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Abstract

Introduction: Globally, cervical cancer is the greatest threat to women's health, which is the fifth cause of death accounting for all types of cancer deaths among women. Globally, 528000 new cases each year and the second most common in developing countries around 445,000 new cases develop cervical cancer each year. Cervical screening practice is the recommended for effective methods for prevention and early detection of cervical cancer. To increase the awareness of cervical cancer screening practice health education is pivotal in any prevention program aimed in reducing the overall prevalence of cervical cancer in the community.

Objective: To assess cervical cancer screening practice and associated factors among women attending gynecology outpatient department and maternal and child health at mettu karl referral Hospital, South west, Ethiopia, 2018.

Methods: Institutional based cross-sectional study was carried among 321 patients. Systematic random sampling was applied to select study subject and descriptive analysis were employed to describe the percentages and number distributions of the respondents for socio-demographic characteristics. The data was collected through interviewing of respondents and the data was entered EPI data version 4.2 and analyzed by using SPSSS version 20. Bivariate analysis was also used to see the association of independent with the dependent variable. Crude and adjusted odds ratios with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals were computed. A P-value less or equal to 0.05 was considered statistically significant in this study.

Result: In this study a total of 321 clients were participated in the study. The mean age was 35.79 with SD±11.46. The majority of participants 225(70.1%) were not intended cervical cancer screening while 96 (29.9%) were intended cervical screening respectively. Age ≥ 50 (AOR=26.603; 95%CI=8.167, 86.662), age between 40-49 (AOR=4.152, 95%CI=1.630, 10.576), had smoking (AOR=0.179; 95%CI=0.087, 0.369), women's who had STD AOR=0.169; 95%CI=0.82, 0.347), multiple sexual partner of the husband (AOR=1.221; 95%CI=0.687, 2.200) and were used contraceptive (AOR=0.172; 95%CI=0.070, 0.422) were found to have strong association with cervical screening behaviors.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS: According to this finding, the overall rate of those participants who had good screening for cervical cancer practice were about 29.9%. Maternal age, using smoking, using contraceptives, presence of sexually transited disease, and having multiple sexual partner of the husband are predominantly stated factors associated with cervical screening practice.

Introduction

Globally, cervical cancer is always the greatest threat to women's health, it is the fifth cause of death accounting for all types of cancer deaths among women(1, 2). Globally, 528000 new cases cervical cancer each year and the second most common in developing countries around 445,000 new cases develop cervical cancer each year(3, 4).

The cervical cancer screening practice is to create access to early detection of cervical cancer and preventative therapy for millions of women worldwide(5). Global studies showed that early detection and treatment can prevent 75 per cent of cancers in developing countries, like other screening tests (3). Early detection through screening and treatment of pre-cancerous lesions remains the best possible protection against cervical cancer(6). Globally evidence shows that utilization of screening for prevention is very poor in developing countries. Unfortunately, it is estimated that one in every three U.S. adults is not getting screened as recommended(7) (5). In the US Screening for cervical cancer, which diagnosed in more than 12,990 women in 2016, can both detect cancer at early stage(8).
Prevalence and mortality rates are highest in developing countries; particularly sub-Saharan African nations estimated 528,000 new cases of cervical cancer. Cervical cancer commonly occurs in women aged ranged from 25-65 years. It is caused by infection by human papilloma viruses that transmitted from individuals to individuals by sexual intercourse which may infect the areas around the cervix, anus, mouth and throat. Of all the cancers, cervical cancer is the only one that has both an effective vaccine and screening program that can prevent disease and death. Cervical cancer, which is a malignant neoplasm, can be asymptomatic sometimes in early stages. The symptoms that can be seen normally in advanced stages are persistent pelvic pain, unexplained weight loss, unusual bleeding during periods, bleeding and pain after sexual intercourse.

Cervical screening behavior is recommended effective methods for prevention and early detection of cervical cancer. However, awareness and knowledge about cervical screening behavior is lacking among lower socio-economic status and high cost and low awareness were found to be the primary barriers to cervical cancer. Cervical cancer screening practice is recommended in Ethiopia, but due to the limited resources, awareness and knowledge is not yet easily available.

To increase the awareness of cervical cancer screening practice, health education is pivotal in any prevention program aimed in reducing the overall prevalence of cervical cancer in the community. For health education to be effective and have an impact and good outcomes on cervical screening behavior.

The incidence of cervical cancer screening practice varies greatly between developing and developed countries, where cervical cancer cases have been considerably abridged since the implementation of effective screening programs in developed countries. However, in developing countries, the burden from cervical cancer remains high because of the difficulty in implementing cytology-based screening programs (Pap smear, AVI).

There are several barriers to the uptake of cervical cancer screening practice in low and middle-income countries (LMICs), which include low levels of knowledge of cervical cancer, limited awareness of prevention and early detection methods, fear of stigma associated with cancer diagnosis, concerns about spousal disapproval of screening, and concerns about violations of religious and cultural obligations of modesty during screening procedures. Even though there is no national Cancer registry in Ethiopia, a survey from federal Ministry of Health and Addis Ababa Cancer registry shows that 64,000 new cases of Cancer occur annually where Cervical and Breast Cancer constitute the significant majority of these cases. The problem rose from too little people's awareness about cancer, very limited treatment facilities and too little governments and other stakeholder's intervention.

Most of the women in the country visit health institution already with the advanced stage of cervical Cancer when they show up at the Hospitals. Cervical cancer is the leading cause of cancer mortality among Ethiopian women over the age of 30. On the other hand, the other study records show that 7600 Ethiopian women are diagnosed annually with cervical cancer and of these, 6000 die of the disease each year. Cervical cancer screening practice has been shown as the most effective way to decrease cancer mortality among all women.

In Ethiopia, only 0.6% of all women, 1.6% of urban and 0.4% of rural women aged 18-69yrs screened every 3yrs. The major factors associated with cervical cancer screening practice are inadequate knowledge about the disease process, and Pap smear testing and clients negative attitude towards the procedure. Besides, poor knowledge about cervical cancer, and lack of awareness of available screening methods have been identified as the most important factors hindering the use of available cervical cancer screening practice services. Cervical cancer screening practice services are available in some areas of in Ethiopia, but screening is mostly conducted only when a woman seeks medical care for other reasons. Sometimes, screening is offered only if the woman presents with symptoms. Despite the evidence that universal screening is important, women without symptoms are not routinely
screened in many locations in Ethiopia. In Ethiopia methods for screening are different in compared to high-resource
countries(25). Gap identified in Ethiopia is most of the women have no awareness about cervical cancer screening
practice. Little is known about the relative incidence of cervical cancer screening practice(4).

So this study is aimed to assess cervical screening practice among women attending gynecology outpatient
department at Mettu Karl referral Hospital, South west, Ethiopia, 2019.

Therefore, this study will provide more evidence on the screening behavior of cervical cancer screening practice. The
Finding of this study will give an insight to policy makers on cervical cancer screening practice and subsequent
planning and implementation of maternal health programs in the Ethiopian communities. It will be used to increase
the awareness of women on cervical screening behavior and as base line information for researchers, health
professionals, NGOs and as a whole the society to understand about the cervical cancer screening practice.

Early screening for cervical cancer is a key intervention in reduction of maternal deaths. Health care workers have a
significant contribution to improve cervical cancer screening practice among women and inexpensive, “screen and
treat” approach to cervical cancer prevention. Increasing awareness on cervical cancer screening practice an effective
strategy to prevent and cure for women in low-resource countries. Cervical cancer screening practice various factors
including cultural, and beliefs about the disease and the health care system were found to affect the treatment
seeking behavior for CC. One of the barriers included: stigma associated with disease, limited access to health
services, the lack of awareness, and the asymptomatic nature of the disease. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to
assess Cervical cancer screening practice and associated factors among women attending gynecology out-patient
department at Mettu Karl referral Hospital, South west, Ethiopia, 2019.

**Conceptual Framework**

[See figure 1]

**General objective**

To assess factors affecting Cervical cancer screening practice among women attending gynecology out-patient
department at Mettu Karl referral Hospital, South west, Ethiopia, 2019.

**Specific objectives**

To asses awareness on the cervical cancer screening practice among women attending GYN OPD at, Mettu Karl
referral Hospital, South west, Ethiopia, 2019

To identify factors associated to cervical cancer screening practice among women attending GYN OPD at Mettu Karl
referral Hospital, South, west, Ethiopia, 2019

**Method And Material**

**Study area and period**

The study area was governmental hospitals in Ilubabor zone. One of oromia region which is located 600 km away
from the capital city, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. It is bordered on the south by the south nation, nationality and Peoples,
on the southwest by the Gambela, on the west by kelem welega zone, on the north by mirab wellega zone, and
Benishangul –gumuz Region, on the northwest by misirak welega zone, and on the east by Jima zone with an area
of 15,135.33 square kilometers.
There are two public hospitals (mettu karl referral hospital and darimu hospital) which are delivering maternal and child health service.

**Study design**

Institutional based cross sectional study design was used to assess cervical cancer screening practice and associated factors among women attending Gynecology, out-patient department at mettu karl referral hospital.

**Sources of Population.**

All women’s who came to Mettu Karl referral hospital outpatient department for all service during data collection period.

**Study populations**

All women who visited to Gynecology outpatient departments at Mettu Karl referral Hospital during data collection period.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

**Inclusion Criteria**

All women attending gynecological out patients at the time of data collection

**Exclusion Criteria**

Women who are acutely sick at the time of data collation and age less than 21

**Sample size determination**

Sample size calculation is based on single population proportion formula as follow

\[ n = \left( \frac{z \alpha/2}{d} \right)^2 \cdot p \cdot (1-p) \]

\[ n_0 = (1.96)^2 \cdot 0.572 \cdot (1-0.572) \]

\[ (0.05)^2 \]

\[ n = 376 \]

- Since the total population is less than 10,000, correction formula is used as follows:

\[ n = \frac{\text{no}}{1+\frac{\text{no}}{\text{N}}} \]

\[ n = \frac{376}{1+\frac{376}{N}} \]
Then, by considering 10% non-response rate:

\[ n = 292 \times 10\% + 292 \]

\[ n = 321 \]

Where:

- \( n \) = Sample size required for the study
- \( Z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96 \), standardized normal distribution curve value for the 95% confidence interval
- \( p = 0.572 \) from previous study
- \( d = 0.05 \) degree of margin of error
- \( N \) = Total population for the study

### Sampling and sampling procedure

Mettu Karl referral hospital is selected for this study, Gynecology outpatient department and MCH is selected purposively from the hospital. Total sample size is allocated to each compartment proportionally. Finally the actual study participants are selected by systematic random sampling technique which is \( K = \frac{N}{n} = \frac{2006}{321} = 6 \), then 2 is randomly selected. Then individual patients was selected every six by considering their order of visit as sampling frame.

Proportional allocation,

\[ n_j = \frac{n \times N_j}{N} \]

Where: \( n_j \) =is the sample size of the jth unit

\( N_j \) =is population size of the jth unit

\( n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 \ldots \) is the total sample size (321)

\( N=N_1+N_2+N_3+N_4+N_5 \ldots \) is total population size (2006)

### Variables

#### Dependent variables

Cervical cancer screening practice

#### Independent variables

- Socio demographic factors
- Age
- Sex
- Income
- Marital Status
- Ethnicity
- Religion
- Educational status
- Personal factor
- Multiple sexual partners
- Early initiation of sex
- Multiparity
- Contraceptive use
- Fear of screening
- Smoking status
- Organizational factor
- Availability
- Accessibility
- Resource

**Operational Definitions**

For the purpose of this study, the following definitions will be applied and used within the context in which they are explained:

Cervical cancer- means a disease in which cancer cells grow in the cervix.

Cervical cancer screening practice- means procedure used to detect abnormal cells of the cervix. In this study, screening procedures refer to VIA or a Pap smear

Multiple sexual partners: Having lifetime partners greater than two.
Multiparity: Having parity greater than two

Early initiation of sex: Starting first sexual intercourse before the age of 18 years

Contraceptive use: Defined as use either of the contraceptives: oral contraceptives (pills), injectable, implants, IUCD for more than or equals one month period.

Organizational barriers; Organizational barriers were factors which affected the accessibility of healthcare services to the women.

**Data Collection technique**

An interviewer administered semi-structured questionnaire was adapted by reviewing similar studies (50). Data was collected by 6 trained nurses. Data was collected from the clients after getting verbal consent through informed consent in the health facility. Data was collected using structured interviewer administered questionnaire was
prepared in English after reviewing literatures of similar surveys that have been carried out previously then modified English questionnaire was translated to Amharic. The interview was taken 20 to 30 minute.

**Data Quality Assurance**

The questionnaires were pre-tested on 5% of the sample size at darimu district Hospital to avoid any confusion during actual data collection period. The data collectors were trained before actual data collection and the principal investigator was supervising the data collector closely. During data collection, both principal investigator and data collectors were check the data for its completeness and missing information at each point. Furthermore the data was coded, checked and cleared during entry.

**Data Analysis**

After the collection of data, the questionnaire was checked for completeness and consistency. Then, the data template format was prepared, coded and entered in to Epi Data version 4.2. Then data was exported to SPSS version 21 for analysis. Descriptive analysis was employed to describe the percentages and distributions of the respondents for socio-demographic characteristics. Bivariate and multivariate analysis was used for association of independent variable with dependent variable. Crude and adjusted odds ratios with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals were computed. P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant in this study. Then, the results were presented in the form of tables, graphs and charts.

**Ethical consideration.**

Paper of approval and letter for permission was obtained before the beginning of data collection from review board of School of Nursing and Midwifery, College of Health Sciences, Mettu University. Permission letter was provided for Mettu Karl referral hospital. After that participants were explained clearly about the purpose and procedure of data collection, and then confidentiality and privacy were guaranteed. It is also cleared that participation were fully based on the willingness of participants using verbal consent.

**Dissemination plan**

The result of this study will be disseminated to Mettu University College of health science library and universities included in the study. Possible publication on international reputable peer reviewed journals will be sought and concerned bodies.

**Results**

**Socio-Demographic Characteristics**

This study assessed cervical cancer screening practices and associated factors. The total of 320 clients was participated in the study giving a response rate of 99% and most of the respondents(34.9%) were in the age range between 30-39 years, with the mean age of 35.79 with SD±11.46. Regarding to religion, the majority of the participants 169(52.6%) were orthodox Christian and the least covers for catholic 33(10.3%). All the socio demographic characters are presented in the table below (table1).

Table1: Socio-demographic characteristics among women attending gynecology OPD and MCH at MK referral hospital, 2019
| Socio demographic variables | Frequency(n) | Percent (%) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| **Age**                     |              |             |
| 21-29                       | 109          | 34.0        |
| 30-39                       | 112          | 34.9        |
| 40-49                       | 68           | 21.2        |
| ≥50                         | 32           | 10.0        |
| **Level of education**      |              |             |
| Don’t write and read        | 67           | 20.9        |
| Only read and write         | 36           | 11.2        |
| primary(1-4)                | 48           | 15.0        |
| primary(5-8)                | 46           | 14.3        |
| secondary(9-10)             | 44           | 13.7        |
| Preparatory                 | 26           | 8.1         |
| Diploma                     | 32           | 10.0        |
| Higher                      | 22           | 6.9         |
| **Marital status**          |              |             |
| Single                      | 43           | 13.4        |
| Married                     | 165          | 51.4        |
| Widowed                     | 41           | 12.8        |
| Divorced                    | 41           | 12.8        |
| Separated                   | 31           | 9.7         |
| ≤15                         | 18           | 5.6         |
| **How old when you first marriage?** |     |             |
| 15-17                       | 49           | 15.3        |
| ≥18                         | 211          | 65.7        |
| **Income**                  |              |             |
| 451-900                     | 116          | 36.1        |
| ≥901                        | 200          | 62.3        |
| **Occupation**              |              |             |
| House                       | 89           | 27.7        |
| Merchant                    | 57           | 17.8        |
| daily laborer               | 52           | 16.2        |
| Governmental                | 44           | 13.7        |
| Private                     | 48           | 15.0        |
| Others                      | 31           | 9.7         |
| **Religion**                |              |             |
| Orthodox                    | 169          | 52.6        |
| Muslim                      | 56           | 17.4        |
| Protestant                  | 63           | 19.6        |
| Catholic                    | 33           | 10.3        |

**Reproductive health characteristic**

Among the study participant, 253(78.8%) currently use contraceptives, among the reported, the majority of the participants 117(36.4) % were used implant as shown in the figure below.

Among the study subjects, 147(45.8%) had regular menstrual history, and the least 28(8.7%) were no menses. The majority of respondents 184(57.3%) of their age at menarche were ≤ 12, 24(7.5. %) 15 and above years, respectively. Regarding coital bleeding the majority of participants 228(71.0%) had bleeding. Among the study subjects, 133(41.4%) had parity 2-4, were 58(18.1%) of the study participants had parity >5.Age of the respondents when they gave birth to their first child, 160(49.8%) was less than 20 years old, in the mean and standard deviation of age at first birth 1.59±0.814years respectively. In addition, 199(62.0%) had average birth interval less than two years, were 52(16.2%) had average birth interval 2-3years.Among the participants 6(1.9%) had history of abortion and 127(39.6%) had family history of cervical cancer (see table 2).
| Variables                        | Frequency(n) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| How old were you menarche       |              |                |
| ≤12                             | 184          | 57.3           |
| 13-14                           | 113          | 35.2           |
| ≥15                             | 24           | 7.5            |
| Menstrual history               |              |                |
| Regular                         | 147          | 45.8           |
| sometimes                       | 98           | 30.5           |
| Always irregular                | 48           | 15.0           |
| No menses                       | 28           | 8.7            |
| Coital bleeding                 |              |                |
| No                              | 228          | 71.0           |
| Yes                             | 93           | 29.0           |
| Have you ever give birth        |              |                |
| Yes                             | 272          | 84.7           |
| No                              | 49           | 15.3           |
| How old when you give first birth|              |                |
| <20                             | 160          | 49.8           |
| 20-25                           | 71           | 22.1           |
| 26-30                           | 33           | 10.3           |
| >31                             | 8            | 2.5            |
| Average birth interval          |              |                |
| <2                              | 199          | 62.0           |
| 2-3                             | 52           | 16.2           |
| 3-5                             | 22           | 6.9            |
| Experience abortion             |              |                |
| No                              | 218          | 67.9           |
| Yes                             | 103          | 32.1           |
| How many times do You have abortion|          |                |
| 1                               | 82           | 25.5           |
| 2-4                             | 133          | 41.4           |
| >5                              | 58           | 18.1           |
| Family history                  |              |                |
| No                              | 194          | 60.4           |
| Yes                             | 127          | 39.6           |

Table 2: related to reproductive health characteristics among women attending gynecology OPD and MCH at MK referral hospital, 2019

Intention to use cervical cancer screening practice and barriers characteristics of women screened for cervical cancer

The majority of participants 225(70.1%) were not intended cervical cancer screening practice while 96 (29.9%) were intended cervical screening respectively.

Among women who had cervical screening test, 49(15.3%) were positive and 46(14.3%) were negative. Regarding the main reason for not intending to have cervical screening was lack of health education programs in this area 70(21.8%) while 37(11.5%) were due to the cost of price. Among participants, 147(45.8%) had cervical cancer screening practice plan as shown in the table below.

Table 3: Intention to use cervical cancer screening practice and barriers characteristics among women attending gynecology OPD and MCH at MK referral hospital, 2019

| Variables                        | Frequency(n) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Screening test                  |              |                |
| Positive                        | 49           | 15.3           |
| negative                        | 46           | 14.3           |
| Barrier of cervical cancer screening |        |                |
| 1                               | 38           | 11.8           |
| 2                               | 37           | 11.5           |
| 3                               | 70           | 21.8           |
| 4                               | 43           | 13.4           |
| Do you have a plan for cervical screening |  |                |
| Yes                             | 147          | 45.8           |
| No                              | 63           | 19.6           |
Lifestyle and sexual behavior factors barriers characteristics of women screened for cervical cancer
Among the study participants, 53 (16.5%) had history of smoking. Concerning age for first sex, at the age of 17 years old and above, 249 (77.6%) of the respondents had started sex and 72 (22.4%) were age at first sex less than 16 years old. Among the study participants, 178 (55.5%) had history of pelvic infection, 53 (16.5%) had history of STI, and 51 (15.9%) had history of STI in their husband (see Table 4).

Table 4: lifestyle and sexual behavior factors barriers characteristics of women screened for cervical cancer in MK, Southern, Ethiopia, 2019

| Variables                  | Frequency(n) | Percentage(%) |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Have you ever smoke        |              |               |
| No                         | 268          | 83.5          |
| yes                        | 53           | 16.5          |
| How old were you when you first had sex |  |               |
| <16                        | 72           | 22.4          |
| >17                        | 249          | 77.6          |
| Pelvic infection           |              |               |
| No                         | 143          | 44.5          |
| yes                        | 178          | 55.5          |
| Do you have STI            |              |               |
| No                         | 268          | 83.5          |
| yes                        | 53           | 16.5          |
| Partner STI                |              |               |
| No                         | 270          | 84.1          |
| yes                        | 51           | 15.9          |
| Sexual partner             |              |               |
| 1                          | 178          | 55.5          |
| 2                          | 136          | 42.4          |
| ≥3                         | 7            | 2.2           |
| If yes haw many            |              |               |
| 0                          | 212          | 66.0          |
| 1                          | 49           | 15.3          |
| ≥2                         | 60           | 18.7          |

Factors of cervical cancer screening practice
Bivariate analysis revealed that sixteen out of thirty two variables showed a significant association with cervical cancer screening practice at a 5% level of significance. For further analysis, all independent variable which fulfilled the minimum requirement for multivariable logistic regression (had significant association at a p < 0.25) were entered. The highest risk factors for not good cervical cancer screening practice was found in those individuals with educational level Don’t write and read 67 (20.9%), ≥901 average monthly income 200 (62.3%), age ≥18 at first marriage 211 (65.7%), <2 average birth interval 99 (62.0%). However, bad cervical cancer screening practice was not statistically different among the above variables (P > 0.05).

The study participants those who have age greater than or equal to 50 were fourteen times more likely have bad cervical screening behavior (COR=13.830, 95% CI 5.466, 34.990) than those who have age 21-29 years. The participants who were use contraceptive had odds of 0.206 times cervical cancer screening practice (COR=0.206, 95% CI=0.094, 0.447) than those who did not use contraceptive.

The participants who were smoking had 23.9 times cervical cancer screening practice with (COR=0.239; 95% CI=0.130, 0.438) than not practicing smoking. Women who had history of sexual transmitted diseases of had odds 0.109 times cervical cancer screening practice with (COR=0.109; 95% CI=0.56, 0.212) compared to those who did not have history of sexually transmitted diseases and Having two or more life time sexual partners of the husband had odds 0.754 times bad cervical cancer screening practice behavior with (COR=0.754; 95% CI=0.352, 1.6160) compared to those individuals who had one sexual partner.

Those participants who had age ≥50 were about twenty six times more likely with (AOR=26.603...
;95%CI=8.167,86.662), age between 40-49 (AOR=4.152,95%CI=1.630,10.576) were four times more likely and age between 30-39 three times more likely had bad cervical screening behavior compared to those age between 21-29. In this study individuals who had smoking practices were a factor of 0.179 times less likely with (AOR=0.179;95CI=0.087,0.369) had bad cervical cancer screening practice than those who had no smoking practices. Participants who were used contraceptive with a factor of 0.172 times less likely had cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=0.172; 95%CI=0.070, 0.422) compared to those who were not used any contraceptive. In addition, women’s who had STD were more likely had association with cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=0.169; 95%CI=0.82, 0.347) than who had no STD and respondents who had two or more life time sexual partners of the husband had a factor of one times more likely at risk for cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=2.973; 95%CI=1.414-6.247) compared to those respondents who had one sexual partner of the husband.

Table 5. Results of bivariate and multivariate analysis for Cervical cancer screening practice and associated factors among women attending gynecology out-patient department at MK referral Hospital, South west, Ethiopia, 2019.
| Variable                   | Cervical screening | COR(95%CI) | AOR(95%CI) |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
|                           | Yes, n (%)        | No, n (%)  |            |
| 21-29                     | 23(71.9%)         | 9(28.1%)   |            |
| 30-39                     | 33(29.7%)         | 79(70.3%)  | 2.261(1.171, 4.364) | 3.227(1.396-7.461)* |
| 40-49                     | 23(33.8%)         | 45(66.2%)  | 2.766(1.345,5.689) | 4.152(1.630-10.576)** |
| Age ≥50                   | 17(15.6%)         | 92(84.4%)  | 13.830(5.466,34.990) | 26.603(8.167-86.662)*** |
| Marital status            |                   |            |            |
| Single                    | 16(37.2%)         | 27(62.8%)  |            |
| Married                   | 37(28.7%)         | 128(71.3%) | 2.032(0.715,5.775) | 2.952(0.828-10.525) |
| Widowed                   | 23(36.1%)         | 18(63.9%)  | 0.991(0.396,2.482) | 0.928(0.328-2.624) |
| Divorce                   | 13(31.7%)         | 28(68.3%)  | 4.381(1.543,12.44) | 2.586(0.760-8.800) |
| Separated                 | 7(22.6%)          | 24(77.4%)  | 1.592(0.547,4.634) | 0.945(0.279-3.202) |
| Used contraceptive        |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 90(93.7%)         | 6(6.3%)    | 0.206(0.094,0.447) | 0.172(0.070-0.422)*** |
| No                        | 163(72.4%)        | 62(27.6%)  |            |
| IUCD                      |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 15(15.6%)         | 81(84.4%)  | 0.278(0.122,0.630) | 0.477(0.149,1.526) |
| No                        | 11(4.9%)          | 214(95.1%) |            |
| Ministerial history       |                   |            |            |
| Regular                   | 38(25.8%)         | 109(74.2%) | 0.165(0.069,0.396) | 0.685(0.350-1.343) |
| Sometimes                 | 26(26.5%)         | 72(73.5%)  |            |
| Always                    | 13(27.1%)         | 35(72.9%)  | 0.171(0.069,0.425) | 0.779(0.336-1.805) |
| irregular                 |                   |            |            |
| No menses                 | 19(67.8)          | 9(32.2)    | 0.176(0.064,0.486) | 4.731(1.796-12.459) |
| Coital bleeding           |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 42(43.7%)         | 54(56.3%)  | 0.377(0.226,0.627) | 0.390(0.215-0.415) |
| No                        | 51(22.7%)         | 174(77.3%) |            |
| Parity                    |                   |            |            |
| 1                         | 22(25.6%)         | 60(74.4%)  |            |
| 2-4                       | 30(22.6%)         | 103(77.4%) | 0.367(0.180,0.151) | 0.817(0.394-1.694) |
| ≥5                        | 29(50%)           | 29(50%)    | 0.291(0.746,0.56) | 2.128(0.941-4.808) |
| Experience abortion       |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 50(52.1%)         | 46(47.9%)  | 0.283(0.171,0.470) | 0.399(0.192,0.830) |
| No                        | 53(23.6%)         | 172(76.4%) |            |
| Smoking                   |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 31(32.3%)         | 65(67.7%)  | 0.239(0.130,0.438) | 0.179(0.087,0.369)** |
| No                        | 22(9.8%)          | 203(90.2%) |            |
| Pelvic infection          |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 81(84.4%)         | 15(15.6%)  | 0.140(0.076,0.258) | 0.259(0.109,0.616) |
| No                        | 97(43.1%)         | 128(56.9%) |            |
| Presence of STD           |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 38(39.6%)         | 58(60.4%)  | 0.109(0.019,0.212) | 1.769(0.082,0.347)*** |
| No                        | 15(6.6%)          | 210(93.4%) |            |
| Partner STD               |                   |            |            |
| Yes                       | 36(37.5%)         | 60(62.5%)  | 0.119(0.061,0.232) | 0.193 (0.090-0.415) |
| No                        | 15(6.7%)          | 210(93.3%) |            |
| of the women              |                   |            |            |
| 1                         | 51(24.5%)         | 157(75.5%) |            |
| ≥2                        | 45(39.8%)         | 68(60.2%)  | 0.491(0.300,0.803) | 1.221(0.678,2.200) |
| Other lifetime sexual partners |               |            |            |
| 0                         | 43(21.7%)         | 155(78.3%) |            |
| 1                         | 21(30%)           | 49(70%)    | 0.200(0.109,0.368) | 1.745(0.804-3.786)* |
Discussion

The broad purpose of this research was to better understand cervical cancer screening practice and associated factors among women attending Gynecology, out-patient department at MK referral hospital. Among the total of study participants 320, the current study found that the participation rate in screening for cervical cancer is about 29.9%. However, this finding is higher than 9.6% in Gamo Gofa (26), 18.8% in studies carried out in Nepal (27), 19.8% in Mekele (28) and less than another study conducted in Uganda 48% (51,23,51,53) (29). This may partly be due to differences in socio-demographic characteristic of the subjects of the studies and sample size difference. According to the current study, the main reason of not taking cervical cancer screening practice service is lack of health education.

Factors associated with cervical cancer screening practice were also assessed. This study reveals that women's age, contraceptive usage, intending smoking, presence of STD and multiple sexual partner of the husband were found to be associated factor for cervical cancer screening practice. In this study age group of ≥50 years 26.603 times had association with cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=26.603;95%CI=8.167,86.662) compared to age between 21-29, while age 30-39 years 3.227 times had significant association cervical cancer screening practice (AOR=3.227,95%CI= 1.396,7.461) compared to age between 21-29. There are also researches with the same findings in Mekele (26), which showed that all other age groups of women years were have association with cervical screening behavior compared with age ≥ 50. Additionally, study conducted in northwest Ethiopia showed that, woman in the age range of 30-39 were 1.78 times (AOR = 2.78, 95% CI = 1.71–7.29) higher than women age 21-29 had significantly associated with cervical cancer screening practice (30, 31).

This study also revealed that women's who had intended smoking were found to be 17.9% times associated factors for bad cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=0.179;95CI=0.087,0.369). Similar studies conducted in Kenya and this study showed that those participants who were used contraceptive had significant association cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=0.172; 95% CI=0.070, 0.422) than those who had no contraceptive usage. This is comparable with similar study conducted in Kenya showed that those individuals who were used contraceptive had significant association with cervical screening behavior (31).

Another finding of the current study is having history of multiple sexual partner of the husband also found to have 2.973 times association for cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=2.973; 95% CI=1.414-6.247) compared to having one sexual partner of the husband while having one sexual partner of the husband also found to have one times association for cervical cancer screening practice behavior than not having multiple sexual partner of the husband. The same result reported from mekelle showed that women who have history of multiple sexual partners were 1.635 times association for cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR = 1.635, 95% CI = 1.094–2.443) Also study conducted in Yirgalem showed that having multiple sexual partner of the husband had significant association with cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=40; 95% CI: 22.44,70.204) (32, 33).

In this study having history of sexual transmitted disease was times 0.169 more likely had association with cervical cancer screening practice with (AOR=0.169; 95% CI: 0.82, 0.347). In addition, similar findings of study conducted in Finote Selam Town North west Ethiopia showed that having history of STDs were nearly 2.75 times had association with cervical cancer screening practice with(AOR= 2.75, 95%CI: 1.24, 6.04) (34) same result was also reported from...
Mekele, where women with history of sexually transmitted disease were 4.129 times had significant association with the cervical screening behavior with (AOR = 4.129, 95%CI = 2.281–7.476) (32)

### Limitations

- As the respondents had to remember when they had performed cervical cancer screening, there might have been recall bias.
- Being a cross sectional study; it cannot show cause-effect relationship between the variables studied.

### Conclusion And Recommendations

According to this finding, the overall rate of those participants who had awareness on cervical cancer screening practice were about 29.9%. Maternal age, using smoking, using contraceptives, presence of sexually transmitted disease, and having multiple sexual partner of the husband are predominantly stated factors associated with cervical screening.

- Ilubabor Zone health birau
- Focus preventive health measures for cervical cancer screening practice and its prevention.
- Should provide awareness campaigns targeting illiterate groups can be conducted in community so that they become motivated towards cervical cancer screening
- Should provide educational interventions to improve uptake of cervical screening with time and to increase self-efficacy and perceived focus of control about cervical cancer.
- Should done on awareness creation and sensitization of health workers on cervical cancer screening practice and sustaining national screening programs widely.

- MK Hospital health professionals who are assigned to cervical cancer screening clinics and community health workers
- Health workers need to be marked at first since they have role in any potential screening activities in order to achieve screening coverage in the community.
- Conduct health education programs to the women eligible for screening attending gynecological clinic regarding prevention of cervical cancer and importance of cervical cancer screening.
- Should provide women with choice from whom they want to be screened

- Ministry of Health together with other stakeholders
- To embark on intensive awareness creation campaigns on cervical cancer to complement health education in health facilities.
- Ethiopian Cancer Association and other health groups should reading materials as well as different campaign for awareness creation.
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Figures
Cervical cancer screening practice conceptual framework modified from different literature.

**Figure 2**

Sampling procedure on: Cervical cancer screening practice and associated factors study.

**Figure 3**

Description of women's contraceptive usage among women attending gynecology OPD and MCH at MK referral hospital, 2019
Figure 4

Intention to use cervical cancer screening practice and barriers characteristics of women screened for cervical cancer