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Abstract: Problem statement: Modern defense is so complex and professionally that we have to establish an effective publicity strategy for promoting the concept of all-out defense education and gather consensus of people on the defense and national security. Therefore, how to help all people to understand, affirm and further to support defense and thus consolidate national security have become the focus of decree publicity in the world. Approach: For achieving above-mentioned goals, at the present time, many countries had applied the multi-arts creations to passing information about defense strategy through diversified approaches including text, images, audio, video, Internet communications and lively teaching by integrating teaching with fun to achieve the goal of enhancement of all-out defense education. Results: This study, aims to explore the application of the publicity strategy of multi-arts to the advancement of understanding of defense policy of all people in Taiwan through questionnaire analyses. Conclusion: That diversified and rich forms of interface of arts can enhance the effects of giving publicity to the decrees has been verified.
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INTRODUCTION

So-called defense and security have been no more than traditional military war, the incidents that affected people’s life and property security, like terrorist attacks in United States on September 11, 2001, tsunami in South Asia in 2004, earthquake in Sichuan, China in 2008 and avalanche on a freeway in Taiwan in 2010 have also been defined and included into the scope of modern defense and security. So the core of all-out defense education in the 21st Century has extended to the idea that educates people to protect national security and safeguard social stability. It is not only to educate people to respond to the war policy but also educate them to face and prevent from all non-war factors such as natural disasters and man-made calamities. So Wang and Luo (2007); Chen (2009) and Kuo (2009) emphasized the importance of all-out defense education and argued that the function of defense education could not only combine together people’s spirit and will but also bring the national overall defense capability to full play to withstand external disasters.

The traditional all-out defense education focuses on dogmatic and theoretical propaganda that can only attract attention from the group who have higher level of knowledge but cannot win the recognition from most of propaganda targets. Therefore, in order to attract more attention on defense and national security from the masses, it is necessary to apply the functions of multiple arts to enhance the effects of all-out defense education. The purpose of this study is to design questionnaire and give statistic analyses to explore whether the application of multiple arts to all-out defense education could enhance the effects of giving publicity to the decrees.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Through a large number of experiments, psychologist Treicher (1967) and his successors validate that human cognition is 83% coming from visual inputs, 11% auditory audio input, 3.5% smelling input, 1.5% touching and 1% tasting. In the learning process, the more sense organs were stimulated, the better learning effects would be. Multiple arts allow multi-sense organs to be stimulated simultaneously that the learning effects are better than traditional dogmatic propaganda. Therefore, Wu (2009) argued that if we could appropriately apply the five functions of multiple arts in 21st Century including text, images, audio, video, Internet communications, the publicity effects could be effectively enhanced. Besides, Chu (2005) particularly emphasized on the auditory function and argued that harmonious music could not only cohere
with the centripetal force of the masses but also trigger people’s love to the country.

Hongan (2009) found that in various propaganda media, TV and movie screen messages best attracted the attention and trust from the audience and if coupled with colorful and lively images, they would achieve the community’s exaggeration effects, so they are very suitable for use in defense policy propaganda. Yi (2004) also gave the same viewpoints and argued that the sympathetic echo by a good image work could penetrate every rank of society after broadcasting. Therefore, US military often uses the image to shape the image of justice for American soldier.

With the rise of Internet technology, the Internet communications have quickly entered into everyone’s daily life. So Chen (2009) stressed that with the characteristics of text, image and audio and video, the Internet communications could not only increase learning interest but also break up the temporal and spatial limits by broadcasting the contents of defense education anytime and anywhere. So he recommended that the Internet communications be included as one ring of all-out defense education system.

In summarizing above-mentioned, we could understand that the application of multiple arts to the publicity of all-out defense ideas did better attract people’s support and recognition and enhance the national tangible and intangible strength. Therefore, this study would evaluate effects of the application of multiple arts to the all-out defense education.

For understanding practices of current publicity strategy for all-out defense education in Taiwan, this study conducted sampling interviews of 215 persons. Analyze and compare the contents obtained from observations of current situation analyses and correlation analyses through Chi-square test after reorganization of data. It is hoped that the conclusions could enhance the publicity effects of all-out defense education policy.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Most of effective respondents were male in this study, total 159 (74%), female 56 (26%). The ages of most of effective respondents were 21-30, total 122 (57%), followed by 31-40, 19 (9%); 41-50, 9 (4%), 51-60, 2 (<1%). Most of effective respondents were unmarried, 194 (90%), more than married, 21 (10%). Most of effective respondents were university/college graduates, 181 (84%), followed by graduate institution, 17 (8%); senior high, 14 (6%); junior high, 3 (1%). Regarding occupation, most of the respondents were students, 120 (56%), followed by military, civil servants and teachers 55 (26%); employees with service industries, 10 (5%); others, 9 (4%); arts-related business persons, 8 (4%); commercial, 6 (3%); electronic technology industries, 4 (2%), manufacturing industries, 2 (< 1%); agriculture, 1 (%); most of the effective respondents have not involved in all-out defense education, 131 (61%) and only 84 (39%) said they did.

**Current situation analysis of acceptance of multiple arts:** In the breakdown of acceptance of multiple arts, “the presentation in the diverse form of modern arts with text, images, music, video and Internet communications” (M = 4.30, SD = 0.69) scored the highest, followed by “I love to participate in the multiple arts (text)-related activities (such as novel, movies, drama, concert, fine arts exhibition, Internet technology)” (M = 4.11, SD = 0.77); “modern arts with lively, diverse and rich characteristics” (M = 4.10, SD = 0.83), “multiple arts have close relationship with our modern life” (M = 4.04, SD = 0.87); “multiple arts attracts more attention from people” (M = 4.12, SD = 0.88) and “multiple arts with inspiring and educational functions” scored the lowest. The average of overall acceptance of multiple arts was 4.11; standard deviation was 0.63 as Fig. 1.

**Analyses of current situation of cognition of all-out defense:** In the breakdowns of cognition degree of all-out defense by the interviews, the item “In the emergency of country, all citizens of all ages should take the responsibility of defending the homeland” (M = 4.23, SD = 0.80) scored the highest; followed by “I feel that there is room for improvement in the propaganda approach of all-out defense education” (M = 4.00, SD = 0.80), “I feel that all-out defense should be one of the important defense policy at present time” (M = 3.93, SD = 0.81), “I feel that the government should strengthen the guidance and education of all-out defense policy”(M = 3.89, SD = 0.82), “I think that all-out defense education is helpful to the enhancement and coherence of patriotic consciousness (M = 3.80, SD = 0.92); “I totally understand the ideas and meaning of all-out defense” (M = 3.64, SD = 0.85); “I feel that the issue of all-out defense policy is rather stereotypical and boring” (M = 3.17, SD = 0.95). “I think that acquiring military arms is more substantively beneficial” scored the lowest (M = 3.04, SD = 0.98), the average of overall cognition of all-out defense was 3.71, standard deviation 0.44. The results of analyses are shown as Fig. 2.
Fig. 1: Acceptance of multiple arts

- The presentation in the diverse form of modern arts with text, images, music, video and internet communications.
  - Score: 4.3

- I love to participate in the multiple arts (text)-relate activities (such as novel, movies, drama, concert, fine arts exhibition, internet technology)
  - Score: 4.11

- Modern arts with lively, diverse and rich characteristics
  - Score: 4.1

- Multiple arts have close relationship with our modern life.
  - Score: 4.04

- Multiple arts attracts more attention from people.
  - Score: 4.12

- Multiple arts with inspiring and educational functions
  - Score: 3.97

Fig. 2: Cognition degree of all-out defense

- In the emergency of country, all citizens of all ages should take the responsibility of...
  - Score: 4.23

- I totally understand the ideas and meaning of all-out defense
  - Score: 3.64

- I feel that all-out defense should be one of the important defense policy at present...
  - Score: 3.93

- I think that acquiring military arms is more substantively beneficial.
  - Score: 3.04

- I think that all-out defense education is helpful to the enhancement and...
  - Score: 3.8

- I feel that the issue of all-out defense policy is rather stereotypical and boring.
  - Score: 3.17

- I feel that there is room for improvement in the propaganda approach of all-out...
  - Score: 4

- I feel that the government should strengthen the guidance and education of...
  - Score: 3.89

- The average of overall cognition of all-out defense
  - Score: 3.71
Fig. 3: Preference degree of publicity media

Analyses of current preference degree of publicity media: In the breakdowns of preference degree by the interviewees, “I think that using multiple arts to give publicity and education of all-out defense policy is helpful to the enhancement of people’s learning interest” scored the highest (M = 4.02, SD = 0.69), followed by “I am interested in the publicity performance of all-out defense education in the form of video of multiple arts” (M = 3.96, SD = 0.87); “I feel that the publicity strategy for employing multiple arts in all-out defense education is the defense policy worthy of investment” (M = 3.92, SD = 0.82); “I think that using multiple arts for publicity of all-out defense policy will be more effective education” (M = 3.88, SD = 0.73); “I think the publicity form of multiple arts will enhance the attention and participation in all-out defense education” (M = 3.75, SD = 0.76); “I am interested in the publicity performance of all-out defense education in the form of image of multiple arts” (M = 3.75, SD = 0.87); “I am interested in the publicity performance of all-out defense education in the form of text of multiple arts” (M = 3.75, SD = 0.87); “I think the implementation approach of publicity of all-out defense education by Defense Department in recent years attracts me” (M = 3.25, SD = 0.99) scored the lowest.

Analyses of differentials: It is aimed to explore the difference between the degrees of acceptance of multiple arts, cognition of all-out defense and preference of publicity media by the respondents of different background variables through Independent Sample t-Test and One-way ANOVA. If the F-Test
of One-way ANOVA reaches the level of significance (α = 0.05), then use Scheffe Post-Hoc Method to conduct posterior comparisons.

Before analyses, because the numbers of some groups by the background variables of age, education and occupation were too small, so we firstly conduct combinations. In term of age, it was re-divided into four groups of 11-20, 2-30, 3-40 and over 40; in term of education, it was re-divided into four groups of elementary, junior high and senior high, university/academy and graduate institute; in term of occupation, it was re-divided into four groups of military, civil servants and teachers, arts-related business, students and others. We also used these new groups to conduct follow-up variance analyses.

The variance analyses by gender on dependent variables: From Table 1, we could learn that the difference of the overall acceptance of multiple arts by the respondents of different genders reaches the level of significance (p<0.05) and the acceptance by the female (M = 4.26) respondents are significantly higher than the male (M = 4.05). However, there is no significant difference between the different genders of respondents on overall cognition of all-out defense, overall preference of publicity media.

The variance analyses by age on dependent variables: From Table 2, we could learn that there is no significant difference between the different ages of respondents on three variables (p>0.05), that means there is no difference on overall acceptance of multiple arts, overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media between different ages of respondents.

The variance analyses by marital status on dependent variables: From Table 3, we could learn that there is no significant difference between the different marital statuses of respondents on three variables (p>0.05), that means there is no difference on overall acceptance of multiple arts, overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media between different marital statuses of respondents.

The variance analyses by education level on dependent variables: From Table 4, we could learn that except for overall acceptance of multiple arts, the value of F Test of remaining two dependent variables for different education levels of respondents have not reached the level of statistic significance (p>0.05), that means there is no difference between different education levels of respondents on overall acceptance of multiple arts, overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media between different education levels of respondents.

From Table 4, we could also learn that the values of F Test for different education levels of respondents have reached statistic significance (p<0.05) on overall acceptance of multiple arts. Through posterior comparisons by Scheffe Method, the overall acceptance of multiple arts by the respondents with education of graduate institute or more (M = 4.52) is higher than the respondents with education of university/academy (M = 4.05).

The variance analyses by occupation on dependent variables: From Table 5, we could learn that the F test of three dependent variables for the respondents of different occupations have reached the level of statistic significance (p<0.05) that means there is difference between the respondents of different occupations on overall acceptance of multiple arts, overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media. After posterior comparisons by Scheffe post-hoc comparison method, we could see the overall acceptance degrees of multiple arts by the respondents of military, civil servants and teacher (M = 4.31) are higher than students (M = 4.01); the respondents of military, civil servants and teacher (M = 3.85) are also higher than the respondents of other occupations (M = 3.53) on overall cognition degree of all-out defense; and meanwhile, the respondents of military, civil servants and teacher (M = 3.86) are higher than the respondents of other occupations (M = 3.48).
Table 4: Variance analyses by education level on dependent variables

| Dependent Variables | Education                  | Number | Average | Standard Deviation | F Value | P Value | Posterior comparisons method by scheffe |
|---------------------|----------------------------|--------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------------|
| Overall acceptance  | Junior High and Senior     | 17     | 4.30    | 0.55               |         |         |                                        |
| of multiple arts    | High                      |        |         |                    |         |         |                                        |
|                     | University/Academy         | 181    | 4.05    | 0.63               |         |         |                                        |
|                     | Graduate Institute or more | 17     | 4.52    | 0.50               | 5.53*   | 0.01    | 3>2                                    |
| Overall cognition   | Junior High and Senior     | 17     | 3.81    | 0.47               |         |         |                                        |
| of all-out defense  | High                      |        |         |                    |         |         |                                        |
|                     | University/Academy         | 181    | 3.72    | 0.42               |         |         |                                        |
|                     | Graduate Institute or more | 17     | 3.50    | 0.59               | 2.49    | 0.09    |                                        |
| Overall preference  | Junior High and Senior     | 17     | 3.85    | 0.50               |         |         |                                        |
| of publicity media  | High                      |        |         |                    |         |         |                                        |
|                     | University/Academy         | 181    | 3.71    | 0.50               |         |         |                                        |
|                     | Graduate Institute or more | 17     | 3.75    | 0.73               | 0.56    | 0.57    |                                        |

*; p< 0.05

Table 5: Variance analyses of occupation on dependent variables

| Dimension                  | Occupation                              | Number | Average | Standard Deviation | F Value | P Value | Posterior comparison method by scheffe |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------------|
| Overall acceptance of      | Military, civil servant and teacher     | 55     | 4.31    | 0.61               |         |         |                                        |
| multiple arts              | Arts-related                            | 8      | 4.13    | 0.58               |         |         |                                        |
|                            | Students                                | 120    | 4.01    | 0.63               |         |         |                                        |
|                            | Others                                  | 32     | 4.14    | 0.60               | 3.03*   | 0.03    | 1>3                                    |
| Overall cognition of all-  | Military, civil servant and teacher     | 55     | 3.85    | 0.41               |         |         |                                        |
| out defense                | Arts-related                            | 8      | 3.63    | 0.50               |         |         |                                        |
|                            | Students                                | 120    | 3.71    | 0.44               |         |         |                                        |
|                            | Others                                  | 32     | 3.53    | 0.40               | 3.82*   | 0.01    | 1>4                                    |
| Overall preference of      | Military, civil servant and teacher     | 55     | 3.86    | 0.55               |         |         |                                        |
| publicity media            | Arts-related                            | 8      | 3.75    | 0.51               |         |         |                                        |
|                            | Students                                | 120    | 3.72    | 0.48               |         |         |                                        |
|                            | Others                                  | 32     | 3.48    | 0.56               | 3.64*   | 0.01    | 1>4                                    |

Table 6: Variance analyses by related working experiences on dependent variable

| Dimension                          | Whether involved in all-out defense education-related working experiences? | Number | Average | Standard Deviation | T Value | P Value |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Overall Acceptance of Multiple Arts| Yes                                                                       | 84     | 4.01    | 0.67               | -1.74   | 0.08    |
|                                    | No                                                                        | 131    | 4.17    | 0.59               |         |         |
| Overall Cognition of All-Out Defense| Yes                                                                      | 84     | 3.69    | 0.41               | -0.52   | 0.61    |
|                                    | No                                                                        | 131    | 3.73    | 0.46               |         |         |
| Overall Preference of Publicity Media| Yes                                                                     | 84     | 3.74    | 0.52               |         |         |
|                                    | No                                                                        | 131    | 3.71    | 0.52               | 0.37    | 0.71    |

Table 7: The correlation coefficients of three scales

| Scale (N = 215) | 1   | 2   | 3   |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Overall acceptance of multiple arts | 1.00 | 0.38* | 0.40* |
| Overall cognition of all-out defense | 0.38* | 1.00 | 0.56* |
| Overall preference of publicity media | 0.40* | 0.56* | 1.00 |

The variance analyses by related working experiences on dependent variables: From Table 6, we could learn that there is no significant difference (p>0.05) between the respondents of different all-out defense education-related working experiences on overall acceptance of multiple arts, overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media.

Analyses of relationship for dependent variables: The results of the analyses aim to explore the correlations among overall acceptance of multiple arts, overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media and figure out Pearson correlation coefficient. The closer to 1 the absolute value of coefficient is, the stronger correlation will be.

From Table 7, we could learn that the correlation coefficients between overall acceptance of multiple arts, overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media reach the level of significance (α = 0.05) (the correlation coefficients are r(12) = 0.38, r (13) = 0.40 respectively) and the correlation coefficients are positive that means the higher score of the overall acceptance of multiple arts is, the higher scores of overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media will be.

The correlation coefficient between overall cognition of all-out defense and overall preference of publicity media reaches the level of significance (α = 0.05) (the correlation coefficient is r (23) = 0.56) and the correlation coefficient is positive that means the higher score of overall cognition of all-out defense is,
the higher score of overall preference of publicity media will be.

CONCLUSION

With the progress of technological era and easier and more widespread acquisition of cultural knowledge, the past dogmatic, theoretical style propaganda practices often attract attention from only a small part of people and could not attract attention and recognition from most of publicity targets. According to the analyses of research results, we induct out following conclusions and recommendations.

In current diversified social environment, all-out defense education combining a variety forms of arts as a primary publicity strategy is helpful to the enhancement of learning interest and highlighting the effectiveness of publicity and education.

Promoting and implementing the ideas and practices of “all-out defense education” through the roles and functions of multiple arts as a primary publicity strategy will become an important basis for defense education and defense polity worthy of investment.

In the multiple forms of arts, the function of video is the most interesting and preferable. Therefore, in future implementation of publicity of all-out defense education, we should consider to present it in the form of video including TV and movies to help people obtain the all-out defense education-related information to cohere patriotic consensus through integrating education with fun.

In short, as a primary strategy of publicity and education, the diversified and rich forms of interface of arts will help people to understand national security affairs. It is true that they will need more fund to inject, but if it could arouse people to support and recognize defense that in turn would strengthen national security, it would be an investment that meet national interest and the most effective protection for sustainable development of country.
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