GhTCE1–GhTCEE1 dimers regulate transcriptional reprogramming during wound-induced callus formation in cotton
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Abstract

Wounded plant cells can form callus to seal the wound site. Alternatively, wounding can cause adventitious organogenesis or somatic embryogenesis. These distinct developmental pathways require specific cell fate decisions. Here, we identify GhTCE1, a basic helix–loop–helix family transcription factor, and its interacting partners as a central regulatory module of early cell fate transition during in vitro dedifferentiation of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). RNAi- or CRISPR/Cas9-mediated loss of GhTCE1 function resulted in excessive accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), arrested callus cell elongation, and increased adventitious organogenesis. In contrast, GhTCE1-overexpressing tissues underwent callus cell growth, but organogenesis was repressed. Transcriptome analysis revealed that several pathways depend on proper regulation of GhTCE1 expression, including lipid transfer pathway components, ROS homeostasis, and cell expansion. GhTCE1 bound to the promoters of the target genes GhLTP2 and GhLTP3, activating their expression synergistically, and the heterodimer TCE1-TCEE1 enhances this activity. GhLTP2- and GhLTP3-deficient tissues accumulated ROS and had arrested callus cell elongation, which was restored by ROS scavengers. These results reveal a unique regulatory network involving ROS and lipid transfer proteins, which act as potential ROS scavengers. This network acts as a switch between unorganized callus growth and organized development during in vitro dedifferentiation of cotton cells.

Introduction

Developmental plasticity in response to environmental conditions is a key distinguishing feature of plants, and this is seen clearly in the response to mechanical wounding. Following wounding, differentiated somatic cells undergo dedifferentiation either to form wound callus that seal the wound site to prevent infection or to undergo de novo organogenesis, such as adventitious rooting or, during tissue culture, somatic embryogenesis (SE) (e.g. Chen et al., 2014;...
Regulation of callus cell development by GhTCE1/GhTCE1-GhLTP-mediated ROS homeostasis

Results

GhTCE1 promotes callus cell elongation during dedifferentiation of cotton cells

GhTCE1 (Gh_D06G2316) was identified during an RNA-seq profiling analysis during cotton SE (Yang et al., 2012), and was chosen for further study because, as a potential TF, it might play a role in early events during callus formation or SE. The 996-bp cDNA encodes a predicted bHLH family TF of 331 amino acid residues. The expression pattern of GhTCE1 was determined in cotton root, stem, leaf, anther, and at different time points during SE from cultured hypocotyl explants, and at earlier time points after explant wounding. GhTCE1 was expressed at low levels in vegetative and reproductive organs, but much more strongly during different stages of SE (Figure 1A). Moreover, it was induced at earlier time points after wounding, with the increased expression being detectable within 60 min, and very strong by 720 min, after wounding (Figure 1B), suggesting a role in the reprogramming process.

Gh_D06G2316 and Gh_A06G0241 are paralogs on the D and A subgenomes of tetraploid cotton, sharing 98.8% sequence identity (Supplemental Figure S1). In order to explore the function of GhTCE1, we created RNAi (Ri) lines, overexpression (OE) lines, and CRISPR-mediated GhTCE1d/A (Gh_D06G2316/Gh_A06G0241) double mutants. Two Ri lines (Ri1 and Ri2), two OE lines (OE1 and OE3), a wild-type line (WT), as well as an Ri-negative line (Null) that segregated from Ri1 in the T1 generation, were selected for detailed analysis. Immunoblotting analyses revealed that each of these Ri and OE transgenic lines contain a single-copy T-DNA insertion (Figure 1C). The expression levels of GhTCE1 decreased to 24.0% of WT levels in Ri1 and to 21.6% in Ri2, and increased in the OE lines by 833.8% (OE1) and 1036.2% (OE3) compared with WT (Figure 1D). In addition, we created two double mutant lines ko15 and ko18 using CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene editing. These were confirmed by high-throughput sequencing as frame-shift mutations and were selected for further study (Figure 1E). OE and Ri mature plants were morphologically similar to WT (Figure 1F).

Wounded explants from different transgenic lines were cultured on MSB (MS medium plus B5 vitamins) medium according to our previous methods (Yang et al., 2012). At 20 days post wounding, significantly more adventitious roots were produced and a higher ratio of adventitious root regeneration was observed on Ri and mutant explants compared with WT plants. In contrast, the OE lines showed callus growth but no adventitious organogenesis (Figure 2A and Supplemental Figure S2). Both the callus proliferation rate (CPR), which is a measure of the fresh weight of callus tissue produced over time, and callus cell length were measured at 20 days post wounding. The CPR of lines Ri1 and Ri2 was reduced to 45.3% and 56.9%, respectively, compared with WT, and was even lower in ko15 (24.5%) and ko18...
Figure 1 Analysis of GhTCE1 expression and characterization of transgenic plants. A, Expression pattern of GhTCE1 in different tissues. SE-0d, -1d, -3d, -5d, -7d, or -14d means the explants of hypocotyls were induced for 1, 3, 5, 7, or 14 days during SE (embryogenic callus [SE-EC], globular stage embryo [SE-GE], torpedo stage embryo [SE-TE], and cotyledon stage embryo [SE-CE]). B, Expression pattern of GhTCE1 soon after wounding. The expression of GhUB7 was used as internal control. C, Immunoblot analysis of GhTCE1 transgenic lines. The first lane represents the λ DNA size marker. The DNA samples were digested with HindIII. The magenta front indicates the selected lines for study. D, Relative expression of GhTCE1 in transgenic lines 7 days post SE induction. WT, wild type; Ri1 and Ri2, Ri lines; OE1 and OE3, OE lines. Null, negative plants segregated from Ri1 in the T1 generation. The expression of GhUB7 was used as internal control. E, Sequence analysis of GhTCE1 transgenic lines. The PAM motif is marked in magenta and kept at the target sites. The PAM motif is marked in magenta and GTTTGGC downstream of the PAM motif. The black dotted line represents deletion compared with WT. F, Phenotypes of GhTCE1 transgenic plant lines grown on soil. Bars = 20 cm. Error bars in (A), (B), and (D) represent ± standard error of three biological replicates (~20 explants were used as one replicate). Significance tests compared each transgenic line with WT plants. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Supplemental Data Set S2.

GhTCE1 modulates ROS but not auxin homeostasis during cotton cell dedifferentiation

ROS and auxin play essential roles in cell fate determination and growth (Chapman et al., 2019). To examine the possible role for ROS, we monitored its accumulation by 2,7-dichloro-dihydrofluorescein diacetate (DCFH-DA) staining. DCFH-DA can permeate cell membranes and then be oxidized by ROS into impermeant DCF, which is fluorescent under UV light. The GhTCE1-Ri lines and mutant lines showed strong green fluorescence after DCFH-DA staining, but none was seen in WT or OE lines (Figure 2E). H2O2 concentrations were assayed and found to be significantly higher in Ri lines than in WT, and were significantly lower in OE lines than WT (Figure 2F). This demonstrates that GhTCE1 is a regulator of ROS accumulation, and that high levels of GhTCE1 expression are associated with reduced ROS accumulation, increased callus cell growth, and reduced adventitious organogenesis.

To determine the possible role of auxin in GhTCE1-mediated cell reprogramming, we measured IAA concentrations in the different lines at 20 days post wounding. Whereas one Ri line and one OE line had altered IAA levels, the IAA concentrations in all other lines were comparable, and on average similar to levels in WT (Supplemental Figure S3A). We also treated the explants with a synthetic auxin analog indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) to explore whether auxin treatment can mimic the phenotypes of wounded transgenic tissues. Whereas exogenous auxin promoted cell dedifferentiation and callus proliferation at 10 days post wounding, it promoted adventitious root formation in a concentration-dependent manner, and inhibited callus cell proliferation, at 20 days post wounding (Supplemental Figure S3B). Therefore, exogenous auxin treatments phenocopied the OE-like phenotype at 10 days and the Ri-like phenotype at 20 days post wounding (Supplemental Figure S3B). This suggests that auxin may function during organogenesis, but it is not likely be the cause of differential cell proliferation between GhTCE1 transgenic lines.
GhTCE1 targets and activates the expression of cell elongation-related genes during cotton cell dedifferentiation

To explore the underlying mechanism by which GhTCE1 promotes disorganized cell growth, we conducted a transcriptomics analysis using the explants of the different transgenic lines at 7 days post wounding. Differences in cell dedifferentiation among WT, Ri, and OE were first apparent in Ri compared with WT; 62 down-regulated DEGs in OE, and 63 up-regulated and change of j 4 based on a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05. These functions in sterol synthesis, cell wall modification, cell expansion, and lipid transfer (Figure 3B and Supplemental Figure S6A). bHLH family TFs can bind the E-box (5’-CANNTG-3’) or G-box (5’-CAGTG-3’) of target gene promoters, depending on sequences in the basic region (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2003), and sequence analysis suggests that GhTCE1 belongs to the E-box binding sub-family. To confirm the transcriptional activity of GhTCE1, activation assays were carried out in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). The full length GhTCE1 coding sequence was fused to a GAL4 DNA binding domain (BD), designated Full:BD. The yeast strain Y2HGold harboring Full:BD can activate the GAL4 reporter gene (Supplemental Figure S6B), demonstrating that GhTCE1 is a transcriptional activator. To profile the region responsible for its transcriptional activation, we constructed a series of truncated GhTCE1:BD sequences in yeast Y2HGold and mated yeast strains containing them with yeast strain Y187 harboring the empty vector pGADT7.
In diploid yeast, the N-terminal region (1–160 aa) can activate the reporter gene while the C-terminal region (161–331 aa) cannot (Supplemental Figure S6D). Sequences comprising either 80–240 aa or 80–331 aa can also activate the reporter gene, suggesting that the region from 80 to 160 aa is critical for GhTCE1 transcriptional activation activity (Supplemental Figure S6D).

We downloaded 2-kb promoter region sequences (i.e. upstream of the translation start site) of 29 candidate target genes with potential roles in cell elongation from the cotton genome database (https://cottonfgd.org/) and then determined the presence and number of E-boxes. The number of E-boxes ranged from 2 to 13 (Supplemental Table S2). We cloned 26 promoters from the 29 candidates for testing in transactivation studies, which used a luciferase (LUC) reporter activation system in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) leaves (Figure 3D). Of the 26 candidate promoters, 14 were activated by GhTCE1 to relatively low levels, less than two-fold above basal levels (Figure 3E). One possible explanation for this relatively low activation is that the full transcriptional activation of downstream targets may require protein partners which are absent in leaf.

As GhLTPs are the most abundant members among the candidate GhTCE1 targets, and both GhLTP2 and GhLTP3
are highly expressed during SE, we selected the promoters of \( \text{GhLTP2} \) and \( \text{GhLTP3} \) for transcriptional activation assays with both full-length \( \text{GhTCE1} \) and the N-terminal truncated \( \text{GhTCE1} \) (\( \Delta \text{GhTCE1} \)). The results show that \( \text{GhTCE1} \) can interact with the promoters of both \( \text{GhLTP2} \) (\( \text{LTP2}_{\text{pro}} \)) and \( \text{GhLTP3} \) (\( \text{LTP3}_{\text{pro}} \)), promoting LUC expression in tobacco leaves. In contrast, the N-terminal truncated version of \( \text{GhTCE1} \) did not activate \( \text{LTP2}_{\text{pro}} \) and \( \text{LTP3}_{\text{pro}} \) (Figure 4E). The results showed that, in addition to \( \text{LTP2}_{\text{pro}} \), \( \text{GhLTP3} \) (\( \text{LTP3}_{\text{pro}} \)) was also detected in young leaf or other tissues which undergo cell expansion or dedifferentiation following wounding (Leaf/SE), and the average relative expression ratio of the activated candidates between young leaf and callus 7 days post wounding (Leaf/SE) ranged from 0.0008 to 0.0764 (Supplemental Figure S7A). This suggests that \( \text{GhTCE1} \) cannot fully activate its candidate targets in young leaves, an idea consistent with the lack of morphological differences between \( \text{GhTCE1} \) OE, Ri lines, and WT (Figure 1F). To investigate \( \text{GhTCE1} \) expression during cell dedifferentiation, callus formation was induced by stem grafting (Supplemental Figure S7B). Expression of \( \text{GhTCE1} \) changed a little during grafting-induced callus formation (Supplemental Figure S7C). Among the 29 candidate target genes of \( \text{GhTCE1} \), 7 were induced during callus formation (Supplemental Figure S7C), suggesting possible roles in cell dedifferentiation and callus formation, but in a \( \text{GhTCE1} \)-independent manner. Given that OE of \( \text{GhTCE1} \) inhibits organogenesis from wounded explants, we conclude it must be down-regulated after the early callus induction phase and prior to organogenesis.

**Reduced expression of \( \text{GhLTP2} \) and \( \text{GhLTP3} \) results in increased ROS accumulation and reduced callus cell growth during dedifferentiation**

The above analysis shows that \( \text{GhTCE1} \) activates the expression of both \( \text{GhLTP2} \) and \( \text{GhLTP3} \). To determine whether \( \text{GhLTP2} \) and \( \text{GhLTP3} \) (\( \text{GhLTP2/3} \)) also function in the control of callus formation or cell differentiation in cotton, \( \text{GhLTP2/3} \) Ri lines, and their expression was highly suppressed (Figure 5, A and B). The wounded explants from different transgenic lines showed significantly decreased CPR compared with WT, having smaller cell size during callus formation (Figure 5, C–F). DCFH-DA staining showed that callus from both \( \text{GhLTP2} \) and \( \text{GhLTP3} \) Ri lines accumulate more ROS than WT, and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations increased significantly in the Ri lines (Figure 5, G and H).

To further investigate the possible role for \( \text{GhTCE1} \)-\( \text{GhLTP2/3} \) in the regulation of ROS homeostasis and a link with cell elongation, tissues were grown in the presence of the ROS scavenger 1,3-dimethylthiourea (DMTU) to determine any effects on callus formation or growth. All \( \text{GhLTP2} \) and \( \text{GhLTP3} \) (\( \text{GhLTP2/3} \)) Ri lines, but particularly \( \text{GhLTP2/3} \) Ri lines both exhibited less ROS fluorescence in the presence of DMTU, with \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) concentrations increased significantly in the Ri lines (Figure 5, G and H).
These results further indicate that GhLTP2/3 expression is associated with low ROS accumulation and increased disorganized cell growth.

GhTCEE1 enhances the activation ability of GhTCE1 by forming heterodimers

We have shown here that GhTCE1 activates cell elongation-related genes during somatic cell dedifferentiation and callos formation, whereas the activation of these genes by GhTCE1 is much weaker in cotton and tobacco leaves (Figure 3E). This suggests that GhTCE1 alone is not sufficient for full transcriptional activation and that other partners may be also involved during cell reprogramming. To identify potential partner proteins, the activation domain-truncated version of GhTCE1 (C-terminal from 161 to 331 aa), which contains the bHLH domain, was used as bait for yeast two-hybrid screening with the prey library constructed from callos cDNA isolated early after wounding. A total of 10 GhTCE1 candidate interacting proteins were identified (Supplemental Table S3). It has been reported that bHLHs usually regulate downstream targets by dimerization (Toledo-Ortiz et al., 2003), and so we focused on members of this family of TFs. We found that three bHLH proteins...
(Gh_D11G3523, Gh_D11G0415, and Gh_D11G0650) interacted with GhTCE1 (Figure 6A and Supplemental Figure S9). The interaction between GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 (Gh_D11G3523) was further verified by bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) (Figure 6B). Consistent with interaction between the two proteins, the expression of GhTCEE1 was induced at earlier time-points after wounding, was detectable within 540 min after wounding, and increased strongly beyond this to 720 min after wounding, showing a co-expression pattern with GhTCE1 (Supplemental Figure S10).

The LUC reporter activation assay was also used to verify the enhanced activation ability of GhTCE1 by GhTCEE1. The results showed that GhTCEE1 can activate GhLTP2pro and GhLTP3pro for LUC expression in a similar way as does GhTCE1 (Figure 6C). Furthermore, co-expression of GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 led to significantly higher levels of GhLTP2pro and GhLTP3pro for LUC expression than either GhTCE1 or GhTCEE1 alone (Figure 6D), showing that GhTCE1 and its interacting protein GhTCEE1 function cooperatively to activate the expression of both GhLTP2 and GhLTP3.

**Discussion**

**GhTCE1 regulates callus and adventitious root formation in an antagonistic manner**

Callus and adventitious roots are typically induced following wounding or exogenous hormone application. The mutants alf4-1 and clf-50 swn-1 are defective in callus formation and also failed to produce adventitious roots, suggesting that callus and adventitious roots share some common genetic pathways (Liu et al., 2014). It has also been suggested that callus, formed from multiple organs, shares a gene
Figure 6 GhTCEE1 enhances the activation activity of GhTCE1 by forming heterodimers. A, Sequences encoding the GhTCE1 C-terminus from aa residues 160–331 without transactivation activity were fused to sequences encoding a GAL4 BD domain and designated GhTCE1-BD. The prey AD was linked to callus CDNAs. Negative represents no growth, positive represents growth. SD-TL/TLHA represents synthetically defined medium dropouts Trp, Leu or Trp, Leu, His, and Ade, respectively. B, BiFC assay between GhTCE1–nYFP and GhTCEE–cYFP in tobacco epidermal cells. AD was linked to callus cDNAs. Negative represents no growth, positive represents growth. SD-TL/TLHA represents synthetically defined medium dropouts Trp, Leu or Trp, Leu, His, and Ade, respectively. C, LUC assays in tobacco leaves and cotton callus protoplasts. Promoters of GhLTP2 and GhTL3 were, respectively, fused upstream of LUC. 35Spro:REN was used as an internal control. GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 were fused downstream of 35Spro in pGreenII 62-SK. The pGreenII 62-SK empty vector was used as control named Null. For each tobacco leaf, four constructs were expressed: (i) pGreenII 0800-LUC:REN + pGreenII 62-SK empty (Null/35Spro); (ii) pGreenII 0800-LUC:GhLTP2pro + 35Spro:REN + pGreenII 62-SK empty (Null/LTP2pro); (iii) pGhLTP2pro + 35Spro:REN + pGreenII 62-SK:GhTCEE1 (GhTCEE1/LTP3pro); (iv) pGreenII 0800-LUC:GhLTP2pro + 35Spro:REN + pGreenII 62-SK:GhTCE1 (GhTCE1/LTP3pro); and (v) pGreenII 0800-LUC:GhLTP2pro + 35Spro:REN + pGreenII 62-SK:GhTCE1 + GhTCEE1 (GhTCE1+GhTCEE1/LTP3pro) following Agrobacterium-mediated transfection. D, Activation of LUC expression by GhTCEE1/GhTCEE1 in cotton callus protoplasts. Relative activation level represents the ratio of fluorescence intensity of LUC/REN with GhTCE1 to LUC/REN without GhTCE1. Error bars represent ± standard errors of three biological replicates as described in Figure 3E. Statistical significance is indicated by *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, Supplemental Data Set S2.

expression pattern with some similarities to the root meristem (Sugimoto et al., 2010). LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARIES DOMAIN (LBD) proteins are key transcriptional regulators for both lateral root development and callus induction, and their expression is directly regulated by WUSCHEL RELATED HOMEBOX11 (WOX11) and WOX12 (Fan et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014, 2018). In addition, WOX13 also plays a key role in callus formation and organ reconnection following grafting by regulating cellular reprogramming and cell wall modification (Ikeuchi et al., 2021). LBDs can interact with specific bHLH proteins to co-regulate downstream targets (Husband et al., 2007). GhTCE1 acts as a bHLH protein and was found to interact with multiple TFs in yeast two-hybrid screens (Supplemental Figure S7). Future studies could determine whether GhTCE1 also interacts with LBDs during callus or adventitious organ development.

Although callus and adventitious roots are regulated by some common factors, there exists an antagonism between extensive callus formation, in which differentiated cells reprogramme and undergo elongation as they lose their original differentiated state, and adventitious root formation, in which cells form de novo meristems which, in contrast to callus cells, are small and highly organized. High concentrations of the auxin IBA promote callus production while lower concentrations promote adventitious roots (Supplemental Figure S2B), consistent with previous reports for indole acetic acid (IAA) treatment (Liu et al., 2014). Previously we found that GhL1L1 promotes adventitious root development rather than callus proliferation (Xu et al., 2019). In the current study, we show that explants expressing reduced levels of GhTCE1 showed reduced callus proliferation but enhanced adventitious root formation (Figure 2A).
suggesting that GhTCE1 has an opposite or competing function to GhL11, further illustrating the antagonistic relationship between callus formation and adventitious root development.

**GhTCE1 regulates callus cell proliferation and elongation by regulating multiple pathways**

The transcriptomic analysis presented shows that multiple pathways linked to cell proliferation and elongation are regulated by GhTCE1. Among the 61 DEGs activated by GhTCE1, genes related to sterol synthesis, cell wall modification, cell extension/expansion, ROS homeostasis, and lipid transfer were highly enriched. Sterols such as brassinosteroids (BRs) are required for cell elongation and plant growth (Clouse, 2002). BR treatment of cultured cotton ovules results in a significant increase in fiber length, while the BR synthesis inhibitor BRZ strongly inhibits fiber elongation (Sun et al., 2005). BR-defective mutants show extremely dwarfed phenotypes with reduced cell length (Azpiroz, 2005). BR treatment of cultured cotton ovules results in a significant increase in fiber length, while the BR synthesis inhibitor BRZ strongly inhibits fiber elongation (Sun et al., 2005). BR-defective mutants show extremely dwarfed phenotypes with reduced cell length (Azpiroz, 1998). The BR-inducible gene EXORDIUM (EXO) was also found among the DEGs. EXO was originally identified in a promoter trap screen for early embryo genes in Arabidopsis (Farrar et al., 2003), and it encodes an extracellular protein required for cell expansion in Arabidopsis (Schröder et al., 2009).

Cell wall modification and cell expansion pathways regulate cell elongation. The identified DEGs contain genes predicted to be involved in cell wall metabolism, including pectin methylsterase, putative pectin acyl transferase, β-1,3-glucanase, and BBE-like enzymes for monolignol oxidation, expansion, and extension. Pectin de-methylsterification is important for hypocotyl and internode cell elongation in Arabidopsis (Pelletier et al., 2010; Peaucelle et al., 2011). Also, pectin acetylation is involved in hypocotyl elongation, and mutation of pectin acetyltransferase results in short hypocotyls under dark growth conditions (Sinclair et al., 2017). Callose (β-1,3-glucan) can accumulate under stress conditions to impregnate the cell wall and restrict cell growth (Piršelová and Matusíková, 2012). Degradation of callose by β-1,3-glucanase can increase cell wall flexibility and promote pollen tube germination and elongation (Parre and Geitmann, 2005). BBE-like was reported to participate in the oxidation and mobilization of monolignols (Daniel et al., 2015), and a direct target of bZIP59-LBD16 in Arabidopsis is required for callus initiation during early SE (Xu et al., 2018), suggesting that cell wall metabolism is important for cell de-differentiation and callus growth. Extensins and expansins are involved in cell wall assembly and are required for elongation of root hairs and hypocotyl cells (Cannon et al., 2008; Zdanio et al., 2020). We found that two homologous genes, encoding an extensin and an expansin, were activated by GhTCE1 during dedifferentiation of cotton somatic cells (Figure 3E). Ri of the extensin gene (Gh_D09G1992) resulted in decreased callus proliferation and cell elongation (Supplemental Figure S11). These data show that GhTCE1 likely promotes callus cell growth, at least in part, by regulating the cell wall modification machinery.

**LTPs participate in cell fate switching via ROS homeostasis**

A key feature of this work is the discovery that GhTCE1 regulates the transcription of the lipid transfer protein genes LTP2 and LTP3 through promoter binding (Figure 4), and data strongly suggest a link with ROS homeostasis in cell fate determination. ROS, including hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), singlet oxygen (¹O₂), the superoxide anion (O²⁻), and the hydroxyl radical (OH⁻), can either promote or repress cell growth according to the particular species and distribution (Passardi et al., 2004). H₂O₂ promotes cell differentiation and strengthens the cell wall by promoting the crosslinking of cell wall components (Raggi et al., 2015). In contrast, OH⁻ promotes cell elongation by cleaving polysaccharides or activating calcium channels (Foreman et al., 2003; Muller et al., 2009). In the current study, two NADPH oxidase and six peroxidase genes were identified as candidate targets of GhTCE1. NADPH oxidase participates in the production of O₂⁻, which can be converted into H₂O₂ spontaneously or by superoxide dismutase (SOD). Peroxidases are involved in H₂O₂ scavenging by substrate oxidation or in the production of OH⁻ via the Haber–Weiss reaction (Shigeto and Tsutsumi, 2016). We provide evidence that GhTCE1 is also involved in ROS homeostasis, and potentially promotes cell elongation by activating the expression of peroxidases and NADPH oxidase.

LTPs represent other potential participants in cell elongation, but their functions have not been well characterized. A number of possible mechanisms by which they influence cell elongation should be considered. LTPs are small compact proteins with lipid binding and transport activity (Edqvist et al., 2018). They are usually localized to extracellular spaces, with targeting mediated by N-terminal signal peptides (Boutrot et al., 2008). LTPs are involved in the deposition of wax and lipid-based polymers in the defense response, as well as in cell wall loosening during cell elongation (Liu et al., 2015). LTPs can interact with, and promote the activity of the pectin-degrading enzyme polygalacturonase (PG), thereby loosening the cell wall (Tomassen et al., 2007). The lipid binding hydrophobic cavity of LTP may also interact with hydrophobic cell wall compounds, thereby disrupting their noncovalent bonding and promoting cell wall extension (Nieuwland et al., 2005). In the current study, reduced expression of LTP2 and LTP3 by Ri resulted in ROS accumulation and restricted callus cell growth, demonstrating a role for LTPs in mediating dedifferentiation control via ROS. Of particular significance here is the previously reported potential role for LTPs as ROS scavengers (e.g. Wang et al., 2014; Gangadharc et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2018). These studies describe how LTPs are upregulated under a range of abiotic stress conditions and can confer stress tolerance by scavenging ROS. Our data are consistent with a role for LTPs in scavenging ROS, regulating the transition from...
differentiated cell type (in the explant) to disorganized callus growth following wounding.

In vitro dedifferentiation of somatic cells post wounding is orchestrated by TFs

Callus induction is a clear example of plant developmental plasticity in which wounding leads to cell dedifferentiation, requiring a reprogramming of gene expression by induced TFs. Auxin response factor (ARF)- and cytokinin response factor (CRF)-mediated auxin and cytokinin responses are essential for callus formation under appropriate auxin/cytokinin ratios. The arf7 arf9 double mutant displays defective callus initiation, but ectopic expression of their downstream targets LBD16/17/18/29 is sufficient to induce autonomous callus production in the absence of exogenous phytohormones (Fan et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2018). Ectopic expression of CRF3 also results in autonomous callus formation (Xu et al., 2012). Furthermore, ARF10 represses the expression of ARABIDOPSIS RESPONSE REGULATOR 15 (ARR15) to promote callus initiation, highlighting the interplay between auxin and cytokinin (Liu et al., 2016). To date, more than 50 TFs have been reported to function during regeneration from callus in Arabidopsis, and nearly half of them participate in the regulation of callus growth itself (Ikeuchi et al., 2019). In the current study, we show that GhTCE1, with its partner protein GhTCEE1, is a part of a critical regulatory module involving LTPs and ROS. This module promotes cell dedifferentiation and callus growth in an auxin-independent manner, and it acts as a molecular switch to determine cell fate control by promoting callus growth and repressing more organized meristemetic growth (Figure 7).

Materials and methods

Plant materials, vector construction, and genetic transformation

The cotton used in this study is G. hirsutum cv YZ1. Transgenic lines were planted in the greenhouse at Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China. The greenhouse was kept at 26°C–32°C, 14-h light/10-h dark photoperiod. The explants from transgenic lines and tobaccos that were used for LUC reporter assays were grown at 25°C in a light cultivation room (16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod, 3,000 lux). Ri vectors for GhTCE1 and GhLTP2/3 were constructed in the pHellsgate 4 vector (Wesley et al., 2001). The OE construct for GhTCE1 was in the pK2GW7 (Karimi et al., 2002) vector. The CRISPR-Cas9-mediated gene editing vector was constructed as described previously (Wang et al., 2018). All the primers used for vector construction are listed in Supplemental File S1. Transgenic plants were created by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation as described previously (Jin et al., 2006). The expression levels of GhTCE1 and GhLTP2/3 in transgenic plants were determined by qRT-PCR, using explants at 7 days post wounding, using GhUB7 as an internal control. T-DNA insertion copy numbers were determined by immunoblotting as described previously (Wang et al., 2018). The mutation analysis of GhTCE1 CRISPR-Cas9 transgenic plants was achieved by the Hi-TOM method as described previously (Liu et al., 2019). Mutants of GhTCE1(A/D) carrying frameshift mutations were chosen for phenotypic analysis.

Callus induction, CPR, cell length analysis, and adventitious root formation

Hypocotyls of etiolated seedlings were cut into 5–7 mm sections as explants for culture on MSB (MS medium plus B5 vitamins) medium and growth conditions of explants as described previously (Yang et al., 2012). The CPR represents the gained weight of per unit weight explants at 20 days post wounding induction. Callus cells were separated in 1× PBS (10 mM sodium phosphate, 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, and pH 7.5) and photographed under a light microscope (ZEISS, AXIO Scope A1). Cell length was measured from the micrograph using Image J software (Rha et al., 2015). Adventitious root formation was measured as a ratio of the frequency of roots formed per explant cultured.

DCFH-DA treatment, ROS staining, and H2O2 measurement

Hypocotyls of etiolated seedling were cut into 5–7 mm sections as explants, 10 mM DMTU was added to MSB medium as the treatment group, and MSB medium lacking DMTU was the negative control. For ROS staining, fresh callus cells were collected 20 days post wounding and stained in the DCFH-DA solution described below for 5 min. Stained cells were rinsed three times in ddH2O and examined under a fluorescence microscope (Nikon, SMZ25) with 480 nm excitation, and 100 ms exposure time was used for each image. The DCFH-DA storage solution (pH 7.5) was 10 mmol/L prepared in dimethylsulfoxide, and it was diluted 1,000-fold with 1× PBS for ROS staining. The concentration of H2O2 from fresh callus was determined using a H2O2 quantification kit (#C500069, Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China).

Endogenous IAA concentration assays and IBA treatment

Explants were collected 20 days post wounding and the IAA levels were determined by HPLC-MS as reported previously (Xu et al., 2019). In standard MSB medium, the IBA concentration (0.5 mg/L) was defined as 1×. The final concentrations of IBA used were 0, 0.5, 1, 2.5, 5, and 10 mg/L in MSB medium and defined as 0×, 1×, 2×, 5×, 10×, and 20×, respectively, for IBA treatments. Explants were photographed 10 and 20 days post wounding.

RNA-seq

Hypocotyls of WT and GhTCE1 Ri and OE lines were used for RNA extraction 7 days post wounding. RNA-seq was performed by the Beijing Genomics Institute. DEGs were determined using the threshold of \( P \leq 0.05 \) and the absolute value of the \( \log_{2} \) fold change \( \geq 1 \). The annotations of DEGs were based on the functions of their homologues in Arabidopsis unless known in cotton.
Subcellular localization

Full length GhTCE1 was cloned into the vector pMDC43 with GFP fused to the N-terminus (Cai and Goodman, 2015). The 35Spro-GFP-GhTCE1 construct was transiently expressed in tobacco epidermal cells following Agrobacterium-mediated transfection. The pMDC43 empty vector harboring 35Spro-GFP was used as control. GFP fluorescence was detected under a confocal microscope (Olympus FV1200) at 2 days following Agrobacterium transfection.

BiFC assay

For the BiFC assay, the full-length sequences of GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 were cloned into pDONR vector (Invitrogen), then into the destination vector pBifCt-2in1-NC by Gateway Technology (Grefen and Blatt, 2012). The recombinant vectors were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101, and transient expression was performed in tobacco epidermal cells. The YFP fluorescence in BiFC assays was examined by confocal microscopy (Olympus FV1200).

Autoactivation analysis of GhTCE1

The full-length sequence of GhTCE1 was inserted into the pGBKT7 vector to produce the Full:BD construct. Full:BD was transferred into the Y2HGold yeast strain and cultured on SD-T/X-α-gal plates for 3 days to detect its autoactivation (based on colony color). To further investigate the autoactivation in detail, four different truncated GhTCE1 versions were constructed in pGBK7T and designated 1–160, 161–331, 80–240, and 80–331 according to the amino acid fragments contained in each. The different constructions of GhTCE1 were transferred into the Y2HGold yeast strain and mated with Y187 yeast harboring the pGAD7T7 empty vector. The positive and negative controls used were according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Clontech, PT4084-1). The diploid yeasts were cultured in SD-TL and SD-TLHA/X-α-gal plates for 3 days to detect autoactivation. SD means synthetically defined medium, SD-T/TL/TLHA represent SD dropouts Trp, Trp/Leu, and Trp/Leu/His/Ade, respectively.

Transactivation assays using the LUC reporter system

Transactivation assays were conducted as reported previously (Hu et al., 2018). In brief, the promoters of GhTCE1 candidate targets were cloned and inserted upstream of sequences encoding the LUC reporter in the pGreenII 0800-LUC vector. 35Spro-REN in the same vector was used as an internal control. GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 were cloned downstream of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (35Spro) in pGreenII 62-SK and the same vector without GhTCE1 was used as control. Activation of E-box motifs assays was conducted using the 2,000-bp full-length GhLTP2 promoter with five E-box motifs (I, II, III, IV, and V) and the GhLTP3 promoter with two E-box motifs (I and II). The truncation promoters were created by successively deleting one E-box in turn from the 5′-end and were named LTP2proΔ1 to LTP2proΔ4 for deletions of GhLTP2pro and named LTP3proΔ1 to LTP3proΔ2 for GhLTP3pro. The truncated promoters were cloned into the pGreenII 0800-LUC vector. All plasmids were transformed individually into A. tumefaciens harboring the pGreenII 0800-LUC or pGreenII 62-SK vectors was adjusted to the same OD600 = 0.6 prior to co-infiltration into tobacco leaves with same infiltrated areas to ensure comparability. The infiltrated plants were grown at 25°C in a light cultivation room (16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod, irradiance of 135 μmol m–2 s–1). After 60–72 h, the LUC luminescence of leaves was detected using a

Figure 7 Model outlining the proposed relationship between mechanical wounding, GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 (bHLH dimers), LTPs, ROS, and cell fate determination. We propose that following wounding and callus initiation, GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 are simultaneously at the transcriptional level, and the proteins interact to activate the transcription of LTP genes GhLTP2 and GhLTP3. The LTP proteins repress ROS activity, possibly by acting as ROS scavengers, as suggested by previous LTP studies in relation to roles in abiotic stress tolerance. Repression of ROS leads to the maintenance of callus cell identity and growth, whereas an increased level of ROS activity is associated with reduced cell growth and cell differentiation. We propose that the TCE1/TCEE1 transcriptional module regulates cell fate determination through these effects on ROS-mediated cell growth and differentiation control.
cotton protoplasts were cotransformed into protoplasts using 40% polyethylene glycol 4000 (v/v) (Sigma), then cultured at 25°C for 14 days. In brief, vector each of effectors:reporter construct (total effectors:reporter ratio of 1:1) were cotransformed into protoplasts using 40% polyethylene glycol 4000 (v/v) (Sigma), then cultured at 25°C in darkness for 16 h. LUC and REN activity were detected (the relative ratio of the LUC/REN ratio with GhTCE1 and GhTCEE1 versus the LUC/REN ratio without GhTCE1s and GhTCEE1s) for transactivation assays using GhTCE1 and its candidate targets by using the dual-LUC assay reagents (Promega, Madison, WI) with the Multimode Plate Reader (Perkin-Elmer).

Yeast two-hybrid screening
The activation domain of truncated GhTCE1 (C-terminal from 160 to 331 aa) was cloned into pGBK7T7 (designated pGBK7ΔGhTCE1) and transformed into yeast strain Y2HGold. The cDNA library from early time points after wounding were constructed in pGADT7 and transformed into yeast strain Y187. Yeast two-hybrid screening was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Clontech, PT1172-1, PT4084-1). The vectors from positive clones were recovered and transferred into Escherichia coli for sequencing. After removing the unmatched or frameshift preys, the remaining protein coding preys (designated prey-AD) were transferred into the Y187 strain separately and mated with the Y2H strain containing either the pGBK7 empty vector (BD) or pGBK7ΔGhTCE1 (ΔGhTCE1-BD). Both prey-AD/BD and prey-AD/ΔGhTCE1-BD combinations showing activated reporter genes were considered as false interactions. Only prey-AD + ΔGhTCE1-BD activated reporter genes while the prey-AD/BD did not were considered as true interactions.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays
Biotin-labeled single-stranded DNA was synthesized and annealed to produce double strands. For EMSA, a sequence encoding full-length GhTCE1 was cloned into the pET28a (Novagen) vector, and His-tagged proteins were induced and expression in E. coli and proteins were purified by chromatography. Binding reactions were carried out using the LightShift Chemiluminescent EMSA Kit (Thermo scientific, 20148). Signals were captured by X-ray film and the film was developed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers used for vector construction are listed in Supplemental File S1.

Chromatin-immunoprecipitation quantitative PCR
For ChIP experiments, GhTCE1-GFP transgenic lines were used for chromatin extraction and immunoprecipitation as described previously by Huang et al. (2018). Briefly, the samples were fixed with 1% (v/v) formaldehyde (Sigma, Cat. # F8775) for 10 min at room temperature, and neutralized with 0.125 M glycine for 5 min. The samples were collected by centrifuging (Beckman Coulter, Avanti JXN-26) at 1,000 g for 4°C for 5 min. A Bioruptor (KQ5200DE) was used at high power with 30-s on/30-s off cycles for 15 times until the average chromatin size was approximately 300 bp. Anti-GFP (ABclonal, AE012) antibodies (5 μg antibodies were added per 25 μg chromatin DNA) were used to perform immunoprecipitations. The immunoprecipitated chromatin fragments were isolated and preabsorbed with sheared salmon sperm DNA/protein A-agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) to remove nonspecific binding (IP). After DNA purification, the GhTCE1-binders on LTP2_pro and LTP3_pro were evaluated using qPCR and normalized by total chromatin (Input). The fold enrichment calculated as the ratio between the samples of input and IP samples. GhUBQ7 was used as reference promoter and the primers are listed in Supplemental File S1.

Y1H assay
Y1H screening was performed using the Matchmaker Gold Yeast One-Hybrid Library Screening System User Manual (Clontech, PT4087-1). The full-length sequence of GhTCE1 was cloned into the pGADT7 vector and transformed into yeast strain Y187, the promoter sequences of LTP2_pro and LTP3_pro were cloned into the pHis2 vector and transformed into yeast strain AH109, and the pGAD-empty vector was used as negative control vector. Ten microliters of each for combined yeast strains Y187 and AH109 were cultured in 200 μL YPDA liquid medium (1 L YPDA contains 10 g yeast extract, 20 g tryptone, 20 g glucose, 100 mg adenine, fill ddH2O to 1 L, pH = 6.5) at 30°C for 20–24 h. Then, 6 μL yeast that mated successfully was spotted onto SD-Trp-Leu-His containing 200 mM 3-AT solid medium at 30°C for 3–5 days, then analyzed the interaction between GhTCE1 and LTP2_pro and LTP3_pro. The primers used for vector construction are listed in Supplemental File S1.

Accession numbers
Sequence information for the cotton genes in this study can be found in the Cotton Genome Database (https://cottongd.org, G. hirsutum AD1, upland cotton, NAU assembly) according to the accession numbers as shown in Supplemental Data Set S1 and Supplemental Tables S1–S3.
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