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Abstract—The issue of exploring and searching for archaeological sites is very important for a greater knowledge of the history of ancient nations and peoples. Recently, Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) technology appeared to detect objects buried and study as depth as tens of meters. This work aims to apply this technique in studying and exploring some archaeological sites using the 500 MHz antenna. This study has proven its effectiveness and success. Also, one of the important programs used in the processing of the obtained data is called Reflexw.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) is used to image the subsurface. It is a geophysical method based on the propagation, reflection and scattering of high frequency (from 10 MHZ to 2.5 GHz) electromagnetic (EM) waves in the subsurface. The investigation depth depends on the EM wave attenuation, which grows as the conductivity of the subsoil materials increases, and on the frequencies used. Generally, the penetration depth is higher at lower frequency and varies from about 1 m to some tens of meters [1], [9].

We evaluate the applicability and the effectiveness of the GPR attribute analysis for archaeological purposes and we test the attribute analysis on GPR data obtained in the river harbor area of the Aquileia Archaeological Park, NE Italy, where the cultural heritage of the Roman imperial period is buried at different depths beneath a silty loam layer at an average depth not greater than 3–4 m [2]. During its development years, geophysical survey has served field archaeology by defining possible sites underground, before excavation or preservation. Now we can see the art taking off as a research method in its own right [3].

A ground-penetrating radar (GPR) survey, using mostly a 500 MHz antenna, was carried out in an urban area (Lecce, Italy) to obtain a detailed characterization of the most superficial layers, where presumably archaeological structures are buried, and to quickly identify anomalous zones for excavation [4].

This technique has been successfully applied in GPR surveys along different archaeological sites such as: Roman buildings and other historical sites [5], [7]. They are commonly wide-open areas with large targets that often have a well-known geometry. So usually these “Pseudo 3D” GPR surveys are based on the use of mid-low frequency antennas with a space between profiles of half a meter that involves a vast interpolation among data. Such methodology is generally considered sufficient to obtain images with enough resolution to show the profile of those archaeological targets [6], [8].

II. SIGNAL PROCESSING

The processing of the recorded results is done by a two-stage signal processing process. First, a first processing is done on the radargrams, which allows the visualization of the underground data obtained directly by the GPR, thanks to the Reflexw software which uses standard filtering (Dewow, bandpass, gain improvement). Then, the data recovered after the passage of the Reflexw software, are processed by the GPR-Slice software, which is a software for processing images of slices in time [10]-[11]. Indeed, although the radargrams given by the Reflexw software, inform, in a satisfactory way, on the existence and the localization of the objects sought in depth, a meticulous and advanced 2D and 3D treatment proves to be extremely useful to access better results and interpretations.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In what follows, we describe the most notable results of the geophysical study conducted in:

A. Zone 1

The results of the B-Scans in Fig. 1(a) and (b) reveal the presence of strong horizontal secondary reflectors (red circles). We also note, in Fig. 1, an anomaly, green circle, characterized by the hyperbolic reflector with propagation speed equal to 0.1 m / ns and vertex located at position 15.5 m, from the origin of the radargram, and 0.6 m deep. Fig. 2(a) shows four time-slice representations (Time-Slice).

The area of the area is identified by x and y, and the depth by time ranges from 0 ns to 20 ns (0 m to 1.8 m deep). The amplitudes, in absolute value, of the reflected signal, are represented by different colors, ranging from blue to orange. Gray is reserved for low amplitudes while yellow and orange are reserved for high amplitudes of signals returned by materials. The latter could be of natural or cultural origin.

At least four different rectangular structures seem to be present: the first is represented by a clear anomaly of 1 m in length and 1 m in width which is visible from 10 ns (0.5 m deep) up to 20 ns (1.8 m deep). The second structure is represented by an anomaly of 1 m in length and 1.4 m in width, visible from the same temporal depth as that indicated above.
The third is a large anomaly 4 m long and 5 m wide. The last structure is 1 m long and 1.8 m wide.

Fig. 2(b) gives the general diagram of zone 1. This diagram represents the geometric structures suspected during the interpretation of the results given by the GPR. Analysis by 3D imagery (Fig. 3) confirms the presence of the anomalies observed in the radargrams and time slicer presentations.

B. Zone 2

The radargrams in Fig. 4 show a strong secondary-horizontal reflector located at a depth of 0.9 m and located between 3.5 m and 8.2 m, in the direction X. We also observe hyperbolas (speed of 0.06 at 0.1 m / ns), which may indicate other secondary reflectors.
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Fig. 1. Radargrams or B-Scans of Zone 1 Obtained using the 500 MHz Antenna (a): The Red Square Encircles the Reflection on a Flat Surface, (b): The Yellow Arrows Indicate the Response of Shallow Metal Objects.

The figure radargram (Fig. 4) shows a strong attenuation of the signal, between 15 m and 17 m, which could be due to the presence of a mound at this level (red circle).

Fig. 5(a), in time slices, shows the presence of rectangular structures. The longer one, which can be associated with anomaly 1, becomes wider and deeper. This change in dimensions is probably due to a collapse somewhere in this structure. A wetter area (strongly attenuated signal) represented by a red circle in Fig. 4, corresponds to the mound already observed in the radargram given by the Reflexw software.

Fig. 5(b) gives the general diagram of zone 2. This diagram represents the geometric structures suspected during the interpretation of the results given by the GPR.

C. Zone 3

The radargrams obtained by the 500 MHz antenna, illustrated in Fig. 6, reveal the presence of accentuated hyperbolas which indicate the presence of a long structure in the X direction (probably a wall).

On the radargram of Fig. 6, one can note, the presence of multiple reflections, which testify to the existence of several metallic fragments dispersed on the field of investigation, at a depth of 30 cm. This complicates the task of exploring in this area. This "metallic" noise is observable in the 2D image.

Fig. 7 illustrates a slice in horizontal time going from 0 to 18 ns. This time slot was constructed with the data collected by the 500 MHz antenna. Strong radar reflection, coming from obstacles close to the surface (0.4 m), is observable on the 7 - 18 ns bands. This signal would correspond to a modern utility gap hiding much of the potential characteristics.
Edward. “Ground penetrating radar (GPR) surface representations discussed above. In Addition, there is a Square Attached to this Linear Function which is Centered on (X = 11 m, Y = 9 m). (b) General Interpretation of Zone 2.

Analysis by 3D imagery (Fig. 8) confirms the presence of the anomalies observed in the radargrams and the time slice representations discussed above.

Fig. 5. (a) Time Section between 15 and 18 ns Obtained by the 500 MHz Antenna in Zone 2. This Section Presents some Interesting Devices, such as a Long Linear Anomaly. In Addition, there is a Square Attached to this Linear Function which is Centered on (X = 11 m, Y = 9 m). (b) General Interpretation of Zone 2.

Fig. 6. Radargram in Zone 3 (a) of Line Number 13 Obtained with the 500 MHz Antenna. We can Observe a Linear Anomaly around 7 ns - 18 ns (Red Circle) and also Multiple Reflections (Green Circle).

Fig. 7. Time Section of Area 16 at 7ns-16ns Obtained with the 500 MHz Antenna in the Y Direction, (b) Illustration of the Time Section at 9-11ns and (c) General Interpretation of the Result in Zone 3. Two Parallel Anomalies Appeared at a Depth of 7 ns - 16 ns.

Fig. 8. GPR iso-Surface Slice Obtained in Time Windows 0-45ns with the 500 MHz Antenna.

IV. CONCLUSION

The sites studied are highly potential places from an archaeological point of view. They are indicated and recommended by specialists in the field of archeology. Analysis of the data collected by the GPR system, for the areas studied, reveals the presence of a significant amount of rectangular structures, long coasts, which can be interpreted, in this kind of sites, as archaeological remains, probably walls, chambers or remains of foundations.

However, it should be noted that certain areas of the sites studied were, in the past, places where modern activities were carried out, such as agriculture for example. The construction of greenhouses and warehouses has left scrap debris, which is buried at a shallow depth, which makes the interpretation of results (radargrams confused by parasitic signals caused by this debris) extremely difficult.
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