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Abstract

Background: Recent studies have illuminated the diversity of roles for microRNAs in cellular, developmental, and pathophysiological processes. The study of microRNAs in human liver tissue promises to clarify the therapeutic and diagnostic value of this important regulatory mechanism of gene expression.

Results: We conducted genome-wide profiling of microRNA expression in liver and performed an integrative analysis with previously collected genotype and transcriptome data. We report here that the Very Important Pharmacogenes (VIP Genes), comprising of genes of particular relevance for pharmacogenomics, are under substantial microRNA regulatory effect in the liver. We set out to elucidate the genetic basis of microRNA expression variation in liver and mapped microRNA expression to genomic loci as microRNA expression quantitative trait loci (miR-eQTLs). We identified common variants that attain genome-wide significant association (p < 10^{-10}) with microRNA expression. We also found that the miR-eQTLs are significantly more likely to predict mRNA levels at a range of p-value thresholds than a random set of allele frequency matched SNPs, showing the functional effect of these loci on the transcriptome. Finally, we show that a large number of miR-eQTLs overlap with complex traits from the NHGRI repository of published genome-wide association studies as well as variants from a comprehensive catalog of manually curated pharmacogenetic associations.

Conclusion: Our study provides important insights into the genomic architecture of gene regulation in a vital human organ, with important implications for our understanding of disease pathogenesis, therapeutic outcome, and other complex human phenotypes.
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Background

Gene expression variation has been shown to be important for the etiologies of common disorders including cancers [1], neuropsychiatric diseases [2], and various autoimmune disorders [3]. Thus, the identification of genetic polymorphisms, in the form of expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) [4], that have a functional impact on the regulation of gene expression provides a powerful means to characterize the molecular events responsible for disease pathogenesis and to inform potential therapeutic applications.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), a class of conserved non-coding RNA molecules produced by a multi-step biogenesis pathway, have been shown to be a fundamental mechanism of gene expression regulation, targeting the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of specific target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) for endonucleolytic cleavage or translational repression. In contrast to mRNAs, miRNAs are processed into duplexes by nuclear and cytosolic RNase III enzymes (Drosha and Dicer) in a maturation process. First identified in Caenorhabditis elegans [5], miRNAs have been implicated in key aspects of cellular, developmental, and pathophysiological processes. Studies have illuminated the roles of miRNAs in diverse biological phenomena, including cell proliferation and apoptosis [6], developmental timing of stage-specific cell lineages [7], the patterning of tissues in the developing...
embryo [8], and the regulation of immune response to pathogens [9].

The functional characterization of miRNAs is currently an active area of investigation. In this study, we sought to contribute to the functional understanding of miRNAs by performing genome-wide expression profiling in human liver. The liver is the primary organ in xenobiotic disposition, through a complex system involving a variety of drug transporters and metabolizing enzymes. Thus, patterns of gene expression in liver are likely to influence the systemic availability of xenobiotics, mediating downstream pharmacologic effects. Furthermore, studies of the liver transcriptome are likely to reveal important insights into liver physiology and disease processes. Recent studies have shown that miRNAs are abundant in the liver and regulate a broad spectrum of liver functions [10]. These biomolecules may serve as diagnostic markers for such liver diseases as hepatocellular cancer [11] and polycystic liver diseases [12], or as promising therapeutic targets (for example, for the chemically engineered oligonucleotides, called "antagomirs", designed to be specific silencers of endogenous miRNAs in vivo) [13].

Thus, we conducted a genome-wide integrative study of miRNAs in human liver with the purpose of clarifying their functional impact on the transcriptome and on complex human traits. We identified a comprehensive list of miRNAs abundantly expressed in liver. We sought to dissect the genetic basis of miRNA expression variation in a tissue of direct relevance to many human diseases and pharmacologic phenotypes. We applied quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping to characterize genetic regulation of miRNA expression levels as quantitative traits. Given the relevance of the tissue for drug metabolism, we identified miRNAs significantly correlated with the expression of the so-called Very Important Pharmacogenes (VIP Genes) as maintained by PharmGKB [14], comprising a list of genes of particular importance for drug response. Finally, this study provides biologic insights into certain findings from genome-wide association studies by establishing potential mechanistic links into replicated associations with a broad spectrum of complex traits.

Results
miRNA expression profiling

Genome-wide expression profiling (see Methods) identified 277 expressed miRNAs in liver, defined here as having non-missing expression values for at least 75% of the samples. Of these, 166 miRNAs had zero missing values. A missing value for a given sample may result when the calling of the particular miRNA failed. This “failure” meant that 2 or more of the 4 replicated measures of the miRNA were flagged 1 or 2 by the (Exiqon) image software, indicating that the quantified signal was below background. Alternatively, a missing value may result when the Hy3 and Hy5 signals were lower than 1.5 times that of the median signal intensity of the given slide.

The 166 miRNAs with no missing values include some of the most abundantly expressed miRNAs in liver, including miR-122, a liver-specific miRNA previously known to be expressed in liver tissue, human primary hepatocytes, and in cultured liver cells [15]. Included in these 166 miRNAs too are other miRNAs known to be abundantly expressed in adult liver tissue, including miR-16, miR-27b, miR-30d, miR-126, as well as the let-7 family of miRNAs [10]. Additional file 1: Figure S1 is a heatmap illustrating a two-way hierarchical clustering of 166 of miRNAs and samples.

miRNA expression levels negatively correlated with putative target mRNAs

Global baseline gene expression in liver on these samples was previously quantified using the Agilent 4x44 array [17] (see Methods for details). Figure 1 illustrates the distribution of p-values for the negative associations between miRNA expression and mRNA expression. (All correlation tests between miRNA expression and mRNA expression in our study involve the inverse relationship [i.e., negative beta], which is our primary interest here, unless explicitly stated.) The enrichment of low p-values among the miRNA-mRNA relationships suggests that our study is capturing some true signals and, of these, miRNAs tend to be associated with multiple miRNAs (as perhaps expected from the fact that miRNAs are known to target at least a third of all genes in the genome [18]). For multiple testing for the miRNA-mRNA (negative) correlations, we used a false discovery rate (FDR) approach [19]; we defined FDR < 0.05 as significant.

At this stringent threshold, we found 275 miRNAs (of the 277 expressed miRNAs) to be negatively correlated with mRNA expression (see Additional file 2: Table S1 for the list of the top miRNA-mRNA relationships); comparisons were made against 19,749 transcripts in this analysis. In particular, miR-122, is associated with 105 target mRNAs (all p < 10^-15). Additional file 3: Table S2 lists the most significant of the miRNA-mRNA relationships and their overlap with computational miRNA target prediction approaches, miRBase [20] and ExprTarget [21].

Furthermore, we conducted functional enrichment analyses, using DAVID [22], on the genes that showed the most significant negative correlations with miRNAs in liver (p < 10^-10) and found a highly significant excess (p = 0.02, Benjamini-Hochberg [23]) for genes (N = 25) involved in cell adhesion, characterized as the attachment of a cell, either to another cell or to an underlying substrate.
such as the extracellular matrix, via cell adhesion molecules [24].

**The genetic basis of miRNA expression in liver**

We hypothesized that miRNA expression variation may in part be due to effects of genetic polymorphisms. We therefore conducted genome-wide association studies to map miRNA expression levels to genomic loci as miR-eQTLs. First we used the increased density of interrogated SNPs from conducting imputation with Bimbam [25] as previously described [17]. Using mean imputed genotypes for nearly 2 million SNPs and miRNA expression levels for the identified expressed genes in liver, we performed QTL mapping on each miRNA. Given our sample size, we considered only those SNPs that meet the minor allele frequency threshold of 15% in our QTL mapping. We used a strict Bonferroni threshold (based on the number of SNPs and the number of miRNAs tested, \( p < 10^{-10} \)) to define a significant "trans" association. Despite this stringent threshold, we nevertheless found a genome-wide significant set of miR-eQTLs, including rs263418 for miR-938 (\( p = 8.8 \times 10^{-13} \)), rs2999200 for miR-200c (\( p = 6.9 \times 10^{-11} \)), and rs11088818 and miR-10b (\( p = 4.3 \times 10^{-13} \)). At a suggestive threshold (\( p < 10^{-8} \)), we found 39 miRNAs (14% of all tested) to be associated with SNP genotypes (\( N = 18 \)).

**miR-eQTLs are enriched for mRNA eQTLs**

We sought to further functionally characterize the miR-eQTLs obtained from our genome-wide mapping analysis. Our group had previously conducted whole-genome gene expression profiling in a larger set (\( N = 206 \)) of these liver samples, which allowed us to determine to what extent the identified miR-eQTLs influence global gene expression as mRNA eQTLs [17]. In this previously reported eQTL study, 1,787 genes were found to have significant cis-linked genetic effects on expression levels, a large proportion of which were replicated in two other independent collections of human liver; furthermore, 353 gene expression traits were found to have significant trans eQTLs. We devised a simulation procedure to test for enrichment of miRNA eQTLs among miR-eQTLs. We asked whether SNPs associated with miRNA expression (minor allele frequency > 15%, \( p < 10^{-6} \)) are enriched for SNPs associated with mRNA expression (defined as \( p < 10^{-5} \)).

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1** Histogram of P-values for the negative associations between miRNA expression and mRNA expression. Note the enrichment for low p-values, suggesting the presence of true signals.
the miRNA-associated SNPs) as controls, we generated the empirical null distribution for the overlap count with the mRNA-associated SNPs. We observed that the miRNA-associated SNPs are more likely to be mRNA-associated (Additional file 4: Figure S2; enrichment p = 0.049) than a random set of allele frequency matched SNPs.

miR-eQTLs and replicated associations from genome-wide association studies of disease susceptibility and quantitative traits

We hypothesized that the results of our miR-eQTL mapping might help to clarify many of the associations found in the NHGRI catalog of published genome-wide association analyses, most of which have been validated in a subsequent replication study. For the definition of miRNA-associated SNPs, we chose the liberal threshold p < 10^{-4}, because we were interested in functionally annotating SNPs with information on miRNA expression and, furthermore, the SNPs had prior information on association with complex human phenotype. Additional file 5: Table S3 lists the overlap between miRNA eQTLs and GWAS SNPs; for every trait-associated SNP, it shows the target miRNAs, the p-value for the SNP-miRNA association, and the direction of effect. We found miRNA-associated SNPs for a broad spectrum of complex traits, including serum uric acid, QT-interval, pulmonary function, cognitive performance, weight and height, as well as a list of complex diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, myocardial infarction, and multiple sclerosis. We found no excess of a particular direction of effect (plus or minus) for these trait- and miRNA-associated SNPs; that is, there is no tendency for the “risk allele” to be associated with lower or higher miRNA expression. Of note however, among these SNPs, we found several which were associated with the expression levels of multiple miRNAs (Additional file 5: Table S3), which were thus annotated to the same complex trait. This latter observation raised the hypothesis that trait- and miRNA-associated SNPs may indeed be more likely to regulate...
the expression levels of multiple miRNAs than allele frequency matched SNPs. Simulation analyses using 1000 randomly generated sets of SNPs (matching the minor allele frequency distribution of the trait- and miRNA-associated SNPs) in fact confirmed this to be the case (enrichment p = 0.01).

We asked whether the trait-associated SNPs in the NHGRI catalog are enriched for miRNA associations in liver. Figure 4 is a QQ plot that shows a significant excess of miRNA regulatory signals among the NHGRI catalog SNPs. The blue dots depict the distribution of miRNA association p-values for the trait-associated SNPs from the NHGRI catalog. The QQ plot includes all (tested) association p-values between trait-associated SNPs and miRNA expression (in particular, regardless of the directional effect of miRNA-mRNA pairings since this analysis is specifically concerned with identifying miRNA associations for the NHGRI catalog SNPs whether or not the miRNA regulates an mRNA and whether or not the miRNA is co-expressed with certain mRNAs in the tissue). Furthermore, the departure from expectation is observed for only the most significant SNP-miRNA pairs.

VIP Genes and miRNA Regulation
Given the aforementioned crucial role of liver in xenobiotic metabolism, we hypothesized that identifying genetic variations influencing miRNA expression, which in turn regulates the expression of the specific target mRNA(s) of the corresponding VIP gene(s), should highlight polymorphisms (and thereby associated genetic-based mechanisms) with potential functional impact, at the pharmacodynamic or pharmacokinetic level, on drug response. Pursuing this hypothesis, we first conducted a comprehensive evaluation of the association between miRNA expression and mRNA expression for each of the VIP genes. We conducted random sampling (n = 1,000) of the same size as that of the VIP genes and found, on the basis of comparisons of the
We investigated the miRNA effect ($\beta$) on the VIP genes relative to the global distribution of effect sizes for the negative associations (FDR $< 0.05$) between miRNAs and mRNAs (Additional file 7: Figure S3). This distribution showed a mean of $\mu = -1.21$ and standard deviation of $\sigma = 1.05$. We then considered those VIP genes with $\beta < -4$ to identify pharmacogenes showing substantial miRNA regulatory effect from the most significant of the miRNA-mRNA relationships (FDR $< 0.05$). We identified 12 (of 41) such VIP genes: $PTGIS$, $KCNH2$, $GSTP1$, $ADRB1$, $NQO1$, $ADRB2$, $F5$, $ABCB1$, $MTHFR$, $BRCA1$, $SLCO1B1$, and $DPYD$. This represents a significantly higher proportion relative to what is expected genome-wide (5%), demonstrating that these pharmacogenes are enriched for large miRNA regulatory effects.

Clinical Associations in Pharmacogenetics and miR-eQTLs

Since the liver is the most important site of drug metabolism and excretion, we asked to what extent the identified miR-eQTLs may be used to clarify the mechanistic role of published genetic associations in a broad spectrum of pharmacologic traits. We thus compiled a list of such pharmacogenetic associations from PharmGKB (http://www.pharmgkb.org) [28] and from our own curation [29]. These clinical annotations are classified according to the strength of evidence for the association [28]. Level 1 requires replication in populations of at least 1,000 cases and 1,000 controls of the same ethnicity and corrected p-value $< 0.05$. Among these level-1 variants are the established associations rs12248560 ($CYP2C19$) for clopidogrel, rs1057910 ($CYP2C9$) and rs9923231 ($VKORC1$) for warfarin, and rs776746 ($CYP3A5$) for cyclosporine. Level 2 annotations require corrected p-value $< 0.05$ and at least one population of at least 100 although the variant may or may not be replicated. Among these are rs2284017 ($CACNG2$) for lithium (as treatment for Bipolar Disorder), rs1801252 ($ADRB1$) for atenolol (Coronary Artery Disease), and rs429358 ($APO1$, $APOE$) for ritonavir (HIV, HIV infections, Hyperlipidemias). Level 3 falls short of level 2 criteria due to sample size or p-value, or because the evidence is based on in vitro/pharmacokinetic (PK) support only. Consistent with this evidence-based annotation, we incorporated published results from genome-wide association studies of a wide array of chemotherapeutic agents, as cataloged in a public resource PACdb [29] we created. In total, 480 SNPs from all three levels were included.

We found that the clinical associations are enriched (enrichment p $< 0.05$) for miRNA-associated SNPs (p $< 0.001$) relative to frequency-matched SNPs. For example, SNPs that show evidence for regulating the expression of miRNAs in liver include several replicated clinical associations with response to chemotherapeutic agents.
including rs9332377 (cisplatin; miR-619) [30] and rs4880 (cyclophosphamide; miR-199a-5p, miR-376a, miR-450a, miR-590-5p) [31]. We hypothesized that the miRNAs associated with these pharmacogenetic variants have significantly higher regulatory effect on their target genes. Additional file 8: Figure S4 compares the distribution of effect sizes on target mRNAs for the miRNAs associated (p < 0.001) with the pharmacogenetic variants and the remaining expressed miRNAs, indicating the larger effect sizes (in absolute value) of the former (p = 0.042, t-test). The larger effect sizes (in magnitude) on the target genes for the miRNAs associated with these pharmacogenetic associations become more significant (p = 0.0086) when we restrict only to those variants (N = 192) with the highest level of evidence (level 1 and level 2).

Experimental Confirmation of Gene Expression, miRNA-mRNA Correlation and miR-eQTLs

We chose two miRNAs (miR-148a and miR-185a), two mRNAs (PTGIS and ADRB2), and two miR-eQTLs (rs6551952 and rs1220) for additional experimental confirmation. In the aforementioned analysis, miR-148a and miR-185a were significantly inversely correlated with PTGIS (p = 1.14 × 10^{-8}) and ADRB2 (p = 5.02 × 10^{-5}), respectively, while rs6551952 and rs1220 were significantly associated with miR-148a (p = 1.97 × 10^{-7}) and miR-185a (p = 1.72 × 10^{-7}), respectively. The two miRNAs and two mRNAs were quantified using Quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) in the samples for which RNA was still available (n = 53). We found that the correlations between the Q-PCR and microarray data in gene expression were generally high (r ≥ 0.48) (Table 1, Figure 6). In these 53 samples, both the correlation coefficient and the direction of the correlation between the miRNAs and the mRNAs were quite similar (Table 1). In confirming the two miR-eQTLs, there was only a limited number of samples in the heterozygote genotype class (n = 2) and the rare-allele homozygote genotype class (n = 2) at the rs6551952 locus. However, we did observe similar correlations between the genotype at rs1220 and the expression of miR-185a when comparing the Q-PCR and the microarray data (Table 1, Figure 6E and F).

Table 1 Confirmation of miRNA/mRNA expression, the miRNA-mRNA correlation and the miR-eQTLs

| Gene or SNP Correlation (n = 53) | Q-PCR | Microarray | Replication r | Replication p | Original r | Original p |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| miR-148a vs PTGIS             | −0.36 | 0.016      | −0.36         | 0.012        |
| miR-185a vs ADRB2             | −0.43 | 0.003      | −0.46         | 0.001        |

The correlation coefficient (r) and statistical significance (p) of the comparisons between Q-PCR data and the original microarray data are shown. Analyses were based on the samples for which RNA was available (n = 53).

Discussion

In this study, we performed large-scale integration of genomic information, transcriptome data, and miRNAome profiling in human liver. The resulting integrative map offers the possibility of identifying key regulatory pathways involved in disease biology and therapeutic outcome. Genome annotation of global mRNA and miRNA expression in this tissue should facilitate explorations of the complex interrelationships between genetic variation, the regulome consisting of the regulatory nodes and networks underlying biological function, and complex traits (pathophysiology and pharmacogenomic traits). The resource we have created expands on earlier studies of the heritability of miRNA expression in (transformed) lymphocytes [32] and of the utility of eQTL mapping in a variety of tissues for the identification of disease-associated genes [33,34].

This study presents a genome-wide analysis of miRNA expression in human liver, with a primary focus on understanding miRNA regulatory effects on the transcriptome, but also a special emphasis on obtaining pharmacogenomic insights from an exploration of gene regulation in the tissue of key importance for drug metabolism and excretion. Consistent with studies that show that miRNAs target a third of the genome [18], we found that 275 miRNAs are inversely correlated (FDR < 0.05) with the expression levels of 5,767 genes. This finding implies that miRNA expression in liver is likely to be altered by multiple miRNAs. In particular, we identified several miRNAs that significantly downregulate (FDR < 0.05) the so-called VIP genes, which are key pharmacogenes involved in modulating response to one or more drugs; these miRNAs are thus likely to have a significant pharmacodynamic or pharmacokinetic influence on drug response phenotypes. For example, miR-23b, which has been implicated in liver stem cell
differentiation [35], significantly downregulates the expression of multiple VIP genes (FDR < 0.05), including F5, ADRB1, GSTP1, KCNH2, KCNJ11, NQO1, and PTGIS. In addition, we have shown that these important pharmacogenes are significantly enriched for large miRNA regulatory effects in liver, compared to genome-wide expectation.

We found, in a gene set enrichment analysis, that the genes with the most significant negative correlations with miRNAs in liver were enriched for cell adhesion molecules. Regulation of cell adhesion molecules has been shown to play a role in the pathogenesis of many human diseases [36] as well as in normal cellular and organismal homeostasis [37]. A growing body of literature (for review, see Commentary in [37]) has demonstrated the crucial role of miRNAs in four major adhesion processes: cytoskeletal dynamics, cell-cell adhesion, cell-matrix adhesion, and extracellular matrix; interestingly, as Robert Weinberg et al. [37] noted, those miRNAs that target genes belonging to more than one of these adhesion processes are notably the same miRNAs that have been implicated in various human diseases [38-40].

Our study demonstrates that miRNA expression in human liver has a significant genetic component. Most investigations of the effect of genetic variation on miRNAs have so far been focused on genetic influence (e.g., 3′UTR SNPs) on miRNA target site recognition. Our study sought evidence for the role of genetic variation in modulating miRNA expression variation.

Importantly, our findings can be integrated into studies of complex phenotypes from genome-wide association studies and sequencing efforts. We investigated published associations between genetic variation and disease susceptibility or quantitative traits from the

Figure 6 Comparison of the miRNA expression (panel A), mRNA expression (panel B), and miRNA-mRNA correlations (panels C and D) between the replication (Q-PCR, labeled as "rep") and the original (microarray, labeled as "ori") datasets as well as confirmation of a microarray-identified miR-eQTL (panels E and F). Data were plotted only for the samples with total RNA available (n = 53).
NHGRI repository. For example, we identified two SNPs, rs4598195 and rs4730276, that have been found to be associated with ulcerative colitis [41,42] and that predict the expression of hsa-miR-629; remarkably, hsa-miR-629 has been independently found to be differentially expressed in ulcerative colitis [43]. Two independent SNPs, rs7191888 on chromosome 16 and rs10259085 on chromosome 7, are reported associations with multiple sclerosis [44] and associated with the expression of hsa-miR-126 respectively in our dataset. A SNP rs6085920 has been associated with serum uric acid [45] and is associated with the expression of miRNA hsa-miR-141, which has been proposed as a therapeutic target for the prevention of progressive kidney disease [46].

In a similar vein, we systematically investigated published clinical pharmacogenetic associations for their role in regulating miRNA expression. A SNP rs4888024 was found to be associated with end-of-induction minimal residual disease in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia from 2 independent cohorts and higher methotrexate clearance [47]. Leukemias with rearrangement of the MLL gene have been shown to be characterized by the absence of hsa-miR-340 expression [48]. Our miR-eQTL data support a relationship (p = 8.5x10^{-9}) between rs4888024 and the expression of hsa-miR-340. Furthermore, a SNP rs730012 (in LTC4S) has been found to be associated with exacerbation rates in asthma patients treated with montelukast [49]. Our data support a relationship between this SNP and the expression of miR-146b (p = 3.89x10^{-4}), which has been implicated in asthma pathogenesis in murine models of acute and chronic asthma [50]. As the detailed mechanisms underlying numerous genotype-phenotype correlations in both disease genomics and pharmacogenomics remain largely unknown, our study provides important hypotheses for future investigations. To this end, we make the results of our study available to the scientific community through an online public resource [51].

Using Q-PCR, we were able to confirm the expression of two miRNAs and two mRNAs, the inverse correlations between these miRNAs and mRNAs, and an identified miR-eQTL, despite the limited sample size due to the availability of RNA. Although the biological interactions between the miRNAs and the mRNAs will require extensive experimental validation (e.g. cloning, transfection etc.), our study generates, in aggregate, numerous hypotheses that warrant continued investigations and that may have substantial impact on the study of human diseases as well as on pharmacogenetics.

Conclusion

Our comprehensive catalog of miR-eQTLs in liver suggests numerous functional links to important disease traits and drug response phenotypes. The discovery of genetic variations that influence miRNA expression (and thus the expression of mRNA targets) facilitates a genomic annotation approach that is likely to lead to more robust associations between variants and complex human phenotypes.

Methods

mRNA expression analysis

Gene expression profiling in liver was done on 206 samples. The liver samples were mostly derived from donor livers not used for whole organ transplants. The study described here was made possible by liver samples from deceased anonymous individuals; thus, for the purpose of this study, the utilized livers did not involve “human subjects.” Genotyping on these samples was performed on the Illumina Human 610 quad beadchip platform (GPL8887) with Bimbam, as previously described [17]. Array hybridizations using the Agilent 4x44 arrays were conducted at The University of Chicago according to manufacturer’s instructions. The quantification of signals, the normalization approach used, and other quality control procedures performed as well as the subsequent mRNA-level analyses, including the covariate modeling, surrogate variable analysis [52], and eQTL mapping, were previously described [17]. The mRNA data have been deposited into Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE28893).

Samples

MiRNA expression was measured in 79 of the liver samples using the Exiqon miRCURY™ LNA Array v10.0 (for approximately 850 miRNAs) (Exiqon, Inc., Denmark). These 79 samples were a subset of the 206 liver tissue samples used for the mRNA expression profiling. The collection of samples from the Liver Tissue Cell Distribution System (funded by NIH #N01-DK-7-0004/HHSN267200700004C and by the Cooperative Human Tissue Network) was approved by the institutional review boards (IRBs); The University of Chicago IRB also approved the use of the samples for the study described here.

miRNA expression profiling

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent according to manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), followed by RNeasy Mini Kit cleanup (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Cleanup protocol was modified to preserve microRNA (modification instructions provided by Exiqon). Sample RNA quality control was performed using Bioanalyzer2100. In addition to the rRNA ratio (s28/s18), the bioanalyzer evaluates the quality of the RNA using RNA Integrity Number (RIN); RIN > 7 was used as threshold. Array hybridizations were performed by Exiqon. Quantified signals were
background corrected through normexp with offset value 10 based on a convolution model [53]. Normalization of quantified signals was done using the global Lowess (LOcally WEighted Scatterplot Smoothing) regression algorithm [54]. Quantified miRNA expression levels were log2-transformed.

miRNA-mRNA associations

Linear regression analyses were performed between the log2-transformed miRNA (n = 277) expression and the quantile-normalized mRNA (n = 19,749) expression. The distribution of p-values for those comparisons with a negative correlation coefficient was plotted, showing an enrichment towards low p-values for the miRNA-mRNA negative correlation coefficient. For multiple testing adjustment, an FDR enrichment towards low p-values for the miRNA-mRNA associations was done using the global Lowess (LOcally WEighted Scatterplot Smoothing) regression algorithm [54]. Normalization of allele frequency distribution of the miRNA-associated SNPs yields an empirical null distribution, allowing us to determine the expected overlap count. The proportion of the simulated sets with overlap count that matches or exceeds the actual observed overlap between the miRNA-associated SNPs and the mRNA-associated SNPs provides an empirical p-value for the enrichment.

Q-PCR Confirmation

To confirm the expression of select miRNAs and mRNAs, the correlations between the miRNAs and mRNAs, and the miR-eQTLs, quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) studies of two miRNAs (miR-148a and miR-185a) and two mRNAs (PTGIS and ADRB2) were conducted. We performed correlation analyses between the Q-PCR and microarray data, between the miRNAs and mRNAs, and between the miR-eQTLs and the miRNAs. The Q-PCR confirmation was performed in the samples for which total RNA was still available (n = 53). Q-PCR for miRNAs was performed with Taqman MicroRNA Assays (Invitrogen, CA, USA) using ViiA™ 7 Real-Time PCR System (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The U6 gene (RNU6B) was used as an internal control. Q-PCR for the two mRNA genes was conducted using iQ™ SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) according to the protocol developed in our previous study [56]. The ribosomal 18S RNA gene was used as an internal control for the normalization of the miRNA expression. Primer sequences for PTGIS and ADRB2 genes are: PTGIS_F: 5′-CAGCTCCACGTCC AAGTGCA-3′, PTGIS_R: 5′-CACGTGCCTGGGGAGG AGTTAT-3′; and ADRB2_F: 5′-GGACTTCCATT GATGTGCTGT-3′, ADRB2_R: 5′-GTCAGCGAGGCTC TGGTAATTG-3′, respectively. Annealing temperature used for the Q-PCR reactions for both genes was 65°C. The relative expression levels between the quantified miRNA or mRNA genes and the respective internal control genes were used in the data analyses.

Correlation analyses were conducted using the SPSS 20.0 program (SPSS Inc., IL, USA), and data were plotted using Graphpad Prism 6.0 (Graphpad Software, CA, USA). P < 0.05 was used as a cut-off for statistical significance.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. A heatmap illustrating a two-way hierarchical clustering of miRNAs and samples.

Additional file 2: Table S1. The most significant miRNA-mRNA associations and overlap with computational miRNA prediction approaches.

Additional file 3: Table S2. The miRNA-associated SNPs are more likely to be mRNA-associated than a random set of allele frequency matched SNPs.
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Additional file 5: Table S3. NHGRI Catalog SNPs and miRNA associations.

Additional file 6: Table S4. Significant associations between miRNAs and VIP genes.

Additional file 7: Figure S3. A comparison of miRNA effect on VIP genes and the global distribution of effect sizes for the negative associations (FDR<0.05) between miRNAs and miRNAs.

Additional file 8: Figure S4. A comparison of the distribution of effect sizes on target mRNA for the miRNAs associated (p < 0.001) with the pharmacogenetic variants and the remaining expressed miRNAs.
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