Genetically-engineered “all-in-one” vaccine platform for cancer immunotherapy
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Abstract  An essential step for cancer vaccination is to break the immunosuppression and elicit a tumor-specific immunity. A major hurdle against cancer therapeutic vaccination is the insufficient immune stimulation of the cancer vaccines and lack of a safe and efficient adjuvant for human use. We discovered a novel cancer immunostimulant, trichosanthin (TCS), that is a clinically used protein drug in China, and developed a well-adaptable protein-engineering method for making recombinant protein vaccines by fusion of an antigenic peptide, TCS, and a cell-penetrating peptide (CPP), termed an “all-in-one” vaccine, for transcutaneous cancer immunization. The TCS adjuvant effect on antigen presentation was investigated and the antitumor immunity of the vaccines was investigated using different tumor models. The vaccines were prepared via a facile recombinant method. The vaccines induced the maturation of DCs that subsequently primed CD8+ T cells. The TCS-based immunostimulation was associated with the STING pathway. The general applicability of this genetic engineering strategy was demonstrated with various tumor antigens (i.e., legumain and TRP2 antigenic peptides) and tumor models.
1. Introduction

Cancer immunotherapy has been booming in recent years. The primary effect of cancer immunotherapy is to activate the suppressed immune system and reinstall the powerful immunity to annihilate the tumors. Therapeutic cancer vaccination has been a long-sought goal in the field of immunotherapy. Despite the enthusiastic input to cancer vaccine development, the clinical success of cancer therapeutic vaccines is limited, because of their suboptimal immunogenicity. As a result, cancer therapeutic vaccines are typically the products of dendritic cells (DC) that are pre-challenged by a tumor antigen before infusion to the patients. It is well accepted that the better adjuvants than the currently licensed are needed, which should be potent enough to break the immunotolerance and elicit the desired immunity. Although many adjuvants have been explored for cancer immunotherapy, most of them are poorly druggable due to lack of biosafety scrutiny. So far, only five adjuvants have been approved by U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for human use.

Trichosanthin (TCS) is a type I ribosome-inactivating protein, derived from the root of Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim. that has been used as a Chinese herb Tian Hua Fen for over a thousand years. Trichosanthin Injection is an approved gynecological drug in China to treat hydatidiform mole, extrauterine pregnancy, stillbirth, as well as abortion. TCS also bears the potent antitumor activities. The primary antitumor mechanism of TCS is its ribosome-inactivating effect. We have demonstrated its anticancer potential in various tumor models including fibrosarcoma, glioma, and drug-resistant lung tumor. However, the native TCS is hardly clinically serviceable as an i.v. administered cancer drug candidate because of its short half-life and poor tumor distribution and cell penetration ability. We previously found that its interaction with effector T cells also played a role in its antitumor effect, but its feasibility in cancer immunization has not been investigated yet.

We proposed a novel perception of TCS-assisted cancer vaccination and developed a general method for preparing a multifunctional genetically-engineered vaccine, in which a cell-penetrating peptide and tumor-specific antigenic peptide were introduced to each terminus of TCS, thus constructing an “all-in-one” including all the functional components (i.e., tumor antigen, adjuvant, delivery-enhanced motif) of a vaccine.

Legumain, known as asparagine endopeptidase, is a tumor-associated protease in solid tumors and overexpressed in endothelial cells of neovasculature and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). Legumain is closely related to the tumorigenesis and progression, and can serve as a potential indicator for the transition from inflammation to cancer, a prognostic and metastatic biomarker, as well as a drug target. Its therapeutic value as a tumor antigen for vaccination targeting TAMs has been revealed.
Meilun Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Dalian, China). Murine GM-CSF and murine IL-4 purchased from Novus Biologics (R&D System, USA). Anti-MHC-I, anti-MHCII, anti-mannose receptor (CD206), anti-lectin, anti-TNF-α, anti-TGF-β, anti-IRF3, and anti-STING (anti-TMEM173) antibodies were from Abcam (UK). Anti-CD8 alpha and anti-Foxp3 antibodies were obtained from Novus Biologics (R&D System, USA). Anti-CD11c-PerCP-Cy5.5, anti-CD80-FTTC, anti-CD86-PE, anti-CD3 alpha-PerCP, anti-CD4-FTTC, and anti-CD8-PE antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences (USA). Murine TNF-α, TGF-β, IL-2, and IL-10 ELISA Kit were purchased from Shanghai Dakewe Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Mouse IFN-γ ELISA Kit was purchased from R&D Systems (USA). All other reagents were of analytical grade from Sinapharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.2. Cell lines and mice

Murine colon cancer cell line CT26, murine B16-F10 melanoma cells, and dendritic cell line DC2.4 were obtained from Shanghai Cell Bank of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured at 37 °C under 5% CO2 in complete medium consisting of RPMI-1640 medium or DMEM, supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 μg/mL), and streptomycin (100 μg/mL). Female BALB/c mice (6–8 weeks) and female C57BL/6 mice (6–8 weeks) were obtained from Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (SLAC) Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), and housed at the facility for one week before the experiments. All the animal experimental procedures were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC), Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica (SIMM), Chinese Academy of Sciences. (Note: SIMM is an institution with AAALAC International accreditation.)

2.3. Protein construction

The sequence of low molecular weight protamine (LMWP, VSRRRRRGGRRRRR) was added to the N-terminus of TCS and the legumain antigenic sequence (EDVTPENFLAVLR) to the C-terminus of TCS by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to prepare the recombinant gene encoding the fusion protein of LMWP-TCS-legumain (rLTL). The rLTL sequence was subcloned to an expression vector pMBX10 at Ndel and Xhol site to prepare the pLTL plasmid, using a method modified from our previous report. The control fusion proteins, i.e., TCS-legumain (rTCS-leg) and rTCS, were also prepared. Similarly, the TCS-TRP2 (rTCS-TRP2) and LMWP-TCS-TRP2 (rLTT) fusion proteins were prepared, respectively. The TRP2 sequence (SVYDFFVWL) was added to the C-terminus of TCS and the LMWP sequence to the N-terminus.

2.4. Protein expression

The recombinant plasmids were transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3). Bacteria cultures were incubated at 37 °C in the LB medium containing 100 μg/mL ampicillin sodium until the optical density at 600 nm reached 0.5–0.8. Then the target protein expression was induced at 25 °C overnight by the addition of IPTG at the final concentration of 0.3 mmol/L.

2.5. Protein purification

The rTCS, rTCS-leg, rLTL, rTCS-TRP2, and rLTT were expressed and purified using the IMPACT system (NEB) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications. Briefly, the induced bacterial culture was harvested by centrifugation at 8900 × g (Sorvall ST16, Thermo Scientific, USA) for 3 min and the bacteria were suspended in the column buffer (20 mmol/L HEPES-Na, 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.5). The suspensions were sonicated (400 W, 30 min) and centrifuged at 16,000 × g at 4 °C for 30 min. The supernatant containing the soluble target proteins was loaded on the pre-equilibrated chitin gravity column at a speed of approximately 1 mL/min. The columns were flushed sequentially with 20-column volumes of buffer to remove the unbound proteins, and 3-bed volumes of cleavage buffer (the column buffer containing 50 mM/L cytochrome) to perform the on-column cleavage. The columns were then incubated with the cleavage buffer at 4 °C for 16 h, followed by elution and collection of the target proteins.

The proteins were purified using FPLC (AKTApurifier 10, GE Healthcare, USA). After purification by a desalting column (GE Healthcare, USA) with PBS buffer (pH 7.2), small molecules were removed. The expression of the soluble protein was examined by BCA microplate protein assay kit. The final products were characterized using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, MALDI-TOF-MS (MALDI TOF/TOF 5800 analyzer, AB Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA), and size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 75, GE healthcare). The LPS content in the purified recombinant proteins was monitored by an Endotoxin Assay Kit (Xiamen Bioendo Technology Co., Ltd., China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The proteins used in vivo experiment were all under the endotoxin limit in FDA guideline for non-intrathecal drug products.

2.6. Preparation of the LMWP-BSA-leg

BSA was activated by SMCC. In brief, SMCC (20 mg/mL in DMSO) was added dropwise to the BSA solution (20 mg/mL in PBS, pH 7.2) at a molar ratio of 2:1 and reacted under magnetic stirring for 1 h. The activated BSA was purified using FPLC (AKTApurifier 10, GE Healthcare, USA) equipped with a desalting column (GE Healthcare, USA) with PBS buffer (pH 7.2), small molecules were removed. The expression of the soluble protein was examined by BCA microplate protein assay kit. The final products were characterized using SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, MALDI-TOF-MS (MALDI TOF/TOF 5800 analyzer, AB Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA), and size exclusion chromatography (Superdex 75, GE healthcare). The LPS content in the purified recombinant proteins was monitored by an Endotoxin Assay Kit (Xiamen Bioendo Technology Co., Ltd., China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The proteins used in vivo experiment were all under the endotoxin limit in FDA guideline for non-intrathecal drug products.

2.7. In vitro cytotoxicity assay

DC2.4 cells were used to investigate the cytotoxicity of the protein vaccines using a standard MTT assay. The cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 5000 cells per well. After exposure to the proteins for 48 h, the cell viability was measured. All cytotoxicity assay experiments were performed in quintuplicate and the cell viability was calculated using Eq. (1).

\[
\text{Cell viability} (\%) = \frac{(A_{\text{test}} - A_{\text{blank}})}{(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{blank}})} \times 100
\]
2.8. Cellular uptake

The DC2.4 cells were seeded in the 12-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cell/well and cultured for 24 h. The proteins were labeled by rhodamine B isothiocyanate. The DC2.4 cells were incubated with the proteins for 4 h at 37 °C. Subsequently, the cells rinsed with cold PBS, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). Fluorescent images were observed with a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Germany). In addition, the cellular uptake efficiency was determined by using a flow cytometer (BD Pharmingen, USA).

2.9. Generation of mouse BMDCs

BMDCs were prepared as a previous report¹ with minor modifications. Briefly, the femurs and tibiae from the 6–8 weeks BALB/c mice or C57BL/6 mice were used to collect the bone marrow cells using PBS buffer flushing, and the RBC lysis buffer (Sigma–Aldrich, USA) was added to deplete the erythrocytes. The collected precursors were cultured in DMEM complete medium containing 20 ng/mL of recombinant murine GM-CSF and 10 ng/mL of IL-4 for 3 days and the nonadherent cells were discarded. Following additional culture for 4 days, the loosely adherent BMDCs were harvested and used as immature DCs (iDCs). The purity of iDCs was measured to be >85% by detecting the fluorescent-labeled anti-CD11c monoclonal antibody staining using flow cytometry.

2.10. Isolation of murine T cells

T cells were isolated from the spleens of the BALB/c mice or C57BL/6 mice and purified using lymphocyte separation medium. Briefly, the cell suspension was prepared by cutting the spleen into small pieces that were then extruded through a 70-μm cell strainer and the inguinal lymph nodes and spleen were dissected to prepare the cell suspension by extruding through a 70-μm cell strainer (Falcon, BD, USA) using a syringe plunger. After centrifugation, the lymphocytes were collected and suspended in the complete T cell medium.

2.11. Effects of the vaccines on BMDC maturation and antigen presentation assay

The BMDCs were prepared as described above. The BMDCs were seeded at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells per well in a 12-well plate and incubated with 670 ng/mL LPS (positive control) or different vaccine formulations for 18 h. The cells were subsequently labeled with PerCP-Cy5.5-labeled anti-mouse CD11c, FITC-labeled anti-mouse CD80, and PE-labeled anti-mouse CD86 monoclonal antibodies for 30 min at 4 °C. After wash, the cells were subjected to flow cytometric analysis. For the antigen presentation assay, the BMDCs treated with various vaccines for 18 h were stained with MHC I and MHC II monoclonal antibodies and then subjected to flow cytometry.

2.12. Effects of proteins on T-cell proliferation

The responder T cells isolated from the spleens of the BALB/c mice C57BL/6 mice were cocultured with the BMDCs that were pretreated with different vaccines for 18 h at a ratio of 5:1 (T/DC) for 3 days in the complete RPMI-1640 medium. The IFN-γ level in the supernatants was measured using an ELISA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.13. In vitro cytotoxic T cell killing assay

BMDCs were prepared as described above. The BMDCs were seeded at a density of 2 × 10⁵ cells per well in a 6-well plate and incubated with 670 ng/mL LPS (positive control), rLTT, or varying vaccine formulations for 18 h. These pretreated BMDCs were subsequently co-cultured with the responder T cells isolated from the spleens of the C57BL/6 mice at a ratio of 5:1 (T/DC) for 3 days in the complete RPMI-1640 medium. Meanwhile, B16-F10 cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 2000 cells per well. Then the cocultured cells (T/DC) were collected and added to the B16-F10 cells at a ratio of 50:1 for 48-h incubation. Subsequently, the non-adherent T/DC cells were washed away and the viability of the adherent B16-F10 cells was measured according to a standard MTT assay.

2.14. In vivo antigen presentation assay

At 24-h post subcutaneous immunization, the mice were killed and the inguinal lymph nodes and spleen were dissected to prepare the cell suspension by extruding through a 70-μm cell strainer (Falcon, BD, USA) using a syringe plunger. The cell suspension was stained with anti-CD11c-FITC, anti-MHCI-FITC, and anti-MHCII-PE. The matured antigen-presenting cells were stained with anti-CD86-PE and measured by flow cytometry.

2.15. In vivo antitumor immunization

To determine the therapeutic efficacy of the vaccines, the BALB/c mice were subcutaneously injected with 0.1 mL of cell suspension containing 4 × 10⁵ CT26 cells in the back close to the right shoulder. Five days after tumor inoculation, the hair on the back skin was shaved (an area of 3 cm²). A microneedle array (Nanomed Skincare, Cupertino, USA) was applied to the naked skin and maintained in place for 2 min. After removal of the microneedle, the vaccines (recombinant proteins 50 μg, equal to 3.3 μg of antigen peptide) were applied to the same region. After 3 h, the skin was washed with saline. The microneedle-assisted immunization was carried out for 3 times. There were five mice per group. The tumor size was measured using a vernier caliper and calculated by Eq. (2). The body weight was also monitored.

\[
\text{Volume} = L \times W^2 / 2
\]

At the experimental endpoint of transcutaneous vaccination, the spleen was collected from the immunized mice, and the T cells from the spleen of each group were isolated as above mentioned. The T cells were then co-stained with anti-CD3 alpha-PerCP, anti-CD4-FITC, and anti-CD8-PE antibodies, and the percentage of CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ cells among the total T cells was determined by flow cytometry. Meanwhile, the isolated T cells were cultured for 24 h at 37 °C, and then treated with various protein formulations for 24 h at 37 °C. The cytokine levels of TNF-α, IL-2, and IL-10 in the coculture medium were measured by using the ELISA kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

At the experimental endpoint, the tumors and the organs were dissected. The tumor growth inhibition rate was calculated based...
3. Results

3.1. Preparation, cellular uptake and biocompatibility of recombinant protein vaccines

The plasmids pMXB10-TCS-leg and pMXB10-LTL were constructed to express TCS-lgmn$_{108-120}$ (rTCS-leg) and LMWP-TCS-lgmn$_{108-120}$ (rLTL) fusion proteins, respectively, using the IMPACT (intein-mediated purification with affinity chitin-binding tag) system (Fig. 1A and Supporting Information Fig. S1). The purified rTCS or rTCS-leg or rLTL were characterized by SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, size exclusion chromatography, and matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS, Fig. 1B–D).

The rLTL displayed a much higher uptake efficiency in DC2.4 dendritic cells (DC) than rTCS and rTCS-leg (Fig. 1E and F), due to the LMWP-enhanced intracellular delivery. CPP has been considered as a potent delivery tool but with non-specific nature in the blood stream delivery.

The cutaneous administration takes advantage of the penetration capacity of CPP, while avoiding the off-target to the normal organs as often seen in the i.v. injection, and thereby, CPP has been actively explored for cutaneous delivery.

The recombinant vaccines were not cytotoxic to DC at a dose up to 1 μmol/L (Fig. 1G), and thus could be safely applied as DC vaccine.

3.2. Antigen-presenting effect

The co-stimulatory factors of CD80 and CD86, serving as the indicators of DC maturation, are necessary to induce a potent tumor-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) response. Both CD80 and CD86 in the bone-marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs) were significantly upregulated by the rLTL treatment compared to the control groups (Fig. 2A), as potent as the positive group of lipopolysaccharide (LPS).

Antigen presentation is mediated by major histocompatibility complex (MHC) molecules on the antigen-presenting cells (APCs) that display antigenic peptide fragments to the effector T cells. The BMDCs treated with rLTL showed an increased level of the MHC molecules (especially MHC class II, Fig. 2B). Moreover, the interferon-γ (IFN-γ) secretion from the CD8$^+$ T cells primed with the rLTL-treated BMDCs was significantly higher than other groups (Fig. 2C), indicating the effective activation of CD8$^+$ T cells.

The antigenic legumain peptide is a short sequence (13 amino acids). Typically, due to the small size, peptide antigens are not sufficient to elicit robust immune response. The immunogenicity of peptide antigens could be enhanced by conjugation with a carrier. In order to fully verify the adjuvant function of TCS, a control of LMWP-BSA-leg, in which BSA with non-adjuvant effect substituted TCS, was prepared. It was tested and the results showed LMWP-BSA-leg showed a minor effect on DC maturation, with an efficacy much lower than rLTL (Supporting Information Fig. S2).

There was a significantly different efficacy between rTCS-leg and TCS/leg. It can be explained as follows. First, the binding to MHC molecules occurs intracellularly, and thereby, the peptide epitopes must be delivered through the cell membrane and transported to the endoplasmic reticulum to the newly synthesized MHC molecules. Second, the immunogenicity of leg-peptide was enhanced by conjugation with the adjuvant TCS. Third, TCS can be transported to the endoplasmic reticulum to the newly synthesized MHC molecules. Second, the immunogenicity of leg-peptide was enhanced by conjugation with the adjuvant TCS. Third, TCS can be transported to the endoplasmic reticulum to the newly synthesized MHC molecules.
bind with specific receptors on the cell membrane and enhance cellular uptake\(^2\), and the fusion rTCS-leg could yield a higher cellular uptake than the leg-peptide in a mixture of TCS/leg.

### 3.3. Antitumor immunotherapy studies

The treatment efficacy of the “all-in-one” rLTL vaccine was examined in a CT26 colon tumor-bearing murine model (BALB/c mice) using microneedle-assisted transcutaneous vaccination. The rLTL vaccine exhibited the highest efficacy against tumor growth (82%), while the rTCS-leg group without LMWP had a tumor inhibition rate of 66%, and the mixture rTCS/leg was 48% and the antigenic peptide alone was merely 8% (Fig. 3A–C).

T cell-based cellular immunity is the key mechanism responsible for cancer therapeutic vaccination and the activation of effector CD8\(^+\) T cells is a prerequisite for eliminating the target

---

**Figure 1** Characterization of the rLTL vaccine. (A) Plasmid encoding rLTL. (B) The SDS-PAGE electrophoresis. (C) Purity analysis of rTCS, rTCS-leg, and rLTL by size exclusion chromatography; retention time is related to the protein size. (D) MALDI-TOF-MS analysis. (E) Fluorescence images of the uptake of the fusion proteins in DC (scale bar, 50 \(\mu\)m). (F) The uptake efficiency of the protein vaccines in DC. (G) The fusion proteins showed minor cytotoxicity on DC at the tested dose ranges. Data are presented as mean ± SD (\(n=3\)). \(^{***}p<0.001.\)
cells. The amount of CD8\(^+\) T cells in the spleen from the mice after treatment were measured to be 9.2% for rLTL, compared to 6.8% for the leg-peptide without TCS adjuvant, and the percentage of CD4\(^+\) T cells was 18.8% for rLTL, and 15.4% for the leg-peptide (Fig. 3D). It indicated that the rLTL efficiently induced a T cell-mediated immunity. Furthermore, the cytokine analysis in the dissected tumors showed the significantly higher secretion of antitumor IFN-\(\gamma\), tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) (TNF-\(\alpha\)), and interleukin-2 (IL-2) induced by the rLTL treatment than other immunization (Fig. 3E). The antitumor CD8\(^+\) T cells and M1 macrophages are characterized by the upregulated IFN-\(\gamma\) and TNF-\(\alpha\) that are cytotoxic to the cancer cells. IL-2 plays a key role in driving the proliferation and activation of T and natural killer (NK) cells that possess cytolytic activity\(^{23}\). IL-10 is a major mediator for immune tolerance\(^{24}\), which was seen a decrease after rLTL treatment (Fig. 3E).

To verify the antigen-specific cellular immunity responses, the isolated T cells from the spleens of the immunized mice were primed with the vaccines again, and resulted in the upregulated TNF-\(\alpha\) and IL-2 levels but a reduction of IL-10 (Supporting Information Fig. S3).

TAM highly expressed legumain, and thus was the major target of the legumain-based vaccines\(^{13,14}\). The rLTL treatment led to the significant TAM annihilation, as represented by the reduction of the TAM biomarkers—mannose receptors (CD206) and legumain (Figs. 3F and 4, Supporting Information Fig. S5). TGF-\(\beta\) mainly secreted from TAM is a driving factor in tumor progression and exerts systemic immune suppression and inhibits host immuno-surveillance\(^{25,26}\). The rLTL treatment reduced the TGF-\(\beta\) expression (Fig. 3F), demonstrating the effective annihilation of TAM. By contrast, there was an increase in the protumor TNF-\(\alpha\) production (Fig. 3F), indicating the enhanced efficacy of immunotherapy.

Regulatory T cells (Treg) are a subset of T cells that mediate immunosuppression in tumor microenvironment. Our results showed that Foxp3, a Treg biomarker, was downregulated after vaccination, and the rLTL treatment led to the lowest expression among all the groups (Fig. 4). Importantly, the CD8\(^+\) T cells in the tumor were significantly increased by the rLTL treatment (Fig. 4), suggesting the alleviation of immunosuppression and the enhanced CTL effect.

The immunological milieu of the skin is an ideal site for cutaneous vaccine delivery because there are abundant epidermis DCs (i.e., Langerhans cells), accounting for a network of immune cells that underlie 25% of the total surface area in human skin\(^{27}\). As a self-administration technology, microneedle-assisted transcutaneous immunization has the advantages of painless and easy handling and accessibility, and therefore, it is a useful method for cancer vaccination\(^{28}\).

Furthermore, we also investigated the anticancer effectiveness of a traditional administration route—subcutaneous vaccination at a 1/10 dose of topical vaccination. There was a lower dosage setting used in subcutaneous vaccination considering the higher delivery efficiency of subcutaneous injection. Fig. 3G shows the survival curve of the mice and the rLTL group with a significantly prolonged survival time. Overall, these results demonstrated the efficacy of the rLTL vaccine and indicated such an “all-in-one” vaccine was promising for cancer immunotherapy.

Interestingly, in a tumor challenge study following vaccination, the mice that were pretreated with rLTL showed a slower tumor
development compared to the control group (Supporting Information Fig. S4). It suggested that TAM could also play an important role in the transplanted tumor formation and the preventive TAM annihilation retard the formation of tumor.

3.4. TCS-TRP2 vaccination for immunotherapy

To further demonstrate the universality of this TCS-adjuvant vaccination strategy, we used a tyrosinase-related protein-2 (TRP2) peptide as another model antigen and developed a cell-penetrating LMWP-TCS-TRP2 fusion protein vaccine (termed rLTT). TRP2 is a highly expressed by melanoma cells and can be recognized by the melanoma-specific CTL, and the TRP2 sequence (SVYDFFVWL) has been used as a promising cancer antigen29,30.

The in vitro results showed an increased amount of matured DC and an enhanced level of MHC molecules induced by rLTT treatment (Supporting Information Fig. S6A and S6B), which revealed the potent antigen presentation ability. As a result, the rLTT-primed BMDCs efficiently elicited the antitumor IFN-γ secretion from the CD8+ T cells (Supporting Information Fig. S6C), suggesting the activation of the effector CD8+ T cells. Importantly, the effector T cell-mediated antigen-specific cytotoxicity was observed in the melanoma B16-F10 cells that overexpressed the antigen TRP2. The T cells isolated from the spleen primed by the rLTT-treated BMDCs were able to efficiently kill the B16-F10 cell (Supporting Information Fig. S6D).

For in vivo study, microneedle-assisted transcutaneous vaccination was carried out in the B16-F10 melanoma model (C57BL/6 mice). The tumor inhibition rate in each group was 18% (TRP2), 39.5% (rTCS), 52.7% (rTCS/TRP2), 60.6% (rTCS-TRP2), or 68.1% (rLTT), respectively (Fig. 5A–C). Due to the highly aggressive malignancy, no mice in the PBS control group survived longer than 16 days, and by contrast, the median survival of rLTT groups was 26 days (Fig. 5D). The amount of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the spleen and the intratumoral levels of the protumor

![Figure 3](image-url)
Figure 4  Immunofluorescence staining of the TAM biomarkers of legumain and CD206, as well as the FoxP3$^+$ Treg and CD8$^+$ T cells in the tumors after treatment. Scale bar, 50 μm.
cytokines (e.g., TNF-α, IL-2, and IL-10) were also remarkably increased by rLTT treatment (Fig. 5E and F). These results indicated the successful induction of CTL-mediated antitumor immunity.

The tumor immune microenvironment was also examined after immunization. The expression of TAM-associated markers CD206 and legumain in the tumor were diminished after rLTT vaccination (Fig. 5G and H), suggesting the reduction of TAM. Accordingly, the protumor TGF-β, primarily secreted from TAM, was reduced (Fig. 5H). Moreover, the Tregs were suppressed while CD8⁺ T cells were increased in the tumor tissues (Fig. 5I). It demonstrated that rLTT vaccination remodeled the tumor microenvironment by activating the CTL immunity while reducing the immunosuppressive TAM and Treg.

3.5. The DC activation pathway

The matured DC in the lymph nodes and spleens was analyzed. It showed that the matured DC (marked by CD86) was significantly increased after vaccination in the colon tumor animal model, compared to the PBS control group (Fig. 6A and B). Stimulator of interferon genes (STING) pathway plays an essential role in antitumor vaccination. It was found that both STING and its downstream factor interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) were

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5**  The rLTT vaccine for immunotherapy in the B16-F10 melanoma-bearing C57BL/6 mice. (A) Treatment efficacy of microneedle-assisted transcutaneous immunization (n = 5). (B) Tumor weight at the treatment endpoint. (C) Inhibition rate of tumor growth. (D) Survival curve and median survival time of the mice receiving transcutaneous vaccination (n = 10). (E) CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells in the spleens of the mice after rLTT treatment were increased. (F) Cytokine levels in the tumors after microneedle-assisted immunization treatment. (G) Western blotting assay and (H) immunofluorescence staining of the TAM biomarkers (legumain and CD206) in the tumors after treatment. (I) Immunofluorescence staining of the FoxP3⁺ Treg and CD8⁺ T cells in the tumors after treatment. Scale bar, 100 μm. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). ****P < 0.0001, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, n.s, not significant.
Figure 6  The immunostimulating mechanism study of rLTL in the CT26 colon tumor animal model. DC maturation measurement in the lymph node (A) and spleen (B) after treatment. (C) Immunofluorescent staining of STING and IRF3 in the tumors. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05. Scale bar, 75 μm.
upregulated in the tumor after vaccination (Fig. 6C). The similar results were also observed in the melanoma animal model after vaccination (Supporting Information Fig. S7). It suggested the importance of the STING-IRF3 pathway in the TCS-stimulating immune responses. Yet, further investigation should be needed to illustrate the detailed molecular mechanisms.

3.6. Preliminary evaluation of the biosafety

The body weight loss was not found in all the groups and histopathological examination of the major organs did not show any observable toxicity in the vaccinated mice (Supporting Information Fig. S8), indicating the safety of recombinant TCS-based vaccination.

4. Discussion

In order to escape immune surveillance and survive, the cancer cells develop an elegant system to “educate” the immune cells and stroma cells around, thus forming the tumor immunosuppressive microenvironment. For instance, an elevating amount of TAM and Tregs is closely related to the low response to tumor antigens. A major barrier against successful translation of cancer vaccines is the insufficient efficacy to elicit robust DC-mediated immune effect. To develop an effective and safe immunostimulant is the essential part for cancer vaccination. TCS Injection is a clinically used gynecological drug in China for over three decades. In addition, its antitumor activity has been also well investigated. Our work demonstrated the new function of TCS as an immunostimulant for cancer vaccination.

It is interesting that TCS plays a dual role in immunomodulation—either immunosuppression or immunostimulation. Typically, TCS was found to elicit T helper 2 (Th2)-type immune responses in the naive mice, with the elevating secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-10 and TGF-β). Conversely, in the tumor-bearing mice, TCS displayed the promotion of Th1-type immunity and the prolonged survival, but in the control group of naive mice, it showed the decrease in the percentage of CD8+ T cells and IFN-γ production. It was also reported that TCS inhibited tumor growth more significantly in the immunocompetent mice than in the nude mice, suggesting the important role of T-cell immunity in TCS-based anticancer therapy.

Importantly, for the immunostimulating function, a low dose of TCS (e.g., 0.25 mg/kg) can serve this purpose, compared to its ribosome inactivation-directed cytotoxic therapy dose of 2.5 mg/kg.

Recently, the use of low-dose chemo-drugs for facilitating immune activation has attracted great attention, of which the mechanisms involve DC maturation, the increased expression of auxiliary receptors in T cells, regulation of the cytokine network in immune microenvironment, and depletion of the immunosuppressive cells. However, such application in cancer vaccination as an adjuvant still largely remains unknown. Our results clearly demonstrated the potency of TCS-assisted vaccination in various tumor models and vaccines. The immunoenhancing effect of TCS is associated with the action on promoting DC maturation and antigen presentation. STING is involved in the adjuvant function of TCS. Yet the molecular mechanisms still need to be further elucidated.

TCS was initially reported with immunosuppression effects that were associated with its anti-HIV activity. However, in the tumor-bearing model, TCS can enhance antitumor immune responses. For example, TCS increased the percentage of effector T cells, Th1 cytokine secretion, and elicited more memory T cells. TCS increased Granzyme B penetration into tumor cells by upregulation of cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CI-MPR), thus promoting cytotoxic T lymphocyte-mediated killing. Moreover, TCS induced the cancer cells becoming more antigenic by promoting the synthesis of antigen peptides. Therefore, it has been acknowledged that TCS has a bi-directional modulation on the immune systems, depending on the physico-pathologic conditions.

TAM is a promising therapeutic target for cancer therapy. TAM as a vaccine target provides the unique advantages over cancer cells, in terms of the less mutation frequency and genetic variation.

It should be noted that a unique benefit of this reported method was its easy preparation and versatility. Based on the genetically-engineered TCS-based expression platform, the vaccines with various antigens can be designed and produced by simply incorporating a specific antigen-encoding DNA sequence into the plasmid system.

5. Conclusions

An efficient and safe adjuvant is an essential element for successful anticancer vaccination. The search for novel cancer immune stimuli has been active, but most of the reported ones lack druggability, with little prospect of clinical translation. In this work, a TCS-based fusion protein vaccine system was designed and the TCS-assisted cancer immunization strategy was developed. We firstly reported a novel cancer immunostimulant for cancer vaccination and developed a versatile protein-engineering protocol for constructing a multifunctional “all-in-one” vaccine that were characterized by the easy fabrication and potent treatment efficacy. The translation of such an old drug into the new application would be cost- and time-saving and benefit from the well-documented safety of TCS from the decade-long clinical observation. The adaptability of this vaccine system is a unique advantage, of which any antigenic peptide or protein sequences can be incorporated into the genetically-engineered TCS-based expression platform, and it thus provides a feasible and useful method for cancer vaccination with potential clinical value.
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