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ABSTRACT

The WHO recommends kangaroo mother care (KMC) for stable preterm and low birthweight babies because it has been demonstrated to reduce mortality by up to half compared with conventional incubator-based care. Uptake of KMC in low/middle-income countries has been limited, despite its suitability for low-resource environments. This paper reviews factors that contributed to the adoption and expansion of KMC in the Philippines. Early introduction began in 1999 but national scale-up was slow until 2014 after which a significant improvement in national adoption was observed. The proportion of target hospitals implementing KMC rose from 3% to 43% between 2014 and 2019, with 53% of preterm and low birthweight babies receiving KMC by the end of this period. Expansion was led by the government which committed resources and formed partnerships with development partners and non-governmental organisations. Scale-up of KMC was built on the introduction of evidence-based newborn care practices around birth. Practice changes were promoted and supported by consensus-based policy, protocol, regulatory and health insurance changes led by multidisciplinary teams. A new approach to changing and sustaining clinical practice used hospital teams to conduct on-the-job clinical coaching and use local data to make environmental changes to support practices. Institutionalisation of early skin-to-skin contact, non-separation of mother and newborn and early initiation of exclusive breast feeding, with increased responsibility given to mothers, drove a cultural change among staff and families which allowed greater acceptance and uptake of KMC. Financial and programmatic support must be sustained and expanded to address ongoing challenges including staffing gaps, available space for KMC, willingness of some staff to adopt new practices and lack of resources for clinical coaching and follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

In the Philippines, an estimated 60 000 of 1.7 million children born each year die before their fifth birthday with around half occurring among newborns. While child deaths decreased by 47% between 1990 and 2019, newborn mortality declined by only 26%. Along with asphyxia and sepsis, complications of prematurity are responsible for the vast majority of newborn deaths. Preterm (<37 weeks’ gestation) and low birthweight (<2500g) babies have immature immune and organ systems, low fat reserves and reduced muscle mass, placing them at a much higher risk of hypothermia, infection and death than normal birthweight babies. In 2014, an estimated 310 193 preterm or low birthweight babies were born in the Philippines, a rate of 13.3 preterm births per 100 live births—the highest of countries with data in East Asia and the Pacific.

Kangaroo mother care (KMC) is a method for managing preterm and low birthweight...
babies by keeping them in skin-to-skin contact on the chest of the mother (or other family members), breast feeding exclusively and providing close monitoring. KMC has been demonstrated to reduce mortality in small babies by up to half compared with conventional incubator-based intensive care. Other benefits include prolonged duration of exclusive breast feeding and better growth rates. Thus, the WHO recommends KMC for babies ≤2000 g and there have been widespread calls to introduce and implement KMC widely.

KMC uptake in low/middle-income countries has been slow, despite its suitability there. Barriers to uptake include outdated policies and practice guidelines, distrust of mother and family-based care, concerns about safety, over-reliance on technology-based methods and staff resistance to change. Facility barriers include inadequate numbers of beds, space and staff skilled to provide clinical management, counselling and family support. Unsurprisingly, few countries have scaled up KMC outside isolated oases.

This practice paper reviews the experience with introducing and scaling up KMC in the Philippines. Early introduction began in 1999 but national scale-up was slow until 2014 after which a significant improvement in national adoption was observed. We reviewed existing data to identify factors associated with KMC uptake, including peer-reviewed and grey literature, national policies, programme documents and reports, and facility survey data; and a synthesis of findings of in-depth interviews with policymakers, programme managers and programme coordinators of hospitals. The objective was to identify and document factors important for scale-up to inform both ongoing expansion of KMC in the Philippines and introduction and scale-up in other countries in the region.

EARLY INTRODUCTION USING LOCAL CHAMPIONS, BUT SLOW PROGRESS: 1999–2008

In April 1999, the then director of the Dr Jose Fabella Memorial Hospital in Manila, the largest maternity hospital in the Philippines under the Department of Health (DoH), convinced of the benefits of KMC, sent a neonatologist and a neonatal nurse for training at the Columbia-based Fundación Canguro (Kangaroo Foundation). He then designated part of the neonatal intensive care unit as a KMC ward, and trained a KMC team consisting of nurse-midwives, paediatricians and a medical social worker. The KMC team trained intensive care staff and established a programme of regular staff training. Subsequently, the process was repeated for intensive care staff at seven hospitals in the City of Manila, with support of the national DoH, and barangay health centre staff were trained to provide ambulatory KMC care for babies discharged from implementing hospitals. By 2005, a networking system between the Fabella Hospital and local city government health facilities was in place. In 2008, the Fabella programme coordinator established a non-governmental organisation (the KMC Foundation) to support scale-up and national adoption. At the end of this period, full implementation of KMC had not progressed beyond seven hospitals in the City of Manila, where implementation was dependent on a small group of committed clinical champions.

FOCUS ON IMPROVING CARE OF NEWBORNS, BUT SMALL BABIES LEFT BEHIND: 2009–2013

A deadly hospital newborn sepsis outbreak in 2008 led to a study of childbirth practices in 51 large hospitals across the Philippines. Results showed that many evidence-based WHO recommendations were not practised and that harmful practices were common. In response, the DoH urgently issued a national Administrative Order and an accompanying clinical Essential Intrapartum and Newborn Care (EINC) practice protocol which included KMC for care of small babies. EINC comprises a package of simple evidence-based interventions shown to prevent or treat the most important causes of newborn morbidity and mortality. Interventions include: immediate and thorough drying to prevent hypothermia and stimulate breathing; immediate and sustained skin-to-skin contact after birth to prevent hypothermia, distress and hypoglycaemia and promote early and sustained breast feeding; delayed cord clamping to reduce the risk of anaemia in newborn infants and further complications in preterm infants; elimination of routine suctioning of newborns which increases the risk of apnoea and bradycardia; resuscitation for non-breathing babies; and appropriately timed hand-hygiene practices by birth attendants to reduce sepsis risk. EINC interventions also include the use of evidence-based criteria to limit unnecessary procedures such as caesarean sections, episiotomies and augmentation of labour, and unnecessary admissions to neonatal intensive care.

The development of new policy guidelines was an evidence-based consultative process convened by the DoH, in collaboration with WHO, UNICEF and key non-governmental organisations working with academe, professional societies and development partners. This coalition successfully lobbied schools of midwifery, and colleges of nursing and medicine, the Professional Regulations Commission, the Health Facility and Services Regulatory Bureau, and the Philippine Health Insurance Corporation (PhilHealth) to integrate the standards into preservice curricula (2011), national licensure examinations (2011), the reimbursement package for newborn care (2011) and licensing standards for health facilities (2012), respectively (table 1). EINC clinical training and quality improvement methodologies were introduced in 11 government hospitals in 2009 and subsequently expanded to all 17 regions of the country. The approach was led by government facilitators in collaboration with Kalusugan ng Mag-Ana, a local non-governmental organisation, with support from the DoH and development partners. A job aid based on updated
newborn clinical practice guidelines was developed and multidisciplinary hospital working groups made responsible for collecting data and taking action to address gaps (box 1).18

Broader institutionalisation of and demand for EINC was promoted by health professional associations who disseminated information, conducted training and increased awareness among health workers. Civil society organisations implemented social marketing activities to promote EINC and breast feeding in communities through social media and women’s support groups. In addition, national government agencies, such as the Department of Social Welfare and Development, incorporated EINC into family development counselling for the poorest families. Economic planning, finance and budget ministries increased budget allocations to maternal and newborn health at national agencies and local government units, and included key standards in national and local development plans. Local government units, in turn, allocated budget lines to support facility infrastructure, equipment and supplies required for practice change at facilities.

**Box 1** Systems approach to implementation of Essential Intrapartum and Newborn Care in Philippine hospitals18

- On-the-job clinical coaching.
- Use of clinical checklists including time-bound, step-by-step care of breathing and non-breathing babies and handwashing tasks.
- Multidisciplinary hospital teams including senior hospital managers, nursing, obstetric, neonatal, anaesthesia staff and external experts met weekly to address barriers and solutions to evidence-based practice, focusing on revising hospital environments to enable practice change.
- Periodic clinical assessments by both internal and external review teams.
- Actions by hospital teams to address barriers to evidence-based practices and gaps identified from clinical assessments included revising hospital policies, standard operating procedures, health worker roles and physical set-ups for deliveries.

**SMALL BABIES GET THEIR DUE DILIGENCE: 2014–2019**

Success with the introduction of EINC for early newborn care, along with global advocacy to improve care for preterm and low birthweight babies, prompted an effort to accelerate KMC implementation. Although KMC had been adopted in the 2009 newborn care policy and protocol updates,15 16 it was recognised that scale-up had been slow because policy changes had not been accompanied by any system-based efforts to secure hospital introduction. In 2013, the DoH built on the model deployed to scale up EINC, by conducting KMC training using existing EINC multidisciplinary hospital teams, periodic assessments and weekly meetings to improve hospital practices, policies and environments. Core policy, regulatory and preservice updates already made to support early newborn care included KMC for preterm and low birthweight babies and underpinned the shift towards this approach. In 2014, KMC was combined with EINC and newborn resuscitation into one initiative termed Care for the Small Baby.23 Expansion of facility introduction was implemented by the KMC Foundation, in collaboration with the DoH, and supported and financed by a multipartner consortium that included WHO and development partners. PhilHealth expanded claims for small babies (2017).24 KMC was further promoted through EINC communication channels including professional associations, civil society and the Department of Social Welfare and Development.

**SUMMARY OF PROGRESS**

Facilities implementing EINC rose progressively from 2010 (figure 1). By 2019, 14 006 health workers had been coached in 92% (N=1673) of birth facilities.25 The number of insurance claims for the newborn care package rose from 108263 in 2011 to 1035750 in 2018, an eightfold increase over 7 years.26 KMC implementation in national, regional and provincial hospitals (N=1433) began with 13% (3% in 2013, rising to 60 (14%) in 2015 and 186 in 2019, representing 43% of target hospitals (figure 1).23

After a baseline hospital practice study in 2008, biennial assessments of early newborn care, including KMC,
in a sample of implementing facilities began in 2015, using standard WHO methods and tools. Data show significant progress with key early delivery and KMC practices, with improvements in immediate newborn practices preceding application of KMC uptake (figure 2). KMC was practised for 52.6% preterm and low birthweight babies by 2019.

**FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO SCALE-UP OF KMC**

Qualitative interviews programme and clinical staff found that scale-up of KMC showed a rapid rise after widespread introduction of EINC and improvements in early newborn care practices. Six common factors were identified as important for introduction.

**Figure 1** Facilities introducing Essential Intrapartum and Newborn Care (EINC) and kangaroo mother care (KMC) and total newborn insurance package claims, Philippines, 2008–2019. Data sources: Biennial regional EINC progress assessments, 2015, 2017 and 2019 and Philippines Insurance Corporation statistics, 2011–2018.

**Figure 2** Facility-based estimates of early newborn care practices, Philippines, 2008–2019. Data sources: 2008: Baseline observations of 451 births at 51 hospitals; 2015: 179 postpartum maternal interviews from a sample of 17 national and subnational hospitals; 2017: 272 postpartum maternal interviews from a sample of 28 national and subnational hospitals; 2019: 478 postpartum maternal interviews from a sample of 45 national and subnational hospitals. KMC, kangaroo mother care.
Improve immediate newborn care policies and regulatory standards using evidence-based data

Hospital practice data following a neonatal sepsis outbreak showed that evidence-based practices around birth were often not applied, with significant gaps in timing and sequencing of tasks that limited their effectiveness. These data were critical for highlighting gaps and securing adoption of evidence-based policies, with consensus achieved by working groups which included clinical staff, programme managers, international partners and civil society organisations. Integration of revised practice standards into preservice curricula, national licensure examinations, the reinforcement package for newborn care, and licensing standards for health facilities (table 1) was further facilitated by policy working groups which represented government stakeholders, professional associations and academic institutions. Regulatory upgrades to support evidence-based policies represented a significant shift in national commitment to improved newborn care. The increase in PhilHealth insurance claims for EINC noted from 2011 shows rapid application of the revised newborn care package. Clinical staff reported that insurance reimbursements provided an incentive to adopt evidence-based practices beyond that expected by policy upgrades alone. Updated training curricula and staff licensing standards were reported to be critical for changing staff perceptions, securing acceptance and institutionalisation of evidence-based practices in the long term; with facility regulatory standards a more important driver of shorter term uptake.

Increase government financing to leverage resources from development partners and local governments

The DoH committed financial resources for implementation costs of expanding EINC to include KMC for preterm and low birthweight babies, beginning in 2014. The incorporation of KMC into annual implementation plans was an important signal of commitment to KMC and influenced local government planning. Resources funded introduction of clinical coaching at hospitals using non-governmental organisations, routine follow-up and supervision activities. This financial commitment was used to leverage resources from development partners (including support for the KMC Foundation which took primary responsibility for clinical coaching) and recurrent budgets of local government units. Support for the newborn package through PhilHealth insurance claims further drove financial support from local government and partners, who recognised that increased demand would require that staff and facilities were upgraded to provide KMC effectively.

Go beyond training to address environmental factors that influence practice

Introduction of KMC built on the systems approach developed for EINC. Traditional training was replaced with on-the-job clinical coaching. Newborn hospital teams monitored progress and introduced environmental changes to support practice, including reorganisation of space, patient flow, staff allocation, equipment and supplies (including KMC beds and binders) and education activities. Teams were also responsible for leveraging internal hospital resources where they were needed, and for supporting use of external resources. Active teams were able to successfully overcome barriers by engaging staff from different disciplines, senior staff and decision-makers to promote change. Previously used training methods were didactic, included limited clinical practice and often conducted off-site, requiring days away from work. Staff were positive about clinical coaching, conducted in small groups, with no presentations, lectures or reading. Benefits of coaching included that it was short duration (2 days), conducted in routine birthing environments, built on existing norms and protocols and promoted repeated practice until mastery, and therefore more likely to result in changed practice routines.

Create consensus between senior maternity and neonatal care staff

Support of national policymakers, hospital senior managers and clinical staff was critical to institutionalising KMC. Scale-up was easier when KMC ‘champions’ within hospitals were engaged. Engagement of this group was promoted by use of a collaborative cross-disciplinary approach to update newborn care policies and protocols between 2009 and 2011, which achieved consensus. The consensus-based approach initiated a dialogue between staff responsible for maternity and neonatal clinical care. These collaborations allowed agreement not just on evidence-based practice standards, but also on the organisation of birth environments and routines which influence whether immediate newborn care can be delivered according to standards, such as the criteria for routine suction, early bathing, mother–baby separation, neonatal intensive care admissions and application of KMC. Engagement of professional associations served to reinforce both the technical merit and political imperative of adopting new guidelines, which contributed to acceptance by policymakers. At the hospital level, lack of communication between maternity, neonatal and paediatric staff was reported to be a common reason why immediate newborn standards had not been routinely applied in the past, with each clinical discipline applying different protocols. Cross-disciplinary dialogue and agreement on practice changes required to improve care overcome some of these interdisciplinary barriers to adoption.

Address staff reluctance to change old practices, concerns about the safety of small babies and fears about giving care responsibilities to mothers and other caregivers

Changing the attitudes of staff, mothers and families towards KMC was built on early newborn care practices established as important for all births. Clinical introduction of EINC showed that prolonged skin-to-skin contact, early breast feeding and non-separation could
be managed easily by mothers, reduced staff workload and led to no increases in falls or other negative consequences. Most importantly, mothers’ feedback was universally positive; and this reinforcement loop incen-
tivised staff. Community-education activities through professional associations, civil society groups and improved counselling by health staff helped normalise practices and created demand. Once this cultural shift had been established in hospital maternity and neonatal care areas, introduction of KMC—with continuous skin-
to-skin contact and increased reliance on the support of mothers and families—was easier to secure.

Collect practice data regularly and use it to take action

Data methods introduced for EINC were continued and expanded to include preterm and low birthweight babies. Self-monitoring was conducted by hospital teams using standard checklists, and periodically by external teams for regular monitoring at a national scale.5-30 Data were collected on both clinical practices and the medicines, supplies and environmental changes needed to support practices; and allowed staff to see which babies were receiving EINC and KMC practices and to under-
stand why. Aggregated data allowed national progress to be tracked and gaps targeted for action; disaggregated hospital data allowed staff to compare their own performance with that of others, which further motivated change.

CHALLENGES TO CONTINUED SCALE-UP

Data from a sample of KMC implementing hospitals in 2019 showed that while half (53%) of preterm and low birthweight babies received KMC, only one in five received continuous KMC (at least 20 hours with interruptions of no more than 30min) in the previous 24-hour period.29 Moving towards continuous KMC is an ongoing chal-
lenge that will require continued monitoring and action to remove barriers to practice. Hospital staff continue to report challenges with staffing, available space, willingness of some staff to adopt new practices and with financing for ongoing activities. Staff transfers and the arrival of new staff require continuous recoaching and familiarisation with protocols and standards. Continued financial and programme support is essential to enable scale-up of KMC to non-implementing hospitals, and to continue to maintain momentum at those that have begun. A cost-effectiveness analysis is now needed to quantify total external and internal resource requirements for scale-up from all sources to allow managers to better plan future budgetary requirements. Further, this review suggests that KMC is less likely to be scaled up unless early essen-
tial newborn care practices are improved and sustained. Efforts to continue to improve EINC practices are therefore critical, beginning with clinical coaching supported by the formation or hospital quality teams that use simple data to address barriers to practice.

CONCLUSION

After limited progress in the 15 years since it was first introduced, KMC adoption in the Philippines improved dramatically following widespread introduction of evidence-based newborn care practices around birth. KMC was built on the consensus-based policy, protocol, regulatory and insurance changes introduced by this approach; and the introduction of a new quality improve-
ment approach to improve early newborn care, which emphasised formation of hospital teams and use of local data to support practice through environmental changes. The effort was led by the government which committed resources and formed partnerships with development partners and non-governmental organisations. The cross-sectoral and multidisciplinary approach created consensus, made financial and other resources available and promoted wider community messaging about appropriate birth practices. Familiarity with skin-to-skin contact, non-separation and early initiation and continu-
ation of exclusive breastfeeding practices, with increased responsibility given to mothers and families, drove a cultural change among staff and mothers which allowed greater acceptance and uptake of KMC. Financial and programmatic support must be sustained and expanded to address ongoing challenges including staffing gaps, available space for KMC, willingness of some staff to adopt new practices and lack of resources for clinical coaching and follow-up.
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