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Summary
The development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is closely linked to lifestyle factors, namely excessive caloric intake coupled with reduced physical activity and exercise. This review aims to examine the evidence behind lifestyle change as a tool to improve hepatic steatosis and liver histology in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease/non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Furthermore, potential barriers to adopting lifestyle changes and strategies to overcome these barriers in the clinical setting are discussed.
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Introduction
The development of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is intimately related to lifestyle factors, namely the excessive intake of calorie-dense food coupled with reduced physical activity and exercise. Global urbanisation and modernisation in the 20th and 21st centuries have been linked to unhealthy lifestyle changes. Consequently, the last 3 decades have seen significant increases in the mean global body mass index (BMI) and the prevalence of obesity, which are the pathophysiological drivers of NAFLD.1 This is exemplified by the rapid increase in the prevalence of NAFLD in Asia over the past 15 years – related to urbanisation and the adoption of ‘Western’ type foods.2 The driving forces behind unhealthy lifestyle habits and choices are complex and multi-faceted, however they can be successfully combated to induce significant health benefits. When successful, lifestyle changes that lead to weight loss are highly effective at reducing fibrosis and the necro-inflammatory changes of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), surpassing the efficacy of drugs currently being evaluated in phase III trials. However, sustained lifestyle changes and weight loss are difficult to achieve and, unfortunately, lifestyle changes alone are not successful in every individual. In this review, we aim to examine the evidence behind dietary change, weight loss, physical activity and exercise as tools to improve hepatic steatosis and liver histology in patients with NAFLD. Furthermore, we discuss potential barriers to adopting lifestyle changes and strategies to overcome these barriers in the clinical setting.

Lifestyle-induced weight loss
Randomised-controlled trials demonstrate that lifestyle intervention in patients with NAFLD reduces body weight; improves hepatic triglyceride content, as determined by magnetic resonance techniques; and improves NAFLD activity score (a composite of steatosis, inflammation and hepatocyte ballooning), as determined by liver biopsy (outlined in Table 1).3–5 The majority of studies also demonstrate that lifestyle change is accompanied by concomitant improvement in cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk factors, such as serum lipid levels and insulin resistance. Fig. 1 summarises the lifestyle treatment options that can be incorporated into clinical care through the course of NAFLD.

A single-arm clinical trial of 261 patients with biopsy-proven NASH who underwent repeat liver biopsies after 12 months of low-fat hypocaloric diet (750 kcal less than daily requirement) in association with 200 min/week of low intensity exercise (walking), has provided important information about the impact of lifestyle-induced weight loss on liver histology.10 The likelihood of NASH resolution and fibrosis regression was proportional to the degree of weight loss, being 10% and 16%, respectively, in those who lost <5% of total body weight, compared to 90% and 45%, respectively, in individuals who lost ≥10% of total body weight. The correlation between weight loss induced by lifestyle change and NAFLD improvement was further supported by a 12-month randomised clinical trial involving an American Dietetic Association low-fat, low-glycaemic index diet in association with 90–150 min/week of moderate-intensity exercise.6 Resolution of NAFLD (determined by magnetic resonance spectroscopy [MRS]) occurred in 50% of those losing 5.0–6.9% of body weight, 60% of those losing 7.0–9.9% of body weight and 97% of those losing ≥10% of total body weight. Thus, resolution of NAFLD and NASH, and even fibrosis reversal can be achieved with significant lifestyle-induced weight loss. Importantly, baseline BMI influences the likelihood of NAFLD resolution (assessed by MRS), with a 3–5% body weight reduction sufficient for resolution in 50% of non-obese individuals.
compared to the 7–10% body weight reduction required to achieve a similar outcome in obese individuals. Thus, less weight loss may be required for non-obese individuals undergoing lifestyle therapy.

Although lifestyle-induced weight loss has the potential to be highly efficacious, clinical trials based in tertiary centres, community-based interventions and novel internet-based interventions consistently demonstrate that only 10–20% of individuals are able to lose ≥10% of their body weight over a 1–2 year period. Patient and disease factors influence the efficacy of lifestyle-induced weight loss, with NASH resolution less likely if individuals are morbidly obese (BMI ≥35 kg/m²), have type 2 diabetes (TZDM) or severe NASH demonstrated by significant hepatocyte ballooning. Interestingly, the PNPLA3 rs738409 gene polymorphism which is associated with an increased risk of developing NAFLD, was also associated with a 3-fold greater reduction in hepatic triglyceride in response to lifestyle intervention, suggesting that these individuals may be more sensitive to the benefits of lifestyle treatment.

The majority of clinical trials examining lifestyle treatment in NAFLD are relatively short (3–12 months), raising concern about the long-term efficacy of this approach given the well-established rebound of weight, which often occurs following the cessation of an intervention. Nonetheless, persistent effects have been observed in patients with NAFLD for up to 5 years after 6–12 months of lifestyle intervention, with less weight gain and a greater likelihood of alanine aminotransferase normalisation and sustained improvement in hepatic fat content than those not undergoing lifestyle therapy. Thus, the impact of a well-designed lifestyle treatment may last for several years despite a lack of ongoing prescribed intervention.

**Key points**

- In the absence of approved drug therapy, lifestyle interventions are key in the clinical management of NAFLD across the disease spectrum.
- Lifestyle treatment resulting in weight loss leads to significant improvements in steatosis, liver injury and fibrosis in patients with NASH.
- A menu of options should be available for both dietary and physical activity/exercise interventions to allow tailoring for individual patients.
- Lifestyle interventions offer a holistic approach to managing our patients and not only improve liver health, but also reduce cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes risks.

**Lifestyle therapy for NASH-related cirrhosis**

A lack of clinical data limits firm recommendations regarding the optimal lifestyle programme for patients with NASH-related cirrhosis. Nevertheless, careful consideration is given to avoid excess caloric restriction due to concerns about exacerbating sarcopenia, which is a predictor of poorer outcomes in obese cirrhotic patients. Current European Guidelines recommend a daily protein intake of 1.2–1.5 g/kg of body weight/day for all with NASH-related cirrhosis and modest caloric reductions of 500–800 kcal/day in combination with a physical activity/exercise programme in obese patients. In addition, small frequent meals are advised with screening for micronutrient and vitamin deficiency. A short-term (16-week) lifestyle intervention in overweight/obese cirrhotic patients with mild portal hypertension (25% of whom had NASH) led to a ≥20% reduction in hepatic venous portal gradient in 24% of individuals, which was associated with a reduction in body fat and improvement in cardiorespiratory fitness and quality of life. Longer studies, with adequate patient numbers, are required to assess the impact on liver outcomes such as decompensation.

---

### Table 1. Randomised clinical trials examining lifestyle interventions of diet and exercise in adult patients with NAFLD.

| Author | N | Lifestyle intervention | Duration, weeks | Mean weight loss | Hepatic triglyceride | Liver histology | Cardiovascular risk |
|--------|---|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Promrat* | 31 NASH | Low-fat (25%) diet + 200 min/week moderate-intensity PA + CBT | 48 | -8.7 kg | n.a. | Improved steatosis, NAS | No difference in glucose or HOMA-IR |
| Eckard⁸ | 41 NAFLD | Low-fat (20%) diet + moderate exercise vs. low-carbohydrate (50%) diet + moderate exercise vs. moderate PA/exercise | 26 | -0.2 lbs vs. -3.0 lbs vs. 0.1 lbs | n.a. | Improved NAS vs. Improved NAS vs. No improvement | n.a. |
| Ueno⁹ | 25 NAFLD | Low (30%) fat diet + 210 min/week vigorous PA | 12 | n.a. | n.a. | Improved steatosis | Improved cholesterol and triglyceride |
| Wong⁶ | 145 NAFLD | Low-fat, low GI diet + 210 mins/week moderate PA | 52 | -5.6 kg | -6.7% (MRS) | n.a. | Improved LDL-cholesterol |
| Gepner¹ | 278 Obese or dyslipidaemia (53% NAFLD) | Low-fat diet vs. low-carbohydrate/med. diet + 180 min/week moderate PA | 78 | -3.2%* vs. -5.8% vs. -7.3% | n.a. | Improved HbA1c |
| Sun¹ | 1,024 NAFLD | Low-fat (30%), low-sugar diet + 27 MET/hr/week PA/exercise | 52 | -7 kg | No difference (CT) | n.a. | Improved HOMA-IR and cholesterol |
| St George⁴ | 152 elevated ALT and HOMA-IR | Low saturated fat, caloric restricted diet + 150 min/week moderate PA + 3 vs. 6 counselling sessions | 12 | -1.9 kg vs. -2.8 kg | n.a. | Improved cholesterol and triglyceride |

*No difference between groups. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GI, glycaemic index; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model of assessment - insulin resistance; MET, metabolic equivalent of tasks; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; n.a., not assessed; NAS, NAFLD activity score; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; PA, physical activity.
Impact of different diets on NAFLD

Altering the patient’s nutrition, with or without weight loss, is a key component of an effective lifestyle programme. The impact of the macronutrient composition of a dietary intervention is dependent upon whether the diet is isocaloric or hypocaloric. Saturated fats appear to be particularly problematic with hypercaloric intakes preferentially increasing de novo lipogenesis and liver fat content in comparison to unsaturated fat and carbohydrates. Short-term (2–4 weeks) studies of isocaloric diets demonstrate that a high-fat (43–56%)/low-carbohydrate (30–38%) intake increases hepatic triglyceride content in comparison to a low-fat (16–23%)/high-carbohydrate (57–65%) intake. A more extreme isocaloric very low-carbohydrate (4%) diet in association with high-fat (72%) and -protein (24% of energy) was shown to reduce hepatic de novo lipogenesis, increase fatty acid oxidation and significantly reduce (−43%) hepatic fat over 2 weeks. Interestingly, this diet altered gut microbiota composition and function, suggesting it may mediate diet-induced changes in hepatic fat in the absence of significant weight loss. This short-term study used a diet which is not palatable over the longer term, however, it provides proof of concept of the role of diet and gut microbiome modulation in lifestyle treatment of NAFLD. Lastly, the role of dietary protein is unclear, with cross-sectional studies suggesting an association between high protein intake and NAFLD in the elderly. However, short-term interventional studies have demonstrated that increasing dietary animal or plant protein to 30% of energy requirements (with carbohydrates 40% and fat 30%) reduces hepatic fat by 36–48% over 6 weeks, perhaps by altering peripheral adipose metabolism. Similarly, in weight stable patients with T2DM, a high-protein (30%) diet with 30% carbohydrate and 40% fat led to a reduction in hepatic steatosis over 6 weeks, suggesting that replacing fat or carbohydrate with protein intake may be a successful strategy to reduce hepatic steatosis.

It is notable that longer duration (3–6 months) hypocaloric diets, resulting in weight loss, lead to equivalent reductions in hepatic triglyceride content regardless of whether they are low carbohydrate (10–30%) or low fat (20%). Among habitual consumers of sugar-containing drinks, reducing intake from 10% to ≤1% of daily calories may be an important component of nutritional treatment, as this reduces hepatic triglyceride content independently of weight loss. This may in part be due to a reduction in fructose, a type of sugar which upregulates de novo hepatic lipogenesis. In keeping with this, short-term isocaloric fructose restriction reduces hepatic lipid content in obese...
whole grains and fish. It has been associated with intakes of olive oil, nuts, vegetables, fruits, legumes, rich in mono-unsaturated fatty acids, with high or carbohydrate content, the Mediterranean diet is per se weight loss ing dietary macronutrient composition rather than European Guideline recommendations.33

greater degree, supporting its inclusion in the including lipids and glycated haemoglobin, to a reduction in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality and is thus an attractive lifestyle adjunct for patients with NAFLD, in whom the leading cause of death is CVD.32 Two randomised-controlled studies have demonstrated that the Mediterranean diet reduces hepatic triglyceride to a greater degree than a low-fat (<30%) diet, whereas a third study using ad libitum diets demonstrated equivalence.1,31,32 Notably, the latter trial which focussed upon altering dietary macronutrient composition rather than weight loss per se, demonstrated that a 25–30% relative reduction in hepatic steatosis can be achieved using this approach. The Mediterranean diet was easier to adhere to than a low-fat diet (88% vs. 64%) and improved CVD risk factors, including lipids and glycated haemoglobin, to a greater degree, supporting its inclusion in the European Guideline recommendations.33

Role of alcohol
NAFLD, by definition, requires the absence of significant alcohol ingestion, however low levels of consumption are ‘allowed’, typically falling within an average of less than 30 g/day for men and 20 g/day for women. It remains unclear whether low-level consumption is harmful in terms of the development of NAFLD, NASH or fibrosis. Cross-sectional studies have suggested low-level (≤20 g/day) consumption, particularly wine in a non-binge fashion, are associated with reduced risk of liver fibrosis.34,35 A longitudinal study of 285 patients with NAFLD undergoing paired liver biopsies found that baseline alcohol consumption was associated with a lower likelihood of NASH resolution but not an increased risk of fibrosis progression.36 A recent population-based study found an increased risk of advanced liver disease, but a reduced risk of CVD and no impact on all-cause mortality, in patients consuming more than 10 g/day of alcohol.37 In contrast, it is clear that any form of alcohol consumption should be avoided in cirrhotic patients due to the increased risk of HCC.38

Vitamins and antioxidants
Micronutrients, including vitamins D and E, have antioxidant and immune-modulatory effects and have been implicated in the pathogenesis of NASH and NAFLD. Although observational studies have implicated low vitamin D levels in the development of liver injury, fibrosis and incident NAFLD,39,40 small clinical trials have not demonstrated any impact on liver histology.41,42 In contrast, vitamin E, which has potent antioxidant properties, has been shown to lead to NASH resolution in 36–58% of adults and children, respectively, though not fibrosis improvement.43,44 EASL and AASLD guidelines recommend considering vitamin E (800 IU/day) for the treatment of non-diabetic non-cirrhotic patients with NASH, although, a recent trial also demonstrated efficacy in patients with T2DM.45 However, a meta-analysis of clinical trials not specifically targeting patients with NAFLD has suggested a small increased risk of mortality with vitamin E supplementation, raising concern about its long-term use.46

Coffee and tea have antioxidant effects, in part related to polyphenol compounds, with green tea and coffee having similar and slightly higher antioxidant content than black tea.47 Cross-sectional studies in selected populations of patients with biopsy-proven NAFLD, suggest that coffee is associated with less liver fibrosis, particularly in those with lower degrees of insulin resistance.48 Nonetheless, population studies have been conflicting regarding whether tea or coffee ingestion is associated with hepatic steatosis,49,50 although others have demonstrated a reduction in chronic liver disease (all-cause) and HCC.51 However, clinical trial data are lacking and thus it is premature to recommend coffee as an effective treatment for NASH, although it appears not to be detrimental. Interestingly, a small human cross-over trial of patients with NASH found that ingestion of 40 g/day of dark chocolate (>85% cocoa) improved serum markers of oxidative stress and apoptosis, suggesting it may be of some benefit.52

Diet plus exercise versus diet alone
The additive impact of exercise with nutritional intervention on hepatic steatosis is not well-established in patients with NAFLD, with studies limited to patients with metabolic risk factors such as obesity, T2DM or dyslipidaemia rather than NAFLD per se. A recent 18-month study of 278 individuals with dyslipidaemia or central obesity (of which half had NAFLD), found no additive impact of exercise on top of dietary intervention on hepatic fat content or cardiovascular risk parameters.53 Similarly, an 8-week trial of 45 patients with T2DM (with and without NAFLD) found that exercise had no additional impact on hepatic triglyceride content when used on top of a diet high in monounsaturated fat, or a high-carbohydrate/low-glycaemic index diet.54 In contrast, in a study of 130 severely obese individuals (BMI >35 kg/m2), adding a physical activity programme to a dietary intervention resulted in greater weight loss and hepatic fat reduction than the dietary intervention alone over 6 months.54 A small 6-month trial in elderly (>65) obese adults found similar reductions in body weight and hepatic triglyceride content in diet vs. diet plus exercise groups, however, the diet and exercise group benefited from significant reductions...
in serum lipids and blood pressure.\textsuperscript{55} Lastly, a small (n = 41) 6-month study of patients with NAFLD who underwent paired liver biopsies, only found significant improvements in the NAFLD activity score in patients undergoing dietary intervention plus exercise, but not in those who underwent an exercise intervention alone.\textsuperscript{6} Thus, exercise may only have a marginal additional effect on hepatic steatosis in the setting of significant diet-induced weight loss, however, it is likely to lead to cardiovascular benefits, which remain an important goal of treatment for patients with NAFLD.

Sedentary behaviour, physical activity and exercise in NAFLD

Physical activity and exercise are key regulators of metabolism and are recommended for people with NAFLD, usually alongside weight loss and dietary modification. Physical activity and exercise have both been shown to improve hepatic steatosis in NAFLD and should be included as part of the clinical care of all patients, regardless of where they sit on the NAFLD disease spectrum.\textsuperscript{33, 56} Physical activity and exercise confer benefits, independent of weight loss, and are useful for patients struggling to lose weight through dietary change, as well as being a key tool in weight loss maintenance. Both aerobic and resistance training effectively reduce hepatic steatosis\textsuperscript{57, 58} and arguably more importantly in this patient group, reduce CVD risk.\textsuperscript{59} The type of exercise programme should be tailored to a patient’s preference, physical fitness and comorbidities, to facilitate maintenance in the long-term and should be used as an adjunct to dietary change. Reducing or breaking up sedentary time should also be a key therapeutic target for all patients. Fig. 2 summarises the extrahepatic benefits of physical activity and exercise for patients with NAFLD.

Sedentary behaviour and NAFLD

Sedentary behaviour refers to “any waking activity characterised by a low level of energy expenditure (<1.5 metabolic equivalent of tasks or METs) and a sitting or reclining posture” (e.g. watching the TV, using a computer, travelling by car or bus).\textsuperscript{60} Sitting for ≥3 h a day has been linked to an increase in all-cause mortality (relative risk 1.30; 95% CI 1.06–1.56)\textsuperscript{61} and sedentary behaviour in general is reported to be higher in people predisposed to developing metabolic syndrome, obesity, T2DM\textsuperscript{62} and NAFLD.\textsuperscript{60} One study reported that with every 1 h increase in television viewing per day, there was a 26% rise in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome.\textsuperscript{63} A more recent study found a 1.15% (95% CI 1.14–1.50%) increase in hepatic triglyceride content was associated with each additional hour of time spent sedentary per day.\textsuperscript{64} Prospective cohort studies have identified sedentary behaviour as an independent risk factor for NAFLD,\textsuperscript{65} thus, increases in sedentary behaviour play a potential role in the development and progression of the disease.

![Fig. 2. Extrahepatic benefits of physical activity and exercise for patients with NAFLD.](image-url)
It is not just the total amount of sedentary time that is important, it is how this is accumulated over the course of the day and if/how this is broken up with activity. Intermittency in sedentary time have been shown to be beneficial for weight control, glucose and triglyceride levels. To date, it is not clear whether a minimum duration and/or intensity of activity is required for the interruption of sedentary behaviour to have beneficial metabolic effects. However, decreasing overall sedentary time and increasing breaks throughout the day is a useful therapeutic message to relay to all people with NAFLD/NASH, and may be perceived as being more achievable by patients initially than increasing physical activity levels. Any means of increasing energy expenditure, whether it be at home, work or during leisure time, may exert positive metabolic benefits and aid weight loss/weight loss maintenance.

Physical activity and NAFLD
Physical activity is any activity that requires use of more energy than the body requires at rest, and incorporates many of the activities carried out as part of the daily routine (e.g. housework, gardening, walking up/down stairs). General health guidelines promote at least 150 min/week of moderate-vigorous leisure time physical activity or 10,000 steps per day for the primary prevention of CVD and to decrease the risks of metabolic diseases. However, most people in the general population do not reach this target, which may be contributing to the rising numbers of people affected by obesity, T2DM and NAFLD. Cross-sectional studies, using self-reporting methodologies, suggest that physical activity levels are lower in people with NAFLD than those without. Krasnoff et al. found that >80% of people with NAFLD did not meet recommended physical activity guidelines of 30 min of moderate-intensity exercise ≥3 times/week. A recent study that objectively measured activity levels revealed that people with NAFLD not only carried out less physical activity, but also undertook less moderate and vigorous activity than people without NAFLD on a day-to-day basis. Lower levels of these higher intensity activities may have general health implications, as the intensity of the activity/exercise could also play an important role in reducing CVD risk and improving metabolic control.

A recent longitudinal follow-up study evaluated the impact of physical activity on the natural course of NAFLD in 5,860 adults. After multivariate adjustments, individuals without NAFLD (based on ultrasonography) who were already active or became physically active were less likely to develop NAFLD compared with those that remained inactive (OR = 0.75, p = 0.03 and 0.75, p = 0.04, respectively), irrespective of BMI. Of those with NAFLD at baseline, remaining active or becoming physically active improved NAFLD status (i.e. there was a disappearance of hepatic steatosis on ultrasound) (OR = 0.66, p = 0.01 and 0.64, p = 0.01, respectively). However, the significance was lost when adjusted for changes in BMI, reinforcing the importance of weight loss alongside physical activity in people with established NAFLD.

There is a dose-response relationship between NAFLD and physical activity (both prevalence and disease severity) therefore, the more physical activity performed throughout the day, the better! Evidence suggests that multiple short bouts of physical activity throughout the day can be just as good as long periods of activity and may be more achievable for some patients with NAFLD. A recent randomised study investigated the effects of different doses and intensities of physical activity on hepatic steatosis and found even the group with the lowest physical activity dose and intensity (low-to-moderate intensity, low dose 50% VO2 peak, 45 min, 3 days/week) showed a reduction in hepatic and visceral fat independent of weight loss. Increasing daily walking has also been shown to improve fat oxidation and is a way of increasing physical activity levels at no cost and without additional equipment. Encouraging patients to be as active as possible within their daily routine is often a good place to start, prior to discussing structured exercise, especially with deconditioned patients or those with comorbidities. Patients should be encouraged to self-monitor their daily physical activity levels (using pedometers/activity trackers/activity diaries) as this has been shown to improve long-term adherence to physical activity/lifestyle interventions and increases the likelihood of patients achieving their activity-related goals.

Exercise and NAFLD
Exercise is a subcategory of physical activity in which “planned, structured and repetitive movements are performed to maintain or improve fitness” (e.g. running, strength training, Pilates, yoga). To date, most exercise studies have focussed on the mild end of the disease spectrum (i.e. non-fibrotic/non-cirrhotic NAFLD), with changes in hepatic steatosis as the primary outcome.

A systematic review in 2017 identified 24 exercise-only studies in NAFLD and revealed that exercise produced a 20–30% relative reduction in hepatic steatosis, independent of weight loss. The data suggests that different types of exercise (aerobic, resistance/strength training, or high-intensity interval training [HIIT]) have a relatively similar effect on liver fat. This is good news for our patients as it offers them an element of choice with regards to the type of exercise they prefer to undertake to improve their liver health. Similarly, patients may have comorbid conditions (e.g. arthritis, CVD) which suit either an aerobic or resistance training regime.

Recent research has focussed on the effects of different intensities of exercise in NAFLD. Exercise intensity refers to the amount of effort that must...
be invested in a specific workout. The American College of Sports Medicine generally recommends a target heart rate of 55–69 HR$_{\text{max}}\%$ for moderate-intensity and 70–89 HR$_{\text{max}}\%$ for vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise. A recent meta-analysis found continuous high-intensity training more effective at reducing liver fat than moderate-intensity training or HIIT. However, this type of exercise may be unsuitable and unsustainable for the majority of patients with NAFLD. Other studies have demonstrated that the intensity of exercise has little effect on the level of improvement in liver fat – moderate-intensity exercise is equally as effective in reducing liver fat as vigorous-intensity exercise and more people are likely to adhere to a lower intensity exercise programme. However, vigorous-intensity exercise was shown to have a greater impact on cardiac risk factors in people with NAFLD, including reductions in whole body fat, visceral fat and blood pressure. Clinically, we should be encouraging patients to undertake exercise at an intensity suitable for their current fitness level and matched to their personal goals.

It should be acknowledged that, to date, most of the exercise studies in NAFLD have been relatively small, in terms of the number of recruits, and have a wide range of variability in terms of their protocol intensities and duration (usually between 8–12 weeks). A longer term study shows that if patients continue to exercise for 12 months the benefits continue and improvements in liver fat, abdominal obesity and blood pressure persist 1 year after the exercise intervention has ended, although the benefits are reduced. However, another study in NAFLD found that if patients do not continue to exercise regularly, the benefits gained during the intervention are lost. This supports the need for patients to be able to maintain any lifestyle changes they make in the long-term to improve liver, cardiac and metabolic health.

The optimal frequency, intensity, duration and type of physical activity/exercise for NAFLD/NASH management remains unclear. This means that the recommendations within the clinical guidelines are non-specific. Ultimately, the most effective physical activity/exercise prescription for NAFLD/NASH should be tailored to the individual patient’s clinical characteristics, comorbidities, current fitness and preference.

**Aerobic exercise and NAFLD**

Aerobic exercise, sometimes referred to as “cardio” or cardiovascular exercise, is “any activity that uses large muscle groups and can be maintained continuously over a period of time.” Aerobic exercise overloads the heart and lungs, causing them to work harder than at rest and, if performed regularly, improves cardiorespiratory fitness. One of the key benefits of regular aerobic exercise, is a reduction in CVD risk – this is important to remember in our NAFLD/NASH patient group, as only 9% will die a liver-related death, compared with 38% that will die from CVD.

Multiple studies and reviews have highlighted the benefits, independent of weight loss, of aerobic exercise in NAFLD. The protocols used in these studies largely follow the guidelines for physical activity prescription in the general population of 150 min moderate-to-vigorous intensity exercise per week and utilise a combination of static cycling, walking/jogging and circuit-based exercise. These exercise levels may be beyond the initial targets that a large proportion of patients with NAFLD should be aiming for, as their baseline exercise levels will be significantly lower.

One barrier to exercise people often cite is lack of time. HIIT is a relatively new method of exercising. HIIT consists of aerobic exercise divided into high-intensity bouts interspersed with recovery periods; it can offer equivalent or superior benefits to cardiorespiratory fitness than continuous moderate-intensity exercise of a longer duration. Studies have found that some volunteers prefer HIIT to continuous exercise routines as it is less time consuming. HIIT has also been shown to improve hepatic steatosis (27% relative reduction) and cardiac function in patients with NAFLD and is another option to offer patients in the clinical setting.

It is worth noting that more vigorous-intensity aerobic exercise does not hold additional benefit for reducing hepatic steatosis compared with moderate-intensity aerobic exercise. However, vigorous exercise is of benefit for CVD risk management – the majority of patients with NAFLD would benefit from a combined exercise approach that targets not only liver health but also reduced T2DM and CVD risk. For weight maintenance it is important to focus on increasing the volume of exercise; to improve cardiorespiratory fitness and glycaemic control it is more important to increase the intensity of exercise.

**Resistance exercise and NAFLD**

Resistance exercise, often known as strength or weight training, works the muscles against a load. Resistance exercise offers an alternative to aerobic exercise and can improve muscular strength, muscle mass and metabolic control, safely and effectively. Resistance exercise has been shown to modestly decrease (13% relative reduction) liver fat in NAFLD and increase whole body fat burning capacity, independent of weight loss. It places less demand on the cardiorespiratory system and may be accessible to more patients, proving a particularly useful tool in the management of our patients with NAFLD and multiple comorbidities.

Resistance exercise could also be beneficial for weight control, not only because of the direct caloric cost of the activity and the residual elevation of the post-exercise VO$_2$ but also because of the greater post-exercise fat oxidation. Energy
expenditure has been found to be elevated for up to 48 h after an acute bout of heavy resistance exercise. Metabolic activity was increased by 21% in the first 24 h period following exercise and by 19% in the subsequent 24 h period. These differences could equate to 404 kcal and 369 kcal increases in extra energy expenditure per day, respectively, for average build individuals. Thus, the energy required to recover from a resistance training session may be of significant use in a weight control/weight loss programme.

**Evidence for NASH and cirrhosis**

Most clinical trials have focussed on the effects of physical activity/exercise on hepatic triglyceride content, assessed by MRS or imaging, without knowledge of the underlying degree of liver inflammation or fibrosis. A retrospective, cross-sectional analysis by the NASH Clinical Research Network showed that people who self-reported meeting vigorous-intensity exercise targets (≥6 MET, minimum target 75 min/week) were less likely to develop NASH than those that reported meeting moderate-intensity activity targets (3.0–5.9 MET, minimum target 150 min/week) (OR 0.65; 95% CI 0.43–0.98 vs. OR 0.53; 95% CI 0.29–0.97, respectively). The total amount of time spent exercising per week was not associated with NASH or stage of fibrosis.

A randomised-controlled trial including 24 patients with biopsy-proven NASH showed that a 12-week programme combining aerobic and resistance exercise (without weight loss), reduced liver and visceral fat but had no effect on circulating inflammatory markers or non-invasive markers of fibrosis. O’Gorman et al. recently reported significant regression in fibrosis, demonstrated by paired liver biopsies, following a 12-week aerobic exercise intervention in 16 patients with NAFLD. However, the exercise group lost a significant amount of weight, which makes it difficult to decipher whether it was the effect of exercise per se or the weight loss which conferred the anti-fibrotic effect.

There is even less evidence for the effects of physical activity/exercise in NASH-related cirrhosis. Patients with cirrhosis are at risk of increasing debilitation and frailty, and obese, particularly older cirrhotics may be at increased risk of sarcopenia. Maintaining functional status and improving quality of life are important in this patient group. Supervised and home exercise programmes, combining aerobic and resistance exercise in small groups of cirrhotic patients, including some with NASH, have been shown to be safe with no apparent increased risk of variceal haemorrhage or encephalopathy; these programmes have also been shown to be effective, leading to increases in lean body mass, reductions in fat mass, greater mobility and a marginal reduction in hepatic venous pressure gradient.

**Barriers and facilitators to implementing lifestyle change**

Although the evidence demonstrates lifestyle interventions can be effective tools for managing NAFLD and clinical guidelines recommend lifestyle changes, there is a substantial disconnect between these ideals and how care is delivered in routine clinical practice. Many clinicians feel they lack the training, tools and time to effectively deliver lifestyle interventions, and report the need for a multidisciplinary team to adequately manage NAFLD including a range of lifestyle intervention options to support maintenance of long-term behaviour change. This is a luxury not afforded in most clinical setups.

Patients have reported insufficient provision of information following a diagnosis of NAFLD.
Box 1. Practical tips on how to support patients to make lifestyle changes for clinicians.111

1. Explain what NAFLD is and that it is reversible with lifestyle change; address any misconceptions e.g. alcohol being the cause of NAFLD
2. Explain energy balance in relation to body weight changes
3. Set a SMART (see Fig. 3) weight loss target
4. Use appropriate interventions e.g. regular meal patterns, reduced snacking, portion control
5. Encourage use of self-regulation/self-monitoring tools e.g.
   a. Regular weighing
   b. Count daily calories to track food intake using a diary, smartphone app or internet websites
   c. Use of pedometers/activity trackers
   d. Read nutrition information labels to check, compare & choose healthier options
   e. Develop skills in meal planning, shopping, food preparation & cooking
6. Signposting to local Exercise Schemes, community gyms, weight management programmes, walking groups

and a lack of support to help them address their condition.106,109,110 A patient’s understanding and comprehension of their diagnosis is vital in order to motivate effective and lasting lifestyle change. A lack of understanding and acknowledgement of the link between current lifestyle habits and their NAFLD/NASH will hinder any meaningful change - patients need to understand that NAFLD/NASH is potentially reversible if they make and sustain these changes.106,109–111

There are multiple behaviour change techniques (BCTs) that have been shown to be effective in the management of patients with chronic diseases.112–114 These BCTs aid clinicians to support patients in making informed decisions about their behaviour(s) and encourage patients to take a level of responsibility for their own health. Motivational interviewing techniques are also useful during consultations in a bid to empower patients to make their own health-related decisions.111 Fig. 3 illustrates practical examples of inexpensive tools that can be integrated into consultations with patients in the clinical setting and are useful techniques to encourage patients to think about lifestyle changes. Box 1 lists some practical tips for clinicians on how to support patients with NAFLD to make lifestyle changes.

Conclusion
Lifestyle interventions can be highly effective in treating NAFLD across the disease spectrum and offer a holistic way of managing not only liver health, but also cardiovascular and metabolic health. Often changing lifestyle behaviours can be difficult for our patients to achieve, but with individualised support, significant long-term changes are possible. Further investigation is required to determine the optimal nutritional therapy in combination with physical activity/exercise which improves liver injury and fibrosis; additional evidence is needed to determine which patients are most likely to gain from lifestyle intervention and in particular, whether baseline fibrosis stage influences the efficacy of lifestyle modification. However, if lifestyle change is achieved and sustained, the benefits to liver, cardiac and metabolic health can surpass the efficacy of the drugs currently being evaluated in phase III trials. Thus, lifestyle modification should remain the primary focus for all patients with NAFLD.
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