Unstable dynamics of Yang-Mills fields at early times of heavy ion collisions
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Abstract. The quark gluon plasma as produced in heavy ion collisions is exposed to early anisotropies in momentum space due to its rapid expansion. Such anisotropies can lead to non-abelian plasma instabilities, driven by unstable gluonic modes that grow exponentially fast. These plasma instabilities can be simulated using a discretized version of gauge-covariant Boltzmann-Vlasov and Yang-Mills equations. In the non-expanding case, a turbulence cascade forms, which is associated with an approximately linear growth of energy in collective fields. Early longitudinal expansion slows down the growth of unstable modes, and the formation of soft gluonic fields depends crucially on the initial conditions assumed.

1. Introduction
Heavy ion colliders like RHIC or LHC create the quark-gluon plasma (QGP) in an anisotropic state. Due to its fast expansion, initially along the longitudinal but later also along the transverse directions, the plasma cools quickly and only exists for a duration of about a few tens of yoctoseconds (1 ys = 10^{-24}s). At early times and close to the center of the QGP, longitudinal expansion dominates over transverse expansion. This quickly leads to strong momentum anisotropies along the polar angle with respect to the collision axis [1]. Such early polar momentum space anisotropies could allow for a violation of the viscosity bound [2], or lead to the emission of photon double pulses that are separated merely by yoctoseconds [3]. Most notably, polar momentum space anisotropies can induce Chromo-Weibel plasma instabilities, which are generalizations of Weibel or filamentation instabilities in ordinary electromagnetic plasmas [4]. It has been suggested early that these instabilities may play a fundamental role in the QGP [5, 6, 7]. In fact, already an infinitesimal amount of momentum space anisotropy causes the appearance of instabilities in collisionless plasmas [8, 9]. In electromagnetic plasmas, the current filamentation instability develops magnetic islands on a fast electron time scale induced by the deflection of the original electron streams, which eventually leads to an isotropization of the electron distribution [10]. This occurs on a time scale which is faster than ordinary perturbative scattering processes, and could thus explain the fast apparent isotropization and thermalization that is suggested by hydrodynamic modeling of the early QGP evolution [11, 12].

Non-abelian plasma instabilities can be studied using real-time lattice simulations [14, 15, 16]. The effective field theory for the collective phenomena at the soft scales is provided by gauge-covariant collisionless Boltzmann-Vlasov equations [17]. The corresponding effective action is nonlocal and nonlinear [18, 19], but can be made local using auxiliary fields in the adjoint
Figure 1. Comparison of average total field energy densities $\mathcal{E}$ for SU(2) through SU(5) on linear scale in 3+1 dimensional simulations for a non-expanding system with anisotropy parameter $\xi = 10$ [13].

Figure 2. The power spectrum for the electric distribution $f_E(k)$ for various gauge groups SU(2) through SU(5) at late times $80 < m_\infty t < 150$. The distance between the lines is $m_\infty \Delta t \approx 11$ [13].

representation $W_\beta(x; v)$ which encode the fluctuations of the distribution function of colored hard particles [20]. They depend on a spatial unit vector which appears in the velocity $v^\mu = p^\mu/|p|$ of a hard particle with momentum $p^\mu$. The Yang-Mills equations are given by

$$D_\mu(A) F^{\mu\nu} = j_\nu,$$

where the current $j^\nu$ is calculated from the auxiliary fields

$$j^\mu[A] = -g^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2|p|} p^\mu \frac{\partial f(p)}{\partial p^3} W_\beta(x; v).$$

The non-abelian Boltzmann-Vlasov equation for soft fields reduces to

$$[v \cdot D(A)] W_\beta(x; v) = F_{\beta\gamma}(A) v^\gamma,$$

with $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig[A_\mu, \cdot]$. The scale of the hard particles drops out from these equations. An anisotropic distribution function $f(p)$ is obtained by deforming an isotropic distribution $f_{\text{iso}}$ according to $f(p) \propto f_{\text{iso}}(p^2 + \xi p_x^2)$ with anisotropy parameter $-1 < \xi < \infty$ [8]. A discretized version of the above non-abelian gauge-covariant Boltzmann-Vlasov equations is formulated on a cubic lattice [15]. The unit sphere of velocities for the auxiliary fields is described by a discretized set of unit vectors [21, 15] or by an expansion in terms of spherical harmonics [14].

2. Numerical results

For stationary anisotropic plasmas, the exponential growth of non-abelian plasma instabilities is limited in 3+1 dimensions by non-abelian self-interactions. The exponential growth ceases when gluon self-interactions are no longer negligible at a certain magnitude of soft fields. These self-interactions lead to a turbulence cascade which form a power-law distribution $f_k \propto k^{-\nu}$ with a spectral index that turns out to be about $\nu \approx 2$. While simulations are usually based on the gauge group SU(2) [22, 16, 23], it has been confirmed that the same spectral index holds also in the QCD gauge group SU(3) as well as in higher gauge groups [13]. The systematics of the scaling with $N_c$ in the non-abelian regime is shown in Fig. 1 where the gauge groups SU(2) through SU(5)
are compared. During the exponential growth, the Chromo–Weibel instability occurs within a single color direction so that additional colors do not modify the growth rate. This changes when non-Abelian self-interactions become important. One observes that for different gauge groups the energy densities cease to grow at approximately the same value. In the following linear regime, larger gauge groups grow faster than smaller ones by roughly a factor of $N_c$. This indicates that the turbulence cascade picture depends crucially on the number of colors involved, since larger gauge groups allow for more color modes to be filled by the cascade. Figure 2 shows the late-time behavior of spectra for various gauge groups. The spectra are multiplied by $k^2$ so that a scaling with $\nu \approx 2$ would correspond to a horizontal line. The slow growth at large momenta corresponds to the linear growth regime of Fig. 1.

A longitudinal expansion of the plasma at early times modifies the exponential growth, as there are two competing effects: On the one hand, plasma instabilities drive the exponential growth, on the other hand the longitudinal expansion suppresses the growth. The net effect is a reduction from a growth exponential in time to exponential in the square root of proper time. This has been numerically observed using the color glass condensate scheme [26, 27] and the discretized hard loop scheme [28, 29]. In those simulations, an uncomfortable delay of the onset of plasma instabilities has been observed [29]. Collective fields decay and suppress Weibel instabilities at early times of the expanding plasma. It has been pointed out though that this suppression depends strongly on the initial conditions assumed [24]. In Fig. 3, the delay of the growth is displayed for various possibilities of initial conditions. Early work concentrated on a seed electric field with only $\tilde{\Pi}^i(\tau_0) \neq 0$ [28] or a seed magnetic field with only $\tilde{A}^i(\tau_0) \neq 0$ [29]. Seed magnetic fields instead of seed electric fields increase the delay of plasma instabilities, as do mixed initial conditions for the fields. Surprisingly, if one considers initial fluctuations in the currents with only $\tilde{j}^i(\tau_0) \neq 0$, the delay is very strongly reduced [24]. This behavior has been confirmed in numerical simulations in 3+1 dimensions. Figure 4 shows various energy densities as a function of proper time for a longitudinally expanding system. Initially, the soft fields are depleted by the longitudinal expansion. Only after some time, the unstable modes overcome the depletion and all field components reach approximately
the same magnitude. The growth rate is moderately reduced and transverse chromoelectric and chromomagnetic fields begin to dominate the energy density. Contrary to the fixed-anisotropy simulations, a saturation of the roughly exponential growth is not observed [25].

Since plasma instabilities may cause the isotropization in plasmas, the question remains how to measure the isotropization time. Direct photons would be a good indicator because they leave the QGP likely without further interaction. Under certain conditions, that is non-central collisions and photon production in a direction close to forward direction, non-trivial photon pulse shapes may be expected due to intermediate non-isotropic photon emission [3]. In extreme cases, these pulse envelopes may assume the shape of double pulses at the yoctosecond time scale. While it will not be possible to resolve such time structures directly [30], it may be possible to observe the effect of such modifications to the pulse envelope through Hanbury Brown-Twiss [31] correlation measurements. A photon detector in the required forward direction may be installed during the ALICE detector upgrade by 2018 when the proposed Forward Calorimeter may be installed. With a few hundred photon pairs expected per year, such a measurement may be challenging, but not impossible. Thus, photons could provide valuable information about the earliest times of the plasma evolution, where gluon dynamics may be subject to plasma instabilities due to the rapid expansion along the beam axis.

Concluding, one can state that for heavy-ion collisions at RHIC, non-abelian plasma instabilities probably may not have enough time to develop as they compete against the fast longitudinal expansion, but depending on the initial conditions, non-abelian plasma instabilities may play an important role at LHC energies [24, 25].
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