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Abstract | Molecular machines orchestrate the translocation and entry of pathogens through host cell membranes, in addition to the uptake and release of molecules during endocytosis and exocytosis. Viral cell entry requires a family of glycoproteins, and the structural organization and function of these viral glycoproteins are similar to the SNARE proteins, which are known to be involved in intracellular vesicle fusion, endocytosis and exocytosis. Here, we propose that a family of bacterial membrane proteins that are responsible for cell-mediated adherence and entry resembles the structural architecture of both viral fusion proteins and eukaryotic SNARES and might therefore share similar, but distinct, mechanisms of cell membrane translocation. Furthermore, we propose that the recurrence of these molecular machines across species indicates that these architectural motifs were evolutionarily selected because they provided the best solution to ensure the survival of pathogens within a particular environment.

The eukaryotic plasma membrane has evolved to control and regulate the entry of fluids, solutes and particles into cells from the extracellular environment and to regulate the export of intracellular components stored in vesicles. This is achieved by endocytosis and exocytosis. In parallel, microorganisms such as viruses and bacteria have developed mechanisms to enter host cells to facilitate their replication, transport and transmission. One of the recent breakthroughs in cell biology has been the understanding that molecular machines that share similarities both in structural domains and functional mechanisms mediate both viral entry and cellular exocytosis events.

The fusion between cell membranes during vesicle trafficking and exocytosis (FIG. 1) is mediated by a conserved set of tetrameric proteins, collectively known as SNARE,1,4 (see Glossary). Similarly, the entry of enveloped viruses into host cells is mediated by a family of glycoproteins that usually fold as homotrimers and that mediate the fusion between two cell membranes (FIG. 1). Also, non-enveloped viruses follow a separate pathway of cell entry,5,6 which seems to be mediated by proteins that have a similar topology.

Compared with the above-mentioned viral and eukaryotic fusion events, relatively little is known about the molecular mechanisms that allow bacteria to access the internal milieu of host cells (FIG. 1). What is known is that this process is mediated by a family of surface proteins that are known as bacterial INVASINS and, as in viral entry, bacterial entry requires actin polymerization. Following host cell-receptor binding, invasins trigger the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of cytoskeleton effector molecules and scaffolding proteins, which results in bacterial internalization17–19 (endocytosis). However, it is not known whether invasins play a further part in bacterial pathogenesis. Evidence from this report indicates that a family of bacterial cell-entry proteins shares the modular organization of SNARE heterotrimerers and viral homotrimeric spike proteins (FIG. 2). It is intriguing to speculate that, because of their conserved modular architecture — which is defined by a membrane anchor, a central COILED-COIL motif and a receptor-binding domain (RBD) (FIG. 2a) — viral fusion proteins, bacterial invasins and SNAREs might share similar mechanisms of action. The absence of amino-acid homology coupled to the analogous distribution of domains indicates a common mechanism that might add selective advantages to the pathogen, which would ensure survival in a particular environment. Furthermore, this indicates that different organisms might have evolved common solutions when challenged with the task of penetrating cellular barriers.

Viruses and vesicles

Vesicles — the SNARE family. In 1992, a seminal discovery showed that proteolytic cleavage of a membrane fusion protein, known as VAMP1, by the tetanus toxin was sufficient to inhibit the secretion of neurotransmitters from synaptic junctions and cause the deadly tetanus disease.11 This finding led to the understanding that intracellular
fusion during exocytosis was carried out by specialized proteins, SNAREs. The SNARE superfamily comprises more than 35 eukaryotic membrane fusion proteins. To mediate fusion, three SNAREs from the target membrane (tSNAREs) recognize one vSNARE (vesicle SNARE) from the vesicle membrane, and the crystal structures of short coiled-coil fragments indicate that this interaction results in the formation of a supercoil complex, which consists of a heterotetramer of four parallel α-helices (FIG. 2b). This complex assembles through the hydrophobic and ionic core-packing interactions that are formed between vSNAREs and tSNAREs. The free energy that is derived from the formation of the four-helix bundle and a subsequent conformational change promotes tethering of the two opposing membranes to mediate subsequent fusion events. Such fusion events mediate either exocytosis of secretory vesicles or endosomal trafficking (FIG. 1); and they involve additional factors, such as the exocyst multi-subunit protein docking complex, microtubules and the recruitment of tethering proteins through Rab GTPases (such as Rab3A) that specify the fusion of transport vesicles with a particular target membrane.

Viral fusion. Conceptually, all enveloped viruses require a similar membrane fusion event to deliver their genomes into the host cell cytoplasm for the replication and transmission of new virions. The entry of many enveloped viruses is mediated by glycoproteins (called ‘spikes’), which are expressed as trimers on the external surface of the viral particle, where they form a halo-like appearance (FIG. 2c,d). These viral fusion proteins have several common features: first, the presence of a generally hydrophobic fusion peptide that participates in the first phase of membrane fusion; second, an energetically metastable region that is characterized by coiled-coil heptad repeats (HR1 and HR2), which form higher order oligomers on the viral membrane; and last, all possess a C-terminal membrane anchor domain (FIG. 2c,d).

On binding with a receptor, many viral fusion proteins undergo a conformational change that is required to expose the fusion peptide, which anchors the host cell membrane. Although fusion peptides of different viruses are variable in structure, hydrophobic residues are important for coiled-coil formation, whereas the ability of these peptides to form trimers is crucial for fusogenic activity. It has been hypothesized that the energy released from a conformational change is important to expose and deliver the fusion peptide to the target membrane, successively driving the formation of the fusion pore and eventually joining the membranes.

Viral fusion proteins are classified as class I and class II fusion proteins according to the location of the fusion peptide and the orientation of the glycoprotein relative to the viral envelope. Class I fusion proteins are expressed by human pathogens such as the influenza virus and HIV and form trimeric ‘spikes’ in their native metastable conformation.
at the surface of the infectious virions. Their post-fusion conformation is a hairpin-like structure in which both the fusion peptide (proximal to the N-terminus) and the membrane anchor (distal to the N-terminus) are juxtaposed at the same end of a stable protein ‘rod’ or ‘spike’ (FIG. 1).

The influenza virus haemagglutinin (HA) is considered the prototype of class I fusion proteins. The fusion that is mediated by haemagglutinin is a pH-dependent process. Haemagglutinin is a trimeric glycoprotein that projects 135Å from the target membrane. Each monomer is composed of a globular receptor-binding subunit HA (shown in blue in FIG. 2), and a triple-stranded coiled-coil fibrous region, known as HA (shown in red in FIG. 2). Data from the crystal structure of haemagglutinin before and after the pH-induced conformational change allowed an understanding of the mechanism of viral fusion with the host cell. In this multistep process, haemagglutinin binds surface-exposed cell receptors (FIG. 1). The virus is then internalized, and the subsequent acidification of the endosome causes a conformational change of haemagglutinin (irreversible in most cases), and triggers the fusion of the viral and endosomal membrane.

Similar to haemagglutinin of the influenza virus, class I viral fusion proteins from HIV, Visna virus, and SARS-CoV are characterized by a central domain, which during fusion, adopts a trimer of ‘hairpins’ folds, which leads to the assembly of a six-helix, coiled-coil bundle. However, in these cases, the fusion event is not dependent on a pH change. Specifically, during cell entry of HIV, insertion of the HIV envelope protein (Env) fusion peptide into the host cell membrane is followed by the formation of a complete coiled-coil structure, which involves the folding of the HR1 heptad repeat of the stalk over the second heptad repeat HR2, and assembly of the six-helix bundle. The free energy that is released by this conformational change is required to draw the viral and host membranes into close proximity until fusion occurs. The formation of this coiled-coil structure is essential for the fusogenic function of Env and for the infection of CD4+ T cells. Inhibiting its formation by blocking the HR1 domain — for example, with a synthetic peptide such as Enfuvirtide, which mimics the function of HR2 — impedes the fusion of HIV.

By contrast, class II fusion protein heterodimers contain an internal fusion peptide and, when exposed to low pH, these fusion proteins reversibly dissociate from their partner to form irreversible homotrimers, which in turn mediate membrane fusion by a mechanism similar to the one described above. Class II fusion proteins are found in flaviviruses such as dengue virus, hepatitis C virus (HCV), tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) virus, and alphaviruses such as the Semliki Forest virus.

Non-enveloped viruses, such as picornaviruses and rotaviruses, lack a lipid bilayer membrane and therefore cannot achieve cell entry through membrane fusion. Despite...
years of study, the mechanism that allows this class of viruses to cross a membrane remains poorly understood. However, it seems that these viruses might enter cells using proteins that have a similar topology to those used by enveloped viruses. Recently, work by Dormitzer and colleagues reported the structural characterization of a domain of the membrane penetration protein — the VP4 spike of rotavirus. VP4 haemagglutinin is a dimer that is present on the external surface of the virion. Following trypsin cleavage of the VP4 spike, two virion-associated fragments, VP8 and VP5, are generated. The VP5 fragments cluster to form a homotrimer that is composed of three globular domains that are folded over an α-helical triple coiled-coil stalk, which is reminiscent of the structure of spike proteins from enveloped viruses.

A possible mechanism of cell entry is proposed in which the interaction of the rotavirus membrane penetration protein with the host cell receptor promotes a conformational change that leads to the formation of the observed trimer and to the release of the VP8 domain. The hydrophobic fusion domain of VP5 is exposed and might be used to breach or permeabilize the host cell membrane, thereby allowing the virion to penetrate into the cytoplasm. This mechanism of cell entry, although not well understood, recalls that described for enveloped viruses. Also, such a membrane-fusion-independent method of host cell penetration might represent a possible link between the cell entry mechanisms and molecular machines that are used by viruses and bacteria.

### Table 1: Bacterial adhesins and viral fusion proteins involved in cell entry

| Species                        | Protein ID (host E. coli ECOR-9) | Family or accession number | Length (aa) | Pfam ID | CC structure | Percent G+C content (average) | Characterized function                  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Bacteria                       |                                  |                            |             |         |              |                              |                                         |
| Yersinia enterocolitica        | YadA                             | Oca family                 | 455         | PF03895 | CC trimer    | 42.9 (47.6)                  | Adhesin/Invasin, serum resistance        |
| Neisseria meningitidis         | NadA                             | Oca family                 | 364         | PF03895 | CC trimer    | 45 (50.4)                   | Adhesin/Invasin                         |
| Moraxella catarrhalis          | UspA2                            | Oca family                 | 684         | PF03895 | CC trimer    | 39.2 (41)                   | Adhesin, serum resistance               |
| Haemophilus ducreyi            | DsrA                             | Oca family                 | 271         | PF03895 | Weak         | 36 (38.7)                   | Serum resistance, attachment to keratinocytes |
| Xanthomonas oryzae             | XadA                             | Oca family                 | 1265        | PF03895 | CC multimer  | 67.2 (65)                   | Predicted adhesin                       |
| Haemophilus influenzae         | Hia                              | Oca family                 | 679         | NA      | Trimer, not CC |                             | Adhesin                                 |
| Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans | Actac               | Oca family                 | 295         | NA      | CC           | 39.3 (44)                   | ?                                       |
| Escherichia coli STEC          | Saa                              | Oca family                 | 516         | NA      | CC           | 39.1 (50.4)                 | Adhesin                                 |
| Sinorhizobium melloti          | Sinme                            | Oca family                 | 1291        | NA      | Weak CC prediction | 64.2 (62.2) | ?                                       |
| Xylella fastidiosa             | S protein (XadA)                 | Oca family                 | 2059        | PF03895 | CC multimer  | 53.2 (51.8)                 | Predicted adhesin                       |
| Prophage P-EibA (host E. coli ECOR-9) | EibA                             | Oca family                 | 392         | PF03895 | CC multimer  | 48.5 (50.8)                 | IgG binding, serum resistance           |
| Bacteriophage P-EibC (host E. coli ECOR-9) | EibC                             | Oca family                 | 504         | PF03895 | CC multimer  | 47.6 (50.8)                 | IgA and IgG binding, serum resistance   |
| Bacteriophage P-EibD (host E. coli ECOR-9) | EibD                             | Oca family                 | 511         | PF03895 | CC multimer  | 48 (50.8)                   | IgA and IgG binding, serum resistance   |
| Bacteriophage P-EibE (host E. coli ECOR-9) | EibE                             | Oca family                 | 487         | PF03895 | CC multimer  | 47.2 (50.8)                 | IgA and IgG binding, serum resistance   |
| Bacteriophage P-EibF (host E. coli ECOR-2) | EibF                             | Oca family                 | 459         | PF03895 | CC multimer  | 42.3 (50.8)                 | IgA binding, serum resistance           |
| Streptococcus pneumoniae       | CbpA                             | AAB70838                   | 663         | PF01473 | CC           | 41.4 (39.7)                 | Putative adhesin                       |
| Streptococcus equi             | FgbP                             | AAC38445                   | 534         | NA      | CC multimer  | 38.7                       | Adhesin                                 |
| Streptococcus pyogenes         | M protein                        | NP269973                   | 484         | NA      | CC dimer     | 39.3 (38.5)                 | Adhesin                                 |
| Streptococcus dysgalactiae     | FnibA                            | CAAB0812                  | 1091        | NA      | Strong CC prediction | 39.1 (35.6) | Adhesin                                 |
| Viruses                        |                                  |                            |             |         |              |                              |                                         |
| Coronaviridae (SARS)           | Spike                            | AAP50485                   | 1255        | PF01600 | CC trimer    | NA                         | RBFP                                    |
| HIV                            | Envelope/gp160                   | AAB05049                   | 867         | PF00516/17 | CC trimer   | NA                         | RBFP                                    |
| Influenza                      | HA1/HA2                          | AAA43209                   | 565         | PF00509 | CC trimer    | NA                         | RBFP                                    |
| RSV                            | F protein                        | CAAB081295                 | 574         | NA      | CC trimer    | NA                         | RBFP                                    |

All proteins have leader peptides. †From GenBank. ‡Gene is encoded on a mobile element. aa, amino acid; CC, coiled coil; HA, haemagglutinin; Ig, immunoglobulin; NA, not applicable; Oca, oligomeric coiled-coil adhesin; RBFP, receptor-binding fusion protein; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; STEC, Shiga toxin-producing E. coli.
assays coupled with transmission electron microscopy provide evidence that the segmented double-stranded RNA enveloped bacteriophage φ6 expresses a trimeric structure that is involved in the fusion with the outer membrane of its host, the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae*⁴¹,⁴². An additional lipid-containing, double-stranded DNA bacteriophage, PRD1, known to infect Gram-negative pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp., has been proposed to fuse with the bacterial membrane on infection⁴⁶,⁴⁷ (S. Butcher, personal communication).

**What about bacteria?**

Whereas bacteria do not require access to the host cell cytoplasm for replication, many pathogens induce their own uptake to gain access to an immunologically protected environment that is optimal for survival and replication. Bacterial entry is a complex process that involves direct adherence to host cell receptors or indirect adherence through extracellular matrix ligands, followed by host–pathogen signalling events. Once internalized, some pathogens remain within vacuoles (*Salmonella* spp., *Yersinia* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Neisseria* spp.)⁴⁸–⁵¹, whereas others escape to infect neighbouring cells (*Shigella* spp., *Listeria* spp.)⁵²,⁵³.

Proteins that mediate the entry of bacteria into non-phagocytic host cells are known as invasins, the prototype of which is the *Yersinia* spp. invasin protein (InvA), which was discovered in 1987 (Ref. ⁵⁴). More recently, other invasins such as the *Yersinia* spp. YadA and *Neisseria meningitidis* NadA have been described⁵⁵–⁵⁹. YadA and NadA, together with the ubiquitous surface proteins UspA1 and UspA2 of *Moraxella catarrhalis*, represent the prototypes of the Oca (oligomeric coiled-coil adhesin) family of putative non-fimbrial adhesins⁶⁰ (Table 1). Genome sequence analysis and structural prediction algorithms have identified more than 20 novel members of this family in Gram-negative bacteria, as well as in plant pathogens⁶⁰,⁶¹, all of which share several structural and functional features. Oca family proteins are grouped by homologies found in the C-terminal anchor domain, but how did diverse bacterial species acquire these cell entry machines?

As suggested by the anomalous GC composition of the *oca* genes, and by the conserved nature of their C-terminal domains, we propose that these domains might have originated by horizontal transfer. A possible origin could be envisaged in the ubiquitous siphoviridae P-Eib prophages, which encode a family of four genes (*eib-A*, -C, -D and -E), the protein products of which confer immunoglobulin-binding activity to the ECOR group of *E. coli* strains⁶². The Eib (*E. coli* immunoglobulin binding) proteins form high-molecular-weight oligomers. They show the same YadA-like tripartite organization (Fig. 2c) centred on a core coiled-coil motif with a C-terminal membrane anchor that shares sequence identity with the corresponding domains of UspA2 (60.3%), YadA (56.7%) and NadA (58.6%). One hypothesis is that *eib* genes were transferred among *E. coli* strains by phage vectors. A similar mechanism of prophage-driven transfer might have been involved in the propagation of these surface molecules (or of their anchor domains) to different recipient bacterial species. Support for this idea comes from the fact that some of the genes that code for proteins of the Oca family, such as YadA and NadA, are carried on mobile genetic elements (Table 1).

In addition to theories of evolutionary origins, we provide further evidence that bacterial invasins have a role in cell entry that is similar to that mediated by viral fusion proteins and SNAREs.

**Structural similarities.** Like most class I, class II and non-enveloped viral spike proteins, YadA and NadA are expressed as homotrimers on the surface of *Yersinia* spp. and *N. meningitidis*, respectively, and they participate in the binding to and uptake of the bacterium into host cells. Despite the lack of complete three-dimensional structures for proteins of the Oca family, much has been learned about the topology of these proteins through ultramicroscopy and primary and secondary structure prediction.

Similar to viral spike proteins, both YadA and UspA appear as distinct ‘lollipop’-shaped structures, forming a halo-like surface projection on the outer membrane of the bacteria in electron micrographs (Fig. 2c). Whereas the shape of the projections seems similar, the rod-like segments are three times longer in UspA compared with YadA, which extends approximately 230 Å from the bacterial cell surface⁶⁶. This structure is reminiscent of the spike proteins that are expressed by many enveloped viruses (Fig. 2c,d).

Furthermore, sequence analysis of YadA, NadA and the UspA proteins has helped to define the molecular architecture of this class of molecules, which is remarkably similar to that of many viral envelope proteins. According to these predictions, three main domains can be envisaged for proteins of the Oca family: a C-terminal outer membrane anchor domain; a rod-like intermediate segment formed mainly by extended right- or left-handed coiled-coil segments, which are implicated in the formation of higher-order oligomers; and an N-terminal globular head region that is involved in binding to host cells and the extracellular matrix⁶³ (Fig. 2a,e).

Recently, the crystal structure of the collagen-binding domain of YadA was solved (Fig. 2e), revealing a novel, nine-coiled, left-handed parallel β-roll⁶⁴, a structure that is commonly found in fibrous proteins⁶⁵. In Fig. 2e, the X-ray-determined structure of the YadA head domain has been arbitrarily fused to structural models of the stalk and membrane anchor regions, which have been derived from threading analyses.

Like YadA, NadA forms stable, high-molecular-weight oligomers on the surface of *N. meningitidis*⁶⁶ and, when expressed in *E. coli*, is exported to the outer membrane where it assembles into trimers. The trimeric conformation of NadA has been confirmed experimentally by light scattering analysis (S. Savino, personal communication). Detailed analysis of the NadA primary and secondary structure profiles, and comparison with coiled-coil regions of viral glycoproteins has allowed the prediction of the two putative heptad repeat domains HR1 and HR2 within the stalk region. In the absence of three-dimensional data, the presence of a coiled-coil structure for NadA was partially confirmed by circular dichroism (CD) spectra analysis, which shows a prominent α-helical content for this protein (S. Savino, personal communication). Although it is not clear whether these predicted domains have a function, preliminary analysis shows that purified NadA undergoes temperature- and pH-inducible conformational changes that are visible in SDS-PAGE, indicative of a metastable structure similar to that of viral spikes and SNAREs (S. Savino, personal communication).

**Functional similarities.** Besides common structural features, the Oca family proteins and viral fusion proteins also share functional characteristics, such as binding to host cell structures and mediating immunological protection (Table 2). It has been reported that, similar to InvA, YadA-promoted cell entry occurs through the interaction with β1-integrins⁶⁷. Whereas the interaction between *Yersinia* spp. InvA and β1-integrins is direct⁶⁷, YadA interaction with host cells is mediated indirectly by a bridging mechanism involving extracellular matrix components, such as collagen and fibronectin⁶⁸–⁷⁰.

Several important reports have linked YadA to bacterial invasion. YadA is expressed in both *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*, but not in *Yersinia pestis*⁷¹.
As with many virulence factors, YadA is located on the 70-kD plasmid (pYV). Unlike the β-immunoglobulin-like Yersinia spp. InvA, YadA is a homotrimer that is induced during exponential growth in minimal media at 37°C (Ref. 72). In vitro, YadA binds collagen, laminin, cellular fibronectin, intestinal submucosa and hydrophobic surfaces79. Single amino-acid mutations in the N-terminal receptor-binding domain resulted in the abrogation of YadA binding to extracellular matrix proteins in vitro, which led to a marked reduction in virulence in an animal model of infection64. Eitel and Dersch argue that YadA belongs to a secondary uptake pathway that might complement InvA-mediated cell entry when synthesis of InvA is repressed72. Earlier reports showed that YadA genes that were encoded on the pYV plasmid promoted internalization of Yersinia invA mutants, but with a lower frequency of occurrence55,57,59. More recent studies provide evidence that E. coli strains that express YadA under the pBAD-inducible arabinose promoter, in gentamicin protection assays, had the same ability to adhere to and enter cell monolayers as E. coli that expressed InvA77.

Recombinant NadA mediates binding and uptake of E. coli into Chang epithelial cells86. It also has strong immunogenic properties.

Little information is available on the biological function of the UspA proteins. Nevertheless, they seem to share central functional properties with YadA and NadA. All these proteins form high-molecular-weight oligomers, have a role in cell attachment, mediate serum resistance and are good immunogens.

**Gram-positive bacteria and cell entry.** Gram-positive organisms also have evolved strategies that facilitate host cell adherence and entry. Similar to SNAREs and viral membrane fusion proteins, a group of Gram-positive bacterial adhesins are known to undergo a conformational change on receptor binding, which brings the molecule from a disordered to an ordered state, therefore reinforcing binding and facilitating subsequent cell invasion60,61. Proteins that mediate these functions also share a fibril-like elongated architecture, usually composed of coiled coils. Examples of such proteins include the well characterized dimeric M protein of Streptococcus pyogenes82, the fibronectin binding protein (FnBA) of Streptococcus dysgalactiae, the fibrinogen binding protein (FgbP) of Streptococcus equis62,63, and the choline binding protein (CbpA) of Streptococcus pneumoniae84. The M protein of *S. pyogenes* is a fibrillar molecule that binds to fibrinogen and albumin and promotes bacterial adhesion to host cells85. Interestingly, FnBA and structurally similar proteins that are produced by *Staphylococcus aureus* and *S. pyogenes* mediate bacterial cell entry by binding to integrins through a fibronectin-based bridging mechanism86. This mechanism involves a conformation change that is known to trigger the recruitment of effector molecules, such as focal adhesion kinase (FAK), to the entry site.

**Intracellular signalling cascades**

Both bacterial and viral pathogens use the cell and its effector molecules to induce intracellular uptake. Pathogens not only depend on the host cell machinery for their internalization, but also for trafficking within the cytoplasm and for the ability to find sites that allow replication and transmission. Endosomal trafficking and exocytosis of secretory vesicles use molecular mechanisms and signalling pathways that are subverted by bacteria and viruses for their own purposes.

Exocytosis and endocytic events involve docking factors such as the exocyst protein complex and Rab GTPases, such as Rab3A (Fig. 3a). Vesicle trafficking also involves the activation of intracellular cytoskeleton effector molecules such as phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and other small GTPases such as Cdc42. During the final stages of exocytosis, F-actin forms a cortical network under the plasma membrane of a cell. It has been shown that exocytotic vesicles are transported along microtubules to the plasma membrane, but are not secreted before the cortical actin network opens locally to form the exit pore. Also, PI3K activates phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2), which has been implicated in the regulation of the actin cytoskeleton and vesicle trafficking. PIP2 stimulates de novo actin polymerization

---

Table 2 | **Shared characteristics among SNAREs, viral fusion proteins, and bacterial adhesins of the Oca family**

| SNAREs | Viral fusion proteins | Bacterial adhesins |
|---|---|---|
| Contain an N-terminal signal peptide | Contain an N-terminal signal peptide | Contain an N-terminal signal peptide |
| The majority of the protein complex is exposed | The majority of the fusion protein is exposed | Surface exposed |
| Unknown | High density on the viral membrane | Might have a high density on bacterial membrane |
| The anchor domain is a predicted hydrophobic α-helix | The anchor domain is a hydrophobic α-helix | The anchor domain is typically a β-barrel |
| Stalk comprised of heterotrimers that contain α-coils and heptad repeats | Stalk comprised of antiparallel α-coils and heptad repeats | Stalk comprised of α-coils and heptad repeats |
| NA | N-terminal domain confers receptor recognition and binding | N-terminal domain confers binding, serum resistance, agglutination, complement inhibition, and activation of signal transduction |
| Complex forms a rod-like structure comprised of a parallel four-helix bundle of α-coils | Form higher-order oligomers (homotrimer) | Form higher-order oligomers (mainly homotrimer) |
| Energy derived from protein folding and spontaneous assembly of the complex promotes membrane fusion | Energy derived from protein folding promotes membrane fusion | Energy derived from protein folding might promote intimate adherence and invasion |
| NA | Many require proteolytic cleavage to potentiate fusion activity | Unknown |
| Confer binding as well as fusion activity | Confer binding as well as fusion activity | Confer binding |
| NA | Many confer immunological protection | Many confer immunological protection |
| Fusogenic activity can be inhibited by coiled-coil containing inhibitors | Fusogenic activity can be inhibited by coiled-coil containing inhibitors (Enfuvirtide) | Unknown |

SNARE, soluble NSF (N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein) accessory protein (SNAP) receptor.

---
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by activating a pathway that comprises the Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) and the actin-related protein complex ARP2/3 (FIG. 3). Other studies show that actin polymerizes from cholesterol-sphingolipid-rich membrane microdomains called 'rafts', in a tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent manner.

Productive viral and bacterial infections are also frequently associated with profound changes of the host cytoskeleton. Such changes are often mediated through phosphorylation-regulated signalling cascades. Similar to SNARE-mediated intracellular trafficking, some viral uptake mechanisms involve a tightly controlled interplay of intracellular molecules such as clathrin — an effector protein that interacts with intracellular transport effector molecules, such as Esp15 (REF. 81), amphiphysin and the AP2 adaptor proteins. For example, during cell entry, the influenza virus might be taken up by a clathrin-dependent or clathrin-independent endocytic route, following the initial interaction of viral haemagglutinin with its sialic-acid receptor. Also, in vitro data indicate that the GTPase dynamin, which is known to be involved in the release of endosomes from the plasma membrane, is also essential in the early events of influenza virus endocytosis (FIG. 3b).

The role of actin in the endocytosis of viruses is not clear, although a role for actin in viral exocytosis and budding has been described. Microtubules might also be involved in these processes, as seen in the trancytosis of HIV in vitro. Furthermore, HIV is known to activate a FAK pYK2 kinase and to signal to the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway, which is important in the uptake and intracellular transport of the virus (FIG. 3b). Signalling is a common theme in cell entry, as internalization of the adenovirus through ligand binding to its co-receptor integrin activates both protein kinase C and PI3K, as well as subsequent effector molecules that are involved in vesicular trafficking (Rab5) and cytoskeletal organization (Rac1, Cdc42 and dynamin). Interestingly, pre-treatment of epithelial cells with cytochalasin D, a product that disrupts actin fibres, causes a dose-dependent inhibition of adenovirus internalization. These results indicate that assembly of the actin cytoskeleton plays a key part in viral endocytosis, and therefore resembles the general mechanism of invasion that is used by many bacterial pathogens.

Bacterial internalization is accompanied by changes in the phosphorylation status of cytoskeleton effector molecules and scaffolding proteins (endocytosis). However,
so far, there is no data on the signalling events that occur in YadA-mediated cell entry. It is known that, following tight adhesion of *Yersinia* spp. to the host cellular surface, InvA-mediated bacterial uptake seems to involve FAK125, Src, P13K, the small GTPase Rac1 and the ARP2/3 complex90,91 (FIG. 3c). Similarly, recent data support the hypothesis that YadA-mediated bacterial invasion is dependent on protein phosphorylation events, as the addition of tyrosine kinase inhibitors strongly impairs *Yersinia* spp. cell entry through YadA72,92. InvA- and YadA-promoted cell entry occurs through the interaction with the β1-integrins96 and the interaction of YadA with the β1-integrins is mediated through collagen and fibronectin64-70. On the basis of in vitro experiments that describe collagen signal transduction, one might infer that YadA-mediated cell entry is dependent on cell signalling — collagen IV and laminin, but not fibronectin, are known to stimulate tyrosine phosphorylation of intracellular FAK and other signalling molecules. Notably, one of the molecules involved in the InvA signalling pathway, P13K, is implicated in actin polymerization and can also interact with FAK125, which itself associates with β1-integrins93,94. This implicates modulation of the actin cytoskeleton in YadA-mediated cell entry.

In conclusion, both InvA-promoted and YadA-promoted cell entry occur through a ‘zipper-like’ mechanism; that is, a high affinity-binding event that takes advantage of phosphorylation-regulated signalling pathways and that requires the action of phosphokinases and actin polymerization. Recent experimental data from the NadA protein indicates that, similar to YadA, NadA-mediated invasion is actin-dependent59. These results indicate that many of the signalling cascades described in pathogen uptake and eukaryotic vesicle trafficking converge on the machinery involved in host cell reorganization, providing new theories on the evolution of pathogen survival strategies.

**Conclusions and implications**

In this report, we provide evidence that a large family of bacterial surface proteins has an overall modular organization that resembles membrane fusion proteins such as viral spikes and SNAREs. Two bacterial membrane proteins, YadA and NadA, have specifically been shown to mediate bacterial entry into host cells, a function that is analogous to that of viral spike proteins. The data available on the mechanism of invasion promoted by YadA and NadA, compared with what is known about viral uptake, allow some speculation on a possible common route of cell entry that is shared by viruses and bacteria.

As bacterial entry does not involve fusion between bacterial and host plasma membranes, we do not yet have an explanation for all the similarities observed. However, recent data indicates that, even in viruses, these molecular machines are used for common cell entry mechanisms and not solely for membrane fusion events — non-enveloped rotaviruses do not fuse but instead disrupt the host cell membrane, thereby allowing the virion access to the cytoplasm3. The mechanism of cell entry that is exploited by this class of viruses might represent the missing link between viral and bacterial cell-entry mechanisms and might help to explain the possible mechanism of invasion that is promoted by coiled-coil bacterial invasins.

The most probable and supported hypothesis is that, after mediating adhesion, NadA and YadA undergo a conformational change and bring the bacterial and host membranes into tight contact (intimate adhesion). This process would initiate the bacterial–host signalling events that induce actin polymerization, formation of membrane protrusions around the bacteria, and ultimately result in bacterial uptake by endocytosis. A less likely possibility is that these bacterial invasins function as real fusion proteins by binding the membrane protrusions that surround the bacteria, bringing them together until they fuse and engulf the bacteria into the endosome.

The discovery of a large family of bacterial proteins with structural and potentially functional similarities to viral spikes and SNAREs indicates that pathogens use a recurrent theme to cross membranes. These common machineries might confer a selective advantage to the pathogen and provide a significant contribution to pathogen fitness.

Other examples of similar viral and bacterial cell-entry structures have recently been described. The first is IncA of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, a coiled-coil protein that has been shown to assemble into tetramers and to interact homotypically to promote a vesicle fusion mechanism that is similar to that of eukaryotic SNAREs85. The second example is the structural resemblance between the major coat protein of the bacteriophage PRD1 and the human adenovirus Hexon. Although the two proteins have different amino-acid sequences, they show an identical topology and are organized in a double-barrel trimer that contains two eight-stranded jelly-roll motifs96. We have no doubt that evolutionary pressure has selected machineries with similar architectural domains to perform similar functions in bacteria, viruses and eukaryotic cells, but the absence of any primary sequence similarity

**Glossary**

**Circular dichroism spectrum analysis**

A widely used technique for obtaining information about protein structure and conformation. It is a sensitive and reliable tool to study the structure and stability of proteins.

**Coiled coil**

An important protein–protein interaction motif often used to control oligomerization, characterized by a conserved heptad repeat. Coiled coils consist of helices that wrap around one another with a superhelical twist.

**Fusion peptide**

A sequence of 20–30 mainly hydrophobic residues (Ala and Gly) found at the N-terminus of the stalk exposed after a conformational change of the viral fusion protein. Insertion of the peptide into the host cell membrane leads to membrane fusion events.

**Heptad repeat**

Seven residue patterns denoted (abcdefg), in which the a and d residues (core positions) are generally hydrophobic. As there are 3.6 residues to each turn of the α-helix, these a and d residues form a hydrophobic seam, which, as each heptad is slightly under two turns, slowly twists around a helix. Heptad repeats are characteristic of certain proteins such as the intermediate filament proteins.

**Invasin**

Any bacterial surface protein that provokes endocytic uptake by host cells. Adhesins, on the other hand, promote binding to cell surface receptors, but do not elicit uptake by the host cell.

**Jelly roll motif**

These motifs are found in proteins in which the primary fold contains only β-antiparallel strands. Like other elements of super-secondary structure involving the β-strand (for example, the β-α-β unit) the known structure forms a right-handed superhelix.

**Linnaean approach**

The first formal classification scheme was created by Carolus Linnaeus and relied on classification of species according to hierarchical structure, from most general to most similar. However, this scheme ignores their evolutionary history, and important aspects of the origin of those similarities and differences are overlooked.

**SNARE**

Soluble NSF (N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive fusion protein) accessory protein (SNAP) receptor).

These proteins contain a heptad repeat of 60–90 residues that participate in coiled-coil formation. The family of SNARE proteins are involved in intracellular fusion events.

**Threading analysis**

This method uses computer modelling to obtain structural information based on the amino-acid sequence of an uncharacterized protein structure. Threading analysis is mostly used to detect remote homologues that can not be detected by standard sequence alignment.

**Threads**

Insertion of the peptide into the host cell membrane leads to membrane fusion events. As bacterial entry does not involve fusion between bacterial and host plasma membranes, we do not yet have an explanation for all the similarities observed. However, recent data indicates that, even in viruses, these molecular machines are used for common cell entry mechanisms and not solely for membrane fusion events — non-enveloped rotaviruses do not fuse but instead disrupt the host cell membrane, thereby allowing the virion access to the cytoplasm. The mechanism of cell entry that is exploited by this class of viruses might represent the missing link between viral and bacterial cell-entry mechanisms and might help to explain the possible mechanism of invasion that is promoted by coiled-coil bacterial invasins.

The most probable and supported hypothesis is that, after mediating adhesion, NadA and YadA undergo a conformational change and bring the bacterial and host membranes into tight contact (intimate adhesion). This process would initiate the bacterial–host signalling events that induce actin polymerization, formation of membrane protrusions around the bacteria, and ultimately result in bacterial uptake by endocytosis. A less likely possibility is that these bacterial invasins function as real fusion proteins by binding the membrane protrusions that surround the bacteria, bringing them together until they fuse and engulf the bacteria into the endosome.

The discovery of a large family of bacterial proteins with structural and potentially functional similarities to viral spikes and SNAREs indicates that pathogens use a recurrent theme to cross membranes. These common machineries might confer a selective advantage to the pathogen and provide a significant contribution to pathogen fitness.

Other examples of similar viral and bacterial cell-entry structures have recently been described. The first is IncA of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, a coiled-coil protein that has been shown to assemble into tetramers and to interact homotypically to promote a vesicle fusion mechanism that is similar to that of eukaryotic SNAREs. The second example is the structural resemblance between the major coat protein of the bacteriophage PRD1 and the human adenovirus Hexon. Although the two proteins have different amino-acid sequences, they show an identical topology and are organized in a double-barrel trimer that contains two eight-stranded jelly-roll motifs. We have no doubt that evolutionary pressure has selected machineries with similar architectural domains to perform similar functions in bacteria, viruses and eukaryotic cells, but the absence of any primary sequence similarity
leaves open the question of whether this is the result of convergent or divergent evolution. In the first case, a similar architecture would be a common solution for molecules with different origins. In the second case, the morphology that is necessary for function would be the only remaining feature from an ancestral molecule and, as suggested by the LINNAEAN APPROACH, “morphology might be the real link to phylogeny”.

The main limits to our hypothesis relate to the lack of structural information on OcA bacterial invasions, and the dearth of experimental data on the mechanistic processes that lead to bacterial cell entry. However, structural prediction on Y adA and NadA and mental data on the mechanistic processes that lead to bacterial cell entry. Hopefully, this Opinion article will stimulate further experimentation and will help to unveil more bacterial examples of cell entry machineries.

It is fascinating to think that we might be able to exploit these perfect molecular machineries to develop novel antimicrobial drugs such as the HIV fusion inhibitor, or for the development of novel nanomachines that would use programmed fusion between membrane-bound vesicles for drug delivery and for in vivo targeting of cancer cells. Preliminary data also indicates that functional domains of bacterial and viral entry proteins can be exchanged to obtain chimeric proteins with novel properties. Future studies to resolve the crystal structure, identify structural rearrangements involved in consequential changes, as well as to understand the intracellular signalling events mediated by the OcA family of proteins will be crucial in elucidating the speculative function of this set of proteins.
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