Uterine fibroids increase the risk of preterm birth and other adverse birth events: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Background: Uterine fibroids may cause preterm birth. This meta-analysis evaluates the effect of uterine fibroids on the risk of preterm birth and other obstetric outcomes.

Methods: Using the literature review method, the databases PubMed, Medline, Embase and Central were retrieved to obtain relevant research literature. The selected studies were analyzed and evaluated. The literature was a cohort study or a case-control study of pregnant women as the research object and uterine fibroids as the exposure factor to observe adverse events during pregnancy. The chi-square test was used to test for heterogeneity. Subgroup analyses were used to explore sources of heterogeneity. Publication bias was assessed using Egger’s test. Enumeration data were described by odds ratio (OR). Measurement data were described by mean difference (MD). Calculate the confidence interval (CI).

Results: A total of 11 studies were included in this study, including 7 cohort studies and 4 case-control studies, with a total of 313,913 women. The probability of uterine fibroids among women was 3.99%. The results of meta-analysis showed that women with uterine fibroids experienced preterm birth <37 weeks (OR =1.43, 95% CI: 1.25 to 1.64, P<0.00001), preterm birth <34 weeks (OR =1.73, 95% CI: 1.34 to 2.25, P<0.00001), premature rupture of membranes (OR =1.38, 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.75, P=0.009), placental abruption (OR =1.60, 95% CI: 1.20 to 2.14, P=0.001), cesarean section (OR =2.09, 95% CI: 1.69 to 2.58, P<0.00001), and postpartum hemorrhage (OR =2.95, 95% CI: 1.86 to 4.66, P<0.00001) were all at higher risk, and the mean gestational age at delivery [mean difference (MD) =−0.58, 95% CI: −0.66 to −0.51, P<0.00001] and birth weight (MD =−117.82, 95% CI: −155.19 to −80.45, P<0.00001) were lower. Egger’s test indicated that there was no publication bias among the included studies (P>0.05).

Conclusions: Pregnant women with uterine fibroids are at higher risk for preterm birth and other adverse obstetric outcomes and require closer monitoring.
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Introduction

Uterine fibroids (UF) are benign tumors originating from a uterine muscle tissue chamber composed of smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts embedded in the extracellular matrix. Compared with normal myometrium, small myomas have a similar number of leukocytes and less proliferation, but large myomas contain more cell proliferation and fewer leukocytes (1). Age and race are the main risk factors for the development and growth of uterine leiomyoma. Asymptomatic women may find many leiomyomas by chance during clinical ultrasound or examination (2). During pregnancy, the prevalence of hysteromyoma is between 3% and 12% (3,4).

Controversy exists regarding whether uterine fibroids contribute to adverse events during pregnancy and childbirth. Some studies have pointed out that uterine fibroids may also lead to pregnancy-related complications, such as miscarriage, premature rupture of membranes, dysfunction, placental abruption, and higher rates of cesarean section and postpartum hemorrhage (5,6). A different study (7) showed that hysteromyoma increases the risk of cesarean section and preterm premature rupture of membranes. Still, it has no significant effect on preterm birth, postpartum hemorrhage, hip presentation, and low birth weight. Previous meta-analyses have illustrated that hysteromyoma will not lead to abortion but will present the risk of placental abruption, cesarean section, and congenital malformation of a full-term fetus (8-11). However, these previous meta-analyses only observed the incidence of one or a few adverse events, which has limitations. In addition, our analysis believes that advances in medical technology, nursing concepts, and detection methods will also have an impact on the incidence of adverse events during pregnancy. We believe that a meta-analysis to update previous findings is warranted. This study intends to review the latest published literature and conduct a meta-analysis to explore the impact of hysteromyoma on the risk of preterm birth and other obstetric-related outcomes. We present the following article in accordance with the MOOSE reporting checklist (available at https://tp.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tp-22-215/rc).

Methods

Bibliography retrieval

The English databases PubMed, Medline, Embase and Central were searched from the time of database establishment to April 2022. The retrieval method was medical subject words combined with free words. English search and subject words mainly included “UF OR uterine fibroid OR uterine myoma OR uterine leiomyoma” AND “preterm birth OR preterm delivery”.

Literature screening

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (I) the participants were pregnant women diagnosed with hysteromyoma; (II) the literature type was an observational study, including cohort studies and case-control studies; types of literature were observational studies, including cohort studies and case-control studies; (III) the study set up a case group and a control group. In the cohort study, the case group was pregnant women with uterine fibroids, and the control group was pregnant women without uterine fibroids. In the case-control study, the case group consisted of women with adverse events, and the control group consisted of women without adverse events; and (IV) the study literature included at least one of the following outcome indicators: preterm birth, premature rupture of membranes, placental abruption, cesarean section rate, postpartum hemorrhage, gestational age at delivery, and birth weight.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (I) news reports, expert opinions, critical literature, and abstracts; (II) republished literature; and III. Inability to obtain enough literature to analyze the data.

Document data extraction

The literatures were screened and the data was extracted by two researchers independently. The main extraction contents included: (I) title, publication date, author, and so on; (II) research type, interventions, outcomes, and so on; and (III) baseline information. Adverse events (preterm birth, premature rupture of membranes, placental abruption, cesarean section, postpartum hemorrhage) were described using incidence as the effect size. Gestational age and birth weight were described with measurement data (weeks and Kg) as effect sizes. If there were questions or differences of opinion in the process of literature screening and extraction, a third researcher assisted in resolving the differences and making a decision through joint discussion if necessary.

Literature quality evaluation

The quality of the observational study was evaluated by
Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS). The NOS scale is divided into the NOS evaluation criteria for a cohort study and the NOS evaluation criteria for a case-control study. It is further divided into three blocks (population selection, comparability, exposure evaluation or result evaluation), including 8 items. It is scored by the star system. The full score available for a cohort study is 13 stars, and the full score available for a disease case-control study is 9 stars. Two researchers independently evaluated the quality of the included literature and then performed crosschecking. If there is any difference, the researchers engaged in discussion to reach an agreement, or a ruling was made by the third researcher.

**Statistical method**

This study used the Cochrane software RevMan 5.4 [The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020] for statistical analysis of all data. Unadjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated from literature raw data. The risk factor ORs of cohort studies and controlled pathology studies could be pooled. The measurement data were statistically described by mean difference (MD) and 95% CI. Statistical significance was considered when P<0.05 in the fixed effects model or random effects model. The chi-square test was applied for heterogeneity test. When I²=50%, the random effects model was used because of heterogeneous. When I²≤50%, the fixed effects model was adopted because of no heterogeneous. Subgroup analyses were used to explore sources of heterogeneity. The potential publication bias was estimated by Egger test. Two-way P<0.05 indicated statistical significance.

**Results**

**Literature search results**

In this study, 345 relevant articles were obtained through database retrieval. After the retrieved and collected articles were deduplicated by EndNote X9 management software (Clarivate Analytics, Philadelphia, PA, USA), they were preliminarily screened through reading topics and abstracts according to the pre-determined inclusion and exclusion criteria and then further reading of the full text for re-screening. Finally, 11 articles meeting the criteria were included. The specific screening process and results are shown in Figure 1.

**Basic characteristics and quality evaluation of literature**

A total of 11 studies were included according to the screening criteria. The basic information of the included literature is shown in Table 1. The time of publication was from 2010 to 2021. The included literature was relatively new. The literature types were observational studies, including 7 retrospective cohort studies and 4 case-control studies. The study populations included the United States, France, Italy, Japan, Turkey, Cameroon, and China. The 11 studies included 12,522 pregnant women diagnosed with hysteromyoma and 301,391 pregnant women without hysteromyoma in the control group. There was no difference in maternal age between the hysteromyoma and control group, with an average of 28.6–36.1 years. All articles had studied the risk of uterine fibroids for preterm birth and explored other obstetric related outcome indicators, including <37 weeks of preterm birth, <34 weeks of preterm birth, premature rupture of membranes, placental abruption, cesarean section rate, postpartum hemorrhage, gestational age at delivery, and birth weight.

The NOS was used to evaluate the quality of 4 case-control studies and 7 cohort studies. All research scores were greater than 7 points, including 7 points for 1 article, 8 points for 5 articles, and 9 points for 5 articles. It was considered that the risk level of the included literature was low, and the quality of the literature was high.

**Meta-analysis results**

A total of 11 studies reported the risk of premature delivery <37 weeks in pregnant women with hysteromyoma. The results of meta-analysis were OR =1.43, 95% CI: 1.25 to 1.64, P<0.00001, and I²=64%. Five studies reported the risk of premature delivery <34 weeks in pregnant women with hysteromyoma. The results of meta-analysis were OR =1.73, 95% CI: 1.34 to 2.25, P<0.0001, and I²=72%. Six studies reported the risk of uterine fibroids on premature rupture of membranes, OR =1.38, 95% CI: 1.08 to 1.75, P=0.009, and I²=58%. Three studies reported the risk of uterine fibroids for placental abruption, OR =1.60, 95% CI: 1.20 to 2.14, P<0.001, and I²=33%. Five studies reported the effect of hysteromyoma on cesarean section, OR =2.09, 95% CI: 1.69 to 2.58, P<0.00001, and I²=61%. Four studies reported the risk of uterine fibroids on postpartum hemorrhage, OR =2.95, 95% CI: 1.86 to 4.66, P<0.00001, and I²=82%. Five studies reported the effect of hysteromyoma on gestational
Figure 1 Document screening process and results.

Table 1 Basic characteristics of included literature

| ID            | Research type            | Country | Sample size | Maternal age, years | Outcome indicators | Quality assessment |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Blitz 2016 (12) | Retrospective cohort study | USA     | 522/9,792   | 33.3/30.9           | 1, 7, 8           | 9                  |
| Cagan 2020 (13) | Retrospective cohort study | Turkey  | 25/147      | 35.0/33.0           | 1, 6               | 7                  |
| Ciavattini 2015 (14) | Case control study | Italy   | 219/219     | 34.8/34.8           | 1, 3, 6           | 9                  |
| Egbe 2018 (15)  | Case control study       | Cameroon | 38/188      | 31.4/27.4           | 1, 2, 3           | 8                  |
| Girault 2018 (16) | Retrospective cohort study | France  | 301/12,216 | 36.1/31.3           | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 | 9                  |
| Kelis 2010 (17)  | Case control study       | France   | 117/234     | NR                  | 1                  | 8                  |
| Lai 2012 (18)   | Retrospective cohort study | USA     | 401/14,703  | 33.7/28.6           | 1, 2, 5, 7, 8     | 8                  |
| Murata 2021 (19) | Retrospective cohort study | Japan   | 5,354/81,016| 35.1/31.1         | 1, 3, 4, 5, 7     | 9                  |
| Stout 2010 (20)  | Retrospective cohort study | USA     | 2,058/61,989| 35.1/30.0         | 1, 2, 3, 5, 6     | 8                  |
| Sundermann 2021 (21) | Prospective cohort study | USA     | 475/4,147   | NR                  | 1, 2              | 8                  |
| Zhao 2017 (22)   | Case control study       | China    | 3,012/109,931| 32.0/29.5       | 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 | 9                  |

1, premature birth <37 weeks; 2, preterm birth <34 weeks; 3, premature rupture of membranes; 4, placental abruption; 5, Cesarean section rate; 6, postpartum hemorrhage; 7, Gestational age at delivery; 8, birth weight. NR, not reported.
age at delivery, and the combined results were MD = -0.58, 95% CI: -0.66 to -0.51, P<0.00001, and I²=0%. Three studies reported the effect of uterine fibroids on birth weight, for which the combined results were MD = -117.82, 95% CI: -155.19 to -80.45, P<0.00001, and I²=0%. Uterine fibroids have a significant impact on all obstetric outcome indicators such as preterm birth, as shown in Figures 2-9.

Publication bias assessment

The outcome indicators with more than 10 articles need to be evaluated for publication bias. More than 10 articles of preterm birth <37 weeks reached the indicator. A funnel chart illustrated that most points were within the CI, showing an inverted funnel type. As shown in Figure 10, it was considered that there was no publication bias.
Discussion

Preterm delivery refers to the delivery after 28 weeks of pregnancy but before 37 weeks of gestation. The earlier the preterm baby is born, the lighter their weight will be, the more serious the immature development of various organs will be, and the probability of short- and long-term health problems will be greater. Hysteromyoma is a multiple benign tumor in women aged 30–50 years, affecting about...
70–80% of women throughout their life (3,23). Uterine fibroids are mostly asymptomatic, and asymptomatic uterine fibroids are commonly diagnosed through routine prenatal ultrasound, with up to 11% of pregnant women exhibiting uterine fibroids (24). However, the combined results of the literature included in this study showed that the probability of pregnant women experiencing uterine fibroids is about 4%. The reason for this analysis result may be related to the main population included in this study. Most of the people included in this study were from the United States, France, and other countries. Previous studies have shown that the probability of women experiencing hysteromyoma in African countries is 2–3 times that of those in other countries (3,24).

Due to the typical hormonal state and vascular changes throughout pregnancy, patients often worry about the accelerated growth and consequences of leiomyoma during pregnancy. In addition, it is generally believed that a hysteromyoma that distorts the endometrial cavity may impact fertility and lead to adverse reproductive outcomes, such as preterm birth and placental abruption. Many studies have investigated the relationship between hysteromyoma and obstetric outcomes, but the conclusions have remained controversial. This study conducted a meta-analysis of obstetric outcome indicators such as preterm delivery with hysteromyoma by searching the latest published literature. The heterogeneity test results showed no heterogeneity in gestational age, birth weight, and placental abruption at delivery. The fixed effects model was adopted, and other indicators such as preterm birth were heterogeneous. The random effects model was adopted. The results showed that hysteromyoma not only caused preterm birth of <37 weeks or <34 weeks but also had a significant impact on other obstetric outcomes. The rates of cesarean section and postpartum hemorrhage in pregnant women with hysteromyoma were higher, premature rupture of membranes and placental abruption were also caused, and the gestational age and birth weight at delivery were lower. The incidence of low birth weight is also related to premature birth. The birth weight of the group with hysteromyoma was about 118 grams lower than that of the control group.

All English articles included in this study were of high quality, and the sample size of combined patients was large, covering the population of various countries and regions. The results are representative. However, the included studies were retrospective studies, which are uneven in methodology and literature quality, and may have led to some bias in the results.

In conclusion, pregnant women with hysteromyoma will face an increased risk of preterm birth, premature rupture of membranes, placental abruption, cesarean section, postpartum hemorrhage, and delivering a low birth weight baby. However, the mechanism of hysteromyoma increasing the risk of preterm birth is not clear. The analysis of this study will contribute to clinical practice and better design-related future research. Effective management of uterine fibroids during pregnancy requires a better understanding of their growth, differentiation, and cell renewal. We also look forward to more relevant mechanism research to
fill the gap in pathophysiology to formulate better future intervention measures to prevent pregnancy complications in women with uterine fibroids.
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