Search for $\eta'$ bound nuclei in the $^{12}\text{C}(\gamma,p)$ reaction with simultaneous detection of decay products
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We measured missing mass spectrum of the $^{12}\text{C}(\gamma,p)$ reaction for the first time in coincidence with potential decay products from $\eta'$ bound nuclei. We tagged an $(\eta' + p)$ pair associated with the $\eta'N \rightarrow \eta N$ process in a nucleus. After applying kinematical selections to reduce backgrounds, no signal events were observed in the bound-state region. An upper limit of the signal cross section in the opening angle $\cos \theta_{ab}^\prime < -0.9$ was obtained to be 2.2 nb/sr at the 90% confidence level. It is compared with theoretical cross sections, whose normalization ambiguity is suppressed by measuring a quasi-free $\eta'$ production rate. Our results indicate a small branching fraction of the $\eta'N \rightarrow \eta N$ process and/or a shallow $\eta'$-nucleus potential.

Introduction.— To understand the origin of mass has been a long-standing and profound query for human beings. The Yukawa coupling with the recently discovered Higgs particles accounts for the bare masses of fundamental fermions such as quarks and leptons. Nevertheless, the majority of the mass of hadrons, the visible part of our universe, is generated by the strong interaction in quantum chromodynamics (QCD). The breaking of chiral symmetry particularly plays a key role to explain mass spectra of light hadrons. Among other light pseudoscalar mesons, the $\eta'(958)$ meson has exceptionally large mass, which is attributed to the breaking of $U_A(1)$ symmetry. As described in Refs. ⁶ ¹⁰, the mass gap between $\eta'$ and $\eta$ owing to $U_A(1)$ anomaly is manifest under the breaking of chiral symmetry. Thereby, there have been interest to probe the
\( \eta' \) mass in a nucleus where partial restoration of chiral symmetry and thus weakening of the anomaly effect are expected. A large mass reduction of 150 and 80 MeV at the normal nuclear density are respectively expected by the NJL and linear sigma models containing an \( U_A(1) \) symmetry breaking term \([11,13]\). The mass reduction can be described as an attractive potential for an \( \eta' \)-meson in a nucleus \([14]\). The real and imaginary part of the \( \eta' \)-nucleus potential at the normal saturation density are defined as \( V_0 \) and \( W_0 \), respectively. If \( V_0 \) is deep and \( W_0 \) is small enough, \( \eta' \)-nucleus bound states can be formed.

A straightforward method of accessing \((V_0, W_0)\) is missing-mass spectroscopy. However, around \( \eta' \)-mass, this method suffers from numerous backgrounds arising from multiple light-meson productions. The \( \eta' \)-PRiME/Super-FRS Collaboration conducted the pioneering measurement of the excitation spectra of \( ^{11}\text{C} \) near the \( \eta' \) production threshold in \( ^{12}\text{C}(p, d) \) reactions \([15,16]\). The excellent experimental resolution and statistics were achieved to observe distinct peaks of deeply-bound \( \eta' \) states above backgrounds, but no signals indicating a bound state were observed. An upper limit of \((V_0, W_0)\) was estimated depending on the theoretically-expected cross sections \([17,18]\). The CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration deduced \((V_0, W_0)\) in an unique way. They precisely measured \( \eta' \) escaping from C and Nb nuclei \([19,22]\). Comparing the beam energy dependence of the total cross sections and \( \eta' \) momentum distributions with those given by a collision model \([23]\), they deduced \( V_0 = -(44 \pm 16(\text{stat}) \pm 15(\text{syst})) \) MeV. The imaginary potential, \( W_0 = -(13 \pm 3(\text{stat}) \pm 3(\text{syst})) \) MeV, evaluated from a transparency measurement, is small enough to form a bound state \([12]\). The real part of the \( \eta' \)-proton scattering length was estimated as \( 0.00 \pm 0.43 \text{ fm} \) from the measurement of \( pp \rightarrow pp\eta' \) reactions at COSY \([24]\).

**Strategy.**— To search for \( \eta' \)-nucleus bound states, we used missing-mass spectroscopy of the \( ^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, p) \) reaction detecting decay products in coincidence. By using multi-GeV photon beam and detecting protons in extremely forward angles, we investigated the following process in a small momentum transfer kinematics:

\[
\gamma + ^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow p_f + \eta' \otimes ^{11}\text{B} \tag{1a}
\]
\[
\downarrow \eta' + p \rightarrow \eta + p_s. \tag{1b}
\]

The forward-going proton, \( p_f \), is used for the missing-mass spectroscopy. The side-going proton, \( p_s \), is emitted in the \( \eta'N \rightarrow \eta N \) reaction, which is one of the most promising absorption processes for an \( \eta' \) meson bound to a nucleus \([23,26]\). By tagging an \( (\eta + p_s) \) pair, multi-pion backgrounds were strongly suppressed. Remaining background events accompanying \( (\eta + p_s) \) were removed by selecting the kinematical region which was characteristic for signal events. We evaluated an experimental cross section of the \( \eta' \)-bound states emitting an \( (\eta + p_s) \) pair, \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) \( (\eta + p_s) \) \( \text{exp} \), independent from any model assumption. The obtained \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) \( (\eta + p_s) \) \( \text{exp} \) was compared with theoretical cross sections, \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) \( (\eta + p_s) \) \( \text{theory} \), expected in different \( V_0 \) cases. For this purpose, we calculated the expected excitation energy of the \( \eta'+^{11}\text{B} \) system \( E_\text{ex} \), relative to the production threshold \( E_0 \), in the framework of a distorted wave impulse approximation (DWIA) \([17,27]\). The DWIA is the standard technique used for describing bound states such as in hypernuclei and pionic atoms \([28,32]\). In general, DWIA calculations nicely represent spectral shapes of bound states but hardly reproduce their absolute cross sections \([28,33]\). We decomposed our DWIA calculation into the \( \eta' \) absorption and escape processes, and obtained a normalization factor \( F \) of the DWIA cross section by measuring \( \eta' \) escaping from a nucleus:

\[
\gamma + ^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow p_f + \eta' + ^{11}\text{B} \tag{2a}
\]
\[
\downarrow \eta' \rightarrow 2\gamma. \tag{2b}
\]

We calculated the excitation spectra for \( \eta' \) angular momenta up to 7, which is large enough to have convergence for \( E_\text{ex} - E_0 \lesssim 50 \text{ MeV} \) \([17,27]\). Because the \( \eta' \) escape process contributes only in \( E_\text{ex} - E_0 > 50 \text{ MeV} \), we evaluate \( F \) from experimental and theoretical cross sections of the \( \eta' \) escape process, \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) \( \eta'\text{esc} \) \( \text{exp} \) and \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) \( \eta'\text{esc} \) \( \text{theory} \), integrated over \( 0 < E_\text{ex} - E_0 < 50 \text{ MeV} \). After normalizing the theoretical cross sections with \( F \), we compare \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) \( \eta'\text{esc} \) \( \text{exp} \) and \( \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \) \( \eta'\text{esc} \) \( \text{theory} \) in \( -50 < E_\text{ex} - E_0 < 50 \text{ MeV} \). We discuss \( V_0 \) as a function of the branching fraction of the \( \eta'N \rightarrow \eta N \) absorption process, \( Br_{\eta'N \rightarrow \eta N} \). In this Letter, angles, energies and cross sections are given in the laboratory frame if not directly specified.

**Experimental set up.**— The experiment was carried out in the LEPS beam line at SPring-8, by using a photon beam whose tagged energy range was 1.3-2.4 GeV \([34]\). About \( 6.1 \times 10^{12} \) photons hit a carbon target with a thickness of 3.46 g/cm\(^2\). The momentum of \( p_f \) was measured by the time-of-flight (TOF) method using resistive plate chambers (RPCs), located 12.5 m downstream from the target, with a polar angle coverage of \( 0^\circ - 6.8^\circ \) \([35,36]\). The TOF resolution of 60-90 ps, depending on the hit position, results in the missing mass resolution of 12-30 MeV as a function of the momentum of \( p_f \). The \( \eta \) and \( \eta' \) mesons were identified from their 2\( \gamma \) decay processes, using an electromagnetic calorimeter, BGOegg, which covers the polar angle range from \( 24^\circ \) to \( 144^\circ \) \([37]\). The particle identification (PID) of \( p_s \) was carried out from the correlation of the energy deposit in BGOegg and 5 mm thick inner plastic scintillators (IPSs), located inside BGOegg. A drift chamber (DC), located 1.6 m downstream from the target, was used to ensure that there was no charged particle other than \( p_f \) in the forward region not covered by BGOegg. Details of the experimental set up are described in Ref. \[38\].

**Analysis.**— The \( \eta' \) bound states were searched for from the \( \gamma + ^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow p_f + (\eta + p_s) + X \) reaction, in
which two photons and one proton were detected with BGOgg. The $p_s$ kinetic energy was required to be less than 250 MeV, which is the expected maximum energy in the reaction (1b). Fig. 1(a) shows the 2γ invariant mass distribution, $M_{\gamma\gamma}$. We selected the ±2.5σ region of the $\eta$ mass peak. Fig. 1(b) shows the excitation spectrum defined as $E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta = MM(12\text{C}(\gamma,p_f)) - M_{1\text{B}} - M_{\eta'}$, where $MM(12\text{C}(\gamma,p_f))$ is the missing mass in the $12\text{C}(\gamma,p_f)$ reaction, and $M_{1\text{B}}$ and $M_{p_s}$ represent a mass of $11\text{B}$ and $\eta'$, respectively. No enhancement is observed in $-50 < E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta < 50$ MeV, which is the region to search for signals.

The background events in Fig. 1(b) mainly come from the $\gamma + 12\text{C} \rightarrow p_f + \eta + 11\text{B}$ and $\gamma + 12\text{C} \rightarrow p_f + (\eta + \pi^0) + 11\text{B}$ reactions. In these events, an $\eta$ is produced in the primary reaction, and another proton, $p_s$, is kicked out by either a primary $\eta$, $\pi^0$ or $p_f$. We introduced kinematical selection cuts to suppress those background events. A bound $\eta'$ is almost at rest, and thus, an $(\eta + p_s)$ pair is emitted in a close back-to-back relation, with an isotropic polar angle distribution. In contrast, most of the $\eta$ and $p_s$ from the background reactions are produced at forward angles. In addition, most of the $(\eta + \pi^0)$ events can be removed by requiring the absence of missing energy due to the undetected $\pi^0$. We defined the missing energy as $E_{\text{miss}}^{\eta\pi^0} = E_\gamma + M_{12\text{C}} - M_{1\text{B}} - E_{\gamma\eta} - E_{\gamma\pi^0} - E_{p_s}$, where $E_\gamma$, $E_{\gamma\eta}$, $E_{\gamma\pi^0}$, $E_{p_s}$ and $E_{p_f}$ represent the energies of an incident photon and each detected particle, respectively.

The kinematical selection cuts were optimized by using the experimental data of the $(\eta + p_s)$ coincidence reaction masking the region satisfying both $-100 < E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta < 100$ MeV and the opening angle between the $\eta$ and $p_s$, $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta\pi^0} < -0.9$. We also used data sets of the $\gamma + 12\text{C} \rightarrow p_f + \eta + X$ and $\gamma + 12\text{C} \rightarrow p_f + (\eta + \pi^0) + X$ reactions, in which only an $\eta$ meson or the $\eta\pi^0$ mesons were detected in BGOgg, respectively. The kinematical selection cuts were determined as (a) $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta\pi^0} < -0.9$, (b) $E_{\text{miss}}^{\eta\pi^0} < 150$ MeV, (c) the $p_s$ polar angle $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{p_s} < 0.5$, and (d) the $\eta$ polar angle $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta} < 0$.

In Table I we summarize the number of background events in the unmasked region of the $(\eta + p_s)$ coincidence data for each selection criteria. The expected number of signal events was also evaluated from $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\eta\pi^0$. After all cuts, the background events are reduced to 0.4%, while 23% of the signal events is preserved. Some background events remain in $E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta < -100$ MeV, where both $\eta$ and $p_s$ from background reactions have low kinetic energies. They are hard to be removed by kinematical cuts. The background level in $-300 < E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta < -100$ MeV is $2.5\pm1.1$ events per 100 MeV. An identical or smaller background level is expected in $-50 < E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta < 50$ MeV according to the background studies using the single $\eta$ and $(\eta + \pi^0)$ coincidence data.

Experimental results.—The two dimensional plot of $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta\pi^0}$ vs $E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta$ after cuts (a)–(c) is shown in Fig. 2. There is no event satisfying cut(d) in $-50 < E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta < 50$ MeV, thus, we observe no $(\eta + p_s)$ events from $\eta'$ absorption via the $\eta'N \rightarrow \eta N$ process.

We deduced an experimental upper limit of $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\eta\pi^0$. The detector acceptance and reconstruction efficiencies were obtained from a Monte Carlo (MC) simulation based on GEANT4 [39]. We generated an $N^*$ state decaying into an $\eta'$ and a proton isotropically. The $N^*$ mass was changed around the sum of $\eta'$ and proton masses to reproduce the kinematics of the reaction (1b) in different $E_{\text{ex}} - E_0$. The typical value of the acceptance and reconstruction efficiency in $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta\pi^0} < 0.5$ and $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta} < 0$ is 10.8%. The systematic uncertainty for the cross-section measurement was evaluated to be 5.4%, which includes the uncertainties of the detector reconstruction efficiencies (5.2%), the luminosity (1.6%) and the pion misidentification as a $p_f$ (1.4%). Although we do not perform a

| $E_{\text{ex}} - E_0^\eta$ region [MeV] | $[-300, -200]$ | $[-200, -100]$ | expected signal [$-50, 50$] | $[100, 200]$ | $[200, 300]$ |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| no cuts                           | 67            | 188           | (58.4 ± 14.7) × Br_{\eta N \rightarrow \eta N} | 507         | 438         |
| (a): $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta\pi^0} < -0.9$ | 11            | 26            | (43.8 ± 11.0) × Br_{\eta N \rightarrow \eta N} | 24          | 18          |
| (a), (b): $|E_{\text{miss}}^{\eta\pi^0}| < 150$ MeV | 11            | 24            | (43.8 ± 11.0) × Br_{\eta N \rightarrow \eta N} | 9           | 4           |
| (a), (b), (c): $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{p_s} < 0.5$ | 9             | 18            | (35.7 ± 9.0) × Br_{\eta N \rightarrow \eta N} | 9           | 4           |
| (a), (b), (c), (d): $\cos\theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta} < 0$ | 4             | 1             | (13.1 ± 3.3) × Br_{\eta N \rightarrow \eta N} | 0           | 0           |
FIG. 2. (Color online) The two dimensional plot of \( \cos \theta_{\text{lab}} \) vs \( E_{\text{ex}} - E^{\eta}_{0} \) of the \((\eta + p_{x})\) coincidence data after applying the kinematical cuts (a)–(c). The region to search for signals is shown by red hatching.

particle identification of forward-going particles, the contamination ratio of pions is small in the interesting kinematical region. Assuming a Poisson distribution for the number of observed events, the upper limit of \( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{\eta+}_{\text{exp}} \) in \( \cos \theta_{\text{lab}}^{p_{x}} < -0.9 \) was obtained to be \( 2.2 \text{ nb/sr} \) at the 90% confidence level.

**Theoretical calculations.**— We compare the obtained upper limit of \( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{\eta+}_{\text{exp}} \) with \( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}^{\eta+}_{\text{theory}} \) in \( V_{0} = -20 \) and \(-100 \text{ MeV} \) cases. The expected excitation spectrum of the \( ^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, p_{f}) \) reaction was calculated within the DWIA as

\[
\left( \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega dE} \right)^{\gamma+12\text{C}\rightarrow p+\eta'\otimes^{11}\text{B}}_{\text{theory}} = \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{\text{lab}}^{p_{x}+p+\eta'} \times R(E), \tag{3}
\]

at \( \theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta'} = 6^{\circ} \). We chose \( W = -12 \text{ MeV} \), which is close to the measured value \( 22 \). Here, \( E \) is the excitation energy, \( R(E) \) the nuclear response function, and \( \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{\text{lab}}^{p_{x}+p+\eta'} \) the Fermi-averaged cross section of the elementary \( \gamma + p \rightarrow p + \eta' \) reaction \( 10 \). We used the center-of-mass elementary cross section, \( \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{\text{c.m.}}^{\gamma+p+p+\eta'} = 40 \text{ nb/sr} \) in \( \cos \theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta'} < -0.9 \) and \( \sqrt{s} < 2.4 \text{ GeV} \), measured by the LEPS \( 41 \) and CBELSA/TAPS \( 42 \) collaborations, as an input to calculate \( \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)_{\text{lab}}^{p_{x}+p+\eta'} \). In our experimental set up, almost all events are in this kinematical region even taking into account the Fermi motion. We calculated \( R(E) \) by Green’s function as in Ref. \( 27 \). The calculation is decomposed into the \( \eta' \) escape and absorption processes as

\[
\left( \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega dE} \right)^{\gamma+12\text{C}\rightarrow p+\eta'\otimes^{11}\text{B}}_{\text{theory}} = \left( \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega dE} \right)^{\eta'\text{esc}}_{\text{theory}} + \left( \frac{d^{2}\sigma}{d\Omega dE} \right)^{\eta'\text{abs}}_{\text{theory}}. \tag{4}
\]

For comparison with experimental cross sections, we integrate the theoretical cross sections up to \( E_{\text{ex}} - E^{\eta}_{0} = 50 \text{ MeV} \), taking into account the experimental detector resolutions. The cross sections are averaged over \( E_{\gamma} = 1.3 - 2.4 \text{ GeV} \), with the weight of experimental \( E_{\gamma} \) distribution. The normalization factor \( F \) is obtained as

\[
F = \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)^{\eta'\text{esc}}_{\text{exp}} / \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)^{\eta'\text{esc}}_{\text{theory}}. \tag{5}
\]

**Evaluation of \( F \).**— To evaluate \( F \), we measured \( \left( \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right)^{\eta'\text{esc}}_{\text{exp}} \) from the \( \gamma + ^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow p_{f} + \eta' + X \) reaction. We selected events with two photons and no other particles detected with BGOegg. The distributions of \( M_{\gamma\gamma} \) and the excitation energy, defined as \( E_{\text{ex}} - E^{\eta}_{0} = \left. E \right|_{\gamma\gamma} = MM^{(12)^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, p_{f})} - M_{11\text{B}} - M_{\gamma\gamma} \), are shown in Ref. \( 43 \). The resolution of \( M_{\gamma\gamma} \) for \( \eta' \) is about \( 18 \text{ MeV} \). The events within \( \pm 70 \text{ MeV} \) of the \( \eta' \) invariant mass peak were selected as a signal sample, and the side-band events within \( \pm (70 - 140) \text{ MeV} \) were subtracted in the cross section measurement. To ensure the quasi-free \( \eta' \) production process, we selected events satisfying \( |E_{\text{min}}^{\eta'p_{f}}| = |E_{\gamma} + M_{izC} - M_{11\text{B}} - E_{\gamma} - E_{p_{f}}| < 150 \text{ MeV} \). We observed about 265 quasi-free \( \eta' \) events and the fraction of events in \( 0 < E_{\text{ex}} - E^{\eta}_{0} < 50 \text{ MeV} \) was \( 6\% \). The acceptance and reconstruction efficiencies were evaluated by generating a \( \gamma + p \rightarrow p_{f} \eta' \) reaction in a MC simulation taking into account the Fermi motion. The systematic uncertainty for the cross section was estimated to be \( 6.7\% \). Most of the uncertainties are common to the measurement of the \((\eta + p_{x})\) coincidence reaction except for the uncertainty of the \( \eta' \rightarrow 2\gamma \) branching fraction (3.6\%).

Because we use the average cross section over \( E_{\gamma} = 1.3 - 2.4 \text{ GeV} \), we examined the \( E_{\gamma} \) dependence of \( \left. \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right|_{\text{exp}}^{\eta'\text{esc}} \) and \( \left. \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right|_{\text{theory}}^{\eta'\text{esc}} \). Their shapes agree as shown in Fig. 3 with black circles and red lines, respectively. We note that, in Ref. \( 27 \), the elementary cross section for
a proton at rest is used in Eq. (3) instead of the Fermi-averaged cross section. As shown by the blue line in Fig. 3, the calculation without Fermi motion is divergent near the production threshold because of a large CM-laboratory transformation factor of the cross section. It is clearly unsuitable to use the calculation result without Fermi motion for describing the observed $E_\gamma$ dependence, and therefore we adopted the Fermi averaged cross section in Eq. (3). By substituting $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\exp}^{\eta' \text{esc}}$ and $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{theory}}^{\eta' \text{esc}}$ averaged over $E_\gamma$ to Eq. (5), we derived $F = 0.38 \pm 0.10(\text{stat}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst})$ and $0.35 \pm 0.09(\text{stat}) \pm 0.02(\text{syst})$ for $V_0 = -20$ and $-100$ MeV, respectively. The green lines in Fig. 3 show the calculated cross sections after the normalization. The difference between two $V_0$ cases is small; thus, they cannot be distinguished.

**Comparisons.**—The theoretical production cross section of the $\eta'$ bound states with $(\eta + p_s)$ emission can be described as

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{theory}}^{\eta + p_s} = F \times \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{theory}}^{\eta' \text{abs}} \times Br_{\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N} \times P_{\text{srv}}.$$  

From Eqs. (3) and (4), $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{theory}}^{\eta' \text{abs}}$ in $-50 < E_\text{ex} - E_\gamma < 50$ MeV were obtained as $79.7$ and $292.2 \text{nb/sr}$ for $V_0 = -20$ and $-100$ MeV, respectively. $Br_{\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N}$ is the unknown branching fraction to an $(\eta' + N)$ pair in all $\eta'$ absorption processes. An $\eta'$ is mainly absorbed through either single-nucleon absorption $(\eta' N \rightarrow MB)$ or two-nucleon absorption $(\eta' NN \rightarrow NN)$ processes. Here, $M$ and $B$ denote a meson and a baryon, respectively. For example, if the proportion of single-nucleon absorption is $50\%$ of all absorption processes and the $\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N$ process accounts for $80\%$ of the single-nucleon absorption processes, $Br_{\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N}$ is given by $50\% \times 80\% = 40\%$. $P_{\text{srv}}$ is the probability that an $(\eta + p_s)$ pair is emitted from a nucleus after final interactions of the $(\eta + N)$ pair in the residual nucleus. $P_{\text{srv}}$ for $\cos \theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta p} < -0.9$ was obtained by the quantum molecular dynamics (QMD) transport model calculation. We used the same parameters as in Ref. 44, which well reproduce the angular and momentum dependence of differential cross sections of $\eta$ photoproduction from carbon. In the case of the $\eta' p \rightarrow \eta p$ reaction, $P_{\text{srv}}$ is $25.2\%$, which is consistent with the measured transparency of carbon nuclei for $\eta$ $(\sim 44\%)$ and protons $(\sim 60\%)$. In the case of the $\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N$ reaction, $P_{\text{srv}}$ is $1.2\%$. By taking a weighted average with the ratio of $p/n$ in a residual $^{11}$B nucleus, $P_{\text{srv}}$ for the $\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N$ reaction was deduced to be $12.1\%$.

In Fig. 4, the experimental upper limit of $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_\exp^{\eta + p_s}$ is compared with $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_\text{theory}^{\eta + p_s}$, given in Eq. (6) as a function of $Br_{\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N}$. Here, only the statistical uncertainties of $F$ are displayed with hatched patterns because most of the systematic uncertainties are common to the $\eta'$ and $(\eta + p_s)$ coincidence measurements. The uncertainties of the DWIA calculation itself and $P_{\text{srv}}$ are small compared to the statistical uncertainty of $F$. We exclude $V_0 = -100$ MeV in $Br_{\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N} > 24\%$ at the $90\%$ confidence level. The upper limit of $Br_{\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N}$ in the case of $V_0 = -20$ MeV is $80\%$ at the $90\%$ confidence level.

**Conclusions.**—We measured the $\gamma + ^{12}$C $\rightarrow p_f + (\eta + p_s) + X$ reaction to search for $\eta'$-nucleus bound states. By selecting a kinematical region of the $(\eta + p_s)$ pairs, we derived the conditions almost free from other multi-meson backgrounds. No signal events were observed after the kinematical selection, and the upper limit of $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_\exp^{\eta + p_s}$ from the $\eta'$ absorption process was found to be $2.2 \text{nb/sr}$ in $\cos \theta_{\text{lab}}^{\eta p} < -0.9$. From the measurement of the $\gamma + ^{12}$C $\rightarrow p_f + \eta' + X$ reaction, we found that the normalization factor, $F$, for the DWIA calculation is in the range of $0.23 - 0.50$. The upper limit of $(V_0, W_0)$, determined by the $\eta$-PRIME/Super-FRS Collaboration, depends on the cross section calculated within the same DWIA framework, but they have not evaluated $F$. Our results indicate that their upper limit for $V_0$ is possibly influenced by the large ambiguity from $F$ as well as the unknown elementary pn $\rightarrow \eta' d$ cross section. While theories based on the $U_A(1)$ anomaly predict a deep $V_0$, the present work indicates small $Br_{\eta' N \rightarrow \eta N}$ and/or a shallow $V_0$. The measurement of other absorption processes such as $\eta' NN \rightarrow NN$ will help to differentiate these two possibilities.
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