Effect of Governance Indicators on Under-Five Mortality in OECD Nations: Generalized Method of Moments
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Abstract

Introduction: Today, it is recognized that factors other than health services are involved in health improvement and decreased inequality so identifying them is the main concern of policy makers and health authorities. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of governance indicators on health outcomes.

Methods: A panel data study was conducted to investigate the effect of governance indicators on child mortality rate in 27 OECD countries from 1996 to 2012 using the Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) model and EVIEWS.8 software.

Results: According to the results obtained, under-five mortality rate was significantly related to all of the research variables (p < 0.05). One percent increase in under-five mortality in the previous period resulted in a 0.83% increase in the mortality rate in the next period, and a 1% increase in total fertility rate, increased the under-five mortality rate by 0.09%. In addition, a 1% increase in GDP per capita decreased the under-five mortality rate by 0.07%, and a 1% improvement in control of corruption and rule of law indicators decreased child mortality rate by 0.05 and 0.08%, respectively. Furthermore, 1% increase in public health expenditure per capita resulted in a 0.03% decrease in under-five mortality rate.

Conclusion: The results of the study suggest that considering control variables, including GDP per capita, public health expenditure per capita, total fertility rate, and improvement of governance indicators (control of corruption and rule of law) would decrease the child mortality rate.
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1. Introduction

According to programs of the World Health Organization (WHO), all nations around the world are committed to children's health in the form of “Health for All” by the year 2000, and half of the nations are obliged to decrease under-five mortality rate to two-thirds of the current rate by 2015 (1,2). Considerations related to human development indicators, the objective expression of which could be observed in millennium development goals of the United Nations, have persuaded governments to supply a great part of expenditures related to these indicators. Another important reason of government's involvement in health expenditures is having better impact of the public sector relative to the private sector (3). In traditional economics texts, there are different views on the effect of different government expenditures on improvement of development indicators, and different results have been obtained in this regard. Some of these results suggest that government expenditures have no positive effect on related variables. Lack of effect or minimal effect of government expenditures on development indicators has two main justifications, i.e., 1) increasing government expenditures results in "crowding out" effect that leads to the replacement of public sector with private sector, which decreases the effect of increase in government expenditures
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and 2) the lack of fruition of government expenditures because of bad governance that is the subject of the current study as well (4). Good governance is one of important and new issues in development literature that has been suggested since 1980 (5). According to the definition presented by the United Nations development program, good governance is management of public affairs based on the rule of law, efficient and fair judiciary system, and extensive participation of people in the process of governance. In other words, the more the rule of law is in effect, the more effective and fair the judiciary system becomes and the more people participate in the governance of the nation, resulting in better governance in that nation (6). The World Bank defines good governance based on six indicators, i.e., 1) voice and accountability, 2) political stability, 3) effectiveness and efficiency of government, 4) regulatory quality, 5) rule of law, and 6) control of corruption. Nations are scored between 2.5 and -2.5 or zero and 100 and higher score suggests better outcomes for that country (7-9). In nations with weak governance, public resources are wasted and are not converted into social investment; while these could result in favorable social outcomes, such as better education and health services for children (10). In addition, in various studies, it has been verified that there is a strong cause-and-effect relationship between good governance and better development outcomes, including higher per capita income, lower mortality, and longer life expectancy (9, 11-15).

2. Material and Methods
This was an analytical study and in the form of panel data model, i.e., a combination of time series and cross-section data that investigated the effect of governance indicators (control of corruption and rule of law) on under-five mortality rate in OECD member countries. The study samples were 27 members of OECD countries, i.e., Austria, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, England, the USA, Italy, Japan, New Zealand, Finland, Poland, Australia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Hungary, South Korea, and the Slovak Republic. Data related to governance indicators of nations, per capita income, under-five mortality rate, public health expenditure per capita, and fertility rate were extracted from the World Bank. Since the data were dynamic panel type, the Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) method and EVIEWS.8 software were used.

To estimate the model, first, an appropriate instrumental variable should be specified, and, in this case, the instrument was a lagged dependent variable. In this method, the reliability of the model was examined using the Sargan test. The null hypothesis of this test is based on the lack of correlation between error terms and instrumental variables. In addition, the reliability of the GMM estimation was related to lack of first and second order autocorrelation, where Arellano and Bond tests were conducted for first order autoregressive in the first differences. The null hypothesis was based on the lack of autocorrelation of differenced residuals. To use this method, the number of sections (N) should be greater than the number of time periods (T) (N > T); in this study, the number of countries (27) was greater than the time periods (17). In this study, of six governance indicators, control of corruption and rule of law were selected to investigate their effects on outcomes of the health sector in the countries under study. The variables used were as follows:

- mru5: Under-five mortality rate that points to child mortality per 1,000 live births who die before reaching age five (16, 17)
- pgdp: Per capita income (PPP$)
- phe: Public health expenditure per capita
- cc: Control of corruption indicator
- rl: Rule of law indicator
- fer: Total fertility rate

Considering other studies conducted on factors that affect child mortality, some of the other factors were included in the model, i.e., improved water sources, female literacy rate, immunization rate, improved sanitation facilities, and physicians per capita. Finally, these variables were not statistically significant, and they were excluded from the model. One of the reasons the coefficients of the mentioned variables were not significant was that, in the countries we investigated, improved water sources, improved sanitation facilities, and immunization rate were constant over 98% within the study period. Finally, by transforming variables into logarithmic forms, the following model was estimated based on GMM:

\[
\log(mru5)_{i,t} = \alpha_0 \log(mru5)_{i,t-1} + \alpha_1 \log(pgdp)_{i,t} + \alpha_2 \log(phe)_{i,t} + \alpha_3 \log(cc)_{i,t} + \alpha_4 \log(rl)_{i,t} + \alpha_5 \log(fer)_{i,t} + u_{i,t}
\]

where the i and t subscripts denote country and time, respectively.
3. Results
According to the results of Table 1, in countries under study, under-five mortality rate in previous period and fertility rate have a significant positive effect (p < 0.05) on under-five mortality rate. While GDP per capita, public health expenditure per capita, and governance indicators, including control of corruption and rule of law, have a significant negative effect on under-five mortality rate (p < 0.05). One percent increase in both under-five mortality rate in the previous period and the fertility rate increases the under-five mortality rate in the current period by 0.83 and 0.09%, respectively. In addition, a 1% increase in GDP per capita, a 1% improvement in the control of corruption indicator, a 1% improvement of rule of law indicator, and a 1% increase in public health expenditure per capita, all of which resulted in 0.07, 0.05, 0.08, and 0.03% decreases in the under-five mortality rate, respectively. Based on the results of Table 1, in this model, Sargan test statistic was obtained as 0.21, which shows the lack of correlation. Based on the results of Sargan test, instrumental variables are verified to be suitable. In other words, there was no correlation between instruments and error terms. Based on the Arellano and Bond tests, error terms should have first-order serial correlation AR (1), not a second-order serial correlation. In this model, first order AR (1) and second order AR (2) residuals correlation test (Arellano and Bond test) confirmed the results of estimated model based on GMM in 5% (Table 2).

| Variables | Coefficient | t-statistic | Prob. |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Lmru5 (-1) | 0.835109 | 88.21291 | 0.0000 |
| Lpgdp | -0.074742 | -6.989014 | 0.0000 |
| Lphce | -0.033084 | -18.05275 | 0.0000 |
| Lfer | 0.099957 | 3.885371 | 0.0000 |
| Lcc | -0.059643 | -2.216710 | 0.0027 |
| Lrl | -0.087052 | -4.652295 | 0.0000 |
| Sargan test | 0.212470; (p<0.05) | |

4. Discussion
According to the research results, increase in GDP per capita decreases child mortality rate. In most studies, it has been shown that income has a great impact on health status. It could affect health status considerably through improving water sources, food and health services (18-23). Other studies suggest that there is a negative relation between mortality rate and GDP in such a way that by having more expenditure on health services, more attention to mother's nutrition, pre-natal and post-partum care, and early life of infants would provide the result of lowering the child mortality rate (1, 12, 18-25). In studies conducted by Baldacci, Murray, Filmer, Pritchett, Kim, and Gwatkin, per capita income was recognized as one of the indicators that affect the child mortality rate (12, 18-23, 25). Also, based on the results of the current study, increase in per capita public health expenditure decreases child mortality rate. In other studies, the effect of public health expenditure on health sector outcomes has been low, because of the negative effect of increasing public health expenditure on development of private health sector (18, 25, 26). For instance, Filmer and Pritchett showed that the effect of health expenditure on under-five mortality rate was minimal and non-significant, and they concluded that health expenditure did not play a significant role in decreasing child mortality rate (18). However, in general, many researchers have verified the effect of public health expenditure on health outcomes (22, 27-30). In addition, as expected, increase in fertility rate has a positive and significant effect on higher child mortality rate. Results of this study comply with the results of a study conducted by Baldacci (25). Based on the results obtained, improving the quality of governance indicators (control of corruption and rule of law) has a negative effect on child mortality rate. Therefore, the results of studies by Kaufmann, Virmani, Lewis, Farag, and Olafsdottir confirmed the effect of governance on increasing health expenditure efficiency (9, 12-15). Furthermore, in different studies, a strong cause-and-effect relationship between good governance and better development outcomes, including higher per capita income, lower mortality, and higher life expectancy, has been established (9, 11-15). Investigating the effect of governance variables on health status, Kaufmann et al. used variables such as transparency, responsiveness, liability of codifications, and rule of law. The results showed that improving these variables was negatively related to child mortality (7). Murray et al. concluded that health
expenditure has a significant effect on decreasing under-five mortality rate. The effect size of health expenditure on selected health outcomes is related to governance of that country, and good governance increases the effectiveness of health expenditures (17). Rajkumar and Swaroop demonstrated that in countries with weak governance, public expenditure has a minimal effect on outcomes; while in countries with good governance, public health expenditures have a significant effect on education and child mortality rate (11). Sabagh Kermani and Basakha used corruption and bureaucracy quality indicators as variables showing governance status and demonstrated that increasing educational and health expenditure was not always effective; however, in countries with better governance status, increasing these expenditures has had a greater impact on health and education indicators. In other words, improving governance indicators has enhanced the performance of health and education expenditures (4).

5. Conclusions
The findings of this study showed that increase in GDP per capita, public health expenditure per capita, and improving governance indicators of government, namely control of corruption and rule of law, have a negative effect, while fertility rate has a positive effect on child mortality rate in the current period. In addition, the positive relationship between child mortality rate in the current period and the previous period demonstrates that the more a country attempts to improve health status and decrease child mortality rate (as an indicator of health status) in the current period, the less child mortality becomes in a later period because of improvement in health status. The practical importance of these findings lies in the fact that governance status of nations affects health outcomes beside socio-economic and health factors. It is suggested that researchers consider governance quality indicators along with socio-economic and health factors to investigate improvement of health indicators and welfare in less developed or developing countries. In addition, conducting complementary research to consider the effect of each one of governance indicators could be a suitable path for later studies.
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