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Abstract

Objective: To determine whether blood culture contamination (BCC) rates could be decreased in the ED by an educational programme.

Methods: Educational intervention focusing on a 1-min venepuncture cleaning time and providing a larger chlorhexidine alcohol swab. BCC rates were examined retrospectively over the study period. The BCC rate was 2.4% pre-intervention versus 1.8% post-intervention, with no significant difference in BCC rates (Z-score = 1.862, P = 0.063).

Conclusion: This educational intervention focusing on skin clean time did not significantly decrease BCC rates in a setting of an already low (<3%) BCC rate.
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Introduction

Bacteraemia, the presence of bacteria in the bloodstream is diagnosed by the blood culture. Blood culture contamination (BCC) occurs when a non-pathogenic microorganism is introduced at the time of blood culture collection or processing.1 BCC leads to additional costs to hospitals because of longer length of hospital stay and increased exposure to antibiotics. While guidelines recommend a BCC rate of <3%,1 rates at our hospital ED have been 3.7%.2 The objective of the present study was to determine whether BCC rates could be decreased in an ED by an educational programme focusing on a 1-min scrub time.

Methods

Study setting and population

A pre- and post-educational interventional study was conducted on ED patients (≥16 years) who had blood cultures collected. All positive blood cultures from 1 April 2020 to 31 Dec 2021, 12-month pre-intervention and 9-month post-intervention, were analysed. The study was conducted at a tertiary ED in Sydney, Australia, with approximately 65,000 presentations per annum. Ethics approval was obtained from Local Health District Human Research Ethics Committee.

Data collection and outcome measures

Patients who had blood cultures taken in the ED were identified via the South-Eastern Area Laboratory Services pathology and clinical data was obtained retrospectively via electronic medical records. Clinical data and blood culture results were collected on a standardised excel spreadsheet. Blood culture isolates were categorised as being either true positives or contaminants. A true positive had pathogenic bacterial growth and was treated with antibiotics. Contaminated cultures were determined based upon the presence of commonly recognised contaminants and if the treating clinician and/or infectious disease clinician documented they were contaminated. If the treating team were unsure but treated the patient as a true positive these were categorised as true positives. Commonly recognised contaminants were defined as coagulase-negative...
All contaminants were (LM and ALC). BCC rate was agreed on by both researchers means with 95% confidence intervals compared to the total number of cultures taken. Over the study period 6953 blood cultures were collected from 6767 patients, with a median age of 62 years (IQR 40–78) with 3225 (47.7%) females. Of the cultures collected, 799 (11.5%) were positive for bacterial growth, with 652 (9.4%) true positives and 147 (2.1%) contaminants (Fig. 1). The BCC rate pre-intervention was 2.4% (12 months) versus post-intervention 1.8% (9 months), with no significant difference in BCC rates (Z-score = 1.862, \( P = 0.063 \)). Figure 2 demonstrates the monthly BCC over the study period, pre- and post-intervention. Additionally, over the study period the rate of positive blood cultures significantly decreased from 10.4% of all blood cultures pre-intervention to 8.2% of all cultures post-intervention (Z-score = 3.116, \( P = 0.002 \)).

**Results**

Over the study period 6953 blood cultures were collected from 6767 patients, with a median age of 62 years (IQR 40–78) with 3225 (47.7%) females. Of the cultures collected, 799 (11.5%) were positive for bacterial growth, with 652 (9.4%) true positives and 147 (2.1%) contaminants (Fig. 1). The BCC rate pre-intervention was 2.4% (12 months) versus post-intervention 1.8% (9 months), with no significant difference in BCC rates (Z-score = 1.862, \( P = 0.063 \)). Figure 2 demonstrates the monthly BCC over the study period, pre- and post-intervention. Additionally, over the study period the rate of positive blood cultures significantly decreased from 10.4% of all blood cultures pre-intervention to 8.2% of all cultures post-intervention (Z-score = 3.116, \( P = 0.002 \)).

**Conclusions**

Our study did not demonstrate a significant change in BCC with an educational intervention focusing on scrub time in this population of an already low BCC rate (<3%). There are various limitations to the present study Firstly the BCC rate was low <3% and hence the study may not have been large enough to detect an effect in this group. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic may have been a confounding variable with increased hand hygiene and mask mandates from early 2020. However, recent studies have found an increase in BCC during the COVID period, hypothesised to be because of increased workload, exhausted staff, and staff shortages. Furthermore, during the present study there was a significant decrease in the percentage of true positive cultures post-intervention, this may have been the result of larger numbers of patients with COVID-19 or other viral infections being cultured. This finding may indicate the need for more stringent use of clinical decision rules (i.e. SIRS or Shapiro rule) before a blood culture is drawn.
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