The status of CDKN2A alpha (p16INK4A) and beta (p14ARF) transcripts in thyroid tumour progression
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CDKN2A locus on chromosome 9p21 encodes two tumour suppressor proteins p16INK4A, which is a regulator of the retinoblastoma (RB) protein, and p14ARF, which is involved in the ARF–Mdm2–p53 pathway. The aim of this study was to determine if CDKN2A gene products are implicated in differentiated thyroid carcinogenesis and progression. We used real-time quantitative RT–PCR and immunohistochemistry to assess both transcripts and proteins levels in 60 tumours specimens. Overexpression of p14ARF and p16INK4A was observed in follicular adenomas, follicular carcinomas and papillary carcinomas, while downregulation was found in oncocytic adenomas compared to nontumoral paired thyroid tissues. These deregulations were statistically significant for p16INK4A (P = 0.006) in follicular adenomas and close to statistical significance for p14ARF in follicular adenomas (P = 0.06) and in papillary carcinomas (P = 0.05). In all histological types, except papillary carcinomas, we observed a statistically significant relationship between p14ARF and E2F1 (r = 0.64 to 1, P < 0.05). Our data are consistent with involvement of CDKN2A transcript upregulation in thyroid follicular tumorigenesis as an early event. However, these deregulations do not appear to be correlated to the clinical outcome and they could not be used as potential prognostic markers.
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Tumours arising from the thyroid follicular epithelium are regarded as a unique model to study human carcinogenesis because, while they all derive from thyrocytes, they have different clinical and histological features (reviewed in Gimmi, 2001). Papillary (PTC) and follicular thyroid (FTC) cancers are well-differentiated tumours, which maintain some morphological features of the normal thyroid, and are, most of the time, responsive to radioactive iodine treatment (Vini and Harmer, 2002). In contrast, anaplastic thyroid cancers are undifferentiated neoplasias with a more aggressive behaviour (Giulfrida and Gharib, 2000). They have lost any significant resemblance with the structural organisation of a normal thyroid follicle, and are unresponsive to both radioactive iodine treatment and chemotherapeutical agents (reviewed in Gimmi, 2001). From a molecular standpoint, well-differentiated thyroid cancers are recognised to be initiated by genetic events that involved the improper activation of cellular proto-oncogenes (Pierotti et al, 1996). Up to 60% of papillary thyroid carcinomas present either BRAF mutations or rearrangements in the RET or NTRK1 tyrosine kinase genes with a plethora of activating genes that are considered to represent the first step in tumour development (Santoro et al, 1996; Greco et al, 1997, reviewed in Xing, 2005). In 35% of follicular thyroid carcinomas, neoplastic transformation is triggered by activating mutations of the RAS gene or by the fusion of the PAX8 transcription factor with the gene encoding for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (Fagin, 2000; Kroll et al, 2000). Some of the genetic events responsible for the development of well-differentiated thyroid cancers have been identified; loss of heterozygosity in several chromosomal regions (1p, 3p, 3q, 10q, 11p, 13q and 22q) has been reported in papillary and follicular carcinomas (Ward et al, 1998; Kitamura et al, 2000a,b). The only established genetic alteration involved in the dedifferentiation process leading to anaplastic thyroid tumour development is the loss of the p53 tumour suppressor gene (Fagin et al, 1993).

CDKN2A locus on chromosome 9p21 (reviewed in Sherr, 2001) encodes two proteins. INK4A (also referred to as p16INK4A) specifically blocks the CDK4 and CDK6 cyclin-dependent kinases that control the phosphorylation status of the retinoblastoma (RB) protein (Serrano et al, 1993). ARF (known as p14ARF in man and p19ARF in mouse) has been designed as a positive regulator of p53 levels because, through its complexation with Mdm2 (HDM2 in human), it prevents mdm2-mediated cytoplasmic translocation and degradation of p53 (Kubbutat et al, 1997). For this reason, ARF is considered to be an important factor of the so-called ARF–Mdm2–p53 pathway, that is activated by potential oncogenic signals such as oncogenic ras protein, E1A and v-Abl oncoproteins and ectopic expression of both c-myc and E2F1 (Sherr, 1998).
Several experimental evidences also unequivocally established that ARF could inhibit cell proliferation independently of p53. For example, introduction of ARF into cells devoid of any functional p53 and Mdm2 proteins results in cell proliferation arrest (Carnero et al., 2000; Weber et al., 2000). Moreover, p14\(^ {ARF}\) inhibits the growth of p53 nullizygous human tumours in nude mice and induces the reduction of p53—/— established tumours (Eymin et al., 2003).

The ARF locus is frequently impaired (in conjunction with the INK4A gene) in a variety of human primary tumours, indicating that disruption of this locus is essential for deregulating cell proliferation. However, implication of the p16\(^ {INK4A}\) and p14\(^ {ARF}\) has not been clearly defined in thyroid cancer development and progression. The present study was aimed at evaluating possible relationship between the p16\(^ {INK4A}\) and p14\(^ {ARF}\) expression on the one hand, and both clinical and pathological features of thyroid carcinomas on the other hand, by using quantitative RT–PCR and immunohistochemistry approaches.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and samples

A total of 102 thyroid tissue samples from 60 patients were processed, including 31 papillary carcinomas, five follicular carcinomas, 10 follicular adenomas, 14 oncocytic adenomas and 42 nontumoral thyroid tissue samples adjacent to carcinomas or adenomas. Tissue samples were obtained from the frozen tissue bank of the pathology department of Poitiers University Hospital. Specimen collection was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Tumour specimens were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until processed for RNA extraction.

All patients were treated at Department of Endocrine Surgery of Poitiers, between 1998 and 2002. They underwent surgical treatment such as unilateral lobectomy, isthmolobectomy or total thyroidectomy according to clinical data. Clinicopathological data of the 60 patients was summarised in Table 1. AGES (age, grade (according to Broder’s classification) tumour extent (local invasion and distant metastases) size of the primary tumour) prognostic scores were calculated and patients were included in minimal (score of 0–3.99) or higher risk groups (score of 4 and more) as described by Hay et al (1987).

RNA extraction and cDNA preparation

Total RNAs were extracted from tumour specimens using Qiagen RNeasy® Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications: for exclusion of contaminating genomic DNA, the spin–column membranes were treated with DNase (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf Cedex, France) for 15 min on the bench top before elution. DNase-treated total RNA (3 μg) was transcribed into cDNA using Superscript™ II RnaseH- and random hexamers (Invitrogen).

Quantitative real-time RT–PCR (QRT–PCR)

We assessed mRNA levels of p14\(^ {ARF}\), p16\(^ {INK4A}\), and E2F1 relative to GAPDH in 102 thyroid tissue by using quantitative real time PCR (QRT–PCR) in the ABIPRISM 7000 Sequence Detection System and the human p14\(^ {ARF}\), p16\(^ {INK4A}\), E2F1 and GAPDH Taqman Pre-Developed Taqman™ Assay Reagents (Applied Biosystems, Foster city, CA, USA). The amplification of p14\(^ {ARF}\), p16\(^ {INK4A}\), E2F1 and GAPDH cDNA was carried out in 25 μl reaction volume consisting in 1 × Taqman Universal PCR Master-Mix and 1.25 μl of Pre-Developed Taqman™ Assay Reagents (900 nm primers and 200 nm probe) (Applied Biosystems). The reaction was performed as follows: 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C × 15 s, 60 °C × 1 min. Each sample was tested in duplicate and a negative control was included in every plate. The computed tomography value was defined as the cycle number (C\(_t\)) at which the fluorescence crossed the threshold. The results were expressed in ΔC\(_t\) (C\(_{GAPDH}\)–C\(_{gene}\)) or in 2−ΔC\(_{t}\).1000 for the amount of the target normalised and relative to the endogenous reference.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunostaining was performed on 15 papillary carcinomas, five follicular carcinomas, 10 follicular adenomas and nontumoral thyroid tissue samples adjacent to thyroid tumours for each patient. The surgical specimen were fixed in formalin, paraffin embedded and cut in 5 μm tissue sections placed on charged slides. Slides were deparaffinised, rehydrated and microwaved in 10 mm citrate buffer pH 6.0 for antigen retrieval. Immunohistochemistry was performed using mouse monoclonal antibodies directed against p14 ARF (Clone H-132) and p16 INK4A (Clone F12) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA) at 1 : 200 dilution. After blocking against p14 ARF (Clone H-132) and p16 INK4A (Clone F12) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA) at 1 : 200 dilution. After blocking against endogenous peroxidase with 1% hydrogene peroxide, the immunohistochemistry system (Microm Microtech, France). The automated procedure is based on an indirect biotin – avidin system (Biocorn, CA, USA). The amplification of p14\(^ {ARF}\), p16\(^ {INK4A}\), E2F1 and GAPDH cDNA was carried out in 25 μl reaction volume consisting in 1 × Taqman Universal PCR Master-Mix and 1.25 μl of Pre-Developed Taqman™ Assay Reagents (900 nm primers and 200 nm probe) (Applied Biosystems). The reaction was performed as follows: 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C × 15 s, 60 °C × 1 min. Each sample was tested in duplicate and a negative control was included in every plate. The computed tomography value was defined as the cycle number (C\(_t\)) at which the fluorescence crossed the threshold. The results were expressed in ΔC\(_t\) (C\(_{GAPDH}\)–C\(_{gene}\)) or in 2−ΔC\(_{t}\).1000 for the amount of the target normalised and relative to the endogenous reference.

γH2AX score

AGES score

Table 1 | Characteristics of patients and tumours

| n patients | Oncocytic adenomas | Follicular adenomas | Follicular carcinomas | Papillary carcinomas |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Mean age (years) | 48.2 (20–92) | 48.1 (26–69) | 41.6 (20–73) | 61.2 (23–92) | 48.3 (22–90) |
| Mean tumour size (mm) | 24 (4–100) | 30 (12–58) | 31 (13–72) | 27 (15–40) | 19 (4–100) |
| Multicentricity | 17 (23.8%) | 8 (57%) | 2 (20%) | 1 (20%) | 6 (19.3%) |
| Nodal metastasis | — | — | — | 3N+ (20%) | 3N+ (9.7%) |
| Juxtathyroid invasion | — | — | — | 2 (40%) | 6 (19.3%) |
| Visceral metastasis | — | — | — | 0 | 0 |
| Tumour grade | 1 | — | — | 2 (40%) | 29 (93.54%) |
| | 2 | — | — | 2 (40%) | 1 (3.23%) |
| | 3 | — | — | 1 (20%) | 1 (3.23%) |
| AGES score <4 | — | — | — | 2 (40%) | 26 (83.87%) |
| AGES score ≥4 | — | — | — | 3 (60%) | 5 (16.13%) |
immunoglobulin, Dakocytomation, Denmark). Positive controls were obtained by immunostaining on reactive lymph nodes, as previously described (Paik et al, 2005).

Scoring of antibody staining

Scoring of antibody staining was performed by pathologist G Fromont who was blind to gene expression status obtained by QRT–PCR. A specimen was considered positive for p14\(^{ARF}\) or p16\(^{INK4A}\) if there was nuclear staining above any cytoplasmic significant. The immunohistochemical results were evaluated using a semiquantitative analysis: no staining reaction = 0, <10% positive-stained cells = +, 10–80% positive cells or focal staining = ++, >80% positive cells with diffuse staining = +++.

Statistical analysis

The data distribution of normalised expression levels of tissue samples is non-Gaussian. So, nonparametric tests were applied for data analysis. The Wilcoxon test was used for comparing tumour tissue to its own nontumoral paired tissue or to mean of the 42 paired tissue expression levels when no paired tissue was available. Upregulation or downregulation was defined by the ratio value of tumour to its own nontumoral paired tissue or to mean of the 42 paired tissue expression levels when no paired tissue was available. A normal interval was defined for this ratio by the 95% confidence interval of normal tissues and was 0.71–1.32 for p14\(^{ARF}\), 0.7–1.40 for p16\(^{INK4A}\), 0.85–1.18 for E2F1. For correlations between expression levels and clinicopathological data, the Spearman test was used. \( P \)-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

p14\(^{ARF}\), p16\(^{INK4A}\) expression in thyroid malignancies

In this work we determined the expression of p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) in 60 thyroid tumours and compared them to their nontumoral paired thyroid tissue control. In all, 18 thyroid tumours without nontumoral paired thyroid tissue control were compared to mean expression of the 42 nontumoral tissues available. We observed an overexpression of p16\(^{INK4A}\) and p14\(^{ARF}\) transcripts in follicular adenomas, follicular carcinomas and papillary carcinomas and a downregulation in oncocytic adenomas (Figure 1 and Table 2). This overexpression in follicular adenomas was statistically significant for p16\(^{INK4A}\) (\( P = 0.006 \)) and almost reached statistical significance for p14\(^{ARF}\) (\( P = 0.06 \)). In follicular carcinomas and oncocytic adenomas, we observed, respectively, an upregulation and a downregulation of p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) expression. Also suggestive, these data were not statistically significant probably because of the too low number of tumour samples analysed (Figure 1 and Table 2). In papillary carcinomas, the expression of p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) was heterogeneous with equal proportions of tumours overexpressing or downexpressing p14\(^{ARF}\) or p16\(^{INK4A}\). The observed deregulation of these two transcripts was close to statistical significance (\( P = 0.05 \) and \( P = 0.09 \)) for p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\), respectively (Figure 1 and Table 2).

We studied the protein expression level of p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) by immunohistochemistry on papillary carcinomas (\( n = 15 \)), follicular adenomas (\( n = 10 \)) and follicular carcinomas (\( n = 5 \)). Results are summarized in Table 3.

In follicular adenomas, p14\(^{ARF}\) protein was found to be overexpressed in six cases when compared to nontumoral tissue and p16\(^{INK4A}\) in four cases according to QRT–PCR data, (Figure 2A and Table 3). The other cases show identical immunostaining compared to nontumoral tissue. Overexpression of p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) was identified in only one follicular carcinoma, case 13 (Table 3). As in follicular adenomas, the other cases shows identical immunostaining compared to nontumoral tissue. No underexpression of both p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) was observed by immunohistochemistry in follicular tumours.

Among the nine papillary carcinomas that overexpressed p14\(^{ARF}\) by QRT–PCR, four showed increased nuclear expression of p14\(^{ARF}\) by immunohistochemistry, and interestingly five displayed a cytoplasmic delocalization of the protein (Figure 2B and Table 3). When p16\(^{INK4A}\) expression increased by QRT–PCR, immunohistochemical nuclear staining was increased in one case, unchanged in one case, and was localised to the cytoplasm in six cases. Decreased expression of both p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) by QRT–PCR in papillary carcinoma was associated in majority either with decreased or identical immunostaining when compared to normal tissue, or with increased staining in two cases.
In some cases, nodular lymphoid infiltrate was present, mainly in nontumoral tissues, and expressed both p14ARF and p16INK4A. Finally, no significant correlation has been found between p14ARF and p16INK4A mRNA levels and clinicopathological prognostic markers, such as stage, grade, histological subtype, age, node metastasis or AGES score.

Table 2  Expression levels of p14ARF, p16INK4A and E2F1 in nontumoral and in tumoral tissues according to histological type

| mRNA expression levels | Nontumoral tissue (n = 42) | Oncocytic adenoma (n = 14) | Follicular adenoma (n = 10) | Follicular carcinoma (n = 5) | Papillary carcinoma (n = 31) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| p14ARF                 | 1.14 (0.13–9.1)           | 0.58 (0.025–3.02)         | 1.42 (0.45–5.41)          | 2.20 (1.39–24.35)         | 1.80 (0.14–23.85)         |
| p16INK4A               | 1.11 (0.05–9.04)          | 0.73 (0.009–2.21)         | 2.30 (0.83–9.55)          | 4.10 (1.07–28.75)         | 1.61 (0.12–52.92)         |
| E2F1                   | 2.15 (0.68–7.04)          | 1.89 (0.86–6.75)         | 2.81 (1.30–11.28)        | 3.42 (1.03–6.39)          | 3.24 (1.06–17.58)         |

The results (medians and mins–maxims) are expressed in 2^–ΔCt/1000 where ΔCt = C_{GAPDH} – C_{gene}. The Wilcoxon test was used to compare the expression levels between nontumoral and tumoral tissues. P < 0.05 is considered statistically significant. Statistically relevant P-values are in bold.

Table 3  Immunohistochemical analysis for p14ARF and p16INK4A in normal tissue (N) and thyroid tumours (T) and comparison with their mRNA levels

| mRNA levels | IHC | mRNA levels | IHC |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| p14ARF T/N  |     | p14ARF N    |     |
| Case 1      | 2.5 | +++         |     |
| Case 2      | 2.5 | ++          | +++ |
| Case 3      | 7.5 | +           | ++  |
| Case 4      | 15.5| 0           | ++  |
| Case 5      | 0.8 | +           | +   |
| Case 6      | 1.3 | ++          | +   |
| Case 7      | 0.5 | ++          | +   |
| Case 8      | 1.2 | ++          | +++ |
| Case 9      | 0.7 | +           | ++  |
| Case 10     | 3.3 | +           | +   |

| mRNA levels | IHC | mRNA levels | IHC |
|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| p16INK4 T/N |     | p16INK4 N   |     |
| Case 11     | 1   | ++          | +   |
| Case 12     | 4.2 | +++         | +++ |
| Case 13     | 3.9 | ++          | +++ |
| Case 14     | 1.9 | +++         | +++ |
| Case 15     | 22.6| +++         | +++ |
| Case 16     | 2.43| ++          | +++ |
| Case 17     | 2.6 | +           | ++  |
| Case 18     | 6.3 | 0 (C)       | ++  |
| Case 19     | 3.1 | + (C)       | ++  |
| Case 20     | 0.5 | ++          | +   |
| Case 21     | 0.1 | +++         | +   |
| Case 22     | 0.6 | +           | +   |
| Case 23     | 2.2 | +++         | + (C)|
| Case 24     | 0.3 | ++          | ++  |
| Case 25     | 1.2 | +++         | ++  |
| Case 26     | 0.3 | ++          | +++ |
| Case 27     | 9.4 | +++         | +   |
| Case 28     | 5.5 | ++          | +++ |
| Case 29     | 19.3| + (C)       | +++ |
| Case 30     | 11.3| 0           | +++ |

The immunohistochemical results were evaluated using a semiquantitative analysis: no staining reaction = 0, < 10% positive-stained cells = +, 10–80% positive cells or focal staining = ++, > 80% positive cells with diffuse staining = ++++. Increased expression appeared in red, decreased expression in green and cytoplasmic localisation in blue. Transcriptional upregulation or downregulation was defined by the ratio value between expression level in tumoral tissue and in normal paired tissue (T/S = 2^{ΔCt_{tumor}}/2^{ΔCt_{normal}}). A normal interval was defined for this ratio by the 95% confidence interval of mean for normal tissues and was 0.71–1.32 for p14ARF, 0.7–1.40 for p16INK4A (NA = not analysed).

In some cases, nodular lymphoid infiltrate was present, mainly in nontumoral tissues, and expressed both p14ARF and p16INK4A.

Finally, no significant correlation has been found between p14ARF and p16INK4A mRNA levels and clinicopathological prognostic markers, such as stage, grade, histological subtype, age, node metastasis or AGES score.

p14ARF regulation by E2F1 is lost in papillary carcinomas

We examined the relationships between mRNA levels p14ARF, p16INK4A and E2F1 expression. For this we determined first the mRNA expression levels of E2F1 in the same tumours. Consistent with others studies, E2F1 levels were upregulated in all tumour...
This upregulation was statistically significant in PTC \( (P = 0.0001) \) (Table 2 and Figure 1). A strong correlation occurred between p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) in normal tissue \( (r = 0.65, P < 0.0001) \) as well as in tumoral tissues \( (r = 0.65 - 0.89 \text{ depending on tumour type, } P < 0.05) \). E2F1 is known to positively regulate p14\(^{ARF}\) expression (Bates \textit{et al}, 1998). Indeed, such a relationship was observed between p14\(^{ARF}\) and E2F1 expression in normal \( (r = 0.41, P = 0.01) \) and tumour tissues \( (r = 0.64 \text{ to } 1, P < 0.05) \) except for papillary carcinomas \( (r = 0.04, P = 0.86) \) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this study was to analyse p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) mRNA and protein levels in various thyroid tumours including oncocytic adenomas, follicular adenomas, follicular carcinomas and papillary carcinomas. A high prevalence of upregulation for both p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) transcripts was found in follicular adenomas and carcinomas. We observed an heterogenous profiling papillary carcinomas (45% overexpress p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) transcripts and 45% downexpress these transcripts). In the
majority of oncocytic adenomas, we observed a downregulation of p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\).

We observed a concordance between mRNA and protein levels in most of tumours tested: 80% (24 out of 30) cases for p14\(^{ARF}\) and 78.5% (22 out of 28) cases for p16\(^{INK4A}\) when cytoplasmic staining observed in papillary carcinomas is taken into account. In 20% of tumors, protein expression did not reflect mRNA status for both p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\). This apparent uncoupling have been previously reported on haematopoietic human cell lines (Della Valle et al., 1997) and on small-cell lung tumours (Gazzeri et al., 1998).

In the majority of the previously documented cases, the discrepancies between mRNA and protein expression corresponds to overexpression of mRNA and normoexpression of the protein. As some patients (particularly cases 4, 12, 15 and 27) showed a high expression of p14\(^{ARF}\) and/or p16\(^{INK4A}\) in nontumoural tissue, it was difficult to evidence any increase in tumoral tissue. Moreover, the heterogeneity of gene expression within the normal or tumoral tissue must also be taken into account. The presence of a lymphoid infiltrate, which expressed both p14\(^{ARF}\) and/or p16\(^{INK4A}\), mainly in nontumoural tissue, can also explain some discordant results because positive lymphocytes were not included in IHC scoring. At the end, we should also take into account that accumulation or depletion of transcripts could result from an increased or decreased stability. Regarding this point, impaired mRNA turnover and stability are shown to play a critical role in the activation of specific genes during the cellular response to mitogens, stressful stimuli and differentiation agents (Bashirullah et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2002). Interestingly, we observed in six cases of papillary carcinoma a cytoplasmic localisation of both p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\). This localisation is unusual for these proteins and suggest that in these cases p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) could not be efficient.

Our results are consistent with others studies. Ferenc et al (2004) reported such an upregulation by use of a tissue array technology. Intensity of p16\(^{INK4A}\) staining tended to increase from follicular adenoma to follicular carcinoma compared to normal tissue. Moreover, others found no mutation and no loss of heterozygosity for the MTSl locus (that encodes both p16\(^{INK4A}\) and p14\(^{ARF}\)) in differentiated carcinomas (Calabro et al., 1996; Schulte et al., 1998; Ward et al., 1998; Kitamura et al., 2000a,b). In contrast, Bolite et al. (2003) found hypermethylation of p16\(^{INK4A}\) in 33% of follicular adenomas, in 44% of papillary carcinomas, in 50% of follicular carcinomas that correlated with loss of p16\(^{INK4A}\).

Taken together, these data, including our own results, suggest that the inactivation of p16\(^{INK4A}\) and p14\(^{ARF}\) in these carcinomas is rare and that in contrast, the upregulation of these genes could be associated with thyroid cancer progression.

Both p16\(^{INK4A}\) and p14\(^{ARF}\) proteins are tumour suppressors and it is their loss not their gain that should contribute to tumorigenesis. However, the increased expression of CDKN2a gene products alpha (p16\(^{INK4A}\)) and beta (p14\(^{ARF}\)) has been described to be associated with progression and unfavourable prognosis in different tumour types. For instance, the increased expression of p16\(^{INK4A}\) has been described to be associated with progression and unfavourable prognosis in ovarian cancer (Dong et al., 1997), in high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (Henshall et al., 2001) and in primary breast cancer (Hui et al., 2000). Also, the upregulation of p14\(^{ARF}\) is mainly seen in haematological malignancies (Lee et al., 2003) and in aggressive B-cell lymphomas, and it predicts a shortened survival time (Sanchez-Aguilera et al., 2002).

The overexpression of p14\(^{ARF}\)/p16\(^{INK4A}\) tumour suppressor genes could be explained by several known data. Regarding the expression of p16\(^{INK4A}\), the most well-defined mechanism of p16\(^{INK4A}\) overexpression is the loss of transcriptional repression in the presence of inactivating mutations in the RB gene (Rusas and Peters, 1998). It has been suggested that p16\(^{INK4A}\)-mediated growth inhibition may occur only when cyclin E/Cdk2 complexes are inactivated concurrently by the cell cycle inhibitor p27\(^{KIP1}\) (Lukas et al., 1997; Jiang et al., 1998). In this regard, the MDA-MB-157 breast cancer cell line retains functional pRB but can proliferate in the presence of p16\(^{INK4A}\) overexpression (Sweeney et al., 1998). Furthermore, others have observed RAS and Rb mutations in 40–50% of follicular adenomas and carcinomas (Suarez et al., 1990; Capella et al., 1996; Zou et al., 1998) and a downregulation of p27\(^{KIP1}\), which was correlated with tumoral progression (Erickson et al., 2000; Troncone et al., 2000). Although we did not examine Rb or RAS mutations, neither p27\(^{KIP1}\) status in our tumour cohort, these data could explain the p16\(^{INK4A}\) upregulation we observed.

Regarding the expression of p14\(^{ARF}\), its expression is induced by oncogenes like myc, ras or viral genes (Palmero et al., 1998). E2F1 is a well-known transcriptional regulator of p14\(^{ARF}\) promoter and has an E2F1-binding site (Bates et al., 1998). In this regard, the MDA-MB-157 breast cancer cell line retains functional pRB but can proliferate in the presence of p16\(^{INK4A}\) overexpression (Sweeney et al., 1998). Furthermore, others have observed RAS and Rb mutations in 40–50% of follicular adenomas and carcinomas (Suarez et al., 1990; Capella et al., 1996; Zou et al., 1998) and a downregulation of p27\(^{KIP1}\), which was correlated with tumoral progression (Erickson et al., 2000; Troncone et al., 2000). Although we did not examine Rb or RAS mutations, neither p27\(^{KIP1}\) status in our tumour cohort, these data could explain the p16\(^{INK4A}\) upregulation we observed.

Table 4 mRNA levels correlations between p14\(^{ARF}\), p16\(^{INK4A}\) and E2F1 genes in nontumoral and in tumour tissues according to histological type

| mRNA level correlations | Normal tissue | Oncocytic adenomas | Follicular adenomas | Follicular carcinomas | Papillary carcinomas |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| p14\(^{ARF}\) and p16\(^{INK4A}\) | r = 0.65    | r = 0.78     | r = 0.65     | r = 0.80    | r = 0.89          |
| p14\(^{ARF}\) and E2F1   | P = 0.0001  | P = 0.001    | P = 0.04     | P = 0.01    | P < 0.0001        |
| p16\(^{INK4A}\) and E2F1 | r = 0.41    | r = 0.63     | r = 0.68     | r = 1       | r = 0.04          |
|                         | P = 0.01    | P = 0.015    | P = 0.029    | P < 0.0001  | P = 0.86          |
|                         | r = 0.21    | r = 0.7      | r = 0.48     | r = 0.80    | r = 0.09          |
|                         | P = 0.005   | P = 0.15     | P = 0.16     | P = 0.01    | P = 0.66          |

The Spearman rank test was used. The correlation coefficient (r) is given with P. P < 0.05 is considered statistically significant. Statistically relevant P-values are in bold.
types and as a correlation between E2F1 and p14ARF was noted, this suggests that downregulation of p14ARF could be linked to the downregulation of E2F1 in this tumour type. To conclude, we observed that p14ARF and p16INK4A expression levels are different according to histological type of thyroid tumours. Upregulation seems to be implicated in thyroid follicular carcinogenesis as an early event and could be considered as tumour progression marker. Papillary carcinomas displayed a more complex pattern with a great heterogeneity for p14ARF, p16INK4A expression and an E2F1-independent transcriptional regulation. However, these deregulations are not correlated to the clinical outcome and they could not be used as potential prognostic markers alone.
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