Severe necrotizing fasciitis was diagnosed in a 53-year-old man in Germany in 2012. Toxigenic Corynebacterium ulcerans was grown from a wound swab sample. One of the patient’s 2 dogs was found to harbor a toxigenic C. ulcerans strain. Results of next generation sequencing of both isolates supported recent zoonotic transmission of this bacterial pathogen.

Toxigenic Corynebacterium spp. C. diphtheriae, C. ulcerans, and rarely, C. pseudotuberculosis produce diphtheria toxin (DT) and thus cause respiratory and cutaneous diphtheria. DT is encoded by the phage-located tox gene. During the past decade, diphtheria-like infections with toxigenic C. ulcerans have outnumbered those caused by toxigenic C. diphtheriae in many industrialized countries (1). C. ulcerans has increasingly been isolated from domestic animals such as pet dogs and cats (1–5). Isolation of an undistinguishable toxigenic C. ulcerans strain from an animal and its owner has been documented for 2 dogs (2,3), 2 cats (4,5), and 1 pig (6) and their respective owners. Strain comparison was achieved in these cases by ribotyping alone (2,3), ribotyping in combination with multilocus sequence typing (4,6), or pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis (5). We report the first use of next generation sequencing (NGS) for proving zoonotic transmission of a toxigenic C. ulcerans strain between a pet dog and his human owner.

The Study
In October 2012, a 53-year-old man in Baden-Württemberg, Germany, whose only known underlying condition was chronic venous insufficiency, sought treatment from a private physician, who diagnosed severe necrotizing fasciitis in the calves of both legs. The patient reported no trauma, and gave no history of recent travel abroad or contact with livestock animals. The patient lived alone with his 2 dogs and had no other close personal contacts. The patient’s vaccination status against diphtheria was unknown. A swab sample from the wound on his right leg grew Staphylococcus aureus, Bacteroides spp., and Corynebacterium ulcerans, which were identified by biochemical differentiation (API Coryne code 011326), including a positive O129 sensitivity test result, rpoB sequencing, and a score of 2.463 from Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight analysis (MALDI Biotyper; Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). The isolate was identified as toxigenic by a positive real-time PCR for the DT-encoding tox gene (7) and a positive cytotoxicity assay by using Vero cells (toxigenic titer: 1.8; toxigenic titer of the highly toxigenic C. diphtheriae control NCTC 10648: 1:2000) as reported by Sing et al (8). However, a modified Elek test yielded a negative result (8). The patient was isolated at home, where he was treated with penicillin and clindamycin for 14 days and received surgical wound debridement several times over a period of 4 weeks, after which he recovered completely. The patient’s isolation at home was discontinued after 2 wound swab samples obtained 2 weeks after treatment ended showed no growth of C. ulcerans.

The local health authority started a comprehensive source investigation. No close human contacts could be identified. Because of known zoonotic transmission of C. ulcerans to humans, however, nasal and pharyngeal swab samples from the patient’s 2 asymptomatic pet dogs were obtained by the local veterinary authority. Cultures of nasal and pharyngeal swab samples from 1 of his 2 dogs grew toxigenic C. ulcerans and showed an API Coryne code identical to that of the human isolate. The other dog’s cultures grew several species of normal canine bacterial flora. Antimicrobial susceptibility of the isolates was tested on Mueller-Hinton blood agar (supplemented with 5% sheep blood) after overnight incubation at 37°C and 5% CO₂. In the absence of standardized breakpoints for C. ulcerans, antibiotic susceptibility was determined by using the CLSI...
criteria for broth microbouillon dilution susceptibility testing for *Corynebacterium* species (9).

Both the human and the dog *C. ulcerans* strains were susceptible to amoxicillin, benzyl penicillin, ceftriaxone, erythromycin, ciprofloxacin, vancomycin, linezolid, and tetracycline, and showed intermediate susceptibility to clindamycin. Although currently no definite recommendations exist regarding antibiotic treatment of animals infected or colonized with *C. ulcerans* for preventing possible animal-to-human transmission of diphtheria-associated *Corynebacterium* spp. infection, antibiotic treatment was discussed as an option, but refused by the dog owner.

Because sequencing of *rpoB* and *tox* yielded 100% homology between the strains isolated from human and dog samples and multilocus sequence typing (4) suggested the clonal identity of both isolates, we aimed to confirm these findings by NGS. For a detailed genomic characterization of both isolates, we performed genome-wide resequencing on an Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). Using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner software (SourceForge.net, Dice Holdings, New York, New York, USA), we mapped readings of both isolates to the reference genome *C. ulcerans* 809 (10) and used VarScan (http://varscan.sourceforge.net/) for single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) verification. SNPs found in both isolates were discarded from the SNP list (≈20,000 SNPs compared to *C. ulcerans* 809). Next, we discarded the SNPs that were only found in 1 of the isolates because of technically missing sequence coverage in the other isolate data. We found that the 2 isolates did not differ by any SNPs throughout the 2.5 MB genome, clearly showing the clonal identity of both isolates. The absence of SNPs between the 2 isolates suggests a recent zoonotic transmission, because we would expect the isolates to accumulate SNPs if the transmission happened long before the strains were isolated (11). Furthermore, we did not detect any additional gene acquisition or genomic reordering, such as inversion or transposition in 1 of the 2 isolates but not the other. Additionally, we analyzed DT loci of both isolates and found that the DT gene is encoded by a toxigenic prophage, which is almost identical to the toxigenic phage of *C. ulcerans* 809 (SourceForge.net, Dice Holdings, New York, New York, USA), we mapped readings of both isolates to the reference genome *C. diphtheriae* (8) as was the case in the study patient, it is difficult to estimate the pathogenic contribution of these low amounts of DT detected only in vitro by using a cytotoxicity assay, but not by immunologic precipitation for this patient in vivo. Furthermore, as described first for *C. diphtheriae*, also nontoxigenic tox-bearing strains of *C. ulcerans* exist, and some of them originate in animals (8).

In conclusion, the declining costs of NGS and the increasing availability of bioinformatics tools should make this method more available. The wider introduction of this technology in public health laboratories will help in outbreak investigations and support public health authorities in the management of infectious diseases.
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