Small-angle neutron scattering study of the vortex lattice in superconducting LuNi$_2$B$_2$C
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We present studies of the magnetic field distribution around the vortices in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C. Small-angle neutron scattering measurements of the vortex lattice (VL) in this material were extended to unprecedentedly large values of the scattering vector $q$, obtained both by using high magnetic fields to decrease the VL spacing and by using higher order reflections. A square VL, oriented with the nearest neighbor direction along the crystalline [110] direction, was observed up to the highest measured field. The first-order VL form factor, $|F(q_{10})|$, was found to decrease exponentially with increasing magnetic field. Measurements of the higher order form factors, $|F(q_{hk})|$, reveal a significant in-plane anisotropy and also allow for a real-space reconstruction of the VL field distribution.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Qt, 74.25.Op, 74.70.Dd, 61.05.fg

I. INTRODUCTION

The magnetic field distribution due to the vortex lattice (VL) in type-II superconductors depends on the detailed nature of the superconducting state and on the properties of the host material. Examples of different field profiles are evident if one considers the results of calculations based on different theoretical models for the superconducting state.$^{1,2,3,4}$ Experimentally one often seeks to parameterize the field modulation in terms of two characteristic length scales: the penetration depth ($\lambda$) and the coherence length ($\xi$). Such an approach provides a simplified method of analyzing the results of measurements of penetration depth ($\lambda$) and coherence length ($\xi$). In addition to the simplification, such an approach also requires the implicit acceptance of a particular theoretical model while, in many cases, violating its premises by for example using the Ginzburg-Landau model to extract a field dependent penetration depth and coherence length.$^{2,4}$

In this paper we will describe a more complete, model-independent analysis of SANS measurements of the VL in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C, extended significantly beyond the first order Bragg reflection, which is customarily the only one measured. Measurements of a large number of reflections allows for a real-space reconstruction of the VL magnetic field profile, which will be discussed in relation to the significant in-plane anisotropy of this material caused to the Fermi surface and the anisotropic pairing in the superconducting state.$^{13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20}$ To the best of our knowledge only very limited efforts have been undertaken in measuring higher order VL reflections, the most notable exception being the work on Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ by Kealey et al.$^2$

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

LuNi$_2$B$_2$C is a non-magnetic member of the rare-earth nickelborocarbide family of superconductors with a critical temperature of $T_c = 16.6$ K.$^2$ The single crystal used in the SANS experiment was grown by a high temperature flux method$^{22}$ using isotopically enriched $^{11}$B to reduce neutron absorption, and subsequently annealed to improve quality and reduce vortex pinning.$^{22}$ The sample had a mass of $\sim 1$ g and a disc-like crypto-morphology with the $c$ axis parallel to the thin direction.

The experiment was performed at the D11 SANS instrument at the Institut Laue-Langevin. Incident neutrons with wavelength $\lambda_n = 0.45$ nm and wavelength spread of $\Delta \lambda_n/\lambda_n = 10\%$ were used, and the VL diffraction pattern was collected by a position sensitive detector. Measurements were performed at 2 K in horizontal magnetic field between 0 and 6 T, applied parallel to both the crystalline $c$ axis and the incoming beam of neutrons. Two different magnetic-field-temperature histories were employed: Field cooled (FC) from a temperature above $T_c$, and zero field cooled (ZFC) followed by an increase of the magnetic field at 2 K.

III. RESULTS

Here we present SANS imaging of the VL in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C to an unprecedentedly high field of 5.5 T corresponding to 75% of the upper critical field, $H_{c2}(2 \mathrm{~K}) = 7.3$ T.$^{24,25,26}$ At all fields a square VL was observed as shown in Fig. II(a). An indexing of the VL Bragg reflections is shown in Fig. II(b). The different reflections are located at a distance from the center of the detector which is proportional to their scattering vector, $q_{hk} = (h^2 + k^2)^{1/2} q_0.$
where \( q_0 = 2\pi(B/\phi_0)^{1/2} \) and \( \phi_0 = h/2e = 2070 \text{ Tnm}^2 \) is the flux quantum. With increasing field, the VL Bragg peaks move out in reciprocal space and their intensities decrease, and as a consequence fewer peaks are visible. At 5 T and above only the \( \{10\} \)-reflections are observed. Measurements performed at 6 T were used for background subtraction. While this is below \( H_{c2} \) no scattering from the VL could be observed at this field. Furthermore, the detailed measurement in Fig. 4 show that at \( q_{10}(6 \text{ T}) = 0.34 \text{ nm}^{-1} \) the extrapolated (10) intensity is more than an order of magnitude smaller than that of any observed reflection at lower fields at the same \( q \).

A. Vortex lattice symmetry and orientation

At low applied magnetic fields the VL in LuNi\(_2\)B\(_2\)C undergoes a field-driven symmetry- and reorientation transition\(^{27,28}\). This two-step transition arises due to the growing importance of the Fermi surface anisotropy coupled with non-local electrodynamics as the vortex density increases.\(^{22}\) At higher fields, it has been proposed theoretically that thermal vortex fluctuations may lead to a re-entrance of the square VL phase.\(^{30}\) A similar re-entrance was also predicted by Nakai et al., who considered a case where competing superconducting gap and Fermi surface anisotropies both favor a square VL, but oriented at 45° with respect to one another.\(^{21}\) In addition to the re-entrance of the square VL phase stabilized by the Fermi surface anisotropy, this model predicts a 45° rotated square VL phase at even higher fields due to the gap anisotropy.

Experimentally we found that above 5 T the VL reflections broaden significantly in the azimuthal direction. While this could be due to the onset of reentrance of the square VL phase (transition back into a rhombic symmetry)\(^{32,33}\) no splitting into two peaks was seen, and therefore the broadening may also simply be due to a disordering of the vortex lattice. No indication of a 45° VL rotation was observed. Whereas this does not exclude such a transition at even higher fields it significantly reduces the fraction of the \( HT \)-phase diagram where it can occur. This result therefore imposes significant constraints on the model parameters used in the calculations described above.

B. Vortex lattice reflectivity and form factor

Measuring the intensity of the VL reflections as the sample is rotated around the vertical axis to satisfy the Bragg condition, provides rocking curves as the ones shown in Fig. [2]. In addition to the strongest (10) reflection, the figure shows the (32) rocking curve which was the highest order reflection visible at a field of 0.5 T. The intensity of these two reflections differ by a factor of 3000. The longer scattering vector for the (32) reflection, \( q_{32} = \sqrt{13} q_0 \), is evident by the larger rotation angle necessary to satisfy the Bragg condition. To obtain the VL reflectivity, the integrated intensity was determined by fitting a Voigt function to each rocking curve and normalizing the area to the incident beam intensity. Compared to other functional forms (e.g., Gaussian or Lorentzian) the Voigt was found to provide a significantly better fit to the data. The difference in the Lorentz factor (angle between the scattering vector and the vertical plane) and the Gaussian factor (strength of the Gaussian relative to the Lorentzian) are shown in Table 1.
rotation axis) for the two reflections gives rise to a difference in the width of the two rocking curves, as they are cutting through the Ewald sphere at different incident angles. The integrated intensity for all reflections is corrected for this effect. What ultimately limits how many VL Bragg peaks can be imaged is the vanishing intensity and imperfect background subtraction as seen for the (32)-reflection in Fig. 2. Here significant background variation is clearly evident, even leading to an apparent shoulder on the VL rocking curve. While this could be interpreted as being due to a second VL domain, this is clearly not the case since a similar shoulder is not seen on the (10) rocking curve.

With the strong VL peaks in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C, especially at low fields as shown in Fig. 3, it is necessary to consider whether multiple scattering is affecting the measured intensities. Four multiple scattering processes can affect the measured intensity of a given Bragg reflection: (i) Extinction by scattering back into the incident beam, (ii) a diminished incident beam intensity due to scattering into other reflections, (iii) scattering into other reflections (“aufhellung”), and (iv) scattering from other reflections (“umweganregung”). The first 3 processes decrease the intensity of the specific reflection whereas the last one increases it. Furthermore for (ii) to (iv) to occur multiple VL reflections must satisfy the Bragg scattering condition simultaneously. Since the effects of multiple scattering depend on the magnitude of the reflectivity, the (10), (01) and (11) reflections, which peak at the same sample rotation angle, are the most likely to be affected. Following Moon and Shull and taking into account the simplification presented by the SANS geometry, one finds that to leading order the corrections due to multiple scattering are $P_{10}/P_0 = R_{10} + R_{10}R_{11}$ and $P_{11}/P_0 = R_{11} + R_{10}^2$. Here $P_{10}$ and $P_{11}$ are the measured scattered power, $P_0$ is the measured power of the transmitted incident beam, and $R_{10}$ and $R_{11}$ are the intrinsic VL reflectivities. Taking the peak intensity of the (10) reflection at 0.5 T from Fig. 2 ($\approx 9 \times 10^6$ cts./std. mon.) yields $P_{10}/P_0 = 0.3\%$. Using the measured $P_{11}/P_{10} = (0.6)^2 \approx 0.4$ (see Fig. 4 below) and the above expressions, we find that the difference between the normalized scattering and the reflectivity is less than 1% for both the (10) and (11) reflections and thus insignificant compared to the typical error of 20% with which the scattering powers can be measured.

The reflectivity is proportional to the square modulus of the VL form factor $F(q_{hk})$, which is the Fourier transform at wave vector $q_{hk}$ of the two-dimensional magnetic flux modulation of the VL. The reflectivity and the form factor for a given reflection is related by

$$R_{hk} = \frac{2\pi\gamma^2\lambda^2 t}{16\phi^2 q_{hk}} |F(q_{hk})|^2,$$

(1)

where $\gamma = 1.91$ is the neutron gyromagnetic ratio, $t$ is the sample thickness, and $q_{hk}$ is the magnitude of the scattering vector. Since the vortex spacing, and consequently $q$, depends on the magnetic field ($q \propto \sqrt{H}$), this allows $|F(q)|$ to be determined continuously over wide range of scattering vectors using SANS. In the following section we will focus on the field dependence of the first-order VL form factor, $|F(q_{10})|^2$, and in Section III D on the higher order form factors, $|F(q_{hk})|^2$.

C. Field dependence of $|F(q_{10})|$

Using the integrated intensity obtained from rocking curves, such as the ones shown in Fig. 2, and utilizing Eq. 1, one obtains the field dependence of the VL form factor of the (10)-reflections shown in Fig. 3. Within the experimental error, field cooling and zero field cooling produce identical results; this is indicative of very low pining in the sample which is due in part to the post-growth annealing.

Several models exist for the form factor field dependence. By far the simplest model is based on the London model, extended by a Gaussian cut-off to take into account the finite extent of the vortex cores.

$$F(q) = \frac{B}{1 + (\lambda q)^2} e^{-c(|q|^2)},$$

(2)

Here $\lambda$ and $\xi$ are respectively the penetration depth and coherence length, and the constant $c$ is typically taken to be between 1/4 and 2. As shown by the solid line in Fig. 3, the measured form factor is well fitted by this model which corresponds to a simple exponential decrease with increasing field. Since for all the fields applied $(\lambda q)^2 \gg 1$ the prefactor in Eq. 2 reduces to $\phi_0/(2\pi\lambda)^2$. This is in agreement with our earlier results, but here...
extended to significantly higher fields. Using $c = 1/2$ the exponential fit to the form factor yields $\lambda = 90.7$ nm and $\xi = 8.22$ nm. This value for the coherence length is in excellent agreement with previous results. The penetration depth is about 15% shorter which is consistent with an improvement of the sample quality by annealing. The value of $\xi$ is also in reasonable agreement with the estimate based on the upper critical field, $\xi_{c2} = 6.7$ nm.

A more rigorous model for the form factor field dependence was obtained by Clem by including an effective core radius $\xi$, and solving the Ginzburg-Landau model. This was later extended by Hao and Clem to include the suppression of the bulk order parameter due to vortex overlap. Fits to both of these models are shown in Fig. 3. The most noticeable difference between the two models is the s-shaped form factor of the Hao model, and the significant downturn at higher fields due to the proximity to the upper critical field $H_{c2}$. What is also clear from Fig. 3 is that the form factor is somewhat better described by the London model ($\chi^2 = 0.14$) compared to either the Clem ($\chi^2 = 0.62$) or Hao ($\chi^2 = 1.20$) models, and furthermore that the Clem model returns unrealistic values for $\lambda$ and $\xi$. In this regard, it should also be pointed out that the Hao model has in general been shown to be a poor approximation to exact, numerical solutions of the Ginzburg-Landau model. The field dependence of the VL form factor in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C, which is commonly considered to be a relatively simple superconductor, emphasize the point that any analysis of bulk measurements based on a particular theoretical model for the VL must be done with the utmost care. Finally, it is clear that measurements of $F(q_{10})$ alone provides limited insight into the VL field distribution.

The VL form factors for all measured reflections and fields are summarized in Fig. 4. In both the London and Clem model the only field dependence of $F(q_{hk})/H$ comes through the magnitude of the scattering vector, $q_{hk}$, and therefore the form factors would be expected to collapse onto a single curve. This is not observed for LuNi$_2$B$_2$C; instead, the form factor follows a different exponential field dependence, as shown for $|F(q_{11})|/H$ and $|F(q_{11})|/H$. Likewise the data does not agree with the Hao model, which predicts that for a given $q$ the form factor should increase with decreasing field (larger indices $h$ and $k$) and converge towards the value given by the Clem model and observed in niobium. Rather we observe that while $|F(q_{11})|/H$ does indeed lie above $|F(q_{11})|/H$, other higher order form factors fall in-between these two limiting curves.

D. Higher order form factors, $|F(q_{hk}|$

The deviation from the theoretical predictions is also evident if one considers the field dependence of the form factor ratio $|F(q_{11})/F(q_{10})|$ shown in Fig. 4. At a field of 0.5 T, all the models predict a value of $\sim 0.3$, decreasing monotonically by a factor between two and four as the field in increased to 4 T. It should however be noted that numerical calculations for a square VL in an s-wave superconductor predict a largely field independent value of $|F(q_{11})/F(q_{10})|$ which is in agreement with the experimental results presented here.

The failure of the theoretical models to describe the measured form factors is not surprising when one keeps in mind that they were all derived assuming the screening current plane to be isotropic. It is well known that LuNi$_2$B$_2$C, as well as the other members of the rare earth nickelborocarbide superconductors, possesses a significant in-plane anisotropy. The four-fold, in-plane anisotropy manifests itself most strongly in the experimental data in Fig. 3 when comparing the $[110]$
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IV. DISCUSSION

While it would be straightforward to incorporate an
in-plane penetration depth anisotropy into the models
discussed above, our emphasis here will be on a model-
free determination of the VL field modulation.

A. Real-space field reconstruction

With the VL form factor being simply the Fourier
transform of the magnetic field modulation, the real
space field distribution can be obtained from the mea-
sured form factors by

\[ B(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{hk} F(q_{hk}) e^{i q_{hk} \cdot \mathbf{r}}. \]  

(3)

In the case of the VL, the so-called phase problem, aris-
ing from the fact that only the magnitude \(|F(q_{hk})|\)
is measured, is greatly simplified. As the magnetic field
variation around any vortex exhibits inversion symmetry
\((B(-\mathbf{r}) = B(\mathbf{r}))\), the form factor must be real and the
phase problem thus reduces to a sign problem. Within
the London model the sign on all form factors is expected
to be the same, which will be chosen as positive
and corresponds to having the vortex at the center of
the unit cell. In contrast, the Ginzburg-Landau model
predicts both positive and negative signs for the form
factor, determined by the indices \(h\) and \(k\) according to
\((-1)^{h^2+k^2+2hk}\). Note that \(F(q_{00})\) is simply the applied
magnetic field \(\mu_0 H\). As shown in Fig. 5(a,b) the field re-
construction obtained from Eq. (3) differs significantly
depending on which sign scheme is used. Given that
the measurements were performed at a temperature and
field much below both \(T_c\) and \(H_{c2}\), one would expect the
London sign scheme to apply. This is supported by com-
paring the field dependence of \(|F(q_{01})/F(q_{00})|\), shown in
Fig. 5 to the numerical work (Fig. 10) by M. Ichikawa et al. [2].
This shows how the ratio is expected to decrease with increasing field, with the sign change separating the
London from the Ginzburg-Landau regime occurring at
\(H \approx 1/2 H_{c2}\) for their choice of model parameters.
In comparison, the ratio \(|F(q_{02})/F(q_{00})|\) in Fig. 5 extrapolates to zero at \(H = 1/3 H_{c2}\). Further support for the
choice of all positive form factor signs is obtained by cal-
culating the magnetic field distribution function shown in
Fig. 5(c), and comparing the results to muon spin rota-
tion (\(\mu\)SR) experiments [8]. Finally, using the field distribu-
tion \((B_\text{peak} - B_\text{min} = 1.79 \text{ mT})\) and Eqs. (12) and (13)
from ref. 8 yields an estimate for the penetration depth
\(\lambda = 88.6 \text{ nm}\,\text{nm}\), in excellent agreement with our fit to the
London model.

B. Basal plane anisotropy

As stated earlier LuNi\(_2\)B\(_2\)C possesses a substan-
tial basal plane anisotropy arising both from the Fermi surface[9,10,11,12] as well as the superconducting
pairing[13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20]. Thermal conductivity mea-
surements indicate a gap minima, or possibly even
nodes, along \(\langle 100\rangle\) leading to theoretical specula-
tions of a \((s + g)\) pairing symmetry[16]. Still the dominating
anisotropy appears to be due to the Fermi surface, as
indicated by the square VL configuration and discussed
in Section III A.

A measure of the in-plane anisotropy can be obtained
from the SANS results by simply calculating the cur-
rent flow around the vortices from the field reconstruc-
tion in Fig. 6(a) using \(\mu_0 \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}\). Fig. 6 shows \(|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r})|\)
along the VL nearest neighbor direction as well as the
VL unit cell diagonal. In cases like this, one frequently
uses an operational definition of the coherence length \((\xi)\)
as the distance from the vortex center to the maximum
current[2,12]. From Fig. 6 it is clear that \(\xi\) differs for the
two directions shown. The inset to Fig. 6 shows \(\xi\) in the
basal (screening current) plane. It is striking that the
minimum \(\xi\) is observed along the nodal \(\langle 100\rangle\)-directions,
where one would naively expect the weakest pairing and
hence the largest \(\xi\). It is important to emphasize that
the field reconstruction is robust in the sense that the
anisotropy of \(\xi\) does not change (although the absolute
numerical values do) if one varies the measured form factors
even well beyond the typical 10% experimental error
of their values. Rather, our result follows directly from
the unusually large ratio \(|F(q_{11})/F(q_{10})|\) in LuNi\(_2\)B\(_2\)C.
Finally we also note that the \(\xi\) variation cannot be ex-
plained by a simple “squeezing” effect since the value
along the nearest neighbor direction \(\langle 110\rangle\) is larger than
that along the VL diagonal \(\langle 100\rangle\).
We speculate that our result may share a common origin with recent scanning tunneling microscopy measurements on iso-structural YNi$_2$B$_2$C. These measurements showed a fourfold-symmetric star shaped vortex core extending in the ⟨100⟩-directions at zero energy but splitting into 4 peaks and effectively rotating the vortex shape by 45° at higher energies within the superconducting gap. From this it is clear that the best agreement is achieved with an anisotropic superconducting gap (d-wave or anisotropic s-wave) combined with a Fermi surface anisotropy. Further numerical work to optimize the agreement between the experimental and calculated results should provide valuable input to calculations of VL configuration, such as the one in Ref. [31], and result in a more realistic VL phase diagram for LuNi$_2$B$_2$C.

FIG. 6: (Color online) Real space magnetic field reconstruction from the measured VL form factors at 0.5 T and 2 K, using the London (a) and Ginzburg-Landau (b) sign schemes. In both cases an equidistant contour spacing of 5 mT was used, with the lowest contour at respectively 493 mT (a) and 486 mT (b). In both cases the amplitude of the field modulation is 48.9 mT or roughly 10% of the average (applied) magnetic field. Four VL unit cells are shown, with a vortex spacing of 64.3 nm corresponding to an applied field of $\mu_0 H = 0.5$ T. As discussed in the text the true field reconstruction is with all form factors positive (a). Note that the field reconstruction images are rotated 45° with respect to Fig. 1 so that the {110}-directions are now horizontal/vertical. Panel (c) shows the magnetic field distribution function for the two different field reconstructions.

FIG. 7: (Color online) Supercurrent density as a function of distance from the vortex center, along the VL nearest neighbor vortex direction (crystalline [110] axis) and the VL diagonal (crystalline [100] axis). The inset shows the value of $\xi_J$ (distance of maximum current) in the basal plane. To visually emphasize the four-fold anisotropy, a circle with radius $\xi_J^{[100]}$ is also shown (dashed line).

V. SUMMARY

To summarize we have performed comprehensive SANS measurements of the VL in LuNi$_2$B$_2$C, thus ending the common but unsatisfactory practice of discarding all but the (1,0) reflection. The measurements confirmed the existence of a square VL up to 75% of $H_{c2}$. The first-order VL form factor, $|F(q_{10})|$, was found to decrease exponentially with increasing magnetic field, in agreement with the generalized London model but not with the supposedly more realistic models for the VL field distribution. Measurements of higher order form factors, $F(q_{ik})$, and the real-space reconstruction of the VL field modulation, provide a qualitative measure of the in-plane anisotropy. This will therefore serve as important input to future theoretical work.

Similar measurement and analysis should be performed on other members of the nickelborocarbides; indeed on any other superconductor where enough higher order reflections are measurable. In this regard, the results presented here will serve as a reference for future work.
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