Adsorptive behaviour of rutile phased titania nanoparticles supported on acid-modified kaolinite clay for the removal of selected heavy metal ions from mining wastewater
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Abstract
This study investigated the removal of metal ions pollutants in mining wastewater such as Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions by acid-activated kaolinite clay (AAC) and titanium (IV) oxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles supported on the AAC (TiO₂–AAC). TiO₂ nanoparticles were synthesised using titanium salt precursor with leaves extract of Parkia biglobossa and impregnated on the AAC to develop TiO₂–AAC as a nanoadsorbent. The AAC and TiO₂–AAC nanocomposites were characterized using different analytical techniques. Actual concentrations of selected heavy metals in mining wastewater was determined prior and after treatment using the prepared adsorbents in batch adsorptive studies with atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The characterisation studies confirmed that a rutile phase TiO₂ was doped on acidic-activated kaolinite clay. Morphology analysis shows that the developed adsorbents were homogeneously dispersed and porous. The results of the surface area further revealed that the AAC, TiO₂ and TiO₂–AAC has 14.15, 10.23 and 32.98 m²/g, respectively. The percentage removal of heavy metals followed the order of TiO₂–AAC > AAC due to the higher surface area and enhanced surface functionality of the former than the latter. The adsorption capacity increased from 86.13 to 91.99% (Fe (III)), 83.12 to 89.37% (Mn (II)), 68.48 to 81.95% (Cu (II)) and 29.49 to 32.39% (Pb (II)) from AAC to TiO₂–AAC. The kinetic and isotherm models were best fitted by pseudo-second-order kinetics and Langmuir model. Whilst the thermodynamic investigation found that, the adsorption process was endothermic, spontaneous and chemisorption controlled. Conclusively, the TiO₂–AAC nanocomposite exhibited better performance than AAC alone.
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Introduction
The challenges posed by urbanisation and industrialisation are humongous. Among these is water pollution, which endangers the survival of both plants and animals in the present twenty-first century due to exposure to different contaminants. Toxic pollutants of several types are being released into the water bodies causing considerable damages to the human organs, plants and distortion of the ecosystem (Manisalidis et al. 2020; Redha et al. 2021). These pollutants are released as by-products from the operations of metallurgy, electroplating, painting, pharmaceutical and mining industries (Wang et al. 2021). Mining, as an economic activity has contributed greatly to the GDP growth of several countries (Acheampong and Ansa 2017; Wang et al. 2021) however there is an attendant challenge of pollution especially the release of wastewater containing heavy metals causing severe damage to the water resources (Saha and Sinha 2018). Heavy metals which includes Mn, Pb, Ni, Fe, Cu, Cd, Cr with other physicochemical parameters like; turbidity, sulphate, phosphate, nitrate, COD, BOD are the major characteristics of an abandoned mining site wastewater (Bwapa et al. 2017; Ojonimi et al. 2019). Exposure to
these contaminants is the major cause of infirmities, diseases and dysfunctions both in plants and animals (Balali-Mood et al. 2021). Lead (Pb) as a heavy metal has been reported to cause mental retardation, hyperactivity, kidney damage, dermatitis, encephalopathy and cardiovascular dysfunctions (Balali-Mood et al. 2021). Exposure to Cd causes lungs cancer and birth defects (Wang et al. 2021). Chromium exposure can cause skin epidemics, respiratory complications, severe renal failure, depleted immune systems, kidney and liver impairment, alteration of genetic material, lung cancer, respiratory fibrosis (Pavesi and Moreira 2020). Nickel can also cause lungs, nose and bone cancer, dizziness, headache, queasiness and vomiting, chest pain, rapid respiration (Lakherwal 2014). Other effect includes: liver cirrhosis, thyroid dysfunction, skin peels, diarrhoea, stunted growth, lower reproduction rate, bioaccumulation in food chain, death of organisms and distortion of the ecosystem (Balali-Mood et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2021). Thus, there is urgent need to treat mining wastewater prior to release into the environment.

Conventional wastewater treatment techniques such as filtration (Bhateria and Singh 2019), chemical oxidation (Oh et al. 2020), ion exchange (Zhao et al. 2019), ozonation (Prasse et al. 2015), flocculation, electro-coagulation (Fu et al. 2019), reverse osmosis, electrodialysis, precipitation, co-precipitation flocculation, (Bijang et al. 2020) have been employed to treat complex industrial wastewater such as mining wastewater. These techniques have certain limitations such as the high cost of reagents, generation of toxic sludge, high post-treatment cost, occupation of space, high energy requirements, and failure to remove heavy metals in the range of 1–100 mg/L amongst others (Gholipour et al. 2020). On the contrary, adsorption technology shows exceptional characteristics such as simplicity in design, cost-effectiveness, eco-friendly and high removal efficiency especially when heavy metals concentration in wastewater ranges from 1 to 100 mg/L (Yang et al. 2019; Subhi et al. 2020).

Several adsorbents like activated carbon (Egbosiuja et al. 2019; Shukla et al. 2020), date seed activated carbon (Subhi et al. 2020), Turmeric/polyvinyl alcohol Th(IV) phosphate electrospun fibers (Ahamed et al. 2016), clays, zeolites, alumina, polymeric materials (Ahamed et al. 2016; Khan et al. 2019; Pietrelli et al. 2019) and nanomaterials have been used by diverse researchers to impound heavy metals from wastewater (Siddiqui and Chaudhry 2017). Amongst nanomaterials widely employed for environmental remediation are metallic and metal oxide nanoparticles like titanium dioxide, zinc oxide, tungsten oxide, iron oxide, silicon oxide nanoparticles to mention but a few (Guillaume et al. 2018; Guo et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2019). TiO₂ nanoparticles are widely investigated by researchers due to their photochemical, thermal and biological stability, low cost, low toxicity, great refractive index, excellent antimicrobial and adsorptive properties amongst others (Durango-Giraldo et al. 2019; Landage et al. 2020). Titanium (IV) oxide exists as anatase, brookite or in the rutile phase with the anatase phase unstable and most suitable for photocatalytic applications (Musial et al. 2020; Tijani et al. 2019). Studies have shown that most biological synthesised TiO₂ are anatase phase or mixed TiO₂ phases and are commonly used for photocatalytic applications (Krishnan and Mahalingam 2017; Mustapha et al. 2020) while TiO₂ in the rutile phase is employed as an adsorbent because of thermal stability and presence of more anchoring sites to sequester adsorbate in the aqueous medium. Rutile TiO₂ has also been reported to have reduction kinetics of 2.5 times faster than anatase TiO₂, depicting an improved activity (Oi et al. 2016). Despite the advantages of TiO₂ nanoparticles, it agglomerates when dispersed in aqueous medium, this affects its surface area and pose challenges to recover due to the nanocrystalline size, which seriously limits its practical application (Akinbawo 2019). To prevent the agglomeration, improve post-separation from wastewater and ensure the stability of TiO₂ nanoparticles, modification by a metal–organic framework, functionalization based on doping mechanism, on supports materials have been identified as a viable alternative (Falk et al. 2018; Madadi et al. 2020; Rodríguez-Padrón et al. 2019). Materials such as MgO, Al₂O₃, graphite, zeolite, carbon nanotubes, furacene and clay have been used to support TiO₂ and other metal oxides to solve post-separation problems (Khan et al. 2019; Krishnan and Mahalingam 2017; Ouyang et al. 2019; Youssef et al. 2015). Kaolinite clay have efficient removal capacity aimed at heavy metal ions through the ion exchange process (Mustapha et al. 2019). To achieve this, the unwanted metal ions become confined to the clay through, electrostatic force of attraction amid the metal ions in solution and the anionic surfaces on the clay particles in the adsorption process (Abdallah 2019). Other desirable properties of kaolinite clay in adsorption are; cation exchange ability, non-toxicity, cheap costs, eco-friendly and availability.

Kaolinite clays have been used for wastewater treatment especially, metal ion removal in aqueous wastewaters. Saudi natural clay was applied for Ni and Cd adsorption from an aqueous solution and the research established the efficiency of the clay for adsorption applications (Khan et al. 2019). Clay without modification was also used for successful adsorption of Cu and Pb from simulated wastewater (Budsaereechai et al. 2012). Modified and unmodified kaolinite clay was used by Al-Essa and Khalili (2018) for treating wastewater. Munngondori et al. (2017) made use of clay composite without chemical modification for the removal of selected heavy metal ions from simulated wastewater. In addition, TiO₂, TiO₂/SiO₂, TiO₂/bentonite clay was also used for the removal of pollutants in wastewater but, most of these adsorbents were unmodified with acid and the obtained
phase of TiO₂ not rutile phase of titania (Ashraf et al. 2019; Cardoso et al., 2019; Krishnan and Mahalingam 2017). There is therefore, paucity of information on the use of green synthesised rutile titanium (IV) oxide nanoparticle supported on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on the green synthesis of rutile phase titanium (IV) oxide nanoparticle supported by Parkia biglobossa leaves extract, immobilised on acid activated kaolinite clay for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated clay for the removal of heavy metal from mine site wastewater. The present study, hitherto, focused on acid activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites for the comparative adsorption studies of selected heavy metals from deleterious mining site wastewater.

Materials and method

Titanium (IV) isopropoxide, sodium hydroxide, hydrochloric acid and tetra Oxo sulphate (IV) acid having percentage purity in the range of 95–99.8% were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The chemicals used were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification.

The fresh leaves of Locust bean (Parkia biglobossa) were obtained from different locations in Ilorin, Kwara State (Nigeria) Metropolis. A plant Taxonomist at the department of Plant Biology, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria, identified the leaves. Local clay was collected from clay deposits in Akerebiata, Ilorin, while mining wastewater was collected from the Chanchaga, Chanchaga Local government Area, Minna, Niger State, Nigeria.

Pre-treatment and preparation of plant extract

The locust bean leaves were washed with distilled water, dried at ambient temperature in the laboratory for 14 days. To prepare the plant extract, 5 g of the pulverised leaves were weighed into a 250 mL beaker, followed by the addition of 0.1 L of deionised water. The mixture was stirred and heated at 70 °C for 15 min using a magnetic stirrer, and later allowed to cool to room temperature. Then, filtered using Whatman No. 1 filter paper and the filtrate (aqueous leaves extract) was stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C.

Beneficiation and Acid activation of nanoclay

The raw clay was beneficiated by sedimentation technique to obtain a fraction of < 300 mm particle size. This was done by weighing 250 g of milled clay sample in a petri dish; the weighed clay was dispersed into containers containing 5000 mL of distilled water and stirred. The mixture was allowed to stay for 24 h for proper intercalation of the clay structure by water molecules, then sieved with a 300 mm sieve diameter. The sieved clay was allowed to settle in containers and the settling time of the clay was obtained using the Eq. (1).

\[ r^2 = \frac{9\mu h}{2(\rho_1 - \rho_2)gt} \]

where \( r \) = particle size (radius) of clay, assumed to be spherical, \( \mu \) = viscosity of distilled water, \( h \) = settling height of fluid, \( g \) = gravitational acceleration, \( \rho_1 \) = clay density, \( \rho_2 \) = water density, and \( t \) = settling time

The settled clay was decanted, sun-dried and dried in the oven at 100 °C for 1 h to obtain a constant weight. The dried clay was pulverised with an industrial pulveriser, then sieved with 250 mm diameter sieve, to obtain beneficiated clay.

Acid-activation of beneficiated kaolinite clay

The acid activation of beneficiated kaolinite clay was carried out as follows: 50 g of beneficiated clay was weighed into a 500 mL beaker, followed by the addition of 150 mL of deionised water. The mixture was stirred to form a homogeneous solution and 100 mL of 98% concentrated H₂SO₄ was added and stirred at 200 rpm for 2 h to prevent the content from forming mud. Thereafter, the slurry was allowed to age for 3 h; then washed severally with de-ionised water until a pH of 7 was attained and subsequently filtered. The sediment was oven-dried at 100 °C for 2 h to remove residual water and then pulverised, then coded as AAC and kept in a sample bottle.

Green synthesis of titanium (IV) oxide nanoparticles

The synthesis of TiO₂ nanoparticles was carried out using the modified method described by Tijani et al. (2019) and Zahir et al. (2015). A known volume (50 cm³) of Parkia biglobossa aqueous leaves extract was measured into a 250 mL beaker followed by slow addition of 50 cm² of 0.1 M titanium (IV) isopropoxide under continuous stirring on a magnetic stirrer at 2000 rpm for 2 h. The pH of the mixture was adjusted to 8 using 1 M NaOH solution. The colour changed was accompanied with the formation of precipitates. The TiO₂ nanoparticles formed were filtered, washed severally with distilled water and allowed to age overnight. The TiO₂ cake was oven-dried at 70 °C for 1 h followed by calcination in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 2 h.

Preparation of Titanium (IV) oxide doped acid-activated kaolinite clay nanocomposite

TiO₂ activated kaolinite clay nanocomposites were prepared by wet impregnation method: 2.5 g of the TiO₂ nanoparticles prepared were dispersed in 50 mL of distilled water and 20 g of activated kaolinite clay was added, the mixture was stirred
at 200 rpm for 2 h. The resulting slurry was allowed to age for 12 h, oven dried at 70 °C for 2 h and then calcined in a muffle furnace at 550 °C for 2 h and the powdered titanium (IV) oxide supported activated clay nanocomposite obtained was coded (TiO$_2$–AAC).

Characterisation of the synthesised titanium dioxide

The UV–Visible absorption spectrophotometry analysis was done to determine the absorption wavelength of the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles using the UV-SPECTROMETER, (UV-1800, SHIMADZU, Japan). The crystal composition/phase of the titanium (IV) oxide-based material was determined by X-ray diffraction (Bruker AXS D8 X-Ray Machine with CuKα radiation) and the crystallite size calculated using the Scherer equation (Eq. 2).

$$D = \frac{K \lambda}{B \cos \theta}$$  

where $\lambda$ = wavelength of X-ray.
$K$ = Scherer’s constant (0.9).
$B$ = Full Width at Half maximum (FWHM).
$\theta$ = diffraction angle.
$D$ = Average crystallite size.

The functional groups in the materials were identified using Perkin Elmer 100 FT-IR spectrometer model “two”. High-resolution scanning electron microscopy (Zeiss Auriga HRSEM) was used for the determination of the morphology of the particles. The surface area and pore size and pore volume of the samples were determined using the Brunauer, Emmet and Teller (BET) Nitrogen adsorption procedure on NOVA 4200e model equipment. The samples were degassed at 200 °C for 2 h under inert nitrogen gas flow, to remove adsorbed moisture and organic compounds that may block the pores. While X-ray photoelectroscopy (XPS) model (PHI 5400 XPS spectrometer); with a non-monochromatic (Mg Kα) X-ray source was used to determine the surface oxidation states of the elements in the composite materials.

Batch adsorption behaviour of AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC

The batch adsorption experiments for the removal of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions from mining wastewater onto activated kaolinite clay (AAC) and activated kaolinite clay-TiO$_2$ (AAC–TiO$_2$) were performed based on the variation of contact time (20–180 min), adsorbent dose (0.1–1.0 g), and temperature (30–70 °C). A thermostatic water bath shaker (Pye Unicam SP-9 Cambridge, UK) was utilised to carry out the adsorption experiments and the stirring speed was maintained at 200 rpm for all experiments. The effect of each parameter on the removal efficiency of the selected heavy metals from mining wastewater is described as follows.

Effect of contact time

The effect of contact time on the rate of removal of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) by the AAC and AAC–TiO$_2$ can be described as follows: 100 cm$^3$ of mining wastewater was measured into a 200 mL conical flask, 0.5 g each of AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC was weighed and added into the wastewater. The flasks were prepared in duplicates, covered and then placed in the thermostatic water bath shaker maintained at 30 °C and 200 rpm for the diverse contact times (20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 120, 140, 160 and 180 min). After each contact time, the samples were withdrawn from the flask, filtered and the filtrate was analysed using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) (PG990 model). The quantity of each of the metal ion adsorbed was calculated using Eq. (3), adsorption at equilibrium was calculated using Eq. (4) while the percentage removal was calculated with Eq. (5).

Effect of adsorbent dosage

The influence of adsorbent dosage on the removal efficiency of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) from mining wastewater was determined by the addition of varied quantities of the activated clay and (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 and 1.0 g) into 0.1 L of the mining wastewater in a 200 mL conical flask. The covered flask was placed in the thermostatic water bath shaker set at 30°C while sustaining a constant contact time (120 min). After 120 min of the adsorbate interaction with the adsorbent, the solution was filtered and the filtrate was analysed with the AAS. The amount of each metal ion removed was also calculated using Eq. (5).

Effect of temperature

The temperature influence on the heavy metal ions adsorbed was investigated by adding 0.5 g of the adsorbent to 0.1 L of the mining wastewater in a 200 mL conical flask. The flasks were made in duplicates, then placed in the thermostatic water bath shaker. The flasks were carefully covered and the temperature varied to 30, 40, 50, 60 and 70°C using equilibrium contact time (120 min). Sampling was done at the end of 120 min, followed by filtration using Whatman No. 1. Filter paper. Then, the filtrates were examined for the selected heavy metals using AAS.

pH point of zero charges (pH$_{\text{pzc}}$) determination for AAC and AAC–TiO$_2$

The pH point of zero charges (pHPZC) of the two materials, which is conveyed as the electrical balance between
the adsorbent surface and metal ion adsorbate solution was determined. 0.1 L of mining wastewater was measured into 12 separate Erlenmeyer flasks (in duplicates), the initial pH (pH_i) was measured in the range of 2–12 (at 2.0 intervals) for the different flasks. Few drops of 2.0 M of HCl or NaOH was added to the mixture to control the pH of the solution using a pH meter (Eutech Instruments, Model Ecoscan Singapore). The determined optimum dosage (5 g) of AAC and TiO_2–AAC was added into 12 flasks separately, afterwards arranged on a shaker for 48 h in order to achieve balance charges between the surface of the adsorbent and the wastewater. The suspension was filtered after 48 h and the final pH at equilibrium (pH_f) of the filtrate was recorded. The pH_{pzc} of each adsorbent represents the meeting point between the pH_j curve against pH_f curve. The technique was repeated for AAC to determine its point of zero pH charge as well.

Data analysis

The amount of each metal ion (Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II)) adsorbed at equilibrium was calculated by using Eq. 3:

$$q_i = \frac{(C_o - C_i)V}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

While, the adsorption at equilibrium, $q_e$ (mg/g), was analysed using Eq. 4:

$$q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_f)V}{m}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

And the percentage of metal ion removal was calculated using Eq. 5 (Guillaume et al. 2018):

Removal (%) = \frac{C_o - C_i}{C_o} \times 100  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $C_o$ is the initial concentration, $C_i$ is concentration at time $t$, and $C_f$ is the equilibrium metal ion concentration (mg/L) respectively. $V$ represents the water sample volume (L), $m$ is the mass (g) of adsorbent used and $q_e$ (mg/g) is the adsorption capacity of metal ion adsorbed per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium.

**Kinetic study**

The adsorption kinetics of (Mn), Iron (Fe), Lead (Pb) and Copper (Cu) metal ions onto AAC and AAC–TiO_2, were analysed using four kinetic models namely; pseudo-first-order (PFO) (Miyah et al., 2017), pseudo-second-order (PSO) (Ho and McKay, 1999), intraparticle diffusion and Elovich kinetic model (Boparai et al., 2011). The PFO model is expressed mathematically as shown in Eq. 6:

$$\ln(q_e - q_i) = q_e - k_i t$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The PSO model is shown in Eq. 7:

$$\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_2(q_e - q_i)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Also, $q_e$ (mg/g) is the adsorption capacity of metal ion adsorbed per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium, while $q_i$ is the adsorbed phase at a time, $t$.

The intraparticle diffusion model is expressed by the relationship presented in Eq. 8:

$$q_i = K_{f1/2} + C_p$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The Elovich kinetic model is as presented in Eq. 9:

$$q_i = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha\beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $q_e$ (mg/g) is the adsorbed quantity of metal ion at equilibrium, $q_i$ (mg/g) is the adsorbed amounts of each metal ion at time $t$ (min), $k_1$ (min^{-1}), $k_2$ (mg/g min), $k_{int}$ (mg/g min^{1/2}) and $\alpha$ (mg/g min) are: pseudo-first order, pseudo-second order, intraparticle diffusion and Elovich rate constants. $C$ (mg/g) equals the constant for the boundary layer thickness, while $\beta$ (mg/g min) represents the constant for the desorption rate relative to the activation energy for surface coverage extent and chemisorption process.

**Adsorption isotherm model**

Different adsorption isotherm models were applied to evaluate the distribution of adsorbate molecules at the solid/liquid interface. The equilibrium adsorption data obtained were analysed using Langmuir (Langmuir 1918), Freundlich (Miyah et al. 2017), Temkin (Egbosiuba et al. 2019) and Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) (Ayawei et al. 2017; Dubinin 1969) models to show metal ions adsorption onto the surface of the AAC and AAC-TiO_2.

The mathematical representation of the Langmuir isotherm model is given by Eq. (9):

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_oK_L} + \frac{C_e}{q_o}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $q_e$ (mg/g) is the amount of metal ion uptake at equilibrium, $q_o$ (mg/g) represents maximum adsorption capacity for monolayer coverage on the surface of the adsorbent. $C_e$ (mg/L) represents metal ions concentration at equilibrium while $k_L$ (L/mg) is the Langmuir equilibrium adsorption constant.

Freundlich isotherm model equation is given in Eq. 11:
\[ \ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n_F} \ln C_e \]  

(11)

where \( K_F \) in mg/g and \( n_F \) is the Freundlich adsorption isotherm constant which denotes the adsorption capacity of the AAC and the heterogeneity of the adsorption process, respectively.

Temkin isotherm model equation is presented in Eq. 12:

\[ q_e = \frac{RT}{b_T} \ln A_T + \frac{RT}{b_T} \ln C_e \]  

(12)

where \( A_T \) (L/g) and \( b_T \) (kJ/mol) are Temkin constants, associated with the heat of adsorption and maximum binding energy. \( T \) (°C) is the temperature, while \( R \) (8.314 J/mol.K) is the universal gas constant.

\( D-R \) model is also represented in Eq. 13 as:

\[ \ln q_e = \ln q_o - K_{ad} e^2 \]  

(13)

where \( q_{ad} \) is a constant related to adsorption mean free energy and \( q_e \) is the \( D-R \) adsorption capacity at monolayer saturation, while \( e \) is called Polanyi potential.

**Adsorption thermodynamics**

The thermodynamics studies of Mn, Fe, Pb and Cu ions adsorption onto AAC and AAC-TiO\textsubscript{2} were carried out at temperatures; 30, 40, 50, 60 and 70 °C, respectively. This was carried out to know the influence of temperature on the removal efficiency of the metal ions from wastewater. Change in enthalpy (\( \Delta H^\circ \)), change in Gibbs free energy (\( \Delta G^\circ \)) and entropy change (\( \Delta S^\circ \)) of the system during the adsorption process were evaluated with Eq. (14)–(17)

\[ \Delta G_{ads} = \Delta G^\circ + RT \ln Q \]  

(14)

The change in Gibbs free energy (\( \Delta G \), kJ/mol) of the metal ion(s) adsorption progression was determined by Eq. (13) where \( Q \) designates the quotient of reaction, and relate to the metal ion concentrations of a system that is not in equilibrium. But, at equilibrium \( \Delta G_{ads} = 0 \) making Eq. (14) reduced to Eq. (15)

\[ \Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln Kd \]  

(15)

where

\[ Kd = \frac{q_e}{C_e} \]  

(16)

\[ \ln K_d = -\frac{\Delta G^\circ}{RT} = \frac{\Delta S}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} \]  

(17)

where \( K_d \) is the equilibrium parameter signified by Eq. (16), \( q_e \) and \( C_e \) are the quantity of metal ion adsorbed and the concentration of each metal ion in the solution at equilibrium.

The \( \Delta H^\circ \) and \( \Delta S^\circ \) values were obtained from the slope and intercept of the Van’t Hoff plots of ln \( K_d \) against \( 1/T \) given in Eq. 17 (Bankole et al. 2019).

**Analysis of error**

To remove or minimise error in the data obtained from the figures of each experiment, analysis were duplicated and subjected to statistical analysis. The constants from the isotherm and kinetics studies were determined using Origin pro (2019a) software at a very high accuracy level. The linear determination coefficient (\( R^2 \)), the non-linear Chi-square test (\( \chi^2 \)) and the sum of square error (SSE) tests were performed to further choose the best-fitted isotherm and kinetic models. The mathematical equations are given in Eqs. 18 and 19:

\[ \chi^2 = \sum \left( \frac{(q_{e,exp} - q_{e,cal})^2}{q_{e,cal}} \right) \]  

(18)

\[ SSE = \sum (q_{e,exp} - q_{e,cal})^2 \]  

(19)

**Results and discussion**

**Phytochemical analysis of plant leaves**

The collected plant leaves of *Parkia biglobossa* L. were assessed for their phytochemical constituents using the standard analytical methods and the result is presented in Table 1. It was observed that the *Parkia biglobossa* L. leaves have a considerable amount of phytochemical contents of Phenols, Flavonoids and Tannins. Studies have shown that these three phytochemicals contents are responsible for the stabilization and capping of metal oxide nanoparticles. The result is indicative of the fact that *Parkia biglobossa* leaves can be used for the synthesis of the nanoparticles. The confirmed phytochemicals present are in agreement with the findings of Dedehou et al. (2016) and Yusof et al. (2018).

| Phytochemicals | Interference |
|----------------|-------------|
| Tannin         | +           |
| Flavonoids     | +           |
| Phenol         | +           |
| Steroids       | +           |
| Phlobatannins  | –           |

Key (+) present (−) absent

---

The key points of the text include the discussion of adsorption isotherms (Freundlich, Temkin, and D-R models) and the thermodynamic analysis of metal ion adsorption onto AAC and AAC-TiO\textsubscript{2}. The analysis considers the influence of temperature on the removal efficiency and uses thermodynamic equations to evaluate changes in enthalpy, Gibbs free energy, and entropy. The study also evaluates the error using statistical methods such as the linear determination coefficient, Chi-square test, and sum of square error. The results show a considerable amount of phytochemical contents in the plant leaves, which can be used for the synthesis of nanoparticles.
UV–visible spectroscopic analysis of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles

During the synthesis of titanium oxide nanoparticles, colour changes from greenish-brown of the leaf extract to a light brown solution were observed. This effect is called Tyndall effect which indicates the formation of nanoparticles in the solution (Soni et al. 2018). UV–visible spectrophotometer spectra of the synthesized titanium (IV) oxide nanoparticles is shown in Fig. 1. The figure revealed the presence of an absorption band at 358 nm. The band obtained is relatively close to 350 nm reported in the literature (Swathi et al. 2019), where TiO$_2$ nanoparticles were synthesised with *Cassia fistula* leaves. The difference between the wavelength peaks might be due to the difference in precursor salt and the type of leaf extract (*P. biglobossa*) used for the preparation of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles.

FTIR analysis of AAC and TiO$_2$-AAC

The Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopic analysis of the AAC and TiO$_2$-AAC are presented in Fig. 2a and b. Several medium or low intense absorption bands can be noticed on the spectral of the AAC at 3857.76 cm$^{-1}$ and 3734.31 cm$^{-1}$. The absorption bands were assigned to the O–H group stretch (Fig. 2a). The absorption bands at 3618.58 cm$^{-1}$ represent OH bridging hydroxyls in the kaolin cages, bonded as Al–OH–Si similar to those observed in zeolite cages (Guillaume et al. 2018). The peaks between 3417.98 cm$^{-1}$ and 3217.37 cm$^{-1}$ suggest the O–H–O stretch of water molecules (Kenne-Diffo et al. 2015). The peak at 2337.80 cm$^{-1}$ may be attributed to adsorbed CO$_2$ while the peak 1681 cm$^{-1}$ is related to–OH bend in water molecules found in typical kaolin clays (Krishnan and Mahalingam 2017). Similar peaks were also on the TiO$_2$–AAC, however with a slight shift and reduced intensity especially for the bonded hydroxyl group on TiO$_2$–AAC. The reduction in the intensity may be ascribed to the incorporation of Ti onto the kaolinite clay lattice. Also, the additional peaks at 914.87 cm$^{-1}$, 869.92 cm$^{-1}$, and 438 cm$^{-1}$ were ascribed to the formation of Ti–O–Ti bonded, evidence of titanium (IV) oxide doping on the lattice layer of AAC, and by extension indicative of TiO$_2$ pillared within the clay matrix (Guillaume et al., 2018).
XRD result

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was employed to show the crystallographic and mineralogical representation of the prepared samples. Figure 3a–c represents the XRD pattern of the acid-activated clay (AAC), TiO₂ and TiO₂ modified acid-activated kaolinite clay (TiO₂-AAC). As shown in Fig. 3a different diffraction peaks were observed at 2 theta values of 12.36°, 19.92°, 24.80°, 26.39°, 35.91°, 37.71°, 38.4° and 62.34° with miller indices; (001), (020), (002), (003), (-131), (003), (-113) and (060), respectively. This corresponds to the presence of kaolinites type of clay. Other diffraction peaks on the AAC spectral at 2θ values of 20.93° (100), 26.59° (101), 36.48° (110), 50.00° (112) and 68.57° (134) (JCPDS card no-083–0539), indicating the presence of quartz in the acid-activated kaolinite clay with average crystallite size of 8.10 nm. On the other hand, the XRD pattern of TiO₂ revealed spectral the presence of strong, sharp and moderately intense diffraction peaks at 2θ values of 27.45°, 36.16°, 39.20°, 44.05°, 54.32°, 56.64° and 69.79°. These peaks allied well to the crystal planes of (110), (111), (200), (210), (211), (220) and (112) with (JCPDS number 00-021-1276) for a typical rutile phase of TiO₂ with calculated crystallite size of 9.48 nm. The crystallite size of TiO₂ obtained in this study, is smaller and preferred than the earlier reports by Patidar and Jain (2017) who obtained 12.22 nm crystallite size for anatase titanium-dioxide prepared using Moringa oleifera leaves extract as a reducing agent. Zahir et al. (2015) obtained a 12.82 nm crystallite size anatase phase of TiO₂ using Euphorbia prostrata, Landage et al. (2020) also obtained a 20 nm-sized anatase-phase TiO₂ using Staphylococcus aureus. Subhapriya and Gomathipriya (2018) obtained a 30 and 25 nm crystallite size TiO₂ using Trigonella foenum with anatase cum rutile phase titanium synthesised while 92 nm crystallite sized anatase TiO₂ nanoparticles were obtained by Abu-Dalo et al. (2019) using pristine pomegranate peel extract. Most of the synthesised TiO₂ reported are anatase or mixed phases but not pure rutile-phased TiO₂, the difference in phase and size of TiO₂ nanoparticles obtained were attributed to the nature of plant extracts and calcination temperature used during the synthesis. XRD spectra of TiO₂-AAC revealed the existence of sharp and intense diffraction peaks of at 2

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of synthesised a AAC, b TiO₂ and c TiO₂–AAC
theta value with corresponding miller indices of 12.43° (001), 26.59° (101), 34.90° (-130), 36.10° (110), 39.43° (012) and 40.42° (111) 27.52° (110), 41.22° (111), 44.05° (210), 54.32° (211), 56.70° (220). The characteristic peak of acid activated clay signified at 26.59° (101) was overlap (110) plane of TiO2. A similar observation was noticed with for peak at 54.71° (202), with overlapping effect on (211) plane of rutile TiO2. The overlapping phenomenon is evidence of the doping effect of kaolinite clay on TiO2 structure. The intensity of other diffraction peaks at 41.22° (111), 44.05° (210), 54.32° (211), 56.70° (220), 62.90° (002), 64.03° (310), and 69.28° (301) reduced due to the incorporation of kaolinite clay on TiO2 matrix. The reduction of crystallite size TiO2-AAC (6.11 nm) compared to TiO2 alone is evidence of improved dispersion of the TiO2 on the AAC framework.

HRSEM/EDS of the nanoparticles and composite

The HRSEM of acid-activated kaolinite clay (AAC) and titanium (IV) oxide–activated clay (AAC-TiO2) are presented in Fig. 4a, and b. Figure a shows a well ordered, well-defined arrangement of kaolinite plates with induced porosity and smooth surfaces, due to acid treatment. Figure 4b shows the arrangement of well ordered, well-defined intercalated, grain-like porous surface. The immobilization of the TiO2 onto acid-treated kaolinite clay showed a well dispersed and homogeneous distribution of spherical particles, and evidence the titanium (IV) oxide doped on the acid-activated clay surface.

The EDS result in (Fig. 5a and b) reveals the characteristic lines of carbon, oxygen, aluminium and silicon as the major elements in the acid-activated kaolinite clay and the
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Fig. 4  HRSEM of a AAC b TiO2–AAC

Fig. 5  EDS spectrum of a AAC b TiO2–AAC
TiO$_2$ doped activated kaolinite clay. Calcium, titanium, sulphur and iron were also detected on the acid-activated clay. The sulphur was probably from the residual tetraoxosulphate (IV) acid used for acid activation. The percentages of the identified elements increased in Fig. 5b, due to the interaction of TiO$_2$ with the acid-activated clay. The reduction in oxygen wt.% in the TiO$_2$–AAC as compared with that in TiO$_2$ is attributed to bonding with the Si and Al in the acid activation clay.

**BET analysis of AAC, TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$-AAC composite**

The N$_2$adsorption-desorption curve for the three materials (AAC, TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$-AAC) is shown in Fig. 6, while the parameters (surface area, pore diameter and pore volume) are presented in Table 2. The TiO$_2$ has 10.23 m$^2$/g of surface area while the AAC has 14.15 m$^2$/g which shows that the latter has a larger surface area than the former. This claim is similar to the findings of Akinnawo (2019); Krishnan and Mahalingam (2017) where they also reported low surface area for TiO$_2$. However, the higher surface area of the TiO$_2$–AAC (32.98 m$^2$/g) was due to modification by the rutile-TiO$_2$, which agrees with the literature (Bok-Badura et al. 2018; Krishnan and Mahalingam 2017). The specific surface area and pore volume of TiO$_2$–AAC were significantly increased to 32.98 m$^2$/g due to the dispersed stacking of TiO$_2$ on the surface of the acid-activated clay.

However, the pore diameter of the prepared TiO$_2$–AAC decreased after the modification by TiO$_2$ due to the in-situ deposition of the TiO$_2$ on AAC, which blocked a large number of accumulated pores on TiO$_2$–AAC. On the other hand, the TiO$_2$–AAC composite has a large specific surface area and pore volume, which is conducive for the removal and capturing of pollutants molecules from the aqueous matrix by the composite materials. According to the IUPAC classification, the three samples demonstrated a typical type IV adsorption isotherm of a typical mesoporous structure, similar to the one obtained by Malima et al. (2018). Besides, pure TiO$_2$ has a typical H1 hysteresis loop, which indicated the stacked mesoporous structure derived from the accumulated spherical particles. Other samples display H3 hysteresis loops, which indicates that they have irregular mesopores and macropores due to the irregular shape of particles and the composition of different components. The construction of a combined structure improves the particular surface area and pore volume of the TiO$_2$–AAC. The extensively constructed mesopores give the TiO$_2$–AAC significant adsorption capacity, which may enhance the adsorption ability of

![Fig. 6 BET adsorption–desorption isotherms plots of a AAC, b TiO$_2$ and c TiO$_2$–AAC](image-url)
the TiO$_2$–AAC materials than the other two materials (Xiang et al. 2019).

**XPS analysis of AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC**

The XPS analysis was conducted to determine the surface oxidation state and orbital of each element present in the samples. Figures 7ai and ii shows the general survey spectrum of the rutile titanium dioxide nanoparticles, activated clay (AAC) and titanium (IV) oxide–activated clay. The XPS of the activated clay sample (Fig. 7ai), reveals the presence of Si ($2p$) at binding energies of 103.14(eV), C (1 s) at 284.8 eV. A narrow but sharp peak of oxygen (1 s) occurs at the binding energy of 529.7 eV. The Si Originated from the acid-activated clay because clays are generally made up of aluminium and silicon (alumino-silicates) elements but in varied ratios (Pimneva 2019). Figure 7aii (TiO$_2$–AAC XPS spectral) revealed the presence of Ti ($2p_{3/2}$) orbital at a binding energy of 458.3 eV, while a sharp narrow peak of oxygen (1 s) was also found at the binding energy of 529.7 eV and carbon (1 s) at 284.8 eV. The narrow and sharp peak seen around binding energies of 458.34 eV shows the presence of Ti $2p_{3/2}$. This confirms the formation of pure rutile TiO$_2$. The binding energies obtained for titanium and oxygen matched well with the literature (Krishnan and Mahalingam 2017).

Figure 7b displays the XPS of Ti $2p$ deconvoluted spectra in TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$–AAC. The figure reveals the presence of two sharp peaks on each deconvoluted spectra at...
binding energies of 458.70 eV and 464.40 eV in TiO$_2$, with 458.37 eV and 464.50 eV in TiO$_2$–AAC respectively, for the XPS deconvoluted spectrum in the Ti 2p region. These peaks are assigned to 2p$_{3/2}$ and 2p$_{1/2}$, both spectral shows the difference of 5.80 and 6.03 eV (~6 eV), an indication that the TiO$_2$ exists in the oxidation state of +4 in the spectra of both TiO$_2$ nanoparticles and TiO$_2$–AAC despite the modification. This corroborates the reported values in the literature (Li et al. 2015).

Heavy metals characterisation of the mining wastewater.

The mining site wastewater was characterised for the presence of heavy metals concentrations present therein. The table, therefore, presents the heavy metals, concentrations in the mining wastewater and their standard organisation permissible limit (Table 3).

From the table, it was observed that the mining wastewater has a pH higher than the permissible in drinking water by both WHO and NIS standards. The pH is a serious but simple parameter that determines most chemical reactions in aquatic mediums. Very high or very low pH value can be harmful to aquatic life. The pH of the mining wastewater is acidic due to the acid mine drainage generation process. This acidic water can cause different skin diseases and the death of aquatic organisms due to sensitivity (Masindi and Muedi 2018). Moreover, the presence of heavy metals such as Fe, Cu, Mn, Ni, Cd, Pb and Cr in wastewater have been reported to cause; mental retardation (Pb), skin rashes, respiratory problems (Cr), critical renal failure (Mn), debilitated immune systems, liver and kidney damage, cancer, chest pain, rapid respiration (Ni) (Balali-Mood et al. 2021; Lakherwal 2014). It can be seen from the Table that iron has a concentration of 20.013 mg/L, which is greater than the WHO standard of 3 mg/L but lesser than the concentrations at various sites is due to; the pH variation of the concerned AMD. This is because concentrations decrease when pH increases (Masindi and Muedi 2018). Additionally, the presence of dark colour (coloured water) and foul odour as presented on the Table in the mining wastewater is a characteristic property of AMD (Kefeni et al. 2017; Seo et al. 2016). This earlier listed contaminants at their concentrations make life difficult for aquatic species due to low oxygen content. The earlier mentioned contaminants level revealed that the mining wastewater cannot be directly released into the environment without proper treatment and therefore, the need for adsorptive removal of the heavy metals using AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC.

Metal ion adsorption experimental results

Effect of contact time

Figure 8a and b depicts the effect of variation of contact time on the uptake of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) from mining wastewater onto the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC. The quantity of each metal ion adsorbed was found to increase with increased time from 20 to 180 min for the two adsorbents. AAC steadily removed 57.00% Mn (II), 40.76% Cu (II), 58.92% Fe (III), and 20.17% Pb (II) maximum at an equilibrium time of 140 min. There was a drastic increase in the percentage removal by the TiO$_2$–AAC at the first 60 min compared to AAC alone and continued gradually until 120 min, with total removals of 80.23% Mn (II), 85.12% Fe (III), 78.42% Cu(II) and 20.42% Pb (II) (Fig. 8b). Rapid initial adsorption may be attributed to available surface adsorption sites on AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC. Adsorption equilibrium on the surface of TiO$_2$–AAC was completely attained at 120 min for Mn (II), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions, while Fe (III) ions had reached equilibrium with total removal at the first 80 min of the adsorption experiment. The difference in equilibrium time of adsorption of each ion may be attributed to ionic radii, selectivity of the heavy metal by the adsorbents, the surface charge of adsorbent and difference in hydration energy; Cu (−2010 kJ/mol), Mn (−1760 kJ/mol) and Pb ions (−1481 kJ/mol). The higher the hydration energy, the faster the ease of adsorption (Zendelska et al. 2018). The order of removal
being; Fe (III) > Mn (II) > Cu (II) > Pb (II) As time progresses from 80 to 120 min, the adsorptive surfaces on the TiO$_2$–AAC were saturated with metal ions resulting in the repulsion between the adsorbed ions on the adsorbent material and those in the bulk solution signifying attainment of equilibrium for the four ions. The enhanced adsorptive performance of TiO$_2$–AAC more than AAC alone can be linked to higher surface area and more functional groups of the former adsorbent than the latter one.

**Effect of adsorbent dosage**

The effect of adsorbent dosage on Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions adsorption from mining wastewater onto AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC was investigated and the results are presented in Fig. 9a and b. As shown in Fig. 10a, it was noticed that the uptake level of Mn (II), Fe (III) and Pb (II) ions increase as the dosage increased from 0.1 to 0.4 g, with 69.80% for Mn (II), 59.57% for Fe (III), 68.47% for Pb (II),
while 19.00% of Cu (II) was removed. When 0.5 g dosage was used, 83.12%, 86.13% and 68.47% of Mn (II), Fe (III) and Cu (II) ions were removed respectively, (see Fig. 9a), however, the percentage removal of Pb (II) ions was only 29.48% using 0.5 g adsorbent dosage. No substantial change is observed in the percentage removal of the four ions when the dosage was increased from 0.6 to 1.0 g. This observation can be explained in terms of exposure to substantial number of accessible binding sites to the small adsorbate in the medium, which resulted to less per gram adsorption. This prevented the nanoadsorbent with dosage greater than 0.5 g to be shielded from further adsorbing heavy metal ions (Adebayo et al. 2020).

The removal efficiency of the four ions with AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC increasing dosage of 0.1–1.0 g increase relatively. This is as result of an increase in the number of active sites available for the adsorption process (Abunah et al. 2019). However, there is no desirable change in the adsorptive capacity of Pb (II) ions even at maximum adsorbent dosage, as Pb (II) was the least adsorbed (29.48%). Mn (II), Fe (III) and Cu (II) ions, % removal onto AAC were 83.12, 86.13, 68.47%, respectively, while AAC-TiO$_2$ had percentage removal up to 89.34%, 91.97%, 76.47% and 32.39% respectively for Mn (II), Fe (III), Cu (II) and Pb (II). It was observed that at every dosage of the adsorbent, TiO$_2$–AAC sequestered more of the heavy metals ions than AAC due to its higher surface area and more functional groups than AAC alone. From the results, it was observed that there was strong selectivity among the metal ions by the active sites based on their ionic radius. It was found that the ions with smaller ionic radius diffused faster (onto the adsorbent surface) than the heavier ones. This is in the migration order of; Fe (III), 0.06 nm > Mn (II) 0.072 nm > Cu (II) 0.073 nm except for Pb (II) ions 0.119 nm which is heavy and has high ionic radii, thus retarding its diffusion capability onto the pores of the adsorbent. The result is similar to the report of Mobasherpour et al. (2012), who considered comparative adsorption of Pb (II), Cd (II) and Ni (II) from aqueous solution onto nano crystallite hydroxyapatite and found that ionic radii of the competing metal ions affect the rate of their adsorption from the aqueous solution.
Effect of temperature

The percentage removal of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions by the AAC and AAC–TiO$_2$ was studied as a function of temperature from 30, 40, 50, 60 and 70 °C and the result presented in Fig. 10a and b. The effect of temperature is an important parameter to be studied in adsorption processes because, the type of reaction and the reaction mechanism of the adsorption process can be evaluated (Alaya-Ibrahim et al. 2019). Adsorption can be physical or chemical depending on the type of attraction binding the adsorbate onto the adsorbent. From the figures, the percentage removal of Mn (II), Fe (III), Cu (II) and Pb (II) ions by the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC improved sharply, as the temperature increases from 30 to 50 °C. As the temperature increases to 60 and 70 °C, a comparable amount of metal ion was removed by the two nano adsorbents. For the AAC, the maximum removal efficiency of 83.45, 86.13, 68.47 and 29.39% were obtained for Mn (II), Fe (III), Cu (II) and Pb (II) ions respectively at 70°C. On the TiO$_2$–AAC, it was discovered that, the percentage removal of Mn (II), Fe (III), Cu (II) and Pb (II) ions also increased steadily with an increase in temperature from 30 to 70 °C with 89.36% Mn (II), 91.99% Fe (III), 81.94% Cu (II) and 32.39% Pb (II) ion removal at maximum. The increase in efficiency of the nanocomposite material accompanied by increase in temperature from 30 to 70 °C may be due to the increased mobility (Alaya-Ibrahim et al. 2019; Bankole et al. 2019) of the heavy metals towards the binding sites on TiO$_2$–AAC than AAC as temperature increases. It was also noticed that the adsorbent performance under the influence of temperature was in the order of TiO$_2$–AAC > AAC. Therefore, the adsorption taking place may be described as a chemisorption process (Alaya-Ibrahim et al. 2019; Bankole et al. 2019).
Adsorption Isotherms of the AAC and TiO$_2$-AAC

The interaction of the raw mining wastewater containing 4.291 mg/L Mn(II), 20.013 mg/L Fe (III), 0.975 mg/L Cu (II) and 0.313 mg/L Pb (II) ions with the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC shows a reduction in the metal ion concentration at equilibrium to 0.155 mg/L Mn(II), 0.014 mg/L Fe (III), 0.01 mg/L Cu(II) and 0.128 mg/L Pb (II) respectively. The values obtained using both adsorbents were lesser than their permissible standard concentration in drinking water as stated by EPA and WHO (WHO 2017). The equilibrium data were evaluated using Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D–R isotherm models. The interaction was carried out to correlate the equilibrium experimental data of Mn (II), Fe(II), Pb(II) and Cu (II) adsorption by the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC (see Table 4). The isotherms were compared using the correlation coefficient $R^2$, SSE and $X^2$. Of all the fitted isotherms (Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and D-R models), the Langmuir isotherm best described the adsorption process by the two adsorbents, depicting a homogenous and monolayer coverage by the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC. The $R^2$ values were between 0.997 and unity for AAC, between 0.999 and unity also for TiO$_2$–AAC. The monolayer adsorption capacities between 0.192 and 3.957 mg/g were obtained for AAC while between 2.00 and 3.988 mg/g respectively. The improvement in the values obtained from TiO$_2$–AAC could be attributed to the modification on the surface by TiO$_2$ which improved the surface area based on the synergistic effect of the combined adsorbents (Abunah et al. 2019). The value of the dimensionless equilibrium parameter ($R_L$) calculated as shown in Table 4, for Mn(II), Fe(II) and Cu(II) ions shows that the adsorption process was favourable (0 < $R_L$ < 1) (Miyah et al. 2017) except for Pb (II) ion on TiO$_2$–AAC, whose value of 1.9, depicting that, Pb ion adsorption onto AAC–TiO$_2$ was not so favourable. The lowest values obtained from the error analysis of the Langmuir model, by the statistical parameters ($X^2$ and SSE) also validated the fitness of the Langmuir model when compared with the Freundlich, Temkin and D-R model. According to Langmuir isotherm, this means that: (i) it was not possible for adsorption of three the metal to advance outside monolayer coverage. (ii) the active sites on the surface of AAC and TiO$_2$-AAC were equivalent and only take a molecule of the adsorbate. (iii) the ability of heavy metal molecules to

| Table 4 | Isotherm parameters for heavy metals removal from mining wastewater onto AAC and AAC–TiO$_2$ |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | AAC                                                                                       | TiO$_2$–AAC                          |
|         | Mn(II)  Fe(III)  Cu(II)  Pb(II)  Mn(II)  Fe(III)  Cu(II)  Pb(II)                          |
| Langmuir |                                                                                             |                                                                                     |
| $q_m$ (mg/g) | 0.783  3.957  0.149  0.019  0.678  3.989  0.169  0.002 |                                                                                     |
| $K_L$ (L/min) | 120.491  421.67  278.846  16.525  25.618  83.566.7  280.009  4.805 |                                                                                     |
| $R_L$       | 1.94E–03  1.19E–05  3.69 E–03  0.240  9.18E–03  5.98E–07  3.67 E–03  1.984 |                                                                                     |
| $R^2$       | 1  1  0.9968  0.999  0.9993  1  0.9994  0.7925 |                                                                                     |
| SSE        | 1.95E0–3  1.74E–03  2.08E–03  3.04E04  1.92E–02  2.00E–04  3.89E–04  3.02E–04 |                                                                                     |
| $X^2$       | 2.50E–03  4.40E–04  1.39–02  1.55E–02  2.83E–02  5.03E–05  2.30E–03  1.86E–01 |                                                                                     |
| Freundlich  |                                                                                             |                                                                                     |
| $N$        | 21.645  312  7.337  1.2274  9.259  312.5  16.077  0.222 |                                                                                     |
| $K_f$ (mg/g) | 0.759  3.948  0.123  0.007  0.152  2.205E−05  1  1 |                                                                                     |
| $R^2$       | 0.998  0.858  0.970  0.999  0.9818  0.993  0.963  0.923 |                                                                                     |
| SSE        | 1.216  6.894  5.240  24.469  1.4011  6.907  2.862  11.537 |                                                                                     |
| $X^2$       | 4.412  5.020  2.502  4.984  3.813  5.024  1.522  10.760 |                                                                                     |
| Temkin      |                                                                                             |                                                                                     |
| $b_T$ (kJ/mol) | −30.387  −195.282  −110.489  −91.605.2  −30.387  −195.282  −222.933  −50.585.18 |                                                                                     |
| $A_T$ (L/g) | 2.13E–09  9.52E–13  5.90E–03  2.032  2.42E–04  7.65E–13  1.73E–06  3.21E–01 |                                                                                     |
| $R^2$       | 0.999  0.858  0.975  0.999  0.895  0.993  0.967  0.998 |                                                                                     |
| SSE        | 0.007  2.874×10–4  0.0013  9.030×10–7  0.007  2.874×10–4  0.0013  9.030×10–7 |                                                                                     |
| $X^2$       | 9.49×10–4  7.185×10–5  2.604×10–4  1.300×10–7  9.49×10–4  7.185×10–5  2.604×10–4  1.300×10–7 |                                                                                     |
| D–R        |                                                                                             |                                                                                     |
| $K_{ad}$    | 0.2495  1.358  1.973  4.295  0.3691  1.3788  1.7333  8.9582 |                                                                                     |
| $R^2$       | 0.996  0.543  0.9299  0.9978  0.9419  0.9864  0.9341  0.9072 |                                                                                     |
| $E$         | 1.416  0.607  0.503  0.341  1.164  0.602  0.537  0.236 |                                                                                     |
| SSE        | 0.029  5.64×10–5  0.190  0.210  0.032  3.24×10–5  0.169  0.212 |                                                                                     |
| $X^2$       | 0.004  1.14×10–5  0.027  0.029  0.005  1.159×10–5  0.038  0.031 |                                                                                     |
adsorb at a specified site is not dependent on the accommodation of the neighbouring site (Ait Ahsaaine et al. 2018).

As shown in Table 4, it can be observed that TiO₂–AAC was determined to be more efficient for the sorption of heavy metals from the raw mining wastewater with a removal percentage (91.99%) higher than that of Guillaume et al. (2018) who used titanium oxide clay to adsorb 27.05% Cd ion. Most of the adsorption process kinetics agrees with the PSO kinetics model and Langmuir isotherm except for Mustapha et al. (2019) whose kinetics and isotherm followed Avrami, Jovanovic and Redlich-Peterson models, respectively. Other studies with higher values of adsorptive capacity than the presented in Table 4, used simulated wastewater, which did not involve (multiple competition among the heavy metal ions). Similarly, Martinez-Vargas et al. (2018) also reported the fitness of their experimental data to Freundlich isotherm, whereas other studies corroborate with the Langmuir isotherm model as also obtained in this research.

**Adsorption Kinetics of Mn, Fe, Pb and Cu ions from mining wastewater onto AAC and TiO₂–AAC.**

The results of the kinetics of Mn (II), Fe (III), Cu (II) and Pb (II) ions adsorption onto AAC and TiO₂–AAC using PFO, PSO, Intra-particle Diffusion and Elovich Kinetics models are presented in Table 5. From the table,
coefficient of regression ($R^2$) values for the PSO model of Mn (II), Fe (III) and Cu (II) ions were closer to unity compared with the other models. Also, lower SSE and ($X^2$) error values obtained for the PSO model specify notable agreement between the experimental ($q_{e,exp}$) and the calculated ($q_{e,cal}$) adsorption capacity results. Furthermore, the PSO model gave a higher rate constant ($k_2$) value than the PSO rate constant ($k_1$), which signifies a fast adsorption rate at the early contact time. The results obtained, therefore, suggest that the mechanism of adsorption of Mn (II), Fe (III) and Cu (II) ions from mining wastewater is PSO kinetics controlled, which is a chemisorption phenomenon driven. On the contrary, the Pb (II) ions adsorption from the mining wastewater onto the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC adsorbent was better explained using the intraparticle diffusion model as a result of its high value of $R^2$ (0.933). The lower SSE and $X^2$ show a good correlation between the experimental ($q_{e,exp}$) and the calculated ($q_{e,cal}$) adsorption capacity with this model. The $q_e$ values obtained for AAC are higher than the value obtained when natural clay was used by Khan et al. (2019) and Mustapha et al. (2019). This confirms that the AAC adsorbent is more efficient than other clays reported in the literature (Khan et al., 2019; Mustapha et al. 2019). The obtained PSO model agrees with several reported adsorption studies on TiO$_2$ composites (Kris Tri et al. 2019; Morsy 2017; Nouh et al. 2019).

### Adsorption thermodynamics

The thermodynamic parameters of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions adsorption from mining wastewater onto AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC adsorbents are displayed in Table 6. From Table 6, the value of $\Delta G^\circ$ results at 313, 323, 333, 343 and 353 K were negative. The negative values imply that the adsorption of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions onto the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC adsorbents were both feasible and spontaneous (Egosbiuba et al. 2019). The change in enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$) positive values shows that the adsorption process is endothermic (Ogbu et al. 2019). More so, the enthalpy values obtained for Mn (II), Fe (II) and Cu (II) ions was greater than 40 kJ/mol (Miyah et al. 2017), implying that the reaction between the adsorbent and the adsorbate solution was chemically controlled (chemisorption), while enthalpy values for Cu (II) ions deviated from that of previous (Khulbe and Matsuura 2019). The negative values obtained for entropy change ($\Delta S^\circ$) for the adsorption of Mn (II), Fe (III), Cu (II) and Pb (II) ions onto the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC suggest a reduction in the degree of randomness at the adsorbent/adsorbate interface during the adsorption process.

| Temp (K) | Mn  | Fe  | Cu  | Pb  |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|          | $\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta S^\circ$ (J/mol) |
| AAC      | 303 | 313 | 323 | 333 |
| 303      | − 0.43499 | − 0.04717 | − 0.2029 |
| 313      | − 0.43499 | − 0.04717 | − 0.2029 |
| 323      | − 0.43499 | − 0.04717 | − 0.2029 |
| 333      | − 0.43499 | − 0.04717 | − 0.2029 |
| 343      | − 0.43499 | − 0.04717 | − 0.2029 |
| AAC–TiO$_2$ | 303 | 313 | 323 | 333 |
| 303      | − 3.535 | − 20.895 | − 94.563 |
| 313      | − 3.535 | − 20.895 | − 94.563 |
| 323      | − 3.535 | − 20.895 | − 94.563 |
| 333      | − 3.535 | − 20.895 | − 94.563 |
| 343      | − 3.535 | − 20.895 | − 94.563 |

Table 6 Thermodynamic parameters for Mn, Fe, Cu and Pb ions adsorption from mining wastewater onto AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC adsorbents.
Comparison of adsorption parameters of AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC with literature

Table 7 shows the comparison of adsorption parameters of AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC for Pb (II), Cu (II), Mn (II) and Fe (III) ions, with the available data in the literature. For the adsorption of the Mn (II) ion, it was observed that TiO$_2$–AAC (89.34%) performed better than the AAC (83.12%). This may be due to the modification of the AAC by TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. However, Motsi et al. (2009) found that Zeolite removes Mn (II) ions more efficiently (95.20%) than both the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC, although at a higher contact time of 360 min. This corroborates the findings of Marque et al. (2013) which revealed that the manganese removal increased with the increase in contact time until it reaches equilibrium. As shown in the table, all other adsorbents have a low efficiency of below 50% for Mn (II) ion removal. This justifies that the adsorbents in this study were efficient for the removal of Mn (II) ion at a lesser contact time of 120 min than that of the Zeolite (360 min). Also, both the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC absorbents effectively remove Fe (III) ion from the mining wastewater at 86.45 and 91.99%, respectively, however, TiO$_2$–AAC performed better. Other adsorbents shown in the table such as zeolite, Ha–TiO$_2$ and cellulosic waste gave adsorbent efficiency of 59.5, 14.80 and 8.70%, respectively, for Fe (III) ion removal, which are below the values obtained in this study. The adsorption efficiency of Cu (II) unto AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC as shown in the table was 68.47 and 81.94%, respectively. This shows that the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC removed Cu (II) ions from the wastewater more efficiently than some adsorbents reported in the literature (Budsareeetchai et al. 2012; Sdiri et al. 2014). However, some other adsorbents such as anatase–rutile TiO$_2$ and anatase–rutile TiO$_2$–clay performed more efficiently than those investigated in this study, as shown in the table (Georgaka and Spanos 2010; Guillaume et al. 2018). This may be due to the surface area of respective adsorbents obtained from different clay sources. In addition, the contact time of the adsorption process could also be responsible for the increase in the removal percentage of Cu (II) ion as Guillaume et al. (2018) attained higher percentage removal of 91.19% Cu (II) ion at 240 min as against 120 min in this study. Therefore, the shorter contact time to remove Cu (II) ion indicate the efficacy of the adsorbents (AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC) investigated in this study. As the contact time plays a vital role in the adsorption process, which implies that a shorter interaction time in attaining equilibrium adsorption reduces the operational cost and the operating time (Rudi et al. 2020). From the table, Pb (II) ion was the least adsorbed compare to other heavy metals, as the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC removed 29.48% and 32.39%, respectively. This is due toionic radii of Pb (II) ion (0.119 nm) which is more than those of Fe (III) (0.060 nm), Mn (II) (0.070 nm) and Cu (II) (0.073 nm) ions. As the Pb (II) ion has the least chance to be incorporated into AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC structures compared with cations with smaller ionic radii (Mobasherpour et al., 2012). Generally, the TiO$_2$–AAC adsorbent revealed greater efficiency for the adsorption process as it was able to sequester multi ions from the mining wastewater in the order of Fe (III) > Mn (II) > Cu (II) > Pb (II). This is possible due to the improved surface area of the TiO$_2$–AAC adsorbent by the rutile-TiO$_2$ nanoparticle. Worthy of note is that the TiO$_2$–AAC adsorbent removed multi ions from the mining wastewater efficiently compared to other similar adsorbents identified in the table, hence, the novelty of this study.

Adsorption mechanism of AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC

Usually, mechanism of the heavy metal ions adsorption onto the nano adsorbents is greatly influenced by the surface chemistry of the adsorbent, the ionic charge on the metal ions and the adsorbate interactions (Miyah et al. 2017). From this research, the adsorption of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu(II) ions onto AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC was favoured by low pH (pH > pH$_{PZC}$) values below 8.0 for AAC and values below 10.0 for TiO$_2$–AAC point of zero charges, which resulted in the high amount of Mn (II), Fe (III), and Pb (II) ions adsorbed.

This was attributed to the strong intermolecular electrostatic attraction between the negatively charged adsorbate in mining wastewater and the positively charged AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC surface.

Nevertheless, at higher pH(pH > pH$_{PZC}$) (> 8 for AAC and > 10 for TiO$_2$–AAC), limited number of metal ions was adsorbed by AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC due to increase electronegativity (pH) of the adsorbate solution at the surface of the adsorbents. This reduces the susceptibility of the positive metal ions (Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II)) adsorption because of electrostatic repulsion (Egboesiuba et al. 2019). Other adsorption mechanisms such as; ion exchange or hydrophobic bonding instead of electrostatic interactions may also be responsible for the heavy metal adsorption onto the AAC and TiO$_2$–AAC surface.

Conclusion

Rutile-titanium dioxide nanoparticles were successfully synthesised via the green synthesis method, using the aqueous leaf extract of Parkia biglobossa. The TiO$_2$ was anchored on acid-activated kaolinite clay and subjected to comparative
| Adsorbents         | TiO₂ Precursors | TiO₂ Phase   | Initial conc. C₀ (mg/L) | Contact Time (min) | Adsorbent Dosage (g) | Surface area m²/g | Crystal-lite size (nm) | Efficiency (%) | References                  |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Mn (II)            |                 |              |                          |                    |                      |                    |                        |                |                              |
| Ha–TiO₂            | TiCl₄           | Anatase      | 300                      | 15                 | 0.05                 | 449                | –                      | 24.92          | Kanna et al. (2005)          |
| Zeolite            | Clinoptilolite  | –            | 400                      | 360                | 3.7                  | –                  | –                      | 95.20          | Motsi et al. (2009)          |
| Graphene–Zinc oxide Nps | –         | –            | 10.5                     | 20                 | 25.06                | –                  | –                      | 34.10          | Leiva et al. (2021)          |
| White rice husk    | Organic         | –            | 100                      | 480                | 2.5                  | –                  | 50,000                 | 26.60          | Tavlieva et al. (2015)       |
| AAC                | –               | –            | 0.943                    | 120                | 0.5                  | 14.15              | 8.10                   | 83.12          | This study                  |
| TiO₂–AAC           | –               | –            | 0.943                    | 120                | 0.5                  | 32.98              | 6.11                   | 89.34          | This study                  |
| Fe (III)           |                 |              |                          |                    |                      |                    |                        |                |                              |
| Zeolite            | Clinoptilolite  | –            | 400                      | 360                | 3.7                  | –                  | –                      | 59.50          | Motsi et al. (2009)          |
| Ha–TiO₂            | TiCl₄           | Anatase      | 300                      | 15                 | 0.05                 | 449                | –                      | 14.80          | Kanna et al. (2005)          |
| Cellulosic waste   | Organic mate-   | –            | 4000                     | 1440               | 2                    | 2.66               | –                      | 8.70           | Genty et al. (2017)          |
| AAC                | –               | –            | 20.02                    | 120                | 0.5                  | 14.15              | 8.10                   | 86.45          | This study                  |
| TiO₂–AAC           | –               | –            | 20.02                    | 120                | 0.5                  | 32.98              | 6.11                   | 91.99          | This study                  |
| Cu (II)            |                 |              |                          |                    |                      |                    |                        |                |                              |
| Ag/Ti/clay         | –               | –            | 200                      | –                  | –                    | –                  | –                      | 97.50          | Ajenifuja et al. (2016)      |
| Clay–TiO₂          | TiO₂            | A–R          | 100                      | 240                | 0.1                  | 50                 | 30                     | 91.19          | Guillaume et al. (2018)      |
| Raw clay           | –               | –            | 1000                     | 60                 | 1                    | 17.84              | –                      | 3.07           | Sdiri et al. (2014)          |
| Bentonite          | –               | –            | 10                       | 60                 | 0.5                  | –                  | –                      | 76.88          | Hussain and Ali (2021)       |
| TiO₂               | TiO₂            | A–R          | 1.28                     | 30                 | 0.5                  | 50                 | 30                     | 99.90          | Georgaka and Spanos (2010)   |
| Raw clay           | Bentonite       | –            | 2000                     | 864                | 1                    | 47                 | –                      | 25.40          | Budsareechchai et al. (2012) |
| Ag/Ti/clay         | –               | –            | 1000                     | 288                | 0.12                 | –                  | –                      | 91.50          | Ajenifuja et al. (2016)      |
| Activated clay     | –               | –            | 2000                     | 864                | 1                    | 74                 | –                      | 29.97          | Budsareechchai et al. (2012) |
| AAC                | –               | –            | 0.943                    | 120                | 0.5                  | 14.15              | 8.10                   | 68.47          | This study                  |
| TiO₂–AAC           | –               | –            | 0.943                    | 120                | 0.5                  | 32.98              | 6.11                   | 81.94          | This study                  |
| Pb (II)            |                 |              |                          |                    |                      |                    |                        |                |                              |
| LAO–TiO₂           | TiCl₄           | –            | 50.00                    | 1440               | 0.25                 | –                  | –                      | 4.40           | Bijang 2020                  |
| Bentonite          | Local           | –            | 10                       | 60                 | 0.5                  | –                  | –                      | 75.76          | Hussain and Ali (2021)       |
| Raw clay           | Bentonite       | –            | 2000                     | 864                | 1                    | 47                 | –                      | 76.50          | Budsareechchai et al. (2012) |
| Activated clay     | –               | –            | 2000                     | 864                | 1                    | 74                 | –                      | 84.00          | Budsareechchai et al. (2012) |
| AAC                | –               | –            | 0.94                     | 120                | 0.5                  | 32.98              | 8.10                   | 29.48          | This study                  |
| TiO₂–AAC           | TTIP            | –            | 0.94                     | 120                | 0.5                  | 32.98              | 6.11                   | 32.39          | This study                  |

They are for emphasis, referring to the present study.
adsorptive studies with ordinary activated kaolinite clay for the removal of selected heavy metals from mining wastewater. The characterisation study established that the AAC and TiO2–AAC are porous adsorbents for the removal of Mn (II), Fe (III), Pb (II) and Cu (II) ions from the mining wastewater, with TiO2–AAC surface more porous than the AAC, due to its modification by TiO2. TiO2–AAC has a smaller crystallite size of 6.11 nm adjudged better than AAC with 8.10 nm crystallite size. The BET surface area of the adsorbents was also increased from 14.15 m²/g (AAC) to 32.98 m²/g (TiO2–AAC). The synthesised and the anchored titanium was stable in the +4 oxidation state as revealed by the XPS characterisation. Finest conditions for the removal of the four ions under the applied conditions of 120 min with 0.5 g adsorbent dose and 70 °C were; 83.45% Mn (II), 86.13% Fe (III), 68.47% Cu (II) and 29.39% Pb (II) by AAC and 89.36% by TiO2–AAC. The adsorption of heavy metal ions was also discovered to depend on the ionic strength, surface area and surface functional groups of the adsorbents. The adsorption isotherm and kinetic model showed good agreement with Langmuir and pseudo-second-order model for both AAC and TiO2–AAC. The thermodynamic parameters confirmed a spontaneous, feasible, less random and chemisorption adsorption process by the adsorbents. The anchoring of TiO2 nanoparticles onto acid-treated kaolinite clay enhanced the percentage removal of the unwanted heavy metals from the mining wastewater compared to AAC alone.

Fig. 11 pH point of zero charges of AAC and TiO2–AAC
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