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Abstract

This study evaluated the relative amount of Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) and paracetamol residues contained in household waste at the University of Port Harcourt, Port Harcourt, Nigeria. Residual paracetamol was analysed using a 6405 UV/Vis Spectrophotometer at the maximum wavelength (245 nm) in 0.1 N HCL. Student hostels had a mean paracetamol concentration of 0.6702 ± 0.006 mg/kg while the staff quarters recorded a mean concentration of 0.6569 ± 0.002 mg/kg. Solid waste analysis recorded 0.9 kg/capita/day and 1.1 kg/capita/day for the student hostel and staff quarters respectively. The student’s hostel recorded a mean percentage PPCPs value of 52.9% which was higher than that obtained in the staff quarters (45.9%) indicating that students disposed of more drugs in their waste than does the staff. The study showed that considerable amounts of PPCPs are disposed of in household waste at the study location and household waste is thus a viable pathway of PPCPs into the environment. Such practices could lead to the occurrence of the active ingredients of PPCPs in the environment with the potential to contaminate surface and ground water posing serious risk to human and ecologic health. It was recommended that appropriate return channels be established specially as a route for disposing of unused or expired drugs. Such routes should encourage stewardship of drugs by manufacturers from cradle to grave in an integrated green pharmacy approach.
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Introduction

Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products (PPCPs) are produced and used in increasingly large amount each year wherever man lives. Concerns about the fate and effects of these compounds in the environment have become topical among the scientific community. As opposed to the priority pollutants whose discharge into the environment are now more surreptitious due to regulatory activities PPCPs have enjoyed and are still enjoying unlimited and unregulated discharge into the environment with little knowledge of their potential harm to human and ecological health. This has warranted that detailed investigations be carried out on these contaminants using paradigm methodologies by scientists from different disciplines. Results from such investigations will reveal possible trends in occurrence, fate, exposure and potential physiological effects on ecological and human health. More available data can then form the basis for regulatory or policy decisions on this emerging environmental problem. Moreover, early identification and investigation of a potential environmental problem before it worsens is critical to protecting human and ecological health and also necessary to determine whether the issue is of concern or not so that limited resources can be redirected to other more pressing issues [1].

PPCPs comprise animal and human medicines, diagnostic agents, neutraceuticals, antibiotics, aphrodisiacs sexual enhancement drugs and personal care products such as cosmetics, fragrances, detergents, feminine sanitary products, disinfectants, insect repellents [2]. Their sources include human activity, residues from pharmaceutical manufacturing, residues from hospitals, illicit drugs such as cocaine and methamphetamine, veterinary drug use especially antibiotics and steroids, agro-allied business etc. [1]. Their discovery in environmental samples especially in Europe and America has given rise to concerns over their pathways into the environment and the extent of environmental occurrence, transport, fate and possible physiologic effects on ecological and human health. Comprehensive literature on this emerging environmental problem is still limited. Data available is mostly from Europe and America where PPCPs have been reported to occur at very low levels parts per trillion and parts per billion (ppt and ppb) in various environmental media such as soils [3,4], biosolids [5], surface waters, under-ground water and even drinking water [5-9], landfill leachates [10-12] and household waste [13]. PPCPs are said to have high affinity for sediments in which PPCPs were recovered about 50% more in sediments than in the overlying surface water [14,15].

The primary source and pathways of PPCPs into the environment stems from their use and disposal by people all over the world and household waste constitute one of the primary routes for domestic disposal of PPCPs [13]. What is more worrisome is people are yet to accept the fact that chemical pollution can occur from consumption actions of individuals [16]. As a result, household chemicals (e.g., detergents, deodorizers, degreasers, disinfectants etc.), pharmaceuticals (e.g., caffeine, hormones, steroids, antibiotics, etc.) and other personal care products (PCPs) (e.g., fragrances and cosmetics) are used in large quantities and washed, excreted and flushed down sinks and toilets and their packaging materials disposed along household waste without a second thought. It has been reported that between 50 to 90% of some...
drugs ingested are excreted as its active compound or as a conjugate metabolite into the toilets or directly into water ways as practice in most poor nations like Nigeria [13]. In developed nations, consumers are encouraged to return expired drugs or unused drugs to pharmacies or flushed them down the toilet.

A wide range of pharmaceuticals has been found in fresh and marine waters, and it has recently been shown that even in small quantities, some of these compounds have the potential to cause harm to aquatic life. The primary pathway into the environment is the use and disposal of medicines; although much of the research in this area currently focuses on the removal of pharmaceuticals during sewage treatment processes, disposal via household waste might be a significant pathway requiring further research. It has been demonstrated that disposal of unused pharmaceuticals, either by household waste or via the sink or toilet, may be a prominent route that requires greater attention [13]. The present research investigated the relative composition of PPCPs and residual paracetamol in household waste in two locations at the University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria to determine if household waste is a significant pathway of PPCPs into the environment.

Materials and Methods

Study Area

The investigation was carried out at University of Port Harcourt, Nigerian in Rivers State between these coordinate 4°54'01"N, 6°55'30"E, Figure 1. This study was carried out in one of the university staff quarters (Gambia-ama) and Block D of the female hostel (Delta Park) in University of Port Harcourt which has five wings (A-F). These Locations were chosen because they represent typical urban dwelling in Nigeria, reflecting government quarters and hostel accommodation. Ten houses of the staff quarters were randomly sampled to reduce bias and also the female hostel of the University was surveyed to determine their possible contribution of PPCPs into the environment.

Collection and sorting of samples

This study was carried out in one of the University staff quarters (Gambia-Ama) and Block D of the female hostel (Delta Park) in the University of Port Harcourt which has five wings (A-F). These Locations were chosen because they represent typical urban dwelling in Nigeria, reflecting government quarters and hostel accommodation. Houses were numbered and ten houses of the staff quarters were randomly sampled to reduce bias and also the female hostel of the University was surveyed to determine their possible contribution of PPCPs into the environment.

Preparation of standard curve for paracetamol

A 50 mg quantity of standard paracetamol was dissolved in about 10 ml of absolute alcohol (Sigma, USA Analytical grade) and made up to 50 ml using 0.1 N HCl. (Analytical grade Hydrochloric acid) to give 100 mg stock solution (1 mg/ml). From this stock solution, aliquots of 0.1-0.5 ml were measured and each made up to 50 ml using 0.1 N HCL. Their respective absorbances were determined at 245 nm using a 6405 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Jenway, USA). Beer-Lambert’s Plot was constructed using Absorbance against concentration of the standard paracetamol sample to obtain an equation which was used to compare values obtained from the samples.

Determination of paracetamol content in samples

Aliquots of 95% ethanol were used to wash off the paracetamol from the sachets. Intact tablets were pulverized, dissolved in ethanol and filtered to remove other impurities. The entire dissolutions were made up to 100 ml using ethanol. A 5 ml volume collected from the stock solution of sample dissolutions was made up to 100 ml using 0.1 N HCl. The content of paracetamol in this solution was determined by a 6405 UV/Vis spectrophotometer (Jenway, USA) at 245 nm wavelength (Figure 1).

Results

Solid waste generated per capita

The quantity of solid waste generated in the staff quarters and student’s hostel is presented in Figures 2 and 3 respectively. The mean quantity of waste generated ranged from 2.775 kg/day in house 2 to 8.550 kg/day in house 8 in staff quarters and from 3.075 kg in wing A to 3.975 kg in wing F in the student hostels. House 8 generated the highest average quantity of waste in weeks one two and three respectively while house three recorded the highest in week four. House 2 recorded the least quantity of waste in weeks one and four, house 3 recorded the least in week three while house four recorded the least quantity of waste in week two Figure 2. In the female hostel, wing C recorded the highest quantity of waste in week one, wing F recorded the highest in week two and four while wing E recorded the highest quantity of waste in week three Figure 3.

Relative amounts of PPCPs in staff quarters and students hostel

The percentage composition of PPCPs in the waste from the staff quarters and students hostel is presented in Figures 4 and 5 respectively. The percentage PPCPs in the waste from the staff quarters ranged from 38.94% in house 6 to 52.26% in house 1 while that of student’s hostel ranged from 39.69% in wing A to 65.29% in wing C.
Residual concentration of paracetamol in waste

The overall average residual concentration of Paracetamol in waste from staff quarters and student’s hostel was 0.6702 ± 0.006 mg/kg while that contained in staff quarters was 0.6569 ± 0.002 mg/kg while the average concentration of the standard culture of Paracetamol was 0.386 ± 0.04 mg/g. Individual concentrations of Paracetamol analysed from solid waste samples in the staff quarters and student hostel is provided in Figure 6. In the student hostel, average residual Paracetamol concentrations ranged from 0.579 ± 0.003 to 0.684 ± 0.004 mg/kg with wing 3 and 2 recording the highest and lowest values respectively. While in the staff quarters samples, Paracetamol concentrations ranged from 0.577 ± 0.002 to 0.675 ± 0.002 with house 7 and 4 recording the highest and lowest values respectively.

Discussion

The present study showed that PPCPs were disposed off in household wastes. In the student’s hostel, wing C had the highest mean percentage value of PPCPs in solid waste generated recording (65.29%) and the least in wing A with (39.69%). This simply means that the students in wing C used more of these products either as cosmetics or for health purposes than those in wing A. In the staff quarters, house 1 generated the highest amount with a percentage value of 52.26% while house 6 generated the least amount with 38.94% and this means that house 1 consumed more of these products than house 6. The results showed that the student’s hostel had a higher mean percentage of 52.92% compared to the staff quarters with a mean percentage value of 45.93%. This can be explained using the age distribution between both locations. It was observed that the student’s hostel (female) has more young people and all females than the staff quarters which is comprised mainly of the elderly ones and a combination of both sex. Females have higher consumption rates of these products especially as beauty care products (cosmetics and perfumes amongst others) and also single students who have the higher risk of unwanted pregnancy and infections thus will have more drugs consumption than the staff quarters which will have less use for cosmetics, pills for infections. It has been reported that between 50% to 90% of some drugs ingested is excreted as its active compound or as a conjugate metabolite into the toilets [13] or directly into water ways as practice in most poor Nations like Nigeria.
Despite advice on pharmaceutical packaging that recommends the return of unused medicines to pharmacies, or occasionally to flush them down the toilet, the predominant method of disposal has been reported to be via household waste even in the developed countries like the United Kingdom [13] and United States of America [1]. The results obtained from the analysis of the paracetamol residue in household waste samples in students hostel sample sites was 0.6702 ± 0.006 mg/kg and 0.6569 ± 0.002 mg/kg for the staff quarters samples. These values were statistically significant at P<0.05 when compared to 0.386 ± 0.04 mg/g in the pure sample used to prepare the standard solution for the Beer Lambert curve. This means that the concentration of paracetamol residues found in the household waste generated in both study sites were higher than those found in the pure cultures. The implication of this result means, potent levels of Paracetamol analysed in the present study and possibly others such as antibiotics could enter into the environment when the household waste is finally disposed off in dumpsites and leach, contaminating surface and ground water as well as interfere with microbial communities in soils etc. There was no significant difference in concentrations of residual Paracetamol analysed in the samples from the student’s hostel and staff quarters (P>0.05) Figure 6, although the student’s hostel recorded more PPCPs in its solid waste stream. The Tukey’s test also showed no significant difference in residual paracetamol between the student hostel wings or between the staff quarters houses.

A risk assessment and management process of household disposal of medicine is worthy of consideration to avoid environmental contaminations. Discarded pharmaceuticals are defined in the United Kingdom by the Controlled Waste Regulations 1992 Her Majesty’s Stationery Office [17] as clinical waste and as such are controlled by the Special Waste Regulations 1996 [18]. According to this legislation, such waste may be disposed of in landfill sites designed to accommodate hazardous waste, or it may be incinerated. However, once dispensed to or purchased by a member of the public in developing countries such as Nigeria, any unwanted pharmaceutical products are classified as household waste, and their disposal is not subject to any controls. The effects of uncontrolled disposal of drugs into the environment can have far reaching consequences as though their levels may be seen as small, their continuous disposal on daily basis may confer a pseudo-persistent nature on them [1]. Other issues include increase chemical toxicity when they interact with other environmental chemicals. Manufacturer packaging usually recommends disposal by returning to the pharmacist; however, disposal via the sink/toilet or in normal household waste is common. Pharmaceuticals in landfill sites are subject to biological degradation processes, but some may persist and even leach into surrounding groundwater and rivers [11,19,20].

Results obtained from the present study agree with reports from other places. An investigation into the disposal habits of the American public found that only 1.4% of the people they surveyed returned unused medication to the pharmacy, whereas 54% threw them away and 35.4% disposed of them in the sink/toilet [21]. In United Kingdom, Ref. [13] reported that two-thirds of medications (63.2%) were disposed off in household waste and household waste constitute an important pathway for the exit of PPCPs into the environment.

Conclusion

The present study showed clearly that household waste is an important pathway for disposal of PPCPs into the environment and indeed the active ingredient of these chemicals as shown by the paracetamol residue enter the environment through this pathway. The occurrence of PPCPs in the environment even at low levels has been reported to cause irreversible changes even at the genetic level. An alternative route of disposal of medicine and personal care products is advocated which may include return of medicine to producers for appropriate disposal in an integrated green pharmacy approach.
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