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ABSTRACT

We analyze the light curves of 413 radio sources at submillimeter wavelengths using data from the Submillimeter Array calibrator database. The database includes more than 20,000 observations at 1.3 and 0.8 mm that span 13 years. We model the light curves as a damped random walk and determine a characteristic timescale $\tau$ at which the variability amplitude saturates. For the vast majority of sources, primarily blazars and BL Lac objects, we find only lower limits on $\tau$. For two nearby low-luminosity active galactic nuclei, M81 and M87, however, we measure $\tau = 1.6^{+3.0}_{-0.9}$ days and $\tau = 45^{+64}_{-24}$ days, respectively (2$\sigma$ errors). Including the previously measured $\tau = 0.33 \pm 0.16$ days for Sgr A*, we show an approximately linear correlation between $\tau$ and black hole mass for these nearby low-luminosity AGNs (LLAGNs). Other LLAGNs with spectra that peak in the submillimeter are expected to follow this correlation. These characteristic timescales are comparable to the minimum timescale for emission processes close to an event horizon and suggest that the underlying physics may be independent of black hole mass, accretion rate, and jet luminosity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been much work focused on understanding black hole accretion and its similarities across the mass scale, from stellar mass black holes in X-ray binaries (BHBs) to active galactic nuclei (AGNs). Long-term variability studies have found evidence of a mass dependence in timing features that holds from BHBs to AGNs (McHardy et al. 2006; Kelly et al. 2009; MacLeod et al. 2010). A linear correlation can be understood if the emission originates in a region that is the same size for all systems in units of Schwarzschild radii, where $R_S = 2GM/c^2$. However, the timescale is also inversely proportional to the Eddington accretion fraction, suggesting that the pertinent size of the emission zone is also regulated by the total system power. Similarly, studies of broadband spectra have found a strong correlation between radio and X-ray luminosity and black hole mass (the “fundamental plane of black hole accretion,” or FP; see, e.g., Merloni et al. 2003; Falcke et al. 2004; Plotkin et al. 2012) that have confirmed earlier theoretical frameworks (Blandford & Konigl 1979; Falcke & Biermann 1995) that synchrotron spectral features scale predictably with black hole mass and accretion power. Combining these concepts, observations at a fixed frequency of black holes of the same mass with different accretion power should not yield similar timescales because the frequency “selects” out different sized emission regions in both cases.

The submillimeter (submm) band seems to be selecting out regions of event-horizon scale in two sources of drastically different mass and accretion rate: Sgr A* and M87 (Doeleman et al. 2008, 2012a, 2012b). Both sources belong to the class of nearby, low-luminosity AGNs (LLAGNs; Ho 1999) that fall on the FP.

Variability provides an independent test of the size scales. Dexter et al. (2014) used submm light curves to demonstrate that Sgr A* follows a damped random walk (DRW) variability pattern, with a characteristic timescale $\tau = 8^{+3}_{-1}$ hr at 230 GHz at 95% confidence, with consistent results at higher frequencies. This timescale is an order of magnitude larger than the period of the last stable orbit of a non-rotating black hole, which is most easily understood as resulting from accretion processes on a scale of a few to $\sim 10R_S$.

We present a variability study of AGNs in the submm, including the LLAGNs M81 and M87, as well as the 411 other radio sources included in the SMA Calibrator database, which span more than a decade in duration (Section 2). This sample was previously analyzed by Strom et al. (2010), but with a much smaller number of objects and total span. The full sample of light curves is well described by a noise process, which we model with a damped random walk in order to quantitatively measure characteristic variability timescales (Section 3). We show that the LLAGNs follow a correlation between black hole mass and timescale, while the higher-luminosity AGNs have much longer characteristic timescales with no clear black hole mass dependence.

2. DATA SAMPLE

The SMA calibrator database (Gurwell et al. 2007) includes observations of 412 sources from 2002 June to 2015 January. A total of 23,254 flux densities are recorded with 19,111 of those flux densities obtained in the 1.3 mm band. Four hundred ten light curves have $> 10$ flux densities, 141 light curves have $> 30$ flux densities, and 48 light curves have $> 100$ flux densities. Observations were obtained at frequencies from 200 to 406 GHz with 70% obtained between 220 and 235 GHz. One hundred sixty-five observations (147 at 1.3 mm) of M87 are included in the calibrator database spanning from 2003 to 2015 January. Data reduction of sources followed standard SMA techniques that set flux densities on absolute flux density scales determined by solar system objects with a typical accuracy of 5%–10%.
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Sources are selected for the SMA calibrator database on the basis of their suitability as phase reference sources for millimeter/submm interferometric observations, primarily reflected in their compact size, bright radio flux density, and decl. above $-50^\circ$. Flux densities in the database range from 26 mJy to 52 Jy with a median value of 1.2 Jy. Three hundred thirty-six sources are matched to mJy to 52 Jy with a median value of 1.2 Jy. Three hundred stability that is the signature of the damped random walk.

The light curve for M87 at 1.3 mm from the SMA. The light curves show the characteristic of short-term variability coupled with long-term stability that is the signature of the damped random walk.

Both structure functions show increases in activity on short timescales followed by a plateau, similar to those of Sgr A* (Dexter et al. 2014), but markedly different than those of typical calibrators in the database. For instance, the structure functions for 3C 84 and 279 rise smoothly without any apparent saturation timescale for $\Delta t < 10$ year. Structure functions are a useful guide for qualitative comparison; however, the functions are unreliable for quantitative analysis (Emmanoulopoulos et al. 2010). They can show artifacts from aliasing (e.g., peaks at $\sim 180$ days associated with the annual cycle), as well as spurious saturation timescales even with regularly sampled data. Fitting of structure functions for saturation timescales is also challenging since their values and errors at different timescales are correlated.

3. TIMESCALE ANALYSIS

The light curve for M87 is shown in Figure 1. The light curve for M81 is shown in McHardy et al. (2015, in preparation). and shares similar characteristics of short-term variability and long-term stability. These properties are visible in the structure functions (Figure 2), defined for a light curve $s(t)$ with $N$ data points as

$$S^2(\Delta t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum (s(t) - s(t + \Delta t))^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Both structure functions show increases in activity on short timescales followed by a plateau, similar to those of Sgr A* (Dexter et al. 2014), but markedly different than those of typical calibrators in the database. For instance, the structure functions for 3C 84 and 279 rise smoothly without any apparent saturation timescale for $\Delta t < 10$ year. Structure functions are a useful guide for qualitative comparison; however, the functions are unreliable for quantitative analysis (Emmanoulopoulos et al. 2010). They can show artifacts from aliasing (e.g., peaks at $\sim 180$ days associated with the annual cycle), as well as spurious saturation timescales even with regularly sampled data. Fitting of structure functions for saturation timescales is also challenging since their values and errors at different timescales are correlated.

3.1. Damped Random Walk Model

In order to quantitatively measure characteristic timescales, we model the entire set of submm light curves as the result of a stochastic DRW process. The DRW is a simple three-parameter model (mean, standard deviation of long timescales, and transition time) that is well suited to parameterize the most important properties of noise light curves (Kelly et al. 2009). The DRW consists of red noise on timescales less than $\tau$ and white noise on longer timescales. The resultant structure function for the DRW is

$$S^2(\tau) = S^2_\infty \left( 1 - e^{-(\Delta t)/\tau} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $S^2_\infty$ is the power in the light curve on long timescales, $\Delta t \gg \tau$. The characteristic timescale $\tau$ determines the decorrelation time on which the variability transitions from red noise on short timescales to white noise on long timescales. This model has been shown to successfully describe the optical light curves of quasars (Kelly et al. 2009; MacLeod et al. 2010), as well as the submm light curve of Sgr A* (Dexter et al. 2014).

We convert the likelihood of the observed light curves arising from a given set of DRW parameters into the posterior probability of the set of model parameters using a Bayesian approach. Kelly et al. (2009) and Dexter et al. (2014) used a Metropolis–Hastings algorithm to sample the likelihood over the model parameter space. We use this approach to measure the model parameters in cases where the upper limit to $\tau$ is smaller than the light curve duration $T$. However, this is not the case for the vast majority of the SMA calibrator light curves.
When no upper limit can be found, the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm fails, as it preferentially samples the long tail of the probability distribution rather than its peak. To avoid this issue, we sample the probability distribution over a regular $64^2$ grid in the parameters with uniform priors for the mean ($\mu$), standard deviation ($\log \sigma$), and $\log \tau$. Since for the vast majority of sources we are interested in lower limits on $\tau$, we choose the parameter ranges to ensure that the lower end and peak of the probability distribution are well captured, at the expense of the long tails to large $\tau$ (much longer than the duration of the light curve). From the probability distributions in $\tau$, we consider good limits to be cases where $\tau > \Delta \tau$ and $\tau < T$ at 99.7% confidence, where $\Delta \tau$ is the minimum separation between two measured points in the light curve and $T$ is the total light curve duration. In all cases, we report 2$\sigma$ limits because of the non-Gaussian nature of the distributions that can include long tails.

Although less efficient than Monte Carlo, this direct grid method recovers consistent parameter estimates for the M81 and M87 light curves. If the true light curve is not described by the DRW, as seen in Kepler quasar light curves (Kasliwal et al. 2015), the resulting $\tau$ estimates will be biased. For light curves that can successfully be fit by the model ($\chi^2 \sim 1$), such as the SMA data used here, the bias leads to $<1\sigma$ changes in our estimates of $\tau$.

### 3.2. Results

We use the DRW model to infer $\tau$ values from the full set of six hundred sixty-four 230 and 345 GHz light curves from the 413 sources. A histogram of the resulting 95% confidence lower and upper limits on $\tau$ is shown in Figure 3. In total, we find 276 light curves with lower limits (40%) and 16 with upper limits (2%). The histograms are further broken down by sources with $>30$ data points and those with available black hole mass estimates in the literature (see below). For the measured lower limits, the sub-samples are consistent with the full sample. Many of the measured upper limits are false positives in light curves with a few data points caused by not sampling a large enough range in $\tau$. After those are removed, five detections of upper limits remain, all of which have $\chi^2$ close to unity, similar to Sgr A$^\ast$. In Table 1, we summarize the detections. The light curve residuals after model subtraction are normally distributed for M81 and M87, as expected for an accurate model, and similar to what was seen for Sgr A$^\ast$ (Dexter et al. 2014).

The results of this timescale analysis are in stark contrast for the available LLAGN light curves (Sgr A$^\ast$, M81, M87) and those of ordinary AGNs/blazars (the vast majority of the light curves). For the LLAGNs, 3/3 sources have well measured values of $\tau$. For the rest of the sources, 1% (4/664) have reliable measurements of $\tau$. It is therefore potentially possible to select LLAGNs based on submm properties alone, with a low false-positive rate and a high detection efficiency for well-sampled light curves. There is no systematic difference in the number or cadence of measured flux densities that can explain this result: it is related to an intrinsic difference in the submm variability properties of the different source classes.

### 3.3. Black Hole Mass Estimates

We compiled black hole masses from the literature. The three nearby LLAGNs have the most accurately determined masses, often from multiple dynamical methods. Sgr A$^\ast$ has a mass of $4.4 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ (Genzel et al. 2010). M87 has a mass of $3.5^{+0.9}_{-0.7} \times 10^9 M_\odot$ from gas dynamic measurements (Walsh et al. 2013) and a mass of $6.6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$ from stellar dynamic measurements (Gebhardt et al. 2011). Following Kormendy & Ho (2013), we adopt a value of $6.2 \times 10^9 M_\odot$. Similarly for M81, stellar and gas dynamical measurements exist (Bower et al. 2000; Devereux et al. 2003), and we follow Kormendy & Ho (2013) to adopt a value of $6.5 \times 10^9 M_\odot$.

For the remainder of the sample, we rely on published black hole mass estimates from various techniques. These include broad-line region spectroscopy (Woo & Urry 2002; Kelly & Bechtold 2007; Shen et al. 2008, 2011; Pàris et al. 2014), black hole mass–bulge luminosity correlation (Woo & Urry 2002), and the FP between radio-X-ray luminosity (Merloni et al. 2003; Plotkin et al. 2012). For the case of Pàris et al. (2014), which does not provide mass estimates, we use their measurements of the Mg ii transition and the methodology of Shen et al. (2011) to estimate masses. FP estimates of the black hole mass are derived using ROSAT 0.2–2.0 keV X-ray fluxes and the 1.3 mm flux density that is debeamed assuming a Doppler boosting factor of 7. We identify 194 black hole mass measurements for 148 sources. For sources with multiple mass
estimates, we rely on the most recent published estimate. The accuracy of the mass estimates range from 0.16 dex for Mg II transitions to >0.4 dex for continuum luminosity (Shen et al. 2011).

4. DISCUSSION

The \( \tau - M_{\text{BH}} \) relationship for the sub-sample of SMA calibrator sources with black hole mass estimates is shown in Figure 4. The difference in submm variability properties between regular and LLAGNs is immediately apparent: the vast majority of AGNs only yield lower limits on \( \tau \), while the LLAGNs have measured timescales that lie well below many of these at comparable black hole mass.

On the other hand, the measured \( \tau \) values for the three LLAGNs with good measurements of \( \tau \) do show a significant trend of increasing \( \tau \) with increasing black hole mass. Formally, the best power-law fit is \( \tau \approx 0.3 \text{ day} \left( M_{\text{BH}}/4 \times 10^6 M_\odot \right)^{0.7 \pm 0.1} \) (with a coefficient of determination \( R^2 = 0.996 \)). We consider the observed trend consistent with a linear relationship, however, given the small number of sources and the large uncertainties in \( \tau \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} \). If the M87 mass were taken from gas dynamical measurements, for instance, then a linear law would be consistent with the data. The reliability of the correlation rests on only three LLAGNs. This is a minimally small number of objects for a correlation, but these are the only LLAGNs for which \( \tau \) can currently be determined.

We are performing observational campaigns to increase the number of LLAGNs with measured \( \tau \).

A prediction of general relativity is that timescales around black holes should scale as \( \tau \sim R^{3/2}/M_{\text{BH}} \), where \( R \) is the radius in units of the event-horizon size. This timescale reaches a minimum for a given \( M_{\text{BH}} \) when \( R \) is comparable to the size of the event horizon, and in that case one might expect to find a linear relationship between \( \tau \) and \( M_{\text{BH}} \). This is clearly not borne out by the full sample: there is a large scatter in measured \( \tau \) values for regular AGNs, and they do not fall on a linear track with the LLAGNs. The discovery of such a relationship for the LLAGNs suggests that we are measuring such minimum timescales from emission close to an event horizon.

This interpretation is consistent with our understanding of these sources inferred from their spectral energy distributions. For all three LLAGNs, the spectra peak in the millimeter or submillimeter regime (Markoff et al. 2008; Doi et al. 2013; Bower et al. 2015). Synchrotron self-absorption leads to a large photosphere that shrinks until the spectral peak, where we can see down to the event horizon. At longer wavelengths, we expect longer timescales because of the larger scales of the photosphere. This larger photosphere is seen as a growing intrinsic image size with wavelength in VLBI observations of Sgr A* (Bower et al. 2006) and M87 (e.g., Hada et al. 2011). The same effect shows up in the variability timescales for Sgr A* and M81 and likely for M87. For Sgr A*, Macquart & Bower (2006) found a characteristic timescale at wavelengths from 0.7 to 20 cm that ranged from 6 days to hundreds of days, increasing with wavelength. Additionally, we performed a DRW analysis of the 2 cm M81 light curve (Ho et al. 1999) and found a lower limit to \( \tau \) of tens of days, much larger than the measured value at 1.3 mm. In addition, for the case of Sgr A*, the NIR variability timescale matches the submm value, consistent with the hypothesis of emission at both wavelengths emerging from the same region near the event horizon (Witzel et al. 2012). Thus, one should expect a similar relationship for other LLAGNs with spectra peaking in the submm. The blazars and high-power radio sources presumably do not follow a

---

**Table 1**

| Source       | \( \tau \) (days) | \( \%_{\text{sub}} \) (days) | \( \%_{\text{sub}} \) (days) | \( \chi^2 \) | \( M_{\text{BH}} \) (\( M_\odot \)) | \( M_{\text{BH}} \) Source |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0433 + 053   | 106               | 63                            | 299                           | 0.88        | \( 2.6 \times 10^7 \)            | Woo & Urry (2002)        |
| 0721 + 713   | 75                | 56                            | 155                           | 0.83        | \( 5.2 \times 10^6 \)            | Fundamental Plane        |
| 1104 + 382   | 40                | 16                            | 154                           | 0.81        | \( 1.9 \times 10^6 \)            | Woo & Urry (2002)        |
| 1751 + 096   | 106               | 50                            | 331                           | 1.04        | \( 2.2 \times 10^7 \)            | Fundamental Plane        |
| M87          | 45                | 21                            | 106                           | 0.87        | \( 6.2 \times 10^9 \)            | Kormendy & Ho (2013)     |
| M81          | 1.6               | 0.7                           | 4.6                           | 1.14        | \( 6.5 \times 10^7 \)            | Cappellari et al. (2009) |

Sgr A* \(^a\) 0.33 0.17 0.5 1.05 \( 4.4 \times 10^6 \) Genzel et al. (2010)

---

*Note. \(^a\) Dexter et al. (2014).
linear correlation because these sources are still optically thick at this wavelength. X-ray binaries in the low/hard state may also show the same correlation in the optically thin regime, although this may be difficult to observe due to the $\lesssim 1$ s timescales implied by the relationship and the rapidly evolving linear correlation because these sources are still optically thick.

A similar relationship was found in the X-ray (McHardy et al. 2006) for black holes ranging from stellar to supermassive. This relationship, however, relied on a scaling factor based on the accretion rate, which we do not require here. This scaling factor could be interpreted as compensating between different values of $R$ in the timescale corresponding to the X-ray emission region. In the LLAGN, we instead appear to have reached the minimum variability timescale corresponding to emission from event-horizon scales around black holes.

The scaling relationship between black hole mass and variability timescale for LLAGNs is an important insight for Event Horizon Telescope observations of these sources (Fish et al. 2013). These imaging observations will have resolutions as good as a few Schwarzschild radii and have the potential to probe fundamental gravitational physics (e.g., Broderick et al. 2014; Lu et al. 2014; Pen & Broderick 2014; Ricarte & Dexter 2015). The $\tau-M_{BH}$ correlation shows that the compact sizes measured for these sources are actually colocated with the black hole. In addition, many of the fundamental parameters such as spin are degenerated with source physics parameters including the accretion rate, the jet inclination angle, the electron temperature distribution, the magnetic field strength and orientation, and the optical depth. Given the vastly different scales, environments, and physical properties of these three LLAGN systems, the existence of this correlation demonstrates a surprising coherence among these sources. These, and potentially other LLAGNs with spectra peaking in the millimeter/submm can be treated as a unified class. Broadband spectra and light curve monitoring of other nearby LLAGNs in the submm will add to the number of sources that fall into this class. Sources that do not follow this correlation, such as the majority of the SMA calibrators, may follow the relation at a higher frequency where the emission becomes optically thin.

The Submillimeter Array is a joint project between the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory and the Academia Sinica Institute of Astronomy and Astrophysics and is funded by the Smithsonian Institution and the Academia Sinica. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

REFERENCES

Blandford, R. D., & Konigl, A. 1979, ApJ, 232, 34
Bower, G. A., Wilson, A. S., Heckman, T. M., et al. 2000, BAAS, 32, 1566
Bower, G. C., Goss, W. M., Falcke, H., Backer, D. C., & Lithwick, Y. 2006, ApJL, 648, L127
Bower, G. C., Markoff, S., Dexter, J., et al. 2015, ApJ, 802, 69
Broderick, A. E., Johannsen, T., Loeb, A., & Psaltis, D. 2014, ApJ, 784, 7
Cappellari, M., Neumayer, N., Reunanen, J., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 394, 660
Dexter, J., Kelly, B., Bower, G. C., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 2797
Doeleman, S. S., Fish, V. L., Schenck, D. E., et al. 2012a, Sci, 338, 355
Doeleman, S. S., Fish, V. L., Schenck, D. E., et al. 2012b, Sci, 338, 355
Doeleman, S. S., Weintraub, J., Rogers, A. E., et al. 2008, Natur, 455, 78
Doi, A., Hada, K., Nagai, H., et al. 2013, EPJWC, 61, 08008
Emmanoulopoulos, D., McHardy, I. M., & Uttley, P. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 931
Falcke, H., & Biermann, P. L. 1995, A&A, 293, 665
Falcke, H., Kording, E., & Markolf, S. 2004, A&A, 414, 895
Fish, V., Alef, W., Anderson, J., et al. 2013, arXiv:1309.3519
Gebhardt, K., Adams, J., Richstone, D., et al. 2011, ApJ, 729, 119
Genzel, R., Eisenhauer, F., & Gillessen, S. 2010, RvMP, 82, 3121
Gurwell, M. A., Peck, A. B., Hostler, S. R., Darrah, M. R., & Katz, C. A. 2007, in ASP Conf. Ser. 375, From Z-Machines to ALMA: (Sub)Millimeter Spectroscopy of Galaxies, ed. A. J. Baker et al. (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 234
Hada, K., Doi, A., Kino, M., et al. 2011, Natur, 477, 185
Healey, S. E., Romani, R. W., Cotter, G., et al. 2008, ApJS, 175, 97
Healey, S. E., Romani, R. W., Taylor, G. B., et al. 2007, ApJS, 171, 61
Ho, L. C. 1999, ApJ, 516, 672
Ho, L. C., van Dyk, S. D., Pooley, G. G., Sramek, R. A., & Weiler, K. W. 1999, AJ, 118, 843
Kasliwal, V. P., Vogeley, M. S., & Richards, G. T. 2015, MNRAS, 451, 4328
Kelly, B. C., & Bechtold, J. 2007, ApJS, 168, 1
Kelly, B. C., Bechtold, J., & Siemiginowska, A. 2009, ApJ, 698, 895
Kormendy, J., & Ho, L. C. 2013, ARA&A, 51, 511
Lu, R.-S., Broderick, A. E., Baron, F., et al. 2014, ApJ, 788, 120
MacLeod, C. L., Ivezic, Z., Kochanek, C. S., et al. 2010, ApJ, 721, 1014
Macquart, J.-P., & Bower, G. C. 2006, ApJ, 641, 302
Markoff, S., Nowak, M., Young, A., et al. 2008, ApJ, 681, 905
McHardy, I. M., Koerding, E., & Kording, E., & Anderson, S. F. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 267
Merritt, O., & Miller-Jones, J. C. A. 2002, ApJ, 579, 530
Merloni, A., Heinz, S., & di Matteo, T. 2003, MNRAS, 345, 1057
Pen, U.-L., & Broderick, A. E. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 3370
Plotkin, R. M., Markoff, S., Körding, E., & Anderson, S. F. 2012, MNRAS, 419, 267
Ricarte, A., & Dexter, J. 2015, MNRAS, 446, 1973
Russell, T. D., Soria, R., Miller-Jones, J. C. A., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 439, 1340
Schoedel, R., Krips, M., Markoff, S., Neri, R., & Eckart, A. 2007, A&A, 463, 551
Shen, J., Vanden Berk, D. E., Schneider, D. P., & Hall, P. B. 2008, AJ, 135, 928
Shen, Y., Richards, G. T., Strauss, M. A., et al. 2011, ApJS, 194, 45
Strom, A. L., Siemiginowska, A., Gurwell, M. A., & Kelly, B. C. 2010, arXiv:1001.0806
Witzel, G., Eckart, A., Brémer, M., et al. 2012, ApJS, 203, 18
Woo, J.-H., & Urry, C. M. 2002, ApJ, 579, 530