Combination of KIR2DS4 and FcγRIIa polymorphisms predicts the response to cetuximab in KRAS mutant metastatic colorectal cancer
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Cetuximab is a standard-of-care treatment for RAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) but not for those harbor a KRAS mutation since MAPK pathway is constitutively activated. Nevertheless, cetuximab also exerts its effect by its immunomodulatory activity despite the presence of RAS mutation. The aim of this study was to determine the impact of polymorphism FcγRIIa V158F and killer immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) genes on the outcome of mCRC patients with KRAS mutations treated with cetuximab. This multicenter Phase II clinical trial included 70 mCRC patients with KRAS mutated. We found KIR2DS4 gene was significantly associated with OS (HR 2.27; 95% CI, 1.08–4.77; p = 0.03). In non-functional receptor homozygotes the median OS was 2.6 months longer than in carriers of one copy of full receptor. Multivariate analysis confirmed KIR2DS4 as a favorable prognostic marker for OS (HR 6.71) in mCRC patients with KRAS mutation treated with cetuximab. These data support the potential therapeutic of cetuximab in KRAS mutated mCRC carrying non-functional receptor KIR2DS4 since these patients significantly prolong their OS even after heavily treatment. KIR2DS4 typing could be used as predictive marker for identifying RAS mutated patients that could benefit from combination approaches of anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies and other immunotherapies to overcome the resistance mediated by mutation in RAS.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer worldwide and the second most common in Europe with 447,136 new cases in 20121. Specifically in Spain the incidence was 32,240 new cases. If trends continue by December 31, 2020, Spain will have approximately 37,229 new CRC cases2. Approximately 20% of patients present metastatic disease (mCRC) at diagnosis3.
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Deregulation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway is required for the development of CRC and it is activated by binding of growth factor ligands to the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)\(^1\). Cetuximab is a monoclonal antibody that binds to the extracellular domain of EGFR, causing inhibition of the downstream signaling pathway, and is a widely used biological treatment for mCRC\(^2\). Mutations in KRAS result in constitutive activation of the pathway, since it is expected that patients with mutations in KRAS will not benefit from cetuximab treatment\(^3\). Despite this rationale, approximately 30% of mCRC patients with mutations in KRAS do benefit from cetuximab\(^4\). Understanding the mechanisms underlying the response of this subgroup to cetuximab could benefit these hard-to-treat patients.

Because cetuximab is an IgG1 monoclonal antibody it also exerts its effect by its immunomodulatory activity in part via antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytoxicity (ADCC)\(^5\)–\(^10\). Cetuximab stimulates ADCC activity when its constant region (Fc) binds to a Natural Killer (NK) cell receptor (CD16/FRγRIIa) leading their own cytotoxicity on tumor cells\(^11\)–\(^13\). Also important downstream immunological responses are possible when CD32A/FRγRIIa receptors are present on dendritic cells and neutrophils leading to priming of tumor antigen-specific cellular immunity\(^14\)–\(^16\). Previous reports suggested that specific polymorphic variants of FRγRIIa are associated with the clinical outcome of cancer patients\(^13\)–\(^15\). In particular, the FRγRIIa H113I allele has been shown to increase the ability of cetuximab to control disease in patients with mutated KRAS\(^5\). The role of FRγRIIa in the ADCC induction by cetuximab in colorectal cancer patients is controversial. While in one study no statistically significant associations between the presence of FRγRIIa and response, progression-free survival (PFS) or RAS status in mCRC patients were found\(^16\), in a subsequent study a tendency for a higher disease control rate (DCR) was shown in patients with the FRγRIIa V-containing genotype\(^17\). Recently, a different study demonstrated that the FRγRIIa V158V genotype was correlated with a higher ADCC\(^18\), although sample size was too small to confirm the impact of this association.

A clinical trial with KRAS wt mCRC patients treated with cetuximab + Irinotecan showed that ADCC response was not affected by BRAF or NRAS mutations\(^19\). Another phase III clinical trial with very similar patients demonstrated that ADCC response scores were higher in patients carrying FRγRIIa 131H allele vs. 131R/RIIa. Furthermore, FRγRIIa 158V carriers were associated with higher cetuximab-mediated ADCC compared to 158F/F. Objective response was significantly higher in both patients carrying the FRγRIIa 131H allele and those carrying the FRγRIIa 158V allele. However, survival analysis only revealed longer progression-free survival in patients carrying FRγRIIa 158V allele\(^18\).

Besides FRγRIIa, Killer immunoglobulin-like receptors (KIRs) are also essential in the immune response against tumor cells\(^17\)–\(^18\). They are located on the surface of NK cells and regulate their killing function in the ADCC\(^19\). To date, 14 functional KIR genes and two pseudogenes located in the leukocyte receptor complex on chromosome 19q13.4 have been identified. They present a very high degree of structural homology and can be classified as inhibitors or activators of the immune response depending on their cytoplasmic tails. Products of inhibitory KIR genes are characterized by long cytoplasmic tails ("L") KIR genes) and transmit inhibitory signals leading to the general shutdown of NK cell effector functions. Opposite, activating KIR proteins have short cytoplasmic tails ("S" KIR genes) and their signal promotes NK cell activity\(^20\). The immune-modulating effects depend on the balance of the number and type of receptors exposed on the cell surface\(^21\). KIR genes are organized in a highly polymorphic multigene family and their combination define two main groups of haplotypes. A haplotypes are characterized by a predominance of genes encoding inhibitory receptors. B haplotypes are more heterogeneous and generally contain several activating genes\(^22\). A recent study provides evidence of the role of specific variants of KIRs on the response to anti-EGFR treatment in solid tumors including RAS wild type advanced colorectal cancer. Due to the strong immunomodulatory activity of cetuximab we hypothesized that both KIR and FRγRIIa receptors might influence the response of mCRC patients to cetuximab independently of RAS mutation.

This prospective multicenter clinical study aimed to determine whether polymorphisms in CD16/FRγRIIa and/or KIR genes could enhance the clinical impact of the FRγRIIa polymorphism in mCRC patients with KRAS mutated who are treated with cetuximab.

### Results

**Patient characteristics and clinical outcome.** Table 1 summarizes the baseline demographic and disease characteristics of the participants. Baseline blood levels were: median 46.50 ng/mL (0–2930) for CEA, median 330 UI/L (150–5882) for LDH and 2.10 mg/L (1–4) for β2-microglobulin. The median time of follow-up was 6.4 months (range: 3.8–10.2 months).

Overall, 68 patients (97.1%) experienced disease progression. Fifty-six (80.0%) patients died during the study period.

Median OS was 6.71 months with a 95% CI of (5.4–8.1) and median PFS was 2.53 with a 95% CI of (2.4–2.7).

#### Frequency of V158F FRγRIIa allele and presence of KIR genes.

Twenty-eight out of 70 patients (39.4%) were homozygous for FRγRIIa-158F allele, 36 patients (52.1%) were heterozygous (V/F) and 6 (8.5%) were homozygous for FRγRIIa-158V allele (Table 2). The minor allele frequency of this polymorphism was 34% in our series, which was in concordance with the frequency described in the Spanish population\(^23\).

With regard to KIR genes (Table 2), some of the gene variants were present in more than 90% of the patients (KIR2DL1, KIR2DL3, KIR2DL4, KIR3DL1, KIR3DL2, KIR3DL3 and KIR2DP1). Owing to this high frequency, these genes were excluded from the survival analysis. The remaining KIR genes and pseudogene (KIR3DP1) were included in the survival analysis.

#### Survival analysis.

Regarding clinical and biochemical parameters only the number of metastatic sites was significantly correlated by univariate analysis (Table 3).
Cox regression analysis showed that only KIR2DS4 polymorphism was significantly associated with OS (Table 4). KIR2DS4 encodes two different proteins, a full length variant (KIR2DS4f) and a truncated protein with a 22-bp deletion in exon 5 (KIR2DS4d) resulting in a protein unable to attach to the cytoplasmic membrane. The genotype distribution in the study population was 50 (71.4%) homozygotes for the KIR2DS4d, four (5.7%) homozygotes for the KIR2DS4f, 10 (14.28%) heterozygotes (f/d) and six (8.6%) patients did not have any copy of the KIR2DS4 gene. As both homozygotes for the deleted variant and patients with no copy of the gene have a non-functional receptor (NFR), these patients were grouped together. A significantly lower OS was observed for patients with at least one KIR2DS4f allele compared with individuals without a functional receptor (median 4.8 months vs. 7.4 months; HR 2.27; 95% CI, 1.08–4.77; \( P = 0.026 \)) (Fig. 1). Since the number of homozygotes for KIR2DS4f was very small (n = 4), it was not possible to determine the expected cumulative effect of this genotype.

Multivariate logistic regression model, adjusted for baseline characteristics, showed that 3 or more metastatic sites and KIR2DS4 polymorphism were independent predictors of overall survival (OS) in KRAS mutated mCRC patients carrying Fc\( \gamma \)RIIa H131 allele and receiving cetuximab (HR 3.03, \( P = 0.007 \) and HR 2.17, \( P = 0.045 \), respectively) (Table 5).

Logistic regression and disease control rate (DCR) analysis were also performed; however, no significant result was obtained (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Our results support with new evidences the immunomodulatory activity of cetuximab in mCRC patients regardless KRAS status. In particular, subjects carrying the non-functional receptor KIR2DS4 showed longer OS than carriers of the full-length variant.

We have previously described higher disease control rate (DCR) in KRAS mutated patients carrying Fc\( \gamma \)RIIa H131 allele; however, only a tendency was observed for patients with the Fc\( \gamma \)RIIa V-containing genotype. Although this polymorphism is the best-studied biomarker for ADCC its clinical value is not fully confirmed. An analysis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, no predictive value for Fc\( \gamma \)RIIa F158V polymorphism was detected for cetuximab efficacy\(^2^4\); and a study in refractory mCRC patients treated with anti-EGFR antibodies did not found significant associations between Fc\( \gamma \)RIIa polymorphism and clinical outcome\(^1^5\). However, two different studies in mCRC patients with KRAS wild-type found a significant difference in outcomes among patients carrying different genotypes of this polymorphism\(^1^8,2^5\). We have evaluated this polymorphism in our cohort of

| Age at diagnosis (years) | Patients (N = 70) |
|-------------------------|------------------|
| Median (range)          | 64 (42–82)       |
| Gender N (%)            |                  |
| Male                    | 36 (51.4)        |
| Female                  | 34 (48.6)        |
| Primary site            |                  |
| Colon                   | 52 (74.3)        |
| Rectum                  | 18 (25.7)        |
| Number of metastatic sites |
| 1                       | 16 (22.8)        |
| 2                       | 27 (38.6)        |
| 3 or more               | 27 (38.6)        |
| ECOG performance status |
| 0                       | 13 (18.6)        |
| 1                       | 51 (72.9)        |
| 2                       | 6 (8.6)          |
| CEA basal               |
| ≤ULN                    | 8 (11.4)         |
| >ULN                    | 60 (85.7)        |
| N/A                     | 2 (2.9)          |
| LDH basal               |
| ≤ULN                    | 35 (50.0)        |
| >ULN                    | 30 (42.9)        |
| N/A                     | 5 (7.1)          |
| β2 microglobulin basal  |
| ≤ULN                    | 53 (75.7)        |
| >ULN                    | 8 (11.4)         |
| N/A                     | 9 (12.9)         |

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of patients. Abbreviations: CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; N/A, Not available; ULN, Upper limit of the normal range.
KRAS mutated mCRC patients treated with cetuximab, similarly to Paez et al. we have observed no effect of FcγRIIIa V158F on OS or PFS.

Despite the central role of NK-cells in ADCC and the essential role of KIR receptors to modulate NK cell function, the impact of the KIRs on the mCRC clinical outcome have been essentially unexplored. A recent study demonstrated the use of genotyping KIR to predict overall survival to treatment with FOLFIRI in mCRC patients. The authors showed the absence of KIR2DS4 and 3DL1 increased complete response. Apart from this study, there are few more analyses assessing the clinical impact of KIRs in cancer. Siebert et al. found higher level of ADCC and superior event-free survival in Neuroblastoma patients with haplotype B (combination of KIR genes including activating receptor genes) compared to inhibitory haplotype A (a fixed set of gene encoding for inhibitory receptors, except 2DS4). Also in Neuroblastoma patients, Forlenza et al. evaluated whether combinations of KIR3DL1 and HLA ligands could influence patient outcome after treatment with anti-GD2 monoclonal antibody. They evaluated a total of 245 patients and found that single KIR3DL1 did not impact survival but after assessing combinations of KIR3DL1 and HLA-B they found that when receptor and ligand did not interact at the cell surface exhibited the greatest OS and PFS. Similar results were observed by Boudreau et al. when investigated the impact of KIR3DL1/HLA-B combinations in 1,328 patients with acute myelogenous leukemia who underwent hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT). Patients with weak or non-inhibiting KIR3DL1/HLA-B partnership experienced higher DFS after HCT. Recently, it has been published other study in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). The authors hypothesized that KIR and HLA polymorphisms may influence response to TKIs. They did not find association between single KIR genes or the presence of a single functional combination of an inhibitory KIR-HLA genotype with achievement of complete molecular response. However, specific alleles of KIR2DL4, KIR3DL1 and KIR2DS4 were associated with response. A study in non-small cell lung cancer showed patients with absence of KIR2DS4 had longer OS than patients who were positive. A third study demonstrated that donor's full-length KIR2DS4 allele is associated with lower OS rates and higher relapse incidence in patients with hematological malignancies. Finally, a very recently study showed a protective impact of deleted KIR2DS4 on genetic predisposition to head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).

In our study, a significant survival advantage was observed for patients without functional KIR2DS4, suggesting a novel favorable and independent prognostic biomarker for overall survival in mCRC patients KRAS mutated and treated with cetuximab. KIR2DS4 encodes two different allele variants, full-length (KIR2DS4f) or deleted (KIR2DS4d). A deleted KIR2DS4 is a truncated protein without transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains compared to full-length KIR2DS4 protein. Therefore, this truncated protein is not anchored to cell membrane becoming a soluble variant. The role of this soluble protein remains unclear but it has been suggested that the presence of this truncated protein could minimize the detrimental effects of the KIR2DS4d. Its function

| Polymorphic gene | Genotype frequency N (%) |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| FcγRIIIa         | FF FFV VV                |
| V158F            | 28 (40) 36 (51.4) 6 (8.6) |

Inhibitory KIR genes

| Genotype frequency N (%) |
|--------------------------|
| FF 64 (91.4) 6 (8.6)     |
| FV 37 (52.9) 33 (47.1)   |
| VV 63 (90.0) 7 (10.0)    |
| 2DL4* 70 (100)           |
| 2DL5A 28 (40.0) 42 (60.0) |
| 2DL5B 20 (28.6) 50 (71.4) |
| 3DL1 64 (91.4) 6 (8.6)   |
| 3DL2 70 (100)            |
| 3DL3 70 (100)            |

Activating KIR genes

| Genotype frequency N (%) |
|--------------------------|
| FF 37 (52.9) 33 (47.1)   |
| FV 36 (51.4) 34 (48.6)   |
| VV 30 (43.5) 39 (56.5)   |
| 2DS4f 14 (20.0) 56 (80.0) |
| 2DS4d 60 (85.7) 10 (14.2) |
| 2DS5 22 (31.4) 48 (68.5) |
| 2DL4* 70 (100)           |
| 3DS1 28 (40.0) 42 (60.0) |

KIR pseudogenes

| Genotype frequency N (%) |
|--------------------------|
| FF 64 (91.4) 6 (8.6)     |
| FV 20 (28.6) 50 (71.4)   |
| VV 50 (71.4) 20 (28.6)   |

Table 2. Polymorphisms frequencies. One patient was undetermined; It has both properties. Abbreviations: d, deleted variant; f, full variant.
Table 3. Cox regression univariate analysis for the association between baseline characteristics and patients’ outcome. Abbreviations: CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR, Hazard ratio; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ULN, Upper limit of the normal range.

|                          | Overall survival | Progression-free survival |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
|                          | HR (95% CI)      | P                          |
| Sex (female vs. male)    | 0.568            | 1.17 (0.69–1.98)           | 0.549 | 1.17 (0.71–1.92) |
| Age (≥ 60 vs. <60)       | 0.824            | 0.94 (0.51–1.70)           | 0.335 | 1.30 (0.76–2.22) |
| Tumor primary site (rectum vs. colon) | 0.687 | 1.13 (0.63–2.04) | 0.466 | 1.24 (0.70–2.18) |
| ECOG (1 & 2 vs. 0)       | 0.384            | 1.38 (0.67–2.821)          | 0.630 | 1.16 (0.63–2.15) |
| CEA (>ULN vs. ≤ULN)     | 0.376            | 1.47 (0.63–3.45)           | 0.288 | 1.54 (0.70–3.40) |
| LDH (>ULN vs. ≤ULN)     | 0.465            | 1.23 (0.71–2.15)           | 0.520 | 1.18 (0.71–1.98) |
| β2 microglobulin (>ULN vs. ≤ULN) | 0.678 | 0.85 (0.40–1.83) | 0.767 | 0.89 (0.42–1.90) |

Table 4. Cox regression univariate analysis for the association between polymorphisms in KIR genes or FcγRIIa and outcome of the patients. Individuals with at least one valine (FV and VV) were compared with homozygous for phenylalanine (FF). Carriers of one full variant (KIR2DS4f/d) were compared to individuals with no functional receptor (NFR). Individual carrying at least one full variant. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HR, Hazard ratio.

|                          | Overall survival | Time to progression |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
|                          | HR (95% CI)      | P                  |
| FcγRIIa V158F*            | 0.864            | 1.05 (0.61–1.79)   | 0.363 | 0.79 (0.48–1.31) |
| KIR2DL2                  | 0.909            | 1.03 (0.60–1.76)   | 0.444 | 0.83 (0.50–1.35) |
| KIR2DL5A                 | 0.934            | 1.02 (0.60–1.76)   | 0.754 | 0.92 (0.55–1.54) |
| KIR2DL5B                 | 0.880            | 0.96 (0.53–1.73)   | 0.209 | 0.70 (0.40–1.22) |
| KIR2DS1                  | 0.776            | 1.08 (0.63–1.86)   | 0.888 | 0.97 (0.59–1.58) |
| KIR2DS2                  | 0.967            | 0.99 (0.58–1.69)   | 0.349 | 0.79 (0.48–1.29) |
| KIR2DS3                  | 0.587            | 1.16 (0.68–1.97)   | 0.292 | 0.76 (0.46–1.26) |
| KIR2DS4*                 | 0.030            | 2.25 (1.08–4.71)   | 0.496 | 1.28 (0.63–2.64) |
| KIR3DS1                  | 0.520            | 1.19 (0.70–2.05)   | 1.000 | 1.00 (0.60–1.67) |
| KIR3DP1†                 | 0.888            | 1.04 (0.59–1.85)   | 0.562 | 1.18 (0.67–2.07) |

Table 5. Cox regression univariate analysis for the association between baseline characteristics and patients’ outcome. Abbreviations: CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR, Hazard ratio; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ULN, Upper limit of the normal range.

|                          | HR (95% CI)      | P                  |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Sex (female vs. male)    | 0.568            | 1.17 (0.69–1.98)   | 0.549 | 1.17 (0.71–1.92) |
| Age (≥ 60 vs. <60)       | 0.824            | 0.94 (0.51–1.70)   | 0.335 | 1.30 (0.76–2.22) |
| Tumor primary site (rectum vs. colon) | 0.687 | 1.13 (0.63–2.04) | 0.466 | 1.24 (0.70–2.18) |
| ECOG (1 & 2 vs. 0)       | 0.384            | 1.38 (0.67–2.821)  | 0.630 | 1.16 (0.63–2.15) |
| CEA (>ULN vs. ≤ULN)     | 0.376            | 1.47 (0.63–3.45)   | 0.288 | 1.54 (0.70–3.40) |
| LDH (>ULN vs. ≤ULN)     | 0.465            | 1.23 (0.71–2.15)   | 0.520 | 1.18 (0.71–1.98) |
| β2 microglobulin (>ULN vs. ≤ULN) | 0.678 | 0.85 (0.40–1.83) | 0.767 | 0.89 (0.42–1.90) |

could potentially be a decoy that absorbs the available soluble HLA and thus potentially interferes with NK cell function or may be related to an alternative receptor ligand. It is important to highlight that KIR2DS4 is the only activating gene within the KIR A haplotype. Therefore individuals with haplotype A being homozygous for the deleted KIR2DS4 will carry only inhibiting KIRs which has been associated with a protective role against some types of cancer such as HNSCC. Based on our results and those of the above-mentioned studies, we can assume that the lack of functional KIR2DS4 has a positive impact on clinical outcome of cancer patients treated with anti-IgG1 therapies. In our cohort, this influence is stronger when NFR-KIR2DS4 and KIR2DS4 to identify the subset of KRAS mutated patients who might benefit from cetuximab therapy could improve the current management strategies available for these patients. Moreover, these results could explain the observed variability in efficacy of cetuximab in KRAS mutated mCRC patients and confirm the important role of ADCC-mediated toxicity to tumor cells by cetuximab.
and considering a minimum frequency of 30% for the KIR and FcγRIIIa-F158V polymorphisms. In order to detect an improvement of overall survival compared to historical controls with at least 80% power, it was estimated a sample size of 70 patients assuming an exponential distribution for OS, a one-sided null hypothesis H0: Median OS ≤ 5 months and, an actual median OS of 7 months. Primary endpoint was OS, and the secondary endpoint was progression-free survival.

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee at the University Hospital Fundacion Jimenez Diaz (authorization number EC 02-12 IIS-FJD) and accepted by the other 12 participating hospitals located across Spain. All of them were general hospitals and publically funded excepting “Clínica Universitaria of Navarra” that was private. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before enrollment. All methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines and regulations.

The patients included in this study were eligible taking into account the inclusion criteria: (A) histologically confirmed mCRC with confirmed KRAS mutation; (B) positive EGFR expression; (C) carrier of at least one histidine at position 131 in FcγRIIa; (D) aged 18 years or older; (E) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 0–2. All patients had adequate bone marrow, renal and liver functions and were refractory to at least two lines of treatment including standard chemotherapy.

Major exclusion criteria were previous anti-EGFR monoclonal antibody-based therapy, presence of brain metastases or evidence of toxicity greater than grade 1 caused by previous treatment.

After enrollment, cetuximab was administered as an intravenous dose of 500 mg/m² of body surface area, every two weeks until unacceptable toxicity, disease progression, death or revocation of the informed consent.

**Baseline measurements.** Peripheral blood was collected in 5-ml tubes with EDTA. Blood levels of carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) and β2-microglobulin levels were evaluated before
initiation of cetuximab therapy as they have been described as prognostic factors in colorectal cancer⁷⁻⁹. Patients were grouped according to the upper limit of the normal range (ULN) for each measure (>5 mcg/L for CEA, 333 UI/L for LDH and 3 mg/L for β2-microglobulin).

**FcγRIlla V158F polymorphism and KIRs genotyping.** Genomic DNA extraction was performed using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen). The purified DNA was quantified with a NanoDrop 3.0 spectrophotometer (Nübel). The FcγRIlla genotype was determined using the TaqMan Allelic Discrimination Assay (assay code C_25815666_10, Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After thermal cycling, allelic determination was performed using the 7500 Fast real-time PCR instrument (Applied Biosystems). Samples of known genotype were included as the positive control.

The 17 KIR genes were determined using the KIR genotyping SSP Kit (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After thermal cycling the genotype-specific PCR products were resolved using 2% agarose gels and interpreted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Statistical Methods.** Overall survival was defined as the time from enrollment until death from any cause. Progression-free survival was calculated as the time from enrollment until disease progression, or death due to any cause. In the absence of confirmation of disease progression or death, the participant was censored at the last contact, known to be alive and progression free. Those patients who started a new treatment (different from cetuximab) were censored at the date of starting the new treatment.

Univariate analysis was performed to assess the effect of genetic markers and clinical variables on the prediction of outcome. Only variables that were statistically significant by univariate analysis were considered as covariates in the multivariate Cox regression model. The survival probability was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to test the differences between the subgroups.

Data analysis was performed using the SPSS statistics version 20.0 software package.
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