Metabolite pools and carbon flow during C\textsubscript{4} photosynthesis in maize: \textsuperscript{13}CO\textsubscript{2} labeling kinetics and cell type fractionation
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Abstract

Worldwide efforts to engineer C\textsubscript{4} photosynthesis into C\textsubscript{3} crops require a deep understanding of how this complex pathway operates. CO\textsubscript{2} is incorporated into four-carbon metabolites in the mesophyll, which move to the bundle sheath where they are decarboxylated to concentrate CO\textsubscript{2} around RuBisCO. We performed dynamic \textsuperscript{13}CO\textsubscript{2} labeling in maize to analyze C flow in C\textsubscript{4} photosynthesis. The overall labeling kinetics reflected the topology of C\textsubscript{4} photosynthesis. Analyses of cell-specific labeling patterns after fractionation to enrich bundle sheath and mesophyll cells revealed concentration gradients to drive intercellular diffusion of malate, but not pyruvate, in the major CO\textsubscript{2}-concentrating shuttle. They also revealed intercellular concentration gradients of aspartate, alanine, and phosphoenolpyruvate to drive a second phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (PEPCK)-type shuttle, which carries 10–14% of the carbon into the bundle sheath. Gradients also exist to drive intercellular exchange of 3-phosphoglycerate and triose-phosphate. There is rapid carbon exchange between the Calvin–Benson cycle and the CO\textsubscript{2}-concentrating shuttle, equivalent to ~10% of carbon gain. In contrast, very little C leaks from the large pools of metabolites in the C concentration shuttle into respiratory metabolism. We postulate that the presence of multiple shuttles, alongside carbon transfer between them and the Calvin–Benson cycle, confers great flexibility in C\textsubscript{4} photosynthesis.
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Introduction

The CO\textsubscript{2}-concentrating shuttle (CCS) in C\textsubscript{4} photosynthesis requires close co-operation between mesophyll cells (MCs) and bundle sheath cells (BSCs) (Hatch and Slack, 1966; Hatch and Osmond, 1976; Weber and von Caemmerer, 2010). CO\textsubscript{2} is initially incorporated into oxaloacetate (OAA) in the MCs by phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC), whose high affinity allows it to operate at low CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. OAA is converted to other four-carbon metabolites that move to the BSCs and are decarboxylated, generating a high CO\textsubscript{2} concentration that allows efficient operation of the Calvin–Benson cycle (CBC), and three-carbon metabolites return to the MCs. C\textsubscript{4} plants have historically been classified into three subtypes based on the major decarboxylation enzyme (Hatch and Osmond, 1976; Weber and von Caemmerer, 2010; Supplementary Fig. S1 at JXB online): the NADP-malic enzyme (ME) subtype where malate moves to the BSCs and pyruvate returns to the MCs; the NAD-ME subtype where aspartate moves to the BSCs and alanine returns to the BSCs; and the phosphoenolpyruvate
carboxykinase (PEPCK) subtype where NAD-ME also contributes to decarboxylation, and aspartate and malate move to the BSCs, and PEP and alanine move back to the MCs. In some cases the shuttles also transfer energy to the BSCs, for example NADPH in the NADP-ME subtype. In many NADP-ME species, including maize, PSII in the BSCs is strongly decreased and ATP and NADPH are supplied to the BSCs via another intercellular shuttle; 3-phosphoglycerate (3PGA) moves from the BSCs to the MCs where it is reduced by phosphoglycerate kinase and NADP-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase to triose-phosphate (triose-P) which move back to the BSCs. The topology of C4 photosynthesis was established in the 1970–1980s but fundamental questions remain concerning its operation.

One issue relates to how metabolites move between the MCs and BSCs. It was proposed in the 1970s that this occurs by diffusion (Hatch and Osmond, 1976). Diffusion will be facilitated by the high density of plasmodesmata and close vein spacing which means that each MC is adjacent to a BSC (Evert et al., 1977; Nelson, 2011; Fouracre et al., 2014). Rapid diffusion requires concentration gradients between the MCs and BSCs, estimated in the range of 5–10 mM (Hatch and Osmond, 1976). In the 1980s, two methods were developed to enrich MCs and BSCs from maize leaves while preventing changes in metabolite levels (Flügge et al., 1985; Leegood, 1985; Stitt and Heldt, 1985a, b). Both reported gradients to support malate and triose-P movement from the MCs to the BSCs, and 3PGA movement from the BSCs to the MCs, but not for pyruvate. However, it remained unclear what proportion of the overall pools were actually involved in photosynthesis, as opposed to being located in, for example, the vacuole or other cell types.

Secondly, it may be overly simplistic to classify C4 plants into three subtypes (Furbank, 2011; Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014; Wang et al., 2014b). There is evidence for parallel operation of shuttles, including co-occurrence of enzymes and high contents and rapid labeling of diagnostic metabolites. However, part or most of the metabolite content may not be involved in C4 photosynthesis (Stitt and Heldt, 1985b; Wang et al., 2014a) and labeling might be due to label exchange rather than net flux through the metabolite.

Thirdly, other metabolic pathways must be modified to make them compatible with the high concentrations of metabolites that are involved in intercellular shuttles (Bräutigam et al., 2014). One example is sucrose synthesis, which occurs in the MC cytosol (Furbank et al., 1985). The affinity of maize cytosolic fructose bisphosphatase is an order weaker than that of the C3 enzyme, allowing high concentrations of triose-P to be maintained in the MCs to drive diffusion to the BSCs (Stitt and Heldt, 1985a). It is not known how the high concentrations of organic and amino acids are shielded from respiration (Bräutigam et al., 2014).

A fourth question concerns interactions between the CCS and the 3PGA/triose-P shuttle. Interconversion of PEP and 3PGA will allow carbon to move between these shuttles, and ultimately into or out of the CBC. It has been proposed that large pools of organic acids and amino acids buffer CBC metabolite levels (Leegood and Furbank, 1984; Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1989). However, it is not known how rapidly 3PGA and PEP are interconverted, and how movement of carbon from one shuttle to the other is regulated. Shuttle operation depends on high metabolite concentrations to drive diffusion, and efficient C4 photosynthesis depends on a correct balance between the rate at which carbon is shuttled into the BSC and its utilization by the CBC (de Vea and Burris, 1989; von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003; Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014).

It is also unclear how far photorespiration is decreased in C4 plants. Compared with C3 plants, C4 plants have lower but still substantial activities of enzymes for photorespiration (Osmond and Harris, 1971; Ohnishi and Kanai, 1983; Ueno et al., 2005). Evidence for the occurrence of photorespiration has been provided by investigating O2 dependency (Dai et al., 1993, 1995; Maroco et al., 1998) and 13O incorporation (de Vea and Burris, 1989; Lawlor and Fock, 1978), and a comparative study in the Flaveria genus revealed 2- to 5-fold lower photorespiration in C4 than in C3 species (Mallmann et al., 2014). Further, photosynthesis is impaired in maize mutants with decreased glycolate oxidase activity (Zelitch et al., 2009). Labeling studies with 14CO2 played a key role in elucidating C4 photosynthesis (Slack and Hatch, 1967; Hatch, 1971, 2002; Nickell, 1993). In recent years, analysis of labeling kinetics in individual metabolites has been greatly facilitated by the use of stable isotopes in combination with GC-MS and tandem liquid chromatography (LC-MS/MS) (Antoniewicz et al., 2006; Schwender et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2006; Huege et al., 2007; Hasunuma et al., 2009). We recently established a protocol for dynamic 13CO2 labeling of whole Arabidopsis rosettes, analyzed the temporal labeling kinetics of ~40 metabolites using GC-MS and LC-MS/MS, estimated fluxes, and benchmarked them against literature values (Szecowka et al., 2013). 13CO2 labeling also provides information about the sizes of pools that are involved in photosynthesis; in many cases, only part and sometimes only a minor part of the total pool is directly involved (Szecowka et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2014). We now adapt this method to analyze C4 photosynthesis in maize to address the five questions discussed above. In addition, we analyze 13C labeling patterns in fractions enriched in MCs and BSCs, to determine the intercellular distributions and concentration gradients of the metabolic pools that are involved in C4 metabolism, and provide independent evidence for operation of more than one CCS.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

Carbon dioxide (13CO2, isotopic purity 99 atom%) was from Campro Scientific, and chemicals from Sigma-Aldrich, Roche, or Merck.

Plant growth

Maize (Zea mays L. cv. B73) seeds were germinated in darkness in Petri dishes on moistened filter paper (3 d, 28 °C), transferred to soil in 10 cm diameter pots, grown for 5 d under 16/8 h day/night cycles (irradiance 105 μmol photons m−2 s−1, 22/18 °C, 70% relative humidity) and then under 14/10 h day/night cycles (irradiance 480 μmol photons m−2 s−1, 25/22 °C, 65% relative humidity) and used at 3 weeks for 13CO2 labeling. Arabidopsis thaliana Col-0 was grown as in Szecowka et al. (2013). CO2 concentration in our growth facilities was ~420 ppm.
**13C**CO₂ labeling and quenching procedure for the maize kinetics experiment

Gas was mixed to a final concentration of 78% N₂, 21% O₂, and 420 ppm **13CO₂/12CO₂**, humidified, pumped into a custom-designed labeling chamber, and exited via a PVC tube over soda lime to capture **13CO₂/12CO₂** [Supplementary Fig. S2A, B; see Szecowka et al. (2013)]. The chamber (volume 320 ml) had a gas half-life of 2.6 s and 1.3 s at flow rates of 5 l min⁻¹ and 10 l min⁻¹, respectively. Flow at 10 l min⁻¹ was used for pulses of up to 1 min, and 5 l min⁻¹ for longer pulses. The chamber was made of copper with a hollow body and a transparent Plexiglas lid with a hollow vertical tube (internal diameter ~2 cm) sealed with transparent film (Toppits®). The lid was fastened using clamps, with a soft rubber O-ring between the lid and chamber, ensuring air-tight closure. An additional lamp (FL-400 Lighting Unit, Waal, Effeltrich, Germany) was placed beside the labeling chamber to ensure an irradiance in the chamber of 480 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. To obtain a constant leaf temperature of 35 °C (measured in the growth chamber with a thermocouple; VWR and Testo), gas was passed through a humidifier in a water bath (gas relative humidity of 65%), and water was pumped through the hollow body of the labeling chamber. Plant material was quenched by dropping a pre-cooled (in liquid N₂) copper rod with a sharp machined edge down the hollow tube in the lid into the labeling chamber, freeze-clamping a leaf disc (1.9 cm diameter) between the rod and another copper rod fixed in the chamber, protruding into the base of the chamber and extending well below the outside of the chamber to allow pre-cooling with liquid N₂ [Supplementary Fig. S2C, D]. Leaf four was used after it reached full biomass, and 5 l min⁻¹ was used for pulses of up to 1 min, and 10 l min⁻¹ for 5 min or 10 min after starting labeling, in an unlabeled gas mixture. Leaves were also labeled for 60 min and sampled after 5, 10, 20, 30, and 45 s, and 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 min, with 3–13 replicates per time point. Unlabeled samples (t=0) were collected after at least 30 min in ambient or low O₂.

**Metabolite analyses and calculation of total pool size, enrichment, isotopomer distributions, positional enrichment, and **13C** amounts**

Maize or Arabidopsis material was ground to a fine powder by hand in a mortar pre-cooled with liquid N₂ or in a cryo-robot (Stitt et al., 2007), and stored at −80 °C. Samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS and GC-MS, with authentic standards for accurate metabolite quantification, as in Heise et al. (2014). We additionally analyzed aspartate, PEP, 2-phosphoglycerate (2PG), ribose-5-phosphate (R5P), and ribulose-5-phosphate+xylulose-5-phosphate (Ru5P+Xu5P) [see Supplementary Tables S1, S2 for the isotopomer-dependent MS parameters used for selected reaction monitoring (SRM) and the con-fig.cfg file used to correct for natural abundance; Heise et al., 2014; Huege et al., 2014]. Amounts of the unlabeled form and each **13C** isotopomers in maize samples are provided in Supplementary Table S3 and total contents in Supplementary Table S4. Total amounts of 3PGA and PEP were determined enzymatically using a Sigma-22 dual-wavelength photometer (Merlo et al., 1993) using freshly prepared extracts for PEP. **13C** Enrichment and isotope distribution were calculated as in Szecowka et al. (2013) (Supplementary Tables S5, S6). Active and inactive pools were calculated as in Supplementary Table S3, positional **13C** enrichment (C4 and Cl–C3 positions) of aspartate and malate as in Supplementary Tables S7, S8, and **13C** amounts in metabolites as in Supplementary Tables S7, S8.

**Maize cell separation**

Maize leaves were fractionated as in Stitt and Heldt (1985a, b). Four fractions were obtained by homogenizing ~1 g FW of material at low temperature, resuspending in liquid N₂, and filtering sequentially through 200, 80, and 40 µm nylon meshes (Sefar, Switzerland). Activities of the MC markers NADP-malate dehydrogenase (NADP-MDH) and PEPC were measured as in Gibon et al. (2004) with 2000- and 20 000-fold dilution (FW/extract volume), respectively. The BSC marker ribulose-1-5-bisphosphate (RuBP) was quantified by LC-MS/MS. Enzyme activities and metabolite amounts in each fraction were expressed as a percentage of that in the summed fractions. For each fraction, the ratio of (average of NADP-MDH and PEPC)/RuBP; x-axis) and the ratio (metabolite X/RuBP; y-axis) were plotted against each other, and regression calculated. The intercept on the y-axis represented the proportion of metabolite X in the BSCs. Distribution was calculated for each isotope, the sum of all isotopomers of a metabolite and, for malate, the sum of labeled isotopomers.

**Gas exchange**

Net CO₂ assimilation (Aₜ) was measured on the fourth fully expanded leaf in a gas exchange system (LiCor) attached to a Leaf Chamber Fluorometer under similar conditions to the labeling experiment (480 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ irradiance, 35 °C, 420 ppm CO₂). Aₜ was measured first at 21% O₂ and then at 2% O₂ in six plants with eight technical replicates per plant.

**Results**

**Temporal kinetics of **13C** accumulation in metabolites in maize leaves**

Leaves were pulsed with **13CO₂** for 0, 10, 15, 20, 30, and 50 s, and 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 60 min, or were pulsed for 60 min followed by a 5 min or 20 min chase with **12CO₂**. The labelling
chamber was designed to have a small volume to minimize the time delay between introduction and full equilibration of $^{13}$CO$_3$ and to allow leaf material to be quenched instantaneously under the prevailing light regime, and without allowing access of unlabeled CO$_2$ for even a fraction of a second. The latter is important because many metabolites turn over very rapidly during photosynthesis (Arrivault et al., 2009; Heise et al., 2014). A total of 35 metabolites were detected with GC-MS and LC-MS/MS including all C$_4$ photosynthetic intermediates, almost all CBC intermediates, intermediates in starch and sucrose biosynthesis and photorespiration, and organic acids and amino acids. The amount of the unlabeled form and each $^{13}$C isotopomer (Supplementary Table S3) were used to estimate the total amount (Supplementary Table S4), $^{13}$C enrichment (% of C atoms that are labeled with $^{13}$C; Supplementary Table S5), and relative abundance of each isotopomer (Supplementary Table S6) for each metabolite and time point.

Total metabolite content showed some variation (Supplementary Table S4). This was not related to the time of sampling (Supplementary Fig. S3). Variation in metabolite contents was seen previously between maize leaves (Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988) and along the leaf developmental gradient (Pick et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014a). The pulse and chase experiments were performed on different days. Metabolite contents were in the same range in both experiments except that glucose and fructose were higher, and pyruvate and fumarate were lower in the chase experiment (Supplementary Table S4). Total content was estimated as the average of all samples from the pulse and chase, unless stated otherwise.

Overview of the temporal kinetics of $^{13}$C accumulation in metabolite classes

We subjected the $^{13}$C enrichment kinetics of all 35 metabolites to $k$-means clustering based on Euclidian distance (Supplementary Fig. S4, note log$_{10}$ time scale). Based on the ‘rule of thumb’ (Mardia et al., 1979), we generated four clusters. Cluster I contained metabolites whose enrichment was high (average 25%) within 10–30 s and were almost fully labeled (average 93%) after 40–60 min. It included most CBC intermediates, the starch biosynthesis intermediate ADPG, and the immediate product of RuBP oxygenation, 2PG. Cluster II contained metabolites that were labeled in the first 10–30 s although less strongly (average 11%) than cluster I, and whose enrichment rose to an average of 82% after 40–60 min. It included sucrose synthesis intermediates (G6P, G1P, and UDPG) and some metabolites involved in the CCS (PEP, aspartate). Cluster III showed very low enrichment (<1%) in the first 30 s, and rose gradually to an average value of 76% after 40–60 min. It included metabolites from the CCS (pyruvate, alanine) and photorespiration (glycine, serine). Cluster IV showed negligible labeling in the first 30 s and rose slowly to an average of 16% after 40–60 min. It included glycerate, which is the last metabolite in the photorespiration pathway, sugars, tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) intermediates (fumarate, succinate, 2-oxoglutarate (2OG)), many amino acids, and, unexpectedly, malate and sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate (SBP).

The $^{13}$C enrichment kinetics for most metabolites in and downstream of the CBC resembled those of Arabidopsis (Szecowka et al., 2013), showing that many features of C$_4$ pathway topology are conserved in maize. However, there were some anomalies. First, metabolites involved in CCS such as malate, aspartate, pyruvate, alanine, and PEP were spread across three clusters (II, III, IV) and labeled more slowly than CBC intermediates. Indeed, malate was assigned to cluster IV. Secondly, the CBC intermediate SBP was assigned to cluster IV. Photorespiratory intermediates were also distributed across three clusters (I, III, IV). We considered two possible reasons for these anomalies. One is the presence of compartmented pools that are not involved in photosynthesis. The second is related to the topology of C$_4$ photosynthesis (see below).

Deconvolution of the overall labeling kinetics by considering inactive pools

When most or all of the total content of a metabolite is involved in photosynthesis, the unlabeled isotopomer will decrease to very low values and the fully labeled isotopomer will rise to become the predominant form. This pattern was seen for all CBC metabolites (Supplementary Fig. S5; Supplementary Table S6) except SBP, where >80% was present as the unlabeled form even at 60 min while the remainder was present as a mix of isotopomers with heavily labeled forms predominating. Similarly, whereas at 60 min the unlabeled form of PEP, aspartate, pyruvate, and alanine decreased to negligible levels and the fully labeled form predominated, ~60% of the total malate pool was unlabeled while most of the rest was the quadruple-labeled (i.e. fully labeled) isotopomer (Fig. 1). This pattern points to there being kinetically separated pools; an ‘active’ pool that is involved in photosynthetic flux and an ‘inactive’ pool that does not participate in photosynthesis (Szecowka et al., 2013).

To estimate the active pool size of malate (malate$^c$), we first summed the labeled isotopomers of malate [termed Malate(L)]. Compared with the 4-fold variation in total malate content (1.8–7 μmol g$^{-1}$ FW; Supplementary Table S4), Malate(L) was fairly constant from 1 min onwards (1.1–1.7 μmol g$^{-1}$ FW; Supplementary Table S3). Malate(L) at 60 min (1.3 μmol g$^{-1}$ FW) was similar to the average of Malate(L) between 10 min and 60 min (1.29 μmol g$^{-1}$ FW). The inactive pool of malate (Malate$^i$) at each time point was estimated by subtracting 1.3 μmol g$^{-1}$ FW from the total malate amount at that time (Supplementary Table S3). Malate$^i$ was then subtracted from Malate-0 to provide an estimate of the amount of $^{13}$C malate in the active pool at each time (Malate-0$^a$, Supplementary Table S3). Malate-0$^a$ and the values for the labeled isotopomers were used to calculate $^{13}$C enrichment (Supplementary Tables S5) and relative isotopomer abundance (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S6) for the active malate pool. The corrected isotopomer abundance profile (Malate$^a$, Fig. 1) resembled that of aspartate although with noise, probably due to assumptions in
estimating the active pool. A similar correction was performed for SBP (Supplementary Fig. S5; Supplementary Tables S3, S5, S6).

**Deconvolution of labeling kinetics based on the topography of C₄ photosynthesis**

PEPC incorporates bicarbonate into the C₄ position of metabolites such as malate and aspartate, and carbon at the C₄ position is released as CO₂ in the BSCs (Supplementary Fig. S6A; Hatch and Slack, 1966; Hatch and Osmond, 1976; Moore and Edwards, 1986). Thus, early in the labeling kinetics, single-labeled isotopomers of malate and aspartate should dominate and there should be little label in decarboxylation products such as pyruvate and alanine. The appearance of multiple labeled isotopomers of malate and aspartate and labeling of pyruvate and alanine will be delayed until ¹³C moves from the CBC into PEP and other CCS metabolites (Supplementary Fig. S6B).

The isotopomer patterns for malate, aspartate, pyruvate, and alanine qualitatively matched these expectations. Single-labeled isotopomers of malate⁴ and aspartate were dominant at early time points (Fig. 1). For malate⁴, 50–74% was present as a single-labeled isotopomer at 10–30 s, and 4–9%, 0.6–1.2%, and 0.25–0.38% as double-, triple-, and fully labeled forms, respectively. For aspartate, 66–68%, 5–8%, 0.5–1.1%, and 0.22–0.37% was present as single-, double-, triple-, and fully labeled isotopomers, respectively. Labeling in PEP was low for the first 60 s and then rose (Fig. 1). Subsequently, intermediate-labeled forms of malate⁴ and aspartate increased and declined, with the fully labeled form being dominant from 10 min onwards. This was accompanied by appearance of label in pyruvate and alanine (Fig. 1).

To estimate position-dependent enrichment in the C₄ position and the C₁–C₃ positions of malate and aspartate, we assumed all labeled molecules contained ¹³C at the C₄ position (see Supplementary Table S7 for the calculation). This assumption is justified by the very low PEP labeling at early time points (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S6). Estimated enrichment in the C₄ position averaged 71% in the first 10–30 s, and approached 100% at 40–60 min. Enrichment in C₁–C₃ was negligible at early times, and from 30 s on rose gradually to >80% after 60 min (Supplementary Table S7).

**Enrichment kinetics after correcting for inactive pools and pathway topology**

In Fig. 2A, adjusted values for ¹³C enrichment in malate, aspartate, and SBP, after correction for inactive pools for malate and SBP and separation of the C₄ and C₁–C₃ positions of malate and aspartate, are superimposed on the k-means clusters in Supplementary Fig. S4. The C₄ positions of malate and aspartate are shown as cluster 0 whose enrichment rises more rapidly than the CBC intermediates in cluster I. PEP labels more slowly than CBC intermediates and is in cluster II. Enrichment in the C₁–C₃ positions of malate and aspartate rises even more slowly, resembling pyruvate and alanine, which are in cluster III.

The similarity of its enrichment kinetics to those of malate⁴ indicates that aspartate contributes to the CCS. Further evidence was provided by the chase experiment in which leaves...
were labeled for 60 min and chased with $^{12}\text{CO}_2$ for 5 min and 20 min. Label depleted more rapidly in aspartate than malate, even after correction for the inactive malate pool (Supplementary Fig. S7).

The rapid labeling of CBC metabolites, with multiple labeled isotopomers appearing within 10 s (Supplementary Fig. S5), resembles the pattern in tobacco and Arabidopsis (Hasunuma et al., 2009; Szecowka et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2013).

Fig. 2. Overview of $^{13}\text{C}$ labeling kinetics by k-means clustering (A) and corresponding $^{13}\text{C}$ amounts (B) after correction for inactive pools and for labeling of malate and aspartate in the C4 position and the C1–C3 positions. Gray lines show the $^{13}\text{C}$ enrichment/$^{13}\text{C}$ amount (in natom $^{13}\text{C}$ equivalents g$^{-1}$ FW) of individual metabolites, and black lines show average $^{13}\text{C}$ enrichment of all metabolites in the cluster. The x-axis corresponds to the labeling time on a $\log_{10}$ scale. Carbon position-dependent enrichments and $^{13}\text{C}$ amount were separately calculated for the C4 position and C1–C3 positions of malate and aspartate (for further information about calculations, see Supplementary Tables S7 and S8). Data for other $^{13}\text{C}$ enrichments and $^{13}\text{C}$ amounts are provided in Supplementary Table S5 and S8 (with further information about calculations). The corrected $^{13}\text{C}$ enrichment for SBP is shown in brown, and for malate and aspartate in blue and red, respectively. In (B), metabolites are clustered based on enrichment, not the amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ in the metabolite. Due to a large amount of $^{13}\text{C}$ in sucrose (B, last graph, shown in darker gray), an additional graph for this metabolite is included as an insert.
Calculation of the amount of $^{13}$C in metabolites

We combined information about metabolite content, isotope-pomer distribution, and the number of $^{13}$C atoms in an isotope-pomer to calculate the amount of $^{13}$C in each metabolite at a given time (Fig. 2B). In view of the variation in pool size, we compared two approaches: multiplying isotope-pomer distribution at a given time point by the average total pool size (or active pool size determined at 60 min), or using the sum of the measured isotope-pomer amounts at that time point (see Supplementary Table S8 for further explanation). The relatively good agreement between the approaches provided support for their reliability (shown for malate and aspartate in Supplementary Fig. S8). All $^{13}$C amounts presented in this study were calculated using the first approach.

The estimated $^{13}$C pool in the C$_4$ position of malate and aspartate, respectively, rose from ~700 nmol and 80 nmol $^{13}$C equivalents g$^{-1}$ FW at 10 s to 1300 nmol and 100 nmol $^{13}$C equivalents g$^{-1}$ FW from 60 s onwards (Fig. 2B; Supplementary Tables S7, S8). The higher value for malate reflects the 10-fold larger pool size of active malate compared with aspartate (Supplementary Table S4). Comparison of the amount of $^{13}$C in the C$_4$ position of malate and aspartate in the initial 20 s of the labeling kinetics (Table 1) indicates that ~10-fold more carbon is shuttled into the BSCs via malate than via aspartate.

The amount of $^{13}$C in the C$_4$ position of malate and aspartate is large compared with the total pool of CBC intermediates (Fig. 2B). From 1 min on, it is 30- to 50-fold larger than the pool of RuBP (32 ± 11 nmol g$^{-1}$ FW) and only ~3-fold smaller than the total carbon in CBC intermediates (~4100 nmol C g$^{-1}$ FW). The amount of $^{13}$C in alanine is nearly 10-fold higher than that in pyruvate. It is also noteworthy that from 180 s onwards, the vast majority of the detected $^{13}$C is in sucrose (note insert in Fig. 2B). Negligible amounts of $^{13}$C were found in fumarate, succinate, 2OG, and glutamate up to 20 min and, even after 60 min, they contained only 0.01, 0.18, 0.52, and 2.2%, respectively, of the total detected label compared with 83.7% in sucrose. Although the $^{13}$C enrichment kinetics of sucrose and these organic acids and amino acids are similar (Fig. 2A), there is much more $^{13}$C in sucrose because its pool is much larger (Supplementary Table S4).

Carbon exchange between the CBC and CO$_2$ concentration shuttle intermediates

At early time points, enrichment is higher in PEP than in pyruvate (Supplementary Table S5; Fig. 1) indicating that PEP is probably labeled from the CBC. Carbon exchange between the CBC and CCS will occur via interconversion of PEPC, 3PGA, 2PGA, and PEP via the reversible reactions catalyzed by phosphoglycerate mutase and enolase (Newsholme and Start, 1973). Overall enrichment in 3PGA and 2PGA was almost 10-fold higher than in PEP at early time points (10–30 s) and was still 3-fold higher after 3 min (Fig. 1; Supplementary Table S5), implying some kinetic restriction on flow of newly assimilated $^{13}$C.

To estimate flow of $^{13}$C from the CBC into the metabolites of the CCS, we summed the amount of $^{13}$C in PEP, in C1–C3 of malate and aspartate, and in pyruvate and alanine (i.e., $^{13}$C atoms in CCS intermediates excluding the C$_4$ position of malate and aspartate; Table 2). It represented 8.7–13.1% of total detected $^{13}$C at the initial time points (10, 15, and 20 s).

Table 1. Estimations of $^{13}$C fluxes via malate and aspartate to the BSCs

| Kinetics (s) | 10   | 15   | 20   |
|-------------|------|------|------|
| Malate      | 792  | 1242 | 893  |
| Malate minus C4 position | 81   | 150  | 113  |
| C en route to BSCs via malate (C4 position) | 711  | 1092 | 780  |
| Aspartate   | 85   | 89   | 91   |
| Aspartate minus C4 position | 7.5  | 9.9  | 10.8 |
| C en route to BSCs via aspartate (C4 position) | 78   | 80   | 80   |
| Aspartate/malate ratio   | 0.11 | 0.073| 0.10 |
kinetics (s)

Amounts of $^{13}$C are expressed as natom $^{13}$C equivalents g$^{-1}$ FW. Calculation steps are presented in Supplementary Table S8.

| Class of metabolite | Kinetics (s) |
|---------------------|--------------|
|                     | 10           | 15           | 20           |
| CO$_2$ shuttle minus C4 position for malate and aspartate | 103 | 156 | 155 |
| CBC                 | 1003         | 1131         | 1134         |
| Starch and sucrose synthesis | 72.2 | 99.4 | 105.9 |
| Photorespiration    | 2.90         | 1.3          | 2.9          |
| Total C fixed via CBC | 1187        | 1424         | 1404         |
| % of fixed $^{13}$C found in CO$_2$ shuttle metabolites | 8.7  | 13.1 | 11.1 |

pathway, with the latter three having large active pools (1.7, 84, 166, and 425 nmol g$^{-1}$ FW for 2PG, glycine, serine, and glyc erate, respectively). Movement through glycolate and glyoxylate, which was not measured, may lead to a further delay. Unlabeled isopomer forms for glycine and serine plateaued between 40 min and 60 min, suggesting the presence of inactive pools (Supplementary Fig. S9; ~22% and 20%, respectively). This was observed for glycine but not serine in Arabidopsis (Szecowka et al., 2013).

We did not estimate C flow through photorespiration from the early time points of the labeling kinetics because the 2PG pool is very small and label will have moved more into glycolate and glyoxylate, which were not detected. Also GC-MS detects only incomplete fragments of glycine, serine, and glyc erate. Gas exchange measurements in ambient CO$_2$ revealed a 5.3 ± 1.7% stimulation of net photosynthesis after decreasing O$_2$ from 21% to 2% (Supplementary Table S9).

Comparison of maize with the C$_3$ plant Arabidopsis in ambient and low O$_2$

We next compared labeling kinetics in maize and Arabidopsis. To distinguish between differences that are related to and independent of photorespiration, we labeled Arabidopsis at 420 ppm CO$_2$ with either 21% or 2% O$_2$. Enrichment of glycine, serine, and glyc erate in Arabidopsis in 21% O$_2$ (Fig. 3, red filled circles) resembled that in the inactive pool of glycine (Supplementary Fig. S11; Supplementary Table S10; see also Szecowka et al., 2013). In 2% O$_2$ (Fig. 3, red open circles), enrichment in photorespiratory intermediates was lower and rose more slowly. There were also significantly lower contents of serine and glyc erate in 2% than in 21% O$_2$ (Supplementary Fig. S10). Enrichment of CBC intermediates increased more rapidly in 2% than 21% O$_2$, especially between 45 s and 10 min. This is probably due to faster $^{13}$C assimilation and decreased recycling of $^{12}$C from photorespiratory metabolites into the CBC.

In maize (Fig. 3, blue filled squares), the enrichment patterns for serine and glyc erate resembled those in Arabidopsis in 2% O$_2$. Enrichment of glycine was higher than in Arabidopsis, but interpretation is complicated by the inactive pool. As expected from the higher rate of photosynthesis, enrichment in CBC metabolites in the first 3 min was higher in maize (Fig. 3, blue filled squares) than in Arabidopsis, even in 2% O$_2$. However, between 5 min and 20 min, enrichment of CBC metabolites was similar or slightly lower in maize than in Arabidopsis in 21% O$_2$, and was always lower than in Arabidopsis in 2% O$_2$. By 40–60 min, enrichment in maize was similar to that in Arabidopsis. This labeling pattern indicates that in maize between 5 min and 20 min, unlabeled C flows into the CBC that probably does not derive from photorespiration.

Fractionation to enrich MCs and BSCs

Maize leaf material was fractionated to enrich MCs and BSCs partially in order to estimate intercellular concentration gradients for CCS metabolites, 3PGA and triose-P, and to provide independent evidence for parallel operation of different CCSs. It is difficult from labeling kinetics, on their own, to discriminate if labeling of aspartate and alanine is due to their synthesis in one cell type and consumption in the other cell type (i.e. involvement in the CCS) or to reversible formation by aminotransferase reactions in one or both cell types without them being involved in net flux. The presence of a concentration gradient between BSCs and MCs would provide independent evidence for their involvement.

Fractions enriched in MCs and BSCs were obtained by sequentially filtering leaf homogenates in liquid N$_2$ through three nylon meshes with different pore sizes (Stitt and Heldt, 1985a). BSCs are mechanically stronger and are enriched in larger particles that are retained on meshes with a larger pore size, whereas MC-enriched material is preferentially retained on smaller meshes or passes through to the final filtrate. The leaf discs obtained with the labeling chamber did not provide enough tissue for this procedure. Instead, leaves were incubated in transparent plastic bags (see Supplementary Fig. S2E, G, H and the Materials and Methods for details). A 3 min pulse was used, when all isotopomers of malate, aspartate, pyruvate, alanine, and PEP are at high enough levels to be reliably quantified. We first compared metabolite amounts and labeling patterns in non-filtered homogenates with those at 3 min in the kinetic experiment. Metabolite levels were similar in both experiments (Supplementary Table S4), with a few exceptions; in material for cell separation, aspartate was 3- to 4-fold higher, R5P, Ru5P+Xu5P, and RuBP were slightly higher, glucose 2-fold lower, and sucrose 7-fold lower. This may be partly because the tissue collected for cell separation included a larger part of the leaf than the leaf disc harvested with the labeling chamber. As previously mentioned, there are substantial metabolic gradients along the maize leaf blade (Pick et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014a).

$^{13}$C Enrichment in the various metabolites was higher at 3 min in the tissue fractionation experiment than at 3 min in the kinetic experiment (Supplementary Table S5). Correlation analysis revealed that $^{13}$C enrichment values in the tissue fractionation experiment resembled those after 5 min in the time kinetic experiment (Supplementary Fig. S12). This indicates that there were higher rates of photosynthesis in the tissue fractionation experiment. All key features of the time kinetic experiment
were confirmed in the triplicated samples for tissue fractionation (Supplementary Table S5). All CBC metabolites were highly labeled (53 ± 5% to 69 ± 3% 13C enrichment) with the exception of SBP (9 ± 6% enrichment when not corrected for the inactive pool), ADPG was strongly labeled (63 ± 2%), malate and aspartate were present mainly in the single-labeled form (45 ± 10% for malate after correction, 52 ± 3.5% for aspartate), PEP was less enriched than 2PGA and 3PGA (30 ± 2% compared with 69 ± 3 and 67 ± 0.67%, respectively), pyruvate and alanine were relatively weakly labeled (18 ± 1% and 14 ± 2% enrichment, respectively), there was substantial labeling of glycine and progressively less of serine and glycerate (36 ± 2, 11 ± 11, and 1.9 ± 0.58%, respectively; 2PG was not analyzed in this experiment), and there was negligible labeling of succinate, 2OG, and glutamate (0.09 ± 0.16, 0.66 ± 0.27, and 0.60 ± 0.2% enrichment, respectively).

**Intercellular metabolite distributions and metabolite gradients in maize leaves**

We used PEPC and NADP-MDH activities as markers for the MCs and total RuBP as a marker for the BSCs. They were compared with isotopomer amounts in the four fractions from the net filtration (Supplementary Table S11) to estimate the distribution of each isotopomer of the other metabolites between the BSCs and MCs (Fig. 4; Supplementary Table S12). As the signals for 3PGA and 2PGA could not be differentiated in all fractions, they were combined and termed ‘PGAs’.

As shown in Fig. 4, malate and aspartate were preferentially located in the MCs, with aspartate showing an especially marked asymmetric distribution. Alanine showed a slight preferential distribution towards the BSCs, pyruvate was preferentially located in the BSCs, PEP showed a strong preferential distribution towards the BSCs, PGAs was preferentially located in the BSCs, and triose-P in the MCs. In all cases, a similar distribution was found for the unlabeled form and each isotopomer.

The amount in the BSCs and MCs (Table 3) was estimated by multiplying the fraction of the summed isotopomers found in the BSCs and the MCs, respectively, by the average active pool size from the time kinetic/chase experiment (Supplementary Table S4). For malate, the fraction of
Arrivault et al. summed $^{13}$C isotopomers was multiplied by the active malate pool size at 60 min (Supplementary Table S3). We then related these amounts to the cytoplasmic volume since diffusion will occur between the cytoplasm of the BSCs and the MCs. For this, we assumed that BSCs occupy 19% of the leaf (Furbank and Hatch, 1987; Jenkins et al., 1989), that MCs have an ~3.8-fold larger volume than the BSCs (Hattersley, 1984), and that the cytoplasm occupies ~50% of BSC volume (Furbank and Hatch, 1987; Jenkins et al., 1989) and 10% of MC volume (Osmond, 1971). These published data allow us to take into account that the BSCs and MCs occupy a different proportion of the maize leaf, and have a different internal architecture.

We estimated a malate concentration of 11.2 mM in the MCs and 5.2 mM in the BSCs, resulting in a large (6 mM) gradient between MCs and BSCs (Table 3). Aspartate was even more asymmetrically distributed, with estimated concentrations of 1.17 mM in the MCs and 0.21 mM in the BSCs, but the smaller pool size means that the concentration gradient (0.96 mM) was about a sixth of that for malate. These concentration gradients are in the direction needed to drive diffusion of malate and aspartate in the BSCs. Pyruvate showed a very asymmetric distribution with estimated concentrations of 1.53 mM in the MCs and 0.26 mM in the BSCs, resulting in a concentration gradient of 1.27 mM in the opposite direction to that required to drive diffusion of pyruvate from the BSCs to the MCs. Alanine had an estimated concentration of 11.5 mM in the BSCs and 10.8 mM in the MCs, leading to a small concentration gradient (0.68 mM) from the BSCs to the MCs. Alanine showed only a weakly asymmetric distribution between the MCs and BSCs, making the calculation sensitive to errors in the assumed volumes. 3PGA had a higher estimated concentration in the BSCs (4.73 mM) than in the MCs (1.87 mM), and triose-P had a higher estimated concentration in the MCs (2.73 mM) than in the BSCs (1.29 mM), providing a concentration gradient of 2.87 mM to drive diffusion of 3PGA from the BSCs to the MCs. PEP concentrations of 0.79 mM and 0.30 mM were estimated for the BSCs and MCs, respectively, providing a small (0.4 mM) concentration gradient from the BSCs to the MCs. Although the absolute magnitude is small, it is based on a highly asymmetric distribution of PEP. The PEP:3PGA ratio in the MCs (0.166) and BSCs (0.2) resemble those expected if 3PGA and PEP are close to thermodynamic equilibrium (Newsholme and Start, 1973).

### Table 3. Estimations of overall metabolite concentrations (mM) involved in photosynthesis in BSCs and MCs of maize leaves

Concentrations are calculated from the distribution of summed isotopomers (Supplementary Table S12) and metabolite amounts quantified in non-filtrated homogenates (Supplementary Table S4). The difference between concentrations in MCs and BSCs gives the concentration gradient between these two cell types. Due to the presence of an inactive malate pool, the unlabeled isotopomer amount was not included for calculation and the total active pool estimated at 60 min was used (L stands for labeled pool).

| Compound | BSCs | MCs | Concentration gradient (MCs to BSCs) |
|----------|------|-----|--------------------------------------|
| Malate   | 5.20 | 11.18 | 5.99                                 |
| Aspartate| 0.21 | 1.17 | 0.96                                 |
| Pyruvate | 0.26 | 1.53 | 1.27                                 |
| Alanine  | 11.33 | 10.65 | –0.68                                |
| PEP      | 0.79 | 0.38 | –0.40                                |
| PGAs     | 4.73 | 1.87 | –2.87                                |
| DHAP     | 1.29 | 2.73 | 1.43                                 |

Fig. 4. Distribution of isotopomers between BSCs and MCs. Labeling was performed for 3 min. The number associated with the compounds represents the number of $^{13}$C incorporated in the molecule. The y-axis shows the percentage of that isotopomer in the BSCs (black) and MCs (gray). The dotted red line indicates 50%. Data are provided in Supplementary Table S12.
Discussion

Overview of temporal labeling kinetics in C₄ photosynthesis in maize

Some of our results recapitulate the studies with ¹⁴CO₂ that led to the elucidation of C₄ photosynthesis, in particular the rapid labeling of C₄ acids and slower labeling of pyruvate (Slack and Hatch, 1967; Hatch, 1971; Hatch and Osmond, 1976). A recent study of the role of the DCT2 transporter reported rapid labeling of malate and aspartate, and labeling of photorespiration intermediates (Weissmann et al., 2016). However, we have analyzed a larger set of metabolites and included longer labeling kinetics to allow separation of active and inactive pools. Further, we have determined metabolite concentrations and labeling patterns in the MCs and BSCs, and estimated concentration gradients between the MCs and BSCs. Our analyses provide information about which metabolites are involved in the CCS and how rapidly carbon is exchanged between the CBC and CCS, and raise questions about how metabolism is regulated to allow efficient operation of C₄ photosynthesis.

Our approach has some limitations. First, it is not possible to resolve CBC labeling kinetics (see also Szecowka et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2014). However, rapid randomization aids interpretation of labeling kinetics in metabolites downstream of the CBC. Secondly, overall metabolite levels show leaf-to-leaf and within-leaf variation in maize (Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988; Pick et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014a). The labeled pools showed less variation, indicating that the variation is mainly due to pools not directly involved in photosynthesis. Thirdly, some metabolites exhibit complex labeling kinetics, with part remaining unlabeled while the remainder is present as multiple or fully labeled isotopomers. We interpret this as evidence for the presence of multiple pools, with only one being active in photosynthesis (Szecowka et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2014). Separation of active and inactive pools is essential to estimate concentrations and fluxes, and can be achieved by labeling for long enough to label the active pool fully. Fourthly, as MS does not provide position-specific information, we distinguished between label in the C₄ and the C₁–C₃ positions of malate and aspartate by assuming all labeled forms have a ¹³C at the C₄ position. This approach is supported by the similarity between the labeling kinetics we estimated for positions C1–C3 of malate and aspartate, and measured for pyruvate and alanine. Fifthly, we only obtain partial enrichment of MCs and BSCs, and errors are introduced in corrections for cross-contamination. In addition, our calculations of concentrations use published values for cell and cytoplasmic volume (Osmond, 1971; Hattersley, 1984; Furbank and Hatch, 1987; Jenkins et al., 1989). For these reasons, the estimated MC and BSC concentrations are only approximations.

An ultimate goal is to use global enrichment kinetics to model fluxes. However, this is complicated by redundancy in C₄ photosynthesis. Based on labeling kinetics alone, it is difficult to decide whether ¹³C is detected in aspartate and alanine because they are directly involved in C₄ photosynthesis or because they exchange ¹³C with OAA and pyruvate. A similar problem arises for formation of PEP in the BSCs, which may be labeled by decarboxylation of labeled four-carbon metabolites or ¹³C exchange with 3PGA. For this initial study, we restricted our calculations to early points in the time kinetics, and tried to support these calculations by estimating intercellular concentration gradients to provide independent evidence that flux is carried by a particular metabolite.

Concentration gradients to drive intercellular metabolic shuttles

Earlier studies reported gradients for 3PGA movement from the BSCs to the MCs, and for malate and triose-P movement from the MCs to the BSCs, but no gradient for pyruvate (Leegood, 1985; Stitt and Heldt, 1985a, b). These studies assumed the whole pool was involved in C₄ photosynthesis, as opposed to being partly located in, for example, the vacuole or other cell types. The observation that large amounts of malate remain in darkness or at CO₂ subcompensation point indicated that this might be the case (Stitt and Heldt, 1985b). Similarly, it remained possible that compartmentation masked a gradient for pyruvate. Dynamic ¹³CO₂ labeling allows us to measure the size and distribution of the pools that are actually involved in photosynthesis, which we term the active pool. For malate, this represents ~40% of the total pool. Labeling of the other metabolites involved in the intercellular shuttles was high by 40–60 min, showing that most of their pool is involved in C₄ photosynthesis.

The estimated concentration of the active malate pool is ~2-fold higher in the MCs than in the BSCs, resulting in an estimated gradient of ~6 mM from the MCs to the BSCs. 3PGA is preferentially located in the BSCs and triose-P in the MCs, providing estimated gradients of ~2.9 mM for 3PGA from the BSCs to the MCs, and 1.4 mM for triose-P from the MCs to the BSCs. There were also concentration gradients for further metabolites including a gradient for PEP from the BSCs to the MCs (0.4 mM), for aspartate from the MCs to the BSCs (0.96 mM), and for alanine from the BSCs to the MCs (0.68 mM) (see below for more discussion).

The labeled pyruvate pool showed strong preferential distribution towards the MCs. It therefore remains unclear how pyruvate moves from the BSCs to the MCs. One possibility is that after its formation in the BSC chloroplasts, pyruvate is actively exported to the cytosol, or that pyruvate is actively taken up into MC chloroplasts. Active pyruvate uptake has been measured in MC chloroplasts (Flügge et al., 1985). While a sodium-dependent transporter has been identified in Flaveria species (Furumoto et al., 2011), pyruvate transporters have not yet been identified in species such as maize where uptake is proton dependent (Aoki et al., 1992). The transporter that exports pyruvate from BSC chloroplasts is also unknown.

The estimated gradients for malate, 3PGA, and DHAP in our study are smaller than those previously reported for overall pools (Leegood, 1985; Stitt and Heldt, 1985a, b). This is probably because we used a lower irradiance (480 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ compared with 1300–2500 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). It should be noted that diffusion will be driven by the
concentration gradient between the BSC and MC cytosols. Our calculations assume an equal distribution of metabolites between the cytoplasm and chloroplast, and the gradients will be different if the metabolite is preferentially located in one of these compartments. This may explain why the estimated concentration gradient is larger for 3PGA than for triose-P. Due to the pH gradient between the plastid stroma and the cytosol and their charge properties, 3PGA is preferentially located in the stroma and triose-P in the cytosol (Stitt et al., 1980; Flügge et al., 1983; Gerhardt and Heldt, 1984).

Involvement of aspartate and alanine in the CO₂-concentrating shuttle

It has been proposed that NADP-ME-type plants such as maize operate parallel CCSs via PEPCK or NAD-ME (Pick et al., 2011). Aspartate aminotransferase (AspAT) activity is high in maize leaves (Khamis et al., 1992; Pick et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014a), alanine aminotransferase (AlaAT) activity is only 3- to 10-fold lower (Pick et al., 2011; Valle and Heldt, 1991; Wang et al., 2014a), and PEPC, AspAT, and AlaAT show very similar developmental profiles (Pick et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014a; data replotted in their Supplementary Fig. S3). PEPCK is expressed in the BSCs and supports high rates of aspartate-dependent photosynthesis in isolated maize BSCs (Walker et al., 1997; Wingler et al., 1999; Majeran et al., 2010; Pick et al., 2011). Many studies reported the presence of substantial pools of aspartate and rapid labeling of aspartate in NADP-ME species such as maize (Hatch, 1971; Meister et al., 1996; Wingler et al., 1999; Majeran et al., 2010; Pick et al., 2011; Sommer et al., 2012; Muhaïdat and McKown, 2013; Weissmann et al., 2016). However, these studies remained inconclusive because it was unclear how strongly aspartate was labeled and whether there was a concentration gradient to drive its movement from the MCs to BSCs.

Our study reveals that there is a rapid and near complete labeling of aspartate, that the enrichment kinetics and isotopomer distribution of aspartate are almost identical to those of the active malate pool, and that label in aspartate is rapidly lost in a chase. They also reveal a strongly asymmetric distribution of aspartate, resulting in a distinct concentration gradient between the MCs and BSCs. The gradient (0.96 mM) is ~6-fold smaller than the estimated gradient for malate, consistent with a shuttle involving aspartate carrying ~4% of the CO₂ into the BSCs. This is in quite good agreement with the initial labeling kinetics estimated for the C4 positions of malate and alanate, which indicate that aspartate carries ~10% of the carbon into the BSCs. Our estimates are lower than the 34% estimated by Weissmann et al. (2016) (see below for further discussion).

In the BSCs, aspartate might be deaminated and decarboxylated by PEPCK, or further reduced to malate and decarboxylated by NAD-ME or NADP-ME (Furbank, 2011; Pick et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014b). In the former case, PEP is formed and would have to return to the MCs. Both the overall and the labeled pool of PEP are ~4-fold higher in the BSCs than in the MCs, providing a concentration gradient of ~0.4 mM to drive movement from the BSCs to the MCs. If aspartate moves from the MCs to the BSCs, amino groups will have to return to the MCs. Weissmann et al. (2016) postulated that they might return as alanine. Our study reveals that the labeling kinetics of alanine are almost identical to those of pyruvate, and that alanine is strongly enriched by 40–60 min, implying that most of the large alanine pool is involved in C4 photosynthesis. Further, and in contrast to pyruvate, we estimate a small concentration gradient for alanine (0.69 mM) between the BSCs and MCs. It should, however, be noted that the alanine pool showed only a slight asymmetric distribution, so this estimate will be sensitive to noise in our data and our assumptions.

Pick et al. (2011) proposed that the NADP-ME species maize operates a parallel CCS via PEPCK. This proposal was based on PEPCK showing a similar developmental gradient to other C4 enzymes and having a high expression and activity in the BSCs. Our study supports this idea. The estimated concentration gradient for movement of aspartate to the BSCs was 0.96 mM, compared with gradients of 0.4 mM and 0.68 mM for movement of PEP and alanine back to the MCs. Making the reasonable assumption that fluxes are proportional to the intercellular concentration gradients, ~40% of the aspartate may be decarboxylated by PEPCK and the remainder by NAD- or NADP-ME. However, it is important to stress that these estimates are approximate. It is also possible that some aspartate is converted to malate in the BSCs and decarboxylated by NADP-ME. This pathway would not transfer reducing equivalents from the MCs to the BSCs. It operates in some NADP-ME species such as Flavaria bidentis, which have high PSII activity in the BSCs (Meister et al., 1996). In maize, it is presumably restricted by low BSC PSII, but a minor contribution might be possible especially if, as postulated by Meister et al. (1996), PSII activity were to co-vary with aspartate decarboxylation via NADP-ME. There is some evidence that the distribution of PSII between the MCs and BSCs in maize can vary (Drozak and Romanowska, 2006).

It has been proposed that operation of multiple decarboxylation pathways is advantageous because it allows several metabolites to be involved in the CCS, permitting a given rate of photosynthesis to be achieved with lower concentrations of each individual metabolite (Wang et al., 2014b). It may also confer robustness in a fluctuating environment (Furbank, 2011; Stitt and Zhu, 2014; Wang et al., 2014b). There may be considerable flexibility in the extent to which different decarboxylation pathways are used, even in a given species. While there was a marked asymmetric distribution of aspartate between the MCs and BSCs, and a gradient of PEP between the BSCs and MCs in the present study, gradients for aspartate (Leegood, 1985; Stitt and Heldt, 1985a, b) and alanine (Leegood, 1985) were not detected previously, and gradients for PEP were either not detected (Stitt and Heldt, 1985a, b) or were in the opposite direction (Leegood, 1985). The overall aspartate:malate ratio varies between studies, with reported values ≤0.1 (Leegood and Furbank, 1984; Leegood, 1985), as high as 0.2 (Khamis et al., 1992; Usuda, 1985), or even 0.5 (Usuda, 1987; Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988; Pick...
et al., 2011), and <0.1 in our kinetic experiment and >0.2 in the material used to analyze intercellular distributions. While alanine levels are usually about half the overall malate content, this can vary (Leegood, 1985; Pick et al., 2011), and the relative levels of aspartate, alanine, and malate vary between individual leaves (Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988) and within a single leaf (Wang et al., 2014a). Further experiments are needed to understand the reason for this variation, which may reflect differing contributions of NADP-ME and other decarboxylation enzymes, and its consequences for C₄ photosynthesis under different environmental conditions.

Operation of the CCS requires that the high concentrations of organic acids and amino acids are insulated from wasteful respiration (Bräutigam et al., 2014), including insulation. Our labeling kinetics show that whereas the active malate pool, aspartate, pyruvate, and alanine approach full enrichment by ~20 min, there was negligible movement of ¹³C into TCA cycle intermediates such as succinate or 2OG, and amino acids derived from these organic acids.

The concentration of ¹³C in maize PEPC (0.6–1.5 mM; Usuda, 1985), and that higher light intensities lead to a progressive increase in the steady-state levels of pyruvate, 3PGA, and DHAP but no marked change in the level of malate during induction of photosynthesis in maize (Leegood and Furbank, 1984; Usuda, 1985), than in a C₃ plant Arabidopsis in air (von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003; Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014). Flux in the shuttles will depend on the rate of diffusion, which depends on the magnitude of the concentration gradients and will therefore be constrained by total pool size. This makes it important to ask if carbon can be exchanged between the CCS and the 3PGA/triose-P shuttle and, if so, how quickly.

Earlier studies showed that there is a large increase in the levels of pyruvate, 3PGA, and DHAP but no marked change in the level of malate during induction of photosynthesis in maize (Leegood and Furbank, 1984; Usuda, 1985), and that higher light intensities lead to a progressive increase in the steady-state levels of pyruvate, 3PGA, and, especially, DHAP, but not of malate or PEP (Usuda, 1987; Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988). It was proposed that carbon moves between the CCS and CBC, including the large pools in the 3PGA/triose-P shuttle (Leegood and Furbank, 1984; Usuda, 1985, 1987; Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988). However, these conclusions were qualitative, and depended on comparisons at different light intensities or different times during the induction of photosynthesis.

¹³CO₂ labeling allows carbon flow to be investigated during steady-state photosynthesis. Our study reveals considerable movement of carbon from the CBC into the CCS, with 9–13% of the ¹³C fixed by RuBisCO in the first 30 s moving into CCS metabolites. Further evidence for carbon exchange between the CBC and the CCS is provided by comparing the labeling kinetics of CBC intermediates in maize with the C₃ plant Arabidopsis in 2% O₂ where photorespiration is decreased to mimic the situation in maize. The initial increase of enrichment in CBC intermediates is faster in maize, as expected from its higher rate of photosynthesis. However, between 5 min and 20 min CBC metabolite enrichment increases more slowly in maize than in Arabidopsis, indicating that there is an influx of unlabeled carbon into the CBC in maize. The most likely source is the C₁–C₃ positions of malate and aspartate, and pyruvate and alanine. It requires >20 min for their enrichment to approach that of the CBC intermediates. This ¹³C is derived from the CBC (see above) and, as the experiments were carried out at metabolic steady state, there must be an equivalent flux of unlabeled carbon from malate, aspartate, pyruvate, and alanine into the CBC.

Taken together, our results reveal substantial movement of carbon between the CCS and the 3PGA/triose-P shuttle. During steady-state photosynthesis, this involves carbon exchange, but in non-steady-state conditions it will facilitate net carbon transfer. Total carbon in the CBC, including 3PGA and triose-P, was 4144 nmol g FW⁻¹, total carbon in the CCS (positions C₁–C₃ of the active malate pool and aspartate, plus pyruvate and alanine) was ~11 000 nmol g FW⁻¹, and the rate of photosynthesis was ~55 nmol CO₂ g FW⁻¹ s⁻¹. Exchange between the CCS and CBC equivalent to ~9–14% of the rate of photosynthesis could generate a 50% change in the total CBC pool including 3PGA and triose-P in ~10 min, and in the total pool of CCS metabolites in 30 min.

Our results highlight PEPC as important for regulating allocation between the CBC and CCS. The estimated concentration of PEP in the MCs (0.38 mM) is below the measured Kᵦᵡₐ of maize PEPC (0.6–1.5 mM; Ting and Osmond, 1973; Dong et al., 1998) and especially the likely Kᵦᵡᵢ in vivo in the presence of inhibitory metabolites and at low Mg²⁺ representative of that in the cytosol (Tovar-Mendez et al., 2000). Changes in PEPC activity will alter the level of PEP and, via equilibration, 3PGA, as well as allocation between the CCS and CBC.

Flux between the CBC and the CO₂-concentrating shuttle

In maize, C₄ photosynthesis requires two types of intercellular shuttle: the CCS that deliver CO₂ to the BSCs and the 3PGA/triose-P shuttle that supplies NADPH and ATP to the BSCs. This raises the question of how they are co-ordinated. Excess CCS would result in CO₂ overaccumulation in the BSCs and increased wasteful back leakage of CO₂ to the MC. Up to 20–30% of the carbon incorporated by PEPC may leak back (Farquhar, 1983; Kromdijk et al., 2014), which will decrease quantum yield because energy is required to drive the CCS. On the other hand, a low CCS would lead to a decrease in the BSC CO₂ concentration and a wasteful increase in photorespiration (de Veau and Burris, 1989; von Caemmerer and Furbank, 2003; Bellasio and Griffiths, 2014). Flux in the shuttles will depend on the rate of diffusion, which depends on the magnitude of the concentration gradients and will therefore be constrained by total pool size. This makes it important to ask if carbon can be exchanged between the CCS and the 3PGA/triose-P shuttle and, if so, how quickly.

Earlier studies showed that there is a large increase in the levels of pyruvate, 3PGA, and DHAP but no marked change in the level of malate during induction of photosynthesis in maize (Leegood and Furbank, 1984; Usuda, 1985), and that higher light intensities lead to a progressive increase in the steady-state levels of pyruvate, 3PGA, and, especially, DHAP, but not of malate or PEP (Usuda, 1987; Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988). It was proposed that carbon moves between the CCS and CBC, including the large pools in the 3PGA/triose-P shuttle (Leegood and Furbank, 1984; Usuda, 1985, 1987; Leegood and von Caemmerer, 1988). However, these conclusions were qualitative, and depended on comparisons at different light intensities or different times during the induction of photosynthesis.

¹³CO₂ labeling allows carbon flow to be investigated during steady-state photosynthesis. Our study reveals considerable movement of carbon from the CBC into the CCS, with
CO₂ to the BSCs is decreased and photorespiration increased. This scenario might develop, for example, when stomatal closure leads to very low internal CO₂ concentrations in the MCs. It might be speculated that this is one reason for the relatively high levels of photorespiratory enzymes in maize (Osmond and Harris, 1971; Ohnishi and Kanai, 1983; Ueno et al., 2005) as in such conditions photorespiration might serve to increase energy dissipation.
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Supplementary data are available at JXB online.
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