Crystal structure of IcaR, a repressor of the TetR family implicated in biofilm formation in Staphylococcus epidermidis
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ABSTRACT

Expression of the gene cluster icaADBC is necessary for biofilm production in Staphylococcus epidermidis. The ica operon is negatively controlled by the repressor IcaR. Here, the crystal structure of IcaR was determined and the refined structure revealed a homodimer comprising entirely $\alpha$-helices, typical of the tetracycline repressor protein family for gene regulations. The N-terminal domain contains a conserved helix-turn-helix DNA-binding motif with some conformational variations, indicating flexibility in this region. The C-terminal domain shows a complementary surface charge distribution about the dyad axis, ideal for efficient and specific dimer formation. The results of the electrophoretic mobility shift assay and isothermal titration calorimetry suggested that a 28 bp core segment of the ica operator is implicated in the cooperative binding of two IcaR dimers on opposite sides of the duplex DNA. Computer modeling based on the known DNA-complex structure of QacR and site-specific mutagenesis experiments showed that direct protein–DNA interactions are mostly conserved, but with slight variations for recognizing the different sequences. By interfering with the binding of IcaR to DNA, aminoglycoside gentamicin and other antibiotics may activate the icaADBC genes and elicit biofilm production in S. epidermidis, and likely S. aureus, as a defense mechanism.

INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus epidermidis is an etiological microorganism that has emerged as one of the most important cause of nosocomial infections, especially for patients who have surgical grafts or implantations in the hospitals. Similar to other bacteria like the sister species S. aureus, it propagates in two alternating habits: plankton and biofilm. Whereas, the plankton form of the bacteria facilitates migration and spreading in the host organism, the biofilm form protects them from host immune system and enhances their ability to defend against antibiotics (1–4). The biofilm of S. epidermidis contains polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) as a major component (2). Expression of the icaADBC gene cluster (ica for intercellular adhesin) is necessary and sufficient to produce PIA in S. epidermidis (5–7). The genes of icaAD and icaB are involved in polymerization and deacylation reactions; icaC may be responsible for exportation process (8,9). The ica operon is negatively regulated by a repressor encoded by an upstream gene of icaR (10–12). Not much is known about the pathway to inactivate IcaR in stringent environment, which elicits PIA production and biofilm formation, although ethanol-induced and $\sigma^B$-dependent mechanisms have been proposed (13).

IcaR belongs to the tetracycline repressor (TetR) family of proteins, which are involved in a wide variety of gene regulations, either as transcription activators or repressors (14). The crystal structures of three repressors of the TetR family, namely TetR, QacR and EthR, have been reported (15–19). All of the proteins are homodimers. Each monomer contains an N-terminal domain that binds...
The two N-terminal helices α2 and α3 constitute a helix-turn-helix (HTH) motif. In a homodimer, the two HTH motifs are disposed about the molecular dyad with a separation that matches the distance between the two adjacent major grooves of DNA. Crystal structures of TetR and QacR in complex with DNA revealed the detailed interactions for specific binding to the operators. TetR binds to DNA with a stoichiometry of 2:1 for the protein monomer and double stranded DNA (dsDNA), whereas cooperativity has been reported for QacR and EthR, with ratios to dsDNA of 4:1 and 8:1, respectively (15,17,20). Several drugs have been shown effective in preventing binding of the TetR family proteins to DNA, presumably by modulating the relative disposition of the HTH motifs in the homodimer (16,19,21,22).

The entire DNA sequences of the ica operon of S. epidermidis and S. aureus have been reported (NCBI accession number: NC_002976 and NC_007796). DNaseI footprinting analysis showed that recombinant IcaR binds to a 42 bp region immediately upstream of the icaA gene (11). However, the location and the nature of cooperative binding remain uncertain. Here, in order to study how the ica operon is controlled, IcaR from S. epidermidis was over-expressed in Escherichia coli with a His-tag to facilitate purification. The protein was crystallized and its structure determined by the multi-wavelength anomalous diffraction (MAD) method using a seleno-methionine (SeMet) derivative. The native structure was subsequently determined in a different unit cell by molecular replacement. Furthermore, DNA-binding assays and computer modeling allowed us to elucidate the regulation mechanism of the ica operon. Finally, we observed the inhibitory effect of two aminoglycoside antibiotics, namely, gentamicin and streptomycin, on the IcaR–DNA interactions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Cloning, expression and purification
The biofilm-forming strain S. epidermidis ATCC 35984 (RP62A) was obtained from the Food Industry Research and Development Institute in Taiwan. The icaR gene fragment was amplified directly from the S. epidermidis RP62A genome by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with forward 5′-GGAAATTCATATGCAACACCACCCACC ACCACATGAAGATAGATTGATAACGC-3′ and reverse 5′-CCCCAAGCTTTTATTTTTTTAAAAAT ACATTAAACGTG-3′ primers. The PCR product encoding IcaR with an amino-terminal His6 tag was digested with NdeI and HindIII and subsequently cloned into expression vector pET-21a (Novagen). For phasing purposes, a four-residue mutant (L63M, L64M, L143M and L146M) of IcaR was engineered using the QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, CA). Furthermore, by employing the same mutagenesis kit, several site-specific mutants in the DNA binding region of IcaR (L23, K33, A35) were also produced for DNA-binding assay. All DNA constructs were verified by nucleotide sequencing. These constructs were transformed into a non-auxotrophic E. coli strain BL21 (DE3) competent cell for protein expression. The His6-tagged wild-type and mutant IcaR proteins were over-expressed in LB medium containing 100 µg/l ampicillin at 30°C under basal level expression for 2 days. The cells were then harvested by centrifugation at 4000 g for 15 min. The cell pellet was resuspended immediately in the lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl, 400 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, pH 7.5. The cell suspension was disrupted by Constant Cell Disruption System (CONSTANT SYSTEM Ltd, UK) and centrifuged at 17,000 g to remove cell debris. The cell-free extract was loaded onto a Ni2+-NTA column, which had been previously equilibrated with lysis buffer. The column was washed with lysis buffer, and the His6-tagged IcaR was subsequently eluted by a linear gradient of imidazole from 30 mM to 500 mM. The purified His6-tagged IcaR was dialyzed three times against 50 l of buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0), and finally concentrated by 10 kDa cut-off size membrane of Centricon plus-20 (Millipore, MA, USA) for storage at –80°C.

SeMet-labeled IcaR mutant was over-expressed in slightly modified SeMet minimal medium containing 100 µg/l ampicillin at 30°C for 2 days with 0.5 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-d-thiogalactopyranoside) as an inducer (23). The detailed protocol as follows: 200 ml overnight culture of M9 medium (Na2HPO4 6 g/l, KH2PO4 3 g/l, NaCl 0.5 g/l, NH4Cl 1 g/l, 2 mM MgSO4, 0.1 mM CaCl2, 0.4% glucose) of a single transformant was used to inoculate 6 l of fresh M9 medium containing 100 µg/l ampicillin at 37°C until OD 0.6, and then cooled to 30°C. A 120 ml filter-sterilized solution containing 60 mg Fe2(SO4)3 and 60 mg thiamine and 600 mg DL-SeMet was divided equally among the 61 medium. One hour later IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM for 2 days induction.

Purification of the SeMet-IcaR was performed using the same protocol established for native IcaR. About 95 mg/l of native IcaR and 60 mg/l of SeMet-IcaR were obtained, with a purity of greater than 98% as determined by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Full incorporation of SeMet into SeMet-IcaR was determined by mass spectrometry.

Crystallization and data collection
For crystallization, IcaR and SeMet-IcaR solutions were adjusted to 18 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0 containing 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The sitting-drop vapor diffusion method was employed for crystallization by mixing 3 µl protein solution with 3 µl precipitant solution. The crystals of IcaR were obtained with ~4–6% (w/v) PEG1500 and ~1.4–1.8 M NaCl precipitant solution, whereas the crystals of SeMet-IcaR were obtained with ~12–15% (w/v) PEG1500 and ~2.0–2.6 M NaCl precipitant solution. High-quality crystals were grown to full size within 1 day at room temperature. The crystals were soaked in a cryoprotectant solution containing...
2.5 M NaCl, 150 mM sodium formate, 15% (w/v) PEG1500 and 20% (v/v) glycerol, and then mounted straight from the drop and flash-cooled to 100 K in a stream of cold nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data were collected at SPXF beamline BL13B1 at the National Synchrotron Radiation Center (NSRRC), Hsinchu, Taiwan. All diffraction images were recorded using ADSD Q315 CCD detector and the data were processed and scaled by using the program package of HKL2000 (24). X-ray diffraction data from the native IcaR crystal were collected to 1.9 Å resolution at wavelength $\lambda = 0.1000$ Å. MAD data from the SeMet-IcaR crystal were collected to 1.33 Å and 1.45 Å resolution at wavelengths $\lambda = 0.9796$ Å (edge) and $\lambda = 0.9641$ Å (high remote), respectively. Both native IcaR and SeMet-IcaR crystals belong to the monoclinic space group $P_2_1$, but with different unit cell dimensions. The structure determination, model building and refinement were carried out with the program Xtalview (27) and CNS (28) against the 1.33 Å resolution data set of the SeMet-IcaR crystal. The structure of native IcaR was determined by molecular replacement using CNS, with the refined structure of SeMet-IcaR as a search model. For each structure, iterative cycles of model building with Xtalview and computational refinement with CNS were performed, in which 5% reflections were set aside for $R_{free}$ calculation (29). The stereochemical quality was assessed with the program PROCHECK (30). The refined structures of IcaR contain a small disordered region of residues 64–70 except the molecule of chain D in the native crystal. Many well-ordered water molecules were also located and included in the models. The refinement statistics are listed in Table 1. The molecular figures were produced by using PyMOL (DeLano Scientific, http://www.pymol.org).

### Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA)

Various single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides, denoted by their lengths (16–28) and a letter for the relative locations (A–G), were purchased from MDBio Inc. (Taiwan). Double-stranded DNA were prepared by annealing complementary oligonucleotides (100 μM each) in 10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 20 mM NaCl, heating the reaction to 95°C for 5 min and allowing it to cool to 25°C. A 20 μl binding reaction containing ∼600–2400 pmole of purified recombinant IcaR and 300 pmole of various dsDNA substrates in binding buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM KCl, 0.1 mM MgCl₂, 0.05 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, and 2 mg/ml BSA) was incubated at room temperature with gentle vortex for 30 min. After incubation, a 10 μl of the reaction was mixed with 2 μl of the sample loading dye and subsequently loaded onto a 1% agarose gel and electrophoresed in 1 x Tris/acetate/EDTA (TAE) at 100 V for 30 min and visualized using ethidium bromide. In the assay for effects of antibiotics on the interaction of IcaR and DNA, 0.5 mg/ml of several antibiotics were pre-incubated with IcaR at room temperature for 30 min and then mixed with the 28E DNA probe, followed by the same procedure as in the other assays. The corresponding molar ratios of antibiotics to IcaR monomer ranged from 3 to 20.

### Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC)

For ITC experiments, all samples were prepared with the buffer containing 20 mM Tris, 20 mM NaCl, pH 8.0. Samples were degassed using ThermoVac (MicroCal, MA, USA) for 5 min prior to loading, and all titrations were performed at 25°C. Calorimetric assays were performed using the VP-ITC (MicroCal, MA, USA). The reaction cell (about 1.4 ml) was filled with the degassed solutions. The stirring speed was 300 rpm and the thermal power was recorded every 2 s. ITC titration curves were collected and analyzed using the software Origin 7 package supplied with the instrument. All data obtained were corrected for

### Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics for the S. epidermidis IcaR crystals

| Crystals          | Native-IcaR | SeMet-IcaR |
|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| Data collection   |             |            |
| Space group       | $P_2_1$     | $P_2_1$    |
| Unit cell parameter |            |            |
| a (Å)             | 39.11       | 61.78      |
| b (Å)             | 86.14       | 50.70      |
| c (Å)             | 113.85      | 63.34      |
| $\beta$ (°)       | 99.15       | 97.09      |
| Wavelength (Å)    | 1.0000      | 0.9796 (edge) 0.9641 (high remote) |
| Resolution (Å)    | 30.1–1.9    | 30.1–1.33  30.1–1.45 |
| Number of reflections | 56 361 (5094) 73 703 (4537) |
| Completeness (%)  | 97.7 (94.4) | 98.0 (89.5) 98.1 (87.7) |
| Completeness (%)  | 97.7 (94.4) | 98.0 (89.5) 98.1 (87.7) |
| Rmerge (%)        | 7.5 (35.9)  | 5.4 (28.9)  6.0 (28.5) |
| Rwork (%)         | 17.56 (3.5) | 26 (2.9)  21.7 (2.9) |
| Rfree (%)         | 20.8 (31.5) | 21.8 (29.5) 21.7 (29.5) |
| Geometry deviations |             |            |
| Bond length (Å)   | 0.015       | 0.015      |
| Bond angles (°)   | 1.5         | 1.6        |
| No. of all protein atoms | 6174 | 3031 |
| Mean B-values (Å) | 33.6        | 18.4       |
| No. of water molecules | 740 | 857 |
| Mean B-values (Å) | 52.7        | 41.0       |
| Ramachandran plot (%) | 96.7 | 97.3 |
| Additionally allowed | 3.3 | 2.7 |

$a$ Values in the parentheses are for the highest resolution shells.
$b$ All positive reflections are used in the refinements.
the heat of dilution of the titrant by subtracting the average of 5–7 data points from the saturated tail. Except the streptomycin–DNA titration, all corrected data were fitted to the one binding site model. In the IcaR–DNA experiments, the dsDNA solution was contained in the cell at a concentration of 2 μM and the titrated IcaR dimer concentration was 36 μM. In the antibiotics–DNA experiments, the dsDNA solution was contained in the cell at a concentration of 7 μM and the titrated antibiotic concentration was 700 μM. In the antibiotics-IcaR experiments, the IcaR solution was contained in the cell at a dimer concentration of 100 μM and the titrated antibiotic concentration was 1 mM. Except the T2U_DNA, all the dsDNA used was the 28E DNA probe as in the EMSA experiments. In the T2U_DNA the key thymidines of 28E DNA were changed to deoxyuridines, with sequences of 5'-ACAACCUAACUAACGGAAAGGTAGGTGAA-3' and 5'-TTCACCUCAGGTTAGTTAGGTGTTG-3'.

Computer modeling

Because the IcaR structure is similar to QacR, and so is the DNA binding stoichiometry (1:4, see below), the structure of the QacR–DNA complex from PDB 1JT0 was used as a template to construct a model of IcaR–DNA complex. The 28-bp palindromic DNA sequence of 1JT0 was mutated to the sequence of 28E that was used in the EMSA experiment (see below). Using the program O (31), the new base pairs were positioned as close as possible to match those in the template, whereas the sugar phosphate backbone remained unscathed. The first 50 amino acid residues in the four N-terminal domains of QacR were replaced with those of IcaR (two were omitted), followed by minor adjustments to avoid severe clashes between non-bonded atoms. In some cases the structure of TetR–DNA complex (PDB 1QPI) was also used as a reference. The two dimers of the refined native IcaR structure were then superimposed separately on the two modified QacR dimers, and the C-terminal domains of the former were connected to the corresponding N-terminal domains of the latter, constituting two IcaR dimers bound to the opposite sides of the DNA. Molecular dynamics and energy minimization were subsequently carried out using CNS, with atomic positions of both the protein and the DNA tethered to the originals by applying a moderate harmonic restraint.

Protein Data Bank accession codes

The atomic coordinates and structure factors for the native IcaR crystal and its SeMet derivative have been deposited in the wwPDB with accession numbers of 2ZCM and 2ZCN, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Features of the IcaR structure

The crystal structures of the native IcaR were refined to 1.9 Å resolution and its SeMet derivative to 1.33 Å, both yielding low R and Rfree values and stereochemical deviations (Table 1). There are four IcaR monomers (A–D) in an asymmetric unit of the former crystal and two (A, B) in the latter, all forming dimers (AB and CD). The N-terminal His-tags were not observed in any of the six monomers. Neither were some loops in the surface regions visible probably due to flexibility. A representative IcaR dimer is shown in Figure 1A. Helices z1–z3 form the N-terminal domain that are supposed to interact with DNA via a HTH motif (z2/z3). This region, expected to be facing the DNA, is densely positively charged in the central patch of surface (Figure 1B). Most are contributed by the helix z3, particularly Lys33 and Lys34. The other helices z4–z9 form the C-terminal domain, which in principle is a large helix bundle that associates with its counterpart to constitute a dimer. Each monomer has a total surface area of about 10 400 Å², in which 1800 Å² is buried upon dimer formation. Two-thirds of the interface are contributed by nonpolar residues; the remaining one-third is polar. The charge distribution at the dimer interface is complementary. As shown in Figure 1C, the molecular dyad axis would bring the positively charged surface patch of the front monomer (shown as a worm tracing model) onto the negatively charged surface patch of the back monomer (as a surface). The complementary surface charge distribution about the dyad axis is ideal for efficient and specific dimer formation.

When the six independent IcaR monomers are superimposed using the program O, the root-mean-squares deviations (RMSD) are 0.42–0.57 Å between 89–149 pairs of Cz atoms with a matching distance criterion of 1.0 Å, except that the monomers A/C and B/D of the native crystal have low RMSD of 0.30 Å and 0.22 Å for 179 and 175 Cz pairs. The two dimers AB and CD are superimposed with an RMSD of 0.33 Å for 362 pairs of Cz. Their positions differ by about half unit cell pseudo-lattice translation along the c-axis, and have almost identical crystal packing environment. With a less stringent criteria of 2.0 Å, the cross-RMSD between the native IcaR dimers (i.e. AB/BA, AB/CD) are comparable to those with the SeMet derivative, ranging from 0.68 Å to 0.96 Å for 300–350 Cz pairs. In general, the C-terminal domain superimposes better than the N-terminal domain, except the loop between helices z8 and z9. Specifically, the first three residues of helix z9 in the SeMet derivative do not have the helical conformation as in the native structure, but form a loop instead (Figure 1D). Large structural alteration is also observed for the DNA binding region, particularly in helix z3 (see Figure S1 in the Supplementary Materials). A maximal displacement of 17 Å is seen for the side chain of Tyr39. Other residues including Tyr38, His40 and Tyr41 also show significant displacements. Although the conformational variation could be a result of crystal packing interactions, it may also represent the intrinsic flexibility of the N-terminal domain.

Despite the difference in the flexible regions, the overall structures of native IcaR and its SeMet derivative are virtually identical. Mutations of the four selected Leu residues to Met did not alter the protein conformation too much (Figure 1D). Actually, SeMet-IcaR also crystallized in the larger unit cell under similar conditions for the native protein.
Cooperative binding to the operator DNA

Initial inspection of immediate upstream sequence of the icaADBC genes in the ica operon did not detect obvious pattern of palindrome (Figure 2A). In order to track down the precise location of the IcaR-binding site, and to find out the minimal length of DNA for effective binding (in the hope of growing well-diffracting IcaR–DNA complex crystals), a series of dsDNA segments were designed and tested for IcaR binding by electrophoretic motility shift assay. The experiments began with the 28 bp segments 28A–28G, which ‘walk’ through or span the 52 bp upstream region from –47 to +5 in 4 bp steps. As shown in Figure 2B, the far-upstream segments 28A, 28B and 28C did not bind, whereas the other segments 28D, 28E, 28F and 28G did bind to the IcaR protein, indicating that the operator is located immediately adjacent to the start codon of the icaA gene. Among the latter segments, 28E showed the most significant effect by IcaR on its mobility, suggesting the strongest interaction between them. With the same center as 28E, the shorter 24 bp segment of 24E also showed strong binding, whereas the neighboring 24D and especially 24F appeared to have weaker interactions with IcaR (Figure 2C). Consequently, the core segment of the ica operator that binds to the repressor is centered at –17/–18 from the start codon of icaA gene.

In Figure 2D, the EMSA experiments demonstrated that by incubating the double-stranded 28E DNA with the IcaR monomers at twice the molar equivalent, i.e. with a ratio of 1:2, the mobility of some fraction of the DNA segments was reduced while a significant fraction of the DNA remained unaffected. When the molar ratio was 1:4, all but a small fraction of the DNA was reduced in the gel-shift mobility. These results suggest that IcaR binds
to the ica operator by a ratio of 1:4 in a highly cooperative manner. In other words, each operator DNA segment either binds simultaneously to two IcaR homodimers, or it does not bind. Cooperative binding was also observed in the ITC experiments. Here, the stoichiometry was unambiguously determined as two IcaR dimers to one DNA operator (see Figure 3 for details). Similar observations of cooperativity have been reported for QacR, which also binds to DNA with a 1:4 molar ratio, and EthR, which has a ratio of 1:8 (17,20). However, further increase of the molar ratio of dsDNA IcaR to 1:8 did not change the DNA mobility any further (Figure 2D). The length of the 28 bp ica operator DNA core segment also excludes the possibility of binding more than a pair of IcaR dimers. As revealed in the crystal structure of QacR–DNA complex, the two dimeric repressors bind to the DNA on opposite sides, and with overlapping regions of the encompassed base pairs. The cooperativity of the binding is mediated by the protein–DNA–protein interactions, rather than direct interactions between the repressor molecules. We believe that the same DNA-binding mechanism of QacR applies to IcaR as well.

Experiments with different lengths of the DNA segments further confirmed the center position that relates the two IcaR dimers cooperatively bound to the operator. Figure 2E depicts the DNA fragments varying in lengths from 16 to 28 but having the same center. The results showed that the binding strength was weakened significantly when the length was reduced to 20 (Figure 2F). With a length of 16, the DNA virtually did not bind. Thus the minimal length may be less than 24 but more than 20. As shown below, from the computer modeling results, it is most likely 22 bp. In order to increase the homogeneity of the IcaR–DNA complex for crystallization, we tested
the binding effectiveness of the palindromes. As seen in Figure 2G, the palindromes based on either upstream or downstream half-site of the operator with length of either 28 or 24 had virtually the same binding strengths as 28E or 24E to the IcaR repressor. These results also supported the correct location of the core operator segment.

**Structure comparison and DNA-complex modeling**

Only the N-terminal DNA-binding domains of the TetR family repressors show significant sequence homology. Excluding monomer B of the SeMet derivative crystal of IcaR, which had a dissimilar conformation of the N-terminal domain due to flexibility (and possibly crystal packing), the RMSD between the monomers of IcaR and three other known repressors TetR, QacR and EthR in the first 45 Cz positions (IcaR) are very small, ranging 0.76–1.35 Å. As shown in Figure 4A, while the first three helices α1–α3 match almost perfectly, the succeeding helix α4 does not (15,17,18). Nevertheless, superposition of the dimeric structures of IcaR, TetR, QacR and EthR, using the program O and a loose matching criterion of 3.0 Å, showed RMSD of 1.9–2.5 Å between 114–160 pairs of Cz atoms, reflecting their similarity in the overall topology (Figure 4B), among which IcaR and QacR showed the closest kinship. The relative dispositions of the HTH motifs are also conserved: the distances between the two Cz atoms of Tyr38 in the IcaR dimer and the equivalents in TetR, QacR and EthR, which are supposed to interact with the DNA bases in two adjacent major grooves, have a narrow range of 35–41 Å.

Attempts to crystallize the IcaR–DNA complex were not successful. Therefore, we resorted to homology modeling to study possible interactions between the IcaR repressor and the ica operator DNA. Because the gel-shift assay showed that cooperative binding to the ica...
 operator involves two IcaR dimers, and because the
structure of IcaR is most similar to QacR, we used the
crystal structure of QacR–DNA complex (PDB 1JT0) as a
template. The 28 bp core region of ica operator as derived
from the EMSA experiment is shown with the bases numbered
form −14 to +14. The partial palindromic sequences are shown in
yellow background. Approximate locations of the interface with
different IcaR monomers are shown as colored bars. Diamonds, circles
and stars indicate the locations of the key residues Leu23, Lys33 and
Ala35, respectively. (B) The model of IcaR–DNA complex. The model
was constructed based on the crystal structure of QacR–DNA complex
(PDB 1JT0) as a template. Two IcaR dimers are supposed to bind
coop eratively to the operator DNA on opposite sides, in which the
binding of dimers involves overlapping DNA sequences. The DNA
strands are colored gray and yellow, while the IcaR monomers are
colored as in (A).

Figure 5. Binding of IcaR to its operator site. (A) Interaction of IcaR
with the ica operator. The 28E DNA sequence of ica operator as
derived from the EMSA experiment is shown with the bases numbered
form −14 to +14. The partial palindromic sequences are shown in
yellow background. Approximate locations of the interface with
different IcaR monomers are shown as colored bars. Diamonds, circles
and stars indicate the locations of the key residues Leu23, Lys33 and
Ala35, respectively. (B) The model of IcaR–DNA complex. The model
was constructed based on the crystal structure of QacR–DNA complex
(PDB 1JT0) as a template. Two IcaR dimers are supposed to bind
coo peratively to the operator DNA on opposite sides, in which the
binding of dimers involves overlapping DNA sequences. The DNA
strands are colored gray and yellow, while the IcaR monomers are
colored as in (A).

The effects of mutations
To investigate the possible roles of residues Leu23, Lys33 and
Ala35 in IcaR–DNA recognition and binding, they are substituted with those in QacR, TetR and EthR (Figure S2), and the mutant IcaR proteins were measured for DNA-binding affinity, using ITC. For comparison, the binding constant of wild-type IcaR was also measured (Table 2). In QacR and TetR, the equivalent residue to Leu23 in IcaR is a threonine, which faces an adenine base
and forms hydrogen bonds with a neighboring phosphate
group. As shown in Table 2, the mutant L23T showed
about one-third decrease in affinity, probably because of
weaker hydrophobic interactions (see Figure S3 in the
Supplementary Material). Surprisingly, the mutant L23V
binds strongly to the DNA, with more than 6-fold
increase in affinity. Although the binding mode of EthR to
its cognate DNA has not been elucidated, in the case of
IcaR studied here, the side chain of valine, smaller but also
branched, would make better interactions with the methyl
group of the thymine base (Figure S3).

Mutation of Lys33 to serine (K33S) caused an 80% loss
of binding affinity, whereas mutation to glutamate (K33E)
resulted in complete inactivation of the IcaR repressor
(Table 2). The equivalent Ser35 in QacR interacts with a
cytidine nucleotide primarily through hydrogen bonding
to the phosphate group (Figure S3). In K33S, the short
polar side chain may cause unfavorable interaction with the
thymine base. In K33E, substituting the positively
charged amino group with a negatively charged carboxyl

It is notable that there are three overlapping palin-
dromes in the DNA, as predicted by the dimer of dimers
model. One palindrome (central; AGNTAGNT) relates
one IcaR dimer to the other, and two (flanking; AGNT)
relate the monomers within the two dimers. The central
palindrome corresponds to the bases +4 to +11 of both
strands in Figure 5A, and each of the two flanking palindromes corresponds to the bases +4 to +7 of one
strand and +8 to +11 of another. One of the two Leu23 residues in each IcaR dimer may interact with the methyl
group of a thymine base (T+6/T+2 of the complement-
ary strand; Figure 5A). The aliphatic moiety of the side
chain of each Lys33 may contact the methyl group of
another thymine base (T−8/T−4 of both strands), while
the positively charged amino group may interact with a
phosphate group. Each side chain of Ala35 may also
interact with the same methyl group, as well as one of
three thymine bases: T+7 of the coding strand and T+3/
T+7 of the complementary strand. The equivalents in
QacR are the residues Ser35 and Gly37, respectively.
In the QacR–DNA complex structure (PDB 1JT0), Ser35
is hydrogen bonded to the phosphate and Gly37 is in
contact with the bases. Pro39 in the TetR–DNA complex
(PDB 1QPI), equivalent to Ala35 in IcaR, also interacts
with the thymine methyl groups of T−5 in one strand and the
opposing T+4 in another (see Figures S2 and S3 in the
Supplementary Material). Taken together, the complex
model suggests that IcaR may recognize its operator DNA
by using the same repertoire of interactions of QacR, with
slight variations contributed by the three key residues of
Leu23, Lys33 and Ala35. The cooperative mechanism
proposed for QacR, in which binding of the first dimer
induces conformational change of the DNA that favors
the binding of the second dimer (17), may apply to IcaR
as well.
Gentamicin 8.6
Kanamycin Not detectable
Streptomycin 8.0

As mentioned earlier, IcaR works as a repressor in the positive regulation of the icaADBC genes, whose expression is required for PIA synthesis and biofilm formation (5–7,10–12). However, the mechanism of inactivating IcaR is not clear. In previous studies of TetR and QacR, several drug molecules of diverse chemical nature were shown to bind to the repressor and altered its conformation (16,21,22). The consequence of the binding of drug molecules to DNA was a drastically increased distance between two HTH motifs, which prevented the TetR or QacR dimer from binding to the operator DNA. Recently, it was reported that biofilm formation in bacteria can be induced by aminoglycoside antibiotics (32). Here, to investigate possible effect of drugs on IcaR, six different antibiotics were tested for their potential inhibition of IcaR–DNA binding. These include three aminoglycosides streptomycin, gentamicin and kanamycin; tetracycline, after which the repressor family is named; and two antibiotics often used in laboratory work, ampicillin and chloramphenicol.

As shown in Figure 6A, only two of the six antibiotics were effective: streptomycin and gentamicin, both belonging to the aminoglycoside class. Gentamicin appeared to inhibit the DNA binding to a significantly greater extent than streptomycin, partially due to the higher molar concentration of gentamicin. Comparison of their chemical structures suggests that gentamicin is more positively charged and less polar than the other antibiotics. Identical results were obtained with the inclusion of spermine, a polycation polyamine, in the EMSA (data not shown), which ruled out nonspecific effect of the positive charges. Furthermore, in order to investigate interactions of IcaR and DNA with the antibiotics, a series of ITC experiments were carried out for gentamicin, streptomycin and kanamycin. As shown in Table 2, gentamicin and streptomycin both bound to IcaR, although not with great strength. The stoichiometry showed further similarity of IcaR to QacR (16). It suggests that only one drug molecule is bound by each dimer, despite the existence of two binding pockets. In contrast, binding to IcaR was not observed for kanamycin, which on the other hand interacted with DNA (see also Figure S4 in the Supplementary Material). Although we cannot exclude the possibility of binding to DNA rather than the repressor, it is also likely that the two aminoglycoside antibiotics interacted with IcaR in a similar way as the drugs with TetR and QacR.

Interestingly, a negatively charged cavity was observed in each IcaR monomer. As shown in Figure 6B and 6C, the cavity is located at the dimer interface, surrounded by helices $\alpha_5$, $\alpha_6$, $\alpha_7$ and $\alpha_8$ of one monomer, and flanked by $\alpha_8'$ and $\alpha_9'$ of the counter monomer as well. Inside the cavity, many amino acid residues are non-polar. Helices $\alpha_5$ and $\alpha_6$ are connected with a hinge-like loop, which lies in the back of the cavity. The equivalent connection in QacR contains the three consecutive Tyr91, Tyr92 and Tyr93, which are marked by greatly altered conformations upon drug binding. Structural comparison of IcaR and QacR only shows the identification of Tyr91 in IcaR as an equivalent of Tyr93 in QacR. The adjacent Tyr95 and Tyr152 from helices $\alpha_6$ and $\alpha_8$ of IcaR may be involved in binding the antibiotics. Residues from the counter subunit flank the cavity near its opening. It is worth noting that the loop between helices $\alpha_8$ and $\alpha_9$ in the SeMet-IcaR crystal has a different conformation than that in the native crystal. Flexibility of this lid-like region suggests its participation in the structural change at the dimer interface upon binding antibiotics, which may alter the relative disposition of the two subunits. Possibly with concerted movement of the $\alpha_5$/$\alpha_6$ hinge, the two N-terminal domains would be separated by a larger distance, which prevents the repressor from interacting properly with the operator DNA. Consequently, by interfering with the binding of IcaR to DNA, gentamicin and other antibiotics may elicit biofilm production in S. epidermidis, as a defense mechanism.

| Samples | Binding constants $K_a$ (M$^{-1}$) | $\Delta H$ (kcal/mol) | $\Delta S$ (cal/mol K) | Relative binding affinity (%) |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Wild-type and mutated IcaR with 28E dsDNA | | | | |
| Wild type | 2.0 $\pm$ 0.8 x 10$^8$ | 13.8 $\pm$ 0.3 | 84.3 | 100 |
| L23T | 1.3 $\pm$ 0.4 x 10$^8$ | 10.7 $\pm$ 0.2 | 72.9 | 65 |
| L23V | 1.5 $\pm$ 1.3 x 10$^9$ | 14.7 $\pm$ 0.2 | 91.2 | 150 |
| K33E | 4.1 $\pm$ 0.7 x 10$^9$ | 23.5 $\pm$ 0.3 | 114.0 | 21 |
| K33E | No binding | | | |
| A35G | 3.9 $\pm$ 1.2 x 10$^8$ | 11.2 $\pm$ 0.1 | 76.8 | 195 |
| A35P | 1.0 $\pm$ 0.7 x 10$^9$ | 15.6 $\pm$ 0.2 | 93.5 | 500 |
| Wild-type IcaR with T2U 28E dsDNA | | | | |
| T2U_DNA | 1.4 $\pm$ 0.3 x 10$^7$ | 15.1 $\pm$ 0.4 | 83.4 | 7 |
| Antibiotics with wild-type IcaR | | | | Stoichiometry |
| Gentamicin | 2.6 $\pm$ 1.5 x 10$^4$ | -1.3 $\pm$ 0.5 | 15.7 | 0.59 $\pm$ 0.18 |
| Streptomycin | 8.0 $\pm$ 4.1 x 10$^4$ | -1.1 $\pm$ 0.3 | 18.8 | 0.88 $\pm$ 0.08 |
| Kanamycin | Not detectable | | | |
| Antibiotics with 28E dsDNA | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 8.6 $\pm$ 0.9 x 10$^4$ | 2.3 $\pm$ 0.1 | 30.2 | |
| Streptomycin | Difficult to calculate | | | |
| Kanamycin | 1.1 $\pm$ 0.2 x 10$^5$ | 1.3 $\pm$ 0.1 | 27.5 | |
CONCLUSION

Understanding biofilm formation would lead to effective means for growth control of microorganisms by suppressing the emergence of obstinate colonies that can be resistant to various treatments for the patients. The sole involvement of the *icaADBC* genes in PIA synthesis encourages investigation of the regulation mechanism of these genes. Our results of the high-resolution crystal structure of IcaR, the cognate repressor for the *ica* operon, revealed its kinship to the TetR repressor family. Many of these repressors bind to antibiotics that weaken the interactions with their operator DNA via conformational changes in the protein dimers, resulting in concomitant activation of the genes. We have also shown that two IcaR dimers bind cooperatively to the operator DNA, and that the binding is abolished by gentamicin. Because antibiotics have been implicated in eliciting biofilm formation, cautions should be taken to avoid the use of some antibiotics (e.g. gentamicin) in treating microbial infections (e.g. by *Staphylococcus*).
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**Figure 6.** Gentamicin interrupts IcaR and DNA interaction. (A) The effects of antibiotics to the IcaR binding to *ica* operator in EMSA experiment. Only two aminoglycosides are capable of interfering the DNA binding, whereas gentamicin inhibited the binding to a significantly greater extent than streptomycin. The other four antibiotics kanamycin, tetracycline, ampicillin and chloramphenicol did not show significant effect on the IcaR-DNA binding. (B) Possible effect of gentamicin on the IcaR binding to *ica* operator. A negatively charged cavity was observed in each IcaR monomer, near the dimer interface. Binding of gentamicin to this cavity may result in conformation changes that lead to a larger separation of the N-terminal domains. (C) A schematic diagram of the putative antibiotics-binding cavity. The cavity is located at the dimer interface and surrounded by helices α5, α6, α7 and α8 of one monomer as well as α8’ and α9’ of the counter monomer. The α8-α9 loop has different conformations in the two crystal forms (Figure 1D), suggesting some functional flexibility.
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