Effect of Heat Treatment on the Microstructure and Phase Composition of ZrB$_2$–MoSi$_2$ Coating
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Abstract: Composite ZrB$_2$–MoSi$_2$ coating modified by Y$_2$O$_3$ and Al was prepared by a new multi-chamber detonation accelerator (MCDS) on carbon/carbon composites. Postdeposition heat treatment of the samples at 1500 °C for 1 and 6 h was carried out in air. The effect of heat treatment on the microstructure and phase composition of the ZrB$_2$–MoSi$_2$ coating was investigated by scanning electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction phase analysis. The as-sprayed coating presented as a dense lamellar structure, composed of m-ZrO$_2$, t-ZrO$_2$, some hexagonal ZrB$_2$, and cubic Al phases. The m-ZrO$_2$, c-ZrO$_2$, and h-(α-Al$_2$O$_3$) formed at 1500 °C. The coatings after heat treatment (1 and 6 h) exhibited a structure without cracks. The porosity (~1%) of the coating did not change after heat treatment. Thin, continuous, silica-rich film covered the surfaces of ZrO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ particles, and could have played a role during heat treatment by acting as a grain lubricant for particle rearrangement.
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1. Introduction

Carbon/carbon (C/C) composites have attracted attentions in recent decades, owing to their low density (<2.0 g/cm$^3$), high strength and modulus, low coefficient of thermal expansion, good thermal impact resistance, and stable mechanical properties at elevated temperatures, which makes them a logical choice as thermal structural materials in the field of aeronautics and astronautics [1,2]. The properties of C/C composites change in air with prolonged exposure to relatively low temperatures. C/C composites are easily oxidized above 400 °C in oxygen-containing environments, which limits their high-temperature applications [3–5].

Coating is a feasible method to protect them against oxidation [3,6,7]. ZrB$_2$–MoSi$_2$ has been a common high-temperature, oxidation-resistant coating system in recent years [8–10]. ZrB$_2$ and MoSi$_2$ are considered ideal coating materials in thermal protective systems, because of their excellent high-temperature mechanical properties and good thermal shock and oxidation resistance [7–10]. The preparation methods of ZrB$_2$–MoSi$_2$ coatings include pack cementation, the slurry method, chemical vapor deposition, atmospheric plasma spraying, and thermal spray [11–14]. All of these methods require special preliminary surface preparation or the formation of a bonding sublayer.
between the coating material and substrate [10,15–17]. Thermal spraying is a highly efficient method to deposit coating. The use of high-speed gas-thermal methods, which impart high kinetic energy to powder particles while reducing their temperature, is expedient for obtaining coatings on the carbon–carbon materials surface. However, the sprayed coatings exhibit a porous structure [18], which cannot create an effective barrier from the corrosive environment for substrates.

In this study a new multi-chamber detonation accelerator (MCDS) was used to fabricate ZrB2–MoSi2 composite coating modified by Y2O3 and Al for the protection of carbon/carbon composites. The MCDS was equipped with a standard powder feeder (by Metco). The difference between MCDS and high-speed gas-thermal methods is that it sums up the energies of combustion products of fuel gas mixtures from several specially profiled detonation chambers. The accumulation of detonation energy from the two chambers in the cylindrical nozzle provides high-speed jet forming combustion products that effectively heat and accelerate the powder material. With MCDS technology, the energy of the fuel gas mixture was transformed into a high-velocity jet with a low thermal power, which allows the formation of a high-quality layer with no overheating of a workpiece. The use of MCDS makes it possible to form high-speed pulsed jets of heated ceramic powders (2100 ± 100 m/s), which provide the ability to create high contact loads upon the impact of discrete particles on the substrate surface, making it possible to deform ductile and destroy fragile substrate materials [19–21].

In the present work, the microstructural evolution of ZrB2–MoSi2 coatings during heat treatment at 1500 °C for 1 and 6 h in air was investigated. The main aim was to evaluate the microstructural stability of the ZrB2–MoSi2 coatings under high temperatures.

2. Materials and Methods

Composite powder (d(0.1): 1.69 μm, d(0.5): 10.03 μm, d(0.9): 23.86 μm) (Figure 1) containing 40.5 mol.% of ZrB2, 5.3 mol.% of MoSi2, 1.4 mol.% of Y2O3 and 52.8 mol.% of Al was used as the feedstock material to deposit a dense layer on the carbon/carbon composites. Flat samples with a dimension of 15 mm × 15 mm × 5 mm were cut from bulk 3D C/C composites (NIIgraphit, Moscow, Russia) with a density of 1.9 g/cm3. Those samples used as substrates were cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with ethanol and then dried at 80 °C for 2 h. In the present study, a multi-chamber detonation accelerator [19–21] was employed to deposit the ZrB2–MoSi2 coating. The parameters of the coating spray are listed in Table 1.

Y2O3 acts as a stabilizer of high-temperature tetragonal and cubic modification of zirconia [10]. Aluminum acts as a bonding material for the oxidizing agent in the process of spraying and to create “plastic” lamellae and nano-dispersed inclusions, in order to relieve internal stresses [22–24].

![Figure 1](image_url)
Table 1. Spraying parameters of coating.

| Barrel Length, mm | Barrel Diameter, mm | Powder Feed Rate, g/h | Flow Rate of Fuel Mixture Components, m³/h | Oxygen/Fuel Ratio |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 60                | 500                 | 16                    | 600                                       | 4.00** 3.60      |
|                   |                     |                       |                                           | 0.75** 0.68      |
|                   |                     |                       |                                           | 0.12** 0.12      |
|                   |                     |                       |                                           | 5.3              |

* Cylindrical form combustion chamber. ** Combustion chamber in the form of a disk.

The powder and fracture surfaces of the samples were investigated using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Quanta 600 FEG, FEI Company, Eindhoven, Netherlands) equipped with an EDAX Pegasus 2000 Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) (Mahwah, NJ, USA). Porosity was determined by metallographic method with elements of the qualitative and quantitative analyses of the geometry of the pores using an optical inverted Olympus GX51 microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). The phase composition of the powder and coatings was determined by the X-ray diffraction phase analysis method (diffractometer Rigaku Ultima IV, Tokyo, Japan. Crystalline phases were identified by the ICDD PDF-2 powder diffraction database release 2008.

The specimens were transversally cut, mechanically polished, and prepared by standard metallographic sample preparation methods of sectioning, mounting, and polishing. The sample was prepared by grinding with abrasive SiC paper (200, 500, 800, and 1000 grade), followed by polishing with 1-µm diamond slurry according to the procedure recommended by Struers company for ceramic coatings.

A high-temperature furnace (LHT 04/17, Nabertherm GmbH, Lilienthal, Germany) was used for the heat treatment of coatings in air. The samples were placed in the furnace and the furnace was heated at 5 °C/min from 23 to 1500 °C. The coatings were slowly cooled from 1500 to 50 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min for 5 h in the furnace, and then allowed to cool to room temperature outside the furnace.

3. Results

Composite ZrB₂–MoSi₂ coatings with a thickness of 130–150 µm were deposited on the substrate. The XRD pattern of the as-received powder is given in Figure 2. It can be seen that the powder was composed of tetragonal MoSi₂, hexagonal ZrB₂, cubic Y₂O₃, and cubic Al phases (Figure 2a). After the deposition process, the monoclinic zirconia (m-ZrO₂), some hexagonal ZrB₂, and cubic Al were identified in the coating. The Y₂O₃ stabilized tetragonal phase (t-ZrO₂) was also found in the coating (Figure 2b). The spraying process was carried out in air, and the powder materials reacted with the oxygen to form new phases. ZrO₂ is a reaction product of ZrB₂ particles with oxygen during the deposition process [25,26]. MoO₃ and B₂O₃ could hardly be detected in the XRD.

Figure 2. XRD diffractograms for as-received powder (a) and as-sprayed ZrB₂–MoSi₂ coating (b).
XRD diffractograms for the coating after thermal treatment at 1500 °C in air are presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3. XRD diffractograms for ZrB2–MoSi2 coating after thermal treatment at 1500 °C in air for 1 h (a), and 6 h (b).

The reactions of the main phases in the composite coatings were shown to have a great effect on the microstructure modification. The reactions can be described as follows:

1. \[2 \text{ZrB}_2(s) + 5 \text{O}_2(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{ZrO}_2(s) + 2 \text{B}_2\text{O}_3(g)\] (1)

2. \[2 \text{MoSi}_2(s) + 7 \text{O}_2(g) \rightarrow 2 \text{MoO}_3(g) \rightarrow 4 \text{SiO}_2(s)\] (2)

The XRD patterns of the coating after treatment at 1500 °C in air for 1 h are presented in Figure 3a. It can be seen that m-ZrO2, h-(α-Al2O3), and some t-SiO2 were detected. The Y2O3 stabilized cubic phase (c-ZrO2) was found in the coating after treatment at 1500 °C in air for 1 h.

Al2O3 is a reaction product of Al particles with oxygen during heat treatment according to reaction (3):

3. \[4\text{Al}(s) + 3\text{O}_2(g) \rightarrow 2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(s)\] (3)

Alumina promotes wetting and bonding with carbon/carbon composites as well as exhibiting excellent thermal and chemical stability (melting point 2072 °C).

No new phases were formed during heat treatment at 1500 °C in air for 6 h. According to the results of an X-ray examination obtained after heat treatment at 1500 °C for 6 h (Figure 4b), the t-SiO2 phase was not detected. SiO2 can hardly be detected in XRD, because it covers the surface of grains ZrO2 and Al2O3, and the growth of grains was observed after heat treatment for 6 h (Figure 6b).

Figure 4 exhibits the cross-section micrographs of the ZrB2–MoSi2 coated substrate. In Figure 4, the cross-section of the coated sample (Figure 4a) reveals a defect-free structure showing a sufficiently dense structure containing a small amount of unmelted thick particles (partially melted area). Most of the powder particles melted (fully melted area). It was found that the shape of the fully melted area had as lamellar-like structure (typical for thermally sprayed coatings) and that of the partially melted region was non-uniform, ranging from spherical to lamella. The porosity of the coating was about 1.0%.
Alumina promotes wetting and bonding with carbon/carbon composites as well as exhibiting excellent thermal and chemical stability (melting point 2072 °C). No new phases were formed during heat treatment at 1500 °C in air for 6 h. According to the results of an X-ray examination obtained after heat treatment at 1500 °C for 6 h (Figure 4b), the t-SiO₂ phase was not detected. SiO₂ can hardly be detected in XRD, because it covers the surface of grains ZrO₂ and Al₂O₃, and the growth of grains was observed after heat treatment for 6 h (Figure 6b).

Figure 4 exhibits the cross-section micrographs of the ZrB₂–MoSi₂ coated substrate. In Figure 4, the cross-section of the coated sample (Figure 4a) reveals a defect-free structure showing a sufficiently dense structure containing a small amount of unmelted thick particles (partially melted area). Most of the powder particles melted (fully melted area). It was found that the shape of the fully melted area had a lamellar-like structure (typical for thermally sprayed coatings) and that of the partially melted region was non-uniform, ranging from spherical to lamella. The porosity of the coating was about 1.0%.

Figure 4 shows the microstructure of the fracture surface of the ZrB₂–MoSi₂ coating before, and after treatment at 1500 °C in air for 1 and 6 h. The SEM image (Figure 5a) reveals that before heat treatment, the coating was composed of a fully molten area and an insufficiently molten area. These molten phases made un-melted particles stick together. There were no voids in the insufficiently molten area. Very few pores were observed for coating materials. The SiO₂ (amorphous) phase was randomly distributed in the coating material (Figure 5a).
Figure 5 shows the microstructure of the fracture surface of the ZrB$_2$–MoSi$_2$ coating before, and after treatment at 1500 °C in air for 1 and 6 h. The SEM image (Figure 5a) reveals that before heat treatment, the coating was composed of a fully molten area and an insufficiently molten area. These molten phases made un-melted particles stick together. There were no voids in the insufficiently molten area. Very few pores were observed for coating materials. The SiO$_2$ (amorphous) phase was randomly distributed in the coating material (Figure 5a).

The coatings after heat treatment (1 and 6 h) exhibited structures without cracks, as well as a low porosity. After heat treatment at 1500 °C in air for 1 h and 6 h, the coating had porosity values below 1%. This was mainly caused by the fusion of SiO$_2$ at 1500 °C (Figure 6c), which could flow to fill the interlayer pores [27].

Changes in the coating’s microstructure were observed after heat treatment (Figures 5 and 6). The EDX results (Figure 6) indicated that the white phase (globular grains) marked as 1 was composed of ZrO$_2$, and the dark gray phase marked as 2 was composed of Al$_2$O$_3$. The Al$_2$O$_3$ phase was characterized by low dihedral angles and an irregular shape. The light gray phase was SiO$_2$ (Figure 6c).
The three phases were distributed evenly, no aggregation was observed, and there were very few pores. Glassy SiO$_2$ possesses a low oxygen diffusion coefficient at 1473 K and can reduce the velocity of oxygen through the coating [11–15]. The spray torch has a very high temperature and can melt particles. These particles were impacted on the cold substrate to form a coat in less than a second. This ultrafast speed and great temperature variation are essential conditions for glass formation. The mean grain size after heat treatment for 1 h was in the range of 0.82–1.85 µm.

The growth of grains was observed after heat treatment for 6 h (Figures 5 and 6). At high magnification (Figure 6b), it was found that the grain size was about 2.11–2.82 µm and a thin continuous silica-rich film covered their surfaces, as confirmed by EDS (Figure 6c). Silica could have played a role during heat treatment by acting as a grain lubricant for particle rearrangement.

4. Conclusions

A multi-chamber detonation accelerator (MCDS) was applied for deposition of the ZrB$_2$–MoSi$_2$ coating on carbon/carbon composites. MCDS provided the conditions for the formation of dense (porosity ~1%) and uniform coating layers. The coating with thickness of ~150 µm presented a compact structure, and no obvious holes and cracks could be found. The penetrative cracks and pores in the coating were not found before and after oxidation at 1500 °C in air for 1 and 6 h. The resistance to oxidation was ascribed to the positive effect of Y$_2$O$_3$, which stabilized ZrO$_2$, and the uniform distribution of SiO$_2$ particles, which was able to restrain the extension of the cracks and generate the shrinkage of ZrO$_2$ during heat treatment. In the framework of further research, the production and study of the high-temperature oxidation of carbon/carbon composites with ZrB$_2$–xMoSi$_2$ (x = 20, 30, 40 mol.%) coatings modified by Y$_2$O$_3$ and Al will be carried out. The results will open up new prospects for the further elaboration of new technologies for making a protective coating that can enhance the properties of carbon/carbon composites in an oxidizing atmosphere at high temperatures.
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