The Influence of Cerebrospinal Fluid Abnormalities and APOE 4 on PHF-Tau Protein: Evidence From Voxel Analysis and Graph Theory
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Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a transitional state between the cognitive changes in normal aging and Alzheimer’s disease (AD), which induces abnormalities in specific brain regions. Previous studies showed that paired helical filaments Tau (PHF-Tau) protein is a potential pathogenic protein which may cause abnormal brain function and structure in MCI and AD patients. However, the understanding of the PHF-Tau protein network in MCI patients is limited. In this study, 225 subjects with PHF-Tau Positron Emission Tomography (PET) images were divided into four groups based on whether they carried Apolipoprotein E ε4 (APOE 4) or abnormal cerebrospinal fluid Total-Tau (CSF T-Tau). They are two important pathogenic factors that might cause cognitive function impairment. The four groups were: individuals harboring CSF T-Tau pathology but no APOE 4 (APOE 4−T−); APOE 4 carriers with normal CSF T-Tau (APOE 4+T−); APOE 4 carriers with abnormal CSF T-Tau (APOE 4+T+); and APOE 4 noncarriers with abnormal CSF T-Tau (APOE 4−T+). We explored the topological organization of PHF-Tau networks in these four groups and calculated five kinds of network properties: clustering coefficient, shortest path length, Q value of modularity, nodal centrality and degree. Our findings showed that compared with APOE 4−T− group, the other three groups showed different alterations in the clustering coefficient, shortest path length, Q value of modularity, nodal centrality and degree. Simultaneously, voxel-level analysis was conducted and the results showed that compared with APOE 4−T− group, the other three groups were found increased PHF-Tau distribution in some brain regions. For APOE 4+T+ group, positive correlation was found between the value of PHF-Tau distribution in altered regions and Functional Assessment Questionnaire (FAQ) score. Our results indicated that the effects of APOE 4 and abnormal CSF T-Tau may induce abnormalities of PHF-Tau protein and APOE 4 has a greater impact on PHF-Tau than abnormal CSF T-Tau. Our results may be particularly helpful in uncovering the pathophysiology underlying the cognitive dysfunction in MCI patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is generally considered as a cognitive dysfunction, neurodegenerative disease. The clinical symptoms of AD patients include aggression, confusion, language breakdown and the loss of cognitive functions (Waldemar et al., 2007). Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is regarded as the transition stage from normal aging to AD. More and more studies are concentrated on MCI (Dyrba et al., 2018). A previous study discovered that the paired helical Tau (PHF-Tau) protein was a highly disease-related factor in the brain that may induce the development of MCI (Cho et al., 2016). PHF-Tau is an attractive target for both MCI diagnosis and treatment (Chien et al., 2014). A prior study revealed that accumulations of PHF-Tau neurotangles in olfactory bulb and nerve were found in all cases of definite AD (Arnold et al., 2010). Meanwhile, Tau in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and Apolipoprotein E ε4 (APOE 4) are all associated with increased risk of progression from MCI to dementia (Arnold et al., 2009) and they are the robust predictors of AD (Blom et al., 2009). CSF-Tau contains phosphorylated Tau (P-Tau) and total Tau (T-Tau), which are two important biomarkers of CSF in MCI patients. However, Zhang et al. (2018) pointed out that the impact of CSF P-Tau is not obvious enough on MCI. Therefore, we only paid attention to how the CSF T-Tau and APOE 4 affected on PHF-Tau network. The organization of metabolic networks in APOE 4 carriers indicated a less optimal pattern and APOE 4 might be a risk factor for MCI (Yao et al., 2015). It was pointed out that APOE 4 may increase susceptibility to molecular pathology and regulate the anatomical pattern of neurodegeneration in AD (Lehmann et al., 2014). CSF T-Tau is a crucial biomarker for detecting dementia: when positive CSF T-Tau were defined as values above the cut-off (≥320 ng/L), approximately 90% of people were found to have dementia (Mattsson et al., 2009). Although PHF-Tau, APOE 4, and CSF T-Tau are all the important disease-related factors, joint studies on these three factors are limited.

Imaging techniques offer an invaluable tool for assessing brain pathology in vivo. Within past decades, Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Diffusion Tensor Imaging (DTI), as the modern brain mapping techniques, were used in the early diagnosis of MCI and AD, which have been widely utilized in different studies (Teipel, 2010; Salvatore et al., 2016). Functional connectivity, as measured with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), was diminished in AD (Sala-Llonch et al., 2015). Positron Emission Tomography (PET) is an advanced clinical imaging technique in nuclear medicine. The general method of PET is to inject a substance, such as glucose, protein, nucleic acid and fatty acid, short-lived radionuclides labeled (e.g., 18F, 11C, etc.) into the human body to detect the metabolic activity, the accumulation of the protein of human beings and so on. In recent years, 18F-AV-1451 PET (Tau-PET), a novel PHF-Tau tracer (previously known as T807), is demonstrated to detect tangle pathology in vivo (Marquié et al., 2015). It provides a new method to measure Tau neuronal tangles in the brain by in vivo neuroimaging. Cho et al. (2016) pointed out that the tracer AV-1451 PET could be used to effectively measure the content of PHF-Tau in AD and MCI.

Graph theory is a powerful framework that represents the brain as a complex network. It could provide a mathematical and conceptual framework to construct a whole network for exploring the topological patterns of brain networks (Sporns et al., 2004). Neural networks could be called “small-world” networks with densely local connectivity between neighboring regions and sparsely long-range connectivity among distant regions. Vecchio et al. (2015) proposed that MCI could affect the alterations of physiological and structural synapses in specific brain networks (Vecchio et al., 2015). In addition, brain networks established by graph theory were also applied to the disease prediction. Using brain networks, recent studies made great contributions to the prediction of ASD and AD (Zhao et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2019). In recent years, using graph theory in the diagnosis and treatment of AD/MCI is still a popular method (Yao et al., 2018). However, whether these topological abnormalities emerge in PHF-Tau networks remain largely unknown.

In this study, we hypothesized that the PHF-Tau protein network affected by APOE 4 and abnormal CSF T-Tau would exhibit abnormal topological properties. By establishing the PHF-Tau network for the four groups introduced above, we attempted to investigate the alterations in topological patterns. Moreover, we sought to determine whether APOE 4 and abnormal CSF T-Tau would affect the distributions of PHF-Tau protein in the whole brain. Finally, we studied the Pearson correlation between the different distribution value of PHF-Tau protein and Functional Assessment Questionnaire (FAQ) scores. In conclusion, these findings may provide critical insight into the brain pathophysiological mechanism.

METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS

All AV-1451 PET images, MRI and CSF values were downloaded from the website of the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI)\(^1\). The major goal of ADNI is to track the progression of AD by using biomarkers to assess the brain’s structure and function over the course of various states. The ADNI’s data are gathered from 50 sites in the United States and Canada. The ADNI was launched in 2003 by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, the Food and Drug Administration, private pharmaceutical companies, and nonprofit organizations as a 5-year public–private partnership. The lead author of this initiative is Michael W. Weiner, MD, University of California, San Francisco (email ADNI: adni@loni.usc.edu). The data collections were proofread by each participating site’s Institutional Review Board. Diagnostic criteria are stipulated at http://adni.loni.usc.edu/study-design/#background-container.

Subjects

All the 225 subjects, including MCI and normal controls (NC; MCI:NC, 109:116), were divided into following four groups based on the value of T-Tau in CSF and APOE 4: (1) APOE 4 noncarriers and normal CSF T-Tau (APOE 4−T−; MCI:NC,

\(^1\)http://adni.loni.usc.edu/
54:49); (2) APOE 4 carriers and abnormal CSF T-Tau (APOE 4+T+; MCI:NC, 16:18); (3) APOE 4 carriers and abnormal CSF T-Tau (APOE 4+T−; MCI:NC, 18:26); and (4) APOE 4 noncarriers and abnormal CSF T-Tau (APOE 4−T+; MCI:NC, 21:23). CSF T-Tau levels were considered abnormal if the CSF T-Tau were ≥ 320 ng/L, and the APOE information was obtained from the ADNI database. In ADNI database, MCI patients had reported a subjective memory concern either autonomously or through an informant or clinician. However, no significant level of impairment was present in other cognitive domains; essentially, their activities of daily living were preserved and no signs of dementia existed. The FAQ score is a bounded outcome with 0 indicating “no impairment” and 30 indicating “severe impairment.” The mini-mental state examination (MMSE) score can accurately reflect the mental state of the subjects. When the MMSE score is less than 27, it indicates that the subjects have MCI. The positive and negative status of Aβ and Tau protein are defined by Mattsson et al. (2009). Table 1 lists the demographic data of all subjects. The distribution of whole population could be found in Table 2 and Figure 1.

Values of age and FAQ in Table 1 were reported as the mean (standard deviation) for each group. Group comparisons were performed using the chi-square or two-sample t-test (P-values).

**Data Acquisition and Preprocessing**

MRI data were acquired on multiple 3 T MRI scanners using scanner-specific T1-weighted. The MRI data were preprocessed and further normalized to MNI standard space. PET scans required dynamic 30-min, six-frame (5-min each) acquisition starting 30 min after the injection of 18F-labeled AV-1451. The cross-sectional scan of MRI was chosen to be the closest in time to and acquired within 75 days on average of the Tau PET scan. AV1451-PET data were coregistered to the structural MRI data by using Statistical Parametric Mapping 12 (SPM12) running under MATLAB 2014a on the Centos 6.5 operating system. Then AV1451-PET data were corrected for partial volume effects. More details about the partial volume effects could be found in these samples after thawing (1 h) at room temperature and gentle mixing. The aliquots were stored in barcode-labeled polypropylene vials at 80°C. T-Tau and P-Tau levels were measured in each of the CSF baseline aliquots by using the multiplex xMAP Luminex platform (Luminex Corp, Austin, TX, USA) with Innogenetics (INNO-BIA AlzBio3; Ghent, Belgium; for research use-only reagents) immunoassay kit-based reagents (Shaw et al., 2009).

**Establishment of the Tau Network**

In graph theory, a network is composed of nodes and edges that connect a vertices sequence. In our study, graph theory-based approaches were used to establish the PHF-Tau network of the four groups. A standardized automated anatomical labeling (AAL) template (90 brain regions in total, 45 in each cerebral hemisphere) was used to extract the brain regions. Subsequently, linear regression was performed to remove the effects of sex, age, and whole-brain PHF-Tau levels on patients’ measurements in each AAL brain region (Sanabria-Díaz et al., 2013). Three steps were adopted to estimate the correlation matrices. First, we used the linear regression model to remove the effects of age, gender and the average value of whole-brain PHF-Tau protein level for each subject. Second, a correlation matrix R with dimensions 90 × 90 was generated, where every individual entry $R_{ij}$ was computed by the Pearson’s correlation coefficient between region i and j. Finally, the correlation matrices were obtained with diagonal elements equivalent to 1. The number of total probable correlations were $90 \times (90-1)/2$ for each group. In the PHF-Tau networks, the nodes and edges corresponded to the AAL areas and the undirected connections of each pair in AAL areas, respectively. The topology of each group-network would differ significantly from each other under the thresholding of the same correlations value. In order to resolve this issue, sparsity (S) was used to threshold the correlations matrices of the networks into binarized matrices $P_S$, where an entry $P_{ij}$ equals 1 if $|R_{ij}|$ exceeded sparsity and 0 otherwise. The binary matrices $P_S$ with N nodes and K edges were applied to simplify the PHF-Tau networks and reduce the computing scale for graph

### Table 1 | Demographic data of all participants.

|        | APOE 4−T− N = 103 | APOE 4+T+ N = 34 | APOE 4+T− N = 44 | APOE 4−T+ N = 44 | APOE 4−T− vs. APOE 4+T+ | APOE 4−T− vs. APOE 4+T+ | APOE 4−T− vs. APOE 4+T+ | APOE 4−T− vs. APOE 4+T+ |
|--------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Sex (male:female) | 61:42 | 16:18 | 20:24 | 19:25 | 0.21a | 0.12b | 0.07b |
| Age | 77.8 (6.5) | 76.7 (7.1) | 75.7 (7.1) | 79.1 (7.0) | 0.54a | 0.51b | 0.54a |
| FAQ | 1.68 (4.24) | 4.352 (6.75) | 1.85 (5.01) | 1.98 (5.55) | 0.007a | 0.86a | 0.73a |
| MMSE | 27.76 (1.87) | 28.33 (1.55) | 28.42 (1.41) | 28.70 (1.35) | 0.51a | 0.51b | 0.75b |
| CSF-Aβ (positive:negative) | 90:15 | 30:4 | 37:7 | 37:7 | <0.001b | 0.12b | <0.001b |
| CSF-Tau (positive:negative) | 9:94 | 31:3 | 8:26 | 21:23 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.60 |
| MCI:NC | 54:49 | 16:18 | 18:26 | 21:23 | 0.58 | 0.45 | 0.60 |

Data are represented as the mean (standard deviations). *P*-value was obtained using the t-test. **P*-value was obtained using chi-square test.
theory analysis. Sparsity was defined as the number of existing edges, K, divided by the maximum possible number of edges in a graph. There is no single optimal threshold selection method at present. We selected a sparsity value that ensures that all regions were included in the network while minimizing the number of false positive connections as usual. So that it can be used to threshold each group’s PHF-Tau network.

**Network Property Analysis**

To explore the differences in PHF-Tau network properties between the APOE 4−T− group and the other three groups, we calculated the following indexes: network parameters of the clustering coefficient (Cp), characteristic path length (Lp), and Q value of modularity.

In graph theory, the average clustering coefficient of all nodes in the brain network measures the degree to which one node in a graph tends to cluster together. The characteristic path length is the average of the shortest paths of all pairs of nodes in the brain network, and it is a measure of the information efficiency or mass transport of a network (Stam et al., 2007). Notably, as the path length of the disconnected node is infinite, in this study, the harmonic mean path length (also called average inverse path length) was calculated as the characteristic path length.

We used the method of modularity for the PHF-Tau network as a parameter and the modularity separates into smaller communities, with dense links within itself and minor links between them (Newman and Girvan, 2004). The size of modularity for the PHF-Tau network as the aforementioned parameter is between the whole brain and a brain region, with close links within itself and subtle links between them (Newman, 2006). The quantity of modularity (Q) could be accurately obtained using the greedy agglomerative algorithm using the Brain Connectivity Toolbox (BCT; Rubinov and Sporns, 2010). The Q is a benchmark for quantifying community detection performance (Danon et al., 2005), and a high Q value indicates a robust division of the network.

**Nodal Properties**

The “betweenness centrality” (BC) was defined as a local characteristic for exploring the outstanding nodes in the PHF-Tau networks. A node, which had a high betweenness might bridge different parts of the network (Rubinov and Sporns, 2010). BC is equal to the number of shortest paths from all vertices to all others that pass through that node. The hub nodes played a crucial role in facilitating information communication and processing among the human brain networks. BC is normally used to select candidate hubs in a network. The degree could express the number of network connections involving each node. The degree of all nodes is an important marker of brain network resilience.

### TABLE 2 | The whole population distribution.

|        | All | APOE 4+T+ | APOE 4+T− | APOE 4−T+ | APOE 4−T− |
|--------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <60    | 3   | 0         | 2         | 0         | 1         |
| 61–70  | 43  | 8         | 9         | 7         | 19        |
| 71–80  | 112 | 16        | 21        | 18        | 57        |
| 81–90  | 62  | 9         | 12        | 19        | 25        |
| >90    | 2   | 1         | 0         | 0         | 1         |

![FIGURE 1](attachment:image.jpg)  
**FIGURE 1** | The distribution of whole population. We performed statistics according to the age distribution of the all subjects (age < 60; 61 < age < 70; 71 < age < 80; 81 < age < 90; age > 90). Blue histogram represents the age distribution of all subjects. Orange, purple, green, red histogram represent the age distribution of APOE 4+T+, APOE 4+T−, APOE 4−T+, APOE 4−T− group, respectively.
All nodes properties were calculated using the BCT (Rubinov and Sporns, 2010). The properties value of each node was computed at a fixed sparsity 8% to ensure that the metabolic brain network was fully connected without fragmentation in each group.

Statistical Analysis
A nonparametric permutation test was used to examine significant differences in PHF-Tau network properties, such as $C_p$, $L_p$, $Q$ values of modularity, BC and degrees between the APOE 4−T− carriers and other three groups. In each case of fixed sparsity, the two groups’ properties were computed, pooled, and divided randomly into two randomized groups. In the test process, we maintained the number of sampled carriers as equal to the number in the original group. We computed differences in randomized groups, which was repeated 5,000 times. The same sparsity threshold was applied in each of the 5,000 cases, and we calculated the properties of each randomized group. Finally, the 5,000 recorded differences were sorted to determine the two groups’ differences in real PHF-Tau networks, which were included within 95% confidence interval (two-tailed) in the supposed between-group differences (Tao et al., 2010). In this permutation test, we selected the sparsity threshold values ranging from 8% to 30% for testing the significant between-group difference. This range of sparsity values could provide a fully connected undirected graph, which provided a reasonable estimation of the properties of networks (Stam et al., 2007). In the current situations, a fixed sparsity (8%) could guarantee that all regions were included in the networks while minimizing the quantity of false-positive connections, thereby using this sparsity to threshold the PHF-Tau networks of each group. To explore the differences in PHF-Tau distribution among these four groups, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the post hoc test were used to determine differences between groups (APOE 4+T+, APOE 4+T−, APOE 4−T+ and APOE 4−T−).

First, ANOVA and post hoc test were performed in above four groups. Then, we get each significant different regions from ANOVA and post hoc test to form a mask for the three groups (APOE 4+T+, APOE 4+T− and APOE 4−T+). PHF-Tau values of corresponding brain regions were extracted using this mask for each subject in the above three groups. Finally, Pearson correlation analysis was conducted using extracted PHF-Tau values and FAQ scores in each group. Our results showed that only APOE 4+T+ group have positive correlation with FAQ scores. We also explored the regional and global correlations between CSF Tau and Tau-PET.

RESULTS
No significant differences were found in age or sex among the four groups in demographic characteristics. However, FAQ differed significantly between the APOE 4+T+ and APOE 4−T− ($P < 0.05$). The $\beta$-positive and $\beta$-negative were controlled. Since the subjects grouping were based on the content of CSF T-Tau, there was significant difference between the Tau-positive and Tau-negative (Table 1). We performed statistics according to the age distribution of the all subjects (age < 60; 61 < age < 70; 71 < age < 80; 81 < age < 90; age > 90; Figure 1 and Table 2).

Comparison of PHF-Tau in the Brain
Compared with the APOE 4−T− group, the APOE 4+T+ group showed increased distribution of PHF-Tau protein in some regions, and details were shown in Table 3 ($P < 0.05$; FDR-corrected).

Compared with the APOE 4−T− group, the APOE 4+T− group, the increased PHF-Tau regions are shown in Table 4 ($P < 0.05$; FDR-corrected).

Finally, a comparison of the APOE 4−T+ group with the APOE 4−T− group showed that the left middle frontal gyrus was the only increased region ($P < 0.05$; FDR-corrected).

Correlation Analysis
We analyzed the Pearson correlation between FAQ score and value of PHF-Tau distribution in altered regions in the three groups. A positive correlation was found between all the regions with significant differences and FAQ score in APOE 4+T+ group, while there was no significant correlation in the other two groups. Specific correlation results were shown in Figure 2 and Table 5.

We also calculated the Pearson correlation between CSF Tau and PET. Results showed the significant correlations between PHF-Tau value of left olfactory bulb and CSF T-Tau, PHF-Tau value of right parahippocampal gyrus and CSF T-Tau in the APOE 4+T+ group. The detailed results were showed in the Supplementary Materials.

Network Properties
Compared with APOE 4−T−, the other three groups showed significant differences in the three network properties: $C_p$, $L_p$, and $Q$. The APOE 4+T+ group showed lower $C_p$ (sparsity ranges:

| TABLE 3 | Differences in regions in terms of Tau distribution in the APOE 4+T+ group. |
| AAL brain region | Side | MNI coordinate | $F$-value |
| Parahippocampal | Left | −25 | −20 | −10 | 7.84 |
| Parahippocampal | Right | 29 | 19 | 10 | 6.37 |
| Amygdala | Left | −23 | −0.6 | −17 | 8.89 |
| Fusiform | Left | −31 | −40 | −20 | 11.23 |
| Fusiform | Right | 32 | −39 | −20 | 7.44 |
| Temporal_Sup | Right | 58 | −21 | 7 | 14.48 |
| Temporal_Mid | Left | −55 | −33 | −2 | 9.55 |
| Temporal_Mid | Right | 57 | −37 | −1 | 6.74 |
| Temporal_Inf | Left | −50 | −28 | −23 | 7.29 |
| Temporal_Inf | Right | 52 | −31 | −22 | 6.86 |

| TABLE 4 | Differences in regions in terms of Tau distribution in the APOE 4−T− group. |
| AAL brain region | Side | MNI coordinate | $T$-value |
| Amygdala | Left | −18 | −4 | −13 | 3.36 |
| Parahippocampal | Right | 26 | 20 | 13 | 3.39 |
| Occipital_Inf | Left | −30 | −87 | −7 | 3.43 |
| Temporal_Sup | Right | 58 | −17 | 6 | 3.29 |
| Temporal_Mid | Left | −52 | −36 | −3 | 3.32 |
FIGURE 2 | Pearson correlation analysis between Functional Assessment Questionnaire (FAQ) scores and increased regions of paired helical filaments-Tau (PHF-Tau) value comparing the APOE 4+T+ group with the APOE 4−T− group (P < 0.05, FDR). Pink nodes represented the different significant region, and the Pearson correlation analysis results of the corresponding regions were marked by arrows.

8%–14% and 18%–23%; Figure 3A), Lp (sparsity = 8%–19%; Figure 3B), and Q value (sparsity = 9%–22%; Figure 3C). In the APOE 4+T− group, the significant decreased difference was observed in Cp (sparsity = 12%–14% and 18%–21%; Figure 3A), Lp (sparsity = 10%–16%; Figure 3B) and Q (sparsity 11%–19%; Figure 3C). And APOE 4−T+ group showed significant decreased difference in Cp (sparsity = 15%–17% and 29%–30%; Figure 3A), Lp (8%–11%; Figure 3B), and Q value (sparsity = 13%–15% and 21%–23%; Figure 3C).

Nodal Properties
We analyzed the nodal centrality and the degree of each node. Nodal centrality showed significant differences in some regions for the APOE 4+T+ group, compared with the APOE 4−T− group (Figure 4 and Table 6). The increased regions were observed in the right middle frontal gyrus, left lingual gyrus, whereas decreased regions were observed in the left middle temporal gyrus, left amygdala. Compared with the APOE 4−T− group, the APOE 4+T− group showed increased regions which were in the left inferior parietal (but supramarginal and angular gyri), right precentral gyrus whereas the decreased region was in left parahippocampal gyrus (Figure 4 and Table 6). The results also showed significant nodal centrality differences for the APOE 4−T+ group compared with the APOE 4−T− group (Figure 4, Table 6). The increased regions were located in the left fusiform gyrus and right lingual gyrus.

Degree analysis showed significant differences in some regions for the APOE 4+T+ group, compared with the APOE 4−T− group (Figure 5 and Table 7). The increased regions were observed in the right superior temporal gyrus, and left inferior frontal gyrus (orbital part), whereas decreased regions were observed in the left anterior cingulate and paracingulate gyri, right fusiform gyrus, and left parahippocampal gyrus. Compared with the APOE 4−T− group, the APOE 4+T− group showed increased regions which were in the right superior occipital gyrus, left lingual gyrus whereas the decreased region was in the right postcentral gyrus (Figure 5 and Table 7). The results also showed significant degree differences for the

TABLE 5 | Pearson correlation analysis (FDR, P < 0.05).

| Brain region                | FAQ P-value | FAQ R-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Paral-Hippocampal_L         | 0.003       | 0.497       |
| Paral-Hippocampal_R         | 0.005       | 0.466       |
| Amygdala_L                  | 0.048       | 0.389       |
| Fusiform_L                  | 0.017       | 0.406       |
| Fusiform_R                  | 0.014       | 0.418       |
| Temporal_Sup_R              | 0.034       | 0.365       |
| Temporal_Mid_L              | 0.023       | 0.388       |
| Temporal_Mid_R              | 0.026       | 0.383       |
| Temporal_Inf_L              | 0.021       | 0.396       |
| Temporal_Inf_R              | 0.027       | 0.378       |
Li et al. Factors Affect PHF-Tau Networks

FIGURE 3 | Network properties. Mean values for the clustering coefficient (A), characteristic path length (B) and modularity (C) for APOE 4−T− (gray), APOE 4+T+ (orange), APOE 4+T− (blue), APOE 4−T+ (purple) at different network densities. Black asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference between APOE 4−T− and APOE 4+T+. Green triangle indicates a statistically significant difference between APOE 4−T− and APOE 4+T−. Brown star indicates a statistically significant difference between APOE 4−T− and APOE 4−T+.

FIGURE 4 | Nodal centrality of brain network. Results showed significantly different regions in the nodal centrality between APOE 4−T− and the following groups: APOE 4+T+ (orange nodes), APOE 4+T− (pink nodes), APOE 4−T+ (blue nodes), after 5,000 permutation testing (p < 0.05, FDR-corrected). Abbreviation: IPL.L, left inferior parietal, but supramarginal and angular gyr; PHG.L, left parahippocampal gyrus; LING.L, left lingual gyrus; MTG.L, left middle temporal gyrus; AMYG.L, left amygdala; FFG.L, left fusiform gyrus; PreCG.R, right precentral gyrus; MFG.R, right Middle frontal gyrus; LING.R, right lingual gyrus.

TABLE 6 | Significant differences in the hub regions (P < 0.05; FDR-corrected).

| Region               | APOE 4−T− | APOE 4+T+ | P-value |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Frontal_Mid_R        | 0.1       | 3.77      | P < 0.001 |
| Temporal_Mid_L       | 5.27      | 2.15      | P < 0.001 |
| Amygdala_L           | 3.33      | 1.01      | P < 0.001 |
| Lingual_L            | 0.21      | 4.38      | P = 0.003  |
| Parahippocampal_L    | 5.39      | 1.24      | P < 0.001 |
| Parietal_Inf_L       | 0.51      | 4.36      | P = 0.01   |
| Precentral_R         | 0.48      | 2.84      | P < 0.001 |
| Fusiform_L           | 0.03      | 3.55      | P < 0.001 |
| Lingual_R            | 0.27      | 3.82      | P < 0.001 |

APOE 4−T+ group compared with the APOE 4−T− group (Figure 5, Table 7). The decreased regions were located in the left angular gyrus, left superior parietal gyrus and left superior temporal gyrus.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we examined the topological patterns of the PHF-Tau network using the AV-1451-PET data. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first research to explore the PHF-Tau protein network affected by abnormal CSF T-Tau and APOE 4. The main findings of our study could be summarized as: (1) Voxel level analysis suggested that compared with the APOE 4−T− group, the other three groups (APOE 4+T+, APOE 4+T− and APOE 4−T+) showed increased distribution of PHF-Tau protein in several regions; (2) In APOE 4+T+ group, the positive correlation between value of PHF-Tau distribution
Table 7 | Significant differences in the degrees (P < 0.05; FDR-corrected).

| Region                  | APOE 4−T− | APOE 4+T+ | P-value |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| Temporal_Sup_R          | 0.60      | 1.17      | P < 0.001 |
| Frontal_Inf_Orb_L       | −0.20     | 1.28      | P = 0.004   |
| Cingulum_Ant_L          | −0.61     | −2.05     | P = 0.009   |
| Fusiform_R              | 0.95      | 0.23      | P = 0.005   |
| Parahippocampal_L       | 1.73      | 0.25      | P = 0.001   |
| Occipital_Sup_R         | 0.88      | 1.73      | P = 0.01    |
| Lingual_L               | 0.91      | 2.77      | P = 0.009   |
| Postcentral_R           | 3.55      | 0.48      | P = 0.001   |
| Angular_L               | 1.02      | 0.36      | P = 0.001   |
| Parietal_Sup_L          | 1.73      | 0.19      | P = 0.005   |
| Temporal_Sup_L          | 2.55      | 1.34      | P < 0.001   |

In altered regions and FAQ score was obtained; (3) APOE 4 and abnormal CSF T-Tau have affected on the topological structure of PHF-Tau protein network, and the impact of APOE 4 is more obvious; and (4) Compared with APOE 4−T− group, the other three groups showed significantly different regions in nodal properties.

Comparison in Whole Brain PHF-Tau and Correlation Analysis

Changes in the distribution of PHF-Tau were found between APOE 4−T− and other three groups. Results showed that the distribution of PHF-Tau protein was significantly increased in the bilateral temporal lobe, left amygdala, bilateral fusiform, and bilateral parahippocampal gyrus in the APOE 4+T+ group and the increased distribution of PHF-Tau protein was distributed in the left amygdala, right parahippocampal gyrus, bilateral temporal lobe and left occipital lobe in APOE 4+T− group, while the significant increased distribution of PHF-Tau was only found in the left middle frontal gyrus for APOE 4−T+ group. Meanwhile, the results of regional correlations between CSF T-Tau and PET revealed the significant correlations in the right parahippocampal gyrus and left olfactory bulb in APOE 4+T+ group. A previous study found an increased neurofibrillary tangle number in the fusiform cortex was influenced by APOE 4 (Befert and Poirier, 2010) and the abnormal CSF Tau could affect the cortical thickness of the fusiform (Fortea et al., 2014). Owing to fusiform cortex was related to the visual function of the brain, MCI patients with abnormal fusiform cortex might have visual recognition deficits. A previous study showed temporal lobe which was closely related to sensory function had an increased Tau content (Schöll et al., 2017). Additionally, the left temporal cortex was considered to be a hub for semantic processing for object names (Tsapkini et al., 2011). APOE 4 carriers were consistent with abnormalities on neuroimaging in AD patients, and severe atrophy of the medial temporal lobe was reported in patients with MCI and AD (Filippini et al., 2009). Furthermore, CSF-Tau was correlated with impairment of glucose metabolism in temporal lobes, posterior cingulate, and the superior parietal gyrus and precentral gyrus (Haense et al., 2008). Observed by Rubinov and Sporns (2010), a significant negative correlation between the gray matter density of the parahippocampus and CSF-Tau levels in MCI patients. In pre-symptomatic Alzheimer patients, the lack of connectivity in the default mode network (DMN) primarily in the parahippocampal gyrus among APOE 4 carriers was found (Goryawala et al., 2015). APOE 4 might interfere with synaptogenesis during memory processing, so the hippocampal and amygdala structures of different APOE genotypes in AD patients were damaged to varying degrees (Lehtovirta et al., 1995). Moreover, the increased distribution of PFH-Tau in occipital lobe was only in APOE 4+T+ group and the previous study proved that the presence of APOE 4 allele might affect the activity of choline acetyltransferase in the brain of AD, including hippocampus, temporal and occipital cortex (Allen et al., 1997). Besides, Liu et al. (2013) suggested occipital dementia had its own neuropsychological phenotype which may be a type of AD. A prior study found the middle frontal gyrus is associated with executive ability (Unterrainer and Owen, 2006). Decreased white matter volume and abnormal signal in the frontal lobe in AD and MCI patients may lead to cognitive abnormalities in the brain (Leritz et al., 2014). The olfactory bulb is an essential brain region that manages the human olfactory system, which is destroyed in the process of dementia (van der Berg et al., 2007). A previous study suggested that olfactory dysfunction occurs early in many neurodegenerative diseases, particularly of Parkinson’s disease, MCI and AD (Attems et al., 2014). We could speculate that the abnormality of PHF-Tau might lead to the decline in execution control ability.

We assessed the Pearson correlation between the value of PHF-Tau distribution in altered regions and FAQ score in APOE 4+T+ group. The results showed that in the APOE 4+T+ group, all regions with increased PHF-Tau protein distribution.
were positively correlated with FAQ scores. This suggested that the participants with high FAQ score might be due to the increased distribution of PHF-Tau protein, resulting in clinical symptoms such as the poor mental status and more severe dementia. In congruence with previous studies, our findings provided additional evidence that increased distribution of PHF-Tau protein in individuals was related to severe cognitive impairment (Weaver et al., 2000). The Pearson correlation between FAQ score and APOE 4+T+ group indicated that APOE 4 and CSF T-Tau were both possible factors for dementia.

**Network Properties Analysis of the PHF-Tau Network**

Regarding global network topology, we found widespread changes in integration measures in APOE 4+T+, APOE 4+T−, and APOE 4−T+ individuals. These changes were in the clustering coefficient, path length, and Q value of modularity. The clustering coefficient was based on correlations between adjacent brain regions, which reflected the degree of node aggregation (Seo et al., 2013). Because the ability of Tau plaque transmission was significantly stronger in the three abnormal groups, Tau plaques could easily spread to remote brain regions. As a result, this phenomenon was reflected at the network level. The three groups exhibited a lower clustering coefficient and a weaker local specialization which suggested that Tau plaques aggregated together and transported to different brain regions easily (Duan et al., 2017). Path length measures the ability of information transmission across brain regions. A low path length indicated a shorter information transmission path between two nodes (Sporns and Zwi, 2004). In patients, Tau plaques could spread to other remote brain regions. As a result, although two regions were far away from each other, their correlation might be strong. At the network level, a strong correlation means that connection exists between the regions. Therefore, shorter paths could be achieved from one node to another remote node, eventually causing abnormal groups of subjects to exhibit a lower path length. This suggested that shorter Tau transmission paths could be observed between two brain regions in the three abnormal groups. Relevant studies combining graph theory with DTI (Lo et al., 2010), structural MRI (Pereira et al., 2016), functional MRI (Zhao et al., 2012), and electroencephalogram data (Stam et al., 2007) have found similar changes in patients with AD. Meanwhile, the alterations of Q value in modularity were found in the present study. The graph measure reflects the ability of the network to process information within clusters of regions or modules (Haense et al., 2008). Modularity assesses the extent to which a network can be divided into smaller communities of regions or modules that potentially share a specific function (Newman and Girvan, 2004). Modularity is a parameter to illustrate how to divide brain networks into smaller communities, with maximal links within itself and minimal links among the communities (Cope et al., 2018; Pereira et al., 2018). The Q value of modularity quantitatively measures the quality of each node assigned to the communities (Newman and Girvan, 2004). Additionally, previous studies have found that the clustering coefficient was an indicator of modularity, which showed differences between AD patients and normal people (Cope et al., 2018). The abnormalities of APOE 4 and CSF T-Tau would induce the change of PHF-Tau distribution, thus affecting the state of modularity. Changes found in this study may indicate that the distribution of Tau protein is more extensive, making local connections closer.

**Abnormal Changes in Nodal Properties**

Figures 4, 5 showed that the significant abnormal nodal properties were mainly distributed in frontal lobe, occipital lobe (lingual gyrus), left parietal lobe, right precentral gyrus, bilateral fusiform gyrus, bilateral temporal lobe, left amygdala, left parahippocampal gyrus, left anterior cingulate gyrus, right postcentral gyrus and left angular gyrus. Since the frontal lobe has been interpreted as being closely related to memory and semantic processing in the brain, the changed nodal properties in the frontal lobe may cause memory impairment (Gabrieli et al., 1996). Fennema-Notestine et al. (2011) suggested that the APOE 4 allele might affect cortical thickness in frontal regions. Increased Tau protein levels in occipital had a significant pathological correlation with MCI and AD and were also strongly correlated with the Braak stage (Schwarz et al., 2016). APOE 4 carriers showed significantly decreased functional connectivity in brain regions, which involved in learning and memory functions, including frontal lobe and basal ganglia regions (Li et al., 2014). A prior study reported the parietal area of APOE 4 carriers showed significant abnormal volume changes compared with non-carriers (Honea et al., 2009). APOE 4 carriers showed increased interregional correlation coefficients in precentral gyrus (Goryawala et al., 2015). Cai et al. (2015) demonstrated that MCI patients had widespread alterations in fusiform connectivity using task fMRI. As for FDG-PET metabolism, some studies showed that metabolism decreased, especially in the posterior parietal lobe of AD-sensitive region, and worsened with the increase of the number of APOE 4 alleles (Lehmann et al., 2014). Metabolic dysfunction was most common in the temporal and parietal lobes associated areas, and metabolic decline in the medial parietal lobes helped to distinguish from AD patients in control participants more accurately (Villain et al., 2010). Several studies reported that MCI patients presented with episodic memory impairment, which led to global cognitive impairment with the disease progresses. Neuroimaging showed medial temporal lobe atrophy in the early stage of the disease, while generalized temporal lobe and whole-brain atrophy were the characteristics of late AD (Fox et al., 1996). The amygdala is involved in emotional memory, attention, perception and so on (Phelps, 2006). Many studies found significant atrophy of the amygdala in MCI/AD patients (Poulin et al., 2011). APOE 4 allele is thought to cause structural abnormalities in the amygdala of MCI patients (Lehtovirta et al., 1996). A previous pathological aging study showed that parahippocampal volume as a biomarker had a better discriminatory effect than the volume of the hippocampus in differentiating MCI group from healthy control group (de Toledo-Morrell et al., 2010). The
APOE 4 carriers exhibit increased connectivity and functional-activation changes in the cingulate cortex (Chen et al., 2007). Atrophy of the anterior cingulate cortex showed the greatest correlation with verbal episodic memory deficit in MCI patients (Leube et al., 2008). A prior study reported that the abnormal gray matter volume was in angular gurus of the MCI group (Gispert et al., 2016). Haense et al. (2008) found the lower MMSE score was significantly correlated with the decrease of metabolism in the postcentral gyrus. Aforementioned changes might explain the effects of APOE 4 and CSF-Tau on MCI and even AD at a deeper level. Through the above analysis, we found that APOE 4 and CSF T-Tau affected regions might not only be related to MCI, but also an important factor in transforming MCI into AD. These results showed APOE 4 has a greater impact on PHF-Tau than abnormal CSF T-Tau.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we combined the voxel level analysis and graph theory approach to investigate the PHF-Tau protein distribution and the alterations of PHF-Tau protein networks topology organization affected by APOE 4 and abnormal CSF T-Tau proteins. The results suggested that compared with APOE 4−T− group, the other three groups exhibited alterations in PHF-Tau protein distribution and losses of network properties. Additionally, we found that APOE 4 may be a more significant factor for PHF-Tau protein relative to CSF T-Tau in the brain. Finally, the simultaneous effects of APOE-4 and abnormal CSF T-Tau may aggravate the decline of FAQ score. Findings in this study might elucidate the pathological mechanism of MCI and AD for further perspective studies.

LIMITATION

The present study had two limitations. First, some of the moderating variables, such as average education years and intelligence quotient, were not collected in this analysis. Second, the study lacked participants with AD, which made the analysis incompletely. Lastly, it should be noted that the AV-1451 combination mainly recognizes the aggregated Tau in the tangles and does not measure the oligomeric Tau protein.
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