Competing to coordinate cell fate decisions: the MST2-Raf-1 signaling device
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How do biochemical signaling pathways generate biological specificity? This question is fundamental to modern biology, and its enigma has been accentuated by the discovery that most proteins in signaling networks serve multifunctional roles. An answer to this question may lie in analyzing network properties rather than individual traits of proteins in order to elucidate design principles of biochemical networks that enable biological decision-making. We discuss how this is achieved in the MST2/Hippo-Raf-1 signaling network with the help of mathematical modeling and model-based analysis, which showed that competing protein interactions with affinities controlled by dynamic protein modifications can function as Boolean computing devices that determine cell fate decisions. In addition, we discuss areas of interest for future research and highlight how systems approaches would be of benefit.

Introduction

Normal development and tissue-size homeostasis at the embryonic as well as adult level rely crucially on a fine balance between cell proliferation and apoptosis.1,2 Failure to maintain this balance leading to either excessive proliferation or apoptosis could tip the cell toward aberrant growth or tissue loss that underlie serious pathologies, such as cancer or neurodegenerative diseases. Although much has been learned about the molecular mechanisms regulating cell proliferation and cell death in isolation, our understanding of how these opposite outcomes are coordinated at the mechanistic level remains patchy.

Originally identified in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster through genetic screenings for growth suppressors, the MST2/Hippo signaling pathway has emerged as an important pathway for the regulation of growth, apoptosis and proliferation in mammalian cells.3 The core pathway in Drosophila was defined as Hippo-Warts-Yorkie, where the Hippo kinase activates the Warts kinase, which phosphorylates and inhibits the transcription factor Yorkie.4,5 The individual components of this pathway are well conserved in mammals, with Hippo corresponding to MST1/2, Warts to LATS1/2, and Yorkie to YAP1/2. However, the upstream activators and downstream effectors have diverged substantially.5-7 For instance, in mammalian cells RASSF1A, a protein of the RASSF tumor suppressor family, activates MST1/2 to promote apoptosis, while the single RASSF homolog in the fly suppresses Hippo activity. Even more striking is the divergence of the pathway structure. There is robust evidence that in mammalian cells YAP can be regulated independently of MST and LATS,8-10 and that both MST and LATS have substrates outside of the classic Hippo pathway that was defined by genetic studies in Drosophila.11-16 These findings highlight that a modern concept of the Hippo/MST pathway needs to take organismal differences into account.

Having such a central function in the control of growth, apoptosis and the cytoskeleton it is no surprise that the Hippo/MST2/Hippo pathway is embedded in a
network of crosstalk with other pathways, e.g. the Wnt, Notch, TGFβ, PI3K/Akt and the Raf/ERK pathway (reviewed in refs. 4,17-19). Here, we will discuss the crosstalk between the Raf/ERK and Hippo/MST2 pathway and its role in the regulation of transformation and apoptosis in mammalian cells.

MST2/Hippo crosstalks with Raf-1 signaling through a complex network of PPIs modulated by phosphorylation

Crosstalk between the MST2/Hippo pathway and the Raf/ERK pathway occurs at several levels as summarized in Figure 1.6,20-24 At the heart of the crosstalk are dynamic changes in PPIs between the kinases MST2 and Raf-1 and their respective upstream activators RASSF1A and Ras. In un-stimulated conditions, Raf-1 binds to the SARAH domain of MST2 and interferes with its recruitment by the scaffold protein RASSF1A. This prevents RASSF1A-mediated MST2 dimerization and auto-phosphorylation on Thr180 which are both required for full activation of MST2. In addition, MST2 kinase activity is also suppressed due to dephosphorylation by a phosphatase associated with Raf-1.6 Interestingly, the inhibition of MST2 by Raf-1 does not require Raf kinase activity but only relies on binding. In fact, there seems to be an inverse relationship between Raf specific catalytic activity and MST2 binding and inhibition. A-Raf, which possesses barely measurable kinase activity binds MST2 strongly, while B-Raf, which has the highest kinase activity, only weakly interacts with MST2.25 This differential ability of Raf isoforms to regulate MST2 likely is the reason why this interaction was not picked up in Drosophila genetic screens. Drosophila has a single Raf ortholog that is most closely related to B-Raf, and hence not expected to interact with MST2. In mammalian cells MST2 can be released from its inhibitory complex with Raf-1 by RASSF1A, leading to MST2 activation and subsequent binding to its substrate LATS1. Depending on the input stimulus LATS1 can triggers apoptosis by inducing the formation of a YAP1-p73 transcriptional complex22 or by stabilizing the p53 tumor suppressor protein20 (Fig. 1). These pro-apoptotic pathways are obliterated by the frequent loss of RASSF1A expression in human cancers.26,27 In addition, MST2 and Ras compete for binding to Raf-1. Binding of activated Ras initiates the Raf-1 activation process.28 The main binding site of MST2 in Raf-1 overlaps with the RBD causing MST2 to interfere with Ras binding and Raf-1 activation.

The complexity of this network of competing protein interactions indicated that the resulting pathway behavior will be equally complex. Therefore, we employed a systems approach combining mathematical modeling and experimentation to investigate the mechanistic details of the crosstalk between the MST2 and Raf-1 pathways elucidating a surprising dynamic switch and feedback loop that orchestrate the activities of these pathways.24 At the heart of these switches are the competing PPIs described above and phosphorylations that change the affinity of the binding partners. Simple competitions between 2 proteins (A,B) for binding to a third protein (C) generate smooth transitions between the abundance of the respective complexes where AC declines and BC increases proportionally to the concentration of B. However, when combined with changes in affinity these transitions can become switch-like.24 The relevant affinity changes are caused by phosphorylations of Raf-1 and MST2. Akt phosphorylates MST2 and promotes its binding to Raf-1, thereby inhibiting MST2 activation.23,24 Conversely, in
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**Figure 1.** The integrated MST2/Hippo-Raf-1 signaling network schematic diagram. Normal and blunt arrows represent positive and negative regulations, respectively. DRs: Death Receptor, RTKs: Receptor Tyrosine Kinases.
resting cells Raf-1 is phosphorylated on Ser259, which inhibits its kinase activity toward MEK and is dephosphorylated during the Raf-1 activation process.\textsuperscript{29} Interestingly, pSer259 enhances MST2 binding, thus diverting Raf-1 from activating MEK to inhibiting MST2. Ser259 has been identified previously as an important phosphorylation site for PKA.\textsuperscript{30,31} However, PKA inhibition did not affect the basal levels of pSer259 suggesting the existence of another Ser259 kinase. We identified LATS1 as kinase that maintains basal levels of pSer259, thereby constituting a feedback loop that inhibits both the MST2 as well as the ERK pathway.\textsuperscript{24}

**Analyzing molecular switches in the MST2-Raf-1 network by mathematical modeling**

The operation of several concurrent competing protein interactions coupled with dynamic changes in their binding affinities regulated by different phosphorylations bring highly non-linear dynamics to the network, which challenge an intuitive analysis of network behavior. To systematically explore and understand the emergent properties of this integrated circuitry, we developed a mathematical model which allowed us to analyze the salient features of the crosstalk in a unified and quantitative framework. For this purpose we constructed a number of mathematical models that capture the network at different levels of abstraction.\textsuperscript{24} In the most coarse-grained model, the network was simplified to its essentials containing only the MST2 and Raf-1 PPI and reversible phosphorylation cycles (Fig. 2A). Two fine-grained models contained the relevant known components of both pathways, incorporating all observed protein interactions, phosphorylation reactions and feedback loops. One used Michaelis-Menten kinetics which emphasizes the role of enzymatic reactions, and another mass-action, which explicitly includes the role of PPIs in the reactions. The employment of models having different levels of detail enabled us to flexibly zoom-in and –out the network structure, which facilitates not only numerical simulations but also analytical analysis. In combination, these in silico analyses allowed us to untangle the network complexity and identify the key conditions that characterize the network behavior. Importantly, model predictions helped to articulate novel hypotheses and design appropriate experiments to test them.

Model analysis revealed non-intuitive dynamic properties of the MST2-Raf-1 network.\textsuperscript{24} Most remarkably, model simulations followed by experimental verification predicted and confirmed the occurrence of sharp switches between the activities of the Raf-1 and MST2 pathways. Notably, a graded increase in Ras activity led to sharp OFF-ON switches in MST2 and Raf-1 activities. Interestingly, the model predicted that while Raf-1 switches on regardless of Akt activity, MST2 activity is strongly Akt sensitive being ON at low and OFF at high Akt activities (Fig. 2B). This unexpected prediction was confirmed experimentally using Ras mutants that induced different levels of Akt activation. Likewise, increasing serum stimulation switched Raf-1 on but switched off MST2 activities, probably due to a high Akt activation induced by serum. Ras, thus in principle, could sharply activate ERK and MST2 triggering both cell proliferation and apoptosis in cells where Akt is weakly activated by Ras. In contrast, the pro-apoptotic role of Ras is shut down in cells where it activates Akt strongly.

Our model further showed that the LATS1 to Raf-1 feedback phosphorylation affects both arms of the MST2-Raf-1 network. Stronger feedback strength attenuates MST2 activity as expected, but unexpectedly desensitizes Raf-1 and ERK activation. Weakening the feedback by LATS1 knockdown increased both the amplitude and degree of the serum growth factor-induced Raf-1 activation switch. In addition, while graded Akt activation unequivocally switches off MST2 activity independent of the LATS1 feedback, the model interestingly suggested that Akt could switch from a Raf-1 inhibiting role to a Raf-1 activating role at high LATS1 feedback strength. Taken together, the molecular module comprising the core proteins Ras, Akt, Raf-1, MST2, RASSF1A and LATS1 constitutes a highly integrated and delicate signaling apparatus, which can “digitally” coordinate opposing pathway outcomes in a switch-like manner. In this “digital” machinery, Ras acts like a switch inducer while Akt serves to direct the switches’ state (ON or OFF) and LATS1 via its feedback on Raf-1 functions as a tuner for the amplitude and steepness of the switches (Fig. 2B).

Importantly, we found that these switches regulate biological outcomes and coordinate cell fate decisions in biological systems. Altering the balance between these pathways by expressing a Raf-1 S259A mutant in cultured cell lines stimulates both apoptosis and proliferation by concomitant activation of the MST2 and ERK pathways. Incapacitation of the MST2 pathway by siRNA or hyper-activation of AKT switches signaling from apoptosis to cell transformation and growth confirming the tightly interlinked control of these pathways. We further could validate that these switches exist at an organismal level by experiments in zebrafish embryos, where disruption of the MST2-Raf-2 interaction affected heart development in a switch-like fashion. The rationale for looking at heart development was the observation that Raf-1 mutations altering Ser259 phosphorylation can cause Noonan syndrome, which includes aberrant cardiac development.\textsuperscript{32-34} Thus, as these switch-like transitions seem to have wide physiological relevance, we tried to elaborate the conditions for these switches and assess whether they are met in different cell lines and tissues.

**The conditions for switches**

Switches often arise from bistability, a phenomenon where a system can switch between 2 distinct physiological states but cannot rest in between.\textsuperscript{35} Bistability typically results from mutually activating or repressing regulations, such as positive and double-negative feedback loops.\textsuperscript{35} The emergence of switches in the MST2-Raf-1 network, however, does not follow these usual means, but is enabled by a core motif comprising 2 reversible phosphorylation cycles linked to protein association/dissociation reactions (Fig. 2C). Since phosphorylation cycles are widespread in signal transduction networks, we expect that this novel switches-generating circuitry could be a common regulatory principle in cellular processes.
Importantly, model analysis allowed us to determine the conditions governing the occurrence of sharp switches. Switches are most abrupt when the (de)phosphorylation reactions of Raf-1 and MST2 operate in the saturated regime, i.e. when the concentrations of Raf-1 and MST2 exceed the Michaelis-Menten constants of the respective reactions. Quantifying the concentrations of Raf-1, MST2 and MEK in MCF7 and Hela cells revealed that switching conditions are met in these cells. Under these conditions, switches are sharper for the strong binding between MST2-Raf-1, and a less strong LATS1-mediated feedback loop. Interestingly, the LATS phosphorylation site is conserved in other Raf isoforms, and they feature differential affinities to MST2. A-Raf binds the strongest, followed by Raf-1, whereas B-Raf has barely detectable binding activity.25 Thus, in cells where MST2 is regulated mainly by A-Raf steep switches are predicted. Interestingly, mutant B-RafV600E was recently found to strongly bind MST proteins.36 Therefore, mutant B-Raf expressing cells seem poised to steep switches. The different Raf isoforms are expected to compete with each other for MST binding, and how this competition and their differential affinities shape the switches will be an interesting future research topic.

Our systems analysis also revealed and explained the important roles of Akt in coordinating the balance between...
mitogenic and apoptotic signaling by the ERK and MST2 pathways. Our results showed that the Akt activation status is crucial in controlling the direction of the MST2-mediated apoptotic switch. High Akt activity switches MST2 signaling OFF, while low Akt activity switches it ON. In cancer Akt is often hyper-activated by mutations of its upstream activator PI3K or loss of PTEN, which dephosphorylates PI3.37,38 A better elucidation of how Akt activity is regulated in these contexts will go a long way in understanding how the apoptotic and proliferative outcomes are integrated in tumor development and progression. It has been suggested that MST can inhibit Akt,39 which would create a feedback loop where Akt and MST suppress each other. This extra layer of regulation could provide additional control to the regulatory machinery of the network, which will require and extended model to be addressed.

Taken together, our mathematical model allowed us to identify and experimentally test the critical elements that govern linear versus switching behavior in the MST2-Raf-1 network, revealing 3 interconnected layers of regulation (Fig. 2A). The first layer is related to the relative concentrations and affinities of the proteins involved in the competing interactions, and the regulation of Raf-1 and MST2 by phosphorylation that changes the binding affinities. The second layer encompasses Akt, which can either promote or suppress MST2 activation and apoptosis depending on its activation profile. The third layer of regulation is the LATS1 mediated feedback phosphorylation of Raf-1 on Ser259, which negatively regulates the activities of both pathways (Fig. 2A). Dephosphorylation of Ser259 is an essential part of Raf-1 activation process,29 which results in a concomitant activation of the mitogenic ERK and pro-apoptotic MST2 pathways. Linking cell proliferation with the risk of apoptosis seems counterintuitive, but is sensible for multicellular organisms where the loss of a cell causes much less damage than its unlicensed proliferation.

Occurrence of switches in common cell lines, tissues and pathological contexts

To examine whether switching is a common feature in other cellular systems and at the tissue level, we gathered protein expression data from quantitative proteomic studies publicly available for a panel of 10 common cell lines and various mouse tissues.40,41 Interestingly, model simulations predicted that switches are to be expected in a multitude of cell lines24 and tissues (Fig. 3A). Although the levels
of steepness, characterized by the Hill coefficient of the dose-response curve, for each cell line and tissue varied, overall they characterize the appearance of clear switches in these systems (Fig. 3B and C). This suggests switching may be a robust emergent property across multiple expression backgrounds, which we think is due primarily to the design of the network topology, i.e., how the nodes are wired within the network.

The MST pathway is often deregulated in human cancer. Intriguingly, deregulation comes about mainly by epigenetic changes rather than somatic mutations of the pathway components. This is particularly notable in breast cancer where RASSF1A is epigenetically silenced in about 90% of the cases due to promoter hyper-methylation. Similarly, although MST1/2 and LATS1/2 are rarely affected by somatic mutations, their promoters are often hyper-methylated in many cancers. This prompted us to ask how the switches may be perturbed under the pathological context where RASSF1A is silenced. This scenario can be simulated by assuming a low level of RASSF1A expression in the model. Interestingly, the model predicts that under high Akt activity, down-regulated RASSF1A led to a more abrupt switching OFF of MST2 activity compared to a graded response at high RASSF1A level (Fig. 4A). As a result, to achieve the same level of MST2 inhibition cells would require much lower active Ras signal when RASSF1A is downregulated. This result is in keeping with the observed alterations in cancer cells, which include the activation of Akt dependent survival signals and silencing of RASSF1A expression.

Interestingly, our combined analysis uncovered an unexpected dual role for RASSF1A in regulating MST2 activation. At low expression, RASSF1A stimulates MST2 activity, whereas it inhibits MST2 activity at high expression (Fig. 4B). This is consistent with a proposed view that RASSF1A functions as a scaffold protein, which are hallmarked by such biphasic activation characteristics. This observation signals that caution is required when one is to assess the role of RASSF1A without having quantitative knowledge of its concentration in cells, further highlighting the importance of a quantitative analysis.

A detailed mechanistic picture of how RASSF1A facilitates MST2 activation still remains elusive. Although MST2 homo-dimerization has been suggested as a key event leading to full activation of MST2, it is not clear exactly how RASSF1A aids the formation of this dimer, and whether it is possible for RASSF1A to form stable trimers with 2 MST2 molecules through the SARAH domain. Computational methods like molecular modeling and atomistic molecular dynamics may shed new light on these questions. Such insights will help to construct more accurate and predictive mechanistic models of RASSF1A mediated MST2 activation, the understanding of which is essential for future therapeutic strategies targeting RASSF1A.

### Disrupting the MST2-Raf-1 interaction complex as a promising therapeutic strategy

Shutting down apoptotic signals by means of genetic or epigenetic changes is a strategy commonly employed by tumor cells to initiate and maintain unlicensed proliferation. This is notable in many types of cancer where the silencing of the tumor suppressor RASSF1A impedes MST2-mediated apoptosis. Approaches to reactivate suppressed apoptotic pathways are conceptually attractive, but challenging therapeutic avenue to halt tumor progression and possibly re-sensitize tumor cells to existing drugs. The concept that dynamically changing PPIs can coordinate signaling and “compute” specific biological outcomes suggests that targeting PPIs could be an appealing way to manipulate network behavior for therapeutic purposes. MST2 is naturally locked in an inhibitory complex by Raf-1 from where it is released and activated by RASSF1A. Using pharmacological agents to break this lock to release MST2 could potentially replace the function of RASSF1A and lead to enhanced MST2 activation and apoptosis in tumor cells. We combined model predictions and experimentation to examine this intriguing idea.

Using peptide arrays we mapped the Raf-1 binding site to a small interface in the SARAH domain of MST2, and designed a cell permeable disruptor peptide based on this sequence. Using modeling we asked whether the peptide could induce a dose-dependent disruption of the MST2-Raf-1 complex, and if so what the dose-response curve would look like? Since the synthetic peptide sequence overlaps with the dimerization domain of MST2, we assume in the model that the peptide disrupts the MST2-Raf-1 interaction by binding directly to the inactive MST2 monomer. The model predicted that treatment of cells with increasing disruptor peptide concentrations efficiently dissociates the MST2-Raf-1 binding in a dose-dependent fashion (Fig. 4C). The peptide also strongly impedes MST2 activation (Fig. 4D), as the occupation of the MST2 dimerization domain by the disruptor peptide prevents MST2 dimerization and activation. Moreover, model simulations suggested a linear increase of active pS338 Raf-1 and ERK, but a decrease of inactive pS259 Raf-1, in response to increasing peptide level (Fig. 4E and F). Because MST2 binding protects pS259 Raf-1 from dephosphorylation, disruption of the MST2-Raf-1 complex ceases to protect this site against phosphatases resulting in reduced pS259 Raf-1 and increased Raf-1 activation, as predicted by the model. Importantly, follow-up experiments showed excellent congruency between data and model predictions (Fig. 4G–K), confirming the ability of the interfering peptide to efficiently activate the ERK pathway by disrupting the MST2-Raf-1 complex. Targeted disruption of protein-protein interaction is thus a viable way to manipulate network behavior.

Although efficient in disrupting the MST2-Raf-1 binding, the designed peptide did not activate MST2 due to also preventing MST2 dimerization. However, one can design an alternative peptide that binds to the MST2 binding site in Raf-1 instead. We also mapped the Raf-1 domains involved in MST2 binding, which showed MST2 binds Raf-1 through 2 distinct sites that overlap the RBD and partially the MEK-binding domain. This suggests that a peptide containing either the RBD or MEK-binding sequence in Raf-1 (or both with a linker) may be able to...
interfere with both MST2 binding and Ras (or MEK) binding to Raf-1. Such a peptide would kill 2 birds with one stone by releasing MST2 to trigger apoptosis while blocking Raf-1 activation to inhibit proliferation, a dual property much desired in any anti-cancer agent.

The role of phosphatases in the MST2-Raf-1 network

The regulation of the MST2-Raf-1 network is further complicated by the involvement of protein phosphatases. Early studies reported activation of MST1 and MST2 in response to cellular stress or the use of phosphatase inhibitors such as okadaic acids, which led to okadaic acids often used as an experimental reagent to activate the MST/Hippo pathway. A major phosphatase that regulates many nodes of the MST2-Raf-1 signaling network is the protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A). The negative function of PP2A toward MST2 is additionally mediated via the prevention of RASSF1A-induced MST2 auto-phosphorylation and Raf-1 suppresses the activation of MST2 by recruiting a phosphatase, most likely PP2A, to dephosphorylate MST2 on its activation sites. Previous experimental work suggested that PP2A also dephosphorylates Raf-1 on its inhibitory Ser259, and that Ser259 phosphorylation is maintained by MST2 by stabilizing the expression of the PP2A catalytic subunit. The negative function of PP2A toward MST2 is additionally mediated via the prevention of RASSF1A-induced MST2 auto-phosphorylation and...
Besides the MST kinases, PP2A also targets other members of the MST2 pathway as substrates, e.g., the co-transcriptional factor TAZ and YAP, which are direct targets of the LATS kinases downstream of MST2. Upon activation of the MST2 pathway, TAZ and YAP are phosphorylated by LATS1 which triggers their relocation from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. PP2A and the protein phosphatase 1 (PP1) have been reported to associate with and dephosphorylate TAZ and YAP. Moreover, PP2A may directly affect LATS kinases and Mob1, a scaffold protein that binds to and stimulates LATSs, as their phosphorylation status is enhanced following okadaic acid treatment. Finally, PP2A has been long known to dephosphorylate Akt and inhibits its activation.

The extensive and complex involvement of PP2A raises an important, yet non-trivial question as to how it integrates the regulation of multiple substrates to coordinate dynamics at the network level? Answering this question may shed new light on whether targeting PP2A could be a viable therapeutic option.

The integrated wiring contains numerous intertwined regulatory motifs including double negative feedbacks (DNF), coherent and incoherent feed-forward loops (CFF, IFF) that involve different network nodes. The coexistence of these motifs may confer extremely rich dynamics to network behavior and important coordinating roles to PP2A. Indeed in a context-dependent manner PP2A could regulate opposing, life and death decision, through Akt. Due to the high nonlinearity generated by not one but multiple control regulations induced by PP2A (highlighted in blue).

Figure 5. PP2A centered regulation in the MST2-Raf-1 network. (A) Existing network regulation overlaid with novel regulation induced by PP2A. (B–E) Various overlapping control motifs identified for different part of the PP2A related circuitry. IFF = Incoherent Feed Forward; DNF = Double Negative Feedback; CFF = Coherent Feed Forward.
motifs, model-based analysis will be instrumental in guiding experiments to dissect the functional role of PP2A in the MST2-Raf-1 signaling machinery.

In addition, a novel family of Ser/Thr phosphatases PHLPP is receiving increased attention. PHLPP has been shown to exert a dual function, terminating cell survival through dephosphorylation of pro-survival kinases such as Akt, and promoting apoptosis via MST1 dephosphorylation and enhanced activation. 59–61 Moreover, known targets of PHLPP include ERK60 and PHLPP1β interacts directly with Ras to suppress Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK signaling.62 These connections suggest that PHLPP, Akt, MST kinases and the MAPK nodes could constitute an additional layer of control to impose swift balance of proliferation and apoptosis which may be cell type and context dependent. The question how such control plays out within the MST2-Raf-1 crosstalk is still an open issue and is an attractive avenue for future research.

Regulation by E3 ligases and Ubiquitin Proteasome System (UPS) in the MST2-Raf-1 network

Along with phosphatases, which have received much attention as prominent negative regulators of the MST2 pathway,47,48 more recent studies have uncovered the UPS as a prominent mechanism for negative regulation. The UPS down-regulates the pathway signal through various E3 ligases that target specific pathway nodes. The HECT type E3 ubiquitin ligase ITCH is a notable example. ITCH ubiquitinates LATS1 and the downstream tumor suppressor protein p73, which is a pro-apoptotic effector of the MST2 pathway.25 ITCH poly-ubiquitinates these proteins and targets them for degradation,63,64 thereby promoting tumorigene-

s65. Intriguingly, because ITCH contains a consensus LATS phosphorylation motif, it would be interesting to test if ITCH is a genuine LATS1 substrate,63 and whether this results in a mutual cross-regulation between LATS1 and ITCH. Another ubiquitin ligase of the NEDD4-like family, WWP1 E3 was also shown to mediate LATS1 degradation, promoting cell proliferation in breast cancer cells.66

In addition, the RING ubiquitin ligase praja2 can down-regulate MOB1 and thus attenuate MST2 activity.67 Another interesting mutual regulation exists between Akt and the Skp2-SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. On one hand, Akt phosphorylates Skp2 which stimulates the activity of the Skp2-SCF complex.68 On the other hand, the non-proteolytic K63-linked ubiquitination of Akt, which is required for its membrane recruitment and activation (rather than degradation) upon growth factor stimulation, is partly due to Skp2-SCF.69 This creates a 2-way positive regulation between Akt and Skp2-SCF, which could potentially generate a threshold-gated control for Akt-dependent suppression of MST2 pathway. In the past years, we have generated a number of mathematical models to analyze the dynamic properties of specific ubiquitination related systems.70–73 The application of these generic models for the E3 ligases and their substrates specifically involved in the MST2-Raf-1 network with the existing model of MST2-Raf-1 dynamics24 will certainly help illuminate the roles of the E3 ligases and the UPS in controlling the decision making process in the MST2-Raf-1 network.

Conclusions and Outlook

The accumulated work on the MST2-Raf-1 signaling crosstalk paradigm has unveiled a conceptually novel and thought-provoking notion, i.e. that signaling networks use dynamically changing PPIs as devices that compute biochemical and biological decisions. A key dynamic component enabling switch-like decision making is the combination of competing PPIs and phosphorylations that change their affinities. Through the evolution of diverse interaction domains proteins are delicately directed to form higher order structures that operate as molecular machines. While production machines, such as the ribosome, are stable assemblies, it now transpires that PPIs are also used in the dynamic setting of signal transduction networks for computing cell fate decisions. The dynamic element is performing the computing tasks and usually results from posttranslational modifications that regulate the binding affinities. It is this beautiful arrangement that underlines the various competing protein formations, dynamically modulated by phosphorylation, which enable the Boolean computing ability of the MST2-Raf-1 network. Since protein bindings and phosphorylation are widespread in cellular processes, it is expected that many similar decision making apparatuses are waiting to be discovered.

A systematic analysis combining mathematical modeling and experimentation has been instrumental in gaining a holistic understanding of the MST2-Raf-1 signaling machinery. Given the complex wiring architecture, model-based analyses were required to tease out the emergent network properties and identifying the governing conditions. As novel regulators, such as the phosphatases and E3 ligases, come into play and further complicates the network regulatory landscape, quantitative systems analysis will again be needed for deciphering the properties and principles of the mechanisms that integrate these various regulatory signals. Mathematical modeling will also be valuable in assessing the viability of potential therapeutic strategies by predicting the responses to drugs targeting the network. We, therefore, expect to see modeling and model-based analysis to continue being at the forefront of not just MST2-Raf-1 research, but biomedical research in general.
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