Detarium microcarpum, Guiera senegalensis, and Cassia siamea Induce Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Arrest and Inhibit Metastasis on MCF7 Breast Cancer Cells
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Despite the availability of anticancer drugs, breast cancer remains the most death-causing tumor-related disease in women. Hence, there is a need for discovery and development of efficient alternative drugs, and sources such as plants need to be explored. In this study, antioxidant capacities and inhibitory effects against MCF7 cells of the extracts of stem bark of three Nigerian medicinal plants (Detarium microcarpum, Guiera senegalensis, and Cassia siamea) were investigated. The D. microcarpum extracts had the highest antioxidant and antiproliferative effects, followed by that of G. senegalensis, and the C. siamea extracts had minimal effects. The IC50 values of the methanol and aqueous extracts from the three plants that inhibited the proliferation of MCF7 cells ranged from 78–> 500 μg/ml. Moreover, all the plant extracts but the aqueous extract of Cassia siamea exhibited antimetastatic action and induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in MCF7 cells. Liquid chromatography/time-of-flight/mass spectrometry profiling revealed that the five potent extracts contain many phenols and omega-6 fatty acids, and some of the identified compounds (isorhamnetin, eupatorin, alpinumisoflavone, procyanidin B3, syringin, and gallic acid) have been reported to have antiproliferative effects on cancer cells. Hence, the stem bark of these plants could be potential sources of antibreast cancer agents.

1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the most death-causing tumor-related disease in females [1]. About 70% of breast tumors are estrogen receptor positive, and the percentage is higher among older females [2]. The persistence of this major health problem is due to many factors, including the growing resistance of breast cancer cells to conventional drugs, the highly metastatic nature of the tumors, and the high cost of anticancer drugs, which makes them inaccessible to poor patients. Hence, herbal medicines are being explored as alternative sources of breast cancer chemotherapy agents [1, 3–5].

The selected plants for this study have ethnomedical relevance and they are commonly used in Africa for the purpose. Detarium microcarpum, Cassia siamea, and Guiera senegalensis are popular medicinal plants in West Africa. The bark of these plants is used as medicine to treat diseases in Nigeria and other West African countries. D.
*Detarium microcarpum* is a perennial tree that usually reaches 4–5 m in height. It is widely grown in the northern part of Nigeria and other Sub-Saharan African countries [6]. In Folklore medicine, *D. microcarpum* is considered to be a potent medicinal herb, and it is traditionally used to cure and prevent many diseases, including oxidative stress-related ailments such as cancer. *D. microcarpum* has been scientifically shown to have antimicrobial, hepatoprotective, cytotoxic, and antidiabetic effects [6–8]. *G. senegalensis* belongs to the family Combretaceae. It is widely planted in the savannah region of Africa. Traditionally, *G. senegalensis* is a medicinal herb that is used to cure many diseases and ailments, including wound infections, jaundice, diabetes, arthritis, fever, diarrhea, gastrointestinal and respiratory diseases, and cancer [9–11]. *C. siamea* is also a plant that has ethnopharmacological importance. It is traditionally employed in tropical countries, including Nigeria, to prevent and treat diseases such as diabetes, insomnia, high blood pressure, and constipation [12]. It was recently reported that the ethanolic extracts of *C. siamea* possess significant antioxidant and antibacterial properties [12]. Therefore, in this study, the antioxidant activities and inhibitory effects of extracts of *D. microcarpum*, *C. siamea*, and *G. senegalensis* stem bark against estrogen responsive breast cancer (MCF7) cells were investigated.

2. Methodology

2.1. Plant Collection and Authentication. The bark samples were collected from the stems of plants that were harvested from the wide forest of Azare, Katagum Local Government Area, Bauchi State, Nigeria between October and December 2015. The plants were authenticated at the Biological Science Department of Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria. Voucher numbers were allocated to the plant samples as follows: *Detarium microcarpum* (3105), *Cassia siamea* (900078), and *Guiera senegalensis* (900103), and plant samples were deposited at the University Herbarium.

2.2. Preparation of the Plant Extracts. Extraction of phytochemicals from the bark samples was performed using water and methanol solvents. The stem bark was peeled off and washed thoroughly. It was air-dried under shade at room temperature. Their absorbances were read at 517 nm. The extracts were labelled as

\[ \text{Percentage radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{AB} - \text{AS}}{\text{AB}} \right) \times 100 \]

where AB is absorbance of the blank (0 μg/ml of sample) and AS is absorbance of the sample.

A regression curve was obtained for each sample by plotting the value of percentage radical scavenging activity against its corresponding concentration of the samples. IC<sub>50</sub> was then extrapolated from the curve.

2.4. DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity. The DPPH antioxidant test was also used to determine the ability of the samples to scavenge free radicals. The test was performed according to the method of Adebayo, Arsad [15] with slight modifications. One hundred and eighty microliters of 0.2 mM DPPH methanol solution was mixed with 12 μl of different concentrations of the samples (2.44, 4.88, 9.77, 19.53, and 39.06 μg/ml final concentrations for *D. microcarpum* and *G. senegalensis* extracts; 19.53, 78.13, 156.25, 312.5, and 625 μg/ml final concentrations for *C. siamea* extracts). The mixtures were incubated in the dark for 20 minutes at room temperature. Their absorbances were read at 517 nm. Percentage DPPH radical scavenging activities of the samples were determined following the procedure described above for the ABTS test, and regression curves were obtained and IC<sub>50</sub> values for each sample were extrapolated.

2.5. Cell Culture System. MCF7 breast cancer cells (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA) were cultured and maintained in RPMI 1649 medium supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics penicillin/ streptomycin (pen/strep). The cells were subcultured after 5–6 days when they reached > 80% confluence.

2.6. Presto Blue Cell Viability Assay. MCF7 cells were harvested from the exponentially growing culture. Ninety microliters of 5000 cells/ml was seeded in each well of 96-well plates for 24 hours. The medium was discarded and replaced with extract-diluted medium of different concentrations (15.625, 7.81, 3.91, 0.78, and 0.39 μg/ml) for 48 hours. The mixtures were incubated in the dark for 6 hours. Fifty microliters of Presto Blue Cell Viability Assay was added to the wells, and the plates were incubated for further 2 hours. The absorbance of each well was read at 520 nm. The absorbance of the dye was measured on a microplate reader.

2.3. ABTS Radical Scavenging Activity. Antioxidant capacities of the methanol and aqueous extracts were determined using the ABTS test [13, 14]. Prior to the assay, 7 mM of ABTS was reacted with 2.45 mM of potassium persulfate in equal volumes for 16–18 hours to produce ABTS<sup>+</sup> solution. The ABTS<sup>+</sup> solution was then diluted with 50% methanol to make working solution and the absorbance of the ABTS<sup>+</sup> working solution was adjusted to an approximate value of 1 at 754 nm. Twenty microliters of five concentrations (3.91, 7.81, 15.63, and 31.25 μg/ml final concentrations for *D. microcarpum* and *G. senegalensis* extracts; 31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, and 500 μg/ml final concentrations for *C. siamea* extracts) was separately mixed with 180 μl of the ABTS<sup>+</sup> working solution in a 96-well plate. The mixtures were incubated in the dark for 6 minutes, after which their absorbances were read at 754 nm. Percentage ABTS radical scavenging activity was calculated for each concentration of the samples using the following formula:

\[ \text{Percentage radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \left( \frac{\text{AB} - \text{AS}}{\text{AB}} \right) \times 100 \]

where AB is absorbance of the blank (0 μg/ml of sample) and AS is absorbance of the sample.

A regression curve was obtained for each sample by plotting the value of percentage radical scavenging activity against its corresponding concentration of the samples. IC<sub>50</sub> was then extrapolated from the curve.
31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, and 500 μg/ml) of the samples or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, vehicle). The assays were incubated for 72 hours, after which 10 μl of Presto Blue resazurin dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was added to each well according to the manufacturer's protocol. The stained cells were incubated for 10 minutes at 37°C. Following incubation, absorbances were read at about 570 nm (excitation) and 600 nm (emission).

Percentage cell viability was calculated based on the manufacturer's instructions.

2.7. Wound Healing Assay. The wound healing assay was performed to investigate the antimetastatic effect of the active extracts on MCF7 cell progression. The experiment was performed according to the method of Baharuddin, Satar [16]. MCF7 cells were grown to confluence in wells of 12-well plate, and a sterile 200 μl pipette tip was used to create a single scratch at the center of each well. The medium was removed and the scratched cell debris was washed away with phosphate buffered saline. Then, DMSO containing medium (control) or extract containing medium was added to the well. Images of the treated cells were captured at three different time points (0, 12, and 18 hours) using an inverted light microscope. The areas of the scratches before and after treatment were estimated using Image J software. Percentage of wound healed was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\% \text{ wound healed} = \left( \frac{\text{Initial scratch area} - \text{Final scratch area}}{\text{Initial scratch area}} \right) \times 100
\]  

2.8. Cell Cycle Analysis. An optimized number of 50,000 MCF7 cells were seeded into each well of a 6-well plate for 24 hours. The medium was discarded and replaced with DMSO (vehicle), puromycin (positive control), or plant extract-containing medium. The cells were harvested using 0.005% trypsin solution. Both the medium and the cells were collected and the cells were centrifuged. The cells were resuspended in 1 ml 1x binding buffer (at the rate of 1 x 10⁶ cells/ml). Next, 100 μl of the cell solution was transferred to a 5 ml tube, and 5 μl of FITC Annexin V and 5 μl of PI was added to the solution. The cells were gently vortexed and incubated for 15 minutes in the dark at room temperature. Finally, 400 μl of 1x binding buffer was added to the sample, and the sample was immediately analyzed by flow cytometer.

2.10. LC/TOF/MS. The metabolites of the medicinal plant extracts were profiled by LC/TOF/MS using a Waters Alliance 2795 LC device (Milford Massachusetts, USA). An aliquot of 1 mg/ml (w/v) of each plant extract was used. Five microliters of the extract was injected into the LC instrument, which was coupled with a Waters Alliance 2795 column. The mobile phases were 0.1% formic acid in water (solvent A) and 10% acetonitrile in water (solvent B) at a flow rate of 0.7 ml/min. The LC conditions were 90% A and 10% B from 0 to 2 minutes and 10 to 40% B from 2 to 15 min. This condition was maintained for 2 minutes, which marked the end of the run. The MS analysis was performed using an LCT Premier XI #KE376 instrument by electrospray ionization (ESI) in negative mode. MS data were acquired within the m/z range of 100 to 1000. The acquired m/z values were calibrated by leucine encephalin (molecular mass = 555.632 g/mol). The voltage of the capillary was 3 kV and its temperature was 120°C. The acquired data (m/z values and spectra) were processed for identification of probable compounds using an online database (https://metlin.scripps.edu/landing_page.php?pg-content=mainPage) [17].

2.11. Statistical Analysis. Numerical values are expressed as mean ± standard error. The level of significance (p value) of the data was determined using one-way ANOVA. Data with p values that are less than 0.01 and 0.05 are considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Antioxidant Capacities of the Plant Extracts. The antioxidant capacities of the plant extracts were quantitatively determined using DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging tests because the ability to quench or suppress reactive oxygen species and free radicals in living organisms is crucial for treatment of many diseases such as cancer, hypertension, and other oxidative stress-related illnesses. The results showed that the plant extracts have significant antioxidant capacities (supplementary data (available here)). In ascending order, the IC₅₀ values of the extracts for the ABTS test were 7.67 ± 0.74 μg/ml (DMAE) < 8.97 ± 0.15 μg/ml (DMME) < 11.77 ± 0.37 μg/ml (GSME) < 12.75 ± 0.38 μg/ml (GSAE) < 15 ± 1.53 μg/ml (CSME) < 160 ± 3.82 μg/ml (CSAE). In ascending order, the IC₅₀ values of the extracts for the DPPH test were 12.93 ± 0.13 μg/ml (CSAE) < 16.2 ± 0.31 μg/ml (DMME) < 18.83 ± 0.67 μg/ml (GSAE) < 19.07 ± 0.98 μg/ml (GSME) < 232 ± 6.03 μg/ml (CSME) < 464 ± 72.15 μg/ml (CSAE).

DMAE had the lowest IC₅₀, hence the highest antioxidant capacity, whereas CSME and CSAFE had the highest IC₅₀ values in both tests. GSAE and GSME had almost equal
Table 1: Cell viability assay. The viability of MCF7 cells was determined using PrestoBlue dye following 72-hour treatment with the plant extracts. *Detarium microcarpum* methanol extract (DMME), *Cassia siamea* methanol extract (CSME), *Guiera senegalensis* methanol extract (GSME), *Detarium microcarpum* aqueous extract (DMAE), *Cassia siamea* aqueous extract (CSAE), and *Guiera senegalensis* aqueous extract (GSAE).

| Concentration (µg/ml) | DMME   | DMAE  | GSME   | GSAE   | CSME   | CSAE   |
|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 15.625               | 92.87 ± 8.10 | 121.96 ± 6.01 | 101.78 ± 2.41 | 119.75 ± 1.99 | 80.27 ± 6.39 | 113.12 ± 2.17 |
| 31.25                | 90.41 ± 14.32 | 105.11 ± 0.00 | 107.25 ± 6.02 | 88.54 ± 8.54 | 82.83 ± 7.79 | 117.40 ± 1.27 |
| 62.5                 | 80.04 ± 13.51 | 59.94 ± 0.00 | 68.78 ± 3.23 | 91.02 ± 7.32 | 100.11 ± 4.02 | 122.10 ± 1.13 |
| 125                  | 51.51 ± 2.68 | 33.98 ± 0.00 | 64.10 ± 0.00 | 54.97 ± 3.09 | 51.28 ± 4.02 | 117.40 ± 0.73 |
| 250                  | 29.10 ± 0.10 | 22.51 ± 4.71 | 38.13 ± 5.04 | 32.18 ± 0.00 | 36.34 ± 4.57 | 119.34 ± 1.70 |
| 500                  | 34.26 ± 3.97 | 33.01 ± 3.55 | 25.75 ± 1.26 | 36.33 ± 0.00 | 13.77 ± 5.18 | 93.51 ± 14.17 |

Figure 1: Effect of the plant extract on cell migration. A wound healing assay was used to determine the effect of the potent extracts on the migration of MCF7 cells. The figure displays the artificial wounds in the treated and untreated MCF7 cells after treatment with IC50 (a) and 2IC50 (b) concentrations of the plant extracts. *Detarium microcarpum* methanol extract (DMME), *Cassia siamea* methanol extract (CSME), *Guiera senegalensis* methanol extract (GSME), *Detarium microcarpum* aqueous extract (DMAE), and *Guiera senegalensis* aqueous extract (GSAE).

Antioxidant capacities. The results of the DPPH and ABTS tests were highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.7$), which mean they were reliable and significant. Therefore, the results showed that all six extracts had significant and dose-dependent antioxidant capacities.

3.2. Antiproliferative Effects of the Plant Extracts on MCF7 Cell Growth. The antiproliferative effects of the plant extracts on MCF7 cell growth were determined using the Presto Blue cell viability assay, and Table 1 shows the results. DMAE had the highest inhibitory effect with the lowest IC50 value of 78 µg/ml. Other extracts showed remarkable inhibitory effects as well, with IC50 values of 130 µg/ml (DMME), 141 µg/ml (GSAE), 182 µg/ml (GSME), and 129 µg/ml (CSME). However, CSAE had little effect on the proliferation of MCF7 cells, as more than half of the cell population treated with 500 µg/ml of the extract was actively viable after 72 hours.

3.3. Antimigration Effects of the Five Potent Extracts on MCF7 Progression. The antimigration effects of the five potent extracts that inhibited MCF7 cell proliferation were investigated using the wound healing assay. Figure 1 shows microscope images of the treated cells. Treatment with the plant extracts decreased the migration rate of MCF7 cells in a dose-dependent manner. DMME-treated MCF7 cells showed the lowest percent of cell regrowth; thus it had the highest
antimigration action; GSAE was the second most effective extract (Figure 2).

3.4. Cell Cycle Analysis by Flow Cytometry. The ability of the plant extracts to induce cell cycle arrest was investigated using flow cytometry analysis, and the results are shown in Figure 3. The rate of the arrest induced by some of the plant extracts at a certain phase increased as their concentrations increased, whereas other extracts were more effective at lower doses (Figure 4). For control cells treated with vehicle
Figure 3: Effect of the plant extracts on MCF7 cell cycle progression. The effect of the plant extracts on the cell cycle progression was determined by flow cytometry analysis. *Detarium microcarpum* methanol extract (DMME), *Cassia siamea* methanol extract (CSME), *Guiera senegalensis* methanol extract (GSME), *Detarium microcarpum* aqueous extract (DMAE), and *Guiera senegalensis* aqueous extract (GSAE).

Figure 4: Population of MCF7 cells at different stages of the cell cycle before and after treatment with plant extracts. The effect of the plant extracts on apoptosis of MCF7 cells was determined by flow cytometry analysis using Annexin V/PI stain. *Detarium microcarpum* methanol extract (DMME), *Cassia siamea* methanol extract (CSME), *Guiera senegalensis* methanol extract (GSME), *Detarium microcarpum* aqueous extract (DMAE), and *Guiera senegalensis* aqueous extract (GSAE). Values that are marked with (**) are significantly different from the control (cell cycle distribution of the untreated cells) at p < 0.01.
(0.06% DMSO), 73% of the cell population was in the G1 phase, which meant that the cells were very viable [18]. In contrast, 18% and 9% of the population were in the S and G2/M phases, respectively. All of the potent extracts (CSME, DMAE, DMME, GSME, and GSAE) increased the percentage of the MCF7 cell population that was in the S and G2/M phases, which indicated arrest at these phases (Figure 4). Hence, these extracts induced cell cycle arrest in MCF7 cells (Figure 3).

3.5. Analysis of Apoptosis by Flow Cytometry. Apoptosis analysis was carried out using Annexin V/PI dyes, and Figures 5 and 6 show the results. In the experiment, 97% of the control cells were live and actively viable, which means that the concentration of DMSO (0.06%) used as vehicle did not affect cell proliferation. Puromycin, which was the positive control, induced apoptosis in about 27% of the cells (Figure 6). The percentage of live cells in the cell population that were treated with the specified concentrations (IC_{50}, 2IC_{50}) of CSME, DMME, DMAE, GSME, and GSAE decreased to (12.8 %, 4.5%), (42.6 %, 37.1 %), (30.9 %, 20.8 %), (29.8 %, 35.9 %), and (19.5 %, 19.9 %), respectively. This was accompanied by increase in the apoptotic cell population at early and late stages but the plant extracts prominently induced late apoptosis. The percentage of late apoptotic cells (0.75 %) in the untreated cell population increased when the cells were treated with the plants extracts. The percentages of late apoptotic cells in the cell population treated with the specified concentrations (IC_{50}, 2IC_{50}) of CSME, DMME, DMAE, GSME, and GSAE are (31.9 %, 55.1 %), (41. %, 46.2 %), (54.4 %, 54.7 %), (45.5 %, 39.4 %), and (37.4 %, 40.6 %), respectively (Figure 6). In general, CSME, DMME, and DMAE induced late apoptosis in MCF7 cells in a dose-dependent manner, while GSME and GSAE induced late apoptosis in a non-dose-dependent manner.

There was also significant increase in the necrotic cell percentage (0.62 %) of the untreated cells after the cells were treated with the plant extracts. The percentage cell population that were treated with the specified concentrations (IC_{20}, 2IC_{50}) of CSME, DMME, DMAE, GSME, and GSAE which became necrotic were (54.8%, 39.9 %), (16.0 %, 14.2 %), (14.1 %, 24.5 %), (19.3 %, 20.3 %), and (21.2 %, 35.1 %), respectively (Figure 6). Therefore, the plant extracts induced necrotic cell death of MCF7 cells.

**Figure 5:** MCF7 cell population at different stages of apoptosis before and after treatment with plant extracts. Detarium microcarpum methanol extract (DMME), Cassia siamea methanol extract (CSME), Guiera senegalensis methanol extract (GSME), Detarium microcarpum aqueous extract (DMAE), and Guiera senegalensis aqueous extract (GSAE).
Figure 6: The cell population at different stages of apoptosis before and after treatment with the plant extracts. Detarium microcarpum methanol extract (DMME), Cassia siamea methanol extract (CSME), Guiera senegalensis methanol extract (GSME), Detarium microcarpum aqueous extract (DMAE), and Guiera senegalensis aqueous extract (GSAE). Values that are marked with (**) and (*) are significantly different from the control at p < 0.01 and p < 0.05, respectively.

3.6. LC/TOF/MS. The probable metabolites of the medicinal plants used in this research were profiled by LC/TOF MS analysis. Thirty-two chemical compounds were identified, and the majority were phenols (Table 2). Five compounds were identified in CSME, 4 in DMME, 8 in GSME, 13 in DMAE, and 12 in GSAE. Some of the compounds were identified in more than one plant, including isochamanetin, phloionolic acid, and gallic acid.

4. Discussion

Herbs are major natural sources of antioxidants, which consist mostly of natural phenolic compounds. Many of these compounds have been reported to have antiproliferative effect on cancer cells [19]. In this study, antioxidant and antiproliferative activities of three Nigerian medicinal plants (D. microcarpum, C. siamea, and G. senegalensis) were investigated.

Antioxidants are free radical scavengers and they are known to have inhibitory effects on cancer growth [15, 19]. The DPPH and ABTS test results showed that all six extracts had significant antioxidant capacities (supplementary data) when compared with extracts of other plants. The IC\(_{50}\) values of the extracts of D. microcarpum and G. senegalensis were 13–19 \(\mu g/ml\) according to the DPPH test, and these values are lower than those of other plant samples, such as the methanol extract of Scurrula ferruginea stem (27.81 \(\mu g/ml\)), leaf (40.29 \(\mu g/ml\)), and flower (33.35 \(\mu g/ml\)) as estimated by the same antioxidant test [20]. Similarly, the IC\(_{50}\) values of the ethanol extract of Solanum guaraniticum leaves, the methanol extract of Mentha pulegium leaves, and the methanol extract of Phlomis lanata leaves were 31.43, 13.5, and 23.9 \(\mu g/ml\), respectively, based on the DPPH antioxidant assay [21, 22]. The IC\(_{50}\) values of the aqueous (464 \(\mu g/ml\)) and methanol (232 \(\mu g/ml\)) extracts of C. siamea as estimated by DPPH assay were higher, however, and the range is comparable with the IC\(_{50}\) values of other plants, including the methanol extracts of leaves of Tribulus terrestris (650 \(\mu g/ml\)), Bacopa monnieri (730 \(\mu g/ml\)), and Trigonella foenum (810 \(\mu g/ml\)) and the ethanol extract of the aerial part of Coronopus didymus (780 \(\mu g/ml\)) [23, 24].

Based on ABTS assay results, the IC\(_{50}\) values of the extracts of D. microcarpum and G. senegalensis ranged from 8 to 13 \(\mu g/ml\), which were lower than the IC\(_{50}\) values of the absolute ethanol (70 \(\mu g/ml\)) and water (390 \(\mu g/ml\)) extracts of Angelica sinensis root [25] and the methanol (70 \(\mu g/ml\)) and water (310 \(\mu g/ml\)) extracts of the whole plant of Atriplex laciniata [26]. The IC\(_{50}\) values of the aqueous and methanol
| Number | Retention time (min) | Molecular formula | Experimental m/z | Calculated m/z | Error (ppm) | Proposed compound | Medicinal plant |
|--------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1      | 1.975               | C20H20O8          | 388.1158        | 387.1080       | -6.2        | Serpyllin         | CSME, DMAE      |
| 2      | 9.363               | C27H30O13         | 562.1686        | 561.1608       | -44.2       | 6,8-Di-c-rhamnosylapigenin | DMAE       |
| 3      | 10.531              | C21H30O10         | 442.1839        | 441.1761       | -86.6       | Lusitanicoside    | CSME           |
| 4      | 13.520              | C18H36O5          | 332.2563        | 331.2484       | -84.2       | Phloionolic acid  | CSME, DMAE, & GSAE |
| 5      | 17.573              | C24H40O2          | 360.3028        | 359.2950       | -113.0      | Tetracosatetraenoic acid n-6 | CSME, DMAE, & GSAE |
| 6      | 24.463              | C15H22O4          | 266.1518        | 265.1440       | 0.8         | Cumanin           | CSME, GSM      |
| 7      | 1.946               | C22H28O6          | 388.1886        | 387.1808       | -104.4      | Cyclomammein      | DMM            |
| 8      | 2.160               | C24H24O5          | 392.1624        | 391.1545       | -38.6       | Calabaxanthone    | DMM            |
| 9      | 7.294               | C22H18O7          | 394.1053        | 393.0974       | -47.3       | Justicidin A      | DMM            |
| 10     | 8.789               | C20H20O8          | 388.1158        | 387.1080       | -98.9       | Demethylnobiletin | DMM            |
| 11     | 1.952               | C22H18O6          | 378.1103        | 377.1025       | -113.0      | Jamaicin           | GSM            |
| 12     | 3.773               | C21H22O4          | 338.1518        | 337.1346       | -98.8       | Gancaonin X       | GSM            |
| 13     | 7.398               | C22H18O5          | 362.1154        | 361.1076       | -43.2       | Isorhamnetin      | GSAE, GSM      |
| 14     | 8.609               | C35H28O22         | 800.1072        | 799.1029       | -0.4        | 1,3,4,5-Tetra-O-galloylquinic acid | GSAE, GSM |
| 15     | 9.865               | C30H16O8          | 504.0845        | 503.0767       | 6.2         | Hypericin         | GSM            |
| 16     | 18.351              | C37H60O12         | 696.4085        | 695.4007       | -1.3        | Glucosyl passiflorate | GSM      |
| 17     | 2.320               | C18H18O7          | 346.1053        | 345.1076       | -57.1       | Amorphaquinone    | DMAE           |
| 18     | 3.145               | C7H6O4            | 154.0266        | 153.0188       | -30.7       | 2,3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid | GSAE    |
| 19     | 7.272               | C17H24O9          | 372.1420        | 371.1342       | -107.8      | Syringin          | DMAE           |
| 20     | 7.414               | C20H16O5          | 336.0998        | 335.0919       | -52.5       | Isoderrone        | DMAE           |
| 21     | 8.025               | C30H26O12         | 578.1424        | 577.1346       | -1.0        | Procyanidin B3    | DMAE           |
| 22     | 8.767               | C20H16O5          | 336.0998        | 335.0919       | -53.7       | Alpinumisoflavone | DMAE           |
| 23     | 9.803               | C30H26O11         | 562.1475        | 561.1397       | -8.0        | Epifisetinol-(4beta-8)-catechin | DMAE |
| 24     | 24.437              | C26H44O2          | 388.3341        | 387.3263       | -104.3      | 3-Hydroxy-1-phenyl-1-ecosanone | DMAE |
| 25     | 2.589               | C18H18O7          | 344.0896        | 343.0818       | -42.6       | Eupatorin         | GSAE           |
| 26     | 4.240               | C21H20O14         | 496.0853        | 495.0775       | -7.5        | 3,4-Di-O-galloylquinic acid | GSAE    |
| 27     | 4.582               | C18H10O6          | 322.0477        | 321.0399       | -53.9       | 7,7-Dihydroxy-6,8'-bicoumarin | GSAE    |
| 28     | 5.436               | C7H6O4            | 154.0266        | 153.0188       | -30.7       | 2,3-Dihydroxybenzoic acid | GSAE    |
| 29     | 7.042               | C22H20O10         | 444.1056        | 443.0978       | -82.6       | Rothindin         | GSAE           |
| 30     | 10.929              | C24H14O11         | 488.1319        | 487.1240       | -73.3       | Triflorihizin-6'-monoaacetate | GSAE    |
| 31     | 24.460              | C26H44O2          | 388.3341        | 387.3263       | -106.4      | 3-Hydroxy-1-phenylisocan-1-one | GSAE    |

Detarium microcarpum methanol extract (DMME), Cassia siamea methanol extract (CSME), Guiera senegalensis methanol extract (GSM), Detarium microcarpum aqueous extract (DMAE), and Guiera senegalensis aqueous extract (GSAE)
extracts of *C. siamea* were 115 and 160 μg/ml, respectively, which shows that this plant also has substantial antioxidant capacity. Together, the results of both assays suggest that the extracts of *D. microcarpum*, *C. siamea*, and *G. senegalensis* possess substantial antioxidant capacities [27].

Because breast cancer remains one of the most common cancer types, especially among women, the potential of the plants as possible sources of oestrogen responsive breast cancer chemotherapy was preliminarily examined *in vitro* using MCF7 breast cancer cell as a model. The cell viability assay results confirmed the antiproliferative effects of the plant extracts on MCF7 growth, except for CSAE, and the highest inhibitory effect was exhibited by DMMAE (Table 1). The inhibitory effects of the plant extracts could be due to their significant antioxidant capacities, whereas the opposite may be true for CSAE, which had the lowest antioxidant activity. Antioxidants of plant origin or other natural sources have been shown to cause death by induction of apoptosis and cycle arrest in breast, lung, colorectal, and alveolar cancers, among others [27–29]. In general, the trend of MCF7 cell cycle arrest in breast, lung, colorectal, and alveolar cancers, have been shown to cause death by induction of apoptosis and cell cycle arrest effectsoftheextractsonMCF7 cells[19,27,34]. Specifically, isorhamnetin [35, 36] in GSME; eupatorin [37] in GSME; alpinumisoflavone [38], procyanidin B3 [39], and syringin [40] in DMMAE; gallic acid [41, 42] in DMMAE and GSME; and serpyllin [43] in CSME and DMMAE have all been reported to have antiproliferative effects on cancer cells.

5. Conclusion

Based on *in vitro* analysis, the extracts of stem bark from three Nigerian medicinal plants (*D. microcarpum*, *G. senegalensis*, and *C. siamea*) have significant antioxidant capacities as determined by ABTS and DPPH assays, which are due to their phenolic compound constituents as identified by LCMS analysis. The methanol and aqueous extracts from the stem barks of these plants inhibited the proliferation of MCF7 breast cancer cells except the aqueous extract of *C. simamea*. The potent plant extracts also induced cell cycle arrest and apoptosis and inhibited metastasis in MCF7 breast cancer cells. In general, it could be said that the plants’ extracts are potential sources of antibreast cancer agents.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by grants awarded to H. Arsad (FRGS [grant no. 203/CIPPT/6711340]) and N. A. I. I. N. Him [Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) Research Grant (grant no. 1001/PBIOLOGI/811275)]. I. A. Adebayo and M. A. A. Adam are recipients of a USM Ph.D. fellowship. W. G. Balogun was awarded a USM-TWAS fellowship.

Supplementary Materials

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA - ANTIOXIDANT STANDARD CURVES AND MS CHROMATOGRAMS. Figure S1. ABTS antioxidant capacities of the plant extracts. *Detarium microcarpum* methanol extract (DMME), *Cassia siamea* methanol extract (CSME), *Guiera senegalensis* methanol extract (GSME), *Detarium microcarpum* aqueous extract (DMAE), *Cassia siamea* aqueous extract (CSAE), and *Guiera senegalensis* aqueous extract (GSAE). Values that are marked with (**) are significantly different from the blank/control at *p* < 0.01. Figure S2. DPPH antioxidant capacities of the plant extracts. *Detarium microcarpum* methanol extract (DMME), *Cassia siamea* methanol extract (CSME), *Guiera senegalensis* methanol extract (GSME), *Detarium microcarpum* aqueous extract (DMAE), *Cassia siamea* aqueous extract (CSAE), and *Guiera senegalensis* aqueous extract (GSAE). Values that...
are marked with (**) are significantly different from the blank/control at p < 0.01. Figure S3. MS chromatogram of Cassia siamea methanol extract (CSME). The compounds attributed to the numbered peaks and their respective properties as identified using METLIN are in Table 2. Figure S4. MS chromatogram of Detarium microcarpum aqueous extract (DMAE). The compounds attributed to the numbered peaks and their respective properties as identified using METLIN are in Table 2. Figure S5. MS chromatogram of Detarium microcarpum methanol extract (DMME). The compounds attributed to the numbered peaks and their respective properties as identified using METLIN are in Table 2. Figure S6. MS chromatogram of Guiera senegalensis aqueous extract (GSAE). The compounds attributed to the numbered peaks and their respective properties as identified using METLIN are in Table 2. Figure S7. MS chromatogram of Guiera senegalensis methanol extract (GSM). The compounds attributed to the numbered peaks and their respective properties as identified using METLIN are in Table 2. (Supplementary Materials)
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