Genetic control of the operculum and capsule morphology of *Eucalyptus globulus*
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• Background and aims The petaline operculum that covers the inner whorls until anthesis and the woody capsule that develops after fertilization are reproductive structures of eucalypts that protect the flower and seeds. Although they are distinct organs, they both develop from flower buds and this common ontogeny suggests shared genetic control. In *Eucalyptus globulus* their morphology is variable and we aimed to identify the quantitative trait loci (QTL) underlying this variation and determine whether there is common genetic control of these ecologically and taxonomically important reproductive structures.

• Methods Samples of opercula and capsules were collected from 206 trees that belong to a large outcrossed F2 mapping population. The morphological variation in these structures was characterized by measuring six operculum and five capsule traits. QTL analysis was performed using these data and a linkage map consisting of 480 markers.

• Key results A total of 27 QTL were detected for operculum traits and 28 for capsule traits, with the logarithm of odds ranging from 2.8 to 11.8. There were many co-located QTL associated with operculum or capsule traits, generally reflecting allometric relationships. A key finding was five genomic regions where co-located QTL affected both operculum and capsule morphology, and the overall trend for these QTL was to affect elongation of both organs. Some of these QTL appear to have a significant effect on the phenotype, with the strongest QTL explaining 26.4 % of the variation in operculum shape and 16.4 % in capsule shape. Flower bud measurements suggest the expression of these QTL starts during bud development. Several candidate genes were found associated with the QTL and their putative function is discussed.

• Conclusions Variation in both operculum and capsule traits in *E. globulus* is under strong genetic control. Our results suggest that these reproductive structures share a common genetic pathway during flower bud development.
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INTRODUCTION

The genus *Eucalyptus* has many distinctive morphological features including those of its reproductive structures (Boland et al., 1992; Slee et al., 2020). Flowers of *Eucalyptus* feature an operculum (also referred to as a calyptra) that is derived from the fusion of petals, sepals or both (Florence, 1996; Vasconcelos et al., 2019). This structure is believed to protect flower organs against dehydration and predation until anthesis (Vasconcelos et al., 2017), at which point it is shed to expose the expanded filaments and nectar that attract and reward pollinators (House, 1997). The operculum has considerable implications in the evolutionary history of *Eucalyptus*, as variation in its ontogenesis and morphology is phylogenetically structured and taxonomically significant (Carr and Carr, 1969; Pryor and Knox, 1971; Drinnan and Ladiges, 1989; Ladiges et al., 1995). Similarly significant are the fruiting structures which develop from the fertilized eucalypt flower (Bohle and Drinnan, 2011). Following fertilization, the receptacle swells and eventually dries to become a woody capsule (Brooker and Kleing, 1999), botanically known as a false fruit as it develops from an inferior and multilocular ovary fused with the hypanthium (Cremer, 1965). Capsules function to shield the seed from predators (Pryor and Johnson, 1981) and fire (dos Santos et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2016), and in most eucalypts are retained in the tree crown several years after fertilization, providing a major source of propagules for regeneration following environmental disturbances (Yates et al., 1995; Gill, 1997; Ashton, 2000). Much like the operculum, capsules vary widely in morphology and internal structure among eucalypts and can be taxonomically diagnostic (Williams and Brooker, 1997).

The common ontogenetic development of opercula and capsules would argue for possible shared genetic control underlying the development of these reproductive structures. Studies of the genetic control of the morphology of opercula and capsules are
scarce and the degree of correlation in the development of these traits is unknown. Within eucalypt species, there is often considerable phenotypic variation of operculum and capsule morphology (Ladiges and Ashton, 1974; Kirkpatrick, 1975; Hopper and Burgman, 1983), which may show geographical clinal variation in the wild (Potts and Reid, 1985; Marginson and Ladiges, 1988; McDonald et al., 2009). However, the extent to which such variation is genetically based is poorly understood. One study of a common garden field trial showed that such population variation in capsule size (based on wet weight) was under significant genetic control (McGowen et al., 2004), while other reports show that opercula and capsules have intermediate size in inter-specific eucalypt hybrids compared to the pure parental species (Pryor, 1957; Stokoe et al., 2001; Meddings et al., 2003), again providing evidence of genetic control. In contrast to *Eucalyptus*, numerous quantitative trait loci (QTL) have been identified for fruit weight, size and shape in several economically important crops (Causse et al., 2002; Barchi et al., 2009; Eduardo et al., 2011), although few studies integrate these findings with QTL underlying flower traits (Frary et al., 2003, 2004). Understanding the extent to which the morphology of opercula and capsules is under genetic control and correlated should provide first insight into the common development of reproductive structures in *Eucalyptus*.

The present study focuses on the Tasmanian blue gum *Eucalyptus globulus* Labill., which is endemic to the island of Tasmania, the Bass Strait islands and the adjacent coastal regions of Victoria in continental Australia (Dutkowski and Potts, 1999). It is one of the most important pulpwood plantation eucalypt species in the world and the eucalypt species for which the genetic control of reproductive traits has been most studied (Potts et al., 2004, 2008). *Eucalyptus globulus* is part of a complex of four taxa (*E. globulus* complex), the cores of which are geographically separated but are clinally linked (Jones et al., 2013). These taxa are mainly separated based on reproductive characteristics, including the number of buds per umbel as well as flower bud and capsule size (Kirkpatrick, 1974). Core *E. globulus* has a single bud per umbel and the largest buds and capsules in the complex (Jordan et al., 1993; Jones et al., 2002). Its perianth is formed by an outer membranous sepaline operculum shed early during bud development and an inner petaline operculum that persists and is shed at anthesis (Weberling, 1989; Jones et al., 2011). The present study focuses only on the cap-like corolline structure or inner petaline operculum (hereafter operculum). This operculum has features which are indistinguishable from those of the bud receptacle that eventually forms the seed-bearing woody capsule after fertilization (e.g. surface texture, waxiness and colour), supporting the idea that both reproductive structures share a component of their genetic control. Phenotypic variation of operculum and capsule shape is known to occur in natural populations of *E. globulus* (Kirkpatrick, 1975), which along with variation in other flower organs (such as style or number of ovules) is suggested to be under genetic control (Suitor et al., 2009).

Given the taxonomic and ecological significance of operculum and capsule morphology in *Eucalyptus*, the present study uses a QTL approach with an inter-provenance F2 cross of *E. globulus* to determine whether: (1) operculum and capsule shape and size are under genetic control; (2) traits within each organ (i.e. operculum and capsule) are phenotypically related; and (3) operculum and capsule traits are under common genetic control (i.e. pleiotropically related).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Genetic material and trial description

To perform the experiment, a large F2 mapping family of *E. globulus* was used. This F2 family has been previously utilized for linkage mapping (Hudson et al., 2012) and several QTL analyses (Hudson et al., 2014; Butler et al., 2016; Ammitzbøll et al., 2018). It was generated by crossing two F1 individuals, each originated by controlled crossing of dwarf ecotype grandparents (believed to be unrelated) from Wilsons Promontory in Victoria, with two tall ecotype grandparents from King Island in Bass Strait and Taranna in south-east Tasmania, respectively. The F2 family was planted at two sites in Tasmania: Geeveston (43°13′8.60″S, 146°53′54.81″E, 382 m a.s.l.) and Boyer (42°46′44.85″S, 147°5′28.06″E, 14 m a.s.l.) in November 2006 and May–July 2007, respectively. A randomized design with trees planted at 4 × 4-m spacing was used at each site.

#### Assessment of operculum and capsule traits

Opercula were collected in spring 2010 and capsules were collected during the summers of 2015–2016. Free opercula were collected from the forest floor after shedding, in a radius of 1.5 m around each tree trunk, while capsules were harvested from tree crowns. Opercula were sampled from 89 and 14 trees (103 total) and capsules were sampled from 87 and 136 trees (223 total) from the Boyer and Geeveston trials, respectively. Collected capsules were air dried before measurement to ease the opening of valves and removal of seeds and chaff. Ten opercula and five capsules per tree were measured with callipers and averaged for analysis. Differences and ratios derived from these measurements were calculated based on tree averages.

Several aspects of operculum morphology were examined (Table 1, Fig. 1A). Operculum diameter (OD) was measured across the widest point of the base of the operculum, while opericle height (OH) was measured from the bottom of the operculum to the top of the operculum beak. The distance from the bottom of the operculum to the beginning of the beak was also measured and termed opericle base height (OB). Beak height (OBK) was calculated as the difference between the opericle height and the opericle base height. Two measurements of operculum shape were used in this study. The first was a ratio between opericle height and diameter, used to quantify the whole shape of the operculum (OS). The second followed Kirkpatrick’s (1975) classification of the operculum beak, from which was calculated the proportion of opercula per tree that did not exhibit flat beaks (i.e. classes 1, 3 and 4 in Kirkpatrick’s classification), which we called opercula shape by class (OSC).

Likewise, several measures of capsule morphology were taken (Table 1, Fig. 1B). Capsule diameter (CD) was measured across the widest point of the disc, while capsule height (CH) was measured from the disc to the bottom of the capsule excluding the length of the pedicel. Capsule weight (CW) was measured after seed extraction as the weight of total capsule collection divided by the number of capsules collected per tree. Capsule wall thickness (CWT) was measured at the narrowest point of one half of each capsule obtained after cutting them through the centre with a bandsaw. The ratio of capsule height
to diameter was also used to give a measure of the overall shape of capsules (CS). Pearson’s correlations were calculated between all traits based on the tree averages to explore phenotypic associations among traits.

**QTL analysis**

To examine the genetic architecture of operculum and capsule traits, QTL analysis was performed on the F$_2$ family with the software MapQTL 6 (Van Ooijen, 2009), using the tree averages of operculum and capsule traits and the linkage map developed by Hudson et al. (2012). To decrease computational demand, the linkage map was reduced to 480 markers located at intervals of 2–5 cM by removing most 3 : 1 segregating Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) markers and retaining all simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers and an even distribution of DArT markers segregating in a 1 : 1 ratio. Permutation tests (1000 permutations) were run to determine the significance thresholds of the logarithm of odds (LOD) at the genome-wide and chromosome-wide levels (Churchill and Doerge, 1994). Putative QTL were declared as significant (i.e. genome-wide type I error rate <0.05) or suggestive (i.e. chromosome-wide type I error rate <0.05) depending on LOD score (Freeman et al., 2008). Initially, interval mapping was applied using site as an experimental design cofactor. When QTL peaks exceeded the suggested LOD threshold in interval mapping, the closest markers were added as cofactors in a subsequent analysis by restricted multiple-QTL model (rMQM) mapping. The rMQM analyses were conducted using an iterative approach until no more QTL were detected, cofactor markers were the closest marker to each QTL, and QTL positions were stable (Van Ooijen, 2009).

### Table 1. The operculum and capsule traits measured in an F$_2$ family of Eucalyptus globulus, number of trees sampled and statistics based on the tree-level averages.

| Trait                  | Code | Description                                                                 | Unit | n   | Mean  | SD   | Min. | Max. |
|------------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-----|-------|------|------|------|
| Operculum diameter     | OD   | Length of the widest point of the base of the operculum                    | mm   | 103 | 16.2  | 1.37 | 13.6 | 19.4 |
| Operculum height       | OH   | Length from the bottom to the top of the operculum                         | mm   | 103 | 10.1  | 1.2  | 7.3  | 12.9 |
| Operculum shape        | OS   | Ratio between operculum height and diameter                                |      |     |       |      |      |      |
| Operculum shape by class | OSC | Proportion of opercula per tree that did not exhibit flat beaks            | %    | 103 | 59.4  | 36.91| 0    | 100  |
| Operculum base height  | OB   | Length from the base to the beginning of the beak in the operculum         | mm   | 103 | 5.5   | 0.59 | 4.0  | 7.2  |
| Operculum beak height  | OBK  | Length of the operculum beak                                                | mm   | 103 | 4.5   | 0.84 | 2.3  | 6.9  |
| Capsule diameter       | CD   | Length of the widest point of the capsule disc                             | mm   | 206 | 20.7  | 1.57 | 16.5 | 24.5 |
| Capsule height         | CH   | Length from the end of the pedicel to the capsule disc                      | mm   | 204 | 13.9  | 1.21 | 10.7 | 16.9 |
| Capsule shape          | CS   | Ratio between capsule height and diameter                                  |      |     |       |      |      |      |
| Capsule weight         | CW   | Averaged mass of an individual capsule                                     | g    | 223 | 2.4   | 0.46 | 1.2  | 3.7  |
| Capsule wall thickness | CWT  | Length of the narrowest point of the capsule wall                          | mm   | 204 | 3.0   | 0.41 | 2.1  | 4.3  |
Identification of putative candidate genes

To identify candidate genes, every QTL peak marker associated with operculum and capsule traits was positioned in the *E. grandis* reference genome via a BLAST search of the associated marker sequences in Phytozome 13 (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/blast-search). This was possible because almost all markers had DNA sequences associated with them, as either the primer sequences for microsatellites or the sequenced DArT markers (Sansaloni et al., 2011). Following that, an extensive search of genes implicated in petal and fruit development of the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* was done from the literature (see Supplementary Data Table S1). The sequence of each of these 94 genes was BLAST searched against the *E. grandis* reference genome to identify eucalypt orthologues (by accepting hits to annotated genes at a threshold of e-value <1e⁻³). The putative functions of these genes were taken from Phytozome 13 or the original references. The eucalypt orthologues of the arabidopsis genes which were within 2.5 Mbp of the QTL peak marker position were accepted as putative candidate genes.

Assessment and analysis of flower buds

Flower bud measurements were undertaken to examine the hypotheses that (1) operculum beak size was associated with style length and (2) the association between operculum and the developing capsule starts early in flower bud development. Flower buds were collected from two groups of phenotypically distinctive F₂ trees growing at Boyer during autumn 2021 (*n* = 7). One group had four trees with distinctive operculum beaks, while the other group comprised three trees with small beaks and opercula that were effectively flat (Fig. 2). Four to eight buds per tree were cut longitudinally, one half of each bud was scanned and style length was measured with the software ImageJ v.1.52a (Schneider et al., 2012). Operculum shape and receptacle shape (equivalent to capsule shape) were measured on flower buds as described above for OS and CS. To determine the statistical significance of the observed differences between the two groups of trees, a mixed model was fitted to the individual bud data with group fitted as a fixed effect and tree nested within group fitted as a random effect. Analyses were performed with the R statistical language v.3.6.3 (R Core Team, 2020) using the package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015).

Fig. 2. Longitudinal sections of phenotypically distinctive flower buds of *Eucalyptus globulus*. On the left, bud with flat operculum beak. On the right, bud with tall operculum beak. Note the coordinated texture, waxiness and colour of the operculum and the receptacle. Scale bar = 5 mm. Arrows show the suture point where the operculum (above the arrows) joins the receptacle.
and the significance of the fixed group effect was determined using a likelihood ratio test (LRT). Additionally, Pearson’s correlations were calculated between flower bud traits based on tree averages using the R-package Hmisc (Harrell and Dupont, 2021).

RESULTS

QTL analysis allowed the detection of 55 QTL across all operculum and capsule traits, of which 28 were significant and 27 were suggestive (Tables 2 and 3). The number of QTL detected per trait ranged from one to nine, and the percentage of variance explained (PVE) by each QTL ranged from 2.9 to 26.4%. Overall, 39 regions of the genome (here defined as LOD peaks more than 5 cM apart) were detected across the 11 linkage groups of E. globulus (Fig. 3).

In total, 27 QTL were detected for operculum traits, of which 16 were significant and 11 suggestive (Table 2). These QTL mapped to 21 regions of the genome in ten different linkage groups (Fig. 3). The number of QTL that influenced each operculum trait ranged from three to six, explaining 30.7–57.7% of the total variance of these traits. Likewise, 28 QTL were detected for variation in capsule traits, of which 16 QTL were declared significant and 12 suggestive (Table 3). These QTL occupied 20 genomic regions on 11 linkage groups and when combined within trait explained 7.7–54.1% of the total variance of capsule traits. Several co-locating QTL for capsule and operculum traits were observed, which is not unexpected given the high correlation between specific traits. For example, an operculum beak height (OBK) QTL co-located with an operculum height (OH) QTL at 58.9 cM in linkage group 7, reflecting the strong phenotypic correlation of these traits (Pearson’s $r = 0.89$, Table 4).

Co-location of QTL affecting both capsule and operculum morphology was found in several regions of the E. globulus genome. Of particular note was the co-location of capsule shape (CS) and operculum shape (OS and OSC) QTL at 20.3 cM in linkage group 7 and 31.3 cM in linkage group 11. Analysis of the genotype means at the marker closest to these QTL revealed a common direction of variation, resulting in a more elongated (tall and narrow) or more compact (short and wide) form in both operculum and capsule (Fig. 4). Other co-locations between capsule structure (i.e. CD, CH and CW) and operculum dimensions and shape (i.e. OH, OBK, OS and OSC) also suggest the same direction of variation for operculum and capsule morphology (Supplementary Data Fig. S1).

Co-locations between QTL and eucalypt orthologues of arabidopsis flower and fruit genes revealed 26 candidate genes for 17 QTL regions (Table 5). Annotated functions for these genes included transcription factors, and regulators of organ shape,

### Table 2. Putative quantitative trait loci (QTL) for operculum traits in an F$_2$ population of Eucalyptus globulus

| Trait                        | ID   | LG  | cM  | Marker  | LOD  | PVE  |
|-----------------------------|------|-----|-----|---------|------|------|
| Operculum diameter (OD)     | OD7  | 7   | 45.2| 643269  | 5.58**| 14.7 |
|                             | OD5  | 5   | 28.9| 573064  | 5.37**| 13.9 |
|                             | OD2  | 2   | 68.8| 641024  | 4.46* | 11.3 |
|                             | OD6  | 6   | 18.2| 568290  | 3.47  | 7.4  |
|                             | OD4  | 4   | 8.0 | 640171  | 3.29  | 8.1  |
| Operculum height (OH)       | OH6  | 6   | 71.6| 563633  | 6.46***| 18.1 |
|                             | OH5  | 5   | 6.2 | 565220  | 4.37* | 11.7 |
|                             | OH7  | 7   | 58.9| 637768  | 3.73  | 9.8  |
|                             | OH9  | 9   | 60.8| 641541  | 3.50  | 9.2  |
| Operculum shape (OS)        | OS11 | 11  | 31.3| 566749  | 9.35***| 26.4 |
|                             | OS1  | 1   | 37.2| 574251  | 4.76**| 12.0 |
|                             | OS4a | 4   | 14.7| 638422  | 4.39* | 10.5 |
|                             | OS4b | 4   | 54.2| 564417  | 3.51  | 8.6  |
| Operculum shape by class (OSC)| OSC11| 11  | 31.3| 566749  | 5.88***| 13.2 |
|                             | OSC4 | 4   | 59.7| 570676  | 5.81***| 12.9 |
|                             | OSC9 | 9   | 84.2| Embra204| 3.54  | 7.5  |
|                             | OSC7 | 7   | 20.3| 564872  | 3.30  | 7.0  |
|                             | OSC3 | 3   | 37.2| 641763  | 3.41  | 7.2  |
| Operculum base height (OB)  | OB5  | 5   | 24.0| 574595  | 5.31**| 13.5 |
|                             | OB10 | 10  | 85.4| 571236  | 4.37* | 9.7  |
|                             | OB3  | 3   | 83.4| 600338  | 3.46  | 7.5  |
| Operculum beak height (OBK) | OBK3 | 3   | 39.2| 570900  | 7.01***| 13.5 |
|                             | OBK6 | 6   | 62.3| 571092  | 5.89***| 11.4 |
|                             | OBK5 | 5   | 0   | 572752  | 5.26**| 9.9  |
|                             | OBK4 | 4   | 59.7| 570676  | 4.37* | 8.1  |
|                             | OBK1 | 1   | 37.2| 574251  | 4.23  | 7.9  |
|                             | OBK7 | 7   | 58.9| 637768  | 3.93  | 6.9  |

*Name of the QTL,
 linkage group,
 position of QTL on a linkage group in centimorgans,
 marker closest to the QTL peak,
 significance,
 percentage of variance explained. Genome-wide significance is indicated by asterisks: ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.01$, *$P < 0.05$. The remaining QTL were classified as suggestive (chromosome-wide type I error rate <0.05).
plant metabolism, and cell proliferation and expansion. Genes with annotated functions of cell proliferation and expansion were the most common (results not shown). The number of candidate genes per QTL varied from one to five for operculum traits and from one to three for capsule traits. Two arabidopsis genes (YAB2 and CYP78A9) hit genome positions near QTL of both operculum and capsule shape.

Sampling of flower buds from trees with extreme beaked or flat opercula within the F$_2$ populations at Boyer was undertaken to test whether operculum shape was related to style length and to receptacle shape. The two groups sampled were highly significantly different in operculum shape (LRT, $G = 10.37$, d.f. = 1, $P < 0.001$), but did not differ significantly in style length (LRT, $G = 0.14$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.70$). While the difference in shape of the receptacle between groups was not statistically significant (LRT, $G = 2.49$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.11$), operculum shape was moderately correlated with the shape of the receptacle ($r = 0.69$, $P = 0.08$) in flower buds. Considered together with the similar positive correlation for capsule shape and mature operculum shape (Table 4), this suggests a link between the traits that starts in early bud development.

**DISCUSSION**

Most QTL studies in Eucalyptus to date have focused on traits of economic importance such as growth and wood quality (Thumma et al., 2010; Gion et al., 2011; Freeman et al., 2013; Resende et al., 2016) and resistance to pests and disease (Alves et al., 2012; Zarpelon et al., 2015; Rosado et al., 2016; Mhoswa et al., 2020). However, a few studies have addressed other traits related to physiological and/or ecological functions, such as foliage terpene and cuticular wax composition, heterochrony and drought resistance (Freeman et al., 2008; Hudson et al., 2014; Gosney et al., 2016; Ammitzboll et al., 2020). The present study is the first to examine the genetic architecture underlying flower and fruit morphology in the genus. We show that operculum and capsule shape and size are under genetic control in *E. globulus*, and identify a significant number of QTL across all traits, many of which are co-located. Within organs, most of the co-located QTL probably represent allometric or simple dependent relationships among traits (e.g. capsule weight and diameter). However, QTL co-locations between operculum and capsule traits were more interesting and suggest that elements of operculum and capsule morphology share a common genetic pathway. This association might be expressed early during bud development, given the observations made on flower buds. We identify several candidate genes near QTL that may underlie the variation in operculum and capsule morphology in *E. globulus*, some of which may affect both organs. These candidate genes may be the key to understanding the genetic control of the taxonomically and ecologically important variation in these organs in eucalypts. Of interest are flower development genes under

| Trait                  | ID$^a$ | LG$^b$ | cM$^c$   | Marker$^d$ | LOD$^e$ | PVE$^f$ |
|------------------------|--------|--------|----------|------------|---------|---------|
| Capsule diameter (CD)  | CD6    | 6      | 141.4    | 641567     | 5.42**  | 9.0     |
|                        | CD4    | 4      | 59.7     | 570676     | 4.42*   | 7.3     |
|                        | CD10   | 10     | 39.5     | Embra153   | 3.55    | 5.8     |
|                        | CD1    | 1      | 27.4     | 599787     | 3.52    | 5.7     |
| Capsule height (CH)    | CH11   | 11     | 36.6     | Eg99       | 9.01*** | 11.3    |
|                        | CH4    | 4      | 23.0     | 571676     | 8.09*** | 10.0    |
|                        | CH7    | 7      | 91.3     | 503736     | 6.27**  | 7.6     |
|                        | CH2    | 2      | 60.4     | 503579     | 4.37*   | 5.2     |
|                        | CH3    | 3      | 2.5      | 573728     | 4.15    | 4.9     |
|                        | CH6a   | 6      | 71.6     | 563633     | 3.98    | 4.9     |
|                        | CH6b   | 6      | 31.8     | 639232     | 3.77    | 4.7     |
|                        | CH1    | 1      | 86.6     | 644056     | 3.67    | 4.3     |
| Capsule shape (CS)     | CS11   | 11     | 31.3     | 566749     | 11.80***| 16.4    |
|                        | CS1    | 1      | 53.2     | Embra222   | 5.38**  | 7.1     |
|                        | CS2    | 2      | 84.3     | Embra27    | 4.66*   | 6.1     |
|                        | CS7    | 7      | 20.1     | 565241     | 4.27*   | 5.6     |
|                        | CS6    | 6      | 81.0     | 565210     | 4.54*   | 5.9     |
|                        | CS4    | 4      | 77.6     | 565463     | 2.99    | 3.8     |
| Capsule weight (CW)    | CW5    | 5      | 51.1     | 644217     | 9.04*** | 10.4    |
|                        | CW10   | 10     | 39.5     | Embra153   | 7.94*** | 9.0     |
|                        | CW4    | 4      | 59.7     | 570676     | 6.95*** | 7.8     |
|                        | CW9    | 9      | 25.3     | 505052     | 5.66**  | 6.3     |
|                        | CW6    | 6      | 70.3     | Embra173   | 4.50*   | 4.9     |
|                        | CW8    | 8      | 129.6    | Es76       | 4.12    | 4.5     |
|                        | CW3    | 3      | 35.0     | 640311     | 3.92    | 4.3     |
|                        | CW1    | 1      | 28.6     | Eg65       | 3.69    | 4.0     |
|                        | CW11   | 11     | 16.3     | 571646     | 2.75    | 2.9     |
| Capsule wall thickness (CWT) | CWT3 | 3 | 0 | 642915 | 4.07 | 7.7 |

$^a$Name of the QTL,

$^b$linkage group,

$^c$position of QTL on a linkage group in centimorgans,

$^d$marker closest to the QTL peak,

$^e$significance,

$^f$percentage of variance explained. Genome-wide significance is indicated by asterisks: ***$P < 0.001$, **$P < 0.01$, *$P < 0.05$. The remaining QTL were classified as suggestive (chromosome-wide type I error rate <0.05).
Hernández et al. — QTL for operculum and capsule morphology in Eucalyptus globulus

Fig. 3. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with operculum and capsule traits in Eucalyptus globulus. Marker positions on the linkage map are shown as lines on the 11 linkage groups. Linkage group number and orientation is as per the E. grandis reference genome (Myburg et al., 2014).

Operculum QTL that may have acquired novel functions given the operculum is a highly derived petaline structure. There is also the challenge of identifying the genes underlying QTL where no arabidopsis flowering genes were found, particularly the major QTL co-location on linkage group 11.

The genetic control of operculum and capsule morphology may best be considered as polygenic, given that QTL were distributed over all the 11 linkage groups of E. globulus. While the possibility of an inflated QTL effect (PVE) with the population size studied cannot be dismissed (Beavis, 1998), these traits are probably under strong genetic control given the total combined PVE for some traits exceeded 50 %. There were multiple co-locations between QTL underlying different traits within each organ, for example operculum height, beak and shape, and QTL underlying capsule weight, diameter, height and wall thickness. When taken with the strong positive correlations between many of these traits, these QTL probably represent pleiotropic growth loci affecting the general allometry of these organs. For example, a prominent operculum beak was often associated with a higher and more elongated operculum, which is supported by the co-location between QTL for operculum height and beak height on linkage group...
Table 4. Pearson correlations among operculum and capsule traits for the F2 population of Eucalyptus globulus

|       | OD   | OH   | OS   | OSC  | OB   | OBK  | CD   | CH   | CS   | CW   |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| OH    | 0.46 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| OS    |    -0.27 | 0.72 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| OSC   |   -0.19 | 0.52 | 0.69 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| OB    |     0.62 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| OBK   |     0.22 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CD    |    0.30 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CH    |    0.06 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CS    |    -0.20 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CW    |    0.58 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CWT   |    0.30 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |

Operculum traits included diameter (OD), height (OH), shape (OS and OSC), base height (OB) and beak height (OBK). Capsule traits included diameter (CD), height (CH), shape (CS), weight (CW) and wall thickness (CWT). Correlations were calculated using tree-level averages. Statistical significance is indicated by asterisks: 

- ***P < 0.001,
- **P < 0.01,
- *P < 0.05, n.s. not significant. Numbers in bold indicate statistical significance after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (P < 0.05/50).

![Fig. 4. Genotype average (h and kk) from the closest marker to the co-located QTL on linkage group 7 (A) and 11 (B) for capsule shape (CS) and operculum shape (both OS and OSC).](image-url)
Table 5. Co-location of operculum and capsule trait QTL in Eucalyptus globulus with Eucalyptus grandis orthologues of petal and fruit development genes in Arabidopsis thaliana

| Trait                      | QTL† | Arabidopsis gene ID | Arabidopsis alias(es) | Eucalyptus grandis gene ID | Position (bp)* | Distance (bp)† |
|----------------------------|------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Operculum diameter (OD)    | OD2  | AT5G28640            | ANGUSTIFOLIA3, AN3    | Eucgr.B02127.1             | 40 275 241     | 2 020 238     |
|                            | OD2  | AT5G28640            | WUSCHEL, WUS          | Eucgr.B02435.1             | 43 981 689     | 1 686 210     |
|                            | OD4  | AT5G377020           | ARF8                  | Eucgr.D00264.1             | 4 456 430      | 2 296 386     |
| Operculum height (OH)      | OH5  | AT5G06070            | RABBIT EARS, RBE      | Eucgr.E00283.1             | 2 702 713      | 9 184 074     |
|                            | OH7  | AT1G24120            | ARGOS-LIKE 1, ARLI    | Eucgr.G02304.1             | 43 681 977     | 1 754 646     |
|                            | OH7  | AT1G13400            | NUBBIN, NUB           | Eucgr.G02316.1             | 43 823 087     | 1 613 536     |
|                            | OH7  | AT1G68640            | PERIANTHIA, PAN       | Eucgr.G02341.1             | 44 080 267     | 1 556 356     |
|                            | OH7  | AT5G60970            | TCP5                  | Eucgr.G02354.1             | 44 219 050     | 1 217 573     |
| Operculum shape by class (OSC) | OSC3 (OBK3, CW3) | AT1G08465      | YAB2                  | Eucgr.C01515.1             | 21 167 250     | 1 647 256     |
|                            | OSC9 | AT4G13890            | SHM5                  | Eucgr.J02741.1             | 38 303 872     | 41 926        |
|                            | OSC9 | AT1G22020            | SHM6                  | Eucgr.J02741.1             | 38 303 202     | 41 256        |
| Operculum beak height (OBK) | OBK4 (OS, OSC, CD, CW) | AT4G17810      | SUPERMAN, SUP         | Eucgr.D02189.1             | 35 501 006     | 788 165       |
|                            | OBK5 | AT3G61880            | CYP78A9               | Eucgr.E00023.1             | 283 251        | 628 925       |
| Capsule diameter (CD)      | CD1 (CW1) | AT4G36692       | APETALA2, AP2         | Eucgr.A01182.1             | 24 434 809     | 231 793       |
|                            | CD10 (CW10) | AT5G03680     | PETAL LOSS, PTL       | Eucgr.J01012.1             | 10 959 765     | 1 566 797     |
|                            | CD10 (CW10) | AT3G63530      | BIG BROTHER, BB, BB2  | Eucgr.J01268.1             | 13 817 484     | 1 290 943     |
| Capsule height (CH)        | CH3  | AT2G26330            | ERECTA, ER            | Eucgr.C00732.1             | 12 647 336     | 996 656       |
|                            | CH3  | AT3G62230            | ERL1                  | Eucgr.C00732.1             | 12 647 417     | 995 946       |
|                            | CH6b | AT3G15030            | TCP4                  | Eucgr.F01204.1             | 16 222 224     | 1 036 547     |
|                            | CH6b | AT2G31070            | TCP10                 | Eucgr.F01204.1             | 16 222 688     | 1 036 083     |
| Capsule shape (CS)         | CS2  | AT2G333860           | ETTIN, ETT            | Eucgr.B02480.1             | 44 872 757     | 974 675       |
|                            | CS2  | AT5G06090            | REVOLUTA, REV         | Eucgr.B02504.1             | 45 073 481     | 773 951       |
|                            | CS4  | AT1G08465            | YAB2                  | Eucgr.D02474.1             | 38 561 207     | 901 330       |
|                            | CS4  | AT2G45190            | AFO, FIL              | Eucgr.D02474.1             | 38 561 394     | 901 142       |
|                            | CS4  | AT3G61880            | CYP78A9               | Eucgr.D02644.1             | 43 025 372     | 861 769       |
|                            | CS6  | AT1G13710            | KLH, CYP78A5          | Eucgr.F02654.1             | 38 740 558     | 683 679       |
|                            | CS6  | AT1G43850            | SEUS3                 | Eucgr.F02753.1             | 39 633 179     | 207 307       |
| Capsule weight (CW)        | CW11 | AT2G20825            | ULT2                  | Eucgr.K00939.1             | 11 642 380     | 554 015       |
|                            | CW11 | AT4G28190            | ULT1                  | Eucgr.K00939.1             | 11 642 488     | 553 907       |
| Capsule wall thickness (CWT)| CWT3 | AT4G37840      | HKL3                  | Eucgr.C00559.1             | 8 851 889      | 2 235 736     |

†Parentheses indicate co-location with another QTL or a close QTL in the F2 population.
*Midpoint of the Eucalyptus grandis orthologue in the E. grandis reference genome.
†Distance between gene midpoint and the midpoint of the QTL peak marker sequence in the Eucalyptus grandis reference genome.

While other QTL have been discovered in this specific cross of *E. globulus*, variously associated with flowering time, heterochrony, response to pathogens, and resistance to and recovery from drought (Hudson et al., 2014; Butler et al., 2016; Ammitzboll et al., 2018, 2020), the reproductive morphology QTL we describe here are among the strongest detected. Outside of *Eucalyptus*, several studies document QTL for size and shape of nuts, drupes or hesperidia in other tree species (Fernández et al., 2013; da Silva Linge et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2016; Frary et al., 2019), but to our knowledge no other research has focused on the genetic architecture of fruit size and shape in forest trees.

A number of promising candidate genes were associated with QTL for operculum morphology. QTL associated with the operculum were often near *Eucalyptus* orthologues of arabidopsis genes linked to the control of petal growth. This makes sense as the inner operculum of *Eucalyptus* is a sympetalous corolla (Drinnan and Ladiges, 1988). For example, QTL associated with operculum height are near the eucalypt orthologues for *NUBBIN*, *ARLI* and *TCP5*, which are associated with mechanisms of cell proliferation or cell expansion in petals of arabidopsis (Dimmeny et al., 2006; Delgado-Benarroch et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2018; van Es et al., 2018). Similarly, development of the operculum as a whole and its beak specifically might be triggered by members of the C2H2 zinc finger family *RABBIT EARS* and *SUPERMAN*, which encode cell division during early stages of petal growth in *A. thaliana* (Huang and Irish, 2015). In addition, QTL for operculum diameter are near to orthologues of *ARF8* and *WUSCHEL*, which are known to regulate auxin signalling, with the former affecting late phases of petal growth (Huang and Irish, 2015; Ma et al., 2019). Interestingly, an orthologue of *ANGUSTIFOLIA3*, which is known to affect the width of flowers in mutants of *A. thaliana* (Anastasiou et al., 2007), is near a QTL for operculum diameter, suggesting a similar role in *E. globulus* flower width. These genes are therefore promising candidates for control of operculum development and morphology.

Surprisingly, only two fruit development-specific orthologues from arabidopsis co-located with capsule trait QTL. These included an orthologue for *APETALA2*, associated with establishment of the floral meristem and fruit growth (Ripoll et al., 2011), and an orthologue of *HKL3*, which is known to control development and maturation of fruits (Zhang et al., 2017). Given that the arabidopsis fruit is a siliquae but the eucalypt fruit is a woody capsule formed by the growth of the fertilized flower and the emergence and lignification of fibres, filiform sclereids and brachysclereids in the receptacle (Bohte and Drinnan, 2011), it is quite likely that a different suite of genes would be required during its development, which might be reflected in a relatively low representation of known arabidopsis
fruit development genes in Eucalyptus QTL regions. However, many arabidopsis petal and flower development orthologues also co-located with capsule trait QTL, including PETAL LOSS, BIG BROTHER and ERL1 (Chandler et al., 2011; Lampugnani et al., 2012; Abraham et al., 2013). While it is possible that these genes are merely genetically associated with fruit/capsule characteristics in Eucalyptus, it is also possible that at least in some cases they have been co-opted into capsule development pathways, given their functional links to control of cell proliferation. For instance, several capsule size QTL co-locate with orthologues of genes such as ERECTA, ULT1, ULT2, TCP4 and TCP10, which have not only been associated with petal development but also with cell proliferation of other arabidopsis organs (Shpak et al., 2004; Nag et al., 2009; Monfared et al., 2013), although not specifically fruit. There are also several cases where capsule and operculum QTL include different candidate genes that are members of the same family. For example, the arabidopsis YABBY genes affect the abaxial cell fate of flowering meristems (Bowman, 2000) and eucalypt orthologues of YABBY gene family members (YAB2 and FIL) were identified near QTL of capsule and operculum shape. Similarly, orthologues of genes in the cytochrome P450 family, CYP78A5 and CYP78A9, are located close to QTL for capsule shape and other operculum traits. This gene family has been shown to affect cell proliferation in arabidopsis petals and other organs during later stages of development (Abraham et al., 2013). This suggests that elements of operculum and capsule development could be influenced by the same genes, or similar genetic pathways.

The co-location of QTL associated with operculum and capsule traits provides broad support for the hypothesis of shared genetic control of elements of capsule and operculum morphology. Notably, the two strongest QTL were associated with capsule shape and operculum shape, and were co-located on linkage group 11. The common directionality of alleles for these QTL suggests that this relationship is probably one of pleiotropy, with a single gene influencing a generalized elongated or compact floral structure, presumably expressed early in development. However, no eucalypt orthologues to the petal and fruit development genes of arabidopsis were found close to the two QTL co-locations affecting capsule and operculum shape on linkage groups 7 and 11. A common developmental link between the operculum and the bud receptacle, which eventually becomes the woody capsule, has been previously suggested. Drinnan and Ladiges (1989) found that lateral dimensions of the operculum appear to approach those of the bud width while the bud is still quite small in the Eudesmieae B lineage of eucalypts and E. caesia and suggested that interactions between the growth rate of the operculum and receptacle contributed to the eventual size of the developing flower. Coordination of texture, waxiness and colour for operculum and receptacle is a feature of E. globulus (see Fig. 2) and this supports the idea of common developmental control for both structures, although this relationship might not be constant for all the species of the genus (e.g. E. erythrocorys has a bright red operculum but a green receptacle). These aspects of reproductive structure development are difficult to investigate thoroughly, as correlations between most quantitative operculum and capsule traits are low to moderate, probably due to stress or fertilization success affecting capsule development.

Overall, this QTL study shows that variation in both operculum and capsule shape and size are under genetic control in E. globulus. It is likely that there is a common genetic pathway controlling the development of both the operculum and capsule, involving both pleiotropy and utilization of common gene families.
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