Inhibiting Spinal Neuron-Astrocytic Activation Correlates with Synergistic Analgesia of Dexmedetomidine and Ropivacaine
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Abstract

Background: This study aims to identify that intrathecal (i.t.) injection of dexmedetomidine (Dex) and ropivacaine (Ropi) induces synergistic analgesia on chronic inflammatory pain and is accompanied with corresponding “neuron-astrocytic” alterations.

Methods: Male, adult Sprague-Dawley rats were randomly divided into sham, control and i.t. medication groups. The analgesia profiles of i.t. Dex, Ropi, and their combination detected by Hargreaves heat test were investigated on the subcutaneous (s.c.) injection of complete Freund adjuvant (CFA) induced chronic pain in rat and their synergistic analgesia was confirmed by using isobolographic analysis. During consecutive daily administration, pain behavior was daily recorded, and immunohistochemical staining was applied to investigate the number of Fos-immunoreactive (Fos-ir) neurons on hour 2 and day 1, 3 and 7, and the expression of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) within the spinal dorsal horn (SDH) on day 1, 3, 5 and 7 after s.c. injection of CFA, respectively, and then Western blot to examine spinal GFAP and β-actin levels on day 3 and 7.

Results: i.t. Dex or Ropi displayed a short-term analgesia in a dose-dependent manner, and consecutive daily administrations of their combination showed synergistic analgesia and remarkably down-regulated neuronal and astrocytic activations indicated by decreases in the number of Fos-ir neurons and the GFAP expression within the SDH, respectively.

Conclusion: i.t. co-delivery of Dex and Ropi shows synergistic analgesia on the chronic inflammatory pain, in which spinal “neuron-astrocytic activation” mechanism may play an important role.

Introduction

Analgesia with local anesthetics (LAs) has been proved to be effective in neuraxial block [1–5]. However, the catastrophic neural complications [6] and great impacts on motor function restrict the intrathecal (i.t.) application [7,8]. Ropivacaine (Ropi) achieves less impact on motor function in a low concentration and favorable safety profile compared with other LAs. However, repeated i.t. Ropi also dose-dependently induces neurotoxicity and triggers neuronal apoptosis [9–11]. Thus, physicians tend to prefer repeated i.t. Ropi also dose-dependently induces neurotoxicity and favors safety profile compared with other LAs. However, there is no experimental evidence concerning the synergistic analgesia of i.t. co-delivery of Dex and Ropi, and also far from being revealed about the underlying mechanisms for their synergism.

The current evidences inspired us that co-delivery of Ropi and Dex might induce synergistic analgesia via inhibiting the activity of neurons and astrocytes. First, the analgesic mechanisms for Ropi include blocking fast voltage-gated sodium channels on neuronal axons [15], and suppressing glial activations [15] within the spinal dorsal horn (SDH). Second, studies of others [16–18] and ours [19–24] suggest that cross-talk between neurons and astrocytes contributes to the initiation and maintenance of pain. Third, α2-ARs are expressed on not only neurons but also astrocytes [25,26]. Activation of α2-AR not only reduces noxious stimuli...
evoked release of nociceptive substances [27,28] from the primary afferent fibers, but also inhibits the spinal astrogliotic activation.

Hence, we designed the current experiment to test the hypothesis that the i.t. co-delivery of Dex and Ropi at lower dosages yields synergistic analgesia and the underlying mechanism correlates with inhibition on the spinal neuron-astrogliotic activation.

Materials and Methods

All experimental procedures received prior approval from the Animal Use and Care Committee for Research and Education of the Fourth Military Medical University (Xi’an, China), and enacted according to the guidelines of the International Association for the Study of Pain [29]. All efforts were made to minimize animal suffering and to reduce the number of animals used.

Animal preparation

Male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (180–220 g) provided by Experimental Animal Center of the Fourth Military Medical University were housed in standard transparent plastic cages with a 12/12 h light/dark cycle (light on at 08:00 am) under 22–25°C ambient temperature with food and water available. Before experiments, animals were allowed to habituate to the housing environment for 7 d.

Drugs

Dex (Precedex, 200 µg/2 ml), lidocaine (Lido, 100 mg/5 ml) and Ropi (Naropin, 100 mg/10 ml) were purchased from Sigma Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu, China), Hualu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Shandong, China), and AstraZeneca AB (Sweden), respectively. CFA (10 mg/10 ml) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC.. Artificial cerebral spinal fluid (ACSF: NaCl 124 mM, D-Glucose 10 mM, NaH2PO4 1 mM, NaHCO3 25 mM, MgSO4 1 mM, KCl 4.4 mM and CaCl2·H2O 2 mM) was used as vehicle for the i.t. injection and diluting Ropi (100 µg/10 ml) to the target concentrations (1 mg/ml). Dex was used in its original concentration (200 µg/2 ml).

Experimental protocols

Experimental protocols were summarized in Figure 1. The basal paw withdrawal latencies (PWLS) to noxious thermal stimuli were calculated from the measurements at 1 h prior to i.t. intubation and subcutaneous (s.c.) CFA injection, respectively.

Experiment 1 was designed to evaluate the analgesia profiles of i.t. delivery of Dex, Ropi, or their combination (based on the theoretical 50% effective dose (ED50) for their combination (ED50add)) and identify whether there is synergistic analgesia according to the actual ED50 for combination (ED50comb) by isobolographic analysis. In this section, rats were randomly assigned to one of the following groups: (1) rats receiving i.t. injection with 10 µl of ACSF followed by s.c. injection with 100 µl of saline 1 h later (Saline-Veh group); (2) rats receiving i.t. injection with 10 µl of ACSF followed by s.c. injection with 100 µl of CFA 1 h later (CFA-Veh group); (3) rats receiving different dose regimes of i.t. Dex, Ropi and their combination injection (Dex: 0.5, 1, and 2 µg/200 g; Ropi: 5, 10, and 20 µg/200 g; Dex&Ropi: ED50addP1/10, ED50addP2/10, ED50addP4/10, and ED50addP8/10) followed by s.c. injection with 100 µl of CFA 1 h later. PWLS to noxious thermal stimuli were measured a 15 min interval until analgesic effect faded away.

Experiment 2 was designed to evaluate the effect of i.t. delivery of ED50 Dex, ED50 Ropi, or ED50comb, Dex&Ropi on pain behaviors and neuron-astrogliotic activations. In this section, i.t. delivery of medication or vehicle was made 30 min after s.c. CFA/ saline injection. Then, from day 0 to 7, daily pain behavior was tested at 30 min after daily i.t. medication. Rats in this section were sacrificed at 2 h, 1, 3, and 7 d after s.c. CFA injection for Fos immunofluorescence histochemical staining, at 1, 3, 5 and 7 d for immunofluorescence histochemical staining, at 1, 3, 5 and 7 d for immunofluorescence histochemical staining, and at 3 and 7 d for Western blot.

Supplemental experiments were designed to identify whether repeated i.t. Dex&Ropi caused potential tachyphylaxis or sensitization by investigating the analgesic duration and intensity after repeated i.t. ED50comb, Dex&Ropi. Open field (OF) and rotarod tests on naive rats free from CFA injection or pain behavioral observation after maximal dosages of medications (or their combination) delivery were performed to exclude the potential influence on intubation procedure and i.t. medication that might influence motor function and bias our behavioral readout. Besides, pathology on SDH were examined by hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining (details were presented in Supporting Information).

The dosages of i.t. Dex and Ropi applied in the present study were based on the previous reports [30,31] and our pilot experiment. All behavioral tests were performed during 8–12 am.

Figure 1. Experimental protocol. Time window indicated the days after s.c. CFA or saline injection. I: Intrathecal catheter implantation; B: Behavior test; C: CFA subcutaneous injection; S: Saline subcutaneous injection ; D: Drugs intrathecal injection; V: Vehicle intrathecal injection; E: End of the effect of i.t. medication; K: Killing the rats for further immunofluorescence histochemical staining, western blot, and HE staining.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092374.g001
and conducted by an observer blinded to the experimental condition.

**CFA-induced chronic inflammatory pain model**

Firstly, i.t. intubation was performed under 2% (w/v) sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (45 mg/kg, i.p.) according to our previous studies [19–21]. Briefly, a midline incision (3 cm) was cut on back of the rat at the level of the thoracic vertebrae. A pre-measured PE-10 tube (ID 0.23 mm and OD 0.61 mm) was passed caudally from T2 to L3 level of the spinal cord, fixed at the back of rat’s ears through s.c. tunnel, with 2 cm free end exposed in the upper thoracic region. Only the rats judged as no neurological deficit and presented complete tail and bilateral hind legs paralysis after i.t. Lido (2%, 10 μl) were used in the followed experiments.

After 3 d recovery, CFA-induced pain model was established according to our previous study [32]. Briefly, rats were re-anesthetized with 2% isoflurane in O2, and received s.c. injection for 2–4 h and then cryoprotected for 48 h at 4°C. The selected region consisted of 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) containing 5% (v/v) normal saline into the plantar side of the left hind paw.

**Hargreaves test**

Thermal hyperalgesia was investigated using Hargreaves method [33]. Paw withdrawal in response to noxious thermal stimuli was assessed using an RT-63 radiant heat stimulator (Xi’an Fenglan Instrument Factory, Xi’an, China). Rats were placed in plastic boxes on a glass plate for at least 30 min before testing. The time from initiation of the light beam to paw withdrawal was recorded as PWL. The intensity of the beam was set to produce a basal PWL of approximate 14–16 s. A cut-off time of 35 s was set to prevent excessive tissue damage due to repeated application of the thermal stimuli.

**Immunofluorescence histochemical staining**

Rats were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (65 mg/kg, i.p.) and perfused through the ascending aorta with 100 ml of 0.9% normal saline followed by 500 ml of 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7.2–7.4) containing 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and 30% (v/v) picric acid. After perfusion, the L4-5 spinal segments were removed and postfixed in the same fixative for 2–4 h and then cryoprotected for 48 h at 4°C in 0.1 M PB that contained 30% (w/v) sucrose. After being embedded in an inert mounting medium (OCT; Tissue-Tek; Sakura; Torrance, CA, USA), transverse frozen spinal sections (30 μm thick) were cut in a cryostat (CM1800; Leica, Heidelberg, Germany) and collected serially. The sections were rinsed in 0.01 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2–7.4) 3 times (10 min each), blocked with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) in 0.01 M PBS containing 0.3% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 60 min at room temperature (RT), followed by immunofluorescent labeling. The sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with one of the following primary antibodies: mouse anti-GFAP antibody (1:4000; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA) or rabbit anti-β-actin antibody (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), respectively, in the antibody dilution medium. The medium consisted of 0.01 M PBS (pH 7.4) containing 5% (v/v) normal donkey serum (PBS-NDS), 0.3% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.05% (w/v) Na2SO4, and 0.25% (w/v) L-carragenan. The sections were washed three times with 0.01 M PBS (10 min each) and then incubated for 4 h at 22–25°C with the secondary antibody: Alexa 488 donkey anti-mouse and Alexa 594 donkey anti-rabbit IgG (1:500; Amer Control Pharmacia Biotech Inc, Piscataway, NJ, USA), respectively, diluted in the antibody dilution medium. The specificity of the staining was tested on the sections from another dish by omitting the specific primary antibody. Finally, the sections were obtained using a confocal laser scan microscope (FV1000; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan; 1 μm thick optical section).

**Western blot**

Rats were sacrificed under deep anesthesia (2% (w/v) sodium pentobarbital, 65 mg/kg, i.p.) and the L4-5 SDHs were quickly removed and then dissected using the “open book” method [34]. Briefly, the spinal segment was cut into a left and right half from the midline, and the left half was further split into the dorsal and ventral horns at the level of the central canal. The selected region was homogenized with a hand-hold pestle in sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) sample buffer (60 μl/mg tissue) containing proteinase inhibitors. The electrophoresis samples were heated at 100°C for 5 min and loaded onto 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels with standard Laemmli solutions (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA). After the proteins were electroblotted onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (PVDF, Immobilon-P, Billerica, MA, USA), the membranes were placed in a blocking solution containing Tris-buffered saline with 0.2% Tween (TBS-T) and 5% non-fat dry milk, and incubated 60 min under gentle agitation at RT, then 4°C for overnight with mouse anti-GFAP antibody (1:4000; Chemicon, Temecula, CA, USA), or mouse anti-β-actin antibody (1:5000; Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA), respectively. Bound primary antibodies were detected by incubation with anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:10000; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech Inc., Piscataway, NJ, USA) for 2 h under gentle agitation at RT. Between each step, the immunoblots were rinsed with TBS-T for 3 times (10 min each). Protein blots’ densities were detected and analyzed in the Bio-Rad ChemiDoc Imaging System (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd, USA).

**Dose-effect curve and ED50 calculation**

The dosages of i.t. Dex, Ropi, and their combination were transformed into logarithm dose and the non-line fit was performed so as to build the dose-effect curve. Based on the dose-effect curve, the ED50 of each agent on analgesia was calculated.

**Isobolographic analysis**

An isobolographic analysis was further performed to characterize drug interaction according to the method originally described by Tallarida [35] and in our previous report [36]. Both drugs in Experiment 1 achieved comparable levels of anti-nociception so that ED50 values were used to obtain a theoretical dose-response curve for a fixed-ratio combination of Dex and Ropi [35,36]. We calculated a theoretical ED50add for the theoretical dose-response curve. Subsequently, an experimental dose response curve was obtained by treating animals with one of the following combination doses: ED50add*1/10, ED50add*2/10, ED50add*4/10 and ED50add*8/10 in a fixed-ratio of 1:1 for Dex and Ropi. According to this dose-response curve, the ED50 of combination could be calculated and presented as ED50comb. An ED50comb less than ED50add suggested a synergistic effect of these two agents.

**Statistical analysis**

Data of normal distribution and homogeneous variance were expressed as mean ± standard error mean (SEM), and analyzed by researchers blinded to the surgery and reagents used. One- or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni’s post hoc test was used for multiple comparison. The area under the time–course curves (AUCs) values during the analysis time was used to measure the summed effects of different drugs as described.
in our previous studies [36,37]. Besides, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison test was performed to analyzed the data of inhomogeneous variance. All these data were analyzed by using GraphPad Prism version 5.01 for Windows (Graph Pad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com). *P*<0.05 was considered as statistical significance.

**Results**

**Effect of i.t. Dex on CFA-induced hyperalgesia**

Consistent with previous studies [38,39], CFA injection produced long-term thermal hyperalgesia as evidenced by significant decreases in PWLs, indicating that a successfully induced chronic inflammatory pain by s.c. CFA.

Compared with CFA-Veh group, i.t. Dex significantly elevated PWLs in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2A: two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001). As summarized in AUC values of PWLs, the analgesia of i.t. Dex presented a significant group difference among 3 dose regimes (Figure 2B: one-way ANOVA, *P*=0.0055). The average valid analgesic duration was dose-dependently prolonged (0.5 vs. 1.5 vs. 2 μg/200 g: 45 vs. 90 vs. 90 min). Bonferroni’s post hoc test also revealed group difference between 0.5, 1, or 2 μg/200 g and vehicle groups (*P*<0.001). Besides, the effects of different doses of i.t. Dex on the PWLs were calculated based on the log (dose) vs. response curve (Figure 2D) from the dose vs. response curve (Figure 2C). ED$_{50}$ of i.t. Dex analgesia was 1.63 μg/200 g.

**Effect of i.t. Ropi on CFA-induced hyperalgesia**

Compared with CFA-Veh group, i.t. Ropi also significantly elevated PWLs in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 2E: two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001). As summarized in AUC values of PWLs, the analgesia effects of i.t. Ropi presented a significant group difference among 3 dose regimes (Figure 2F: one-way ANOVA, *P*=0.0001). The averaged valid analgesic duration was dose-dependently prolonged (5 vs. 10 vs. 20 μg/200 g: 60 vs. 75 vs. 150 min). Bonferroni’s post hoc test also revealed group difference between 5, 10, or 20 μg/200 g and vehicle groups (*P*<0.001). Besides, the effects of different doses of i.t. Ropi on the PWLs were calculated based on the log (dose) vs. response curve (Figure 2H) from the dose vs. response curve (Figure 2G). The ED$_{50}$ of i.t. Ropi analgesia was 13.85 μg/200 g.

**Effect of i.t. Dex and Ropi combination on CFA-induced hyperalgesia**

Due to the different analgesic profile of i.t. Dex and Ropi, interaction parameters were calculated on the basis of the anti-nociceptive effects exerted by two drugs. Middle dose response curves of both compounds were linear; thus, a composite additive curve was constructed (Figure 3A). Additive regression allowed us to calculate theoretical ED$_{50}$ for a fixed-ratio (1:1) combination of Dex and Ropi (ED$_{50}$add = 0.83 Dex + 6.93 Ropi). The dose regime designed to investigate the experimental ED$_{50,comb}$ included the following combinations: 0.17 Dex + 1.39 Ropi (ED$_{50comb}$P1/10 Dex&Ropi), 0.33 Dex + 2.77 Ropi (ED$_{50comb}$P2/10 Dex&Ropi), 0.66 Dex + 5.54 Ropi (ED$_{50comb}$P4/10 Dex&Ropi) and 1.32 Dex + 11.08 Ropi (ED$_{50comb}$P10 Dex&Ropi).

i.t. Dex and Ropi combination significantly elevated PWLs in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3A: two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001). As summarized in AUC values of PWLs, the analgesia effects of i.t. Dex and Ropi combination presented a significant group difference among 4 dose regimes (Figure 3C: one-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001). The averaged valid analgesic duration was dose-dependently prolonged (Dex&Ropi ED$_{50comb}$P1/10 vs. ED$_{50comb}$P2/10 vs. ED$_{50comb}$P4/10 vs. ED$_{50comb}$P10).

**Effect of i.t. Dex as an adjuvant on CFA-induced chronic hyperalgesia**

We have confirmed a synergistic effect of i.t. delivery of Dex and Ropi combination in a short-term observation. Then, the effect of i.t. Dex as an adjuvant on CFA induced chronic hyperalgesia was further explored.

According to Experiment 1, ED$_{50}$ Dex, ED$_{50}$ Ropi, or ED$_{50,comb}$ Dex&Ropi were administrated to achieve equal 50% analgesia, the dose regime designed in this section was as the following: 1.65 Dex (CFA-Dex), 13.85 Ropi (CFA-Ropi), and 0.63 Dex + 5.26 Ropi (CFA-Dex&Ropi).

Anti-nociceptive effects were observed in all intervention groups (Figure 4A: two-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001) from day 0 to 7. As summarized in AUC values of PWLs, the analgesia effects presented a significant group difference among 3 intervention strategies (Figure 4B: one-way ANOVA, *P*<0.001). Bonferroni’s post hoc test also revealed group difference between CFA-Dex, CFA-Ropi, or CFA-Dex&Ropi and CFA-Veh group (*P*<0.001). Meanwhile, there was significant difference between CFA-Dex (*P*<0.001) or CFA-Ropi (*P*<0.001) and CFA-Dex&Ropi groups, while no significant difference between CFA-Dex and CFA-Ropi group (*P* > 0.05), suggesting that a synergistic effect might also be beneficial in a long-term treatment because although the dose of ED$_{50,comb}$ Dex&Ropi was lower than individual ED$_{50}$, better analgesic effects were achieved.

**Effect of i.t. Dex, Ropi or their combination on CFA-induced neuronal activation**

Since the initiation of chronic pain predominantly correlates with the neuronal activation, we wondered whether the synergistic analgesia was due to the facilitated neuronal activation when ED$_{50}$ Dex, ED$_{50}$ Ropi, or ED$_{50,comb}$ Dex&Ropi was administered to achieve 50% analgesia.

We observed that significantly increased neuronal activation indicated by the nuclei expression of Fos and such neuronal activation reached the peak at 2 h after s.c. CFA injection (CFA-Veh 2 h: 8.87 ± 2.91 105, CFA-Dex 2 h: 52.67 ± 7.26, CFA-Ropi 2 h: 122.33 ± 11.94 105, CFA-Dex&Ropi 2 h: 164.67 ± 11.86 105, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test, *P*<0.001). These Fos-ir neurons predominantly located in the superficial layers of the L5 SDH in vehicle treated rats. Meanwhile, the number of Fos-ir neurons also presented a temporal change that reached its peak at 2 h and gradually reduced but sustained to day 7 (Figure 5A). Considering 2 h after s.c. CFA injection as the peak of neuronal activation, photomicrographs of Fos-ir neurons in the ipsilateral L5 SDH were taken and the total number of Fos-ir neurons/section in these groups was shown in Figure 5B-E. There was a significant group difference in the numbers of spinal Fos-ir neurons at 2 h after s.c. CFA injection.
**Figure 2.** i.t. Dex or Ropi dose-dependently inhibited CFA-induced thermal hyperalgesia of the injected hind paw. Analgesia duration of different doses of i.t. Dex or Ropi was shown in A and E. The AUCs for different groups were calculated to perform statistical analysis (B) and (F). One-way ANOVA, P<0.001). Bonferroni’s post hoc test also revealed a significant group difference between CFA-Dex, CFA-Ropi, or CFA-Dex&Ropi and Saline-Veh or CFA-Veh group (P<0.001), respectively, at 2 h. The number of Fos-ir neurons reduced to the equal with Saline-Veh group at day 3 (P>0.05). However, no significant difference was observed among CFA-Dex, CFA-Ropi, and CFA-Dex&Ropi (P>0.05). These results suggested that a synergistic effect might exist because although the dose of ED50comb Dex&Ropi was lower than individual ED50, equal inhibition on the neuronal activation in the initiation phase was achieved.

**Effect of i.t. Dex, Ropi or their combination on CFA-induced astrocytic activation**

It seems that synergistic inhibition of the neuronal activation contributes to the initiation of the chronic pain, however, what contributes to the long-term synergistic analgesia of Dex and Ropi? Our previous studies [19–21,23,24] suggested that the maintenance of chronic pain correlated with the astrocytic activation. Thus, we further wondered that whether the astrocytic inhibition contributed the superiorities to synergistic analgesia when ED50 Dex, ED50 Ropi, or ED50comb Dex&Ropi was administrated to achieve equal 50% analgesia.

Firstly, we observed that CFA aroused a significant astrocytic activation indicated by GFAP up-regulation in the ipsilateral compared with the contralateral SDH with a temporal change (CFA-Veh) (Figure 6A). Immunohistochemistry indicated that activated astrocytes presented as hypertrophied cell bodies and thickened processes with enhanced GFAP-ir (Figure 6A and A2). Scheme showed an overview of detected region (laminae I–III) for immunohistochemical quantification and Western blot (Figure 6B1 and B2). GFAP up-regulation was not obvious on day 1, but significant on day 3 and reached a peak on day 7 (Figure 6C1–C4 and 6H). This finding was consistent with our previous publication [24] that astrocytic activation remained at high levels at 3 weeks after spinal nerve ligation (SNI). This increase characteristics was also confirmed in our Western blot that peak GFAP expressions on day 7 after s.c. CFA injection were 0.363±0.050 in Saline-Veh compared with 1.147±0.132 in CFA-Veh group (P<0.001). Then, we administered i.t. ED50 Dex, ED50 Ropi, or ED50comb Dex&Ropi once daily from day 0 to 7 to observe the effects on the CFA-induced astrocytic activation. Consistent with our previous study [19] on a neuropathic pain model, we observed that astrocytic activation reached its peak with abundant GFAP expression on day 7 (Figure 6D–G). There was a significant group difference in the GFAP expression (Figure 6H; one-way ANOVA, P<0.001). However, Bonferroni’s post hoc test revealed that no group difference between CFA-Dex or CFA-Ropi and CFA-Dex&Ropi groups (P>0.05). Interestingly, an appreciably low expression tendency in CFA-Dex&Ropi group was observed when astrocytes were initially activated on day 3, suggesting that i.t. concomitant strategy might reduce astrocytic activation at early stage, although no significant difference was observed among intervention groups.

Western blot showed that GFAP in all intervention groups were significantly decreased on day 3 and 7. Similarly, there was no significant difference among intervention groups between CFA-Dex or CFA-Ropi and CFA-Dex&Ropi groups (P>0.05). (Figure 6I). These results suggested that a synergistic effect might also be beneficial in a long-term treatment because although the dose of ED50comb Dex&Ropi was lower than individual ED50, equal effect of astrocytic inhibition in the maintenance phase was achieved.

**Effect of repeated i.t. co-delivery of Dex and Ropi**

Results from this supplemental experiment suggested that repeated i.t. Dex and Ropi combination presented a stable analgesia property without any acute tachyphylaxis or sensitization (details were presented in Figure S1).

**Effect of i.t. medications on motor function**

Results from this supplemental experiment suggested that the intubation procedure and i.t. medication didn’t impair rats’ locomotion and motor coordination function indicated by OF and rotarod test, respectively (details were presented in Figure S2).
Effect of i.t. medications on pathology

Results from this supplemental experiment suggested that i.t. Dex didn’t cause any pathological change in SDH, instead, it tended to attenuate Ropi-induced acute neuroinflammation at 24 h after CFA injections (details were presented in Figure S3).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first report discussing a synergistic analgesia for i.t. Dex and Ropi in a CFA-induced rat chronic pain model. Our data supported that i.t. Dex and Ropi combination in a fixed ratio yielded synergism, and the possible mechanism correlated with neuron-astrocytic interaction. Less Fos-ir neurons in pain “initiation phase” as well as remarkably decreased
the effects of Dex and Ropi combination on microglia, since our molecular mechanisms require further discussion. However, the specific and indirect inhibits astrocytic activation deriving from blocking astrocyte cross-talk in pain “maintenance phase”; iii) Ropi inhibit astrocytic activation, and then, interrupt the neuron-astrocytic cross-talk. In our isobolographic analysis, an ED 50comb of 5.26 was less than ED50add of 6.93 for Ropi, suggesting a synergistic analgesia. Importantly, reducing the LAs consumption means less neurotoxicity both in in vivo and in vitro. Our results demonstrated that i.t. Dex tended to attenuate Ropi-induced acute neuroinflammation at 24 h after s.c. CFA injection (Supporting Information). Recent studies have also confirmed the neuroprotective or growth-promoting properties of Dex in many tissues, such as protecting neurons after stroke by promoting glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) release [51], protected cortex neurons from apoptosis [52,53], and decreased Lido-induced cortical neuron death indicated by the decreased expressions of caspase-3 [31]. Although the half-life time of Dex is short (2~3 h), its anxiolytic, sedative, and analgesic properties of Dex might, at least partly, contribute to this long-lasting and accumulative analgesic effect [49,50]. These valid analgesic properties were also found in varied pain conditions, such as chronic constrictive injury (CCI) of the sciatic nerve-induced neuropathic pain [25,56] and pH 5.0 PBS-induced acute inflammatory pain in mice [31], as well as bone cancer induced-pain in rats [57].

In our isobolographic analysis, an ED50comb of 5.26 was less than ED50add of 6.93 for Ropi, suggesting a synergistic analgesia. Importantly, reducing the LAs consumption means less neurotoxicity possibility to some extent. Thus, we highlighted that i.t. Dex as an adjuvant has obvious advantages over the individual medications. First, i.t. Dex seems to be an insufficient analgesia profile, while i.t. Ropi lacks long-lasting and accumulative analgesic effect. Second, a synergistic effect of i.t. Dex and Ropi might be beneficial in a long-term treatment because of more
comprehensive effect of neuronal and astrocytic inhibition in the course of chronic pain. Third, smaller dosages of each agent may be used to reach equal or better efficacy. Finally, the LAs-induced side effects and motor function impacts would be minimized. More importantly, neurons might benefit from Dex’s protective effect. In this sense, the concomitant administration of Dex and Ropi may serve as a new analgesic strategy.

Barrier between animal research and human application

Barrier lies between animal research and clinical application, and it does be a usual issue that a drug that works well in animals is ostensibly not effective in humans. One often-ignored explanation is the inappropriate translation of a drug dose from one animal species to another. The calculations for determining starting dose in humans as extrapolated from animals should use the more appropriate normalization of body surface area (BSA) than the body weight alone [58].

By using the following formula [59], we calculated the human equivalent dose (HED) based on our animal study: HED (mg/kg) = [mouse dose (mg/kg) * mouse Km/human Km] [59].

Km factor for rat and adult human being are 6 and 36, respectively.

The translated ED50comb of i.t. Dex for a 70 kg adult is 36.59 μg, while the doses of i.t. Dex in clinical trials range largely from 3 to 15 μg [60–66]. Thus, we infer that the possible explanations for the discrepancy between animal studies and human trials might be that i) different stimulus intensity lies between surgical procedures or acute post-operative pain and chronic inflammatory pain; ii) higher concentration of LAs commonly applied in medical setting, which might achieve dominant in analgesia; iii) different interaction when i.t. Dex as an adjuvant combining with other specific LAs might contribute to diverse dose vs response relationship; iv) rats and human being demonstrates different sensitivity for adrenergic systems mediating by a3AR. However, these explanations need further experimental evidence.

Conclusion

Our study shows that i.t. co-delivery of Dex and Ropi presents synergistic analgesia on the CFA-induced chronic inflammatory pain, in which spinal “neuron-astrocytic activation” mechanism may play an important role.

Figure 5. Effect of i.t. Dex, Ropi, or their combination on CFA-induced neuronal activation. s.c. CFA-induced thermal hyperalgesia was accompanied with the increase in the number of Fos-ir neurons to the peak at 2 h after injection, and gradually reduced but sustained to day 7 in the ipsilateral SDH (A1–A4). Both i.t. individual and concomitant medications significantly inhibited neuronal activation indicated by less number of Fos-ir neurons in the ipsilateral SDH at 2 h after s.c. CFA injection (B–E). Number of Fos-ir neurons was presented in F at 2 h, 1, 3 and 7 d after s.c. CFA injection, respectively. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, compared with Saline-Veh group; ### p<0.01, #### p<0.001, compared with CFA-Dex&Ropi group. Scalebars = 10 μm in A4, 50 μm in A1–A4 and B–E. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092374.g005
Figure 6. Effect of i.t. Dex, Ropi, or their combination on CFA-induced astrocytic activation. s.c. CFA injection induced appreciable astrocytic activation and GFAP up-regulation in the ipsilateral SDH (A). The activated astrocytes presented hypertrophied cell bodies and the thickened processes (A1), compared with contralateral side (A2). Scheme showed an overview of detected region (laminae I–III) of immunohistochemical quantification and western blot (B1 and B2). CFA-induced aroused GFAP activation from day 3, and reached the peak on day 7 in the ipsilateral SDH (C1–C4). i.t. individual or concomitant medication down-regulated GFAP expression in the ipsilateral SDH on day 7 (D–G). Fluorescent intensities of GFAP expression at 1, 3, 5 and 7 d after s.c. CFA injection were presented in H. Western blot of GFAP expression at 3 and 7 d after s.c. CFA injection was shown in I. ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001, compared with Saline-Veh group; ## p<0.01, ### p<0.001, compared with CFA-Veh group; +++ p<0.001, compared with CFA-Dex&Ropi group. Scale bars = 100 μm in A, 10 μm in A1 and A2, 50 μm in C1–C4 and D–G.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0092374.g006
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