miR-989 Is Required for Border Cell Migration in the Drosophila Ovary

Jan-Michael Kugler¹, Ya-Wen Chen¹, Ruifen Weng¹,², Stephen M. Cohen¹,²*

¹ Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Singapore, Singapore, ²Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

Abstract

microRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression by destabilizing target transcripts and/or inhibiting their translation. miRNAs are thought to have roles in buffering gene expression to confer robustness. miRNAs have been shown to play important roles during tissue development to control cell proliferation, differentiation and morphogenesis. Many miRNAs are expressed in the germ line of Drosophila, and functions have been reported for a few miRNAs in maintenance of stem cell proliferation during oogenesis. Here, we analyse the function of Drosophila miR-989 in oogenesis. miR-989 is abundant in ovaries. Mutants lacking miR-989 did not display gross abnormalities affecting egg chamber formation or maturation. However, the migration of the border cell cluster was severely delayed in miR-989 mutant egg chambers. We demonstrate that miR-989 function is required in the somatic cells in the egg chamber, not in germ line cells for border cell migration. Loss of miR-989 from a fraction of the border cell cluster was sufficient to impair cluster migration as a whole, suggesting a role in border cells. Gene ontology analysis reveals that many predicted miR-989 target miRNAs are implicated in regulating cell migration, cell projection morphogenesis, cell adhesion as well as receptor tyrosine kinase and ecdysone signalling, consistent with an important regulatory role for miR-989 in border cell migration.

Introduction

miRNAs are small non-coding RNAs that function as regulators of gene expression in a wide range of biological contexts [1,2]. miRNAs associate with their target transcripts via partial complementary base pairing to target sites which are usually located in the target 3’UTR or in coding sequences [3,4]. In general, miRNAs act as negative regulators of gene expression at the post-transcriptional level by promoting target transcript destabilization and/or by reducing their translation [1,2].

Border cells serve as a model system for the study of collective cell migration during Drosophila oogenesis [5,6,7]. Drosophila eggs mature in compound entities called egg chambers, which are comprised of 16 interconnected germ-line cells that are encapsulated by a monolayer of somatic follicle cells [8] (Fig. 1). One of the 16 germ-line cells differentiates as the oocyte, while the other 15 become polypliod nurse cells, which produce RNAs, proteins and organelles for incorporation into the oocyte to aid its maturation. The somatic follicle cells undergo a complex developmental and morphogenetic program that is tightly linked to germ line development and ultimately leads to the formation of the egg shell [7]. A subset of follicle cells, called border cells, has a special role during oogenesis, which involves an invasive, directed, cell migration. During stage 8 of oogenesis the border cells are specified at the anterior pole of the follicular epithelium and start to express the C/EBP transcription factor, Slow border cells (Sib; Fig 1A). The border cells detach from the follicular epithelium and migrate as a cluster toward the oocyte during stage 9 to 10A (Fig. 1B, C). At stage 10B, the border cell cluster has reached the anterior face of the oocyte and migrates laterally to its anterodorsal position (Fig. 1D). Specification of the border cells and the transition to coordinated cell migration involve several conserved signalling pathways and extensive remodelling of the cytoskeleton and cell adhesion properties [5,6,7]. The JAK/STAT pathway is required for border cell specification and for migration [9,10,11]. Ecdysone signalling regulates the timing of border cell specification [12,13,14]. Within the border cells, the receptor tyrosine kinases EGFR and PVR interpret guidance cues produced by the oocyte to direct anterior migration and later dorsal migration of the cluster [15,16]. Homophilic adhesive interactions between border cells and the nurse cells involving Cadherins are crucial for normal cluster migration [17].

In this report, we identify the miRNA miR-989 as a regulator of border cell migration. We show that border cell migration is delayed in miR-989 mutant egg chambers, and that this phenotype can be rescued by transgenic expression of the miRNA. Moreover, we demonstrate that miR-989 is active in the somatic cells of the egg chamber and required in border cells for efficient migration. Predicted targets encompass most of the pathways known to be involved in regulation of border cell migration.

Results and Discussion

Deep sequencing of an ovarian small RNA library identified miR-989 as the most abundant miRNA species in the Drosophila ovary, constituting 15.9% of all annotated sequencing reads [18].
To test whether miR-989 has an important function during oogenesis, we generated a deletion allele (designated miR-989\textsuperscript{KO}) by ends-out homologous recombination \[19,20\]. Deletion of the miR-989 gene was confirmed by PCR on genomic DNA (not shown). Ovaries derived from young females bearing the miR-989\textsuperscript{KO} allele in trans to a genomic deficiency (\textit{Df}(2R)\textit{Exel7130}) uncovering the miR-989 locus proved to be morphologically normal (not shown).

**Delayed border cell migration**

We observed that border cell migration was frequently delayed in \textit{miR-989\textsuperscript{KO}}/\textit{Df}(2R)\textit{Exel7130} ovaries compared to controls and quantitated this phenotype during two stages of egg chamber development (Fig 2). During late stage S9 and S10A, we measured the distance between the leading border cell and the anterior-most cells in the sheet of follicle cells, as it migrates toward the oocyte (Fig 2A). In all control genotypes, border cells kept pace with the advancing sheet of external follicle cells (Fig. 2B). In contrast, the border cell cluster lagged behind the follicular epithelium in homozygous \textit{miR-989\textsuperscript{KO}} egg chambers (\(p < 0.001\) in comparison to the heterozygous control). Similar results were obtained when the \textit{miR-989\textsuperscript{KO}} allele was placed in trans to two independent genomic deficiencies (\textit{Df}(2R)\textit{50C-38} and \textit{Df}(2R)\textit{Exel7130}) that uncover the miR-989 locus (\(p < 0.001\) compared to all controls, Fig 2B). In wild type egg chambers, border cells typically have reached the oocyte by stage 10B and have begun to migrate toward their final

---

**Figure 1. Morphology of mid-oogenesis egg chambers and border cell migration.** Mid-oogenesis egg chambers labelled with Phalloidin (green) and border cell marker \(\alpha\)-Slbo (white). The germ line derived nurse cell (NC) cluster and oocyte (O) as well as the somatic follicular epithelium (FE), which encapsulates the germ line cells, are identified. A Stage S8 egg chamber. Slbo-positive border cells form in the FE anterior to the NC cluster (arrow). B Stage S9 egg chamber. The FE migrates towards the oocyte where it forms a columnar epithelium. Follicle cells stretch over the NC cluster to form a flat epithelium. The border cells (arrow) migrate through the NC cluster, roughly in parallel to the leading edge of the migrating external follicle cell sheet (arrowheads). C Stage S10A egg chamber. Migration of the border cell cluster and the migrating FE have essentially completed. D Stage S10B egg chamber. The centripetal follicle cells migrate over the anterior face of the oocyte (arrowheads).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067075.g001

**Figure 2. Border cell migration is delayed in \textit{miR-989} mutant egg chambers.** A Late stage 9 egg chambers, labelled with \(\alpha\)-Slbo (white) and Phalloidin (green). Border cell clusters are highlighted by arrows. In \textit{miR-989} mutant egg chambers, border cells were frequently delayed relative to the migrating main body follicular epithelium. B Quantification of the border cell migration phenotype in stage 9 and stage 10A egg chambers. Border cell migration is delayed in \textit{miR-989} mutant egg chambers compared to heterozygous control egg chambers (**p < 0.001**). X-Axis labels are in \(\mu\)m, error bars denote standard deviation. C Stage 10B egg chambers, labelled with \(\alpha\)-Slbo (white) and Phalloidin (green). Border cell clusters are highlighted by arrows. Frequently, border cells had not reached the oocyte by this stage in \textit{miR-989} mutant egg chambers. D Quantification of the border cell migration phenotype in stage 10B egg chambers.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067075.g002
anterodorsal position. In S10B egg chambers lacking miR-989, border cells were frequently found within the nurse cell compartment. We quantitated this phenotype by scoring whether the border cells had reached the oocyte (“not delayed”), or whether they were still found in the anterior half (“>50% delayed”) or posterior half (“<50% delayed”) of the nurse cell compartment (Fig. 2C). Using this scoring scheme, we found that most border cell clusters in the heterozygous control egg chambers had arrived at the oocyte at stage 10B. Over 1/3 of border cell clusters derived from miR-989 mutant females were delayed. These observations suggested that miR-989 is required for some aspect of border cell migration towards the oocyte.

**miR-989 activity in somatic cells**

Border cell migration depends on guidance signals from germ-line cells, signal interpretation by the border cells to produce directed migration and interaction between the two cell types to allow movement of the border cell cluster on and between the nurse cells [5,6,7]. We therefore asked whether miR-989 was acting in the somatic cells or germ line cells of the ovary. As a first step to address this question, we generated transgenic flies that express a miRNA sensor [21] for miR-989. This sensor encodes eGFP expressed ubiquitously under control of a tubulin promoter, followed by a 3’ UTR containing two target sites for miR-989. In a wild type background, sensor-directed GFP was present in all the germ line cells, but GFP was not detected in the somatic follicle cells (Fig. 3 A, C). In the miR-989 mutant background, a homogenous GFP signal was also observed in all somatic cells, including the border cell cluster while GFP expression in the germ line cells was unchanged (Fig. 3B, D, arrows). This suggests that miR-989 is predominantly active in the somatic follicle cells.

**miR-989 is required in somatic cells for efficient border cell migration**

The predominant pattern of follicle cell expression suggested that miR-989 activity may be required in the follicle cells or the border cells to promote normal border cell migration. To test this idea, we generated mosaic egg chambers that were partially wild-type and partially mutant for miR-989 and scored the migratory behaviour of the border cells. We found that wild-type border cells migrated normally when the germ line cells lacked miR-989 (Fig. 4A, left panel). In contrast, migration was delayed when somatic cells including the border cell cluster were mutant for miR-989 but the germ line was wild-type (Fig. 4B, right panel). The quantification of these observations is shown in Figure 4(B, C). Border cell migration was delayed in a statistically significant manner (p<0.001) when all cells in the border cell cluster were mutant for miR-989, but the germ cells were wild-type. We did not...
observe a statistically significant delay in egg chambers in which the border cells were wild-type but the germline was mutant for miR-989. These results provide evidence that miR-989 is required in somatic cells for normal migration, but dispensable in the germline.

We also observed egg chambers in which the border cell cluster was partially wild type and partially mutant for miR-989 while germline was wild-type (Fig. 4B, C). Intriguingly, such mixed genotype border cell clusters were also delayed in their migration (p<0.001, compared to control egg chambers). The delay was similar in magnitude to that of completely mutant clusters (p>0.1 between completely and partially mutant border cell clusters). This suggests that lack of miR-989 in just some border cells is sufficient to cause delays affecting the entire cluster. In other words, the presence of wild-type border cells cannot compensate for the lack of miR-989 in some cells. However, we do not exclude the possibility that loss of miR-989 from other somatic cells might contribute to the border cell migration phenotype.

Figure 4. Clonal analysis demonstrates a somatic requirement for miR-989 for normal border cell migration. A Genetic mosaics: wild type cells are labelled by nuclear GFP (upper panel) while miR-989 mutant cells do not express GFP. The middle panels are labelled with phalloidin to highlight the F-actin cytoskeleton. The two egg chambers shown represent extreme cases of mosaicism. In the stage 10A egg chamber on the left all somatic cells are wild-type (GFP positive), while all germline cells are mutant for miR-989 (GFP negative). The border cells were not delayed in their migration. In the stage 10A egg chamber shown on the right all germline cells are wild type (GFP positive) while all somatic cells are mutant for miR-989 (GFP negative). Border cell migration was strongly delayed. B Quantification of the migration defects in migrating border cells in late stage 9 and stage 10A egg chambers. GL denotes germline, and BC border cells. Migration was strongly delayed if the border cells were mutant, but not if the germline cells lacked miR-989. We observed a population of border cell clusters that were partially wild-type and partially mutant for miR-989. In these cases, border cell migration was also delayed in comparison to controls. *** indicates p<0.001. C Quantification of the migration defects in stage 10B egg chambers. Wild type border cells migrated normally when the germline was mutant for miR-989. Conversely, miR-989 mutant border cells were delayed when the germline was wild type.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067075.g004
The border cell migration phenotype was rescued by transgenic expression of miR-989. A–D Expression of miR-989 in border cells in a miR-989 mutant background suppressed the delayed border cell migration phenotype. Presence of GFP indicates transgenic miR-989 expression. A Example of a mosaic egg chamber in which miR-989 and GFP were expressed in border cells and border cell migration was normal. B Example of a mosaic egg chamber in which miR-989 and GFP were not expressed in border cells, and border cell migration was dramatically delayed. C Quantification of border cell migration progress in mosaic stage 9 and stage 10A egg chambers. Mosaic egg chambers in which GFP was not expressed in border cells, and border cell migration was normal. D Quantification of border cell migration progression in mosaic stage 10B egg chambers. Border cells transgenically expressing miR-989 were delayed in their migration. Transgenic expression of miR-989 in border cells of sibling mosaics partially suppressed the delayed border cell phenotype. In contrast, miR-989 and GFP negative border cells in sibling mosaics were strongly delayed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067075.g005

predicted miR-989 targets

miR-989 target predictions were obtained from TargetScanFly [22], TargetScanFly ORF [3], MitoTar [3] and miRNA.org [25]. Together, these algorithms identify 724 non-redundant candidate target transcripts. We performed process GO term analysis using the GO term enrichment analysis tool (GOrilla) [23]. This analysis revealed 163 enriched GO terms. Among these were potentially informative GO term nodes with lower GO term hierarchy level which were significantly enriched: ‘cell migration’ (enriched 5.7 fold, p = 1.6 × 10^{-7}, 31 putative target genes), ‘cell adhesion’ (enriched 2.6 fold, p = 7.4 × 10^{-7}, 25 putative targets), ‘cell projection morphogenesis’ (enriched 2.4 fold, p = 8.6 × 10^{-5}, 23 putative targets), ‘transmembrane receptor protein tyrosine kinase signaling pathway’ (enriched 2.7 fold, p = 2.9 × 10^{-4}, 16 putative targets) and ‘response to ecdysone’ (enriched 4.6 fold, p = 6.3 × 10^{-4}, 7 putative targets). miRNAs typically downregulate their targets, in part through target transcript destabilization. It is therefore expected that target levels would increase in miRNA mutant cells. The ovary has two main cell types: somatic and germ line. Somatic cells, where miR-989 is expressed, comprise a small fraction of the total tissue. Because the border cells are only a small fraction of the somatic cells, we have not attempted to validate upregulation of candidate target genes in miR-989 mutant border cells by monitoring target RNA levels.

The border cell migration defect can be rescued by transgenic miR-989 expression

To confirm that lack of miR-989 was responsible for the border cell migration defects described above, we expressed miR-989 from an UAS transgene. Expression with the Slbo-Gal4 driver did not rescue border cell migration in a miR-989 mutant background. In contrast, miR-989 expression under the control of a heat-shock inducible actin-flip-out-Gal4 cassette was able to rescue. This technique allowed us to restore miRNA expression in subsets of cells that were positively marked by presence of GFP. GFP-negative border cell clusters lacking miR-989 were delayed in their migration (Fig. 5A, C, D), whereas GFP-positive border cell clusters with transgenic miR-989 expression migrated almost normally (Fig. 5B, C, D; p<0.001). This demonstrates that loss of miR-989 was responsible for the delayed border cell migration phenotype in the miR-989 mutant egg chambers. miR-989 is expressed in the somatic cells throughout egg chamber development. In the flip-out clonal experiment, Gal4 expression was induced well before the onset of border cell migration. The Slbo gene turns on in stage 8, shortly before border cell migration begins. Expression of a miRNA under Slbo-Gal4 control is expected to take some time to effectively repress its targets. Comparing the Slbo-Gal4 and flip-out clonal rescue results suggests that miR-989 may be required from the onset of border cell migration.
towards the oocyte. Likewise, while they do need to adhere to the nurse cell membranes, through homophilic interactions of DE-cadherin [17] they must not adhere too tightly in order to migrate efficiently. In this light, it is interesting to note that loss of miR-989 from a subset of border cells is sufficient to cause border cell migration delays. Since border cells adhere tightly to each other [5,6,7], it is easily conceivable that few cells that adhere too tightly to the follicular epithelium or the migration substrate would impair migration of the entire cluster. This has been documented for clusters partially mutant for Slbo [25], which affects border cell specification and for clusters partially mutant for DE-Cadherin [17]. miR-989 could act on multiple targets including those affecting the processes discussed above to permit normal border cell migration.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks

The miR-989KO allele was generated using pRMCE as described [19,20]. The UAS-miR-989 transgene was cloned into pUAST-attB-SLIC [26] and integrated into the landing site 86Fb to generate pT-989KO:Fb. To generate the miR-989 sensor, oligos encoding two perfect miR-989 binding sites were annealed and cloned into the tab->gfp transgene [21]. The sensor was then transformed by P-element mediated germ line transformation and individual transgenic lines were established by standard procedures. Oligonucleotide sequences are available on request. The genomic deficiencies Df(2R)Exel7130 and Df(2R)50C-38 and the hsFLP; FRT42A ubiGFPtrans strain were obtained from the Bloomington stock centre. Actin-Flipout-Gal4 (AFG) UAS-10xGFP strain were obtained from the Bloomington stock centre.

Materials and Methods

Fly stocks

The miR-989KO allele was generated using pRMCE as described [19,20]. The UAS-miR-989 transgene was cloned into pUAS-T-attB-SLIC [26] and integrated into the landing site 86Fb to generate pT-989KO:Fb. To generate the miR-989 sensor, oligos encoding two perfect miR-989 binding sites were annealed and cloned into the tab->gfp transgene [21]. The sensor was then transformed by P-element mediated germ line transformation and individual transgenic lines were established by standard procedures. Oligonucleotide sequences are available on request. The genomic deficiencies Df(2R)Exel7130 and Df(2R)50C-38 and the hsFLP; FRT42A ubiGFPtrans strain were obtained from the Bloomington stock centre. Actin-Flipout-Gal4 (AFG) UAS-10xGFP was obtained from the Rørth lab [27]. For mosaic analysis (Fig. 4), males bearing a recombinant FRT42A miR-989KO chromosome were crossed to adult females for analysis.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 GO term analysis of predicted miR-989 targets. Figure S1 shows a directed acyclic graph created by the GOrilla interface. It shows GO term enrichment of predicted miR-989 targets (color coded).

Table S1 GO terms enriched among the predicted miR-989 targets. Table S1 shows an annotated list of the GO terms that are enriched among predicted miR-989 targets.
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