Single molecule vs. large area design of molecular electronic devices incorporating an efficient 2-aminepyridine double anchoring group†‡
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Introduction

Research activity in molecular electronics has seen much development and growth in recent years as reflected in some recent reviews.1−12 The aim of molecular electronics is to study individual molecules and their ensembles to understand their intrinsic electrical properties and, eventually, to use these functional materials as basic elements in circuitry at the nanoscale. As a result of these investigations, the first molecular electronic device for audio processing has already reached the market.13 At a fundamental level, recent advances have identified the challenges to be overcome before a full implementation of this technology is achieved (most probably in combination with traditional silicon based current technologies). Among these challenges, the anchor group is of paramount importance for the formation of robust molecular junctions that result in high values of molecular conductance.1 In this context, a comprehensive study of different anchor groups has been systematically performed by several research groups. These studies include monodentate molecules using anchor groups such as thiols,14−17 amines,14,18,19 methyl sulfides,20 selenols,21,22 cyano,23,24 isocyanides,25 nitriles,26 hydroxyl,27 ethynylbenzenes,28 isothiocyanates,29 pyridines,30−34 N-heterocyclic carbenes,35 dimethylphosphine,36 dihydrobenzothiophenes,37 4-(methylthio)phenyl groups,38 thienyl rings,39 diphenylphosphines,40 trimethylsilylthiyl,41−43 tetrafluorovalenes,44 triazatriangulenes,45 and fullerenes.46−48 More recently, bidentate molecules such as carboxylic acids,47,49,50 carbodithioates,51,52 dithiocarbamates,53,54 norbornyldithiol,55 cathecols,56 or pyrazole57 and also multipodal platforms58−63.
have been explored, with a view to improving the conductance and stability of the devices.

A key contemporary focus in the field of molecular electronics is electrical properties of individual molecules, although in view of potential future applications and construction of device-like structures more compatible with conventional fabrication strategies, the assembly of molecules in large area devices continued to develop and grow in interest. These large area devices can be fabricated by bottom-up technologies such as self-assembly (SA) and Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) methods. In SA, chemisorption of the organic material onto the metal electrode occurs first and subsequent molecular organization is driven by supra-molecular interactions from the packing such as van der Waals, force π–π overlap interactions and hydrophobic forces. In LB films, the molecules are first ordered at the air–water interface and later they are physi- or chemisorbed onto a solid substrate, upon the transference of the Langmuir films onto the substrate. The LB method results, after appropriate optimization of certain parameters, in tightly-packed and homogeneous monolayers, which is a critical issue in the mass production of devices with a reproducible behaviour. Moreover, the production costs are relatively low, since a Langmuir trough is operated in ambient conditions and from a technical viewpoint the procedure is relatively straightforward. Additionally, these LB monolayers can be deposited onto virtually any substrate, which is particularly important given the determining role that the electrode–molecule interface plays in molecular junctions. Thus, the use of directionally aligned molecular films prepared using LB methods has provided a template for investigating a diverse variety of organic–metal contacts.

In this contribution we study the electrical properties of an oligophenyleneethynylene (OPE) derivative, 4,4′-(1,4-phenylenebis(ethyne-2,1-diyl))bis(pyridine-4,2-diyl))dicarbamate, named compound A, was obtained as an off-white powder with a yield of 83%. Compound A (0.421 g, 0.82 mmol), was dissolved in trifluoroacetic acid (5 mL) and stirred 1 h at room temperature. Milli-Q water (20 mL) was added to the reaction flask and the solution was neutralized with aqueous sodium bicarbonate. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic phase washed with water, saturated aqueous brine solution, and evaporated to dryness to afford the product. The obtained whitish powder was triturated in a filter plate with Milli-Q water and hexane to afford 0.174 g of pure compound 1, Fig. 1. Yield: 69%. 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ (ppm)): 7.94 (d, 2H), 7.62 (s, 4H), 6.60 (d, 2H), 6.56 (s, 2H), 6.12 (s, 4H). 13C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d6, δ (ppm)): 159.89, 148.41, 131.97, 130.24, 122.28, 113.26, 109.41, 90.59, 89.82. EM (m/z): 311.4 [M + 1]. Further details, including the NMR spectra, are available in the ESL.

NMR spectra were performed on Bruker advanced spectrometers at 400/500 MHz for 1H NMR and 100/125 MHz for 13C NMR. MALDI-TOF mass spectra was performed on an Autoflex mass spectrometer from Bruker using dithranol as matrix.

 Fabrication of the LB monolayers
Langmuir films were fabricated by spreading 2.3 mL of a 2.5 × 10−5 M solution of compound 1 in a solvent mixture of DMSO : CHCl3 (1 : 4). The trough used to prepare the Langmuir films was a NIMA 702 BAM (700 × 100 mm2). A lateral mechanical compression of the film was applied with the aid of a mobile barrier swept at a speed of 12 cm2 min−1. Under these experimental conditions reproducible surface pressure vs. area per molecule (π-A) isotherms recorded at 20 °C were obtained (Fig. S4‡). Langmuir films were transferred when a target area of 0.26 nm2 per molecule (π = 8 nN m−1) was achieved. The substrate was withdrawn from the water subphase at 2 mm min−1.

AFM images were obtained through deployment of a Multimode 8 microscope in combination with a Nanoscope V control unit from Bruker operating under ambient air con-

![Fig. 1 Molecular structure of compound 1. 4,4′-(1,4-phenylenebis (ethyne-2,1-diyl))bis(pyridin-2-amine).](Image)
conditions. Images were recorded at a scan rate of 0.5–1.2 Hz, in tapping and Peak-Force modes. RTESPA-150 (150–210 kHz, 5–10 N m\(^{-1}\); nominal radius of 8 nm) and ScanAsyst Air (70–95 kHz, 0.5–0.8 N m\(^{-1}\); nominal radius of 2 nm) tips were employed. Image analysis was carried out off-line with the Nanoscope VIII.0 software package.

A Kratos AXIS ultra DLD spectrometer with a monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source (1486.6 eV) using a pass energy of 20 eV was employed to register the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of the LB films of compound 1 or self-assembled (SA) films of 2-aminopyridine. The photoelectron take-off angle was 90° with respect to the sample plane. All the XPS binding energies were referenced to the C(1s) peak at 284.6 eV to provide a precise energy calibration.

\( I(s) \) curves for determining the single molecule conductance and \( I–V \) curves for large area conductance characterization, were registered by using an Agilent 5500 STM controller assisted by the Agilent Picoscan 5.3.3 software. STM tips were prepared from commercially available Au wires (99.99%, 0.25 mm diameter, Goodfellow). Sample preparation for the single-molecule measurements using the \( I(s) \) technique\(^1\) was achieved using a clean and flame annealed gold substrate (Arrandee\(^\text{TM}\)) which was immersed for 1 min into a \( 1 \times 10^{-4} \) M solution of compound 1 in dry dimethylformamide (DMF). DMF was previously degassed by three freeze–pump–thaw cycles. After this short-term incubation, the sample was copiously rinsed with methanol and distilled water followed by a drying process under a N\(_2\) stream. Measurements were carried out in previously deoxygenated mesitylene. \( I(s) \) curves were recorded from different samples equally prepared. Initial tip–substrate distance (\( s_0 \)) was determined by an initial calibration with \( I_0 = 30\) nA (set point current) and \( U_0 = 0.3 \) V (tip bias) as set point parameters (Fig. S7\(\text{a})\). The TTC (touch-to-contact) method for the determination of the molecular conductance in a monolayer has been detailed before.\(^70\) In this contribution, 21 \( I(s) \) curves were recorded to calibrate the initial tip–substrate distance (\( s_0 \)) with \( I_0 = 10\) nA and \( U_0 = 0.6 \) V as set point parameters, obtaining a value of \((d\ln(I)/ds) = 6.9 \pm 1.3 \) nm\(^{-1}\) (details in the ESI, Fig. S8\(\text{a})\). Subsequently, \( I–V \) curves were registered in air conditions at 1.6 nm tip–substrate distance (\( s \)) using as set-point parameters \( I_0 = 0.9 \) nA and \( U_0 = 0.6 \) V.

Theory

The density functional (DFT) code SIESTA\(^7\) was used to obtain optimum geometries of the isomers located between gold electrodes (see ESI\(\text{f}\) for detailed structures). We used a double-zeta plus polarization orbital basis set, norm-conserving pseudopotentials, the local density approximation (LDA) exchange correlation functional, and to define the real space grid, an energy cutoff of 200 Rydbergs. Structures were relaxed until all forces on the atoms were less than 0.05 eV Å\(^{-1}\). For conductance calculations, the LDA functional was employed. For binding energy calculations a van der Waals functional was used (for more details, see the binding energy sections of the ESI\(\text{f}\)). The DFT mean field Hamiltonian was combined with the quantum transport code Gollum\(^2\) to obtain the transmission coefficient \( T(E) \) for electrons of energy \( E \) passing through the junction. Results were also computed using GGA and it was found that the resulting transmission functions were comparable\(^57,73\) to those obtained using LDA. To simulate the likely contact configuration during a break-junction experiment,\(^74,75\) a device with a flat bottom electrode constructed from 6 layers of Au (111), each containing 30 gold atoms, and a top electrode terminated by a pyramid of gold atoms was modeled.

Results and discussion

Electrical properties of compound 1 were studied both at the single-molecule level and in Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) monolayers. Fig. 2 shows the experimental results for their electrical properties. More than 200 \( I–V \) curves were recorded to determine the electrical properties of compound 1 in a LB monolayer. These curves were recorded from different samples and also at different locations on the same sample by the TTC method.\(^76\) Using calibration procedures previously described the STM tip was located at \( 1.6 \pm 0.1 \) nm from the gold surface. This separation coincides with the measured monolayer thickness determined by scratching the LB film sample with the AFM tip (see Fig. 2d and ESI section B.2\(\text{a})\). The length of the molecule estimated from the software Spartan®08 is 1.8 nm. Accordingly, compound 1 in the LB films has a tilt angle (\( \theta \)) of ca. 30° with respect to the normal of the gold substrate. Fitting the low-voltage region (\(-0.5 \) to +0.5 V) where the \( I–V \) curve is almost linear (the ohmic region), a conductance value of \( 0.6 \times 10^{-4} \) \( G_0 \) is obtained, Fig. 2a. Additionally, the single-molecule conductance for compound 1 was obtained from more than 250 single \( I(s) \) traces recorded from different freshly prepared samples as shown in Fig. 2b. On the basis of the different binding energies of the contacting groups (amine \textit{versus} pyridine) and their different positions in the molecule (the pyridyl nitrogen is set back from the amine one) one might expect to see multiple plateaus during the junction stretching and breaking. The pyridine–Au contact is reported to have a binding energy which depends on tilt angle (1.03 eV for a tilt angle of 50°)\(^77\) while the amine to gold contact is weaker (binding energy of 0.6 eV)\(^78\) and does not depend significantly on the orientation of the anchoring group. However, only single plateaus are generally resolved. This would imply that the junction breaking process is a concerted process, which may involve movement or deformation of gold atoms of the contact. A 1D histogram was built from all the current \( I–V \) distance curves exhibiting a discernible maxima at \( 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \) \( G_0 \), which is associated to the most probable value for the single molecule conductance Fig. 2c. This value is significantly higher than the conductance per molecule of 1 in an LB film. The break-off distance determined for compound 1, is \( 1.35 \pm 0.1 \) nm (Fig. 2f and ESI section E\(\text{f}\)). Taking into account the length of the molecule, compound 1 exhibits a tilt angle of \( \sim 40^\circ \) with respect to the normal of the gold substrate. Fig. 2e shows a comparison between the \( I–V \) curve for the monolayer (average of 250 curves) determined by the TTC method and the
single molecule data recorded by the \(I(s)\) method (determined as described in the ESI, section C\(\dagger\)).

Generally, the electrical conductance for single molecules and monolayers of the same compound in a tunnelling regime has been reported to be the same.\(^{32,66,79}\) An exception here has been interpreted in terms of H-bonds between neighbouring molecules preventing a good interaction between the carboxylic terminal group and the gold STM tip\(^{80}\) or some restriction of the molecule in a certain orientation.\(^{34}\) Theoretical calculations were performed in order to understand why the electrical conductance per molecule of compound 1 as a single molecule, differs from that of an assembled LB monolayer and how the two potential anchor positions (pyridine-N and amine-N) of compound 1 (see Table S2 in the ESI\(\dagger\)) lead to an increase in conductance, compared with compounds having the same chemical structure, but a single anchor group.

DFT results indicate that a single molecule of compound 1 prefers to have a polar (tilt) angle in the range \(\theta \approx 29–42^\circ\) (Fig. S15\(\dagger\)) and an azimuthal angle \(\phi = 0^\circ\) (Fig. S16\(\dagger\)), which is consistent with the measured tip-substrate distance (Table S1\(\dagger\)). According to our calculations, in dimers of compound 1 (\(\pi\)-stacked), the distance between the two molecules is \(D = 3.0\ \text{Å}\) and their displacement (due to mutual sliding) is \(X = 1.4\ \text{Å}\) (for more details see Fig. S19 and S20\(\dagger\)). In contrast, the most energetically favorable tilt angle for compound 1 in the LB monolayer is found to be ca. 30°, which is lower than that obtained for the single molecule (see Fig. S26\(\dagger\)). These theoretical results are consistent with the tilt angle of 30° obtained from the experimental LB monolayer thickness and the experimental tilt angle of 40°, as determined from the experimental break-off distance. In these configurations, the molecule-gold substrate junction is formed through chemisorption of both the pyridine and the amine nitrogen. To test out the tendency of this anchor group to chemisorb on gold (Fig. 3a) through both the pyridine-N and the amine-N, the XPS spectrum of a chosen reference compound, namely the 2-aminepyridine, was recorded. The XPS powder spectrum of 2-aminepyridine exhibits a signal unveiling two peaks: one at 398.7 eV, attributed to the pyridine-N, and another one at 399.8 eV assigned to the amine-N.\(^ {81}\) The deconvolution of the XPS spectrum of a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) of 2-aminepyridine also results in two peaks that show a binding energy displacement of 0.7 eV for both the pyridine-N and the amine-N with respect to their corresponding peaks in the powder spectrum. This result is indicative of chemisorption of 2-aminepyridine onto the gold surface through both the pyridine and the amine groups. The XPS powder spectrum registered for compound 1 can also be deconvoluted into two peaks at 398.4 and 398.9 eV attributable to the pyridine-N and the amine-N, respectively. The deconvolution of the XPS spectrum of the LB monolayer of compound

---

Fig. 2 (a) Average \(I–V\) curve recorded at a tip–substrate distance of 1.6 nm using the TTC method. Inset: 2D histogram containing all traces recorded. (b) 2D histogram recorded by the \(I(s)\) method containing all traces recorded. Inset: examples of \(I(s)\) single traces; the \(x\) axis has been displaced for clarity (\(U_t = 0.6\ \text{V}\) and \(I_0 = 60\ \text{nA}\)). (c) 1D histogram and Gauss peak fitting. (d) Scheme showing the inclination of the molecules in the LB monolayer, forming a tilt angle of 30° with respect to the normal to the surface. (e) \(I–V\) curves for the single molecule and the monolayer (f) scheme showing a single molecular junction with a break-off distance of 1.35 nm, i.e., a tilt angle of the molecule of 40°.
results in an intense peak at 399.0 eV and a weaker one at 399.7 eV with a 3:1 ratio. This may indicate that the peak at 399.0 eV corresponds to three of the four nitrogen atoms contained in the molecule. We interpret the broad peak at 399.0 eV as the combined contribution of the chemisorbed pyridine-N (ca. 399.4 eV as directly taken from the 2-aminepyridine chemisorbed material) along with free pyridine-N (398.4 eV as in the powder of 1) and the free amine-N (at 398.9 eV as in the powder of 1). Additionally, the band at 399.7 eV is attributable to the chemisorbed amine-N, in good agreement with the shift observed for the chemisorbed amine-N in the reference compound.

Fig. S27‡ shows that a pyramidal electrode tip optimally bound to one gold atom via an Au–N (pyridine) bond, rather than being positioned between molecules or on top of the amine group (−NH₂). After optimization of the key parameters including: distance $d$, tilt angle $\theta$, azimuthal angle $\phi$, separation distance $D$ and displacement distance $X$, the transmission coefficients were calculated for both the single molecule and the monolayer. DFT calculations indicate that the transmission coefficient is slightly higher for the single molecule than for the monolayer (Fig. 4, red and black dotted lines in the left panel). Fig. S30‡ demonstrates that the transmission coefficient of a monolayer with $n$-molecules converge rapidly with increasing $n$ and in fact is very close to that of a dimer ($n = 2$). Therefore, calculations of transport through a dimer are sufficient to model the properties of a monolayer and for simplicity it will be utilized henceforth. In these calculations, the tilt angle, $\theta$, for both the single molecule and the molecules in the monolayer has been chosen to correspond to the measured break-off distance and to the monolayer thickness, respectively. The red-dashed vertical rectangle in the left panel of Fig. 4 shows that over a wide range of energy within the HOMO–LUMO gap, there is an excellent agreement between measured and calculated conductance values both qualitatively and quantitatively.

Dotted lines in Fig. 4 correspond to a simulation based on a flat substrate and pyramidal tip. Since the shape of the top contact in our experiments is not known, we also simulated a junction with both top and bottom flat electrodes. Solid lines in Fig. 4 show that the conductance of a flat-flat junction is higher than that of a flat-tip junction. This result indicates that a flat electrode may favor a double anchoring of the molecule resulting in a higher conductance. Importantly, the ratio of conductances between monomer and monolayer remains approximately unchanged when flat-tip and flat-flat configurations are compared with each other. Table 1 summarizes these results.

For both the single molecule and the monolayer, the conductance values of compound 1 are significantly higher (nearly one order of magnitude) than those previously reported for Fig. 3 (a) Powder and SAM XPS spectra of the reference compound 2-aminepyridine. (b) Powder and LB monolayer XPS spectra of compound 1.
compounds with a similar chemical structure (see Table 2 and Table S2†). Remarkably, while compound 2, i.e. an amine terminal OPE derivative shows a conductance of $2.4 \times 10^{-5} G_0$ and compound 3, i.e. a pyridine terminal OPE, has a conductance of $5.4 \times 10^{-5} G_0$, compound 1 exhibits a conductance value for the single molecule of $1.2 \times 10^{-4} G_0$. These results, together with those reported for other multidentated anchor groups (Table S2†) are indicative of improved, robust, and stable molecular junctions favored through the double anchoring that promotes an efficient electrical transport in the molecular junction.

**Conclusions**

There are relatively few studies in the literature reporting molecular junctions of bidentate anchor groups, but the results published so far suggest that such groups may result in molecular junctions with improved conductance and stability. Our exploration of a double anchor group, formed from 2-amine-pyridine reveals a conductance value one order of magnitude larger than state-of-the-art monodentate materials of OPE derivatives with the same molecular structure. High quality LB films also show larger conductance values than those reported for other OPEs. Additionally, the experimental results indicate that compound 1 exhibits a larger conductance in the single molecule state than in the LB films. Calculated transmission coefficients, $T(E)$, confirm the experimental results showing a lower conductance value for the monolayer compared to the single molecule. This lower conductance arises from different orientations of the single molecule compared with those in the LB monolayer, as demonstrated by AFM, XPS, STM, and

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

**Fig. 4** Left panel: Zero bias transmission coefficients as a function of the electron energy $E$, for the single molecule (black curve) and the monolayer (red curve). Dotted lines correspond to the flat–tip electrode configuration and solid line to the flat–flat configuration. Right panel: Cartoons illustrating the four gold junctions corresponding to the transmission coefficients shown in the left panel.

**Table 1** Experimental and theoretical conductance values ($E - E_F = -0.5$ eV)

|                  | Experimental conductance value ($G_0$) | Tilt angle from experimental data ($\theta$) | Theoretical conductance value ($G_0$) | Theoretical conductance value ($G_0$) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Single molecule  | $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$                 | $\sim 40^\circ$                            | $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$                 | $5.7 \times 10^{-4}$                 |
| LB monolayer     | $0.6 \times 10^{-4}$                 | $\sim 30^\circ$                            | $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$                 | $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$                 |

**Table 2** Conductance for OPE derivatives with amine and pyridine terminal groups as well as with a double anchoring group incorporating amine and pyridine as single molecules (I(s) method) or in monolayers (either Langmuir–Blodgett of self assembled films, TTC method)

| Molecule         | Single molecule ($G_0$) | Monolayer ($G_0$) |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Compound 1       | $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$    | $0.6 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| Compound 2       | $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$    | —                 |
| Compound 3       | $5.4 \times 10^{-5}$    | $5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
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theoretical calculations. The improved conductance of compound 1, favored by a chemisorption of the molecule by both the amine and the pyridine nitrogen atoms onto the bottom electrode, generates new expectations for the development of improved molecular junctions by the use of bidentate anchoring groups.
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