macrometastases rather than emergence from dormancy. The detection of circulating tumor cells by means of CellSearch technology, as approved by the Food and Drug Administration, in patients with hormone-receptor–positive breast cancer 5 years after diagnosis has been associated with an increased risk of recurrence by a factor of 13 and a median time until clinical recurrence of 2.8 years. This interval may be sufficiently long that therapeutic intervention can prevent the development of incurable clinical metastases. Continued improvement in these assays and the development of new therapies that target the unique biologic features of dormant cells will no doubt be required for a major reduction in late recurrence risk.

It is also important to recognize that patients with breast cancer may have adverse outcomes other than breast cancer recurrence. About half the events in the two groups in the ABCSG-16 trial were not related to breast cancer but rather to other serious conditions (e.g., other primary cancers and death from other causes), a pattern that has been seen in other breast cancer trials. The nonbreast primary cancers may reflect the age of the trial population, along with shared risk factors, such as obesity,9 physical inactivity, alcohol consumption, and genetic predisposition. Many lifestyle factors that are associated with breast cancer also increase the risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease, thus leading to deaths from illnesses other than breast cancer. The management of such serious conditions, including the involvement of nononcology practitioners in follow-up care, is likely to improve the overall health of breast cancer survivors.

Decisions regarding extended aromatase-inhibitor therapy will continue to be individualized, with a combined assessment of recurrence risk, treatment tolerance, and patient preference. The data provided by Gnant et al. in patients with hormone-receptor–positive breast cancer who are at low or average risk underscore the importance of avoiding iatrogenic complications when making these decisions.
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JAK Inhibitors — More Than Just Glucocorticoids

Justin Stebbing, M.D., Ph.D., and Volker M. Lauschke, Ph.D.

Most persons who are infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) are asymptomatic or have only mild-to-moderate symptoms, whereas others have progression to severe disease, characterized by acute respiratory distress encompassing pulmonary hyperinflammation and endothelial and broad multisystem dysfunction. A number of drugs have been developed or repurposed to prevent clinical deterioration. Mechanistically, therapeutic agents can be categorized as those that aim to target the viral life cycle, such as remdesivir or lopinavir–ritonavir; SARS-CoV-2–targeted antibody therapies; and those that are focused on the host response, such as glucocorticoids and other immunomodulators.

Immunomodulatory treatments have shown promise in reducing mortality among patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19). In a
randomized, open-label trial, treatment with dexamethasone plus usual care resulted in significantly lower 28-day mortality than usual care alone among patients receiving invasive mechanical ventilation (rate ratio, 0.64; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.51 to 0.81) or supplemental oxygen without invasive mechanical ventilation (rate ratio, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.94), conditions that correspond to ordinal scores of 5 to 7. However, survival was not prolonged with dexamethasone therapy among patients who were not receiving supplemental oxygen, a condition corresponding to an ordinal score of 4 (rate ratio, 1.19; 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.55).

Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors constitute further weapons in the armamentarium here. Two randomized trials have evaluated the efficacy of the JAK inhibitors ruxolitinib and baricitinib in patients with Covid-19. In a small study, patients receiving ruxolitinib had significantly decreased levels, as compared with controls, of interleukin-6 and other cytokines.3 There were three deaths in the control group and none in the ruxolitinib group. However, the trial was underpowered to allow further conclusions. In the larger Adaptive Covid-19 Treatment Trial 2 (ACTT-2), patients were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to receive either remdesivir plus baricitinib or remdesivir plus placebo.4 The inclusion of baricitinib reduced the recovery time (rate ratio for death vs. usual care, 0.85; 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.94; P = 0.003) among patients with ordinal scores of 5 to 7.5-7 In these trials, most patients (93% in REMAP-CAP and 82% in RECOVERY) were also treated with glucocorticoids, and there appeared to be an interaction between the effects of interleukin-6 receptor antagonists and those of glucocorticoids. In contrast, the COVACTA trial showed no significant difference in mortality with tocilizumab as compared with placebo (19.7% vs. 19.4%; difference, 0.3 percentage points; 95% CI, −7.6 to 8.2; P = 0.94) in a patient population in which the percentage of patients receiving glucocorticoids during the trial was lower in the tocilizumab group than in the placebo group (33.7% vs. 52.1%).8

Combined, these data indicate that anti-inflammatory therapy in hospitalized patients with Covid-19 who are receiving supplemental oxygen therapy or ventilation results in reduced overall mortality and that treatment with glucocorticoids and mechanistically distinct antiinflammatory agents, notably JAK inhibitors and perhaps interleukin-6 receptor antagonists, provides additive benefits. Among the antiinflammatory agents, JAK inhibitors have the distinct advantage that their low cost and oral administration allows for scalable use in low- and middle-income countries in regimens with or without concomitant glucocorticoids. Furthermore, some JAK...
Inhibitors provide dosing flexibility, with an absence of drug–drug interactions, usefulness at lower glomerular filtration rates, a short half-life, and an established safety profile in highly vulnerable populations, notably the elderly.9
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Figure 1. Overview of Current Therapies for Covid-19 with Effects on Mortality.

Early stages of infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) are characterized by high viral loads in the respiratory tract, which then decrease with progressing disease (red line). Inversely, the levels of inflammatory markers, including the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 and type I interferons, increase with disease severity and respiratory distress (blue line). These observations suggest that antiviral agents are likely to be most effective in early stages of infection during the first week after symptom onset when disease is still mild to moderate. In contrast, immunomodulatory and antiinflammatory treatment is most effective in later stages of disease (ordinal scale score of 4 to 7; see below). Thus far, randomized, controlled trials have shown that treatment with glucocorticoids, Janus kinase inhibitors, and perhaps interleukin-6 receptor antagonists reduces mortality among patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19). In contrast, antiviral agents have not been shown to have beneficial effects on survival. The ordinal scale of Covid-19 severity refers to definitions from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. The categories of the ordinal scale are as follows: a score of 1 indicates that the patient was not hospitalized, with no limitations on activities; 2, was not hospitalized but had limitation on activities or was receiving supplemental oxygen at home; 3, was hospitalized, without use of supplemental oxygen and no ongoing medical care; 4, was hospitalized and not receiving supplemental oxygen but was receiving ongoing medical care; 5, was hospitalized and receiving supplemental oxygen through low-flow devices; 6, was hospitalized and receiving oxygen through noninvasive ventilation or high-flow oxygen devices; 7, was hospitalized and receiving invasive mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; and 8, died. Category 8 is not shown here.