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Abstract
Cancer cases have increased significantly in Brazil and worldwide, with cutaneous melanoma (CM) being responsible for nearly 57,000 deaths in the world. Thus, this review article aims at exploring and proposed hypotheses with respect to the possibility that RA can be a promising and alternative compound to be used as an adjuvant in melanoma treatment, acting on purinergic signaling. The scarcity of articles evidencing the action of this compound in this signaling pathway requires further studies. Considering diverse evidence found in the literature, we hypothesize that RA can be an effective candidate for the treatment of CM acting as a modulating molecule of purinergic cellular pathway through P2X7 blocking, mitigating the Warburg effect, and as antagonistic molecule of the P2Y12 receptor, reducing the formation of adhesive molecules that prevent adherence in tumor cells. In this way, our proposals for CM treatment based on targeting purinergic signaling permeate the integral practice, going from intracell to extracell. Undoubtedly, much is still to be discovered and elucidated about this promising compound, this paper being an interesting work baseline to support more research studies.
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Introduction
Globally, 1 out of 5 individuals develops cancer during their lifetime, which suggests that more than 50 million people are living 5 years after a cancer diagnosis, the cutaneous melanoma (CM) type being responsible for nearly 57,000 deaths in the world [1]. In addition, this is one of the most aggressive types among the cutaneous tumors due to its highly metastatic and low survival rates [2].

In Brazil, skin cancer has presented expressive growth in the last years, corresponding to 27% of all the malignant tumors, and CM is responsible for 8400 new cases per year, with more incidence in the South of the country [3, 4]. This increased number of cases has been linked to excessive sunbathing, due to harms by ultraviolet (UV) radiation [5].

To treat this disease, drugs that lead to unwanted side effects are used; in addition, none shows efficient mechanisms to avoid lethal progression of the pathology [6]. Biochemical therapy has been indicated as a promising adjuvant strategic management [7], but the development of new options is crucial so that there is a rise in patients’ survival [8].

Thus, many research science teams are looking for the best melanoma treatment; in other words, one with few or no side effects, in addition to low pharmacologic resistance during the therapeutic path. An interesting alternative to this would be to use natural substances, such as phenolic compounds that can have anticancer effects, either associated with conventional pharmacology or in isolation, as long as there is scientific support.
An essential signaling pathway recently related to tumor cell progression is the purinergic system, which interferes with mechanisms such as disordered cell proliferation, promotion of angiogenesis, and failure of mechanisms controlling apoptosis. This is due to an imbalance in the concentrations of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), adenosine diphosphate (ADP), and adenosine monophosphate (AMP) nucleotides and of adenosine (Ado), and nucleoside present in the tumor environment (TME), as well as overexpression or, in some cases, low expression of P2 receptors and ecto-nucleotidases [9–11].

To corroborate the aforementioned conjectures, a large number of in vitro and in vivo studies have indicated the potential of phenolic compounds, especially caffeic acid (CA) and rosmarinic acid (RA), as antioxidant, antiproliferative, anticancerogenic, and antimutagen agents, as well as modulators of cell pathways and biochemical cascades [12–17]. Furthermore, some studies have suggested the ability of RA to modulate the purinergic system [18].

It is important to highlight that, up to the present day, few works involving RA with CM can be found in the scientific literature, and the same happens with the purinergic system, which reinforces the need for research on this theme. Therefore, this review article aims at exploring and proposed hypotheses with respect to the possibility that RA can be a promising and alternative compound to be used as an adjuvant in melanoma treatment, acting on purinergic signaling, since it seems to have antitumor, antiangiogenic, and antiproliferative effects, as well as involved in cell pathway signaling. To such end, based on other research studies with different cancer contexts where RA, chemical characteristics of phenolic compounds and purinergic signaling modulatory molecule effects, we hypothesized two possible mechanisms through which the purinergic system can be the RA target in the pharmacological therapeutic perspective for CM.

### Cutaneous melanoma

The skin is the largest organ of the human body and covers its entire external surface, where it has an important function in the protection against toxic agents, friction, injuries, and radiation. In addition to that, it is divided into the epidermis, the outermost layer, and the dermis, the deeper stratum, constituted of conjunctive tissue. On the epidermis, there are keratinocytes, melanocytes, Langerhans cells, and Merkel cells [19]. CM is one of the malignant pathologies that affects the epidermis, being characterized as a cytological disorder that affects melanocytes, which produce melanin, increasing the proliferative ability of these cells due to a series of changes in the cell cycle and in the apoptosis mechanisms. CM is an oncological pathology characterized by the high invasiveness of tumor cells, and has a high metastatic capacity, causing a short survival period and high mortality rates [2, 8].

In the epidemiologic context of this disease, in a study published by Enninga et al. [20], 201,719 diagnoses of cutaneous melanoma were made between 1992 and 2011 in the world. Among those, survival rate was better in women, and the hypothesis was the difference in behavior and human biology. However, when analyzing the disease stage, there is no difference between the genders in the mortality rate, revealing that the female advantage is restricted to a localized and regional disease. Despite this, the survival rate is better when there is an early diagnosis in both genders.

By the year 2020, the incidence of CM in the world, including both genders and all ages, was 324,635 new cases and more than 57,000 deaths, mostly affecting North America, Europe, and Oceania. In Brazil, this type of cancer, which recorded 8624 new cases last year, is the 20th most frequent in the country. Looking at the future, the estimate for 2030 is a 15.8% increase of new cases worldwide [1]. Estimates for 2020 in Brazil were 4200 new cases for men and 4250 for women, more frequently in the South of the country [21], and, despite CM is not the most prevalent type of cancer, it is responsible for more than 75% of the deaths due to skin cancer, being considered a major public health problem [22].

As in other solid tumors, the staging of CM is based on the tumor, nodes, and metastasis (TNM) classification, where “T” refers to the size of the primary tumor. “N” refers to the invasion or not of the lymph nodes, and “M” refers to the presence of distant metastases. Below, we present Table 1 referring to the staging of CM, as well as to fundamental criteria to define the therapeutic of action that will be chosen for the treatment of this malignant pathology [23].

Considering the malignancy of CM, early and correct diagnosis is very important for a successful treatment. However, this can be difficult because of its variability in cytomorphology and its similarity to benign lesions [24, 25]. At first, there are four main types of CM, according to their histological and growth characteristics, namely: superficial spreading, nodular melanoma, lentigo maligna, and acral lentiginous. Most of them remain in the epidermis, growing horizontally, known as the radial growth phase, while in the vertical growth phase, infiltration occurs in the dermis, conferring metastatic potential to it [25].

As already mentioned, diagnosis must be careful, since CM can manifest in various clinicopathologic forms [24–26]. Nevertheless, the gold standard for diagnosis is histopathological analysis associated with clinical recognition. In the latter, it is important to pay attention to the patient’s history and risk factors and to perform a skin examination of the entire body being able to count on the assistance of dermoscopy. Subsequently, the ABCDE rule must be applied to each lesion, in addition to the “ugly
duckling” sign so that a nevus different from the others, in the same individual, is followed more carefully (Table 2). Furthermore, imaging techniques can be supported for early diagnosis, such as dermoscopy and diagnosis confirmation; skin biopsy is the most useful [27, 28].

It is known that the main risk factor for melanoma development is exposure to UV radiation, which is present in sunlight. In addition, there are three types of UV radiation: UVA, UVB, and UVC, although the latter is blocked by the ozone layer, while UVA and UVB reach the Earth; and exposure to these types can cause DNA damage and consequent development of melanoma. Although UVA is the most emitted radiation (90–95%), UVB is more prone to cause burns and skin harms [29, 30].

Ultimately, some research evidence shows that other risk factors can exert an influence on the incidence of melanoma. At first, risk is greater for women in the first decades of life, while men are more prone when they reach adult life. In addition, even if having more female diagnoses at the beginning, prognosis is better, but it is not the same as people age [29, 31].

Another associated risk factor is skin color because, in dark and hair, eumelanin is most abundant, a type of melanin which is synthesized under the α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α-MSH) signaling to a melanocortin-1 receptor (MC1R), while individuals with red hair and freckles have more pheomelanin due to loss-of-function mutation in MC1R that prevents eumelanin production. Thus, eumelanin reduces the UV-induced harms, unlike pheomelanin, which contributes to the formation of free radicals and, consequently, to DNA damage [30]. Therefore, according to Rastrelli et al. [32], individuals with fair skin, freckles, and red hair are more predisposed to melanoma by approximately 50%, as is the number of melanocytic nevi, family history, and genetic susceptibility.

Among the possible pathological factors of cancer, oxidative stress connected with inflammation and the purinergic system is frequently cited. Oxidative stress is a process caused by an imbalance between the production of free radicals and metabolic reactions mediated by the action of antioxidants, whose function is to inhibit or reduce the lesion from an excess of these reactive products. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are

### Table 1 Melanoma TNM classification

| Tumor (T) | Breslow thickness (mm) | Ulceration/mitotic rate |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Tis       | Not applicable         | Not applicable          |
| T1        | ≤1.0 mm                | a: no ulceration/ < 1 mm² |
|           |                        | b: ulceration/ ≥ 1 mm²  |
| T2        | 1.01–2.0 mm            | a: no ulceration        |
|           |                        | b: ulceration           |
| T3        | 2.01–4.0 mm            | a: no ulceration        |
|           |                        | b: ulceration           |
| T4        | > 4.0 mm               | a: no ulceration        |
|           |                        | b: ulceration           |

| Node (N) | Number of metastatic nodes | Nodal metastatic mass |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| N0       | 0                           | Not applicable        |
| N1       | 1                           | a: micrometastasis    |
|          |                             | b: macrometastasis    |
| N2       | 2–3                         | a: micrometastasis    |
|          |                             | b: macrometastasis    |
|          |                             | c: in-transit metastasis/satellites and no nodes |
| N3       | ≥4 metastatic nodes or in-transit metastasis/satellite(s) with metastatic node(s) |

| Metastasis (M) | Site | Lactate dehydrogenase value |
|----------------|------|-----------------------------|
| M0             | No distant metastases | Not applicable |
| M1a            | Distant skin, subcutaneous or nodal metastases | Normal |
| M1b            | Lung metastases      | Normal |
| M1c            | All other visceral metastases | Normal |
|                | Any distant metastasis | Elevated |

Source: Adapted from Gershenwald and Scolyer [174]
free radical products mainly generated by the mitochondria, during cellular respiration resulting in a reduction of $O_2$, and are in abundance in the inflammatory process, in addition to other cells of the immune system and cell proliferation factors, and, for this reason, there is an uncontrolled increase in the amount of free radicals, which results in an oxidative stress field [33–36].

A literature review corroborates that oxidative stress is involved in the initiation of carcinogenesis in many ways, such as modification in energy metabolism, imbalance between antioxidants and oxidants, and nonspecific chronic inflammation activation with excessive production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, triggering ROS increase [37]. Oxidative stress can also modify the DNA structure and could contribute to tumor onset through environmental pollutants, as UV radiation does to CM [36].

### Hallmarks of cancer: the Warburg effect

Around the 2000s, researchers Douglas Hanahan and Robert A. Weinberg published the article entitled “Hallmarks of cancer” in the renowned Cell Journal, bringing several impacts in the Oncology science worldwide. Attribution of the word “hallmarks” to cancer means that there are 6 characteristics common to tumors which are different from normal cells. Later, in 2011, these researches amplified the hallmarks and re-published updating in relation to it in the same journal the article entitled “Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation,” now complementing the previous 6 with 4 new hallmarks. This conceptualization continues to be important nowadays [38, 39].
Therefore, the first hallmarks proposed were sustaining proliferative signaling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, inducing angiogenesis, and activating invasion and metastasis. The last 4 included deregulation cellular energetics (reprogramming cellular metabolism), immune evasion, tumor promoting-inflammation, and genome instability and mutation [38, 39].

Among the aforementioned, one of the new hallmarks, reprogramming cellular metabolism, curiously deserves to be highlighted due to its interference ability in other tumor parameters and hallmarks of cancer. In uncontrolled proliferation of tumors, there is a reprogrammed metabolic profile, with dramatically increased rate of glucose uptake and lactate production even in the presence of oxygen and fully functioning mitochondria, a phenomenon known as the Warburg effect (also called aerobic glycolysis) [38, 40, 41].

Most of the tumors present metabolic dependence on the Warburg effect and this condition is related with the promotion of cancer cell invasiveness, aggressiveness, and drug resistance [42–44]. Specifically on CM, although it has been associated with the glycolytic phenotype, recent studies have indicated that the metabolic phenotype nature of this pathology seems to go further, having dynamic plasticity [45–48].

Diverse evidence suggests that the immune cells’ response in the TME contributes to cancer cell survival and growth [49]. Interestingly, this abnormal metabolic profile has been pointed out as one of the factors which support immune evasion to tumor cells and promote tumor progression [50–53]. What justified this fact is that, as cytoplasmic is produced, lactate is released in the extracellular microenvironment by monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs), leading to pH reduction and to TME acidification [54, 55]. Lactate is associated with inhibition of T-cell proliferation and alters cytokine production, as well as induces apoptosis of natural killer (NK) cells [56, 57]. Lactate also promotes cell suppression, both from innate and adaptive immunity, preventing maturation of dendritic cells (DCs) and favoring differentiation of regulatory T cells [58].

In addition to acting in immune mechanisms, the Warburg effect can interfere in gene expression and in respiratory function, such as increased expression of glucose transporter, hexokinase, and pyruvate kinase muscle (PKM2), modification in the expression of phosphoglycerate mutase (PGAM) that allows pyruvate production without ATP generation, increase in the pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) levels, expression of specific transcription factors, mainly myelocytomatosis viral oncogene (MYC), hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF-1α), nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB), and organic cation transporter 1 (OCT1) that sustain this effect [59].

Taking into consideration the strong evidence suggesting that the Warburg effect interferes in tumoral dynamics, such as supporting immune evasion, angiogenesis, or another mechanism, the inhibition of this tumor cell pathway seems to be one of the cancer targets in therapeutic perspectives, even against CM.

**Main current therapeutic strategies for melanoma treatment**

The early stages of melanoma can be surgically treated by tissue resection. Despite that, in advanced stages such as metastatic cases, it used to be considered untreatable, given its high therapeutic resistance rate. With therapeutic strategies aimed at the immune system and with relative efficacy approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), this value has significantly improved. However, the 5-year survival rate of patients with metastatic melanoma is still very low, approximately 23% [22], and 15 to 20% of the tumors are resistant to conventional treatment in their first attempt [60].

This is because melanoma cells have several striking characteristics that boost their resistance, including high genetic instability that continuously promotes transient mutations, including mutations in mitogen-activated protein kinase (MEK), neuroblastoma viral oncogene homolog (NRAS), and that circumvent murine viral sarcoma inhibitor v-Raf oncogene homolog B1 (BRAF). Furthermore, its transduction network is highly effective in reconfiguring signals to prevent cell death. Similarly, melanoma cells mimic the stroma, promoting the development of growth factors and cytokines, among other mechanisms that favor TME [22].

Until 2011, conventional treatment was based on the use of chemotherapeutic agents that caused damage to cellular DNA, such as dacarbazine, leading to apoptosis. However, the drug’s effectiveness in increasing the survival rate was not significant and the search for new alternatives found a new way out in immunotherapy and targeted therapies. In this context, monoclonal antibodies and BRAF and MEK inhibitors have become targets of several studies, with the aim of controlling immune responses [61, 62].

Ipilimumab, an anti-CTLA-4 antibody, and nivolumab and pembrolizumab, two anti-PD-1 and PD-L1 antibodies, were the immunological checkpoint inhibitors used in a literature review study by Namikawa and Yamazaki in 2019 [63], who showed greater efficacy when compared to the conventional treatment. They therefore concluded that the first line of choice for the treatment of the most advanced melanomas currently approved consists of three options, namely: nivolumab or pembrolizumab monotherapy or association of nivolumab with ipilimumab, and the choice is due to the melanoma subtypes [62, 63].

However, the use of ipilimumab is limited, as its response rate is approximately 11% and it has several
immunological side effects in organs, as it promotes exacerbation of normal and tumor cytotoxic T cells [64]. Furthermore, although anti-PD-1 and PD-L1 monoclonal antibodies exert a more satisfactory antitumor immune response (between 30 and 40%), not all patients are sensitive to them, which requires another treatment alternative [65].

Targeted therapy with the BRAF inhibitor is due to the fact that this gene is mutated in more than 50% of the cutaneous melanoma cases, the result of which is an alteration in the BRAF protein that assists in the accelerated growth of cancer cells [66, 67]. In the same signaling pathway, MEK gene inhibitors also reduce BRAF gene mutations and currently constitute the care standard in combination with BRAF inhibitors. This is because the progression-free survival of the isolated use of vemurafenib (inhibitor BRAF) allows for the development of melanoma resistance, while the association of another inhibitor verifies greater efficacy. The use of these combination therapies is approved by the FDA and includes vemurafenib/cobimetinib; dabrafenib/tramatenib; and encorafenib/binimetinib, the first being BRAF inhibitors and the second being MEK inhibitors [67, 68].

Although this combination prolongs survival and is the first choice for symptomatic patients with high tumor burden, acquisition of resistance is still a limiting factor for the development of an effective therapy [68]. Another limitation is the fact that this treatment does not cover 100% of the cutaneous melanomas, as it is not effective for those that do not present any BRAF gene mutation [67]. When compared to immunotherapy, targeted therapy offers a faster response and, clinically, the combination of both ends up being a treatment option [68].

Due to all these limitations pointed out, it is necessary to search for new therapeutic strategies in order to fully approach the patient and improve the prognosis. Furthermore, resistance to treatment is one of the main challenges to be overcome, as well as the side effects observed in immunotherapy [69]. In the medical, biomedical, and pre-clinical research studies, the cell lines are extremely important, such as animal cell culturing, since they provide almost all the genetic properties of cancer. In addition, apart from these cells derived from an in vivo sample, the environmental differences between the microenvironments such as the oxygen percentage and the tumor’s interactions with other cells can change the results of the studies. However, the cell line, handled in an in vitro model, allows for the study of pathological features and for the application of new theories that cannot be carried out in vivo, especially as a preclinical model of a therapeutic view and, for this reason, widely used in cancer and drug research studies [70, 71].

**Purinergic system: a brief characterization**

The purinergic system is a signaling cell pathway present in basically all body tissues, composed by extracellular molecules of nucleotides and nucleosides, receptors and enzymes (ectoenzymes), which participate in physiologic and pathologic mechanisms of the human organism, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, and death, as well as immunomodulation [72–75]. A recent study hypothesized on the potential role of the purinergic system on therapy in cardiovascular diseases mediated by SARS-CoV-2 [76].

Initially, when a review study about purinergic signaling was published by Geoffrey Burnstock, currently considered the father of the purinergic system, the hypothesis was not well accepted in the academic world, possibly because of the consolidated theory involving ATP molecules in energetic biochemistry into cells. However, now it is clear that this molecule, as well as other nucleotides, also acts as an extracellular messenger in diverse biological effects [77, 78].

Among the purinergic system compounds, ATP, ADP, and AMP nucleotides stand out, as well as Ado nucleoside, which performs the role of signaling molecules. ATP deserves to be highlighted and is the focus of several research studies, due to diverse evidence indicating that this biomolecule acts in the cell metabolism process, as inducement of DNA synthesis in timocitus, suppression of NKs, chemotaxis, and tumor destruction [79, 80].

Thus, the cell receptors for the aforementioned molecules are classified as purinoceptor P1, which is mediated by Ado, and as purinoceptor P2, selective for ATP and/or ADP. The P1 receptors are separated into four subtypes: A1, A2A, A2B, and A3, and the P2 into two subfamilies: P2Y, G-protein-coupled, and P2X, which are ligand-gated ion channels (see Fig. 1). Currently, eight types of P2Y receptors have been identified (P2Y1, P2Y2, P2Y4, P2Y6, P2Y11, P2Y12, P2Y13, and P2Y14), as well as seven types of P2X receptors (P2X1, P2X2, P2X3, P2X4, P2X5, P2X6, and P2X7) [81–83].

In addition, in purinergic signaling, there are enzyme structures involved that are coupled extracellular in membranes, known as ectonucleotidases, them being ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase (E-NTPDases-CDS39), ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase (E-NPPS), alkaline phosphatase, ecto-5′-nucleotidase (5′-NT-CDS73), and adenosine deaminase (ADA). All these enzymes play a role in the breakdown of ATP (see Fig. 1), which was previously described, and can generate their derivatives proceeding the initiation of the cascade with E-NTPDase action, which catalyzes the hydrolysis of ATP and ADP to AMP. Subsequently,
pect-5′-nucleotidase catalyzes the transformation of AMP into adenosine and, finally, is deaminated through ADA, resulting in inosine. It can also be possible that E-NPPS promotes hydrolysis of ATP directly to AMP and continue the cascade until the end [84, 85].

Thus, the purinergic signaling system seems to be involved with the health and disease process, such as in different tumors, and the role of this cell pathway has been extensively studied [86]. Knowing this, in comparison with normal cells, tumor cells have a large amount of ATP, depletion of this nucleotide being a strategy to activate anticancer pathways. In addition, P2 receptors are observed in many cancer types and can present inhibitory effects preventing cell proliferation, interfering in cell cycle, and promoting cell death. However, this depends on the subtype of receptor, as cancer cells can have more death sensitivity or resistance [87].

As already pointed out, each receptor of the purinergic system has a specific and different action that depends on several factors, such as cell type. Therefore, in the context of ATP receptors, despite being frequently associated with anticancer effects, as far as P2Y1 and P2Y2 are concerned, there are hypotheses shown on the TME that they support cell growth and proliferation [87, 88]. This can be corroborated with the paper by Joo et al. [89], in which they observed the action of these receptors promoting niche metastatic formation on breast cancer.

It is worth highlighting that the formation of extracellular adenosine can mostly occur from the activity of the CD39 and CD73 enzymes, both in healthy and neoplastic tissues, although other pathways are involved, such as E-NPP action from AMP hydrolysis, CD73 being a major generator of this molecule. Some studies have shown an increase in the expression of those enzymes in different cancer contexts [90–93].

For confirmation purposes, many researches work to report that purinergic signaling is related to neoplasms, such as in lung cancer [94, 95], leukemia [96], CM [86, 97], pancreatic cancer [98], osteosarcoma [99], and gastric cancer [100].

It is evident that much remains to be elucidated about the purinergic system, considering from its discovery to the present day: in addition, this cell signaling system is more consolidated as widespread on the human organism [101], which can be indicated as a therapeutic target. In reference to cancer, the interest in research is growing, only because of that considerable possibility [87].
Purinergic signaling in melanoma

As is well known, the purinergic system is involved in cancer dynamics, with the possibility of participating, according to the many factors, as a tumor promoter or inhibitor. This occurs by means of signaling molecules’ action, mainly ATP, ADP, AMP, and Ado, on cell-receptors and through the ectonucleotidases’ activity in TME. It is important to emphasize that this cell pathway has a close relationship with the immune response in the cancer context, as in other situations, and, considering the entire purinergic chain, the levels of purinergic molecules are controlled by a complex network of nucleotide/nucleoside-ectonucleotidases, expressed on the cells’ surface. In addition to that, ATP is a pivotal molecule which largely influences immune responses in peripheral and central tissues [102–105], can be released from the inflammatory and tumor cells via different mechanisms, such as exocytosis, plasma membrane channels, or lysis, and can be accumulated in TME [92, 106].

In melanoma cells, a high concentration of ATP can have an anticancer effect because it activates the P2X7 type receptors, leading to cell death [107]. Despite that, when ATP is hydrolyzed to ADP, it can present an immunosuppression effect on CM [97]. On the other hand, Ado, a product of ATP hydrolysis that mediates the protective response, such as immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory effects of ATP hydrolysis that mediates the protective response, such as exocytosis, plasma membrane channels, or lysis, and can be accumulated in TME [92, 106].

Mânica et al. [109] found significant data about the role of ATP in melanoma patients, indicating that an increased inflammatory process by extracellular ATP leads to an immunosuppressive profile even after CM surgical removal; and the purinergic system can develop a chronic inflammatory microenvironment which can exert a direct influence on relapse or metastasis; in other words, when interacting with P1 receptors, a high concentration of Ado acts effectively in tumor progression [11, 50, 61, 108].

Other outstanding purinergic receptors involved in melanoma are those of the P2Y types, such as P2Y1, P2Y2, and P2Y12. P2Y1 receptors seem to reduce cell proliferation and P2Y2s usually appear to increase cell numbers [110], whereas P2Y12s have been related to tumor metastasis by platelet activation in melanoma cells [111].

Interestingly, one of the factors which leads to skin cancer, UV-B irradiation, seems to have a relationship with purinergic signaling. Severe effects have been associated with this irradiation type and shown to reduce the amount of P2X1 and P2Y2 receptors, as well as to destroy P2X7 receptors, with the possibility of contributing to malignant transformation of keratinocytes [112].

Also regarding modulation receptors in the melanoma context, stimulation of A2AR and A3AR tumor cells may enhance proliferation triggering melanoma cells’ death. Deletion of A2ARs in myeloid cells was shown to revert immunosuppression in B16-melanoma-bearing mice via potentiation of NK and cytotoxic T lymphocytes responses [113–115]. A study in vivo to understand the effect of A1R, A2AR, and A3R signaling in B16 melanoma in mice found that the receptors contribute to TME by modulating angiogenesis, neovascularization, and infiltration of immunosuppressive tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) [116].

In addition to the receptors, ectonucleotidases, given their importance in the purinergic chain, have been shown as involved in cancer. Thus, in the melanoma context, inhibition of CD39 can lead to extracellular ATP accumulation, reinforcing the close relationship between this neoplastic disease and the activity of enzymes that hydrolyze adenine nucleotides. In addition, high ATP levels in the post-surgery CM microenvironment are suggested to be the cause of deleterious changes [109].

CD73 also seems to be involved in melanoma, since the studies indicate that high CD73 expression is suggestively present in the disease [117, 118]. In the primary melanoma type, mutational status did not show any association with CD73 expression in metastatic lesions; however, patients with advanced cases tended to have more CD73 expression in their metastases [119]. Through a mouse model of melanoma, a CD73 inhibitor improved T and B cell–mediated anti-tumor immunity and reduced tumor growth [120].

Curiously, in addition to already established knowledge about CD73 capacity in the hydrolysis of nucleotides, it is involved in the invasion of cancer cells; it is also known that the nonenzymatic action of CD73 is to promote cell migration on ECM through activation of focal adhesion kinase (FAK) in melanoma cells [121].

Natural compounds: the promising rosmarinic acid

In the last years, due to technological advances, natural compounds, derivatives of living organisms, have been the target of several research studies involving human health, especially when it comes to anticancer action. They can be found in the entire nature and distributed in a wide range of world regions. Many papers have shown that, in general, these compounds can not only promote anticancer action, but also do apoptosis and arrest cell cycles [122–124].
Therefore, the phenolic compounds, present in almost all foods, are identified for having at least one aromatic ring with one or more hydroxyl groups. They can be found in fruits, vegetables, legumes, cereals, beer, coffee, wine, and spices, and are divided into classes called flavonoids, phenolic acids, phenolic alcohol, stilbenes, and lignins, according to their characteristics [125].

Among the phenolic acids, RA can be mentioned, which is a caffeic acid and a 3,4-dihydroxyphenyllactic acid derivative, present in herbal plants, especially in those from the Boraginaceae and Lamiaceae families, such as rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis L.), basil (Ocimum basilicum), oregano (Origanum vulgare), sage (Salvia officinalis), and Melissa officinalis, with high desired pharmacological capacity in cancer treatment, namely: its antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antitumor effects. Therefore, many kinds of research looking for these effects, in various cell lines and cancer types, were developed, and the findings appear to be promising [126–128].

In addition, regarding RA potential and considering the urgent need to identify novel treatments for melanoma due to the expensive conservative therapies [24, 129], it is reinforced that there are articles which have been presenting promising outcomes in other cancer contexts [130, 131], but which can also be indicated as a promising compound as an adjuvant therapy on the treatment for this skin neoplasia, in spite of few works existing.

Concerning the chemical characteristics, the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) nomenclature for RA is (2R)-3-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-2-[(E)-3-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)prop-2-enoyl]oxypropanoic acid, to present it as crystalline solid red–orange, with molecular formula C_{18}H_{16}O_{8}, molecular weight of 360.3 g/mol, melting point of 171–175 °C, well-soluble in most organic solvents, such as ethanol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), or dimethyl formamide (DMF), but little soluble in water. In its chemical structure (Fig. 2), there are two phenolic rings and two OH ortho-position groups in each ring, which promote its antioxidant activity through H grant, in addition to an unsaturated double bond, a carbonyl group, and a carboxylic acid group [132–134].

The vegetable genesis of RA depends, in summary, on two pathways: the caffeoyl part is formed by L-phenylalanine action through cinnamic and 4-coumaric acid, and, at the same time, 3,4-dihydroxyphenyllactic acid is modulated by the action of L-tyrosine in 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvic acid [135, 136]. Each phase can be detailed as seen in Fig. 3, as well as the many enzymes involved in this mechanism.

Several studies were conducted to understand the pharmacokinetics to apply this compound on human beings and the indication that can be administered topically, pulmonary, intranasally, and via intravenous infusion, with the perioral route being the main form for intakes. Regarding metabolism, there is the tendency for it to occur by the intestinal microflora, where it is degraded to simple phenolic compounds by microorganisms, whereas distribution occurs by plasma albumins and excreted mainly through the renal system. Moreover, evidence has shown that herbal remedies containing RA reported no serious side effects and presented positive outcomes [137].

Despite the action mechanism of this compound not being in fact elucidated, many in vitro and in vivo studies were carried out to prove its anti-inflammatory effect [138], for example, describing the therapeutic potential of RA in inflammatory diseases such as colitis by reducing inflammatory cell infiltration, in addition to inhibiting induction of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and synthesis of IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-22. Furthermore, a bibliographic review conducted by Yahfoufi et al. [139] proved the immunomodulatory role of in vivo and in vitro polyphenols, due to interference with immune cell regulation in miscellaneous pathways by inactivating NF-κB, modulating arachidonic acid pathways responsible for COX-2 synthesis, and inhibiting some enzymes involved in the production of ROS.

Jang et al. [140] also proved such efficacy to see cell arrest cycle and apoptosis through modulation of gene expression related to prostate cancer initiation, histone deacetylases (HDAC). In the same manner, in the study by Luo et al. [138], the effect of RA on oral cancer was analyzed and it was verified that there was inhibition of cell proliferation due to the selective apoptosis mode, since the inhibitory effect was less present in normal cells. It is also important to mention that RA also has the capacity to prevent metastasis of the colorectal cancer phosphorylation adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathway, an enzyme that preserves cell homeostasis [141].

In conformity, RA proved to be efficient as an antioxidant by reducing the free radicals resulting from renal ischemia/reperfusion lesions, the focus of the study by Ozturk et al. [142]. In doing so, this phenolic acid acts increasing the expression of cytoprotective genes, reducing the cytotoxicity induced by xanthine oxidase and hydrogen peroxide (H_{2}O_{2}) and increasing the production
of prostaglandin E2 and reducing that of leukotriene B4, interleukin-6, interleukin-1-beta, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha, in addition to inhibiting the activation of the complementary system. Jointly, phenolic acid has the ability to reduce the free radicals through the hydroxyl group, and RA showed more efficiency, with 98.92% of the total antioxidant activity [143].

**Anticancer and antitumor action of rosmarinic acid**

Concerning the scientific research studies relating cancer and the potential of RA in the human body, scarcity of data is observed, although studies conducted using *in vitro* assays and *in vivo* animal models are easily found in the literature.
Table 3 presents some studies in different human cancer contexts where RA was used in vitro and in vivo, with their respective outcomes.

Countless research has evidenced RA in the treatment of cancer pathologies, but these action hypotheses are varied. One of them is the anti-inflammatory action, whereas the inflammatory process is closely related to tumor genesis, as previously mentioned. This action is proven by in vivo models, carried out by Swarup et al. [144] when reducing the mortality and pro-inflammatory cytokine levels in mice infected with the Japanese encephalitis virus. These researchers found a reduction in the IL-12, TNF-α, IFN-γ, MCP-1, and IL-6 levels when compared to those infected without treatment. Similarly, the anti-inflammatory effects in a neuroinflammatory lesion were proved not only in an in vitro model but also in an in vivo one, when reducing the expression of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and CD14, transmembrane receptors that activate the NF-kβ pathway, in addition to suppressing the activation of NLPR3 inflammasome, responsible for the maturation of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β and IL-18 [145].

Completing the previous idea, some papers indicate the potential of RA for immune effect, such as the one published by Lembo et al. [146], which shows the increase of immune parameters like phagocytic activity, as well as concentrations of some biochemical blood characteristics, such as total cholesterol and its fractions of lipoprotein, and triglycerides in treating an animal chicken model with a concentration of 100 or 200 mg oil kg⁻¹. To reinforce this data, this phenolic compound also presented an anti-inflammatory effect against local and systemic inflammation in rats [147], decreased TNF-α, interferon-γ, IL-6, and IL-12 [148] levels, and significantly reduced MPO activity and TNF-α levels in mice [149].

As suggested by all these articles cited in Table 3, the apoptosis related to cell cycle arrest or alteration of apoptosis genes has strongly influenced the antitumor effect of RA. Both in the studies by Messeha et al. [17] and by Jang et al. [140], the use of this compound in breast and prostate cancer, respectively, revealed the apoptotic effect. At first, two breast cancer cell lines were analyzed, whose cell arrest occurred in the G0/G1 phase in one and in the S-phase in the other. In addition, the apoptotic gene expression, among the TNF, increased approximately 8.5-fold. In the second article, RA also proved its potential in prostate cancer when inhibiting HDAC, an enzyme highly expressed in cell cancer and that negatively regulates the expression of p53, a tumor suppressor gene.

In the same way, the antiproliferative effect is also associated with the anticancer properties of RA. Videlicet was tested in cells of Panc-1 and SW1990 cell lines, in pancreatic cancer, since it reduced the number of colonies when compared to the control group, by increasing the expression of MiR-506, a tumor suppressor miRNA. In addition, in that study, not only was the antiproliferative capacity clear but also the reduction in the cell invasion and migration capacity that promotes apoptosis and suppresses epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in the pancreatic cancer cells [150].

Conversely, in hepatocellular carcinoma, the RA pathway involves inflammation and angiogenesis, according to Cao et al. [151]. By enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), the levels of inflammatory factors TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β, as well as of angiogenic factors (vascular endothelial growth factor, VEGF, and transforming growth factor-β, TGF-beta), were reduced when that phenolic compound was administered in mice. Furthermore, by Western blot, it was found that there was a reduction in the expression of NF-kβ p65, which regulates the angiogenic factors during CHC development, and consequently resulting in an antiangiogenic effect. Also in that study, the results showed that the toxicological effects of RA were insignificant, in addition to obtaining a 100% survival rate in the mice.

Regarding the present time, the production of ROS can trigger the formation of new tumors and, for this reason, the antioxidant effect is a positive factor for antitumor action. The phenolic acids, through the hydroxyl group, are known for their antioxidant potential, which prevents their reaction with the DNA molecule, resulting in a mutation. Among the phenolic acids, RA was the most effective in this regard (98.92% of activity) in addition to having the greatest chelating effect, followed by caffeic acid, and a protective effect in DNA against toxic substances like UV and H₂O₂ [143]. At last, according to Oguz et al. [152], it is an effective hepatoprotective agent and increases antioxidant capacity by reducing oxidative stress.

Moreover, the administration of RA in radio-resistant B16F10 lineage melanoma cells had a reducing effect on glutathione (GSH) after exposure to radioactivity irradiation, when compared to PNT2 prostate epithelial cells, considered radiosensitive. This effect is possibly due to the association with eumelanin synthesis pathways, intracellular consumption of GSH, and reduction of protective mechanisms against oxidative stress, which indicate a significant potential damage to radio-resistant cells during radiotherapy. It was observed that, at the same time, RA could present a protective action on healthy cells during therapy [153].

When analyzing RA as a promising compound for CM treatment, it is important that it prevents metastasis and, for this reason, that action was also to be searched and found in the literature. In a research study published in 2010 by Xu et al. [154], the antimetastatic action of this compound was already being studied, and, through experiments in animals, it has been proved that there is inhibition of metastasis in colorectal cancer (CRC) via the extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway. More recently, Han et al. [141] report that such compound inhibits the proliferation of CRC cells, as well as hinders metastasis by AMPK phosphorylation.
Table 3 Some studies with pure RA in different human cancer pathological contexts over the past three years. IC$_{50}$ (half maximum inhibitory concentration), MARK4 (microtubule affinity regulating kinase 4), TNFRSF25 (TNF receptor superfamily 25), TNFSF10 (TNF superfamily member 10), TNFRSF11B (TNF receptor superfamily 11B), BNIIP3 (BCL-2 interacting protein 3), BIRC5 (baculoviral IAP repeat-containing 5), GADD45A (growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible 45 alpha), H$_2$O$_2$ (hydrogen peroxide), EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor), NOX (NAD(P)H oxidase), PI3K/AKT/mTOR (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-serine-threonine protein kinase/mammalian target of rapamycin), MG132 (carbobenzoxy-L-z-L-leucyl-L-leucinal), AMPK (AMP-activated protein kinase), Bax (Bcl-2-associated X protein), HDAC2 (histone deacetylases 2), PARP-1 (poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase 1), and MALAT-1 (metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1)

| Study type | Pathological context | Dose/time | Main findings | References |
|------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------|------------|
| In vitro A549 and MDA-MB-231 cells | Basal alveolar adenocarcinoma and breast cancer | IC$_{50}$ and 2×IC$_{50}$ (72 h) IC$_{50}$= 6.204 µM | ↑apoptosis ↓MARK4 protein ↓cell proliferation | [15] |
| In vitro Hep-G2 cells | Liver carcinoma | 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, and 320 µM to cell viability assay (12 h) 0, 7, 14, 28 µM to apoptosis assay (24 h) IC$_{50}$ = 14 µM | ↑apoptosis (caspase-3 and caspase-9) ↓cell proliferation - cytotoxic effect against liver carcinoma cells | [16] |
| In vitro TNBC cell lines: MDA-MB-231 and MDAMB-468 | Triple-negative breast cancer | 0–500 µM (48–96 h) IC$_{50}$ = 350 µM | ↑apoptosis (TNFRSF25, TNFSF10, TNFRSF11B, BNIIP3, BIRC5 and GADD45A) ↓cell proliferation - cycle arrest MDAMB-468 (S phase) and MDA-MB-231 (G0/G1 phase) | [17] |
| In vitro HNSCC cell lines: UM-SCC-1, UM-SCC-6 and OSC2 | Head and neck carcinoma | 80 µg/mL single and combined with blue light (24–96 h) | ↑apoptosis ↓cell proliferation ↑H2O2 (EGFR increases NOX signaling) | [175] |
| In vitro SMMC 7721 cells | Liver carcinoma | 0, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 µg/mL (24 h, 48 h, and 72 h) | ↑apoptosis (PI3K/AKT/mTOR) ↓cell proliferation | [14] |
| In vitro Hep-G2 cells | Liver carcinoma | 0, 10, 100, and 1.000 µM (24 h) | ↑apoptosis - increased MG132-induced cytotoxicity, proteasome inhibition, autophagy, cellular stresses (1000 µM only) | [176] |
| In vitro HCT116 cells | Colorectal cancer | 0, 50, 100, and 200 µM (24 h, 48 h, 72 h, and 96 h) | ↑apoptosis ↓cell proliferation ↓metastatic (AMPK phosphorylation) | [141] |
| In vitro PC-3 and DU145 cells | Prostate cancer | 25, 50, 100, 200, 250, and 300 µM (48 h–2 weeks) | ↑apoptosis ↓cell proliferation ↓colony formation ↓HDAC2 (enzyme involved in tumor formation) - modulated Bax, caspase-3 and PARP-1 | [140] |
| In vitro OVCAR-3 cells | Ovarian cancer | 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, and 160 µM (48 h and 72 h) IC$_{50}$= 34.6 and 25.1 µM/time respectively | ↑apoptosis (MALAT-1) ↓cell proliferation - morphological alterations cells (shrinkage and rounding) | [12] |
| In vivo nude mice | Liver carcinoma | 0, 5, 10, and 20 mg/kg (for 5 days) | ↓colony formation ↓decreased volume and weight of tumor (inhibited growth of xenografts) | [14] |
| In vivo BALB/c female mice | Colorectal cancer | 100 mg/kg/day (14 days) | ↓metastatic (AMPK phosphorylation) ↓procaspase-9 and Bax - cycle arrest of the G0/G1 phase | [141] |
In advanced CRC, the main invaded organs are the liver and the lungs, and the use of RA in this study drastically reduces the number of metastatic tumor nodules in the latter organs. That is because, for metastasis to occur, the cells must invade the extracellular matrix and then migrate to another site. During this process, however, cell invasion, migration, and adhesion occur, whose processes are induced by matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9). The action of RA consists of AMPK activation, which reduces the expression and activity of these proenzymes and, consequently, makes it difficult for the cancer cells to spread and promote metastasis [141].

Finally, regarding platelet aggregation, there are studies that searched for the influence of RA on this process, such as Zou et al. [155], who, through Danshen extract and having RA as active molecule, showed a potent inhibitory effect on the rats’ platelets, aggregation induced by arachidonic acid, and that molecular docking thiol oxidoreductase enzyme (ERp57) is important for that process. Similarly, Salvia yunnanensis extract, which contains RA in its composition, inhibited ADP-induced rabbit platelet aggregation by binding rosmarinic acid with P2Y12R, cryptotanshinone, RA being fundamental in this outcome, which could be applied with antithrombotics [18].

With that, it is possible to notice that there are few works in the literature evidencing the potential of RA in CM treatment, considering the several outcomes presented previously and, when it comes to clinical trials, that number is even smaller, as well as those concerning the purinergic system. Thus, these verifications reinforce the need to better research and understand the mechanisms in that theme.

**The purinergic system can be the target of rosmarinic acid in a pharmacological therapeutic perspective for cutaneous melanoma**

In normal cells, hemostasis control occurs tightly through the production and release of growth factors, which regulate cell growth and proliferation and preserve normal tissue architecture. When these mechanisms are deregulated, homeostasis is also disrupted, leading to decreased apoptotic capacities, hyperproduction of growth factors, and, consequently, exacerbated and uncontrolled cell proliferation, as well as alterations in receptor molecules, which results in changes in the signaling cell pathway, and TME can increase [39].

In this aspect, the role of cell signaling seems to be involved in various mechanisms that can lead to cancer development, such as the microtubule affinity regulating kinase (MARK4) pathway that is associated with cancer progression and metastasis [156] and many other signaling pathways, such as NF-κB [157] and mTOR [14]. As shown before in another section of this paper, several studies have indicated the pharmaceutical potential of RA in modulating cell pathways in different cancer contexts; therefore, it can be a promising compound to be used in targeted therapies for molecules and receptors that participate in these processes.

Currently, interest in researching purinergic signaling, which is a cell pathway multistep coordinated cascade where ATP, ADP, and Ado act, has been growing because of evidence that confirms its important role in inflammatory processes, immune response, and cancer progression in different cell types [11, 102, 158]. These nucleotides and corresponding nucleoside involved in purinergic signaling have specific effects, depending on cell type and agonist/antagonist receptor-ligand [87].

The dynamics of the biochemical and cellular composition of TME are very important for the regulation of tumor cell metabolism, proliferation, motility, and dissemination, and can promote a protective effect or facilitate tumor progression [159, 160]. In this sense, ATP and Ado have recently been detected in high concentrations in TME [11, 92]. ATP being frequently associated with the pro-inflammatory response, which plays a significant role in promoting antitumor effects, whereas Ado, a product of the hydrolysis of these nucleotides, presents immunosuppressive effects as negative-feedback, which prevents inflammation and tissue damage [161].

Another factor present in TME and that can be connected with purinergic signaling is lactate, arising from a phenomenon known as the Warburg effect, which occurs in most cancer cells. This phenomenon happens before the blood vessels are formed, where they nourish through the change in metabolic pathways and acquire energy via the glycolytic cascade under aerobics conditions [162]. Data indicates that cancer cells synthesize ATP via the Warburg effect, which leads to lactate formation and TME acidification [163], and, even in lower glucose concentrations, these cells can grow, differently from normal cells [39].

Thus, a plausible mechanism to this is that cancer cells expressing P2X7 receptors and activated by ATP, via the PI3K-AKT pathway, cause a moderate increase in the concentration of mitochondrial Ca2+, stimulation of oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), and increased ATP generation. Activation of this receptor upregulates the expression of the glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1) plasma membrane glucose transporter and the expression of several enzymes of the glycolytic cascade. This leads to increased lactate generation, which is extruded from cancer cells by monocarboxylate transporter 4 (MCT4), causing acidification of the tumor microenvironment, but is also taken up via monocarboxylic transporter 1 (MCT1) and used as a metabolite for energy-generating reactions in tumor cells. Lactate has been associated with inhibition of maturation (DCs) and with promoters
of macrophage differentiation, which can contribute to cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion [11].

Curiously, although other receptors with anticancer potential are described in the literature, P2X7, expressed by cancer cells as in CM, is the most associated with tumor cell killing via ATP [82, 164, 165]. On the other hand, P2X7 is overexpressed in some tumors and leukemias, which is associated with cancer progression and low survival prognosis [166], which could be explained from the hypotheses described above. It is to be highlighted that no specific agonist to this receptor has been described up to the present day [167].

In this context, the reduction of the Warburg effect seems to be an interesting strategy for CM treatment in the initial stage, because lactate can prevent tumor death in the microenvironment, as already shown, but there is little evidence about the modulation capacity of compounds that promote this mechanism. A study published in 2015 showed that RA has the ability to inhibit lactate generation through the suppression of HIF-1α; in this way, it presented a strong capacity to inhibit the Warburg effect in gastric cancer [168]. Regarding this theme, later papers have not been easily found in literature, and, considering these promising results, this phenolic acid could be a novel agent possibility arising to treat melanoma.

Nevertheless, following the reverse of what was proposed by Di Virgilio et al. [11], with the use of RA in CM treatment, this molecule could be a blocker of the P2X7R target and an inhibitor of the PI3K-AKT pathway, thereby suppressing PI3K-AKT activation, the GLUT1 transporter does not have the capacity to promote glucose intake, and lactate generation would be harmed. Finally, with less lactate release, the pH of TME can be altered and other mechanisms involved with tumor killing can be restored, such as immune responses (Fig. 4).

From another perspective, ATP signaling acts as immunosuppression in CM, as it is degraded to ADP and AMP in TME, promoting proliferation of regulatory T cells [97]. ADP is the main platelet recruiter, acting in the P2Y1 and P2Y12 G-protein-coupled receptors. While the first initiates platelet activation by morphological changes and has a weak response to ADP, the second has an effective response when promoting recruitment of these thrombocytes. For this reason, the use of P2Y12 inhibitors has been a therapeutic target for many pathologies, cancer among them [169].

The P2Y12 receptor is an important tumor growth modulator, since it promotes platelet activation by cancer cells. Once activated, it releases TGF-β and increases tumor cell adhesion to the endothelium, metastasis, angiogenesis, and drug resistance. This fact is verified by in vitro models which predict its in vivo aggressiveness when this event occurs. Consequently, by preventing the action of this receptor, the tumor would stop growing and this was observed in some types of cancer, such as ovarian and colorectal [170, 171].

With this purpose, data from the literature prove that Salvia yunnanensis (SY) is an herb rich in several compounds, including RA, which acts by blocking platelet aggregation induced by ADP and arachidonic acid through the P2Y12 receptor. Although the P2Y1 and P2Y12 receptors act in the formation of platelets, only P2Y12 is related to thrombin and other platelet agonists and, therefore, is the target of another hypothesis of this study. They are located in platelets and in the central nervous system, especially in microglia [18].

Thus, when analyzing the effects of the SY components, RA proved to be one of the main antiplatelet constituents in two ways: the first is the inhibitory effect of ERp57, a thiol oxidoreductase enzyme from the family of protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), which has an influence on platelet aggregation induced by arachidonic acid [155]. In addition, using molecular docking at the P2Y12 receptor, a high affinity between them and an ADP antagonistic effect was observed, which resulted in the inhibition of platelet aggregation [18].

Given the aforementioned, Manica et al. [97] suggest that platelets are activated in patients with CM and that it can be a key to metastasis in this disease. This supports the use of RA as a potential tumor progression switch by purinergic signaling in P2Y12, since the immunosuppression caused by the ATP levels and which acts on this process can be inhibited by modulating these nucleotide and nucleoside degradation enzymes. Consequently, it is known that most of the cellular mutations are controlled by the immune system, which first tries to correct them by specialized intracellular proteins and, when that does not occur, the cell undergoes apoptosis. However, immunosuppression does not allow this control and, therefore, is closely related to the development, progression, and recurrence of the tumor. Therefore, by suppressing immunosuppression, the action of the immune cells can be resumed [172].

Knowing this, another possibility to treat CM based on the modulation of purinergic signaling by RA could be targeting P2Y12, leveraging the opportunity that the antagonist capacity of this molecule has on this receptor. Thereby, grounded on Ballerini et al. [173] and on Manica et al. [97], since the action of RA on the P2Y12 receptor exerts an antagonist effect, it prevents ADP-ligand and inhibits adenylate cyclase (AC) and subsequent cascades, such as cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and protein kinase A (PKA), which finally inhibits phosphorylation of vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP), in turn harming the expression of adhesive proteins in the membrane surface of CM cells and adhesion between cells. This mechanism promotes decreased tumor mass and, in turn, constrains tumor progression and metastasis (Fig. 5).
Final considerations

This review work explored the potential antitumor effects of RA in different cancer contexts and brought up the hypothesis of its pharmacological application in the purinergic system as a treatment for CM. Nevertheless, for being an unprecedented work, the scarcity of articles evidencing the action of this compound in this signaling pathway requires further studies to be corroborated and proved. In addition, although there is consistent data on this anticancer potential in in vitro models, there are few articles portraying this experience in in vivo models and, in particular, in skin cancer.

Given this scenario, it is extremely important that the pharmacological potential of this phenolic acid as an option is further explored, especially when considering its aggressiveness, lack of effective therapeutic options, and ease of finding this compound in different sources. In spite of this, the results explored so far are positive, which allows us to believe in a new path to be followed when it comes to CM treatment.

That said, the literature indicates that the purinergic system is involved in the physiopathology of cancer, such as in CM. Moreover, RA seems to have an anticancer effect in different cancer contexts and to act on purinergic signaling. Considering this evidence, we hypothesize that RA can be an effective candidate for the adjuvant treatment of CM acting as a modulating molecule of purinergic cell pathways. On the one hand, there is a possibility, through P2X7 blocking by RA, of mitigating the Warburg effect, which negatively interferes on the microenvironment due to releasing exacerbated lactate from the glycolysis pathway, which leads
to pH alteration and suppression of tumor-killing mechanisms, such as the immune response. On the other hand, an interesting alternative is to modulate the P2Y12 receptor by the RA antagonic molecule, reducing the formation of adhesive molecules to prevent adherence in tumor cells, and tumor mass inhibition, in turn constraining tumor progression and metastasis. In this way, our proposals for CM treatment based on targeting purinergic signaling permeate the integral practice, going from intracell to TME, which can be more effective than the techniques hitherto employed.

Undoubtedly, much is still to be discovered and elucidated about this promising compound, mainly concerning the pharmacological perspectives for CM, this paper being an interesting work baseline to support more research and, perhaps, promote new evidence for the development of clinical trials in human beings, which is very important in the improvement of CM treatment.
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**Fig. 5** Hypothesis of RA antagonism in P2Y12 receptor acting against progression of tumoral events. The ADP-like antagonism effect of RA on metabotropic purine receptor P2Y type 12 (P2Y12) prevents ADP-ligand, thus avoiding the intracellular cascade linked to activation of adenylate cyclase (AC) and its second messenger, cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), which does not promote activation of protein kinase A (PKA) to vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) phosphorylation. All that intracell signaling leads to downregulation of the adhesive proteins’ expression in the plasma membrane, reducing tumor mass formation in TME.
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