Lentil straw (Lens culinaris): An alternative and nutritious feed resource for kids
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1. Introduction

In the present era of the fast-growing human population, ruminant species occupy an important niche in modern agriculture because of their unique ability to digest certain feedstuffs, especially roughages, efficiently. In future, the direct demands for grain by human beings will make efficient utilization of roughages increasingly important (Visser, 2005). Simultaneously, increasing demands for high-quality animal protein in the world show greater potential for development of sheep and goat production, whereas decreasing community grazing land and increasing cropping intensity have created a serious gap between demand and supply of concentrate feeds and fodder, which has made livestock feeding increasingly dependent on alternate feed resources. Effective utilization of available feed resources is the key to economical livestock rearing (Lardy et al., 2015; Beigh et al., 2017).

Lentil ranks the 5th among most important pulses in the world and is extremely important for diets of Near East and Indian (FAO, 2012). Its by-product lentil straw (LS), an unconventional feed, is a nutrient-dense feed stuff, due to its leguminous nature, LS has better ruminal degradation with whole tract digestibility as compared to routinely used cereal straws (Lopez et al., 2005; Singh et al., 2011; Lardy et al., 2015) and successful use of LS in the ration of large ruminants and sheep (Abbeddou et al., 2011a; Lardy et al., 2015) without having any side effect on the quality of animal products (Abbeddou et al., 2011b), which suggests its high acceptability and digestibility in livestock ration.

The limitation of using these by-products is the presence of the high amount of lignified fibre, which hinders the coupling of
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cellulose and hemicellulose with a nitrogen (N) source for optimum microbial protein synthesis. This unfavourable bonding of lignin with available carbohydrate may be broken down with the help of physical or chemical treatment of the straw. Among different available methods, urea ammoniation (Rath et al., 2001; Oji et al., 2007), supplementation of critical nutrients (Abebe et al., 2004; Pi et al., 2005) or their combination (Abebe et al., 2004; Pi et al., 2005) may be the most promising, practical and user friendly methods to support the use of lignified forages in ruminant’s ration. Complete feed system or total mixed ration (TMR) is one of the latest developments to exploit the potential of animal feed resources in the best possible way. The complete feed system is helpful to prevent selective feeding and thus to meet the specific nutrient requirements (Beigh et al., 2017).

The scanty literature on the use of LS in goats inspired us to evaluate its feeding value for kids in different forms/combinations.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Experimental animals

With prior approval of college level Animal Ethical Committee, healthy growing Barberi male kids with a mean body weight of 17.5 ± 1.8 kg were divided into 4 groups of 5 each according to their body weights using completely randomized design. They were dewormed before the start of the experimental feeding and were housed in well-ventilated concrete floored rooms with individual watering and feeding facility.

2.2. Method of urea ammoniation

Urea ammoniated LS (ALS) was prepared by treating LS with 4% urea at 50% moisture level and incubated for 4 weeks (Walli et al., 1995) under airtight condition by covering tightly with polythene sheet (Sundstol et al., 1978). Before feeding, straw was exposed in air to remove the excess and evaporable ammonia.

2.3. Preparation of total mixed rations

Total mixed ration was prepared to utilize coarsely ground maize grains, which was mixed with either LS or ALS just before feeding, using 50% water level, for individual animals according to their requirements (Ranjhan, 1993).

2.4. Experimental feeding

An adaptation period of 15 days was provided to let animals get accustomed to the experimental feeds, during which gradual shifting to their respective feeds was carried out. Feeding diets included LS, LS based total mixed ration (LSTMTR), ALS, ALS based total mixed ration (ALSTMR).

Experimental feeding was continued for a period of 28 days, including a 6-day metabolism trial at the end of the experiment. Goats were given amount of their respective rations in 2 identical meals at 09:00 and 17:00. Kids were weighed before the start and at the termination of the experimental feeding in the morning, before watering and feeding.

2.5. Metabolism trial

During the metabolism trial, a quantitative collection of faeces and urine as per standard procedure (Sastry et al., 1999) was carried out. Two days adaptation period was given to the animals in the metabolism cages prior to actual sampling. Feeds offered and residue left were weighed daily during the metabolism trial. Water was available to all animals ad libitum twice daily. Representative samples of feeds offered, residue left, urine and faeces voided during the metabolism trial were collected daily for 6 d, and pooled for further chemical analysis.

2.6. Rumen liquor collection

Rumen liquor samples were collected at the termination of the experiment before watering and feeding for 3 consecutive days using stomach tube, strained through 4 layers of cheesecloth and individually pooled strained rumen liquor (SRL), and then preserved (−20 °C) for further analysis.

2.7. Analytical procedure

Straw offered, residue left and faeces voided were analysed for proximate constituents (AOAC, 2000), neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) (Goering and Van Soest, 1970) and calcium (Ca) and phosphorus (P) contents (Talpatra et al., 1940). The SRL was analysed for pH, total N, total volatile fatty acids (TVFA) (Barnett and Reid, 1957) and ammonia N (Conway, 1962).

2.8. Data analysis

Data were analysed by the method described by Snedecor and Cochran (1989). Analysis of Variance was used to compare treatments, and Duncan’s multiple range test was employed on the data where a significant difference (P < 0.05) was observed.

3. Results

3.1. Chemical composition of experimental feeds

Chemical composition of the experimental feeds (Table 1) indicated that nutritive value of LS (9.2% CP, 39.6% CF and 1.1% Ca) was further improved due to ammoniation, as well as fortification with energy source in the form of TMR (NFE: 51.3% to 53%).

3.2. Nutrient digestibility

The TMR groups showed higher (P < 0.01) digestibility of DM, OM, and NFE as compared to their relative counterparts with untreated or ammoniated straws alone (Table 1 and Fig. 1). Digestibility of ether

| Table 1 | Chemical composition of experimental feeds with nutrient digestibility. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Item    | LS               | LSTMTR | ALS      | ALSTMTR |
| Chemical composition, % on dry matter basis | Organic matter | 91.6     | 93.5     | 91.6     | 93.4    |
|         | Crude protein    | 9.2      | 9.2      | 14.1     | 12.8    |
|         | Ether extract    | 3.0      | 3.7      | 3.0      | 3.7     |
|         | Crude fibre      | 39.6     | 29.3     | 31.5     | 23.9    |
|         | Nitrogen free extract | 39.9     | 51.3     | 43.0     | 53.0    |
|         | Ca               | 1.1      | 0.82     | 1.1      | 0.83    |
|         | P                | 0.11     | 0.19     | 0.11     | 0.18    |

Digestibility coefficients, %

| Item                  | LS | LSTMTR | ALS | ALSTMTR |
|-----------------------|----|--------|-----|---------|
| Dry matter**          | 55.7 ± 2.1<sup>a</sup> | 65.5 ± 2.6<sup>b</sup> | 56.7 ± 0.04<sup>b</sup> | 67.6 ± 2.2<sup>b</sup> |
| Organic matter**      | 57.5 ± 2.1<sup>b</sup> | 67.4 ± 2.5<sup>b</sup> | 58.7 ± 0.73<sup>b</sup> | 68.1 ± 2.0<sup>b</sup> |
| Crude protein**       | 59.6 ± 3.5<sup>b</sup> | 64.2 ± 2.7<sup>b</sup> | 51.4 ± 1.4<sup>b</sup> | 58.0 ± 3.1<sup>b</sup> |
| Ether extract         | 72.8 ± 2.3<sup>a</sup> | 72.5 ± 3.6<sup>a</sup> | 71.7 ± 3.9<sup>a</sup> | 72.5 ± 8.8<sup>a</sup> |
| Nitrogen free extract** | 61.3 ± 2.2<sup>a</sup> | 76.7 ± 1.9<sup>a</sup> | 67.9 ± 0.64<sup>a</sup> | 80.8 ± 1.8<sup>a</sup> |
| Neutral detergent fibre** | 37.0 ± 3.0<sup>a</sup> | 46.1 ± 3.7<sup>a</sup> | 54.6 ± 1.3<sup>a</sup> | 61.5 ± 2.7<sup>a</sup> |
| Acid detergent fibre** | 33.6 ± 2.9<sup>a</sup> | 34.2 ± 5.4<sup>a</sup> | 51.0 ± 1.0<sup>a</sup> | 55.5 ± 2.6<sup>a</sup> |

LS — lentil straw; LSTMTR — LS based total mixed ration; ALS — ammoniated LS; ALSTMTR — ALS based total mixed ration.

<sup>a,b</sup> Within a row, means without a common uppercase superscript differ. *: P < 0.05 or **: P < 0.01.
Digestible nutrient (TDN) values presented in Table 2. Dry matter intake was superior in ALS groups compared to their counterpart (LS). Likewise, TMR groups showed higher intake compared to LS alone with the lowest value in LS group (6.7 g/day).

3.3. Dry matter, energy, and protein intake

Intakes of DM, digestible crude protein (DCP) and total digestible nutrients (TDN) are presented in Table 2. Dry matter intake (g/kg W0.75) was superior (P < 0.01) among all 3 treatment groups as compared to LS alone, with the highest intake in ALSTMR group.

Intake of DCP alone showed a similar pattern (P < 0.01) with the highest intake in ALSTMR group. Total digestible nutrient intake (g/kg W0.75) was higher in ALS group than that in its untreated counterpart (LS). Likewise, TMR groups showed higher (P < 0.01) TDN intakes as compared to their counterpart with LS or ALS.

3.4. Nutritive value of experimental diets

Nutritive value of different experimental diets in kids is presented in Table 2. Digestible crude protein value of diets remained higher (P < 0.01) in ALS group as compared to LS groups although LSTMR group had highest (5.9%) DCP value compared to LS group (5.5%). Crude protein digestibility was lower (P < 0.01) among groups and within a narrow range (71.7% to 72.8%).

3.5. Nutrient balance, body weight change and rumen fermentation pattern

The balance of different nutrients (g/day) like N, Ca, and P is presented in Table 3. Nitrogen balance remained comparable (P < 0.05) among groups and within a narrow range (71.7% to 72.8%). Crude protein digestibility was lower (P < 0.01) among groups and within a narrow range (71.7% to 72.8%).

4. Discussion

4.1. Chemical composition of experimental feeds

Chemical composition indicated that LS was nutritionally superior to wheat straw in its protein, Ca and P contents, similar findings were also reported by Lardy et al. (2015), Haile et al. (2017) also interpreted in their studies that LS was superior in its CP and metabolisable energy content with lower NDF and ADF contents than the cereal crop residues.

4.2. Nutrient digestibility

The digestibility of LS for most of its constituents was more than 50% (55% to 72%) except for fibre fraction. Fuller (2004) in his book also emphasized that LS with higher digestibility than most of other straw was used in feeding of ruminants. Likewise, Haile et al. (2017) also reported that LS has higher digestibility in vitro when compared with the cereal crop residues.

Urea ammoniated LS was nutritionally superior to its untreated counterpart. Ammoniation increased the CP content due to the addition of non-protein nitrogen source. Increased soluble (NFE) and decreased insoluble carbohydrate (CF) fraction indicated solubilization of crude fibre. A similar type of observations was made by Arellano et al. (1993) and Walli et al. (1995). Use of TMR in other 2 groups showed increased NFE, but decreased fibre content and it was mainly due to the addition of maize grains in TMR being rich in soluble carbohydrates.

Urea ammoniation reduced CP digestibility of the straw. This may be attributed to either tightly bound nitrogen in the straw by urea ammoniation (Sundstol and Coxworth, 1984; Hvelplund, 1989) or increased N flow to the intestine owing to greater microbial protein synthesis in the rumen (Djajanegara and Doyle, 1989). This corroborated well with the findings of Cloete and Kritzinger (1984) and Gupta et al. (2002). Contrary, Borah et al. (1988) found that increased CP digestibility was found in animals fed ammoniated

Table 2

| Item               | Nutrients intake, g/kg W0.75 | LS       | LSTMR   | ALS      | ALSTMR  |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Dry matter         | 56.6 ± 4.79                 | 80.3 ± 3.15 | 79.5 ± 4.26 | 90.6 ± 1.79 |          |
| Digestible crude protein | 3.1 ± 0.25                 | 4.8 ± 0.18  | 5.8 ± 0.30   | 6.8 ± 0.12    |          |
| Total digestible nutrients | 31.4 ± 2.68               | 53.7 ± 2.10  | 45.1 ± 2.46   | 62.1 ± 1.22    |          |
| Nutritive value, % |                            | 69.9 ± 2.4   | 69.6 ± 0.61   | 68.5 ± 1.89    |          |

LS = lentil straw; LSTMR = LS based total mixed ration; ALS = ammoniated LS; ALSTMR = ALS based total mixed ration.

Within a row, means without a common uppercase superscript differ (P < 0.01).
4.4. Nutritive value of experimental diets

Enhanced DCP intake in TMR groups and energy intake in all treatment groups justified by increased DMI in their respective groups. Similar to the present findings, Puri and Gupta (2001) also reported improved DCP and TDN intake when ammoniated paddy straw was incorporated in TMR. Use of TMR also showed better performance of lambs when wheat straw was replaced with urea ammoniated wheat straw in TMR. Use of TMR also showed better growth response overfeeding of straw alone. Similar to present findings, Nissanks et al. (2010) also reported improved growth performance of Friesian heifers when TMR was compared to conventional feeding.

The rumen fermentation pattern indicated that addition of maize in the form of TMR had reduced the pH values of rumen liquor, but remained unaffected by ammoniation of the straw. Positive N balance was also reflected by an improvement in the rumen total and ammonia nitrogen levels in the ammoniated groups. Similar observations have been made by Abebe et al. (2004). Insignificant improvement in TVFA concentration due to feeding ALS diet indicated a slight improvement in the availability of total carbohydrates due to urea ammoniation, but in TMR group improvement was higher as the starch content of maize was lacking available carbohydrate source (which may provide carbon chain for ruminal amino acid synthesis) may be a cause of impaired N utilization by rumen microbes and thus when the available carbohydrate source was incorporated in ALSTMR group, both N utilization as well as digestibility of CP were better.

The rumen fermentation pattern indicated that addition of maize in the form of TMR had reduced the pH values of rumen liquor, but remained unaffected by ammoniation of the straw. Positive N balance was also reflected by an improvement in the rumen total and ammonia nitrogen levels in the ammoniated groups. Similar observations have been made by Abebe et al. (2004). Insignificant improvement in TVFA concentration due to feeding ALS diet indicated a slight improvement in the availability of total carbohydrates due to urea ammoniation, but in TMR group improvement was higher as the starch content of maize was lacking available carbohydrate source (which may provide carbon chain for ruminal amino acid synthesis) may be a cause of impaired N utilization by rumen microbes and thus when the available carbohydrate source was incorporated in ALSTMR group, both N utilization as well as digestibility of CP were better.

4.5. Nutrient balance, body weight change and rumen fermentation pattern

Kids fed either ALS or TMR had a positive N balance as compared to those fed LS (having 5.5% DCP), indicated that ALS alone was nutritionally adequate and produced a good growth response in growing kids. Similar observations were made by Puri and Gupta (2001).

Shiriyana et al. (2011) in their studies reported improved growth performance of lambs when wheat straw was replaced with urea ammoniated wheat straw in TMR. Use of TMR also showed better growth response overfeeding of straw alone. Similar to present findings, Nissanks et al. (2010) also reported improved growth performance of Friesian heifers when TMR was compared to conventional feeding.

The rumen fermentation pattern indicated that addition of maize in the form of TMR had reduced the pH values of rumen liquor, but remained unaffected by ammoniation of the straw. Positive N balance was also reflected by an improvement in the rumen total and ammonia nitrogen levels in the ammoniated groups. Similar observations have been made by Abebe et al. (2004). Insignificant improvement in TVFA concentration due to feeding ALS diet indicated a slight improvement in the availability of total carbohydrates due to urea ammoniation, but in TMR group improvement was higher as the starch content of maize was lacking available carbohydrate source (which may provide carbon chain for ruminal amino acid synthesis) may be a cause of impaired N utilization by rumen microbes and thus when the available carbohydrate source was incorporated in ALSTMR group, both N utilization as well as digestibility of CP were better.

4.4. Nutritive value of experimental diets

Urea ammoniation increased the DCP value of the treated straw, whereas the effect on energy value was meagre. Incorporation of maize grains leads to a higher energy value in TMR. The nutritive value as well as higher DMI in ALS and TMR groups indicated their nutritional adequacy for feeding as a sole diet for growing goats, but the LS alone was not sufficient to fulfill the growth requirement of kids, due to their lower DCP as well as TDN values, which remained low against suggested (Ranjhan, 1993) for rowing kids.

4.3. Dry matter, energy and protein intake

Increased DMI in kids was reported when LS was either ammoniated or incorporated in TMR. The reason in the ammoniated group (ALS) might be the reduction in coarseness of straw by the alkaline nature of ammonia. Similar findings were also observed by Oji et al. (2007). Improved DMI in LSSTMR group indicated increased palatability of ration due to the incorporation of the maize grain, but the insignificant effect of ALSTMR over ALS indicates higher palatability of ALS itself, which nullify the effect of maize incorporation. Similar findings were also reported by Abebe et al. (2004), and Beigh et al. (2017) also suggested that the complete feed with the use of fibrous crop residue is a noble way to increase the voluntary feed intake and animal's production performance.

Improvement reported in DCP intake in ALS group was attributed to improved DMI as well as higher CP content of the ALS. Enhanced DCP intake in TMR groups and energy intake in all treatment groups justified by increased DMI in their respective groups. Similar to the present findings, Puri and Gupta (2001) also reported improved DCP and TDN intake when ammoniated paddy straw diet was used, similarly Dutta et al. (2004) also found improved N intake in bucks fed ALS.

4.4. Nutritive value of experimental diets

Urea ammoniation increased the DCP value of the treated straw, whereas the effect on energy value was meagre.
5. Conclusions

On the basis of the present study, it may be concluded that untreated LS fed alone provides a sub-maintenance diet for kids, due to low nutritive value and DMI and also having inappropriate Ca:P ratio. It can, however, be ammoniated with urea or fed in a TMR for getting optimum performance in kids rearing.
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