In this note we study two-dimensional CFTs at large global charge. Since the large-charge sector decouples from the dynamics, it does not control the dynamics and an EFT construction that works in higher-dimensional theories fails. It is however possible to use large charge in a double-scaling limit when another controlling parameter is present. We find some general features of the spectrum of models that admit an NLSM description in a WKB approximation and use the large-charge sector of the solvable SU(2)_k WZW model to argue the regimes of applicability of both the large-Q expansion and the double-scaling limit.
1. Introduction

In more than two dimensions, studying conformal field theories (CFTs) in sectors of large global charge leads to important simplifications and allows the semiclassical computation of the conformal data as an expansion in inverse powers of the large charge. The large-charge expansion has been put to work in various dimensions [1–9], but the case of two-dimensional CFTs has received much less attention and has been studied only in [10]. There it was shown that the approach of writing an effective field theory (EFT) – so successful in higher dimensions – fails in D = 2. If we impose unitarity and discreteness of the spectrum, the U(1) sector corresponding to the fixed charge can describe only a free boson with central charge $c = 1$ and completely decouples from the rest of the theory as a consequence of Sugawara’s construction [11]. The large charge does not control the full low-energy dynamics, unlike in the higher-dimensional case, where the dynamics is controlled by the scale introduced by the chemical potential. This means that in two dimensions the scaling dimension of the lowest operator of fixed charge $Q$ is given by $Q^2$ (from the $c = 1$ free boson) plus contributions not controlled by the charge.

While using the charge as a controlling parameter in an EFT for an otherwise strongly coupled model fails, we can still use it in regimes where the theory has a controlling parameter of its own. Despite the failure of the EFT construction, studying sectors of large charge still allows us to extract general insights about the spectrum. If the CFT can be described by a nonlinear sigma model (NLSM) in a particular limit, working at large charge simplifies the analysis in analogy to the double-scaling limits considered in higher-dimensional theories [5, 12–25]. We find that, in such a regime, generically the
conformal dimension of the lowest operator of charge \( Q \) is written as an expansion in \( 1/Q \) starting at order \( \mathcal{O}(Q^2) \).

In this note, we first compute the spectrum of a system with an \( nlsm \) description using a geometrical approach in which the large charge is the controlling parameter in a Wentzel–Kramers–Brillouin (\( wkb \)) approximation. Then, we exploit the fact that some two-dimensional \( cft \)s are exactly solvable to compare our large-charge results with the exact partition function specialized to a sector of fixed charge. This allows us to verify our general results and spell out the precise regimes of validity of the large-charge expansion and of the double-scaling limit.

We make in particular use of the fact that Wess–Zumino–Witten (\( wzw \)) models at level \( k \) admit a geometrical interpretation in the limit \( k \to \infty \). For the \( SU(2) \) \( wzw \) model, this limit corresponds to an \( nlsm \) with target space \( S^3 \). This model has a \( SU(2) \times SU(2) \) global symmetry that we can use to fix two independent charges \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \). In the limit \( k \gg Q, \bar{Q} \gg 1 \), we can use the \( wkb \) approximation and find the scaling dimension

\[
\Delta = \frac{(Q + \bar{Q})(Q + \bar{Q} + 2)}{2k},
\]

which is matched by the exact result from the partition function. Also the marginal \( J\bar{J} \) deformation, where the symmetry is reduced to \( U(1) \times U(1) \), can be treated in the same way. In this limit, the charges are not the dominating controlling parameter, but still serve to simplify the computation. Our treatment of the \( SU(2)_k wzw \) model gives a proof of concept for the usefulness of working at large charge in a double scaling limit together with the controlling parameter of the theory. This approach will be valuable in the study of more general models for which an exact solution is not known.

The plan of this note is as follows. In Section \textbf{two} we study \( cft \)s which by assumption have an \( nlsm \) description. The most general such action is the one of the string worldsheet. In Section \textbf{2.1}, we make use of some classical string theory results that allow us to identify the operator appearing in the one-loop tachyon beta-function equation with the cylinder Hamiltonian, which in geometrical terms is interpreted as a generalized Laplacian. In Section \textbf{2.2}, we observe that in the limit of large charge, the eigenvalue equation of this Laplacian has the right form to admit a \( wkb \) approximation. In Section \textbf{2.3}, we consider three examples, in which the \( wkb \) hierarchy can be solved: the case of two-dimensional target space, the case of the three-sphere corresponding to the semi-classical \( k \to \infty \) limit of the \( SU(2)_k wzw \) model, and the marginal deformation of this latter example.

In Section \textbf{three}, we consider the fixed-charge sectors of the completely solvable \( SU(2)_k wzw \) model and its marginal deformations starting from the exact partition function. First we briefly introduce the \( wzw \) model (Section \textbf{3.1}) and the parafermion decomposition (Section \textbf{3.2}), specializing the general results to a sector of fixed charge and finding the
lowest-energy state. Via the state-operator correspondence, this leads us directly to
the scaling dimension of the lowest operator of fixed charge. Two regimes emerge: if
\((Q + \bar{Q}) < k\), the large charge is not the dominating controlling parameter and we match
the \(wKB\) results from Section 2.3 in the limit \(k \to \infty\). For \((Q + \bar{Q}) > k\), the \(U(1)\) sector
decouples and only controls a subsector of the full dynamics.

In Section four, we give brief conclusions and an outlook. In Appendix A, we discuss
the free boson at large charge.

2. The non-linear sigma model at large charge

We start by considering \(\text{cft}\)s for which, by assumption, an \(\text{NLSM}\) description exists. This
is for example the case if a Lagrangian description can be realized in a semi-classical
approximation thanks to the existence of a small parameter. In this case, in string-
theoretical language, the \(\text{cft}\) is described in terms of background fields (the metric, the
\(B\) field, the dilaton, the tachyon) living on a target space. In particular, the spectrum of
the dilatation operator is identified with the spectrum of a differential operator that is
constructed using these background fields.

One possible way of constructing this operator was proposed in \([26, 27]\) in order to
realize a geometrical description for a given \(\text{cft}\). The idea is to study the beta function
of the lowest-lying state in the \(\text{NLSM}\), \(i.e.\) the tachyon, in order to identify the cylinder
Hamiltonian of the theory with the generalized (string-frame) Laplacian on the target
space.

In this section we first summarize this construction and then show how, in presence of
a \(U(1)\) global symmetry in the \(\text{cft}\) (which translates into a \(U(1)\) isometry for the target
space) the spectrum of this Laplacian can be studied in a \(wKB\) approximation in the limit
of large fixed charge \(Q\).

2.1. The cylinder Hamiltonian as a differential operator

The most general action with up to two derivatives is the \(\text{NLSM}\) of the closed string
worldsheet,

\[
S = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d\tau d\sigma \left( G_{\mu\nu}(X) \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial^\alpha X^\nu + i B_{\mu\nu}(X) \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\gamma \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \phi(X) R^{(2)} + T \right),
\] (2.1)

where \(\mu = 1, \ldots, N\), \(G\) is the target space metric, \(B\) is the antisymmetric Kalb–Ramond
field, \(\phi\) is the dilaton, \(T\) is the tachyon and \(R^{(2)}\) is the Ricci scalar of the worldsheet. We
assume that the system has a global \(U(1)\) (compact) symmetry that is realized non-linearly
as the shift of one of the fields \(X^N = \varphi\) as \(\varphi \to \varphi + \varepsilon\). It follows that \(\varphi\) can only appear
via its derivatives, and the target-space fields cannot depend on \(\varphi\).
If we want the \( nlsm \) to describe a \( cft \), we need to study the \( \beta \)-functions for the fields \( G, B \) and \( \Phi \). At one loop the vanishing of the conformal anomaly takes the form [28]:

\[
\beta^{G}_{\mu \nu} = R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{4} H_{\mu \nu}^2 + 2 V_{\mu} V_{\nu} \Phi, \\
\beta^{B}_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\lambda} H_{\lambda \mu \nu} - \nabla^{\lambda} \Phi H_{\lambda \mu \nu}, \\
\beta^{\Phi} = \frac{N}{6} + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \left( -R + \frac{H^2}{12} + 4(\nabla \Phi)^2 - 4 \Delta \Phi \right),
\]

(2.2, 2.3, 2.4)

where \( N \) is the number of two-dimensional fields, \( R \) is the curvature of \( G \), \( H = dB \), \( V \) is the covariant derivative associated to \( G \), \( H_{\mu \nu}^2 = H_{\mu \lambda \rho} H_{\nu}^{\lambda \rho} \), \( H^2 = H_{\mu}^{\mu} \). We require \( \beta^{G} = \beta^{B} = 0 \). These conditions imply that \( 6\beta^{\Phi} \) is a constant \( c \) to be identified with the central charge. As for the tachyon, the one-loop beta-function is a second-order differential equation for \( T \):

\[
\beta^{T} = \left( -\frac{e^{2\Phi}}{2V \det G} \partial_{\mu} \left( e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{\det G} G^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} - \frac{c}{12} \right) \right) T = 0.
\]

(2.5)

This operator appears in the equations satisfied by all the small perturbations of the target-space fields around the background values at the fixed point \( \beta = 0 \).

In order to compute the conformal dimensions in the \( cft \) we can apply the state-operator correspondence and identify the dilatation operator in the plane with the Hamiltonian on the cylinder, which in a \( cft \) is generically given by

\[
H_{cyl} = L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{12}.
\]

(2.6)

We now need to identify the differential operator corresponding to this Hamiltonian in terms of the background fields of the sigma model. A possible strategy was proposed in [26, 27]. The idea is that the cylinder Hamiltonian appears in string theory in the Virasoro condition that a state has to satisfy in order to be physical:

\[
\left( L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - \frac{c}{12} \right) \left| \text{phys} \right> = H_{cyl} \left| \text{phys} \right> = 0.
\]

(2.7)

The tachyon \( T \), being the lowest scalar of the theory, must in particular satisfy this condition,

\[
H_{cyl} T = 0.
\]

(2.8)

It is thus natural to identify this Virasoro condition with the vanishing of the one-loop beta function for the tachyon that we have written above and the cylinder Hamiltonian with the operator in Eq. (2.5). It is worth emphasizing that we will not need to impose the Virasoro condition in the following, since we will not be discussing a string theory.
We use it here to identify the correct representation of the Hamiltonian. 

\( H_{cyl} \) has a geometrical interpretation in terms of the target-space: we can identify it with a generalized (or string-frame) Laplacian. All together,

\[
H_{cyl} = -\frac{1}{2} \triangle \Phi - \frac{c}{12}.
\]  

(2.9)

As an aside, for vanishing dilaton \( \Phi = 0 \), this Hamiltonian describes the propagation of a free particle on the space with metric \( G_{\mu\nu} \). This shows that we are actually studying the homogeneous limit of the NLSM, or equivalently, the motion of the center of mass of a closed string.

### 2.2. WKB approximation at large charge

We now specialize to a sector of the theory with fixed and large \( U(1) \) charge \( Q \). We want to compute the spectrum of the generalized Laplacian \( \triangle \Phi \) in this sector, \textit{i.e.} the eigenvalues \( E(Q) \) of the equation

\[
\frac{1}{2} \triangle \Phi \Psi_Q + E(Q)\Psi_Q = 0.
\]  

(2.10)

The Laplacian is a second-order differential operator, and we can generically expand it in terms of derivatives \textit{w.r.t} the target-space directions

\[
\frac{1}{2} \triangle \Phi = A^{mn}(X) \partial_m \partial_n + B^m(X) \partial_m \partial_\varphi + C(X) \partial^2_\varphi + D^m(X) \partial_m + F(X) \partial_\varphi,
\]  

(2.11)

where \( m = 1, \ldots, N - 1, \varphi = X^N \) and the functions \( A, B, C, D \) and \( F \) are written in terms of the metric and the dilaton:

\[
A^{mn} = \frac{1}{2} G^{mn}, \quad B^m = G^{m\varphi}, \quad C = \frac{1}{2} G^{\varphi\varphi},
\]

\[
D^m = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2\Phi}}{\sqrt{G}} \partial_n (e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{G} G^{mn}), \quad F = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2\Phi}}{\sqrt{G}} \partial_n (e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{G} G^m_\varphi).
\]  

(2.12)

The global \( U(1) \) symmetry of the NLSM is now an isometry of the metric \( G \), so in general \( A, B, C, D \) and \( F \) depend on \( X^m \) and not of \( \varphi \), and the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian take the form

\[
\Psi_Q(X^m, \varphi) = \Psi_Q(X^m) e^{i Q \varphi}, \quad m = 1, \ldots, N - 1.
\]  

(2.13)
Our problem then reduces to

\[ (A^{mn}(X) \partial_m \partial_n + (iQB^m(X) + D^m(X)) \partial_m - Q^2 C(X) + iQF(X) + E(Q))\Psi_Q(X^m) = 0 \, . \]  

(2.14)

We are interested in the scaling properties of the spectrum as function of the charge. For large $Q$, we can use the \textit{wkb} method, which allows to approximate the solution of a differential equation whose highest derivative is multiplied by a small parameter. If we divide equation (2.14) by $Q^2$, we have the exact form suitable for the approximation in the $Q \gg 1$ limit.

We start with the Ansatz

\[ \Psi_Q(X^m) = \exp(Q \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_i(X)}{Q^i}) \, . \]  

(2.15)

The eigenvalue problem can be decomposed into an expansion in powers of $Q$, starting from $Q^2$. It follows that the energy must have the form

\[ E(Q) = E_2 Q^2 + E_1 Q + E_0 + \ldots \]  

(2.16)

and we obtain a hierarchy for the family of functions $S_i(X)$, starting with the eikonal (leading order) approximation

\[ A^{mn}(X) \partial_m S_0 \partial_n S_0 + iB^m(X) \partial_m S_0 = C(X) - E_2 \, , \]  

(2.17)

which, expressed in terms of the metric, has the form

\[ G^{mn} \partial_m S_0 \partial_n S_0 + 2iG^{m\phi} \partial_m S_0 = G^{\phi\phi} - 2E_2 \]  

(2.18)

and does not depend on the dilaton.

\section*{2.3. Examples}

The main result of the previous calculation is that if a \textit{cft} is described by an \textit{nlsm} and it has a global $U(1)$ symmetry, the conformal dimensions of the lowest operators of fixed charge $Q$ are given by an expansion in $1/Q$ starting at order $Q^2$. In special cases we can solve the \textit{wkb} hierarchy and extract more information about the system.

\textbf{Two dimensions.} In the special case of two fields $X^\mu = (X, \phi)$, the \textit{wkb} approximation is particularly simple. In fact, we can always rewrite the spacetime metric as $G_{\mu\nu} = e^{2f(X)}\delta_{\mu\nu}$, so that the generalized Laplacian is

\[ \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2f(X)}(\partial_X^2 - 2 \partial_X \Phi \partial_X + \partial_\phi^2) . \]  

(2.19)
Then the wkb hierarchy becomes
\[
S'_0(X)^2 = 1 - 2e^{2f(X)}E_2,
\]
\[
S'_1(X) = \frac{2S'_0(X)\Phi'(X) - S''_0(X) - 2e^{2f(X)}E_1}{2S'_0(X)},
\]
(2.20)

which can be solved order-by-order
\[
S_0(X) = \pm \int X d\xi \sqrt{1 - 2e^{2f(\xi)}E_2},
\]
\[
S_1(X) = \Phi(X) - \frac{f(X)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int X d\xi \frac{f'(\xi)}{1 - 2E_2e^{f(\xi)}} \pm \frac{E_1e^{2f(\xi)}}{\sqrt{1 - 2E_2e^{f(\xi)}}},
\]
(2.21)

where, at the fixed point, the functions \(f(X)\) and \(\Phi(X)\) are
\[
f(X) = c_1 - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - c_2e^{c_3X}), \quad \Phi(X) = c_4 + \frac{c_3}{2}X - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - c_2e^{c_3X}),
\]
(2.22)

with \(c_i\) being constants.

**The three-sphere.** Another interesting example is the three-sphere \(\text{nilsm} \) that describes the semiclassical \(k \rightarrow \infty\) limit of the SU(2)\(\kappa\) wzw model. Using the wkb approximation we can study the regime \(k \gg Q \gg 1\). It is convenient to pick a coordinate system in which the two U(1)s are manifest, for example by embedding the three-sphere in \(\mathbb{C}^2\) as follows (Hopf coordinates):
\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
  z_1 = \rho e^{i\theta}, \\
  z_2 = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} e^{i\phi}.
\end{array} \right.
\]
(2.23)

The corresponding line element is
\[
ds^2 = k\left[ \frac{d\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + (1 - \rho^2) d\phi^2 \right].
\]
(2.24)

The Laplacian reads
\[
\Delta = \frac{1}{k} \left[ (1 - \rho^2) \partial^2_\rho + \frac{1 - 3\rho^2}{\rho} \partial_\rho + \frac{1}{\rho^2} \partial^2_\theta + \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \partial^2_\phi \right].
\]
(2.25)
Given the $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry generated by shifts in $\varphi$ and $\vartheta$, the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian have the form

$$
\Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho, \vartheta, \varphi) = \Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho) e^{i\bar{Q} \vartheta} e^{iQ \varphi}.
$$

(2.26)

Let us consider the limit where both $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ are large and of the same order: $Q = q\Omega$, $\bar{Q} = \bar{q}\Omega$, $\Omega \gg 1$. We can use a wkb-type argument to show that the eigenvalues of the Laplacian have the form

$$
E = E_0(q, \bar{q})\Omega^2 + E_1(q, \bar{q})\Omega + E_2(q, \bar{q}) + \ldots
$$

(2.27)

The eikonal approximation is the Ansatz

$$
\Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho) = e^{QS_\varphi(\rho)+QS_\vartheta(\rho)} = e^{i(QS_\varphi(\rho)+qS_\varphi(\rho))},
$$

(2.28)

and at leading order in $\Omega$, the eigenvalue equation for the Laplacian reduces to

$$
(1 - \rho^2)(\bar{q}S_\vartheta'(\rho) + qS_\varphi'(\rho))^2 - \frac{\bar{q}^2}{\rho^2} - \frac{q^2}{1 - \rho^2} + 2kE_0(q, \bar{q}) = 0.
$$

(2.29)

The term proportional to $q\bar{q}$ must be $\rho$-independent since it has to cancel with a contribution from $E_0$. It follows that

$$
S_\vartheta'(\rho)S_\varphi'(\rho) + \frac{C_1}{1 - \rho^2} = 0
$$

(2.30)

and that

$$
2kE_0 = C_2q^2 + C_3\bar{q}^2 + 2C_1q\bar{q}.
$$

(2.31)

In this way we obtain two separate equations for $S_\varphi(\rho)$:

$$
(S_\varphi')^2 = \frac{C_2^2\rho^2}{(1 - \rho^2)(1 - C_3\rho^2)}, \quad (S_\vartheta')^2 = \frac{1 - C_2(1 - \rho^2)}{(1 - \rho^2)^2},
$$

(2.32)

which are compatible for $C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = 1$. The solution of the eikonal equation is then

$$
S_\varphi(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - \rho^2), \quad S_\vartheta(\rho) = \log(\rho), \quad E_0 = \frac{(q + \bar{q})^2}{2k}.
$$

(2.33)

In fact, in this case it turns out that the eikonal approximation is exact at all orders in $Q, \bar{Q}$, and we have

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho, \vartheta, \varphi) + \frac{(Q + \bar{Q})(Q + \bar{Q} + 2)}{2k} \Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho, \vartheta, \varphi) = 0,
$$

(2.34)
where

\[ \Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho, \vartheta, \phi) = \rho^Q (1 - \rho^2)^{Q/2} e^{iQ \vartheta} e^{iQ \phi} = z_1^Q z_2^\bar{Q}. \]  

(2.35)

We will recover this eigenvalue when discussing the exact partition function of the WZW model.

**Marginal deformation of the three-sphere.** The SU(2)_k WZW admits a continuous line of marginal deformations driven by the current-current operator \( J^3_{\bar{J}^3} \). The coordinate system that we have introduced above is particularly well adapted to describe this marginal deformation which, in the infinite-\( k \)-limit, corresponds to the following background:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\text{d}s^2 = k \left[ \frac{d\rho^2}{1 - \rho^2} + \frac{\rho^2}{1 + (\lambda^2 - 1)\rho^2} d\vartheta^2 + \frac{\lambda^2(1 - \rho^2)}{1 + (\lambda^2 - 1)\rho^2} d\phi^2 \right], \\
&B = \frac{k\lambda^2\rho^2}{1 + (\lambda^2 - 1)\rho^2} d\vartheta \wedge d\phi, \\
&e^{-2\Phi(\rho)} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\det G}},
\end{aligned}
\]

(2.36) (2.37) (2.38)

where \( \lambda \) is the parameter along the marginal line (the undeformed model has \( \lambda = 1 \)). The generalized Laplacian is

\[ \triangle \Phi = \triangle + \frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{k} \partial_\vartheta^2 + \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{k\lambda^2} \partial_\phi^2, \]

(2.39)

where \( \triangle \) is the operator given in Eq. (2.25). Geometrically, the deformation is driven by the U(1) operators \( \partial_\vartheta \) and \( \partial_\phi \) that commute with the Laplacian. It follows that the generalized Laplacian admits the same eigenfunctions \( \Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho, \vartheta, \phi) \) as in the undeformed case, but now with different eigenvalues. Using again the solution to the eikonal approximation we find:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \triangle \Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho, \vartheta, \phi) + E(Q, \bar{Q})\Psi_{Q,\bar{Q}}(\rho, \vartheta, \phi) = 0,
\]

(2.40)

\[
E(Q, \bar{Q}) = \frac{(Q + \bar{Q})(Q + \bar{Q} + 2)}{2k} + \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{2k} \left( \frac{Q^2}{\lambda^2} - \bar{Q}^2 \right).
\]

(2.41)

which we will again recover from the partition function in the \( k \to \infty \) limit, see Eq. (3.22).

**3. The SU(2) WZW model at fixed charge**

Up to now, we have studied cfts that we have assumed to have an NLSM description in a certain limit. However, in two dimensions, some cfts are exactly solvable. In these cases,
we can directly access the fixed-charge sectors via the partition function. This enables us to compare our nlsm results and the generic predictions of the large-charge expansion in [10] and identify the respective regimes of validity.

Concretely, we will start from the canonical (fixed-charge) partition function on the torus, written as the trace over the states of given charge $Q$:

$$Z(Q) = \text{Tr}_Q \left[ q^{L_0-c/24} q^{\bar{L}_0-c/24} \right],$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$,

and take the cylinder limit,

$$\tau = i \frac{\beta}{2\pi R}, \quad \beta \to \infty,$$

so that

$$Z(Q) = \text{Tr}_Q \left[ e^{-\frac{\beta}{R} (L_0+\bar{L}_0-c/12)} \right].$$

From here, we can extract the conformal dimension $\Delta(Q)$ of the lowest operator in the corresponding ensemble as the free energy in the infinite cylinder limit:

$$- \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{R}{\beta} \log(Z(Q)) = \Delta(Q) - \frac{c}{12},$$

where $\Delta = h + \bar{h}$ and $h, \bar{h}$ are the conformal weights.

3.1. The WZW model

The simplest non-trivial example of a solvable cft in two dimensions is the SU(2)$_k$ Wess–Zumino–Witten model, which for integer $k$ is a rational cft based on the affine algebra $\widehat{su}(2)_k$. In the limit $k \to \infty$ it admits a semi-classical description in terms of an nlsm on the three-sphere, which is the group manifold of SU(2). Its action is

$$S = \frac{k}{16\pi} \int d\bar{z} dz \text{Tr}[\partial^\mu g^{-1} \partial_\mu g] + k \Gamma,$$

$$\Gamma = -\frac{i}{24\pi} \int d^3 y \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \text{Tr}[g^{-1} \partial^\alpha gg^{-1} \partial^\beta gg^{-1} \partial^\gamma g],$$

where $g$ is an element of SU(2), and the second integral goes over a 3-manifold that has the worldsheet as its boundary. The model has a global SU(2) $\times$ SU(2) symmetry since the group can act on the left and on the right. We can thus fix two charges corresponding to a left and a right U(1).

For this model, the full partition function is known. To identify the sectors of fixed charge, we start from the grand-canonical partition function which includes the dual
chemical potentials:

\[ Z(z, \bar{z}; q, \bar{q}) = \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta/R(L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - c/12)j_0^3\bar{j}_0^3}], \quad (3.7) \]

where \( y = e^{2\pi iz} \) and the \( j_0^3, \bar{j}_0^3 \) are the Cartan generators of the left and right SU(2). This partition function can be expressed in terms of the characters \( \chi_l \) of the affine algebra \( \widehat{s\mathfrak{u}}(2) \), where \( l \) labels the representation:

\[ Z = \sum_{l, l'} \chi_l(z; \tau)M_{1l'}\chi_{l'}(\bar{z}; \tau). \quad (3.8) \]

We can always choose \( M_{1l'} = \delta_{1l'} \). The SU(2) wzw model has a continuous line of marginal deformations which are generated by adding the operator

\[ \int dz \, d\bar{z} \, j_0^3 \bar{j}_0^3 \quad (3.9) \]

to the action [31]. In the deformed case, the SU(2) × SU(2) symmetry of the semi-classical model is broken to \( U(1) \times U(1) \).

### 3.2. The parafermion decomposition

The wzw model is made of two building blocks: an \( s\mathfrak{u}(2)/u(1) \) piece associated to parafermions and a \( u(1) \) associated to a free boson at the self-dual radius.\(^1\) The two pieces are not independent, they are related by an orbifold [32]:

\[ \left( \frac{s\mathfrak{u}(2)_k}{u(1)} \otimes u(1)_{\sqrt{k}} \right)/\mathbb{Z}_k. \quad (3.10) \]

We intend to fix the charge associated to the bosonic \( u(1)_{\sqrt{k}} \), so it is convenient for us to write the characters in terms of this decomposition:

\[ \chi_l(z; \tau) = \text{Tr}[q^{L_0 + \frac{c}{8}}e^{2\pi i z}j_0^3] = \sum_{m=-k+1}^{k} c_m(q)\theta_{m1}(q, z). \quad (3.11) \]

We use the conventions in which \( 0 \leq l \leq k-1 \) is an integer, \( -k+1 \leq m \leq k \), and \( l - m = 0 \) mod 2. The theta function is given by

\[ \theta_{m1}(q, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{l(n+\frac{m}{2})^2}z^{(ln+\frac{m}{2})} \quad (3.12) \]

\(^1\) In stringy terms, this is to say that the radius of the \( U(1) \) is \( \sqrt{k} = \sqrt{\alpha'} \).
and $c^1_m$ are the string functions [33] (see also [34]). The added advantage of this decomposition is that the marginal deformation only acts on the $u(1)$ boson by changing its radius away from the self-dual point (i.e. it does not anymore coincide with $\sqrt{\alpha'}$), and does not modify the parafermion string functions [29, 30, 35, 36]:

$$
\left( \frac{su(2)_k}{u(1)} \otimes u(1)_{\sqrt{\alpha'}} \right) / Z_k.
$$

(3.13)

Here $\lambda$ is the deformation parameter, and $\lambda = 1$ corresponds to the undeformed model. For irrational values of $\lambda^2$, the resulting theory is not a rational cft.

Having an explicit expression for the characters, we can derive the full torus partition function for any value of the marginal deformation parameter [36]:

$$
Z(z, \bar{z}; q, \bar{q}) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{m=-k+1}^{k} \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} c^1_m(q) c^1_{m-2r}(\bar{q}) \sum_{M,N \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{1}{4}(\pm(kM+m-r+\lambda(kN+r))^2)} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{4}(\pm(kM+m-r-\lambda(kN+r))^2)} \ y^{kM+m-r} \bar{y}^{kN+r},
$$

(3.14)

which has a manifest $\lambda \to 1/\lambda$ symmetry, the axial-vector duality [29, 37, 38]. The exponents of $y$ and $\bar{y}$ are respectively the charges $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$. It is immediate to specialize to the partition function at fixed charges by imposing

$$
Q = kM + m - r, \quad \bar{Q} = kN + r,
$$

(3.15)

or equivalently,

$$
m = (Q + \bar{Q}) + k(M + N), \quad m - 2r = (Q - \bar{Q}) + k(M - N).
$$

(3.16)

We now obtain the canonical partition function

$$
Z(Q, \bar{Q}; q, \bar{q}) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} c^1_{(Q+\bar{Q})_k} c^1_{(Q-\bar{Q})_k} q^{\frac{1}{4}(Q + \lambda \bar{Q})^2} \bar{q}^{\frac{1}{4}(Q + \lambda \bar{Q})^2}.
$$

(3.17)

We are interested in the lowest state with fixed charge. To find it, first observe that the string functions $c^1_m$ have the symmetries

$$
c^1_m = c^1_{-m} = c^{k-1}_{k-m} = c^1_{m+2k}
$$

(3.18)

and that for $|m| \leq 1$, in the infinite cylinder limit $q \to 0$

$$
c^1_m \sim q^{|1/2| + \frac{k-1}{2} - \frac{m^2}{4k} + \ldots}
$$

(3.19)
The state of minimal energy is then obtained for the values of $M$ and $N$ such that
\[
\begin{align*}
m &= (Q + \bar{Q}) \mod k \equiv (Q + \bar{Q})_k \\
m - 2r &= (Q - \bar{Q}) \mod k \equiv (Q - \bar{Q})_k
\end{align*}
\tag{3.20}
\]
and for $l$ being the smallest value such that $|m| \leq l$ and $|m - 2r| \leq l$. If we assume, without loss of generality, that $Q > \bar{Q} > 0$, we have $l = (Q + \bar{Q})_k$, and the free energy gives directly the dimension of the lowest operator,
\[
\Delta = \frac{(Q + \bar{Q})_k((Q + \bar{Q})_k + 2)}{2(k + 2)} - \frac{(Q + \bar{Q})_k^2}{4k} - \frac{(Q - \bar{Q})_k^2}{4k} + \frac{1}{4k}\left(\frac{Q}{\lambda} + \lambda \bar{Q}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4k}\left(\frac{Q}{\lambda} - \lambda \bar{Q}\right)^2.
\tag{3.21}
\]
This expression is manifestly invariant under the axial-vector duality.

Depending on the value of the charges there are two qualitatively different behaviors:

- **If** $Q + \bar{Q} < k$, then $(Q + \bar{Q})_k = Q + \bar{Q}$ and the dimension is
  \[
  \Delta = \frac{(Q + \bar{Q})(Q + \bar{Q} + 2)}{2(k + 2)} + \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{2k}\left(\frac{Q^2}{\lambda^2} - \bar{Q}^2\right),
  \tag{3.22}
  \]
  which, in the special case $\lambda = 1$, reduces to
  \[
  \Delta = \frac{(Q + \bar{Q})(Q + \bar{Q} + 2)}{2(k + 2)}.
  \tag{3.23}
  \]
  This is the dimension of a primary along the line of marginal deformations of the $SU(2)$ wzw model parametrized by $\lambda$. Geometrically, at the undeformed point $\lambda = 1$ this is the eigenvalue of the Laplacian on a three-sphere of radius $\sqrt{k + 2}$ with angular momentum $Q + \bar{Q}$. For generic values of $\lambda$, the $SU(2)$ symmetry is clearly broken, but the expression still only depends on $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$, and it still can be interpreted as the eigenvalues of a Laplacian on a deformed sphere. These results reproduce respectively the expressions in Eq. (2.34) and Eq. (2.41) in the appropriate semiclassical limit $k \to \infty$.

- **If** $Q + \bar{Q} > k$, the dimension is
  \[
  \Delta = \frac{1}{2k}\left(\frac{Q^2}{\lambda^2} + \lambda^2 \bar{Q}^2\right) + a_k(Q, \bar{Q}),
  \tag{3.24}
  \]
  where $a_k(Q, \bar{Q})$ is defined in Eq. (3.21) and is generically of order $Q^0$ for fixed $k$. From the general theory, we expect in this regime a $U(1) \times U(1)$ sector to decouple. In fact, the conformal dimension is given by the sum of the contribution of the two fixed charges, that enter precisely with a term proportional to their square (as in the compact free boson discussed in Appendix A), and another term that is not controlled by the large charge. The axial-vector duality is then understood as the T-duality that relates the momenta
Q to the windings $\bar{Q}$. In this regime we do not expect the theory to be described by a simple effective theory. The dominating scale is fixed by the large charge $Q$ which only controls a subsector of the full dynamics. Even for $k$ large, we are not in the standard semi-classical regime of the WZW model.

Since this model is exactly solvable, we can identify precisely the regimes of validity of the large-charge expansions. If the charge is the dominating controlling parameter ($Q + \bar{Q} \gg 1, Q + \bar{Q} \gg k$), we see that the two $U(1)$s decouple from the rest of the model and the large charge does not control the entire dynamics. The spectrum is the one of a free boson plus order-one corrections. In the regime where the theory is not controlled by $Q$, but there is an NLSM description ($k \gg Q + \bar{Q} \gg 1$), we find that the scaling dimensions have an expansion in $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ starting at $(Q + \bar{Q})^2$. In the special WZW case we studied, it only contains two terms.

### 3.3. Special cases

For concreteness, we study some special cases.

**$k=1$.** At level $k = 1$, the $su(2)_1$ model is just a free boson at the self-dual radius and the $JJ$ deformation changes the radius. Consider (3.21) with $k = 1$. The function $a_1(Q, \bar{Q})$ in Eq. (3.24) vanishes identically for integer $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$, and we are left with

$$\Delta_{FB} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{Q^2}{\lambda^2} + \lambda^2 \bar{Q}^2 \right]. \quad (3.25)$$

This is the lowest operator dimension of the free boson discussed in Appendix A with both of the $U(1)$ charges fixed, with $Q$ and $\bar{Q}$ identified respectively with momentum and winding number.

**$k=2$.** For $k = 2$, the parafermion decomposition of an $su(2)_2$ is the orbifold of a free boson and a $k = 2$ parafermion, which is a standard fermion. The primary fields are given by

$$\Phi^l_m(z) = \phi^l_m(z) e^{i m \varphi(z)/2}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}^l_{\tilde{m}}(\bar{z}) = \tilde{\phi}^l_{\tilde{m}}(\bar{z}) e^{i \tilde{m} \tilde{\varphi}(\bar{z})/2}, \quad (3.26)$$

where the orbifold fixes the values of $m$ and $\tilde{m}$ in the parafermion field to be the same as the $U(1)$ charge of the boson. This corresponds to the relations that we had found above:

$$m = (Q + \bar{Q})_2, \quad \tilde{m} = (Q - \bar{Q})_2. \quad (3.27)$$
which in turn fixes the parity of \( l \) and \( \tilde{l} \), since in general

\[
l - m = 0 \mod 2, \quad \tilde{l} - \tilde{m} = 0 \mod 2. \tag{3.28}
\]

The parity of \( l \) is related to the boundary conditions on the cylinder: if \( l \) is odd, we have a Ramond (b) boundary condition (bc), while if \( l \) is even, we have a Neveu–Schwarz (ns) bc. The dimension of the lowest (Virasoro) primary of charges \((Q, \bar{Q})\) will receive two contributions: one from the boson \( U(1)_{\sqrt{2\lambda}} \), the other from the zero-point energy of the appropriate fermionic sector.

- If \( Q + \bar{Q} = 0 \mod 2 \), we have Neveu–Schwarz bc and the free fermion partition function is given by [34]:

\[
Z_{NS} = \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\frac{3}{2}|\eta|} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\frac{1}{2}|\eta|} = \frac{1}{2|\eta|} \left( \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2/2} \right| + \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-)^n q^{n^2/2} \right| \right), \tag{3.29}
\]

where \( q = e^{-\beta/R} \). In the infinite cylinder limit \( \beta \to \infty \), we have

\[
Z_{NS} \sim e^{\beta/(24R)} \left( 1 + O(e^{-\beta/(2R)}) \right), \tag{3.30}
\]

where we have used that for small \( q \), \( \eta(q) \approx q^{1/24} \) and that the leading contribution comes from the \( n = 0 \) mode. It follows that the contribution to the conformal dimension is

\[
\Delta_{NS} = -\lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{R}{\beta} \log(Z_{NS}) + \frac{c}{12} = -\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} = 0. \tag{3.31}
\]

- If \( Q + \bar{Q} = 1 \mod 2 \), instead, the free fermion partition function with Ramond bc is

\[
Z_R = \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\frac{1}{2}|\eta|} = \frac{1}{2|\eta|} \left| \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}+1/2} q^{r^2/2} \right|. \tag{3.32}
\]

In this case, in the infinite-cylinder limit the leading contribution comes from \( r = \pm 1/2 \) and the partition function becomes

\[
Z_R \sim e^{\beta/(24R)} e^{-\beta/(8R)} \left( 1 + O(e^{-\beta/R}) \right). \tag{3.33}
\]

Then the contribution to the conformal dimension is

\[
\Delta_R = -\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{24} = \frac{1}{8}. \tag{3.34}
\]

This is to be compared with our result from the general partition function: for \( k = 2 \), the function \( a_2(Q, \bar{Q}) \) takes the values 0 for \( Q \) and \( \bar{Q} \) both even or both odd, and \( 1/8 \).
otherwise:

$$
\Delta = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{Q^2}{\lambda^2} + \lambda^2 \bar{Q}^2 \right] + \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } Q + \bar{Q} = 0 \mod 2 \\
\frac{1}{8} & \text{if } Q + \bar{Q} = 1 \mod 2.
\end{cases}
$$

(3.35)

This is precisely what we found in Eq. (3.31) and (3.35).

As expected from general arguments, we see that in this case the scaling dimension is made up of the free boson piece which scales as $O(Q^2, \bar{Q}^2)$ and a second contribution from the fermion that is independent of the fixed charge.

### 4. Conclusion and outlook

Unlike in higher-dimensional cases, in two-dimensional crts we cannot construct an eff in terms of an expansion in the charge that controls the dynamics in sectors of large fixed charge [10]. Naively, one expects problems from the fact that in two dimensions we do not have Goldstone modes from the spontaneous breaking of the global symmetry, which in higher dimensions serve as the light degrees of freedom in terms of which the eff is expressed. The problem however lies in the fact that if we require unitarity and a discrete spectrum, the U(1) sector which is controlled by the charge decouples from the full dynamics. If the full dynamics was strongly coupled, the rest of the physics remains perturbatively inaccessible.

The large-charge expansion can however be put to good use when studying a model which per se has an nlsm description. Then we can work in a double-scaling limit of large charge in conjunction with the controlling scale of the model. In such a case, we can extract general properties of the spectrum using time-honored approximations such as the wkb method. Concretely, we have shown how to compute conformal dimensions via differential equations describing the target space geometry of the nlsm.

The results from this method can be verified in the case of fully solvable models such as the SU(2)$_k$ wzw model by writing down the full partition function and extracting the fixed-charge sector.

While we have confined ourselves here to the simplest case of the SU(2) wzw model and its marginal $\bar{J}J$ deformation, it would be interesting to study more complicated solvable models such as the SU(N) wzw model or more general marginal deformations [39, 40] with this technique. The real merit of working at large charge is that it allows tackling cases which are not solvable but admit a semi-classical description, as is assumed to be the case for most string theory solutions. We leave these points for future investigation.

While the standard large-charge eff construction is not directly applicable for two-dimensional crts, we conclude that the approach can be successfully applied in settings admitting a semi-classical description.
Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Simeon Hellerman and Igor Pesando for illuminating discussions. The work of S.R. is partly supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant number 200021 192137. D.O. is partly supported by the nccr 51nf40–141869 “The Mathematics of Physics” (Swissmap).

A. The free boson

We consider the CFT of a free periodic scalar field, \( X \approx X + 2\pi r \),

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \partial X \overline{\partial X}. \tag{A.1}
\]

The momentum is quantized,

\[
p = \frac{n}{\tau}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{A.2}
\]

The second quantum number in the compact case is the winding number \( w \),

\[
X(\sigma + 2\pi) = X(\sigma) + 2\pi rw, \quad w \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{A.3}
\]

We can write down the exact partition function for this theory,

\[
Z = \text{Tr}(q^{L_0-c/24} q^{\overline{L}_0-c/24}), \tag{A.4}
\]

where \( q = e^{2\pi i \tau} \) and in our case, \( c = 1 \). In the cylinder limit, \( \tau = i\beta/(2\pi R) \), \( \beta \to \infty \), so

\[
Z = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta/R(L_0+\overline{L}_0-c/12)}), \tag{A.5}
\]

which we can write explicitly in terms of two integers [34]:

\[
Z = \frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^2} \sum_{n, w \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left( -\frac{\beta}{2R} \left( \frac{\alpha'n^2}{r^2} + \frac{w^2r^2}{\alpha'} \right) \right). \tag{A.6}
\]

This system has two U(1) symmetries associated to the quantum numbers \( n \) and \( w \), and we can fix either of them or both by imposing appropriate boundary conditions.

The conformal dimension \( \Delta \) of the lowest operator in a given sector is found via the state-operator correspondence starting from the free energy:

\[
-\frac{c}{12} - \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{1}{\beta} \log Z = \left[ \Delta - \frac{c}{12} \right] \tag{A.7}
\]
and $\Delta = \bar{L}_0 + L_0$.

For $\beta/R \to \infty$, the leading behavior of the Dedekind eta function is

$$\frac{1}{|\eta(\tau)|^2} \sim \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{12R} \right),$$

which measures the contribution of the zero-modes and corresponds to the zero-point energy. So

$$Z \sim e^{\beta/(12R)} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{12} \pi^2 n^2} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{12} \pi^2 w^2} \right].$$

(A.8)

In this form, the sectors of fixed charge already appear manifestly. For fixed $n = Q$,

$$Z \sim e^{\beta/(12R)} \left[ e^{-\frac{\beta}{12} \pi^2 Q^2} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{12} \pi^2 w^2} \right].$$

(A.9)

and taking the limit $\beta/R \to \infty$, only $w = 0$ survives, so

$$\Delta_Q = \frac{\alpha'}{2\pi^2} Q^2 + \frac{(c - 1)}{12},$$

(A.11)

where the second term is zero for the compact boson, i.e. the contribution of the central charge cancels the contribution of the Casimir energy.

Instead of fixing $n$, we could have fixed $w = \bar{Q}$, which would have lead to the expression

$$\Delta_{\bar{Q}} = \frac{r^2}{2\alpha'} \bar{Q}^2.$$

(A.12)

Unsurprisingly, the dimensions of the lowest operators $\Delta_Q$ and $\Delta_{\bar{Q}}$ are related by the T-duality transformation that exchanges $r^2/\alpha' \leftrightarrow \alpha'/r^2$ and swaps $Q \leftrightarrow \bar{Q}$.
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