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Sodium–Taste Cells Require *Skn-1a* for Generation and Share Molecular Features with Sweet, Umami, and Bitter Taste Cells
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Abstract

Taste buds are maintained via continuous turnover of taste bud cells derived from local epithelial stem cells. A transcription factor Skn-1a (also known as Pou2f3) is required for the generation of sweet, umami (savory), and bitter taste cells that commonly express TRPM5 and CALHM ion channels. Here, we demonstrate that sodium–taste cells distributed only in the anterior oral epithelia and involved in evoking salty taste also require Skn-1a for their generation. We discovered taste cells in fungiform papillae and soft palate that show similar but not identical molecular feature with sweet, umami, and bitter taste-mediated Type II cells. This novel cell population expresses *Plcb2*, *Itpr3*, *Calhm3*, *Skn-1a*, and ENaCa (also known as Scnn1a) encoding the putative amiloride-sensitive (AS) salty taste receptor but lacks *Trpm5* and *Gnat3*. Skn-1a-deficient taste buds are predominantly composed of putative non-sensory Type I cells and sour-sensing Type III cells, whereas wild-type taste buds include Type II (i.e., sweet, umami, and bitter taste) cells and sodium–taste cells. Both Skn-1a and *Calhm3*-deficient mice have markedly decreased chorda tympani nerve responses to sodium chloride, and those decreased responses are attributed to the loss of the AS salty taste response. Thus, AS salty taste is mediated by Skn-1a-dependent taste cells, whereas amiloride-insensitive salty taste is mediated largely by Type III sour taste cells and partly by bitter taste cells. Our results demonstrate that Skn-1a regulates differentiation toward all types of taste cells except sour taste cells.
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Significance Statement

Salty taste plays an important role in electrolyte homeostasis in body fluids. Other basic tastes are each mediated by specialized sensory cells and elicits either preference or avoidance; in contrast, salty taste elicits both behaviors, depending on concentrations, and is mediated by multiple mechanisms and cell types that are poorly defined. We report that a subset of cells that express ENaCa exhibit a gene expression profile similar but not identical to sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells. They mediate amiloride-sensitive (AS) sodium taste and rely on Skn-1a for their generation and the CALHM ion channel for neurotransmitter release. Amloride-insensitive (AI) salty taste is partially mediated by sour taste cells, the only taste cells present in the Skn-1a knock-out mice.
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Introduction

Individual taste cells mediate one of five basic tastes in mice: sweet, umami (savory), bitter, sour, and salty by sodium salts (Yarmolinsky et al., 2009; Chandrashekar et al., 2010; Matsumoto et al., 2013). Whereas they express their own taste receptors, sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells share an intracellular signal transduction mechanism comprising phospholipase C β2 (PLC/β2), inositol triphosphate receptor type 3 (IP3R3), Ca2+-dependent monovalent cation channel TRPM5, and voltage-dependent ATP release channel CALHM1/3 (heterooligomeric channel composed of CALHM1 and CALHM3; Liu and Liman, 2003; Zhang et al., 2003; Hisatsune et al., 2007; Taruno et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2018). Sour taste cells have a different molecular signature, specifically expressing Pkd2i1, Otop1, and Car4 but not Plcb2 or Trpm5 (Huang et al., 2006; Chandrashekar et al., 2009; Chaudhari and Roper, 2010; Tu et al., 2018). In contrast, the specific molecular features of the cells that mediate taste evoked by sodium are poorly defined.

Sodium chloride (NaCl) evokes salty taste via amiloride-sensitive (AS) and amiloride-insensitive (Al) mechanisms in taste cells. The AS mechanisms are specific for NaCl and are involved in the attractive responses to NaCl (Chandrashekar et al., 2010; Tordoff et al., 2014; Nomura et al., 2020). In contrast, the Al mechanisms respond to many salts and mediate aversive responses (Oka et al., 2013). The taste cells that mediate AS and Al salt-sensing mechanisms represent distinct populations (Yoshida et al., 2009; Chandrashekar et al., 2010; Roebber et al., 2019). The AS NaCl-sensing taste cells responsible for sodium preference (hereafter referred to as sodium-taste cells) reside in taste buds of fungiform papillae (FuP), but not in circumvallate papillae (CvP), and express the epithelial sodium channel ENaC as the sodium sensor and IP3R3 (Chandrashekar et al., 2010; Tordoff et al., 2006; Chandrashekar et al., 2009; Chaudhari and Roper, 2010). In the present study, we found that taste cells responsible for AS avidity to NaCl share some molecular features with sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells but are distinct from them. AS responses of the chorda tympani nerve to NaCl are abolished in both Skn-1a-deficient and Calhm3-deficient mice that also lack perception of sweet, umami, and bitter tastes. The loss of AS neural responses in Skn-1a-deficient mice was correlated with the disappearance of taste cells defined by a Calhm3−/−Trpm5−/− molecular identity. Thus, Skn-1a governs the generation of sodium-taste cells in addition to sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells.

Materials and Methods

Animals

C57BL/6J (stock #000664) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Heterozygous Skn-1a+/− mice in a 129/B6 mixed background (Matsumoto et al., 2011) were crossed with C57BL/6J mice over 10 generations, and resultant male and female Skn-1a+/− mice with a C57BL/6J congenic background were crossed to obtain Skn-1a−/− mice with a C57BL/6J congenic background, which were maintained by crossing homozygous mice. Calhm3−/− mice have a C57BL/6J background as described previously (Ma et al., 2018). Both sexes were used in all animal experiments, which were conducted according to a protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Tissue preparation

For fresh-frozen tissue samples, mice were deeply anesthetized with urethane, and the oral epithelia were
Table 1: Probes used for in situ hybridization analyses

| Gene name | Accession no. | Probe region |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|
| ENaCα     | BC133688      | 913–2333     |
| Trpm5     | AF228681      | 310–3491     |
| Calhm1    | LC270870      | 1–1407, 2148–2369 |
| Calhm3    | LC270871      | 1–1653       |
| Itpr3     | BC023776      | 1–3447       |
| Plcb2     | BC145249      | 588–3123     |
| Gnat3     | AK040065      | 41–1019      |
| Skn-1a    | NM_011139     | 72–2363      |
| Entpd2    | NM_009849     | 20–1822      |
| Pkd2l1    | NM_181422     | 226–3275     |

**In situ hybridization**

In situ hybridization using fresh-frozen sections was conducted as previously described (Ohmoto et al., 2008; Taruno et al., 2013). Digoxigenin-labeled and fluorescein-labeled antisense RNAs were synthesized and used as probes after fragmentation to ~150 bases under alkaline conditions. The probe regions are shown in Table 1. Sections were fixed with 4% PFA, treated with diethylpyrocarbonate, prehybridized with salmon testis DNA, and hybridized with the riboprobes for 40 h. After hybridization, the sections were washed in 0.2× SSC. Prehybridization, hybridization, and washing were performed at 58°C except when using the riboprobes for Calhm1, Itpr3, and ENaCα, which were performed at 65°C. After washing, chromogenic and/or fluorescence signals were developed as follows:

For single-label in situ hybridization, hybridized probes were detected using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibodies (Roche Diagnostics, 11093274910, RRID:AB_514497), and chromogenic signals were developed using 4-nitro blue tetrazolium chloride/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate as a substrate for 3 h (to Plcb2 and Itpr3) or two overnights (to Calhm1). Stained images were obtained using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope (Nikon Instruments) equipped with a DXM1200C digital camera (Nikon).

For double-label fluorescence in situ hybridization, the fluorescence signals of the riboprobes were developed using an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody followed by the HNPP Fluorescent Detection set (Roche Diagnostics) and a biotin–conjugated anti-fluorescein antibody (Vector Laboratories, BA-0601, RRID:AB_2336069) followed by an avidin-biotin complex (Vector Laboratories), a TSA Biotin Tyramide Reagent (PerkinElmer), and an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated streptavidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Fluorescence single-plane confocal images were acquired with a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems). Optical confocal images were processed with Photoshop (Adobe Systems). For quantification of cells with fluorescence signals, taste buds on every 8, 12, or 16 sections of the FuP and soft palate from three mice were analyzed. For the frequencies of expression of Skn-1a and Entpd2 or Pkd2l1 in taste bud cells, sections were counterstained with 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). The ratios of Skn-1a-expressing cells or Pkd2l1-expressing or Entpd2-expressing cells to the taste bud cells as judged from DAPI and DIC images were calculated using every 8, 12, or 16 sections of the FuP and soft palate of wild-type (WT; n = 3) and Skn-1a+/− (n = 3) mice.

For double-labeling of Calhm1 or ENaCα with other genes, fluorescence and chromogenic signals were developed as previously described (Taruno et al., 2013). Prehybridization, hybridization, and washing were performed at 65°C for any probes, and the fluorescence signals were first developed using a biotin-conjugated anti-fluorescein antibody (Vector Laboratories) followed by an avidin-biotin complex (Vector Laboratories), a TSA Biotin Tyramide Reagent (PerkinElmer), and an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated streptavidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After capturing the fluorescence signals with a Leica TCS SP2 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems), the chromogenic signals of Calhm1 or ENaCα were detected using an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody and 4-nitro blue tetrazolium chloride/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate. Stained images were obtained as described above. Fluorescence and stained images were processed with Photoshop (Adobe Systems). For quantification of cells with fluorescence and stained signals, taste buds on every 8, 12, or 16 sections of the FuP and soft palate from three mice were analyzed.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Immunohistochemical analyses using 4% PFA-fixed sections were conducted as previously described (Ohmoto et al., 2008; Taruno et al., 2013). The sections were treated in a pre-heated target retrieval solution (pH 9; Agilent Technologies) at 80°C for 20 min before blocking. Mouse anti-Itpr3 (1:1000, BD Biosciences, 610312, RRID:AB_397704), Rabbit anti-Gnat3 (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-395, RRID:AB_673678), anti-T1R3 (1:1000; Ohmoto et al., 2008), anti-Skn-1a (1:1000, Santa Cruz, sc-330, RRID:AB_677443), and antiodopa decarboxylase (Ddc; 1:2000, GeneTex, GTX30448, RRID:AB_367199) antibodies were used as primary antibodies. Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-11 029, RRID:AB_2534088) and Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific, A-21 429, RRID:AB_2535850) were used as secondary antibodies. Fluorescent images were acquired and processed as described above.

**Whole chorda tympani nerve recordings**

We investigated the electrophysiological response of the chorda tympani nerve in mice of the Skn-1a knock-out.
(Skn-1a−/−) and Calhm3 knock-out (Calhm3−/−) strains, using C57BL/6J mice as WT controls (see above). The experimenters were blinded to the genotype of the mice during testing. The mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a mixture of 4.28 mg/ml ketamine, 0.86 mg/ml xylazine, and 0.14 mg/ml acepromazine in saline (5 μl/g body weight). Anesthesia was maintained with additional injections. Each mouse was fixed with a head holder after its trachea was cannulated, and the chorda tympani nerve was dissected free from its junction with the lingual nerve near the tympanic bulla; then the nerve was cut and the central part was placed on a platinum wire recording electrode. An indifferent electrode touched the walls of the wound. Taste stimuli were delivered to the tongue with a computer-controlled open flow system under constant flow and temperature (25°C) conditions. Each stimulation lasted for 30 s with a 60-s rinse between stimulations. Care was taken to ensure that the flow was directed over the FuP. The nerve impulses were fed into a custom-made amplifier, monitored over a loudspeaker and with an oscilloscope, and recorded (PowerLab/sp4; AD Instruments). The integrated response during stimulation was calculated by subtracting the area of nerve activity preceding the stimulation from that during stimulation. Thus, the data reflect the level of activity during the stimulation period. The responses to all compounds were expressed relative to the response to 0.1 M NH4Cl, which is derived from solely sour taste cells (Oka et al., 2013), for each mouse as previously described (Matsumoto et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2018). The averages for each animal and group were calculated for the statistical analyses.

Statistical analyses

Data are shown as the mean ± SEM. A Welch’s t test (for histochemical analyses) or repeated-measures two-way ANOVA (for gustatory nerve recordings) was used to determine the effects of genotype using Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software). When a significant interaction was detected between a genotype and a taste solution concentration, Tukey–Kramer multiple comparison tests were conducted to identify significant differences between pairs of mean values.

Results

Previous studies demonstrated that Skn-1a is necessary for the generation of sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells, and that Calhm1, Calhm3, and Trpm5 mRNAs are co-expressed only in Skn-1a-dependent taste cells in the CvP (Matsumoto et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2018). Intriguingly, Calhm1 has been implicated in salty taste (Tordoff et al., 2014), whereas it has been suggested that AS NaCl responses in taste bud cells of the CvP (Ma et al., 2018). The averages for each animal and group were calculated for the statistical analyses.

Taste cell gene expression in taste buds of FuP

First, we asked whether CALHM and Trpm5 channel genes are always co-expressed in the same cells of FuP by double-fluorescence in situ hybridization using Calhm3 and Trpm5 as probes. In taste buds of FuP where the chorda tympani nerve innervates, Trpm5 signals were observed only in cells showing Calhm3 signals. However, ~20% of Calhm3+ cells did not generate Trpm5 signals (Fig. 1A). This result is in contrast to their complete co-expression in taste bud cells of the CvP (Ma et al., 2018). Accordingly, these data are consistent with previous findings that sodium taste is mediated by a taste cell subset distinct from sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells but nevertheless requires CALHM channel genes for neurotransmission (Chandrashekar et al., 2010; Tordoff et al., 2014; Nomura et al., 2020).

Then, we examined whether other genes encoding sweet, umami, and bitter taste signaling molecules are expressed in both the Trpm5+ and Trpm5– taste cells. Of note, it has been suggested that sodium–taste cells do not require Ca2+ signaling evoked by phosphatidylinositol (PI) turnover, unlike Type II cells (Nomura et al., 2020). Surprisingly, in taste buds of the FuP, Plcb2 and Itpr3 were expressed in Trpm5+ cells and always co-expressed with Calhm3, whereas Gnat3 was expressed only in Trpm5+ taste cells (Fig. 1). These results strongly suggest that Calhm3-expressing taste cells can be classified into two subsets: those that are all positive (i.e., for the expression of Plcb2, Itpr3, Calhm3, Gnat3, and Trpm5) and those that are Plcb2−/Itpr3−Calhm3−Gnat3−Trpm5− (hereafter referred to as Calhm3−Trpm5− cells in this study). In agreement, anti-Itpr3 and anti-Gnat3 antibodies identified Itpr3−Gnat3+ and Itpr3+Gnat3+ cells in taste buds of the FuP, whereas in taste buds of the CvP, where cells expressing Gnat3 and/or Tas1r3 are identical to Trpm5+ cells (Ohmoto et al., 2011), Itpr3+ cells are always positive for Gnat3 and/or T1R3 (Extended Data Fig. 1-1A). The ratios of Itpr3−Gnat3+ and Itpr3+Gnat3+ cells to total Itpr3+ cells (Itpr3+Gnat3−, 77.8%; Itpr3−Gnat3+ cells, 22.2%) are comparable to those of Calhm3+Trpm5+ (78.3%) and Calhm3−Trpm5− (21.7%) cells to Calhm3+ cells (Fig. 1; Extended Data Fig. 1-1A). Consistent with mRNA expression profiles, Itpr3-immunoreactive signals were observed in Skn-1a− cells but not in cells positive for sour taste cell marker Ddc (i.e., DDC+ cells; Extended Data Fig. 1-1B). Interestingly, cells exhibiting similar molecular features were also detected in taste buds of soft palate that are innervated by the greater superficial petrosal nerves (Extended Data Figs. 1-1, 1-2). Accordingly, the Calhm3+Trpm5+ cells found in taste buds of the FuP and soft palate but not in the CvP are predicted to be involved in a taste that is specifically transmitted by the AS NaCl responses as much as a half of those of WT mice (Larson et al., 2020). To better understand the identity and molecular features of AS NaCl-sensing taste (i.e., as sodium–taste) cells, we conducted in situ hybridization analyses in FuP taste buds where sodium–taste cells reside and soft palate and gustatory nerve recordings of the chorda tympani nerve innervating FuP taste buds.
chorda tympani and greater superficial petrosal nerves. Because neurophysiological studies in rats suggest the existence of AS NaCl-sensing taste cells in the greater superficial petrosal nerve-innervated taste buds in soft palate (Harada et al., 1997; Sollars and Hill, 1998), the Calhm3
Trpm5– cells are likely to be sodium–taste cells in the FuP and soft palate taste buds.

In taste buds of Skn-1a-deficient mice, Calhm3 expression was not detected in the FuP, palate, or CvP (Ma et al., 2018). Similarly, the expression of Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm1 was not detected in taste buds in any gustatory areas (Fig. 2; Extended Data Fig. 2-1; Matsumoto et al., 2011; Taruno et al., 2013). Notably, Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3 were always co-expressed with Skn-1a, and the frequencies of Skn-1a signal are comparable to those of Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3 signals (Fig. 3; Extended Data Fig. 3-1). Consistent with the relationship of expression of Trpm5 and Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3, Trpm5 signals were detected in 77.0 ± 3.2% and 87.2 ± 3.2% of Skn-1a+ cells in the FuP and soft palate, respectively (Fig. 3;

**Figure 1.** Expression of taste cell genes in taste buds in FuP. Double-fluorescence in situ hybridization was performed to study expression of genes required for sweet, umami, bitter, or salty taste perception. Numbers of cells showing signals were counted, and the ratios of cells positive and negative for one gene (A–C, middle images; D–F, Trpm5) to the total population of cells positive for the other gene (A–C, Calhm3; D–F, middle image) are shown at the right (n = 3). A–C, Trpm5 (A), Plcb2 (B), and Itpr3 (C) compared with Calhm3. D–F, Itpr3 (D), Plcb2 (E), and Gnat3 (F) compared with Trpm5. Blue arrowheads indicate Calhm3, Itpr3, or Plcb2 single-positive cells. Scale bar: 25 μm.
These results indicate that Skn-1a cells in the FuP and soft palate can be classified into the same two differentiated cell subsets as Calhm3-expressing taste cells: all positive and Calhm3-Trpm5− subsets. The somewhat greater number of cells expressing Skn-1a than Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3 can be explained by Skn-1a expression in putative precursor cells in taste buds in addition to the differentiated taste cells such as sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells.

**Skn-1a is required for generation of Calhm3− Trpm5− cells**

Skn-1a is required for the generation of Type II cells (based on morphologic classification) that express Trpm5 and Plcb2 and mediate sweet, umami, and bitter tastes (Matsumoto et al., 2011). The Calhm3− Trpm5− cells also express Skn-1a (Figs. 2, 3; Extended Data Figs. 2-1, 3-1). Thus, we asked whether Skn-1a is required for the generation of Calhm3− Trpm5− cells or simply for the expression of Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3 in the Calhm3− Trpm5− cells independent of their generation. For this, we employed double-label fluorescence in situ hybridization analyses using probes to detect Skn-1a+ and Skn-1a− taste bud cells. Because Skn-1a− taste bud cells are comprised predominantly of Type I putative non-sensory cells and Type III sour taste cells, we used probes for Entpd2 that is expressed in Type I cells and for Pkd2l1 that is expressed in sour taste cells to identify Skn-1a− cells. In WT mice, FuP taste buds contained 66.9 ± 2.4% Skn-1a− (i.e., Entpd2-Pkd2l1 mixed probe−positive) cells and 29.9 ± 3.0% Skn-1a− cells (Fig. 4). In the Skn-1a-deficient mice, the taste

Extended Data Fig. 3-1. These results indicate that Skn-1a+ cells in the FuP and soft palate can be classified into the same two differentiated cell subsets as Calhm3-expressing taste cells: all positive and Calhm3−Trpm5− subsets. The somewhat greater number of cells expressing Skn-1a than Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3 can be explained by Skn-1a expression in putative precursor cells in taste buds in addition to the differentiated taste cells such as sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells.

**Skn-1a is required for generation of Calhm3− Trpm5− cells**

Skn-1a is required for the generation of Type II cells (based on morphologic classification) that express Trpm5 and Plcb2 and mediate sweet, umami, and bitter tastes (Matsumoto et al., 2011). The Calhm3− Trpm5− cells also express Skn-1a (Figs. 2, 3; Extended Data Figs. 2-1, 3-1). Thus, we asked whether Skn-1a is required for the generation of Calhm3− Trpm5− cells or simply for the expression of Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3 in the Calhm3− Trpm5− cells independent of their generation. For this, we employed double-label fluorescence in situ hybridization analyses using probes to detect Skn-1a+ and Skn-1a− taste bud cells. Because Skn-1a− taste bud cells are comprised predominantly of Type I putative non-sensory cells and Type III sour taste cells, we used probes for Entpd2 that is expressed in Type I cells and for Pkd2l1 that is expressed in sour taste cells to identify Skn-1a− cells. In WT mice, FuP taste buds contained 66.9 ± 2.4% Skn-1a− (i.e., Entpd2-Pkd2l1 mixed probe−positive) cells and 29.9 ± 3.0% Skn-1a− cells (Fig. 4). In the Skn-1a-deficient mice, the taste

Extended Data Fig. 3-1. These results indicate that Skn-1a+ cells in the FuP and soft palate can be classified into the same two differentiated cell subsets as Calhm3-expressing taste cells: all positive and Calhm3−Trpm5− subsets. The somewhat greater number of cells expressing Skn-1a than Plcb2, Itpr3, and Calhm3 can be explained by Skn-1a expression in putative precursor cells in taste buds in addition to the differentiated taste cells such as sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells.
buds contained $90.4 \pm 1.1\%$ Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ (i.e., Entpd2 and Pkd2I1 mixed probe-positive) cells, and $6.6 \pm 1.8\%$ Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ cells ($p=0.0056$; Fig. 4). Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$-deficient mice express mutant Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ mRNA in basal cells, putatively postmitotic taste bud precursor cells (Matsumoto et al., 2011), that likely accounts for the residual Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ mRNA signal. These results demonstrate that Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$-deficient mice lack all Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ differentiated cells including $Calhm3^{-}\alpha Trpm5^{-}\alpha$ cells. Similar results were observed in taste buds of soft palate (32.4 $\pm$ 0.7% and $5.5 \pm 1.1\%$ Skn-1$^{-} \alpha$ cells in WT and Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$-deficient mice, respectively, $p=0.0001$; Extended Data Fig. 4-1). Thus, like sweet, umami, and bitter Type II taste cells, $Calhm3^{-}\alpha Trpm5^{-}\alpha$ cells also require Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ for their generation.

**Figure 4.** Disappearance of Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$-dependent taste bud cells by Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ deficiency. Populations of Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ and Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ cells (i.e., positive to a mixed probe to Entpd2 and Pkd2I1) in taste buds in FuP were quantified by double-fluorescence in situ hybridization analyses. Taste bud profiles are outlined by broken white line. Asterisk indicates the ratio expressing mutant Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ mRNA. The decrease of the Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ cell population was statistically evaluated by Welch’s $t$ test: $p=0.0056$. Scale bar: 25$\mu$m.

**Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ is required for gustatory nerve responses to NaCl**

Because the existence of $Calhm3^{-}\alpha$ cells depends on Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$, the phenotype by $Calhm3$ deficiency in gustatory nerve responses is expected to be recapitulated in the Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$-deficient mice. However, recent findings by two groups presented conflicting results, although they both showed the requirement, at least in part, of $Calhm3$ and Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ in the AS NaCl responses of chorda tympani nerves (Larson et al., 2020; Nomura et al., 2020). We interrogated whether Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ and $Calhm3$ are equally required for NaCl taste by recording chorda tympani nerve responses. Genetic deletion of Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$ reduced responses to 300 and 1000 mM NaCl ($p<0.0001$). AS responses were eliminated at all concentrations over 100 mM ($p<0.0001$ for 100, 300, and 1000 mM), whereas Al responses were diminished only at 1000 mM ($p<0.0001$; Fig. 5A,B; Table 2). The decrease of Al responses in the Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$-deficient mice can most likely be accounted for by the absence of bitter taste cells in these mice that contribute to the taste of high salt concentrations (Matsumoto et al., 2011; Oka et al., 2013). These results demonstrate that both AS and Al NaCl tastes are largely mediated by Skn-1$^{-}\alpha$-dependent taste bud cells. Consistent with this, AS responses of the chorda tympani nerve to NaCl were eliminated in $Calhm3$-deficient mice (Fig. 5C), and $Calhm3$ is involved in AI chorda tympani nerve responses in bitter taste cells (Ma et al., 2018). Together, our results indicate that the $Calhm3^{-}\alpha Trpm5^{-}\alpha$ cells mediate sodium taste, whereas sour taste cells together with bitter taste cells mediate Al salt taste, as previously demonstrated (Oka et al., 2013). These results support the finding by Nomura et al. (2020) and are partially consistent with the results by Larson et al. (2020), with regard to AS NaCl responses. Furthermore, they question the claim that Al NaCl taste is mediated by Type II cells including sweet taste cells (Roebber et al., 2019).

**$Calhm3^{-}\alpha Trpm5^{-}\alpha$ cells express ENaC$_{\alpha}$**

Sodium taste deficiency by the conditional deletion of ENaC$_{\alpha}$ in $Calhm3^{-}\alpha$ taste cells (Nomura et al., 2020) and the lack of Trpm5 expression in the putative ENaC$_{\alpha}$-expressing cells identified by a reporter expression in transgenic mice (Chandrashekar et al., 2010) suggest that ENaC$_{\alpha}$ is expressed in $Calhm3^{-}\alpha Trpm5^{-}\alpha$ cells. However, it was not confirmed that the reporter recapitulates the ENaC$_{\alpha}$ expression in the FuP and/or soft palate, since reginal expression may be regulated by a distinct enhancer that may not be included in the transgene, as shown for Shh expression (Sagai et al., 2009). Thus, we tested the possibility that ENaC$_{\alpha}$ is expressed in $Calhm3^{-}\alpha Trpm5^{-}\alpha$ cells.

Because ENaC$_{\alpha}$ signals in taste buds in the FuP were too weak to detect by double-fluorescence in situ hybridization, we employed long-term signal development using chromogenic substrate to detect ENaC$_{\alpha}$ expression in combination with fluorescence signal detection for other taste cell genes. This method was previously shown to be as efficacious as double-fluorescence in situ hybridization in an analysis of the relationship of weak Calhm1 expression with taste cell marker gene expression (Taruno et al., 2013). Employing this method, we found that Calhm1 was always co-expressed with $Calhm3$ (Extended Data Fig. 5B).
consistent with the same phenotypes of the knockouts in gustatory nerve recordings (Fig. 5; Taruno et al., 2013; Tordoff et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2018; Nomura et al., 2020). Similarly, we observed partial overlap of ENaCα with Skn-1a and Calhm3 localizations (Fig. 6A,B; Extended Data Fig. 6-2A,B; Table 3). Although fluorescence signals of a cell may possibly overlap with chromogenic signals of another cell located above or below of fluorescence signal, we never observed any overlap of ENaCα with Trpm5 in the FuP or soft palate (Fig. 6C; Extended Data...

Figure 5. Skn-1a deficiency extinguishes AS chorda tympani nerve responses to NaCl. A, Representative charts of chorda tympani nerve responses of WT and Skn-1a−/− mice to NaCl in the presence (green traces) or absence of 100 μM amiloride. Shaded rectangles depict the AS (blue) and AI (green) components in response to NaCl. The bars under the traces show the duration (30 s) of the taste stimulus. B, C, Whole chorda tympani nerve responses of Skn-1a−/− (n = 3) and WT (n = 4) mice (B) and Calhm3−/− (n = 6) and WT (n = 5) mice (C) to NaCl. AS salt responses (AS component; B, middle) were measured by subtracting the AI response (AI component; B, right) from the whole salt response (B, left). Significance was assessed by a repeated-measures two-way ANOVA and the Tukey-Kramer test: *p < 0.05. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM; where error bars are not visible, they are smaller than the symbol depicting the mean. For details, see Table 2.

6-1), consistent with the same phenotypes of the knockouts in gustatory nerve recordings (Fig. 5; Taruno et al., 2013; Tordoff et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2018; Nomura et al., 2020). Similarly, we observed partial overlap of ENaCα with Skn-1a and Calhm3 localizations (Fig. 6A,B; Extended Data Fig. 6-2A,B; Table 3). Although fluorescence signals of a cell may possibly overlap with chromogenic signals of another cell located above or below of fluorescence signal, we never observed any overlap of ENaCα with Trpm5 in the FuP or soft palate (Fig. 6C; Extended Data...
Table 2: Summary of statistical analyses of chorda tympani responses to NaCl

| Component Genotype | Concentration | Interaction | Concentrations differing significantly with p value |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Skn-1a KO vs WT    |               |             |                                                   |
| Whole to NaCl      |               | p = 0.0013  | 300 mM (p < 0.0001)                               |
| AS                 |               | p = 0.0011  | 1000 mM (p < 0.0001)                              |
| Al                 |               | p = 0.0249  | 100 mM (p = 0.0149)                               |
| Calhm3 KO vs WT    |               | p = 0.0002  | 300 mM (p < 0.0001)                               |

![Figure 6](image-url)  

**Figure 6.** ENaCα expression in Calhm3+ Trpm5− sodium–taste cells in FuP. Double-labeling in situ hybridization was performed to study expression of ENaCα in Calhm3+ Trpm5− sodium–taste cells. A–C, ENaCα expression and that of Skn-1a (A), Calhm3 (B), and Trpm5 (C). Numbers of cells showing signals were counted, and the ratios of cells positive and negative for ENaCα (middle images) to the total population of cells positive for the gene (left images) are shown at the right (n = 3). White arrowheads indicate Skn-1a, Calhm3, or Trpm5 single-positive cells, and red arrowheads indicate cells co-expressing ENaCα and Skn-1a, Calhm3, or Trpm5. D, Robust decrease of ENaCα-expression in non-sour taste cells by Skn-1a deficiency in taste buds. Populations of Pkd211+ and Pkd211− cells in ENaCα-expressing cells were quantified by double-labeling in situ hybridization analyses. White and red arrowheads indicate representative Pkd211+ ENaCα+ and Pkd211 ENaCα− cells, respectively. Decrease of the Pkd211+ ENaCα+ cell population was evaluated by Welch’s t test: p = 0.0422. Scale bars: 25 μm.
Table 3: Details of double-label in situ hybridization analyses for ENaCα with taste cell marker genes

| Tissue | Mouse | Marker gene | No. of taste buds | Ratio (%)* |
|--------|-------|-------------|------------------|------------|
| FuP    | B6, n = 3 | Skn-1a | 40 | 12.8 ± 2.2 |
|        | B6, n = 3 | Calhm3 | 25 | 13.9 ± 3.2 |
|        | B6, n = 3 | Trpm5 | 27 | 0          |
|        | B6, n = 3 | Pkd2l1 | 20 | 38.0 ± 7.2 |
|        | Skn-1α+/−, n = 3 | Pkd2l1 | 27 | 7.2 ± 2.0 |
| Palate | B6, n = 3 | Skn-1a | 32 | 13.0 ± 4.7 |
|        | B6, n = 3 | Calhm3 | 28 | 8.8 ± 0.6 |
|        | B6, n = 3 | Trpm5 | 25 | 0          |
|        | B6, n = 3 | Pkd2l1 | 22 | 44.5 ± 3.0 |
|        | Skn-1α+/−, n = 3 | Pkd2l1 | 39 | 2.9 ± 1.7 |

Table 3: Details of double-label in situ hybridization analyses for ENaCα with taste cell marker genes

Double-positive cells to marker gene-positive cells (Skn-1α, Calhm3, and Trpm5) or to Pkd2l1 ENaCα− cells to ENaCα− cells.

Fig. 6-2C; Table 3), strongly suggesting that ENaCα and Trpm5 are not co-expressed in any taste cells. In addition, ENaCα expression was detected in both sour and non-sour taste cells (Fig. 6D), consistent with previous findings in transgenic mice (Chandrashekar et al., 2010) but incompatible with a previous single-cell-PCR analysis (Yoshida et al., 2009). The ENaCα expression in non-sour taste cells was absent in Skn-1α-deficient mice (in FuP, p = 0.0422; in soft palate, p = 0.0009; Fig. 6; Extended Data Fig. 6-2; Table 3). These results indicate that Skn-1α-dependent Calhm3+ Trpm5− cells express ENaCα and serve as sodium–taste cells.

Discussion

The results of the present study demonstrate that sodium–taste cells and Type II sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells have shared molecular expression features, and a similar reliance on Skn-1α for their generation. These findings advance our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of taste cell differentiation, provide new insights into classification of taste cell lineage, and reveal a cellular mechanism that elicits salt taste.

Cellular mechanism of taste by NaCl

Salts are dissolved in saliva, and either cations or anions could activate different taste cells independently. In case of NaCl, Na+ activates ENaCα-mediated AS mechanisms in a specific population of taste cells characterized by their ENaCα− Pkd2l1− Trpm5− expression profile (Chandrashekar et al., 2010). Although it has been suggested that these AS salt taste cells do not possess voltage–gated Na+ currents (Vandenbush et al., 2008), several studies have demonstrated that sodium–taste cells fire Na+ action potentials (Bigiani and Cuoghi, 2007; Yoshida et al., 2009; Nomura et al., 2020) and that they are responsible for the avidity to NaCl (Chandrashekar et al., 2010; Nomura et al., 2020). They are most likely sensory cells.

Various chloride salts are sensed by yet-to-be identified AI mechanisms that may reside in sour and bitter taste cells (Oka et al., 2013) or sweet and bitter taste cells (Roebber et al., 2019). If AI salt taste resides in only sweet and bitter taste cells, chorda tympani nerve responses to NaCl in mice deficient in Calhm1, Calhm3, and Skn-1α should be absent over all concentrations. However, chorda tympani nerve responses to NaCl in these mice are comparable to those of WT mice except at very high concentrations (Fig. 5; Tordoff et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2018). Of note, Skn-1α-deficient mice have only sour taste cells as sensory cells, and retain AI salt taste, demonstrating that the AI mechanism resides at least in part in sour taste cells. Consistent with this, Car4, which is expressed specifically in sour taste cells in taste buds, is involved in sensing a variety of chloride salts, although the mechanisms are unclear (Oka et al., 2013). Bitter taste cells have also been implicated in aversive salt taste (Oka et al., 2013). Mice deficient in any intracellular bitter signal transduction pathway molecule including Gnat3, PLCβ2, Trpm5, CALHM1, and CALHM3 exhibit deficits in neural or behavioral responses to high salt concentrations (Dotson et al., 2005; Glendinning et al., 2005; Oka et al., 2013; Taruno et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2018). It is possible that T2R bitter receptors associated with Gnat3 respond to chloride salts and trigger the bitter receptor downstream intracellular signal transduction pathway. Of note, human TAS2R7 serves as metallic cation receptor (Behrens et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). It is interesting to speculate that specific receptors for Cl− exist in sour and bitter taste cells that respond to various chloride salts.

Intracellular signal transduction of sodium taste

Our results suggest that taste bud cells in the FuP with the ENaCα− Pkd2l1− Trpm5− expression profile function as taste receptor cells responsible for sensing Na+. Similar to other tastes, NaCl taste involves ATP release in neurotransmission (Finger et al., 2005), and deficiencies of Calhm1 and Calhm3 encoding functional components of the ATP-release channel eliminate AS salt responses (Fig. 5; Tordoff et al., 2014; Nomura et al., 2020). Sodium–taste cells also express Pldb2 and Itpr3 (Fig. 1), both of which are involved in the increases of intracellular [Ca2+]i in sweet, umami, and bitter Type II taste cells (Zhang et al., 2003). However, unlike their involvement in Type II cells, neither PLCβ2 nor IP3R3 is involved in the perception of NaCl (Zhang et al., 2003; Hisatsune et al., 2007; Tordoff and Ellis, 2013), consistent with recent findings that sodium–taste cells fire action potentials without increases of intracellular [Ca2+]i (Nomura et al., 2020). The roles of PLCβ2 and IP3R3 in sodium–taste cells remain to be determined. Although sodium–taste cells lack expression of Gnat3 and Trpm5, their expression of Pldb2 and Itpr3 in sodium–taste cells may suggest that they express yet-to-be-identified G protein–coupled receptor (GPCR), G proteins, and cation channels (possibly Ca2+–dependent monovalent cation channels like Trpm5). It is therefore of some interest to understand the transcriptome of sodium–taste cells.

Sodium–taste cells and the morphologic classification of taste bud cells

Skn-1α regulates the differentiation of sweet, umami, and bitter taste cells and extra-oral taste cell-like
chemosensory cells such as brush cells in the airways, urethra, and auditory tube. Like taste cells, those chemosensory cells express taste GPCRs (i.e., T1Rs and/or T2Rs), Gnat3, PLCβ2, and Trpm5 (Finger et al., 2003; Ohmoto et al., 2008; Krasteva et al., 2011, 2012; Matsumoto et al., 2011; Deckmann et al., 2014; Panneck et al., 2014; Yamashita et al., 2017). On the other hand, microvillous cells in the main olfactory epithelium have little similarity to taste and taste cell-like chemosensory cells with regard to molecular feature: they express only Trpm5 but not mRNA of taste GPCRs, Gnat3, or PLCβ2 (Yamaguchi et al., 2014), although immunoreactivities to Gnat3 and PLCβ2 were somehow detected (Genovese and Tizzano, 2018). Although neither intestinal tuft cells nor olfactory microvillous cells express taste GPCRs, the former express another GPCR, Sucnr1, and are involved in sensing chemical sucinate, and the latter likely detect odor chemicals and modulate olfactory sensory neuron activity (Lemons et al., 2017; Lei et al., 2018; Nadjsonbati et al., 2018; Schneider et al., 2018). The only commonality among these Skn-1a-dependent chemosensory cells, including taste cells, is their expression of Trpm5 (Yamashita et al., 2017). Therefore, sodium–taste cells are the first, very unique population of Skn-1a-dependent chemosensory cells that lack of Trpm5 expression. Other unidentified Skn-1a-dependent chemosensory cells devoid of Trpm5 expression may exist. Genetic tools to mark Skn-1a-cells will help identify such novel chemosensory cells.

Taste bud cells have been classified into four types based on their ultra-microscopic morphologic features. This morphologic classification correlates with molecular features: Type I cells appear to be non-sensory supporting cells that lack voltage-gated Na+ currents (Medler et al., 2003) and express Entpd2 that hydrolyzes extracellular ATP released from other taste bud cells as a neurotransmitter (Finger et al., 2005; Bartel et al., 2006; Vandenbeuch et al., 2013); Type II cells are taste cells expressing GPCRs and signaling molecules including PLCβ2, IP3R3, and Trpm5; Type III cells are Pkd2/1-cells; and Type IV cells are undifferentiated basal cells (Yang et al., 2000; Clapp et al., 2004; Chaudhari and Roper, 2010). Sodium–taste cells are sensory cells, but they are distinct from these cell types. Their molecular features, including the expression of Pldb2, Itpr3, Calhm1, Calhm3, and Skn-1a, requirement of Skn-1a for their generation, and apparent Calhm1/3 requirement for neurotransmitter release are reminiscent of Type II cells. They are however distinguished from Type II cells by their lack of Trpm5 and presence of ENaCα expression. Accordingly, sodium–taste cells can be regarded as a Type II cell subset, similar to the distinctions among Type II cells by their GPCR expression profiles. Ultramicroscopic morphologic studies in combination with immunohistochemistry in Fup taste buds where sodium–taste cells reside will be necessary to determine whether sodium–taste cells constitute a morphologically-distinct cell type in taste buds.
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