Anomalous and spin Hall effects in hcp cobalt from GGA + $U$ calculations
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We have calculated the intrinsic anomalous and spin Hall conductivities, spin and orbital magnetic moments and also spin polarization of Hall currents in hexagonal cobalt within the density functional theory with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) plus on-site Coulomb interaction (GGA+U). The accurate all-electron full-potential linearized augmented plane wave method is used. We find that the anomalous Hall conductivity (AHC) ($\sigma^A_{xy}$) and orbital magnetic moment ($m_o$) of cobalt with the magnetization being along the c-axis ($m//c$) calculated in the GGA+U scheme with $U = 1.6$ eV and $J = 0.9$ eV agree rather well with the corresponding experimental values while, in contrast, the $\sigma^A_{xy}$ and $m_o$ from the GGA calculations are significantly smaller than the measured ones. This suggests that moderate $U = 1.6$ eV and $J = 0.9$ eV are appropriate for Co metals. The calculated AHC and spin Hall conductivity (SHC) ($\sigma^S$) are highly anisotropic, and the ratio of the Hall conductivity for $m//c$ to that for the magnetization being in-plane ($m//ab$) from the GGA+U calculations, is $\sim$16.0 for the AHC and $\sim$6.0 for the SHC. For $m//c$, the spin-up and spin-down Hall currents are found to flow in the same direction. The magnitude of the calculated Hall current spin polarization ($P^H$) is large and $P^H = -0.61$. Remarkably, for $m//ab$, the spin-up and spin-down Hall currents are predicted to flow in the opposite directions. This indicates that the Hall current contains both spin-polarized charge current and pure spin current, resulting in the magnitude of the Hall current spin polarization ($P^H = -1.59$) being larger than 1.0.

PACS numbers: 71.15.Mb, 71.70.Ej, 72.15.Gd, 72.25.Ba

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin-dependent electronic transports have attracted intensive attention recently because they not only are interesting from the viewpoint of fundamental physics but also have fascinating technological applications. Anomalous Hall effect (AHE), discovered in 1881 by Hall, is an archetypal spin-related transport phenomena and hence has received renewed interests in recent years. The ordinary Hall effect in nonmagnetic conductors is driven by the Lorentz force due to the applied magnetic field while the AHE depends on magnetization in ferromagnetic materials. The dipole magnetic field produced by the magnetization is too small to explain the observed AHE in ferromagnets, and thus it has been realized for long time that the AHE must be caused by the spin-orbit interaction (SOI). Therefore, several competing SOI-based mechanisms have been proposed. Extrinsic mechanisms of skew scattering and side jump refer to the modified impurity scattering induced by the SOI, and hence should in principle depend on the nature and density of the impurities. Surprisingly, extrinsic side jump contribution to the anomalous Hall conductivity (AHC) turns out to be fairly independent of either scattering strength or defect density. Another mechanism arises from the anomalous velocity of the Bloch electrons caused by the SOI, discovered by Karplus and Luttinger, and is thus of intrinsic nature. Interestingly, this intrinsic mechanism has recently been reinterpreted in terms of the Berry curvature of the occupied Bloch states. Furthermore, recent first-principles studies based on the Berry phase formalism showed that the intrinsic AHE is important in various materials. In particular, in itinerant ferromagnets such as Fe, the intrinsic AHC given by first-principles density functional calculations with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) has been found to agree rather well with the experimental AHC.

Nonetheless, the physical origin of the AHE in cobalt and some other ferromagnets are still not fully understood. Recent GGA calculations predicted an intrinsic AHC of $\sim$480 S/cm in hcp Co with the magnetization along the c-axis, being smaller than the corresponding experimental value by about 40 %. Density functional calculations with either the local density approximation (LDA) or GGA have been rather successful in describing many physical properties such as crystal structure, elastic constant and spin magnetic moment, of itinerant ferromagnets Fe, Co and Ni (see, e.g., Ref. and references therein). However, LDA and GGA calculations often fail in describing relativistic SOI-induced phenomena in these itinerant magnets. For example, the theoretical values of orbital magnetic moment account for only about 50 % of the measured ones in Fe and Co and the calculated magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy of hcp Co is even wrong in sign. This failure of the LDA/GGA is generally attributed to their incomplete treatment of the 3d electron-electron correlation in these systems. Several theoretical methods that go beyond the LDA and GGA, such as the orbital-polarization correction (OPC) and LDA/GGA plus on-site Coulomb interaction $U$ schemes, have been developed for better description of the SOI-induced phenomena in magnetic solids. Indeed, the orbital-polarization
correction has been found to bring the calculated orbital moments in itinerant magnets such as Fe and Co in good agreement with experiments. It has also been demonstrated that the correct easy axes and the magnitudes of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy of Co as well as Fe and Ni can be obtained within either the OPC or LDA+U scheme. Furthermore, recent GGA+U calculations showed that including appropriate on-site Coulomb interaction $U$ could reduce the theoretical AHC by half, bringing the calculated intrinsic AHC in good agreement with the latest experiments.

The AHE is closely connected to the spin Hall effect (SHE), which refers to the transverse spin current generation in nonmagnetic materials by an applied electric field. In analogous to the AHE, the mechanisms for the SHE can be separated into intrinsic and extrinsic types. Both intrinsic AHE and SHE are caused by the opposite anomalous velocities experienced by the spin-up and spin-down electrons when they move through the relativistic energy bands in solids under the electric field. In nonmagnetic materials where the numbers of the spin-up and spin-down electrons are equal, these opposite transverse currents would give rise to a pure spin current. On the other hand, in ferromagnets, where an imbalance of spin-up and spin-down electrons exists, the same process would result in a charge current. The SHE has attracted intensive current interests both experimentally and theoretically since the theoretical proposal for its intrinsic mechanism in semiconductors, because it would enable us to control spins without magnetic field or magnetic materials. Indeed, first-principles density functional calculations on the intrinsic spin Hall conductivity (SHE) have recently carried out for both nonmagnetic semiconductors and metals. And the calculated SHCs are found to agree well with the corresponding experimental values in many metals such as Al, Pt, Au and Pd, suggesting that the intrinsic SHE is dominant in the high resistivity regime in pure metals. Nonetheless, recent experimental and theoretical studies showed that certain impurities could also give rise to large SHE in impure metals. However, the SHE in ferromagnets remains unexplored.

In this work, we therefore carry out GGA+U calculations of intrinsic anomalous and spin Hall conductivities and relativistic band structure as well as spin and orbital magnetic moments of hcp Co. The primary objective of this work is to better understand the AHE and its anisotropy in hcp Co. In particular, we would examine how the theoretical AHC and related physical quantities would be affected when the on-site Coulomb interaction is taken into account in the GGA+U scheme. Secondly, we would study the intrinsic SHE and its anisotropy in hcp Co. Among the elemental ferromagnetic transition metals, cobalt is promising for future spintronic devices because of its large magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy and highly anisotropic AHC. A knowledge of the spin-polarization of the intrinsic Hall current is important for the spintronic applications. Therefore, another objective of this work is to evaluate the degree of spin-polarization of the charge Hall current by using the calculated AHC and SHE. This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we briefly describe how the intrinsic Hall conductivities are calculated within the linear-response Kubo formalism as well as the numerical method and computational details used here. In Sec. III, we present the calculated intrinsic Hall conductivities, magnetic moments, relativistic band structure and also the spin polarization of charge Hall currents. We then compare our results with available experiments and also previous calculations. In Sec. IV, we summarize the main conclusions drawn from the present work.

II. THEORY AND COMPUTATIONAL METHOD

An intrinsic Hall conductivity of a solid can be evaluated by using the Kubo formalism. The intrinsic Hall effect comes from the static limit ($\omega=0$) of the corresponding off-diagonal element of the optical conductivity. Following the procedure for the calculations of the magneto-optical conductivity, we first calculate the imaginary part of the off-diagonal elements of the optical conductivity

$$\sigma_{ij}^{(2)}(\omega) = -\frac{\pi e}{\omega V_c} \sum_k \sum_{n \neq n'} (f_{kn} - f_{kn'}) \text{Im} \langle [kn]|j_i|kn'\rangle \times \langle kn|v_j|kn'\rangle \delta(\hbar\omega - \epsilon_{n'n})$$

(1)

where $V_c$ is the unit cell volume, $\hbar\omega$ is the photon energy, $|kn\rangle$ is the $n$th Bloch state with crystal momentum $k$, $v_j$ is the $j$ component of the velocity operator, and $\epsilon_{n'n} = \epsilon_{kn'} - \epsilon_{kn}$. Here $j_i$ is the $i$ component of the current operator which is $-e v_i$ and $\frac{1}{2} (\sigma_k, v_i)$ for the anomalous and spin Hall effects, respectively. And also, $k \neq i \neq j$. We then obtain the real part from the imaginary part by a Kramers-Kroning transformation

$$\sigma_{ij}^{(1)}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{2} P \int_0^\infty d\omega' \frac{\omega' \sigma_{ij}^{(2)}(\omega')}{\omega^2 - \omega'^2}$$

(2)

where $P$ denotes the principal value of the integral. The intrinsic Hall conductivity $\sigma_{ij}^{H}$ is the static limit of the off-diagonal element of the optical conductivity $\sigma_{ij}^{(1)}(\omega = 0)$. We notice that the anomalous Hall conductivity of bec and also the spin Hall conductivity of fcc calculated in this way are in good agreement with that calculated directly by accounting for the Berry phase correction to the group velocity.

Since all the intrinsic Hall effects are caused by the spin-orbit interaction, theoretical calculations must be based on a relativistic band theory. Here the relativistic band structure of hcp Co is calculated using the highly
accurate all-electron full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FLAPW) method, as implemented in the WIEN2K code. The self-consistent electronic structure calculations are based on the GGA for the exchange correlation potential. To further take d-electron correlation into account, we introduce on-site Coulomb interaction $U$ in the GGA+U approach. The double counting correction scheme proposed by Czyzyk and Sawatzky is used here. $U = 1.6$ eV and $J = 0.9$ eV, which were found to give the correct orbital magnetic moment for hcp Co, are used (see Sec. III below). Further calculations with a larger $U$ value of 1.9 eV and 2.5 eV are also performed to examine how the variation of $U$ may affect the calculated anomalous and spin Hall conductivities.

The experimental lattice constants $a = 2.51$ (Å) and $c = 4.07$ (Å) are used here. The muffin-tin sphere radius ($R_{mt}$) used is 2.2 a.u. The wave function, charge density, and potential were expanded in terms of the spherical harmonics inside the muffin-tin spheres and the cut-off angular moment ($L_{max}$) used is 10, 6 and 6, respectively. The wave function outside the muffin-tin sphere was expanded in terms of the augmented plane waves (APWs) and a large number of APWs (about 80 APWs per atom, i.e., the maximum size of the crystal momentum $K_{max} = 8/R_{mt}$) were included in the present calculations. The improved tetrahedron method is used for the Brillouin-zone (BZ) integration. To obtain accurate ground state charge density as well as spin and orbital magnetic moments, a fine $69 \times 69 \times 37$ grid of 176157 k-points in the first BZ was used.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### A. Anomalous and spin Hall conductivities

Calculated anomalous and spin Hall conductivities with and without on-site Coulomb interaction are listed in Table I. Like the calculation of the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy of bulk metals, a very fine $k$-point mesh is needed for the anomalous and spin Hall conductivity calculations. Therefore, we perform the Hall conductivity calculations using several very fine $k$-point meshes with the finest $k$-point mesh being $137 \times 137 \times 73$. The calculated anomalous Hall conductivity $\sigma_{ij}^A$ is plotted as a function of the inverse of the number ($N_k$) of $k$ points in the first Brillouin zone in Fig. 1. The calculated values of $\sigma_{ij}^A$ are fitted to a first-order polynomial to get the converged theoretical $\sigma_{ij}^A$ (i.e., the extrapolated value of $\sigma_{ij}^A$ at $N_k = \infty$) (see Fig. 1). The theoretical anomalous Hall conductivity $\sigma_{ij}^A$, and also spin Hall conductivity $\sigma_{ij}^S$ obtained in this manner are listed in Table I.

First of all, we notice that taking the on-site Coulomb interaction into account via the GGA+U scheme affects the calculated AHC $\sigma_{ij}^A$ and SHC $\sigma_{ij}^S$ significantly (see Table I). In particular, when the magnetization is along the $c$-axis ($m//c$), the theoretical $\sigma_{xy}^S$ from the present GGA calculations is 484 S/cm. When the on-site Coulomb interaction is taken into account in the GGA+U scheme, the calculated AHC $\sigma_{xy}^A$ increases significantly with increasing $U$. For example, at $U = 1.6$ eV, the theoretical $\sigma_{xy}^A$ becomes 618 S/cm, being increased by $\sim 30\%$. If $U$ is further increased to 2.5 eV, the calculated $\sigma_{xy}^A$ is $827$ S/cm. Similar behavior is found for the $\sigma_{xz}^A$ with the magnetization being in the $a$-$b$ plane ($m//ab$) (see Table I and Fig. 1). Second, as reported before in Ref. 14, the intrinsic AHC in hcp Co is highly anisotropic. The AHC for $m//c$ is more than 10 times larger than that for $m//ab$ (see Table I).

The theoretical SHCs of nonmagnetic hcp metals were recently reported. Interestingly, we find that the calculated $\sigma_{xy}^S$ for hcp Co is larger than that of any 3d hcp transition metals (see Table I and Ref. 34). Furthermore, hcp Zn was found to have the largest anisotropy among the nonmagnetic metals studied in Ref. 34. The calculated SHC of hcp Co is rather anisotropic. The SHC for $m//c$ is more than 6 times larger than that for $m//ab$ (Table I), and hence the anisotropy is nearly the same as that of hcp Zn. The calculated $\sigma_{yz}^S$ is largest among all
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the 3d hcp transition metals.

The AHC $\sigma_{xy}^A$ from the present calculations is in good agreement with previous theoretical calculations\textsuperscript{14}, whilst, in contrast, the AHC $\sigma_{xy}^A$ presented here is significantly smaller than that reported in Ref. \textsuperscript{14} (see Table \textsuperscript{1}). The precise reasons for this discernable discrepancy in $\sigma_{yz}^A$ between the present and previous calculations\textsuperscript{14} are not known. Note that $\sigma_{yz}^A$ is one order of magnitude smaller than $\sigma_{xy}^A$ (see Fig. 1). On the one hand, the discrepancy could be attributed to the fact that much more k-points could be included in the BZ integration in Ref. \textsuperscript{14} where much more efficient Wannier function interpolation technique was used. Nevertheless, Fig. 1 indicates that the theoretical $\sigma_{yz}^A$ values presented in Table \textsuperscript{1} are converged with respect to the number of k-points used. In Ref. \textsuperscript{14} it was pointed out that the calculated $\sigma_{ij}^A$ could be very sensitive to the fine details of the band structure especially near the Fermi level. As Figs. 2 and 3 indicate, the band structure of hcp Co is rather complex near the Fermi level. On the other hand, therefore, the discrepancy could also be caused by the fact that the band structure of hcp Co generated by the Wannier functions might not precisely reproduce the one calculated by using plane wave pseudopotential method in Ref. \textsuperscript{14}.

Another reason could be the fact that the dipole matrix elements for Eq. (1) are calculated using the full APW wave functions in the present calculations while they were calculated using Wannier functions in Ref. \textsuperscript{14}.

In Ref. \textsuperscript{14}, the experimental scattering-independent AHC was derived from formula $\sigma_{xy}^{ind} \approx \rho_{xy}/\rho_{xx}$ using the old measured Hall and longitudinal resistivities from Refs. \textsuperscript{52} and \textsuperscript{53}, respectively, and is 813 S/cm (see Table \textsuperscript{1}). This experimental AHC value should contain both the intrinsic AHC $\sigma_{xy}^{ind}$ and extrinsic scattering-independent side jump contribution $\sigma_{xy}^{SJ}$. The theoretical side jump $\sigma_{xy}^{SJ}$ from the recent \textit{ab initio} calculation\textsuperscript{54} is 217 S/cm.

Therefore, for $U = 1.6$ eV, the theoretical $\sigma_{xy}^A$ plus $\sigma_{xy}^{SJ} = 217$ S/cm is almost in perfect agreement with the estimated experimental value of $\sigma_{xy}^{ind}$. Nevertheless, it should be cautioned that this perfect agreement could be fortuitous because in the estimation\textsuperscript{54} of the experimental $\sigma_{xy}^{ind}$ the Hall and longitudinal resistivities were taken from two separated old measurements\textsuperscript{52,53}. Furthermore, the theoretical side jump contributions listed in Table \textsuperscript{1} were calculated by considering only the short-range disorder in the weak scattering limit\textsuperscript{14} and hence may not reflect the total side jump mechanism. As will be reported in the next subsection, the calculated spin and orbital magnetic moments for $U = 1.6$ eV are also in good agreement with the experiments, indicating that the appropriate $U$ value for Co metals should be about 1.6 eV. The experimental AHC $\sigma_{yz}^{ind}$ was also estimated using more complicated formula $\sigma_{yz}^{ind} = \sigma_{xy}^A \rho_{yz}/\rho_{xx}$ in Ref. \textsuperscript{14} and is 150 S/cm. The reported theoretical value\textsuperscript{54} of the side jump $\sigma_{yz}^{SJ}$ is -30 S/cm. Consequently, the theoretical value (8.9 S/cm at $U = 1.6$ eV) of $\sigma_{yz}^A$ plus $\sigma_{yz}^{SJ}$ is much too small in comparison with the estimated experimental $\sigma_{yz}^{ind}$. Again, given the fact that $\rho_{yz}/\rho_{xy}$ and $\rho_{yz}/\rho_{zz}$ were taken from two separated old measurements\textsuperscript{52,53}, such a comparison of the estimated experimental value of $\sigma_{yz}^{ind}$ with the calculated $\sigma_{yz}^A$ plus $\sigma_{yz}^{SJ}$ might not be very meaningful. Unfortunately, recent measurements of anomalous Hall and longitudinal resistivities on a same specimen were conducted only on polycrystalline Co metals\textsuperscript{55,56}, and the deduced experimental values of the anomalous Hall conductivity $\sigma_{yz}^{ind}$ are $\sim$200 S/cm (Ref. \textsuperscript{55}) and $\sim$500 S/cm (Ref. \textsuperscript{56}). Clearly, further simultaneous measurements of both longitudinal and Hall resistivities on the well-characterized thin films such as that on bcc Fe reported in Ref. \textsuperscript{13} are needed to extract reliable anomalous Hall conductivities for hcp Co, especially, $\sigma_{yz}^A$.

### B. Spin and orbital magnetic moments

In addition to the AHC and SHC, including the SOI in the fully relativistic calculations would also give rise to nonzero orbital magnetic moments in the magnetic

| $\sigma_{ij}$ | GGA | GGA+U | GGA+U | GGA+U | Expt. |
|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\sigma_{xy}^A$ | 484 | 618 | 643 | 827 |
| $\sigma_{xyz}^{ind}$ | 481 | 217 | - | - |
| $\sigma_{xy}^{SJ}$ | -117 | -188 | -195 | -318 |
| $m_s$ | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.71 | 1.75 |
| $m_o$ | 0.081 | 0.161 | 0.193 | 0.287 |
| $\sigma_{yz}^A$ | 6.1 | 38.9 | 53.8 | 81.7 |
| $\sigma_{yz}^{ind}$ | 116 | -30 | - | - |
| $\sigma_{yz}^{SJ}$ | -14.9 | -30.9 | -32.9 | -29.6 |
| $m_s$ | 1.63 | 1.71 | 1.71 | 1.75 |
| $m_o$ | 0.077 | 0.136 | 0.175 | 0.268 |

\textsuperscript{a} GGA calculations (Ref. \textsuperscript{14}).
\textsuperscript{b} GGA calculations (Ref. \textsuperscript{54}).
\textsuperscript{c} Estimated experimental values (Ref. \textsuperscript{14}).
\textsuperscript{d} Experimental values (Ref. \textsuperscript{54}).
solids concerned. The calculated spin and orbital magnetic moments are listed in Table I. First of all, Table I shows that both the spin and orbital magnetic moments increases monotonically with increasing on-site Coulomb interaction $U$. However, this increase in the orbital magnetic moment is much more significant than that of the spin magnetic moment. As mentioned earlier, due to its incomplete treatment of the 3d electron-electron correlation, the GGA calculations give an orbital moment which is only half of the corresponding experimental value\cite{57}. In contrast, the theoretical orbital moment from the GGA+$U$ calculations with $U = 1.6$ eV is almost doubled and becomes in rather good agreement with the experimental value (see the results for $m//c$ in Table I). When the $U$ is further increased, the orbital moment becomes much larger than the experimental value. This suggests that $U = 1.6$ eV would be appropriate for Co metals. Interestingly, as mentioned before, the orbital magnetic moments from the OPC calculations also agree well with the experiments\cite{22,23}.

Secondly, Table I indicates that the orbital magnetic moment depends significantly on the magnetization orientation, whereas the spin magnetic moment is not. Furthermore, this magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the orbital magnetic moment increases pronouncedly when the on-site Coulomb interaction is taken into account. For example, the orbital moment anisotropy from the GGA calculations is about 5.0 % and becomes $\sim$16.0 % in the GGA+$U$ calculations with $U = 1.6$ eV.

C. Effects of on-site Coulomb interaction on band structure

To investigate how the on-site electron-electron correlation affects the electronic band structure and AHE in cobalt, we plot the relativistic energy bands along the high-symmetric lines in the Brillouin zone calculated with and without on-site Coulomb interaction for the magnetization being along the $c$-axis in Fig. 2 and in the $a$-$b$ plane in Fig. 3. The relativistic band structure of hcp Co has been studied before by several researchers by using different band structure calculation methods (see, e.g., Refs. 16 and 14 and references therein). The present relativistic GGA band structures (the red dashed curves in Figs. 2, 3, and 4) are nearly identical to that reported in Refs. 16 and 14. The relativistic band structure may be regarded as the results of a superposition of the corresponding scalar-relativistic spin majority and minority band structures with many accidental band crossings lifted by the SOI. These SOI-induced splittings in cobalt are, in general, much smaller than the exchange splittings (see Fig. 2 and Fig 3), and thus can be treated as a perturbation.

Nonetheless, it is the inclusion of the SOI that makes the band structure depend on the magnetization orientation and this magnetization dependence of the band structure results in a strong anisotropy in such SOI-induced quantities as anomalous and spin Hall conductivities. An example of clear changes in the band structure due to the rotation of the magnetization from the $c$-axis to an in-plane direction is the energy bands just below the Fermi level ($E_F$) in the vicinity of the H point (as highlighted by the dash-dotted green circle in Fig. 2 and Fig 4a). These energy bands are significantly spin-orbit split when the magnetization is along the $c$-axis but they remain degenerate when the magnetization is in-plane (see Figs. 2, 3, and 4). Furthermore, the SOI-induced splittings for the bands near the $E_F$ at the H point when the magnetization is in-plane, are nearly two times larger than that of the magnetization along the $c$-axis. These differences in the band structure near the $E_F$ due to different magnetization orientations thus give rise to a pronounced anisotropy in the SOI-induced phenomena such as the AHC, SHC and orbital magnetic moment.

A pronounced change in the band structure due to the inclusion of the on-site Coulomb interaction is that all the $d$-dominant bands are lowered in energy by about 0.2 eV relative to the $E_F$ (see Figs. 2, 3, and 4). This is clearly seen in the energy window between -5.5 and -0.5 eV. On the other hand, the energy bands near and above the $E_F$ are much less affected when the on-site Coulomb interaction is taken into account, as shown in Figs. 2, 3, and 4. In particular, for the in-plane magnetization, the energy bands in this energy range remain almost unchanged upon the inclusion of the on-site Coulomb interaction (see Fig. 3 and Fig 4d). With respect to the AHC for the magnetization along the $c$-axis, the most significant change due to $U$ is perhaps that the energy band in the vicinity of the AHC $\sigma_{xy}^A$ is increased by about 30 % upon including the on-site Coulomb interaction $U = 1.6$ eV.

FIG. 2. (color online) Relativistic band structures calculated with (solid lines) and without (dashed lines) on-site Coulomb interaction $U = 1.6$ eV. The magnetization is along the $c$-axis. The Fermi level is shifted to 0 eV.
In Fig. 4 we display the calculated anomalous Hall conductivity ($\sigma_{xy}^A$) and the number of valence electrons per Co atom ($n_e$/atom) as a function of the $E_F$, together with the relativistic band structures without and with on-site Coulomb interaction using $U = 1.6 \text{ eV}$ and $J = 0.9 \text{ eV}$. The fine $k$ point mesh of $130 \times 130 \times 69$ is used. Fig. 4b shows that in general, the $\sigma_{xy}^A$ calculated with and without the on-site Coulomb repulsion are nearly identical, except their magnitudes differ slightly here and there. Nonetheless, the peaks in the $\sigma_{yz}^A$ are smaller than the corresponding ones in the $\sigma_{xy}^A$ spectra.

**D. Spin polarization of Hall current**

Electric and spin current transports are determined by the characteristics of the band structure near the Fermi level in the solids concerned. For the spintronic applications, it would be interesting to examine the extent that the density of states (DOS) near the $E_F$ of the systems considered are spin-polarized. This spin-polarization ($P^{DOS}$) of the DOSs near the $E_F$ is defined as

$$P^{DOS} = \frac{N_\uparrow(E_F) - N_\downarrow(E_F)}{N_\uparrow(E_F) + N_\downarrow(E_F)},$$

where $N_\uparrow(E_F)$ and $N_\downarrow(E_F)$ are the spin-up and spin-down DOSs at the $E_F$, respectively. $P^{DOS}$ may vary from -1.0 to 1.0. For the half-metallic materials, $P^{DOS}$ is either 1.0 or -1.0, and the charge current would be fully spin polarized. We have calculated DOSs of hcp
Co with the magnetization being along the c-axis and in the a-b plane, and the calculated spin-decomposed DOSs at the $E_F$ are listed in Table III. The corresponding spin polarization $P_DOS$ defined above for both magnetization directions is also listed in Table III. It is clear from Table III that the spin polarization is negative and the degree of spin polarization is rather high, being $66 \sim 69\%$. Furthermore, the spin polarization $P_DOS$ is independent of magnetization orientation, in strong contrast to the high anisotropy of the calculated anomalous and spin Hall conductivities (Table II). We note that the current spin polarization of cobalt measured in the tunneling experiments is about 42 \(\%\) and in the Andreev reflection experiments is 45 \(\%\), respectively, being considerably lower than the calculated $P_DOS$.

As pointed out by several researchers before (see, e.g., Ref. 66), the spin polarization $P_DOS$ defined by Eq. (3) is not the spin-polarization of the transport currents measured in the experiments. The anomalous Hall effect has recently received intensive renewed interest mainly because of its close connection with spin transport phenomena. In particular, for spintronic applications, it could be advantageous to use the Hall current from ferromagnets as a spin-polarized current source, instead of the longitudinal spin-polarized current from a magnetic metal while charge current would consume energy. Therefore, this suggests that the Hall current could be a good spin-polarized current source. The best materials known for the spin-polarized current source are presumably the half-metallic magnets with the spin-polarization being 1.0 (see, e.g., Ref. 62 and references therein). The longitudinal spin-polarized current from a magnetic metal is charge current while the Hall current could consist of both spin-polarized charge current and pure spin current, as mentioned before. Pure spin current is dissipationless while charge current would consume energy. Therefore, using the Hall current instead of the longitudinal current as the spin-polarized current source could also save energy.

Table II also indicates that $P_{ij}^H$ can be significantly affected by the on-site Coulomb interaction. For example, upon the inclusion of the on-site Coulomb interaction $U = 1.6 \text{ eV}$, the magnitude of $P_{ij}^H$ would be increased by 25 \(\%\) when the magnetization is along the c-axis, but would be decreased by $\sim 65\%$ when the magnetization is in the a-b plane (see Table II). There has been no report on the measurement of the spin polarization of the anomalous Hall current. Therefore, one could be tempted to compare the theoretical $P_{ij}^H$ with the measured spin polarization ($P_{ij}^L$) of the longitudinal charge current. However, the theoretical $P_{ij}^H$ calculated using $U = 0$ and $1.6 \text{ eV}$ is very different from the measured $P_{ij}^L$, although the theoretical $P_{ij}^H$ is comparable to the $P_{ij}^L$ (see Table II). This suggests that the spin polarizations of the longitudinal and Hall currents are two different things and may have no correlation. It is hoped that the interesting findings reported in this paper will stimulate the measurements on the spin polarization of the Hall current in either hcp Co or other magnetic metals.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented theoretical intrinsic anomalous and spin Hall conductivities, spin and orbital magnetic moments and also spin polarization of Hall currents in hcp Co with different magnetization orientations calculated in both the GGA and GGA+$U$ schemes. The accurate FLAPW method is used. First of all, we find that the AHC ($\sigma_{xy}^A$) and orbital magnetic moment ($m_o$) of cobalt with $m//c$ calculated in the GGA+$U$ scheme with $U = 1.6 \text{ eV}$ and $J = 0.9 \text{ eV}$ are in good agreement with the corresponding experimental values while, in contrast, the $\sigma_{xy}^A$ and $m_o$ from the GGA calculations are signifi-
TABLE II. Theoretical spin-decomposed Hall conductivities ($\sigma_{ij}^H$ and $\sigma_{ij}^{H\dagger}$ (S/cm)) and spin-polarization of the Hall current ($P^H$) as well as spin-decomposed densities of states ($N_\uparrow$ and $N_\downarrow$) (states/eV/unit cell) and spin-polarization of the density of states at the Fermi level ($P^{D\sigma}$). The on-site Coulomb interaction $U = 1.6, 1.9$ and $2.5$ eV are used, with $J = 0.9$ eV being constant. The measured longitudinal current spin-polarizations ($P^L$) are also listed for comparison.

|         | GGA  | GGA+U | GGA+U | GGA+U | Expt. |
|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\sigma_{xy}^A$ | 125  | 121  | 127  | 96    |       |
| $\sigma_{yz}^A$ | 359  | 497  | 517  | 732   |       |
| $P_{xy}^H$    | -0.48 | -0.61 | -0.61 | -0.77 |       |
| $N_\uparrow$  | 0.316 | 0.281 | 0.291 | 0.257 |       |
| $N_\downarrow$| 1.485 | 1.509 | 1.504 | 1.400 |       |
| $P^{D\sigma}$  | -0.66 | -0.68 | -0.68 | -0.69 |       |

$\text{m}$ // $c$

|         | $\sigma_{xy}^A$ | $\sigma_{yz}^A$ | $P_{xy}^H$ | $N_\uparrow$ | $N_\downarrow$ | $P^{D\sigma}$ |
|---------|----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| $\text{m}$ // $ab$ | -11.9          | -11.5          | -6.0       | 11.3        |              |             |
| $\sigma_{xy}^A$ | 18.0           | 50.4           | 59.8       | 70.5        |              |             |
| $P_{xy}^H$    | -4.90          | -1.59          | -1.22      | -0.72       |              |             |
| $N_\uparrow$  | 0.302          | 0.293          | 0.290      | 0.253       |              |             |
| $N_\downarrow$| 1.491          | 1.539          | 1.554      | 1.626       |              |             |
| $P^{D\sigma}$  | -0.66          | -0.68          | -0.68      | -0.69       |              |             |

$^a$Tunneling experiments (Ref. 58).

$^b$Andreev reflection measurements (Ref. 59).
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