Production of the polyketide 6-deoxyerythronolide B in the heterologous host Bacillus subtilis
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Abstract Polyketides, such as erythromycin, are complex natural products with diverse therapeutic applications. They are synthesized by multi-modular megasenzymes, so-called polyketide synthases (PKSs). The macrolide core of erythromycin, 6-deoxyerythronolide B (6dEB), is produced by the deoxyerythronolide B synthase (DEBS) that consists of three proteins each with a size of 330–370 kDa. We cloned and investigated the expression of the corresponding gene cluster from Saccharopolyspora erythraea, which comprises more than 30 kb, in Bacillus subtilis. It is shown that the DEBS genes are functionally expressed in B. subtilis when the native eryAI–III operon was separated into three individual expression cassettes with optimized ribosomal binding sites. A synthesis of 6dEB could be detected by using the acetoin-inducible acoA promoter and a fed-batch simulating EnBase-cultivation strategy. B. subtilis was capable of the secretion of 6dEB into the medium. In order to improve the 6dEB production, several genomic modifications of this production strain were tested. This included the knockout of the native secondary metabolite clusters of B. subtilis for the synthesis of surfactin (26 kb), bacillaene (76 kb), and plipastatin (38 kb). It is revealed that the deletion of the prpBD operon, responsible for propionyl-CoA utilization, resulted in a significant increase of the 6dEB product yield when exogenous propionate is provided. Although the presented B. subtilis 6dEB production strain is not competitive with established Escherichia coli 6dEB production strains, the results of this study indicate that B. subtilis is a suitable heterologous host for the secretory production of a complex polyketide.
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Introduction

The broad-spectrum antibiotic erythromycin, produced by the actinomycete Saccharopolyspora erythraea, is a well-studied representative of a class of complex natural products called polyketides (Corcoran 1981). Its macrolide core, 6-deoxyerythronolide B (6dEB), is synthesized by a polyketide synthase (PKS) that has emerged as the prototypical modular megasynthase (Khosla et al. 2007).

In general, PKSs can assemble complex biomolecules from simple building blocks such as malonyl coenzym A (malonyl-CoA), methylmalonyl-CoA, and propionyl-CoA through an assembly line thiotemplate mechanism (Cane and Walsh 1999). As a result of the variety of number and type of incorporated units in combination with the possible partial or complete reduction of each keto-function and further (also post-enzymatic) modifications of the metabolite, this group of
natural products shows an enormous degree of structural diversity. This in turn results in the extraordinary variety of biological properties and is the reason for the significance of this group, especially for pharmaceutical applications.

Unfortunately, natural sources can in many cases not cover the increasing demand for new bioactive natural products (Koehn and Carter 2005). Due to the structural complexity of polyketides, synthetic routes are precluded and the development of fermentation processes is necessary to allow sufficient production for preclinical and clinical studies. Quite often, success is closely linked with the selection of a suitable surrogate host. But, for heterologous polyketide biosynthesis, three challenges have to be addressed. First, the entire gene cluster needs to be functionally transferred. Second, the proteins have to be expressed, correctly folded, and posttranslationally modified. To this purpose, a universal phosphopantetheinyl transferase (PPTase) is required for pantetheinylatation and thus activation of the PKS (Lambalot et al. 1996). Third, metabolic building blocks must be available. For example, the corresponding gene cluster for 6dEB synthesis comprises three genes of approximately 10 kb (eryAI–III). They encode for three large proteins, denoted DEBS1, DEBS2, and DEBS3, that show a size of 330–370 kDa each and form an enzymatic complex. Along this protein complex, there are 28 active sites that are precisely arranged and responsible for the stepwise combination and modification of one propionyl-CoA primer unit and six (2S)-methylmalonyl-CoA extender units (Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Material) (Khosla et al. 2007). Furthermore, with regard to the industrial production of drugs, many safety requirements which are regulated and controlled by the European Medicine Agency (EMA) or the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have to be considered.

The Gram-positive, non-pathogenic strain Bacillus subtilis can fulfill these safety requirements as it owns the Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS) status and the Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) certification (Leuschner et al. 2010; Sietse de Boer and Diderichsen 1991). Due to the absence of lipopolysaccharides on the outer cell membrane, which are a typical feature of Gram-negative hosts such as Escherichia coli and act as endotoxins, the downstream process is simplified and thus less cost intensive (Petsch and Anspach 2000). Furthermore, because of its natural ability to secrete peptides into the culture environment, which also facilitates downstream processing, B. subtilis is one of the most important strains for industrial enzyme production (van Dijl and Hecker 2013).

For heterologous production of secondary metabolites, it is especially noteworthy that B. subtilis, in contrast to E. coli, is a natural producer of several bioactive compounds (Stein 2005). The antibiotically effective bacillaene, which is synthesized via a PKS/non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS)-hybrid pathway, is one representative (Patel et al. 1995; Butcher et al. 2007). Two other examples are the non-ribosomal lipopeptide surfactin (Arima et al. 1968; Nakano et al. 1991) and plipastatin (Tsuge et al. 2007) with antimicrobial activities. Furthermore, with the secretory production of the peptide antibiotic bacitracin from Bacillus licheniformis (Eppelmann et al. 2001) as well as the cyclohexadepsipeptide emminatin from Fusarium oxysporum showing various antimicrobial effects (Zobel et al. 2015), the suitability of B. subtilis as a heterologous host for non-ribosomal peptide–type compounds could already be demonstrated.

To evaluate the suitability of B. subtilis as a heterologous host for the engineered biosynthesis of polyketides, we chose the already mentioned and well-investigated macrolide 6dEB as a model compound. Since this metabolite needs to be activated by several post-PKS modifications, it does not show any antibiotic effect that could inhibit the host. Furthermore, although heterologous production was already demonstrated in Streptomyces coelicolor (Kao et al. 1994) and E. coli (Pfeifer et al. 2001, 2002), there was an interest in extending the list of heterologous hosts further in anticipation of future biosynthetic efforts which may benefit from the capabilities of B. subtilis.

Materials and methods

General information

Unless stated otherwise, all chemicals were purchased from Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) at the highest purity available and were used without further purification. Oligonucleotides (listed in Table S1 in the Supplementary Material) were synthesized and provided by Life Technologies (Darmstadt, Germany). All plasmids and strains used in this study are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Relevant translational and transcriptional elements of the native and modified eryAI–III gene cassettes are summarized in Table 3. All cloning procedures were carried out in E. coli DH10B (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany) [F- endA1 recA1 galE15 galK16 nupG rpsL ΔlacZ74 φ80lacZΔM15 araD139 Δ(ara,leu)7697 mcrA Δ(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) λ-]. Restriction enzymes and other DNA-modifying enzymes were used as specified by the supplier (New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany). PCR products were purified with the High Pure PCR Product Purification Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Plasmid isolation was performed using the High Pure Plasmid Isolation Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). For gel extraction, the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit from Qiagen (Elbersberg, Germany).
Table 1  Plasmids used in this study

| Plasmid | Function | Reference |
|---------|----------|-----------|
| P1394   | Cosmid carrying wild-type eryAI–III-cluster in pWE13 | Cosmid library of the Peter Leadlay laba |
| pAMY-lox-SSS | Integration of genes into the amyE locus with lox-SSS-cassette | Kumpfmüller et al. (2013) |
| pAMY-Spec | Integration of genes into the amyE locus with remaining SpecR-cassette | Kumpfmüller et al. (2013) |
| pAMY-SSS | Integration of genes into the amyE locus with SSS-cassette | Kumpfmüller et al. (2013) |
| pJET-lox-SSS | Source of lox-SSS-cassette | Kumpfmüller et al. (2013) |
| pJK64a | Reconstitution of genetic sfp defect with lox-SSS-cassette | Zobel et al. (2015) |
| pJK93 | Deletion of srfA operon with remaining KanR-cassette | Zobel et al. (2015) |
| pJK94 | Deletion of srfA operon with remaining SpecR-cassette | This study |
| pJK111 | Deletion of srfA operon with SSS-cassette | This study |
| pJK119 | Integration of eryAI with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus (SpecR-cassette) | This study |
| pJK119c | Integration of eryAI with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus (lox-SSS-cassette) | This study |
| pJK123 | Deletion of srfA operon with remaining KanR-cassette | This study |
| pJK126 | Deletion of srfA operon with remaining NmR-cassette | Kumpfmüller, unpublished |
| pJK134 | Deletion of srfA operon with remaining KanR and CmR for RedET cloning | This study |
| pJK139 | Integration of eryAI with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus (KanR-cassette) | This study |
| pJK139a | Integration of eryAI with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus (KanR-cassette) and lox-SSS-cassette | This study |
| pJK140 | Integration of eryAI with RBS in srfA gene locus (KanR-cassette) | This study |
| pJK140a | Integration of eryAI with RBS in srfA gene locus (KanR- and lox-SSS-cassette) | This study |
| pJK155 | Integration of wild-type eryAI–III-cluster with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus | This study |
| pJK179 | Deletion of pkoC operon | Zobel et al. (2015) |
| pJK191 | Deletion of srfA operon | Zobel et al. (2015) |
| pJK205 | Insertion in ltyC gene locus | Zobel et al. (2015) |
| pJK206 | Deletion of srfA operon with KanR- and lox-SSS-cassette | This study |
| pJK209 | Deletion of spoIGA4 gene | Zobel et al. (2015) |
| pJK219 | Toolbox-plasmid for integration of CDS with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus (lox-SSS-cassette) | This study |
| pJK226 | Deletion of restriction and modification system | Zobel et al. (2015) |
| pJK245 | Integration of eryAI3 with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus | This study |
| pJK246 | Integration of eryAI3 with RBS in srfA gene locus | This study |
| pJK254 | Deletion of pps operon | This study |
| pJK257 | Integration of ery-orf5 with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus | This study |
| pJK258 | Integration of ery-orf5 with acoA-promoter in srfA gene locus, in addition to eryAI–III-cluster | This study |
| pJK260 | Deletion of prp operon | This study |
| pMSE3 | High-copy E. coli/B. subtilis shuttle vector with KanR-cassette | Silbersack et al. (2006) |

CmR chloramphenicol resistance cassette, KanR kanamycin resistance cassette, NmR neomycin resistance cassette, SpecR spectinomycin resistance cassette, ss six site, SSS SpecR flanked by two ss, lox-SSS SSS surrounded by a lox71 and lox66 site.

a The cosmid P1394 was received from the cosmid library of the Peter Leadlay laboratory from the Department of Biochemistry at the University of Cambridge. The cosmid sequence with the eryAI–III-cluster can be found on the “Saccharopolyspora erythraea genome project web site” at http://131.111.43.95/gmnweb/index.html.

Construction of strains

In this study, an optimized protocol for rapid and multiple genome modification of B. subtilis was used which was previously described (Kumpfmüller et al. 2013). For comS induction, 100 μM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) was added when the cells were diluted. Unless stated otherwise, the antibiotic selection marker was removed after successful chromosomal integration using a method based on the recombination of flanking lox sites with chromosomally localized cre as described in the above mentioned protocol. For B. subtilis, antibiotics were used in the following final concentrations: 100 μg/mL spectinomycin, 20 μg/mL kanamycin, and 20 μg/mL zeocin.
Media and cultivation

For expression studies, *B. subtilis* strains were cultivated in the fed-batch simulating EnBase® system from BioSilta. To this purpose, the *EnPresso B Tablet Cultivation Set* was used in combination with 24-well deepwell culture plates and airporous seals for multi-well plates (all BioSilta, Oulu, Finland). For cultivation, 2 mL of prepared medium (including 0.1 % acetoin for promoter induction, 1.5 U/L Reagent A for enzyme-based substrate delivery, 20 μg/mL zeocin for selection, and 20 mM sodium propionate as 6dEB precursor, if not indicated otherwise) was inoculated with 100 μL of a late-exponential pre-culture (LB medium; 37 °C, OD600 approx. 2.0) and incubated at 30 °C at 250 rpm (25-mm
amplitude). After overnight culture (16 h), 200 μL of the dissolved booster solution and another 1.5 U/L Reagent A were added and the cultivation was continued for 48 h under shaking conditions.

RNA isolation and analysis

RNA isolation was done as previously described (Welsch et al. 2012). For slot blot analyses, the Bio-Dot SF Microfiltration Apparatus (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA probes were prepared using the DIG RNA Labeling Kit (SP6/T7) from Roche Life Science (Mannheim, Germany) and the following primers: eryAI, 56/5490; eryAII, 5105/5496; and eryAIII, 5492/5493. Hybridization and detection were performed as described elsewhere (Welsch et al. 2012).

Extraction

After cultivation, the cells were harvested and 1300 μL of the supernatant was supplemented with 13 μL of internal standard mixture (1 ng/μL sulfadimethoxine, 2 ng/μL sulfachloropyridazine in methanol, HPLC grade). Subsequently, samples were extracted with an equal volume of ethyl acetate and agitated for 10 min at 30 °C. Phase separation was performed via centrifugation at room temperature and 8500 rpm for 5 min. After ethyl acetate was evaporated under vacuum, the extracts were dissolved in 75 μL HPLC-grade methanol for HPLC-mass spectrometry (MS) analysis.

HPLC and mass spectrometry

All experiments were carried out on an Agilent 1200 HPLC system coupled to a 6460 Triple Quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with a Jet stream ESI-source (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). The chromatographic separation was achieved temperature controlled at 25 °C on a Synergi Fusion-RP column (2.5 μm, 50×2.0 mm) equipped with a pre-column of the same material (4×2.0 mm) both from Phenomenex (Aschaffenburg, Germany). A gradient of mobile phase A (0.1 % formic acid) and mobile phase B (acetonitrile) was used as shown in Table S2 in the Supplementary Material (flow rate of 0.5 mL/min, injection volume of 10 μL). The MS was operated in positive ion mode with multi-reaction monitoring (MRM). The MS/MS fragmentation pattern of 6dEB and the internal standard compounds were determined and the MS parameters were optimized. The optimized source parameters are displayed in Table S3 in the Supplementary Material.

6dEB was monitored with transitions m/z 409.1 to m/z 311.2 for relative quantification and m/z 409.1 to m/z 391.2 and m/z 293.2 for identification. Collision energies for the transitions of 6dEB were 25, 21, and 25 V, respectively. Data was acquired and evaluated using the Mass Hunter software; quantitative analysis was done using Mass Hunter Quantitative Analysis (version B03.02, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany).

Results

Construction of the DEBS expression strains

All plasmids for integration of the eryAI–III genes are presented in Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Material. The construction of these plasmids is explained in the Supplementary Material.
text and by Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Material. The starting strain used in this study was B. subtilis JK13 (Zobel et al. 2015), a derivative of the Marburg 168 strain, which already contained an IPTG-inducible second gene copy of the competence factor ComS for enhanced transformation efficiency and the xylose-inducible cre gene for marker removal.

In order to investigate the expression of the eryl-III gene cluster from Saccharopolyspora erythraea, which comprises more than 30 kb, in B. subtilis, the DEBS genes were localized to the B. subtilis JK13 chromosome in three different cluster organizations: (A) native operon, (B) native operon with optimized ribosomal binding sites, and (C) the three native ery genes as separate cassettes with optimized ribosomal binding sites. The operons and the individual gene cassettes were set under control of the acetoin inducible acoA promoter.

Due to a frame shift mutation in the PPTase sfp gene, B. subtilis 168 strains are not able to activate NRPS and PKS enzymes (Mootz et al. 2001). Hence, they do not produce hemolytic surfactin and deletion of the corresponding srfA operon cannot be detected on sheep blood agar plates. In order to allow simple screening for positive transformants after chromosomal integration of the srfA operon, first a KanR-cassette was inserted by chromosomal integration of pJK206 in B. subtilis JK13. The resulting strain B. subtilis JK34 was then treated with linearized pJK119c to chromosomally integrate the PacoA–eryAI-T7- operon thereby replacing the KanR gene. Thus, the obtained eryl-positive colonies could be screened for loss of kanamycin resistance. For further integration of the eryAI gene, the restriction and modification (RM) system (namely, ydT-W, ydiP–S, and ydiA–C) of the resulting strain (B. subtilis JK53) had to be deleted by chromosomal integration of pJK226 to give B. subtilis JK54. The positive effect of this knockout (higher transformation efficiency with large DNA fragments) was already described elsewhere (Choi et al. 2009; Haima et al. 1987). Hereafter, B. subtilis JK54 was transformed with plasmid pJK139a, resulting in B. subtilis JK57. Thereby, a KanR-cassette was introduced together with the Pacoa–eryAI-operon allowing screening for another resistance switch after the following chromosomal integration of the Pacoa–eryAIII-T7-operon by using pJK245. Hence, the resulting strain B. subtilis JK58 harbored all three eryA genes as distinct cassettes, each under the control of the acoa promoter (Ali et al. 2001). In a final step, sfp activity was reconstituted by chromosomal integration of pJK64a, giving B. subtilis JK59. The stepwise construction of this strain is shown in Fig. 1.

For chromosomal integration of the modified eryAI–III operon with optimized ribosomal binding sites, B. subtilis JK54 was used (see also Fig. 1). The RBS-eryAI-cassette was chromosomally introduced together with a KanR-cassette by using pJK140a and thereby removing the T7-terminator of the Pacoa–eryAI-T7-operon resulting in B. subtilis JK64. Hereafter, pJK246 was used for chromosomal integration of the eryAIII gene with optimized RBS to complete the Pacoa–eryAI-RBS-eryAII-RBS-eryAIII-T7-operon. Due to the subsequent deletion of the KanR-cassette the obtained colonies could be screened for antibiotic resistance switch. For reconstitution of the required sfp gene, this strain (B. subtilis JK65) was then transformed with pJK64a to give B. subtilis JK66 (Fig. S4 in the Supplementary Material).

A chromosomal integration of the 30-kb large wild-type eryAI–III-cluster could be done in a single step only after deletion of the RM system. Thus, pJK226 was used to transform B. subtilis JK34 to give the kanamycin-resistant B. subtilis JK47 strain. Hereafter, this mutant strain was treated with pJK155 thereby replacing the KanR-cassette. Positive clones could be screened for the selection marker switch. For subsequent marker removal, recombination of the flanking six-sites with a plasmid-coded β-recombinase was performed as described elsewhere (Kabisch et al. 2012) resulting in B. subtilis JK70, which carries the Pacoa–eryAI–Inat–TT7-operon. Again, this strain was then transformed with pJK64a for sfp reconstitution giving B. subtilis JK71 (Fig. S5 in the Supplementary Material).

Since the fed-batch simulating EnBase® system, which was used for expression studies, is based on the enzymatic release of glucose from a polymer, the amyE gene coding for the α-amylase had to be knocked out to avoid interference with the tightly controlled substrate delivery by an artificially added amylase. For this purpose, pAMY-lox-SSS was used to chromosomally delete the amyE locus of B. subtilis JK59, JK66, and JK71 to give the amylase-negative strains B. subtilis JK59-1, JK66-1, and JK71-1, respectively.

Cultivation of DEBS expression strains and detection of 6dEB production

All three expression strains were cultivated under fed-batch simulating conditions and showed a similar growth behavior that differed from the negative control beginning from t=40 h (24 h post-boostering, see Fig. 2a). This observed reduced cell growth could be a hint for the induced gene expression in these recombinant strains. To qualify specific messenger RNA (mRNA) production, RNA isolation followed by a slot blot analysis was performed. The results indicated that the eryAI–III genes were transcribed in all three B. subtilis strains independent of the cluster organization (Fig. S6 in the Supplementary Material). However, significant 6dEB production could only be detected for BsJK59-1 which harbored the
DEBS genes in three separate cassettes (Fig. 2b). For this strain, it could also be revealed that relative 6dEB yield was more than doubled by prolongation of the EnBase-based cultivation to 48 h after boostering (data not shown).

Fig. 1 Integration of eryAI, eryAII, and eryAIII as three individually controlled genes with optimized RBS in B. subtilis JK34. Schematic diagram (not to scale) showing the construction of B. subtilis JK59. a The former srfA gene locus in the chromosome of B. subtilis JK34. Transformation of this strain with pJK119c and subsequent recombination of the lox sites via Cre resulted in B. subtilis JK53 (b). Deletion of the RM system via pJK226 resulted in B. subtilis JK54 (c). Stepwise chromosomal integration of the eryAII and eryAIII genes under control of the acoA promoter via pJK139a and pJK245 resulted in B. subtilis JK57 (d) and B. subtilis JK58 (e), respectively. In a final step, the frame shift mutated sfp0 gene was chromosomally replaced by the native sfp+ gene by using pJK64a to give B. subtilis JK59 (f). Thus, PPTase activity of Sfp was reconstituted.

Furthermore, it could be shown that the metabolite is completely secreted, because no 6dEB could be detected in an extract obtained from the cell pellet treated by sonification (data not shown).
**Optimization of 6dEB production in B. subtilis BsJK59-1 by engineering the genetic background**

For potential optimization of 6dEB production, further genome modifications were investigated. First, the DEBS-specific type II thioesterase (TEII) encoded by the *ery-orf5* gene was chromosomally integrated in *B. subtilis* JK59-1 by using pJK258 to give *B. subtilis* JK60. This accessory enzyme is known to increase 6dEB production in the native producer as well as in the surrogate *E. coli* host (Pfeifer et al. 2002). For enhanced cell growth during heterologous protein production, this strain was then transformed with pJK205 to generate a *lytC* knockout mutant *B. subtilis* JK62 (Kabisch et al. 2012). Hereafter, *spoIIGA* (involved in sporulation) was deleted by chromosomal integration of pJK209 to give the sporulation-deficient strain *B. subtilis* JK63. In order to reduce the metabolic burden of the host, two gene clusters involved in secondary metabolite production were removed from the chromosome in addition to the *srfaA–D* operon. To this purpose, the *pksA–R* operon (~76 kb) was deleted by using pJK179 resulting in *B. subtilis* JK68, which was not able to produce the polyketide bacillaene. In a next step, this strain was transformed with pJK254 to give *B. subtilis* JK120 with a deletion of the 38 kb *ppsA–E* cluster, coding for the lipopastatin synthetase (NRPS).

The highest growth rate could be detected for *B. subtilis* JK62 (DEBS1–3, TEII, Δ*lytC*), followed by *B. subtilis* JK60 (DEBS1–3, TEII). *B. subtilis* JK63 (DEBS1–3, TEII, Δ*lytC*, Δ*spoIIGA*) and JK68 (DEBS1–3, TEII, Δ*lytC*, Δ*spoIIGA*, Δ*pksX*) both resulted in a similar cell density compared to the control strain JK62. It is interesting to note that the unmodified 6dEB producer *B. subtilis* JK59-1 and the *B. subtilis* JK120 strain, showing all mentioned modifications, revealed the lowest maximal optical densities (see Fig. 3a).

According to the productivity, the relative 6dEB yield was reduced from 100 % in *B. subtilis* JK59-1 to 69 % in *B. subtilis* JK60 by introduction of the TEII. The additional deletion of *lytC* resulted in the compensation of this negative effect, whereby *B. subtilis* JK62 reached a similar relative 6dEB yield. The *spoIIGA* knockout in *B. subtilis* JK63 resulted in a further enhanced productivity (132 %), which was not affected by the additional deletion of the *pksA–R* operon in *B. subtilis* JK68 (129 %). Best results could be obtained with *B. subtilis* JK120 that also showed the deletion of the *ppsA–E* operon and yielded 143 % (Fig. 3b).

**Influence of the modification of the propionyl-CoA metabolism**

To optimize the propionyl-CoA metabolism with regard to the 6dEB production, the *prpBD* operon in *B. subtilis* JK120 responsible for propionyl-CoA utilization was deleted by using pJK260, resulting in *B. subtilis* JK125. In addition to this modification, the removal of propionate, which had always been provided as supplementation, was also investigated.

In general, the cell growth was slightly increased during the cultivation without propionate. Furthermore, the *B. subtilis* JK125 strain reached higher cell densities compared to *B. subtilis* JK120 (Fig. 4a).

Supplementing the medium with 20 mM sodium propionate resulted in an increase in the relative 6dEB yield of 20 % for *B. subtilis* JK120 and 75 % in case of the *prpBD* mutant strain *B. subtilis* JK125. In addition, in the presence of propionate, the relative 6dEB yield in *B. subtilis* JK125 was increased 2.5-fold compared to that in *B. subtilis* JK120. In contrast, the deletion of the *prpBD* operon resulted in a reduced 6dEB productivity (~30 %) in the unsupplemented medium (Fig. 4b).

*B. subtilis* JK125, which has emerged as the best producer, was chosen to quantify the level of 6dEB production. Without further optimization of the culture conditions, a final 6dEB concentration of 2.6±0.3 μg/L was reached with this strain.

**Discussion**

Although the DEBS genes could be localized in the chromosome of *B. subtilis* in three different cluster organizations (A,
native operon; B, modified native operon with optimized RBS; and C, three separately transcribed genes with optimized RBSs) and specific mRNAs of \textit{eryAI}, \textit{eryAII}, and \textit{eryAIII} could be identified in all three expression strains, heterologous 6dEB production could only be detected in the strain \textit{B. subtilis} JK59-1, which controls the expression of the \textit{eryI-III} genes by three separate \textit{acoA} promoters and optimized ribosomal binding sites. The \textit{ery} mRNA analyses indicate that not the transcription but the translation of the mRNAs is the critical step in the heterologous expression of the 6dEB gene clusters. It can be assumed that the monocistronic organization of the \textit{eryAI}, \textit{eryAII}, and \textit{eryAIII} genes in JK59-1 is the main reason for the positive 6dEB synthesis as this is the only distinction to \textit{B. subtilis} JK66-1, which exhibits a tricistronic mRNA and no detectable 6dEB titers. It could be speculated that a greater instability or unfavorable secondary structures of the 30-kb tricistronic mRNA in contrast to the 10-kb monocistronic mRNAs result in insufficient translational initiation and/or unbalanced expression levels of DEBS1, DEBS2, and DEBS3.

It is also demonstrated that only a fed-batch cultivation strategy with the chosen \textit{acoA} promoter system resulted in a significant 6dEB biosynthesis. On the one hand, this might be an effect of the higher cell densities that could be reached in the fed-batch simulating EnBase medium. However, it is more likely that it is a result of the linear cell growth that does not cause oxygen limitation, overflow metabolism, and pH drop (Panula-Perälä et al. 2008). This, in turn, leads to higher protein expression in combination with enhanced metabolic activity (Ukkonen et al. 2011). Thus, a higher synthesis rate of the metabolite can be achieved. This could, for example, already be demonstrated by the increased heterologous production of valinomycin in \textit{E. coli} (Li et al. 2014).

Furthermore, when using \textit{B. subtilis} JK59-1, it could be shown that the 6dEB metabolite accumulates during the last 24 h of cultivation. The productivity of the heterologous host even rose since 6dEB titers could be more than doubled during that time with consistent cell density. This indicates that the metabolite synthesis is not limited by the depletion of one of the substrates and that the DEBS proteins are expressed at a constant level.

In addition, 6dEB was exclusively detectable in the medium but not in the cell extract. Thus, the metabolite seems to be completely secreted into the supernatant. It is noteworthy that such a secretory production is advantageous, especially for simplified detection and downstream processing.

**Fig. 3** Comparison of modified \textit{B. subtilis} JK59-1 strains with engineered genetic background. a Growth curves (OD$_{600}$) during cultivation for 64 h (16 h pre- plus 48 h post-boostering) in EnBase® medium. b Quantitative MRM analysis of secreted 6dEB of modified \textit{B. subtilis} strains (t=48 h after boosting). BsJK9-1: three individually controlled genes with optimized RBSs; BsJK60: additional expression of \textit{ery_orf5} (TE II); BsJK62: with \textit{lytC} inactivation; BsJK63: with \textit{spoIIGA} inactivation; BsJK68: deletion of bacillaene synthase cluster; BsJK120: deletion of pipastatin synthase cluster. N=3, therefore no quartiles, but the median is shown.

**Fig. 4** Influence of the \textit{prpBD} knockout and feeding of sodium propionate. a Growth curves (OD$_{600}$) during cultivation for 64 h (16 h pre- plus 48 h post-boostering) in EnBase® medium. b Quantitative MRM analysis of secreted 6dEB of modified \textit{B. subtilis} strains (t=48 h after boosting). BsJK120: \textit{prpBD} positive control; BsJK125: deletion of \textit{prpBD} operon. N=3, therefore no quartiles, but the median is shown.
To enhance 6dEB synthesis, the DEBS-specific TEII encoded by ery-orf3 was chromosomally integrated in \textit{B. subtilis} JK59-1. In general, the function of these discrete hydrolytic enzymes, which are quite often associated with PKS and NRPS gene clusters, is to remove aberrant residues blocking the megasynthase, to participate in substrate selection as well as to release intermediates and products (Kotowska and Pawlik 2014). In recent studies, it could be shown that the deletion of the DEBS-TEII gene in the native host \textit{Saccharopolyspora erythraea} led to both an increase of the side product 8,8′-deoxyoleanolide (15-nor-6-deoxyerythronolide B) and lower erythromycin production (Hu et al. 2003). Furthermore, coexpression of this TEII in a 6dEB producing \textit{E. coli} strain resulted in a doubled product yield (Pfeifer et al. 2002). However, in contrast to our expectations, \textit{B. subtilis} JK60, which harbored the ery-orf3 gene under the control of the acoA-promoter, showed a reduced 6dEB synthesis (−30 %) compared with the parental strain \textit{B. subtilis} JK59-1. The background of this negative effect is not clear, and further investigations are necessary to gain better insight into this unexpected outcome.

Corresponding to the optimization of \textit{B. subtilis} ATCC 6051 as an expression host (Kabisch et al. 2012), \textit{lytC} (the major autolysin) as well as \textit{spoIIA} (involved in sporulation) was deleted to improve the growth behavior. As expected, the \textit{lytC} knockout mutant \textit{B. subtilis} JK62 showed a higher cell density compared to the parental strain \textit{B. subtilis} JK60 and resulted in an enhanced 6dEB production (+30 %). Production levels could be further increased by the additional deletion of \textit{spoIIA}, although this knockout led to a reduced cell growth. The enhancement of the 6dEB synthesis (+30 %) in \textit{B. subtilis} JK63 could be an unspecific effect of optimized cell metabolic processes due to the prevention of spore formation (Kabisch et al. 2012).

In addition to these growth-related modifications, the deletion of host-own PKS and NRPS gene clusters was performed in order to reduce the metabolic burden and potential cross talk. The \textit{srfAA–D} genes (~26 kb) responsible for surfactin production (NRP) were already removed by the chromosomal integration of the DEBS operon. Thus, the influence of this knockout on 6dEB production cannot be assessed. Contrary to our expectations, the deletion of the bacillaene synthase cluster (\textit{pksA–R}, ~76 kb) in \textit{B. subtilis} JK68 did not enhance the product yield compared to its parental strain JK63. This might be due to different substrates, which are necessary for 6dEB (propionyl- and methylmalonyl-CoA) and bacillaene (mainly malonyl-CoA) synthesis, whereby no direct competition for starter and extender units exists. The \textit{ppsA–E} knockout mutant \textit{B. subtilis} JK120 also showed no significant increase in 6dEB formation compared to the JK68 control strain. Although the removal of genes of the secondary metabolism did not enhance cell growth and has only a weak effect on 6dEB synthesis, these deletions might be of particular interest for an industrial production strain. Due to safety reasons and simplification of the downstream process, “clean” hosts which do not produce unwanted side products are preferred.

As already mentioned, propionyl- and (2S)-methylmalonyl-CoA are necessary for 6dEB synthesis. \textit{B. subtilis} is capable of propionyl-CoA synthesis either from the isoleucine and valine degradation pathway or directly from propionate (Fig. 5). The starter unit propionyl-CoA can be either metabolized to succinate (via \textit{PrpB} and \textit{PrpD}) or converted to (2S)-methylmalonyl-CoA. Our data indicate that \textit{B. subtilis} provides a significant propionyl- and methylmalonyl-CoA pool, but the native precursor concentration is not sufficient for an optimal 6dEB production. Thus, propionate feeding was not mandatory but conductive, and the positive effect of this supplementation could be enhanced by the deletion of the propionyl-CoA degradation pathway. Both procedures resulted in a better propionyl-CoA availability which in turn led to higher intracellular (2S)-methylmalonyl-CoA levels and thus to a more efficient 6dEB biosynthesis.

On the one hand, DEBS is one of the best investigated PKS systems (Khosla et al. 2007). Since the substrates, the structure of the enzymatic complex, and the mechanism of the

---

**Fig. 5** Metabolic pathways of \textit{B. subtilis}: connecting the propionate metabolism to heterologous 6dEB production. The map has been constructed from the KEGG Pathway Database [http://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html#metabolism]
biosynthesis of 6dEB are known, it is a suitable candidate for a reference system. On the other hand, due to its complexity (28 active sites distributed among three linked proteins) and the size of the corresponding gene cluster (~30 kb), cloning and heterologous expression are challenging. Nevertheless, it is demonstrated that *B. subtilis* is able to functionally express the *eryAI–III* genes, when the three DEBS genes with optimized RBS were individually expressed by separate promoters under fed-batch cultivation conditions. Although the final 6dEB production titer is not comparable with those of *E. coli* strains (Zhang et al. 2010), this study indicates that *B. subtilis* is a suitable host for the secretory production of a complex polyketide. To our knowledge, this is the first communication for the heterologous production of a complex polyketide. For rapid genome modifications of *Bacillus subtilis*, efficient sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*, and heterologous expression are challenging. Nevertheless, it is demonstrated that *B. subtilis* is able to functionally express the *eryAI–III* genes, when the three DEBS genes with optimized RBS were individually expressed by separate promoters under fed-batch cultivation conditions. Although the final 6dEB production titer is not comparable with those of *E. coli* strains (Zhang et al. 2010), this study indicates that *B. subtilis* is a suitable host for the secretory production of a complex polyketide. To our knowledge, this is the first communication for the heterologous production of a complex polyketide in the Gram-positive bacterium *B. subtilis*.
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