Effectiveness of Recombinant Human Growth Hormone Therapy for Children With Phelan-McDermid Syndrome: An Open-Label, Cross-Over, Preliminary Study
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Background: Phelan-McDermid syndrome (PMS), also known as the 22q13.3 deletion syndrome, is a rare neurodevelopmental syndrome with approximately 2,800 patients reported worldwide. Previous pilot study demonstrated that IGF-1 could significantly improve in both social impairment and restrictive behaviors of the patients. However, most of the patients in the developing countries like China cannot afford the high cost of using IGF-1. Our research team speculated that rhGH might serve as a low-cost and more accessible treatment for PMS. Therefore, the purpose of this open-label, cross-over, pilot study was to further investigate the safety and efficiency of rhGH in patients with PMS.

Methods: A total of six children with PMS were enrolled in this open-label, cross-over, pilot study. The children were randomly divided into two different groups. Group A received placebo followed by rhGH, while group B was treated with rhGH first. Neuropsychological and behavior assessments of the patients were performed before the stage I of study and 3 months after the intervention of stage I. After a 4-week period of washout, these assessments were conducted again before the stage II of study and 3 months after the intervention of stage II. Serum insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and insulin-like growth factor binding-protein (IGFBP)-3 were also evaluated monthly during the intervention phases of the pilot study.

Results: Compared with the placebo, rhGH treatment significantly decreased subscale scores of GDS (P < 0.0085) and trended to improve the total scores of GDS (P < 0.05), while the total scores and subscale scores of SC-ABC significantly decreased (P < 0.0085) following 3-months rhGH treatment. The similar results were also observed in comparison with baseline. Compared with the baseline, the level of serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 increased significantly (P < 0.05) following 3-months rhGH treatment, while the placebo group had no significant impact on serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 (P > 0.05). One child developed skin allergy the day after the first rhGH treatment, which were resolved later.
Conclusions: In summary, this pilot study involving six PMS children patients reveals that rhGH has a positive treatment effect on PMS. These results encourage the undertaking of a large, randomized placebo-controlled trial to conclusively prove rhGH efficacy and tolerability in PMS, thereby promoting it as a low-cost, more accessible treatment for PMS, as compared to IGF-1.
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INTRODUCTION

Phelan-McDermid syndrome (PMS), also known as the 22q13.3 deletion syndrome, is a rare neurodevelopmental syndrome with approximately 2,800 patients reported worldwide (1). PMS is often characterized by seizures, global developmental delay, intellectual disability, severe speech delay, hypotonia, and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (2, 3). In addition, these children often have minor dysmorphic facial features such as plump flesh, rounded face, long eyelashes, pointed chin, prominent/dysplastic ears, bulbous nose, full lips, dysplastic nails, and dolichocephaly (4). Most of the patients with PMS have terminal chromosomal deletions with deleting sizes ranging from hundreds of kilobases (kb) to over nine megabases (Mb) in the 22q13 region (5).

Previous genotype-phenotype studies of PMS implied that PMS can also be caused by: (1) interstitial chromosomal deletions; (2) unbalanced translocations or other chromosomal rearrangements, resulting in ring chromosomes; (3) point mutations in the SHANK3 gene (6). Almost all the patients with PMS are associated with SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 3 (SHANK3) gene malfunction/deficiency. Meanwhile, loss or inactivation of one SHANK3 allele is sufficient to be diagnosed as PMS (3, 6). Interestingly, although the genotype-phenotype correlations in PMS are complex, recent advanced genotype-phenotype analyses have shown that dysmorphisms, comorbidities, speech status, and ASD may correlate to the deletion size of SHANK3 gene (7–9).

SHANK3 is a key gene, encoding a scaffolding protein enriched in the postsynaptic density of glutamatergic synapses, which plays a critical role in synaptic development and maturation (3, 10). Reduced expression of SHANK3 gene will cause the loss of dendrites and the dysfunction of synaptic transmission and plasticity (3). In addition, point mutations of the SHANK3 gene account for ~1% of the idiopathic forms of autism spectrum disorder, and SHANK3 haploinsufficiency is responsible for the major neurological features of PMS (10).

Although nearly 40 years have been passed since the first report of PMS in 1985 (11), there is still a long way to go for exploring the effective treatment for PMS. Currently, the most mentioned and recommended medication for PMS treatment is insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1). IGF-1 is a neurotrophic factor possessing growth hormone-like activities. It can cross the blood-brain barrier and improve synapse development by promoting neuronal cell survival, synaptic maturation, and synaptic plasticity (12, 13). Previous study has shown that IGF-1 could reverse the electrophysiological deficits observed in Shank3-deficient mice and the induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from patients with PMS, indicating that IGF-1 could strengthen excitatory synaptic transmission and reduce the rate of NMDA-receptors decay (3, 12, 14). In addition, a cross-over, randomized, pilot study (NCT01525901) including nine 5- to 15-year-old children with PMS demonstrated that IGF-1 could significantly improve in both social impairment and restrictive behaviors of the patients (15). However, most of the patients in the developing countries like China cannot afford the high cost of using IGF-1. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a cheaper and efficient alternative treatment.

Recently, our research team published a case report, in which a Chinese child with PMS improved his motor skills and autism-like behaviors after the treatment of recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) (16). Based on this, we speculated that rhGH might serve as a low-cost and more accessible treatment for PMS. Therefore, the purpose of this cross-over, placebo-controlled, randomized, pilot study was to further investigate the safety and efficiency of rhGH in patients with PMS, focusing on neurodevelopmental and behavior measurements.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

A total of six children (four boys and two girls) with PMS enrolled in this open-label, cross-over, pilot study through email and telephone messages (Table 1). The age of the subjects ranged from 1 to 5 years old [mean = 3.00, standard deviation (SD) = 1.90]. The chromosomal deletion size (Mb), detailed clinical symptoms, and other information of these children shown on Table 2. The diagnosis of ASD

| TABLE 1 | General clinical data of subjects. |
|----------|----------------------------------|
| Subject  | Gender | Age (month) | SC-ABC | DQ   |
| 1        | Girl   | 18.4        | 61     | 59.8 |
| 2        | Boy    | 60.3        | 79     | 35   |
| 3        | Boy    | 31.7        | 58     | 61   |
| 4        | Boy    | 36.5        | 76     | 59   |
| 5        | Girl   | 48.6        | 68     | 68   |
| 6        | Boy    | 54.3        | 81     | 54   |

SC-ABC, Simplified Chinese version of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist; DQ, development quotient.
was based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, the 5th Edition (DSM-5) or the Screening Tool for Autism in Toddlers and Young Children (STAT) for early diagnosis (17). Exclusion criteria included active or suspected tumor, intracranial hypertension, chronic kidney disease, acute proliferative or severe non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy, allergy to rhGH or severe comorbidity.

### Study Design
This study was an open-label, cross-over, pilot study (Figure 1). Subjects were randomly divided into two different groups. Group A received placebo followed by rhGH, while group B was treated with rhGH first. Randomization of the treatment (i.e., starting with rhGH or placebo) was performed through a permuted four-block designed by the physician, who was the only person not blinded for the treatment allocation. We established a 4-week washout period between the treatment stages based on the existing clinical trials on the pharmacokinetics of rhGH and IGF-1 (15, 16), which is sufficiently long for active rhGH metabolites removal according to their mean half-lives (~30 min). Following the washout period, the children received the other treatment. Each treatment stage (rhGH and placebo) lasted for 3 months.

### Ethical Approval
The parents of the children participating in this pilot study were informed about the potential adverse reactions and provided written informed consent. The Research Ethics Committees of the Affiliated Hospital of JiangNan University (WuXi, China) approved this study (No. LS2020017). The study was also registered in the ClinicalTrials.gov Protocol Registration System, registration number NCT05105685.
Drug Interventions
Placebo and rhGH (Changchun Jinsai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, S20080011) were used for interventions in this pilot study. The placebo was normal saline from the same package and was injected at the same dose as rhGH. The rhGH treatment or placebo was started at 0.1 IU/kg once daily based on both the official Chinese guidelines for the use of rhGH and our previous study (16, 18). The injection sites included the outer mid-section of thigh, the upper arm, and the abdominal wall, while injections at the same site within a 1-month interval were not allowed. The distance between successive injections was longer than 1.0 cm to prevent subcutaneous tissue degeneration and potentially adverse effects of rhGH.

Main Measurements

Neuropsychological and Behavior Measurements
Neuropsychological and behavior assessments of the patients were performed before the stage I of study and 3 months after the intervention of stage I. After a 4-week period of washout, these assessments were conducted again before the stage II of study and 3 months after the intervention of stage II (Figure 1). The neuropsychological development was measured by using Chinese version of the Gesell Development Scale (GDS), which was revised by the Beijing Children's Health Care Unit in 1986. The GDS consists of four subscales, evaluating the motor development, language development, adaptive skills, and personal social behavior of children. Motor development is divided into gross motor function and fine motor function, which can measure the neural development of children between 0 and 5 years old with ASD. The development quotient (DQ) in the GDS was used to quantify neurodevelopment, which can indicate the level of neurodevelopment and is interpreted as follows: DQ = 86 as normal, DQ 76–85 as marginally delayed, DQ at 55–75 as slightly delayed, DQ at 40–54 as moderately delayed, and DQ ≤ 39 as severely delayed.

The children were also evaluated based on the simplified Chinese version of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist (SC-ABC) (Supplementary File 1). Different from its English version that created by Krug in 1980 (19), SC-ABC was based on the study of Krug in 2009, in which proved ABC can be used in 14 months children (20), then it was translated into Simplified Chinese by the researchers of Peking University Sixth Hospital. To date, the SC-ABC scale has been verified and widely used for more than 10 years to assess the changes of behavioral symptoms in Chinese children aged from 14 months to 14 years old with ASD (21, 22). This checklist includes 57 items and five subscales: sensory behavior, social relating, body and object use, language and communication skills, and social and adaptive skills. Each item was scored from 0 to 3, with higher scores indicate more severe symptoms.

Biochemical Measurements
IGF-1 and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3) were evaluated monthly during the intervention phases of the pilot study. All the laboratory indices were recorded in the morning while the children fasted via chemiluminescent immunometric assay (CLIA) method and analyze in the laboratory of our hospital (23, 24).

Adverse Events
AEs were measured during the study via monitoring visits or phone calls through an adapted semi-structured interview every 2 weeks based on the literature (25) (Supplementary File 2) and extensive clinical evaluations every 4 weeks, which were recorded by investigators or research staff members. The AEs were documented for severity, duration, and management.

Statistical Analyses
All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk). Data were reported as mean ± SD. Adverse events results were reported as numbers and percentages. ANOVA was implemented to compared the different effects of the orders of giving placebo or rhGH first, and to compare whether differences of GDS and SC-ABC exits at baseline. Following completion of the treatment,
independent sample t-tests were conducted to compare main measurements of two groups. Paired t-test was conducted to compare main measurements between the baseline and after 3 months intervention. Bonferroni’s correction was conducted to control for the probability of committing a type I error when multiple comparisons of the scores of the GDS and SC-ABC or the levels of serum IGF-1, IGFBP-3, P_{new} = P_{original}/n (n: The total number of comparisons or tests being performed) (26–28). The trend threshold is set at $P < 0.05$ and corrected significance threshold is set at $P < 0.0083$ ($n = 6$) in these test.

**RESULTS**

**Effect of Orders**

The results were shown in the Table 3. The sequence of administration had no significant effect on treatment efficacy, and no statistically significant differences were found on the serum level of IGF-1 ($F = 0.156, P = 0.071$), IGFBP-3 ($F = 0.687, P = 0.454$), total scores of GDS ($F = 0.643, P = 0.468$), and SC-ABC ($F = 0.007, P = 0.938$) in different orders. Meanwhile, we found significant differences on the main measurements after rhGH treatment ($P < 0.0083$), which means rhGH treatment can take effect on the main measurements.

**Changes in GDS**

We compared the total scores and subscale scores of GDS to measure the neuropsychological changes between the baseline and following 3-months of rhGH treatment in both groups (Table 4). When compared with the placebo, rhGH treatment trended to improve the total scores of GDS ($P < 0.05$). When compared with the baseline, the DQ score also improved ($P < 0.0083$). Furthermore, significant improvements were observed in the subscale scores of GDS after 3 months of treatment (Table 5), in comparison with those of the baseline. These changes included: gross motor function ($P < 0.0083$), fine motor function ($P < 0.0083$), language development ($P < 0.0083$), adaptive skills ($P < 0.0083$), and personal social behavior ($P < 0.0083$). The effect sizes of the rhGH treatment by measuring the total scores difference of the GDS between two groups were also provided ($r = 0.549$).

**Changes in SC-ABC**

We compared the total scores and subscale scores of SC-ABC to measure the behavior changes between baseline and following 3-months rhGH treatment in both groups (Table 6). When compared with the placebo, rhGH treatment significantly decreased the total scores of SC-ABC ($P < 0.0083$). When compared with the baseline, the total score ($P < 0.0083$). The similar results were also observed in the subscale scores of SC-ABC after 3 months of treatment, in comparison with baseline (Table 7), including sensory behavior ($P < 0.0083$), social relating ($P < 0.0083$), body and object use ($P < 0.0083$), language and communication skills ($P < 0.0083$), and social and adaptive skills ($P < 0.0083$). The effect sizes of the rhGH treatment by measuring the total scores difference of the SC-ABC between two groups were also provided ($r = 0.847$).

**Changes in Biochemical Measurements**

Compared with the baseline, the level of serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 trend to increased ($P < 0.05$) following 3-months rhGH treatment, while the placebo group had no significant impact on serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 ($P > 0.05$) (see Table 8). The effect size of the changes in the level of serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 is $r = 0.736$ and $r = 0.623$, respectively, which in turn prove rhGH can take effect via increase the level of serum IGF-1 in circulation.

**Adverse Events Results**

We found that rhGH was well tolerated by the patients during treatment, with no serious AEs observed. One child developed skin allergy the day after the first rhGH treatment, with red plaques on the chest, back, legs, and face. After 2 days of treatment with dry desloratadine suspension, the symptoms were resolved. He did not exhibit additional allergic signs after continuous further use of rhGH. During the study period, all the children tolerated the dose of rhGH treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported pilot study aiming to investigate the safety and efficacy of rhGH in patients with PMS. Growth hormone (GH) is a 191-amino acid, single-chain polypeptide, which is secreted by pituitary gland (29). GH has well-established roles in stimulating cell growth, reproduction, and regeneration (30). IGF-1 is a 70-amino acid polypeptide hormone primarily produced in the liver, which is well known to promote the peripheral effects of GH and serve as the mediator factor for GH’s actions. IGF-1 in circulation can bypass the blood-brain barrier into the brain tissue and cerebrospinal fluid, activating its receptors as GH does (31, 32). Previous studies have reported the GH-IGF-1 axis plays an important role in multiple brain functions and might be targeted as a promising therapy for neurodegenerative diseases such as Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, and dementia (33–36). However, due to the pharmacological half-life of GH in the circulation and the cross-activation of GH/IGF-1 receptors, to distinguish the effects between GH and IGF-1 still remains difficult. As our previous case report has shown that GH could improve the outcomes and symptoms of PMS (16), this pilot study further investigated the efficacy and safety of rhGH and suggests that rhGH may improve the symptoms of PMS. Based on the results from GDS, gross motor function, fine motor function, language development, adaptive skills, and personal social behavior were significantly improved ($P < 0.0083$) following rhGH treatment; meanwhile, the results from SC-ABC showed that rhGH treatment also significantly improved sensory behavior, social relationships, body and object use, language and communication skills, and social and adaptive skills of the patients ($P < 0.0083$).

The GH/IGF-I axis involves multiple different signaling pathways (Figure 2). GH promotes children growth mainly by regulating IGF-I production through growth hormone receptor (GHR). The classical GH-GHR signaling pathway involves the cytosolic Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) and signal transducer and
TABLE 3 | Analysis of variance of crossover data on main measurements value in two groups.

| Source of variation | DQ | SC-ABC | IGF-1 | IGFBP-3 |
|---------------------|----|--------|-------|---------|
|                     | F  | Nominal P | F   | Nominal P | F   | Nominal P | F   | Nominal P |
| Order               | 0.643 | 0.468 | 0.007 | 0.938 | 0.156 | 0.071 | 0.687 | 0.454 |
| Subject             | 86.190 | 0.000 | 11.828 | 0.016 | 8.171 | 0.032 | 2.807 | 0.002 |
| Treatment           | 225.804 | 0.000 | 192.980 | 0.000 | 85.951 | 0.001 | 6.124 | 0.048 |

SC-ABC, Simplified Chinese version of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist; DQ, development quotient; IGFBP-3, insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1.
Nominal P-value is set at 0.05, corrected statistical significance is set at 0.0083. Values that were lower than 0.0083 would be shown in bold.

TABLE 4 | Comparison of the total scores of the GDS before and after treatment.

| Projects | Placebo (n = 6) | rhGH (n = 6) | t | Nominal P-value | Cohen's d | Effect size |
|----------|----------------|--------------|---|-----------------|-----------|-------------|
|          | Mean  | SD  | Mean  | SD  | Mean  | SD  |        |               |             |
| Baseline | 57.47 | 11.89 | 56.60 | 11.10 | 0.131 | 0.999 |       |               |             |
| 3 months | 58.31 | 12.75 | 76.11 | 14.29 | −2.277 | 0.046 | 1.314 | 0.549          |             |
| t        | −2.103 | −9.562 | 002F | /     | /     | /     |       |               |             |
| Nominal P-value | 0.089 | <0.001 | /     | /     | /     | /     |       |               |             |

GDS, Gesell Development Scale.
Nominal P-value is set at 0.05, corrected statistical significance is set at 0.0083. Values that were lower than 0.0083 would be shown in bold.

TABLE 5 | Comparison of the subscale scores of the GDS before and after treatment.

| Projects        | Baseline (n = 6) | 3 months (n = 6) | t  | Nominal P-value |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|----|----------------|
|                 | Mean  | SD  | Mean  | SD  | Mean  | SD  |       |               |
| Gross motor     |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |               |
| Placebo         | 53.45 | 22.57 | 54.00 | 22.27 | −1.464 | 0.203 |
| rhGH            | 52.50 | 22.15 | 79.27 | 16.87 | −7.309 | <0.001 |
| Fine motor      |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |               |
| Placebo         | 51.62 | 21.40 | 53.05 | 22.46 | −1.609 | 0.169 |
| rhGH            | 50.00 | 20.59 | 73.85 | 25.10 | −8.529 | <0.001 |
| Language        |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |               |
| Placebo         | 48.57 | 22.54 | 48.88 | 22.02 | −0.583 | 0.588 |
| rhGH            | 47.67 | 21.92 | 68.82 | 28.60 | −6.556 | <0.001 |
| Adaptive skills |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |               |
| Placebo         | 65.33 | 8.51 | 66.17 | 9.61 | −1.185 | 0.289 |
| rhGH            | 65.17 | 8.54 | 82.07 | 6.59 | −8.372 | <0.001 |
| Personal social behavior |       |     |       |     |       |     |       |               |
| Placebo         | 63.88 | 8.10 | 64.82 | 8.09 | −1.444 | 0.208 |
| rhGH            | 63.17 | 9.50 | 76.50 | 9.68 | −4.050 | 0.010 |

GDS, Gesell Development Scale.
Nominal P-value is set at 0.05, corrected statistical significance is set at 0.0083. Values that were lower than 0.0083 would be shown in bold.

activator of transcription 5B (STAT5B) (37). Several other pathways, such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and the phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PIK3) pathways, are also activated by the GHR-JAK2 system (38). JAK2 mutations have been proved to be the cause of several hematologic disorders such as myeloproliferative neoplasm, thrombocytopenia, and polycythemia Vera (39–41). STAT5B deficiency is tightly associated with growth hormone insensitivity (GHI) syndrome, IGF-I deficiency, and postnatal growth failure (42). MAPK is partially associated with GHI syndrome and PI3K is related to anti-apoptosis (43, 44). To date, however, it is still difficult to define the precise signaling of IGF-1 in neurodegenerative diseases (45, 46). The most investigated pathways of IGF-1 are PI3K/Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and PI3K/Akt/GSK3β pathways. The IGF-1 is the most important pathway that promotes cell proliferation, growth, tumorigenesis...
### TABLE 6 | Comparison of total SC-ABC score before and after treatment.

| Projects | Placebo (n = 6) | rhGH (n = 6) | t | Nominal P-value | Cohen's d | Effect size |
|----------|----------------|--------------|---|----------------|-----------|------------|
|          | Mean       | SD            | Mean | SD             |           |            |
| Baseline | 70.17      | 9.45          | 70.50 | 10.01          | 0.088     | 0.893      |
| 3 months | 70.00      | 9.01          | 41.83 | 8.64           | 5.52     | <0.001     |
| t        | 1.397      | 13.717        | /     | /              | /         | /          |
| Nominal P-value | 0.221 | <0.001        | /     | /              | /         | /          |

SC-ABC, Simplified Chinese version of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist. Nominal P-value is set at 0.05, corrected statistical significance is set at 0.0083. Values that were lower than 0.0083 would be shown in bold.

### TABLE 7 | Comparison of subscale scores of SC-ABC before and after treatment.

| Projects | Baseline (n = 6) | 3 months (n = 6) | t | Nominal P-value |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|---|----------------|
|          | Mean | SD    | Mean | SD |           |            |
| Sensory behavior | Placebo | 11.50 | 3.02 | 11.33 | 2.98 | 1.000 | 0.363 |
|              | rhGH  | 11.67 | 2.88 | 7.33  | 1.97 | 5.398 | 0.003 |
| Social relating | Placebo | 17.00 | 2.28 | 16.50 | 2.26 | 1.000 | 0.363 |
|              | rhGH  | 16.83 | 2.14 | 10.17 | 2.56 | 10.847 | <0.001 |
| Body and object use | Placebo | 17.67 | 2.80 | 18.17 | 2.71 | 1.000 | 0.203 |
|              | rhGH  | 17.50 | 2.74 | 10.67 | 2.80 | 4.722 | 0.005 |
| Language and communication skills | Placebo | 12.67 | 4.55 | 12.00 | 4.05 | 1.000 | 0.102 |
|              | rhGH  | 13.00 | 5.22 | 7.17  | 2.71 | 4.238 | 0.008 |
| Social and adaptive skills | Placebo | 11.67 | 1.51 | 11.83 | 2.04 | 1.000 | 0.809 |
|              | rhGH  | 11.67 | 1.51 | 6.50  | 0.84 | 7.900 | 0.001 |

SC-ABC, Simplified Chinese version of the Aberrant Behavior Checklist. Nominal P-value is set at 0.05, corrected statistical significance is set at 0.0083. Values that were lower than 0.0083 would be shown in bold.

### TABLE 8 | Comparison of IGF-1, IGFBP-3 before and after treatment.

| Projects | Placebo (n = 6) | rhGH (n = 6) | t | Nominal P-value | Cohen's d | Effect size |
|----------|----------------|--------------|---|----------------|-----------|------------|
|          | Mean       | SD            | Mean | SD             |           |            |
| IGF-1    |             |               |             |               |           |            |
| Baseline | 122.41      | 24.96         | 122.35 | 24.36          | 0.004     | 0.974      |
| 3 months | 123.97      | 24.43         | 254.73 | 81.38          | −3.770    | 0.004     |
| t        | −1.646      | −4.657        | /     | /              | /         | /          |
| Nominal P-value | 0.161 | 0.006        | /     | /              | /         | /          |
| IGFBP-3  |             |               |             |               |           |            |
| Baseline | 3.43        | 0.60          | 3.49    | 0.63           | 0.004     | 1.000     |
| 3 months | 3.42        | 0.72          | 4.60    | 0.76           | −2.766    | 0.020     |
| t        | 0.006       | −3.081        | /     | /              | /         | /          |
| Nominal P-value | 0.950 | 0.027        | /     | /              | /         | /          |

IGFBP-3, insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3; IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor-1. Nominal P-value is set at 0.05, corrected statistical significance is set at 0.0083. Values that were lower than 0.0083 would be shown in bold.
Previous studies shown that more than 70% of human colorectal and breast cancer is linked to gene mutations or gene amplification altered by the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway (48, 49). Therefore, this pathway is an important pathway for targeted therapy and Food and Drug Administration (FDA) had already approved three PI3K inhibitors for cancer treatment. While the GSK3β, a downstream substrate of PI3K/Akt signaling induced by IGF-1, may involve the pathogenesis of Parkinson’s Disease (PD) (50, 51). Hence, the PI3K/Akt/GSK3β signaling pathway may provide a new therapy for PD and further investigations are needed (52).

The specific mechanisms of GH in treating PMS are still unclear, which need further investigation. In the recent years, the GH-IGF-I axis signaling system has emerged as a target for developing novel new therapies for cancer (53, 54). Many GH-releasing hormone antagonists have been tested as anticancer therapies in preclinical studies due to their ability to inhibit the GH–IGF-I axis (55). The influence of GH on cancer risk in individuals without cancer history or cancer-related risk factors has been controversial for many years (54). A previous study demonstrated that GH cause no malignant transformation, while it can reduce the time length of DNA repair during the rapid progression of cell cycle, increasing the risk of gene mutation (53). In a long-term cohort study enrolling 6,928 subjects treated with GH in France, Carel et al. reported ∼33% higher all-cause mortality in the subjects treated with GH, which was mainly attributed to the incidence of bone tumors and intracerebral hemorrhages (56). The clinical guidelines for children patients treated with GH were summarized from the Pediatric Endocrine Society (USA): (i) GH can be safely administered to children without inducing any known risk factor; (ii) in children with known predisposing conditions, GH therapy should be assessed on an individual basis and properly monitored (iii) for children who are cancer survivors in remission, GH therapy can be administered with the understanding that it can enhance the risk of a second malignancy (57). The first clinical relationship between IGF1 and breast cancer was reported in 1959 (58). Previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses also suggested that the high level of IGF1 in circulation is associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (59). In 2020, a study investigated 206,263 women in the UK Biobank and revealed that high level IGF-1 in circulation could induce 40% increased risk for breast cancer in women older than 50 years old (60). However, further long-term observational studies are still needed to unravel the correlation between IGF-1 and cancer. In the present study, we detected a significant increase of serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 levels in the PMS patients, and we hypothesized that rhGH administration could improve PMS symptoms via increasing serum levels of IGF-1, and IGFBP-3.

**LIMITATIONS**

This study has several limitations that need to be acknowledged: First, despite the considerable results obtained from this pilot study, the sample size was extremely small and participants’ ages ranged from 1 to 5 years old, further studies in a larger sample size and/or investigating patients aged over 5 years old are needed. Second, we did not discuss the correlation between total scores difference of the measurements and deletion sizes in this study due to the sample size was extremely small. Third, a 4-week washout period was included to minimize the effects of rhGH on behavioral/developmental measurements based on the previous IGF-1 pilot study (15), bias on the measurements of SC-ABC and GDS still existed. In addition, since GH therapy requires properly monitored in children with known risk of cancer, further long-term studies involving cancer risk factors are needed to validate the safety of rhGH in treating PMS. Lastly, the influence of various dosage of rhGH was not included to ensure its safety in the patients.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, this pilot study involving six PMS children patients reveals that rhGH has a positive treatment effect on PMS. In addition, it is indicated that rhGH can improve PMS symptoms via increasing the level of serum IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 in the patients. These results encourage the undertaking of a large, randomized placebo-controlled trial to conclusively prove rhGH
efficacy and tolerability in PMS, thereby promoting it as a low-cost, more accessible treatment for PMS, as compared to IGF-1.
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