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Abstract

Soil acidity affects the growth of crops because acidic soil contain toxic levels of aluminum and manganese and characterized by deficiency of essential plant nutrients such as P, N, K, Ca, Mg, and Mo. The management options to correct acid soils are, improve nutrient use efficiency, liming, application of organic materials, biochar, appropriate crop rotations, crop mixtures, use of varieties that tolerant to Al and Mn toxicity. Biochar application improves crop productivity through enhancing water holding capacity, cation exchange capacity (CEC), adsorption of plant nutrients and creates suitable condition for soil micro-organisms.

Background and justification

Soil acidification is a natural process, which can either be accelerated by the activity of plants, animals and humans or can be impeded by sound management practices. Industrial and mining activities can lead to soil acidification through acid drains resulting primarily from pyrite oxidation and from acid precipitation caused by the emission of sulfur (SOx) and nitrogen (NOx) gases. In areas that remain unaffected by industrial pollution, soil acidification is mainly caused by the release of H+ ions during the transformation and cycling of C, N and S in managed ecosystems. Soil acidification can exert itself in several ways: (a) increase of soil acidity or decrease of pH, (b) decrease of base saturation, (c) unbalanced availability of elements in the root environment, or (d) decrease of the acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) of the soil [1].

Soil acidity affects the growth of crops because acidic soil contain toxic levels of aluminum and manganese and characterized by deficiency of essential plant nutrients such as P, N, K, Ca, Mg, and Mo [2]. The cause of soil acidity could be the type of parent materials from which the soil are formed, leaching of base forming cations, continuous use of acid forming fertilizers such as Urea and DAP [3].

Soil acidity is one of the main factors that limit and prevent profitable and sustained agricultural productivity in many parts of the world. It is estimated that approximately 50% of the worlds’ arable soils are acidic and may be subjected to the effect of aluminum (Al) toxicity of which the tropics and subtropics account for 60% of the acid soils in the world [4].

In Sub-Saharan African countries, soil fertility depletion is the fundamental biophysical cause for declining per capita food production [5]. This challenge will continue as population pressure increases and degradation of soil resources is aggravated. Reversing this trend lies in the enhancement of sustainable development of the agricultural sector; one of which is the management of good soil quality.

In Ethiopia 40.9% of the soil is acidic; from this 28% by moderately acidic soils (pH 4.5 – 5.5) and 13% by strongly acidic soils (pH <4.5) [6].

The management options to correct acid soils are, improve nutrient use efficiency, increase crop production on acidic soils include liming, application of organic materials, biochar, appropriate crop rotations, crop mixtures and use of plant varieties tolerant to Al and Mn toxicity [7].

The potential of biochar as a soil amendment/soil acid management in agricultural fields is a recently recognized and yet is underutilized technology. Biochar, (commonly known as charcoal or agrichar) is defined as a carbon(C) rich product derived from the pyrolysis of organic material at relatively low temperatures (<700 °C) [8]. Biochar application improves crop productivity through enhancing water holding capacity, cation exchange capacity (CEC), adsorption of plant nutrients and creates suitable condition for soil micro-organisms [9].
According to FAO [10], charcoal production in Ethiopia is estimated to be 3.92 million tonnes. From the production of this, a minimum of 4% of the charcoal is non-marketable, and 156,800 tonnes of biochar can be obtained.

Soil management by biochar increases nutrient availability, pH, CEC, crop yields and decreases risk of crop failure as well as opens new possibilities for cropping of high-value crops [11]. Despite the high potentials of biochar in Ethiopia, only very limited information exists [12].

Therefore, this review is carried out to investigate the effect of biochar on acidic soil.

The chemistry of soil acidity

**Soil pH range**: On the basis of their relative degree of acidity, soils are divided into several acidity or alkalinity classes, as shown in Figure 1. Such a classification enables the uses of proper terms for indicating acid-base conditions in soils.

Acid soils (pH<7) are common in humid regions. In these soils, the concentration of H+ ions exceeds that of OH- ions.

Soil pH range:
- Strong acidic (pH<5)
- Moderately acidic (pH 5-6)
- Slightly acidic (pH 6-7)
- Neutral (pH 7)
- Moderately basic (pH 7-8)
- Strongly basic (pH 8-10)
- Only in alkali mineral soils

**Causes of soil acidity**

Major reasons for soils to become acidic are:
1. Rainfall and leaching
2. Acidic parent material
3. Organic matter decay
4. Harvest of high yielding crops
5. Removal of products from the farm or paddock
6. Inappropriate use of nitrogenous fertilizers

Residual acidity is defined as soil acidity that remains after active and exchangeable acidity has been neutralized [16]. They believe that residual acidity is associated with aluminum hydroxide ions and with Al3+ and H+ ions that are bound in non-exchangeable forms by organic matter and silicate clays. Kim [14] calls this “the remaining soil acidity that cannot be replaced by an unbuffered salts solution”.

Exchangeable acidity: Exchangeable hydrogen (H) together with exchangeable Aluminum (Al) is known as soil exchangeable acidity. Soil acidity occurs when acidic H+ ions occur in the soil solution to a greater extent and when an acid soluble Al3+ reacts with water (hydrolysis) and results in the release of H+ and hydroxyl Al ions into the soil solution [17]. Foth and Ellis [18] stated that during soil acidification, protonation increases the mobilization of Al and Al forms serve as a sink for the accumulation of H+. The concentration of the H+ in soils to cause acidity is pronounced at pH values below 4 while excess concentration of Al3+ is observed at pH below 5.5 [19]. In strongly acidic conditions of humid regions where rainfall is sufficient to leach exchangeable basic cations, exchangeable Al occupies more than approximately 60% of the effective cation exchange capacity, resulting in a toxic level of aluminum in the soil solution. Generally, the presence of more than 1 ppm of Al3+ in the soil solution can significantly bring toxicity to plants. Hence, the management of exchangeable Al is a primary concern in acid soils [20].

Soil acidity. The H+ ions may be present in soils as adsorbed H+ ions in the soil solution. The portion of H+ ions adsorbed by the clay complex becomes exchangeable H+ ions. The exchangeable H+ ions dissociate into free H+ ions, and the amount adsorbed is usually in equilibrium with the amount free in solution. The chemical conditions created produce a chemical environment showing two types of soil acidities [14].

Active acidity is measured and expressed as soil pH. This is the type of soil acidity on which plant growth reacts. However, the tendency exists today to call this exchange acidity salt replaceable acidity which is especially to exchangeable Al3+ that can be replaced by an unbuffered salt solution (e.g. KCl) [14].

However, this is most types of potential acidity because it requires hydrolysis of Al3+ to produce the H+ ions that are the true source for soil acidity. Taken together, the active and potential acidity make up the total soil acidity. The free H+ ions concentration of the soils solution at any particular time is relatively very small compared to the reserve or exchangeable H+ concentration.

**Active versus potential acidity**: A number of compounds contribute to the decomposition of acidic and basic soil reactions. Inorganic/organic acids, produced by the decomposition of organic matter, are common soil constituents that may affect soil acidity.
The above causes of soil acidity are more easily understood when we consider that a soil is acid when there is an abundance of acidic cations, like hydrogen (H+) and aluminium (Al3+) present compared to the alkaline cations like calcium (Ca2+), magnesium (Mg2+), potassium (K+), and sodium (Na+) [21].

Rainfall and leaching: In conditions where rainfall exceeds, leaching during most of the year, the basic soil cations (Ca2+, Mg2+, K+) are gradually depleted and replaced with cations held in colloidal soil reserves, leading to soil acidity. Soil acidity is really a high rainfall problem [22]. Clay soils often contain Fe and hydroxyl Al, which affect the retention and availability of fertilizer cations and anions in acidic soils. Sandy soils are often the first to become acidic because water percolates rapidly, and sandy soils contain only a small reservoir of bases (buffer capacity) due to low clay and organic matter contents. Since the effect of rainfall on acid soil development is very slow, it may take hundreds of years for parent material to become acidic under high rain fall [21].

Parent material: Due to differences in chemical composition of parent materials, soils will become acidic after different lengths of time. Thus, soils that developed from granite material are likely to be more acidic than soils developed from calcareous shale or limestone [21].

Organic matter decay /Dissociation: While organic matter has many beneficial effects including improving soil structure, the increasing amount of organic matter may make the soil more acid. Decaying organic matter produces H+ which is responsible for acidity. The carbon dioxide (CO2) produced by decaying organic matter reacts with water in the soil to form a weak acid called carbonic acid. This is the same acid that develops when CO2 in the atmosphere reacts with rain to form acid rain naturally. Several organic acids are also produced by decaying organic matter, but they are also weak acids. Like rainfall, the contribution to acid soil development by decaying organic matter is generally very small, and it would only be the accumulated effects of many years that might ever be measured in a field [22].

Crop production and nutrient removal: Production of all agricultural products causes acidification. The way that plants take up nutrients results in a partitioning of acidity into the soil and alkalinity into the plant as dry matter. If a plant was naturally allowed to die and all parts returned to the soil, there would be no net change in pH. As agriculture removes plant material from a paddock (as grain or pasture) less alkalinity is returned to the soil and the soil becomes more acidic [23].

Use of nitrogenous fertilizers: The use of NH4+ containing fertilizer over long period leads to soil acidification [24]. However; fertilizers vary in their acidifying effect. The acidifying effect of various N fertilizers followed this order: ammonium sulfate> ammonium nitrate> anhydrous ammonia> urea> calcium nitrate (Tables 1, 2). The degree of acidity caused by a fertilizer is modified by soil characteristics, cropping systems and, environmental variables (Adams, 1984) [25]. Fertilizers may also cause acidity by increasing the export of basic cations relative to the unfertilized soil [24].

Rainfall, leaching, parent materials, organic matter decay, and crop production and nutrient removal all contribute to soil acidity. However, the most significant contributor is the use of nitrogenous fertilizers.

**Table 1: Acid generating ammonium nitrifying reactions in soil.**

| Reaction | Products |
|----------|----------|
| NH4NO3 + 2O2 => 2NO3- + 2 H+ + H2O | Ammonium nitrate |
| Urea (NH2)2CO + 4O2 => 2NO3- + 2 H+ + CO2 + H2O | Urea |
| NH4 + 2O2 => NO3- + H+ + H2O | Ammonium phosphate |

**Table 2: Inorganic properties of biomass samples (wt.% of the ash).**

| Sample          | SiO2 | Al2O3 | Na2O | K2O | CaO | MgO | Na2O | K2O | SiO2 | Al2O3 |
|-----------------|------|-------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-------|
| Olive husk      | 32.9 | 50.2  | 1.0  | 6.3 | 4.1 | 14.5 | 4.2  | 26.4| 4.3  | 0.6   |
| Corn cob        | 52.9 | 49.0  | 9.1  | 0.5 | 6.8 | 1.1  | 9.4  | 3.3 | 1.6  | 5.3   |
| Tea waste       | 44.4 | 48.2  | 7.2  | 0.5 | 5.2 | 1.5  | 12.6 | 3.8 | 1.8  | 18.8  |
| Source: Kennedy (1992) |

**Soil acidity in Ethiopia**

The total area of Ethiopia is 111.8 million hectare out of these only 79 million of hectare is suitable for agriculture. Out of these about 40.9% of area is covered by strongly to weak acid soils. From these 28% moderately to weak acids with pH 5.8–6.7 and 13% covered by strong to moderate acidic soils with pH less than 5.5 [26]. The most strongly acidic soils are found in western and south western parts of Ethiopia, the central highlands, the high rainfall areas of north western part of the country. Nevertheless, moderately acidic soils (pH 5.5–6.5) are distributed through much of the rest of the country [27].

**Effect of soil acidity**

Major effects of soil acidification: Nutrient toxicity can occur in acid soils when the pH is < 4.8 or lower. The two most important toxicities in acid soils are those of aluminum (Al) and manganese (Mn) [28]. In strongly acid soils (pH < 4.3) aluminum and manganese become more available in the soil solution and are harmful to plant roots. Aluminum toxicity is the most common and results in decreased production. On plant symptom on acidic soils and causes root stunting. Reduced root growth impedes nutrient and water [29].

**Production and composition of biochar**

produced by pyrolysis process: Biochar results from pyrolysis, a thermochemical conversion process for biomass materials such as crop residues, forestry waste, industrial by–product, municipal waste and animal manure. Pyrolysis means breaking chemical bonds (lysis) with heat (pyro). The chemical bonds in biomass break during the pyrolysis process under low oxygen conditions (so the material does not just combust) and different compounds form and recondense into different end products. Together with the cracking reactions responsible for the formation of volatiles (gases and liquids), polycondensation reactions also occur which form biochar. Pyrolysis generally generates three products: a gas, a liquid, and a solid. Biochar is the solid [30].

**Uses of biochar**

The main purpose for the preparation of biochar is
for carbon sequestration (stores carbon for long time), improved soil fertility, and reduces soil acidity for better crop production (IBI, 2012). It improves crop yield when applied as a soil amendment. Biochar can also be burned for energy and processed into activated charcoal for water purification [11].

**Methodology**

**Description of the study area**

The study was carried out at Koga which is Mecha District, West Gojam Zone of Amhara National Regional state, Ethiopia. Nitosol is the dominant soil type and is moderately acidic [12].

**Experimental setup:** Three rates of biochar (4, 8, 12 t ha⁻¹), one rate of lime (2 t ha⁻¹) and a control treatment (without biochar and lime) were combined in a factorial arrangement with three rates of chemical N and P fertilizer rates (0, ½ and full recommended). A randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications was used [12].

Eucalyptus char from commercial sellers at Merawi town was collected and the bigger sized were crushed an fine grained in order to distribute them uniformly and increase the surf ace area of the char for better soil–char contact (Figure 2). Lime produced from Dejen lime mill enterprise was used [12].

**Soil sampling**

Prior to planting and before application of biochar and lime, composite soil samples were taken from the experimental sites using soil auger in 0-20 cm soil depth. At harvesting, soil samples from each treatment were taken and independently analyzed. Soil samples were air dried under shade, ground by mortar & pestle and sieved to pass through 2 mm mesh [12].

**Soil analysis**

The soil pH was determined using glass electrode pH meter in 1:2.5 soil to water ratio in volume and exchangeable acidity (Al⁺³ and H⁺) were extracted with KCl solutions [32]. Total nitrogen analysis was done using the Kjeldahl method [33]. Available phosphorus was determined by Bray II method (Bray and Kurth 1945). Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined using the ammonium replacement method. Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) was determined following the wet digest ion method used by Walkley and Black [34] and organic carbon was converted to organic matter by multiplying with a coefficient of 1.724.

**Result and discussion**

**Effect of biochar on soil properties**

**Soil pH and exchangeable acidity:** Addition of biochar from lower rate to higher rates production a reduction tends of exchangeable acidity. Incorporation of 12tha⁻¹ biochar gave the lowest exchangeable acidity (0.39cmol kg⁻¹) followed by 2 t ha⁻¹ lime (0.44cmol kg⁻¹), where the highest exchangeable acidity was (0.60 cmol kg⁻¹) from the control plots (Figure 3) [12]. Lowering of exchangeable acidity and a rise in pH can provide a wide range of benefits in terms of soil quality, not ably by chemically improving the availability of plant nutrients, and in some cases by reducing the availability of detrimental elements such as Al [35].

Despite the long term potential impacts are to be seen, application of biochar and lime raised the soil pH substantially in one season. The higher pH values (6.17 and 5.9) were obtained on application of 2 t ha⁻¹ lime and 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar, respectively, whereas the lowest pH (5.38) was found on the control plot (Figure 4). Within one season pH increased by 0.79 and 0.52 units by application of 2 t ha⁻¹ lime and 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar, respectively from the control. Application of biochar at rates of 8 and 4 t ha⁻¹ also showed 0.31 and 0.29 unit increment, respectively on soil pH from the same. It was apparent that the biochar applied in this study had a pH value of 8.43, which is basic in reaction [12]. The alkalinity of most biochar can be beneficial to acidic soils, acting as a liming agent to increase pH, and decrease exchangeable Al [11].
Available P

Soil available P increased after application of biochar and lime. As biochar rates increased, availability of P also increased. It increased from 12.75 ppm without amendment to 18.92 and 17.50 ppm after application of 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar and 2 t ha⁻¹ lime, respectively (Figures 5, 6) [12].

An increase of available P on application of biochar could happen due to two reasons: the first one is that liming effect of biochar on acid soil that precipitates Al and Fe as Fe(OH)₃ and Al(OH)₃, thus increases availability of phosphorus in the soil system [36]. According to Griffith [37] and Tisdale, et al. [36], under acid conditions, phosphorus is precipitated as Fe or Al phosphates. Maximum availability of phosphorus generally occurs in a pH range of 6.0 to 7.0. Miller and Donahue [38] also indicated that phosphorus is most available near pH 6.5 for mineral soils. Tisdale, et al. [36] also discussed that P availability is at maximum in the pH range 5.5–6.0. Maintaining a soil pH in this range also favors the presence of H₂PO₄⁻ which is more readily absorbed by the plant than HPO₄²⁻ and occur at pH values above 7.0 [36] Figure 7.

The second reason is the presence of available phosphorus in biochar itself. Deluca, et al. [8] reported that biochar inherently contains a high content of soluble P salts formed during the charring of organic materials. Lehmann and Rondon [39] reviewed that an increase in availability of phosphorus after application of biochar could be due to the direct nutrient addition by the biochar and changes in soil microbial dynamics. Chan, et al. [40] and Lehman, et al. [39] also discussed that application of biochar increased phosphorus concentration Figure 8.

The second reason is the presence of available phosphorus in biochar itself. Deluca, et al. [8] reported that biochar inherently contains a high content of soluble P salts formed during the charring of organic materials. Lehmann and Rondon [39] reviewed that an increase in availability of phosphorus after application of biochar could be due to the direct nutrient addition by the biochar and changes in soil microbial dynamics.

Soil analysis result showed that application of fertilizer increased available P; 14.70 ppm, 16.35 and 17.30 ppm were found on the application of 0, 23/30 and 46/60 (N/P₂O₅) kg ha⁻¹ Table 3. Accordingly, application of biochar and lime with fertilizer and without fertilizer showed different values on available P. Biochar rates and lime showed higher P at higher rates of fertilizer. As the rate of biochar and the rate of fertilizer increases, the P value also increases [12].

This result indicates that the availability of the applied P fertilizer could be supported by the application of biochar and lime [12].

Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)

The soil analysis result showed that incorporation of biochar and lime increased CEC of the soil. High CEC values (20.73 and
Table 4: Effect of fertilizer on selected soil chemical properties.

| Fertilizer N/P2O5 (kg ha⁻¹) | PH | Total N (%) | Available P (ppm) |
|-----------------------------|----|-------------|-------------------|
| 0                           | 5.73 | 0.17 | 14.70 |
| 23/30                      | 5.77 | 0.18 | 16.35 |
| 46/60                      | 5.75 | 0.18 | 17.40 |

Source: [12].

Table 4: Effect of biochar and lime on soil chemical properties.

| Amendment                | OC (%) | Total N (%) |
|--------------------------|--------|-------------|
| 2 t ha⁻¹ Lime            | 1.91   | 0.19        |
| 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar        | 1.84   | 0.18        |
| 8 t ha⁻¹ Biochar         | 1.77   | 0.18        |
| 4 t ha⁻¹ Biochar         | 1.70   | 0.17        |
| No Amendment             | 1.66   | 0.17        |

Source: [12].

Application of biochar resulted in little differences on total nitrogen. Actually the study area has low total nitrogen [12]. Intensive cultivation as well as less crop residue management may contribute significant roles for the low level of total nitrogen. Application of biochar has no direct effect on nitrogen content of the soil, but it retains nitrogen from leaching [41]. The review of Clough, et al. [41] indicated that biochar has a high adsorption capacity of NO₃⁻ that prevents nitrogen leaching from the top soil. Kamayama, et al. [42] concluded that NO₃⁻ was only weakly adsorbed to biochar, that it could be desorbed by water infiltration, and that the net result may be an increase residence time for NO₃⁻ in the soil. Due to its resistance to decomposition in soil, single applications of biochar can provide beneficial effects over several growing seasons in the field [11]. Therefore, biochar does not need to be applied frequently, as is usually the case for manures, compost, and synthetic fertilizers [12].

Yield response to application of biochar, Lime and mineral fertilizers

Significant teff yield variation was obtained by incorporating biochar and lime. The highest rate of biochar (12 t ha⁻¹) resulted in better yield, while application of 2 t ha⁻¹ lime resulted in a yield above application of 8 t ha⁻¹ biochar and less than 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar applications (Table 3). In general, biochar and lime applications resulted in a significant yield increase (P<0.01) (Table 3). Application of 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar and 2 t ha⁻¹ lime gave 2.67 and 2.45 t ha⁻¹ grain yield, respectively, while the lowest yield (1.44 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from control plots. Incorporation of 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar, 2 t ha⁻¹ lime, 8 t ha⁻¹ and 4 t ha⁻¹ biochars had 85.66%, 70.63%, 37.79% and 19.97% yield advantage, respectively over the untreated plots [12].

Similar to grain yield, significant dry biomass yield variation was obtained by incorporation of biochar and lime. The highest rate of biochar (12 t ha⁻¹) had a better biomass yield response than the lower rates. Application of 2 t ha⁻¹ lime resulted in better yield than 8 t ha⁻¹ but less than 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar. Application of 12 t ha⁻¹ biochar and 2 t ha⁻¹ lime gave 17.77 and 15.03 t ha⁻¹ tef dry biomass yield, respectively whereas the lowest biomass yield (11.55 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from control treatment [12].

Conclusions

Application of biochar resulted in positive effect on soil chemical properties/soil acidic management. In highly acidic soils it may serve as a soil amendment by increasing the soil pH, P availability, CEC and SOC in a sustainable manner. Biochar can enhance tef yield through improving the chemical and biological properties of the soil including organic carbon and other plant nutrients. This result also indicates application of biochar alone and in combination with fertilizer significantly increases yield. Significant yield increase was also achieved by combined application of biochar with chemical fertilizer, implying the role of biochar to improve fertilizer use efficiency.
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