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**ABSTRACT**

In order to find an alternative host of virus diseases of cowpea in Zaria area, two virus disease symptoms were observed on two wild plants growing near each other at the edge of an experimental field, Department of Biological Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. The virus isolate in *Talinum triangulare* was found not to be sap transmissible in all the buffers used at different molarities and pH values; although the virus was found to be seed transmissible in *T. triangulare* and also transmissible through grafting to healthy looking seedlings of *Vigna unguiculata* var. IAR-01-1006. Also, symptoms were not observed in whiteflies (*Bemisia tabaci*) inoculated seedlings of the test plants. The virus isolate in *Desmodium tortuosum* however, was found to be sap transmissible to healthy looking seedlings of *D. tortuosum* and a number of other plant diseases especially members of Fabaceae family. Chlorotic local lesions were observed in *Chenopodium amarananticolor*. 0.1M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 was found to be suitable for isolation and purification of the virus in *D. tortuosum*. The present study has also shed some light on the possible propagation host (*V. unguiculata* var. IAR-01-1006) for *T. triangulare* virus isolate, a propagation and assay hosts (*V. unguiculata* var. IAR-01-1009 and *C. amaranthicolor*) for *D. tortuosum* virus isolate. The results obtained suggested that the symptoms observed in the two wild plants were induced by two different virus isolates. It is therefore suggested that further studies like molecular and immunological techniques need to be carried out in order to explore more of the properties of these viruses with the view to develop control measures; since the viruses have shown to be a potential threat to some of the most important Leguminosae crops in the area studied. 
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**INTRODUCTION**

Viruses have been variously defined as any of the large group of submicroscopic infective agents that are regarded either as extremely simple microorganisms or as extremely complex molecules, that typically contain...
a protein coat surrounding an RNA or DNA core of genetic materials but no semi-permeable membrane. They are capable of growth and multiplication only in living cells and they cause various important diseases in man, lower animals and plants[3]. Viruses were also defined as obligate parasites; the infectious units comprise either RNA or DNA enclosed also has lipids in their coat[2]. Reaction of the host is usually their only perceptible and variable effect and even that may be lacking or invisible as in much latent virus infection.

Viruses attack nearly all economically important crop plants. In most cases, the virus will cause reduction in yield and quality of the infected crop. It was reported that number of pods per plant; number of seeds per pod and 100-seed weight was significantly reduced in plants infected by Bean Common Mosaic Virus (BCMV)[3]. It was also reported that BMRV (Bean Rugose Mosaic Virus) caused reduction in the total weights of pods per plant[4]. According to[5], the relationship between disease severity and yield showed that as disease severity and yield showed that as disease severity increased, cowpea yield decreased.

Gibbs and Harrison[6], reported that in Ghana, more than 100 million cacao trees have been cut down since 1945 in attempts to stop the spread of cacao swollen shoot virus, which has mealy bugs vectors. In Citrus, the aphid-transmitted triteza virus is widespread, and in Sao Paulo state, Brazil, alone, it killed 6 million trees of sweet orange, in a period of twelve years.

In 1975, groundnut rosette virus destroyed groundnut crop in the major groundnut producing areas in Nigeria[7]. Similarly, cacao swollen shoot virus drastically reduced the yields of cacao in West Africa especially Nigeria and Ghana. Viral diseases are significantly contributing to the reduced yield of cowpea in Asia, Africa and Latin America[8].

The etiology and nature of virus disease is not well understood, this necessitated an urgent need in the control of plant virus. However, this can only be possible by the discovering of the virus properties. Viruses have long been characterized only by biological properties such as: host range, symptomatology, and mode of transmission of the virus in question.

Natural wild plants and weeds have been shown to play an important role in the occurrence and spread of plant virus in many countries Nigeria inclusive[9]. The identification of an ‘unknown’ virus has been shown to involve the demonstration of a number of similarities between the unknown and a previously described virus to establish it[10]. In 1995 it was said that the International Committee on the Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) has provided comprehensive guidelines for the identification and characterization of virus[11].

The common bean is the most important grain legume for direct human consumption in the world and Bean Common Mosaic Virus (BCMV) is one of the world’s most serious bean diseases that can reduce yield and quality of harvested product[12]. Therefore, during the survey for the alternative hosts of virus diseases of cowpea in Zaria area, Kaduna State, Nigeria during the drying season of 2006, some light-green and dark green mosaic symptoms were observed in Talinum triangulare (Jacq) Willd and yellow mosaic symptoms observed on Desmodium tortuosum (SW) DC; both growing near each other in the experimental field in the Department of Biological Sciences experimental garden, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria. The aim of the work is to determine some of the biological properties of the virus isolates that induced the symptoms in the wild plants mentioned earlier.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Source and maintenance of virus cultures**

The original source of the viruses were naturally infected plants of Talinum triangulare and Desmodium tortuosum growing near each other in the experimental garden; Department of Biological Sciences, A.B.U., Zaria. Light-green-dark green mosaic symptoms were observed on the leaves of T. Triangulare (Plate 1). The infected plants were propagated through stem cutting. The virus isolate was maintained on these plants throughout the study. The symptoms on the D. tortuosum were yellow mosaic colouration and distortion of the leaves (Plate 1). The symptoms were transferred onto healthy looking seedlings of the same species by mechanical inoculation using 0.1M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4.

**Transmission tests**

**Seed transmission**

Two hundred and fifty (250) seeds harvested from virus infected T. triangulare were raised in pots and later transplanted into smaller pots kept in insect free cages. The plants were observed for symptoms development for a period of eight weeks.
Graft transmission

Grafting was made by cutting a stem from the infected *T. triangulare* (the scion) on *Vigna unguiculata* var. IAR-01-1006, which serve as the stock. All the leaves were removed leaving only one. The epidermal layer was scrapped from both sides with a sterile blade. Then a slit cut was made on the stem of healthy looking cowpea seedlings. The scion was then inserted in the slit cut on stock and the joined ends were tied with self-sealing tape.

Whitefly transmission

This was carried out by *Bemisia tabaci*; the insects were given 10 minutes fasting before allowed acquisition feeding. A batch of fifteen (15) whiteflies was given acquisition feeding for 48 hours on infected cowpea seedlings (var. IAR-01-1006). They were then giving inoculation feeding period of 48 hours on young healthy looking seedlings of the same variety.

Mechanical inoculation

Inoculums sources for mechanical inoculation were obtained from infected waterleaf, *Desmodium* and cowpea. The inoculation was prepared by grinding infected young leaves displaying severe symptoms phosphate buffer solution at different pH values and molarities. 1% thioglycolic acid and magnesium bentonite were added to each inoculums during preparation using mortar and pestle until a smooth homogenate were obtained. The inoculation were made by dipping sterilized rod into the inoculums and rubbing onto the expanded primary leaves of the test plants previously dusted with carborundum, rinsed with tap water immediately after inoculation. Observations were made for symptom development between 30 and 40 days.

Host range and symptomatology

The viruses were artificially inoculated onto a number of plant species both cultivated and wild, mainly from the family Fabaceae. Inoculum and grafting were made as previously described for mechanical inoculation and grafting. Sap inoculation was done on fully expanded leaves. 6 seedlings of each variety were used for the test. The control consisted of two seedlings inoculated with plain buffers. The inoculated plants were observed for symptoms development for a period of 36 days and the observations were recorded.

RESULTS

The symptom was transmissible through seeds in *T. triangulare*, because out of the 250 seedlings raised from the infected plants, 140 (56%) showed symptoms similar to what was observed on the original plant. However, back inoculation to *Chenopodium amaranticolor* (a local lesion host) seedlings did not induce any symptom (Plate 1).

The virus was found to be transmissible through grafting from *T. triangulare* to a variety of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* var IAR-01-1006). Also transmission was obtained from this variety to another healthy plant of the same variety, although the severity of the symptoms decreased compare to what was observed in the initial cowpea plant. Graft transmission to other cowpea varieties failed to induce symptom. No symptom was observed in the mechanically transmission as well as whiteflies inoculated test plants.

Among the grafted cowpea varieties with infected *T. triangulare*, only *V. Unguiculata* var IAR-01-1006 was found susceptible (TABLE 1), the virus systematically infected the plant. The symptom started with vein clearing on the trifoliate leaves. The interveinal area turned light-green giving light-green dark green mosaic pattern (Plate 1).

Both 0.1M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and 0.05 citrate buffer pH 7.4 were found suitable for mechanical transmission of *D. tortuosum*. 0.1M phosphate pH 7.4 was selected based on the symptoms produced in the test plants.

Among the varieties of cowpea tested for susceptibility to the virus isolate in *D. tortuosum* using sap inoculation with 0.1M phosphate buffer pH 7.4; the following varieties were found susceptible, i.e. IAR-01-1009 and ITAS-Ealy (TABLE 2). The symptoms started with vein clearing on the trifoliate leaves; the interveinal area turned light green, giving light green-dark green mosaic pattern (Plate 4). The inoculated leaves were assayed by back-inoculation onto *C. amaranticolor* and chlorotic lesions were produced (Plate 5). The symptoms appeared in the eighth day after inoculation and were more distinct in IAR-01-1009 source than ITAS-EALY.

DISCUSSION

The symptoms observed on *T. triangulare* and *D. tortuosum* were seemed to be induced by different viruses. The viruses can infect a number of plant species especially members of the Leguminosae family. The virus
TABLE 1: Graft transmission of the virus isolate in *T. triangulare* on five varieties of cowpea

| *V. unguiculata* varieties | Number of seedlings with symptoms | Number of seedlings grafted |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| IAR-00-1074               | 0                                | 6                           |
| IAR-01-1006               | 4                                | 6                           |
| IAR-01-1009               | 0                                | 6                           |
| IAR-339                   | 0                                | 6                           |
| ITAS-EALY                 | 0                                | 6                           |

TABLE 2: Susceptibility of some cowpea varieties to infection by the virus isolate in *D. tortuosum*

| *V. unguiculata* varieties | Number of seedlings with symptoms/6 seedlings | Reaction on *C. amaranticolor* |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| IAR-00-1074               | 0                                             | *                             |
| IAR-01-1006               | 0                                             | *                             |
| IAR-01-1009               | 3                                             | +                             |
| IAR-339                   | 0                                             | *                             |
| ITAS-EALY                 | 4                                             | +                             |

Key: + = Recovery of virus, *= Not tested

Plate I: A: symptoms of a naturally infected *Talinum triangulare*; B: symptoms of naturally infected *Desmodium tortuosum*; C: symptoms of grafted *Vigna unguiculata* var. IAR-01-1006 from the infected *T. triangulare*; D: symptoms of mechanically inoculated *Vigna unguiculata* var. IAR-01-1009 from the infected *D. tortuosum*; E: Arrows indicated chlorotic local lesions in *Chenopodium amaranticolor*, from back test of *V. unguiculata* var. IAR-01-1009, the central leaf is the control.

in *T. triangulare* was seed transmissible although seeds of the infected *V. unguiculata* var IAR-01-1006 was not subjected for the seed transmission test. Many virus groups have been reported to be seed-borne in cowpea plants; the cowpea mild mottle carlavirus (CMMC) transmitted by whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* seed-borne in cowpea also, a member of cucumovirus [cucumber mosaic virus, cowpea strain (CuMV)] has also been shown to be seed-borne[13]. Seed transmission of virus depends on host genotype and virus strain[14], tabulated various seed-borne viruses in legumes worldwide. Many viruses, including those which are nematode-borne and seed transmitted, have a wide natural host range which ensures survival in the wild and makes them important in a wide range of annual and perennial crops[15]. The results thus indicate the need for more investigation studies on the virus so as to understand in details the ecology and epidemiology of the virus isolate in *T. triangulare*.

The result of the grafting showed that the symptoms observed on *T. triangulare* are not caused by environmental factor. Grafting transmission is a suitable method for studying viruses; this is because it provides...
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a clue as to whether the suspected symptoms are due to viral infection or environmental factor. This also implied that the virus can easily be maintained on any of the susceptible varieties through grafting and the virus can be propagated in the variety.

The 0.1M phosphate buffer pH 7.4 proved to be a better solution for isolation and purification of the virus in <i>D. tortuosum</i>. This is supported by the work of [16,17] who extracted a number of plant virus using phosphate buffer at molarity of 0.1M at varying pH ranging from 7.5-8.0.

Despite all the activities of the buffer on the study of infectivity of different plant viruses, the virus isolate in <i>T. triangulare</i> was found not to be transmissible to other plants at those molarities and pH. This result also agreed with the findings of [18] who noted that not all viruses can be transmitted mechanically. It has also been noticed that viruses in nature that normally persist in the vector such as semi-persistent and persistent aphid-transmitted viruses and many of the leaf hopper and whitefly viruses are not usually transmitted by sap[19]. The above result is thus similar to what is obtainable from most of the whiteflies transmitted pathogens since most of them are not sap transmissible and little is known about their particles.

CONCLUSION

The present study has shed some light on the possible propagation host for <i>T. triangulare</i> (<i>V. unguiculata</i> var. IAR-01-1009) and <i>Desmodium tortuosum</i> (<i>V. unguiculata</i> var. IAR-01-1009 and <i>V. unguiculata</i> var. ITAS-EALY); assay host for <i>Desmodium</i> (<i>Chenopodium amaranticolor</i>). The identification of 0.1M phosphate buffer for the purification of this virus will definitely lead to the revelation of the physical and biochemical properties of the virus isolate in <i>D. tortuosum</i>. Further studies like molecular and immunological techniques need to be carried out in other to explore more of the properties of these viruses with the view to develop control measures; since the viruses have shown to be a potential threat to some of the most important Leguminosae crops in the area studied.
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