ABSTRACT

Objectives: Purpose of this study was to analyze the prescription pattern among the hospitalized pediatric patients in private sector hospital.

Methods: The study was conducted from January 2018 to April, 2018 at Shaishav Hospital, Nadiad, Gujarat, India, and 178 patients were included into the study. Results were obtained by applying the World Health Organization (WHO) prescribing indicators as well as Indian Academy of Pediatrics List of Essential Medicines (IAP-LEM).

Results: During the study period, infectious disease such as pneumonitis, bronchitis, enteric fever, gastroenteritis, and dehydration were the dominant disease among the patients. Average number of drugs per prescription was four but in many of prescription, poly-pharmacy has shown. Antibiotic agents were the most prescribed drug (38.6%) among different classes of drugs. Use of the parenteral route of drug administration was used beyond the range stated by the WHO (59% prescriptions vs. 13–24% WHO range). We found that doctor followed IAP-LEM (in 99.78% cases) for prescribing as well as for dosing also, which was very impressive.

Conclusions: As this study indicates, there is a need of development of evidence-based treatment protocols for common disease condition for the rational use of medication, especially for an antibiotic medication.
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The study excluded prescription items related to blood products, oxygen, total parenteral nutrition, oral rehydration salts, and topical products used during hospitalization, as well as the electrolytes (0.9% sodium chloride solution and 5% glucose solution) of routine use in clinical assistance for the maintenance of peripheral venous access and drug administration.

Demographic details of patients such as age, sex, date of admission, and date of discharge were collected in a case record form. Diagnosis (disease condition) as well drug prescription has been collected from data management software of the hospital. Medications prescribed were recorded in detail such as indications, dosage form, trade/generic name, dose administered, frequency of administration, and route of administration. The antibiotic prescribing data were included in the study of those patients who had at least diagnosed with one of the five most commonly registered infectious disease, i.e. enteric fever, pneumonitis, dehydration, gastroenteritis, and bronchitis.

The data regarding prescription prescribed were analyzed in accordance with prescribing indicators described in "Essential medicine and Health products Information Portal." The complementary parameter such as pediatric classification by age group was done as per the U.S Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration guideline issued on June 2003. Antibiotic drug classification was done as per the ATC guideline. The comparison of parenteral and oral formulation prescription was done by finding out the percentage formulation (oral as well parenteral) prescribed. Percentage as well as frequency was calculated for different categorical variables, while sum, mean, and range were calculated for continuous variables. All the data were analyzed using Microsoft Office Excel 2016.

RESULTS

During the study period of 4 months (January 2018–April 2018) total, 178 patients were included into the study; classification of a pediatric patient as per the age group as well as gender distribution has been done as per the United States Food and Drug Administration guideline (Table 1).

Mean duration of admission was 3.70 days. The duration of hospital stay was a bit higher in female patients (3.81 days) compare to male patients (3.64).

Gastrointestinal infections accounted for the highest number of cases (62.83%), including dehydration (40.47%), enteric fever (7.61%), enteritis (14.28%), and hepatitis (0.47%). Disease condition such as pneumonitis (16.20%) and bronchitis (16.60%) also had a large number of cases. Patients were diagnosed with other severe life-threatening conditions such as swine flu and dengue (Table 2).

From 178 different prescriptions, a total of 648 drugs were prescribed in all the cases with 59% parenteral and 41% oral formulation for different disease conditions. Pharmacological classification of the drugs has been reported in Table 3.

Average number of drug per prescription is use to check polypharmacy. The mean drugs prescribed per prescription was 4 though, 47 prescriptions (26%) had prescribed 5 or 6 drugs per prescription. During 4 months of the study period, not a single prescription was prescribed with generic names. All the drugs were prescribed by trade name. Almost all the prescription had prescribed with at least one antibiotic medication from different pharmacological class.

A total of 250 antibiotics was prescribed. Among them, amikacin (aminoglycoside) was accounted for 34% of total prescribing. All the prescriptions were prescribed with at least one parenteral formulation.

We found that all the medicines prescribed were from the essential medicine list.

Ceftriaxone, a systemic antibiotic, has the highest number of prescriptions (103, 15.89%) followed by another systemic antibiotic drug amikacin (87, 13.42%) (Table 4).

Fixed-dose combinations (FDCs) are one of the strategies to reduce the number of drugs prescribed and improve medication compliance. Increasing the requirement of drugs in patients with more than one disease justifies the use of FDCs. During the study period total, 580 different formulations were prescribed, from which 169 (29%) were the FDC.

### Table 1: Classification of pediatrics and gender distribution

| Age group     | Number of patients (n=178) (%) | Gender distribution (%) |
|---------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| New born      | 6 (3)                         | Male (n=109) 4 (67)     |
| Infants       | 46 (26)                       | Female (n=69) 16 (35)   |
| Child         | 119 (67)                      |                         |
| Adolescents   | 7 (4)                         |                         |

### Table 2: Distribution of disease conditions

| Infection type (%) | Disease conditions (n=210) (%) | Avg. Duration of hospital stay (days) |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Respiratory        | Pneumonitis (n=34, 16.20)   | 4.5                                 |
| Enteric fever      | Enteritis (n=30, 14.28)     | 3                                   |
| Dehydration        | Swine flu (n=1, 0.47)       | 7                                   |
| Gastrointestinal   | Enteritis (n=8, 40.47)      | 3.6                                 |
| Infection          | Enteric fever (n=16, 7.61)  | 3.5                                 |

### Table 3: Classification of medicines

| Medicine class                              | Number of prescriptions (n=648) |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Anti-infective for systemic use (J)         | 255 (39.37)                     |
| Antiviral agent (I05)                       | 2 (0.31)                        |
| Antibiotic agents (J01)                     | 250 (38.6)                      |
| Antifungal agents (J02)                     | 3 (0.46)                        |
| Respiratory system (R)                      | 105 (16.2)                      |
| Antiasthmatics for systemic use (R06)       | 12 (1.85)                       |
| Antiallergics (R06)                         | 43 (6.64)                       |
| Cold and cough preparation (R05)            | 50 (7.71)                       |
| Musculoskeletal system (M)                  | 84 (12.96)                      |
| Alimentary tract and metabolism (A)         | 103 (15.89)                     |
| Laxatives (A06)                             | 8 (1.23)                        |
| Antiemetics (A04)                           | 38 (5.86)                       |
| Antifungal agents (A02)                     | 57 (8.80)                       |
| Dermatological (D)                          | 6 (0.92)                        |
| Cortico-steroids (D07)                      | 6 (0.92)                        |
| Vitamin supplements (V06)                   | 95 (14.66)                      |

### Table 4: Most frequently prescribed drugs

| Drug                           | Prescriptions (n=648, 100%) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Ceftriaxone                    | 103 (15.89)                 |
| Amikacin                       | 87 (13.42)                  |
| Paracetamol                    | 65 (10.03)                  |
| Ranitidine                     | 53 (8.18)                   |
| Ondansetron                    | 38 (5.86)                   |
| Ambroxol                       | 24 (3.70)                   |
| Chlorpheniramine               | 27 (4.17)                   |
| Sodium citrate                 | 19 (2.93)                   |
| Ibufrofen                      | 16 (2.47)                   |
| Dextromethorphan               | 11 (1.70)                   |
DISCUSSION

It is evident from the many studies that evidence-based guidelines do not follow in more than one-third of antimicrobial treatments in adults. Similar or worse results in pediatrics are expectable since lack of pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamics studies hinder the establishment of optimal dosing and intervals for antimicrobial prescription adjusted to different age groups. Further, in pediatrics, antimicrobial prescriptions are more common to provide treatment of infection which is not focused on searching for the causative microorganisms, resulting empirical treatment of “suspected infection” or “risk of infection” based in age group and patient’s symptoms. Empirical treatment increases the chances of therapeutic failure and promotes the use of antibiotic with a broader spectrum which may not be required for the treatment of infectious diseases [8, 9].

The present investigation was carried out to provide insight related to prescription pattern among hospitalized pediatric patients in a private hospital of Nadiad, Gujarat. Of 178 patients, most of the patients were male (n=110; 61%) followed by female (n=68; 39%). Our results were similar to the studies conducted by Pashankar and Loening-Baucke (male patients 62% and female patients 38%) [10] and Karande et al. (male 59.8% and female patients 40.2%) [11]. Our study results state that most of the patients were prescribed with 5% intravenous injections; 34% syrup/oral drops/nasal drops and 7% as tablets/capsules whereas, in Thiruthopu et al. study, 63% of medicines were prescribed as intravenous injection, 15% syrup/oral drops/nasal drops, 17% were prescribed as tablets/capsules, and others were 4.1% [12].

In the present study, on an average four medicines were prescribed per patient, which is much higher as compared to 1.4 and 2.3 in similar studies from Sanz and Boada, Walter et al., and Mirza et al. showed the average number of medicines to be 3.3 per prescription in adult patients [13-15]. Pandey et al. and Ansari et al. found this number to be 5.9 and 5.1 medicines per prescription, respectively, in their studies [16,17]. Thus, it is evident that the polypharmacy and over-prescribing are common in India, an economically developing country, as compared to economically developed western countries. In our study, we observed extensive use of antibiotics. The number of encounters with antibiotics was 250 (37.9%) followed by non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medicines 84 (12.7%) and antimalarial of medicines 57 (8.66%). Third-generation cephalosporins were the most commonly prescribed antibiotic group. The patients with enteric fever, gastroenteritis, and respiratory tract infections (RTI) were commonly prescribed third-generation cephalosporins mostly through the parenteral route. The similar observations were found in the study done by Sharma et al. [18]

According to the WHO, broad-spectrum antibiotics are recommended only when treatment with specific antibiotics has been proven ineffective.

FDGs were more commonly prescribed, especially to patients with acute gastroenteritis, RTI, and enteric fever. The FDGs are usually costlier than single drug formulation; thus, an extra cost of treatment is directly imposed on the patients. In almost all patients in study settings, the antibiotics were prescribed empirically but without any laboratory diagnostics; therefore, the rationality of the prescribed FDGs could not be evaluated. The probable unnecessary exposure to antibiotics for viral infections and broad-spectrum antibiotics, including FDGs, could potentially lead to the development of antibiotic resistance [7]. This study showed that most of the indicators analyzed were in agreement with the WHO’s recommendations, except for the mean number of drugs per encounter and percentage of medications prescribed by generic name which should be ≤2 and 100%, respectively.

Prescribing generic name is known to reduce the cost of drug treatment and rationalizing drug therapy. In this study, none of the prescriptions contain a single drug prescribed in its generic name. This varies from 13.3% to 93% across the globe. A similar study conducted in Goa, the western part of India, has similar observations where only 0.05% of the drugs of 1842 products prescribed were in the generic name [19]. The results of work conducted in India report this as 3.8%. One of the reasons for poor prescribing by generic name is the non-availability of the pediatric formulations in the hospital pharmacy. Prescribing of antibiotics does not fall within the WHO recommended range of 20–26%, but it was higher (37.9%) than the range recommended while prescribing of injections was beyond the recommended range of the WHO (51% vs. 13.4–24.0%). A higher rate of injections was prescribed in other Indian studies [12]. The results show that prescribing of essential medicines from the IAP-LEM was higher (99.78%) at a hospital which was a higher percentage than studies of Sharma et al. [7]. Earlier studies have shown a reduction of broad-spectrum antibiotic use in health-care facilities after implementation of local prescribing guidelines which can reduce irrational antibiotic prescribing. In the study, a higher proportion of antibiotics (56%) were present from the list of essential antibiotics [20].

CONCLUSIONS

This study highlights the prescription pattern of different medicines in different age groups in hospitalized pediatric populations. We came to know that almost all the prescriptions have prescribed with at least one antibiotic medicine. It highlights the need of diagnosis-specific antibiotic prescription as well the development of a better system which may regulate the use of antibiotics in pediatric populations as it could be the major reason for antibiotic resistance in the future. All the medications were prescribed by brand name rather than generic names. Our study has shown that almost all the drugs were prescribed as per the standard treatment guideline for pediatric population in India, suggesting compliance with the IAP-LEM guidelines for the selection of the medicines.
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