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A B S T R A C T

In this data article, we present the spectroscopic structural data of the pottery samples collected from Petén Itzá, Guatemala. Detailed Fourier transmission infrared, powder X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy coupled to electron dispersive X-ray diffraction, and thermal gravimetric analysis/differential thermal gravimetric analysis (FTIR, PXRD, SEM/EDX, and TGA/DTGA) were discussed in the research article titled “Comprehensive Structural and Compositional Investigation of Maya Pottery Sherds from Lake Petén Itzá, Guatemala, Central America” (Onchoke et al. 2020 [1]). The FTIR, XRD profiles and composition of pottery from Petén Itzá, Guatemala are presented. This data is important and useful for further understanding of the structural composition of pottery sherds used by Maya people of Guatemala. In addition, the TGA/DTGA profiles provide information on the content of the losses upon heating and offers supportive evidence to the spectroscopic data studied.
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Specifications Table

| Subject                  | Analytical Chemistry                        |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Specific subject area    | Analytical Archaeology                       |
| Type of data             | Table, graph, figure                        |
| How data was acquired    | (a) Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR, Perkin Elmer Station 100 Inc., USA) was used for infrared analysis. |
|                          | (b) A Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer equipped with an X-ray tube (Cu Kα radiation: λ = 1.54060 Å, 40 kV, and 40 mA) using a Ni filter and one-dimensional LynxEye detector at scanning speed of 2°/min and 0.0125° step sizes and a 1s/step. |
|                          | (c) A JEOL-JSM 6100 scanning electron microscope equipped with a Horiba scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDX) was used. |
|                          | (d) A Perkin Elmer thermogravimetric and differential thermogravimetric analysis (TGA/DTGA) were performed with thermogravimetric simultaneous thermal analyzer (STA 6000) at 20°C/min heating rates in a nitrogen atmosphere in the range 34°C - 1000°C. |
| Data format              | Raw data, analyzed                           |
| Parameters for data collection | (a) Power X-ray Diffraction (PXRD) analysis: Pottery samples obtained from Lake Petén Itzá, Guatemala were crushed, air dried, and ground to fine powder. |
|                          | (b) Fourier Transform infrared (FTIR) Analysis: The Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy (DRIFTS) spectra in the 230 - 4000 cm⁻¹ region was acquired on an abrasive pad (4 cm⁻¹ resolution) with Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 spectrometer equipped with a Ge/CsI beam splitter and a DTGS detector. |
|                          | (c) SEM/EDX analysis: SEM micrographs and their elemental composition were acquired with use of a JEOL-JSM 6100 scanning electron microscope. |
| Description of data collection | Forty-two pottery sherds were spectroscopically characterized and their crystalline phases determined. The powder diffraction file was acquired using Bruker AXS DIFFRAC.EVA program [2]. The fitted line profiles, peak search methods, and indexing of the lines were used to calculate the mineral identification via comparisons with the diffraction patterns with TOPAS program [3]. |
| Data source location     | Stephen F. Austin State University          |
| Data accessibility       | All data are available within this article. |
| Related research article | “Comprehensive Structural and Compositional Investigation of Maya Pottery Sherds from Lake Petén Itzá, Guatemala, Central America”, Kefa K. Onchoke, Pressley S Nicholson, Leslie G. Cecil, Robert B. Friedfeld, Josephine Taylor, Paul W. Weatherford [1]. |

Value of the Data

- The spectral data provided here is valuable for referencing, identification of crystalline phases, and differentiation between the pottery samples from sites around the world.
- The data provides important information for identification of elemental compositions in pottery sherds and samples.
- The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns are important for the identification of crystalline phases in pottery, and for comparisons to other archaeological samples.
1. Data Description

In this study, pottery sherds from Lake Petén Itzá, Guatemala, Central America (Fig. 1 adapted from Ref # [4]) were investigated for their composition. The data in this paper presents spectroscopic information on FTIR (Fig. 2), SEM/EDX (Figs. 3 and 4, Table 1), the approximate compositions, d-spacings and hkl patterns (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Fig. 5 depicts sample TGA/DTGA thermograms of select samples 1.12 and 1.17 showing mass losses at different temperatures in the range 33 – 1000 °C. The raw data for FTIR graphs, EDX spectra, and TGA representing Figs. 2, 4, and 5 can be found in the Supplementary Excel file titled Raw Data FTIR graphs, Raw EDX data graphs, and Raw data TGA graphs.

![Fig. 1. Map of Guatemala; Picture adopted from Ref # [4]. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier publisher. Elsevier License for permission to re-use in a journal article was granted from the Elsevier publisher.](image)

| Table 1 |
| Percentage elemental composition analysis (% wt/wt dry basis) of selected pottery samples using EDX. |
| Element | 1.17 | 1.18 | 1.19 | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.24 |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| C       | -    | -    | -    | -    | 0.7  |      |
| O       | 47.1 | 49.6 | 46.5 | 38.1 | 46.5 | 49.8 |
| Na      | -    | -    | -    | 0.1  | 0.2  | 0.5  |
| Mg      | 0.6  | 1.1  | 1.1  | 0.5  | 0.7  | 0.5  |
| Al      | 10.4 | 3.3  | 3.3  | 7.1  | 10.7 | 12.2 |
| Si      | 18.9 | 4.6  | 4.6  | 11.1 | 16.2 | 31.4 |
| P       | -    | -    | 0.1  | -    | -    | -    |
| S       | -    | -    | 0.2  | 0.1  | 0.2  | -    |
| K       | 0.8  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.4  | 0.3  | 1.9  |
| Ca      | 19.0 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 38.0 | 22.1 | 1.5  |
| Ti      | 0.4  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.6  | 0.4  | 0.4  |
| Fe      | 2.7  | 0.6  | 0.6  | 4.0  | 2.7  | 1.2  |
Fig. 2. The FTIR data for pottery samples numbered (A) 1.07, 1.09, 1.10, (B) 1.11, 1.12, 1.14, (C) 1.16 -1.18, (D) 1.19 -1.21, (E) 1.22, 1.23, 1.25, (F) 1.26 -1.28, (G) 1.29 -1.31, (H) 1.32 – 1.34, (I) 1.36 -1.38, and (J) 1.39 -1.42. The traces are overlain to show their spectral similarities.
Fig. 2. Continued
Table 2: Analysis of the Crystalline Phases, d-spacing, and h, k, l values of sample 1.01 pottery sherd from Guatemala.

| Index | Angle ($\theta$) | d-Value | Net Intensity | Gross Intensity | Rel. Intensity | h, k, l | Mineral |
|-------|-----------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|---------|
| 0     | 6.198           | 14.2486 | 356           | 958             | 5.20%         | 0 0 2  | Vermiculite |
| 1     | 22.994          | 3.86476 | 235           | 355             | 3.40%         | 1 -2 -1| Gypsum |
| 2     | 26.533          | 3.35667 | 65.8          | 173             | 1.00%         | 0 1 1  | Quartz |
| 3     | 29.3            | 3.04574 | 1687          | 1785            | 24.60%        | 2 0 -2 | Whewellite |
| 4     | 31.345          | 2.85151 | 56            | 146             | 0.80%         | -1 0 1 | Vermiculite |
| 5     | 35.888          | 2.50005 | 240           | 319             | 3.50%         | 0 1 0  | Gypsum |
| 6     | 39.374          | 2.2957  | 429           | 502             | 6.30%         | 0 1 0  | Chamosite |
| 7     | 43.145          | 2.809504| 260           | 327             | 3.80%         | 0 1 2  | Gypsum |
| 8     | 47.262          | 1.92169 | 121           | 182             | 1.80%         | 0 1 2  | Turquoise |
| 9     | 47.457          | 1.91426 | 352           | 412             | 5.10%         | 0 1 2  | Turquoise |
| 10    | 48.481          | 1.8762  | 316           | 374             | 4.60%         | 0 1 2  | Turquoise |
| 11    | 56.574          | 1.6255  | 81.8          | 137             | 1.20%         | 0 1 2  | Turquoise |
| 12    | 57.432          | 1.60345 | 170           | 226             | 2.50%         | 0 1 2  | Turquoise |
| 13    | 60.713          | 1.52421 | 98.5          | 155             | 1.40%         | 0 1 2  | Turquoise |
| 14    | 61.398          | 1.5083  | 48.3          | 105             | 0.70%         | 1 1 0  | Turquoise |
| 15    | 63.293          | 1.46813 | 32.6          | 89.1             | 0.50%        | 1 1 0  | Turquoise |
| 16    | 65.896          | 1.41631 | 36            | 89.6             | 0.50%        | 1 1 0  | Turquoise |
| 17    | 70.532          | 1.33414 | 26.9          | 82.9             | 0.40%        | 1 1 0  | Turquoise |
Table 3
Analysis of the Crystalline Phases, d-spacing, and h, k, l values of pottery sample 1.02 from Guatemala

| Index | Angle (2θ) | d-Value | Net Intensity | Gross Intensity | Rel. Intensity | h  k  l | Mineral                        |
|-------|------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| 0     | 6.125      | 14.4181 | 206           | 839             | 36.20%        | 0 0 2  | Vermiculite                   |
| 1     | 22.944     | 3.87306 | 303           | 433             | 7.10%         | 0 1 0  | Quartz                        |
| 2     | 26.52      | 3.3583  | 67.6          | 186             | 6.70%         | 2 1 0  | Aluminite                     |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               |        | Quartz                        |
| 3     | 29.294     | 3.04603 | 4372          | 4481            | 6.10%         | 1 4 -1 | Andalusite                    |
| 4     | 31.331     | 2.85272 | 68.5          | 171             | 0.9%          | 0 1 0  | Quartz Gypsum                 |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 1 -2 -1|                               |
| 5     | 35.865     | 2.50181 | 450           | 540             | 1.90%         | 2 -2 -2| Brochantite                   |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               |        |                               |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               |        |                               |
| 6     | 36.10778   | 2.48856 | 157           | 247             | 2.1%          | 2 2 1  | Andalusite                    |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 0 1 2  | Quartz                        |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 1 0 2  |                               |
| 7     | 39.308     | 2.29024 | 738           | 850             | 6.10%         | 1 0 4  | Vermiculite                   |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 1 3 6  | Azurite                       |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 1 -2 -2|                               |
| 8     | 43.057     | 2.09912 | 344           | 418             | 1.50%         | 3 0 2  | Gypsum                        |
| 9     | 47.014     | 1.93126 | 185           | 253             | 1.60%         | 3 1 1  | Turquoise                     |
| 10    | 47.393     | 1.9167  | 341           | 408             | 4.5%          | 3 3 2  | Gypsum                        |
|       | 48.385     | 1.87968 | 546           | 612             | 1.30%         | 7 1 3  | Laumonite                     |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 0 0 5  | Talc                          |
| 11    | 57.307     | 1.60643 | 296           | 357             | 3.90%         | 1 1 -17| Vermiculite                   |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 3 -1 -7|                               |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 2 4 6  | Azurite                        |
| 12    | 56.467     | 1.6283  | 97.7          | 159             | 1.30%         | 2 8 2  | Libethenite                   |
| 13    | 60.571     | 1.52744 | 144           | 206             | 1.9%          | 2 6 3  | actinolite                    |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 1 0 2  |                               |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 0 3 3  |                               |
| 14    | 61.2837    | 1.51124 | 75.6          | 137             | 1.0           | 0 6 1  | Vermiculite                   |
|       | 61.31912   | 1.51041 |               |                 |               | 3 3 1  | Vermiculite                   |
|       | 61.30665   | 1.50975 |               |                 |               | 3 3 0  | Vermiculite                   |
| 15    | 64.7481    | 1.43887 | 161           | 222             | 0.7%          | 7 1 -5 | Clinoptilolite                 |
|       | 69.100     | 1.35825 | 68.8          | 127             | 0.9           | 7 1 -4 |                               |
| 16    | 70.155     | 1.34039 | 63            | 121             | 0.80%         | 8 -2 -3| Bassanite                     |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 7 -1 -9|                               |
| 17    | 72.807     | 1.29797 | 64.7          | 134             | 0.9%          | 8 -2 -3|                               |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | 7 -1 -9|                               |
| 18    | 77.062     | 1.23656 | 25.2          | 73.8            | 0.3%          | 1 -1 -2| Gypsum                        |
| Index | Angle (2θ) | d Value | Net Intensity | Gross Intensity | Rel. Intensity | h k l | Mineral          |
|-------|------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-------|------------------|
| 0     | 2.481      | 35.5764 | 12440         | 64561           | 100%          | -     | -                |
| 1     | 6.1093     | 14.49468| 218           | 856             | 1.80%         | 0 0 2 | Vermiculite     |
| 2     | 23.007     | 3.86253 | 218           | 366             | 1.80%         | (2, 2, 0) | Alunogen |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (5, -1, -3) | Bassanite |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, -2, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (5, -1, -3) |         |
| 3     | 29.38      | 3.03763 | 2019          | 2167            | 16.20%        | (1, 1, 2) | Andalusite     |
| 4     | 31.421     | 2.8448  | 42.7          | 156             | 0.30%         | -     | Lizardite 1M    |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 0, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, 3, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (4, -2, -1) | Mirabilite |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, -4, -1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 0, -4) | Vermiculite |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, -1, -10) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 3, 2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 10, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 0, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 3, 2) | Alunogen |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 0, 2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (0, 1, 2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 1, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 3, 6) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, -4, -1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, 3, -1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 3, 2) | Quartz |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (5, -2, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 4, 2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (0, -2, 4) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (5, -1, -4) |         |
| 5     | 35.962     | 2.49529 | 265           | 377             | 2.10%         | -     | Talc            |
| 6     | 39.414     | 2.28431 | 384           | 482             | 3.10%         | -     | Laumontite      |
| 7     | 43.181     | 2.09335 | 305           | 401             | 2.50%         | -     | Lizardite 1M    |
| 8     | 47.178     | 1.92493 | 102           | 198             | 0.80%         | -     | Bassanite       |
| 9     | 47.54      | 1.91111 | 263           | 360             | 2.10%         | -     | Bassanite       |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (7, -3, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 7, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (0, 6, 2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, 5, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, 4, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 5, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (4, 2, 2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, -1, -17) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, -1, -7) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 2, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 1, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, -3, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, -5, -6) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (4, -5, -4) |         |
| 10    | 48.538     | 1.87411 | 321           | 414             | 2.60%         | -     | Alunogen        |
| 11    | 56.634     | 1.62392 | 37            | 117             | 0.30%         | -     | Alunogen        |
| 12    | 57.452     | 1.60272 | 124           | 211             | 1.00%         | -     | Quartz          |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 2, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 1, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, -3, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, -5, -6) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (4, -5, -4) |         |
| 13    | 60.752     | 1.52332 | 65.9          | 156             | 0.50%         | -     | Diopsore        |
| 14    | 64.751     | 1.43855 | 80.5          | 158             | 0.60%         | -     | Diopsore        |
| 15    | 65.727     | 1.41954 | 44            | 119             | 0.40%         | -     | Quartz          |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (0, 2, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, 0, 4) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (0, 1, 4) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 6, 1) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (0, 2, 4) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (6, 1, 0) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (2, 0, 4) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (4, -4, -6) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (11, -1, -9) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, -13, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, -13, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, 3, 7) |         |
| 16    | 73.032     | 1.29452 | 22.8          | 96.2            | 0.20%         | -     | Clinoptilolite  |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, -3, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, 3, 7) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (1, -3, -2) |         |
|       |            |         |               |                 |               | (3, 3, 7) |         |

Table 4
Analysis of the crystalline phases, d-spacing, and h, k, l values of pottery sample 1.03.
2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

The experimental methods and procedures that allowed the data here presented are described in References # [1,5–7] and cited references therein. Here, only the protocols for FTIR, PXRD, SEM morphological analysis, and TGA is provided, giving a large number of experimental details, usually omitted in research articles due to the words limit.

2.1. Study site and collection of pottery samples

The 42 samples (numbered 1.01, 1.02, 1.03, ........1.42) that were analyzed in this study were collected from four sites of Lake Petén Itzá, Guatemala, Central America; namely, Flores, Zacpetén, Ixlú, and Nixtun Ch’ich’ (Fig. 1). The samples were tagged with their exact location and the date notating when collected (as shown in Supplementary Information Table in Ref. #1). The samples exhibited a variety of colors; tan, red, and grey (as shown in Ref # [1]) while other pieces exhibited combinations of these colors.
2.2. Preparation of samples and analysis

Pottery samples were crushed to a fine consistency powder using a teflon mortar and pestle.

2.2.1. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) analysis

An adequate concentrated layer of sample was spread on an abrasive pad and slid into the Perkin-Elmer Diffuse Reflectance Accessory. A background scan was acquired prior to acquisition of sample spectral data. In contrast to spectral data acquired via abrasive pads, energy sticks yielded absorption peaks of low intensity. A Perkin Elmer Station 100 with a CsI beam splitter

Fig. 4. EDX elemental analysis spectra of pottery sample number 1.17 (A), 1.18 (B), 1.19 (C), 1.20 (D), 1.21 (E), 1.24 (F), 1.31 (G).

Fig. 4 (Cont’d): EDX elemental analysis spectra of pottery sample number 1.19 (C), 1.20 (D) are continued to next page.
(C) Sample 1.19

(D) 1.20

Fig. 4. Continued
(E) 1.21

(F) 1.24

Fig. 4. Continued
scanning in the range 230 - 4000 cm\(^{-1}\) was used to acquire infrared spectra at a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\). Four or more scans were run per sample. Fig. 2A - J depict FTIR spectra of all samples.

2.2.2. Powder x-ray diffraction (PXRD) and scanning electron microscopy/energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDX) analysis

Powder XRD analysis was performed in the 2\(\theta\) range of 2\(^o\) - 90\(^o\) on a Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer equipped with an X-ray tube (Cu K\(\alpha\) radiation: \(\lambda = 1.54060 \text{ Å}, 40 \text{ kV}, \text{ and } 40 \text{ mA}\) using a Ni filter equipped with a one-dimensional high-speed energy-dispersive LYNXEYE XE-T detector at scanning speed of 2 \(^o\)/min and 0.0125 \(^o\) step sizes and a 1s/step.

The crystalline structure peaks were identified using the software TOPAS [3]. Samples were analyzed against 120 crystal structures in two batches of 50 each. Crystalline phases with abundance < 1.0% were removed. The pooled spectra were analyzed a second time and crystals under 1.0% were removed. After analysis of 120 crystal structures and the removal of low crystalline phases, a comprehensive list of pooled crystals was created with percentages \( \geq 0.5\%\). Select powder XRD patterns (reported in Ref # [1]) and their hkl values and the crystalline phases present in pottery samples are presented in Tables 2, 3, and 4 for representative samples numbered 1.01, 1.02 and 1.03).

A Hitachi S2300 SEM was used to obtain micrographs. To minimize electrical charging, samples were sputter coated with Pd/Au. A JEOL-6100 SEM/EDX attachment and a tungsten filament was used to acquire sample composition. The detector (SiriusSD) is based on Silicon drift sensor technology and was kept at -20\(^\circ\)C. The working distance and voltages used were set at 15 mm, and 20 kV, respectively. An analysis period of 120 seconds was run per sample in order to lower the signal to noise ratio. Fig. 3A, 3B, 3C, and 3D depict SEM micrographs for selected samples numbered 1.13, 1.24, 1.31, and 1.35.
Fig. 5. Representative TGA and derivative TGA (DTGA) curves of pottery sherd samples 1.17 (A), and 1.39 (B) acquired under a N₂ atmosphere. The samples were heated at 20°C/minute.

2.3. Morphological characterization of pottery samples

The pottery sherds were air dried, crushed with mortar and pestle, and analyzed with JEOL-JSM 6100 scanning electron microscope equipped with a Horiba energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM/EDX) with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. The surface morphology, particle diameters of samples were measured at X300, and X400 magnifications (Fig. 3A, 3B, 3C and 3D). Powder XRD patterns (previously reported in Ref. # [1], Supplementary Figure S4) and their hkl values was used to identify the crystalline structural phases present in pottery sample sherds (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Fig. 4 depicts the EDX elemental analysis of select samples numbered 1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20, 1.21, 1.24, and 1.31. Except for sample # 1.31, reported in Ref. # [1], corresponding %wt/wt of elemental compositions for all other samples are shown in Table 1.
2.4. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) analysis

Approximately 20 mg of finely crushed pottery sample was placed onto a sample holder cup. A Perkin Elmer TGA thermogravimetric simultaneous thermal analyzer (STA 6000) at 20°C/min heating rates in a nitrogen atmosphere in the range 33°C - 1000°C. Fig. 5 depicts the TGA and DTGA graphs of representative samples numbered 1.17, and 1.39.
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