Silver recovery from dental amalgam wastes

Abstract

Alloys produced with mercury and other metals are called amalgam. Dental amalgams are important alloys and contain 40-50% mercury with the addition of silver (22-39%), tin (12-18%) and copper (2-18%). Therefore, these amalgams have high economic value and need to be evaluated. This experimental study deals with recovering their metal contents by physical and chemical separation techniques. Within the framework of this study, distilled non-contact dental amalgam wastes were subjected to size reduction, leaching with nitric acid and cementation with copper. Leach liquor was filtered and both solution and leach residue were characterized. Results have shown that, leaching efficiency was above 99.8% and leach solution contained 16 g/L Ag, and 8.5 g/L Cu ions. Later, silver was recovered from solution by cementation with copper. The basic parameters in the cementation experiments, such as cementator quantity, stirring speed, pH, and temperature were examined. Cementation efficiency reached above 99.9% and chemical analysis has shown that silver concentration of solution decreased from 16g/L to 1.7 mg/L.
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Introduction

Alloys made with mercury and other metals are known as amalgam. Dental amalgams are important alloys having mercury (Hg=40-50%) as a main component and include silver (=22-39%), tin (=12-18%) and copper (=2-18%). Considering the content of dental amalgams, due to the combination of silver, tin and copper in the structure, they have high economic value. The mixing of silver and other elements and mercury is known as amalgamation. During this process, metallic powders are dissolved in the mercury along with nitric acid and cementation with copper. Leach liquor was filtered and both solution and leach residue were characterized. Results have shown that, leaching efficiency was above 99.8% and leach solution contained 16 g/L Ag, and 8.5 g/L Cu ions. Later, silver was recovered from solution by cementation with copper. The basic parameters in the cementation experiments, such as cementator quantity, stirring speed, pH, and temperature were examined. Cementation efficiency reached above 99.9% and chemical analysis has shown that silver concentration of solution decreased from 16g/L to 1.7 mg/L.
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Table 1: Resulting phases of dental amalgams

| Phase | Chemical formula |
|-------|------------------|
| γ     | Ag3Sn            |
| γ₁    | Ag3Hg2           |
| γ₂    | Sn3Hg            |
| ε     | Cu2Sn            |
| η     | Cu3Sn5           |
| Silver-Copper Eutectic | Ag5Cu |

Resulting alloy may also contain large amounts of unreacted γ-Ag3Sn phase in the structure. Modern amalgam compositions can contain copper up to 30%. In this case the following reactions take place in the next step:

\[
\text{Sn}_{7-8}\text{Hg} + \text{Cu} \rightarrow \text{Cu}_3\text{Sn} + \text{Hg} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Sn}_{7-8}\text{Hg} + \text{Cu} \rightarrow \text{Cu}_3\text{Sn} + \text{Hg} \tag{3}
\]

Elemental form of mercury occurs as a result of the reactions (2) and (3), in a subsequent step it reacts with the Ag-Sn alloy and consequently the desired γ-Ag3Hg, phase is formed in the structure. Occurrence of these reactions offers many advantages in terms of performance of the material. The developing structure arising from the reactions (2) and (3) is more resistant to creep and corrosion. Having been eliminated of corrosion is a significant advantage, especially if mercury were released from the filling; it directly enters the gastrointestinal tract of the user. Moreover, the material produced as a result of these reactions compared to other traditional amalgams access rapidly to the high strength values. Dental amalgam is one of the most commonly used permanent filling materials in restorative dentistry. Its physical and mechanical properties, stability, ease of use, and relatively low cost have made amalgam the preferred choice in many clinical situations when compared with other direct restorative materials such as composites and glass ionomers. However, one of its major is that of mercury which is of particular concern due to its potential adverse effects on humans and the environment. There are different types of amalgam wastes produced in dentist offices such as:

I. Non-contact amalgam (scrap) is excess mix leftover at the end of a dental procedure. Many recyclers will buy this clean scrap.

II. Contact amalgam is amalgam that has been in contact with the patient. Examples are extracted teeth with amalgam restorations, caries in teeth, and amalgam captured by chair side traps, filters, or screens.

III. Chair side traps capture amalgam waste during amalgam placement or removal procedures (traps from dental units dedicated strictly to hygiene may be placed in the regular garbage).

IV. Vacuum pump filters or traps contain amalgam sludge and...
water. Some recyclers will accept whole filters, while others will require special handling of this material.

V. Amalgam sludge is the mixture of liquid and solid material collected within vacuum pump filters or other amalgam capture devices.

VI. Empty amalgam capsules are the individually dosed containers left over after mixing precapsulated dental amalgam. There are four possible harmful effects of dental amalgam—oral galvanism, toxicity, allergenicity, and ecological grievances.

Steps for recycling amalgam waste are listed as:

a) Stock amalgam capsules in a variety of sizes to minimize the amount of amalgam waste generated.

b) Amalgam waste may be mixed with body fluids, such as saliva, or other potentially infectious material, so use personal protective equipment such as utility gloves, masks, and protective eyewear when handling it.

c) Contact an amalgam waste recycler about any special requirements that may exist in your area for collecting, storing and transporting amalgam waste.

d) Store amalgam waste in a covered plastic container labeled “Amalgam for Recycling” or as directed by your recycler. Consider keeping different types (e.g., contact and non-contact) of amalgam wastes in separate container talk to your recycler about any advantages in doing so.

Dental amalgams are criticized with being associated with many diseases such as multiple sclerosis, and mental disorders. In the blood of people using amalgam fillings on their teeth, mercury concentrations are found higher than other people. On the other hand, in 1994, it is indicated on an article that, although dentists and their employees have higher mercury in their bodies than normal people, they do not get any disease with high rates. At all scientific studies are focused on the assumption that the mercury in amalgam fillings does not interact with biological organisms. However, Stone and his colleagues revealed that waste water samples from different scale dental hospitals, methyl-mercury content was found much higher than that of in nature. In this study, it is indicated that methyl-mercury can be found on waste waters of a first time made dental restoration hospital and draw attention to the importance of waste management at the amalgam restoration hospitals.

Material & method

Dental amalgam waste subjected to this experimental study was supplied from Istanbul Technical University Medical Health Center. Dental amalgam wastes were characterized by PANalytical X’PERT PRO XRD as shown in Figure 1. It shows that the phase analysis of the amalgam waste that are similar to the ones given in the literature. Furthermore, existence of Ag₄Hg₁₂C₁₂Sn, and Cu₁₂Sn₅ phases as main components in the amalgam structure shows that the material is a high copper amalgam. After distillation process, mercury free dental amalgams produced were used in experimental study shown in Figure 2. Experimental procedure included grinding, leaching, and cementation steps. Distilled dental amalgam samples were wet ground with SPEXTM Mixer Mill for 90 minutes. Grinding media/material ratio was selected as 5/1. Wet milling was performed by using ethanol. Ground material was sieved below 315μm and dried in oven at 200°C for six hours. Dried powder was leached in 50% HNO₃ at a solid/liquid ratio of 1/5 for four hours. After leaching and solid/liquid separation, both solid residue and liquid were analyzed by X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) Spectrometer and Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS), respectively. Later, cementation experiments were carried out at different pH values and different stirring speeds. Cementation was carried out with copper powder. Particle size analysis of copper powder, carried out by Malvern Mastersizer 2000, is shown in Figure 3. Results showed that the average particle size (d₅₀) was determined as 313.17 μm. SEM image of copper particles is shown in Figure 4.
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Results & discussion

Results are summarized in two parts as leaching and cementation. Possible reactions which occur during leaching with HNO₃ are as follows:

\[
3\text{Ag}(s) + 4\text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow 3\text{AgNO}_3(aq) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (5)
\]

\[
3\text{Cu}(s) + 8\text{HNO}_3 \rightarrow 3\text{Cu(NO}_3)_2(aq) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{NO}_2 \quad (6)
\]

Leaching experiments were carried out at 50% HNO₃ concentration, S/L ratio of 1/5, leaching time of 4 hours, and room temperature. Results showed that, silver leaching efficiency was above 99.8% and leach solution contained 16 g/L Ag⁺ and 8.5 g/L Cu²⁺ ions. Leach residue was characterized by XRF and contained 0.397% Cu and 0.113% Ag. In the cementation experiments the effects of cementator quantity (times x 1.0-1.5 stoichiometric ratio), stirring speed (no stirring, 120, 240, and 360rpm), pH (0.5, 1.0, 1.75) and temperature (25, 45, 65°C) on Ag cementation were investigated and the results are summarized below. Experiments of determining the optimum cementator amount were performed at pH 1.75 for four hours. The results are shown in Figure 5. Results have shown that a cementation yield of 99.5% was obtained in case of using 1.2 times the stoichiometrically required copper as a cementator. The resulting cement silvers were characterized by XRF and the results are shown in Figure 6. Silver recovery values seemed to be stable at around 99.5% when using more than 1.2 times the stoichiometrically required copper. As a result of the experiments, the optimum cementator amount was determined to be 1.2 times of the stoichiometric ratio. Experiments were performed at room temperature and pH 1.75 to investigate the effect of stirring speed, which was chosen as 0, 120, 240, and 360rpm in the series of experiments where 1.2 times the stoichiometrically required amount of cementator was used. Dendritic silver crystals obtained in the experiment with no stirring are shown in Figure 7 and results are illustrated in Figure 8. Tests for determining the optimum pH were performed at room temperature and at 240rpm constant stirring speed. The results are shown in Figure 9. Effect of pH on cementation rate was dominant at the beginning of experiment and it didn’t chance much after 30 minutes of cementation. Effect of temperature on silver cementation is shown in Figure 10. Increasing temperature resulted in decreasing effect on cementation up to 60 minutes of time where they all reached to the same value.
Conclusion

The following conclusions are made as a result of this experimental study on recovery of silver from dental amalgam wastes by using hydrometallurgical processes as leaching and cementation:

i. Leaching was applied to distilled dental amalgam with $\sim 315\mu m$ in size by using nitric acid and results have shown that leaching efficiency was above 99.8%. The resulting solution contains 16 g/L Ag$^+$ and 8.5 g/L Cu$^{2+}$.

ii. Experiments show that optimum cementator quantity is found as 1.2 times the stoichiometric ratio at pH 1.75 and 240 rpm stirring speed. Cementation yield was determined to be above 99.5%.

iii. The cementation rate increased with increasing stirring speed; the optimum of which was found as 240 rpm.

iv. Effect of pH on cementation rate was dominant at the beginning of experiment and it did not change much after 30 minutes of cementation.

v. Increasing temperature had decreasing effect on cementation and room temperature gave the best results with time.

vi. As a result of this experimental research, the optimum cementation conditions are determined as pH 0.5, 240 rpm stirring speed, room temperature, cementator amount about 1.2 times the stoichiometric ratio, and 60-minute duration. Silver cementation yield was 99.9% under these conditions meaning that silver from dental amalgam wastes can be recovered successfully.
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