Optical high-gain leaky-wave antenna by using a waffle-iron waveguide
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Abstract This paper presents a comparison of optical leaky-waveguide antennas, i.e., grating waveguide (GWG), waffle waveguide (WWG), and waffle-iron waveguide (WIWG), using both simulations and measurements. WIWG radiates a narrow beam that is tilted by sweeping the incident wavelength, and it possesses a higher antenna gain than GWG and WWG under the same fabrication condition. The reason is due to a slight difference in the effective index along the longitudinal sections, which provides a large aperture size for high antenna gain. We simulate the antenna characteristics and experimentally confirm the high antenna gain.
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1. Introduction

High-speed and large-capacity data transmission will be required in future wireless-communication systems. The carrier frequency used in optical wireless communication is higher than that in the terahertz band, which can enable us to meet the demand beyond 5G communication systems [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In addition, the antenna for optical wireless communication can be downsized because of the very short wavelength in the optical bands, and silicon photonics would be suitable for manufacturing such a small antenna for mass production at low cost [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Considering the communication environment, the antenna gain is so high enough to communicate with long distance. However, the high gain means the small beamwidth which is inconvenient for covering the wide communication area. For this reason, the optical waveguide such as grating couplers and optical phased array is proposed [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. This waveguide can control the direction of radiation beam, so it ensure high gain and wide communication area by beamforming. These waveguides are called optical leaky-wave antenna (OLA). The waffle-iron waveguide (WIWG), which is one of the OLA, is proposed to enhance the antenna gain during the simulation [28]. Then, we confirm its performance by comparing it with other waveguides using effective index and measurement.

The present study shows the geometry of each waveguide and discusses the difference in their characteristics using an effective index, as presented in Section 2. The simulation and experimental demonstration of the WIWG is described in Section 3 to show its high antenna-gain performance. The conclusion is provided Section 4.

2. The effect of WIWG

We fabricate these waveguides using the silicon photonics technology, which can fabricate optical components with low cost using a mass-fabrication process. Fig. 1 shows the geometries and design parameters of the conventional grating waveguide (GWG), waffle waveguide (WWG), and WIWG. These waveguides are fabricated in a Si layer in which the height on the silica substrate is 0.21 μm for a single-mode propagation of light, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The indexes of silicon and silica are 3.45 and 1.45, respectively. GWG has periodical grooves on the surface, as shown in Fig. 2(b). These periodic grooves provide index perturbation and excite a leaky wave along the z-axis. The direction of the leaky wave is adjusted by Λy, and we set it to 0.57 μm and use a duty cycle of 0.5 to radiate the wave toward the zenith at θ = 1.55 μm. Waveguide length L is a product of period Λy and number of periods N_y. We set N_y = 200, waveguide width W = 10 μm, and etching depth d = 0.01 to

Fig. 1 Bird’s eye view of each waveguide, green area: region A, blue area: region B, (a) GWG, (b) WWG, (c) WIWG, W = 10 μm, L = 114 μm, w = 0.24 μm, l = 0.29 μm, Λx = 0.48 μm, Λy = 0.57 μm.

Fig. 2 Structure of leaky waveguide, (a) yz-plane view, (b) zx-plane view, W = 10 μm, h = 0.21 μm, d = 0.07 μm, Λx = 0.48 μm, Λy = 0.57 μm, N_x = 200.
0.07 μm to compare the effect of the structures. To reduce the leaky-wave radiation in a unit period, we need to reduce the index difference (perturbation) between the condition without grooves for $n_A$ and that with grooves for $n_B$, as shown in Fig. 3(a). The index difference is reduced by employing shallow etch grating. However, $d = 0.01 \mu m$ is the minimum etch deep because of fabrication constraint.

We propose WWG to reduce the index difference. WWG has a rectangular etching array on the Si layer and reduces the index difference between $n_A$ and $n_B$, as shown in Fig. 3(b). A part of the silicon in Region B is increased by the waffle structure; thus, the effective index of $n_B$ approaches $n_A$. The WWG parameters with period $\lambda_x$, length $L$, and width $W$ are set to be the same as the GWG parameters. Period $\lambda_x$, the duty cycle, and number of periods $N_x$ in the $y$ direction are set to 0.48, 0.5, and 20, respectively, to further reduce the index difference. WIWG with a rectangular etching array on the waveguide surface, which has an inverse structure as that of WWG, is also proposed [28]. A part of silica in Region A is increased by the waffle-iron structure. Thus, the difference between effective indexes $n_A$ and $n_B$ becomes small, as shown in Fig. 3(c).

Figure 4 shows the comparison of the index differences in Regions A and B as a function of etch depth $d$. The index difference is defined as $n_B/n_A$ and becomes small for a shallow etch depth. WIWG has the smallest structure among the three waveguides. Therefore, WIWG exhibits the smallest perturbation, resulting in good aperture efficiency and high directive gain [29].

### 3. Comparison of waveguide characteristics

This section presents the comparison of the characteristics of the three waveguides using simulation and experiment. First, we show the transmission characteristics to verify that WIWG has the longest effective length and confirm its gain enhancement by the experiment.

Figure 5 shows the result of the transmission characteristics when the carrier frequency is 193.75 THz. In the case of shallow etching, the characteristics are close to one another because the differences in the effective index are almost the same, as shown in Fig. 4. However, they are apparently different from one another as the etching depth increases. This result corresponds to that shown in Fig. 5 and demonstrates that WIWG has the smallest attenuation. Its effective antenna length is also the longest. Figure 6 shows the electric-field distribution of each waveguide. The field intensity is attenuated along the waveguide because light is radiated from its surface. Therefore, we confirm that WIWG has the most effective antenna that can realize gain enhancement, as presented in Section 2.

Then, we describe the performance evaluation of each waveguide through experiment. The experimental system to measure the radiation power on each waveguide surface is shown in Fig. 7. First, an optical laser illuminates the waveguide end through using a tunable laser with a wavelength of 1.55 μm, which is the wavelength band used in far-infrared communication. The scattered power from the waveguide is monitored using an Indium gallium arsenide (InGaAs) camera installed above the waveguide. The radiated power is measured by a power meter while the camera is moved at certain fixed intervals, 0.5 μm. Because this power includes the propagation and coupling loss of the optical fiber, the measurement results are normalized to exclude the coupling loss. To enhance the gain, we use the fabricated chip with 0.01 μm etching depth and 1000 periods along the longitu-
dinal direction. Figure 8 shows the results of the measured
radiated power. From this figure, WIWG exhibits the small-
est attenuation coefficient, and GWG and WWG have larger
attenuation. In other words, WIWG still shows the highest
values obtained in the experiment, data scattering occurs due
to manufacturing errors, but WIWG still shows the highest
directivity gain.

Fig. 8 Radiation power distribution, black: GWG, blue: WWG, red:
WIWG, dotted lines are measurements and straight lines are approxima-
tions.

Fig. 9 Experimental directivity gain, black: GWG, blue: WWG, red:
WIWG, dotted lines are measurements and straight lines are approxima-
tions.

where \( D \) and \( \eta \) are aperture size and aperture efficiency,
respectively. These parameters are the indicator of excitation for
the reflector antenna such as horn and parabolic reflector
antenna [30]. Finally, we derive the directivity gain using
this measurement. In this study, we utilize the beamwidth
for this measurement. In this study, we utilize the beamwidth
to estimate the directivity gain. The directivity gain is ex-
pressed by the following equation:

\[
G = \left( \frac{\pi D}{\lambda} \right)^2 \eta
\]  

(1)

where \( \theta \) and \( \varphi \) are the beamwidths in the two orthogonal
planes [31]. Figure 9 shows the results using this estimation
method. Because the estimation is performed using the values
obtained in the experiment, data scattering occurs due
to manufacturing errors, but WIWG still shows the highest
directivity gain.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a high-gain antenna suitable for
a base-station antenna used in optical wireless communica-
tion. In conclusion, WIWG is revealed to be a useful
antenna. This high-gain antenna is indispensable because
optical wireless communication incurs large losses.
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