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Influence of Drought Stress on Physiological Responses and Bioactive Compounds in Chicory (Cichorium intybus L.): Opportunity for a Sustainable Agriculture
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Abstract: Food production from agriculture depends on irrigation, mainly in poor rainfall zones, such as the Mediterranean region. Chicory is an important food crop component of the Mediterranean diet. Considering the increasing incidence of drought due to climate change, this study was carried out in order to investigate the effect of moderate drought stress on photosynthesis, leaf gaseous exchange, growth, and tocol and carotenoid composition of chicory under field conditions. Chicory was subjected to rainfed condition stress in a randomized block design. At 50 days of treatment, drought stress caused about 48% reduction in dry matter, 30% in leaf relative water content, and about 25% in photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance, whereas mesophyll conductance was not affected. A strong relationship between photosynthetic rates and stomatal conductance was observed. In the rainfed chicory, at the end of treatment, an increase (about 20%) in carotenoid and tocopherol content was found, thus, giving further insight into the positive effect of moderate drought stress on these compounds. This finding suggests that under proper rainfed conditions, it is possible to increase and save the quality of dry chicory, although yield loss occurs.
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1. Introduction

Chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) is a native plant of the Asteraceae family, common in the Mediterranean basin and belonging to the so-called Mediterranean diet [1]. It is a widely spread crop able to tolerate different climatic and soil conditions, and is commercially cultivated in North America, Europe, and some Asian regions [2]. Several studies have reported its health benefits [3–5].

Agricultural productivity is strongly dependent on water availability, mainly in arid and semi-arid zones [6]. In the last years, climatic change caused a rainfall decrease in different areas of the Mediterranean countries; consequently, a severe deficit in the available water resources for agricultural production occurred [7]. The irregular distribution of rainfall became the main limitation to a sustainable crop yield in the drought-prone areas [8]. Strong water stress limits crop yields and growth, leading to negative economic consequences [9]. To reduce the negative effects of drought, crops require enough water availability during summer in order to guarantee growth and high yields. Different papers report the positive effect of water availability on yield in chicory and the strategies for mitigating water-deficit stress [10–13]. The reduced water availability imposes changes to chicory plant morphological traits, such as canopy structure, and negatively affects biomass accumulation, as in mallow plants [14,15]. Plants may develop different morphophysiological and adaptation mechanisms in response to environmental stresses [16]. An increase in the antioxidant systems, such as enzymes and secondary metabolites (ascorbic acid, glutathione, tocols, carotenoids, flavones, and flavonoids) has been observed to
enhance drought tolerance [17–19], even if this behavior was found to depend on phenological stage, genotype, organs of the plants (fruits and leaves), severity and length of the stress [20,21]. In some studies on fruits and vegetables under water stress conditions, an increment of β-carotene and carotenoids was found [22–24], while, in other studies, a reduced tissue concentration of carotenoids was observed [25,26].

Carotenoids (carotenes and xanthophylls) are yellow, orange, and red pigments biosynthesized by photosynthetic organisms, present in various fruits and vegetables. Xanthophylls, in particular, can be found in their free oxygenated form or esterified to fatty acids. Carotenes have an important function in the diet for their provitamin A, antioxidant and immunosystem activity, and for intercellular communication. Carotenoids cause a significant reduction in the risk for different diseases [27]. In plants, besides their direct role in photosynthesis, they are involved in the mechanisms of oxidative stress tolerance [28,29].

An increase in α-tocopherol was observed in response to water stress [30–32]. Alfa-tocopherol is included in a group of vitamers, β, γ, δ- tocopherol (T), and α, β, γ,- tocotrienol (T3), known as Vitamin E. Tocopherols are involved in plant growth, signal transduction, phytohormonal balance, abscission, and senescence, as well as in many other metabolic processes [30,31,33]. They are also known to physically quench and chemically react with O₂ in chloroplasts, thus, protecting lipids, other membrane components, and the structure and functions of PSI [30,32]. As the major lipid soluble chain-breaking antioxidants in humans, they have been demonstrated to prevent different chronic diseases [34]. Vegetable oils are their main sources [35], but they are present to a different extent in several vegetable products at significant nutritional amounts.

The aim of this study was to investigate how moderate drought stress, through rainfed conditions, can affect growth parameters, including the physiological, agronomical, and nutritional traits of field-grown chicory. This in order to verify if it could be an effective agricultural practice to be adopted for chicory in order to increase the quality of plants and to maintain a sustainable crop productivity in the southern Italy Mediterranean environment.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Cultural Practices and Experimental Treatments

Field trials were carried out during 2020 and 2021, on a chicory plant (cv. Choice), at an experimental field site in Baranello (Molise Region, Italy, latitude 41°31′ N, longitude 14°33′ E, altitude 630 m a.s.l.). The experimental field soil had a uniform profile, with an organic matter content of 1.5% and a clay-sand texture. It contained 0.12% of total N (nitrogen), 20.5 µg/g of available P (phosphorous), 139 µg/g of exchangeable K (potassium), and very low active CaCO₃. The pH was, on average, neutral and the salinity was low. The previous crop was *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. Moderate drought was imposed through rainfed conditions for 50 days. Rainfed (R) and well-watered (W) plants were compared following a randomized block design with five replications (3 m² each plot). Sowing was carried out manually, placing seeds at a 0.5 cm depth and spacing the rows at 45 cm. Thinning was made to a plant population of 100 plants/m². After sowing, in order to ensure a uniform crop establishment, the same irrigation amount was applied to all fields, by applying a drip irrigation system on every row. Whenever evapotranspiration (ET) reached 25 mm, water restorations occurred. The Penman–Monteith formula was used to calculate ET, from micrometeorological data [16]. At the beginning of the second growing season (25 April), the plants were cut to 30 mm above the potting media level and rainfed conditions were imposed. The first defoliation occurred on the 24 May 2021, 30 days after treatment (30 DAT), when plants showed at least seven fully developed leaves, and was made manually. The second defoliation occurred on the 15 June 2021 (50 DAT), in the same manner as the first. All plots were treated with the recommended fertilizer rates of the area, 70 kg/ha of P₂O₅ and 80 kg/ha of K₂O at seedbed preparation and 100 kg/ha of N applied in two splits. The first split (60% of total N rate) was basally added to the soil the sowing day, while the second split (40% of total N rate) was supplied at the beginning of the next growing season. To allow for uniform growing conditions, a buffer strip surrounded the
field. The weather data (temperature and rainfall) were taken from a meteorological station situated near the experimental field (Table 1).

Table 1. Monthly accumulated precipitation, and maximum and minimum average air temperature before and throughout the experimentation.

| Month  | Average Max Temperature (°C) | Average Min Temperature (°C) | Rainfall (mm) |
|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| January| 14.9                        | −2.9                        | 102.6        |
| February| 20.9                       | −4.6                        | 22.2         |
| March  | 20.1                        | −1.4                        | 17.2         |
| April  | 26.8                        | −0.7                        | 10.6         |
| May    | 28.7                        | 5.8                         | 0.4          |
| June   | 29.9                        | 7.9                         | 0.0          |

2.2. Leaf Traits and Gas-Exchange Measurements

Between May and June of the second year (at 30, 37, 43, and 50 DAT), eight leaf gas-exchange measurements were performed, before the flowering stage, using a portable infrared gas analyzer (Li-6400; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA). Leaf photosynthetic capacity (Pn), stomatal (gs), and mesophyll conductance (gm) were calculated, as in Delfine, Loreto, Pinelli, Tognetti, and Alvito [36]. The leaf gas exchange data were measured to the fully expanded leaves until 11.30 a.m., in order to avoid the midday depression in photosynthetic rate. The relative water content (RWC), i.e., the ratio of water content in fresh to turgid leaves, was also measured on the same leaves used for gas-exchange measurements [10].

2.3. Chemicals and Reagents

Solvents were obtained at the highest purity; other reagents were of analytical grade (Sigma Chemicals, St. Louis, MO, USA). Violaxanthin, neoxanthin α-carotene, 9-cis-β-carotene, and 13-cis-β-carotene standards were obtained from CaroteNature (Lupsingen, Switzerland); lutein, zeaxanthin, and β-cryptoxanthin were purchased from Extrasynthese (Z.I. Lyon-Nord, Genay, France). All-trans-β-carotene was from Sigma Chemicals; α, β, γ, and δ-tocopherol standards were from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany); α, β, γ, and δ-tocotrienol standards were obtained as in [37].

2.4. Nutritional Analysis

Full-expanded leaves from W and R samples were harvested at 30 DAT and 50 DAT. The non-edible part was removed. From each sample, a minimum of 500 g was collected and cleaned by removing foreign parts. Then, samples were freeze-dried (Genesis 25SES freeze dryer, VirTis Co., Gardiner, NY, USA) and ground using a refrigerated IKA A10 laboratory mill (Staufen, Germany), then mixed and stored at −20 °C. The AOAC methods were used to determine moisture, proteins, ash, and fiber [38]; fats were analyzed by the method of acid hydrolysis [39]. Tocols and carotenoids were extracted according to Fratianni et al. [3] and determined according to Panfili, Fratianni, and Irano [37,40].

Carotenoids were analyzed through a normal (for xanthophylls) and a reverse phase (for carotenes) HPLC method, as in [3,40]. Analysis were performed by using a HPLC Dionex (Sunnyvale, CA, USA) analytical system, comprising a 50 μL injector loop (Rheodyne, Cotati) and a U6000 pump system. Tocol determination was carried out by a Dionex HPLC, through normal phase, as in [3,37]. All tocols were fluorimetric detected by means of a Dionex RF 2000 spectrofluorimeter, at an excitation wavelength of 290 nm and an emission wavelength of 330 nm. Compounds were identified through their spectral characteristics and by comparison of their retention times with standard solutions. Calibration curves of each standard solution were used for quantification.
2.5. Statistical Analysis

Agronomical (fresh and dry biomass) and physiological data (RWC, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and mesophyll conductance) were the means of five replicates. Results of nutritional composition and bioactive compounds were the average of three determinations. Data were analyzed by means of the ANOVA test, using a Statistical Software Package for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The significance of difference was set at \( p \leq 0.05 \).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Weather Data

The rainfall distribution was that of the period and varied between before and throughout the trial. It was higher from January to April (maximum 102.6 mm) and almost completely absent throughout the experimental trial (0.4 mm). Air temperature was that of the period, with a maximum average temperature of about 30\(^\circ\)C (Table 1).

3.2. Fresh Biomass and Dry Matter Accumulation

The aboveground fresh biomass accumulation (g) was significantly affected by drought stress (Figure 1A). The R treatment resulted in a reduction in chicory biomass of 30% and 52%, compared to W, at 43 and 50 DAT, respectively. Results also showed that drought stress significantly affected fresh biomass accumulation at 50 DAT, compared to the same treatment at 30 DAT. At 30 DAT, no significant difference between treatments was observed, while, at 50 DAT, rainfed plants showed a 48% decrease in dry matter compared to the well-watered ones, (Figure 1B). The decline in dry matter suggests that the decrease in photosynthesis resulted in a reduction in translocation of photo-assimilates to plant tissues, leading to smaller plants [16]. The literature data indicate a reduction in dry matter due to drought stress in chicory [11–13,41].

3.3. Relative Water Content

Rainfed conditions caused a reduction in relative water content (RWC) in chicory leaves (Figure 2). At 30 and 37 DAT, no significant difference between treatments was observed, which may be attributed to the similar tissue water content. At 43 DAT, the relative water content of R leaves was significantly lower than that of W. At 50 DAT, R leaves resulted in the lowest RWC, with a 29% reduction compared to W. The leaf RWC was closely associated with photosynthetic gas exchange parameters during different crop growth stages.

3.4. Photosynthesis, Stomatal \((g_s)\) and Mesophyll \((g_m)\) Conductance

Rainfed conditions caused a significant effect on photosynthesis (\(P_{n}\)) at the end of the trial period (50 DAT) (Figure 3), with the highest photosynthetic rates in W and the lowest in R. These results suggest that, during the growth stage of rainfed samples, drought-stressed chicory can experience a serious reduction in the photosynthetic rates that can be higher than 25%. The reduction in photosynthetic rates can depend on stomatal and non-stomatal factors [16]. The highest stomatal conductance \((g_s)\) was found when the plant was at the first defoliation (30 DAT), while it reduces, only under rainfed conditions, as the plant grows to the second defoliation at 50 DAT (Figure 4A). In particular, at 30 DAT, a similar value of \(g_s\) was found between R and W, while, at 43 and 50 DAT, it was significantly affected by rainfed conditions, showing, at the end of treatment, a 24% reduction in R plants. At 37 DAT, a very strong relationship \((r^2 = 0.989)\) between photosynthetic rates and stomatal conductance was observed. A reduction in the photosynthetic rate during drought stress, due to stomatal closure, has been reported in chicory [12,13], as well as in pepper, mint, and rosemary [16,36]. The results found revealed that, throughout the trial, the mesophyll conductance \((g_m)\) of R plants was not significantly different to W (Figure 4B). The weak relationship \((r^2 = 0.117)\) between the mesophyll conductance and the stomatal conductance suggested that the reduction in stomatal conductance of R plants...
did not affect mesophyll conductance. Similarly, throughout the trial, there was a weak relationship ($r^2 = 0.192$) between mesophyll conductance and photosynthesis. The reduction in photosynthesis observed during the end of the trial could be due to stomatal closure; in this case, a consequent reduction in mesophyll CO$_2$ concentration should be observed [42]. The mesophyll components generally cause an additional resistance to CO$_2$ diffusion toward the chloroplasts that may increase under stress conditions [16,36,42,43], and it is likely to be controlled by the mesophyll structure [44]. The similar gm observed in irrigated and rainfed leaves, during the whole harvest time, indicated that gm did not contribute to increasing the resistance to CO$_2$ diffusion in rainfed chicory leaves. This result revealed that photosynthesis was not directly affected by the resistances to CO$_2$ diffusion. The reduction in stomatal conductance might have resulted from stomatal closure, which prevents CO$_2$ from entering the leaf, leading to a decrease in photosynthetic carbon assimilation. The strong relationship between Pn and gs indicated that stomatal closure mostly regulated the reduction in Pn, whereas the weak relationship between Pn and gm demonstrated that the reduction in Pn was not affected by an additional resistance to CO$_2$ diffusion toward the chloroplasts [16]. As previously discussed, rainfed conditions restrict chicory plant growth and productivity, also reducing the uptake and the diffusion of CO$_2$, and such conditions also alter different biochemical reactions, which further inhibit photosynthesis [16,45].

Figure 1. Changes in fresh biomass (g) (A) and in dry biomass (g) (B) of chicory exposed to the following treatments: rainfed (■) and well-watered (•). Measurements were performed at 30, 37, 43, and 50 days after treatment (DAT). Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation ($n = 5$). Asterisks indicate significant differences between treatments on the given DAT at $p \leq 0.05$. 

(A)

(B)
Figure 2. Changes in relative water content (%) of chicory subjected to the following treatments: rainfed (R) and well-watered (W). Measurements were performed at 30, 37, 43, and 50 days after treatment (DAT). Bars represent mean ± standard deviation (n = 5). Asterisks indicate significant differences between treatments on the given DAT at p ≤ 0.05.

Figure 3. Changes in photosynthesis (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) of chicory subjected to the following treatments: rainfed (R) and well-watered (W). Measurements were performed at 30, 37, 43, and 50 days after treatment (DAT). Bars represent the mean ± standard deviation (n = 5). Asterisks indicate significant differences between treatments on the given DAT at p ≤ 0.05.

3.5. Nutritional Composition

In Table 2 the chemical composition of R and W samples, at 30 and 50 DAT, is reported. Data are expressed as g 100/g dry weight (d.w.). Results are in accordance with the values in the literature [5,46]. A slight significant decrease in fats and increase in proteins was found between the two sampling times. Between the two water regimes, no significant differences were observed.
Figure 4. Changes in stomatal conductance (mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) (A) and in mesophyll conductance (mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) (B) of chicory subjected to the following treatments: rainfed (■) and well-watered (●). Measurements were performed at 30, 37, 43, and 50 days after treatment (DAT). Values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 5). Asterisks indicate significant differences between treatments on the given DAT at p ≤ 0.05.

Eight carotenoid compounds were detected and identified, as follows: violaxanthin, neoxanthin, lutein, zeaxanthin (xanthophylls), and α-carotene, β-carotene, 9-cis-β-carotene, and 13-cis-β-carotene (carotenes) (Table 3). Lutein was the main carotenoid (about 55–100 mg/100 g d.w.), while β-carotene accounted for 13–20 mg/100 g d.w. Quite high amounts of violaxanthin and neoxanthin were also found. Lutein and β-carotene have been reported as being among the major carotenoids found in green leafy vegetables, even if also other carotenes and xanthophylls were detected [3,4,47]. Results are in the same order of magnitude of different data in the literature [3,4,48]. At 50 DAT in R samples, a significant increment of xanthophylls was observed, ranging from about 27% for neoxanthin to 44%
for zeaxanthin. No significant increases of carotenes were found. The total carotenoid amount was, significantly, 22% higher in rainfed samples.

Table 2. Chemical composition of chicory at different sampling times and water regimes (g/100 g d.w.) a.

| DAT | Samples | Protein | Fat | Ash | Carbohydrates b |
|-----|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----------------|
| 30  | W       | 19.5 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.1) | 14.5 (0.6) | 64.2 (0.1) |
|     | R       | 18.8 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.1) | 15.1 (0.1) | 64.3 (0.1) |
| 50  | W       | 16.1 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.1) | 15.2 (0.4) | 66.3 (0.9) |
|     | R       | 15.8 (1.2) | 2.7 (0.7) | 15.1 (0.1) | 66.4 (1.8) |

a All values are reported as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Abbreviations are as follows: DAT, days after treatment; W, well-watered; R, rainfed.

Table 3. Carotenoid content of chicory at different days after water treatment (mg 100/g d.w.) a.

| DAT | Samples | Violaxanthin | Neoxanthin | Lutein | Zeaxanthin | α-Carotene | 13-Cis-β-carotene | β-Carotene | 9-Cis-β-carotene | Totals |
|-----|---------|--------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|--------|
| 30  | W       | 10.7 (0.8)   | 9.9 (1.0)  | 57.2 (5.5) | 5.2 (1.2)  | 2.6 (0.4)  | 2.8 (0.4)        | 13.3 (3.5) | 2.6 (0.4)       | 104.1 (10.6) |
|     | R       | 11.3 (2.2)   | 10.5 (1.3) | 53.8 (2.1) | 5.5 (0.1)  | 2.4 (0.8)  | 2.7 (0.5)        | 13.3 (1.6) | 2.1 (0.4)       | 101.7 (2.5)  |
| 50  | W       | 11.3 (1.5) * | 12.6 (0.4) *| 72.3 (9.3) *| 4.1 (0.7) *| 2.7 (0.1)  | 3.4 (0.3)        | 18.9 (0.9) | 3.0 (0.3)       | 128.4 (0.7) *|
|     | R       | 15.4 (0.5) * | 16.0 (1.1) *| 96.4 (4.6) *| 5.9 (0.5) *| 2.4 (0.6)  | 3.0 (0.2)        | 15.1 (2.4) | 2.5 (0.3)       | 156.7 (2.5) *|

a All values are shown as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Abbreviations are as follows: DAT, days after treatment; W, well-watered; R, rainfed. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference at p ≤ 0.05, at the same DAT.

In accordance with other papers in the literature [3,49], only α-tocopherol (α-T), from about 30 mg/100 g d.w. to 40 mg/100 g d.w., and γ-tocopherol (γ-T), from about 10 mg/100 g d.w. to 18 mg/100 g d.w., were detected. No tocotrienols were found. At 50 DAT, as compared to W samples, there was a significant 20% increase in total tocols in R plants, changing from about 15% for α-T to 40% for γ-T (Table 4).

Table 4. Tocopherol content of chicory at different days after water treatment (mg/100 g d.w.) a.

| DAT | Samples | α-T | γ-T | Totals |
|-----|---------|-----|-----|--------|
| 30  | W       | 30.9 (2.6) | 17.6 (1.1) | 48.5 (3.7) |
|     | R       | 31.9 (0.4) | 17.5 (0.2) | 49.4 (0.5) |
| 50  | W       | 33.4 (1.7) * | 11.4 (0.7) * | 44.9 (2.4) * |
|     | R       | 38.5 (0.1) * | 15.8 (0.6) * | 54.3 (0.5) * |

a All values are shown as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). Abbreviations are as follows: DAT, days after treatment; W, well-watered; R, rainfed. Asterisks indicate statistically significant difference at p ≤ 0.05, at the same DAT.

The down-regulation of photosynthesis can be linked to damage of the photosynthetic apparatus and an increased thermal dissipation, as a photo-protective process. Here, ROS are produced, as a result of over-photooxidation, which disrupts photosynthetic activities by lipid peroxidation. Alpha tocopherol is reported to be involved in response to water deficiency [30–33]. This occurs in two phases, as follows: in the first phase, α-T is synthetized to scavenge the ROS; in the second phase, tocopherol degradation is induced by a severe stress. The first phase is prevalent in stress-resistant species and, therefore, a higher tolerance to drought resulted in higher α-tocopherol content [31]. According to our results, fruits from water-stressed plants were also found to contain more γ-tocopherol than those from control plants [23]. The induced concentrations of tocopherols depend upon severity of prevailing stress, its intensity, and species-specific response [20,21]. From the literature, there are controversial results on the effect of water stress on carotenoids. From our results, an increase in xanthophylls was observed, similar to the literature papers where an
increment in the xanthophyll cycle components was demonstrated in different plant species under stress conditions [25,50,51]. This cycle consists of the enzymatic interconversions of violaxanthin, antheraxanthin, and zeaxanthin in the thylakoid membrane. It can be considered as another important protective mechanism that helps to minimize irreversible oxidative damage to the photosynthetic apparatus. The physiological function of lutein in stress tolerance is not well understood, but different papers report an increase under drought, due to its effect as a secondary barrier [52,53].

4. Conclusions

Information coming from our results confirmed the adverse effect of the absence of rainfall, and the consequent drought stress conditions, on the agronomical and physiological parameters of chicory plants. On the contrary, an increase in tocol and xanthophyll amounts after water stress imposition was observed. The latter results add information to the scarce available literature on chicory and give further insights to the evidence that moderate drought stress can positively affect the content of these components. The overall findings indicate that drought stress can be practiced on chicory in areas where a challenge to irrigation water occurs, with important implications for agricultural practices aimed at saving water in arid and semi-arid growing regions.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.F., G.P. and S.D.; methodology, A.F. and G.P.; software, A.F.; validation, A.F., G.P. and S.D.; formal analysis, A.D. and S.D.; investigation, S.D. and A.D.; data curation, A.F., G.P. and S.D.; writing—original draft preparation, A.F., G.P. and S.D.; writing—review and editing, A.F. and S.D.; visualization, supervision, G.P. and S.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data are available from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Garcia-Herrera, P.; Sanchez-Mata, M.C.; Camara, M.; Fernandez-Ruiz, V.; Diez-Marques, C.; Molina, M.; Tardio, J. Nutrient composition of six wild edible Mediterranean Asteraceae plants of dietary interest. J. Food Comp. Anal. 2014, 34, 163–170. [CrossRef]
2. Wang, Q.; Cui, J. Perspectives and utilization technologies of chicory (Cichorium intybus L.): A review. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2011, 10, 1966–1977.
3. Fratianni, A.; D’Agostino, A.; Niro, S.; Bufano, A.; Paura, B.; Panfili, G. Loss or gain of lipophilic bioactive compounds in vegetables after domestic cooking? Effect of steaming and boiling. Foods 2021, 10, 960. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Montefusco, A.; Semitaio, G.; Marrese, P.P.; Iurlaro, A.; De Caroli, M.; Piro, G.; Dallessandro, G.; Lenucci, M.S. Antioxidants in varieties of chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) and wild poppy (Papaver rhoas L.) of southern Italy. J. Chem. 2015, 923142, 1–8. [CrossRef]
5. Sánchez-Mata, M.C.; Cabrera Loera, R.D.; Morales, P.; Fernández-Ruiz, V.; Cámara, M.; Diez Marqués, C.; Pardo-de-Santayana, M.; Tardio, J. Wild vegetables of the Mediterranean area as valuable sources of bioactive compounds. Genet. Resour. Crop Evol. 2012, 59, 431–443. [CrossRef]
6. FAO. The State of Food and Agriculture—Women in Agriculture, Closing the Gender Gap for Development. 2011. Available online: https://www.fao.org/3/i2050e/i2050e00.htm (accessed on 16 June 2022).
7. Lovelli, S.; Perniola, M.; Scacchione, E.; Iroccoli, A.; Ziska, L.H. Future climate change in the Mediterranean area: Implications for water use and weed management. Ital. J. Agron. 2012, 7, 45–49. [CrossRef]
8. Bu, L.D.; Liu, J.L.; Zhu, L.; Luo, S.S.; Chen, X.P.; Li, S.Q.; Hill, R.L.; Zhao, Y. The effects of mulching on maize growth, yield and water use in a semi-arid region. Agric. Water Manag. 2013, 123, 71–78. [CrossRef]
9. Zurita, M.L.; Thomsen, D.C.; Holbrook, N.J.; Smith, T.F.; Lyth, A.; Munro, P.G.; de Bruin, A.; Seddaiu, G.; Roggero, P.P.; Baird, J.; et al. Global water governance and climate change: Identifying innovative arrangements for adaptive transformation. Water 2018, 10, 29. [CrossRef]
10. Sarabi, V.; Arjmand-Ghajur, E. Exogenous plant growth regulators/plant growth promoting bacteria roles in mitigating water-deficit stress on chicory (Cichorium pumilum Jacq.) at a physiological level. Agric. Water Manag. 2021, 245, 106439. [CrossRef]
11. Rasmussen, C.R.; Thorup-Kristensen, K.; Dresboll, D.B. Uptake of subsoil water below 2 m fails to alleviate drought response in deep-rooted Chicory (Cichorium intybus L.). *Plant Soil* 2020, 446, 275–290. [CrossRef]

12. Langerooshi, A.R.S.; Ospilkan, A.O.; Radicini, E.; Mancinelli, R. To what extent arbuscular mycorrhiza can protect chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) against drought stress. *Sci. Hortic.* 2020, 263, 109109. [CrossRef]

13. Monti, A.; Amaducci, M.T.; Pritoni, G.; Venturi, G. Growth, fructan yield, and quality of chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) as related to photosynthetic capacity, harvest time, and water regime. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2005, 56, 1389–1395. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Cranston, L.M.; Kenyon, P.R.; Morris, S.T.; Lopez-Villalobos, N.; Kemp, P.D. Morphological and physiological responses of plantain (Plantago lanceolata) and chicory (Cichorium intybus) to water stress and defoliation frequency. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 2016, 202, 13–24. [CrossRef]

15. Delfine, S.; Marrelli, M.; Conforti, F.; Formisano, C.; Rigano, D.; Menichini, F.; Senatoro, F. Variation of *Malva sylvestris* essential oil yield, chemical composition and biological activity in response to different environments across Southern Italy. *Ind. Crop Prod.* 2017, 98, 29–37. [CrossRef]

16. Delfine, S.; Loreto, F.; Alvino, A. Drought-stress effects on physiology, growth and biomass production of rainfed and irrigated bell pepper plants in the Mediterranean region. *J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci.* 2001, 126, 297–304. [CrossRef]

17. Smirnoff, N. Antioxidant systems and plant response to the environment. In *Environment and Plant Metabolism: Flexibility and Acclimation*; Smirnoff, N., Ed.; Bios Scientific Publishers: Oxford, UK, 1995; pp. 217–243.

18. Huchzermeyer, B.; Menghani, E.; Khardia, P.; Shilu, A. Metabolic pathway of natural antioxidants, antioxidant enzymes and ROS providence. *Antioxidants* 2022, 11, 761. [CrossRef]

19. Dumanović, J.; Nepovimova, E.; Mićić, M.; Kuča, K.; Jačević, V. The significance of reactive oxygen species and antioxidant defense system in plants: A concise overview. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2021, 11, 11–19. [CrossRef]

20. Toscano, S.; Trivellini, A.; Cocetta, G.; Bulgari, R.; Francini, A.; Romano, D.; Ferrante, A. Effect of preharvest abiotic stresses on the accumulation of bioactive compounds in horticultural produce. *Front. Plant. Sci.* 2019, 10, 1212. [CrossRef]

21. Fratianni, A.; Gugio, L.; Di Criscio, T.; Fratianni, G.; Panfili, G. Response of tocol and tocotrienols to durum wheat in relation to water stress and sulfur fertilization. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2013, 61, 2583–2590. [CrossRef]

22. Medyoufi, I.; Zouaoui, R.; Rubio, E.; Serino, S.; Ahmed, H.B.; Bertin, N. Effects of water deficit on leaves and fruit quality during the development period in tomato plant. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2021, 9, 1949–1960. [CrossRef]

23. Casadesús, A.; Arabia, A.; Pujiolriu, R.; Munné-Bosch, S. Differential accumulation of tocochromanols in photophysiological and non-photophysiological tissues of cherry tomato plants subjected to reiterated water deficit. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2020, 155, 886–878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Al Hassan, M.; Martinez Fuertes, M.; Ramos Sánchez, F.J.; Vicente, O.; Boscaiu, M. Effects of salt and water stress on plant growth and on accumulation of osmolytes and antioxidant compounds in cherry tomato. *Not. Bot. Horti. Agrobo.* 2015, 43, 1–11. [CrossRef]

25. Eichholzl, I.; Förster, N.; Ulrichs, C.; Schreiner, M.; Huyskens-Keil, S. Survey of bioactive metabolites in selected cultivars and varieties of *Lactuca sativa* L. under water stress. *J. Appl. Bot. Food Qual.* 2014, 87, 265–273. [CrossRef]

26. Jaleel, C.A.; Manivannan, P.; Wahid, A.; Farooq, M.; Somasundaram, R.; Panneerselvam, R. Drought stress in plants: A review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 2009, 11, 100–105.

27. Eggersdorfer, M.; Wyss, A. Carotenoids in human nutrition and health. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 2018, 15, 18–26. [CrossRef]

28. Swapnil, P.; Meena, M.; Singh, S.K.; Dhuldhaj, U.P.; Harish; Marwal, A. Vital roles of carotenoids in plants and humans to deteriorate stress with its structure, biosynthesis, metabolic engineering and functional aspects. *Curr. Plant Biol.* 2021, 26, 100203. [CrossRef]

29. Saini, R.K.; Nil, S.H.; Park, S. Carotenoids from fruits and vegetables: Chemistry, analysis, occurrence, bioavailability and biological activities. *Food Res. Int.* 2015, 76, 735–750. [CrossRef]

30. Hong-bo, S.; Li-ye, C.; Ming-an, S.; Jaleel, C.A.; Hong-mei, M. Higher plant antioxidants and redox signaling under environmental stresses. *C. R. Biol.* 2008, 331, 433–441. [CrossRef]

31. Munné-Bosch, S. The role of α-tocopherol in plant stress tolerance. *J. Plant Physiol.* 2005, 162, 743–748. [CrossRef]

32. Munné-Bosch, S.; Alegre, L. The function of tocopherol and tocotrienols in plants. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.* 2002, 21, 31–57. [CrossRef]

33. Sadiq, M.; Akram, N.A.; Ashraf, M.; Al-Qurainy, F.; Ahmad, P. Alpha-Tocopherol-induced regulation of growth and metabolism in plants under non-stress and stress conditions. *J. Plant Growth Reg.* 2019, 38, 1325–1340. [CrossRef]

34. Shahidi, F.; de Camargo, A.C. Tocopherols and tocotrienols in common and emerging dietary sources: Occurrence, applications, and health benefits. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 1745. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

35. Mignogna, R.; Fratianni, A.; Niro, S.; Panfili, G. Tocopherol and tocotrienol analysis as a tool to discriminate different fat ingredients in bakery products. *Food Control* 2015, 54, 31–38. [CrossRef]

36. Delfine, S.; Loreto, F.; Pinelli, P.; Tognetti, R.; Alvito, A. Isoprenoids content and photosynthetic limitations in rosemary and spearmint plants under water stress. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2005, 106, 243–252. [CrossRef]

37. Panfili, G.; Fratianni, A.; Irano, M. Normal phase high-performance liquid chromatography method for the determination of tocopherols and tocotrienols in cereals. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2003, 51, 3940–3944. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. AOAC International. *Official Methods of Analysis*, 17th ed.; AOAC International: Washington, DC, USA, 2000.

39. Baldini, M.; Fabiatti, F.; Giammaro, S.; Onori, R.; Orefice, L.; Stacchini, A. Rapporti ISTISAN 96/34. Metodì di Analisi Utilizzati per il Controllo Chimico degli Alimenti. Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Ed.; Istituto Superiore di Sanità: Rome, Italy, 1996; pp. 41–43.
40. Panfili, G.; Fratianni, A.; Irano, M. Improved normal-phase high-performance liquid chromatography procedure for the determination of carotenoids in cereals. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2004**, *52*, 6373–6377. [CrossRef]

41. Fernandes, R.D.M.; Frizzone, J.A.; José, J.V. Chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) yield under water stress and estimation of leaf area using allometric relations. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* **2017**, *11*, 1547–1552. [CrossRef]

42. Delfine, S.; Alvino, A.; Villani, M.C.; Loreto, F. Restriction to carbon dioxide conductance and photosynthesis in spinach leaves recovering from salt stress. *Plant Physiol.* **1999**, *119*, 1101–1106. [CrossRef]

43. Loreto, F.; Harley, P.C.; Di Marco, G.; Sharkey, T.D. Estimation of mesophyll conductance to CO$_2$ flux by three different methods. *Plant Physiol.* 1992, 98, 1437–1443. [CrossRef]

44. Delfine, S.; Alvino, A.; Zacchini, M.; Loreto, F. Resistances to CO$_2$ diffusion in salt stressed leaves. *Austral. J. Plant Physiol.* 1998, 25, 395–402.

45. Flexas, J.; Bota, J.; Loreto, F.; Cornic, G.; Sharkey, T.D. Diffusive and metabolic limitations to photosynthesis under drought and salinity in C$_3$ plants. *Plant Biol.* 2004, 6, 269–279. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Nwafor, I.C.; Shale, K.; Achilonu, M.C. Chemical composition and nutritive benefits of chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) as an ideal complementary and/or alternative livestock feed supplement. *Sci. World J.* 2017, 2017, 7343928. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Panfili, G.; Niro, S.; Bufano, A.; D’Agostino, A.; Fratianni, A.; Paura, B.; Falasca, L.; Cinquanta, L. Bioactive compounds in wild Asteraceae edible plants consumed in the Mediterranean diet. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 2020, 75, 540–546. [CrossRef]

48. Fratianni, A.; Mignogna, R.; Niro, S.; Panfili, G. Determination of lutein from fruit and vegetables through an alkaline hydrolysis extraction method and HPLC analysis. *J. Food Sci.* 2015, 80, 2686–2691. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Knecht, K.; Sandfuchs, K.; Kulling, S.E.; Bunzel, D. Tocopherol and tocotrienol analysis in raw and cooked vegetables: A validated method with emphasis on sample preparation. *Food Chem.* 2015, 169, 20–27. [CrossRef]

50. Xu, C.; He, C.G.; Wang, Y.J.; Bi, Y.F.; Jiang, H. Effect of drought and heat stresses on photosynthesis, pigments, and xanthophyll cycle in alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.). *Photosynthetica* 2020, 58, 1226–1236. [CrossRef]

51. Song, X.S.; Shang, Z.W.; Yin, Z.P.; Ren, J.; Sun, M.C.; Ma, X.L. Mechanism of xanthophyll-cycle-mediated photoprotection in *Cerasus humilis* seedlings under water stress and subsequent recovery. *Photosynthetica* 2011, 49, 523–530. [CrossRef]

52. Buezo, J.; Sanz-Saez, A.; Moran, J.F.; Soba, D.; Aranjuelo, I.; Esteban, R. Drought tolerance response of high-yielding soybean varieties to mild drought: Physiological and photochemical adjustments. *Physiol. Plant.* 2019, 166, 88–104. [CrossRef]

53. Huang, H.Y.; Zhang, Q.; Zhao, L.P.; Feng, J.N.; Peng, C.L. Does lutein play a key role in the protection of photosynthetic apparatus in *arabidopsis* under severe oxidative stress? *Pak. J. Bot.* 2010, 42, 2765–2774.