Application of Diffusion Kurtosis Imaging in Evaluating Acute Xerostomia in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Treated With Induction Chemotherapy Plus Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy
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Purpose: The aim of this study was to identify the efficacy of diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI) in tracking and monitoring the dynamic change of parotid glands (PGs), submandibular glands (SMGs), sublingual glands (SLGs), and acute xerostomia in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) patients treated with induction chemotherapy (IC) plus concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT).

Methods: The prospective study recruited 42 participants treated with IC+CCRT. All patients underwent DKI scanning six times: before IC, before RT, in the middle of the RT course, immediately after RT, and 1 and 3 months post-RT. Mean diffusion coefficient (MD) and mean kurtosis (MK) of PG, SMG, SLG, saliva flow rate measured under resting (uSFR) and stimulated condition (sSFR), and xerostomia questionnaire (XQ) scores were recorded.

Results: At each time point, sSFR was significantly higher than uSFR ($p < 0.05$ for all). MD of the salivary glands and XQ scores increased over time while MK, uSFR, and sSFR decreased. After IC, the significant differences were detected in MD and MK of bilateral SMG and MK of the left SLG ($p < 0.05$ for all), but not in MD and MK of PG, uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores. After RT, sSFR at 1m-RT decreased significantly ($p = 0.03$) while no significant differences were detected in uSFR and XQ scores. Moderate-strong
INTRODUCTION

The widespread application of intensity-modulated radiotherapy (RT) and optimization of chemoradiotherapy strategies have contributed to improved survival with reduced toxicities in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) (1). As NPC is highly sensitive to ionizing radiation, RT has been regarded as the mainstay treatment modality (1, 2). Besides, induction chemotherapy (IC) is beneficial for eradicating micrometastases earlier and reducing tumor size before RT to improve protecting organs at risks (3). Therefore, IC followed by concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CCRT) may represent a promising treatment strategy for NPC (1, 4, 5).

Since RT targets overlap residence of the salivary glands, apoptosis of acinar cells of irradiated glands inevitably leads to ablation of saliva output and xerostomia (6), a prevalent and long-lasting adverse effect of RT (2, 7–9). Acute xerostomia within 3 months after RT was the most serious and the most difficult period (10) for patients because of the limited efficacy of treatment (2). Furthermore, moderate-dose chemotherapy is merely focused on investigating PG injury, and there had been no study on evaluating SMG and SLG by DKI ever before.

The primary objective of the prospective study was to verify the efficacy of DKI in tracking and monitoring the change of PG, SMG, and SLG in NPC patients treated with IC+CCRT. We investigated the differences in MD and MK between the left and right salivary glands and compared ipsilateral PG, SMG, and SLG at baseline. The dynamic change in DKI parameters, uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores for all the major salivary glands was also detected by pairwise comparisons between six time points from before IC to 3 months after RT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

Fifty NPC patients who met eligibility criteria who were scheduled to receive radical RT at our institution were recruited from January 2019 to September 2020. All patients have been diagnosed with NPC pathologically; had a good performance status (KPS ≥ 70 or ECOG 0–1); were candidates for MRI examination in our clinics; had no distant metastasis, RT, or surgery to the head and neck region; and had no salivary gland diseases or any other medical causes of xerostomia. Patients with contraindications to chemoradiotherapy or whose MR images had artifacts constrained further analysis were excluded. This study was approved by the ethics board of the Chinese PLA General Hospital, and registered on July 6, 2019, in the Chinese Clinical Trial Registry (ChiCTR1900024328) (http://www.chictr.org.cn/showproj.aspx?proj=40726). Written informed consents were obtained before enrollment.

Treatment

Two cycles of IC (docetaxel: 70 mg/m² on day 1; cisplatin 40 mg/m² on days 1 and 2) and three cycles of concurrent chemotherapy (cisplatin 70 mg/m² or docetaxel 70 mg/m²) were administrated to all patients at 3-week intervals.

Correlations were detected in ΔMD-PG-R%, ΔMK-PG-R%, ΔMD-PG-L%, ΔMK-PG-L%, ΔMD-SMG-R%, ΔMK-SMG-R%, ΔMD-SMG-L%, ΔMK-SMG-L%, and ΔMD-SLG-R%, with correlation coefficients (p < 0.05 for all) ranging from 0.401 to 0.714. ΔuSFR% was correlated with ΔMD-SMG% (p = 0.01, r = −0.39), ΔMD-SLG% (p < 0.001, r = −0.532), and ΔMK-SMG% (p < 0.001, r = −0.493). ΔsSFR% correlated with ΔMD-PG% (p = 0.001, r = −0.509), ΔMD-SMG% (p = 0.015, r = −0.221), and ΔMK-PG% (p < 0.001, r = 0.524). ΔXQ% was only correlated with ΔMK-PG% (p = 0.004, r = 0.433).

Conclusion: DKI is a promising tool for tracking and monitoring the acute damage of PG, SMG, and SLG induced by IC+CCRT in NPC patients.
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Helical tomotherapy (Hi-Art Tomotherapy; Accuray Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) was applied to all patients with a 6-MV photon beam. After the thermoplastic head and neck mask was used for immobilization, patients underwent enhanced CT scans with 3-mm slice thickness. Pinnacle 3.8.0 treatment workstation (Philips Medical Systems, Fitchburg, WI, USA) was used for target delineation and treatment planning optimization. Radiation targets typically included bilateral level II, III, and IV, and level IB would be included in the high-risk clinical target volume (CTV1) in case of level II A involvement, judged by clinicians based on evidence of histopathology and radiologic imaging. CTV was expanded uniformly by 3 mm to produce planning target volumes (PTV). The total prescribed dose for gross target volumes of the primary tumor (pGTVnx) and metastatic lymph node (pGTVnd) was 67.5 Gy for 30 fractions, while high-risk planning target volume (PTV1) was given 60 Gy (2.0 Gy per fraction) and low-risk planning target volume (PTV2) was given 54 Gy (1.8 Gy per fraction). The intensity-modulated planning system and SMG-sparing technique were applied to constrain radiation doses to ipsilateral SMG and SLG, while ensuring that the coverage of targets was more than 97%. The mean dose constraints for the spared SMG were 28 Gy; more information about plan optimization and dose-volume constraints for organs at risk were detailed in a previous publication (19). RT was delivered over one fraction daily, five fractions weekly. Daily image-guided RT was implemented to verify setup before each fraction. Neither salivary gland stimulators nor protectors were permitted.

MR Scan Protocol
All patients were scheduled for six MRI examinations with the same scan protocols: before IC, before RT, mid-RT (in the middle of the RT course), post-RT (immediately after RT), 1 month (1m-RT), and 3 months (3m-RT) post-RT. All MRI examinations were performed on a 3.0-T MR scanner (Signa HDx, GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Conventional MRI sequences, including axial, sagittal, and coronal T2-weighted 2D turbo spin-echo images, were obtained with a 16-channel neurovascular head and neck array coil. The DKI sequence was performed using a single-shot spin echo-planar imaging sequence with fast suppression (TR = 3,500 ms, TE = 86.8 ms, slice thickness = 6.0, slice gap = 1.0, bandwidth = 250.0, b values = 1, 500, 1,000, and 2,000 mm²/s). The diffusion gradients were applied in three orthogonal gradient diffusion directions; the images range from the skull base to the level of the glottis. The DKI acquisition time was 4:09.

Data Analysis
The DKI parameter maps were obtained using the Functool software (Advanced Workstation version 4.6, GE Healthcare). The DKI model yielded two variables while S0 is known, according to the following equation: $S_i = S_0 \times \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}b^2 \times D^2 \times K\right)$ (20), with $S_0$, $D$, and $K$ as fitting variables, where $S_i$ is the signal at a particular b value and $S_0$ is the baseline signal without diffusion gradient. Accordingly, $D$ is diffusivity and $K$ describes peakedness of a probability of water distribution. The parameter MD is the mean diffusion coefficient in normal diffusion after correcting the non-Gaussian effect, while MK is the mean kurtosis reflecting non-Gaussian diffusion behavior.

Two radiologists who had at least 8 years of experience in head and neck MR imaging independently analyzed MR images blind to clinical data. Taking axial T2 images as a reference, regions of interest (ROIs) were manually drawn on three slices of DKI parameter maps from the upper, middle, and lower levels of bilateral PG, SMG, and SLG (less than three slices of ROIs were acceptable for SLG because of its small volume constraints) to encompass as much of the gland parenchyma as possible. To reduce measurement inaccuracy, the major vessels in the glands were instructed to be excluded (Figure 1). The average of MD and MK values of three slices was recorded as the value of every single salivary gland.

XQ Scores and SFR Measurement
All participants were instructed to complete the patient-reported xerostomia questionnaire (XQ) at each follow-up time point. The modified XQ was based on a version of the University of Michigan that has been validated and applied for years in the clinic (6, 10, 19). Briefly, the XQ was composed of 10 items in total, 5 items are associated with eating, speaking, swallowing, and chewing while the remaining 5 items are about the feeling of xerostomia at rest. Each response is scored on a four-point scale ranging from 0 to 3, with higher scores indicating more severe xerostomia. The results of ten questions were added together to get a summary score ranging from 0 to 30.

SFR measurements were taken at each follow-up time point before the MRI scan. Patients were requested to spit saliva into a graded tube for 5 min under unstimulated settings, then repeat the procedure while salivary glands were stimulated by dipping a cotton bud into 2% citric acid dipped on the tongue tip once every 20 s. The volume of saliva collected was computed and recorded as SFR under unstimulated (uSFR) and stimulated conditions (sSFR) circumstances, respectively.

The change ratio of MD and MK of the salivary glands, uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores from pre-RT to post-RT was calculated as the following equation:

$$\Delta_{\text{parameters}} \% = \frac{(\text{post}(\text{parameters}) - \text{pre}(\text{parameters}))}{\text{pre}(\text{parameters})} \times 100$$

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed in R software (version 4.1.0; http://www.r-project.org). “multcomp”, “ggpubr”, “ggplot2”, “patchwork”, “pheatmap”, and “psych” packages were used for analysis. At baseline, paired t-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test (according to the normality of data distributed) was selected to compare MD and MK of bilateral salivary glands at each time point. Dynamic changes in MD and MK of the salivary glands, uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores during the follow-up period were analyzed by Friedman test or Kruskal–Wallis test. The correlation analyses were performed using Pearson correlation. Unlike the one-to-one correspondence that exists between the dose of bilateral salivary glands with the change ratio of DKI metrics of bilateral salivary glands. The damage of both the left and right salivary glands as a whole contributes to the change in uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores. Hence, the final metrics’ value of the
salivary gland was calculated by averaging the values of the left and right glands to analyze correlation coefficients between the change ratio of DKI metrics with uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores. The intra-observer reproducibility of MD/MK of bilateral PGs, SMGs, and SLGs were analyzed using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). Two-sided p-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Eight of 50 NPC patients were excluded (3 patients failed to complete all MRI scans, 1 patient due to bone metastasis, 2 withdrew informed consent, and the remaining 2 had poor-quality images). The highest T and N stage was T2 in 50.4% of patients and N2 in 42.8% of patients. Non-cornification undifferentiated subtypes accounted for 50% of NPC patients. The mean dose of bilateral SMGs was the highest among the major salivary glands: 50.20 Gy (22.82–64.77) and 47.89 Gy (25.46–66.45), respectively (demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1).

Intra-reproducibility of MD values of the major salivary glands was excellent, and ICCs of PG-R, PG-L, SMG-R, SMG-L, SLG-R, and SLG-L were 0.88, 0.91, 0.87, 0.92, 0.84, and 0.85 respectively. As for MK values, ICCs were 0.87, 0.86, 0.87, 0.86, 0.89, and 0.83. The metrics' values of glands were recorded as the mean of two radiologists' measurements.

FIGURE 1 | Illustrations of the region of interest of the right parotid gland (PG), sublingual gland (SLG), and submandibular gland (SMG) (yellow solid arrow). DKI, diffusion kurtosis imaging; MD, mean diffusion; MK, mean kurtosis.
Comparison of MD/MK of the Salivary Glands at Baseline

There were no significant differences in MD and MK between the left and right salivary glands (p > 0.05 for all). Compared with ipsilateral SMG, MK of PG was significantly higher while MD was lower (p < 0.001 for all). Compared with ipsilateral SLG, MK of PG was significantly higher, while MD was lower (p < 0.001 for all). However, there were no significant differences between MD and MK of SLG and SMG (Table 2, Figure 2).

The Dynamic Change of MD and MK of the Salivary Glands, uSFR, sSFR, and XQ Scores

At each time point, sSFR was significantly higher than uSFR (p < 0.001, for all). Compared with pre-IC, MD and MK of bilateral PG at post-RT showed no significant differences. Significant changes were found in MD and MK of bilateral SMG and SLG between the time point of pre-IC and pre-RT, except for MK of the right SLG (p = 0.078) and MK of the left SLG (p = 0.16). In addition, no significant difference was found in uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores before and after IC.

Compared with pre-RT, MD of bilateral PG, SMG, and SLG at mid-RT and post-RT was significantly increased while MK decreased (p < 0.05 for all). Significant differences were also detected in MD and MK of all salivary glands except for MK of the right SLG (p = 0.08) between mid-RT and post-RT. There were also significant differences for uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores between mid-RT and post-RT.

**Table 1** | Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients.

| Characteristics | Value |
|-----------------|-------|
| **Age** | 43.19 ± 12.12 |
| **Gender** | Male 33 (78.6%), Female 9 (21.4%) |
| **AJCC T stage** | T1 2 (4.8%), T2 22 (50.4%), T3 13 (30.9%), T4 5 (11.9%) |
| **AJCC N stage** | ND 1 (2.4%), N1 7 (16.7%), N2 18 (42.8%), N3 16 (38.1%) |
| **Pathological classification** | Non-comitment undifferentiated 21 (50%), Low differentiated 17 (40.5%), Moderately differentiated 4 (9.5%) |
| **Mean dose of bilateral salivary glands** | PG-R 32.58 Gy (26.06–41.44), PG-L 33.01 Gy (27.34–42.56), SMG-R 50.20 Gy (22.82–64.77), SMG-L 47.89 Gy (25.46–66.45), SLG-R 31.35 Gy (17.46–57.66), SLG-L 28.39 Gy (17.17–45.81) |

**Table 2** | MD and MK of bilateral PGs, SMGs, and SLGs with uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores at each time point.

| Time | PG | SMG | SLG | PG | SMG | SLG | uSFR | sSFR | XQ |
|------|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|------|------|----|
| t1   | 1.07 ± 0.22bc | 1.37 ± 0.23 | 1.48 ± 0.25 | 1.08 ± 0.22 | 1.37 ± 0.23 | 1.48 ± 0.25 | 1.34 ± 0.21 | 1.30 ± 0.24 | 1.03 ± 0.15bc |
| t2   | 1.11 ± 0.22bc | 1.38 ± 0.23 | 1.50 ± 0.25 | 1.10 ± 0.22 | 1.38 ± 0.23 | 1.50 ± 0.25 | 1.26 ± 0.20 | 1.26 ± 0.20 | 1.04 ± 0.15bc |
| t3   | 1.38 ± 0.31c | 1.38 ± 0.31bc | 1.70 ± 0.31d | 1.65 ± 0.26d | 1.57 ± 0.29 | 1.55 ± 0.29 | 0.90 ± 0.18bc | 0.90 ± 0.18bc | 1.53 ± 0.15bc |
| t4   | 1.56 ± 0.32c | 1.55 ± 0.33b | 1.94 ± 0.33d | 1.89 ± 0.30d | 1.74 ± 0.27 | 1.68 ± 0.30 | 0.83 ± 0.17bc | 0.82 ± 0.17bc | 1.48 ± 0.15bc |
| t5   | 1.66 ± 0.26bc | 1.63 ± 0.28c | 1.99 ± 0.28a | 1.93 ± 0.29 | 1.80 ± 0.26 | 1.73 ± 0.30 | 0.75 ± 0.15 abc | 0.77 ± 0.15bc | 1.45 ± 0.15bc |
| t6   | 1.70 ± 0.26bc | 1.68 ± 0.24b | 2.05 ± 0.24d | 1.99 ± 0.32d | 1.85 ± 0.27a | 1.75 ± 0.34 | 0.74 ± 0.14bc | 0.74 ± 0.14bc | 1.48 ± 0.15bc |

**MD, mean diffusion; MK, mean kurtosis. PG, parotid gland; SMG, submandibular gland; SLG, sublingual gland; R, right; L, left.**

**Notes:** p < 0.05 indicates a significant difference.
between the time points of pre-RT, mid-RT, and post-RT ($p < 0.05$ for all).

Compared with post-RT (t4), no significant differences were detected in MD and MK of the bilateral PG, SMG, and SLG except for MK-PG-R ($p = 0.032$) at 1m-RT. There were no significant differences between 1m-RT and 3m-RT for MD and MK of all salivary glands. Compared with post-RT, the trend of a decrease in uSFR was observed at 1m-RT but did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.071$), while a significant decrease was found in sSFR ($p < 0.001$). XQ scores between time points of post-RT and 1m-RT showed no significant differences. There were also no significant differences between 1m-RT and 3m-RT in uSFR, sSFR, and XQ (Table 2, Figure 4, and Supplementary Material).

Correlations Between the Change Ratio of DKI Metrics From Pre-RT to Post-RT With Dose of Salivary Glands

The change ratio of MD increased while the change ratio of MK decreased with the increasing dose of bilateral PG, SMG, and SLG (except for MK of bilateral SLG) (Figure 5). Moderate-strong correlations were detected in $\Delta$MD-PG-R%, $\Delta$MK-PG-R%, $\Delta$MD-PG-L%, $\Delta$MK-PG-L%, $\Delta$MD-SMG-R%, $\Delta$MK-SMG-R%, $\Delta$MD-SMG-L%, $\Delta$MK-SMG-L%, and $\Delta$MD-SLG-R%, with correlation coefficients ($p < 0.05$ for all) ranging from 0.401 to 0.714. No significant correlation was found in $\Delta$MK-SLG-R%, $\Delta$MD-SLG-L%, and $\Delta$MK-SLG-L% ($p > 0.05$) (detailed information seen in Table 3, Figure 6A).

Correlations Between the Change Ratio of the DKI Metrics With the Change Ratio of uSFR, sSFR, and XQ Scores From Pre-RT to Post-RT

$\Delta$uSFR% was correlated with $\Delta$MD-SMG% ($p = 0.01$), $\Delta$MD-SLG% ($p < 0.001$), and $\Delta$MK-SMG% ($p < 0.001$), with correlation coefficients of $-0.39$, $-0.532$, and $0.493$ respectively. $\Delta$sSFR% was correlated with $\Delta$MD-PG% ($p = 0.001$), $\Delta$MD-SMG% ($p = 0.015$), and $\Delta$MK-PG% ($p < 0.001$), with correlation coefficients of $-0.509$, $-0.221$, and $0.524$, respectively. $\Delta$XQ% was only correlated with $\Delta$MK-PG% ($p = 0.004$), with correlation coefficients of $0.433$ (detailed information seen in Table 4, Figure 6B).
DISCUSSION

This prospective study characterized the changing trend of MD and MK in bilateral major salivary glands, uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores at the time of pre-IC, pre-RT, mid-RT, post-RT, 1m-RT, and 3m-RT. The most important finding of the present study lay in the potential value in tracking and monitoring the change of salivary glands during treatment and follow-up periods. The change ratio of DKI metrics (MD/MK) from pre-RT to post-RT was significantly correlated with the dose of bilateral salivary
Figure 4 | (A–I) The pairwise comparisons of MD and MK of left PG, SMG, SLG, and uSFR, sSFR, and XO between different time points; $p < 0.05$ denotes significant differences between two time points linked together. Abbreviations are referred to in Table 2.
glands, and the change ratio of uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores. In addition, we also confirmed an interesting result that had never been reported before that IC does have influences on salivary glands from the perspective of imaging in NPC.

The present study reconfirmed that there were no significant differences in MD and MK between the right and left sides of PG, SMG, and SLG. This finding was in accordance with previous investigations in terms of ADC (10, 22). In general, MD was

FIGURE 5 | Scatter dot plots of the change ratio of MD and MK versus dose of bilateral PGs, SMGs, and SLGs. Abbreviations are referred to in Table 2.
significantly higher in SMG and SLG than that in PG, which was also in line with previous studies (6, 10, 22). It is thought to reflect the hallmark of the lower proportional amount of exocellular water (22) or higher fat content of PGs (6). As MK value reflects tissue structural complexity to some extent (20), less structural complexity induces less non-Gaussian water molecular diffusion, resulting in a lower MK. In our study, the MK was lower in SMG and SLG than PG, which might be ascribed to the different acinar cells in these glands. PG is composed of serous acini while SMG and SLG are mixed glands containing both mucous and serous acini (23). These assumptions also corresponded to the results that there were no differences in MD/MK between SMG and SLG in the study. Additionally, we also noticed that sSFR was significantly higher than uSFR at each time point in line with the previous studies (10).

After two cycles of IC, significant differences were detected in MD and MK of bilateral SMG and MD of SLG. Meanwhile, MD tends to increase over time, while the tendency of MK was reversed. These results were supported by a study reported by Jensen et al. who indicated that the acinar and ductal cell functions could be affected by adjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancer (11). However, our study showed no differences in uSFR, sSFR, and XQ after IC. A potential explanation for the conflicting results of SFR/XQ and DKI might be that the sensitivity of SFR or XQ is worse than that of DKI in detecting microstructural changes of the acinar cells. The research failed to detect a significant difference in MK of SLG before and after IC, which was most likely because MK may be sensitive to tumor tissue but seems to be less sensitive to normal structures like the salivary glands. Additionally, the tiny size of the sublingual gland might have biased the ultimate result caused by measurement error. Generally, we believe that IC indeed has an adverse effect on the salivary glands in patients with nasopharyngeal cancer. However, similar findings have not been documented to our knowledge.

The study found that MD of bilateral PG, SMG, and SLG at mid-RT (t3) and post-RT (t4) significantly increased while MK decreased compared with that of pre-RT, and the comparison between mid- and post-RT was also significant. The trend of MD is in accord with the change in ADC that had been reported in a previous study (10), which also corroborates the research on evaluation of RT-induced salivary gland damage (21, 24, 25). The increase in MD suggested increased water diffusivity as a result of RT-induced apoptosis of acinar cells and decrease in tissue cellular packing density (6, 10, 22) while reduced MK depends on the structural complexity of the glands (20, 21). Decreased diffusion kurtosis together with increased mean diffusivity may indicate increased extracellular space within the voxel of interest. The values of uSFR and sSFR decreased while XQ increased distinctly, which agreed with evidence from clinical observation (26), providing more corroboration of these results in terms of

### TABLE 3 | Correlation analysis of the change ratio of the DKI metrics of the salivary glands from pre-RT to post-RT with the dose of ipsilateral salivary glands.

|  | r   | p    |
|---|------|------|
| ΔMD-PG-R% | 0.605 | 0.000 |
| ΔMK-PG-R% | 0.616 | 0.000 |
| ΔMD-PG-L% | 0.714 | 0.000 |
| ΔMK-PG-L% | 0.349 | 0.023 |
| ΔMD-SMG-R% | 0.401 | 0.008 |
| ΔMK-SMG-R% | 0.378 | 0.013 |
| ΔMD-SMG-L% | 0.596 | 0.000 |
| ΔMK-SMG-L% | 0.665 | 0.000 |
| ΔMD-SLG-R% | 0.485 | 0.001 |
| ΔMK-SLG-R% | 0.096 | 0.544 |
| ΔMD-SLG-L% | 0.256 | 0.097 |
| ΔMK-SLG-L% | 0.126 | 0.425 |

r represents correlation coefficients. The correlation analysis was conducted between the change ratio of the DKI parameters (MD and MK) from pre-RT to post-RT with the dose of ipsilateral salivary glands. The bold values represent p<0.05. Abbreviations are referred to in Table 2.

![FIGURE 6](https://www.frontiersin.org/)

### FIGURE 6 | (A) Heatmap of the correlation coefficients between the change ratio of DKI metrics (MD and MK) from pre-RT to post-RT and dose of bilateral salivary glands. (B) Heatmap of the correlation coefficients between the change ratio of DKI metrics (MD and MK) of the salivary glands and the change ratio of uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores from pre-RT to post-RT. Abbreviations are referred to in Table 2.)
The present study is not without its limitations. First of all, the relatively small sample size constrained the generalizability of the results to some extent, and our findings need to be verified by a larger sample size study. Secondly, although this research was aimed to evaluate acute xerostomia within 3 months after RT, late xerostomia is even more important for NPC patients. Murthy et al. suggested that SMG function declines after IMRT with a nadir at 12 months and there is incomplete recovery over time with continued improvement over 24 months (28). Thus, an extension of follow-up periods to 24 months is necessary to identify salivary glands’ hypofunction recovery. Last but not least, the stem/progenitor cells in the parotid gland, which are of capacity to regenerate, are more sensitive to ionizing radiation (27). Thus, dividing salivary glands into radio-sensitive and insensitive zones according to the distribution of stem/progenitor cells for measurement of MD and MK is believed to be more accurate in evaluating salivary gland damage.

### Conclusion

Our study showed that MD of PG was lower while MK was higher than that of ipsilateral SMG and SLG at baseline. No significant differences were detected in MD/MK of ipsilateral SMG and SLG. The sSFR was significantly higher than the uSFR at each time point. MD of the salivary glands and XQ scores increased while MK, uSFR, and sSFR decreased significantly after IC+CCRT. The change ratio of DKI metrics (MD/MK) was significantly correlated with the dose of bilateral salivary glands and the change ratio of uSFR, sSFR, and XQ scores. DKI is a promising tool for tracking and monitoring the acute damage of PG, SMG, and SLG induced by IC+CCRT in NPC patients.
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