Magnetic Aspects of QCD and Compact Stars
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Magnetic properties of quark matter are discussed. The possibility of ferromagnetic transition is studied by using the one-gluon-exchange interaction. Magnetic susceptibility is evaluated within Landau Fermi liquid theory, and the important roles of the screening for the gluon propagation are elucidated. Static screening for the longitudinal gluons improves the infrared singularities, while the transverse gluons receive only dynamic screening. The latter property gives rise to a novel non-Fermi-liquid behaviour in the magnetic susceptibility. The critical density is estimated to be around the nuclear density and the Curie temperature several tens MeV. The spin density wave is also discussed at moderate densities, where chiral transition becomes important. Pseudoscalar condensate as well as scalar one takes a spatially non-uniform form in a chirally invariant way. Accordingly magnetization oscillates like spin density wave. These results should have some implications on compact star phenomena.

§1. Introduction

Nowadays there are many studies about the phase diagram of QCD on temperature-density plane.1) Here we would like to explore some magnetic phases by considering the spin degrees of freedom. Phenomenologically such magnetisms should be related to observation of compact stars, especially their magnetic evolution. The origin of the strong magnetic field in compact stars is a long-standing problem since the first discovery of pulsars.2) Recent discovery of magnetars with huge magnetic field ($10^{15} \text{ G}$) seems to revive this issue. Their origin is not clear yet, while some ideas such as fossil field and dynamo scenario have been proposed. If QCD has a potential to produce such magnetic field, it gives a microscopic origin. Actually we studied a possibility of spontaneous magnetization of quark matter,3) since microscopic nuclear-matter calculations have shown negative results.4) We have shown that quark matter would be ferromagnetic state due to the Bloch mechanism, in analogy with electron gas, and suggested that magnitude of the magnetic field amounts to be $O(10^{15}-17 \text{ G})$ if such state develops inside compact stars.

We shall discuss a possibility of spin density wave (SDW) phase as another interesting magnetic aspect of QCD in relation to chiral transition.5) It is well known that restoration of chiral symmetry is important at moderate densities and many efforts have been devoted to figuring out their properties. Assuming the non-uniform condensates of pseudoscalar as well as scalar channel, we have studied another path of chiral transition. We have seen that such phase appears near the phase boundary of chiral transition, and thereby restoration of chiral symmetry is delayed to higher densities or temperatures. We can also see that magnetization in this phase shows an oscillating shape like SDW. This phase may then be characterized by local ferromagnetism and global anti-ferromagnetism.
Chiral symmetry and spin density wave

First we see the appearance of spin density wave in relation to the chiral transition. Restoration of chiral symmetry is important at moderate densities or finite temperature and many studies including lattice gauge simulations or effective model studies have been done to figure out the QCD phase diagram on the temperature-density plane.\(^1\) Assuming non vanishing pseudoscalar condensate as well as scalar one, we consider the following non-uniform configuration:*\(^1\)

\[
\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle = \Delta \cos \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}, \quad \langle \bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \tau_3 \psi \rangle = \Delta \sin \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}.
\] (2.1)

Note that this configuration respects \(SU(2) \times SU(2)\) chiral symmetry and the system is a charge eigenstate. It is called dual chiral density wave (DCDW) (Fig. 1). Both condensates construct the complex order parameter, in contrast to the usual discussion of chiral transition with uniform scalar condensate; we shall see that the amplitude \(\Delta\) gives an effective mass for quarks and the phase degree of freedom \(\theta = \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}\) gives rise to a magnetic property. Similar ideas of chiral density wave have been proposed as relevant phases in the large \(N_c\) limit,\(^6\) where only non-uniform scalar condensate has been considered.

Some results are presented in Fig. 1 by using NJL model as an effective model of QCD at moderate densities.\(^5\) Different from the usual result denoted by the thin dotted curve, DCDW appears in the vicinity of the critical density with finite momentum \(q\) (Fig. 1). Restoration of chiral symmetry is then delayed by the appearance of DCDW. The magnitude of \(q\) is \(O(2k_F)\), which suggests that the nesting effect of the Fermi surface should be responsible to appearance of DCDW. Actually we can show that the correlation function \(C(q) = \lim_{\omega \to 0} \text{F.T.} \langle \bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \tau_3 \psi(x) \bar{\psi} i \gamma_5 \tau_3 \psi(0) \rangle\) diverges at finite \(q\) with \(O(2k_F)\) at the critical density.\(^5\) Accordingly magnetization of quark matter exhibits

\[
\langle \bar{\psi} \Sigma_z \psi \rangle = M \cos(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}),
\] (2.2)

Fig. 1. Left: Dual chiral density wave (DCDW) in the chiral space. Middle: Wave number \(q\) and the dynamical mass \(M = -2G\Delta\) are plotted as functions of the chemical potential at \(T = 0\). Solid (dotted) line for \(M\) with (without) DCDW, and dashed line for \(q\). Right: Phase diagram of chiral transition on the temperature-density plane. DCDW appears at relatively low temperature.

\(^*\) We only consider the chiral limit in the following.
which implies that there develops SDW in the DCDW phase.

It should be interesting to see a similarity to pion condensation in hadronic matter, where nucleons take an anti-ferromagnetic spin ordering in the presence of classical pion field.\(^7\)

§ 3. Ferromagnetism and magnetic susceptibility

In the first study of ferromagnetic instability in QCD we calculated the energy of the spin polarized quark matter. Since quark matter is color neutral as a whole, the Fock exchange energy gives the leading-order contribution. Using the relation, \(\langle \lambda_i \rangle_{ab} \langle \lambda_i \rangle_{ba} = 1/2 - 1/(2N_c)\delta_{ab}\), we can see that it repulsively works for any quark pair. Then two particles with the same spin can avoid the repulsive interaction due to the Pauli principle to favor ferromagnetic order. On the other hand, the kinetic energy is totally increased. So when the energy gain in their interaction exceeds the increase of the kinetic energy, we can expect a ferromagnetic instability. This is the Bloch mechanism.\(^8\) A calculation has been done by using the one-gluon-exchange interaction to find a weakly first-order phase transition around the nuclear density.

To get more insight into the magnetic properties of quark matter we have recently studied magnetic susceptibility within Fermi-liquid theory.\(^9\),\(^10\),\(^\ast\) By applying tiny and uniform magnetic field \(B\) we examine the magnetization \(\langle M \rangle\) of quark matter to evaluate magnetic susceptibility, \(\chi_M = \partial \langle M \rangle / \partial B|_{N,T,B=0}\), which can be expressed in terms of the Landau-Migdal parameters:

\[
\chi_M = \left( \frac{g_{Dq}^2}{2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\pi^2}{N_c k_F E_F} - \frac{1}{3} f_1^s + \bar{f}_a \right),
\]

(3.1)

where \(f_1^s\) and \(\bar{f}_a\) are spin-independent and -dependent Landau-Migdal parameters, respectively.

3.1. Screening effects for gluons

Landau-Migdal parameters usually includes infrared (IR) divergences in gauge theories QCD/QED, so that it is essential to take into account the screening effect to improve them. The hard-dense-loop (HDL) resummation can be achieved by using the quark polarization operator; longitudinal gluons are statically screened in terms of the Debye mass, while transverse gluons are only dynamically screened due to the Landau damping. Thus Debye screening surely improves the IR divergence for longitudinal gluons, while there still remains the IR divergences coming from transverse gluons. At \(T = 0\) these divergences cancel each other in (3.1) to give a meaningful result. We shall see an interesting effect caused by the dynamic screening in §3.3.

3.2. Magnetic transition at \(T = 0\)

The magnetic susceptibility is given in the left panel of Fig. 2 at \(T = 0\). We can see that magnetic susceptibility diverges around the nuclear density and quark matter

\(^\ast\) We assume here the second order phase transition, but we shall find the similar critical density to the one in Ref. 3).
Fig. 2. Left: Magnetic susceptibility at $T = 0$. The solid curve shows the result with the simple OGE without screening, while the dashed and dash-dotted ones shows the screening effect with $N_f = 1$ (only $s$ quark) and $N_f = 2+1$ ($u,d,s$ quarks), respectively. Right: Flavor dependence of the contribution of the screening effects to the susceptibility.

is in the ferromagnetic phase below the critical density. When the screening effects are taken into account, the curve is shifted in two different ways, depending on the number of flavors; it is shifted to lower densities for $N_f = 1$, while to higher densities for $N_f = 3$. Thus the screening effects favor the ferromagnetic phase for $N_f = 3$, different from the case of $N_f = 1$. This is in contrast with the usual argument for electron gas, where the correlation effect always disfavors the magnetic transition.\(^{11}\)

Such behavior can be seen by looking at the contribution of the screening effects to $\chi_M$ (the right panel of Fig. 2), which reads

$$\Delta\chi_M^{-1} \propto \kappa \ln(2/\kappa),$$

with $\kappa = m_D^2/2k_F^2$, where the Debye screening mass can be written as $m_D^2 = \sum_{\text{flavors}} g_i^2/2\pi^2 k_F, E_{F,i}$. Thus the screening effect in quark matter is qualitatively different from that in electron gas.

3.3. Thermal effects and non-Fermi-liquid behavior

Our framework can be easily extended to finite temperature case.\(^{10}\) We, hereafter, consider the low temperature case ($T/\mu \ll 1$), but the usual low-T expansion cannot be applied since quark energy exhibits an anomalous behavior near the Fermi surface. Actually the one-loop result for the quark self-energy can be given as

$$\text{Re}\Sigma_+(\omega) \simeq \text{Re}\Sigma_+(\mu) - \frac{C_f g_F^2 v_F}{12\pi^2} (\omega - \mu) \ln \frac{m_D}{|\omega - \mu|} + \Delta_{\text{reg}}(\omega - \mu)$$

near the Fermi surface, with $v_F$ being the Fermi velocity and $C_f = (N_c^2 - 1)/2N_c$.\(^{12}\) The second term appears due to the transverse gluons and logarithmically diverges at the Fermi surface, so that quark matter behaves as the marginal Fermi liquid.\(^{13}\) The one-loop calculation may be justified by the renormalization-group argument.\(^{14}\)

The temperature dependent term in $\chi_M$ is finally given by

$$\delta\chi_M^{-1} = \chi_{\text{Pauli}}^{-1} \left[ \frac{\pi^2}{6k_F^4} \left( 2E_F^2 - m^2 + \frac{m^4}{E_F^2} \right) T^2 \right]$$
Fig. 3. Magnetic phase diagram in the density-temperature plane. The solid, dashed, dash-dotted, dotted curves show the results for the full expression, the one without the $T^2 \ln T$ term, without the $\kappa \ln \kappa$ term, and without the $T^2 \ln T$ and $\kappa \ln \kappa$ terms. The open (filled) circle indicates the Curie temperature at $k_F = 1.1(1.6) \text{ fm}^{-1}$ while the squares show those when we disregard the $T^2 \ln T$ dependence.

\[ + \frac{C_f g^2 v_F}{72 k_F^4 E_F^2} \left( 2k_F^4 + k_F^2 m^2 + m^4 \right) T^2 \ln \left( \frac{m_D}{T} \right) \] + O(g^2 T^2). \quad (3.4)

We can see that there appears $T^2 \ln T$ term beside the usual $T^2$ one. This is a novel non-Fermi-liquid effect.\(^{10}\) It should be interesting to compare this result with other typical non-Fermi-liquid effects in the specific heat\(^{15}\) or the gap function in color superconductivity.\(^{16}\) Moreover, it is to be noted that the spin fluctuation effect gives $T^3 \ln T$ term as a leading-order contribution in electron gas.\(^{17}\)

Finally the magnetic phase diagram is presented on the temperature-density plane (Fig. 3), where we can see the Curie temperature of several tens MeV.

§4. Summary and concluding remarks

We have discussed some magnetic aspects of QCD on the temperature-density plane. First we have demonstrated appearance of DCDW near the phase boundary of chiral transition. In recent papers Nickel discussed the appearance of the real kink crystal (RKC).\(^{18}\) The tricritical point is then Lifshitz point in this case. This is an interesting possibility, but more studies are needed to elucidate the relation between RKC and DCDW phases, while he concluded that RKC is more favored than DCDW phase.

We have studied the static magnetic susceptibility of quark matter by utilizing Fermi-liquid theory to see ferromagnetic transition, where the screening effects for gluon propagators become very important; the static screening by the Debye mass gives $g^4 \ln g^2$ term at $T = 0$, while it produces a novel non-Fermi liquid effect by the $T^2 \ln T$ term. For a more realistic study, some non-perturbative effects need to be taken into account at moderate densities. Moreover, the restoration of chiral symmetry is also important there.

Observational signals of the magnetic phases may be found out in thermal evolutions of compact stars, besides the direct evidence of magnetic evolution. Nambu-
Goldstone bosons, as a consequence of spontaneous symmetry breaking in the magnetic phases, may contribute to thermodynamical quantities to modify their thermal evolutions.
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