Iguanians are one of the most diverse groups of extant lizards (>1,700 species) with acrodontan iguanians dominating in the Old World, and non-acrodontans in the New World. A new lizard species presented herein is the first acrodontan from South America, indicating acrodontans radiated throughout Gondwana much earlier than previously thought, and that some of the first South American lizards were more closely related to their counterparts in Africa and Asia than to the modern fauna of South America. This suggests both groups of iguanians achieved a worldwide distribution before the final breakup of Pangaea. At some point, non-acrodontans replaced acrodontans and became the only iguanians in the Americas, contrary to what happened on most of the Old World. This discovery also expands the diversity of Cretaceous lizards in South America, which with recent findings, suggests sphenodontians were not the dominant lepidosaurs in that continent as previously hypothesized.
Squamates (lizards, snakes and amphisbaenians) are the most speciose extant group of reptiles, represented by more than 9,000 living species, and iguanians are one of the most diverse group of lizards globally, with more than 1,700 species. Acrodontan iguanians are characterized by unique jaw features among lizards, as well as an evolutionary trend towards tooth placement at the apex of the jaws and fusion to it (acrodonty and pleuroacrodonty), whereas non-acrodontans (iguanids, tropidurids, among others) are pleurodont, with teeth attached to the lingual wall of the jaws. Among extant taxa, while acrodontans have an Old World distribution, non-acrodontan iguanians dominate the New World, as well as Madagascar and a few Pacific islands. The origins of these two groups, with their almost disjunct distributions, and the dominance of non-acrodontans in the Americas have been the subject of great conjectures and debate. The difficulty in providing answers to these questions is due to a poor fossil record worldwide during the time of origin of squamates (Early-Mid Mesozoic), and during the entire Mesozoic of Gondwana—nine valid species of lizards, versus ~150 species in Laurasia (data compiled from several subsequent publications).

Here we report on the first known acrodontan iguanian lizard from South America, the New World component of ancient Gondwana, recovered from a new locality in Brazil dated as Late Cretaceous. This discovery overturns long held hypotheses of the evolution and palaeobiogeography of modern iguanian lizards and provides important insights into the early evolution of lizards in South America.

Results
Systematic palaeontology.

Squamata Opell, 1811
Acrodonta Cope, 1864
Gueragama sulamericana gen. et sp. nov.

Etymology. ‘Guera’, meaning ‘ancient’ (native Brazilian Tupi-Guarani); ‘agama’(gender feminine) in reference to agamid lizards; ‘sulamericana’, meaning ‘from South America’ (Portuguese).

Holotype. CP.V 2187, partial lower jaw (Fig. 1), CENPALEO—Universidade do Contestado, Santa Catarina, Brazil.

Additional material. CP.V 2188 (unprepared fragments of possible maxillary and teeth).

Type locality and horizon. Cruzeiro do Oeste, Paraná State, Brazil; Goio-Erê Formation, Caiuá Group, Bauru Basin; Turonian-Campanian, Late Cretaceous.

Diagnosis. Stem acrodontan species separated from all other squamates by the following combination of characters: coronoid process of dentary with dorsal and posteriorly elongate component; posterior process of dentary undivided and extending well beyond level of coronoid process; presence of subdental shelf; dental sulcus present anteriorly; no splenial articulatory facet on dentary (splenial either small or absent); large facet for anteromedial process of coronoid on dentary; angular extending anterior to posteriormost tooth; anterior teeth pleurodont, peg-like, with pointed and laterally compressed apices; posterior marginal teeth: pleuroacrodont, straight, posteriorly increasing in size (except for the last tooth) and labiolingually expanded, increasing degree of ankylosis with subdental shelf and labial wall of dentary posteriorly and with apical wear creating labial and lingual shearing crests; replacement pits lingual to functional tooth.

Description. The preserved dentary has a convex ventral border that is partially broken in its midsection, and bears six mental foramina laterally. The coronoid process would have covered the coronoid eminence laterally, probably reaching to or beyond its posterior margin. In medial view, the anterior tip of the dentary has a horizontally elongate symphysial flat surface that would have butted against its right counterpart, and is barely indented ventrally by the Meckelian canal. The subdental shelf has a medial ridge that diminishes in height posteriorly and which delimits a dental sulcus anteriorly that is not filled with cementum (as opposed to teids) and is mostly empty. Despite the ventral border of the dentary being broken in its midsection, the ventral crest of the dentary is preserved and visible in medial view ventral to the subdental shelf, anteriorly and posteriorly. It does not extend medially, and does not contact the subdental ridge, even in its deepest part on the anteriormost section of the dentary. This indicates that the Meckelian canal was fully open medially, even in the region where the subdental shelf was deepest. Posterior to the last tooth position there is a large facet for the coronoid anteromedial process, and posterior and laterally to this, facets for the surangular and angular bones (Fig. 1). Inside the Meckelian canal, the intramandibular septum is not seen posteriorly. There is an excavation on the dorsolateral surface of the dentary, which creates a posterodorsal crest that extends onto the coronoid process, as observed in the extant agamid Uromastyx. There is no facet for the splenial medially on the subdental shelf.

There are 18 tooth positions, with most teeth preserved in situ and displaying moderate heterodonty. The anteriormost five teeth display no obvious ankylosis to the labial wall. However, there is
an increasing degree of ankylosis to the labial wall of the dentary
and the subdental shelf after the eighth tooth, with the dorsal
crest of the dentary eventually becoming indistinguishable from
the teeth (Fig. 2d). Posterior teeth are also positioned more
dorsally on the jaw relative to anterior teeth, with the labial wall
of the dentary (and its dorsal crest) not being visible in dorsal
view. Thus, the anteriormost teeth can be classified as pleurodont,
and the posterior series as pleuroacrodont. The resorption pits are
elliptical, having a wider diameter in the apicobasal axis.

The teeth in the middle and posterior sections of the jaw are
straight and closely spaced, whereas the three anteriormost teeth
are slightly inclined anteriorly. Resorption pits are observed
throughout the dentary, indicating replacement was active.
The anterior teeth also have pointed apices that are laterally
compressed, forming relatively sharp anterior and posterior
ridges. The teeth posterior to the eighth tooth position become
gradually different, bearing a sagittally oriented wear facet at their
apices, leaving a labial and a lingual shearing crest and forming a
molariform series.

Systematic comparisons to other lepidosaurs. Some features of
the jaw of Gueragama, such as a posteriorly elongated coronoid
process of the dentary, and an undivided and elongate posterior
process, are similar to those found in most rhynchocephalians.
However, rhynchocephalians usually have a fully acrodont
dentition and show no tooth replacement, making them very distinct from Gueragama. The early rhynchocephalians Gephyrosaurus
11 and Diphyodontosaurus 12 from the Late Triassic and Early Jurassic of Britain, nevertheless, show pleurodont

tooth attachment and pleurodont + acrodont attachment,
respectively. Yet, these and all other rhynchocephalians differ
from Gueragama and crown acrodontans by having a closed
Meckelian fossa, or nearly closed in Gephyrosaurus. Even in the
latter condition, the ventral crest of the dentary is curved medially
and is also deep anteriorly, as in other rhynchocephalians, unlike
Gueragama and crown acrodontans. Gephyrosaurus and
Diphyodontosaurus also show the primitive lepidosaurian
condition of an elongate jaw with a high tooth count 11,12
(reaching up to 40 dentary teeth in Gephyrosaurus 13), whereas
the jaw in Gueragama and crown acrodontans is much shorter
with a lower tooth count. The anterior inferior alveolar foramen
is usually located at the level of the coronoid process in
rhynchocephalians (or slightly anteriorly in some cases), but it
is more anterior in acrodontans 14. Gueragama does not have the
anterior inferior alveolar foramen at the level of the coronoid and
it is inferred to be located well anteriorly (its probable location
is covered by matrix and is too fragile for preparation). Finally,
Gephyrosaurus and Diphyodontosaurus have an oval symphysis
split by the Meckelian canal, and later rhynchocephalians have a
vertically elongate symphysis. Gueragama, as in acrodontans
(except chamaeleonids), has the symphysis elongated and
nearly horizontal, differing from the conditions observed in
rhynchocephalians. All these factors indicate Gueragama is not a
rhynchocephalian, and is instead, an acrodontan.

Within Squamata, the lack of plicidentine infolding coupled
with straight teeth, and the long and undivided posterior process
of the dentary, makes Gueragama different from all members of
the Anguimorpha. The medially open Meckelian canal also indicates Gueragama is neither a gekkotan nor a xantusiid, which

---

Figure 2 | Details of Gueragama sulamericana and comparisons. Details of the lower jaw of Uromastyx acanthinurus (extant acrodontan—MCZ 27382) in
labial (a) and occlusal (b) views. Scale bars, 5 mm. Details on the dentition and dentary of Gueragama sulamericana (CP.V 2,187) in occlusal (c), labial
d (d) and lingual (e) views. Scale bars, 1 mm. Ank., tooth ankylosis to dentary bone; L.ex., labial excavation on dentary; Pd.cr., posterodorsally ascending crest;
Re.p., resorption pits; Re.t., replacement tooth; Sd.s., subdental shelf; Sh.cr., shearing crest; W., mediiodistal wear facet.
possess a medially closed Meckelian canal via fusion of the dentary subdental shelf to the ventral border of the dentary. An open Meckelian canal is a common feature amongst most lizards classically classified in the ‘Scincomorpha’. However, among scincomorphs, Gueragama differs from teiioids by having a long undivided posterior process of the dentary, a well-developed and dorsally oriented component of the coronoid process and by having an elliptical (wider diameter in the apicobasal axis) rather than semi-circular resorption pits and lacking deep deposits of cementum. From laceritids, it differs by its long posterior and coronoid processes of the dentary. Xantusiids, cordyloids, scincids (including the fossil contogenoids from the Late Cretaceous of North America), as well as some other fossil taxa possibly closely related to them, such as Globaura and Carusia from the Late Cretaceous of East Asia, do have an elongate posterior process of the dentary that is undivided.

However, in these groups the posterior process usually differs from the condition observed in Gueragama by being located on the ventral margin of the jaw, at some distance from the coronoid process and the anterior surangular foramen, being separated from them by the surangular. In some forms in these families, this process can be straight (for example, the scincid Tiliqua and contogenoids, as well as the xantusiid Palaeoxantusia from the Eocene of Wyoming and North Dakota). Yet, and most importantly, all these groups differ from Gueragama, by possessing a Meckelian canal that is closed anteriorly (with subdental shelf and ventral crests in contact medially), or totally closed, as in xantusiids; a coronoid process of the dentary that extends mostly dorsally, exposing the coronoid labial process posteriorly to it; anterior and posterior ridges on the lingual side of marginal teeth (for contogenoids); an elongate splenial (apart from xantusiids); and having tall chisel-like teeth, usually with crown striations (mostly in scincids). Gueragama also differs from the Late Cretaceous borioteiioids by having a posteriorly elongate coronoid process (much shorter in borioteiioids). Gueragama possesses an open coronoid process with an elongate posterior component (aperture for surangular foramen) and a reduced/absent splenial crown striations (mostly in scincids).

Gueragama sulamericana shares with iguanians lizards a subdental shelf, closely spaced teeth, and the replacement teeth positioned lingually. Whereas some families of iguanians have a closed Meckelian canal, the new species possesses an open Meckelian canal, which is also the case for acrodontans, and some species of Oplurus, and Phrynosoma, for instance. The new species shares with acrodontan lizards numerous other features (see Table 1) such as an undivided and straight posterior process of the dentary, separated from the coronoid process by a small gap through which the anterior surangular foramen opened; a coronoid process with an elongate posterior component, which covers the lateral surface of the coronoid bone; a dorsolateral excavation on the labial margin of the dentary, producing a posterozulca. A putative sister taxon to priscagamids + acrodontans18. Priscagama had both pleurodont and acrodont teeth posteriorly. Among acrodontans, transitional dentitions that are still not fully acrodont occur in Eocene species from Mongolia, such as Khaichinsaurus and Lentisaurus22, as well as a few modern forms (for example, Uromastyx and Calotes23). The tooth attachment modes in these taxa suggest acrodonty could have evolved as a derived condition within Iguania, and that the priscagamids (and some acrodontans) represent transitional forms evolving towards that dental condition20. In the priscagamids Pleurodonogama and Morunasius, some resorption pits indicating tooth replacement are also retained20.

G. sulamericana displays an increasing degree of dental ankylosis of the posterior teeth, the lack of it in the anteriormost teeth, and the presence of a dental sulcus as in Priscagama and other fossil acrodontans.

| Anatomical traits | Priscagamids | G. sulamericana | Leiolepidinae | Other acrodontans |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| 1. Fully open Meckelian canal | Present | Present | Present | Present |
| 2. Elongate angular facet on dentary (angular anterior to posteriormost tooth) | Present | Present | Present | Present |
| 3. Heterodonty (disconsidering the extreme wear that erodes the anterior teeth in some acrodontans during ontogeny) | Present | Present | Present | Present |
| 4. Posterior teeth ankylosis to dentary lingual wall | Present | Present | Present | Present |
| 5. Posterior teeth positioned apicolingually on the jaw | Present | Present | Present | Present |
| 6. Number of teeth ranging between 15–20 on the lower jaw | Present | Present | Present | Present |
| 7. Close packing of teeth | Present | Present | Present | Present |
| 8. Undivided and straight posterior process of the dentary | Absent | Present | Present | Present |
| 9. Posterior process separated by a small gap from coronoid process (aperture for surangular foramen) | Absent | Present | Present | Present |
| 10. Coronoid process with an elongate posterior component | Absent | Present | Present | Present |
| 11. Dorsozulca. Ascending crest on the labial margin of the lower jaw, producing posterozulca. A putative sister taxon to priscagamids + acrodontans18. Priscagama had both pleurodont and acrodont teeth posteriorly. Among acrodontans, transitional dentitions that are still not fully acrodont occur in Eocene species from Mongolia, such as Khaichinsaurus and Lentisaurus22, as well as a few modern forms (for example, Uromastyx and Calotes23). The tooth attachment modes in these taxa suggest acrodonty could have evolved as a derived condition within Iguania, and that the priscagamids (and some acrodontans) represent transitional forms evolving towards that dental condition20. In the priscagamids Pleurodonogama and Morunasius, some resorption pits indicating tooth replacement are also retained20. G. sulamericana displays an increasing degree of dental ankylosis of the posterior teeth, the lack of it in the anteriormost teeth, and the presence of a dental sulcus as in Priscagama and other fossil acrodontans.

| Other acrodontans |
|------------------|
| 12. No articulatory facet for the splenial on the medial margin of the dentary (splenial small or absent) | Absent | Present | Present | Present |

G. sulamericana, Gueragama sulamericana: *Leiolepidinae (Uromastyx and Leiolepis)* is taken separately from other acrodontans, due to its key position, generally taken as an early branching acrodont. Leiolepidines have important features peculiar to them amongst extant forms, which are variably present in other acrodontans, and are also retained in G. sulamericana and other fossil acrodontans.
**Phylogeny.** We inferred the phylogenetic position of *G. sulamericana* in a data set representative of all major squamate groups \(^{18}\) (see Methods and Supplementary Data 1), and obtained a well resolved strict consensus tree topology and an unambiguous placement for the new taxon (Fig. 3a). *Gueraugama* was found as a stem acrodontan, being more closely related to crown acrodontans than to the Priscagamidae and Ctenomastax. *Gueraugama* breaks the long branch between priscagamids and acrodontans found a previous analysis \(^{18}\), providing clues for character evolution along the stem lineage of Acrodonta.

Despite the limited number of characters that could be scored for *Gueraugama* in this character matrix, taxon incompleteness should not be an *a priori* criterion for not including a taxon in a phylogenetic analysis. Retrieving an unambiguous positioning for a taxon with few scorable characters, and good resolution for the entire tree, depends on the taxon possessing the key synapomorphies that are necessary for its correct placement \(^{25,26}\), and this cannot be predicted before the analysis \(^{27}\). The inclusion of *Gueraugama* in the matrix of Gauthier et al. \(^{18}\) supports this hypothesis. *Gueraugama* was found within the clade formed by Ctenomastax, along with priscagamids and acrodonts, within the acrodontan clade, but outside of the crown, supporting the transitional position for this taxon.

In a preliminary analysis without the inclusion of *Gueraugama*, the branch between priscagamids and extant acrodontans was 18 steps long. The inclusion of *Gueraugama* broke this relatively long branch leading to the acrodontan crown clade into two shorter branches: one of three steps (subtending *Gueraugama* and crown acrodontans) and another of three steps (subtending the crown clade)—Supplementary Note 1. The inclusion of this fossil form does not change the relationship between major clades of squamates obtained before its inclusion, but helps to understand the sequence of character evolution leading to the evolution of the peculiar jaw and teeth features that characterize acrodontans amongst all other squamates. Furthermore, breaking long branches makes the overall analysis more accurate, as biases

---

**Figure 3 | Phylogenetic position of Gueraugama sulamericana among other squamates, and lepidosaur distribution in the Cretaceous of South America.**

(a) Strict consensus tree of 373 most parsimonious trees of 5,287 steps each (consistency index = 0.2012; retention index = 0.7714). Branches are proportional to lengths, and emphasized by a colour gradient of increasing branch length as follows: pink, purple, blue, cyan, green, yellow and red. The following clades are denoted: Priscagamidae (pink box), Acrodonta (light orange box), Priscagamidae + Acrodonta + Ctenomastax (grey box). The extremely long branch leading to chamaeleons (*Brookesia* and *Chamaeleo*) suggests either the absence of basal fossil forms, or rapid evolutionary rates. (b) Between the Aptian/Albian (112 million years ago (mya) and the Campanian (83 mya), sphenodontians were present in northern Patagonia, in the provinces of Chubut (Tres Cerros), Río Negro (Los Alamitos, Cerro Tortuga, Cerro Bonaparte and La Buitrera) and Neuquén (El Chocón), represented by black circles. Lizards were present in the state of Ceará in northeastern Brazil (Araripé Basin), as well as in the southeastern/southern states of Minas Gerais (Peirópolis), São Paulo (Marília and Presidente Prudente) and Paraná (Cruzeiro do Oeste), and in the province of Río Negro (Cinco Saltos and La Buitrera), Argentina, represented by stars. The red star indicates the type locality of *G. sulamericana*. 

---

*Pleurodontagama*\(^{15,20}\). Tooth replacement is still present, as in *Pleurodontagama* and *Morunastus*. Furthermore, the variation in tooth placement on the jaw of *G. sulamericana* is also seen in priscagamids and acrodontans. *G. sulamericana* also displays close packing of the teeth, which resembles the primitive iguanian condition, as observed in *Ctenomastax*. However, *G. sulamericana* preserves 18 teeth, differing from the higher number of teeth in non-acrodontan iguanian dentaries (20–35), and matching the tooth count of priscagamids and some priscagamids, which varies between 15 and 20 (ref. 24). Thus, *Gueraugama* displays unique lower jaw features of acrodontans as well as their tooth count, but still bears dental characteristics of other iguanians that are also retained in priscagamids.
due to long-branch attraction are diminished. The long branch obtained by Gauthier et al.\textsuperscript{18} for the chamaeleonids (\textit{Chamaeleo} and \textit{Brookesia}) was also inferred from the present analysis. This configuration is expected given the high degree of morphological specialization of the members of this clade in relation to its sister taxa, and the absence of intermediate fossil forms representing the transition to that morphotype. It is likely, given the ancestry of the clade Acrodonta (back into the Jurassic\textsuperscript{14}), the lack of intermediate fossils leading to crown chamaeleonids and the paucity of African and Malagasy fossils, that this long branch will be broken by the discovery of stem chamaeleonids, as has occurred for acrodontans after the inclusion of \textit{Guergama}. Whether stem chamaeleonids first diversified in Madagascar, Africa or other regions may not affect current ideas on the origin of the modern fauna. Crown chamaeleonids (that have been estimated to have originated in Madagascar\textsuperscript{15}) may be the last survivors of a previously more diverse chamaeleonid total clade, the origin of which is still unknown.

**Palaeohabitat.** \textit{G. sulamericana} lived in an arid to desert environment, the Caiuá desert belonging to the Bauru Group in Southeastern/Southern Brazil during the Late Cretaceous. Ichnofossils of large dinosaurs are known from the central areas of that desert, indicating that large animals were able to survive there. This was probably due to seasonal water availability and the interdune wetland characteristics of the Goio-Érê Formation\textsuperscript{28}. The Goio-Érê oasis probably supported some plant life, though plant fossils are still unknown. Pterosaurs were also abundant in that region, represented by hundreds of bones of the tapejarid \textit{Caiuajara dobruskii} that have been found in the same locality as \textit{Guergama}\textsuperscript{10} (Fig. 4). Like modern agamid lizards living in arid regions, \textit{Guergama} probably lived in burrows to avoid extreme temperatures during at least part of the day.

**Discussion**

\textit{G. sulamericana} provides fundamental insights on the acquisition of the peculiar lower jaw and tooth morphologies of modern acrodontans. Tooth ankylosis in the early branching priscagamids with pleurodont tooth attachment, and in \textit{G. sulamericana} with pleurodont and pleuroacrodont attachment, indicates that ankylosis evolved before the dorsal placement of the posterior teeth that is characteristic of the dentition of modern agamids and chamaeleonids. In fact, \textit{Uromastyx} and some other agamids also have a dorsomedial, rather than a strictly dorsal (for example, chamaeleonids), placement of the posterior teeth on the lower jaw, despite full ankylosis of the posterior adult teeth. The position of \textit{Priscagama} as an early branching priscagamid in previous works\textsuperscript{8,19} also suggests that fully acrodont attachment evolved independently within the priscagamids and acrodontans, now further supported by \textit{G. sulamericana}. Furthermore, \textit{Uromastyx}, a later branching agamid, possesses an anteromedial projection of the dentary, which seems to be the remnant of a subdental shelf (Fig. 2), still present in \textit{G. sulamericana}. The strong apical wear facets that create a sagittal groove in \textit{G. sulamericana} are unusual for extant acrodontans, which usually possess this feature on the labial surface of the dentary\textsuperscript{29}. However, a similar wear pattern has recently been identified in an Oligocene specimen that represents the oldest known acrodontan from Africa, and which also shares many features with \textit{Uromastyx}\textsuperscript{30}.

The oldest known acrodontans are from the Early-Middle Jurassic of India\textsuperscript{14}. Furthermore, morphological phylogenetic data suggests Iguania (including acrodontans) and Scleroglossa separated before the breakup of Pangea\textsuperscript{7}, which would explain the worldwide distribution reported for non-acrodontan iguanians by the Late Cretaceous\textsuperscript{21,31}. \textit{Guergama} indicates that a worldwide distribution was also achieved by acrodontan lizards by the Late Cretaceous, occurring not only in East Gondwana and East Laurasia, but also reaching West Gondwana during the Mesozoic. This reinforces the idea of an early radiation and wide distribution for all iguanians, and also implies that the acrodontan presence in Africa could be much older than the current oldest record\textsuperscript{30}. Finally, \textit{Guergama} indicates that acrodontan dispersal through Gondwanan continents first occurred before the final breakup of Gondwana, and not by later dispersal events, thus contradicting previous hypotheses\textsuperscript{6,8}. Therefore, it becomes clear that iguanians underwent a worldwide radiation in the Mesozoic. Whether the modern distribution of these faunas is a result of this early radiation, with subsequent extinction in some areas (for example, acrodontans in South America), or the result of subsequent Cenozoic dispersal, remains to be established. However, our findings, along with previous ones\textsuperscript{4,5}, suggest both factors were important.

It is clear that at some point in time, a diverse non-acrodontan iguanian fauna eventually replaced \textit{Guergama} and other potential acrodontans in South America. These two large groups of iguanians may well have come into contact during the Late Cretaceous in South America\textsuperscript{32,33}, similar to what occurred in East Asia for non-acrodontans and priscagamids during this same time period\textsuperscript{15,21}. Acrodontan and non-acrodontan iguanians share very similar ecologies\textsuperscript{2} and their current almost exclusively non-overlapping distributions worldwide suggest competitive exclusion as a possible explanation for their current distributions. Alternatively, the Late Cretaceous extinction event could have paved the way for non-acrodontan iguanian dominance in South America.

\textit{Guergama} also suggests a new scenario for early acrodontan radiation, never considered before: that the stem acrodontan lineage could have evolved in West Gondwana, rather than in East Gondwana. Whether acrodontans originated in, or radiated into South America during the Mesozoic, is still unclear. Further sampling from both South America and other Gondwanan localities are necessary to appropriately address this question. Yet, \textit{Guergama} does indicate that at least some of the oldest known lizards in South America include species that are more closely related to the extant fauna of Old World continents, rather than to the modern fauna of South America.

---

**Figure 4 | Life reconstruction of \textit{Guergama sulamericana} in its palaeohabitat.** As the extant agamid \textit{Uromastyx} in Africa, \textit{G. sulamericana} also inhabited a desert environment. The new species was found in an ancient oasis along with pterosaurs of the species \textit{Caiuajara dobruskii}. Reconstruction created by J. Csontyi.
In spite of limited knowledge of the squamate fossil record in South America, new finds are continuing to expand our understanding of squamate evolution in South America, especially in Brazil,23,25 and Argentina.26–30 The diversity of lizards in the Cretaceous of South America is higher than previously thought, and is not dominated by sphenodontians as was previously suggested for that time period.31 Rather, the previous lack of lizard records seems to have been a collection bias from southern latitudes in South America. The current pattern of distribution suggests that different major lizard groups were already present in Northeastern and Southern Brazil (this work and 9,32,33), where no sphenodontians are currently known (Fig. 3b), while sphenodontians were still abundant, but apparently restricted to more southern latitudes (Southernmost Brazil and Argentina).

Methods

Anatomical nomenclature. Nomenclature throughout the text follows two main sources.34,35

Phylogenetic analysis. To phylogenetically infer the systematic position of G. sulamericana among squamates, we included it into the data matrix of Gauthier et al.18 with the taxon scoring corrections performed by Simões et al.3 These parameters involved the New Technology algorithms implemented in T.N.T.7,36, as these are the more appropriate ones for retrieving trees from the largest data matrices where no sphenodontians are currently known (Fig. 3b), while sphenodontians were still abundant, but apparently restricted to more southern latitudes (Southernmost Brazil and Argentina).

To phylogenetically infer the systematic position of G. sulamericana among squamates, we included it into the data matrix of Gauthier et al.18 with the taxon scoring corrections performed by Simões et al.3 These parameters involved the New Technology algorithms implemented in T.N.T.7,36, as these are the more appropriate ones for retrieving trees from the largest data matrices where no sphenodontians are currently known (Fig. 3b), while sphenodontians were still abundant, but apparently restricted to more southern latitudes (Southernmost Brazil and Argentina).


character-state 369(2). Thus, we restored these taxa: 1: → 2: Uromastyx aegyptius, Brookesia brygooi, Chamaeleo laevigatus, Physignathus cocincinus, Agama agama. Ch. 372—Gephyrosaurus and Sphenodon were scored as having an open Meckelian canal medially [372(0)], as seen among acrodontians. However, Gephyrosaurus was described19 as having the ventral margin of the canal contacting the dorsal tooth-bearing portion, closing the canal, but not fusing. Thus, this taxon needs to be scored with character-state 372(2). The same is observed in Sphenodon.

Nomenclatural acts. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the proposed online registration system for the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the Life Science Identifier to the prefix http://zoobank.org/. The LSIDs for this publication are: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:428CA59D-1BA3-4A29-914D-5D0D29F64ECCD; urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1D315173-7E42-47E1-A870-4ABEA9D677FD.
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