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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery by the intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) of a candidate tidal disruption event (TDE) iPTF16axa at z = 0.108, and present its broadband photometric and spectroscopic evolution from 3 months of follow-up observations with ground-based telescopes and Swift. The light curve is well fitted with a t−5/3 decay, and we constrain the rise-time to peak to be <49 rest-frame days after disruption, which is roughly consistent with the fallback timescale expected for the black hole inferred from the tidal velocity dispersion of the host galaxy. The UV and optical spectral energy distribution (SED) is well described by a constant blackbody temperature of T=3×10^4 K over the monitoring period, with an observed peak luminosity of 1.1×10^{44} erg s−1. The optical spectra are characterized by a strong blue continuum and broad HeII and Hα lines characteristic of TDEs. We compare the photometric and spectroscopic signatures of iPTF16axa with 11 TDE candidates in the literature with well-sampled optical light curves. Based on a single-temperature fit to the optical and near-UV photometry, most of these TDE candidates have peak luminosities confined between log(L [erg s−1]) = 43.4-44.4, with constant temperatures of a few ×10^4 K during their power-law declines, implying blackbody radii on the order of ten times the tidal disruption radius, that decrease monotonically with time. For TDE candidates with hydrogen and helium emission, the high helium-to-hydrogen ratios suggest that the emission arises from high-density gas, where nebular arguments break down. We find no correlation between the peak luminosity and the black hole mass, contrary to the expectations for TDEs to have Λ = M_{BH}^{−1/2}.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A tidal disruption event (TDE) occurs when a star passes close enough to a supermassive black hole (SMBH) for the tidal forces of the black hole to exceed the self-gravity of the star, and the star is torn apart by the encounter. For a stellar approach on a nearly-parabolic orbit, about half of the stellar debris will remain bound to the black hole while the other half gains enough energy to escape the gravitational attraction of the black hole. As the bound material returns to pericenter, the material will feed onto the black hole and generate a flare of radiation. The classical solution assuming a uniform mass distribution predicts the mass fallback rate (Λ) to follow a t−5/3 power law (Rees 1988; Phinney 1989) that can be expressed as Λ = M_{peak}(t/t_{min})−5/3. The peak mass fallback rate is defined as M_{peak} = 1/3M_{\text{BH}}/t_{min} while the fallback time t_{min} is proportional to M_{\text{BH}}^{1/2} (Lodato & Rossi 2011).

Although it is shown that in a more detailed calculation the mass fallback rate is determined by the internal structure of the star and even the spin of the black hole, the fallback rate at late times generally approaches the classical t−5/3 power law (Lodato et al. 2009; Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 2013). Theoretically, the peak mass accretion rate depends on the mass of the black hole as Λ_{peak} \propto M_{\text{BH}}^{1/2} (Lodato & Rossi 2011). For a smaller black hole with M_{\text{BH}} \lessIM 10^7 M_\odot, the initial stage of the accretion is expected to be super-Eddington (Strubbe & Quataert 2009; Lodato & Rossi 2011). However, it is still unclear how the fallback rate translates to the observed luminosity.

The first few discoveries of TDEs were made in the 1990s in the form of luminous soft X-ray outbursts in quiescent galaxies from the ROSAT survey (Bade et al. 1996; Komossa & Bade 1999; Grupe et al. 1995; Brautd et al. 1995; Komossa & Greiner 1999; Grupe et al. 1999; Greiner et al. 2000). Several more TDE candidates with
similar properties were found in archival searches with the XMM-Newton Slew Survey (Esquej et al. 2007, 2008) and Chandra (Maksym et al. 2013; Donato et al. 2014), until the serendipitous discovery of jetted TDE candidates with hard X-ray spectra and super-Eddington luminosities by the Swift satellite (Bloom et al. 2011; Burrows et al. 2011; Levan et al. 2011; Zauderer et al. 2011; Cenko et al. 2012). Most of the recent discoveries of TDEs have been in the UV and optical, exploiting the wide-field UV capabilities of GALEX, and optical synoptic sky surveys such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), Palomar Transient Factory (PTF), Pan-STARRS1 (PS1), and the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASASSN). The UV or optically discovered TDE candidates tend to peak in the UV with blackbody temperatures of a few $\times 10^5 \text{K}$, while the non-jetted X-ray TDE candidates have temperatures in the range of $\sim (0.6-1.0) \times 10^4 \text{K}$. It is worth mentioning that all of the optically detected TDE candidates are weak or not detected in the X-rays, except ASASSN-14li (Hololien et al. 2016b).

While the temperature of X-ray TDEs is roughly consistent with the theoretical expectations for radiation powered by mass accretion in the TDE debris disk, the discovery of optical TDEs has challenged this simple picture. Not only do they have a much lower temperature than expected, but the lack of temperature evolution in tandem with the decreasing accretion rate is also in disagreement with thermal radiation from the debris disk. Many studies have tried to resolve this discrepancy by considering several mechanisms that could lead to the observed signature. For example, the production of an optically thick envelope that radiates at the Eddington limit (Loeb & Ulmer 1997), or a strong disk wind or outflow that regulates the accretion rate (Strubbe & Quataert 2009; Miller 2015; Metzger & Stone 2016). Alternatively, elliptical accretion may cause energy lost to the black hole before circularization, resulting in 1%-10% of the bolometric efficiency of a standard accretion disk (Svirski et al. 2017).

Another weakness in the classical picture of TDEs is debris circularization, which was assumed to happen immediately when the debris returns to pericenter ($R_p$) (Rees 1988). Recent work by Shiokawa et al. (2015) has shown that orbital energy cannot be dissipated efficiently at $r = R_p$, and therefore the circularization process does not happen as quickly as previously thought. Instead, stream-stream collisions are thought to play an important role in producing shocks that convert kinetic energy into thermal energy (Kochanek 1994). In Dai et al. (2015), the extent of apsidal precession that causes different distances of self-intersection of the tidal debris from the supermassive black hole was proposed to explain why there exists two populations of TDE temperatures. Hydrodynamical simulations also suggest that stream-stream collisions may be responsible for the observed UV/optical emission of TDEs (Piran et al. 2015; Shiokawa et al. 2015; Bonnerot et al. 2017; Jiang et al. 2016).

The method for photometric selection of TDEs in optical transient surveys was demonstrated in an archival study of the SDSS Stripe 82 Survey by van Velzen et al. (2011), and resulted in the recovery of two likely TDE candidates. Since then, on the order of a dozen of optical TDEs have been discovered promptly enough for spectroscopic follow-up observations, and they show a diversity of broad hydrogen and helium emission line strengths. For example, the optical spectra of PS1-10jh, PTF09ge, and ASASSN-15oi display broad HeI$\lambda4686$ emission lines with no sign of H$\alpha$ emission, ASASSN-14li shows both broad prominent HeII and H$\alpha$ emission, and ASASSN-14ae has strong HeI$\lambda4686$ and a weaker but broad HeI$\lambda4686$ that developed later in time. The spectral family of TDEs was first discussed in Arcavi et al. (2014). The mechanisms behind the spectroscopic signatures are still under debate. Proposed explanations include the chemical composition of the progenitor star (Gezari et al. 2012), and photoionization conditions in the debris disk (Guillochon et al. 2014) or an optically-thick reprocessing envelope (Roth et al. 2016).

The paper is structured as follows. In §2 we present the discovery of a newly discovered optical TDE candidate iPTF16axa. We describe the pre-event data associated with its host galaxy in §3 and the follow up photometric and spectroscopic observations we obtained for iPTF16axa in §4. The results of SED and spectral analyses are presented in §5. In §6, we compare the physical quantities derived from the SEDs and the spectral measurements with 11 UV/optical events that are classified as strong TDE candidates with well-sampled optical light curves.

2. DISCOVERY OF iPTF16axa

iPTF16axa (right ascension, $\alpha_{\text{J2000}} = 17h03m34.36s$; declination, $\delta_{\text{J2000}} = +30\degree35\arcmin36.8\arcsec$) is a TDE discovered by the intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) using the Palomar 48-inch (P48) telescope. The flare was first detected on UT 2016 May 29 (UT dates are used throughout the paper) with a host flux subtracted magnitude of $g = 19.49 \pm 0.07 \text{mag}$. Astrometrically aligned P48 images show that the position of the flare is coincident with the nucleus of the host galaxy, with an offset of 0.17 arcsec that is within the positional uncertainties measured for a reference AGN sample of 0.3 arcsec. Constraints on the peak time are not available since the field is not regularly monitored by iPTF. However, the PTF survey visited this field in 2011 Mar-Sep, 2012 Mar, 2013 Aug, and 2014 May-Jun. No historical variability activity was detected to a $3\sigma$ limiting magnitude of $R \approx 21 \text{mag}$ in any observations during the aforementioned period, which indicates that the source of the flare is unlikely to be caused by a variable active galactic nucleus (AGN).

We requested target-of-opportunity (ToO) observation of iPTF16axa on 2016 June 01 using our Cycle 12 Swift key project (PI Gezari) triggers that are designed for a systematic follow up of iPTF nuclear transients with red host galaxies. The transient satisfies our selection criteria: observations made with the Palomar-60 inch (P60) telescope shows the transient has a blue color ($g - r \approx -0.4 \text{mag}$) and is found in a red host galaxy ($u - g = 1.94 \text{mag}$ and $g - r = 0.91 \text{mag}$) as is revealed by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 9 (SDSS DR9).

The observation made with the Swift satellite on Jun 07 2016 using the UV-optical telescope (UVOT) in the uvw2 filter showed signs of a UV bright source. After triggering the Swift ToO observation, a classification
spectrum was also taken with Keck DEIMOS on 2016 June 04. The classification spectrum shows conspicuous broad HeII λ4686 line as well as Hα emission lines at z = 0.108 that are indicative of TDEs discovered in the optical. However, a simultaneous Swift X-Ray Telescope (XRT) observation did not show any sign of X-ray emission in 0.3–10keV. A VLA observation made on June 12 also resulted in null detection with a rms of 13 µJy at 6.1 GHz and 15 µJy at 22 GHz. With the Swift UVOT photometry and the classification spectrum confirming iPTF16axa being a strong TDE candidate, we triggered a series of follow up programs in the UV and optical over a span of three months until the target was not observable by ground-based telescopes.

3. ARCHIVAL DATA

The celestial position of iPTF16axa was covered by SDSS. A galaxy associated with this position, SDSS J170334.34+303536.6, has photometry measurements in ugriz. However, no spectroscopy is found to be associated with the host galaxy.

Archival AllWISE data (Cutri & et al. 2013) shows that the host galaxy was observed with 15.8 mag, 15.5 mag, <11.7 mag, and <9.4 mag in 3.4, 4.6, 12, and 22 µm, respectively, in the Vega system. Pre-event GALEX or ROSAT limits of the host galaxy are not available.

3.1. Host galaxy properties

Due to the long-lived nature of TDE, we were not able to obtain a host galaxy spectrum of iPTF16axa for this analysis before it went behind the Sun in October.

We perform synthetic stellar population template fitting to the SDSS broadband photometry cmodelMag in ugriz as well as the WISE 3.4 µm and 4.6 µm photometry with Fitting and Assessment of Synthetic Templates (FAST) by Kriek et al. (2009). Assuming an exponentially declining star formation history with the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) templates, a Salpeter IMF, the Cardelli et al. (1989) dust extinction law, and AV=0.12 from the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) dust map, the fitting program yields a χ² of 1.57. The results of the fit suggest that star formation has quenched in the galaxy with an SFR of 10⁻⁶.6 M⊙ yr⁻¹. In February 2017, we obtained a high-resolution spectrum of iPTF16axa with the Echellette Spectrograph and Imager (ESI) mounted on the Keck-II telescope (PI Gezari). We observed the host galaxy and a template GIII star BD+332423 with the 0.5′ slit for a total integration time of 3600s and 120s, respectively. The data is reduced with the MAuna Kea Echelle Extraction (MAKEE 16) package while the wavelength is calibrated with IRAF.

We measure a stellar velocity dispersion of 101.3±1.9 kms⁻¹ using the Mg II λλ5167, 5173, 5184 triplet (Fig. 1) by broadening the GIII stellar template to match the linewidths in the host spectrum. The velocity dispersion translates to a black hole mass of 5.0±7.0×10⁹ M⊙ (McConnell & Ma 2013). Despite the large intrinsic scatter in the M-σ relation (0.38 dex), the black hole mass estimated from velocity dispersion is within the range of allowable black hole masses able to disrupt a solar-type star outside of its event horizon.

4. FOLLOW-UP OBSERVATIONS

4.1. Photometry

4.1.1. P48 and P60 photometry

On 2016 May 29, the transient iPTF16axa was discovered in the g band while iPTF conducted a seasonal experiment that searches for young supernovae using the P48 telescope in Mould-R and SDSS-g' filters to a depth of ~20.5 mag with a 4 day cadence. The nightly P48 raw images are detrended and astrometrically and photometrically calibrated at the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center (IPAC) (Lafer et al. 2014). Following the discovery of the transient, we requested a series of observations of the source in gri bands with the robotic Palomar 60-inch telescope in order to keep track of the color evolution. The collected data are processed by the Fremling Automated Pipline (Fremling et al. 2016) that performs image subtraction with respect to the SDSS images and extracts the PSF magnitude of the source.

4.1.2. LCO photometry

We obtained 6 epochs of the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO) follow-up photometry in gri bands. Host flux subtraction is performed using SDSS references. The LCO light curves are consistent with the P60 data but have larger error bars due to cross subtractions of LCO and SDSS. Therefore, the LCO data are not included in the light curve fit in § 5.1. The LCO subtractions may be improved once the LCO references are obtained.

4.1.3. Swift UVOT and XRT photometry

Following the discovery of TDE emission signatures from the spectroscopy, we requested and were granted 13 target-of-opportunity observations spanning a time period of ~ 2.5 months with Swift. The observations

16 http://www.astro.caltech.edu/~tb/makee/
were made in all 6 filters of UVOT: UVW2 (1928 Å), UVM2 (2246 Å), UVW1 (2600 Å), U (3465 Å), B (4392 Å), and V (5468 Å). We used a 5\arcsec radius aperture and a 20\arcsec background region to extract the photometry of the UV source with the task uvtosource in HEASoft. Note that due to the lack of pre-event UV limits, we do not attempt to perform host subtraction with the UVOT images.

We also observed the location of iPTF16axa with the XRT (Burrows et al. 2005) on-board the Swift satellite Gehrels et al. (2004) beginning at 4:32 UT on 7 June 2016. Regular monitoring of the field in photon counting (PC) mode continued for the next 10 weeks.

No significant emission is detected in individual epochs. Using standard XRT analysis procedures (e.g., Evans et al. 2009), we place 90\% confidence upper limits ranging from (2.9–12.0) \times 10^{-3} counts s^{-1} in the 0.3–10.0 keV bandpass over this time period. Stacking all the XRT data obtained over this period together (29 ks of total exposure time) also results in an upper limit of 2.7 \times 10^{-4} counts s^{-1}.

To convert this count rate to a flux, we adopt a power-law spectrum with a photon index of $\Gamma = 2$ and incorporate absorption from the Milky Way (but none in the TDE host galaxy). We then find an upper limit on the time-averaged unabsorbed X-ray flux from the location of iPTF16axa of < 1.1 \times 10^{-14} erg cm^{-2} s^{-1} (90\% confidence limit). At the distance of iPTF16axa, this corresponds to a 0.3–10.0 keV X-ray luminosity of $L_X < 3.3 \times 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}. While this limit is significantly fainter than the luminous X-ray emission observed from ASASSN-14li (Holoiyen et al. 2016b; van Velzen et al. 2016b), it is comparable to the much fainter emission observed from ASASSN-15oi ($L_X = 4.8 \times 10^{41}$ erg s^{-1}; Holoiyen et al. 2016a). And it is several orders of magnitude above the faint X-ray emission observed at the location of iPTF16fni ($L_X = 2.4 \times 10^{39}$ erg s^{-1}; Blagorodnova et al. 2017).

4.2. Spectroscopy

4.2.1. Keck DEIMOS

A Keck DEIMOS classification spectrum was scheduled 3 days after the first Swift ToO observation was triggered (Jun 04 2016). The spectrum was taken with a 0.8\arcsec wide slit along with the LVMsitC slit mask and a 600ZD grating. The on-source exposure time was 360s. The data was reduced using the DEIMOS DEEP2 data reduction pipeline with flux calibrated by the spectrum of a spectrophotometric standard star, BD+28d4211, taken on the same night.

4.2.2. Keck LRIS

Keck LRIS spectra were taken on Jun 10 2016 and Jul 06 2016. Same configuration were used and the integration time was 900s in both nights. The spectra were taken with a 1\arcsec slit and a 400/3400 grism that yields a FWHM resolution of ~7 Å. The data were reduced with the LRIS automated reduction pipeline. The observed flux standard star is BD+28d4211.

4.2.3. DCT DeVeny

An exposure of iPTF16axa was taken on Jun 13 with the 4.3-meter DeVeny spectrograph mounted on the Discovery Channel Telescope (DCT). A 1.5\arcsec slit and a 300g/mm grating were used with a central wavelength setting of 5800 Å. The spectral coverage is 3600-8000 Å at a dispersion of ~2.2 Å per pixel, yielding a FWHM resolution of ~9 Å. Data were reduced with standard IRAF routines, which include bias removal, flat-fielding, 1-d spectrum extraction, wavelength calibration and flux calibration using spectrophotometric standard star BD+40d4032.

5. Analysis

Throughout this paper, we correct for Galactic extinction for all data used for analysis using the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction curve with $R_V = 3.1$ and $E(B-V) = 0.0390$ based on Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) dust map. We use a luminosity distance of $d_L = 505$ Mpc based on a WMAP9 cosmology with $H_0 = 69.32$ km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), $\Omega_M = 0.29$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.71$.

5.1. Light curves

Classical calculations assume a uniform distribution in specific energy so that the bound stellar debris returns to the pericenter at a rate of $t^{-5/3}$ (Rees 1988; Phinney 1989). For more realistic energy distributions, there are deviations from $t^{-5/3}$ at early times, but the light-curve eventually approaches a $t^{-5/3}$ power-law at late times (Lodato et al. 2009), or approaches a power-law index within a range of values that brackets $-5/3$ (Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 2013). The $t^{-5/3}$ power-law can be expressed as

$$L(t) \propto M(t) \propto (t - t_D)^{-5/3},$$

where $t_D$ is the time of disruption. We fit the light curves in both $g$ and $r$ bands simultaneously with data taken by P48 and P60 telescopes. With a fixed power-law index of -5/3, we can rewrite Eq. 1 to

$$m_{obs} = N + \frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{5}{3}(t - t_D),$$

where $m_{obs}$ is the observed magnitude and $N$ is a normalization constant. We derived a disruption time ($t_D$) of MJD 57482.9±1.1 using emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013), a python implementation of the Affine invariant Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) ensemble sampler. The corner plot for 1000 MCMC simulations is shown in Fig. 2. If we loosen the fitting parameter constraints further by allowing the power law index to change freely, we eventually approach a power-law in all the bands with time. The model fit shown in Fig. 3 has the colors $UVW2 - r = -1.05$ mag and $g - r = -0.34$. The lack of color evolution and the observed $t^{-5/3}$ power-law decline in the UV and optical bands requires a fixed
temperature over time to be consistent with the expected $t^{-5/3}$ evolution of the bolometric luminosity.

In Fig. 4, we show the best-fit blackbody spectrum implied by these colors using the magnitudes extrapolated from the power-law fit in Fig. 3 to the time of discovery MJD 57537.4 in $UVW2$, $UVM2$, $UVW1$, $u$, $g$, $r$, and $i$ bands. The best-fit blackbody temperature implied by the light curve model is $2.85 \times 10^4$ K. Using the X-ray upper limit, we also place an upper limit of $1.85 \times 10^5$ K on the blackbody temperature of the TDE, which is shown in the green dashed line in Fig. 4.

5.2. SED analysis

Given the archival SDSS $u$ band magnitude of the host is $\sim 21.4$ mag, we assume the host light contribution is negligible in UVOT filters with shorter wavelengths ($UVW2$, $UVM2$, $UVW1$, $u$). The data in $B$ and $V$ bands are excluded for data analysis since the contribution from the host galaxy is unknown. We also collected the host-subtracted photometry in $gri$ bands from P48 and P60, which use images from IPAC and SDSS as references, respectively.

To construct UV-optical SEDs at different epochs, we interpolate the host-subtracted flux in $g$ and $r$ bands using the $t^{-5/3}$ light curves in Fig. 3 to the epoch of Swift observations. The uncertainties of the interpolated magnitudes in $g$ and $r$ are estimated to be the weighted residual of the light curve fit with the $t^{-5/3}$ power law.

The SEDs are fit with a blackbody using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method. Shown in Fig. 5 are the best-fit blackbody spectra. The $1\sigma$ uncertainties of the model parameter are shown by the two grey lines in each panel, representing the upper and the lower bound of the best-fit temperature. The best-fit blackbody temperatures are plotted as a function of time.

Figure 2. Corner plot of the light curve fit, which contains 1000 MCMC simulations.

Figure 3. The light curve of iPTF16axa with a $t^{-5/3}$ power law fit and dates normalized to the derived disruption time MJD57482.9. The circles and squares for $gri$ bands denote the host-subtracted data taken with P60 and P48 respectively while the diamonds are the extrapolated magnitudes at the time of iPTF discovery. The open triangles in $gri$ bands mark the LCO host-subtracted magnitudes. Note that the LCO data are not included in the light curve fit since they the cross subtractions of LCO data and SDSS result in larger error bars.

Figure 4. Blackbody fit of the UV-optical SED derived from the $t^{-5/3}$ power-law fit in § 5.1 extrapolated to $t_{\text{dis}}=\text{MJD 57537.4}$. The grey curves show the highest and lowest temperatures bounded by the 90% confidence interval. The green curve shows the blackbody spectrum corresponding to $T_{bb}=1.85 \times 10^5$ K, which is an upper limit on the temperature imposed by the stacked Swift XRT flux in 0.3keV-10keV.
in the top panel of Fig. 6. The blackbody temperatures of iPTF16axa remained nearly constant temperature $T = (3.0 \pm 0.33) \times 10^4$K over 80 days of the Swift monitoring.

In Fig. 6, we also plot the time evolution of the UV-optical integrated luminosity and the blackbody radius in the middle and the bottom panels. We calculate the luminosity by integrating the area under the best-fit blackbody for each SED, which follows the theoretical $t^{-5/3}$ law. Given there is no detection in the X-ray, we assume the bolometric luminosity of the transient is dominated by the emission in the UV and optical. The observed peak luminosity of $1.1 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ corresponds to an Eddington ratio of 17.4% for a $5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ black hole and a mass accretion rate ($M_\dot{\mathcal{M}}$) of $1.8 \times 10^{-2} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, where $\epsilon$ is the accretion efficiency. The total energy integrated under the model fit from from $t_{\text{disc}}$ to $t_{\infty}$ is $5.5 \times 10^{50}$ erg, which corresponds to a total mass accreted of $3.1 \times 10^{-3} (\epsilon/0.1)^{-1} M_\odot$. Note, that this is a small fraction of the 0.5$M_\odot$ of mass expected to remain bound to the black hole in a TDE (Rees 1988) unless the radiative efficiency ($\epsilon$) is low.

We also calculate the emitting radius of the blackbody using the Stefan-Boltzmann law:

$$L = 4\pi R_{bb}^2 \sigma T^4,$$

where L is the luminosity integrated from the best-fit blackbody spectrum to the SED, $R_{bb}$ is the blackbody radius, and $T_\text{e}$ is the effective temperature, which is set to be equal to the blackbody temperature derived from the SED fit. In Table 1 we list our fits for the blackbody temperature, luminosity, and photospheric radius for each of our photometric observations. In the bottom panel of Fig. 6, we plot our fit for the photospheric radius as a function of time, where the $x$-axis on the right hand side of Fig. 6 shows the radius in units of the tidal radius ($R_T$) assuming the disrupted star is a solar mass star. The tidal radius, $R_T = R_s (M_{BH}/M_\star)^{1/3}$, is $1.19 \times 10^{13}$ cm for a $5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ black hole.

### Table 1

| MJD | $t - t_{\text{disc}}$ days | BB Temperature $10^4$ K | BB Radius $10^4$ cm | Luminosity $10^{48}$ erg s$^{-1}$ |
|-----|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 57551.23 | 14 | 3.13±0.23 | 3.98±2.27 | 10.91±1.14 |
| 57556.82 | 19 | 2.82±0.2 | 4.1±2.31 | 7.56±0.66 |
| 57571.63 | 34 | 2.64±0.8 | 3.59±1.86 | 4.44±0.13 |
| 57576.48 | 39 | 3.14±0.24 | 2.6±1.49 | 4.67±0.51 |
| 57585.93 | 49 | 2.82±0.19 | 3.24±1.81 | 4.71±0.37 |
| 57587.59 | 50 | 3.15±0.11 | 2.68±1.42 | 5.02±0.28 |
| 57592.58 | 55 | 2.6±1.57 | 3.6±0.26 |
| 57594.42 | 57 | 2.95±0.12 | 2.94±1.57 | 4.67±0.25 |
| 57599.09 | 62 | 2.66±0.29 | 3.19±1.93 | 3.63±0.45 |
| 57608.0 | 71 | 3.91±0.68 | 1.76±1.32 | 5.1±1.69 |
| 57613.24 | 76 | 3.08±0.34 | 2.27±1.41 | 3.32±0.53 |
| 57620.54 | 83 | 2.88±0.35 | 2.35±1.47 | 2.68±0.43 |

5.3. Spectral analysis

Five follow up spectra are shown in Fig. 7. We also show the best-fit host spectrum from FAST fit with SDSS fiberMag (flux enclosed in a 3" diameter fiber) in ugriz filters as described in § 3.1. In Fig. 7, we rescale all the new spectra to the synthetic magnitude in the $r$ band $(m_{r,syn})$, which is defined as

$$m_{r,syn} = -2.5\log_{10}(10^{-m_{r,0}/2.5} + 10^{-m_{r,sub}/2.5}),$$

where $m_{r,0}$ is the fiber magnitude of the host and $m_{r,sub}$ is the host-subtracted $r$-band magnitude derived from the $t^{-5/3}$ power-law fit at the time of the observation.

In order to measure the broad emission lines in the spectra, we first subtract off the instrumental broadened host template spectrum from the FAST fit in § 3.1, where $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2_{\text{instrument}} - \sigma^2_{\text{lib}}}$, for each spectrum. The FWHM resolution of the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) template library is 3A. The host-subtracted spectra are shown in Fig. 8.

It is known that the spectroscopic signatures of an optically discovered TDEs consist of a strong blue continuum and a combination of broad HeII and H$\alpha$ emission (Arcavi et al. 2014). We select the regions outside of the Balmer lines and the He II emission line in the host subtracted spectrum to estimate the continuum. The line-free regions are fit with a 5th-order Legendre polynomial. A blackbody spectrum with $T_{bb} = 3.0 \times 10^4$ K is shown in red in Fig. 9, which is the mean blackbody temperature from the SED fit. The blackbody spectrum shows departure from the host-subtracted spectrum at rest wavelength $\lambda > 4500$ Å.

We approximate the continuum with the Legendre polynomial because it fits the spectra better than the blackbody spectrum and does not require an assumption of the physical origin for the continuum. The line profiles of HeII4686 and H$\alpha$ are measured after host and TDE continuum subtraction. The best-fit results are shown as red lines in Fig. 10, where the grey solid line is the flux of the subtracted spectrum centered at the indicated line in velocity space. We simultaneously fit the HeII4686 (orange) and H$\beta$ (green) emissions as two individual Gaussian profiles. The H$\alpha$ line is modelled as a single Gaussian. The linewdths and line luminosities are listed in Table 2.

6. DISCUSSION

In this section, we start with discussing other potential mechanisms that could drive the observed flare and the implication of the derived rise time for iPTF16axa. We then compare its properties with 11 TDE candidates discovered in UV and optical sky surveys with well-sampled optical light curves: D1-9 and D3-13 from GALEX+CFHTLS (Gezari et al. 2008), TDE1 and TDE2 from SDSS (van Velzen et al. 2011), PTF09ge from PTF (Arcavi et al. 2014), PS1-10jh (Gezari et al. 2012), and PS1-11af (Chornock et al. 2014) from GALEX+Pan-STARRS1, ASASSN-14ae (Holoien et al. 2014), ASASSN-14li (Holoien et al. 2016b), and ASASSN-15oi (Holoien et al. 2016a) from ASASSN, and iPTF 16nl (Blagorodnova et al. 2017). The luminosities, temperatures, and radii of the three ASASSN candidate TDEs (ASASSN-14ae, ASASSN-14li, ASASSN-15oi) are provided by T. Holoien via private communication. We calculate the luminosities and radii for the other TDE candidates by scaling the best-sampled optical light curve (g or r-band) to the peak bolometric luminosity reported in the literature, and assuming a constant temperature.
fixed to the value reported in the literature.

6.1. Origin of the Flare

The color evolution and the spectroscopic signatures of the flare are not consistent with any known supernova. Supernovae exhibit a much faster color evolution due to cooling in the expanding ejecta and can only remain bright in the UV for a few days. In addition, we do not detect any P-Cygni profile indicative of outflow in the spectra of iPTF16axa.

Although the nuclear position of the flare may connect it to AGN activity, we do not see any evidence of the host galaxy harbouring an active nucleus. Firstly, common AGN lines such as [OIII] and [NII] are not present in the spectra. Although the Balmer lines Hα and Hβ were detected, the broad Balmer lines have faded almost entirely from June 2016 to September 2016, which indicates the presence of broad Balmer lines is associated with the transient instead of the host galaxy. In fact, in the rare case of a changing-look AGN, we may see broad emission lines in an AGN suddenly appear or disappear on the timescale of a few years (e.g. LaMassa et al. 2015; Gezari et al. 2017; Shappee et al. 2014; Ruan et al. 2016). However, the lack of X-ray emission in iPTF16axa does not support the changing-look AGN scenario. Furthermore, AGN are known to vary on various timescales across the electromagnetic spectrum. As mentioned in § 2, the position of iPTF16axa does not have any historical PTF detection signposting AGN activity between 2011 and 2014.

The photometric and spectroscopic properties bear a stronger resemblance to previous events classified as optical TDE candidates. We compare and discuss their temperatures, luminosities, and spectral line ratios in § 6.3, § 6.5, and § 6.4.

6.2. Timescale

We derive the shortest rise time \((t_0-t_D)\) by setting the derivative of Eq. A2 (in Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 2013) with respect to the impact parameter \(\beta\) to zero. The minimum theoretical timescale implied by a \(5 \times 10^6 M_\odot\) black hole is 63 days for \(\beta = 1.9\) assuming a \(\gamma = 4/3\) and a solar type star. Since the TDE was discovered on the decline, we can only place an upper limit on the rise time derived from the observed light curve. The upper limit on the rise time is \(\Delta t < 49\) rest frame days assuming the peak light occurred some time before the iPTF discovery. This rise time is consistent with a black hole mass of less than \(3 \times 10^6 M_\odot\), which is within the intrinsic scatter (0.38 dex) of the M-σ relation in McConnell & Ma (2013) given in § 3.1.
temperatures that remain roughly constant over months (Fig. 11). The only outlier here is ASASSN-15oi, which features a $\sim100\%$ increase in blackbody temperature on the timescale of less than a month.

### 6.4. Helium-to-Hydrogen Ratio

Fig. 12 shows the integrated Helium-to-H$_\alpha$ line ratio of iPTF16axa and the other TDE candidates discovered in the optical. PS1-10jh, PTF09ge, and ASASSN-15oi do not have H$_\alpha$ emission. A lower limit of $4.7$ was reported for PS1-10jh (Gezari et al. 2015), a lower limit of $\sim1$ was reported for ASASSN-15oi (Holoien et al. 2016a), and we measure a lower limit of $1.9$ for PTF09ge from fitting its spectrum obtained from the Double Spectrograph mounted on the Palomar 200-inch (P200) telescope on 2009 May 20.

We measure the line ratios for ASASSN-14ae and ASASSN-14ii by performing Gaussian line fit on the spectra on the open TDE catalog \textsuperscript{19} in a similar fashion as described in § 5.3. The continuum is modelled as a 5th-order Legendre polynomial and subtracted before measuring the lines. ASASSN-14ae did not develop H$_\text{II}$4686 until later epochs.

Throughout the spectroscopic epochs, the H$_\alpha$ line was readily detected in iPTF16axa except for the last epoch. iPTF16axa did not show significant H$_\alpha$ suppression as was observed in PS1-10jh and PTF09ge. From Fig. 12, the spectroscopic signatures of TDE candidates can be divided into two groups based on the presence/absence of H$_\alpha$ emission. The sources that show both H$_\text{II}$4686 and H$_\alpha$ emission appear to have similar He II/H$_\alpha$ ratios, with the exception of iPTF16fnl near peak, which shows a high H$_\text{II}$4686-to-H$_\alpha$ ratio that rapidly evolves to the lower ratio observed in the other sources.

The nebular H$_\text{II}$4686 to H$_\alpha$ line ratio can be ex-

\textsuperscript{19} tde.space

---

**Figure 6.** The time evolution of iPTF16axa. Upper: The time evolution of blackbody temperature of iPTF16axa. The black dotted line marks the mean $T_{bb}$ of $3.0\times10^4$ K. Middle: The evolution of integrated UV-optical luminosity. The black dotted line shows the $t^{-5/3}$ prediction from the light curve with a peak luminosity indicated in Fig. 4. Total power emitted (Area under the dotted line integrated from $t_{disc}$ to $t_{\infty}$) is $5.5\times10^{49}$ ergs. Lower: The time evolution of the blackbody radius inferred from SED fitting.

**Figure 7.** Newly observed spectra and host spectrum obtained from fitting the SDSS broadband photometry.
pressed as

\[
\frac{L(\text{He}^{++})}{L(\text{H} \alpha)} = \frac{n(\text{He}^{++})n_e \alpha_{\lambda 4686} \varepsilon_{\lambda 4686} h \nu_{\lambda 4686}}{n_p n_e \alpha_{\lambda H\beta} (j_{\text{H} \alpha}/j_{\text{H} \beta}) h \nu_{\lambda H\beta}}, \tag{5}
\]

where \(n(\text{He}^{++})\) is the density of \(\text{He}^{++}\), \(n_p\) is the proton density, \(n_e\) is the electron density, and \(\alpha_{\lambda}^{\text{eff}}\) is the effective recombination coefficient. For a typical \(T=10^4\) K nebular gas, \(\alpha_{\lambda 4686}^{\text{eff}} = 3.57 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\), \(\alpha_{\lambda H\beta} = 3.02 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}\), and \(j_{\text{H} \alpha}/j_{\text{H} \beta}\) is 2.87 (Osterbrock p80). Substituting in these values, the Hen\(4686\) to H\(\alpha\) line ratio can be expressed as \(3.98 n(\text{He}^{++})/n_p\) for an electron density of \(10^2\) cm\(^{-3}\) in case B recombination. Assuming the solar helium abundance \(Y_{\odot} = 0.2485\) (Serenelli & Basu 2010), the number abundance of helium \(n(\text{He}^{++})/n_p\) is \(\approx 0.08\). This results in a line ratio of \(0.32 (n_{\text{He},\odot})\), which is denoted by the dotted line in Fig. 12.

It is noticed that the nebular arguments, while still commonly used in the literature, are not valid for most of the TDE spectra. Fig. 12 demonstrates that all measurements of the helium-to-hydrogen line ratio in TDEs, with the exception of the early epochs of ASASSN-14ae, display a helium enhancement compared to the nebular prediction assuming solar abundance. While stellar composition may be affecting these ratios in some events, this pattern also suggests that nebular arguments along the lines of Eq. 5 may break down for TDEs. A likely explanation is that high gas densities (> \(10^{10}\) cm\(^{-3}\)) are leading to the suppression of the Balmer lines as these transitions become optically thick. This possibility was first suggested by Bogdanović et al. (2004), and has been recently studied with CLOUDY calculations (Gaskell & Rojas Lobos 2014; Saxton et al. 2016; Strubbe & Murray 2015) and full radiative transfer calculations (Roth et al. 2016).

6.5. Bolometric Luminosity
Figure 10. Time evolution of He II and Hα line profiles. The black solid lines show the TDE spectra after host and continuum subtraction. The TDE spectra are binned by a factor of 3 for clarity. He II (orange) and Hβ (green) lines are fit simultaneously with two gaussian profiles to resolve spectral blending. The best-fit results are shown by the red solid lines. The time in the upper right corner corresponds to the time elapsed since discovery (MJD 57537.4).

Shown in Fig. 13 is the time evolution of the UV-optical integrated luminosity of iPTF16axa from the blackbody model. Also shown in this plot are the UV/optical integrated luminosities of ASASSN-14ae, ASASSN-14li, ASASSN-15oi, PS1-10jh, PS1-11af, TDE1, TDE2, D1-9, and D3-13. In Fig. 13, all of the TDE candidates except iPTF16fnl follow a power-law decline with a decline rate more or less consistent with $t^{-5/3}$. It is also interesting that, based on our blackbody fit, all of these TDEs except iPTF16fnl are confined to a small range of luminosities, with the peak luminosities ranging from $\log(L_{\text{erg s}^{-1}}) = 43.4 - 44.4$. We must caution, however, that a substantial fraction of the total radiated energy, especially if originally emitted at FUV and EUV wavelengths, may be missing in our observations, as was demonstrated by van Velzen et al. (2016a) in the case of PTF09ge based on infrared light echo observations.

6.6. Photospheric Radius

Fig. 14 shows the evolution of blackbody radius for iPTF16axa and other optically bright TDE candidates. The blackbody radius of iPTF16axa decreased steadily from $4 \times 10^{14}$ cm to $2 \times 10^{14}$ cm as the luminosity decreases with time. The blackbody radius of PS1-10jh is derived assuming a $t^{-5/3}$ decay in luminosity and constant temperature. Since the tidal radius is weakly dependent on the black hole mass ($R_T \propto M_{BH}^{1/3}$), Fig. 14 shows that the derived radii are at least 10 times farther away from the $R_T$ for all the TDE candidates. Due to the non-varying temperature evolution of TDE emission, the photospheric radius must decline at late times in order to match the fading light curve. The physical meaning of this decline remains unclear. One explanation is that the density of the optically emitting gas drops over time, allowing the observer to see light emitted from increasingly deeper regions, even if the gas is continuously outflowing (Strubbe & Murray 2015). Another possibility is that the optically emitting gas is in fact moving closer to the black hole over time, and may be related to the decreasing apocenter radius of the circularizing debris stream (Bonnerot et al. 2017).

6.7. Virial radius

The FWHMs of HeIλ4686 and Hα are plotted in Fig. 15. The triangles denote the linewidths of HeIλ4686 lines while the dots denote the linewidths of Hα emission. The HeIλ4686 linewidths for ASASSN-14ae and ASASSN-14li were measured using the spectra on the open TDE catalog. The HeIλ4686 linewidth of PTF09ge
Figure 11. Comparison of the evolution of the blackbody temperature inferred from SED fitting. The blackbody temperatures of the UV/optical TDE candidates remain constant on the order of a few $10^4$ K over time except ASASSN-15oi.

Figure 12. Comparison of the evolution of the helium-to-hydrogen line ratio inferred from spectral fitting. The x-axis shows the time elapsed since peak ($t_0$) for PTF09ge, PS1-10jh, and iPTF16fnl and the time elapsed since discovery for the ASASSN TDEs and iPTF16axa (MJD 57537.4). The two crosses in purple are derived from pre-peak $g$ band data of iPTF16fnl assuming a blackbody temperature of $2 \times 10^4$ K. It is worth noting that all of the UV and optically detected TDE candidates discussed here follow a $t^{-5/3}$ power law decay except iPTF16fnl. These TDE candidates span a narrow range in the peak luminosity $\log (L \ [\text{erg s}^{-1}]) = 43.4 - 44.4$.

Figure 13. Comparison of the evolution of the integrated UV-optical luminosity inferred from SED fitting. The y-axis on the right hand side is the mass accretion rate assuming an efficiency of 0.1. The x-axis shows the time elapsed since peak ($t_0$) for PTF09ge, PS1-10jh, and iPTF16fnl and the time elapsed since discovery for the ASASSN TDEs and iPTF16axa (MJD 57537.4). The two crosses in purple are derived from pre-peak $g$ band data of iPTF16fnl assuming a blackbody temperature of $2 \times 10^4$ K. It is worth noting that all of the UV and optically detected TDE candidates discussed here follow a $t^{-5/3}$ power law decay except iPTF16fnl. These TDE candidates span a narrow range in the peak luminosity $\log (L \ [\text{erg s}^{-1}]) = 43.4 - 44.4$.

Figure 14. Comparison of the evolution of the blackbody radius ($R_{bb}$) inferred from SED fitting. The dots in the figure represent $R_{bb}$ derived from the SED some time after discovery for iPTF16axa and the ASASSN objects. The pink shaded area shows the uncertainties of $R_{bb}$ for iPTF16axa. The blackbody radii derived are on the order of a few $10$ times of the tidal radius.
region, because the photoionization energy of He is higher than hydrogen, helium has to be emitted at a smaller radius and therefore would have a wider linewidth. As pointed out in Holoien et al. (2016b,a), in reverberation mapping studies, the linewidths would increase while the luminosity decreases due to recombination at outer radii. This trend is also not observed until the last epoch when the line detection was weak.

Figure 15. Comparison of the evolution of the photosphere radius inferred from emission linewidths. The triangles mark the linewidths of HeIλ4686 lines while the dots mark the linewidths of Hα emission. The y-axis on the right hand side shows the photospheric radii in units of the gravitational radius $r_g = GM/c^2$. Throughout the monitoring period, the FWHM of Hα and HeIλ4686 evolve in a similar trend. The fact that HeIλ4686 line is not wider than Hα disfavors the scenario of a stratified BLR region that is virially bound.

6.8. Peak luminosity

In Fig. 16 we plot the peak luminosity reported in the literature as a function of the black hole mass. The circle symbols show black hole masses reported in literature while the diamond symbols show black hole masses estimated from the r-band scaling relation in Tundo et al. (2007), which has a 1σ scatter of 0.33 dex. We obtain black hole mass of ASASSN-14ae from Holoien et al. (2014), ASASSN-14li from Holoien et al. (2016b), ASASSN-15oi from Holoien et al. (2016a), PS1-11af from Chornock et al. (2014), PTF09ge from Arcavi et al. (2014), D1-9 and D3-13 from Gezari et al. (2009), and TDE1 and TDE2 from van Velzen et al. (2011).

We show four different ratios of Eddington luminosity, $L_{Edd}$, $0.1L_{Edd}$, $10^{-2}L_{Edd}$, $10^{-3}L_{Edd}$, as a function of the black hole mass with the black dotted lines. The black dashed line in Fig. 16 shows the theoretical scaling of $L_{peak} \propto M_{BH}^{1/2}$ (Lodato & Rossi 2011; Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz 2013) normalized to Eq. A1 in Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz (2013) assuming a star with solar mass and radius, $\gamma=4/3$, $\beta=1$, and an accretion efficiency $\epsilon$ of 0.1. The dashed line does not extend below $M_{BH} \sim 10^6 M_\odot$ since the emergent luminosity should be Eddington limited. Below this threshold, the luminosity scales with the Eddington luminosity, $L_{peak} \propto L_{Edd} \propto M_{BH}$. We do not see a clear trend in the data that suggests the peak luminosity and the black hole mass are correlated, although we emphasize again that undetected emission originally at FUV and EUV wavelengths may alter this conclusion, and that many of the TDE candidates plotted were discovered post-peak, and thus their luminosity at discovery may be underestimating the true peak luminosity.

Figure 16. The peak luminosities for TDEs from Fig. 13 vs black hole masses. Black hole masses obtained from literature are marked in circles while triangles are black hole masses derived using r-band scaling in Tundo et al. (2007). The dotted lines show the luminosities that correspond to 4 different Eddington ratios while the black dashed line shows the $M_{peak} \propto M_{BH}^{1/2}$ relation expected from theoretical work normalized to Eq. A1 in Guillochon & Ramirez-Ruiz (2013) with $\gamma=4/3$, $\beta=1$, and $\epsilon=0.1$. Below $M_{BH} \sim 10^6 M_\odot$, the luminosity should be Eddington-limited and scales proportionally with $M_{BH}$.

7. CONCLUSION

We present results from photometric and spectroscopic follow up observations of a strong TDE candidate, iPTF16axa, and comparisons of the derived physical quantities with 11 other optically studied TDE candidates from ASASSN, GALEX+CFHTLS, PTF, PS1, and SDSS. Both Swift UVOT observations and the follow up spectra of iPTF16axa are consistent with the object being a TDE rather than a supernova or a variable AGN. The UV and optical light curves of iPTF16axa are in good agreement with the $t^{-5/3}$ relation and suggest the TDE was discovered 49 rest-frame days after disruption. The light curve shows no color evolution with time, with an SED fitted with a constant temperature of $3 \times 10^4$ K. The TDE is hosted by an early-type galaxy with an estimated black hole mass of $5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, which is similar to previously reported TDE candidate hosts. We summarize the comparisons of a sample totaling 12 TDE candidates including iPTF16axa below.
Table 2
Emission line fit

| Date       | He II FWHM (10^3 km s^-1) | L(He II) (10^{40} erg s^-1) | Hα FWHM (10^3 km s^-1) | L(Hα) (10^{40} erg s^-1) |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| 2016-06-04 | 5.8±0.3                  | 12.5±0.8                    | 8.8±0.3               | 7.6±0.3                  |
| 2016-06-10 | 9.2±0.3                  | 15.1±0.6                    | 11.2±0.4              | 8.3±0.4                  |
| 2016-06-13 | 9.5±0.4                  | 12.8±0.7                    | 9.5±0.9               | 6.8±0.8                  |
| 2016-07-06 | 8.6±0.4                  | 9.1±0.6                     | 8.1±0.3               | 6.0±0.3                  |
| 2016-09-12 | 12.6±4.2                 | 7.0±2.6                     | 19.5±2.4              | 11.2±1.5                 |

1. TDE candidates discovered in the UV and optical remain roughly constant temperature over several months. The blackbody temperatures of the TDE candidates are found to be a few 10^4 K.

2. Our sample of TDE candidates are characterized by a power law decline and, based on a blackbody fit to optical and near-UV data, span a small range of peak luminosity of 10^{43.4} < L_{peak} < 10^{44.4}. The decline is more or less consistent with the classic t^{-5/3} prediction except in iPTF16fnl, which fades more steeply than the other TDE candidates discussed in this paper.

3. Nebular arguments are not valid for interpreting line ratios in most optically discovered TDE candidates due to the presence of high density gas, which can lead to the suppression of hydrogen Balmer transitions. The spectra of UV/optical TDE candidates show a range of He-to-Hα ratios, and the time-evolution of these ratios also differs between events. Detailed modeling will be necessary to understand these behaviors.

4. The blackbody radii derived from the SEDs of UV/optical TDE candidates trace distances that are much larger than the tidal radius (≈ a few 10R_T), and that decline with time.

5. The FWHM of HeIIλ4686 is consistent with the FWHM of Hα in the optical spectra of UV/optical TDE candidates. This evidence contradicts the assumption of a stratified BLR.

6. Theoretical work shows that the peak luminosity and the black hole mass are correlated by \( L_{peak} \propto M_{BH}^{-1/2} \) except at smaller black hole masses, where the emission is Eddington-capped (\( L_{peak} \propto M_{BH} \)). However, there is no strong trend between the two quantities in the sample of candidate TDEs discovered in UV and optical.
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### Table A1
Photometric data of iPTF16axa

| MJD  | Magnitude | Filter | Telescope |
|------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| 57546.189 | 19.110 ± 0.050 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57551.232 | 19.070 ± 0.060 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57556.824 | 19.350 ± 0.070 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57571.634 | 19.920 ± 0.090 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57576.485 | 20.040 ± 0.100 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57585.937 | 19.920 ± 0.110 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57592.583 | 20.220 ± 0.160 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57594.428 | 19.870 ± 0.060 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57599.095 | 20.120 ± 0.080 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57607.999 | 20.300 ± 0.080 | UVW2 | Swift |
| 57613.241 | 19.250 ± 0.070 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57551.236 | 19.320 ± 0.090 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57556.820 | 19.500 ± 0.100 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57561.391 | 19.650 ± 0.160 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57571.630 | 19.780 ± 0.120 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57576.483 | 20.070 ± 0.100 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57585.933 | 19.910 ± 0.170 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57592.581 | 20.020 ± 0.230 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57594.422 | 20.500 ± 0.140 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57599.092 | 20.190 ± 0.130 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57607.997 | 20.430 ± 0.140 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57613.235 | 20.430 ± 0.130 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57620.538 | 20.740 ± 0.150 | UVM2 | Swift |
| 57551.231 | 19.300 ± 0.140 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57556.822 | 19.410 ± 0.150 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57571.632 | 19.950 ± 0.220 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57576.484 | 20.090 ± 0.250 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57585.935 | 19.820 ± 0.220 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57587.587 | 19.820 ± 0.130 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57594.425 | 19.940 ± 0.130 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57599.093 | 20.220 ± 0.190 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57607.998 | 20.170 ± 0.170 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57613.238 | 20.220 ± 0.150 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57620.540 | 20.140 ± 0.200 | UVOT-U | Swift |
| 57537.397 | 19.486 ± 0.071 | g | P48 |
| 57540.404 | 19.615 ± 0.032 | g | P60 |
| 57541.428 | 19.592 ± 0.031 | g | P60 |
| 57544.370 | 19.464 ± 0.081 | g | P48 |
| 57547.399 | 19.724 ± 0.027 | g | P60 |
| 57548.385 | 19.626 ± 0.085 | g | P48 |
| 57548.510 | 20.119 ± 0.137 | gp | LCO |
| 57552.373 | 19.673 ± 0.103 | gp | LCO |
| 57554.378 | 19.959 ± 0.042 | g | P60 |
| 57555.590 | 20.579 ± 0.157 | gp | LCO |
| 57558.469 | 20.192 ± 0.127 | g | P60 |
| 57565.219 | 20.165 ± 0.076 | g | P60 |
| 57566.220 | 20.284 ± 0.027 | g | P60 |
| 57574.502 | 20.918 ± 0.108 | gp | LCO |
| 57581.465 | 20.931 ± 0.122 | gp | LCO |
| 57583.261 | 20.611 ± 0.053 | g | P60 |
| 57585.194 | 20.749 ± 0.070 | g | P60 |
| 57587.193 | 20.699 ± 0.105 | g | P60 |
| 57587.472 | 20.612 ± 0.274 | gp | LCO |
| 57593.234 | 20.736 ± 0.048 | g | P60 |
| 57595.182 | 20.771 ± 0.048 | g | P60 |
| 57595.453 | 21.310 ± 0.278 | gp | LCO |
| 57597.235 | 20.883 ± 0.056 | g | P60 |
| 57599.197 | 20.875 ± 0.046 | g | P60 |
### Table A1 — Continued

| MJD   | Magnitude     | Filter | Telescope |
|-------|---------------|--------|-----------|
| 57601.183 | 20.947 ± 0.081 | g      | P60       |
| 57605.213 | 21.041 ± 0.048 | g      | P60       |
| 57607.176 | 20.995 ± 0.052 | g      | P60       |
| 57609.177 | 21.011 ± 0.057 | g      | P60       |
| 57611.196 | 21.079 ± 0.067 | g      | P60       |
| 57613.173 | 21.038 ± 0.100 | g      | P60       |
| 57614.180 | 21.261 ± 0.141 | g      | P60       |
| 57615.168 | 21.221 ± 0.177 | g      | P60       |
| 57616.166 | 21.111 ± 0.113 | g      | P60       |
| 57617.169 | 20.903 ± 0.181 | g      | P60       |
| 57618.150 | 21.329 ± 0.191 | g      | P60       |
| 57619.156 | 20.976 ± 0.143 | g      | P60       |
| 57621.150 | 21.160 ± 0.120 | g      | P60       |
| 57625.158 | 21.203 ± 0.049 | g      | P60       |
| 57631.152 | 21.218 ± 0.071 | g      | P60       |
| 57633.166 | 21.297 ± 0.063 | g      | P60       |
| 57635.135 | 21.356 ± 0.138 | g      | P60       |
| 57639.227 | 21.413 ± 0.093 | g      | P60       |
| 57646.190 | 21.328 ± 0.330 | g      | P60       |
| 57656.192 | 21.536 ± 0.277 | g      | P60       |
| 57540.397 | 20.045 ± 0.033 | r      | P60       |
| 57541.421 | 19.972 ± 0.054 | r      | P60       |
| 57547.392 | 20.090 ± 0.029 | r      | P60       |
| 57548.514 | 19.999 ± 0.391 | rp     | LCO       |
| 57551.451 | 20.162 ± 0.030 | r      | P60       |
| 57554.368 | 20.235 ± 0.074 | r      | P60       |
| 57558.459 | 20.404 ± 0.106 | r      | P60       |
| 57559.483 | 20.401 ± 0.260 | r      | P60       |
| 57565.198 | 20.527 ± 0.127 | r      | P60       |
| 57560.205 | 20.661 ± 0.029 | r      | P60       |
| 57569.229 | 20.711 ± 0.040 | r      | P60       |
| 57574.512 | 20.543 ± 0.283 | rp     | LCO       |
| 57581.474 | 20.671 ± 0.297 | rp     | LCO       |
| 57583.255 | 21.020 ± 0.087 | r      | P60       |
| 57585.188 | 21.149 ± 0.113 | r      | P60       |
| 57587.188 | 21.025 ± 0.144 | r      | P60       |
| 57587.480 | 20.580 ± 0.339 | rp     | LCO       |
| 57593.228 | 21.063 ± 0.068 | r      | P60       |
| 57595.176 | 21.241 ± 0.102 | r      | P60       |
| 57595.462 | 20.603 ± 0.342 | rp     | LCO       |
| 57597.234 | 21.213 ± 0.100 | r      | P60       |
| 57599.191 | 21.086 ± 0.077 | r      | P60       |
| 57605.207 | 21.280 ± 0.069 | r      | P60       |
| 57607.170 | 21.380 ± 0.105 | r      | P60       |
| 57609.171 | 21.317 ± 0.124 | r      | P60       |
| 57611.191 | 21.267 ± 0.108 | r      | P60       |
| 57613.167 | 21.377 ± 0.150 | r      | P60       |
| 57614.175 | 21.320 ± 0.171 | r      | P60       |
| 57616.161 | 21.284 ± 0.212 | r      | P60       |
| 57617.163 | 21.155 ± 0.244 | r      | P60       |
| 57621.144 | 21.398 ± 0.170 | r      | P60       |
| 57625.153 | 21.358 ± 0.107 | r      | P60       |
| 57629.148 | 21.478 ± 0.091 | r      | P60       |
| 57631.146 | 21.474 ± 0.160 | r      | P60       |
| 57633.161 | 21.464 ± 0.113 | r      | P60       |
| 57637.139 | 21.650 ± 0.226 | r      | P60       |
| 57639.222 | 21.634 ± 0.180 | r      | P60       |
| 57640.182 | 21.489 ± 0.096 | r      | P60       |
| 57646.151 | 21.640 ± 0.356 | r      | P60       |
| 57656.140 | 21.896 ± 0.527 | r      | P60       |
| 57540.401 | 19.901 ± 0.045 | i      | P60       |
| 57541.424 | 19.827 ± 0.050 | i      | P60       |
| 57547.395 | 19.891 ± 0.054 | i      | P60       |
| 57548.527 | 20.046 ± 0.378 | ip     | LCO       |
| 57551.456 | 20.041 ± 0.052 | ip     | LCO       |
| 57554.373 | 20.063 ± 0.118 | i      | P60       |
| 57555.599 | 19.796 ± 0.357 | ip     | LCO       |
| 57558.464 | 20.171 ± 0.143 | i      | P60       |
| 57565.202 | 20.408 ± 0.356 | i      | P60       |
| 57574.519 | 20.586 ± 0.311 | ip     | LCO       |
| 57581.482 | 20.642 ± 0.326 | ip     | LCO       |
| 57583.258 | 20.633 ± 0.127 | i      | P60       |
| 57585.191 | 20.615 ± 0.093 | i      | P60       |
| 57587.191 | 20.881 ± 0.144 | i      | P60       |
| 57587.489 | 20.537 ± 0.402 | ip     | LCO       |
| 57593.231 | 20.829 ± 0.082 | i      | P60       |
| 57595.179 | 20.879 ± 0.143 | i      | P60       |
Table A1 — Continued

| MJD   | Magnitude   | Filter | Telescope |
|-------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| 57595.470 | 20.747 ± 0.408 | ip     | LCO       |
| 57597.237 | 20.769 ± 0.101 | i      | P60       |
| 57599.194 | 21.000 ± 0.101 | i      | P60       |
| 57605.210 | 21.116 ± 0.089 | i      | P60       |
| 57607.173 | 20.975 ± 0.125 | i      | P60       |
| 57609.174 | 21.109 ± 0.114 | i      | P60       |
| 57611.194 | 21.012 ± 0.223 | i      | P60       |
| 57613.170 | 20.974 ± 0.163 | i      | P60       |
| 57616.163 | 21.030 ± 0.189 | i      | P60       |
| 57617.166 | 21.165 ± 0.286 | i      | P60       |
| 57625.156 | 21.086 ± 0.125 | i      | P60       |
| 57631.139 | 21.146 ± 0.141 | i      | P60       |
| 57633.164 | 21.111 ± 0.155 | i      | P60       |
| 57639.224 | 21.417 ± 0.244 | i      | P60       |
| 57646.171 | 21.199 ± 0.256 | i      | P60       |
| 57656.163 | 21.213 ± 0.276 | i      | P60       |