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**ABSTRACT**

Bacterial blight is one of the most important disease of Pomegranate caused by bacterium *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. punicae* (Xap). The disease is characterized by small, irregular, translucent, water soaked spots with light to dark necrotic centre surrounded by prominent yellow margin on leaves. The isolates were made from the symptomatic samples collected from four districts of Karnataka viz., Vijayapur, Bagalkot, Koppal, and Chitradurg. The variability was studied among the four isolates of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. punicae* by using morphological, cultural and molecular characteristics. *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. punicae* pure culture was isolated and confirmed its pathogenicity on susceptible variety Bhagawa and molecular identification was carried out using specific marker genes viz., 16S rRNA and gyrB. All four isolates were screened with three different markers viz., REP, ERIC and BOX to distinguish among them at genetic level. Amplification of ERIC, BOX and Rep region DNA from four isolates of pomegranate bacterial blight from four locations with three different primers yielded a total 28, 20 and 24 bands respectively with monomorphic banding pattern in all four isolates and amplicon size ranging from 300 to 2000 bp with BOX and ERIC primers, whereas in case of Rep primers the range is 200 to 1000 bp only. From this, it is confirmed that not much variation is existing in the collected isolates. Hence, isolate from Vijayapur (XapI) was used for further screening of the genotypes against bacterial blight both in vivo and in vitro.
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**Introduction**

Pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.), a favorite table fruit in tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world belongs to family *Punicaceae*, having chromosome number (2n = 16) and is an ancient fruit with rich history and believed to have originated from Iran but extensively cultivated in Mediterranean region especially in Spain, Morocco, Egypt and Afghanistan. It is also grown in drier parts of Southeast Asia, Burma, China, Japan, USA, West Indies, Russia, Bulgaria, Tropical America, Southern Italy and India (Priya *et al.*, 2016). In India it is cultivated over an area of 131.00 thousand hectare with a production of 1346.00 thousand MT (Anonymous 2015). Amongst different states growing pomegranate, Maharashtra is the largest producer with a total area of 90 thousand hectare and production of 945.00 thousand MT followed by Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat and
Rajasthan. In Karnataka the area and production of pomegranate is 16.6 thousand hectare and 134.20 thousand MT, respectively (Anonymous, 2015). Area under pomegranate is increasing worldwide because of its hardy nature, wider adaptability, drought tolerance, higher yields, excellent keeping quality and remunerative prices in domestic as well as export markets.

India is the leading pomegranate producer which contributes nearly 50% of the world’s production (Wayde et al., 2015). It thrives well in dry tropics and sub-tropics and performs very well in soils of low fertility status, adding to that it is salt tolerant too. The fruit has a wide consumer preference for its attractive, juicy, sweet, acidic and refreshing arils. Pomegranate fruits are good source of carbohydrates and minerals such as calcium, iron and sulphur that possess pharmaceutical and therapeutic properties. It is rich in vitamin C and citric acid is the predominant organic acid in pomegranate (Malhotra et al., 1983).

Pomegranate production is confronted with many problems like other crops. Inherent constraints are non-availability of suitable varieties, prone to environmental vagaries, nutritional deficiencies, physiological disorders, post-harvest glut, post-harvest losses, improper storage, lack of marketing facilities and price fluctuations. Biotic constraints are pest and disease problems. Although, 25 to 30 per cent of total cost of production is spent on plant protection, yet the biotic constraints could not be managed effectively. Among the diseases infecting pomegranate, a bacterial disease popularly known as ‘bacterial blight’ caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*, which was once a minor disease has emerged as a major production constraint resulting in severe yield loss both in terms of quality and quantity in the recent years in India (Hingorani and Mehta 1952; Chand and Kishun 1993; Vauterin et al., 1995; Benagi and Kumar 2009; Sharma et al., 2010). Chand and Kishun (1991) reported the epidemics of bacterial blight of pomegranate causing 60 to 80 per cent losses at Indian Institute of Horticultural Research (IIHR) experimental plots. The species designation of pomegranate blight bacterium has recently been changed from *X. campestris* pv. *punicae* to *X. axonopodis* based on gyrB sequence (Parkinson et al., 2009). The bacterial blight disease caused by *X. axonopodis* is a major threat for pomegranate cultivation (Mondal and Sharma, 2009; Mondal and Singh, 2009; Petersen et al., 2010; Mondal et al., 2012). It is a serious disease in the states of Karnataka, Maharashtra and Andhra Pradesh and causes losses to the extent of 60 to 80% in India (Mondal and Mani, 2009). The disease symptoms appearing on all plant parts, initially small, irregular, grayish black, water soaked lesions were observed on leaves which enlarged with the progression of the disease. Similarly blackish lesions were observed, on nodes of this stem later on resulting in canker formation with depressed growth. Disease symptoms were also reported prominent on developed fruit where the lesion enlarged coalesced and covered the entire fruit surface (Sharma, 2006; Sharma et al., 2008).

The disease spreads from infected orchard to healthy one, through pomegranate cuttings. The disease become predominant and appearing in epiphytotic form during last five years in pomegranate growing states of South-West India, including Maharashtra and Karnataka. Nevertheless, the disease also spread rapidly to the states of Rajasthan, Gujarat, parts of Haryana and Uttar Pradesh due to the bulk movement of planting materials (cuttings) of most popular and bacterial blight susceptible cultivar, Bhagwa from Maharashtra (Kumar et al., 2009; Mondal and Sharma, 2009; Mondal et al., 2012). The disease continued to damage the
crop for subsequent years and farmers have adopted all possible and available protection measures, the disease could not be managed effectively due to rapid buildup of inoculum and the boon of this commercial fruit crop turned as a big bane to the farmers. The disease accounted up to 70 – 100 per cent loss during 2006 in Karnataka and Maharashtra resulting in wipe out of pomegranate. During the year 2007, the total production of pomegranate in India was down by 60 per cent (Raghavan, 2007). Many growers finding no options to mitigate the disease effectively uprooted their crop due to unbearable losses. The disease has been observed as serious threat to the cultivation of recommended varieties of pomegranate. The control of plant pathogens relies on the ability to identify infecting species. Of the several disease management strategies, varietal resistance is considered as the best alternative.

Genetic improvement of fruits is essential for increasing the productivity. The major problems with fruit breeding work are long life cycle and the large juvenile period has hampered fruit breeding work. Preliminary screening of available pomegranate germplasm revealed that all the accessions are susceptible to bacterial blight (Jyoti, 2017) and not much variability is existing. Exploiting natural or induced genetic diversity is a substantiated strategy in the improvement of all major food crops and the use of mutagenesis to create novel variation is particularly valuable in those crops with restricted genetic variability (Parry et al., 2009). Breeding strategies in pomegranate include seedling selection, hybridization and mutation breeding. In pomegranate, seed propagation is relatively straightforward, but seed-derived progenies represent a heterogeneous population (Jalikop and Kumar, 1990). It is known that irradiation of seeds increases mutation frequency and in turn promote gene recombination and widen the mutation spectrum (Larik et al., 2009) and introduce considerable variability among many useful traits including plant size, blooming time and fruit ripening, fruit color, self-thinning resistance to pathogens (Jain 2010). By considering the severity of this pathogen and susceptibility of the cultivar, it is essential to develop diagnostic primer in order to restrict the spread of the X. axonopodis pv. punicae. It would be useful to generate disease free planting material and also exploited to ensure the presence of this pathogen in to suspected planting materials. Therefore, keeping in view these facts, the present study was proposed on variability, identification and development of DNA based molecular markers for detection of oily spot pathogen of pomegranate.

Materials and Methods

Collection, isolation, pathogenicity and identification of Xanthomonas strains from infected sample

The investigation was undertaken to assess variability and development of DNA based marker for identification X. axonopodis pv. punicae causing oily spot disease of pomegranate. This study was conducted at Department of Biotechnology & Crop improvement, Horticulture Research and Extension Station, Vijayapur (Tidagundi), affiliated to University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot (Karnataka). The oily spot infected samples of pomegranate were collected from Vijayapur, Bagalkot, Koppal, and Chitradurga districts of Karnataka state as the list given in table 1. The pathogen X. axonopodis was isolated from leaves, fruits samples. Infected lesions was cut by using sterilized scalpel blade followed by sterilization with 0.1 % HgCl2 and 70 % ethanol for 15 second, then thoroughly rinsed with sterile distilled water. The resulting suspension was streaked on Nutrient agar
(NA) plates and incubated at 28°C in an incubator for 48-72 hr. Single colonies having circular, convex, mucoid, shiny and yellow morphological characteristics of X. axonopodis pv. punicae were picked by sterilized loop and purified cultures were obtained by streaking on fresh NA medium. Then cultures were stored in the refrigerator at 4°C, which served as a stock culture for further studies. The bacterial isolates were subjected to conventional diagnostic tests using standard protocols for Morphological, cultural, and pathogenic characterization as shown in Table 2. The four pure cultures obtained after isolation from the diseased samples of all the regions were designated as different isolates from Xap1 to Xap4 and maintained further use (Plate 3).

The susceptible variety Bhagawa was selected for proving pathogenicity on pomegranate plants, which were grown in earthen pots of 30 cm size filled with clay loam soil and FYM in 1:1 ratio in the polyhouse. The temperature and relative humidity in the polyhouse was maintained to 25±5 °C and 70-80 per cent, respectively. The pathogen cell suspension of all four cultures was independently (2.5×10^8 cfu/ml) sprayed on five weeks old plant that attained 15-20 cm height with 5-10 leaves per shoot. The characteristic symptoms observed on pomegranate leaves after 15 to 18 days of inoculation as small, water-soaked, brown to black colored circular to irregular lesions, surrounded by yellow halo. Re-isolations were carried out from these lesions with the original culture to confirm the identity of the pathogen (Plate 1).

One month old susceptible and resistance varieties of 14 pomegranate genotypes were transplanted into the pots containing sterilized soil amended with necessary nutrients. The seedlings were regularly watered and exposed to sufficient sunlight. To prove the pathogenicity of X. axonopodis pv. punicae, it was mass multiplied in NA broth. Two months old pomegranate plants were sprayed with prepared bacterial suspension (0.4 to 0.6 OD). The inoculated plants were kept in polyhouse for further observations and data recorded using disease severity scale (Table 4) given by Singh et al., 2015.

The disease on leaves was scored for 475 genotypes (γ-rays irradiated seed derived progenies and 23 popular germplasms) under polyhouse (in vitro) as well in field level (in vivo) during Mrigbahar 2014-15 and 2015-16. For in vitro study One month old saplings of 475 pomegranate lines were planted in the pots containing sterilized soil amended with necessary nutrients. The seedlings were regularly watered and kept in polyhouse for further establishment. X. axonopodis pv. punicae, was separately multiplied in NA broth as well as in petriplates using NGA medium, later inoculated with a loop full of active stage bacterial culture. The inoculated flasks and plates were incubated for 72 hour at 28 °C. The inoculum solution was set to OD between 0.4-0.6 at 600 nm using biospectrophotometer (Eppendorf). Two months old pomegranate plants were sprayed with water and kept in polyhouse. The leaves of the pre-incubated pomegranate plants were inoculated by spraying with prepared colony suspension of the bacteria with an automizer. The inoculated plants were kept in polyhouse for further observations. Similarly for in vivo screening, plants were inoculated by spraying with prepared colony suspension of the bacteria with an sprayer and and observations recorded subsequently using the disease scale (Singh et al., 2015).

Genomic DNA extraction

Single colony of X. axonopodis pv. punicae, bacteria was inoculated in NA broth and incubated at 28 °C for 72 hours. Cells were
harvested by centrifuging at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. Cells were lysed by treating with 550 μL buffer (10 mM EDTA + 10 mM Tris base, pH 8) and 20 μL of freshly prepared lysozyme (0.01 mg/mL of buffer) and incubated in a micro centrifuge tube for 30 min at 35 °C. Cell suspension was treated with 100 μl 5 M Nacl + 76 μl of 10 % SDS and 80 μl of CTAB/Nacl and tubes were incubated at 65 °C for 20 min. Tubes were cooled down to room temperature and to remove protein contaminants equal volume of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:1) was added, mixed thoroughly and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature. Supernatant was carefully transferred to fresh sterile 1.5 mL centrifuge tubes and tubes were incubated at -20 °C overnight. Tubes were then centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C and the pellet was washed twice with 70 % ethanol. Tubes were air dried completely at room temperature and the DNA was dissolved in sterile nuclease free water and stored at 4 °C. Quantification of DNA was performed by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm in Nanodrop (Eppendorf), quality of the DNA was analysed in 0.8 % (w/v) agarose gel and DNA was diluted to uniform concentration of 100 ng/µL for further use (Plate 4).

**Polymerase chain reaction**

The four strains of *X. axonopodis pv. Punicae* were confirmed with species specific marker genes viz., 16S rRNA and gyrB (Table 2). Hence, the PCR was performed with the standard protocol. The PCR amplification of 16S rRNA and gyrB primers were performed using 100 ng DNA template, 1X PCR Buffer, 200 μM dNTPs mix, 0.4 μM primer and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Merck, Bangalore, India) and sterile distilled water to make final volume of 20μL. PCR amplification was conducted with a thermo cycler (Eppendorf Vapo Protect, Germany). The amplification were carried out with an initial denaturation 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles of 30 sec denaturation at 94 °C, 45 sec of primer annealing at 55 °C, 1 min extension at 72 °C. The final extension step was for 10 min at 72°C.

All four isolates were screened with three different markers viz., REP, ERIC and BOX (Table 3) to distinguish among them at genetic level. The PCR amplification of all three primers were performed independently using 100 ng DNA template, 1X PCR Buffer, 200 μM dNTPs mix, 0.4 μM primer and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Merck, Bangalore, India) and sterile distilled water to make final volume of 20 μL. PCR amplification was conducted with a thermo cycler (Eppendorf Vapo Protect, Germany). The amplification were carried out with an initial denaturation 94 °C for 4 min, followed by 30 cycles of 30 sec denaturation at 94 °C, 45 seconds of primer annealing at 55°C, 1 min extension at 72 °C. The final extension step was for 10 min at 72°C.

**Results and Discussion**

A survey was conducted in year 2013-14 at pomegranate growing region of Karnataka. A pathogen *X. axonopodis pv. punicae* was purified from infected fruits and leaf sample and confirmed by proving their pathogenicity and PCR based by 16S rRNA and gyrB specific primer(Plate 5). The molecular variability was assessed by REP, ERIC and BOX analysis and the data have been utilized for the development of DNA based marker for identification of *X. axonopodis pv.punicae* (Plate 6).

**Pathogenicity test**

The four putative isolates of *X. axonopodis pv.punicae* were collected from different pomegranate growing regions of Karnataka, isolated and purified by single spore method.
The pathogenic potential of all four isolates was proved on the pomegranate cv. Bhagwa. Pure cultures of *X. axonopodis* pv. *punicae* were inoculated on pomegranate cv. Bhagwa, by spraying method. A known concentration of aqueous cell suspension of bacterial inoculums was sprayed to the pomegranate leaves in healthy tree pot. Control plant was inoculated by same way with sterile distilled water and maintained under humidity of 70-80%. The observations were recorded since 6 to 9 days after inoculation. Primarily, the symptoms appeared on leaves as irregular water soaked spots. Later on these spots appeared on the leaves with yellow 'halos' on axial side through rough texture. Further re-isolation of pathogen form infected leaves through single colony method was accomplished and compared with original culture. The results obtained in the present study on morphological characters were in agreement with the reports of Hingorani and Singh (1959); Kanwar, 1976; Manjula and Khan, 2002; Kirankumar, 2007; Yenjerappa, 2009; Wyder *et al.*, 2015). The isolation, purification and pathogenicity test of *X. axonopodis* pv. *punicae* was done by several researchers. However, the isolation of such slow growing *X. axonopodis* pathogen is usually difficult due to the masking effect of fast growing, yellow pigmenting bacteria. Various types of media have been used for isolation. Among which nutrient agar medium was best for isolating bacterium (Manjula and Khan, 2003).

The isolates of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* differed in respect of size of colony as they developed medium to large, small to medium and small colonies. The isolates of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* also differed greatly in shape of colony as some of bacterial isolates produced circular, while others are circular to irregular in shape (Table 5). The colour of the colony also differed greatly as the isolates were creamish yellow, light brown, light yellow in color. Only two isolates produce fuscans (brown pigment) in the medium while remaining isolates couldn’t produce any brown pigmentation. The number of days taken for the appearance of bacterial colonies has also differed to some extent that the isolates were appeared 4 to 5 days after streaking on Nutrient Agar (NA) at 28±1°C. These results indicated the presence of variability with respect to colony morphology of different isolates of *X. axonopodis* pv. *punicae*. Similar observations were recorded by Manjula and Khan (2002).

The isolates showed variability with respect to colony diameter and growth rate (Table 6). Among four isolates screened on solid media, *Xap*3 isolate (Koppal) showed maximum growth (with 0.33 µm diameter) compared to all other isolates and least growth was recorded in *Xap*2 (Bagalkot) isolate (0.24 µm diameter).

This implies to the variation among the isolates of *X. axonopodis* pv. *punicae*. Present findings are comparable with the report by Hingorani and Singh (1959). They opined that, nutrient agar (NA) was the best media for the cultivation of pomegranate bacterium.

The data in table 7 revealed that all four isolates of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* symptoms after 15 days of inoculation. All the isolates exhibits water soaked, circular to irregular, dark brown symptoms on leaves (Plate 1) of Bhagawa variety.

The data in table 8 reveal that, there was significant difference within *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* isolates with respect to their virulence and reaction with different varieties. Among the test isolates, *Xap*1 was found to be virulent (PDI 42.38) across the varieties and was superior over other isolates *viz.*, *Xap*2, *Xap*3 and *Xap*4 which were
statistically on par and were rated as moderately virulent (Fig. 1).

Pomegranate varieties expressed variation (Fig. 2) in their susceptibility to *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*. The variety Bhagawa recorded highest mean PDI (53.16) followed by P-16 (PDI 52.49), P-13 (51.83) and Ganesh (PDI 49.49) and rated as highly susceptible. Variety ‘Patna 5’ shown moderately susceptible with mean PDI of 11.00 whereas, Nana as tolerant (PDI 7.00). This study indicates that all leading varieties are susceptible to bacterial blight.

**Table.1** Collection of bacterial isolates from different locations of Karnataka

| Isolate | Place of collection | District | Plant part | Variety |
|---------|---------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| Xap 1   | Tidagundi           | Vijayapur| Leaf       | Bhagawa |
| Xap 2   | Kaladagi            | Bagalkot | Leaf       | Bhagawa |
| Xap 3   | Munirabad           | Koppal   | Leaf       | Bhagawa |
| Xap 4   | Hiriyur             | Chitradurg| Leaf      | Bhagawa |

**Table.2** Characterization of *X. axonopodis* pv. *Punicae* with specific marker genes 16sRNA and gyrB

| Sl. No. | Primers | Sequence (5’-3’) | Annealing temperature (°C) | Size (bp) |
|---------|---------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 1       | 16S rRNA| Xsp16SRFD2-CTTACGCTAATACCAGCATATACG Xsp16SREV1-CTGATCTGCGATTACTAGCG | 55          | 761       |
| 2       | gyrB    | Forward 5’GTTGATGCTGTTCACCAGCG3’ Reverse CGCAGGCCAGCC3’ 5’CATTCAATT | 55          | 491       |

**Table.3** Primer sequence for molecular variability studies of *X. axonopodis* pv. *Punicae*

| Sl. No. | Primer | Nucleotide sequence | Annealing temperature |
|---------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| 1       | REP1R-I| 5’IICGICGICATCIGGC 3’ | 44°C.                 |
|         | REP2-I | 5’ICGITTATCIGGCCTAC 3’ |                       |
| 2       | ERIC1R | 5’ATGTAAGCTCCTGGGGATTCA3’ | 52°C.                 |
|         | ERIC2  | 5’AAGTAAGTGACTGGGGTGAGCG 3’ |                   |
| 3       | BOXA1R | 5’CTCCGGCAGGCGACGCTGAC 3’ | 53°C.                 |
Table.4 Disease reaction scale for bacterial blight of pomegranate on leaves

| Numerical rating | Percentage blighted area on leaves | Description | Reaction |
|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| 0                | Disease free                       | Disease not seen | Resistant |
| 1                | 1-10                               | Disease not easily visible, very few units/plant found diseased after careful search | Tolerant |
| 2                | 11-25                              | Disease visible easily in each direction but most (75%) of the units look healthy | Moderately susceptible |
| 3                | 26-50                              | Both disease and healthy units are equally observed | Highly susceptible |
| 4                | 51-75                              | Disease seen easily with only some healthy units | Highly susceptible |
| 5                | 76-100                             | Almost all units are diseased, with few healthy units seen on careful search | Highly susceptible |

Table.5 Cultural variability among isolates of Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. Punicae

| Isolate | Size of colony     | Shape of colony             | Colour of colony | Fuscan production | Appearance of colony (No. of days) |
|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Xap1    | Medium to large    | Circular                    | Creamish yellow  | -                 | 5                                 |
| Xap2    | Medium to large    | Circular to irregular       | Light brown      | +                 | 4                                 |
| Xap3    | Small to medium    | Circular                    | Light brown      | +                 | 5                                 |
| Xap4    | Medium to large    | Circular to irregular       | Light yellow     | -                 | 4                                 |

Table.6 Morphological variability among isolates of Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. Punicae

| Isolate | Length (µm) | Breadth (µm) | Flagellar movement | Endospore staining |
|---------|-------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|         | Range (µm) | Mean (µm)    | Range (µm)         | Mean (µm)          |                     |
| Xap1    | 1.80-1.94  | 1.84         | 0.28-0.33          | 0.30               | +                   | -                   |
| Xap2    | 1.73-1.82  | 1.75         | 0.23-0.26          | 0.24               | +                   | -                   |
| Xap3    | 1.89-1.96  | 1.91         | 0.30-0.34          | 0.33               | +                   | -                   |
| Xap4    | 1.76-1.85  | 1.77         | 0.27-0.33          | 0.32               | +                   | -                   |

Table.7 Pathogenic variability among isolates of Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. Punicae

| Isolate | Lesion size (mm) | Symptom appearance |
|---------|------------------|--------------------|
|         | After 15 days    | After 18 days      |                     |
| Xap1    | 0.2              | 3.9                | Water soaked, circular to irregular, dark brown spots |
| Xap2    | 0.3              | 3.6                | Water soaked, circular, light brown spots, dark brown spots |
| Xap3    | 1.0              | 4.0                | Water soaked, irregular, light to dark brown spots |
| Xap4    | 0.2              | 3.8                | Water soaked, circular, dark brown spots, dark brown spots |
**Table 8** Differences in Percent Disease Index (PDI) of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. Punicae* isolates in pomegranate varieties

| *X. axonopodis pv. Punicae* isolates | Tobesta | Jyothi | P13 | G-137 | P-26 | P-23 | BT skin | P-16 | Patana-5 | Kalliphatiya | Nana | Ganesh | Bhagawa | Ruby | Mean PDI |
|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|-----|-------|------|------|---------|------|--------|-------------|------|-------|--------|------|---------|
| Xap1                                | 41.33 (39.99) | 34.00 (35.62) | 63.32 (52.75) | 52.66 (46.52) | 55.99 (48.45) | 33.33 (35.16) | 39.33 (38.76) | 75.99 (60.69) | 9.34 (17.75) | 32.00 (34.43) | 7.34 (15.57) | 46.66 (43.09) | 61.32 (51.57) | 40.66 (39.61) | 42.38 (40.00) |
| Xap2                                | 31.33 (33.46) | 30.66 (33.62) | 55.32 (48.07) | 51.33 (45.76) | 43.33 (41.16) | 39.33 (38.84) | 20.00 (26.55) | 55.32 (48.09) | 10.67 (18.92) | 25.33 (30.19) | 6.67 (14.89) | 50.66 (45.38) | 59.99 (50.80) | 39.33 (38.82) | 40.99 (39.38) |
| Xap3                                | 15.33 (23.01) | 22.00 (27.16) | 53.99 (47.29) | 45.99 (42.70) | 43.33 (41.16) | 53.99 (47.29) | 41.33 (40.00) | 37.33 (37.29) | 13.34 (21.39) | 26.66 (31.06) | 6.67 (14.89) | 41.99 (40.37) | 43.34 (41.09) | 38.66 (38.45) | 34.57 (35.23) |
| Xap4                                | 35.33 (36.46) | 36.00 (38.85) | 51.83 (45.98) | 48.33 (44.03) | 46.99 (43.27) | 39.99 (39.11) | 35.00 (36.02) | 52.49 (46.52) | 11.00 (19.25) | 27.33 (31.47) | 7.00 (15.16) | 49.49 (44.73) | 53.16 (46.83) | 43.83 (41.44) | 38.69 (37.82) |
| Mean                                | 30.83 (33.23) | 30.66 (33.31) | 51.83 (45.98) | 48.33 (44.03) | 46.99 (43.27) | 39.99 (39.11) | 35.00 (36.02) | 52.49 (46.52) | 11.00 (19.25) | 27.33 (31.47) | 7.00 (15.16) | 49.49 (44.73) | 53.16 (46.83) | 43.83 (41.44) | 38.69 (37.82) |

S. Em. ± isolates: 0.89
S. Em. ± varieties: 1.67
S. Em. ± Isolates x varieties: 3.34

**Table 9** Amplicons and their sizes generated in Xap isolates from different sources using BOX, ERIC and REP primers

| Amplicon size (bp) | BOX PCR | ERIC PCR | REP PCR |
|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|
|                    | Xap1    | Xap2     | Xap3    | Xap4    | Xap1    | Xap2     | Xap3    | Xap4    | Xap1    | Xap2     | Xap3    | Xap4    |
| 2000               | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | -       | -        | -       | -       |
| 1500               | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| 1300               | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | -       | -        | -       | -       |
| 1000               | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| 850                | -       | -        | -       | -       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| 750                | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | -       | -        | -       | -       |
| 680                | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | -       | -        | -       | -       |
| 600                | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | -       | -        | -       | -       |
| 500                | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| 450                | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| 400                | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| 300                | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| 200                | -       | -        | -       | -       | +       | +        | +       | +       | +       | +        | +       | +       |
| No. of amplicons   | 7       | 7        | 7       | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
Table 10 Reaction of pomegranate genotypes against bacterial blight disease under *in vivo* condition

| Rating | Percentage blighted area on leaf (%) | Number of genotypes | Reaction | Genotypes |
|--------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|
| 0      | Disease free                         | 01                   | Resistant | Nana      |
| 1      | 1-10                                 | 02                   | Tolerant  | Patna-5, PK20-70 |
| 2      | 11-25                                | 12                   | Moderately susceptible | PK10-10, PK10-63, PK10-131, PK10-134, PK20-62, PK20-157, PK20-228, PG25-46, PG25-82, G-137, Cowhite |
| 3      | 26-50                                | 272                  | Highly susceptible |          |
| 4      | 51-75                                | 188                  | Highly susceptible |          |
| 5      | 76-100                               | 01                   | Highly susceptible |          |

Table 11 Reaction of pomegranate genotypes against bacterial blight disease under *in vitro* condition

| Rating | Percentage blighted area on leaf (%) | Number of genotypes | Reaction | Genotypes |
|--------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------|
| 0      | Disease free                         | 01                   | Resistant | Nana      |
| 1      | 1-10                                 | 01                   | Tolerant  | PG20-80   |
| 2      | 11-25                                | 17                   | Moderately susceptible | PK10-134, PK20-92, PK20-228, PG15-9, PG20151, PG25-4, PG25-46, PG25-82, Early Bhagwa, Yarcaud, Tobesta, Kabuli yellow, P-13, Bedana seedless, G-137, Jallore seedless, Patna5, |
| 3      | 26-50                                | 276                  | Highly susceptible |          |
| 4      | 51-75                                | 179                  | Highly susceptible |          |
| 5      | 76-100                               | 01                   | Highly susceptible |          |
Fig. 1 Variation in virulence of *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. Punicae* isolates infecting pomegranate

Plate 1 Pure culture of *X. axonopodis pv. punicae*

Fig. 2 Variation in varietal susceptibility of pomegranate to *Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. Punicae* isolates

Plate 2 Initial water soaked symptoms on lower leaf surface
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Plate.3 Pathogenic variability of X.axonopodis pv. Punicae on susceptible pomegranate cv. Bhagwa

Plate.4 PCR amplification with 16srRNA primer and PCR amplification with gyrB primer

Plate.5 BOX, ERIC and Rep PCR generated genomic fingerprints
It was observed that the interaction between varieties and isolates was statistically significant. Highest PDI (75.99) was recorded in interaction between P-16 x Xap1 followed by P-13 x Xap1 (63.32) and it was least with Nana x Xap2 (6.67), Nana x Xap3 (6.67) followed by Nana x Xap1 (7.34) and Nana x Xap4 (7.34).

There was considerable variation in virulence of isolates and susceptibility of varieties. Isolates Xap1 (PDI 42.38) and Xap2 (PDI 40.99) were found more virulent irrespective of varieties compared to other isolates. Varieties Bhagawa, P-13 and Ganesh were found to be highly susceptible irrespective of isolates and recorded maximum mean PDI of 53.16, 51.83 and 49.49 respectively. Patna-5 and Nana showed uniform resistant reaction against four isolates.

The four isolates were characterized based on the 16S rRNA and gyrB gene analysis. Four isolates were used for the amplification of 761 bp of the 16s rDNA coding region and 491 bp of gyrB gene. The amplicon size of around 761 bp and 491 bp were noticed in all the four isolates using 16S rRNA and gyrB gene primer and conforms that, all these isolates belong to Xap (Plate 5). A more conserved genetic characteristics, the 16S-23S intergenic spacer (IGS) of rDNA operon, has also been used to infer the phylogenetic relationship and identification of bacteria at different taxonomic levels (Gurtler and Stanisich, 1996). The 49 strains tested were amplified and produced a single band with each primer set and these sizes corresponded to the expected sizes of the targeted 16S rRNA and 23S rRNA genes respectively. For the ITS region, they produced a band of approximately 1600 bp and the resulting size of the ITS region ranged from 500 to 550 bp (Hirokaku Ochiai and Hisatoshi Kaku, 1999). It was the expected size, since they have recently determined the sequence of this region among Xanthomonas strains.

Amplification of ERIC, BOX and Rep region of DNA from four isolates of pomegranate bacterial blight disease from four locations with three different primers yielded a total 28, 20 and 24 bands respectively (Table 9 and Plate 6) with monomorphic banding pattern in all four isolates and amplicon size ranging from 300 to 2000 bp with BOX and ERIC primers whereas in case of Rep primers the range is 200 to 1000 bp only. From this it is confirmed that not much variation is existing in the collected isolates. Hence, isolate from Vijayapur was used for the further screening of the pomegranate genotypes against bacterial blight disease both in vivo and in vitro. The ERIC –PCR has been demonstrated to be an effective method in determining the genetic diversity among the population of many bacterial plant pathogenic genera, including Xanthomonas and Pseudomonas (Louws et al., 1994; Louws et al., 1998). Minhaj Arshiya et al., (2014) isolated 56 strains of Xanthomonas axonopodis pv citri (Xac) from different citrus growing areas of Marathwada region of Maharashtra state and 20 representative strains of Xac were selected for genetic diversity. Repetitive DNA polymerase chain reaction-based fingerprinting (rep-PCR) using repetitive extragenic palindromic (rep) and enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus (ERIC) and BOX primers were used to assess the genetic diversity.

The Bacterial blight incidence (in vivo) was recorded during Mrigh bahar 2014-15 and 2015-16 using 0-5 scale. The results are presented in table 10. The mean value among all genotypes was 43.39 (PDI) with a range of 9.52 (Nana) to 56.11 (PG25-82). Further, these 475 genotypes were grouped in to five categories. Out of 475 genotypes only one (nana) was found to be tolerant, only two genotypes Patna-5 and PK20-70 were found to be tolerant with a rating of 1; 12 genotypes were found moderately susceptible, while, 272 genotypes were found highly susceptible.
with rating of 3. Only one genotype was found highly susceptible. The remaining 188 recorded a rating of 4, with highly susceptibility. The check varieties Bhagawa, Ganesh and Ruby found to be highly susceptible to Bacterial blight in both the seasons.

The grouping of all the genotypes for bacterial blight disease (in vitro) is presented in table 11. The results indicates that the mean value among all the genotypes was 45.93 PDI with a range of 0 (Nana) to 82.65 PDI (PK20-160). Further, these 475 genotypes were grouped in to 5 categories. Out of 475 genotypes, nana and PG20-80 was found to be resistant and tolerant respectively. Only 17 genotypes were found to be moderately susceptible with a rating of 2.

Majority of genotypes were found to be highly susceptible, with 276 in rating 3 and 179 genotypes in a rating of 4. Only one genotype was found highly susceptible. The check varieties Bhagawa, Ganesh and Ruby showed highly susceptible to Bacterial blight in both the seasons and results are in accordance with Ashish and arora, 2014; Katwal 2015 and Priya et al., 2016

On the basis of the present study, it could be concluded that the population of bacterial blight pathogen X. axonopodis pv. punicae in Karnataka are genetically homogenous and showed similar banding pattern from ERIC, BOX and Rep profiles, and isolate from vijayapur region(Xap1) was used for the further screening of γ irradiated seed derived progenies and germplasms of pomegranate.
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