Introduction

Researchers share the results of their work through publication in peer-reviewed journals, and participate to the scientific progress and advances in health care by providing evidence based policies.

Western Europe led the world on infectious diseases and in cardiopulmonary medicine publication, whereas the United States ranked first both in quantity (49.1%) and quality of publication in preventive medicine, public health and epidemiology [1]. Developing countries are not able to contribute to knowledge input as compared to developed countries. Screening of more than 4000 research articles published over 13 years in nine international peer-reviewed journals showed that investigators from high-income economies (developed countries) contributed to almost all the publications, and those from developing countries contributed to (3.9%) of publication. There were no publications at all from around 80% of 154 developing countries, and most publications from developing countries are published by China (15.4%) followed by Turkey and South Africa [2].

Burden of disease in the world falls on developing countries, and chronic non communicable disease such as diabetes and obesity can no longer be considered as diseases of developed world. Impact and burden of these diseases along with tuberculosis, AIDS and Malaria are more common in or limited to developing countries. So it would be reasonable to expect that more researches are needed in these countries.

However, a retrospective survey to examine the contribution of the developing world to medical literature in a calendar year, showed that there was non-satisfactory structure and non-objective based process in research projects with poor outcome. The survey categorized countries into four regions: UK, USA, Other Euro-American countries (OEAC) and rest of the world (RoW). The study found that average RoW contribution to research in all journals was 6.5%. There was a considerable variation between journals, where more than half (12%) articles from the RoW were published in Lancet. There was an involvement of authorship with developed countries in 68.9% of publication. In 10% of original papers, the data was from RoW but not authors. There is a marked under-representation of developing countries in high-impact medical journals, where 90% of the world’s population lives [3,4].

African countries should assess the status of research within its borders, to be able to become a real contributors to scientific advancement on the world. It’s time for Africa to acknowledge the importance of research in facing next millennium challenges.

In this article, we would like to discuss current research status, challenges, and needed efforts to promote health research in Africa.

Current status in Africa

Health research challenges and needs in Africa have been well documented for many years [5–7]. Most countries in...
Africa had low levels of scientific activity and publications over the past decades.

The term 10/90 gap express the global imbalance whereby developing countries experiencing 90% of the world’s major health burden, spend only 10% of its resources for research. Less than 10% of the world’s biomedical research and development funds are dedicated to addressing problems that are responsible for 90% of the world’s burden of disease, where 90% of the world’s population lives developing countrieslive [8-10] (Figure 1).

Poverty contributed to malnutrition, pollution, poor hygiene and sanitation, low immunity and increase of communicable and non-communicable diseases as well as psychological and behavioral problems. Poverty and health problems forming a vicious cycle. As reported by world health organization (WHO), 45% of diseases burden is present in poorest countries. Africa would need to be more committed for health status improvement by focusing on health research.

The limited number of citations of articles published by authors from developing countries, resulted in limited input toward the solution of global and national health problems and lack of best evidence published information [6]. This low scholarly output from Africa in many research fields attracted a considerable amount of international attention [11–13].

Challenge for Africa

In our experience, the current challenges in Africa, that resulted in scanty publication can be attributed to international and local reasons. International causes can be summarized in following factors. Text-Book.1 (International causes):

International causes:

- Biased research topics determination.
- Limited transfer of know how, lack of transfer of subtle skills and implicit knowledge.
- Exclusive monopole of latest and advanceded technological tools.
- Donnation form funding agents to unvaild or incompetent user.
- Lack of comprehensive technical support and information access to African researchers.
- Insufficient or incomplete training and weak peer networks of African researchers.
- Many journals from Africa are not indexed in Medline and not included in major databases.
- Un-indexed African journals would not get high quality manuscripts and is not going to be cited [6].
- Serious under-representation of Africans on editorial and advisory board in medical journals.
- Journals show little interest in the problems of the developing world, as they rely on reader-pay models and on advertisement.
- Bioethics journals remains mainly European and American

These factors are affecting research production from Africa [13–15].

Local factors resulted in scanty population in Africa can be summarized in general and personal causes Text-Book.2 (General causes);

General factors:

- Many African countries are fragile. They are either engaged actively in war or would be considered as a post-conflict zone, and are not suitable to perform research.
- Poor developing countries dispense money on armament and in management of blessed and displaced people rather than establishing suitable research envirornmenmt.
- There is no assimilation of the primordial role of research in problem solving by decision makers in most African countries.
- No strategy setting, no clear goals or objectives by academic and professional institute, neither by governmental and planning bodies.
- Universities are merely educational bodies, and had no true research culture.
- Research laboratories are poorly equipped.
• No access to career structure.
• Low number of tutors or supervisors.
• Link between research and academic reward (promotion) without specification or evidence based objectives had a negative impact.
• Few academics uses excuses of high teaching burden in universities that does not allow time for research and scientific writing.
• Lack of professionalism in local funding bodies.
• Other problem is absence of culture and guidelines of medical research ethics.

Personal factors resulted in scanty population in Africa can be attributed to following factors Text–Book.3 (Personal causes):

**Personal Causes:**

• Limited technical competency in scientific English writing.
• Uncertainty about journal options.
• High rejection rates and research wrong doing [16].
• Researchers in Africa are poorly paid, so they have to work in unrelated private practice to cover their needs [6]
• Brain drain and Immigration to countries which offer enough income [17].
• Researchers have no financial support from their institutes or centers to pay publication fees, conference fees or visa and travel dispense.
• Need of descendants from African countries for visa, and refusal of visa issuing even for invited researchers is a problem for researcher from many of these countries.

All these factors have a negative impact and widen knowledge gap and prevent dissemination of information. These factors form a real obstacles for research progress.

A well designed questioner directed to all international and national stakeholders, and bibliometric studies are needed in order to elucidate the underlying factors for the current inconvenient situation of health researches. Africa as all developing country has difficult circumstances and challenges at international and national level. A by solidarity and team work, and intervention by comprehensive approaches at all levels are needed in order to contribute to solve Africa specific disease and health problems [18] (Figure 2).

**Efforts to promote health research**

Various global health organizations and alliances have called for both increased access and sharing of research data and primary publications [7]. Scientific journals editors tried to contribute by establishment of task force to foster local research capacity in developing countries by launching Author aid who prepare articles to disseminate local knowledge data and practice to set strategy and policy [15].

Another contribution was joining Health Inter Network Access to Research Initiative through WHO-supported HINARI program [7]. It provides free access to developing countries with a gross national product (GNP) per capita below 1,000 USD.

Scientific publishing is undergoing significant changes due to the growth of online publications. The increase in the number of open access journals could disseminate scientific knowledge [15].

The International Network for the Availability of Scientific Publications (INASP), provides support for networking between information providers (developed) and users (developing) world through local partners by supporting indigenous research publications. *African Journals On Line (AJOL)* was launched in 1998 and over 8,000 people have registered to use AJOL in around 5 years [19].

The Program for the Enhancement of Research Information was formally launched in 2002 by INASP. It provides and supports access to international research information and promotes access to nationally published research.

African Journals Partnership Project (AJPP) was launched on 2003. It is funded by the National Institutes of Health with technical support from the editors of council of Science. This partnership aims to enhance ”the quality and credibility of the African journals” and thereby attract high–level research.

However, Journal editors and publishers have shown a bias against publishing materials on the diseases of poverty from developing countries and failed to provide universal access to the scientific and medical literature as solicited [17,18,20].

**Future aspiration**

It is obvious that the current status of Africa needs an urgent intervention with proper actions. Unless major paradigm shift occurs in the societies such as aimed for “silencing
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the Guns 2020” initiative of Pan–African Parliament’s Peace Efforts and Interventions. A need for the recognition of the importance of the culture of solidarity among African countries should be instituted. Different and specific tailored solutions or approaches are needed for each underlying cause. Strengthening health research capacity in developing world and providing reasonable opportunities for publications are critical for medical science advancement.

We need a lot of efforts to change the existing cultures, and to tackle each contributing factor. Africa continent and individual countries need to set their research strategy, structure, style, values, resource and skills. Creation of research culture through raising decision-maker’s awareness is mandatory, along with allocation of financial, legal and administrative support. Collaboration and partnership with recognized international and national research centers to strengthen educational and training programs is needed.

Ethical guidelines for Health research are crucial. Promotion of ethical conduct of global health research in resource poor setting is needed [21].

Journals have to realize their potential in addressing global inequities. Editors can make an important contribution to these challenges by identifying gaps, weaknesses, and failures in scientific knowledge and convening partnerships to address them.

Africa can provide good quality medical researches despite having poor setting and weak resources for the time being. Perception of the actual situation and its impact are essential pre-requirements. Improvement needs multiple interventions at strategic, tactic and operational levels. Pan African organization and collaboration, as well as cooperation with developed countries, would be able to change the output of Africa health research.

Most of African habitants have low income, poverty, hungry and diseases. African union and world health organization could push forward in health and in other fields of scientific researches. Identifying knowledge gap regarding integrity of research conduct is an appropriate topic to start with at large scale.

Medical universities, research centers, health institute and all stakeholders should contribute to health research establishment, enhancement and development. The scientific and medical communities have an important role to play in reaching this goal in developing countries. Open Journal System (OJS) represents a very good opportunity for research equity worldwide [15].

The most promising and possible contributing factor for future development of health research is HRISA; Health Research and Innovation Strategy for Africa 2018–2030 which recognizes the importance of investment in research. Fortunately, African union will address strategic objectives through key priority interventions such as establishment of research culture environment, developing capacities, provide sustained financing and regulatory system, adoption of needed technology, strengthening ethics and intellectual property, and taking of scientific evidence based decisions [22].

Recently, Africa Health Strategy (2016–2030), and HRISA 2018–2030, recognizes the importance of investment in research [22].

African Union Science, Technology and Innovation Strategy for Africa 2024 (STISA-2024) that was adopted in 2015 offers the continent an opportunity to rapidly move towards an innovation-led knowledge based Economy and encourages countries to increase Research and development budgets and to take concrete actions to allocate at least one per cent of GDP towards research and development [23]. All these actions could promote establishments of state of art health research culture (Figure 3).
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