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1. INTRODUCTION

The activation of organohalides by C–X bond oxidative addition to late transition metal complexes is a keystone organometallic transformation with diverse applications in catalysis.1 Despite economic and environmental imperatives for the use of chlorocarbons as substrates, the robust nature of C–Cl bonds remains a significant practical impediment, conferring attenuated or divergent reactivity compared to heavier halide counterparts.1,2 With respect to well-defined rhodium complexes, only a limited number of examples of C–Cl bond activation can be found in the literature, but the use of rigid mer-tridentate “pincer” ligands is an emerging trend (Scheme 1).3–5,12 These versatile ancillary ligands are evidently well-suited to supporting the reactive rhodium centers required to bring about cleavage of a C–Cl bond.13

The activation of aryl chlorides by rhodium(1) pincers is of particular interest for applications in catalysis14 and typically associated with transient three-coordinate rhodium(1) derivatives, for which concerted oxidative addition mechanisms that proceed with high selectivity over C–H bond activation have been substantiated by computational studies.5,15 A wider range of mechanisms have been proposed for the activation of alkyl chlorides, but classification is obfuscated by more facile entry into nucleophilic and radical oxidative addition manifolds. Indeed, most documented examples are based on reactions of square planar rhodium(1) chloride complexes (X = Cl in Scheme 1), where the stereochemistry of the oxidative addition can be masked in the product.5,6 As part of their work with rhodium(1) xanthos complexes, Esteruelas and co-workers have examined the activation of a range of chlorocarbons by neutral square planar derivatives.6,7 In most cases, direct concerted oxidative addition was invoked, including aryl chlorides. Competitive nucleophilic oxidative addition was, however, suggested for dichloromethane to reconcile the formation of cis- and trans-rhodium(III) dichloride products. This S_n2 pathway has been proposed for the oxidative addition of dichloromethane to phosphine-based complexes of the form [Rh(PNP)Cl] by comparison to reactions with methyl iodide and studying the effect of the phosphine substituents on the reaction rate (Ph > iPr > tBu > Mes).8 Evidence for single-electron reactivity has also emerged for reactions of alkyl chlorides with rhodium(1) pincer complexes. For instance, a cascade of chloride abstraction and single-electron transfer steps is advocated by Hulley and co-workers to account for the formation of the methylene complex [Rh(POP-tBu)(=CH_2)] from the reaction between [Rh(POP-tBu)Cl] and K[B(C_6F_5)_4] in dichloromethane {POP-tBu = 4,6-bis(di-tert-butylphosphino)dibenzos[d,f]furan}.9

Most relevant to the present work, Weller and co-workers have examined reactions of [Rh(PONOP-tBu)Cl] (PONOP-tBu = 2,6-bis(di-tert-butylphosphino)pyridine) and [Rh-
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(PnP-tBu)Cl  (PnP-tBu = 2,6-bis(di-tert-butylphosphinomethyl)pyridine) with dichloromethane that are induced by the halide abstracting agent Na[BAr$_4$] to form the labile neutral ligand L. The target rhodium(I) dimer (20 mM/Rh) was consequently dissolved in chlorobenzene at room temperature. Analysis by $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy indicated liberation of cyclooctadiene into solution and generation of a 4:1 equilibrium mixture of 1 ($\delta_{119}$ = 203.0, $^1J_{RhP} = 136$ Hz) and [Rh(PONOP-tBu)(η$^2$-COD)]·[BAr$_4$] ($\delta_{119}$ = 202.3, $^1J_{RhP} = 135$ Hz) after 6 h (Scheme 2).

**Scheme 1. Oxidative Addition of C–Cl Bonds to Rhodium(I) Pincer Complexes**

**Scheme 2. Synthesis and Reactivity of 1$^a$**

(Reactions in PhCl at room temperature unless otherwise stated, [Rh] = [Rh(PONOP-tBu)]·[BAr$_4$].)

Analytically pure material of 1 was subsequently isolated in good yield (74%) after two consecutive recrystallizations from chlorobenzene/hexane, to perturb the equilibrium toward the desired product through removal of cyclooctadiene, and fully characterized (Figure 1).

Structural analysis of 1 in the solid state confirmed $\kappa_{Cl}$-coordination of chlorobenzene (Figure 1). The metal adopts a pseudo square planar geometry, with the dative bound chlorine atom associated with a distinctly non-linear N20−Cl1 angle of 168.21(13)$^\circ$ and the aryl substituent skewed to one side of the coordination plane (Rh1–Cl1–C2(aryl) = 101.97(16)$^\circ$). The Rh1–Cl1 bond length of 2.3451(9) Å is similar to that reported for [Rh(POP-Ar$_4$)]·[BAr$_4$] (2.350(2) Å$^b$) but considerably shorter than observed in the rhodium(III) pincer complex [Rh(POP-Ar$_4$)$_2$H$_2$(Cl$_2$)][BAr$_4$] (3.40-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenylphosphine)-9,9-dimethyloxanthene; 2.5207(12) Å$^b$), the only crystallographically characterized rhodium precedent for $\kappa_{Cl}$-coordination of an aryl chloride to our knowledge.

Facile ligand exchange (vide infra) limited analysis of 1 by NMR spectroscopy to data acquired using chlorobenzene as the solvent. Nevertheless, observation of time-averaged C$_2$ symmetry indicates a highly fluxional structure and 1 was found to be otherwise stable for extended periods of time in chlorobenzene at room temperature (no change after 3 days, light/dark). Prolonged heating of 1 (20 mM) in chlorobenzene at 125 °C did, however, result in smooth conversion into the rhodium(III) derivative [Rh(PONOP-tBu)(Ph)Cl][BAr$_4$] 4 ($\delta_{119}$ = 182.5, $^1J_{RhP} = 103$ Hz; Scheme 2). The reaction exhibits pseudo-first-order kinetics under these conditions ($t_{1/2} = 14$ h; Figure S7) and 4 was obtained in a quantitative spectroscopic yield after 4 days. The reaction was unaffected by the addition of TEMPO as a radical scavenger. Complex 4 was subsequently isolated in 60% yield and fully characterized in solution and the solid state. In line with structurally related $\kappa_3$(pincer) precedents,$^4$ we propose that 4 is the product of a concerted—three-center-two-electron—oxidative addition of the C(sp$^3$)–Cl bond (BDE = 400 kJ mol$^{-1}$)$^{,20}$ Mechanistic work on the activation of aryl halides by Ozerov and coworkers points toward an early transition state for concerted insertion into the C(sp$^3$)–Cl bond, and explicit isolation of the $\kappa_{Cl}$-coordinated chlorobenzene adduct 1 supports this conclusion.$^3$

**Chart 1. Target rhodium(I) $\kappa_{Cl}$-chlorobenzene complexes.**

$^a$Reactions in PhCl at room temperature unless otherwise stated, [Rh] = [Rh(PONOP-tBu)]·[BAr$_4$].
A square pyramidal metal geometry is observed for 4 in the solid state, with the aryl ligand in the apical position \([\text{Rh}1−\text{C}2 = 2.029(5) \text{ Å}]\) (Figure 1). In line with formation of a covalent bond and the increased oxidation state, the Rh1−C1 bond length \([2.3158(13) \text{ Å}]\) is contracted relative to 1 \([2.3451(9) \text{ Å}]\). Complex 4 is stable in dichloromethane solution, with no onward reactivity detected after 24 h at room temperature (light/dark/presence of TEMPO). C\(_2\) symmetry is retained in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution with a downfield doublet of triplet aryl \(^{13}\text{C}\) resonance at \(\delta 141.9\) \((J_\text{RhC} = 34 \text{ Hz}, J_\text{FC} = 8 \text{ Hz})\) and the reduction of the \(J_\text{RhP}\) coupling constant from 136 to 103 Hz fully consistent with the assigned structure.\(^{21}\)

Going forward, 1 proved to be the precursor of choice for synthesis of the other \(\kappa_\text{Cl}\)-chlorocarbon targets through ligand substitution. Notably, given the forcing conditions required to bring about the formation of 4, the chlorobenzene byproduct generated in this procedure is unlikely to participate in any further metal-based reactivity. Transitioning to the activation of homolytically weaker C(sp\(^3\))-Cl bonds, we next chose to re-examine the synthesis and reactivity of A, first prepared by Weller and co-workers.\(^{10}\) Gratifyingly, dissolution of 1 \((20 \text{ mM})\) in dichloromethane resulted in quantitative conversion into A upon mixing at room temperature (Scheme 3). Spectroscopic data agree with the literature (time averaged \(\delta_{31P} 204.5, J_{\text{RhP}} = 136 \text{ Hz}\) and, in our hands, analytically pure material could be obtained by recrystallization from dichloromethane/hexane in 86% isolated yield. Samples of A prepared from CH\(_3\)Cl\(_2\) are instantaneously converted into the \(d_1\)-isotopologue upon dissolution in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) \((20 \text{ mM})\) with concomitant liberation of CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\). Otherwise, no appreciable onward reactivity was detected by \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR spectroscopy when left to stand at room temperature in the light for 24 h. In the absence of light, however, 3% conversion to a new species characterized by a doublet \(^{31}\text{P}\) resonance at \(\delta 182.0\) with an appreciably reduced \(J_{\text{RhP}}\) coupling constant of 104 Hz was observed under otherwise equivalent conditions. A follow-up experiment involving heating a 20 mM CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution of A at 50 °C in the dark confirmed this onward reactivity, which was found to proceed with pseudo-first-order kinetics \((t_{1/2} = 14 \text{ h}, \text{Figure S32})\) and resulted in complete consumption of the rhodium(1) starting material within 96 h. Analysis of the resulting reaction mixture by \(^1\text{H}\) and \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR spectroscopy indicated formation of an 8:2 mixture of organometallic species, which we ultimately identified as the rhodium(III) complex [Rh(PONOP-tBu)(CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\))][\text{BAr}^F\(_5\)] \(_{d_2-5}\) and the rhodium(II) metalloradical [Rh(PONOP-tBu)-Cl][\text{BAr}^F\(_5\)] \(_6\) (Scheme 3 and Figure 2).

Complex 5 is the PONOP pincer homologue of B (Scheme 1) and was isolated in highest purity by heating a 50 mM CH\(_3\)Cl\(_2\) solution of A at 50 °C in the dark for 96 h \((9:1\) ratio of \(5:6\)) followed by recrystallization from CH\(_3\)Cl\(_2\)/hexane at \(-30\) °C in the dark (co-crystallization of \(5:6\) in a 9:1 ratio).\(^{22}\) This sample was sufficiently enriched in 5 to permit structural elucidation in CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) solution by \(^1\text{H}\), \(^{13}\text{C}\), and \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR spectroscopy (in the dark) despite contamination by paramagnetic 6. Complex 5 is characterized by \(C\) symmetry, with the coordination of the chloroalkyl ligand confirmed by a 2H triplet of doublet resonance at \(\delta 5.65\) \((J_{\text{HH}} = 6.8, J_{\text{HHH}} = 3.4 \text{ Hz})\) and doublet of triplets \(^{13}\text{C}\) resonance at \(\delta 48.1\) \((J_{\text{HCC}} = 30, J_{\text{FCC}} = 5 \text{ Hz})\).\(^{23}\) Additionally, the \(^{31}\text{P}\) NMR signature \((\delta_{31P} 181.9, J_{\text{RhP}} = 104 \text{ Hz})\) is strikingly similar to 4 \((\delta_{31P} 182.8, J_{\text{RhP}} = 103 \text{ Hz})\). The proposed structure of 5 is further borne by crystallographic analysis of the co-crystalline mixture.
As for B,\textsuperscript{10} the solid-state structure of 5 is notable for the adoption of a square pyramidal metal geometry, with the chloroalkyl ligand in the apical position \([\text{Rh}1−\text{C}2 = 2.079(4)\ \text{Å}]\) and the chloride projected over the pyridine donor \([\text{Cl}1−\text{Rh}1−\text{C}2−\text{Cl}2 \text{ dihedral angle of 172.0(2)°}].\) Co-crystallization of B and 5 with structurally related \([\text{Rh}(\text{PNP-tBu})(\text{H})\text{Cl}]\)[BARF\textsubscript{4}] and 6, respectively, prevents meaningful analysis of their metrics and comparison to 4: an unusual and slightly disturbing coincidence.

Assignment of 6 as a metalloradical was informed by the detection of a very broad \(^1\text{H}\) resonance at \(δ 25\) during in situ analysis of the reaction of A with dichloromethane, the aforementioned work by Hulley and co-workers,\textsuperscript{6} and isolation of the PNP homologue \([\text{Rh}(\text{PNP-tBu})\text{Cl}]\)[BARF\textsubscript{4}] C by Milstein and co-workers 15 years ago.\textsuperscript{24} Independent synthesis of purple 6 by one-electron oxidation of \([\text{Rh}(\text{PONOP-tBu})\text{Cl}]\) with \(\text{Fc}[\text{BARF}_4]\) \((E_{1/2} = -0.01 \text{ \text{V vs Fc/Fc}}^+, 48\% \text{ yield}; \text{Fc = ferrocene})\) corroborates this assignment and enabled full characterization in solution and the solid state. No \(^3\text{P}\) resonance could be located for 6 between \(δ -600\) and 600, but paramagnetically shifted tBu (\(δ 24.6\)), 3-py (\(δ 1.5\)), and 4-py (\(δ -17.3\)) resonances are evident in the \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum. The crystal structure shows 6 with a square planar metal geometry and a Rh1−Cl1 bond length of 2.2956(6) Å that is considerably shorter than that observed in both the rhodium(I) precursor \([2.3562(7)\ \text{Å}]\) and rhodium(III) aryl 4 \([2.3158(13)\ \text{Å}, \text{Figure 1}].\)\textsuperscript{25} This metric may help reconcile the short ensemble value for the Rh1−Cl1 bond in the co-crystalline sample of 5 and 6 \([2.3032(9)\ \text{Å}]\) compared to that in 4 \([2.3158(13)\ \text{Å}].\) A less pronounced rhodium(I/II) contraction was observed for C \([2.381(1)/2.332(1)\ \text{Å}]\) and attributed to enhanced chloride-to-rhodium \(π\)-donation.\textsuperscript{24} Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed to investigate the spin state of 6. Figure 3a shows the temperature dependence of dc magnetic susceptibility \(χ_{dc}(T),\) and the inverse dc magnetic susceptibility \(χ_{dc}^{-1}(T)\) for 6. The data were collected while cooling in an applied field, \(H,\) of 1 kOe. The solid line shows a fit using a Curie–Weiss law \(χ_{dc}^{-1}(T) = \frac{C}{(T - θ_0)} + \chi_0\) between 2 and 20 K. (b) Magnetization vs applied field for 6 at 5 K. The inset shows single quadrant \(M(H)\) curves at 1.8 \([●], 3.5 \text{ [□]}, 5 \text{ [▲]}, \text{ and 10 K [○]}].\)

\(\text{Figure 2.}\) Solid-state structures of 5 (left) and 6 (right) with thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability. The former was established using a 9:1 co-crystalline sample of 5 and 6.\textsuperscript{22} \(\text{CH}_3\text{Cl}_2\) solvate (6) and anions omitted. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): 5, Rh1−Cl1, 2.3032(9); Rh1−C2, 2.079(4); C2−Rh1−Cl1, 88.72(12); Rh1−N20, 2.035(3); N20−Rh1−Cl1, 175.41(10); Rh1−P2, 2.3370(10); Rh1−P3, 2.3518(9); P2−Rh1−P3, 160.53(3); 6, Rh1−Cl1, 2.2956(6); Rh1−N20, 2.023(2); N20−Rh1−Cl1, 178.11(5); Rh1−P2, 2.3008(5); Rh1−P3, 2.3049(6); P2−Rh1−P3, 162.40(2).

\(\text{Figure 3.}\) (a) Temperature dependence of the dc magnetic susceptibility \(χ_{dc}(T)\) \([●]\) and the inverse dc magnetic susceptibility \(χ_{dc}^{-1}(T)\) \([●]\) for 6. The data were collected while cooling in an applied field, \(H,\) of 1 kOe. The solid line shows a fit using a Curie–Weiss law \(χ_{dc}^{-1}(T) = \frac{C}{(T - θ_0)} + \chi_0\) between 2 and 20 K. (b) Magnetization vs applied field for 6 at 5 K. The inset shows single quadrant \(M(H)\) curves at 1.8 \([●], 3.5 \text{ [□]}, 5 \text{ [▲]}, \text{ and 10 K [○]}].\)

A Weiss temperature, \(θ_0,\) of +0.007(5) K is also consistent with the absence of magnetic order. Magnetization measure-
ments are linear in magnetic fields below 10 kOe with no hysteresis. Figure 3b shows a four quadrant $M(H)$ curve collected at 5 K. At higher fields, the magnetization tends to saturate. The inset of Figure 3b shows that 6 has a saturation moment of approximately $1.10(5) \mu_B$ at 1.8 K, which is consistent with $S = 1/2$.

Mixtures of 5 and 6 (9:1 ratio, [Rh] = 20 mM) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ remained unchanged (with no H/D scrambling of the methylene group) over 48 h at room temperature in the dark, indicating that the rhodium(III) complex is thermodynamically stable in solution. Upon exposure of the solution to light, however, complete reversion of 5 into A was observed within 4 h at room temperature (Scheme 3). This photo-induced reductive elimination process reconciles the apparent lack of reactivity of A when exposed to light in solution and suggests that the rhodium(I)–dichloromethane complex should be viewed as a photo-stationary rather than a thermodynamic ground state. To interrogate the mechanism associated with reversion of 5 to A, the experiment was repeated in the presence of TEMPO as a radical trapping agent. No reaction was apparent in the dark, but exposure to light resulted in complete conversion of 5 into 6 within 4 h at room temperature with contaminant generation of a species assigned as TEMPO–CH$_2$Cl. Control experiments involving heating isolated 6 in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ at 50 °C for 24 h in the presence or absence of light were conducted, but no onward reactivity of the metalloradical was detected. Based on these observations and recognizing that oxidative addition and reductive elimination processes follow the same pathway, we propose that 5 is the product of non-chain radical oxidative addition of the C(sp$^2$)–Cl bond (BDE = 338 kJmol$^{-1}$). Interpreted this way, the formation of 6 during the reaction is ascribed to incomplete recombinative with the CICH$_2$* radical. While it is currently unclear what organic byproduct is formed alongside 6, we note that thermolysis of A in the solid state (110 °C for 18 h) also gives a mixture of 5 and 6.

Moving onto examination of other alkyl chlorides, dissolution of 1 (20 mM) in chlorocyclohexane resulted in quantitative spectroscopic conversion into the corresponding rhodium(I) κ$_2$Cl-bound complex 2 (time averaged C$_{2v}$ symmetry, $\delta_{1^1P} = 204.5$ s, $J_{\beta\beta} = 138$ Hz) upon mixing at room temperature (Scheme 4). Complex 2 is sufficiently stable at standing in chlorocyclohexane solution at room temperature for 24 h, partial conversion of 2 into the new rhodium(III) hydride [Rh(PONOP-Bu)](H)Cl][BAR$_4^-$] 7 (C, symmetry; $\delta_{1^1P} = 197.1$, $J_{\beta\beta} = 100$ Hz; $\delta_{1^1H} = -26.12$, $J_{\beta\beta} = 42.3$, $J_{\beta\beta} = 10.6$ Hz) was observed (ca. 10% conversion). Quantitative spectroscopic conversion into 7 and 1 equiv of cyclohexene was subsequently achieved within 24 h by heating 4 (20 mM) in chlorocyclohexane at 50 °C (Scheme 4). The dehydrochlorination was unaffected by the presence of light.

A considerably faster dehydrochlorination resulted when 1 (20 mM) was dissolved in 2-chloro-2-methylpropane. The putative κ$_3$–cholorcarbon complex 3 could not be detected and instead complete conversion into 7 and isobutene was observed upon mixing at room temperature (Scheme 5). This proved to be our method of choice for the preparation of 7, which was isolated as an analytically pure material in 87% yield following removal of volatiles and recrystallization from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexane. Crystals grown in this way were suitable for X-ray diffraction and the solid-state structure is fully consistent with our assignment (Figure 4). In particular, while requiring tight restraints, the hydride ligand was located off the Fourier difference map during the refinement. The component Rh1–Cl1 bond [2.3049(8) Å] is notably shorter than that in rhodium(III) aryl [2.3158(13) Å] and approaching that observed in the rhodium(II) metalloradical 6 [2.2956(6) Å]. Indeed, we cannot exclude the possibility that the single crystal analyzed was free of co-crystallized 6.

Extrapolating from our mechanistic work with A, we propose that activation of chlorocyclohexane and 2-chloro-2-methylpropane involves homolytic cleavage of the C(sp$_2$)–Cl bonds (BDE = 356 and 352 kJmol$^{-1}$, respectively) through chlorine atom abstraction by the latent [Rh(PONOP)]$^+$ fragment, generating 6 and an alkyl radical. Compared to methyl chloride, the cyclohexyl and tert-butyl radicals are more thermodynamically stable (Δ$H^0 = +117$, +75, and +48 kJmol$^{-1}$, respectively) and characterized by considerably weaker C–H bonds (BDE = 427, 138, and 153 kJmol$^{-1}$, respectively). Informed by these data, we suggest that formation of 7 and alkene occurs by hydrogen atom abstraction from the alkyl radical, rather than direct C-radical recombination with 6 and β-H elimination. Supporting this hypothesis, addition of 0.5–2.0 equiv of TEMPO to 7 (20 mM) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ resulted in hydrogen atom abstraction [BDE(O–H) = 292 kJmol$^{-1}$] and establishment of a dynamic equilibrium involving hydrogen atom transfer between 6 and 7 on the $^1$H NMR time scale at 298 K (400 MHz; Scheme 6). The latter is most notably evidenced by the presence of a broad 36H resonance at $\delta$ 13.2 (~ equally weighted average of the tBu signals of 6 and 7), which was sharper with higher concentrations of added TEMPO (Figure S70). No hydrogen atom shuttling was observed when a 1:1 mixture of 6 and 7 in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ was prepared in the absence of TEMPO, confirming that the aminooxyl radical is required to mediate the process. Moreover, 40% conversion of 6 into 7 was observed after heating with 0.9 equiv of dihydroanthracene in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ at 50 °C for 2 weeks.

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

As a platform for investigating C–Cl bond activation reactions, we have developed operationally simple procedures for the generation of low-valent rhodium κ$_2$–cholorcarbon complexes of the form [Rh(PONOP-Bu)](κ$_2$–Cl)(BAR$_4^-$)] (R = CH$_2$Cl, A; Ph, I; Cy, 2; tBu, 3) in solution. Notably, the
chlorobenzene derivative 1 was isolated by displacement of cyclooctadiene from \([\text{Rh}(\text{PONOP-tBu})](\mu-\eta^2:\eta^2\text{-COD})\cdot 2\) and serves as a well-defined precursor for the other \(\kappa_1\)-chlorocarbon complexes through facile ligand substitution, with only innocuous chlorobenzene as a byproduct. In this way, the first rhodium(1) \(\kappa_1\)-complexes of chlorobenzene and chlorocyclohexane have been isolated and structurally characterized in the solid state by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

Complex 1 is stable under ambient conditions, but onward C−Cl bond oxidative addition of chlorobenzene to the rhodium(1) pincer affording \([\text{Rh}(\text{PONOP-tBu})(\text{Ph})\text{Cl}])\cdot 2\) could be induced by prolonged heating in the neat chlorocarbon at 125°C (Scheme 7). This reaction proceeded in one step and was unaffected by addition of TEMPO. Informed by these reaction characteristics, literature precedents, and the robust nature of the C(sp^3)−Cl bond, we propose that formation of 4 occurs by a concerted oxidative addition mechanism. Consistent with their homolytically weaker C(sp^3)−Cl bonds, activation of dichloromethane (96 h at 50°C in the dark), chlorocyclohexane (24 h at 50°C), and 2-chloro-2-methylpropane (<5 min at RT) by the rhodium(I) pincer occurred under considerably milder conditions and were rationalized by radical mechanisms that commence with chloride atom abstraction and involve generation of the rhodium(II) metalloradical \([\text{Rh}(\text{PONOP-tBu})\text{Cl}])\cdot 2\) (Scheme 7). For chlorocyclohexane, subsequent recombination of 6 with the \(\text{ClCH}_2^\cdot\) radical and formation of the rhodium(III) product \([\text{Rh}(\text{PONOP-tBu})\cdot(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl})\text{Cl}])\cdot 2\) is masked by rapid photo-induced reductive elimination when the reaction is conducted in the light. The metalloradical 6 was directly observed as a minor reaction component in the dark. Net dehydrochlorination affording \([\text{Rh}(\text{PONOP-tBu})(\text{H})\text{Cl}])\cdot 2\) and an alkene byproduct resulted when 1 was dissolved in chlorocyclohexane and 2-chloro-2-methylpropane. With these substrates, we believe that hydrogen atom abstraction from the corresponding alkyl radicals is considerably faster than C-radical recombination with 6. This suggestion is supported by the observation of dynamic hydrogen atom transfer between 6 and 7 on the \(^1H\) NMR time scale at 298 K in the presence of TEMPO (Scheme 6).

### 4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

#### 4.1. General Methods

All manipulations were performed in the light under an atmosphere of argon using Schlenk and glovebox techniques unless otherwise stated. Glassware was oven-dried at 150°C overnight and flame-dried under vacuum prior to use. Molecular sieves were activated by heating at 300°C in vacuo overnight. Anhydrous CHCl\(_3\) and hexane were purchased from commercial suppliers, freeze−pump−thaw degassed, and stored over activated 3 Å molecular sieves. Chlorobenzene, chlorocyclohexane, 2-chloro-2-methylpropane, and CD\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) were freeze−pump−thaw degassed and stored over activated 3 Å molecular sieves. 1,2-Difluorobenzene was stirred over neutral aluminum oxide, filtered, dried over CaH\(_2\), vacuum distilled, freeze−pump−thaw degassed, and then stored over activated 3 Å molecular sieves.

### Scheme 5. Attempted Synthesis of 3\(^{2+}\)

![Scheme 5](image)

\[\text{[Rh] = [Rh(PONOP-tBu)]\cdot [BAR}\_4]^{+}\]

```
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```
\text{“[Rh] = [Rh(PONOP-tBu)]\cdot [BAR}\_4]^{+}.”
```

### Scheme 6. Hydrogen Atom Transfer Between 6 and 7\(^{2+}\)

![Scheme 6](image)

```
\begin{align*}
\text{[Rh] = [Rh(PONOP-tBu)]\cdot [BAR}\_4]^{+}.
\end{align*}
```

Figure 4. Solid-state structures of 2 (left) and 7 (right) with thermal ellipsoids at 30% probability. Only one of the two unique cations is shown for 2 (Z = 2); the hydride in 7 was located off the Fourier difference map and the Rh−H distance was thereafter tightly restrained; minor disordered components (Cy in 2, 3× tBu in 7) and anions omitted. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): 2 as shown, Rh1−C11/C11A, 2.370(9)/2.308(15); Rh1−C11/C11A, 126.5(6)/114.4(9); Rh1−N20, 2.015(5); N20−Rh1−C11A, 173.0(3)/170.8(4); Rh1−P2, 2.281(2); Rh1−P3, 2.283(2); P2−Rh1−P3, 161.90(7); 2 other cation, Rh1B−C11B/C11C, 2.376(3)/2.402(4); Rh1B−C11B/C11C−C2C, 115.9(5)/115.5(9); Rh1B−N20B, 2.003(5); N20B−Rh1B−C11B/C11C, 160.4(2)/164.7(2); Rh1B−P2B, 2.274(2); Rh1B−P3B, 2.271(2); P2B−Rh1B−P3B, 162.24(7); 7, Rh1−C11, 2.5049(8); Rh1−H1, restrained to 1.69; H1−Rh1−C11 96.0; Rh1−N20, 2.018(2); N20−Rh1−C11, 178.53(7); Rh1−P2, 2.2913(8); Rh1−P3, 2.2988(8); P2−Rh1−P3, 163.09(3).
COD)[BAR₄]_, was prepared from [Rh(COD)][BAR₄]_[Rh(PONOP-₄)][BAR₄] and PONOP-[Bu] in 1,2-difluorobenzene using a procedure developed by our group. [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])Cl] and Fe[BAR₄] were prepared using literature protocols. All other reagents are commercial products and were used as received. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker spectrometers under argon at 298 K unless otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are quoted in parts per million, and coupling constants are given in hertz. Virtual coupling constants are reported as the separation between the first and third lines. NMR spectra in non-deuterated solvents were recorded using an internal capillary of C₆D₆. High-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HR-ESI-MS) spectra were recorded on a Bruker MaXis mass spectrometer. Liberation of COD and formation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])Cl][BAR₄] were observed, and no paramagnetic signals observed in the range +50 ppm.

4.2. NMR Scale Reaction of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])][BAR₄] with PhCl. To a J. Young's valve NMR tube charged with [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])][BAR₄] (141 mg, 5.0 μmol) was added PhCl (0.5 mL). The resulting orange homogeneous solution was analyzed in situ with ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, with constant mixing at room temperature when not in the spectrometer. Liberation of COD and formation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])][BAR₄] [δ₁H 203.0 (d, JBAR₄ = 136)] were observed, with a 4:1 equilibrium mixture of 1 and [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])][BAR₄] [δ₁H 202.3 (d, JBAR₄ = 135)] obtained after 4 h.

4.3. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])][C₆Cl₃(CPh)][BAR₄]. To a flask charged with [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])][BAR₄] (100.7 mg, 35.5 μmol) was added PhCl (10 mL) with vigorous stirring. The resulting orange solution was left to stand for 18 h at room temperature, and the analytically pure material obtained as orange crystals after two consecutive crystallizations from CH₂Cl₂/hexane at room temperature. Yield: 77.4 mg (52.4 μmol, 74%). Crystals grown in this way were suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, PhCl; selected data): δ 8.04–8.10 (m, 8H, Ar), 7.43 (br, 4H, Ar), 6.12 (d, JH,H = 8.1, 2H, 3-py), 0.91 (vt, JH,H = 14.7, 36H, fBu). No paramagnetic signals observed in the range –50 to +50 ppm.

³¹P[¹H] NMR (162 MHz, PhCl): δ 203.0 (d, JH,P = 138).

Anal. Calcd for C₃₉H₂₄ClF₂₅NO₃P,Rh (1478.18 gmol⁻¹): C, 47.94; H, 3.82; N, 0.95. Found: C, 48.16; H, 3.84; N, 1.00.

4.4. NMR Scale Reactions of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])][BAR₄]. 1. Reactions were performed within J. Young’s valve NMR tubes using 20 mM solutions of 1 (14.8 mg, 10.0 μmol) in the respective solvent (0.5 mL) and monitored in situ using ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy.

4.4.1. Stability at Room Temperature in PhCl. No onward reaction of 1 was apparent upon standing in PhCl at room temperature for 72 h, both in the presence and absence of light (orange solution).

4.4.2. Stability at 125 °C in PhCl. Heating 1 in PhCl at 125 °C for 96 h in the dark resulted in quantitative formation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])PhCl][BAR₄] [δ₁H 182.5 (d, JH,P = 103); dark orange solution]. The same outcome was observed when repeated in the presence of light.

4.4.3. Stability at 125 °C in PhCl in the Presence of TEMPO. Heating 1 and TEMPO (1 equiv) in PhCl at 125 °C for 24 h in the dark resulted in the partial formation (ca. 40%) of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])PhCl][BAR₄] [δ₁H 182.5 (d, JH,P = 102); dark orange solution]. No paramagnetic species were observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

4.4.4. Stability at Room Temperature in CCl₄Cl₄. Dissolution of 1 in CCl₄Cl₄ at room temperature resulted in displacement of PhCl and quantitative formation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])Cl₃C₆Cl₃][BAR₄] [δ₁H 197.3 (d, JH,P = 136)] within 5 min (orange solution).

4.4.5. Stability at Room Temperature in CyCl. Dissolution of 1 in CyCl at room temperature resulted in displacement of PhCl and quantitative formation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])Cl₃C₆Cy][BAR₄] [δ₁H 204.5 (d, JH,P = 138)] within 5 min (orange solution).

4.4.6. Stability at Room Temperature in fBuCl. Dissolution of 1 in fBuCl at room temperature resulted in displacement of PhCl, generation of isobutene [δ₁H 4.23, 1H, RhH], and quantitative formation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])H][BAR₄] [δ₁H 25.89 (br d, JH,P = 138)] within 5 min (yellow solution).

4.5. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-[Bu])PhCl][BAR₄]. 4. A 20 mM solution of 4 in PhCl (0.5 mL) was prepared in situ as described above. Volatiles were removed in vacuo, and the analytically pure product obtained as dark orange crystals following recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂/pentane at 5 °C. Yield: 8.9 mg (6.0 μmol, 60%). Crystals suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction were grown from PhCl/hexane at room temperature.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.10 (t, JH,H = 8.2, 1H, 4-py), 8.04 (br d, JH,H = 7.0, 1H, o-P), 7.70–7.76 (m, 8H, Ar), 7.56 (br, 4H, Ar), 7.17 (d, JH,H = 8.2, 2H, 3-py), 6.91–6.98 (m, 2H, m-P + p-P), 6.53 (ddd, JH,H = 9.1, 6.5, JH,P = 3.3, 1H, m-P), 5.01 (dd, JH,P = 8.6, JH,H = 2.5, 1H, o-P), 1.46 (vt, JH,H = 15.5, 18H, fBu), 1.07 (vt,
$J_{\text{vis}} = 16.5, 18\text{H}, (\text{Bu})$. No paramagnetic signals were observed in the range $-50$ to $+50$ ppm.

4.6.5. Stability at Room Temperature in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. 20 mM solutions of 4 (14.8 mg, 10.0 $\mu$mol) were prepared within a J. Young's valve NMR tube in the presence of light and periodically monitored in situ using $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy. No onward reactivity with TEMPO was apparent from analysis in situ using $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy at room temperature in the dark. After being heated for 96 h, a was completely consumed, and 5 and [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cl]($^3$F)A]$^4_6$ were observed in a 9:1 ratio. Recrystallization from CHCl$_3$/hexane in the dark afforded 29.5 mg of dark orange crystals, some of which were suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction. Analysis of the sample in CD$_2$Cl$_2$/hexane in the dark by $^1$H, $^{13}$C, and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy indicated co-crystallization of 5 and 6 in a 9:1 ratio.

4.7. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ A. To a flask charged with [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ (2.6 mg, 0.2 $\mu$mol) was added CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1 mL). The resulting solution was left to stand for 5 min at room temperature, and no onward reactivity with TEMPO was apparent from analysis in situ using $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy after 24 h in the dark at room temperature.

Instantaneous exchange of coordinated dichloromethane, resulting in the liberation of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and formation of d$_2$-A, was apparent upon dissolution in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.

4.6.4. Stability at Room Temperature in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. To a J. Young's valve NMR tube charged with 4 (14.8 mg, 10.0 $\mu$mol) and TEMPO (1.6 mg, 10.2 $\mu$mol) was added CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.5 mL) at room temperature in the dark. The solution remained orange in color, and no onward reactivity with TEMPO was apparent from analysis in situ using $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy. The same outcome was observed when the solution was subsequently exposed to light for 24 h.

4.8. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ 6. To a flask charged with [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ (30.0 mg, 55.7 $\mu$mol) and F$_2$-[Cl]A$^{3+}$ (55.6 mg, 52.9 $\mu$mol) was added 1,2-C$_2$H$_4$F$_2$ (2 mL). The resulting dark green solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h before volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was washed with hexane (2 × 5 mL) and then dried in vacuo. Recrystallization from CHCl$_3$/hexane at room temperature afforded the analytically pure product as purple crystals. Yield: 35.4 mg (25.3 $\mu$mol, 48%). Crystals grown in this way were suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): $\delta$ 24.57 (vbr, fwhm = 600 Hz, 6H), 75.1–76.0 (m, 8H, Ar$^5$), 7.32 (br, 4H, Ar$^4$), 1.45 (vbr, fwhm = 60 Hz, 2H, 3-py), $\sim$17.31 (vbr, fwhm = 110 Hz, 1H, 4-py).

6$^{31}$P($^1$H) NMR (162 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): $\delta$ 181.9 (d, $^3J_{\text{HP}} = 140$). HR-ESI-MS (positive ion, 4 kV): $m/z$ 586.1034 ([M]+, calcd for C$_2$H$_4$Cl$_2$NO$_4$P$^3$Rh: 586.1039).

Data for the mixture: Anal. Calcd for C$_2$H$_4$B$_2$F$_2$Cl$_3$NO$_4$P$^3$Rh$_{10}$: (1445.60 $\mu$mol)$^3$: C, 44.78; H, 3.68; N, 0.97. Found: C, 45.06; H, 3.61; N, 1.05.

4.9. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ 6. To a flask charged with [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ (30.0 mg, 55.7 $\mu$mol) and F$_2$-[Cl]A$^{3+}$ (55.6 mg, 52.9 $\mu$mol) was added 1,2-C$_2$H$_4$F$_2$ (2 mL). The resulting dark green solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h before volatiles were removed in vacuo. The residue was washed with hexane (2 × 5 mL) and then dried in vacuo. Recrystallization from CHCl$_3$/hexane at room temperature afforded the analytically pure product as purple crystals. Yield: 35.4 mg (25.3 $\mu$mol, 48%). Crystals grown in this way were suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): $\delta$ 25.1–7.60 (m, 8H, Ar$^5$), 7.32 (br, 4H, Ar$^4$), 1.45 (vbr, fwhm = 60 Hz, 2H, 3-py), $\sim$17.31 (vbr, fwhm = 110 Hz, 1H, 4-py).

$^6$[$^3$P($^1$H)] NMR (162 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): no resonances observed between $\delta$ ~600 and ~600.

HR-ESI-MS (positive ion, 4 kV): not sufficiently stable under the analysis conditions employed. $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ (powder dispersed in n-eicosane): 2.22(2) $\mu_B$ (dc magnetic susceptibility), 2.20(2) $\mu_B$ (ac magnetic susceptibility).

Anal. Calcd for C$_{52}$H$_{48}$BCl$_2$F$_2$Cl$_3$NO$_4$P$^3$Rh: (1401.07 $\mu$mol)$^3$: C, 45.44; H, 3.67; N, 1.00. Found: C, 45.59; H, 3.67; N, 1.03.

4.10. NMR Scale Reactions of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ 5. 4.10.1. Stability at Room Temperature in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. A solution of a 9:1 mixture of 5 and 6 (14.5 mg, 10.0 $\mu$mol/Rh) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.5 mL) was prepared within a J. Young's valve NMR tube in the dark and monitored in situ using $^1$H and $^{31}$P NMR spectroscopy. No onward reaction was apparent after standing at room temperature for 48 h in the dark. The solution was exposed to light, and quantitative conversion of 5 into [Rh(PONOP-Bu)[Cu(CCl$_3$)][$^3$F]A]$^{3+}$ was observed within 4 h at room temperature (orange solution). The concentration of 6 remained constant.
4.10.2. Stability in the Presence of TEMPO in CD_2Cl_2. To a J. Young's valve NMR tube charged with a 9:1 mixture of 5 and 6 (14.5 mg, 10.0 μmol/Rh) and TEMPO (1.6 mg, 10.2 μmol) was added CD_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL) at room temperature in the dark. The resulting solution was left to stand at room temperature for 24 h in the dark. No onward reaction was apparent from analysis in situ using 1H and 31P NMR spectroscopy. The solution was exposed to light, resulting in a gradual change in color from orange to deep red. Generation of a species assigned as TEMPO-CH$_2$Cl [δ$_{1H}$ = 5.66 (s, OCH$_2$Cl)] and quantitative conversion of 5 into [Rh[PCNOP- Bu][Cl][BAR$_3$]]$_{7}$; δ$_{1H}$ = 23.96 (vbr, fwhm = 600 Hz, tBu)] were observed within 4 h by 1H NMR spectroscopy.

4.1. NMR Scale Reactions of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)Cl][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$. 4.11. Stability at 50 °C in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. A 21 mM solution of 6 (14.5 mg, 10.3 μmol) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.5 mL) was prepared within J. Young's valve NMR tube in the dark, heated at 50 °C in the dark, and periodically monitored in situ using 1H and 31P NMR spectroscopy at room temperature in the dark. No onward reaction was apparent after heating for 24 h (purple solution). The same outcome was observed when repeated in the presence of light.

4.12. Reaction with Dihydroanthracene. A solution of 6 (14.0 mg, 10.0 μmol) and 9,10-dihydroanthracene (1.6 mg, 8.9 μmol) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.5 mL) within a J. Young's valve NMR tube was heated for 2 weeks at 50 °C. Partial conversion (40%) of 6 into [Rh(PONOP-Bu)Cl][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$; δ$_{1H}$ = 26.23 (dt, 1H, J$_{HH}$ = 41.9, J$_{HH}$ = 9.9, RhH), δ$_{13P}$ = 197.8 (d, J$_{13P}$ = 102) with concomitant generation of anthracene [δ$_{1H}$ = 8.47 (s, 2H, CH$_2$); red/purple solution] was observed.

4.12. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(k$_{C}$-Cl)(Cy)][BAR$_3$]$_{2}$. To a flask charged with [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(k$_{C}$-Cl)(Ph)] [BAR$_3$]$_{7}$ (18.4 mg, 12.5 μmol) was added CyCl (0.5 mL). The resulting orange solution was left to stand at room temperature for 5 min before the volatiles were removed in vacuo to afford the analytically pure product as a yellow powder. Yield: 14.6 mg (9.8 μmol, 79%). Crystals suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction were grown from CyCl/hexane at room temperature.

4.13. NMR Scale Reactions of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(k$_{C}$-Cl)(Cy)][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$. Reactions were performed within J. Young's valve NMR tubes using 20 mM solutions of 2 (14.8 mg, 10.0 μmol) in CyCl (0.5 mL) and monitored in situ using 1H and 31P NMR spectroscopy.

4.13.3. Stability at Room Temperature in CyCl. Standing at room temperature for 24 h in the dark resulted in partial conversion (10%) of 2 into [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(H)Cl][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$; δ$_{1H}$ = 26.12 (dt, J$_{HH}$ = 42.3, J$_{HH}$ = 106.6, RhH), δ$_{13P}$ = 197.1 (d, J$_{13P}$ = 100). The same outcome was observed when repeated in the presence of light.

4.13.4. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(H)Cl][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$. To a flask charged with [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(k$_{C}$-Cl)(Ph)][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$ (29.6 mg, 20.0 μmol) was added BuCl (1 mL) in the dark. The solution was left to stand at room temperature for 5 min before volatiles were removed in vacuo. Recrystallisation from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexane at room temperature in the dark afforded the analytically pure product as yellow crystals. Yield: 24.3 mg (17.3 μmol, 87%). Crystals grown in this way were suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction.

4H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): δ 7.96 (t, J$_{HH}$ = 8.2, 1H, 4-py), 7.68−7.74 (m, 8H, Ar$^+$), 7.55 (br, 4H, Ar$^+$), 7.01 (d, J$_{HH}$ = 8.3, 2H, 3-py), 5.00 (vt, J$_{HH}$ = 16.3, 18H, tBu), 1.46 (vt, J$_{HH}$ = 16.8, 18H, tBu), −26.25 (dt, J$_{HH}$ = 41.9, J$_{HH}$ = 10.1, 1H, RhH).

4.14. Preparation of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(H)Cl][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$. To a flask charged with [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(k$_{C}$-Cl)(Ph)][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$ (29.6 mg, 20.0 μmol) was added BuCl (1 mL) in the dark. The solution was left to stand at room temperature for 5 min before volatiles were removed in vacuo. Recrystallisation from CH$_2$Cl$_2$/hexane at room temperature in the dark afforded the analytically pure product as yellow crystals. Yield: 24.3 mg (17.3 μmol, 87%). Crystals grown in this way were suitable for analysis by X-ray diffraction.

4.15. NMR Scale Reactions of [Rh(PONOP-Bu)(H)Cl][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$. 4.15.1. Stability at Room Temperature in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. 20 mM solutions of 7 (14.0 mg, 10.0 μmol) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.5 mL) were prepared within J. Young's valve NMR tubes in the presence and absence of light and thereafter monitored in situ using 1H and 31P NMR spectroscopy. No significant onward reaction of 7 was apparent standing at room temperature for 72 h in the dark, but partial decomposition (2%) into [Rh(PONOP-Bu)Cl][BAR$_3$]$_{7}$; δ$_{1H}$ = 24.2 (vbr, fwhm = 500 Hz, tBu)] was observed in the presence of light under the same conditions (yellow solution).

4.15.2. Reaction with TEMPO in CD$_2$Cl$_2$. Solutions of 7 (20 mM) and TEMPO (0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 equiv) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ were prepared in J. Young's valve NMR tubes by dissolution of 7 (14.8 mg, 10.0 μmol) in varying ratios of a 50 mM standard solution of TEMPO in CD$_2$Cl$_2$ and CD$_2$Cl$_2$ (totalling 0.5 mL). Analysis by 1H NMR spectroscopy at 298 K indicated hydrogen atom abstraction and establishment of a dynamic equilibrium involving hydrogen atom transfer between 6 and 7 on the time scale of the NMR experiment, most notably evidenced by the presence of a broad 36H resonance at δ 13.2 (≈ equally weighted average of the tBu signals of 6 and 7), which was sharper with higher concentrations of added TEMPO. A comparatively sharp integral 1H resonance at δ 3.95 is consistent with the formation of TEMPOH. No dynamic exchange was observed for a 1:1 mixture of 6 and 7 ([Rh] = 20 mM) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$, and a control experiment indicated no direct reaction between 6 (20 mM) and TEMPO (1 equiv) in CD$_2$Cl$_2$.

4.16. Crystallographic Details. Data were collected on a Rigaku Oxford Diffraction SuperNova AtlasS2 CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo Kα (λ = 0.71073 Å) or CuKα (λ = 1.54184 Å) radiation and an Oxford Cryosystems N-HeliX low-temperature device [150(2) K]. Data were collected and reduced using CryAltisPro and refined using SHELXT through the Olex2 interface. The disorder evident in cationic components of Cl$_2$I and Cl$_2$PC$_3$I was constrained to an ideal geometry. Partial co-crystallization of 6 with 5 was treated by freely refining the occupancy of the CH$_2$Cl ligand in 5 [0.892(5)]. Full details about the collection, solution, and refinement are documented in CIF format, which have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under CCDC 2195204 (1), 2195205 (2), 2195206 (4), 2195207 (5), 2195208 (6), and 2195209 (7).
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