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ABSTRACT
Introduction: Psychological issues are common in patients with spinal cord injury (SCI) which negatively affects rehabilitation outcomes. Therefore, early psychological interventions are as important as physical rehabilitation. The aim of the study was to systematically review literature regarding the effects of psychological interventions on rehabilitation outcomes in patients with SCI.

Material & Methods: A systematic review was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines. Literature was searched in PubMed and PEDro databases. Articles published in English language from earliest record to August 2020 were searched. Observational and interventional studies which assessed effects of different psychological interventions on rehabilitation outcomes in patients with SCI were included. Review articles, editorials, short communications and conference papers were excluded. Quality assessment of the interventional studies was assessed using PEDro scale while methodological quality of the observational studies was carried out using NIH quality assessment tools.

Results: On the basis of eligibility criteria, 13 studies were included. Out of the total studies, 11 were observational studies and the remaining 2 were interventional studies. The included studies reported that psychological interventions improve rehabilitation outcomes such as functional independence, community participation and quality of life.

Conclusion: Psychological treatment is an effective complement to physical rehabilitation interventions to improve functional status of patients with SCI.
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INTRODUCTION
Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) is a less occurring condition with overwhelming long-terms adverse effects on an individual’s life. Reduced mobility and functional dependence can lead to physical and psychological complications.¹ SCI is a threat to the patient’s mental and physical health and have negative influences on a person’s social wellbeing.² Psychosocial issues have become more prominent in the last few decades and the range and nature of associated negative psychological effects needs to be carefully examined.³

The array of physical impairments associated with SCI increase the risk of developing anxiety and depression in patients with SCI. Research suggests that anxiety have been observed in 23-35% of SCI individuals and elevated depression symptoms in 35-38% people.⁴ These psychological issues have a huge impact on rehabilitation outcomes in patients with SCI. Studies reported that psychological factors such as mood, coping strategy and cognition are some of the contributing factors when justifying variances in functional independence.⁵ Recovery from SCI requires an organized rehabilitation plan and implementation of psychological treatments which is inevitable as developing emotional wellbeing is the key to rehabilitation.⁶ Early detection of psychological issues are important and according to research, less mood related issues were detected in patients who were diagnosed and treated earlier through prophylactic treatment. Studies suggest that cognitive behavior therapy during the rehabilitation phase not only improves depression and anxiety in the individuals but also refines relationship, social adjustment and decrease hospital readmissions.⁷ Recently conducted reviews shows positive psychological outcomes in patients with SCI when given psychological intervention along with conventional rehabilitation. Previous reviews on psychological
interventions for patients with SCI reported promising results. However, none of these reviews assessed effects of psychological interventions on rehabilitation outcomes. Despite the fact that it is commonly presumed that mental health can affect rehabilitation outcomes, nevertheless, there is limited evidence to support this assumption in patients with SCI. The objective of current study was to systematically review the available literature which reported effects of psychological interventions on rehabilitation outcomes in patients with SCI.

 MATERIAL AND METHODS

A systematic review was conducted in accordance with PRISMA guidelines. Literature was conducted using PubMed and PEDro databases. Within these databases, the following terminologies were searched: (“psychological intervention” OR “psychotherapy” OR “psychosocial treatment” OR “psychological education” OR “psychological services” OR “cognitive behavior therapy” OR “cognitive behavior treatment”) AND (“rehabilitation outcomes” OR “psychological rehabilitation” OR “recovery” OR “rehabilitative process” OR “coping strategy” OR “quality of life” OR “length of stay” OR “functional independence” OR “community participation”) AND (“spinal cord injury” OR “spinal cord damage” OR “ischemia” OR “paraplegia” OR “quadriplegia” OR “tetraplegia”). Truncations were used when suitable. Other search engines like Google scholar was also searched. We also searched the reference lists of searched articles to find other supplementary relevant studies that have been missed from databases search results. Articles published in English language from earliest record to August 2020 were searched. Observational and interventional studies which assessed effects of different psychological interventions on rehabilitation outcomes in patients with SCI were included. We included studies in which participants were given some psychological intervention and effects of these interventions were observed in rehabilitation outcomes including functional independence, coping strategies and quality of life. Review articles, editorials, short communications and conference papers were excluded. All reviewers used inclusion criteria to determine the potentially relevant studies for selection. We independently filtered titles, abstracts and full text articles and rejected the irrelevant ones. We reported and settled disagreements by discussion. Three reviewers (AZ, MA, MH) assessed the titles of potentially relevant studies. The abstracts and full text articles were further screened. After duplicate removal, all potential studies were downloaded and reviewed independently by all three authors to ensure accuracy. Relevant data were selected and extracted on the bases of participants’ characteristics, interventions given and outcomes. The following variables were extracted from the included article: study, year of publication, country, study design, target population, psychological intervention, outcome measures, rehabilitation outcomes and additional findings. PEDro scale was used for the quality assessment of the interventional studies and methodological quality of observational studies was assessed using NIH quality assessment tool.

RESULTS

The initial search identified 264 articles and 5 studies were identified through additional sources. After the removal of duplicates, the reviewers were left with 214 studies. Titles and abstracts of these articles were screened out and 181 were excluded because they were either not in the English language or were reviews articles or used interventions other than psychotherapy, or had participants with physical illness or disabilities other than SCI. A total of 38 full-text articles were evaluated and screened for eligibility. Out of the 38 articles, 25 were excluded because they did not report effects of psychological interventions on rehabilitation outcomes. Finally, 13 articles were selected for this review (Figure 1).

The studies were published in the years 1997-2017. Out of total studies, 11 were observational studies and the remaining 2 were interventional studies. The methodological quality of these studies was assessed and reported by NIH assessment tool (n=11), (Table 1) and PEDro scale (n=2) (Table 2). Mainly cognitive behavior training was adopted as the psychological approach in most of the studies (n=5). Along with it, psycho-education and social skills guidance (n=5) were also implemented in some of the intervention strategies of the reviewed studies. Marked improvements were observed after interventions regarding the perception of control, self-perception, positive attitude towards the disability and increased satisfaction with life in SCI care. Depression and anxiety, which could be achieved outcomes of psychological training, were improved in seven studies. Group coping strategies and cognitive behavioral skills enhancement were mainly focused in the interventional studies. Results specify a positive trend of betterment in quality of life, participation in community activities and functional independence (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The importance of intervention in SCI is directed towards improvements in long-term outcomes. Early intervention is the key to better rehabilitation outcomes in patients with SCI. Some of the conventional rehabilitation strategies used are range of motion and strengthening exercises, bed mobility and transfer exercises. Patients with SCI experience increased emotional distress, pain and dependency which consequently affect their functional dependence and quality of life, therefore
Psychological issues should be addressed and need special attention. This review explores the psychological interventions and their effects on rehabilitation outcomes in patients with SCI. Some short-term positive results of these interventions were seen regarding cognitive functioning and emotional outcomes. Psychological intervention helps improve emotional distress and post-traumatic stress in these patients. Worsening of clinical symptoms like depression, anxiety and stress besides other mood disorders have been reported after discontinuation of psychological treatment especially patients receiving Cognitive Behavior Therapy (CBT). The fairly diverse approaches adopted within the interventions make it inconvenient to clarify their therapeutic mechanisms. However, CBT was adopted in most studies. Additionally, cognitive reconstructing, coping, relaxation techniques and problem-solving skills were mainly opted techniques resulting in positive rehabilitation outcomes and appeared to be a promising intervention strategy. Mostly outcomes addressed psychosocial variables comprising cognitive appraisal, coping, mood status or other adaptations such as life satisfaction and quality of life. Patients’ independence in daily living and other physical health outcomes are also valid to assess the effects of psychosocial interventions and results signified positive and strong impact on the outcomes. Strong relationship was found between appraisals, coping strategies, mood and functional outcomes.

Quality of life can be assessed by measuring psychological well-being. Factors that contribute to psychological well-being include greater leisure satisfaction, positive self-perception and adjustment, increase social interaction, increased satisfaction with social support and spiritual coping. These interventions specifically improved quality of life. Perceived loss of physical functioning is more threatening than severity of injury and needs psychotherapy to guide the patients and help them to overcome the barriers. Cognitive reconstruction techniques may help in reshaping inaccurate loss of perception and improvement in psychological well-being. Adequate adaptation, coping and adjustment with SCI are supposed to result in mild stress reactions and better mental health, life satisfaction, quality of life and community participation. We compare our studies with the effects of psychological interventions on rehabilitation outcomes in stroke patients. Different psychological issues like anxiety, depression and insomnia are very common after stroke. These factors negatively affect rehabilitation outcomes in stroke patients, therefore psychology consultations were central in helping optimize their functional outcomes and rehabilitation. Mental practice used as an intervention had positive effects on arm functions in stroke patients. Single case study findings indicate that it also helps in the improvement of leg function. Mental practice also enhances patient’s physical performance, self-determination and empowerment. The study proposed that the mental practice may be a useful measure and tool as an adjunct to physiotherapy after stroke. Impaired cognitive status of elderly stroke patients had negative effects on rehabilitation outcomes. Mental practice in the treatment group showed a significant increase in daily arm functions and a reduction in affected arm impairment. These findings suggest that psychological interventions had also positive effects on rehabilitation outcomes in stroke patients as found in people with SCI.

CONCLUSION
This review adds to currently present literature that the use of psychological or psychosocial interventions influence positively various rehabilitation outcomes in SCI patients; increasing their life satisfaction and quality of life. Among other psychological interventions, CBT was adequately found efficacious in improving coping and adjustments following SCI.

LIMITATIONS
Most studies addressed different components of CBT such as psycho-education, relaxation techniques, cognitive reconstruction, increased behavioral activation and training. Because we did not conduct statistical analysis, consequently, it was difficult to evaluate which intervention or combination was more effective in patients with SCI. Loss of follow-up was a major drawback in most of the included studies, hence the long-term effects of the interventions given were not evident. Moreover, the effects of social factors in the rehabilitation phase were not addressed in most of the studies and further research is required to relate study outcomes to the targeted social intervention. We recommend further research in this area using an extended range of psychological measures and functional evaluation tools.
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FIGURE 1: PRISMA FLOW CHART
Table 1: Methodological Quality of the observational studies assessed on NIH quality assessment tool for observational studies

| Study/country/Study design | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | TOTAL |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----|-------|
| Craig et al. 1997          | yes | yes | yes | yes | no | NR | NR | NR | no | NR | no | NR | NR | NR | 4    |
| Craig et al. 1998          | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | NR | NR | NR | no | NR | yes | NR | NR | NR | 6    |
| Kemp et al. 2004           | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | NR | NR | NR | no | NR | yes | NR | NR | NR | 6    |
| Perry et al. 2008          | yes | yes | no  | yes | no  | NR | NR | NR | no | NR | no  | NR | NR | NR | 3    |
| Kennedy et al. 2008        | yes | yes | no  | yes | no  | NR | NR | NR | yes | NR | yes | NR | NR | NR | 6    |
| Dorstyn et al. 2009        | no  | no  | no  | yes | yes | NR | NR | NR | yes | NR | no  | NR | NR | NR | 4    |
| Hastings et al. 2009       | yes | yes | NA  | yes | yes | NR | NR | NR | yes | NR | yes | NR | NR | NR | 4    |
| Heinemann et al. 2009      | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | NR | NR | NR | yes | NR | yes | NR | NR | NR | 7    |
| Kennedy et al. 2011        | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes | NR | NR | NR | no  | NR | no  | NR | NR | NR | 5    |
| Huston et al. 2011         | yes | yes | no  | yes | no  | NR | NR | NR | no  | NR | yes | NR | NR | NR | 4    |
| Wang et al. 2017           | yes | yes | no  | yes | NR  | NR | NR | NR | yes | NR | yes | NR | NR | NR | 5    |

Table 2: Methodological Quality of the interventional studies assessed on PEDro scale

| Study           | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6 | Q7 | Q8 | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Total |
|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-------|
| Duchnick et al. 9 | NA | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | 5     |
| Schulz et al. 2009 | NA | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | 6     |

Table 3: Summary of the studies included in this review

| Study/country/Study design | Target population | Psychological interventions | Outcome measures | Rehabilitation outcomes | Additional findings |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Craig et al. (1997)/ Australia/ Observational/4 | Control group=41, Treatment group=28, mild to moderate depression and anxiety. | CBT given to treatment group for depression, anxiety and self-esteem | STAIC, BDI, Rosenberg self-esteem scale | Significant difference in control group having higher level of depressive mood in long term than the treatment group were reported. Significant difference was found in anxiety showing decreased score over time for those who were more anxious before treatment. | The number of subjects showing low self-esteem was 3 in treatment and 14 in control group after 12 months follow up. |
| Craig et al. (1998)/ Australia/ Observational/6 | control=31, Tx group: CBT treatment, N treatment=27, mean age=31 years. | CT group: traditional rehabilitation. | BDI, LCB | No significant differences were seen in LCB scores within groups. Decreased depressive symptoms were correlated with participant’s locus of control. | Participants with external locus of control at baseline, showed Improvement in LCB scores. |
| Study Authors | Location | Study Design | Group Details | Intervention | Main Findings | Comments |
|---------------|----------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------|
| Kemp et al. (2004) | USA | Observational | Treatment= 28, Control=15, mean age= 42 years, treatment time= 6 months. | Individual psychotherapy | TX group: significant decrease in mean depression scores. Community participation increased in the treatment period along with life satisfaction over 24 months. Control group: no change in depression scores | Considerable level of depression found at the end of 6 months. |
| Perry et al. (2008) | Australia | Observational | Treatment= 19, Usual care pts =17, chronic pain. | Group Pain Management Program | PMP group signifies improvements in mood & life interference due to pain compared to usual care group, additionally; improvements over time in anxiety and pain catastrophizing were shown. | Cognitive-behavioural PMPs recommended to SCI-related chronic pain |
| Kennedy et al. (2008) | UK | Observational | MHD group=14, Non MHD group=14, Mean Age 34 years, | MHD group receives psychological intervention and conventional rehabilitation | No significant difference was found between the groups regarding rehabilitation outcomes. Increased outcomes in MHD group were directly related with early psychological intervention provided. | NA |
| Dorstyn et al. (2009) | Australia | Observational | Treatment group=13, Control group=11. | Group CBT for anxiety, depression and stress syndrome. | CBT in treatment group showed significant improvement in anxiety, depression and stress syndrome after 12 weeks. FIM was similar for both treatment and control groups. Lower depression score and better adjustment to disability. Decrease in depression and anxiety was seen at discharge and follow up screening for CET and SGT. Increased sense of psychological well-being, purpose in life after the injury and a positive attitude towards the disability. | Clinical improvement in symptoms of anxiety and stress were observed in treatment group CET could be more efficient for acute rehabilitation. Perceived loss of physical abilities and generation of purpose in life are related to positive adaptation |
| Duchnick et al. (2009) | South Florida | Interventional | N1=20, N2=20, mean age 52.6 years | N1=coping effectiveness N2= supportive group therapy | SAI, CESD, ADS-R | Marked improvements in CESD scoring was shown in the dual treatment group in comparison with the control group and caregiver only. Dual treatment group had better QOL compared to control and caregiver only. | Patients were not followed for long period of time. |
| Hasting et al. (2009) | USA | Observational | N=79, chronic injury | CBT, psychotherapeutic intervention focused on positive self-perception | SF-36, sense of well-being inventory, purpose in life scale. | |
| Schulz et al. (2009) | USA | Interventional | dual target=114, caregiver=112, control group=120, mean age=50-54. | dual target= cognitive and behavioural skills, caregiver only= knowledge and skills control group= written information on SCI | CESD, brief version of caregiver burden interview, self-care problems | Marked improvements in CESD scoring was shown in the dual treatment group in comparison with the control group and caregiver only. Dual treatment group had better QOL compared to control and caregiver only. | |
| Study Authors | Year | Country | Study Design | N | Age | Intervention Details | Outcomes |
|--------------|------|---------|--------------|---|-----|----------------------|----------|
| Heinemann et al. (2009) | USA | Observational /7 | 1032, Age=12 years or older | Individual and family psychological sessions also consultation with rehabilitation team | FIM, CHART, SWL, patient health questionnaire | Patients receiving early psycho-educational intervention results had better functional outcome, absence of pressure ulcers at 1 year, discharge to home and home residence at 1 year. Psychotherapeutic sessions focusing on emotions were related with poor function at discharge, less community mobility, less functional independence, lower satisfaction level and presence of pressure ulcers at 1 year. | NA |
| Kennedy et al. (2011) | England/Germany and Ireland | Observational /5 | 127, M=101/F=26, mean age= 39.3 years | Coping effective training to equip patients to apply adaptive coping strategies. | FIM, COPE, SCL CSQ | Positive appraisals of disability and functional coping strategies (social reliance and behavioural engagement) were linked to less depression in SCI, resulting in positive impact on functional achievements. | Psychological support may lead to significant improvement in QOL |
| Huston et al. (2011) | USA | Observational /4 | 600, Age=12 years or older | Psychotherapeutic intervention, psycho-educational intervention, Class led by psychologist consultation, Interdisciplinary conferencing on behalf of patients | ISNCSCI, AIS, CSI, FIM, BSI-18 | Anxiety and depression scores were co-related with more psycho-educational than psychotherapeutic Intervention for patients in two tetraplegic injury group. Depression scores in pts with paraplegia were correlated with greater amount of time spent in any psychological intervention. No correlation between time spent in psychological intervention and BSI score for patients in ASIA D. | The variation observed in psychological intervention was not explained by patients and injury characteristics |
| Wang et al. (2017) | China | Observational /5 | 300, Mean age (45.81+/-12.89) | Psychological intervention for depression, anxiety and PTSD | IES-R, HADS, CD-RISC, PTGI | Resilience and PTG were measured in which 32% reported good resilience and 51% showed moderate to high level of PTG. Increased resilience and PTG showed better rehabilitation outcomes. | Due to high prevalence of psychological morbidities in SCI, they should be provided with early intervention in rehabilitation. |

BDI beck depression inventory, LCB locus of control behaviour scale, FIM functional independence measure, DASS 21 depression anxiety and stress scale, CHART Craig handicap assessment and reporting technique, SWL satisfaction with life scale, ISNCSCI international standard of neurological classification of spinal cord injury, AIS American spinal cord injury association impairment scale, CSI comprehensive severity scale, BSI brief symptom inventory, IES-R impact of event scale-revised, HADS hospital anxiety and depression scale, CD-RISC Connor Davidson resilience scale, PTGI post traumatic growth inventory, NAC need assessment checklist, STAIC Spielberger state trait anxiety scale, LSS life satisfaction scale, CAC community activities checklist, PRRSS pain related self-statement, PSEQ pain self-efficacy questionnaire, MSES mooring self-efficacy scale, SAI state anxiety inventory, CESD centre of epidemiologic studies depression scale, ADS-R adaptation to disability scale revised, QOL Quality of life.