Gait speed and cognitive decline over 2 years in the Ibadan study of aging
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ABSTRACT
Background: The evidence suggesting that gait speed may represent a sensitive marker for cognitive decline in the elderly requires support from diverse racial groups.
Objective: We investigated the relationship between gait speed and cognitive decline over 2 years in a community dwelling sample of elderly Africans.
Methods: Data are from the Ibadan study of aging (ISA) conducted among a household multi-stage probability sample of 2149 Yoruba Nigerians aged 65 years or older. Gait speed was measured as the time taken to complete a 3 or 4 m distance at normal walking speed. We assessed cognitive functions with a modified version of the 10-word learning list and delay recall test, and examined the relationship between baseline gait speed, as well as gait speed changes, and follow-up cognition using multiple linear regression and longitudinal analyses using random effects.
Results: Approximately 71% of 1461 participants who were dementia free and who had their gait speed measured at baseline (2007) were successfully followed up in two waves (2008 and 2009). Along with increasing age, poor health and economic status, a slower baseline gait speed was independently associated with poorer follow-up cognition in both linear regression (1.2 words, 95% CI = 0.48–2.0) and longitudinal analyses (0.8 words, 95% CI = 0.44–1.2). Also, a greater change in gait speed between 2007 and 2009 was associated with the worst follow-up cognition (0.3 words, 95% CI = 0.09–0.51).
Conclusion: The finding that a substantial change in gait speed was associated with reduced cognitive performance is of potential importance to efforts aimed at early identification of cognitive disorders in this population.
© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Background
Studies conducted in Western Europe and North America have reported that gait speed is an important indicator of global functioning and health of the elderly [1,2]. Gait mechanics involve the interplay of many cognitive and motor functions known to decline with aging [1,3]. In line with this relationship, clinico-pathological correlations [4] and prospective longitudinal studies [5] suggest that gait speed dysfunction is associated with early markers of cognitive disorders in older adults.

In a large prospective longitudinal study of community dwelling elderly Nigerians we aimed to: (1) determine whether baseline gait speed was associated with cognitive function at 2-year follow-up; (2) determine whether there was an association between rate of change in gait speed and cognitive function at follow-up; and (3) assess the relationship between change in gait speed and change in cognition over time.

2. Methods
2.1. Sample
The Ibadan study of aging [6] is a longitudinal cohort study of persons aged 65 years and over selected using stratified multistage
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cluster sampling from eight neighboring states of the Yoruba-speaking region of Nigeria.

Wave one of the data collection was conducted between November 3, 2003 and August 27, 2004; with follow up in 2007 (including 552 additional households), 2008 and 2009. The present report is based on assessments conducted in 2007 (as baseline) and 2009 (as follow-up).

2.2. Measures

The cognition outcome was the total number of words correctly recalled for the third repetition of the 10 word recall test and the 10-word delay recall test, to reflect both learning and retention capabilities [7].

Gait speed was measured, using a digital stopwatch, as the time to the nearest one-hundredth of a second for participants to walk a distance of 3 or 4 m, with the distance dependant on their general level of physical function and ability. The test was undertaken twice with the shortest time taken as the final measure. The time was classified as [2]: 0 if the participant was unable to do the walk; 1 if >8.70 s (4 m walk) or >6.52 s (3 m walk); 2 if 6.21–8.70 s (4 m walk) or 4.66–6.52 s (3 m walk); 3 if 4.82–6.20 s (4 m walk) or 3.62–4.65 s (3 m walk) or 4 if <4.82 s (4 m walk) or <3.62 s (3 m walk), with the lower two categories combined.

2.3. Statistical methods

Participants with a dementia diagnosis at baseline, determined by psychiatrist review, were excluded. We reversed cognition score and gait speed categories so that higher values indicated worse scores. We then undertook linear regression analyses with word recall measure in 2009 as the outcome and gait speed in 2007 as an explanatory variable, and adjusted for baseline cognition score. Further, we separately included change in gait speed category from 2007 to 2008 and from 2007 to 2009. These analyses used the survey commands in Stata [Stata Corp] to account for the sampling scheme. Finally, we undertook a random effects longitudinal analysis of observations for 2007, 2008 and 2009 using the xtmixed commands in Stata with robust standard errors. All regression models included socio-demographic, self-reported health and major depressive disorder within the previous 12 months [8,9]. Lifestyle risk factors and chronic conditions were ascertained using standard symptom-based questions [10], while hypertension and BMI category based on measured values [11] are presented for longitudinal analysis only as these variables had minimal impact in cross-sectional analyses.

We undertook sensitivity analyses using multiple imputation to adjust for missing data.

2.4. Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Ibadan and University College Hospital, Ibadan Joint Ethical Review Board.

3. Results

Of the 1461 individuals in the 2007 cohort, 1042 were followed-up in 2009 (Fig. 1); follow-up was associated with younger age, being married, and higher baseline word recall score and gait speed.

Word recall declined with poorer gait speed (Table 1), with a difference in adjusted mean follow-up word recall of almost 1.25 words between the best and worst gait speed categories. A decline of one category in gait speed between 2007 and 2009, but not 2007–2008 was associated with a reduction in word recall of approximately 0.3 of a word.

In longitudinal analyses word recall score declined over time and worse gait score remains associated with poorer recall (Table 2). Analyses following multiple imputation demonstrated similar results to complete case analyses.

4. Discussion

We report a relationship between baseline gait speed and cognition at follow-up for up to 2 years for both average follow-up cognition scores and longitudinal changes in cognition. Our analyses also revealed an association between follow-up cognition score and change in gait speed between 2007 and 2009 but not for the shorter period of 2007–2008.

Our findings are consistent with several reports, mostly from Western Europe and America, of a relationship between gait speed and cognition [5,12]. However we note that factors such as a lower level of Western education, higher rates of depression [6], and variable degrees of cognitive stimulation [13] in the elderly living in the country setting of this study may influence performance in the available cognitive tests. Also, poor health and a lower socio-economic status which are common in the elderly, especially those living in poorer countries, and which were associated with impaired follow-up word recall in this study, have also been shown to be associated with the incidence of cognitive disorders in the study setting [13].

The finding that change in gait speed over 2 years was a significant predictor of follow-up cognition in this study indicates that even a short to moderate time interval is adequate for a substantial change in gait speed to impact on cognition. A longer follow-up period may have demonstrated an even greater association between changes in gait speed and changes in cognition in this cohort, as has been demonstrated previously [5].
The large sample size, covering a wide geographical area equivalent of 22% of the entire Nigerian population, allowed for a broad generalization of our findings. Those who dropped out were older, and had the worst cognitive performance. We also purposively excluded all patients with a dementia diagnosis at baseline which may have led to an underestimation of the magnitude of the relationship between baseline gait speed and cognition. The use of culturally adapted measures which have been validated in the study setting has increased the reliability of the information obtained. Moreover, because we obtained a wide range of information on the health and well-being of the participants, we were able to adjust for several important confounders. However, the 2-year follow-up period, as well as our failure to employ a more comprehensive assessment of cognition may have limited our ability to demonstrate some important differences, or replicate findings reported in some studies conducted in the West. Finally, it is possible that persons covering the 3-m distance might have slower speed than those doing the 4-m distance because the former might have a shorter distance to get up to speed.

In concluding, the finding that baseline gait speed is associated with both follow-up condition and changes in cognition is potentially important for efforts at early identification and intervention in cognitive disorders, particularly in developing countries where organized care for people with cognitive disorders is still rudimentary.
Table 2
Longitudinal analyses (2007, 2008, and 2009): random effects model showing the relationship between gait speed and cognition.

| Variable                              | Co-efficient estimate | 95% CI          | Adjusted Wald test<sup>a</sup> |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
|                                       |                       |                 | χ²   | df | P            |
| Year                                  |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| 2007<sup>b</sup>                      |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| 2008                                  | 0.30                  | −0.06, 0.65     | 8.67 | 2  | 0.01        |
| 2009                                  | 0.38                  | 0.11, 0.65      |      |    |              |
| Gait speed                            |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| 2<sup>b</sup>                         | 0.01                  | −0.26, 0.29     |      |    |              |
| 3                                     | 0.28                  | −0.14, 0.70     |      |    |              |
| 4                                     | 0.77                  | 0.43, 1.2       | 27.7 | 3  | <0.0001     |
| Age group                             |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| 60–64<sup>a</sup>                     |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| 65–69                                 | 0.28                  | −0.04, 0.60     |      |    |              |
| 70–74                                 | 0.39                  | −0.02, 0.81     |      |    |              |
| 75–84                                 | 0.84                  | 0.49, 1.2       |      |    |              |
| 85+                                   | 1.3                   | 0.95, 1.7       | 99.2 | 4  | <0.0001     |
| Sex                                   |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Male<sup>b</sup>                      | −0.15                 | −0.42, 0.11     | 1.3  | 1  | 0.26        |
| Female                                |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Location                              |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Urban<sup>c</sup>                     |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Semi-urban                            | 0.15                  | −0.21, 0.51     |      |    |              |
| Rural                                 | −0.17                 | −0.54, 0.20     | 2.96 | 2  | 0.23        |
| Current marital status                |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Married<sup>b</sup>                   | −0.55                 | −0.80, −0.30    | 18.9 | 1  | <0.0001     |
| Un-married                            |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Economic status                       |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Low<sup>b</sup>                       |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Low average                           | −0.32                 | −0.67, 0.03     |      |    |              |
| High average                          | −0.31                 | −0.75, 0.13     |      |    |              |
| High                                  | −0.83                 | −1.4, −0.27     | 8.78 | 3  | 0.03        |
| Health status                         |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Very good<sup>c</sup>                 |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Good                                  | 0.40                  | 0.15, 0.65      |      |    |              |
| Fair/poor                             | 0.69                  | 0.27, 1.1       | 12.61| 2  | 0.002       |
| Depression within the past 12 months  |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Yes<sup>d</sup>                       | −0.02                 | −0.52, 0.48     | 0.01 | 1  | 0.94        |
| No                                    |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| BMI                                   |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| <18.5<sup>a</sup>                     | −0.21                 | −0.48, 0.06     |      |    |              |
| 18.5–24.9                             | −0.31                 | −0.72, 0.10     |      |    |              |
| 25–29.9                               | −0.49                 | −0.91, 0.0002   | 4.09 | 3  | 0.25        |
| ≥30                                   |                       |                 |      |    |              |
| Ever smoked<sup>e</sup>               | −0.06                 | −0.27, 0.15     | 0.31 | 1  | 0.58        |
| Ever drank alcohol<sup>e</sup>        | −0.44                 | −0.70, −0.18    | 11.3 | 1  | 0.0008      |
| Diabetes<sup>e</sup>                  | −0.75                 | −1.4, −0.10     | 5.18 | 1  | 0.023       |
| Arthritis<sup>e</sup>                 | 0.33                  | −0.02, 0.64     | 4.47 | 1  | 0.035       |
| High blood pressure<sup>e</sup>       | 0.20                  | −0.03, 0.44     | 2.89 | 1  | 0.089       |
| Stroke<sup>e</sup>                    | 0.72                  | 0.12, 1.3       | 5.46 | 1  | 0.020       |
| COPD<sup>d</sup>                      | 0.11                  | −0.33, 0.55     | 0.25 | 1  | 0.62        |

Gait speed categories: (1) <4.82 s 4 m; <3.62 s 3 m; (2) 4.82–6.20 s 4 m; 3.62–4.65 s 3 m; (3) 6.21–8.70 s 4 m; 4.66–6.52 s 3 m; (4) >8.70 s 4 m; >6.52 s 3 m; unable to walk.

<sup>a</sup> Overall test of variable using robust standard errors.

<sup>b</sup> Reference category.

<sup>c</sup> Relative to those without condition.
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