INTRODUCTION

Nowadays injuries represent a major cause of deaths among the world population. Fractures, especially in elderly patients, lead to several clinical complications and generate a high cost to the health systems of many countries. According to statistics found in the literature, each year around three hundred thousand fractures in the proximal femur are caused by low-energy trauma, only in the United States.\(^1\) This type of fracture primarily affects elderly patients. The costs and difficulties in the management of these patients led us to try to prevent these fractures before they occur, and some developments have occurred in this area with medications administered to prevent bone fragility.\(^2,3\) However, it is still unclear on the literature what are the factors (and their chronological order) that lead to failure of the bone tissue of the proximal femur, and the exact knowledge of all of them is very important to take more effective prevention measures in the future and reduce the financial cost and even of lives that this type of fracture cause.

It is known that upon aging bones of the axial skeleton become larger in diameter in the medullary area and a less dense cortical region, which probably leads to a lower resistance to load support.\(^4,5\) To some authors, this fact alone is what leads to elderly patients to have a larger number of femoral neck fractures by low trauma energy.\(^6,7\) However, it is known that patients with this type of fractures tend to have, a few years before, fractures in the body of vertebrae. This is because the percentage of spongy bone (trabecular bone) on vertebral bodies is higher than in the femoral neck and, with aging, the cancellous bone has decreased bone density earlier that the cortical bone. Therefore, we can predict that the cancellous bone of the femoral neck must undergo structural changes before the cortical bone, and if we can see these changes (with some auxiliary noninvasive examination) before the cortical be affected, our prevention will be more effective.

The question that led to this work is why some people fracture the femoral neck and others of the same age, gender and race, do not. We believe that attention was not given to the spongy region of the proximal femur, and the reason for this is that whenever it was thought that its changes would be obvious by simply analyzing the knowledge gained from iliac crest biopsies in osteoporosis studies. If we consider the structural parameters in bone histomorphometry of the iliac crest, we know that in osteoporosis we usually find an increased trabecular separation and a reduced number of these. The thickness of bone beams is also decreased. As we know that patients with osteoporosis are at increased risk
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of fracturing the femoral neck, many are led to believe that in
the femoral neck fracture we would find the same parameter
changes. However, there is nothing definitive about this.9,10
Our first hypothesis, therefore, was that there is a difference in
the structural parameters of the trabecular bone of the femoral
neck among people who fractured and did not fracture.
In another line of thought, the spongy area of the femoral neck
should undergo anatomical changes before the cortical area
and, therefore, before a fracture occurs. Probably, even patients
who had femoral neck fractures, but are in the age group at risk,
must present these changes, even if the cortical thickness is still
normal, which would allow us to make an early prevention of
fractures in a phase in which the cortical is still well bearing the
load and the risk is small. According to this line of thought, the
results we expected to find in the histomorphometric analysis
of the femoral neck would not show differences in the structural
parameters (number of trabeculae, trabecular thickness and
separation of these), because both groups have already suffere
red trabecular changes and only the changing of the cortical
thickness is what differentiates the group that had mechanical
failure from the one that did not.

The objective of this research was to verify, through bone his
tomorphometry of the femoral neck, whether there was a diffe
rence in the cancellous bone of the proximal femur in female
patients over 60 years old who had femoral neck fracture and
similar patients who did not have this fracture.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The patients in this study were initially selected by the diagnosis
at hospital admission according to the criteria of inclusion and
exclusion of the research project. This research project was
approved by CaPPesq and all patients signed an Informed
Consent Term.

The inclusion criteria were the following:
1. Female patients, aged between 60 and 90 years old.
2. Having as admission diagnosis unilateral osteoarthrosis of
the hip joint or femoral neck fracture Garden type III or IV.11,12
3. Indication for hip arthroplasty surgery.
4. Not presenting as medical history any endocrine treatment,
use of corticosteroids, anticonvulsants or immunosuppres
sive drugs for over a year, or cancer diagnosis.
5. Not having, as background, orthopedic surgical treatments
of the affected and contralateral hip.
6. Not performing regular physical activity, i.e. sedentary.
7. Having the cervicodiaphyseal between 130 and 140 degrees
inplain radiographs of the proximal third of the contralateral
femur at anteroposterior projection.

The exclusion criteria were the following:
1. Patients who refused to donate the surgical specimen (fe
moral head).
2. Patients who did not deambulate for more than six months.
3. Patients who used bisphosphonates for a total period (con
tinuous or not) lasting more than six months.
4. Patients who used bisphosphonates in the last two years
preceding the date of surgery.

After analyzing the inclusion and exclusion criteria we selected
13 patients who were divided into two groups: Those in which
the initial diagnosis was femoral neck fracture were included in
Group 1 (fracture group). When the initial diagnosis was primary
unilateral osteoarthrosis of the hip were included in Group 2
(control group). (Charts 1 and 2)

In Group 1 we had seven patients aged between 816 months
(68.0 years old) and 1074 months (89.5 years old). The mean
age was 964 months and the standard deviation 95.5 months.
Two patients had a Garden III fracture of the femoral neck and
five had Garden IV fractures. All of them were submitted to par
tial hip arthroplasty. In Group 2 we had six patients aged from
721 months (60.0 years) to 1017 months (84.5 years). The mean
age was 852 months and the standard deviation 130 months.
All of them had a diagnosis of primary osteoarthritis of the hip
and all underwent total hip arthroplasty. (Table 1)

In Group 1, two of them had Garden type III and five Garden
type IV fractures. Regarding the affected side, four were on the
right and three on the left side. The cervicodiaphyseal angle of
the contralateral side varied between 130-140 degrees, with an
average of 135 degrees. All underwent partial hip arthroplasty
surgical treatment.

In Group 2 all were of the primary type. Regarding the affected
side, three were on the right and three on the left side. The cervicodiaphyseal angle of the contralateral side varied be
 tween 130-140 degrees, with an average of 135 degrees. All
underwent total hip arthroplasty surgical treatment.

Bone densitometry examination of patients was made to assess
bone mineral density of the femoral neck. Patients who had
done this exam up to four months before the date of the sur
gery did not need to repeat it. Some patients did not have the
test done and did it two months after surgery. Usually the test
is done in the right hip, but two patients in the fracture group
made it on the left side, since the other side was operated.

During surgery, the femoral head was removed along with the
cranial part of the neck, without surgical instrument that would

| Number | Age     | Diagnostic  | Procedure | CD  |
|--------|---------|-------------|-----------|-----|
| 01     | 89.5    | Garden Fracture IV | PHA L | 135° |
| 02     | 84.8    | Garden Fracture III  | PHA R | 130° |
| 03     | 73.0    | Garden Fracture IV  | PHA R | 135° |
| 04     | 68.0    | Garden Fracture IV  | PHA R | 135° |
| 05     | 86.4    | Garden Fracture III  | PHA L | 140° |
| 06     | 75.9    | Garden Fracture IV  | PHA R | 135° |
| 07     | 85.0    | Garden Fracture IV  | PHA L | 135° |

Age: age in years old and in months old (between parentheses) on the date of surgery. CD: cervicodiaphyseal angle of the opposite hip.

| Number | Age     | Diagnostic          | Procedure | CD  |
|--------|---------|---------------------|-----------|-----|
| 01     | 73.1    | Primary Osteoarthrosis | THA R | 135° |
| 02     | 60.4    | Primary Osteoarthrosis | THA L | 135° |
| 03     | 84.8    | Primary Osteoarthrosis | THA L | 130° |
| 04     | 60.1    | Primary Osteoarthrosis | THA L | 135° |
| 05     | 65.5    | Primary Osteoarthrosis | THA R | 135° |
| 06     | 82.5    | Primary Osteoarthrosis | THA R | 140° |

Age: age in years old and in months old (between parentheses) on the date of surgery. THA: total hip arthroplasty; CD: cervicodiaphyseal angle of the opposite hip.
damage the femoral head. (Figure 1) Surgery continued in the
normal pace by the usual surgical procedure.
A trephine was made especially for this research, with a 7mm
internal diameter and a plug for the electric perforator at the
other end. With the head and femoral neck already out of the
operative field, a bone cylinder fragment was removed exclu-
sively by clockwise rotary movements. For the removal of this
cylinder from the bone fragment it has been standardized that
the trephine would always enter the location through the middle
of the circumference of the femoral neck and would always
be directed to the pit of the round ligament of the head. The
trephine was removed by applying rotational movements in the
counter-clockwise direction to avoid fragmentation of the cylin-
der, and later it has been removed from within the trephine by a
rod of the same size of the light that has been made especially
for this procedure.
In order to avoid compression of the trabeculae, the rod re-
moved the cylinder by applying pressure on the serrated part
of the cylinder (trephine) without damaging it. It is important to
remember that these parameters were used in all fragments of
the two groups, as this enables the analysis of cancellous bone
of the femoral neck, and that the trephine did not pass by any
area of bone sclerosis.
The bone cylinder, with a length ranging from four to five cen-
timeters, was placed in a bottle with 70% ethanol and stored
for three days. (Figure 2) The cylinders were then sent to the
laboratory for histomorphometric analysis.13
After the third day, ethanol 70% was removed and the flask
was filled with 100% ethanol, where the fragment remained
for three days.
The fragment was then removed from the vial and placed im-
mersed in toluene for one day. The fragment was only three
days in this solution, then 1% benzoyl peroxide was added, for
three days. Then, the fragment was for further three days in a
mixture of the initial solution plus 2.5% benzoyl peroxide.
After these procedures the ensemble was taken to a drying
oven at 37°C until the polymerization of methyl methacrylate
was reached. The blocks were cut in an impact microtome
with a tungsten blade, originating histological 5 µm cuts. The
sections were placed on slides and stained with 0.1% toluidine
blue at pH 6.4.
The slides were blindly analyzed by a professional and, therefo-
re, the analysis of the regions of the blades were evaluated follow-
ing purely technical criteria to ease viewing and calculation of
measured parameters. The histological images were designed
on a computer with the aid of a cursor on a scanner board. The
method used in this study to measure the histomorphometric
variables was semi-automatic, using a binocular microscope
Nikon Labophot-2A®, a video camera, a scanner board and
the Osteomeasure® software, suitable for measurement of the
parameters studied.13 The histomorphometric parameters mea-
sured are those standardized by the American Society of Bone
and Mineral Research:
1. Trabecular Volume - BV / TV (%): volume occupied by
   the cancellous bone, mineralization or not, expressed as
   a percentage of the volume occupied by the bone marrow
   and trabeculae.
2. Trabecular thickness (or beams thicknesses) - Tb.Th (µM):
   thickness of the trabecular bone expressed in microns.
3. Trabecular separation (or beams separation) - Tb.Sp (µM):
   distance between the trabecular bones expressed in microns.
4. Trabecular number (or number of beams) - Tb.N (/ mm):
   number of bone trabeculae per millimeter of tissue, being
   also an index which expresses the trabecular density.
Besides these four parameters, we also calculated the total
area of bone tissue that was measured and the patient’s age
in months, from birth to the day of surgery.
In order to compare samples of both groups we used the Stu-
dent t-test. For this purpose, a value of p<0.05 was assumed.
The experimental hypothesis (H1) was that there was difference
between the two groups. The null hypothesis was that there
would be no difference. The study was considered tailed.

| Group 1 | Group 2 |
|---------|---------|
| 1074    | 876     |
| 1018    | 725     |
| 876     | 1017    |
| 816     | 721     |
| 1037    | 786     |
| 911     | 990     |
| 1020    |         |

Mean       | 964.57  | 852.50  |
Standard deviation | 96.55  | 129.99  |
Standard error     | 36.49  | 53.07   |
Minimum      | 816.00  | 721.00  |
Maximum      | 1074.00 | 1017.00 |
Number       | 7       | 6       |

p value Student t-test (bicaudal): 0.115837.

Table 1. Age (in months old) on the date of surgery of patients submitted
to hip arthroplasty (collection of material for bone histomorphometry).

Figure 1. Femur head and neck next to trephine and rod.

Figure 2. Bone cylinder before being placed in 70% ethanol.
RESULTS
Comparing the data on bone mineral density obtained through bone density testing, we found that the average value of the fracture group was 0.641 g/cm², while the average of the control group was 0.663 g/cm². (Table 2) Applying statistical analysis, we found no significant differences between them.

The data found in histomorphometry showed a significant difference between groups in trabecular separation parameters and number of trabeculae. The mean trabecular separation in the fracture group was 541.19 µm in the fracture group fracture and 391.14 µm in the control group. However, the mean number of trabeculae found was lower in the fracture group, with 1.52 compared to 1.88 in the control group. On the parameters of volume occupied by the trabecular bone in relation to the bone marrow and trabecular thickness (124.38 µm versus 147.09 µm), no significant differences occurred. (Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6)

DISCUSSION
Because there are no references in the literature searched on the parameters normally found in bone microarchitecture of the femoral neck, and also due to the already discussed fact that most of the authors focused on analyzing the cortical region (not the spongy) of the femoral neck in search of reasons that can lead

---

**Table 2.** Bone mineral density of the femoral neck measured by bone densitometry (g/cm²).

|               | Fracture group | Control group |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 0.641         | 0.645          |
| 0.604         | 0.636          |
| 0.629         | 0.655          |
| 0.673         | 0.656          |
| 0.659         | 0.711          |
| 0.655         | 0.677          |
| 0.681         |                |

Mean: 0.649
Standard deviation: 0.027
Standard error: 0.010
Minimum: 0.604
Maximum: 0.681
Number: 7

p value Student t-test (bicaudal): 0.032192.

**Table 3.** Volume occupied by cancellous bone, mineralized or not, expressed as a percentage of the volume occupied by the bone marrow and trabeculae, measured in the region of the femoral neck by the bone histomorphometry method.

|               | Group 1 | Group 2 |
|---------------|---------|---------|
| 16.81         |         |
| 13.01         | 22.06   |
| 13.45         | 21.01   |
| 28.03         | 32.65   |
| 22.94         | 44.64   |
| 16.60         | 24.15   |
| 24.31         |         |

Mean: 19.31
Standard deviation: 5.80
Standard error: 2.19
Minimum: 13.01
Maximum: 28.03
Number: 7

p value Student t-test (bicaudal): 0.120234.

**Table 4.** Thickness of the trabeculae expressed in µm, measured in the area of the femoral neck by the bone histomorphometry method.

|               | Fracture group | Control group |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 119.04        | 102.56         |
| 100.04        | 139.68         |
| 103.04        | 107.77         |
| 167.17        | 163.39         |
| 125.09        | 249.60         |
| 115.21        | 119.55         |
| 141.09        |                |

Mean: 124.38
Standard deviation: 23.35
Standard error: 8.83
Minimum: 100.04
Maximum: 167.17
Number: 7

p value Student t-test (bicaudal): 0.377795.

**Table 5.** Thickness (separation) between bone trabeculae in µm measured in the area of the femoral neck by the histomorphometry method.

|               | Fracture group | Control group |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 119.04        | 102.56         |
| 100.04        | 139.68         |
| 103.04        | 107.77         |
| 167.17        | 163.39         |
| 125.09        | 249.60         |
| 115.21        | 119.55         |
| 141.09        |                |

Mean: 124.38
Standard deviation: 23.35
Standard error: 8.83
Minimum: 100.04
Maximum: 167.17
Number: 7

p value Student t-test (bicaudal): 0.012056.

**Table 6.** Number of bone trabeculae per mm of bone tissue found in the femoral neck region measured by the bone histomorphometry method.

|               | Fracture group | Control group |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1.40          | 1.99           |
| 1.30          | 1.94           |
| 1.67          | 1.99           |
| 1.83          | 1.78           |
| 1.44          | 2.02           |
| 1.72          |                |

Mean: 1.52
Standard deviation: 0.21
Standard error: 0.08
Minimum: 1.30
Maximum: 1.83
Number: 7

p value Student t-test (bicaudal): 0.000685.
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to its rupture, there are no published data that can be compared directly to those obtained here. As previously mentioned, we had two hypotheses that could occur in this research. The first was the existence of difference in all parameters (number of trabeculae, separation between them and trabecular thickness). Any difference between the fracture group and the control group (for more or for less) would be accepted. The second hypothesis was the lack of difference between the groups in the analyzed parameters, which would make them similar, at least regarding the spongy part, and the only anatomical changes responsible for the mechanical failure should be that of the cortex. After analyzing the results, we found out that the difference hypothesis was confirmed by two parameters (trabecular separation and number of trabeculae) that are virtually dependent on each other, since the lower the number of bone beams in a region, the greater the distance between them, and vice versa. Therefore, obviously, if we found a difference in one of these parameters, it would also be found in the other. What surprised us was the fact there was no difference in the thickness of the trabeculae, a fact that led to statistically similar results in the volume of the spongy bone that was found.

For the groups to be considered similar, we expected that, besides the fact that patients fit the inclusion criteria, the results of hip bone densitometry were statistically similar as compared to absolute values in g/cm², which in fact occurred.

Regarding age, we observe in the data presented that there was no difference between groups from birth to the date of material collection (day of surgery). All subject groups are female because the hormonal changes are earlier in this genre (menopause), which directly influences bone metabolism. If there were in male patients groups, this could affect the results, so they were not included.

The fact that some patients (in both groups) received at some point in their lives supplementation with calcium carbonate or bisphosphate could generate criticism. To clarify any doubts that may have occurred, we should mention that none of them used alendronate for a period longer than six months, and patients who used this medication discontinued their use at least two years before the date of surgery where the material was collected. Mundy, in a study published in 1998, states that the calcium carbonate alone does not lead to structural changes of the bone tissue, such as increased density, it only corrects bone calcium losses to other tissues from a bad nutrition. If we consider calcium carbonate as a bias, we should also consider nutritional factors and it would probably be unlikely to find similar groups work for any research on bone metabolism. Harrington et al. showed evidences that no changes occur in bone tissue leading to increased resistance by using alendronate for a period shorter than six months. McClung et al. showed that risedronate only began its effect on lowering hip fracture incidence after one year of continuous use. Therefore, the use of bisphosphonates and calcium for some of these patients did not affect the final outcomes of this work.

All patients (in both groups) were considered sedentary, according to data collected from medical records, therefore their bone tissue could not have been influenced by exercises to increase bone mass. Our two groups (with or without neck fracture) had similar bone mineral densities, were of the same gender, same age, and can be considered anatomically identical (same cervicodiaphyseal angle). They are, therefore, similar. These differences, if examined by other noninvasive tests, such as quantitative tomography, may become a factor of increased risk of femoral neck fracture, making important its study.

CONCLUSIONS
There are differences in bone microarchitecture of cancellous bone of the femoral neck in female patients older than 60 years, with or without femoral neck fracture. The differences are in the number of trabeculae, which was lower in the group of patients with fractures, and the separation between them, which is higher in patients with fracture.

There was no significant difference between the thicknesses of the trabeculae on the two groups.
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