Altered cingulate structures and the associations with social awareness deficits and CNTNAP2 gene in autism spectrum disorder
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\begin{abstract}
\textbf{Backgrounds:} Although evidence suggests that the activity of the anterior cingulate cortex involves social cognition, there are inconsistent findings regarding the aberrant cingulate gray matter (GM) and scanty evidence about altered cortical thickness and white matter (WM) of cingulate in individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Evidence supports the association between the genetic variants of CNTNAP2 and altered brain connectivity. This study investigated the cingulate substructure and its association with social awareness deficits and the CNTNAP2 variants in individuals with ASD and typically-developing controls (TDC).

\textbf{Methods:} We assessed 118 individuals with ASD and 122 TDC with MRI and clinical evaluation. The GM, WM volumes and cortical thickness of the cingulate gyri were compared between ASD and TDC based on fine parcellation. Five SNPs of the CNTNAP2 linked to ASD and brain structural abnormality were genotyped, and rs2710102, rs2538991, rs2710126 passed quality control filters.

\textbf{Results:} ASD individuals showed thinner cortical thickness in bilateral cingulate subregions than TDC without significant group differences in GM and WM volumes. The WM volume of the right anterior cingulate gyrus was correlated with social awareness deficits in ASD. The CNTNAP2 variant demonstrated a main effect on the WM volumes of the right middle cingulate gyrus. Besides, the CNTNAP2 variants interacted with ASD diagnosis and age on the cortical thickness of the left anterior middle cingulate cortex.

\textbf{Conclusions:} Our findings suggest that aberrant cingulate structure in ASD might be associated with the social awareness deficits and genetic variants of the CNTNAP2. These novel findings need validation.
\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

Alterations of the cingulate structure are frequently reported in individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Cauda et al., 2011). A meta-analysis of voxel-based morphometry (VBM) studies suggested that individuals with ASD (age range, 7 – 47 years old) showed a significant increase of grey matter (GM) volume in the subgenual anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (Cauda et al., 2011). However, such associations were not supported by the other two meta-analyses (mean age, 8.9 – 38 years) (Nickl-Jockschat et al., 2012; Via et al., 2011). A recent meta-analysis focused on adults with ASD (mean age, 26 – 37.9 years; mean IQ, 97.4-123.6), instead reported reduced GM volume in the ACC (Yang et al., 2016). These inconsistent findings in ACC may be partially attributable to different age distribution and data acquisition across studies from multiple research sites. Beyond VBM studies, several existing reports only involved ACC but ignored other parts of the cingulate structure. Given that the cingulate cortex is anatomically and functionally heterogeneous and consists of distinct cytoarchitectonic zones that differ in cellular structures indicative of functional subdivision (Palomero-Gallagher et al., 2008; Simms et al., 2009), whether the subregions of the cingulate structure are altered in ASD in different patterns is worth investigation. Other than GM volume, ASD participants (aged 2-64) showed the increased cortical thickness of the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) compared to typically-developing controls (TDC) in a meta-analysis of brain morphometry across ages (Cohen’s d = 0.13) (van Rooij et al., 2018). Still, there was no significant difference in rostral and caudal ACC (van Rooij et al., 2018). In high-functioning adults with ASD (aged 18–62), however, cortical thickness of the right...
caudal ACC was significantly thinner than those of TDC (Laidi et al., 2019). Also, ASD was significantly associated with alterations of cortical thickness asymmetry in the cingulate area (Postema et al., 2019). For white matter (WM) integrity, higher fractional anisotropy has been observed in the posterior cingulum of ASD toddlers (Xiao et al., 2014); whereas, lower-than-normal WM volumes along the cingulate arch has also been reported in ASD adults (Mitelman et al., 2017). Using probabilistic tractography to investigate deep intra-cingulate bundle (cingulum proper) and short cingulate U-fibers in 61 ASD youths and 54 TDC, increased mean and radial diffusivity of the left cingulum proper was observed in the ASD group (Hau et al., 2019), possibly indicating dysmyelination, demyelination (Alexander et al., 2007), or reduced axon packing density (defined as a total number within a sampling area) (Zikopoulos and Barbos, 2010; Zikopoulos et al., 2018). Compared to GM volume, the WM volume and cortical thickness of cingulate substructures remained controversial in ASD. A comprehensive assessment of cingulate substructures, including GM volume, WM volume, and cortical thickness, was lacking in ASD.

Regarding the function of the cingulate cortex, the ACC has been implicated in a broad range of behaviors and cognitive processes, including social cognition (Apps et al., 2016; Caruana et al., 2016; Patriniu et al., 2016). Using functional MRI to assess brain activation when doing the oddball social task, dorsal ACC activation was found to predict the severity of social impairments in a subset of ASD individuals (Dichter et al., 2009). By contrast, whether the structure of the cingulate cortex can predict social cognitive impairment in individuals with ASD is largely unknown. A study showing an association between increased thickness in the rostral ACC with more severe social impairment assessed by the Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised (ADI-R) suggests that the cortical thickness of ACC may be correlated with the severity of social deficits in the individuals with ASD (Doyle-Thomas et al., 2013). Although evidence has implied the role of ACC in regulating social behaviors (Apps et al., 2016), it is unclear whether the other subregions of the cingulate also involve similar functions. In addition, new evidence supports that the middle cingulate cortex (MCC), previously noted as the dorsal ACC, may specifically affect social cognition (Apps et al., 2013). In contrast, the PCC may involve attention and arousal state (Leech and Sharp, 2014). Reduced functional coupling between PCC and ventromedial prefrontal cortex was highlighted in a recent meta-analysis that provided an early insight into the multiple dimensions of function, including higher-order cognitive function and complex social function (Lau et al., 2020). Given that MCC and PCC have been implicated in ASD-associated impairment such as social cognition (Apps et al., 2013) and attention (Leech and Sharp, 2014), whether these structural alterations are associated with social awareness deficits is of particular interest.

Recent studies have shown that brain structures such as total brain volume (Posthuma et al., 2000), cortical thickness (Jha et al., 2018; Schmitt et al., 2008; Thompson et al., 2001), and measures of white matter (WM) integrity derived from diffusion tensor imaging (Pfefferbaum et al., 2001) are all under moderate genetic control. Evidence suggests that several genes may play a role in regulating brain development. The contactin-associated protein-like 2 (CNTNAP2) gene encodes CASPR2, which is a member of the neurexin superfamily of transmembrane proteins that are responsible for voltage-gated K⁺ channel clustering in juxtaparanodes (Poliaik et al., 1999, 2003) at the nodes of Ranvier (Strauss et al., 2006). As a cell adhesion molecule responsible for neuroblast migration and laminar organization (Arking et al., 2008; Bakkaloglu et al., 2008; Vernes et al., 2008), CASPR2 is suggested to play a role in brain development. In cortical neuron cultures from mouse embryos, CASPR2 was lately demonstrated to play a dose-dependent role in axon growth in vitro (Canali et al., 2018). A study of an Amish family reported that a single-base deletion (3709delG) in the CNTNAP2 gene might lead to a frameshift mutation. It manifested with seizures, language difficulties, and social deficits (Strauss et al., 2006). The homozygous mutations in exon 22 of the CNTNAP2 not only caused cortical dysplasia–focal epilepsy syndrome but also associated with neuropsychiatric outcomes, including intellectual disability (100% of cases), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (83%), and ASD diagnosis (67%) (Strauss et al., 2006), while polymorphism of rs2710102 (GC) and rs7794745 (TT) were associated with altered brain structure and functional connectivity in otherwise neurotypical subjects (Dennis et al., 2011; Scott-Van Zeeland et al., 2010; Whalley et al., 2011). On the other hand, mouse models lacking Cntnap2 gene presented with autistic behaviors, including repetitive behaviors and impairments in social interactions and communication (Penagarikano et al., 2011, 2015; Scott et al., 2020). Loss of the CNTNAP2 allele may contribute to abnormal neuronal migration (Reiner et al., 2016), decreased numbers of interneurons, and reduced cortical synchrony among the neuropathological abnormalities (Penagarikano et al., 2011). These findings shed light on the functional consequences of CNTNAP2 disruption at the level of cortical structure. However, the effect of these rare deleterious variants in both CNTNAP2 alleles in the brain does not also imply a role for CNTNAP2 common variants in clinical phenotypes. Whether the common variants of CNTNAP2 exert similar effects on the brain (or, more specifically, the neurons) waits to be elucidated.

Noteworthy, although the CNTNAP2 has been proposed as an autism susceptibility gene (Alarcon et al., 2008; Anney et al., 2012; Arking et al., 2008; Bakkaloglu et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; O’Roak et al., 2011), latest findings of genetic associations between the CNTNAP2 and ASD in case-control studies were not replicated in recent larger ASD cohorts, including a replication study (Toma et al., 2013), large case-control cohorts of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (Toma et al., 2018), a family-based association study (on rs2710102 and rs7794745, etc.) (Zhang et al., 2019), and a screening study on rare heterozygous point mutations (Murdock et al., 2015; Toma et al., 2018). Toma et al. (2018) concluded that although the genetic link between CNTNAP2 variants and psychiatric phenotypes is tenuous, this does not dispel the evidence that the CNTNAP2 variations may still have a real impact on neuronal functions or variability of brain connectivity.

The CNTNAP2 was reported to be associated with GM and WM volume (Tan et al., 2010; Uddén et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2017) and structural connectivity (Clemm von Hohenberg et al., 2013; Dennis et al., 2011; Scott-Van Zeeland et al., 2010) in several regions that have already been implicated in ASD, including the cerebellum (Tan et al., 2010), fusiform gyrus, occipital (Uddén et al., 2017) and frontal cortices (Scott-Van Zeeland et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2017). Several common variants of the CNTNAP2 were reported to be associated with reduced GM volumes [e.g., rs7794745] (Tan et al., 2010; Uddén et al., 2017), structural connectivity [e.g., rs2710102 (Scott-Van Zeeland et al., 2010), rs271026, rs759178, and rs2538991 (Clemm von Hohenberg et al., 2013)], and functional connectivity of the posterior right temporoparietal junction, a key node of the social network [e.g., rs2710102] (Bai et al., 2019). These variants overlap with the SNPs that were associated with early communicative difficulty (Alarcon et al., 2008; Newbury et al., 2011; Peter et al., 2011; Whitehouse et al., 2011) or social performance (Bai et al., 2019) in individuals with ASD, language disorder, or typically developing individuals, particularly the SNPs in intron 13 (Rodenas-Cuadrado et al., 2014). Both early communication difficulty and social deficits were hallmarks for the autism spectrum condition (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). The genetic effects of these five SNPs of the CNTNAP2 gene would be tested herein to associate with the cingulate structures. In the current study, we investigate the cingulate structures in individuals with ASD and TDC. With a more delicate method of parcellation (Fig. 1), the subregions of cingulate GM (i.e., ACC, anterior MCC, posterior MCC, ventral and dorsal PCC), WM volumes (rostral ACC, caudal ACC, PCC, and isthmus), and cortical thickness (i.e., ACC, anterior MCC, posterior MCC, ventral and dorsal PCC) were analyzed. We examined whether age, sex, and autistic symptoms were significant correlates of cingulate structures. Besides, we investigated the genetic associations between the cingulate structures and the CNTNAP2 variants.
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(i.e., rs7794745, rs759178, rs2710102, rs2538991, and rs2710126) that were repetitively shown to be associated with brain structure or connectivity. We hypothesized that individuals with ASD might have abnormal cingulate structures compared to TDC, and these structural alterations were possibly related to the severity of social awareness deficits. We also hypothesized that CNTNAP2 variants might play a role in modifying the cingulate substructures of ASD.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Participants

We collected brain MRI scans, clinical and genetic data from a total sample of 118 individuals with ASD (mean 13.1 years, SD 4.6; male 113, 95.8%), and 122 TDC (mean 21.0, SD 9.7; male 75, 61.5%). Individuals with ASD were recruited from National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan. They were clinically diagnosed as ASD by senior board-certified child psychiatrists based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5th edition (DSM-5) diagnostic criteria of autism spectrum disorder; the diagnosis was further confirmed by a structural interview using the Chinese version of the ADI-R (Gau et al., 2005). Participants with major neuropsychiatric disorders including attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, schizophrenia, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, or neurodevelopmental disorders or a full-scale IQ lower than 70 were excluded (n = 2, full-scale IQs 67 and 68). The TDC participants were recruited from schools in the same districts as the ASD participants and advertisements. All the participants were ethnic Han Chinese. Detailed family history was collected to ensure that every first- or second-degree relative was Han Chinese and that no first- or second-degree relatives of TDC had a diagnosis of ASD. All the participants went on clinical evaluation and interviews; all their parents were also interviewed by using the Chinese version of the Kiddie epidemiologic version of the Schedule for Affective Disorders (K-SADS-E) interview (Chen et al., 2017; Gau et al., 2005) to exclude any current or lifetime ASD and other major psychiatric disorders including attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, schizophrenia, mood disorders, anxiety disorders, or neurodevelopmental disorders. The psychometric properties of the Chinese version of the K-SADS-E and interview training have been described elsewhere (Chen et al., 2017, 2019; Gau et al., 2005, 2010).

2.2. Procedure

The Research Ethics Committee approved the study before its implementation (Approval number: 201201006RIB; ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCT01582256). After the purposes and procedures of the study were fully explained and confidentiality was assured, written informed consent was obtained from the participants and their parents. All the participants were then assessed with the brain MRI and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (version IV) or the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale (version IV) for the IQ profiles according to their ages. The parents (mainly mothers) completed the following clinical measures about the participants.

2.3. Measures

The ADI-R (Lord et al., 1994) is a standardized, comprehensive, semi-structured, investigator-based interview for the caregivers of children with a mental age of 18 months into adulthood. It covers most developmental and behavioral aspects of ASD, including qualitative abnormalities in reciprocal social interaction, communication, and restricted, repetitive and stereotyped patterns of behaviors. The Chinese version of the ADI-R was approved by the Western Psychological Services in 2007 and has been widely used in several studies to validate the clinical diagnosis of ASD, e.g., (Chien et al., 2017; Ni et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2016). The details of psychometric studies and interviewers’ training of using the Chinese ADI-R have been described elsewhere (Chiang et al., 2018; Gau et al., 2013).

The Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS) (Constantino and Gruber, 2005) is a widely-used quantitative measure of autistic traits in the general population. It includes 65 items to measure the severity of ASD symptoms in natural social settings over the past six months for children and adolescents aged 4–18. Items were rated by parents or caregivers on a 4-point Likert scale from “0” (not true) to “3” (almost always true). The SRS has been demonstrated to have good internal consistency, construct validity, inter-rater reliability, test–retest reliability, and discriminative validity in prior research (Constantino and Gruber, 2005). Its Chinese version demonstrates a four-factor structure (i.e., social communication, stereotyped behaviors/interest, social awareness, and social emotion). Still, it is better conceptualized as a one-factor model (Gau et al., 2013). The Chinese SRS has been widely used in ASD research from children to young adults in Taiwan, e.g., (Chen et al., 2017; Hsiao et al., 2013; Lau et al., 2014; Lo et al., 2019), that covered the age range of our ASD sample. High internal consistency was found for the four subscales (Cronbach’s alpha, 0.94–0.95) and the total scale (Cronbach’s alpha, 0.95). To test the association between cingulate structures and social...
were selected for genotyping based on previous imaging genetic studies. The polymorphisms included intron 13 (rs759178, rs2710102, rs2538991) (Bai et al., 2017), located in the intron 2 (rs7794745) (Tan et al., 2010; Uddin et al., 2013), and intron 15 (rs2710126) (Clemm von Hohenberg et al., 2013), which were selected for genotyping based on previous imaging genetic studies.

2.4. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) selection and genotyping

Genomic DNA was prepared from peripheral blood using the Puregene DNA purification system (Genta Systems Inc. Minneapolis, MI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Five SNPs of the CNTNAP2, located in the intron 2 (rs7794745) (Tan et al., 2010; Uddin et al., 2017), intron 13 (rs759178, rs2710102, rs2538991) (Bai et al., 2017), and intron 15 (rs2710126) (Clemm von Hohenberg et al., 2013), were selected for genotyping based on previous imaging genetic studies.

The primers of each SNP were designed by the platform of the National Center for Genome Medicine (http://ncgm.sinica.edu.tw/), using GenePipe (http://genepipe.ncgm.sinica.edu.tw/gseostool/pages/gstseq.jsp) to retrieve SNP flanking sequences. All SNP genotyping was performed bySEQUENOM MassARRAY® System using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. The genotyping technology platform “iPLEX® Gold reaction” provides high throughput, high accuracy, and low-cost SNP analysis (http://ncgm.sinica.edu.tw/ncgm_02/snp_platform_e.html). The success rate of genotyping of the five selected SNPs was 99–100%. All SNPs were not against the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Supplementary Table S1). The SNP rs7794745 was excluded, given its minor allele frequency significantly deviated from the dataset on the public domain (dbSNP). The SNP rs759178 was also removed, given its high linkage disequilibrium with rs2538991 (r² > 0.97) and similar genotype frequency between the two SNPs. Genotype frequency is summarized in Supplementary Table S2. The age distribution was not different across genotypes (p > 0.236) (Supplementary Table S3).

2.5. MRI data acquisition

Brain images were acquired on a 3 T MRI system (Trio, Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Head movement was restricted with expandable foam cushions and was assessed immediately after image acquisition. High-resolution T1-weighted images were obtained on a 3 T MRI scanner (Siemens Magnetom Tim Trio, Germany) using a 32-channel phased arrayed head coil and a 3D magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MPRAGE) (Repetition/echo time = 2,000/2.98 ms; inversion time = 900 ms; flip angle = 9°; matrix size = 256 × 256 × 192; voxel size = 1 mm³).

2.6. Whole brain segmentation and cortical thickness calculation.

FreeSurfer V5.2.0 (https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/) was used on a 64-bit Linux operating system to reconstruct the cortical surface and volumetric segmentation on each participant’s T1 image based on the MPRAGE images (Fischl, 2012). The processing pipeline we implemented included the removal of non-brain tissue (Segonne et al., 2007), automated Talairach transformation, segmentation of subcortical white matter and deep GM volumetric structures (Fischl et al., 2004a), intensity normalization (Sled et al., 1998), tessellation of GM and WM boundaries, automated topology correction (Segonne et al., 2007), and surface deformation for optimal placement of GM/WM and GM/cerebrospinal fluid borders (Dale et al., 1999). The borders (i.e., GM vs. WM, GM vs. cerebrospinal fluid) were manually corrected to match anatomical boundaries based on careful visual inspection. Specifically, the pial surface and WM surface were edited to correct their surface boundary to remove non-brain tissue and incorrect segmentation of GM and WM tissue. To enhance intensity normalization, we set control points at the areas with extreme intensity, which may interfere WM segmentation and lead to errors in the WM surface boundary. Lastly, we ensured that each participant had the Euler number (a measure of the topological complexity of the reconstructed cortical surface as calculated by the sum of the vertices and faces subtracted by the number of faces (Dale et al., 1999)) precisely at 2 for each hemisphere, indicating adequate data quality (Rosen et al., 2018). All participants (n = 240) were realigned to the study-specific template using the FreeSurfer registration template to create the age-specific surface and curvature for each participant. Whole brain segmentation (Fischl et al., 2002, 2004b) was performed with default parameters of the FreeSurfer. The cortical parcellation units of the cortex were automatically identified and labeled according to the Desikan atlas (Desikan et al., 2006) within the FreeSurfer automatic cortical parcellation routine. The cortical thickness was automatically calculated by computing the shortest distance between the WM boundary and the pial surface at each vertex (Fischl and Dale, 2000). The reliability of the cortical thickness calculated by FreeSurfer has been validated (Han et al., 2006). The automatic reconstruction and calculation were reprocessed after manually correcting the detected erroneous part. The automatic parcellation of cortical regions derived 74 brain regions in each hemisphere, and the thickness of each cortical region was then calculated. This study focused on the cingulate substructures, in which GM was divided into five subregions, i.e., ACC, anterior and posterior parts of MCC, and dorsal and ventral parts of PCC, while WM was divided into four subregions, i.e., the rostral ACC, caudal ACC, PCC, and isthmus (Fig. 1).

2.7. Statistical analysis

We used SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary NC, USA) to perform the statistical analyses. Age and IQ profiles were compared by analysis of variance, while SRS subscores were compared between the ASD and TDC groups by the general linear model controlling for sex and age. Because the ASD participants were younger than TDC in the whole sample (age range 6–52), we compared the volume of the GM and WM, and cortical thickness of cingulate structures for each subregion between ASD and TDC in an age- and sex-matched subsample (88 ASD & 51 TDC, aged 8–20), controlling for sex, age, full-scale IQ, handedness, and intracranial volume. The relationships between the cingulate structures and social awareness deficits were examined by Pearson’s correlation analyses in the ASD group, partial out the effects of age, sex, full-scale IQ, and intracranial volume. The genetic effects of the CNTNAP2 variants on the cingulate structures were firstly examined by the main effect of each SNP on cingulate substructures (i.e., GM and WM volumes, and cortical thickness of each subregion) [Model 1: Cingulate structure parameters = β₀ + β₁ SNP + β₂ Diagnosis + β₃ Sex + β₄ Age + β₅ Intracranial volume + e]. Then, we tested the interactions between the CNTNAP2 variants and age or diagnosis on each cingulate structure, controlling for age, sex, full-scale IQ, and intracranial volume, as well as the main effects of each SNP and diagnosis [Model 2: Cingulate structure parameters = β₀ + β₁ SNP + β₂ Diagnosis + β₃ Sex + β₄ Age + β₅ SNP × Sex + β₆ SNP × Age + β₇ (SNP × Group) × Age + β₈ Intracranial volume + e]. False discovery rate (FDR) was applied to correct for multiple comparisons. FDR q-value < 0.05 was set as statistical significance. As for sensitivity power analysis, with a total sample size of 240, the statistical tests had a power of 0.8 to detect a difference with the effect size of 0.27.

3. Results

The IQ profiles of the whole sample were within the normal range, while the ASD group had significantly lower full-scale IQ (100.8 ± 19.9) compared to the TDC (116 ± 10.9) (Table 1). The ADI-R subscores of the ASD group passed the cut-off of a diagnosis of autism at the most severe period (age of 4–5 years): Social Reciprocal Interaction, 19.68 ± 6.98; Verbal Communication, 14.69 ± 5.03; Non-verbal Communication, 12.83 ± 6.35.
3.2. Correlations between cingulate structures and autistic symptoms in ASD

In the age- and sex-matched subsample, there were no significant group differences in GM and WM volumes of each cingulate subregion except a larger WM volume in the isthmus part of the cingulate in individuals with ASD than TDC (Table 2). By contrast, ASD participants had thinner cortical thickness than TDC in the following subregions: the right ACC, right anterior MCC, bilateral posterior MCC, and bilateral ventral and dorsal PCC (Table 2). The most significant difference was found in the right posterior MCC thickness (Cohen’s $d = -0.578$). The significant differences between ASD and TDC generally remained in the whole sample (Supplementary Table S4). In addition, cingulate substructures were not significantly different between adolescents (n = 58) and children (n = 49) with ASD after controlling age, sex, full-scale IQ, and intracranial volumes (Supplementary Table S5). Therefore, we did not conduct correlation analysis stratifying by the child and adolescent groups.

3.3. CNTNAP2 genetic association with cingulate structures

The SNP rs2538991 was significantly associated with the WM volume of the right caudal anterior cingulate gyrus [GG (n = 79) 3188.7 ± 528.4, GT (n = 127) 2950.5 ± 422.1, TT (n = 31) 3197.3 ± 559.4 ($F(2,232) = 6.75$, $p = 0.0041$); post-hoc analysis, GG, TT > GT, Bonferroni correction $p < 0.051$ (Fig. 3)]. However, the heterozygotes (GT), but not the homozygous carriers (GG), showed the smallest WM volume.

We further conducted multiple regression analyses for each SNP model, including the main effect of each SNP, two-way interactions (SNP × age, SNP × diagnosis), and three-way interaction (SNP × age × diagnosis). For the model containing both two-way interactions and three-way interaction, the same SNP rs2538991 (Supplementary Fig. S1a), and rs2710102 (Supplementary Fig. S1b) interacted with age and diagnosis group on the cortical thickness of the same region, i.e., the left anterior MCC (Supplementary Table S6). We tested the above results using the allelic model for diagnosis. However, findings in genotype analysis were not significant in the allelic analysis ($p > 0.47$) (Supplementary Table S7). Given that none of ASD participants were older than 33 years, we conducted the same analyses shown above by removing TDC participants older than 33 years (n = 13) and found that the significant results remained (Supplementary Table S8).

4. Discussion

Our work is one of the first studies to report the altered cingulate substructures with delicate parcellation in individuals with ASD, including GM and WM volumes and cortical thickness. It is also the first to explore the relationships between cingulate substructures and the CNTNAP2 gene. The major findings are three folds. First, individuals with ASD showed the thinner cortical thickness of bilateral cingulate subregions, greater WM volume of the isthmus, and no difference in the cingulate GM volume. Second, decreasing WM volumes of the right caudal anterior cingulate gyrus was associated with increasing social awareness deficits. Third, the CNTNAP2 variant was associated with the WM volumes of the right caudal anterior cingulate gyrus and interacted with ASD diagnosis on the cortical thickness of the left anterior MCC only in genotype analysis rather than allelic model analysis.

Our finding that ASD youths showed a greater WM volume of isthmus than TDC has not been reported. Previous studies have demonstrated greater left cingulum proper without differences in rostral anterior, caudal anterior, posterior, and isthmus cingulate U-fibers in ASD youths (Hau et al., 2019), or smaller WM volumes along the cingulate arch in ASD adults (Mittelman et al., 2017). Given the cingulate body connected to the parahippocampal gyrus via the isthmus, whether the greater WM volume of the isthmus here reflects impaired limbic connectivity like previously suggested (Amies and Catani, 2015) needs further investigation. Meanwhile, although we found no significant difference in cingulate GM volumes, which was consistent with some previous studies (Nickl-Jockschat et al., 2012; Via et al., 2011), our results demonstrated that the cortical thickness of most subregions was significantly thinner in ASD compared to TDC. Our finding was consistent with a recent study in ASD adults, showing thinner caudal ACC thickness in both the discovery (n = 301) and the replication sample (n = 61) (Laidt et al., 2019), yet contradictory to previous studies showing either no difference in rostral and caudal ACC thickness (van Rooij et al., 2018), or increased cortical thickness of the left cingulate (in 19 adults with high-functioning autism (Libero et al., 2015)), bilateral PCC (in 15 young adult males with autism (Hyde et al., 2010) and a meta-analysis (van Rooij et al., 2018)), and the left MCC (in 22 children with ASD aged 2.2 ± 2.1 years (Jiao et al., 2010)). Our results were based on a comparison between 88 ASD and 51 TDC with careful adjustment on sex, age, full-scale IQ, handedness, and intracranial volume. Consistent with a previous finding on significant age by group interaction across the cingulate substructures (van Rooij et al., 2018), our finding showed that age was a significant covariate for cortical thickness in most cingulate
subregions in our study (except PCC), supporting a previous argument that ASD is characterized by complex alterations in lifetime trajectories of several brain regions that underpin social-cognitive function (Greimel et al., 2013). Whether the cortical thinning in the cingulate gyrus of ASD individuals was abnormal, specifically during adolescence, waits to be answered. In contrast to previous findings of reduced cingulate GM volume in adults with ASD (Toal et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2016), in our sample of youths aged 8–20, we did not find group differences in the cingulate GM volume. Whether different age groups of the study samples can explain the inconsistent findings warrants further investigation.

Our finding that the WM volumes of the caudal anterior cingulate (MCC) was negatively correlated with social awareness deficits supports research. Although the function of the cingulate gyrus has been implicated in social cognition, only a few studies investigated the relationship between cingulate structure and autistic symptoms. For cortical thickness, increased thickness in the rostral ACC has been associated with poorer Social subscores on the ADI-R (Doyle-Thomas et al., 2013); likewise, higher ADOS scores were associated with increased thickness in the cingulate cortex (van Rooij et al., 2018). Another study reported that social awareness deficits were correlated with increased functional connectivity between the dorsal ACC (namely MCC in this study) and right superior temporal gyrus and decreased functional connectivity between the dorsal ACC and right putamen and thalamus (Tu et al., 2016). As for the WM integrity of the cingulum, previous findings were

Table 2

|                  | ASD (N = 88) | TDC (N = 51) |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                  | Mean or N   | SD or (%)   | Mean or N   | SD or (%)   |
| Age (age range)  | 12.7 (8–20) | 3.1         | 13.8 (8–20) | 3.6         |
|                  | 3.24        | 0.074       |
| Male             | N = 84      | (95.5)      | N = 45      | (98.2)      |
|                  | 2.52        | 0.112       |
| Left-handedness  | N = 80      | (96.9)      | N = 48      | (94.1)      |
|                  | 0.46        | 0.499       |
| Full-scale IQ    | 104         | 15.6        | 113         | 12.5        |
|                  | 13.51       | 0.0003      |

Mean thickness (mm)

|                  | R. hemisphere | L. hemisphere |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                  | 3.101        | 0.169        | 3.157        | 0.100        |
|                  | 7.47         | 0.007        |
|                  | 3.108        | 0.165        | 3.158        | 0.107        |
|                  | 6.73         | 0.011        |

White matter volumes (mm³)

|                  | R. caudal anterior cingulum | 3092 | 431 | 3124 | 513 | 0.02 | 0.891 |
|------------------|----------------------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|
|                  | R. isthmus of cingulum     | 3488 | 500 | 3358 | 400 | 9.97 | 0.002* |
|                  | R. rostral anterior cingulum| 2155 | 303 | 2215 | 339 | 0.73 | 0.395 |
|                  | R. posterior cingulum       | 4466 | 565 | 4456 | 489 | 0.41 | 0.524 |
|                  | L. caudal anterior cingulum | 2933 | 472 | 2949 | 483 | 0.04 | 0.849 |
|                  | L. isthmus of cingulum     | 3871 | 600 | 3689 | 457 | 8.79 | 0.004* |
|                  | L. rostral anterior cingulum| 2697 | 392 | 2670 | 364 | 1.48 | 0.227 |
|                  | L. posterior cingulum       | 4454 | 542 | 4323 | 501 | 2.02 | 0.157 |

Cortical thickness (mm)

|                  | R. ACC | 3.45 | 0.27 | 3.55 | 0.21 | 6.93 | 0.010* |
|------------------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|
|                  | R. anterior MCC | 3.52 | 0.23 | 3.58 | 0.22 | 5.53 | 0.020* |
|                  | R. posterior MCC | 3.38 | 0.22 | 3.50 | 0.17 | 14.46 | 0.000* |
|                  | R. dorsal PCC | 3.71 | 0.24 | 3.81 | 0.20 | 6.79 | 0.010* |
|                  | R. ventral PCC | 3.38 | 0.37 | 3.52 | 0.31 | 5.54 | 0.020* |
|                  | L. ACC | 3.50 | 0.26 | 3.55 | 0.19 | 2.59 | 0.110 |
|                  | L. anterior MCC | 3.49 | 0.26 | 3.56 | 0.20 | 3.88 | 0.051 |
|                  | L. posterior MCC | 3.37 | 0.24 | 3.46 | 0.18 | 8.70 | 0.004* |
|                  | L. dorsal PCC | 3.72 | 0.27 | 3.82 | 0.19 | 6.57 | 0.012* |
|                  | L. ventral PCC | 2.90 | 0.41 | 3.06 | 0.37 | 5.50 | 0.021* |

Cortical volume (mm³)

|                  | R. ACC | 6983 | 934 | 6803 | 814 | 1.48 | 0.226 |
|------------------|--------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-------|
|                  | R. anterior MCC | 3837 | 586 | 3880 | 492 | 0.09 | 0.770 |
|                  | R. posterior MCC | 3696 | 527 | 3679 | 584 | 0.03 | 0.870 |
|                  | R. dorsal PCC | 1957 | 399 | 1888 | 335 | 2.72 | 0.102 |
|                  | R. ventral PCC | 870 | 218 | 949 | 222 | 2.56 | 0.112 |
|                  | L. ACC | 6029 | 951 | 5899 | 649 | 0.68 | 0.411 |
|                  | L. anterior MCC | 3478 | 551 | 3519 | 600 | 0.35 | 0.554 |
|                  | L. posterior MCC | 2209 | 407 | 2256 | 422 | 0.01 | 0.909 |
|                  | L. dorsal PCC | 2063 | 470 | 1995 | 373 | 0.31 | 0.577 |
|                  | L. ventral PCC | 865 | 220 | 879 | 224 | 0.01 | 0.936 |

Note. *False Discovery Rate q-value < 0.05; L, left; R, right; ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; MCC, middle cingulate cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex Cohen’s d values are presented for those with False Discovery Rate q-value < 0.05.

Fig. 2. Correlation between cingulate structure and social awareness deficits in individuals with autism spectrum disorder. The right anterior cingulate white matter volume was negatively correlated with social awareness deficits ($r = -0.313, p = 0.001$).
Children carrying the autism risk allele (C) of rs2710102 showed (rs2538991) in genotype analysis (so did the rs759178; data not shown because its high linkage disequilibrium with rs2538991). Several studies CNTNAP2 may be associated with cingulate structural variations linked to ASD. Awareness deficits indicate that the quantitative trait of social cognition Zeeland et al., 2010 ) Another study used whole-brain tractography and CNTNAP2 These findings, if verified, implied a potential role of the cingulate gyrus smaller right MCC WM volume was correlated with more severe social Chiang et al., 2017 ). Using probabilistic measures in adult males with ASD (Catani et al., 2016 ), yet, a negative communicative symptoms and cingulum micro- or macrostructural Catani et al., 2013 ). Several SNPs located in intron 13 (i.e., rs2710102, rs759178, and CNTNAP2 connectivity of the uncinate fasciculus (Clemm von Hohenberg et al., 2013 ). Our results did not support the association between rs2710102 and MCC WM volume. This might explain why the significant findings in genotype analysis disappeared in allelic analysis. Whether our finding implied a true association between CNTNAP2 and cingulate structure needs validation. A review article that collected CNTNAP2 disruptions and associated phenotypes concluded that most disruptions of the CNTNAP2 are heterozygous mutations, suggesting that loss of a single allele could be sufficient to cause a phenotype (Rodenas-Cuadrado et al., 2014 ). Such observation may not be the story in common variants. Nevertheless, evidence has shown that polymorphism of CNTNAP2 was associated with altered brain structure and functional connectivity in neurotypical subjects (Dennis et al., 2011; Scott-Van Zeeland et al., 2010; Whalley et al., 2011 ). The role of polymorphisms on SNP interaction or epistasis warrants further investigation.

Given the distinct developmental trajectories in the ASD brain, particularly the GM volume of the MCC (Greimel et al., 2013 ), we specifically tested whether the CNTNAP2 variants interacted with age on the cortical thickness of two components of MCC, the anterior and posterior MCC, separately. We found a group × age × SNP interaction on the left anterior MCC only for the SNPs in intron 13 of the CNTNAP2 (i.e., rs2538991 and rs2710102; same in rs759178 but data not shown due to high linkage disequilibrium between rs759178 and rs2538991), which might suggest the effect of intron 13 on cortical thinning differs between ASD and TDC. The finding that ASD carriers of TT genotype showed a faster cortical thinning with age than TDC counterparts with the same genotype (Supplementary Fig. S1) needs to be replicated in a larger sample with an adequate number of minor alleles. Also, as a cross-sectional study, the age effect can hardly be addressed by the developmental approach. A longitudinal study may further help to elucidate the effect of the allele on cortical thinning.

This study had several limitations. First, the ASD participants of the whole sample were male predominant and younger than controls. Hence, cingulate substructures were compared in a sex- and age-matched subsample and the findings in the matched subsample were generally consistent in the whole sample. A low female rate may also limit our findings’ generalizability, which needs to be validated in a sample with a sex ratio closer to the canonical ratio of the ASD population. Second, we measured social awareness deficits by a caregiver-reported questionnaire rather than a social cognition task. Although the observation from caregivers may better reflect the social function of ASD participants in daily life (particularly for the ASD youth), a social cognition task that targets the specific social deficit of ASD (e.g., (Boada et al., 2020 )) may provide a standard objective measure and thus should be considered. Third, although the number of our sample is one of the large-scale imaging genetic studies in ASD, the sample size of the current study still may not have adequate power to detect small effect differences in the scale of gene research, which is particularly true for common variants. A larger independent sample is needed to verify our results and may allow for further analysis stratified by age (e.g., childhood vs. adolescence). Fourth, the candidate SNPs selected in this study were based on previous imaging genetic studies of the CNTNAP2. Future studies may consider fine mapping or sequencing of this gene. Lastly, participants with full-scale IQ lower than 70 were excluded from the study considering the cooperativeness of the participants undergoing MRI procedures. The results may not be generalized to the individuals with lower IQ.

5. Conclusions

This study’s findings suggest that youths with ASD showed comparable GM and WM volume but reduced cortical thickness of bilateral cingulate subregions compared to TDC. Besides, the cingulate structure may have clinical implications on moderating the severity of social awareness deficits, particularly the right cingulate WM volume. The brain-behavior relationships between cingulate substructures and the specific symptom domains may benefit from a delicate parcellation of the cingulate gyrus. Furthermore, as one of the first studies to explore
the relationship between CNTNAP2 and altered cirugia structures, our preliminary findings in genotype analysis warrant larger independent samples to validate.
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