Effects of ruminally degradable starch levels on performance, nitrogen balance, and nutrient digestibility in dairy cows fed low corn-based starch diets
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Objective: This trial was performed to examine the effects of ruminally degradable starch (RDS) levels in total mixed ration (TMR) with low corn-based starch on the milk production, whole-tract nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance in dairy cows.

Methods: Eight multiparous Holstein cows (body weight [BW]: 717±63 kg; days in milk [DIM]: 169±29) were assigned to a crossover design with two dietary treatments: a diet containing 62.3% ruminally degradable starch (% of total starch, low RDS) or 72.1% ruminally degradable starch (% of total starch, high RDS). Changes to the ruminally degradable levels were conducted by using either finely ground corn or steam-flaked corn as the starch component.

Results: The results showed that dry matter intake, milk yield and composition in dairy cows were not affected by dietary treatments. The concentration of milk urea nitrogen was lower for cows fed high RDS TMR than low RDS TMR. The whole-tract apparent digestibility of neutral detergent fiber, acid detergent fiber and crude protein decreased, and that of starch increased for cows fed high RDS TMR over those fed low RDS TMR, with no dietary effect on the whole-tract apparent digestibility of dry matter and organic matter. The proportion of urinary N excretion in N intake was lower and that of fecal N excretion in N intake was higher for cows fed high RDS TMR than those fed low RDS TMR. The N secretion in milk and the retention of N were not influenced by the dietary treatments. Total purine derivative was similar in cows fed high RDS TMR and low RDS TMR. Consequently, estimated microbial N flow to the duodenum was similar in cows fed high RDS TMR and low RDS TMR.

Conclusion: Results of this study show that ruminally degradable starch levels can influence whole-tract nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance in dairy cows fed low corn-based starch diets, with no influence on performance.
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INTRODUCTION

Starch is an important source of energy in the diets of dairy cows. It serves as a precursor of propionate from starch fermentation, and as a source of glucose absorbed directly in small intestine. Cereal grains are commonly used in the diets of dairy cows for supplying starch. However, it is forecasted that cereal prices will rise in the next years as a result of the increased demand from developing countries and the growing market for bio-fuels [1]. There is no doubt that the profitability of dairy farms will be affected immediately by the increases of cereal price. For these reasons, low starch diet rises the interests of milk producers.

Some reports have indicated that more degradable starch improved ruminal microbial protein synthesis [2,3]. Increase of ruminally degradable starch improves the proportion of urea recy-
ced to the gastrointestinal tract by enhancing microbial utilization of ruminal NH₃ [4]. On the other hand, starch digestion in the rumen influences accumulation of volatile fatty acids and total acids, pH, microbial ecology, and has negative impacts on fibre digestion [5-7].

However, there are limited data for evaluating the effect of ruminally degradable starch levels in dairy cows fed diets with low starch. This study changes the dietary ruminally degradable levels by using either finely ground corn (FGC) or steam-flaked corn (SFC) as components of the cow diets. The objective is to determine the effect of dietary ruminally degradable starch levels on performance, nitrogen balance and nutrient digestibility in dairy cows fed diets with a low starch.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All animal studies were conducted according to the animal care and use guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee of Animal Science and Technology College, Northeast Agricultural University.

**Cows, experimental design and diets**

Eight multiparous Holstein cows (Parity: 3±0.8; body weight [BW]: 717±63 kg; days in milk [DIM]: 169±29) were used in a crossover design with two dietary treatments (dietary ruminally degradable starch levels: 62.3% for low ruminally degradable starch level [low RDS], 72.1% for high ruminally degradable starch [high RDS]). The experimental period was 21 d, with 14 d for treatment adaptation and 7 d for data collection. The dietary treatments were a diet containing 21% FGC, 21% SFC. The ingredient composition and chemical composition of the experimental diets is shown in Table 1. The SFC used in this study was manufactured commercially in the same process and batch (Tianzhengdingtai Feed Corp., Heilongjiang Province, China). Corn was steamed under similar conditions (100°C for 60 min) and flaked (STEAM CHMGR; Roskamp Chapin Company, Waterloo, IA, USA). Moisture in the chamber was raised to 18% to 19%. The corn was then passed through a prewarmed roller mill (50x75 cm) to produce a flake of 360 g/L of density. All FGC was prepared in the same batch with an average geometric mean particle size of 1,030 μm. All experimental diets were formulated to meet or exceed the Chinese Feeding Standard for Dairy Cattle (Ministry of Agriculture of P. R. China, 2004) [8]. Throughout the experiment, cows were fed individually 2 times daily at 05:30 h and 17:30 h in individual tie-stalls and had free access to fresh water. Diets were fed ad libitum to allow for at least 5% to 10% orts. Cows were milked 2 times daily at 09:00 h and 21:00 h.

**Data and sample collection**

The amounts of feed offered and orts were weighed and representative samples were collected daily during the final 3 d of each period. The orts samples were pooled and one sample was retained per cow per period. The samples of orts and total mixed ration (TMR) were dried at 65°C in an oven for 48 h, then ground through a 1-mm mesh screen using a Wiley mill (Arthur H. Thomas Co., Philadelphia, PA, USA) and stored until analysis. Fecal samples (approximately 200 g, fresh basis) were taken daily from the rectum of each cow every 6 h on the days 19, 20, and 21 of each experimental period, and samples from each time point were divided into 2 portions and samples were pooled across sampling times for each cow. Ten percent volume of 6 M hydrochloric acid was added to one pooled portion from each cow immediately after sampling for subsequent nitrogen analysis. All fecal samples were dried at 60°C for at least 72 h in a forced-air oven, and ground to pass through a 1-mm screen for analysis later. Apparent total-tract nutrient digestibility was calculated using indigestible neutral detergent fiber (iNDF) as an internal marker, and N excretion in feces was calculated from N digestibility and N intake. Spot urine samples (each about 200 g) were

---

**Table 1. Ingredients and calculated chemical compositions of experimental total mixed ration**

| Item                  | Low RDS  | High RDS |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| Ingredients, % of DM  |          |          |
| Corn silage           | 18.4     | 18.4     |
| Alfalfa hay           | 9.2      | 9.2      |
| Chinese wild rye grass| 7.4      | 7.4      |
| Whole cottonseed      | 8.3      | 8.3      |
| Wet Brewers grains    | 7.2      | 5.2      |
| Beet pulp             | 4.1      | 4.1      |
| Steam-flaked corn     | 0        | 20.5     |
| Finely ground corn    |          | 0        |
| Soybean meal          | 9.5      | 9.5      |
| DDGS                  | 8.2      | 8.2      |
| Wheat bran            | 4.4      | 4.4      |
| Soybean hull          | 2.1      | 2.1      |
| Premix(*)             | 2.3      | 2.3      |
| Sodium bicarbonate    | 0.5      | 0.5      |

**Chemical composition**

| Chemical composition  | Low RDS  | High RDS |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|
| DM (%)                | 56.7     | 56.6     |
| Organic matter (%)    | 92.4     | 92.4     |
| Crude protein (%)     | 17.8     | 17.7     |
| Ether extract (%)     | 4.0      | 3.8      |
| Neutral detergent fiber (%) | 34.6 | 34.6 |
| Acid detergent fiber (%) | 19.8 | 19.8 |
| Starch (%)            | 21.4     | 22.6     |
| NE(L)(Mcal/kg DM)     | 1.66     | 1.68     |
| Rumen degradation (%) | 62.3     | 72.1     |

**Notes:**

1) RDS, ruminally degradable starch of 62.3% and 72.1% for the low and high RDS treatments, respectively, as determined by in situ incubations.

2) SFC in situ incubations.

3) Calculated based on analyzed chemical composition of ingredients.

4) Calculated based on feeding Standards of Dairy Cattle (Ministry of Agriculture of P. R. China recommendations, 2004).

5) Rumen degradation starch was estimated by in situ incubation of total mixed rations.
collected every 6 h on the days 19, 20, and 21 of each experimental period. Urine samples from each cow were pooled and a 10 mL of each subsample was acidified with 40 mL of 0.036 mol of H$_2$SO$_4$/L and immediately stored at −20°C for subsequent analysis. The milk yield was measured daily and averaged on the days 15, 16, and 17 of each experimental period, and duplicate milk samples were taken at every milking. One set of duplicate samples was used for analysis of fat, protein, lactose and milk urea nitrogen (MUN) by infrared spectroscopy (MilkoScan FT6000, Foss Electric A/S, Hillerød, Denmark).

Dietary contents of RDS in the TMR were determined using the in situ incubation technique [9] with three ruminally cannulated Holstein cows (BW: 678±26.5 kg; milk yield: 20.6±2.5 kg; DIM: 276±19). The animals were housed in individual tie-stalls in the Livestock Research Building (Northeast Agricultural University) and were fed the basal diet (corn silage 28.9%, Chinese wild rye grass 24.2% and concentrate 46.9% dry matter [DM] basis) at 2.8% of BW (DM basis) at 05:30 h and 17:30 h daily. Briefly, samples of each diet were ground through a 3-mm screen (Arthur H. Thomas Co., USA). Approximately 5 g sample was weighted into number-coded nylon bags (10×20 cm; 50 μm pore size; Ankom Technology Corp., Macedon, NY, USA). Incubations were carried out for 72, 48, 24, 12, 8, 4, 2, and 0 h. Procedures for ruminal incubation and post-incubation processing of bags were as described by Yu et al [9]. The samples were dried in an oven at 65°C for 48 h and were ground to pass through a 1-mm screen in a Cyclotec mill (Tecator 1093; Tecator AB, Hognas, Sweden) for starch analysis.

Chemical analysis
The DM content of the feed, orts and fecal samples was examined by drying the sample at 105°C for 24 h. DM, ash, crude protein (CP) and the ether extrate were determined by AOAC methods [10]. The neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) were determined according to the methods of Van Soest et al [11]. The starch was analyzed using a total starch assay kit (Megazyme International Ireland Ltd, Wicklow, Ireland). The samples from in situ incubations were analyzed for DM and starch as previously described. The urine samples were analyzed for kjeldahl N as previously described for the feeds. The urine samples were analyzed for allantoin using the procedure described in Chen and Gomes [12] and uric acid using a Uric Acid assay Kit (Jiancheng Regent Corp, Nanjing, China), and creatinine content was calculated using a colorimetric picric acid assay. Creatinine is an established marker for determining urine volume and was assumed to be excreted at a rate of 29 mg/kg of BW for calculating the urine volume excretion [13]. The microbial N supply to the small intestine was calculated from urinary purine derivative (PD) excretion as described in Chen and Gomes [12].

Statistical analyses
Rumen degradation characteristics of starch were analyzed using the non-linear regression procedure of SAS (Version 9.2; SAS Institute, Inc. Cary, NC, USA) [14] using least squares regression (Gauss-Newton method) as described by Yu et al [9]. All other data were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (Version 9.2; SAS Institute, Inc., USA). Data were analyzed as a crossover design, the following model was used: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + P_i + C_j + T_k + \varepsilon_{ijk}$, where $Y_{ijk}$ is the dependent variable, $\mu$ is the overall mean, $P_i$ is the fixed effect of period $i$, $C_j$ is the random effect of cow $j$, $T_k$ is the fixed effect of treatment $k$, and $\varepsilon_{ijk}$ is the random residual error. Treatment differences were considered significant when p≤0.05 and tendencies were discussed when 0.05<p≤0.10.

RESULTS

Dietary characteristics
Dietary ingredients and chemical composition are listed in Table 1. The contents of DM, CP, NDF, ADF and starch were similar between the dietary treatments. In situ ruminal incubation of TMR showed that dietary RDS levels were 62.3 and 72.1 of total starch for the low and high RDS treatments, respectively (Table 2).

Dry matter intake and milk production
Dry matter intake (DMI) was not affected by dietary treatments (Table 3). Neither milk yield nor energy-corrected milk (ECM) was affected by dietary treatments. Protein yield, fat yield, and lactose yield were also not affected by dietary treatments. There was no difference in compositions of protein, fat, lactose, and total solids between the dietary treatments. The concentration of MUN in cows fed high RDS TMR was lower than that in cows fed low RDS TMR (p = 0.03). The dietary treatments did not affect the ECM/DMI.

Nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance
There was no difference in digestibility of DM between the dietary

Table 2. Starch degradation constants in total mixed rations

| Starch degradation | Low RDS$^5$ | High RDS | SEM | p-value |
|--------------------|------------|----------|-----|---------|
| S ($^0$) (%)       | 5.9        | 2.6      | 0.58| 0.06    |
| D ($^0$) (%)       | 91.3       | 96.2     | 0.98| 0.07    |
| U ($^0$) (%)       | 2.8        | 1.2      | 0.85| 0.31    |
| Kd (%/h)           | 9.7        | 15.5     | 0.15| <0.001  |
| %BS (%)           | 37.7       | 27.9     | 0.50| <0.001  |
| %EDS ($) (%)       | 62.3       | 72.1     | 0.50| <0.001  |

$^5$ RDS, 62.3% and 72.1% for the low and high RDS treatments, respectively, as determined by in situ incubations.

$^0$ S, rapidly degradable fraction (soluble,%).

$^0$ D, slowly degradable fraction (potential,%).

$^0$ U, undegradable fraction (%).

$^0$ Kd, degradation rate of the D fraction (%/h).

$^0$ %BS, percent rumen undegradable starch.

$^0$ %EDS, percent effective (ruminally) degradable starch (calculated assuming a rumen outflow rate of 6%/h).
The effects of dietary ruminally degradable starch levels on nitrogen utilization in dairy cows

| Item                  | Low RDS | High RDS | SEM  | p-value |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|------|---------|
| N balance             |         |          |      |         |
| N intake (g/d)        | 662.3   | 645.9    | 8.6  | 0.19    |
| Milk N (g/d)          | 155.4   | 147.8    | 4.64 | 0.13    |
| % of N intake         | 23.46   | 22.90    | 0.75 | 0.52    |
| Fecal N excretion (g/d)| 184.2  | 193.7    | 5.74 | 0.12    |
| % of N intake         | 27.79   | 29.95    | 0.61 | 0.01    |
| Urinary N excretion (g/d)| 240.0  | 201.3    | 11.27| 0.02    |
| % of N intake         | 36.29   | 31.16    | 1.72 | 0.03    |
| N retention (g/d)     | 82.7    | 103.1    | 11.82| 0.26    |
| % of N intake         | 12.46   | 15.99    | 1.76 | 0.19    |
| Urinary excretion (mM/d)| 536.69 | 534.04   | 26.78| 0.92    |
| Allantoin             | 71.39   | 71.54    | 4.00 | 0.99    |
| Uric acid             | 608.13  | 605.58   | 27.39| 0.94    |
| Total PD              | 474.52  | 472.35   | 23.00| 0.93    |
| Microbial N supply (g/d)| 3)     |          |      |         |

SEM, standard error of the mean; PD, purine derivatives (allantoin and uric acid).
1) RDS, ruminally degradable starch 62.3% and 72.1% for the low and high RDS treatments, respectively, as determined by in situ incubations.
2) ECM = milk protein yield/6.38.

DISCUSSION

The observation that DMI was not affected by the dietary treatments is consistent with previously reported results. Krause et al [15] showed that increasing the level of ruminally fermentable starch did not affect DMI when refined cornstarch replaced starch from dry cracked shelled corn up to 57.0% of the total dietary starch with around 30% dietary starch. Miyaji et al [16] compared diets containing steam-flaked brown rice or SFC at approximately 30% of dietary DM, but found that DMI was not affected by treatments. However, some studies have shown that DMI decreased when cows were fed more ruminally degradable starch sources [17,18]. Oba and Allen [18] suggested that these DMI depressions might be explained by a higher propionate production in the rumen when cows were fed the starch source with greater ruminal degradation. Propionate has greater hypophagic effects than acetate [19]. Therefore, inconsistent effects of ruminal starch digestibility on feed intake might imply that a threshold exists for propionate to affect DMI. In this study, we speculated that the propionate production in the rumen when
cows were fed high RDS TMR might not reach the threshold of inhibiting DMI. In this study, milk yield was not affected by the dietary treatments, which can be attributed to the similarity between treatments for DMI. Miyaji et al [16] reported starch digestibility increased in the rumen by replacing SFC with steam-flaked brown rice in low starch diets (19.4% to 21.9% of DM), and milk yield had no difference between the two diets. Similarly, Oba and Allen [18] showed that high-moisture corn as a substitute of ground corn had equivalent milk yield. The concentrations of milk protein, fat and lactose were not influenced by dietary RDS levels, the result is consistent with some previous report [18,20]. Cow fed high RDS TMR had lower MUN concentrations than cows fed low RDS TMR, suggesting a more efficient N utilization with high RDS diet. Dhiman et al [21] and Burkholder et al [22] showed that the increased starch digestibility in the rumen by replacing dry ground corn with SFC in diets decreased MUN concentration for dairy cows.

The increased digestibility of starch due to the substitution of SFC for FGC could be caused by more ruminally degradable starch of SFC compared with those of FGC. The steam-flaking process improved the proportion of starch from grains digested in the rumen [23]. The digestibility of NDF and ADF were different from starch digestibility. The result is consistent with some previous reports [20,24]. More starch fermentation may depress fiber digestion by a reduced ruminal pH [25]. Hoover [26] suggested that starch was associated with decrease in ruminal fiber disappearance, and was independent of changes in ruminal pH. Starch inhibited fiber digestion because its rapid fermentation stimulated certain strains of bacteria to restrain fiber hydrolysis [27], then decreased total-tract fiber digestion with increasing more ruminally degradable starch. The observation that the total tract apparent digestibility of CP decreased when cows were fed more ruminally degradable starch is consistent with previous reported results. Oliveira et al [28], who fed dairy cows a diet with different RDS levels, reported that the digestibility of CP was lower in high RDS diets than those of low RDS diets. Similarly, when cows were fed a diet with an increment of SFC substituted for FGC in diets the CP digestibility showed a quadratic response [29]. However, some studies have shown that the total tract apparent digestibility of CP was not affected by the ruminally degradable starch levels [20,30]. Blaxter and Martin [31] suggested that increased bacterial growth in the rumen or in the intestines led to more bacterial protein into the feces. In this study, cow fed high RDS TMR had higher fecal nitrogen excretion as a proportion of N intake than cows fed low RDS TMR, which could partly explain the lower total tract apparent digestibility of crude protein of cows fed high RDS TMR than low RDS TMR. The observation that total tract digestibility of DM was not affected by the dietary treatments is consistent with previously reported results [32,33]. Although several studies observed that the digestibility of DM increased when cows were fed more ruminally degradable starch [3,20], the inconsistent effects of RDS levels on DM digestibility can be attributed to the differences in ingredient composition and digestibility of the different nutrient composition of the diets. In the current study, the increased digestibility of starch in the high RDS TMR may have offset any potential for the decreased digestibility of CP, NDF, and ADF to induce the total tract digestibility of DM depression. 

The research showed that the N intake was not affected by the dietary treatments. This result was due to the DMI being similar between the dietary treatments and that the diets were isonitrogenous. However, the urinary N excretion and urinary N excretion as a proportion of N intake were less in cows fed high RDS TMR than in cows fed low RDS TMR, and the result was in agreement with the previous studies [22,34]. Ohtani et al [35] showed that supplying more fermentable starch in the diet could increase production of ruminal propionic acid which is a glucose precursor, and then reduce urinary N losses through reducing the amino acids (AA) used for gluconeogenesis. Additionally, the AAs used for gluconeogenesis increase when the dietary starch is low [36]. Nocek and Russell [37] suggested that the imbalance between N and energy availability in diets can promote utilization of AAs as an energy source which gives rise to a considerable absorption of ammonia through the ruminal wall. Therefore, The MUN and urinary N excretion were higher for cows fed low RDS TMR than for cows fed high RDS TMR, which was due to the imbalance between N and energy availability in the rumen. The milk N as a proportion of N intake was similar between the dietary treatments, this is consistent with the previous researches [16,38]. N retention as a proportion of N was similar between the dietary treatments. This agrees with a previous report [16] and contrasts with other previous reports [20,34]. The inconsistent effects of RDS levels on N retention as a proportion of N can be attributed to the differences of N intake and starch levels. Increasing ruminal availability of dietary starch increased the ruminal microbial N efficiency [3]. The high RDS diet might increase ruminal N use by supplying a more available starch for microbial protein production. However, no significant differences existed in the urinary PD excretions between the dietary treatments, and microbial N that passed to the small intestine was not significantly altered by the dietary treatments, the results were similar to previous report [20,39]. Beauchemin et al [39] suggested that more degradable starch decreased ruminal fibre digestion and offset the beneficial effects of the higher ruminal starch digestibility, resulting in a similar amount of OM fermented in the rumen. The OM fermented in the rumen is an important factor that contributes to the determination of the amount of microbial N that passes to the duodenum [40]. The results of the influence of RDS in the diet were not always consistent. For example, a review shows that more RDS in the diet rose microbial N supply in two studies and had no influence in five studies [41]. Therefore, inconsistent effects of ruminal starch digestibility on microbial N might imply
that differences in the amount of feed intake, dietary sources of N and energy, solid and liquid rumen outflow rates contribute to asynchrony between N and energy availabilities in the rumen.

CONCLUSION

This study showed the levels of ruminally degradable starch with 62.3 and 72.1 (% of total starch) did not influence DMI or yields of milk and milk components. The whole-tract apparent digestibility of NDF, ADF, and CP decreased, and that of starch increased for cows fed high RDS TMR compared with those fed low RDS TMR, with no effect on the whole-tract apparent digestibility of dry matter and organic matter. As a proportion of N intake, urinary N excretion was reduced, and fecal N excretion increased with the high RDS diet in place of low RDS diet. This study show that ruminally degradable starch levels can influence whole-tract nutrient digestibility and nitrogen balance in dairy cows fed low corn-based starch diets, with no influence on performance. Further studies are needed to determine the optimal RDS levels for avoiding the depression in digestibility of fiber and crude protein in low-starch diets.
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