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Abstract

We evaluate the continuum limit of the valence (quenched) approximation to the mass of the lightest scalar quarkonium state, for a range of different quark masses, and to the mixing energy between these states and the lightest scalar glueball. Our results support the interpretation of $f_0(1710)$ as composed mainly of the lightest scalar glueball.
Evidence that $f_0(1710)$ is composed mainly of the lightest scalar glueball is now given by two different sets of numerical determinations of QCD predictions using the theory’s lattice formulation in the valence (quenched) approximation. A calculation on GF11 [1] of the width for the lightest scalar glueball to decay to all possible pseudoscalar pairs, on a $16^3 \times 24$ lattice with $\beta$ of 5.7, corresponding to a lattice spacing $a$ of 0.140(4) fm, gives 108(29) MeV. This number combined with any reasonable guesses for the effect of finite lattice spacing, finite lattice volume, and the remaining width to multibody states yields a total width small enough for the lightest scalar glueball to be seen easily in experiment. For the infinite volume continuum limit of the lightest scalar glueball mass, a reanalysis [2] of a calculation on GF11 [3], using 25000 to 30000 gauge configurations, gives 1648(58) MeV. An independent calculation by the UKQCD-Wuppertal [4] collaboration, using 1000 to 3000 gauge configurations, when extrapolated to the continuum limit according to Ref. [2,5] yields 1568(89) MeV. A more recent calculation using an improved action [6] gives 1630(100) MeV. The three results combined become 1632(49) MeV. A phenomenological model of the glueball spectrum which supports this prediction is discussed in Ref. [7].

Among established resonances with the quantum numbers to be a scalar glueball, all are clearly inconsistent with the mass calculations except $f_0(1710)$ and $f_0(1500)$. Between these two, $f_0(1710)$ is favored by the mass result with largest statistics, by the combined result, and by the expectation [8] that the valence approximation will lead to an underestimate of the scalar glueball’s mass. Refs. [1,8] interpret $f_0(1500)$ as dominantly composed of strange-antistrange, $s\bar{s}$, scalar quarkonium. A possible objection to this interpretation, however, is that $f_0(1500)$ apparently does not decay mainly to states containing an $s$ and an $\bar{s}$ quark [9]. In part for this reason, Ref. [10] interprets $f_0(1500)$ as composed mainly of the lightest scalar glueball and $f_0(1710)$ as largely $s\bar{s}$ scalar quarkonium. A second objection is that while the Hamiltonian of full QCD couples quarkonium and glueballs, so that physical states should be linear combinations of both, mixing is not treated quantitatively in Ref. [1]. In the extreme, mixing could lead to $f_0(1710)$ and $f_0(1500)$ each half glueball and half quarkonium.

Using the valence approximation for a fixed lattice period $L$ of about 1.6 fm and a range of different values of quark mass, we have now calculated the continuum limit of the mass of the lightest scalar $q\bar{q}$ states and the continuum limit of the mixing energy between these states and the lightest scalar glueball. The continuum values are obtained by extrapolation of results obtained from four different values of lattice spacing. For the two largest lattice spacings we have also done calculations on lattices with $L$ of about 2.3 fm. Preliminary versions of this work are reported in Refs. [8,11,12].

Our results provide answers to the objections to the interpretation of $f_0(1710)$ as largely the lightest scalar glueball. For the valence approximation to the infinite volume continuum limit of the $s\bar{s}$ scalar mass we find a value significantly below the valence approximation scalar glueball mass. This prediction rules out, in our opinion, the possibility of identifying [10] $f_0(1500)$ as primarily a glueball and $f_0(1710)$ as primarily $s\bar{s}$ quarkonium. Our calculation of glueball-quarkonium mixing energy, combined with the simplification of considering mixing only among the lightest discrete isosinglet scalar states, then yields a mixed $f_0(1710)$ which is 73.8(9.5)% glueball and a mixed $f_0(1500)$ which is 98.4(1.4)% quarkonium, mainly $s\bar{s}$. The glueball amplitude which leaks from $f_0(1710)$ goes almost entirely to the state $f_0(1390)$, which remains mainly $(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$. For $(u\bar{u} + d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}$ in the rest of this article we will use the abbreviation $n\bar{n}$, normal-antinormal. We find, in addition, that $f_0(1500)$ acquires an $n\bar{n}$
amplitude with sign opposite to its $s\bar{s}$ component suppressing, by interferences, the state's decay to $K\bar{K}$ final states. Assuming SU(3) flavor symmetry before mixing for the decay couplings of scalar quarkonium to pairs of pseudoscalars, the $K\bar{K}$ decay rate of $f_0(1500)$ is suppressed by a factor of 0.39(16) in comparison to the rate of an unmixed $s\bar{s}$ scalar. This suppression is consistent, within uncertainties, with the experimentally observed suppression.

Our calculations, using Wilson fermions and the plaquette action, were done with ensembles of 2749 configurations on a lattice $12^3 \times 10 \times 24$ with $\beta$ of 5.70, 1972 configurations on $16^3 \times 14 \times 20$ with $\beta$ of 5.93, 1733 configurations on $24^2 \times 28 \times 40$ with $\beta$ of 6.40. For $\beta$ of 5.70, 5.93, 6.17 and 6.40 the corresponding values of lattice spacing $a$ are, respectively, 0.140(4) fm, 0.0961(25) fm, 0.0694(18) fm, and 0.0519(14) fm. The smaller lattices with $\beta$ of 5.70 and 5.93, and the lattices with $\beta$ of 6.17 and 6.40 have periods in the two (or three) equal space directions of 1.68(5) fm, 1.54(4) fm, 1.74(5) fm, 1.66(5) fm, respectively, and thereby permit extrapolations to zero lattice spacing with nearly constant physical volume.

These values of lattice spacing, and conversions from lattice to physical units in the remainder of this article, are determined from the exact solution to the two-loop zero-flavor Callan-Symanzik equation for $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(0)}a$ with $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(0)}$ of 234.9(6.2) MeV determined from the continuum limit of $(\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(0)}a)/(m_\rho a)$ in Ref. [13]. For $\beta$ from 5.70 to 6.17, the ratio $(\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(0)}a)/(m_\rho a)$ in Ref. [13] was found to be constant within statistical errors, thus our results are, within errors, almost certainly the same as those we would have obtained by converting to physical units using values of $m_\rho a$. We chose to convert using $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(0)}a$, however, since Ref. [13] did not find $m_\rho a$ at $\beta$ of 6.40, which would be needed for our present calculations.

For each ensemble of gauge fields, we evaluated correlation functions using random sources built from quark and antiquark fields following Ref. [12]. Averaged over random sources, these correlation functions become

$$C_{ff}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} < f(\vec{x}, t) f(0, 0) >,$$

$$C_{gs}(t) = \sum_{\vec{x}} < g(\vec{x}, t) s(0, 0) >.$$

(1)

(Here $f$ is either $p$, $s$ or $g$. The quantities $p(\vec{x}, t)$ and $s(\vec{x}, t)$ are, respectively, the smeared pseudoscalar and scalar operators of Ref. [12] built from a quark and an antiquark field and $g(\vec{x}, t)$ is the smeared scalar glueball operator of Ref. [3].

Fitting the the large-$t$ behavior of the diagonal correlators to the asymptotic form

$$C_{ff}(t) \to Z_f e^{\exp(-m_f a t)},$$

(2)

we obtained the masses, in lattice units, $m_\rho a$, $m_s a$, and $m_g a$ and field strength renormalization constants $Z_p$, $Z_s$ and $Z_g$. From the large-$t$ behavior of the off-diagonal correlator, for $m_s$ close to $m_g$,

$$C_{gs}(t) \to \sqrt{Z_g Z_s E a} \sum_{t'} e^{\exp(-m_g a |t - t'| - m_s a |t'|)}$$

(3)

we then found the glueball-quarkonium mixing energy, in lattice units, $E a$. Eqs. (2) and (3) have been simplified by omitting terms arising from propagation around the lattice’s periodic time boundary.
For the two lattice with $\beta$ of 5.93, Figure 1 shows the scalar quarkonium mass as a function of quark mass $m_q a$, defined to be $(2\kappa)^{-1} - (2\kappa_c)^{-1}$. Here $\kappa$ is the hopping constant and $\kappa_c$ is the critical hopping constant at which the pseudoscalar mass $m_p$ goes to zero. We determined $\kappa_c$ from a fit of $m_p^2$ to a quadratic function of $1/\kappa$. The solid lines in Figure 1 are quadratic fits to the scalar mass as a function of quark mass which we used to interpolate to the strange quark mass. The strange quark mass we chose to be the value which yields, in physical units, a pseudoscalar mass squared of $2m_K^2 - m_{\pi}^2$, where $m_K$ and $m_{\pi}$ are the observed neutral kaon and pion masses, respectively. As shown by the figure, for the lattice $16^2 \times 14 \times 20$ with $L$ of 1.54(4) fm the scalar mass as a function of quark mass flattens out as quark mass is lowered toward the strange quark mass and then appears to begin to rise as the quark mass is decreased still further. This feature is absent from the data at $\beta$ of 5.93 for the lattice $24^4$ with $L$ of 2.31(6) fm and is thus a finite-volume artifact. It is present in the data at $\beta$ of 5.70 with $L$ of 1.68(5) fm, at $\beta$ of 6.17 with $L$ of 1.74(5) fm, and at $\beta$ of 6.40 with $L$ of 1.66(5) fm, but absent in the data at $\beta$ of 5.70 with $L$ of 2.24(7) fm.

The pseudoscalar mass squared $m_p^2$, for all values of lattice spacing, we found to be nearly a linear function of $1/\kappa$ and nearly independent of lattice period. The difference in $m_p a$ between the two lattice at $\beta$ of 5.70 and between the two lattice at $\beta$ of 5.93 was in all cases less than 0.5%.

For $L$ near 1.6 fm, Figure 2 shows the $s\bar{s}$ scalar mass in units of $\Lambda_{\text{MS}}^{(0)}$ as a function of lattice spacing in units of $1/\Lambda_{\text{MS}}^{(0)}$. A linear extrapolation of the mass to zero lattice spacing gives 1322(42) MeV, far below our valence approximation infinite volume continuum glueball mass of 1648(58) MeV. For the ratio of the $s\bar{s}$ mass to the infinite volume continuum limit of the scalar glueball mass we obtain 0.802(24). Figure 2 shows also values of the $s\bar{s}$ scalar mass at $\beta$ of 5.70 and 5.93 with $L$ of 2.24(7) and 2.31(6) fm, respectively. The $s\bar{s}$ mass with $L$ near 2.3 fm lies below the 1.6 fm result for both values of lattice spacing. Thus the infinite volume continuum $s\bar{s}$ mass should lie below 1322(42) MeV. We believe our data rule out the interpretation of $f_0(1500)$ as mainly composed of the lightest scalar glueball with $f_0(1710)$ consisting mainly of $s\bar{s}$ scalar quarkonium. For comparison with our data, Figure 2 shows the valence approximation value for the infinite volume continuum limit of the scalar glueball mass and the observed value of the mass of $f_0(1500)$ and of the mass of $f_0(1710)$ The uncertainties shown in the observed masses in units of $\Lambda_{\text{MS}}^{(0)}$ arise mainly from the uncertainty in $\Lambda_{\text{MS}}^{(0)}$.

Figure 3 shows the quarkonium-glueball mixing energy as a function of quark mass for the two different lattices with $\beta$ of 5.93. For neither lattice does there appear to be any sign of the anomalous quark mass dependence found in Figure 1. The mixing energies at different quark masses turn out to be highly correlated and depend quite linearly on quark mass. For $\beta$ of 5.70, 6.17 and 6.40 the mixing energy behaves similarly and, in particular, also depends quite linearly on quark mass. Thus it appears that the mixing energy can be extrapolated reliably down to the normal quark mass $m_n$, defined to be the quark mass at which $m_p$ becomes $m_{\pi}$. At $\beta$ of 5.70, the mixing energy ratio $E(m_n)/E(m_{\pi})$ is 1.222(34) for $L$ of 1.68(5) fm and 1.194(45) for $L$ of 2.24(7) fm. For the data at $\beta$ of 5.93, this ratio is 1.183(32) for $L$ of 1.54(4) fm and 1.153(56) for $L$ of 2.31(6) fm. Thus the ratio has at most rather small volume dependence and seems already to be near its infinite volume limit with $L$ around 1.6 fm.

Figure 4 shows a linear extrapolation to zero lattice spacing of quarkonium-glueball mixing energy.
mixing energy at the strange quark mass \(E(m_s)\) and of the ratio \(E(m_n)/E(m_s)\). The limiting value of \(E(m_n)\) is 43(31) MeV and of \(E(m_n)/E(m_s)\) is 1.198(72).

We now combine our infinite volume continuum value for \(E(m_n)/E(m_s)\) with a simplified treatment of the mixing among valence approximation glueball and quarkonium states which arises in full QCD from quark-antiquark annihilation. The simplification we introduce is to permit mixing only between the lightest scalar glueball and the lowest lying discrete quarkonium states. We ignore mixing between the lightest glueball and excited quarkonium states, and we ignore mixing between the lightest quarkonium states and excited glueball states or continuum states containing both quarks and glueballs.

Excited quarkonium and glueball states and states containing both quarks and glueballs are expected to be high enough in mass that their effect on the lowest lying states will be much smaller than the effect of mixing of the lowest lying states with each other. On the other hand, the shift in the glueball mass arising from mixing with discrete states is, according to the systematic version of the valence approximation described in Ref. [14], mainly a one-quark-loop correction to the valence approximation while mixing with continuum states is mainly a multiquark loop correction. For low energy QCD properties it is expected multiquark loop corrections will be significantly smaller than single loop corrections.

The structure of the Hamiltonian coupling together the scalar glueball, the scalar \(s\bar{s}\) and the scalar \(n\bar{n}\) isosinglet becomes

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  m_g & E(m_s) & \sqrt{2}rE(m_s) \\
  E(m_s) & m_{s\bar{s}} & 0 \\
  \sqrt{2}rE(m_s) & 0 & m_{n\bar{n}}.
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Here \(r\) is the ratio \(E(m_n)/E(m_s)\) which we found to be 1.198(72), and \(m_g\), \(m_{s\bar{s}}\) and \(m_{n\bar{n}}\) are, respectively, the glueball mass, the \(s\bar{s}\) quarkonium mass and the \(n\bar{n}\) quarkonium mass before mixing.

The three unmixed mass parameters we will take as unknowns. We will also take \(E(m_s)\) as an unknown since the fractional error bar on our measured value is large. These four unknowns can now be determined from four observed masses. To leading order in the valence approximation, with valence quark-antiquark annihilation turned off, corresponding isotriplet and isosinglet states composed of \(u\) and \(d\) quarks will be degenerate. For the scalar meson multiplet, the isotriplet \((u\bar{u} - d\bar{d})/\sqrt{2}\) state has a mass reported by the Crystal Barrel collaboration to be 1470(25) MeV [9]. Thus we take \(m_{n\bar{n}}\) to be 1470(25) MeV. In addition, the Crystal Barrel collaboration finds an isosinglet mass of 1390(30) MeV [9] from one recent analysis and 1380(40) MeV [15] from another. Mark III finds 1430(40) MeV [16]. We take the mass of the physical mixed state with largest contribution coming from \(n\bar{n}\) to be 1404(24) MeV, the weighted average of 1390(30) MeV and 1430(40) MeV. The mass of the physical mixed states with the largest contributions from \(s\bar{s}\) we take as the mass of \(f_0(1500)\), for which the Particle Data Group’s averaged value is 1505(9) MeV. The mass of the physical mixed state with the largest contributions from the glueball we take as the Particle Data group’s averaged mass of \(f_0(1710)\), 1697(4) MeV.

Adjusting the parameters in the matrix to give the physical eigenvalues we just specified, \(m_g\) becomes 1622(29) MeV, \(m_{s\bar{s}}\) becomes 1514(11) MeV, and \(E(m_s)\) becomes 64(13) MeV, with error bars including the uncertainties in the four input physical masses. The unmixed
$m_g$ is in good agreement with world average valence approximation glueball mass $1632(49)$ MeV, and $E(m_g)$ is consistent with our measured value of $43(31)$ MeV.

For the three physical eigenvectors we obtain

\begin{align*}
|f_0(1710) > & = 0.859(54)|g > + 0.302(52)|s\bar{s} > + 0.413(87)|n\bar{n} >, \\
|f_0(1500) > & = -0.128(52)|g > + 0.908(37)|s\bar{s} > -0.399(113)|n\bar{n} >, \\
|f_0(1390) > & = -0.495(118)|g > + 0.290(91)|s\bar{s} > + 0.819(89)|n\bar{n} >. 
\end{align*}

The mixed $f_0(1710)$ has a glueball content of $73.8(9.5)$%, the mixed $f_0(1500)$ has a glueball content of $1.6(1.4)$% and the mixed $f_0(1390)$ has a glueball content of $24.5(10.7)$%. Since, as well known, the partial width $\Gamma(J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma + h)$ is a measure of the size of the gluon component in the wave function of hadron $h$, our results imply that $\Gamma(J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma + f_0(1710))$ should be significantly larger than $\Gamma(J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma + f_0(1390))$ and $\Gamma(J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma + f_0(1390))$ should be significantly larger than $\Gamma(J/\Psi \rightarrow \gamma + f_0(1500))$. These predictions are supported by a recent reanalysis of Mark III data [16]. In addition, in the state vector for $f_0(1500)$, the relative negative sign between the $s\bar{s}$ and $n\bar{n}$ components will lead, by interference, to a suppression of the partial width for this state to decay to $K\bar{K}$. Assuming SU(3) flavor symmetry for the two pseudoscalar decay coupling of the scalar quarkonium states, the total $K\bar{K}$ rate for $f_0(1500)$ is suppressed by a factor of $0.39(16)$ in comparison to the $K\bar{K}$ rate for an unmixed $s\bar{s}$ state. This suppression is consistent, within uncertainties with the experimentally observed suppression.
FIG. 1. Scalar quarkonium mass as a function of quark mass for $\beta$ of 5.93.
FIG. 2. Lattice spacing dependence and continuum limit of the scalar $s\bar{s}$ mass, continuum limit of the scalar glueball mass, and one sigma upper and lower bounds on observed masses.
FIG. 3. Glueball-quarkonium mixing energy as a function of quark mass for $\beta$ of 5.93.
FIG. 4. Lattice spacing dependence and continuum limit of the glueball-quarkonium mixing energy $E(m_s)$ and of the ratio $E(m_n)/E(m_s)$.
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