Human Retrovirus Codon Usage from tRNA Point of View: Therapeutic Insights

Diego Frias¹*, Joana P. Monteiro-Cunha²,³,*, Aline C. Mota-Miranda²,³, Vagner S. Fonseca¹, Tulio de Oliveira⁴, Bernardo Galvao-Castro³,⁵ and Luiz C. J. Alcantara⁵

¹Bahia State University, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. ²Federal University of Bahia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. ³Bahia School of Medicine and Public Health/Bahia Foundation for Science Development, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. ⁴Africa Centre for Health and Population Studies, Doris Duke Medical Research Institute, Nelson Mandela School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa. ⁵Gonçalo Moniz Research Center, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. *These authors contributed equally to this work.

Corresponding author email: lalcan@bahia.fiocruz.br

Abstract: The purpose of this study was to investigate the balance between transfer ribonucleic acid (tRNA) supply and demand in retrovirus-infected cells, seeking the best targets for antiretroviral therapy based on the hypothetical tRNA Inhibition Therapy (TRIT). Codon usage and tRNA gene data were retrieved from public databases. Based on logistic principles, a therapeutic score (T-score) was calculated for all sense codons, in each retrovirus-host system. Codons that are critical for viral protein translation, but not as critical for the host, have the highest T-score values. Theoretically, inactivating the cognate tRNA species should imply a severe reduction of the elongation rate during viral mRNA translation. We developed a method to predict tRNA species critical for retroviral protein synthesis. Four of the best TRIT targets in HIV-1 and HIV-2 encode Large Hydrophobic Residues (LHR), which have a central role in protein folding. One of them, codon CUA, is also a TRIT target in both HTLV-1 and HTLV-2. Therefore, a drug designed for inactivating or reducing the cytoplasmatic concentration of tRNA species with anticodon TAG could attenuate significantly both HIV and HTLV protein synthesis rates. Inversely, replacing codons ending in UA by synonymous codons should increase the expression, which is relevant for DNA vaccine design.
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Introduction
The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and human T-cell lymphotropic virus (HTLV) are two of the most pathogenic human retroviruses; currently, they affect around 33.5 and 20 million people worldwide, respectively.\(^1\) Both viruses have in common many structural characteristics, the same transmission routes and the fact that they predominately, but not exclusively, infect CD4+ T cells. However, these viruses present distinct disease manifestations. HIV infection is associated with a progressive damage to the immune system which leads to the development of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) within a variable time frame in the great majority of infected individuals. In contrast, HTLV is associated with adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma (ATL) and HTLV-1-associated myelopathy/tropical spastic paraparesis (HAM/TSP); however, 95% of HTLV infected individuals never develop symptoms.\(^2\) Unfortunately, there is currently no therapy capable of curing or vaccinating against either HIV or HTLV infection.

The translation of messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) into protein is an unidirectional process mediated by ribosomes, aminoacylated transfer RNAs (aa-tRNAs), and several factors regulating translation initiation, peptide chain elongation, and translation termination.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^5\)

The primary information gathered from non-redundant coding sequences in a genome, relevant for translational studies, is the counts of the 61 sense codons. For many species this data can be retrieved from the Codon Usage Database. (Nakamura et al, 2000).\(^6\) The relative amount of each codon species determines the codon usage of the species. As each codon needs to be decoded by a cognate tRNA species during translation, codon usage and tRNA content have coevolved towards translation optimization.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^12\)

However, tRNA statistics are only available for some model organisms, limiting the studies on the balance between codon usage and tRNA abundance, as in this work.

Most studies of codon usage evolution within species and between closely related species, as well as correlating tRNA abundance and codon usage,\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^21\) were based on the unequal use of codons encoding the same amino acid, known as codon usage bias (CUB). However, recent results\(^22\) suggest that CUB is not relevant for translation. Analyzing the correlation between \(\sim 152,000\) protein chains and the associated mRNAs retrieved from public databases (PDB, Uniprot, TREMBL, EMBL), Saunders and Deane found that CUB is less informative than tRNA concentration for assigning translation speed. Previously, Tuller et al\(^{17}\) obtained similar results for yeast. This finding, apparently contradictory, is because CUB does not take into account the use of amino acids that is relevant to the logistics of translation. Therefore, our model, rather than rely on CUB, is based on codon frequencies. For this reason we need to develop proper bioinformatics tools.

Pathogens and hosts are immersed in an antagonistic coevolution that results in a never-ending arms race.\(^23\) Some of the arms developed by HIV and the human host cell have already been described. In particular, van Weringh et al\(^{24}\) found changes in the tRNA pool elicited by the presence of HIV, and Kofman et al\(^{25,26}\) found evidence of the existence of codon usage-mediated mechanisms of viral gene expression inhibition in mammalian cells, assumed to be independent of translation. More recently, a novel innate antiviral mechanism in the host cell was identified, in which a human protein, Schlafen 11 (SLFN11), selectively inhibits viral protein synthesis in HIV-infected cells.\(^12\) SLFN11 is induced directly by pathogens via the interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) pathway and was shown to bind specific tRNAs modulating the translation of viral proteins without affecting the translation of cell proteins.\(^12\) However, downregulating the abundance of specific tRNA species in the cell in order to selectively inhibit viral protein synthesis can be done by interfering any of the processes occurring in the tRNA life-cycle, and not only by binding to the aminoacylated tRNA molecule.\(^4\) For example, pseudomonic acid (mupirocin) and furanomycin, a non-proteinogenic amino acid, inhibits the isoleucyl-tRNA synthetase, interfering the aminoacylation process of the tRNA species decoding codon AUA.\(^27\) Based on these premises, and taking into account that viral mRNA is translated by the host cell translational system, we investigated the logistical support for a hypothetical antiretroviral therapy consisting of inhibiting specific transfer RNA species. Such tRNA Inhibition Therapy (TRIT) should severely attenuate the rate of viral protein translation with moderated collateral effects.
Our approach uses production logistics principles used for ensuring that each workstation is being supplied with the right product, in the right quantity and quality, at the right time. The eukaryotic cell is undoubtedly the most complex chemical industry, especially its translational apparatus. The ribosome can be viewed as a molecular machine which receives protein-production orders from the cell in the form of mRNAs. Because viral mRNAs compete for resources in infected cells, mainly for ribosomes and for tRNAs, with the host mRNA pool it seems reasonable to investigate whether the translation of retroviral mRNA could be attenuated by limiting the supply of certain species of transfer RNA, but without a severe impact on the host cell. Therefore, it was assumed a TRIT drug is capable of selectively inhibiting a specific tRNA species. Under this assumption, the challenge was to identify the best targets for TRIT. With this purpose, we designed an index called T-score, proven to be maximized by tRNA species with the best TRIT potential. From this point, we will refer indistinctly to codons and their cognate tRNAs, except when strictly needed. We will mostly refer to a generic ordinal \( i \) which varies from 1 to 64, according to an ordering scheme described in the material and methods section.

**Material and Methods**

Firstly, we characterize data sources and introduce a codon ordering scheme, leading to a new arrangement of the genetic code table. Secondly, we describe how the integral differences of codon usage of the considered species were measured. Then, upon the analysis of tRNA data and identification of pyrimidine-ending synonymous tRNA-poor and tRNA-rich codon pairs in humans, we describe a translational model with the overall number of codons in the human genome, as well as an atypical codon representation, the rationale behind T-score is described.

**Codon ordering and genetic code representation**

According to the intracodon purine gradient observed in most coding sequences, we introduced a new codon ordering scheme. Codons were ordered according to the nucleotide type (purine or pyrimidine) in the different codon positions. Purines (A before G) come first, followed by pyrimidines (C before U). Thus, denoting by \( \text{ord}(x) \) the ordinal of a given base \( x \), we have \( \text{ord}(A) = 1, \text{ord}(G) = 2, \text{ord}(C) = 3 \), and \( \text{ord}(U) = 4 \). This implies that AAA is the first, \( \text{ord}(AAA) = 1 \), while UUU is the last, \( \text{ord}(UUU) = 64 \). For a generic codon \( b_1 b_2 b_3 \), such that \( b_i = \{A,G,C,U\} \) for \( i = 1,2,3 \), we have \( \text{ord}(b_1 b_2 b_3) = \text{ord}(b_1) + 4(\text{ord}(b_2) - 1) + 16(\text{ord}(b_3) - 1) \); this way, the first 32 codons begin with purine and the last 32 codons with pyrimidine. Besides, this codon ordering lead to a new arrangement of the translation table (Table 1) that resembles the classification scheme of the genetic code proposed by Wilhelm and Nikolajewa. The adopted codon ordering scheme provides better insights into the symmetry of the underlying box-structure reflecting the redundancies in the genetic code that will be published elsewhere. However, in this case it was useful in identifying regularities on tRNA abundance in the human genome, as well as an atypical codon composition of HTLV.

**Codon usage comparison**

The codon usage pattern of a given species \( s \) is, in first instance, given by the list of the genomic frequencies of the codons, \( 0 \leq C_{i,s} \leq 1, i = 1,2, \ldots, 64 \) such that \( \sum_i C_{i,s} = 1 \). The genomic frequency of codon \( i \) is calculated as the ratio of the counts of the codon, \( N_i \), in the genome and the total number of codons \( N = \sum_i N_i \), that is \( C_i = N_i/N \). The stop-codon frequencies (at positions 49, 50, and 53) in this context were considered null. To measure the degree of similarity between codon usage patterns of two species “a” and “b”, we introduced the dot product coefficient of similarity \( S \), given by

\[
S_{a,b} = \frac{\sum_i C_{i,a} C_{i,b}}{\sqrt{(\sum_i C_{i,a}^2)(\sum_i C_{i,b}^2)}}
\]
which differs from most common indexes used for codon usage studies because it is not based on CUB and varies between 0 and 1. $S$ ranges from 0 to 1 because it is the cosine of the angle spanned by the pair of codon frequency vectors being compared $[\mathbf{C}_{1,\text{a}}, \mathbf{C}_{2,\text{a}}, \ldots, \mathbf{C}_{64,\text{a}}]$ and $[\mathbf{C}_{1,\text{b}}, \mathbf{C}_{2,\text{b}}, \ldots, \mathbf{C}_{64,\text{b}}]$ on a 64-dimensional Euclidean hyperspace, and the two vectors have no negative components.

### Translation model

We used the relative codon frequencies in each synonymous pyrimidine-ending codon pairs to establish a translational balance between tRNA gene number and pair-wise synonymous codon frequency. Denote by $g_{\text{i}}$ and $g_{\text{j}}$ the number of tRNA genes decoding codon $i$ (tRNA-poor) and $j$ (tRNA-rich), respectively, in a tRNA-sharing pair (column $n$ in Table 1). Let $G = g_{\text{i}} + g_{\text{j}}$ denote the overall tRNA gene number in the codon pair; denote also by $N_{\text{i}}$ and $N_{\text{j}}$ the genomic codon counts for codons $i$ and $j$, respectively, retrieved from the human genome data. The codon-pair wise relative frequency of the tRNA-poor codon is given by $f_{\text{i}} = N_{\text{i}} / (N_{\text{i}} + N_{\text{j}})$. Thus, we calculate what we call functional tRNA gene numbers were used to calculate the relative tRNA abundance $t_{\text{i},\text{b}} = g_{\text{i}}^* / \sum_{j} g_{\text{j}}^*$ needed for calculating T-score.

### Therapeutic score calculation

In productive systems, the ratio of demand ($d_{\text{i}}$) and supply ($s_{\text{i}}$) for a generic resource $i$ is a measure of the imbalance $u_{\text{i}} = 1 - d_{\text{i}} / s_{\text{i}}$ of the supply chain for this resource. The imbalance is positive ($u_{\text{i}} > 0$) when the supply exceeds the demand, and negative ($u_{\text{i}} < 0$) when the supply does not match the demand. Taking into account that virus and host mRNA pools compete for the same finite translational resources, the (host-demand, virus-supply) perspectives as their demands differ. There are two main translational resources, ribosomes and tRNA species, for which virus and host compete. Here if we focus tRNA species decoding each sense codon $i$, then the demands are given by the codon frequencies, that is $d_{\text{i},\text{v}} = C_{i,v}$ and $d_{\text{i},\text{h}} = C_{i,h}$, for virus and host, respectively, while the supply is given by the relative abundance of the cognate tRNA species, that is, $s_{\text{i},\text{v}} = t_{\text{i},\text{v}}$. In fact, we hypothesized that for some tRNA species there may exist a negative imbalance for the virus ($u_{\text{i},\text{v}} < 0$) but a positive imbalance for the host ($u_{\text{i},\text{h}} > 0$). If this case ever exists, it must hold that $\Delta u_{\text{i}} = u_{\text{i},\text{h}} - u_{\text{i},\text{v}} >> 0$; thus by calculating the difference of imbalance $\Delta u_{\text{i}}$ for each codon $i$ in a given virus-host system, and looking at the maximum
max(\(\Delta u_i\)), we can identify the species of tRNA that could be therapeutically inhibited (decreasing \(s_{i,h}\)) to worsen the imbalance for the virus, but without affecting (turning negative) imbalance for the host. In order to prioritize codons less abundant in the human genome and to minimize the impact on the host cell, \(\Delta u_i\) was divided by the frequency of the codon \(i\) in the human genome \(C_{i,h}\), thus resulting in the T-score index formula

\[
T-score_i = \frac{\Delta u_i}{C_{i,h}}
\]

given in explicit form as

\[
T-score_i = \frac{C_{i,v} - C_{i,h}}{t_{i,h}C_{i,h}}
\]

In accordance with T-score definition, tRNA targets for TRIT must have large positive T-score values with respect to the average over all tRNA species.

**Results and Discussion**

**Codon usage comparison**

The codon frequencies of HIV and HTLV types 1 and 2 were plotted using the same scale (Fig. 1A). There are slight differences between types but a large difference between retroviruses. Strikingly, the data show that the bias toward codons beginning with purine observed in most organisms,\(^{29}\) assumed to be a reminiscence of an ancestral R:N:Y (puRine:aNy:pYrimidine) codon\(^{30}\) is not present in HTLV. Such dissociation from a universal pattern opens questions about HTLV’s origin and evolution, which deserves further attention but is beyond the scope of this paper. To quantify the departure of HTLV from the universal compositional pattern consisting of a purine gradient from the third to the first codon position,\(^{29}\) we introduced a new compositional feature given by the ratio of codons with purine and pyrimidine in the first codon position (R1/Y1). The R1/Y1 values for HTLV are less than 1 (0.59 for HTLV-1, 0.82 for HTLV-2, whilst HIV-1, HIV-2 and human have R1/Y1 values of 1.81, 1.57, and 1.39, respectively (Fig. 1B).

The similarity index values \((S)\) of the codon frequency patterns are shown in Table 2. HIV-1 and HIV-2 are \(~98\)% similar, while HTLV-1 and HTLV-2 are only \(~88\)% similar. Compared with human, the codon usages of retroviruses have similarities varying from \(~76\)% (human versus HTLV-1) to \(~87\)% (human versus HIV-2).

**Translation model**

Until now, a total of 506 tRNA genes in the human genome have been annotated. However, those genes encode only 48 tRNA species (anticodons). Therefore, there are 13 tRNA-less sense codons in the human genome (see column \(g\) in Table 1). Those tRNA-less codons must be decoded by a tRNA species cognate to their synonymous codon(s) via wobble base pairing.\(^{31,32}\) We noticed that in the 16 pairs of synonymous codons ending with pyrimidine (eight with U and eight with C) on the standard genetic code, there is a significant bias in the number of cognate tRNA genes, being one codon tRNA-poor, with no or very few tRNA genes and the other tRNA-rich, and with a number of tRNA genes above the average genome (see column \(g\) in Table 1). Interestingly, this regularity is amino acid-independent and is determined by the chemical structure or size of the nucleotide at the third codon position, purine or pyrimidine. Purines consist of two carbon-nitrogen chemical rings, whereas pyrimidines have only one ring. We found that only pyrimidine-ending codons have scarce tRNA genes (see shadowed cells in Table 1). We also noticed that all 13 tRNA-less codons form part of such tRNA-poor and tRNA-rich codon pairs. Since regularities in nature are always related to functional constraints, this finding suggests that the 16 tRNA-poor codons are translated mainly by the tRNA species of their synonymous tRNA-rich codons, giving rise to a model of tRNA sharing at pyrimidine-ending codon-pair level.

It also suggests that the most frequent wobble codon-anticodon pairings in the human cell are U-G and C-A, depending on the third base of the tRNA-poor codon.

Under this assumption we can calculate the fraction of tRNA cognate to the tRNA-rich codon in a pyrimidine-ending synonymous codon pair that is more likely used to decode the tRNA-poor codon; this can be done in order to obtain a balance of translation (see material and methods). In columns \(g\) and \(g’\) in Table 1, we show the tRNA gene numbers before (genomic) and after redistribution (tRNA-sharing model based), respectively.
tRNA and codon frequency balance

The expression profile of human genes was assumed to be uniform, not only due to the lack of expression data in human T-cells, but with the aim of giving the same importance to all genes, including those with low expression in host cells. This is important when an antiviral therapeutic approach that attenuates selectively tRNA abundances is being investigated. In the absence of better data, we assumed a uniform profile of tRNA genes transcription, that is, that tRNA...
abundance is determined by the number of genes of each tRNA species. In the upper frame of Figure 2, we show a bar plot of relative codon frequency \( c_i \) and relative tRNA abundance \( t_i \) for human. The correlation coefficient was \( R = 0.6325 \). In the bottom frame of Figure 2, the relative frequency of tRNA \( [(t_i - c_i)/c_i] \) was plotted. A negative value indicates deficit of tRNA and positive value tRNA a surplus. Data suggest that there are eleven codons (AAC, AAU, ACG, AUG, AUU, CAA, UGC, UGU, UCG, and UUA) in human genome favored with abundant tRNA species \( [(t_i - c_i)/c_i \geq 0.5] \) and five codons (AGC, AGU, CCC, CCU, CUG) neglected \( [(t_i - c_i)/c_i \leq -0.5] \). We concluded that the tRNA species decoding such neglected codons should never be selected as targets for TRIT.

### Table 2. Similarity of codon usage patterns between HIV, HTLV and human genomes.

| Compared species       | Similarity index—\( S \) |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| HIV-1/HIV-2            | 0.981                     |
| HTLV-1/HTLV-2          | 0.882                     |
| HIV-1/HTLV-1           | 0.615                     |
| HIV-2/HTLV-1           | 0.645                     |
| HIV-1/HTLV-2           | 0.726                     |
| HIV-2/HTLV-2           | 0.775                     |
| Human/HIV-1            | 0.841                     |
| Human/HTLV-1           | 0.874                     |
| Human/HIV-2            | 0.762                     |
| Human/HTLV-2           | 0.832                     |

The \( T \)-scores for the tRNA species decoding the 61 sense codons in HIV-1, HIV-2, HTLV-1, and HTLV-2 are plotted in Figure 3A. The best tRNA targets for TRIT are those having highest positive scores (see material and methods). The results for HIV and HTLV show that approximately 90% of the codons have \( T \)-scores in ranging from \(-85 \) to \( 100 \) (Fig. 3B). Therefore, we assumed that tRNA species with \( T \)-score \( \geq 400 \) are the best TRIT targets, whilst negative outliers are the worst choices (Fig. 3B).

HIV-1 and HIV-2 have the same five best tRNA targets for TRIT decoding codons AGA, AUA, GUA, CUA, and UUA. The worst tRNA target (negative outlier) for HIV types 1 and 2 is the tRNA species decoding the codon CGU. It seems that HIV types 1
and 2 have the same tRNA targets due to the high intraspecies similarity of their codon usages, yielding a similarity index of $S_{HIV-1,HIV-2} = 0.98$ (Table 2). Interestingly, four of the five TRIT targets in HIV types 1 and 2 end in UA, and carry isoleucine, valine and leucine, which are large hydrophobic residues (LHR) known to have a central role in protein folding.\textsuperscript{33,34} More precisely, the HIV cone-shaped capsid depends on the correct folding of the side chains of the N-terminus domain (NTD) ring that forms a
hydrophobic region. They found that the correct folding of the side chains depends on four valine (24, 26, 27, and 59), three leucine (20, 52, and 56), and three alanine (22, 31, and 65). This finding suggests that inhibiting the found target tRNA species in HIV-infected patients should affect the assembly of capsids limiting the production of virions.

Regarding HTLV, we found only three tRNA targets for HTLV-2 decoding codons CCC, CUA, and UCC, and one negative outlier decoding codon AGU. However, we found eight tRNA targets for HTLV-1 decoding codons GCG, CGU, CCG, CCC, CUA, CUC, CUU, and UCG, and the same negative outlier. Analyzing the T-score results for HTLV, we noticed that the tRNA-poor CCC codon is one of the five neglected codons in human cells, and that the tRNA species decoding its tRNA-rich synonymous codon CCU was excluded from the target list. The difference in tRNA targets between types 1 and 2 of HTLV can be explained by the large dissimilarity of their codon usages \(1 - S_{\text{HTLV1, HTLV2}} = 0.22\) (Table 2).

It should be remarked that despite the large dissimilarities between codon usages of HIV and HTLV species, ranging from 0.23 for HIV-2 and HRLV-2 to 0.39 for HIV-1 and HTLV-1, we noticed that the codon CUA is decoded by one of the best tRNA targets for TRIT for the four studied retrovirus species (HIV-1, HIV-2, HTLV-1, and HTLV-2). Thus, a TRIT drug designed for inhibiting the tRNA with anticodon UAG could probably control both HIV and HTLV types 1 and 2 replication. Finally, it must be noted that, unlike in HIV cases, we found three tRNA targets in HTLV-1 or HTLV-2 decoding codons ending in pyrimidine (CGU, CUC and CUU). For this reason, the calculated T-score values for such tRNA targets depend on the chosen translation model.

**Conclusion**

In this article, we addressed for the first time the balance between tRNA and codon usage in virus-host systems, seeking novel therapeutic alternatives. More precisely, the current study aimed to investigate the viability of a hypothetical TRIT expected to attenuate the translation of retroviral proteins without inducing collateral damage to the host cells. A T-score that quantifies the potential of each tRNA species to be targeted by a TRIT was derived from a steady state balance at ribosome of the relative tRNA species' abundance and cognate codon relative frequencies, in both host and viral mRNA pools. In this case, viability is, on first instance, ensured by differences between the virus and the host codon usage profiles. Available data show significant differences between codon-frequency profiles in retroviruses and humans, which varies from 13% (HIV-2) to 24% (HTLV-1). It is important to remark that a significant singularity in the HTLV codon usage profile was found, since it does not follow the universal pattern observed in most known organisms consisting of a purine gradient towards first codon position. This finding suggests further studies addressing HTLV origin and evolution are needed.

In the next step, we looked for tRNA targets using T-score. To achieve that, a putative distribution of the relative abundance of tRNA species effectively translating each of the 61 sense codons was needed. Available human tRNA gene data show that there are only 48 anticodon species encoded in the genome. Therefore, using wobble pairing theory and logistic principles, we designed a low-complexity translation model that was used to calculate a complete tRNA frequency profile in the human cell, assuming uniform tRNA gene expression. Subsequently, calculating the T-score profile for each retrovirus-host system, we showed that, in all virus types, the T-score of most codons are distributed in the range of −85 to approximately 100 (Fig. 3B). Codons with the greatest T-scores were outside the main distribution (positive outliers), leading to two conclusions: (1) T-score is a robust linear discriminator which performs in a similar way with different genomes like HIV and HTLV, and (2) codons (their cognate tRNAs) with T-score >100 are all TRIT targets in retrovirus-human cases. Analyzing such TRIT targets in HIV-1, we observed that four of the five codons ending in UA, which encode LHR, were identified between the five TRIT targets. Moreover, codon CUA is also the best of the three TRIT targets in HTLV-2, as well as the best of eight TRIT targets in HTLV-1. This coincidence allowed us to suggest the design of a single TRIT drug, theoretically effective against both types of HIV and HTLV. Our data also suggest that substituting adenine with guanine at the third codon position of tRNA-poor codons ending in UA in the HIV genome should increase the availability of tRNA for the mutant codon, and that it may then enhance
HIV protein synthesis. The later can be relevant for increasing antigenicity of vaccine candidates. Previous results support this hypothesis; in particular, Lemey et al.\(^3\) found a correlation between synonymous codon substitutions in the virus genome and the replication rate of HIV which determines the disease progression. As synonymous substitutions do not change the amino acid encoded, such increases in the replication rate of the virus are unlikely to be associated with escape from the humoral and cell-mediated immune responses; they are more likely due to an improvement in translation rate as a result of choosing better codons, which is, having more abundant tRNA. This assumption was also supported by the results of Zhou et al.\(^5\) which found that papilloma-virus capsid protein expression depends on the match between codon usage and tRNA availability. In our work we found that codons ending in UA have the highest T-score, indicating a deficit of tRNA decoding such non-rare codons during translation of HIV proteins in the human cell. However, further studies are needed for testing our in silico-born hypothesis.

Currently, there are five classes of antiretroviral agents combined in Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART). They act at different stages in the lifecycle of HIV, inhibiting entry, reverse transcription, integration, and protein cleavage. If an HIV infection becomes resistant to standard HAART, there are limited options. Some patients may benefit from clinical trials of new drugs, but this opportunity is very limited in the developing world. In this scenario, TRIT represents a new and promising alternative for controlling the replication of retroviruses, which can give rise to a new class of antiretroviral drug for HAART. One or two nonsynonymous mutations in the viral genome can provide resistance to certain HAART drugs. Therefore, it is highly relevant to design personalized antiretroviral therapies by screening for resistance mutations in the strains collected for each HIV patient. (Altman et al, 2009).\(^4\)

However, to escape from TRIT the virus needs to select several synonymous mutations of the codons decoded by the target tRNA, which does not happen easily by chance.
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