Non-cuspidality outside the middle degree of ℓ-adic cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower

Yoichi Mieda

Abstract. In this article, we consider the representations of the general linear group over a non-archimedean local field obtained from the vanishing cycle cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower. We give an easy and direct proof of the fact that no supercuspidal representation appears as a subquotient of such representations unless they are obtained from the cohomology of the middle degree. Our proof is purely local and does not require Shimura varieties.

1 Introduction

Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field, i.e., a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field, and $\mathcal{O}$ the ring of integers of $F$. For an integer $d \geq 1$, we consider the universal deformation space over $(\mathcal{O}^\text{ur})^\wedge$, the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}$, of formal $\mathcal{O}$-modules of height $d$. It is called the Lubin-Tate space. By adding Drinfeld level structures, we get a tower over it, which is called the Lubin-Tate tower.

Let $k$ be a non-negative integer. Using the $k$th vanishing cycle cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower, we obtain a representation of $\text{GL}_d(F) \times D^\times \times W_F$, which we denote by $H^k_{\text{LT}}$ (cf. [Ca]; see also Definition 3.4, where we give the construction of $H^k_{\text{LT}}$ as a $\text{GL}_d(F)$-representation). Here $D$ is the central division algebra over $F$ with invariant $1/d$, and $W_F$ is the Weil group of $F$. The representation $H^k_{\text{LT}}$ is very important for the study of the local Langlands correspondence; in fact, it is known that for a supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $\text{GL}_d(F)$ the $\pi$-isotypic component of $H^{d-1}_{\text{LT}}$ can be described by the local Langlands correspondence and the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. This was known as non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory or the conjecture of Deligne-Carayol.

The aim of this paper is to give an easy and direct proof of the following theorem:
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Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.5). For $k \neq d - 1$, the GL$_d(F)$-representation $H^k_{LT}$ has no supercuspidal representation as a subquotient.

Actually, the theorem has already been proved; for the case char $F \neq 0$ it was due to P. Boyer [Bo1 Théorème 3.2.4], and for the case char $F = 0$ it was remarked by G. Faltings [Fa]. See also [Bo2, Bo3], where the GL$_d(F) \times D^\times \times W_F$-representation $H^k_{LT}$ is completely described for every $k$. These proofs are accomplished by relating the Lubin-Tate tower to an integral model of a certain Shimura variety or the moduli space of $D$-elliptic sheaves with level structures; hence they are somewhat indirect. It seems natural to look for a more direct proof. In this direction, there are some previous works; in [Yo], T. Yoshida considered the “depth 0” case ([Yo, Theorem 6.16 (ii)]); in [St], under some finiteness assumptions ([St 4.2.7 (H)]), M. Strauch gave a proof of Theorem 1.1 ([St, Section 4.3]). Our method is inspired by the paper [St], but works unconditionally. The point is that we remain in the category of schemes, that is, we use neither formal schemes nor rigid spaces. We use Gabber’s new result (Ga) in order to obtain the finiteness result needed for our proof.

We sketch the outline of this paper. In Section 2, we gather some general results on the nearby cycle cohomology of a complete local ring over a strict local discrete valuation ring. In Section 3, we recall definitions and notation concerning the Lubin-Tate tower, and state our main result. In Section 4, we give a proof of our main theorem.
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Convention Every sheaf and cohomology are considered on the étale site of a scheme.

2 Complements on étale cohomology

Let $V$ be a strict local excellent discrete valuation ring and put $S = \text{Spec } V$. We denote the closed (resp. generic) point by $s$ (resp. $\eta$). For a scheme $X$ over $S$, we write $X_s$ for its special fiber and $X_\eta$ for its generic fiber. Fix a prime number $\ell$ which is invertible in $V$ and a positive integer $n$. Put $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a scheme separated of finite type over $S$. For a closed point $x$ of $\mathcal{X}$ lying over $s$, denote by $A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ and put $X = \text{Spec } A = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}^\wedge$.

**Proposition 2.1.** For every integer $k \geq 0$, the nearby cycle sheaf $R^k\psi_X\Lambda$ is a constructible sheaf on $X_s$. 
Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram whose rectangles are cartesian:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_s & \longrightarrow & X \\
\downarrow \varphi_s & & \downarrow \varphi \\
X_s & \longrightarrow & X_{\eta}
\end{array}
\]

Here \( \eta \) is a geometric point lying over \( \eta \). Then by definition we have \( R\psi X\Lambda = i^* Rj_* \Lambda \) and \( R\psi X\Lambda = i^* R\psi j_* \Lambda \). Since \( X \) is an excellent scheme, \( \varphi \) is a regular morphism. Therefore, by the regular base change theorem ([Fu, Corollary 7.1.6], see also [Ri, Proposition 4.5]), we have

\[
\varphi^*_s R\psi X\Lambda = \varphi^*_s i^* Rj_* \Lambda = i^* \varphi^* Rj_* \Lambda \cong i^* Rj_* \Lambda = R\psi X\Lambda.
\]

Hence we have \( R^k \psi X\Lambda \cong \varphi^* R^k \psi X\Lambda \). Since \( R^k \psi X\Lambda \) is a constructible sheaf on \( X_s \) (SGA4 Exposé IX, Proposition 2.4 (iii))), we have

\[
R^k \psi X\Lambda = (R^k \psi j_* \Lambda) = (R^k \psi X\Lambda) = (R^k \psi X\Lambda).
\]

Proposition 2.2. Let \( Z \) be a locally closed subscheme of \( X_s \). Then for every integer \( k \), the local cohomology \( H^k_Z(X_s, R\psi X\Lambda) \) is a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 it suffices to show that for every constructible sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( X_s \), \( H^k_Z(X_s, \mathcal{F}) \) is a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module. First we consider the case where \( Z \) is open in \( X_s \). Denote by \( j : Z \hookrightarrow X_s \) the natural open immersion. Since \( X_s \) is noetherian, \( j \) is quasi-compact, and thus is of finite type. Therefore by Gabber’s finiteness theorem ([Ga]), \( R^k j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \) is a constructible sheaf on \( X_s \). Thus \( H^k_Z(X_s, \mathcal{F}) = H^k(Z, j^* \mathcal{F}) = H^k(X_s, Rj_* j^* \mathcal{F}) = (R^k j_* j^* \mathcal{F})_x \) is a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module, as desired. Next we consider the case where \( Z \) is closed in \( X_s \). By the exact sequence \( H^{k-1}(X_s \setminus Z, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^k_Z(X_s, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^k(X_s, \mathcal{F}) \), we may reduce to the open case. Finally we consider the general case. Let \( Z \) be the closure of \( Z \) in \( X_s \). Then \( Z \) is open in \( Z \). By the exact sequence \( H^k_Z(X_s, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^k_Z(X_s, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^k_{Z, Z}(X_s, \mathcal{F}) \), we may reduce to the closed case. Now the proof is complete.

Remark 2.3. If \( Z \) comes from a locally closed subscheme of \( X_s \), then by the similar method as in the proof of Proposition 2.1 we may avoid Gabber’s deep theorem.

Proposition 2.4. Assume that \( X_\eta \) is smooth of pure dimension \( d - 1 \). Then we have \( (R^k \psi X\Lambda)_x = 0 \) for \( k \geq d \) and \( H^k(X_s, R\psi X\Lambda) = 0 \) for \( k \leq d - 2 \).

Proof. We use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 2.1. First, [SGA7 Exposé XIII, 2.1.13] ensures the vanishing of \( (R^k \psi X\Lambda)_x \cong (R^k \psi X\Lambda)_x \) for \( k \geq d \).

Denote the closed immersion \( x \hookrightarrow X_s \) (resp. \( x \hookrightarrow X_s \)) by \( i_x \) (resp. \( i_x \)). Since \( \varphi_s \) is a regular morphism, we have \( i^*_x R\psi X\Lambda \cong i^*_x \varphi^*_s R\psi X\Lambda \cong i^*_x R\psi X\Lambda \) (RI Corollaire...
4.7]). In other words, we have $H^k_{x}(X_s, R\psi_X\Lambda) \cong H^k_{x}(X_s, R\psi_X\Lambda)$. On the other hand, since we have

$$D_x(i_x^* R\psi_X\Lambda) \cong i_x^* D_x(R\psi_X\Lambda) \cong i_x^* R\psi_X D_x(\Lambda) \cong i_x^* R\psi_X\Lambda(d-1)[2d-2]$$

(for the second isomorphy, see [11 Théorème 4.2]), the two $\Lambda$-modules $H^k_{x}(X_s, R\psi_X\Lambda)$ and $(R^{2d-2-k}\psi_X\Lambda)_x(d-1)$ are dual to each other. Therefore $H^k_{x}(X_s, R\psi_X\Lambda) = 0$ for $2d-2-k \geq d$, i.e., $k \leq d-2$. Now the proof is complete.

Let $B$ be a $V$-algebra and $G$ a finite group of $V$-algebra isomorphisms of $B$. Put $Y = \text{Spec } B$ and $Y' = \text{Spec } B^G$. Denote the natural morphism $Y \rightarrow Y'$ by $\pi$. Let $W'$ be a locally closed subscheme of $Y'_s$ and put $W = \pi^{-1}(W')$.

**Proposition 2.5.** Assume that the cardinality of $G$ is prime to $\ell$, $\pi$ is finite, and $\pi_{\eta}: Y_{\eta} \rightarrow Y'_{\eta}$ is étale. Then for every integer $k$ we have an isomorphism

$$H^k_{W'}(Y'_{s}, R\psi_{Y'}\Lambda) \cong H^k_{W}(Y_{s}, R\psi_Y\Lambda)^G.$$

**Proof.** For a $\Lambda[G]$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over a scheme, we denote by $\mathcal{F}^G$ the $\Lambda$-sheaf defined by $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U)^G$. It is easy to see that this functor is exact (by the assumtption on the cardinality of $G$) and commutes with pull-back and derived push-forward. Therefore it also commutes with local cohomology and nearby cycle functor.

Since $\pi_{\eta}: Y_{\eta} \rightarrow Y'_{\eta}$ is an étale Galois covering with the Galois group $G$, we have $(\pi_{\eta*}\Lambda)^G \cong \Lambda$. Therefore we have

$$H^k_{W}(Y_{s}, R\psi_Y\Lambda)^G \cong H^k_{W'}(Y'_{s}, \pi_{s*}R\psi_Y\Lambda)^G \cong H^k_{W'}(Y'_{s}, R\psi_Y\pi_{s*}\Lambda)^G$$

$$\cong H^k_{W'}(Y'_{s}, R\psi_Y(\pi_{s*}\Lambda)^G) \cong H^k_{W'}(Y'_{s}, R\psi_Y\Lambda),$$

as desired.

**Remark 2.6.** By the standard method, we may prove the analogous results as Proposition 2.4, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5 for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ or $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\infty$, an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

### 3 Review of the Lubin-Tate tower

In this section, we briefly recall basic definitions about the Lubin-Tate tower. First we will introduce notation used in the sequel. Let $F$ be a complete discrete valuation field with finite residue field $\mathbb{F}_q$. We denote the ring of integers of $F$ by $\mathcal{O}$ and fix a uniformizer $\varpi$ of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $V = (\mathcal{O}^{ur})^\wedge$ be the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathcal{O}$. Denote the residue field of $V$ by $\mathbb{F}$. As in the previous section, we write $s$ (resp. $\eta$) for the closed (resp. generic) point of $S = \text{Spec } V$.

Fix an integer $d \geq 1$. Let $GL_d(F)^0$ (resp. $GL_d(F)^{00}$) be the subgroup of $GL_d(F)$ consisting of elements $g \in GL_d(F)$ such that the normalized valuation of $\det g$ is divisible by $d$ (resp. equal to 0). Put $K_0 = GL_d(\mathcal{O})$. For an integer $m \geq 1$, we
put $K_m = \text{Ker}(\text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{m}\mathcal{O}))$. For $m \geq 0$, $K_m$ is a compact open subgroup of $\text{GL}_d(F)$ which is contained in $\text{GL}_d(F)^0$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a one-dimensional formal $\mathcal{O}$-module over $\mathbb{F}$ with $\mathcal{O}$-height $d$. It is known to be unique up to isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the category whose objects are complete noetherian local $V$-algebras with residue fields isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}$ by the structure morphisms, and whose morphisms are local $V$-algebra homomorphisms. For an integer $m \geq 0$, consider the covariant functor $\text{Def}_m : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \textbf{Set}$ that associates $A$ with the set of isomorphism classes of triples $(G, \iota, \phi)$, where $G$ is a one-dimensional formal $\mathcal{O}$-module over $A$, $\iota : \mathbb{G} \cong G \otimes_A \mathbb{F}$ is an isomorphism of formal $\mathcal{O}$-modules over $\mathbb{F}$, and $\phi : (\mathbb{w}^{-m}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d \rightarrow m_A$ (here we fix a coordinate of $G$ and identify $G(A)$ with $m_A$, the maximal ideal of $A$) is a Drinfeld level $m$ structure of $G$. Recall that a Drinfeld level $m$ structure of $G$ is an $\mathcal{O}$-homomorphism from $(\mathbb{w}^{-m}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d$ to $m_A$ endowed with an $\mathcal{O}$-module structure by $G$ such that

$$
\prod_{a \in (\mathbb{w}^{-m}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d} (T - \phi(a)) = [\mathbb{w}^m](T)g(T)
$$

for some $g(T) \in A[[T]]^\times$. This is equivalent to saying that the element $[\mathbb{w}](T)$ is divisible by $\prod_{a \in (\mathbb{w}^{-1}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d} (T - \phi(a))$ ([HT, Corollary II.2.3]). We define $(G, \iota, \phi) \cong (G', \iota', \phi')$ in the obvious manner. For $m \leq m'$, we have a natural morphism of functors $\text{Def}_{m'} \rightarrow \text{Def}_m$ by restricting level $m'$ structures to $(\mathbb{w}^{-m}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d \subset (\mathbb{w}^{-m'}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d$.

The following fundamental theorem is due to Lubin, Tate and Drinfeld ([Dr, Proposition 4.3, 1, 2]):

**Theorem 3.1.**

i) For every $m \geq 0$, the functor $\text{Def}_m$ is represented by a $d$-dimensional regular local $V$-algebra $A_m$. Moreover, as a local $V$-algebra, $A_0$ is isomorphic to $V[[T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1}]]$.

ii) Let $G^{\text{univ}}$ be the universal formal $\mathcal{O}$-module over $A_0$ and $\phi_m^{\text{univ}} : (\mathbb{w}^{-m}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d \rightarrow m_{A_m}$ the universal Drinfeld level $m$ structure of $G^{\text{univ}} \otimes_{A_0} A_m$. Then, for $m \geq 1$ and an $\mathcal{O}/\mathbb{w}^m\mathcal{O}$-basis $e_1, \ldots, e_d$ of $(\mathbb{w}^{-m}\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O})^d$, $\phi_{m}^{\text{univ}}(e_1), \ldots, \phi_{m}^{\text{univ}}(e_d) \in m_{A_m}$ form a system of regular parameters of $A_m$.

Put $X_m = \text{Spec } A_m$ and denote the unique closed point of $X_m$ by $x_m$. The morphism of functors $\text{Def}_{m'} \rightarrow \text{Def}_m$ induces a morphism $p_{m'm} : x_{m'} \rightarrow x_m$ over $S = \text{Spec } V$. It is finite and flat ([Dr, Proposition 4.3, 3]). The $S$-scheme $X_0$ is sometimes called the Lubin-Tate space and the projective system of schemes $(X_m)_{m \geq 0}$ is called the Lubin-Tate tower.

In fact, $A_m$ is algebraizable, that is, it can be obtained from a scheme of finite type over $V$ by taking completion at a closed point on the special fiber.

**Proposition 3.2.** For every integer $m \geq 0$, there exist a scheme $\mathcal{M}_m$ of finite type over $V$ with purely $(d - 1)$-dimensional smooth generic fiber, a closed point $y$ of $\mathcal{M}_{m,s}$, and a local $V$-algebra isomorphism $A_m \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m,y}$, where $^\wedge$ denotes the completion.
Proof. We may take \( \mathcal{M}_m \) as an integral model of a certain Shimura variety if the characteristic of \( F \) is 0 ([HT, Lemma III.4.1.1]), and as the moduli space of \( \mathcal{D} \)-elliptic sheaves with level structures if the characteristic of \( F \) is positive ([BoI, Théorème 7.4.4]). However, there is much simpler proof; see [St, Theorem 2.3.1]. Note that the generic fiber of the scheme \( \mathcal{M}_m \) constructed loc. cit. is étale over \( \text{Spec} \text{Frac} V[T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1}] \), hence purely \( (d - 1) \)-dimensional and smooth.

Next we recall the group action on the tower \( (X_m)_{m \geq 0} \). Let \( g \) be an element of \( \text{GL}_d(F)^{00} \) and \( m, m' \geq 0 \) integers. If we have \( g^{-1}K_mg \subset K_{m'} \), then we can define a morphism \( g_{mm'}: X_m \rightarrow X_{m'} \), as explained in [St, Section 2.2] (under the notation used there, it is the composite \( \mathcal{M}_m^{(0)} \xrightarrow{g_{km}} \mathcal{M}_{g^{-1}K_mg}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{K_{m'}}^{(0)} \)). This \( g_{mm'} \) is compatible with the transition maps of \( (X_m)_{m \geq 0} \). Moreover, for another \( g' \in \text{GL}_d(F)^{00} \) and \( m'' \geq 0 \) with \( g'^{-1}K_{m''}g' \subset K_{m'''} \), we have \( (gg')_{mm''} = g_{mm''} \circ g_{mm'} \). Therefore we get the right action of \( \text{GL}_d(F)^{00} \) on the tower \( (X_m)_{m \geq 0} \) regarded as a pro-object (cf. [SGA4, Exposé I, 8.10]) of schemes over \( V \). We extend this action to \( \text{GL}_d(F)^0 \) by letting the action of \( \varpi I_d \) be trivial (here \( I_d \) denotes the identity matrix).

Note that, in particular, \( K_0 \) acts on each \( A_m \) on the left and \( X_m \) on the right. The description of this action is very simple; \( g \in K_0 \) maps \([G, t, \phi] \in \text{Def}_m(A)\) to \([G, t, \phi_g] \), where \( \phi_g \) is the composite of \( \phi \) and the automorphism of \((\varpi^{-m}O/O)^d\) induced by \( g \). Here \([-]\) denotes the isomorphism class. Obviously \( K_m \) acts on \( A_m \) and \( X_m \) trivially.

**Proposition 3.3 ([St, Theorem 2.1.2 (ii), Proposition 2.2.5 (i)])**. Let \( m, m' \) be integers with \( 0 \leq m \leq m' \).

i) The morphism \( X_{m',\eta} \rightarrow X_{m,\eta} \) induced by \( p_{mm'} \) is a Galois étale covering with Galois group \( K_m/K_{m'} \).

ii) We have \( A_{m'/m}^{K_m/K_{m'}} = A_m \).

**Definition 3.4.** For an integer \( k \), we put \( H_{LT}^{k,0} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} R^k \psi_{X_m} \mathcal{Q}_\ell \). The group \( \text{GL}_d(F)^0 \) naturally acts on it. We put \( H_{LT}^k = \text{Ind}_{\text{GL}_d(F)^0}^{\text{GL}_d(F)^{00}} H_{LT}^{k,0} \).

Recall that a \( \mathcal{Q}_\ell \)-representation \( V \) of a totally disconnected locally compact group \( G \) is said to be smooth if the stabilizer of every element of \( V \) is an open subgroup of \( G \). If moreover, for every compact open subgroup \( K \) of \( G \) the fixed part \( V^K \) is finite-dimensional, then \( V \) is said to be admissible. An admissible \( \mathcal{Q}_\ell \)-representation \( V \) of \( \text{GL}_d(F) \) is said to be supercuspidal if it does not appear as a subquotient of parabolically induced representations from any proper Levi subgroup.

The main theorem of this paper is the following:

**Theorem 3.5.** For \( k \neq d - 1 \), the \( \text{GL}_d(F) \)-representation \( H_{LT}^k \) is admissible and has no supercuspidal representation as a subquotient.
4 Proof of the main theorem

First we recall the natural stratification of $X_m$, which is essentially introduced in [Si].

**Definition 4.1.** Let $m \geq 1$ and $0 \leq h \leq d$ be integers. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{m,h}$ the set of $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell$-submodules of $(\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d$ which are direct summand of rank $h$. Put $\mathcal{S}_m = \bigcup_{h=0}^d \mathcal{S}_{m,h}$.

For $J \in \mathcal{S}_{m,h}$, let $p_J$ be the ideal of $A_m$ generated by $\phi_m^{\text{univ}}(a)$ where $a \in J$. This is known to be a prime ideal ([Si, Proposition 3.1.3]). Denote by $Y_J$ the closed subscheme of $X_m$ defined by $p_J$. Note that $Y_J \supset Y_{J'}$ for $J, J' \in \mathcal{S}_m$ with $J \subset J'$.

Put $Z_J = Y_J \setminus \bigcup_{J' \in \mathcal{S}_m, J' \supset J} Y_{J'}$, $Y_m^{(h)} = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{S}_{m,h}} Y_J$ and $Z_m^{(h)} = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{S}_{m,h}} Z_J$. We have $Y_J = \bigcup_{J' \in \mathcal{S}_m, J' \supset J} Z_{J'}$ and $X_m = Y_m^{(0)} \supset Y_m^{(1)} \supset \cdots \supset Y_m^{(d)} = x_m$.

**Lemma 4.2.**

i) We have $Y_m^{(1)} = X_m$.

ii) Let $J, J' \in \mathcal{S}_m$. If $J \neq J'$, then $Z_J \cap Z_{J'} = \emptyset$.

iii) We have $Z_m^{(h)} = Y_m^{(h)} \setminus Y_m^{(h+1)}$. In particular, $Z_m^{(h)}$ can be regarded as an open subscheme of $Y_m^{(h)}$.

**Proof.**

i) It is sufficient to show that the ideal of $A_m$ generated by the element $\prod_{a \in (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d, a \neq 0} \phi_m^{\text{univ}}(a)$ is equal to $\varpi A_m$. By the definition of a Drinfeld level structure, we have $\prod_{a \in (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d} (T - \phi_m^{\text{univ}}(a)) = [\varpi](T)g_m(T)$ for some $g_m(T) \in A_m[[T]]$. Since the restriction of $\phi_m^{\text{univ}}$ to $(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d$ coincides with $\phi_m^{\text{univ}}$, we have $\prod_{a \in (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d} (T - \phi_m^{\text{univ}}(a)) = [\varpi](T)g_{m-1}(T)$ for some $g_{m-1}(T) \in A_m[[T]]$. On the other hand, since $[\varpi](T) = [\varpi]([\varpi](T))$, $\frac{[\varpi](T)}{[\varpi](T)}$ is an element of $A_m[[T]]$ whose constant term is $\varpi$. Therefore, by comparing the constant terms of both sides of the equation

$$
\prod_{a \in (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d, a \neq 0} (T - \phi_m^{\text{univ}}(a)) = \frac{[\varpi](T)g_m(T)}{[\varpi](T)g_{m-1}(T)},
$$

we get the desired result.

ii) For simplicity, we write $m$ for the maximal ideal of $A_m$. First note that $\phi_m^{\text{univ}} \bmod p_J : (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d \longrightarrow m/p_J$ is 0 on $J$.

We may assume that $\text{rank } J \leq \text{rank } J'$. Take a primary decomposition $p_J + p_{J'} = \bigcap_{i=1}^t q_i$, where $q_i$ is a primary ideal of $A_m$. Put $p_i = \sqrt{q_i}$. Then $p_i$ is a prime ideal and we have $\sqrt{p_J + p_{J'}} = \bigcap_{i=1}^t p_i$. Let $J''$ be the kernel of $\phi_m^{\text{univ}} \bmod p_i : (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^\ell)^d \longrightarrow m/p_i$. By the subsequent lemma, it is an element of $\mathcal{S}_m$. Moreover, since $p_J, p_{J'} \subseteq p_i$, $J''$ contains $J$ and $J'$. Therefore $J \subseteq J''$, for rank $J \leq \text{rank } J'$ and $J \neq J'$.
Thus we have \( p_{j_1'} \cdots p_{j_k'} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^k p_i = \sqrt{p_j + p_{j'}} \), which implies the set-theoretical inclusion \( \bigcap_{i=1}^k Y_j \cap Y_{j'} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_{j'} \setminus Z_j \). Hence we have \( Z_j \cap Z_{j'} = \emptyset \), as desired.

iii) It is an easy consequence of ii) and \( Y_j = \bigcup_{j' \in S_m, j' < j} Z_{j'} \).

\[ \text{Lemma 4.3. Let } A \text{ be an object of the category } \mathcal{C}, \text{ an integer, and } (G, t, \phi) \text{ a triple that appeared in the definition of Def}_{m}(A). \text{ Assume that } A \text{ is an integral domain. Then } \ker \phi \text{ is a direct summand of } (\mathcal{O}^{-m}/\mathcal{O})^d. \]

**Proof.** First note that a finitely generated \( \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^m \)-module \( M \) is free if and only if the following condition holds:

\[
\text{for every } x \in M \text{ with } \mathcal{O}x = 0, \text{ there exists an element } y \in M \text{ such that } x = \mathcal{O}^{m-1}y.
\]

Indeed, it is easy to see that the condition above is equivalent to \( \text{Tor}_1(M, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}) = 0 \), which is equivalent to the flatness of \( M \).

In order to show that \( \ker \phi \) is a direct summand, it suffices to show that \( \text{Im} \phi \) is a free \( \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{O}^m \)-module. We will verify the condition above. Assume that \( [\mathcal{O}](\phi(a)) = 0 \). Since \( \prod_{b \in (\mathcal{O}^{-1}/\mathcal{O})^d}(T - \phi(b)) = [\mathcal{O}](T)g(T) \) for some \( g(T) \in A[[T]]^\times \), we have \( \prod_{b \in (\mathcal{O}^{-1}/\mathcal{O})^d}(\phi(a) - \phi(b)) = 0 \). Since \( A \) is an integral domain, there exists an element \( b' \in (\mathcal{O}^{-1}/\mathcal{O})^d \) such that \( \phi(a) = \phi(b) \). Take \( b' \in (\mathcal{O}^{-m}/\mathcal{O})^d \) such that \( \mathcal{O}^{m-1}b' = b \). Then \( [\mathcal{O}^{m-1}](\phi(b')) = \phi(\mathcal{O}^{m-1}b') = \phi(b) = \phi(a) \). This completes the proof.

\[ \text{Proposition 4.4. For every pair of integers } k \text{ and } h \geq 1, \ H^k_{Z_m(h)}(X_{m,s}, R\psi_{X_m} \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \text{ is a finite-dimensional } \mathbb{Q}_\ell \text{-vector space.} \]

**Proof.** Clear from Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 3.2.

\[ \text{Remark 4.5. It is possible to take an algebraization } \mathcal{M}_m \text{ in Proposition 3.2 so that the locally closed subscheme } Z_m(h) \text{ comes from a locally closed subscheme of } \mathcal{M}_m. \text{ Then we may use Remark 2.3 in place of Proposition 2.2.} \]

As explained in [3], Section 3.1, this stratification is preserved by the action of \( GL_d(F)^0 \) in the sense of the following.

Fix an integer \( h \) with \( 1 \leq h \leq d - 1 \). Denote by \( J^0_h \) (resp. \( J^0_{m,h} \) for \( m \geq 1 \)) the direct summand of \( \mathcal{O}^d \) (resp. \( (\mathcal{O}^{-m}/\mathcal{O})^d \)) generated by the first \( h \) elements of the standard basis. Let \( \mathcal{P}_h(\mathcal{O}) \subseteq GL_d(\mathcal{O}) \) be the stabilizer of \( J^0_h \). Similarly we define \( P_h(F) \subseteq GL_d(F) \). Put \( P_h(F)^0 = P_h(F) \cap GL_d(F)^0 \).

Obviously \( GL_d(\mathcal{O}) \) acts on \( S_{m,h} \). By mapping \( g \) to \( gJ^0_{m,h} \), we have a map \( GL_d(\mathcal{O}) \longrightarrow S_{m,h} \), which induces natural isomorphisms

\[
S_{m,h} \cong K_m \setminus GL_d(\mathcal{O})/P_h(\mathcal{O}) \cong K_m \setminus GL_d(F)/P_h(F) \cong K_m \setminus GL_d(F)^0/P_h(F)^0.
\]
Moreover, for \(1 \leq m \leq m'\), it is easy to see that under the isomorphism above the projection \(K_{m'} \backslash \text{GL}_d(F)^0 / P_h(F)^0 \to K_m \backslash \text{GL}_d(F)^0 / P_h(F)^0\) corresponds to the map \(S_{m',h} \to S_{m,h}\) that maps \(J \to J \cap (\omega^{-m} \mathcal{O} / \mathcal{O})^d\).

Let \(g\) be an element of \(\text{GL}_d(F)^0\) and \(m, m'\) positive integers with \(g^{-1}K_m g \subset K_{m'}\). Then we can define the map \(S_{m,h} \to S_{m',h}\) such that the corresponding map \(K_m \backslash \text{GL}_d(F)^0 / P_h(F)^0 \to K_{m'} \backslash \text{GL}_d(F)^0 / P_h(F)^0\) is given by \(K_m x P_h(F)^0 \to K_{m'} g^{-1}x P_h(F)^0\). We denote the image of \(J \in S_{m,h}\) under this map by \(g^{-1}J\). Then, the morphism \(g_{mm'} : X_m \to X_{m'}\) maps \(Z_J\) into \(Z_{g^{-1}J}\).

**Definition 4.6.** Let \(h\) be an integer with \(1 \leq h \leq d - 1\). For integers \(m, m'\) with \(1 \leq m \leq m'\), \(Z_{j_0}^{m',h} \) is open and closed in \(p_{mm'}^{-1}(Z_{j_0}^{m,h})\), and thus we have the inductive system \((H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell))_{m \geq 1}\). Put \(W^{(h,k,0)} = \lim_{m \to 0} H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\).

Since the image of \(H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\) in \(W^{(h,k,0)}\) is fixed by the open subgroup \(P_h(F)^0 \cap K_m\) of \(P_h(F)^0\), \(W^{(h,k,0)}\) is a smooth representation of \(P_h(F)^0\). Similarly we may define \(V^{(h,k,0)}\) as \(\lim_{m \to 0} H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)\), which is a smooth representation of \(\text{GL}_d(F)^0\). Put \(W^{(h,k)} = \text{Ind}^{P_h(F)}_{P_h(F)^0} W^{(h,k,0)}\) and \(V^{(h,k)} = \text{Ind}^{\text{GL}_d(F)}_{\text{GL}_d(F)^0} V^{(h,k,0)}\).

**Proposition 4.7.** Let \(k\) and \(h\) be integers with \(1 \leq h \leq d - 1\).

i) The \(P_h(F)^0\)-representation \(W^{(h,k,0)}\) is admissible.

ii) We have an isomorphism \(V^{(h,k,0)} \cong \text{Ind}^{\text{GL}_d(F)^0}_{P_h(F)^0} W^{(h,k,0)}\).

iii) The unipotent radical of \(P_h(F)^0\) acts on \(W^{(h,k)}\) trivially.

iv) There is no supercuspidal subquotient of \(V^{(h,k)}\).

**Proof.**

i) For an integer \(m \geq 1\) put \(P_h(F)^0_m = P_h(F)^0 \cap K_m\). It is sufficient to show that \((W^{(h,k,0)})^{P_h(F)^0_m} = \text{a finite-dimensional } \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell\text{-vector space for every } m\).

Let \(m'\) be an integer with \(m' \geq m\). Since \(p_{mm'}^{-1}(Z_{j_0}^{m,h})\) is the disjoint union of \((Z_{j_0}^{m',h})g^{-1} = Z_{j_0}^{m',h} - m\) where \(g\) runs through \(K_m / K_{m'}\) (Lemma 4.2 ii), we have the natural isomorphisms

\[
H^k_{p_{mm'}^{-1}(Z_{j_0}^{m,h})}(X_{m',s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in K_m / K_{m'}} H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m',h}}(X_{m',s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)
\]

\[
\cong \text{Ind}_{P_h(F)^0_m / P_h(F)^0}^{K_m / K_{m'}} H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m',h}}(X_{m',s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)
\]

(the second isomorphism is the one given in the proof of [Bo1, Lemme 13.2]).

Since \(K_m / K_{m'}\) is a \(p\)-group where \(p\) is the characteristic of \(\mathbb{F}_q\) and \(A_m = A_{K_m / K_{m'}}\), by Proposition 2.5 we have

\[
H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)_{P_h(F)^0_m} \cong \text{Ind}_{P_h(F)^0_m / P_h(F)^0}^{K_m / K_{m'}} H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m',h}}(X_{m',s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)
\]

\[
\cong H^k_{p_{mm'}^{-1}(Z_{j_0}^{m,h})}(X_{m',s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)^{K_m / K_{m'}} \cong H^k_{Z_{j_0}^{m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi_{X_m} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell).
\]
Moreover it is not difficult to see that this isomorphism is induced by the natural pull-back map $H^k_{Z_{j,m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \xrightarrow{p^W_{\mu m'}} H^k_{Z_{j,m,h}}(X_{m',s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$.

Thus by taking the inductive limit with respect to $m'$, we have

$$(W^{(h,k,0)})_{P_h(F)}^0 \simeq H^k_{Z_{j,m,h}}(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

Since the right hand side is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector space by Proposition 4.4, so is the left hand side.

ii) It can be proved in the same way as [Si] Theorem 4.3.2 (ii).

iii) By i), $W^{(h,k)}$ is an admissible $P_h(F)$-representation. Thus by [Bo1] Lemme 13.2.3, its restriction to the unipotent radical of $P_h(F)$ is trivial.

iv) By ii), we have $V^{(h,k)} \cong \text{Ind}_{P_h(F)}^{\text{GL}_d(F)} W^{(h,k)}$. By iii), the right hand side is obtained by a parabolic induction from the Levi subgroup of $P_h(F)$. Thus it has no supercuspidal subquotient.

Now we can give a proof of our main theorem.

\textbf{Proof of Theorem 3.2} For integers $k$ and $h$ with $1 \leq h \leq d$, we put $V^{(h,k,0)} = \lim_{\mu m} H_{Y_m(h)}^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ and $V^{(h,k)} = \text{Ind}_{\text{GL}_d(F)}^{\text{GL}_d(F)} V^{(h,k,0)}$ (since $p_{m,m'}^{-1}(Y_m(h)) = Y_{m'}(l)$ for $1 \leq m \leq m'$, we have the inductive system $(H_{Y_m(h)}^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell))_{m \geq 1}$). It is easy to see that $V^{(h,k,0)}$ (resp. $V^{(h,k)}$) is a smooth representation of $\text{GL}_d(F)$.

For an integer $h$ with $0 \leq h \leq d - 1$, the exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_{Y_{m+1}(h)}^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_{Y_m(h)}^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_{Z_m(h)}^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_{Y_{m+1}(h+1)}^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

gives the exact sequence $V^{(h+1,k)} \longrightarrow V^{(h,k)} \longrightarrow V^{(h,k)}$.

Assume that $k < d - 1$. Then by Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.2,

$$V^{(d,k)} = \text{Ind}_{\text{GL}_d(F)}^{\text{GL}_d(F)} \lim_{\mu m} H_{x_m}^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = 0.$$ 

Therefore, by Proposition 4.7 iv) and the exact sequence above, we have inductively that for every $h$ with $1 \leq h \leq d$, $V^{(h,k)}$ is an admissible $\text{GL}_d(F)$-representation which has no supercuspidal subquotient. On the other hand, by Lemma 4.2 i),

$$V^{(1,k)} = \text{Ind}_{\text{GL}_d(F)}^{\text{GL}_d(F)} \lim_{\mu m} H^k(X_{m,s}, R\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell) = \text{Ind}_{\text{GL}_d(F)}^{\text{GL}_d(F)} \lim_{\mu m}(R^k\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{x_m} = H^k_{\text{LT}}$$

(note that $X_{m,s}$ is the spectrum of a strict local ring with closed point $x_m$). Hence $H^k_{\text{LT}}$ is an admissible $\text{GL}_d(F)$-representation which has no supercuspidal subquotient.

Next assume that $k > d - 1$. Then by Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.2, $(R^k\psi X_m \mathbb{Q}_\ell)_{x_m} = 0$. Therefore $H^k_{\text{LT}} = 0$ and Theorem 3.3 holds obviously.
Non-cuspidality outside the middle degree of $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower
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