Innovative design for a phase 1 trial with intra-patient dose escalation: The Crotoxin study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Crotoxin has a broad antitumor activity but has shown frequent neurotoxic toxicity. To induce tolerance and limit this toxicity, we propose a new design with intra-patient dose escalation.

Methods: A new Dose Limiting Toxicity definition was used. The concept of Target Ceiling Dose was introduced.

Results: Dose Limiting Toxicity was the inability to dose escalate twice. Target Ceiling Dose was the highest planned dose to be administered to a patient and could change for patients along time. Recommended Dose was defined similarly as in a (3 + 3) conventional design.

Conclusion: This innovant design was used and the clinical trial is now closed for inclusions. Results will be presented later.

1. Introduction

Crotoxin is secreted in the venom of some South American rattlesnakes. Crotoxin has a significant and broad spectrum antitumor activity in vitro and in vivo. Its affinity for tumor cells is thought to be related to the target Crocalbine, a transmembrane protein overexpressed in many tumor cells, notably lung cancer, central nervous system tumors and melanoma [1]. Attachment to the Crocalbine receptor released Crotactine where it has a disruptive effect on the cell membrane, causing a liberation of arachidonic acid that indirectly activates caspases responsible for apoptosis.

The sensitivity of tumor cells to Crotoxin was associated with the expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor, a membrane receptor associated with a malignant cell phenotype [2,3].

The Crotoxin has already been tested in clinical trial, in 23 patients with treatment resistant cancers. It was administered intramuscularly. The maximum tolerated dose was determined to 0.21 mg/m². The main toxicity was grade 1 or 2 neuromuscular effects, presenting in 78% of patients (n = 18/23) (diplopia, strabismus, nystagmus and eyelid ptosis), that was reversible. One case of grade 3 anaphylaxis was observed (4%). Biologically, increases in liver transaminases and creatinine clearance of grade 1–2 levels were reported in 56% of patients (13/23) [4].

In terms of efficacy, 17% of patients (4/23) had a decrease in tumor burden or partial responses using imagery in addition to an improvement in pain levels and quality of life.

Intramuscular administration was responsible for local immune reactions with erythema, itching or pain, which could last from 24 h to three weeks. These effects subsided over several weeks. Intravenous administration of Crotoxin could improve the local tolerance.

Moreover, the administration of gradually increasing doses of Crotoxin could induce tolerance to its neurotoxic effects without losing antitumor activity. The administration of potentially lethal doses was possible if dose escalation was implemented. The administration of doses 20 to 35 times the original 50% lethal dosage (LD50) to mice made tolerant to Crotoxin was achieved without toxicity [5].

To explore these two hypotheses, we designed a clinical trial with intravenous administration of Crotoxin and intra-patient dose escalation. The purpose of this article is to present the design of this Phase I trial. The clinical results will be published elsewhere.

2. Method

A Phase I unicentric clinical trial was set up at the Georges
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Patients received increasing doses over the course of 40 treatment days daily by intravenous administration over a 2-hour period by saline drip.

cause of the lack of cumulative toxicity. Crotoxin was administered approximately 5 half-life) 97% of the product was eliminated) and be-

days break over the week-end (Table 1). Five days period was chosen up to 0.12 mg/m² [4].

No toxicities were reported in the Cura et al. (2002) Phase I study at kg), which were 12.5 and 1.5 fold, below the NOEL dose in mice[10].

The need for an intra-patient dose escalation with a product indu-

The primary endpoint was toxicity, measured by Dose-Limiting Toxicity (DLT) of Crotoxin administered intravenously using an intra-

Pompidou European Hospital, Paris, France. This trial was performed in patients with stage IV cancer.

The secondary objective was the anti-tumor efficacy, evaluated ac-

The proposed dosing schedule and duration was based on the pre-

Table 1

| Dose Level | CROTOXIN Daily Dose | Days (starting Monday), no treatment on weekends | Duration (days) |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| d1         | 0.04 mg/m²/day      | 1-5                                           | 5              |
| d2         | 0.08 mg/m²/day      | 8-12                                          | 5              |
| d3         | 0.12 mg/m²/day      | 15-19                                         | 5              |
| d4         | 0.16 mg/m²/day      | 22-26                                         | 5              |
| d5         | 0.20 mg/m²/day      | 29-33                                         | 5              |
| d6         | 0.24 mg/m²/day      | 36-40                                         | 5              |
| d7         | 0.28 mg/m²/day      | 43-47                                         | 5              |
| d8         | 0.32 mg/m²/day      | 50-54                                         | 5              |

3. Results

3.1. Study design

Tumor assessment was performed to assess potential efficacy of the tested compound after the 8 weeks of the dose escalation (day 54) and after the optional extra 4 weeks (day 82) (see Fig. 1).

3.2. Definition of dose-limiting toxicity

Definition of Dose-Limiting Toxicity (DLT) was described as inability to dose escalate twice in one patient. Inability was defined by the occurrence of grade 2 or 3 ocular/visual (palpebral ptosis, nystagmus, diplopia) or anxiety or hypertension events related to Crotoxin and not recovered within 24 h at a given dose.

If the patient presented a drug-related inability to dose escalate at a dose level \(d_l\) he received previous dose level \((d_{l-1})\) for another cycle (5 days) upon which 2nd dose escalation was attempted at the \(d_i\) dose. If he presented a new drug-related inability to dose escalate after 2nd dose escalation attempt, the escalation was abandoned for this patient and the dose to which escalation was made \((d_{i-1})\) was assessed as DLT for this patient. It defined the highest planned dose to be administered to the next patients also called Target Ceiling Dose (TCDi-1). We allowed dose re-escalation because of the pharmacological properties of Crotoxin. First, because neurological tolerance was demonstrated: we hypothesized that a longer time of exposition at a lower dose might diminish the neurotoxicity at a more elevated dose. Second, because the half-life of Crotoxin is short, around 5 h, we supposed that even in case of a first occurrence of neurotoxicity, a second occurrence would be rapidly reversible (see Fig. 2).

3.3. Definition of the target ceiling dose and of the recommended dose

Target Ceiling Dose (TCD) was the highest theoretical planned dose to be administered to a patient. At the beginning of the current study, the first TCD was \(d_8\): 0.32 mg/m²/day.

Each dose was initially administered for 5 consecutive days with 2 days break over the week-end (Table 1). Five days period was chosen because of the short half-life of Crotoxin (24 h after the injection (approximately 5 half-life) 97% of the product was eliminated) and because of the lack of cumulative toxicity. Crotoxin was administered daily by intravenous administration over a 2-hour period by saline drip. Patients received increasing doses over the course of 40 treatment days (8 dose levels). Intra-patient dose escalation was mandatory. Table 1 presents the dose escalation schema. Highest dose level was 0.32 mg/m².

The patient was offered to continue on the highest tolerated dose for another 4 weeks, subject to clinical assessments from the treating physician.

Fig. 1. Study design.
TCD) and the same procedure was followed. If no more DLT was observed for the second TCD, then dose escalation continued following the rules above, with a fourth TCD (TCDi+1), i.e. the dose just above the second TCD (see Fig. 3).

Recommended Dose (RD) was defined as the highest dose where a maximum of no DLT in 3 patients or one DLT in 6 patients was reported, as in a (3 + 3) conventional trial.

Other designs with intra-patient dose escalation exist. They are not frequently used (less than 1% among phase 1 designs) [11]. One should think about an innovative mathematical modelling method such as an adapted Continual Reassessment Method, focusing on determination of dose levels and determination of duration of each dose administration.

Following our design, the clinical trial is now closed for inclusion and the results will be presented later.
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Fig. 2. Intra-patient dose escalation and Dose Limiting Toxicity.

Fig. 3. Dose escalation strategy for cohort.