Research development in tumor therapy: role of iron-related nanoparticles
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Abstract. As an essential nutrient element for life, iron's metabolic balance in body tissues is crucial to sustaining normal physiological functions, and it is inextricably related to tumors. Nanotechnology is gaining much attention around the world for cancer treatment. Considering the critical role of iron metabolism, nanocarriers' toxicity and biocompatibility, novel nanomaterials based on the biochemical activity of iron and the regulatory proteins of iron homeostasis-metabolism show broad application prospects in the field of tumor diagnosis and treatment. In this review, the role of iron-related nanocarriers for tumor therapy, such as iron oxide nanoparticles, Fe-based metal-organic frameworks, ferritin, and transferrin, was reviewed, aiming to help people better understand their tremendous potential in tumor therapy.

1 Introduction

Cancer is one of the leading causes of death worldwide, posing a serious threat to global public health and harming countless people's quality of life and health. Conventional cancer treatments cover surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and so on. Nevertheless, these methods are a "double-edged sword" with numerous side effects [1, 2]. Therefore, more and more scientists are devoted to exploring new cancer treatment strategies, especially the concept based on drug delivery, which aims to develop drug-delivery vectors to achieve controlled drug release, thereby lessening side effects and advancing the pertinence and effectiveness of treatment.

Nanotechnology has been popularly adopted in the biomedical field and foreseen to present a significant driver for innovative diagnostics and treatments for cancer. Adjustable size, tailorable framework, and other fascinating physical and chemical properties of nanoparticles (NPs) allow them to be designed as nanoplatforms with specific applications, such as drug delivery and controlled drug release, passive or active targeting to tumors through enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effects and surface modification, and both diagnostic and therapeutic properties [3]. This makes nanomaterials one of the most promising cancer treatments to offer personalized cancer therapy with capabilities and efficacy that surpass traditional technologies and methods.

Iron is intimately associated with human life and found almost omnipresent, which makes up about 5 percent of the earth's crust at $7.55 \times 10^{17}$ tons, ranking fourth on the element abundance list [4]. Iron has good ductility, electrical and thermal conductivity, and excellent ferromagnetism. At the same time, human cells also have a vast iron pool. Amongst more than a dozen trace elements essential to the human body, iron ranks first both in value and in quantity. Cells have established various sophisticated mechanisms to control their iron homeostasis strictly, including ferritin (Ft) [5], transferrin (TF) [6], and various iron-regulating proteins [7-9].

NPs applied in cancer diagnosis and treatment mainly fall into polymeric NPs, polymeric micelles, dendrimers, liposomes, metal NPs, crystalline NPs, carbon-based NPs and natural biological NPs [10]. Based on the crucial role of iron and its regulatory molecules in the human body, the relationship between iron and tumors, as well as other considerations such as physicochemical properties, cost, production difficulty, inherent biocompatibility, and biodegradation, many current studies have focused on iron-based NP carriers and iron-related natural biomolecular carriers. In this paper, from the perspective of iron physicochemical properties and iron metabolism, the potential clinical applications of iron-related nanocarriers like iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs), Fe-based metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), TF, and Ft, are principally introduced for tumor diagnosis and treatment. This review is anticipated to contribute to understanding the fabulous potential of iron-related NPs in cancer therapy.

2 Iron in human body

2.1 Regulation of iron metabolism

Iron in the human body can be classified into two categories: functional iron and storage iron. This mineral
is largely present in the form of ferric hemoglobin and ferryl myoglobin. Iron is also a cofactor of many essential enzymes. These enzymes are closely related to complex biochemical reactions such as biological oxidation, tissue respiration, energy metabolism, DNA synthesis, and body immunity [11, 12]. Given the influence of iron, it is particularly necessary to regulate iron metabolism and maintain iron homeostasis in the human body. Management of iron metabolism requires complex and fine coordination of various proteins, and the more important ones are Tf [5], TF [6], hepcidin [8], and various iron regulatory proteins [7].

As an iron-storing protein, Ft can be detected in almost all body tissues, notably the liver. The primary duty of this spherical cage structure is to store and regulate iron ions [5]. The transport of iron in the body cannot leave the main iron transport protein in plasma—TF [6]. Iron uptake by cells exists mainly on the interaction between TF and transferrin receptor 1 (TFR1) [13]. Iron is bound to TF and undergoes a conformational change to form the TRF-Fe3+ complex, which binds to the TFR1 on the cell surface and enters the cell through pinocytosis. After iron release, the TF-TFR1 complex returns to the cell surface, and the TF detaches from the receptor and re-enters the bloodstream, and the process repeats [14].

A series of iron ion regulatory proteins are also required to maintain iron homeostasis. Among them, the currently known core role is hepcidin, a negative regulator of iron metabolism [8]. It regulates cellular iron's outflow by binding the only cellular iron efflux protein—ferroportin (FPN1) [7, 15, 16]. Besides, iron sensor proteins IRP2, an iron regulatory protein in the cytoplasm, can sense the drop of iron concentration in the cell and bind to iron response elements on mRNAs such as Ft and TFR to command the translation of these proteins and regulate the intracellular iron concentration [9]. There is a sophisticated regulation system for iron homeostasis in the human body, and too many factors that directly or indirectly regulate iron homeostasis are involved. Some complex molecular mechanisms are still under study.

2.2 Relationship between iron and tumor

Lack or overload of iron can have adverse health effects. In addition to causing iron deficiency anemia [17], iron deficiency is harmful in many ways. Because of the lack of iron, the human body of many iron-containing enzyme functions will decline, so that the substance and energy metabolism are affected [11, 12, 18].

The most direct reason for cell damage caused by iron overload is that excessive free iron can catalyze the generation of free radicals, promote oxidative stress, induce lipid peroxidation and cause various biofilm damage, mitochondrial and lysosomal dysfunction, and cytotoxic effects [19, 20]. Too much iron can also be deposited in human tissues, causing a condition called hemochromatosis [21]. Studies have linked iron overload to hepatic disease [22], heart disease [23], diabetes [24], and leukemia [25].

On top of that, iron carries a very high risk of cancer [26]. The enhancement of lipid peroxidation provoked by excessive iron leads to the imbalance of oxidative and antioxidant systems in the body, which directly damages DNA and induces gene mutations, leading to the activation of proto-oncogenes and the inactivation of tumor suppressor genes [27]. It has been reported that iron metabolism plays a complex role in forming and developing tumors at various stages and iron overload is correlated with tumors of the liver [28], colon [29], lung [30], esophagus [31], bladder [32], and other organs. After the tumor's formation, cancer cells become "addicted" to iron [33]. Cancer cells are characterized by vigorous division, so the synthesis and accumulation of many enzymes related to DNA synthesis, replication, and repair are needed in a short division cycle. Iron is a rate-limiting factor in DNA synthesis due to its role as an active center component in several DNA polymerases as well as repair enzymes related to chromosome stability [11, 12]. Accordingly, iron is closely related to tumor angiogenesis and tumor cell invasiveness and is extremely valuable for tumors.

Notably, iron has a bidirectional effect in tumors: iron promotes tumor growth in the early stage, and sparks ferroptosis when excessive [34]. Ferroptosis is a process of cell death driven by cell metabolism and iron-dependent lipid peroxidation [35, 36]. More and more evidences show that ferroptosis may participate in the genesis and development of tumors, promoting tumor cells' death by activating multiple regulatory sites of its pathway, and plays a vital role in tumor inhibition [35, 37, 38].

3 Application of iron-based nanomaterials in the diagnosis and treatment of tumors

3.1 Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles in magnetic nanoparticles

Here are many sorts of magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs), such as iron oxides, mixed oxides of iron and excessive metals, and MNP of iron and its alloys [40]. Magnetite (Fe3O4) and gamma-hematite (γ-Fe2O3) NPs, the prominent representatives of MNPs, are easy to synthesize and have good biocompatibility biodegradation [41, 42]. Also, both iron oxides contain atomic vacancies and polar amphoter OH modifications and are therefore easily manipulated and functionalized by the surface [41]. These advantages make them widely concerned in the field of medicine and pharmaceutical. Because they are super-paramagnetic, they are often referred to as SPIONs.

Above all, early diagnosis and localization of tumor is the key to tumor treatment. SPION as an MRI contrast agent can significantly enhance magnetic resonance signal intensity; imaging contrast and sensitivity are very high. This phenomenon can be ascribed to the contrast agent made from SPION has a particular distribution in
reticuloendothelial cell-rich organs such as the liver, spleen, lymph, and bone marrow [43].

For oncology, SPION has an essential drug delivery function. Involving drugs into SPION can improve drug targeting, increase drug dose to cancerous tissues, and restrict drug side effects. The SPION coating provides an anchor point at which the drug molecules can be coupled. Significantly, SPION's surface can be modified explicitly by peptides, antibodies, and small molecules to recognize tumor-specific markers, tumor angiogenesis markers, and other tumor characterization-related substances, which is of magnificent weight to heighten the targeting to the affected areas [44-46].

Hollow SPION is utilized for drug loading and holds a high drug loading capacity is beyond doubt [47]. Currently, several drugs have been used in combination with SPION for cancer chemotherapy, including doxorubicin (DOX) [48], paclitaxel [49], methotrexate [50], and others.

Along with chemotherapy drugs, SPION can also be used as a vector for gene therapy. Gene therapy is a kind of anticancer technology by introducing exogenous genetic material into cancer cells through the carrier to set some specific proteins' expression to kill cancer cells [51]. One of the critical steps is gene delivery. Researches have revealed that the conjugation of bioactive molecules such as siRNA, oligos, and genes with SPION augments the intracellular pharmacokinetics and cell membrane penetration of nucleic acids [42, 52].

It is worth noting that targeted drug delivery across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) has always been a challenging technology, and MNP-based drug carriers hold promise for the imaging and treatment of primary and metastatic brain tumors. Several recent studies have reported using an external magnetic field to guide SPION to penetrate BBB in the treatment of glioma, the most common primary intracranial tumor [41, 53].

The superparamagnetism of SPION is a very serviceable property. In the external magnetic field, besides guiding drug transportation and enrichment, heat can also be generated in the target area to locally ablates the tumor cells. This therapy is called magnetic fluid hyperthermia (MFH) [54, 55].

MFH has many advantages. First, the thermal activation of SPION selectively damages cancer cells over a specific temperature range, for tumors are more susceptible to heat than normal tissue [56]. Additionally, MFH can also improve cancer cells' high sensitivity to chemotherapy by improving the permeability of the cancer cell membrane and heighten the absorption rate of anti-cancer drugs [56, 57]. Furthermore, SPION has a good penetration to targets deep in the biological system, and the presence of a magnetic field does not affect living biological tissue. Hence, SPION based MFH is a promising non-invasive treatment method.

Not only that, but the magnetofluid's ability to generate heat is also of particular interest for a driver for biological/chemotherapeutic drug release [55, 58, 59]. Strategies that rely on environmental and molecular markers to trigger drug release are often bounded by heterogeneity and lack of selectivity within the target tissue. Exogenous strategies that count on light or ultrasound energy may affect adjacent tissues and cause damage. The use of magnetic nanoparticles linked with an external magnetic field to selectively guide the accumulation and release of drugs in target tissues can minimize the impact on surrounding tissues.

Last but not least, the combined therapeutic properties of SPION have been widely studied [41, 54]. That is, the above systems of SPION can render the dual treatment of MFH and chemotherapy for tumors, or an integrated therapeutic effect, which has a significant advantage vied with the single drug administration system, illustrating that using a combination of imaging, targeting, and treatment of the innovative drug delivery vehicle is the most promising direction.

### 3.2 Iron-based metal–organic frameworks

MOFs, a kind of emerging hybrid porous materials, possess a periodic structure formed by coordination between metal ions and organic groups. They usually have regular porosity, large specific surface area, and high pore volume. Moreover, the adjustable size, composition, structure, high dose drug/enzyme loading, selective functionalization of surface chemistry, improved biocompatibility, and low cytotoxicity make them promising clinical oncology treatment platforms [60, 61]. Considering toxicity, biocompatibility, and biodegradability, the various available iron-containing MOFs offered many possibilities for the clinical application of controlled release of pharmacological molecules and are currently the most widely studied drug delivery MOFs. Next, the application potential of iron-based MOFs will be focused on tumor diagnosis and treatment.

First of all, iron-containing MOFs have superior drug delivery capabilities and, like MNPs, possess capabilities of magnetic imaging and stimulus reactivity release.

Moreover, photodynamic therapy (PDT), photothermal therapy (PTT), and chemodynamic therapy (CDT) based on specific factors such as acidic pH, endogenous H₂O₂, and low catalase expression, that are prevalent in the tumor microenvironment (TME), have made promising advancement in tumor therapy [62, 63]. On the ground of superior drug loading rate and catalytic activity, iron-based MOFs show great synergetic anti-tumor potential when used in combination with them. These methods based on iron-containing MOFs and their merged use with each other are described in detail in the following sections.

The principle of PDT is to utilize non-toxic light, photosensitizer, and molecular oxygen to yield highly active singlet oxygen (¹O₂) to cause tumor cell death [64]. Traditional small molecule photosensitizers have the disadvantages of poor water solubility and low light penetration depth [65]. In addition, the therapeutic efficacy of PDT was severely impaired by TME hypoxia [66]. Iron-based MOF displays extraordinary potential in PDT for the following advantages [67]: 1.) The regular crystal structure makes the photosensitizer molecules wrapped separately to avoid photosensitizer aggregation quenching. 2.) The pore structure is conducive to the
4 Nanomaterials based on the development of important molecules in iron homeostasis metabolism for tumor applications

A large proportion of DDS have defects specific to the type of DDS, including lack of biocompatibility and biodegradation, low stability, structural heterogeneity, undesirability immune response and antigenicity, and cytotoxicity [5]. These shortcomings can be overwhelmed by applying protein-based DDS from target organisms. In general, they have the advantages of high histocompatibility, easy absorption, good biodegradation, low stability, structural heterogeneity, and low toxicity. As mentioned earlier, cancer cells are iron-eating on account of their demand for the energy to proliferate rapidly. As a transmembrane protein that regulates iron metabolism, TFR1 is overwhelmed by applying protein-based DDS from target organisms. In general, they have the advantages of high histocompatibility, easy absorption, good biodegradation, low stability, structural heterogeneity, and low toxicity. As mentioned earlier, cancer cells are iron-eating on account of their demand for the energy to proliferate rapidly. As a transmembrane protein that regulates iron metabolism, TFR1 is
expressed at much higher levels in various cancer cells than in normal cells [13, 79]. More importantly, it possesses two inherently potent endogenous binding ligands: TF and Ft. Therefore, this receptor is a potential target of the DDS in anti-tumor therapy.

4.1 Nanoparticles targeting transferrin receptors

A highly compatible complex is formed after the interaction between TFR1 on the cell surface with TF, then internalized by the cell membrane through receptor-mediated endocytosis. In this context, NPs carrying TF may lead to more selective cell uptake and enhanced therapeutic efficacy. A TF functionalized porous silicon nanoparticle (Tf@pSiNPs) was investigated to target the DOX to glioma cells across the BBB [79]. It was demonstrated in vitro that TF functionalization improved pSiNP vector internalization efficiency through clathrin-mediated endocytic mechanisms. Therefore, TF functionalization can update the vector's targeting, improve the therapeutic effect, and lessen drug-related side effects.

However, with high endogenous TF concentrations in the blood, TF-modified nanocarriers may exhibit less than desirable targeting capabilities and safety [80]. Ft, another natural ligand for TFR1, consists of a spherical nanocage protein shell and an iron core that regulates iron storage [5]. The apoferritin shell can be reversibly assembled/decomposed at different pH values to encapsulate the selected drug into a cavity. This process can provide biocompatible DDS, allowing repetitive production and many surface modification options.

Conversion of Ft drug carriers in vivo is often impeded by the drug loading process's inefficiency and low yield [81]. Xiyun Yan [82] and his team found that natural drug entry channels in the shell of recombinant human H-Ft (HFn) are susceptible to temperature changes. Based on this, a simple drug delivery strategy counted on channels was developed to avoid the denaturation of HFn protein cage and denaturant. Experimental results revealed that the drug loading rate of DOX was significantly higher than that of the denaturation-based method. Moreover, the HFn-DOX produced by the channel-based loading strategy had better stability, higher biosafety, and enhanced antitumor activity. Besides, the Ft drug channel is universal for small molecule drug loading, so it can be developed into a new drug technology platform.

5 Conclusions

Iron possesses excellent physical properties such as electricity, magnetism, and heat. The regulation network of iron metabolism is elaborate and complex, and is closely related to many kinds of diseases, especially tumors. These characteristics of iron can be employed in the field of tumor diagnosis and treatment based on nanomaterials. Its main functions for tumors include 1. Under the action of external magnetic field, iron's magnetic properties can be used to diagnose tumors by MRI, target tumors to aggregate drugs, "cook" tumor cells by magneto-thermal conversion, and control thermal-touch drug release [2]. Iron can improve TME hypoxia by catalyzing the Fenton reaction, assisting the efficacy of PDT, PTT, and CDT, causing more severe oxidative damage to tumor cells; moreover, the Fenton reaction can also cause the accumulation of LPO, thus inducing ferroptosis [3]. Targeting the overexpressed transferrin receptor of tumor cells and using its affinity with transferrin/ferritin to enhance the targeting of nanoparticles [4]. Own to the critical role of iron in the human body, iron-related NPs usually have low toxicity, degradability, and good biocompatibility. Consequently, iron-based nanoparticles and nanomaterials based on important molecules of iron homeostasis-metabolism show great potential in the field of tumor diagnosis and treatment.

With the deepening of iron metabolism research, the complex molecular mechanism of iron in vivo, the physiological significance of iron in various tissues and organs, and the role of iron in various tumor models will be gradually revealed. All those will help people continue to explore the application value of iron in the field of cancer and then create more and more valuable iron-related nanomaterials.
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