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Abstract

The study was carried out to evaluate effect of weeding regimes on pest infestation, growth and yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). The experiment was conducted at the Kogi State University Students’ Research and Demonstration Farm Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria, during 2016 cropping season. The treatment investigated are: i) No weeding, ii) hoe weeding every two weeks, iii) hoe weeding every three weeks, and iv) hoe weeding every four weeks, apportioned to a Random Complete Block Design (RCBD) with five (5) replications. Data were collected on crop growth and yield in addition to pest infestations. Final plant height (8 WAS), leaf number (6 WAS and 8 WAS), leaf area (6 WAS and 8 WAS), crop yield and pest infestation responded significant to weeding regime. Generally, unfavourable conditions created by weed infestation led to observed reduction in crop growth and yield, while encouraging pest incidence. These effects were more pronounced with delayed weeding, where delaying weeding led to reduction in crop growth, yield and yield characters, thus should be avoided in okra production. Weeding every 2 weeks significantly reduced the number of pests, which progressively increased with delay in weeding with the control giving the highest number of pests; as presence of weeds offered the various insect pests habitat as well as protection. The treatment also gave the best crop performance regarding most growth and yield characters, thus recommended.
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1. Introduction

Weeds are nutrient-absorbing competitive plants (competing for nutrients, solar radiation and space) possessing characteristics of plentiful growth and reproduction. Their management is the most important aspect of crop production [1, 2]. Weed management is the ability to manipulate weeds so that they do not seriously interfere with efficient use of the environment in crop production [1]. The goal is to focus the limited weed management resources on the crop growth stages at which weed interference is most critical [2].

As observed earlier, generally weeds are harmful to crops; competing for environmental factors such as nutrients, moisture, light and space [2]. Some produce toxic substances in the root region which can inhibit the germination of crops around them, while some are parasitic in nature. In addition weeds can serve as alternative hosts for pests and diseases of crop plants, for example *Acalyptia ciliata* which acts as host to root knot nematode. Weeds are important limiting factor in tropical crop production, growing very rapidly and obnoxiously in the rainy season. Uncontrolled weed growth throughout the life of okra could reduce pod yield by 88% to 93% [3]. Thus weed infestation is a major problem in the production of okra [4]. However, the extent of weed competition depends on the type of weed species, the severity of weed infestation, the duration of infestation and climatic conditions [4].

Previously researchers have reported that generally, an increase of one kg in weed growth produces a corresponding reduction of one kg in crop growth [5 6, 7, 8], thus this research set out to interrogate: Effect of weeding regime on pest infestation...
infestation, growth and yield of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus, l. moench) in Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria, with the following objectives: i.) To evaluate effect of weeding regime on the growth, development and pod yield of okra; ii.) To evaluate effect of weeding regime on pest infestation and weed biomass in Anyigba Kogi state, Nigeria.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Experimental area

The experiment was carried out at the Kogi State University Students’ Research and Demonstration Farm, Anyigba (latitude 7o 61 N and longitude 7o 431 E), with a bimodal rainfall with peak in July and September, mean annual rainfall range of 1808 mm (Akande, 2015), temperature ranging from 17 oC to 36.2 oC and relative humidity which averages 65 – 85% [9]. The experimental land (150 m2) was ploughed harrowed and ridged, then divided into subplots of sizes measuring 2.4 m x 1.9 m with ridges spaced 75 cm apart, and subplots separated by border rows measuring 0.5 m. Okra seeds were sown two per hole to a depth of 2.5 cm at 75 cm x 30 cm spacing.

2.2. Treatment and experimental design

The treatment investigated are: i) No weeding, ii) hoe weeding every two weeks, iii) hoe weeding every three weeks, and iv) hoe weeding every four weeks, apportioned to a Random Complete Block Design (RCBD) with five (5) replications.

2.3. Data collection

2.3.1. Data collected on okra plant

- Establishment count: This involves physical counting of establishment stands two weeks after sowing (2WAS).
- In addition, the following growth and yield parameters were collected on 10% sampled population per plot which were randomly obtained from the net plot and tagged for data collection:
  - Plant height taken every two weeks: Involves measuring the length of the plant from the ground level to the epical bud using a meter rule. The results obtained were recorded as means of the sampled population.
  - Numbers of leaves taken every two weeks: Involves the physical counting of the leaves. The results were recorded as means of the sampled population as in plant height determination.
  - Leaf area taken every two weeks: Involves measuring the length and breadth of the leaf and multiplying by a factor. With the results obtained recorded as means of the sampled population.
  - Stem girth taken every two weeks: This involves measuring the plant girth just above the ground level using the Venier caliper and the results recorded as means of the sampled population.
  - Number of harvested pods: Determined by physical counting of all harvested pods per sampled population in each plot. The result obtained was recorded as means of the sampled population.
  - Weight of harvested pods: Determined by weighing all harvested pods / net plot on a weighing balance.
  - Average pod length: Determined using a Venier caliper.
  - Fruit Diameter: Determined using a Venier caliper.

2.3.2. Data collected on weed and pests population

- Weed sample collection and biomass determination: Samples of weeds in a 15 cm x 15 cm quadrant were collected, identified and classified based on their floral morphology (broad or narrow), then oven dried at 70°C for 24 hours.
- Pest samples collection: Samples of pest within 15 cm x 15 cm quadrant were collected, identified and classified.
- Data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and means found to be statistically significant at 5 per cent probability were separated using the Least Significant Differences (LSD). Weed and pests data were transformed using the square root transformation before analysis [10].
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of weeding regimes on plant height

At two, four and six weeks after sowing no significant (P ≥ 0.05) effect of weeding regime was observed on plant height (Table 1), though reductions in plant heights were observed as weeding was delayed from weeding every 2 weeks to weeding every 4 weeks. Where weeding every two weeks gave the highest plant height, followed by weeding every three weeks then weeding every four weeks and lastly no weeding. The treatment (weeding regime), however significantly (P ≤ 0.05) influenced height at eight weeks after sowing maintaining the same pattern as seen in earlier weeks. The non significant effects of weeding regime on okra height noticed in the first six weeks gives the time lag required for the competitive effect between the crop and weeds to reach significant level. Key factors that could account for this delay include weed population per unit area, weed vigorousness, weed competitiveness, length of crop-weed interaction, or crop competitiveness.

The observed pattern of a progressive reduction in plant height with delayed weeding is similar to previous findings [11], who reported that plant heights from the un-weeded plots were the lowest. Generally, the unfavourable conditions created by weed infestation must have affected the vitality of okra [12, 13, 14] leading to the observed reduction in crop height with delay in weeding as the crop struggled with weeds for nutrients, solar radiation and space. Increasing weeding frequencies reduces the length of time the crop is associated with weeds, thus enhancing the potentials of the okra crop, and vice versa [15]. Thus for those crops with longer crop-weed association, it should be expected that the weeds should have more impact on the crop potentials [16] negatively. To reduce such negative impact of weeds on the okra crop, there may be the need to reduce the length of crop-weed interactions [15, 16], by increasing the number of weeding conducted.

Table 1 Effect of weeding regime on the plant height (cm)

| Weeding regime          | Plant height (cm) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|
|                         | 2WAS  | 4WAS  | 6WAS  | 8WAS  |
| Control (no weeding)    | 4.70  | 9.63  | 13.89 | 16.05 |
| Weeding every 2 weeks   | 5.42  | 10.17 | 15.73 | 20.73 |
| Weeding every 3 weeks   | 5.31  | 9.72  | 15.35 | 19.13 |
| Weeding every 4 weeks   | 5.06  | 9.48  | 14.71 | 18.41 |
| F-LSD (0.05)            | Ns    | Ns    | Ns    | 1.317*|

Ns = F-LSD not significant at 0.05 percent probability; * F-LSD significant at 0.05 percent probability

3.2. Effect of weeding regimes on stem girth

Stem girth, which is a measure of a plant’s stem width, is an indication of the stem ability to resist lodging resulting from wind or pod bearing [17]. The thicker the girth, the less breakable the stem should be [17]. Results from the study however show that weeding regimes had no significant (P ≥ 0.05) effect on stem girth, thought the control treatment gave the least stem girth at 4, 6 and 8WAS (Table 2).

Table 2 Effect of weeding regimes on stem girth (cm)

| Weeding regime       | Stem girth |
|----------------------|------------|
|                      | 2WAS | 4WAS | 6WAS | 8WAS |
| Control (no weeding) | 0.62 | 1.02 | 1.32 | 1.46 |
| Weeding every 2 weeks| 0.58 | 1.06 | 1.40 | 1.62 |
| Weeding every 3 weeks| 0.54 | 1.14 | 1.38 | 1.58 |
| Weeding every 4 weeks| 0.62 | 1.14 | 1.36 | 1.52 |
| F-LSD (0.05)         | Ns    | Ns   | Ns   | Ns   |

Ns = F-LSD not significant at 0.05 percent probability
3.3. Effect of weeding regimes on number of leaves and leaf area

Significant (P ≥ 0.05) effect of weeding regime was observed on leaf number (Table 3) as well as leaf area (Table 4), at 6 and 8WAS but not at 2 and 4WAS; probably because of the time interval required for the treatment effect to become evident on the okra crop. Generally, reduction in leaf number and leaf area was observed as weeding was delayed for 2 to 4, with weeding every two weeks giving the highest leaf number as well as leaf area, followed by weeding every three weeks then weeding every four weeks and lastly no weeding. Unfavourable conditions created by weed infestation must have affected the vitality of okra [12, 13, 14] leading to the observed reduction in leaf number and leaf area with delay in weeding as the crop struggled with weeds.

Table 3 Effect of weeding regimes on number of leaves

| Weeding regime       | Number of leaves |
|----------------------|------------------|
|                      | 2WAS | 4WAS | 6WAS | 8WAS |
| Control (no weeding) | 3.27  | 5.40 | 3.80 | 4.33 |
| Weeding every 2 weeks| 3.47  | 5.53 | 4.99 | 6.00 |
| Weeding every 3 weeks| 3.20  | 5.47 | 4.47 | 5.33 |
| Weeding every 4 weeks| 3.33  | 5.47 | 4.60 | 5.07 |
| F-LSD (0.05)         | Ns    | Ns   | 0.701* | 0.726* |

Ns = F-LSD not significant at 0.05 percent probability; * F-LSD significant at 0.05 percent probability

Table 4 Effect of weeding regimes on leaf area (cm²)

| Weeding regime       | Leaf area (cm²) |
|----------------------|-----------------|
|                      | 2WAS | 4WAS | 6WAS | 8WAS |
| Control (no weeding) | 7.70  | 44.77 | 58.05 | 83.62 |
| Weeding every 2 weeks| 9.13  | 56.40 | 98.00 | 124.53 |
| Weeding every 3 weeks| 8.00  | 48.31 | 89.87 | 111.28 |
| Weeding every 4 weeks| 10.14 | 45.91 | 84.67 | 91.43 |
| F-LSD (0.05)         | Ns    | Ns   | 4.269** | 9.237** |

Ns = F-LSD not significant at 0.05 percent probability; * F-LSD significant at 0.05 percent probability

3.4. Effect of weeding regimes on pod yield

Results from the study show that weeding regime had significant (P ≤ 0.05) effect on the number of harvested pods per plant (Table 5), average weight of harvested pods and pod yield/ha, but the treatment had no significant (P ≥ 0.05) effect on mean pod diameter and mean pod length. The control had the lowest pod yield per plant (7.40), lowest pod length (3.90 cm), lowest pod diameter (2.25 cm) and lowest pod harvested per ha (12.32 t ha⁻¹), while weeding every 2 weeks gave the highest number of harvested pods per plant (20.80), highest pod length (6.70 cm), pod diameter (5.75 cm) and pod yield per ha (20.8 t/ha).

The observation as compared to the control is similar to previous findings [18], where uncontrolled weed growth throughout the life cycle of okra reduced okra marketable fruit between 88% and 90% compared with those kept weed free throughout the growth period. While it has been suggested that okra field should be kept weed free throughout growth and production period to obtain maximum pod yield [1]; as keeping weeds beyond three weeks in okra field is reported to caused higher flower abortion, which is capable of reducing fruit formation and consequently lower fruit yield [1]. Observing that most crops have certain range of tolerance to weed competition and length of period in which they are required to be weed free [18, 19, 20, 21].
Table 5 Effect of weeding regimes on total pod yield of okra

| Weeding regime          | Pod yield and yield characters |          |          |          |          |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                         | No of pod Harvested / Plant    | Pod length (cm) | Pod diameter (cm) | Pod weight (g plant⁻¹) | Pod yield (t ha⁻¹) |
| Control (no weeding)    | 7.40                           | 3.90     | 2.25     | 35.02    | 12.32    |
| Weeding every 2 weeks   | 20.80                          | 6.70     | 5.75     | 98.04    | 30.09    |
| Weeding every 3 weeks   | 16.40                          | 5.30     | 4.66     | 79.00    | 21.50    |
| Weeding every 4 weeks   | 10.20                          | 4.80     | 5.17     | 56.20    | 17.32    |
| F-LSD (0.05)            | 4.484**                        | Ns       | Ns       | 27.736** | 6.091**  |

Ns = F-LSD not significant at 0.05 percent probability; * F-LSD significant at 0.05 percent probability

3.5. Effect of weeding regimes on pest infestation

The common pests identified were red spider mites, aphids, vegetable leaf miner and white fly. Results from the study shows that weeding regimes had no significant (P ≥ 0.05) effect on pest infestation at 2WAS, however the treatment significantly influenced pest infestation at 4WAS, 6WAS and 8WAS (Table 6). Weeding every 2 weeks significantly reduced the number of pests, which progressively increased with delay in weeding with the control giving the highest number of pests; as presence of weeds offered the various insect pests habitat as well as protection. Generally the longer the time period between weeding the more the vegetative growth (Table 7); and the more protection provided for insect pests, thus the observed results.

The common weeds identified were Talinum triangulare, Tridax procumben, Cynodon dactylon and Imperata cylindrical.

Table 6 Effect of weeding regimes on pest infestation

| Weeding regime           | Pest infestation |          |          |          |          |
|--------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                          | 2WAS  | 4WAS  | 6WAS  | 8WAS  |          |
| Control (no weeding)     | 5.20   | 7.80  | 10.14  | 17.20  |          |
| Weeding every 2 weeks    | 3.80   | 5.20  | 4.40   | 5.20   |          |
| Weeding every 3 weeks    | 4.80   | 4.20  | 4.60   | 6.00   |          |
| Weeding every 4 weeks    | 5.20   | 6.40  | 6.00   | 9.80   |          |
| F-LSD (0.05)             | Ns     | 1.705**| 3.902**| 2.421**|          |

Ns = F-LSD not significant at 0.05 percent probability; * F-LSD significant at 0.05 percent probability

Table 7 Effect of weeding regimes on weed biomass

| Weeding regime           | Weed biomass (g) / 15 cm x 15 cm quadrate |          |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|
|                          | 4WAS  | 6WAS  | 8WAS  |          |
| Control (no weeding)     | 9.97  | 74.79 | 203.81|          |
| Weeding every 2 weeks    | 5.81  | 67.52 | 22.47 |          |
| Weeding every 3 weeks    | 7.30  | 81.27 | 28.54 |          |
| Weeding every 4 weeks    | 8.28  | 91.97 | 132.09|          |
| F-LSD (0.05)             | 1.242**| 5.081**| 17.213**|          |

Ns = F-LSD not significant at 0.05 percent probability; * F-LSD significant at 0.05 percent probability
4. Conclusion

Weeds are detrimental to crops; competing for nutrients, solar radiation and crop space as well as tasking the farmer's finance, labour and time. This is more so considering their characteristics plentiful growth and reproduction capability. Considering that most farmers are poor, there is the need for adequate weed management taking into cognizance the frequency of weeding operations, which will be most effective. Thus this study was carried to evaluate effect of weeding regimes on pest infestation, growth and yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench). Generally, the unfavourable conditions created by weed infestation led to observed reduction in crop growth and yield, while encouraging pest incidence. These effects were more pronounced with delayed weeding, where delaying weeding led to reduction in crop growth and yield formation.
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