Spatial-temporal analysis of malaria and the effect of environmental factors on its incidence in Yongcheng, China, 2006–2010
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Abstract

Background: In 2003, Plasmodium vivax malaria has re-emerged in central eastern China including Yongcheng prefecture, Henan Province, where no case has been reported for eleven years. Our goals were to detect the space-time distribution pattern of malaria and to determine significant environmental variables contributing to malaria incidence in Yongcheng from 2006 to 2010, thus providing scientific basis for further optimizing current malaria surveillance and control programs.

Methods: This study examined the spatial and temporal heterogeneities in the risk of malaria and the influencing factors on malaria incidence using geographical information system (GIS) and time series analysis. Univariate analysis was conducted to estimate the crude correlations between malaria incidence and environmental variables, such as mosquito abundance and climatic factors. Multivariate analysis was implemented to construct predictive models to explore the principal environmental determinants on malaria epidemic using a Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) approach.

Results: Annual malaria incidence at town-level decreased from the north to south, and monthly incidence at prefecture-level demonstrated a strong seasonal pattern with a peak from July to November. Yearly malaria incidence had a visual spatial association with yearly average temperature. Moreover, the best-fit temporal model (model 2) (QIC = 16.934, P<0.001, R² = 0.818) indicated that significant factors contributing to malaria incidence were maximum temperature at one month lag, average humidity at one month lag, and malaria incidence of the previous month.

Conclusions: Findings supported the effects of environment factors on malaria incidence and indicated that malaria control targets should vary with intensity of malaria incidence, with more public resource allocated to control the source of infections instead of large scale An. sinensis control when malaria incidence was at a low level, which would benefit for optimizing the malaria surveillance project in China and some other countries with unstable or low malaria transmission.
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Background

Malaria is one of the major causes of morbidity and mortality in the world, with less than one million deaths annually reported by WHO [1]. Malaria is a serious global public health problem, and its prevention and control is addressed in the United Nations (UN) Millennium Development Goals (MDG) [2]. Yongcheng prefecture, Henan province is a research center of the 2010–2020 plan for national malaria elimination in China [3], which is an important part of global malaria action plan (GMAP) [4]. In the past, malaria in Yongcheng prefecture were severe, with incidence as high as 3.34 per 100,000 population in 1970. Malaria incidence was drastically reduced after national comprehensive interventions, which included case management and *Anopheles* elimination. In 2003, malaria re-emerged in Yongcheng prefecture after a period of eleven years without a reported case [5,6]. Malaria incidence increased to 0.02 per 100,000 population in 2006, which accounted for 4.52% of the total malaria cases in China [7].

In central eastern China including Yongcheng prefecture, where malaria incidence remains seasonal and unstable, there is a need for timely confirmation of significant factors and development of factors targeting malaria interventions to curtail malaria incidence. Although studies have described malaria and its interventions in detail or factor analysis in central China [5,6,8-10], but thus far, attempts to develop predictive models of malaria epidemics with environmental variables, which are accurate on the local scale, have not met with success.

Malaria is one of the important environmental diseases. When the environmental parameters (such as temperature, humidity) permit, *Anopheles* mosquitoes would transmit the pathogen, *Plasmodium* spp [11]. Therefore, to figure out how malaria varies due to seasonal or year-to-year changes in environmental variables is essential for the national malaria elimination plan in order for it to allow interventions to be adapted to the specific sites or times of year. Although previous studies proved that weather variables have exerted great influences on malaria incidence in different regions of the world [12-20], controversial issues still remain. First of all, some experts argued that these correlations were questionable [20,21]. For example, some of them claimed that rainfall had an significant effect on the incidence of malaria [22-23], whereas others did not detect a significant relationship [24,25]. Moreover, the meteorological factors that have significant statistical correlations with malaria vary greatly between geographic areas within the world, which would complicate the decision-making process in choosing weather monitoring targets [26]. In addition to those controversies, *Anopheles* density is considered as a proximate factor of malaria transmission while climatic indicators are distal risk factors, however, few researchers have treated mosquitoes abundance as an independent variable in statistical models to account for malaria incidence due to limited availability of entomological data [27]. Last but not the least, studies only conducted correlation analyses might have been insufficient [24,28]. In sum, it is necessary to perform further studies to elucidate the impacts of environmental factors, such as meteorological factors, *Anopheles* density on malaria incidence; this study did this based on data from Yongcheng prefecture, China as one example.

The data used in this study were collected from Yongcheng prefecture during the period between 2006 and 2010, and were analyzed using Geographic Information System (GIS) and Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) approach. The aim was to provide not only a scientific basis for malaria monitoring and control in Yongcheng prefecture but also valuable information for malaria elimination in other areas of seasonal and unstable malaria incidence.

Methods

Study area

The site selected for the study was Yongcheng prefecture, located in eastern Henan Province (latitude 33° 42′−34°18′ N and longitude 115°58′−116°39′ E) (Figure 1). The prefecture has an area of 1994.49 km² and a population of 1.46 million. Yongcheng prefecture has a temperate and monsoonal climate with four clearly distinct seasons, with monthly maximum air temperature of 27.3°C, monthly minimum temperature of −1.9°C, and monthly average precipitation of 219.05 mm. The geographic landscape and complex climate situation such as suitable temperature and humidity, abundant rainfall, and existence of water bodies, provided favourable breeding sites for *Anopheles*, which would contribute to making it a suitable environment for *P. vivax* malaria transmission.

Data collection and management

In China, malaria is a statutory notifiable category B infectious disease [30], reported to the National Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Monthly malaria case data from 2006 to 2010 were obtained from Yongcheng CDC. Physicians at hospitals and clinics reported suspected malaria cases to the local CDC, and the cases were defined based on the diagnostic criteria and principles of management for malaria (GB 15989–1995) issued by Ministry of Health of the People’s Republic of China. Only the cases confirmed clinically and by laboratory test, including thick and thin blood smear, were included in our study. The population
data were obtained from reports produced by Yongcheng Statistical Bureau.

Monthly weather data from 2006 to 2010 were obtained from China Meteorological Data Sharing Service System (http://cdc.cma.gov.cn/), including monthly average temperature ($T_{avg}$), maximum temperature ($T_{max}$), minimum temperature ($T_{min}$), average relative humidity ($H_{avg}$), minimum humidity ($H_{min}$), total 24-hour rainfall ($R$), average wind velocity ($W_{avg}$), maximum wind velocity ($W_{max}$), extreme wind velocity ($W_e$) and duration of sunshine ($S$). Due to the unavailability of weather data of Yongcheng prefecture, geostatistical methods of kriging was used to estimate values of meteorological parameters in Yongcheng using monthly weather
observations measured at its eight neighbouring climatic stations, including Shangqiu, Xihua, Dangshan, Xuzhou, Haozhou, Suzhou, Fuyang, and Bengbu weather station. The distribution of those weather stations was mapped in Figure 2.

Data on *Anopheles* density were collected by Yongcheng CDC. Since 2005, when Maqiao village, Yongcheng prefecture was identified as a national malaria monitoring site, samples of *Anopheles* have been collected fortnightly from June to August using China malaria surveillance protocol [30]. *Anopheles* density was measured by two methods: human-bait catches and bed-net trap. Human baits were positioned in outdoor areas near households and mosquito breeding sites to collect mosquitoes continuously from 7:00 PM to 10:00 PM. 50 bed-net traps were distributed to 50 households to collect indoor mosquitoes from 6:00 AM to 7:00 AM. Afterwards, the collected mosquitoes were then separated, sorted, and identified. All collected *Anopheles* in the study period were morphologically identified as *An. sinensis*, and a sample was re-identified as *An. sinensis* by PCR, which is in accordance with the results of previous studies that *An. sinensis* was the only species reported to be the vector capable of transmitting *P. vivax* in Yongcheng [5,8]. Semi-monthly *An. sinensis* density measured by human-bait catches (D$_{bait}$) and bed-net trap (D$_{net}$) was calculated as the number of mosquitoes per person*night or per 50 mosquito nets. The monthly mean value for *An. sinensis* density used in this study was calculated from semi-monthly data. If monthly *An. sinensis* density is unavailable in some month (except for June to August) of the year, we treated it as zero in the process of figure drawing and model building because of its seasonality.

**Ethical approval**

Ethical approval of this study was obtained from the Ethical Committee of China CDC and permission was also got from the Municipal Government, the Municipal Health Bureau and CDC in Yongcheng city.
Kriging analysis for spatial interpolation of meteorological factors

Some weather values at unsampled locations were interpolated by Kriging method [31]. Monthly observed values of climatic data from eight sampled weather stations neighbouring Yongcheng prefecture was the main input variables. Kriging was performed following the procedures described by Journel et al. [32] and Burgess et al. [33], which included preliminary data analysis, structural data analysis, log kriging estimations, and image generations of spatial results. Spatially distributed values of weather factors in Yongcheng prefecture were estimated based on spherical model in this study, which was regarded as the most widely used semi-variogram model [34]. Monthly weather values at county- or prefecture-level were calculated by using the method of Zonal statistics. The above analyses were conducted with ArcGIS 9.2 software (ESRI Inc, Redlands, California).

Mapping malaria incidence and average temperature with GIS

We conducted GIS-based analyses of the spatial distribution of yearly malaria incidence and average temperature as well as their visual correlation. The annualized average incidence of malaria per 100,000 persons and annual average temperature at each town over the five years (from 2006 to 2010) were calculated and mapped based on a town-level polygon map of Yongcheng at 1:1000 000 scale with ArcGIS 9.2 software (ESRI Inc, Redlands, California). Regions with different intensities of malaria incidence and various values of average temperature were marked with different colours on the town-level, with higher incidence and temperature being indicated by darker colour.

Temporal analysis with GEE technology

The monthly malaria incidence, *An. sinensis* density, and weather variables were calculated and plotted to observe their seasonal fluctuations and correlations from 2006 to 2010.

Time varying influencing factors were treated with different time lags, from 0- to 3-month lags, to account for delays in their effects on malaria incidence. The lag size was determined by comparing quasi-likelihood under the independence model criterion (QIC) values in models with various lag sizes [35].

Univariate analyses were made by regressing single factors of interest against monthly malaria incidence to estimate crude associations between malaria incidences and influencing factors. Multivariable models were built to examine the effects of combinations of influencing factors on malaria incidence. Candidate factors selected for the multivariable model were determined through statistical performance of factors in the univariate analysis, and hypothesized relationships. Candidate influencing factors were inputted into the model in their presumed order of importance, and then non-statistically significant factors were removed in their presumed inverse order of importance unless the remaining factors were deemed important for theoretical reasons at $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

Two kinds of multivariable models were built up in this study using GEE approach. The difference between two models lay in whether they included malaria incidence of the previous month into the model. Model 1 was constructed to estimate the relationships between *An. sinensis* density, weather variables and malaria incidence. Model 2 was developed to examine the effects of *An. sinensis* density, weather variables and the malaria incidence of the previous month on malaria incidence.

The goodness of fit of the GEE model was measured by “marginal R-square”, which was interpreted as the amount of variance in the response variables that were explained by the fitted model [36], and QIC value, which was useful in selecting an appropriate correlation structure [8,37,38]. The model with a lowest QIC score and a highest R-square was preferred. GEE analysis was implemented by STATA software 11.0 (Stata Corp. College Station, Texas).

Results

Spatio-temporal distribution of malaria incidence and influencing factors

From 2006 to 2010, 6,546 malaria cases were reported (7.88 cases per 100,000 population) in Yongcheng prefecture, which were all diagnosed as *P. vivax* malaria. The majority of cases were farmers (76.08%). The annual malaria incidence at the town level showed an increasing pattern from the north to south of Yongcheng prefecture between 2006 and 2010 (Figure 3). The areas of highest malaria incidence were distributed in the southern region of Yongcheng prefecture, Maqiao village and Lizhai village, bordering northern Anhui Province. As Figure 4 showed, the yearly average temperature also rose from the north to south at the town level, with higher values distributed in the Southeast of Yongcheng prefecture.

There was a decline (15.45 cases per 100,000 population) in annual malaria incidence from 2006 to 2010, and the highest incidence occurred in 2006 with 16.72 cases per 100,000 population. Monthly *P. vivax* malaria incidence showed a seasonal pattern, whose peak period was from July to November, a period when nearly 86.58% of total malaria cases were reported (Figure 5). The vector species that transmitted malaria in Yongcheng prefecture was morphologically identified as *An. sinensis*, with PCR results of a random sample of 30 mosquitoes confirmed as *An. sinensis*. *An. sinensis* density measured...
by different methods particularly the human-bait collections displayed a seasonal variation where the valleys and peaks closely corresponded to malaria incidence. Moreover, meteorological factors also fluctuated seasonally during the study period in addition to precipitation, showing possible relationships with malaria incidence (Figure 5).

Crude correlations between malaria incidence and environmental factors

Univariate analysis was performed to provide a basis for selecting significant factors for malaria incidence from twelve influencing factors. Monthly *An. sinensis* density, temperature, humidity, and rainfall had significant positive correlations with malaria incidence with delays of zero to three months, while wind velocity showed negative correlations with delays of zero and one month. There was not a significant association observed between duration of sunshine and malaria incidence (Table 1). All these significant factors with various lag sizes were entered into the multivariable model.

Model building and evaluation

Twelve variables, such as $D_{bait}$, $D_{net}$, $T_{avg}$, $T_{max}$, $T_{min}$, $R$, $H_{avg}$, $H_{min}$, $W_{avg}$, $W_{max}$, $W_e$, and $S$ were inputted into a model for variable selection. The model with n-binomial
distribution (model 1) effectively explained the relationships between *An. sinensis* density, weather variables and malaria incidence (Table 2, model fitting QIC = 37.141, $R^2 = 0.520$). Significant factors contributing to malaria incidence showed in model 1 were $D_{bait}$ ($\beta = 0.050$, $P = 0.033$) at 1-month lag, $T_{max}$ at 1-month lag ($\beta = 0.174$, $P < 0.001$), and $W_e$ at 0-month lag ($\beta = -0.145$, $P = 0.009$) (Table 3). No interaction terms were significant in the multivariable model.

Meanwhile, thirteen variables, including $D_{bait}$, $D_{net}$, $T_{avg}$, $T_{max}$, $T_{min}$, $H_{avg}$, $H_{min}$, $R$, $W_{avg}$, $W_{max}$, $W_e$, $S$ and the malaria incidence of the previous month ($I_p$) were added into another model to select variables. A best-fit model (model 2) was with n-binomial distribution (Table 2, model fitting QIC = 16.934, $R^2 = 0.818$), showing that $T_{max}$ at a lag of one month ($\beta = 0.153$, $P < 0.001$), $H_{avg}$ at one month lag ($\beta = 0.024$, $P = 0.010$), and $I_p$ ($\beta = 0.049$, $P < 0.001$) significantly associated with malaria incidence (Table 4). However, $D_{bait}$ and $D_{net}$ failed to be included in model 2. No interaction terms were significant in the multivariable model.

Expected values fitted by model 1 or model 2 and actual observed values of malaria incidence in 2006–2010 were shown in Figures 6 and 7. Fitted values predicted by model 1 were in agreement with actual values of malaria incidence in the first three years ($F = 0.645$, $P = 0.425$), but they were over-estimated to a greater extent in the last two years ($F = 29.052$, $P < 0.001$). However, fitted values predicted by model 2 were in accordance with actual values of malaria incidence in the study period of 2006–2010 ($F = 0.032$, $P = 0.857$).

Scatterplots of the differences between the predicted and observed malaria incidence were plotted (Figures 8 and 9), and the arithmetic mean and 95% confidence...
interval for the differences were calculated. The average difference values between actual values and fitted values of model 1 (D-value 1) or model 2 (D-value 2) were respectively 1.476/100,000 (95%CI: -1.498, 4.451/100,000), 0.769/100,000 (95%CI: -1.347, 2.885/100,000). There was no significant difference between the actual values and the values predicted by model 1 or model 2.

The best-fit model was model 2, because it had not only a smaller D-value (0.769/100,000), but also a smaller QIC value and a larger R² (QIC = 16.934, R² = 0.818), compared to model 1 (D-value = 1.476/100,000, QIC = 37.141, R² = 0.520).

**Discussion**
This is the first study spatially and temporally exploring the effect of weather variables and vector parameters on malaria incidence in China. The data involved in this study were relevant because all of them were obtained from national monitoring data. In this study, clear spatial heterogeneity and temporal clustering of malaria incidence could be found, with higher incidence distributed in the Southern Yongcheng spatially and in July to November temporally. The finding indicated that areas and months with higher malaria transmission risk should be focused on more public health attention and resources. Spatial heterogeneity of malaria incidence (higher incidence in the south) could be explained by the spatial variability of temperature and the distribution of malaria imported cases. First of all, Southern Yongcheng prefecture has been confronted with higher pressure from imported cases, as its was adjacent to Suixi, Guoyang, Xiao, and Huaibei county in Anhui province, which were identified as high-endemic areas of malaria (incidence > 30/100,000) [10]. Furthermore, its spatial decreasing pattern from the north to south was somehow in accordance of temperature change, particularly
in 2006. Only temperature was selected to assess the influencing factor associated with spatial heterogeneity owing to the founding from previous studies that temperature might be a major determinant of malaria incidence in China [26]. Therefore, public resource should be allocated proportionally in different areas based on the risk predicted by imported possibility and average temperature, and close collaboration should be established between Henan and Anhui to effectively control and prevent malaria together. From the perspective of time, annual malaria incidence in Yongcheng prefecture showed an obvious decrease from 2006 to 2010. However, prevention and control of malaria should not be taken slightly, because Yongcheng prefecture is still at the personal fluctuation of the population as well as the life cycle of Plasmodium [44-47].

The best-fit model (model 2) derived from the study was reliable and had a good fit and predictive validity (QIC = 16.934, P<0.001, R² = 0.818), which provided insights into the most important drivers of P. vivax malaria, including maximum temperature, average humidity and incidence of previous month that influenced seasonal fluctuation of P. vivax malaria incidence. The result that temperature rise would contribute to malaria transmission was in agreement with some researchers [46-52], although there were still some other researchers who argued that this relationship was not significant [19,53], or that it was uncertain [54]. It has been demonstrated that temperature increase would improve the survival chances of Anopheles and thus contribute to the malaria transmission [55,56]. Moreover, relative humidity exerted an influence on the survival of mosquito eggs and adults and the moderate increase in malaria risk associated with average humidity observed in this study was consistent with previous findings [57]. Conversely, some literature found a correlation between rainfall and malaria [49], while other studies found no correlation [51]. In this study, rainfall failed to enter the best-fit model as a predictor of malaria epidemics. The phenomenon could be explained by the complex nonlinear association between rainfall and malaria incidence. Rainfall is beneficial to the growth and reproduction of mosquitoes, the temporal activity pattern of the population as well as the life cycle of Plasmodium [44-47].

### Table 1 Coefficients of univariate analysis among environmental factors on P. vivax malaria incidence

| Lag-time (months) | Distribution type | D_bait | D_net | T_avg | T_max | T_min | H_avg | H_min | R | W_avg | W_max | W_e | S |
|------------------|------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|----|---|
| 0                | Poisson          | 0.09***| 0.164 | 0.074**| 0.078**| 0.073***| 0.073***| 0.073***| 0.044***| 0.002 | -2.782***| -0.453**| -0.201 | -0.005 |
|                  | n-binomial       | 0.14***| 0.186 | 0.122***| 0.113***| 0.110***| 0.107**| 0.063***| 0.002 | -3.180***| -0.391* | -0.167**| -0.010 |
| 1                | Poisson          | 0.12***| 0.250*| 0.143***| 0.146***| 0.137***| 0.097***| 0.059***| 0.006**| -1.782 | -0.185 | -0.040 | -0.003 |
|                  | n-binomial       | 0.22***| 0.370**| 0.192***| 0.199***| 0.188***| 0.110***| 0.073***| 0.006**| -2.073***| -0.150 | -0.035 | -0.004 |
| 2                | Poisson          | 0.11***| 0.248*| 0.184***| 0.188***| 0.167***| 0.086**| 0.084***| 0.007***| -0.320 | 0.121 | 0.109 | 0.003  |
|                  | n-binomial       | 0.17***| 0.398***| 0.181***| 0.185***| 0.175***| 0.067***| 0.049  | 0.015***| -0.404 | 0.126 | 0.128 | 0.002  |
| 3                | Poisson          | 0.081**| 0.079 | 0.124***| 0.130***| 0.111***| 0.039 | 0.039  | 0.007***| 0.950* | 0.469***| 0.190***| 0.009  |
|                  | n-binomial       | 0.105**| 0.130 | 0.128***| 0.130***| 0.124***| 0.032 | 0.023  | 0.012***| 1.392***| 0.324***| 0.277***| 0.007  |

(***: The regression coefficient is significant at level of α = 0.05, **: The regression coefficient is significant at level of α = 0.01, *: The regression coefficient is significant at level of α = 0.001).

### Table 2 Comparison of GEE models

| Model 1 | Model 2 |
|---------|---------|
| QIC     | QIC_u   | QIC     | QIC_u   |
| Poisson Distribution | 131.941 | 119.726 | 351.132 | 319.353 |
| n-binomial Distribution | 16.934 | 23.029 | 37.141 | 41.236 |

### Table 3 Poisson and n-binomial regression of malaria incidence on influencing factors (the result of Model 1)

| Lag-time (months) | Variables | Poisson Distribution | n-binomial Distribution |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|                  | β         | SE        | P         | β         | SE        | P         |
| 1                 | D_bait    | 0.038      | 0.017     | 0.025     | 0.005      | 0.023     | 0.033     |
| 1                 | T_max     | 0.096      | 0.017     | <0.001    | 0.174      | 0.015     | <0.001    |
| 1                 | H_avg     | 0.044      | 0.011     | <0.001    | 0.044      | 0.011     | <0.001    |
| 0                 | W_e       | -0.145     | 0.055     | 0.009     | -3.811     | 0.958     | <0.001    |

(Coeff: regression coefficient, SE: estimated regression coefficient standard error).
mosquito if it is moderate, because it often leads to puddles and increased local humidity; however, excessive rain can also wash away eggs and completely destroy breeding sites [58]. This result indicated that it was not necessary to consider rainfall as a predictor in Yongcheng, which made malaria surveillance simpler in this area.

In addition to factors mentioned above, although *An. sinensis* densities failed to enter into best-fit model (model 2), $D_{bait}$ was included in model 1. The reasons why $D_{bait}$ rather than $D_{net}$ were included in model 1 probably lay in two aspects. Firstly, *An. sinensis* is slightly exophagic (biting outdoors) [59], and thus $D_{bait}$ is more representative of malaria transmission in Yongcheng. Secondly, most of the malaria cases in Yongcheng were farmers, and they would like to sleep and work outdoors in the summer without effective protections, thus having more opportunities to be infected [5,9].

Therefore, $D_{bait}$ would contribute more to the prediction of malaria incidence. Moreover, comparing the time series plots of $D_{bait}$ (Figure 5), expected values predicted by model 1(Figure 6) and actual values (Figure 6), we found out expected values were over-estimated in 2009 and 2010 when actual malaria incidence was relatively low, which mainly due to the existence of predictor $D_{bait}$ reasoned by their similar rising tendency. It could be concluded that *An. sinensis* density probably had its shortcomings as a routine monitoring and predicting index. *An. sinensis* density may be a better predictor of malaria incidence when transmission is relatively high, as many of the female mosquitoes may have been infected by *Plasmodium*, and increase in *An. sinensis* density would lead to a direct rise in malaria incidence. However, when the incidence is at a low level, most of the female mosquitoes are possibly free of *Plasmodium*. In this circumstance, when a healthy human being is bitten by a female *An. sinensis* the probability of infection by *Plasmodium* is low. Therefore, we concluded that rise in *An. sinensis* density would possibly contribute little to the increase of malaria incidence in low transmission areas, which agreed with the result of another study that malaria transmission potential would be very low in spite of a high human biting rate in unstable malaria areas [60]. Therefore, control target should vary with the severity of malaria epidemics. When malaria incidence is high, public resource allocation should be focused on mosquito control and elimination; however, when malaria incidence is low, the key control point should lie in controlling sources of infections. Furthermore, it is

| Lag-time (months) | Variables | Poisson Distribution | n-binomial Distribution |
|------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|                  | $\beta$   | SE       | $P$     | $\beta$ | SE   | $P$  |
| 1                | $T_{max}$ | 0.137    | 0.019   | <0.001  | 0.153 | 0.012 | <0.001 |
| 1                | $H_{avg}$ | 0.024    | 0.009   | 0.01    |
| 2                | $R$       | 0.005    | 0.001   | <0.001  |
| 0                | $I_p$     | 0.039    | 0.003   | <0.001  | 0.049 | 0.006 | <0.001 |
| constant         | -2.51     | 0.488    | <0.001  | -4.449  | 0.589 | <0.001 |

(Coeff: regression coefficient, SE: estimated regression coefficient standard error).

Table 4 Poisson and n-binomial regression of malaria incidence on influencing factors (the result of Model 2)

![Figure 6](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/12/544)

**Figure 6** Expected values of model 1 and observations for malaria incidence in the study period of 2006–2010.
necessary to find a substitute, such as entomological infection rate (EIR), which can overcome the weakness of *An. sinensis* density as an indicator for malaria surveillance and prediction, although it can be used as a good index for predicting malaria potential risk as a previous study showed [40].

As far as the lag effect was concerned, this study found significant one month lag effects of entomological and meteorological variables on malaria incidence, and this finding was supported by several earlier studies [17,19,35,49]. This phenomenon could be explained by the approximately one month duration of malaria infection cycle. The time incorporates several processes above. An adult mosquito first bites an infected human, and then the parasite develops in the adult mosquito (Extrinsic Incubation Period). Ten days later when the *P. vivax* sporozoites move the salivary glands, the mosquito transmits malaria to a human when it takes another blood meal. Once the person is infected, time to development of malaria symptoms and infectivity (Intrinsic Incubation Period) takes about another 1–2 weeks [40,61]. Knowing the approximate lag size of effects on
malaria incidence would benefit us to get prepared for quick and effective response on malaria epidemic easily at least one month in advance.

The transmission of malaria is complicated, and we still need further research to figure it out. For example, the temporal variation in malaria incidence could be also partially explained by continuous and effective control efforts Chinese local and national health agencies made, such as treatment in the rest period of malaria (conducted from 2004) [62] and comprehensive vector control action characterized by biological larviciding and residual spray (conducted from 2007) [8]. Human interventions are failed to be inputted into the predictive model in this study because it is difficult to measured and quantify.

Conclusions
Areas and months with higher malaria transmission risk should be focused on more public health attention and resources. The model developed in this study successfully predicted the expected incidences of malaria based on historical malaria epidemics and a combination of weather factors at one month lag, which would simplify malaria surveillance by targeting control of malaria more effectively. Furthermore, we concluded that (1) more effective indicators, such as weather variables and Plasmodium infection rate of mosquitoes should be considered to further optimize current malaria monitoring and control methods; (2) and malaria control targets should vary with intensity of malaria incidence, with more public resource allocated to control the source of infections instead of large scale An. sinensis control when malaria incidence was at a low level, which would benefit for optimizing the malaria surveillance project in China, and also could be used for the malaria monitoring and early-warning in some other countries with unstable or low malaria transmission.

Competing interest
The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Authors' contributions
YZ conceived this study and was involved in manuscript drafting. QYL contributed in the design of the study, and helped in drafting the manuscript. XBL, GCZ, JYJ, HSL, and ZFL took part in the data collection and manuscript modification. XBL, GCZ, JYJ, HSL, and ZFL took part in the data collection and manuscript modification. RSL was involved in the study design and manuscript drafting. YZ conceived this study and was involved in manuscript drafting. QYL contributed in the design of the study, and helped in drafting the manuscript. XBL, GCZ, JYJ, HSL, and ZFL took part in the data collection and manuscript modification.

Acknowledgements
We would thank the following people: Weidong Gu, Huailiang Lin, Matthew Baylis, Jingyi Duan, and Lindsay Morton for their great contributions in compiling the historical data; the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions. This study was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (Grant No.2012CB955504, 2012CB955500) and the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC) grant (309732563).

Author details
1State Key Laboratory for Infectious Diseases Prevention and Control, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, People's Republic of China. 2Fengtai Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, People's Republic of China. 3China CDC Key Laboratory of Surveillance and Early-Warning on Infectious Disease, Beijing, People's Republic of China. 4Shandong University Climate Change and Health Center, Jinan, People's Republic of China. 5Department of Epidemiology, West China School of Public Health, Sichuan University, Chengdu, People's Republic of China. 6Yongcheng Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Yongcheng prefecture, People's Republic of China.

Received: 13 December 2011 Accepted: 30 June 2012 Published: 23 July 2012

References
1. World Malaria Report. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010. http:// who.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2010/0789241564en.pdf
2. Krishnadass N. Millennium development goals. Global & Indigenous Health 2009, 1:36–38.
3. The 2010–2020 plan of national malaria elimination in China. http://www.moh.gov.cn/publicfiles/business/htmlfiles/mohbgt/s10788/201005/47529.htm.
4. Global Malaria Action Plan. http://www.rollbackmalaria.org/gmap/3-1.html.
5. Zhang HW, Su YP, Zhou GC, Liu Y, Cui J, Wang ZQ: Remerging malaria in Yongcheng city of Henan province [in China]. Chin J Vector Bio & Control 2007, 18(1):42–44.
6. Zhou GC, Zhang HW, Su YP, Zhou SS, Huang F. Epidemiologic Analysis of Malaria Outbreak in Yongcheng prefecture County of Henan Province in 2006 [in China]. Chin J Parasitol Parasit Dis 2008, 8(4):381–383.
7. Zhou SS, Wang Y, Tang LH. Malaria Situation in the People’s Republic of in 2006[in China]. Chin J Parasitol Parasit Dis 2007, 25(6):439–441.
8. Zhou GC, Huang F, Zhou SS, Zheng HW, Su YP: Malaria situation and evaluation on different malaria prevention and control measures in Yongcheng city [in China]. Chin J of Parasit Bio 2009, 4(4):449–452.
9. Sheng HF, Zhao ZH, Guo G, Dai J. Analysis on the socio-behavioral factors related to re-emergence of malaria in Anhui province [in China]. Disease Surveillance 2010, 25(3):216–219.
10. Zhang WY, Wang LP, Fang LQ, Ma QJ, Xu YF, Jiang JF, Hui FM, Wang JJ, Song L, Yang H, et al: Spatial analysis of malaria in Anhui province, China. Malar J 2008, 7:206–216.
11. Thomson MC, Connor SJ, Alessandro UD, Rowlingson B, Diggle P, Cresswell M, Greenwood B. Predicting malaria infection in Gambian children from satellite data and bed net use surveys: the importance of spatial correlation in the interpretation of results. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999, 61(1):2–8.
12. Pascual M, Cazelles B, Bouma MJ, Chaves LF, Koelle K. Shifting patterns: malaria dynamics and rainfall variability in an African highland. Proc Bio Sci 2008, 275(1631):123–132.
13. Alosop Z. Malaria returns to Kenya’s highlands as temperatures rise. Lancet 2007, 370:925–926.
14. Olson SH, Gangnon R, Elguero E, Durieux L, Guegan JF, Foley JA, Patz JA: Links between climate, malaria, and wetlands in the Amazon Basin. Emerg Infect Dis 2010, 16:659–662.
15. Clements AC, Barnett AG, Cheng ZW, Snow RW, Zhou GC: Space-time variation of malaria incidence in Yunnan province, China. Malar J 2010, 9:180.
16. Dantur Juri MJ, Zaidenberg M, Claps GL, Santana M, Almiron WR: Malaria transmission in two localities in north-western Argentina. Malar J 2010, 9:18.
17. Pemola Devi N, Jauhari RK: Malaria situation and its relationship to re-emergence of malaria in Anhui province [in China]. Chin J Parasitol Parasit Dis 2006, 24(1):216–219.
18. Zacarias OP, Andersson M: Mapping malaria incidence distribution that accounts for environmental factors in Maputo Province - Mozambique, Zacarias and Andersson. Malar J 2010, 9:79–89.
19. Gomez-Elipe A, Otero A, Herp MV, Aguirre-Jaime A. Forecasting malaria incidence based on monthly case reports and environmental factors in Karuzi, Burundi, 1997–2003. Malar J 2007, 6:129–139
