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Abstract

A comprehensive set of thin-ply pseudo-ductile unidirectional interlayer hybrid composite materials comprising S-glass and a variety of thin carbon prepregs was designed and characterised. Unique elastic–yielding–hardening type stress–strain responses similar to those of ductile metals were achieved through fragmentation and stable pull-out of the carbon layers, generating a range of initial moduli, pseudo-yield strains, plateau stresses and pseudo ductile strains for the various configurations. The typical failure modes of thin-ply hybrid composites were highlighted in four series of stress–strain graphs obtained for the same materials with different carbon layer thicknesses. The predicted failure modes agreed well with the experimental results and demonstrated the merit of our two step design framework based on (i) simple analytical criteria and (ii) novel damage mode maps.

1. Introduction

High performance fibre reinforced composites offer outstanding strength and stiffness together with low density, therefore they are traditionally considered for advanced lightweight applications such as aero-structures, spacecraft, motorsports, high specification sports equipment, etc. However, their relatively high material and manufacturing cost and their usually brittle, catastrophic failure strains well beyond 10% was reported [4–7]. The trade-off for high performance long fibre reinforced thermoset polymer matrix composites (i.e. fibres such as glass, carbon and thermosetting resins such as epoxy, unsaturated polyester) are intrinsically brittle [1]. Modified matrix systems including hybrid resins [2] can only provide a small increase in properties such as impact and fatigue resistance because the mechanical response of composites is usually fibre dominated.

Development of new ductile fibres is challenging although nanotube fibre spinning seems promising [3] but it is a long process to verify and commercialise a new fibre. Ductile steel fibres have also been investigated as reinforcement for composites especially after low diameter filaments of high performance annealed stainless steel emerged recently. Excellent ductility with tensile failure strains well beyond 10% was reported [4–7]. The trade-off for high failure strains and ductile failure in these composites seems to be their high density and moderate performance compared to carbon/epoxy.

An alternative approach is to modify the architecture of traditional laminated composites e.g. by generating additional strain through the realignment of off-axis fibres [8–10] or out of plane waviness [11], which can generate extra strain before failure by allowing reorientation of the fibres. Interface modification on the fibre [12] and on the ply level [13] as well as designed discontinuities [14,15] are also suitable for delaying fracture and generating non-linearity through controlled damage before final failure. Hybridisation of commercially available unidirectional (UD) plies is another approach to maintain high initial modulus and potentially introduce a gradual failure, although it usually results in...
unfavourable major load drops when the lower strain fibres break. Our intention is to address this issue and fully exploit the benefits of hybridisation for progressive, pseudo-ductile failure.

Intensive research on hybrid composites typically containing glass and carbon fibres was initiated early in the 1970s and focussed on improving the low strain and brittle failure of early carbon composites. A few review papers summarised the structure and properties of the hybrids studied over the first decades [16–20]. Good potential for improving the brittle failure of single fibre type composites was reported with two major approaches: (i) interlayer or ply-by-ply hybrids [21–24] and (ii) intimately mixed or intermingled hybrids [25,26]. A more recent review by Swolfs et al. [27] pointed out the potential for adding pseudo-ductility to high performance composites as an emerging field for hybrid composites. Intermingling of continuous fibres proved to be challenging and only moderate degree of dispersion has been reported using productive continuous technologies such as tow spreading [28]. On the other hand, very promising results were presented recently by Yu et al. with a new technique to manufacture highly aligned short fibre composites [29] and well dispersed intermingled short fibre hybrids [30], which showed pseudo-ductile failure. In this study we focussed on interlayer hybrids, which are simpler to manufacture by stacking layers of different prepregs together. The potential for achieving pseudo-ductility was demonstrated earlier by the authors [31,32] using standard thickness E-glass and emerging thin-ply carbon prepregs suitable for suppressing unstable delamination in UD interlayer hybrid composites during and after the fragmentation of the low strain material of the hybrid. Although the initial results were promising, the extent of pseudo-ductile strains was moderate because of the limited glass/epoxy strength.

The recently introduced thin ply composites have generated high interest and have been studied extensively [33–42] because of their unique potential to allow for highly dispersed lay-up designs which result in favourable damage suppression properties. The general conclusion of the cited studies is that the onset of damage is delayed and the strength is usually increased in unnotched thin-ply composites because premature matrix cracking and delamination can be suppressed due to the lower energy release rates with thin plies, but the final failure in return becomes more brittle. Thin carbon layers in interlayer hybrids on the contrary show favourable progressive damage (i.e. fragmentation) by suppressing unstable delamination after the first carbon layer fracture in a glass–carbon hybrid laminate due to low energy released [31]. The unique, so-called stable pull-out damage type refers to the stable delamination of the carbon layer fragments from the undamaged glass layers. Stable pull-out takes place after the onset of carbon layer fragmentation, but well before final failure and therefore it is a key enabling damage mechanism of pseudo-ductile thin-ply UD hybrid composites together with fragmentation.

The aim of this research is to develop a set of immediately applicable pseudo-ductile composite materials exploiting the demonstrated thin-ply hybrid concept [31], the recently developed analytical modelling and design tools [43,44] and a comprehensive new set of constituent materials with a wide range of properties. The developed new UD pseudo-ductile composites are expected to be suitable for some specific applications where tensile loading is highly dominant and also provide a strong foundation for further development of more versatile pseudo-ductile materials.

2. Material and configuration design

This section gives details of the overall concept, the applied materials and the design considerations to assure a stable pseudo-ductile failure of the hybrid laminates.

2.1. Concept

Thin-ply UD interlayer hybrid composites have recently demonstrated the potential for pseudo-ductility [31] through fragmentation and stable pull-out of a low strain (carbon) layer in the centre of the laminate from the outer high strain (glass) layers. In addition, the hybrid composites have about 5% lower density and typically minimum 20% higher initial modulus than the baseline glass/epoxy. However in the demonstration phase of the research, standard E-glass was applied for the high strain layers, which did not have a high enough strain margin especially when hybridised with high strength carbon fibres, therefore no increase in stress was achieved after pseudo-yielding (after the knee point marked with (1) on Fig. 1). Advanced design tools, higher performance S-glass and a variety of the available thin carbon prepregs were utilised in the present study resulting in a range of pseudo-ductile responses, with wide stress and strain margins between the initiation of damage and final failure. Fig. 1 shows the expected stress–strain response and the typical appearance of a UD thin-ply interlayer glass/carbon hybrid composite specimen at successive damage phases (carbon fragmentation and stable pull-out). The key feature of the expected response compared with our previous results which offered a relatively short, flat stress plateau, is the second rising part after a much longer plateau. This beneficial extra feature is achievable with advanced high strength S-glass prepregs, which can provide a stress margin and extended strain margin before final failure.

2.2. Materials

The set of available thin-ply UD prepreg materials was found to be very limited. Therefore the design of the configurations was significantly limited by material availability especially by the lack of thin S-glass in a tough epoxy matrix. The materials considered for design, and used for the experiments were standard thickness S-glass/epoxy prepregs supplied by Hexcel, thin S3-glass/epoxy from North Thin Ply Technology and various thin carbon/epoxy prepregs from SK Chemicals and North TPT. The epoxy resin systems in the prepregs were the aerospace grade 913 (Hexcel), ThinPreg 120 EPHTg-402 (North TPT) and K50 (SK chemicals). All resins in the hybrid laminates were 120 °C cure epoxies, which were found to be compatible, although no details were provided by the suppliers on the chemical formulation of the resins. Good integrity of the hybrid laminates was confirmed during test procedures and no phase separation was observed on cross sectional micrographs. Basic properties of the applied fibres and prepreg systems can be found in Tables 1 and 2.

2.3. Design of hybrid laminates

The following design criteria were identified and published earlier [31] to assure stable pseudo-ductile failure for UD glass/carbon interlayer hybrids. These criteria were adopted here for the preliminary design of similar interlayer hybrid configurations with new sets of constituent prepregs:

- (i) The outer, high strain layers need to be thick and strong enough to take the full load after low strain material fracture and pull-out with a sufficient margin. This is required to account for stress concentration in the glass layer due to the carbon layer fracture which is not considered in this approach, but shown to be moderate for similar interlayer hybrid configurations [43]. Formula (1) gives the minimum strength of the high strain layer for given layer thicknesses and initial moduli, which is usually determined by the available prepreg types.
Fig. 1. Schematic of the stress–strain response of conventional and thin-ply interlayer hybrid composites and typical appearance of thin-ply hybrid specimens at successive damage phases (dark areas show bonded, light areas show damaged glass/carbon interface through the translucent outer glass layer).

Table 1
Fibre properties of the applied UD prepregs based on manufacturer’s data (carbon fibre types: SM – standard modulus, IM – intermediate modulus, HM – high modulus and UHM – ultra-high modulus).

| Fibre type               | Manufacturer         | Tensile modulus [GPa] | Strain to failure [%] | Tensile strength [GPa] | Density [g/cm³] |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Pyrofil TR30 carbon      | Mitsubishi Rayon     | 234 (SM)              | 1.9                   | 4.41                   | 1790            |
| Pyrofil MR40 carbon      | Mitsubishi Rayon     | 295 (IM)              | 1.5                   | 4.41                   | 1760            |
| Pyrofil HS40 carbon      | Mitsubishi Rayon     | 455 (HM)              | 1.0                   | 4.61                   | 1850            |
| Torayaca T1000 carbon    | Toray                | 294 (IM)              | 2.2                   | 6.37                   | 1800            |
| Torayaca M55JB carbon    | Toray                | 540 (HM)              | 0.8                   | 4.02                   | 1910            |
| Granoc XN80 carbon       | Nippon GFC           | 780 (UHM)             | 0.5                   | 3.43                   | 2170            |
| S3 UHM glass             | AGY advanced materials | 99                    | –                     | 3.30                   | 2830            |
| FliteStrand S ZT S-glass | Owens corning        | 88                    | 5.5                   | 4.8–5.1                | 2450            |

Table 2
Cured ply properties of the applied UD prepregs.

| Prepreg material       | Manufacturer       | Fibre areal mass a [g/m²] | Cured ply thickness b [µm] | Fibre volume fraction a [%] | Initial modulus b [GPa] |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| TR30/epoxy             | SK chemicals       | 21 [31]                   | 28.9 [31]                 | 41 [31]                   | 101.7 [8]             |
| MR40/epoxy             | SK chemicals       | 50                        | 61.4                      | 45                        | 134.6                 |
| HS40/epoxy             | SK chemicals       | 65                        | 70.3                      | 50                        | 229.2                 |
| T1000/epoxy            | North TPT          | 28                        | 32.3                      | 48                        | 143.3                 |
| M55/epoxy              | North TPT          | 30                        | 30.5                      | 52                        | 280.0                 |
| XN80/epoxy             | North TPT          | 50                        | 50.5                      | 46                        | 357.5                 |
| S3-glass/epoxy         | North TPT          | 85                        | 49.2                      | 61                        | 61.7                  |
| S-glass/epoxy          | Hexcel             | 190                       | 155.1                     | 51                        | 45.6 [45]             |

Values with references were determined experimentally by our group previously.

a Based on manufacturer’s datasheet.
b Calculated using manufacturer’s data.

\[
\sigma_{1b} > \frac{\sigma_{2b}(2E_1t_1 + E_2t_2)}{2E_1t_1} \quad (1)
\]

where \( E_1 \) is the initial modulus of the high strain (glass) layers, \( E_2 \) is the initial modulus of the low strain (carbon) layer, \( t_1 \) is the thickness of one high strain layer, \( t_2 \) is the thickness of the low strain layer as shown on Fig. 3, \( \sigma_{1b} \) is the breaking stress of the high strain layers, \( \sigma_{2b} \) is the breaking stress of the low strain layer.

(ii) The energy release rate \( (G_{II}) \) at the expected failure strain of the low strain (carbon) layer must be lower than the mode II fracture toughness \( (G_{IIc}) \) of the interface to avoid delamination of the central low strain layer after its first fracture. This criterion assures the condition for the multiple fractures (i.e. fragmentation) and stable pull-out of the low strain layer.

\[
G_{IIc} > G_{II} = \frac{\sigma_{2b}^2E_2t_2(2E_1t_1 + E_2t_2)}{8E_1t_1} \quad (2)
\]

where \( \sigma_{2b} \) is the failure strain of the low strain layer.

The preliminary design resulted in the material configurations summarised in Table 3. The fracture toughness \( (G_{IIc}) \) of the glass/carbon composite interface was measured earlier in [46] for UD E-glass/ TR30 carbon hybrid specimens, where the central carbon plies were cut perpendicular to the fibres before lay-up and curing. The hybrid specimens were made with the same 913 resin in the E-glass prepreg as that in the S-glass prepreg applied in this study. Based on our previous results obtained from specimens comprising the same resin in the glass prepregs, 1.0 N/mm was used as an estimated \( G_{IIc} \) for all S-glass configurations. A lower \( G_{IIc} \) value was assumed for the S3G/M55/S3G configuration where the S3-glass prepreg was made with North TPT’s resin which exhibited lower toughness during the tests of similar interlayer hybrids of other materials comprising the same resin system. A change from stable to unstable failure was observed in configurations having \( G_{II} > \)
shown in Table 4. at the initial slope line at failure stress and the final failure strain as delamination. The pseudo-ductile strain is defined between a point cate favourable pseudo-ductile damage process and the white and the achievable pseudo-ductile strains for the selected configu-
hybrid configuration. The maps show the expected damage modes of the carbon layer so every point on the map represents a specific full thickness and the vertical one shows the absolute thickness axis of each map shows the carbon layer thickness relative to the expected damage modes explained briefly in Fig. 2f. The horizontal
regions (3) and (4) in Fig. 2 a, therefore there is a risk of limited pseudo-ductile strain here, because only fragmentation, but no
stable delamination is predicted. If there is no stable delamination around a crack in the carbon layer, the stiffness reduction will be minor, and a high stress-concentration may arise and break the surrounding glass layers resulting in the final failure of the whole specimen earlier than in a stably delaminating configuration.

### Table 3

| Spec. Type | Fibre areal mass [g/m²] | Nominal thickness [mm] | Relative carbon layer thickness [-] | Calculated $G_{IC}$ at carbon fibre failure strain [N/mm] | Approx. $G_{IC}$ [N/mm] |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| SG/TR30/SG | 190/21/190              | 0.339                  | 0.085                              | 0.306 at 1.9%                                     | 1                      |
| SG/TR30/SG | 190/42/190              | 0.368                  | 0.157                              | 0.715 at 1.9%                                     | 1                      |
| SG/TR30/SG | 190/63/190              | 0.397                  | 0.218                              | 1.225 at 1.9%                                     | 1                      |
| SG/TR30/SG | 380/84/380              | 0.736                  | 0.157                              | 1.430 at 1.9%                                     | 1                      |
| SG/MR40/SG | 190/50/190              | 0.372                  | 0.165                              | 0.736 at 1.5%                                     | 1                      |
| SG/HS40/SG | 190/65/190              | 0.381                  | 0.185                              | 0.861 at 1%                                       | 1                      |
| SG/H540/SG | 380/69/380              | 0.691                  | 0.102                              | 0.632 at 1%                                       | 1                      |
| SG/T1000/SG | 190/28/190              | 0.343                  | 0.094                              | 0.744 at 2.2%                                     | 1                      |
| S3G/M55/S3G | 85/30/85                | 0.129                  | 0.236                              | 0.328 at 0.8%                                     | 0.5                    |
| SG/XN80/SG | 190/100/190             | 0.411                  | 0.246                              | 0.802 at 0.5%                                     | 1                      |

0.5 N/mm therefore this value was adopted as an approximation for $G_{IC}$. Most of the predicted $G_{IC}$ energy release rates in the table are lower than the critical value ($G_{IC}$), therefore stable, pseudo-
ductile failure is expected for these specimen types. The two con-
fugurations with three and four TR30 carbon layers (the one with four TR30 plies is a scaled version of the one with two TR30 plies) have energy release rates higher than the estimated fracture toughness of the carbon/glass layer interfaces and so were not expected to show pseudo-ductility. These were tested to confirm the assumed energy release rate values and presented to give a more comprehensive set of failure behaviours of UD interlayer hybrids.

A novel representation of the damage modes of interlayer hybrid composites developed recently by the authors [43,44] was applied to the tested configurations of this study and presented in Fig. 2 to show their expected damage modes. Each map divides all possible configurations of a material pair into four groups associated with four possible damage sequences of UD interlayer hybrid composites i.e. (1) premature glass failure: the whole hybrid specimen fails at first carbon fracture, (2) unstable delamina-
tion: the layers delaminate at first carbon fracture, (3) carbon layer fragmentation: the energy released at first carbon layer fracture is not enough to drive unstable delamination, so other frac-
tures take place in the carbon layer until they saturate, (4) carbon fragmentation and stable delamination: the energy release rate exceeds the critical value before final failure, therefore the fragmented carbon segments are pulled-out stably from the glass layers. The maps can easily be used as a design tool to achieve optimal hybrids with desired damage modes. Full details of the possible damage scenarios and the construction of the maps can be found in [43,44].

Each damage mode map in Fig. 2 has four regions with the expected damage modes explained briefly in Fig. 2f. The horizontal axis of each map shows the carbon layer thickness relative to the full thickness and the vertical one shows the absolute thickness of the carbon layer so every point on the map represents a specific hybrid configuration. The maps show the expected damage modes and the achievable pseudo-ductile strains for the selected configu-
rations marked with circles. The coloured regions of the map indicate favourable pseudo-ductile damage process and the white regions show either premature glass layer failure or catastrophic delamination. The pseudo-ductile strain is defined between a point at the initial slope line at failure stress and the final failure strain as shown in Table 4.

Each damage mode map in Fig. 2 was generated assuming a $G_{IC} = 1$ N/mm fracture toughness (except for the S3-glass/M55 configuration with $G_{IC} = 0.5$ N/mm) and the first carbon layer fracture at the failure strain of the corresponding constituent fibres (see Table 1).

The glass layer failure was predicted using a statistical strength distribution based on the fibre failure strain and typical Weibull parameters for glass fibres. Stress concentrations around the fractured low strain layer were taken into account as explained in [43], but those at the end-tab region were not considered, there-
fore the predicted pseudo-ductile strains represent upper bounds. Eight of the ten different configurations marked with circles on the damage mode maps are expected to fail in a stable pseudo-
ductile way while the remaining two S-glass/TR30 configurations with thick carbon layers are included in Fig. 2a for completeness only. The majority of the pseudo-ductile configurations fall into the most advantageous region (4) (carbon layer fragmentation + stable pull-out), where the highest pseudo-ductile strain can be achieved. Only the SG/TR30/SG configuration is at the border of regions (3) and (4) in Fig. 2a, therefore there is a risk of limited pseudo-ductile strain here, because only fragmentation, but no stable delamination is predicted. If there is no stable delamination around a crack in the carbon layer, the stiffness reduction will be minor, and a high stress-concentration may arise and break the surrounding glass layers resulting in the final failure of the whole specimen earlier than in a stably delaminating configuration.

### 3. Experimental

#### 3.1. Specimen geometry

The specimens tested within the experimental part of the study were UD, parallel edge tensile specimens. Nominal specimen dimensions were 240/160/20/h mm overall length/$L_f$-free length/ $W$-width/$h$-variable thickness respectively. Fig. 3 shows the geometric parameters on the side and top view schematics of a tensile specimen.

#### 3.2. Specimen manufacturing

The interlayer hybrid specimens were made by stacking the specified prepreg layers on top of each other, vacuum bagging the composite plate and curing it in an autoclave according to the longest of the recommended cure cycles of the constituent pre-
pregs at their common 120 °C cure temperature and 0.7 MPa pressure. The individual specimens were fabricated with a diamond cutting wheel. Finally 40 mm long cross-ply glass/epoxy tabs were bonded to the ends of the specimens.

#### 3.3. Test method

Testing of the parallel edge specimens was executed under uni-
axial tensile loading and displacement control at a crosshead speed of 2 mm/min on a computer controlled Instron 8801 type 100 kN
Fig. 2. Damage mode maps of the designed material combinations with S-glass and various carbon plies (\(\varepsilon_{pd}\) – pseudo-ductile strain, LSM – low strain material (i.e. carbon), part f) indicates four regions of the map with their associated failure processes).

Table 4
Results summary of the specimen types tested (specimen type designation: SG-S-glass, S3G-S3-glass. Relative carbon layer thickness was normalised with the full specimen thickness. Numbers in brackets indicate the coefficients of variation in [%]).

| Spec. Type  | Relative carbon layer thickness | Initial modulus (to pure glass/epoxy) | Modulus increase | Pseudo-yield/plateau strain | Pseudo-yield/plateau stress | Approx. final failure strain | Pseudo-ductile strain |
|-------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|
| SG/TR30/SG  | 0.0852 50.18 (2.2) | 9.98 | 2.31 | 1142 | 3.7 | 0.91 | |
| SG/TR30./SG | 0.1570 53.36 (3.6) | 16.94 | 2.21 | 1129 | 3.4 | 1.21 | |
| SG/TR30./SG | 0.2184 56.76 (1.3) | 24.40 | 1.86 | - | 3.5 | - | |
| SG/TS30/SG  | 0.1570 53.40 (1.2) | 17.03 | 1.90 | - | 3.7 | - | |
| SG/MR40/SG  | 0.1652 59.89 (2.0) | 31.26 | 1.72 | 972 | 3.5 | 1.44 | |
| SG/HS40/SG  | 0.1847 78.59 (2.9) | 72.24 | 1.11 | 893 | 3.7 | 2.12 | |
| SG/HS40/SG  | 0.1017 65.12 (1.0) | 42.71 | 1.28 | 768 | 3.7 | 1.64 | |
| SG/T1000/SG | 0.0943 54.21 (1.1) | 18.81 | 2.61 | 1358 | 3.4 | 0.80 | |
| S3G/M55/3SG | 0.2364 112.89 (4.3) | 82.97 | 0.85 | 852 | 3.0 | 2.06 | |
| SG/XN80./SG | 0.2457 124.14 (6.4) | 172.07 | 0.52 | 520 | 3.6 | 2.64 | |

\*Baseline S-glass composite modulus: 45.6 GPa (see Table 2)
\*Pseudo-yield points were defined as the intersection of the test curve with a straight line parallel to the initial slope of the stress-strain graph with an offset of 0.1% strain (similar to the yield point or proof stress in metals terminology).
\*Pseudo-ductile strain was defined between the strain of a point on the initial slope line at the failure stress (defined at the point where a 5% reduction in stress after the maximum has occurred) and the strain at the failure stress.
\*Determined at first load drop
\*Load drops observed on the plateau (see Fig. 5)
rated universal hydraulic test machine with a regularly calibrated 25 kN load cell and wedge type hydraulic grips. Strains were measured using an Imetrum videogauge system, with a nominal gauge length of 130 mm. A minimum of five specimens were tested from each configuration.

3.4. Results and discussion

Fig. 4 shows the stress–strain response of all four configurations comprising high strength (standard modulus) TR30 carbon plies. The thinnest specimens made with only one thin carbon ply had a low relative carbon layer thickness, therefore relatively low initial modulus and moderate decrease in the slope of the final rising part of the stress–strain curves was observed. Short plateaus in between the successive quasi-linear parts of the curve and smooth transitions between them were observed, which suggest a very dispersed, fragmentation dominated failure, where several short cracks develop in parallel, instead of larger ones running across the whole specimen width. This fragmentation mechanism was confirmed by the observed narrow, non-straight cracks, which appeared in the carbon ply just before the first smooth knee (between 2% and 2.5% strain) in the curve. These cracks were visible through the translucent glass ply, while growing simultaneously and gradually covering the whole specimen with an approx. 1 mm spacing. This slow damage accumulation and the corresponding limited pseudo-ductility is in agreement with the initial modulus of the hybrid material is controlled by the relative carbon layer thickness, while the failure type is strongly affected by the absolute thickness of the carbon layer (through $G_{II}$) for a given glass thickness (see the configurations with 1–3 carbon plies).

The SG/TR30/SG and the SG$_2$/TR30$_4$/SG$_2$ configurations showed load drops, which rendered them unsuitable for pseudo-ductility, but the test results were consistent with our expectations based on the calculated energy release rates included in Table 3. Both specimen types delaminated to a certain extent (~30–50 mm) immediately after the first fracture in the carbon layer, as predicted by the damage mode map (Fig. 2a), and then the pull-out of the carbon layer continued stably indicated by the flat parts of the graphs after the load drops. Fig. 4 demonstrates the significant difference in the behaviour of the same hybrid material combination due to an increase from two to three thin central carbon plies. The reason is that three carbon plies released enough energy to delaminate the layers at the first carbon fracture, while the pull-out of two plies remained stable. The completely different graphs of the two scaled thickness specimen types SG/TR30$_3$/SG and SG$_2$/TR30$_4$/SG$_2$ also highlight the effect of ply thickness in interlayer hybrid composites. It is also interesting to note, that the first knee of the curves corresponding to the carbon layer failure strain was shifted significantly towards higher strains if thin central layers were incorporated. This is attributed to the hybrid effect which is discussed in [47]. Fig. 4 reveals that the “width” of the pseudo-ductile stress plateau as well as the initial modulus of the hybrid material is controlled by the relative carbon layer thickness, while the failure type is strongly affected by the absolute thickness of the carbon layer (through $G_{II}$) due to having the highest sub-critical energy release rate of all tested specimen types at the carbon layer failure strain (Table 3).

Furthermore, the test results indicated that the average strain at the first fracture of the carbon layer (accompanied by a minor load drop) was significantly higher (around 1.1%) than that quoted by the fibre manufacturer (1%). An updated energy release rate calculation using the experimental carbon layer failure strain yielded an energy release rate $G_{II} = 1.04$ N/mm right at the limit for delamination. The small load-drops on the stress–strain graphs were observed during the tests to correspond to successive limited delaminations immediately after each carbon layer fragmentation.

![Fig. 3. Schematic of the specimen geometry.](Image 317x55 to 558x206)

![Fig. 4. Tensile stress–strain graphs of S-glass/TR30 carbon configurations.](Image 49x458 to 287x609)

![Fig. 5. Tensile stress–strain graphs of S-glass/HS40 carbon configurations.](Image 61x650 to 275x726)
The overall behaviour of the SG/HS40/SG configuration is very attractive with high initial modulus (up to 75% higher than that of UD S-glass/epoxy) and a 1% strain wide plateau, therefore it was developed further in [45] with a special architecture where the carbon ply was periodically cut perpendicular to the fibre direction to initiate stable pull out and avoid delamination. The thicker SG/HS40/SG configuration had a lower energy release rate (GII = 0.835 N/mm) even though it was updated with the experimentally observed 1.15% strain for carbon fracture. This configuration therefore showed a stable, pseudo-ductile failure, but a shorter plateau and decreased initial modulus, due to the relatively low carbon proportion. A slightly thinner carbon ply could have been beneficial for this material combination but our material choice was limited.

Fig. 6 shows the results of configurations made with intermediates modulus carbon fibres, both showing favourable pseudo-ductile stress strain responses in agreement with their damage mode maps (Fig. 2c and d). The key difference between the similar modulus carbon fibre types applied, was their failure strain. The very high failure strain of T1000 fibres resulted in a high pseudo-yield stress, but limited pseudo-ductile strain, while the earlier failure of the MR40 fibres provided a more balanced overall shape with a wide plateau and a lower pseudo-yield stress. This figure clearly shows that there is a trade-off between pseudo-ductile strain and pseudo-yield stress of the hybrid configurations and confirm the findings of our previous paper [44] presenting parametric studies of UD hybrid configurations based on an analytical model [43]. The GII at the lower failure strain of the MR40 carbon fibres remained sub-critical even with a high relative carbon layer thickness resulting in a high initial modulus. On the other hand the initial modulus of the SG/T1000/SG type was lower because the outstanding (approx. 2.3%) failure strain of the T1000 fibres resulting in high GII (according to Eq. (2)) limited the critical carbon ply thickness allowable for progressive failure by fragmentation and stable pull-out. This is also highlighted by the very limited absolute and relative thicknesses of the carbon layer allowable for pseudo-ductile failure modes on the corresponding damage-mode map (see the small coloured area in Fig. 2d).

The evaluated pseudo-ductile strains were in good agreement with those predicted by the damage mode maps. This is remarkable given that the prediction of the damage mode maps were largely based on constituent fibre properties from the manufacturers’ datasheets and the approximate fracture toughness of the layer interfaces. The graphs of Figs. 4–7 as well as Table 4 highlight that the stress–strain response of the tested pseudo-ductile hybrid specimen configurations were strongly affected by the failure strain of the carbon layer. The observed damage modes ranged from well distributed multiple crack formation (fragmentation) to single catastrophic delamination at the first crack (for non-ductile, thicker TR30 carbon configurations). The most important trends identified for the pseudo-ductile configurations of the same
S-glass/epoxy high strain material hybridised with various carbon/epoxy low strain materials are presented in Fig. 8. Two groups of parameters were distinguished: (i) initial modulus and pseudo-ductile strain and (ii) pseudo-yield stress and strain, according to the similar trends they followed across the tested hybrid configurations. The correlations within the two groups are highlighted in the left axis plot of Fig. 8a and the right axis plot of Fig. 8b respectively. The right axis plot of Fig. 8a highlights the trade-off identified between the pseudo-ductile strains and the pseudo-yield stresses of the tested hybrids. The inverse correlation, found between the initial modulus and the pseudo-yield strain of the tested configurations, is shown in the left axis plot of Fig. 8b. This trend corresponds to the intrinsic trade-off between the tensile modulus and failure strain of different carbon fibre grades.

Moreover, the highest modulus XN80 fibres failed so early, that it was possible to suppress unstable delamination (because of the low $G_{II}$ at low strains) even with thicker carbon layers (high relative carbon thickness), which improved the initial modulus of the UHM carbon hybrid further. These conditions resulted in outstanding initial modulus and high pseudo-ductile strain, but relatively low pseudo-yield strain and stress for the UHM carbon hybrid configuration. This configuration demonstrated that it is possible to combine the stiffness of high strength carbon and the final failure strain of S-glass composites.

The other extreme was the configuration comprising the highest failure strain T1000 carbon fibres, where late damage initiation resulted in high pseudo-yield strain and stress, but limited pseudo-ductile strain. Only a low carbon thickness was allowable for this specimen type because of the high $G_{II}$ at the onset of damage in the carbon, therefore the initial modulus increase compared to the glass/epoxy baseline was moderate. The thinnest TR30 carbon plies allowed for a series of configurations illustrating the design flexibility in tuning the failure sequence and stress–strain response of UD thin-ply hybrids by changing the relative and the absolute carbon thickness in the specimen types.

4. Conclusions

The following conclusions were drawn from the extensive study of thin-ply unidirectional carbon/glass fibre reinforced epoxy interlayer hybrid composites:

- A range of new material combinations providing favourable pseudo-ductile stress–strain responses were developed using various thin carbon/epoxy and standard thickness S-glass/epoxy prepregs, suitable for tensile load dominated applications.
- The SG/XN80/SG configuration provided the combination of high initial modulus up to 124 GPa, similar to that of high strength carbon/epoxy and a final failure strain similar to that of S-glass/epoxy with a pseudo ductile strain of 2.64%.
- The SG/MR40/SG configuration demonstrated balanced properties of 60 GPa initial modulus, 970 MPa pseudo-yield stress and 1.44% pseudo-ductile strain.
- The strong correlation between the initial modulus and the pseudo-ductile strain was confirmed experimentally for the tested hybrid configurations and it was attributed to the trade-off between the failure strain and tensile modulus of the carbon fibre types.
- The trade-off between the achievable pseudo-ductile strain and the pseudo-yield stress was also confirmed experimentally as a key feature which governs the design of pseudo-ductile hybrid laminates.
- A two-step procedure was presented involving simple analytical criteria and the novel damage mode maps which were applied here for the first time for the design of unidirectional interlayer hybrid composites, assuring favourable pseudo-ductile failure through carbon layer fragmentation and stable pull-out.
- Good agreement was demonstrated between the analytically predicted and experimentally observed failure modes and pseudo-ductile strains. The damage mode maps based on basic mechanical properties of the constituent plies provided insight into the important factors for optimal hybrid configuration design.
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