A model of electron transport through a boson cavity
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We propose a model describing electronic transport through a boson cavity. We use the Jaynes–Cummings model dealing with a two-level quantum dot coupled to a quantized electro-magnetic field and two semi-infinite wires. The mathematical background of our model is given by the theory of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators. Using the boundary triplets approach, the gamma-field and the Weyl function were calculated. In addition, we obtained the scattering matrix for the model system.
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1. Introduction

To study the steady state current flowing through a quantum device in the framework of Landauer–Büttiker approach, it is necessary to consider a quantum system as an inner system (quantum dot) with left and right leads attached to it, i.e. free-fermion reservoirs with two different electro-chemical potentials. The goal was to calculate the steady electron current going from one lead through the dot the other one. This current is directly related to the transmission coefficients of some natural scattering system related to this particle transport problem. This, approach was justified a few years ago [1–3]. It, effectively, reduces the problem to investigation of a one-particle Hamiltonian.

In the present paper we consider the Jaynes–Cummings model [4,5]. The Jaynes–Cummings model serves to determine how quantization of the radiation field affects the predictions for the evolution of the state of a two-level system in comparison with semi-classical theory of light-atom interaction. It is often applied to description of the interaction between an atom and a laser field [6]. We used a version suggested in [7,8]. More precisely, the authors of [7,8] use the discrete Hamiltonian. In contrast, we use the continuous Hamiltonian. Namely, we have a two-level quantum dot coupled, from one side, to the standard Jaynes–Cummings one-mode photon resonator, and from the other side to two semi-infinite leads. To construct the model, we use the operator extensions theory approach (see, e.g., [9–11] and references in [12]) in the framework of boundary triplet approach (see, e.g., [13–16]). Detailed mathematical background of the model is developed in [17].

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Linear relations

A linear relation \( \Theta \) in \( \mathcal{H} \) is a closed linear subspace of \( \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \). The set of all linear relations in \( \mathcal{H} \) is denoted by \( \tilde{C}(\mathcal{H}) \). We denote also by \( C(\mathcal{H}) \) the set of all closed linear (not necessarily densely defined) operators in \( \mathcal{H} \). Identifying each operator \( T \in C(\mathcal{H}) \) with its graph \( gr(T) \), we regard \( C(\mathcal{H}) \) as a subset of \( \tilde{C}(\mathcal{H}) \).

The role of the set \( \tilde{C}(\mathcal{H}) \) in extension theory becomes clear from Proposition 2.3. However, it’s role in the operator theory is substantially motivated by the following circumstances: in contrast to \( C(\mathcal{H}) \), the set \( \tilde{C}(\mathcal{H}) \) is closed with respect to taking inverse and adjoint relations \( \Theta^{-1} \) and \( \Theta^{*} \). The latter is given by: \( \Theta^{-1} = \{ \{g,f\} : \{f,g\} \in \Theta \} \) and:

\[
\Theta^{*} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h' \\ k' \end{pmatrix} : (h', k') = (h, k) \text{ for all } \begin{pmatrix} h \\ k \end{pmatrix} \in \Theta \right\}.
\]

A linear relation \( \Theta \) is called symmetric if \( \Theta \subset \Theta^{*} \) and self-adjoint if \( \Theta = \Theta^{*} \).
2.2. Boundary triplets and proper extensions

Let us briefly recall some basic facts on boundary triplets. Let $S$ be a densely defined closed symmetric operator with equal deficiency indices $n_{\pm}(S) := \dim(\mathcal{N}_{\pm})$, $\mathcal{N}_z := \ker(S^* - z)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}_{\pm}$, acting on some separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

**Definition 2.1.**
(i) A closed extension $\tilde{S}$ of $S$ is called proper if $\text{dom}(S) \subset \text{dom}(\tilde{S}) \subset \text{dom}(S^*)$.
(ii) Two proper extensions $\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2$ are called disjoint if $\text{dom}(\tilde{S}_1) \cap \text{dom}(\tilde{S}_2) = \text{dom}(S)$ and transversal if in addition $\text{dom}(\tilde{S}_1^*) + \text{dom}(\tilde{S}) = \text{dom}(S^*)$.

We denote by $\text{Ext}_S$ the set of all proper extensions of $S$ completed by the non-proper extensions $S$ and $S^*$ is denoted. For instance, any self-adjoint or maximal dissipative (accumulative) extension is proper.

**Definition 2.2** ([18]). A triplet $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is an auxiliary Hilbert space and $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 : \text{dom}(S^*) \to \mathcal{H}$ are linear mappings, is called a boundary triplet for $S$ if the "abstract Green’s identity":

\[
(S^*f,g) - (f,S^*g) = (\Gamma_1f,\Gamma_0g) - (\Gamma_0f,\Gamma_1g), \quad f,g \in \text{dom}(S^*). \tag{1}
\]

is satisfied and the mapping $\Theta := (\Gamma_0^f, \Gamma_1^f)^\top : \text{dom}(S^*) \to \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is surjective, i.e. $\text{ran}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$.

A boundary triplet $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ for $S^*$ always exists whenever $n_{\pm}(S) = n_{\pm}(S^*)$. Note also that $n_{\pm}(S) = \dim(\mathcal{H})$ and $\ker(\Gamma_0) \cap \ker(\Gamma_1) = \text{dom}(S)$.

With any boundary triplet $\Pi$ one associates two canonical self-adjoint extensions $S_j := S^* \mid \ker(\Gamma_j)$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$. Conversely, for any extension $S_0 = S_0^*$ in $\text{Ext}_S$, there exists a (non-unique) boundary triplet $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ for $S^*$ such that $S_0 := S^* \mid \ker(\Gamma_0)$.

Using the concept of boundary triplets one can parametrize all proper extensions of $A$ in the following way.

**Proposition 2.3** ([19]). Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for $S^*$. Then the mapping

\[
\text{Ext}_S \ni \tilde{S} \to \Gamma \text{dom}(\tilde{S}) = \{(\Gamma_0^f, \Gamma_1^f)^\top : f \in \text{dom}(\tilde{S})\} : \Theta \in \tilde{C}(\mathcal{H}) \tag{2}
\]

establishes a bijective correspondence between the sets $\text{Ext}_S$ and $\tilde{C}(\mathcal{H})$. We write $\tilde{S} = S_\Theta$ if $\tilde{S}$ corresponds to $\Theta$ by (2). Moreover, the following holds:

(i) $S_0^\sigma = S_0$, in particular, $S_0^\gamma = S_0$ if and only if $\Theta^* = \Theta$.
(ii) $S_\Theta$ is symmetric (self-adjoint) if and only if $\Theta$ is symmetric (self-adjoint).
(iii) The extensions $S_\Theta$ and $S_0$ are disjoint (transversal) if and only if there is a closed (bounded) operator $B$ such that $\Theta = \text{gr}(B)$. In this case (2) takes the form

\[
S_\Theta := S_{\text{gr}(B)} = S^* \mid \ker(\Gamma_1 - B\Gamma_0). \tag{3}
\]

In particular, $S_j := S^* \mid \ker(\Gamma_j) = S_{\Theta_j}$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$, where $\Theta_0 := \begin{pmatrix} \{0\} & \mathcal{H} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Theta_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H} & \{0\} \end{pmatrix} = \text{gr}(\mathcal{O})$ where $\mathcal{O}$ denotes the zero operator in $\mathcal{H}$. Note also that $\tilde{C}(\mathcal{H})$ contains the trivial linear relations $\{0\} \times \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ parameterizing the extensions $S$ and $S^*$, respectively, for any boundary triplet $\Pi$.

2.3. Gamma field and Weyl function

It is well known that Weyl function is an important tool in the direct and inverse spectral theory of Sturm–Liouville operators. In [19], the concept of Weyl function was generalized to the case of an arbitrary symmetric operator $S$ with $n_+(S) = n_-(S) \leq \infty$. Following [19], we briefly recall basic facts on Weyl functions and $\gamma$-fields associated with a boundary triplet $\Pi$.

**Definition 2.4** ([19]). Let $\Pi = \{\mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1\}$ be a boundary triplet for $S^*$ and $S_0 = S^* \mid \ker(\Gamma_0)$. The operator valued functions $\gamma(\cdot) : \rho(S_0) \to [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}]$ and $M(\cdot) : \rho(S_0) \to [\mathcal{H}]$ defined by:

\[
\gamma(z) := (\Gamma_0 \mid \mathcal{N}_z)^{-1}, \quad M(z) := \Gamma_1 \gamma(z), \quad z \in \rho(S_0), \tag{4}
\]

are called the $\gamma$-field and the Weyl function, respectively, corresponding to the boundary triplet $\Pi$.

Clearly, the Weyl function can equivalently be defined by:

\[
M(z)\Gamma_0 f_z = \Gamma_1 f_z, \quad f_z \in \mathcal{N}_z, \quad z \in \rho(S_0). \]
The \( \gamma \)-field \( \gamma(\cdot) \) and the Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \) in (4) are well defined. Moreover, both \( \gamma(\cdot) \) and \( M(\cdot) \) are holomorphic on \( \rho(S_0) \) and the following relations:

\[
\gamma(z) = (I + (z - \zeta) (S_0 - z)^{-1})\gamma(\zeta), \quad z, \zeta \in \rho(S_0),
\]

and

\[
M(z) - M(\zeta)^* = (z - \bar{\zeta}) \gamma(\zeta)^* \gamma(z), \quad z, \zeta \in \rho(S_0),
\]

hold. Identity (6) yields that \( M(\cdot) \) is \( \mathcal{H} \)-valued Nevanlinna function \( M(\cdot) \in \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{H}] \), i.e. \( M(\cdot) \) is \( \mathcal{H} \)-valued holomorphic function on \( \mathbb{C}_\pm \) satisfying:

\[
M(z) = M(\bar{z})^* \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{Im}(M(z))}{\text{Im}(z)} \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+ \cup \mathbb{C}_-.
\]

It follows also from (6) that \( 0 \in \rho(\text{Im}(M(z))) \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}_\pm \).

### 2.4. Krein-type formula for resolvents

Let \( \Pi = \{ \mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \) be a boundary triplet for \( S^* \), \( M(\cdot) \) and \( \gamma(\cdot) \) the corresponding Weyl function and \( \gamma \)-field, respectively. For any proper (not necessarily self-adjoint) extension \( S_\Theta \in \text{Ext}_S \) with non-empty resolvent set \( \rho(S_\Theta) \) the following Krein-type formula holds (cf. [19]):

\[
(S_\Theta - z)^{-1} - (S_0 - z)^{-1} = \gamma(z)(\Theta - M(z))^{-1}\gamma^*(\bar{z}), \quad z \in \rho(S_0) \cap \rho(S_\Theta).
\]

Formula (7) extends the known Krein formula for canonical resolvents to the case of any \( S_\Theta \in \text{Ext}_S \) with \( \rho(S_\Theta) \neq \emptyset \). Moreover, due to relations (2), (3) and (4) formula (7) is related to the boundary triplet \( \Pi \). We emphasize, that this relation makes it possible to apply the Krein-type formula (7) to boundary value problems (see, e.g. [14] and references in [12]).

### 2.5. Scattering and Weyl function

Let \( S \) be a densely defined closed symmetric operator with finite equal deficiency indices \( n_{\pm}(S) \) and \( \Pi = \{ \mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \) is a boundary triplet for \( S^* \). Let \( S_0 = S^* \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0 \) and \( S_\Theta \) is a self-adjoint extension corresponding to \( \Theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \). As \( \dim \mathcal{H} \) is finite, by (7) \( (S_\Theta - z)^{-1} - (S_0 - z)^{-1} \) is a finite rank operator and the system \( \{ S_\Theta, S_0 \} \) is a so-called complete scattering system, i.e. the wave operators:

\[
W_+(S_\Theta, S_0) = s - \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{iS_\Theta t} e^{-iS_0 t} P^{ac}(S_0),
\]

exists and they are complete, i.e. their ranges coincide with the absolutely continuous subspace \( S^{ac}_\Theta(S_0) \) of \( S_\Theta \) (see e.g. [20], [21], [22]). By \( P^{ac}(S_0) \) we denote the orthogonal projection on absolutely continuous subspace \( S^{ac}_\Theta(S_0) \) of \( S_0 \). The scattering operator \( S(S_\Theta, S_0) \) of a scattering system \( \{ S_\Theta, S_0 \} \) is defined as:

\[
S(S_\Theta, S_0) = W_+(S_\Theta, S_0) \downharpoonright W_-(S_\Theta, S_0).
\]

If we regard the scattering operator as an operator in \( S^{ac}_\Theta(S_0) \) then it becomes unitary and commutes with absolutely continuous part \( S^{ac}_0 = S_0 \upharpoonright S^{ac}_\Theta(S_0) \downharpoonright \text{dom}(S_0) \). of \( S_0 \) and thus it is unitarily equivalent to a multiplication operator induced by a family \( \{ S_\Theta(z) \} \) of unitary operators in a spectral representation of \( S^{ac}_0 \) ([20], Proposition 9.57). This family is called a scattering matrix of a scattering system \( S(S_\Theta, S_0) \).

Since the dimension \( \dim \mathcal{H} \) is finite, then the Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \) corresponding to boundary triplet \( \Pi = \{ \mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \) is a matrix-valued Nevanlinna function. By Fatou theorem ([23]), the limit \( M(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} M(\lambda + i\varepsilon) \) exists for almost all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). We denote the set of real point where the limit exists by \( \Sigma^M \). We will use the notation \( H_{M(\lambda)} = \text{ran}(M(\lambda)) \). \( \lambda \in \Sigma^M \). By \( P_{M(\lambda)} \) we will denote the orthogonal projection on \( H_{M(\lambda)} \). We will also use the notation \( N_\Theta(z) = (\Theta - M(z))^{-1} \), \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \), where \( \Theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \) is a self-adjoint relation corresponding to \( S_\Theta \). This function is well defined and the limit \( N_\Theta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = (\Theta - M(\lambda + i\varepsilon))^{-1} \) exists almost for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \). This set we will denote as \( \Sigma^N \).

**Theorem 2.5.** ([13]) Let \( S \) be a densely defined symmetric operator with finite deficiency indices in separable Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \), let \( \Pi = \{ \mathcal{H}, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \} \) be a boundary triplet corresponding to \( S^* \) with corresponding Weyl function \( M(\cdot) \), \( S_\Theta \) is a self-adjoint extension of \( S, S_0 = S^* \upharpoonright \ker \Gamma_0, \Theta \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{H}) \), then in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\lambda, H_{M(\lambda)}) \) the scattering matrix of the complete scattering system \( \{ S_\Theta, S_0 \} \) is given by:

\[
\mathcal{S}_\Theta(\lambda) = I_{H_{M(\lambda)}} + 2i \sqrt{3(M(\lambda + i\varepsilon))} N_\Theta(\lambda + i\varepsilon) \sqrt{3(M(\lambda + i\varepsilon))}
\]

for \( \lambda \in \Sigma^M \cap \Sigma^N \).
3. Scattering

3.1. Model description

A rigorous construction of a proposed model can be found in [17]. We will show here only the main results. We consider the Hilbert space \( H = L^2(\mathbb{R}_-) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \) where \( \mathbb{R}_- = (-\infty, 0) \) and \( \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty) \). On the subspaces \( H_L := L^2(\mathbb{R}_-) \) and \( H_R := L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \) we consider the closed symmetric operators \( H_L = -d^2/dx^2 + v_L \) and \( H_R = -d^2/dx^2 + v_R \). We set \( H := H_L \oplus H_R \). Operator \( H \) can be regarded as the symmetric operator:

\[
A = -d^2/dx^2 + v(x), \quad v(x) := \begin{cases} v_L & x \in \mathbb{R}_- \\ v_R & x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \end{cases}
\]

with domain \( \text{dom} (A) = W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) := \{ f \in W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}) : f(0) = f'(0) = 0 \} \). The operator \( A \) is symmetric and has deficiency indices \( n_\pm(A) = 2 \). For simplicity we assume that \( 0 \leq v_r \leq v_L \). It can be checked (see [17]) that the triplet \( \Pi_A = \{ H^A, \Gamma^A_0, \Gamma^A_1 \} \) with:

\[
H^A := \mathcal{H}^H_L \oplus \mathbb{C} \quad \Pi^A_0 f := \begin{pmatrix} f(-0) \\ f'(0+) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} -f'(-0) \\ f'(0+) \end{pmatrix},
\]

defines a boundary triplet for \( A^* \). The Weyl function \( M^A(z) \) of the boundary triplet \( \Pi_A \) is given by:

\[
M^A(z) = \begin{pmatrix} m_{H^L}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & m_{H^R}(z) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\sqrt{z-v_L} & 0 \\ 0 & i\sqrt{z-v_R} \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \rho(A_0),
\]

where \( A_0 := A^* \upharpoonright \ker(\Gamma^A_0) \). Notice that \( A_0 \) has Dirichlet boundary conditions.

We will view the point zero as a quantum dot or quantum cavity. In particular, the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H}^A = \mathbb{C}^2 \) is considered to be the state space of the quantum dot and the self-adjoint operator \( B \) as the Hamiltonian of the dot, where \( A_B := A^* \upharpoonright \ker(\Gamma_1^A - B\Gamma_0^A) \) is a self-adjoint extension of \( A \). The Hamiltonian \( B \) describes a two level system to which we are going to couple bosons. The state space of the bosons is the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{T} = l_2(N_0), N_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). The boson operator \( T \) is given by:

\[
T \xi = T \{ \xi_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} = \{ k\xi_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \\
\zeta = \{ \xi_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \text{dom} (T) := \{ \{ \xi_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in l_2(N_0) : \{ k\xi_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in l_2(N_0) \}.
\]

The Hamiltonian \( T \) describes a system of bosons which do not interact mutually. The number of bosons is not fixed and varies from infinity. The Hilbert space \( \mathcal{T} \) has a natural basis given by \( \{ e_k \} \) \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \). Let us introduce the creation and annihilation operator \( b^* \) and \( b \), respectively, defined by:

\[
b^* e_k = \sqrt{k+1} e_{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad \text{and} \quad b e_k = \sqrt{k} e_{k-1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
\]

where \( e_{-1} = 0 \). One easily checks that \( T = b^* b \).

In order to couple these two systems let us consider the closed symmetric operator:

\[
S := A \otimes I_T + I_A \otimes T
\]

in the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{T} \). Setting the following:

\[
\mathcal{R}_L := \mathcal{H}_L \otimes \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathcal{S}_L := H_L \otimes I_T + I_{H_L} \otimes T, \\
\mathcal{R}_R := \mathcal{H}_R \otimes \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathcal{S}_R := H_R \otimes I_T + I_{H_R} \otimes T,
\]

we obtain:

\[
\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_L \oplus \mathcal{R}_R \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_L \oplus \mathcal{S}_R.
\]

The corresponding Weyl function \( M^S(z) \) is given by:

\[
M^S(z) = \begin{pmatrix} M^{S_L}(z) & 0 \\ 0 & M^{S_R}(z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad z \in \rho(S_0), \quad S_0 = S^{D_L}_L \oplus S^{D_R}_R,
\]

where \( S^{D_L}_L = H^D_L \otimes I_T + I_{H^D_L} \otimes T \) and \( S^{D_R}_R = H^D_R \otimes I_T + I_{H^D_R} \otimes T \) – the extensions with the Dirichlet boundary conditions and:

\[
M^{S_L}(z) = \frac{m^{H^L}(z-T) - \text{Re}(m^{H^L}(i-T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^L}(i-T))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+,
\]

\[
M^{S_R}(z) = \frac{m^{H^R}(z-T) - \text{Re}(m^{H^R}(i-T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^R}(i-T))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+,
\]

\[
M^{S_L}(z) = \frac{m^{H^L}(z-T) - \text{Re}(m^{H^L}(i-T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^L}(i-T))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+,
\]

\[
M^{S_R}(z) = \frac{m^{H^R}(z-T) - \text{Re}(m^{H^R}(i-T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^R}(i-T))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}_+.
\]
3.2. Scattering matrix

The operator-function $M^{S_i}(z) = \frac{m^{H^\dagger}(z - T) - \text{Re}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Moreover, we have:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\sqrt{\text{Im}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T))} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{\text{Im}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T))}
\end{pmatrix}
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix}
Z_l^{-1/2} & 0 \\
0 & Z_r^{-1/2}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\text{Re}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T)) & 0 \\
0 & \text{Re}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T))
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
Z_l & 0 \\
0 & Z_r
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where:

$$
Z_l := \sqrt{I_l + (T + v_l)^2 + T + v_1}, \\
Z_r := \sqrt{I_l + (T + v_r)^2 + T + v_r}.
$$

3.2. Scattering matrix

We want to show that the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} M^S(\lambda + i\varepsilon)$ exists. The candidate for this limit is the function $M^S(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

**Lemma 3.1.** The operator-function $M^S(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded operator.

Consider:

$$
M^{S_i}(\lambda) = \frac{m^{H^\dagger}(\lambda - T) - \text{Re}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - T))} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \frac{m^{H^\dagger}(\lambda - n) - \text{Re}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - n))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - n))}.
$$

We then rewrite as follows:

$$
\frac{m^{H^\dagger}(\lambda - n) - \text{Re}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - n))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - n))} = \frac{i\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2 + n + v_1}}{\sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2 + n + v_1}}
$$

and consider this sequence when $n > \lambda - v_l$, then the numerator can be rewritten as:

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2 + n + v_1 - \sqrt{n - \lambda + v_l} =}
$$

$$
\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2 + n + v_1 + \sqrt{n - \lambda + v_l}}
$$

has the finite limit, so it is bounded and we have to examine:

$$
\sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2} - (n + v_l - 2\lambda) = \frac{1 + 4n\lambda - 4\lambda^2 + 4\lambda v_l}{\sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2 + (n + v_l - 2\lambda)}},
$$

which also has the finite limit and so bounded. Thus, the sequence is bounded for $n > \lambda - v_l$, so it is bounded for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Analogously, we deal with $M^{S_r}$.

**Lemma 3.2.** $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} M^S(\lambda + i\varepsilon) = M^S(\lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider:

$$
\|M^{S_i}(\lambda) - M^{S_i}(\lambda + i\varepsilon)\| = \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty \frac{m^{H^\dagger}(\lambda - n) - (m^{H^\dagger}(\lambda + i\varepsilon - n))}{\text{Im}(m^{H^\dagger}(i - n))}.
$$
We obtain:

\[
\left\| \frac{m^{H_i}(\lambda - n) - (m^{H_i}(\lambda + i\varepsilon - n))}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))} \right\| = \left\| \frac{i\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l} - i\sqrt{\lambda + i\varepsilon - n - v_l}}{1/\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(n + v_l)^2 + n + v_l}} \right\| =
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2 + n + v_l}}{\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l} + \sqrt{\lambda + i\varepsilon - n - v_l}} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{2\sqrt{1 + (n + v_l)^2 + n + v_l}}{2\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}} \right\| \varepsilon.
\]

The norm in the right hand side is bounded for integer \(n\), so:

\[
\frac{m^{H_i}(\lambda - n) - (m^{H_i}(\lambda + i\varepsilon - n))}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))} \to 0, \quad \varepsilon \to 0,
\]

uniformly with respect to \(n\). Analogously we deal with \(M^{S_r}\).

Let us show that the operator:

\[(\Theta - M^{S}(\lambda + i\varepsilon))^{-1}\]

exists. It is sufficient to show that \((\text{Im}(M^{S}(\lambda)))^{-1}\) exists.

**Lemma 3.3.** \((\text{Im}(M^{S}(\lambda)))^{-1}\) exists for \(\lambda \neq n + v_l\) and \(\lambda \neq n + v_r\).

Consider:

\[
\text{Im}(M^{S_i}(\lambda)) = \frac{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(\lambda - T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - T))} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im}(i\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l})}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Re}(\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l})}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))}
\]

and \(\text{Re}(\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}) = 0\) when \(n \geq \lambda - v_l\) and \(\text{Re}(\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}) = \sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}\) when \(n < \lambda - v_l\). If \(\lambda \neq n + v_l\) then:

\[
\text{Im}(M^{S_i}(\lambda))^{-1} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\lambda - v_l} \frac{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))}{\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}}.
\]

Analogously, we deal with \(M^{S_r}\).

For scattering matrix description, we need to calculate \(\sqrt{\text{Im}(M(\lambda + 0i))} = \sqrt{\text{Im}(M(\lambda))}\).

**Lemma 3.4.** Operator \(\sqrt{\text{Im}(M(\lambda))}\) has the following form:

\[
\sqrt{\text{Im}(M(\lambda))} = \begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\lambda - v_l} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\lambda - v_r} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_r}}{\text{Im}(m^{H_r}(i - n))} \\
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\lambda - v_r} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_r}}{\text{Im}(m^{H_r}(i - n))} & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
\text{Im}(M^{S_i}(\lambda)) = \text{Im} \left( \frac{m^{H_i}(\lambda - T) - \text{Re}(m^{H_i}(i - T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - T))} \right) = \frac{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(\lambda - T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - T))}
\]

Now, as far as \(m^{H_i} = i\sqrt{z - v_1}\), we obtain:

\[
\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(\lambda - T)) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(\lambda - n))}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Im}(i\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l})}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))}.
\]

As far as when \(n < \lambda - v_l\) we have \(\text{Im}(i\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}) = \sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}\) and when \(n \geq \lambda - v_l\) we have \(\text{Im}(i\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}) = 0\), we obtain that:

\[
\frac{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(\lambda - T))}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - T))} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\lambda - v_l} \frac{\sqrt{\lambda - n - v_l}}{\text{Im}(m^{H_i}(i - n))}.
\]
Then,
\[
\text{Im}(M^S(\lambda)) = \begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{n<\lambda-v_1} \sqrt{\lambda - n - v_1} & \bigoplus_{n=0}^{n\geq\lambda-v_1} \text{Im}(m^H(i-n)) & 0 \\
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{n<\lambda-v_r} \sqrt{\lambda - n - v_r} & \bigoplus_{n=0}^{n\geq\lambda-v_r} \text{Im}(m^H(i-n)) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and
\[
\sqrt{\text{Im}(M^S(\lambda))} = \begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{n<\lambda-v_1} \sqrt{\lambda - n - v_1} & \bigoplus_{n=0}^{n\geq\lambda-v_1} \text{Im}(m^H(i-n)) & 0 \\
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{n<\lambda-v_r} \sqrt{\lambda - n - v_r} & \bigoplus_{n=0}^{n\geq\lambda-v_r} \text{Im}(m^H(i-n)) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Let \( \Theta \) be a matrix of the form:
\[
\Theta = \begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{n<\lambda} (\alpha_n & \gamma_n) \\
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{n\geq\lambda} (\kappa_n & \eta_n)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Then \( \Theta - M(\lambda) \) is a block-diagonal matrix with the first block of the form
\[
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n))} & \gamma_n \\
\beta_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))} & \beta_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))}
\end{array} \right)
\]
and the second block of the form:
\[
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \begin{array}{cc}
\kappa_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n))} & \eta_n \\
\omega_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))} & \omega_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))}
\end{array} \right)
\]

Let:
\[
\Delta_1(n) = \left( \alpha_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n))} \right) \times \\
\left( \beta_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))} \right) - \gamma_n \eta_n
\]
and:
\[
\Delta_2(n) = \left( \kappa_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n))} \right) \times \\
\left( \omega_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))} \right) - \eta_n \eta_n.
\]

**Theorem 3.5.** The operator \((\Theta - M^S(\lambda + i\epsilon))^{-1}\) exists. It has the block structure with the first block of the form:
\[
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Delta_1(n)} \begin{pmatrix}
\beta_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))} & -\gamma_n \\
-\kappa_n & \alpha_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n))}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and the second block of the form:
\[
\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Delta_2(n)} \begin{pmatrix}
\omega_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n-1))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n-1))} & -\eta_n \\
-\kappa_n & \kappa_n - \frac{i \sqrt{\lambda - 2n - v_1 - \text{Re}(m^H(i-2n))}}{\text{Im}(m^H(i-2n))}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
The expression for the scattering matrix is given in Theorem 2.5.

4. Conclusion

An extended Jaynes–Cummings model using the ideas of [8] is constructed. It is based on the theory of self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators. The theory gives one a rigorous mathematical methodology for introducing coupling between bosons (photons), two-level quantum dot (quantum resonator) and two semi-infinite wires. Boundary triplets, gamma-field and Krein Q-functions are found. We obtain the Weyl function. This allows us to determine the scattering matrix. Mathematical details of the model are thoroughly investigated in [17].
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