Enhanced \textit{AGAMOUS} expression in the centre of the Arabidopsis flower causes ectopic expression over its outer expression boundaries
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Abstract Spatial regulation of C-function genes controlling reproductive organ identity in the centre of the flower can be achieved by adjusting the level of their expression within the genuine central expression domain in Antirrhinum and Petunia. Loss of this control in mutants is revealed by enhanced C-gene expression in the centre and by lateral expansion of the C-domain. In order to test whether the level of central C-gene expression and hence the principle of 'regulation by tuning' also applies to spatial regulation of the C-function gene \textit{AGAMOUS} (AG) in Arabidopsis, we generated transgenic plants with enhanced central AG expression by using stem cell-specific \textit{CLAVATA3} (CLV3) regulatory sequences to drive transcription of the AG cDNA. The youngest terminal flowers on inflorescences of CLV3::AG plants displayed homeotic features in their outer whorls indicating ectopic AG expression. Dependence of the homeotic feature on the age of the plant is attributed to the known overall weakening of repressive mechanisms controlling AG. Monitoring AG with an AG-I::GUS reporter construct suggests ectopic AG expression in CLV3::AG flowers when AG in the inflorescence is still repressed, although in terminating inflorescence meristems, AG expression expands to all tissues. Supported by genetic tests, we conclude that upon enhanced central AG expression, the C-domain laterally expands necessitating tuning of the expression level of C-function genes in the wild type. The tuning mechanism in C-gene regulation in Arabidopsis is discussed as a late security switch that ensures wild-type C-domain control when other repressive mechanism starts to fade and fail.
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Abbreviations

AG \textit{AGAMOUS}
CLV3 \textit{CLAVATA3}
SEM Scanning electron microscopy
tcf Terminal carpelloid flower
BLR \textit{BELLRINGER}
RBE \textit{RABBIT EARS}

Introduction

In higher plants, reproductive development in the two inner floral whors is governed by the C-function. Expansion of the C-domain towards the outer whors conditions homeo-
whorls is achieved by controlling the balance between activation and repression, reinforced by region-specific activators and autoregulatory maintenance in the centre of the flower and by region-specific repressors in the outer whorls (Sridhar et al. 2006). Most of the proteins involved in this control as well as their cis-acting elements within C-function genes are conserved in different species including Antirrhinum (Navarro et al. 2004; Causier et al. 2009), suggesting similarities of C-domain control.

An additional way to spatially control the C-domain by fine-tuning the level of C-gene expression in the central expression domain has been detected in Antirrhinum and Petunia (Cartolano et al. 2007). Here, a ubiquitously expressed miR169-related microRNA fine-tunes C-gene transcription by controlling the expression of a positive regulator. In the absence of this control, C-gene expression increases in the centre, and likely due to C-product exchange between neighbouring cells (such as cell-to-cell trafficking or transmission to daughter cells by cell division) also ectopically expands towards the outer whorls. By these means, an outward extending gradient of C-gene product will form, where ‘recipient cells’ maintain C-gene expression by autoregulation, provided that a threshold for autoregulation is reached. The lateral extension of this gradient and hence the size of the C-domain will then depend on the level of C-gene expression in the central ‘donor cells’ where C-gene expression has been activated. Components of this miR-169-mediated circuit are conserved in Arabidopsis and likely in other species as well, but their function in the transcriptional control of AG and other C-genes is not established yet.

One limitation to the ‘tuning model’ is the lack of confirmation by independent experiments, for instance, observing C-domain expansion upon artificial enhancement of early C-activity in the centre of the flower. In order to address this question, we studied in Arabidopsis the effects of AG overexpression under the control of CLAVATA3 (CLV3) regulatory sequences whose function is confined to stem cells in the centre of vegetative and reproductive meristems (Brand et al. 2002).

Arabidopsis thaliana L. Col-0 ecotype (stock Max-Planck Institut für Züchtungsforschung, Köln) was used for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation by vacuum infiltration. Several independent lines carrying one or more transgenes were obtained and selfed. Notably, only 50% of transgenic plants in the T2 and T3 progenies showed a modified phenotype. The copy number of the transgene had no influence on the phenotype.

In order to generate AG-I::GUS; CLV3::AG double transgenic lines AG-I::GUS plants (Ler background) carrying the KB9 construct with 3 kb of the AG intron sequence (Busch et al. 1999) were crossed with a CLV3::AG transgenic line. F1 plants were self-pollinated and the F2 progeny was screened by PCR for individuals containing both transgenes.

In order to generate the rbe; CLV3::AG double mutant, rbe-2 plants (line SALK_037010, Col-0 background) were crossed to a CLV3::AG plant. F2 individuals were selected by phenotype for the rbe mutant and screened by PCR for the presence of the transgene. Plants were grown in the greenhouse at 21–23°C under long day conditions (16 h light).

Real-time PCR

The copy number of transgenes was determined by quantitative PCR in the bulked T2 progeny of independent transformants. DNA was isolated by the CTAB method (Murray and Thompson 1980) and purified using the DNeasy Plant Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The transgenic cassette was amplified using primers spanning an exon sequence present in the genome as well as in the transgene using the IQ™5 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Biorad, München, Germany). For normalization, primers amplifying a region in the second intron of the AG gene was used. The number of transgenes was calculated with the Pfaffl method (Pfaffl et al. 2002) and corroborated by segregation analysis.

GUS staining

Samples were stained following the protocol described in Kosugi et al. (1990). Subsequently, the tissue was embedded in paraffin, 13-μm thick sections were prepared, deparaffinized and finally embedded in entellan. GUS signal was imaged by dark-field microscopy.

Microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy with a Zeiss EM10 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) was conducted by the CeMic service unit at the Max Planck Institut für Züchtungsforschung.
Results and discussion

CLV3::AG plants display floral homeotic defects

Expression of AG outside its genuine central domain results in flowers, whose sepals become carpelloid and whose petals in the second whorl become stamenoid or are missing (Mizukami and Ma 1992). In order to observe whether enhancement of AG in the centre of the flower would convert wild-type flowers (Fig. 1a) to carpelloid flowers, we expressed AG in transgenic Arabidopsis plants under the control of CLV3 regulatory sequences. The pattern of transcription directed by the CLV3-construct is specific and confined to stem cells in all meristems as shown before in CLV3::GUS transgenic plants (Brand et al. 2002). Controlled by CLV3 regulatory sequences, AG will be expressed at stage 2 in the flower, slightly earlier than in the wild type, where AG onset is during stage 3 (Drews et al. 1991). Since stem cells divide slowly (Stahl and Simon 2005), we did not expect substantial broadening of the region of AG transcribing cells prior to genuine AG activation in the flower, even if the AG protein transmitted to daughter cells remained stable.

In the selfed progeny of a homozygous line carrying a single copy insert of the CLV3::AG transgene, plants developed flowers with carpelloid sepals and the number of petals was reduced or petals were absent (Fig. 1b, c). In addition, the carpelloid flowers were subtended by bracts decorated with stigmatic papillae (Fig. 1e) and the pedicels were often fused (Fig. 1b). The severity of the phenotype increased acropetally with the youngest flowers affected by more drastic homeotic changes revealed by laterally fused sepals tipped with stigmatic papillae (Fig. 1e). The old reproductive meristem became morphologically aberrant and developed bract-like leaves in place of flower primordia (Fig. 1e).

Floral defects became visible 10–15 days after opening of the first flower, indicating that the inflorescence meristem first produced a number of normal flowers and then switched to carpelloid flowers subtended by bracts. Carpelloid flowers were mainly visible on the main shoot and rarely on secondary shoots. The penetrance of the phenotype was incomplete in that only 50% of the transgenic progeny of selfed lines displayed the phenotype.

The observed phenotype shows remarkable similarity in terms of floral homeotic changes, carpelloid bracts,

---

**Fig. 1** Terminal carpelloid flower phenotype conferred by the CLV3::AG transgene. The photographs show wild type (a, d) and transgenic (b, e) inflorescences, documented by SEM (d, e). A single flower with reduced number of petals is shown in c. Arrows in d point to stigmatic tissues in carpelloid bracts (br) and laterally fused carpelloid sepals (s). The inflorescence meristem (im) is indicated by arrowheads. Bar 50 μm
In a population of 139 CLV::AG plants segregating for rbe, 34 of the 35 rbe individuals displayed tcf showing the characteristic floral homeotic and inflorescence defects. In contrast, only 51 of the 104 CLV::AG plants carrying no or one rbe allele displayed these features. Enhancement of the phenotypic manifestation of the tcf phenotype of CLV::AG from 49% to 97% in the rbe background suggests that additional local derepression of AG in the second whorl facilitates lateral expansion of AG which is initiated primarily by enhanced central AG expression.

Notably, carpelloidy of sepals was not enhanced in rbe CLV3::AG flowers, although enhanced AG expression in the second whorl should promote lateral expansion to the first whorl according to the tuning model. One explanation could be that derepression of AG in the rbe mutant starts after initiation of sepals, as RBE expression commences at stage 3 of flower development (Takeda et al. 2004). Expression from the AP3 promoter also starts after the emergence of sepal primordia (Jack et al. 1992) and ectopic expression of AG in the second whorl of AP3::AG transgenic flowers had little effect on sepals whose weak carpelloidy has been attributed to a low AP3 promoter activity in sepals (Jack et al. 1997). It would then appear that physical separation from the second whorl impedes transmission of gene products from the second whorl area to the first.

Altered floral AG expression is independent of central AG expression in the inflorescence meristem

The defects observed in the CLV3::AG flower can be due to enhanced central AG expression and ‘spreading’ of the protein or transcript towards the periphery, as suggested by the tuning model, but they can also be the consequence of a primary effect of the transgene in the inflorescence meristem. For instance, assuming high stability of the AG protein or mRNA, it is possible that AG accumulates in cells giving rise to floral primordia, which then express AG in all cells from early on. In the former case, floral ectopic AG expression should be detectable in the absence of AG transcript in the inflorescence meristem while in the latter case, it should be always accompanied by AG expression in the inflorescence meristem.

In order to study AG expression in situ, we introduced the AG-I::GUS transgene (Busch et al. 1999) to the CLV3::AG background by crossing AG-I::GUS and CLV3::AG transgenic plants. The AG intron sequence contains regulatory elements that are necessary and sufficient for AG repression, activation and maintenance (Hong et al. 2003); thus, the GUS reporter monitors changes in the transcriptional regulation of AG in response to the enhanced or decreased function of AG-repressors and activators including AG itself.

In order to observe the earliest events, inflorescences were harvested after opening of the first flowers, but before the tcf phenotype was visible. Samples with ectopic GUS signal were sorted after sectioning according to the criteria of the absence or presence of bracts. In samples where young flowers did not develop bracts, two patterns were observed. In some cases, GUS signal was detectable throughout the flower, but was not visible in the inflorescence meristem (Fig. 2a). This suggests that ectopic AG expression in the CLV3::AG flower is independent of AG expression in the inflorescence meristem. In other cases, weak GUS signal could also be detected in the inflorescence meristem (Fig. 2b). In the inflorescences marked by flowers subtended by bracts and misshapen inflorescence meristem, reporter expression was strong all over the tissues (Fig. 2c). The three patterns apparently reflect progression of ectopic
AG expression during ageing of the inflorescence. During the earliest stages, expansion of the AG-expressing domain is only observable in flowers, likely because floral activation of endogenous AG expression can facilitate autoregulatory maintenance of expression. Domain expansion also occurs in the inflorescence meristem, but in the absence of endogenous activation, further age-dependent weakening of AG-repression is necessary to achieve enhanced AG expression outside the stem cell domain.

In conclusion, when AG is expressed in the stem cell domain of the transgenic plants, C-gene expression expands to neighbouring cells in both floral and inflorescence meristems. The mechanism of expansion cannot be addressed with these experiments, but it appears that the central cells are the source of AG protein/transcript and act as ‘donors’ of C-gene products to ‘recipient’ peripheral cells.

Mechanisms for C-domain control in Arabidopsis

The floral homeotic phenotype of CLV3:AG plants, its enhancement in the rbe mutant background as well as the pattern of ectopic AG expression suggest that the level of central C-gene expression can influence the extension of the C-domain even if the mechanism that accomplishes spreading of C-gene products remains elusive.

To which extent the control of central AG expression level by a tuning mechanism is meaningful in wild-type Arabidopsis is difficult to tell, however. Weakening of AG repression that accompanies aging of the inflorescence will change the activator/repressor balance in favour of activation both at the periphery and certainly also within the genuine activation domain in the centre of the flower. Enhanced central expression thus could further impair boundary control and the role of the miR169-related control of the C-gene expression level—as detected in Antirrhinum—could counteract this deleterious event. Thus, it seems that domain-specific activation of AG and the subsequent establishment of the specific activator/repressor ratio in the inner and outer whorls is the primary event in C-domain control in Arabidopsis which is reinforced by a self-tuning control system when repressive mechanisms start to decay.
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