Myristica fragrans oil as a potent inhibitor of Candida albicans: Phase development inhibition and synergistic effect
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**ABSTRACT**

Increasing reports of Candida spp. resistance against azole drugs pressure the need for antifungal discovery with a different mechanism. Myristica fragrans oil has been known to have anti-Candida activity, but its mechanism of action is unknown. To determine the effect of M. fragrans oil on the biofilm development phases of Candida albicans and its combination with fluconazole. The 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay and quantitative polymerase chain reaction were used to determine the antifungal activity of M. fragrans oil. The time of addition assay was performed at the adhesion, intermediate, and maturation phases. The effect of the combination of M. fragrans oil with fluconazole was determined by the interaction index value. Gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) was performed to identify potential components. Myristica fragrans oil showed a 50% inhibitory concentration of 1.76% ± 0.4% against C. albicans and also inhibited Candida krusei and Candida glabrata. The time of addition assay showed M. fragrans oil effectively inhibited the adhesion and intermediate phases of biofilm development. The combination with fluconazole produced a synergistic effect. GC-MS indicated the presence of α-copaene (11.47%) and myristicin (11.81%) as the main compounds. Myristica fragrans oil acts on different phases of biofilm development and could be used as an antifungal agent in combination with fluconazole.

**INTRODUCTION**

Candida species are commensal microorganisms in the human body. However, some predisposing factors could lead to Candida albicans infection called candidiasis. Not only superficial infection but also candidiasis caused by C. albicans is able to cause systemic infection, which contributes to its mortality rate that ranges from 15% to 35% for adults and from 10% to 15% for neonates (Guinea, 2014).

Fluconazole is the first generation of azole drugs which is widely used for candidiasis treatment because it is affordable and has low toxicity (Murray et al., 2015; Paramythiotou et al., 2014). Fluconazole inhibits the biosynthesis of ergosterol by interfering with lanosterol 14-α-demethylase activity to produce toxic 14-α-methyl-3,6-diol (Prasad et al., 2016). However, C. albicans resistance has been reported against fluconazole in recent years (Arora et al., 2017). To maintain its existence, C. albicans overexpress the ERG11 gene, which will upregulate the targeted enzyme and stimulate the efflux pump. Thus, increasing fluconazole concentration is needed to inhibit C. albicans growth. Other than ERG11 gene overexpression, biofilm also plays an important role in C. albicans resistance development and infectivity (Corte et al., 2016). Candida albicans biofilm is an extracellular matrix polymer composed of polysaccharides, amphiphilic chemical...
components, and other macromolecules (Ramage et al., 2012). The complex structure of this matrix becomes a barrier between C. albicans and the extracellular area. The presence of persistent cells also contributes to C. albicans drug resistance not only against fluconazole but also against other antifungal drugs, such as polyene (Corte et al., 2016).

The emergence of drug resistance necessitates the urgent need for alternative treatment which can inhibit different stages of C. albicans. It has been reported that essential oil showed promising activity as a biofilm inhibitor, such as the essential oils of Cymbopogon citratus, Cedrus sp., and Syzygium aromaticum (Manoharan et al., 2017). Myristica fragrans, an aromatic herbal plant that is traditionally used as a food spice in Southeast Asia, has also been reported to exhibit antimicrobial, antitumor, antioxidant, and antifungal activity (Das et al., 2020; Rodianawati et al., 2015; Salehi et al., 2017). Furthermore, Thileepan et al. (2018) reported that M. fragrans oil exhibited antcandidal activity; however, its inhibition mechanism and combination effect with azoles have not been reported. In this study, we evaluated the antifungal activity of M. fragrans oil against different Candida spp., its inhibition in different biofilm development stages, and the effect of the combination with fluconazole and identified its chemical compounds. In addition, an 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay was performed for evaluating M. fragrans oil activity against different Candida spp., followed by a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assay for assessing the relative C. albicans cell concentration at biofilm inhibition and combination effect activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Candida spp., M. fragrans oil, and control drug

Candida albicans UICc Y-29, Candida glabrata ATCC 66032, and Candida krusei ATCC 6258 cells were grown and maintained in a 90 mm Petri dish containing potato dextrose agar (Difco, USA) at 28°C. For experimental purposes, Candida spp. from the Petri dish were further transferred into slant potato dextrose agar and incubated at 28°C for 24 hours. Fluconazole (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was dissolved in 1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Sibello, Germany) prior to use. Myristica fragrans oil with a certificate of analysis was purchased from Costumessentialoil, Jakarta, Indonesia. Myristica fragrans oil was diluted in Tween 80 (Sigma-Aldrich®, Germany) to a final tested concentration of 0.2% prior to use.

A 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was achieved using a 96-well culture plate coated with 50% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). A volume of 100 µl/well of twofold dilution of fluconazole (0.098–50 µg/ml) or M. fragrans oil (0.009%–5% v/v) diluted in Sabouraud dextrose broth (SDB) (Millipore, Germany) was added to a 96-well culture plate, followed by the addition of 100 µl/well of C. albicans (3 × 10⁴ cells) in SDB. The mixture was then incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The supernatant was carefully aspirated, and then 100 µl of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 5 µg/µl MTT (Sigma, USA) was added and mixed. After 3 hours of incubation, 100 µl of DMSO was added and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader (VersaMax, USA).

Inhibition phase activity was evaluated using 96-well culture plates coated with 5% FBS. Candida albicans cell viability was measured using a tetrazolium-based MTT assay, as performed in our previous work (Rahmasari et al., 2020). The evaluation for inhibition at different stages was performed as mentioned below.

Adhesion stage (0–2 hours)

100 µl/well of fluconazole or M. fragrans oil and 100 µl/well of C. albicans (1 × 10⁴ cells) in SDB were added to a 96-well plate coated with 50% FBS. The plate was incubated for 2 hours at 37°C, followed by cell viability measurement by the MTT assay.

Intermediate stage (2–18 hours)

100 µl/well of C. albicans (1 × 10⁴ cells) in SDB was added to a 96-well plate coated with 50% FBS. The plate was incubated for 2 hours at 37°C. The supernatant was then aspirated, followed by the addition of fresh SDB and 100 µl/well fluconazole or M. fragrans oil and 100 µl/well SDB. The plate was incubated for 16 hours at 37°C, followed by the MTT assay.

Maturation stage (24–48 hours)

100 µl/well of C. albicans (1 × 10⁴ cells) in SDB was added to a 96-well plate coated with 50% FBS. The plate was incubated for 24 hours at 37°C, followed by the addition of 100 µl/well fluconazole or M. fragrans oil. The plate was further incubated for 24 hours at 37°C, followed by the MTT assay.

MTT assay

After incubation, the supernatant was carefully aspirated, followed by the addition of 100 µl PBS containing 5 µg/µl of MTT, and incubated for 3 hours at room temperature. After incubation, 100 µl DMSO was added and absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader.

Drug combination

These effects were further determined by the interaction index value, as described by Tallarida (2002) and as performed in our previous work (Makau et al., 2018). In order to calculate the interaction index for the combination of drugs A and B, the following equation was used: Ac/Ae + Be/Be = γ, where Ac and Be correspond to the concentrations of A and B when used in combination; Ae and Be correspond to the concentrations able to produce an effect of the same magnitude if used alone; and γ corresponds to the interaction index value. If γ is <1, the effect of the combination is synergistic, whereas if γ = or >1, the effect is additive or antagonistic, respectively. The evaluation was carried out using a 96-well culture plate coated with 50% FBS. In brief, 100 µl/well of 3 × 10⁴ C. albicans cells in SDB was added to 100 µl/well of a mixture containing twofold dilution of fluconazole (0.098–50 µg/ml) and M. fragrans oil (0.047%–3% v/v) diluted in SDB. The mixture was then incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The supernatant was aspirated, and then 50 µl of MTT was added and mixed. After 3 hours of incubation, 100 µl of DMSO was added and the absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader.

Quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction

Candida albicans cultures from the evaluation on 96-well plates were collected into microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged (Sorvall® Fresco, USA) at 4,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatants were discarded and the pellets that formed were extracted using the YeastStar Genomic DNA Kit® (Zymo Research, USA). The extracted DNAs were used as DNA templates. Two pairs of specific primers from the internally transcribed spacer
(ITS) SACALF (ITS-1) 5′-TTATCAAACCTTGTACACCCAGA-3′ and SACALR (ITS-2) 5′-GGTCAAGTTGAATATACTC-3′ were used based on research conducted by Asadzadeh et al. (2018). The DNA samples were then amplified using THUNDERBIRD™ SYBR™ qPCR Mix (Toyobo, Japan) with the MA-600 Real-Time Quantitative Thermal Cycler (Molaray Biotech, China). The qPCR cycle settings were pre-denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds. All samples were run in duplicate. A standard curve was made using standard solutions from serial dilutions (10^2–10^6 CFU/ml) to obtain a linear regression equation from plotting the cycle threshold (Ct) value against a predetermined CFU value (cell concentration). The results were presented as relative cell concentration, which was determined as (CFU value of treated sample divided by CFU value of untreated sample) × 100%.

**Chemical analysis of M. fragrans oil**

This was performed by gas chromatography-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) using TRACE GC Ultra with flame ionization detector (FID), MS DSO II detector, and MS-FID splitter with an Rtx-1 MS column (Restek, 60 m × 0.25 mm ID, film thickness 0.25 µm) using helium as a carrier gas and an electron impact ionization mode at 70 eV. The temperature of the injector was kept at 280°C, ion source temperature at 200°C, FID temperature at 300°C, and temperature programming at 2°C/minute from 50°C to 310°C. The identification of the compounds was based on the comparison of their retention times and mass spectra with those stored in the GC/MS data system and National Institute of Standards and Technology. The compound percentages were computed from the total area of the peak by a GC/MS software apparatus.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are represented as the mean ± standard error from two independent experiments (each in duplicate). Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9.1.0.

**RESULTS**

**M. fragrans activity against C. albicans**

We used fluconazole as a positive control and determined the inhibitory activity of M. fragrans oil against various Candida species using the MTT assay. The comparison of treated C. albicans viability to untreated C. albicans was analyzed using GraphPad Prism 9.1.0. The results showed that the IC_{50} of fluconazole was 5.05 ± 2.22 µg/ml and M. fragrans oil showed an IC_{50} of 1.76% ± 0.44% (v/v) against C. albicans, as shown in Table 1, respectively. In order to check the spectrum activities of fluconazole and M. fragrans oil against Candida spp., their inhibitory activity was tested against C. glabrata and C. krusei. All Candida spp. tested were sensitive to fluconazole, while M. fragrans oil was more effective against C. albicans and C. krusei than C. glabrata (Table 1).

Furthermore, the inhibitory activity was also evaluated by quantifying the relative cell concentration of C. albicans using the qPCR method. The cell concentration was obtained from the standard curve (Supplementary Data). The inhibitory activity was evaluated by comparing the cell concentration of the treated C. albicans to that of the untreated C. albicans (Liu et al., 2011). Candida albicans was treated with fluconazole at concentrations of 12.5, 0.4, and 0 µg/ml and M. fragrans oil at concentrations of 2.5%, 0.6%, and 0% v/v. Based on the qPCR results, it was found that fluconazole with concentrations of 0.4 and 12.5 µg/ml inhibited the growth of C. albicans up to 40.6% and 72.2% with percentages of relative cell concentration at 59.4% ± 6.6% and 27.8% ± 2.4%, as shown in Figure 1A. Meanwhile, in M. fragrans oil, concentrations of 0.6% and 2.5% v/v could inhibit the growth of C. albicans up to 23.9% and 67.8% with percentages of relative cell concentration at 76.1% ± 1.1% and 32.2% ± 4.2%, as shown in Figure 1B, respectively.

**Inhibitory activity of M. fragrans oil against C. albicans biofilm formation**

The inhibitory activity of fluconazole and M. fragrans oil against C. albicans biofilm formation is shown in Figure 2. Analysis using the MTT assay showed that the addition of fluconazole 12.56 µg/ml decreased the viability of C. albicans to 86.77% at the cell adhesion, 79.36% at intermediate, and 76.59% at maturation phase compared to the untreated cells. Meanwhile, the addition of 3.1% (v/v) M. fragrans oil decreased the viability of C. albicans to 13.08% at the cell adhesion phase and to 19.84% at the intermediate phase and did not inhibit the maturation phase compared to the untreated cells (Fig. 2A).

The qPCR quantification showed that fluconazole (Fig. 2B) mainly showed an inhibition effect at the C. albicans biofilm maturation stage with relative cell concentration decrease to 39.7% ± 0.4% compared to the untreated C. albicans. Meanwhile, M. fragrans oil (Fig. 2B) exhibited the pronounced activity at the adhesion and intermediate stages with relative cell concentrations at 39.2% ± 12.4% and 11.8% ± 3.6% compared to the untreated cells. Mild activity was observed at the maturation phase (76% ± 17.4% relative cell concentration). The MTT assay and cell quantification by qPCR suggest that M. fragrans oil targets the adhesion and biofilm intermediate stages.

**Combination effect of M. fragrans oil and fluconazole against C. albicans**

Myristica fragrans oil and fluconazole exhibited activity towards different stages of biofilm maturation. We therefore performed combination studies to determine the effect of M. fragrans oil and fluconazole cotreatment on C. albicans. As shown in Table 2, the addition of M. fragrans oil potentiates the inhibitory effect of fluconazole, as determined by the MTT assay. The IC_{50} of fluconazole and M. fragrans oil alone is 5.05 µg/ml and 1.76% (v/v), respectively. Therefore, the isobole method was used to calculate the interaction index at 50% inhibitory activity to determine whether the cotreatment resulted in a synergistic effect or not. The addition of 0.047% of M. fragrans oil could reduce the IC_{50} of fluconazole against C. albicans by more than half to 2.82 µg/ml, resulting in an interaction index of 0.49, which

| Candida spp. | IC_{50} fluconazole (µg/ml) | IC_{50} M. fragrans oil (%) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| C. albicans  | 5.05 ± 2.22                 | 1.76 ± 0.44                 |
| C. glabrata  | 5.24 ± 1.27                 | >5                          |
| C. krusei    | 24.1 ± 0.14                 | 1.52 ± 0.02                 |
Figure 1. Relative *C. albicans* cell concentration (quantified by qPCR) after treatment with fluconazole and *M. fragrans* oil incubated in 37°C incubator for 48 hours. The percentages of cell concentration after treatment with (A) fluconazole and (B) *M. fragrans* oil were obtained by comparing the treated to untreated *C. albicans*. Data represented as mean ± SD from two independent experiments, each done in duplicate.

Figure 2. Inhibitory activity of 12.56 µg/ml fluconazole and 3.1% (v/v) *M. fragrans* oil against *C. albicans* biofilm formation at adhesion, intermediate, and maturation stages as evaluated by (A) MTT assay and (B) qPCR. Adhesion indicated by black color, intermediate indicated by dark-gray color, and maturation phase indicated by light-gray color. Data represented as mean ± SD from two independent experiments, each in duplicate.

Table 2. Combination effect of fluconazole with *M. fragrans* oil.

| *M. fragrans* oil (% v/v) | IC<sub>50</sub> fluconazole (µg/ml) | Interaction index | Effect          |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| 0                         | 5.05                             | —                | —              |
| 0.047                     | 2.82                             | 0.58             | Synergistic    |
| 0.094                     | 0.79                             | 0.21             | Synergistic    |
| 0.188                     | 0.42                             | 0.19             | Synergistic    |
| 0.375                     | <0.39                            | <1               | Synergistic    |
| 0.75                      |                                  |                  |                |

IC<sub>50</sub> of *M. fragrans* oil alone = 1.76%.
is synergistic. As indicated in Table 2, other combinations also showed an interaction index of <1, denoting a synergistic effect.

In addition, we used qPCR to determine the relative concentration of the C. albicans cells in a combined treatment of 0.39 µg/ml fluconazole and 0.8%, 0.4%, and 0.2% (v/v) of M. fragrans oil. The IC_{50} of fluconazole is 5.05 µg/ml. Therefore, we used 0.39 µg/ml, a much lower concentration, to show the synergistic effect of M. fragrans oil. As shown in Figure 3, the addition of various concentrations of M. fragrans oil greatly reduced the cell concentration of C. albicans, which could be detected by qPCR.

**Chemical analysis of M. fragrans oil**

Identification of potential active components in M. fragrans oil was performed by gas chromatography. Based on the GC-MS analysis, shown in Table 3, respectively, myristicin (11.81%) and α-copaene (11.47%) existed as major compounds, followed by Caryophyllene (6.84%), α-pinene (6.15%), and sabine (5.98%).

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence of candidiasis has increased in the last few decades (de Oliveira Santos et al., 2018). Since late 1990, the emergence of resistance among Candida species has been reported, especially against azole drugs (Orozco et al., 1998). Candida albicans, the main cause of candidiasis, is able to adapt to environmental changes by polymorphism, proteolytic enzyme production, phenotype switch, and biofilm formation (Clark-Ordóñez et al., 2017). It was reported that some essential oils, as mentioned above, show potential inhibitory effects against Candida spp. biofilm by inhibiting hyphal formation, altering genes related to biofilm formation, or inhibiting adhesive proteins (Arora et al., 2017; Clark-Ordóñez et al., 2017; El-Baz et al., 2021). In the present research, we used relative cell viability and cell quantification by the MTT assay and qPCR, respectively, to demonstrate that M. fragrans oil has potent inhibition activity against C. albicans, which is in line with a previous report (Thileepan et al., 2017). In addition, we showed that M. fragrans oil exhibits activity against other Candida species, C. krusei and C. glabrata (Table 1), indicating its broad spectrum of activity. The IC_{50} of fluconazole against C. krusei was higher than those of C. albicans and C. glabrata. It has previously been reported that the activity of 14α-demethylase in C. krusei is more resistant to inhibition by fluconazole than in C. albicans strains (Orozco et al., 1998). However, M. fragrans oil showed potent activity against C. albicans and C. krusei more than against C. glabrata. Candida albicans and C. krusei were found to have the ability to produce extracellular DNase (DNA cutting enzyme), but no such ability in C. glabrata (Riceto et al., 2015). Extracellular DNA in Candida spp. is known to have an important role in the integrity and maintenance of the biofilm structure (Martins et al., 2010; Sapaar et al., 2014). Therefore, the sensitivity of C. albicans and C. krusei to M. fragrans oil compared to C. glabrata may be due to its components that affect the extracellular DNase activity so that it disrupts biofilm integrity, but further research is needed to prove this.

*Candida albicans* biofilm is formed in three phases, which are the adhesion, intermediate, and maturation phases. In the adhesion phase, C. albicans cells adhere to the surface of the host during the first 1-2 hours. The intermediate or biofilm development phase occurs for up to approximately 24 hours, followed by the maturation phase in which biofilms with complex structures and compositions exist. The maturation phase occurs between 24 and 72 hours after the attachment of the C. albicans cells (Cavalheiro and Teixeira, 2018; Mayer et al., 2013). In the current research, fluconazole, as a control drug, mainly inhibited the intermediate and maturation phases of C. albicans biofilm more than the adhesion phase (Fig. 2A), as confirmed by qPCR (Fig. 2B). According to research by Khodavandi et al. (2011), a significant decrease in C. albicans cells treated with fluconazole was observed only after 4 hours incubation compared to the untreated controls, which is in accordance with the results of experiments carried out previously. This phenomenon is related to the mechanism of the azole class to inhibit lanosterol demethylase (14-α-sterol demethylase). When this enzyme is inhibited, other enzymes in the ergosterol biosynthetic pathway will synthesize toxic sterols, namely, 14α-methylergosta-8,24-(28)-dienol, which is fungistatic; therefore, it takes a longer time for fluconazole to

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Relative C. albicans cell concentration (quantified by qPCR) after treatment with 0.39 µg/ml fluconazole and various concentrations of M. fragrans oil. Data represented as mean ± SD from two independent experiments.
| No. | Retention time (minute) | % area | Compounds                      |
|-----|------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|
| 1   | 6.239                  | 1.36   | α-Thujene                      |
| 2   | 6.390                  | 6.15   | α-Pinene                       |
| 3   | 6.667                  | 0.16   | Camphene                       |
| 4   | 7.058                  | 5.98   | Sabinene                       |
| 5   | 7.159                  | 3.85   | β-Pinene                       |
| 6   | 7.259                  | 0.82   | β-Myrcene                      |
| 7   | 7.587                  | 0.87   | α-Phellandrene                 |
| 8   | 7.764                  | 2.22   | (+)-4-Carene                   |
| 9   | 7.890                  | 1.00   | p-Cymene                       |
| 10  | 8.016                  | 3.49   | β-Phellandrene                 |
| 11  | 8.431                  | 2.88   | γ-Terpinene                    |
| 12  | 8.633                  | 0.11   | 4-Thujaol                      |
| 13  | 8.860                  | 0.95   | Terpinolene                    |
| 14  | 9.062                  | 0.10   | Linalool                       |
| 15  | 9.137                  | 0.10   | trans-4-Thujaol                |
| 16  | 10.423                 | 3.81   | L-4-Terpineol                  |
| 17  | 10.599                 | 0.62   | α-Terpineol                    |
| 18  | 11.872                 | 0.21   | Bornyl acetate                 |
| 19  | 11.998                 | 1.79   | Safrole                        |
| 20  | 12.099                 | 0.76   | 4-Propyloxyacetophenone        |
| 21  | 12.389                 | 0.31   | Carvacrol                      |
| 22  | 12.553                 | 0.59   | γ-Pyronene                     |
| 23  | 12.754                 | 1.41   | α-Cubebeene                    |
| 24  | 13.107                 | 1.09   | Cyclosativene                  |
| 25  | 13.233                 | 11.47  | α-Copaene                      |
| 26  | 13.334                 | 2.05   | Bicyclosesquiphellandrene      |
| 27  | 13.435                 | 3.64   | Methylchavicol                 |
| 28  | 13.611                 | 1.66   | α-Gurjunene                    |
| 29  | 13.838                 | 6.84   | Caryophyllene                  |
| 30  | 14.065                 | 0.67   | (E)-β-Farnesene                |
| 31  | 14.141                 | 0.51   | Isoledene                      |
| 32  | 14.267                 | 2.63   | 1,1,4,8-Tetramethyl-4,7,10-cycloundecatriene |
| 33  | 14.317                 | 1.08   | Alloaromadendrene              |
| 34  | 14.456                 | 1.27   | γ-Murolene                     |
| 35  | 14.569                 | 0.92   | Germacrene D                   |
| 36  | 14.670                 | 1.16   | Methylisoeugenol               |
| 37  | 14.746                 | 1.13   | α-Murolene                     |
| 38  | 14.821                 | 0.41   | β-Bisabolene                   |
| 39  | 14.897                 | 0.24   | Isoeugenol                     |
| 40  | 15.086                 | 11.81  | Myristicin                     |
| 41  | 15.262                 | 2.14   | Elemicin                       |
| 42  | 15.325                 | 0.23   | β-Calacore                     |
| 43  | 15.502                 | 1.40   | Isoeugenol                     |
| 44  | 15.779                 | 0.48   | Spathulenol                    |
| 45  | 15.867                 | 0.93   | Caryophyllene oxide            |
| 46  | 16.0815                | 0.8429 | 3,4-Dimethyl-2,5-diphenyl-1,3,2-oxazaborolidine |
| 47  | 18.602                 | 2.46   | Myristic acid                  |
have the effect of reducing fungal cell viability (Bhattacharya et al., 2020; Ellepola et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2021). On the other hand, *M. fragrans* oil was confirmed to mainly inhibit the adhesion and intermediate phases (Fig. 2A and B). In addition, the inhibitory activity of fluconazole and *M. fragrans* oil against *C. albicans* biofilm formation at the adhesion to intermediate phases (0–18 hours) was also evaluated. The continuous incubation of fluconazole or *M. fragrans* oil showed better activity than when the drug or oil was added at each stage only (Supplementary Data).

GC-MS demonstrated the presence of sesquiterpenes, especially α-copaene (11.47%) and caryophyllene (6.84%), as shown in Table 3, respectively. Those *M. fragrans* oil sesquiterpenes may contribute to adhesion phase inhibition of *C. albicans*, as the sesquiterpenes from *Carpesium macrocephalum* have been reported to inhibit the morphogenetic transformation of yeast to hyphae form (Xie et al., 2015). In addition, *M. fragrans* oil is known to contain α-pinene, β-pinene, sabines, and myristicin (Table 3), which possibly inhibited the adhesion and intermediate phases (de Macêdo Andrade et al., 2018; Hammer et al., 2003; Moreira Valente et al., 2015; Thakre et al., 2018). α-Pinene compounds were found to inhibit DNA replication, RNA synthesis, polysaccharides in cell walls, and ergosterol in the cytoplasmic membrane and have inhibitory activity on biofilm formation of *C. albicans*. The compound (−)-β-pinene most likely acts by disrupting cell walls through molecular interactions with delta-14-sterol reductase and, to a lesser extent, with 1,3-β-glucan synthase (de Macêdo Andrade et al., 2018). In addition, myristicin and sabine, which are the main compounds in *M. fragrans* oil, are fungicidal with unknown mechanisms (Moreira Valente et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2019). However, *M. fragrans* oil did not exhibit activity at the maturation phase of *C. albicans*, possibly because the biofilm matrix had already formed, thus blocking or slowing the rate of the diffusion of the external factors (Limoli et al., 2015; Pinto et al., 2020). In addition, hyphal cells in *C. albicans* biofilms express antioxidant defense proteins (e.g., superoxide dismutase 5) that play a role in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species. These proteins are able to block off limonene activity to produce oxidative-stress-induced cell apoptosis (Noble et al., 2017; Thakre et al., 2018).

The combination of antifungal therapies, which has different targets and works synergistically, is one of the strategies to overcome drug resistance (Pai et al., 2018). The combination of fluconazole at lower concentrations with *M. fragrans* oil resulted in a synergistic effect (Table 2). Quantification of the *C. albicans* genetic material in a combined treatment of *M. fragrans* oil and fluconazole (Fig. 3) showed a strong synergistic effect, which could result from different mechanisms of action of both drugs (Pai et al., 2018). In Figure 2B, *M. fragrans* oil exhibited a strong inhibitory effect on the adhesion and intermediate phases; meanwhile, fluconazole exhibited an inhibitory effect mainly at the maturation phase. Therefore, a combination of both could produce a strong synergy against *C. albicans*. The concomitant use of fluconazole and *M. fragrans* oil for candidiasis treatment can reduce *C. albicans* resistance against fluconazole due to their different mechanism of action. Further, *M. fragrans* oil can be used topically as an adjunctive therapy in mucocutaneous and oropharyngeal candidiasis treated with oral fluconazole.

CONCLUSION

The result presented here demonstrated clearly that *M. fragrans* oil possesses potent activity against *C. albicans* by inhibiting biofilm formation and could be used as an antifungal agent in combination with fluconazole, as emphasized by its synergistic effect. Further research on its potential active compound activity against *C. albicans* infection, safety, and effectiveness in vivo is needed.
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