Introduction

The primary goal of nerve blocks and any surgical interventions should be not damaging the critical anatomical structures in the area where the procedure is performed.[1] Particularly, in the last decades, increase demand for dental implantations, cosmetic and orthognathic surgeries had also led to an increase in interest regarding the variations of the maxilla and mandible.[2–4] The mandibular canal, which is one of the critical anatomical structures in the mandible, starts from the mandibular foramen and ends at the mental foramen.[2,5] The inferior alveolar nerve and the corresponding vessels runs in the mandibular canal. Then, this nerve emerges as the mental nerve through the mental foramen.[6,7] After passing through the mental foramen, the mental nerve innervates part of the lower lip, the buccal vestibule, and the gingival mesial side of the first mandibular molar tooth. To prevent damage to the neurovascular bundle in this area, the location of the mental foramen must be definitively identified before surgical procedures such as periodontal, periapical, and implant surgeries.[7,8] Besides, the position of the roots of premolar and molar teeth in respect to mental foramen should also be determined before dental treatment.[1,8] The mental foramen is also very important in implant placement in the foraminal region of the mandibular arch.[9] Although the mental foramen is a bilateral opening localized on the anterior surface of the mandible,[1] the presence of accessory mental foramen,[6,10] unilateral absence,[11] bilateral absence[12] and hypoplasia of mental foramen[13] have also been reported in the literature. In these variations, the mental nerve or some of its branches exit the mandible through alternative openings.[7]

Other foramen located outside the mental foramen on the anterior outer surface of the mandible is called accessory mental foramen.[14] In this case, while the men-
ental nerve passes through the mental foramen, the accessory mental nerve pass through the accessory mental foramen.\(^6\) In the presence of accessory mental foramen, the areas to be innervated by mental and accessory mental nerves will be different, as some of the fibers of the mental nerve will come out of this foramen. In this instance, invasive procedures around the mental foramen may fail, or the mental nerve block may be insufficient. Therefore, it is clinically essential to know the accessory mental foramen.

The aim of this study was to contribute to the literature by determining the frequency of accessory mental foramen and examining its morphometric properties.

**Materials and Methods**

A total of 35 adult mandibles of unknown age, gender, and ethnicity were examined in the laboratory of the Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Gaziantep University. The presence of accessory mental foramen of the mandible was investigated bilaterally. In cases with the accessory mental foramen, its localization, number, and distance relative to the mental foramen were evaluated. In the mandibles with accessory mental foramen, photographs were taken with a Nikon D500 camera (Nikon Corp., Tokyo, Japan) and 55 mm lens, in which the mental foramen and accessory mental foramen were clearly seen, in a standard position that can be repeated during examinations and with an equal distribution of light. All measurements were made on the photographs with the ImageJ software. Descriptive analyzes were performed Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS Version 22, Armonk; NY, USA).

**Results**

Eleven (15.71\%) accessory mental foramens were detected in 35 mandibles (70 sides) (Figure 1). Six (54.55\%) of the accessory mental foramens were on the left side, and 5 of

*Figure 1.* The mental foramen (MF) and accessory mental foramen (AMF) in a mandible.
them (45.45%) were on the right. All accessory mental foramen (100%) were unilateral. The distance between the accessory mental foramen and mental foramen varied between 4–12 mm. For the right and left sides, the localization and distance of the accessory mental foramen relative to the mental foramen are shown in Figure 2.

Discussion
The mental foramen is of great importance for diagnosis, anesthesia, and surgical procedures. Although the mental foramen is usually found as a single foramen on each side of the mandible, there may be more than one foramen in this area. The presence of accessory mental foramen is significant, especially in dentistry. Although the accessory mental foramen is a rare structure, it should be well known not to damage the neurovascular bundle during several surgical procedures and prevent inadequate nerve block.

There are studies examining this variation with panoramic radiography, CBCT and on dry mandibles in the literature. Rahpeyma and Khajehahmadi suggested that the difference in the frequency of its presence is related with the assessment method. Imada et al. reported that this formation was more hardly visible on panoramic graphs. On the other hand, Katakami et al. reported that cone-beam computed tomography scanning is better to detect an accessory mental foramen. Although it is known that the cone-beam computed tomography images clearly show bony structures, we think that small structures such as accessory mental foramen is readily seen on bony specimens. However, the most crucial disadvantage of dry bone studies is the lack of information such as gender, age, and ethnicity.

There are differences in the literature regarding the localization of the accessory mental foramen in respect to the mental foramen. Katakami et al. and Oliveira-Santos et al. reported that the accessory mental foramen is mostly located lateral to the mental foramen. On the other hand, Neves et al. reported that it was most commonly located inferolateral of the mental foramen. In this study, it was observed that there was a difference between both sides, and it was usually located medially on the right side and laterally on the left side. However, we think that it is difficult to provide statistically significant information because the frequency of this variation is low. Oliveira-Santos et al. reported that the longest distances between the mental and accessory mental foramens were 7.4 mm horizontally, and 3.58 mm vertically. However, in this

**Figure 2.** Localization of the accessory mental foramen according to the mental foramen (the unit for values 5, 10 and 15 is mm).
study, the longest distance was found to be 12 mm. We suggest that as this distance increases, the failure rate in the mental nerve block may also increase. Therefore, we think that this distance worth consideration in addition to the presence of the accessory mental foramen.

Conclusion
Although the mental foramen is usually found as a single foramen on each side of the mandible, an accessory mental foramen can also be found with a low but significant incidence. For this reason, knowing the frequency and localization of the accessory mental foramen will increase the efficacy of the nerve blocks, facilitate surgical procedures involving the lower jaw and minimize the damage to the vascular-nerve structures in this area. In addition, the presence of accessory mental foramen should be considered in cases where mental nerve block applications are insufficient.
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