Taxonomy, phylogeny and biogeography of the late Famennian conodont genus *Mashkovia*
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**ABSTRACT** — *Mashkovia* is one of the provincial conodonts which developed during late Famennian time in the cratonic regions of Russia. In this study, the taxonomy of this genus is revised, based on diagnostic characters of the Pa elements, such as the morphology of the anterior part of the platform, the ornamentation and the shape of the secondary keels. As a consequence, four species, including *M. silesiensis* n. sp. now discovered in Upper Silesia of southern Poland, are distinguished. The apparent absence of *Mashkovia* from North America, Variscan Europe, Australia and Africa cannot be simply explained by using temperature or other global climatic factors as a reason for the provincialism. Currents and/or local palaeoecologic factors were probably more important in controlling the distribution of these conodonts. *J. Micropalaeontol.* 17(2): 119-124, December 1998.

**INTRODUCTION**
This paper summarizes the present knowledge of the late Famennian conodont genus *Mashkovia*, which is a real rarity among the Devonian conodont elements. Up to now, only about 80 specimens of Pa elements of *Mashkovia* have been found throughout the world. The multi-element composition of its apparatus is unknown. As at present conceived, *Mashkovia* contains four species, three of which, *M. simakovi* (Gagiev, 1979), *M. similis* (Gagiev, 1979), and *M. tamarci* Kononova & Pazuhin, 1983, are known exclusively from Russia. The fourth one, *M. silesiensis* n. sp., has been discovered in Upper Silesia of southern Poland (Fig. 1) and it is described herein.

| Species                      | 231 | 238 | 250 |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Bispathodus aculeatus plumulus | 1   |     |     |
| Bispathodus costatus         | 4   | 33  |     |
| Bispathodus stabilis         | 76  | 73  | 13  |
| Bispathodus ulimenius        |     | 2   |     |
| 'Icriodus' raymondi          |     |     |     |
| Palmatolepis gracilis gracilis | 13  | 7   | 26  |
| Palmatolepis gracilis expansa |     |     | 1   |
| Palmatolepis gracilis sigmoidalis | 14  | 3   | 24  |
| Palmatolepis perlobata postera |     | 2   |     |
| Palmatolepis perlobata schindewolff | 3   | 5   |     |
| Palmatolepis rugosa ampla   | 10  | 1   |     |
| Palmatolepis rugosa rugosa  | 19  |     |     |
| *Mashkovia silesiensis* n. sp. | 1   | 1   | 5   |
| Polygnathus communis communis | 4   | 2   | 15  |
| Polygnathus delicatus       |     |     | 12  |
| Polygnathus experplexus     | 4   | 2   | 15  |
| Polygnathus homoirregularis |     | 2   |     |
| Polygnathus margaritatus    |     |     | 21  |
| Polygnathus perplexus       |     | 2   |     |
| Polygnathus semicostatus    |     | 1   |     |
| Polygnathus subirregularis  |     | 4   |     |
| Polygnathus zepolepis       | 10  | 11  | 64  |
| Pseudopolygnathus brevipennis | 4   | 2   | 2   |
| Pseudopolygnathus controversus |     | 1   |     |
| Mehlina strigosa            |     |     | 52  |
| Total                       | 159 | 145 | 251 |

Table 1. Platform conodont elements recovered from the Upper Silesian borehole WB-138 at the depth of 231, 238 and 250 m. The core samples were c. 1 kg in weight.

In Upper Silesia, the Famennian rocks are generally covered by thick Carboniferous and younger formations. A pioneering study of the Famennian conodont biostratigraphy and faeces distribution was made by Narkiewicz (1978) in the subsurface, although there are some small outcrops of the Famennian carbonates in the vicinity of Debnik, c. 15 km west of Cracow. This was because numerous boreholes were available from the northeastern margin of the Upper Silesian Massif where the Palaeozoic and Triassic were drilled extensively in search for lead- and zinc-bearing deposits. The Devonian faunas of the Upper Silesian Massif, including conodonts, are currently of interest as they are expected to provide important evidence of the provenance and displacement history of this crustal block (Belka, 1995).

The specimens of *Mashkovia silesiensis* n. sp. were found in the Famennian basinal deposits pierced by the borehole WB-138, which was situated at the northeastern margin of the Upper Silesian Massif, very close to the contact with the Malopolska Massif (Fig. 1). Conodont collections were made from carbonate mudstones containing large amounts of radiolarians and sponge spicules. These suspension deposits, dated as the Lower to Middle *expansa* Zone, are often intercalated with carbonate turbidites developed as bioclastic packstones to
Fig. 2. Stratigraphic ranges of species of *Mashkovia* and the suggested evolutionary development.

The origin of *Mashkovia* is uncertain. This is because data from Russian localities supporting suggested ranges of the species are not strong. It is possible, for instance, that the range of *Mashkovia similis* may precede that of *M. simakovi* (Fig. 2). Aristov found one broken specimen of *Mashkovia* (described as *Mashkovia* sp. nov. A) near Voronezh in Russia in the Turgenevo unit correlated with the *postera* Zones. The illustrated upper view of this form (in Aristov et al., 1983, pl. 2, fig. 5) shows some features characteristic for *M. similis* but because of poor preservation a final identification is equivocal.

The morphological features of *M. similis* suggests that this species evolved directly from *Polygnathus bucerus* and gave rise to *M. silesiensis* n. sp. by development of nodose ornamentation and prominent, asymmetrical fixed blades at the anterior platform margins. Later, within the Middle *expansa* Zone, this phyletic lineage produced much more advanced forms of the genus *Tanaisognathus*. *M. simakovi* appears to represent starting-points of another phyletic lineage leading to development of symmetrical, nodose platform, as in the case of *M. tamarae*. The origin of *M. simakovi* is poorly understood. As long as the earliest species of *Mashkovia* is uncertain, *P. bucerus* is considered as a possible ancestor (Fig. 2), but the morphological differences separating this species from *M. simakovi* are distinctive.

**BIOGEOGRAPHY**
The Famennian conodont genus *Mashkovia* is known only from a few places in the world. The records are concentrated in Russia on both sides of the Ural fold system. Representatives of grainstones (Slupeczanska, pers. comm.). The rich conodont fauna is dominated by *Bispathodus* and *Palmatolepis*, and associated with numerous representatives of *Polygnathus* (Table 1). The specimens of *M. silesiensis* n. sp. were extracted from the carbonate mudstone core samples taken from depths of 231, 238 and 250 m.

**PHYLOGENY**
Although the multi-element composition of the *Mashkovia* apparatus is unknown, the character of the Pa elements suggests a close relation to Polygnathidae. However, it is likely that *Mashkovia* represents a stock, like *Ancyrodella* and *Ancyrodelloides*, which evolved directly from the Spathognathodontidae and produced Pa elements of similar morphology (cf. Sweet, 1988).

The suggested evolutionary development of *Mashkovia* and the characteristic morphologies of its species are illustrated in Fig. 2. The degree of development of the anterior platform margin and differences in morphology of the posterior platform area allow four species to be recognized. Additional morphological features useful in differentiating species of *Mashkovia* are the secondary keels present on the lower surface. Because of the sparsity of records of these conodonts in the world, it is not yet possible to observe the character of the interspecific evolutionary changes. The present concept of the genus, therefore, should be considered as preliminary. In contrast to the previous treatment (Aristov et al., 1983), the species *Mashkovia bucerus* Aristov is transferred herein to the genus *Polygnathus* because of the character of the lower surface.
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*Mashkovia* occur moreover in the Verkhoyansk region of northeastern Asia and has now been discovered in Upper Silesia of southern Poland. Plotting the *Mashkovia* occurrences on a palaeogeographical map for Famennian time (Fig. 3) shows that these conodonts were primarily present on both sides of the narrow oceanic realm that persisted between the North American (Laurentia) and East European (Baltica) plates, on the one side, and the Kazakhstan, Siberia and Kolyma, on the other. The single occurrence in the southern hemisphere is that from the Upper Silesian Massif located close to the southern margin of Baltica.

Although the seven currently known records of *Mashkovia* do not certainly reveal its entire geographic distribution, some important preliminary conclusions can be made. The observed distribution pattern of *Mashkovia* does not reflect the amount of work done in various parts of the world. It is also not a result of insufficient sampling or small sample size. Very rich conodont faunas of the *expansa* Zone are known and widely distributed in North America, Variscan Europe, Australia and on the African continent (e.g. Ziegler, 1962; Glenister & Klapper, 1966; Sandberg & Ziegler, 1979; Perri & Spalletta, 1991; Savoy & Harris, 1993). These faunas contain neither *Mashkovia* nor other late Famennian elements, such as *Tunaisognathus* and *Omolognathus*, that are commonly associated with it. It seems, therefore, that the apparent absence of *Mashkovia* from North America, Variscan Europe, Australia, and Africa is a real restriction in geographic distribution of these conodonts. This pattern, however, cannot be simply explained by using temperature or other global climatic factors as a reason for the provincialism. Currents and/or local palaeoecologic factors were probably more important in controlling the distribution of *Mashkovia*.

To some extent the occurrence of *Mashkovia* in Upper Silesia may look surprising but on the other hand, it is possible that this fact provides important constraints on plate tectonic evolution of the foreland of the eastern Variscides. Current theory holds that this region is built up by a mosaic of crustal blocks characterized by varied crustal thicknesses and by a different tectono-thermal and sedimentary development. The segments now amalgamated between the Variscan deformation front and the East European Platform are usually interpreted as Gondwana-derived terranes. In southern Poland, these are the Upper Silesian Massif, the Malopolska Massif and the Lysogory unit (Fig. 4). In the areas situated eastwards of Upper Silesia, including the marginal part of the East European Platform (i.e. Baltica), *Mashkovia* has not been found in the high-diversity Famennian conodont faunas (e.g. Matyja, 1993; Szulczeewski et al., 1996). The fauna of the Upper Silesian Massif (Fig. 4), however, contains in addition to *Mashkovia* other 'exotic' conodonts. In a section exposed near Debnik, c. 20 km to the south of the borehole WB-138 (Fig. 1), Balinski (1995) recently found conodont elements of the species *Omolognathus transformis* Gagiev known hitherto only from Kolyma (Gagiev, 1979).

The fact that the 'exotic' conodont elements are present only on the outermost terrane with respect to Baltica can be
explained in two ways. The first explanation is that the 
*Mushkovia* and *Omolonognathus* might have preferred seas covered plate margins as their habitat and they migrated to the Upper Silesian Massif along the southern margin of Baltica. This possibility is well constrained by the plate tectonic locations of the known records of *Mushkovia* (Fig. 3). The second explanation is that the Upper Silesian Massif was positioned much further eastwards during Famennian time and then displaced along the edge of Baltica to reach its present-day position. Such a scenario is favoured by Lewandowski (1995) based on palaeomagnetic data. The accretionary history of the Upper Silesian Massif, however, is still a matter of controversy because the palaeomagnetic data from the Palaeozoic of southern Poland do not rule out a more ‘stationary’ model with the Upper Silesian block situated in its present-day position already during Devonian times (Nawrocki, 1995).

**SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY**

Figured specimens are deposited at the University of Tübingen (GPIT).

Genus *Mushkovia* Aristov, Gagiev & Kononova, 1983

**Type species.** *Pseudopolygnathus similis* Gagiev, 1979

**Diagnosis.** *Mushkovia* is characterized by a carminiplanate Pa element with distinctly developed, asymmetrical and denticulated anterior margins; free blade is short and high; carina typically composed of small, discrete denticles or widely spaced nodes; platform bordered by strong, marginal nodes or covered with longitudinal rows of nodes; lower surface typically bears two anteriorly directed secondary keels; a basal cavity or pit is positioned where the main keel and the secondary keels meet.

**Remarks.** Except for Pa elements, no other elements of *Mushkovia* apparatus are known. The apparatus most likely included one set of paired asymmetric Pa elements, since left and right elements of these pairs may be distinguished. The Pa elements of *Mushkovia* display a platform morphology similar to many representatives of *Polygnathus*. On the other hand, the development of secondary keels and their shape strongly resembles Pa elements of the Frasnian genus *Ancyrodella*.

*Mushkovia silesiensis* n. sp.

(Plate 1, figs 1–8)

**Derivation of name.** From Silesia in southern Poland, where the species was found.

**Diagnosis.** Carminiplanate Pa element with distinct anterior margins developed as asymmetrical fixed blades; the right fixed blade typically with a prominent and very high posteriormost denticle; upper surface covered with nodes.

**Holotype.** The specimen illustrated in Plate 1, figs 1–2, GPIT 1792/1.

**Material.** Seven Pa elements.

**Type locality.** WB-138 borehole, core sample at 250 m, Upper Silesia, southern Poland.

**Description.** Platform triangular in outline, thick, slightly curved and arched in lateral view; anterior margins developed as parapets; right marginal parapet higher and composed of two to three fused denticles, the posterior-most of which is characteristically high; left marginal parapet composed of four to five fused denticles; free blade shorter than the platform, terminated gradually at distal end; anterior carina interrupted and positioned offset with respect to free blade; carina represented by widely spaced nodes; platform covered with nodes commonly arranged in longitudinal rows; adcarinal troughs very shallow.

Secondary keels wide and flattened, and slightly raised; secondary keel on the inner side tends to bifurcate; small basal cavity is shallow and square-shaped.

**Remarks.** Large, prominent denticle of the right marginal parapet of *M. silesiensis* sets this species apart from other species of the genus. The only other polygnathid conodont element with comparable developed, asymmetric parapets (or anterior margins) is the extremely rare species *Tanaisognathus uralicus* Kononova & Pazuhin (in Aristov et al. 1983, p. 83, pl. 2, fig. 6). The latter, however, has a platform ornamented by strongly-marked transverse ridges.

**Occurrence.** *Mushkovia silesiensis* n. sp. is only known from the Middle *expansa* Zone of the eastern Upper Silesia (WB-138 borehole).

*Mushkovia simukovi* (Gagiev, 1979)

1979 *Pseudopolygnathus simukovi* Gagiev: 63–65, pl. 5, figs 1–9, pl. 7, figs 5–10.

1983 *Mushkovia simukovi* (Gagiev); Aristov, Gagiev & Kononova: 79–80, pl. 1, fig. 4.

1984 *Mushkovia similis* (Gagiev); Chermnykh, Kononova, Pershina & Cheusova: pl. 3, fig. 16 (only).

**Diagnosis.** Platform margins of the Pa element set with coarse nodes or short transverse ridges; anterior margins more or less symmetrical; adcarinal troughs well developed.

**Description.** Platform more or less symmetrical, triangular in outline, and arched in lateral view; anterior platform margins symmetrical, composed of two to three denticles fused into a raised ridge; free blade shorter than the platform, declining gradually into anterior carina; blade and carina form continuous, almost straight course; anterior carina consisting of small, obsolescent nodes; adcarinal troughs present throughout most of platform, bordered by strong marginal nodes or short transverse ridges.

Fig. 4. Simplified structural map of central Europe. Conodonts reported here occur within the Upper Silesian Massif.
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Explanation of Plate 1

Photomicrographs of late Famennian conodont elements of *Mashkovia silesiensis* n. sp. from the borehole WB-138, Upper Silesia, Poland. The numbers within brackets indicate the sample depth in metres. All figures are of Pa elements. Figs 1–2, upper and lower views of holotype, SEM ×75. GPIT 1792/1, (250); fig. 3, upper view of paratype, SEM ×75. GPIT 1792/2, (250); fig. 4, oblique lateral view of paratype, SEM ×75. GPIT 1792/3, (231); fig. 5, upper view, element with broken blade, SEM ×90. GPIT 1792/4, (250); fig. 6, element with broken blade in upper view, SEM ×100. GPIT 1792/5, (250); fig. 7, element with broken blade in lower view, SEM ×120. GPIT 1792/6, (250); fig. 8, specimen in oblique lateral view, SEM ×90. GPIT 1792/7, (238).

Pit of moderate size, diamond-shaped; two anteriorly directed, characteristically arched secondary keels meet pit at centre.

Remarks. There is a similarity between *Mashkovia simakovi* and *M. tamarae* in the overall form of the platform and in the character of the anterior platform margins. The latter species, however, differs from *M. simakovi* in having the platform ornamented with longitudinal rows of nodes. Furthermore, adcarinal troughs are not developed in the platform of *M. tamarae*.

Occurrence. *Mashkovia simakovi* is known from Novaya Zemlya and the Verkhoyansk region (Kolyma) of northeastern Asia (Fig. 3).

*Mashkovia similis* (Gagiev, 1979)

1979 *Pseudopolygnathus similis* Gagiev: 65–66, pl. 7, figs 11–13.
1983 *Mashkovia similis* (Gagiev); Aristov, Gagiev & Kononova: 79, pl. 1, figs 1, 2.
1984 *Mashkovia similis* (Gagiev); Chermykh, Kononova, Pershina & Cheusova: pl. 3, fig. 17 (not fig. 16, *M. simakovi*).
1988 Mashkonia similis (Gagiev); Aristov: 71–72, pl. 7, figs 8, 9.

**Diagnosis.** Carminiplanate Pa element with a markedly asymmetrical platform; platform margins set with coarse nodes; right anterior platform margin consisting of fused, prominent denticles.

**Description.** Platform triangular in outline, markedly asymmetrical and curved; right (outer) platform margin higher than the left one, composed of up to three fused, prominent denticles, the posterior-most of which is the highest; adcarinal troughs characteristically present; platform bordered by strong marginal nodes; free blade about half the length of the unit, terminating abruptly at distal end; free blade and carina form continuous, gentle inward curvature; nodes of anterior carina small in comparison with denticulation of posterior carina.

Pit moderate to large; secondary keels wide and flattened.

**Remarks.** Pa elements of *Mashkonia similis* can be distinguished from those of *M. silesiensis* on differences in the platform ornamentation and in the form of the anterior margins of the platform. *M. similis* differs from its ancestor *Polygnathus bucerus* by having a more asymmetrical platform, weaker ornamentation, a longer free blade, and, in particular, two secondary keels. *P. bucerus* is characterized by a large, expanded basal cavity.

**Occurrence.** Occurrences of *Mashkonia similis* are known from the Verkhoynansk region (Kolyma) of northeastern Asia, Novaya Zemlya, Timan-Pechora basin, eastern and western slopes of the southern Urals, and from the southeastern part of the East European (Russian) Platform (Fig. 3).

*Mashkonia tamarae* Kononova & Pazuhin, 1983

1983 *Mashkonia tamarae* Kononova & Pazuhin; Aristov, Gagiev & Kononova: 80–81, pl. 1, fig. 8, pl. 2, figs 1, 2 (fig. 1, holotype).

**Diagnosis.** Carminiplanate Pa element with a nearly bilaterally symmetrical platform; margins of the platform set with short ridges or coarse nodes; posterior platform ornamented with nodes.

**Description.** Platform triangular in outline, slightly curved and nearly bilaterally symmetrical; platform bordered by strong, short ridges or coarse nodes; anterior free margins are upturned and consist of fused, tapering denticles; short free blade terminates abruptly at its distal end; carina composed of small, widely spaced, discrete nodes; posterior half of the platform covered with small nodes; adult specimens show nodes arranged in longitudinal rows; adcarinal troughs not developed.

Pit very small, located in the junction of keel with secondary keels; two sharp, anteriorly directed secondary keels that do not reach the anterior tips of the platform.

**Remarks.** For comparison with *Mashkonia simakovi*, see under the latter.

**Occurrence.** Pa elements of *Mashkonia tamarae* have been found in the southern Urals and in the Verkhoynansk region (Kolyma) of northeastern Asia (Fig. 3).
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