Comparison of marsupialization under nasal endoscopy versus lacrimal probing for treatment of congenital dacryocystoceles: a report of 40 cases
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Abstract

Background: This study was performed to compare the efficacy of marsupialization under nasal endoscopy versus lacrimal probing for the treatment of congenital dacryocystocele.

Methods: A prospective randomized controlled study. Forty neonates (43 eyes) diagnosed with congenital dacryocystoceles were divided into Group A (nasal endoscopic marsupialization) and Group B (lacrimal probing). The patients were followed up for 1 year after surgery. The efficacy, incidence of complications, and reoperation rate were compared between the two groups.

Results: The male:female ratio was 25:15 patients (27:16 eyes). In Group A, the success rate was 100%, the incidence of complications was 5%, and the reoperation rate was 0%. In Group B, the success rate was 90%, the incidence of complications was 20%, and the reoperation rate was 30%. There was no significant difference in the success rate between the two groups, but the incidence of complications and the reoperation rate in the lacrimal probing group (Group B) were significantly higher than those in the nasal endoscopic marsupialization group (Group A).

Conclusion: In the treatment of congenital dacryocystoceles, nasal endoscope marsupialization has the same success rate as lacrimal duct probing, but the former is more effective and safer in clinical practice.

Background

A congenital dacryocystocele, also known as a dacryocyst mucocele, is a rare congenital lacrimal disease. The most common causes are congenital membranous atresia of Hasner’s valve at the distal end of the nasolacrimal duct and functional atresia of the valve of Rosenmuller at the proximal end of the dacryocyst [1]. The typical clinical manifestation is a hard, dark blue mass at the inner canthus within 1 week to 1 month after delivery [2,3]. This mass may be accompanied by various degrees of nasal congestion and lacrimation, and dyspnea and suffocation may occur during breastfeeding if the masses are bilateral. Traditionally, conservative observation or lacrimal probing has been performed in patients with congenital dacryocystoceles. However, with the development of pediatric surgical techniques involving the nose and eyes, marsupialization under nasal endoscopy has recently been used to treat congenital dacryocystoceles in clinical practice with favorable efficacy and safety [4].
Since 2012, nasal endoscopy has been conducted in our ophthalmology department to treat pediatric lacrimal duct disorders. We herein share our clinical experience with treating congenital dacryocystocele by marsupialization under nasal endoscopy.

Methods

Basic demographics

A total of 40 children (43 eyes) with complete data who were treated in our hospital for a hard, dark blue mass at the inner canthus after birth were enrolled in this prospective randomized controlled study from March 2012 to January 2016. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1. All patients had a cystic space-occupying lesion in the affected inferior nasal meatus after birth; 2. diagnosed as a congenital dacryocystocele by computed tomography (CT) scanning at a low dosage (tube current of <50mA); 3. In addition to local massage, had not done any other surgical treatment measures. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1. Had undergone other operations; 2. Acute dacryocystitis. All cases were randomly divided into groups A and B using the random sequence generator. Twenty patients (23 eyes) were included in Group A, and these patients underwent marsupialization by nasal endoscopy under general anesthesia at a median age of 18 days (8-27 days). Fifteen patients with dacryocystitis were treated with antibiotics before the operation. The other 20 patients (20 eyes) were included in Group B, and these patients underwent lacrimal probing at a median age of 19 days (9-27 days). Thirteen patients with dacryocystitis were treated with antibiotics before the operation.

Surgical methods

All neonatal patients in Group A were treated by surgery under general anesthesia. Cotton soaked with adrenaline diluted 1:10,000 was used to induce vessel contraction on the affected side. A semitransparent pink cystic mass was observed in the inferior nasal meatus using a 0° hard nasal endoscope (diameter, 2.7 mm; Karl Storz, Tuttlingen, Germany) (Fig. 1). In most patients, the mass was large and pushed the ipsilateral inferior turbinate into a horizontal position, which blocked the
beginning of the meatus nasi communis and middle nasal meatus. At the beginning of the operation, the medial wall of the cyst was first punctured using a sickle knife. Then, following aspiration of the cyst contents, the large cyst in the inferior nasal meatus completely collapsed, and most of the thick wall of the cyst was removed using a microdebrider with diameter of 2 mm (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA) under nasal endoscopy (Fig. 2). The upper lacrimal punctum was then enlarged, and the probe was inserted. Under nasal endoscopic vision, the probe tip was exposed through the inferior nasal meatus, the probe was withdrawn, and the lacrimal passage was washed using normal saline. After cleaning any viscous or purulent secretions from the lacrimal passage and nasal cavity, the nasal endoscope was adjusted to a diameter of 3 mm and angle of 70° to observe the opening of the nasolacrimal duct at the fornix of the inferior meatus. Finally, the prolapsed mucosa around the opening of the nasolacrimal duct was trimmed and smoothed with a microdebrider (Fig. 3). Nasal cavity packing was not performed upon completion of the surgery. The procedure did not require turbinate removal. The efficacy of the procedure was observed during re-examinations, which involved lacrimal passage irrigation under nasal endoscopy at 1 week, 1 month, 6 months, and 1 year postoperatively.

In Group B, the neonate’s head was fixed with the help of an assistant. The mucosal surface was anesthetized at the conjunctival sac using 0.3% oxybuprocaine. We connected the lacrimal probe (size #7) to the syringe and advanced it into the upper lacrimal punctum (the inferior punctum was chosen in one patient because of punctum loss). When the probe tip touched the wall of hard bone in parallel with the gingival margin, the tail was rotated 90°, and the tip was pushed in the direction of the lacrimal sac. Syringing under pressure allowed observation of viscous secretions flowing back from the punctum, confirming that the tip was located in the lacrimal sac. Advancement of the tip then continued in the direction of the inferior nasal meatus until a feeling of breakthrough was encountered. The success of probing was verified by an overflow of secretions from the front nostril or obvious swallowing during syringing. After surgery, the patients were treated with topical antibiotic eye drops. The efficacy of the procedure was assessed by re-examinations involving lacrimal passage syringing at 1 week, 1 month, 6 months, and 1 year postoperatively.
Efficacy assessment criteria

Cure was defined as disappearance of the mass from the inner canthus, no nasal congestion, no sign of lacrimation and no reflux during lacrimal passage syringing. Lack of cure was defined as failure to remove the mass from the inner canthus, nasal congestion, epiphora, a cyst in the inferior nasal passage, or persistence of reflux during lacrimal passage syringing.

Results

Patients had a median age of 18.5 days, and the male:female ratio was 25:15 patients (27:16 eyes). A predisposition for unilateral cysts was observed; 37 of 40 patients had unilateral cysts, and only 3 patients were bilaterally affected. In 21.6% (8/37) of unilateral cases and 100% (3/3) of bilateral cases, intermittent open-mouth breathing was observed during lactation. Among these, 3 bilateral and 4 unilateral patients exhibited respiratory sounds while breathing.

All 20 patients in Group A had achieved a cure at the 1-year follow-up visit (success rate of 100%). Fifteen infants had dacryocystitis, and two had acute dacryocystitis before surgery, which was controlled with antibiotic medication (Table 1). The symptoms of a mass in the inner canthus and epiphora disappeared immediately after surgery. Nasal congestion usually disappeared 1 week after surgery because of mucosal swelling of the adjacent inferior turbinate during nasal surgery; the congestion resolved without special treatment. During the postoperative follow-up visits, mucosal adhesion between the left inferior turbinate and nasal septum was detected by nasal endoscopy in one patient. The adhesion was separated under local anesthesia, and absorbable hemostatic gauze was used for local bleeding control. The patient was clinically normal at the 1-year follow-up visit. No other complications occurred in the remaining neonatal patients; the incidence of complications was therefore 5%. No reoperations were required.

Eighteen patients in Group B had achieved a cure at the 1-year follow-up visit (success rate of 90%).
All patients had unilateral cysts, and 13 patients with dacryocystitis were treated with antibiotics before the operation (Table 2). Four patients developed postoperative complications. Two of these patients presented with nasal bleeding immediately after surgery, which was stopped after compression with ephedrine-soaked cotton. The other two patients developed edema of the inner canthus during syringing; this was considered to have been caused by false passage formation, and probing was discontinued. These two patients were treated with both antibiotic eye drops and oral antibiotics. Probing was conducted again 1 week later. The incidence of complications was 20%. Reoperation was performed in 30% (6/18) of patients. Four of these patients were cured during the second probing. One neonate with formation of a false lacrimal passage was cured during the third probing. The remaining patient exhibited recurrence of the mass in the inner canthus accompanied by nasal congestion at the 1-month follow-up visit, and reflux was obvious during lacrimal duct syringing; therefore, a second probing was performed. At the 6-month follow-up visit, however, synechiae had appeared in the small perforation of the transnasal cyst because of redundancy of the cyst wall, and marsupialization under nasal endoscopy was finally performed. The reoperation rate was 30%.

The success rates of Group A and Group B were tested by chi-square test and \( P < 0.05 \) representing statistical significance. The statistical results are as follows: (Table 3).

**Table 3.**

It can be seen from table 3 that there was no significant difference in the success rate between the two groups, but the incidence of complications and the reoperation rate in the lacrimal probing group (Group B) were significantly higher than those in the nasal endoscopic marsupialization group (Group A).

**Discussion**

A congenital dacryocystocele is a rare disorder that leads to obstruction of the nasolacrimal duct and can cause congenital lacrimal duct obstruction. The nasolacrimal duct is derived from the ectoderm and is located between the maxillary process in the middle of the face and the lateral nasofrontal process. It is formed in the first 3 months of gestation and extends to the head and tail to form a tubular structure at 6 months of gestation [5]. Approximately 30% of newborns develop partial
obstruction of the nasolacrimal duct [6]; however, 85% to 95% of lacrimal duct obstructions will reopen spontaneously after approximately 1 year without treatment [1]. When a congenital dacryocystocele forms, spontaneous regression of >50% of the congenital dacryocystocele occurs within 3 months, >80% occurs within 6 months, and 95% occurs within 1 year [7]. Approximately 76% of children can reportedly be cured with nonsurgical treatment, including lacrimal sac massage, lacrimal duct irrigation, and other conservative treatments [8,9]. However, the disease is easily complicated by dacryocystitis or periorbital cellulitis; thus, early intervention is required. In this study, a total of 28/40 (70%) patients had dacryocystitis, including 15/20 (75%) patients in group A and 13/20 (65%) patients in group B. However, in this study, 21.6% (8/37) of unilateral patients and 100% (3/3) of bilateral patients exhibited intermittent open-mouth breathing, including 3 bilateral and 4 unilateral patients who manifested respiratory sounds while breathing, especially when breast-feeding. We utilized an endoscopic technique in our pediatric otolaryngology department that was combined with CT, lacrimal lipiodol angiography, and nasal endoscopy, and the diagnosis rate of congenital dacryocystocele in our outpatient clinic reached 100%. Several previous studies, such as the work of Roy [10] and Paysse [11], have also emphasized the role of nasal endoscopy combined with CT scanning. The combination of CT and lacrimal lipiodol angiography (Fig. 4) takes the safety of the examination into consideration and can accurately display the three main pathological and imaging features of the disease [12], including various degrees of unilateral or bilateral nasolacrimal duct expansion, a cyst in the inner canthus, and an inner cyst in the inferior nasal meatus [13]. If the clinical findings cannot be confirmed, CT can be used to achieve a diagnosis of lacrimal cysts by excluding brain encephalocele, sweat gland cysts, dermoid cysts, and other lacrimal system abnormalities [14-16]. If CT suggests meningeal encephalocele, further confirmation by magnetic resonance imaging is required. Pink cystic masses can be directly observed by nasal endoscopy. The lower turbinate is usually pushed horizontally; if secondary infection occurs, the condition can rapidly develop into acute dacryocystitis and periorbital cellulitis [17]. Previous reports have described confirmation of the diagnosis by ultrasound [18] or magnetic resonance imaging [19]. Prenatal ultrasound can detect this disease early [20].
MarsupIALIZATION under nasal endoscopy and placement of the syringing device under nasal endoscopic vision eliminate the risk of false lacrimal passage formation, which usually occurs during blind probing, and reduce the incidence of postoperative infection. In 2014, Ali et al. [21] described the classic marsupialization technique. This surgery seems to be more suitable for cysts in larger sinuses because a sickle knife is used to make horizontal and vertical incisions in the middle of the cyst to perform a cruciate incision of the full thickness of the cyst wall. Through contraction of the four separate petals during the healing process, a larger opening is formed, and each flap of the mucous membrane is prevented from overlapping to promote healing. However, our experience is that when the entire layer of a large inflammatory cystic mass is cut, due to the pressure of the cyst, the contents of the cyst will overflow quickly, and the cyst wall will collapse immediately. It then becomes difficult to make a vertical incision. Therefore, we adopted the following method. First, we cut the full thickness of the cyst wall with a sickle knife, and the cyst collapses after the contents overflow. Then, we use a microdebrider with a diameter of 2 mm to remove most of the redundant cyst wall and smoothly trim the edge. The advantage of this type of operation is that cyst fenestration is exact and complete, drainage is unobstructed because there is no overlapping mucous membrane flap, and adhesion will not cause recurrence. The disadvantages include that specific equipment is required, the operation time is prolonged, and most of the mucous membrane of the cyst needs to be removed. Compared to the classical marsupialization technique described by Ali et al., the surgical procedure adopted in this study can be considered extended marsupialization.

Due to complete removal of the cyst from the inferior nasal passage, a wide nasolacrimal duct opening is formed on the affected side; this greatly reduces the probability of surgical failure caused by postoperative synechiae. The opening of the lacrimal duct reduces the pressure in the lacrimal duct system, the occluded valve of Rosenmuller opens naturally, the lacrimal sac decompresses, the cyst in the inner canthus regresses spontaneously, and key physiological functions are preserved. Therefore, this procedure can be considered a functional operation of great clinical significance.
Postoperative mucosal hemorrhage can be treated under nasal endoscopy. The cyst itself does not usually have a rich blood supply, and precise cutting with an electric cut-off drill does not damage adjacent structures; thus, little intraoperative bleeding occurs. Avoidance of nasal filling after surgery is beneficial to the postoperative care of newborns and young infants and meets the postoperative care requirements for nasal surgery in children. In the present study, no postoperative complications of nasal bleeding occurred in Group A. In contrast, when lacrimal probing is performed blindly, nasal bleeding depends on the operator’s personal experience and skills. The technique causes great damage to the lacrimal system mucosa, causes a new scar to form after surgery, and results in local stenosis, which will lead to secondary lacrimal stenosis. These problems are why multiple probing procedures are performed to cure congenital dacryocystoceles. During the follow-up in Group B, we found that the probing failure that had occurred in one patient was caused by a decrease in the diameter of the probe opening during the second probing, even resulting in atresia after surgery. The possible reason for this failure is that due to the high pressure in the lacrimal system preoperatively, the membranous nasolacrimal duct can prolapse to form a large cyst in the inferior nasal passage. After penetration, the pressure in the lacrimal duct is reduced, but the small penetrated opening does not allow for adequate drainage. If the cysts are not removed, stimulation by inflammatory secretions and the inflammatory response of the postoperative mucosa eventually lead to re-atresia of the opening. Although no definite conclusion has been reached regarding the size of the cyst opening compared to the narrow opening after penetration, the spacious drainage opening after marsupialization under nasal endoscopy has obvious advantages with respect to preventing postoperative re-occlusion. Collaboration between rhinologists and ophthalmologists is indispensable when performing marsupialization under nasal endoscopy to ensure efficacy and reduce complications.

Based on the above practices and the results of this study, it is not difficult to understand that although the difference in success rate between the two groups is not statistically significant, but the incidence of complications and the reoperation rate in the lacrimal probing group (Group B) were significantly higher than those in the nasal endoscopic marsupialization group (Group A).
Conclusions
In the present study, nasal endoscope marsupialization has the same success rate as lacrimal duct probing in the treatment of congenital dacryocystoceles, but the former is more effective and safer in clinical practice. This procedure has great advantages compared with blind probing including a small area of injury, the need for few lacrimal duct flushes, and the avoidance of unnecessary damage caused by probing and syringing of the mucosa of the entire lacrimal system. On the other hand, because this study is limited by the sample size, a more accurate conclusion needs to be demonstrated by a large-sample, multicenter study.
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Tables

Table 1. Marsupialization under nasal endoscopy data for Group A

| Patient ID | Unilateral/Bilateral | Complication | Reoperation |
|------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| 1          | U                    | N            | N           |
| 2          | B                    | mucosal adhesion between the left inferior | N           |
|   |   |   | turbinate and nasal septum |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| 3 | U | N | N |
| 4 | U | N | N |
| 5 | U | N | N |
| 6 | U | N | N |
| 7 | B | N | N |
| 8 | U | N | N |
| 9 | U | N | N |
| 10 | U | N | N |
| 11 | U | N | N |
| 12 | B | N | N |
| 13 | U | N | N |
| 14 | U | N | N |
| 15 | U | N | N |
| 16 | U | N | N |
| 17 | U | N | N |
| 18 | U | N | N |
### Table 2. Lacrimal probing data for Group B

| Patient ID | Unilateral/Bilateral | Complication                  | Reoperation                      |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1          | U                     | N                              | N                                |
| 2          | U                     | nasal bleeding                 | second probing                   |
| 3          | U                     | N                              | N                                |
| 4          | U                     | N                              | N                                |
| 5          | U                     | N                              | N                                |
| 6          | U                     | N                              | N                                |
| 7          | U                     | N                              | N                                |
| 8          | U                     | nasal bleeding                 | second probing                   |
| 9          | U                     | N                              | marsupialization under nasal endoscopy |
| 10         | U                     | N                              | N                                |
| 11         | U                     | N                              | second probing                   |
Table 3. Efficacy analysis of Group A and Group B

| Group | Cure  | Lack of cure | Total |
|-------|-------|--------------|-------|
| A     | 20100%| 00%*         | 20    |
| B     | 1890% | 210%*        | 20    |
| Total | 38    | 2            | 40    |

* The expected value of 2 cells (50.0%) is less than 5, and the minimum expected value is 1.00.

According to the Chi-square test, the total number of cases ≤40, and the expected value of 2 cells (50.0%) is less than 5, so the exact probability method (corrected) Chi-square test was used, P = 0.49, there was no significant difference.

Figures
Figure 1

Cysts in the inferior nasal passages. IT: inferior turbinate; SP: nasal septum; Cys: cysts that prolapsed into the inferior nasal passages.
Figure 2

Cyst removal using an electric cut-off drill. IT: inferior turbinate; SP: nasal septum.
Figure 3

Opening of the nasolacrimal duct after cystectomy under a 70° endoscope.

Figure 4

Lacrimal lipiodol angiography clearly shows that iodized oil remains in the upper segment of the dilated nasolacrimal duct (↓), and the lower nasal cyst is compressing the affected inferior turbinate (→) in the coronal and axial positions.
