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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Stress urinary incontinence (SUI) is often associated with female sexual dysfunction. We investigated which pelvic floor muscle (PFM) parameters (strength, power, and endurance) are associated with improvement of sexual function after 8 weeks of transcutaneous electrical stimulation (TES) training.

Aim: This study was performed to determine the effects of TES in the seated position on PFM parameters and female sexual function and to identify correlation between improved PFM parameters and sexual function after 8 weeks of TES training in women with SUI.

Methods: The present study was performed between August 2018 and November 2018 in women with SUI who were randomized into a TES group (n = 17) or a control group (n = 17). One subject in each of the TES and control groups ultimately withdrew during the intervention due to a lack of time. Both groups were measured at baseline and after 8 weeks of intervention.

Main Outcome Measure: As outcome measures, PFM parameters (strength, power, and endurance) and female sexual function were assessed using a perineometer and the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI), respectively.

Results: The final study population consisted of 32 women with SUI. There were significant differences in PFM strength, power, and endurance and FSFI domain scores (desire, arousal, orgasm, satisfaction, and total score) in both between-group analyses (TES vs control group) and within-group analyses (pre-TES vs post-TSE). Change in PFM endurance had the highest association with change in total FSFI total score (r = 0.437; P = .006), and change in PFM power had the highest association with change in FSFI satisfaction (r = 0.420; P = .008).

Conclusion: TES in a seated position showed a beneficial effect on sexual function in females with SUI. Consideration of PFM parameters associated with FSFI domain scores may be important when developing intervention guidelines to improve female sexual function. Hwang UJ, Lee MS, Jung SH, et al. Pelvic Floor Muscle Parameters Affect Sexual Function After 8 Weeks of Transcutaneous Electrical Stimulation in Women with Stress Urinary Incontinence. Sex Med 2019;7:505–513.
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INTRODUCTION

Urinary incontinence is a common condition, with a prevalence as high as 30% in women 30–60 years of age.1 Half of these cases are attributable to stress urinary incontinence (SUI).2 Urinary incontinence has also been reported to have a negative effect on female sexual function.3–5 Female sexual dysfunction (FSD) is highly prevalent among women with pelvic floor dysfunction, such as urinary incontinence. The etiologies of such sexual dysfunction may include psychological distress, fear of
incontinence during intercourse, and embarrassment due to unpleasant odor. A previous study suggested that women with SUI had lower sexual satisfaction than women without urinary disorders.

SUI is usually caused by weakness of or damage to pelvic floor muscles (PFMs) and connective tissue, which provide urethral support, or by weakness of the urethral sphincter itself. PFM parameters are related to the degree of sensation felt by a woman during vaginal intercourse and the contraction experienced by her partner. Involuntary rhythmic contractions during orgasm are evoked by the PFMs, in particular the iliococcygeus and pubococcygeus muscles. PFM training (PFMT) was reported to have a positive effect on sexual function in women with weak PFMs. PFM performance consists of strength, power, and endurance. Clinically, training specificity is important to specifying objectives of the exercise. Generally, recruiting mainly fast-twitch muscle fibers allows training specifically for speed and strength, whereas recruiting mainly slow-twitch muscle fibers provides endurance training. Thus, PFMT would apply specificity to training programs for women with SUI.

Although various PFM parameters (strength, power, and endurance) should be considered when evaluating and training the PFMs, previous studies have concentrated only on the relationship between PFM strength and female sexual function. PFMT as a noninvasive treatment for SUI and FSD has been used to enhance PFM function; however, selective contraction of the PFMs is difficult to initiate and develop, particularly for women with pelvic floor dysfunction. In addition, inappropriate PFM contraction has been reported to exacerbate the symptoms of SUI.

Electrical stimulation (ES) during PFMT could improve female sexual function, urinary leakage, and the strength and pressure of PFM contractions by facilitating or enhancing the ability to perform PFM contractions. Previous studies aimed at improving PFM function indicate that intravaginal ES during a PFM rehabilitation program to treat SUI improved sexual function in women with urinary incontinence. Correia et al. reported that both TES and intravaginal ES improved the quality of life and strength and pressure of PFM contractions; however, Green et al. reported that the invasiveness of intravaginal ES reduced patient acceptance of, and adherence to, this treatment. Transcutaneous electrical stimulation (TES) may be more acceptable to, and comfortable for, women with SUI.

The EasyK7 device (Alphamedic Co, Ltd; Daegu, Korea) has recently been developed to enhance PFM contractions via ES using 3 transcutaneous electrodes placed in perivaginal and sacral regions of a woman in the sitting position. ES for PFMT is generated online (www.randomization.com; Figure 1). One subject in each of the TES and control groups ultimately withdrew during the intervention due to a lack of time. Before the study, the subjects received an explanation of all study procedures and signed an informed consent form approved by the Institutional Review Board of Yonsei University, Wonju, Korea (1041849-201904-BM-050-01). The study protocol was registered with the Clinical Research Information Service (KCT0003357).

**METHODS**

**Subjects and Design**

The present randomized controlled trial was performed between August 2018 and November 2018 at an obstetrics and gynecology clinic in Seoul, Korea. Investigator-blinded parallel randomization (1:1) of subjects into control and TES groups was performed. The required sample size was calculated a priori using G*Power 3.1.3 (University of Trier; Trier, Germany) with a power of 0.80, α level of 0.05, and effect size of $f = 0.917$, determined by reference to pilot data (3 participants per group). It was suggested that a sample size of more than 6 subjects per group was necessary. Subjects were recruited by advertisements that included our telephone contact details, and interested women with SUI were scheduled for visits to establish their eligibility for study participation according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Incontinence and FSD severity were confirmed during interviews.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in Table 1. A total of 34 subjects who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were allocated to the control or TES groups via random numbers generated online (www.randomization.com; Figure 1). The device is attached to the perineum and sacrum to stimulate both perivaginal and sacral regions. The subjects were instructed to sit on the device such that both cutaneous electrodes were in place, and they were given a form to complete during interviews.

**Transcutaneous Electrical Stimulation**

EasyK7 is a TES device that stimulates the pelvic floor musculature and surrounding structures via 3 transcutaneous electrodes placed in perivaginal and sacral regions. With the subject in a seated position, the transcutaneous electrodes of the device are attached to the perineum and sacrum to stimulate both perivaginal and sacral regions. The subjects were instructed to sit on the device such that both cutaneous electrodes were in place.
contact with the perivaginal and sacral regions. The stimulation amplitude was set to a comfortable level for each subject. The device delivered asymmetric and biphasic impulses of 25 Hz, with a mean intensity of 19.37 ± 6.29 mA (range 2.5–30 mA) during sessions lasting 15 minutes; pulses were delivered for 11 seconds, with 11-second rest periods in between.

**Intervention**

Subjects in the TES group were provided with an EasyK7 device and trained in its proper use, management, and cleaning. Individuals with an aversion to the sensation of TES were excluded from the study. Subjects were asked to use the device once a day (15-minute session), 5 or 6 days per week for 8 weeks. In addition, subjects performed an EasyK7 session with a possible increase in stimulation amplitude. The control group walked for 10 minutes and underwent restricted PFMT with regard to PFM or abdominal muscle contraction. After 8 weeks, we gave each subject in the control group an EasyK7 unit and trained them in its use as a reward for participating in the experiment. Both groups were assessed at baseline and after 8 weeks according to Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) scores and PFM parameters obtained using a perineometer.

**Outcomes**

Female sexual function was measured using the Korean version of the validated FSFI instrument. The FSFI is a 19-item, self-administered questionnaire designed to measure female sexual function over 6 domains: desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, and pain. Each domain is scored on a 0- to 6-point scale, with higher scores indicating better sexual function. Scores were calculated for each of the 6 domains, and total scores (2–36) were obtained by summing the 6 domain scores.

PFM parameters were assessed in all subjects in the hook-lying position by using a VVP-3000 perineometer vaginal pressure...
probe (QLMED Ltd; Gyeonggi-do, Korea) that was 24 mm in diameter and 115 mm in length, with an active surface measurement length of 66 mm. A microprocessor connected to the vaginal pressure probe with latex tubing allows transmission of pressure readings when the probe is compressed by vaginal contraction. The baseline pressure (without voluntary PFM contraction) was set to 0 mm Hg. Subjects were asked to contract their PFMs, squeezing with maximum effort for 3 seconds. They were instructed to pull their PFMs inward and caudally as much as possible, with no abdominal or gluteal muscle contraction.

The measurement was taken before PFM contraction and recorded as a flat curve after the subjects were asked to relax and slowly breathe in and out. PFM strength was measured as the mean of 2 maximal voluntary contractions (MVCs). The PFM power was defined as the PFM strength per time to reach MVC (mm Hg/s). The time to reach MVC was measured from the starting point of PFM contraction to the point at which the MVC was reached. PFM endurance was recorded as the mean contraction pressure (mm Hg) over a 10-second period during a single contraction attempt.

### Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 18.0 (IBM; Armonk, NY). In all analyses, \( P < .05 \) was taken to indicate statistical significance. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z-test was used to determine the normality of the data distribution. Analysis of covariance was used to compare the groups, both before and after the intervention; baseline values were used as covariates. Data are presented as means ± standard deviation. The pre- and post-interventions were compared for each group using paired Student’s t-tests. The effect sizes (\( r \) values) and confidence intervals for the primary outcomes were calculated to determine the clinical significance of the data. The \( r \) value was constrained to lie between 0 (no effect) and 1 (maximal effect); an \( r \geq 0 \) and \(< 0.1 \) was classified as no effect, \( r \geq 0.1 \) and \(< 0.3 \) was a small effect, \( r \geq 0.3 \) and \(< 0.5 \) was a moderate effect, and \( r \geq 0.5 \) was a large effect. Pearson’s correlation matrices were constructed to examine the relationships between change in FSFI domain scores (\( \times 100 \)) and change in PFM parameters (\( \times 100 \)).

### RESULTS

Thirty-four women with SUI were randomly divided into the TES and control groups (both \( n = 17 \)); however, 1 subject in each of the TES and control groups withdrew during the intervention due to a lack of time. Ultimately, 32 women with SUI completed the intervention and were included in the analysis (Table 2). There were no significant differences between the 2 groups in demographic characteristics or Ingelman-Sundberg scale scores. Also, there were no significant differences between groups in any of the variables examined, with baseline values being used as covariates (\( P > .05 \)).

Table 3 shows the post-intervention improvements in PFM parameters and FSFI domain scores relative to baseline in each group. Regarding the FSFI domains, there were significant differences between groups and within groups in desire (between groups, \( P = .007 \); within groups, \( P = .034 \)), arousal (between groups, \( P < .001 \); within groups, \( P = .001 \)), orgasm (between groups, \( P < .001 \); within groups, \( P = .044 \)), satisfaction (between groups, \( P = .002 \); within groups, \( P = .001 \)), and total score (between groups, \( P < .001 \); within groups, \( P < .001 \)). However, there were no significant differences between groups in lubrication (between groups, \( P = .530 \); within groups, \( P = .323 \)) or pain (between groups, \( P = .550 \); within groups, \( P = .001 \)).

With regard to the PFM parameters, there were significant differences between groups and within groups in strength (between groups, \( P = .045 \); within groups, \( P = .004 \)), power (between groups, \( P = .001 \); within groups, \( P = .032 \)), and endurance (between groups, \( P = .012 \); within groups, \( P = .034 \)).

Table 4 shows the correlation coefficients between changes in PFM parameters and changes in FSFI domain scores. There were significant correlations between change in PFM power and change in arousal (\( r = 0.321 ; P = .036 \)), change in satisfaction (\( r = 0.420 ; P = .008 \) (Figure 2)), and change in total score (\( r = 0.313 ; P = .040 \)) in FSFI domains. Also, there were significant correlations between change in PFM endurance and change in desire (\( r = 0.318 ; P = .038 \)), change in pain (\( r = 0.318 ; P = .038 \)), and change in total score (\( r = 0.437 ; P = .006 \)) (Figure 2) in FSFI domains. However, there were no significant correlations between change in PFM strength and change in FSFI domains.

### DISCUSSION

Previous studies have indicated the utility of the PFMT for assessing PFM and female sexual functions. The results of the present study indicated improvements in PFM parameters (strength, power, and endurance) and female sexual function after 8 weeks of PFMT involving TES, indicating that it is a

---

**Table 2. Characteristics of the participants**

|                      | Control group (\( n = 16 \)) | TES group (\( n = 16 \)) | \( P \) value |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Age (y), mean ± SD   | 41.1 ± 7.2                  | 42.3 ± 9.1               | .684          |
| BMI (kg/m²), mean ± SD | 22.8 ± 3.5                | 22.6 ± 2.8              | .825          |
| Duration of symptoms (y), mean ± SD | 7.8 ± 6.0            | 5.7 ± 3.6               | .246          |
| Deliveries (n), mean ± SD | 1.5 ± 0.9               | 1.9 ± 0.7               | .201          |
| Menopause (%)        | 31.3                       | 31.3                    | —             |
| Vaginal deliveries (n), mean ± SD | 1.5 ± 0.9           | 1.5 ± 1.0               | 1.000         |
| Ingelman-Sundberg scale score, mean ± SD | 1.4 ± 0.6          | 1.1 ± 0.3               | .168          |

BMI = body mass index; TES = transcutaneous electrical stimulation.
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| Primary outcome measures | Pre-intervention | Post-intervention | Mean change (95% CI) | P value | Effect size |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **PFM**                |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Power (mm Hg/s)        |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 16.41 ± 13.202  | 15.156 ± 10.065  | −1.255 (1.956 to −4.466) | .418   | 0.224      |
| TES group*             | 16.272 ± 9.203  | 30.500 ± 17.564  | 14.228 (22.682 to 5.775) | .003   |            |
| Strength (mm Hg)       |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 18.699 ± 10.071 | 19.019 ± 9.403   | 0.321 (1.460 to −0.818) | .557   | 0.129      |
| TES group*             | 20.212 ± 9.089  | 25.725 ± 11.933  | 5.513 (10.969 to 0.057) | .048   |            |
| Endurance (mm Hg)      |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 13.716 ± 7.963  | 13.268 ± 7.347   | −0.449 (2.017 to −2.915) | .704   | 0.157      |
| TES group*             | 14.486 ± 7.493  | 20.456 ± 10.336  | 5.970 (10.748 to 1.92)  | .018   |            |
| **FSFI**               |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Desire                 |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 2.406 ± 0.821   | 2.219 ± 0.657    | −0.188 (0.133 to −0.508) | .232   | 0.292      |
| TES group*             | 2.000 ± 0.837   | 2.750 ± 0.983    | 0.750 (1.256 to 0.244)  | .006   |            |
| Arousal                |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 2.047 ± 1.305   | 1.922 ± 1.290    | −0.125 (0.423 to −0.673) | .634   | 0.396      |
| TES group**            | 1.844 ± 1.278   | 3.156 ± 1.140    | 1.313 (1.844 to 0.781)  | .000   |            |
| Orgasm                 |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 1.469 ± 0.155   | 1.391 ± 0.182    | −0.078 (0.038 to −0.194) | .173   | 0.195      |
| TES group*             | 1.531 ± 0.272   | 1.781 ± 0.315    | 0.250 (0.396 to 0.104)  | .002   |            |
| Lubrication            |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 2.750 ± 0.463   | 2.687 ± 0.537    | −0.063 (0.263 to −0.388) | .688   | 0.034      |
| TES group              | 2.979 ± 0.494   | 2.875 ± 0.437    | −0.104 (0.161 to −0.369) | .416   |            |
| Satisfaction           |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 2.396 ± 0.990   | 2.438 ± 0.941    | 0.042 (0.408 to −0.325) | .812   | 0.275      |
| TES group*             | 2.529 ± 1.313   | 3.471 ± 1.291    | 1.000 (1.490 to 0.510)  | .001   |            |
| Pain                   |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 3.563 ± 1.087   | 3.542 ± 0.877    | −0.021 (0.603 to −0.645) | .944   | 0.767      |
| TES group*             | 2.627 ± 1.567   | 3.725 ± 0.709    | 1.104 (2.110 to 0.998)  | .034   |            |
| Total score            |                 |                  |                     |        |            |
| Control group          | 14.630 ± 2.176  | 14.98 ± 2.146    | −0.432 (0.598 to −1.463) | .385   | 0.322      |
| TES group**            | 13.789 ± 3.821  | 17.951 ± 3.474   | 4.312 (5.906 to 2.719)  | .000   |            |

FSFI = Female Sexual Function Index; PFM = pelvic floor muscle; TES = transcutaneous electrical stimulation.
*P < .05.
**P < .001.
and the transforming growth factor beta 1-Smad2/3 pathway was activated in response to mechanical strain. This pathway is an important regulator of collagen metabolism. The main collagen types in fibrous connective tissue are I and III collagen fibers, which influence the tensile strength of the tissue. Although changes in collagen properties were not measured directly in the present study, alterations in the quantity and organization of these fibers could affect the tensile force of the endopelvic fascia.

Third, the improvement of PFM parameters may have been due to stimulation of the pudendal nerve by TES. ES is known to promote contraction of the PFM, thus strengthening its muscle fibers and promoting the electric activation, coordination, and proprioception of pelvic floor contractions.

Previous studies have suggested the use of various modes of ES to improve sexual function in female patients with urinary incontinence or pelvic floor disorders. Rivalta et al reported that functional ES of the PFM was associated with varying degrees of improvement in sexual function in all domains examined. Giuseppe et al reported that FSFI desire, lubrication, sexual satisfaction, and pain domain scores were significantly increased but arousal and orgasm domain scores were not significantly different between pre- and post-ES. Aydin et al confirmed significant improvement of FSFI arousal, desire, orgasm, and satisfaction in their ES group compared to controls. We confirmed significant improvements in FSFI desire, arousal, orgasm, satisfaction, and total scores in both within-group (pre- vs post-TES) and between-group (TES group vs control group) analyses. The increases in FSFI domain scores after 8 weeks of TES training could be explained as the result of stimulation of the PFM to evoke the contractions necessary for vaginal friction and blood flow.

Graber and Kline-Graber reported a significant decrease in the strength of pubococcygeus muscle contractions in anorgasmic women compared to orgasmic women. The PFMs, in particular the pubococcygeus and iliococcygeus muscles, are responsible for the involuntary, rhythmic contractions that occur during orgasm. After reaching this point, the PFMs are tensed and rise to a new maximum tension level, which is maintained momentarily followed by instantaneous release of all tension. This reflex, also known as orgasm, is followed by 5–15 PFM spasms or convulsions occurring at 0.8-second intervals. In addition, women without fear of dyspareunia and urine leakage during intercourse showed recovery of sexual desire, arousal, and sexual satisfaction after 8 weeks of TES training. Similar to previous reports, the present study showed that female sexual function was improved after 8 weeks of TES training. Thus, improving PFM functions had a positive effect on female sexual function.
In women with SUI, change in PFM endurance had the highest positive correlation coefficients with satisfaction in total FSFI score ($r = 0.437; P = .006$). Salonia et al.\(^49\) reported a significant relationship between SUI and loss of libido in women. PFM contraction is believed to influence the degree of sensation felt by a woman during vaginal intercourse and the strength of the contraction as experienced by her partner. In addition, during contraction of the ischiocavernosus and bulbocavernous muscles the clitoral body descends to within close proximity of the distal portion of the anterior vaginal wall.\(^50\) Increased PFM endurance could result in contraction of the ischiocavernosus and bulbocavernous muscles and a longer “hold” sensation during intercourse. Huey et al.\(^51\) reported that the mean duration of penile-vaginal intercourse was approximately 7 minutes. Schnabl\(^52\) reported a significant association between intercourse duration and female orgasmic consistency, as 25% of women reported experiencing an orgasm “every time or usually” with intercourse of 2 minutes or less, but reports of orgasm increased to 60% of women in the “over 10 minutes” group. PFM contractions must be repeated over a period of time to achieve sexual orgasm.

In women with SUI, PFM power had the highest positive correlation coefficients with satisfaction in FSFI domains ($r = 0.437; P = .006$). Psychological disinterest, distress, fear of incontinence during intercourse, and embarrassment due to unpleasant odor have been suggested as likely etiologies of sexual dysfunction in women.\(^49\) Incontinence occurring during penetration is more likely in women with SUI.\(^3\) Thus, because rapid PFM contractions may decrease the likelihood of incontinence, increasing PFM power could in turn increase FSFI satisfaction. In addition, greater PFM power could improve body image in women,\(^53\) as suggested by the positive correlation between PFM power and FSFI satisfaction in the present study.

The main limitation of this study was the lack of electromyography and ultrasonography measurements of changes in PFM activation and hypertrophy of the PFM; therefore, further studies are needed to determine the influence of these parameters on female sexual function after 8 weeks of TES training. Also, it is not possible to determine whether the improvements in sexual function might be associated with the intervention or are a result of the natural course, or other psychosocial aspects. Additionally, we included women with a wide age range, including both pre- and postmenopausal women. Also, further studies need to be conducted on larger sample size with sexual dysfunction caused by PFM dysfunction.

**CONCLUSION**

TES can be considered as an option for improving PFM parameters and sexual function in female SUI patients. In addition, this study determined the extent to which the PFM parameters of strength, power, and endurance are associated with sexual function after 8 weeks of TES training in women with SUI. We noted changes in PFM endurance, total FSFI score, PFM power, and FSDI satisfaction after 8 weeks of TES training. The results of this investigation may be useful for developing guidelines for treatments to improve female sexual function.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

We would like to thank all of the participants for their time and commitment to this study.

**Funding:** The authors received financial and administrative support from the Yonsei University Research Fund (grant numbers 2018-51-0213 and 2019-51-0094). EasyK7 devices were provided free of charge, as were costs related to clinic visits and labwork. No direct payment was made to individual study investigators.

**STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP**

**Category 1**

(a) Conception and Design

Ui-jae Hwang; Oh-yun Kwon

(b) Acquisition of Data

Min-seok Lee; Sun-hee Ahn

(c) Analysis and Interpretation of Data

Sung-hoon Jung; Sun-hee Ahn

**Category 2**

(a) Drafting the Article

Ui-jae Hwang; Oh-yun Kwon

(b) Revising It for Intellectual Content

Ui-jae Hwang; Min-seok Lee; Sung-hoon Jung; Sun-hee Ahn; Oh-yun Kwon

**Category 3**

(a) Final Approval of the Completed Article

Ui-jae Hwang; Min-seok Lee; Sung-hoon Jung; Sun-hee Ahn; Oh-yun Kwon

**REFERENCES**

1. Giuseppe PG, Pace G, Vicentini C. Sexual function in women with urinary incontinence treated by pelvic floor transvaginal electrical stimulation. J Sex Med 2007;4:702-707.

2. Abrams P, Andersson K-E, Birder L, et al. Fourth International Consultation on Incontinence Recommendations of the International Scientific Committee: evaluation and treatment of urinary incontinence, pelvic organ prolapse, and fecal incontinence. Neurourol Urodyn 2010;29:213-240.
3. Coyne K, Zhou Z, Thompson C, et al. The impact on health-related quality of life of stress, urge and mixed urinary incontinence. BJU Int 2003;92:731-735.

4. Handa VL, Zyczynski HM, Burgio KL, et al. The impact of fecal and urinary incontinence on quality of life 6 months after childbirth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007;197:636.e1–e6.

5. Yip S-K, Chan A, Pang S, et al. The impact of urodynamic stress incontinence and detrusor overactivity on marital relationship and sexual function. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2003;188:1244-1248.

6. Barber MD, Visco AG, Wyman JF, et al. Sexual function in women with urinary incontinence and pelvic organ prolapse. Obstet Gynecol 2002;99:281-289.

7. Rogers G, Villarreal A, Kammerer-Doak D, et al. Sexual function in women with and without urinary incontinence and/or pelvic organ prolapse. Int Urogynecol J 2001;12:361-365.

8. Graber B, Kline-Graber G. Female orgasm: role of pubococcygeus muscle. J Clin Psychiatry 1979;40:348-351.

9. Bø K, Talseth T, Vinsnes A. Randomized controlled trial on the effect of pelvic floor muscle training on quality of life and sexual problems in genuine stress incontinent women. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2000;79:598-603.

10. Zahariou AG, Karamouti MV, Papaioannou PD. Pelvic floor muscle training improves sexual function of women with stress urinary incontinence. Int Urogynecol J 2008;19:401-406.

11. Laycock J. Concepts of neuromuscular rehabilitation and pelvic floor muscle training. In: Baessler K, Schüssler B, Burgio KL, et al., eds. Pelvic floor rehabilitation program (biofeedback, functional electrical stimulation, pelvic floor muscles exercises, and vaginal cones). J Sex Med 2010;7:1200-1208.

12. Correia GN, Pereira VS, Hirakawa HS, et al. Effects of surface and intravaginal electrical stimulation in the treatment of women with stress urinary incontinence: randomized controlled trial. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2014;173:113-118.

13. Green RJ, Laycock J. Objective methods for evaluation of interferential therapy in the treatment of incontinence. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 1990;37:615-623.

14. Shamliyan TA, Kane RL, Wyman J, et al. Systematic review: randomized, controlled trials of nonsurgical treatments for urinary incontinence in women. Ann Intern Med 2008;148:459-473.

15. Maher RM, Caulfield B. A novel externally applied neuromuscular stimulator for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence in women—a pilot study. Neuromodulation 2013;16:590-594.

16. Yokozuka M, Namima T, Nakagawa H, et al. Effects and indications of sacral surface therapeutic electrical stimulation in refractory urinary incontinence. Clin Rehabil 2004;18:899-907.

17. Krauss DJ, Lilien O. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulator for stress incontinence. J Urol 1981;125:790-793.

18. Fall M. Electrical pelvic floor stimulation for the control of detrusor instability. Neurourology 1985;4:329-335.

19. Bump RC, Hurt WG, Fantl JA, et al. Assessment of Kegel pelvic muscle exercise performance after brief verbal instruction. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1991;165:322-329.

20. Beji NK, Yalcin O, Erkan HA. The effect of pelvic floor training on sexual function of treated patients. Int Urogynecol J 2003;14:234-238.

21. Rivalta M, Sighinolfi MC, Micali S, et al. Sexual function and quality of life in women with urinary incontinence treated by a complete pelvic floor rehabilitation program (biofeedback, functional electrical stimulation, pelvic floor muscles exercises, and vaginal cones). J Sex Med 2010;7:1200-1208.

22. Rivalta M, Sighinolfi MC, Micali S, et al. Sexual function and quality of life in women with urinary incontinence treated by a complete pelvic floor rehabilitation program (biofeedback, functional electrical stimulation, pelvic floor muscles exercises, and vaginal cones). J Sex Med 2010;7:1200-1208.

23. Rahmani N, Mohseni-Bandpei MA. Application of perineometer in the assessment of pelvic floor muscle strength and endurance: a reliability study. J Bodyw Mov Ther 2011;15:209-214.

24. Bø K, Kvarstein B, Hagen R, et al. Pelvic floor muscle exercise for the treatment of female stress urinary incontinence: I.
Reliability of vaginal pressure measurements of pelvic floor muscle strength. *Neurourol Urodyn* 1990;9:471-477.

35. Tennfjord MK, Engh ME, Bø K. An intra- and interrater reliability and agreement study of vaginal resting pressure, pelvic floor muscle strength, and muscular endurance using a manometer. *Int Urogynecol J* 2017;28:1507-1514.

36. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behaviors science. Second edition. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erilbaum; 1988.

37. Sacomori C, Cardoso FL. Predictors of improvement in sexual function of women with urinary incontinence after treatment with pelvic floor exercises: a secondary analysis. *J Sex Med* 2015;12:746-755.

38. Sale D. Testing strength and power. In: MacDougall JD, Wenger HA, Green HJ, eds. Physiological testing of the elite athlete. Second edition. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1991. p. 21-106.

39. Harman E. Strength and power: a definition of terms. *Nat Strength Cond Assoc* 1993;15:18-20.

40. Wilmore JH, Costill DL, Kenney WL. Physiology of sport and exercise. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1994.

41. Dumoulin C, Seaborne DE, Quirion-DeGirardi C, et al. Pelvic-floor rehabilitation, Part 1: Comparison of two surface electrode placements during stimulation of the pelvic-floor musculature in women who are continent using bipolar interferential currents. *Phys Ther* 1995;75:1067-1074.

42. Schoenfeld BJ. The mechanisms of muscle hypertrophy and their application to resistance training. *J Strength Cond Res* 2010;24:2857-2872.

43. Gregory CM, Bickel CS. Recruitment patterns in human skeletal muscle during electrical stimulation. *Phys Ther* 2005;85:358-364.

44. Min J, Li B, Liu C, et al. Therapeutic effect and mechanism of electrical stimulation in female stress urinary incontinence. *Urology* 2017;104:45-51.

45. Terlikowski R, Dobrzycka B, Kinalska M, et al. Transvaginal electrical stimulation with surface-EMG biofeedback in managing stress urinary incontinence in women of premenopausal age: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial. *Int Urogynecol J* 2013;24:1631-1638.

46. Aydin S, Aydn ÇA, Batmaz G, et al. Effect of vaginal electrical stimulation on female sexual functions: a randomized study. *J Sex Med* 2015;12:463-469.

47. Kinsey AC, Pomeroy WB, Martin CE, et al. Sexual behavior in the human female. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders; 1953.

48. Serati M, Braga A, Di Dedda MC, et al. Benefit of pelvic floor muscle therapy in improving sexual function in women with stress urinary incontinence: a pretest-posttest intervention study. *J Sex Marital Ther* 2015;41:254-261.

49. Salonia A, Zanni G, Nappi RE, et al. Sexual dysfunction is common in women with lower urinary tract symptoms and urinary incontinence: results of a cross-sectional study. *Eur Urol* 2004;45:642-648.

50. Foldes P, Buisson O. Reviews: the clitoral complex: a dynamic sonographic study. J *Sex Med* 2005;6:1223-1231.

51. Huey CJ, Kline-Graber G, Graber B. Time factors and orgasmic response. *Arch Sex Behav* 1981;10:111-118.

52. Schnabl S. Correlations and determinants of functional sexual disturbances. In: Forleo R, Pasini W, eds. Medical sexology. Littleton, MA: PSG Publishing; 1980. p. 153-161.

53. Sacomori C, Cardoso FL, Vanderlinde C. Pelvic floor muscle strength and body self-perception among Brazilian pregnant women. *Physiotherapy* 2010;96:337-343.