Why medical students do not like to join rural health service? An exploratory study in India

Srinivas Nallala, Subhashisa Swain, Sanju Das¹, Shravan K. Kasam², Sanghamitra Pati

Public Health Foundation of India, Indian Institute of Public Health, Bhubaneswar, ¹Public Health Division, Indian Institute of Public Health- Bhubaneswar, Odisha, ²HIV Division, Public Health Foundation of India, New Delhi, India

Address for correspondence: Dr. Sanghamitra Pati, Public Health Foundation of India, Indian Institute of Public Health, 2nd and 3rd Floor, JSS Software Technology Park, E1/1, Infocity Road, Patia, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. E-mail: sanghamitra.pati@iiphb.org

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Inadequate, inequitable distribution of the medical workforce remains a challenge across the globe, and India is no exception. Odisha, a state in India faces a major shortage of doctors particularly in rural and remote areas. In order to address this challenge, it is essential to understand medical students’ career plans, specialization preferences, choices of job location and sector, and views on working in rural and remote areas. This study explored the immediate and long-term career plans of final year medical students, their intended practice locations and underlying reasons for the choices. Methodology: A cross-sectional survey was conducted in all the medical colleges (three government and three private) in the state of Odisha. Through the systematic sampling method, data were gathered from 390 final year students. A semi-structured questionnaire was administered to the students and data were analyzed using SPSS version 20. Results: Of the 390 students, 290 (74.35%) were from a government college. The most preferred immediate career goal was postgraduation studies (45.9% of students in government medical schools and 54% in private). About 17% of government students and 9% of private students showed willingness to work in rural areas, in the long run. Nearly 44.5% mentioned opportunities for career growth, followed by the possibilities for higher education (26.8%) as major the factors for preferring an urban posting. Similarly, higher pay scales, better working conditions were major factors for preferring the private sector. Most of the students maintained that good housing, better salaries, and adequate facilities at the workplace would attract more students toward rural service. Conclusion: Since public funded medical students are not motivated to serve in rural settings, increasing the number of places or establishing new medical institutions may not be an effective solution to the issue. Approaches such as extended clinical apprenticeship in rural health facilities, long-term community engagement during medical studentship could be considered.
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INTRODUCTION

Many countries in the developing world are plagued with challenges of inadequate and inequitable distribution of the health workforce.[1] The result of this lack of qualified medical professionals in rural areas is that the majority of rural households receive medical care from private providers, many of whom may not be properly qualified.[2] India is no exception to this chronic shortage of medical doctors. According to recent estimates, the country has a doctor population ratio of 1:1800 compared to the World Health Organization prescribed norm of 1:1000.[3] Of all Indian states, Odisha faces the worst shortage of doctors with around 30% of doctors’ posts at various levels were vacant across the state.[4] The number of medical doctors per 10,000 populations in the state is 3 times lower than that of other states such as Goa and Kerala. Since independence, only three government medical colleges have been set up in the state with a population of around 40 million resulting in a shortage of doctors.[5] Of late, the government of Odisha has initiated moves to address this issue by establishing new medical colleges (both private and public) and increase the student intake in the existing colleges. However, the production of a large number of doctors may not be the answer to the issue since the
majority of doctors are not interested in working in the
government health system, much less in rural and remote
locations.\[8\]

Studies have demonstrated that career preferences made
while in the medical school could be a strong predictor of
students’ subsequent career choices. Students usually begin
to consider career paths soon after their entry into medical
colleges, and these ideas get crystallized by the time they
are in their final years. It has been observed that many
students end up in careers that are closely related to the
choices they made in the final years of medical school.\[7\]
Nevertheless, making a career choice is a complex personal
decision determined by a variety of extrinsic and intrinsic
factors including medical school characteristics (proportion
of faculty who are family physicians), personal interactions
and lifestyle preferences, personal fit and workforce factors,
expected income, prestige, job opportunities, longitudinal
care and societal needs.\[8\] Understanding the final year
medical students’ career intentions and identifying the
factors influencing these decisions is critical. Therefore,
career advice should be available during this period.\[9,10\]

Information on career preferences and intended practice
locations of the medical students provide useful insights
into the planning of the health care workforce, particularly
the future distribution of physicians across regions. Studies
conducted in Asian countries have shown that many medical
students prefer hospital-based clinical specialties, want to
practice in urban locations and work in the private sector.\[11,12\]
This results in lack of doctors in the rural areas and public
facilities.\[11,13\] In view of the continuing challenges of physician
shortage in India, an understanding of our medical students’
prospective career plans, their specialization preferences,
choices for job location and sector, and views on working in
rural and remote areas is essential for appropriate planning
of human resources. Limited studies have focused on medical
students’ career aspirations and their determinants in India.
The present study, explored the immediate and long-term
career intentions of final year medical students, assessed
their intended practice locations and identified the underlying
reasons in order to fill these information gaps.

**METHODOLOGY**

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Odisha
from April to July 2012. There are three government and
three private medical colleges in Odisha, all of which
were included. According to Medical Council of India
guidelines, all government and private medical colleges
offer a 4½ years Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of
Surgery (MBBS) course with a 1-year compulsory rotating
internship. At the time of study, the total number of
final year students was 600, 390 of whom, were selected
through a random sampling method. With an expected
prevalence of 50% students willing to join rural service
soon after the completion of their course, for 80% power
and 5% margin of error, the sample size was estimated
to be 384. After considering 10% nonresponse rate, the
total number of students required for the study was 426.
First, from each medical college, the list of final year
students was obtained and with their roll number entered
into Statistical Package for Social Science (IBM SPSS
Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. Armonk, NY: IBM
Corp). Of the 600 students, a list of 426 students was
arrived at through simple random sampling method using
random number generator in SPSS for each college. All the
identified students were contacted, and 390 of them gave
their consent to participate (response rate was 91.5%). Of
36 students who did not respond, 21 were absent on the
day of the survey, and 15 refused to participate in the study.

A semi-structured questionnaire was developed in
consultation with experts and was pretested. A pilot survey
was carried out among 20 nonsampled students in the study
setting to test for consistent reliability (Cronbach $\alpha = 0.70$)
for final sample size 390.

The questionnaire consisted of closed and open-ended
items and elicited information on the following:
a. Sociodemographic information of the respondents
b. Specialization of choice and career plans after MBBS
c. Their job preferences (Rural/Urban and Government/
Private) and the reasons for their choice
d. Various factors are important to the respondent for
joining or not joining rural service
e. Medical students’ perceptions of working in rural areas
f. Job attributes that would be important for the
respondent to consider working in rural areas

**Data analysis**

The quantitative information were entered into the Microsoft
Excel and imported to Statistical Package for Social
Sciences (SPSS version 20). Individual component-wise
analyzes were done using tables with percentages and
proportions. Analysis of the sociodemographic characteristics
was done. Chi-square test together with a univariate analysis
was done to estimate unadjusted odds ratio (OR) and 95%
confidence interval (CI). A multivariate analysis was done
for significant variables to identify the associated factors
and reported with adjusted OR and 95% CI. The qualitative
responses were listed verbatim, and by repeatedly going
through them, the summary of the responses were identified
and presented. Only key findings from the qualitative
research have been described in this article.

**Ethics statement**

Permission was obtained from the college authorities

concerned. An explanation was given to the students about the purpose and process of the study. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before the questionnaire was administered. The identities of the respondents were kept anonymous by coding.

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee, Indian Institute of Public Health, Bhubaneswar, Public Health Foundation India.

RESULTS

Of the total of 390 students who participated in the study, 290 (74.35%) were from government colleges. The mean age of the students was 25 years (standard deviation: 2.3 years). The male: female ratio was 2:6. Government colleges were found to have more male students (81.0%) than females (19.0%), whereas in the private colleges male (48.0%) and female students (52.0%) were almost equal. Totally, 90% of students had an annual family income of >300,000 INR (~5172 USD). More than half of the students in government colleges had completed their high school education in the regional language medium, and 65% of the private college students were from English medium schools. In both types of colleges, the majority of students belonged to urban/semi-urban area. Of 390, 304 (78.0%) students chose the medical profession on their own. Around 68%, (263) students were fully financed by their parents [Table 1].

All students were asked to describe their short-term as well long-term career goals. Out of 290 government students, 133 (45.9%) wanted to do postgraduation studies (PG) soon after completing MBBS. Nearly 30% students indicated their willingness to work in rural areas after graduation while only 17% (51 of 290) showed an interest to work there in the long-term [Figure 1]. More students from private colleges preferred to go directly for PG (54%) than get a job (46.0%). Only 5 (9.2%) out of 54 students were ready to work in a rural area after completion of their PG. Of the 46 students who wanted to get a job immediately after graduation, only 3 (6.52%) wanted to work in a rural area, and 5% of the students expressed their interest to work in rural areas in the long-term [Figure 2].

An univariate analysis showed that being male (OR: 2.0, 95% CI: 1.28–3.15), students with family income >5 lakhs (~8621 USD) per annum (OR: 3.75, 95% CI: 1.64–8.6), having gone to school in an urban area (OR: 4.24, 95% CI: 1.98–9.07) were more likely to choose rural areas for their career. Students from government colleges were also more likely to choose rural areas for their career (OR: 1.50, 95% CI: 1.05–2.14).

Table 1: Sociodemographic distribution of students

| Variables                        | Categories          | Government (n=290) | Private (n=100) | Total (n=390) | P value* |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| Mean age (SD)                    |                     | 25.50 (2.15)       | 23.06 (1.94)   | 24.87 (2.35) | <0.0001 |
| Sex                              | Male                | 235 (81.0)         | 48 (48.0)      | 283 (72.6)   |         |
|                                 | Female              | 55 (19.0)          | 52 (52.0)      | 107 (27.4)   |         |
| Marital status                   | Unmarried           | 247 (85.2)         | 98 (98.0)      | 345 (88.5)   | 0.001   |
|                                 | Married             | 43 (14.8)          | 2 (2.0)        | 45 (11.5)    |         |
| Annual household income          | 1-3 lakhs           | 39 (13.4)          | 0 (0.0)        | 39 (10.0)    | <0.0001 |
|                                 | 3-5 lakhs           | 129 (44.5)         | 35 (35.0)      | 164 (42.1)   |         |
|                                 | >5 lakhs            | 122 (42.1)         | 65 (65.0)      | 187 (47.9)   |         |
| Medium of education in school    | English             | 102 (35.2)         | 65 (65.0)      | 167 (42.8)   | <0.0001 |
|                                 | Local               | 188 (64.8)         | 35 (35.0)      | 223 (57.2)   |         |
| SSC/10th completion place        | Rural               | 109 (37.6)         | 11 (11.0)      | 120 (30.8)   | <0.0001 |
|                                 | Urban               | 101 (34.8)         | 76 (76.0)      | 177 (45.4)   |         |
|                                 | Semi-urban          | 80 (27.6)          | 13 (13.0)      | 93 (23.8)    |         |
| Selection of profession          | Own                 | 220 (75.9)         | 84 (84.0)      | 304 (77.9)   | 0.091   |
|                                 | Family              | 70 (24.1)          | 16 (16.0)      | 86 (22.1)    |         |
| Finance for the course           | Parents             | 209 (72.1)         | 54 (54.0)      | 263 (67.4)   | <0.0001 |
|                                 | Other family member | 63 (21.7)          | 20 (20.0)      | 83 (21.3)    |         |
|                                 | Relatives           | 18 (6.2)           | 26 (26.0)      | 44 (11.3)    |         |
| Long-term career plan            | Work with government| 186 (64.1)         | 48 (48.0)      | 234 (60.0)   | 0.005   |
|                                 | Work with private   | 41 (14.1)          | 15 (15.0)      | 56 (14.4)    |         |
|                                 | Own clinic           | 57 (19.7)          | 28 (28.0)      | 85 (21.8)    |         |
|                                 | Others              | 6 (2.1)            | 9 (9.0)        | 15 (3.8)     |         |
| Short-term plan                  | Government job      | 113 (38.96)        | 24 (24.0)      | 137 (35.2)   | <0.0001 |
|                                 | Private job         | 44 (15.17)         | 22 (22.0)      | 66 (16.9)    |         |
|                                 | PG                  | 133 (45.9)         | 54 (54.0)      | 187 (47.9)   |         |

SSC: Secondary school certificate; SD: Standard deviation; PG: Post graduation; *Chi-square test
DISCUSSION

Insufficient number of doctors in public health system is a matter of concern for Odisha. To address the scarcity of physicians in rural areas, the state government has introduced a range of initiatives including compulsory service in the rural areas for medical graduates. To support this, the following educational incentives will be provided: Reserved places for PG training for those who work in the rural areas, establishment of private medical colleges and the conversion of some district hospitals into medical colleges, and an increase in the number of places in the existing institutions. For these interventions to be effective, it is important that the future physicians have the inclination and intention to serve in public and rural health facilities. We, therefore, sought to understand the career choices of would-be-graduates of medical schools and their interest in working in public health system particularly in the rural areas. Altogether, 390 students from both public and private funded medical colleges participated in the study.

We found the proportion of male-female students to be almost equal in private medical colleges. Similar

Table 2: Univariate and multivariate analysis for factors responsible rural choice

| Variables                  | Category     | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | Adjusted OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Sex                        | Female       | Reference              | Reference            |
|                            | Male         | 0.76 (0.41-1.40)       | 0.67 (0.33-1.33)     |
| SSC/10th completion place   | Urban        | Reference              | Reference            |
|                            | Rural        | 4.15 (2.41-7.14)*      | 3.35 (1.86-6.01)*    |
| Short-term plan             | PG           | Reference              | Reference            |
|                            | Job          | 3.15 (1.76-5.62)*      | 2.72 (1.8-5.0)*      |
| Types of college            | Private      | Reference              | Reference            |
|                            | Public       | 3.06 (1.41-6.65)*      | 2.00 (0.87-4.64)     |
| Final choice of place of work | Public     | 2.56 (1.40-4.67)*      | 1.84 (0.96-3.52)     |

*P<0.05, SSC: Secondary school certificate; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval

Table 3: Reasons for interest in rural and urban postings

| Rural area posting          | Response (n=56) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Belong to rural area        | (42.0)          |
| Respect in community       | (29.0)          |
| Low cost of living          | (29.0)          |

| Urban area posting          | Response (n=321) |
|----------------------------|-----------------|
| Career growth               | (44.5)          |
| Scope for education         | (26.8)          |
| Better lifestyle            | (24.0)          |
| Earning is more             | (4.7)           |

Table 4: Suggestions to attract rural postings

| Factors                             | Priorities for rural posting |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Free housing                        | 122 (31.3)                  |
| Increase in salary                  | 92 (23.6)                   |
| Improve infrastructure              | 53 (13.6)                   |
| Career growth                       | 35 (9.0)                    |
| Improve transport                   | 29 (7.4)                    |
| PG place                            | 27 (6.9)                    |
| Educational allowance               | 20 (5.1)                    |

Table 5: Reasons to work in private and public sector

| Reasons                        | N (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Reasons to work in private sector (n=156) |       |
| High salary                    | 35 (22.4) |
| Good working condition         | 75 (48.1) |
| Urban location                 | 23 (14.7) |
| Career opportunity             | 20 (12.8) |
| Others                         | 3 (1.9)  |

| Reasons to work in public sector (n=234) |       |
| Respect in the community           | 83 (35.5) |
| Job security                       | 59 (25.2) |
| Career growth                      | 41 (17.5) |
| Good salary                        | 37 (15.8) |
| Scope for private practice         | 6 (2.6)   |
| Less workload                      | 3 (1.3)   |
| Pension                            | 1 (0.4)   |
| Others                             | 4 (1.7)   |
Figure 1: Career choices among student studying in government funded colleges

Observations were made in another state in India. However, the surprise was the skewed male-female ratio observed in public medical colleges. One possible reason could be the declining interest of female students to go through the existing very competitive process of entering a public medical school. Compared to private colleges, more students in the public medical schools had a rural background and had a low annual family income. Since the education/tuition fee in public schools is highly subsidized, it gave students with low family incomes the opportunity to enroll. As everything is self-financed in the private schools, only students who can afford to pay the fees are able to enroll. The use of the vernacular as a medium of education was found to be predominant in public schools. This is expected since the majority of Odisha’s population is rural, the schools in these areas predominantly use the local language as the medium of instruction. Students in private medical schools, however, from affluent and urban backgrounds, had their formative education mainly in English. The most preferred immediate aim was to get into PG (specialization). The majority of students wanted to go for specialization rather than work as a general practice (GP). This has critical implications for primary care, the core of any public health care delivery system. It is argued that the result of the urban-based, specialized care and hospital-centered model of medical education is that physicians with any form of specialization were more likely to want to work in the private sector, and in urban areas. A study conducted in India revealed that undergraduate medical students preferred to do PG course because they felt that they could not manage patients with the inadequate knowledge they acquired as undergraduates. They, therefore, would rather take PG courses than join the medical service immediately after graduation.

In India, primary health care is delivered through Primary Health Centers (PHCs) and Community Health Centers (CHCs), which are aligned to GP. General practitioners with only MBBS degree would serve the purpose here. At present one-third of the state’s PHCs and CHCs are managed without doctors. Thus, since more students would rather be specialists, filling the existing vacancies in the near future might be difficult. Similar findings have been reported by other studies. Nevertheless, increased interest among medical students for specialization reflects the
Students studying at private funded colleges ($n = 100$)
- Short term career goal ($n = 100$)
  - Preference for PG ($n = 54$) (54.0%)
    - Public sector ($n = 24$) 44.4%
    - Private sector ($n = 30$) 55.6%
- Preference for job ($n = 46$) 46.0%
  - Public sector ($n = 24$) 52.2%
  - Private sector ($n = 22$) 47.8%
- Long term career goal ($n = 100$)
  - Job ($n = 63$)
  - Own clinic/hospital ($n = 37$)
  - Public facilities ($n = 48$)
    - Rural area ($n = 0$)
    - Urban area ($n = 15$) 100%
    - Rural area ($n = 5$) 10.4%
    - Urban area ($n = 43$) 89.6%

**Figure 2**: Career choice among students studying in private funded colleges

In Odisha, 85% of the total population is in rural areas and 15% in urban areas. It is essential that, more than two-thirds of the total number of government doctors be placed in rural health settings. In our study, only 17% of the medical students wanted to work in rural areas, although most of the public health care facilities are rural-based. Since most of these students have spent their formative phase in an urban environment, it might be difficult for them to change their attitude toward work in the rural area. A few may consider this as a temporary move in order to avail themselves of the opportunity for preferential admission to a PG program. Contrary to the common assumption that students from the rural background would want to work in rural areas, our study observed that irrespective of the place of birth and upbringing, an urban placement held a priority for most. Even students with rural upbringing or those whose homes were in the rural areas did not contemplate work in the same environment. This requires further investigation. An in-depth exploration especially qualitative probing may be helpful. Medical educationists have advocated rural medical schools as a strategy to address the growing unwillingness of students for rural medical careers. Accordingly, countries like Australia have started rural clinical schools. These schools are expected to produce physicians who are sensitive to the health care needs of the rural community and interested in working in those settings. Unless similar efforts are made in India to divert a considerable proportion of future physicians to the rural areas, they would simply be stationed in urban settings, which will still militate against meeting the current deficit of rural physicians.
The major urge to work in rural areas was gaining prestige in the community, rural background, low cost of living and poor socioeconomic status while incentives suggested for joining the rural service were free housing, higher salaries, improved infrastructure, opportunities for career growth, having an advantage for admission to PG study. Studies conducted in India as well as other low and medium income countries have reported similar findings. What is worrying is that students graduated from medical colleges funded from public resources are inclined not to work in the public sector. One of the reasons could be that since more than two-thirds of public health facilities in the state are located in the rural areas, most students perceive public health service as synonymous to rural service. Even those who indicated a preference for the public service would do so only if the health facility were located in an urban area. This perception of work in the public health sector cannot be changed in the minds of future physicians without a concerted effort on the part of the authorities.

CONCLUSION

The current government strategies to address the shortfall of health workers in rural settings may be ineffective without the infusion of some incentives, and unless these jobs are made lucrative for the physicians. Since publicly funded medical students are not sufficiently motivated to serve in public or rural settings, simply increasing the number of places or establishing new medical institutions would not be successful as a strategy. Innovative approaches such as extended clinical apprenticeship in rural health facilities and long-term community engagement while in the medical school could be considered. Existing medical colleges should promote primary care or GP as a discipline. Establishing medical colleges in rural or remote areas might be another option. With the present state of affairs, recruitment and retention of physicians in rural areas appear to remain a perpetual challenge for the government.
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