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Abstract
Excavations at the early Neolithic settlement of WF16 in Faynan, southern Jordan, 11.84–10.24 ka BP, recovered 17,700 bird bones, of which 7808 could be identified to at least family level. Sixty-three different bird taxa are present from 18 families, representing a mix of resident and migrant birds, based on present-day ecology. We describe the settlement context for the assemblage, its taxonomic composition, spatial and chronological distribution, identifying its similarities and differences to avian assemblages from broadly contemporary sites in the region.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The emergence of the Neolithic in SW Asia was a gradual process between 20,000 and 8000 years ago, with a particularly intense period of change during the Pre-Pottery Neolithic A (PPNA) period, ca. 12,000–10,000 years ago (Goring-Morris & Belfer-Cohen, 2011). While Neolithic research has focused on the domestication of goat, sheep, cattle and cereals, a wholesale change in the relationship between humans and the natural world is likely to have occurred, influencing human relationships with birds. As recorded throughout history and in the modern day, people have diverse economic, symbolic, social and ecological relationships with birds (Cocker & Tipling, 2013). It is not unreasonable to assume the same would be the case for the Neolithic of SW Asia and that by exploring such relationships we may gain insights into the cultural changes associated with the transition from mobile hunting and gathering to settled farming communities that go beyond the domestication of plant and animals.

Avian fauna has been described from several Epipalaeolithic and PPNA sites in the Levant, with interpretations encompassing the use of waterfowl as food (e.g., Simmons, 2004; Yeomans & Richter, 2018).
and attributing symbolic significance to large birds such as cranes and raptors (e.g., Gourichon, 2002; Zeder & Spitzer, 2016), such birds also being prominent in representations at Göbekli Tepe and Jerf el Ahmar (Peters et al., 2005). Avian assemblages tend to be small, an exception being that from the Late Natufian of Shubayqa 1 in northeast Jordan with 3090 NISP (Number of Identified Specimens; Yeomans & Richter, 2018). Those from Hallan Çemi in the northern Levant (Zeder & Spitzer, 2016), Netiv Hagdud (Tchernov, 1994) and Ohalo (Simmons & Nadel, 1998) in the southern Levant have just over 1000 NISPs (1154, 1138 and 1350, respectively) while significantly smaller assemblages have been recovered from An Darat (n = 24), Fazel VI (n = 31), Salabiya IX (n = 72) and Gilgal (n = 51) (Howitz et al., 2010; Simmons, 2004). Considering the multitude of taphonomic factors that influence avian assemblages, many of which are especially fragile, and the range of potential people–bird relationships that might have existed, drawing robust inferences from such collections is problematic.

This concern applies to the avian assemblage acquired from the archaeological evaluation of the PPNA site of WF16 in southern Jordan, consisting of 249 NISP (Rielly, 2007). That assemblage had a predominance of raptors including eagles, vultures and buzzards, contrasting with the avian assemblages from PPNA sites elsewhere in the southern Levant that are dominated by waterfowl and game birds (Simmons, 2004). This contrast, however, might have arisen from the small sample acquired at WF16, which was excavated from a limited spatial area and may be unrepresentative of the whole settlement. The extensive WF16 excavations undertaken between 2008 and 2010 provided a significantly larger avian assemblage, one of almost 18,000 specimens with 7808 NISP, derived from a wide range of structures and depositional contexts at the site. Assemblage size is, of course, just one factor of importance; others include quality of preservation and contextual information including associated artefacts, mammalian fauna and plant remains. These are also relatively high at WF16, providing the opportunity to explore a diverse range of human–bird relationships at one particular settlement during the PPNA phase of the Neolithic transition. Moreover, there are 676 burnt specimens and 493 bones exhibiting cut marks within the 7808 NISP, these being subject of on-going study.

1.1 Faynan and the WF16 settlement

WF16 is an early Neolithic settlement in Faynan, southern Jordan, located approximately 50 km south of the Dead Sea (Figure 1). The Faynan region includes flat and hilly areas to the east of Wadi Araba and covers Wadi Faynan, Wadi Fidan and the lower parts of Wadi Dana and Wadi Ghruwyar, primarily at approximately 400 m asl, prior to the land climbing steeply to the Jordanian plateau at approximately 1500 m asl. The present-day climate is arid with hot summers and mild winters, with a mean annual temperature of 24°C and mean annual precipitation of approximately 60 mm. Rain falls occasionally during the cooler winter months, when average temperatures are 15°C lower than in the summer.

Today, Faynan is part of the Sudanian penetration zone as characterized by the presence of African trees and shrubs, for example, acacias (Acacia tortilis and Acacia radiana), jujubes (Ziziphus spina-christi) and Moringa peregrina. However, plant species of Saharo-Arabian origin are also common such as the desert broom (Retama raetam), the white saxaul (Haloxylon persicum) and the dwarf shrubs Anabasis articulata and Zygodium dumosum (Albert et al., 2004). Dense riverine woodland is located along a permanent water course in the Wadi Ghuwyar (Mitthen et al., 2007), while the upper reaches of the region fall within the Mediterranean woodland zone. This mix of habitats results in a diverse avian fauna of both resident and migratory species; 187 species have been recorded, 42 of which are resident all year round while the others pass through on spring and autumn migrations between Africa and Europe (Al-Shamlih et al., 2005; Andrews, 1995). Faynan is located on the rift valley migratory route resulting in large flocks of raptors, cranes and other migratory birds passing overhead and sometimes resting in the area.

WF16 is located at the confluence of Wadi Ghuwyar and Faynan, at the base of the escarpment that climbs to the Jordanian plateau. The site was discovered in 1996 and evaluated between 1997 and 2003 (Finlayson & Mitthen, 2007). An area excavation of WF16 was undertaken between 2008 and 2010 (Mitthen et al., 2018; Figure 1). This revealed numerous, densely clustered semi-subterranean structures that had been used for domestic activities, storage, workshops and gathering places. These structures, along with other distinct structural elements of the settlement, such as floors, middens and pits, are referred to as ‘Objects’. For instance, a large communal structure, one of a unique size and design for the Neolithic (Mitthen, 2020), is referred to as Object 75 (O75) and an extensive area of midden as O60.

Although none of these structures were entirely excavated, with only approximately 20% of the total deposits removed, 213,065 L of sediment were dry sieved for finds, which included 578,538 g of animal bone (within which the bird bones were contained) and 2,731,306 g of chipped stone tools and debitage. Work is on-going on these and others finds, including stone and shell beads, plant remains, bone tools and incised stone. Initial assessment indicates that the animal fauna is consistent with that from the 1997–2001 assessment: a dominance of Capra sp. with the presence of Gazella, Bos, equids and a low frequency of carnivores (Carruthers & Dennis, 2007). Archaeobotanical remains suggest exploitation of diverse wild plants with a possibility of cultivation of wild barley (Mike Charles, pers. comm), similar to that evident from contemporary settlements (e.g., Dhra; Colledge et al., 2018).

Radiocarbon dating (Wicks et al., 2016) and use of chipped stone typology have identified three phases of activity at WF16:

Phase 1: 11.84–11.30 ka BP, represented by small sub-circular, semi-subterranean structures with mud and stone walls. These were scarce and poorly preserved, providing few remains. Only one structure exposed in the 2008–2010 excavation may be related to this phase of activity, Structure O73. This requires confirmation from absolute dating and hence for this article we include it within Phase 2 activity.
FIGURE 1  The early Neolithic site of WF16 (a) looking east along the Wadi Faynan towards the Wadi Araba; (b) location in southern Jordan (c) excavation in April 2010; (d) site plan [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Phase 2: 11.30–10.80 ka BP, represented by a dense cluster of semi-subterranean pisé-walled structures, of various designs and sizes including the larger communal structure O75.

Phase 3: 10.80–10.24 ka BP, principally represented by a freestanding circular pisé- and stone-walled structure (O100) constructed within O75 with an external mud-plaster floor (O91), and the contents of a large midden (O60) that accumulated in its surrounds. Most of the burials are attributed to this phase, these having been inserted into Phase 2 deposits, although horizontal truncation of deposits makes this attribution uncertain.

These developments suggest significant social and economic change occurred during the course of occupation at WF16, involving longer periods of occupation at the settlement, potentially leading to sedentism.

2 | METHODS

Over 200,000 bone specimens were recovered from WF16 by sifting all sediments through a 2-mm mesh or from flotation, ensuring near-total recovery of small bird bones in addition to small and medium mammal remains. The avian and other faunal remains derived from a variety of depositional environments including occupational debris, small ‘private’ rubbish pits, large middens and potentially ritual depositions.

The bird bones were separated from the faunal remains (by Cheryl Makarewicz) and identified (by Judith White) using the comparative collection of the Natural History Museum, Tring, UK, alongside published identification criteria and measurement data in the literature (Cohen & Serjeantson, 1996; Gilbert & Martin, 1981; Matarranz, 2017a, 2017b; Otto, 1981; Schmidt-Burger, 1982; Tomek & Bocheński, 2000). Bones were identified to species whenever possible, although many were only possible to genus or family level due to their fragmentary nature, while unidentified fragments were assigned to size categories. The taxonomic order and nomenclature follow Howard & Moore 4th Edition (Dickinson & Christidis, 2014; Dickinson & Remsen, 2013).

The zoning system according to Cohen and Serjeantson (1996) was used to record bone completeness for most elements, to allow for quantification of minimum number of elements (MNE) and minimum number of individuals (MNI). Observations on the type of break, state of preservation, taphonomy, butchery, burning, gnawing, pathology, sex and maturity were also recorded. Measurements were taken following Cohen and Serjeantson (1996) and Von den Driesch (1976).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Family/taxa representation

The bird bones from WF16 total 17,700 fragments, of which 7808 could be identified to at least family level, or the order of Passeriformes (Table 1). Sixty-three taxa from 18 families were identified, along with some tentative identifications at passerine family level and represents a diverse mix of resident and migrant birds from a variety of habitats, based on present-day ecology.

Despite the large range of species, the Accipitridae family dominate the assemblage accounting for 89.19% of identifiable bones (NISP = 6964) with at least 20 species of eagles, vultures, harriers, kite, sparrowhawk and buzzards represented (Figure 2). The Eurasian/steppe buzzard is by far the most abundant accounting for 63.19% of all Accipitridae (NISP = 4401). The steppe buzzard (Buteo buteo vulpinus) is a subspecies of the Eurasian buzzard and is a very frequent passage migrant to the region. The Eurasian buzzard is a scarce migrant but due to the similarities of skeletal morphology they are referred to as Eurasian/steppe, although it is most likely the bones derive from the steppe subspecies. The European honey buzzard (Pernis apivorus) is the second most common species in the assemblage with a total NISP of 345 and is another common passage migrant. The third most common bird of prey is the kite (NISP = 181). Bone fragments were identified only to the genus Milvus due to the similarities in skeletal morphology of red and black kite; however, it is most likely the bones belong to black kite (Milvus migrans) based on their current distribution and status in Jordan today as a frequent passage migrant. Raptors from other families are also represented in small numbers: Falconidae (NISP = 50), Strigidae (NISP = 9) and Tytonidae (NISP = 2).

Phasianidae is the second largest family accounting for 3.93% (NISP = 307) of the identified material with the resident, ground dwelling chukar partridge (Alectoris chukar) most frequent (NISP = 254) and the sand partridge (Ammodramus heyi) and quail (Coturnix coturnix) making up the remainder. Somewhat unexpectedly, the third largest family at 0.97% is Threskiornithidae, which includes 70 specimens identified confidently to northern bald ibis (Geronticus eremita) and a further six as probable, making it the fifth most common bird in terms of NISP. Ducks, storks, herons, bustard, rails, doves, rollers, corvids and some smaller passerines are also represented, often only by single bones or in the case of the little egret (Egretta garzetta) a near complete individual.

3.2 | Taxa distribution by phase

The absence of bird bones from Phase 1 reflects the sparse representation of these deposits at WF16. Sixty taxa were identified from Phase 2 and 41 taxa from Phase 3. This difference may be a consequence of assemblage size: 14,965 (NISP = 6396) and 2735 (NISP = 1412) bones, respectively, which in turn may reflect the quantity of sediment excavated: 120,946 L for Phase 2 and 92,119 L for Phase 3. Species such as crane and European roller are absent in Phase 3, along with a smaller range of owls, corvids and diurnal raptors. While the Accipitridae family dominates the assemblage in both phases, its contribution decreases from 90.40% of identified bones in Phase 2 to 83.64% in Phase 3. As a result, Phasianidae, Anatidae, Ciconiidae, Pteroclididae and Columbidae increase in relative frequency in Phase 3. Sandgrouse (Pteroclididae) show the most substantial
### Table 1: NISP and MNI for taxa at WF16 by phase

| Taxon                        | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Resident/migrant | Found in Faynan today |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                              | NISP    | MNI     | NISP             | MNI                   |
| **Anatidae**                 |         |         |                  |                       |
| Anser cf. anser              |         |         |                  |                       |
| cf. Anser sp.                | 1       | 1       | Migrant          | No                    |
| Aythya cf. ferina            |         |         |                  |                       |
| Aythya cf. fuligula          |         |         |                  |                       |
| cf. Aythya sp.               | 1       | 1       | Migrant          | No                    |
| Mareca cf. strepera          |         |         |                  |                       |
| Mareca cf. penelope          |         |         |                  |                       |
| Anas cf. platyrhynchos       | 3       | 1       | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| Mareca penelope/Anas platyrhynchos | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | Migrant | No |
| Anas cf. acuta               |         |         |                  |                       |
| Anas cf. crecca              | 4       | 2       | 1                | 1                     |
| Anas crecca/querquedula      | 6       | 1       | 1                | 1                     |
| Totals                       | 28      | 30      |                  |                       |
| Totals (Phase 2)             | 0.44%   | 2.12%   |                  |                       |
| **Phasianidae**              |         |         |                  |                       |
| Coturnix coturnix            | 19      | 5       | 10               | 2                     |
| Alectoris chukar             | 197     | 20      | 57               | 8                     |
| cf. Alectoris chukar         | 3       | 1       |                  |                       |
| Francolinus/Alectoris sp.    | 3       | 2       |                  |                       |
| Ammoperdix heyi              | 10      | 3       | 4                | 2                     |
| cf. Ammoperdix heyi          | 1       | 1       |                  |                       |
| Totals                       | 234     | 73      |                  |                       |
| Totals (Phase 3)             | 3.66%   | 5.17%   |                  |                       |
| **Columbidae**               |         |         |                  |                       |
| Columba cf. livia            | 5       | 2       | 3                | 1                     |
| Columba cf. oenas            | 2       | 1       |                  |                       |
| Columba oenas/livia          | 27      | 6       | 15               | 4                     |
| Columba palumbus             | 1       | 1       |                  |                       |
| Columba sp.                  | 1       | 1       | 2                | 1                     |
| Totals                       | 40      | 26      |                  |                       |
| Totals (Phase 3)             | 0.63%   | 1.84%   |                  |                       |
| **Pteroclidae**              |         |         |                  |                       |
| Pterocles cf. senegallus     | 8       | 3       |                  |                       |
| Pterocles sp.                | 3       | 3       | 39               | 8                     |
| Totals                       | 3       | 47      |                  |                       |
| Totals (Phase 3)             | 0.05%   | 3.33%   |                  |                       |

(Continues)
| Taxon                  | Phase 2 | Phase 3 | Resident/migrant | Found in Faynan today |
|------------------------|---------|---------|------------------|-----------------------|
|                        | NISP    | MNI     | NISP             | MNI                   |
| **Rallidae**           |         |         |                  |                       |
| *Crex crex*            | 18      | 3       |                  |                       |
| *cf. Crex crex*        | 3       | 1       | 2                | 1                     |
| *Gallinula chloropus*  | 1       | 1       |                  |                       |
| *Fulica atra*          | 3       | 1       | 3                | 1                     |
| Totals                 | 25      | 5       |                  |                       |
| **Gruiformes**         | 0.39%   | 0.35%   |                  |                       |
| **Otididae**           |         |         |                  |                       |
| *Chlamydotis sp.*      | 6       | 1       | 2                | 1                     |
| Totals                 | 6       | 2       |                  |                       |
| **Ciconiidae**         | 0.03%   | 0.14%   |                  |                       |
| *Ciconia cf. ciconia*  | 14      | 2       | 7                | 1                     |
| *Ciconia sp.*          | 13      | 2       | 2                | 1                     |
| Totals                 | 27      | 9       |                  |                       |
| **Ardeidae**           | 0.42%   | 0.64%   |                  |                       |
| *Ixobrychus minutus*   | 1       | 1       |                  |                       |
| *Ardea purpurea*       | 1       | 1       | 1                | 1                     |
| *Egretta garzetta*     | 56      | 1       |                  |                       |
| Totals                 | 58      | 1       |                  |                       |
| **Threskiornithidae**  | 0.91%   | 0.07%   |                  |                       |
| *Geronticus eremita*   | 57      | 5       | 13               | 2                     |
| *cf. Geronticus eremita* | 4   | 1       | 1                | 1                     |
| *Platalea leucorodia/Geronticus eremita* | 1 |          |                  |                       |
| Totals                 | 62      | 14      |                  |                       |
| **Accipitriformes**    | 0.97%   | 0.99%   |                  |                       |
| *Pandion haliaetus*    | 14      | 2       | 1                | 1                     |
| *cf. Pandion haliaetus* | 4   | 1       |                  |                       |
| *Pernis apivorus*      | 291     | 15      | 54               | 6                     |
| *cf. Pernis apivorus*  | 42      | 4       | 5                | 2                     |
| *Neophron percnopterus*| 2       | 1       | 2                | 1                     |
| *cf. Neophron percnopterus* | 1   | 1       | 1                | 1                     |
| *Circaetus gallicus*   | 25      | 2       |                  |                       |
| *cf. Circaetus gallicus* | 10  | 1       | 1                | 1                     |
| *Torgos tracheliotos*  | 1       | 1       |                  |                       |
| *Clanga cf. pomarina*  | 37      | 4       | 8                | 2                     |
| *Clanga cf. clanga*    | 17      | 2       |                  |                       |
| Taxon                                      | Phase 2 NISP | Phase 2 MNI | Phase 3 NISP | Phase 3 MNI | Resident/migrant | Found in Faynan today |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| *Clanga pomarina/clanga*                  | 6            | 1           | 2            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Aquila cf. nipalensis*                    | 32           | 2           | 8            | 1           | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *Aquila cf. heliaca*                       | 1            | 1           | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Aquila cf. chrysaetos*                    | 4            | 1           | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Aquila chrysaetos/ nipalensis*            | 2            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Aquila cf. verreauxii*                    | 2            | 1           |              |             | Migrant          | No                    |
| *Aquila cf. fasciatus*                     | 3            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Aquila sp.*                               | 10           | 2           | 2            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Aquila large*                             | 7            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *cf. Aquila sp.*                           | 22           | 2           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Aquila/Buteo sp.*                         | 23           | 10          |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Aquila/Hieraaetus sp.*                    | 11           | 11          |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Hieraaetus pennatus*                      | 8            | 1           | 3            | 1           | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *Hieraaetus cf. pennatus*                  | 3            | 2           | 4            | 3           |                  |                       |
| *Hieraaetus sp.*                           | 1            | 1           | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Hieraaetus/Buteo sp.*                     | 2            |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Circus cf. aeruginosus*                   | 17           | 2           | 4            | 3           | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *Circus cf. cyaneus*                       | 9            | 2           | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Circus cyaneus/macrourus*                 | 18           | 2           | 7            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Circus cf. pygargus*                      | 5            | 1           | 2            | 1           | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *cf. Circus sp.*                           | 6            | 1           | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Circus/Buteo sp.*                         | 37           | 12          |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Accipiter nisus/brevipes*                 | 20           | 4           | 3            | 1           | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *Milvus sp.* (most likely M. migrans)      | 150          | 8           | 31           | 2           | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *cf. Milvus sp.*                           | 30           | 3           | 6            | 2           |                  |                       |
| *Milvus/Buteo sp.*                         | 3            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Milvus/Pernis sp.*                        | 7            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Buteo cf. buteo*                          | 3670         | 127         | 731          | 56          | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *cf. Buteo sp.*                            | 765          | 32          | 171          | 11          |                  |                       |
| *Buteo rufinus*                            | 35           | 3           | 5            | 1           | Resident         | Yes                   |
| *Buteo cf. rufinus*                        | 37           | 2           | 7            | 2           |                  |                       |
| *Accipitridae large*                       | 9            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Accipitridae medium*                      | 349          | 78          |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Accipitridae medium/large*                | 35           | 5           |              |             |                  |                       |
| **Totals**                                 | 5783         | 1181        |              |             |                  |                       |

| Tytonidae                                  |              |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Tyto alba*                                | 2            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| **Totals**                                 | 2            |             |              |             |                  |                       |

| Strigidae                                  |              |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Otus scops*                               | 1            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Asio flammeus*                            | 1            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Strix sp.*                                | 2            | 1           | 1            | 1           | Resident         | Yes                   |
| *Strix/Asio sp.*                           | 1            |             |              |             |                  |                       |

(Continues)
| Taxon                                    | Phase 2 NISP | Phase 2 MNI | Phase 3 NISP | Phase 3 MNI | Resident/migrant | Found in Faynan today |
|------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| *Bubo ascalaphus*                        | 2            | 1           | 1            | 1           | Resident         | Yes                   |
| Totals                                   | 7            |             | 2            |             |                  |                       |
|                                          | 0.11%        |             | 0.14%        |             |                  |                       |
| Coraciidae                               |              |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Coracias garrulus*                     | 2            | 1           |              |             | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *cf. Coracias garrulus*                 | 1            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| Totals                                   | 3            |             |              |             |                  |                       |
|                                          | 0.05%        |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| Falconidae                               |              |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Falco* *cf. tinunculus*                | 5            | 2           | 2            | 1           | Resident         | Yes                   |
| *Falco* *cf. biarmicus*                 | 27           | 3           | 2            | 1           | Resident         | Yes                   |
| *Falco* *cf. peregrinus*                | 5            | 1           | 1            | 1           | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *Falconidae small*                      | 2            |             | 1            |             |                  |                       |
| *Falconidae small/medium*               | 1            |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Falconidae large*                      | 4            |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| Totals                                   | 44           |             | 6            |             |                  |                       |
|                                          | 0.69%        |             | 0.42%        |             |                  |                       |
| Corvidae                                |              |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *cf. Pyrhocorax pyrrhcorax*             | 4            | 1           |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Corvus* *cf. frugilegus*               | 1            | 1           |              |             | Migrant          | No                    |
| *Corvus* *cf. ruficollis*               | 4            | 1           | 1            | 1           | Resident         | Yes                   |
| *Corvus* *cf. rhipidurus*               | 1            |             | 1            |             | Migrant          | Yes                   |
| *Corvus* *rhipidurus/ruficollis*        | 9            | 1           | 2            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Corvus* *cf. corone cornix*            | 7            | 1           |              |             | Resident         | No                    |
| *Corvus* sp.                            | 8            | 1           | 4            | 1           |                  |                       |
| Totals                                   | 33           |             | 8            |             |                  |                       |
|                                          | 0.52%        |             | 0.57%        |             |                  |                       |
| Other passerines                         |              |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Laniidae: Lanius* *cf. excubitor*      | 1            | 1           |              |             | Resident         | Yes                   |
| *Laniidae: Cf. Lanius* sp.              | 3            | 1           | 2            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Motacillidae: Cf. Motacilla* sp.*      | 2            |             | 1            |             |                  |                       |
| *Sylvidae: Cf. Sylvia* sp.*             | 1            |             | 1            |             |                  |                       |
| *Musccicapidae: Cf. Oenanthe* sp.*      | 1            |             | 1            |             |                  |                       |
| *Turdidae: Turdus* *cf. viscivorus*     | 4            | 2           |              |             | Migrant          | No                    |
| *Turdidae: Turdus* *cf. merula*         |              |             | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Turdidae: Turdus* sp.                  | 7            | 2           | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Turdidae: Cf. Turdus* sp.              | 6            | 3           | 1            | 1           |                  |                       |
| *Passerine* small                       | 11           |             |              |             |                  |                       |
| *Passerine* medium                      | 3            |             | 3            |             |                  |                       |
| Totals                                   | 39           |             | 8            |             |                  |                       |
|                                          | 0.61%        |             | 0.57%        |             |                  |                       |
| Total identified specimens               | 6396         |             | 1412         |             |                  |                       |
| Unidentified small                      | 5            |             | 3            |             |                  |                       |
| Unidentified medium                      | 8505         |             | 1305         |             |                  |                       |
| Unidentified medium/large                | 47           |             | 13           |             |                  |                       |
change between Phase 2 (NISP = 3; MNI = 3) and Phase 3 (NISP = 47; MNI = 11).

When the quantity of sediment is accounted for, bird bones are four times more frequent within Phase 2 than in Phase 3: 0.124 bones/litre sediment compared with 0.03 bones/litre. This is in marked contrast to animal bone and chipped stone, both of which significantly increase in density in Phase 3. Within Phase 2, the ratio of the number of bird bones to the weight of animal bones (in grams) is 1:6, whereas in Phase 3, this ratio changes to 1:179. Similarly, regarding chipped stone, there is a change in the ratio of the number of bird bones to the weight of chipped stone (in grams) from 1:26 in Phase 2 to 1:857 in Phase 3. These figures suggest the exploitation of birds was of significantly less importance for the Phase 3 than the Phase 2 inhabitants of WF16.

### 3.3 Distribution by object

Bird bones were recovered from 47 Objects (or groups of Objects), a selection of which are shown in Figure 3. All objects were dominated by remains from Accipitridae, except Object 66 that contained a semi-articulated partial skeleton of a little egret. Table S1 provides details regarding the bird bone assemblage from 13 objects (or groups of objects) representing 85.35% of the total number of bird bones and 86.74% of those identified to taxa, summarized in Table 2.

As illustrated in Figure 4, there is marked variability in their distribution. Four objects contained 61% of the bird bones: O11 (16.14%), O45 (17.78%), O60 (13.50%) and O75 (13.41%). Of these, O11 also has the second highest density of bird bones (NISP/litre excavated sediment), and O45 the third highest, both surpassed by those from O56, a relatively small structure. Structures O60 and O75 are from Phase 3. While they had large quantities of bird bones, their densities are relatively low because of the large volumes of sediment excavated from these structures.

Figure 5 compares the distribution of the number of bird bones with the weights of animal bones and chipped stone in 10 structures of Phase 2, with the three data sets standardized to enable comparison (by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation for each value). As evident from the figure, the animal bone and chipped stone follow a similar distribution pattern with a strong correlation between their densities ($R^2 = 0.83$) suggesting they share a similar pattern of discard. In contrast, no such correlation exists between the density of bird bones and with either animal bones or chipped stone ($R^2 = 0.23$ and $R^2 = 0.06$, respectively). While O56 has the highest density of bird bones, the density of animal fauna and chipped stone is at an average level for the 10 structures under comparison, while these are below average in Object 11 which has the second highest density of bird bones. Conversely, Structures O53 and O73 have significantly above average densities of animal bone and chipped stone and below average levels of bird bones. Overall, it appears that the discard of bird bone in Phase 2 at WF16 was independent from that of animal fauna and chipped stone.

There is little to differentiate the composition of the high- and low-density bird bone assemblages. The three highest density assemblages also have the highest frequencies of Accipitridae of the identified bones, reaching 97.32% in O11 and above 93% in O56 and O45. O53 also surpasses 93% and all other structures have over 75% (other than O66 with the articulated partial skeleton of a little egret). Also, the two highest density assemblages have the lowest frequencies of burnt bones, 0.21% in O11 and 0.64% in O56 (again, excepting O66).

The little egret within Structure O66 is the only evident example of a burial or ritual deposition at WF16 (Figure 6). The head, neck, right upper wing, legs and feet were recovered as articulated remains from one bird that were found adjacent to a wall, possibly within a niche, and close to an installation, probably used to contain a stone...
mortar. Although this might simply be the discard of a carcass that was fortuitously spared later disturbance, we note that at Çatalhöyük the wing of a little egret has been included within a closing deposit for an oven (Russell, 2019, 382).

Structure O72 is notable for having a relatively low frequency of Accipitridae, at 75.24%. This is a consequence of a high frequency of bones from the northern bald ibis (NISP = 23; MNI = 3), constituting 11.43% of the assemblage and the largest concentration of this taxa from anywhere at the settlement. Three toe bones and one ungual are considered to be from a single bird, while half of the bones are from the wing, with at least three right wings represented.

3.4 Seasonality and palaeoenvironmental implications

Based on their modern-day ecology, the bird bones from WF16 suggests a range of different habitats were accessible to the occupants at
WF16: watery areas (mallard, white stork, little egret), steppe (e.g., buzzard and eagles), rocky slopes (partridge), cliffs and mountains (raven, eagles). Figure 7 illustrates the current seasonal distribution in Jordan of the birds represented (Andrews, 1995; Porter & Aspinall, 2010). Because many of the birds are migrants, we must be cautious about drawing environmental implications for Faynan because migratory birds are often forced to stop and spend the night in almost any kind of environment. Similarly, inferences about seasonal activities at WF16 based on the representation of bird species and their present-day presence is Jordan must consider potential changes in migratory behaviour and environmental change in Faynan since the early Holocene and is subject of further consideration. Nevertheless, on the basis of Figures 2 and 7, it can be suggested that the waterfowl at WF16 were likely captured during the winter months, and a wide range of passage migrants were exploited during the spring and autumn. Most prominent amongst these were buzzards, most likely trapped while resting in Faynan and taken in relatively large numbers compared to other birds.

4 | DISCUSSION

WF16 has provided the largest assemblage of bird bones from a Neolithic site in the southern Levant, and potentially from the whole of SW Asia. In this contribution, we have provided the context for the assemblage, its taxonomic composition, chronological and spatial distribution. While at least sixty-three taxa are represented within the assemblage, it is dominated by the Accipitridae family, and within that by buzzards. This is consistent for the two phases of settlement activity for which bird bones are available, Phase 2 (11.30–10.80 ka BP) and Phase 3 (10.80–10.24 ka BP). In the latter phase, the density of bird bones declines markedly, whereas those of chipped stone and animal bone do the converse, suggesting that bird-related activities became of less significance. There also appears to be a shift towards the exploitation of game birds, notably sandgrouse, although these remain a small proportion of the overall assemblage. These variations occur in the context of a change to free standing circular architecture and rubbish disposal in a large midden that appears to be serving the whole community, which might be interpreted as a greater investment in place, suggesting longer periods of occupation, if not sedentism. During Phase 2, bird bones were discarded in a manner distinct from animal bone and chipped stone. Particularly high densities of bird bones were recovered from two Structures, O11 and O56, and low densities in Structures O73 and O53, with no correlation with the quantities of animal bone and chipped stone.

The wide range of aquatic, game birds and raptors at WF16 is similar to that at Epipalaeolithic and contemporary PPNA sites in the southern Levant (Simmons, 2004; Simmons & Nadel, 1998), suggesting settlements had been located at eco-tone areas to provide access to diverse habitats. While an interest in raptors is evident...
at the early Epipalaeolithic site of Ohalo (Simmons & Nadel, 1998), WF16's focus on raptors at WF16 is shared with later (PPNB) Neolithic sites. At Shkarat Msaied, 30 km south from WF16, 295 of the 300 avian bird bones were from raptors (Bangsgaard, 2008) while Nahel Roded II in the southern Negev has an assemblage dominated by Accipitridae, with the European honey buzzard most prevalent (Birkenfeld et al., 2019). Both assemblages have been interpreted as deriving from hunting birds on their spring and autumn migrations. The focus on buzzards at WF16 suggests a seasonal targeting of this species, similar to the manner in which Late Natufian community at Shubayqa 1 had targeted migratory wildfowl during the winter months (Yeomans & Richter, 2018). The diverse range of species represented at WF16 also has similarities with that from Hallam Çemi in the northern Levant (Zeder & Spitzer, 2016). While Hallam Çemi lacks the focus on raptors (at 17.6% of the NISP, compared with 89.19% at WF16), some of its raptors had been exploited for wings and feathers, potentially for use on costumes for display within communal structures. This remains a possibility for WF16 with its especially large structure, O75, appearing suitable for gatherings and performance. Raptors are also prominent in the fauna and art at Jerf

FIGURE 4 Distribution of avian fauna by number of fragments and density within excavated structures at WF16 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
FIGURE 5  Comparison of the distribution of the number of bird bones with the weights of animal bones (grams) and chipped stone (grams) in 10 structures of Phase 2, with the three data sets standardized to enable comparison.

FIGURE 6  Little egret within Structure O66 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
### FIGURE 7

Present day seasonal distribution in Jordan of birds represented at WF16 (derived from Andrews, 1995); see also updated list in [http://www.jordanbirdwatch.com/birds-in-jordan/jordan-bird-list/](http://www.jordanbirdwatch.com/birds-in-jordan/jordan-bird-list/)

| Taxon                        | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | June | July | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Anser cf. anser             |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aythya cf. ferina           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aythya cf. fuligula         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Mareca cf. strepera         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Mareca cf. penelope         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Anas cf. plathyrhynchos     |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Anas cf. acuta              |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Anas cf. crecca             |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Coturnix coturnix           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Alektoris chukar            |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Ammoperdix heyi             |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Columba cf. livia           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Columba cf. oenas           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Columba polimius            |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Streptopelia decaocto       |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Pterocles cf. semipalatus   |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Crex crex                   |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Gallinula chloropus         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Fulica atra                 |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Grus grus                   |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Chlamydotis sp. (macqueenii)|     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Caprimulgus cisorio         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Isodrychus minutus          |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Ardea purpurea              |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Egretta garzetta            |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Geronticus eremita          |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Pandion haliaetus           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Pernis apivorus             |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Neophron percnopterus       |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Circus gallicus             |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Torgos tracheliotes         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Clanga cf. pomarina         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Clanga cf. clanga           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aquila cf. nipalensis       |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aquila cf. helica           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aquila cf. chrysaetos       |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aquila cf. verreauxi        |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aquila cf. fasciatus        |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Henicorhina pennata         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Circus cf. aeruginosus      |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Circus cf. cyaneus          |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Circus cyaneus/macroureus   |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Circus cf. pygargus         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Accipiter nius/brevis      |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Milvus sp. (migrans)        |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Buteo cf. buteo (spp. vulpinus) |   |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Buteo rufinus               |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Tyto alba                   |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Otus scops                  |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Aix cf. flammeus            |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Strix sp.                   |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Bubo ascalaphus             |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Coraciolas gorgius          |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Falco cf. temunculus         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Falco cf. biarmicus         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Falco cf. peregrinus        |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| cf. Pyrrhocorax pyrrhocorax |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Corvus cf. frugilegus       |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Corvus cf. ruficollis       |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Corvus cf. rhipidius        |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Corvus cf. corone cornix    |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Lamia cf. excavitor         |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Turdus cf. viscivorus       |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |
| Turdus cf. menula           |     |     |     |     |     |      |      |     |     |     |     |     |

*most frequently recorded

*less frequently recorded*
el Ahmar and the artworks of Göbekli Tepe (Gourichon, 2002; Peters et al., 2005). This concern with raptors and other large birds in the social and symbolic life of the Neolithic should not be surprising, as such birds play a role in the religious beliefs and ceremonial life of historically recorded societies throughout the world (Cocker & Tipling, 2013). We anticipate that study of cut marks on the raptor bones from WF16 will enable further inferences about their use at this settlement, whether primarily as food or as a source of skins, wings and feathers.

5 | SUMMARY

A complete interpretation of the WF16 avian assemblage requires the analysis of body part representation, cut marks and patterns of burning, along with consideration of the palaeoenvironmental implications of the birds represented, all currently underway. This study has concentrated on assemblage composition and shown the value of acquiring large assemblages of bird bones. Although the 249 NISP acquired from the 1997–2002 WF16 site evaluation demonstrated a similar focus on raptors (Rielley, 2007), that assemblage had failed to capture the diversity of birds represented at WF16, identifying only 10 taxa in contrast to the 63 now recognized. This larger sample has also enabled us to identify that spatial patterning of bird bones differs from that other debris and changes over time in the extent and nature of activity relating to bird exploitation. Overall, the avian assemblage from PPNA WF16 had shown a unique focus on raptors for a settlement of this period.

While the studies of cut marks and body part representation will provide further information about bird use at WF16, the value of the site's avian assemblage arises via comparison with those from other sites, thus enabling us to explore how people–bird relationships varied across SW Asia and through the Neolithic transition from mobile hunting and gathering to settled farming communities. A pattern is emerging of a persistent interest in raptors from the early Epipaleolithic to the PPNB, the utilization of wildfowl and game birds as food, the exploitation of a wide range of bird taxa that suggests their use for many purposes, and that settlements had been located with access to diverse habitats.
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