Specific functions of TET1 and TET2 in regulating mesenchymal cell lineage determination
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Abstract

Background: The 5 hydroxymethylation (5hmC) mark and TET DNA dioxygenases play a pivotal role in embryonic stem cell differentiation and animal development. However, very little is known about TET enzymes in lineage determination of human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (BMSC). We examined the function of all three TET DNA dioxygenases, responsible for DNA hydroxymethylation, in human BMSC cell osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation.

Results: We used siRNA knockdown and retroviral mediated enforced expression of TET molecules and discovered TET1 to be a repressor of both osteogenesis and adipogenesis. TET1 was found to recruit the co-repressor proteins, SIN3A and the histone lysine methyltransferase, EZH2 to osteogenic genes. Conversely, TET2 was found to be a promoter of both osteogenesis and adipogenesis. The data showed that TET2 was directly responsible for 5hmC levels on osteogenic and adipogenic lineage-associated genes, whereas TET1 also played a role in this process. Interestingly, TET3 showed no functional effect in BMSC osteo-/adipogenic differentiation. Finally, in a mouse model of ovariectomy-induced osteoporosis, the numbers of clonogenic BMSC were dramatically diminished corresponding to lower trabecular bone volume and reduced levels of TET1, TET2 and 5hmC.

Conclusion: The present study has discovered an epigenetic mechanism mediated through changes in DNA hydroxymethylation status regulating the activation of key genes involved in the lineage determination of skeletal stem cells, which may have implications in BMSC function during normal bone regulation. Targeting TET molecules or their downstream targets may offer new therapeutic strategies to help prevent bone loss and repair following trauma or disease.
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Introduction

DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation have been shown to regulate stem cell maintenance and differentiation via the activation or suppression of pluripotency and lineage-specific gene expression [1]. Although DNA methylation was one of the first epigenetic modifications studied, 5 hydroxymethylation has only recently been identified as an intermediate step in the process of DNA demethylation. Rao and colleagues [2] were the first to describe that ten-eleven translocation-1 (TET1) proteins can catalyse conversion of 5 methylcytosine (5mC) into 5 hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC), as an intermediate step to the removal of the methylation mark on DNA, where 5hmC opposes the repressive effects of 5mC and can prevent methylation of DNA [2]. The TET protein family are comprised of 2-oxoglutarate and iron-dependent dioxygenases, where TET1 is mainly expressed by ESC, while TET2 and TET3 are more ubiquitously expressed...
across different cell lineages [2, 3]. ESC studies have shown that a key event in differentiation is the repression of pluripotency factors such as Oct4 and Nanog partly by DNA methylation [4]. TET1-deficient ESC display impaired self-renewal, diminished proliferation, with reduced Nanog expression coinciding with increased DNA methylation of its promoter [5]. Hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) derived from conditional TET2 knockout mice display an enhanced ability to reconstitute hematopoiesis in vivo but prevent HSC from undergoing differentiation, providing evidence that TET2 restricts aberrant self-renewal and expansion of hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) [6]. In support of this notion, one of the most common mutations in myeloid malignancies is present in TET2, where TET2-deficient HSC show a skewed differentiation towards myeloid lineages at the expense of lymphoid lineages [7, 8]. Recent studies of global TET1 and conditional TET2 knockout mice in the mesenchyme lineage showed impaired bone-forming capacity in BMSC [9]. In other systems, conditional knockout of TET2 in smooth muscle demonstrated that TET2 is essential for smooth muscle cell differentiation and that loss of TET2 expression results in de-differentiation [10]. Other studies reported that TET1 and TET2 mediate Foxp3 demethylation to drive regulatory T cell differentiation [11]. A combined loss of TET1 and TET2 results in depleted 5hmC levels [12], with most mice exhibiting midgestation defects and perinatal lethality. Triple knockouts of TET1/TET2/TET3 display a complete loss of 5hmC and increase in 5mC [13]. Differentiation of embryoid bodies is grossly impaired with a lack of mesoderm and endoderm markers. Global knockdown of all three TET molecules identified 1072 downregulated genes and 729 upregulated genes, illustrating that TET proteins can activate or repress transcription [13]. Furthermore, reprogramming of fibroblasts into iPSC results in increased levels of TET1 and TET2 and a decrease in TET3 [14].

Bone marrow mesenchymal stem/stromal cells (BMSC) exhibit the capacity for multi-lineage differentiation and self-renewal [15–19]. BMSC maintenance and cell fate determination have previously been shown to be mediated, in part, by the activity of the histone 3 lysine 4 (H3K4) methyltransferase, MLL1/2 [20], the H3K27 methyltransferase, Ezh2 [21], and associated demethylases, KDM6A [21] and KDM6B [22–24], via the regulation of key lineage-associated transcription factors [25–27]. In an effort to further identify epigenetic enzymes involved in BMSC lineage determination and growth, we examined the function of TET DNA hydroxymethylases in human BMSC lineage determination. Previous studies have shown that TET1 can influence recruitment of Ezh2 to promoters [28], and plays a role in stem cell self-renewal. In this study, we have identified a function role for both TET1 and TET2 in regulating human BMSC differentiation, by acting on genes involved in lineage determination. Moreover, we discovered that the expression of TET1 and TET2 is grossly deregulated in osteoporosis leading to deregulated 5hmC levels on promoters of genes controlling stem cell renewal and lineage determination in osteoporosis.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and antibodies

Human BMSC were derived from bone marrow aspirates from posterior iliac crest of normal adult volunteers after obtaining informed consent according to procedures approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the Royal Adelaide Hospital, South Australia (protocol# 940911a). Immunoselected STRO-1+ BMSC were cultured in regular growth medium as previously described [29].

In vitro differentiation assays

Human BMSC were cultured in either normal growth conditions, osteogenic inductive conditions (control growth media +10−7M dexamethasone, 10 mM HEPES buffer and 2.6 mM potassium phosphate) or adipogenic inductive conditions (control growth media+0.5 mM, methyliobutylmethyoxanthine, 0.5 μM hydrocortisone and 60 μM indomethacin) for up to 28 days as previously described [18]. Mineralised bone matrix formation was identified with Alizarin red (Sigma Aldrich Inc.) staining [29]. Extracellular calcium was measured in triplicate samples and normalised to DNA content per well as previously described [29]. Lipid formation was assessed and quantitation of lipid was performed by Nile red (Sigma Aldrich Inc, St Louis, MO) fluorescence staining, normalised to DAPI (Invitrogen/Life Technologies Australia, Mulgrave, VIC, AUS) stained nuclei per field of view in triplicate wells as previously described [29, 30].

Lentiviral transduction

Lentiviral transductions were performed by transfecting 5 μg of Lv105 (cat:Ex-Neg-Lv105; Geneoecopia, Rockville, MD), Lv105-TET2 [10], Lv231 (Ex-Neg-Lv231), Lv231-TET1 (Ex-E2856-Lv231) into HEK293 T cells together with 5 μg of packaging vector psPAX2 and VsVG using lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). After 48 h, 5 × 10^4 BMSC were infected with the supernatant for the HEK293 T cells three times every 12 h in the presence of 4 mg/ml polybrene. Transduced BMSC were selected with 1 μg/ml puromycin for 7 days and then maintained in 200 ng/ml puromycin.
siRNA knockdown
BMSC were transfected with 12 pmol siRNA targeting either TET1 (s37193; Ambion, Foster City, California), TET2 (cat: s29443), TET3 (s47238) or scramble control siRNA (AM4613), with RNAiMax lipofectamine (56532) in 100 μl media without foetal calf serum for 20 min. After 72 h, the media were replaced with either control growth media, osteogenic or adipogenic inductive media [29, 31, 32].

RNA extractions, cDNA synthesis and real-time PCR
Total RNA from approximately 1.5 × 10^6 human BMSC was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) according to manufacturers’ instructions. cDNA and real-time PCR was performed as previously described in triplicate [32]. Changes in gene expression were calculated relative to β-actin using the 2^−ΔΔCT method.

Oligonucleotides and primers

**Real-time primers**

- **β-ACTIN**: F: 5’gatcatgtgctctctgagc3’; R: 5’gtcatgtgctctagcac3’; **ADIPSIN**: F: 5’gacccgagccgggtggtt3’; R: 5’ctcagccagctggg3.
- **PPARγ**: F: 5’ctctagtacgctgagctg3’; R: 5’tCcagagtctttggg3.
- **LEPTIN**: F: 5’ctgatctgtgctctgagcc3’; **OSTEOSPONIN**: F: 5’ccatcttctgacagctgctg3’; R: 5’tccatctttgagctgctg3.
- **TET2** (Cat: s29443), **TET3** (s47238) or scramble control siRNA knockdown

**ChIP primers**

**RUNX2** TSS F: 5’agccctacagacaccaatc3’; R: 5’agaagagtcgacccagcatc3’; **RUNX2** Exon F: 5’cgaatagctttggtggt3’; R: 5’ttgagcgtgttggggt3’.
- **OSTEOCALCIN**: F: 5’tgagaagctctagccgag3’; R: 5’tcctgtgtgatgct3.

**Global 5hm and 5mc analysis**

The levels of 5mc and 5hmC were assayed by ELISA using either the Quest 5-hmC DNA ELISA Kit (Cat# D5425, Zymo Research) or 5-mC DNA ELISA Kit (cat# D5325, Zymo Research), respectively. Briefly, 96 well plates were coated with either anti-5-hydroxymethylcytosine polyclonal antibody or anti-5-methylcytosine polyclonal antibody (Zymo Research) (1 ng/μl) in coating buffer. BMSC were cultured for 2 weeks under normal, osteogenic or adipogenic inductive conditions. 100 ng of denatured DNA and standard DNA with known 5hmC or 5mc concentrations was added to the wells and incubated for 1 h. After washing, the cells were incubated with anti-DNA HRP conjugated antibody for 30 min. Wells were washed and HRP developer was added. The plates were read at 415 nm.
Ovariectomised mouse model
Animal experiments and analyses were approved by the SA Pathology (23/11) and University of Adelaide (M-2013-144) Animal Ethics Committees. Twelve-week-old C57BL/6 female mice were anaesthetised, and then their ovaries were either sited (sham surgery) or ovariectomised (OVX) as previously described [34]. The dorsal skin was then sutured and the mice were allowed to recover under normal housing conditions, with food and water provided ad libitum.

Three-dimensional micro-computed tomography (μCT) was performed as previously outlined [35] using Micro-CT (SkyScan 1076 X-ray Microtomography Sky-Scan, Bruker MicroCT, Kontich, Belgium, http://bruker-microct.com). Briefly, femora isolated from sham and OVX mice were scanned at 9 μm resolution, Al 0.5 mm filter, excitation 5890 ms, voltage 48 kV, current 110 mA, rotation step 0.6 and two-frame averaging. NRecon software was used to reconstruct the femora.

The incidence of murine clonogenic osteogenic progenitor cells was assessed using single-cell suspensions derived from bone marrow, and collagenase-treated crushed femoral bone chips were plated in colony-forming unit-fibroblast assays (CFU-F) which were performed as described previously [36].

Immunohistological staining was performed on sections (5 μm) of paraffin-embedded femora from OVX or sham surgery mice blocked using 5% horse serum (PIRL/SAHMRI; Gilles Plains, SA, Australia). Citrate antigen retrieval (DAKO; Glostrup, Denmark) was performed for 20 min at 95 °C, and endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched using 0.5% H2O2 in methanol for 40 min for 20 min at 95 °C, and endogenous peroxidase activity retrieval (DAKO; Glostrup, Denmark) was performed. Citrate antigen retrieval (DAKO; Glostrup, Denmark) was performed for 20 min at 95 °C, and endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched using 0.5% H2O2 in methanol for 40 min.

IHC staining was performed using primary anti-5hmC antibody (Abcam; Cambridge, UK; 1/500 dilution), secondary biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Labs; Burlingame, CA, USA; 1/250 dilution) and tertiary streptavidin conjugate (Life Technologies; Carlsbad, CA, USA) in the dark. IHC staining was performed using primary anti-5hmC antibody (Abcam; Cambridge, UK; 1/500 dilution), secondary biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG (Vector Labs; Burlingame, CA, USA; 1/250 dilution) and tertiary streptavidin conjugate (Life Technologies; Carlsbad, CA, USA; 1/100 dilution). Sections were stained using Liquid DAB+ Substrate Chromogen System (DAKO) and counterstained in Mayer’s haematoxylin.

Statistics
Data analysis, graph generation and statistical analysis were carried out using Microsoft graphpad prism 6. Differentiation, ChIP assays and gene expression analysis were assessed by one-way ANOVA. Statistical differences (*) of p ≤ 0.05 between samples are shown.

Results
BMSC differentiation is dependent on DNA methylation
DNA methylation has been shown to regulate differentiation in many cell types including BMSC. Our initial studies attempted to verify the repressive function of DNA methylation in BMSC lineage determination. Human BMSC were cultured in the presence of the DNA methyl transferase inhibitor, 5 azacytidine (5Aza-2). Concentrations of 5Aza-2 greater than 2 μM were found to inhibit proliferation and induce cell death, and hence all functional experiments were performed with 2 μM 5Aza-2 (unpublished observations). BMSC cultured in the presence of 5Aza-2 under osteogenic inductive conditions produced larger aggregates of Alizarin red stained mineralised deposits, when compared to BMSC treated with vehicle alone (Fig. 1a). Moreover, 5Aza-2-treated BMSC exhibited significantly higher levels of extracellular calcium when normalised to DNA content per well, compared to vehicle-treated cells. Parallel studies found that BMSC treated with 5Aza2 under adipogenic inductive conditions resulted in increased numbers of Nile red-positive lipid-forming adipocytes compared to BMSC treated with the vehicle control (Fig. 1b). These results confirm that DNA methylation is inhibitory to BMSC differentiation.

The role of hydroxymethylation during BMSC differentiation
Since DNA methylation is inhibitory to BMSC development and the enzymatic activity of TET family proteins results in the hydroxylation of methylated DNA (5mC) to 5hmC, which opposes the repressive functions associated...
with 5mC, we investigated whether the DNA hydroxylase TET family of enzymes regulate differentiation of BMSC. Analysis of TET1, TET2 and TET3 gene expression during BMSC differentiation showed that TET1 transcript levels were significantly decreased at 2 and 3 weeks post-osteogenic induction, when compared to BMSC cultured under normal growth conditions (Fig. 1c). In contrast, TET2 transcript levels were significantly increased at 3 weeks post-osteogenic induction (Fig. 1d) and then transcript levels decreased. TET3 expression levels only showed a significant increase at 4 weeks of osteogenic induction compared to control-treated cells (Fig. 1e).

Gene expression levels measured during adipogenic differentiation demonstrated that TET1 transcript levels did not significantly change compared to BMSC cultured under normal growth conditions (Fig. 1f). Levels of TET2 transcript did not show any significant changes during adipogenesis (Fig. 1g), whereas TET3 showed a significant decrease at 1-week post-adipogenic induction (Fig. 1h). These data suggest that TET molecules may play a role in BMSC cell fate determination with more dynamic changes in gene expression evident during osteogenesis.

Given that 5hmC is an intermediate that leads to DNA demethylation and that we saw effects on BMSC differentiation when using the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor, we next assessed global levels of 5mc and 5hmC following osteogenic and adipogenic induction. The data showed a significant increase in 5hmC levels under osteogenic conditions for 1 week, with an associated downregulation of 5mc levels (Fig. 1i). Similarly, global 5hmC levels appeared elevated correlating with decreased 5mc levels post-1 week under adipogenic inductive conditions (Fig. 1j). Western blot analysis revealed that TET1 protein levels were relatively unchanged during BMSC differentiation, while TET2 protein levels were elevated following 2 weeks of osteogenic or adipogenic inductive conditions (Fig. 1k).

To address the potential role of TET molecules during BMSC differentiation, human BMSC were treated with either scramble control siRNA or siRNA specific to TET1, TET2 or TET3. The gene expression levels of all three TET molecules were significantly knocked down compared to scrambled controls (Fig. 2a). These results were confirmed with two siRNAs directed to either TET1, 2 or 3 (data not shown). Western blot analysis confirmed diminished TET protein levels following siRNA-mediated knockdown of TET1, TET2 and TET3 (Fig. 2b).

Functional studies showed that siRNA TET1-treated BMSC exhibited an enhanced osteogenic potential, compared to scramble siRNA-treated cells (Fig. 2c), whereas siRNA knockdown of TET2 resulted in a significantly reduced osteogenic potential, as assessed by Alizarin red staining (Fig. 2c) and quantitation of extracellular calcium levels (Fig. 2d). However, siRNA knockdown of TET3 showed no significant difference in mineral formation compared to scramble siRNA control cells (Fig. 2c, d). Supportive studies found that siRNA TET1-treated BMSC expressed significantly higher transcript levels of the osteogenic genes RUNX2, BMP-2, but not OSTEOPONTIN and OSTEOCALCIN compared to scramble siRNA-treated cells, whereas siRNA TET2-treated cells displayed a significant reduction in RUNX2, BMP-2, OSTEOPONTIN and OSTEOCALCIN gene expression levels (Fig. 2e).

Assessment of the role of TET proteins during BMSC adipogenic differentiation showed that siRNA knockdown of TET1 resulted in an increase in Nile red-positive adipocytes, compared to the scramble siRNA control (Fig. 2f, g). Conversely, siRNA knockdown of TET2 resulted in a substantial decrease in Nile red-positive adipocytes compared to the scramble siRNA-treated cells (Fig. 2f, g). However, siRNA knockdown of TET3 showed no significant effect when compared to scramble siRNA-treated BMSC. Gene expression studies found that siRNA TET2-treated BMSC expressed significantly lower transcript levels of the adipogenic genes PPARy2, C/EBPa, ADIPSIN and LEPTIN compared to scramble siRNA-treated cells (Fig. 2h) with no significant effect when TET1 or TET3 was knocked down.

The function of TET1 and TET2 during BMSC differentiation was confirmed by enforced expression of either TET1 or TET2 full-length cDNA in BMSC and compared to empty vector controls. Lentiviral-mediated
overexpression of \textit{TET1} and \textit{TET2} was verified using qPCR (Fig. 3a) and Western blot analyses (Fig. 3b). Stably transduced BMSC were subsequently cultured under osteogenic inductive conditions and assessed for mineral formation. \textit{TET1}-overexpressing BMSC produced lower amounts of Alizarin red mineralised deposits compared to empty vector control BMSC, correlating with significantly lower extracellular calcium levels (Fig. 3c). Gene expression studies found that \textit{TET1}-overexpressing BMSC exhibited lower transcript levels of \textit{RUNX2} and \textit{BMP-2} under osteogenic inductive conditions compared to vector control BMSC (Fig. 3d). In contrast, \textit{TET2}-overexpressing BMSC exhibited greater amounts of Alizarin red stained mineralised deposits, correlating with significantly higher levels of extracellular calcium levels (Fig. 3e). Confirmatory qPCR showed that \textit{TET2}-overexpressing BMSC expressed significantly higher levels of \textit{RUNX2} and \textit{BMP-2} transcripts under osteogenic inductive conditions compared to vector control BMSC (Fig. 3f).

We next sought to determine the contribution of both \textit{TET1} and \textit{TET2} to global 5hmC and 5mC demethylation. Human BMSC were transfected with either siRNA targeting \textit{TET1} or \textit{TET2} alone or both \textit{TET1}/\textit{TET2} in combination and then cultured under control or osteogenic inductive media. The data showed significant effects on global 5mC levels only when both \textit{TET1} and \textit{TET2} were knocked down in combination under normal and osteogenic conditions (Additional file 1: Figure S1a). Examination of 5mC revealed that dual knockdown of both \textit{TET1} and \textit{TET2} caused significantly higher levels of global 5mC under both normal and osteogenic conditions (Additional file 1: Figure S1a). Functional analyses found that osteogenesis was impeded in the presence of siRNA targeting \textit{TET2}, negating the increase in BMSC mineralisation following treatment with si\textit{TET1} (Additional file 1: Figure S1c). Therefore, both \textit{TET1} and \textit{TET2} appear to contribute to global 5hmC and 5mC demethylation but work through different mechanisms to regulate BMSC osteogenic differentiation.

Under adipogenic inductive conditions, overexpression of \textit{TET1} inhibited the amount of Nile red-positive, lipid-containing adipocytes compared to BMSC infected with empty vector (Fig. 3g). Gene expression analyses demonstrated significantly lower levels of \textit{PPARγ2} and transcript in \textit{TET1}-overexpressing BMSC cultured under adipogenic inductive conditions compared to vector control BMSC (Fig. 3h). Conversely, overexpression of \textit{TET2} promoted higher numbers of lipid-forming adipocytes compared to vector control BMSC (Fig. 3i). Supportive studies showed that \textit{TET2}-overexpressing BMSC exhibited significantly higher levels of \textit{PPARγ2} and \textit{ADIPSIN} under adipogenic inductive conditions, compared to vector control BMSC (Fig. 3i). Collectively, these findings indicate that \textit{TET1} represses differentiation of BMSC into osteoblasts or adipocytes and that \textit{TET2} promotes BMSC differentiation.

\textbf{Deregulation of \textit{TET1} and \textit{TET2} expression and activity during osteoporosis}

During the process of skeletal aging and the development of diseases such as age-related osteoporosis, BMSC numbers and differentiation potential can be compromised, leading to increased adipogenesis in the bone marrow at the expense of bone formation [37]. As our results clearly show a function for TET molecules in BMSC lineage determination, we performed experiments to assess the levels of \textit{TET} genes and 5hmC during osteoporosis. Femora harvested from mice 12-week post-ovariectomy showed reduced levels of trabeculae bone and per cent bone relative to tissue volume by μCT analysis, compared to aged matched sham surgery controls (Fig. 4a). These data correlated with lower numbers of colony-forming units-fibroblastic (CFU-F) in ovirectomised mice.
compared to sham controls (Fig. 4b). Gene expression studies of freshly isolated stromal cells (Sca1+/Lin−/CD31−/CD45−) demonstrated that transcript levels for both TET1 and TET2 were significantly reduced in ovariectomised mice, whereas TET3 expression showed no significant difference, when compared to sham controls (Fig. 4c). In support of the reduced levels of TET1 and TET2, we also observed a reduced global staining of 5hmC in femoral sections derived from overiectomised mice compared to sham-treated mice (Fig. 4d). Confirmatory analyses showed significantly higher levels of global 5hmC in cultured stromal cells derived from OVX mouse limb bone compared to sham surgery control mouse bone (Fig. 4e).

**TET1 and TET2 are responsible for 5hmC on lineage genes**

Given that the TET1 and TET2 enzymes convert 5mC on DNA to 5hmC, h-MeDIP analysis was employed to determine the 5hmC status of the lineage markers. To determine which TET member is responsible for 5hmC on lineage genes, we knocked down all three TET family members individually and assessed 5hmC on the TSS under normal culture conditions. Knockdown of both TET1 and TET2 resulted in a significant decrease in 5hmC along the RUNX2 TSS, whereas knockdown of TET3 had no significant effect (Fig. 5a). Knockdown of TET2 significantly reduced 5hmC on the BMP2 TSS but knockdown of TET1 or TET3 had no significant effect (Fig. 5b). We next examined whether 5hmC levels change during osteogenic differentiation. The data demonstrated significant levels of 5hmC on the RUNX2 TSS, exon and intron, when compared to the IgG control in both control and osteogenic inductive conditions (Fig. 5c). The same was evident for the BMP2 TSS, exon and intron (Fig. 5d).

We next examined 5hmC on adipogenic genes. siRNA knockdown of TET1 or TET2 resulted in a significant decrease in 5hmC for both PPARγ2 and ADIPSIN, whereas TET3 had no effect (Fig. 6a, b). We then examined levels of 5hmC during adipogenic differentiation. 5hmC was present on the PPARγ2 TSS, exon and intron (Fig. 6c), where levels remained constant under normal conditions.
Fig. 5 TET1 and TET2 influence 5hmC on osteogenic genes. BMSC were cultured under normal growth conditions and treated with scramble siRNA or siRNA directed to TET1 (siTET1) or TET2 (siTET2) and genomic DNA purified and immunoprecipitated using an antibody to 5hmC. Recruitment of 5hmC to genomic regions was assessed by the hme-DIP analysis and normalised to the genomic input control. a Relative enrichment of 5hmC on RUNX2 transcription start site (TSS) was measured using PCR. b 5hmC on BMP2 TSS was measured as in (a). BMSC were cultured under normal growth and genomic DNA purified and immunoprecipitated using an antibody to 5hmC. Recruitment of 5hmC to genomic regions was assessed by the hme-DIP analysis and normalised to the genomic input control. c Cells were cultured under normal and osteogenic conditions. Relative enrichment of 5hmC on RUNX2 transcription start site (TSS), exon and intron regions. d Relative enrichment of 5hmC on BMP2 TSS, exon and intron regions, percentage input. Data represent mean S.E.M., n=3 BMSC donors, *p ≤ 0.05, one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison analyses.
Fig. 6 TET1 and TET2 influence 5hmC on adipogenic genes. BMSC were cultured under normal growth conditions and treated with scramble siRNA or siRNA directed to TET1 (siTET1) or TET2 (siTET2) and genomic DNA purified and immunoprecipitated using an antibody to 5hmC. Recruitment of 5hmC to genomic regions was assessed by the hme-DIP analysis and normalised to the genomic input control. 

a Relative enrichment of 5hmC on PPARγ transcription start site (TSS) and 
b ADIPSIN was measured using qPCR. c BMSC were cultured under normal growth (Cont) or adipogenic (Adipo) conditions and then processed for chromatin extraction. Relative enrichment of 5hmC on PPARγ2 transcription start site (TSS), exon and intron regions. d Relative enrichment of 5hmC on ADIPSIN TSS, exon and intron regions. Data represent mean S.E.M., n = 3 BMSC donors, *p ≤ 0.05, one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison analyses.
adipogenic conditions. Similar findings were evident for the ADIPSIN TSS, exon and intron (Fig. 6d).

**TET1 and TET2 directly bind lineage genes**

ChIP analysis was employed to examine whether TET1 and TET2 directly bind to lineage-specific genes to regulate transcription. Assessment of the level of TET1 occupancy along the RUNX2 gene locus found that TET1 was enriched on the RUNX2 transcription start site (TSS) (Fig. 7a), with similar enrichment along the exon, intron and 3’UTR, under normal growth conditions compared to IgG control. However, under osteogenic inductive conditions, the levels of TET1 on the RUNX2 gene locus decreased dramatically. Significant levels of TET1 were also present along the BMP2 TSS (Fig. 7b), exon and intron, under normal growth conditions; however, TET1 binding levels were significantly decreased during osteogenesis. Assessment of TET2 occupancy by ChIP analysis found significant levels of enrichment along the RUNX2 TSS (Fig. 7c), exon but not the intron or 3’UTR under normal growth conditions compared to IgG control. During osteogenesis, TET2 levels remained the same along the RUNX2 TSS (Fig. 7c) and exon but increased along the intron, with no significant changes on the UTR. TET2 was enriched along the BMP2 TSS under control conditions (Fig. 7d), and along the TSS, exon and intron of BMP2 under osteogenic conditions.

Occupancy of TET1 and TET2 was also examined on adipogenic promoters. The data showed that TET1 was not enriched on PPARγ2 or ADIPSIN genes under normal growth or adipogenic inductive conditions (Fig. 8a, b). However, TET2 was found to be recruited to PPARγ2 TSS and ADIPSIN TSS under normal growth conditions but not the exons and introns. TET2 recruitment increased under adipogenic conditions and was present along exons and introns (Fig. 8c, d).

We have previously reported that the histone H3K27 methyl transferase, EZH2, is recruited to osteogenic genes, repressing osteogenic gene expression. TET1 has been shown to interact with SIN3A, HDAC1 and promote EZH2 recruitment to promoters. We therefore examined whether TET1 or TET2 can recruit these proteins in BMSC to lineage-specific promoters. Cells were treated with scramble siRNA or siRNA targeting TET1 or TET2 and assessed for recruitment of SIN3A, HDAC and EZH2. SIN3A was specifically recruited to the RUNX2 TSS in scramble-treated cells, and specific recruitment was eliminated when TET1 was knocked down but not when TET2 was knocked down. No specific recruitment of HDAC was evident (Fig. 9a). EZH2 was recruited on the RUNX2 TSS, which was reduced when TET1 was knocked down but not when TET2 was knocked down. A similar profile was evident for BMP2. SIN3A and EZH2 were recruited to the BMP2 TSS. This was drastically reduced when TET1 was knocked down but not TET2 (Fig. 9b). Furthermore, we failed to observe any specific recruitment of SIN3A, HDAC or EZH2 on adipogenic genes, PPARγ and ADIPSIN (Fig. 9c, d).

**Discussion**

In this study, we confirmed that inhibition of DNA methylation promotes human BMSC differentiation as treatment with 5-Aza2 showed increased osteogenesis and adipogenesis. This prompted us to examine the importance of 5hmC in BMSC differentiation, which has been shown to counteract the effects of DNA methylation by removing the methylation mark or inhibiting further methylation. Functional assessment of TET molecules found that TET1 inhibits both osteogenesis and adipogenesis by repressing transcription of RUNX2 and PPARγ2, two essential master regulators of BMSC osteogenic and adipogenic commitment, respectively. Even though TET2 is the main enzyme responsible for 5hmC on osteogenic and adipogenic genes, we found that TET1 is also partly responsible for 5hmC directly on osteogenic and indirectly on adipogenic genes as we did not detect recruitment of TET1 on adipogenic genes. However, TET2 was recruited on the adipogenic genes; hence, it is likely that TET1 possibly is in a complex with TET2 or it influences the recruitment of TET2 to the adipogenic genes.

Functionally, however, TET1 represses transcription of osteogenic and adipogenic genes, which conflicts with its ability to influence 5hmC. Further analysis revealed that TET1 influences the recruitment of SIN3A and EZH2 to the osteogenic promoters. This was not evident for TET2. We attribute the repressive function of TET1 to its ability to promote recruitment of the repressors, SIN3A and EZH2, to osteogenic genes. We showed that TET1 and not TET2 is responsible for their recruitment. Previous
studies have reported that TET1 can exist in a complex with Sin3A and HDAC, recruiting them to promoters to influence the recruitment of Ezh2 [28, 38–40]. This is consistent with our previous findings showing that Ezh2 is recruited to osteogenic genes and inhibits osteogenesis [21]. This finding therefore has provided some insight into how Ezh2 is recruited to the promoters of osteogenic genes.

We previously did not detect Ezh2 on adipogenic genes nor have we now found TET1 to be recruited. It is likely that TET1 is repressing an upstream activator of adipogenesis, and this will be the basis of future investigations. Secondly, despite the reduction in 5hmC when TET1 is knocked down, the increase in gene expression and osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation indicates that its repressive function is the most prominent feature in relation to differentiation. TET1 genomic location in ESC has been shown to overlap with PRC2, Ezh2, Sin3A and H3K27me3, where it can be recruited by the PRC2 complex to bivalent sites. TET1 has also been found to co-immunoprecipitate with PRC2 complex proteins, Ezh2 and SUZ12c. It was reported that with TET1-dependent demethylation and Ezh2-mediated repression through Ezh2, the TET1–PRC2 complex maintains developmental genes in a poised state of activation and is thought to contribute to plasticity [38]. Genome-wide studies show that TET1 has a preference to bind gene-rich regions with CpG-rich promoters with higher levels of recruitment along the transcription start sites and less so throughout the gene body [41]. A majority of TET1-bound promoters are also marked with H3K4me3, high CpG content which are hypomethylated in support of the role of TET1 in removing DNA methylation [42]. A second set of TET1-bound genes was associated with H3K27me3 and corresponded to the binding of the PRC2 complex [43]. A significant amount of these genes are involved in differentiation and development, with TET1 associated with H3K27me3 or bivalent domains. 5hmC is enriched at promoter regions and TSS and mainly on regions enriched in CpG islands, which are devoid of 5mC implying that TET1 via its ability to hydroxylate 5mC contributes to the hypomethylated signature of CpG islands in ESC [40].

In our study, TET2 was found to promote BMSC cell lineage commitment towards either an osteoblast or adipocyte fate, partly via transcriptional activation of Runx2 or PPARγ2, respectively, and it was the main protein of the TET family responsible for 5hmC on both osteogenic and adipogenic genes. Interestingly, the third TET family member, TET3, appeared to have no effect on human BMSC differentiation. Our finding that TET1 is a potential inhibitor of human BMSC differentiation is in accord with previous studies identifying a functional role for TET1 in ESC, iPSC and neuronal stem cell development [44, 45]. TET1-deficient ESC display impaired self-renewal capacity, partly because of increased methylation and decreased expression of Nanog [5]. Another study, however, reported that TET1-deficient ESC displayed normal levels of pluripotency genes [46]; however, studies consistently show an increase in lineage-specific markers, such as trophoectoderm and neuroectoderm, associated with developmental skewing towards endoderm and mesoderm fates [44]. Triple knockout of TET1-3 in mESC shows results in an overactive Wnt signalling which results in skewed differentiation to mesoderm at the expense of neuroectoderm [47]. Wnt signalling is important in osteogenesis and adipogenesis, and it would be of interest to determine the effect of TET1–TET3 on Wnt signalling and differentiation in bone marrow-derived MSC. These findings correlate with our observations that reduction of TET1 expression resulted in an increase in osteogenesis and adipogenesis, while overexpression of TET1 inhibited BMSC differentiation.

In agreement, with our data a recent study found that TET2 is mainly responsible for inducing adipogenesis of a murine preadipocyte cell line 3T3-L1 and was responsible for 5hmC of the PPARγ locus [48]. In another study, TET2 and TET1 were found to promote osteoblast formation of murine mesenchymal multipotent C3H cells using short hairpin RNA. Both TET1 and TET2 were found to promote 5hmC [49]. TET2 has been found to be responsible for neurogenic differentiation of neuronal stem cells and is primarily responsible for the 5hmC dynamics [50]. Another study showed that knockdown of TET2 but not TET1 inhibited myogenic differentiation of myoblasts and was responsible for 5hmC [51]. In agreement, previous studies have found that TET2 is master regulator of smooth muscle cell differentiation [10].

In mESC, it has been shown that TET2 knockout results in 90% reduction in 5hmC, whereas knockout of
TET1 results in 44% reduction. TET2−/− mESC showed delayed gene transcription kinetics during ESC differentiation to the neuronal lineage [52]. Although the TET2 data are in agreement, the TET1 functional data contradict ours. This could be due to TET1 having a different function in the murine MSC cell line as opposed to primary human MSC. Another study has shown using a murine teratocarcinoma cell line, ATDC5, that TET1 promotes chondrogenesis and 5hmC by short hairpin RNA [53]. Similarly, TET1 knockdown using shRNA lentiviral vectors shows that TET1 promotes odontogenic differentiation of dental pulp stem cells [54]. A recent study by Yang et al. found that TET1 and TET2 deficiency in mouse bone cells reduced demethylation of the P2rX7 promoter and thus downregulated exosome release, leading to intracellular accumulation of miRNAs which inhibits Runx2 signalling to impair osteogenic differentiation [9]. It therefore appears that TET molecules may act through direct and indirect mechanisms to regulate BMSC cell fate determination.

Our studies revealed the occupancy of TET2 on bone-associated genes, RUNX2 and BMP2, with TET2 levels increasing during osteogenic induction across exons and introns, whereas the appearance of 5hmC remained relatively the same, even though TET2 was shown to be a transcriptional activator of these genes based on knockdown experiments. TET2 knockdown studies showed that the presence of 5hmC on osteogenic and adipogenic promoters was mainly attributed to TET2. A recent study reported that stable expression of the osteoblast transcription factor, Osterix, during BMP2-induced osteoblast differentiation involved TET-dependent DNA demethylation and SWI/SNF-associated nucleosome remodelling at the Osterix promoter, in mouse embryonic fibroblasts [49]. Therefore, while TET2-mediated conversion of 5mC into 5hmC is needed for transcriptional activation, this occurs in conjunction with other recruited epigenetic enzymes and chromatin remodelling enzymes [49]. It is therefore likely that TET2 can interact with other enzymes to modify the nucleosomes and increase transcription. This is evident in smooth muscle cells, where removal of TET2 results in a decrease in chromatin accessibility and increased H3K27me3 on Myocd, Srf and Myh11 gene loci [10], leading to suppression of smooth muscle differentiation. Genome-wide studies of TET1 deletion in ESC correlated with diminished 5hmC levels and a change in the histone methylation status, supporting the notion that TET proteins and 5hmC can regulate other nucleosome modifications [43, 55]. When considering the gene binding profile of TET1, TET2 and 5hmC in BMSC, we believe that TET-mediated 5hmC is a marker for poised transcription and is present on genes that are active or can be transcriptionally activated in

**Fig. 9** TET1 influences SIN3A and EZH2 binding to osteogenic genes. 

a BMSC were treated with scramble siRNA (scram), siTET1 or siTET2, cultured under normal growth and then processed for chromatin extraction. Recruitment of SIN3A, HDAC2 or EZH2 molecules to the RUNX2 transcription start site (RUNX2 TSS) was assessed by chromatin immunoprecipitation and normalised to the genomic input control. 

b Cells were treated as in (a) but enrichment was assessed on the BMP2 transcription start site (BMP2 TSS). The cells were cultured as in (a) but enrichment was assessed on the PPAR transcription start site (PPAR TSS). 

c Cells were treated as in (a) but enrichment was assessed on the ADIPSIN transcription start site (ADIPSIN TSS). Data represent mean S.E.M., n = 3 BMSC donors, *p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison analyses.

TET1 influences SIN3A and EZH2 binding to osteogenic genes.
BMSC. This is supported by other studies showing that 5hmC is biased towards activated genes involved in differentiation [56]. Genome-wide studies have discovered that genes with 5hmC at their TSS tend to also be CpG rich and contain bivalent domains, and are more likely to be upregulated during differentiation; thus, 5hmC is likely to contribute to the poised state of activation [57].

While the present study failed to observe any effect of TET3 on BMSC differentiation, there may be some possibility of redundancy between TET molecules. We showed that dual knockdown of both TET1 and TET2 in human BMSC was required to significantly decrease global levels of 5hmC and increase 5mC under either normal or osteogenic inductive conditions. However, we failed to observe any additive effects of knocking down both TET1 and TET2 on BMSC osteogenic differentiation, when compared to TET2 knockdown alone. Similar studies examining TET3 knockdown in combination with TET2 knockdown showed no significant additive effects on BMSC osteogenic differentiation compared to TET2 alone (data not shown), implying that TET2 has a dominant effect on osteogenesis.

In an attempt to initiate studies examining the function of TET enzymes during bone disease, we examined expression levels of TET molecules in ovariectomised osteoporotic mice. The data demonstrated a significant decrease in expression of TET1 and TET2 and overall 5hmC levels in the bones of ovariectomised mice. These results strengthen our conclusions that TET1 and TET2 have important functions in 5hmC and bone biology. Their concomitant reduction in the murine osteoporotic model also suggests that both TET1 and TET2 could have similar functions in murine bone marrow stromal cells. A recent mouse study showed that depletion of both TET1 and TET2 resulted in impaired self-renewal and differentiation of BMSC, which was associated with a significant osteopenia phenotype and supports the observation that TET1 and TET2 expression is reduced in OXV mice leading to decreased 5hmC levels in BMSC and impaired bone formation [9]. Given that both TET1 and TET2 are severely reduced in osteoporosis, pharmacological re-induction of TET1/TET2 may be of therapeutic benefit to individuals suffering from age-related bone loss or osteoporosis [58].

**Conclusion**

In summary, TET DNA dioxygenases were found to play a critical role in BMSC differentiation. TET1 was found to be an inhibitor of BMSC osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation and appeared to act via an indirect mechanism through the recruitment of other epigenetic modifiers such as SIN3A and EZH2 (Fig. 10). Conversely, TET2 was found to directly promote BMSC osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation. Moreover, both TET1 and TET2 transcript levels were shown to be downregulated during osteoporosis. Targeting TET molecules or their downstream targets may offer new therapeutic strategies to help prevent bone loss and repair following trauma or disease.

**Additional file**

**Additional file 1: Figure S1.** TET1 and TET2 contribute to global 5hmC and 5mC demethylation. (a) Human BMSC were treated with siRNA directed to TET1 (siTET1) or TET2 (siTET2) alone or in combination (siTET1 + 2), then cultured under normal control media or under osteogenic inductive conditions for 1 week. Genomic DNA was purified and global 5hmC and 5mC levels were measured by ELISA, relative to total input DNA. Data represent mean S.E.M, n = 3 BMSC donors, *p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with multiple comparisons. (b) BMSC were treated with either siTET1 or siTET2 alone or in combination (siTET1 + 2) and then cultured under osteogenic inductive conditions for 3 weeks. Mineral deposits were stained with Alizarin red.

**Abbreviations**

BMSC: bone marrow stromal/stem cells; TET: ten-eleven translocation proteins; 5mC: 5 methylcytosine; 5hmC: 5 hydroxymethylcytosine; OXV: ovariectomised; ESC: embryonic stem cells; HSC: hematopoietic stem cells; H3K4, H3K27: histone 3 lysine 4 or 27; TSS: transcription start site; UTR: untranslated region; 5Aza-2: 5 azacytidine.
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**Fig. 10** Function of TET1 and TET2 in human MSC lineage determination. a 5hmC mark on osteogenic genes is directly attributed to TET2 and to some extent TET1 allowing a permissive transcriptional state. TET1 also represses osteogenic gene transcription presumably by recruiting SIN3A and EZH2, where its removal during osteogenesis activates gene transcription. b TET2 is directly responsible for 5hmC on adipogenic genes, allowing for a permissive transcriptional state, where TET1 indirectly represses adipogenic gene transcription.
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