Visualizing the features of inflection point shown on a temporal bar graph using the data of COVID-19 pandemic
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Abstract

Background: Exponential-like infection growth leading to peaks (denoted by inflection points [IP] or turning points) is usually the hallmark of infectious disease outbreaks, including coronaviruses. To determine the IPs of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19), we applied the item response theory model to detect phase transitions for each country/region and characterize the IP feature on the temporal bar graph (TBG).

Methods: The IP (using the item difficulty parameter to locate) was verified by the differential equation in calculus and interpreted by the TBG with 2 virtual and real empirical data (i.e., from Collatz conjecture and COVID-19 pandemic in 2020). Comparisons of IPs, $R^2$, and burst strength ($BS = \ln(\sqrt{N_{ip}} \times a)$ denoted by the infection number at IP($N_{ip}$) and the item slope parameter(a) in item response theory were made for countries/regions and continents on the choropleth map and the forest plot.

Results: We found that the evolution of COVID-19 on the TBG makes the data clear and easy to understand, the shorter IP (=53.9) was in China and the longest (=247.3) was in Europe, and the highest $R^2$ (as the variance explained by the model) was in the US, with a mean $R^2$ of 0.98. We successfully estimated the IPs for countries/regions on COVID-19 in 2020 and presented them on the TBG.

Conclusion: Temporal visualization is recommended for researchers in future relevant studies (e.g., the evolution of keywords in a specific discipline) and is not merely limited to the IP search in COVID-19 pandemics as we did in this study.

Abbreviations: EGM = exponential growth model, IP = inflection point, IRT = item response theory, TBG = temporal bar graph.
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Key Points

- Many mathematical COVID-19 models have been proposed in the past. None illustrated the IP determination and evaluated the containment of COVID-19 in comparison ever before, particularly using the differential equation in calculus to prove the IP determination on an ogive curve.
- The Collatz conjecture is a simple but unsolved problem in mathematics. The trend of the Collatz sequences, similar to the pattern of COVID-19 pandemics, was analogous to the pandemic observed in countries/regions using the IP to represent the containment of COVID-19 in the past.
- The temporal bar graph modified to display the data of COVID-19 is unique and innovative in numerous fields, such as the evolution of keywords or acronyms in disciplines that need the TBG to highlight the insights of data at a quick glance.

1. Introduction

Despite the obvious extreme importance and extensive research efforts, the inflection points (IP for short or called the tipping
points or turning points in much of the existing literature\(^1\) turned out to be extremely difficult to estimate.\(^2\) The enormous complexity associated with IPs makes accurate predictive modeling nearly impossible\(^3\) (e.g., in COVID-19 situations).

Early warning signals should be developed based on the phenomenon that recovery rates from small disturbances tend to 0 when approaching a tipping point.\(^2,4\) Some laboratory experiments and field surveys have demonstrated the so-called “critical slow down” phenomenon.\(^3,5,6\)

Investigating the IPs of infectious disease outbreaks, including the ongoing coronavirus pandemic, falls under the domain of tipping points and critical transitions.\(^4,6\) Although numerous researchers\(^7-16\) have proposed using mathematical models to predict the number of COVID-19 cases and investigate the IP\(^17-21\) as the ability of containment responding to COVID-19, none of them visualized the IP days for countries/regions in comparison of the containment against COVID-19 infections on a temporal bar graph (TBG) (e.g., numeric data over time corresponding to the area of the horizontal bars\(^22\)). A novel mathematical model to determine the IP days for countries/regions shown on the TBG is required for development during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Nonetheless, building a predictive model for determining the IP days for visualizing the data on the TBG for countries/regions is a challenge that we encountered. Although many mathematical models have been proposed,\(^23,24\) all of these merely emphasize the model accuracy to epidemic outbreaks instead of the data displays that are easily understood about the IP features on the TBG.

The study aims to verify the IP at the location of item difficulty based on item response theory (IRT), demonstrate the use of TBG and interpret the IP features, and compare the differences in IP and \(\text{R}^2\) (as the variance explained by the model) for countries/regions and continents when modeling COVID-19 situations.

2. Methods

2.1. Data source

Two kinds of data were applied to verify the use of TBG, including the virtual one from the Collatz conjecture\(^25,26\) and the real one from the confirmed cases in COVID-19 from the GitHub website\(^27\) for countries/regions (see File 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MD2/A881). All downloaded data are publicly released on the website.\(^27\) Ethical approval was waived since all the data were obtained from the GitHub website.

2.2. The determination of IP on a growth curve

2.2.1. Differential equation in calculus to verify the IP on 2 models

2.2.1.1. The exponential growth model. The exponential growth model (EGM) is expressed in (1)\(^28\):

\[
f(t) = \frac{a}{1 + be^{-ct}},
\]

where \(a\), \(b\), and \(c\) are non-zero constants, \(t\) denotes the time point (e.g., the infected day in COVID-19), and \(e\) is the exponential function denoted by \(f(x) = e^x\) (where the argument \(x\) is written as an exponent).

The 1st- and 2nd-order derivatives \(f'(t)\) and \(f''(t)\) are expressed in (2) and (3)\(^29\) based on the differential equation in calculus:

\[
f'(t) = \left(\frac{a}{1 + be^{-ct}}\right)' = \left[\frac{a(1 + be^{-ct})^{-2}}{(1 + be^{-ct})^2}\right]be^{-ct},
\]

\[
f''(t) = \left[\frac{a(1 + be^{-ct})^{-2}}{(1 + be^{-ct})^2}\right]be^{-ct} = \frac{abce^t}{(1 + be^{-ct})^2}e^{ct}(1 + be^{-ct})^{-3}.
\]

Given that an IP of the primitive function \(f(t)\) exists, its second-order derivative \(f''(t)\) must equal 0. As such, \((1 + be^{-ct})^2 e^{ct}\) and \(e^{ct}(1 + be^{-ct})^{-3}\) in denominators cannot be 0. Because \(a\), \(b\), and \(c\) are non-zero constants defined in (1), we let \(b = -e^t\) equal 0, and then \(t = \ln\frac{b}{c}\). Namely, the IP is equal to \(\ln(b) / c\) when referring to the EGM in (1).

2.2.1.2. The IRT model. The probability can be expressed in IRT based on (4)\(^15,16\):

\[
\text{Pro}(X_m|\theta) = \frac{e^{\theta d(x_m - \delta)}}{1 + e^{\theta d(x_m - \delta)}},
\]

The Eq. (5) can be derived from (4):

\[
f(t) = \frac{e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}}{1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}} = (1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)})^{-1},
\]

where \(d\) as the discrimination parameter is greater than 0, \(\delta\), \(t\) elapsed, is the person’s ability in IRT, and \(\delta\) is the item difficulty (=IP days) that can be verified using the differential equation in calculus via the processes of the first- and second-order derivatives on \(t^{30}\) as described below:

\[
f'(t) = \left(\frac{e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}}{1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}}\right)' = \left(\frac{e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}}{(1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)})^2}\right),
\]

\[
f''(t) = \left(\frac{e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}}{(1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)})^2}\right)' = \left\{\frac{2ae^{\theta d(t - \delta)}[1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}] - e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}[ae^{\theta d(t - \delta)}]]}{(1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)})^3}\right\}
\]

\[
\times (1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)})^{-3} = \left\{-2ae^{\theta d(t - \delta)}[1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}] - e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}[ae^{\theta d(t - \delta)}]\right\}
\]

\[
\times (1 + e^{\theta d(t - \delta)})^{-3} \times (1 + Z)^{-3} = 0
\]

\[
-2aZ[1 + Z] - aZ^2 = 0
\]

\[
-2aZ[1 + Z] = 0
\]

\[
(1 + Z)^3 = 0
\]

Because \(a\) and \(Z\) must be greater than 0, the value of \(1-Z\) equals 0.

Hence, \(1 - Z = 0 = 1 - e^{\theta d(t - \delta)}\)

\[
e^{\theta d(t - \delta)} = 1
\]

\[
a(t - \delta) = \ln(1) = 0
\]
Due to $a > 0$, we confirm that $t - \delta = 0$ and $t = \delta$. Therefore, the IP (at the $t$ point) is at the location of item difficulty ($\delta$).

2.2.2. **Comparison of IP determination in 2 mathematical models.** A simulation study on IP determination based on the 2 EGM and IRT models is demonstrated with an MP4 video.\(^{[31]}\) The comparison of IP at $t = \frac{b}{2}$, or at $t = \delta$, is verified in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

2.3. **Virtual data from the Collatz sequence**

Considering an iterative method over the set of positive integers $N$ defined in a range (e.g., $1–100$),\(^{[126]}\) if $n \in N$ is even, we obtain the positive integer $\frac{n}{2}$ for the next step. On the other hand, if $n \in N$ is odd, we then consider the positive integer $3n + 1$ for the next step. The Collatz conjecture states that independent of the chosen initial value for $n \in N$, the number 1 is eventually reached. The virtual data from the Collatz sequence would be demonstrated in determining IP, drawing the TBG, and capturing the IP features.

2.4. **Real data from COVID-19 on the TBG**

TBG has been applied to visualize the evolution of topic bursts in a bibliographical study.\(^{[28]}\) We enhanced the TBG with IP and the middle point in the data, as described in Figure 1.

2.4.1. **Outer and inner boxes.** The outer bar filled with the light blue stands for the data ranging from the start to the end when the inner box with data higher than a fixed value (named $X_c$ the maximum in all data shown in TBGs $\div 256$, where the interior colors from 0 to 256) in white was compared.

2.4.2. **Heatmaps on the inner box.** Geospatial visualizations often use heatmaps since they quickly help identify “hot spots” or regions of high concentrations of a given variable.\(^{[32]}\) When adapted to temporal visualizations, heatmaps from dark to light colors in the inner box can help us identify that a large amount of data was compared within an attribute (e.g., a country or region suffering from COVID-19).

2.4.3. **Bubbles on the TBG.** In Part A, IP features include 3 types (i.e., left skewness, right skewness, and normal distribution) and 6 stages from incubation, growth, growth+, maturity+, maturity, and decline as the life circle in Part B, where only the last 7-day infections are focused. The 4-quadrant matrix is derived from previous studies\(^{[33,34]}\) using the growth/share matrix (GSM) coined by the Boston Consulting Group in 1970 to classify the evolution of a specific product in features (i.e., growth on the Y-axis and share on the X-axis).\(^{[33,35,36]}\) The decline stage is determined by the criterion in (8).

The criterion of decline exists when $N_m > N_{ip}$, (8) where $N_m$ is the mean infection cases ($= \text{accumulative number} \div \text{the number of } t \text{ at the middle point}$), and $N_{ip}$ is the cumulative number divided by the time points at IP days.

The burst strength (BS) was proposed to denote the critical spot\(^{[28]}\) and defined in (9).

\[
BS = \ln \left( \sqrt{N_{ip}} \times a \right),
\]

where $N_{ip}$ is defined in (9), and the parameter $a$ denotes the item slope parameter in IRT.\(^{[15,16]}\) Two features of growth+ and maturity+ are particularly emphasized by a black horizontal bar on the left (or right) beside the IP when the curve slope is greater than 1.0.

2.5. **The decision rule on the TBG**

The decision rule on TBG is shown in Part C of Figure 1. We examine whether either 1 green or yellow bubble exists on the TBG at first glance. Either a black horizontal bar beside the IP is next. The data skewness toward either the left or right side is the last. Otherwise, the 2nd wave might exist based on the rule: The 2nd wave is at parameter $a < 0.5$ and at the decline stage with 1 yellow bubble at the right-hand side on the TBG.

2.6. **Comparison of IP and $R^2$ in differences when modeling COVID-19**

Comparisons of IPs and $R^2$ among countries/regions and continents were made and shown on the choropleth map\(^{[37]}\) and forest plot.\(^{[38]}\)
3. Results

3.1. TBG using virtual data in the Collatz sequence

There are 10 positive integers used to generate the corresponding Collatz sequences shown in Figure 2. We can see that the number 27 at the top with the highest BC (determined by item parameter a and the number at IP in (9)) is shown in the left column. Because all Collatz(x) eventually reaches 1, the types of evolution stages are classified as Decline at the end (see the last column in Fig. 2).

Some data values near the IPs in the top 4 Collatz sequences are colored in red (as heatmaps) because the data are significantly higher than others. These 4 sequences are skewed toward the left. The other 6 attributes (denoted by integers) are skewed toward the right side. The data values less than 33 (i.e., Xc = 33 in Fig. 2) imply that the blue color in the outer boxes appears in contrast to the white (or red) color in the inner box on the TBG. The data pattern and feature can be clearly observed and captured on the TBG via the outer and inner boxes with the bobble locations.

Other data normally distributed at the bottom present the 2 bubbles overlaid (i.e., the location at the middle point equals that at the IP). Readers are invited to scan the QR code at the top-right side corner on the TBG makes the data clear and easy to understand.

3.2. The TBG using real data from COVID-19

Ten counties/regions with confirmed cases in 2020 are presented in Figure 4. The top 1 with the highest BC is in India (left-skewed data at the top). Hubei Province in China, denoted by the type of maturity+ in the past and stationarity at the end, is in the second row, with right-skewed data (parameter a = 3.0, IP at 15 days, Nip = 2115, and BS = ln(√2115 x 3 = 4.4)). Readers are invited to scan the QR code in Figure 4 and examine the details about the evolution of the COVID-19 situation in the countries/regions of interest in 2020.

Two examples (e.g., India and China) are illustrated in Figure 5 using line charts to display the daily confirmed cases and cumulative ogive curves. The IP and the middle points correspond to the TBG in red and black bubbles for a better understanding of the IP features. Accordingly, we found that the evolution of COVID-19 on the TBG makes the data clear and easy to understand.

3.3. Comparison of IP and $R^2$ in differences when modeling COVID-19

The geospatial distribution of IPs in countries/regions is shown in Figure 6. We can see that the top 3 are Sri Lanka, Malaysia, and Germany using the 3 blue lines linked together. Most regions in China have shorter IPs in white color when compared to the US states. The IP stands for the containment ability against COVID-19.

We found that (1) the shorter IP (=53.9) was observed in China and the longest (=247.3) in Europe (Fig. 7), and (2) the highest $R^2$ (as the variance explained in the model) was in South America, with an average of 0.98 (Fig. 8).

When referring to the data in Figure 6, the provinces and areas with the lower $R^2$ in China are Hebei (=0.7), Yunnan (=0.62), and Tibet (=0 due to only 1 case that occurred on January 24, 2020). The US states with the lower $R^2$ are Massachusetts (=0.75), New Jersey (=0.73), and New York (=0.76).

3.4. Online dashboards shown on Google Maps

All the QR codes in figures are linked to the dashboards. Readers are suggested to examine the displayed dashboards on Google Maps. For instance, the 2nd wave in Taiwan existed in 2020 (see the top panel in Fig. 9 or a yellow bubble and parameter a = 0.2 < 0.5 at the right-hand side appears in Fig. 4), with the IP days at 151 based on the rule: the 2nd wave is at parameter a = 0.2 < 0.5 and at the decline stage with 1 yellow bubble at the right-hand side on the TBG (see the bottom in Fig. 4). In contrast, the IP at 153 for COVID-19 in Taiwan in 2021 is in the bottom panel of Figure 9.

4. Discussion

4.1. Principal findings

We observed that the evolution of COVID-19 on the TBG makes the data clear and easy to understand. The shorter IP (=53.9) was in China and the longest (=247.3) was in Europe, and the highest $R^2$ was in the US, with an average of 0.98.

4.2. Contributions of the study

Previous studies have proposed a method (such as the use of the absolute advantage coefficient) to search for the IP.
location, which is redundant because the IP can be directly determined by observing the item difficulty parameter, as we proved in (7), using a differential equation in calculus and the MP4 video.[31]

Many researchers[7–14] have proposed the use of mathematical models to the number of COVID-19 cases, while others have investigated the IP days during the COVID-19 pandemic.[15–21] None of those studies, but the one,[21] used IP days to compare the containment ability against COVID-19 in countries/regions. Nonetheless, the study[21] did not report how to effectively determine the IP days.

On the other hand, the trend of publications on mobile health research was modeled on the EGM curve along with the IP point at 20[28] but not with the IP determination, as we did in (3),[29] using the differential equation in calculus for verification.

The TBG has been illustrated in bibliographical studies,[22,28] but no such IP points combined on the TBGs, as we demonstrated in Figures 2 and 4. As such, temporal visualization can be further improved when compared to previous studies.[41–43]

Although burst strength has been proposed in the study,[44] the calculation is not as intuitive and easy to understand as we proposed it in (9) and applied it to the TBG in Figures 2 and 4.

The choropleth map[37] can be complemented with the IP displayed on the TBG. The online forest plot[38,45] is another feature that compares the IP and $R^2$ across countries/regions and continents. Google Maps show an online dashboard that is unique and innovative. Readers are invited to examine them in detail on their own to manipulate bubbles and icons on dashboards.

4.3. What it implies and what should be changed

Over 3188 articles published in the PubMed database were searched by using the keyword “inflection point”[45] and 106 in

![Figure 3. The Collatz sequences for the initial numbers of 27 (top) and the pattern of normal distribution.](image)

![Figure 4. Features and types of COVID-19 in 2020 for 10 countries/areas on the temporal bar graph (The 2nd wave with a yellow bubble and parameter $a = 0.2 < 0.5$ on the right-hand side appears in Taiwan).](image)
No such comparisons were made using the IP and $R^2$ on forest plots for countries/regions in COVID-19 and model-data-fit validation until now.

The uses of novel graph-based data models and recommendation techniques have shown promise in recent years. The online dashboard-type representation used in epidemiology is proposed and recommended for future studies and is not limited to the COVID-19 pandemic, as we performed in this study.

The capacity for effective control against COVID-19 should be calculated as reported by South Korea, which has successfully maintained a flat infection curve for more than 50 days. However, if South Korea was selected on the TBG up to the end in 2020, the IP days is 173, which is considerably longer than the IP in Hubei (Ch) at 15. The reason is the second wave of COVID-19 occurring in South Korea at the end of 2020.

The animated dashboards designed for this study also surpass the static images in relevant articles. A picture is worth a
We hope that future related research will be able to make use of the TBG to display the evolution of data on dashboards, as we did in this study.

4.4. Strengths of this study

First, the IP location is proven at the item difficulty in IRT using the differential equation in calculus and makes the following analyses and visualizations possible and feasible, such as IPs on the TBG, the choropleth map, and the forest plot.

Second, the enhanced TBG with IP and other signals makes the data clear and easy to understand and contributions to public health in quantitative analysis method.

Third, the comparison of effective control against COVID-19 in countries/regions and continents can be made, which is rarely seen on the choropleth map and the forest plot in the literature.

Fourth, the abstract MP4 video on how to model the COVID-19 inflections, obtain the IP days, and draw the TBG has been given to readers for replicating the study in the future, particularly with the Solver add-in tool in the MS Excel environment, as in previous studies.15,16,52–54

4.5. Limitations and suggestions

Our study has several limitations that should be mentioned. First, only the IRT model was applied to determine the IP days (or locations). Future studies are required to examine more models to estimate IPs and make comparisons between models.

Second, the Microsoft Solver add-in is not a unique method to estimate model parameters. Many other methods can be applied to study, such as Warm weighted mean likelihood estimate, anchored maximum likelihood estimation, and weighted likelihood estimation. They are worthy of comparison in future research.

Third, visual dashboards are shown on Google Maps. However, these visual presentations are not free of charge. For instance, the Google Maps application programming interface requires a paid project key for the cloud platform. Thus, the limitations of the dashboard are that it is not publicly accessible, and it is difficult to mimic by other authors or programmers for use in a short period of time. Similarly, the paid tool used for presenting online visual representations was applied to display Figures 3 and 5 through JPower.58

Fourth, the 2 Sections 2.2.1.1 and 2.2.1.2 are of importance to the IP determination using differential equations in calculus to verify. The limitation is hard for most readers who are medicos not familiar with math terms. Skipping the 2 sections will not deter them from understanding the meaningfulness of IP and TBG used in epidemic and public-health fields in the future.

Last, although IRT is common and popular in the educational and psychometric field, many readers in public health are unfamiliar with the application of IRT. The IRT model consists of 2 parameters used to estimate the IP that needs some effort to understand or replicate the study through the data and MP4 videos provided in Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/MD2/A881.

5. Conclusion

We successfully estimated the IPs for countries/regions on COVID-19 in 2020 and presented them on the TBG. Temporal visualization is recommended for researchers in future relevant studies and is not merely limited to IP searches in COVID-19 pandemics.
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