Phase-contrast and dark-field imaging for the inspection of resin-rich areas and fiber orientation in non-crimp vacuum infusion carbon-fiber-reinforced polymers
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ABSTRACT

In this work, we present a multimodal approach to three-dimensionally quantify and visualize fiber orientation and resin-rich areas in carbon-fiber-reinforced polymers manufactured by vacuum infusion. Three complementary image modalities were acquired by Talbot–Lau grating interferometer (TLGI) X-ray microcomputed tomography (XCT). Compared to absorption contrast (AC), TLGI-XCT provides enhanced contrast between polymer matrix and carbon fibers at lower spatial resolutions in the form of differential phase contrast (DPC) and dark-field contrast (DFC). Consequently, relatively thin layers of resin, effectively indiscernible from image noise in AC data, are distinguishable. In addition to the assessment of fiber orientation, the combination of DPC and DFC facilitates the quantification of resin-rich areas, e.g., in gaps between fiber layers or at binder yarn collimation sites. We found that resin-rich areas between fiber layers are predominantly developed in regions characterized by pronounced curvature. In contrast, in-layer resin-rich areas are mainly caused by the collimation of fibers by binder yarn. Furthermore, void volume around two adjacent 90°-oriented fiber layers is increased by roughly 20% compared to a random distribution over the whole specimen.
Introduction

The high strength at moderate weight in combination with superior corrosion and fatigue properties [1, 2] makes carbon-fiber-reinforced polymer (CFRP) an attractive material for lightweight applications in aerospace. Nonetheless, besides several benefits, CFRP components also bear significant risks like a low resistance to impact damage [3]. Additionally, CFRP parts are often characterized by the presence of deeply enclosed porosity, resin-rich areas and deviations from the desired fiber layup. These internal features and part-to-part variations can pose a serious threat to the mechanical integrity of a component. Therefore, particularly for safety critical parts used in aviation and aerospace applications, fast and reliable non-destructive investigation methods are essential.

X-ray microcomputed tomography (XCT) is a widely established method for damage detection and characterization in CFRP [4, 5] but also other techniques such as ultrasonic testing [6–8] and thermography [9, 10] have proven suitable for the detection of internal pores and defects. In contrast, the segmentation of resin-rich areas and carbon fiber bundles is more challenging as contrast between fibers and matrix material (resin) is usually insufficient using XCT. Although, at sufficiently high resolution (often less than 1 μm³ voxel size), individual fibers can be segmented and fiber orientation can be determined [5, 11]. However, carbon fibers are too small to be visualized at reasonable specimen dimensions, making the combined investigation with resin-rich areas inefficient, as these are typically a multitude larger in size.

The performance of CFRP laminates is predominantly determined by their internal composition of fibers and matrix material. In particular, the orientation of carbon fibers with respect to occurring stress has a major impact on service life. Depending on load direction, even minor deviations from an ideal fiber orientation can introduce shear stress to a component’s matrix material, significantly weakening its mechanical strength [11]. Such deviations are for example commonly introduced by fiber waviness, and their negative effects on stiffness and strength have already been explained in various studies [12, 13]. Additionally, the stacking sequence of fiber layers influences mechanical properties. Fuoss et al. [14] found that stacking of adjacent layers in similar orientations should be avoided. Consequently, the prediction of mechanical behavior of CFRP components largely depends on a detailed knowledge of aforementioned deviations from an intended/ideal composition. However, Barile et al. [15] reported different levels of compressive stiffness in symmetric and balanced carbon fiber composites depending on compression direction. This suggests that apart from fiber orientation, process parameters such as resin flow direction and local accumulations of resin might as well influence mechanical properties of CFRP.

Depending on the type of composite, resin-rich areas can be caused by multiple reasons, for example, by binder yarns in woven composites that are collimating carbon fibers and consequently creating regions with low fiber content [16]. Similar effects have been observed during the integration of structures significantly thicker than carbon fibers causing a distortion in the composite layup such as embedded electronics [17], optical fibers [18] or resin vasculature in self-healing CFRP [19]. The collimation of fibers or general disturbances of the composite layup and the resulting lack of fibers in those areas can furthermore lead to an entrapment of air during resin infusion creating void spaces in the composite. The resulting porosity can significantly reduce the mechanical strength of a component, e.g., inter-laminar shear strength decreases linearly by roughly 6% for every increasing percent of porosity [20]. However, resin-rich areas in components manufactured by vacuum infusion also occur independently of integrated structures, predominantly in regions where fibers are strongly bent causing a compression of fibers and leaving gaps between layers that are filled with resin during the production process [21]. The major problem of these resin-rich areas is the usual mismatch in stiffness and thermal expansion/contraction in comparison with carbon fibers [21, 22].

During the resin infusion process, dry fiber sheets are placed in an airtight mold and resin is infused by underpressure impregnating the carbon fibers [23]. The subsequent curing process typically involves higher temperatures followed by a cooling of the composite during which internal stress is induced [19, 21]. In addition, most resins have low thermal stability leading to a decomposition of the matrix material during occasional lightning strikes, which can create damage hot spots in resin-rich areas [2, 24]. Previous studies also observed that resin zones from binder yarn collimation correlate with crack initiation.
sites [25–27]. For that reasons, the formation of resin-rich areas and their impact on component strength is equally important to investigate.

Using Talbot–Lau grating interferometer (TLGI) X-ray microcomputed tomography (XCT), this study explores three different X-ray contrast mechanisms to characterize internal features and defects in vacuum-infused aerospace-grade CFRP parts. TLGI-XCT is a novel method for the investigation of CFRP and aforementioned problems that was originally developed for synchrotron facilities in 2002 [28]. Because of the high costs and subsequent low accessibility of such facilities, efforts for the transfer of the technology to laboratory computed tomography devices were made. By use of an additional source grating, the obstacle of insufficient spatial coherence of typical laboratory X-ray systems was successfully overcome [29, 30] which opened TLGI-XCT for a wider range of applications. In addition to standard absorption contrast (AC), TLGI-XCT provides two complementary, simultaneously measured and mutually aligned imaging modalities, differential phase contrast (DPC) and dark-field contrast (DFC). DPC shows high sensitivity to changes in atomic number [31] and is therefore the ideal modality for the visualization of low-density material compositions such as biological tissue [32, 33] and the reduction of metal artifacts [34, 35]. On the other hand, DFC visualizes scattering of X-rays, e.g., caused by small structures and defects like cracks or pores in a component. Since the DFC signal provides structural information on a sub-pixel scale, it is possible to quantify defects in larger specimens at relatively low resolution. Moreover, by using one-directional gratings in TLGI-XCT, the sensitivity of DFC is limited to the direction perpendicular to the grating orientation and can therefore be used for the determination of spatial orientation in microstructures [36, 37].

Current research is largely focused on the application of TLGI-XCT for medical diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases [38, 39] and breast cancer [40, 41] but also industrial applications such as the visualization of micro-cracks [42–45] and fiber orientation in (short-) CFRP [46–49]. For that purpose, continuous developments regarding the optimization of gratings [50, 51], interferometer setup [52, 53] and reconstruction methods [54, 55] as well as image processing and visualization [56, 57] are still necessary in order to tackle the limitations of the technology [58].

For completeness, it should be mentioned, that there are several more methods for the extraction of DPC and DFC data explained in the literature. Endrizzi [59] provides a comprehensive overview of phase-contrast imaging techniques including analyzer crystal, propagation, edge illumination and tracking-based methods. Similarly to TLGI-XCT, these methods have shown to be suitable for biomedical and industrial applications such as mammography [60, 61], inspection of electronic components [62] and impact damage in CFRP [63].

In this work, we investigated vacuum infusion CFRP specimens extracted from larger airplane components, focusing on curved regions that cause a bending of the internal carbon fibers and a disruption of the internal meso-structure. Since TLGI-XCT is capable of detecting scattering structures in the sub-pixel regime [64], requirements in spatial resolution for the identification of carbon fibers are considerably reduced. Therefore, carbon fibers as well as resin-rich areas in relatively large components can be characterized at once [65] and additional insights into resin-rich areas in combination with local carbon fiber layup and orientation can be found. These insights provide additional, more detailed input for finite elements simulations and consequently enable more reliable predictions for service life. Furthermore, with the implementation of presented methods to product quality control, the additional information can be used for optimization of CFRP production processes and increase cost efficiency.

**Materials and methods**

**Specimens and preparation**

Investigations were performed on six specimens cut from a vacuum infusion-manufactured CFRP component used in passenger aircraft. The laminate was formed by biaxial ± 45° chain stitched non-crimp fabrics. The resin used for the infusion was CYCOM® 977-20 RTM, which is liquid at room temperature and cured in 3 h at 177 °C. The specimens were cut from regions with high local bending of the carbon fiber layers, which are typical hot spots for inhomogeneities occurring from the manufacturing process. The specimen dimensions were chosen to fit the specifications of the TLGI-XCT device used, resulting in sample dimensions of roughly
17 \times 10 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3. \text{ In most CFRP materials, attenuation contrast between carbon fibers and matrix material is too low for a sufficient segmentation and extraction of fiber orientation. However, visualization of single carbon fibers is possible but usually limited to a volume of only a few \text{ mm}^3 because of the magnification in cone-beam XCT and limited detector size. Therefore, compared to the dimensions of typical airplane components, the covered volume seems rather limited but is still relatively large for the determination of carbon fiber orientation, compared to standard absorption XCT [5, 11].}

**Experimental setup and parameters**

**Conventional XCT**

Standard absorption XCT measurements were taken on a Nanotom 180NF (GE Sensing & Inspection Technologies GmbH, Germany) to complement TLGI-XCT measurements as reference volume data. The Nanotom device is equipped with a nano-focus tube, a molybdenum target and a 2304 \times 2304 pixel Hamamatsu detector (Hamamatsu Photonics K.K., Japan). Scanning parameters are listed in Table 1.

**Talbot–Lau grating interferometer XCT**

For the extraction of fiber information as well as resin-rich areas, TLGI-XCT was performed using a SkyScan 1294 desktop system (Bruker microCT, Belgium), equipped with a 60 kV micro-focus tube and a 4000 \times 2672 pixel CCD camera. The grating interferometer consists of two absorption (G0 and G2) and one phase grating (G1), with grating periods of 4.8 \mu\text{m}, positioned in a symmetric setup (equidistantly) along the beam path (see Fig. 1). The G0 grating is placed directly in front of the X-ray source to create an array of line sources for a minimum required spatial coherency. The phase grating G1 causes a phase shift in fifty percent of the incoming X-rays, leading to an interference with the remaining, non-shifted X-rays. This interference creates the projection of a self-image of the phase grating in repeated distances, which is referred to as Talbot effect [66].

At the given pixel size of the detector, this interference pattern cannot be visualized directly, necessitating an additional analyzing mechanism. For this purpose, the G2 absorption grating is positioned directly in front of the detector. In combination with a stepwise lateral movement of the G0 grating over one period of its own, also referred to as phase stepping [67], a sinusoidal intensity modulation is generated on each detector pixel. For measurements done in this work, 4 phase steps were performed during this procedure. The placement of a specimen in the beam path causes changes in this signal depending on the object’s absorption, refraction and scattering properties. Compared to the reference signal without an object, three modalities can be extracted. The change in overall intensity of the signal yields the standard AC, while a phase shift caused by refraction and a reduction of the signal amplitude by small-angle scattering is extracted as DPC and DFC, respectively. With knowledge about interferometer settings/geometry, DPC and DFC gray values can be converted directly to the refractive index decrement \( \delta \) [68] and linear diffusion coefficient \( \varepsilon \) [69]. Prior to every scan, the X-ray tube was conditioned for a minimum of 2 h, to allow a sufficient system warmup and avoid artifacts due to temperature drifts causing misalignments in the interferometer gratings. Furthermore, voxel size in \( x-y \) and \( z \) orientation was calibrated by precedent measurement of a voxel size calibration phantom designed for this system (ruby ball bar, distance from sphere to sphere: 12 mm; DAkkS certificate diameter, form and distance sphere to sphere). Detailed parameters for scans performed are listed in Table 1.

**Table 1** Scanning parameters for standard- and TLGI-XCT

| XCT-System     | Voxel size (\mu\text{m}) | Voltage (kV) | Current (\mu\text{A}) | Exposure time (ms) | Projections | Averaging | Pre-filter (mm) | Time (min) |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|------------|
| Nanotom 180 NF | 11.4                     | 60           | 300                    | 600                | 1400        | 5         | –              | 86         |
| SkyScan 1294   | 22.8                     | 40           | 1100                   | 600                | 1500        | 8         | 0.5 Al         | 652        |
As the sensitivity of DFC is limited to the direction perpendicular to the grating orientation, information about fiber orientation can be extracted [36, 37, 70]. Therefore, by performing repeated measurements and sample repositioning, fiber orientations in a composite component can be evaluated. Since the exact fiber-lay-up of the investigated CFRP specimens was initially unknown, the first two necessary scan orientations were determined by the visible alignment of the surface layer and a position rotated by 90° to it. Bright bands visible in the cross-sectional images (see Fig. 3) indicate a maximum scatter signal, which occurs at a horizontal alignment of fiber bundles during the CT scan. From these, 8 layers in 0° and four double layers in 90° orientation could be identified. Nevertheless, information of several volume layers was still missing. Consequently, assuming a standard layup of 0°/90°/+ 45°/− 45° plies, two additional scans were performed with the specimen rotated by 45° in the ply-plane with respect to the initial orientation. In the cross-sectional images of these scans, three double and two single layers in +45° and eight single layers in -45° direction became visible. The resulting scanning positions were named accordingly (see Fig. 2).

With a total of four scans, we were able to identify the layer sequence of each specimen. However, in regions with strongly bent layers, fiber orientation in ± 45° plies mismatched the horizontal alignment in the interferometer, resulting in a reduction of the scatter signal (see Fig. 3, ± 45° orientations). Subsequently, two more scans with tilted positioning to correct the alignment and complete the datasets were performed. This is done by examining the projection data to find the rotational position of the specimen at which the scatter signal is reduced by misalignment. Around that position, a tilt angle has to be empirically determined, until a peak in the scatter signal is visible at the respective region. This peak indicates a parallel alignment of the fiber bundles to the optical axis which means the horizontal alignment criteria is met.

Image processing and visualization

Extraction of image modalities from TLGI-XCT projection data and following reconstruction was performed with the software X-AID (MITOS GmbH, Germany) by means of a filtered back-projection algorithm with ring artifact correction. Image data of standard XCT reference scans was reconstructed using the GE phoenix datos|x software (GE Sensing & Inspection Technologies GmbH, Germany). Apart from the mentioned, no additional beam hardening correction or noise reduction filtering was applied. Alignment of attenuation contrast data of individual scans was done in Volume Graphics Studio 3.3 (Volume Graphics GmbH, Germany) by a best fit
Subsequently, the transformation could be transferred to DPC and DFC data from the same measurements, since they are perfectly aligned to their AC counterpart inherently. Merging of tilted orientation scans was also performed in Volume Graphics Studio 3.3.

Image fusion of several DFC layer data was performed in Avizo 2019.4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). Prior to the fusion, non-local means (NLM) filtering with a search window of 10 pixels, a local neighborhood value of 5 pixels and similarity value 0.3 was applied in order to reduce the influence of image noise and artifacts among the datasets. Parameters of the NLM filter were determined empirically to reduce image noise without losing information on fiber layers.

Results

Fiber orientation and layer identification

With four scans performed, we determined a total of 32 layers in a sequence of \([+45^\circ/−45^\circ/0^\circ/90^\circ]4S\). Cross-sectional images of individual scans and sample orientations are shown in Fig. 3, while the evaluated layer sequence is visualized schematically in Fig. 4. Interruptions in the fiber layers as well as dark/black regions between two adjacent \(90^\circ\) layers are visible. The scale bar corresponds to 2500 µm.
data [36]. For example, this is visible in 90° scan data, in which penetration length changes considerably due to specimen orientation. Since material interfaces cause a scattering signal, the specimen surface is characterized by a rather thin white band in all orientations, not to be confused with scattering caused by carbon fibers.

Residual information about fiber layers in strongly bent regions was acquired with two additional scans in tilted orientation. Tilted data was merged with original scan orientations to create a single dataset with complete layer information (e.g., see Fig. 7a). Therefore, using a total of 6 scans, the whole volume of a specimen was characterized in relation to fiber orientation in the respective layer. Figure 5 shows 3D renderings of individually oriented fiber layers as well as resin-rich areas.

**Defect characterization**

**Resin-rich areas**

Figure 6 shows a standard XCT measurement at a resolution of (11.4 μm)³ voxel size in comparison with a DPC image at (22.8 μm)³ voxel size. In contrast to AC images, the resin in DPC images appears darker than the surrounding composite because of its lower refractive index. Differences in AC and DPC become obvious in zoomed sections of Fig. 6 and when line profiles are applied over a resin-rich area. In particular at relatively thin layers of resin, DPC still shows a clearly visible peak in contrast to AC.

Streak artifacts in direction along the beam axis in DPC are caused by phase wrapping effects typically occurring at material interfaces causing a high phase shift [71].

From the comparison of DPC and DFC image data, we found that resin-rich areas can develop between fiber layers (inter-layer) as well as within individual layers (in-layer). Especially using color-coded visualizations of individual fiber layer orientations in DFC, in- and inter-layer resin-rich areas are easy to differentiate (see Fig. 5e). This leads to the finding that inter-layer resin-rich areas are more pronounced in regions with high bending of the layers and within these regions, largest resin zones are located between 90°-oriented layers. For further investigation, we applied non-planar cross sections along single fiber layers, to visualize only in-layer resin-rich areas. The results in Fig. 7c reveal the rhomboidal-shaped characteristic of the resin zones caused by the collimation of fibers by binder yarns as observed in several previous studies [16, 26, 72].

Repeatedly appearing binder yarn collimation sites can overlap each other, in some cases creating resin pockets penetrating through several layers of carbon fibers. In a 3D rendered visualization, such overlapping resin areas often appear in a cross-shaped way (see Fig. 5f). An example of a resin pocket penetrating through 5 carbon fiber layers is indicated in Fig. 7b. Furthermore, after CT measurements were completed, specimen 1 was prepared for microsections to visualize resin pockets with embedded...
Figure 5 Specimen 1; a–d 3D rendering of 0°, 90°, +45° and −45° fiber layers. The fiber layers were segmented by standard ISO-thresholding, followed by advanced surface determination method (local gray value gradients) in Volume Graphics Studio 3.3. e 2D slice image of combined fiber layers. Regions with low fiber content inside and between layers appear as dark/black regions. f 3D rendering of resin-rich areas segmented from DPC. Resin-rich areas were defined by a first, rough segmentation by region-growing algorithm, followed by advanced surface determination method in Volume Graphics Studio 3.3. Cross-shaped resin areas come from overlapping binder yarn collimation sites in adjacent layers (diagonal crosses in ±45° layers, horizontal/vertical crosses in 0°/90° layers).

Figure 6 Comparison of AC and DPC imaging of Specimen 1. Resin-rich areas appear brighter than carbon fibers in AC and darker in DPC. Zoomed sections show a thin resin zone. Line profile plots reveal the enhanced contrast of DPC. Streak artifacts in DPC are caused by phase wrapping effects.
binder yarns. In Fig. 8, the same resin pocket penetrating through 5 fiber layers is visualized by reflected light optical microscopy at 50 and 100 times magnification, respectively.

**Void space**

Inter-layer resin-rich areas are prominent in regions where CFRP layers are strongly bent and a mismatch between the fiber layers or layers and mold occurs [21]. This can also cause an insufficient infusion of resin, leading to voids of air between the fibers. These voids are not only limited to inter-layer space, but also develop in regions in which fibers are collimated by binder yarns. From our investigations of six infusion specimens, we found that 46.4% of the occurring void volume over all specimens is located between two 90°/C176 or their adjacent layers. This means a roughly 20% increased void occurrence in these regions compared to a random distribution over all 31 inter-layer spaces of each specimen. Detailed results of porosity analyses are listed in Table 2 as well as corresponding slice images are shown in Fig. 9.

**Discussion**

The capability of TLGI-XCT to detect scattering structures in the sub-pixel regime [64] significantly reduces the required spatial resolution for identification of carbon fiber layers. Moreover, combining the directional sensitivity of DFC and knowledge of the orientation of a visible single fiber layer located at the specimen surface, composite layup, individual fiber layers and their orientation can be readily extracted. Therefore, layers oriented in the same direction as this visually identifiable surface layer were determined by scanning the specimen in the corresponding position with respect to grating direction. The orientations of the remaining layers and the respective specimen placements were subsequently concluded using the information gained from the preceding scans. In that way, the layer sequence was determined with 4 scan positions. This methodology is applicable for standard laminate layups where at least the orientation of an initial layer is known. If this is not the case, prior investigations to evaluate the approximate fiber layer orientations are necessary. This can for example be done by TLGI radiography, as fiber bundles aligned parallel to the optical axis will show a distinct peak in the scatter signal [49]. An approximate assessment of the fiber layup can therefore be done in relatively short time, as radiography images are typically available in live view. However, strongly bent layers can be difficult to visualize because of changes in DFC intensity due to the non-uniform fiber directions. Therefore, in some cases, additional scans are necessary to cover regions with lack of information as visible in Fig. 3 at ± 45° orientations. The increased amount of scans...
necessary for a single specimen in combination with the typically long scan times caused by the intensity reduction from absorption gratings and phase stepping approach makes TLGI generally rather time-consuming. Additionally, for sake of image quality and constant measurement parameters over all scans performed the scan duration chosen was potentially exaggerated. At the given specimen dimensions, we experienced that a reduction to 375 projection images increases image noise but layer identification by DFC is still absolutely possible. We thereby achieved scan times below 170 min per orientation. Furthermore, for orientations with low transmission lengths (all except 90° orientation) projections or image averaging could be reduced further to achieve scan times comparable to standard XCT. In addition, edge-preserving image filters or iterative reconstruction methods can be applied to counteract increasing

| Specimen | Void volume (mm³) | Void volume—90° (mm³) | Void volume—90° (%) |
|----------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1        | 0.3              | 0.0                   | 0.0                  |
| 2        | 5.0              | 2.2                   | 42.7                 |
| 3        | 2.3              | 0.8                   | 34.4                 |
| 4        | 3.8              | 2.1                   | 54.7                 |
| 5        | 2.4              | 1.4                   | 60.8                 |
| 6        | 1.3              | 0.5                   | 40.0                 |
| Total    | 15.1             | 7.0                   | 46.4                 |
image noise at reduced scan times if necessary. Moreover, the SkyScan 1294 system is rather limited in applicable voltage (maximum 50 kV) as its absorption gratings of 40 μm height are too transmissive for higher energy photons. Current state-of-the-art gold gratings can be manufactured with heights of more than 120 μm and curvature fitted to the cone-beam geometry, increasing both the applicable energies and field of view.

For the investigation of resin-rich areas, a single scan is typically sufficient, although the reduction of projections and/or averaging does affect the detectability of resin-rich areas in DPC more severely. In combination with fiber layup identification from DFC data, further conclusions about the cause for certain resin-rich areas are possible. Dong [21] experimentally observed the cause for resin-rich areas between fibers and mold via microscopy in regions characterized by significantly bent layers, resulting in a collimation of fibers. We were able to confirm these observations at regions of interest in an actual aerospace component (see Fig. 7a, b). Furthermore, in [21] only simple bending scenarios of 90° and 135° were performed to provoke the formation of resin zones between fibers and mold. We also found that at more complex bending scenarios, gaps filling with resin can form between individual fiber layers as well. This is particularly obvious between two adjacent layers of 90° orientation, which are characterized by large resin pockets.

However, also binder yarn collimation sites cause resin pockets in composites [16, 26, 72]. As shown in Fig. 7b, these pockets can penetrate through several layers of carbon fibers as they are overlapping each other. Consequently, the formation of such penetrating resin zones is already determined by the placement of fiber sheets in the mold and could possibly be avoided by a shifted arrangement. In extreme cases, the cavities formed by disturbances in the layer sequence can also lead to an insufficient infusion with resin, leaving voids in the composite volume. Since inter-layer resin-rich areas are more pronounced between layers of 90° orientation, we did further investigations revealing that the amount of void volume located between 90° or their adjacent layers is also increased by roughly 20% compared to a random
distribution over all inter-layer spaces. Voids in other layers are induced mainly by binder yarn collimation sites.

The additional insights into CFRP laminates gained by TLGI-XCT provide extended structural information for virtual models on a mesoscale facilitating finite element (FE) analyses. Predictions of material behavior of complex CFRP parts necessitate reliable FE simulations that largely depend on accurate experimental data from mechanical tests of physical samples. Detailed knowledge about resin-rich areas and their three-dimensional distribution in composites as well as fiber layup and orientation can therefore contribute to elaborate models for finite elements simulations [25, 27]. Consequently, future works will focus on the implementation of these findings into finite elements models for prediction of mechanical strength and damage initiation. Furthermore, increased scan time of TLGI-XCT in comparison with standard XCT reduces the applicability of the method for quality control in industry. Ongoing research therefore aims a reduction of scan time, e.g., by use of iterative reconstruction methods to reduce the number of necessary projection images.

Conclusion

In contrast to previous studies, this work focuses on the characterization of resin-rich areas and voids in vacuum infusion CFRP through combined information gained by additional image modalities from TLGI-XCT. We have successfully utilized the directional sensitivity of DFC imaging for the determination of an unknown layer sequence. Thereby, the low requirements in spatial resolution enable the characterization of a relatively large volume element in comparison with standard XCT. Furthermore, the high sensitivity of DPC imaging to differences in atomic number was used for the investigation of resin-rich areas in specimens measured. Subsequently, we showed that the information gained from these complementary image modalities can be used to differentiate between in- and inter-layer resin-rich areas and to make more precise conclusions about their cause. Hence, we found that inter-layer resin-rich areas are more pronounced in regions where fiber layers are strongly bent, especially between layers with fibers oriented in bending direction. Other than that, resin-rich areas are mostly caused by the collimation of fiber tows by binder yarn. These findings also correlate with the development of voids in the specimens.
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