Butterfly Pea (*Clitoria ternatea*), a Cyclotide-Bearing Plant With Applications in Agriculture and Medicine
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The perennial leguminous herb *Clitoria ternatea* (butterfly pea) has attracted significant interest based on its agricultural and medical applications, which range from use as a fodder and nitrogen fixing crop, to applications in food coloring and cosmetics, traditional medicine and as a source of an eco-friendly insecticide. In this article we provide a broad multidisciplinary review that includes descriptions of the physical appearance, distribution, taxonomy, habitat, growth and propagation, phytochemical composition and applications of this plant. Notable amongst its repertoire of chemical components are anthocyanins which give *C. ternatea* flowers their characteristic blue color, and cyclotides, ultra-stable macrocyclic peptides that are present in all tissues of this plant. The latter are potent insecticidal molecules and are implicated as the bioactive agents in a plant extract used commercially as an insecticide. We include a description of the genetic origin of these peptides, which interestingly involve the co-option of an ancestral albumin gene to produce the cyclotide precursor protein. The biosynthesis step in which the cyclic peptide backbone is formed involves an asparaginyl endopeptidase, of which in *C. ternatea* is known as butelase-1. This enzyme is highly efficient in peptide ligation and has been the focus of many recent studies on peptide ligation and cyclization for biotechnological applications. The article concludes with some suggestions for future studies on this plant, including the need to explore possible synergies between the various peptidic and non-peptidic phytochemicals.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Clitoria ternatea*, commonly known as butterfly pea, is a perennial herbaceous plant from the Fabaceae family. It has recently attracted a lot of interest as it has potential applications both in modern medicine and agriculture, and as a source of natural food colorants and antioxidants. *C. ternatea* has long been cultivated as a forage and fodder crop, and early studies assessed the plant for these purposes (Reid and Sinclair, 1980; Barro and Ribeiro, 1983; Hall, 1985). Numerous field trials in Queensland, Australia, eventually led to the registry of *C. ternatea* cv. 'Milgarra' (Oram, 1992), the only cultivar in Australia that was released for grazing purposes (Conway and Doughton, 2005). Additionally, *C. ternatea* has been widely used in traditional medicine, particularly as a supplement to enhance cognitive functions and alleviate symptoms of numerous ailments including fever, inflammation, pain, and diabetes (Mukherjee et al., 2008).
In as early as the 1950s, studies on *C. ternatea* sought to elucidate its pharmacological activities, phytochemical composition and active constituents (Grindley et al., 1954; Piala et al., 1962; Kulshreshtha and Khare, 1967; Morita et al., 1967). The novel *C. ternatea* anthocyanins termed “ternatins” which render *C. ternatea* flowers with their vivid blue color, were first isolated in 1985 (Saito et al., 1985). Following further isolation and structural characterization of numerous other ternatins, the ternatin biosynthetic pathway was postulated a decade later (Terahara et al., 1998). In 2003, comparison of *C. ternatea* lines bearing different floral colors provided insights into the role of acylation on *C. ternatea* floral color determination (Kazuma et al., 2003a). The abundance of these unique anthocyanins alongside other secondary metabolites in *C. ternatea* makes the plant an ideal source of natural additives that can enhance the appearance and nutritive values of consumer products (Pasukamonset et al., 2016, 2017, 2018; Siti Azima et al., 2017). Although a number of recent studies has endeavored to elucidate the pharmacological activities of *C. ternatea* (Adhikary et al., 2017; Kavitha, 2018; Singh et al., 2018), the contribution of individual extract components on any bioactivity measured remains unknown.

Figure 1 summarizes some of the key agricultural and biochemical studies conducted on *C. ternatea* from the 1950s to the present, providing a convenient timeline of discoveries. The corresponding references to the key studies and milestones are listed in Table 1. In recent years, the small circular defense molecules called cyclotides, in *C. ternatea* (Nguyen et al., 2011; Poth et al., 2011a,b; Nguyen et al., 2014) have fueled scientific innovations that may have impact in modern agriculture, biotechnology and medicine. In 2017, Sero-X®, a cyclotide-containing eco-friendly pesticide made from extracts of *C. ternatea*, was approved for commercial use in Australia³. In addition, the *C. ternatea* cyclotide processing enzyme, butelase-1, which is the fastest ligase known to date and is capable of ligating peptides across a vast range of sizes (26 to >200 residues), can potentially be used in the large scale synthesis of macrocycle libraries and peptide-based pharmaceuticals (Nguyen et al., 2014, 2015).

### Plant Description

*Clitoria ternatea* produces pentamerous zygomorphic pea-shaped flowers with a tubular calyx consisting of five sepals which are fused about two thirds of their length. The showy corollae consists of five free petals, with one large and rounded which are fused about two thirds of their length. The showy *C. ternatea* pods are narrow and flattened with pointy tips, and they typically contain around 10 seeds (Cobley, 1956) (Figure 2B). The seeds contain palmitic acid (19%), stearic acid (10%), oleic acid (51-52%), linoleic acid (17%) and linolenic acid (4%) (Grindley et al., 1954; Joshi et al., 1981). The caloric content of the seed is reported to be around 500 cal/100 g (Joshi et al., 1981). *C. ternatea* produces pinnate compound leaves that are obovate and entire with emarginate tips (Taur et al., 2010) (Figure 2C). The epidermis on both leaf surfaces consist of a single layer of cells protected by a thick cuticle and with trichome outgrowths (Taur et al., 2010). A layer of palisade cells, lignified xylem and paracytic stomata lie underneath the upper epidermis (Taur et al., 2010). *C. ternatea* produces an extensive deep-root system, which enables the plant to survive up to 7–8 months of drought (Cobley, 1956). The roots also produce large nodules for nitrogen fixation (Cobley, 1956) (Figure 2D).

### Taxonomy, Geographic Distribution and Habitat

The genus *Clitoria* occurs in tropical and subtropical environments across the globe. The number of subfamilial taxa remains unclear, and as in the case of *Clitoria*, the descriptions of species and citations of type specimens are noted as being incomplete or incorrect according to Fantz (1977). Thus, it is difficult to estimate species richness of the genus. Within *Clitoria*, three subgenera have been described and held as valid according to the monograph of *Clitoria*. Across all three subgenera, Fantz retains 58 species as valid, with numerous lower classifications of varieties and subspecies (Fantz, 1977).

*C. ternatea* is the holotype of *Clitoria* subgenus Clitoria, and represents the archetypical *Clitoria*. The etymology of the specific name is postulated to be from the island of Ternate in the Indonesian archipelago because it is from specimens from that location that Linnaeus produced the specific description. Ternate is not in the Indian Ocean but is instead in the Molucca Sea and in eastern Indonesia, lending ambiguity to the native range of the species. The distribution of all other taxa in subgenus Clitoria is restricted to Southern and Eastern Africa, India, Madagascar, and other islands of the Western Indian Ocean (Figure 3). The exact geographic origin of *C. ternatea* is thus difficult to determine, but we may infer from the center of diversity for subgenus Clitoria, that *C. ternatea* arose in or around the Indian Ocean and not the Pacific Ocean or South China Sea where it has been in use as a food coloring historically (Fantz, 1977; Staples, 1992). It is also entirely possible that the taxon we know as *C. ternatea* is an ancient hybrid of one or more members of the subgenus Clitoria that had subsequently been introduced to Southeast Asia. Testing of this synthetic origin hypothesis would require large scale genetics work on *C. ternatea* and related taxa like *Clitoria biflora*, *C. kaessneri*, *C. lasciva*, and *C. heterophylla*. Regardless of the specific geographical origin and evolutionary history of *C. ternatea*, the present day distribution of naturalized
FIGURE 1 | Timeline of the key studies and milestones on *Clitoria ternatea* research from the 1950s to the present. The biological (blue) and biochemical (purple) studies pursued from the 1950s to early 1970s characterized the properties of roots and seeds. Toward the end of the 1970s, researchers began to isolate and characterize the phytochemical compounds from *C. ternatea*. Ternatins, the anthocyanins that render *C. ternatea* its vivid blue color, were first isolated in 1985; and the structure of the largest of the ternatins, ternatin A1, was characterized in 1989. Further isolation and characterization of the ternatins in *C. ternatea* led to the elucidation of the ternatin biosynthetic pathway in 1998. Parallel to the studies that characterized the phytochemical composition of *C. ternatea*, were agricultural studies that evaluated *C. ternatea* as a forage and fodder crop. A series of field studies in Queensland, Australia lead the development and eventual release of the *C. ternatea* Milgarra cultivar in 1991. From 2001 to the present, studies have been determining the pharmacological activities and biological activities of *C. ternatea* extracts. In 2011, cyclotides, the circular insecticidal molecules which can also be used as scaffolds for peptide-based therapeutics, were discovered in *C. ternatea*. While cyclotides had previously been characterized in other angiosperm species, *C. ternatea* is to date, the only legume that is known to produce them. In 2014, butelase-1, the ligase that facilitates cyclization in *C. ternatea* cyclotides, was discovered and characterized. Cyclotides and the auxiliary enzymes, have applications both in modern medicine and agriculture. In 2017, Sero-X® an eco-friendly insecticide made from *C. ternatea* extracts was registered for commercial use in Australia.

populations of *C. ternatea* is pantropical, as facilitated by key characteristics of the species: tolerance to drought conditions, non-reliance on specific pollinators because of self-pollination, and nitrogen fixation capability (Cobley, 1956; Staples, 1992; Conway et al., 2001). It is also possible to cultivate and maintain populations in subtropical regions (ex. Wee Waa NSW, located at −30.2, 149.433333). The habitat of *C. ternatea* is open mesic forest or shrub land (personal observations of authors and records in the Australasian Virtual Herbrarium\(^2\)). In Australia, the authors note that populations of *C. ternatea* occur in tropical regions in open areas where sunlight is plentiful due to a sparse canopy and in areas near where fresh water would collect such as the border of wetlands, small gullies, or at the base of rocky hillsides. When present, the plants are often vigorous and smother other vegetation.

**Growth and Propagation**

Germination and establishment of *C. ternatea* is most favorable when the temperature is between 24–32°C, and when seeds are sown in moist soil at 2.5–5 cm deep and 20–30 cm apart (McDonald, 2002; Conway, 2005). Although *C. ternatea* can withstand arid conditions (Cobley, 1956), the plant grows best with ample moisture and rainfall (650–1250 mm) and when the temperature reaches 27°C or higher (Conway and Collins, 2005). Like most tropical legumes, *C. ternatea* is susceptible to frost damage (Conway and Collins, 2005). However, it can retain its leaves for as long as 7 days, and its woody parts typically recover (Conway and Collins, 2005).

Despite its hardy features, one of the impediments in propagating *C. ternatea* is its low seed germination rate. This problem has long been recognized as evident in a study conducted in 1967 (Mullick and Chatterji, 1967). The study showed that freshly harvested *C. ternatea* would not imbibe water and germinate (Mullick and Chatterji, 1967). On the other hand, storing the seeds for another 6 months promoted germination in 15–20% of the seeds (Mullick and Chatterji, 1967). Chemical scarification by means of soaking the seeds in boiling water or sulfuric acid was also found to promote *C. ternatea* seed germination (Cruz et al., 1995) where soaking the seeds in concentrated sulfuric acid for at least 10 min resulted in a reported 100% seed germination rate (Patel et al., 2016).

In vitro propagation can circumvent the unreliably low seed germination rate in *C. ternatea*. It can also be an alternative method for conserving and mass propagating *C. ternatea* lines with superior qualities. In 1968, a study determined the effects of adding ascochitine on the growth of *C. ternatea* embryos (Lakshmanan and Padmanabhan, 1968). That study reported that
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\(^2\)https://avh.chah.org.au/
TABLE 1 | Milestones in Clitoria ternatea studies.

| Years     | Milestones                                           | References                  |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1954      | Seed fatty acid composition analyzed                 | Grindley et al., 1954       |
| 1962      | Root diuretic properties screened                    | Paisa et al., 1962          |
| 1967      | Phytochemical composition of seeds analysis          | Kulahreshttha and Khare, 1967|
| 1967–1968 | Germination studies and in vitro propagation         | Mullick and Chatterji, 1967 |
| 1974      | Nodulation pattern characterized                     | Obisami, 1974               |
| 1976      | Kaempferol-glycosides in leaves isolated             | Morita et al., 1976         |
| 1980–1990 | Forage and grain crop properties evaluated           | Reid and Sinclair, 1980; Barro and Ribeiro, 1983; Hall, 1985 |
| 1985      | Ternatins isolated from flowers                      | Saito et al., 1985          |
| 1989      | Structure of Ternatin A1 determined                  | Terahara et al., 1989a      |
| 1991      | Milgarra cultivar registered in Australia            | Oram, 1992                  |
| 1998      | Ternatin biosynthetic pathway determined             | Terahara et al., 1998       |
| 2000      | Pharmacological activities of the extracts determined | Rai et al., 2001            |
| 2004      | Antimicrobial properties characterized               | Kelemu et al., 2004         |
| 2011      | Cyclotides in C. ternatea discovered                 | Poth et al., 2011a,b        |
| 2014      | Butelase discovered                                 | Nguyen et al., 2014         |
| 2017      | Sero-X® registered                                   | Innovate Ag, 2018           |

1https://innovate-ag.com.au/.

60% of the embryos produced callus in both the upper and lower hypocotyl when 5–10 ppm ascochitine was added to the culture media. Numerous studies have since been conducted from 1990 to 2016 to determine the optimal plant hormone concentrations, basal media types and explant types for C. ternatea in vitro propagation (Table 2).

With the optimal hormone concentrations supplemented in the basal medium, callus production was observed from mature C. ternatea embryos, leaf and root explants obtained from aseptic seedlings (Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990; Shahzad et al., 2007; Mohamed and Taha, 2011). In some instances, prolonged explant maintenance in the same callus induction medium led to embryoid production (Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990). Recently, a study described a protocol to produce encapsulated embryogenic callus from leaf explants using the optimal hormone concentrations and 3% sodium alginate (Mahmad et al., 2016). The study reported that more than 50% of the encapsulated explants stored at 4°C for 90 days survived (Mahmad et al., 2016). Studies showed that shoots can be regenerated from callus (Shahzad et al., 2007; Mahmad et al., 2016). Alternatively, shoots can also be induced and proliferated directly from different explant types such as isolated shoot buds (Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990), axillary buds (Mhaskar et al., 2011), shoot tips (Pandeya et al., 2010), leaf (Mohamed and Taha, 2011), and root (Shahzad et al., 2007) from aseptic seedlings, cotyledonary nodes (Pandeya et al., 2010; Mukhtar et al., 2012) and nodal explants (Rout, 2005; Pandeya et al., 2010; Ismail et al., 2012; Mukhtar et al., 2012). These in vitro grown C. ternatea shoots when subsequently placed in a medium supplemented with the optimal auxin concentrations produced roots in vitro (Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990; Rout, 2005; Shahzad et al., 2007; Mhaskar et al., 2011; Mohamed and Taha, 2011; Ismail et al., 2012; Mukhtar et al., 2012). Nevertheless, ex vitro root production was observed when elongated shoots were soaked in a concentrated auxin solution (Pandeya et al., 2010).

Moreover, a study has described propagation of C. ternatea via hairy root cultures (Swain et al., 2012b). Using the wild-type Agrobacterium rhizogenes strain A4T with the optimal culture conditions, a transformation frequency of as high as 85.8% was observed (Swain et al., 2012b). Compared to roots obtained from outdoor grown plants, C. ternatea hairy root cultures produced fourfold the amount of taraxerol, an anticancer triterpenoid compound that is naturally produced in C. ternatea roots (Swain et al., 2012a).

HISTORICAL AND CURRENT APPLICATIONS

Agriculture

Fodder and Forage Crop

Clitoria ternatea has long been cultivated as a forage crop (Cobley, 1956), with yields reaching 17–29 tons/ha of palatable hay for cattle (Barro and Ribeiro, 1983; Abdelhamid and Gabr, 1993). This yield is on par with the established forage crop, alfalfa (Medicago sativa), and can potentially replace it in warm areas with low rainfall (Barro and Ribeiro, 1983). In Australia, C. ternatea has been cultivated predominantly in Queensland, due to its adaptability in the arid regions and persistence in heavy-textured farm lands (Hall, 1985). In 1991, the Queensland Department of Primary Industries, released the C. ternatea cv. ‘Milgarra’ mainly for grazing purposes (Oram, 1992). Milgarra is a composite of 21 introduced and naturalized C. ternatea lines that were grown for over three generations (Oram, 1992). As it is a composite cultivar, phenotypic variations are commonly observed in the field (Conway and Doughton, 2005).

Timing of harvest has been demonstrated to be important for maximizing dry matter content and digestibility of C. ternatea hay, with 45 days shown to be optimal (Mahala et al., 2012). Further increases in dry matter content have been reported if C. ternatea is pruned every 42 days at 20 cm (Colina et al., 1997), with dry matter yields of 1122 kg/ha reported. Compared to other legumes, animal feeds prepared from C. ternatea have consistently lower acid detergent fiber content. This low amount of acid detergent fiber increases energy density of the feed, and retains a high nitrogen content (Jones et al., 2000). Thus, feeds made from this plant have favorable nutritional characteristics compared to other legume forages. C. ternatea is also a great source of carotenoids with the carotenoid content of a 6-month old hay reaching 600 mg/kg dry matter (Barro and Ribeiro, 1983).
**Nitrogen Fixation and Improvement of Soil Nutrient Content**

*Clitoria ternatea* roots produce large round nodules (Cobley, 1956) (Figure 2D) known to house nitrogen-fixing bacteria, making the plant ideal for use in a crop rotation system. As early as the 1970s, studies were conducted to assess the nitrogen-fixing capacity of *C. ternatea* (Oblisami, 1974; De Souza et al., 1996). Nodulation was shown to be more favorably induced with a soil moisture content of around 25–45% with a light duration of 11–14 h and an intensity of 11–17 W/m² (Habish and Mahdi, 1983). Supplementing the soil with sulfur was also demonstrated as beneficial for nodule formation (Zaroug and Munns, 1980a). Several studies have reported the benefits of *C. ternatea* to soil health (De Souza et al., 1996; Dwivedi and Kumar, 2001; Kanh et al., 2002; Alderete-Chavez et al., 2011). Field trials conducted in Mexico reported that at 180 days post planting of *C. ternatea*, the organic matter, N, P, and K content of the soil all increased significantly (Alderete-Chavez et al., 2011). A similar study conducted in India reported that intercropping *C. ternatea* with the fodder crop *Setaria sphacelata* enriched the
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**Figure 2** *Clitoria ternatea* (A) flower, (B) pods, (C) leaves, and (D) roots with nodules. The *C. ternatea* flower consists of the stamen (st), pistil (p), sepals (sp), and corollae. The corollae consist of five petals: one banner (b), two wings (w) and two keels (k). *C. ternatea* has pinnate compound leaves, flat and pointed pods and roots that produce nodules (n).
N content of the soil to an estimated 39.8 kg/ha (Dwivedi and Kumar, 2001). The results suggest that intercropping *C. ternatea* may potentially lead to a shorter fallow period requirement (Njunie et al., 2004).

When considering crop rotations, it is important to determine the cross nodulation capacity of nitrogen fixing *Rhizobium* species. One study showed that the *Rhizobium* species isolated from *C. ternatea*, cow pea and soybean are more compatible to each other than other legume species (Oblisami, 1974), while cross inoculation of *Rhizobium* sp. from *C. ternatea* and the legume species, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, *M. sativa*, and *Pisum sativum*, produced no nodules (Oblisami, 1974). These studies provide insights as to which legume species, when planted together with *C. ternatea*, are more likely to form nodules and thereby yield the most soil benefits. Another early study showed that the symbiotic efficiencies measured, based on *C. ternatea* dry matter yield, varied depending on the *Rhizobium* sp. strains tested (Zaroug and Munns, 1980b). A more recent study reported the isolation and identification of 11 rhizobial strains from *C. ternatea* grown in Thailand (Duangkhet et al., 2018). The 16s rDNA phylogenetic analysis revealed that ten of these isolates were *Bradyrhizobium elkanii* strains while the remaining isolate was a *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strain. These *C. ternatea* *B. elkanii* strains were shown to promote better plant growth and induce higher nitrogen-fixing capacity than *B. elkanii* strains isolated from soybean (Duangkhet et al., 2018).

**Medicine**

The popular use of *C. ternatea* in traditional medicine has stimulated researchers to elucidate the pharmacological activities of extracts obtained from various *C. ternatea* tissues. Numerous animal studies have reported that the extracts exhibit diuretic, nootropic, antiasthmatic, anti-inflammatory, analgesic, antipyretic, antidiabetic, antilipidemic, anti-arthritic, antioxidant, and wound healing properties. The results of the animal and in *in vitro* studies are summarized in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Although these combined studies claim that *C. ternatea* extracts showcase a diverse range of pharmacological properties, many of these studies are preliminary and require more thorough investigation. In many instances the authors have attributed the extract activities to the presence of flavonols and anthocyanins, however, attempts to isolate and test individual components are limited. Indeed several components in *C. ternatea* extracts could be acting synergistically. For instance, cyclotides which have been reported to have immunosuppressive properties may contribute (Gründemann et al., 2012, 2013; Thell et al., 2016), as could the abundance of delphinidins (Sogo et al., 2015; Tani et al., 2017; Harada et al., 2018).

**Nootropic Activity**

Several studies have reported improvement in cognitive performance when *C. ternatea* extracts were administered to experimental animals (Taranalli and Cheeramkuzhy, 2000; Rai et al., 2001; Jain et al., 2003). In one study, rats orally dosed with ethanolic extracts derived from *C. ternatea* roots or aerial tissues were shown to attenuate electric shock-induced amnesia better than the controls (Taranalli and Cheeramkuzhy, 2000). In a separate study, 7-day old neonatal rats orally dosed with aqueous *C. ternatea* root extract also showed improved memory retention and enhanced spatial learning performance 48 h and 30 days post treatment (Rai et al., 2001). Further investigations revealed that the brains of treated rats contained a significantly higher acetylcholine content than the controls (Taranalli and Cheeramkuzhy, 2000; Rai et al., 2002). A more recent study of the effects of *C. ternatea* leaf extracts on diabetic-induced cognitive decline showed that the acetylcholinesterase activity, total nitric oxide levels and lipid peroxide levels all significantly
### TABLE 2 | Summary of published *Clitoria ternatea* in vitro propagation studies.

| Hormone concentrations | Basal medium | Explants used | Results | References |
|------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------|------------|
| -                      | MS           | Mature embryo | Callus on seedling root and hypocotyl; embryogenesis | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 0.1 mg/L KN            | MS           | Mature embryo | Callus on seedling lateral root | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 0.5 mg/L KN            | MS           | Mature embryo | Callus on seedling root and hypocotyl; embryogenesis | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 0.5 mg/L KN + 0.5 mg/L IAA | MS | Mature embryo | Callus on seedling root; embryogenesis | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 1.12 mg/L BAP + 2.2 or 4.4 mg/L 2,4-D | MS | Excised root segments from aseptic seedlings | Organogenic callus | Shahzad et al., 2007 |
| 2.0 mg/L BAP + 1.0 mg/L NAA | DKW | Leaf explants from aseptic seedlings | Shoot proliferation | Mohamed and Taha, 2011 |
| 1.0 mg/L NAA + 0.5 mg/L BAP + 40 mg/L 2iP | MS | Aseptic leaf explants encapsulated using 3% sodium alginate | Shoot proliferation | Mahmad et al., 2016 |
| 0.56 – 2.25 mg/L BAP + 0.37 mg/L NAA | MS | Calli derived from excised root segments | Shoot proliferation | Shahzad et al., 2007 |
| –                      | 1/2 MS       | Isolated shoot buds (0.2–0.5 cm in length) from mature embryo | Shoot proliferation | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 0.1–0.5 mg/L BAP       | MS           | Isolated shoot buds (0.2–0.5 cm in length) from mature embryo | Shoot proliferation | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 2.5 mg/L BAP + 0.25 mg/L NAA | MS | Axillary buds | Shoot proliferation | Mhaskar et al., 2011 |
| 2 mg/L BAP + 0.25 mg/L NAA | Semisolid MS | Nodal explants | Shoot proliferation | Rout, 2005 |
| 1.12 mg/L BAP          | MS           | Nodal explants | Shoot proliferation | Ismail et al., 2012 |
| 2.0 mg/L BAP           | MS           | Shoot tip, node, cotyledonary node explants | Shoot proliferation | Pandeya et al., 2010 |
| 0.5 mg/L GA            | MS           | Shoot tip, node, cotyledonary node explants | Shoot elongation | Pandeya et al., 2010 |
| 1.0 mg/L BAP           | DKW          | Leaf explants from aseptic seedlings | Shoot proliferation | Mohamed and Taha, 2011 |
| 4.5 mg/L BAP + 0.37 mg/L NAA | MS | Excised root segments from aseptic seedings | Shoot proliferation | Shahzad et al., 2007 |
| 0.02 mg/L TDZ; 0.2 mg/L TDZ | MS | Cotyledonary node; nodal explants | Shoot proliferation | Mukhtar et al., 2012 |
| 0.1–0.5 mg/L IBA       | MS           | Isolated shoots (2.0–5.0 cm in length) proliferated from mature embryo | Rooting | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 0.1–0.5 mg/L IAA       | MS           | Isolated shoots (2.0–5.0 cm in length) proliferated from mature embryo | Rooting | Lakshmanan and Dhanalakshmi, 1990 |
| 0.25 mg/L NAA          | 1/2 MS (2% suc) | Directly regenerated shoots from nodal explants | Rooting | Rout, 2005 |
| 1.0 mg/L IBA           | 1/2 MS       | Shoots derived from organogenic callus | Rooting | Shahzad et al., 2007 |
| 0.2–0.4 mg/L IBA       | 1/2 MS       | Directly regenerated elongated shoots from nodal, cotyledonary nod and shoot tips | Rooting | Ismail et al., 2012; Mukhtar et al., 2012 |
| 0.56 mg/L NAA          | MS           | Directly regenerated shoots from axillary buds | Rooting | Mhaskar et al., 2011 |
| Dipping in 250 mg/L IBA for 30 min | Solite | Elongated shoots | Rooting (ex vitro) | Pandeya et al., 2010 |
| 2.0 mg/L NAA           | DKW          | Leaf explants from aseptic seedlings | Rooting | Mohamed and Taha, 2011 |

*KN, kinetin; BAP, 6-benzylaminopurine; TDZ, thidiazuron; IAA, Indole-3-acetic acid; IBA, Indole-3-butyric acid; 2,4-D, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid; NAA, 1-naphthalenecarboxylic acid; GA, gibberellic acid; 2iP-N; (2-Isopentenyl)adenine; MS, Murashige and Skoog medium (3% sucrose (suc) unless otherwise stated); DKW, Driver Kuniyuki Walnut medium (3% suc).*
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decreased upon treatment, whilst the catalase, superoxide dismutase and glutathione levels all significantly increased (Talpate et al., 2014). Another recent study showed that rats fed for 60 days with “medhya rasayana,” a mixture of crushed *C. ternatea* and jaggery (1:1), exhibited significant reduction in autophagy in the brain (Raghu et al., 2017). The treated and the control rats also differentially expressed genes implicated in autophagy regulation, nucleotide excision repair, homologous recombination, etc. The study suggested that *C. ternatea* protects the brain by affecting the autophagy directed pathway (Raghu et al., 2017).

**Anti-inflammatory, Analgesic, and Antipyretic Activity**

Extracts of *C. ternatea* roots and leaves have been reported to demonstrate anti-inflammatory, analgesic, and antipyretic activities (Devi et al., 2003; Parimaladevi et al., 2004; Bhatia et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2018). Oral administration of the methanolic root extracts and ethanolic floral extracts of *C. ternatea* was
TABLE 3 | Animal studies and clinical trial demonstrating the pharmacological activities of *Clitoria ternatea* extracts.

| Tissue                          | Extraction solvent | Dosage (mg extract/kg body weight) | Administration | Experimental animals | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References                  |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Roots                           | Ethanol           | 16 or 19                          | Single, oral   | Dogs                 | No diuretic and natriuretic effects                                                                                                                                                                    | Piala et al., 1962          |
| Roots                           | Ethanol           | 4 or 6                            | Single, intravenous | Dogs                | Increase in Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\), and decrease in Cl\(^-\) in the urine; no change in urine volume                                                                                                         | Piala et al., 1962          |
| Roots, aerial tissues           | Ethanol           | 300 or 500                        | Daily for 7 d, oral | Wistar rats          | Attenuated electric shock-induced amnesia; increase in acetylcholine content in the brain                                                                                                               | Taranalli and Cheramkuzhy, 2000 |
| Roots                           | Water             | 50 or 100                         | Daily for 30 days, oral | 7-day old Wistar rats | Improved memory retention and spatial learning 48 h and 30 days post treatment                                                                                                                                 | Rai et al., 2001            |
| Roots                           | Methanol          | 100, 200, or 400                  | Single, oral   | Albino mice or Wistar rats | Nootropic, anxiolytic, antidepressant, anticonvulsant and anti-stress activities                                                                                                                        | Jain et al., 2003           |
| Roots                           | Water             | 100                               | Daily for 30 days, oral | Neonatal and adult Wistar rats | Higher hippocampal acetylcholine content in treated animals than their corresponding age group controls                                                                                                                                 | Rai et al., 2002            |
| Roots                           | Water             | 100                               | Daily for 30 days, oral | Adult Wistar rats    | Increase in memory retention and spatial learning; increase in dendritic arborization                                                                                                                                 | Rai et al., 2005            |
| Leaves                          | Ethanol           | 200 or 400                        | Daily for 14 days, oral | Sprague Dawley rats | Decrease in acetylcholinesterase activity; decrease nitric oxide and lipid peroxide levels; increase in catalase, superoxide dismutase and glutathione levels                                                                 | Talpate et al., 2014        |
| Whole plant                     | –                 | 3 g/kg                            | Fed for 60 days | Wistar rats          | Protection of hippocampal cells through autophagy reduction                                                                                                                                              | Raghu et al., 2017          |
| Roots                           | Methanol          | 200 or 400                        | Single, oral   | Wistar rats          | Reduction in carrageein-induced paw oedema and inhibition acetic acid-induced vascular permeability                                                                                                         | Devi et al., 2003           |
| Roots                           | Methanol          | 200, 300, or 400                  | Single, oral   | Wistar rats          | Reduction body temperature reduction                                                                                                                                                                   | Parimaladevi et al., 2004   |
| Leaves                          | Water, ethanol and petroleum ether | 100–400                           | Single, oral   | Wistar rats          | Reduction in carrageein-induced paw oedema; displayed analgesic activity determined by the tail flick method                                                                                             | Bhatia et al., 2014         |
| Roots                           | Ethanol           | 100–150                           | Single, Intraperitoneal | Albino mice and Wistar rats | Decrease in leukocytosis and eosinophilia and inhibition of anaphylaxis in Wistar rats; protection from mast cell degranulation in albino mice                                                              | Taur and Patil, 2011        |
| Flowers                         | Ethanol           | 400                               | Single, oral   | Guinea pigs and albino mice | Reduction in histamine-induced dyspnoea in Guinea pigs; Reduction in coughing, lung inflammation, and decrease in white blood cell counts, interleukin and immunoglobulin G1 levels in albino mice | Singh et al., 2018          |
| Leaves                          | Ethanol           | 400                               | Daily for 28 days, oral | Wistar rats          | Reduction in the levels blood glucose, insulin, glycosylated hemoglobin, urea, creatinine and liver marker enzymes                                                                                      | Kavitha, 2018               |
| Seeds, roots                    | Hydroalcohol      | 500                               | Single, oral   | Sprague Dawley rats | Reduction in total serum cholesterol, triglyceride and very low density lipoprotein levels in rats with Poloxamer 407-induced hyperlipidemia                                                                | Solanki and Jain, 2010      |
| Seeds, roots                    | Hydroalcohol      | 500                               | Daily for 7 days, oral | Sprague Dawley rats | Reduction in triglyceride and cholesterol levels                                                                                                                                                      | Solanki and Jain, 2010      |
| Flowers                         | Methanol          | 50                                | Every other day for 24 days, oral | Male Swiss albino mice | Reduction in expression or release of enzymes, receptors or molecules implicated in inflammatory responses                                                                                           | Singh et al., 2018          |
| Flowers*                        | Aqueous           | 1–2 g in 400 mL water             | Single, oral   | Healthy adult males  | Increase in plasma antioxidant capacity; decrease in postprandial sucrose and insulin levels; enhancement of postprandial antioxidant status                                                      | Chusak et al., 2018b        |

*Clinical trial*
reported to significantly inhibit carrageenin-induced rat paw oedema and acetic acid-induced vascular permeability in rats (Devi et al., 2003; Singh et al., 2018). Results with an oral dosage of 400 mg extract per kg body weight were on par with a 20 mg/kg oral dosage of diclofenac sodium (Devi et al., 2003), a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug. In an antipyretic study, oral administration of C. ternatea methanolic root extracts significantly reduced the body temperature of Wistar rats that had yeast-induced elevated body temperature (Parimaladevi et al., 2004). This antipyretic activity of the extract was found to be comparable to paracetamol (Parimaladevi et al., 2004). More recently, C. ternatea leaf extracts have been implicated for use as an analgesic (Bhatia et al., 2014). In this study the established rat tail flick pain assay was used to determine the effects of pre-treatment with both ethanolic and petroleum C. ternatea extracts. A positive analgesic effect of C. ternatea leaf extracts was reported, comparable to diclofenac sodium (10 mg/kg) 1 h post treatment (Bhatia et al., 2014).

Antidiabetic Activity
Recently, C. ternatea leaf extracts have shown potential for use as an antidiabetic (Chusak et al., 2018b; Kavitha, 2018). Wistar rats orally dosed with 400 mg C. ternatea ethanolic leaf extract per kg of body weight per day for 28 days, had significantly lower levels of blood glucose, insulin, glycosylated hemoglobin, urea and creatinine than the diabetic control. Furthermore, the levels of liver enzymes (serum glutamate oxalate transaminase, serum glutamate pyruvate transaminase, lactate dehydrogenase, and alkaline phosphatase) in treated rats were lower than the diabetic control rats and were comparable to the normal control rats (Kavitha, 2018). More recent studies have focused on the effects of C. ternatea extracts on the glycemic response and antioxidant capacity in humans (Chusak et al., 2018b). A small scale clinical trial involving 15 healthy males revealed that when either 1 or 2 g of C. ternatea extract was ingested together with 50 g sucrose the resulting plasma glucose and insulin levels were suppressed (Chusak et al., 2018b). Furthermore the postprandial plasma antioxidant capacities of the subjects were also enhanced upon extract consumption.

Antioxidant Activity
The antioxidant properties of C. ternatea extracts are well documented (Phrueksanan et al., 2014; Sushma et al., 2015). One study demonstrated that C. ternatea extracts could protect canine erythrocytes from hemolysis and oxidative damage induced by 2,2′-azobis-2-methyl-propanimidamide dihydrochloride (AAPH) (Phrueksanan et al., 2014). Compared to the AAPH control, erythrocytes treated with 400 µg/mL of the C. ternatea extract had significantly lower levels of AAPH-induced lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation and significantly higher levels of glutathione (Phrueksanan et al., 2014). In another study the antioxidant properties within a C. ternatea extract facilitated the production of magnesium oxide nanoparticles, materials which are increasingly being utilized for biomedical applications (Sushma et al., 2015).

Pesticial Activities
The anthelmintic and insecticidal activities, and the antimicrobial activities of C. ternatea extracts and several isolated protein and peptide components are summarized in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. These biological activities presumably evolved for host-defense purposes but can have potential applications both in agriculture and medicine. Further details on these activities are described in the following sections.

Anthelmintic Activity
The anthelmintic properties of C. ternatea have been reported in several studies (Hasan and Jain, 1985; Khadatkar et al., 2008; Salhan et al., 2011; Kumari and Devi, 2013; Gilding et al., 2015) (Table 5). Characterization of 27 homozygous C. ternatea lines showed that individual lines displayed different degrees of resistance against the parasitic root-knot nematode, Meloidogyne incognita (Hasan and Jain, 1985). The methanolic extract of C. ternatea was also found to inhibit 93% of M. incognita eggs from hatching (Kumari and Devi, 2013). In another study that utilized the model organism, Caenorhabditis elegans, C. ternatea extracts were found to effectively kill nematode larvae, with the root extracts showing greater lethality than the leaf extracts (Gilding et al., 2015). Two studies also reported C. ternatea activities against annelids (Khadatkar et al., 2008; 2015).

**TABLE 4 | In vitro studies demonstrating the pharmacological properties of Oltoria ternatea extract.**

| Extract            | Concentration | In vitro assay                                      | Results                                                                 | References               |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Ethanolic floral   | 2.5–10 mg/mL  | Extract addition to isolated adult goat tracheal tissue and guinea pig ileum closed with histamine | Inhibition of histamine-induced contraction                           | Singh et al., 2018       |
| Methanolic leaf    | Six 2-fold dilution of 50 µg/mL          | Hyaluronidase inhibition assay                      | Significant inhibition; IC_{50} = 18.08 ± 0.46 µg/mL                   | Maity et al., 2012       |
| Methanolic leaf    | Six 2-fold dilution of 50 µg/mL          | Matrix metalloproteinase-1 inhibition assay (MMP-1) | Significant inhibition of MMP-1                                       | Maity et al., 2012       |
| Aqueous floral     | 400 µg/mL     | Hemolytic and oxidation assays on canine erythrocytes | 60% erythrocyte hemolysis protection after 6 h; decrease in lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation and increase in glutathione levels | Phrueksanan et al., 2014 |
| Aqueous floral     | 1 and 2% (w/v) extract          | Porcine α-amylase assay                            | Significant α-amylase inhibition, reduction in glucose release, hydrolysis index and glycemic index | Chusak et al., 2018a     |
### TABLE 5 | Anthelmintic and insecticidal activities of *Clitoria ternatea*.

| Biological activity | Organism              | Results                                                                 | References                        |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Anthelmintic        | *Meloidogyne incognita* | 27 C. ternatea lines displayed varying degrees of resistance           | Hasan and Jain, 1985              |
|                     | *Meloidogyne incognita* | Methanolic leaf extract inhibited 93% of eggs from hatching             | Kumari and Devi, 2013             |
|                     | *Caenorhabditis elegans* | Significant toxicity of root extract on larvae                         | Gilding et al., 2015              |
|                     | *Pheretima posthuma*   | Ethanolic root extract increased mortality and number or paralyzed worms at 50 mg/mL | Khadatkar et al., 2008           |
|                     | *Eisenia fetida*       | Ethanolic and aqueous extract increased mortality and induced worm paralysis at 100 mg/mL | Salhan et al., 2011              |
| Insecticidal        | *Acanthoscelides obtectus* | 1% w/w finotin application resulted to 100% larval mortality           | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Zabrotes subfasciatus* | 5% w/w finotin application resulted to 100% larval mortality           | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Helicoverpa armigera* | CER M cyclotide retarded larval growth in a dose dependent manner; 1 µmol/g diet induced larval mortality | Poth et al., 2011a               |
|                     | *Helicoverpa spp.*     | 1–2% v/v oil-based extract resulted in larval mortality and reduced oviposition and larval feeding; detrimental effects against beneficial insects were not observed | Mensah et al., 2015              |

### TABLE 6 | Antimicrobial activities of *Clitoria ternatea*.

| Biological activity | Organism              | Extract/component                                                                 | References                        |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Antibacterial       | *Bacillus cereus*     | Ethanolic and aqueous leaf and callus extract                                      | Shahid et al., 2009              |
|                     | *Bacillus subtilis*   | Ethanolic and aqueous leaf and callus extract                                      | Shahid et al., 2009              |
|                     | *Enterococcus faecalis* | Ethanolic leaf and callus extract                                                  | Shahid et al., 2009              |
|                     | *Escherichia coli*    | Cliotides T1, T4, T7, T15, T16, T19, and T20                                       | Nguyen et al., 2011, 2016b       |
|                     | *Klebsiella pneumoniae* | Cliotides T1 and T4                                                                | Nguyen et al., 2011, 2016c       |
|                     | *Micrococcus luteus*  | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* | Cliotides T1 and T4                                                                | Nguyen et al., 2011, 2016b       |
|                     | *Staphylococcus aureus* | Ethanolic leaf and callus extract; ultrasound-assisted aqueous leaf and petal extract | Shahid et al., 2009; Anthika et al., 2015 |
|                     | *Staphylococcus epidermidis* | Ethanolic leaf and callus extract                                                  | Shahid et al., 2009              |
|                     | *Streptococcus pyogenes* | Ethanolic leaf and callus extract, aqueous leaf extract                            | Shahid et al., 2009              |
|                     | *Streptococcus viridans* | Ethanolic leaf and callus extract                                                  | Shahid et al., 2009              |
|                     | *Xanthomonas axonopodis* | Finotin                                                                            | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
| Antifungal          | *Alternaria* sp.      | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Aspergillus flavus*  | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Aspergillus fumigatus* | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Aspergillus niger*   | 14.3 kDa seed protein; methanol leaf extract                                       | Kamilla et al., 2009; Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014 |
|                     | *Bipolaris oryzae*    | Finotin                                                                            | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* | Finotin                                                                             | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Colletotrichum lindemuthianum* | Finotin                                                                           | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Candida albicans*    | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Candida parapsilosis* | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Cryptococcus neoformans* | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Cladosporium sp.*    | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Cryptococcus abidus* | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Cryptococcus laurentii* | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                             | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Curvularia sp.*      | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Fusarium oxysporum*  | 50% aqueous ethanolic leaf extract                                                | Das and Chatterjee, 2014         |
|                     | *Fusarium solani*     | Finotin                                                                            | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Lasiodiplodia theobromae* | Finotin                                                                           | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Pyricularia grisea*  | Finotin                                                                            | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Rhizoctonia solani*  | Finotin                                                                            | Kelemu et al., 2004              |
|                     | *Rhizopus sp.*        | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
|                     | *Sclerotium sp.*      | 14.3 kDa seed protein                                                              | Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014         |
Using *Pheretima posthuma* as a test worm, one study showed that the ethanolic *C. ternatea* extract (50 mg/mL) caused significantly higher mortality rate and incidence of worm paralysis than piperazine citrate, a commonly used drug for controlling parasitic worms (Khadatkar et al., 2008). Similarly, using *Eisenia fetida* as a test worm, another study showed that the ethanolic and aqueous *C. ternatea* extract induced worm paralysis and mortality at 100 mg/mL (Salhan et al., 2011). However, compared to the commonly used antiparasitic drug levamisole, the rate of worm paralysis and death was significantly slower in the *C. ternatea* extracts (Salhan et al., 2011).

**Insecticidal Activity**

Proteins and peptides isolated from *C. ternatea* are reported to exhibit insecticidal properties (Kelemu et al., 2004; Poth et al., 2011a) (Table 5). One study reported 100% larval mortality when 1% w/w and 5% w/w of the purified *C. ternatea* protein (20 kDa), finotin, was applied to the bruchids *Acanthoscelides obtectus* and *Zabrotes subfasciatus*, respectively (Kelemu et al., 2004). Another study showed that when the *C. ternatea* cyclotide, Cter M, was incorporated in the diet of the lepidopteran species *Helicoverpa armigera*, larval growth retardation was observed in a dose dependent manner (Poth et al., 2011a). Larval mortality was observed at 1 µmol CterM peptide g⁻¹ diet (Poth et al., 2011a).

Expanding on the initial findings of Poth et al. (2011a), additional studies have reported pesticidal activities of cyclotide extracts from *C. ternatea* (Gilding et al., 2015; Mensah et al., 2015) (Table 5). Gilding et al. (2015) showed that *C. ternatea* extracts permeabilized insect-like membrane lips, with the shoot extracts exhibiting the greatest potency (0.31 µg/mL LC₅₀). Another study reported that application of oil-based *C. ternatea* mixture (1–2% v/v) to transgenic and conventional cotton crops, resulted in *Helicoverpa* spp. larval mortality and reduced oviposition and larval feeding (Mensah et al., 2015). Detrimental effects of the extract against beneficial insects were not observed (Mensah et al., 2015), suggesting that *C. ternatea* extracts could provide the basis for eco-friendly natural insecticides.

**Antimicrobial Activity**

The antimicrobial properties of proteins isolated from *C. ternatea* have previously been described (Kelemu et al., 2004; Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014) (Table 6). The *C. ternatea* 20 kDa protein finotin demonstrated inhibitory activities over a wide range of plant fungal pathogens (Kelemu et al., 2004). Finotin also exhibited activities against the plant bacterial pathogen *Xanthomonas axonopodis* (Kelemu et al., 2004). Another study reported isolation of a 14.3 kDa protein from *C. ternatea* seeds (Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014) that exhibited activities against the human fungal pathogens, *Cryptococcus* spp. and *Candida* spp., and against a number of mold fungi (Ajesh and Sreejith, 2014). Studies also reported the antimicrobial properties of *C. ternatea* cyclotides against Gram-negative, but not Gram-positive, bacteria (Nguyen et al., 2011, 2016b).

Ethanol extract of *C. ternatea* outdoor grown leaves and calli inhibited the growth of the bacterial species *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus* spp., *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Bacillus* spp. (Shahid et al., 2009). On the other hand, the antibacterial activities of the calli aqueous extract were only limited to *Bacillus* spp. and *Streptococcus pyogenes*; and activity of the leaf aqueous extract was limited to *Bacillus* spp. (Shahid et al., 2009). Furthermore, a recent study reported that the ultrasound-assisted aqueous extract of *C. ternatea* leaves and petals inhibited the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* (Anthika et al., 2015). *C. ternatea* petals extracted for 30 min using ultrasound yielded the highest anthocyanin content and also displayed the highest antibacterial activity (Anthika et al., 2015).

The antifungal properties of *C. ternatea* have also been reported (Kamilla et al., 2009; Das and Chatterjee, 2014) (Table 6). Growth of the mold fungus *Aspergillus niger* was inhibited at a minimum inhibitory concentration of 0.8 mg/mL of the methanolic *C. ternatea* leaf extract (Kamilla et al., 2009). Scanning electron microscopy images from the study revealed that addition of the extract lead to conidial and hyphal collapse and distortion which is likely due to cell wall disruption (Kamilla et al., 2009). Another study reported that the 50% aqueous-ethanolic *C. ternatea* leaf extract inhibited the growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* and promoted the activities of amylase, protease and dehydrogenase in *P. sativum* seeds, enzymes that otherwise had low activities during *F. oxysporum* infestation (Das and Chatterjee, 2014).

**PHYTOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION**

**Non-proteinaceous Components**

**Flavonols**

As early as 1967, a study reported that *C. ternatea* seeds contain flavonol glycosides as well as phenolic aglycones, cinnamic acid, and a range of other compounds (Kulshreshtha and Khare, 1967). Nearly two decades later, Saito et al. (1985) reported the isolation of five *C. ternatea* flavonols, namely kaempferol, kaempferol 3-glucoside, kaempferol 3-ribobioside-7-rhamnoside, quercetin, and quercetin 3-glucoside (Saito et al., 1985). Subsequent studies reported the isolation of flavonol glycosides from *C. ternatea* leaves (Morita et al., 1976) and flowers (Kazuma et al., 2003a,b). With some exceptions, the identified flavonol glycosides were found in all *C. ternatea* lines bearing different floral colors (blue, mauve and white) (Kazuma et al., 2003a). For instance, myricetin 3-(2′′-rhamnosyl-6′′-malonyl)glucoside, myricetin 3-rutinoside and myricetin 3-glucoside were not detected in the *C. ternatea* line bearing mauve petals (Kazuma et al., 2003a). The flavonols isolated from *C. ternatea* are summarized in Table 7.

**Anthocyanins**

In 1985, six acylated anthocyanins were isolated from blue *C. ternatea* leaves that were all derivatives of delphinidin 3,3′,5′-triglucoside (Saito et al., 1985). The chemical properties of the acylated *C. ternatea* delphinidins, which were named ternatins, were further elucidated in subsequent studies (Terahara et al., 1989a, 1990a,b). In 1989, the structure of the largest isolated blue anthocyanin, ternatin A1, was determined (Terahara et al., 1989a). The study also showed that not only was ternatin A1 the largest, it was also one of the most stable in neutral solution.
TABLE 7 | Flavonol and anthocyanin content of Clitoria ternatea.

| Compound name | Tissue isolated | References |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|
| Flavonols     |                 |            |
| Kaempferol    | Blue flowers    | Saito et al., 1985 |
| Kaempferol 3-robinoside-7-rhamnoside | Leaves, blue, mauve, and white flowers | Morita et al., 1976; Saito et al., 1985; Kazuma et al., 2003a,b |
| Kaempferol 3-glucoside; | Leaves, blue, mauve, and white flowers | Morita et al., 1976; Kazuma et al., 2003a,b |
| Kaempferol 3-rutinoside; | Leaves | Morita et al., 1976 |
| Kaempferol 3-neohesperidose | Blue flowers | Kazuma et al., 2003a,b |
| Kaempferol 3-O-rhamnosyl-(1 → 2)-O-rhamnosyl-1(1 → 6)glucoside | Blue, mauve, and white flowers | Kazuma et al., 2003a,b |
| Quercetin     | Blue flowers    | Saito et al., 1985 |
| Quercetin 3-glucoside | Blue, mauve, and white flowers | Saito et al., 1985; Kazuma et al., 2003a,b; Adhikary et al., 2017 |
| Quercetin 3-O-(2″′-O-a-rhamnosyl-6″′-O-malonyl)-b-glucoside; Quercetin 3-(2″′rhamnosylrutinoside) | Blue, mauve, and white flowers | Kazuma et al., 2003a,b |
| Myricetin 3-neohesperidose; Myricetin 3-(2″′-rhamnosylrutinoside) | Blue, mauve, and white flowers | Kazuma et al., 2003a,b |
| Myricetin 3-O-(2″′,6″′-di-O-a-rhamnosyl)-β-glucoside; Myricetin 3-glucoside; Myricetin 3-rutinoside | Blue and white flowers | Kazuma et al., 2003a,b |
| Anthocyanins  |                 |            |
| Ternatin A1, A2, B1, D1 | Blue flowers | Saito et al., 1985; Terahara et al., 1989a, 1990a; Kazuma et al., 2003a |
| Ternatin B2, D2 | Blue flowers | Saito et al., 1985; Terahara et al., 1989a, 1990a, 1996; Kazuma et al., 2003a |
| Ternatin A3, B3-B4 | Blue flowers | Terahara et al., 1996; Kazuma et al., 2003a |
| Ternatin C1- C5, D3 | Blue flowers | Terahara et al., 1996; Kazuma et al., 2003a |
| Preternatin A3 and C4 (demalonylated analogs) | Mostly from young flowers | Kazuma et al., 2003a |
| Delphinidin   |                 |            |
| 3-O-(2″′-O-a-rhamnosyl-6″′-O-malonyl)-β-glucoside; Delphinidin 3-(6″′-malonyl) glucoside; Delphinidin 3-neohesperidose; Delphinidin 3-glucoside | Mostly from young flowers | Kazuma et al., 2003a |
| Ternatin C5  | Blue flowers    | Kazuma et al., 2003a |

(Terahara et al., 1989a). The structure of ternatins A2 (Terahara et al., 1990c), B1 (Kondo et al., 1990), B2 (Terahara et al., 1996), D1 (Terahara et al., 1989b), and D2 (Terahara et al., 1996) were elucidated shortly after.

Subsequent studies isolated and determined the structures of several other novel ternatins isolated from C. ternatea: ternatins A3, B3–B4, C1–C5, D3, and preternatins A3 and C4 (Terahara et al., 1996, 1998) (Table 7). Terahara et al. (1998) observed that lower molecular weight ternatins are more abundant in young flowers while higher molecular weight ternatins are more prevalent in mature flowers. The authors proposed that ternatin A1 is the final compound, and the other ternatins are intermediate products (Terahara et al., 1998). Starting with ternatin C5, production of ternatin A1 can be achieved via four p-coumaric acid acylation steps and four glucosylation steps (Terahara et al., 1998). The biosynthetic pathway of ternatin A1 is summarized in Figure 4 (Terahara et al., 1998). The key enzymatic steps and the biosynthetic pathway to produce ternatin C5 from delphinidin was elucidated in 2004 (Kazuma et al., 2004).

A 2003 study compared the anthocyanin contents of C. ternatea lines bearing different floral colors (Kazuma et al., 2003a). The study showed that white C. ternatea flowers do not produce anthocyanins. Furthermore, unique to the mauve C. ternatea flowers, is the accumulation delphinidins lacking the 3′ and 5′ (polyacelated) glucosyl group substitutions (Kazuma et al., 2003a). The study concluded that glucosylation of delphinidins at these positions are crucial to the production of C. ternatea flowers (Kazuma et al., 2003a).

Other Non-proteinaceous Components

The pentacyclic triterpenoids, taraxerol and taraxerone, were isolated from C. ternatea roots in the 1960s (Banerjee and Chakravarti, 1963, 1964). Realizing the potential of C. ternatea as a source of taraxerol, in 2008, a method was developed for the routine quantification of the content in C. ternatea roots in the 1960s (Banerjee and Chakravarti, 1963, 1964).
extracts of this medicinal compound (Kumar et al., 2008). In 2012, in vitro propagated hairy root cultures were sought as alternative to in vivo grown roots as source of taraxerol (Swain et al., 2012a). In 2016, in addition to taraxerol, novel norneolignans, clitorienolactones A-C, were isolated from C. ternatea roots (Vasisht et al., 2016). C. ternatea floral extracts also contain other types of flavonoids, including rutin (flavone), epicatechin (flavanol) and other polyphenolic acids (gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, and chlorogenic acid) (Siti Azima et al., 2017).

Proteinaceous Components

In general, there has traditionally been a greater focus in phytochemical studies on the non-protein components of plants and C. ternatea is no exception. However, over the last decade, with advances in nucleic acid sequencing and mass spectroscopic peptide and protein characterization techniques there is now much more focus on proteinaceous components, particularly in the characterization of peptides and proteins implicated in plant defense. Of the known C. ternatea phychochemical components implicated in defense, a class of peptides known as cyclotides is particularly noteworthy (Nguyen et al., 2011; Poth et al., 2011a,b). These peptides mature into cyclic molecules of ∼30 aa from linear precursors through an enzymatic transpeptidation reaction of the peptide backbone. Cyclotides contain three disulfide bonds that form a knot (Figure 5A), similar to configurations seen in linear knottins cataloged across diverse taxa (Gelly et al., 2004). Together, the cyclic and knotted nature of cyclotides makes them highly stable in conditions that would otherwise facilitate peptide degradation (Craik et al., 1999). Unlike linear knottins, which are found across multiple kingdoms of life, cyclotides are restricted to relatively few taxa in Viridiplantae, namely the dicotyledon angiosperms (Gruber et al., 2008). Searching all Viridiplantae sequences for cyclotides using the widely distributed program tblastn, has highlighted the restriction of cyclotides and linear non-cyclotide-like sequences to a handful of plant families discussed below (Altschul et al., 1990).

Despite reports of cyclotide-like sequences in the Poaceae, none of the described sequences have been shown to exist as cyclic molecules in planta, thus failing the definition of the term cyclotide. The taxonomic distribution of cyclotides is often disjointed in a taxonomic group; for instance the taxonomically sparse occurrence of cyclotides observed in the Rubiaceae (Gruber et al., 2008; Koehbach et al., 2013) contrasts with the ubiquitous occurrence of cyclotides in all species tested far-off the Violaceae family (Burman et al., 2015; Göransson et al., 2015). Within the Fabaceae, C. ternatea is the only family member in which cyclotides have been observed despite examination of diverse Fabaceae, including other species of Clitoria (Gilding et al., 2015). Cyclotides are therefore one of the most interesting proteinaceous components of C. ternatea. That they are processed from genetically encoded precursor proteins opens opportunities for detecting them either or both as nucleic acid or peptide sequences.

Gene and Transcript Characterization

RNA-seq experiments to define the transcripts that encode for cyclotides have been performed by several groups. The
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**FIGURE 4** Proposed ternatin biosynthetic pathway. Adapted from Terahara et al. (1998). Beginning with ternatin C5 (PubChem CID 10843319), ternatin A1 (PubChem CID 16173494) can be produced through the addition of four p-coumaroyl (C) and four glucosyl moieties (G) at the 3’ sidechain (in blue) and 5’ sidechain (in orange). The other ternatins are products of the intermediate steps.
resulting transcriptomes have allowed the cataloging of at least 74 cyclotide sequences (Table 8) which exhibit high levels of diversity at loops intervening the conserved Cys residues (Figures 5B,C) (Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016b). All of the precursors observed have singleton cyclotide domains similar to that observed in Petunia x hybrida (Solanaceae), whereas cyclotide precursors from the Cucurbitaceae, Rubiaceae, and Violaceae families possess multiple cyclotide domains (Table 9) (Felizmenio-Quimio et al., 2001; Mylne et al., 2011; Poth et al., 2011a; Koehbach and Gruber, 2015; Park et al., 2017). Unlike precursors from the Cucurbitaceae, Rubiaceae, Solanaceae, and Violaceae, C. ternatea cyclotides are encoded in albumin-1 genes (Poth et al., 2011a).

Pea-like albumin-1 genes are restricted to the tribe Faboideae, as evidenced by the lack of hits when albumin-1 prepropeptide sequences from P. sativum are used as queries in a tblastn search on all sequences exclusive of the Faboideae. The canonical albumin-1 gene structure in all taxa examined thus far consists of a signal peptide followed immediately by a b-chain peptide domain with a knottin fold, a short intervening sequence, and a ∼54 aa a-chain domain (Figure 6, Cter M precursor). Typical functions ascribed to the albumin-1 gene family include protein storage and defense through the potentially toxic b-chain. Their function as a toxin is exemplified in the Pisum sativum albumin-1 b-chain (Pa1b), a peptide that effectively kills weevils and select insects through inhibitory activity of insect vacuolar proton pumps (Jouvensal et al., 2003; Chouabe et al., 2011).

Interestingly, the loops between the cystine residues are similar in size and in some cases residue composition between C. ternatea cyclotides and other albumin-1 b-chains (Gilding et al., 2015). This observation implies that the development of cyclotide domains from progenitor albumin-1 b-chains would have involved adaptation of the b-chain into a cyclotide domain structure. A further necessary adaptation to facilitate cyclization is the acquisition of an Asp or Asn residue at the C-terminus of the cyclotide domain. These specific residues are required for cyclization by asparaginyl endopeptidases (AEPs) through a transpeptidation reaction between the C-terminal Asp/Asn residue and the N-terminal residue (Figure 6) (Nguyen et al., 2014; Harris et al., 2015).

In C. ternatea, all transcripts encoding albumin-1 a-chain domains contain a cyclotide domain in place of what would otherwise be the b-chain domain. The complete transition of this region in C. ternatea albumin-1 genes to cyclotide domains implies canonical b-chains were disfavoured in the evolutionary history of C. ternatea (Gilding et al., 2015). The albumin-1 gene family members of C. ternatea are ∼74 in number, whereas albumin-1 gene families from the other genome-sequenced Faboideae, Glycine, Medicago, and Phaseolus, are 3, 33, and 17 in number respectively (Goodstein et al., 2012; Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016b). This observation on albumin-1 gene expansion in C. ternatea further supports the hypothesis that cyclotide domains exhibit qualities and functions that increase fitness.

Transcriptomic expression analysis of various C. ternatea organs illustrates the partitioning of cyclotide expression to certain organs for some precursors, while other precursors are expressed constitutively throughout the examined organs (Gilding et al., 2015). As a class of defense molecules, it is logical that some would be preferentially expressed to target specific threats that different organs may face. Other albumin-1 genes are expressed at a notable level throughout the whole plant. The precursor for Cter M is an example of a cyclotide that is expressed constitutively, so much so that transcripts encoding Cter M are upward of ninefold higher than the rubisco small subunit in shoots (Gilding et al., 2015). Clearly, the plant is investing large amounts of resources to produce these transcripts and the resulting peptides.

Peptide Characterization

Clitoria ternatea cyclotides generally have Gly residues at the proto- N-terminus and Asn residues at the proto- C-terminus.
## TABLE 8 | Cyclotides in *Clitoria ternatea*.

| Cyclotide | Other name | Sequence | References |
|-----------|------------|----------|------------|
| Cyclotide T1 | GIPGECSSFVIPOTGAGCSCSKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T2 | GFLKGCSECVQGECYTPGCSCKDWPICKKN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T4 | Cter P | GIPGECSSFVIPOTAGCSCSKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T6 | SIPGECSSFVYPLCTTVGCSCKNSVCYSN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T8 | GIPGECSSFVIPSSVSVCSCSKVVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T9 | GIPGECSSFVIPCTITTGSCSNKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T10 | Cter 27 | GIPGECSSFVIPCTTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T11 | Cter 21 | GIPGECSSFVIPOTTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T12 | GIPGECSSFVIPOTGAGCSCSKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T13 | Cter 23 | DTPPGECSSFVIPSSVSVCSCSNKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T14 | DLQCAETCVHSPClOOPCYKHGICYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T15 | ARIPGECSSFVIPCITALLGCSCSKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T16 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T17 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTGAGCSCSKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T18 | GSVKGCSEQCGLKGYTPGCTCSRICKKN | Nguyen et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T19a | Cter26 | GSVKGCSEQCGLKGYTPGCTCDRPICKKN | Nguyen et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T19b | Cter27 | GSVKGCSEQCGLKGYTPGCTCSRICKKN | Nguyen et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T20 | Cter 17 | GSVKGCSEQCGLKGYTPGCTCSRICKKN | Nguyen et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T21 | Cter 17 | GSVKGCSEQCGLKGYTPGCTCSRICKKN | Nguyen et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T22 | ARIPGECSSFVIPCITALLGCSCSKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T23 | ARIPGECSSFVIPCITALLGCSCSKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T23* | ARIAGCSECVIPCTIALLGCSCSKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011 |
| Cyclotide T24 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T25 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T26* | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T27* | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T28 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T29 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T30 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T31 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T32 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T33 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T34 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T35 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T36 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T37 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T38 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T39 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T40 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T41 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T42 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T43 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cyclotide T44 | SIPGECSSFVIPOTALLGCSCSDKKVCYRN | Nguyen et al., 2011; Gilding et al., 2015 |

(Continued)
TABLE 8 | Continued

| Cyclotide | Other name | Sequence | References |
|------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Cter 3*    | Cyclotide T45 | QAFGGETCVLGTCTYTPDCSCOKAVCICKN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 30*   | Cyclotide T46 | QGFCGETCVLGTCTYTPGSCKCICYKN | Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016b |
| Cter 31*   | Cyclotide T46 | DGCATEGVISCPGCPYCHQICVKYN | Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016b |
| Cter 32*   | Cyclotide T46 | KIPCFGSYCIVPSSILGCSCKDKVCYHN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 33*   | Cyclotide T46 | QDLFCKGETCVLGTCTYTPGSCKCICYKN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 34*   | Cyclotide T33 | GFNSCEACTYLPICFKGSCKFRCYCN | Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016b |
| Cter 35*   | Cyclotide T45 | GAFCGETCVLGTCTYTPSCAPVCKNN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 36*   | Cyclotide T45 | KIPCGESCVWIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYHN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 37*   | Cyclotide T45 | GIPCGESCVFIPCSTVIGCCKDKVCYHN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 4*    | Cyclotide T45 | QDSPCKGETCVLGTCTYTPGCDPWPICKTN | Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016b |
| Cter 5*    | Cyclotide T45 | EFLKCGESCVQGECYTPGCSCDKVCYKN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 7*    | Cyclotide T45 | GPDKCGESCVFAGCICYTPGCTEYPICMN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 8*    | Cyclotide T45 | GSACGGETCVLGTCTYTPDCSSCAVCCKN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter 9*    | Cyclotide T45 | GPCKGESCVFIPCSTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter A     | Cter A      | GPFPKCGESCVFIPCSTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter B     | Cter B      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter C     | Cter C      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter D     | Cter D      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter E     | Cter E      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter F     | Cter F      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter G     | Cter G      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter H     | Cter H      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter I     | Cter I      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter J     | Cter J      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter K     | Cter K      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter L     | Cter L      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter M     | Cter M      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter N     | Cter N      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter O     | Cter O      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter P     | Cter P      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter Q     | Cter Q      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |
| Cter R     | Cter R      | GIPASGCVFIPCTTVALLGCCKDKVCYLN | Gilding et al., 2015 |

*No mass spec data available; * predicted to be non-cyclic; β low confidence sequences.

TABLE 9 | Characteristics of cyclotide gene precursors.

| Taxonomy | Exemplary species | Signal peptide to cyclotide N-terminal junction present | N-terminal pre-sequence present | Multimeric or singleton cyclotide domains present | C-terminal sequence type |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Cucurbitaceae | Momordica cochinchenensis | N | Y | Multimeric | None, except final repeat is acyclic |
| Fabaceae | Clitoria ternatea | Y | N | Singleton | Linker and albumin-1 a-chain domain |
| Rubiaceae | Oldenlandia affinis | N | Y | Both | Short, ~5 aa |
| Solanaceae | Petunia x hybrida | N | Y | Singleton | Short, ~5 aa |
| Violaceae | Viola tricolor | N | Y | Both | Short, ~5 aa |

of the cyclotide domain within the precursor proteins, similar to the case from other plant families. By contrast with the conserved terminal residues, the intervening backbone loops between the conserved Cys residues tend to be variable in size and sequence. Some of the biophysical properties of C. ternatea cyclotides deviate notably from peptides of other cyclotide-producing plant families. For example, Cter 13 contains eight Arg residues that confer a predicted charge of +7 and pI of 10, well above that predicted for MCoTI-I, which contains four Arg residues, from the Cucurbitas *Momordica cochinchenensis*.
the ribosome-transcript complex with the rough endoplasmic and begins with the signal peptide inducing the docking of biosynthesis mimics that of other cyclotide producing species C. ternatea et al., 2016b). The current model for domain (Poth et al., 2011a; Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen that immediately precedes the N-terminal residue of the cyclotide Cyclotide transcripts of C. ternatea Biosynthetic Auxiliary Enzymes residues) (Plan et al., 2007; Serra et al., 2016). Asp), hexosylation, dehydration, and hydroxylation (select Pro Trp), methylation, deamination (common at C-terminal Asn to side chains reported in cyclotides include oxidation (Met and may be remains to be defined. Modifications of amino acid sequence and PTM diversity numbering in the hundreds. with the estimated cyclotide diversity conferred by primary sequences of hexosylation and methylation of cyclotides through enzymatic digests and MS techniques, with the estimated cyclotide diversity conferred by primary sequence and PTM diversity numbering in the hundreds. What the function of these post-translational modifications may be remains to be defined. Modifications of amino acid side chains reported in cyclotides include oxidation (Met and Trp), methylation, deamination (common at C-terminal Asn to Asp), hexosylation, dehydration, and hydroxylation (select Pro residues) (Plan et al., 2007; Serra et al., 2016).

Biosynthetic Auxiliary Enzymes Cyclotide transcripts of C. ternatea encode for a signal peptide that immediately precedes the N-terminal residue of the cyclotide domain (Poth et al., 2011a; Gilding et al., 2015; Nguyen et al., 2016b). The current model for C. ternatea cyclotide biosynthesis mimics that of other cyclotide producing species and begins with the signal peptide inducing the docking of the ribosome-transcript complex with the rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Conlan and Anderson, 2011; Göransson et al., 2015). Unique to C. ternatea cyclotide precursors is that the signal peptide cleavage alone releases the N-terminus of the cyclotide domain, thus no other N-terminal processing proteases are required. Following this, it is postulated that folding of the cyclotide domain begins, presumably aided by protein disulfide isomerases (PDIs), as the propeptide is held within the ER. From there the folded propeptide is transported via vesicles to the Golgi, and later to prevacuolar or vacuolar compartments. Somewhere during this transport pathway the propeptide encounters a specific type of AEP that catalyzes the simultaneous cyclization and cleavage of the cyclotide domain from the precursor (Göransson et al., 2015; Jackson et al., 2018). Post-translational modifications are possibly acquired along the maturation pathway but are poorly defined and thus need further investigation (Serra et al., 2016).

Protein disulfide isomerases The disulfide knot of cyclotides must be formed from the oxidation of the six cysteine residues in a specific order. Incorrect connectivity may result in the precursor not being able to be cyclized and flagged as a faulty molecule needing destruction. In all cyclotide producing taxa, the specific in vivo physical of genetic interactions of PDI family members and cyclotide precursors is not known. In vitro evidence for PDI involvement is known from a PDI cloned in the Rubiaceae plant, Oldenlandia affinis, however, under the conditions tested the isolated PDI was not as efficient as using an isopropanol buffer to effect proper disulfide bond formation (Gruber et al., 2007). A systematic in vivo examination of C. ternatea PDIs discovered in the transcriptome is hindered by the lack of reverse genetic resources in C. ternatea.

Asparaginyl endopeptidases Asparaginyl endopeptidases (AEPs), like most proteases, are known primarily for their function in peptide bond hydrolysis (Yamada et al., 2005), thus a proposed role for peptide bond creation for a selection of AEPs is particularly intriguing. The first direct evidence for this came about through work by the Tam group (Nguyen et al., 2014), who set out to identify

(Felizmenio-Quimio et al., 2001; Mylne et al., 2012). The more highly charged and high-pI cyclotides of C. ternatea are preferentially expressed in organs that encounter challenges from the soil, namely the roots and seeds of the plant. Cyclotide extracts from roots, compared to leaves, exhibit increased toxicity against the juvenile L1 stage of the model nematode C. elegans, whereas adults and late stage juveniles were not affected (Gilding et al., 2015). The high charge of these potentially nematicidal peptides in on trend with other described nematicidal peptides (Liu et al., 2011). Further study is required to test for specific activity of organ-specific cyclotides against organisms.

Cyclotide sequences observed in aerial tissue typically have lower predicted charges and pI values than cyclotides in soil-contacting tissues. Cyclotide extracts of these aerial tissues exhibit a different MALDI-MS profile compared to other plant parts and greater propensity to bind to insect-mimetic plasma membranes. This implies that the aerially-expressed cyclotides are targeting insects (Gilding et al., 2015).

The cyclotide diversity of C. ternatea is further increased by post-translational modifications (PTM). Serra et al. (2016) described the first observations of hexosylation and methylation of cyclotides through enzymatic digests and MS techniques, with the estimated cyclotide diversity conferred by primary sequence and PTM diversity numbering in the hundreds. What the function of these post-translational modifications may be remains to be defined. Modifications of amino acid side chains reported in cyclotides include oxidation (Met and Trp), methylation, deamination (common at C-terminal Asn to Asp), hexosylation, dehydration, and hydroxylation (select Pro residues) (Plan et al., 2007; Serra et al., 2016).
the peptide ligase responsible for the maturation of cyclotides in *C. ternatea*. Through activity-guided protein-fractionation studies, the researchers identified a single *C. ternatea* AEP isoform (termed butelase-1) that was highly efficient in intermolecular peptide cyclization. Since the discovery of butelase-1 in 2014, several other AEP peptide ligases have been identified from cyclotide producing plant species, including OaAEP1\(_b\) from *O. affinis* (Harris et al., 2015), PxAEP3b (*Petunia x hybrida*) (Jackson et al., 2018), and HeAEP3 (*Hybanthus enneaspermus*) (Jackson et al., 2018). Through bioinformatic and functional testing the structural features that differentiate AEP ligases from proteases are beginning to emerge. Specifically, plant AEPs that function as transpeptidase-prefering enzymes *in vivo* have been shown to possess specific markers in their protein sequence, most notably one termed the Marker for Ligase Activity (MLA) (Jackson et al., 2018).

Subsequent work by Gilding et al. defined the expression levels of butelase-1 (referred to as CtAEP1) and the full length sequence of butelase-2/CtAEP2, CtAEP3, and CtAEP5 via RNA-seq (Gilding et al., 2015). In contrast, a total of six butelases were described by Nguyen et al. (2014), with assembled sequences for butelase-4 and -6 not showing any homology to any of the CtAEPs described by Gilding et al. (2015). It might be the case that there is natural AEP isoform variation amongst *C. ternatea* accessions, or that differences in data assembly conditions, or choice of tissue RNA sampled between the studies of Nguyen et al. (2014) and Gilding et al. (2015) are responsible for this apparent discrepancy. Importantly, of all six AEPs, only butelase-1 has been shown to prefer transpeptidation over proteolysis.

### NEXT GENERATION APPLICATIONS

In this section we describe recent applications of *C. ternatea* components in biotechnological, agricultural and pharmaceutical industries.

**Butelase-1**

Butelase-1 has proven to be a very versatile molecular tool for a range of *in vitro* peptide engineering applications (Nguyen et al., 2016a,c; Bi et al., 2017). When compared to other characterized AEP ligases, butelase-1 displays superior reaction kinetics. Despite this, one obvious limitation for end-user uptake is that a recombinant production system is yet to be established (Nguyen et al., 2014). In lieu of this, a detailed protocol for the purification of butelase-1 from *C. ternatea* seed pods is available (Nguyen et al., 2016c), but is restricted to those with access to the source material and protein purification expertise. It remains unclear if butelase-1 has evolved superior structural features over other AEP ligases or that its greater catalytic efficiency is a by-product of purifying source activated enzyme.

**Butelase-1 Mediated Intramolecular Peptide/Protein Cyclization**

Tools to enable backbone cyclization of peptides have garnered considerable interest from the pharmaceutical industry as a means to provide proteolytically stable peptide therapeutics (Craik et al., 2012). In this regard, butelase-1 has been demonstrated as a highly versatile enzyme, cyclizing a range of diverse peptides, including cysteine rich cyclotides, conotoxins (e.g., MrIA) and sunflower trypsin inhibitors (SFTI-1) (Nguyen et al., 2014). Additionally a wide range of non-cysteine containing peptides have been cyclized, including human apelin, galanin, neuromedin U and salusin (Nguyen et al., 2015). In all cases, the substrate requirements for cyclization include the introduction of, if not already present, an Asn residue at the peptide ligation point, which must be linked to the C-terminal tailing residues of His-Val. These tailing residues, which are subsequently cleaved off and are not incorporated into the final cyclized product have been shown to be essential for butelase-1 cyclization efficiency (Nguyen et al., 2014). At the substrates N-terminus, requirements are flexible at the P1’ position, with all residues accepted apart from Pro. However, at the P2’ position more stringent requirements exist, with Cys, Ile, Leu, and Val all preferred (Nguyen et al., 2014). Together these requirements mean that most peptides, require at least some modifications of the termini residues to allow butelase-1 mediated cyclization. When these substrate requirements are met, butelase-1 has remarkably catalytic efficiency, with substrate to enzyme ratios of 100 ~ 1000:1 commonly used, with typical cyclization reactions completed within 5 ~ 30 min (Nguyen et al., 2015).

The benefits of backbone cyclization are not limited to small peptides, with the thermal and proteolytic stability of a number of larger proteins also improved by backbone cyclization. Like smaller peptides, these proteins must first be engineered to include optimal flanking residues for butelase-1 activity, with specific consideration given to the proximity of N and C residues. Where termini are not held close enough together, considerations should be given to include appropriate sized linker sequences. Using butelase-1, three different recombinantly produced proteins have been successfully cyclized, including green fluorescent protein (GFP), interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) and human growth hormone (somatropin) (Nguyen et al., 2015). In all cases butelase-1 (0.1uM) and target protein (25 µM) were incubated together with cyclization essentially complete within 15 min. In the case of IL-1Ra, backbone cyclization was shown to increase the thermostability of the protein, without affecting biological activity (Nguyen et al., 2015).

**Butelase-1 Mediated Intermolecular Peptide Bond Formation**

Butelase-1 has additionally shown great potential for the selective labeling of proteins by intermolecular peptide bond formation. Here, butelase-1 recognizes the required NHV motif engineered into a protein of interest and initiates ligation of incoming intermolecular nucleophiles, provided that substrate requirements are met. In this way a protein of interest can be labeled with any number of functional cargoes. Site specific labeling of proteins has applications for elucidating cellular pathways, defining protein–protein interactions and for the development of innovative medical imaging approaches and therapeutics (Falck and Müller, 2018; Harmand et al., 2018). One
additional promising application is the site specific labeling of surface proteins of live bacteria (Bi et al., 2017). To accomplish this, the authors engineered an NHV motif to the C-terminus of the anchoring protein OmpA of Escherichia coli. Upon incubation of live cells with butelase-1, a range of cargo molecules were able to be successfully linked to the engineered bacterial surface protein OmpA. These included a fluorescein probe, useful for cellular tracking of pathogen response, and a tumor associated monoglycosylated peptide, which provided a proof of concept for delivering post translationally modified antigens as live bacteria vaccines.

**Insecticidal Applications of *C. ternatea***

Peptide Extracts

Conventional pesticides have for decades been of paramount importance in sustaining agricultural productivity under an ever-increasing population burden. However, many traditional pesticides are increasingly becoming disfavored due to off-target toxicities and human health concerns. These concerns, together with increasing incidences of insects developing resistance mechanisms necessitates the discovery or engineering of novel pesticides with new modes of action (Perry et al., 2011). Recently an organic ethanolic extract prepared from *C. ternatea* vegetative tissue has shown promising insecticidal activity against a wide range of crop pests1. The extract, termed Sero-X® has thus far been registered in Australia for applications in cotton and macadamia, with further applications pending both in Australia and overseas. Although the exact mode of action of this ethanolic extract remains to be determined, it is likely in part to be due to the high concentrations of *C. ternatea* cyclotides present (Poth et al., 2011a,b; Gilding et al., 2015). The prototypic *C. ternatea* cyclotide Cter M is indeed enriched in the Sero-X® extract and when tested in isolation, displays lethality against cotton budworm (*H. armigera*) (Poth et al., 2011a). Like other cyclotides, such as kalata B1 from *O. affinis*, the predicted mode of action is through insect cell membrane disruption (Poth et al., 2011a; Craik, 2012), but it remains unclear if other non-proteinaceous components present in the Sero-X® extract play a synergistic role. Importantly, Sero-X® displays no toxicity to tested rodents or bee pollinators, and is considered non-hazardous according to the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and labeling of Chemicals.

**Food Colorants/Consumer Products**

Butterfly pea flowers can range from white to intense blue to shades in between. This coloring largely stems from the anthocyanin content and degree of aromatic acylation (Kazuma et al., 2003a). The deep blue pigment of *C. ternatea* has been particularly popular in Asia, where flower petals are used to color teas, deserts and clothes. More recently, *C. ternatea* flower extracts have been used to create vibrant blue alcoholic gins4, which change color depending on the pH, such as occurs on mixing with tonic water or lime. Specifically, the deep blue color of *C. ternatea* flowers is a particularly sought after alternative to synthetic blue food colorants which have become increasingly disfavored due to health concerns (Nigg et al., 2011). Studies reported that addition of *C. ternatea* extracts increased the polyphenolic and antioxidant contents of sponge cakes (Pasukamonset et al., 2018), enhanced the oxidative stability of cooked pork patties (Pasukamonset et al., 2017) and reduced the predicted glycemic index of flour (Chusak et al., 2018a). Microencapsulation using alginate prevented the degradation and enhanced the retention of the antioxidant activities of *C. ternatea* polyphenolic extracts post gastrointestinal digestion (Pasukamonset et al., 2016). Currently there exists no commercial scale production of *C. ternatea* for anthocyanins, with harvesting of plant material at large-scale not likely to be economically feasible. However, recent advances in engineering plant cell suspension cultures with anthocyanin regulatory pathway genes offers an alternative approach (Appelhagen et al., 2018).

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE OUTLOOK**

Here we have attempted to provide a comprehensive and multidisciplinary account of the diverse properties and applications of *C. ternatea* and its constituent molecules. The plant is readily grown in a range of habitats and there is wide opportunity for it to be used for rotational cropping to aid in soil nitrogen regeneration, as a fodder crop for cattle, or as source of novel phytochemicals. There are already a host of cosmetic and food colorants on the market and the first *C. ternatea* based insecticide (Sero-X®) is also approved and being used for insect control on cotton and macadamia nut crops. The butelase-1 enzyme derived from *C. ternatea* pods is also creating a lot of interest as a biotechnological tool for peptide ligation and cyclization.

We anticipate that the success of products (including enzymes, extracts, and purified phytochemicals) deriving from *C. ternatea* will encourage more research on this plant and stimulate further discoveries that might lead to second and third generation products. For example, so far only a small fraction of the more than 70 cyclotides in this plant have been tested for pesticidal activity and there may be components in this cocktail of cyclotides that are significantly more potent as pesticides than what is currently known. Further work is needed to understand the biotic and abiotic factors that modulate the production of individual cyclotides in this plant and to understand possible synergies between different cyclotides and between cyclotides and non-cyclotide components.

We also anticipate that there will be more studies in the future on pharmaceutical applications of *C. ternatea* components. The ability to harvest large amounts of plant material means that one of the limitations encountered in many natural product research and commercialization (i.e., lack of source material) is not a factor for *C. ternatea*. While the multitude of medicinal applications reported so far from various *C. ternatea* preparations are impressive, we caution that many of these are one-off studies that have yet to be independently validated by groups other...
than the original reporting group. It is to be expected that the claims for the various bioactivities of plant extracts need to be tested with rigorous controls to establish the efficacy of the plant components. Furthermore, very few of the cyclotides in *C. ternatea* have been screened for medicinal applications and we feel this would be a useful exercise for future studies. Likewise, none of the *C. ternatea* cyclotides have yet been used as molecular grafting frameworks to introduce new desired pharmaceutical activities as has been done for cyclotides from other plants such as kalata B1 or MCgTII. With these suggestions for future work on this fascinating plant we feel that many more exciting discoveries are on the horizon.
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