FIRST SPECTROSCOPIC IDENTIFICATION OF MASSIVE YOUNG STELLAR OBJECTS IN THE GALACTIC CENTER
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ABSTRACT

We report the detection of several molecular gas-phase and ice absorption features in three photometrically selected young stellar object (YSO) candidates in the central 280 pc of the Milky Way. Our spectra, obtained with the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS) onboard the Spitzer Space Telescope, reveal gas-phase absorption from CO$_2$ (15.0 \( \mu \)m), C$_2$H$_2$ (13.7 \( \mu \)m), and HCN (14.0 \( \mu \)m). We attribute this absorption to warm, dense gas in massive YSOs. We also detect strong and broad 15 \( \mu \)m CO$_2$ ice absorption features, with a remarkable double-peaked structure. The prominent long-wavelength peak is due to CH$_3$OH-rich ice grains, and is similar to those found in other known massive YSOs. Our IRS observations demonstrate the youth of these objects, and provide the first spectroscopic identification of massive YSOs in the Galactic Center.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Central Molecular Zone (CMZ) is the innermost \( \sim 200 \) pc region of the Milky Way Galaxy. It is a giant molecular cloud complex delineated by a gradient in the CO column density and temperature. The CMZ contains \( \sim 10\% \) of the Galaxy’s molecular gas, and produces 5\%-10\% of its infrared and Lyman continuum luminosities (see a review by Morris & Serabyn 1996, and references therein).

Evidence is mounting that conditions for star formation in the CMZ are significantly different from those in the Galactic disk. The gas pressure and temperature are higher in the CMZ than in the average disk, conditions that favor a larger Jeans mass for star formation and an initial mass function biased toward more massive stars. Furthermore, the presence of strong magnetic fields, tidal shear, and turbulence challenges the standard paradigm of slow gravitational collapse of molecular cloud cores.

The CMZ provides several signposts of in situ star formation, such as H$_2$O masers, (ultra-)compact H\textsc{ii} regions, young OB stars, and young supernova remnants. However, young stellar objects (YSOs or protostars), which are the direct tracers of current star formation, have so far eluded detection in the CMZ. They have been inferred to be present based on infrared photometry (e.g., Felli et al. 2002; Schuller et al. 2006; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2009), but spectroscopic observations are required to confirm their status as a YSO. This is because evolved stars can look like YSOs in broadband photometry, if they are heavily dust attenuated (e.g., Schultheis et al. 2003), a problem toward the Galactic Center (GC), where AV \( \sim 30 \).

In this Letter, we present spectroscopic follow-up observations of YSO candidates in the CMZ, using the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004) onboard the Spitzer Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004). Massive YSO candidates were photometrically selected from the Point Source Catalog (Ramírez et al. 2008), which was extracted from images of the CMZ (Stolovy et al. 2006) made using the Infrared Array Camera (IRAC; Fazio et al. 2004). This high sensitivity and high spatial resolution image has led to a better identification of YSO candidates and their follow-up spectroscopic observations.

2. PHOTOMETRIC SAMPLE SELECTION

The IRAC Point Source Catalog (Ramírez et al. 2008) contains photometry for more than a million point sources in the entire CMZ (2\( ^\circ \times 1.4 \) or 280 \( \times 200 \) pc) in four channels (3.6 \( \mu \)m, 4.5 \( \mu \)m, 5.8 \( \mu \)m, and 8.0 \( \mu \)m). Initially, we selected point sources with [3.6] - [8.0] \( \geq 2.0 \) corresponding to YSOs with $M_\star \geq 2.5M_\odot$ (Whitney et al. 2003, 2004). We further confined the sample to those within $|b| < 15\degr$, resulting in 1207 objects. When we had photometric measurements in at least five bandspasses from IRAC, Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; JHK$_s$; Skrutskie et al. 2006), and/or ISOAGAL (7 \( \mu \)m and 15 \( \mu \)m; Omont et al. 2003), we selected YSO candidates by comparing the observed spectral energy distribution (SED) with YSO models (Robitaille et al. 2006) using an SED fitting tool by Robitaille et al. (2007). Otherwise, we applied additional color constraints from Whitney et al. (2004, [3.6] - [4.5] \( \geq 0.5 \), [4.5] - [5.8] \( \geq 0.5 \), and [5.8] - [8.0] \( \geq 1.0 \) to identify YSO candidates. SED fitting and color selection narrowed down our sample to about 200 objects.

Then, we carefully inspected IRAC three-color images to select objects that are distinct within the IRS slit entrances against
the crowded stellar field and bright local background. Finally, a literature search was carried out for the selected objects, and one Wolf–Rayet star and four OH/IR stars were discarded. Our final sample is composed of 107 objects, among which 25 were previously known YSO candidates from ISOGAL (Felli et al. 2002).

3. IRS OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We obtained spectroscopic data for 107 YSO candidates using the four IRS modules in 2008 May and October. We observed each target in IRS staring mode with four exposures per source (two cycles). Exposure times were 6–120 s in SH (short-high; short wavelength, high resolution), 6–60 s in LH (long-high), 6–14 s in SL (short-low), and 6 s in LL (long low) modules, depending on the source’s brightness, to achieve a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of at least 50 in SH and SL, and a minimum S/N of 10 in LH and LL. We reduced the IRS spectra from the basic calibrated data (BCD) products version S17.2.0 and S18.1.0, using the SSC software packages IRSCLEAN (to correct for bad pixel values) and SPICE (to extract spectra).

Because the GC exhibits strong, spatially variable background, we observed multiple off-source measurements (one cycle, 1 × 1 mapping mode) to derive backgrounds near each of our YSO candidates in the four IRS modules. The on-source and the off-source observations were taken consecutively to minimize zodiacal light and instrumental variations. For the high-resolution observations, we observed and extracted four background positions (∼±1′ offsets in either R.A. or decl.). For the low-resolution observations, we took spectra from two background positions at ∼±1′ away in the direction perpendicular to the slit, and extracted two additional background spectra at positions along the on-source slit. In all of the four different IRS modules, we tried to extract the background spectra at the same position as much as possible, to minimize the flux difference from different modules.

We made an interpolation of a plane in three-dimensional space (positions on the IRAC map and wavelength) to obtain a background spectrum at the source position. We estimated an error in each source’s background from the dispersion of four different background spectra, constructed from alternate sets of three out of the four background pointings.

A complete analysis of spectra for all of our 107 YSO candidates will be presented elsewhere (D. An et al. 2009, in preparation). For the current analysis, we selected three targets (Table 1) from among those showing characteristic IRS spectra from different orders in high-resolution modules were averaged using a linear ramp. After background subtraction, the SH and LH spectra were scaled down in flux to LL over the common wavelength interval for SSTGC 797384 and SSTGC 803187. The SL spectra were then scaled to SH. For these sources, we assumed that the flux mismatch is due to narrower slit entrances in SH and SL. For SSTGC 524665, we used the SL as a basis for the scaling, because our observations in LL and LH were contaminated by extended emission from a nearby (∼10′′ southwest of the target) bright source on the 24 μm image using the Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS) (Carey et al. 2009; Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2009). The background for this target is likely to be oversubtracted, because the target lies on a dark cloud with high extinction, while background spectra were taken at brighter spots. The potential problem of the background subtraction results in H2 lines (arising from the surrounding sky) appearing in absorption in SSTGC 524665. In the following initial analysis, we did not use LH data for all targets, but focused on the spectral features in other modules.

4. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Figure 1 displays background-subtracted spectra of SSTGC 797384, in SL (λ < 11.2 μm), SH (11.2 μm < λ < 19.3 μm), and LL (λ > 19.3 μm). The observed spectrum is characterized by an extremely red spectral energy distribution, strong and deep silicate absorption, and several molecular gas- and solid-phase absorptions.

Figure 2 shows gas-phase molecular absorptions at 13.71 μm (C2H2ν2 = 1 – 0), 14.05 μm (HCN ν2 = 1 – 0), and 14.97 μm (CO2 ν2 = 1 – 0), detected in three YSO candidates. To derive

---

**Figure 1.** Composite IRS spectrum of SSTGC 797384. The spectrum is from SL at λ < 11.2 μm, SH at 11.2 μm < λ < 19.3 μm, and LL at λ > 19.3 μm. This composite spectrum is characterized by an extremely red SED [α = d(log(λFλ))/d(log(λ)) ≈ 2], strong and deep silicate absorption, and several molecular gas- and solid-phase absorptions.
models are shown in solid lines. The excitation temperature ($T_{\text{ex}}$) and column density ($N_{\text{col}}$) for each molecular species, we used model spectra from Cami et al. (2006) based on HITRAN04 linelist (Rothman et al. 2005) for C$_2$H$_2$ and HCN, and those based on HITRAN (Rothman et al. 1996) for CO$_2$. A second-order polynomial was used to set a local continuum at 13.30 $\mu$m $\leq \lambda$ $\leq$ 14.55 $\mu$m for C$_2$H$_2$ and HCN, and 14.77 $\mu$m $\leq \lambda$ $\leq$ 15.06 $\mu$m for CO$_2$. We did not include isotopes in the computation because of the limited parameter span in the model grids. However, even a relatively high fraction of isotopes in GC ($^{12}$C/$^{13}$C $\approx$ 23; Wannier 1980) has a negligible impact in the model fitting.

We first made a fit to C$_2$H$_2$, and subtracted its contribution to the absorption near weaker HCN bands. Best-fitting model $T_{\text{ex}}$ and $N_{\text{col}}$ were found by searching the minimum $\chi^2$ of the fits over 100 K $\leq T_{\text{ex}}$ $\leq$ 1000 K in steps of $\Delta T_{\text{ex}} = 100$ K, and 15 $\leq$ log $N_{\text{col}}$ $\leq$ 18 for C$_2$H$_2$, 16 $\leq$ log $N_{\text{col}}$ $\leq$ 18 for HCN, and 16 $\leq$ log $N_{\text{col}}$ $\leq$ 22 for CO$_2$ with intervals of 0.1 dex. The solid lines in Figure 2 show our best-fitting models, and their $T_{\text{ex}}$ and $N_{\text{col}}$ are listed in Table 1. Errors in these parameters were estimated from $\Delta \chi^2$, where 1$\sigma$ measurement errors were taken from the scatter of flux in the spectra. Systematic errors from background subtraction and nodding differences were then added in quadrature. We tested with varying covering factors, but found that best-fitting case yields its value equal to or close to unity.

These gaseous bandheads have been detected in absorption toward YSOs, tracing the warm and dense gas in the circumstellar disk and/or envelopes (e.g., Lahuis & van Dishoeck 2000; Boonman et al. 2003; Knez et al. 2009). They are sometimes detected in the photosphere and/or the circumstellar envelope of carbon-rich asymptotic giant branch stars (e.g., Aoki et al. 1999), but carbon stars have not been found in the GC region (e.g., Guglielmo et al. 1998).

The above estimates are based on models with a Doppler parameter $b = 3$ km s$^{-1}$. The line width measurements of these molecules for several massive YSOs and that of the strongest H$_2$CO absorption components near SSTGC 803187 are in the range of $b = 1$–7 km s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Mehringer 1995; van der Tak et al. 2000; Knez et al. 2009). There are limited model grids at $b = 10$ km s$^{-1}$ for C$_2$H$_2$ and HCN, but $T_{\text{ex}}$ and $N_{\text{col}}$ were generally found within 2$\sigma$ from those at $b = 3$ km s$^{-1}$.

Figure 3 shows optical depth spectra of our sources (gray) at $\sim$ 15.2 $\mu$m, where the strong and wide CO$_2$ ice absorption is seen. We set a local continuum over 14.5 $\mu$m $\leq \lambda$ $\leq$ 16.5 $\mu$m using a third-order polynomial, and followed the prescription in Pontoppidan et al. (2008) to decompose the absorption profile with five laboratory spectral components: polar (CO$_2$:H$_2$O = 14 : 100 at 10 K; dotted line, centered at $\sim$ 15.3 $\mu$m), apolar (CO:CO$_2$ = 100 : 70 at 10 K; dotted line, centered at $\sim$ 15.1 $\mu$m), pure CO$_2$ (15 K; blue shaded), diluted CO$_2$ (CO$_2$:CO$_2$ = 100 : 4 at 10 K; black solid line), and 15.4 $\mu$m shoulder CO$_2$ ice profile (modeled with two Gaussians in wavenumber space; orange shaded). We found a best-fitting set of models from the nonlinear least-squares fitting routine MPFIT (Markwardt 2009). The green solid line represents the sum of all of the ice components, and the CO$_2$ ice column density in Table 1 was estimated from the integrated absorption, adopting the integrated line strength $A = 1.1 \times 10^{-17}$cm molecule$^{-1}$ (Gerakines et al. 1995). Unlike the CO$_2$ absorption profiles observed in quiescent molecular clouds (e.g., Whittet et al. 2009), the 15.2 $\mu$m band in Figure 3 shows a remarkable double-peaked profile. Double-peaked profiles are commonly observed toward YSOs (e.g., Gerakines et al. 1999; Pontoppidan et al. 2008), and are ascribed to pure CO$_2$ ices resulting from crystallization of heated H$_2$O-rich ices. However, the double peaks toward the GC candidate YSOs are centered at longer wavelengths (15.15 $\mu$m and 15.4 $\mu$m versus 15.10 $\mu$m and 15.25 $\mu$m), and result from CO-rich (15.15 $\mu$m peak) and CH$_3$OH-rich ices (15.4 $\mu$m peak; see Figure 3).

The strength of the 15.4 $\mu$m peak is similar to that of the well-studied embedded massive YSO W33A (Gerakines et al. 1999, bottom panel in Figure 3). It is ascribed to a Lewis acid–base interaction of CO$_2$ (the Lewis acid) with CH$_3$OH (Dartois et al. 1999a). Other species could be acting as a base as well, but CH$_2$OH is preferred due to its high abundance as CO-rich (15.15 $\mu$m peak) and CH$_3$OH-rich ices (15.4 $\mu$m peak; see Figure 3).

The origin of the large quantities of CH$_3$OH in the previously studied massive YSOs is not fully understood (Dartois et al. 1999a), so far all lines of sight with high solid CH$_3$OH abundances are associated with star formation, strengthening the idea that the sources studied in this Letter are indeed YSOs. To derive abundances of these molecular absorptions with respect to the hydrogen and solid H$_2$O column densities, we followed the procedure in Boogert et al. (2008) to fit the H$_2$O ice and silicate absorption profiles to SL and LL spectra. Figure 4 shows an example for SSTGC 797384. We used the silicate absorption profiles in the line of sight to the GC (GCS 3 spectrum; Kemper et al. 2004) plus a laboratory spectrum of pure amorphous H$_2$O ice at $T = 10$ K (Hudgins et al. 1980; Dartois et al. 1999b).
We simultaneously fit a second-order polynomial for a pseudo-continuum (i.e., including corrections for the continuous extinction), the silicate profile, and H$_2$O ice absorption to the 5 $\mu$m, and 15 $\mu$m, and all unresolved emission lines, before performing a nonlinear least-squares fit.

Best-fitting parameters are listed in Table 1. We obtained a total hydrogen column density from the optical depth of the 9.7 $\mu$m silicate absorption, assuming $A_V/\tau_{9.7} = 9$ (Roche & Aitken 1985) and $N_{H}/A_V \approx 1.87 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{mag}^{-1}$ (Bohlin et al. 1978) at $R_V = 3.1$. The H$_2$ column density was then approximated by $N_{H} = N_{H}/2$. The ice column density for the 13 $\mu$m libration H$_2$O absorption was estimated from the integrated absorption of the best-fitting H$_2$O model. The H$_2$O ice column density from the 6 $\mu$m bending mode, fit separately after fixing the continuum and extinction to previously found values, is an upper limit because the 6 $\mu$m absorption is not solely due to H$_2$O ice. We adopted the integrated line strengths $A = 1.2 \times 10^{-17} \text{cm molecule}^{-1}$ for the bending mode and $A = 3.1 \times 10^{-17} \text{cm molecule}^{-1}$ for the librational mode (Gerakines et al. 1995). Errors in these parameters (Table 1) are formal estimates made by varying the range of wavelengths that we used for the 9.7 $\mu$m silicate fitting, or by taking a few different ways of setting the continuum.

The gas-phase molecular abundances relative to H$_2$  are listed in Table 1. Our derived abundances of $\sim 10^{-7}$–$10^{-6}$ for C$_2$H$_2$ and HCN are comparable to those found for massive YSOs (Lahuis & van Dishoeck 2000; Knez et al. 2009), although abundances for SSTGC 524665 have large errors. Intervening molecular clouds in the line of sight to the GC are less likely the main cause of these absorptions, because the average HCN abundance of $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ (Greaves & Nyman 1996) toward Sgr B2(M) is an order of magnitude lower than our measurements. Our gas-phase CO$_2$ abundances are an order of magnitude larger than those found toward massive YSOs in Boonman et al. (2003), but our gas to solid abundance ratios for CO$_2$ are consistent with their estimates ($10^{-1}$–$10^{-2}$). Our abundance of CO$_2$ ice relative to H$_2$O ice is within the range (0.10–0.23) found toward massive YSOs (Gerakines et al. 1999).

Finally, Table 1 lists our estimates on $A_V$ from the 9.7 $\mu$m silicate absorption and those from Schuchtheis et al. (2009), based on the 2MASS and IRAC color–magnitude diagrams of GC red giant branch stars within 2$^\circ$ of the source (Schultheis et al. 2009).
The optical depths for our targets were scaled in the bottom panel for the absorption profile between our sources (gray) and massive YSO W33A (blue). The bottom panel shows a comparison of the ice line, $15\mu m$, pure (blue shaded), diluted (black solid line), $15.4\mu m$ shoulder (orange-shaded), and the sum of these absorption components (green line). The bottom panel shows a comparison of the ice absorption profile between our sources (gray) and massive YSO W33A (blue).

The optical depths for our targets were scaled in the bottom panel for comparison.

Figure 4. Fit to the $H_2O$ ice and silicate absorption for SSTGC 797384. Top: SL and LL data (gray), with a best-fitting pseudo-continuum (black line). Bottom: decomposition of optical depth spectra (gray) with the silicate (red) and the laboratory $H_2O$ ice profiles (blue). Black line represents a sum of these two components.

To summarize, we presented the evidence from IRS spectra for the first spectroscopic identification of massive YSOs in the GC. In our next paper (D. An 2009, in preparation), we will present the results for all 107 YSO candidates, together with additional data from millimeter to radio observations, and use them to better understand the nature of these embedded sources.
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