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Edge effects in the magnetic interference pattern of a ballistic SNS junction
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We investigate the Josephson critical current \( I_c(\Phi) \) of a wide superconductor-normal metal-superconductor (SNS) junction as a function of the magnetic flux \( \Phi \) threading it. Electronic trajectories reflected from the side edges alter the function \( I_c(\Phi) \) as compared to the conventional Fraunhofer-type dependence. At weak magnetic fields, \( B \lesssim \Phi_0/d^2 \), the edge effect lifts zeros in \( I_c(\Phi) \) and gradually shifts the minima of that function toward half-integer multiples of the flux quantum. At \( B > \Phi_0/d^2 \), the edge effect leads to an accelerated decay of the critical current \( I_c(\Phi) \) with increasing \( \Phi \). At larger fields, eventually, the system is expected to cross into a regime of “classical” mesoscopic fluctuations that is specific for wide ballistic SNS junctions with rough edges.

PACS numbers: 74.45.+c, 74.78.Na, 74.50.+r

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discoveries of the Josephson effect\(^{1,2}\) and Andreev reflection\(^3\), proximity structures involving one or more superconducting layers have been the subject of intense experimental and theoretical research, providing a rich playground to manifestations of quantum coherence. In the condition of zero voltage bias, direct current transport between two superconductors coupled by a junction depends on the phase difference \( \chi \) of the two superconductors’ order parameters and the external magnetic flux \( \Phi \) squeezed into the space between the two superconducting leads. The functional form of the Josephson current \( I(\chi, \Phi) \) reflects the geometry of the junction as well as the physical properties of the interface material\(^{4-9}\) and that of the superconductors.\(^10\) Thus, in particular due to the arrival of the new class of ballistic superconductor-normal metal-superconductor (SNS) systems based on encapsulated graphene,\(^11,12\) the Josephson current \( I(\chi, \Phi) \) is an interesting object of study.

In this work, we develop a theory for the magnetic field dependence of the Josephson current in a long and wide two-dimensional ballistic SNS junction, see Fig. 1. Our theory extends beyond the standard Fraunhofer interference pattern\(^13,14\) and is applicable over a broad range of magnetic fields \( B \). Overall, we find for the magnetic field dependence of the Josephson critical current the form

\[
I_c(B) = \frac{I_{c0}}{\varphi} \eta\left(\{\varphi\}, \frac{d^2}{\ell_B^2}\right),
\]  

(1)

where \( I_{c0} \) is the zero-field critical current, \( \varphi = \Phi/\Phi_0 = BWd/\Phi_0 \) denotes the dimensionless magnetic flux (in units of the flux quantum \( \Phi_0 = \pi hc/e \)), \( d \) is the length of the wide \( d \times W \) junction (\( W \gg d \)), and \( \ell_B = \sqrt{\Phi_0/B} \) is the magnetic length. Curly brackets \( \{\varphi\} \) denote the fractional part of \( \varphi \).

In the limit of short enough junctions or small enough magnetic fields, such that \( d^2/\ell_B^2 \ll 1 \), the function \( \eta(\{\varphi\}, d^2/\ell_B^2) \) in Eq. (1) reduces to the known\(^15\) form

\[
\eta_0(\{\varphi\}) = \|\varphi\| (1 - |\varphi|) .
\]  

(2)

This function for the SNS junction differs from the corresponding function for the Fraunhofer pattern in conventional Josephson tunnel (SIS) junctions,\(^14\) for which \( \eta_0(\{\varphi\}) = |\sin(\pi \varphi)|/\pi \), yet these two functions share an important property: both turn zero at integer \( \varphi \). The physical origin\(^14,15\) of the zeros in \( \eta_0(\{\varphi\}) \) is also common for both the SIS and thin SNS junctions: the Josephson current density is a periodic function of the coordinate \( y \) along the interface with period \( \varphi_0/2 \) and zero mean over each full period.

In a junction of finite length \( d \), due to the electron trajectories bouncing off the side edges, contributions to the supercurrent from the regions near the side edges of the SNS junction, at \( y = \pm W/2 \), cf. Fig. 1, form differently as compared to those in the bulk region. That difference leads to a similar effect as an inhomogeneity of the current density, resulting in lifted zeros of the critical current \( I_c(B) \). As long as the magnetic field is small \( (B \ll \Phi_0/d^2, \text{ or equivalently } d^2/\ell_B^2 \ll 1) \), such qualitative changes in \( I_c(B) \) are brought by perturbative corrections to Eq. (2).

However, the effect of the edges becomes increasingly important with the increase of the magnetic field. For \( B \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \), the Josephson current is substantially determined by the nature of electron trajectories close to and bouncing off the side edges. For specular reflection off straight edges, regular oscillations persist. In this situation, the maxima and minima of the oscillations of \( I_c(\varphi) \) are all of the same order,

\[
I_c(B) \sim \frac{I_{c0}}{\varphi} \frac{\ell_B^2}{d^2} .
\]  

(3)

The critical current thus decays as \( 1/B^2 \) with the additional factor stemming from the second argument of the function \( \eta(\{\varphi\}, d^2/\ell_B^2) \) in Eq. (1).

Realistic side edges are rough so that the critical current acquires a random component. If this roughness varies on a scale larger than the geometric mean of \( d \) and the electron Fermi wavelength \( \lambda_F \) in the normal layer, sample-to-sample fluctuations of the critical current are
decays as $1/B$, which is slower than decrease of the average critical current, cf. Eq. (3). Once the fluctuation amplitude $\delta I_c$, Eq. (58), exceeds the average critical current, it defines not only the amplitude of mesoscopic fluctuations but also the typical value of the critical current. The field $B_x \sim \Phi_0/(b_0d)$ at which the crossover into the regime of strong fluctuations occurs depends on the amplitude of the edge roughness $b_0$. Note that the crossover into the regime of mesoscopic fluctuations typically happens within the regime of edge-dominated transport, $B_x \gg \Phi_0/d^2$.

A semiclassical theory leading to the main conclusions of this paper is organized in this manuscript as following. In the next section, we describe the main approximations used in this study and offer a qualitative and quantitative discussion of its results. In Sec. III, we reproduce the result of Ref. 15, which is asymptotically accurate in the limit $B \ll \Phi_0/d^2$, by elementary means. This allows us to develop a formalism to treat magnetic fields of order $\Phi_0/d^2$ and beyond, where the effects of side edge scattering become important. In Sec. IV, we derive our main results for the case of specular reflection off the edges, and in Sec. V, we study the effects of the edge roughness on the $I_c(B)$ dependence. In the latter section, we also investigate the mesoscopic fluctuations originating from edge disorder. Finally, we sum up and discuss our analysis in Sec. VI.

II. QUALITATIVE CONSIDERATIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

In an SNS junction (Fig. 1) of fixed length $d$, the crossover from short-length limit (2) to the regime of edge-dominated transport, Eq. (3), is driven by an increase of the magnetic field. We assume that the Fermi wave length $\lambda_F$ is short enough so that at the crossover field $B \sim \Phi_0/d^2$ the cyclotron radius is still large,

$$r_B \gg d.$$ (5)

This condition allows for the crossover to occur before effects of quantum Hall physics set in. In addition, to simplify the theoretical consideration of the SN interfaces, we make the conventional assumptions about the coherence length $\xi$ and the magnetic field penetration depth $\lambda_L$ in the superconducting leads,

$$\lambda_F \ll (\xi, \lambda_L) \ll d.$$ (6)

Conditions (6) and (5) allow us to use the semi-classical approximation for the electron dynamics and to dispense with the bending of semi-classical electron trajectories, respectively. In addition, we constrain our considerations to low temperatures, $k_BT \ll h\nu_F/d$, where the electron trajectory effects in $I_c$ are strongest ($\nu_F$ denotes the Fermi velocity in the normal layer). We also concentrate of ballistic junctions; in the opposite limit of diffusive SNS junctions, the role of scattering off the side edges is less important. As mentioned above, we will furthermore explicitly make use of the large aspect ratio of the wide SNS junction,

$$W/d \gg 1.$$ (7)

Finally, we point out that the “strip geometry” of the SNS junction under consideration (Fig. 1) is to be distinguished from a geometry of superconducting point contacts to an open normal layer, as studied in Refs. 20 and 21.

Electron trajectories bouncing off the side edges are typically situated up to a distance $\sim d$ from each edge. On the other hand, once flux $\Phi = BWd$ exceeds $\Phi_0$, the Josephson current density oscillates in $y$-direction with the period $\ell_B^2/d$, independent of the junction width $W$. As long as the period exceeds $d$, the bouncing trajectories weakly affect the current density distribution; the condition $\ell_B^2/d \gg d$ defines the corresponding field region $B \ll \Phi_0/d^2$, independent of $W$. In that region, qualitative (but quantitatively still small) effects, such as the lifting of zeros of $I_c(\Phi)$, become manifest only if the magnetic flux $\Phi$ approaches an integer multiple of $\Phi_0$.

In the limit of strong fields, $B \gg \Phi_0/d^2$, however, the scale $\ell_B^2/d$ on which the Josephson current density oscillates along the interface line, is much shorter than $d$ so that edge effects become significant. In the periods close to the edges at $y = \pm W/2$, electron and hole trajectories that do not collide with the side edges must then have

\[ \delta I_c \sim \frac{I_{ab} b_0}{\varphi / d}, \] (4)

of classical nature. Their typical amplitude,
incidence almost normal to the SN interfaces. The corresponding span of angles \( \alpha \), cf. Fig. 2, scales as \( 1/B \). This leads, for collision-free trajectories, to an enhanced \( 1/B^2 \) decay, cf. Eq. (3). For trajectories involving reflection from edges, our calculation predicts the same enhanced decay. We find the scaling \( I_c \propto 1/B^2 \) for both minima and maxima of \( I_c(\Phi) \), which thus are of same order for \( B \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \).

The crossover between the limits of weak and strong fields is embodied by the dependence of the function \( n(\{ \varphi \}, t) \) in Eq. (1) on its second argument. It is this additional dependence that embodies the presence of a characteristic field \( B \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \) and the different regimes associated with the effect of the edges. In Sec. IV, we obtain explicit results for the asymptotic behavior of the function \( n(\{ \varphi \}, t) \) in the limits of \( t \ll 1 \) and \( t \gg 1 \), which correspond to magnetic fields below and above the crossover region \( (B \sim \Phi_0/d^2) \). For the maxima of \( n(\{ \varphi \}, t) \) with respect to \( \{ \varphi \} \), we find

\[
\max_{\{ \varphi \}} n(\{ \varphi \}, t) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{t-1}, & t \ll 1 \\
\frac{1}{9\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{t-1}, & t \gg 1 
\end{cases} \quad \text{(8)}
\]

(Strictly speaking, the \( t \ll 1 \) behavior is realized for fluxes \( \Phi \) in the range \( \Phi_0 \ll \Phi \ll (W/d)\Phi_0 \).) The minima of \( n(\{ \varphi \}, t) \) with respect to \( \{ \varphi \} \) are finite at any \( t \),

\[
\min_{\{ \varphi \}} n(\{ \varphi \}, t) \simeq \begin{cases} 
\frac{f_0}{8} \frac{1}{t}, & t \ll 1 \\
\frac{1}{9\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{t-1}, & t \gg 1 
\end{cases} \quad \text{(9)}
\]

As was already mentioned, substantial deviations from the conventional Fraunhofer pattern occur at \( t \gg 1 \). Equations (8) and (9) summarize our results, which are fully presented in Eqs. (38), (44), (48), and (49). The constant \( f_0 \) is approximately equal to 0.22, cf. Eq. (42).

The hallmarks of the “modified Fraunhofer” pattern (1) are displayed graphically in Fig. 3. Despite qualitative and quantitative changes to \( I_c(\Phi) \) as compared to the conventional Fraunhofer pattern, the derivative \( dI_c/d\Phi \) remains discontinuous at the current minima.

A more subtle observation from the theory presented in the next sections is the “creep” of the minima of \( I_c(\Phi) \), shifting them away from integer multiples \( \Phi = n\Phi_0 \). Linear in \( B \) for \( B \ll \Phi_0/d^2 \), this shift saturates at the characteristic field, \( B \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \), to half a period. For large fields, \( B \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \), minima are thus situated at \( \Phi = (n+1/2)\Phi_0 \) while the maxima have shifted to integer multiples of \( \Phi_0 \). We may interpret this shift as a reflection of a crossover from the interference pattern of a (wide) single slit at low fields to an effective double-slit interference pattern at larger fields, at which the Josephson transport is dominated by the two side edges. We note that in the situation of edge transport in quantum spin Hall interfaces, for a similar reason, the periodicity in the magnetic interference pattern resembles that of a double slit as well.7,8

Randomness in scattering off the edges leads to mesoscopic, sample-to-sample fluctuations \( \delta I_c \) of the critical current. As long as \( \delta I_c \) remains small compared to the typical average critical current, Eq. (1), the latter effectively provides the description of the experimental observable. For a small-amplitude “classical” edge randomness, \( \lambda_F \ll b_0 \ll d \), mesoscopic fluctuations exceed the average current at a magnetic field \( B_x \sim \Phi_0/(b_0d) \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \), see Eq. (59). In higher fields, \( B \gtrsim B_x \), \( \delta I_c \), cf. Eqs. (4) and (58), defines both amplitude of mesoscopic fluctuations and the typical value of the critical current. The corresponding estimates for the diffusive edge scattering, corresponding to edge disorder with correlation length \( \sim \lambda_F \), are given by Eqs. (53) and (54) in Sec. V.

**III. SNS JUNCTION WITHOUT SIDE EDGES**

In this section, we rederive the formula\(^ {15} \) for the Josephson current through a long and wide SNS junction as depicted in Fig. 1 neglecting any effects due to the edges in \( y \)-direction. The derivation we present here is elementary and, unlike the one provided in Ref. 15, does not involve methods based on Matsubara Green’s functions. Realistic boundary conditions, which involve specular reflection, will be studied in Sec. IV within the semi-classical framework presented in this section.

**A. Josephson transport at zero magnetic field**

We obtain the energy spectrum of the SNS junction in Fig. 1 under consideration by solving the Boguliobov–de-

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) Semi-classical trajectories with fixed intersecting angle \( \alpha \) [related to momentum \( p_y \) by Eq. (14)] for various intersecting coordinates \( y \). For coordinates \( y_0 < y^* \), cf. Eq. (31), the trajectory is straight between the superconductors at which particles (solid lines) are Andreev-reflecting (AR) into holes (dashed lines). For \( y_0 > y^* \), trajectories involve additionally specular reflection (SR) from the side edge.
side-edge effects, the (non-zero) minima of $I_c$ have shifted to half-integer values of \( \varphi \), and the slight shift to the right due to scattering effects. Red dashed lines indicate the continuation of henceforth local maxima of $I_c(\chi_0, \varphi)$ as a function of $\chi_0$. (b) For larger flux $\varphi > W/d$, because of side-edge effects, the (non-zero) minima of $I_c(\varphi)$ have shifted to half-integer values of $\varphi$ and scale, like the maxima, as $1/\varphi^2$, cf. Eqs. (48) and (49). (c) Asymptotic “bell-shaped” curve (dash-dotted line) of Eq. (47), which is valid in the limit $\varphi \gg W/d \geq 1$.

Gennes equations:22

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2m} \nabla^2 - \varepsilon_F \psi_e + \Delta(x) \psi_h &= E \psi_e \\
\frac{1}{2m} \nabla^2 + \varepsilon_F \psi_h + \Delta^*(x) \psi_e &= E \psi_h .
\end{align*}
\]  

(If not stated otherwise, we set $\hbar = 1$ throughout the text.) In these equations, $m$ denotes the electronic (effective) mass, $\varepsilon_F$ is the Fermi energy, $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$, and $E$ the eigenenergy of the state represented by the particle and hole-type wave functions $\psi_e$ and $\psi_h$. The superconducting order parameter, in a symmetric gauge, has the form

\[
\Delta(x) = |\Delta| \Theta(|x| - d/2) e^{i \text{sgn}(x) \chi / 2} ,
\]  

where $\Theta$ denotes the Heaviside step function and

\[
\chi = \chi_R - \chi_L
\]  

the phase difference between the right and left superconductors. In the conventional BCS limit, $|\Delta| \ll \varepsilon_F$ or $\lambda_F \ll \xi$, the semi-classical approximation yields the energy levels below the superconducting gap $|\Delta|$ and the corresponding wave functions $\psi_e$ and $\psi_h$ for the important domains of momentum. In the normal region, these read

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_e^{n,\pm} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Wd}} e^{-\frac{n}{2} \mp i \frac{\pi}{4}} e^{\pm i (\hat{p}_F + E_{n,\pm}/\varepsilon_F) x} \sin \left( p_y \left( y + \frac{W}{2} \right) \right) , \\
\psi_h^{n,\pm} &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{Wd}} e^{\frac{i}{2} \mp i \frac{\pi}{4}} e^{\pm i (\hat{p}_F - E_{n,\pm}/\varepsilon_F) x} \sin \left( p_y \left( y + \frac{W}{2} \right) \right) .
\end{align*}
\]  

The quantities $\hat{p}_F = p_F \sin \alpha$ and $\hat{v}_F = v_F \sin \alpha$ denote a “reduced” Fermi momentum and a “reduced” Fermi velocity, respectively, with the angle $\alpha$ defined by

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{p_y}{p_F} .
\]  

The eigenenergies $E_{n,\pm}$, where index $n$ is an integer and $\pm$ distinguishes the sectors with $p_x > 0$ and $p_x < 0$, are given by

\[
\pm \frac{2E_{n,\pm} \tilde{d}}{v_F} = 2\pi \hbar \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + h\chi ,
\]  

restoring Planck’s constant $\hbar$ for a moment. In this formula,

\[
\tilde{d} = \frac{d}{\sin \alpha}
\]  

is the length of a semi-classical trajectory cutting the $y$-axis at the angle $\alpha$, cf. Fig. 2.

We should interpret Eq. (15) as semi-classical Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization rule for a particle-hole pair counter-propagating along a trajectory of length $d$, cf. also the related discussion in Ref. 23. This interpretation will also allow for the generalization to $y$-dependent phase differences $\chi$ and semi-classical trajectories involving scattering off side edges. For a specific trajectory, the phase difference $\chi$ that enters the quantization rule (15) will be determined by the local phases of the superconducting condensate at the points of Andreev reflection.

The semi-classical approximation introduced above is valid as long as the wave number $k_x$ in $x$-direction is much larger than $1/d$. From Eq. (13), we infer the effective wave numbers of the Andreev states,

\[
k_x = p_F \sin \alpha \pm \frac{E_{n,\pm}}{v_F \sin \alpha} .
\]
The bounds of the Andreev spectrum are given by $\pm |\Delta|$. We thus find that as long as $\sin^2 \alpha \gg |\Delta|/\varepsilon_F$, the effective wave number is of order $p_F$, i.e., $\gg 1/d$, and the semi-classical approximation is thus valid. Its validity breaks down for momenta $p_y$ very close to $\pm p_F$ such that $1 - (p_y/p_F)^2 \lesssim |\Delta|/\varepsilon_F$. These momenta, however, occupy only a small phase space domain that is unimportant for the Josephson current.

$$J(\chi) = \frac{-e}{4m} \int_{-W/2}^{W/2} dy \int_{-p_F}^{p_F} \frac{dp_y}{2\pi/W} \sum_{n,\varphi=\pm} \text{Im} \left\{ f(E_{n\varphi}) \left[ \psi_{c,n\varphi}^* \partial_x \psi_{c,n\varphi} + [1 - f(E_{n\varphi})] \psi_{h,n\varphi}^* \partial_x \psi_{h,n\varphi}^* \right] \right\}_{x=0}, \quad (18)$$

where $f(\varepsilon) = [\exp(\varepsilon/k_B T) + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function. Keeping the temperature $T$ non-zero provides a natural regularization of the sum over energy levels $n$, and allows one to avoid the appearance of spurious terms associated with the non-analyticity of the density of states at energy $E = |\Delta|$. Following the method detailed in Refs. [15] and [25], we convert the right-hand side of Eq. (18) to a sum over Matsubara frequencies. It becomes evident then, that the leading contribution to the current comes from the energy interval controlled by the largest of the two scales, $T$ and $\hbar v_F/d$ (both are small compared to $|\Delta|$). Taking the zero-temperature limit, we find a sawtooth-shaped current function,

$$J(\chi) = I_0 \left\{ \frac{\chi}{\pi} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ \Theta \left( \frac{\chi}{\pi} - (2j + 1) \right) \right. \right.$$  

$$\left. - \Theta \left( -\frac{\chi}{\pi} - (2j + 1) \right) \right\}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$I_0 = \frac{e v_F p_F W}{d} \quad (20)$$

is the Josephson critical current at zero external field. The first factor in Eq. (20) corresponds to the current in a one-dimensional link while the second factor reflects the various transverse channels in the two-dimensional junction.

2. Long-wavelength phase variations

In order to generalize formula (19) to non-zero magnetic fields, let us address the situation in which the phases $\chi_R$ and $\chi_L$ of the superconducting condensates at the interfaces vary “slowly” as a function of $y$ such that it is possible to adiabatically decouple the motion in $x$ and $y$-directions.

With the knowledge of the wave functions (13), we convert the general current formula (18), oscillate at the length scale $p_y^{-1}$. As this length is typically of the order of the Fermi wavelength, $p_y^{-1} \sim \lambda_F$, the notion of “slow” variations implies variations on a much larger scale $\ell \gg \lambda_F$ over which the $y$-dependence of the wave functions is effectively a constant as the fast oscillations on the scale $\lambda_F$ vanish on average. In this situation, the formula (18) for Josephson current is effectively reduced to the simpler form

$$I(\chi_0) = \frac{2}{p_F W} \int_{-W/2}^{W/2} dy \int_{-p_F}^{p_F} \frac{dp_y}{2\pi} \frac{d}{d} J(\chi_0 + \delta \chi(y, p_y)), \quad (21)$$

where $J(\chi)$ is given by Eq. (19), $\chi_0$ denotes the average phase difference along the SNS interface, and $\delta \chi(y, p_y)$ is a variation that is slow in the sense discussed above. The effective length $\ell$ of the semi-classical trajectories depends on momentum $p_y$ according to Eqs. (16) and (14).

Intuitively, let us think of the integral in Eq. (21), which is an integral over classical phase space $(y, p_y)$, as the sum over current contributions from all semiclassical trajectories that connect the two superconductors through the normal region. In fact, each phase space point $(y, p_y)$ determines the unique trajectory crossing the $y$-axis at point $y$ with the angle $\alpha$ given by Eq. (14), cf. also Fig. 2.

B. Non-zero magnetic field

Let us now address the situation of a non-zero perpendicular magnetic field $B$, assumed to be constant in the normal layer and to fall sharply to zero at the boundaries to the superconducting regions. This assumption corresponds to a short London penetration depth in the sense of $\lambda_L \ll \min(d, \ell_B/d)$. Following Ref. [15], we choose a
gauge for the vector potential such that \( A = A_y e_y \) with
\[
A_y = \begin{cases} 
-Bx, & \frac{d}{2} < x < \frac{d}{2}, \\
-\frac{1}{2}Bd \operatorname{sgn} x, & |x| > \frac{d}{2}.
\end{cases}
\] (22)

The condition of zero screening current in the bulk superconductor and the limit of \( \lambda_B \rightarrow 0 \) require the superconducting phase at the interfaces \( (x = \pm d/2) \) to become functions of \( y \),
\[
\chi_{R/L} = \pm \frac{1}{2} \left( \chi_0 - \frac{2\pi \varphi y}{W} \right) ,
\] (23)
where \( \varphi \) measures the magnetic flux \( \Phi = BdW \) penetrating the normal layer in units of the flux quantum,
\[
\varphi = \frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} .
\] (24)

In the situation of not too large magnetic fields, such that the cyclotron radius \( \tau_B \) remains much larger than \( d \), the semi-classical trajectories may be assumed to be straight lines rather than circular orbits. In this approximation, used in Ref. 15, the magnetic field enters the formalism only through the phase dependence on \( y \), Eq. (23), or, speaking in gauge-invariant terms, through the total flux in the normal layer. In the present geometry, cf. Fig. 2, and in the notation of Eq. (21), we find that
\[
\delta \chi = -\frac{2\pi \varphi y}{W} ,
\] (25)
which, in the limit \( \ell_B \gg \lambda_F \), constitutes an indeed slow spatial variation of the phase difference.

Inserting Eq. (25) into Eq. (21) and carrying out the integration for \(-\pi < \chi_0 < \pi\), we obtain
\[
I(\chi_0, \varphi) = \frac{I_0}{\varphi} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 
\kappa(1 - \{\varphi\}, \chi_0/\pi) & \text{for } \{\varphi\} \text{ even} \\
-\kappa(\{\varphi\}, \chi_0/\pi) & \text{for } \{\varphi\} \text{ odd}
\end{array} \right.
\] (26)
where \( \{\varphi\} \) denotes the integer part of \( \varphi \), such that \( \varphi = [\varphi] + \{\varphi\} \), and we have defined
\[
\kappa(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} (|u + v| - |u - v| - 2uv) .
\] (27)
For given \( \varphi \), the Josephson critical current is defined to be the maximum of \( I(\chi_0, \varphi) \) with respect to \( \chi_0 \),
\[
I_c(\varphi) = \max_{\chi_0} I(\chi_0, \varphi) ,
\] (28)
and is easily found using Eqs. (26) and (27). This leads to a rather simple formula for \( I_c \) as a function of \( \varphi = \Phi/\Phi_0 \),
\[
I_c(\varphi) = \frac{I_0}{\varphi} \{\varphi\}(1 - \{\varphi\}) ,
\] (29)
cf. Eq. (2). Formula (29) was first reported in Ref. 15. It is plotted in Fig. 3(a) in comparison with the results including scattering off side edges, which we derive in the next section.

IV. EFFECTS OF SCATTERING OFF SIDE EDGES

In this section, we generalize the earlier result (29) of Ref. 15 by taking into account reflections from the side edges. We assume here clean edges from which reflection is specular. Random edges will be addressed in Sec. V. In the limit of a small magnetic field, \( B \ll \Phi_0/d^2 \), equivalently \( \varphi \ll W/d \), side edge effects are perturbative corrections but become dominant in the opposite limit \( B \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \).

A. Semi-classical geometric picture

We adopt the semi-classical picture of straight trajectories, assuming small enough magnetic fields that allow us to neglect the curvature of the orbits. If the junction’s width \( W \) and hence the ratio of \( W \) to its length \( d \) are finite, a subset of the semi-classical trajectories has to involve one or multiple reflections from the side edges. In a first step, we should classify the total set of trajectories into subsets of trajectories with the same number of reflections from the side edges. Since each trajectory corresponds to a point in the classical phase space \((y, p_y)\), cf. Eq. (21), this amounts to subdividing the classical phase space into different regions characterized by the integer number of side reflections.

Let us restrict ourselves to the positive quadrant, \( y > 0 \) and \( p_y > 0 \), for the moment. As will become evident shortly, trajectories with more than one reflection contribute only subleading corrections to the current. It is thus sufficient to restrict ourselves to momenta \( p_y \) corresponding to angles \( \alpha \) with \( \tan \alpha > d/W \), cf. Fig. 2, i.e.,
\[
p_y < \frac{p_F}{\sqrt{1 + (d/W)^2}} .
\] (30)
This inequality, in combination with Eq. (21), already shows that neglecting trajectories with more reflections merely adds up to a small error of order \((d/W)^2\) in the calculation of the current. Intuitively, we understand this quadratic smallness as trajectories with more than one reflection appear only in a small angular domain, \( \alpha \lesssim d/W \), and furthermore contribute a much smaller current because of their large length \( \sim W \).

For fixed \( p_y \), and hence fixed angle \( \alpha \), we find that a trajectory features no reflections as long as \( y < y^*(\alpha) \) with
\[
y^*(\alpha) = \frac{W}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{W \tan \alpha} \right) .
\] (31)
Trajectories with \( y^*(\alpha) < y < W/2 \) feature exactly one reflection, cf. Fig. 2.

The phase difference \( \chi_0 + \delta \chi(y, p_y) \), which enters the semi-classical quantization rule (15), depends crucially on whether the trajectory is straight or involves reflection
from a side edge. In the former case, i.e., for $y < y^*(\alpha)$, Eq. (25) still applies whereas for trajectories featuring a single reflection the phase difference $\chi_0 + \delta \chi$ becomes independent of $y$:

$$\delta \chi = - \frac{2\pi \varphi}{W} y^*(\alpha)$$

(32)

for $y^*(\alpha) < y < W/2$. Through $\alpha$, the phase difference $\delta \chi$ depends on $p_y$ though, cf. Eq. (14). The different dependence of the phase on the phase space coordinates is what distinguishes semi-classical trajectories with and without reflections.

Equation (21) now allows us to immediately write down an expression for the current contribution due to semi-classical states $(y, p_y)$ with $y > 0$ and $p_y > 0$. The other phase space quadrants lead to analogous contributions as we note that spatial reflection $y \leftrightarrow -y$ converts $\delta \chi$ to $-\delta \chi$ while momentum reflection $p_y \leftrightarrow -p_y$ leaves $\delta \chi$, and hence the current, invariant.

B. Current formula

As a result of the discussion of the preceding section, we represent the total zero-temperature Josephson current through the SNS junction, Eq. (21), as the sum of contributions from semi-classical trajectories with zero reflections and those with one reflection,

$$I(\chi_0, \varphi) = I_0(\chi_0, \varphi) + I_1(\chi_0, \varphi) .$$

(33)

Technically, they appear as we divide the $y$-integrals in Eq. (21) at $y = y^*$, Eq. (31), with the domain $y < y^*$ yielding $I_0$ and $y > y^*$ yielding $I_1$.

Evaluating the current contributions $I_0$ and $I_1$ separately, we find

$$I_0(\chi_0, \varphi) = \frac{2I_\alpha}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{\chi_0}{2} - \frac{d}{W} \frac{\chi_0}{\pi} - \frac{d}{W} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ F_0 \left( \frac{W/d}{\varphi} \left( \frac{\chi_0}{\pi} - (2j + 1) + \varphi \right) \right) - F_0 \left( \frac{W/d}{\varphi} \left( -\frac{\chi_0}{\pi} - (2j + 1) + \varphi \right) \right) \right] \right\} ,$$

(34)

$$I_1(\chi_0, \varphi) = \frac{2I_\alpha}{\pi} \left\{ \frac{d}{W} \frac{\chi_0}{\pi} - \frac{d}{W} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ F_1 \left( \frac{W/d}{\varphi} \left( \frac{\chi_0}{\pi} - (2j + 1) + \varphi \right) \right) - F_1 \left( \frac{W/d}{\varphi} \left( -\frac{\chi_0}{\pi} - (2j + 1) + \varphi \right) \right) \right] \right\} ,$$

(35)

with $F_l(u) = \Theta(u) F_l(u)$ for $l = 1, 2$ and

$$F_0(u) = u \arctan u ,$$

(36)

$$F_1(u) = u^2/(1 + u^2) .$$

(37)

The Josephson current (33) together with Eqs. (34) and (35) is an odd function of the phase, $I(\chi_0, \varphi) = -I(-\chi_0, \varphi)$, which also follows immediately from the assumed reflection symmetries with respect to $x$ and $y$-axes (and spin-rotational symmetry).

Calculating the Josephson current numerically for a given ratio $W/d$ by means of the above formulas, we find for the Josephson critical current $I_c$, Eq. (28), as a function of flux $\varphi$ the “modified Fraunhofer pattern” shown in Fig. 3. In the following, we are going to study the function $I_c(\varphi)$ analytically in the two limiting cases $\varphi \ll W/d$ and $\varphi \gg W/d$.

C. Josephson current at small field $B \ll \Phi_0/d^2$

In the small field regime, $B \ll \Phi_0/d^2$ or $\varphi \ll W/d$, the typical value $I_c/\varphi$ of the Josephson critical current in the absence of edge effects, cf. Eq. (29), is much larger than corrections due to scattering off side edges, whose contribution to the current is smaller by a factor of $W/d \gg 1$.

$$I_c(\varphi) = \frac{I_\alpha}{4\varphi} .$$

(38)

This formula corresponds to the upper line in Eq. (8).

Reflection corrections to $I_c(\varphi)$ close to its maxima and also for $\varphi \ll 1$ are small. For fluxes $\varphi \ll W/d$, these corrections merely lead to slight roundings of the peaks in the $\chi_0$-dependence of $I(\chi_0, \varphi)$, cf. Fig. 4(a). These roundings induce only subleading corrections of relative order $d/W$ to Eq. (38).
FIG. 4. (Color online) Josephson current as a function of average phase difference phase difference $\chi_0$ for various magnetic fluxes $\varphi$ in the regime $\varphi \ll W/d$ for here $W/d = 20$. (a) The current at fluxes between integer multiples of the flux quantum is adequately described by Eq. (26) as corrections in $d/W$ merely lead to slightly rounding the peaks. (b) Upper curve: Non-zero Josephson current at $\varphi = 1$, cf. Eq. (39). Lower curve: The critical current $I_c$ becomes minimal at slightly greater $\varphi = \varphi_1 \approx 1.007$, cf. Eq. (43) and the discussion in Sec. IV C 3.

2. Critical current at integer multiples of $\Phi_0$

According to Eq. (29), if we ignore effects of reflection from side edges, there is no net Josephson current whenever the magnetic flux $\Phi$ equals an integer multiple of the flux quantum $\Phi_0$. Including these effects, we find with Eqs. (34) and (35), that the Josephson current for positive integer $\varphi = \Phi/\Phi_0$ is non-zero, although small in the aspect ratio $d/W$. This smallness reflects its origin at the edges. For odd integer, $\varphi = 2n + 1$ with $n \ll W/d$, we find

$$I(\chi_0, \varphi = 2n + 1) = \frac{I_{c0}}{W/d} \left[ \frac{W/d \chi_0}{\varphi} - \frac{2}{\pi} F\left(\frac{W/d \chi_0}{\varphi}\right) \right],$$

(39)

which is plotted in Fig. 4(b) for $\varphi = 1$. Formula (39) is written for $0 < \chi_0 < \pi$, and we have introduced the function

$$F(u) = F_0(u) + F_1(u),$$

(40)
cf. Eqs. (36) and (37). For even integer, $\varphi = 2n$, an analogous expression is obtained with $\chi_0$ replaced by $\pi - \chi_0$ and with the opposite overall sign. For this reason, in order to study the Josephson critical current, it is sufficient to consider fluxes $\varphi$ at and close to odd integers.

Inspecting Eq. (39), we find that the current is maximal at average phase difference $\chi_0 = u_0 \pi (d/W) \varphi$ with $u_0 \approx 0.55$ defined by $F'(u_0) = \pi/2$. The maximal current at integer $\varphi \ll W/d$ is

$$I_c(\varphi \in \mathbb{N}) \approx f_0 \frac{I_{c0}}{W/d},$$

(41)

where the numerical coefficient $f_0$ is defined by

$$f_0 = u_0 - \frac{2F(u_0)}{\pi} \approx 0.22,$$

(42)

Remarkably, the critical current $I_c$ at integer $\varphi$ is non-zero, which contrasts the prediction of Eq. (29) that has been derived neglecting reflection effects. We note that the current $I_c$ at integer $\varphi$ is (to leading order) independent of the value of the magnetic flux $\varphi$.

3. Minima of the critical current

In the preceding section, we saw that due to effects of reflection off side edges the zeros in the Fraunhofer-type pattern (29) are lifted to small but non-zero values, cf. Eq. (41). The dependence of current $I(\chi_0, \varphi)$ on $\chi_0 > 0$ at $\varphi = 1$ is presented by the upper curve in Fig. 4(b). Fixing $\varphi$ at a slightly higher value additionally brings a bulk contribution to $I(\chi_0, \varphi)$, as described by Eq. (29). That contribution is negative, cf. the lower panel in Fig. 4(a), and therefore results in a highly non-monotonic current dependence on $\chi_0$, attaining both positive and negative values. With the increase of flux, the maximum at $\chi_1$, see Fig. 4(b), is decreasing, while the absolute value of the minimum at $\chi_2$ is increasing. For a specific value of flux $\varphi = \varphi_1$, we reach a point at which $I(\chi_1, \varphi_1) = I(\chi_2, \varphi_1)$. That flux $\varphi_1$ corresponds to the minimal value of the critical current $I_c$, Eq. (28), cf. the lower curve in Fig. 4(b). The value $\varphi_1$ only slightly exceeds 1 by an amount $\sim d/W$. A similar picture is true for each of the critical current minima in the weak-field regime $B \ll \Phi_0/d^2$.

An analytical investigation of these effects based on Eqs. (34) and (35) shows that the minima of the Josephson critical current $I_c(\varphi)$ occur at fluxes

$$\varphi_m \approx \left[1 + \frac{f_0}{2W/d}\right]^m,$$

(43)

with $m$ being a non-zero integer, and amount to

$$\min I_c(\varphi) \approx \frac{f_0}{2} \frac{I_{c0}}{W/d},$$

(44)

with the numerical coefficient $f_0$ given by Eq. (42).

On top of being non-zero, the values of the minima, Eq. (44), are notably independent of $\varphi$. From this result, we obtain the upper line of Eq. (9). Equation (43) furthermore predicts a “period” of the “modified Fraunhofer” pattern that is slightly increased from one flux quantum by the geometry-dependent amount of $f_0 d/2W$ flux quanta.

We observe that, being independent of $B$ to leading order, the minima of the Josephson critical current, Eq. (44), do not scale with $B$ in parallel with the maxima, which according to Eq. (38) fall as $\propto 1/B$. Comparing the two mentioned equations, we immediately understand that the results in this section break down at fluxes $\varphi \sim W/d$. For $\varphi \gg W/d$, we have to expect a different scaling behavior, which we will study in the next section.
D. Josephson current at large field \( B \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \)

When the magnetic field is large, \( B \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \) or equivalently \( \varphi \gg W/d \), the Josephson current in the bulk averages to zero for any \( \{ \varphi \} \) and finite contributions arise inside a strip of width \( d \) at the side edges only. Here, the question whether a particle propagating along a semi-classical trajectory undergoes reconnection from the side edges or not is essential. As a result, Eq. (29), even in combination with the small alterations discussed in the preceding section, is no longer applicable. We emphasize, though, that the magnetic field is still assumed small enough such that the cyclotron radius is large, \( r_B \gg d \), so that the system is not yet in the quantum Hall regime of skipping Andreev orbits at the edge.\(^{16}\)

In the limit \( \varphi \gg W/d \), Eqs. (34) and (35) allow us to extract an analytical expression for the Josephson current. Let us split from the dimensionless flux \( \varphi \) the even-integer part,

\[ \varphi = 2(n + \nu) \quad (45) \]

where \( n \gg 1 \) is an integer and \( 0 < \nu < 1 \). Inserting Eq. (45) into Eqs. (34) and (35) and using the Euler-Maclaurin formula to evaluate the sums in \( j \) between 0 and \( n - 1 \), we find that

\[
I_0(\chi_0, \varphi) \simeq I_1(\chi_0, \varphi) \simeq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{I_c \ell_B^2}{\varphi} \frac{\chi_0}{d^2} \left( \frac{\chi_0}{\varphi} \right)^2 - (1 - 12\nu^2) \right] - \Theta\left( \frac{\chi_0}{\varphi} - (1 - 2\nu) \right) \frac{\chi_0}{\varphi} (1 - 2\nu)^2 \\
+ \Theta \left( - \frac{\chi_0}{\varphi} (1 - 2\nu) \right) \frac{\chi_0}{\varphi} (1 - 2\nu)^2 \right) .
\]

\[(46)\]

FIG. 5. (Color online) Josephson current \( I \) as a function of the average phase difference \( \chi_0 \) for various magnetic fluxes between \( \varphi = 2n \) and \( \varphi = 2n + 1 \), where \( n \) is an integer number \( \gg W/d \). Current is measured in units of \( \max I_c(\varphi) \) as given in Eq. (48).

Interestingly, in the large-field limit, one half of the total Josephson current \( I = I_1 + I_2 \) is due to straight trajectories and one half due to trajectories with one reflection from the side edges. The Josephson current \( I \propto \ell_B^2/\varphi \) falls as \( 1/B^2 \), in contrast to the \( 1/B \)-behavior in the usual Fraunhofer pattern.

Figure 5 shows the Josephson current as a function of the average phase difference \( \chi_0 \) for various magnetic fluxes between two neighboring integer multiples of the flux quantum. It illustrates that the critical current \( I_c \), Eq. (28), is largest for integer multiples of the flux quantum and minimal at half-integer multiples. This constitutes a “shift” by half a flux quantum from the usual Fraunhofer pattern and thus resembles the interference pattern in a double-slit geometry. The distance between two neighboring minima is asymptotically equal to one, the typical value expected for interference patterns, and thus slightly shorter than the value we have found for the small-field regime \( B \ll \Phi_0/d^2 \), cf. Eq. (43).

From Eq. (46), we find that the Josephson critical current, Eq. (28), for \( \varphi \) at around integer \( n \) is given by

\[
I_c(\varphi) \simeq \frac{8}{9\pi \sqrt{3}} \frac{I_c \ell_B^2}{\varphi} \frac{[1 - 12\nu^2(\varphi)]^{3/2}}{d^2} ,
\]

\[(47)\]

with \( \nu(\varphi) = \varphi/2 - n \). Formula (47) corresponds to “bell-shaped” curves as depicted in Fig. 3(c). As Eq. (47) describes a maximum of \( I_c(\chi_0, \varphi) \) with respect to \( \chi_0 \) for \( \nu(\varphi) \) between \(-1/(2\sqrt{3})\) and \(1/(2\sqrt{3})\), “bells” from neighboring integer values overlap. Thus, the minima in \( I_c(\varphi) \) at half-integer values are still kinks, cf. Fig. 3(c).

The values of the maxima of the critical current, occurring at integer \( \varphi \), amount to

\[
\max I_c(\varphi) \simeq \frac{8}{9\pi \sqrt{3}} \frac{I_c \ell_B^2}{\varphi} \frac{[1 - 12\nu^2(\varphi)]^{3/2}}{d^2} ,
\]

\[(48)\]

while the minima, situated at half-integer \( \varphi \), take the values

\[
\min I_c(\varphi) \simeq \frac{1}{9\pi \sqrt{3}} \frac{I_c \ell_B^2}{\varphi} \frac{[1 - 12\nu^2(\varphi)]^{3/2}}{d^2} .
\]

Minima and maxima are thus related to each other as \( \min I_c = \max I_c/8 \). This shows that in the large-field regime, they scale with the magnetic field \( B \) in the same manner, as expressed by Eq. (3). In particular, \( I_c(\varphi) \) features no zeros.
V. DISORDERED EDGES AND MESOSCOPIC FLUCTUATIONS

So far, we have been assuming a perfectly clean and rectangular normal layer in the SNS junction. While clean normal bulks become increasingly realizable in experiment by using, e.g., (gated) graphene as the normal metal material, the assumption of clean edges is considerably more difficult to meet experimentally. In this section, we are relaxing this assumption and consider reflection from edges of irregular random shape instead.

A. Rough edges

For very rough side edges, i.e., edge disorder with correlation length much shorter than $\lambda_F$, we may follow the early work by Fuchs on the electronic conductivity in thin metallic layers.\(^{20}\) In this model, the roughness of the edge is modeled by treating the reflection angle $\alpha'$, cf. Equations (48) and (49) complement the asymptotic analysis of the function $\eta((\varphi), d^2/\ell_B^2)$, Eq. (1), of the “modified Fraunhofer” pattern describing the Josephson critical current in SNS junctions of finite lengths $d$. Figure 6 illustrates the two regimes of small and large magnetic field as well as the crossover at magnetic fields $B \sim \Phi_0/d^2$.

Equations (48) and (49) describe the asymptotic behavior of the function $\eta((\varphi), d^2/\ell_B^2)$, Eq. (1), of the “modified Fraunhofer” pattern describing the Josephson critical current in SNS junctions of finite lengths $d$. Figure 6 illustrates the two regimes of small and large magnetic field as well as the crossover at magnetic fields $B \sim \Phi_0/d^2$. 

Fig. 7(a), of each semi-classical trajectory that collides with the side edges as an independent random variable. Random trajectories contribute to the current for reflection angles $\alpha'$ between 0 and $\pi/2$. We assume that the random variable $\alpha'$ is distributed uniformly in this interval and obtain the Josephson current $I_1(\chi)$, cf. Eq. (33), by averaging over all configurations $(y, p_y, \alpha')$.

The current contribution $I_1(\chi, \varphi)$ can be computed using Eq. (21) with $d$ and $\delta \chi$ now being functions also of the random variable $\alpha'$. For positive $p_y$ and $y > y^*$, cf. Eq. (31),

$$\tilde{d} = \frac{d + (W - 2y) \tan \alpha}{2 \sin \alpha} + \frac{d - (W - 2y) \tan \alpha'}{2 \sin \alpha'},$$

$$\delta \chi = -\pi \varphi \left[ 1 - \frac{d \cot \alpha - (W - 2y)}{2W} \right] \frac{d \cot \alpha + (W - 2y) \cot \alpha'}{2W \cot \alpha},$$

which for diffusive reflection with $\alpha' \neq \alpha$ differs from Eqs. (16) and (32). Averaging over $\alpha'$ has to be done for each phase space point $(y, p_y)$. Then, the additional integration over $\alpha'$, as we may expect, should lead to an extra factor of order $(W/d) / \varphi \ll 1$ in the regime of large flux $\varphi \gg W/d$. This is in fact confirmed by numerical simulations, cf. Fig. 7(b). Asymptotically, for $\varphi \gg W/d$, we thus find that the contribution due to trajectories including reflection from rough edges is smaller than the contribution due to straight trajectories, $I_1 \approx I_0(W/d)/\varphi \ll I_0$. As a result, only the latter
contribution persists. This leads to

$$\max I_c(\varphi) \simeq \frac{4}{9\pi \sqrt{3}} \frac{I_0 E_B^2}{\varphi d^2}, \quad \min I_c(\varphi) \simeq \frac{1}{8} \max I_c(\varphi)$$

(52)

instead of the clean-edge results (48) and (49), to which $I_1$ contributed equally. We find that due to roughness in the edges, the (average) Josephson current is smaller by a factor of (at most) two. Our earlier results building on the assumption of clean edges thus remain qualitatively valid.

For small flux, $\varphi \ll W/d$, Eqs. (38) and (44) are found to remain valid apart from minor alterations of the numerical coefficient $f_0$.

B. Mesoscopic fluctuations

Until now, our study has addressed the average Josephson critical current $I_c(\varphi)$. Disorder, as induced by the randomness in the edges, results in sample-to-sample (mesoscopic) fluctuations that blur the average value $I_c$. If the mesoscopic fluctuations become strong, corresponding to a standard deviation $\delta I_c \sim I_c$, the average value is no longer a representative experimental quantity.

According to Eqs. (48), (49), and (52), the average current varies with flux as $I_c \propto 1/\varphi^2$. In contrast, as we will see, $\delta I_c$ drops more slowly with increasing $\varphi$. This results in the existence of a crossover scale $\varphi_\sigma$ in the magnetic flux, at which $I_c(\varphi_\sigma) \sim \delta I_c(\varphi_\sigma)$. For larger flux, mesoscopic fluctuations dominate the Josephson current. In this section, we are providing estimates for the scale $\varphi_\sigma$.

1. Quantum mesoscopic fluctuations

Randomness in the edge can be characterized by means of a correlation length $\lambda_\varphi$. In the Fuchs model used in Sec. VA, reflection angles of any pair of different trajectories are uncorrelated, which corresponds to a vanishing correlation length $\lambda_\varphi = 0$ In this situation, mesoscopic fluctuations vanish as well. This remains essentially true as long as $\lambda_\varphi \ll \lambda_F$.

For edge disorder with correlation length $\lambda_\varphi \sim \lambda_F$, quantum effects start inflicting fluctuations $\delta I_c$ to the critical Josephson current. As these arise in narrow strips close to the edges at $y = \pm W/2$, we may estimate their order of magnitude by adopting the known results from one-dimensional SNS junctions,\(^{28-30}\)

$$\delta I_c \sim \frac{e}{\tau_{\text{Th}}},$$

(53)

where $\tau_{\text{Th}}$ is the electron traversal (i.e., Thouless) time. We use $\tau_{\text{Th}} \sim d/v_F$, as we assume ballistic transport through the normal-state region.

The fluctuations $\delta I_c$, Eq. (53), are notably independent of the magnetic field $B$. Therefore, at large enough fields, they will eventually dominate over the average value $I_c$, which drops as $1/B^2$. The average value $I_c$ remains meaningful as long as $B \ll B_\sigma^{\text{qu}}$, with the crossover scale given by

$$B_\sigma^{\text{qu}} \sim \frac{\Phi_0}{d^2} \sqrt{\frac{d}{\lambda_F}}.$$  

(54)

Under the conditions (6), the crossover to strong mesoscopic fluctuations occurs deep in the regime of the dominant edge effect, $B_\sigma^{\text{qu}} \gg \Phi_0/d^2$.

Expressing the magnetic field $B_\sigma^{\text{qu}}$, Eq. (54), in terms of the number $n_\sigma$ of filled Landau levels, we find $n_\sigma \sim (d/\lambda_F)^{3/2} \gg 1$. Thus, mesoscopic fluctuations become dominant for magnetic fields much lower than those needed to enter a quantum Hall regime of (Andreev) edge transport. It means that the quantum Hall effect in an SNS junction develops in a non-universal way determined by mesoscopic fluctuations.

2. Classical mesoscopic fluctuations

If the shape of the disordered edge varies on a characteristic scale $\lambda_0$ exceeding the “quantum” scale $\sqrt{\lambda_F}$, the crossover at $B_\sigma^{\text{qu}}$ specified by Eq. (54) is replaced by a crossover into a regime of classical mesoscopic fluctuations occurring at a weaker field $B_\sigma^c$. In the picture of semi-classical trajectories, which is analogous to geometric optics, a edge smoothly varying on a scale $\lambda_0$ works as a curved mirror, cf. Fig. 7(c). Specular reflection from it, in general, introduces focal points and caustics into the ray optics, as illustrated in the figure: An ensemble of rays originating from the same point at the far SN interface does not strike the other interface homogeneously but tends to bunch into inhomogeneously distributed “species”. The random arrangement of species is a possible source of enhanced mesoscopic fluctuations in the current.

In order to study the classical mesoscopic fluctuations analytically, we choose the following model of a specular fluctuating edge with random curvature: Introducing a function $b(x)$ with values of order $b_0 \ll d$ and varying on the scale $\lambda_0 \ll d$, cf. Fig. 7(c), we describe the edge at $y = W/2$ to be the graph of the function $x \mapsto y = W/2 + b(x)$. The edge function $b(x)$ is assumed to be random with a Gaussian distribution characterized by zero mean, $\langle b(x) \rangle = 0$, and auto-correlation

$$\langle b(x)b(x') \rangle = b_0^2 e^{-|x-x'|/\lambda_0}.$$  

(55)

We furthermore assume $b_0 \ll \lambda_0$, which implies that the local angle $\beta(x)$ between the disordered edge and the straight normal line is small,

$$\beta(x) \simeq \partial_x b(x) \ll 1.$$  

(56)
For a given configuration \( \{b(x)\} \) of the edge, the Josephson current \( I_J(\chi, \varphi) \) due to trajectories with side edge scattering is then obtained from Eq. (21) with the spatial integral restricted to \( y > y^* \), cf. Eq. (31), and where \( d \) and \( \delta \chi \) are given by Eq. (51). Here, however, the angle \( \alpha' \) entering the effective length of the trajectory and phase difference is explicitly given by
\[
\alpha' = \alpha + 2\beta(\frac{1}{2}W - y)\tan \alpha ,
\]
corresponding to specular reflection with respect to the local orientation of the edge function \( b(x) \). Disorder averaging is carried out by averaging over all configurations \( \{b(x)\} \) using Eq. (55).

The analytical procedure specified above in principle allows us to calculate the average Josephson current \( \langle I_J \rangle \) as well as any moment \( \langle I_J^n \rangle \). Practically, the non-analyticity of the function \( J(\chi) \), Eq. (19), constitutes a complication, which we may heal by choosing the analytic “model function” \( J(\chi) = I_0 \sin \chi \) instead. Neglecting all harmonics higher than the first one is an uncontrollable approximation but should nevertheless provide the correct order of magnitude for estimates. In particular, if we had adopted this approximation throughout our analysis, we would have encountered the same qualitative crossover behavior of the average current at \( B \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \) as found in the preceding sections. The assumed analytic shape of \( J(\chi) \) simplifies the analysis as it allows for simple expansions in small quantities such as \( \beta(x) \), Eq. (56).

Fluctuations have most drastic consequences close to the minima of the critical current. Setting \( \chi = \pi/2 \) and assuming half-integer \( \varphi \) (in the regime \( \varphi \gg W/d \)), we find using the above described procedure that the classical mesoscopic fluctuations are characterized by standard deviation
\[
\delta I_c \sim \frac{4\sqrt{2}I_0}{\pi^2} \frac{b_0}{\varphi} \frac{\varphi}{d} ,
\]
Eq. (4). Note that \( \delta I_c \propto 1/B \) falls off with the magnetic field slower than the average current does, cf. Eqs. (48) and (49). Whereas Eq. (58) formally is independent of the disorder correlation length \( \lambda_b \), an implicit dependence is given by the fact that it has been derived assuming \( b_0 \ll \lambda_b \). At the border of applicability, the typical angle \( \beta_0 \sim b_0/\lambda_b \sim 1 \), and Eq. (58) predicts a \( \delta I_c \propto \lambda_b \) scaling.

The mesoscopic fluctuations (58) become of the same order as the maxima of the critical current \( I_{c}(\varphi) \), cf. Eq. (48), for magnetic fields \( B \sim B_{c1}^\sigma \) with
\[
B_{c1}^\sigma \sim \frac{\Phi_0}{d^2} \frac{d}{b_0} \sim \frac{\Phi_0}{d^2} \frac{d}{\beta_0 \lambda_b} .
\]
Eq. (59).

Comparing it with Eq. (54), we see that indeed \( B_{c1}^\sigma \lesssim B_{c2}^\sigma \) if the parameter \( b_0 = \beta_0 \lambda_b \) is greater than \( \sqrt{\lambda_b d} \). In either case, under the conditions (6), the crossover to strong mesoscopic fluctuations occurs within the regime of edge-dominated transport, i.e., \( \min(B_{c2}^\sigma, B_{c2}^{\sigma\sigma}) \gg \Phi_0/d^2 \).

VI. DISCUSSION

In this work, we have studied the Josephson current through a long and wide SNS junction with large but finite aspect ratio \( W/d \) that is penetrated by an external magnetic field. Assuming clean and perfectly rectangular junctions, we have identified a crossover between two regimes of the Josephson critical current \( I_c \) as a function of the magnetic field, which takes place as the magnetic length \( \ell_B \) and the junction width \( d \) become of comparable size, \( \ell_B \sim d \) or, in other words \( B \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \). The results of our analysis of the Josephson critical current in the two regimes are summarized in Eq. (1), which notably modifies the functional dependences known from Fraunhofer patterns. The “modified Fraunhofer” pattern in the two regimes and the crossover region is graphically illustrated in Figs. 3 and 6, obtained by a numerical simulation.

In the crossover region, \( B \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \), besides the gradual change of the power law \( \max I_c \propto 1/B^\gamma \) from \( \gamma = 1 \) to \( \gamma = 2 \), the “period” of the Fraunhofer pattern, i.e., the distance between neighboring minima of \( I_c(\Phi_0) \), passes from a geometry-dependent value slightly larger than \( \Phi_0 \), cf. Eq. (43), to the universal value of one. In fact, our numerics indicate that the distance between critical current minima changes non-monotonously as a function of \( B \): whereas for \( B \ll \Phi_0/d^2 \) it first slightly grows, it starts shrinking down to one only at \( B \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \) (specifically, beyond the kink of the minimal current shown in Fig. 6). We note that in a recent study a similar pattern has been observed in simulations of Josephson transport in moderately wide junctions.

The change of the power law and the variation of period in the interference \( B \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \) constitute verifiable experimental signatures of our theory. In order to fit experimental results, the Josephson critical current as obtained by using Eqs. (34) and (35) may be advantageous over the standard Fraunhofer formula also for magnetic fields \( B \lesssim \Phi_0/d^2 \).

We have shown that disorder in the side edges may, to leading order, affect the numerical coefficients in the expression for the (average) Josephson critical current by reducing it at most by a factor of two, cf. Eq. (52). This means, however, that it does not qualitatively change the asymptotic results that had been obtained for clean edges. In particular, there is no exponential decay as predicted for diffusive SNS junctions in the regime \( B \gtrsim \Phi_0/d^2 \).

Mesoscopic fluctuations originating from such disordered scattering, however, limit the validity of the theory to magnetic fields below a certain value \( B_{c2} \), which is much larger than the crossover magnetic field \( \sim \Phi_0/d^2 \). We distinguish mesoscopic fluctuations of universal quantum origin, cf. Eqs. (53) and (54), and classical mesoscopic fluctuations due to specular reflection from a randomly curved edge varying on a characteristic length scale \( \lambda_b > \sqrt{\lambda_b d} \), cf. Eqs. (58) and (59) and Fig. 7(c). The presence of mesoscopic fluctuations particularly implies a non-universal
Small enough magnetic fields, $B \ll B^*$, this mismatch is unimportant compared to quantum uncertainty but impedes the formation of Andreev states at larger fields.

crossover into the quantum Hall regime at very large magnetic fields.

Another limit of applicability of our results, Eqs. (8) and (9), originates from the initial assumption of straight semi-classical trajectories in the normal layer. In fact, the curvature of cyclotron trajectories at non-zero magnetic fields prevents the Andreev-reflected part of a trajectory from retracing the incident trajectory. This seems to constitute a major obstacle to the formation of Andreev bound states along one-dimensional semi-classical trajectories. At the same time, we note that the semi-classical treatment built furthermore on the assumption of coordinate $y$ and momentum $p_y$ along the SN interface being classical variables. Quantum uncertainty in these quantities can restore the Andreev bound states if the magnetic field $B$ is not too large, $B \lesssim B^*$.

In order to estimate the scale $B^*$, consider an electron-hole pair of classical cyclotron orbits connecting the same points along the SN interfaces, see Fig. 8. Whereas the formation of (low-lying) Andreev states between these points requires that angles of incidence and reflection be the same, the curvature of the cyclotron orbits enforces an angular mismatch $\Delta \alpha$. Assuming not far from normal incidence, we estimate that typically $\Delta \alpha \sim d/r_B$, where $r_B$ is the cyclotron radius. On the other hand, quantum uncertainty in $y$ and $p_y$ induces uncertainty also in the angle between incident and reflected trajectories. Noting that spatial uncertainty $\delta y$ is typically of the order of the superconducting coherence length, $\xi$, we find an angular quantum uncertainty of $\delta \alpha \sim \lambda_F/\xi$. As long as the angular mismatch $\Delta \alpha$ due to cyclotron orbits remains smaller than $\delta \alpha$, we may consider it unimportant from a quantum point of view. Thus the upper bound for magnetic fields allowing for the formation of Andreev states is found as

$$B^* \sim \frac{\Phi_0 d}{\ell^2 \xi}. \quad (60)$$

Note that in the limits (6) assumed in our theory, $B^*$ is larger than magnetic fields at the crossover into the regime of edge-dominated Josephson transport, $B^* \gg \Phi_0/d^2$.

Depending on whether $B^* < B_\sigma$ or $B^* > B_\sigma$, see Eqs. (54) and (59), either the curvature of electronic orbits in the magnetic field or mesoscopic fluctuations constitute the primary limit of the applicability of our results for the average Josephson critical current.

VII. CONCLUSION

We have studied the Josephson critical current $I_c$ in a two-dimensional long and wide SNS junction threaded by magnetic flux $\Phi$, assuming a clean bulk material but allowing for disordered edges. Our results indicate that scattering off the side edges induces an additional parametric dependence of $I_c$ on the ratio $\ell_B/d$ of the magnetic length to the junction width, which is absent in the usual Fraunhofer pattern in SIS or short SNS junctions. The crossover at $\ell_B/d \sim 1$, which separates the two regimes studied in the present work, should lead to clear signatures within reachable experimental scales. We hope that the “modified Fraunhofer” pattern predicted by our theory will be useful for fitting future experimental data.
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