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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Arterial spin-labeling-derived CBF values may be affected by arterial transit time artefacts. Thus, our aim was to assess to what extent arterial spin-labeling–derived CBF and cerebrovascular reserve capacity values in major vascular regions are overestimated due to the arterial transit time artifacts in patients with Moyamoya disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Eight patients with Moyamoya disease were included before or after revascularization surgery. CBF maps were acquired using a 3D pseudocontinuous arterial spin-labeling sequence, before and 5, 15, and 25 minutes after an IV acetazolamide injection and were registered to each patient’s 3D-T1-weighted images. Vascular regions were defined by spatial normalization to a Montreal Neurological Institute–based vascular regional template. The arterial transit time artifacts were defined as voxels with high signal intensity corresponding to the right tail of the histogram for a given vascular region, with the cutoff selected by visual inspection. Arterial transit time artifact maps were created and applied as masks to exclude arterial transit time artifacts on CBF maps, to create corrected CBF maps. The cerebrovascular reserve capacity was calculated as CBF after acetazolamide injection relative to CBF at baseline for corrected and uncorrected CBF values, respectively.

RESULTS: A total of 16 examinations were analyzed. Arterial transit time artifacts were present mostly in the MCA, whereas the posterior cerebral artery was generally unaffected. The largest differences between corrected and uncorrected CBF and cerebrovascular reserve capacity values, reported as patient group average ratio and percentage point difference, respectively, were 0.978 (95% CI, 0.968–0.988) and 1.8 percentage points (95% CI, 0.3–3.2 percentage points). Both were found in the left MCA, 15 and 5 minutes post-acetazolamide injection, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: Arterial transit time artifacts have negligible overestimation effects on calculated vascular region-based CBF and cerebrovascular reserve capacity values derived from single-delay 3D pseudocontinuous arterial spin-labeling.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACA = anterior cerebral artery; ACZ = acetazolamide; ASL = arterial spin-labeling; ATT = arterial transit time; ATTover = long arterial transit time where CBF is overestimated; ATTunder = very long arterial transit time where CBF is underestimated; CVRC = cerebrovascular reserve capacity; Diff = absolute difference; MMD = Moyamoya disease; PCA = posterior cerebral artery; pCASL = pseudocontinuous arterial spin-labeling; PLD = postlabeling delay; pp = percentage points

Moyamoya disease (MMD) is characterized by stenosis or occlusion at the terminal portions of the ICA, the proximal anterior cerebral arteries (ACAs), or the MCA. Common for patients with MMD is the presence of abnormal vascular networks in the arterial territories close to the stenotic or occlusive lesion. Most patients can maintain adequate CBF through compensatory collateral flow, while others experience cerebral ischemia and hemorrhage. The capability of the brain to increase CBF in response to a vasodilatory challenge can be assessed using cerebrovascular reserve capacity (CVRC) estimates, calculated as the maximal percentage increase in CBF after vasodilatory stimulus (commonly IV acetazolamide [ACZ], referred to as the ACZ challenge) relative to baseline. CVRC can predict the risk of ischemic events in patients with MMD; moreover, CVRC can also provide information on indications for or assessment after cerebral revascularization surgery.
Arterial spin-labeling (ASL) is a completely noninvasive and highly repeatable perfusion imaging technique that has demonstrated potential in numerous cerebral disorders.\(^{3,6,7}\) With ASL, quantification of CBF is possible using the patient’s own blood as a freely diffusible tracer. One inherent limitation with single-delay pseudocontinuous ASL (pCASL) is the dependency on an arterial transit time (ATT; ie, the time for labeled blood to flow from the labeling plane to the tissue of interest), in which arterial transit time should not exceed the user-defined parameter, postlabeling delay (PLD). This limitation is known as the ATT artifact and have 2 different effects on the derived CBF maps, depending on to what extent the blood flow is delayed (ie, the length of the ATT). First, at a very long ATT, in which no blood has reached the imaging volume in time for the readout, derived CBF will be inherently low and underestimated (denoted “ATT\(_{\text{under}}\)”). Second, the ATT artifacts will appear as bright intravascular signal when the blood has reached the imaging volume but not the capillary bed—that is, it is found in precapillary arterioles, presenting as hyperintense areas in the derived CBF maps, thus overestimating CBF (denoted “ATT\(_{\text{over}}\)”).\(^{2,7-11}\) However, the ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifacts have been shown to provide important information about the presence and extent of collateral flow by visual grading in patients with MMD when long ATTs are present through collateral pathways.\(^{2,3,9}\) No effort has been made to quantitatively define ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifacts, and their impact on CBF and CVRC calculations in major vascular regions, thus, testing the hypothesis that ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) has negligible effects on large ROIs.\(^{8}\)

The aim of this study was to assess to what extent vascular region–based CBF and CVRC are affected by the ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifacts defined by means of histogram analysis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Eight patients with confirmed MMD were included before or after revascularization surgery. This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee. Patients were examined by MR imaging for pre- or postoperative assessment: monitoring the progression of the disease as a decision basis for an operation or postoperative follow-up assessing the surgical outcome, compared with preoperative assessment if available, both performed on a yearly basis or close to the scheduled operation. All patients received an intravenous injection with 1 g or 10 mg/kg of ACZ for adults and children, respectively.

**MR Imaging Acquisition**

All examinations were performed on an Achieva 3T scanner (Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) using a 32-channel head coil. High-resolution 3D T1-weighted and 3D T2-weighted FLAIR images were acquired as structural images. A commercially available 3D-pCASL sequence with a gradient and spin-echo read-out module was used for acquiring CBF maps with a PLD of 2500 ms. The full set of acquisition parameters for all MR imaging sequences is presented in On-line Table 1. ASL-derived CBF maps were automatically calculated by the scanner according to the model defined by Buxton et al\(^{12}\) and recommended by Alsop et al.\(^{8}\) The standard ACZ challenge protocol included a total of 4 3D-pCASL acquisitions, performed before and 5, 15, and 25 minutes after the ACZ injection. Two examinations deviated from the above-described study protocol. For the first examination (the pilot), a work-in-progress 3D-pCASL sequence with a PLD of 2000 ms was performed before and 5, 10, and 15 minutes after ACZ injection. For the other examination, a work-in-progress 3D-pCASL sequence with a PLD of 2500 ms was performed with the standard ACZ challenge protocol described above. Because only 2 examinations at 10 minutes post-ACZ injections were performed, they were excluded from the statistical analysis.

**Vascular Regions and Image Postprocessing**

T2-weighted FLAIR images and CBF maps were coregistered to each subject’s corresponding T1-weighted images. Gray matter probability maps were segmented from T1-weighted images and coregistered T2-weighted FLAIR images.\(^{13}\) Gray matter maps were defined with a partial volume fraction above 75%. A deformation field, defining the transformation from Montreal Neurological Institute template space to subject-specific space for each subject, was derived on the basis of the subject’s T1-weighted image and was applied to a standard vascular territory template,\(^{14,15}\) including the bilateral ACA, MCA, and posterior cerebral artery (PCA). Each subject-specific vascular territory was masked with the corresponding gray matter map to correct for partial volume effects.\(^{16-18}\) All processing steps, as described above, were performed using the SPM12 toolbox (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm12).

**Arterial Transit Time Artifact Correction**

Arterial transit time artifacts (ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifacts) were defined as hyperintense spots and serpiginous regions in the right tail of the corresponding histogram of all vascular territory regions and for all CBF maps, respectively (Figs 1 and 2). The right tail segment corresponding to the ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifacts was selected, including histogram bins from right to left, thus always including the highest CBF values. The cutoff value was selected by visual inspection so that only voxels within the hyperintense spots were included, thus creating binary ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) maps. Furthermore, for each vascular region, ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifact volume and ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifact ratio (defined as ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) volume divided by total vascular region volume) were calculated.

**CBF and CVRC Calculation**

All uncorrected CBF maps were smoothed using a 5-mm Gaussian filter. Corrected CBF maps were created by masking uncorrected, smoothed CBF maps with corresponding ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) maps, thus excluding voxels defined as ATT\(_{\text{over}}\) artifacts from the statistical analysis. Corrected and uncorrected CBF values were extracted using previously defined vascular regions, respectively. CVRC values were calculated on the basis of regional CBF, using equation 1 for all post-ACZ-acquired corrected and uncorrected CBF maps, respectively, with corresponding CBF\(_{5\text{min}}\)\(^{\text{Ratio}}\) or CVRC\(_{5\text{min}}\)^{\text{Ratio}} when applicable.
1) \[ \text{CVRC} = \frac{(\text{CBF}_{\text{post-ACZ}} - \text{CBF}_{\text{0 minute}}) - \text{CBF}_{\text{0 minute}}}{\text{CBF}_{\text{0 minute}}} \]

**Statistical Analysis**

For descriptive analysis of ATT\text{\_over} volume and ATT\text{\_over} ratio, mean, maximum value, and 95% CI were calculated. Furthermore, CBF and CVRC comparisons were performed by descriptive analysis of the corrected-to-uncorrected ratio (CBF) or the absolute difference in percentage points (CVRC); thus, the average ratio/difference with 95% CI and maximum/minimum were calculated and analyzed. Descriptive analysis was performed for all vascular regions and baseline and 5, 15, and 25 minutes post-ACZ injection, respectively. A correlation analysis was performed comparing the CBF\text{\_ratio} with ATT volume using the Pearson correlation coefficient. This was performed using all time point values as 1 large dataset. Derived \( P \) values are presented as exact values and are 2-sided, and \( P < .05 \) is considered significant. GraphPad Prism 8 for Mac (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California) was used for statistical analysis and graph design.

**FIG 1.** A 3D T1-weighted image with derived CBF maps with and without binary ATT\text{\_over} voxels (red).

**FIG 2.** Derived histograms and defined ATT\text{\_over} voxels from the CBF maps presented in Fig 1.
RESULTS

Patients
A total of 16 examinations were performed, which included 9 preoperative and 7 postoperative examinations. Two patients had both pre- and postoperative examinations.

ATTover Volume
A representative example of ATTover artifact definition can be seen in Figs 1 and 2. For all examinations, arranged by post-ACZ injection time points, descriptive statistics for bilateral ACA, MCA, and PCA are presented in On-line Table 2 and On-line Fig 1. The largest ATTover artifact volume was 30.9 cm³ (left MCA at 25 minutes post-ACZ injection). Generally, ATTover artifacts were mostly present in the MCA, while the PCA was mostly unaffected; furthermore, the volumes typically increased from baseline compared with post-ACZ injection.

Comparison of CBF Values
The average CBFRatio was generally close to 1.0, with a narrow 95% CI, considering all major vascular regions including ACZ challenges, thus suggesting minor differences between corrected and uncorrected CBF. The smallest calculated CBFRatio was 0.937 (corrected CBF, 51.16 mL/100 g/min, and uncorrected, 54.61 mL/100 g/min in the left MCA at 15 minutes post-ACZ injection)—that is, the largest difference found between any corrected and uncorrected CBF values was 6.3% (Table 1 and On-line Fig 2). The correlation between the CBFRatio and ATT volume was negative and strong ($r = -0.85, P < .001$, On-line Fig 3).

Comparison of CVRC Values
For all major vascular regions, including ACZ challenges, the calculated average CVRCDiff was small, with a narrow 95% CI (highest CVRCDiff average, 1.8 percentage points [pp]; 95% CI, 0.3–3.2 pp; On-line Fig 4). The maximum CVRCDiff was 11.3 pp (the corrected CVRC was 46.2% and the uncorrected CVRC was 34.9% in the left MCA at 5 minutes post-ACZ injection, Table 2).

DISCUSSION

This study assessed the extent of the ATTover artifacts, presenting as hyperintense spots on single-delay ASL acquisitions during ACZ challenges in patients with MMD, and the effect of the ATTover artifacts on CBF and CVRC values in major vascular territories. Major findings included the following: In major vascular territories, the ATTover artifacts have negligible effects on derived CBF and CVRC values.

The anterior circulation is predominantly affected in patients with MMD;4,9 furthermore, no patient in our study had any occlusion affecting the PCA. This finding agrees with the derived distribution of average ATTover volume in the bilateral major vascular territories used in this study.

Comparing corrected and uncorrected CBF values, we found small, negligible differences. Alsop et al suggested that CBF measurements may still be valid in large ROIs in the presence of ATTover, if flow-cruising gradients are not used. The results of this study prove this hypothesis valid for major vascular regions in patients with MMD. Furthermore, because CVRC is an

---

### Table 1: Average of derived ratios, minimum/maximum, and 95% CI for CBFRatio

| CBFRatio | ACA Left | ACA Right | MCA Left | MCA Right | PCA Left | PCA Right |
|----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Baseline |          |           |          |           |          |           |
| Mean     | 0.989    | 0.986     | 0.979    | 0.980     | 0.995    | 0.996     |
| 95% CI   | 0.981–0.995 | 0.978–0.994 | 0.971–0.988 | 0.972–0.987 | 0.990–1.000 | 0.992–1.001 |
| Min-max  | 0.949–1.000 | 0.942–1.000 | 0.954–1.000 | 0.958–0.999 | 0.961–1.000 | 0.970–1.000 |

#### 5 minutes

| Mean     | 0.991    | 0.987     | 0.981    | 0.978     | 0.992    | 0.992     |
| 95% CI   | 0.986–0.991 | 0.980–0.995 | 0.975–0.988 | 0.970–0.986 | 0.985–0.999 | 0.985–0.999 |
| Min-max  | 0.975–0.999 | 0.955–0.998 | 0.961–0.998 | 0.950–0.998 | 0.956–1.000 | 0.952–1.000 |

#### 15 minutes

| Mean     | 0.990    | 0.987     | 0.981    | 0.979     | 0.995    | 0.996     |
| 95% CI   | 0.983–0.997 | 0.980–0.993 | 0.973–0.988 | 0.970–0.987 | 0.992–0.998 | 0.993–0.999 |
| Min-max  | 0.948–0.999 | 0.957–0.999 | 0.937–0.998 | 0.942–0.997 | 0.980–1.000 | 0.982–1.000 |

#### 25 minutes

| Mean     | 0.989    | 0.985     | 0.980    | 0.978     | 0.995    | 0.997     |
| 95% CI   | 0.981–0.998 | 0.977–0.993 | 0.970–0.990 | 0.968–0.988 | 0.991–0.999 | 0.994–1.000 |
| Min-max  | 0.943–1.000 | 0.960–1.000 | 0.938–0.996 | 0.944–0.997 | 0.976–1.000 | 0.982–1.000 |

Note: Min-max indicates minimum-maximum.

### Table 2: Average of derived differences, maximum, and 95% CI for CVRCDiff

| CVRCDiff (pp) | ACA Left | ACA Right | MCA Left | MCA Right | PCA Left | PCA Right |
|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| 5 minutes     |          |           |          |           |          |           |
| Mean          | 0.7      | 1.1       | 1.8      | 1.7       | 0.8      | 1.5       |
| 95% CI        | 0.3–1.0  | 0.4–1.7   | 0.3–3.2  | 0.9–2.6   | 0.1–1.6  | −0.5–3.1  |
| Maximum       | 2.2      | 4.2       | 11.3     | 5.9       | 5.2      | 7.8       |

#### 15 minutes

| Mean          | 0.8      | 1.1       | 0.9      | 1.5       | 0.9      | 0.8       |
| 95% CI        | 0.3–1.2  | 0.4–1.7   | 0.4–1.5  | 0.6–2.3   | −0.2–1.9 | −0.2–1.9  |
| Maximum       | 3.5      | 4.5       | 3.1      | 5.4       | 6.7      | 6.8       |

#### 25 minutes

| Mean          | 1.0      | 1.3       | 1.7      | 1.5       | 0.3      | 0.4       |
| 95% CI        | 0.4–1.7  | 0.4–2.2   | 0.5–2.9  | 0.7–2.3   | 0.0–0.5  | −0.2–1.1  |
| Maximum       | 4.1      | 5.5       | 5.8      | 4.0       | 0.9      | 3.3       |
imperative prognostic factor for assessing and evaluating patients with MMD pre- and postsurgery,\textsuperscript{1,5} assessing how CVRC is affected by the ATT\textsubscript{over} artifacts is of great importance. We found generally small, negligible percentage point differences between corrected and uncorrected CVRC. The maximum difference was 11.3 pp (corrected CVRC, 46.2%; and uncorrected CVRC, 34.9%). In this case, the difference was found at 5 minutes post-ACZ injection, a time point not commonly used during ACZ challenges and CVRC calculation.\textsuperscript{4,19-24} We also assessed possible correlations between CBF\textsubscript{Ratio} and ATT\textsubscript{over} volume and found a strong, significant negative correlation ($r = -0.85$, $P < .001$). This is expected; as we exclude more pixel values with increasing ATT volume, the effect on average corrected mean CBF would inherently be larger. However, we do not consider this relationship to be a potential bias.

In a quantitative regional analysis comparing multidelay pulsed ASL with DSC MR imaging, Martin et al\textsuperscript{25} found a moderate correlation for normalized CBF, which increased slightly after exclusion of ATT\textsubscript{over} in the MCA region. It is inherently difficult to compare these results with ours because important inconsistencies between the studies exist. The study by Martin et al was primarily designed to compare a multidelay pulsed ASL with DSC MR imaging in patients with unilateral atherosclerotic steno-occlusive disease. CBF was normalized to contralateral vascular regions not affected by occlusion, and pulsed ASL has a lower achievable SNR compared with pCASL. Multidelay ASL has been proposed as an imaging technique for patients with MMD, due to mainly 1 advantage: less vulnerability to ATT artifacts. On the other hand, single-delay ASL has signal-to-noise and scan time advantages over multidelay ASL,\textsuperscript{26} and the results presented here should be considered favorable for single-delay ASL.

We acquired CBF measurements 5, 15, and 25 minutes post-ACZ injection. While the ATT\textsubscript{over} volume increased post-ACZ injection, there were no pronounced effects on either CBF or CVRC. The increase in ATT\textsubscript{over} volume is expected, considering the reported increase in MTT derived from perfusion CT post-ACZ injection, especially in regions supplied by occluded vessels.\textsuperscript{4,27} However, Federau et al\textsuperscript{21} showed that ATT estimates based on multidelay ASL–acquired data decreased after injection of ACZ.

The current reference standard for CBF measurements (and thus CVRC) is $^{15}$O-water PET.\textsuperscript{9,24} $^{15}$O-water PET requires, however, an on-site cyclotron, and the availability is limited.\textsuperscript{9} SPECT is more readily available and is frequently used for MMD evaluation, but with limitations such as poor spatial resolution.\textsuperscript{24,28} In addition, both PET and SPECT imaging are invasive and expose the patient to ionizing radiation.\textsuperscript{25-29} Consequently, assessing the correlation between ASL-derived CBF and CBF derived from PET and SPECT is important, and this has been studied extensively. High correlations have been reported between SPECT ($^{123}$I-iodoamphetamine and $^{99m}$Tc-hexamethylpropyleneaminoxime) and ASL (different sequences, PLDs, and field strengths).\textsuperscript{2,23,24,30} The dependency of the PLD as a parameter affecting correlation with $^{15}$O-water PET has been highlighted by others. Haral et al\textsuperscript{29} concluded that ASL acquired with a short PLD (1525 ms) showed better correlation with $^{15}$O-gas PET in normally perfused areas compared with a long PLD (2525 ms) in patients with MMD. However, in symptomatic areas, where arterial transit is delayed, correlation increased for a long PLD and was then higher than a short PLD. The importance of the PLD was furthermore highlighted in a study by Inoue et al,\textsuperscript{6} presenting different CVRC values in healthy volunteers depending on the PLD. In a comparative study using simultaneously acquired ASL and $^{15}$O-water PET, Fan et al\textsuperscript{9} concluded that long PLDs (4000 ms, compared with our PLD of 2500 ms) are needed to achieve good correlations between relative CBF measurements in patients with MMD.

Another limitation addressed by the authors is the lack of acquired arterial blood samples to enable absolute CBF from kinetic modeling of the PET data. Arterial blood samples were not collected, as stated by the authors, to minimize patient discomfort. Goetti et al\textsuperscript{31} also reported good correlations in children and young adults with MMD between ASL and $^{15}$O-water PET; however, data were not acquired simultaneously, with a short PLD of 1500 ms and after normalization to cerebellar CBF-values. Again, no arterial blood samples were collected. This feature is an important limitation with $^{15}$O-water PET; quantitative studies require an arterial input function most reliably acquired through continuous arterial sampling.\textsuperscript{32,33} Arterial sampling may not be feasible in patients in poor condition and is not feasible in children with MMD.\textsuperscript{33} However, several noninvasive methods can estimate relative CBF in the absence of existing arterial blood sampling.\textsuperscript{33,34} ASL is, by definition, fully noninvasive, with no radiation exposure and greater availability than cyclotron-dependent $^{15}$O-water PET. As concluded by other authors, ASL-derived CBF/CVRC has potential in pre-/postoperative assessment in patients with MMD.\textsuperscript{3,5,6,9,24-26,29,31,35-37}

Limitations

In the histogram analysis, the right tail cutoff value defining the ATT\textsubscript{over} artifacts was selected by visual inspection. A manual approach with only 1 reader is generally considered a limitation due to the dependency on the reader’s ability to achieve high specificity. However, the histogram analysis method appeared robust, and the highest CBF values were always included because the selection of the ATT\textsubscript{over} segment of the histogram was performed from right to left; thus, we do not consider this to confound our results.

Another drawback of our study was that we did not have a sufficient imaging slab to cover the whole brain, including the cerebellum, which has been used for normalization in several publications.\textsuperscript{2,24,29,31} However, cerebellar normalization is not commonly performed, and CVRC is, by definition, a ratio; thus, we do not expect this to affect our results.

Two ACZ challenges were performed with a work-in-progress 3D-pCASL sequence with PLDs of 2000 and 2500 ms, respectively. These examinations were not excluded from this study and were not different from the others in regard to the derived CBF\textsubscript{Ratio} and CVRC\textsubscript{Diff}.

In the present study, no effort was made to assess whether the presence of ATT\textsubscript{under} artifacts would affect our results. Given the theory of ATT artifacts as outlined in the introduction, the presence of ATT\textsubscript{over} artifacts could indicate that possible surrounding regional hypoperfusion can be a consequence of ATT\textsubscript{under} artifacts. Still, we are analyzing ratio-based data, and given that both corrected and uncorrected CBF maps would have the same extent
for the ATT\textsubscript{under} artifacts, we consider this to have a minor impact on our results and conclusions. Moreover, the use of a long PLD in this study will reduce the ATT\textsubscript{under} artifacts as reported by Hara et al.\textsuperscript{29}

Partial volume effects are caused by the limited spatial resolution in ASL and tend to result in under- and overestimation of CBF in the GM and WM, respectively.\textsuperscript{17,38} We used a simple partial volume correction method, masking all vascular regions with a GM mask. Furthermore, to reduce any registration errors between pre- and post-ACZ ASL acquisitions, we applied a Gaussian filter of 5 mm before ATT over correction.\textsuperscript{5,20,31,35}

We argue that single-delay ASL still has potential for this patient population. We also argue that ASL, in general, should be considered an excellent method for clinical assessment in patients with MMD. ASL allows us to capture the dynamic response\textsuperscript{6} and further explore the clinical implications of the ACZ challenge in patients with MMD. Still, further studies are needed to evaluate single-delay and as well as multidelays ASL as a complete substitute for other, invasive, not readily available, ionizing radiation-based methods.

CONCLUSIONS

ATT\textsubscript{over} artifacts, defined by means of histogram analysis, have negligible effects on calculated CBF and CVRC derived from single delay 3D-pCASL while suppressing ATT\textsubscript{under} using 3 PLD. Major vascular regions are large enough for CBF and CVRC to be valid, thus making single-delay 3D-pCASL a valuable tool in pre- and postoperative assessment of patients with MMD.
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