Prevalence of overweight and obesity among African primary school learners: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Summary

Introduction

The increasing trend in the global prevalence of childhood overweight and obesity presents a major public health challenge. This study reports the results of a systematic review and meta-analysis to estimate the prevalence of overweight and obesity among primary school learners residing in Africa according to the different body mass index criteria and population level characteristics.

Methods

A search of multiple databases was conducted to identify relevant research articles published between January 1980 and February 2017. Random effects models were used to pool prevalence data within and across population level characteristics after variance stabilization through arcsine transformation (PROSPERO registration number CRD42016035248).

Results

Data from 45 studies across 15 African countries, and comprising 92,379 and 89,468 participants for overweight and obesity estimates were included. Estimated overweight and obesity prevalence differed significantly across criteria: 10.5% [95% confidence interval, CI: 7.1–14.3] and 6.1% [3.4–9.7] by World Health Organization; 9.5% [6.5–13.0] and 4.0% [2.5–5.9] by International Obesity Task Force; and 11.5% [9.6–13.4] and 6.9% [5.0–9.0] by Centre for Diseases Control, respectively (p = 0.0027 for overweight; p < 0.0001 for obesity). Estimates were mostly higher in urban, and private schools, but generally similar by gender, major geographic regions, publication year and sample size. Substantial heterogeneity in the estimates across and within criteria were not always explained by major study characteristics.

Conclusion

Overweight and obesity are prevalent among African primary school learners, particularly those attending urban, and private schools. The results from this meta-analysis could be helpful in making informed decisions on childhood obesity prevention efforts in African countries.
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factors also play crucial roles (6). Some documented risk factors for childhood obesity include family socioeconomic status (SES) (7,8), maternal employment (9), parental obesity (10), school food and physical activity environments (11,12) and community and neighbouring factors such as density of fast food restaurants, and living in close proximity to parks and playgrounds (13,14).

Childhood obesity is associated with early onset of cardiovascular risk factors, including elevated blood pressure, and impaired fasting glucose as well as higher odds of remaining overweight or obese in the adulthood (15–18). The growing obesity epidemic with its related health risks has the potential to significantly undermine improvements made in the healthcare delivery systems among populations living in low-to-middle income countries.

There is a growing interest in the epidemic of obesity across Africa, resulting in several in-country studies to determine the prevalence (19). In a systematic review to investigate the trends of overweight and obesity among school-aged children and youth in sub-Saharan Africa, the body mass index (BMI) cut-off points used in each study were not taken into consideration in estimating the prevalence rates (19). Using different BMI cut-off references to estimate overweight and obesity prevalence in children poses a challenge in defining the extent of the problem at the population level. Although substantial heterogeneity was observed in the study methodology, this was not accounted for in the prevalence estimates.

To date, no comprehensive study has been conducted to examine the extent of the overweight and obesity problem among primary school learners overall and by region across Africa. It is important to assess and monitor the prevalence from a young age to provide relevant data that could inform decisions on appropriate interventions. Therefore, the objective of this review was to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to estimate the prevalence of overweight and obesity among primary school learners overall and by region across Africa. It is important to assess and monitor the prevalence from a young age to provide relevant data that could inform decisions on appropriate interventions. Therefore, the objective of this review was to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to estimate the prevalence of overweight and obesity among primary school learners residing in Africa according to different diagnostic criteria, the World Health Organization (WHO) (20), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (21) and the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) (22) criteria; and population level characteristics.

Methods

The methods for this systematic review and meta-analysis have been previously described in details (23) and registered with PROSPERO, number CRD42016035248. The review is reported following the PRISMA guidelines (checklist available in Table S1). Included studies had to be school-based surveys involving children aged between 6 and 12 years. Where the age covers a wider range but prevalence was reported by age categories to include the specified age range, the studies were retained. Studies had to be cross-sectional or cross-sectional evaluations in longitudinal surveys. Studies that used objective measures of body weight and height and were published between 1 January 1980 and February 2017 were included. No language restrictions were applied; however, included studies were published in either English or French. For articles reporting more than one study or defining overweight and obesity using different BMI criteria, each was considered as a separate study. Studies were excluded if they were conducted on school learners suffering from critical illness or known chronic health conditions such as diabetes, were conducted in African populations residing outside the continent and were not school-based.

Identification and selection of relevant studies

A comprehensive search of the following electronic databases was conducted to identify eligible studies: MEDLINE (PubMed), MEDLINE (EBSCOHost), CINAHL (EBSCOHost), Academic Search Complete (EBSCOHost) and African Journals Online (AJOL). The complete search strategy comprised combinations of relevant Medical Subject Headings and keywords relating to obesity, overweight, BMI, school children, learners and the names of the 54 African countries and the five African subregions (Table S2). The searches were independently conducted by one reviewer and a research assistant. References were exported, and duplicates were removed and reviewed using EndNote software. The titles, abstracts and full text copies of potentially relevant articles were independently screened by the same reviewer and research assistant for eligibility. Any disagreement about the eligibility was resolved through a consensus and discussion with a third reviewer. The last search date was 20 February 2017.

Data extraction and quality assessment of included studies

The methodological quality of included studies was assessed using a modified version of Downs and Black checklist (24). Ten questions from the checklist were used to provide scores for the quality of reporting, internal validity (bias) and external validity. The following data were extracted: study details (author, year of publication, year of beginning of study and country of study), study characteristics (study design, mean/median age and range, sample size and diagnostic criteria), study setting/location (urban and rural and private and public school), type of sample (national and sub-national and...
local), gender distribution, African region where the study country was located and prevalence of overweight and obesity (overall and by subgroups).

Data synthesis and analysis

Data analyses used the ‘meta’ package of the statistical software R (version 3.3.3 [2017-03-06], The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). To minimize the influence of studies with extremely small or extremely large prevalence estimates on the overall estimate, the variance of the study-specific prevalence was first stabilized using the Freeman–Tukey double arcsine transformation (25) before pooling using the random effects meta-analysis model (26). Heterogeneity between studies was assessed using the Cochran’s Q and $I^2$ statistics (27). The $I^2$ statistic estimates the percentage of total variation across studies due to true between-study differences rather than chance. In general, $I^2$ values greater than 60–70% indicate the presence of substantial heterogeneity. The sources of heterogeneity were explored by comparing overweight/obesity prevalence between subgroups defined by several pre-specified study-level characteristics like gender for naturally occurring categories, and median values across studies for publication year and sample size. Subgroups comparisons were performed using the Q test based on ANOVA. The presence of publication bias was assessed using funnel plots and the Egger test of bias (28). Potential outliers were investigated in sensitivity analyses by dropping one study at a time. The Duval and Tweedie trim-and-fill method was used to adjust estimates for the effects of publication bias.

Role of the funding source

There was no funding source for this study. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Figure 1 PRISMA flowchart for the study selection process.
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Results

Figure 1 shows the PRISMA flow chart of the study selection process. A total of 1,518 records were identified from searches. After removing duplicates, the titles and abstracts of 729 articles were screened for eligibility out of which 65 full text articles were accessed. A total of 40 articles composing of 45 studies met the inclusion criteria and were retained in the meta-analysis.

Characteristics of included studies

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis. The 45 included studies originated from 15 countries. With regard to regional representation, 22 studies were conducted in Southern Africa, six in Western, eight in Eastern, nine in Northern and one in Central Africa. Thirty-seven studies presented data in both boys and girls, three studies reported on exclusively boys and five reported on exclusively girls. Of the studies that reported study settings, 18 were conducted exclusively in urban areas, six in rural areas and 11 in urban/rural areas. Out of the 26 studies that reported on school type, 16 were conducted in private/public schools, nine in public schools and one in exclusively private school. Year of beginning of study that reported in 26 studies ranged from 1994 to 2013. Majority of the included studies were conducted at the sub-national level while only two were national in coverage. The mean/median age was 10.1 years, reported in 25 studies. All of the studies except two used the international BMI criteria to define overweight/obesity as WHO (22 studies, \( n = 36,981 \)), IOTF (18 studies, \( n = 51,604 \)) and the CDC (four studies, \( n = 2,433 \)). The publication years varied from 2003 to 2016; 26 studies were published after year 2012.

Quality scores of included studies

Majority of the included studies scored 7 or higher with a median of 7.4 (Table 1). Scores for reporting were moderate to adequate, and these ranged from 51.2% to 97.6%. However, the scores for external validity were low. Less than half of the studies (46.3%) reported that participants were representatives of the population from which they were recruited, and even fewer (14.6%) reported their recruited samples were representative of the population (Table S3).

Overall prevalence of overweight and obesity

The overall overweight prevalence estimates for WHO (21 studies, \( n = 36,981 \)), IOTF (18 studies, \( n = 51,604 \)) and CDC (four studies, \( n = 2,433 \)) and unspecified criteria were 10.5% [95% confidence interval, CI: 7.1–14.3], 9.5% [6.5–13.0], 11.5% [9.6–13.4] and 0.5% [0.0–4.5], respectively, and differed significantly across the various criteria (\( p = 0.0027 \); Figure 2). Similarly, obesity prevalence for WHO (18 studies, \( n = 34,895 \)), IOTF (16 studies, \( n = 50,779 \)), CDC (four studies, \( n = 2,433 \)) and unspecified criteria were 6.1% [3.4–9.7], 4.0% [2.5; 5.9], 6.9% [5.0–9.0] and 0.5% [0.0–1.7] with significance difference among the criteria (\( p < 0.0001 \); Figure 3, Tables S4 and S5).

Heterogeneity

There was substantial heterogeneity in estimates across included studies by diagnostic criteria for obesity prevalence (all heterogeneity \( p \leq 0.019 \)) and for overweight prevalence (all \( p < 0.0001 \)) except across studies that used the CDC criteria to diagnose overweight (heterogeneity \( p = 0.124 \); see Tables S4 and Table S5 for more heterogeneity statistics). In sensitivity analyses using the leave-one-out approach, none of the studies had significant impact of the pooled prevalence estimates and measures of heterogeneity within diagnostic criteria (Figures S1 and S2).

Publication bias

Figure 4 shows the funnel plots for publication bias across the different definition criteria. These plots were asymmetric for WHO (Egger test \( p = 0.0029 \) for overweight and \( p = 0.0019 \) for obesity) and IOTF (\( p = 0.020 \) for overweight and \( p = 0.003 \) for obesity) but not for CDC criteria (both \( p \geq 0.320 \); Tables S4 and S5). The small number of studies available precluded similar analyses across studies that applied unspecified criteria to diagnose overweight or obesity.

For the CDC criteria as expected, no study was imputed through the trim-and-fill approach, and pooled estimates remained unchanged for both overweight and obesity. For the WHO criteria, nine studies were imputed for obesity and 10 for overweight, while equivalents for IOTF were eight and nine studies. Funnel plots became symmetrical and Egger test nonsignificant when imputed studies were accounted for (Figure S3). However, for both criteria and outcomes, imputed studies had to be of large sample size, with a null prevalence of overweight or obesity (Figures S4 and S5). This is unrealistic in the context of the current epidemiology of overweight and obesity in children and adolescents. Therefore, the publication bias found in the main analysis was likely artefactual.
| Reference          | Publication year | Start year | Country      | Region location | Data type | Study site | School type | Study design | Diagnostic criteria | Overall | Boys/girls | Urban/rural | Private/public | Quality score |
|-------------------|------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|---------|------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| Abrahams et al.   | 2011             | –          | South Africa | Southern       | Sub-national| Urban–rural| –           | –            | WHO                  | 643     | NS         | –           | NS             | 7            |
| Amidu et al.      | 2013             | 2012       | Ghana        | Western        | Sub-national| Urban      | Private–public| Cross-sectional | CDC                  | 400     | 200 B      | 400 U       | 200 PR         | 8            |
| Armstrong et al.  | 2006             | 2001       | South Africa | Southern       | National    | Urban–rural| Private–public| Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 10195   | 5611 B     | –           | NS             | 10           |
| Boukthir et al.   | 2011             | 2007       | Tunisia      | Northern       | Sub-national| Urban      | Public      | Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 1335    | 637 B      | 1335 U      | 1335 PU         | 8            |
| Caleyachetty et al.| 2012             | 2006       | Mauritius    | Southern       | Sub-national| Urban–rural| –           | Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 841     | 412 B      | 298 U       | NS             | 9            |
| Chebet et al.     | 2014             | –          | Uganda       | Eastern        | Sub-national| –          | Private–public| Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 958     | 435 B      | 698 G       | 543 R           | 5            |
| Daboné et al.     | 2011             | 2008       | Burkina Faso | Western        | Sub-national| Urban–rural| Private–public| Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 649     | 309 B      | 523 G       | 502 PU          | 8            |
| Dekkaki et al.    | 2011             | 2010       | Morocco      | Northern       | Sub-national| Urban      | Public      | Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 1570    | 768 B      | 1570 U      | 1570 PU         | 8            |
| El-Sabely et al.  | 2013             | –          | Egypt        | Northern       | Sub-national| –          | Private–public| Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 288     | 288 G      | –           | 182 PR         | 7            |
| Fetuga et al.     | 2011             | –          | Nigeria      | Western        | Sub-national| Urban      | Public      | Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 1016    | 479 B      | 1016 U      | 574 PU          | 8            |
| Hassan et al.     | 2008             | 2002       | Egypt        | Northern       | Sub-national| NS         | Public      | Cross-sectional | CDC                  | 1283    | 681 B      | 602 G       | 643 R           | 6            |
| Jinabhai et al.   | 2005             | 1995       | South Africa | Southern       | Sub-national| Rural      | NS         | Cross-sectional | IOTF/WHO              | 643     | 292 B      | 602 G       | 14503 B         | 9            |
| Jinabhai et al.   | 2003             | 1994       | South Africa | Southern       | National    | Urban–rural| –           | Secondary analysis | IOTF/WHO              | 24391   | 14503 B    | 9888 G      | –             | 9            |
| Kirsten et al.    | 2013             | –          | South Africa | Southern       | Sub-national| Urban      | –           | Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 638     | NS         | 638 U       | NS             | 8            |
| Kyallo et al.     | 2013             | 2008       | Kenya        | Eastern        | Sub-national| Urban      | Private–public| Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 321     | 153 B      | 321 U       | 138 PR          | 7            |
| Maruf et al.      | 2013             | 2009       | Nigeria      | Western        | –           | –          | Private–public| –              | IOTF                 | 1775    | –          | 168 G       | 183 PU          | 9            |
| McKersie et al.   | 2014             | –          | South Africa | Southern       | Sub-national| Urban      | –           | Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 713     | 372 B      | 731 U       | NS             | 7            |
| Mogre et al.      | 2013             | 2010       | Ghana        | Western        | Sub-national| Urban      | –           | Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 218     | 91 B       | 218 U       | NS             | 7            |
| Reference          | Publication year | Start year | Country       | Region location | Data type | Study site | School type | Study design     | Diagnostic criteria | Sample size |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Mohammed et al.    | 2012             | –          | Ghana         | Western        | Sub-National | Urban      | Private     | Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 270         |
| Moselakgomo et al. | 2015             | –          | South Africa  | Southern       | Sub-national | –          | Cross-sectional | WHO              | 1361                  |
| Mosha et al.       | 2010             | 2008       | Tanzania      | Eastern        | Sub-national | Urban–rural| Private–public| Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 428         |
| Mpembeni et al.    | 2014             | –          | Tanzania      | Eastern        | Sub-national | Urban–rural| Private–public| Cross-sectional | CDC                  | 446         |
| Muhizi et al.      | 2013             | 2011       | Tanzania      | Eastern        | Sub-national | Urban–rural| Private–public| Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 446         |
| Muthuri et al.     | 2014             | –          | Kenya         | Eastern        | Sub-national | Urban      | Private–public| –                | WHO                  | 563         |
| Mwaikambo et al.   | 2015             | –          | Tanzania      | Eastern        | Sub-national | –          | Private–public| Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 1722        |
| Navi et al.        | 2014             | –          | Cameroon      | Central        | Sub-national | Urban–rural| Private–public| Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 557         |
| Oldewage-Theron et al. | 2010         | –          | South Africa  | Southern       | Sub-national | Rural     | Public      | –                | WHO                  | 142         |
| Pangani et al.     | 2016             | –          | Tanzania      | Eastern        | Sub-national | Urban      | Private–public| Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 1781        |
| Pedro et al.       | 2014             | 2009       | South Africa  | Southern       | Sub-national | Rural     | –           | Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 588         |
| Pienaar, 2015      | 2015             | 2013       | South Africa  | Southern       | Sub-national | –          | –           | Longitudinal     | IOTF                 | 574         |
| Prista et al.      | 2003             | 1999       | Mozambique    | Southern       | Sub-national | Urban–rural| Private–public| –                | WHO                  | 1070        |
| Puckree et al.     | 2011             | 2006       | South Africa  | Southern       | Sub-national | Urban      | Public      | Cross-sectional | WHO                  | 120         |
| Regaieg et al.     | 2014             | 2010       | Tunisia       | Northern       | Sub-national | Urban      | Public      | Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 1529        |
| Salman et al.      | 2010             | –          | Sudan         | Northern       | Sub-national | Urban      | –           | Cross-sectional | CDC                  | 304         |
| Sebbani et al.     | 2013             | 2011       | Morocco       | Northern       | National     | Urban      | Public      | Cross-sectional | IOTF                 | 1418        |
| Taleb et al.       | 2010             | 1998       | Algeria       | Northern       | Sub-national | Urban      | –           | NS               | IOTF                 | 3396        |
| Tathiah et al.     | 2013             | 2011       | South Africa  | Southern       | Sub-national | Rural      | –           | Secondary analysis   | IOTF                | 952         |
| Truter et al.      | 2010             | –          | South Africa  | Southern       | Sub-national | –          | –           | One way          | IOTF                 | 280         |
Prevalence of overweight and obesity within and across subgroups

**Gender**

In all, 29 studies (WHO), 28 studies (IOTF), six studies (CDC), two studies (unspecified criteria); and 18 studies (WHO), 16 studies (IOTF), four studies (CDC) and two studies (unspecified criteria) respectively provided overweight and obesity prevalence data by gender. The overall prevalence of overweight and obesity across these studies were 11.4% [8.4–14.9] and 7.0% [4.5–10.1], respectively, based on WHO criteria; 10.3% [8.4–12.3] and 4.3% [3.4–5.3] based on IOTF criteria and 11.5% [9.5–13.7] and 6.2% [4.7–8.0] based on CDC criteria, with always significant differences across criteria (overweight p ≤ 0.0028; obesity p < 0.0001; Tables S4 and S5).

By gender, point estimates of the pooled prevalence of overweight and obesity were always higher in girls compared to boys, but these did not result in significant gender differences within the major diagnostic criteria (all p ≥ 0.128 for gender comparisons). Within genders, pooled prevalence estimates always significantly differed across diagnostic criteria (all p < 0.0001; Figures S6–S9). There was substantial heterogeneity for WHO-based and IOTF-based studies (all p-heterogeneity p ≤ 0.035) and for CDC-based obesity prevalence estimate in urban areas (p = 0.029). Publication bias was apparent only for IOTF-based obesity prevalence in boys (Egger p = 0.034; Tables S4 and S5).

**Urban–rural settings**

The estimates for overweight and obesity were 12.8% [8.7–17.5] and 9.8% [6.0–14.6] among children in urban compared to 6.9% [3.3–11.6] and 1.5% [0.6–2.9] in children in rural settings by WHO criterion. The respective estimates by the IOTF criteria were 9.4% [5.2–14.7] and 4.9% [3.0–7.2] among urban areas compared to 4.0% [1.3–8.2] and 1.8% [0.6–7.2] in rural areas. By CDC criterion, the prevalence were 12.0% [9.8–14.4] and 7.5% [5.1–10.5] overweight and obesity in only urban school children. The point estimates were consistently higher in children in urban, compared to those in rural schools, and significant with obesity estimates only within the major criteria (all p < 0.0001 for urban–rural comparison; Tables S4 and S5). Within urban–rural settings, the pooled estimates did not differ across diagnostic criteria (p ≥ 0.076; Figures S10–S14). There was substantial heterogeneity for WHO-based and IOTF-based prevalence (all p-heterogeneity p ≤ 0.035) and for CDC-based obesity prevalence estimate in urban areas (p = 0.015). Further, there was publication bias in IOTF-based obesity
prevalence in urban areas only (Egger $p < 0.035$; Tables S4 and S5).

Private–public schools

Across all criteria, the pooled overweight and obesity estimates were higher in private compared to public schools. Overweight prevalence were 22.6% [16.0–30.0] and 11.2% [7.4–15.7] by WHO criterion, 18.2% [15.4–21.2] and 7.6% [3.7–12.9] by IOTF criterion and 15.0% [10.4–20.3] and 8.0% [2.2–17.0] by CDC criterion in private and public schools, respectively. The corresponding estimates for obesity in private and public schools were 16.6% [10.4–23.8] and 6.2% [3.1–10.3] for

Figure 2 Forest plot of the prevalence of overweight by major diagnostic criteria. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.
WHO, 1.2% [0.5–2.1] and 4.9% [2.5–8.1] for IOTF and 12.5% [8.3–17.4] and 4.2% [1.6–7.9] for CDC. With the exception of overweight prevalence by CDC criterion, the pooled estimates differed by school type within the major criteria (all p ≤ 0.018 for private–public comparisons). Within private–public schools, the point estimates did not differ significantly across the criteria (all p ≥ 0.209) except for obesity prevalence in private schools (p < 0.0001; Figures S15–S18). Heterogeneity was apparent across studies irrespective of criteria used (all p-heterogeneity p ≤ 0.031). There was no evidence of publication bias for type of school (Egger p ≥ 0.241; Tables S4 and S5).

**Regional distribution**

The pooled overweight prevalence ranged from 7.7% [2.4–15.7] in Western Africa to 16.1% [6.1–26.8] in
Eastern Africa by WHO criteria ($p = 0.155$); 8.5% [4.6–13.5] in Southern Africa to 14.1% [6.8–23.5] in Eastern Africa by IOTF ($p = 0.684$); and 9.7% [6.8–23.5] in Eastern Africa by CDC ($p = 0.019$). The point estimates across the regional subgroups were comparable within the major criteria and differed only for obesity prevalence by IOTF-based criteria ($p < 0.0001$).

Within regional subgroups, the point estimates did not differ across the major criteria (all $p \geq 0.125$) except for studies conducted in Southern Africa ($p = 0.014$) and obesity for Western Africa ($p < 0.0001$). Substantial heterogeneity was observed in estimates across diagnostic criteria with regional subgroups (all $p \geq 0.042$), with the exception of IOTF-based obesity prevalence in Eastern Africa ($p = 0.428$). Publication bias was apparent in Southern African studies reporting overweight by WHO-based criteria ($Egger p = 0.032$) and obesity by IOTF-based criteria ($Egger p = 0.043$; Tables S4 and S5).

**Publication year**

By diagnostic criteria, the pooled estimates of overweight and obesity were always higher in recent studies (published in 2013 or after) compared to studies published earlier (published before 2013) by WHO criteria ($p = 0.0007$). Among studies that applied the IOTF and CDC criteria, overweight estimates were lower in recent compared to earlier studies, whereas obesity prevalence were higher in recent compared to earlier studies. Within publication year, pooled estimates of both overweight and obesity differed across all criteria except for studies published earlier ($p = 0.154$). Heterogeneity was observed for WHO and IOTF criteria (all $p < 0.0001$) and for CDC-based obesity prevalence in studies published earlier only ($p < 0.005$). Publication bias was apparent in earlier studies ($Egger p \leq 0.028$) using WHO criteria (Tables S4 and S5).

**Sample size**

Pooled estimates of overweight and obesity were not appreciably different between small (less than 638 participants) and large studies (638 or more participants), and regardless of criteria (all $p \geq 0.05$). Pooled prevalence estimates of overweight and obesity were similar across criteria within small studies (both $p \geq 0.532$) but differed significantly within large studies (both $p < 0.0016$), primarily driven by very low prevalence in studies based on unspecified diagnostic criteria. With the exception of small studies using CDC criteria for overweight ($p = 0.074$) and IOTF criteria for obesity ($p = 0.221$), there was substantial heterogeneity by diagnostic criteria within small and large studies (all $p < 0.019$). Publication bias was apparent only in large studies using IOTF-based criteria ($Egger p = 0.017$; Tables S4 and S5).
Discussion

This study provides the first detailed contemporary meta-analysis of overweight and obesity prevalence in African primary school learners. The results showed that by criteria, overall estimates ranged from 9.5% to 11.5% for overweight and 4.0% to 6.9% for obesity by IOTF and CDC, respectively, with significant variations across major diagnostic criteria. Prevalence estimates were mostly higher in urban compared with in rural schools, and in private compared with public schools, but mostly similar by gender, major geographic region, publication period and study size. There were substantial heterogeneities in the estimates across studies, which were not always explained by major study characteristics. Sensitivity analyses proved the few apparent publication biases to be artefactual.

These results highlight the increasing burden of overweight and obesity and are largely consistent with previous estimates suggesting an increasing overweight and obesity prevalence among children and adolescents globally (1). The estimates are notably higher than the prevalence estimates reported among children and adolescents in previous reviews (1,19). By the major diagnostic criteria used, the highest overall estimated overweight and obesity prevalence was by the CDC-based criterion and the lowest by IOTF definition. Notably, the CDC definition was used in four studies whereas 18 studies employed the IOTF definition. Together, CDC and IOTF criteria were used in over half of the studies. Given that the CDC and IOTF criteria underestimate the prevalence of overweight/obesity in children and adolescents compared with the WHO criterion (19), it is plausible that the overall prevalence reported in the present meta-analysis have been underestimated. The lack of consensus on the BMI cut-off references to use across studies presents a challenge for results comparability. The observed variations in the overall prevalence estimates by the major criteria thus underscores the relevance of the stratified meta-analysis based on diagnostic criteria as performed in the present study.

Unlike other studies, gender differences were not observed in the prevalence estimates of overweight and obesity in the present meta-analysis. The association between gender and overweight/obesity is inconsistent in the literature. A number of studies reported higher prevalence in girls (19,69), some found higher estimates in boys (70–73) and others reported similar prevalence estimates (74). In a study involving Australian school children, obesity prevalence did not differ between boys and girls in primary school children; however, substantial gender differences were observed among adolescents in high school (74) suggesting age–gender interactions (70,73). While the prevalence tend to be similar in boys and girls in this study, among adults, the prevalence is consistently higher in women than in men (1,75).

In addition to biology, this could be partially due to certain sociocultural practices that influence food choices and dietary intakes, overall energy expenditure and physical activity and perception of overweight/obesity. In some cultures in Africa for instance, overweight/obesity is perceived as an indicator of beauty, good health and wealth particularly among women (76,77). Additionally, women tend to be more sedentary compared to men (78). Besides, adverse early life experiences such as abuse (physical, sexual and emotional) and child neglect have been linked with higher BMI, and development of overweight, or obesity in adulthood, especially among women, but not in childhood and adolescence (79–81). While some showed abuse-specific effects, others reported more general effects across the spectrum of abuse.

Substantial variations in prevalence of overweight and obesity were observed across the rural–urban divide and also across private–public schools in the present study, broadly in line with previous studies (19,82,83,72) that suggest significantly higher estimates in urban children attending private schools, compared to children living in rural areas, and in public schools. The results showed that studies conducted in private schools were mainly in urban areas as opposed to most of those studies in public schools, which were a mix of urban and rural.

African countries are undergoing increasingly rapid urbanization, globalization of the food markets and economic and human development. These are associated with lifestyle changes such as increased sedentary behaviours, physical inactivity and increased consumption of the ‘Westernized diets’ (84). Economic and human development may be linked to increased SES, which could reflect in higher disposable incomes for high-calorie and ultra-processed convenient foods, with low nutritional value. Working parents especially mothers who work longer hours may have limited time to prepare fresh nutritious meals and may depend on convenient foods for the family. For example, in the Millennium Cohort Study in the UK, a significant relationship of maternal employment and obesity was found only for children from households with higher annual incomes (9).

Access to technology like motorized transportation and varieties of gaming consoles for the children may be increased in the higher SES households. For instance, results from a study in Africa showed that increasing total annual income was inversely associated with meeting physical activity (PA) guidelines of children (78). Additionally, rapid urbanization may result in overcrowding and congestion, increased crime rates, limited space for...
neighbourhood playgrounds and parks for children, which may invariably lead to decreased physical activity. On the other hand, undernutrition (85) and PA like active transport and active play (19, 86) generally tend to be higher in rural children in sub-Saharan Africa.

Preventing excess weight gain in childhood is a major preventive strategy with lasting benefits, and the school provides opportunities and challenges for implementation of behavioural change programmes in children and adolescents. Restricting or limiting of marketing of unhealthy foods and beverages to children and provision of PA facilities are some of the recommended strategies (87), and the schools could provide children with the supportive environments to improve the PA and healthy eating habits by strengthening the school health promotion programmes.

A strength of this study is the stratified meta-analysis based on the diagnostic criteria used. The PRISMA checklist guided the study from selection of studies to synthesis. This meta-analysis pooled and compared results from different studies that employed various diagnostic criteria to define overweight and obesity. Although there were substantial heterogeneity across studies, the sources of heterogeneity were thoroughly investigated on pre-specified population level characteristics. Likewise, an exhaustive search of multiple databases was conducted to identify relevant studies originating from Africa. The study has highlighted the extent of the problem of overweight/obesity and provided valuable data for consideration by policymakers and public health practitioners on the prevention and control strategies among primary school learners in Africa.

There are a number of limitations that might influence the interpretation of the results. Some of the studies were not originally designed to assess prevalence of overweight and obesity. Results were pooled from studies conducted at different geographical locations, among different ethnic groups and with methodological differences, but attempts were made to adjust for these differences through robust methodology. It is possible that some studies that were published in local and unindexed journals were missed. Also, all the geographical locations were not evenly represented. Finally, the predictors of childhood overweight and obesity were not explored in this study because this was an aggregated data meta-analysis.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the high prevalence of overweight and obesity reported in this review is of great concern considering the negative health impact across the life cycle. Results from the present study demonstrate that while overweight and obesity are more prevalent in urban children, rural residence does not protect against the epidemic. The similar prevalence estimates observed between genders also suggest that among African learners, boys and girls are equally affected. Private school attendance, an indicator of SES of families and urban residence are thus major driving forces of overweight and obesity among African school children. If this prevalence persists, it may lead to increased healthcare cost and burden on healthcare facilities. Results from this meta-analysis could be helpful in making informed decisions on childhood obesity prevention efforts in African countries.
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**Fig. S6.** Prevalence of overweight by major diagnostic criteria in boys. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.
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**Fig. S8.** Prevalence of obesity by major diagnostic criteria in boys. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S9.** Prevalence of obesity by major diagnostic criteria in girls. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S10.** Prevalence of overweight by major diagnostic criteria in urban studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S11.** Prevalence of overweight by major diagnostic criteria in rural studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S12.** Prevalence of overweight by major diagnostic criteria in urban and rural studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S13.** Prevalence of obesity by major diagnostic criteria in urban studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S14.** Prevalence of obesity by major diagnostic criteria in rural studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S15.** Prevalence of overweight by major diagnostic criteria in public schools studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S16.** Prevalence of overweight by major diagnostic criteria in private schools studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S17.** Prevalence of obesity by major diagnostic criteria in public schools studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.

**Fig. S18.** Prevalence of obesity by major diagnostic criteria in private schools studies. Black boxes represent the effect estimates (prevalence) and the horizontal bars about are for the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The diamond is for the pooled effect estimate and 95% CI.