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Abstract

Objective: To describe vision-related quality of life (VRQoL) in a Norwegian population of patients with newly diagnosed neovascular age-related macular degeneration (nAMD), and to evaluate the association with demographic data of age, gender, and civil status (married, cohabitants/unmarried, not cohabitants). Method: The Norwegian version of the National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire–25 (NEI VFQ-25) questionnaire was administered to 145 patients recently diagnosed with nAMD. We used descriptive statistics and bivariate analysis to determine the distribution of demographic parameters and a possible association between demographic parameters and NEI VFQ-25 scores. Spearman correlation was employed to analyze the NEI VFQ-25 items and subscales scores. Results: Mean (SD) VFQ-25 total score was 78.5 (14.7). The scores per subscales varied from 64.7 to 89.7. No significant difference was revealed between demographic parameters and the NEI VFQ-25 items, except for one item (being with others) when comparing paired and nonpaired participants. Conclusion: In a Norwegian population with newly diagnosed nAMD, VRQoL is reported at a high baseline level. This is an important information for the health care personnel when discussing expectations during treatment with the patient. Age, gender, and civil status did not affect VRQoL.
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Introduction

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a progressive disease, affecting the central retina, and causing destructive and irreversible changes in the sharp-sightedness. There are two basic subtypes of AMD: non-neovascular or dry AMD and neovascular AMD (nAMD), also called exudative or wet AMD. Wet AMD is characterized by choroidal neovascularization, with formation of abnormal blood vessels, leading to subretinal and intraretinal macular edema, hemorrhage, fibrosis, or a combination, causing rapid central vision loss. Dry AMD is much more common and causes a slow vision declining over many years (Lindsay, Li, Ssemanda, Virgili, & Dickersin, 2016). Worldwide, AMD is increasing and becoming a prevalent condition (Ferris et al., 2013). The expected almost 200 million in 2020 will increase to almost 290 million in 2040 (Wong et al., 2014). Based on the findings in the European population 65 years and older, the authors estimate that 3.3% have AMD in at least one eye (Augood et al., 2006). Studies from the Nordic countries show a prevalence of late AMD between 3.5% and 9.5% with the highest prevalence from Greenland.
University Hospital in Norway, between February 2015 were recruited at Department of Ophthalmology, Oslo. Gender diagnoses of nAMD in at least one eye. Participants in this cross-sectional study comprises patients with clinical diagnoses of AMD recently diagnosed with exudative AMD, and to evaluate the association with demographic data of age, gender, and civil status.

Studies have highlighted treatment effects, epidemiology, genetics, risk factors, and quality of life (QoL) to better understand how people live with AMD (Lim, Mitchell, Seddon, Holz, & Wong, 2012; Slakter & Stur, 2005; Yuzawa, Fujita, Tanaka, & Wang, 2013). Reduction in QoL is associated with wet AMD and has been measured with standardized instruments leaving additional knowledge regarding aspects of the disease (Matamoros et al., 2015; Swamy, Chia, Wang, Rothchina, & Mitchell, 2009). Aspects such as psychological well-being and daily functioning cannot be captured by one single clinical measurement. However, the impact of the disease on patient’s QoL is also an important factor (Yuzawa et al., 2013), and vision-related quality of life (VRQoL) is one of the main outcomes measured in existing registries and major clinical trials. Using specific patient reported outcome measures (PROM) instruments among AMD patients is recommended by the International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM; Rodrigues et al., 2016).

To clarify the impact of AMD on QoL requires large clinical trials where researchers use the same QoL instrument (Slakter & Stur, 2005). The National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire-25 (NEI VFQ-25) is a disease-specific instrument that measures VRQoL. Studies show that this instrument is suitable to measure vision-related function in patients with AMD (Suner et al., 2009). Two studies from Scandinavia, a Swedish and a Danish study, have used NEI VFQ-25 in samples comprising patients with eye diseases (Granström, 2016; Sørensen, Andersen, Henningsen, Larsen, & Sørensen, 2011). To date, no Norwegian studies within the same area have been performed. NEI VFQ-25 has been used in many studies examining different eye diseases, however, mostly within AMD. The instrument has been tested in several studies concluding that it has good psychometric properties as a measure of visual function-related outcomes on patients with AMD (Owen et al., 2006).

The aim of this study is to describe VRQoL measured by NEI VFQ-25 in a Norwegian patient population recently diagnosed with exudative AMD, and to evaluate the association with demographic data of age, gender, and civil status.

Method

Participants and Study Design

This cross-sectional study comprises patients with clinical diagnoses of nAMD in at least one eye. Participants were recruited at Department of Ophthalmology, Oslo University Hospital in Norway, between February 2015 and September 2016. Adult Scandinavian-speaking patients of both genders, without any documented cognitive impairment, were eligible for participation in this study. All attendees left a written consent form before taking part in this study. Patients who had received treatment with intravitreal injections earlier were excluded. The study was approved by the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research (REK South East).

Data Collection Procedures and Measures

This study evaluated baseline data from 145 consecutive patients, who were newly diagnosed with wet AMD at the outpatient clinic. The data collection was by interviewer-administered questionnaire because of the difficulties for the patients to read the questionnaire themselves. The patients who were unable to respond to the questionnaire at the hospital completed the form by telephone interview with the first or second author. As two different investigators performed the interviews, a previous training was given to administer the form in the same way according to the protocol.

The NEI VFQ-25 Questionnaire

The NEI VFQ-25 was developed at the National Eye Institute, in Maryland, USA. It is a shorter version of the 51-item NEI VFQ, containing 25 items, which generate 12 subscales for the following dimensions of vision-target QoL: general vision, difficulty with near-vision activities, difficulty with distance-vision activities, limitations in social functioning, role limitations, dependency on others, mental health symptoms, driving difficulties, limitations with peripheral, color vision, ocular pain, and general health. The score produced for the NEI VFQ-25 converts the pre-coded numeric values of items to a score from 0 to 100. Higher scores reflect better QoL (Mangione et al., 1998; Mangione et al., 2001). Using the Rasch model to determine the validity of NEI VFQ-25 for use in people with low vision, Marella et al. (2010) suggests that the NEI VFQ-25 has better validity when split into visual functioning and socioemotional scales. Other studies suggest that the instrument has demonstrated good reliability and construct validity to measure vision-related functioning outcomes in patients with AMD (Mangione et al., 2001; Revicki, Rentz, Harnam, Thomas, & Lanzetta, 2010). Correlations between the NEI VFQ-25 versions of each subscale and their respective long-form version greater than .90 (Mangione et al., 2001) support good validity of the instrument. The construct validity of the NEI VFQ-25 is supported by moderate to strong correlations observed in another study (Orr et al., 2011). Correlations between NEI VFQ-25 scores and visual acuity in the better \( r = .68, p < .0001 \) and worse \( r = .46, p < .0001 \) seeing eyes support the convergent validity of the NEI VFQ-25 (Revicki et al., 2010). The Norwegian version of this instrument, translated into the Norwegian language by Mapi Research.
Trust (Lyon, France), was used in the present study after permission.

**Statistical Methods**

Data were analyzed by IBM using SPSS for PC, version 23. Descriptive statistics were used to determine the distribution of demographic and clinical characteristics. The primary outcome VRQoL was measured with the NEI VFQ-25 corresponding to the concept’s content identified by Mangione et al. (1998) including work-related duties, seeing in brightness, seeing movies and sports, general trouble seeing, low illumination, driving at night, mood, mental health, seeing clearly, driving in daytime, and reading.

The description of the population included the following parameters: age, gender, and civil status. To determine whether there was an association between age (divided by the median age into groups of those younger and those older than 82 years, respectively), gender, and civil status (dichotomized to paired vs. nonpaired according to whether they were married/cohabitants or not), and NEI VFQ-25 composite and subscales score, bivariate analyses were performed. The $t$ test was used to compare mean values between groups. We employed the Spearman approach to analyze the correlation between the NEI VFQ-25 subscales scores.

**Results**

**Descriptive Analyses—Patient Demographics and Characteristics**

A total of 145 patients completed the questionnaire. Their mean age and the standard deviation (SD) were 81.7 (7.5) years (range: 61-99 years). The sample consisted of 73% females, 58% were in nonpaired relationship, and 89% were retired. As the majority of the respondents were retired, we decided not to include the variable “employment” in the statistical analyses. Patient’s characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

**NEI VFQ-25 Scores**

Mean (SD) NEI VFQ-25 total score was 78.5 (14.7), range (36.4-99.4). The scores per subscales varied from 47.2 (22.3) for the subscale “General health” to 93.6 (14.5) for the subscale “Color vision.” “General health” and “General vision” revealed the lowest scores. Mean scores for the NEI VFQ-25 are summarized in Table 2.

**NEI VFQ-25 Scores by Age**

The NEI VFQ-25 mean (SD) composite scores by age were 79.4 (13.8) for participants younger than 82 years, and 77.73 (15.5) for participants 82 years and older. The scores per subscales varied from 48.5 (24.8) (General health) to 93.7 (15.2) (Color vision) among participants younger than 82 years, and from 46.0 (19.8) (General health) to 93.6 (13.9) (Color vision) for participants 82 years and older. The results did not reveal any significant difference between the two groups either by the composite score or by subscale scores. Figure 1 presents the comparison between age groups and the NEI VFQ-25 items, in terms of mean scores and connected SD.
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**NEI VFQ-25 Scores by Gender**

Mean (SD) composite scores by gender were 78.0 (15.0) for women and 79.9 (13.7) for men. The scores per subscales varied from 46.0 (22.0) (General health) to 93.6 (15.2) (Color vision) among the female participants, and from 50.7 (23.2) (General health) to 95.6 (13.8) (Peripheral vision) for men.

The highest difference between groups, while still nonsignificant, was for the “Ocular pain” subscale for which men had a higher score. Mean scores by gender are represented in Figure 2.

**NEI VFQ-25 Scores by Civil Status**

The total, composite scores (mean and SD) for the NEI VFQ-25 were 81.4 (12.4) for paired and 76.4 (16.0) for nonpaired participants. The subscales varied from 47.8 (22.3) (General health) to 94.7 (12.2) (Ocular pain) for paired and from 46.8 (22.8) (General health) to 92.7 (16.0) (Color vision) for nonpaired. Mean NEI VFQ-25 total scores by civil status showed no significant differences (see Figure 3).

Analyzing the 25 single items scores of the NEI VFQ-25 questionnaire by civil status showed significant difference between groups for Item 13, “Being with others.” The nonpaired group had a score of 2.2, and the paired group a score of 1.6 ($p = .038$).

**Correlation Analyses**

Table 3 shows Spearman’s correlation coefficients between the 12 subscales of the NEI VFQ-25 questionnaire. For all subscales except “General health,” “Ocular pain,” “Color vision,” and “Peripheral vision,” the $p$ value was lower than .05. The four subscales described above comprehend different aspects, that is, health, pain, and vision. There were correlations between subscales affected by AMD such as “Distance activities” and “Near activities.”
Table 3. Correlation Matrix.

|                | General health | General vision | Ocular pain | Near activities | Distance activities | Social functioning | Mental health | Role difficulties | Dependency | Driving | Color vision |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| General vision | .09            | .09            | .18         | .20             | .20                 | .18                |               |                  |            |         |             |
| p = .31       |                |                | p = .04     |                 | p = .18             |                    |               |                  |            |         |             |
| Near activities|                |                | .93         | .57             | .18                 |                    |               |                  |            |         |             |
| p = .27       |                |                | p < .001    | .03             |                     |                    |               |                  |            |         |             |
| Distance activities|          |                | .20         | .52             | .31                 | .63                |               |                  |            |         |             |
| p = .02       |                |                | p < .001    | .01             |                     |                    |               |                  |            |         |             |
| Social functioning|            |                | .20         | .48             | .16                 | .60                | .63           |                  |            |         |             |
| p = .02       |                |                | p < .001    | .06             | p < .001            | p < .001           |               |                  |            |         |             |
| Mental health  | .19            | .19            | .56         | .28             | .53                 | .57                | .60           |                  |            |         |             |
| p = .03       |                |                | p < .001    | .06             | p < .001            | p < .001           | p < .001      |                  |            |         |             |
| Role difficulties|             |                | .23         | .55             | .35                 | .59                | .58           | .54              | .58        |         |             |
| p < .001      |                |                | p < .001    | .01             | p < .001            | p < .001           | p < .001      | p < .001        | p < .001   |         |             |
| Dependency    | .23            | .23            | .47         | .21             | .63                 | .61                | .67           | .65              | .59        | .58     | .59         |
| p < .01       |                |                | p < .01     | .01             | p < .001            | p < .001           | p < .001      | p < .001        | p < .001   | .62     |             |
| Driving       | -.01           | -.01           | .55         | .01             | .58                 | .73                | .68           | .50              | .54        | .62     | .25         |
| p = .92       |                |                | p < .01     | .01             | p < .001            | p < .001           | p < .001      | p < .001        | p < .001   | .25     |             |
| Color vision  | .17            | .17            | .14         | .02             | .21                 | .11                | .14           | .05              | .15        | .16     | .15         |
| p = .84       |                |                | p = .08    | .78             | p = .01             | p = .19            | .11           | p = .52          | p = .07    | p = .05  | .25         |
| Peripheral vision| .03           | .03            | .20         | .21             | .22                 | .24                | .39           | .34              | .21        | .26     | .32         |
| p = .68       |                |                | p = .01    | .01             | p = .01             | p = .00            | p < .001      | p < .001         | p = .01    | .03     | p = .10     |
| p = .01       |                |                | p = .01    | p < .001         | p < .001            | p < .001           | p < .001      | p < .001        | p < .001   | p = .10  |             |
Comparison Internationally

We compared the results in terms of NEI VFQ-25 scores from this Norwegian study with data from Denmark, Germany, United States, and Canada using the same instrument (Cruess, Zlateva, Xu, & Rochon, 2007; Mangione et al., 2001; Pauleikhoff et al., 2009; Sørensen et al., 2011). As Figure 4 shows, scorings from the studies performed in Denmark and Norway reveal the highest values. This finding could be expected due to these countries’ close connection to each other regarding citizenship, geographic distance, and similar health care system.

Discussion

Results from the present study show that in a Norwegian population with newly diagnosed nAMD, VRQoL is reported at a high baseline level. The highest scores were for the subscales “Ocular pain,” “Color vision,” “Peripheral vision,” and “Distance activities,” which are subscales typically insensitive to AMD (Gyawali, Paudel, & Adhikari, 2012; Marella et al., 2010; Miskala et al., 2003; Sengupta et al., 2014); and low scores for the subscales “General vision,” “Near activities,” and “Driving,” which are the most sensitive subscales to AMD (Broman et al., 2002; Frennesson, Nilsson, Peebo, & Nilsson, 2010). This indicates that most of the study’s participants do not feel pain or discomfort in or around their eyes, and have no difficulties seeing colors, picking out, and matching own clothes. Furthermore, they have no problems noticing objects off to the side while they are walking along, they can read street signs or the names of stores, and they still go out to see movies, plays, or enjoy sports events. On the contrary, they report difficulties with their general vision, reading ordinary print in newspapers, doing work or hobbies that require seeing well up close, and driving during day and night time.

The lowest mean value from the NEI VFQ-25 scores was shown for “General health.” This finding might be explained by the high mean age when comparing with another Scandinavian study, which included younger participants (Sørensen et al., 2011). Furthermore, as we have no data on comorbidity, other diseases in addition to the AMD may affect these patients leaving a low rating for the participant’s self-reported general health. However, other studies present similar findings for this subscale (Bertelmann et al., 2016; Broman et al., 2002). The lowest score second to “General health” is shown by the “General vision” subscale. Our results can coincide with the fact that “General vision” is impaired by AMD and is one of the greatest decreases in scores of those with monocular impairment (Broman et al., 2002). Compared with the other Scandinavian study (Sørensen et al., 2011), our results showed a high score (86.14) on the subscale “Ocular pain.” This is one of the most insensitive subscales to nAMD. Different studies show mean scores corresponding to the general population and reference samples, suggesting that nonvascular AMD is a disease, which does not cause ocular pain (Marella et al., 2010).

The “Near activities” subscale score shows a value of 71.0, and we found a frequency of 8.3% of the respondents who reported that they had given up reading because of impaired vision. A total of 29.7% reported limited difficulties reading. As this subscale is one of the most sensitive to AMD disease, our results suggest moderate impairment.

Low scores in the “Social function” subscale might indicate considerably poor vision and/or high mean age. Our study revealed a score of 83.0 in this subscale, although the samples’ high mean age. This could indicate that our participants may have relatively good subjective vision.

The high score of 76.7 by the subscale “Mental health” leaves a pattern typically the same as the “Social functioning” scale, which also show similar scores when comparing scoring-values from other studies. This can indicate a close relationship between “Social functioning” and “Mental health.” A study reports that people with decreased vision show a higher percentage of individuals

Figure 4. Overview of NEI VFQ-25 subscales scores in different studies with AMD patients.

Note. NEI VFQ = National Eye Institute Visual Functioning Questionnaire; AMD = age-related macular degeneration.
feeling irritable, fatigued, and disinterested in everyday experiences. This can be accompanied by sadness, tearfulness, and depression (Mojon-Azzi, Sousa-Poza, & Mojon, 2008). Our participants’ high score can indicate a modest influence of AMD on “Mental health.”

The subscale “Role difficulties” implies that patients accomplish less because of impaired vision that limits the length of activities. A low score in this subscale can be related to considerably poor vision (Gyawali et al., 2012). If such assumed, we can expect that our participants’ score of 68 show a moderate visual impairment.

The “Dependency” subscale score of 89.7 follows the same trend as “Social functioning” and “Mental health.” This finding can indicate that these subscales correlate with each other. From the correlation matrix, we read relatively high values which is in accordance to other studies (Simão, Lana-Peixoto, Araújo, Moreira, & Teixeira, 2008; Wang, Chan, & Jin, 2008). Our study’s high score can indicate that Norwegian participants reported modest “Social difficulties.”

Results from “Driving subscale” are difficult to interpret, as almost 50% of our participants did not drive. This result with high levels of missing data for the driving items corresponds to other studies (Marella et al., 2010). Driving has a particularly strong association with QoL, especially in some countries where public transportation is limited or not available. Being able to drive offers independency to older individuals (Sengupta et al., 2014). The scores left for the “Dependency” subscale are high (90.0), while the scores given for the subscale “Driving” are lower. This could be interpreted as satisfaction, that is, that the participants in our study have good transportation alternatives.

Subjects with AMD have well-preserved peripheral vision (Sengupta et al., 2014). Low scores for this subscale can indicate that participants suffer from other eye diseases, which cause changes in the peripheral vision. Our study’s high mean score (92.9) may indicate that most of our participants do not suffer from other eye diseases than AMD.

To compare our results with other studies performed in countries both close and distant from Norway, we used data from Denmark investigating QoL in patients with AMD by using the NEI VFQ-25 questionnaire (Sørensen et al., 2011). In addition, one study from Canada (Cruess, Zlateva, Xu, & Rochon, 2007), one from Germany, (Pauleikhoff et al., 2009), and the original study for the development of the instrument (Mangione et al., 2001) were selected. These studies present results for NEI VFQ-25 scores in participants without visual impairment, and total scores showed a high self-reported vision-related QoL in control groups (Cruess et al., 2007; Lotery, Xu, Zlateva, & Loftus, 2007; Mangione et al., 2001; Pauleikhoff et al., 2009; Sørensen et al., 2011). Sørensen found that the control group scored higher on the subscales where AMD is known to affect “Visual performance,” while there was no difference between the control group and patients on the subscales “Ocular pain,” “Color vision,” and “Peripheral vision.” Those subscales are less affected by AMD. Cruess et al.’s (2007) study found that subjects with nAMD scored significantly lower than controls for all but one scale of the NEI VFQ-25 (Ocular pain). Results from the comparison of these studies showed NEI VFQ-25 total scores varying from 48.0 to 78.0. Our study presents a total score of 78.0, which is equal to the Danish score, and higher than the other studies’ results. VRQoL from our study are negatively affected by AMD; however, patients still scored high on QoL measured with NEI VFQ-25. The overview of NEI VFQ-25 subscale scores for the different studies leaves reflection on cultural suitability of the instrument. The original NEI VFQ-25 is American and as Norway and Denmark, the neighbors US and Canada have corresponding values presented. However, the values from Germany and Canada are directly overlapping. We have no explanation for this finding except that these countries represent the Western world and we assume alike health care systems. When we look at the scorings “Near activities,” higher values were found in the Norwegian sample, which may be attributed to a higher level of visual status. Another explanation is clinically important declines shown for visual acuity, which do not result in declines in the NEI VFQ-25 scales. This may be due to adaption to vision loss. Patients may have low expectations for their vision-targeted QoL because of their high age. The presence of other diseases may also cause impairment in daily life. The high score from our participants could possibly be explained by the health system efficiency in identifying the disease before serious consequences occurred. The interviewer-administered format could have influenced patient ability to answer honestly for fear of appearing to complain too much about their health issues.

**Strengths and Limitations**

The use of a standardized instrument is always an advantage. In addition, availability of other studies presenting comparative data on the same instrument is crucial. However, further research is needed to examine which factors affect VRQoL in patients with wet AMD, especially their “General health.”

Some limitations should be considered when interpreting the results. First, we were unable to compare the scores of the NEI VFQ-25 with healthy participants as a reference group. Second, non-Norwegian speaking patients were excluded thus the sample represents only Norwegian speaking people, thus the patients may not be completely generalized to the greater AMD population. However, we think that the study sample is representative for patients with classic or occult wet forms of AMD who are seeking treatment. Another limitation is that the NEI VFQ-25 was designed and validated for the American language and culture (Mangione et al., 2001), and it has not yet been validated after its translation to...
Norwegian. A main limitation in our analyses of VRQoL was the lack of data connected to the AMD multiple dimensions of mono-/bi-lateral, visual acuity and comorbidity. Inclusion of those aspects in further research will leave important knowledge.

This study being the first using the NEI VFQ-25 questionnaire in Norway reveals that the participants had a very high overall NEI VFQ-25 score compared with similar studies in other countries. We found no significant differences when comparing gender, age groups, and civil status. Overall, “General health,” “General vision,” and “Driving” are the most affected aspects in this study. “Ocular pain,” “Peripheral vision,” “Dependency,” and “Color vision” are least affected, followed by “Mental health,” “Distance activity,” “Near activity,” and “Role difficulty.” Being aware that age, gender, and civil status, which are nonmodifiable factors, do not affect VRQoL on patients with wet AMD gives us an indication that other modifiable aspects may affect it. These findings can be of interest to clinicians, researchers, and health service managers for the development of appropriate help for these patients.
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