Improved Shoot Organogenesis from Leaf Explants of Highbush Blueberry
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Abstract. As part of a program to develop transgenic highbush blueberry (Vaccinium corymbosum L.) cultivars, studies were conducted to determine optimum conditions for high efficiency shoot regeneration from leaf explants of shoots propagated in vitro. The effects on shoot organogenesis of age of explant source, length of dark treatment, the addition of either thidiazuron (TDZ) at 1 or 5 µM, or zeatin riboside at 20 µM to the regeneration medium, and a photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) of either 18 ± 5 or 55 ± 5 µmol·m–2·s–1 were investigated. A maximum of 13.0, 13.0, 12.6, and 4.6 shoots regenerating per explant for cultivars Duke, Georgiagem, Sierra, and Jersey, respectively, occurred on regeneration medium with zeatin riboside and under a PPF of 55 ± 5 µmol·m–2·s–1. Duke® regenerated equally well on medium with either zeatin riboside or 1 µM TDZ, whereas the number of shoots per explant for ‘Georgiagem’ and ‘Sierra’ was significantly higher on zeatin riboside. Regeneration of ‘Duke’, ‘Jersey’, and ‘Sierra’ on zeatin riboside was significantly better under a PPF of 55 ± 5 µmol·m–2·s–1 than under 18 ± 5 µmol·m–2·s–1, but the higher PPF inhibited regeneration of ‘Duke’ on 5 µM TDZ. There were no significant differences in percentage of regeneration or the number of shoots per explant from leaf explants derived from either 1-, 2-, or 3-week-old shoot cultures, or when either 1 or 2 weeks of darkness preceded light treatments. Chemical names used: 1-phenyl-3-(1,2,3-thiadiazol-5-yl)urea (thidiazuron, TDZ); 9-[β-ribofuranosyl]-6-(4-hydroxy-3-methyl-but-2-enylamino)purine (zeatin riboside).

Highbush blueberry is a good candidate for gene transfer studies because high heterozygosity and polyploidy impede improvement by traditional breeding methods. Improvement via genetic engineering, however, depends on an efficient gene delivery system, a system for selection, and high frequency shoot regeneration via either organogenesis or somatic embryogenesis. Only a few reports exist of shoot organogenesis from leaf explants of commercially important cultivars of highbush blueberry (Billings et al., 1988; Callow et al., 1989; Rowland and Ogden, 1992), and these studies focused on plant growth regulator and genotype effects. Billings et al. (1988) achieved maximum regeneration frequencies of seven shoots per explant for ‘Berkeley’ and 10 for ‘Bluehaven’. Callow et al. (1989) achieved regeneration frequencies of only one shoot per explant for ‘Bluecrop’. For both studies, regeneration media contained the cytokinin 6-β-dimethylallylamino)-purine (2iP) and explants were incubated under a photosynthetic photon flux (PPF) of ≤40 µmol·m–2·s–1. More recently, Rowland and Ogden (1992) demonstrated that the cytokinin conjugate zeatin riboside (ZR) was more effective for shoot regeneration than either zeatin or 2iP. They induced a maximum regeneration of 20 shoots per explant for ‘Sunrise’ under a PPF of 20–25 µmol·m–2·s–1, but were unable to induce shoot regeneration from ‘Bluecrop’ and ‘Duke’. Factors such as age of explant source, age of explant, presence of the cytokinin-like compound thidiazuron (TDZ), type of gelling agent, length of dark treatment, and PPF have significant effects on adventitious shoot formation from leaf explants of woody plant species (Hammerschlag and Litz, 1992; Huetteman and Preece, 1993; Murthy et al., 1998). The objective of this study was to investigate the effects of age of the explant source, length of dark period, TDZ vs. ZR, and PPF on regeneration of shoots from leaf explants of several commercially important highbush blueberry cultivars.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and medium. Leaf-blade explants were dissected from 1-, 2-, and 3-week-old, in vitro-cultured shoots of northern highbush blueberry cultivars Bluecrop, Duke, Jersey, and Sierra and the southern highbush (V. ×corymbosum) cultivar Georgiagem. Donor shoot cultures were maintained by transferring 1–2-cm-long axillary shoots at 4-week intervals onto 42.5 mL of blueberry shoot multiplication medium (Rowland and Ogden, 1992), consisting of Woody Plant Medium (Lloyd and McCown, 1980) modified as follows (mg·L–1): 684 Ca (NO3)2·4 H2O, 190 KNO3, 73.4 C6H5FeN3NaO6 [EDTA; (ethylenedinitrilo)-tetraacetic acid ferricodium salt] (Sigma, St. Louis), and 0.1 thiamine-HCl, and without K2SO4, CaCl2, FeSO4, and Na2EDTA. The medium also contained 15 mmsucrose, Difco Bacto-agar (5.5 g·L–1), 24.6 µg·L–1 Zn and 9.1 µM zeatin. The pH was adjusted to 5.2 before autoclaving at 121 °C at 131 kPa for 15 min; the medium was then dispensed into glass jars that were sealed with plastic wrap. Shoot cultures were incubated at 23 °C under a 16-h photoperiod provided by cool-white fluorescent lights at a PPF of 40 µmol·m–2·s–1.

Shoot organogenesis. Leaf explants from tissue-cultured shoots (1-, 2-, and 3-week-old shoot cultures) were prepared from two or three of the youngest, fully expanded leaves by dissecting perpendicular to the midrib to remove the petiole and the distal one-third of the blade. The remainder of the leaf blade was placed abaxial side up on the surface of 20 mL of shoot regeneration medium in 100 × 15-mm petri dishes (sealed with plastic wrap). The shoot regeneration medium differed from the shoot propagation medium (see above), only containing either 1 or 5 µM TDZ, or 20 µM ZR, instead of 2iP and zeatin. Preliminary studies (data not shown) indicated that 10 or 20 µM TDZ induced no notable regeneration, so these concentrations of TDZ were not included in this study. The shoot elongation medium was the same as the shoot propagation medium, but without growth regulators. Explants were incubated in the dark for either 1 or 2 weeks at 23 °C, and then incubated under a 16-h photoperiod provided by cool-white fluorescent lights at a PPF of either 18 ± 5 µmol·m–2·s–1 or 55 ± 5 µmol·m–2·s–1. Explants were subcultured every 2 weeks. After 6 weeks, explants were placed on shoot elongation medium for 10 d and then scored for percentage of regeneration and number of shoots per explant.

Experimental design and statistical analysis. Petri dishes were arranged in a completely randomized design under each PPF treatment. Regeneration experiments were replicated a minimum of three times with two petri dishes (five leaf explants per petri dish) per treatment per replication. Because most of the percentage of regeneration data for the PPF and growth regulator treatments did not differ among cultivars, only data for number of shoots per explant were analyzed using SAS, version 6.12, mixed model analysis of variance procedure (SAS Institute, 1997). Differences among treatment means were tested using the least significant difference (LSD) test, P ≤ 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Influence of the age of the explant source and time of incubation in darkness. Neither the age of the shoot cultures nor the time held in darkness
darkness significantly influenced efficiency of blueberry shoot regeneration (data not shown). In other studies with perennial fruit crop species, both age of explant source (Drueart, 1990; Nehra et al., 1989) and length of time in darkness (Fasolo et al., 1989; Hsia and Korban, 1998; Korban et al., 1992; Predieri and Malavasi, 1989) significantly affected shoot regeneration frequency. Although not important in the present study, the age of the explant source either promoted or inhibited the efficiency of transformation, depending on the cultivar of highbush blueberry (Cao et al., 1998).

Influence of TDZ and ZR. Although concentrations of TDZ from 0.1 to 20 \(\mu M\) stimulate shoot organogenesis from leaves of woody species (Huetteman and Preece, 1993), we used a lower concentration range for TDZ than for ZR in the present study because concentrations of TDZ higher than 5 \(\mu M\) inhibited shoot regeneration in our preliminary studies (data not shown), and because TDZ is active at lower concentrations than are the amino purine cytokinins (Mok et al., 1987). In two of four cultivars, the cytokinin influenced the percentage of regeneration (Table 1). Percentage of regeneration was similar on TDZ and ZR for ‘Duke’ and ‘Sierra’; however, percentage of regeneration for ‘Georgiagem’ was reduced from 100% on ZR to 25% to 58% on TDZ; and for ‘Jersey’, from 56% to 100% on ZR to 45% to 65% on TDZ (Table 1). The type of cytokinin also influenced the number of shoots produced per explant (Fig. 1); the number was significantly less \((P \leq 0.05)\) on TDZ than on ZR for most cultivars; however, these differences were influenced by PPF (Fig. 1).

Under a low PPF of 18 ± 5 \(\mu mol\cdot m^{-2}\cdot s^{-1}\), ‘Duke’ and ‘Sierra’ produced significantly more shoots per explant on 5 \(\mu M\) TDZ than on ZR (Fig. 1, top); however, under a high PPF of 55 ± 5 \(\mu mol\cdot m^{-2}\cdot s^{-1}\), ‘Duke’, ‘Georgiagem’, ‘Jersey’, and ‘Sierra’ produced significantly more shoots per explant on ZR than on 5 \(\mu M\) TDZ (Fig. 1, bottom).

Among cytokinins and cytokinin-like compounds, TDZ is an attractive candidate for plant regeneration studies, because it is less expensive than either 2iP or ZR (Hsia and Korban, 1998; Shibli and Smith, 1996), and induces either organogenesis or somatic embryogenesis of many woody fruit crop genera, including Malus (Korban et al., 1992; Van Nieuwkerk et al., 1986), Prunus (Mante et al., 1989), and Rubus (Fioia et al., 1990). Although regeneration frequency (the number of shoots per explant), in general, was highest on media with ZR, our data suggest that 1 \(\mu M\) TDZ can replace 20 \(\mu M\) ZR in regeneration studies with ‘Duke’, when explants are incubated under either of the light intensities tested (Table 1, Fig. 1). Studies with ohelo (Vaccinium pahaleae Skottsb.) and bilberry (V. myrtillus L.) (Shibli and Smith, 1996) indicated that 0.9–2.7 \(\mu M\) TDZ were more effective than 9.1–27.4 \(\mu M\) zeatin or 19.9–59 \(\mu M\) 2iP for inducing shoot regeneration from leaf explants.

Influence of PPF. In only four of the 12 comparisons did PPF influence the percentage of regeneration (Table 1). For ‘Georgiagem’ on 5 \(\mu M\) TDZ, the percentage was greater at the lower light intensity, whereas for ‘Jersey’ and ‘Sierra’ on ZR, it was greater at the higher light intensity. The PPF significantly influenced the number of shoots per explant within cultivars in five of 15 comparisons; however, the response to PPF was influenced by cytokinin and genotype (Fig. 2). The PPF was not a significant factor with 1 \(\mu M\) TDZ (Fig. 2, top); however, with 5 \(\mu M\) TDZ, the efficiency of shoot regeneration of both ‘Duke’ and ‘Georgiagem’ was significantly better at the low than at the high PPF (Fig. 2, middle). For regeneration of cultivars Duke, Jersey, and Sierra on medium with 20 \(\mu M\) ZR, the opposite was true (Fig. 2, bottom). Maximum regeneration of ‘Duke’, ‘Georgiagem’, ‘Jersey’, and ‘Sierra’ occurred under a PPF of 55 \(\mu mol\cdot m^{-2}\cdot s^{-1}\), and when explants were incubated on medium with 20 \(\mu M\) ZR (Figs. 1, bottom, and 2, bottom). A PPF of 40–60 \(\mu mol\cdot m^{-2}\cdot s^{-1}\) induced the highest in vitro shoot proliferation and growth of several highbush blueberry cultivars (Noé and Eccher, 1994; Noé et al., 1998). PPF was also an important factor for adventitious shoot formation of other woody species, including evergreen azalea (Rhododendron sp.) (Hsia and Korban, 1998) and apple (Yepes and Aldwinckle, 1994).

Genotype effect. The genotypes in this study differed significantly in their morphogenic potential (Figs. 1 and 2), as reported in other studies on perennial fruit crop species (Hammerschlag and Litz, 1992), including blueberries (Billings et al., 1988; Rowland and Ogeden, 1992). Regeneration frequency ranged from 13.0 shoots per explant for ‘Duke’ and ‘Georgiagem’ to none for ‘Bluecrop’. Significant differences among the genotypes were observed in response to growth regulator (Fig. 1) and to PPF (Fig. 2, middle and bottom).

Rowland and Ogeden (1992) were unable to regenerate ‘Duke’ on 20 \(\mu M\) ZR, whereas it readily regenerated on 20 \(\mu M\) ZR in our experiments. This could be due to several factors, such as nature and maturity of the explant, and PPF. Regenerative capacity increases from the tip toward the base of the leaf (Welander, 1988; Yepes and Aldwinckle, 1994). We used

| Cultivar         | 1     | 5     | 20    |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| PPF = 18 ± 5     |       |       |       |
| Duke             | 100 ±0| 100 ±0| 100 ±0|
| Georgiagem       | 50 ±5 | 58 ±5 | 100 ±0|
| Jersey           | 45 ±10| 65 ±10| 56 ±13|
| Sierra           | 100 ±0| 100 ±10| 72 ±10|
| PPF = 55 ± 5     |       |       |       |
| Duke             | 100 ±0| 100 ±0| 100 ±0|
| Georgiagem       | 55 ±15| 25 ±11| 100 ±0|
| Jersey           | 50 ±7 | 47 ±7 | 100 ±0|
| Sierra           | 100 ±0| 100 ±0| 100 ±0|

*Each value represents the mean ± SD of a minimum of three replications with 10 explants per treatment per replication. TDZ = thidiazuron; ZR = zeatin riboside; PPF = photosynthetic photon flux (\(\mu mol\cdot m^{-2}\cdot s^{-1}\)).
In our study, significantly greater regeneration was observed for leaves under a low photon flux density (PPF) of 55 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ than under a PPF of 20 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, as indicated by asterisks in the graphs. The basal two-thirds of the leaf blade, whereas Rowland and Ogden (1992) used the middle one-third. Other studies have demonstrated that position of the leaf on the shoot affects shoot regeneration, with young expanding leaves exhibiting a greater morphogenetic potential than older, fully expanded leaves (Fasolo et al., 1989; Welander, 1988; Yepes and Aldwinckle, 1994). Rowland and Ogden (1992) used leaf explants from the top to bottom of the shoot; however, only the youngest, fully expanded leaves were used in the present study. Hruskoci and Read (1993) reported regeneration frequencies were higher in younger than in older stem internode segments of blueberry. Finally, Rowland and Ogden (1992) incubated leaves under a low PPF of 20–25 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. In our study, significantly greater regeneration frequencies were achieved for ‘Duke’ on ZR under a PPF of 55 ± 5 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ than under 18 ± 5 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (Fig. 2, bottom).

In summary, both the type of growth regulator and the level of PPF were significant factors in shoot regeneration from blueberry leaf explants. Given 100% regeneration and 13 shoots per explant on a medium with 20 µM ZR and under a PPF of 55 µmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹, it may be possible to use ‘Duke’, ‘Georgiagem’, and ‘Sierra’ in genetic engineering studies.
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**Fig. 2.** Influence of PPF on blueberry shoot regeneration from leaf explants on medium with either (top) 1 µM TDZ, (middle) 5 µM TDZ, or (bottom) 20 µM ZR. Mean separation within PPF levels and media by LSD, P ≤ 0.05. Significant effects of PPF (P ≤ 0.05) within cultivars and media are indicated by asterisks.