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Bacterial toxin-antitoxin (TA) complexes induce programmed cell death and also function to relieve cell from stress by various response mechanisms. *Escherichia coli* RelB-RelE TA complex consists of a RelE toxin functionally counteracted by RelB antitoxin. In the present study, a novel homolog of RelE toxin designated as Xn-relE toxin from *Xenorhabdus nematophila* possessing its own antitoxin designated as Xn-relEAT has been identified. Expression and purification of recombinant proteins under native conditions with GST and Ni-NTA chromatography prove the existence of novel TA module. The expression of recombinant Xn-relE under tightly regulated ara promoter in *E. coli* Top10 cells confirms its toxic nature in endogenous toxicity assay. The neutralization activity in endogenous toxicity assay by Xn-relEAT antitoxin confirms its antidote nature when studying the whole TA operon under ara regulated promoter. This study promotes newly discovered TA module to be regarded as important as other proteins of type II toxin-antitoxin system.

1. Introduction

In bacterial and archael genome toxin-antitoxin (TA) complex is evident [1]. Toxin-antitoxin modules are known to play role in plasmid maintenance and confer stability to them [2]. Bacterial toxin-antitoxin (TA) complex mainly comprises of a potent and stable toxin and its antidote labile antitoxin molecule. As understanding on toxin-antitoxin progresses, the role of these modules is found to provide protection to host from various stresses and manage to circumvent the problem by programmed cell death of the population [1]. They are said to act by prohibiting DNA invasion. Some physiological factors set the toxins free from their cognate antitoxin complex to keep the functionality of the cell unharmed. When bacterium comes under stress toxin gene is overexpressed and the produced toxin protein gets activated to interfere with cellular targets, while its cognate antitoxin protein is truncated by various means like Lon and Clp protease mediated degradation [3, 4]. There are three major classes of toxin-antitoxin (TA) module; among these, TA modules of class II form toxin-antitoxin protein complexes. Each antitoxin protein is capable of neutralizing the toxin encoded by the same TA module. TA modules are studied to be involved in bacterial persistence, drug tolerance, and multidrug resistance [5].

Toxin-antitoxin loci are extensively studied in *E. coli* where toxin RelE from RelBE module cleaves mRNA of a protein coding gene in a specific manner. Throughout the prokaryotic domain of living organisms, RelE toxins have widely conserved target sites, specificity, and functionality. To regulate the translation of specific gene RelE toxin competes with release factors and enters the site, where it can act on the mRNA [6, 7]. When cells are relieved from stress antitoxin protein replenishes its cognate toxin molecule, leading to resumption of growth following start of protein translation [6]. The amount of free toxin is found very less in the cell as its level is detrimental for the survival of the cell. Toxin concentration inside the cell is kept low by negative regulation of its transcription and through TA complex formation as well [8]. In nutrient stringent and other stress conditions RelB:RelE
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strain, Media, and Culture Conditions. All the chemicals and antibiotics were purchased from Sigma (Sigma-Aldrich) and HiMedia laboratories. Ligase, restriction endonucleases, and Taq polymerase were purchased from New England Biolabs (NEB), GST agarose resin was from Gold bioscience, USA, and Ni-NTA agarose resin and QIAquick spin columns were from Qiagen, Germany. Oligonucleotides were custom-synthesized by Imperial Life Sciences (ILS). E. coli strains DH5α (Bethesda Research Laboratories) were used as the host for cloning. E. coli BL21(DE3) pLysS strain purchased from Novagen and E. coli Top 10 cells from Invitrogen were used in the endogenous assay. Chemicals and salts used for protein purification and LB medium used for growing bacterial strains were purchased from HiMedia. Ampicillin, Kanamycin, and Chloramphenicol were used in the concentration of 100, 35, and 25 μg mL⁻¹, respectively.

2.2. Cloning in Expression Vector. Primer pairs used for cloning studies are shown in Figure 1; the sequences details are given in Table 1 and constructs/strains used in this study are listed in Table 2. Primer pairs 4 and 6 with BamHI site at 5’ end and XhoI site at 3’ end, respectively, were used. Primer pairs 1 and 5 with BamHI at 5’ end and XhoI at 3’ end were used for directional cloning of antitoxin gene (252 bp) in pET28(a). Primer 2 with PstI site at 5’ end and primer 7 with HindIII site at 3’ end were used in cloning ORF2 (285 bp) encoding toxin Xn-rele gene in pBAD. Complete TA operon (526 bp) containing antitoxin gene (ORF1) followed by toxin gene (ORF2) was amplified and cloned in pBAD using primer 3 with PstI site at its 5’ end and primer 7 with HindIII site at its 3’ end.

Figure 1: Genetic organization of toxin-antitoxin (TA) module of Xn-releE operon. Xn-releE TA operon is located on complementary strand in the genome of X. nematophila. Primers position and orientation for cloning of different domains depicted with arrows. Overlapping sequence of 11 nucleotide base pair is shown between the two modules. For cloning ORF 2 (285 bp) encoding Xn-releE toxin gene in pGEX4T expression vector, primer pairs 4 and 6 with BamHI site at 5’ end and XhoI site at 3’ end, respectively, were used. Primer pairs 1 and 5 with BamHI at 5’ end and XhoI at 3’ end were used for directional cloning of antitoxin gene (252 bp) in pET28(a). Primer 2 with PstI site at 5’ end and primer 7 with HindIII site at 3’ end were used in cloning ORF2 (285 bp) encoding toxin Xn-releE gene in pBAD. Complete TA operon (526 bp) containing antitoxin gene (ORF1) followed by toxin gene (ORF2) was amplified and cloned in pBAD using primer 3 with PstI site at its 5’ end and primer 7 with HindIII site at its 3’ end.
| Primer | Sequence |
|--------|----------|
| Primer 1 | 5'-GGATCC ATG TCT CAG ATC CTG ACA ACA ATA-3' |
| Primer 2 | 5'-CTG CAG ATG ACT TAT AGT CTC AAA TTT GAA AAG-3' |
| Primer 3 | 5'-CTG CAG ATG TCT CAG ATC CTG ACA ACA-3' |
| Primer 4 | 5'-GGATCC ATG TCT CAG ATG CTC AAA TTT GAA AAG-3' |
| Primer 5 | 5'-AAGCTT CTA GTC ATT GAG ATC GAC GCT-3' |
| Primer 6 | 5'-CTCGAG TTA TTC ACG TTC ATC AGC GAC TGA AFA-3' |
| Primer 7 | 5'-AAGCTT CTA TAA GTC ATT GAG ATC GAC GCT-3' |

21(DE3) pLysS cells were transformed with pJSL2 producing JSL2 strain. Both strains were in the expression study of recombinant Xn-relE toxin and Xn-relEAT antitoxin protein. 1 mM IPTG was used to induce transformed strains till culture density reaches OD$_{600}$ = 0.5 for recombinant protein.

2.4. Purification. Recombinant Xn-relE was purified with glutathione S-transferase (GST) tag present at the N-terminus using glutathione sepharose affinity chromatography column as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Recombinant Xn-relEAT was also purified with Histidine (His) tag at the N-terminus by using Ni-NTA affinity chromatography following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Column purified protein was concentrated using Millipore Centricon (PM ~ 10) and dialysed with 100-fold volume of phosphate buffer (50 mM concentration, pH-8) and to avoid the protease activity PMSF was added. After dialysis the protein was retrieved and stored at $-20^\circ$C in the presence of 15% glycerol.

2.5. Cloning in pBAD. For amplification of 285 bp ORF2 encoding toxin Xn-relE gene from the genomic DNA primer 2 with PstI site at 5' end and primer 7 with HindIII site at 3' end were used. PCR amplified product and expression vector pBAD were double-digested with restriction enzymes PstI and HindIII and digestion product was purified using QIA-GEN gel extraction kit and ligated to generate pJSL3 plasmid construct.

Complete TA operon (526 bp) containing antitoxin gene (ORFI) followed by toxin gene (ORF2) was amplified using primer 2 with PstI site at its 5' end and primer 7 with HindIII site at its 3' end. PCR amplified product and pBAD expression vector were digested with PstI and HindIII restriction enzymes and ligated producing pJSL4.

2.6. Endogenous Toxicity Assay. E. coli Top 10 strains were selected for the endogenous toxicity assay and all the constructs of pBAD plasmid were transformed in E. coli Top 10 strains resulting in JSL (pBAD), JSL3 (pBAD-Xn-relE), and JSLA (pBAD-Xn-relE + AT). Overnight grown culture was diluted 100-fold in fresh LB medium for toxicity assay and grown until log phase of growth. When optical density at 600 nm [OD$_{600}$] reaches ~0.4 to 0.5, 0.2% L-(+)-arabinose (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was added to the culture medium. Cultures growth condition was 37°C in LB medium with 100 mg/mL of Ampicillin and 225 rpm of continuous shaking. All the experiments were performed in triplicate and mean value of their results was used for calculation of growth in percentage (%) at different time intervals.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Cloning, Expression, and Purification. Toxin-antitoxin modules are mainly categorized in three different classes and class II TA system comprises proteins forming toxin-antitoxin (TA) protein complex [3, 21]. Xn-relE/EAT proteins from X. nematophila have shown similarity with proteins from Rel family and hence are supposed to form protein complex. Genomic organization of the novel Xn-relE/EAT operon is shown in Figure 1 indicating overlapping 11 base pairs in between. In this study an attempt was made for the expression of Xn-relE gene in pET-28 vector but results were not satisfactory. Then Xn-relE toxin gene alone was cloned in pGEX4T1 expression vector and expression profile is shown in Figure 2(a). Band as shown with arrow in lane 2 was visible in SDS-PAGE at the position above 34 kDa protein marker which is corroborated with the size of GST fusion with Xn-relE recombinant protein. Corresponding band was missing in the induced cells containing empty vector as shown in lane 1 in Figure 2(a). GST tagged recombinant Xn-relE protein was purified with GST affinity chromatography under optimal conditions. Single band was visible in SDS-PAGE at the position below 34 kDa protein marker which is corroborated with the size of GST fusion with Xn-relE recombinant protein as shown in Figure 2(b). From the study it has been confirmed that Xn-relE gene is encoded for a novel toxic protein whose nature and degree of endotoxicity were also studied in this study which signifies its functional similarities with other toxins from Rel family [22–24].

Xn-relEAT antitoxin gene was also cloned in pET-28 expression vector and expression profile of five clones was determined as shown in Figure 3(a). Bands as shown with arrow in lanes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 were visible in SDS-PAGE at the position below 14 kDa protein markers which is corroborated with the size of recombinant His (6x) tagged Xn-relEAT protein. Corresponding band was missing in the induced cells containing empty vector as shown in lane 6 in Figure 3(a). Recombinant His tagged Xn-relEAT protein was purified with Ni-NTA affinity chromatography under native conditions. Single band was visible in lanes 5, 6, 7, and 8 in Figure 3(b) as shown with arrow in SDS-PAGE at the position corresponding to 14 kDa protein marker which is corroborated with the size of recombinant His tagged Xn-RelEAT protein. Hence we also conclude that Xn-relEAT gene from the same operon encodes antitoxin protein which is similar to other RelB antitoxins of Rel family [24].
Table 2: Strains and plasmid used in this study.

| Construct/strain | Characteristic | Source |
|------------------|----------------|--------|
| E. coli DH5α     | supE44ΔlacU169 hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relAΔΦ80 ΔlacZ ΔM15 | Invitrogen |
| E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLyS | F-ompT hsdSB(rB– mB–) gal dcm(DE3) pLyS(CmR) | Novagen |
| E. coli TOP10    | F-Φ80lacZΔM15 Δ(lacZYA-argF) U169 recA1 endA1 hsdR17 (rK–, mK+) phoA supE44 λ-thi-1 gyrA96 relA1 | Invitrogen |
| E. coli BL21 (DE3) | F-ompT hsdSB(rB–, mB–) gal dcm (DE3) | Novagen |
| pET 28 (a)       | 5.3 kb expression vector; kanR | Novagen |
| pBAD His (c)     | 4.1 kb, L-arabinose regulated pBR322-derived expression vectors designed for regulated, recombinant protein expression and purification in E. coli. | Invitrogen |
| pGEX4T1          | 4.96 kb, bacterial vector for expressing fusion proteins with a thrombin site, GST tagged | GE Healthcare |
| pJSL/JSL         | pBAD His (c) alone without insert in E. coli Top 10 cells | Present study |
| pJSL1/JSL1       | pGEX4T vector containing 285 bp RelB toxin gene from RelE TA module of X. nematophila. | Present study |
| pJSL2/JSL2       | pET 28 (a) vector containing 252 bp RelE antitoxin gene from RelE TA module of X. nematophila. | Present study |
| pJSL3/JSL3       | pBAD vector containing 285 bp RelE toxin gene from RelE TA module of X. nematophila. | Present study |
| pJSL4/JSL4       | pBAD vector containing 526 bp full RelE operon from the genome of X. nematophila. | Present study |

3.2. Endogenous Toxicity Assay. The chromosomal toxin-antitoxin systems perform various cellular functions via cell cycle arrest, stress response mechanisms, and promoting programmed cell death [25, 26].

Type II toxin acts in a number of ways, but toxin activity is exerted most if it acts as an endonuclease/interferase [27, 28] while antitoxin usually inhibits the toxin by downregulating toxin expression. Under stress condition transcription of TA operon leads to formation of TA complex [10, 14]. Simultaneous expression of Lon protease and its action over labile antitoxin that is susceptible to be degraded ultimately unleashes toxin from the toxin-antitoxin complex. Toxins are less susceptible to proteases and their toxic effect is exerted on host cells either by inhibiting cell wall formation or by halting...
protein synthesis [29]. Therefore, to study the endogenous toxic effect of putative protein from novel identified Xn-relE gene as well as neutralization effect by putative Xn-relEAT gene, both were cloned under tightly regulated ara promoter. pJSL3 recombinant plasmid harbouring Xn-relE gene and pJSL4 recombinant plasmid containing complete TA operon (Xn-relE + Xn-relEAT) were transformed in E. coli Top 10 cells. In the endogenous toxic assay, control strain JSL containing pBAD vector alone was considered as 100% based on the growth profile after induction with arabinose when compared with arabinose-induced JSL3 strain containing Xn-relE toxin only as well as with JSL4 strain with full operon (Xn-relE + Xn-relEAT). Results show that there was no change in growth profile of JSL3 strain after the first hour of induction; however, there is gradual decrease in the growth following time intervals. It was inhibited by 25% by the third hour of arabinose induction graph showing steep fall at initial time points and was further declined to 8% after 8 hours in JSL3 strain as compared to control as shown in Figure 4. However, ara induced full length operon was also growth inhibition, but it was less inhibited than that of Xn-relE toxin alone as only ~55% inhibition was observed after eight hours of induction. Reduction in growth inhibition is due to the expression of antitoxin Xn-relEAT from the operon under ara promoter although the expression of antitoxin Xn-relEAT is not able to completely neutralize the toxic effect of Xn-relE gene. Less expression of antitoxin Xn-relEAT which might be incapable of neutralizing the toxicity of Xn-relE makes a clue behind the difference in the growth inhibition profile of arabinose-induced culture. It also indicates that action of toxin is much rapid that it exerts its effects before antitoxin molecule makes a TA complex and neutralizes it. Recent studies showed that free toxins in the cell are very less due to the negative transcriptional control loop as well as tight complex formation between toxin and antitoxin. Moreover, in E. coli TA module RelBE they form heterotrimer complex, consisting of two antitoxins (RelB) and one toxin molecule (RelE) bounded together [24,30]. Therefore, it can be assumed that toxic effect of Xn-relE toxin neutralization may require more than one Xn-relEAT protein molecule. Hence, in endogenous toxicity assay, difference in growth to significant extent was observed.
between JSL strain [(wild type) strain + empty vector (control)] and JSL4 strain [WT (wild type) + operon (Xn-reLEAT)].
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