**Xenopus Sprouty2 inhibits FGF-mediated gastrulation movements but does not affect mesoderm induction and patterning**
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Signal transduction through the FGF receptor is essential for the specification of the vertebrate body plan. Blocking the FGF pathway in early *Xenopus* embryos inhibits mesoderm induction and results in truncation of the anterior–posterior axis. The *Drosophila* gene *sprouty* encodes an antagonist of FGF signaling, which is transcriptionally induced by the pathway, but whose molecular functions are poorly characterized. We have cloned *Xenopus sprouty2* and show that it is expressed in a similar pattern to known FGFs and is dependent on the FGF/Ras/MAPK pathway for its expression. Overexpression of Xsprouty2 in both embryos and explant assays results in the inhibition of the cell movements of convergent extension. Although blocking FGF/Ras/MAPK signaling leads to an inhibition of mesodermal gene expression, these markers are unaffected by Xsprouty2, indicating that mesoderm induction and patterning occurs normally in these embryos. Finally, using *Xenopus* oocytes we show that Xsprouty2 is an intracellular antagonist of FGF-dependent calcium signaling. These results provide evidence for at least two distinct FGF-dependent signal transduction pathways: a Sprouty-insensitive Ras/MAPK pathway required for the transcription of most mesodermal genes, and a Sprouty-sensitive pathway required for coordination of cellular morphogenesis.
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Growth factor-induced signal transduction is one of the principle mechanisms by which the vertebrate embryo coordinates cell growth, differentiation, and morphogenesis. Studies in the amphibian *Xenopus laevis* have implicated a number of signaling pathways in the inductive events leading to the formation and patterning of the mesoderm. Members of the fibroblast growth factor (FGF) family of secreted polypeptides have the ability to induce mesoderm in naive ectodermal tissue, a capacity shared with TGF-β-type proteins (Kimelman and Griffin 2000). Moreover, the expression of a dominant negative form of the FGF receptor (dnFGFR) at the time of mesoderm induction completely blocks this process in vivo (Amaya et al. 1991; 1993). FGF receptor signaling is also thought to be involved in the subsequent maintenance of the mesodermal tissue as the expression of dnFGFR after the initial induction again results in the loss of mesodermal markers [Kroll and Amaya 1996]. This maintenance function is a result of an autocrine loop, which involves the activation of *Xbra* expression by FGF signaling, Xbra in turn induces *eFGF* transcription, which reinforces the mesodermal fate of the induced tissue (Isaacs et al. 1994; Schulte-Merker and Smith 1995).

Once mesoderm is formed, gastrulation can proceed through the orchestrated movement of the three germ layers, to produce the anterior–posterior (A-P) axis of the embryo. These cell movements involve both involution and convergent extension. Convergent extension is characterized by the polarization of the mesodermal cells and their mediolateral intercalation to produce a pronounced elongation of the A-P axis (Keller 1991; Keller et al. 1992). The mechanisms by which convergent extension and gastrulation as a whole are coordinated remain poorly understood, although recent evidence has implicated signaling through a noncanonical Wnt pathway (Djiane et al. 2000; Heisenberg et al. 2000; Tada and Smith 2000; Wallingford et al. 2000). FGFs constitute a second signal transduction pathway, which has also been implicated in the processes of gastrulation morphogenesis (for review, see Rossant et al. 1997). *Xenopus* em-
bryos overexpressing the dnFGFR fail to undergo gastrulation; however, the absence of mesoderm in these embryos has made it impossible to determine whether FGF signaling has a direct role in morphogenesis, or if the observed gastrulation defects are secondary to the failure of mesoderm formation.

FGFs constitute a family of peptide growth factors, which with binding to their receptors [FGFR], induce receptor dimerization and autophosphorylation of a number of intracellular tyrosine residues [Mohammadi et al. 1996]. These phosphorylated tyrosines then serve as docking sites for Src homology 2 [SH2] domain-containing proteins such as phospholipase C-γ [PLC-γ], which binds specifically to phosphotyrosine 766 [Y766] and stimulates the phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis and the mobilization of Ca2+ in the cell [Mohammadi et al. 1992, Peters et al. 1992]. Another consequence of receptor autophosphorylation is to activate the GTPase Ras, setting off a cascade of kinases including Raf, MEK, and finally MAPK, which ultimately results in processes such as the induction of gene expression [Fambrough et al. 1999]. FGF-mediated mesoderm induction in Xenopus requires an intact Ras/MAPK pathway, as dominant-negative versions of Ras [Whitman and Melton 1992], Raf [MacNicol et al. 1993], the src-like kinase laalo [Weinstein et al. 1998], the p85 subunit of the phosphatidylinositol 3’-kinase [PI3K] [Carballada et al. 2001], the adapter molecules Nck and Grb2 [Gupta and Mayer 1998], or the tyrosine phosphatase Shp-2 [SH-PTP2; Tang et al. 1995] block Xbra expression and mesoderm formation. In contrast, the binding of PLC-γ to Y766 is not essential for this process [Muslin et al. 1994].

Receptor tyrosine kinase [RTK] signaling is regulated at a number of levels including ligand availability, phosphatase activity, and Ras inhibitory proteins such as RasGAP. Another inhibitor of FGF signaling, termed Sprouty (Spry), has been identified in Drosophila [Hacohen et al. 1998]. Spry plays a role in the development of the apical branching pattern of Drosophila airways, a process known to require FGF. spry mutations lead to the growth of multiple fine branches from the stalks of the primary branches [Hacohen et al. 1998], a phenotype similar to that observed when FGF signaling is hyperactive, suggesting that Spry inhibits FGF activity. Moreover, the FGF pathway induces the expression of spry. Because Spry acts noncell autonomously in this system, it was proposed that Spry is a secreted antagonist to FGF, limiting the range of FGF responsiveness in the developing tracheal system [Hacohen et al. 1998]. Subsequent genetic screens have revealed a broader function of Sprouty in inhibiting a variety of RTKs such as the Drosophila epidermal growth factor receptor [EGFR] and Torso [Casci et al. 1999]. These predominantly genetic studies also suggested that instead of acting extracellularly, Spry acts intracellularly to inhibit the Ras/MAPK pathway [Casci et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999]. Several proposals as to the precise position at which Spry impinges on the FGF pathway have been suggested ranging from a receptor proximal mode of regulation through interactions with Ras, to regulating Raf or molecules further downstream [Casci et al. 1999, Kramer et al. 1999, Reich et al. 1999]. A number of vertebrate Sprouty homologs have been identified, however, little molecular evidence as to their mode of action has yet been presented [de Maximy et al. 1999, Minowada et al. 1999, Tefft et al. 1999, Chambers et al. 2000].

Understanding the role of FGF signaling in vertebrate development requires the identification of its downstream targets. Because Spry is both a target of FGF activity in Drosophila and an inhibitor of the process, we were interested in investigating the functions of Sprouty proteins in the FGF-mediated processes of mesoderm induction and gastrulation in the Xenopus embryo. To this end, we have cloned a Xenopus sprouty homolog Xsprouty2, which is expressed in a similar pattern to that of known FGF signaling molecules. We present biochemical evidence that Xsprouty2 is a component of the FGF signaling cascade, inhibiting the ability of the FGFR to stimulate the release of calcium from intracellular stores. In contrast to observations in Drosophila, Xsprouty2 does not block the Ras/MAPK pathway, and as a result does not prevent mesoderm induction and patterning in developing Xenopus gastrulae. Instead, Xsprouty2 acts to prevent convergent extension movements of the embryo during gastrulation. Therefore, Xsprouty2 provides the first molecular dissection of the distinct roles for FGF signaling in Xenopus mesoderm induction and morphogenesis.

Results

Isolation of Xenopus sprouty2 genes

To isolate the Xenopus laevis homologs of the human SPROUTY genes, we screened a head library [Hemmati-Brivanlou et al. 1991] with the carboxy-terminal region of hSPRY2 cDNA [Hacohen et al. 1998]. Two types of clones were isolated, which were highly related to the chick [75–76%], mouse [73–75%], and human [74–76%] Sprouty2 proteins [Fig. 1]. The clones designated Xsprouty2 and Xsprouty2Δ [Xspry2 and Xspry2Δ, respectively] are 93% related at the amino acid level and probably represent paralogs identified as a result of Xenopus laevis tetraploidy. Xspry2 and Xspry2Δ are 314 and 307 amino acids, respectively, which differ by 20 amino acid substitutions, mostly in the less conserved amino terminal region along with a 7-amino-acid deletion in Xspry2Δ as compared to all other vertebrate homologs identified [Fig. 1].

Xsprouty2 expression during development

Analysis of the temporal expression of Xspry2 and Xspry2Δ by RT-PCR indicated that both paralogs were broadly expressed throughout development. Xspry2 was induced at the onset of zygotic transcription at the mid-blastula transition. Thereafter, expression levels remained relatively constant until at least stage 42. Xspry2Δ, on the other hand, was expressed at levels approximately...
threefold lower than *Xspry2* throughout early development (data not shown).

To determine the spatial pattern of expression of *Xspry2*, we have analyzed the *Xspry2* and *Xspry2*/H9004 (termed *Xspry2* when examined collectively) mRNA levels by in situ hybridization. *Xspry2* mRNA was first detected before gastrulation in the dorsal marginal zone (data not shown). At the onset of gastrulation *Xspry2* expression expanded dorsolaterally and subsequently, was found throughout the marginal zone (Fig. 2A), in a pattern similar to *XFGF8* (Christen and Slack 1997), *XeFGF* (Isaacs et al. 1994), and *XFGF9* (Song and Slack 1996). From the mid-neurula stage, *Xspry2* was expressed predominantly in the head region (Fig. 2B). By stage 28, *Xspry2* mRNA was localized to the developing otic vesicle, the midbrain/hindbrain isthmus, forebrain, hatching gland, branchial arches, and tail bud, and expression pattern reminiscent of *XFGF8* (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, *Xspry2* showed a broader staining than that of *FGF8*, consistent with a role of secreted FGF8 in regulating *Xspry2* expression (cf. Fig. 2B,C). In summary, *Xspry2* is expressed in a dynamic pattern throughout gastrulation and neural development in a manner resembling that of *XFGF8*.

**FGF receptor-mediated signaling is required for Xsprouty2 expression during gastrulation**

The initial characterization of *Drosophila spry* indicated that the gene itself is a target of RTK signaling (Hacohen et al. 1998). To test whether the *Xspry2* genes we have isolated require FGF signaling for their expression, we disrupted FGF signaling by injecting the dnFGFR mRNA into one blastomere of two- or four-cell embryos and assayed for *Xspry2* mRNA during gastrulation. In the absence of FGF signaling, there was a total loss of *Xspry2* expression (Fig. 3), whereas the control HAVO mRNA (a...
nonfunctional FGF receptor construct had no effect on Xspry2 mRNA levels. To test whether this induction was through the Ras/MAPK pathway we expressed a dominant negative Ras protein (dnRas) in a similar manner during gastrulation. Expression of dnRas also completely abolished Xspry2 expression indicating that like Xbra, Xspry2 is a target of Ras/MAPK signaling (Fig. 3). In contrast, we have been unable to demonstrate a robust induction of Xspry2 in animal caps by eFGF or an ectopic induction of Xspry2 in embryos after eFGF or FGF8 misexpression (data not shown), suggesting that FGF signaling may be necessary but not sufficient for Xspry2 activation. Alternatively, there may be signals outside the marginal zone of the embryo that attenuate the ability of FGF to induce Xspry2.

**Xsprouty2 misexpression leads to a truncated phenotype in embryos**

Functional studies in Drosophila suggest that Spry is an inhibitor of RTK signaling through the MAPK pathway. To test whether Xspry2 acts in a similar manner in vertebrates, we overexpressed Xspry2 in the early Xenopus embryo, a developmental system known to require RTK signals emanating from the FGFR (Amaya et al. 1991, 1993). Injection of Xspry2 or Xspry2Δ mRNA into the

---

**Figure 2.** Xsprouty2 is expressed dynamically during early development. Whole mount in situ hybridization of Xspry2 and XFGF8.

(A) Vegetal view of gastrula stage embryos (stages 10–11.5). Xspry2 expression is induced in the dorsal marginal zone. Expression expands ventrally around the marginal zone during mid to late gastrula stages. (B) Xspry2 expression in a stage 28 albino embryo and close-up of the head region. Xspry2 can be detected primarily in anterior neural structures, such as the mid-brain/hind-brain isthmus (mhb), otic vesicle (ov), forebrain (fb), and hatching gland (hg). Lateral expression is seen in the branchial arches (br) and the tail bud (tb). (C) Close-up of head region of a stage 28 embryo stained for XFGF8. XFGF8 has a similar expression profile to Xspry2, although with more sharply defined boundaries. Black arrow indicates expression in the third branchial arch, which is absent from the Xspry2 expression profile.

---

**Figure 3.** Xsprouty2 expression requires FGF signaling. Vegetal view of in situ hybridization to Xspry2 (purple) at the mid-to-late gastrula stages (stages 11–11.5). Embryos were injected into one blastomere at the two- or four-cell stages with 1 ng of HAVO, dnRas, or dnFGFR together with 500 pg of LacZ mRNA, and stained for β-galactosidase activity (blue) as a lineage tracer.
dorsal marginal zone of a single blastomere at the two-cell stage led to a highly reproducible shortening of the A-P axis at stage 35/36, with a low incidence of embryos exhibiting a complete failure of blastopore closure [5–10%; Fig. 4A,B]. In contrast, injection of dnFGFR mRNA under the same conditions resulted in a much more severe defect characterized by failure of blastopore closure in all embryos (n = 16; Amaya et al. 1991, 1993). Injection of 1 ng of Xspry2 or Xspry2Δ mRNA into the two dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage increased the incidence of incomplete blastopore closure (10–30%) at stage 35/36 (data not shown), indicating that the severity of the phenotype observed depended on the exact injection regimen used. Therefore, the shortening of the A-P axis produced after Xspry2 overexpression were consistent with a role for Xspry2 in inhibiting FGFR-dependent signaling, but distinct from the complete failure of gastrulation observed in dnFGFR overexpressing embryos.

Xsprouty2 inhibits convergent extension

To test whether the observed posterior truncations were a result of a failure of Xspry2 overexpressing embryos to undergo convergent extension movements, we conducted lineage tracing experiments. Embryos were injected at the four-cell stage with Xspry2/GFP or the control HAV/O/GFP mRNAs into one dorsal blastomere and rhodamine dextran (RLDX) into the adjacent dorsal blastomere. At stage 40, the majority of the control HAV/O-injected embryos showed equal extension of the GFP and rhodamine fluorescence along the entire A-P axis (93%; n = 102), whereas only a minority of the Xspry2-injected embryos showed normal elongation of the GFP-expressing tissue (17%; n = 68). The remainder of the Xspry2-injected embryos displayed a variety of phenotypes including the restriction of the GFP to the head region resulting in a kink of the axis toward the Xspry2 injected side (34%), and a general truncation of both sides of the embryo (49%). Both phenotypes are compatible with a failure of the injected cells to extend along the A-P axis [see Fig. 4C for representative embryos].

To further investigate the function of Xspry2 proteins in gastrulation we have used the capacity of animal cap explants to undergo FGFR-dependent convergent extension movements. Animal caps excised from stage 8 blastulae underwent mesoderm induction and convergent extension at a high frequency after treatment with 10 ng/ml activin (Fig. 5). This characteristic morphological change was unaffected by injection of the control HAV/O construct and the lineage tracer GFP. However, overexpression of dnFGFR completely inhibited these movements and blocked the ability of the caps to induce and maintain mesoderm [Fig. 5, Cornell and Kimelman 1994]. In agreement with our findings in the intact embryo, the injection of Xspry2 or Xspry2Δ mRNA also inhibited convergent extension movements (Fig. 5A,D). Surprisingly, unlike dnFGFR-injected explants, those expressing Xspry2 induced mesoderm, as shown by the transcription of the mesodermal marker Xbra (Fig. 5C). Similar inhibition of convergent extension but not Xbra expression was also observed in Xspry2-injected explants treated with FGF2 (data not shown). Interestingly, Xspry2-expressing caps, unlike those injected with dnFGFR, showed some weak morphogenetic movements.

Figure 4. Overexpression of Xsprouty2 leads to a shortened A-P axis. [A] Embryos were injected once into the dorsal marginal zone at the two-cell stage, with 1 ng of the indicated mRNA plus 100 pg of GAP43-GFP mRNA and analyzed at stage 25. [B] Quantitation of the truncated phenotype of injected embryos. Embryos were measured and grouped into three 5-mm categories. Whereas virtually all noninjected and HAV/O-injected embryos were more than 4.3 mm, the majority of Xspry2 and Xspry2Δ overexpressing embryos were less than 3.8 mm. (c) the number of embryos examined for each treatment. (C) Representative embryos injected with RLDX and Xspry2/GAP43-GFP or HAV/O/GAP43-GFP into the dorsal blastomeres at the four-cell stage and allowed to develop until stage 40. The majority of HAV/O/GFP embryos showed a full extension of GFP-labeled cells dorsally along the A-P axis, whereas Xspry2/GFP-injected embryos showed a kinking of the body toward the injected side [top] or a truncation and generalized disorganization of the GFP-positive cells [bottom].
This could be indicative of a response to activin that was not coordinated into an integrated extension of the animal cap (Fig. 5A). Therefore, overexpression of Xspry2 in ectodermal explants resulted in a block of convergent extension while leaving the mesoderm formation intact.

To assess whether the inhibition of convergent extension is a property of the cells that normally undergo the process, we isolated dorsal marginal zone (DMZ) explants expressing a GFP lineage tracer together with Xspry2, dnFGFR, or the control HAV mRNAs. The explants were then cultured until stage 19 and scored for the characteristic elongation of the involuting marginal zone. As shown in Figure 5B-D, the majority of control HAV∥-injected embryos underwent extensive elongation. This process was largely inhibited by the dnFGFR and the Xspry2 molecules. As with the animal cap explants, we observed some weak morphogenetic movements in the presence of Xspry2 as compared to the dnFGFR indicating distinct consequences of overexpressing these two inhibitory molecules (Fig. 5B).

In summary, we have demonstrated using three independent assays that Xspry2 inhibits the convergent extension of mesodermal cells during gastrulation, both in the intact embryo and in explant cultures.

Xsprouty2 does not inhibit FGF-mediated mesoderm induction or maintenance

Having made the observation that overexpression of Xspry2 resulted in the inhibition of convergent extension movements in animal cap explants, but not affecting Xbra expression, we carried out in situ hybridization analysis to examine the consequence of Xspry2 expression on mesoderm induction and patterning in the embryo. Xbra is a member of the T-box family of transcription factors, which is expressed throughout the mesoderm during gastrulation [Smith et al. 1991]. Moreover, Xbra is a direct target of FGF signaling during mesoderm induction, which requires the Ras/MAPK pathway, as Xbra expression was lost in embryos overexpressing dnRas [Fig. 6A]. Surprisingly, the injection of Xspry2 or Xspry2Δ mRNA did not have any effect on Xbra expression, suggesting that Xspry2 proteins did not inhibit Ras/
MAPK signaling. The mesoderm is patterned into domains, such as dorsal [characterized by Xnot expression], dorsolateral [characterized by XMyf5 expression], and ventral domains [characterized by Xpo expression]. Injection of Xspry2 or Xspry2Δ did not alter the expression of any of these markers indicating that, in contrast to the dnFGFR or dnRas molecules, Xspry2 did not inhibit mesoderm induction or dorsoventral patterning (Fig. 6B; data not shown).

FGFR signaling has also been implicated in the subsequent maintenance of mesodermal cell fate during gastrulation (Kroll and Amaya 1996). Therefore, we have tested whether Xspry2-injected embryos expressed cardiac actin, a marker characteristic of the mesodermal derivative muscle. As shown in Figure 6C, cardiac actin was abundantly expressed in the somitic tissue of control HAV/H11083-expressing embryos, coinjected with LacZ mRNA. The overexpression of dnFGFR, however, completely abrogated this actin expression on the injected side, leaving the untreated side intact. Importantly, although Xspry2 or Xspry2Δ overexpression led to the characteristic shortening of the A-P axis, cardiac actin was expressed appropriately along the dorsolateral domain of the embryo (Fig. 6C). This was the case both for the more mild truncations and the severe phenotype characterized by an open blastopore (Fig. 6C). Finally, FGF receptor function has been shown to be involved in the A-P patterning of the dorsal axis by controlling the expression of members of the caudal and posterior Hox gene families (Pownall et al. 1996, 1998). However, the posterior marker HoxA7 was expressed normally in Xspry or Xspry2Δ-overexpressing embryos at the early neurula stages, in contrast to the absence of gene expression observed in dnFGFR-injected embryos (data not shown). Thus, in stark contrast to the inhibition of FGF signaling mediated by dnFGFR, Xspry2 did not exert any significant effect on development by inhibiting mesoderm induction, patterning, or maintenance.

Xsprouty2 functions intracellularly in the FGF signaling pathway to block calcium mobility but not MAPK phosphorylation

The mechanism by which Spry inhibits RTK signaling is unclear (Hacohen et al. 1998; Casci et al. 1999; Kramer et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999). Therefore, we have attempted to dissect the biochemical pathways by which Xspry2 functions by using a convenient assay for FGF receptor function in Xenopus oocytes, based on the mobilization of intracellular calcium stores within minutes of FGF addition (Musci et al. 1990). Briefly, Xenopus oocytes are injected with FGF receptor mRNA and allowed to translate the receptor for a couple of days. The oocytes are loaded with 45Ca2+, washed extensively, and assayed for the release of 45Ca2+ into the medium before and after FGF addition. Xenopus oocytes expressing FGFR with a 100-fold excess of HAV/H11083 produced with the addition of FG2, a rapid efflux of Ca2+ from the cell, which was blocked by the coexpression of dnFGFR [Fig 7A; Amaya et al. 1991]. This FG2 dependent Ca2+ response was also inhibited by the coexpression of a 100 fold excess of either Xspry2 or Xspry2Δ with the FGFR (Fig. 7A). Thus,
Xspry2 functioned directly to block a physiological response of the activated FGFR. To test whether Xspry2 acted intracellularly, we expressed a constitutively active RTK, CIXR, and the indicated mRNA at 25 ng. CIXR is a dominant negative form of CIXR; CIXR constitutively dimerizes and signals in the absence of ligand, as seen by the FGFR-independent calcium efflux. For standardization, all values are shown relative to the counts obtained from un.injected oocytes in the first 10 min. Xsproty2 did not inhibit Ca^{2+} signaling in oocytes, but the carboxyl terminus of Xsproty2 does inhibit Ca^{2+} signaling. Oocytes were injected and assayed as in A, except that only the relative 45Ca^{2+} release for the first 10 min is shown. Error bars indicate s.D. *P < 0.001 and **P < 0.01 compared to uninjected. Xsproty2 does not inhibit FGF-dependent MAPK activation in ovo. Oocytes were injected and cultured as in A, before being incubated with or without FGF2 (100 ng/ml) for 15 min. Protein extracts were then analyzed for activated [dp-erk] and total [pan-erk] MAPK levels. Xsproty2 does not inhibit MAPK activation in FGF-treated animal caps. Animal caps were injected with the indicated mRNAs and treated as in E.

---

Figure 7. Xsproty2 proteins function as intracellular inhibitors of the FGF signaling pathway. (A) Xsproty2 proteins block FGF signaling. FGF-stimulated calcium efflux from oocytes was measured after injection of 250 pg of Xenopus FGFR1 (FGFR) and 25 ng of the indicated mRNA [100-fold excess]. Oocytes were loaded with 45Ca^{2+} and the media collected at 10-min intervals, before and after the addition of FGF2 (100 ng/ml) and subjected to scintillation counting. All points represent the mean of at least nine wells [10 oocytes per well], derived from three independent experiments. For standardization, the counts obtained in the first 10 min for each condition were given a value of 1 and all other points are shown relative to the counts measured for each condition in the first 10 min. Error bars indicate ±S.E.M. (B) Xsproty2 functions downstream of the FGFR. Oocytes were injected as in A with 250 pg of the constitutively active RTK, CIXR, and the indicated mRNA at 25 ng. CIXD is a dominant negative form of CIXR; CIXR constitutively dimerizes and signals in the absence of ligand, as seen by the FGFR-independent calcium efflux. For standardization, all values are shown relative to the counts obtained from un.injected oocytes in the first 10 min. (C) Xsproty2 does not inhibit Ca^{2+} signaling in oocytes, but the carboxyl terminus of Xsproty2 does inhibit Ca^{2+} signaling. Oocytes were injected and assayed as in B, except that only the relative 45Ca^{2+} release for the first 10 min is shown. Error bars indicate s.D. *P < 0.001 and **P < 0.01 compared to uninjected. Xsproty2 does not inhibit FGF-dependent MAPK activation in ovo. Oocytes were injected and cultured as in A, before being incubated with or without FGF2 (100 ng/ml) for 15 min. Protein extracts were then analyzed for activated [dp-erk] and total [pan-erk] MAPK levels. Xsproty2 does not inhibit MAPK activation in FGF-treated animal caps. Animal caps were injected with the indicated mRNAs and treated as in E.
may indicate that blocking the calcium pathway is not sufficient to inhibit gastrulation movements. Alternatively, it is also possible that a partially active protein can, when highly expressed in the oocyte, retain some function but not be able to do so in the more complex environment of the embryo.

To determine whether Xspry2 could directly inhibit Ras/MAPK signaling, we assayed for the activation of MAPK by immunoblotting using an antibody specific for the diphosphorylated active form of MAPK (dp-erk). As expected FGFR-injected oocytes displayed a rapid FGFR-dependent activation of MAPK, a response that was completely blocked by dnFGFR (Fig. 7D). Importantly, this FGFR-dependent phosphorylation of MAPK was also detected in the oocytes overexpressing Xspry2 [Fig. 7D]. Hence, Xspry2 blocked FGFR-dependent calcium mobilization in oocytes but had no obvious effect on MAPK activation. However, we found that the MAPK pathway in Xenopus oocytes is not completely analogous to that in the embryo, as the dnRas construct does not block MAPK phosphorylation in ovo [data not shown]. Therefore, to compare Xspry2 function to that of the dnRas, we assayed for MAPK activation in animal caps treated with FGF2. We found that animal caps expressing the control HAV2 construct showed a rapid FGFR-dependent activation of MAPK. As expected, the dnFGFR and dnRas molecules completely inhibited the FGFR-dependent activation of MAPK, whereas the injection of Xspry2 had no overt effect on MAPK activation [Fig. 7E]. In summary, these experiments showed that Xspry2 acts downstream of the activated FGFR to inhibit calcium mobilization, but does not block Ras/MAPK activity.

The inability of Xspry2 to impair MAPK activation in oocytes or animal cap explants is in contrast to observations in Drosophila where Spry (DSpry) blocks steady-state dp-erk levels [Reich et al. 1999]. To assess whether this is an intrinsic difference between the Drosophila and Xenopus Spry proteins or a difference in FGFR signaling between the two organisms, we have expressed DSpry in oocytes and animal cap explants and assayed for MAPK activation, activin-induced convergent extension movements, and calcium mobilization. In the animal cap assay DSpry had an identical effect to Xspry2 in blocking convergent extension movements [see Fig. 5D], while leaving MAPK activation largely intact [Fig. 7F]. However, in the oocyte calcium release assay, DSpry, in contrast to Xspry2, was unable to inhibit the calcium efflux mediated by the constitutively active CIXR construct [Fig. 7C]. Thus, DSpry provides us with a reagent that discriminates between the functions of Spry proteins in inhibiting calcium mobilization and convergent extension, suggesting that these processes may not be causally related.

Discussion

The regulation of RTK signaling pathways has long been considered essential for normal development, but the mechanisms by which the multitude of ligands, receptors and potential pathways are controlled has remained an intractable problem [Simon 2000]. FGFs are known to signal through their cognate receptors and the Ras/MAPK pathway to initiate mesoderm induction both in embryos and animal cap explants [for review, see Gotto and Nishida 1996]. However, the physiological roles of the other potential FGF-initiated signaling pathways as well as the role of FGF after mesoderm induction have proved difficult to examine. We have identified Xspry2 as an intracellular antagonist of the FGF pathway during Xenopus gastrulation. Xspry2 expression is induced in the early gastrula in an FGF-dependent manner and directly inhibits some aspects of FGF-dependent intracellular signaling, such as calcium mobilization, while not affecting the MAPK pathway. As a consequence, Xspry2 overexpression leads to normal mesoderm induction and patterning but severely inhibits the establishment of the embryonic A-P axis through convergent extension. Thus, Xsprouty2 is the first protein identified that inhibits aspects of the FGF response such as gastrulation morphogenesis, while leaving the inductive and patterning processes intact.

Xsprouty2 is both a component and a downstream target of the FGF signaling pathway

Previous studies in Drosophila and in vertebrates have noted the coincidence of sprouty expression and active sites of FGF signaling [Hacohen et al. 1998; Minowada et al. 1999; Tefft et al. 1999; Chambers and Mason 2000]. Moreover, the implantation of beads coated with either FGF4 or FGF8 into chick embryos [Minowada et al. 1999; Chambers et al. 2000] or the overexpression of branchless (Bnl), the Drosophila FGFR1 homolog induces spry expression [Hacohen et al. 1998]. This transcriptional response is not limited to FGF-dependent signaling as the Drosophila EGFr also induces spry [Casci et al. 1999; Kramer et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999]. These results have given rise to the hypothesis that sproutys are immediate targets of RTK signaling. In Xenopus studies using the dp-erk antibody, which recognizes activated MAPK, indicate that the principle sites of active RTK signaling through the Ras pathway during early development require FGF signaling [Christen and Slack 1999]. In agreement with this observation, the expression of Xspry2 from the late blastula to tail bud stages closely mimics that of MAPK activity [Fig. 2A, Christen and Slack 1999]. Moreover, Xspry2 is expressed in a manner similar to XFGF8 in the developing neural structures, sensory organs, and tail bud [Fig. 2B,C]. This matching pattern of XFGF8 and spry2 expression also occurs in the mouse and chick [Minowada et al. 1999; Chambers et al. 2000]. By using the dnFGFR and dnRas constructs to specifically block the FGF and Ras/MAPK pathway, we show that Xspry2 depends on an intact FGF/Ras/MAPK signaling cascade for its expression.

Genetic studies in Drosophila indicate that Spry is an inhibitor of the FGF pathway, but little biochemical evidence has been provided to indicate whether Spry acts directly to regulate RTK signal transduction. Indeed, the genetic data so far presented provides a conflicting pic-
Ca\(^{2+}\) transients are associated with gastrulation (Slusarski et al. 1997). The significance of this signal for gastrulation has not been established as the overexpression of a PDGFR/GFGR chimeric molecule containing the Y766F mutation (which cannot be phosphorylated) in Xenopus animal caps revealed that mesoderm induction could occur in the absence of this signal (Muslin et al. 1994). In addition, phosphopeptide mapping has revealed six further phosphorylated tyrosines on the activated GFGR1, of which two are required for the intrinsic kinase activity of the dimerized receptor and the remaining four are dispensable for GFGR-mediated mitogenesis, MAPK activation, and differentiation in PC12 cells [Mohammadi et al. 1996]. Thus, the function of the factors that associate with the GFGR1 and the signaling pathways arising from them remains unclear. We have shown here that Xspry2 blocks calcium mobilization induced by GFGR in oocytes. Moreover, unlike dnRas, Xspry2 does not block mesodermal gene expression and MAPK activation. Therefore, we propose that Xspry2 impinges on an FGF-dependent signaling pathway that is independent of Ras. In the future it will be important to dissect further the pathway by which Xspry2 exerts its effects by using specific mutations in the GFGR1 intracellular domain.

The biochemical data presented here on the role of Xspry2 in Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization differs from those that indicate an involvement of Spry in Ras signaling in the fly [Casci et al. 1999; Kramer et al. 1999; Reich et al. 1999]. Although the exact placement of Drosophila Spry in the pathway is unclear, the general conclusion is that Spry inhibits Ras signaling. Our demonstration that Xspry2 does not have a major impact on MAPK activation would suggest that the vertebrate homologs have evolved a distinct function in FGR-dependent signaling. In fact, Xspry2 and DSpry show virtually no sequence conservation at the amino acid level, outside the carboxy-terminal cysteine-rich domain [49% identity, overall 27%]. In addition, the mammalian genomes contain at least four spry homologs that could have evolved more diverse functions than the single Drosophila gene. This is supported by the differing abilities of the Xspry2 and DSpry to block Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization in the oocyte assay (Fig. 7C). However, both molecules were equally capable of blocking convergent extension movements in animal cap explants while leaving MAPK activation intact, indicating that at least this property of the proteins has been conserved through evolution. It is worth noting that in contrast to the data presented here, only steady-state levels of Drosophila MAPK activation were measured, and no alternative RTK-induced pathways were tested. Moreover, RTK signaling networks have been shown in a number of systems to maintain extensive pathway crosstalk. Thus, a linear approach to understanding the role of Spry proteins in RTK signaling may be misleading.

Multiple signals arising from the FGR receptor during development

Although a wealth of studies have been carried out in cell culture systems on the signals that emanate from the FGR and their downstream consequences, most of the experiments examining FGR-mediated events in developmental or genetic model systems has concentrated on the Ras/MAPK pathway. The activation of the MAPK pathway in naive Xenopus animal cap explants leads to the induction of mesoderm tissue [Umbhauer et al. 1995]. Conversely, the elimination of Ras/MAPK activity, by a variety of dominant negative molecules, prevents mesoderm formation [for review, see Gotoh and Nishida 1996]. Thus, Ras/MAPK signals are essential for the establishment of mesodermal tissue. However, a number of proteins are known to associate with activated RTKs such as the FGR [for review, see Pawson and Saxton 1999]. These include the adapter molecules Nck and Grb2 (Gupta and Mayer 1998), PLC-γ1, PI3K, the tyrosine phosphatase shp2, and the Ras-GTPase-activating protein [GAP, Ryan et al. 1998]. These molecules are capable of activating a number of signaling pathways besides those involving Ras/MAPK. Phosphorylated tyrosine 766 [Y766] of the GFGR has high affinity for PLC-γ1 and is essential for phosphatidylinositol hydrolysis, which results in the activation of protein kinase C [PKC] and the mobilization of intracellular calcium stores, which in turn can influence Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive transcription factors. Indeed, in Xenopus and zebrafish Ca\(^{2+}\) transients are associated with gastrulation [Slusarski et al. 1997].

Xsprouty2 inhibits gastrulation movements without affecting mesoderm induction and maintenance

A long-standing problem in understanding the potential role for FGR signaling in gastrulation movements has been the requirement of the pathway in mesoderm induction. Inhibiting the FGR/Ras/MAPK signal transduction pathway results in the failure of mesoderm induction and as such the subsequent interpretation of gastru-
lation defects in the absence of FGF activity is not possible [Amaya et al. 1991]. However, diverse evidence from a number of other systems does implicate FGF in the morphogenesis of gastrulation. fgfr1−/− and fgf8−/− mice have severe gastrulation defects, including abnormalities in the migration of cells within the primitive streak [Deng et al. 1994; Yamaguchi et al. 1994; Ciruna et al. 1997; Sun et al. 1999]. Furthermore, mosaic analyses with fgfr1−/− embryonic stem cells indicate that the primary defect of fgfr1-deficient cells may be in their inability to traverse the primitive streak [Ciruna et al. 1997]. These data are supported by mutations in the Drosophila FGFR2 homolog Heartless (Htl), in which the invaginated mesoderm fails to migrate in a dorsolateral direction from the ventral midline, resulting in a reduction in cardiac, visceral, and dorsal muscle cell fates [Beiman et al. 1996; Gisselbrecht et al. 1996]. In Xenopus experiments have revealed that FGF2 can induce cell motility, lamellipodia formation, and cellular polarization in gastrula stage cells [Wacker et al. 1998]. Together, these studies indicate a conserved role for FGF signaling in the mesoderm morphogenesis.

The Xspry2 overexpression studies presented here provide the first instance whereby the role of FGF signaling in Xenopus mesoderm induction and maintenance has been separated from its role in morphogenesis. Xspry2 overexpressing embryos have normal mesoderm induction and patterning, but fail to undergo the gastrulation movements essential for the establishment of the body plan. This raises the question of why an inhibitor of gastrulation is expressed in the very cells that normally undergo such movements? The answer probably lies in the fact that the cell motility that drives convergent extension movements must be exquisitely coordinated. It is initiated laterally and then progresses medially [Shih and Keller 1992]. As a result convergent extension progresses as a wave from the lateral edges of the dorsal mesoderm toward the midline. This coordination is likely to involve molecules that can regulate both the timing and progression of these movements. We propose that Xspry2 is one such molecule. Therefore, when Xspry2 is overexpressed, this coordination is lost and the process of convergent extension is disrupted.

Although our data suggest that Xspry2 inhibits convergent extension, the coordination of this process must also involve signals that promote cell movements. Recent evidence suggests that the noncanonical Wnt pathway may provide this other signal. The zebrafish silberblick (slb) locus encodes the Wnt11 protein, which when mutated results in a gastrulation defect, as the slb−/− cells fail to undergo convergent extension of the axial mesoderm. Moreover, the slb mutant phenotype is rescued by a truncated form of disheveled that does not signal through the canonical Wnt pathway [Heisenberg et al. 2000]. In support of this data, the overexpression of an inhibitory form of disheveled [Xdd1], the wild-type frizzled 7 receptor, or a dominant negative Xwnt11 in Xenopus embryos results in a similar defect in gastrulation [Dijane et al. 2000; Tada and Smith 2000; Wallingford et al. 2000]. In all these cases the defect in the convergent extension of the dorsal mesoderm is independent of its induction and specification [Sokol 1996]. Thus, the consequences of Xspry2 overexpression or blocking aspects of Wnt11 signaling are similar. It may be noteworthy that, although we have shown that Xspry2 inhibits Ca2+ signaling, the noncanonical Wnt pathway promotes the same process [Slusarski et al. 1997]. However, the lack of correlation between the ability of DSpry and Xspry2-C to inhibit convergent extension and Ca2+ mobilization would argue against a role for Ca2+ signaling per se in coordinating the cell movements of gastrulation. Finally, it is known that Xwnt11 is regulated by Xbra [itself a target of the FGF pathway] and therefore, is a downstream consequence of FGF activation [Tada and Smith 2000]. Therefore, it has been proposed that the role of FGF in gastrulation is an indirect result of the induction of Xwnt11 by Xbra [Sokol 1999]. The data presented here enables us to propose the following extension to this model where FGF has both indirect (through Xbra and Xwnt11) and direct (through Xspry2) roles in gastrulation [Fig. 8].

During the late blastula stage signaling through the FGF pathway by the Ras/MAPK pathway induces expression of mesodermal target genes including Xbra. Xbra is a transcriptional activator whose targets include Xwnt11 [Tada and Smith 2000] and XeFGF [Isaacs et al. 1994]. XeFGF then functions with Xbra in an autocatalytic loop to reinforce mesoderm fate [Isaacs et al. 1994; Schulte-Merker and Smith 1995]. Another target of Ras/MAPK signaling is Xspry2, which functions to inhibit some aspects of FGF signaling that are independent of the Ras/MAPK pathway and ultimately control the convergent extension movements of gastrulation. Therefore, Xspry2 provides a mechanism by which the FGF can provide distinct signals to coordinate the processes of mesoderm induction and gastrulation morphogenesis, potentially through the interaction with the noncanonical Wnt pathway. Understanding the multiple levels of interactions between FGF signaling pathways and other signaling pathways, such as the noncanonical Wnts, will be essential for understanding how the coordination of mesoderm induction, maintenance, and convergent extension is achieved during gastrulation.

Materials and methods

Isolation of Xsprouty2 cDNAs and plasmid constructs

The Xenopus laevis homologs of human SPROUTY2 were isolated by screening a head library [Hemmata-Brivanlou et al. 1991] with the carboxy-terminal region of the hSPRY2 ORF [nucleotides 423–940] (cDNA received from Genome Systems, GenBank accession no. R55258). The full-length Xsprouty2 genes [GenBank accession nos. AF331824 and AF331825] were amplified using the primer combination 5′-GGAATTCATTCAATAGCAGGAGAGTA and 5′-GCTCTAGACTTATGTTGCTTT TTC and cloned into the EcoRI and XbaI sites of pCS2+. The plasmids Xspry2-N and Xspry2-C consist of amino acids 1–174 and 176–314 respectively. Full length Drosophila spry [Hacohen et al. 1998] was cloned into the EcoRI/XbaI sites of pCS2+ to generate the expression construct pDSpry. The constitutively
active FGFR1 construct pCIXR and its dominant negative ver-
sion pCIXD have been described previously (Amaya et al. 1992).
pCIXR consists of the first 51 amino acids of the chicken FGFR1
(Lee et al. 1989), including the sequences encoding the signal
peptide, fused to amino acids 97–237, encoding the dimeriza-
tion domain of the bacterial /H9261
repressor and amino acids 334–
1211 from the
Xenopus
FGFR1 (Musciet al. 1990), including the
transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains. pCIXD, lacks the cy-
toplasmic domain of the CIXR in a position identical to that of
dnFGFR [XFD/Xss; Amaya et al., 1991].

mRNAsynthesisformicroinjection
Capped mRNA was synthesized using the Ambion Message Ma-
chine kit and SP6 polymerase. The expression constructs
pXspry2, pXspry2Δ, and pDSspry were linearized with NotI,
pXspry2-N, and pXspry2-C with Asp718 and pCIXR and pCIXD
were digested with SacI. The plasmids pHAV [nonfunctional
FGFR], XFD/Xss [dnFGFR], p21 [Asn17]Hara-s
[dnRas], pnucgal
[nuclear localized β-galactosidase], pXFRΔ3 [Xenopus FGFR1],
and pGAP43-GFP [membrane tethered eGFP] have been previ-
ously described (Amaya et al. 1991, 1993; Smith and Harland
1991; Whitman and Melton 1992; Amaya et al. 1993; Kim et al.
1998).

Embryoinjectionsandmanipulations
Embryos were obtained and injected as previously described
(Amaya et al. 1993). Lineage tracing experiments were carried
out by injecting a single dorsal blastomere at the four-cell stage
with 25 ng of RLDX and the adjacent dorsal blastomere with 1
ng of Xspry2/GAP43-GFP or HAV/GAP43-GFP mRNA (10:1
ratio). Animal caps were excised from stage 8 embryos and in-
cubated in 0.4× MMR, or 1× MMR for immunoblotting. Recom-
binant human activin A [10 ng/ml; R&D Systems] was added as
appropriate and caps were incubated until stage 11 for molecular
analysis or stage 18 and scored for elongation. DMZ explants
were excised at stage 10 and cultured in 0.4× MMR until
stage 19.

In situ hybridization and lineage tracing
In situ hybridization was carried out according to the method of
Harland (1991). An RNA in situ probe against Xspry2 was gen-
cerated by linearizing with BamHI and transcribing with T7. The
Xbra, cardiac actin (AC100), Xpo [Amaya et al. 1991, 1993],
Xmyf5, XNot [von Dassow et al. 1993], and FGF8 [Christen and
Slack 1997] probes are as previously reported.
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