Spatiotemporal characterization of aerosols and trace gases over the Yangtze River Delta region, China: impact of trans-boundary pollution and meteorology

Zeeshan Javed1†, Muhammad Bilal2†, Zhongfeng Qiu2, Guanlin Li1, Osama Sandhu3, Khalid Mehmood4, Yu Wang2, Md. Arfan Ali2, Cheng Liu5,6,7,8, Yuhang Wang9, Ruibin Xue10, Daolin Du1* and Xiaojun Zheng1*

Abstract

Background: The spatiotemporal variation of observed trace gases (NO2, SO2, O3) and particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10) were investigated over cities of Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region including Nanjing, Hefei, Shanghai and Hangzhou. Furthermore, the characteristics of different pollution episodes, i.e., haze events (visibility < 7 km, relative humidity < 80%, and PM2.5 > 40 µg/m3) and complex pollution episodes (PM2.5 > 35 µg/m3 and O3 > 160 µg/m3) were studied over the cities of the YRD region. The impact of China clean air action plan on concentration of aerosols and trace gases is examined. The impacts of trans-boundary pollution and different meteorological conditions were also examined.

Results: The highest annual mean concentrations of PM2.5, PM10, NO2 and O3 were found for 2019 over all the cities. The annual mean concentrations of PM2.5, PM10, and NO2 showed continuous declines from 2019 to 2021 due to emission control measures and implementation of the Clean Air Action plan over all the cities of the YRD region. The annual mean O3 levels showed a decline in 2020 over all the cities of YRD region, which is unprecedented since the beginning of the China's National environmental monitoring program since 2013. However, a slight increase in annual O3 was observed in 2021. The highest overall means of PM2.5, PM10, SO2, and NO2 were observed over Hefei, whereas the highest O3 levels were found in Nanjing. Despite the strict control measures, PM2.5 and PM10 concentrations exceeded the Grade-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and WHO (World Health Organization) guidelines over all the cities of the YRD region. The number of haze days was higher in Hefei and Nanjing, whereas the complex pollution episodes or concurrent occurrence of O3 and PM2.5 pollution days were higher in Hangzhou and Shanghai.

The in situ data for SO2 and NO2 showed strong correlation with Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) satellite data.

Conclusions: Despite the observed reductions in primary pollutants concentrations, the secondary pollutants formation is still a concern for major metropolises. The increase in temperature and lower relative humidity favors the
accumulation of \(O_3\), while low temperature, low wind speeds and lower relative humidity favor the accumulation of primary pollutants. This study depicts different air pollution problems for different cities inside a region. Therefore, there is a dire need to continuous monitoring and analysis of air quality parameters and design city-specific policies and action plans to effectively deal with the metropolitan pollution.
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**Background**

Rapid urbanization and industrialization, deteriorating the air quality of most Chinese cities have resulted in adverse impacts on public health [1–3]. According to the World Health Organization [WHO] standards, only 1% of Chinese megacities meet the safe city criteria in terms of air quality [4]. Atmospheric aerosols unswervingly impact the earth’s radiation budget, ecological environment, human health, and climate [5]. Atmospheric pollution is caused by higher concentrations of various trace gas species including the oxides of nitrogen (NO\(_x\)) and sulfur (SO\(_x\)), tropospheric ozone (O\(_3\)), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and airborne particulate matter [PM], which pose serious threats to human health [6–8]. The primary emissions from anthropogenic sources are the trace gases such as NO\(_2\), SO\(_2\), and carbon monoxide (CO) [9]. Industrial emissions, fossil fuel combustion, and biofuels act as the major sources of SO\(_2\) and CO [10]. Both CO and NO\(_x\) act as the main precursors of O\(_3\), whereas NO\(_x\) and SO\(_2\) are significant towards the production of secondary inorganic aerosols [11]. The pollution sources have a significant impact on aerosol properties and are driven by atmospheric oxidation capacity, the intensity of emissions, and meteorological conditions. The role of meteorological parameters on different pollution episodes have been documented in previously reported studies [11]. Despite ample research on the effects of meteorological parameters on atmospheric pollution, significant variation in the intensity and extent of these impacts exists for different seasons and across different regions.

China has established more than 1500 stations for air quality monitoring since 2013, with a prime focus on monitoring different trace gases and particulate matter, i.e., PM\(_{2.5}\), PM\(_{10}\), NO\(_x\), SO\(_2\), O\(_3\), and CO [10–13]. The measurements taken at these monitoring stations have been used to analyze the characteristics of different air pollution episodes in various regions of China including the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region [10–12, 14].

The YRD region is located adjacent to the North China Plain (NCP) and experiences complicated environmental pollution issues originating from dust plumes, biomass burning, photochemical reactions, and coal combustion [15]. The occurrence of severe haze episodes in the YRD region is one of these environmental pollution issues [16–18]. Haze is a condition that obstructs visual range and is defined as the weather phenomenon that leads to a reduction in atmospheric visibility caused by the accumulation of suspended solid or liquid fine particles [19–21]. The other major pollution issue in the YRD region is a phenomenon in which high \(O_3\) and high PM\(_{2.5}\) coincided beyond the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) [14, 22, 23]. This condition is termed as a complex pollution in this study hereafter, where the daily averaged PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration exceeds 35 \(\mu g/m^3\), and the daily averaged \(O_3\) concentration exceeds 160 \(\mu g/m^3\) on the same day.

The effects of cross-boundary air pollution and climatic factors on air quality are reported in different cities of the YRD region [24–27]. Though these investigations were either limited to specific particulate matter, trace gases, time intervals, or certain regions of YRD, the variation among various cities of YRD and their influential factors are not still clear. Thus, it is imperative to study the recent spatiotemporal distribution and characteristics of the criteria pollutants at different geographical locations within YRD. This is the first comprehensive study analyzing different air pollution episodes across YRD regions using recent datasets from National environmental monitoring centers. The study broadly characterizes the current air quality status, over the four most polluted and populous cities of YRD during 2018–2021. This research (a) examines the recent long-term temporal variation of trace gases and particulate matter (b) impact of different meteorological parameters on pollutants (c) identifies different pollutant sources using PSCF (Potential Source Contribution Function) with HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) back trajectory analysis (d) in situ measurements of SO\(_2\) and NO\(_x\) are compared with TROPOMI satellite data. Further, haze and complex pollution episodes over the study region were also analyzed. The outcomes of this up-to-date study could provide a wide-ranging logical and scientific basis for decision-making while designing effective air pollution control policies for metropolises.
Methods

Description of the study area
Anhui, Jiangsu, Shanghai, and Zhejiang are the major provinces that mainly cover the eastern side of the Yangtze plain. Fertile plains make this area characteristically unique and deem it suitable for a variety of food as well as cash crops. According to statistics, the gross domestic product (GDP) exceeded over USD 2.2 trillion for the YRD region as of 2018 (China Statistics: http://data.stats.gov.cn/english, accessed on 26 December 2021). The total area covered by the YRD region sums up to be 210,700 km² and supports a huge population numbered about 0.23 billion in 2018 [28]. The vulnerability of the region to excessive atmospheric pollution comes from the high industrial growth, economic activity, and ever-increasing population which enhances the anthropogenic footprint on the environment. The four most important cities of the YRD region have been observed in this study, including Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai. All of these have a very high population density and are the hubs for industrial and economic activities which make them prone to extreme pollution episodes. Figure 1 shows the location of these above-mentioned megacities in the YRD region.

Data sets

In situ measurements and meteorological parameters
In situ measurements were attained via a National online database for air quality analysis and monitoring platform for NO₂, PM_{2.5}, PM_{10}, SO₂, and MDA8 O₃ (Maximum Daily 8-hour Average Ozone). The data are available online and the monitoring stations are managed by China National Environmental Monitoring Center. The instruments deployed at these monitoring centers accord to the China Environmental Protection Standard HJ 664-2013. National ambient air quality standards (GB 3095e2012; China) were used to check the validity of the data, as reported in the previous study [12]. The hourly mean data of 10 different monitoring stations over each city (Additional file 1: Table S1) were used from 2018 to 2021. The city-wide day-to-day mean level of pollutants was estimated by taking an average of all the monitoring stations over a particular city as reported in previous studies [29]. The quality control approaches were applied to filter the problematic data as described in previous studies [30].

Meteorological parameters including temperature, visibility, relative humidity and wind speed were taken into account for the aforementioned time frame. Automatic weather stations installed at respective airports of each city were used to obtain meteorological data.

TROPOMI
TROPOMI level-2 NO₂ and SO₂ product published by Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) has been used for the current study. Differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) algorithm is used to retrieve tropospheric VCDs following three major steps: 1) retrieval of slant column densities (SCDs), 2) differentiating stratospheric SCDs from tropospheric SCDs, and 3) converting SCDs to VCDs using air mass factor (AMF). Owing to the error linked to the calculation of
AMF, an obvious underestimation of TROPOMI tropospheric NO₂ and SO₂ has been reported in recent studies, especially in polluted regions. In order to ensure reliability, the data with quality flag exceeding 0.75 were used for this study.

Methodology

Categorization of different pollution episodes

**Haze pollution** To investigate the impact of haze days on PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, and O$_3$ over the study region, the study period has been divided into the haze and non-haze days. Haze days were defined with visibility < 7.5 km, RH < 80%, and PM$_{2.5}$ > 40 µg/m$^3$, while non-haze days were defined with visibility > 7.5 km, RH < 80%, and PM$_{2.5}$ < 40 µg/m$^3$ non-haze. A similar categorization of Haze and non-haze days is reported in different studies [19, 20, 31]. The aforementioned categorization for haze and non-haze days has been used for the current study over main cities of the YRD region including Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai.

**Complex pollution** The complex pollution days refer to the pollution conditions where the daily averaged PM$_{2.5}$ concentration exceeds 35 µg/m$^3$, and the daily averaged O$_3$ concentration exceeds 160 µg/m$^3$ on the same day. This categorization is used in this study over cities of the YRD region including Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai.

**Principal component analysis** Possible pollutant sources can be explored by employing principal component analysis (PCA) along with the study of the correlations among the pollutant concentrations. PCA was employed using SPSS v17.0 for five air pollutants with daily mean data points for each variable. The numbers of principal components (PCs) are determined by the variability in the data and frequency of inspected elements. PCA exploits the factor loading of each variable, which is why it was used with Varimax rotation [32] as reported in the earlier study [33].

**Potential source contribution function** The origin of air masses was determined by performing backward trajectory analysis by employing the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model established by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) [34]. A comprehensive understanding of the transport of air masses, their dispersion, and chemical conversion is offered by this model [35] which also highlights the probable causes of aerosol pollutants that impact the air quality. The integration of HYSPLIT backward trajectory with PSCF analysis and daily SO$_2$, NO$_2$, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ measurements over a grid of 0.5°×0.5 degrees was carried out to find the source strength of different geographical locations. The trajectory was calculated (72 h for every 6 h) at a height of 500 m above the ground level (AGL) employing meteorological data from the GDAS (Global Data Assimilation System) on 1°×1° spatial resolution (accessed from: ftp://arlftp.arlhq.noaa.gov/pub/archives/gdas1) for each season from January 2018 to December 2021. Weighting functions were performed to lessen the uncertainty of PSCF and denoted as WPSCF [36, 37].

Results and discussion

**Temporal variation**

The time-series for yearly mean and inter-annual temporal trends of NO$_2$, MDA8 O$_3$, SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ from 2018–2021 are shown in Fig. 2. The basic statistics (annual mean, maxima, minima, standard deviation, and median) of the daily mean (2018-2021) NO$_2$, MDA8 O$_3$, SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ are shown in Additional file 1: Tables S2–S5 for Hefei, Nanjing, Shanghai, and Hangzhou. The main sources for NO$_2$ production are generally vehicular emissions, biomass burning, fossil fuel combustion, and industrial emissions [36, 38]. The highest annual mean NO$_2$ concentration (42 µg/m$^3$) over Shanghai was observed in 2019, whereas 19% decline was observed from 2019 to 2021. Similar temporal variations were observed over other cities, i.e., the highest annual mean NO$_2$ concentration was observed in 2019 and decreased by 17%, 21%, and 14% over Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei, respectively, from 2019 to 2021. Only in 2019, the mean NO$_2$ concentrations exceed the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS< 40 µg/m$^3$) and World Health organization Standards (WHO<40µg/m$^3$) over all the cities. However, for the rest of the years, all the cities meet the NAAQS and WHO air quality standards for NO$_2$. The decreasing trend in NO$_2$ can be accredited to the efficacy of emission control measures and implementation of the Clean Air Action plan of China. The regulation of NO$_x$ emissions from power plants played an important role in the reduction of NO$_2$ [39]. Overall, these results show that Hefei (38.4 µg/m$^3$) is the most polluted city of the YRD region compared to the other cities, however, all the cities meet the NAAQS and WHO air quality standards based on annual mean NO$_2$ concentrations from 2018 to 2021.

SO$_2$ is mainly produced from fossil fuel burning for domestic heating, power plants emissions, oil refineries, and metal smelters [40]. Across the four cities, the highest annual mean SO$_2$ concentration (10 µg/m$^3$) was observed in Nanjing during 2019. The annual mean concentration of SO$_2$ in Nanjing decreased by 45% from 2019 to 2021. The temporal variation of SO$_2$ over different cities of the YRD region showed contrasting results. The
maxima for Hangzhou and Shanghai (8.5 and 9 µg/m³) were observed in 2018, whereas the decline was 28% and 38%, respectively, from 2018 to 2021. The annual mean SO₂ concentration from 2018 to 2021 in Hefei on a whole ranged from 6 to 7 (µg/m³). The highest value 7 µg/m³ was observed in both 2018 and 2021. The SO₂ concentration in all the cities was below the NAAQS (20 µg/m³) and WHO (20 µg/m³) guidelines. Additional file 1: Table S6 shows the China NAAQS and WHO guidelines for different trace gases and particulate matter. Previous studies also reported a decline in mean SO₂ concentration over different regions of China [12]. Concerning, the most polluted city during 2018–2021 in terms of SO₂ pollution was Nanjing with an overall mean concentration of 7.6 µg/m³. The reduction in SO₂ levels over the YRD region can be linked to the strong and effective measures taken to reduce the SO₂ level. The previous study reported a decrease in industrial SO₂ emissions following the widespread installation of fuel gas desulfurization (FGD) devices in China [41].

Temporal variations of PM₂.5 concentrations show a similar pattern as NO₂, i.e., the highest and lowest annual mean concentrations in 2019 and 2021, respectively. The annual mean PM₂.5 concentration decreased from 2019 to 2021 by 29%, 27%, 26%, and 25% over Hefei, Nanjing, Hangzhou, and Shanghai, respectively. The lowest mean yearly concentration of PM₂.5 (26 µg/m³) was observed in Shanghai. The PM₂.5 concentration was substantially

Fig. 2 The inter-annual variation of a NO₂, b O₃, c SO₂, d PM₂.₅, e PM₁₀ and f PM₂.₅/PM₁₀ in Hangzhou, Nanjing, Hefei and Shanghai during 2018–2021.
reduced over all the YRD cities. Similar findings were reported in earlier studies [22, 42]. The air pollution prevention and control action plan launched by the Chinese government in 2013 has helped in significant PM$_{2.5}$ emission abatements. However, the yearly mean concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ still exceeds the Grade 1 annual NAAQS (15 µg/m$^3$) and WHO (15 µg/m$^3$) air quality guidelines over all the cities.

The yearly mean PM$_{10}$ concentration for all the cities varies abruptly, i.e., PM$_{10}$ concentration increased in 2019 compared to 2018, decreased in 2020, and then again increased in 2021. However, the lowest annual mean PM$_{10}$ was noted in 2020. The highest annual mean PM$_{10}$ (72 µg/m$^3$) was observed in 2019 over Hefei compared to the other cities. The annual mean PM$_{10}$ levels were beyond the grade 1 NAAQS (40 µg/m$^3$) and WHO (15 µg/m$^3$) guidelines over all the cities of YRD. This indicates that all the cities are facing severe air pollution problems in terms of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$.

The highest annual mean concentration of MDA8 O$_3$ (106 µg/m$^3$) was observed in 2019 over Nanjing. There has been an unprecedented reduction in average O$_3$ concentrations during 2020 over all the cities of YRD, while the previously reported studies depicted a continuous increase of approximately 5% in annual mean concentration from 2013 to 2019 over different parts of China [22, 43]. However, a recent study also reported an exceptional reduction of approximately 6% in summer time MDA8 O$_3$ during 2020 compared to 2019 over different Chinese cities [44]. This unprecedented decline in MDA8 O$_3$ during 2020 can be linked to the fact that a recent study reported a shift in ozone sensitivity from the VOC (volatile organic compounds) limited regime to the transitional regime over eastern China [45] indicating that concurrent control of both NO$_x$ and VOCs would benefit in ozone reductions. Therefore, concurrent reduction of both NO$_x$ and VOCs during 2020 due to ongoing mitigation plan and new stringent control measures for 2020 introduced by the Chinese Ministry of Environment

| Cities   | Pollutant | Percent change |
|----------|-----------|----------------|
|          | Mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations [µg/m$^3$] | [H-N]/N*100 |
| Shanghai | 77        | 28             | 175%       |
| Nanjing  | 60        | 27             | 122%       |
| Hefei    | 45        | 26             | 73%        |
| Hangzhou | 48        | 28             | 72%        |
| Mean SO$_2$ concentrations [µg/m$^3$] |          | 07             | 42%        |
| Shanghai | 10        | 8.5            | 15%        |
| Nanjing  | 9.7       | 4.5            | 12%        |
| Hefei    | 7         | 6.75           | 15%        |
| Hangzhou | 7.77      | 6.75           | 15%        |
| Mean NO$_2$ concentrations [µg/m$^3$] |          | 07             | 42%        |
| Shanghai | 56        | 35             | 60%        |
| Nanjing  | 48        | 33.5           | 45%        |
| Hefei    | 45        | 35             | 28%        |
| Hangzhou | 47        | 32             | 46%        |
| Mean PM$_{10}$ concentrations [µg/m$^3$] |          | 07             | 42%        |
| Nanjing  | 95        | 60             | 58%        |
| Hefei    | 75        | 57             | 31%        |
| Hangzhou | 80        | 52.5           | 53%        |
| Mean O$_3$ concentrations [µg/m$^3$] |          | 103            | - 9%       |
| Shanghai | 94        | 123            | - 32%      |
| Nanjing  | 93        | 113            | - 25%      |
| Hefei    | 90        | 111            | - 30%      |

Fig. 3 The number of haze days over different cities of YRD region

Fig. 4 The percentage of occurrence of O$_3$ polluted days, PM$_{2.5}$ polluted days and complex polluted days over different cities of YRD from 2018 to 2021
and Ecology in 2020 [46] may be helped in the reduction of ozone level. However, the MDA8 O₃ levels again showed a slight increase during 2021 compared to 2020 over Hefei, Nanjing, and Hangzhou; whereas, Shanghai showed a continuous reduction in MDA8 O₃ concentration. Therefore, it is still not clear which specific measures have helped in the reduction of O₃ and requires further studies over different parts of China.

The daily average concentrations of PM₁₀ and PM₂.₅ from 2018 to 2021 were used to analyze the ratio of PM₂.₅ to PM₁₀ (PM₂.₅/PM₁₀) to assess PM pollution over the studied cities (Shanghai, Nanjing, Hefei, and Hangzhou). Domestic heating and lower temperatures contributed to high PM₂.₅/PM₁₀ values and their rate of change during winters in general. Due to high penetration rates and enhanced diffusion, smaller particles are more harmful compared to large particles. Therefore, it is imperative to reduce the proportion of PM₂.₅ in PM₁₀ by applying control strategies especially focusing on the reduction by vehicular discharge and industrial emissions which mainly contribute to PM₂.₅. Domestic heating, traffic, spatial location, and meteorology should be simultaneously considered while conducting the measures to reduce PM pollution. The larger PM₂.₅/PM₁₀ are observed in Shanghai and Hefei. This is an indication that fine particulate matter from anthropogenic sources mainly influences the air quality of Hefei and Shanghai.

It is worth discussing here that COVID-19 lockdown also played a significant part in the decline of the annual mean concentration of trace gases and particulate matter during 2020. Several studies reported the impact of COVID-19 lockdown on the concentration of trace gases and particulate matter over the YRD region [47–49]. Therefore, the annual time-series of particulate matter and other trace gases were also generated excluding the COVID-19 lockdown period (i.e., 24th of January–31st of March) from each year (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The results depict that the fewer decline in annual mean concentration during 2020 is observed in time-series excluding lockdown period as compared to time-series including the COVID-19 lockdown period. Additional file 1: Table S7 shows the change in percentage of annual mean concentrations from 2019 to 2020 for whole year and excluding COVID-19 lockdown period. The results indicate that for both whole year and excluding COVID-19 lockdown period the change in percentage of trace gases was positive.
gases and particulate matter is negative, i.e., decline in concentration is observed. However, the results indicate that decline in concentration of NO₂, SO₂, PM₂.₅, and PM₁₀ is relatively less when COVID-19 lockdown period is excluded. This shows the importance of COVID-19 lockdown which resulted in improvement of air quality. Contrasting results were noticed for O₃ which showed higher decline in concentration when COVID-19 lockdown period is excluded. The previous study over Nanjing also showed increase in concentration of O₃ during COVID-19 lockdown period [47].

Haze pollution
Haze formation is one of the phenomena that is largely impacted by the meteorological conditions of the locality. This haze impacts pollutants distribution, residence-time and photochemical reactions, thereby altering the atmospheric composition and impacting air quality [50, 51]. The study period is categorized into haze and non-haze days according to the categorization described above in the methodology section. Fig. 3 shows the number of haze days for all the four cities studied during the four consecutive years. Evidently, Hefei and Nanjing have the highest number of haze days, while Shanghai and Hangzhou have considerably fewer haze days compared to other cities. However, the general trend shows an average drop in haze days occurrence from 2018 to 2021.

Further, the impact of the haze condition on the concentration of trace gases and particulate matter is also examined. Table 1 depicts the percent change in mean concentrations of NO₂, SO₂, O₃, PM₂.₅, and PM₁₀ during haze days.

The concentration of all the particulate matter and trace gases except O₃ is higher during haze days. This increase in concentration is mainly because meteorological conditions like low wind speed and high relative humidity were noted during haze days, which were favorable to the accumulation of air pollutants [52]. However, O₃ concentrations tend to be higher during non-haze days compared to haze days over all the cities. During haze days, the light intensity is low and the conditions are less likely to favor photochemical reaction, hence the rate of O₃ formation is low compared to non-haze sky conditions when ample sunlight is available [53]. The highest change is observed in the concentration of PM₂.₅.

Complex pollution episodes
The higher concentrations of O₃ and PM₂.₅ have adversative effects on community health and the
of complex pollution days is higher. This is an indication depicted in the previous section but the percentage of complex pollution days occurred in Hefei (41%), whereas the O3 luted days is observed in Hefei (3%), compared to Shaozhou (5.8%) and Shanghai (5%), the percentage of complex polluted days occurred in Hangzhou compared to other cities. However, the highest percentage of complex polluted days occurred in Hangzhou (5.8%) and Shanghai (5%), compared to Hefei (3%) and Nanjing (4%). The primary pollutants concentration (NO2 and SO2) is lower in Hangzhou and Shanghai as depicted in the previous section but the percentage of complex pollution days is higher. This is an indication that despite a reduction of primary pollutants in the main cities of China, the formation of the secondary pollutant is still a concern. During the complex pollution, days mean OX (O3+NO2) is compared for different cities, which is an indicator of atmospheric oxidation capacity. The mean O3 levels (atmospheric oxidation capacity) during complex pollution episodes indicate that Hangzhou and Shanghai have higher oxidation capacity during complex pollution days compared to Nanjing and Hefei as shown in scatter plot of daily mean PM2.5 and MDA8-O3 with atmospheric oxidation capacity (OX) in Fig. 5. It is pertinent to mention that PM10 and MDA8-O3 are also closely linked but this study mainly focused on complex pollution episodes, i.e., the co-occurrence of both PM2.5 and MDA8-O3 at higher levels, therefore, the scatter plots of daily mean PM2.5 and MDA8-O3 are presented in this study. The higher oxidation capacity can enhance the rate of secondary pollution formation. Similar results were reported in previous studies conducted in China [52].

### Influence of meteorology

Meteorological factors play a key role in trace gas and aerosol distribution in the atmosphere and substantial pieces of evidence can be found in the literature pertaining to the impact of meteorology on local air quality [55]. Therefore, inter-annual variation in different meteorological parameters like temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed is

| Pollutant | Temperature [°C] | Relative humidity [%] | Wind speed [m/s] |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Shanghai  |                  |                       |                  |
| PM2.5     | 0.110            | 0.110                 | 0.115            |
| PM10      | 0.171            | 0.299                 | 0.146            |
| NO2       | 0.013            | 0.224                 | 0.031            |
| SO2       | 0.251            | 0.116                 | 0.327            |
| O3        | 0.551            | 0.162                 | 0.226            |
| Nanjing   |                  |                       |                  |
| PM2.5     | 0.05             | 0.006                 | 0.002            |
| PM10      | 0.43             | 0.15                  | 0.016            |
| NO2       | 0.19             | 0.03                  | 0.071            |
| SO2       | 0.0016           | 0.25                  | 0.08             |
| O3        | 0.26             | 0.26                  | 0.17             |
| Hangzhou  |                  |                       |                  |
| PM2.5     | 0.056            | 0.003                 | 0.015            |
| PM10      | 0.108            | 0.112                 | 0.078            |
| NO2       | 0.013            | 0.382                 | 0.076            |
| SO2       | 0.078            | 0.160                 | 0.306            |
| O3        | 0.554            | 0.302                 | 0.146            |
observed. Fig. 6 shows box plots of meteorological parameters from 2018 to 2021. The results show that there is no significant change in inter-annual variation of temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed. The meteorological conditions are almost identical from 2018 to 2021 over YRD region cities. This provided limited assurance that the air quality trends are not partially influenced by year-to-year meteorological differences. Therefore, inter-annual variations in trace gases and particulate matter are possibly due to changes in emission sources and strict measures taken by the Chinese Government.

However, the meteorological conditions at the sub-seasonal scale of a locality are considered to be a major driving force towards the distribution of pollutants in terms of residence-time and chemical behavior in the lower atmosphere. Therefore, the pairwise correlation analysis at a seasonal scale was used to understand the relationship among meteorological factors and five criteria pollutants ($\text{NO}_2$, $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{O}_3$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, and $\text{PM}_{10}$). The correlation coefficients between pollutants and meteorological factors are depicted in Table 2. Strong negative correlation of wind speed was observed with $\text{NO}_2$, especially during summer and winters, compared to other parameters. The wind speed is negatively correlated with primary pollutants because lower wind speeds result in the accumulation of different primary pollutants [55]. The secondary pollutant $\text{O}_3$ is also weakly and negatively correlated with wind speed except in the autumn season. The trend is similar over all the cities.

Temperature is positively correlated with $\text{O}_3$ over all the cities with different values of coefficient. This correlation is relatively stronger in the spring and autumn seasons. Similar results were reported in previous studies [10, 56].
The rate of formation of \( O_3 \) is higher when temperature increases, as photolytic activity is increased which helps in the formation of secondary pollutant \( O_3 \) \[11, 57\]. The correlation among \( PM_{2.5} \) levels and temperature showed a weak negative trend during the autumn season over all the cities. However, the correlations are non-significant during other seasons. The \( PM_{10} \) levels showed a positive correlation with temperature during the spring and summer seasons, with the highest correlation \((R = 0.43)\) found in Nanjing during the spring season. The mean \( NO_2 \) levels showed weak negative correlations with temperature during the summer and autumn seasons over all the cities; whereas, the mean \( SO_2 \) levels showed weak negative correlations with temperature during the winter and autumn season, and weak positive correlations during the spring and summer seasons. The relative humidity is negatively correlated with all the pollutants. The concentration of \( O_3 \) showed a strong negative correlation with relative humidity. The \( NO_2 \) and \( PM_{2.5} \) are weakly and negatively correlated with relative humidity. However, \( SO_2 \) and \( PM_{10} \) are found to be moderate and negatively correlated. A similar trend is observed over all the cities.

Generally, the impact of the meteorological parameters on pollutant concentration is similar over all the cities of YRD. The increase in temperature and lower relative humidity favors the accumulation of \( O_3 \), while low temperature, low wind speeds, and lower relative humidity favor the accumulation of primary pollutants.

**Monthly variations**

The monthly variations in air pollutants over Shanghai, Nanjing, Hefei, and Hangzhou are investigated. Fig. 7 depicts multiyear (2018–2021) monthly variations in five criteria pollutants over the four cities. The results indicated that the pollutant concentrations are higher during the winter months for all the species (except \( O_3 \)). The concentration of pollutants began to rise in September and peaks were found in December–January. The peak values were observed during December for \( PM_{2.5} \) and \( PM_{10} \) except for Hangzhou where \( PM_{10} \) peaked during December. \( NO_2 \) concentration peaked in December for all the cities while \( SO_2 \) remained highest during January for Shanghai and Hangzhou, while for Nanjing and Hefei, it peaked during December. In contrast, \( O_3 \) concentrations were observed to rise during the summer months with the highest value occurring in the May–June months.

The concentration of particulate matter peaked during the winter season due to different reasons. These reasons include stagnant meteorological conditions [lower temperature, lower wind speed] of locality \[12\], higher coal combustion for the heating purpose \[58, 59\], and...
formation of new particles and secondary organic–inorganic aerosols [12, 60]. Similarly, the concentration of SO₂ and NO₂ is also higher during winter months due to increased burning of biomass fuel coupled with reduced air temperature, wind speed, and solar radiations [36]. However, the concentration of O₃ is higher in the summer months which can be attributed to the fact that photochemical reactions are its precursors. The monthly mean variation in the O₃ levels shows an M-shaped curve, and the O₃ peaks were observed in May and September for Shanghai and Hangzhou; whereas, in Hefei and Nanjing, the O₃ peaks were observed in June and September. Similar monthly variations of O₃ were reported in a study over south China [61]. The monthly variations of pollutants are consistent with findings of previous studies over different cities of China [12, 62].

There are a few anomalies in the results which are worth mentioning. The concentration of NO₂, SO₂, and PM₁₀ showed a sudden decline in February. This can be linked to the fact that the Spring festival which is the
most valuable vacation for Chinese people occurred in February during these years (Additional file 1: Table S8). The majority of migrant residents of megacities return to their hometowns. The closure of industries, offices, and educational institutes is observed over all the regions of China during the spring festival. Therefore, the reduction in anthropogenic activities results in a sudden dip in pollutants concentrations. Similar results were reported in previous studies explaining the impact of the spring festival on pollutants concentration [48, 53].

Fig. 8 shows the percentage of haze and complex pollution days occurrence in different months from 2018 to 2021. It is observed that complex pollution days mainly occurred in summer, whereas the higher percentage of haze days occurred in winter. The maximum haze days occurred in January over all the cities. This occurrence of haze episodes in winter months can be attributed to the fact that fine particulates concentration is higher in winter which plays an important role in haze formation; whereas, the maximum complex pollution days occurred in May over Shanghai and Nanjing, in June over Hefei, and in September over Hangzhou. This distribution of complex days is mainly because O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ are negatively correlated during winter and autumn. However, the correlation is moderate positive during late spring and summer [63, 64].

**TROPOMI validation**

The in situ measurements for trace gases including SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ are compared with spatially averaged TROPOMI satellite data of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ over different cities of YRD region. The multiyear (2018–2021) monthly mean values of SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ are compared with each other. Fig. 9 shows correlation plot among in situ and TROPOMI satellite data.

**Principal component analysis and correlation analysis**

PCA was used to reveal possible sources of pollutants emissions and calculate correlations between them. PCA is a frequently utilized multi-variate statistical analysis tool that is crucial to the study of interrelationships between diverse variables coming from a similar transfer path or source [12, 33]. Additional file 1: Tables
S9–S12 represents the total variance and rotated component matrix of PCA of atmospheric pollutants concentrations in our study area. Two factors were obtained which explain 83%, 81%, 80%, and 78% total variability over Hangzhou, Shanghai, Nanjing, and Hefei. Table 3 depicts the two factors obtained through PCA.

Factor 1 has positive loading of SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, PM$_{10}$, and NO$_2$. These all are indicative of primary anthropogenic sources (industrial, coal, biomass and fossil fuel). However, factor 2 has positive loading of O$_3$ reflecting markedly unlike sources that are photochemical reactions. Similar results were observed over all the cities with different values. These results are consistent with findings over urban cities of Lanzhou, Urumqi, Jinan and Shanghai [12, 33, 65, 66]. It is worth mentioning that Lanzhou, Urumqi and Jinan are located at geographically different locations as compared to study area. Fig 10 depicts the correlation matrix of trace gases and particulate matter over cities of the YRD region.

The daily mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are strongly correlated with daily mean PM$_{10}$ concentration in all the cities. The strongest correlation is observed over Hangzhou as compared to other cities. Particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) shows an almost similar correlation trend with NO$_2$ and SO$_2$ over all the cities of the study. The positive correlation between particulate

![Fig. 11 PSCF analysis based on NO$_2$ grouped by season over Nanjing. The color bar indicates the weights of Pollution source regions](image-url)
matter (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) with SO$_2$ and NO$_2$ is noted. This indicates that particulate matters (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$), and primary trace gases (SO$_2$ and NO$_2$) have similar sources of emissions like fossil fuel combustion and traffic. The recent studies also stated a strong positive correlation between particulate matters (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$), and primary trace gases in Beijing [67] and Delhi [68]. The correlation of O$_3$ with other trace gases and particulate matter showed diverse trends over the different cities of this study. A weak positive correlation is observed between particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) and O$_3$ over Shanghai; whereas, over Hangzhou and Nanjing this correlation among is particulate matters (PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$) and O$_3$ weak negative. PM$_{2.5}$ is negatively correlated with O$_3$ in Hefei, whereas PM$_{10}$ showed a weak positive correlation. A weak negative correlation is observed among NO$_2$ over all the cities. The correlation coefficient between SO$_2$ and O$_3$ is weak positive over Shanghai and Nanjing; whereas, the correlation coefficient between SO$_2$ and O$_3$ is weak negative over Hefei and Hangzhou.

The results depict that O$_3$ had distinctly different sources as compared to primary trace gases and particulate matters. The negative correlation of O$_3$ with NO$_2$ can be explained by studying the tropospheric chemistry where

---

**Fig. 12** PSCF analysis based on SO$_2$ grouped by season over Nanjing. The color bar indicates the weights of Pollution source regions.
the reaction of $O_3$ with NO leads to the formation of NO$_2$ thereby depleting $O_3$ \[69\]. These results comply with previously reported findings \[10, 55\].

**Potential source contribution function**

PSCF analyses were performed to identify the potential source areas of NO$_2$, SO$_2$, $O_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ over Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai regions using seasonal in situ measurements of NO$_2$, SO$_2$, $O_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ as input in the PSCF model and 72-h back trajectories obtained from the NOAA HYSPLIT model. The regions with WPSCF less than 0.4 are described as low pollution source, regions with WPSCF ranging from 0.4-0.5 are described as medium pollution source, whereas areas with WPSCF greater than 0.5 are termed as high pollution source regions. The results show that the air quality over Hangzhou, and Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai are significantly affected by local [neighboring provinces and cities within China] pollution sources of NO$_2$, SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ pollutants, which are stronger in winter and weaker in summer, whereas an opposite scenario was observed for $O_3$. Figs. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 (Additional file 1: Figures S2–S16) show PSCF Analysis based on NO$_2$, SO$_2$, $O_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$, respectively grouped by season over Nanjing (Hefei, Hangzhou and Shanghai, respectively). Additional file 1:
Figure S17 shows different regions in People’s republic of China Figs. 12, 13, 14, 15.

Generally, the wintertime high values of PSCF (> 0.50) over Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai regions indicate the stronger contributions from local source areas (Anhui, Beijing, Chongqing, Fujian, Hebei, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Inner Mongolia, Shanxi, Shaanxi, Shandong, Sichuan, Tianjin, and Zhejiang). These local sources of PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations more significantly affect the air quality of Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai than regional sources. In spring, the most common local source areas (e.g., Anhui, Fujian, Hebei, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Inner Mongolia, Shanxi, Shandong, and Zhejiang) of NO$_2$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ concentrations also substantially affect the air quality of Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai.

The summertime air quality of these four study areas was significantly influenced by O$_3$, whereas the lowest contributions were noted for NO$_2$, SO$_2$, PM$_{2.5}$, and PM$_{10}$ concentrations. This is showing the influence of local meteorology on aerosol pollutants and trace gases, i.e., more dispersion of aerosols and trace gases is occurred in summer than winter due to strong solar radiations, high temperatures leading to a high planetary boundary layer [36, 70]. However, the most common potential source
areas of O$_3$ concentrations are Anhui, Beijing, Fujian, Hebei, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Guangdong, Jiangsu, Jiangxi, Shanxi, Shandong, Tianjin, and Zhejiang. In addition, the autumn air quality over Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai are significantly impacted by local pollution sources. Overall, the results show that local sources from mainland China are the major contributors of air pollution over Hangzhou, Hefei, Nanjing, and Shanghai, with PM$_{2.5}$ pollution levels being higher in winter than in other seasons, whereas O$_3$ pollution is higher in summer.

**Conclusions**

This study investigates the recent spatiotemporal variations (2018–2021) of trace gases and aerosols along with the impact of trans-boundary air contamination and the effect of meteorological parameters on air quality in the cities of YRD region (Shanghai, Hefei, Hangzhou and Nanjing). The annual mean concentration of NO$_2$ and PM$_{2.5}$ continuously declines from 2019 to 2021 over all the cities. The unprecedented decline in annual mean O$_3$ concentration is observed in 2020 over the cities of YRD region. The concurrent reduction of both NO$_x$ and VOCs during 2020 due to ongoing mitigation plan and new stringent control measures introduced by the Chinese Ministry of Environment.
and Ecology in 2020 may be helped in the reduction of \(O_3\) concentration. The results showed still particulate matters exceeded the NAAQS and WHO guidelines although strict measures have resulted in significant improvement of air quality. The Haze pollution events occurred more frequently in Hefei and Nanjing as compared to Shanghai and Hangzhou. This can be attributed to higher concentration of \(PM_{2.5}\) in Hefei and Nanjing. The complex pollution episodes, i.e., the concurrent occurrence of \(PM_{2.5}\) and \(O_3\) are higher in Shanghai and Hangzhou possibly due to higher atmospheric oxidation capacity which enhances the rate of secondary pollution formation. The primary pollutants concentrations were higher in the winter, whereas \(O_3\) levels were higher during the summer season. The higher concentration of trace gases and particulate matter were mainly due to trans-boundary transport from adjoining cities and provinces. The increase in temperature and lower relative humidity favors the accumulation of \(O_3\), while low temperature, low wind speeds, and lower relative humidity favor the accumulation of primary pollutants. Different cities have different pollution characteristics, requiring continuous monitoring to design city-specific policies and action plans. The results of this study can be used as a strong reference for the future research by scientific community, administration and policymakers, and other stakeholders who might be concerned about air pollution impacts and mitigation over this vast, populous and economically crucial region. Future emission control strategies should be designed considering the synchronized control of \(O_3\) and \(PM_{2.5}\), especially for the cities with higher atmospheric oxidation capacity.
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