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Abstract: In July 1977, 50,000 Christians from different backgrounds and traditions converged on Kansas City to participate in the Conference on Charismatic Renewal in the Christian Churches. Catholic charismatics played a key role in its organization, relying on all their ecumenical contacts built since the origins of the Catholic Charismatic Renewal (CCR) in 1967 at Duquesne University in Pittsburgh (PA). If the Kansas City conference represented the zenith of a shared unified vision for all charismatic Christianity, it also showed the emergence of the crisis which affected Catholic charismatic communities and their connection with Rome. This paper will explore U.S. Catholic charismatics’ relationships with other Christian denominations and groups in the initial development of the CCR, particularly in structuring Catholic charismatic communities, and their ecumenical perspectives in the tension between needs for legitimization (by the Vatican) and needs for self-expression.
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1. Introduction

The Catholic charismatic renewal (CCR) officially began in February 1967, at Pittsburgh’s Duquesne University (PA), when a history professor, William (Bill) Storey, and a graduate student, Ralph Kiefer, claimed to be baptized in the Holy Spirit in a charismatic prayer group of Episcopalians. Through personal contacts, this experience of the Holy Spirit soon spread to the University of Notre Dame (Notre Dame, Indiana), then to Michigan State University (East Lansing, Michigan), to the University of Michigan (Ann Arbor, Michigan), and many other parts of the United States. At ever-increasing numbers of locations, regular prayer meetings, and sometimes covenant communities, developed. In less than a decade, the CCR went beyond U.S. national borders, becoming a worldwide movement within the whole Catholic Church with active functioning structures and supportive officials in Rome.

In the initial development of the movement, the University of Notre Dame in Indiana played a key role, not only in terms of organizational work—as an example, the Catholic Charismatic Renewal Service Committee (CCRSC, later renamed National Service Committee, NSC) was established there—but also of visibility. In fact, the considerable and rapid shift of the charismatic activities at this university to the public arena was the result of the national publicity received thanks to the attention of the media, which also aroused the interest of the U.S. charismatic Protestant world. In 1967, during the initial months of charismatic “experiments” at the university, Doug Wead, at that time a Pentecostal leader, was sent to one of the prayer meetings on campus by his father, the pastor of Calvary Temple in South Bend, to understand what he perceived as the “paradox of ‘Catholic Pentecostals’”. In appreciation and incredulity, he reported:

There was an excitement in my first charismatic Catholic experience that I have never recaptured. For me, there was at least one unique factor. Somehow, God had changed. Suddenly. He became a God of many people, people of different cultural,
ideological and racial backgrounds. This university community in which I first saw the Catholic renewal was urban and liberal—the opposite pole ideologically.

Outside of football enthusiasm for the Fighting Irish we had nothing in common. Yet, while I was busy across town working at Calvary Temple (which I assumed to be God’s South Bend headquarters), God had been very busy at Notre Dame.⁵

There was a historic animosity between Pentecostals and Catholics, but this, nevertheless, left room for rapprochement and further dialogues that would be constitutive of the origins and early developments of the Catholic charismatic movement in the United States. As a matter of fact, for the first time in the history of the Catholic Church, a form of spirituality coming from Protestantism, and to all appearances quite distant from the typical Catholic identity, was experienced and reworked by Catholics.⁷

The purpose of this article is precisely to highlight the experiences that came with the contacts between charismatic Catholics and Protestants at the beginning of the CCR. As the “insiders” within the Catholic charismatic renewal sought to legitimize the movement, their historical accounts, and even more, the first theological declarations, preferred to focus mainly on the “overlooked” charismatic aspects of the Catholic tradition, in order to portray the renewal as something “internal” or “constitutional” to the church, thus anchoring it to Catholic ecclesiology and biblical exegesis.⁸ It suffices to think about the constant references to Pope Leo XIII and his encyclical Divinum illud munus (1897); the blessed Elena Guerra (1835–1914), who has been considered a precursor of the charismatic movement;⁹ Pope John XXIII and his prayer for a “new Pentecost”; and the Second Vatican Council.¹⁰ As follows, the abundant interconnections between Catholic charismatic and Protestant leaders were put in the background, if not partially censored, for the sake of acknowledgement and acceptance.

In the 1970s and 1980s, these unheralded relationships among U.S. charismatic leaders across denominational and non-denominational institutions were influential and fruitful and able to shape not only the U.S. CCR but also its subsequent worldwide development. As an example, some of the ecumenical activities promoted by U.S. Catholic charismatic communities in those decades, which were ultimately the result of that intra-Christian charismatic dialogue in the U.S., did not find favor in Rome and eventually became one of the targets of the “Catholicization” process of the charismatic renewal during John Paul II’s pontificate.¹¹

Ever since the beginning of the 1960s, mainline Protestant churches in the U.S. were experiencing the charismatic “wave”, which would soon interact with the Catholic world. In fact, in Pittsburgh Catholics, who eventually organized the first official charismatic encounter at Duquesne University, received the baptism in the Spirit thanks to a small charismatic Episcopalian group.¹³ However, even though some of the classical Pentecostals at that time were no longer particularly charismatic, those who still were taught Catholics a lot. Circulation of texts such as charismatic Pentecostal David Wilkerson’s The Cross and the Switchblade (written with John and Elizabeth Sherrill) and John Sherrill’s They Speak in Other Tongues was (I believe it is “were” because subjects are Wilkerson’s and Sherill’s books . . .) considered inspiring and life-changing by the first Catholic charismatic leaders.¹⁴ In addition to denominational contacts, non-denominational Pentecostal and charismatic organizations such as Demos Shakarian’s Full Gospel Businessmen’s Fellowship International (FGBMFI) provided an important platform for Catholic charismatic renewal experiences. Founded in 1951, the FGBMFI was a fellowship of business people with the goal to merge faith, particularly charismatic, with business practices. Its periodical “Voice” carried regular reports of pastors and laity who claimed to have experienced baptism in the Holy Spirit, while conferences organized in its many regional chapters throughout the United States contributed toward spreading the practice.¹⁵ For example, the earliest Notre Dame and South Bend Catholic charismatics went to the house of a Calvary Temple deacon who was also president of the local South Bend chapter of the FGBMFI to learn about the charismatic gifts of the Spirit.¹⁶ This is to say that inter-connections across denominations on charismatic spirituality were as intellectual as practical, and they deeply influenced part
of the U.S. Catholic charismatic groups, particularly those related to the Midwest area and interested in building charismatic communities.

Thus, this article intends to focus on three aspects that have been less explored by historiography to demonstrate how charismatic ecumenical contacts and networks between the late 1960s and 1970s unquestionably shaped the Catholic charismatic renewal in the United States and worldwide: the role of Episcopalian pastor Graham Pulkingham and his Church of the Redeemer in Houston (Texas) in modeling the first Catholic charismatic covenant communities in the late 1960s; the relationships between Catholic charismatic and non-denominational charismatic leaders, particularly those involved in the Shepherding movement, in the 1970s, for the sake of a pan-charismatic project; and finally, the 1977 Kansas City Conference as the zenith but also the beginning of the crisis of the pan-ecumenical/interdenominational charismatic experience.

2. Graham Pulkingham and the Church of the Redeemer

From its beginning, the CCR expressed two forms of practicing charismatic spirituality—prayer groups and communities—differing mainly on the degree of active involvement. Looking for a way to live out a more charismatic Christian life and to provide services to the fledgling movement between 1970 and 1971, three communities roughly in the same geographical area (Indiana and Michigan) were formed: The Word of God (TWOG) in Ann Arbor, followed by True House (TH) at Notre Dame, and the People of Praise (POP) in South Bend. Although their stories turned out differently, they were initially inspired by early Christian communities and originally shaped by similar visions, particularly TWOG and POP, which were both ecumenical. As covenant communities, which were at that time something ecclesiastically new within the Catholic Church, members bound themselves to one another by a solemn agreement called a “covenant”, thus maintaining affiliation in their own church or denomination while also belonging to the covenant community. Soon, related communities grew up in Newark, Augusta, Minneapolis, Pittsburgh, Phoenix, and several other places, whereas in Dallas and Cincinnati, communities not related to this tradition were founded as well.

If such communities were influenced by some of the communitarian ideas expressed by the counterculture of the 1960s, they took their inspiration and many of their initial ideas and practices from the Episcopal Church of the Redeemer in Houston, Texas, led by Graham Pulkingham. Pulkingham was a charismatic Episcopal pastor who, thanks to his encounter with David Wilkerson, revolutionized his life and founded a charismatic community within the parish of the Church of the Redeemer in 1964. Out of this community grew an international ministry of preaching, community living and music, thanks chiefly to Pulkingham’s healing ministry and attractive personality, and this parish church soon became a charismatic pilgrimage site for the entire charismatic world. As an example of the impact of the Church of the Redeemer on other Christian denominations and generally in people’s lives, Anglican priest Michael Harper, who eventually became one of the leaders of the charismatic renewal in Britain and founder of the ecumenical agency Fountain Trust, arrived in Houston in February 1966, and was so influenced by his experience there that it changed his ministry forever.

Although the first Catholic charismatic literature often omitted to quote Graham’s influence in the community-building process, his relations with Catholic charismatic leaders of the first covenant communities are undeniable. They were prone to involve Pulkingham from the beginning, inviting him to speak at the 1969 Notre Dame conference and to collaborate with Catholic charismatic “New Covenant” magazine as well. (here I add a footnote—I am not able to put it in the correct way: See as examples: “Marriage, Community, Service. Interview with Rev. Graham Pulkingham.” New Covenant. December 1971, pp. 11–14; Graham Pulkingham. “Headship in Christian Marriage.” New Covenant. December 1972, p. 11; Id. “The Fisherman, INC.” New Covenant. March 1973, pp. 5–6. It seems that Pulkingham “endorsed the fledgling Catholic charismatic magazine New Covenant as a publication that agreed with the aims of Redeemer and the Fishermen [a.n.
a charismatic consultant agency], to the point the Redeemer even decided not to begin its own publication because New Covenant was already doing the job”. In 1970, two members of Redeemer went to Ann Arbor for a month, staying with Ralph Martin, Steve Clark, Jim Cavnar, and Gerry Rauch, the four TWOG founders. The relationship between the two communities (that in Ann Arbor, in fieri) was mutual: “Word of God was good at organizing and getting things done, and they would end up being major systematizers of community and charismatic renewal. But the Redeemer people know how to love and lay down their lives for each other, how to make community a life-transforming event, which impressed the Michigan visitors no end. Word of God people got the idea of extended-family households, which were mainly singles living with Redeemer families, from Redeemer”.

Remarkably, in connection with this interdenominational rapprochement, he visited South Bend before the foundation of People of Praise and met informally with several leaders, in a key moment for the developing community. The idea of establishing a formal community was already under investigation, but Pulkingham imbued the leaders with more confidence and was helpful in showing them a somewhat different perspective, where, among other things, prophecy was more central. Although oral memories are not unanimous in defining the exact time, Pulkingham probably visited South Bend between summer 1970 and summer 1971. Tom Noe, one of the leaders of the Christ the King prayer meeting in South Bend, involved in the True House community, and later a member of the POP, had already gone to the Church of the Redeemer and spoke with its pastoral leaders in summer 1969. The report of his trip provides a vivid picture of those days and shows the perception of a Catholic who looked with some admiration at the charismatic experience at the Redeemer:

Tom Noe spent two weeks hitchhiking down through Texas and Ky. One of the women who picked him up is an ex-nun who has received the gift of prophecy, predication, healing and discernment, but not through any prayer group. She received them individually and Tom was able to talk with her about prayer groups and put her in contact with a group in Austin. The Lord seems to have supported Tom well in his travels. He reports spending only $1.50 the whole two weeks. While in Texas he was able to stop at Redeemer Parish, Rev. Graham Pulkingham’s Episcopal Parish in Houston. [ . . . ] The Parish is completely Spirit-filled and the members constantly minister to one another. They get up in the morning and hug one another, saying “Praise the Lord!”. They even hug one another throughout the day. A few weeks ago one member of the parish was arrested for driving with his hands in the air! 

At the beginning of August 1971, Kevin Ranaghan and other local charismatic leaders acted on the formation of the community. A few months later, on 15 October 1971, a group of people established a formal covenant community, renamed on 27 February 1972, People of Praise. Except for differences in timing, parts of the Church of the Redeemer community’s features were imported by TWOG, TH, and POP, such as the household structures, St. Paul’s teaching on “foundational gifts” and ministries, and the focus on prophecy, in this case, showing in-depth spiritual and ecclesiological convergences between charismatic Episcopalians and charismatic Catholics.

3. Non-Denominational Charismatics: The Shepherding Movement

The Shepherding movement, or Discipleship movement, took its origins in the early 1960s in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, at the Shepherd’s Church, when a specialized group of pastors—Bob Mumford, Charles Simpson, Derek Prince, Don Basham, and later Ern Baxter created the Holy Spirit Teaching Mission (HSTM), later Christian Growth Ministries (CGM), a missionary agency that first sought to establish a certain kind of charismatic leadership within Christian churches. However, it emerged as a distinct non-denominational movement in 1974. They advocated the need for what they described as “discipleship”, thorough personal pastoral care or shepherding care, thus theorizing that every believer
needed to submit to a spiritual authority who would help the individual develop Christian maturity within a covenant relationship. Mutually, all pastors and leaders needed to be personally submitted to another leader to foster accountability, in “a kind of a chain of command, with a senior or presiding pastor overseeing the local church network of pastoral leaders”. Each presiding pastor was ultimately submitted to one of the four—later five—teachers of Fort Lauderdale. This pyramidal system was soon able to create translocal pastoral relationships. If, at the beginning, the aim was to spread the movement within existing churches, after the charismatic renewal took hold in different denominations, the aim changed to creating independent charismatic church structures under the Fort Lauderdale leadership, if not control. In fact, the Shepherding movement was non-denominationally pan-charismatic, well-equipped, well-organized, and media-oriented. Its videotapes and popular magazine “New Wine”, with a monthly circulation of 110,000 copies in 1976, spread the discipleship concept all over the charismatic world.

In 1975, response to the growing influence of this movement precipitated a controversy within the charismatic movement as a whole, as several charismatic leaders expressed public disagreement with the Fort Lauderdale group, mainly fearing the establishment of a new large charismatic independent church. According to Harper, this dispute was “far and away the most disturbing controversy to hit the Charismatic Renewal”.

The CGM annual outreach, the National Men’s Shepherds Conferences, fueled the controversy, which became a very public debate in 1975 and 1976, thanks to the media attention on it and the involvement of prominent Christian leaders such as Episcopalian Dennis Bennett, Lutheran Harald Bredesen, charismatic publisher Dan Malachuk, and evangelical Pat Robertson. At stake there were not only the competing meanings of “authority” within the different Christian traditions, but also the role of charismatics within their own churches and within the broader scenario of U.S. Christianity. To handle this intense conflict, a special ad hoc charismatic leaders’ committee was established. Its first “resolution” meeting was held in Minneapolis on 9 August 1975, where the opposition to the CGM was mainly from independent charismatics, particularly Pentecostals, in primis the FGBMFI leaders, fighting a sort of “turf war”. In fact, along with the concerns of doctrinal and practical extremes, the majority of the Shepherding movement’s adherents came from independent Pentecostal/charismatic sectors. Denominational charismatics appeared to be less disturbed with the CGM teaching. For example, the controversial discussion on the spiritual authority claimed by the Fort Lauderdale leaders versus ecclesiastical authority—could a person be submitted to his own local church leadership and also to a shepherd outside that church?—had to a certain extent already been addressed within Catholic charismatics by reference to the traditional Catholic concept of spiritual directorship, which had been used as a model within covenant communities. Moreover, “perhaps the security and the heritage of their denominational affiliations made the Shepherding movement less threatening to these mainline Charismatics”, being less concerned about the movement to become a new denomination.

For the purposes of this article, what is interesting is not only that prominent Catholic charismatic community leaders such as Ralph Martin, Steve Clark and Kevin Ranaghan, and also ecumenicist and theologian Killian McDonnell, were involved even before the beginning of the controversy, but also that the second resolution meeting was held in Ann Arbor on 16–17 September 1975, proving how much the place was important in the charismatic ecumenical world, how respected Catholic charismatics were as mediators in the controversy, and how deep the ongoing relationship between Ann Arbor-South Bend and the Fort Lauderdale leaders was. The relationship between Catholics Clark, Martin, Paul DeCelles (POP), Ranaghan, and Lutheran Larry Christenson, and the CGM leaders is also proven by regular meetings—two or three times a year—held beginning in 1974 with the aim of uniting the charismatic communities. The relationship of the “Ft. Lauderdale brethren” and the leaders of the Catholic charismatic communities, however, predated 1974, when by a crisis in the HSTM, the group begun working on national “men’s shepherds” conferences, designed to teach the functioning of pastoral authority and to
form charismatic leaders in the United States and around the world. These leaders, in fact, organized the 1974, 1975 and 1976 National Men’s Shepherds Conference, respectively, in Montreat, Kansas City and Atlanta. This group of people referred to themselves as the “Council”, the “General Council”, and finally, as the “Ecumenical Council”. The controversy mentioned earlier occurred in the midst of these project meetings, and it was in the background for most of the life of the Ecumenical Council. Although more research should be conducted on the history of this body, from the minutes of the Ecumenical Council, it clearly emerges that these Christian leaders had established a mutual dialogue and cooperation, so much so that at a certain point, they thought of merging their communities into a single one, being acknowledged beyond the circle of its leaders, despite the secrecy of part of these meetings. Strong elements of discipleship and pan-charismaticism were present within the Council. For example, leaders submitted to one another and while maintaining their various denominational differences, emphasized charismatic spirituality almost as an exclusive trait for Christian unity. Through Martin’s and Clark’s intercession, the Ecumenical Council also had contact with Cardinal Suenens, who was, at that time, the advisor of the CCR for the Catholic Church: the entire group travelled to Belgium and the Holy Land in 1977 to discuss ecumenism and their relationship with the cardinal and his charismatic circles. Particularly significant was the idea of establishing a sort of training center for charismatic leaders that seemed to be supported by Suenens:

III. Joint Project Discussion: A. Possible Leadership Training Institute:

1. Three-month training for young men to be sent into the ministry.
2. Cardinal Suenens is interested in a training institute where men could be ordained into the R.C. priesthood and diakonate.
3. Training to consist of three 3-month sessions for three years. A. Some study at home between 3-month terms. B. Training program to be administered by community heads. C. Teaching by recognized teachers. D. Could be held in different locations each year.
6. Training Institute would train not only elders and shepherds, but also teachers.
7. What is the real objective (philosophy) for such an Institute? A. To affect the spiritual and academic leadership of the Church. B. To bring unity and compatibility between emerging communities. C. To train and equip teachers for the Body of Christ. D. Such training would provide protection for the Body of Christ. E. To help deprogram ministers with only an academic mind-bent and increase their ability to discern spiritually when confronted by worldly expertise and wisdom. F. To increase the ability of leaders to communicate effectively.
12. Whose Institute is it? A. It would be founded and directed by the General Counsel. B. Derek Prince, Steve Clark and Larry Christenson appointed as committee to brainstorm the concept and come up with specific proposals for forming the Training Institute. We work with Cardinal Suenens, but our communities would be in charge. Committee to report at December Council meeting.

Although the idea of the training center was soon abandoned, supposedly because of Catholic internal tensions between Martin, Clark, and Suenens, this project shows a deep cross-sectional interconnection between high-profile charismatic leaders regarding important ecumenical aspects such as leadership training and the pan-charismatic network. Eventually, tensions in the late 1980s between Ann Arbor and South Bend leaders resulted in Ranaghan’s and DeCelles’ resignation from the Council and in February 1985, it was dissolved by mutual consent.

While the discipleship controversy, during which Catholic leaders mostly advocated for the five teachers, formally ended in March 1976, at the meeting in Oklahoma City, through the establishment of the Charismatic Concerned Committee (CCC), with the aim to resolve possible future charismatics’ controversies, the Shepherding movement continued to affect charismatic churches throughout the 1970s and 1980s, to a certain extent affecting U.S. Catholic covenant communities as well, to the point that authority management...
and submission relationships were the main topics scrutinized by Catholic ecclesiastical authorities in the 1990s during several official investigations of such communities.  

4. The Kansas City Conference

It was the relationship between Catholic charismatic and CGM leaders that gave rise to the largest ecumenical event ever seen in the United States, the Kansas City Conference on the Charismatic Renewal in the Christian Churches (CCRCC), in Missouri, on 20–24 July 1977.

Already in the 1974 Montreat Men’s Shepherds Conference Ralph Martin delivered a key message entitled The Mighty Stream of God, where he conceptualized the idea of three rivers of charismatic renewal: the Classical Pentecostals, the Protestant Charismatics, and the Catholic Charismatics, and the need for them to “flow together”. This theorization was the starting point for the Kansas City conference, which sometimes was also called the three-rivers conference. The official planning committee, whose chairman was Kevin Ranaghan, was composed of fourteen charismatic leaders among the main Christian churches affected by charismatic spirituality: Lutheran Larry Christenson, Presbyterian Brick Bradford, Episcopal Robert Hawn, Baptist Roy Lamberth, Baptist Ken Pagard, Mennonite Nelson Litwiller, Methodist Ross Whetstone, Elim Pentecostal Carlton Spencer, Foursquare Pentecostal Howard Courtney, International Pentecostal Holiness Vinson Synan, Church of God in Christ Pentecostal Ithiel Clemmons, Messianic Jewish David Stern, independent charismatic Bob Mumford and Robert Frost (later replaced by Judson Cornwall). The CCRSC corporation—Charismatic Renewal Services (CRS)—was chosen to administer and to own the conference, mainly for its financial availability at that time, even though funds from other charismatic institutions were raised. Soon, an executive committee to advise the chairman, following Martin’s idea of the “three streams” concept, was added, with Christenson for the mainline Protestants, Synan for Classical Pentecostals and Ranaghan for Catholics as members.

The core theme of the conference was “Jesus is Lord”, representing both the essential charismatic Christian spiritual motif and the aim of bringing together all the streams of Pentecostal and charismatic renewal in the United States, or, as Synan detailed, “from as disparate as fire-breathing Southern fundamentalist Pentecostals to sophisticated European Roman Catholic prelates”. Thus, both ecumenical “cross-pollination” as well as the preservation of denominational identity and integrity were enforced throughout the event thanks to the conference structure: in the morning, each Christian group conducted its own regular conference sessions in separate small conferences across the city, while, in the afternoon, all the sub-conferences contributed workshops for all to attend until the evenings, when plenary sessions in the stadium gathered all the participants. The importance of the manifestation of the gifts of the Spirit was highlighted through the idea of a “word gifts unit”, meaning that all the planning committee members had access to the microphones along with a few trusted leaders from sub-conferences to prophesy. Ralph Martin’s prophecy The Body of My Son is broken and his focus on the sinfulness of the disunity of the church and the need for repentance and intercession to make amendment represented the peak of all the Spirit revelations, while the “unforgettable scenes” of Cardinal Suenens sharing the platform with Thomas Zimmerman, head of the Assemblies of God, and James O. Patterson, head of the Church of God in Christ, were the apex of the ecumenical success of the conference and symbolized the overcoming of historical denominational barriers.

About 50,000 Christians attended the Kansas City conference. However, the proportion of preregistered participants immediately shows not only how the Catholics and non-denominational charismatics took center stage, but also how deep the division was among non-denominationalists as a result of the Shepherding movement’s controversy: 48.73% Catholic; 17.56% non-denominational B; 10.23% non-denominational A; 5.93% Lutheran; 5.69% Episcopalian; 3.28% Presbyterian; 2.51% unassigned; 1.79% United Methodist; 1.59% Mennonite; 0.90% Denominational Pentecostals; 0.52% Messianic Jewish. As a matter of
fact, originally, there was to be only one non-denominational group, co-led by Mumford and Frost, but eventually, the ongoing tensions over the discipleship question resulted in another group whose leaders, such as Robertson or Ralph Wilkerson (Melodyland Christian Center) or Ralph Mahoney (World MAP), did not want to share the platform with CGM leaders. Non-denominational group B was finally led by Fort Lauderdale leaders and attracted the second largest delegation to the conference, with over 12,000 persons. Interestingly, during the conference preparation period, it was pretty clear how weights and measures would have eventually been distributed, since a note by the Ecumenical Council details: “A. Rumors: some non-Catholics claim Conference is a Vatican plot. B. Some Catholics and others fear a Ft. Lauderdale plot”. Despite everything, the conference was a success in terms of numbers, visibility, and cooperation, and it had significant ramifications. For example, further ecumenical Jesus rallies (i.e., in Washington in 1980) and conferences (i.e., New Orleans in 1986) took place throughout the United States in the years that followed; denominations such as the United Methodists and Baptists institutionalized their own charismatic organizations for the first time after Kansas City; three new service committees—Pentecostal, United Church of Christ and Holiness/Wesleyan/Nazarene—were established to provide services for charismatics. Positive conclusions on Kansas City were also elicited from leaders of the Council: “III. Conclusions from Kansas City: A. Derek listed four things in his newsletter. 1. Kansas City proved that leaders from varying backgrounds can cooperate; 2. Cooperation produces far greater impact than individual ministry; 3. We are interdependent—we need each other; 4. Ecumenical gatherings bless all who participate. B. The General Council concludes: 1. God has given us a mandate to become one; 2. Kansas City was a forerunner of a greater unity; 3. The unity of the Kansas City Conference grew out of our unity; 4. Unity, then, can be imparted—even as it was from our pilgrimage”.

However, the motto “at Kansas City the Lord called us to reach beyond our denominational walls to work and pray aggressively for a higher goal—the unification of all Christianity” was not well received within Roman Catholicism. Such an event, where Christians from different churches and affiliations came together to celebrate a charismatic spirituality, created ecumenical expectations within some Catholic charismatic groups that eventually preoccupied ecclesiastical hierarchies, particularly the Vatican, which, in contrast to the charismatic participants, perceived some of the stances expressed at the Kansas City Conference as more non-denominational than ecumenical. Pan-charismatic ecumenism contributed to the rise of an alarmist attitude toward the CCR among ecclesial authorities in the United States and in Rome during the delicate phase of legitimization of the movement within the Catholic Church to the point of an alleged threat of a condemnation of the CCR in 1978, when the Congregation for the Doctrine of the Faith (CDF) seemed to have prepared an opposition document and asked for clarification, especially with regard to ecumenical forms lived within certain covenant communities. Thanks to Suensens’ timely intervention, the situation was resolved, but only for a while. With John Paul II’s pontifical election (1978) and Suensens being replaced by Paul Josef Cordes as episcopal adviser of the CCR (1984), a new and different season for Catholic charismatics would start, that of “Catholicization” at the expense, among other things, of ecumenical experimentations and networking.

5. Conclusions

In the late 1960s and 1970s, ecumenical relationships—some would call them contaminations—between charismatic groups in the United States were ordinary. Although Catholic charismatic apologetic literature at the beginning diminished the role of such “cross-pollination” in order to support the ongoing legitimization process of the movement within the Catholic Church, ecumenical relationships undoubtedly shaped the Catholic renewal as a whole.

Pulkingham’s pastoral influence, the Ecumenical Council between Catholics and non-denominational charismatics, and the Kansas City conference were important elements
within this charismatic ecumenism—or inter-denominationalism/non-denominationalism, if the Vatican perspective is adopted. They demonstrate how Catholic charismatics stepped beyond the borders of their church to experience and learn about charismatic spirituality, in order to eventually translate it into Catholic language and practice. This did not always please ecclesiastical hierarchies and the Vatican, as exemplified in the influence of the Shepherding movement within Catholic charismatic communities, at least those which emerged from the Ann Arbor/South Bend model, which, in some measure, contributed to a focus on authority, emphasizing vertical community leadership/eldership, submission and servanthood, or the pan-charismatic attitude of the Kansas City conference that was perceived too loose and dangerous for Catholic integrity.

Ecumenical grassroots contacts among churches and denominations in the late 1960s and 1970s, as explored here, acquire an important meaning for the history of the CCR in subsequent years, not only because ecumenism was an object of attention for all the actors involved, both in the United States and in Rome, but also because such ecumenical relationships would become the litmus test for determining the Catholic orthodoxy of the movement. In the 1980s, in fact, some of these experiences that derived from ecumenical contacts would have to be abandoned for the sake of a full integration within John Paul II’s Catholic Church.
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Cfr. Tom Noe. “Notes from Early Community Meetings. September, 1971.” *People of Praise Vine & Branches*. September 1996, p. 5 and “Notes from Early Community Meetings. Making the Covenant.” *People of Praise Vine & Branches*. October 1996, pp. 6–7.
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