Binding of lac repressor-GFP fusion protein to lac operator sites inserted in the tobacco chloroplast genome examined by chromatin immunoprecipitation
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ABSTRACT

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) has been used to detect binding of DNA-binding proteins to sites in nuclear and mitochondrial genomes. Here, we describe a method for detecting protein-binding sites on chloroplast DNA, using modifications to the nuclear ChIP procedures. The method was developed using the lac operator (lacO)/lac repressor (LacI) system from Escherichia coli. The lacO sequences were integrated into a single site between the rbcL and accD genes in tobacco plastid DNA and homoplasmic transplastomic plants were crossed with transgenic tobacco plants expressing a nuclear-encoded plastid-targeted GFP-LacI fusion protein. In the progeny, the GFP-LacI fusion protein could be visualized in living tissues using confocal microscopy, and was found to co-localize with plastid nucleoids. Isolated chloroplasts from the lacO/GFP-LacI plants were lysed, treated with micrococcal nuclease to digest the DNA to fragments of ~600 bp and incubated with antibodies to GFP and protein A-Sepharose. PCR analysis on DNA extracted from the immunoprecipitate demonstrated IPTG (isopropylthiogalactoside)-sensitive binding of GFP-LacI to lacO. Binding of GFP-LacI to endogenous sites in plastid DNA showing sequence similarity to lacO was also detected, but required reversible cross-linking with formaldehyde. This may provide a general method for the detection of binding sites on plastid DNA for specific proteins.

INTRODUCTION

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) has been widely used as a means of identifying regions of DNA interacting with specific proteins in nuclei of many organisms (1–5). ChIP has also been used to examine interactions of proteins with mitochondrial DNA in yeast and in a human cell line (6–8). So far there has been only one report of the use of ChIP to investigate protein–DNA interactions in chloroplasts (9), but the WHY1 protein under investigation bound to all regions of maize chloroplast DNA and sequence-specific protein-binding sites were not detected (9). Several specific protein–DNA interactions in chloroplasts have been described (10–17), but these interactions have either been based on experiments in vitro, using footprinting (10,11), electrophoretic mobility shift assays (10,11,13) or South-western blotting (12) or on functional effects of gene knockouts or silencing the expression of genes encoding DNA-binding proteins in vivo (15–17).

We have attempted to develop a generic method for investigating protein–DNA interactions in chloroplasts, based on the protocols developed for ChIP with nuclear and mitochondrial genes. Unfortunately, none of the specific DNA–protein interaction systems so far described for chloroplasts seemed sufficiently robust to form the basis for developing a ChIP protocol, because of insufficient knowledge of the affinity of the protein for the binding site. It was decided to introduce a very well-characterized DNA–protein interaction system, the lac operator (lacO)/lac repressor (LacI) system from Escherichia coli (18), into tobacco chloroplasts to provide plant material for the development of a ChIP protocol. The lacO/LacI system has previously been shown to provide IPTG-inducible regulation of gene expression in tobacco chloroplasts (19).
An advantage of the lacO/LacI system is the ability of GFP-tagged fusion proteins of LacI to bind to lacO sites in a variety of organisms in vivo. In Chinese hamster ovary and yeast cells, a GFP-LacI fusion protein has been employed to detect tandem lacO sequences inserted at various places around the genome thereby enabling observations of operator-tagged chromosomal DNA in vivo (20,21). In E. coli, the technique has allowed investigations of DNA segregation (22). In higher plants, Kato and Lam (23) used lacO/GFP-LacI to tag chromosomes in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana and thus enable visualization of genomic loci, nucleolar locations and chromatin organization. Similarly Matzke et al. (24) reported the successful tagging of transgenic loci in A. thaliana with lacO and a GFP-LacI fusion protein and subsequent observation of fluorescent spots in interphase nuclei of various cell types. The use of GFP-tagged LacI in chloroplasts should provide additional visual evidence for its interaction in vivo.

The use of GFP-tagged LacI in chloroplasts should provide additional visual evidence for its interaction in vivo. Various places around the genome thereby enabling observations of operator-tagged chromosomal DNA in vivo. In Chinese hamster ovary and yeast cells, a GFP-LacI fusion protein has been employed to detect tandem lacO sequences inserted at various places around the genome thereby enabling observations of DNA segregation (22). In higher plants, Kato and Lam (23) used lacO/GFP-LacI to tag chromosomes in transgenic Arabidopsis thaliana and thus enable visualization of genomic loci, nucleolar locations and chromatin organization. Similarly Matzke et al. (24) reported the successful tagging of transgenic loci in A. thaliana with lacO and a GFP-LacI fusion protein and subsequent observation of fluorescent spots in interphase nuclei of various cell types. The use of GFP-tagged LacI in chloroplasts should provide additional visual evidence for its interaction with plastid DNA in vivo.

Plastid DNA appears as tightly coiled particulate structures, known as nucleoids (25), which are composed of DNA-binding proteins, plastid DNA and uncharacterized RNA (26). Nucleoids can be visualized by fluorescence microscopy after staining with a DNA-specific fluorochrome, such as 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 27). Plastid nucleoids have also been visualized by fusing GFP to the plastid envelope DNA-binding (PEND) protein (28), providing a major advantage over DAPI in that plastid DNA can be located in living, intact tissues, including non-chlorophyll-containing tissues such as roots and flowers. This article describes the development of a ChIP protocol for the detection of GFP-LacI binding to lacO and related sequences in tobacco chloroplasts. It may provide a generic method for examining protein–DNA interactions in chloroplasts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Plants of Nicotiana tabacum cv ‘Petite Havana’ and experimental lines used for DNA immunoprecipitation were grown axenically as described in Birch-Machin et al. (29). Plants were grown under 16-h light/8-h dark at 23°C with light intensity of 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Young leaves ~2.5-cm long from 8–12-week-old plants of Petite Havana were used for particle bombardment while similar-sized leaves from lacO, GFP-LacI, lacO/GFP-LacI and Prm::gfp lines were used for DNA immunoprecipitation. Fully expanded 5-cm leaves of Petite Havana from axenically grown plants maintained by monthly subculture were used for nuclear transformation. Experimental lines used for crosses and seed production were grown to maturity in a greenhouse with supplementary lighting from 400-W high-pressure sodium lamps (TRS400L Grolux 40; http://www.sylvania.com) to give a 16-h day length at a temperature of 23°C.

Gene constructs

Constructs for plastid transformation containing lacO repeats were produced in pZS-JH1, a derivative of pZS197 (30) containing a polylinker in the unique Bsu36I site (31). A plasmid containing the chimeric gene construct PsbA-gfp in pZS-JH1 (31) was digested with HindIII and SmaI to remove PsbA-gfp. lacO repeat sequences of 1 or ~2.5 kb were excised from plasmids pAFS52 or pAFS59 (21) by restriction digestion with HindIII and SmaI, and ligated into the corresponding restriction sites of digested pZS-JH1. Constructs encoding chloroplast-targeted protein fusions of LacI and GFP were assembled in pUC18 and transferred to pBIN19 (32) for nuclear transformation. For the gfp-lacI construct, the lacO coding sequence (33) was PCR-amplified from E. coli XL1-Blue (Stratagene, http://www.stratagene.com) using forward (5’-ACGGGA TCCGTAAAACGGATACGGTATACGGATAGTC) and reverse (5’-TTTATAAACGCTCTCAGTGCCCCGT) primers, introducing a stop codon and inserting BamHI and SacI restriction sites (underlined) at the 5’- and 3’-ends, respectively. The gfp coding region was excised from pUCRbcStp-gfp containing the CaMV 35S promoter, a region encoding the pea Rubisco small subunit transit peptide (RbcS-TP), gfp and nos terminator, by digestion with BamHI and SacI. The amplified fragment containing the lacI coding region was then inserted between the BamHI and SacI sites in digested pUCRbcStp-gfp to produce pUCRbcStp-lacI. The gfp coding region was amplified from pUCRbcStp-gfp using forward (5’-TGATCAGACACTAAGGAGAAAGAATTGTTTC) and reverse (5’-ATATGGAATCTTGGTGATAGTCATCATGTG) primers resulting in the removal of the stop codon and introduction of XbaI and BamHI restriction sites (underlined) at the 5’- and 3’-ends, respectively. This fragment was inserted into XbaI and BamHI-digested pUCRbcStp-lacI directly upstream of lacI to produce pUCRbcStp-gfp-lacI.

For the lacI::gfp construct, the lacO coding sequence was PCR amplified from E. coli XL1-Blue using forward (5’-AGCTAGATGAACAGTACGGTATACGGTACGTGTC) and reverse (5’-TTATTAGCCCTCAAGTTAAGTTATACGGT) primers, omitting the lacO stop codon and introducing XbaI and StuI sites (underlined) at the 5’- and 3’- ends, respectively. The amplified fragment was then inserted into XbaI and StuI sites located directly upstream of gfp in pUCRbcStp-gfp, to produce pUCRbcStp-lacI-gfp.

The two chimeric gene-fusion constructs in pUC18, consisting of the CaMV 35S promoter, regions encoding the RbcS transit peptide and the GFP fusion protein, and the nos terminator, were removed by digestion with HindIII and EcoRI and ligated into the HindIII and EcoRI sites of pBIN19 (32). The constructs in pBIN19 were then introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain LBA4404 (34) by electroporation (35).

Plant transformation

Plastid transformation of tobacco with plasmids containing 1 or ~2.5 kb of lacO sequence was carried out by particle bombardment as described by Birch-Machin et al. (29). Nuclear transformation of tobacco with A. tumefaciens strain LBA4404 containing either gfp-lacI...
or lacI-gfp was accomplished by the leaf disk method, as described by Horsch et al. (36), using kanamycin for selection. Regenerated lines were assessed for GFP expression by confocal microscopy. Plastid transformants containing lacO were used as female parents in crosses with gfp-lacI nuclear transformants in order to combine lacO and gfp-lacI; expression of GFP was confirmed by confocal microscopy.

**Microscopy**

Chloroplasts were viewed by laser scanning confocal microscopy (Leica TCS-NT, DMRXA light microscope stand, Leica Microsystems Wetzlar GmbH, http://www.leica.com). GFP and chlorophyll fluorescence, following excitation at 488 nm, were collected through TRITC and leica.com). GFP and chlorophyll fluorescence, following excitation at 488 nm, were collected through TRITC and FITC filters, respectively. Leaf tissue stained with DAPI (1 μg/ml) was observed with an Nikon Optiphot-2 microscope adapted for epifluorescence, using filters XF06 and XF76 (Omega Optical Inc., http://www.omegafilters.com) for DAPI and GFP fluorescence, respectively.

**Southern blot and PCR analysis of lacO transplastomic plants**

Total DNA was isolated from young leaves of wild-type and transplastomic tobacco plants using a ChargeSwitch gDNA Plant Kit (Invitrogen; http://www.invitrogen.com) and analysed by Southern blotting as described previously (29). Total leaf DNA (0.3 μg) was cut with EcoRV and SacII and fractionated by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel; DNA was blotted onto GeneScreen Plus nylon membrane (http://www.perkinelmer.com) and probed with a 32P-labelled 1.24 kb BamHI fragment from membrane (http://www.perkinelmer.com) and probed with a 32P-labelled 1.24 kb BamHI fragment from rbcL, as described previously (29). Total leaf DNA of wild-type and transplastomic lacO plants was analysed by PCR in a 25 μl reaction volume containing 0.5-μl DNA template, 0.5 μl KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase (Novagen, http://www.emdbiosciences.com), 2.5 μl of 10× reaction buffer supplied with the enzyme, 1 mM MgSO4, 200 μM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP and 0.5 μM of each primer. The PCR programme was as follows: 94°C (2 min), 30 cycles of 94°C (30 s), 55°C (1 min), 72°C (2 min) and 72°C (10 min). PCR fragments were resolved by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel containing 0.2 μg ethidium bromide ml⁻¹ and visualized with an AlphaImager 1200 (AlphaInnotech Corp., http://www.alphainnotech.com). Primers used to confirm the presence of lacO included a forward primer at the 3′-terminal end of rbcL (5′-TTGACTAAGTATATACTCAGTGAGAAACTACAAGTACAGG) and a reverse primer in the aceD flanking region (5′-TTCAAGGGAAGACTACAACTACAGG).

**Chloroplast DNA immunoprecipitation**

Chloroplast DNA–protein complexes were isolated from young leaves of tobacco as follows. Leaf tissue (2.5 g) was homogenized in 50 ml ice-cold sucrose isolation medium [SIM; 0.35 M sucrose, 25 mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.6, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM L-ascorbic acid, 1 mM phenylmethyl-sulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1 mM benzamidine, 5 mM 6-aminoacaproic acid, 0.1% BSA], using a Polytron PT3100 fitted with homogenizer PT-DA 3020/2MEC/KL (Kinematica AG, http://www.kinematica.ch) for 30 s at speed 8. The resulting suspension was filtered through eight layers of muslin into a 50 ml centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 1600 g for 5 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 20 ml SIM and recentrifuged. The washed pellet was resuspended in 1 ml suspension buffer (1 ml SIM to which was added 2.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 0.5 μg antipain ml⁻¹, 0.5 μg leupeptin ml⁻¹). If a formaldehyde cross-linking step was included, cross-linking of proteins to DNA was carried out by adding 28 μl of 37% formaldehyde to 1 ml chloroplast suspension followed by incubation at 22°C for 10 min. Glycine (150 μl of 1M) was added to remove excess formaldehyde and the suspension incubated for a further 5 min. Chloroplasts were pelleted at 5900 g in a microcentrifuge for 5 min at 4°C and the pellet resuspended in 0.5 ml lysis buffer (2% Nonidet P-40, 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 1.2 mM spermidine, 1 mM PMSF, 0.1 μg antipain ml⁻¹, 0.1 μg leupeptin ml⁻¹). At this stage, the DNA was sheared either by sonication or by micrococcal DНase digestion. In the early stages of development of the method, the suspension was subjected to sonication with an MSE Soniprep 150 (Sanyo-Gallenkamp, http://www.sanyogallenkamp.com), incubating the suspension on ice and keeping the sonication times to short bursts of 10 s at 18–20 μm amplitude and allowing the suspension to rest on ice for 5 min between each sonication. For micrococcal DНase digestion, 125 μl of 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 5 mM MgCl₂ and 125 μl of 6× nuclease digestion buffer (90 mM NaCl, 24 mM CaCl₂) were added to 500 μl of lysed chloroplasts. Micrococcal DНase (0.03 units) (micrococcal nuclease from Staphylococcus aureus; Sigma-Aldrich, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com) was added to the tube and the suspension was incubated at 37°C. After 10 min, 62 μl of 0.5 M EGTA was added to stop nuclease activity and the tube was incubated 5 min on ice. The sample was centrifuged at 5900 g for 10 min at 4°C and 0.8 ml supernatant collected and mixed with 4.2 ml immunoprecipitation buffer (IPP; 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 0.17 mM PMSF, 0.17 μg antipain ml⁻¹, 0.17 μg leupeptin ml⁻¹). To reduce non-specific background in subsequent PCR analyses, the lysed chloroplast suspension was cleared by adding 100 μl protein A-Sepharose beads (50% slurry in 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% BSA) and 10 μl sonicated bacteriophage λ DNA (0.66 μg ml⁻¹). The mixture was incubated on a rotation wheel for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were removed by centrifugation at 2200 g for 5 min and the supernatant aliquotted into 1 ml portions. To one tube was added 2 μl rabbit polyclonal antibody to A. thaliana TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA 1 (TTG1; 37) as a negative control; to a second, 2 μl rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP (ab6556, Abcam plc, http://www.abcam.com); a third received no antibody. These three tubes were incubated on a rotation wheel overnight at 4°C. A fourth tube was stored at 4°C for extraction of total DNA later. All of these steps from extraction onwards were performed on the same day to avoid storage and possible degradation of DNA–protein complexes. Following overnight incubation, 40 μl of protein A-Sepharose beads (50% slurry in 10 mM Tris–HCl pH
7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% BSA) and 1 µl sonicated λ DNA (0.66 µg ml⁻¹) were added to each of the first three tubes which were then incubated on a rotation wheel for 2 h at room temperature. The beads were pelleted at 4500g in a microcentrifuge for 15 s and the supernatant removed and discarded. The protein A-Sepharose beads were washed twice with 400 µl wash buffer 1 (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton-X 100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl), once with 400 µl wash buffer 2 (0.25 M LiCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 24 mM sodium deoxycholate) and twice with 400 µl TE, with spins of 4500g for 15 s between each wash. Protein–DNA complexes were eluted from the beads by washing the beads three times with 160 µl elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO₃) with a pH of 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% BSA) and 1 µl. Protein–DNA complexes were eluted from washed protein A-Sepharose beads with 1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO₃ as included in the immunoprecipitation process, all tubes were precipitated with two volumes of ethanol overnight, collected by centrifugation, dissolved in 20 µl TE, with spins of 4500g for 15 s between each wash. Precipitates were collected by centrifugation, dissolved in 100 µl TE to which 2 µl proteinase-K (18.6 µg ml⁻¹) was added and incubated for 2 h at 42°C. After addition of 300 µl TE to each tube, the DNA was extracted with 400 µl phenol:chloroform:iso-amyl alcohol (25:24:1 by weight) followed by 400 µl chloroform; care was taken at the final step to collect the same volume of upper layer from each tube to facilitate DNA characterization. Purified DNA was precipitated with two volumes of ethanol in the presence of 5 µg glycogen overnight at −20°C. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed with 70% cold ethanol and dissolved in 20 µl TE for analysis by PCR.

**Protein immunoblotting**

Protein–DNA complexes eluted from washed protein A-Sepharose beads with 1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO₃ as described above were used as the source of protein for immunoblotting. Protein–DNA complexes were precipitated with two volumes of ethanol overnight, collected by centrifugation, washed twice in 70% ethanol, air dried for 10 min and then dissolved in 40 µl 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6. Protein sample buffer (13 µl of 4× concentration: 65 mM Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, 5% 2-mercaptoethanol, 0.05% bromophenol blue) was added to each of the samples, which were then boiled for 5 min before being cooled on ice. Total lysate protein (25 µl) and 35 µl each of anti-GFP, anti-TTG and no antibody treatments were subjected to SDS–PAGE with a 10% resolving gel and a 5% stacking gel (3%). Biotinylated protein markers (#7727, Cell Signalling, http://www.cellsignal.com) and 50 ng recombinant GFP (8360-1, Clontech Laboratories Inc., http://www.clontech.com) were separated on the same gel. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose (Protran BA83, Schleicher & Schuell, http://www.sigmaaldrich.com) using a semi-dry blotting apparatus. The membrane was incubated with rabbit polyclonal antibody to GFP (ab290, Abcam plc, http://www.abcam.com) at 1:5000 dilution, followed by biotinylated anti-rabbit Ig antibody (RPN1004, GE Healthcare UK Limited, http://www.gehealthcare.com) and streptavidin-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex (RPN1051V, GE Healthcare UK Limited, http://www.gehealthcare.com) and detected with enhanced chemiluminescence (Western Lightning, Perkin Elmer, http://www.perkinelmer.com).

**PCR of immunoprecipitated chloroplast DNA**

Immunoprecipitated chloroplast DNA was analysed by PCR in a 25 µl reaction volume containing 1 µl DNA template, 0.5 u DNA polymerase (BIOTAQ; Bioline UK, http://www.bioline.com), 2.5 µl of 10× reaction buffer supplied with the enzyme, 2 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM each of dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP and 0.3 µM of each primer. The PCR programme was as follows: 94°C (2 min), 26–28 cycles of 94°C (30 s), 50–60°C (30 s), 72°C (30 s) and 72°C (10 min). PCR fragments were resolved by electrophoresis on a 1.2% agarose gel containing 0.2 µg ethidium bromide ml⁻¹ and visualized with an AlphaImager 1200. Primers used to confirm the presence of lacO in immunoprecipitated DNA included a forward primer in the psbA 3’-terminal end of aadA (5’-GAAATAAGAAAAGAGAAGGCTATATTCG) and a reverse primer in the accD flanking region (5’-TTCAGGGGAACCTAACAAGCTACG). Primers to investigate binding in the atpBE region were forward (5'-TCAGCATATCGATTATGTCCTAGC) and reverse (5'-TCTCACAAACAAAGGAGTCTACTCG) to amplify a fragment of 396 bp. Primers to amplify a 233-bp fragment of 23S rDNA (rrn23) were forward (5'-TATCGTGCCCACGGTGAAACGCTGG) and reverse (5'-CGTAATGATAAACGGCTCTG). Amplification of a 167-bp fragment from psbT was carried out using forward (5'-GAAATAAGAAAGAAGAAGA) and reverse (5'-GAAATAAGAAAGAAGAAGA) primers. Amplification of a 3.8 kb fragment from accD to atpBE, accomplished using the same forward primer for atpBE and the reverse accD primer as described above, encompassing the lacO insertion site, was carried out as described above but with a modified PCR programme incorporating 28 cycles of 94°C (1 min), 55°C (2 min) and 72°C (3 min).

**RESULTS**

Creation of tobacco lines with plastid-located lacO sequences

Chloroplast transformation by biolistics was used to introduce multiple copies of lacO into a single site between the rbcL and accD genes in tobacco chloroplast DNA. Detached leaves of the tobacco cultivar Petite Havana were bombarded with lacO plasmids containing either 1 (32 copies) or 2.5 kb of lacO sequences (68 copies) (Figure 1a). Spectinomycin-resistant transplastomic plants regenerated following bombardment with either construct were found to contain a shortened length of lacO repeat sequence compared with the transforming...
SacII and probed with a 32P-labelled 1.24 kb BamHI fragment from lacO DNA for the presence of /C24 regenerated following bombardment with a plasmid containing aadA driving the aadA gene conferring resistance to spectinomycin; TpsbA, terminator region from plastid psbA. Plastid rbcL and accD sequences are used for recombination into the plastid genome; their direction of transcription is shown by the arrowheads. The EcoRV restriction site was destroyed in the production of pZS197 but is restored in transplastomic plants. 1: position of 1.24 kb probe used in Southern DNA analysis. 2: position of the rbcL primer used to determine the size of the lacO insert in (b); 3: position of the forward and reverse primers used to analyse immunoprecipitated DNA for the presence of lacO. (b) PCR analysis of leaf DNA from transplastomic lacO lines. Lanes 1–4 and 5–8, putative transplastomic lines containing lacO constructs with 1 or ~2.5 kb lacO DNA, respectively. Lane 9, no-template negative control. Lane 10, positive control, PCR on plasmid containing 1 kb lacO. Marker sizes are shown on the left. Primers were used to amplify a region extending from rbcL to accD and were expected to give band sizes of 2.6 or ~4.0 kb with 1 kb or ~2.5 kb of lacO, respectively. (c) Southern blot analysis of transplastomic tobacco lines, regenerated following bombardment with a plasmid containing ~2.5 kb lacO repeat sequence. Total leaf DNA (0.3 μg) was cut with EcoRV and SacII and probed with a 32P-labelled 1.24 kb BamHI fragment from rbcL [see (a) above]. Lanes 1–6, putative transplastomic lines. WT, ‘Petite Havana’ wild-type. Band sizes are shown on the left. (d,e) Constructs for nuclear transformation containing the CaMV 35S promoter and nos terminator in pBIN19 (32). (d) gfp-lacI DNA was inserted between the coding region for the RbcS transit peptide and the nos terminator using XbaI and SacI restriction sites. (e) lacI-gfp has been inserted between the coding region for the RbcS transit peptide and the nos terminator using XbaI and Stul restriction sites.

DNA. PCR analyses of several regenerated lines using primers which flanked the lacO insertion site indicated that ~200bp could be attributed to the inserted lacO DNA regardless of the transforming construct (Figure 1b). Transplastomic lines were also subjected to Southern blot analysis. Probing of total leaf DNA digested with EcoRV and SacII with a 1.24 kb BamHI fragment from the plastid rbcL gene adjacent to the construct insertion site was expected to give a 2.8 kb band in untransformed plants, and a 5.3 kb band if 2.5 kb of lacO DNA had been transferred. The Southern blot detected a band of ~4.4 kb in four of the lines (Figure 1c) confirming the PCR results and indicating that <300 bp of lacO DNA was located between the aadA selectable marker gene and the accD recombination region. Weak hybridization to a band at 3.7 kb was also obtained; this has been observed previously with pZS197-based vectors (29,31) and is probably due to recombination between introduced chloroplast DNA sequences and identical endogenous sequences (39). Recombination frequencies between nearby repeated sequences in chloroplasts are known to be high (40), and recombination between the repeated lacO sequences probably accounts for the substantial reduction in numbers of lacO elements in all independent lines tested. This appears to have occurred within 11 weeks of bombardment of the leaves and no further shortening of the lacO sequences was observed. Sequencing of PCR products from the transplastomic lines confirmed the presence of three lacO repeat sequences of 32 bp each, separated by HaeIII restriction sites and flanked by EcoRI sites (Figure 2a), an arrangement similar to the original pAFS plasmid where repeats of eight lacO sequences, each separated by a HaeIII restriction site, were themselves separated by EcoRI restriction sites (21).

Creation of tobacco lines expressing nuclear-encoded plastid-targeted GFP-LacI and LacI-GFP

Two chimeric genes encoding plastid-targeted N- or C-terminal fusions of LacI to GFP were produced in the binary vector pBIN19 (32), under control of the CaMV...
Arrangement of lacO repeats in transplastomic lacO lines. lacO sequences are separated by HaeIII restriction sites, with an EcoRI restriction site at each end. The sequence of the 118-bp region is given below the diagram. The gap indicates the centre of the dyad symmetry. (b) Core regions of three lacO sequences from E. coli. Bases identical to lacO1 are in bold, non-identical bases are lower case. (c) Chloroplast DNA sequences showing similarity to lacO. Bases identical to lacO1 are in bold, non-identical bases are lower case. The locations of the sequences in the tobacco genome (Genbank Z00044) are: rrn23, 109075-109095 and 133535-133555; ndhB, 98558-98578; ndhJ, 51302-51322; rpl14, 83504-83524; atpB, 57377-57397; psaA, 42101-42121; rrn16, 103808-103828 and 138802-138822.

Figure 2. LacI binding sites in E. coli and chloroplasts. (a) 35S promoter (Figure 1d and e) for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of tobacco. GFP-LacI and LacI-GFP were targeted to chloroplasts with the pea RbcS transit peptide. Eleven kanamycin-resistant transgenic lines with the GFP-LacI construct were examined for GFP fluorescence by confocal microscopy. All lines showed a punctate pattern of GFP fluorescence within the plastids (Figure 3a), unlike the uniform GFP fluorescence throughout the stroma found in transplastomic lines expressing soluble GFP (31; Figure 3b). In the highest expressing lines, there was a slight background of GFP fluorescence distributed throughout the chloroplasts (bar = 2.8 μm). (b) Chloroplasts from tobacco leaf mesophyll cells in water-mounted tissue visualized by confocal or epifluorescence microscopy. (a,b,e,f) Chloroplasts viewed by laser scanning confocal microscopy (TCS-NT, DMRXA light microscope stand, Leica Microsystems Wetzlar GmbH). Images of GFP and chlorophyll fluorescence, using an excitation wavelength of 488 nm, were collected through TRITC and FITC filters, respectively. Images (a), (e) and (f) result from merging excitation wavelength of 488 nm, were collected through TRITC and FITC filters, respectively. Images (a), (e) and (f) result from merging GFP fluorescence by confocal microscopy. (b) GFP-LacI line showing the appearance of plastid DNA as fluorescent nucleoids (bar = 2.8 μm), (c,d) Leaf tissue from a lacO::gfp line showing GFP fluorescence distributed throughout the chloroplasts (bar = 2.8 μm), (c,d) Leaf tissue from a lacO::gfp line showing GFP fluorescence distributed throughout the chloroplasts (bar = 2.8 μm). (d) DAPI fluorescence of the same cells, merged with GFP fluorescence, showing co-localization of GFP and DAPI-stained DNA (bar = 2 μm). (e) LacI-GFP line showing the appearance of plastid DNA as fluorescent nucleoids (bar = 2.8 μm). (f) lacO::gfp line showing fluorescent nucleoids (bar = 2.8 μm).
Development of a chloroplast ChIP protocol

In order to develop a chloroplast ChIP protocol, chloroplasts were isolated from leaves of the lacO/GFP-LacI plants described above and from three control lines: transplastomic lacO plants without GFP-LacI, transgenic GFP-LacI plants without lacO and transplastomic Prnn::gfp plants which contain high levels of soluble GFP distributed more or less uniformly throughout the stroma with no sign of localization to nucleoids (31). Leaves from 8 to 12 week-old tissue culture-grown plants were routinely used as they contained low levels of starch, which did not interfere with chloroplast extraction, in comparison with plants grown in a growth chamber. A sucrose-based isolation medium was used routinely to aid in stabilization of nucleoid structure within the chloroplasts (26). Fractionation of chloroplasts in a 40/80% Percoll step gradient after extraction resulted in an approximate ratio of 2.1 non-intact:intact chloroplasts. However, under an epifluorescence microscope chloroplasts from both fractions showed bright distinct nucleoids, and therefore unfractionated chloroplast preparations were used in order to maximize recovery of immunoprecipitated material.

Sonication is usually the method of choice to fragment chromatin to protein–DNA complexes containing 300–600 bp DNA in nuclear ChIP methods (1,3). However, sonication of chloroplasts lysed by treatment with 2% Nonidet P-40 was unsuccessful in shearing chloroplast DNA in nucleoids of lacO/GFP-LacI plants to small enough fragments to reduce the likelihood of immunoprecipitating long regions that would lower the sensitivity of subsequent PCR analyses. Sonication of the suspension produced by lysing chloroplasts in 2% Nonidet P-40 detergent for 120 s in 30-s bursts reduced the bulk of the DNA to fragments of ~1–3 kb, as estimated by agarose gel electrophoresis. However, it was still possible to amplify regions of 3.8 kb by PCR using a forward primer in the atpB/E region 2 kb upstream from the construct insertion site and a reverse primer in the accD region adjacent to the 3′-terminus of the inserted DNA, indicating that long fragments of DNA remained. Compact fluorescent nucleoids were also still visible with epifluorescence microscopy following extended sonication of lysed chloroplasts. Digestion with AluI was used by Prikryl et al. (9) to fragment maize chloroplast DNA for their ChIP experiments, but digestion with a specific restriction enzyme was thought not to be advisable for generating random fragments of chloroplast DNA. Micrococal nuclease was therefore tested under various conditions for its ability to digest plastid DNA to smaller fragments (42). A 10-min incubation of lysed chloroplasts with micrococal nuclease (0.06 µM−1) at 37°C in Tris–HCl pH 7.6 buffer containing 0.8 mM MgCl2,15 mM NaCl and 4 mM CaCl2, followed by addition of 38 mM EGTA to stop nuclease activity, produced DNA fragments of ~600–1200 bp and it was not possible subsequently to PCR-amplify fragments of 3.8 kb.

The ability of anti-GFP antibodies to immunoprecipitate GFP-LacI complexes from chloroplast extracts was examined by protein immunoblot analysis. Following micrococal nuclease treatment, chloroplast extracts were incubated overnight at 4°C with protein A-Sepharose beads and antibodies to GFP, antibodies to TTG1 (a non-chloroplast-located protein) or no antibodies. Proteins eluted from the beads with 1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3 were separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted onto nitrocellulose and GFP detected with antibodies to GFP and enhanced chemiluminescence. A band of 66 kDa corresponding to the GFP-LacI fusion protein is present in the total chloroplast lysate (Figure 4, lane 4) and in the immunoprecipitate with antibody to GFP (Figure 4 lane 2) but is not present in the immunoprecipitate with antibody to TTG1 or in the absence of antibodies (Figure 4 lanes 1 and 3). The strongly reactive band of ~55 kDa in Figure 4, lanes 1 and 2, is due to the heavy chain of rabbit IgG from the immunoprecipitation incubation cross-reacting with the biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG antibody used in the detection process. It can be concluded that GFP-LacI fusion protein was successfully immunoprecipitated using the antibodies to GFP and protein A-Sepharose.

Formaldehyde is usually used to produce DNA–protein cross-links in nuclear chromatin both in vivo and in vitro (1,43,44) and, in comparison to other cross-linking reagents, cross-linking can be reversed relatively easily (45). However, formaldehyde cross-linking is not necessary for stabilizing interactions between purified LacI and lacO-containing DNA in vitro (46). Therefore, the formaldehyde cross-linking step was assessed for its effect on immunoprecipitation of chloroplast DNA–protein complexes. Isolated chloroplasts from lacO/GFP-LacI plants were incubated with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at 22°C, followed by addition of glycine to remove excess formaldehyde and lysis in a buffer containing 2% Nonidet P-40. Following shearing of chloroplast DNA by micrococal nuclease, complexes containing GFP-LacI were immunoprecipitated with rabbit antibodies to GFP and protein A-Sepharose, eluted from the protein A-Sepharose with SDS and incubated at 65°C for 5–6 h to reverse the cross-links. The extracted
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**Figure 4.** Immunoblot of immunoprecipitated protein obtained by incubation of lysed chloroplast extracts with or without antibody prior to addition of protein-A Sepharose, elution of the protein-DNA complexes from the protein-A Sepharose and subsequent precipitation in ethanol. The precipitates were solubilized in 10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6 and 25–35 µl fractionated by electrophoresis on a SDS-10% polyacrylamide gel, blotted onto nitrocellulose and incubated with rabbit polyclonal anti-GFP. Immunoreactive bands were detected by enhanced chemiluminescence. Lane 1, rabbit anti-A. thaliana TTG1; lane 2, rabbit anti-GFP; lane 3, no antibody; lane 4, total soluble protein; lane 5, 50 ng recombinant GFP. Arrows mark the position of the GFP-LacI monomer at 66 kDa. A marker lane is shown to the right.
immunoprecipitated chloroplast DNA was analysed for the presence of lacO by PCR using primers that flanked the lacO insertion site (Figure 1a) to produce a 409-bp fragment. The lacO region was successfully amplified from chloroplast DNA immunoprecipitated by anti-GFP (Figure 5a) but not by anti-TTG1 or no antibody, providing a clear indication that GFP-tagged LacI had bound to the lacO sequence in plastid DNA. No amplification of the lacO fragment was obtained from the immunoprecipitates of chloroplasts of the three control lines lacO, GFP-LacI and Prm::gfp (Figure 5a). Immunoprecipitation of the lacO sequence was obtained irrespective of the use of the formaldehyde cross-linking treatment, corroborating the finding that cross-linking was not necessary to stabilize the binding of LacI to lacO (46).

The affinity of LacI for lacO is reduced 1000-fold in the presence of isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (47) and, although IPTG can remove LacI from lacO binding sites, it does not affect non-specific binding. The addition of IPTG during chloroplast extraction was tested to ascertain whether it would affect binding of LacI to lacO. Addition of 20 mM IPTG to chloroplasts prior to cross-linking and inclusion in the lysis buffer, immunoprecipitation buffer and at all subsequent stages, led to a pronounced reduction in the amplified product generated by PCR when primers specific for lacO were used (Figure 5b). Even in the presence of excessive amounts of LacI, de-repression by IPTG may not always be complete (48) and, since a high level of GFP-LacI was being expressed from the CaMV 35S promoter (Figure 4 and ‘Discussion’ section), this may be a possible explanation for the small amount of binding of LacI to lacO that still seems to be taking place. However, the GFP-LacI fusion protein clearly showed an affinity for lacO that could be disrupted by the addition of IPTG, indicating binding of a specific nature.

The appearance of fluorescent GFP foci that co-localized with DNA in plastids of plants transformed with nuclear-encoded GFP-LacI (Figure 3a) suggested that LacI was binding to plastid DNA even in the absence of lacO. This might be due to non-specific binding of GFP-LacI to all regions of plastid DNA or to specific binding to endogenous plastid DNA sequences showing similarity to lacO. Comparison of the three naturally occurring lacO sequences from E. coli (Figure 2b) with tobacco plastid DNA identified several locations around the plastome with some sequence similarity to lacO (Figure 2c). The lacO sequences show pseudo-dyad
symmetry with the central base pairs stabilizing the LacI–
lacO complex (49,50). We chose to examine binding of
GFP-LacI to two regions of plastid DNA (atpBE and
rrn23) containing two sequences showing similarity to lacO,
and to a control region encompassing psbT, which
was located at least 2 kb away from any region showing simi-
arity to lacO. The lacO similarity in the atpBE promoter
region (nucleotides 57372–57392) includes eight consecu-
tive base pairs in the central region identical to lacO3 (49)
suggesting high probability as a binding site for
GFP-LacI. The atpBE region is located ~3.4 kb from
the lacO insertion site in the plastidomic lacO plants
and PCR using atpBE and accD primers confirmed that
the micrococcal nuclease treatment of plastid nucleoids
did not produce long fragments of plastid DNA contain-
ing both sites. To examine GFP-LacI binding to the
GFP-LacI region, PCR was performed on immunoprecipitated DNA
using primers to amplify a sequence of 396 bp from the
atpB-rbcL intergenic region. The PCR results (Figure 6a)
demonstrate that atpBE DNA had been immunopre-
cipitated from formaldehyde cross-linked treatments of
chloroplasts from GFP-LacI lines with or without lacO,
suggesting that GFP-LacI had bound to plastid DNA in
this region. In the absence of formaldehyde, however, there
was no amplified DNA band, implying that cross-linking was necessary to stabilize the linkage of
weaker protein–DNA complexes during the course of
immunoprecipitation. PCR carried out with primers for
the atpBE region also resulted in a reduced amount of
amplified DNA in samples that had been treated with
IPTG (Figure 5b), suggesting specific recognition of the
atpBE site by GFP-LacI.

The other potential GFP-LacI binding site examined
occurs within the 23S rDNA sequence where there are
11 consecutive base pairs in the central region identical to
lacO1 (Figure 2c). The PCR results clearly indicate that
GFP-LacI also bound to a 233-bp region containing this site.
PCR on the anti-GFP-immunoprecipitated DNA gave a positive band in lacO/GFP-LacI and GFP-LacI
plants, but not in lacO or Prmn::gfp lines (Figure 6b).
The positive results for binding to lacO-related sequences in
atpBE and rrn23 are in contrast to the results obtained
for binding to chloroplast psbT region. This region did not
appear to contain a lacO-related sequence, and the
distance to the nearest potential binding site appeared to be
~2 kb. It was not possible to amplify a PCR product of
the correct size from any of the immunoprecipitated material (Figure 6c) suggesting that there was little or no
binding of GFP-LacI in this region of the genome. This
indicates that the location of GFP-LacI in plastid
nucleoids is not due to non-specific binding over the
complete length of plastid DNA and is likely to be due to
specific binding to lacO-related sequences. These
endogenous binding sites for GFP-LacI appear to be pre-
dictable based upon DNA sequence similarity to lacO.

DISCUSSION

The binding of a GFP-LacI fusion protein to lacO
sequences integrated into the chloroplast genome has
been demonstrated by chromatin immunoprecipitation.
The binding of GFP-LacI to lacO was repressed in the
presence of IPTG and its detection by ChIP did not require treatment with formaldehyde, which is
commonly used as a reagent to cross-link proteins to
DNA. Both these results support the concept that
GFP-LacI was binding specifically to lacO, as IPTG spe-
cifically reduces binding of LacI to lacO (47) and formal-
dehyde cross-linking is not necessary to stabilize lacO/
LacI binding (46). Binding of GFP-LacI to other sites
on the chloroplast genome, as evidenced by the presence of
fluorescent nucleoids in the absence of lacO (Figure 3),
could be detected by ChIP only following cross-linking in
the presence of formaldehyde. Two, at least, of these endo-
genous binding sites appeared to be predictable based
on their sequence similarity to naturally occurring lacO
sequences. The requirement for formaldehyde to stabilize
the linkages probably indicates a weaker affinity of the
fusion protein for the endogenous sites. However, the
affinity of LacI for these endogenous sites would appear
to be greater than that of LacI for non-specific binding to
DNA. The association constant $K_a$ for LacI binding to
lacO is $\sim 10^{12}$ M$^{-1}$ (51), whereas non-specific binding of
LacI to DNA has a $K_a$ of $\sim 10^{11}$ M$^{-1}$ (52). The absence of
any detectable binding of GFP-LacI to the psbT region
of chloroplast DNA (Figure 6) implies that the ChIP
protocol, even in the presence of formaldehyde, does not
detect such low-affinity non-specific binding.

The binding of LacI to endogenous binding sites might
possibly affect the use of the lacO/LacI system to provide
an inducible expression system for transgenes in the
chloroplast genome. Mühlbauer and Koop (19) demonstrated 20-fold induction of GFP expression from
a chimeric rrn promoter containing lacO sequences on
spraying tobacco leaves with IPTG. Binding of LacI to
endogenous sites may affect the expression of endogenous
chloroplast genes, potentially affecting the growth and
development of the plant. No such growth effects were
reported (19), suggesting that LacI binding to chloroplast
sequences did not have major effects on chloroplast gene
expression. In our experiments, yellowing of the
interveinal areas of leaves of the most highly expressing
LacI-GFP lines was detected, possibly indicating an effect
on chloroplast gene expression. However, this was not
observed with the most highly expressing GFP-LacI
lines, suggesting that the presence of LacI in chloroplasts
was not normally detrimental to growth and development.

The amounts of the LacI fusion proteins in chloroplasts
are considerably higher than the amount of LacI normally
found in E. coli, which has been estimated as 5–10 mol-
ecules of LacI per cell (48). From western blots of chloro-
plant extracts with antibodies to GFP, as in Figure 4, it is
possible to estimate the ratio of GFP to Rubisco in
chloroplasts, assuming that Rubisco accounts for 50% of
the chloroplast protein (53). This produces a molar ratio of
Rubisco to GFP of 200:1. The number of mol-
ecules of Rubisco per chloroplast can be obtained from
estimates of mean chloroplast volume and Rubisco con-
centration. A mean chloroplast volume of 25 μm$^3$ can be
calculated from the face area of the chloroplasts in
Figure 3, assuming a chloroplast thickness of 1 μm (54).
Assuming the concentration of Rubisco in the stroma of tobacco chloroplasts is \(\sim 200 \text{ mg/ml}\), based on the protein concentration of crystals of tobacco Rubisco (55), the number of Rubisco molecules per chloroplast is 6 million. This number is similar to the value of 4.2 million that can be calculated from the Rubisco content (3.6 pg Rubisco per chloroplast) of chloroplasts in the middle region of a developing wheat leaf (56). The estimate of 6 million Rubisco molecules per chloroplast leads to an estimate of 30 000 GFP-LacI molecules per chloroplast. However, these chloroplasts will contain \(\sim 100\) chloroplast DNA molecules, so the ratio of LacI to DNA in chloroplasts is \(\sim 300:1\), considerably greater than the 5–10:1 ratio in \(E. coli\). This large excess of GFP-LacI is probably responsible for the binding to lower-affinity sites on chloroplast DNA. However, the punctate pattern of GFP fluorescence was still observed in the absence of \(lacO\) in lower expressing GFP-LacI lines, estimated on the basis of western blotting to have 10-fold less GFP (data not shown), suggesting that such binding to chloroplast DNA can occur at LacI:DNA ratios not much greater than found in \(E. coli\).

The ChIP protocol developed used micrococcal nuclease to fragment the chloroplast genome into suitable small DNA fragments. We decided against the use of a specific restriction enzyme, such as AluI (9), because it would not produce random, overlapping fragments of chloroplast DNA that we believed should maximize the probability of detecting specific protein binding at any site on the chloroplast genome. We failed to identify suitable conditions for the use of sonication, which is the method of choice for fragmenting nuclear and mitochondrial DNA (1,4,7). Neither mitochondria nor chloroplasts contain histones and therefore lack the nucleosome structure of nuclear chromatin. The difference in the susceptibility of the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes to fragmentation by sonication may therefore be due to differences in the higher order organization of

Figure 6. Binding of GFP-LacI to chloroplast sequences. Experimental lines are listed on the left; treatments shown in the left half of the panels were carried out following a formaldehyde cross-linking step, those on the right were not subjected to cross-linking. (a) PCR analysis of immunoprecipitated chloroplast DNA using primers to amplify a 396-bp fragment from plastid \(atpB/E\). (b) PCR analysis of formaldehyde cross-linked, immunoprecipitated chloroplast DNA using primers to amplify a 233-bp fragment from plastid \(23S\) rDNA. (c) PCR analysis of immunoprecipitated chloroplast DNA using primers to amplify a 167-bp fragment from plastid \(psbT\). Total DNA was extracted from a fraction of the lysate that did not undergo immunoprecipitation. Control reactions for PCR included genomic DNA isolated from a transplastomic \(lacO\) line (+) and water (−).
DNA in the two organelles. Sonication produced ~500-bp fragments of DNA from yeast mitochondria (7), but with chloroplasts it was possible to detect DNA fragments longer than 3 kb following sonication. We therefore used nuclease digestion to ensure that the chloroplast DNA was sheared into small enough fragments to eliminate potentially misleading results of proteins binding at remote sites on long DNA fragments. The micrococcal nuclease digestion protocol was developed to ensure the separation of the atpBE region showing similarity to lacO and the introduced lacO sequences. These sites are ~3.4 kb apart and following digestion it was not possible to amplify long fragments containing both sites. The ChIP experiment should then be able to detect binding at each of the sites independently. By slight modifications of the nuclease digestion protocol it should be possible to detect binding at two even-closer proximal sites by ensuring consistent nuclease cleavage between the two sites.

We believe the ChIP method developed using the introduced lacO/LacI system should provide a generic means of identifying specific endogenous protein-binding sites in chloroplast DNA, provided specific antibodies to the DNA-binding protein of interest are available. These antibodies could be raised against the purified protein or synthetic peptides, or against added epitopes, such as HA or MYC tags, or fusion proteins, such as GFP. The use of antibodies against epitope tags or fusion proteins requires the availability of transgenic plants expressing the epitope-tagged or fused DNA-binding protein and this increases the potential risk of aberrant binding to non-physiological target sites by the over-expressed protein. However, the expression of the tagged or fusion protein from its native promoter in a gene-knockout background should minimize this risk. The identification of all binding sites for a particular DNA-binding protein might be achieved by combining the ChIP protocol developed here with a DNA microarray (57). A similar method was used by Prikryl et al. (9) to identify fragments of maize chloroplast DNA bound by the WHY1 protein. Although they detected binding to all fragments of AluI-digested maize stromal DNA, the method should be able to detect binding to individual specific regions of the chloroplast genome.

It may be possible to use the chloroplast ChIP protocol to investigate the binding sites of the PEND protein in organello. Previous studies have identified potential binding sites in vitro by South-western blotting of pea chloroplast fractions (9) or by mobility-shift assay and binding-site selection using the expressed DNA-binding region of PEND (58). The soluble bZIP region of PEND was shown to have a $K_d$ of $\sim 4 \times 10^8$ M$^{-1}$ for a double-stranded oligonucleotide containing two copies of the identified binding-site sequence TAAGAAGT (58). It is difficult to judge if the binding affinity of membrane-associated PEND protein is likely to be similar to that of the soluble bZIP domain, but a higher affinity (higher $K_d$) is likely to be required to assure success with the ChIP assay. Similar problems may be encountered in trying to use the ChIP assay to detect sigma factor binding at specific sites. Sigma factors provide the accessibility to antibodies and can be immunoprecipitated.

The introduction of the GFP-LacI/lacO system into tobacco has made it possible to locate plastid nucleoids throughout plant tissue, in the same way as that demonstrated with the PEND-GFP fusion protein system reported by Terasawa and Sato (28). Plastid nucleoids can be easily visualized in living tissues throughout the plant, including those tissues with non-green plastids that are more difficult to locate using light microscopy. The GFP-LacI/lacO plants provide an alternative to the PEND-GFP system (28) that does not require over-expression of an endogenous protein for investigating plastid nucleoid behaviour in various plant organs.
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