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ABSTRACT
Triclosan (5 - chloro - 2 - (2,4 - dichlorophenoxy) phenol) is a biocide compound commonly added to the various household, personal care, and cosmetic products. This research aims to determine triclosan content in those products by a chromatographic method. Product samples containing were analyzed using HPLC equipped with C18 column UV detection at 280 nm and mobile phase using a mixture of acetonitrile - double distilled water (75:25). All samples were confirmed to contain triclosan at levels not more than permissible level by the regulation in Indonesia.
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INTRODUCTION
Triclosan (1) has been used for more than 40 years as a preservative in cosmetics\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) and almost in all personal care\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^6\) and household products.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) Triclosan is a very strong microbial inhibitor, which can be used to control dental infections caused by bacteria.\(^10\) The chemical name of triclosan is 5 - chloro - 2 - (2,4 - dichlorophenoxy) phenol, while its molecular formula is C\(_{12}\)H\(_7\)Cl\(_3\)O\(_2\) and its molecular weight is 289.5 g per mol. This compound is a white crystalline powder, which easily dissolves in alkaline solutions and organic solvents, soluble in acetone, ethanol, methanol, and slightly soluble in water. Several chromatographic methods have been reported for the determination of triclosan levels in various samples. Especially HPLC methods have been designed that allow the determination of the effectiveness of separation and quantification of samples.\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^16\) In our experiment, a reverse phase HPLC method with an isocratic gradient system has been prepared for the determination of triclosan in the household, personal care, and cosmetic products.

EXPERIMENTAL
Instrumentation
The sample analysis products were performed on a Waters e2695 series HPLC system (Millford, USA) equipped with UV-Vis detector and Agilent ZORBAX Eclipse Plus C-18 column (5 µm, 4.6 x 150 mm) (USA). The signal output was monitored and integrated using Waters Empower 3 software.
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Chemicals and Solvents
Triclosan was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (purity 99.9 percent), while acetonitrile and methanol (Merck) double distilled water were purchased from IPHA Laboratories (Bandung, Indonesia).

Mobile Phase Preparation
A 500 mL mobile phase was prepared to consist of a mixture of 375 mL acetonitrile and 125 mL of double-distilled water.

Diluent Preparation
The mobile phase was used as diluent.

Standard Preparation: (For Triclosan 1000 μg / mL)
25 mg triclosan was accurately weighed and transferred into a 25 mL measuring flask and dissolved with the mobile phase. To enhance the solubility, the mixture was ultrasonicated until triclosan was completely dissolved.

Sample Preparation
A sample of products containing triclosan was chosen to represent solid, semi-solid, and liquid preparations. 0.5 g of solid sample was accurately weighed in a 15 mL plastic centrifuge tube, added with 7.5 mL of acetonitrile gradually, mechanically agitated for 3 minutes, ultrasonicated for 5 minutes, and then centrifuged for 10 minutes. The supernatant was quantitatively pipetted.

0.5 g of semi-solid samples were accurately weighed in a 10 mL volumetric flask, added with 3 mL of methanol, ultrasonicated for 10 minutes, and filled with methanol to mark (solution 1), and then shaken. 2.0 mL of solution 1 was pipetted into a 10 mL volumetric flask, diluted with methanol to mark, and then shaken.

250 μL of the liquid sample was pipetted into a 10 mL volumetric flask, added by mL of acetonitrile and double distilled water (75:25) gradually. Afterward, it was ultrasonicated for 10 minutes, diluted with mobile phase to mark, and then shaken.

All samples were filtered using a 0.45 μm membrane filter. The resulting filtrate was then used as the solution to be tested.

Chromatographic Conditions
The column oven for ZORBAX Eclipse plus 5 μm (4.6 x 150 mm) Columns was set at 30 °C. The mobile phase was controlled at a flow rate of 0.6 mL per min. The sample injection volume was 10 μL, the detector was set at 280 nm and the chromatography runtime was 10 minutes.

Analysis of Triclosan in the Household, Personal Care, and Cosmetic Products
Identification of triclosan in the samples was conducted by comparison of triclosan peak retention time in the chromatogram of standard solution with those of sample solutions. If the retention times of the two peaks in these chromatograms were not significantly different, the corresponding peak in the chromatogram of the sample was identified as triclosan. The determination of triclosan in the samples was conducted by the external calibration method. To determine the compliance of triclosan levels in the sample, the results were compared with the maximum use level according to regulation in Indonesia (0.3-2%).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Method Development
To develop a suitable and robust method for the determination of triclosan, an HPLC system equipped with a ZORBAX Eclipse plus 5 μm (4.6 x 150 mm) column controlled at 30 °C and UV detection at 280 nm was used. Different mobile phases were tested to achieve the best chromatographic performance. A mixture of acetonitrile and double distilled water with a ratio 75:25 v / v and a flow rate of 0.6 mL/minute gave the best and consistent chromatogram. The following chromatogram (Fig.-2) shows repetitive peaks of the standard of triclosan which were consistently eluted at 4.434 ± 0.01 minutes.
The results of system suitability of criteria for triclosan revealed that all parameters fulfilled the requirements. Triclosan was eluted and gave nearly a symmetric peak (low tailing factor), a high number of theoretical plates, and gut injection repeatability. The overall system suitability criteria result Table-1.

Table-1: System Suitability of Criteria for Triclosan Standard (n=9)

| Parameter                          | Triclosan | Acceptable limit\(^\text{17}\) |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| \(t_R \pm \text{SD})\) in minutes  | 4.434 (0.01) | \(--\)                           |
| Tailing factor                     | 1.112     | \(\leq 2\)                      |
| Number of theoretical plates       | 710098    | \(> 2000\)                      |
| Injection repeatability \((% \text{ RSD})\) | 0.40      | \(\leq 1\)                      |

**Linearity**

Figure-3 shows the calibration curve of triclosan in the concentration range of 10 - 60 ppm with a linear regression equation of \(y = 19410x + 39153\) and \(R^2\) value of 0.9997. This result indicates that there is a linear relationship between the measurement responses and the concentration of triclosan. In addition, the linearity was tested by comparing the relative procedural standard deviation of linear regression (linear \(V_{X0}\)) with that of quadratic regression quadratic (quadratic \(V_{X0}\)). Since linear \(V_{X0}\) is less than quadratic \(V_{X0}\), the linear regression is better than quadratic regression, which is proof of linearity.\(^\text{18}\) The \(V_{X0}\) calculation results are presented in Table-2.

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{y} & = 720547.2 \\
\text{Linear } V_{X0} (%) & = 0.863 \\
\text{Quadratic } V_{X0} (%) & = 1.210
\end{align*}
\]
Precision
For precision determination, a sample matrix was spiked with triclosan standard solution at 30 ppm. Repetitive determination of triclosan in that spiked sample gave RSD value of 0.56% (N = 9). According to AOAC\textsuperscript{17}, RSD value for repetitive determination in the range 10-100 ppm and 100-1000 ppm should be not more than 4 - 6% and 3% - 4%, respectively. In addition, the calculation of Horratt value gave a result 0.19%, while the acceptance value is ≤ 2%\textsuperscript{17}. These results highlighted that the analytical method used has good precision. The result of the precision determination is depicted in Table-3.

| Sample No. | Obtained Concentration (μg/mL) |
|------------|---------------------------------|
| 1          | 29.62                           |
| 2          | 29.76                           |
| 3          | 30.09                           |
| 4          | 30.03                           |
| 5          | 30.03                           |
| 6          | 29.93                           |
| 7          | 30.06                           |
| 8          | 30.10                           |
| 9          | 30.03                           |
| x          | 30.00                           |

Accuracy
The accuracy was determined from the % of recovery determination of spiked triclosan standard solution to the sample matrix at the concentration level of 80%, 100% and 120% of the predicted levels of analyte in the sample. The results of these determinations were in the range of 97% - 104%, while the acceptable % of recovery of AOAC\textsuperscript{17} for the concentration range of 10-100 ppm is 80-115%. Thus, the % of recovery obtained can be accepted based on the AOAC criteria, and hence the method shows good accuracy. The results of accuracy determination were presented in Table-4.

Limit of Detection (LOD) and Limit of Quantification (LOQ)
The LOD and LOQ values of triclosan were determined using statistical calculation method\textsuperscript{17} from the calibration curve equation. Table-5 shows the results of LOD and LOQ calculations.
This method has the LOD and LOQ values of 1.00 and 3.03 ppm, respectively. Since the expected concentration of triclosan in the samples will be higher than LOQ values, this method will be able to determine triclosan in the samples with good precision and accuracy.

**Identification and Determination of Triclosan in the Samples**

The identification of triclosan in the samples was conducted based on the presence of peaks in the chromatograms eluted at the retention times not significantly different from those of triclosan standard. In addition, in the case of doubt, a co-chromatography procedure was carried out, i.e., triclosan standard solution was spiked into the sample solution. The chromatograms revealed that the retention times of triclosan were in the range of 4.09 - 4.36 with an average of 4.155 minutes, while the retention of standard triclosan was averagely 4.434 minutes. The slight difference in the retention time is still acceptable due to possible matrix influences in the sample solutions. Commonly, the influences of the matrix could lead to column enrichment and result in earlier retention time. Fig.-4 shows the overlay chromatograms of several sample solutions.

![Overlay Chromatograms of Sample Solutions](image-url)

Triclosan was detected in several samples which were further classified into two categories by the regulation, i.e., rinse-off products and non-rinse-off products. Hand sanitizer, feminine hygiene, antiseptic soap, detergent, mouthwash, and laundry freshener were included in the rinse-off products. The triclosan levels in these six products were in the range of 0.024 – 0.321%. Furthermore, deodorant, face mask, and face powder were included in non-rinse-off products, in which three product samples were identified to contain triclosan in the range of 0.024 – 0.070%. All the determination results (Table-6) and revealed that the triclosan level in those products was not more than the permitted level according to regulation in Indonesia (2% for rinse-off products and 0.3% for non-rinse-off products).

### Table-6: Analytical Results of Household, Personal Care, and Cosmetic Products by IRP-HPLC UV

| No. | Samples           | %Found ± SD (N=5) | Maximum Level (%) |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
|     |                   | Solid-base | Semisolid-base | Liquid-base |
| --- | ---               |           |             |             |
| 1   | Hand sanitizer    | 0.321±0.002 |               |             |
| 2   | Laundry freshener | 0.029±0.002 |               |             |
| 3   | Detergent         | 0.024±0.000 |               |             |
| 4   | Feminine hygiene  | 0.083±0.000 |               |             |
| 5   | Mouthwash         | 0.125±0.006 |               |             |
| 6   | Body wash liquid soap | 0.208±0.001 |               |             |
|     | Rinse-off Products|          |             |             |
|     |                   |          | 2             |
|     | Non-Rinse-off Products|         |               |             |
1. Face masks 0.07±0.000 0.3
2. Deodorant 0.040±0.000
3. Face powder 0.024±0.000

CONCLUSION
An HPLC method equipped with C18 columns controlled at 30 °C, UV detection system at 280 nm and the mobile phase has been developed and was successfully applied for determination of triclosan in several household, personal care, and cosmetic products samples. The triclosan levels in those products were in the range of 0.024 – 0.321% which were not more than the maximum permitted level according to regulation in Indonesia.
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