Microfluidic Flow through Polyaniline Supported by Lamellar-Structured Graphene for Mass-Transfer-Enhanced Electrocatalytic Reduction of Hexavalent Chromium
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ABSTRACT: Owing to its high efficiency and environmental compatibility, electroreduction holds great promise for the detoxification of aqueous Cr(VI). However, the typical electroreduction system often shows poor mass transfer, which results in slow reduction kinetics and hence higher energy consumption. Here, we demonstrate a flow-through electrode of polyaniline supported on lamellar-structured graphene (LGS–PANI) for electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI). The reaction kinetics of the LGS–PANI flow-through electrodes are 6.4 times (at acidic condition) and 17.3 times (at neutral condition) faster than traditional immersed parallel-plate electrodes. Computational fluid dynamics simulation suggests that the flow-through mode greatly enhances the mass transfer and that the nanoscale convection induced by the PANI nanodots increases the nanoscale mass transport in the interfacial region of the electrode/solution. In situ Raman spectroscopy shows that the PANI–Cr(VI) redox reactions are dominated by the leucoemeraldine/emeraldine transition at 1.5 V cell voltage, which also remarkably contributes to the fast reaction kinetics. Using single-pass flow-through mode, the LGS–PANI electrode reaches an average reduction efficiency of 99.8% with residual Cr(VI) concentration of 22.3 ppb (initial [Cr(VI)] = 10 ppm, flux = 20 L h⁻¹ m⁻²). A long-term stability test shows that the LGS–PANI maintains stable performance over 40 days of operation and achieves >98% reduction efficiency, with average current efficiency of as high as 99.1% (initial [Cr(VI)] = 10 ppm, flux = 50 L h⁻¹ m⁻²).

INTRODUCTION

The presence of chromium (Cr) in the aquatic environment has been a serious threat to human health and ecosystems because of its high toxicity and nondegradability.¹ Owing to its harmful effects on human health, hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] has been classified as a group 1 carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer.² The release of Cr(VI) species into aquatic environments is mainly through industrial discharge from leather tanning, electronic device chrome plating, and other industrial activities.³ Cr(III) is less toxic and can be easily precipitated or adsorbed on various adsorbents.⁴ Therefore, the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) is considered to be a promising strategy for detoxification of Cr(VI).⁵⁻⁷

Current approaches for the reduction of Cr(VI) include chemical reduction, photocatalytic reduction, bioreduction, and electroreduction.⁸⁻¹¹ Electroreduction demonstrates high efficiency, easy operation, and environmental compatibility, which could be especially useful for practical application.¹²,¹³ However, since the reduction reaction occurs at the cathode/solution interface, electrostatic repulsion will hinder Cr(VI) species from migrating to the active sites on the cathode. On the other hand, the product [mostly Cr(III) species] will probably inhibit the further reduction reaction unless it is transferred to the bulk solution.¹⁴,¹⁵ Therefore, mass transfer is considered to play a critical role in the electroreduction of Cr(VI).¹⁶,¹⁷ Unfortunately, the classical parallel-plate electrodes often show poor mass transfer.¹³ In such cases, the detoxification of aqueous Cr(VI), particularly when Cr(VI) is present at low concentration, often shows low current efficiency and results in high energy demand.

Flow-through porous electrodes demonstrate high current efficiency, enhanced mass transfer, and high volumetric rates of reaction and have been used in fuel cells,¹⁸ electrooxidation,¹⁹ desalination,²⁰ and adsorption.²¹ This flow-through architecture enables optimal utilization of the active sites inside the porous electrodes and provides enhanced rates of mass transport, which could be especially useful for treatment of dilute solutions.²² In theory, flow-through electrodes with continuous and nanostructured active materials supported on a porous architecture can facilitate mass transport and active materials
utilization. As one of the most-promising porous framework materials, the three-dimensional (3D) graphene-based framework with large surface area and interconnected macropores enables it to be an ideal support for nanomaterials.23,24

Due to its environmental stability and multiple intrinsic redox states associated with its good conductivity, polyaniline (PANI) has attracted a great deal of attention in the electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI).25–30 Nanostructured PANI (e.g., nanowires, nanodots, and films) shows improved performance because it increases the material usage and surface area.31,32 A previous study showed that graphene-supported PANI exhibits superior electrochemical activities toward Cr(VI) reduction due to the abundant active sites and effective electron transfer.33 Here, we present a novel flow-through electrode of PANI supported on a lamellar-structured-graphene nanosheet hydrogel (LGS) (Scheme 1). This flow-through electrode demonstrates fast reaction kinetics for electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI), which enables it to achieve high reduction efficiency, even in single-pass mode. Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulation and in situ Raman spectroscopy were performed to gain insights into the mechanism of Cr(VI) reduction by the electrode.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Materials Synthesis.** Graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized from synthetic graphite powder (<20 μm, Sigma-Aldrich) according to the modified Hummer’s method.34 GO was dispersed in deionized water to form a 1.5 mg mL⁻¹ dispersion. The graphene nanosheet hydrogel was obtained by hydrothermal reduction of GO.35 Typically, the GO dispersion (30 mL) was sealed in a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and maintained at 180 °C for 12 h. After the autoclave cooled to room temperature, the as-prepared graphene nanosheet hydrogel was taken out and washed with deionized water. The LGS was prepared by direct compression of the graphene nanosheet hydrogel to form a 25 × 25 mm² electrode (30 mg). The LGS–PANI was obtained by electrodeposition of aniline monomer on the LGS electrode [details of the procedure can be found in the Supporting Information (SI)]. The LGS–PANI electrode has an areal mass of 10 mg cm⁻² and ~400 μm of total thickness.

**Fabrication of the Flow-through Test Cell.** As illustrated in Figure S1 (SI), two electrodes were separated by a porous dielectric membrane (pore size 0.8 μm) and encapsulated in a fixture of two acrylic glass plates. The anode (LGS electrode) and the cathode (LGS–PANI or LGS electrode) were supported with current collectors (Pt mesh). The influent was injected into the anodic end of the cell and moved perpendicularly through the porous electrodes and finally out of the cell. The electrodes were connected to an electrochemical workstation (Interface1000, Gamry Instruments).

**Kinetics Study Experiments.** Three types of reactor systems were employed to study the kinetics of the Cr(VI) reduction. The three reaction systems were immersed sandwich-type (the cathode and anode sandwich a ~50-μm-thick dielectric separator and were immersed in the solution), immersed parallel-plate (the cathode and anode with an interelectrode spacing of 1 cm were immersed in the solution), and sandwich-type flow-through modes. In all reaction systems, the LGS (25 × 25 mm², 30 mg) and LGS–PANI (25 × 25 mm², 65 mg) were employed as anode and cathode, respectively. A 100 mL portion of 20 ppm Cr(VI) solution with initial pH = 2.0 (or 5.0) was placed in a beaker. For immersed modes, the electrodes (sandwich-type or parallel-plate) were totally immersed in the solution with stirring. For the flow-through mode, the Cr(VI) solution was pumped and injected through the flow-through cell by a peristaltic pump at a flow rate of 500 L h⁻¹ m⁻² with the effluent returned to the feed solution. The cell voltage was 1.5 V for each experiment.

**Single-Pass Flow-through Experiments.** A peristaltic pump was employed to pump Cr(VI) solution to the flow-through test cell (Figure S2, SI). The effluents were collected by an automatic fraction collector at regular intervals. All the reduction experiments were carried out with the electrochemical workstation using the potentiostatic method.

The current efficiency (CE) was calculated by

$$CE = \frac{nFQ(C_i - C_e)}{MI}$$

where n is the number of electrons used in the electrochemical reaction \(n = 3\), F is the Faraday constant \((96 485.3 \text{ C mol}^{-1})\), I is the current (A), \(C_i\) is the Cr(VI) concentration in the influent (g/L), \(C_e\) is the Cr(VI) concentration in the effluent (g/L), Q is the flow rate (L/s), and M is the molar mass of Cr \((52 \text{ g mol}^{-1})\).

**Characterization.** The as-prepared LGS and LGS–PANI were characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi, Thermo Scientific), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, XPert PRO, PANalytical Co.), field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM, SU8020, Hitachi), and confocal raman microscopy (inVia-Reflex, Renishaw). The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) was measured using a Bruker A300 10/12 spectrometer. Total Cr concentrations were measured by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, 710, Agilent Technologies). The concentration of Cr(VI) in solution was analyzed on the basis of the conventional 1,5-diphenylcarbazide spectrophotometric methods according to the standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (U-3010, Hitachi) at 540 nm.36 Assuming that the reduction product of Cr(VI) is Cr(III), the concentration of Cr(III) was calculated according to the following equation

$$C_{\text{Cr(III)}} = C_i - C_{\text{Cr(VI)}}$$

where \(C_i\) is the total concentration of Cr and \(C_{\text{Cr(VI)}}\) is the concentration of Cr(VI).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the LGS–PANI. The LGS–PANI was obtained by electrodeposition of aniline on the LGS substrate (Figure 1). The SEM image indicates that the macroporous LGS substrate provides a continuously interconnected 3D framework for electrodeposition of PANI (Figure 1A). Electrochemical polymerization of aniline monomer on the LGS substrate resulted in LGS–PANI. As shown in Figure 1B, the LGS–PANI inherited the open, wrinkled, and curved structure of the LGS. The hierarchically porous composite composed of 2D sheets has a rough surface with PANI nanodots (diameter of ∼15 nm) homogeneously distributed across the sheets, which can increase the surface area of the composite. In addition, the magnified SEM image (Figure 1B inset) shows that the LGS–PANI has a thickness of ∼10 nm. These characteristics are useful to provide fast ion diffusion channels and more accessible surface area for catalytic reactions. As can be seen from the SEM cross-sectional view, the LGS–PANI electrode shows an interlamellar spacing of hundreds of nanometers (Figure 1C).

In the XRD pattern, the strong diffraction peak of LGS at 2θ = 24.05° is ascribed to the stacking of graphene layers.37 The three diffraction peaks of the LGS–PANI at 2θ = 6.6°, 20.05°, and 25.12° are characteristic of PANI (Figure 1D).38 In the Raman spectrum of the LGS–PANI, several new bands (e.g., 418, 526, and 810 cm⁻¹) are observed, attributed to emeraldine salt form of PANI (Figure 1E).39 These results confirm the successful deposition of PANI. The elemental characterization shows that the nitrogen content of the LGS–PANI was 9.01% (see XPS in Figure S3, SI). The XPS N 1s spectrum shows that the nitrogen atoms of the LGS–PANI were in the forms of −N≡, −NH−, −NH⁺, and −NH₂⁺ (Figure 1F).40,41

Kinetics Study. In acidic solutions (pH range 1.0−5.0), the electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI) at the LGS–PANI electrode can be described by eqs 2 and 3:

$$2\text{HCrO}_4^-(aq) + 14\text{H}^+ + 3\text{PANI}^0(s) \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+}(aq) + 3\text{PANI}^2^+(s) + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$\text{PANI}^2^+(s) \rightarrow \text{PANI}^0(s) \quad (2)$$

$$2\text{HCrO}_4^-(aq) + 14\text{H}^+ + 3\text{PANI}^4^+(s) \rightarrow 2\text{Cr}^{3+}(aq) + 3\text{PANI}^{4^+}(s) + 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

$$\text{PANI}^{4^+}(s) \rightarrow \text{PANI}^{2^+}(s) \quad (3)$$

where PANI⁰, PANI²⁺, and PANI⁴⁺ represent the leucoemeraldine, emeraldine, and pernigraniline form of PANI.25 Considering that the redox potential of Cr(VI)/Cr(III) (E° = 1.350 V vs NHE) is higher than that of H₂O/O₂ (E° = 1.229 V vs NHE), the anodic reaction will be the oxidation of H₂O to O₂.

The representative kinetic plots of different reaction system are shown in Figure 2A. The electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI) in all reaction systems obeyed pseudo-first-order kinetics during the 30 min reaction periods in acidic condition (initial pH = 2.0). In immersed modes, the sandwich-type electrode system shows a lower rate constant value (k = 0.011 min⁻¹) than the parallel-plate system (k = 0.017 min⁻¹), which indicates that the sandwich-type system tends to hinder the reduction reactions. Nevertheless, the rate constant for the sandwich-type flow-through mode was 0.108 min⁻¹, which was 6.4 times higher than the immersed parallel-plate mode and 9.8 times higher than the immersed sandwich-type mode. The
Single-pass flow-through mode shows that more than 93% total Cr is in the effluent, which means that only small amount of Cr [Cr(VI) or Cr(III) species] is retained in the LGS–PANI electrode and the insoluble Cr(III) species can be driven out of the porous framework by the microfluidic flow (Figure S4, SI). This performance makes the LGS–PANI flow-through electrode more appropriate for the reduction of Cr(VI) over a broader pH range.

**Single-Pass Flow-through Operation.** The performance of the LGS and LGS–PANI electrodes using single-pass operation is shown in Figure 3. Owing to the high efficiency of the flow-through operation offers an opportunity to optimize the electroactive surface for catalytic reduction of Cr(VI) and to enable Cr(VI) to be directly carried to active sites in the LGS–PANI by bulk flow, eliminating the long diffusion time required with immersed modes.

In neutral to alkaline solutions, the precipitation of Cr\(^{3+}\) takes place due to the formation of insoluble chromic hydroxides or Cr(III) hydroxide cation, as described in eq 4:

\[
\text{Cr}^{3+}(aq) + 3\text{OH}^-(aq) \rightarrow \text{Cr(OH)}_3(s)
\]

Even though the pH value in bulk solution is low, the local pH in the interfacial region of the cathode/solution may be quite high, especially when the applied potential is high enough as to promote the production of OH\(^-\), which will result in the formation of an insoluble chromic hydroxide layer on the electrode surface and hamper electron and mass transfer. As a result, further Cr(VI) reduction will be inhibited. Moreover, with increasing solution pH, the redox potential of Cr(VI) species will sharply decrease, which will challenge the reducing ability of the electrode. For these reasons, the typical neutral to alkaline conditions.

**Figure 2B** shows the reduction kinetics using the LGS–PANI electrode at initial pH = 5.0. Only 29.4% of Cr(VI) reduction is achieved after 120 min reaction in the immersed parallel-plate mode. In contrast, the sandwich-type flow-through mode shows that 99.9% of Cr(VI) is reduced in 120 min. The pseudo-first-order kinetic study demonstrates that the rate constant of the sandwich-type flow-through mode (k = 0.0466) is 17.3 times higher than that of the immersed parallel-plate mode (k = 0.0027) (Figure 2B inset). The reduction of Cr(VI) using the flow-through electrode, even the LGS (without PANI) shows ~70% reduction of total Cr(VI) at a cell voltage of 1.5 V using single-pass operation (Figure 3A). However, ~98% Cr(VI) reduction is observed for the LGS–PANI flow-through electrode, demonstrating the strong activity of LGS–PANI in electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI). The total Cr in the effluent remains the same as that in the influent, except for a slight decrease in the samples collected at the beginning of the test, which suggests that there is only a little adsorption of Cr species on the electrodes (Figure 3B). Considering that adsorption will possibly inhibit the reduction reaction by reducing the activity of the PANI, this performance demonstrates the great advantage of the LGS–PANI flow-through electrode, the possibility to achieve long-term continuous operation with high activity.

To determine the critical voltage for operating the LGS–PANI flow-through electrode system, the influence of cell voltage on the reduction of Cr(VI) was studied (Figure 3C). At cell voltages lower than 1.5 V, a decrease of reduction efficiency was observed with increasing effluent volume; for example, at the cell voltage of 0.4 V, the reduction efficiency of Cr(VI) was ~55% at the beginning and decreased to ~33% after 240 g of Cr(VI) solution was treated. This is attributed to the multiple intrinsic redox states of PANI, which enable it to reduce the Cr(VI) even at low voltages (or at open circuit), accompanied by the transformation of PANI from the emeraldine to...
pernigraniline form (the highest oxidation state). This transformation results in the loss of reduction ability of PANI, leading to a decrease in Cr(VI) reduction efficiency. However, the LGS–PANI achieves the highest reduction efficiency (~98%) and retains its high reducing activity at the cell voltage of 1.5 V, reflecting the establishment of an equilibrium between the oxidation and reduction of PANI.

The effect of the flux on the Cr(VI) reduction was investigated (Figure 3D). Since the reduction reactions take place at the surface of the PANI, the contact time (which is inversely proportional to the flux) in single-pass mode is very short. Therefore, higher fluxes often lead to lower reduction efficiencies. Nevertheless, a reduction efficiency of up to ~85% and ~65% was achieved at flux values as high as 200 and 500 L h$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$, respectively. Conversely, when the flux decreased to 20 L h$^{-1}$ m$^{-2}$, an average reduction efficiency of 99.8% with 22.3 ppb of residual Cr(VI) can be reached. However, there must be a trade-off between the reduction efficiency and the flux once it is put into practical application.

**Mechanism Study.** CFD simulation was carried out to visualize the velocity field in the lamellar microchannels (Figure 4). Since truly simulating the microfluidic flow in the LGS–PANI would be exceedingly difficult, we neglected the weak chemical reaction in such a dilute solution and chose to visualize the flow field in a porous network consisting of a series of slit-shaped pores, which represented the microchannel in the LGS–PANI. The microscale velocity field of water in flow-through mode was simulated and compared to that of immersed (flow-by) mode to determine if mass transport was enhanced. As shown in Figure 4A, the velocity magnitude contour in flow-through mode demonstrates that the microfluidic flow homogeneously distributes in the interspace of the LGS–PANI. This distribution of flow field enables optimal utilization of the PANI in the porous electrodes. Cr(VI) species could be driven to the surface of the LGS–PANI by microfluidic flow, which could greatly enhance the mobility of reactants and subsequently improve the reaction efficiency. In contrast, the immersed mode shows almost no liquid flowing into the interspace of the LGS–PANI, implying that the reduction of Cr(VI) largely takes place at the surface of the bulk electrode (Figure 4B).

As the behavior of the fluid is greatly influenced by the geometry of the flow channel, we studied the impact of the LGS–PANI surface on the velocity field. The SEM images show that the PANI nanodots are uniformly distributed across the surface of the LGS–PANI (Figure 4C). The nanoscale velocity vector field demonstrates that the nanoscale convection induced by the PANI nanodots causes enhanced mixing and hence increases the nanoscale mass transfer. In contrast, no nanoscale convection was observed near the surface of the LGS (Figure 4D). The nanoscale investigation of the flow clearly demonstrates that the PANI nanodots play a crucial role in the mass transport at the interfacial region of the LGS–PANI. These findings provide further evidence for the fast reduction kinetics.

In situ Raman spectroscopy was performed to study the transitions of PANI at different cell voltages in H$_2$SO$_4$ and H$_2$CrO$_4$ electrolytes (Figure 5A). In H$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte, the fully oxidized form (pernigraniline form) of the PANI shows typical bands located at 1160, 1254, and 1514 cm$^{-1}$, assigned to C−H bending of the quinoid ring, C−N stretching of the quinoid ring, and C=N stretching of the quinoid ring, respectively. The decrease of the cell voltage (+0.4 to −1.2 V) results in the structure change of the PANI, resulting in changes in intensity of bands at 810, 1186, 1420, 1486, and 1590 cm$^{-1}$, originally from amine deformation (C−N−C bending), C−H bending, C−C stretching, C≡N stretching, and C≡C stretching, respectively. The increase in the intensity of the band at 1186 cm$^{-1}$ and decrease of the intensity of the band at 1160 cm$^{-1}$ are due to the transition of quinoid to benzoid rings. All these changes reflect the transition of the PANI from the pernigraniline to emeraldine structure (partially oxidized state). At cell voltage of −1.5 V, two predominant bands at 1350 and 1610 cm$^{-1}$ are characteristic of the fully reduced state of the PANI, associated with the change from emeraldine structure to leucoemeraldine structure. Thus, in brief, the transition of the PANI from the pernigraniline to leucoemeraldine structure is a continuous process that can be achieved by tuning the cell voltage (from +1.5 V to −1.5 V).

Two types of transitions of the PANI are involved in the PANI–Cr(VI) redox reactions: leucoemeraldine/leucoemeraldine and emeraldine/perrnigraniline. As shown in Figure 5A, the PANI can be oxidized from the emeraldine to pernigraniline...
structure at open circuit (from 0 V 0 min to 0 V 10 min). Once the LGS−PANI electrode is negatively charged at cell voltages between −0.4 and −1.2 V, the PANI is converted to the emeraldine structure, which implies that the emeraldine/pernigraniline transition dominates the Cr(VI) reduction at low cell voltages. However, when the cell voltage increases to −1.5 V, the PANI is further reduced to the leucoemeraldine form, suggesting that the Cr(VI) reduction probably accompanies the leucoemeraldine/emeraldine transition.

Typical electrochemical responses show that PANI can also greatly enhance the activity of the LGS in Cr(VI) reduction (Figure 5B). The LGS−PANI electrode shows a predominant peak (I) at −0.5 V cell voltage in H2SO4 solution, which is due to the reduction of PANI, while in H2CrO4 solution, the peak (II) at −1.3 V can be attributed to the reduction of Cr(VI). No obvious hydrogen evolution reaction occurred. This result is consistent with the in situ Raman study. The EPR spectrum acquired with 100 ppm Cr(III) solution (pH = 2.0, CrCl3) shows a strong signal at giso = 1.9664, which can be attributed to Cr(III) (Figure 5C). In the effluent, the same signal was also detected at giso = 1.9664, confirming that the product of electrocatalytic reaction of Cr(VI) with LGS−PANI was Cr(III).

On the basis of the above analysis, Figure 5D illustrates the proposed mechanism of the electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI). The content of "reduced units" in the PANI plays an important role in the catalytic reduction of Cr(VI). As the fully reduced state of PANI, leucoemeraldine possesses the highest "reduced units" content. On the basis of this point, in the LGS−PANI flow-through electrode, tuning the reaction to make the PANI−Cr(VI) redox reactions dominated by the leucoemeraldine/emeraldine transition would be beneficial to the Cr(VI) reduction. Indeed, the reduction of Cr(VI) using single-pass flow-through mode achieved the highest reduction efficiency at 1.5 V cell voltage and confirmed the high catalytic activity of the leucoemeraldine/emeraldine transition.

Durability Performance. To evaluate the durability of the LGS−PANI flow-through electrode, a long-term stability test for electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI) was performed (Figure 6). After 40 days, the LGS−PANI flow-through electrode still retained nearly 100% of its initial performance. The current−time plot demonstrated stable current, and the average current efficiency was calculated to be 99.1%. The variation of the current efficiency was attributed to the fluctuation of the residual Cr(VI) and the multiple redox states of PANI, as well as some mild side reactions. The cell after the 40-day test was
disassembled and the LGS–PANI was investigated by SEM and XPS (Figures S7 and S8, SI). Surprisingly, the SEM image shows that the frame structure of the LGS–PANI still maintained its original morphology. Furthermore, the XPS N 1s spectrum of the LGS–PANI demonstrates the negligible change of N species after the long-term stability test. This remarkable durability is ascribed to the low operation voltage, resulting in a mild reaction condition, which prevents the decomposition of the LGS or LGS–PANI electrodes.52 In addition, the open porous framework provides this flow-through electrode with sufficient flow channels and low pressure drop, consequently preserving the framework structure.

This work demonstrates a novel electrocatalytic system based on the LGS–PANI flow-through electrode for aqueous Cr(VI) reduction. The results here emphasize the beneficial effects of the interconnected 3D network of graphene hydrogel and the high activity of the PANI in electrocatalytic reduction of Cr(VI), which enable the LGS–PANI flow-through electrode to achieve excellent performance even in single-pass mode. This flow-through Architecture achieves full utilization of the lamellar-structured porous electrode and provides enhanced mass transport from the bulk solution to the active sites. In addition, the high energy efficiency obtained in long-time operation demonstrates that this system would be economically feasible in Cr(VI) detoxification. As the high efficiency is due to the enhanced mass transfer and optimized cell voltage, this mechanism may be of great significance, because it provides guidelines for design and development of electrochemical reactors for practical applications. This study suggests that tailor-made functional materials obtained by anchoring or impregnating a macroporous framework with nanomaterials could potentially be applied to treat various dilute solutions. Furthermore, the success of this strategy could open up new opportunities in developing high-performance electrode materials and electrochemical technologies for water decontamination.
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