Virological effectiveness and CD4+ T-cell increase over early and late courses in HIV infected patients on antiretroviral therapy: focus on HCV and anchor class received
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to explore the effects of HCV co-infection on virological effectiveness and on CD4+ T-cell recovery in patients with an early and sustained virological response after HAART.

Methods: We performed a longitudinal analysis of 3,262 patients from the MASTER cohort, who started HAART from 2000 to 2008. Patients were stratified into 6 groups by HCV status and type of anchor class. The early virological outcome was the achievement of HIV RNA <500 copies/ml 4–8 months after HAART initiation. Time to virological response was also evaluated by Kaplan-Meier analysis. The main outcome measure of early immunological response was the achievement of CD4+ T-cell increase by ≥100/mm³ from baseline to month 4–8 in virological responder patients. Late immunological outcome was absolute variation of CD4+ T-cell count with respect to baseline up to month 24. Multivariable analysis (ANCOVA) investigated predictors for this outcome.

Results: The early virological response was higher in HCV Ab-negative than HCV Ab-positive patients prescribed PI/r (92.2% versus 88%; p = 0.01) or NNRTI (88.5% versus 84.7%; p = 0.06). HCV Ab-positive serostatus was a significant predictor of a delayed virological suppression independently from other variables, including types of anchor class. Reactivity for HCV antibodies was associated with a lower probability of obtaining ≥100/mm³ CD4+ increase within 8 months from HAART initiation in patients treated with PI/r (62.2% among HCV Ab-positive patients versus 70.9% among HCV Ab-negative patients; p = 0.003) and NNRTI (63.7% versus 74.7%; p < 0.001). Regarding late CD4+ increase, positive HCV Ab appeared to impair immune reconstitution in terms of absolute CD4+ T-cell count increase both in patients treated with PI/r (p = 0.013) and in those treated with NNRTI (p = 0.002). This was confirmed at a multivariable analysis up to 12 months of follow-up.

Conclusions: In this large cohort, HCV Ab reactivity was associated with an inferior virological outcome and an independent association between HCV Ab-positivity and smaller CD4+ increase was evident up to 12 months of follow-up. Although the difference in CD4+ T-cell count was modest, a stricter follow-up and optimization of HAART strategy appear to be important in HIV patients co-infected by HCV. Moreover, our data support anti-HCV treatment leading to HCV eradication as a means to facilitate the achievement of the viro-immunological goals of HAART.
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Introduction

The introduction of highly-active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) has determined a dramatic improvement in the management of HIV-1 infection, leading to suppression of HIV viraemia and consequent restoration of the immune function. However, viro-immunological effectiveness of HAART may be hampered by other factors [1-4].

In particular, the impact of co-infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) on treatment response is still controversial despite the large number of published data. In this respect, co-infection with HCV has a potential great relevance because its prevalence is up to 80% in those who acquired HIV through injection drug use [5].

Several studies reported a reduced CD4+ T-cell count recovery in patients with HIV and HCV co-infection with respect to patients with HIV alone [6-13]. This conclusion was further supported by a meta-analysis [14], but was not confirmed by other results [15-17]. Moreover, variable levels of HIV RNA may confound the association of HCV co-infection with CD4+ T-cell count increase. In particular, we need data in patients who obtained early and sustained virological response to minimize the influence of variable levels of HIV replication on the immunological response because the results currently available are inconsistent, with some studies demonstrating a difference [10] and others disproving it [18,19].

Regarding virological response, several studies did not demonstrate any significant associations with HCV status [16,17], however one recent study evaluated time to virological suppression as primary outcome and found that HCV Ab-positivity was associated with a reduced rate of virological suppression at bivariate analysis, but not adjusting for history of intravenous drug use. [20].

The aim of this study was to explore the effects of HCV co-infection on virological effectiveness and on CD4+ T-cell reconstitution in patients with an early and sustained virological response after HAART. Also, stratified analyses were conducted by anchor drug --i.e. the antiretroviral drug added as third component to a backbone of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors-- to explore whether different drug characteristics may have an effect.

Methods

Design of the study and cohort used

We conducted an analysis on naïve patients enrolled in the Italian MASTER (Management of standardized antiretroviral therapy) cohort who started first line HAART containing protease inhibitors (either boosted by ritonavir - PI/r - or not boosted) or non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI) from 1st January 2000 to 31st December 2008. Patients were stratified into 6 groups according to the type of anchor class received (PI, PI/r or NNRTI) and HCV Ab status (positive or negative).

The MASTER cohort is a longitudinal multicenter cohort consisting of a general HIV patient population in nine referral centres throughout Italy (http://www.master-cohort.it). The distinguishing characteristic of this cohort is that data are compiled in a common electronic chart (Health & Notes 3.5®, Healthware S.p.A., Naples, Italy) in use in the participating centres. Data are recorded over a standardized time-scale every 3 months, with merging and data cleaning performed at the coordinating centre every 6 months. Subjects gave written informed consent for participation in the observational cohort, and each site obtained approval by its Ethics Committee.

Study outcomes

Virological outcome

The main virological outcome was the achievement of early virological response, i.e., HIV RNA <500 copies/ml within 8 months from HAART initiation (values included between 4 and 8 months, closest to month 8, were considered). This limit of detection for undetectability was chosen because it was the highest value ever used in the clinical centers participating to the MASTER cohort during the time frame of the study. The main virological outcome was assessed both through intent-to-treat (ITT) and on treatment (OT) analyses. At ITT analysis, all patients were included even if they changed drugs used as anchor (PI or PI/r to NNRTI and vice-versa, or PI to PI/r and vice-versa); at OT analysis, only patients who did not change class therapy were considered. A logistic model was performed with undetectable HIV RNA as dependent variable and HIV RNA at baseline and HCV Ab reactivity as independent variables.

We also assessed the rate of patients with HIV RNA <500 copies/ml by Kaplan Meier survival curves over a mean length of follow-up of 52 (SD: 33) months.

Possible predictors of time to virological suppression were assessed through Cox regression analysis considering as independent variables either baseline factors (age at HAART initiation, gender, risk factors for HIV acquisition, history of AIDS diagnosis, HIV RNA, HCV Ab status, calendar year at HAART) or time dependent factors (CD4+ T-cell count, type of anchor class). All the variables were input in a multivariate model. The proportional hazards assumption was checked by determining whether plotting the log [−log(survival)] curve against survival time resulted in parallel lines for each covariate. Moreover, scaled Schoenfeld residuals were plotted on functions of time and tested for a non-zero slope.

Immunological outcomes

For the study of early immunological response, we used patients who obtained HIV RNA <500 copies/ml within 8 months from HAART initiation (values included...
between 4 and 8 months, closest to month 8, were considered) and CD4+ T-cell count was assessed in the same time frame (values closest to month 8 were used). In these patients, two immunological outcomes were evaluated: (i) CD4+ T-cell increase by ≥100/mm³ from baseline (categorical outcome); (ii) absolute CD4+ T-cell change (continuous outcome). For the categorical outcome, a bivariate logistic analysis was performed with HIV RNA at baseline and HCV Ab status (positive or negative) used as independent variables. For the continuous outcome, ANCOVA model was performed. In this analysis, CD4+ T-cell change from baseline was the dependent variable, HIV RNA value at baseline was the covariate, and HCV Ab reactivity was the fixed effect.

For the study of late immunological response, the same patients were studied until HIV RNA remained undetectable (<500 copies/ml), patients were lost to follow-up (lack of exams for ≥6 months), or died. Moreover, analyses were truncated when <30% patients were under observation to avoid inconsistent results due to an insufficient number of patients under study.

We studied the immunological response as absolute CD4+ T-cell count increase adjusted for CD4+ T-cell count at baseline. First, ANCOVA model was performed in order to assess differences between HCV Ab-positive and HCV Ab-negative patients, stratified by anchor class at month 24 after HAART initiation. In this analysis, baseline CD4+ T-cell count and HCV Ab reactivity were fixed effects. Second, ANCOVA models were used with absolute CD4+ T-cell count increase as dependent variables to assess predictors at months 12 and 24. At this analysis, we considered as possible predictors: age at HAART initiation, gender, risk factors for HIV acquisition, history of AIDS diagnosis, HIV RNA, CD4+ T-cell count, HCV Ab status, calendar year at HAART and type of anchor class.

Additional statistical notes
Descriptive statistics were calculated for quantitative variables (mean, standard deviation – SD, median, minimum and maximum) and qualitative variables (absolute and percent frequencies) as appropriate. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (95%CI) were calculated for appropriate cases. Variables were compared by means of F test from ANOVA model or $\chi^2$ test in case of quantitative or qualitative variables, respectively.

All analyses have been performed using the statistical software package SAS 9.2 (SAS Inc. Cary, NC). All $p$ value were two-tailed and considered significant if $<0.05$.

Results
We studied a cohort of 3,262 patients, of whom 863 were positive for HCV Ab. Main characteristics of the population at baseline, stratified into 6 groups by HCV Ab status and type of anchor class (PI, PI/r, NNRTI), are shown in Table 1. In the whole population males were 73%, mean age was 37.2 years at cohort entry and was 39.1 years at HAART initiation. HCV Ab-positive patients were more likely to be younger and IVDU than HCV Ab-negative patients; AIDS events at baseline were more frequent in HCV Ab-negative patients.

Virological analysis (patients stratified by HCV serostatus and classes of anchor drugs)
The early virological response rate was significantly higher in HCV Ab-negative than in HCV Ab-positive patients. The ITT analysis showed that, in the overall population, 87.8% patients achieved virological success. In patients receiving a PI (N = 354), those who did not reach an early virological response were 34/146 (23.3%) among HCV Ab-positive patients versus 52/208 (25%) HCV Ab-negative patients ($p = 0.66$); among the 1424 patients treated with PI/r, 44/365 (12%) HCV Ab-positive versus 83/1059 (7.8%) HCV Ab-negative patients did not reach the virological response (OR 0.612, 95%CI 0.416 to 0.903, $p = 0.01$); in the NNRTI group (N = 1484) those who did not achieve the virological response were 54/352 (15.3%) HCV Ab-positive versus 130/1132 (11.5%) HCV Ab-negative patients (OR 0.717, 95%CI 0.509 to 1.01, $p = 0.06$). Also at OT analysis, significant differences between HCV Ab-positive and HCV Ab-negative patients emerged only in those treated with PI/r (OR 0.582, 95%CI 0.389 to 0.869, $p = 0.01$), and in those treated with NNRTI (OR 0.618, 95%CI 0.419 to 0.912, $p = 0.02$).

Figure 1 (panels “a” and “b”) shows the Kaplan-Meier analyses in HCV Ab-negative and in HCV Ab-positive patients for the achievement of virological suppression. Only in patients treated with NNRTI, there was a statistically significant difference for the time to achieve virological response being shorter in HCV Ab-negative patients (log-rank $p = 0.003$). By contrast, no statistically significant differences were found in patients treated with PI and, among patients receiving PI/r, a borderline statistical significance was found in favor of the HCV Ab-negative group (log-rank $p = 0.096$).

Predictors of time to achieve virological suppression
Cox regression analysis was performed in order to explore possible predictors of time to achieve virological suppression (Figure 2). We found that, among the baseline variables, age at HAART initiation (x 10-years older) (HR 1.109, 95%CI 1.065 to 1.155, $p < 0.001$), IVDU as risk factors for HIV acquisition (HR 1.344, 95%CI 1.130 to 1.599, $p = 0.001$), calendar year at HAART (x 1-year more recent) (HR 1.035, 95%CI 1.023 to 1.046, $p < 0.001$), were predictors of shorter time to reach the virological success; instead, HCV Ab-positive status (HR 0.738, 95%CI 0.652 to 0.834, $p < 0.001$) and higher level of HIV RNA ($x_1 \log_{10}$
| Variable                                | HCV Ab+ PI (n = 146) | HCV Ab- PI (n = 208) | HCV Ab+ PI + r (n = 365) | HCV Ab- PI + r (n = 1059) | HCV Ab+ NNRTI (n = 352) | HCV Ab- NNRTI (n = 1132) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Males [N(%)]                           | 112 (76.7)           | 148 (71.2)           | 284 (77.8)               | 751 (70.9)                | 257 (73.0)              | 829 (73.2)               |
| Age at cohort entry, years [Mean (SD)] | 34.3 (8.1)           | 38.3 (10)            | 37.2 (9.3)               | 38.4 (10.6)               | 34.3 (8.7)              | 37.2 (10.1)              |
| Age at HAART initiation, years [Mean (SD)] | 37.8 (6.2)           | 39.0 (9.6)           | 41.2 (7.6)               | 39.5 (10.4)               | 38.3 (7.3)              | 38.5 (9.9)               |
| Calendar year at HAART [Median (range)] | 2001 (2000–2009)     | 2001 (2000–2009)     | 2006 (2000–2009)         | 2006 (2000–2009)          | 2003 (2000–2009)        | 2004 (2000–2010)         |
| Risk factors for HIV acquisition [N(%)] |                      |                      |                          |                           |                         |                          |
| Heterosexual                           | 24 (16.4)            | 122 (58.7)           | 74 (20.3)                | 587 (55.4)                | 79 (22.4)               | 678 (59.9)               |
| MSM                                    | 6 (4.1)              | 56 (26.9)            | 18 (4.9)                 | 254 (24)                  | 26 (7.4)                | 316 (27.9)               |
| IVDU                                    | 110 (75.3)           | 10 (4.8)             | 228 (62.5)               | 21 (2)                    | 234 (66.5)              | 27 (2.4)                 |
| Other/unknown                          | 6 (4.1)              | 20 (9.6)             | 45 (12.3)                | 197 (18.6)                | 13 (3.7)                | 111 (9.8)                |
| AST, IU/L [Mean (SD)]                  | 69.3 (56.4)          | 44.9 (96.9)          | 62.1 (54.7)              | 32.5 (24.2)               | 58.0 (47.9)             | 34.0 (28.0)              |
| ALT, IU/L [Mean (SD)]                  | 68.9 (61.7)          | 482 (88.5)           | 70.6 (70.1)              | 40.9 (45.4)               | 64.5 (51.4)             | 38.9 (39.3)              |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL [Mean (SD)]    | 0.79 (0.26)          | 1.00 (1.35)          | 0.84 (0.45)              | 0.85 (0.43)               | 0.82 (0.26)             | 0.87 (0.64)              |
| Cholesterol, mg/dL [Mean (SD)]         | 138.3 (40.3)         | 172.0 (49.7)         | 150.1 (42.6)             | 167.1 (46.4)              | 156.7 (40.3)            | 165.1 (39.5)             |
| Nadir of CD4+ T-cells, cells/mm² [Mean (SD)] | 143.1 (122.5)       | 144.8 (140.6)        | 169.5 (123.6)            | 169.5 (133.3)             | 179.3 (115.9)           | 198.5 (118.8)            |
| AIDS diagnosis [N (%)]                 | 43 (29.5)            | 76 (36.5)            | 95 (26.0)                | 314 (29.7)                | 67 (19.0)               | 215 (19.0)               |
| CD4+ T-cell count, cells/mm² [Mean (SD)] | 222.4 (220.1)       | 188.3 (198.4)        | 210.1 (157.3)            | 202.5 (175.8)             | 239.6 (146.5)           | 233.8 (144.1)            |
| HIV-1 RNA, copies/ml [Mean (SD)]       | 143,968 (178,492)    | 187,909 (192,419)    | 208,367 (397,492)        | 225,939 (529,767)         | 156,582 (260,891)       | 191,210 (543,678)        |

N: number, SD: standard deviation, PI/r: protease inhibitors boosted by ritonavir, PI: protease inhibitors not boosted by ritonavir NNRTI: non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, IVDU: intravenous drug users, HAART: highly active antiretroviral therapy, MSM: men who have sex with men, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase.
copies/ml) (HR 0.882, 95%CI 0.832 to 0.935, p < 0.001) predicted a longer time to obtained the same outcome. Among time-dependent variables, only increasing CD4+ T-cell count was directly associated with time to achieve the virological outcome (HR 1.057, 95%CI 1.047 to 1.066, p < 0.001), while type of HAART was not (NNRTI versus PI/r, p = 0.75; PI versus PI/r, p = 0.08; others versus PI/r, p = 0.27).

Immunological analysis (patients stratified by HCV serostatus and classes of anchor drugs)

For the early immunological response, achievement of CD4+ T-cell increase ≥100/mm³ within 8 months from HAART initiation was evaluated among patients with a virological response. In this analysis, 2,682 patients who had values of CD4+ T-cell count both at baseline and after 4–8 months were considered. Among patients receiving a PI (N = 239) there were not significant differences between mono- and co-infected patients at bivariate analysis (60.8% versus 65.5%, p = 0.35). Conversely, in the PI/r group (N = 1,220) HCV Ab-positive patients had a lower probability to reach the outcome than HCV Ab-negative patients (62.2% versus 70.9%, OR 0.662, 95%CI 0.502 to 0.872, p = 0.003). Also, among NNRTI-receiving patients (N = 1,223), CD4+ T-cell count increase ≥100/mm³ was less likely to be achieved by HCV Ab-positive patients (63.7% versus 74.7%, OR 0.595, 95%CI 0.445 to 0.797, p < 0.001). A significant difference in CD4+ T-cell count increase was also found in this group at ANCOVA when absolute CD4+ T-cell count increase was analyzed as continuous measure (−36.8/mm³, 95%CI −53.6 to −20.1, p < 0.001).

For the late immunological response, the absolute CD4+ T-cell count for both HCV Ab-negative and HCV Ab-positive patients is depicted in Figure 3. By ANCOVA, at month 24 there was a significant difference between HCV Ab-positive and HCV Ab-negative patients with sustained virological success in terms of absolute CD4+ T-cell count increase both in patients treated with PI/r (mean change [SD]: 248.4 cells/mm³ [188.6] in HCV Ab-positive patients versus 275.5 cells/mm³ [203.4] in HCV Ab-negative patients; p = 0.013) and in those treated with NNRTI containing regimens (mean change [SD]: 211.1 cells/mm³ [168.3] in HCV Ab-positive patients versus 271.0 cells/mm³ [183.4] in HCV Ab-negative patients; p = 0.002).

Figure 1 Kaplan Meir analysis of time to virological response. Time to HIV-1 RNA <500 copies/ml in HCV Ab-negative patients (panel a) and HCV Ab-positive patients (panel b) by classes of anchor drugs.

Figure 2 Predictors of time to undetectable HIV RNA (< 500 copies/ml). HAART: highly active antiretroviral therapy, IVDU: intravenous drug users, NNRTI: non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor, PI/r: protease inhibitors boosted by ritonavir, PI: protease inhibitors not boosted by ritonavir.
Predictors of CD4+ T-cell count recovery

Table 2 shows the results of the ANCOVA model performed to investigate possible predictors of CD4+ T-cell recovery at different time-point of the study.

HCV Ab-positive status appeared to predict smaller CD4+ T-cell increase after 12 months of HAART ($p = 0.005$), independently from age at HAART onset, and HIV RNA at baseline, both significant predictors of this outcome ($p = 0.002$ and $<0.001$, respectively). However, after 24 month of HAART, the impact of HCV Ab status disappeared.

Discussion

In this study, the possible impact of HCV co-infection on viro-immunological effectiveness of different HAART regimens was explored both in the early and in the late follow-up and using both a stratified and a multivariable analysis.

In the overall population we found that 88% patients reached HIV RNA suppression within months 4–8 months from initiation of HAART at ITT analysis. However, percentages differed by HCV co-infection and by anchor class received. In fact, HCV Ab-positive patients obtained HIV RNA suppression earlier than HCV Ab-negative patients. The results of the ANCOVA model showed that age at HAART initiation, AIDS diagnosis, CD4 T-cell count at baseline, and HCV Ab status were significant predictors of HIV RNA suppression at 12 months of therapy. However, at 24 months, only age at HAART initiation and CD4 T-cell count at baseline were significant predictors of HIV RNA suppression.

Figure 3 Immune-recovery evaluated as absolute CD4+ T-cell count increase with respect to the baseline. Late immunological outcomes in patients who obtained sustained HIV RNA suppression by year 1: absolute CD4+ T-cell count increase in HCV Ab-negative patients (panel a) and in HCV Ab-positive patients (panel b). Note that for immunological analyses data were cut at month 63 when 30% of the enrolled patients were still under observation.

Predictors of a longer time to reach the virological suppression

Predictors of a shorter time to reach the virological suppression

Table 2 Predictors of immune recovery (absolute CD4+ T-cell increase from baseline after HAART)

| Variable                      | 12 months |       | 24 months |       |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
|                               | Estimate (SE) | p     | Estimate (SE) | p     |
| Age at HAART initiation [x10-ys] | -13.6 (3.7)   | 0.002 | -12.0 (5.1)   | 0.02  |
| AIDS [no vs. yes]             | N.S.      |       | 25.0 (11.3)   | 0.03  |
| CD4+ [x50 cells/mm³]         | N.S.      |       | -11.5 (1.6)   | <0.001|
| HIV RNA [x1 log10]            | -46.8 (5.5)  | <0.001| 47.1 (7.5)    | <0.001|
| HCV + vs HCV-                | -38.4 (11.0) | 0.005 | N.S.         |       |
| PI vs PI/r                   | N.S.      |       | -33.4 (14.8)  | <0.02 |

Results of the ANCOVA models with absolute CD4+ T-cell increase as dependent variable after 12 and 24 months from HAART initiation. Only variables that resulted to be statistically significant in at least one time-point are reported. Models were adjusted for age at HAART onset, gender, risk factors for HIV acquisition, history of AIDS, basal HIV RNA, CD4+ T-cell count, HCV Ab status, calendar year at HAART and type of treatment as anchor (PI/r vs NNRTI or PI).
RNA suppression less likely than HIV mono-infected patients, though this difference was greater in those treated with PI/r (88% versus 92.2%) and NNRTI (84.7% versus 88.5%) than in those treated with PI (76.7% versus 75%). It is possible that this finding reflects a treatment adherence phenomenon. In fact, on one hand, if one assumes that HCV Ab-positivity is a proxy for a negative behavior related to IVDU, with a negative influence on treatment adherence [5,21], the difference in virological suppression would be magnified in patients who received more complex regimens (such as the PI/r most frequently used at the time of the study) [22]. On the other hand, in patients prescribed less potent regimens (such as PI), differences between the two groups could have been diluted. Indeed, the rate of treatment success in these patients was the lowest.

However, when predictors of HIV RNA response were investigated, HCV co-infection appeared to be a negative predictor independently from IVDU, suggesting that the effects of these two variables were not entirely overlapping. This may be due either to HCV co-infection “per se” (probably affecting the immune control of HIV replication) or to adherence as unmeasured variable because HCV co-infected patients may have been less adherent to treatment even though they were not IVDU. Further biopsychosocial investigations are needed to understand the complex relationship between HCV co-infection, the role of adherence behaviors and IVDU as risk factor.

Regarding the other predictors of virological success, the positive impact of aging (possibly reflecting better adherence in older patients) is not new [23,24], as well as the positive impact of more recent calendar years, possibly reflecting a significant improvement in the drug regimens and in the overall standard of care [25,26].

We found a positive impact of higher CD4+ T-cell count as a protective variable for achieving HIV RNA suppression. It is possible that the immune system (either at baseline or reconstituted by HAART) has a role in better controlling HIV replication. If this is the case, our results point to earlier HAART initiation as a means to preserve immune system so that virological suppression is more frequent. But also the lack of virological suppression (or virological suppression in presence of suboptimal adherence [27]), may be a cause rather than a consequence of lower CD4+ count, supporting the importance of a continuing assessment of treatment adherence, especially in HIV/HCV co-infected patients who appear to be disadvantaged.

Regarding the immunological outcomes, reactivity for HCV Ab was associated with a lower probability of obtaining ≥100 CD4+ T-cell count increase within 8 months from HAART initiation and with a smaller CD4+ T-cell count recovery up to 12 months in a multivariable analysis. It has been hypothesized that CD4+ T-cell depletion might result from ongoing cell activation and apoptosis driven by HCV [28] and therefore HCV could lower the CD4+ T-cell recovery through a direct pathogenic effect on lymphocytes even after HAART [29]. Our results, together with this interpretation, suggest that it is important to treat HCV infection aimed at obtaining its eradication, not only with the main goal of treating liver disease but also as a means to improve the viro-immunological outcomes of HAART, especially early upon its inception.

Greub et al. studied a group of 1596 patients (33% of them were HCV Ab-positive) with sustained HIV RNA <400 copies/ml and found that HCV Ab-positive subjects had an impaired CD4+ T-cell recovery in the long term [10]. By contrast, data from Kaufmann’s studies did not show any significant difference in CD4+ T-cell recovery when comparing HCV Ab-positive and HCV Ab-negative patients [18]. Our results suggest that, even though HCV infection may have an impact in the short term (either because immune activation has not yet been down-regulated or because HIV RNA is less effectively controlled early upon HAART), a more prolonged undetectability of HIV RNA may eventually counteract the apparent disadvantage occurring in HCV co-infected patients.

The present study suffers from limitations: first, HIV RNA was not considered, therefore patients with HCV Ab-positive but negative HIV RNA may have been included in the analysis, even if patients treated with anti-HCV drugs were excluded with the aim to reduce this possible bias. Second, HCV genotypes were not considered. A small study found that, among 171 HIV/HCV co-infected patients, those infected by HCV genotype 3 had inferior CD4+ T-cell increase after 12 months of follow-up [30]. However data from Antonucci et al. [7] did not find any correlation between HCV genotypes and immune-reconstitution in a cohort of 284 antiretroviral naïve patients. Therefore, further studies are needed to investigate the possible role of HCV genotypes on immune reconstitution in larger cohorts of patients over a longer follow-up. Third, other possible confounders such as severity of liver disease were not measured. Fourth, a ≤500 copies/ml cut-off for undetectability was considered. It is possible that persistent HIV replication below this cut-off may have had an impact on immune-reconstitution but this effect was not captured in our analysis.

In conclusion, the analysis of virological response suggests that HCV Ab-positive patients need focused interventions to maximize the effectiveness of HAART, especially early upon HAART initiation. Moreover, an higher CD4+ T-cell count predicted better virological control suggesting that preservation of immune functions is important not only to prevent clinical complications but also as a means to better control HIV replication. Thus, earlier HAART initiation is supported, especially in HIV/HCV co-infected subjects whose viro-immunological response may be compromised relative to HIV mono-
infected patients. Lastly, it is possible that HCV eradication through specific treatments is beneficial not only for the main goal of treating liver disease but also for the viro-immunological effectiveness of HAART.

Competing interests
Co-authors (CT, NB, EF, PN, FM, GC, SDG, MF, MDP, NL, LS, SC, FC) received grants from several Pharmaceutical Companies for participating to advisory board and scientific conferences but the received supports did not influence the content of this paper. Remaining co-authors: none to declare.

Acknowledgements
This work was partially supported by Janssen Cilag SpA through an unrestricted grant and by NEAT (Network for Excellence in Antiretroviral Treatment) funded by the European Commission (Project number: LSHP-CT-2006-037570).

Statistical analysis was performed by CROS NT (www.cros.it/). The Italian MASTER Cohort is a large national project involving the major centers providing care to HIV/AIDS patients and includes the following doctors: F. Castelli, G. Carosi, C. Torti, E. Quiros, P. Nasta, G. Paraino, E. Focà, Institute of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy. R. Cauda S. Di Gambenedetto, M. Fabbiani, M. Colafigli, Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy. F. Maggiolo Ospedali Riuniti, Bergamo, Italy. A. Scalfini, F. Castelnuovo, I. El Hamad, Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy. F. Mazzotta, S. Locaputo, N. Marino, P. Pierotti M. Di Pietro, C. Bèl, F. Vichi, S. “Maria Annunziata” Hospital, Florence, Italy. L. Sighinolfi, S. “Anna” Hospital, Ferrara, Italy. G. Angaran, N. Ladisa, L. Monno, P. Maggi, Policlinico di Bari, Bari, Italy. A. Pan, S. Costarella, Istituti Ospitalieri, Cremona, Italy. A. Gori, G. Lapadula, M. Airoldi, N. Squillace, A. Sorio, A. Muscatello, Ospedale S. Gerardo, Monza, Italy. M. Puoti, Ospedale Niguarda Cà Grandi Milano, Italy. P. Viale, V. Colangeli, M. Bordei, Policlinico S. Orsola Malpighi, Bologna, Italy.

This work has been presented in part to the “6th IAS Conference on HIV pathogenesis, treatment and prevention”, Rome, Italy, 17–20 July 2011, (Abstract TUPE-106).

We would like to thank all patients participating in the MASTER Cohort Study, all doctors and study nurse involved, and the datacentre. We also wish to thank Ms. Francesca Brognoli, Dr. Cristina Milanardi for kind technical assistance.

Author details
1Institute of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy.
2Department of Infectious Diseases, Ospedali Riuniti, Bergamo, Italy.
3Institute of Clinical Infectious Diseases, Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy.
4Infectious Diseases Clinic, Polyclinic di Milano, Milan, Italy.
5Department of Infectious Diseases, S. Anna Hospital, Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy.
6Department of Infectious Diseases, Istituti Ospitalieri, Cremona, Italy.
7Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy.
8Unit of Infectious Diseases, University “Magna Graecia”, Catanzaro, Italy.

Authors’ contributions
Study concept and design: CT, DM, NB. Acquisition of data: CT, DM, NB, EF, PN, FM, GC, SDG, MF, MDP, NL, LS, SC, FC. Analysis and interpretation of data: CT, DM, NB, EF. Drafting of the manuscript: CT, DM, NB. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: EF, PN, FM, GC, SDG, MF, MDP, NL, LS, SC, FC. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Received: 24 April 2012 Accepted: 15 June 2012
Published: 15 June 2012

References
1. Furber AS, Maheswaran R, Newell JN, Carroll C: Is smoking tobacco an independent risk factor for HIV infection and progression to AIDS? A systematic review. Sex Transm Infect 2007, 83:41–46.
2. Opienskalaí EA, Kovacs A: HIV/HCV co-infection: pathogenesis, clinical complications, treatment, and new therapeutic technologies. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2011, 8:12–22.
3. Zellan J, Augenbraun M: Syphilis in the HIV-infected patient: an update on epidemiology, diagnosis, and management. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2004, 1:142–147.
4. Khan FA, Minion J, Pai M, Royce S, Burman W, Harries AD, Menzies D: Treatment of active tuberculosis in HIV-coinfected patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2010, 50:1288–1299.
5. Bollela S, Mathieson K, Bay C, Hillier A, Post J, Van Thiel DH, Nadir A: Prevalence of risk factors for hepatitis C virus in HIV-infected and HIV/ hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients. Sex Transm Infect 2007, 34:367–370.
6. Carlos Martín J, Castilla J, López M, Amann R, González-Lahoz J, Soriano V: Impact of chronic hepatitis C on HIV-1 disease progression. HIV Clin Trials 2004, 5:125–131.
7. Antonucci G, Giardi E, Cozzi-Leperi A, Capobianchi MR, De Luca A, Pusti M, Petrelli E, Carnevale G, Rizzardini G, Gossi PA, Viganò P, Molitò MC, Carletti F, Solimone M, Ippolito G, Monforte AD: Role of hepatitis C virus (HCV) viremia and HCV genotype in the immune recovery from highly active antiretroviral therapy in a cohort of antiretroviral-naive HIV-infected individuals. Clin Infect Dis 2005, 40:e101–e109.
8. Braarud P, Zala C, Yip B, Beinhof MWG, Moore D, Hoog RS, Montaner JS: Immuneologic response to antiretroviral therapy in hepatitis C virus-coinfected adults in a population-based HIV/AIDS treatment program. J Infect Dis 2006, 193:259–268.
9. Carmo RA, Guimarães MDA, Moura AS, Neiva AM, Versiani JB, Lima LV, Freitas LP, Rocha MOC: The influence of HCV coinfection on clinical, immunological and virological responses to HAART in HIV-patients. Braz J Infect Dis 2008, 12:75–179.
10. Greub G, Ledergerber B, Battegay M, Grob P, Perrin L, Furrer H, Burgisser P, Erb P, Boggian K, Piffaretti JC, Hirschel B, Janin P, Francioli P, Flepp M, Tenenti A: Clinical progression, survival, and immune recovery during antiretroviral therapy in patients with HIV-1 and hepatitis C virus coinfection: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Lancet 2000, 356:1800–1805.
11. De Luca A, Bugarini R, Leperi AC, Puoti M, Giardì E, Antinori A, Poggio A, Pagano G, Tosotti G, Cadeo G, Macor A, Toni M, D’Arminio Monforte A: Coinfection with hepatitis viruses and outcome of initial antiretroviral regimens in previously naive HIV-infected subjects. Arch Intern Med 2002, 162:2125–2132.
12. Santin M, Mestre M, Shaw E, Barbera MJ, Casanova A, Niblo J, Bolao F, Podzarzczek D, Guclioi F: Impact of hepatitis C virus coinfection on immune restoration during successful antiretroviral therapy in chronic human immunodeficiency virus type 1 disease. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2008, 27:65–73.
13. Weis N, Lindhardt BO, Iromborg G, Hansen A-BE, Laursen AL, Christensen PB, Nielsen H, Moller A, Sorensen HT, Obel N: Impact of hepatitis C virus coinfection on response to highly active antiretroviral therapy and outcome in HIV-infected individuals: a nationwide cohort study. Clin Infect Dis 2006, 42:1481–1487.
14. Miller MF, Haley C, Koziel MJ, Rowley CF: Impact of hepatitis C virus on immune restoration in HIV-infected patients who start highly active antiretroviral therapy: a meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2005, 41:713–720.
15. Peters L, Mocroft A, Soriano V, Rockstroh JK, Losso M, Valerio L, Aldins P, Reiss P, Ledergerber B, Lundgren JD: Hepatitis C virus coinfection does not influence the CD4 cell recovery in HIV-1-infected patients with maximum virologic suppression. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2009, 50:457–463.
16. Rockstroh JK, Mocroft A, Soriano V, Tural C, Losso MH, Horban A, Kirk O, Phillips A, Ledergerber B, Lundgren J: Influence of hepatitis C virus infection on HIV-1 disease progression and response to highly active antiretroviral therapy. J Infect Dis 2005, 192:992–1002.
17. Sullivan PS, Hanson DL, Teshale EH, Wetting LL, Brooks JT: Effect of hepatitis C infection on progression of HIV disease and early response to initial antiretroviral therapy. AIDS 2005, 20:1171–1179.
18. Kaufmann GR, Perrin L, Panteleo G, Opravil M, Furrer H, Tenenti A, Hirschel B, Ledergerber B, Vernazza P, Bernasconi E, Rickenbach M, Egger M, Battegay M: CD4 T-lymphocyte recovery in individuals with advanced HIV-1 infection receiving potent antiretroviral therapy for 4 years: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Arch Intern Med 2003, 163:2187–2195.
19. Kaufmann GR, Furrer H, Ledergerber B, Perrin L, Opravil M, Vernazza P, Cavassini M, Bernasconi E, Rickenbach M, Hirschel B, Battegay M: Characteristics, determinants, and clinical relevance of CD4 T cell recovery to <500 cells/ml in HIV type 1-infected individuals receiving potent antiretroviral therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2005, 41:361–372.
20. Cescon AM, Cooper C, Chan K, Palmer AK, Klein MB, Machouf N, Loutfy MR, Raboud J, Rachlis A, Ding E, Lima VD, Montaner JSG, Rourke SB, Smieja M, Tsoukas C, Hogg RS. Factors associated with virological suppression among HIV-positive individuals on highly active antiretroviral therapy in a multi-site Canadian cohort. *HIV Med* 2011, 12:352–360.

21. Staples CT, Rimland D, Dudas D. Hepatitis C in the HIV (human immunodeficiency virus) Atlanta V.A. (Veterans Affairs Medical Center) Cohort Study (HAVACS): the effect of coinfection on survival. *Clin Infect Dis* 1999, 29:150–154.

22. Goulet JL, Fultz SE, McGinnis KA, Justice AC. Relative prevalence of comorbidities and treatment contraindications in HIV-mono-infected and HIV/HCV-co-infected veterans. *AIDS* 2005, 19(Suppl 3):S99–S105.

23. Greenbaum AH, Wilson LE, Keruly JC, Moore RD, Gebo KA. Effect of age and HAART regimen on clinical response in an urban cohort of HIV-infected individuals. *AIDS* 2008, 22:2331–2339.

24. Prosperi MF, Cozzi-Lepri A, Cassola G, Torti C, Ursitti MA, Pellezzer GP, Giacometti A, D’Arminio Monforte A, De Luca A. Favourable evolution of virological and immunological profiles in treated and untreated patients in Italy in the period 1998–2008. *HIV Med* 2011, 12:174–182.

25. Podsadecki TJ, Vrijens BC, Tousset EP, Rode RA, Hanna GJ. "White coat compliance" limits the reliability of therapeutic drug monitoring in HIV-1-infected patients. *HIV Clin Trials* 2008, 9:238–246.

26. Seminari E, Tinelli C, Ravasi G, Ripamonti D, Ladisa N, Marino N, Sighinolfi L, Mondello P, Migliorino M, Carosi G, Maserati R. Hepatitis C infection on immune recovery in HIV-positive patients on successful HAART: the role of genotype 3. *Curr HIV Res* 2010, 8:186–193.

doi:10.1186/1742-6405-9-18
Cite this article as: Motta et al.: Virological effectiveness and CD4+ T-cell increase over early and late courses in HIV infected patients on antiretroviral therapy: focus on HCV and anchor class received. *AIDS Research and Therapy* 2012 9:18.