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A B S T R A C T

Oral cancer ranks among the top three in the country and is major health problem. In India, people affected generally belong to the low-income groups due to a prevalence of habits such as tobacco chewing and lack of awareness and capability to afford the diagnostic and treatment modalities Oral cancer is defined as the cancer of lips, mouth and tongue.

The management of cancerous lesions in maxilla and mandible is difficult due to their proximity to vital structures, especially in cases of maxilla wherein due to its strategic anatomic location, comprising of the skull base and its related structures, any radical treatment is deferred. Moreover, the anatomic complexities and the cancellous nature of the bone make it very difficult to achieve wide and clear surgical margins resulting in poor prognosis.

The comprehensive management comprises of multidisciplinary team effort comprising of surgical resection of the tumour along with the prosthetic rehabilitation to restore the lost form and function. It may also include chemotherapy or radiation therapy.

© This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

1. Introduction

The incidence and prevalence of oral cancer shows a very high rate in India, third amongst all the cancers and is a major health concern.¹ The lower socioeconomic group is generally affected due to deleterious oral habits such as tobacco and pan chewing and palate is the most common site.²

The oral cavity includes the lips, buccal mucosa, teeth, gingiva, anterior two-third of tongue, floor of the mouth and hard palate.³ Oral cancer is defined as the cancer of lips, mouth and tongue. This case definition is adopted and confirms to definition of oral cavity cancers by International Classification of Diseases (ICD) coding scheme, World Health Organization case definition and International Agency for Research and Cancer.⁴

The lesions are generally extensive due to inherent nature of the bone, the oral habits and the ignorance regarding the lesion and the diagnostic aids. Maxilla being more cancellous and porous in nature, allows evasion of tumor cells easily compared to that of mandible. The gold standard of management is surgical resection; partial or subtotal maxillectomy, but there are always chances of partial infiltration of few tumor cells in the remaining part of maxilla. The challenges for the surgeon are the oncologic safety, maintenance of form and function and creating minimum post operative morbidity.⁵–⁷ Chemotherapy and radiation therapy have proved to be effective adjuncts but cannot be termed as curative therapies alone.

Post resection, residual maxillary defects create an open link between the oral and nasal cavities resulting in impaired deglutition, speech and appearance. Apart from the physical effects, it also produces a psychological impact on the patient and family as well.

Due to increase in the overall life span of the individuals and increased emphasis on living an improved quality of life, mere surgical resection of tumour is not sufficient. Prosthodontic intervention is essential to close
the oroantral communication. For optimum restoration of speech, mastication and esthetics thereby restoring the self esteem and confidence of the patient to lead a dignified life in the society.

Therefore, management of cancerous lesions need a multidisciplinary team endeavour comprising of Surgeon, Radiotherapist, Maxillofacial Prosthodontist, Nurse, Psychological counsellor, speech therapist, nutritionist etc.

This paper aims at highlighting the role of multidisciplinary approach in successful management of patient with cancerous lesion in maxilla.

2. Discussion

Head and neck surgeries involves various treatment modalities, maxillectomy being one of them. It was first described by Lazars in 1826 and first performed by Syme in 1829. The earlier attempts at this surgery failed due to excessive bleeding and infection that resulted in high morbidity and mortality. In 1927, Portmann & Retrouvey suggested sublabial transoral approach that had the advantage of avoiding extraoral scars. In 1950s, with the advances in the field of anesthesia and surgical techniques, total maxillectomy evolved as a viable treatment option for malignant maxillary lesions. Weber Ferguson devised an approach making lateral rhinotomy incision which resulted in reduced cosmetic deformity.

2.1. Definition

Maxillectomy is a procedure to remove primary tumor in the maxilla. The procedure involves surgical removal of some of the bone, part of roof of mouth and some of the teeth. There are different types of maxillectomy:

1. **Medial maxillectomy:** Part of the maxilla that is next to the nose is removed. This can be done in one of two ways: an incision on the face or using tools inserted through the nostril. Reconstructive surgery is not often needed.

2. **Infrastructure maxillectomy:** Removes the hard palate (roof of the mouth), lower portion of the maxilla and teeth. It does not require the removal of the orbital floor (bone below eye). Reconstructive surgery is often needed.

3. **Suprastructure maxillectomy:** The upper portion of the maxilla and orbital floor (bone below eye) is removed. In some cases the orbit (bone around eye) may be left in place. Due to removal of the eye, reconstructive surgery is needed.

4. **Subtotal maxillectomy:** Removes only part of the maxilla using some variation of the above procedures.

5. **Total maxillectomy:** Removes the entire maxilla on one side (unilateral) as well as the hard palate and orbital floor (bone below eye). Reconstructive surgery, possibly with prosthetics, will be needed after this surgery.

2.2. **General Considerations**

Indications include removal of malignant and benign tumors of the nose and paranasal sinuses, tumors of the oral cavity that extend into the hard palate, as part of the treatment of fulminant invasive fungal sinusitis. Contraindications include general patient infirmity, tumor extent requiring bilateral orbital exenteration and tumor eroding or invading through the skull base.

2.3. **Clinical Considerations**

Important considerations before deciding on surgery are extent and histopathology of the lesion, Involvement of adjacent areas and precise location of the bulk of the mass.

2.4. **Preoperative Preparation**

2.4.1. **Evaluation**

1. Radiographic evaluation in form of Axial and coronal CT scan.

2. Oculoplastic/Ophthalmology Service consultation may assist with decisions regarding orbital involvement

3. Prosthodontic consultation for designing an obturator when palatal sacrifice is anticipated. In patients not undergoing palatal removal and in whom radiation therapy is anticipated postoperatively, dental consultation may also be needed to address the need for dental extraction.

4. For palatal lesions that cross the midline of the palate, a prosthetic repair may not be possible. Reconstruction may require a pedicled soft tissue flap from the temporalis muscle or a free tissue transfer.

2.4.2. **Consent**

After diagnosis and treatment planning, the procedure needs to be explained to the patient and the family and an informed consent needs to be obtained.

2.5. **Treatment Phases**

The surgical planning and reconstruction should be considered in three phases. First, assessment of the bony and soft tissue structures to be included for en bloc resection should be done. Second, the approach must be designed to provide adequate exposure while preserving functional tissue and cosmetic integrity whenever possible. Third, the repair should be planned to use prosthetics or soft tissue techniques to best advantage.
2.6. Classification

Brown et al \(^9\) and Cordeiro and Santamaria \(^{10}\) separately developed very similar and accepted classifications. Brown et al describe maxillectomy defects by independent vertical and horizontal components (Table 1). The vertical dimension (classes 1–6) designates the extent of unilateral involvement, with emphasis on the orbit. The horizontal dimension (letters a–d) designates the amount of palate and alveolar ridge sacrificed. Thus, 24 possible designations characterize maxillary defects in this system, in which almost all the possible lesions are incorporated and a systematic reconstructive decision-making algorithm is provided. In the second classification, Cordeiro and Santamaria described a simplified 4-part classification scheme (Table 2).

Table 1:

| Class or Letter | Defect                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Vertical Component | Maxillectomy not causing an oronasal fistula |
| 1                | Not involving the orbit                      |
| 2                | Involving the orbital adnexae with orbital retention |
| 3                | With orbital enucleation or exenteration    |
| 4                | Orbitomaxillary defect                      |
| 5                | Nasomaxillary defect                        |

| Class or Letter | Defect                                      |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Horizontal Component | Palatal defect only, not dental alveolus |
| a                 | Less than or equal to 1/2 of the bilateral or transverse anterior |
| b                 | Less than or equal to 1/2 of the unilateral |
| c                 | Greater than 1/2 of the maxillectomy         |

Prosthodontic considerations to be conveyed to Oncosurgical team:

1. Maintain as much hard palate as possible since it is vital for retention, stability and support of prosthesis.
2. Resection line should pass through the alveolar socket and not through inter-radicular area so as to preserve the tooth adjacent to that site.
3. Skin grafting of the buccal flap as well as exposed bony structure provides stress bearing area for the prosthesis subsequently. The lateral scar band at the junction of the oral mucosa and skin graft also aids in retention.
4. The inferior turbinate must be removed as it interferes with the prosthesis and reduces the height available for the hollow bulb portion.
5. Medial resection site (alveolar bone) should be covered with oral mucosa because if left uncovered could normally lead to bone necrosis due to post surgical radiation therapy. Bone necrosis could lead to loss of tooth as well as in extreme situation could lead to osteoradionecrosis.

2.7. Multidisciplinary team approach

2.7.1. Psychologist or counsellor

Talks to the patient as well as the family and prepares them so as to avoid a sudden shock postoperatively due to loss.

2.7.2. Maxillofacial Prosthodontist

According to Desjardins (‘77), Preoperatively, the Prosthodontist is concerned with 4 objectives; Psychological support of the patient, preoperative dental management, preoperative impressions and suggestions for the surgeon. Prior to surgery, he gets the resection line marking done in consultation with the operating surgeon. Based on that, surgical Obturator is fabricated and kept ready at the operating table to serve as framework over which tissues may be shaped by the surgeon, holds the surgical dressing, serves as a temporary prosthesis and helps to restore of esthetics soon after surgery. (Figure 1)
2.7.3. Operating surgeon
2.7.4. Nutritionist, speech therapist and counsellor
2.8. Surgical resection

2.8.1. Incision
Weber Ferguson incision is the most widely used approach for maxillectomy due to its advantages like excellent exposure and minimal scarring as the incision follows the natural skin crease. Modifications of Weber Ferguson incision are necessary if other areas like orbit needs to be attended. Lateral canthotomy can be combined with Weber Ferguson incision to expose orbital boundaries and malar area. Lip splitting incision a modification of Weber Ferguson incision is preferred if infratemporal fossa is involved.

2.8.2. Weber ferguson incision

![Fig. 2: Marking the incision](image2)

Before the incision, area should be marked and infiltrated with 1% xylocaine with 1 in 100,000 units adrenaline (Figure 2) that helps in minimizing intraoperative bleeding. The modified Weber Ferguson incision used in total maxillectomy has three components (Figure 3).

1. Curving incision is given from the medial canthus to the ala of the nose at the nasolabial sulcus.
2. This incision is rounded inferiorly along the upper border of upper lip till the center of the lip is reached. The upper lip is ideally split right in the midline.
3. Infraorbital component of the incision passes about a couple of millimetres from the lower eye lid margin till the malar eminence is reached. After the incision the skin is spilt till the periosteum. This enables cheek flap to be elevated from the antero lateral surface of maxilla in the subperiosteal plane. If the anterior wall of maxilla is eroded by the mass with skin involvement then dissection is slightly altered so that the involved skin overlying the anterolateral wall of maxilla is also removed enbloc along with the tumor. After elevating the cheek flap, the inferior and medial periorbita are elevated exposing the floor of orbit, lacrimal fossa & lamina papyracea

2.8.3. Identification of lacrimal sac and duct
The lacrimal sac is identified, dissected and retracted. The nasolacrimal duct is usually transected at its junction with the sac and is marsupialized by dividing the sac and suturing the edges to the periorbita that aids in identification of orbital involvement.

2.8.4. Transection of infraorbital rim
This is transected laterally at the malar buttress with a Gigli’s saw. The medial orbital rim is transected just below the frontoethmoidal suture line. In tumors involving roof of ethmoid require skull base resection in order to provide adequate tumor margins. If fovea is not involved by the disease then ethmoid bone is removed along the frontoethmoidal suture line to provide adequate exposure.

2.8.5. Intraoral phase of surgery
Palatal incision: Incision is made over the hard palate from posterior to the lateral incisor till the junction with that of soft palate is reached. Incision is deepened up to the level of periosteum. At the junction of soft palate the incision curves horizontally and extended up the maxillary tuberosity where it is rounded.

2.8.6. Division of hard palate
This procedure is done with an osteotome / reciprocating saw. Palatal division is started about 2-3 mm from the ipsilateral nasal septum. This can be modified to suit tumor margins. Lateral incisor if present and uninvolved, can be preserved for prosthetic rehabilitation. The central incisor can be compromised. It is easy to use osteotome from the cavity of central incisor after removing it. After completing
palatal osteotomy the soft tissue attachments between hard and soft palate are freed using sharp dissection / unipolar diathermy cautery. Osteotomies over lateral orbital wall and posterior floor of orbit are completed thereby allowing down fracture of maxilla. Attachment of maxilla to pterygoid palate can be removed using a curved osteotome and maxilla freed by lateral rocking movements. At this stage brisk bleeding may be encountered, usually due to internal maxillary vessels and pterygoid plexus. Packing the entire area using a hot pack helps in controlling bleeding. If not controlled, then individual vessels need to be cauterized using bipolar cautery. After the entire maxilla is removed, the area is washed with saline and betadine solution.

2.8.7. Split thick skin graft and insertion of surgical obturator
A 0.014 to 0.016 in. thick graft is usually harvested from the anterolateral thigh and used to reline the raw buccal mucosa area (Figure 4). The graft is sutured to the cut edge of the buccal mucosa with 4-0 chromic catgut. Xeroform and strip gauze coated with antibiotic ointment are packed into the defect to secure the skin graft. The previously fabricated surgical obturator is wired to the remaining teeth to hold the packing in place (Figures 5 and 6). Wound closure is done in layers.

2.8.8. Postoperative care
1. Admission to Hospital
2. Oral Care
3. Salt and soda rinses, beginning when patient awakens
4. Begin oral feedings as soon as tolerated
5. Remove surgical prosthesis on postoperative day 6 to 8
6. Oronasal irrigations QID as soon as obturator removed
7. Continue antibiotics while the wound is packed

8. Close attention is paid to modifications of interim obturators by Prosthodontics
9. Most cancers will receive radiotherapy (all T3 and T4, selected T1 and T2, based on clinical/pathologic features).

2.9. Complications encountered
1. Intraoperative hemorrhage & hematoma
2. Infection
3. Blood clots, including a pulmonary embolus (blood clot in the lung
4. Nerve damage causing numbness in the cheek
5. Numbness or weakness
6. Troublesome Epiphora
7. Damage to orbital structures
8. Damage to cornea
9. Visual disturbances
10. Loss of vision due to over packing the maxillectomy cavity compromising
11. Vascularity of optic nerve
12. Enophthalmos (A condition when the eye sinks into the cheek)
13. Velopharyngeal incompetence
14. Cosmetic defects / scars
15. Trismus due to scarring of muscles of mastication.

2.9.1. Prosthetic rehabilitation
Surgical management leads to loss of maxilla, soft palate and contiguous structures leading to a communication between the oral and nasal regions that causes difficulty in speech, deglutition, mastication, respiration and loss of facial support. Residual maxillary defects post surgical resection were classified into 6 categories by Mohd. Aramany in 1978 (Figure 4).\textsuperscript{11}

2.9.2. Class I
The resection is performed along the midline of the maxilla; the teeth are maintained on one side of the arch. This is the most frequently seen maxillary defect.
2.9.3. Class II
The is unilateral, retaining the anterior teeth on the contralateral side.

2.9.4. Class III
The palatal defect occurs in the central portion of the hard palate and may involve part of the soft palate. The surgery does not involve the remaining teeth.

2.9.5. Class IV
The defect crosses the midline and involves both sides of the maxillae. There are few teeth remaining which lie in a straight line.

2.9.6. Class V
The surgical defect in this situation is bilateral and lies posterior to the remaining abutment teeth.

2.9.7. Class VI
It is an acquired maxillary defect anterior to the remaining abutment teeth that is rare.

The goal of prosthetic rehabilitation is to restore the basic functions of mastication, deglutition, speech and improve esthetics thereby boosting the psychological status of the patient. The prosthetic options include maxillary obturators for defects of the hard palate, pharyngeal obturators for defects of the soft palate, and maxillopharyngeal obturators for defects that include both structures. The improvement in the speech with prosthetic rehabilitation has been described in literature. Prosthetic intervention leads to a restoration of dentition, reduction of hypernasality. It also reduces the nasal leakage when swallowing liquids or foods.

Fig. 6:
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