Clinical Validation of the Greek Version of the Acute Cystitis Symptom Score (ACSS). Part II
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Abstract: The Acute Cystitis Symptom Score (ACSS) is a patient self-reporting questionnaire for the clinical diagnosis and patient-reported outcome (PRO) in women with acute uncomplicated cystitis (AC). The aim of the current study (part II) was the clinical validation of the Greek ACSS questionnaire. After linguistic validation according to internationally accepted guidelines and cognitive assessment (part I), the clinical validation was performed after ethical approval by using the Greek ACSS study version in 92 evaluable female participants including 53 patients with symptoms suspicious of AC and 39 controls. The clinical outcome using the ACSS questionnaire at different time points after the start of treatment was demonstrated as well. The age (mean±SD) of the 53 patients (44.7±17.0) and 39 controls (49.3±15.9) and their additional conditions at baseline visits, such as menstruation, premenstrual syndrome, pregnancy, menopause, diabetes mellitus, were comparable. There was, however, a significant difference (p<0.001) between patients and controls at baseline visit regarding sum score of the ACSS domains, such as typical symptoms and quality of life. The clinical outcome of up to 7 days showed a fast reduction of the symptom scores and improvement of quality of life. The optimal thresholds for the patient-reported outcome of successful therapy could be established. The linguistically and clinically validated Greek ACSS questionnaire can now be used for clinical or epidemiological studies and also for patient’s self-diagnosis of AC and as a PRO measure tool.
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1. Introduction

Acute uncomplicated cystitis (AC) is the most widespread inflammation of the bladder in women. Predominantly it is caused by bacterial infections. The manifestation of AC as a response to certain medications, radiation therapy or potential irritants, such as feminine hygiene spray, spermicidal jellies or long-term use of a catheter is less frequent [1].

The majority of the patients with AC are immunocompetent women of childbearing age who have no comorbidities or urologic abnormalities. Menopausal women, however, are more prone to develop AC [2,3]. The onset of AC is painful and annoying, it has characteristic symptomatology that includes urinary frequency, urgency and dysuria although unusual symptoms such as back pain and fatigue may also be present. Physical examination is usually normal, except for suprapubic tenderness in some cases. In rare cases, palpatory and percussive tenderness in costovertebral angle may be present as well.

A urinalysis is recommended to confirm the diagnosis. Urine cultures are recommended in women with suspected pyelonephritis, women with symptoms that do not resolve or that recur within two to four weeks after completion of the therapy, and women who present with atypical symptoms [4].

Despite the frequency of AC, there are both: errors that are frequently committed and discrepancies that remain unresolved. Even though general guidelines concerning diagnosis and classification do exist, a wide variation of approaches exists in clinical practice [5-7]. Approximately 50% of patients with AC will recover without treatment; however, if left untreated, some patients with AC may progress to develop a recurrent infection, pyelonephritis, and rarely renal failure. In some patients, symptoms persist despite bacterial eradication and it is not clear whether medications other than antibiotics are necessary to relieve patients from bothersome symptoms. While imaging procedures are not routinely required, it may be critical to recognize potential complicating factors including anatomical and functional abnormalities of the urinary tract for certain patients [4,8].

For the reasons stated above, AC must be carefully evaluated either individually or collectively. Well-drawn disease-specific questionnaires are easy-to-handle self-evaluation tools and may serve as appropriate smart instruments for gathering information from a large population of people within a short time. For this reason, they should be designed so that data can be collected with the most optimal accuracy and the results are interpretable and generalisable. The Acute Cystitis Symptom Score (ACSS) was properly designed as an evaluation tool for AC. It is a simple and standardized self-reporting questionnaire assessing typical and differential symptoms, symptoms burden, quality of life and evaluating possible changes in subjective well-being after treatment. It was originally developed in the Uzbek and Russian languages and has demonstrated high reliability and validity [9,10]. The present study was aimed to develop a Greek version of the ACSS questionnaire for clinical research, diagnosis of primary AC, and monitoring the outcome and effectiveness of the treatment.

2. Results

A total of 92 evaluable participants were finally included: 53 with an acute symptomatic episode of cystitis (Patients) and 39 visiting the physician for any other reason except UTI (Controls). The clinical diagnosis of AC was confirmed by the treating physician according to national and international guidelines. The mean age (mean, SD) of the study group was 46.7 (49.3, 15.9) years. Three of the respondents were Albanian, one was Georgian, and the remaining were Greek. All of the questions in the items of the Greek version of the ACSS and the answer options were easily understandable to all surveyed respondents (Figure 1). The demographics of the patients are shown in Table 1.
Figure 1. Greek Acute Cystitis Symptom Score (ACSS) Questionnaire for Visit 1 (Part A) and Follow-up Visits (Part B)
Table 1. The demographic characteristics, additional conditions and the treatment modalities of the study population at baseline visit

| Parameter | Total cohort | Controls | Patients | P-value* |
|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Number, n (%) | 92 (100.0) | 39 (100.0) | 53 (100.0) | n.a. |
| Age, yr, mean (SD) | 46.7 (16.6) | 49.3 (15.9) | 44.7 (17.0) | 0.189 |
| Employment | | | | |
| Full-time, n (%) | 16 (17.4) | n.a. | 16 (30.2) | n.a. |
| Part-time, n (%) | 17 (18.5) | n.a. | 17 (31.2) | n.a. |
| Not working, n (%) | 14 (15.2) | n.a. | 14 (26.4) | n.a. |
| Retired, n (%) | 6 (6.5) | n.a. | 6 (11.3) | n.a. |
| Sexually active, n (%) | 44 (47.8) | n.a. | 44 (83.0) | n.a. |
| Symptomatic episodes of lower UTI within the last year | | | | |
| No symptomatic episode, n (%) | 47 (51.1) | n.a. | 47 (88.7) | n.a. |
| One or two symptomatic episodes, n (%) | 6 (6.5) | n.a. | 6 (11.3) | n.a. |
| Received antimicrobial therapy within the last 3 mo, n (%) | 9 (9.8) | n.a. | 9 (17.0) | n.a. |
| Urine culture performed, n (%) | 53 (57.6) | 0 (0.0) | 53 (100.0) | n.a. |

**Characteristics following ACSS's "Additional" domain**

| Cases with menstruations, n (%) | 9 (9.8) | 2 (5.1) | 7 (13.2) | 0.350 |
| Cases with premenstrual symptoms, n (%) | 9 (9.8) | 5 (12.8) | 4 (7.5) | 0.627 |
| Cases with symptoms of menopause, n (%) | 10 (10.9) | 3 (7.7) | 7 (13.2) | 0.616 |
| Cases with pregnancy, n (%) | 1 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (1.9) | 1.000 |
| Cases with diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 6 (6.5) | 2 (5.1) | 4 (7.5) | 0.970 |

**Prescribed treatment modality**

| Antimicrobial therapy, n (%) | 44 (47.8) | n.a. | 44 (83.0) | n.a. |
| Non-antimicrobial therapy, n (%) | 4 (4.3) | n.a. | 4 (7.5) | n.a. |
| Combined therapy, n (%) | 5 (5.4) | n.a. | 5 (9.4) | n.a. |

*Student t-test for numerical values, Wilcoxon-Mann Whitney test for ordinal/interval values, and Pearson's chi-square for categorical values. n.a. - not applicable*

In all 53 Patients diagnosed by the treating physician with cystitis, urine culture was performed before the start of therapy, while 31/53 (58.4%) had bacteriuria of ≥10⁵ CFU/ml, 15/53 (28.3%) had 10⁴ CFU/ml, 7/53 (13.2%) had <10⁴ CFU/ml. In 81.1% *Escherichia coli* was found at different levels of bacteriuria (Table 2).

Table 2. Microbiological results of urine cultures in 53 Patients with AC.

| Pathogens                  | Bacteriuria (colony forming units (cfu) per ml) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                            | ≥10⁵/ml | 10⁴/ml | 10³/ml | 10²/ml |
| *Escherichia coli*         | 28      | 13     | 1      | 1      |
| *Proteus sp.*              | 2       |        |        |        |
| *Klebsiella sp.*           | 2       |        |        |        |
| *Enterococcus sp.*         | 1       |        |        |        |
| *Staphylococcus CoN*       |         | 4      | 1      |        |
| **Total n(%)**             | 31 (58.4%) | 15 (28.3%) | 5 (9.4%) | 2 (3.8%) |

CoN – coagulase negative
Detailed results on the prevalence of complaints of varying severity (none, mild, moderate, severe) at Day 0 (baseline) are presented in Table 3 for items in the ACSS’s “Typical”, “Differential”, and “QoL” domains.

**Table 3. Acute Cystitis Symptom Score (ACSS): Severity of symptoms in patients with AC and controls.**

Scores: none (0), mild (1), moderate (2), severe (3).

| ACSS | Controls (n=39) | Patients (n=53) | P-value |
|------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|      | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |         |
| **TYPICAL SYMPTOMS** |           |           |         |         |         |
| 1. Urinary frequency positive | 17 | 43.6 | 49 | 92.4 | <0.001 |
| None | 22 | 56.4 | 4 | 7.6 | <0.001 |
| Mild | 11 | 28.2 | 17 | 32.1 | 0.694 |
| Moderate | 6 | 15.4 | 12 | 22.6 | 0.391 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 37.7 | <0.001 |
| 2. Urinary urgency positive | 7 | 17.9 | 45 | 84.9 | <0.001 |
| None | 32 | 82.1 | 8 | 15.1 | <0.001 |
| Mild | 5 | 12.8 | 18 | 34.0 | 0.015 |
| Moderate | 2 | 5.1 | 13 | 24.6 | 0.007 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 26.4 | <0.001 |
| 3. Dysuria positive | 0 | 0.0 | 37 | 69.8 | <0.001 |
| None | 39 | 100.0 | 16 | 30.2 | <0.001 |
| Mild | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 37.7 | <0.001 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 20.8 | <0.001 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 11.3 | <0.001 |
| 4. Incomplete bladder emptying positive | 4 | 10.3 | 38 | 71.7 | <0.001 |
| None | 35 | 89.7 | 15 | 28.3 | <0.001 |
| Mild | 4 | 10.3 | 13 | 24.5 | 0.068 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.4 | 0.024 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 14 | 26.4 | <0.001 |
| 5. Suprapubic pain positive | 5 | 12.8 | 26 | 49.1 | <0.001 |
| None | 34 | 87.2 | 27 | 50.9 | <0.001 |
| Mild | 3 | 7.7 | 7 | 13.2 | 0.407 |
| Moderate | 2 | 5.1 | 16 | 30.2 | <0.001 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 5.7 | 0.083 |
| 6. Visible blood in urine positive | 0 | 0.0 | 37 | 69.8 | <0.001 |
| None | 39 | 100.0 | 16 | 30.2 | <0.001 |
| Mild | 0 | 0.0 | 15 | 28.3 | <0.001 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 24.5 | <0.001 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 17.0 | <0.001 |
| 7. Flank pain positive | 12 | 30.8 | 34 | 64.2 | 0.001 |
| None | 27 | 69.2 | 19 | 35.8 | 0.001 |
| Mild | 4 | 10.3 | 22 | 41.5 | <0.001 |
| Moderate | 8 | 20.5 | 11 | 20.8 | 0.978 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 | 0.322 |
| 8. Vaginal discharge positive | 3 | 7.7 | 5 | 9.4 | 0.773 |
| None | 36 | 92.3 | 48 | 90.6 | 0.773 |
| Mild | 3 | 7.7 | 4 | 7.6 | 0.980 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 | 0.322 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| 9. Urethral discharge positive | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 17.0 | 0.002 |
| None | 39 | 100.0 | 44 | 83.0 | 0.002 |
| Mild | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 9.4 | 0.024 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 7.6 | 0.044 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| 10. Feeling fever positive | 0 | 0.0 | 28 | 52.8 | <0.001 |
| None | 39 | 100.0 | 25 | 47.2 | <0.001 |
| Mild | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 32.1 | <0.001 |
| Moderate | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 18.9 | 0.001 |
| Severe | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 1.9 | 0.322 |
The severity of the majority of symptoms and their negative impact on the quality of life were significantly higher in the group of Patients than in Controls. Similar results were found for the summary scores of all three domains and the cumulative summary scores for the “Typical” & “QoL” domains, as well as for the total score of the ACSS (Table 4, Figure 2).

Table 4. Summary scores of the different domains of the ACSS at baseline (Day 0)

| Summary scores of the different ACSS domains | Total cohort | Controls | Patients | p-value* |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Summary “Typical” score, median (IQR)       | 5.0 (1.0-9.3)| 1.0 (0.0-2.0)| 9.0 (6.0-11.0)| <0.001   |
| Summary “Differential” score, median (IQR)  | 1.0 (0.0-2.0)| 0.0 (0.0-1.0)| 2.0 (1.0-3.0)| <0.001   |
| Summary “QoL” score, median (IQR)           | 1.0 (0.0-6.0)| 0.0 (0.0-0.0)| 6.0 (3.0-6.0)| <0.001   |
| Cumulative "Typical" & "QoL" score, median (IQR) | 6.0 (1.0-15.0)| 1.0 (0.0-2.0)| 14.0 (9.0-18.0)| <0.001   |
| Cumulative score of the entire ACSS, median (IQR) | 7.5 (2.0-17.0)| 2.0 (0.0-3.0)| 15.0 (12.0-20.0)| <0.001   |

*Student t-test

Figure 2. The difference in summary scores of the different ACSS domains between Controls and Patients. Boxplots (IQR, mean ± SD)
In Patients, there was, however, no correlation between the amount of bacteriuria and summary scores of the different ACSS domains (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Correlation between summary scores of the different ACSS domains and cumulative scores in Patients at baseline and different rates of bacteriuria

Along with the good values of internal consistency for different approaches, Cronbach’s alpha coefficient showed especially high values for the “Typical” and “QoL” domains (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Cronbach’s alpha for internal consistency.

| The ACSS domain       | Patients and Controls | Patients at baseline and follow-up assessments | p-value*   |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------|
|                       | Cronbach's alpha [95%CI] | Split-half [95%CI] | Cronbach's alpha [95%CI] | Split-half [95%CI] |         |
| Typical               | 0.81 [0.75; 0.87]       | 0.83 [0.64; 0.90] | 0.81 [0.77; 0.85]       | 0.83 [0.63; 0.92] | 0.980    |
| Differential          | 0.40 [0.22; 0.58]       | 0.33 [0.25; 0.62] | 0.57 [0.48; 0.66]       | 0.51 [0.49; 0.69] | 0.061    |
| QoL                   | 0.91 [0.88; 0.94]       | 0.81 [0.80; 0.81] | 0.89 [0.86; 0.92]       | 0.77 [0.75; 0.78] | 0.701    |
| Cumulative "Typical" and "QoL" | 0.89 [0.86; 0.93] | 0.89 [0.78; 0.94] | 0.89 [0.86; 0.91]       | 0.89 [0.76; 0.95] | 0.798    |
| Entire ACSS           | 0.89 [0.86; 0.92]       | 0.89 [0.77; 0.94] | 0.88 [0.86; 0.91]       | 0.89 [0.76; 0.94] | 0.870    |

*-Chi-square test of comparison between Cronbach's alpha coefficients of different approaches

The largest AUC in the ROC-curve analysis for individual ACSS items, corresponding to the most optimal diagnostic ability, was found for "urinary urgency," which was also confirmed by the diagnostic odds ratios (Figure 4, Figure 5A). However, a higher balance for diagnostic sensitivity and specificity was found for the cumulative score of the “Typical” and “QoL” domains, followed by the summary score of the “Typical” and “QoL” domains which were higher than AUC of the individual typical symptoms (Figure 4).
Figure 4. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves for the ACSS typical symptoms, summary scores of the “Typical”, and “QoL” domains, and the cumulative ‘Typical’ & “QoL” score at baseline (Day 0).

The frequency of the complaints about the vaginal discharge of different severity did not significantly differ between Patients and Controls (Table 3). As well we did not find a statistically significant increase or decrease in diagnostic odds ratio depending on the presence or severity of the complaints about the vaginal discharge (Figure 5B).
Fig. 5A. Diagnostic odds ratios for the items of the “Typical” domain of the ACSS at baseline (Day 0).

Figure 5B. Diagnostic odds ratios for the items of the “Differential” domain of the ACSS at baseline (Day 0).
Using the data of the 53 Patients and 39 Controls at Visit 1 and using three different thresholds for the summary scores of the “typical” ACSS symptoms, such as ≥5, ≥6, and ≥7, the highest diagnostic specificity was shown by the thresholds ≥6 and ≥7, but the highest sensitivity by the threshold ≥6. The overall diagnostic odds ratio, however, was best for the threshold >6 (Table 6). Therefore, the cut-off by a summary score of ≥6 for the “Typical” domain should also be used for the Greek version as suggested earlier [9-12]. If alternatively the data of the 53 Patients at Visit 1 and the data of the 21 Patients at the end of therapy visit (4-7 days after therapy) are used for the threshold of the summary score of ≥6 the “Typical” ACSS symptoms, then the sensitivity and the positive predictive value were the same, but the other parameters were slightly lower (Table 6).

Table 6. Comparison of three different cut-off points using summary scores of the „typical“ ACSS symptoms for clinical diagnosis of AC in Patients at Visit 1 and Controls and alternatively in Patients at Visit 1 and at Day 4 to 7 (end of therapy visit).

| Summary "Typical" score | 5 and higher | 6 and higher | 7 and higher | 6 and higher* |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Sensitivity             | 0.74 (0.61; 0.84) | 0.77 (0.64; 0.87) | 0.70 (0.56; 0.82) | 0.77 (0.64; 0.88) |
| Specificity             | 0.95 (0.83; 0.99) | 0.98 (0.87; 1.00) | 0.98 (0.87; 1.00) | 0.95 (0.77; 1.00) |
| Positive predictive value | 0.96 (0.85; 0.99) | 0.98 (0.87; 1.00) | 0.97 (0.86; 1.00) | 0.98 (0.87; 1.00) |
| Negative predictive value  | 0.70 (0.56; 0.82) | 0.76 (0.63; 0.87) | 0.71 (0.57; 0.82) | 0.64 (0.45; 0.80) |
| Diagnostic odds ratio  | 52.0 (11.2; 241.0) | 133.3 (16.5; 1073.6) | 90.2 (11.4; 714.5) | 71.8 (8.7; 589.9) |
| Youden's index         | 0.69 (0.44; 0.84) | 0.75 (0.51; 0.88) | 0.67 (0.42; 0.82) | 0.73 (0.41; 0.88) |
| Correlation with PO    | 0.67 (0.55; 0.77) | 0.67 (0.54; 0.76) | 0.61 (0.47; 0.72) | 0.70 (0.56; 0.80) |
| Area under the ROC-curve | 0.83 (0.76; 0.90) | 0.83 (0.77; 0.89) | 0.81 (0.75; 0.87) | 0.82 (0.73; 0.90) |

All Patients (n=53) were treated and followed up to 7 days or shorter if they became asymptomatic earlier. Antimicrobial therapy was prescribed to 44 (83.0%) of them, whereas non-antimicrobial therapy was prescribed to 4 (7.5 %), and combination therapy to 5 (9.4%) (Table 1). The summary scores of the “Typical” and “QoL” domains were reduced during the follow-up visits as well as their cumulative score accordingly. Nevertheless, the reduction in scores was relatively faster for the “Typical” domain as compared to “QoL” (Figure 6). This is similarly reflected by the reduction of the overall assessment by the “Dynamics” domain in Patients at the three follow up visits (Figure 7).

By comparing the thresholds it can be seen that in 21 patients followed up 4-7 days after therapy at Day 4 according to the threshold A (“Dynamics”) 16 patients showed “success” and 5 patients “non-success” (“Dynamics” >1) (Table 7, Figure8). In contrast, if the thresholds B, D, and E, - only considering symptoms - are used, the success rates were higher and did not match with the overall assessment. If the two ACSS domains, “Typical” and “QoL”, were combined, the results were again similar to the results obtained by the domain “Dynamics” (Table 7, Figure 8). Although all 21 patients followed up to 7 days complained no more about “typical” symptoms, the QoL assessment and overall assessment by the patients was delayed a few days as compared to assessment of symptoms’ severity alone. Therefore, for patient-reported-outcome (PRO) assessment not only the severity of symptoms but also the QoL assessments should be considered.
Figure 6. The course of changes in summary scores of the different ACSS domains and cumulative scores in Patients at baseline and different days of follow-up visits. Boxplots (IQR, mean ± SD)

Figure 7. The course of changes in the ACSS “Dynamics” domain in patients at different days of follow-up visits. Boxplots (IQR, mean ± SD)
Table 7. Patient-reported outcome (PRO) in 21 Patients at the “end of therapy visit” (days 4 to 7 after the start of the appropriate therapy). „Success” in the ACSS domain „Dynamics” corresponds to a score with no item >1, which is compared with other measures of „Success” according to different thresholds.

| Type | Thresholds for clinical success | Success | Non-Success |
|------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| A    | Dynamics, no item >1          | 16      | 5           |
| B    | Sum score of typical domain ≤ 5 scores, no item > 1 | 21      | 0           |
| C    | Sum score of typical domain ≤ 5 scores, no item > 1 and no item of QoL > 1 | 16      | 5           |
| D    | Sum score of the 4 FDA symptoms ≤ 4, no item > 1 | 21      | 0           |
| E    | Sum score of the 3 EMA symptoms ≤ 3, no item > 1 | 21      | 0           |

4 FDA symptoms: urinary frequency, urinary urgency, dysuria, suprapubic pain;
3 EMA symptoms: urinary frequency, urinary urgency, dysuria

Figure 8. Comparison between “success” and “non-success” obtained in the ACSS domain “Dynamics” with the results obtained by summary scores in the ACSS domain “Typical”, “QoL”, and by the cumulative summary of both domains. Boxplots (IQR, mean + SD)

3. Discussion

After translation and cognitive assessment [13], the Greek version of the ACSS was now also tested clinically in 53 female patients diagnosed with AC by the treating physician according to national and international guidelines and compared with the results of 39 controls without a suspected diagnosis of UTI. As expected for the prevalence and severity of typical symptoms of AC there was a significant statistical difference between the two groups in which also for the Greek patients a sum score of ≥6 of the typical symptoms showed the best diagnostic odds ratio as with other languages in which the ACSS was tested clinically as well [14-19]. Therefore, the ACSS could now also be used in multilingual studies, in which the ACSS was validated linguistically and also tested clinically.

Since also non-antibiotic therapeutic modalities of AC have been discussed and tested recently [20-23], the primary aim of such studies need to become more focused on the clinical outcome as reported by the patient. The overall assessment by the patient and the investigator, as has been done routinely in the past, is by definition subjective and could be therefore too suggestive personally and
thus imprecise. If patients are asked, however, the same questions about symptoms and their severity and their quality of life at different conditions before and after treatment, each patient will involuntarily develop its internal standard and therefore graduation for the patient-reported outcome (PRO) can be tested and developed. Therefore the clinical validation of such a questionnaire should also include follow up investigations at different times to demonstrate its bandwidth and flexibility.

By using different thresholds for success and non-success it was interesting to demonstrate in this study that questions only about prevalence and severity of symptoms showed better results somewhat earlier as if only overall questions were asked or questions for quality of life were included as well. Such differences may become of interest if different treatment modalities are compared in double-blind, prospectively randomized clinical trials. As has been shown earlier [18] there was no correlation between summary scores of any of the ACSS domains and the amount of bacteriuria when entering the study, which renders the insistence on certain significant bacteriuria as an inclusion criterion irrelevant. Interestingly, we again did not find evidence that the presence of complaints about vaginal discharge plays a significant role in the rejection of the diagnosis of AC, which may serve as another confirmation of our previously expressed hypothesis that the presence of vaginal discharge does not reduce the likelihood of the presence of AC in women [24].

4. Materials and Methods

After the linguistic validation as described earlier [13] we proceeded to the clinical validation of the Greek version of the ACSS. The local ethical committee of the Tzaneio Hospital provided the ethical approval for the whole study (Επιτροπή Ηθικής και Δεοντολογίας, Τζανείο ΓΝΠ 6195/05-05-2020, 05 May 2020).

4.1. Study design

This study was designed as a prospective, observational cohort study. The Greek version of the ACSS questionnaire (Figure 1) was administered prior, during and in several cases after treatment for as long as patients had symptoms to report up to a maximum of seven days.

4.2. Study subjects

Female subjects with an acute symptomatic episode of cystitis and those visiting the physician for any other reason except UTI, consecutively attending the Emergency Department of the Tzaneio General Hospital of Piraeus from 11/3/2020 to 30/5/2020 were recruited to the study. Women suffering from conditions that influence bacterial virulence or host response (e.g. immunodeficiency, abnormalities of the urogenital system) and patients who received antibiotics or immnosuppressive treatment within 4 weeks of the visit were excluded from the study.

Respondents were categorized into Patients and Controls based on the treating physician's diagnosis established following international and local guidelines. The members of the Scientific Committee which were responsible for the data processing and analysis did not have access to this information until the completion of the recruitment process.

4.3. Study tool

The ACSS is an 18-item questionnaire divided into 4 domains. The first domain (“Typical” domain) composed of 6 items examines typical acute cystitis symptoms, the second (“Differential” domain) composed of 4 items examines symptoms suggesting infection of adjacent or relative organs (e.g., urethra, vagina, kidney). The third domain (“QoL” domain), composed of 3 items examines the impact of the symptoms on quality of life. There are 4 ranking choices for each of the above 13 items to accurately measure the severity of symptoms. The last domain (“Additional” domain) is composed of 5 additional questions (requiring simple ‘Yes/No’) to gather information on the presence of known diabetes mellitus and gynaecological profile of the patient such as menstruation and pregnancy, additional conditions that may require the appropriate adjustment of the treatment modality [9-11].

4.4. Statistical Analysis

4.4.1. Data processing

Since in our previous studies we found that the “Typical” and “QoL” domains have the highest AC-specific values, we particularly decided to evaluate and highlight their combined diagnostic
significance in the current study. For this purpose, we summed up the total scores of both domains and subjected the cumulated value to statistical analysis along with the scores of other domains of the ACSS.

The efficacy of the therapy was classified as “success” or “non-success” according to the patients’ overall self-esteem using the “Dynamics” domain of the follow-up part B of the ACSS in which a score of not higher than 1 was rated as “success”, and the other predefined thresholds as suggested earlier [12,15]. Further data processing, in general, did not differ from that as described earlier [14-18].

4.4.2. Statistical tests

Normality of distributions as well as linearity and homoscedasticity of data were tested visually (using histograms, normal Q-Q Plots, etc.) and mathematically – using Shapiro-Wilk and Levene’s tests [25,26].

Reliability of the ACSS and its domains was assessed via internal consistency of the items and represented using Cronbach’s coefficient alpha and the coefficient of split-half reliability [27].

Discriminative ability was assessed by comparing the scores of the respective item scores and total domain scores between Patients and Controls at the diagnostic baseline visit. Responsiveness of the ACSS domains was measured by comparing Patients’ total scores on different domains of the ACSS at baseline and follow-up visits and the changes in scores of the “Dynamics” domain at various follow-up visits.

Diagnostic abilities of the domains and items of the ACSS were assessed by the measurement of sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, diagnostic odds ratio (DOR), Youden’s index and ROC-curve analyses.

Numerical values were presented by the values of measures of central tendency (e.g., mean, median), distribution, and dispersion (e.g., standard deviation, 95% confidence intervals, interquartile range). A comparative analysis of the independent continuous variables was performed using a two-sided independent sample t-test and paired t-test with the Welch correction in cases of inequality of variances [28]. Categorical variables were presented in proportions and compared with McNemar’s test [29].

Comparisons of ordinal and interval variables, as well as values of matched groups (e.g. total scores of Patients on ACSS domains at baseline and follow-up visits), were performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test [30]. The strength of associations was assessed using Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient for numerical and interval variables [31]. Statistical significance was set at 0.05.

R v.3.5.2 with in-built and additional packages was used for the analysis and graphical representation of the results [32-36].

5. Conclusions

The linguistically and clinically validated Greek ACSS questionnaire can now be used for clinical or epidemiological studies and also for patient’s self-diagnosis of AC and as a PRO measure tool. The role of vaginal discharge in the probability of AC in women needs to be reassessed.

6. Patents

The ACSS is copyrighted by the Certificate of Deposit of Intellectual Property in Fundamental Library of Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent (Registration number 2463; 26 August 2015) and the Certificate of the International Online Copyright Office, European Depository, Berlin, Germany (Nr. EU-01-000764; 21 October 2015). The rightsholders are Jakhongir Fatikhovich Alidjanov (Uzbekistan), Ozoda Takhirovna Alidjanova (Uzbekistan), Adrian Martin Erich Pilatz (Germany), Kurt Guenther Naber (Germany), and Florian Martin Erich Wagenlehner (Germany). The e-USQOLAT is copyrighted by the Authorship Certificate of the International Online Copyright Office, European Depository, Berlin, Germany (Nr. EC-01-001179; 18 May 2017) 19. Translations of the ACSS in other languages are available on the website: http://www.acss.world/downloads.html.
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