Interferon α-2b spray shortened viral shedding time of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant: An open prospective cohort study
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Background: The Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant has spread quickly worldwide due to its effects on virus transmission and vaccine effectiveness. Interferon (IFN) has been shown to have a protective effect against SARS-CoV because of its broad antiviral activity. This study aimed to analyze the treatment effects of IFNα-2b spray in virus clearance of the Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant.

Methods: We examined the effectiveness and safety of IFN α-2b spray in Shanghai, China, with participants infected with the Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant in an open, prospective cohort study from April 16th to May 5th, 2022.

Results: A total of 871 confirmed patients were enrolled in this study. Four hundred and thirteen patients were allocated to the IFNα-2b spray group, and 458 patients were allocated to the control group. The viral shedding time was significantly different between experimental group and control group (11.90 vs.12.58, P<0.05). In the experimental group, the median administration time since the first positive test for SARS-CoV-2 was three days, ranging from 0 to 15 days. There was no obvious adverse effect associated with the spray of IFN α-2b.

The univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the administration time since the first positive test ≤3 days was a protective factor associated with viral shedding time (HR 0.81 95% CI 0.74-0.87, P<0.05). Subgroup analysis showed that the viral shedding time was 10.41 (4.00-16.00) days in the ≤3 days group, which was significantly less than that in the control group (12.58, 95% CI: 7.00-19.15, P<0.0001) and in the >3 days group (13.56, 95%CI: 7.00-22.25, P<0.0001).

Conclusions: IFN α-2b spray shortened the viral shedding time of the Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant when administrated within three days since the first positive test for SARS-CoV-2.
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Introduction

Currently, the Omicron outpaces the other variants of SARS-CoV-2 to be the dominant circulating strain, sweeping across the world (1). Over 500,000 local Omicron infections have been reported in China between 1 March and 22 April 2022, with the majority occurring in Shanghai (about 93%) (2). The major Omicron sub-lineages that prevail among the local novel coronavirus pneumonia (COVID-19) outbreaks in China are BA.1 and BA.2 (3–5). Paxlovid (nirmatrelvir/ritonavir) was authorized by the Chinese National medical products administration in February 12, 2022 for cases with mild to moderate COVID-19. However, the potential for significant drug-drug interactions, the high cost and the low-accessibility limit clinical use. Globally, there are an increasing number of cases and deaths and very limited treatment options, so new effective antiviral drugs are urgently needed.

Considering that type I interferons (IFNs) inhibit the replication of both DNA and RNA viruses at different stages of their replication cycles and effect on activating immune cell populations to clear infections, type I IFNs are directly antiviral agents (6). Based on its character of broad antiviral activity, IFN has been shown to exert a protective effect against SARS-CoV infection (7). However, patients with Covid-19 who received IFN treatment had little effect, as indicated by their overall mortality, the start of ventilation, and the length of their hospital stay in multiple clinical studies (8–10). The possible reason was that SARS-CoV-2 was capable of avoiding or disabling many of interferon’s effects (11). Nevertheless, a recent investigation revealed that Omicron variant has a lower ability to withstand host cell interferon responses. Further study showed that sequence variations in the SARS-CoV-2 IFN antagonists nsp3, nsp12, nsp13, nsp14, M protein, the nucleocapsid protein, and/or ORF3a may contribute to these differences (12, 13). As Omicron variant possesses a substantially enhanced IFN sensitivity, IFNs represent a promising option for the treatment of Omicron patients. Although a lot of meaningful exploration has been made, evidence of IFN effectiveness is mixed. Furthermore, the optimal route of administration and timing of IFN therapy to treat SARS-CoV-2 is not well documented.

Moreover, Nasal epithelium is thought to be one of the main entry points for SARS-CoV-2. The high transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2 is attributed to nasal epithelial tropism and efficient virus release from the nasopharynx. However, the main entrance of SARS-CoV-2, Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), was expressed at very low protein levels in respiratory and olfactory epithelial cells. Another host factor, neuropilin-1 (NRP1) has been demonstrated as an entrance for SARS-CoV-2 infection (14). NRP1 represented as an ACE2 potentiating factor by promoting the interaction of the virus with ACE2 (15). A recent study analyzed the receptor-ligand interaction and found that the NRP1 coreceptor pathway may increase the infectivity of the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 (16). Nasal cells mount a robust innate antiviral response to SARS-CoV-2 dominated by paracrine IFN-I/III signaling. Upon exposure to exogenous IFN-I/III, these cells undergo a profound antiviral response (17). A new study by Imperial College London showed that Omicron replicates rapidly in human primary airway cultures, enabling Omicron to infect more cells in the respiratory epithelium, allowing it to be more infectious at lower exposure doses and resulting in enhanced intrinsic transmissibility (18). Similarly, another study identified that Omicron variant replicates more rapidly in the respiratory tract than all other SARS-CoV-2 variants, but less efficiently in the lungs, which may explain the reduced severity of Omicron that is now being reported in epidemiological studies (19). As the highest viral loads are detectable in the upper respiratory tract, reducing infectious viruses in the nasopharynx could lower viral shedding and, consequently, transmission by infected individuals (20).

IFN spray could act on respiratory epithelium, and directly exerts antiviral activity. According to the study from Gao, using IFN α-2b for spray could effectively prevent respiratory infections caused by influenza viruses, para-influenza viruses, and adenoviruses (21). Therefore, IFN spray could be a potential prophylactic and therapeutic agent against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. This study aimed to analyze the treatment effects of IFN α-2b spray in virus clearance of Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant in an open, prospective cohort.

Methods

Patients and study design

A total of 871 confirmed patients from Shanghai Temporary Hospital (Chongming District, Shanghai) were enrolled in this study from April 16th to May 5th, 2022. Four hundred and thirteen patients were allocated to the IFN α-2b spray group, and 458 patients were allocated to the control group (Figure 1). None of the asymptomatic or mild participants underwent blood tests, limited by the temporary hospital. The study was registered in the ClinicalTrials.gov (Regstry NO. ChiCTR2200058790) and has been approved by the ethic committee of the first affiliated hospital of Anhui medical university (PJ-2022-0408). All participants provided informed consent before enrollment and drug administration.

This open, prospective cohort study aims to evaluate the safety and viral shedding time (real-time PCR Ct value >35 for both ORFlab and N gene) of IFN α-2b spray in treating Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant from April 16th to May 5th, 2022. Patients aged between 18 and 60 years, with real-time PCR confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were enrolled. Exclusion criteria: 1) With history of IFN allergies; 2) co-morbidities such as chronic heart failure and respiratory failure, severe malnutrition, and immune deficiencies; 3) patients with a severe or critical COVID-19 diagnosis before intervention; 4) active bacterial, fungal, or viral infections besides COVID-19; 5)
patients who received monoclonal antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 or antiviral treatment; 6) the time from the first positive test to hospital admission was more than 15 days; 7) patients who or whose partner were pregnant, nursing, or likely to become pregnant; 8) patients who rejected participation in the study.

**Interventions**

Complete medical history was taken, including demographic information, chronic disease history, symptoms of COVID-19 illness and vaccination status at the baseline after receiving consent from every participant. In addition to an essential clinical assessment and examination, appropriate protective measures were taken for all participants. The participants were randomized into two groups with the control group and the experimental group.

In the experimental group, recombinant human IFN-α-2b were sprayed on the patients’ posterior pharyngeal wall, bilateral tonsils and oral lesions every 6 hours for seven days (3 sprays/time, about 1.2 million IU/day, ANKE Biotechnology (Group) Co., Ltd., HEFEI, CHINA). After spraying, diet and water were prohibited for 15 minutes. The control group did not receive IFN-α-2b spray. All of the participants received symptomatic treatment based on their clinical manifestations, including non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, cough mixtures and traditional Chinese medicine.

**Study definitions**

Time to viral clearance was the primary outcome. SARS-CoV-2 RNA was tested daily in respiratory specimens from all patients until discharge. The nucleic acid test negative conversion was defined as two consecutive negative tests (Ct value >35 for the ORF1ab and N gene). The viral shedding time was defined as the duration from the first positive nucleic acid test to the date of the first negative test (in two consecutive, more than 24 hours apart). For patients still shedding virus at the end of the study, the time from the date of confirmed diagnosis to the final follow-up date of May 15th, 2022 was used for the calculation of viral shedding time.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as medians (Range) or means (Standard Deviation, SD) and compared using a non-parametric test. Categorical variables were expressed as numbers (%) and compared by the χ² test or Fisher’s exact tests. The viral shedding time was compared between the two groups in both the primary and subgroup analyses. Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval were calculated by Cox regression. A two-sided P <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

Participant characteristics were generally similar between the two groups (Table 1) except for the sex ratio. The proportion of males in the experimental group (76.3%) was higher than that in the control group (67.2%). The average age was similar for both groups. There were 10 (2.4%) and 13 (2.8%) patients in the two groups who were obese. The experimental and control groups did not differ in smoking. Fewer cases of chronic...
diseases were observed in the experimental group (13.8% vs. 17.2%) with no significance. Other chronic diseases included stable chronic bronchitis, asthma, hypothyroidism, and chronic hepatitis B also had no significant difference. The most frequently reported symptoms were fever (45.0% vs. 36.0%) and cough (44.6% vs. 45.4%) in both groups. There were no significant differences between vaccination status in the two groups, and both groups had high vaccination rates (97.6% vs. 97.4%, P = 0.852). There were 261 and 244 participants who received the booster dose, respectively. In the experimental group, the median administration time since the first positive test for SARS-CoV-2 was three days, ranging from 0 to 15 days. There was no obvious adverse effect associated with spray of IFN-α-2b.

The univariate Cox regression analysis revealed that the administration time since the first positive test ≤ 3 days was a protective factor associated with the viral shedding time (Table 2, HR 0.81 95% CI 0.74-0.87, P < 0.05). The viral

| TABLE 1 The clinical characteristics of enrolled patients. |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Experimental (N=413)** | **Control (N=458)** | **P-value** |
| Age, Mean ± SD, years | 39.0 ± 10.3 | 39.5 ± 10.3 | 0.463 |
| Gender, n (%) | | | 0.003 |
| Female | 98 (23.7) | 150 (32.8) | | |
| Male | 315 (76.3) | 308 (67.2) | | |
| Obesity, n (%) | | | 0.701 |
| Normal | 403 (97.6) | 445 (97.2) | | |
| Obesity | 10 (2.4) | 13 (2.8) | | |
| Smoking, n (%) | | | 0.165 |
| Non-smoking | 373 (90.3) | 400 (87.3) | | |
| Heavy smoking | 40 (9.7) | 58 (12.7) | | |
| Chronic diseases, n (%) | | | 0.162 |
| Non-chronic diseases | 356 (86.2%) | 379 (82.8%) | | |
| Chronic diseases | 57 (13.8%) | 79 (17.2%) | | |
| Hypertension | 48 (11.6) | 68 (14.8) | | |
| Diabetes | 10 (2.4) | 15 (3.3) | | |
| Other | 5 (1.2) | 7 (1.5) | | |
| Clinical classification, n(%) | | | 0.690 |
| Asymptomatic | 185 (44.8) | 199 (43.4) | | |
| Symptomatic | 228 (55.2) | 259 (56.6) | | |
| fever | 186 (45.0) | 165 (36.0) | | |
| cough | 184 (44.6) | 208 (45.4) | | |
| Sputum production | 141 (34.1) | 153 (33.4) | | |
| Sore throat | 128 (31.0) | 156 (34.1) | | |
| loss of gustation | 25 (6.1) | 37 (8.1) | | |
| loss of olfaction | 12 (2.9) | 14 (3.1) | | |
| Vaccination, n (%) | | | 0.852 |
| Unvaccinated | 10 (2.4) | 12 (2.6) | | |
| Vaccinated | 403 (97.6) | 446 (97.4) | | |
| Partially vaccinated | 18 (4.5) | 31 (7.0) | | |
| Full vaccination | 124 (30.8) | 171 (38.3) | | |
| Booster | 261 (64.8) | 244 (54.7) | | |
| Admission time since the first positive test 0.120 |
| Median (range), days | 3 (0-15) | 3 (0-15) | | |
| Mean ± SD, days | 4.63 ± 3.94 | 3.86 ± 3.17 | | |
| Administration time since the first positive test ≤ 3 days, n(%) | | | |
| Median (range), days | 3 (0-15) | | | |
| Mean ± SD, days | 4.6 ± 3.59 | | | |
| >3 days, n(%) | 196 (47.5) | | | |
| Median (range), days | 3 (0-15) | | | |
| Mean ± SD, days | 4.6 ± 3.59 | | | |
shedding time was significantly different between experimental group and control group (11.90(5.00-20.00) vs.12.58(7.00-19.15), P = 0.024, Table 3). According to the median administration time since the first positive test for SARS-CoV-2, the experimental group was divided into ≤3 days group and >3 days group. Subgroup analysis showed that the viral shedding time was 10.41 (4.00-16.00) days in the ≤3 days group and 13.56 (7.00-22.25) days in the >3 days group (P<0.0001, Table 3). The subgroup analyses for vaccination, gender, obesity (BMI ≥30), heavy smoking (20 or more cigarettes per day), symptoms were performed. The effect of IFN-α-2b spray on virus clearance was significant among vaccinated, non-obese, smoking, and symptomatic patients.

**Table 2** The hazard ratios, two-sided 95% confidence intervals, and P value were estimated with the use of Cox regression with the baseline stratification factors as covariates.

| Administration time since the first positive test | Adjusted Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P value |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| ≤3 days                                           | 0.81 (0.74, 0.87)              | 0.000   |
| >3 days                                           | 1.20 (1.02, 1.43)              | 0.031   |
| Gender                                            |                                |         |
| Male                                              | 0.96 (0.82,1.12)               | 0.540   |
| Age                                               | 0.99 (0.99,1.00)               | 0.019   |
| Obesity                                           | 1.44 (0.95,2.18)               | 0.088   |
| Heavy smoking                                     | 0.97 (0.79,1.20)               | 0.805   |
| Asymptomatic                                      | 1.00 (0.87,1.14)               | 0.980   |
| Vaccination                                       | 0.83 (0.55,1.27)               | 0.397   |
| Partially vaccinated                              | 0.84 (0.55,1.29)               | 0.428   |
| Full vaccination                                  | 1.03 (0.77,1.38)               | 0.836   |
| Booster                                           | 1.03 (0.89,1.18)               | 0.741   |
| Chronic diseases                                  | 0.87 (0.72,1.05)               | 0.137   |

**Table 3** Main groups and subgroups analysis of the differences of the viral shedding time.

| Main groups and subgroups(95% CI) | IFN(n=413) | Control(n=458) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| Administration time since the first positive test |            |                |         |
| ≤3 days                           | 10.41 (4.00-16.00) n=217 | 12.58 (7.00-19.15) n=458 | <0.0001 |
| >3 days                           | 13.56 (7.00-22.25) n=196 | 12.58 (7.00-19.15) n=458 | 0.077   |
| Gender                            |            |                |         |
| Male                              | 11.78 (5.00-20.15) n=98  | 12.47 (8.00-18.55) n=150 | 0.210   |
| Male                              | 11.93 (8.99-20.00) n=315 | 12.63 (6.00-21.00) n=308 | 0.056   |
| Obesity                           |            |                |         |
| Non-obesity                       | 11.95 (3.00-20.00) n=403 | 12.60 (7.00-19.80) n=445 | 0.033   |
| Obesity                           | 9.80 (4.25-14.55) n=10  | 11.69 (7.00-14.60) n=13 | 0.205   |
| Smoking                           |            |                |         |
| Non-smoking                       | 12.00 (5.00-20.40) n=373 | 12.49 (7.00-19.00) n=400 | 0.126   |
| Heavy smoking                     | 10.98 (4.00-15.20) n=40  | 13.22 (6.00-24.00) n=58 | 0.011   |
| Symptoms                          |            |                |         |
| Asymptomatic                      | 11.95 (4.20-20.00) n=185 | 12.38 (6.00-19.00) n=199 | 0.375   |
| Symptomatic                       | 11.86 (5.35-20.00) n=228 | 12.73 (7.00-21.00) n=259 | 0.021   |
symptomatic patients (Table 3). The above results and the Kaplan-Meier curves indicated that viral shedding resolved sooner in individuals prescribed IFN-α-2b spray within three days of onset. The differences were statistically significant ($P<0.0001$, Figure 2).

**Discussion**

From February 26th to May 5th, 2022, there were 55,131 cumulative confirmed cases and 562,863 cumulative asymptomatic cases reported in the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 epidemic wave (22). The emerging studies show that the Omicron variant became milder than the previous variants, the trend of increasing cases and admissions shifted with a higher and quicker peak but fewer patients were admitted to hospital, less clinically severe illnesses (23, 24). However, a recent study published in JAMA revealed that all-cause excess mortality in Massachusetts during the first eight weeks of the Omicron period was more than that during the entire 23-week Delta period (25). It presumably reflects a higher mortality product (i.e., a moderately lower infection fatality rate multiplied by a far higher infection rate). A predictive model study from China showed that immunity induced by the March 2022 vaccination campaign would not be sufficient to prevent an Omicron wave. The study also showed that the Omicron wave would cause a projected intensive care unit peak demand of 15.6 times the existing capacity and cause approximately 1.55 million deaths (26). As previously reported, the Omicron caused more infections but less severe ones or deaths, while constant outbreaks and a large population base still put a tremendous amount of strain on the system.

Some new drugs such as Paxlovid (nirmatrelvir/ritonavir) are developing and being tested in clinical trials, but still hard to widely used due to the high cost and side effects. Therefore, it is urgent to develop a simple and effective anti-viral drug for combating the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2 pandemics. In this study, all participants were asymptomatic and mild cases. IFN-α-2b spray significantly accelerated the viral shedding by 2-3 days when applied within three days since the first positive test for SARS-CoV-2. In addition, more than 97% of cases in experimental and control groups received the vaccine, which suggested that IFN-α-2b spray might benefit people who have already been vaccinated. Subgroup analysis revealed that vaccinated participants cleared viral infection faster regardless of when the first injection occurred. Notably, the same effect was observed in non-obese, smoking and symptomatic cases.

Innate immunity, in particular IFN-I, is the first line of defense against viral infection. IFN-I has an essential role in the pathogenesis of COVID-19 (27–29). Even though rapid induction of type I IFNs prevents viral propagation, a sustained increase in the levels of type I IFNs in the late phase of the infection results in aberrant inflammation and poor clinical outcome (29–32). A study from Domizio et al. showed that the cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS)–stimulator of interferon genes (STING) pathway, which controls immunity to cytosolic DNA, was a critical driver of aberrant type I IFN responses in COVID-19 (33). It has been reported that early administration of therapeutic IFN could correct the imbalanced IFN response with excessive cytokine production caused by repressed type I IFN expression in critically ill COVID-19 patients (34). However, ACE2 has been demonstrated as a type I and III interferon-stimulated gene in human airway epithelial cells (35), which suggested that IFN may promote viral entry and replication in those cells. A multicenter cohort
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*Figure 2*  
The Kaplan-Meier curve of the viral shedding time. Red line: ≤3 days group; green line: >3 days group; blue line: control group. $P<0.0001$ between ≤3 days group and control group; $P=0.0176$ between >3 days group and control group; $P<0.0001$ between ≤3 days group and >3 days group.
study has shown no association of early IFN use with CT scan improvement in survived patients, and late IFN use was associated with slower CT scan improvement (36). Similarly, we found that early use of IFN-α-2b spray shortened viral shedding time, whereas delayed use may lead to prolonged viral shedding time. (Table 3, administration time since the first positive test 13.56 (7.00-22.25) vs. 12.58 (7.00-19.15)).

Unlike other big proteins or molecules, Type I IFNs have been widely used as an anti-viral agent for a long time. Type I IFNs act through ubiquitously expressed IFN-α/β receptors (type I IFN receptor 1, IFNAR1 and IFNAR2), which are associated with tyrosine kinase 2 (TYK2) and Janus kinase 1 (JAK1), respectively (37). As the IFNAR receptors are generally widely expressed, the type I IFNs have a broad range of target cells, except red blood cells, phagocytes and kidney cells (38). However, the immunomodulatory action of IFN-α causes the release of a series of cytokines, including TNF-α, IL-1, IL-2, IL-6, and IFN-γ, resulting in a cytokine storm that leads to adverse reactions such as fever, muscle soreness, chills and other transient flu-like symptoms (39, 40). In our study, we choose the aerosol instead of intramuscular injection to avoid the side effect. The application of IFN-α-2b spray had several advantages. First of all, the drug is commercially available, making it easier to apply than subcutaneous injections or atomized inhalations. Second, because the spray treatment can target the respiratory system directly, there is no need for systemic distribution. Third, as mentioned above, the use of IFN-α-2b spray in this study did not lead to noticeable side effects. Finally, in contrast with atomization inhalation, IFN-α-2b spray avoids droplet and aerosol transmission risks. However, there was a limitation in this study, the difference of viral shedding time between experimental and control group of unvaccinated patients had no significance. This may due to limited number of unvaccinated participants enrolled in this study.

In conclusion, our study is the first to evaluate the clinical function of IFN-α-2b spray, which was an inexpensive, easily available, few side effects drug to the Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant. Furthermore, IFN-α-2b spray shortened the viral shedding time, and the administration time was within three days since the first positive test for SARS-CoV-2. However, the results of our study need to be further validated in other research before being clinically used in the future.
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