Glioma oncoprotein Bcl2L12 inhibits the p53 tumor suppressor
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Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is a lethal brain tumor characterized by intense apoptosis resistance and extensive necrosis. Bcl2L12 (for Bcl2-like 12) is a cytoplasmic and nuclear protein that is overexpressed in primary GBM and functions to inhibit post-mitochondrial apoptosis signaling. Here, we show that nuclear Bcl2L12 physically and functionally interacts with the p53 tumor suppressor, as evidenced by the capacity of Bcl2L12 to (1) enable bypass of replicative senescence without concomitant loss of p53 or p19Arf, (2) inhibit p53-dependent DNA damage-induced apoptosis, (3) impede the capacity of p53 to bind some of its target gene promoters, and (4) attenuate endogenous p53-directed transcriptomic changes following genotoxic stress. Correspondingly, The Cancer Genome Atlas profile and tissue protein analyses of human GBM specimens show significantly lower Bcl2L12 expression in the setting of genetic p53 pathway inactivation. Thus, Bcl2L12 is a multifunctional protein that contributes to intense therapeutic resistance of GBM through its ability to operate on two key nodes of cytoplasmic and nuclear signaling cascades.
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and indirectly through interaction with the E2F1 and Rb family proteins p107 and Rb1 [Dubs-Poterszman et al. 1995; Martin et al. 1995; Xiao et al. 1995; Haupt et al. 1997; Kubburat et al. 1997]. In addition, the Mdm2-related gene Mdm4, which inhibits p53 transcription and enhances the ubiquitin ligase activity of Mdm2, is amplified in 4% of GBM in the absence of TT53 mutation and Mdm2 amplification [Shvarts et al. 1996; Riemenschnieder et al. 1999; Gu et al. 2002; Linares et al. 2003; The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network 2008]. Other mutations affect p14Arf [p14Arf in mouse] [Kamijo et al. 1997], which blocks the degradation of p53 through direct binding to Mdm2, thereby stabilizing p53 [Kamijo et al. 1997; Pomerantz et al. 1998; Stott et al. 1998; Zhang et al. 1998].

We recently identified Bcl2L12 (Bcl2-like 12) as a critical cell death regulator in glial cells that shows near universal overexpression in primary human GBM tumor specimens and contributes to important phenotypic hallmarks of the disease, such as resistance toward chemotherapy-induced apoptosis and enhanced necroinflammation [Stegh et al. 2007]. Bcl2L12’s potent anti-apoptotic and pro-necrotic activities stem from its ability to block post-mortochondrial apoptosis signaling at the level of effector caspase-3 and caspase-7 activation. Direct physical interaction with the pro-enzyme matches well with Bcl2L12’s ability to inhibit caspase-7 [Stegh et al. 2007], while up-regulation of αB-crystallin, a small heat-shock protein and known caspase-3-specific inhibitor, is instrumental for its caspase-3 inhibitory function [Stegh et al. 2008b].

Several lines of evidence prompted us to explore a possible physical and functional link between Bcl2L12 and p53, including their prominent roles in glioma pathogenesis, Bcl2L12’s nuclear localization, and the capacity of other Bcl-2 family proteins (e.g., Bax, Bak, Bcl-xL, and Bcl-2) to interact with and functionally impact p53 [Mihara et al. 2003; Chipuk et al. 2004, 2005; Leu et al. 2004; Petros et al. 2004]. Here, we show that Bcl2L12 colocalizes with and binds p53 within the nucleus to neutralize p53-directed transcriptional and growth arrest activities by blocking p53 binding to a large subset of its gene target promoter elements. As with p19Arf loss or Mdm2/4 amplification, Bcl2L12 overexpression compromises p53 tumor suppressor function, thus revealing another dimension of Bcl2L12’s versatile pro-gliomagenic activity profile.

**Results**

**Bcl2L12 impedes the p53-dependent processes of replicative senescence and DNA damage-induced apoptosis**

To evaluate the biological relevance of a potential Bcl2L12-p53 signaling axis, we assessed whether Bcl2L12 expression could neutralize the classical p53-dependent process of passage-induced cellular senescence. Bypass of the senescence checkpoint in primary murine cells has been shown to require loss of p53 or p19Arf [Serrano et al. 1996; Kamijo et al. 1997]. Passage 2 [p2] wild-type mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were retrovirally transduced with Bcl2L12 or vector (pBabe) control, and their proliferative capacity was monitored upon serial passage. Bcl2L12-expressing MEFs exhibited unabated growth for >35 passages, whereas control cultures showed significantly reduced growth rates or underwent growth arrest [Fig. 1A, P = 0.04; Supplemental Fig. S1A for three representative, independent transfectants] with coincidental increase in senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-Gal) activity [Fig. 1B, P < 0.05]. On the molecular level, these long-term passed Bcl2L12-expressing MEFs retained p53 on genomic DNA [Supplemental Fig. S1B] and protein [Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. S1C] levels, and remained intact for p19Arf, Rb, and p16Ink4a [Fig. 1C, Supplemental Fig. S1C]. Of note, early-passaged, DNA-damaged Bcl2L12-expressing cultures expressed p53 at slightly lower levels in comparison with pBabe control [1.5-fold to twofold] (see histogram in Fig. 1C for densitometric quantification of corresponding p53 Western blots, shown in the top panel), implying that Bcl2L12 may modestly impact p53 protein stability [see below]. These findings suggest that Bcl2L12 enables bypass of cellular senescence, possibly via functional neutralization of p53, and prompted an in-depth assessment of the impact of Bcl2L12 expression on additional p53-dependent activities.

To this end, we analyzed DNA damage-induced programmed cell death in primary murine cortical astrocytes null for the glioma tumor suppressor Ink4a/Arf. In the context of these experiments, it is worth noting that p53 and p19Arf have nonoverlapping functions in tumor surveillance, as p19Arf can act independently of the Mdm2–p53 axis, likely through its ability to inhibit gene expression by impacting the activity of other transcription factors besides p53 [for review, see Sherr 2006]. Consequently, the utilization of Ink4a/Arf-deficient astrocytes relates not only to the importance of this tumor suppressor for GBM pathogenesis [Furnari et al. 2007], but also to the validity of this cell culture model for studying p53-dependent processes. Upon treatment with the DNA-damaging agent doxorubicin [Dox, 5 μg/mL], pBabe [pB] control, Bcl2L12 [L12]-transduced, and EGFRvIII [vIII]-transduced Ink4a/Arf+/− astrocytes showed robust induction of p53 [Fig. 1D]. In contrast, the pan-kinase inhibitor staurosporine (STS), which causes cell death largely via p53-independent and effector caspase-dependent processes [Yamasaki et al. 2003], expectedly failed to induce p53 protein levels [Fig. 1D]. The strict dependence of Dox-instigated apoptosis on functional p53 was further confirmed in DNA fragmentation assays contrasting apoptosis rates in p53+/− and Ink4a/Arf+/− astrocytes: Dox-treated p53+/− astrocytic cultures showed significantly reduced subG1 DNA subpopulations compared with Ink4a/Arf+/− cells [DNA fragmentation: p53+/− = 23.45% ± 2.1% vs. Ink4a/Arf+/− = 63.4% ± 2%, P = 0.004]. In accordance with its p53-independent mechanism of action, STS was equally effective in provoking apoptosis in both genotypes [DNA fragmentation: p53+/− = 42.33% ± 1.49% vs. Ink4a/Arf+/− = 34.03% ± 3.1%, P = 0.01]. Comparing effector caspase activation in DNA-damaged astrocytes with enforced Bcl2L12 and EGFRvIII expression revealed profound and comparable inhibition of post-mortochondrial effector caspase activation in Dox- and STS-treated cells relative to pBabe controls [Fig. 1D]. Similar results were obtained using...
followed by Western blot analysis. Of note, p53 protein was induced in pBabe (pB), Bcl2L12 (L12V5), and EGFRvIII (vIII) astrocytic cultures upon Dox, but not STS, treatment. The migration positions of cleaved caspase-3 and caspase-7 species (large subunits; N large subunits with N-peptide) are indicated. Hsp70 is shown as a loading control.

Dox (5 µg/mL) and STS (0.5 µM) for the indicated periods of time, and post-mitochondrial caspase-3 and caspase-7 activation was followed by Western blot analysis. Of note, p53 protein was induced in pBabe (pB), Bcl2L12 (L12V5), and EGFRvIII [Nagane et al. 1998; Yamasaki et al. 2003; Stegh et al. 2007].

**Figure 1.** Bcl2L12 inhibits passage-induced senescence and p53-dependent apoptosis. (A) MEF immortalization assays. pBabe and Bcl2L12-infected (wild-type) MEF cultures were serially passaged and cell numbers were determined. Shown is one representative growth curve documenting unabated growth of Bcl2L12-infected MEF cultures at >30 passages. (B) Staining for and quantification of senescence-associated β-galactosidase (SA-β-Gal) in representative pBabe and Bcl2L12 MEF lines. Histogram shows quantification of β-Gal-positive cells in pBabe versus Bcl2L12 transfecteds; three high-power fields (HPFs) were counted. Data are represented as mean ± SD. (C) Molecular analysis of p53–Rb signaling components. pBabe and Bcl2L12 MEfs of indicated passages were treated with Dox (0.4 µg/mL) for 16 h to assess p53, p19^Arf, and Rb protein levels. p53 proteins levels were quantified densitometrically. (D) Bcl2L12 potently blocked Dox-induced effector caspase activation. Cultures were treated with actinomycin D (ActD), another DNA damage-inducing agent, which triggers p53-dependent apoptosis (data not shown). Together, these findings further substantiate and expand previous studies documenting broad anti-apoptotic and caspase inhibitory activities of Bcl2L12 at levels comparable with the signature anti-apoptotic oncoprotein EGFRvIII [Nagane et al. 1998; Yamasaki et al. 2003; Stegh et al. 2007].

**Bcl2L12 is less robustly amplified/overexpressed in GBM tumors with compromised p53 signaling**

To provide genetic evidence of Bcl2L12 and p53 interaction in human GBM specimens, we explored whether Bcl2L12 overexpression represents an alternative event enabling neutralization of p53 tumor suppression. In silico analysis of 272 primary GBM samples of the multidimensional data set from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) [http://cancergenome.nih.gov/dataportal] revealed a highly significant correlation of Bcl2L12 genomic gain/amplification (nonfocal 19q event) and elevated Bcl2L12 mRNA expression with an intact p53 signaling pathway (P = 0.00014 and 0.0017, respectively) [Fig. 2A,B]. A recent integrated analysis of TCGA data has identified distinct molecular subclasses of GBM defined by both transcriptome and genomic alterations [Verhaak et al. 2010]. The “proneural” GBM subtype is enriched for p53 mutations, and consequently also shows both reduced Bcl2L12 copy number gain and expression [Fig. 2C]. To exclude the trivial possibility that the Bcl2L12-p53 correlation is a direct consequence of, or is driven by, association with one GBM tumor subtype, we examined Bcl2L12 expression in p53 wild-type versus mutant tumors of the proneural subtype. Bcl2L12 mRNA levels were significantly higher even in proneural GBMs with intact p53 signaling (P = 0.04) [Fig. 2D], suggesting that the correlation of Bcl2L12 expression with the p53 mutational status is not wholly due to mutual association with transcriptome-defined GBM subclasses.

While Bcl2L12 is expressed robustly in nearly all primary GBM specimens, its expression does vary over a wide range, prompting examination of Bcl2L12 protein expression levels in relation to the p53 status in primary GBM surgical specimens—seven with wild-type TP53, and four with either TP53 mutation or Mdm4 amplification [Supplemental Fig. S2]. Using highly quantitative laser scanning cytometry (LSC), we documented lower Bcl2L12 protein expression in TP53 mutant versus TP53 wild-type tumors (P = 0.026) [Supplemental Fig. S2]. Together with the molecular analysis of Bcl2L12-driven immortalization and cell death processes in primary murine cell cultures, these genomic and immunohistological data suggest a potential link between Bcl2L12 and p53, prompting further studies on the biochemical and functional levels.

**Bcl2L12 colocalizes and interacts with p53**

As a first step in assessing whether Bcl2L12:p53 complex formation is involved in repression of p53 activity, we assessed their cellular distribution and physical interaction via deconvolution immunofluorescence (IF) microscopy, subcellular fractionation, and coimmunoprecipitation studies of transduced and endogenous proteins. In IF studies, reconstitution of p53-null LN229 cells
with HA-tagged p53, followed by anti-Bcl2L12 and anti-HA immunostainings, revealed a pattern consistent with colocalization of p53H• and endogenous Bcl2L12, predominantly in the cell nucleus (Supplemental Fig. S3), further confirming previous observations of significant nuclear localization of Bcl2L12 (Stegh et al. 2007). Similarly, IF studies of untreated and ActD-treated (p53 wild-type) HCT116 cells (Supplemental Fig. S3) and U87MG cells (Fig. 3A) showed predominant colocalization of endogenous Bcl2L12 and p53 in the cell nucleus of ActD-treated cells. In accord with the IF findings, subcellular fractionation assays confirmed the coexistence of Bcl2L12 and p53 in the nuclear fractions of DNA-damaged U87MG cells (data not shown).

Next, in vitro interaction assays compared the binding affinity of Bcl2L12 with Bcl-xL, a well-characterized p53-binding Bcl-2 family member. GST-Bcl2L12 and GST-Bcl-xL lacking the transmembrane (TM) region (GST-D\textsuperscript{TM}-Bcl-xL) were incubated with a mix of \textsuperscript{35S}-labeled in vitro translated p53 and Bad. GST-\textsuperscript{ΔTM}-Bcl-xL bound proapoptotic Bad and p53 as reported previously (Yang et al. 1995; Kelekar et al. 1997; Mihara et al. 2003), whereas GST-Bcl2L12 bound p53, but not Bad (Fig. 3B). The selectivity and specificity of Bcl2L12:p53 complex formation was further supported by reduced binding of thermodynamically and kinetically unstable, transcriptionally inactive V143 p53 point mutants to GST-Bcl2L12 compared with p53 wild type (Supplemental Fig. S6A). Notably, this in vitro Bcl2L12:p53 interaction appears to be as robust as the p53:Mdm2 association in that GST-Bcl2L12 and GST-Mdm2 bound p53 comparably, whereas the mutant protein AN-Mdm2 deficient in p53 binding expectedly failed to coprecipitate p53 (Fig. 3C). In addition, coprecipitation of p53 in cellular lysates of γ-irradiated mouse astrocytes revealed the presence of cellular p53 in GST-Bcl2L12, but not in GST control precipitates (Fig. 3D).

Subsequently, we examined cellular protein interactions. We first documented efficient complex formation between translated p53 and Bad. GST-\textsuperscript{ΔTM}-Bcl-xL bound proapoptotic Bad and p53 as reported previously (Yang et al. 1995; Kelekar et al. 1997; Mihara et al. 2003), whereas GST-Bcl2L12 bound p53, but not Bad (Fig. 3B). The selectivity and specificity of Bcl2L12:p53 complex formation was further supported by reduced binding of thermodynamically and kinetically unstable, transcriptionally inactive V143 p53 point mutants to GST-Bcl2L12 compared with p53 wild type (Supplemental Fig. S6A). Notably, this in vitro Bcl2L12:p53 interaction appears to be as robust as the p53:Mdm2 association in that GST-Bcl2L12 and GST-Mdm2 bound p53 comparably, whereas the mutant protein AN-Mdm2 deficient in p53 binding expectedly failed to coprecipitate p53 (Fig. 3C). In addition, coprecipitation of p53 in cellular lysates of γ-irradiated mouse astrocytes revealed the presence of cellular p53 in GST-Bcl2L12, but not in GST control precipitates (Fig. 3D).

Subsequently, we examined cellular protein interactions. We first documented efficient complex formation between
endogenous Bcl2L12 and ectopic p53 in reciprocal anti-HA and anti-Bcl2L12 immunoprecipitations from p53HAtagged LNZ308 cells (Fig. 3E). Using a monoclonal anti-p53 (Ab-6/DO-1) and a polyclonal anti-Bcl2L12 antibody (L12-2) (see Stegh et al. 2007 for antibody validation), reciprocal coprecipitation of endogenous p53 and Bcl2L12 in Dox-treated U87MG cells revealed robust interaction (Fig. 3F), demonstrating the presence of an endogenous Bcl2L12:p53 complex formation under conditions of p53 activation.

**Bcl2L12 selectively represses p53-dependent transcription**

The capacity of p53 to activate the expression of genes governing cellular growth and survival is fundamental to its tumor suppressor activity (for review, see Harris and Levine 2005). To provide molecular validation of the Bcl2L12–p53 interaction, we examined the ability of Bcl2L12 to influence p53-directed reporter activity. Primary Ink4a/Arf−/− astrocytes were cotransfected with p53-responsive reporters, Bcl2L12, and p53 expression constructs. Bcl2L12 suppressed the activity of several different reporters, including PG13 and others driven by p53-binding elements of the Bax and p21 gene promoters (Fig. 4A–C; see Supplemental Fig. S7A for corresponding p53 and Bcl2L12 expression levels). These reporter systems are strictly dependent on p53 activity, as reflected by undetectable reporter activity in the absence of a consensus p53-binding site (MG13) (Fig. 4D). It is worth noting that Bcl2L12 suppressed p53 transactivation potential to a level comparable with or greater than Mdm2; in contrast, Bcl-xL or caspase-7 (a cytoplasmic binding partner of Bcl2L12) did not influence reporter activity, indicating that repression
of p53 transactivation is not a general activity of the Bcl-2 family (Fig. 4E).

To obtain further evidence of Bcl2L12 regulation of p53 transactivation potential, we examined the impact of Bcl2L12 overexpression on well-established p53 target genes—including p21, DR5, Noxa, cyclin G1, and Puma—in Dox-treated astrocytes. In line with the above reporter assays, these endogenous p53-responsive genes were significantly repressed in the presence of Bcl2L12 on the mRNA (Fig. 4F; see also Supplemental Fig. S4 for additional p53 targets) and protein levels (data not shown). Similar results were obtained using camptothecin (CPT) as another well-known p53 inducer (Supplemental Fig. S4). Notably, while Bcl2L12 repressed many p53-induced apoptosis and cell cycle regulatory genes, this target gene repression was selective. In particular, Mdm2 expression was not affected, as evidenced by quantitative RT–PCR (qRT–PCR) analyses of Mdm2 mRNA levels in pBabe versus Bcl2L12-expressing astrocytes showing similar induction upon Dox treatment (Fig. 4F). These findings indicate a selective impact of Bcl2L12 on p53-directed transactivation across its gene targets.

Bcl2L12 selectively impedes p53 binding to its target promoter sequences

To determine the mechanism of Bcl2L12-mediated repression of p53 target gene induction, we assessed whether Bcl2L12 impairs p53 binding to its target gene promoters in chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays using a highly specific polyclonal rabbit anti-p53 antibody (clone FL-393). Upon confirmation of comparable chromatin pull-down in pBabe control and Bcl2L12-expressing Ink4a/Arf-deficient astrocytes were treated for the indicated periods of time with Dox (0.4 μg/mL). Chromatin was prepared, followed by p53 immunoprecipitation and PCRs for selected p53 target gene promoter fragments.

Figure 4. Bcl2L12 blocks p53 transactivation potential by preventing p53 binding to target promoters. (A–E) To assay the capacity of Bcl2L12 to modulate p53-mediated transactivation, primary Ink4a/Arf-deficient astrocytes were cotransfected with Bcl2L12 and p53 expression constructs together with the luciferase reporters PG13 [A], Bax [B], p21 [C], and MG13 [D]. Reporter activity was measured luminometrically. Data are represented as mean ± SD. (E) To comparatively quantify Bcl2L12’s capacity to repress p53 transactivation, Bcl2L12, Bcl-xL, Mdm2, and caspase-7 cDNAs were transfected into astrocytes together with a PG13 luciferase reporter and a p53 cDNA. Reporter activity was measured luminometrically as in A–D and expressed as relative luminescence units [RLUs] normalized to concomitantly assessed β-Gal activity. Data are represented as mean ± SD. (F) Bcl2L12 blocks mRNA transcription of selected p53 target genes. pBabe and Bcl2L12-expressing astrocytes were treated with Dox (0.4 μg/mL) for the indicated periods of time, and p53 target gene expression was determined by qRT–PCR. Data are represented as mean ± SD. (G) ChIP assay for p21, DR5, Noxa, cyclin G1, Puma, and Mdm2 in Dox-treated astrocytic cultures. pBabe control and Bcl2L12-expressing Ink4a/Arf-deficient astrocytes were treated for the indicated periods of time with Dox (0.4 μg/mL). Chromatin was prepared, followed by p53 immunoprecipitation and PCRs for selected p53 target gene promoter fragments.
documented by reduced anti-p53 pull-down of p21, DR5, Noxa, cyclin G1, and Puma promoter elements. These studies suggest that repression of p53 transactivation by Bcl2L12 is a direct consequence of reduced/abrogated binding of p53 to target gene promoter elements. Mirroring similar levels of Mdm2 mRNA induction in DNA-damaged control and Bcl2L12-expressing astrocytes [Fig. 4F], p53 occupancy of the Mdm2 promoter remained largely unaffected by Bcl2L12 [Fig. 4G, bottom panel], further confirming the selective impact of Bcl2L12 on p53 promoter occupancy and transcriptomes.

The capacity of Bcl2L12 to interfere with p53 binding to gene promoter elements of its target genes is consistent with GST pull-down studies establishing that Bcl2L12 can interact with p53 domains critical for its transactivational activity. Specifically, mutants lacking the tetradimerization domain [TET], the extreme C terminus [CT], and the transactivation domain [TAD] showed reduced binding to GST-Bcl2L12, suggesting a complex, nonlinear interaction interface between Bcl2L12 and p53 [Supplemental Fig. S6B]. Conversely, reciprocal pull-down experiments using recombinant GST-p53 and various [35S]-labeled in vitro-translated Bcl2L12 mutants identified the Bcl-2 homology domain 2 [BH2] and a C-terminal region [amino acids 98–115] of the Bcl2L12 polypeptide as p53-interacting regions [Supplemental Fig. S6C]. In line with previous studies documenting multiple binding interfaces between Bcl-2 family proteins [e.g., Bak, Bax, Bcl-2, and Bcl-xL] and p53 [Leu et al. 2004; Petros et al. 2004], Bcl2L12:p53 complex formation is mediated via nonlinear binding epitopes.

Bcl2L12 reduces p53 protein stability

Prompted by the molecular p53 pathway analyses in DNA-damaged MEFs showing reduced p53 accumulation in the presence of Bcl2L12 [Fig. 1C; Supplemental Fig. S1C], we further probed the impact of Bcl2L12 on p53 protein stability in Bcl2L12-driven [cDNA complementation] gain-of-function and [RNAi] loss-of-function systems. Enforced transient or stable Bcl2L12 expression in Ink4a/Arf-deficient astrocytes revealed modest [average, ~1.5-fold] reductions in p53 protein [Supplemental Fig. S7A,B, top panel]. Consistent with acetylation and ATM/ATR-directed phosphorylation as important p53-stabilizing signals in response to DNA damage [for review, see Ashcroft and Vousden 1999], we found reduced p53 phosphorylation [Ser18 and Ser389] and acetylation [acK379] in the presence of Bcl2L12 [Supplemental Fig. S7B]. Attenuated p53 accumulation in ectopic expression studies is mirrored by enhanced p53 protein stability and phosphorylation/acetylation in RNAi loss-of-function experiments [Supplemental Fig. S7D]. Specifically, stable transduction of U87G cells with shRNAs targeting Bcl2L12 [shL12-1 and shL-2] resulted in reduced endogenous Bcl2L12 protein levels [Supplemental Fig. S7C] that translated into ~1.7-fold enhanced p53 accumulation [Supplemental Fig. S7D] and target gene induction [Supplemental Fig. S7E] in DNA-damaged U87MG cultures. We hypothesize that reduced p53 phosphorylation in Bcl2L12-expressing cells in the setting of intact Mdm2 [Fig. 4F,G] may contribute to p53 destabilization [see the Discussion below] as an additional Bcl2L12-driven mechanism to attenuate p53. In summary, multiple lines of evidence establish that Bcl2L12 is a potent regulator of p53 in primary and transformed cells and tumor specimens. Bcl2L12 binds p53 in vitro and in vivo, and potently inhibits p53-dependent processes. We propose that Bcl2L12's impact on p53 protein stability contributes to reduced p53 binding to target promoter sequences such as p21, DR5, Noxa, PUMA, and cyclin G1. Despite modestly reduced p53 levels, however, p53 binding to the Mdm2 promoter, and, consequently, induction of Mdm2 mRNA levels, remained similar in Bcl2L12-expressing Ink4a/Arf-deficient astrocytes compared with control cultures, suggesting selective Bcl2L12-directed inhibition of p53 promoter occupancy as a pivotal means to attenuate p53 function.

Discussion

Previous work has established that p53 plays pivotal roles in cell death execution following genotoxic stress [for review, see Aylon and Oren 2007], and, consequently, is intricately intertwined with canonical apoptosis signaling pathways, particularly those executed by Bcl-2 family proteins. Correspondingly, p53-dependent transcriptional up-regulation of the proapoptotic Bcl-2 family members Puma and Noxa is essential for DNA damage-mediated apoptosis via induction of mitochondrial membrane permeabilization [Jeffers et al. 2003; Villunger et al. 2003; Shibue et al. 2006]. Independently of its transactivational activity, cytoplasmic p53 can interact physically with Bcl-2 proteins such as Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Bax to trigger cytochrome c release [for review, see Vaseva and Moll 2009]. Notably, nuclear/transactivational and cytoplasmic activities may be linked, as p53 transcriptionally induces Puma that can bind Bcl-xL to displace p53 from a p53:Bcl-xL complex. Subsequently, released p53 directly interacts with and activates Bax to induce mitochondrial membrane permeabilization [Chipuk et al. 2005].

Bcl2L12 is an atypical Bcl-2 family protein that represses p53 transactivation

Reflecting its atypical domain structure with only focally restricted homology with known Bcl-2 family proteins, Bcl2L12's attributes are quite distinct from other members of the Bcl-2 family, including its prominent nucleoplasmic localization [Stegh et al. 2007, 2008b]. Unlike canonical Bcl-2 family proteins with mitochondrial/cyttoplasmic distribution, Bcl2L12 functions uniquely to modulate p53's nuclear transactivational activity rather than its cytoplasmic functions of impacting mitochondrial membrane integrity. Mechanistically, Bcl2L12's colocalization with and binding to nuclear p53 matches well with its ability to prevent p53 from binding promoter elements of its target genes, such as the proapoptotic genes DR5, Puma, and Noxa as key regulators of extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis signaling, respectively, and the cell cycle regulators p21 and cyclin G1. Notably, some p53 target genes, such as Mdm2, were not repressed by Bcl2L12, pointing to a selective impact of Bcl2L12 on p53 gene target induction.
Precisely how Bcl2L12 prevents p53 binding to selective promoters and distinctively represses a subset of p53-induced genes remains to be determined. Interestingly, p53 can differentially transactivate promoters based on (1) the affinity of their p53-binding sites; (2) its post-translational modification status, such as acetylation [for review, see Lee and Gu 2010]; and (3) its complex formation with cofactors capable of enhancing the interaction with certain promoter elements, such as p63/p73 [Flores et al. 2002], Bbp [Iwabuchi et al. 1998], and ASPP proteins [Samuels-Lev et al. 2001]. The detailed analysis of Bcl2L12-orchestrated p53 post-translational modifications, together with proteomics to determine the composition of the Bcl2L12:p53 complex and the requirement of distinct transcriptional cofactors in the context of specific promoters and chromatin modification, will be needed to understand the full complexity of the cellular transcriptional response to p53 pathway activation in the context of Bcl2L12 (over)expression and various types of cellular stress.

Bcl2L12 impacts p53 protein stability

Besides its selective impact on p53 promoter occupancy as a major means to regulate p53 activity, Bcl2L12 modestly reduces p53 protein stability in response to DNA damage, as evidenced by attenuated [enhanced] p53 accumulation in cDNA complementation [RNAi loss-of-function] experiments. Modulating the activity of p53-degrading ubiquitin ligases—foremost Mdm2—represents a pivotal mechanism to regulate its tumor suppressor activity. Therefore, selectively preventing interaction between p53 and Mdm2 through phosphorylation of p53’s N terminus is a well-characterized signaling mechanism that leads to an increase in p53 protein abundance. Several reports suggest potential roles for Ser15, Ser20, and Ser33 phosphorylation in stabilizing p53. Consistent with studies documenting ATM/ATR-mediated phosphorylation of Ser15 [Ser18 in murine p53] as a pivotal p53 stabilization pathway in response to DNA damage [Kastan et al. 1992; Lu and Lane 1993; Siliciano et al. 1997; Khanna et al. 1998; Nakagawa et al. 1999; Tibbetts et al. 1999], we found reduced Ser18 phosphorylation in murine Bcl2L12-transduced astrocytic cultures and enhanced Ser15 phosphorylation in human U87MG cells stably transduced with Bcl2L12 targeting shRNAs. Together with the modulation of other factors regulating p53 stability [such as JNK, pRb, and cAbl signaling] [for review, see Ashcroft and Vousden 1999], we propose that reduced p53 phosphorylation in Bcl2L12-expressing cells in the setting of intact Mdm2 may contribute to the reduction in p53 levels and effects on overall p53 transactivation/promoter binding of gene targets such as DR5, Puma, Noxa, p21, and cyclin G1.

Bcl2L12 impacts p53-dependent replicative senescence and apoptosis induction upon DNA damage

We functionally validated Bcl2L12-mediated inhibition of p53 by detailed analyses of replicative senescence and DNA damage-induced apoptosis. Loss of growth-suppressive genes and the ensuing immortalization processes are caused by multiple mechanisms, including chromosomal amplification/deletion/recombination, point mutations, and epigenetic silencing targeting diverse cellular pathways, including IFN, IGF, MAP kinase, and, most importantly, the p53–Mdm2-p19Arf signaling axes [for review, see Lowe et al. 2004]. Consequently, p53 or p19Arf-deficient MEFs do not senesce and can be propagated indefinitely [Serrano et al. 1996; Kamijo et al. 1997]. Ectopic expression of Bcl2L12 resulted in enhanced proliferative capacity of MEF cultures in the absence p53, p19Arf, p16Ink4a, or Rb loss, further supporting the direct inactivation of p53 by Bcl2L12.

Oncogenic and proteolytic analyses document reduced Bcl2L12 amplification/expression in p53 mutant GBM tumors

Quantification of Bcl2L12 gene amplification, mRNA, and protein expression in primary GBM specimens with annotated TP53 mutational status revealed lower Bcl2L2 levels in tumors with impaired p53 signaling. These studies parallel oncoprogenic efforts assessing Mdm2 and TP53 status. Mdm2, a p53-specific and E3 ubiquitin ligase, is the principal cellular antagonist of p53, acting to limit the p53 growth-suppressive function through complex formation and subsequent ubiquitinylation and proteosomal degradation [for review, see Toledo and Wahl 2006]. Genetically verifying the Mdm2:p53 complex formation, studies in GBM [e.g., Reifenberger et al. 1993] and other cancer types, such as sarcoma [Oliner et al. 1992], demonstrated that Mdm2 amplification and TP53 mutation/deletion are mutually exclusive events.

Recent resequencing efforts, together with population-based and TCGA studies, established significant occurrences of TP53 mutations in not only secondary, but also primary, GBM [Ohgaki et al. 2004; Fukushima et al. 2006; The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network 2008; Zheng et al. 2008]. In addition, mutational analyses documenting genetic aberrations of other p53 pathway components in GBM [such as Mdm2, Mdm4, and p19Arf], together with functional and mouse modeling studies [e.g., Kwon et al. 2008; Zheng et al. 2008; Alcantara Llaguno et al. 2009], have established the p53 signaling network as an integral tumor suppressor pathway in GBM pathogenesis that impacts diverse cellular processes such as cell cycle control, cell death execution, and differentiation [for review, see Louis 2006]. This study establishes a novel potent link between nuclear p53 and Bcl2L12, a Bcl-2-like effector caspase inhibitor that recapitulates the glioma-relevant phenotypic hallmarks of apoptosis (chemotherapy) resistance and necrogenesis [Stegh et al. 2007, 2008a,b]. We propose that overexpression of Bcl2L12 in GBM represents an additional mechanism besides Tp53 deletion/mutation, Mdm2/4 amplification, and p19Arf deletion to inactivate the tumor-suppressive function of the p53 pathway.

Bcl2L12 and p53 are important, functionally intertwined gliomagenic proteins

We identified Bcl2L12 as a potent direct inhibitor of post-mitochondrial effector caspase activation with
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anti-apoptotic and pronecrogenic activities (Stegh et al. 2007, 2008a,b). By blocking p53-dependent apoptosis through repression of p53-transactivated proapoptosis genes—foremost DR5, Noxa, and Puma—this study unravels yet another dimension of Bcl2L12’s multifaceted cell death regulatory activities. Interestingly, and similar to other p53-responsive apoptosis regulators, Bcl2L12 contains several evolutionarily conserved p53-binding sites, as revealed by TRANSFAC motif library searches (p53 binding sites at positions -3587, -3647, -2682, -2366, and +68 relative to the transcriptional start site) [http://www.motif.genome.jp], suggesting that, similar to Bcl-2 family proteins Puma, Noxa, and Bax, Bcl2L12 might be under p53-dependent transcriptional control. Expanding its role in cell death signaling beyond apoptosis regulation, p53 has been identified recently as a modulator of programmed necrosis (Tu et al. 2009), as it transcriptionally up-regulates cathepsin Q, which cooperates with reactive oxygen species [ROS] to provoke the necrotic signature of cellular and nuclear swelling, membrane rupture, and organelle disintegration. Future studies aim to understand how Bcl2L12, as an important player in programmed necrosis signaling, impacts necrogenesis under conditions of p53 inactivation.

Based on Bcl2L12’s versatile oncogenic profile, we propose that targeting the Bcl2L12:p53 axis alone or in combination with stress-inducing therapies to reactivate p53-dependent growth arrest and cell death programs in GBM may provide an additional point for therapeutic intervention for a significant fraction of GBM cases that retain intact p53.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Wild-type MEFs were generated from 13.5-d post-coitum embryos. Cortical Ink4a/Arf-deficient murine astrocytes were isolated as described (Bachoo et al. 2002). pBabe/Bcl2L12V5-expressing astrocytes were generated and characterized previously (Stegh et al. 2007). All cell lines and primary cultures were propagated in Dulbecco’s Modification of Eagle’s Medium [Invitrogen] supplemented with 10% FBS [Invitrogen]. For 3T3 analyses, 3 x 10^5 MEFs (five independent transfectants) retrovirally transduced with pBabe or pBabe-Bcl2L12V5 according to established protocols using the pBabe/pCL system (Naviaux et al. 1996) were passaged into six-well plates every 3 d. Senescence was determined using the SA-β-Gal staining kit [Abcam] according to the manufacturer’s instructions and quantified by counting SA-β-Gal-positive cells per high-power field (HPF). Generation of shCo, shL12-1, and shL12-2-expressing U87MG cells was done as reported previously (Stegh et al. 2007).

Integrated analysis of GBM data from TCGA

A description of TCGA data types, platforms, and analyses are as described previously (The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network 2008). Processed genomic data sets were downloaded from TCGA public data portal [http://www.cancergenome.nih.gov/dataportal] as available on July 20, 2009. Specific data sources were as follows: Expression data: “Level 3” normalized gene expression was derived from the Cancer Genome Characterization Center [CGCC] at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory [Affymetrix Exon 1.0]. Expression data were inverse log2-transformed. Transcriptomal cluster assignments for TCGA tumors were as described recently (Verhaak et al. 2010). Array CGH [aCGH] data: “Level 3” normalized and segmented copy number data were obtained from the CGCC at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center [Agilent 244K CGH Array]. For aCGH data, one unique profile was selected for each tumor based on the highest signal-to-noise estimate. Sequencing data: All available sequencing data summaries were in “multiple alignment format” (MAF) files current as of July 20, 2009 [http://www.broadinstitute.org, GBM.ABI.1.32.maf; http://genome.wustl.edu, GBM.ABL53.maf; and http://hgscc.bcm.tmc.edu, GBM.ABL1.24.maf, and http://hgscc.bcm.tmc.edu, GBM.ABL.2.7.maf]. Mutations were further filtered by excluding events, which were classified as “germline,” “synonymous” or “silent,” or “unvalidated.” Level 3 aCGH data were used for local copy number estimation. Amplification was defined by regional log2 ratio >2.0 (more than eight copies). Copy number at the Bcl2L12 locus was defined as two or fewer copies (log2 ratio < 0.25), or gained more than two copies (log2 ratio > 0.25). TP53 mutation was defined as somatic nonsynonymous validated SNP or indel. TP53 pathway alteration was defined as TP53 mutation and/or amplification of Mdm1, Mdm2, or Mdm4. We did not include Arf loss, as this is nearly always inclusive of Ink4a loss and is not found to be mutually exclusive of either TP53 mutation or Mdm amplification.
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