Post-translational modifications in PrP expand the conformational diversity of prions in vivo
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Misfolded prion protein aggregates (PrPSc) show remarkable structural diversity and are associated with highly variable disease phenotypes. Similarly, other proteins, including amyloid-β, tau, α-synuclein, and serum amyloid A, misfold into distinct conformers linked to different clinical diseases through poorly understood mechanisms. Here we use mice expressing glycosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchorless prion protein, PrPc, together with hydrogen-deuterium exchange coupled with mass spectrometry (HXMS) and a battery of biochemical and biophysical tools to investigate how post-translational modifications impact the aggregated prion protein properties and disease phenotype. Four GPI-anchorless prion strains caused a nearly identical clinical and pathological disease phenotype, yet maintained their structural diversity in the anchorless state. HXMS studies revealed that GPI-anchorless PrPSc is characterized by substantially higher protection against hydrogen/deuterium exchange in the C-terminal region near the N-glycan sites, suggesting this region had become more ordered in the anchorless state. For one strain, passage of GPI-anchorless prions into wild type mice led to the emergence of a novel strain with a unique biochemical and phenotypic signature. For the new strain, histidine hydrogen-deuterium mass spectrometry revealed altered packing arrangements of β-sheets that encompass residues 139 and 186 of PrPSc. These findings show how variation in post-translational modifications may explain the emergence of new protein conformations in vivo and also provide a basis for understanding how the misfolded protein structure impacts the disease.

Infectious prions are composed of aggregated prion protein, PrPSc, and cause rapidly progressive and fatal neurodegenerative diseases1,2. Prion disorders are extraordinarily diverse, both clinically and pathologically, even among individuals expressing identical prion protein sequences. The prion protein can misfold into morphologically and biophysically distinct aggregates. Similarly, recent evidence from multiple laboratories indicates that α-synuclein, amyloid-β, and tau aggregates also exist in multiple conformations3–7, which may explain the variable clinical presentation of affected patients. In prion disease, PrPSc conformational differences are thought to be the main factor underlying the heterogeneity in the clinical phenotypes and brain lesions, even though non-proteinaceous cofactors may also contribute to this heterogeneity8–10. Distinct PrPSc conformations often co-exist, for example, two biochemically distinct subtypes of prion aggregates co-occur in the brain of approximately 39% of patients with sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (sCJD)11–15, the most common prion disease in humans. Yet the origin of these diverse protein conformational subtypes that emerge within an individual or within a population, and how these markedly different structures impact the disease outcome, is unclear. Here we used a battery of biochemical and biophysical tools to investigate how post-translational modifications can generate new conformational variants of PrPSc in vivo.

The cellular prion protein, PrPc, consists of approximately 210 amino acids, arranged as a disordered N-terminal domain and a highly ordered C-terminal domain having three α-helices and a short, antiparallel
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PrPSc is post-translationally modified during biosynthesis by the addition of zero to two N-linked glycans and a GPI anchor that tethers PrP to the outer leaflet of the cell membrane. The number of glycans and the presence of a GPI anchor vary among the PrP molecules expressed in the brain. For example, GPI-anchorless PrP is normally shed from various cell types, including neurons and splenocytes, and approximately 10% of PrP lacks the GPI-anchor in brain extracts. In a hamster prion model, most brain-derived PrPSc possesses a GPI-anchor yet approximately 15% is anchorless22.

Certain post-translational modifications (PTMs), such as phosphorylation and deamidation, impact the fold of proteins during aggregation, yet how the conformational spectrum of protein aggregates is altered by diverse post-translationally modified states is unresolved. For PrPSc, accumulating evidence argues that PTMs affect the conformation. Prion-infection of knock-in mice expressing PrP with either zero, one, or two glycans led to profound strain-dependent differences in the neurons targeted by the PrPSc 25–27. Similarly, prion-infection of transgenic mice that express a GPI-anchorless, poorly glycosylated PrP resulted in major morphological changes in the PrPSc aggregates: GPI-anchorless PrPSc formed fibrils, whereas in wild-type (WT) mice, PrPSc lacked fibrillar morphology in the brain in situ28–29. However, the question remains as to whether this major difference in the morphology, and likely quaternary structure, between the PrPSc aggregates in the GPI-anchor and anchorless states is accompanied by differences at the level of protein secondary and tertiary structure. Infrared spectroscopy studies of brain-derived GPI-anchorred and anchorless prions indicated similar global secondary structures for each of the two murine strains studied, and modest differences for a third strain30. Additionally, a study of GPI-anchorless prions passed back into WT mice revealed that 1 of 2 strains maintained the original cell tropism in a cell panel assay (CPA), suggesting that some structural features can be maintained in the anchorless state31.

We previously found that passage of one anchorless prion strain (GPI-RML, second passage) into WT mice may have led to the reemergence of the original RML strain32. However, here we found that structural variation in the original PrPSc aggregates persisted in the GPI-anchorless prions. To then determine whether passage of the GPI-anchorless prion strains into WT mice would lead to the convergence into a single strain, formation of new strains, or reversion to the original strains, we passaged the anchorless prions back into mice expressing GPI-anchorred PrP and compared the original and post-GPI-adapted strains using a battery of histological, biochemical, and biophysical assays. We found that the conformational fidelity of two strains was precisely maintained and readily recovered in WT mice, a third strain showed modest differences as compared to the original strain, and the fourth strain was profoundly modified by passage through the anchorless state as noted by HXMS, lesion profiles, and biochemical assays, resulting in the generation of a new prion strain in vivo.

Results

Diverse prion strains in GPI-anchorless mice show a convergence of clinical and histological features. The incubation period, clinical disease, and brain regions targeted (lesion profile) vary widely among mice infected with different mouse-adapted prion strains. To determine how the disease phenotype changes upon adaptation to a GPI-anchorless state, we serially-passaged RML, 22 L, and mCWD prions in Tg(GPI-PrP) mice, and refer to the resulting GPI-anchorless prions as GPI- RML, GPI- 22 L, and GPI- ME7. RML, 22 L, and ME7 are biologically cloned prion strains originating from sheep scrapie, whereas mouse-adapted mCWD originates from chronic wasting disease prions in mule deer33. The incubation period of the original strains in WT mice ranged from 141 to 550 days post-inoculation (dpi) and was consistent within a strain, but varied significantly among the strains (Fig. 1A) (mean 254 ± 42 dpi; P < 0.0001, ANOVA). The first 1–3 passages were previously reported for GPI- RML, GPI- 22 L, and GPI- mCWD34. However, here we found after 4–5 serial passages of all four strains in the GPI-anchorless mice, the incubation period converged to approximately 168 ± 4 dpi, although significant differences between the incubation periods for most groups were noted (Fig. 1B) (GPI- RML: 152 ± 5 dpi; GPI- 22 L: 176 ± 1 dpi; GPI- ME7: 182 ± 2 dpi; GPI- mCWD: 160 ± 7 dpi; for four strain comparison, p < 0.005, ANOVA).

Prion strains show a striking diversity in the PrPSc aggregate morphology and distribution throughout the brain. We and others previously found that RML, 22 L, and ME7 infection of WT mice resulted in fine to punctate β-sheet deposition diffusely in different brain regions (Fig. 1C), whereas mCWD formed large dense plaques in the corpus callosum, meningeal vessels, and periventricular regions. In the GPI-anchorless state, all four strains converged to similar large dense plaques within and surrounding blood vessels (Fig. 1C) and ventricles in most brain regions, and did not change over 4–5 passages. Plaques showed subtle differences in having sharp or indistinct plaque borders, depending on the strain. All four GPI-anchorless vasotropic strains showed plaques with little to no spongiform degeneration (Fig. 1D).

Although all four prion strains induced disease following similar incubation periods and showed similar angiocentric plaques in the GPI-anchorless mice, the fibril structure may differ among the strains. Luminescent conjugated polymers (LCP) are amyloid-binding molecules used to distinguish prion strains, as the emission spectra varies depending on the structure of the amyloid bound37. We compared the emission spectra of the LCP polythiophene acetic acid (PTAA), bound to prions in brain sections. After three serial passages, GPI- RML, GPI- ME7,
and GPI− mCWD prion plaques showed a similar green-shifted PTAA emission spectra that differed significantly from that of GPI− 22L, suggesting that certain anchorless PrP fibrils were structurally distinct (Fig. 2A).

**Strain-specific differences in the biochemical properties of GPI-anchorless PrPSc.** To determine whether GPI-anchorless PrPSc differs biochemically, we compared the resistance to proteinase K (PK) cleavage, electrophoretic mobility, and conformational stability among the four anchorless prion strains. PK cleaves the relatively unstructured N-terminal part of PrPSc, resulting in a PK-resistant PrPSc core that varies with the PrPSc conformation. We found that the PK-resistant PrPSc core size was similar for GPI− RML, GPI− 22L, and GPI− mCWD prions, yet was longer for the GPI− ME7 prions (Fig. 2B). This is consistent with previous HXMS studies.
which revealed that the PK-resistant core of PrPSc from GPI−RML and GPI−22 L prions starts at residue 81, whereas that of GPI-ME7 prions has an N-terminus starting at residue ~73/77.

In previous studies, GPI-RML PrPSc and GPI–22 L PrPSc were more stable in chaotropes than their respective anchored counterparts. Here the conformational stability of the 3rd passage of GPI-anchorless strains was assessed by denaturing aliquots of PrPSc with 0 to 6 M guanidine hydrochloride (GdnHCl), digesting with PK, quantifying the PrPSc, and calculating the \([\text{GdnHCl}}/2\) which is the GdnHCl concentration at which half the PrPSc remains. Consistent with previous measurements, we found that the absence of a GPI-anchor resulted in the formation of highly stable prions (Fig. S1A), with \([\text{GdnHCl}}/2\) values ranging from 1.87 (GPI−22 L) to 3.51 (GPI−mCWD), and strains were significantly different from each other (Fig. 2C and D).

The GPI-anchorless strains were also compared for their resistance to increasing concentrations of PK and, similar to the stability, GPI-anchorless prions varied from each other (Fig. 2E) and were generally more resistant to PK digestion than their GPI-anchored counterparts (Figs S1B and S2). Among the GPI-anchorless strains, GPI−mCWD and GPI−ME7 PrPSc were more resistant to PK digestion than GPI−RML or GPI−22 L prions (Fig. 2E). Thus, although the GPI-anchorless prions led to similar incubation periods and lesion distribution in the brain of infected mice, the differences in the LCP emission spectra, PK cleavage sites, conformational stability, and PK degradation profile collectively indicate that the GPI-anchorless fibrils remain as distinct conformational variants of PrPSc.

C-terminus of GPI-anchorless PrPSc is less accessible to H/D exchange following multiple passages. For all four strains, the incubation period progressively shortened with passage in the GPI-anchorless mice, although the plaque-bound PTAA emission spectra was unchanged (Fig. S3). Previously, GPI−RML showed a gradual decrease in the conformational stability with each subsequent passage in the Tg(GPI-PrP) mice, suggesting that the prion conformation changed during three serial passages. To test this possibility and gain insight into the nature of these conformational changes, we used two complementary methods: backbone amide hydrogen/deuterium exchange coupled with mass spectrometry (HXMS) and histidine hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (His-HXMS). These mass
spectrometry based methods are one of very few tools available for structural analysis of brain-derived protein aggregates such as PrPSc.

In the HXMS method, one monitors the rate of H/D exchange of backbone amide hydrogens. While this exchange is very fast within the unstructured protein regions, it becomes much slower for systematically hydrogen bonded β-strands that are building blocks of amyloids and constitute the proteinase-resistant core of PrPSc. In HXMS, the exchange rates are assessed for peptic fragments that can be separated by liquid chromatography and identified by MS, providing segment-specific structural information. Figure 3A shows the extent of deuterium incorporation after 24 hours of incubation in D2O for PrPSc derived from Tg(GPI-PrP) mice after the first and fourth passages of RML prions. The degree of exchange for both passages appears to be essentially identical for all peptic fragments derived from the PrP Sc region up to residue ~196. However, substantial passage-dependent differences could be detected within the C-terminal segment between residues ~197 and 223, with the fourth passage GPI–RML PrPSc consistently showing higher protection against H/D exchange compared to the first passage prions. As discussed previously, these C-terminal region-specific differences may reflect factors such as different proportions of residues involved in β-strands and turns between them and/or packing differences between individual β-strands.

The nature of structural differences between PrPSc from the first and fourth passage of RML prions in mice expressing GPI-anchorless PrP was further probed using His-HXMS. This relatively novel approach monitors the rate of H/D exchange for C2 protons in histidine imidazole rings. In HXMS, the exchange rates are assessed for peptic fragments that can be separated by liquid chromatography and identified by MS, providing segment-specific structural information. Figure 3B shows the extent of deuterium incorporation for individual His residues. Error bars indicate standard deviation based on three experiments. Student’s t-test: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Figure 3. Structural comparison of GPI-anchorless RML prions at different passages by mass spectrometry based methods. (A) Backbone amide H/D exchange for PrPSc purified from GPI-anchorless PrP mice after the first (red) and fourth (blue) passage of RML prions. The samples were incubated in D2O for 24 hours at 37 °C, and deuterium incorporation for each peptic fragment derived from these samples was assessed by mass spectrometry. (B) Histidine H/D exchange for first (red) and fourth (blue) passage PrPSc. The parameter t1/2 represents the half-time of exchange reaction for individual His residues. Error bars indicate standard deviation based on three experiments. Student’s t-test: *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
Figure 4. mCWD prions form a new prion strain following passage through the GPI-anchorless mice. (A) Survival curves of WT mice inoculated with RML, 22 L, ME7 and mCWD (Pre GPI−) and GPI-anchorless RML, 22 L, ME7 and mCWD (Post GPI−) prions. mCWD shows a significantly shortened survival time after passage through the anchorless state, whereas ME7 prions show a modest lengthening in the survival time. (B) Morphology of PrPSc aggregates in the brains of prion-infected WT mice inoculated with the original strains and GPI-anchorless prions. GPI− RML, 22 L, mCWD and ME7 passaged into WT mice show diffuse to punctate PrPSc aggregates (arrows) similar to those induced by the original RML, 22 L, and ME7 strains. In contrast, GPI-mCWD led to small clusters of plaques in WT mice, differing markedly from the large, discrete dense plaques of original GPI-anchored mCWD, although both plaque types were enriched in the corpus callosum (arrows). (C) Lesion profile of WT mice infected with Pre and Post GPI− prion strains. For the Pre and Post GPI− RML, 22 L and ME7 infected WT mice, the severity of spongiosis, astrogliosis, and PrPSc deposition were scored for nine brain regions (see Methods) and were nearly superimposable. For Post GPI− mCWD, the regions and severity of spongiosis, astrogliosis, and PrPSc distribution were more widespread and severe as compared to Pre GPI− mCWD. Emission plots show the mean from 4–7 mice per strain. (D) Emission spectra of PTAA-labelled Pre and Post GPI− prions in the brain of WT mice (for 22 L and ME7) and in the brain of Tg(a20) mice (for mCWD prions) measured at wavelengths from 500–700 nm. (E) Ratios at 538 nm/emission maximum are shown. Brain regions shown in (B): RML, Pre: thalamus, Post: dorsal striatum; 22 L, Pre and Post: cerebral cortex; ME7, Pre and Post: dorsal striatum; mCWD, Pre and Post: corpus callosum. N = 4–5 mice per group. Scale bar = 100 μm. Logrank test for survival times, *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

| Strain | WT mice | Tg(GPI− PrP) mice | WT mice challenged with the GPI-anchorless strain |
|--------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| RML    | 146 ± 3 | 142 ± 11 (5)*    | 147 ± 0                                       |
| 22L    | 141 ± 2 | 176 ± 1 (4)      | 167 ± 2                                       |
| mCWD   | 550 ± 5 | 160 ± 9 (4)      | 173 ± 4                                       |
| ME7    | 157 ± 2 | 182 ± 2 (4)      | 230 ± 2                                       |

Table 1. Survival periods in WT and Tg(GPI-PrP) mice (days). *Passage number is in parentheses.
GPI−RML PrPSc compared to first passage GPI−RML PrPSc, suggesting distinct packing arrangements and/or interfaces between β-sheets around residue 176.

**Defining the strain recovered after passage through the GPI-anchorless prion state.** To determine whether PrPSc conformation of the original strain may be retained in the GPI-anchorless fibrils, we inoculated all four anchorless prions into WT mice. We compared the incubation period, aggregate morphology and lesion profile with those induced by the original prion strain. Passage of GPI−RML prions into WT mice led to an incubation period that was similar to that observed with the original RML prions, at 146 ± 3 days versus 147 ± 0 days, respectively, whereas GPI−22L and GPI−ME7 prions led to a modestly prolonged incubation period in WT mice. In contrast, GPI−mCWD prions in WT mice showed a dramatic 3-fold reduction in the incubation period when compared to the original strain in WT mice (Fig. 4A and Table 1).

Transmission barriers in prion disease are suggested by a progressive decrease in the incubation period upon serial passage. To determine whether the incubation period would decrease with serial passage, we performed two serial passages of the GPI-anchorless prions in tg20 mice, which overexpress WT PrP by approximately 4−6 fold51. GPI−RML and GPI−mCWD prions in tg20 mice showed only subtle differences in the incubation period between the first and second passages suggestive of a stable strain, whereas GPI−22L and GPI−ME7 prions showed a decrease in the incubation period upon second passage (Fig. S4).

Despite the slight change in incubation period for the 22L and ME7 prions following passage through the anchorless state, the PrPSc aggregate morphology and lesion profiles were identical to the original strains. (Fig. 4A). WT mice inoculated with GPI−22L and GPI−ME7 prions showed fine to coarse PrPSc aggregates (Fig. 4B) withpongiform change and astrogliosis predominating in regions typical of the original 22L and ME7 (Fig. 4C). Similarly, GPI−RML prions in WT mice showed diffuse aggregates similar to the original RML strain with slight differences in the severity of gliosis and PrPSc deposition in the cerebellar peduncle (Fig. 4B and C). Remarkably, the pathology in WT mice inoculated with GPI−mCWD prions had markedly changed, as plaques were widespread and appeared smaller and less distinctly defined, clearly differing from the sharply demarcated, dense congophilic plaques typical of mCWD (Fig. 4B). Similar histological findings were also observed in tg20 mice inoculated with GPI−mCWD (Fig. S5A), further supporting that a novel mCWD prion strain arose or was selected following passage through the GPI-anchorless state. The lesion profile of the mCWD strain also changed between the first and second passage, with increased PrPSc deposition and gliosis on the second passage in nearly every brain region (Fig. S5A and B). However, there were no changes in the electrophoretic mobility of the PrPSc between the 1st and 2nd passage of GPI−mCWD (Fig. S5C).

The PTAA emission spectra for the WT mice infected with GPI−22L or GPI−ME7 prions were nearly indistinguishable from that of the original 22L and ME7 strains (Fig. 4D and E), while no PTAA labelling of the GPI−RML in WT mice was detected, as previously observed for RML52. In contrast, the GPI−mCWD in tg20 mice showed a significantly red-shifted emission spectra of PTAA bound to PrPSc as compared to that of the original 2mCWD strain in tg20 mouse (Fig. 4D and E).

**Certain prions differ biochemically after passage through the GPI-anchorless state.** To assess the biochemical properties of the GPI-anchorless prions in WT mice, the electrophoretic mobility, conformational stability, glycoprofile, and PK resistance of PrPSc were measured. The electrophoretic mobility of the GPI−RML, GPI−22L and GPI−ME7 PrPSc in WT mice resembled that observed for original anchored prions (Fig. S5A). The conformational stability of the GPI−RML and GPI−22L PrPSc in WT mice revealed a low resistance to GdnHCl denaturation, similar to that of the original anchored RML and 22L PrPSc ([GdnHCl]1/2 values of pre- and post- GPI−RML: 0.98 versus 1.07; pre- and post- GPI−22L: 1.05 versus 0.90) (Fig. 5B). In contrast, GPI−ME7 and GPI−mCWD prions in WT mice yielded prions that were less stable than the original ME7 and mCWD (ME7: pre-GPI−: 2.20 versus post-GPI−: 1.77; mCWD: pre-GPI−: 1.54 versus post-GPI−: 1.01) (Fig. 5B). Intriguingly, the glycoprofile of GPI−mCWD PrPSc in WT mice differed from the original mCWD PrPSc in WT mice in showing a relative increase in the mono- and unglycosylated bands (Fig. 5C).

Consistent with the stability and glycoprofile results for three strains, the resistance to PK degradation also reverted back to the low PK resistance observed for the original WT strains, RML, 22L, and ME7 (Pre GPI−versus Post GPI−, at 10 μg/ml PK, P < 0.001; at 50 μg/ml PK, P < 0.05; Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-tests) (Fig. 5D and S2B). The mCWD strain became more resistant to PK degradation after passage through the anchorless state, again suggesting that the mCWD prions had either formed a new structure, or that an mCWD substrain was selected by GPI-anchorless PrPSc (Pre GPI−versus Post GPI−mCWD at 10, 50, and 100 μg/ml PK: P < 0.001; at 250 μg/ml PK, P < 0.01; Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-tests) (Fig. 5D).

Finally, we measured the ratio of soluble to insoluble PrPSc for each strain before and after passage through the GPI-anchorless state. Although there were no differences in the ratio of soluble to insoluble PrPSc for RML, 22L, or ME7 strains, mCWD prions became significantly more soluble following passage through the GPI-anchorless state (Fig. 5E and F).

**His-HXMS analysis of GPI-anchorred prion strains.** The biochemical assays suggest that the PrPSc aggregate structure of at least some prion strains could be altered by pre-passage through mice expressing GPI-anchorless PrP. To further explore this issue, we performed His-HXMS measurements on PrPSc from the original (GPI-anchorred) prions and the same strains after passage through the GPI-anchorless state. These experiments revealed that, for most strains studied, this pre-passage has a significant effect on the environment of His side chains in PrPSc aggregates (Fig. 6), indicating differences in PrPSc structure at the level of packing arrangements and interfaces between β-sheets (see discussion of the His-HXMS method above). These differences are particularly pronounced around His139 for mCWD prions and, to a somewhat lesser degree, ME7. Structural
Figure 5. mCWD prions post-GPI-passage differ biochemically from the original mCWD strain.

(A) The Post GPI− RML, −22L, −ME7 and −mCWD strains show no differences in electrophoretic mobility as compared to the original strains. (B) (GdnHCl1/2) of Pre and Post GPI− strains show that RML and 22 L have not changed following passage through an anchorless state whereas ME7 and mCWD prions are less stable than the original strain. (C) The di-, mono-, and unglycosylated PrP bands of PK-digested Pre and Post GPI− RML, −22L, −ME7 and −mCWD strains strains were measured following western blotting. Triplots show similar glycoform profiles for all strains except mCWD, which varied significantly from the original strain (2-tailed, unpaired Student's t test). (D) PK-resistance of Post GPI− RML and −22L were similar to their respective Pre GPI− strains, while Post GPI-ME7 show lower PK resistance than -ME7 and Post GPI-mCWD prions show...
higher PK resistance than mCWD. Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-tests of Pre and Post GPI strains revealed significant differences in RML at 10 and 50 μg/ml PK, and mCWD at 10, 50, and 100 μg/ml and at 250 μg/ml PK. (E) Western blots show the solubility of Pre and Post GPI RML, 22 L, ME7 and mCWD strains. S: supernatant and P: pellet. (F) Quantification of the pellet fraction for all strains (3 animals per strain). Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-tests of Pre and Post GPI strains. S: supernatant and P: pellet. (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001).

Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-tests of Pre and Post GPI strains. S: supernatant and P: pellet. (*) Quantification of the pellet fraction for all strains (3 animals per strain).

Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni post-tests of Pre and Post GPI strains revealed significant differences in the PrPSc solubility of Pre- and Post GPI-mCWD prions. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.

Discussion

Protein aggregates, including those composed of tau, amyloid-β, and α-synuclein can form conformationally distinct structures11–15, yet the factors contributing to this conformational diversity are poorly understood. The prion protein also misfolds into a wide range of conformations, often with multiple forms co-occurring within an individual6–10. Here we show that four prion strains serially passaged in GPI-anchorless mice led to fibrillar, angiocentric prions associated with a nearly identical clinical and pathological disease phenotype. However, these four fibrillar strains differed biochemically, suggesting that the secondary and tertiary structure varied within the fibrils, in agreement with IR studies8,9.

On first passage into the GPI-anchorless mice, all four strains switched to a new pathological phenotype, with prions arranged in large angiocentric plaques throughout the brain, as previously reported28,32. Anchorless plaques are composed of fibrils29, suggesting that the GPI-anchor obstructs fibril assembly in WT mice. Each subsequent prion passage in the GPI-anchorless mice led to a decrease in the incubation period and conformational stability of PrPSc, although the plaques appeared unchanged histologically and by PTAA emission spectral analysis. HXMS experiments revealed a higher degree of protection against H/D exchange in the C-terminal region of PrPSc near the N-glycan sites (N180, N196) after multiple passages, suggesting that the C-terminal region had become more ordered with passage. Furthermore, differences were observed in the packing of the β-sheets around His176 (i.e., in the vicinity of one of the glycosylation sites). Thus, the poorly glycosylated state of the GPI-anchorless prions may enable a more ordered packing arrangement in the distal C-terminus.

To determine whether the PrPSc structure of the original strain was maintained within the fibril, GPI-anchorless prions were passaged back to WT mice. Two strains, RML and 22 L, showed little or no change following passage through an anchorless state. The incubation period, pathology, biochemical profile and certain biophysical characteristics of PrPSc such as conformational stability were nearly identical to those in the corresponding original strains and were similar to an earlier passage of GPI-RML into WT mice16, even though the His-HXMS data suggest modest packing alteration for RML PrPSc after passage through the anchorless state. These observations, together with the finding that global secondary structures of anchored and anchorless RML and 22 L prions are similar37, suggest that these anchorless prions maintain structural features of the original RML and 22 L strains, even though they assemble into fibrils. The morphological differences between the GPI-anchored and anchorless PrPSc (subfibrils versus fibrils) are likely related to distinct packing arrangements of β-sheets.

The post-GPI ME7 prion strain subtly differed from the original strain, but these differences were less dramatic compared to those observed for mCWD. The incubation period had become prolonged and the PrPSc stability was lower than for the original ME7, suggesting that the structure had changed. This structural alteration of PrPSc was further confirmed by His-HXMS data that indicate distinct packing arrangements, especially around His139. In this context, it should be noted that an elegant report by Baron and colleagues using infrared (IR) spectroscopy on highly purified anchored and anchorless ME7 PrPSc noted subtle differences in the secondary structure of ME7 following conversion into GPI-anchorless ME7, indicating that the anchorless state may alter the structure of certain prion strains30. The structure of GPI-anchorized ME7 prions emerging upon passage of GPI-anchorless ME7 in WT mice was not examined by IR spectroscopy.

Mahal and colleagues also performed two serial passages of RML and ME7 in GPI-anchorless mice and found that passage of anchorless RML into WT mice led to a novel strain, based on Cell Panel Assay (CPA) studies of cell tropism31. In the present study, differences in the aggregate morphology or lesion profile in mice infected with GPI RML were not detected, however there were subtle differences in the His-HXMS of the aggregates that may translate into the differences reported with the CPA. Both studies were in agreement in that the incubation period, western blotting, and conformational stability assay were unchanged in anchorless RML-infected WT mice. The CPA comparison of the original and Post-GPI passaged ME7 strain showed no differences in the strain, whereas we report subtle differences in the biological readout (incubation period) and in the conformational stability of the prions, as well as differences in the His-HXMS of pre- and post-GPI passaged ME7 around His139.

Post-GPI mCWD prions led to the emergence of a new strain in WT mice by all measurements. GPI-mCWD-infected WT mice showed marked alterations in the biochemical and biophysical properties of PrPSc and profound changes in the incubation period, clinical disease, and pathology. Certain aspects of the plaque morphology and brain deposition pattern observed for the new mCWD strain were reminiscent of the original strain (for example, plaque deposition in the corpus callosum), suggesting that some structural features of the original mCWD PrPSc might have been retained. Biochemical studies indicated that the Post GPI mCWD PrPSc was more soluble and PK resistant, and less stable in chaotropes, and had an altered glycosylation profile and PTAA emission spectra. Additionally, the microenvironments (water accessibility) of histidine side chains at position 139 and, to a lesser degree 186, were markedly different in the original and post GPI mCWD PrPSc (Fig. 6), indicating altered packing arrangements of β-sheets in the vicinity of residues 139 and 186. Thus, PrPSc generated by the GPI-mCWD prions in WT mice assembled into a structure distinct from the original mCWD,

differences are also detectable by His-HXMS between pre- and post- GPI RML PrPSc, even though they appear to be more modest and localized to a more C-terminal part of the protein (i.e., around His176 and His186). In contrast, no such differences could be detected for PrPSc associated with 22 L prions.
and the interfaces between and specific structural features such as the tertiary structure of individual monomers, their packing arrangement, spread to the brain. Further studies are needed to determine the relationship between the oligomerization state may be one of the important determinants governing the disease incubation period, organ tropism, and aggregate

It is believed that the prion disease phenotype may be largely determined by the PrPSc oligomerization state, indicating that the variation in PTMs either generated or selected (from substrains) a new, rapidly replicating strain.

GPI-anchorless mice express poorly glycosylated PrP. Altering PrP glycans modifies the prion conformation in knock-in mice expressing zero, one, or two glycans,

yet interestingly, none of the mice expressing specific glycans formed strictly angiocentric plaques as observed in the GPI-anchorless mice, suggesting this vascular tropism is due to the lack of a membrane anchor. Considering that approximately 10–15% of PrPSc is released from the cell membrane as GPI-anchorless PrP

and prions may be switching between the anchored and anchorless state in vivo, these results suggest that GPI-anchorless PrP Sc molecules within the brain can expand the conformational spectrum of prions and serve as a source for new prion strains within an individual and within a population. Low levels of glycans on PrP may also play a role in the generation of new strains,

yet how conversion of poorly glycosylated PrP modifies the PrP Sc structure is not clear.

Certain anchored and anchorless prions (RML and 22 L) may maintain the same global secondary structure within non-fibrillar aggregates or fibrils, however the disease that ensues profoundly differs by nearly every measure, including clinical signs, organ tropism, neuroinvasive ability, plaque distribution, and biochemical properties of PrP Sc (Table 2). As compared to the anchored prions, anchorless RML and 22 L prions (i) accumulate in many additional tissues, including kidneys and adipose tissue,

(ii) form extensive angiocentric plaques distributed throughout the brain,

(iii) cause a longer clinical disease phase,

and (iv) no longer readily spread into the CNS from extraneural sites.

Anchorless prions also accumulated to high titers in the blood and caused an amyloid-induced cardiomyopathy as well as cerebral amyloid angiopathy in the Tg(GPI-PrP) mice.

These findings suggest that the prion disease phenotype may be largely determined by the PrP Sc oligomerization state (fibrillar lar or nonfibrillar morphology) that, in turn, is likely dependent on packing arrangements between β-sheets.

Post-translational modifications commonly occur in protein biosynthesis and include phosphorylation, lipidation, glycosylation, amidation of the C-terminus, acetylation, and disulfide bond formation. Deamidation of asparagine and glutamine side chains is a common, nonenzymatic, spontaneous change that can lead to major changes in protein stability and alterations in fibril structure.

For prions, the role of N-linked glycans in defining strain characteristics for some strains has been demonstrated,

and the use of Tg(GPI-PrP) mice has

an amyloid-induced cardiomyopathy as well as cerebral amyloid angiopathy in the Tg(GPI-PrP) mice.

These findings suggest that the prion disease phenotype may be largely determined by the PrP Sc oligomerization state (fibrillar lar or nonfibrillar morphology) that, in turn, is likely dependent on packing arrangements between β-sheets.

In addition to providing evidence for how new strains may arise in vivo, the use of Tg(GPI-PrP) mice has proven useful in establishing the relationship between the PTMs and the assembly pathway of prion protein aggregates. Four prion strains cause a nearly identical disease in GPI-anchorless mice, yet retain structural variations within the fibrils and features of the original strain. Simply removing the GPI anchor and most glycans from PrP Sc enabled fibril assembly to occur, and passage into WT mice led to apparent recovery of the original non-fibrillar prions for the RML and 22 L strains. Finally, the fibrillar GPI-anchorless RML and 22 L prions caused similar diseases in the Tg(GPI-PrP) mice, yet differed profoundly from the subfibrillar RML and 22 L prions in WT mice. This finding suggests that the PrP Sc oligomerization state (fibrillar versus non-fibrillar morphology) may be one of the important determinants governing the disease incubation period, organ tropism, and aggregate spread to the brain. Further studies are needed to determine the relationship between the oligomerization state and specific structural features such as the tertiary structure of individual monomers, their packing arrangement, and the interfaces between β-sheets.
for 45 min followed by anti-mouse biotin (Jackson Immunolabs; 1:250) for 30 min, followed by streptavidin-HRP for astrocytes (1:500; DAKO) was similarly performed, however with antigen retrieval by PK-digestion (20 μg/ml of proteinase-K for 7 min, and washed in water for 5 min). Sections were then placed in citrate buffer (pH 6) and heated in a pressure cooker for 20 min, cooled for 5 min. Sections were then deparaffinized and incubated for 5 min in 96% formic acid, then washed in water for 5 min, treated with 5 μg/ml of proteinase-K for 7 min, and washed in water for 5 min. Sections were then placed in citrate buffer (pH 6) and heated in a pressure cooker for 20 min, cooled for 5 min, and washed in distilled water. Sections were blocked and incubated with anti-PrP SAF84 (SFI bio; 1:400) for 45 min followed by anti-mouse biotin (Jackson Immunolabs; 1:250) for 30 min, followed by streptavidin-HRP (Jackson Immunolabs; 1:2000) for 30 min. Slides were then incubated with DAB reagent for 7 min and an enhancer for 2 min (Invtrogen). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. GFAP immunohistochemistry for astrocytes (1:500; DAKO) was similarly performed, however with antigen retrieval by PK-digestion (20 μg/ml for 10 min at room temperature).

Histopathology and immunohistochemical stains. Four μm sections of brain were cut onto positively charged silanized glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin, or immunostained using antibodies for PrP (SAF84) or GFAP for astrocytes. For PrP staining, sections were deparaffinized and incubated for 5 min in 96% formic acid, then washed in water for 5 min, treated with 5 μg/ml of proteinase-K for 7 min, and washed in water for 5 min. Sections were then placed in citrate buffer (pH 6) and heated in a pressure cooker for 20 min, cooled for 5 min, and washed in distilled water. Sections were blocked and incubated with anti-PrP SAF84 (SFI bio; 1:400) for 45 min followed by anti-mouse biotin (Jackson Immunolabs; 1:250) for 30 min, followed by streptavidin-HRP (Jackson Immunolabs; 1:2000) for 30 min. Slides were then incubated with DAB reagent for 7 min and an enhancer for 2 min (Invtrogen). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. GFAP immunohistochemistry for astrocytes (1:500; DAKO) was similarly performed, however with antigen retrieval by PK-digestion (20 μg/ml for 10 min at room temperature).

Lesion profile. We selected 9 anatomic brain regions in accordance with previous strain-typing protocols from 4–5 mice per group36,38. We scored spongiosis, gliosis, and PrP immunological reactivity on a scale of 0–3 (not detectable, mild, moderate, severe). A sum of the three scores resulted in the value obtained for the lesion profile for the individual animal and was depicted in the ‘radar plots’. Numbers correspond to the following brain regions: (1) dorsal medulla, (2) cerebellum, (3) hypothalamus, (4) medial thalamus, (5) hippocampus, (6) septum, (7) medial cerebral cortex dorsal to hippocampus, (8) cerebral peduncle, (9) cerebellar peduncle. Two investigators blinded to animal identification performed the histological analyses.

Western blotting and sodium phosphotungstic acid precipitation. Brain tissue was homogenized in PBS using a Beadbeater™ tissue homogenizer. Homogenates in a Tris-based lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP40, 0.5% DOC; pH 7.4) were digested with 50 μg/ml proteinase K at 37 °C for 30 min and the reaction stopped by boiling samples for 5 min in LDS loading buffer (Invtrogen). Samples were electrophoresed in 10% Bis-Tris gel (Invtrogen) and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane by wet blotting. Membranes were incubated with monoclonal antibody POM19 (discontinuous epitope at C-terminal domain, expressing mice

### Materials and Methods

**Ethics statement.** All procedures involving animals were performed to minimize suffering and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at UC San Diego (IACUC number: S08037). Protocols were performed in strict accordance with good animal practices, as described in the *Guide for the Use and Care of Laboratory Animals* published by the National Institutes of Health.

**Prion inoculations.** Tg(GPI-PrP) mice were line 44 mice homozygous for the GPI-transgene backcrossed to Prnp null mice (C57BL/6 background)28. All prion strains have been maintained in C57BL/6 mice, with the exception of mCWD, which was maintained in gfa20 mice31. mCWD was propagated in gfa20 mice, and the 5th passage was used. Homogenates from individual animals were used for the inoculation. WT (C57BL/6), gfa20, or Tg(GPI-PrP) mice (groups of n = 4–6 mice) were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine and intracerebrally inoculated into the left parietal cortex with 30 μl of prion-infected brain homogenate prepared from terminally ill mice. Mice were monitored three times weekly, and prion disease was diagnosed according to clinical criteria including ataxia, kyphosis, stiff tail, hind leg clasp, and hind leg paresis. The incubation period was calculated from the day of inoculation to the day of terminal clinical disease. Mice were euthanized at the onset of terminal disease. The brain was halved, and one hemi-brain was formalin-fixed, then immersed in 96–98% formic acid for 1 h, washed in water, and post-fixed in formalin for 2–4 days. Brains were then cut into 2 mm transverse sections and paraffin-embedded for histological analysis. The remaining hemi-brain was cut and a 2–3 mm transverse section at the level of the hippocampus/thalamus was embedded in OCT and immediately frozen on dry ice. The remaining brain sections were frozen for biochemical analyses. Mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions.

**Histopathology and immunohistochemical stains.** Four μm sections of brain were cut onto positively charged silanized glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin, or immunostained using antibodies for PrP (SAF84) or GFAP for astrocytes. For PrP staining, sections were deparaffinized and incubated for 5 min in 96% formic acid, then washed in water for 5 min, treated with 5 μg/ml of proteinase-K for 7 min, and washed in water for 5 min. Sections were then placed in citrate buffer (pH 6) and heated in a pressure cooker for 20 min, cooled for 5 min, and washed in distilled water. Sections were blocked and incubated with anti-PrP SAF84 (SFI bio; 1:400) for 45 min followed by anti-mouse biotin (Jackson Immunolabs; 1:250) for 30 min, followed by streptavidin-HRP (Jackson Immunolabs; 1:2000) for 30 min. Slides were then incubated with DAB reagent for 7 min and an enhancer for 2 min (Invtrogen). Sections were counterstained with hematoxylin. GFAP immunohistochemistry for astrocytes (1:500; DAKO) was similarly performed, however with antigen retrieval by PK-digestion (20 μg/ml for 10 min at room temperature).

### Table 2. RML and GPI-RML prions differ in the oligomerization state and show profound differences in the disease phenotype. IC: intracerebral; IP: intraperitoneal; IN: intranerval; IT: intratongue; IV: intravenous; IO: intraocular. Data included are from this study and references28,32,54,68–73.

| Property                     | RML in WT mice                                                                 | GPI-RML in GPI-anchorless PrP expressing mice                                                                 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Organ tropism                | Lymphoid tissue, CNS                                                            | Lymphoid tissue, CNS, adipose tissue, skeletal muscle, heart, colon (lamina propria), pancreas (islets of Langerhans), kidney |
| Neuroinvasion of brain by IO, IP, IV routes | Efficient                                                                     | Poor, with 25–73% attack rate                                                                                   |
| Neuroinvasion of brain by IN or IT routes | Efficient                                                                     | Poor, no prions in brain                                                                                          |
| PrP<sup>sc</sup> aggregate morphology in brain | Diffuse PrP<sup>sc</sup> surrounding astrocytes and/or neurons and in neuropil    | Dense fibrillar angiocentric plaques                                                                                     |
| PrP<sup>sc</sup> distribution in brain | No vascular PrP<sup>sc</sup>; diffuse PrP<sup>sc</sup> deposits particularly in the hippocampus, thalamus, cerebral cortex, cerebral peduncles and septum | Surrounding capillaries and widely distributed throughout the brain                                                 |
| PK resistance                | Low                                                                            | Higher than PrP-anchored state                                                                                   |
| Conformational stability     | 0.98+/−0.02                                                                   | 3.21+/−0.09 (3rd psg)                                                                                           |
buffer (100 mM sodium carbonate at pH 10.2 for 30 min. The PTAA was diluted in the sodium carbonate minimum of two different cases of each prion-infected brain. The PTAA staining and analysis of frozen tissue sections.

Conformation stability assay. Prion strain stability in GdnHCl was performed as previously described. Briefly, lysed brain homogenates were denatured in GdnHCl ranging from 0–6 M for 1 h. Samples were then diluted with a Tris-based lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 4% sarkosyl; pH 7.5) to 0.15 M GdnHCl and digested with PK at a ratio of 1:500 (1 μg PK: 500 μg total protein) for 1 hr at 37 °C. Digestion was stopped with 2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and Complete TM protease inhibitor (Roche) followed by centrifugation at 18,000 g for 1 hr. Pellets were washed in 0.1 M NaHCO₃ (pH 9.8) and centrifuged at 18,000 g for 20 min. Pellets were then denatured in 6 M guanidine isothiocyanate (GdnSCN), diluted with 0.1 M NaHCO₃, and coated passively onto an ELISA plate. PrP was detected with biotinylated-POM1 antibody (epitope in the globular domain, amino acids 121–231), a streptavidin HRP-conjugated secondary antibody, and a chemiluminescent substrate. Each strain (n = 3–5 mice per strain) was analyzed in at least 3 separate experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using a Student’s t test.

Proteinase K (PK) resistance. Brain homogenate was diluted in Tris-based lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 137 mM NaCl, and 2% sarkosyl; pH 8.0), mixed for 15 min at 25 °C at 1000 rpm, and divided into 8 tubes. Tris lysis buffer containing 2% sarkosyl was added to each tube and samples were digested with increasing concentrations of PK (10 to 3,000 μg/mL). Samples were then incubated for 2 hr at 37 °C at 1000 rpm and the PK digestion stopped by boiling for 5 min in LDS loading buffer (Invitrogen) prior to western blot and development using POM19. PrP signals from each PK digested sample were captured and quantified using a Fuji LAS-4000 imager and Multigage software. Each strain was analyzed in at least 3 separate experiments using 3–4 mice per strain. PK resistance was calculated by measuring the signal relative to the undigested sample.

PrPSc solubility assay. Brain homogenates were solubilized in 10% sarkosyl in PBS and digested with 50 μg/mL of proteinase K (final concentration) at 37 °C for 30 min. Protease inhibitors were added (Complete TM protease inhibitors (PI) (Roche), pH 8.0), incubated on ice for 1 hour, and then centrifuged at 18,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were removed and pellets were resuspended in PBS in a volume equivalent to the supernatant. Supernatant and pellet fractions were immunoblotted using anti-PrP antibody POM19. PrP signals were captured and quantified using the Fuji LAS 4000 imager and Multigage V3.0 software. Brain samples from 3 mice were measured per strain.

PTAA staining and analysis of frozen tissue sections. Frozen sections from mouse brain were dried for 1 hour and fixed in 100% and 70% ethanol for 10 min each. After washing with deionized water, sections were equilibrated in 100 mM sodium carbonate at pH 10.2 for 30 min. The PTAA was diluted in the sodium carbonate buffer (1 μg: 100 μl buffer) and added to the sections. The sections were incubated with PTAA for 30 min at room temperature and washed with sodium carbonate buffer. The emission spectra of PTAA bound to PrP aggregates was collected using an inverted LSM 780 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with excitation wavelength at 488 nm and the spectra were collected from 12 individual spots within 3–5 plaques from a minimum of two different cases of each prion-infected brain.

Purification of PrPSc for structural studies by mass spectrometry. Samples were purified from brain homogenates as previously described. Briefly, 10% brain homogenate was mixed with 5% sarkosyl (final) in TEN(D) buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 5 mM EDTA, 665 mM NaCl, 10% sarkosyl, 0.2 mM dithiothreitol, Complete-TM protease inhibitors (PI) (Roche), pH 8.0), incubated on ice for 1 hour, and then centrifuged at 18,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. All but 100 μl of supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of residual supernatant and diluted to 1 ml with 10% sarkosyl TEN(D). Each supernatant and pellet was incubated for 30 min on ice and then centrifuged at 22,000 g for 30 min at 4 °C. Supernatants were recovered while pellets were held on ice. Supernatants were added separately into ultracentrifuge tubes with 10% sarkosyl TEN(D) buffer containing PI and centrifuged at 150,000 g for 2.5 hours at 4 °C. Supernatants were discarded while pellets were rinsed with 100 μl of 10% NaCl in TEN buffer with 1% sulfobetaine (SB 3–14) and PI and then combined and centrifuged at 225,000 g for 2 hours at 20 °C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was washed and then resuspended in ice cold TMS buffer containing PI (10 mM Tris–HCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.0). Samples were then incubated with 25 units/ml endonuclease (benzonase, Sigma–Aldrich) and 50 mM MgCl₂ for 30 min at 37 °C at 1000 rpm followed by digestion with 10 μg/ml PK for 1 hr at 37 °C at 1000 rpm. PK digestion was stopped by incubating samples on ice with 2 μM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) for 15 min. Samples were then incubated with 20 mM EDTA for 15 min at 37 °C at 1000 rpm. An equal volume of 20% NaCl was added to all tubes followed by an equal volume of 2% SB 3–14 buffer. For the sucrose gradient, a layer of 0.5 M sucrose, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, and 0.5% SB 3–14, pH 7.4 was added to ultracentrifuge tubes. Samples were then carefully transferred and the tubes topped with TMS buffer. Samples were centrifuged at 200,000 g for 2 hours at 20 °C. The pellet was rinsed with 0.5% SB 3–14 in PBS. Pellets were resuspended in 50 μl of 0.5% SB 3–14 in PBS and stored at −80 °C.
Backbone amide hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry experiments (HXMS). To initiate deuterium labeling, 10 μl aliquots of purified prions (≈1–2 μg) were collected by centrifugation (21,000 g, 5 min) and suspended in 100 μl of 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.3) in D₂O. After incubation at 37 °C for 24 hours, samples were collected by centrifugation and dissociated into monomers by adding 20 μl of an ice cold exchange quench solution (100 mM phosphate, pH 2.5) containing 7 M GdnHCl and 0.1 M Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphate hydrochloride. After 5 minutes incubation on ice, the solution was diluted 10 times with ice cold 0.05% trilfluoracetic acid and digested for 5 min using 100 μl of agarose-immobilized pepsin slurry (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) as described previously. The peptic fragments were collected in a C18 trap column, washed to remove salts, and separated on an UPLC BEH-C18 column (Waters, USA). To minimize back-exchange, separation was performed using a “rapid” gradient of 2–45% acetonitrile with a total elution time of 15 min, and both the trap and the analytical column were placed in a cooled chamber (−2 °C) integrated with a LEAP TriValve system (LEAP Technologies, USA). Separated peptides were analyzed by LC-MS/MS in ESI mode using an LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (ThermoElectron, San Jose, CA) directly coupled to the UPLC system. The mass spectrometer (externally calibrated using a Pierce ESI positive ion calibration solution) was operated in a data-dependent MS to MS/MS switching mode with the six most intense ions in each full MS scan subjected to MS/MS for further fragmentation. Full scan experiments were acquired in the m/z 300–1800 range at a resolution 60,000 (FWHM at m/z 400) and the subsequent MS/MS analysis was performed at 15,000 resolution. The following instrument parameters were used: capillary temperature, 250 °C; sheath gas, 10; auxiliary gas, 2; sweep gas, 1; spray voltage, 49 kV; tube lens, 110 V. The total scan cycle frequency was about 1 sec. The precursor ion isolation width was set at m/z ± 8.0, allowing transmission of the M and M+2 isotopic ions of the peptide for CID. The threshold intensity for the MS/MS trigger was set at 2,000 and fragmentation was carried out in the CID mode with a normalized collision energy (NCE) of 35. All data were collected in the profile mode. Chromeleon software and Xcalibur software (version 2.1, Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA) were used for instrument control, data acquisition, and data processing. Peptide masses were calculated from the centroid of the isotopic envelope using MagTran software, and the extent of deuterium incorporation in each peptic fragment was determined from mass spectra (with a correction for back exchange) as described previously.

Peptide mapping. Before H/D exchange experiments, pepsin digestion fragments were identified by a standard procedure involving separation on a C18 column coupled to a LTQ Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer and sequencing by tandem MS/MS using MassMatrix search engine (http://magneto.case.edu/mm-cgi/home.py) against the database containing the sequence of mouse prion protein. The separation was performed using a “slow” gradient of 2–45% acetonitrile with a total elution time of 23 min. The search was performed using the “NO enzyme” search parameter. Mass tolerance of ±15 ppm and ±0.8 Daltons was used for parent and monoisotopic fragment ions, respectively. The resulting DAT files generated by MassMatrix were used as input files for peptide identification, with a constraint that only MassMatrix ion scores greater than 20 were considered. Peptide identification was further confirmed by manual inspection. Total of 99 peptides were identified (see Table S1). The pepptic digest was also analyzed using FindPept on the ExPaSs proteomics server (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics), allowing identification of longer N-terminal peptides corresponding to residues 81–132, 85–132 and 89–132 (Table S1). It should be noted that only a fraction of peptic fragments identified by peptide mapping could be separated and analyzed with good signal-to-noise ratio under the conditions of rapid UPLC gradient required for HXMS experiments to minimize back exchange.

Histidine hydrogen/deuterium exchange (His-HXMS) experiments. For these measurements, samples of purified PrPSc from brain (≈1–2 μg) were suspended in D₂O buffer (10 mM sodium phosphate, 10 μM EDTA, 50 μM Pefabloc, 1 μg/ml Aprotinin, pH 9.0). After incubation for 5 days at 37 °C, samples were collected by centrifugation and desaltsed with PNGase. To obtain fragments containing single His residues, samples were then dissociated and digested with immobilized pepsin as described above for HXMS experiments, followed by digestion with silica-immobilized trypsin (2 μg; Princeton Separations, Inc., Adelphia, NJ). Finally, the peptic fragments were separated on an an UPLC BEH-C18 column (Waters, USA) and analyzed by mass spectrometry as described above for HXMS experiments. The following single-His fragments were used for His-HXMS analysis: His 95, GQGGGTHNQWNKPSKPK; His 110, HV AGAAAAGAVVGGLGG; His 139, MSRPMIHFGND; His 176, YSNQNNFVHD or YSNQNNFVHDCVN; His 186, QHTVTTTTK or ITIKQHTVT. The half-life (t₁/₂) of selected His-HXMS peptides correspond to residues 81–132, 85–132 and 89–132 (Table S1). It should be noted that only a fraction of peptic fragments identified by peptide mapping could be separated and analyzed with good signal-to-noise ratio under the conditions of rapid UPLC gradient required for HXMS experiments to minimize back exchange.
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