Structural Simplification of Bedaquiline: the Discovery of 3-(4-(N,N-Dimethylaminomethyl)phenyl)quinoline-Derived Antitubercular Lead Compounds
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Bedaquiline (BDQ) is a novel and highly potent last-line antituberculosis drug that was approved by the US FDA in 2013. Owing to its stereo-structural complexity, chemical synthesis and compound optimization are rather difficult and expensive. This study describes the structural simplification of bedaquiline while preserving antitubercular activity. The compound’s structure was split into fragments and reassembled in various combinations while replacing the two chiral carbon atoms with an achiral linkage instead. Four series of analogues were designed; these candidates retained their potent antitubercular activity at sub-microgram per mL concentrations against both sensitive and multidrug-resistant (MDR) Mycobacterium tuberculosis strains. Six out of the top nine MIC-ranked candidates were found to inhibit mycobacterial ATP synthesis activity with IC_{50} values between 20 and 40 μM, one had IC_{50} > 66 μM, and two showed no inhibition, despite their antitubercular activity. These results provide a basis for the development of chemically less complex, lower-cost bedaquiline derivatives and describe the identification of two derivatives with antitubercular activity against non-ATP synthase related targets.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a serious threat to human health,[1–3] causing 1.5 million deaths in 2013.[4, 5] The emergence and transmission of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and extensively drug-resistant (XDR) TB strains is a particular challenge for global TB prevention and treatment.[3] Bedaquiline (1) is the first novel US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved anti-TB drug for the treatment of MDR-TB cases in the past 40 years.[6–11] In vitro studies demonstrated potent inhibition of mycobacterial growth by bedaquiline, against both drug-sensitive and drug-resistant mycobacteria, with a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 0.06 μg mL^{-1}.[9] Bedaquiline also exhibits excellent clinical efficacy for the treatment of TB patients, particularly those with MDR-TB infections.[10] It has a remarkably long half-life, which was attributed to a high logP value (7.52) and cationic, amphiphilic properties resulting in tissue accumulation.[8, 12] Adverse side effects of bedaquiline, such as phospholipidosis and cardiovascular risks, may relate to these molecular features. In particular, an N-desmethyl metabolite (“MZ”) was reported to be more toxic but less bactericidal.[8, 13] At the molecular level the compound was shown to inhibit the mycobacterial F_{0}F_{1} ATP synthase by binding to its membrane-embedded F_{0} rotor ring, a ring-shaped assembly of identical c-subunit copies.[8, 14] Here, the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) of bedaquiline, efficiently inhibiting the mycobacterial ATP synthase, was reported to be remarkably low (25 nM). Furthermore, the mode of action of bedaquiline is highly target specific,[14] as the IC_{50} values for human, bovine, and mouse mitochondrial ATP synthases were found to be 20 000-fold higher.[10, 14, 15]

Besides these obvious assets of bedaquiline, its chemical complexity in harboring two adjacent chiral centers, makes the chemical synthesis of this new anti-TB drug laborious and costly in the production process.[11, 16] Many ongoing research programs are focused on the optimization of bedaquiline to decrease its structural complexity while maintaining its antitubercular activity.[17–24] Still, new bedaquiline analogues are required to deliver potential new leads.
The aim of this study was therefore to simplify the chemical synthesis of bedaquiline to work toward new, alternative leads. Once these simplified scaffolds are achieved, they will be used to improve pharmacokinetic properties to decrease the adverse side effects of bedaquiline. Based on the previously reported relationship of structure and antitubercular activity of various diarylquinoline analogues,[9] we dissected bedaquiline into various fragments and reorganized them to obtain novel antitubercular agents with simplified scaffolds. The MIC values of the new compounds were determined, and the best nine candidates were tested for their inhibitory activities against ATP synthesis inhibition in mycobacteria.

Results and Discussion

Design strategy

Bedaquiline (1) contains two adjacent chiral carbon atoms that bridge three aryl rings and a dimethylaminoethyl moiety (Figure 1). The structure and antitubercular activity relationship of diarylquinoline analogues as well as the importance and contribution of each fragment of bedaquiline to its antitubercular activity have been quantitatively evaluated.[9] It was shown that the activity of bedaquiline is closely related to the spatial distribution of its segments. Some fragments, such as the quinolino and dimethylamino groups, are necessary to maintain its activity, whereas other groups such as the bromo and hydroxy groups are less important. Therefore, we split bedaquiline into the core quinoline moiety, three major fragments (phenyl ring, naphthylmethyl, and dimethylaminoethyl), and two minor fragments (bromo and hydroxy) (Figure 1). We then reassembled the quinoline core with three major fragments to design four new series of scaffolds. Notably, previous structure–activity relationship (SAR) studies of bedaquiline and its analogue 2 suggested that the naphthylmethyl group can be replaced with a substituted benzyl ring.[9] Thus, in our chemical optimization, we also used the substituted benzyl ring instead of a naphthylmethyl group to design some new compounds. In addition, the minor bromo and hydroxy groups were added to the final compounds, as desired.

In series 1, fragments A and C were attached to the tertiary carbon atom at the 3-position of the quinoline moiety, and fragment B was attached to fragment A. In series 2, fragments A and C were attached to the tertiary carbon at the 3-position, while fragment B was attached to fragment C. In series 3, fragments A and B were attached to the tertiary carbon at the 3-position, while fragment C was moved down to the 2-oxyl position. Finally, in series 4 fragment A was attached to the 3-position of the quinoline moiety, fragment B was attached to fragment A, and fragment C was moved down to the 2-oxyl position. From series 1 to 4, we systematically simplified the structure of bedaquiline to remove the two chiral carbons and to understand the structure antitubercular activity relationship of these new analogues.

First round of optimization

Compounds of series 1

The synthesis of compound 6 (Scheme 1) began with a nucleophilic addition of (3-fluorobenzyl)magnesium bromide to 2-methoxyquinoline-3-carbaldehyde to give 4. Intermediate 4 was...
oxidized with Dess–Martin periodinane to produce 5, which was reacted with an appropriate Grignard reagent to give 6. Compound 10 (Scheme 2) was obtained by reacting 2-methoxyquinoline-3-carbaldehyde with (2-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)magnesium bromide to produce intermediate 8. Oxidizing 8 with TBAP/NMO in 1,4-dioxane afforded 9, which was then treated with a Grignard reagent to give 10.

In series 1 (Table 1), we designed compounds, in which fragment B was attached to fragment A. In total, eight compounds were synthesized, which provided primary information about the SAR of the compounds in series 1. An antitubercular activity screening revealed that compound 6a has the most potent antitubercular activity (1 μg mL⁻¹) in this series of compounds, while the growth of mammalian cells was inhibited with CC₅₀ > 64 μg mL⁻¹. An identical molecule, 10a, harboring a bromide, is less active, suggesting that it is not required to preserve antitubercular activity. In addition, relative to compound 10a, compound 10b has an additional methylene unit extension between fragment C and the other segments. However, compound 10b shows less potency against the growth of mycobacterium. Thus, the greater distance between fragment C and the main scaffold likely disfavors its antitubercular activity. Other analogues from this series with similar structures exhibited only weak activity against mycobacteria, indicating that the arrangement of the fragments in series 1 is not suitable to achieve the desired antimycobacterial activity. Note that all candidates of this series still contain one chiral carbon, and racemic mixtures were used to generate CC₅₀ and MIC values.

### Compounds of series 2

Aniline (11) reacted with hydrocinnamoyl chloride to afford 12, which was then treated with phosphoryl chloride and DMF at 100°C to provide 13 (Scheme 3). The reaction of 13 with sodium methoxide produced 14 via a SN₂ reaction. Next, 14 was activated by N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) to make 15. The intermediate 15 was treated with substituted aniline and potassium carbonate at room temperature, or followed by a reaction with various aminoalkyl bromides to produce 16. The synthesis of the derivatives required minor modifications (Supporting Information).

In series 2 (Table 2), fragment B was attached to fragment C. The nitrogen or oxygen atoms located close to fragment C were placed to mimic the hydroxy group of bedaquiline. A
total of six compounds were synthesized in this series. The activity screening revealed that most of the compounds in series 2 exhibit moderate antitubercular activity. Compound 16e, however, was nearly inactive, which might have been caused by the very short distance between the terminal amine and fragment Co of the molecule. In addition, we can also conclude from series 2 that the bromide is not important to retain the antitubercular activity of this compound type. Furthermore, there is one chiral carbon left in the structures of all candidates in this series, and the racemic mixtures were used to generate CC50 and MIC values. As none of the compounds from series 2 exhibited sufficient antimycobacterial activity in the very low-micromolar range, these compounds were not chosen for further chemical optimization.

**Compounds of series 3**

The preparation of compounds 22–24 (Scheme 4) started from 2-chloroquinoline-3-carbaldehyde (17) with methylmagnesium iodide or phenylmagnesium bromide to provide secondary alcohol intermediates 18. The oxidation of two 18 analogues with Dess–Martin periodinane produced 19, which was then reacted with 1-naphthol to give 20. The synthesis of 22 was completed by the condensation of 20 with hydroxylamine hydrochloride, and dimethylaminoethyl chloride successively. Compound 24 was obtained by heating 23 under acidic conditions (25 % w/w hydrochloric acid in alcohol).

In series 3 (Table 3) fragments A and B were joined with a methylene linker forming a planar configuration. In addition fragment C was added to the quinoline core by an ether bond. This structure allowed us to remove the two chiral carbons. According to this strategy, we synthesized compounds 22 and 24. Compound 23 was synthesized for structure–activity comparison. An antitubercular evaluation showed that all three compounds (22–24) from this series exert a reasonably potent activity against mycobacteria. This suggests that placing frag-
Table 2. In vitro antitubercular activity of series 2 compounds.\[3\]

| Compd | $\text{R}_1$ | $\text{R}_2$ | MIC ($\mu$g mL$^{-1}$) | CC$_{50}$ ($\mu$M) |
|-------|--------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 16a   | H            | H            | 7.7                     | 17.0              |
| 16b   | H            | H            | 6.4                     | 13.7              |
| 16c   | H            | H            | 3.8                     | 8.9               |
| 16d   | Br           | Br           | 4.2                     | 8.3               |
| 16e   | Br           | >32          | >67.5                   | >64               |
| 16f   | Br           | >135.0       |                         |                   |

(a) Reference compound: bedaquiline MIC = 0.060 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ (0.1 $\mu$M). (b) Concentration at which the growth of Vero cells is inhibited by 50%.

Compounds of series 4

The design of series 4 compounds was based on the finding that the planar configuration of compound 24 (series 3) showed no negative effect on the antitubercular activity. Thus, we moved the phenyl ring (fragment A) to the double bond position between the quinoline and basic amino groups, but kept fragment C attached to the 2-position of the quinoline. The synthesis of 3-aromatic analogues 30 is shown in Scheme 5. The synthesis of key substituted quinoline intermediates 26 started from substituted quinoline 25. The reaction of 25 and N-iodosuccinimide gave 3-iodoquinoline 26, which was then treated with meta-chloroperbenzoic acid (mCPBA) to produce 27, and chlorinated with phosphoryl chloride to give 2-chloro-3-iodoquinoline 28. Target compounds 30 were prepared via Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of compound 28 with the corresponding substituted boronic acid or boronic acid pinacol cyclic ester to obtain 29.\[27\] Subsequently, the chloro moiety was exchanged with benzyl alcohol, aromatic alcohol, phenol, thiophenol, or aromatic amine by a nucleophilic substitution to obtain 30.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of series 3 compounds. Reagents and conditions: (a) methylmagnesium iodide or phenylmagnesium bromide, THF, 0°C, 76.4–78.5%; (b) Dess–Martin periodinane, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, RT, 92.7–95.5%; (c) 1-naphthol, K$_2$CO$_3$, DMSO, 100°C, 86.9–92.9%; (d) hydroxylamine hydrochloride, Et$_3$N, EtOH, RT, 84.6%; (e) 2-dimethylaminomethyl chloride hydrochloride, NaH, THF, 66.8%; (f) (3-dimethylamino)propyl)magnesium chloride, 95.7%; (g) HCl/EtOH, reflux, 72.7%.
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In total, 14 compounds were synthesized for series 4 (30a–30n; Table 4), all of which contain no more chiral centers. Intriguingly, series 4 compounds were generally potent against mycobacteria with MIC values of ~1 µg mL⁻¹, whereas their CC₅₀ values were 10-fold higher on average. Comparison of the structures and antitubercular activities of series 4 compounds shows that the length of the terminal amine chain can be 1–2 carbons (compounds 30a, 30b) while retaining antitubercular activity. Further, the presence of the terminal basic nitrogen atom was essential to sustain the antitubercular activity (compounds 30h, 30m), whereas the bromo group was not (compounds 30e, 30f).

**Second round of optimization**

Based on the results obtained from series 4, we explored the effect of 2-aryl substituents and the spacer length of the terminal amine group in our new scaffold of 2-aryl-3-(dimethylamino-substituted)phenylquinoline on antitubercular activity (Figure 2).

In the first group of compounds (Table 5), we investigated the position at which the basic nitrogen containing side chain was attached to the phenyl ring as well as the lateral chain length. We assembled a small library of 6-bromo-2-((naphth-1-yl)methyl)oxy-3-substituted phenylquinoline analogues (32). The synthetic route is outlined in Scheme 6. The antitubercular screening assay showed that: 1) the para-substituted N,N-di-methylaminomethylphenyl ring has the most potent antitubercular activity for this scaffold, and 2) the terminal nitrogen atom is necessary for antitubercular activity. Other substituents decreased the antitubercular activity of this scaffold significantly. Compounds 32a, 32d, and 32e showed antitubercular activities (MIC) of 0.43, 0.47, and 0.44 µg mL⁻¹, and cytotoxicity (CC₅₀) against mammalian cells of 13, 12, and 3.9 µg mL⁻¹, respectively.

Next, we substituted the naphthalen-1-ylmethanol ring with various aryl groups that have different physicochemical properties, while keeping 4-(N,N-dimethylaminomethyl)phenyl group as the 3-substituent. A small group of analogues 34 were synthesized (Scheme 7). Antitubercular screening showed activity of several derivatives with MIC ~0.4 µg mL⁻¹ (Table 6). The arylmethoxy group at C2 of the quinolone was substituted with a variety of aromatic groups having different physicochemical properties, such as a para-chlorophen-1-ylmethanol ring (34i, MIC = 0.55 µg mL⁻¹) or a pyridin-4-ylmethanol ring (34m, 34n).

**Table 3. In vitro antitubercular activity of series 3 compounds.**

| Compd | R | MIC [µg mL⁻¹] | CC₅₀ [µM] |
|-------|---|---------------|-----------|
| 22    |   | 4.0           | 10.0      | 11         | 27.5       |
| 23    |   | 2.0           | 4.3       | 4.4        | 9.5        |
| 24    |   | 1.8           | 4.1       | 10         | 22.5       |

[a] Reference compound: bedaquiline MIC = 0.060 µg mL⁻¹ (0.1 µM).  
[b] Concentration at which the growth of Vero cells is inhibited by 50%.

**Figure 2.** Scheme for the second round of compound optimization. Starting from bedaquiline, both chiral carbon atoms in the first round of optimization were removed, and a new scaffold of 2-oxy-3-phenyl-6-bromoquinoline with potent anti-TB activity was obtained. In the second round of optimization, the substituents of this new scaffold were changed to improve the anti-TB activity of these new compounds.
Table 4. In vitro antitubercular activity of series 4 compounds.

| Compd | R<sup>1</sup> | R<sup>2</sup> | R<sup>3</sup> | MIC (µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) | CC<sub>50</sub> (µM) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| 30a   |                |                | Br             | 1.0                      | 2.0                  |
| 30b   |                |                | Br             | 2.0                      | 3.9                  |
| 30c   |                |                | Br             | 1.8                      | 3.5                  |
| 30d   |                |                | Br             | 1.6                      | 3.3                  |
| 30e   |                |                | OMe            | 1.8                      | 4.0                  |
| 30f   |                |                | H              | 2.0                      | 4.9                  |
| 30g   |                |                | H              | >32                      | 76.5                 |
| 30h   |                |                | Br             | >32                      | >75.1                |
| 30i   |                |                | Br             | >32                      | >73.7                |
| 30j   |                |                | Br             | 1.6                      | 3.3                  |
| 30k   |                |                | Br             | 1.9                      | 4.0                  |
| 30l   |                |                | Br             | 1.0                      | 2.2                  |
| 30m   |                |                | Br             | >32                      | >63.8                |
Table 4. (Continued)

| Compd | R¹ | R² | R³ | MIC µg mL⁻¹ | CC₅₀ µg mL⁻¹ | ICₕ₀ µM |
|-------|----|----|----|-------------|-------------|--------|
| 30 n  | ![Structure](image) | Br | >32 | >67.5 | 3.9 | 8.2 |

[a] Reference compound: bedaquiline MIC = 0.060 µg mL⁻¹ (0.1 µM). [b] Concentration at which the growth of Vero cells is inhibited by 50%.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of compound 32. Reagents and conditions: a) 1-naphthalenemethanol, NaH, THF, RT, 6 h, 93.4%; b) for boric acid: Pd(PPh₃)₃, Na₂CO₃, toluene/H₂O, 14 h, 41.4–86.8%.

Scheme 7. Synthesis of compound 34. Reagents and conditions: a) (4-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)boronic acid, Pd(PPh₃)₃, Na₂CO₃, toluene/H₂O, 14 h, 81.5–85.8%; b) NaH, THF, RT, 6 h, 34.4–91.3%.

MVC = 0.43 µg mL⁻¹). These substituents maintain the antitubercular activity, and may provide a method for optimizing the physicochemical properties of the final compounds.

Inhibition of ATP synthesis activity by selected compounds in mycobacteria

The design of the new antitubercular lead compounds was inspired by bedaquiline, which is known to inhibit mycobacterial ATP synthase with an ICₕ₀ value in the low nanomolar range (Supporting Information Figure S1).[14] To determine if the new compounds share this property, nine candidates with MIC values in the sub-microgram per mL range were selected (Table S1) and tested for their ability to inhibit the ATP synthesis activity of Mycobacterium phlei inverted membrane vesicles (IMVs) (Figure 3 A). A concentration range of 0–100 µM was assayed for each compound. The calculated activities were used to determine an ICₕ₀ value for each of the candidates (Figure 3 B). Six of the compounds (34m, 32h, 34a, 34f, 32e, and 32o) inhibited ATP synthesis with ICₕ₀ values between 20 and 40 µM (Figure S2). One candidate (34i) showed a slight inhibitory effect; however, the ICₕ₀ value could not be calculated due to the low solubility of the compound. The best candidate was compound 34m with an ICₕ₀ value of 20.3 ± 1 µM (Figure 3 C) and an improved log P of 5.55 (Table S1), which may also be beneficial from a pharmacokinetic point of view and which may help decrease some of the adverse side effects of bedaquiline.[8, 12] Remarkably, two compounds (32d and 32p) did not affect ATP synthesis at all, while still displaying potent antituberculostatic activity (MICₕ₀: 0.47 and 0.51 µg mL⁻¹, respectively; Figure S2). As a control, inhibition by bedaquiline was assayed, exhibiting an ICₕ₀ value < 10 nM (Figure S1).

All seven tested compounds, which inhibited ATP synthesis activity, share a structural feature with bedaquiline, that is, the presence of a dimethylamino (DMA) moiety. This structural part of bedaquiline represents the most important structural feature in the interaction with the ATP synthase c-ring and is hence a decisive element of the molecule’s inhibitory power.[14] In the lead compounds described herein, the DMA moieties are all attached to longer aryl side chains than the ethyl chain present in bedaquiline. Therefore, the subtle but exact structural placement of this critical moiety is impaired, which explains the higher ICₕ₀ values measured for these derivatives. In agreement with that notion are the two candidates (32d and 32p), which show no influence on ATP synthesis activity and lack this structural element completely. The fact that these compounds still show specific killing activity on mycobacteria (Table S1) implies that they target and affect other cellular processes or proteins in mycobacterial cells. Future work needs to be carried out to identify these potentially interesting targets.

In vitro anti-TB activities against drug-resistant clinical isolates of M. tuberculosis

To further explore the effect of selected compounds on drug-resistant clinical isolates of M. tuberculosis and compare it with the effect on the drug-sensitive H37Rv strain, we performed MIC determinations with two representative compounds that showed ATP synthesis inhibitory activity (34m and 32e) and two candidates that showed only marginal and no effect on the ATP synthase, 34i and 32d, respectively (Figure 3 C). The in
vitro inhibitory activities of these four compounds were screened against two drug-resistant clinical isolates (isolates 12513 and 6133), which are both resistant to isoniazid (INH) and rifampicin (RFP).\[28] The MIC values against the drug-sensitive H37Rv strain of M. tuberculosis were also determined again (Table 7). Compounds 34\textit{m} and 32\textit{e} exhibited similar MIC values for both the drug-resistant and drug-sensitive strains. Interestingly, for compound 34\textit{i} that had only marginal effects on ATP synthesis, the MICs for the drug-resistant strains 6133 and 12153 were 5.4- and 7.9-fold higher. A similar effect was observed for compound 32\textit{d}, which had no measureable impact on ATP synthesis: the MIC was found to be 7.4-fold higher for strain 6133 than for the drug-sensitive H37Rv strain. The measured effects for 34\textit{i} and 32\textit{d} indicate that these compounds target alternative sites or processes in the mycobacterial cell; these results encourage future work to identify these novel targets and to validate them in greater detail as potential new sites for mycobacterial inhibitors.

### Conclusions

In this study we describe the chemical simplification of bedaquiline, while largely retaining the potent antitubercular activity. Inspired by the SAR of bedaquiline, we designed a set of new lead compounds using a fragment-based approach. The bedaquiline molecule was first split into its various functional groups and recombined in a way that decreased or completely removed the initial stereochemical complexity. The approach promises to significantly decrease production costs and make it accessible for a broader variety of chemical laboratories and clinics.

Initially, four series of compounds were designed, synthesized, and evaluated for their antitubercular activity. Among the initial four series of compounds, series 4, incorporating a 2-aryl moiety and a 3-(4-(N,N-dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl group, was found to contain the most potent analogues with MIC values \(< 1\ \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}\). Further optimization of this scaffold, modifying the C2 and C6 positions of the quinoline, yielded several potent inhibitors with MIC\(< 0.6\ \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}\). The most potent candidates were selected and assayed for their capacity to inhibit ATP synthesis activity in mycobacterial membranes. Our biochemical analysis reveals that some of the newly synthesized compounds showed a direct impact on mycobacterial ATP synthesis, with IC\(_{50}\) values between 20 and 40 \(\mu\text{M}\), while others did not affect ATP synthesis itself. The latter compounds are promising new candidates that are able to target other cellular processes in mycobacterial cells. This study provides the basis for the development of novel, chemically simplified, low-cost bedaquiline derivatives to fight drug-resistant M. tuberculosis strains.

### Table 5. In vitro antitubercular activity of compounds from the second round of optimization.

| Compd | R | MIC\(\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}\) | CC\(_{50}\)\(\mu\text{M}\) |
|-------|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| 32a   |   | 0.43                         | 0.87              |
| 32b   |   | 1.9                          | 1.3               |
| 32c   |   | 1.7                          | 1.3               |
| 32d   |   | 0.47                         | 0.87              |
| 32e   |   | 0.44                         | 0.73              |
| 32f   |   | 2.2                          | 3.9               |
| 32g   |   | 1.5                          | 3.6               |
| 32h   |   | 0.61                         | 1.0               |
| 32i   |   | 3.4                          | 6.3               |
| 32j   |   | 10                           | 15.6              |
| 32k   |   | 0.73                         | 1.2               |
| 32l   |   | 0.84                         | 1.6               |
| 32m   |   | 1.4                          | 2.7               |
| 32n   |   | 0.79                         | 1.5               |
Experimental Section

Chemistry: All reagents and anhydrous solvents are commercially available and were used without further purification. Temperatures are given in degrees Celsius (°C). NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVIII HD spectrometers using TMS as internal standard. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm, and coupling constants (J) are given in hertz (Hz). The following multiplicity abbreviations are used: (s) singlet, (d) doublet, (t) triplet, (q) quartet, (m) multiplet, and (br) broad. ESI-HRMS data were measured on a Thermo Exactive Orbitrap Plus spectrometer. All reactions were monitored by TLC. Column chromatography was carried out with silica gel (200–300 mesh size). Flash column chromatography was performed on a Biotage Isola One instrument. Purity was determined by LC–MS and NMR spectroscopy. All final compounds are >95% pure. See the Supporting Information for detailed synthesis and characterization of all intermediates and final compounds.

6-Bromo-3-(4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl)-2-(naphthalen-1-ylmethoxy)quinoline (32d): The procedure used to synthesize 32a was repeated using 31 and 4-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)phenyl)boronic acid to afford compound 32d as a yellow wax-like solid in 76.2% yield; mp: 103–106 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 0.67 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 0.80 (s, 1H), 1.09 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (s, 1H), 2.39 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.7 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.6 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.2 (s, 1H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 11.65 (s, 1H) ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₂₉H₂₉ONBr [M + H⁺]⁺ 558.1485, found 558.1482.

6-Bromo-3-(4-(4-(2-dimethylamino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)methyl)phenyl)-2-(naphthalen-1-ylmethoxy)quinoline (32h): The procedure used to synthesize 32a was repeated using 31 and 4-(4-(2-dimethylamino)ethyl)piperazin-1-yl)methyl)phenyl)boronic acid to afford compound 32h as a yellow solid in 76.2% yield; mp: 91–94 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 0.59 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 0.80 (s, 1H), 1.09 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 1.91 (s, 1H), 2.39 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.7 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.6 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.2 (s, 1H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 11.65 (s, 1H) ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₂₉H₂₉ONBr [M + H⁺]⁺ 558.1485, found 558.1482.

The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL), dried with Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/triethylamine (40:15:1) to afford 32a as a white solid (87 mg, 87.3%); mp: 85–86 °C. 1H NMR (400 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 0.83 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 7.94 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (dd, J = 8.8, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.61–7.56 (m, 3H), 7.54 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.07 (s, 2H), 3.38 (s, 2H), 2.17 ppm (s, 6H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 160.22, 145.21, 140.31, 138.10, 135.47, 134.74, 133.66, 133.26, 132.68, 130.60, 130.07, 129.66, 129.64, 129.43, 129.33, 128.30, 128.11, 128.00, 127.13, 126.73, 126.15, 124.94, 117.89, 67.02, 64.33, 45.56 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₂₉H₂₉ONBr [M + H⁺]⁺ 597.1223, found: 597.1223.
was repeated using Br 2.5 5.6 7.8 17.4

and (4-((dimethylamino)methyl)-2-methoxyphe-
nol 1H), 7.57–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.42 (dd, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.34 (m, 2H), 6.10 (s, 2H), 3.44 (s, 2H), 2.19 ppm (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 162.47, 160.85, 159.97, 154.43, 138.56, 137.69, 137.63, 138.40, 133.65, 133.56, 132.76, 131.95, 131.92, 130.77, 129.79, 129.72, 129.48, 128.33, 127.88, 127.26, 126.97, 126.96, 126.79, 126.53, 126.43, 126.18, 125.88, 125.86, 124.94, 118.05, 117.01, 116.85, 67.20, 56.63, 56.62, 45.45 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₉H₈,N₅Br [M + H]+ 517.1108, found 517.1117.

6-Bromo-3-(4-((dimethylamino)methyl)-3-methoxy-
phenyl)-2-(naphthalen-1-ylmethoxy)quinoline (32n): The procedure used to synthesize 32b was repeated using 31 and (4-((dimethylamino)methyl)-3-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid pinacol ester to afford compound 32n as a white solid in 78.5% yield; mp: 106–107 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 8.26 (s, 1H), 8.23 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.62–7.57 (m, 1H), 7.57–7.53 (m, 1H), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.2, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.22–7.15 (m, 2H), 6.06 (s, 2H), 3.35 (s, 2H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 2.16 ppm (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 160.26, 157.97, 145.24, 138.11, 136.43, 134.82, 133.66, 133.33, 132.91, 130.67, 130.46, 129.90, 129.69, 129.53, 128.70, 128.21, 128.07, 128.04, 127.44, 126.80, 126.27, 124.92, 121.98, 117.92, 112.64, 67.25, 57.55, 55.24, 45.74 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₉H₈,N₅Br [M + H]+ 527.1329, found 527.1323.

6-Bromo-3-(4-((dimethylamino)methyl)-2-methoxy-
phenyl)-2-(naphthalen-1-ylmethoxy)quinoline (32o): The procedure used to synthesize 32b was repeated using 31 and (4-((dimethylamino)methyl)-2-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid pinacol ester to afford compound 32o as a yellow solid in 71.8% yield; mp: 65–66 °C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 8.12 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H), 7.91 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (dd, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (dd, J = 6.9, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.42 (dd, J = 8.2, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.92–6.86 (m, 1H), 3.56 (s, 3H), 3.39 (s, 2H), 2.19 ppm (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, [D₆]acetone): δ = 161.08, 158.17, 145.43, 142.42, 138.88, 134.66, 133.89, 133.09, 132.58, 131.46, 130.44, 129.72, 129.36, 129.33, 127.76, 127.42, 127.06, 126.62, 126.50,
6-Bromo-3-(6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyridin-3-yl)-2-(naphthalen-1-ylmethoxy)quinoline (32p): The procedure used to synthesize 32b was repeated using 31 and (6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)pyridin-3-yl)boronic acid pinacol ester to afford compound 32p as a white solid in 81.2% yield; mp: 130–131°C.

**HN MR (400 MHz, [D$_6$]acetone):**
- $d$ = 8.42 (d, $J$ = 1.8 Hz, 1H)
- 8.23 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H)
- 8.18 (s, 1H)
- 8.10 (d, $J$ = 1.8 Hz, 1H)
- 7.96 (d, $J$ = 7.8 Hz, 1H)
- 7.91 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 1H)
- 7.87–7.79 (m, 2H)
- 7.79–7.70 (m, 2H)
- 7.64–7.52 (m, 2H)
- 7.49 (t, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H)
- 7.45 (s, 2H)
- 7.35 (t, $J$ = 5.0 Hz, 4H)
- 6.70 (d, $J$ = 8.9 Hz, 1H)
- 6.09 (s, 2H)
- 3.53 (t, $J$ = 5.0 Hz, 4H)
- 2.39 (t, $J$ = 5.0 Hz, 4H)
- 2.23 ppm (s, 3H);

**13CN MR (150 MHz, [D$_6$]acetone):**
- 160.38
- 159.64
- 149.00
- 144.96
- 139.05
- 136.75
- 134.79
- 133.67
- 132.01
- 130.44
- 129.76
- 129.66
- 129.50
- 128.32
- 128.18
- 128.27
- 126.97
- 126.64
- 125.84
- 124.85
- 124.31
- 123.67
- 123.01
- 122.78
- 121.44
- 117.92
- 110.64
- 76.06
- 55.58
- 46.43
- 45.55 ppm;

| Compd | R$^1$ | R$^2$ | MIC [µg mL$^{-1}$] | CC$_{50}$ [µM] |
|-------|-------|-------|------------------|---------------|
| 34m   | Br    |       | 0.43             | 3.0           |
| 34n   | Br    |       | 0.71             | 9.7           |
| 34o   | Br    |       | 1.6              | 12            |
| 34p   | Br    |       | 5.9              | 17            |

[a] Reference compound: bedaquiline MIC = 0.060 µg mL$^{-1}$ (0.1 µM). [b] Concentration at which the growth of Vero cells is inhibited by 50%.

Figure 3. Inhibition of ATP synthesis in mycobacteria by selected lead compounds. The top nine candidates, selected by their MIC values, were tested for their ATP synthesis inhibitory activity using Mycobacterium phlei inverted membrane vesicles. The corresponding IC$_{50}$ values were determined in a concentration-dependent series of inhibition measurements. A) ATP synthesis activities were recorded using a luminescence-based activity assay.$^{[14]}$ The increasing luminescence signal, indicating continuous ATP synthesis at various concentrations (shown in different colors) of compound 34m, is shown exemplarily. As a control, the uncoupler carbonyl cyanide m-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP) is plotted (purple trace). B) Concentration-dependent inhibition of ATP synthesis and determination of IC$_{50}$ for 34m. The rates for ATP synthesis were calculated and plotted versus the concentration of compound 34m to determine the concentration required to inhibit 50% of remaining activity (IC$_{50}$). Error bars represent the standard deviation of at least three biological replicates. C) IC$_{50}$ values determined for the tested compounds. Whereas six compounds showed inhibition of ATP synthesis activity with IC$_{50}$ values between 20 and 40 µM (34m, 32h, 34a, 34f, 32e, and 32o) and one >66 µM (34i), two compounds (32d and 32p) showed no measurable effect up to 100 µM.
| Compd: | 34m | 32e | 34i | 32d |
|-------|------|------|------|------|
|       | µg mL⁻¹ | µm | µg mL⁻¹ | µm | µg mL⁻¹ | µm | µg mL⁻¹ | µm |
| 12153a | 0.48 | 1.07 | 0.94 | 1.57 | 2.62 | 5.45 | 0.51 | 0.94 |
| 6133b | 0.35 | 1.22 | 0.69 | 1.15 | 1.83 | 3.81 | 3.42 | 6.35 |
| 6237b | 0.43 | 0.95 | 0.44 | 0.73 | 0.33 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 0.85 |

[a] Strain number of in vitro cultured clinical isolate of MDR. T. tuberculosis.

0.34 mmol) and 1-naphthalenemethanol (68 mg, 0.43 mmol) in 4 mL DMF was added Cs₂CO₃ (140 mg, 0.43 mmol). After stirring at 80°C for 8 h, water (20 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 10 mL) dried with Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate/triethylamine (40:15:1) to afford 34a as a white solid (128.9 mg, 91.2%); mp: 93–95°C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 8.25 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.98–7.89 (m, 3H), 7.88 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.58 (m, 3H), 7.55 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.09 (s, 2H), 3.39 (s, 2H), 2.17 ppm (s, 6H); ¹C NMR (150 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 159.80, 146.56, 139.94, 139.07, 136.01, 134.76, 133.94, 132.73, 130.31, 130.09, 129.56, 129.43, 129.32, 128.62, 128.04, 127.65, 127.22, 127.11, 126.72, 126.70, 126.18, 125.30, 124.99, 126.77, 64.35, 45.55 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₁₃H₁₂N₂O₂ [M + H]+ 419.2118, found 419.2110.

6-Bromo-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)-2-((methoxybenzyl)oxy)quinoline (34e): The procedure used to synthesize 34a was repeated using 33a and 4-bromobenzyl alcohol to afford compound 34e as a yellow liquid in 80.4% yield; mp: 119–121°C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 8.21 (s, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.70–7.64 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.35 (m, 2H), 5.59 (s, 2H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 2.22 ppm (s, 6H); ¹C NMR (150 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 160.08, 145.14, 140.53, 138.16, 137.29, 135.50, 133.83, 133.32, 136.03, 135.50, 131.11, 129.61, 129.48, 129.25, 128.19, 128.03, 119.97, 67.83, 64.43, 45.63 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₁₄H₁₂BrN₂O₂ [M + H]+: 483.0636, found 483.0639.

2-((1,1′-Biphenyl)-4-ylmethoxy)-6-bromo-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)quinoline (34f): The procedure used to synthesize 34a was repeated using 33a and 1-[1-biphenyl]-4-ylmethanol to afford compound 34f as a yellow solid in 89.8% yield; mp: 96–97°C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 8.22 (s, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.68–7.63 (m, 4H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.48–7.43 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (s, 2H), 3.45 (s, 2H), 2.21 ppm (s, 6H); ¹C NMR (150 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 160.27, 145.22, 141.46, 141.26, 140.48, 138.11, 137.44, 135.59, 133.28, 130.62, 130.14, 129.70, 129.63, 129.47, 128.38, 128.25, 128.01, 127.21, 127.69, 117.89, 68.36, 64.44, 45.62 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₁₃H₁₂BrC₆N₂O₂ [M + H]+: 523.1380, found 523.1389.

2-((Benzyloxy)benzoxy)-6-bromo-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)quinoline (34g): The procedure used to synthesize 34a was repeated using 33a and 4-benzyloxybenzaldehyde to afford compound 34g as a yellow solid in 79.9% yield; mp: 103–104°C. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 8.31 (s, 1H), 8.15 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (dd, J = 8.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.14 (s, 2H), 3.47 (s, 2H), 2.22 ppm (s, 6H); ¹C NMR (150 MHz, [D₆]dacetone): δ = 160.75, 156.90, 148.20, 144.98, 140.61, 138.87, 138.41, 135.53, 135.41, 130.58, 130.17, 129.79, 129.61, 129.29, 128.49, 128.36, 127.79, 118.44, 116.20, 70.85, 64.43, 45.64 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C₁₃H₁₂BrN₂O₂ [M + H]+: 539.1329, found 539.1334.

6-Bromo-3-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)-2-(pyridin-4-ylm ethoxy)quinoline (34h): The procedure used to synthesize 34a
was repeated using 33a and 4-pyridylcarbinol to afford compound 34m as a white solid in 94.7% yield; mp: 68–69 °C. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CD$_3$COCD$_3$): δ = 8.54 (dd, J = 4.4, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 5.65 (s, 2H), 3.49 (s, 2H), 2.24 ppm (s, 6H). 13C NMR (150 MHz, CD$_3$COCD$_3$): δ = 159.83, 150.65, 147.16, 145.07, 140.45, 138.30, 135.47, 133.38, 130.65, 130.16, 129.62, 129.58, 128.17, 128.09, 122.65, 118.11, 66.97, 64.37, 45.55 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{26}$BrNO$_2$ [M + H]$^+$ 448.1019, found 448.1016.

2-(Benzothio)-6-bromo-3-(4-((dimethylamino)methyl)phenyl)quinoxaline (34n): The procedure used to synthesize 34a was repeated using 33a and benzyl mercaptan to afford compound 34n as a yellow solid in 71.4% yield: mp: 67–68 °C. 1H NMR (600 MHz, CD$_3$COCD$_3$): δ = 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 3.46 (s, 2H), 2.21 ppm (s, 6H); 13C NMR (150 MHz, CD$_3$COCD$_3$): δ = 160.10, 146.51, 141.09, 139.18, 136.75, 136.21, 135.16, 136.62, 130.81, 130.39, 130.21, 130.04, 129.67, 129.19, 128.33, 127.83, 119.27, 64.41, 45.64, 35.22 ppm; HRMS (ESI-TOF, m/z): calcd for C$_{25}$H$_{24}$BrN$_2$ [M + H]$^+$ 463.0844, found 463.0844.

Minimum inhibitory concentration and cytotoxicity assays: The assays were performed following our previously published protocols. [28–30]

Luminescence-based ATP synthesis inhibition assays and calculation of IC$_{50}$ values: Mycobacterium phlei cells were grown in and inverted membrane vesicles (IMVs) were prepared as described. [19] IMVs were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen until further use. To assay ATP synthesis activity, an aliquot of IMVs were thawed on ice, and 4 mM malonate was added. After 1 h of incubation, 25 μg total protein in the IMVs were mixed with 270 μL reaction buffer (20 mM Tricine/KOH pH 7.5, 0.1 mM NaCl, 5 mM KPi, 5 mM MgCl$_2$), 50 μM ADP, 50 μg luciferase (Roche), 166 μM luciferin, and 2 μL DMSO to a total volume of 300 μL. The reaction buffer was monitored by an increasing luminescence signal using a Sirius L single-tube Luminometer (Berthold). As an internal standard, 8 mM ATP was added after each reaction. The data were evaluated and the inhibitory concentrations of 50% IC$_{50}$ were calculated using Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism (version 7.0a).
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