Comparative evaluation of push-out bond strength of a MTA-based root canal sealer
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Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the bond strength to root dentin of three root canal sealers: a mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA)-based sealer (MTA Fillapex®), an epoxy resin-based sealer (AH Plus®), and a zinc oxide eugenol-based sealer (EndoFill®). Methods: Thirty extracted single-root human teeth of similar sizes and circular canals were prepared using #3 and #2 Gates Glidden drills in the cervical portion of the canal and K3® rotary instruments to a size #25/0.06 to working length. Irrigation with 0.5 mL 2% chlorhexidine gel was used before and 1 mL saline after each instrument. The smear layer was removed with 3 mL 17% EDTA for 3 min. The samples were sectioned horizontally into eight 1±0.1 mm-thick serial slices and then the push-out test was carried out. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the post-hoc Tukey test were used for the analysis of the data with a significance level of 5%. Results: AH Plus presented significantly higher bond strengths (p<0.05) than the other sealers, while MTA Fillapex showed the lowest bond strengths (p<0.05). Conclusions: The present study concluded that EndoFill® sealer and MTA FillApex® core combination were not superior to AH Plus® sealer and gutta-percha core combination.
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Introduction

The aim of endodontic therapy is not only to eliminate microorganisms by cleaning and shaping the root canal, but also to ensure that the root canal system will be fluid free and that a single unit can be created by the filling material (cones and sealer) and root dentin walls.

Bond strength of endodontic sealers to dentin is an important property of filling materials because it minimizes the risk of filling detachment from dentin during restorative procedures or the masticatory function¹, ensuring that sealing is maintained and, consequently, clinical success of endodontic treatment. The push-out bond strength test is a well-known evaluation method used in several other similar studies²-⁴ with great reliability. Thus, its results can be useful for inferring the interfacial strength and dislocation resistance between different root filling materials and the root dentin.

MTA Fillapex® (Angelus, Londrina, PR, Brazil), a sealer based on calcium silicate, was introduced recently on the market. After mixing, its composition is basically MTA, salicylate resin, natural resin, bismuth oxide and silica. The manufacturer claims that it has excellent radiopacity, easy handling, a good working time and low solubility, providing sealing of the canal by expansion...
Table 1. Tested sealers and their composition.

| Product and manufacturer | Composition | Preparation mode |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| EndoFill® (Endo Fill; Dentsply Ind. e Com. Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) | Powder: Zinc oxide, staybelite resin, bismuth subcarbonate, barium sulfate, sodium borate anhydride. Liquid: eugenol. | The components were combined by mixing the powder into liquid. |
| AH Plus® (Dentsply, Konstanz, Germany) | Paste A - bisphenol-A, bisphenol-F calcium tungstate, zirconium oxide, silica iron oxide pigments; Paste B - dibenzylaminomatoamantane tricyclodecanediaminecalcium tungstate, zirconium oxide, silica, silicone oil | The components were mixed in equal portions of pastes A and B. |
| MTA Fillapex® (Angelus, Curitiba, PR, Brazil) | Salicylate resin, diluting resin, natural resin, bismuth trioxide, nanoparticulated silica, MTA, pigments. | The components were combined by using a self-mixing tip attached to a syringe. |

during setting. Recent studies showed suitable radiopacity, pH, flow, working and setting time of MTA Fillapex. However, controversial results have been presented with respect to its bond strength to root dentin. Sagin et al. concluded that MTA Fillapex had the lowest push-out bond values to root dentin compared with an epoxy-based root canal sealer and different calcium silicate-based root canal sealers. On the other hand, Assmann et al. (2012) stated that MTA Fillapex presented acceptable resistance to dislodgement, similar to that observed in samples filled with an epoxy-based root canal sealer.

The present study was designed to assess the bond strength of root fillings in canals obturated with MTA Fillapex. AH Plus® (Dentsply DeTrey GmbH, Konstanz, Germany) and EndoFill® (Endo Fill; Dentsply Ind. e Com. Ltda., Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) were used as reference materials for comparison, and the push-out bond strength was the outcome variable. The null hypothesis tested was that there was no significant difference in the resistance to dislodgement of the root fillings in canals obturated with the different tested materials.

Material and methods

This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee (Protocol #2011.1.373.58.3). Thirty extracted single-root human teeth of similar sizes and circular canals were randomly selected and stored in distilled water at 4 °C. To standardize the working length, a size 15 K-file (Dentsply-Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) was inserted into the root canal until it could be visualized at the apical foramen. The working length was determined by subtracting 1 mm from this length. After measurement, the length of all roots was standardized to 13 mm to prevent the introduction of confounders that could contribute to variations in the preparation procedures.

All teeth were instrumented using #3 and #2 Gates Glidden drills in the cervical portion of the canal. Then, the root canals were instrumented using K3 rotary instruments (Sybron Endo) to a size #25/0.06 to working length. Irrigation with 0.5 mL 2% chlorhexidine gel was used before each instrument and 1 mL 0.9% saline solution after each instrument. The smear layer was removed with 3 mL 17% EDTA for 3 min. A total of 3 mL saline was used for 3 min as a final rinse. Each canal was dried with paper points.

Obturation procedures were performed using the single gutta-percha cone technique. Using a computer algorithm (http://www.random.org), the 30 roots were randomly assigned to 3 groups for obturation with one of the three sealers: AH Plus, EndoFill or MTA Fillapex. Composition of the sealers is shown in Table 1. The sealers were prepared according to the manufacturers’ instructions. On completion of these procedures, the specimens were radiographed at different angles to verify the quality of the filling procedure and presence of bubbles. The specimens were placed in 100% humidity for 7 days to ensure complete setting of the sealer.

Afterwards, each root was sectioned horizontally into eight 1 ± 0.1 mm-thick serial slices by using a low-speed saw with a diamond disk under continuous water irrigation. The root filling of each sample was loaded with a 0.5-mm-diameter stainless steel cylindrical plunger. The plunger tip was sized and positioned to touch only the root filling. The load was always applied in an apical-coronal direction to avoid any constriction interference caused by root canal taper during push-out testing. Loading was performed on a universal testing machine (Instron Corporation, Norwood, MA, USA) at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min until debonding occurred. Each cross section was coded and measured for the apical and coronal diameters of the obturated area by using an optical stereomicroscope. A load/time curve was plotted during the compression test using real-time software. To express the bond strength in MPa, the load at failure recorded during the compression test were used for the data analysis; the independent variables were root canal filling material and root canal third (p < 0.05).

Results

All specimens showed measurable adhesive properties to root dentin. In addition, no premature failure occurred. Overall, the push-out bond strength was the highest in the coronal third and lowest in the apical third. AH Plus specimens
displayed statistically higher bond strengths ($p=0.0012$, 0.51-5.9 MPa). MTA Fillapex showed the lowest bond strengths. The values of the push-out bond strength data in each experimental group are shown in Table 2.

### Table 2. Push-out bond strength mean values (MPa) and standard deviation (SD) of the different root canal filling system to root dentin.

| Group          | Mean (SD)* |
|----------------|------------|
| Endo Fill®     | 0.54±0.244 |
| AH-Plus®       | 3.60±1.908 |
| MTA Fill Apex® | 0.25±0.104 |

#### Discussion

Gutta-percha does not bond to root dentin and is used in conjunction with a root canal sealer1, so the adhesive properties of endodontic sealers are important. It was suggested that, if a material bonds to the root canal walls, it resists dislodgement of the filling2. It is also believed that chemical bonding to root dentin improves the push out bond strength of sealers to root canal walls9.

In this study, the push-out test was used to test the dentin bond strength of different root canal sealers. It has been suggested that this test provides a better evaluation of bond strength than the conventional shear test because in the push-out test, fracture occurs parallel to the dentin-bonding interface, which makes it a true shear test for parallel-sided samples7,10.

Extrusion testing in dentistry was first described by Roydhouse11 (1970). Kimura, Shimizu and Fujii12 (1985) concluded that push-out testing tended to reduce the values for bond strength to dentin. Haller and Klaiber13 (1991) reintroduced the push-out test and the testing procedure selected for the present investigation used their model. The model has shown to be effective and reproducible. Another advantage of this method is that it allows root canal sealers to be evaluated even when bond strengths are low9.

During chemo-mechanical preparation, a layer of debris, the smear layer, is formed. Current theories of dentine bonding mechanisms involve either chemical modification of the smear layer and bonding directly to it, or removal of the smear layer and bonding to subjacent tooth structures4,14. Some studies have shown that removal of the smear layer enhances the adhesion of sealers to the root canal wall15,16. The smear layer can act as a reservoir or substrate for microorganisms17, and can also block the extension of sealer tags into the dentinal tubules, thereby decreasing micromechanical adhesion18. In the current study, 17% EDTA was used after instrumentation to remove the smear layer.

Chlorhexidine gluconate (CHX) has been suggested as an alternative irrigation solution that could replace NaOCl. CHX is a bactericidal solution because of its ability to precipitate and coagulate bacterial intracellular constituents19. The resin system seems to be sensitive to NaOCl20, as its use during root canal therapy reduced the bond strength21. The NaOCl acts to oxidize a component in the dentinal matrix that interferes with free radical propagation at the resin-dentin interface leading to lower bond strength22.

The bond strength after use of CHX gel and EDTA differs from that after the use of NaOCl solely23. The bond strength to pulp chamber dentin decreased when endodontic irrigation was performed with 5.25% NaOCl either associated or not with 17% EDTA24-25. Thus, the results of these articles support the use of chlorhexidine gluconate associated with EDTA as root canal irrigation in the present study.

MTA Fillapex is a new salicylate resin- and calcium silicate-based sealer. The manufacturer claims that this product provides long-term sealing capacity, high radio-opacity and promotes deposition of hard tissue. It contains calcium silicate, salicylate resin, diluting resins, natural resin, nanoparticulated resin and bismuth trioxide. It is anticipated that release of calcium and hydroxyl ions from the set sealer will result in the formation of apatite when the material comes into contact with phosphate-containing fluids25.

In the light of the results, the null hypothesis that there was no difference between the groups was rejected. The push-out bond strength of AH Plus was statistically superior to that of MTA Fillapex and Endo Fill. No statistically significant difference was found between MTA Fillapex and Endo Fill. This result corroborates those of Pécora et al.16 (2001) and Cecchin et al.26 (2012). They also found higher bond strength values for epoxy resin-based cements, like AH Plus, compared with zinc oxide-eugenol sealers, like Endo Fill. Several other studies also found that the push-out bond strength of AH Plus was superior to that of other root canal sealers27-29.

In the present study, the MTA-based sealer MTA Fillapex had the lowest bond strength to root dentin. Sarkar et al.30 (2005) suggested that release of calcium and hydroxyl ions from the set sealer will result in the formation of apatite as the material comes into contact with phosphate-containing fluids. Reyes-Carmona et al.31 (2009), reported that the apatite formed by MTA and phosphate buffered saline was deposited within collagen fibrils, promoting controlled mineral nucleation on dentin, seen as the formation of an interface layer with tag-like structures. The reason for the low bond strength of MTA Fillapex in the present study could be the low adhesion capacity of these tag-like structures, corroborated by the study made by Sagsen et al.1 (2011). Although previous studies have already shown that MTA Fillapex had weak bond strength to the dentin wall, this fact is not normally expected, once this sealer has MTA as one of its ingredients and some of the well-known components of sealers. MTA properties are good adhesion to dentin walls, adequate seal and resistance to dislodgement2.

The adhesion of Grossman type root canal sealers to dentin is established by electrostatic bonding and not by its penetration into the dentinal tubules30. The low bond strength established with Group 1 may be explained by a chelating reaction that occurs while the zinc oxide-eugenol mixture is setting31. This reaction affects both the gutta-percha core material and the root canal dentin. The zinc ion of the zinc oxide may react with the mineral component of the dentin.
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as well as with the zinc oxide constituent of gutta-percha. Also, eugenol may have a softening effect on gutta-percha, thus creating an interlocking meshwork that will increase adhesion between the materials.

Adhesive strength is only one aspect of the quality of root canal sealing, but it may be considered one of the most important. Currently, there are several different types of endodontic sealers available, but as shown by this study, not all of them have the best properties to ensure endodontic success. Further investigation of other features of root canal sealers is required. In most cases, the results of laboratory experimental studies cannot be directly applied to clinical situations. However, they do provide reproducible and reliable means for comparing and testing new and prospective sealers, and for establishing international standards.

Within the limits of the push-out test method, in the present study, EndoFill sealer and MTA FillApex core combination were not superior to AH Plus sealer and gutta-percha core combination. On the basis of the findings presented herein it may be concluded that AH Plus Sealer might provide an advantage over other sealers with respect to bond strength to root dentin.
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