Measurement of androgen and estrogen concentrations in cord blood: accuracy, biological interpretation, and applications to understanding human behavioral development
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Accurately measuring hormone exposure during prenatal life presents a methodological challenge and there is currently no “gold standard” approach. Ideally, circulating fetal hormone levels would be measured at repeated time points during pregnancy. However, it is not currently possible to obtain fetal blood samples without significant risk to the fetus, and therefore surrogate markers of fetal hormone levels must be utilized. Umbilical cord blood can be readily obtained at birth and largely reflects fetal circulation in late gestation. This review examines the accuracy and biological interpretation of the measurement of androgens and estrogens in cord blood. The use of cord blood hormones to understand and investigate human development is then discussed.
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Prenatal exposure to sex steroids has long been posited to influence human development (1,2). There are a number of biological differences between males and females, including height, weight, internal reproductive anatomy, and external genitalia. Sex differences have also been observed in neuroanatomy and neurochemistry (3). In addition to biological differences, males and females differ in a number of behavioral and cognitive aspects. Sex differences have also been found for aggression (4,5), childhood play (6), visuospatial ability (7,8), and verbal ability (9–11). However, the examination of the relationship between these sex differences and sex steroid exposure has been limited due to inherent difficulties in providing accurate and reliable measurements of hormone exposure during prenatal life.

Findings from animal models illustrate that exposure to sex steroids during critical periods of gestational development can have a significant long-term impact (12). However, due to the many developmental differences between species (e.g., duration of pregnancy, maturity at birth, and susceptibility to a variety of environmental conditions), it is difficult to extrapolate animal model findings to human development. Human brain and tissue samples cannot realistically be collected for research purposes during normal pregnancy, and it is not ethical to manipulate hormone levels in human fetuses. Therefore, surrogate markers of fetal hormone levels, such as the second-to-fourth digit (2D:4D) ratio or the examination of clinical populations exposed to atypical hormone levels (e.g., congenital adrenal hyperplasia), have been used to investigate the relationship between sex steroids and human development. However, there is doubt as to whether the 2D:4D ratio is a reliable proxy measure of fetal testosterone levels (13,14) and it is difficult to extrapolate findings from clinical populations to typical human development.

Alternatively, fetal hormones can be measured in amniotic fluid from the second trimester of pregnancy onward. Amniotic samples provide an approximation of circulating fetal hormones by gauging the hormone levels that have entered the amniotic fluid via fetal urination or diffusion through fetal skin (15). While amniotic hormones are thought to relate to hormone levels in fetal blood, the strength of the relationship remains unclear. In addition, the sampling procedure (amniocentesis) is generally only performed in high-risk pregnancies and could not ethically be performed in low risk pregnancies solely for research purposes.

Currently, there is no “gold standard” approach to the measurement of prenatal hormone exposure (16). In response to the methodological difficulties, researchers have sought alternative means through which prenatal hormone levels can be approximated. Umbilical cord plasma collected at birth allows for the collection of large representative samples and analysis of archived samples. The current review will examine the biological interpretation of cord blood hormones, issues with assay, and steroid comparisons in cord blood sex steroids and applications of this measurement approach to understanding human development.
BIOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF CORD BLOOD HORMONES

Pregnancy represents a unique phase of human life where circulating hormone concentrations are derived from maternal, placental, and fetal origins. The developing fetal endocrine environment is a function of gonadal, adrenal, and placental biosynthesis, metabolism, and biodistribution, modulated by protein binding, biological activity, and receptor affinity (17). The placenta is a highly steroidogenic organ responsible for the production of large amounts of free androgens and estrogens from fetal adrenal and gonadal precursors (18, 19). The placenta is also a very active metabolic organ with respect to phase II metabolism (conjugation). While steroids are lipophilic and cross the placenta in both directions, most of them are metabolized by the placenta en route (20). Fetal blood leaves the placenta (enriched with placental steroid metabolites and some maternal steroids) via the umbilical vein (UV) and returns from the fetus to the placenta via the umbilical artery [UA; (21)].

Umbilical cord blood is typically collected after delivery near term, and so cord plasma or serum hormone concentrations are thought to reflect the levels in the fetal circulation at late gestation (22). Umbilical cord blood samples contain approximately equal amounts of venous (UV) and arterial (UA) components, although the relative proportions are usually not known precisely and are not controlled for. Nevertheless, despite the differences in UV and UA steroid concentrations, they are strongly correlated (19). Ideally, fetal blood would be sampled earlier in pregnancy, during critical periods of development, but this is not feasible for ethical reasons. Hormone concentrations from maternal blood samples collected during normal pregnancy have been suggested as a possible approximation of circulating fetal concentrations (16). However, several studies have shown that maternal sex steroid concentrations do not reflect those in fetal circulation and the relationships are weak (23–25). Amniotic fluid sampled during mid gestation provides a proxy measure of blood hormone concentration in the fetus (15). However, the exact relationship between hormone concentrations in amniotic-fluid and circulating fetal concentrations remains unclear. Furthermore, due to the invasive nature of amniocentesis it is restricted to high-risk pregnancies. Currently, cord blood is the only practical means of assessing fetal hormone concentrations, and is a possible approximation of circulating fetal concentrations (16, 22, 26).

It is important to note that the measurement of umbilical cord sex steroids may be affected by a number of obstetric and maternal factors. Fetal adrenal steroid production changes with gestational age and labor, while levels of steroid-metabolizing enzymes in the placenta are regulated by factors known to be associated with labor and delivery such as glucocorticoids, pro-inflammatory cytokines, and exposure to reactive oxygen species (18). Hence, it is highly likely that factors such as prematurity, labor onset, placental weight, intrauterine infection, and pre-eclampsia could influence umbilical cord androgen levels, although the nature and extent of their influence have not yet been fully determined. However, these relationships have recently been investigated in a large unselected birth cohort; it was found that the presence and duration of labor and gestational age at delivery significantly impact upon androgen and estrogen concentrations in cord blood (22, 28). In addition, birth weight and the presence of ante-partum hemorrhage or pre-eclampsia significantly impact cord estrogen levels (28). Some studies also suggest that smoking in pregnancy may increase circulating postnatal testosterone and cortical concentrations and reduce estradiol concentrations (29, 30), although these relationships were not observed in the large cohort study of Hickey et al. (28). Other maternal factors such as ethnicity, age, and parity should also be controlled for when examining cord blood hormones (22).

Another important consideration is that the major circulating sex steroids (i.e., testosterone, estradiol, and estrone) are bound to proteins such as sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) and albumin, which impacts upon their bioactivity (31). Albumin and SHBG values vary according to gestational age at delivery and onset of labor (22, 26). Therefore, accurate evaluation and interpretation of prenatal sex steroid concentrations requires adjustment for pregnancy concentrations of albumin and SHBG in order to determine the biologically active fraction (22, 26).

Normal values for sex steroid concentrations have been derived from birth cohorts and consistently demonstrate that testosterone concentrations are higher in males compared to females (25, 32, 33). Umbilical cord blood androgens are likely to reflect the fetal androgen environment during late gestation. However, a major limitation of the use of cord blood is that it is possible that androgen influences on development may occur earlier in gestation (34).

Unlike androgens, umbilical cord estrogen concentrations do not consistently differ significantly between males and females. Studies have reported inconsistent results including no sex differences (24, 25, 28, 32, 33, 35), higher estrogen concentrations in females (36), and higher estrogen concentrations in males (16, 37). The lack of consistent sex differences in estrogen concentrations is biologically and clinically significant. Sex differences in estrogen exposure and in the ratio of estrogen to testosterone have been postulated to be responsible for a variety of sexually dimorphic neurodevelopmental and behavioral characteristics including sexual orientation (38), reproductive function (12), and cardiovascular disease (39).

It is clear from the literature reviewed that the collection of cord blood plasma is useful as a measure of late gestation circulating fetal hormones. However, it is important when measuring cord hormone concentrations, to adjust for obstetric and maternal confounding factors as well as to calculate the biological active fractions of the sex steroids in order to derive valid conclusions.

ISSUES RELATED TO THE DETERMINATION OF STEROID CONCENTRATIONS IN CORD BLOOD

Cord serum or plasma represents a particularly challenging medium to analyze as it has an unusual steroid profile due to the unique combination of placental and fetal steroid biosynthesis and metabolism (conjugation and deconjugation; (40, 41)]. Therefore, assays require particularly careful validation to ensure that the results are accurate. Due to the presence of a variety of steroid isomers in cord blood with almost identical structures and fragmentation patterns, efficient chromatographic discrimination of analytes during analysis can be particularly important – even when using mass spectrometry as the detection methodology. Most published studies have used radioimmunoassay (RIA) to measure concentrations of estrogens and androgens in cord blood (16, 24, 25).
Organic solvent extraction has been employed in most studies to remove interfering factors in order to improve specificity, accuracy, and sensitivity. A few studies have used additional column purification techniques to address concerns regarding the accuracy of RIAs for the measurement of low concentrations of steroids, in particular, testosterone. However, while the assays are sometimes tested and validated for use in female or pediatric samples, their suitability for umbilical cord blood analysis is usually assumed and invariably not adequately tested.

Increasing awareness of the limitations of RIA for the measurement of low concentrations of sex steroids has led to the adoption of mass spectrometry as the preferred methodology for the measurement of circulating testosterone levels in women and children, and reported concentrations are consistently lower than those derived by RIA. A comparison of estradiol and testosterone concentrations in cord blood reported over the past few decades in numerous studies reveals the significant impact of assay methodology on the results obtained, and thus the robustness of the data and any conclusions drawn from it (Figure 1). Firstly, it is clear that some assays have reported far higher ranges of these hormones than others, even for the same type of assay; this is mostly apparent in the studies that have employed RIA and raises real concerns around the validity of some of these studies. Secondly, it is clear that much lower values for testosterone are consistently reported in studies that have employed mass spectrometry (either LC–MS/MS or GC–MS) compared to immunoassay-derived values. On the other hand, estradiol levels are overall not dramatically different between RIA and mass spectrometry-based studies [with the exception of the study by Lagiou et al.]. Closer inspection of the immunoassay studies, which reported the lowest testosterone values reveals that these assays employed column purification to help remove interfering cross-reacting steroids. Nevertheless, these studies still reported values about 40% higher than those reported by the largest study to date which employed an extensively validated and internally controlled LC–MS/MS assay, suggesting that chromatographic treatment was not completely effective in removing all cross-reacting compounds prior to RIA analysis.

Even within the mass-spectrometry studies, there are sometimes quite marked variations in reported values. This can reflect variations in internal standardization and extraction efficiency, with some studies measuring multiple hormones and conjugates without controlling for extraction efficiency of each specific analyte. Inadequate chromatographic separation of similar steroid species prior to mass spectrometry is also an important source of variation. However, there is also a great deal

---

**FIGURE 1** Variations in umbilical cord blood steroid concentrations according to mode of assay. Steroid concentrations in cord blood are displayed as mean ± standard deviation or median and interquartile range, depending on the data available. (A) Estradiol concentrations in cord blood (males and females combined) are displayed from 15 studies. The first 11 utilized immunassay (predominantly RIA), the remaining 4 used LC–MS/MS, apart from the studies by Hill et al. (41), which used GC–MS. (B) Testosterone concentrations in cord blood (males or mixed male/female samples). Of the 17 studies included, the final 5 employed mass spectrometry. The last study displayed on each chart has the largest sample size (n = 860) and extensive assay validation for cord blood. The steroid values from the studies by Hill et al. (41), are the mean of the published umbilical artery and vein values. The figure demonstrates the influence of assay characteristics on sex steroid values, although the lack of assay specificity is much more significant for measurement of testosterone compared to estradiol.
of variation in the nature of the specimens analyzed in the studies, such that differences in mode of collection, ethnicity, gestational age, labor onset and duration, rates of pregnancy complications, twinning, and sample number/power are all likely to have a major effect on the values obtained (22, 28).

APPLICATIONS OF CORD BLOOD TO UNDERSTANDING HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

The literature reviewed provides general support for the use of cord blood measurements to approximate prenatal sex steroid concentrations, although limitations regarding confounding by mode of delivery and method of analysis need to be considered in the interpretation. The remainder of this review will examine extant applications of cord blood to understanding human behavioral development.

To date, two research groups have used cord blood to examine the relationship between human development and exposure to prenatal hormones (see Table 1). Jacklin and colleagues from Stanford University examined a group of participants combined from three longitudinal cohorts. Cohort one comprised infants born at a university hospital during July and August 1973 (40 males, 35 females). The infants in cohorts two and three were born at a nearby general hospital during January–March 1974 (32 males, 42 females) and August–November 1974 (53 males, 54 females), respectively. For offspring to be included in the study, there needed to be no complications of pregnancy or delivery and a 5-min Apgar score of 7 or above. Umbilical cord blood (venous and arterial) was collected at birth, and androstenedione, testosterone, estrone, estradiol, and progesterone concentrations were determined by RIA. Cord testosterone concentrations were significantly higher in males than females. No sex differences were observed for the androstenedione, estrone, estradiol, or progesterone levels (33).

Timidity was measured through observation in 162 offspring (84 males, 78 females) at 6, 9, 12, and 18 months (64). No sex difference was found for the timidity measure. For boys,

| Study                        | Number of participants | Age of participants | Measures                                      | Assay technique | Outcome measure          | Findings                                                                 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jacklin et al. (64)          | 84 males; 78 females   | 6, 9, 12, and 18 months | Androstenedione; testosterone; estrone; estradiol; progesterone | RIA             | Timidity                  | Males: significant negative relationship with testosterone and progesterone, positive relationship with estradiol  |
|                              |                        |                     |                                               |                 |                           | Females: no significant relationship                                     |
| Jacklin et al. (65)          | 127 children; 127 males| Birth, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, and 33 months | Androstenedione; testosterone; estrone; estradiol; progesterone | RIA             | Muscular strength          | Males: significant negative relationship with androstenedione, significant positive relationship with progesterone |
|                              |                        |                     |                                               |                 |                           | Females: significant negative relationship with androstenedione and progesterone |
| Jacklin et al. (66)          | 53 males; 43 females   | 6 years              | Androstenedione; testosterone; estrone; estradiol; progesterone | RIA             | Reading; Numeracy; Listening; Spatial ability | Males: no significant relationship                                        |
|                              |                        |                     |                                               |                 |                           | Females: significant inverse relationship between testosterone and androstenedione and spatial ability |
| Hollier et al. (67)          | 224 males; 199 females | 2 years              | Testosterone                                  | LC–MS           | Vocabulary                | Males: significant inverse relationship                                   |
|                              |                        |                     |                                               |                 |                           | Females: no significant relationship                                      |
| Whitehouse et al. (69)       | 372 males; 395 females | 1–3 years            | Testosterone                                  | LC–MS           | Language delay            | Males: significant inverse relationship                                   |
|                              |                        |                     |                                               |                 |                           | Females: no significant relationship                                      |
| Farrant et al. (69)          | 236 males; 232 females | 1 and 5 years        | Testosterone                                  | LC–MS           | Socio-emotional engagement; Vocabulary; Autism Quotient | Males: no significant relationship                                        |
|                              |                        |                     |                                               |                 |                           | Females: no significant relationship                                      |
| Whitehouse et al. (70)       | 184 males; 190 females | 19–20 years          | Testosterone                                  | LC–MS           | Child Behavior Checklist   | Males: negative relationship between testosterone and attention problems at ages 5, 8, and 10 years |
|                              |                        |                     |                                               |                 |                           | Females: no significant relationship                                      |
| Robinson et al. (71)         | 429 males; 430 females | 2, 5, 8, and 10 years| Testosterone                                  | LC–MS           |                           | Females: negative association between testosterone and withdrawal symptoms at age 5 |
testosterone and progesterone levels were significant negative predictors of timidity, and estradiol levels were a significant positive predictor. No significant relationships were observed between cord hormones concentrations and timidity for girls.

Jacklin et al. (65) investigated the relationship between umbilical cord hormones and muscular strength measured at birth, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, and 33 months in 127 children. On average, males had greater strength scores than females. A significant negative relationship was found between androstenedione and strength in males and females. However, this relationship was found to be reversed in one of the three cohorts, indicating the relationship is not stable. A significant sex by progesterone interaction was found in all three cohorts, where higher progesterone levels were related to greater strength for males, but to lower strength for females.

The final study by Jacklin and colleagues examined cognitive abilities in a subsample of 96 children (53 males, 43 females) when they were 6 years old. No significant sex differences were found for cognitive ability. A significant inverse relationship was found between cord androgens (testosterone and androstenedione) and spatial ability in girls. No significant associations were found for boys (66).

The data yielded by Jacklin and colleagues indicate that the direction of the association between cord blood hormones and outcome measures may be different between males and females. For boys, cord progesterone concentrations were positively associated with strength in early childhood and negatively related to timidity. For girls, cord progesterone was negatively associated with early childhood strength and cord androgens (testosterone and androstenedione) were inversely related to spatial ability. It should be noted that Jacklin and colleagues measured cord blood hormone concentrations by RIA following extraction and purification. In addition, they used total hormone concentrations, rather than the biologically active proportion. However, the hormone levels reported were similar to a number of studies using mass spectrometry (see Figure 1) and their data appear reliable.

The second research group utilized data from the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort (Raine) study. Between May 1989 and November 1991, 2900 pregnant women were recruited from King Edward Memorial Hospital or nearby private practices. To be included in the study, pregnant women had to have sufficient English language skills, a gestational age between 16 and 18 weeks, an expectation to give birth at King Edward Memorial Hospital Perth and an intention to remain within the state so as to enable follow-up testing. Parents provided written informed consent to participate at each follow-up. Approximately half of the cohort \((n = 1415)\) was randomly allocated to an intensive investigation group, and within this group, mixed (venous and arterial) umbilical cord blood was randomly collected from 861 live deliveries. Testosterone, androstenedione, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), estrone, estradiol, estriol, and estetrol concentrations were estimated using LC–MS/MS. The bioavailable proportion of testosterone was calculated, representing the fraction of total testosterone either free (unsequestered by SHBG) or bound to serum albumin. Males had significantly higher levels of cord testosterone than females, while females had significantly higher DHEA concentrations than males (22). There were no significant sex differences for androstenedione, estrone, estradiol, estriol, or estetrol (22, 28).

When offspring were 2 years of age, parents of 426 children (224 male; 199 female) completed the Language Development Survey [LDS; (72)]. Consistent with extensive published literature (73–75), it was found that on average boys had a smaller expressive vocabulary than girls at 2 years of age. In addition, an inverse relationship was found between cord blood testosterone and expressive vocabulary, where higher levels of cord blood testosterone predicted lower vocabulary size in the boys. No relationship was observed in girls (67).

When the offspring were 1, 2, and 3 years of age, parents of 767 children (395 males; 372 females) completed the 12, 24, and 36 month versions of the Infant Monitoring Questionnaire [IMQ; (76)]. The IMQ is a parent report checklist, designed to screen for delayed child development during the early years. Cut-off scores are provided for each scale at each age to indicate a “clinically significant” delay in the development of that particular skill. It was found that males were between two and three times more likely than females to experience language delay at 1, 2, and 3 years of age. In addition, there was a significant association between cord blood testosterone and the rate of language delay in both males and females (70). In line with Hollier et al. (67), it was found that higher levels of cord blood testosterone increased the risk of language delay in males. Interestingly, for females, higher levels of cord blood testosterone were found to be protective for language delay. No significant relationship was observed between the other IMQ scales and cord blood testosterone. The non-significant finding on the Personal–Social scale of the IMQ is in contrast with an inverse association reported by Knickmeyer et al. (77) between amniotic-fluid testosterone levels and parent-reported social skills in their sample of children at 4 years.

Farrant et al. (69) further explored the relationship between cord blood testosterone, socio-emotional engagement, and language development in a subset of 467 children from the Raine sample (235 males, 232 females). Socio-emotional engagement was assessed when the children were 1-year-old using a 14-item Australian revision of the Toddler Temperament Scale (78). Vocabulary was measured using the Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test – Revised [PPVT-R; (79)], when the children were 5 years old. It was found that cord blood testosterone was significantly negatively correlated with socio-emotional engagement and vocabulary in boys. In addition, for boys, socio-emotional engagement was found to completely mediate the relationship between fetal testosterone and vocabulary development. However, when various covariates were included (e.g., maternal age and education, parity, and parent–child book reading) neither fetal testosterone nor socio-emotional engagement were significant predictors of vocabulary development. No significant relationships were observed for girls.

To further extend, the research examining the link between socio-emotional engagement and cord blood testosterone, Whitehouse et al. (68) examined the relationship between cord testosterone and autistic-like traits in adulthood. When the offspring were 19–20 years old 184 males and 190 females completed the Autism-Spectrum Quotient [AQ; (80)]. The AQ is a self-report questionnaire, where individuals are provided with 50 statements...
and asked to indicate on a four-point scale how well that statement applies to them. No significant relationship was found between cord blood testosterone concentrations and AQ scores for either males or females. This finding is in contrast with results from the Cambridge Fetal Testosterone Project, which include reports of significant associations between amniotic testosterone concentrations and a range of autistic-like traits during early (77, 81, 82) and middle (83) childhood.

The findings from Whitehouse et al. (70), Farrant et al. (69), and Whitehouse et al. (68) indicate there may be no relationship between socio-emotional development and cord blood testosterone at birth. These findings contrast with studies of mid-pregnancy amniotic-fluid steroids, which indicate that socio-emotional development may be related to testosterone exposure during the earlier stages of gestation. The cord blood studies have the advantage that they were conducted on a very large cohort of typically developing infants, although steroid levels were measured at delivery, many weeks after the period expected to be critical for steroid-modulated neurodevelopment. The amniotic-fluid studies, on the other hand, were on relatively small numbers of selected pregnancies with elevated risk of genetic abnormalities, although samples were taken closer to the expected steroid-sensitive developmental window.

Finally, Robinson et al. (71) investigated the relationship between cord blood testosterone and internalizing and externalizing behavior in childhood for the Raine sample. Externalizing and internalizing behaviors were measured when the children (429 males; 430 females) were 2, 5, 8, and 10 years of ages using the Child Behavior Checklist [CBCL; (84, 85)]. The CBCL is a parent-rated questionnaire that includes a Total Behavior Problem scale, an Externalizing Behavior scale (e.g., antisocial or under-controlled behavior), and an Internalizing Behavior scale (e.g., inhibited or over-controlled behavior). In addition, there are eight subscales: withdrawn behavior, somatic complaints, anxiety/depression, delinquency, aggression, social thought, and attention problems. No significant relationships were found between cord blood testosterone and the CBCL total, internalizing or externalizing scales at all ages. When examining the individual subscales, a negative relationship was found between cord testosterone and attention problems for boys at ages 5, 8, and 10 years. For girls, there was a negative association between cord testosterone and withdrawal symptoms at age five. The findings from Robinson et al. (71) did not demonstrate a consistent relationship between fetal testosterone exposure and behavioral difficulties in childhood. However, significant relationships were observed for particular behaviors, which suggest there may be links between fetal testosterone exposure and behavioral development. Further research is warranted to more thoroughly understand the relationship between fetal testosterone exposure and behavioral difficulties in childhood.

In summary, significant negative relationships have been consistently observed between cord blood testosterone and early language development. However, relationships between cord testosterone and other forms of development are still unclear. Some studies indicate that high fetal testosterone exposure is related to more male-typical behavior, while others have found that high fetal testosterone is associated with less male-typical behavior. It is important to note that the analysis of the Raine study samples utilized the more accurate LC-MS/MS method, and calculated the bioavailable proportion of testosterone, both of which add strength to the quality of the data. The studies by Jacklin and colleagues, on the other hand, used a robust RIA but were limited to the use of total hormone concentrations uncorrected for protein binding. To date, only a few studies have been conducted in this area and relationships between cord testosterone and childhood development should be investigated more thoroughly in the future. Furthermore, only Jacklin and colleagues have investigated relationships between cord blood estrogens and early development. Cord estrogen levels have been measured in the Western Australian Pregnancy Cohort; however, the relationship between cord estrogen concentrations and human development has not yet been examined in the cohort.

**CONCLUSION**

The purpose of the current review was to examine the use of cord blood to measure androgen and estrogen concentrations, and the applicability of this method to understanding human development. From the literature reviewed, it is apparent that cord blood is useful in providing direct measurement of late gestational androgen and estrogen concentrations. However, fetal steroid levels around the time of birth are influenced by obstetric and perinatal factors. It is essential for the accuracy of analyses to take these factors into account when examining associations between cord steroid levels and biological endpoints.

Furthermore, it is important that research using cord blood to measure sex steroids employs properly validated assays. This is to ensure that cross-reacting substances are not measured in error, which is particularly important for the measurement of testosterone concentrations. Most studies to date have used RIA, which has recognized limitations for the measurement of low sex steroid concentrations (56, 58, 59). Mass spectrometry is currently the preferred method for the measurement of circulating hormones in cord blood.

Relatively little research has been performed on cord blood to examine the relationship between fetal hormone exposure and human neurodevelopment. Most of the research in this area has focused on testosterone concentrations. A significant negative relationship between cord testosterone and early language development has been consistently observed (67, 70). However, the relationships between cord testosterone and other aspects of development are still unclear. One of the main criticisms of the use of cord blood to examine the hormonal influences of human development is that hormone effects may occur earlier in gestation. The strength of the relationship between fetal plasma levels at birth and those in early to mid pregnancy is not known. One advantage of cord blood is that it provides a measure of hormone exposure later in gestational development. This is of particular importance given that animal studies have found that the effects of hormones on development are not restricted to the first two trimesters (12, 86). Examining the congruence between hormones obtained during an earlier period of gestation (e.g., via amniotic fluid) and hormones obtained from cord blood is an urgent priority for this field of research.
To date, only Jacklin and colleagues have examined the relationship between cord blood estrogens and human development. However, cord blood hormone concentrations were measured using RIA (64–66). In addition, adjustments for protein binding were not taken into account. Therefore, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions from their findings. More research needs to be conducted to examine the relationship between human development and cord estrogen concentrations. Furthermore, based on conflicting evidence of sex differences in cord estrogen concentrations (16, 24, 25, 28, 32, 33, 35–37), it has been suggested that the ratio of androgens to estrogens may underlie sex-associated developmental outcomes, rather than absolute concentrations. Future research should investigate possible relationships between the androgen to estrogen ratio and aspects of human development.

In conclusion, the collection of cord blood is currently the most practical means of approximating circulating fetal hormones in normal pregnancy. The use of cord blood to examine the relationship between fetal hormones and human development is promising, but requires validation and further investigation. It is important that future research in this area uses properly validated assays to determine hormone concentrations and takes into account any possible confounding factors. The results of studies that do not take these steps may lack accuracy and valid interpretation.
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