Normal Respiratory Flora as a Cause of Community-Acquired Pneumonia
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Background. Intensive studies have failed to identify an etiologic agent in >50% cases of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). Bacterial pneumonia follows aspiration of recognized bacterial pathogens (RBPs) such as Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, and Staphylococcus aureus after they have colonize the nasopharynx. We hypothesized that aspiration of normal respiratory flora (NRF) might also cause CAP.

Methods. We studied 120 patients hospitalized for CAP who provided a high-quality sputum specimen at, or soon after admission, using Gram stain, quantitative sputum culture, bacterial speciation by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight, and viral polymerase chain reaction. Thresholds for diagnosis of bacterial infection were >10^5 colony-forming units (cfu)/mL sputum for RBPs and >10^6 cfu for NRF.

Results. Recognized bacterial pathogens were found in 68 of 120 (56.7%) patients; 14 (20.1%) of these had a coinfected respiratory virus. Normal respiratory flora were found in 31 (25.8%) patients; 10 (32.2%) had a coinfecting respiratory virus. Infection by ≥2 RBPs occurred in 10 cases and by NRF together with RBPs in 13 cases. Among NRF, organisms identified as Streptococcus mitis, which share many genetic features of S pneumoniae, predominated. A respiratory virus alone was found in 16 of 120 (13.3%) patients. Overall, an etiologic diagnosis was established in 95.8% of cases.

Conclusions. Normal respiratory flora, with or without viral coinfection, appear to have caused one quarter of cases of CAP and may have played a contributory role in an additional 10.8% of cases caused by RBPs. An etiology for CAP was identified in >95% of patients who provided a high-quality sputum at, or soon after, the time of admission.
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METHODS

Study Design
We carried out a prospective study of a convenience sample of patients admitted to the Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center, Houston, TX 77030 (daniel.musher@va.gov).
Center (MEDVAMC) between September 1, 2017 and April 30, 2019. On days selected for study, Gram stains of all sputum samples that had been submitted to the clinical microbiology laboratory in the preceding 24 hours were examined. For every sputum categorized as high quality (≥20 white blood cells [WBCs] per epithelial cell), a higher standard than that usually accepted [11], electronic medical records were reviewed to identify patients who had been admitted from the community with ≥2 of the following findings: (1) fever, increased cough, sputum production or shortness of breath, pleuritic chest pain, rales or confusion; (2) on imaging had a newly recognized pulmonary infiltrate; and (3) submitted a sputum sample within 16 hours of antibiotics being begun. A final reading of the sputum Gram stain was made by 2 observers without knowledge of the culture results, and agreement was reached by consensus. To minimize selection bias, on each day selected for study, we included every patient who met inclusion criteria. This research was approved by Review Boards at Baylor College of Medicine and MEDVAMC.

**Diagnostic Studies**

One-milliliter micropipetters, with the tips cut to enlarge the orifice, were used to draw up 0.5–1 mL purulent sputum that was then liquefied with 2% N-acetyl cysteine and diluted serially; 0.01 mL aliquots were streaked onto blood and chocolate agar and incubated under 5% CO₂. Recognized bacterial pathogens were identified by standard microbiologic techniques. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was identified by screening for sensitivity to optochin and verified by bile solubility (if colony morphology was suspicious but the optochin test was negative, bile solubility was done anyway). Organisms that are generally identified only as “normal respiratory flora” but met quantitative criteria (as defined below) were further studied by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF). White blood cells per milliliter in liquefied sputum were counted in a hemocytometer. Almost all patients had blood cultures, nasopharyngeal swab PCR for respiratory viruses, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* and *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, urine for pneumococcal and *Legionella* antigens, plasma procalcitonin, and B-natriuretic peptide.

**Definitions**

Patients whose sputum contained ≥10⁵ colony-forming units (cfu)/mL of a RBP were categorized as having pneumonia due to a RBP [7, 12–14]. For diagnosing pneumonia due to NRF, we used more stringent criteria. Patients (1) whose sputum contained ≥10⁵ cfu/mL of organism(s) that are not generally regarded as a cause of pneumonia, for example, *Streptococcus mitis* and other viridans streptococci, *Corynebacteria*, *Lactobacillus*, or *Candida* sp and (2) in whom the reading of the sputum Gram stain was consistent with these culture results were categorized as having pneumonia due to NRF. Cases in which PCR on a nasopharyngeal swab revealed a respiratory virus were diagnosed with viral pneumonia. Patients with a positive viral PCR who met criteria for RBP or NRF pneumonia were regarded as having viral/bacterial coinfection.

These criteria were used to stratify pneumonia into 6 etiologic groups: pneumonia due to (1) RBPs; (2) respiratory viruses; (3) coinfection by RBPs and a respiratory virus; (4) NRF; (5) coinfection by NRF and a respiratory virus; and (6) cause undetermined. Patients infected with RBPs whose sputum also contained >10⁶ cfu/mL NRF will be discussed below but, to follow convention, were categorized under RBP.

**Statistics**

Categorical values were compared using Fisher’s exact test. Mean values among groups were compared using analysis of variance. Median values were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

Of 163 patients whose sputum Gram stain met initial inclusion criteria, 43 were excluded for the following reasons: the official reading of the chest x-ray or a subsequent computed tomography did not confirm the presence of a pulmonary infiltrate (22 cases); antibiotics had been given for >16 hours (9); sputum was judged inadequate (8); and infection was thought not to be present (pulmonary edema in 3, diffuse alveolar hemorrhage in 1). In 79 of 120 (65.8%) cases, antibiotics had been given for ≤2 hours.

**Etiology: Recognized Respiratory Pathogens**

One or more RBPs were identified in sputum from 68 of 120 (56.7%) patients (Table 1); representative Gram stains and quantitative bacteriologic results are shown in Figure 1. *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was present in 26 of 120 (21.7%) cases—as the sole bacterial isolate in 20 (Figure 1A) and together with another RBP in 6. *Haemophilus influenzae* was detected in 27 (22.5%) cases, alone in 21 (Figure 1B) and together with another RBP in 6. *Staphylococcus aureus* (Figure 1C) and *Moraxella catarrhalis* were detected alone or as coinfecting bacterial agents in 11 and 7 cases, respectively. Median colony-forming units per milliliter for *S pneumoniae*, *H influenzae*, *M catarrhalis*, and *S aureus* were 2 × 10⁶, 4 × 10⁶, 7 × 10⁷, and 3 × 10⁶, respectively, and, after final review, Gram stain results were consistent with quantitative bacterial cultures in all but 4 of 68 (5.9%) cases. Cases in which Gram stain results did not match culture results were ones in which relatively small numbers of RBP’s and large numbers of NRF were detected, so it was easy to overlook the RBPs. Bacterial counts exceeded 10⁶ cfu/mL in 65 of 68 cases of pneumonia attributed to RBPs.

A respiratory virus (Table 1 and Figure 1D) was identified by PCR in 40 of 120 (33.3%) cases of CAP; in 14 cases, there was
coinfection with a RBP. Thus, in these 120 cases of CAP, ≥1 recognized bacterial and/or viral pathogen(s) was/were identified in 94 (78.3%) cases.

Etiology: Normal Respiratory Flora
Quantitative sputum cultures from 31 of 120 (25.8%) cases of CAP yielded ≥10^6 cfu/mL NRF (Table 3). In 21 (17.5%) cases, the viral PCR was negative and no RBP were recognized; in these cases, the cause was attributed solely to NRF. In every case in which Gram stain showed >20 polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs) per epithelial cells and large numbers of organisms including many within PMN, bacterial counts exceeded 10^6/mL, and no RBP was recognized even on quantitative culture (which allows for closer examination for minority populations). A coinfecting respiratory virus was documented in 10 cases (8.3% of the total 120 cases and 32% of patients infected with NRF). Organisms identified by MALDI-TOF as *S* mitis (oralis), alone or together with other NRF, predominated (14 of 31 [45.2%] cases; Figure 2A); the median cfu/mL of these streptococci was 5 × 10^6. Other NRF included viridans streptococci other than *S* mitis, *Corynebacteria* (Figure 2B), *Lactobacillus* sp, and *Candida* sp (Figure 2C). Chronic aspiration was cited in the medical records of 5 patients, including 3 of the 4 whose sputum contained >10^6 Candida per mL; in all of these patients, many PMNs contained yeast forms, and, in 2, the serum assay for 1,3-beta-D-glucan was strongly positive (>500 pg/mL). All samples that had large numbers of *Candida* were polymicrobial. After final review of sputum Gram stains in cases attributed to NRF, microscopic readings matched quantitative cultures in every case but 2. In these 2 cases, large numbers of Gram-positive cocci were seen by Gram stain, but quantitative cultures yielded <10^5 cfu per mL; we attributed infection in these cases to anaerobic organisms and categorized them as due to NRF.

**Table 1. Recognized Bacterial Pathogens in 120 Cases of Community-Acquired Pneumonia**

| Bacteria                          | RBP Alone | RBP + Respiratory Virus |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Streptococcus pneumoniae          | 15        | 5                       |
| *S* pneumoniae + *Haemophilus influenzae* | 2         | 2                       |
| *S* pneumoniae + *Staphylococcus aureus* | -         | 2                       |
| *H* influenzae                    | 16        | 5                       |
| *H* influenzae + *Moraxella*      | 2         | 0                       |
| *S* aureus                        | 7         | -                       |
| *S* aureus + *Moraxella*          | 1         | -                       |
| *S* aureus + *Klebsiella*         | 1         | -                       |
| *Moraxella catarrhalis*           | 4         | -                       |
| Pseudomonas                       | 4         | -                       |
| Other                             | 2*        | -                       |
| **Total**                         | 54        | 14                      |

Abbreviations: RBP, recognized bacterial pathogen.

*One case each of Pasteurella multocida and Mycobacterium avium intracellulare*

Viral Infection and Viral/Bacterial Coinfections
Polymerase chain reaction identified a respiratory virus in 40 of 120 (33.3%). In 16 cases, rare or no bacteria were seen on Gram

Figure 1. Recognized respiratory pathogens. (A) Pneumococcal pneumonia. Gram-positive cocci on Gram stain (left). Quantitative culture yielded 1.6 × 10^7 *Streptococcus pneumoniae* per milliliter of sputum (right); the figure shows colony-forming units in 0.01-mL aliquots of sputum that had been diluted by 10^-1 to 10^-4 after an initial 1:2 dilution with 4% N-acetyl cysteine in 0.9% saline. (B) *Haemophilus pneumoniae*. Gram stain (left) shows overwhelmingly predominant small Gram-negative coccobacilli. *Haemophilus influenzae*. It is interesting to note that occasional polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN) are laden with intracellular streptococci. Quantitative culture (right) revealed 2.3 × 10^7 *Haemophilus influenzae* and 5 × 10^4 viridans streptococci (not further specified). In this case, the streptococci were disregarded because the number fell below the defined threshold. (C) Staphylococcal pneumonia. Sputum cultured on blood agar (left) shows nearly pure growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* on sputum culture. Gram stain (right) shows many Gram-positive cocci in clusters. Quantitative culture (data not shown) yielded 2 × 10^5 S aureus/mL. (D) Influenza A virus pneumonia, no bacterial coinfection. Polymerase chain reaction on a nasopharyngeal swab was positive for influenza A virus. Despite absence of detectable bacteria, sputum is purulent (left, shown in collection cup) and contained 3 × 10^7 white blood cells per mL. Gram stain (right) is typical of the findings in viral pneumonia, showing many PMN and no bacteria. Sputum culture on blood agar showed scant growth in first quadrant only. Quantitative culture revealed 7 × 10^4 viridans streptococci and 3 × 10^4 Stomatococcus.
and 1.2 × 10^6 bacteria. (B) Pneumonia due to Streptococcus mitis (oralis). Gram stain (left) shows many polymorphonuclear leukocytes and Gram-positive cocci. Quantitative culture (right) revealed 6 × 10^5 S mitis (oralis) with <10^3/mL other bacteria. (C) Pneumonia due to Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum. Sputum Gram stain (left) showed diffuse short Gram-positive rods, some suggesting “Chinese lettering.” Routine sputum culture on admission (chocolate agar plate, right) showed with nearly pure growth, and quantitative culture yielded 2 × 10^7 colony-forming units per mL. The reading of Gram stain by the microbiology laboratory was “mixed Gram-positive organisms,” and the final culture report was “normal respiratory flora.” (D) Pneumonia due to Candida glabrata. Patient was suspected to have intermittent aspiration. Gram stain shows large numbers of yeast, many of which appear to be intracellular, and some Gram-positive cocci. Quantitative sputum culture showed 2 × 10^7 C glabrata and 2 × 10^5 viridans streptococci per mL. Serum (1,3)-beta-D-glucan level was >500 pg/mL (strongly positive). Fine, beaded Gram-positive filamentous bacteria did not grow in aerobic cultures. (E) Coinfection by RBP and NRF. Haemophilus influenzae and S mitis (left). Gram stain shows many small Gram-negative coccobacilli and Gram-positive cocci, many of which were cell-associated. Quantitative culture yielded 1.8 × 10^7 S mitis (oralis) and 1.2 × 10^6 H influenzae. Streptococcus pneumoniae and S mitis (right). Gram stain shows characteristic pairs of Gram-positive cocci suggestive of S pneumoniae as well as long chains of streptococci that are not consistent with pneumococci. Quantitative culture revealed 3 × 10^6 S pneumoniae and 1 × 10^6 S mitis (oralis) per mL.

Table 2. Respiratory Viruses in 120 Cases of Community-Acquired Pneumonia

| Virus                  | Virus Alone | Virus + RBP | Virus + NRF |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Influenza virus A      | 5           | 8           | 2           |
| Influenza virus B      | 1           | -           | 1           |
| Rhinovirus             | 6           | 2           | 4           |
| Human metapneumovirus  | 1           | 2           | 2           |
| Respiratory syncytial virus | 2       | 1           | -           |
| Adenovirus             | 1           | 1           | -           |
| Parainfluenza virus    | -           | -           | 1           |
| Total                  | 16          | 14          | 10          |

Abbreviations: NRF, normal respiratory flora; RBP, recognized bacterial pathogen.

A respiratory virus was found in 40 (33.3%) of 120 cases of CAP. More importantly, for purposes of treatment, 24 (60%) of all patients with a positive PCR for a respiratory virus had evidence for bacterial coinfection, whether by RBP or NRF. Overall, an etiologic agent was identified in 115 (95.8%) of 120 patients; 14 (20.6%) were coinfected with a respiratory virus. Normal respiratory flora caused CAP in 31 (25.8%) cases; 10 (32.3%) of these had viral coinfection. This difference in the rate of viral coinfection was not significant (P = .26). Bacterial coinfection by ≥2 RBP or by RBP plus NRF was seen in 23 (19.2%) cases.

A respiratory virus was found in 40 (33.3%) of 120 cases of CAP. More importantly, for purposes of treatment, 24 (60%) of all patients with a positive PCR for a respiratory virus had evidence for bacterial coinfection, whether by RBP or NRF. Overall, an etiologic agent was identified in 115 (95.8%) of 120 cases of CAP.

Charlon Comorbidity Index

Using the Charlon comorbidity index (CCI), we sought to determine whether patients with pneumonia due to NRF were more likely than those with RBP to be susceptible to pneumonia due to the presence of comorbid conditions. The CCI for all patients with NRF pneumonia was 6.6 vs 5.3 for those with RBP pneumonia and 4.2 for those with viral pneumonia alone (P = .01).
DISCUSSION

The novel finding in this study is that bacteria that are generally reported as NRF appears to play a causative role in 25.8% of cases of CAP. In 17.5% of adults hospitalized for CAP who provided a high-quality sputum, pneumonia appeared to be caused by NRF alone and, in 8.3%, by coinfection with NRF and a respiratory virus. In an additional 19.1% of patients whose sputum yielded RBP, coinfection with NRF may have played a contributory role; mixed bacterial infections will be discussed in detail below. These results support the hypothesis that, just as aspiration of RBPs cause pneumonia after colonization of the nasopharynx, in some proportion of cases, aspiration NRF that colonize the nasopharynx may do the same.

The present study identified a recognized bacterial and/or viral pathogen in 78.3% of cases of CAP, a result strikingly different from other recent studies (including ours [1]) that found a bacterial cause in <30% and failed to identify any cause in >50% of CAP [1–5, 15, 16]. The explanation for this difference is that the present study included only patients who expected a high-quality sputum and who had not received antibiotics for >16 hours; in fact, in two thirds of cases, antibiotics had been given for ≤2 hours. Although only a minority of pneumonia patients produce such a sputum in timely fashion, the sensitivity and specificity of Gram stain and culture of such specimens for RBP have previously been shown to be quite good [17–21]. Forty of 120 (33.3%) patients had PCR evidence for a viral infection, 14 (35.0%) of whom were coinfected with RBP; using different criteria, Falsey et al [22] found that, of 348 patients who were hospitalized for respiratory illness, a similar proportion (136 [39.1%]) had evidence for concurrent viral and bacterial infection. Using quantitative PCR, Gadzby et al [7] demonstrated an RBP in 87% of CAP; these authors did not use primers that could detect NRF. Including results for RBP, NRF, and viruses, the present study identified an etiologic CAP in 95.8% of CAP.

Laboratory Data

Blood cultures were positive in 6 (5.0%) cases, including 6 of 68 (8.8%) patients with pneumonia due to RBP and 0 of 31 (0%) due to NRF (P = .17). The median WBC count in peripheral blood of patients with pneumonia due to recognized bacteria (with or without viral coinfection) was 13 100/mm³, compared with 11 200 in patients with pneumonia due to NRF (with or without viral coinfection, P = .06) and 8400 in those with viral pneumonia alone (Table 5); in patients with viral infection, peripheral WBC count was significantly lower than in bacterial pneumonia (P = .01). The intensity of the inflammatory response in the lungs, as measured by median WBC per milliliter in liquefied sputum, was slightly greater in pneumonia due to recognized pathogens than pneumonia due to NRF (1.7 × 10⁷ vs 1.0 × 10⁶ per mL, P = .04), and far greater when all bacterial pneumonias were compared with viral pneumonias (1.5 × 10⁷ vs 3.2 × 10⁶, P = .01). Median procalcitonin levels were similar in these groups of patients, as was 14-day mortality.

Table 3. Etiologic Role of Normal Respiratory Flora in 120 Cases of Community-Acquired Pneumonia

| Microorganisms | NRF Alone | NRF + Respiratory Virus |
|----------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Streptococcus mitis (oralis) | 3 | 3 |
| S. mitis (oralis) + others* | 7 | 1 |
| Other (sole isolate) | 3 | 1 |
| Corynebacterium | 0 | 0 |
| Lactobacillus | 1 | 1 |
| Candida albicans | 1 | 0 |
| Others, no S. mitis | 6 | 4 |
| Total | 21 | 10 |

Abbreviations: NRF, normal respiratory flora.

*Others include the following: Streptococcus sanguinis, Streptococcus pyogenes, and Streptococcus salivarius; Corynebacterium propinquum and Corynebacterium pseudodiphtheriticum; Lactobacillus fermentarium; Actinomyces odontolyticus, Rothia mucilaginosa, Candida albicans and Candida glabrata.

Table 4. Final Identification of Bacterial and Viral Etiology in 120 Cases of Community-Acquired Pneumonia

| Clinical Feature | Bacterial Pathogen | Normal Respiratory Flora | Virus Only |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Peripheral WBC (per mm³) | 13 100 | 11 200 | 8400 |
| Procalcitonin (ng/mL) | 0.33 | 0.15 | 0.2 |
| Sputum WBC (per mL) | 1.7 × 10⁷ | 1.0 × 10⁷ | 3.2 × 10⁶ |
| 14-day mortality (%) | 3.0% | 3.2% | 12.5% |

Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cells.

*Data presented as medians.

**Overall comparison, P = .01; bacterial pathogen vs normal respiratory flora (NRF), P = .006; all bacterial vs viral, P = .01 (Kruskal-Wallis).
**Overall comparison, P = .13; bacterial pathogen vs NRF, P = .06; all bacterial vs viral, P = .22 (Kruskal-Wallis).
**Overall comparison, P = .003; bacterial pathogen vs NRF, P = .04; all bacterial vs viral, P = .01 (Kruskal-Wallis).
**Overall comparison, P = .04; bacterial pathogen vs NRF, P = .38; all bacterial vs viral, P = .31 (Fisher’s exact).

DISCUSSION

The novel finding in this study is that bacteria that are generally reported as NRF appears to play a causative role in 25.8% of cases of CAP. In 17.5% of adults hospitalized for CAP who provided a high-quality sputum, pneumonia appeared to be caused by NRF alone and, in 8.3%, by coinfection with NRF and a respiratory virus. In an additional 19.1% of patients whose sputum yielded RBP, coinfection with NRF may have played a contributory role; mixed bacterial infections will be discussed in detail below. These results support the hypothesis that, just as aspiration of RBPs cause pneumonia after colonization of the nasopharynx, in some proportion of cases, aspiration NRF that colonize the nasopharynx may do the same.

The present study identified a recognized bacterial and/or viral pathogen in 78.3% of cases of CAP, a result strikingly different from other recent studies (including ours [1]) that found a bacterial cause in <30% and failed to identify any cause in >50% of CAP [1–5, 15, 16]. The explanation for this difference is that the present study included only patients who expected a high-quality sputum and who had not received antibiotics for >16 hours; in fact, in two thirds of cases, antibiotics had been given for ≤2 hours. Although only a minority of pneumonia patients produce such a sputum in timely fashion, the sensitivity and specificity of Gram stain and culture of such specimens for RBP have previously been shown to be quite good [17–21]. Forty of 120 (33.3%) patients had PCR evidence for a viral infection, 14 (35.0%) of whom were coinfected with RBP; using different criteria, Falsey et al [22] found that, of 348 patients who were hospitalized for respiratory illness, a similar proportion (136 [39.1%]) had evidence for concurrent viral and bacterial infection. Using quantitative PCR, Gadzby et al [7] demonstrated an RBP in 87% of CAP; these authors did not use primers that could detect NRF. Including results for RBP, NRF, and viruses, the present study identified an etiologic CAP in 95.8% of CAP.
We are unaware of any previous study that has systematically sought a role for NRF in CAP. These organisms have been detected in transtracheal aspirates or bronchoalveolar lavage of patients with pneumonia, but investigators (including ourselves [12]) have paid little attention to them [12, 14, 23, 24]. Most microbiology laboratories do not speculate or otherwise identify NRF in sputum cultures, even when they are the predominant isolate (eg, Figure 2B). Nonetheless, a pathogenic role for NRF, including S mitis [25] and Rothia [26], has been demonstrated. We recently described a series of cases of pneumonia due to Corynebacterium sp [27], and Garg et al [28] documented bacteremia due to viridans streptococci and Corynebacteria in patients with influenza virus pneumonia.

The principal objection to the results of our study (aside from the fact that it goes against years of tradition in the world of microbiology and infectious diseases) is that it is not possible to obtain a sputum sample that is not heavily contaminated by oral bacteria. The following factors show that this is not the case and support the validity of our results. (1) Using semiquantitative methods, Chodosh [29, 30] reported that, during infection-free intervals, Gram-stained sputum from patients with chronic bronchitis contained very few bacteria. (2) Sputum from patients with viral pneumonia had rare or no bacteria on Gram stain and $< 3 \times 10^5$ cfu/mL NRF on quantitative culture (Figure 1D). (3) Sputum from patients with RBP were often remarkably free of other bacteria on Gram stain and quantitative culture (Figure 1A–C). (4) When NRF were implicated, Gram stains and cultures were similarly free of other organisms (Figure 2A and B). (5) The median number of NRF per milliliter sputum in CAP patients ($7 \times 10^6$ per mL) was strikingly similar to that observed in patients with pneumonia due to RBP ($8 \times 10^6$). Among our patients with CAP, streptococci in the mitis group, generally identified by MALDI-TOF as S mitis (oralis), were identified (with or without a respiratory virus) as the sole bacterial pathogen in 6 patients and together with other NRF in an additional 8 patients, thereby potentially implicating this group of organisms as the third most common bacterial cause of CAP (after S pneumoniae and H influenzae). The taxonomy of the mitis streptococci has become much more complicated with careful genetic analysis [31], but, in this study, we only identified alpha-hemolytic streptococci to the level of mitis after carefully excluding S pneumoniae, the limit to which most microbiology laboratories can go at the present time. Streptococcus mitis shares molecular characteristics of S pneumoniae, including the capacity to make capsule, and ample evidence shows their capacity to cause serious infection in humans [25, 32, 33]. Not surprisingly, NRF appeared to be less virulent than RBPs. Blood cultures were uniformly negative in NRF pneumonia (it should be noted that blood cultures are generally negative in pneumonia caused nontypeable H influenzae or Moraxella). In addition, the peripheral WBC count was lower in pneumonia due to NRF. The CCI was significantly greater in patients with NRF pneumonia, consistent with the concept that these individuals were more susceptible to pneumonia caused by less virulent bacteria, and 32.3% of patients with NRF pneumonia had viral coinfection compared with 14.7% in patients with RBPs, suggesting that a second insult may be necessary to allow NRF to cause pneumonia.

Of patients with RBP pneumonia, sputum from 14.7% had $\geq 2$ RBPs. Mixed bacterial infections in pneumonia were well documented in the past [34, 35], although this phenomenon has not received much attention from modern clinicians. Recent studies using molecular techniques also have recognized multiple bacterial pathogens in high-quality sputum samples [6, 7, 36]. These studies have all reported coinfections only with RBPs. We found that 13 of 68 (19.1%) patients infected with RBPs were coinfected with NRF, based on the presence of equal or higher numbers of NRF in their sputum, suggesting a pathogenic role for NRF in an even larger proportion of patients with CAP.

We specifically did not exclude patients who were identified by clinicians as having aspiration pneumonia (generally chronic aspiration in neurologically impaired and/or bedridden individuals) because our underlying hypothesis is that microaspiration plays a central role in the pathogenesis of all bacterial pneumonia. Chronic (macro)aspiration was noted clinically in only 5 patients in this series. Consistent with the concept that aspiration of bacteria of low pathogenicity by patients who are unable to clear secretions may cause pneumonia, these 5 patients had only NRF in their sputum or tracheal secretions, including several with large numbers of Candida and/or Lactobacillus species. Although the teaching has been that Candida does not cause CAP, the presence in sputum of large numbers of yeast forms within PMN (Figure 2C), high counts of Candida ($8 \times 10^6$ per mL), and positive tests for beta-d-glucan indicate that they do.

Our results validate the reliability of Gram stain under the conditions stated, namely that the sputum sample be of good quality and antibiotics not have been given for $> 16$ hours. They suggest that a Gram stain showing rare or no bacteria in a patient with a positive viral PCR who has not received antibiotics would justify withholding antibiotic therapy, a suggestion that opposes current guidelines [37]. However, absent such a Gram stain, our findings support recommendations by the guidelines for empiric antibiotics for patients hospitalized for CAP even if a viral PCR is a positive because fully 60% of our patients with a positive viral PCR had bacterial coinfection.

The present study, a single-center study with mainly male patients, was confined to patients who provided a high-quality expectorated sputum. We sought to minimize selection bias by selecting days to investigate during a 19-month period and, on those days, studying every patient who submitted a sputum
CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this study shows that NRF, alone or with viral coinfection, cause approximately one quarter of cases of CAP and may also contribute to another 11% of cases caused by RBPs. By limiting this study to patients who could provide a high-quality sputum specimen at, or shortly after admission, we found that (1) a causative organism could be identified in >95% of patients hospitalized for CAP, (2) bacteria, whether RBP or NRF, played a causative role in 82.5% of cases, and (3) when PCR demonstrates a respiratory virus, 35% of patients have bacterial coinfection due to RBP and another 25% to NRF. These results appear to validate current guidelines [37] that recommend empiric antibiotic therapy for all patients hospitalized for pneumonia.
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