Loss of the integral nuclear envelope protein SUN1 induces alteration of nucleoli
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ABSTRACT
A supervised machine learning algorithm, which is qualified for image classification and analyzing similarities, is based on multiple discriminative morphological features that are automatically assembled during the learning processes. The algorithm is suitable for population-based analysis of images of biological materials that are generally complex and heterogeneous. Here we used the algorithm wndchrm to quantify the effects on nucleolar morphology of the loss of the components of nuclear envelope in a human mammary epithelial cell line. The linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex, an assembly of nuclear envelope proteins comprising mainly members of the SUN and nesprin families, connects the nuclear lamina and cytoskeletal filaments. The components of the LINC complex are markedly deficient in breast cancer tissues. We found that a reduction in the levels of SUN1, SUN2, and lamin A/C led to significant changes in morphologies that were computationally classified using wndchrm with approximately 100% accuracy. In particular, depletion of SUN1 caused nucleolar hypertrophy and reduced rRNA synthesis. Further, wndchrm revealed a consistent negative correlation between SUN1 expression and the size of nucleoli in human breast cancer tissues. Our unbiased morphological quantitation strategies using wndchrm revealed an unexpected link between the components of the LINC complex and the morphologies of nucleoli that serves as an indicator of the malignant phenotype of breast cancer cells.
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Introduction
The cell nucleus is surrounded by the nuclear envelope (NE) that comprises the inner and outer nuclear membranes (INM and ONM). The multifunctional nuclear membrane protein assembly called the linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complex consists of the INM-spanning protein SUN and the ONM-spanning protein nesprin. SUN and nesprin interact in the lumen of the NE via their luminal domains. The LINC complex directly connects the cytoskeleton (e.g., actin filaments or microtubule motors) and the nucleoskeleton (e.g., lamins or chromatin) and plays a major role in shaping and positioning the nucleus. Further, the LINC complex connects specific chromosomal loci to the nucleoplasmic face to orchestrate chromosome organization and dynamics during meiosis and acts in mechanotransduction by relaying extracellular signals to the nucleus.

Morphological changes in the nucleus are hallmarks of cancer cells. In particular, an enlarged nucleus, abnormal nuclear shape, anisokaryosis, increased chromatin staining, and altered nucleolar size are often observed in cancer cells. These phenotypes are used for histological and cytological diagnosis. Further, the diagnostic and prognostic pathology of the NE in human cancers was described. For example, deregulation of lamin A/C expression occurs in many human tumors. We reported recently that...
The expression of SUN1, SUN2, nesprin-2, and lamin A/C are down-regulated in breast cancer tissues, suggesting an association of the LINC complex and nuclear lamina components with abnormal nuclear shape and structure. However, the biological consequences of the loss of these major nuclear structural molecules in cancer cells remain to be investigated.

The nucleolus is the largest structure in the nucleus and forms around specific chromosomal regions called nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) that comprise arrays of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) gene clusters located on human chromosomes 13, 14, 15, 21, and 22. The ultrastructural organization of the nucleolus is described in detail in a review written by Lam et al. Three subcompartments are present within the interior of the nucleolus. The interior compartment, fibrillar center (FC), is surrounded by the dense fibrillar component (DFC), and FC-DFC complexes are further embedded in the granular component (GC). Tumor cells frequently show an altered nucleolar structure, and an enlarged nucleolus is one of the most distinctive cytological features of cancer cells.

The enlarged nucleolar size typically reflects deregulation of rRNA transcription. However, little is known about the molecular mechanism that induces the aberrant nucleolar morphologies and deregulates rRNA transcription.

Objective measurements of differences of intracellular structures are extremely difficult because of their complexity and intrinsic heterogeneity. Conventional image analysis strategies redefine specific features to automatically recognize and measure specific objects. However, these algorithms are inadequate, because the morphologies of the structures change and the algorithms do not recognize variations caused by pathological or nonphysiological conditions or both. A supervised machine learning algorithm, wndchrm, weights neighbor distances using a compound hierarchy of algorithms representing morphology, classifies and mines images to identify similarities or differences. Instead of specifying target morphologies and choosing specific algorithms, users define classes by simply providing multiple examples of images of each class, such as those of normal and cancer cells. After a class is defined, a large number of features of each image is computed, the algorithm selects the features that best discriminate among groups, and a classifier is automatically generated. An undefined dataset is tested using multiple rounds of cross-validation, which provides marginal probabilities that are used to measure the classification accuracies and morphological dissimilarity. A great advantage of wndchrm is its versatility, and it was successfully used to solve problems such as reprogramming of human iPS colonies, early detection of osteoarthritis, measurement of sarcopenia in Caenorhabditis elegans, classification of malignant lymphoma, measurement of nucleolar alterations, and others.

The aim of the present study was to quantify the effects of the loss of components of the LINC complex on cellular morphology visualized using Papanicolaou (Pap) staining and to identify the pathological significance of the loss of each component on cancer progression. We took advantage of the machine learning algorithm wndchrm and demonstrate here that the LINC protein SUN1 functionally associated with the nucleolus.

**Results**

**Cellular morphology changes upon depletion of nuclear membrane components**

We recently demonstrated that the expression of the LINC complex and nuclear lamina components decreases in a significant fraction of human breast cancer tissues. However, the effects on cell morphology of the loss of each factor in the LINC complex and nuclear lamina are unknown. To measure morphological changes, we used an image classifier, wndchrm. The nontumorigenic MCF10A cell line derived from human mammary epithelial cells was transfected with siRNAs specific for SUN1, SUN2, or LMNA (encoding lamin A/C) mRNA, and their knockdown efficiencies were determined using immunofluorescence microscopy and western blotting. SUN1, SUN2, and lamin A/C localized to the nuclear membrane in cells transfected with control siRNAs, which were almost completely absent in each siRNA-targeted knockdown cell.

We then subjected the same set of samples to Pap staining for the reasons as follows: first, the Pap staining technique is routinely used for the diagnosis of tumors. Second, Pap is a multichromatic cell stain, and the combination of colors highlights many different features of cellular structures, including chromatin condensation and cytokeratin expression. In Pap- or DAPI-stained images, aberrant nuclear and chromatin structures were detected in lamin A/C
knockdown cells (Fig. 1A and B). To perform quantitative analyses, we collected 20 microscope fields that were randomly selected from classes of control, LINC complex-, or nuclear lamina-depleted MCF10A cells (Fig. 1B upper panel and Fig. S2), and the images were subjected to classification using wndchrm. The

Figure 1. Depletion of LINC complex components caused morphological changes in cells. (A) MCF10A cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and stained with anti-SUN1 (left), -SUN2 (middle), and -lamin A/C (right) pAbs, respectively, to show that each knockdown was efficient. Cell nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar = 20 μm. (B) Pap-stained images of the cells transfected with the siRNAs. The rectangles in the top panels indicate regions enlarged at the bottom. Scale bar = 20 μm. (C) Classification accuracy (CA) of knockdown against control (siControl_1) cells was measured using the machine-learning algorithm wndchrm. Twenty images for each knockdown class (Fig. S2) were employed for the CA measurements. Knockdown of SUN1, SUN2, and lamin A/C resulted in morphological changes significant enough to yield high classification accuracy (CA > ~1.0 for each). The dotted line indicates CA = 0.5, the expected value of random classification with no detectable morphological differences. Thus, the value for the difference between siControls_2 and _1 (CA = 0.462 ± 0.083) is approximately as expected. (D) Morphological distances of the knockdown classes from the control were measured using wndchrm. Twenty images for each knockdown class (Fig. S2) were analyzed. Larger values indicate morphologies different from those of control cells (siControl_1). Knockdown of SUN1 resulted in significant changes. For (C) and (D), the values are the mean ± standard deviation (SD) of 20 independent cross validation tests. p values were calculated using the Student t test (**p < 0.005).
software automatically computed 4,008 image feature values derived from 11 algorithms for raw and transforms of images, which were expected to cover general image features.

The most informative features for classification were then extracted automatically based on Fisher discriminant scores and used to construct a classifier.29,35 The software tested the image classifier in multiple rounds of cross-validations using the data sets, which were automatically and randomly split for training and testing. The test results provided class probability matrices with marginal probabilities to compute classification accuracy. Two control image classes, siControl_1 and siControl_2, were created from cells that were treated with the same control siRNA. One served as a reference for pair-wise image classification (siControl_1) and the other as a negative control (siControl_2) with indistinct overall morphologies (Fig. 1C and D).

Classification accuracy (CA) reflects a degree of morphological dissimilarity. In pair-wise classifications, CA = 1.0 in the presence of apparent morphological differences, while CA = 0.5 for random classification between 2 cell types without detectable feature differences. Upon knockdown of NE components, cellular shapes were classified with surprisingly high accuracy (Fig. 1C). Thus, the CA values of siControl_1 for SUN1-, SUN2-, and lamin A/C-depleted cells were 1.000 ± 0.000, 0.992 ± 0.025, and 0.996 ± 0.018, respectively, demonstrating significant dissimilarity from cells transfected with the control siRNA (Fig. 1C). More sensitive measures for image dissimilarities and pairwise interclass distances were performed using the Euclidean distance formula based on marginal class probabilities computed using wndchrm (see Materials and Methods) and defined here as morphological distance (MD). The MD between classes is the inverse of morphological similarity. The morphological change from control cells was much larger in SUN1-depleted cells (MD = 1.099 ± 0.023) than in SUN2- or lamin-A/C-depleted cells (MD = 0.203 ± 0.017 and 0.141 ± 0.021, respectively) (Fig. 1D). The most informative image features extracted using the wndchrm test (Fig. 1C) listed in Supplementary Table S1 show different sets of features with much higher weights associated with siSUN1 compared with those of siSUN2 and siLMNA, suggesting that unique morphologies were computationally recognized in cells transfected with siSUN1. These results indicate that depletions of NE components induced changes in cellular shapes so effectively that they were discriminated with high accuracy using wndchrm. Among the NE components, depleting SUN1 caused a particularly high degree of change in cellular structures.

**Depletion of SUN1 affects nucleolar morphology**

Morphological changes in the nucleus are hallmarks of cancer cells,6,7 and the LINC complex and nuclear lamina act to maintain nuclear structure.36 Therefore, we focused on the shapes of the nuclei of cells with decreased levels of LINC components. To measure morphology, 60 regions, including the nucleus, were extracted from Pap-stained images of siRNA-treated cells and analyzed using wndchrm. Representative images are shown in Fig. 2A, and each image is shown in Fig. S3. The morphological distance indicated that nuclear shapes following SUN1 knockdown were significantly different from those of control siRNA-treated cells (siControl_1 vs siSUN1, MD = 0.566 ± 0.046). In contrast, changes in nuclear morphology of SUN2- or lamin A/C-knockdown cells were less apparent (siControl_1 vs siSUN2, MD = 0.067 ± 0.022 and siControl_1 vs siLMNA, MD = 0.055 ± 0.018, Fig. 2B).

The Fisher scores computed during classification indicate the degrees of discrimination for each feature’s value in each classification. Consistent with the high MD value, Fisher scores for SUN1-depleted cells were remarkably higher than were those of SUN2- or lamin A/C-depleted cells (Fig. 2C and Supplementary Table S2). When we compared the patterns of relative Fisher scores with maximum values in each test set to 1, we found that gross informative features were similar between siSUN1 and siSUN2, or siSUN1 and siLMNA (r = 0.852 and 0.956, where r is the correlation coefficient). For example, feature values that were computed using Haralick algorithms and Fractal statistics yielded high discriminant scores, while those for the combined first Four Moments were low, indicating that there was a greater change in nuclear shapes in MCF10A cells transfected with the SUN1 siRNA, although common morphological changes may occur in cells depleted for the NE components SUN1, SUN2, and LMNA.
Nucleoli are visible as densely stained spherical mass in Pap-stained cells and frequently become more prominent in specific tumor cells, including those of the breast. We therefore focused on nucleolar structural changes caused by the depletion of NE components. Seventy-five images containing nucleoli were subjected...
to wndchrm analysis. Representative and all images are shown in Fig. 2D and S4, respectively. Depletion of SUN1 led to changes in nucleolar morphology compared with control siRNA-transfected cells (siControl_1 vs siSUN1, MD = 0.438 ± 0.063) while no significant differences were observed between siSUN2- and control siRNA-treated cells (siControl_1 vs siSUN2, MD = 0.070 ± 0.027 (Fig. 2E). We were interested to find that lamin A/C-depleted cells were discriminated from control cells (siControl_1 vs siLMNA, MD = 0.214 ± 0.073) (Fig. 2E). These morphological analyses revealed quantitatively and qualitatively that depletion of NE components caused similar alterations of nuclear shapes. Lower levels of the LINC component SUN1 exerted the strongest effect on the nucleus, particularly on the nucleolus.

**SUN1 depletion affects the distribution of nucleoli and chromatin**

The nucleolus comprises the subcompartments FC, DFC, and GC. To examine the effects of depleting SUN1 on the nucleolus, we performed immunofluorescence analysis using the marker antibodies as follows: anti-upstream binding factor (UBF) for the FC, anti-fibrillarin (FBL) for the DFC, and anti-nucleophosmin (NPM) (also known as B23) for the GC, respectively. Representative images show that SUN1 knockdown caused common changes in each compartment; larger in their size and concomitant decrease in their number (Fig. 3A–C). The enlarged nucleolus was similar to that often observed in higher nuclear-grade breast cancer cells.17,18 Using algorithms that recognize and measure a specific object, we quantified several aspects of the FBL-stained nucleolus, including their numbers, areas, and intensities. Upon depletion of SUN1, each nucleolar area increased by approximately 50% (Fig. 3D), and the number of nucleoli per nucleus significantly decreased (Fig. 3E). Further, depletion of SUN1 did not influence the total area of the nucleolus in a nucleus (Fig. 3F), and there were no significant differences in the average fluorescence intensity of a pixel in the nucleolar region between SUN1-knockdown cells and control cells (Fig. 3G). These data suggest that multiple nucleoli were fused or merged in the nucleus in the absence of SUN1.

Chromatin distribution in the nucleus is sustained, in part, by the nuclear membrane. Specific chromatin domains called lamina-associated domains (LADs) associate with the inner nuclear membrane through lamins,37,38 and SUN1 associates with A- and B-type lamins.1 We reasoned that the absence of SUN1 may release some part of the chromatin from the edge of the nucleus and influence chromatin distribution within the nucleus. Therefore, to investigate the effects of SUN1-depletion on the morphology of chromatin DNA, using wndchrm, we performed a similar analysis of images of DAPI-stained samples. We collected 26 DAPI-stained images of SUN1-depleted cells, assigned them randomly into siSUN1_1 and siSUN1_2 groups, and subjected them to wndchrm analysis (Fig. 3H). The morphological distance (Fig. 3I) and phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3J) shows that depleting SUN1 (siSUN1) induced changes, compared with control cells (siControl), in global chromatin morphology (MD = 0.538 ± 0.147 and 0.503 ± 0.091 for siSUN1_1 and _2, relative to MD = 0.222 ± 0.129 for siControl_2). These data indicate that SUN1 is involved in the maintenance of chromatin structure in the nucleus. Aberrant nucleolar formation in the absence of SUN1 may reflect altered distribution of chromatin, including LADs that are associated with the NE and nucleolar-associated domains (NADs) near where the nucleolus is formed.39
Figure 3. (For figure legend, see page 75.)
**rRNA transcription is decreased in SUN1 depleted cells**

SUN1-depleted cells exhibited nuclei with fewer but larger nucleoli. Because structural alteration of the nucleolus is often linked to altered ribosomal biogenesis, expression of the 45S precursor rRNA (pre-rRNA), which is very short lived and represents the rate of rRNA transcription, was quantified using real-time PCR. SUN1-depleted cells reproducibly exhibited a 40–60% decrease in pre-rRNA synthesis (Fig. 4A). Further, pulse-chase analyses using $^3$H-uridine demonstrated that the amount of the 47S/45S rRNA precursor (Fig. 4B) was significantly lower in SUN1-depleted cells, consistent with the real-time PCR analysis (Fig. 4A). However, subsequent processing to the 32S precursor, 28S rRNA, and 18S rRNAs was not significantly inhibited when SUN1 was depleted (Fig. 4B, arrows), causing the amounts of 28S and 18S rRNAs to decrease to 75% after a 390 min chase because of reduced expression of the 47/45S precursor. Therefore, we concluded that depletion of SUN1 affected rRNA transcription but not rRNA processing.

**Analysis of nucleoli in tissues with decreased expression of SUN1 from patients with breast cancer**

Morphological analysis of the human mammary epithelial cell line MCF10A described above suggested that loss of SUN1 expression induced the formation of enlarged nucleoli. We recently reported that greater than 80% of human breast cancer tissues exhibit decreased expression of SUN1. To investigate the relationship between the loss of SUN1 expression and the sizes of nucleoli, we reanalyzed human breast cancer tissues reacted with an anti-SUN1 polyclonal antibody (pAb). Here we used tissues from patients with scirrhou carcinoma of the breast, which is the most common breast cancer subtype that typically exhibits nucleolar hypertrophy. Moreover, we discovered a negative correlation between SUN1 expression and nucleolar size in human breast cancer tissues. Our morphological study discovered a novel function of the NE-protein SUN1 that contributes to the maintenance of nucleolar morphology and function.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we used the supervised machine learning algorithm wndchrm to perform quantitative analyses of the morphological changes that occurred upon depletion of NE components. We reveal that depletion of SUN1 induced nucleolar enlargement and simultaneously suppressed rRNA synthesis, which is consistent with findings that SUN1 levels are reduced in more than 80% of breast cancer tissues, which often exhibit nucleolar hypertrophy. Moreover, we discovered a negative correlation between SUN1 expression and nucleolar size in human breast cancer tissues. Our morphological study discovered a novel function of the NE-protein SUN1 that contributes to the maintenance of nucleolar morphology and function.
Unbiased image screening to develop potential diagnostic tools

Despite recent progress in our understanding of the molecular and epigenetic changes that occur in cancer cells, assessment of biopsy samples using light microscopy is required to diagnose cancers, and these observations do not directly reveal the differences between benign and malignant cells at the molecular level. Therefore, identification of the link between specific molecules and cancer cell morphology is critical to develop novel diagnostic tools and therapies.

The phenotype-specific image classification strategy may be limited when image features change under different conditions such as in cells transfected with specific and control siRNAs. However, the classification method provided by wndchrm is simple to perform if a sufficient number of images is available to define a “class,” which is distinct from the common approach that defines, extracts, and analyzes certain objects and features.

Here we used the machine learning algorithm wndchrm to measure and classify the degree of morphological differences captured by imaging. Depletion of SUN1, SUN2, and lamin A/C caused changes that were sufficiently significant to classify features with high accuracy (e.g. 100% for siSUN1, 99.2% for siSUN2, and 99.6% for siLMNA) (Fig. 1C). Image quantification technologies using machine learning algorithms such as wndchrm may be applied as unbiased screening tools to measure objectively the morphological changes in siRNA or in CRISPR/Cas9 screening and to validate the transformation of

Figure 4. Depleting SUN1 suppresses rRNA synthesis but not rRNA processing. (A) The levels of pre-rRNAs in the indicated MCF10A cells were quantitated using real-time PCR with primers specific for the 5’ external transcribed spacer region of pre-rRNA. Values represent the mean ± SD for triplicates. (B) Cellular RNAs were pulse-labeled with 3H-UTP for 2 h and chased with nonradioactive UTP for the indicated period. Total RNAs were isolated and separated on the agarose gel. Positions of the nascent (47S/45S), intermediate (32S) and 28S and 18S rRNAs are indicated next to the gel.
normal cells to the malignant phenotype. Our present findings highlight the novel function of the LINC complex that specifies the properties of nucleolar structures and the potential of this new knowledge to facilitate the development of novel diagnostic tools.

**How does depletion of SUN1 alter the nucleolar structure?**

We show here that depleting SUN1 from human mammary epithelial cells led to the enlargement of nucleoli and a reduction in their number without altering the total area of the nucleoli in the nucleus. We assume that nucleolar enlargement was caused by nucleolar fusion, and we envisage several possible mechanisms underlying the effect of depleting SUN1 on nucleolar morphology. First, the nucleoli may fuse because of the loss of appropriate chromosomal distribution in the nucleus (Fig. 6). Nucleoli may tend to fuse intrinsically, which may be inhibited because of chromosomal tensions derived from local chromosomal anchoring (Fig. 6A). Under normal conditions, a portion of the chromosomes are anchored at the nuclear periphery through lamin A/C and the lamin B receptor (LBR). SUN1 interacts with lamins A/C and B1/B2. Upon depletion of NE components, chromosomes tethered at the nuclear periphery may be released from the nuclear membrane, the chromosomal tension is lost, and the nucleoli are free to fuse and enlarge (Fig. 6B). Nucleoli changed similarly in lamin A/C- and SUN1-depleted cells, although the extent was greater in SUN1-depleted cells (Fig. 2). It is possible that depletion of SUN1 substantially affected chromatin organization via the lamin A/C and LBR pathways. In contrast, depletion of lamin A/C maintains LBR intact and had diminished effects on chromatin and nucleoli.

Second, loss of SUN1 may prevent proper formation of the nucleolar core, the number of FC regions, or both via association with chromatin, leading to the formation of the enlarged nucleolus. Recent studies found that NADs associate with the nucleolus and the nuclear membrane and reposition after cell division. SUN1 interacts with telomeres during meiosis and after mitosis, and NAD overlaps the telomere. Thus, SUN1 may interact with NADs and influence the formation of the nucleolus. Third, SUN1 may sequester certain nucleolar proteins and influence the formation of the nucleolus. The nucleolus contains many proteins that possess functions other than that required to produce ribosomes. Examples
include DNA replication, telomere metabolism, cell-cycle progression, and cell polarity.43-49 Several nucleolar proteins shuttle between the nucleolus and the NE.50,51 SUN1 interacts with various nucleolar proteins such as the yeast SUN1 homolog MPS3 that interacts with EBP2 and RES1 at the NE50 and could therefore affect the formation of the nucleolus. Last, the LINC complex may influence nucleolar fusion via the cytoskeleton, and the loss of SUN1 induces the formation of enlarged nucleoli. This seems likely, because the cytoskeleton regulates the fusion of nucleoli,52 and SUN proteins interact with actin filaments via members of the nesprin family.

**How does the depletion of SUN1 suppress rRNA production?**

Tumor cells often show nucleolar hypertrophy that may represent a morphological counterpart of up-regulated ribosome biogenesis.19-24 However, the presence of enlarged nucleoli does not always correlate with activated rRNA synthesis, and the causal relationship between nucleolar enlargement and activated rRNA synthesis is unclear.6,53,54 Therefore, we hypothesize that, in addition to the enlarged nucleoli induced by activated rRNA synthesis, other factors may induce nucleolar hypertrophy.

SUN1 contributes to the DNA damage response (DDR),55 and rRNA synthesis is inhibited following DNA damage.56,57 Because γ-H2AX, a marker for DNA damage, accumulates when SUN1 expression is inhibited in HeLa cells,42 loss of SUN1 function may halt the DDR, leading to the suppression of rRNA synthesis. However, in MCF10A cells, depleting SUN1 did not induce the accumulation of γ-H2AX (data not shown). Therefore, the suppression of rRNA synthesis in SUN1-depleted MCF10A cells is independent of the DDR. There are several possible alternative explanations for decreased rRNA synthesis in SUN1-depleted cells. First, in yeast, the SUN1 homolog, MPS3 interacts with Sir4, which is a member of the Sir2 family of NAD⁺-dependent histone deacetylases, and suppresses rRNA synthesis.58 Therefore, SUN1 may interact with the mammalian ortholog of the Sir2 family SIRT1, and SIRT1 may be released from the NE in the absence of SUN1 to suppress rRNA synthesis. Second, altered gene positioning in SUN1-depleted cells may increase perinucleolar heterochromatin and modify gene expression, including that of rRNA gene. Alternatively, the decrease in rRNA synthesis may be caused by fewer and larger nucleoli that reduce the surface area of the nucleolus, which serves as the platform for rRNA gene transcription.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture**

The human mammary epithelial cell line MCF10A (ER−, PgR−, HER2−) (ATCC CRL-10317) was cultured as previously described.59
Pap stain

MCF10A cells were seeded into a two-well chamber (SCS-002, Matsunami Glass), and after 72 h incubation, cells were fixed with 99% ethanol. The slides were then sequentially dipped in 80% and 70% ethanol (10 times each) and washed with distilled water. Nuclei were stained with Gill hematoxylin (Muto Pure Chemical, Ltd.). The slides were then washed with distilled water and dipped in 80% and 70% ethanol (10 times), respectively. Slides were washed with distilled water and then sequentially with 70% and 99% ethanol (10 times). The cytoplasm was stained with OG-6 (Muto Pure Chemicals, Ltd.) and EA-50 (Muto Pure Chemicals, Ltd.). Each process was followed by two washes with 99% ethanol to remove excess stain, and the slides were dehydrated with 99% ethanol, cleared with xylene, and mounted (Fig. 1).

siRNA transfection

siRNAs specific for the SUN1 coding region (siGENOME SMARTpool siRNAs, a mixture of #1, GCACAAACAAUACAGCUUU; #2, GGUACUG-CUGGCCAUUUA; #3, GAAACACGGCGGUG-GAUGA; and #4, CGACACAGCUUUCACAAUA), the SUN2 coding region (siGENOME SMARTpool siRNAs, a mixture of #1, CCACAGCCGUUAC-CUUAGA; #2, CCUAUGGCGUGCAGACAUU; #3, GCAAGAUCCUCACCCAUGU; and #4, GCACA-CACCUCCUCUACA) and a nontargeting siRNA pool (siGENOME, mixture of four nontargeting siRNAs) were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. siRNAs specific for sequences encoding lamin A/C (CUGGACUUCGAGAACA) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. MCF10A cells were seeded in dish at 0.5–2 × 10^5 cells per two-well chamber (Matsunami Glass) for immunofluorescence and real-time PCR assays. The cells were transfected with siRNAs using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The cells were incubated for 72 h before analysis.

Imaging pap-stained cells

Images of Pap-stained cells were obtained using a Nikon Plan Apo 40× objective lens. Colored images were used, because they required fewer images than gray-scale images to equalize the quality of image analyses (data not shown). The background of captured images was subtracted using Image J (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Quantitation of morphological differences

To measure morphological differences and classify images, we performed supervised machine learning using the pattern recognition software wndchrm ver1.31,35,60,61 The sizes of images used for the analyses were 1280 × 960 pixels (Figs. 1D and S2), 80 × 80 pixels (Figs. 2A, B, and C and S3), 10 × 10 pixels (Figs. 2D and E and S4) and 200 × 200 pixels (Fig. 3H–3J). Numbers of training/test images were 14/6 (Fig. 1C and D), 48/12 (Fig. 2B and C), 60/15 (Fig. 2E) and 10/3 (Fig. 3I and J). Images were tiled as follows; −t6 (into 36 images) for Fig. 1, −t4 (into 16 images) for Fig. 2B and C, −t2 (into 4 images) for Fig. 2E, and −t0 (no tiling) for Fig. 3I and J. Cross-validation tests were automatically repeated 20 times. Phylogenies were computed using the Fitch–Margoliash method implemented in the PHYLIP package,62 according to class similarity values reported by wndchrm. Morphological distances were calculated as the Euclidian distances (d = √Σ(A–B)^2) from the values in the class probability matrix obtained from cross-validations.28 Fisher scores were computed from 4,000 feature variables that were derived from followings: Chebyshev-Fourier transform, Chebyshev Statistics, Combined First Four Moments, Fractal Statistics, Haralick Texture, Multiscale Histogram, Radon Transform Statistics, Zernike Polynominals, Edge Statistics, Gabor Textures, Object Statistics and Tamura Textures.31

Antibodies

Rabbit anti-SUN1 and anti-SUN2 pAbs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and Millipore, respectively. Goat anti-lamin A/C pAbs and a mouse anti-lamin A/C mAb were from Santa Cruz. Biotechnology, Inc. Antibodies used to detect markers for each subcompartment of the nucleolus were as follows: mouse anti-UBF monoclonal antibody (mAb) (clone #F-9, sc-13125, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) for the FC, mouse anti-FBL mAb (clone #38F3, ab4566, Abcam) for the DFC, and rabbit anti-NPM pAb (sc-6013-R, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) for the GC.
Immuno-fluorescence analysis

Cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min. Cells were then blocked and reacted with the appropriate primary antibody followed by secondary antibodies, Cy3 or FITC conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG and Cy3 or FITC conjugated donkey anti-mouse IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, UK) and viewed with an epi-fluorescence microscope Olympus IX81 (Olympus).

Real-time reverse transcription PCR

Total RNA was isolated from cultured cells using the Pure Link RNA mini kit (Ambion) and was reverse transcribed using the Prime Script RT reagent (Takara, Japan). The levels of pre-rRNA were quantitated using real-time PCR using a LightCycler 480 System (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland) and normalized to those of GAPDH mRNA. Experiments were performed at least three times. The sequences of the primer sets used were as follows: GAPDH, 5'-CCCATCACCATCTTCC-3'; and 5'-CAGAGATGATGACCCTTT-3'; 45S pre-rRNA, 5'-GAACGGTGGTGTGTCGTTC-3', and 5'-GCGTCTCGTCTCCTCACT-3'.63

Quantitative image analysis of the nucleolus

Cells were transfected with an siRNA specific for SUN1 mRNA or nontargeted siRNAs and incubated for 72 h. Cells were then fixed and stained with anti-FBL and DAPI. Images were acquired using an Olympus IX81 epifluorescence microscope and subsequently analyzed using a HCS Studio program equipped for cell image analyzer and (Cell Insight, Thermo Fisher Scientific). To recognize the FBL-labeled nucleolus, we used the spot detector algorithm of the bioapplication protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific). First, nuclei stained with DAPI were identified as main objects, the nucleoli in the segmented nucleus were identified as spots, and the areas and signal intensities of the spots were measured. The signal intensity per unit area of the nucleolus was calculated as follows: total signal intensity per nucleus/total nucleolar area.

Metabolic labeling of rRNA

Pre-rRNA was labeled using 3H-UTP as described previously.64 Briefly, cells were transfected with siRNA specific for SUN1 mRNA and incubated for 72 h. The cells were pulse-labeled with [3H]-uridine for 30 min and further incubated with fresh medium containing cold uridine (0.5 mM) for the indicated period. Total RNA (9 µg) was analyzed using gel electrophoresis and fluorography.

Immunohistochemical analysis of tissues

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described.14 Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded specimens were stained as previously described.14 Briefly, samples were obtained from patients with breast cancer who underwent surgery between 2000 and 2004 at the Osaka Police Hospital (Osaka, Japan). The study commenced after patients granted their informed consent and the Osaka Police Hospital Ethics Committee approved our study, which was conducted according to institutional ethical and legal guidelines.

Abbreviations

CA classification accuracy
DFC dense fibrillar component
FC fibrillar center
GC granular component
H&E hematoxylin and eosin
INM inner nuclear membrane
LAD Lamina-associated domain
LINC complex, linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton
NAD nucleolar-associated domain
NE nuclear envelope
ONM outer nuclear membrane
Pap stain Papanicolaou stain
rDNA rDNA
Wndchrm weighted neighbor distances using a compound hierarchy of algorithms representing morphology
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