Identification and Characterization of the Bioactive Polyphenols and Volatile Compounds in Sea Buckthorn Leaves Tea Together With Antioxidant and α-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activities
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Sea buckthorn leaves have been used for tea making in food field gradually. This study was carried out to characterize the bioactive polyphenols and volatile compounds in sea buckthorn leaves (SL), sea buckthorn leaves green tea (SGT), and sea buckthorn leaves black tea (SBT) by using high-performance liquid chromatography with an UV detector (HPLC-UV), the liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), and headspace solid-phase microextraction coupled with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (HS-SPME-GC-MS), in combination with multivariate analysis. A total of 48 non-volatile metabolites and 295 volatile metabolites were identified. Then, the total polyphenol and total flavonoid contents in SL, SGT, and SBT were also analyzed. Moreover, SL and SGT showed greater antioxidant activities based on 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), and oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) results. At the concentration of 0.1 mg/ml, their DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging ratios were 66 to 95%, while SBT exhibited lower antioxidant activity of 26 to 44%. SL, SGT, and SBT displayed moderate α-glucosidase inhibitory activity.
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INTRODUCTION

Hippophae rhamnoides L., commonly called as sea buckthorn, is a hardy deciduous shrub or tree widely distributed in northwest, northeast, and southwest of China. Its fruits have a variety of effects, including relieving cough, eliminating phlegm, strengthening spleen, eliminating food, promoting blood circulation, and disperses stasis (1). Extensive studies have shown that the leaves and fruits contain rich of bioactive substances, such as flavonoids, phenolic compounds, and ascorbic acid, which are expected to exert strong antioxidant effects (2). Furthermore, the total
contents of polyphenol and flavonoids in sea buckthorn leaves are higher than that in the fruits (3). However, the sea buckthorn leaves resources have not being used properly. China has the richest natural sea buckthorn germplasm resources in the world, as well as the largest area under artificial cultivation, with a total planting area of 2.15 million ha². Several studies have shown that the sea buckthorn leaf is a non-toxic substance, the LD₅₀ of its extract was more than 10 g/kg body weight of rats (4). Simultaneously, sea buckthorn leaves have been listed as a new resource for food management in 2013 in China. Sea buckthorn leaves have more advantages in developing functional food, owing to their high content of active ingredients. It has been developed into tea products in food field gradually. It is of great significance to determine their phytochemicals and bioactivities for promoting the full development and utilization of sea buckthorn leaves resources.

Processing methods are considered to be the main factors affecting the biotransformation of phytochemicals in tea, leading to the diversity of tea flavor and bioactivity (4). However, the non-volatile components and volatile organic components (VOCs) are not clearly in sea buckthorn leaves tea from different processing. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) has been widely used in the phytochemical study, due to its high resolution and sensitivity (5). As one of the most commonly used methods for fingerprint analysis, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) has the advantages of fast analysis, high separation efficiency, and wide application (6). Aroma is one of the important factors in the evaluation of tea quality. The headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) has obvious advantage of simple operation, high sensitivity, and no secondary pollution. HS-SPME combined with gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) is one of the most widely used detection technologies in tea aroma compounds analysis (7). The multivariate statistical analysis can simplify the dimension of high-dimensional and complex data on the basis of retaining the original information to the greatest extent and establish a reliable mathematical model to summarize the characteristics of the metabolic spectrum of the study object. The multivariate statistical analyses, such as principal component analysis (PCA), hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), and orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA), have been performed to determine the different metabolites (8).

In this study, to verify the differences of non-volatile and volatile metabolites in SL, SGT, and SBT, twelve batches of sea buckthorn leaves tea were analyzed by HPLC, LC-MS, and HS-SPME-GC-MS. To the best of our knowledge, the non-volatile and volatile metabolites profile, together with their antioxidant and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities of sea buckthorn leaves tea were first reported.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Materials

Isoquercetin (>98%) and narcissoside (>98%) were purchased from Chengdu MadDesheng Technology Corporation Ltd. Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside (>98%) and quercetin (>98%) were purchased from Chengdu Pufield Biotechnology Corporation Ltd. Epigallocatechin (>98%), epicatechin (>98%), querectin (>98%), isorhamnetin (>98%), epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) (>98%), (-)-epicatechin gallate (ECG) (>98%), and corosolic acid (>98%) were purchased from Shanghai Shidande Biotechnology Corporation Ltd. Methanol and acetonitrile were LC/MS grade and purchased from Merck Company (Germany). 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), α-glucosidase, 3,4,5-trihydroxybenzoic acid (gallic acid), butylated hydroxyl toluene (BHT), ascorbic acid (vitamin C), 2,2’-azobis(2-methylpropionamide) dihydrochloride (AAPH), 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox), fluorescein, and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were purchased from Solabio Technology Corporation Ltd.

Twelve tea samples (Supplementary Table S1), including SL, SGT, and SBT, were purchased from a company in Xining, China. The processing technology of sea buckthorn leaves tea as following: the fresh sea buckthorn leaves were naturally dried at room temperature after picking. SGT were made from fresh sea buckthorn leaves by rolling, screening, drying, while fresh sea buckthorn leaves were made into SBT by withering, rolling, fermentation, and drying process. All the samples were identified as sea buckthorn leaves tea (No.202109001-No.202109012) by Zhigang Yang, which were stored in the School of Pharmacy Lanzhou University. The images of sea buckthorn leaves tea samples are shown in Figure 1.

Sample Preparation

All the dried sea buckthorn tea samples were crushed milled into sieve (50 meshes). 0.25 g of tea power was weighed and placed into a plug colorimetric tube. Then, 25 ml of 70% methanol was added for ultrasonic extraction for 30 min at 30°C using a ultrasonic cleaner (KQ5200E, Kunshan Ultrasonic Instruments Corporation Ltd., China). The extract was centrifuged at 13,000 rpm/min for 2 min. Afterward, the supernatants filtered through a 0.22-µm nylon filter for LC-MS and HPLC analysis. The filtrate was diluted for 100 times with methanol to prepare sample solution for total polyphenol content (TPC), total flavonoid content (TFC), antioxidant, and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities.

Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Analysis

The LC-MS analysis of tea samples was based on the previous methods (9). The column was CORTECS UHPLC C18 Column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.6 µm), Waters, USA. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% aqueous formic acid (A) and 0.1% acetonitrile (B); the gradient elution was as follows: 0–2 min, 7% B; 2–3 min, 7–12% B; 3–8 min, 12–18% B; 8–12 min, 18–60% B; 12–13 min, 60–100% B; and 13–14 min, 100% B. Samples (2 µl) were eluted at 0.3 ml/min and the column oven was kept at 36°C. Mass spectrometry conditions were as follows: electrospray ion source (ESI), negative ion detection mode acquisition, and scanning range of m/z 100–1,700. ESI source parameters were as follows: dry gas (N₂) flow rate of 5 ml/min, dry gas temperature of 300°C, atomization gas pressure of 35 psig, capillary voltage of 3,500 V, nozzle voltage of 1,000 V, capillary outlet voltage of 400 V, and
The OPLS-DA model was validated by permutation tests. The SIMCA 14.1 software (Umetrics, Umea, Sweden) was used to analyze the metabolic pattern, which is a supervised pattern recognition used for analyzing the intrinsic variation of the data. Principal component analysis (PCA) is an unsupervised pattern recognition that can observe the data set and display the similarities and differences. Orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) is used to analyze the metabolic pattern, which is a supervised pattern recognition used for analyzing the intrinsic variation of the data. R²Y and Q²Y of the model represent model interpretability of variable Y and predictability, respectively. The OPLS-DA model was validated by permutation tests (n = 200).

**High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Fingerprint Analysis**

The HPLC system consisted of EasySep-3030 liquid chromatography, EasySep-3030 UV detector, AS-2000 automatic sampler, EasySep-3030 binary pump, and C18 column (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5 µm), which were purchased from Shanghai Tong Micro Company. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A) and 0.4% (v/v) phosphate water (B). The gradient elution was as follows: 0–40 min, 10–20% A; 40–50 min, 20–40% A; and 50–60 min, 40–80% A. Samples (10 µl) were eluted at 0.8 ml/min and the column temperature was maintained at 30°C. The detection wavelength was 280 nm.

High-performance liquid chromatography chromatographic data of 12 batches of tea samples were imported in cdf format into the “TCM Chromatography Fingerprint Similarity Software Evaluation System (2012 version)” software developed by the National Pharmacopeia Commission, the average method was used into the “TCM Chromatography Fingerprint Similarity Software Evaluation System (2012 version)” software developed by the National Pharmacopeia Commission, the average method was used into the “TCM Chromatography Fingerprint Similarity Software Evaluation System (2012 version)” software developed by the National Pharmacopeia Commission, the average method was used.

**Data Processing**

The SIMCA 14.1 software (Umetrics, Umea, Sweden) was used for a series of multivariate data analysis. Principal component analysis (PCA) is an unsupervised pattern recognition that can observe the data set and display the similarities and differences. Orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) is used to analyze the metabolic pattern, which is a supervised pattern recognition used for analyzing the intrinsic variation of the data. R²Y and Q²Y of the model represent model interpretability of variable Y and predictability, respectively. The OPLS-DA model was validated by permutation tests (n = 200).

**Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction Coupled With Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Analysis**

1 g of the tea powder was transferred to a 20-ml Headspace Vial (Agilent, Palo Alto, California, USA), Containing NaCl Saturated Solution. The Vials Were Sealed Using Crimp-Top Caps With Tetrafluoroethylene (TFE)-Silicone Headspace Septa (Agilent). At the Time of SPME Analysis, Each Vial Was Placed in 100°C for 5 min and Then a 120-µm Divinylbenzene/Carboxen/Polydimethylsiloxane Fiber (Agilent) Was Exposed to the Headspace of the Sample for 15 min at 100°C.

After sampling, desorption of the volatile organic compounds (VOCs) headspace from the fiber coating was carried out in the injection port of the GC apparatus (Model 8890, Agilent) at 250°C for 5 min in the splitless mode. The identification and quantification of VOCs were carried out using an Agilent Model 8890 GC and a 5977B mass spectrometer (Agilent), equipped with a DB-5MS (5% phenyl-polydimethylsiloxane) capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm). Helium was used as the carrier gas at a linear velocity of 1.2 ml/min. The injector temperature was kept at 250°C and the detector temperature was kept at 280°C. The oven temperature was programmed from 40°C (3.5 min), increasing at 10°C/min to 100°C, at 7°C/min to 180°C, at 25°C/min to 280°C, hold for 5 min. Mass spectra were recorded in electron impact (EI) ionization mode at 70 eV. The quadrupole mass detector, ion source, and transfer line temperatures were set, respectively, at 150, 230, and 280°C. Mass spectra were scanned in the range m/z 50–500 at 1 s intervals.

The volatile compounds were identified by comparing the mass spectra with the data system library [Metware gas chromatography (MWGC) or National institute of standards and technology (NIST)] and linear retention index. The data were unit variance scaled before unsupervised PCA. Significantly regulated metabolites between the groups were determined by Variable importance in the projection (VIP) ≥ 1 and absolute log2FC (fold change) ≥ 1. VIP values were extracted from OPLS-DA result, which also contain score plots and permutation plots, generated using...
R package MetaboAnalystR. The data was log transform (log 2) and mean centering before OPLS-DA. In order to avoid overfitting, a permutation test (200 permutations) was performed.

**Determination of Total Polyphenol Content**

Total polyphenol content (TPC) was quantified using the Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric assay with gallic acid as the standard (10). Briefly, 100 µl sample solution was mixed with 50 µl Folin-Ciocalteu’s phenol solution (0.5 N). After 5 min, 100 µl Na₂CO₃ (75 mg/ml) solution was added to avoid light reaction. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a microplate reader after 60 min.

**Determination of Total Flavonoid Content**

Total flavonoid content (TFC) was determined using the colorimetric method with rutin as the standard (11). Briefly, 20 µl sample solution and 20 µl Na₂NO₂ (30 mg/ml) were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 6 min. Then, 20 µl Al(NO₃)₃ solution (60 mg/ml) was added and reacted for 6 min. Afterward, 140 µl NaOH solution was added and mixed well. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm using a microplate reader after 30 min.

**Antioxidant Activity**

The antioxidant activities were investigated by DPPH, ABTS, and oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assays according the method described with some modifications (12). Briefly, 100 µl sample solution was taken to a 96-well plate and 200 µl ABTS solution was added to mix thoroughly. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a microplate reader after 60 min.

**Statistical Analysis**

Total polyphenol content, total flavonoid content, antioxidant activity, and α-glucosidase inhibitory activity of SL, SGT, and SBT were separated using the Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test after one-way ANOVA. Data were presented as mean ± SD, calculated from three replicates. All the statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software version 22.0.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Results of the Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Analysis**

Non-Volatile metabolites in sea buckthorn leaves tea samples were identified according to authentic standards and comparisons with the literature (13, 14). A total of 48 compounds were identified in SL, SGT, and SBT, including 11 tannins, 27 flavonoids, and 4 phenolic acids (Table 1). Tea polyphenols, the main active components in tea, such as galloatechicin, epigallocatechin, catechin, epicatechin, epigallocatechin gallate, and (-)-epicatechin gallate, were also detected in sea buckthorn leaves tea. Recent studies have well documented that polyphenols have the benefits for human health with antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, anticancer, antcardiovascular, antimicrobial, antihyperglycemic, and antiobesity properties (15). The sea buckthorn leaves tea is mainly composed of flavonoid glycosides withisorhamnetin, quercetin, and kaempferol as the aglycone and hydrolyzed tannins. Previous studies also have shown that sea buckthorn leaves contain a large amount of flavonol glycosides ofisorhamnetin and quercetin derivatives and ellagic tannins (16, 17). In the total ion current chromatograms, most of the peak of SBT rapid decreased, such as galloatechicin, catechin, stachyurin, quercetin dihexoside, hippophaenin B, and epicatechin dramatically declined (Figure 2 and Table 1). The content of flavonoid glycosides in sea buckthorn leaves decreased during black tea processing, suggesting that the processing technology of green tea had little effect on
| No. | RT(min) | [M-H]⁻ (m/z) | Formula | MS/MS ions | Tentative identification |
|-----|---------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|
| 1   | 0.843   | 191.0569 C8H12O6 | 137,155,111 | Quinic acid |
| 2   | 1.024   | 331.0681 C13H16O13 | 271,169,125 | Gallic acid hexoside |
| 3   | 1.128   | 169.0149 C7H6O5 | 125 | Gallic acid |
| 4   | 1.462   | 305.0673 C10H12O7 | 287,261,219,179,137 | Gallicatechin |
| 5   | 2.323   | 783.0718 C24H26O22 | 301,481 | Pedunculagin |
| 6   | 2.654   | 305.0673 C10H12O7 | 287,261,219,179,137 | Epigallocatechin * |
| 7   | 3.039   | 577.1366 C20H18O12 | 425,407,289,125 | Procyanidin B2 |
| 8   | 3.341   | 577.1366 C20H18O12 | 425,407,289,125 | B-type procyanidin dimer |
| 9   | 3.449   | 183.0304 C13H6O5 | 124 | Methyl gallate |
| 10  | 3.532   | 289.0725 C10H12O6 | 245,205,179 | Catechin |
| 11  | 4.309   | 633.0757 C27H22O18 | 463,301,275,169 | Gallic-hexahydroxybenzoyl-hexose |
| 12  | 4.311   | 935.0840 C41H28O26 | 633,275 | Stachyurin |
| 13  | 5.123   | 625.1432 C27H22O17 | 463,301 | Quercetin-dihexoside |
| 14  | 5.321   | 1103.0907 C48H32O21 | 1059,935,787,633 | Hippophaein B |
| 15  | 5.556   | 785.0670 C24H22O22 | 633,483,300 | Tellimagrandin I |
| 16  | 5.802   | 289.0725 C10H12O6 | 271,245,205,179,165 | Epicatechin* |
| 17  | 6.001   | 457.0790 C22H16O11 | 331,305,169 | Epigallocatechin gallate* |
| 18  | 6.084   | 635.0914 C27H22O18 | 483,465,313 | 1,2,3,6-Tri-O-galloyl-β-D-glucopyranose |
| 19  | 6.249   | 755.2069 C23H24O20 | 609,431,285,227 | Kaempferol-3-O-sophorose-7-O-rhamnoside |
| 20  | 6.280   | 639.1599 C28H22O17 | 477,315 |isorhamnetin-3,7-O-hexaglycoside |
| 21  | 6.627   | 785.2176 C24H22O21 | 639,460,315 |isorhamnetin-3-O-sophorose-7-O-rhamnoside |
| 22  | 6.945   | 935.0840 C41H28O26 | 633,483,300 | Casuarictin |
| 23  | 7.293   | 609.1485 C27H22O18 | 463,447,301,271 | Quercetin-hexose-rhamnoside |
| 24  | 7.442   | 755.2069 C23H24O20 | 609,463,446,301,300 | Quercetin-3-O-glucose rhamnoside-7-O-rhamnoside |
| 25  | 7.827   | 433.0430 C20H16O11 | 301 | Quercetin-3-O-arabinoside |
| 26  | 8.199   | 1085.0807 C46H28O20 | 633,450,425,299 | Ellagatannin |
| 27  | 8.221   | 977.2617 C44H26O25 | 831,771,625,447,201 | Quercetin-sinapoyl-sophoroside-rhamnoside |
| 28  | 8.320   | 300.9985 C12H10O5 | 283,257,229,165,157 | Ellagic acid |
| 29  | 8.370   | 739.2119 C33H24O19 | 593,447,285 | Kaempferol-3-O-rutin-7-O-rhamnoside |
| 30  | 8.446   | 593.1533 C27H18O15 | 431,285 | Lonicerin |
| 31  | 8.463   | 593.1533 C27H18O15 | 447,285,227 | Kaempferol-3-O-glucose rhamnoside |
| 32  | 8.499   | 623.1645 C28H22O16 | 477,461,315 |isorhamnetin-3-O-glucose-7-O-rhamnoside |
| 33  | 8.668   | 441.0843 C22H16O11 | 289,169 |(-)-Epicatechin gallate* |
| 34  | 8.701   | 609.1488 C27H22O18 | 301,151 | Quercetin-3-O-rutinoside |
| 35  | 8.767   | 623.1629 C28H22O16 | 477,461,315,300,151 |isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside |
| 36  | 9.049   | 463.0901 C21H20O12 | 301,271 | Isoquercitrin* |
| 37  | 9.082   | 593.1534 C27H18O15 | 461,447,315,300 |isorhamnetin-pentose-rhamnoside |
| 38  | 9.082   | 463.0901 C21H20O12 | 300,271 | Hyperoside |
| 39  | 9.140   | 991.2764 C42H36O27 | 845,639,315 |isorhamnetin-3-O-sophorose-O-acetyl acid-7-O-rhamnoside |
| 40  | 9.296   | 961.2666 C42H36O27 | 815,639,461,315 |isorhamnetin-3-O-sophorose-O-glucuronic acid-7-O-rhamnoside |
| 41  | 10.159  | 593.1533 C28H22O16 | 285 | Kaempferol-3-O-rutinoside* |
| 42  | 10.490  | 447.095 C21H18O11 | 301,271,179,151 | Quercitrin * |
| 43  | 10.490  | 623.1645 C28H22O16 | 315,300,271,151 |isorhamnetin-3-O-rutinoside |
| 44  | 10.509  | 623.1638 C28H22O16 | 315 | Narcissus glycosides* |
| 45  | 10.571  | 447.0950 C21H20O12 | 301,284,151 | Astragalin |
| 46  | 10.761  | 477.1062 C22H22O12 | 315,300,271,151 |isorhamnetin-3-O-glucoside |
| 47  | 11.377  | 447.0950 C21H20O11 | 301,151 | Quercetin-7-rhamnoside |
| 48  | 15.098  | 471.3494 C30H46O4 | 393 | Cosolic acid* |

*The compounds with asterisk were identified by authentic standards.
the chemical constituents of sea buckthorn leaves and the fermentation technology needed to be further optimized. The sensation of bitterness and astringency are important sensory characteristics of tea. The flavonoid glycosides are rich in sea buckthorn leaves, especially isorhamnoside, which are closely related to bitterness and astringency (18). After fermentation processing, sea buckthorn leaves may significantly decrease the content of flavonoid glycosides to further reduce astringency and improved taste. Hence, reduction of the flavonol glycosides in SBT had a lower sensory threshold for astringency that may suitable for development into black tea drink.

FIGURE 3 | High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis of SL, SGT, and SBT. (A) Cluster analysis diagram. (B) Principal component analysis (PCA) score plot. (C) Orthogonal partial least squares discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) score plot. (D) Permutation plot of OPLS-DA ($R^2_X = 0.969; R^2_Y = 0.97; \text{and } Q^2 = 0.932$).

FIGURE 4 | Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of SL, SGT, and SBT. (A) Venn plot. (B) Heat map.
Results of the High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Fingerprint Analysis

Comprehensive validation of the present method, including precision, repeatability, and stability, relative standard deviation (RSD) of relative retention time (RRT) was 0.48, 0.96, and 0.86%, respectively; RSD of relative peak area (RPA) was 0.96, 4.68, and 0.40%, respectively. These results showed that the established approach was suitable for analysis. After multipoint correction and peak matching, the control fingerprint profiles of SL, SGT, and SBT were generated and 14 common peaks were generated. The similarity between SL and control fingerprint was at 0.972–0.986. The similarity between SGT and control fingerprints was at 0.886–0.980. The similarity between SBT and control fingerprints was at 0.404–0.455. These results showed that the composition of SL and SGT was not obvious changed after frying process, while the chemical composition of SBT varied greatly after fermentation process of SL.

Cluster analysis and common peak relative peak area data were imported into the SIMCA 14.1 software to cluster analysis of sea buckthorn tea samples and the classification distance between samples was calculated using the Ward method. As shown in Figure 3A, the SL, SGT, and SBT samples were clustered into three independent classes and the distance between the SL and SBT was far away, which showed that there were certain
differences among the sea buckthorn leaves tea samples under different processing methods.

Principal component analysis (PCA), cluster analysis, and OPLS-DA were used to classify the volatile components of SL, SGT, and SBT, and similar samples were gathered together to find the relevant material by analyzing the score and load value. The cumulative contribution rate of the first two principal components is 83.1%, which can reflect the information of most of the characteristic peaks (Figure 3B). The nonvolatile of SL, SGT, and SBT was significantly separated (Figures 3B,C), indicating that the chemical composition content varied greatly between the samples from different processing and the results were consistent with the similarity analysis and cluster. The OPLS-DA model was validated by the two hundred random permutation tests (Figure 3D) and no overfitting was observed according to the results. Consequently, the model could be used to distinguish the sea buckthorn leaves tea among different processing of SL, SGT, and SBT. The HPLC characteristic fingerprint will benefit the authentication and quality evaluation of sea buckthorn leaves tea samples.

Results of the Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction Coupled With Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Analysis

QC samples are used to detect the state of the instrument before sampling and to evaluate the stability of the system throughout the experiment. The peak retention time and peak area of QC samples in total ion chromatograms (TICs) overlapped indicating that the instrument was stable (Figure 5A). The PCA analysis of all the samples showed that QC had the distribution within ± 2 SD, which also suggested the stability and high quality of the data.

The information of 295 volatile metabolites was given in Supplementary Table S1. The volatile components in the tea are mainly composed of esters (47), heterocyclic compounds (49), ketones (39), hydrocarbons (27), terpenes (32), acids (14), aldehydes (20), aromatic hydrocarbons (33), alcohols (17), and others (17). In the Venn plot (Figure 4A), 14 metabolites were detected in all the samples, 25, 4, and 37 metabolites were specific to SL, SGT, and SBT, respectively; 21, 60, and 25 metabolites were specific to SL and SGT, SL and SBT, and SGT and SBT, respectively. These results indicated that different processing methods may have a great impact on the volatile chemical composition of sea buckthorn leaves tea process. Aroma components in tea are mainly composed of esters and alcohols and the unique aroma of each tea is mainly determined by the difference of aromatic components and combination concentration (19). The average content data of volatile metabolites of different categories detected in SL, SGT, and SBT were analyzed by heat map (Figure 4B). Comparing with SL, the contents of aldehyde, aromatic, ester, and heterocyclic compounds in SBT increased after fermentation from 11.98 to 14.85%, from 5.6 to 7.57%, from 18.02 to 24.97%, and from 9.74 to 10.3%, respectively. The aroma varieties of sea buckthorn leaves tea increased significantly after heat treatment and fermentation compared with the original leaves, which provided a method for the processing of sea buckthorn leaves.

The dendrogram of the samples was divided into three types; the PCA (Figure 5B) and OPLS-DA showed significantly different from SGT and SBT (Figures 5C,E). Cross-validation with 200 permutation tests showed that the OPLS-DA model is reliable, the R²X, R²Y, and Q² of SL and SGT were, respectively, 0.89, 0.986, and 0.965 (Figure 5D), and the R²X, R²Y, and Q² of SL and SBT were, respectively, 0.94, 0.999, and 0.977 (Figure 5F). Based on OPLS-DA results, VIP value > 1 with FC > 2 or FC < 0.5, p-value < 0.05, was used as the screening condition; 7 metabolites were significantly upregulated between SL and SGT, while 25 metabolites were significantly upregulated between SL and SBT (Figures 6A,B). The Maillard reaction is a classical reaction resulting from the food heating process, increasing of acids, aldehydes, ketones, and methylpyrazines (20). The content of 2,3,5-trimethyl-6-ethylpyrazine, 2,3-dimethyl-5-ethylpyrazine, 2, 5-dimethyl-3-ethylpyrazine, 3, 5-diethylmethylpyrazine, methyl pelargonate, phenol, (+)-2-bornanone, 3-hexen-1-ol benzoate, methyl benzoate, and methyl decanoate remarkably increased in SGT, which impart desirable flavors to the material that could produce darker color, distinctive flavor of nutty toasted sweet aroma of SGT. The unique flavor and texture of the fermented SBT were contributed by the presence of microorganisms and their byproduct produced during fermentation. Hexenal, (Z)−9-hexadecenoate methyl ester, vitispirane, phthalic acid, butyl hex-2-yn-4-yl ester, 3-methyl-2-butenolic acid, cyclobutyl ester, isoelemicin, methyl nonanoate, 3-furaldehyde, ethyl benzoate, and benzoic acid dramatically improved in SBT, which impart desirable flavors of pleasant fruity sweetness aroma. In a nutshell, during thermal treatment and fermentation process, high amounts of Maillard reaction products (MRPs) including melanoids were formed, which were contributed to flavor and color of sea buckthorn leaves tea. Instead, the fermentation process of sea buckthorn leaves makes the polyphenols susceptible to enzymatic oxidation, allowing the conversion of catechins into polymeric compounds, such as theaflavins and thearubigins, which confer the characteristic aroma and color, typical of the black tea (21). Together, these results suggested that different processes of sea buckthorn leaves have significant effects on the non-volatile and volatile metabolites, while the fermentation process has the greatest impact on the metabolic profiles of its volatile components.

Antioxidant Activities

Phenolic compounds are a safe and effective source of antioxidant, removing excess free radicals to promote human health (22). According to the gallic acid standard curve to calculate \( y = 0.065x - 0.0473 \), \( R^2 = 0.9993 \), total polyphenol contents of SL, SGT, and SBT were 103.35–109.14, 90.39–103.55, and 49.86–55.74 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g, respectively (Table 2). Flavonoids from natural sources have significant biological activities, such as antioxidation, antimutation, anticancer, and vascular protection (23). According to the calculation of rutin standard curve \( y = 0.0059x + 0.1798 \), \( R^2 = 0.9992 \), total flavonoid content of SL, SGT, and SBT were 24.57–34.22, 26.66–33.34, and 13.35–16.86 mg Rutin/g, respectively.
TABLE 2 | Total flavonoid content (TFC), total polyphenol content (TPC), antioxidant, and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities of sea buckthorn leaves (SL), sea buckthorn leaves green tea (SGT), and sea buckthorn leaves black tea (SBT) (n = 3).

| No. | TFC (X ± SD, mg Rutin/g) | TPC (X ± SD, mg GAE/g) | DPPH scavenging activities (X% ± SD) | ABTS scavenging activities (X% ± SD) | α-glucosidase inhibitory activities (X% ± SD) |
|-----|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| SL-1 | 24.57 ± 0.033 a          | 1091.38 ± 0.021 a      | 93.42% ± 0.005 a                    | 90.77% ± 0.028 a                    | 43.07% ± 0.013 a                     |
| SL-2 | 29.99 ± 0.025 a          | 1067.89 ± 0.018 a      | 94.80% ± 0.002 a                    | 70.02% ± 0.025 a                    | 48.56% ± 0.017 a                     |
| SL-3 | 33.09 ± 0.026 a          | 1041.37 ± 0.013 a      | 93.88% ± 0.003 a                    | 85.76% ± 0.010 a                    | 54.92% ± 0.037 a                     |
| SL-4 | 34.83 ± 0.025 a          | 1033.46 ± 0.013 a      | 94.24% ± 0.001 a                    | 83.59% ± 0.005 a                    | 57.67% ± 0.032 a                     |
| SGT-1 | 33.34 ± 0.032 a       | 1035.45 ± 0.017 a      | 94.44% ± 0.002 a                    | 66.15% ± 0.021 b                    | 60.17% ± 0.024 a                     |
| SGT-2 | 29.50 ± 0.036 a         | 990.15 ± 0.038 a       | 94.42% ± 0.002 a                    | 82.55% ± 0.014 b                    | 64.34% ± 0.035 a                     |
| SGT-3 | 29.02 ± 0.031 a         | 990.15 ± 0.030 a       | 93.14% ± 0.005 a                    | 74.67% ± 0.025 b                    | 49.35% ± 0.015 a                     |
| SGT-4 | 26.66 ± 0.030 a         | 903.94 ± 0.058 a       | 91.24% ± 0.010 a                    | 73.09% ± 0.010 b                    | 60.11% ± 0.045 a                     |
| SBT-1 | 15.69 ± 0.011 b         | 501.82 ± 0.020 b       | 40.26% ± 0.018 b                    | 44.38% ± 0.025 b                    | 60.11% ± 0.025 b                     |
| SBT-2 | 16.87 ± 0.011 b         | 535.66 ± 0.006 b       | 43.84% ± 0.017 b                    | 32.81% ± 0.061 c                    | 60.11% ± 0.025 b                     |
| SBT-3 | 15.33 ± 0.010 b         | 498.56 ± 0.006 b       | 39.33% ± 0.027 b                    | 43.53% ± 0.036 c                    | 70.16% ± 0.035 a                     |
| SBT-4 | 13.35 ± 0.036 b         | 557.39 ± 0.022 b       | 33.83% ± 0.028 b                    | 26.08% ± 0.038 c                    | 62.65% ± 0.026 a                     |

Values in the same column labeled with different superscript letters differ significantly (p < 0.05).

FIGURE 7 | 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities of sea buckthorn leaves tea. (A) Antioxidant activities. (B) α-glucosidase inhibitory activities. Data are presented as mean ± SD (p < 0.05, n = 3).

(Chapter 2). After fermentation, the content of total polyphenols and flavonoids decreased sharply in SBT. Recent studies have shown that appropriate intake of foods with antioxidant effects could reduce oxidative stress damage caused by free radicals. In-vitro antioxidant screening methods are often used to evaluate antioxidant capacity due to their high throughput and low cost (24). The antioxidant activities of radical scavenging on 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS) were evaluated. The DPPH radical and ABTS radical scavenging rates are shown in Figure 7A. At the concentration of 0.1 mg/ml, the DPPH radical scavenging activity of SL, SGT, and SBT was 93.42 to 94.80%, 91.24 to 94.44%, and 33.83 to 43.84%, respectively. The ABTS radical scavenging activity of SL, SGT, and SBT was 70.02 to 90.77%, 66.15 to 82.55%, and 26.08 to 44.38%, respectively. There was a clear trend of decreasing of the antioxidant activities of SBT compared with SL and SGT (p < 0.05). The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was used to determine the relationship between TFC, TPC, and antioxidant activities, TFC and DPPH (r = 0.94), TPC and DPPH (r = 0.98), TFC and ABTS (r = 0.85), and TPC and ABTS (r = 0.92). The scavenging ability of DPPH and ABTS was highly positive correlated with the contents of total polyphenol and flavonoids in SL and SGT. Several studies have documented the main components of sea buckthorn leaves, gallic acid, rutin, quercetin-3-galactoside, quercetin-3-glucoside, myricetin, quercetin, kaempferol, and isorhamnetin, which are responsible for great antioxidant activity (25), which were also detected in SL, SGT, and SBT (Table 1). Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) is an important evaluation standard for the total antioxidant capacity of functional food. The ORAC assays were used to evaluate the antioxidant activity of sea buckthorn leaves tea and the results are shown in Figure 8. The areas under the curve of fluorescence attenuation indicate the protective effect of antioxidants. According to the changes of fluorescence intensity in the control group, AAPH degrades in the solution and produces free radicals, which react with luciferase and weaken the fluorescence signal. As an antioxidant, the protective effect of Trolox on fluorescein fluorescence intensity was proportional to its concentration (Figure 8A). When the concentration was
0.1 mg/ml, SL, SGT, and SBT could inhibit the reaction of free radicals produced by AAPH and fluorescein, prolonging the weakening time of fluorescence signal. Trolox was selected as a positive control in ORAC assays. The antioxidant activities of ORAC assays were SL > SGT > Trolox (25.52 μg/ml) > SBT (Figure 8B). A higher protective capacity was observed for SL than for SGT and SBT in the fluorescence decay curves. Consistent with the behavior observed in the DPPH and ABTS assays, fermentation process of sea buckthorn leaves negatively affected the antioxidant capacity. A comparison of all the results reveals that sea buckthorn leaves tea was rich in polyphenol and flavonoids and could be used as a new dietary supplement with high antioxidant content.

**α-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activities**

Diabetes is a chronic metabolic disorder often associated with complications, which has become one of the most harmful diseases to human health in the world; the α-glucosidase inhibitors are oral hypoglycemic drugs with definite efficacy for type 2 diabetes (26). At the concentration of 4 mg/ml, the extracts of sea buckthorn leaves tea showed moderate α-glucosidase inhibition ability compared to acarbose (0.97 mg/ml) (Figure 7B). The α-glucosidase inhibitory activities of SL, SGT, and SBT were 43.07–57.67%, 49.35–64.34%, and 60.11–70.16%, respectively. No significant difference in α-glucosidase inhibitory activities was observed between SL and SGT, SL and SBT, and SGT and SBT (p >.05), respectively. It is of great significance for comprehensive development and utilization of sea buckthorn leaves in food and medicine field.

**CONCLUSION**

The phytochemical constituents in sea buckthorn leaves tea have been investigated via LC-MS, HS-SPME-GC-MS, and HPLC fingerprint combined with the multivariate statistical analysis. Our findings clearly indicated that the components of sea buckthorn leaves varied greatly with different processing, forming their own unique quality characteristics of sea buckthorn leaves tea. Moreover, the extracts of sea buckthorn leaves tea have marked antioxidant and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities in vitro, highlighting the potential usefulness of sea buckthorn leaves tea that could be developed as a natural health food. These investigations provided theoretical references for further development and utilization of sea buckthorn leaves resources.
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