This article reflects on the notion of self-efficacy as a substantial aspect of language teachers in ESL/EFL contexts. It introduces and defines the construct of teacher self-efficacy, which can be derived from four different sources: a) enactive experience, b) vicarious experiences, c) social persuasion, and d) physiological states. The present study takes into consideration various skills, knowledge, and exposure that ESL/EFL teachers need in order to apply communicative language teaching (CLT) techniques and improve learners’ linguistic competence. The review of literature brings into light a broad range of factors that might influence self-efficacy beliefs of the teachers in a school context, and consequently their teaching outcomes. These factors include supportive organizational culture, collegial interactions, participation in continuous professional learning activities, high-level of English language proficiency, years of teaching experiences, and reflective pedagogical practices. It is also shown how self-efficacious teachers can impact EFL/ESL learners’ motivation and their academic achievement.
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**Introduction**

Language teaching is considered a competitive, challenging and demanding job, in foreign and second language context. Language teachers with inadequate professional training or knowledge often find it difficult to meet challenges of professional demands of language teaching and meet the expectations of their learners, employers, and colleagues. Despite required qualifications for English language teaching, teachers often work hard to keep themselves abreast of new developments in the field and learn about top-notch teaching skills that would benefit their learners, and consequently lead to organizational effectiveness. Developing professional capacity, enriching teaching repertoire and enhancing teaching skills are the teachers’ goals to ensure the best teaching and learning outcomes. Teachers with these aims are often effective TESOL professionals who can have a strong impact on their learners, colleagues and organizational culture. Such teachers are considered self-efficacious individuals who not only help themselves to be effective professionals but contribute to their learners’ and professional colleagues’ effectiveness as well.

Communicative language teaching (CTL) is an established teaching norms in the second language classroom, whereas the teachers need to be equipped with classroom management and a variety of teaching skills. Although language proficiency and competence in the target language is a basic requirement of CLT, researchers have also established the fact that EFL teachers need to focus on pedagogical knowledge and other language skills, such as grammar, morphology, phonology, lexicon, syntax, and concepts of functions of English (Crandall, 1999; Tedick & Walker, 1995). It is therefore clear that competent EFL teachers possess pedagogical knowledge, improved language proficiency and knowledge of language skills as they are deemed critical in EFL teaching (Crandall, 1999), which can have a long-lasting impact on language learners.
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Acknowledging the significance of teacher competence, teacher education in the field of TESOL has aimed at developing and informing pre- and in-service EFL teachers to transform them into self-efficacious TESOL professionals (Ishihara & Lazarton, 2005). Research indicates that due to the absence of one acceptable method in ELT and the rise of post methods era (Kumaradavadivelu, 2006), language teachers have no unified theoretical framework to use in their classrooms. Owing to varied contextual demands and lack of unified methods, therefore, classroom teaching heavily relies on the teachers’ effort to learn new skills, upgrade their existing knowledge and enhance their context-specific pedagogical skills (Akbari, 2007; Halliday, 1998). Such teachers are deemed as self-efficacious professionals who adopt various informal ways of learning, such as reflective practices, collegiality and formal means to develop their self-effectiveness.

This conceptual paper reviews relevant research studies on the notion the self-effectiveness of the teachers, highlighting its significance in the context of English language teaching. The following sections of the paper provide useful insights into how the idea of self-effectiveness appeared in the field of teacher education as well as in ELT. On the construct of self-effectiveness, the present study tries to answer the following three questions:

1. What is “teacher self-effectiveness” and how it evolved in the field of teacher education?
2. What factors might influence the sense of teacher self-effectiveness in educational contexts?
3. What are the different facets of teacher self-effectiveness in the field of TESOL?

The Definition of Self-effectiveness

The notion of teacher self-effectiveness is the teachers’ beliefs about their professional competence and capacities. As it has a substantial impact on the learners’ enactment, it enables teachers to learn and assess learners’ difficulties and help them overcome those problems. Therefore, teachers in accordance with the learners’ learning needs, devise a plan and execute it in order to successfully attain the context-specific teaching objectives (Tschannen-Moran & Hoy, 2001).

Teachers with strong self-effectiveness beliefs are able to motivate and inspire language learners and impact their language learning process (Guo, Connor, Yang, Roehrig & Morrison, 2012). Highly self-efficacious teachers are proactive and conscientious professionals who willingly invest time and effort to overcome students’ learning difficulties; choose, supplement and differentiate teaching materials; reflect and improve their pedagogical practices; and remain committed to their profession (Klassen, Tze, Betts, & Gordon, 2011). A significant sense of self-effectiveness in teachers can influence learners’ learning and their own classroom practices, it is important to explore and evaluate factors that might enhance teachers’ self-effectiveness.

Teacher self-effectiveness is a future oriented cognitive construct that builds teachers’ capabilities to accomplish teaching goals (Bandura, 1997) and it never perform very special assignments in a special manner. In other words self-effectiveness is not an actual level of one’s competence, it surely is a self-perception of one’s professional competence in a given situation. It is considered a context-specific task which can be influenced by personal, professional and contextual differences, hence, individuals can judge the extent of their self-effectiveness while performing identical tasks in different ways (Schunk, Pintrich & Meece, 2008). Furthermore, self-effectiveness beliefs assist individuals in predicting the amount of time and effort they put in, planning to overcome challenges and organizing their thoughts, actions, and plans in line with the requirement of the tasks (Bandura, 1993).

The Sources of Teachers’ Self-effectiveness Beliefs

In the light of social cognitive theory, individuals are often influenced by their environment and vice versa. Performing in a particular environment and then interpreting the outcome of the performance often leads to a change in one’s beliefs that also affect the environment (Pajares, 1997). This reciprocal relationship of individuals and environment often help them assess their attitudes and behaviors by understanding and interpreting information from four major sources; a) experience to enact, b) experience of mediation, c) social persuasion, and d) physiological states (Bandura, 1997). Palmer (2006) considers enactive experience as “authentic successes at dealing with a particular situation” (p. 337) that directly affects one’s self-effectiveness beliefs (Bandura, 1997). Scholars have pointed its relationship to the context of education and made it clear that in-service teachers’
The presence of a caring, empathetic and friendly language instructor enhances the motivation of the students. Pintrich (2003) defines motivation as “a process for goal-directed activity that is instigated and sustained” (p.5). The presence of a caring, empathetic and friendly language instructor enhances the motivation of the students towards learning a second language (Gardner, 1985). Such teachers are often seen as self-efficacious individuals.

Social persuasion is another source of information that is based on performance feedback from a senior colleague, tutor or supervisor. Social persuasion is a major source of self-efficacy which can be direct (verbal) or indirect (non-verbal) depending on the situation (Britner & Pajares, 2006; Mulholland & Wallace, 2001), which can lead to either encouraging or discouraging social environment (Mihler & Woolfolk-Hoy, 2003). Bandura (1997) asserts that the degree of social persuasion is subject to the expertise, competence, credibility, and trustworthiness of the persuader whose words are taken either positively or negatively. The self-efficacy of the novice teachers could be enhanced with the help of social persuasion and as result it could be observed in their classroom performance (Woolfolk-Hoy, 2001).

A physiological state is considered another source of information. People in challenging and complex situations are often required to understand their physiological state signs of physical inefficacy (Bandura, 1997). This lack of physical efficacy can interfere with individuals’ performance (O’Neill & Stephenson, 2012) that may lead to different responses to different situations.

Facts Impacting Teachers’ Self-efficacy
Research has offered great insights into how teacher self-efficacy can influence pedagogical practices and students’ learning outcomes in different educational contexts (Podell & Soodak, 1993). Moreover, self-efficacy beliefs contribute to teachers’ pedagogical performances and persistence, enhance their professional commitment, help them to adopt new teaching techniques (Guskey, 1988), and equip them with more positive, student-friendly and up-to-date teaching strategies to help students overcome their learning deficiencies. The following sections further elaborate factors that might influence teacher self-efficacy in different educational contexts.

Self-efficacious Teachers and their Classroom Practices
Researchers have underscored the optimistic influence of self-efficacy on teachers’ overall demeanor. Researchers such as Ashton and Webb (1986) have acknowledged the fact that highly efficacious instructors are often well organized who possess top-notch pedagogical skills, effective questioning techniques. Such teachers can explain the concepts well, provide feedback in a variety of ways, and manage classroom affairs in a professional manner. On the contrary, low efficacy teachers lack these effective techniques and skills to control classroom activities and achieve greater teaching and learning outcomes.

Teacher Self-efficacy and its effect on Students’ Motivation and Academic Achievement
Pintrich (2003) defines motivation as “a process for goal-directed activity that is instigated and sustained” (p.5). The presence of a caring, empathetic and friendly language instructor enhances the motivation of the students towards learning a second language (Gardner, 1985). Such teachers are often seen as self-efficacious individuals.
who would teach in a humanistic style and inculcate hope and expectations in learners. Moreover, they would consider the learners’ different learning styles and give them time, attention and constructive feedback on their work.

Classroom management is one of the key skills of a self-efficacious teacher. Teachers who have a good rapport with their learners often control their class well (Wentzel, 1994). As maintaining discipline becomes crucial for teachers, self-efficacious teachers with their ability to understand learners and their learning needs, do not find it difficult to create a conducive learning environment in the classroom. It can be said that the classroom environment, students’ behavior and attitudes are influenced by the teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs. Similarly, Guo, Justice, Sawyer, and Tompkins (2011) established a positive association between student involvement in a task and teacher self-efficacy in a classroom environment.

Since teachers with self-efficacy beliefs are enthusiastic about their teaching, they love to introduce a variety of tasks that encourage students’ engagement and autonomy as well as help in classroom management (Allinder, 1994). Ross (1992), is a great supporter of the students and teacher continuous interaction as there is a positive relationship between teacher self-efficacy and students’ achievement.

The self-efficacy and the knowledge of teacher

As the significance of teacher knowledge and its impact on teacher self-efficacy is widely acknowledged, it can be developed through formal and informal ways of learning. For teachers to improve their self-efficacy, research illustrates that attending professional development courses, workshops and seminars can add to teachers' pedagogical knowledge and thus improve their self-efficacy (Puchner & Taylor, 2006). However, Moseley, Reineke, and Bookout (2003) believe that professional development programs do not necessarily leave an impact on teachers’ sense of self-efficacy as there is often no scheme to track the teachers’ progress or give teachers’ feedback on their classroom practices. Nevertheless, professional development programs which are consisted of follow up sessions could incorporate peer feedback, students’ feedback and feedback from the trainers. More research in this respect can establish whether teachers who attend regular PD programs have a refined essence of self-efficacy.

Reflective Practices and Teacher Self-Effectiveness

Reflection as a form of learning is a common practice in educational contexts around the world. Reflective teachers can help themselves assess their strengths and weaknesses and devise action plans to enhance their pedagogical skills. According to Schön (1996), reflective teaching is a thoughtful process of teachers’ personal experiences that they apply to their classroom practices. An important aspect of reflective teaching is that reflective teachers directly receive information from the self-assessment of their classroom teaching, which is very much pertinent to their professional development. Researchers have found that effective teachers are reflective thinkers whose teaching practices are shaped by their knowledge, beliefs, attitudes, and professional values (e.g. Freeman, 2002; Mullock, 2006). Such reflective teachers develop their capacity and become self-efficacious professionals.

The Influence of Organizational context on Teacher Self-Effectiveness

Tschannen-Moran et al. (1998) believe that teachers develop their self-efficacy through considering their pedagogical competence in view of contextual factors, such as teaching resources, student factors, and organizational demands that either facilitate or obstruct classroom teaching practices. Nonetheless, it is the teachers’ ability to evaluate the situation, devise a plan, and execute it in a professional way while meeting the contextual demands. Researchers have pointed out that teachers’ self-efficacy beliefs often fluctuate according to the context and its varying demands (Bandura, 1997; Tschannen-Moran et al., 1998).

A plethora of research has considered the influence of contextual factors, such as school context (e.g. teaching resources, students, administrators’ factors), organizational environment, collegial interaction and collaboration, leadership practices on teachers’ sense of self-efficacy (e.g. Siwatu, 2011; Walker & Slear, 2011). It has been established that teachers in a supportive teaching environment with sufficient teaching
resources are often well prepared and teach with more confidence than those schools which offer less support and teaching resources (Siwatu, 2011).

As context shapes teachers’ self-beliefs and either positively or negatively influences language instructors’ understanding of self-effectiveness, researchers have considered it an important area of investigation (e.g. Walker & Slear, 2011). Most of the studies on the awareness of the language teachers’ effectiveness have been conducted in the Western contexts; while teacher self-effectiveness in EFL contexts, especially in the Arabian Gulf has hardly been investigated.

**Teacher Language Proficiency and Self-Effectiveness**

In ESL/EFL contexts, teachers adopt and apply CLT techniques which require them to have improved proficiency in the English language. In other words, ESL/EFL teachers may not be able to develop learners’ linguistic competence if their own language skills are not up to the mark. Evidence from the literature shows that EFL teachers should continuously work on their language skills which will develop their sense of self-effectiveness and contribute to their learners’ learning outcomes. Hence, it can be concluded that teachers’ sense of self-effectiveness is linked to their level of English language proficiency.

**Teacher Self-effectiveness in English Language Teaching**

On the positive side of the matter, research shows that there is a strong relationship between teacher professional learning activities, such as coaching and mentoring and teacher efficacy (Yost, 2002), professional development workshops, seminars and sessions teacher interest in research (Henson, 2001), and in-service or on the job training activities. These studies indicate that a supportive school environment embedded with professional learning opportunities can have a positive influence on English language teacher sense of self-effectiveness beliefs. However, if an organizational context does not encourage positivity, collegiality and professional learning, teachers’ self-effectiveness might be severely affected leading to their underperformance.

In EFL settings where students have inadequate exposure to authentic use of English language, teachers' self-effectiveness plays a pivotal role. For instance, teaching skills like classroom management, English language proficiency, command over the subject matter, and demographic information are important features of EFL teachers’ pedagogical repertoire (Chacon, 2005). Other studies relate various factors to the teachers’ sense of self-effectiveness. For example, teacher satisfaction, preparedness for the role, classroom management skills, collegial relationship, and school environment can lead to either improved or low sense of self-effectiveness (Shim, 2001). Experienced teachers with innovative teaching techniques were considered highly efficacious, especially those who possessed high level of English proficiency and could effectively utilize CLT teaching tools (Chacon, 2005). These factors were obvious in a study by Eun and Heining-Boynton (2007) which also determined that organizational support, years of teaching experience, and continuous professional development programs would contribute to ESL teachers’ self-effectiveness beliefs and influence their pedagogical outcomes. These findings corroborate with Richards’ (2002) results that established a strong relationship between teachers’ self-effectiveness and teachers’ professional learning, teacher knowledge, years of experiences, understanding of learners’ needs, supportive teaching context and positive administrative measures.

**EFL Teacher Self-effectiveness in the Arabian Gulf**

The EFL context in the Arabian Gulf presents unique challenges to teachers in the wake of recent rapid socio-cultural changes. Studies in the Saudi EFL context (e.g. Akrabia, 2016) have shown that teachers’ low self-effectiveness is among the leading causes of learners’ poor English proficiency. In view of the literature presented in the current article, EFL teachers in the Arabian Gulf are required to participate in professional development programs which have special focus on teacher self-effectiveness development. However, as shown by Ahmad, Latada, Wahab, Shah and Khan (2018), EFL teacher self-effectiveness is less likely to develop in a single short presentation or workshop, rather a well-planned long-term professional development program based on needs analysis can serve this purpose. A structured PD scheme would provide teachers mastery of experience through practicing in a controlled environment, vicarious experience through observing experts, verbal persuasion from
mentors, and stable emotional or physiological state by overcoming challenges. In addition, such learning experiences would strengthen teachers’ self-belief in resolving future classroom challenges.

Conclusion
The paper has considered literature on the notion of teacher sense self-effectiveness both in mainstream education and in ESL/EFL contexts. It is well established that self-effectiveness has an impact on teacher’s competence and learner’s learning in different educational contexts around the world. In the field of English language teaching, teachers’ pedagogical and language skills are considered crucial factors in enhancing teachers’ sense of self-effectiveness. More specifically, non-native English teachers in EFL contexts require to have improved language proficiency which guarantees their effectiveness and self-effectiveness. As ESL/EFL teachers often use CLT techniques to encourage student interaction in classrooms, more up-to-date skills and pedagogical knowledge enable them to influence their learners’ learning outcomes. Nevertheless, the sense of an English language teacher’s self-effectiveness is largely impacted by various factors. For example, teachers in supportive organizational structures have a high sense of self-effectiveness whereas teachers who lack administrative support and have no voice in organization policies possess a weak sense of self-effectiveness. Similarly, a school culture can add to teachers’ sense of self-effectiveness by encouraging professional collaboration and collegial interactions and offering continuous learning opportunities to teachers. Last but not the least, years of teaching experiences and reflection on classroom practices are crucial factors that positively influence ESL/EFL teachers’ self-effectiveness. As a consequence of conducive learning milieu, supportive administration, wide array of professional learning opportunities, and reflective practices, TESOL professionals become highly efficacious individuals who inspire and motivate their learners to become high achievers in their academic endeavors.

Since EFL contexts differ a great deal from each other due to indigenous languages, cultures, values, it is important that researchers in the field of TESOL further explore the issue of teacher self-effectiveness and unfold its meaning and role in diverse EFL settings. Moreover, there is a lack of research on how EFL teachers’ self-effectiveness is impacted by the course syllabus, textbooks, teaching materials and curriculum that are being applied in a specific context, hence further research will add to the body of knowledge. The use of a variety of research methods and tools will yield more interesting data which can provide a better understanding of teacher self-effectiveness in various EFL contexts.
References

Akbari, R. (2007). Reflection on reflection: a critical appraisal of reflective practice in L2 teacher education. System, (35), 192-207.

Allinder, R. M. (1994). The relationship between efficacy and the instructional practices of special education teachers and consultants. Teacher Education and Special Education, 17(2), 86-95.

Andrews, S. J. (2003). Teacher language awareness and the professional knowledge base of the L2 teacher. Language Awareness, (12)2, 81-95.

Ashton, P.T., & Webb, R.B. (1986). Making a difference: Teachers’ sense of efficacy and student achievement. New York: Longman.

Atay, D. (2007). Beginning teacher efficacy and the practicum in an EFL context. Teacher development, 11(2), 203-219.

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review, (84), 191-215.

Bandura, A. (1993). Perceived self-efficacy in cognitive development and functioning. Educational psychologist, 28(2), 117-148.

Bandura, A. (1982). The assessment and predictive generality of self-percepts of efficacy. Journal of behavior therapy and experimental psychiatry, 13(3), 195-199.

Bandura, A. (1994). Self-efficacy. Encyclopedia of human behavior. (4), 71-81.

Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: the exercise of control. New York: Freeman.

Bandura, A. (2001). Social cognitive theory: An agentic perspective. Annual review of psychology, 52(1), 1-26.

Bandura, A. (2002). Social cognitive theory in cultural context. Journal of Applied Psychology: An international Review, (51), 269-290.

Bandura, A. (2006). Guide for constructing self-efficacy scales. Self-efficacy beliefs of adolescents, 5(1), 307-337.

Berman, P., McLaughlin, M. W., Bass, G., Pauly, E., & Zellman, G. (1977). Federal programs supporting educational change, Factors affecting implementation and continuation. Santa Monica, Calif.: The Rand Corporation. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. 140 432).

Betoret, F. D. (2006). Stressors, self-efficacy, coping resources, and burnout among secondary school teachers in Spain. Educational psychology, 26(4), 519-539.

Britner, S. L., & Pajares, F. (2006). Sources of science self-efficacy beliefs of middle school students. Journal of Research in Science Teaching: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, 43(5), 485-499.

Campbell, J., (1996). A comparison of teacher efficacy for pre and in-service teachers in Scotland and America. Education, (117), 2–11.

Chacon, C. T. (2005). Teachers’ perceived efficacy among English as a foreign language teacher in middle schools in Venezuela. Teaching and Teacher Education, 21(3), 257-272.

Chong, W. H., Klassen, R. M., Huan, V. S., Wong, I., & Kates, A. D. (2010). The relationships among school types, teacher efficacy beliefs, and academic climate: Perspective from Asian middle schools. The Journal of Educational Research, 103(3), 183-190.

Clayson, D. & Sheffet, M. (2006). Personality and the student evaluation of teaching. Journal of Marketing Evaluation, (28), 149-160.

Coladarci, T. (1992). Teachers’ sense of efficacy and commitment to teaching. The Journal of experimental education, 60(4), 323-337.

Crandall, J. (1999). Aligning teacher education with teaching. TESOL Matters, 9(3), 1–21.

Devos, C., Dupriez, V., & Paquay, L. (2012). Does the social working environment predict beginning teachers’ self-efficacy and feelings of depression? Teaching and Teacher Education, 28(2), 206-217.

Eslami, Z. R., & Fatahi, A. (2008). Teachers’ Sense of Self-Efficacy, English Proficiency, and Instructional Strategies: A Study of Nonnative EFL Teachers in Iran. TESL-EJ, 11(4), n4.
Eun, B., & Heining-Boynton, A. L. (2007). Impact of an English-as-a-second-language professional development program. The journal of educational research, 101(1), 36-49.

Evans, E. D., & Tribble, M. (1986). Perceived teaching problems, self-efficacy, and commitment to teaching among preservice teachers. The Journal of Educational Research, 80(2), 81-85.

Freeman, D. (2002). The hidden side of the work: Teacher knowledge and learning to teach. Lang. Teach. (35), 1-13.

Fritz, J. J., Miller-Heyl, J., Kreutzer, J. C., & MacPhee, D. (1995). Fostering personal teaching efficacy through staff development and classroom activities. The Journal of Educational Research, 88(4), 200-208.

Gardner, R. C. (1985). Social Psychology and Second Language Learning. The role of attitude and motivation in Second Language Learning. London: Edward Arnold.

Ghasemboland, F., & Hashim, F. B. (2013). Teachers' self-efficacy beliefs and their English language proficiency: A study of nonnative EFL teachers in selected language centers. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 103, 890-899.

Gibson, S., & Demo, M. H. (1984). Teacher efficacy: A construct validation. Journal of Educational Psychology, 76(4), 256-582.

Guo, Y., Connor, C. M., Yang, Y., Roehrig, A. D., & Morrison, F. J. (2012). The effects of teacher qualification, teacher self-efficacy, and classroom practices on fifth graders' literacy outcomes. The Elementary School Journal, 113(1), 3-24.

Guo, Y., Justice, L. M., Sawyer, B., & Tompkins, V. (2011). Exploring factors related to preschool teachers' self-efficacy. Teaching and Teacher Education, 27(5), 961-968.

Guskey, T. R. (1988). Teacher efficacy, self-concept, and attitudes toward the implementation of instructional innovation. Teaching and Teacher Education, (4), 63-69.

Halliday, J. (1998). Technicism, reflective practice and authenticity in teacher education. Teaching and Teacher Education, (14), 579-605.

Henson, R. K. (2001). The effects of participation in teacher research on teacher efficacy. Teaching and Teacher Education, 17(7), 819-836.

Henson, R. K. (2002). From adolescent angst to adulthood: Substantive implications and measurement dilemmas in the development of teacher efficacy research. Educational Psychologist, 37(3), 137-150.

Hoy, A. W., & Spero, R. B. (2005). Changes in teacher efficacy during the early years of teaching: A comparison of four measures. Teaching and teacher education, 21(4), 343-356.

Hillocks, G. (1999). Ways of thinking, ways of teaching. New York: Teachers College Press. Hoy, W. K., & Woolfolk, A. E. (1990). Socialization of student teachers. American educational research journal, 27(2), 279-300.

Ishihara, A., & Lazarton, N. (2005). Understanding second language teacher practice microanalysis and self-reflection: A collaborative case study. The Modern Language Journal, 89, 529-542.

Klassen, R. M., & Chiu, M. M. (2010). Effects on teachers' self-efficacy and job satisfaction: Teacher gender, years of experience, and job stress. Journal of educational Psychology, 102(3), 741.

Klassen, R. M., Tze, V. M., Betts, S. M., & Gordon, K. A. (2011). Teacher efficacy research 1998–2009: Signs of progress or unfulfilled promise?. Educational psychology review, 23(1), 21-43.

Kumaradavadivelu, B. (2006). TESOL Methods: Changing tracks, challenging trends. TESOL Quarterly, (40), 59–81.
Lafayette, R. C. (1993). Subject matter content: what every foreign language teacher needs to know. In G. Gunterman (Ed.), Developing language teachers for a changing world (124 158). Chicago: National Textbook Company.

Lee, J.A. (2009). Teachers’ sense of efficacy in teaching English, perceived English language proficiency, and attitudes towards the English language: A case of Korean public elementary school teachers. Unpublished PhD dissertation. The Ohio State University, USA.

Locke, T., & Dix, S. (2011). Found in translation: What secondary-school teachers discover when they translate their own writing project practices into their classrooms. In The United Kingdom Literacy Association (UKLA) 47th International Conference Proceedings. Conference held at University of Chester, England.

Li, D. (1998). ‘It’s always more difficult than you plan and imagine’: teachers’ perceived difficulties in introducing the Communicative Approach in South Korea. TESOL Quarterly, 32(4), 677–703.

Mann, S. (2005). The language teacher's development. Language teaching, 38(3), 103-118.

Martin, A. J., & Marsh, H. W. (2006). Academic resilience and its psychological and educational correlates: A construct validity approach. Psychology in the Schools, 43(3), 267-281.

Milner, H. R., & Hoy, A. W. (2003). A case study of an African American teacher's self-efficacy, stereotype threat, and persistence. Teaching and teacher Education, 19(2), 263-76.

Mojavezi, A., & Tamiz, M. P. (2012). The Impact of Teacher Self-efficacy on the Students’ Motivation and Achievement. Theory & Practice in Language Studies, 2(3).

Moseley, C., Reinke, K., & Bookout, V. (2003). The effect of teaching outdoor environmental education on elementary preservice teachers’ self-efficacy. Journal of Elementary Science Education, 15(1), 1–14. doi:10.1007/BF03174740

Moradkhani, S. (2009). The effect of novice English teachers’ self-efficacy and academic degree on students’ achievement. Unpublished master's thesis). Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

Muijs, D., & Reynolds, D. (2001). Being or doing: The role of teacher behaviors and beliefs in school and teacher effectiveness in mathematics, a SEM analysis. In annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Seattle, WA.

Mullock, B. (2006). The pedagogical knowledge base of four TESOL teachers. The modern language journal, 90(1), 48-66.

Mulholland, J., & Wallace, J. (2001). Teacher induction and elementary science teaching: Enhancing self-efficacy. Teaching and Teacher Education, 17(2), 243-261.

Nauta, M. (2004). Self-efficacy as a mediator of the relationships between personality factors and career interest. Journal of Career Assessment, (12), 381-394.

O’Neill, S., & Stephenson, J. (2012). Does classroom management coursework influence pre service teachers’ perceived preparedness or confidence?. Teaching and teacher education, 28(8), 1131-1143.

Pajares, F. (1992). Teachers’ beliefs and educational research: cleaning up a messy construct. Review of Educational Research, 62(3), 307–332.

Pajares, F. (1997). Current directions in self-efficacy research. Advances in motivation and achievement, 10(149), 1-49.

Palmer DH 2006. Sources of self-efficacy in a science methods course for primary teacher education students. Research in Science Education, 36(4):337-353. doi: 10.1007/s11165-005-9007-0

Paneque, O. M., & Barbetta, P. M. (2006). A study of teacher efficacy of special education teachers of English language learners with disabilities. Bilingual Research Journal, 30(1), 171-193.

Pintrich, P. (2003). A motivational science perspective on the role of student motivation in learning and teaching contexts. Journal of educational Psychology, 95 (4), 667–686.

Poulou, M. (2007). Personal teaching efficacy and its sources: Student teachers' perceptions. Educational Psychology, 27(2), 191-218.

Ramey-Gassert, L., Shroyer, M. G., & Staver, J. R. (1996). A qualitative study of factors influencing science teaching self-efficacy of elementary level teachers. Science Education, 80(3), 283-315.
Richards, E. (2002). Positioning the elementary core French teacher: An investigation of workplace marginality (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest, UMI Dissertations. (NQ69245)

Ross, J. A. (1992). Teacher efficacy and the effect of coaching on student motivation. Canadian Journal of Education, (17), 185-190.

Ross, J., & Bruce, C. (2007). Professional development effects on teacher efficacy: Results of randomized field trial. The journal of educational research, 101(1), 50-60.

Sabokrouh, F. & Barimani-Varandi, S. (2013). The effect of EFL teachers’ attitude towards English language and English language proficiency on their sense of efficacy. Journal of Advances in English Language Teaching, 1(4), 117-125.

Shim, J.W. (2001). The efficacy beliefs of Korean teachers of English as a foreign language. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.

Siwatu, K. O. (2011). Preservice teachers’ culturally responsive teaching self-efficacy-forming experiences: A mixed methods study. The Journal of Educational Research, 104(5), 360-369.

Skaalvik, S., & Skaalvik, E. M. (2004). Gender differences in math and verbal self-concept, performance expectations, and motivation. Sex roles, 50(3-4), 241-252.

Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2007). Dimensions of teacher self-efficacy and relations with strain factors, perceived collective teacher efficacy, and teacher burnout. Journal of educational psychology, 99(3), 611.

Skaalvik, E. M., & Skaalvik, S. (2010). Teacher self-efficacy and teacher burnout: A study of relations. Teaching and teacher education, 26(4), 1059-1069.

Smylie, M. A. (1989). Teachers’ views of the effectiveness of sources of learning to teach. The elementary school journal, 89(5), 543-558.

Soodak, L. C., & Podell, D. M. (1993). Teacher efficacy and student problem as factors in special education referral. The Journal of Special Education, 27(1), 66-81.

Soisangwarn, A., & Wongwanich, S. (2014). Promoting the reflective teacher through peer coaching to improve teaching skills. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 116, 2504-2511.

Soodak, L. C., & Podell, D. M. (1993). Teacher efficacy and student problem as factors in special education referral. The Journal of Special Education, 27(1), 66-81.

Schunk, D. H., Pintrich, P. R., & Meece, J. L. (2008). Motivation in education: Theory, research, and applications. https://0xx73e4lsq08.storage.googleapis.com/EfCQKeZqm8n3bvSsDW08.pdf

Savignon, S. J. (Ed.). (2002). Interpreting Communicative Language Teaching: contexts and concerns in teacher education. New Haven, London: Yale University Press.

Tedick, D. J., & Walker, C. I. (1995). From theory to practice: how do we prepare teachers for second language class-rooms? Foreign Language Annals, 28(4), 499–517.

Tournaki, N., & Podell, D. M. (2005). The impact of student characteristics and teacher efficacy on teachers’ predictions of student success. Teaching and Teacher Education, 21(3), 299-314.

Tschannen-Moran, M., Hoy, A. W., & Hoy, W. K. (1998). Teacher efficacy: Its meaning and measure. Review of educational research, 68(2), 202-248.

Tschannen-Moran, M., & Hoy, A. W. (2001). Teacher efficacy: Capturing an elusive construct. Teaching and teacher education, 17(7), 783-805.

Walker, J., & Slear, S. (2011). The impact of principal leadership behaviors on the efficacy of new and experienced middle school teachers. NASSP Bulletin, 95(1), 46-64.

Woolfolk, A.E., Rosoff, B., & Hoy, W.K. (1990). Teachers’ sense of efficacy and their beliefs about managing students. Teaching and Teacher Education, (6), 137-148.

Wentzel, K. R. (1994). Relations of social goal pursuit to social acceptance, classroom behavior, and perceived social support. Journal of Educational Psychology, 86(2), 173.

Yost, R. (2002). “I think I can”: Mentoring as a means of enhancing teacher efficacy. The Clearing House, 75(4), 195-197.