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ABSTRACT

Background: The main cause of the tendency to drug abuse among adolescents and young people is the inability to control their emotions. Previous studies have revealed that as the two models of mindfulness-based self-compassion and attachment-based therapy increase self-acceptance and forgiveness in people, they can be useful for reducing the negative emotions. The aim of the present study was to compare the effectiveness of the two models of mindfulness-based self-compassion and attachment-based therapy on the self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with addiction potential.

Methods: It was a quasi-experimental study. The research population included all high school students in Azna County in the academic year 2018-2019. The participants were selected through cluster sampling. Those with a score above 60 on the Addiction Preparation Scale were randomly assigned to two experimental groups and one control group, each with 15 participants. The instruments used to collect the data were the Addiction Preparation Scale (Wade & Butcher, 1992), the Self-Criticism Questionnaire (Lewis, 1997), and the Psychological Fatigue Questionnaire (Crepe, 1989). The participants in the experimental groups underwent mindful self-compassion and attachment-oriented therapy for 8 and 6 sessions, respectively. The data were analyzed using Multivariate Analysis Of Covariance (MANCOVA) and one-way Analysis Of Variance (ANOVA) by SPSS software V. 21.

Results: The findings showed a significant difference between the experimental and control groups in terms of self-criticism (P=0.001, F=10.21) and mental fatigue (P=0.001, F=5.74) in the post-test phase. The results showed that there was no significant difference between mindful self-compassion treatment and attachment-based therapy in terms of their effects on self-criticism (-0.92±0.71, P=0.20) and mental fatigue (-0.57±0.45, P=0.19) of the male adolescents vulnerable to addiction.

Conclusion: According to the findings of the study, it can be concluded that mindfulness-based self-compassion and attachment-based therapy are effective in reducing self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with the addiction potential. Accordingly, the models are suggested to be used by mental health professionals to help adolescents who are potential to addiction.
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1. Introduction

Adolescence is a transitional stage in physical and psychological development that occurs between childhood and adulthood. This transition includes biological changes, such as sexual, social, and psychological maturation; however, only biological and psychological changes can be easily measured (Christie & Viner, 2005). From the perspective of evolutionary psychology, adolescence can be considered as a period of the expression of psychological symptoms (Olumide et al. 2014). Adolescence is also one of the most important stages in human development, which is associated with many psychological and social impacts. This period represents a profound change that distinguishes children from adults (Khodabakhshi Koolaee et al. 2014).

These changes and developments create issues that are specific to adolescence. Emotions, such as self-criticism and mental fatigue are among the main concerns associated with adolescence (Ghanbari Taleb et al. 2013). Accordingly, many threats impact adolescents during this period. One of these threats is the vulnerability of adolescents to addiction (Budden 2009). Psychological and emotional factors are among the main reasons making adolescents and young people prone to drug abuse, and people who lack skills to control their emotions are more likely for addiction (Khodabakhshi Koolaee & Damirchi 2016).

Self-criticism and psychological fatigue are among the psychological factors that underlie adolescents’ tendency to addiction. A theoretical and experimental model proposed by Randles & Tracy claims that motivational, cognitive, and interpersonal characteristics of self-criticism interact dynamically with environmental factors and increase and maintain psychological trauma and discomfort including substance use (Randles & Tracy 2013; Pinto-Gouveia & Matos 2011). Self-criticism and shame play an important role in anger, social anxiety, mood disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, and interpersonal problems (Gillbert & Procter 2006).

A study on the role of self-criticism as a feature of the diagnostic process for different types of adolescent psychological pathology showed that comparative self-criticism creates frustration, feelings of inferiority, and inadequate self-criticism (Irons & Lad 2017). It was also shown that internal self-criticism causes self-hate and both forms of self-criticism (internal and external) are positively correlated (Salvador et al. 2017). Although there have been some studies on fatigue behavior, it is not clearly understood.

The number of definitions proposed about the nature of fatigue is nearly the same as the number of empirical studies on the subject, much of which depends largely on the interests and intellectual backgrounds of authors of the articles. For example, psychiatric researchers typically study fatigue by examining defense mechanisms and neuroticism, while psychologists typically study fatigue...
through burnout caused by industrial life, monotony, and alertness, and pay less attention to theoretical aspects of personality. Hamilton emphasizes that different interpretations of fatigue are due to antecedents and conditions, psychological dependence, phenomenological requirements, and behavioral consequences (Hamilton 1981).

New approaches to the concept of fatigue are perspective dependent. Hamilton believes that the sensory habits (e.g., providing entertainment, growth of interest, and prevention of fatigue) that are needed to create a separate identity can be developed to a very small extent during the developmental stages (Hamilton 1981). Hamilton et al. maintained that how individuals learn to entertain themselves and cope with fatigue has potentially extensive adverse consequences for the individual, society, and ecology. They also suggested that individual differences in attention and other individual traits are important in regulating the chain of experiences ranging from attention to feeling tired (Hamilton et al. 1984).

It has been stated that psychological fatigue and family background are correlated. In some families, there are higher expectations of parents and children, and there are personality traits, such as conscientiousness and physical illness symptoms. Given the effect of the mentioned factors, the role of therapeutic and preventive factors in this stage of growth will be more prominent. Preventive therapies that can be implemented at this stage include modern therapies, such as mindfulness-based compassion therapy, which specifically focuses on the here and now, and also purposeful and judgment-free ways (Ozturk et al. 2019). The simplest definition derived from the teachings of the Buddha is that “compassion” is the sensitivity to suffering in ourselves and others, along with the motivation and commitment to reduce and eliminate it. In other words, the goal of self-healing treatment based on mindfulness is to pay attention to a specific way, focusing on the goal in the present without judgment. In mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy, people learn not to avoid their painful feelings and not to suppress them. Therefore, they can know their experience in the first step and feel compassion for it (Rase 2011).

Self-compassion exercises focus on relaxation, meditation, self-kindness, and mindfulness, which play an important role in calming one’s mind and reducing stress and negative automatic thoughts (Gillbert & Procter, 2006; Kelly 2009). Some studies have emphasized the role of compassion-focused therapy in reducing shame and self-criticism in people with trauma (Rase 2011), decreasing self-esteem and negative thoughts (Shaver & Mikulincer 2005), self-criticism, and feelings of shame and failure (Rayn & Deci 2000; Ainsworth 1989), as well as increasing feelings of warmth and acceptance. Besides, compassion-focused therapy contributes to reducing clients’ psychological problems by increasing inner awareness, non-judgmental acceptance, empathy, and constant attention to inner feelings (Passanisi et al. 2015).

Another therapeutic factor influencing the tendency of adolescents to addiction can be attributed to the attachment style of individuals. Based on the latest empirical intercultural findings concerning the importance of innate factors in personality development and behavior, there are three basic psychological needs in humans: 1) The need for competence, 2) the need for self, and 3) the need for communication and commitment (Cornell & Frick 2007). Attachment is a deep emotional bond that we establish with certain people in our lives, so that interacting with them leads to a sense of vitality and joy, and when we are stressed, we feel at ease with them. Attachment is a special emotional relationship that requires the exchange of pleasure, care, and comfort.

On the other hand, the pathological cause of self-criticism and psychological fatigue may be related to people’s attachment experiences in childhood and is originated from the experience of the first stage of life in response to rejection or separation from child caregivers (Heidelberg & Andrews 2011). Anxious and avoidant attachment styles are associated with unpleasant psychological symptoms, such as shame, anger, and narcissism (Abbag & İmanoğlu 2010; Schimmenti 2012). Detached and secure attachment styles can predict self-criticism. Many negative, self-critical emotions can also be triggered by insecure attachment styles (Pace & Zappulla 2013; Lewis 2008; Whittle et al. 2016). People with insecure attachment styles are indirectly vulnerable to addiction through sensation-seeking meditation. The indirect paths of attachment and moral intelligence are significantly associated with the tendency to addiction through sensation seeking (Muris & Meesters 2013). Variables, such as family communication patterns and attachment styles are related to substance abuse tendencies. Based on what has been mentioned and according to attribution theory (Boersma et al. 2015) about self-criticism, these feelings can vary from one society to another, one group to another, and one person to another.

Besides, according to the results of previous studies (Boersma et al. 2015; Bluth & Gaylord 2015), the experience of self-criticism and mental fatigue during adolescence is more frequent due to the special characteristics
of adolescents, and the students who experience negative emotions, such as self-criticism, face motivational barriers and delay most academic activities because they fear the consequences of failure at school, which can be accompanied by feelings of self-criticism and fatigue. The mindfulness-based self-compassion model helps a person to adopt a compromising approach and take a closer look at what is going on in their life.

Furthermore, attachment-based therapy also helps the individual to have a secure attachment with a new self-awareness, and ultimately both models help to reduce disturbing emotions, such as self-criticism and mental fatigue. Accordingly, the present study seeks to compare the effectiveness of two models of mindfulness-based self-compassion and attachment-based therapy on self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with the addiction potential.

2. Materials and Methods

Design and sample

The present study employed a quasi-experimental method with a pre- and post-test design. The mindfulness-based self-compassion and attachment-based therapies were taken as independent variables, and self-criticism and mental fatigue were manipulated as dependent variables. The research population included all high school students who were studying in the academic year 2018-2019 in Azna County, Lorestan Province. The subjects were selected through cluster sampling. To this end, all high schools in the studied region were selected as clusters, and among the selected schools, 7 classes with 140 students in total were randomly selected and the Addiction Potential Scale (APS) was administered to the students. Finally, among the students with a score above 60 on the APS, 45 students were selected and randomly assigned to two experimental groups and one control group, each with 15 students. The inclusion criteria were obtaining a score of 60 on APS and not participating in any other treatment courses. The exclusion criteria were having a physical or psychological illness as diagnosed by a physician, being a single-parent student, and being absent for more than three sessions of treatment.

Instruments

A. Addiction Potential Scale (APS)

The scale was developed by Weed et al. (1992). The Persian version of the scale was developed by Zargar et al. (2008) based on the psychosocial characteristics of Iranian society. It contains 36 items plus 5 lie detector items. They used two methods to assess the validity of the scale. Testing the criterion validity showed that this scale can properly differentiate between addicts and non-addicts. Besides, a significant correlation (r=0.45) was found between this scale and the SCL-25 (Symptom Checklist) that supported the construct validity of the APS. The reliability of the scale was calculated using Cronbach’s alpha method and the reliability index was 0.90, which was within the optimal limit. Each item is scored on a four-point scale ranging from 0 (totally disagree) to 3 (totally agree).

This scale has a lie detector factor measured through items 12, 15, 21, and 33, and these items are scored reversely. To calculate the overall score of the scale, the total scores of each item (except the lie detector items) are calculated. This score ranges from 0 to 108. The cutoff point on the scale is 54. Higher scores indicate that the respondent is more prone to addiction, and vice versa (Zargar et al. 2008). In this study, the students with a score greater than 60 were selected and assigned to the experimental and control groups. The validity and reliability of this scale were also calculated and confirmed.

B. The Levels of Self-criticism (LOSC) Scale

The scale was developed by Lewis (2008). This scale measures self-criticism at two levels: Internalized self-criticism and comparative self-criticism. Comparative self-criticism is defined as a negative view of oneself against others. Self-critical people often tend to base their self-esteem on perceptions of the way others feel about them and may view other individuals as superior, critical, and/or hostile. Thus, one of the characteristics of self-criticism is the comparison of interpersonal animosity (Thompson & Zuroff 2004). Internalized self-criticism is defined as a negative view of oneself against one’s internal standards. The LOSC Scale contains 22 items that are scored on a 7-point scale ranging from 0 to 6. Items 6, 8, 11, 12, 16, 20, and 21 are scored reversely. The items on the internalized self-criticism subscale include 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, and 19, and items on the comparative self-criticism subscale are 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 21, and 22. The items on the LOSC scale are measured on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree).

Higher scores on this scale indicate a higher level of self-criticism experienced by an individual. A score between 22 and 44 indicates low levels of self-criticism and a score between 45 and 66 indicates moderate levels of self-criticism in the individual. Finally, a score above 66 indicates high levels of self-criticism. Saadati Shamir et al. in Iran reported
In this study, the reliability of the Persian version of the scale was measured using Cronbach’s alpha with an internal consistency of 0.82.

C. The Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS)

The psychometric properties of the scale were assessed by Krupp et al. (1989). The scale contains 9 items that were extracted from the 28-item Fatigue Severity Scale. Krupp et al. first used a 28-item fatigue severity scale to study 25 people with multiple sclerosis, 29 people with lupus, and 20 healthy people, and chose 9 items dealing with the consequences of fatigue in terms of functional and welfare aspects in the two groups of patients. The correlation value of the scores of these items in both groups of patients was higher than in healthy individuals. The Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS) measures people’s fatigue using 9 items that are sensitive to energy adjustment programs and time changes. The scale items are scored using a Likert scale ranging from 1 to 7. The scale scores are interpreted based on the total score gained by the individual.

The minimum possible score is 9 and the maximum score is 63. A score between 9 and 18 shows low fatigue, a score between 18 and 45 indicates moderate fatigue, and a score above 45 shows a high level of fatigue. The reliability and construct validity of the scale were assessed by Krupp et al. The Cronbach’s alpha values for

Table 1. A summary of the intervention and content of mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy sessions

| Sessions | Contents |
|----------|----------|
| 1st | Familiarity with the group members, explaining regulations and rules, establishing a therapeutic relationship, describing compassion and mindfulness, and beginning the practical process by eating a raisin and explaining its logic |
| 2nd | Practicing guiding attention and explaining how thoughts affect feelings and physical symptoms, followed by a breathing exercise with a calming rhythm |
| 3rd | Practicing physical examination with a compassionate focus and practicing breathing break (how I feel in my body and what emotions I notice) and then what thoughts go through the mind and conscious attention expanding from the abdomen to the whole body |
| 4th | Explaining the characteristics of self-compassion, depicting a safe place with a compassionate mood, compassionate color, self-compassionate development, focusing on self-compassion: compassion for ourselves, and getting along with our disturbing self |
| 5th | Mirror exercise, two chairs exercise, practicing writing compassionate letters, and tips for writing compassionate names |
| 6th | Compassionate self-correction and its difference from self-criticism based on shame, compassion for oneself against passivity, and practicing from self-blame to self-compassion |
| 7th | Singing, practicing facial expressions, reciting poems, teaching attention-grabbing hooks, and getting rid of the prison of the mind |
| 8th | Integrating the issues discussed, summarizing and getting feedback from the group members, and analyzing the poem “This body, O youth, is a guest house: every morning a new guest comes running (into it)” by Rumi. |

Table 2. A summary of the intervention and content of attachment-based therapy sessions

| Sessions | Contents |
|----------|----------|
| 1st | Familiarity with the group members, explaining regulations and rules, establishing a therapeutic relationship, familiarity with the concepts of attachment followed by the exercise of distinguishing me from others. |
| 2nd | The exercise of pasting members’ photos on a magic mirror and painting oneself and describing oneself and others to examine and recognize oneself and the differences between oneself and others |
| 3rd | The exercise of the interview chair and three things about me, my showcase, teaching negotiation, and active listening skills |
| 4th | Watching the inside-out animation and analyzing it to recognize and examine emotions followed by teaching communication skills |
| 5th | Teaching and practicing problem-solving skills, identifying coping strategies, self-relaxation techniques, positive self-talk, and stop thinking training |
| 6th | Planning for fun activities, re-structuring events, exercising, and re-focusing on unhealthy coping strategies (repression, rumination, and negative thinking) |
healthy, multiple sclerosis and lupus people were 0.88, 0.81, and 0.89, respectively, and the FSS had a high internal consistency. They concluded that the scale had good validity and reliability (Krupp et al., 1989). The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for the Persian version of the scale was calculated as 0.96 (Azimian et al. 2009).

D. Demographic Questionnaire

This questionnaire was developed to examine students’ demographic information, such as age, education, and parents’ occupation.

Procedure

To comply with ethical considerations, written ethical consent was obtained from the participants and their information was kept confidential. Besides, three treatment sessions were held for the participants in the control group after the completion of the study. The content of the mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy program was taken from the Rase and Neff approach (Rase 2011; Neff 2012) and the poems of Mawlana Rumi’s five books of the Masnavi, and the protocol was performed in eight sessions each lasting 90 min.

Besides, attachment-based therapy was developed in six 90-min sessions based on the materials provided by Anisworth (1989) and Milulincer et al. (2005). The content of the therapies was implemented in groups by the researcher as detailed in Tables 1 and 2. The meetings were held for two months (one session weekly) in the counseling center of education organization. Therapeutic interventions were not provided to the control group during the study. There was no attrition in the sample. The chart of the study process is shown in Diagram 1. Finally, the collected data were analyzed using a one-way and multivariate analysis of covariance by SPSS software V. 21.

Table 3. The Mean±SD of the research variables on groups in the pre-test and post-test

| Variables            | Groups                        | Number | Mean±SD Pre-test | Mean±SD Post-test | P    |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------|------------------|------------------|------|
|                      |                               |        |                  |                  |      |
| Internalized self-criticism | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 15     | 14.20±1.65       | 7.44±1.28        | 0.001|
|                      | Attachment-based therapy      | 15     | 14.30±1.80       | 8.46±0.69        | 0.001|
|                      | Control                        | 15     | 14.25±1.38       | 11.33±1.70       | 0.176|
| Comparative self-criticism | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 15     | 10.80±1.64       | 9.93±1.22        | 0.001|
|                      | Attachment-based therapy      | 15     | 11.20±1.61       | 8.33±1.04        | 0.001|
|                      | Control                        | 15     | 10.46±1.66       | 11.60±1.50       | 0.16 |
| Psychological fatigue | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 15     | 24.80±2.72       | 17.33±2.16       | 0.001|
|                      | Attachment-based therapy      | 15     | 24.33±2.28       | 18.40±1.35       | 0.001|
|                      | Control                        | 15     | 23.40±2.55       | 23.13±2.88       | 0.23 |

Table 4. The results of one-way analysis of covariance for the research variables

| Source of Changes | Sum of Squares | df | Mean of Squares | f     | Sig. | η² | Power |
|-------------------|----------------|----|-----------------|-------|------|----|-------|
| Pretest           | 51.07          | 1  | 51.07           | -     |      |    |       |
| Training effect   | 314.06         | 2  | 157.03          | 41.38 | 0.001| 0.74| 1     |
| Error             | 155.59         | 41 | 3.79            | -     |      |    |       |
3. Results

The analysis of the participants’ demographic data showed that the average age of the students in all groups was 16.82 years and there was no difference among the groups in terms of individual characteristics.

As can be seen in Table 3, there is a difference between the Mean±SD of the three groups on the pre-test and post-test. To find out if these differences are significant or not, ANOVA test was run.

The Levene’s test for homogeneity of variances shows that the calculated F was not significant for all three variables (P>0.05); thus, the assumption of homogeneity of variances was confirmed. In addition, the calculated F value was not significant (P>0.05). Therefore, the variance homogeneity assumption was confirmed in the covariance matrix.

The main hypothesis tested in this study was that there is a significant difference between mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy in terms of their effects on self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with addiction potential.

As can be seen in Table 4, there was a significant difference between mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy in terms of their effects on self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with addiction potential with the control group. The results of one-way ANCOVA suggested that the calculated F value was significant. Therefore, mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy are effective on at least one of the dependent variables.

The value of the ETA coefficient indicated that the effectiveness of the training on the dependent variables was 74%. In other words, 67% of the variance of the dependent variables was explained by mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy.
**Table 5.** The results of the multivariate analysis of covariance

| Test                      | Value | F     | df (Hypothesis) | df (Error) | Sig. | η   |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------|------------|------|-----|
| Wilks Lambda              | 0.27  | 11.79 | 4               | 78         | 0.001| 0.58|

**Table 6.** The results of the LSD post hoc test

| Variable                  | Groups                          | Mean             | Group-Group                  | Mean Difference | Standard Error | Sig.  |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| Self-criticism            | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 17.29            | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Attachment | -0.92           | 0.71           | 0.20  |
|                           | Attachment-based therapy        | 18.21            | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Control | -6.06           | 0.71           | 0.001 |
|                           | Control                         | 23.35            | Attachment-based therapy-Control | -5.14           | 0.71           | 0.001 |
| Psychological fatigue     | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 10.29            | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Attachment | -0.39           | 0.42           | 0.34  |
|                           | Attachment-based therapy        | 10.29            | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Control | -1.78           | 0.41           | 0.001 |
|                           | Control                         | 11.68            | Attachment-based therapy-Control | -1.38           | 0.42           | 0.001 |

**Table 7.** Shapiro-Wilk test results for the normality of data distribution

| Variables                  | Groups                          | Value | Number | Sig.  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|
| Internalized self-criticism| Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 0.96  | 15     | 0.82  |
|                           | Attachment-based therapy        | 0.94  | 15     | 0.41  |
|                           | Control                         | 0.93  | 15     | 0.34  |
| Comparative self-criticism| Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 0.97  | 15     | 0.84  |
|                           | Attachment-based therapy        | 0.92  | 15     | 0.17  |
|                           | Control                         | 0.89  | 15     | 0.08  |
| Psychological fatigue     | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 0.96  | 15     | 0.67  |
|                           | Attachment-based therapy        | 0.94  | 15     | 0.35  |
|                           | Control                         | 0.92  | 15     | 0.19  |

**Table 8.** The results of the LSD post hoc test for pairwise comparison of means in the posttest

| Variables                  | Groups                          | Mean             | Group-Group                  | Mean Difference | Standard Error | Sig.  |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------|
| Self-criticism             | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 9.89             | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Attachment | -0.39           | 0.42           | 0.34  |
|                           | Attachment-based therapy        | 10.29            | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Control | -1.78           | 0.41           | 0.001 |
|                           | Control                         | 11.68            | Attachment-based therapy-Control | -1.38           | 0.42           | 0.001 |
| Psychological fatigue      | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion therapy | 7.38             | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Attachment | -0.57           | 0.45           | 0.19  |
|                           | Attachment-based therapy        | 7.96             | Mindfulness-based Self-compassion-Control | -4.27           | 0.45           | 0.001 |
|                           | Control                         | 11.65            | Attachment-based therapy-Control | -3.69           | 0.45           | 0.001 |
The statistical power value shows that, first, the sample size was sufficient. Second, if mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy are performed on the dependent variable for multiple times, the results will be the same.

The results of multivariate ANCOVA suggested that the calculated F value was significant. Therefore, mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy are effective on at least one of the dependent variables. The value of the ETA coefficient indicated that the effectiveness of the training on the dependent variables was 58%. In other words, 58% of the variance of the dependent variables was explained by mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy (Table 5).

As can be seen in Table 6, there was a significant difference between mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy in terms of their effects on self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with addiction potential.

As can be seen in Table 7, the values calculated for all variables in all three groups were not significant; thus, the data distribution normality assumption was confirmed.

In Table 8, there was a significant difference between mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy in terms of their effects on self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with addiction potential.

4. Discussion

The present study compared the two models of mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy in terms of their effects on self-criticism and mental fatigue of male adolescents with addiction potential. The results showed the effectiveness of these two treatment models in preventing the tendency of adolescents to drug abuse. This finding is consistent with previous studies. For instance, Khodabakhshi-Koolaee et al. and Dunning et al. found that mindfulness-based self-compassion training leads to improvement and promotion of people’s cognitive emotion regulation. Mindfulness-based self-compassion training can accelerate a person’s ability to choose more useful and effective responses to interpersonal interactions by preventing automatic, impulsive, or thoughtless reactions (Khodabakhshi Koolaee, Chaeichi Tehrani & Sanagoo 2019; Dunning et al. 2019).

This type of deep and thoughtful process can improve communication and acceptance in relationships by preventing bold, reckless, and thoughtless communication, which is often a characteristic of intrapersonal and interpersonal conflicts (Khodabakhshi Koolaee et al. 2017). Since mindfulness exercises can not only enhance awareness of inner experience, but also improve appreciation and kindness toward that experience, adolescents become more aware of their thoughts and feelings and consider them merely as subjective events, not aspects of themselves, and as a result, the way they relate the experiences to their thoughts will be changed (Dunning et al. 2019). This change enables them to be aware of their strengths and weaknesses and their lives at all times, and ultimately, they are expected to reduce negative emotions, such as self-criticism and mental fatigue.

Attachment-based therapy also identifies communication patterns, emotions, feelings, and the exchange of attachment styles. Communicative and interactive patterns in adolescents determine levels of self-criticism and psychological fatigue in life. In other words, a destructive interactive style increases the emotional distance between oneself and others, which in turn exacerbates conflicting and negative emotions towards oneself and others. Emphasis-focused therapy concentrates on reducing self-criticism and mental fatigue by emphasizing the discovery and recognition of interactive styles and the replacement of new perceptions of communication style by controlling emotions and changing dysfunctional communication cycles.

The present study is significant because it can be effective in treating people who are self-critical and suffer from mental fatigue as the insights from the study can provide a context for people to experience secure attachment and to resolve their psychological conflicts using this attachment style. In general, the higher the level of secure attachment in childhood and adolescence, the more likely a person is to adopt a successful identity base in adolescence. Montero-Marin et al. concluded that early childhood traumas, harsh and emotionless characteristics, and insecure attachment styles are risk factors for aggression and should be addressed through psychological interventions (Montero-Marin et al 2020). Attachment styles can affect opioid use disorders through family interaction processes, social control, emotion regulation, and self-efficacy (Marshall et al. 2015).

These findings indicate the extent to which the attachment-based therapy model is effective in reducing negative emotions. Finally, two models of mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy can
be effective in reducing self-criticism and mental fatigue. The results of this study showed that both approaches are promising. The results also add to the empirical knowledge related to the two treatment models that can contribute to alleviating problems caused by self-criticism and mental fatigue in male adolescents with addiction potential.

5. Conclusion

Mindfulness-based self-compassion therapy and attachment-based therapy can be effective in reducing self-criticism and mental fatigue in male adolescents prone to addiction. This study can offer practical insights by considering the role of mindfulness-based self-compassion and attachment-based therapy techniques in reducing adolescents’ problems. Therefore, it is recommended to use these treatment techniques seriously to deal with the problems experienced by adolescents in different situations, especially in schools.

To mention a limitation, this study was conducted on high school boys in Azna City, Lorestan Province. Also, due to time constraints, follow-up tests were not performed to investigate the retention effects of the interventions.
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