Steroid-induced osteonecrosis
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1. Introduction

Almost immediately after the introduction of cortisone for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in 1950s, the medical community became aware of associated potential complications involving the bone [1] beyond reduced bone density leading to osteoporosis and fractures. Indeed, collapse of the femoral and humeral heads was described following both systemic and intra-articular steroid administration [2-5]. Since these lesions were not induced by trauma nor supported by infections it was coined ‘aseptic osteonecrosis’. A direct hormonal effect on osteoblasts was hypothesized as the causative mechanism [6]. With the growing use of systemic glucocorticoids, increased awareness of complications, and improvement of imaging techniques, steroid-induced osteonecrosis was further described in case series and has been later referred to as ‘avascular’ or ‘ischemic necrosis’ [7-11]. The word osteonecrosis derives from an inability to supply nutrients to crucial areas of bones, resulting in bone death, commonly involving the femoral head with secondary hip osteoarthritis. Osteonecrosis can be caused by both trauma, the most common cause accounting for more than 20% of cases [12], and non-traumatic factors. The latter particularly includes excessive and/or chronic alcohol intake, but is also associated with tobacco use, sickle cell disease, congenital or acquired coagulopathies, genetic factors, hematological diseases, radiation, and Caisson disease. However, among non-traumatic factors, the most common cause of osteonecrosis is glucocorticoid use [13,14], with a prevalence that varies from 3 to 38% [15] accounting for 5–25% of patients with atraumatic osteonecrosis [16]. Further, among patients with a history of high-dose corticosteroid treatment, 5% developed osteonecrosis [16]. It is well known that corticosteroids induce osteoporosis by inhibiting osteoblastogenesis and promoting osteoblast and osteocyte apoptosis, while they enhance osteoclast differentiation, maturation, and lifespan [17]. The risk of vertebral fractures related to osteoporosis in patients under oral glucocorticoids tripled, whereas the risk of hip fracture doubled. This also depends on the duration and dosage of steroids, reaching an incidence rate of 16 at ≥5 mg per day and an average fracture incidence rate of 13.4 at cumulative doses ≥5.4 g [17], While the proportion of patients with osteoporosis who develop osteonecrosis is unclear, it is acknowledged that osteoporosis may be...
associated to osteonecrosis [18]. Further, some osteoporosis drugs, bisphosphonates and denosumab, have been associated with an increased risk of osteonecrosis of the jaw, with an incidence of 0.01% [19].

Among patients with no history of trauma nor steroid use, around 30% of cases of osteonecrosis were alcohol-associated [20]. The prevalence was 10% in sickle cell disease (with a cumulative incidence of 22%) [21], around 20% after radiation therapy, reduced until 3% after optimization of radiation techniques [22], and 17–27% were idiopathic [20]. Thrombophilia is another risk factor: Factor V Leiden mutations are present in around 10% of patients with osteonecrosis, 3–4% have a mutation of the prothrombin gene, anti-thrombin III deficiency is present in around 10%, while protein C and S deficiency are detected in 2–13% of cases [23].

2. Pathogenesis

The pathogenesis of steroid-induced osteonecrosis, as well as non-traumatic osteonecrosis by other causes, remains to be elucidated at the molecular level but the ultimate mechanism is compromised blood flow with failure to deliver nutrients to the affected bone, favored by numerous preexisting factors [13], including individual susceptibility and vascular damage, mechanical stress, increased intraosseous pressure, adipocyte dysfunction, and defects in apoptosis and coagulation [14]. A representation of the main risk factors, measures to be taken for prevention, and proposed treatments in early and late stages are illustrated in Fig. 1.

2.1. Genetics and epigenetics

A genetic component has been recognized and may explain why only some patients taking systemic glucocorticoids develop the disease. Polymorphisms in genes involved in corticosteroid metabolism can influence the risk for steroid-induced osteonecrosis, as in the case of the ATP-binding cassette subfamily B member 1 (ABCB1), a gene encoding for a transport protein which plays a vital role in absorption and distribution of a broad range of drugs. Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) of this gene modify drug distribution and pharmacokinetics and may affect individual sensitivity and side effects for systemic glucocorticoids. A significant association was observed between ABCB1 3435 T and 2677 T/A polymorphisms and steroid-induced osteonecrosis. In fact, the occurrence of the disease was significantly lower in patients with these polymorphisms, possibly for their influence on glucocorticoid-induced osteocyte apoptosis [24].

Other SNPs with an impact on steroid-induced osteonecrosis have been demonstrated for cytochrome P450 and associated with lower risk [25], whereas different IL1B polymorphisms influence the risk for steroid-induced osteonecrosis [26]. Metalloproteinase 2, 8, and 10 polymorphisms contribute to susceptibility [27,28], as RETN (coding for resistin, an adipokine involved in metabolic disorders) and ApoA 5 and APOE (involved in lipid metabolism) SNP [29–31]. In contrast, metalloproteinase 9 SNPs appears to reduce the risk [32], similar to the gene for the tissue inhibitor of metalloprotease-4 [33], while the plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) SNP has been demonstrated to be associated with an increased risk of steroid-induced osteonecrosis [34]. Interestingly, the long-chain RNA p53-induced transcript (LncRNA LINC-PINT) has been reported to impact on the risk. When it is over-expressed, cell proliferation is inhibited, and apoptosis is increased. LINC-PINT also regulates transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) and Wnt/β-catenin pathways. SNP of this gene are related to several diseases, as cancer and increased susceptibility to steroid-induced osteonecrosis [35] (Table 1).

Epigenetic mechanisms have also been observed to impact the risk associated with systemic glucocorticoids. Significant methylation level differences between patients and controls were found in ABCB1 gene, with patients having higher methylation levels [25]. Micro RNAs (MIR) were also found to be implicated in risk of diseases, and the transcripts of MIR17HG and MIR155HG gene variants, which regulate bone metabolism among other functions, are associated with steroid-induced osteonecrosis [36].

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the main risk factors for osteonecrosis (blue boxes), measures to be taken for prevention (red boxes), and proposed treatments in early and late stages (green boxes).
and increasing PAI-1 activity. With the release of vasoactive mediators adipogenesis, and promoting post-transcriptional modifications, which in fact, they alter endothelial function with increased peripheral resistance endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and nitric oxide (NO), two genes in γ (PPAR) activating transcription factors as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor activation of pre-adipocytes and mesenchymal stem cells [38]. In fact, through the corticosteroid receptor, glucocorticoids activate several pathways, including the Wnt, TGF-β, and systemic hypertension [14]. They also contribute to susceptibility to thrombosis by decreasing tissue plasminogen activator activity and increasing PAI-1 activity. With the release of vasoactive mediators as endothelin-1, noradrenalin and bradykinin, glucocorticoids modulate vascular responsiveness and induce vasoconstriction [37].

2.2. Vascular impairment

Steroid-induced osteonecrosis, in particular when affecting the femoral head, is often considered a compartment syndrome with several factors increasing intra-osseous pressure. As the vessels vascularizing the epiphysis are housed in the closed chamber of the femoral head, filled with marrow cells, an increase of pressure in this area leads to vascular compression, ischemia, and ultimately narrow and bone necrosis [13]. Further, glucocorticoids can damage endothelial cells. In fact, they alter endothelial function with increased peripheral resistance and systemic hypertension [14]. They also contribute to susceptibility to thrombosis by decreasing tissue plasminogen activator activity and increasing PAI-1 activity. With the release of vasoactive mediators as endothelin-1, noradrenalin and bradykinin, glucocorticoids modulate vascular responsiveness and induce vasoconstriction [37].

2.3. Fat cells and intraosseous pressure

Systemic glucocorticoids promote adipogenesis with the differentiation of pre-adipocytes and mesenchymal stem cells to mature adipocytes [13]. In fact, through the corticosteroid receptor, glucocorticoids activate several pathways, including the Wnt, TGFβ/bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) superfamily and Notch signaling pathways, target- ing transcription factors as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPARγ) and CCAAT-enhancer-binding proteins (C/EBPs) for adipogenesis, and promoting post-transcriptional modifications, which induce the dysfunction of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells [38]. Further, systemic glucocorticoids contribute to adipocyte hypertrophy [39] and to systemic hyperlipidemia, which contributes to formation of deposits in the vascular sinusoids, therefore reducing blood flow [14]. The bone marrow is therefore composed largely by adipocytes at the expense of hematopoietic cells and the increase in volume leads to increased intraosseous pressure, which compresses venous sinusoids, induces intravascular coagulation impairing blood flow and causing ischemia [13].

2.4. Bone marrow ischemia

As microvascular coagulation occurs at the early phases of osteonecrosis, factors modulating coagulation cascade and fibrinolysis can act as protective or risk factors. Congenital or acquired coagulopathies can promote osteonecrosis [40] and anti-coagulants have been proposed for the prevention of steroid-induced osteonecrosis [41]. Intravascular coagulation induces failure of the vascular supply, ischemia, hypoxia, narrow necrosis and promotes angiogenesis by release of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and nitric oxide (NO), two genes in which polymorphisms have been reported to increase the risk of osteonecrosis [13]. Also, exosomes derived from hypoxia-preconditioned bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells, but not from cells under normal oxygen pressure, exert therapeutic effects on steroid-induced osteonecrosis by promoting cell proliferation, migration, VEGF expression, and angiogenesis [42].

Hematopoietic cells are more sensitive to ischemia and die within 12 h, whilst adipocytes remain alive for 48 h and osteocytes for 24–72 h of complete ischemia. However, not all ischemic lesions lead to osteonecrosis and if perfusion is restored and dead bone is substituted by new tissue, depending on genetic predisposition and coagulative state, tissue can be repaired [43].

If the process progresses, there is the formation of a focal sequestrum surrounded by a fibrous membrane, which makes the course of the disease irreversible [15] and this histological finding allows the definite diagnosis of osteonecrosis [43].

2.5. Reparative attempts and fracture

The necrotic bone can be recognized as a foreign body and thus induce an immune reaction, depending on the amount of presented antigens, mainly glycoproteins and byproducts from ischemic bone marrow. In glucocorticoid-induced osteonecrosis, osteocyte apoptosis is prevalent, particularly in the subchondral area and cell swelling and inflammation usually do not occur [1]. A fibrovascular tissue encapsulating dead bone develops, but this reparative tissue cannot enter the dead marrow and no real repair occurs. The capsule progressively ossifies, and dead adipocytes release fatty acids which saponify with extracellular calcium, leading to formation of insoluble soaps. The resulting tissue does not have the strength and cannot bear weight as healthy bone. Fractures can occur at the subchondral portion or at the necrotic margin, leading to femoral head collapse and ultimately to osteoarthritis of the joint [13].

3. Risk factors

Osteonecrosis is commonly associated with higher cumulative doses and longer treatment courses with systemic glucocorticoids, but it has also been described after intra-articular injections [44], topical administration [45,46] or low-dose, short-term oral steroids [47]. No major gender differences have been identified [48]. Detailed discussion of dose and age have been discussed elsewhere [14,49].

Patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), solid organ transplants, hematological diseases, multiple sclerosis, and recent neurosurgery have higher prevalence of steroid induced osteonecrosis [49]. Severe acute respiratory syndrome and SARS-CoV-2 infections (i.e., COVID-19) also puts patients at risk for developing osteonecrosis [49–52]. In one study in SLE, a prednisone-equivalent dosage greater than 30–40 mg/daily or a cumulative dose ≥12 g/year conferred a significant risk of osteonecrosis while in patients undergoing kidney transplantation, the dose of systemic glucocorticoids in the first weeks was more important than the total cumulative dose. The risk is higher if the steroid dose is greater than 5000 mg during the first 3 months [49]. Further, the number of osteonecrosis sites was directly related to the dosage of systemic glucocorticoids. A peak dose of more than 200 mg, or a cumulative methylprednisolone-equivalent dose of more than 4000 mg seems to be a significant risk factor for multifocal osteonecrosis with both epiphysial and diaphyseal lesions [49]. Steroids with a longer half-life pose a greater risk and it should be emphasized that much lower doses of steroids have also been associated with osteonecrosis [14,49]. Markers for bone resorption and formation do not appear to predict the development of osteonecrosis [53].

4. Clinical manifestations and diagnosis

Once clinical features appear, osteonecrosis lesions are generally long established. The most common symptoms at presentation include
pain, inability to bear weight, and limitation in range of motion of the joint. If the femoral head is involved, pain is located at the hip but can radiate to the groin, thigh, or knee [14]. The new onset of a persistent joint pain exacerbated by movements with reduced range of motion, in patients under glucocorticoids should raise the suspicion of osteonecrosis [1].

The diagnosis of osteonecrosis is performed by combining clinical evaluation and adequate imaging. In 2017, the Association Research Circulation Osseous (ARCO) Committee developed classification criteria for clinical trial standardization on steroid-associated osteonecrosis of the femoral head through Delphi surveys. These were to be applied to patients clinically diagnosed with osteonecrosis by symptoms, signs, imaging, and/or histological examination and included: 1) a history of systemic glucocorticoid use > 2 g of prednisolone equivalent within a 3-month period; 2) diagnosis within 2 years after systemic glucocorticoid usage; 3) no other risk factor(s) besides systemic glucocorticoid chemotherapeutics for cancer, sickle cell disease, or Gaucher’s disease [54]. But here again the individual history of a patient’s steroid use must be considered as part of differential diagnosis.

5. Imaging and staging

The first imaging test to be performed is usually an X-ray but at early stages signs are frequently missed and radiographs appear normal. When the femoral head collapses due to subchondral fracture, crescent sign can be appreciated and is pathognomonic for the disease [55]. The first classification and staging system for hip osteonecrosis was developed by Ficat and Arlet in 1960 and it is based on X-rays. It is still widely used by orthopedic surgeons and in most studies the four-stage version is used, after several modifications. Stage I is characterized by normal plain radiographs, stage II shows diffuse sclerosis and cysts, representing attempts of bone repair, stage III presents the crescentic sign, subchondral fractures that appear as radiolucent crescent parallel to bone cortex, while stage IV appears when the femoral head collapses with flattening, destruction, and hip osteoarthritis [56]. This staging system has been questioned since it is based on radiographs only with poor inter-observer reliability and intra-observer reproducibility, with low kappa statistic values [57,58].

With the introduction of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), osteonecrosis has become identifiable at early stages and MRI is now considered the most sensitive and specific diagnostic technique. Viable zones have low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and higher signal intensity on T2-weighted images, whilst necrotic areas are hypointense in both T1-and T2-weighted sequences. The so-called double line sign is a diagnostic alteration on T2-weighted images visible at the interface between viable and necrotic tissue. It is formed by an outer low signal rim corresponding to sclerotic bone and by an inner hyperintense rim, corresponding to fibrovascular tissue (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). Contrast with gadolinium may be of further help in early stages for differentiating hypervascularized viable tissue from hypovascularized necrotic tissue. Advanced osteonecrosis shows low intensity or more tissue features within the same area. Fractures typically appear as hypointense rim on T1-weighted images, with surrounding edema on T2-weighted images [59]. In contrast, the classification proposed by Steinberg in 1995 implemented plain radiograph imaging with bone scans and MRI [60] (Table 2). This classification also was criticized for the scarce intra- and inter-agreement on all stages [61].

The most reliable classification is considered the Japanese Investigation Committee, which is based on the location of the necrotic lesion. In fact, this is an important factor that affects prognosis, independently of the lesion size. The three stages of this classification include: (A) lesions occupying the medial one-third or less of the weight-bearing portion, (B) lesions occupying the medial two-thirds or less of the weight-bearing portion, (C) lesions occupying more than the medial two-thirds of the weight-bearing portion, with stage C1 for lesions not extending laterally and stage C2 for lesions extending laterally to the acetabular edge [56,62]. The underlying concept is that the location of necrosis in relation to the acetabular weight-bearing region is a factor impacting prognosis. This is relevant in the early stages of disease, when lesions may be small and underestimated. On one hand, if lesions involve a significant area of the weight-bearing portion of the bone, these can rapidly progress and lead to bone collapse while if the weight-bearing portion is not involved or is minimally affected, lesions can stabilize without collapsing. The cumulative survival rate, with collapse as the endpoint, is better represented by this classification compared to others, with stage A having 100% survival at 10 years, stage B 94%, stage C1 32%, and stage C2 18%. The survival rates with hip arthroplasty as endpoint are 100% for stage A and B, 60% for stage C1, and 42% for stage C2 [56,62–64].

6. Management

Steroid-induced osteonecrosis often affects young individuals and efforts must be made for a conservative treatment of the joint, as replacements usually have a limited duration. The first approach is reducing weight bearing and crutches and walkers are recommended [1]. When the osteonecrotic area is small, treatment can be avoided as usually lesions do not increase in size, regardless of stage progression. At early stages, when osteonecrosis may be reversible and the weight-bearing portion is not involved, core decompression may be

Fig. 2. T1-weighted coronal (A) and axial (B) images of a woman with steroid-induced osteonecrosis showing an area of low signal intensity in the anterior-superior right femoral head.
performed. Injection of bone marrow aspirate concentrate combined with core decompression may be beneficial but remains controversial and very much dependent on stage and on need for continued or future steroid usage. Further, osteotomies to move the necrotic area from a weight-bearing to a non-weight-bearing region may preserve osteonecrotic joints in the selected candidates [43]. Another approach involves bisphosphonates, which proved beneficial in some studies in delaying femoral head collapse and in postponing surgery [1], but their use remains controversial. At later stages, when the joint is irreversibly damaged leading to development of osteoarthritis, total joint replacement will be required [1].

7. Conclusions

Steroid-induced osteonecrosis is a severe, debilitating disease occurring in patients treated with glucocorticoids. Diagnosis based on an MRI, which allows early detection and management. Treatment is conservative (if possible), but in more advanced stages total joint replacement is required. Our understanding of the mechanisms of damage in patients taking systemic glucocorticoids remains elusive and a more focused research effort may allow us to identify cases with higher risk of osteonecrosis. Most importantly, steroids should be used only when indicated and for the lowest possible dose and duration.
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