechanisms of action to achieve an increased total analgesic effect with the use of smaller doses and a concomitant reduction in side effects [12]. Modalities used for perioperative pain management include opioids, epidural anesthesia, peripheral nerve block, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and acetaminophen. These modalities differ in analgesic effects according to the type of pain (e.g., pain at rest, movement-related pain, and visceral pain) and the degree of invasiveness of the surgical techniques as well as in side effects.

This study investigated the usefulness of a multimodal approach to postoperative pain management with acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic, and without the use of epidural anesthesia, after minimally invasive laparoscopic colorectal surgery.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

The study included 83 patients who underwent laparoscopic colorectal surgery for colorectal cancer at the Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Kitasato University, Japan, from August 2015 through December 2015. This study selected 40 patients were matched for age, sex, procedure, body-mass index, the operation time, and the amount of blood loss. Of the 40 patients, 20 received acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic for postoperative pain management and 20 received epidural anesthesia (Table 1).

Table 1

| Patients backgrounds. | Ace group (n = 20) | Epi group (n = 20) | p-value |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Age (years, mean ± SD) | 64.9 ± 9.0        | 66.1 ± 11.4       | 0.405   |
| Sex (Male/Female)     | 11/9              | 11/9              | 1.00    |
| Procedure (Colon/Rectum) | 8/12             | 8/12              | 1.00    |
| BMI                   | 22.7 ± 3.1        | 21.5 ± 2.7        | 0.112   |
| Operation time (min, mean ± SD) | 254.2 ± 121.8   | 240.4 ± 77.7      | 0.815   |
| Blood loss (g, mean ± SD) | 22.1 ± 42.7      | 19.8 ± 38.2       | 0.897   |

2.2. Anesthesia and perioperative pain management

Fig. 1 All the patients received general anesthesia during the surgical procedure. Propofol, remifentanil, fentanyl, and muscle relaxants were used for induction of anesthesia, and sevoflurane, remifentanil, fentanyl, and muscle relaxants were used for maintenance of anesthesia.

The patients who received acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic for postoperative pain management (Ace group) were administered acetaminophen 1000 mg intravenously every 6 h from at least 30 min before completion of the surgery until postoperative day 2; for patients weighing less than 50 kg, the acetaminophen dosage did not exceed a maximum single dose of 15 mg/kg or a maximum daily dose of 60 mg/kg. In addition, 15 mL ropivacaine 5% was injected locally into the anterior surface of the anterior sheath of the rectus abdominis muscle at the umbilical wound site during abdominal closure. Continuous intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (IVPCA; continuous intravenous infusion of 12 mL fentanyl [600 μg] and 36 mL saline at a rate of 2 mL per hour) and intravenous NSAIDs were used on an as-needed basis for pain control until postoperative day 2. Oral NSAIDs were administered long term from postoperative day 2.

The patients who received epidural anesthesia as a baseline analgesic modality for postoperative pain management (Epi group) were also managed with this anesthetic modality during the surgical procedure. For postoperative pain management in the Epi group, continuous patient-controlled epidural analgesia (PCEA; continuous epidural infusion of 280 mL anapaine 0.2% and 8–10 mL Endoscopy, computed tomography, and barium enema were performed for the purpose of preoperative diagnosis in all patients.
Table 2

|                          | Ace group (n = 20) | Epi group (n = 20) | p     |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
| First passing gas (days, mean ± SD) | 0.95 ± 0.76       | 0.80 ± 0.77       | 0.546 |
| First postoperative rising (days, mean ± SD) | 1.2 ± 0.52       | 1.1 ± 0.31       | 0.601 |
| Remove of urethral catheter (days, mean ± SD) | 2.1 ± 0.22       | 4.1 ± 0.45       | 0.000 |
Laparoscopic surgery yields not only excellent cosmetic outcomes associated with the use of small incisions but also many other benefits including less surgical wound pain than with open surgery, early ambulation, and early return of normal bowel function [3–7].

However, postoperative pain may undermine the advantages of laparoscopic surgery as a minimally invasive technique, and perioperative pain management is an important factor contributing to enhanced recovery after surgery [8–11]. Epidural analgesia is commonly used to manage postoperative pain after open surgery, and its usefulness has been demonstrated [13]. However, postoperative pain management with epidural analgesics is associated with marked changes in circulatory dynamics and frequent use of pressor drugs throughout the perioperative period. Previous study revealed nausea and vomiting in approximately 50%, and motor paralysis and numbness of the lower extremities in approximately 30%, of patients treated with this modality, as well as frequent observation of serious complications including dural puncture and epidural hematoma formation [14–17]. Such adverse events attributable to this pain management modality can apparently delay early recovery after surgery.

Successful control of pain after laparoscopic surgery has recently been reported with the use of continuous intravenous fentanyl infusion combined with oral analgesics [14] or particularly acetaminophen [18]. This finding was attributed to the presence of less surgical wound pain. In addition, circulatory dynamics remained stable. The authors of these studies therefore recommend that epidural analgesia not be used in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery.

Multimodal analgesia is pain management with non-opioid analgesics as baseline analgesics in addition to local anesthesia or regional anesthesia. Advantages of this technique are increased total effects of different classes of analgesics through their different mechanisms of action, together with the use of smaller doses with a concomitant reduction in side effects [12]. Non-opioid analgesics used for multimodal analgesia include NSAIDs and acetaminophen. These modalities differ in analgesic effects according to the type of pain, such as pain at rest, movement-related pain, and visceral pain, as well as in side effects.

Acetaminophen exerts antipyretic effects through its direct action on the hypothalamus as well as analgesic effects through its direct action on the thalamus and cortex. Although acetaminophen is not fast-acting and is relatively ineffective when used alone, its effects on renal function and gastrointestinal mucosal injury are negligible. Acetaminophen is therefore recommended as a modality for postoperative pain management in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery, elderly patients, and patients with renal disorders [13,19,20]. This study verified the usefulness of postoperative pain management with acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic, and without the use of epidural anesthesia, after laparoscopic colorectal surgery.

Our study revealed that the variability in the frequencies of use of analgesics on an as-needed basis differed between the two groups. This finding was considered to result from differences in epidural anesthesia technique between operators as well as physique between patients. These factors do not affect pain management with acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic. This observation indicates that acetaminophen analgesia can offer stable analgesic efficacy.

We herein demonstrated that postoperative pain management with acetaminophen as a baseline analgesic, and without the use of epidural anesthesia, is a safe and useful analgesic modality. We predict that, in future, widespread application of this modality for pain management may not only enhance the advantages associated with the minimally invasive approach in laparoscopy and reduce complications after gastrointestinal anastomosis but also benefit the patient in terms of patient safety.
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