Association of HFE common mutations with Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment in a Portuguese cohort
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Abstract

Background: Pathological brain iron deposition has been implicated as a source of neurotoxic reactive oxygen species in Alzheimer (AD) and Parkinson diseases (PD). Iron metabolism is associated with the gene hemochromatosis (HFE Human genome nomenclature committee ID:4886), and mutations in HFE are a cause of the iron mismetabolism disease, hemochromatosis. Several reports have tested the association of HFE variants with neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD and PD with conflicting results.

Methods: Genotypes were analysed for the two most common variants of HFE in a series of 130 AD, 55 Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI) and 132 PD patients. Additionally, a series of 115 healthy age-matched controls was also screened.

Results: A statistically significant association was found in the PD group when compared to controls, showing that the presence of the C282Y variant allele may confer higher risk for developing the disease.

Conclusion: Taken together these results suggest that the common variants in HFE may be a risk factor for PD, but not for AD in the Portuguese population.

Background

Classic Hemochromatosis is an autosomal recessive disorder that is associated with a deregulation of the iron metabolism [1]. Clinical features often include cirrhosis of the liver, diabetes, hypermelanotic pigmentation of the skin, and heart failure [2]. Hemochromatosis is most often caused by mutations in the gene HFE on chromosome 6p21.3. The most common mutation, C282Y, was initially found in a subset of patients with hereditary hemochromatosis, in a total of 83% of all individuals. A
second mutation, H63D, was also described, although the clinical effects of this modification are clearly more limited. However, about 1 to 2 percent of individuals with compound heterozygous \textit{HFE} mutations appear to be at risk for hemochromatosis [3].

Alzheimer's disease is the most common late-onset neurodegenerative disorder. While several studies have tried to unveil the precise mechanisms underlying the etiology of typical sporadic AD, these remain largely unknown. Nonetheless, several studies have reported that oxidative stress may be implicated in the pathogenesis of this condition [4,5]. Oxidative damage in AD brain may be due, at least partially, to the increased deposition of redox-active iron, which is an important generator of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [6]. \textit{HFE} mutations have been associated with different stages of dementia (Braak stages) and increased oxidative stress, thus a study including MCI patients is of relevance [7]. However this remains only a speculation, as the mechanisms governing pathological brain iron deposition in AD are still unidentified.

Parkinson's disease is the second most common form of neurodegenerative disease, characterized clinically by resting tremor, muscular rigidity, bradykinesia, and postural instability. Post-mortem examinations of PD brains and magnetic resonance imaging of PD patients have revealed increased iron contents in the substantia nigra [8]. The cause for this deposition is unclear; however it has been speculated to result in overproduction of free radicals, which in turn, may cause lipid peroxidation, protein and nucleic acid oxidation [9].

Previous studies assessing the effect of \textit{HFE} variants on the onset of PD and AD have been contradictory [7,10-12]. Thus, to ascertain if \textit{HFE} mutations are a risk factor for the development of these diseases, we conducted a genetic screening for the most common \textit{HFE} mutations in two series of patients and in a healthy control group.

\textbf{Methods}

\textbf{Subjects}

A total of 428 individuals were screened for two mutations in the \textit{HFE} gene: C282Y (rs1800562) and H63D (rs1799945).

The first series of patients integrated AD and MCI patients. The diagnosis of probable Alzheimer's disease was made in accordance with criteria defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, revision 4 (DSM-IV) [13] and the guidelines of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, and the Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) [14]. All participants were assessed with the Mini Mental-State Examination (MMSE) [15] and the Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) [16] scales. The diagnosis of MCI was made in accordance with criteria defined by Petersen [17]. AD patients were selected from a consecutive clinic case series of those who gave permission for sampling (over 90% of cases consent for blood sampling), collected by neurologists at the University of Coimbra Hospital. Selection was performed to include patients with a negative familial history and a late age at onset for the disease (≥ 65 years). This group included 130 patients (79 females and 51 males) with mean ages of 75 ± 5 years and mean age at onset of 71.5 ± 4.9 years. In this series were also included 55 MCI patients (32 females and 23 males) with mean ages of 69.5 ± 9.6 and mean age at onset of 67.5 ± 9.4 years.

A total of 132 PD patients were selected according to the United Kingdom Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank Clinical Diagnostic Criteria (UK PDS Brain Bank) [18]. Patients comprised a consecutive clinic based cohort (over 90% of cases consent for blood sampling), diagnosed by a movement disorder specialist at the movement disorder clinic of the University of Coimbra Hospital. This series included 62 males and 70 females, with mean of ages of 66.7 ± 10.7 years, and mean age at onset of 57.2 ± 12.0 years. From these, 28 patients presented with a positive family history for PD, while the remaining 104 showed no evidence of family history for PD or any form of parkinsonism.

The control group included 115 healthy controls with a mean age of 70.7 ± 10.3 years, 38 males and 77 females. All subjects were examined by a neurologist and were free of any clinical signs or symptoms of neurodegeneration. This group comprised mainly spouses of patients and caregivers that were accompanying patients to the clinic.

All individuals included in this study are Caucasian with an apparent Portuguese ancestry. The study was submitted to the Ethics board of the University Hospital of Coimbra and all the subjects involved gave their informed consent.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood by means of standard procedures and the samples were genotyped for the \textit{HFE} mutations C282Y and H63D using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) technique with subsequent restriction and gel electrophoresis, as previously described [12]. Similarly, \textit{APOE} genotypes were assessed by a PCR-based methodology, as previously described [19].

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

Observed genotype distributions were compared with those expected by cross-tabulation and analyzed using Chi-square and Fisher Exact-tests. Means of quantitative
variables were compared using Student’s t-test. Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival analysis was used to analyze the effects of the HFE mutations on the age of AD, PD and MCI onset. The log-rank test was employed to determine whether genotype-specific survival functions were significantly different from one another. All tests were interpreted at the 0.05 level of significance. All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS package, version 10.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results
To test the association between the presence of the C282Y and H63D mutations and the development of AD or PD, we screened these series of patients and a series of healthy controls. The genotypes in these cohorts were at or near Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

There was no significant difference in genotype or allele frequencies in the AD or MCI groups, compared to controls. Analysis of the genotypes in the PD series revealed a significant overrepresentation of 282Y carriers and of the 282Y allele compared to controls (p = 0.01) (Tables 1 and 2). There was a statistically significant difference in the number of 282Y carriers between the AD and PD groups (p = 0.01). No other associations were found in any of the series we studied (Tables 1 and 2).

The outcome of the genetic mutations studied may also affect the age at onset of the studied disorders. Therefore we used Kaplan-Meier survival curves to determine this outcome. We failed to find any association between the mutations studied and the age at onset of PD, AD or MCI, as can be seen in Figures 1, 2 and 3.

The effect of many gene polymorphisms may be altered by the presence or absence of the ApoE4 allele. Stratifying based on E4 status did not reveal any significant differences in C282Y and H63D mutation frequency in AD patients compared to controls (Table 3).

We did not observe any differences between groups when the data were analysed according to gender (data not shown).

Discussion
Our results suggest that C282Y and H63D variants of HFE do not contribute significantly to the risk of developing AD or MCI in the Portuguese population. These data are consistent with previous studies [12,21] but contradictory to others such as those by Moalem and colleagues who reported that HFE mutations predisposed to familial AD in ApoE E4 negative males [22] and data from Pulliam et al. that suggested that HFE mutations were associated with increased oxidative stress and Braak AD stage [7]. The latter study was the primary impetus behind us studying these variants in MCI, a recognised prodromal stage of AD. Other studies demonstrated the potential association between the mutant H63D allele and the age at onset of AD [11,23]. Sampietro and colleagues reported that in an Italian sample, where the C282Y mutation is very uncommon, onset of AD occurred about 5 years earlier in subjects carrying one or more copies of the H63D mutation, independently of gender. In patients under 70 years at disease onset, the incidence of the H63D mutation was five times higher than in those over 80 years at onset of the disease [24]. These studies suggest that not just homozygosity but also heterozygosity for the main HFE mutations may influence AD pathogenesis. In our sample no association between the studied mutations (in homozygosity or heterozygosity) and the age at onset of AD, MCI or PD was found.

The lack of association between genetic variability in HFE and AD in the current study may be related to one or more of several factors: first, the present results may represent a false negative finding, driven in part by the low sample numbers; second, the role of HFE variants in risk for disease may vary between different populations (ie genetic background); third, as discussed below, the variants studied here may not be disease causing, but in linkage disequilibrium with disease causing mutations, thus discordant results will be seen in different populations.

The data presented here show a significant increase of the prevalence of 282Y carriers in the PD cohort compared to controls. A previous study examining the relationship between HFE variants and PD reported an opposite effect.

Table 1: Genotype frequencies for HFE mutations in controls, AD, PD and MCI patients

|        | C282Y |   | H63D |   |
|--------|-------|---|------|---|
|        | AA    | GA | GG   | GG | CC  |
| Controls (n = 115) | 0 | 5 (4.3%) | 110 (95.7%) | 2 (1.7%) | 39 (33.9%) | 74 (64.3%) |
| AD (n = 130) | 0 | 6 (4.6%) | 124 (95.4%) | 0.92 | 4 (3.1%) | 41 (31.5%) | 85 (65.4%) | 0.76 |
| MCI (n = 55) | 0 | 3 (5.5%) | 52 (94.5%) | 0.75 | 3 (5.6%) | 18 (33.3%) | 33 (61.1%) | 0.39 |
| PD (n = 132) | 0 | 18 (13.6%) | 114 (86.4%) | 0.01* | 5 (3.8%) | 38 (28.8%) | 89 (67.4%) | 0.47 |

*p < 0.05 (Statistically significant)
to the data presented here: the authors presented data suggesting that individuals with C282Y mutation have a decreased risk of developing PD [11], in contrast an additional study suggests no role of HFE variants in risk for PD [20] and recent work describes a positive relationship between the 282Y variant and PD risk, consistent with the data presented in the current study [10]. The discordant results may be explained by several factors: first, the results of the current study and those of Dekker and colleagues represent false positive findings; second, the results of Buchanan and colleagues represent false positive findings; third, 282Y is not a causal variant but is in linkage disequilibrium with another variant that underlies disease risk. The degree and direction of a disease association when genotyping what is in effect a tagging SNP, are both sensitive to the structure and content of a given block of linkage disequilibrium; these factors are both potentially different between populations. The observation that the 282Y allele is overrepresented in the PD cohort compared to the AD cohort demonstrates explicitly the main findings of this paper; that this variant may infer risk for PD, but not AD in the Portuguese population. While it is tempting to speculate that differences in iron handling may differentiate the molecular underpinnings of these two disorders, the current data is too far removed from this mechanistically and too preliminary to make this a convincing argument. The infrequency of C282Y mutations obviously limits the statistical power of this analysis, thus, studies in larger samples from diverse populations are needed to clarify the relationship between variability in HFE and PD. The small number of individuals in this study makes an ultimate assessment of the biological and genetic significance of these data clearly

### Table 2: Allelic frequencies for HFE mutations in controls, AD, PD and MCI patients

|     | C282Y |       | H63D |       |
|-----|-------|-------|------|-------|
|     | A     | G     | p    | p     |
| Controls (n = 115) | 5 (2.2%) | 225 (97.8%) | 43 (18.7%) | 187 (81.3%) |
| AD (n = 130) | 6 (2.3%) | 254 (97.7%) | 0.92 | 49 (18.8%) | 211 (81.2%) | 0.97 |
| MCI (n = 55) | 3 (2.7%) | 107 (97.3%) | 0.75 | 24 (22.2%) | 84 (77.8%) | 0.45 |
| PD (n = 132) | 18 (6.8%) | 246 (93.2%) | 0.01* | 48 (18.2%) | 216 (81.8%) | 0.88 |

*p < 0.05 (Statistically significant)

![Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the effect of H63D and C282Y mutations on age of AD onset](image)

(A) There are no statistically significant differences in the age at onset of AD between wild type, heterozygous and homozygous patients for H63D mutation ($\chi^2(2df) = 0.14, P = 0.93$). (B) There are no statistically significant differences in the age at onset of the disease between wild type, heterozygous and homozygous patients for C282Y mutation ($\chi^2(1df) = 3.08, P = 0.08$).
impossible. Thus we have analysed all previous studies published so far on this subject, in order to perform a meta-analysis of the data, and hopefully shed some light on these mechanisms.

Regarding AD, a total of five studies has been published aiming to find an association of these HFE mutations with the disease. No statistically significant differences were

![Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the effect of H63D (A) and C282Y (B) mutations on age of MCI onset.](image)

(A) Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the effect of H63D (A) and C282Y (B) mutations on age of MCI onset. There are no statistically significant differences in the age at onset of MCI between wild type, heterozygous and homozygous patients for H63D mutation ($\chi^2(2df) = 0.04, P = 0.98$). (B) There are no statistically significant differences in the age at onset of MCI between wild type, heterozygous and homozygous patients for C282Y mutation ($\chi^2(1df) = 0.10, P = 0.76$).

![Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the effect of H63D and C282Y mutations on age of PD onset.](image)

(A) Kaplan-Meier survival curves indicating the effect of H63D and C282Y mutations on age of PD onset. (A) There are no statistically significant differences in the age at onset of PD between wild type, heterozygous and homozygous patients for H63D mutation ($\chi^2(2df) = 2.4, P = 0.30$). (B) There are no statistically significant differences in the age at onset of PD between wild type, heterozygous and homozygous patients for C282Y mutation ($\chi^2(1df) = 1.66, P = 0.20$).
found in any of the studies. The combined data set can be observed in Tables 4 and 5.

As for PD, two studies have described a positive association between the C282Y variation and the disease [10,11], as can be seen in Tables 6 and 7. However, the study of Buchanan et al. includes siblings in the control group, which may have biased the results. As for the work of Dekker et al., the association was only evident in one of the studied cohorts: the Rotterdam study.

When looking at all the results published so far, there is no statistically significant association between any of the variations and the development of any of the diseases (Tables 4,5,67).

Conclusion
In conclusion we present data that suggests genetic variability in HFE may be a risk factor for PD. The rarity of HFE 282Y limits the statistical power of this analysis, thus studies in larger samples and in diverse cohorts are needed to make clarify the relation between variability in HFE and PD.
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Table 3: Genotypes associated with C282Y and H63D in AD patients with (ApoE4+) and without (ApoE4-) ApoE4 allele

| C282Y | p | H63D | p |
|-------|---|------|---|
| AA    | GA | GG   | 1.8% | 29.8% | 68.4% |
| ApoE4(+) | 0 | 7.0% | 93.0% | 2.9% | 31.4% | 65.7% | 0.25 |
| ApoE4(-) | 0 | 2.9% | 97.1% | 1.8% | 29.8% | 68.4% |

Table 4: Meta-analysis of the C282Y variation in the five published studies regarding Alzheimer’s disease

| Author          | AD Patients | AD C282Y | Controls |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Moalem, S. [22] | 23 (88.5%)  | 3 (11.5%)| 0        |
| Candore, G. [21] | 121 (98.4%)| 2 (1.6%) | 151 (99.3%)| 1 (0.7%)|
| Berlin, D. [12] | 95 (95%)    | 5 (5%)   | 0        |
| Sampietro, M. [24] | 103 (96.3%)| 4 (3.7%) | 0        |
| Robson, KJ. [25] | 161 (84.3%)| 30 (15.7%)| 237 (88.1%)| 31 (11.5%)|
| Total           | 503        | 44       | 660      |
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Table 4: Meta-analysis of the C282Y variation in the five published studies regarding Alzheimer’s disease

| Author         | AD Patients | AD C282Y | Controls |
|----------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Moalem, S. [22] | 23 (88.5%)  | 3 (11.5%)| 0        |
| Candore, G. [21] | 121 (98.4%)| 2 (1.6%) | 151 (99.3%)| 1 (0.7%)|
| Berlin, D. [12] | 95 (95%)    | 5 (5%)   | 0        |
| Sampietro, M. [24] | 103 (96.3%)| 4 (3.7%) | 0        |
| Robson, KJ. [25] | 161 (84.3%)| 30 (15.7%)| 237 (88.1%)| 31 (11.5%)|
| Total           | 503        | 44       | 660      |
Table 5: Meta-analysis of the H63D variation in the five published studies regarding Alzheimer’s disease

| Author | wt/wt | wt/mut | mut/mut | wt/wt | wt/mut | mut/mut | p   |
|--------|-------|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|-----|
| Moalem, S. [22] | 20 (76.9%) | 6 (23.1%) | 0 | 67 (72%) | 23 (24.8%) | 3 (3.2%) | 0.96 |
| Candore, G. [21] | 94 (76.4%) | 24 (19.5%) | 5 (4.1%) | 122 (80.3%) | 26 (17.1%) | 4 (2.6%) |  |
| Berlin, D. [12] | 67 (67%) | 29 (29%) | 4 (4%) | 66 (66%) | 30 (30%) | 4 (4%) |  |
| Sampietro, M. [24] | 85 (79.4%) | 20 (18.7%) | 2 (1.9%) | 74 (74.7%) | 24 (24.3%) | 1 (1%) |  |
| Robson, KJ. [25] | 138 (72.2%) | 50 (26.2%) | 3 (1.6%) | 194 (72.1%) | 67 (24.9%) | 8 (3%) |  |
| Total | 404 | 129 | 14 | 523 | 170 | 20 |  |

Table 6: Meta-analysis of the C282Y variation in the three published studies regarding Parkinson’s disease

| Author | wt/wt | wt/mut | mut/mut | wt/wt | wt/mut | mut/mut | p   |
|--------|-------|--------|---------|-------|--------|---------|-----|
| Dekker, M. [10] | 125 (91.2%) | 10 (7.3%) | 2 (1.5%) | 2616 (89.7%) | 290 (10%) | 8 (0.3%) | 0.55 |
| Dekker, M. [10] | 54 (90%) | 6 (10%) | 0 | 2616 (89.7%) | 290 (10%) | 8 (0.3%) |  |
| Buchanan, D. [11] | 391 (89.3%) | 46 (10.5%) | 1 (0.2%) | 405 (83.5%) | 76 (15.7%) | 4 (0.8%) |  |
| Borie, C. [20] | 66 (93%) | 5 (7%) | 0 | 53 (91.4%) | 5 (8.6%) | 0 |  |
| Total | 636 | 67 | 3 | 3074 | 371 | 20 |  |
Table 7: Meta-analysis of the H63D variation in the two published studies regarding Parkinson’s disease

| PD       | H63D                |
|----------|---------------------|
|          | Patients            | Controls            |
| Author   | wt/wt | wt/mut | mut/mut | wt/wt | wt/mut | mut/mut | p     |
| Dekker, M. [10] | 104 (76%) | 31 (22.6%) | 2 (1.4%) | 2185 (75%) | 661 (22.7%) | 68 (2.3%) |      |
| Dekker, M. [10] | 44 (73.3%) | 16 (26.7%) | 0 | 2185 (75%) | 661 (22.7%) | 68 (2.3%) |      |
| Borie, C. [20] | 42 (63.6%) | 23 (34.8%) | 1 (1.5%) | 39 (66.1%) | 20 (33.9%) | 0 |      |
| Total    | 190  | 70     | 3       | 2224  | 681    | 68      | 0.21  |
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