Scattering of the Radial Polarized Beams on the Metal Spherical Particle: Plasmonic Nanojet Formation
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We report on the effects associated with photonic nanojet (PNJ) formation under illumination of a metallic spherical particle with a focused light beam with polarization singularity. Owing to radial polarization, the strongly focused beam generates the on-axis localized structure of intensity in the shadow area of the metal scatterer of different sizes, from the Rayleigh particle to microbeads. Significant amplification factor, small transverse size, and zero magnetic component on the axis and longitudinal polarization of the electric field are the distinctive features of such structures.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Normally focusing a light wave with a system of lenses cannot achieve the light spot in focus less than a half of the wavelength [1]. This classical conclusion is obtained taking into account the fact that the wave freely propagates from the lens surface to the focal plane and the distance between them is much larger than the wavelength. The current problems in microscopy, nanostructuring, and nanolithography require various approaches to enter under the diffraction limit. For example, multiphoton absorption of an illuminated sample is widely used [1, 2]. Another way is to obtain a localization of the electromagnetic field in the near zone of the scatterer. If its size is of the same order as the wavelength, field structures compressed in one or several directions can appear in the shadow area. The structures with the transverse dimensions less than the wavelength and the elongation greater than the wavelength are called photonic nanojets (PNJ) [3, 4]. Photonic nanojets attract a continuously growing interest as a potential tool for operation with concentrated light forms under the diffraction limitation. Most of the studies in this area are directed to the formation of PNJ by light diffraction on dielectric microspheres [2–14] and on microcylinders [15–17]. There are also studies devoted to other forms of scatterers, for example, microaxicons [18] or microdisks [19]. In most of the studies, the scatterers of non-absorbing homogeneous isotropic dielectric materials are considered [5–7, 11–13]. However, there are also studies devoted to diffraction on dielectric scatterers with an inhomogeneous refractive index [20–22] and dielectric particles coated with metal [17, 23]. In our best knowledge, the formation a nanojet by light scattering on full-metal micro particles has been not considered yet, except our brief remark about possibility of PNJ formation using strongly focused singular beams [24]. In this study, we pay attention to the case of a metallic spherical particles illuminated by a singular optical beam, i.e., carrying optical singularity in a form of an optical vortex with a helical phase front or radial or azimuthal polarization with undetermined state on the beam axis.

2 LORENZ–MIE THEORY AND COMPUTATION APPROACHES

In this section, we introduce all necessary notations and briefly describe computational approaches. Previously we already described most of them in our studies [24–26].
2.1 Lorenz–Mie Theory

We consider the scattering by an isotropic homogeneous spherical particle of radius $R_p$, dielectric constant $\varepsilon_p$, and magnetic permeability $\mu_p$. The particle is embedded in a uniform isotropic dielectric medium with dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{med}$ and magnetic permeability $\mu_{med}$. The center of the spherical particle is located at the origin. We denote electric and magnetic field components of a harmonic electromagnetic wave inside the particle as $E_p(\mathbf{r})$ and $H_p(\mathbf{r})$ and outside the particle as $E_{med}(\mathbf{r})$ and $H_{med}(\mathbf{r})$, respectively. According to the Lorenz–Mie theory [27–29], the field outside the particle can be considered as a sum of the incident wave (electric and magnetic field of which we denote as $E_{inc}(\mathbf{r})$ and $H_{inc}(\mathbf{r})$) and the scattering field (denoted as $E_{sca}(\mathbf{r})$ and $H_{sca}(\mathbf{r})$, respectively).

$$E_{med}(\mathbf{r}) = E_{inc}(\mathbf{r}) + E_{sca}(\mathbf{r}), \quad |\mathbf{r}| > R_p,$$

$$H_{med}(\mathbf{r}) = H_{inc}(\mathbf{r}) + H_{sca}(\mathbf{r}), \quad |\mathbf{r}| > R_p.$$ (1, 2)

The incident wave is an external wave which freely propagates in the medium in the absence of any scatterer. The scattered wave in the far field is a completely outgoing wave. The fields inside and outside the particle can be considered as a sum of the series of the vector spherical wave functions (VSWF).

$$E_i(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \left[ \alpha_{jm}^p N_{jm}(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) + \beta_{jm}^p M_{jm}(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right], \quad \gamma \in \{\text{p, inc, sca}\},$$

$$H_i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu}{{\varepsilon}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-j}^{j} \left[ \alpha_{jm}^p N_{jm}^\ast(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) - \beta_{jm}^p M_{jm}^\ast(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \right], \quad \gamma \in \{\text{p, inc, sca}\},$$

$$i = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{med} \\ \gamma \in \{\text{inc, sca}\} \\ \rho \neq p \end{array} \right],$$ (3, 4)

where $r_i = |\mathbf{r}|$, $\rho_i = k_i |\mathbf{r}|$, and $k_i = \sqrt{\varepsilon_i \mu_i}$ — wave number, $N_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}})$, and $M_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}})$ are electric and magnetic vector spherical wave functions, respectively:

$$N_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) = -i k_i^{-1} \nabla \times M_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}),$$

$$M_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \hat{\mathbf{L}} \psi_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}),$$ (5, 6)

where $\hat{\mathbf{L}}$ is the dimensionless operator of angular momentum given by references [30, 31] as

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}} = -i \mathbf{r} \times \nabla$$

$$\psi_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}})$$

are scalar spherical wave functions [30, 32], which actually are the solutions of the scalar Helmholtz equation, $(\nabla^2 + k_i^2) \psi_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) = 0$, taken in the form [24, 25]

$$\psi_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i, \hat{\mathbf{r}}) = [j(j+1)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} z_{jm}^\gamma(\rho_i) Y_{jm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}),$$ (8)

where $z_{jm}^\gamma(x)$ is either a Bessel function or a spherical Hankel function [33] as given below:

$$z_j^\gamma(x) = \begin{cases} j_j(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 x} H_{j\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(x), & \gamma \in \{\text{p, inc}\} \\ h_j^\gamma(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 x} H_{j\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(x), & \gamma = \text{sca} \end{cases}$$ (9)

$Y_{jm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}})$ is the normalized spherical harmonics [34]:

$$Y_{jm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) = (-1)^{m+j+1/2} \sqrt{(2j+1)(j-m)! \over 4\pi (j+m)!} P_j^m(\cos \theta) \exp (im\phi),$$

$$\theta$$ and $\phi$ are polar and azimuthal angles of the spherical coordinate system, and $P_j^m(\cos \theta)$ is the associated Legendre polynomials.

Now the incident wave is characterized by amplitudes $\alpha_{jm}^{inc}$ and $\beta_{jm}^{inc}$. The scattered outgoing waves are similarly characterized by amplitudes $\alpha_{jm}^{sca}$ and $\beta_{jm}^{sca}$, and the field inside the particle is characterized by $\alpha_{jm}^{p}$ and $\beta_{jm}^{p}$. Using boundary conditions on the particle surface, the coefficients $\alpha_{jm}^{sca}$, $\beta_{jm}^{sca}$, $\alpha_{jm}^{inc}$, and $\beta_{jm}^{inc}$ can be found in the following form:

$$\alpha_{jm}^{inc} = A_{jm}^{inc} \alpha_{jm}^{sca}$$

$$\beta_{jm}^{inc} = B_{jm}^{inc} \beta_{jm}^{sca},$$ (10)

$$\alpha_{jm}^{sca} = A_{jm}^{sca} \alpha_{jm}^{inc}$$

$$\beta_{jm}^{sca} = B_{jm}^{sca} \beta_{jm}^{inc}$$ (11)

where $A_{jm}^{sca}$, $B_{jm}^{sca}$, $A_{jm}^{inc}$, and $B_{jm}^{inc}$ are known as Mie coefficients and are given below:

$$A_{jm}^{inc} = \begin{cases} \frac{-i}{\mu_1 v_j(x) u_j'(nx) - n^2 v_j'(x) u_j(nx)}, & \gamma \in \{\text{inc, sca}\} \\ \frac{-n^2 v_j(x) u_j'(nx) - \mu_1 v_j'(x) u_j(nx)}{\mu_1 v_j(x) u_j(nx) - n^2 v_j'(x) u_j(nx)}, & \gamma = \text{p} \end{cases},$$ (12)

where $n = n_p n_{med}$, $\mu = \mu_p/\mu_{med}$, $x = R_p k_{med}$, $v_j(x) = x j_j(x)$, and $u_j(x) = x h_j^{(1)}(x)$.

2.2 Far-Field Matching

The formulas (12–16) are useful only if the expansion for the incident light beam is known. In this section, we describe the approach which allows finding them with this condition.

In the far-field, any beam can be described as a superposition of incoming and outgoing waves:

$$E^{inc}(\mathbf{r}) = \lim_{|\mathbf{r}| \to \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \left[ \exp(i\rho) E^{inc}_{out}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) + \exp(-i\rho) E^{inc}_{in}(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \right],$$ (17)

where the notation, we defined previously as $\rho_{med}$ we redefine here as $\rho = k_{med} r$ and $E^{inc}_{out}(\mathbf{r})$ is the far-field angular distribution for the outgoing part of the electric field of the incident wave ($E^{inc}_{in}(\mathbf{r})$ is the incoming part). Far-field angular distributions of incoming and outgoing parts of the incident wave are expressed through each other and the spatial spectrum of the wave:

$$E^{inc}_{out}(\mathbf{r}) = -E^{inc}_{in}(-\mathbf{r}) = -2\pi i E^{inc}(\mathbf{r}),$$ (18)

where $E^{inc}_{in}(\mathbf{r})$ is the spatial spectrum of the incident wave, as given below:

$$E^{inc}_{in}(\mathbf{r}) = \int_{|k|<1} \exp(i k \cdot \mathbf{r}) E^{inc}_{in}(\mathbf{k}) \, dk.$$ (19)
Alternatively, the far-field distribution of the incident light beam \((E_{\text{inc}}^{(\text{inc})} (\tilde{r}))\) can be found from the expansion over the VSWFs (Eqs 5, 6). The far-field asymptotics for the vector spherical wave functions that enter the expansion for the incident wave (Eq. 3) are the following [24, 25]:

\[
M_{jm}^{(\text{inc})} (\rho, \tilde{r}) \to \frac{(-i)^{j+1}}{2\rho} \left[ \exp (i\rho) Y_{jm}^{(m)} (\tilde{r}) - \exp (-i\rho) Y_{jm}^{(m)} (\tilde{r}) \right],
\]

\[
N_{jm}^{(\text{inc})} (\rho, \tilde{r}) \to \frac{(-i)^j}{\rho} \left[ \exp (i\rho) Y_{jm}^{(e)} (\tilde{r}) - \exp (-i\rho) Y_{jm}^{(e)} (\tilde{r}) \right],
\]

where \(Y_{jm}^{(m)} (\tilde{r})\) and \(Y_{jm}^{(e)} (\tilde{r})\) are electric and magnetic vector spherical harmonics (VSH), respectively:

\[
Y_{jm}^{(m)} (\tilde{r}) = [j (j + 1)]^{1/2} \tilde{r}^j Y_{jm} (\tilde{r}),
\]

\[
Y_{jm}^{(e)} (\tilde{r}) = -i \tilde{r} \times Y_{jm}^{(m)} (\tilde{r}).
\]

Therefore, \(E_{\text{inc}}^{(\text{inc})} (\tilde{r})\) can be written as a series of the VSHs as:

\[
E_{\text{inc}}^{(\text{inc})} (\tilde{r}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \sum_{m=-j}^{j} (-i)^j \left[ a_{jm}^{(\text{inc})} Y_{jm}^{(m)} (\tilde{r}) + ib_{jm}^{(\text{inc})} Y_{jm}^{(e)} (\tilde{r}) \right].
\]

Taking into account that a set of the vector spherical harmonics is orthonormal,

\[
\int_{|\tilde{r}|=1} Y_{jm}^{(\alpha)} (\tilde{r}) Y_{jm'}^{(\beta)} (\tilde{r}) d\tilde{r} = \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'} \delta_{\alpha\beta},
\]

the coefficients of the expansion for the incident light beam can be found in the following form:

\[
a_{jm}^{(\text{inc})} = 2i^{j+1} \int_{|\tilde{r}|=1} Y_{jm}^{(\alpha)\ast} (\tilde{r}) E_{\text{inc}}^{(\text{inc})} (\tilde{r}) d\tilde{r},
\]

\[
b_{jm}^{(\text{inc})} = 2i^j \int_{|\tilde{r}|=1} Y_{jm}^{(\alpha)\ast} (\tilde{r}) E_{\text{inc}}^{(\text{inc})} (\tilde{r}) d\tilde{r}.
\]

Exactly these equations are used to calculate the coefficients of the beam expansion.

### 2.3 Nonparaxial Laguerre–Gaussian Beams

To describe the nonparaxial Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) beams, we use the same expressions for the far-field angular distribution as in ref. [35].

\[
E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha\sigma})} (\phi, \theta) = E_m \left( f^{-1} \sin \theta / \sqrt{2} \right) \exp (im\phi) e_{x}^{(\alpha)},
\]

\[
e_{x}^{(\alpha)} = \cos \phi e_{x} (\tilde{r}) - \cos \theta \sin \phi e_{y} (\tilde{r}) = \cos \theta x - \sin \theta \cos \phi z,
\]

\[
E_m (x) = \frac{x^m}{i \sqrt{m+1}} L_m^m (x^2) \exp (-x^2/2),
\]

where \(f\) is the focusing parameter (the bigger the \(f\), the smaller the waist size of the beam, and if \(f \ll 1\), the waist size equals to \(\omega_0 = \lambda / (2\pi f)\), where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength in the surrounding medium) and \(L_m^m (x)\) is the generalized Laguerre polynomial given by reference [36]. Equation 28 describes a beam focused to the origin. The translation of any beam leads to the additional phase shift in the far-field [25]:

\[
E_{\text{out}}^{(trans)} (\tilde{r}, \mathbf{R}_{\text{inc}}) = E_{\text{out}}^{(0)} (\tilde{r}) \exp (-k_{\text{out}} \mathbf{R}_{\text{inc}}),
\]

where \(E_{\text{out}}^{(0)} (\tilde{r})\) is the electric field of the original beam, \(E_{\text{out}}^{(trans)} (\tilde{r}, \mathbf{R}_{\text{inc}})\) is the field of translated beam, and \(\mathbf{R}_{\text{inc}}\) is the vector of beam translation. Further, we use only translation along the \(z\)-axis, and the \(z\) coordinate of the center of the beam as \(z_0\).

Equation 28 describes the \(x\)-polarized LG beam; similarly, it is possible to define \(y\)-polarized LG beam and circular polarized beams:

\[
E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha\sigma})} (\phi, \theta) = E_m \left( f^{-1} \sin \theta / \sqrt{2} \right) \exp (im\phi) e_{y}^{(\alpha)},
\]

\[
e_{y}^{(\alpha)} = \sin \phi e_{x} (\tilde{r}) + \cos \theta \cos \phi e_{y} (\tilde{r}) = \cos \theta y + \sin \theta \sin \phi z,
\]

\[
e_{y}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ e_{x}^{(\alpha)} + i e_{y}^{(\alpha)} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp (i\phi) \left[ e_{x} (\tilde{r}) + i \cos \theta e_{y} (\tilde{r}) \right],
\]

\[
e_{y}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ e_{x} - ie_{y} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp (-i\phi) \left[ e_{x} (\tilde{r}) - i \cos \theta e_{y} (\tilde{r}) \right].
\]

Let us consider LG beams with an azimuthal index \(m = \pm 1\), which describes optical vortices with a unity topological charge. For the \(x\) and \(y\)-polarized beams, the electric far fields are described by \(E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\pm1})} (\tilde{r})\), \(E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\pm1})} (\tilde{r})\), \(E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\pm})} (\tilde{r})\), and \(E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\pm})} (\tilde{r})\). These modes are orthogonal to each other in the sense that

\[
\int_{|\tilde{r}|=1} E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})} (\tilde{r}) E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\beta})} (\tilde{r}) d\tilde{r} = 0, \alpha, \beta \in \{x, y\}, \beta, \gamma \in \{-1, |1\}, \sigma = \alpha, \beta \neq \gamma.
\]

In the Hilbert space of all superpositions of such modes (with fixed \(f\) and \(n\)), it is possible to select another orthogonal basis:

\[
\left\langle E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha1})}, E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha-1})}, E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})}, E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})} \right\rangle, \quad \text{where } E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})} \text{ and } E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})} \text{ are radial and azimuthal modes, respectively:}
\]

\[
E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})} (\tilde{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha+1})} (\tilde{r}) + E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha-1})} (\tilde{r}) \right) = E_m (f^{-1} \sin \theta / \sqrt{2}) e_{x} (\tilde{r}),
\]

\[
E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})} (\tilde{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha+1})} (\tilde{r}) - E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha-1})} (\tilde{r}) \right) = E_m (f^{-1} \sin \theta / \sqrt{2}) \cos \theta e_{y} (\tilde{r}).
\]

Previously, we gave some analysis of beam shape coefficients (Eqs 26, 27) of the \(x\)-polarized LG beams [24, 25]. Here, we discuss the expansion of radial and azimuthal polarized beam and the LG modes with unity topological charge and with circular polarization, which have the same sign of the spin and orbital angular momentum \(E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})}\) and \(E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{\alpha})}\) modes. Substituting the far-field distribution Eq. 32 into Eqs 26, 27 gives the beam.
same is applicable for translated beams along the radial mode ($3$, $4$). The plane wave can be surrogated by a Gaussian beam ($E_0$, $f$ equals $0.02$, $R_p = 1.5 \lambda$, $n_r = 1.3$).

The radius of the spherical particle is $R_p = 1.5 \lambda$ ($\lambda$ is the wavelength in the surrounding medium) and the relative refractive index is $n_r = n_p/n_{med} = 1.3$. The field distributions of the scattered wave are characterized by the presence of extended along the $z$ axis focusing zone, formed in the shadow area of the microbead. The transverse size (width) of this zone is less than the wavelength of incident light, whereas its size along the $z$-axis (length) is relatively large. Such a jet-like light structure is called photonic nanojet $[3$, $4]$. The shape and dimensions of the nanojet are varying with an increase of the focusing parameter $f$.

In Figure 2, several examples of nanojets generated by scattering LG modes with different focusing parameters are illustrated. It can be seen that increasing of the focusing strength $f$ leads to the reduction of the length of the nanojet. At some value of the parameter $f$, the shape is not jet-like and the size is smaller than a wavelength in all three dimensions. The light structure, the size of which is less than a wavelength and which has relatively high intensity, is called a hot spot. For strongly focused beam, the point of the highest intensity is located inside the scatterer. Such a behavior can be explained in geometric optic limit: the microbead can be considered as a microlens; therefore, the stronger focused beam should be focused closer to the origin.

The characteristics of the scattered light are known to strongly depend on a number of other factors. For example, the particle absorption coefficient, the relative refractive index of the particle $n_r = n_p/n_{med}$, the position of the center of the beam $R_0$, and the scatterer size $R_p$. Starting from some value, the reduction of the scatterer size leads to the instability of the nanojet. A nanojet is spitted into two hot spots, located on the opposite sides of the sphere along the $x$-axis. The splitting is illustrated on the Figure 3. Such a behavior can be explained considering the Rayleigh particle in the plane wave (close to the Rayleigh particle is illustrated in the Figure 3C). The direction of polarization inside the particle is approximately the same and polarization has the same direction as polarized incident wave ($x$-polarized). Due to the boundary conditions on the surface, the highest intensity near the particle is on the opposite sides of the sphere along the $x$-axis. That explains two symmetrical hot spots illustrated in Figure 3C.

Unlike dielectric particles, the full-metal microbeads do not allow to generate nanojets by scattering a plane electromagnetic wave (or focused LG beam with $n = 0$ and $m = 0$) on them. The scattering of the near-plane wave on the metal sphere is illustrated in Figure 4.

In the case of metal scatterer with a size smaller than the wavelength the impossibility of nanojet or on the $z$-axis hot spot generation can be explained in the same way as for dielectric particles. In the case of scatterer size $R_p$ amounting several wavelengths, metal particle is opaque for a light, and unlike the dielectric particle, it cannot be considered as a microlens. In the shadow area of the

shape coefficients of these modes, which equal to zero in the following cases:

$$
\alpha^{(inc)}_{jm} = \begin{cases} 
0 & m \neq 2, \ E_{\text{out}}^{(inc)} = E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_n)} \\
0 & m \neq -2, \ E_{\text{out}}^{(inc)} = E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{n-1})} \\
0 & m \neq 0, \ E_{\text{out}}^{(inc)} = E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{n-2})} \\
\end{cases}
\tag{39}
$$

$$
\beta^{(inc)}_{jm} = \begin{cases} 
0 & m \neq 2, \ E_{\text{out}}^{(inc)} = E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_n)} \\
0 & m \neq -2, \ E_{\text{out}}^{(inc)} = E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{n-1})} \\
0 & m \neq 0, \ E_{\text{out}}^{(inc)} = E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{n-2})} \\
\end{cases}
\tag{40}
$$

For translation along the $z$-axis beams, the shape coefficients can be written in the same form (zero coefficients remain zero). Among all nonzero components of VSWF series of the considered modes, only $N_{j,0}(r)$ are nonzero on the $z$-axis. Moreover, on the $z$-axis, the direction of them is along the $z$-axis. That means that modes $E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_n)}$ and $E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{n-1})}$ have zero electric and magnetic field on the $z$-axis. The radial mode ($E_{\text{out}}^{(LG_{n-2})}$) has a zero magnetic field on the $z$-axis and the $z$-polarized electric field, while the azimuthal mode has zero electric field and the $z$-polarized magnetic field on the $z$-axis. The same is applicable for translated beams along the $z$-axis in both cases if there is no any scatterer or there is a homogenous spherical particle, the center of which located at the origin.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the results of numerical computations and make a comparison between plasmonic nanojet formation and the nanojets formed by dielectric spheres. Also, we discuss some specific properties of the plasmonic nanojets.

Formation of photonic nanojets for spherical dielectric particles illuminated by a plane wave is a well known problem $[3$, $4]$. The plane wave can be surrogated by a Gaussian beam with $n = 0$, $m = 0$, and a sufficiently small focusing parameter $f$ (see Eq. 32). This limiting case is illustrated in Figure 1, which shows the intensity distributions for the total light wave field in the $x$-$z$ and the $y$-$z$ planes. The incident wave is the $x$-polarized LG beam with $n = 0$, $m = 0$, and $f = 0.05$.
scatterer, the light can propagate only on a surface in a thin skin layer. In case the scatterer is large, the surface can be considered locally as a plane, each point of which is illuminated by a superposition of the p-polarized and s-polarized waves. The p-polarized wave generates TM polarized surface plasmon polariton on the illuminated side of the sphere, which propagates to the shadow side of the particle. Due to the symmetry of the incident field, such plasmon polaritons interfere destructively on the z-axis. Concerning s-polarized waves, they do not have much

FIGURE 2 | Diffraction on the dielectric spherical particle: near-field intensity distributions in the x-z plane (B, D, F) and freely propagating wave (A, C, E). The incident wave is a non-vortex Gaussian beam (LG₀,₀). $z_b = 1.5\lambda$, $R_p = 1.5\lambda$, and $n_r = 1.3$. (A, B) -- $f = 0.1$, (C, D) -- $f = 0.2$, and (E, F) -- $f = 0.3$.

FIGURE 3 | Diffraction on the dielectric spherical particle: near-field intensity distributions in the x-z plane. The incident wave is a vortex-free Gaussian beam (LG₀,₀). $f = 0.02$ and $n_r = 1.3$. (A) -- $R_p = 0.3\lambda$, (B) -- $R_p = 0.2\lambda$, and (C) -- $R_p = 0.05\lambda$. 
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influence on the intensity directly near the particle surface due to the boundary conditions; furthermore, the TE polarized surface plasmon polariton is prohibited on the plane surface [37].

Previously, we reported about possibility of the nanojet generation by a scattering of the LG beams with an azimuthal index $m = \pm 1$ [24] and impossibility of nanojet generation on the $z$-axis in case $|m| > 2$ for any type of homogeneous spheres.
In the section “Nonparaxial Laguerre–Gaussian beams”, we have shown that any Laguerre–Gaussian beam with a unity azimuthal number \((m = \pm 1)\) can be considered as a superposition of radial and azimuthal polarized beams and the beams with the spin and orbital angular momentum of the same sign \((\text{LG}^+_{m, \pm 1})\), and only radial polarized beam has a nonzero electric field on the \(z\)-axis. Other beams have zero electric field on the \(z\)-axis. Moreover, it remains zero in case the isotropic homogeneous spherical particle is located at the origin and the LG beam is shifted along the \(z\)-axis. Therefore, in case of the scattering of the superposition of LG beams with unity azimuthal number by a metal spherical particle, the contribution of the radial mode defines the possibility of a nanojet or hot spot generation on the \(z\)-axis. In Figure 5, diffraction of the radial \((\text{LG}^0_{0, \text{rad}})\), azimuthal \((\text{LG}^0_{0, \text{az}})\), and the beam with the spin and orbital angular momentum of the same sign \((\text{LG}^+_{0, \pm 1})\) on the metal microbead is illustrated. Also a comparison with freely propagated corresponding beams is shown in Figures 5A, C, E. Note that a diffraction of the radial mode and \(\text{LG}^+_{0, \pm 1}\) beam leads to the increase of the maximum intensity, while intensity of the scattered azimuthal wave is less than the corresponding freely propagated beam. We denote it using the same normalization on maximum intensity for both Figures 5C, D. Figures 5A, B, E, F are normalized independently.

It can been seen that the on the \(z\)-axis, nanojet is formed only in case of radial polarized wave, whereas the azimuthal polarized beam and optical vortex with spin angular momentum \((\text{LG}^+_{0, 1})\) give zero electric field on the \(z\)-axis. As we discussed in the previous section, such a nanojet is polarized along the \(z\)-axis, similarly as a tiny focused radial polarized beam. The magnetic field is zero on the \(z\)-axis. The radial polarized LG beam can be considered as a superposition of counterparts with optical vortices; therefore, the weakly focused beam has nearly zero intensity on the axis. The \(x\)-\(y\) cross section has a ring-like intensity distribution with a minimum in the center. The strongly focused radial polarized beam has a maximum of intensity on the \(z\)-axis (Figure 5A), and the electric field on the axis is strictly \(z\)-polarized. To generate a nanojet with a high intensity, the waist...
size of the beam should not be much bigger than the particle size, and the particle should be well illuminated. Therefore, in our calculations we consider strongly focused beams.

Unlike the nanojets, which are generated by a scattering the plane wave or vortex-free Gaussian beam, the plasmonic nanojets do not split into two off-axis hot spots if the size of the scatterer is small. Instead, reducing the size of the scatterer leads to the reduction in the length and width of the nanojet, providing the evolution of the nanojet into the hot spot. In Figure 6, several sizes of metal spheres are illustrated, which are illuminated by the radial polarized mode. The nature of the two hot spot formation (Figure 6C) is the same as on Figure 4C. The location of them is different due to the z-polarized electric field on the z-axis.

In the Figure 7, the dependence of the length and width of the nanojet/hot spot on a particle size is illustrated. The width and length are calculated in the following way. The first step is to find the point of the maximum intensity outside the sphere on the z-axis. It is considered as a center of the hot spot/nanojet. After that, the width is found as a FWHM (full width at half maximum) along the x-axis relative to the center. The length is find as length of the section, the intensity on which is reduced by e times along the z-axis (not including the scatterer). The relative permittivity of the particle is \( \epsilon_p/\epsilon_{med} \approx -22.5 + 1.4i \), which has gold at wavelength 780 nm. It can be seen that for \( R_p \geq 2.6\lambda \), the length of the nanojet is bigger than the wavelength and continue increases up to the \( R_p = 3 \) (large sizes are not presented). The width in this section is less than \( \lambda/3 \). Approximately at \( R_p = 1.5 \), the width and the length of the hot area are equal, and the reduction of the particle size leads to the hot spot localization. Note that the length decreases faster than the width of the hot spot. Such localization can be an effect of the needle [38].

The intensity of such nanojets and hot spots can be higher than the intensity of the original beam. It depends on a number of factors, such as particle size, permittivity, focusing factor \( f \), and position of beam focusing. In Figure 8, the dependence of the amplification factor of the plasmonic nanojet on a particle size is illustrated. All calculations are done for the focusing factor \( f = 0.3 \), relative permittivity of the particle as gold on 780 nm, and the distance between the center of the sphere and center of the beam equals \( z_0 = 0.75R_p \). The amplification factor is calculated as a ratio of maximal intensity of nanojet/hot spot to maximal intensity of the incident beam. It can be seen that at the approximate size of the sphere \( R_p = \lambda/4 \), there is a high maximum. The amplification factor at this point reaches 25. There are also some expressionless peaks at the bigger particle size, but the total tendency is a reduction of the amplification factor after the \( R_p = \lambda/4 \).

4 CONCLUSION

The main object of research in this study is a determination of the conditions for photonic nanojets and hot spots formation with inspection of their properties. In this goal, we have used the Lorenz–Mie theory to analyze the light scattering problem for isotropic spherical metal scatterers illuminated with radial, azimuthal, and circular polarized LG beams. To describe such beams, we apply far-field angular distribution Eq. 32. Then, we use in our derivations the far-field matching approach to find the beam shape coefficients (Eqs 26, 27). The required nanojet can be generated using the superposition of LG beams with a unity topological charge, as we noted previously [24], and in this report, we made a more accurate and deep analysis. We have shown that any such a superposition can be considered as a sum of the radial, azimuthal, and circular polarized Laguerre–Gaussian modes, with the same sign of spin and orbital angular momentum. The possibility of the nanojet or hot spot generation along the z-axis is determined by the contribution of the radial mode, whereas the diffraction of the azimuthal or circular polarized LG beams gives zero electric field on the z-axis. The nanojets or hot spots located on the z-axis, which are generated using radial polarized modes, have zero magnetic field component, and the electric field is z-polarized.

In our calculations, we use a relation of dielectric permittivity of the particles and a medium \( \epsilon_p/\epsilon_{med} \approx -22.5 + 1.4i \), which is close to one for the gold in the vacuum at wavelength 780 nm. Concerning the sizes of the longitudinal nanojets, the width of
them does not exceed $\lambda/3$ (for particle size $R_p < 6\lambda$). Decreasing the particle size leads to decreasing the nanojet sizes in all three dimensions, but the length decreases faster than the width. Gradually, nanojet evolves into one on the $z$-axis hot spot, unlike the classical nanojet, which splits into two non-axis hot spots. The maximum intensity amplification factor reaches up to 25 (for our parameters of the beam and the scatterer, $R_p \approx \lambda/4$). A significant amplification factor and the small transverse size make them perspective for microscopy, nanolithography, nanofabrication, and together with its longitudinal polarization, it can be interesting for on-surface second harmonic generation.
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