High-order based revelation of bifurcation of novel Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanisms using screw theory
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\section*{A B S T R A C T}
The revelation of mechanism bifurcation is essential in the design and analysis of reconfigurable mechanisms. The first- and second-order based methods have successfully revealed the bifurcation of mechanisms. However, they fail in the novel Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanisms presented in this paper. Here, we present the third- and fourth-order based method for their bifurcation revelation using screw theory. Based on the constraint equations derived from the first- and second-order kinematics, only one linearly independent relationship between joint angular velocities at the singular configuration of the new mechanism can be generated, which means the bifurcation cannot be revealed in this way. Therefore, we calculate constraint equations from the third- and fourth-order kinematics, and attain two linearly independent relationships between joint angular accelerations at the same singular configuration that correspond to different curvatures of the kinematic curves of two motion branches in the configuration space. Moreover, motion branches in Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanisms are demonstrated.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

\section*{1. Introduction}
Compared with traditional mechanisms with fixed mobility, reconfigurable mechanisms have variable numbers and types of mobility and a variety of configurations, which can meet the requirements for multi-tasks, multi-working conditions and multi-functions. The research of reconfigurable mechanism can be traced back to the 1990s. In 1996, Wohlhart discovered a kind of mechanism whose mobility would change when passing through a singular configuration and named it matotropic mechanism [1]. Later, Dai and Rees Jones proposed metamorphic mechanisms [2] based on the principle of biological evolution in the research of packaging origami decorations. These two kinds of mechanisms are the only two reconfigurable mechanisms developed in the 1990s, which extend traditional mechanisms to more broad wide application fields.

In the past 20 years, the bifurcation phenomenon of reconfigurable mechanisms has attracted many scholars’ interest. Here, bifurcation (or multi-furcation) refers to the phenomenon that the mechanism has two (or more than two) different
motion branches when it moves through a constrained singular configuration \cite{3,4}. The revelation of bifurcation (or multifurcation) is to detect whether there exist two (or more than two) different motion branches in a reconfigurable mechanism.

Some scholars found different motion branches of the mechanism by solving analytical solutions of the closed-loop equation based on the DH transformation matrix. Feng et al. \cite{5,6} discovered the kinematic bifurcation of the plane-symmetric Bricard linkage and the plane-symmetric spherical 6R linkage assembly. Ma et al. \cite{7} obtained the bifurcation motion of the Bennett planar-spherical hybrid 6R mechanism. Song et al. \cite{8} presented the constraint conditions of joints in a 6R metamorphic mechanism, which leads to eight motion branches and multiple bifurcation points. However, it is not easy to get analytical solutions of all the closed-loop equations of reconfigurable mechanisms. Some scholars use numerical methods to solve this problem where analytical solutions cannot be attained. Kumar and Pellegrino \cite{9} developed a unique iterative algorithm to detect the existence of bifurcation motion based on the current configuration. Gan and Pellegrino \cite{10} introduced the numerical solution of the closed-loop equation in the bifurcation detection of deployable structures.

Other scholars applied screw theory especially the screw system methods \cite{11,12} to analyse the local motion characteristics of branch motions and constraints of different bifurcated motions. Gan et al. \cite{13,14} used screw theory to study the mobility changes and bifurcated motion of novel metamorphic parallel mechanisms. Qin et al. \cite{4} studied the multi-furcation phenomenon of the queer square mechanism, which can generate 14 bifurcation motion states through the constrained singular configuration. Gao et al. \cite{15} presented a novel truss-shaped deployable grasping manipulator with mobility bifurcation, which changes its mobility from deployment to grasping motions.

Besides, there are other methods for revealing bifurcation in reconfigurable mechanisms. Wei and Dai \cite{16,17} used the concept of Lie group to present the finite motion characteristics and differential manifold to explore the bifurcation phenomenon of reconfigurable parallel mechanisms. Wang and Wang \cite{18} proposed an algorithm to determine all configuration branches and bifurcation points of the Stewart platform. Lee and Hervé \cite{19} studied a 6R mechanism with two different single-degree-of-freedom motion modes and presented that bifurcation belongs to a special singularity type. Li and Hervé \cite{20} designed a parallel mechanism with Schönenfle motion branches. Gogu \cite{21} discussed the essence of bifurcation at the constraint singularity by using linear algebra method and expounded the relationship between constraint singularity and structural parameters of parallel mechanisms. Yuan et al. \cite{22} introduced the concepts of mechanism stiffness and flexibility to define bifurcation motions. Nurahmi et al. \cite{23} established the decomposition of constraint equations of 4-RRU parallel mechanism by using the algebraic method of Study’s kinematic mapping of the Euclidean geometry group SE(3) to analyse the reconfiguration.

High-order kinematics has been introduced to analyse the characteristics of mechanisms. Hunt \cite{24} first applied the velocity screw to the construction of the first-order kinematic model of 6-DOF parallel mechanism, namely the velocity Jacobian matrix. This contribution has laid a solid theoretical foundation for much later research work. Generally, the first-order kinematic model can be directly obtained by deriving the position closed-loop equation \cite{25–28}. Similarly, the second-order and higher-order kinematic models can also be obtained by directly deriving the first-order kinematic model \cite{29–31}. Moreover, the method based on finite and instantaneous screw can be used to formulate the high-order kinematic models by directly differentiating the corresponding topology and velocity models, which is simple and straightforward with lower computation cost \cite{32–35}.

To simplify the mathematical model of higher-order kinematics, Joshi and Tsai proposed a general modelling method for non-overconstrained parallel mechanisms by using the property of reciprocal product of screws \cite{36}. Thomas and Tsera proposed a general method to construct the acceleration model of the series kinematic chain by using the influence coefficient method \cite{37}. Wang and Huang extended this method to the field of parallel mechanisms \cite{38}. Staicu and Zhang revealed a recursive matrix method to represent the velocity and acceleration of the next configuration based on the velocity and acceleration model of the current configuration \cite{39,40}. In 1996, Rico and Duffy proposed a simplified method to calculate the acceleration of spatial kinematic chains using screw theory \cite{41}. Later, Rico et al. \cite{42}, Alvarado \cite{43} extended this simplified method to the jerk and jounce analysis of spatial kinematic chains. Gallardo-Alvarado and García-Murillo \cite{44} applied the theory of screws in the jerk and hyper-jerk analyses of a six-degrees-of-freedom parallel manipulator. López-Custodio et al. \cite{45} proposed a compact expression for high-order kinematic analysis and verified the equivalence with the expression derived by Lerbet in 1998 \cite{46}.

For the reconfigurable mechanisms, the current high-order kinematic analysis methods focus on the relationship between joint velocities at the singular configuration of mechanisms and have been used successfully on the revelation of bifurcation \cite{47}. Here, we are going to reveal the bifurcation by establishing the high-order kinematic model and obtain the instantaneous joint velocities at the singular configuration of the mechanism, which can be obtained directly by the screw theory. Furthermore, with the screw theory, we can obtain the constraints of mechanisms if necessary. Hence, we adopt screw theory here instead of Lie group. In this paper, two special cases namely the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanisms are presented, where the first- and second-order based methods fail. Then, we propose a novel third- and fourth-order based method to reveal the bifurcation of the presented mechanism based on the simplified mathematical model of higher-order kinematics. The layout of this paper is as follows. Based on the geometric setup of Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism described in Sec. 2, two singular configurations are analysed with the first- and second-order based method in Sec. 3 and one of them cannot be revealed. Sec. 4 introduces the third- and fourth-order kinematics to obtain the acceleration constraint equations and illustrates the third- and fourth-order based method for the revelation of bifurcation, which leads to the motion branches given in Sec. 5. Then, this method is extended to another Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism in Sec. 6.
2. Geometric setup of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism

The Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism consists of seven links connected by seven revolute joints and one loop is constructed by these joints. As illustrated in Fig. 1, seven revolute joints are described by letters A, B, C, D, E, F and G, respectively. The Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism is a spatial 7R mechanism and can be seen as a Schatz linkage with an additional revolute joint E. The geometric parameters of the mechanism are

\[
\begin{align*}
    a_{12} &= a_{67} = 0, \quad a_{23} = a_{34} = 2a, \quad a_{45} = a_{56} = a, \quad a_{71} = 2\sqrt{3}a \\
    \alpha_{12} &= \alpha_{23} = \alpha_{34} = \alpha_{45} = \alpha_{67} = \pi / 2, \quad \alpha_{56} = \alpha_{71} = 0 \\
    R_2 &= R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = R_6 = 0, \quad R_1 = -R_7 = R
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

The geometric setup of coordinate systems follows the Denavit and Hartenberg’s convention [48]. The local coordinate system \(Q_i\)-\(x_iy_iz_i\) is established. Axis \(z_i\) lies along the revolute axis of joint \(i\), axis \(x_i\) is collinear with the common normal direction pointing from axis \(z_{i-1}\) to axis \(z_i\), axis \(y_i\) follows the right-handed rule. \(a_{(i-1)i}\) is the link length representing the normal distance between axis \(z_{i-1}\) and axis \(z_i\), \(\alpha_{(i-1)i}\) is the twist angle from axis \(z_{i-1}\) to axis \(z_i\) about axis \(x_i\), \(R_i\) is the joint offset representing the normal distance between axis \(x_i\) and axis \(x_{i+1}\), and \(\theta_i\) is the rotation angle from axis \(x_i\) to axis \(x_{i+1}\) about axis \(z_i\). Here, link length \(a_{(i-1)i}\), twist angle \(\alpha_{(i-1)i}\), joint offset \(R_i\) and rotation angle \(\theta_i\) are DH parameters in the mechanism.

The global coordinate system \(B\)-\(xyz\) is established with its origin at the centre point of joint \(B\) in Fig. 1. Axis \(x\) is collinear with axis \(x_1\), axis \(z\) is collinear with axis \(z_1\), axis \(y\) follows the right-handed rule.

When the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism moves to a configuration that all links lie in the same plane, the mechanism is singular, and the configuration is called as singular configuration. As shown in Fig. 2, there are two singular configurations denoted as singular configuration I and singular configuration II, respectively.

Each of the seven revolute joints of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism as indicated in Fig. 2 is expressed by a screw, denoted as \(S_i\), which can represent the instantaneous velocity including linear velocity and angular velocity of a motion generated by a kinematic joint in the global coordinate system. Following Refs. [41–47], the dimension of the tangent cone equals to the local mobility of the mechanism at the singular configuration and the tangent cone can be approximated.
by different order cones. For the revelation of bifurcation, we focus on the singular configuration, so we only establish the high-order kinematic model at the singular configuration rather than any one non-singular configuration in this paper. For the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism, $a$ can be 1 and $R$ can be 0 for simplified calculation, because the axes of joints $A$ and $G$ are parallel to each other as shown in Fig. 1. Seven screws that are attached to the seven revolute joints at the singular configuration I in Fig. 2(a) can be calculated and written as

$$
S_1 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)^T, S_2 = (0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T \\
S_3 = \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0, \sqrt{3}, 0, 0, 0 \right)^T, S_4 = (0, 1, 0, -2, 0, -2\sqrt{3})^T \\
S_5 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0)^T, S_6 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T \\
S_7 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 2\sqrt{3}, 0)^T
$$

(2)

Similarly, the seven screws at the singular configuration II in Fig. 2(b) can also be calculated and written as

$$
S_1 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)^T, S_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T \\
S_3 = (0, 1, 0, -2, 0, 0)^T, S_4 = \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\sqrt{3}, 0, 1, 0 \right)^T \\
S_5 = (0, -1, 0, \sqrt{3}, 0, 2\sqrt{3})^T, S_6 = (0, -1, 0, 0, 2\sqrt{3})^T \\
S_7 = (0, 0, 1, 0, 2\sqrt{3}, 0)^T
$$

(3)

3. First- and second-order kinematics

For the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism, the closed-loop velocity equation can be expressed as

$$\omega_1 S_1 + \omega_2 S_2 + \omega_3 S_3 + \omega_4 S_4 + \omega_5 S_5 + \omega_6 S_6 + \omega_7 S_7 = 0$$

(4)

where $\omega_1$, $\omega_2$, $\omega_3$, $\omega_4$, $\omega_5$ and $\omega_7$ represent the scalar angular velocities of revolute joints in the mechanism. Eq. (4) can be written in matrix form as

$$
J\omega = \begin{bmatrix}
S_1 & S_2 & S_3 & S_4 & S_5 & S_6 & S_7
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega_1 \\
\omega_2 \\
\omega_3 \\
\omega_4 \\
\omega_5 \\
\omega_6 \\
\omega_7
\end{bmatrix} = 0
$$

(5)

Eq. (5) gives the Jacobian matrix of the mechanism which implements instantaneous velocity analysis for the mechanism when the mechanism moves from the singular configuration.

Substituting the seven screws of Eq. (2) into Eq. (5), the Jacobian matrix of singular configuration I in Fig. 2(a) can be expressed as a function of the mechanism dimensions and the first-order velocity constraint equations of the mechanism at singular configuration I are obtained as

$$
J\omega = 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega_1 \\
\omega_2 \\
\omega_3 \\
\omega_4 \\
\omega_5 \\
\omega_6 \\
\omega_7
\end{bmatrix} = 0
$$

(6)

The dimension of matrix $J$ is 6×7 since the mechanism has only one loop and seven joints. It can be found that the fourth and sixth rows of the Jacobian matrix in Eq. (6) are dependent. Then, the first-order velocity constraint equations can be simplified as

$$
J\omega = 
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega_1 \\
\omega_2 \\
\omega_3 \\
\omega_4 \\
\omega_5 \\
\omega_6 \\
\omega_7
\end{bmatrix} = 0
$$

(7)
It shows that the rank of matrix $J$ is 5. There are 7 angular velocity variables and the transitory mobility of the mechanism at singular configuration 1 is 2.

When we take derivatives of both sides of Eq. (4) to implement acceleration analysis for the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism, the closed-loop acceleration equation \cite{42,49} is obtained as

$$\alpha_1 S_1 + \alpha_2 S_2 + \alpha_3 S_3 + \alpha_4 S_4 + \alpha_5 S_5 + \alpha_6 S_6 + \alpha_7 S_7 = -S_l$$

(8)

where $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $\alpha_3$, $\alpha_4$, $\alpha_5$, $\alpha_6$ and $\alpha_7$ represent the scalar angular accelerations of revolute joints in the mechanism. The Lie screw $S_l$ \cite{47} can be computed by a sequential operation using the Lie bracket of screws and expressed in bilinear form as

$$S_l = \sum_{i<j} \omega_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_4 \\ \omega_5 \\ \omega_6 \\ \omega_7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & [S_1, S_2] & [S_1, S_3] & [S_1, S_4] & [S_1, S_5] & [S_1, S_6] & [S_1, S_7] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_4 \\ \omega_5 \\ \omega_6 \\ \omega_7 \end{bmatrix}$$

(9)

where $1 \leq i < j \leq 7$. The rule of calculating the Lie bracket of any two screws $S_i$ and $S_j$ can be given as

$$[S_i, S_j] = \begin{cases} s_i \times s_j \\ s_i \times s_j \end{cases}$$

(10)

where the 3-dimensional vectors $s_i$ and $s_j$ are the primary parts of screws $S_i$ and $S_j$ respectively, while the 3-dimensional vectors $s_{i0}$ and $s_{j0}$ are the secondary parts of screws $S_i$ and $S_j$ respectively. The Lie bracket formula satisfies anti-commutativity which is $[S_i, S_j] = -[S_j, S_i]$.

For singular configuration 1, substituting the seven screws of Eq. (2) into Eq. (9), the Lie screw $S_l$ can be expressed as

$$S_l = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1(\omega_2 - \omega_4) - \omega_2(\sqrt{3}\omega_3 + \omega_7) - \sqrt{7}\omega_3\omega_4 + \omega_4\omega_7 \\ \omega_1(\frac{1}{2}\omega_2 + \omega_5 + \omega_6) + \omega_3(\sqrt{7}\omega_5 + \sqrt{7}\omega_6 - 1) - \omega_7(\omega_5 + \omega_6) \\ \omega_2(\frac{1}{2}\omega_3 + \omega_5 + \omega_6) + \frac{1}{2}\omega_3\omega_4 - \omega_4(\omega_5 + \omega_6) \\ -\omega_1(2\omega_3 + \omega_5 + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7) + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_2\omega_4 - \omega_3(\sqrt{7}\omega_5 + \omega_7) + \omega_5\omega_7 \\ -2\omega_1\omega_4 - 2\omega_4(\sqrt{3}\omega_5 + \sqrt{3}\omega_6 - \omega_7) \\ -2\omega_2\omega_4 + \omega_3(-\frac{1}{2}\omega_3 - 2\omega_6 + \sqrt{3}\omega_7) - \omega_5\omega_6 + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7(\omega_5 + \omega_6) \end{bmatrix}$$

(11)

Then, substituting the first-order velocity constraint equations in Eq. (7), Eq. (11) can be simplified as

$$S_l = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\sqrt{3}\omega_3^2 \\ 0 \\ \sqrt{3}\omega_2^2 - 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7^2 \\ 0 \\ \omega_3^2 + \omega_5^2 + \omega_3\omega_7 \end{bmatrix}$$

(12)

Eq. (8) can also be written in matrix form as

$$J\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_3 & S_4 & S_5 & S_6 & S_7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_6 \\ \alpha_7 \end{bmatrix} = -S_l$$

(13)

The algebraic condition for solving Eq. (13) can be expressed as

$$\text{Rank}(J) = \text{Rank}(\begin{bmatrix} J & -S_l \end{bmatrix})$$

(14)

Eq. (7) shows that Rank ($J$) = 5. Then, Rank ($\begin{bmatrix} J & -S_l \end{bmatrix}$) = 5, which means that all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix $[J - S_l]$ are zero \cite{50}. Thus, the second-order velocity constraint equations of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the augmented matrix as

$$-2\omega_5^2 + \omega_3\omega_5 + \omega_5^2 + 6\omega_7^2 = 0$$

(15)
Assembling the first-order velocity constraint equations in Eq. (7) and the second-order velocity constraint equations in Eq. (15), the following constraint system with first- and second-order velocity constraints integrated is obtained and all possible constraints exerted to the mechanism at singular configuration I can be listed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\omega_3 + \omega_5 + \omega_6 &= 0 \\
-\omega_2 + \omega_4 &= 0 \\
\omega_1 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \omega_3 + \omega_7 &= 0 \\
-2\omega_4 &= 0 \\
2\omega_3 + \omega_5 + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7 &= 0 \\
-2\omega_3^2 + \omega_2 + \omega_5 + \omega_6^2 + 6\omega_7^2 &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

Considering the constraint system of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism presented in Eq. (16), two linearly independent solutions are obtained. For the mechanisms in this paper, two linearly independent solutions, namely, two high-order cones at the singular configuration are corresponded to two finite motion braches. If representing these solutions in the form of 7-dimensional column vectors \((\omega_1, \omega_2, ..., \omega_7)^T\), the solution vectors can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \omega_6 - 2\omega_6, 0, 0, \omega_6, 2\sqrt{3}\frac{3}{2}\omega_6 \right)^T \\
\left( -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \omega_6, 2\omega_6, 0, -\frac{4}{3}\omega_6, \omega_6 \right)^T
\end{align*}
\]

(17) (18)

Similar to singular configuration I in Fig. 2(a), substituting the seven screws of Eq. (3) into Eq. (5), first-order velocity constraint equations of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism at singular configuration II in Fig. 2(b) are obtained as

\[
J\omega = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} & 2\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega_1 \\
\omega_2 \\
\omega_3 \\
\omega_4 \\
\omega_5 \\
\omega_6 \\
\omega_7 \\
\end{bmatrix} = 0
\]

(19)

Substituting the seven screws of Eq. (3) into Eq. (9), the Lie screw \(S_L\) of singular configuration II can be expressed and simplified as

\[
S_L = \begin{bmatrix}
-\sqrt{3}\omega_2\omega_4 \\
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_2\omega_4 \\
-\omega_3\omega_4 \\
2\omega_4\omega_7 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_5\omega_6 \\
-2\sqrt{3}\omega_3\omega_4 \\
\sqrt{3}\omega_4\omega_7 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_5\omega_6 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(20)

The augmented matrix of Eq. (13) at singular configuration II is

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}\omega_2\omega_4 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_2\omega_4 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & \omega_3\omega_4 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & -2\omega_4\omega_7 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_5\omega_6 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} & 2\sqrt{3}\omega_3\omega_4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} & 2\sqrt{3} & 0 & -\sqrt{3}\omega_4\omega_7 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_5\omega_6 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(21)

Thus, the second-order velocity constraint equations of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism at singular configuration II in Fig. 2(b) can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the augmented matrix as

\[
3\omega_2\omega_4 - 2\omega_5\omega_6 + 3\sqrt{3}\omega_4\omega_7 = 0
\]

(22)
Then, the following constraint system with first and second-order kinematics is obtained as

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_4 &= 0 \\
\omega_3 - \omega_5 - \omega_6 &= 0 \\
\omega_1 - \sqrt{3} \omega_4 + \omega_7 &= 0 \\
-2\omega_3 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_5 &= 0 \\
-\omega_4 + 2\sqrt{3} \omega_7 &= 0 \\
3\omega_2 \omega_4 - 2\omega_5 \omega_6 + 3\sqrt{3} \omega_4 \omega_7 &= 0
\end{align*}
\] (23)

Considering the constraint system of the mechanism presented in Eq. (23), only one linearly independent solution is obtained. If representing it in a 7-dimensional column vector \((\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4 \omega_5 \omega_6 \omega_7)^T\), the solution vector can be expressed as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
2\omega_7 \\
-\sqrt{3} \omega_7 \\
0 \\
2\sqrt{3} \omega_7 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\omega_7
\end{bmatrix}^T
\] (24)

Comparing Eqs. (17), (18) and Eq. (24), it can be found that there are two linearly independent solutions, which means there are two different motion branches that can be revealed through the first- and second-order based method at singular configuration I in Fig. 2(a). However, there is only one linearly independent solution and it cannot be revealed whether there are different motion branches at singular configuration II in Fig. 2(b), of which the reason is that the two motion branches have the same velocity at singular configuration II as shown in Fig. 3(b). Fig. 3 presents the angular velocity relationship of two different motion branches at the singular configurations, which are obtained by the first- and second-order constraint equations. Moreover, the angular velocity relationship is also the tangent of the motion curves at the singular configurations. In other words, the kinematic curves of these two motion branches are tangent at singular configuration II in Fig. 3(b). Meanwhile, as shown in Fig. 3(a), the two motion branches have different velocities and the kinematic curves of these two motion branches intersect at singular configuration I.

In a word, the first- and second-order based method succeeds in the revelation of different motion branches at singular configuration I but fails to reveal different motion branches at singular configuration II. Here, it cannot be determined whether there is no bifurcation or two tangential motion branches. The difference is that the former has only one curvature but the latter has two different curvatures, namely different acceleration relations. Then, it needs to calculate the acceleration relation of kinematic joints at singular configuration II in the following section.

4. Third- and fourth-order kinematics

In Sec. 3, we have obtained the second-order acceleration constraint equations at singular configuration II as Eq. (13). Substituting Eqs. (3), (20) and (24), it can be written as

\[
J \alpha = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} & 2\sqrt{3} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2 \\
\alpha_3 \\
\alpha_4 \\
\alpha_5 \\
\alpha_6 \\
\alpha_7
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
3\sqrt{3} \omega_7^2 \\
0 \\
-4\sqrt{3} \omega_7^2 \\
0 \\
0 \\
-6\omega_7^2
\end{bmatrix}
\] (25)
Then, we need to calculate the third-order kinematics to obtain other acceleration constraint equations. When we take derivatives of both sides of Eq. (8) to implement jerk analysis for the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism, the closed-loop jerk equation is obtained as
\[ \rho_1 S_1 + \rho_2 S_2 + \rho_3 S_3 + \rho_4 S_4 + \rho_5 S_5 + \rho_6 S_6 + \rho_7 S_7 = -S_j \] (26)

where \( \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, \rho_4, \rho_5, \rho_6 \) and \( \rho_7 \) represent the scalar angular jerks of revolute joints in the mechanism. The jerk screw \( S_j \) can be computed by a sequential operation using the Lie bracket of screws and expressed as
\[
S_j = 2 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] + \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] \\
+ \sum_{i < j < k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, [S_j, S_k]] + 2 \sum_{i < j < k} \alpha_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, [S_j, S_k]]
\]

(27)

where the detailed items are placed in the Appendix. Then, the jerk screw \( S_j \) can be written as
\[
S_j = \begin{bmatrix}
-(6\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_5 + 3\alpha_6)\omega_7 + 9\sqrt{3}\omega_7^2 \\
(6\alpha_2 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha_4)\omega_7 \\
-3\sqrt{3}\alpha_3 \omega_7 + 9\omega_7^2 \\
6\alpha_4 \omega_7 \\
-(12\alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha_5)\omega_7 + 10\sqrt{3}\omega_7^2 \\
3\sqrt{3}\alpha_4 \omega_7
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(28)

Eq. (26) can also be written in matrix form as
\[
J \rho = \begin{bmatrix}
S_1 & S_2 & S_3 & S_4 & S_5 & S_6 & S_7
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\rho_1 \\
\rho_2 \\
\rho_3 \\
\rho_4 \\
\rho_5 \\
\rho_6 \\
\rho_7
\end{bmatrix}
= -S_j
\]

(29)

The algebraic condition for solving Eq. (29) can be expressed as
\[
\text{Rank}(J) = \text{Rank}(\begin{bmatrix} J & -S_j \end{bmatrix})
\]

(30)

Similar to Eq. (14), \( \text{Rank}(\begin{bmatrix} J & -S_j \end{bmatrix}) = 5 \), which also means that all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix \( \begin{bmatrix} J & -S_j \end{bmatrix} \) are zero. Thus, the third-order acceleration constraint equations of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix as
\[
\omega_7(2\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) = 0
\]

(31)

Comparing Eq. (31) and the first second-order acceleration constraint equation in Eq. (25), we can find that these two equations are equivalent to each other, which means that the third-order acceleration constraint equation cannot be used for the revelation of bifurcation. Further, we need to calculate the fourth-order kinematics to attain other acceleration constraint equations as follows.

Taking derivatives of both sides of Eq. (26) to implement jounce analysis for the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism, the closed-loop jounce equation is obtained as
\[
\tau_1 S_1 + \tau_2 S_2 + \tau_3 S_3 + \tau_4 S_4 + \tau_5 S_5 + \tau_6 S_6 + \tau_7 S_7 = -S_{j0}
\]

(32)

where \( \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6 \) and \( \tau_7 \) represent the scalar angular jounces of revolute joints in the mechanism. The jounce screw \( S_{j0} \) can be computed by a sequential operation using the Lie bracket of screws and expressed as
\[
S_{j0} = 3 \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] + 3 \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] + 3 \sum_{i < j} \alpha_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] \\
+ 3 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, [S_j, S_k]] + 3 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, [S_j, S_k]] + 6 \sum_{i < j < k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, [S_j, S_k]]
\]

(33)
where the detailed items are placed in the Appendix. Then, the jounce screw $S_{\mathbf{J0}}$ can be written as

$$
S_{\mathbf{J0}} = \begin{bmatrix}
-8(8\rho_3 + 4\rho_5 + 4\rho_6)\omega_7 + 6\sqrt{3}\alpha_3\alpha_3 - 72\alpha_2\omega_j^2 \\
(8\rho_2 - 2\rho_4)\omega_7 - 3\sqrt{3}\alpha_2\alpha_2 - 36\alpha_1\omega_j^2 + 18\sqrt{3}\omega_i^4 \\
-4\sqrt{3}\rho_2\omega_7 + 6\alpha_2\alpha_3 - 18\sqrt{3}\alpha_2\omega_j^2 \\
8\rho_4\omega_7 + 4\sqrt{3}\alpha_2^2 + 18\sqrt{3}\alpha_3^2 - 144\alpha_1\omega_j^2 + 144\sqrt{3}\omega_i^4 \\
-(16\rho_3 + 2\rho_5)\omega_7 + 12\sqrt{3}\alpha_2\alpha_3 - 84\alpha_2\omega_j^2 \\
4\sqrt{3}\rho_4\omega_7 + 6\alpha_3^2 + 18\alpha_3^2 - 72\sqrt{3}\alpha_3\omega_j^2 + 324\omega_j^2 \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(34)

Eq. (32) can also be written in matrix form as

$$
J\tau = \begin{bmatrix}
\tau_1 \\
\tau_2 \\
\tau_3 \\
\tau_4 \\
\tau_5 \\
\tau_6 \\
\tau_7 \\
\end{bmatrix} = -S_{\mathbf{J0}}
$$

(35)

The algebraic condition for solving Eq. (35) can be expressed as

$$
\text{Rank}(J) = \text{Rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix}
J & -S_{\mathbf{J0}}
\end{bmatrix}\right)
$$

(36)

Similar to Eq. (14) and (30), $\text{Rank}(J\mathbf{J}_0) = 5$, which means that all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix $\begin{bmatrix}
J & -S_{\mathbf{J0}}
\end{bmatrix}$ are zero. Thus, the fourth-order acceleration constraint equations of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix as

$$
2\rho_2\omega_7 + \rho_4\omega_7 + 3\sqrt{3}\alpha_2^2 + 36\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2 - 36\alpha_1\omega_j^2 = 0
$$

(37)

From Eq. (29), we can get the relation equation of $\rho_2$ and $\rho_4$ as

$$
\rho_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho_4 = (6\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_5 + 3\alpha_6)\omega_7 - 9\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2
$$

(38)

Then, substituting Eq. (38) and (25) into Eq. (37), it can be simplified as

$$
\alpha_3(3\alpha_3 - 2\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2) = 0
$$

(39)

From the above constraint system and rearranging the fourth-order acceleration constraints, all possible constraints exerted to the mechanism at the singular configuration can be listed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_4 &= 0 \\
\alpha_3 - \alpha_5 - \alpha_6 &= 3\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2 \\
\alpha_1 - \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}\alpha_4 + \alpha_7 &= 0 \\
-2\alpha_3 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_5 &= -4\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2 \\
-\alpha_4 + 2\sqrt{3}\alpha_7 &= 0 \\
\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\alpha_5 + 2\sqrt{3}\alpha_6 &= -6\omega_j^2 \\
\alpha_3(3\alpha_3 - 2\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2) &= 0
\end{align*}
$$

(40)

Considering the constraint system of the mechanism presented in Eq. (40), two linearly independent solutions are obtained. If representing these solutions in the form of 7-dimensional column vectors $(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_7)^T$, the solution vectors can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
-2\sqrt{3} \\
3 \\
\alpha_2 \\
2\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2 \\
-2\alpha_2 \\
0 \\
-\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2 \\
-\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}\alpha_2
\end{bmatrix}^T \\
\begin{bmatrix}
-2\sqrt{3} \\
3 \\
\alpha_2 \\
0 \\
-2\alpha_2 \\
-8\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2 \\
5\sqrt{3}\omega_j^2 \\
-\frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3}\alpha_2
\end{bmatrix}^T
\end{align*}
$$

(41)

These two linearly independent solutions correspond to two different motion branches revealed by the third- and fourth-order based method. Fig. 4 presents the angular acceleration relationship of two different motion branches at the singular configurations, which are obtained by the third- and fourth-order constraint equations. Moreover, the angular acceleration relationship describes the curvature of the motion curves at the singular configuration. Considering these two relations of joint angular accelerations in Eqs. (41) and (42) as shown in Fig. 4 with the relation of angular velocities in Eq. (24) at singular configuration II, the difference between these two motion branches is that revolute joint $E$ is geometrically constrained in one motion branch while not geometrically constrained in the other motion branch. In other words, when the
Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism moves through singular configuration II in Fig. 3(b), the curvatures of kinematic curves of these two motion branches are different, although the tangent lines of them are the same.

For this mechanism in the paper, its configuration space is seven-dimensional. Here, we choose the two-dimensional graphs to illustrate the relationship of angular velocities and angular accelerations. Any two of the seven joints can be selected in the two-dimensional graphs. We just choose two of them as an example to illustrate. Ideally, choosing the same joints is better for the consistency of the figures. However, in Fig. 4, the angular accelerations and angular velocities of joints A and E are coupled, making it unable to present the relationship of angular accelerations in a numerical way, so we choose joints E and F instead of joints A and E.

5. Motion branches in the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism

In the previous sections, we have revealed all the motion branches and singular configurations in the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism as shown in Fig. 5, where I-III-II-IV-I correspond to configurations of the mechanism along motion branch 1 and I-VI-VI-I correspond to configurations of the mechanism along motion branch 2.

For the motion branches in Fig. 5, joint E is geometrically constrained in motion branch 1, where the mechanism moves as a Schatz mechanism, but not geometrically constrained in motion branch 2, where the mechanism moves as a single degree-of-freedom spatial 7R mechanism. Moreover, the kinematic curves of these motion branches intersect at singular configuration I and are tangent with different curvatures at singular configuration II. When the mechanism moves to singular configurations, the mechanism will be divided into two motion branches and this is coined as bifurcation. The mechanism can switch its motion branches when going through the intersection points and these points can thus be coined as constraint singularity. It can realise the reconfigurable switch between these two motion branches through singular configuration I and singular configuration II.

6. Extension to another Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism

Most of the existing metamorphic mechanisms can be analyzed with the first- and second-order based methods and their motion branches intersect at the bifurcation points. Besides the above-mentioned mechanism, another Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism is used to present the third- and fourth-order based method for its bifurcation revelation using screw theory. These two mechanisms are both spatial 7R mechanisms and can be seen as Schatz mechanisms with additional revolute joints. The positions and orientations of the axes of the additional revolute joints are different in these two mechanisms, which induce the different geometric parameters of the mechanisms. The geometric parameters of the mechanism are

\[ a_{12} = a_{67} = 0, a_{23} = a_{56} = 2a, a_{34} = a_{45} = a, a_{71} = 2\sqrt{3}a \]
\[ a_{12} = a_{23} = a_{34} = a_{56} = a_{67} = \pi/2, a_{45} = a_{71} = 0 \]
\[ R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = R_5 = R_6 = 0, R_1 = -R_7 = R \]

(43)

The global coordinate system B-xyz is established with its origin at the centre point of joint B in Fig. 6. Axis x is collinear with axis x1, axis z is collinear with axis z1, axis y follows the right-handed rule. Similarly, there are two singular configurations denoted as singularity configuration I and singular configuration II as shown in Fig. 7, respectively.
Fig. 5. Motion branches of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism.

Fig. 6. Another Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism.

Fig. 7. Singular configuration I (a) and singular configuration II (b) of another Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism.
Seven screws that are attached to the seven revolute joints at the singular configuration I in Fig. 7(a) can be calculated and written as
\[
\begin{align*}
S_1 &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)\T, S_2 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\T \\
S_3 &= (0, 1, 0, -2, 0, 0)\T, S_4 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, 0, 0\right)\T \\
S_5 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, -1, 0\right)\T, S_6 = (0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 2\sqrt{3})\T \\
S_7 &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 2\sqrt{3}, 0)\T
\end{align*}
\] (44)

Similarly, the seven screws at the singular configuration II in Fig. 7(b) can also be calculated and written as
\[
\begin{align*}
S_1 &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)\T, S_2 = (0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0)\T \\
S_3 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 0, 2, 0\right)\T, S_4 = \left(0, 1, 0, -\frac{3}{2}, 0, -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)\T \\
S_5 &= (0, 1, 0, -2, 0, -2\sqrt{3})\T, S_6 = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\T \\
S_7 &= (0, 0, 1, 0, 2\sqrt{3}, 0)\T
\end{align*}
\] (45)

Substituting the seven screws of Eq. (44) into Eq. (5), the Jacobian matrix of singular configuration I in Fig. 7(a) and the first-order velocity constraint equations at singular configuration I are obtained as
\[
J\omega = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\omega_1 \\
\omega_2 \\
\omega_3 \\
\omega_4 \\
\omega_5 \\
\omega_6 \\
\omega_7
\end{bmatrix} = 0
\] (46)

It can be found that the second, fourth and sixth rows of the Jacobian matrix in Eq. (46) are dependent. Substituting the seven screws of Eq. (44) into Eq. (9), the Lie screw \(S_L\) can be obtained and simplified as
\[
S_L = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_1 (\omega_4 + \omega_5) \\
0 \\
2\omega_2 \omega_7 \\
0 \\
\sqrt{3}\omega_5 \omega_7
\end{bmatrix}
\] (47)

The algebraic condition for solving Eq. (13) is that all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix \([J - S_L]\) are zero. Thus, the second-order velocity constraint equations can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the augmented matrix as
\[
\sqrt{3}\omega_5 \omega_7 + \omega_2 \omega_4 + \omega_2 \omega_5 = 0
\] (48)

Assembling the first-order velocity constraint equations in Eq. (46) and the second-order velocity constraint equations in Eq. (48), the following constraint system with first- and second-order velocity constraints integrated is obtained and all possible constraints exerted to the mechanism at singular configuration I can be listed as
\[
\begin{align*}
\omega_2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_4 + \frac{1}{2}\omega_5 &= 0 \\
\omega_1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_4 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_5 + \omega_7 &= 0 \\
\omega_3 &= 0 \\
-\omega_5 + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7 &= 0 \\
\omega_6 &= 0 \\
\sqrt{3}\omega_5\omega_7 + \omega_2 \omega_4 + \omega_2 \omega_5 &= 0
\end{align*}
\] (49)

Then, two linearly independent solutions are obtained. If representing these solutions in the form of 7-dimensional column vectors \((\omega_1, \omega_2, ... , \omega_7)\)\T, the solution vectors can be expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
2\omega_7 \\
-\sqrt{3}\omega_7 \\
0 \\
0 \\
2\sqrt{3}\omega_7 \\
0 \\
\omega_7
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
-4\omega_7 \\
\sqrt{3}\omega_7 \\
0 \\
-4\sqrt{3}\omega_7 \\
2\sqrt{3}\omega_7 \\
0 \\
\omega_7
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\] (50) (51)
Similar to singular configuration I in Fig. 7(a), substituting the seven screws of Eq. (45) into Eq. (5), first-order velocity constraint equations at singular configuration II in Fig. 7(b) are obtained as

$$J\omega = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -2\sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 \\ \omega_4 \\ \omega_5 \\ \omega_6 \\ \omega_7 \end{bmatrix} = 0 $$

(52)

Substituting the seven screws of Eq. (45) into Eq. (9), the Lie screw $S_l$ of singular configuration II can be expressed and simplified as

$$S_l = \begin{bmatrix} -\sqrt{3}\omega_2\omega_3 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_3\omega_6 \\ \omega_2\omega_5 \\ -\omega_3\omega_7 - \frac{3}{2}\omega_4\omega_5 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\omega_4\omega_5 - 2\omega_3\omega_6 \end{bmatrix} $$

(53)

Thus, the second-order velocity constraint equations of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism at singular configuration II in Fig. 7(b) can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the augmented matrix as

$$\omega_4\omega_5 - \omega_3\omega_6 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_3\omega_7 = 0 $$

(54)

Then, the following constraint system with first and second-order kinematics is obtained as

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\omega_3 + \omega_6 &= 0 \\
-\omega_2 + \omega_4 + \omega_5 &= 0 \\
\omega_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_2 + \omega_7 &= 0 \\
-\frac{1}{2}\omega_4 - 2\omega_5 &= 0 \\
2\omega_5 + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7 &= 0 \\
\omega_4\omega_5 - \omega_3\omega_6 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_3\omega_7 &= 0
\end{align*} $$

(55)

Only one linearly independent solution is obtained. If representing it in a 7-dimensional column vector $(\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3 \omega_4 \omega_5 \omega_6 \omega_7)^T$, the solution vector can be expressed as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\omega_7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -\sqrt{3}\omega_7 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\omega_7 \end{bmatrix} $$

(56)

Comparing Eqs. (50)(51) and Eq. (56), it can be found that there are two linearly independent solutions, which means there are two different motion branches that can be revealed through the first- and second-order based method at singular configuration I in Fig. 7(a). However, there is only one linearly independent solution and it cannot be revealed whether there are different motion branches at singular configuration II in Fig. 7(b), of which the reason is that the two motion branches have the same velocity at singular configuration II as shown in Fig. 8(b). In other words, the kinematic curves of these two motion branches are tangent at singular configuration II. Meanwhile, as shown in Fig. 8(a), the two motion branches have different velocities at singular configuration I and the kinematic curves of these two motion branches intersect at singular configuration II. In a word, the first- and second-order based method succeeds in the revelation of different motion branches at singular configuration I but fails to reveal different motion branches at singular configuration II. Then, it needs to calculate the acceleration relation of kinematic joints at singular configuration II in the following section.
Substituting Eqs. (45), (53) and (56) into Eq. (13), the second-order acceleration constraint equations at singular configuration II can be written as

\[
J\alpha = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & -2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} & -2\sqrt{3} & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1 \\
\alpha_2 \\
\alpha_3 \\
\alpha_4 \\
\alpha_5 \\
\alpha_6 \\
\alpha_7
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\omega_7^2 \\
0 \\
-\sqrt{3}\omega_7^2 \\
0 \\
-3\omega_7^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(57)

Then, we need to calculate the third-order kinematics to obtain other acceleration constraint equations. The jerk screw \( S_j \) can be written as

\[
S_j = \begin{bmatrix}
\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5\right)\omega_7 - \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{4}\omega_7^3 \\
\left(\frac{3}{2}\alpha_3 - 3\alpha_6\right)\omega_7 \\
-\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2}(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)\omega_7 + \frac{9}{8}\omega_7^3 \\
-3\alpha_5\omega_7 \\
-\frac{3}{4}(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5)\omega_7 + \sqrt{3}\omega_7^3 \\
6\sqrt{3}\alpha_6\omega_7
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(58)

The algebraic condition for solving Eq. (29) is that all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix \([ J - S_j ]\) are zero. Thus, the third-order acceleration constraint equations can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix as

\[
\omega_7(\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_6) = 0
\]  

(59)

Comparing Eq. (59) and the first second-order acceleration constraint equation in Eq. (57), we can find that these two equations are equivalent to each other, which means that the third-order acceleration constraint equation cannot be used for the revelation of bifurcation. Further, we need to calculate the fourth-order kinematics to attain other acceleration constraint equations as follows.

Then, the jounce screw \( S_{j0} \) can be written as

\[
S_{j0} = \begin{bmatrix}
(2\rho_2 + 4\rho_4 + 4\rho_5)\omega_7 + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_4\alpha_7 - \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_7\omega_7^2 \\
(\rho_3 - 4\rho_6)\omega_7 - \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_7^2 - \frac{9}{8}\alpha_4\omega_7^2 - \frac{27\sqrt{3}}{8}\omega_7^2 \\
-2\sqrt{3}(\rho_4 + \rho_5)\omega_7 - \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_4\alpha_7 + \frac{9}{4}\alpha_7\omega_7^2 \\
-4\rho_3\omega_7 + \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_4^2 + 3\sqrt{3}\alpha_7^2 + \frac{3}{4}\alpha_4\omega_7^2 + \frac{9+3\sqrt{3}}{8}\omega_7^2 \\
-3\rho_5\omega_7 + 3\sqrt{3}\alpha_4\omega_7^2 \\
8\sqrt{3}\rho_6\omega_7 - \frac{9}{8}\alpha_4^2 + 9\alpha_7^2 + \frac{9\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_4\omega_7^2 + \frac{27}{2}\omega_7^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(60)

The algebraic condition for solving Eq. (35) is that all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix \([ J - S_{j0} ]\) are zero. Thus, the fourth-order acceleration constraint equations can be obtained by calculating all the six-order cofactors of the extended matrix and simplifying as

\[
\alpha_4(\alpha_4 + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7^2) = 0
\]  

(61)

Assembling Eqs. (57) and (61), all possible acceleration constraints at the singular configuration II can be listed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\alpha_3 + \alpha_6 & = 0 \\
-\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 & = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\omega_7^2 \\
\alpha_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_3 + \alpha_7 & = 0 \\
-\frac{3}{4}\alpha_4 - 2\alpha_5 & = -\sqrt{3}\omega_7^2 \\
2\alpha_3 + 2\sqrt{3}\alpha_7 & = 0 \\
\alpha_4(\alpha_4 + 2\sqrt{3}\omega_7^2) & = 0
\end{align*}
\]  

(62)

Two linearly independent solutions are obtained. If representing these solutions in the form of 7-dimensional column vectors \((\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \ldots \alpha_7)^T\), the solution vectors can be expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{3}{4}\alpha_7 - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\omega_7^2 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_7 - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\omega_7^2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_7 - \alpha_7\right)^T \\
\left(\frac{3}{4}\alpha_7 - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\omega_7^2 - \sqrt{3}\alpha_7 - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\omega_7^2 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\alpha_7 - \alpha_7\right)^T
\end{align*}
\]  

(63)

(64)

These two linearly independent solutions correspond to two different motion branches revealed by the third- and fourth-order based method. Considering these two relations of joint angular accelerations in Eqs. (63) and (64) as shown in Fig. 9
Fig. 9. The relation of angular accelerations $\alpha_4$ vs $\alpha_5$ at singular configuration II.

Fig. 10. Motion branches of another Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanism.

with the relation of joint angular velocity in Eq. (56) at singular configuration II, the difference between these two motion branches is that revolute joint $D$ is geometrically constrained in one motion branch while not geometrically constrained in the other motion branch. In other words, when the mechanism moves through singular configuration II in Fig. 7(b), the curvatures of kinematic curves of these two motion branches are different, although the tangent lines of them are the same.

When the angles between the axis of joint $D$ and those of joints $C$ and $E$ are both $\pi/4$ as shown in [51], the mechanism has four motion branches and twelve bifurcation points, which can be revealed by the first- and second-order based method. However, when the angle between the axis of joint $D$ and those of joints $C$ and $E$ are $\pi/2$ and 0, respectively, as presented in Fig. 6, the mechanism has two motion branches and two bifurcation points, where bifurcation point I can be revealed by the first- and second-order based method while bifurcation point II can only be revealed by the third- and fourth-order based method rather than the first- and second-order based method as shown in Figs. 8 and 9.

All the motion branches and singular configurations in the mechanism as shown in Fig. 10, where I-III-II-IV-I correspond to configurations of the mechanism along motion branch 1 and I-V-II-VI-I correspond to configurations of the mechanism along motion branch 2.
The mechanism moves as a Schatz mechanism along motion branch 1 and as a single degree-of-freedom spatial mechanism along motion branch 2, where joint D is geometrically constrained in motion branch 1 but not in motion branch 2. When the mechanism moves to singular configurations, the mechanism will be divided into two motion branches and this is coined as bifurcation. The mechanism can switch its motion branches when going through the intersection points and these points can thus be coined as constraint singularity. It can realise the reconfigurable switch between these two motion branches through singular configuration I and singular configuration II.

7. Conclusions

This paper established the high-order kinematic models of the Schatz-inspired metamorphic mechanisms and obtained velocity constraint equations and acceleration constraint equations using the sequential operation of the Lie bracket. Based on these velocity constraint equations, bifurcation of the presented mechanism at singular configuration I was revealed by the first- and second-order based method, but those at singular configuration II was not. The reason was that there was only one linearly independent relationship between joint angular velocities, which means the motion branches had the same velocity at singular configuration II and kinematic curves of these motion branches were tangent. Further, we proposed a novel third- and fourth-order based method to reveal it.

Based on the simplified higher-order kinematic model, we calculated the acceleration constraint equations from the second-, third- and fourth-order kinematics, in which the third-order acceleration constraint equations were equivalent to those of second-order and cannot be used for the revelation of bifurcation. Then, two linearly independent relationships between joint angular accelerations were attained and the bifurcation characteristics at singular configuration II were revealed with these acceleration constraint equations, which correspond to different curvatures of kinematic curves of the two motion branches, although the tangent lines of them were the same. It would be found that the first- and second-order based method was based on the tangent change of motion branches, while the third- and fourth-order based method was based on the curvature change of motion branches.

In a similar way, we can discover other novel metamorphic mechanisms induced by classical overconstrained mechanisms except the Schatz mechanism, which may have a similar phenomenon. Moreover, when the motion branches of these mechanisms have the same tangential velocity at the singular configuration, the higher-order kinematics than second-order is needed. Hence, this research provided a more general procedure for revealing motion branches of reconfigurable mechanisms, which is to use the first- and second-order based method firstly followed by the third- and fourth-order based method if there exists the same velocity at the singular configuration.
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Appendix

The detailed items of the jerk screw $S_i$ are

\[
2 \sum_{i<j} \alpha_i \alpha_j [S_i, S_j] = \begin{pmatrix}
- (4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6) \omega_7 \\
(4\alpha_2 - \alpha_5) \omega_7 \\
-2\sqrt{3}\alpha_5 \omega_7 \\
4\alpha_4 \omega_7 \\
-(8\alpha_3 + \alpha_5) \omega_7 \\
2\sqrt{3}\alpha_4 \omega_7
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\sum_{i<j} \alpha_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] = \begin{pmatrix}
-3\sqrt{3}\omega_3^2 \\
3\omega_3^2 \\
0 \\
-18\sqrt{3}\omega_3^2 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
2 \sum_{i<j<k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, [S_j, S_k]] = \begin{pmatrix}
12\sqrt{3}\omega_3^2 \\
0 \\
6\omega_3^2 \\
0 \\
28\sqrt{3}\omega_3^2 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
The detailed items of the jounce screw $S_{ij}$ are

\[
3 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i \rho_j [S_{ij}, S_j] = \begin{pmatrix}
-(6 \rho_1 + 3 \rho_5 + 3 \rho_6) \omega_7 \\
6 \rho_4 \omega_7 \\
-12 \rho_1 \omega_7 \\
3 \sqrt{3} \rho_4 \omega_7
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\sum_{i < j} \rho_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] = \begin{pmatrix}
-(2 \rho_1 + \rho_5 + \rho_6) \omega_7 \\
2 \rho_4 \omega_7 \\
-4 \rho_1 \omega_7 \\
\sqrt{3} \rho_4 \omega_7
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
3 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] = \begin{pmatrix}
9 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
-171 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 36 \alpha_3 \\
-156 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 36 \alpha_3 \\
-378 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 36 \sqrt{3} \alpha_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
3 \sum_{i < j} \omega_i \omega_j [S_i, S_j] = \begin{pmatrix}
9 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
-3 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
54 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
6 \sum_{i < j < k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, S_j, S_k] = \begin{pmatrix}
-36 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
-162 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 54 \alpha_3 \\
-144 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 72 \alpha_3 \\
-324 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 36 \sqrt{3} \alpha_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
3 \sum_{i < j < k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, S_j, S_k] = \begin{pmatrix}
-18 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
9 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 18 \alpha_3 \\
12 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 18 \alpha_3 \\
54 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 + 6 \sqrt{3} \alpha_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
3 \sum_{i < j < k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, S_j, S_k] = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
18 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
0 \\
-72 \alpha_4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
3 \sum_{i < j < k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, S_j, S_k] = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
45 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
18 \alpha_2 \omega_7^2 \\
-6 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^2
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
3 \sum_{i < j < k} \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_i, S_j, S_k] = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
27 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^4 \\
108 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^4 \\
162 \alpha_4 \omega_7^4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
6 \sum_{h < i < j < k} \omega_h \omega_i \omega_j \omega_k [S_h, S_i, S_j, S_k] = \begin{pmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
-72 \sqrt{3} \alpha_2 \omega_7^4 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
