Breastfeeding technique and the incidence of nipple traumas in puerperal women attended in a city hospital: intervention study
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Abstract

Objectives: to evaluate the effect of an intervention on the incidence of nipple trauma and the quality of breastfeeding technique in the first month of postpartum.

Methods: this is a quasi-randomized intervention study with 180 puerperal women equally distributed between experimental and control groups. The intervention was performed at a maternity and consisted of an educational session on breastfeeding technique. A descriptive analysis of the groups’ characteristics was performed, comparing the frequencies of unfavorable parameters related to breastfeeding technique between groups. Pearson’s chi-square test and Fisher’s test were used, and p≤0.05 was adopted as the critical level of significance.

Results: at 30 days, 64% and 15% of the mothers used the technique correctly, respectively, in the experimental and control groups with RR=4.87 (CI95%=2.93-8.34); NNT=1.96 (CI95%=1.61-2.72); p<0.001. In the experimental group, a decrease was observed in the unfavorable parameters of the breastfeeding technique (p≤0.05). The incidence of nipple trauma was 30% in the experimental group and 38.9% in the control group (p=0.21).

Conclusions: the intervention was insufficient to prevent nipple trauma in the experimental group, but significantly improved the quality in the breastfeeding technique.
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Introduction

Despite the recommendations for exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) in the first six months of a baby’s life,1 many women discontinue breastfeeding due its difficult management.2-4

Nipple trauma is one of the factors associated with early breastfeeding interruption.2,5-7 This breast complication is a continuity solution of the nipple skin or areola caused by fissure, excoriation, erosion, laceration or vesicles,8 commonly associated with discomfort and pain during breastfeeding.3,9

The incidence of nipple trauma during breastfeeding ranges from 11 to 96%.10-12 Also, 80 to 95% of nursing mothers experience some degree of nipple pain in the first week after childbirth, and 26% report severe pain. These determinants contribute to the interruption of EBF and early weaning.5 Nipple trauma is often the gateway to pathogenic microorganisms, and having mastitis12,13 is a significant complication. Lactational mastitis is an inflammatory process of the breast, which may or may not be associated with infections, and Staphylococcus aureus is the most common infectious agent.14

Among the factors associated with nipple lesion, the inadequate breastfeeding technique concerning is the positioning and the grip.12,15-17 A proper breastfeeding technique does not injure nipple and areola, and favors effective breast suction17 and emptying. The proper breastfeeding technique has been cited as an essential factor in preventing nipple trauma and contributing as well to breastfeeding successfully.3,12,16,18 In contrast, inadequate positioning and grip interfere with breast milk suction and extraction dynamics, hinder emptying the breast and cause nipple injuries.11

Nipple traumas commonly appear in the first week after childbirth, at the onset of the breastfeeding process.19 Some intervention studies targeting breastfeeding technique showed that the frequency of complications related to breastfeeding was lower in the breastfeeding women’s group that received the intervention.19,20 However, others did not observe a positive impact of the intervention on the quality of the breastfeeding technique.11,21

Although different interventions have been designed to improve the breastfeeding technique and reduce pain and nipple trauma, it is not very clear which method is the most effective.16 Therefore, it is crucial to test the hypothesis that an intervention directed for breastfeeding implies a positive effect with an improved quality technique and lowered the incidence of nipple trauma.

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of an intervention on the breastfeeding grip and positioning on the incidence of nipple trauma and the quality on the breastfeeding technique in the first month of postpartum in puerperal women attended at a hospital in the city of Feira de Santana (BA), Brazil.

Methods

This is a quasi-randomized intervention study with a variable exposure to a directed intervention on the breastfeeding technique and outcomes, the incidence of nipple trauma, and the quality on the breastfeeding technique in the first month after childbirth, conducted in 2015 at Hospital Inácia Pinto dos Santos (HIPS), a Child-Friendly Hospital.

The calculated sample was based on the nipple trauma incidence of 43.3%, as shown in a previous study,22 and would consider the minimum detectable difference between the groups of 20% for the result of the intervention to be significant. The sample was calculated using a 95% confidence level and 80% of strength, considering Za equal to 1.96 and Zb equal to 0.84. Thus, a minimum sample of 90 mother-newborn binomials was estimated for the control group, and 90 for the experimental group.

Puerperal women who gave birth within 48 hours before the data collection and who met the inclusion criteria (mothers residing in Feira de Santana (BA), mother-newborn binomials in joint accommodation and maternal breastfeeding) were invited to participate in the study until the minimum number of participants defined in the calculated sample was reached. Twin babies or hospitalized babies in the neonatal unit and puerperal women with nipple trauma or mastitis were not included in the study in the first approach.

The study sample consisted of mother-newborn binomials attended at HIPS. The dyads were included in this study in the period of February to July 2015, although the approach with the mothers in the experimental and control groups took place in alternated weeks, to avoid having mothers from different groups in the same ward. The researchers made a simple drawing to define which group the participants would be allocated to starting the data collection by the experimental group (Figure 1).

Data were collected in two occasions: at the maternity and 30 days after childbirth by a properly trained team, consisting of two nurses and a nutritionist. They were responsible for selecting the sample, interviewing the mothers, observing the breastfeeding, and evaluating the breasts.

At the maternity, the mothers of the control and
experimental groups were interviewed to obtain sociodemographic data, related to prenatal care, childbirth and breastfeeding, and data related to the newborn. Then, breast examination was performed to assess their characteristics, confirm the absence of nipple trauma, and investigate the presence of breast engorgement and mastitis.

A pilot study was carried out with nine mothers, corresponding to 5% of the sample, to test the logistic of the study and the data collection instruments.

Breastfeeding was also observed at the maternity by using a form recommended by the World Health Organization. This procedure was performed only in the experimental group, as per ethical standards that do not allow the researcher’s omission in possible cases identify as poor breastfeeding techniques. Afterwards, the intervention was carried out in three stages: Stage 1 – exhibition of the video “Amamentação muito mais do que alimentar a criança” (Breastfeeding is much more than feeding the child) reproduced by the Ministry of Health and the Brazilian Pediatrics Society, which addresses the breastfeeding technique and the benefits of maternal breastfeeding. The video was shown in the presence of one of the researchers, individually or in a group of maximum three women. At the end of the exhibition, a video selection was presented individually with specific images on the breastfeeding technique; Stage 2 – verbal guidance on the breastfeeding technique and the benefits of maternal breastfeeding; Stage 3 – demonstration of the correct breastfeeding technique using a breast model and doll.

At the end of the demonstration, the mother reproduced the procedures taught with the infant. Proper guidance was given if an unfavorable parameter of the breastfeeding technique was identified. Any concerns were clarified, and the child’s return was scheduled for an appointment with a pediatrician at the Institution thirty days after birth.

It is worth mentioning that the intervention carried out in this study, differed from which already occurs routinely in the service where the study was developed concerning the resources that were used in the same approach (breast model, doll and video), the type of approach (individual and group) and individual demonstration of the breastfeeding technique. The mothers of the control group did not receive the intervention proposed by the study, but were guided

---

**Figure 1**

Flow chart on the progress through the phases of an intervention study.
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by the research team regarding the benefits of breastfeeding and also received guidance from the health professional team at HIPS, since the following procedures are already carried out in its routine and as it is a hospital accredited by the Child-Friendly Hospital Initiative: Monday through Friday, guidance in the wards on the benefits of breastfeeding and its management, with the delivery of an information folder, the group educational activities were performed on specific dates.

Thirty days after birth, infants in the control and experimental groups returned for a consultation with the pediatrician at the institution, following the service routine. Then, the mothers of both groups were interviewed about the child’s eating pattern. After the interview, breast examinations and breastfeeding observation were performed in both groups using the same data collection instruments employed at the maternity. Home visits were necessary due to the absence of some mothers at the consultations. Fifty-two (28.9%) of the 180 puerperal women/infant pairing were visited at home.

The aspects related to the breastfeeding technique were obtained through the observation of breastfeeding, using the instrument recommended by the World Health Organization.23 Parameters indicative of proper positioning of the newborn were used to evaluate the breastfeeding technique (baby close to the mother’s body; head and trunk aligned; baby’s body well supported), adequate grip (mouth wide open; lower lip turned out; baby’s chin touching the breast; asymmetrical grip, with more areola visible above the baby’s mouth) and adequate suction (slow and deep suction in periods of activity with breaks, swallowing is noted or heard). The breastfeeding technique was considered correct when all the parameters mentioned above were favorable.

Nipple trauma was defined as the occurrence of fissure, excoriation, erosion, laceration, vesicles, ecchymosis, and erythema in the nipple-areolar region,8 ascertained in the breast examination performed at the consultation with the pediatrician scheduled for thirty days after childbirth. Moreover, the puerperal women’s self-reports regarding the occurrence of these lesions in the first month of postpartum were considered. As the mothers who had nipple injuries in the first 48 hours after childbirth were excluded from the study, only women who had new injuries after hospital discharge were included in the calculation of the nipple trauma incidence. Maternal sociodemographic variables, characteristics related to pregnancy, newborn, and breastfeeding were also researched.

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 10.0 was used to build the database and perform statistical analysis. The absolute and relative frequencies of all variables collected were calculated. The characteristics of the control and experimental groups were compared, as well as the frequencies of the unfavorable parameters related to positioning, grip, and suction were observed 30 days after childbirth. The breastfeeding technique was compared between the pairs of the two groups 30 days after childbirth, and the number needed to treat (NNT) was calculated, which represents the estimate of the number of individuals who should receive the intervention to produce the positive outcome. The NNT is equivalent to the reciprocal of absolute risk reduction or risk difference.25 Pearson’s chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used, and p ≤ 0.05 was adopted as a critical level of significance, with a confidence interval of 95%.

The Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana approved the study CAAE: 39280614.9.0000.0053. The data were collected after signing the informed consent form.

**Results**

Of the 216 mother/newborn binomials eligible for the study, 8 (3.7%) did not participate due to maternal refusal, and 28 (13%) were lost during the follow-up, which resulted in 180 binomials distributed as follows: 90 in the control group and 90 in the intervention group. The characteristics of the puerperal women and their respective newborns were similar between the groups (Table 1).

In the breast examination, 72.2% and 81.1% of the nursing mothers had normal nipples, 26.7% and 16.7% had flat nipples, and 1.1% and 2.2% malformed nipples in the experimental and control groups, respectively. There was no significant difference between the groups regarding the presence of flat (p = 0.11) and malformed nipples (p = 0.63). The occurrence of breast engorgement in the first month after childbirth also did not differ between the experimental group (3.3%) and the control group (2.2%) (p = 0.66).

A higher incidence of the correct breastfeeding technique was identified in the experimental group (64%, 57/89) compared to the control group (15%, 13/86) RR = 4.87 (CI95% = 2.93-8.34); NNT = 1.96 (CI95% = 1.61-2.72); p < 0.001. The Absolute Risk Reduction (ARR) calculation showed that for every 100 mothers exposed to the training, 64 adopted the correct breastfeeding technique, and in 49 of them, this outcome was due to the intervention (ARR = 49).
When comparing the frequencies of the unfavorable parameters to the breastfeeding technique at 30 days after childbirth between the experimental and control groups, a better quality of the technique was observed in the experimental group, with a significant difference in the following parameters: baby’s body distant from the mother’s body; chin does not touch the breast; the baby is not well supported; mouth slightly open; non-asymmetric grip; lower lip turned inwards, and the presence of only rapid suctions with clicks (Table 2).

Regarding to nipple trauma, 30% and 38.9% of the women self-reported nipple injury, respectively, in the experimental and control groups, with no significant difference between groups (p=0.21). Concerning the type of nipple trauma, the most frequent injury was a fissure, found in 20% of the puerperal women in the experimental group and 27.8% in the control group. The other injuries presented by the puerperal women are shown in Table 3.

**Discussion**

The intervention conducted in the current study on the breastfeeding technique produced a beneficial effect, as it significantly reduced the frequency of unfavorable parameters to the quality of the technique in the experimental group. However, it did not affect the incidence of nipple trauma.

The magnitude between the exposure and the technical outcome of breastfeeding was 4.2, that is, the puerperal women who did not receive the intervention were 4.2 times more likely to adopt the incorrect technique when compared to the experimental group. The value of NNT=1.96 (p<0.001) showed that a case of incorrect breastfeeding technique could be prevented in approximately one in 2.2 women of the women who received intervention measures. This parameter that helps health professionals weigh the risks and benefits of a given therapeutic measure, indicated that the establishment of intervention measures in the breastfeeding technique has a positive impact on its quality.

The breastfeeding technique in the experimental group improved in almost all the parameters of positioning and grip. Other intervention studies have also identified improved breastfeeding technique. In a study carried out in Australia, the women in the experimental group had better scores concerning the newborn’s position and grip during breastfeeding. Another successful intervention was carried out in the United Kingdom, where 395 mother/newborn pairings were evaluated on the breastfeeding technique during hospitalization after childbirth. The authors found that 56% of the nursing mothers had an adequate technique after the intervention. A higher value (64% in the experimental group versus 15% in the control group) was found in the current study.

In contrast, another intervention study found no significant difference when comparing the means of the number of unfavorable parameters to breastfeeding between the experimental and control groups, both in the maternity and at 30 days after childbirth. The difference between the referred intervention and of this current study was the use of a video as an audiovisual resource to show the breastfeeding technique.

The intervention of the current study also differed from what is usually performed at the hospital, where the study was conducted concerning the use of audiovisual resources and instruments such as breast model and doll for individual demonstration of the correct breastfeeding technique. These measures seem to have achieved a positive outcome. As they are easy to access and apply, they can be incorporated into hospital routines, especially concerning orientations carried out individually, face-to-face, as they are more effective than those performed in groups.

In this study, noteworthy was the proportion of 47.8% of the “non-asymmetric grip” parameter in the control group at 30 days in relation to the experimental group, in which the proportion was 14.6%. This parameter suffered the most significant impact with the intervention. Similarly, this item showed a significant improvement (p<0.001) in another intervention study, at thirty days of the baby’s life.

The adequate breastfeeding technique has been described as an essential preventive measure against nipple trauma. However, in this study, the intervention explicitly directed for the breastfeeding technique did not significantly reduce nipple trauma. The same occurred in three other intervention studies.

A study carried out in Australia, where puerperal women received individual guidance on positioning and grip 24 hours after childbirth, found no significant difference in the incidence of nipple trauma between the experimental group (17%) and the control group (20%). In the study conducted in England, the intervention consisted of an interference performed by a qualified midwife, who addressed positioning and grip during breastfeeding. No significant differences were found in the incidence of breastfeeding problems, as 30.3% and 37.8% had sore or fissured nipples in the experi-
Table 1
Characteristics of the 180 mother and newborn pairs included in the study, Feira de Santana (BA), Brazil, 2015.

| Characteristics of the 180 mother-NB pairs* | Experimental Group | Control Group | p  |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----|
| n (%)                                      | n (%)              | n (%)         |    |
| Mothers aged ≥ 20 years                    | 62 (68.9)          | 66 (73.3)     | 0.51 |
| Overweight and/or obesity ***              | 46 (68.7)          | 38 (52.0)     | 0.20 |
| Mother with > 9 years of schooling         | 50 (55.6)          | 49 (54.4)     | 0.88 |
| Mother with mixed skin color               | 51 (56.7)          | 55 (61.1)     | 0.48 |
| Cohabiting of the couple                   | 79 (87.8)          | 74 (82.2)     | 0.29 |
| Income > 1 minimum wage                    | 31 (41.9)          | 39 (48.8)     | 0.39 |
| Tobacco use                                | 3 (3.3)            | 2 (2.2)       | 0.65 |
| Nipple traumas in previous pregnancy       | 23 (48.9)          | 34 (65.4)     | 0.09 |
| Vaginal childbirth                         | 60 (66.7)          | 50 (55.6)     | 0.12 |
| Primiparity                                | 43 (47.8)          | 38 (42.2)     | 0.45 |
| Prenatal care with ≥ 6 consultations       | 59 (67.0)          | 52 (57.8)     | 0.23 |
| Guidance on breastfeeding technique in prenatal care | 28 (31.8) | 39 (43.3) | 0.12 |
| Guidance on the importance of breastfeeding in prenatal care | 41 (47.8) | 50 (56.2) | 0.11 |
| Participation in prenatal care course      | 8 (8.9)            | 9 (10.0)      | 0.39 |
| Participation in breastfeeding course      | 5 (5.7)            | 12 (13.3)     | 0.12 |
| First child breastfed up to 1 year ****    | 17 (37.8)          | 25 (48.1)     | 0.30 |
| Mean breastfeeding time of previous children ***** ≥ 6 months | 38 (84.4) | 42 (80.8) | 0.63 |
| Sunbathing nipple before childbirth         | 31 (34.4)          | 18 (20.0)     | 0.14 |
| Use of cream on nipples before childbirth   | 18 (20.0)          | 10 (11.1)     | 0.14 |
| Characteristic of nipples                  | Normal nipples     | 65 (72.2)     | 73 (81.1) | 0.57 |
| Breast engorgement at the maternity        | 3 (3.3)            | 2 (2.2)       | 0.66 |
| Characteristics of NBs                     | Female NB          | 49 (54.4)     | 50 (55.6) | 0.88 |
| NB’s birth weight < 2500g                  | 3 (3.3)            | 8 (8.9)       | 0.11 |
| Use of pacifier at the maternity           | 4 (4.4)            | 5 (5.6)       | 0.73 |
| NB received supplement at the maternity    | 3 (3.4)            | 2 (2.2)       | 0.64 |
| NB breastfed in the first hour after childbirth | 30 (33.3) | 21 (23.3) | 0.14 |

* NB = newborn; ** Pearson’s Chi-square test and Fisher’s test; *** Considering mothers who reported weight and height: 67 from the experimental group and 73 from the control group; **** Considering mothers who already had children: 87 from the experimental group and 82 from the control group; ***** Considering mothers whose first child was one year or older: 45 from the experimental group and 52 from the control group.
### Table 2
Frequency of unfavorable parameters related to positioning, grip and suction in the experimental and control groups 30 days after childbirth. Feira de Santana (BA), Brazil, 2015.

| Variables                                      | Experimental Group | Control Group | RR   | CI95%       | p     |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------|-------------|-------|
| n     | %                  | n             | %       |             |       |
| Breastfeeding technique parameters            |                    |               |       |             |       |
| Mother/baby positioning                        |                    |               |       |             |       |
| Baby distant from mother                       | 7                  | 7.9           | 27   | 30.7        | 3.9   | 1.79-8.49 | <0.001|
| Unaligned head and torso                      | 6                  | 6.7           | 13   | 14.9        | 2.22  | 0.88-5.57 | 0.15 |
| Baby is not well supported (only shoulder or head supported) | 2                  | 2.2           | 21   | 23.9        | 10.6  | 2.57-43.94 | <0.001|
| Baby’s grip                                   |                    |               |       |             |       |
| Mouth slightly open                            | 7                  | 7.8           | 20   | 22.7        | 2.89  | 1.29-6.49 | <0.001|
| Chin not touching the breast                   | 3                  | 3.4           | 28   | 31.8        | 9.44  | 2.98-29.92 | <0.001|
| Non-asymmetric grip (the areola was no longer visible above the baby’s mouth) | 13                 | 14.6          | 43   | 49.4        | 2.88  | 1.65-5.03 | <0.001|
| Lower lip turned inward                        | 13                 | 14.6          | 37   | 42.0        | 2.9   | 1.65-5.03 | <0.001|
| Suction                                        |                    |               |       |             |       |
| Only quick sucking with clicks                 | -                  | -             | 9    | 10.3        | -     | -          | <0.001|
| Click of the lips is heard, but not the swallowing | 2                  | 2.2           | 7    | 8.0         | 3.88  | 0.76-16.76 | 0.15 |

* Pearson’s Chi-square test and Fisher’s test.

### Table 3
Frequency of nipple trauma observed in the experimental and control groups 30 days after childbirth. Feira de Santana (BA), Brazil, 2015.

| Variables                              | Experimental Group | Control Group | RR   | CI95%       |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------|-------------|
| n     | %                  | n             | %       |             |
| Nipple trauma                          |                    |               |       |             |
| Fissure                                | 18                 | 20.0          | 25   | 27.8        | 1.39  | 0.82-2.36 |
| Excoriation                            | 5                  | 5.6           | 2    | 2.2         | 0.4   | 0.08-2.0  |
| Erythema                               | 3                  | 3.3           | 4    | 4.4         | 1.33  | 0.31-5.8  |
| Bruise                                 | -                  | -             | 1    | 1.1         | -     | -         |
| Laceration                             | -                  | -             | 1    | 1.1         | -     | -         |
| Fissure and erythema                   | 1                  | 1.1           | 1    | 1.1         | -     | -         |
| Excoriation and erythema               | -                  | -             | 1    | 1.1         | -     | -         |
group the puerperal women belonged to.

The intervention on the positioning and grip carried out in the maternity had a positive impact on the quality of the breastfeeding technique, strengthening the established knowledge that the institution with intervention measures can facilitate breastfeeding. Thus, care for the puerperal women before hospital discharge is essential, with the observation of the breastfeeding and demonstration of the correct breastfeeding technique. Therefore, a trained team, with sufficient knowledge to intervene early at the first signs of poor positioning and grip, is required and is an important measure to ensure successful breastfeeding.

Contradictorily, the intervention did not prevent the occurrence of nipple lesions, which suggests that an intervention performed at a single moment is not enough to prevent nipple trauma. Furthermore, other factors rather than the breastfeeding technique may have contributed to the results found.
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