The dermatoscopic universe of basal cell carcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Following the first descriptions of the dermatoscopic pattern of basal cell carcinoma (BCC) that go back to the very early years of dermatoscopy, the list of dermatoscopic criteria associated with BCC has been several times updated and renewed. Up to date, dermatoscopy has been shown to enhance BCC detection, by facilitating its discrimination from other skin tumors and inflammatory skin diseases. Furthermore, upcoming evidence suggests that the method is also useful for the management of the tumor, since it provides valuable information about the histopathologic subtype, the presence of clinically undetectable pigmentation, the expansion of the tumor beyond clinically visible margins and the response to non-ablative treatments. In the current article, we provide a summary of the traditional and latest knowledge on the value of dermatoscopy for the diagnosis and management of BCC.

Introduction

Following the first descriptions of the dermatoscopic pattern of BCC that go back to the very early years of dermatoscopy, gradually gathering evidence significantly enriched our knowledge on the topic [1-12]. Up to date, the value of dermatoscopy in improving the diagnosis of BCC has been extensively demonstrated, while the method continuously gains appreciation as a useful tool in the management of the tumor [1,9,13-17].

Dermatoscopy for diagnosis of BCC

The list of dermatoscopic criteria associated with BCC has been several times updated and renewed. An analytic description of the BCC-related dermatoscopic criteria and their histopathologic correlation is quoted in Table 1, while a characteristic example of each one of them is presented in Figures 1 and 2 [1,9,12,18,19]. Figure 3 illustrates representative examples of histopathologic alterations corresponding to BCC-related dermatoscopic criteria.

The dermatoscopic variability of BCC is a result of different combinations of these criteria, depending on several factors. Apart from the histopathologic subtype, which represents the most important determinant of the dermatoscopic pattern of BCC, there is upcoming evidence that the dermatoscopic aspect of the tumor is influenced also by factors related to the patient, such as gender, age and pigmented...
TABLE 1. Definition and histopathologic correlation of the dermatoscopic criteria of basal cell carcinoma. [Copyright: ©2014 Lallas et al.]

| Dermatoscopic criteria          | Definition                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Histopathologic correlation                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Arborizing vessels              | Stem vessels of large diameter, branching irregularly into finest terminal capillaries. Their color is bright red, being perfectly in focus due to their location on the surface of the tumor | Dilated vessels in the dermis, representing the supportive neo-vasculature of the tumor cells |
| Superficial fine telangiectasia | Short, fine, focused linear vessels with very few branches                                                                                                                                                  | Telangiectatic vessels located in the papillary dermis                                         |
| Blue-gray ovoid nests           | Well circumscribed, confluent or near confluent pigmented ovoid or elongated configurations, larger than globules and not intimately connected to pigmented tumor body                                               | Large well-defined tumor nests with pigment aggregates, invading the dermis                   |
| Multiple blue-gray globules     | Numerous, loosely arranged round to oval well-circumscribed structures, which are smaller than the nests                                                                                                 | Small, roundish tumor nests with central pigmentation, localized to the papillary dermis and/or reticular dermis |
| In-focus dots                   | Loosely arranged well-defined small gray dots, which appear sharply in focus                                                                                                                                  | Free pigment deposition along the dermo-epidermal junction, and/or melanophages and/or small aggregates of pigmented neoplastic cells in the papillary and reticular dermis |
| Maple leaf-like areas           | Translucent brown to gray/blue peripheral bulbous extensions that never arise from pigmented network or from adjacent confluent pigmented areas                                                              | Multifocal tumor nests containing pigment aggregates, connected to each other by lobular extensions. They are mainly localized in the epidermis and less frequently in the papillary dermis |
| Spoke wheel areas               | Well-circumscribed radial projections, usually tan but sometimes blue or gray, meeting at an often darker (dark brown, black, or blue) central axis                                                                 | Tumor nests arising and connected to the epidermis, characterized by finger-like projections and centrally located pigmentation |
| Concentric structures          | Irregularly shaped globular-like structures with different colors (blue, gray, brown, black) and a darker central area. They possibly represent variations or “precursors” of the spoke wheel areas | Small tumor nests arising and connected to the epidermis with centrally located pigmentation   |
| Ulceration                      | One or more large structureless areas of red to black-red color                                                                                                                                              | Loss of the epidermis, usually covered by hematogenous crusts                                  |
| Multiple small erosions         | Small brown-red to brown-yellow crusts                                                                                                                                                                       | Thin crusts overlying superficial loss of the epidermis                                          |
| Shiny white-red structureless areas | Translucent to opaque white to red areas                                                                                                                                                                     | Diffuse dermal fibrosis or fibrotic tumoral stroma                                             |
| Short white streaks (chrysalis) | Orthogonal short and thick crossing lines seen only with polarized dermoscopy                                                                                                                                | Presence of collagenous stroma and fibrosis in the dermis                                       |
trait. Studies report on a higher frequency of superficial BCC (sBCC) on the trunk and lower legs of women, whereas the majority of nodular BCC occur on the head and neck of men [20,21]. Pigmentation is present in more than 50% of the tumors in skin of color, whereas less than 10% of BCCs in fair skinned individuals are pigmented (Figure 4) [22-26]. Furthermore, the concept of the signature pattern of BCC has been recently introduced, referring to the observation that multiple BCCs in an individual usually display a repetitive dermatoscopic pattern [27].

Dermatoscopy improves the clinical diagnosis of BCC, enabling its detection even at an early stage, when the tumor is still clinically inconspicuous (Figure 5). Dermatoscopy has also been assessed as a valuable method to differentiate BCC from other skin tumors and inflammatory skin diseases [1,9,13]. The reported diagnostic accuracy of dermatoscopy for BCC diagnosis has been reported to range from 95% to 99%, depending on BCC subtype and the set of diseases included in the control group [1,9,12,13]. Indeed, various entities constitute the differential diagnosis of different BCC sub-types. For example, the classical nodular non-pigmented BCC has to be discriminated from squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), amelanotic melanoma and other non-pigmented tumors, while heavily pigmented variants have to be differentiated mainly from melanoma and nevi. Instead, the differential diagnosis of superficial BCC includes both skin

Figure 1. The dermatoscopic criteria of non-pigmented BCC: (a) arborizing vessels, (b) superficial fine telangiectasia, (c) ulceration, (d) multiple small erosions, (e) shiny white-red structureless areas and (f) short white streaks. [Copyright: ©2014 Lallas et al.]

Figure 2. Pigmented BCC may display, in addition to the criteria shown in Figure 1, one or more of the following features: (a) blue-gray ovoid nests, (b) multiple blue-gray dots/globules, (c) in-focus dots, (d) maple leaf-like areas, (e) spoke wheel areas (arrow) and (f) concentric structures (arrows). [Copyright: ©2014 Lallas et al.]
tumors, like actinic keratosis or Bowen’s disease (BD), and inflammatory skin diseases, such as psoriasis or dermatitis.

The diagnostic accuracy of dermatoscopy has been mainly tested in the field of pigmented BCC, with the well-known Menzies method achieving a sensitivity of 97% and a specificity of 92% and 93% for differentiating pigmented BCC from melanoma and nevi, respectively (Figure 6) [1]. According to the latter model, the diagnosis of pigmented BCC is based on the dermatoscopic absence of pigment network and the detection of one of six positive criteria: arborizing vessels, ulceration, large blue-gray ovoid nests, maple leaf-like areas, spoke wheel areas or multiple blue-grey dots/globules [1]. The substantial reproducibility of these criteria has been appropriately assessed, with arborizing vessels, maple leaf-like areas and large blue-gray ovoid nests representing the most robust and reliable BCC specific parameters [9]. Altamura et al. recently validated Menzies method in a study including more than 600 BCCs, 96.5% of which exhibited at least one...
of the six positive dermatoscopic criteria [9]. Of interest, 40% of the BCCs in the latter study displayed criteria suggestive of melanocytic lesions, including dots/globules, blue-whitish veil and vascular structures. The frequency of the latter criteria linearly increased with pigmentation, highlighting the diagnostic challenge in differentiating heavily pigmented BCC from melanocytic tumors (Figure 7). However, even heavily pigmented BCCs were diagnosed with a high accuracy based on the aforementioned absence of pigment network and presence of at least one positive criterion [9].

Although the diagnostic accuracy of dermatoscopy for non-pigmented nodular BCC has not been assessed up to date, several lines of evidence suggest that the detection of arborizing vessels is highly predictive of the diagnosis of BCC, enabling its differentiation from SCC and other non-pigmented skin tumors (Figure 8). In the study by Altamura...
et al, the characteristic vascular pattern of BCC and the presence of ulceration or erosions were the most useful criteria for the diagnosis of non-pigmented BCC [9]. Rosendahl et al investigated the dermoscopic pattern of SCC in a study that included a large set of non-pigmented skin tumors with 20 different diagnoses. In addition to their primary findings, the authors found a strong association between the presence of arborizing vessels and the diagnosis of BCC [28].

Superficial BCC has to be differentiated from other skin tumors (mainly in-situ SCC) and inflammatory skin diseases (Figure 9). The clinical discrimination between sBCC and BD can be enhanced by dermatoscopy, which typically reveals shiny white/red structureless areas and superficial fine telangiectasia in the former and glomerular vessels in the latter [13]. Recently, Pan et al assessed the diagnostic accuracy of dermatoscopy for differentiating among sBCC, BD and solitary psoriatic plaques and found the following criteria to be associated with BCC: scattered vascular pattern, arborizing microvessels, telangiectatic or atypical vessels, milky-pink background and brown dots/globules. The authors reported a diagnostic probability of 99% if four of these six features were identified [13]. The dermatoscopic diagnosis of pigmented sBCC is usually straightforward even in small and clinically inconspicuous lesions (Figure 10). This is because pigmented sBCC displays dermoscopic criteria corresponding to dermo-epidermal melanin deposition (maple leaf-like areas, spoke wheel areas, concentric structures), which are highly specific for the diagnosis of BCC.

In contrast to its usefulness for discriminating BCC from keratinocyte skin cancer, dermatoscopy seems insufficient to differentiate between BCC and adnexal tumors [29]. The latter group comprises sebaceous, follicular, eccrine and apocrine neoplasms, several of which have been characterized as dermoscopic “mimickers” of BCC [30]. Trichoblastoma, trichoepithelioma, pilomatrichoma, cylindroma and eccrine poroma are only some of the entities reported to dermoscopically exhibit linear branching vessels and blue-gray globules, similar to those seen in BCC [29,31-35]. In this context, it has been suggested that the differential diagnosis might be facilitated by the observation that the vessels of adnexal tumors are usually less focused, or by the detection of whitish or yellowish structures that have been associated with follicular and sebaceous tumors, respectively [29]. However, the validity and usefulness of the latter dermoscopic clues and the possible value of dermatoscopy for differentiating between BCC and adnexal tumors require further elucidation.

Dermatoscopy for management of BCC

In addition to its well-documented value for the diagnosis of BCC, dermatoscopy continuously gains an essential role in the management of the tumor. In our era, the therapeutic armamentarium of clinicians for BCC includes several surgical methods as well as non-surgical modalities [36]. The
choice of the appropriate treatment depends on several factors including the histopathologic subtype, the presence of pigmentation or ulceration, the tumor depth, the anatomical site and the presence of residual disease or recurrence [36,37]. Dermatoscopy has been shown to provide valuable information for several of the aforementioned parameters.

**Dermatoscopy for predicting the histopathologic subtype**

The histopathologic subtype is the most crucial factor influencing the treatment choice for BCC [36,38]. This is because the response rates of different tumor subtypes to a given treatment modality vary significantly. Superficial BCC, despite of its overall indolent physical course, has been classified in the past among high-risk subtypes, on the basis of its high recurrence rates after surgery [38-40]. This can be explained by the natural tendency of the tumor to expand peripherally beyond clinically visible margins, which often results in incomplete surgical excision and subsequent recurrence. In the recent years, sBCC has been shown to respond perfectly to non-ablative treatments such as imiquimod or photodynamic therapy, prompting experts to recommend the latter modalities as first-line therapeutic options for this subtype [41-46].

In contrast, nodular BCC is associated with high response rates to surgery (up to 98%), while non-surgical treatments are much less effective [36,47-49]. Management of infiltrative and sclerodermiform BCC are more troublesome, since they are characterized by considerable recurrence rates following surgery (up to 40%) while they respond poorly to non-surgical modalities [36,45,47-49]. Mohs’ surgery is suggested as the treatment of choice for the latter subtypes [50,51].

Dermatoscopy has been shown to provide valuable information for the pre-operative classification of BCC, since several lines of evidence suggest that different histopathologic subtypes exhibit different dermatoscopic patterns (Table 2, Figure 11) [1,4,7,9,11,12].

The latter observation is reasonable, since the dermatoscopic criteria of BCC correspond to underlying histopathologic alterations [18,19].

Dermatoscopy of non-pigmented nodular BCC, which is the commonest subtype, typically reveals a translucent pinkish tumor. Arborizing vessels represent the dermatoscopic hallmark of nodular BCC, while ulceration is also a common finding. Pigmented nodular BCC is dermatoscopically typified by blue-grey ovoid nests or multiple blue-gray dots/globules, usually associated with arborizing vessels. Structures corresponding to dermo-epidermal pigmentation, including maple leaf-like areas, spoke wheel areas and concentric structures are less frequently observed in nodular tumors, being typically distributed at the peripheral, more superficial part of the lesion [1,9,11].

Infiltrative and sclerodermiform BCC also display branching vessels under dermatoscopy. However, they are usually finer, more scattered and show fewer branches compared to the classic vessels of nodular BCC. In addition, in contrast to the global translucent pinkish color of nodular BCC, infiltrative BCC often exhibits white/red structureless areas, while the underlying fibrosis of sclerodermiform BCC results in a dermatoscopically whitish background [11,12].

In contrast, superficial BCC usually lacks the classic arborizing vessels, typically displaying superficial fine telangiectasia with relatively few ramifications. Multiple small erosions
**TABLE 2. Dermatoscopic criteria of basal cell carcinoma according to subtype.**

[Copyright: ©2014 Lallas et al.]

| Dermoscopic criteria            | Definition                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Superficial**                 | Superficial fine telangiectasia                                                                                                           |
| Pigmented                      | Multiple small erosions                                                                                                                   |
|                                 | Shiny white-red structureless areas                                                                                                       |
|                                 | Maple leaf-like areas                                                                                                                     |
|                                 | Spoke wheel areas                                                                                                                          |
|                                 | Concentric structures                                                                                                                     |
|                                 | Multiple blue-gray dots                                                                                                                   |
|                                 | In-focus dots                                                                                                                             |
|                                 | ^ detection of blue-gray ovoid nests excludes the diagnosis of superficial BCC                                                            |
| **Nodular**                     | Arborizing vessels                                                                                                                        |
| Pigmented                      | Ulceration                                                                                                                                |
|                                 | Short white streaks^^                                                               |
|                                 | Blue-gray ovoid nests                                                                                                                     |
|                                 | Multiple blue-gray dots                                                                                                                   |
|                                 | In-focus dots                                                                                                                             |
|                                 | Maple leaf-like areas*                                                                                                                    |
|                                 | Spoke wheel areas*                                                                                                                         |
|                                 | Concentric structures*                                                                                                                    |
|                                 | ^^ seen only with polarized dermoscopy                                                                                                    |
|                                 | ^ typically detected at the peripheral, superficial parts of the lesion                                                                |
| **Morpheaform**                 | Arborizing vessels**                                                                                                                      |
| Pigmented                      | Ulceration                                                                                                                                |
|                                 | Whitish background                                                                                                                        |
|                                 | Blue-gray ovoid nests                                                                                                                     |
|                                 | Multiple blue-gray dots                                                                                                                   |
|                                 | In-focus dots                                                                                                                             |
|                                 | ^* usually finer, more scattered and with fewer branches comparing to the vessels of nodular BCC                                         |
| **Infiltrative**                | Arborizing vessels^^^                                                                                                                     |
| Pigmented                      | Ulceration                                                                                                                                |
|                                 | White-red structureless areas                                                                                                              |
|                                 | Blue-gray ovoid nests                                                                                                                     |
|                                 | Multiple blue-gray dots                                                                                                                   |
|                                 | In-focus dots                                                                                                                             |
|                                 | ^^ usualy finer, more scattered and with fewer branches comparing to the vessels of nodular BCC                                          |
| **Fibroepithelioma of Pinkus**  | White-pinkish background                                                                                                                  |
|                                 | Fine arborizing vessels in the center                                                                                                     |
|                                 | Dotted vessels at the periphery                                                                                                           |
| **Basosquamous carcinoma**      | Arborizing vessels                                                                                                                        |
| Pigmented                      | Keratin masses                                                                                                                             |
|                                 | White structureless areas                                                                                                                  |
|                                 | Superficial scale                                                                                                                         |
|                                 | Ulceration/blood crusts                                                                                                                    |
|                                 | Blood spots in keratin masses                                                                                                              |
|                                 | Blue-gray ovoid nests                                                                                                                      |
|                                 | Multiple blue-gray dots                                                                                                                   |
and shiny white/red structureless areas represent common additional dermatoscopic criteria of non-pigmented superficial BCC. When pigmentation is present in superficial tumors it is located at the level of dermo-epidermal junction, being dermatoscopically seen as translucent light brown to grayish concentric structures, spoke-wheel areas or maple leaf-like areas. Instead, detection of blue-gray ovoid nests signifies the presence of dermal pigmented basaloid nests, indicating that the tumor is not superficial [4,7,12].

Fibroepithelioma of Pinkus is an uncommon variant of BCC, dermatoscopically typified by a white-pinkish background color with fine arborizing vessels in the center and dotted vessels at the periphery [52,53].

Basosquamous carcinoma (BSC) was traditionally described as an uncommon aggressive variant of BCC. However, its biologic course and some clinical and epidemiologic data are rather similar to SCC. Heretofore, BSC is considered to represent a complex of tumors characterized by both basaloid and squamous differentiation, in an apparent continuum between BCC and SCC [54-56]. The dermatoscopic characteristics of BSC have been recently reported to mirror its peculiar histopathology, since the tumor shares dermatoscopic criteria of both BCC and SCC [57]. In detail, the most frequent dermatoscopic criteria of BSC are unfocused (peripheral) arborizing vessels, keratin masses, white structureless areas, superficial scale, ulceration or blood crusts, blue-grey blotches and blood spots in keratin masses. Notably, nearly all BSC were reported to exhibit at least one BCC-related plus one SCC-related dermatoscopic feature [57].

Nevoid BCC is an uncommon variant of the tumor, typically associated with patients with Gorlin-Goltz syndrome. Although dermatoscopy of nevoid BCC may show brown pigmentation similar to the one seen in nevi, it also typically reveals blue-gray dots, globules or nests, often combined with arborizing vessels. In the context of Gorlin-Goltz syndrome, dermatoscopy facilitates also the recognition of the characteristic palmar pits, by revealing linearly arranged dotted vessels (Figure 12).
A recent study investigated the accuracy of dermatoscopic criteria for discriminating superficial from the other subtypes of BCC [58]. This is particularly relevant in clinical practice, since the possible misinterpretation of a nodular or infiltrate tumor as superficial BCC could lead the clinician to the inappropriate choice of a non-surgical treatment modality. According to the results of the latter study, the presence of short fine telangiectasia, multiple small erosions and structures corresponding to dermo-epidermal pigmentation predict the superficial subtype. In contrast, detection of ovoid nests should lead clinicians to exclude the diagnosis of superficial BCC, while arborizing vessels and large ulcerations are also suggestive of nodular, sclerodermiform or infiltrative tumors. The sensitivity and specificity of this algorithm for the diagnosis of superficial BCC were 81.9% and 81.8%, respectively [58].

**Dermatoscopy for assessing the presence of pigmentation**

The frequency of pigmentation in BCC varies significantly among different races, since pigmented BCC accounts for less than 10% of BCCs in Caucasians, while the majority of BCCs in Hispanics and Asians and virtually all BCCs in black individuals are pigmented [22-26]. Notably, histopathological studies found trace amounts of pigment in a considerable percentage of clinically non-pigmented BCCs [24]. This is explained by the fact that when only scarce foci of pigmentation are present, they might be insufficient to result in clinically evident pigmentation.

The presence of pigmentation is not routinely reported in histopathologic reports, since in the past it was not considered to influence the management and prognosis of the tumor [38,39]. However, the induction of PDT in BCC treatment restored the importance of pigmentation, since its presence was shown to influence the tumor’s response. In detail, case series studies reported a poor response of pigmented BCC to PDT, compared to non-pigmented variants (14% versus 62-100%) [43,59]. This was incorporated in recent guidelines on the use of PDT, suggesting that the method is generally not recommended for pigmented tumors [43,60]. The low efficacy of PDT in pigmented tumors has been attributed to melanin, which appears to act as a competitive light-absorbing pigment, decreasing response rates.

Effectively, the presence of clinically undetectable pigmentation might represent a diagnostic pitfall for clinicians, forcing them to apply an ineffective treatment on a subset of BCCs. This problem seems to be, at least partially, solved by the application of dermatoscopy, which was recently shown to reveal clinically undetectable pigmentation in approximately 30% of macroscopically non-pigmented BCCs, enhancing clinicians to better select tumors potentially sensitive to PDT and minimize treatment failures (Figure 13) [61].

**Dermatoscopy for assessing excision margins**

Positive surgical margins represent the most potent predictor of BCC recurrence [62,63]. Incomplete surgical excision usually follows removal of tumors located on the face, while recurrence is also associated with BCC subtypes that are characterized by a tendency to expand beyond clinically-visible margins [36,63]. The most reliable method to overcome the problem of positive surgical margins is Mohs’ surgery, which is suggested as the optimal treatment for aggressive tumor subtypes (e.g., morpheaform BCC) and for BCCs located on the face [50,51]. However, with the exception of highly...
specialized clinical settings, the traditional surgical excision remains the choice treatment in the majority of BCCs. Using the recommended lateral excision margins of 3mm, the conventional surgery has been associated with recurrence rates up to 17% [48,49,62].

Dermatoscopy, by providing a more accurate assessment of the true extension of the tumor, allows a more precise estimation of the required surgical margins, helping to minimize the recurrence rate. Specifically, Carducci et al. suggested that the margins of the perilesional healthy skin can be defined by the absence of the well-known dermatoscopic criteria of BCC [14]. The discrimination of BCC vessels from the dermal plexus vasculature of the surrounding healthy skin can be based on the blurred appearance and dark red-to-purple color of the surrounding sun-damaged skin, in contrast to the bright-red and focused vessels of the tumor (Figure 14) [12,14]. While the diagnostic significance of pigmented structures, such as blue-gray ovoid nests, blue-gray globules or maple leaf-like areas is unquestionable, the usefulness of vascular structures in defining the surgical margins is controversial. Mun et al. suggested that arborizing vessels and superficial fine telangiectasia do not directly correspond to BCC cells, but represent feeding vessels of the tumor and may extend also to the perilesional skin [64]. Subsequently, if vessels are considered helpful in defining excision margins, there is the risk of unnecessarily removing healthy skin surrounding the BCC [64]. Although Mun’s hypothesis seems reasonable, it was supported by only one published case and, accordingly, the question whether vascular structures should be considered for defining surgical margins of BCC remains to be further elucidated.

Dermatoscopy for monitoring response to non-ablative treatments

As mentioned above, non-ablative modalities have become very popular among dermatologists for the treatment of superficial BCC, achieving high response rates [46,65]. A common problem associated with non-ablative modalities is the post-treatment evaluation, since at the end of a treatment cycle, the clinical morphology of the lesion often does not allow a reliable estimation of the possible presence of residual disease. In this context, clinicians have to choose among the more conservative “wait and see” strategy, the safe option of performing a new diagnostic biopsy or the more aggressive approach of proceeding to a second therapeutic course or to another treatment modality. These scenarios are associated with the risk of under-treating a persisting tumor, overtreating a healed tumor and prolonging patient’s morbidity and economic costs, respectively.

Dermatoscopy was recently shown to improve the post-treatment evaluation of BCC following non-ablative procedures; dermatoscopy facilitates the accurate assessment for the presence or absence of residual disease and minimizes the aforementioned risks of under- or over-treatment of BCC [66]. Specifically, the disappearance of the dermatoscopic criteria of BCC after treatment was shown to accurately predict histopathologic clearance, while the persistence or new appearance of some BCC criteria correlates well with persistence and relapse, respectively. According to the results of a recent study, the presence of arborizing vessels, ulceration or pigmented structures (e.g., blue-gray ovoid nests and maple leaf-like areas) accurately predicts residual disease, and should prompt the clinician to continue the treatment. Instead, red/white structureless areas and/or superficial fine telangiectasia might represent equivocal features, since they do not always correspond to residual disease [66]. Effectively, detection of the latter criteria warrants close monitoring to recognize a possible recurrence of the BCC (Figure 15). Of note, in a series of BCCs treated with imiquimod, arborizing vessels, maple leaf-like areas and spoke-wheel areas were reported to decrease in size and number at an early stage after treatment initiation, while ovoid nests and multiple blue-gray globules persisted for a longer period of time [17]. Detection of blue-gray globules has also been reported to be valuable for early diagnosis of disease recurrence.

Conclusion

While in the past dermoscopy was considered a second-level tool for evaluation of clinically equivocal skin tumors, in our era it represents an irreplaceable part of clinical examination.
For BCC, dermoscopy not only augments the clinical differential diagnosis, but also seems to provide additional significant information for guiding the management of the tumor.
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