Comparative Analysis of Chloroplast Genome in Saccharum spp. and Related Members of ‘Saccharum Complex’
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Abstract: High ploids of the sugarcane nuclear genome limit its genomic studies, whereas its chloroplast genome is small and conserved, which is suitable for phylogenetic studies and molecular marker development. Here, we applied whole genome sequencing technology to sequence and assemble chloroplast genomes of eight species of the ‘Saccharum Complex’, and elucidated their sequence variations. In total, 19 accessions were sequenced, and 23 chloroplast genomes were assembled, including 6 species of Saccharum (among them, S. robustum, S. sinense, and S. barberi firstly reported in this study) and 2 sugarcane relative species, Tripidium arundinaceum and Narenga porphyrocoma. The plastid phylogenetic signal demonstrated that S. officinarum and S. robustum shared a common ancestor, and that the cytoplasmic origins of S. sinense and S. barberi were much more ancient than the S. officinarum/S. robustum lineage. Overall, 14 markers were developed, including 9 InDel markers for distinguishing Saccharum from its relative species, 4 dCAPS markers for distinguishing S. officinarum from S. robustum, and 1 dCAPS marker for distinguishing S. sinense and S. barberi from other species. The results obtained from our studies will contribute to the understanding of the classification and plastome evolution of Saccharinae, and the molecular markers developed have demonstrated their highly discriminatory power in Saccharum and relative species.
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1. Introduction

Sugarcane (Saccharum spp.), belonging to Poaceae, Panicoideae, Andropogoneae, and Saccharinae, is widely cultivated in tropical and subtropical regions in more than 90 countries (http://www.fao.org, accessed on 1 March 2022). The Saccharum genus consists of two wild species, S. spontaneum and S. robustum, and two ancient cultivars, S. barberi for cultivars from India and S. sinense from China, along with “noble” sugarcane S. officinarum, which has been used for sugar production for hundreds of years. Modern sugarcane interspecific hybridization work was undertaken in Java during the 1920s [1]. Since then, several founder sugarcane cultivars/hybrids have been frequently used as parental material for breeding, resulting in a narrow genetic base for modern sugarcane cultivars, which limits the continued improvement of commercial traits of varieties.

The ‘Saccharum complex’ has some genera closely related to sugarcane that are divergent in a large number of biological and agronomic traits but capable of interbreeding...
with sugarcane. Among them, *Tripidium arundinaceum* (2n = 60) [2] and *Narenga porphyrocoma* (2n = 30) [3] have excellent field characteristics, such as a high biomass, barren and drought tolerance, early maturity, strong stems, strong tillering ability, and mosaic disease resistance [4]. In particular, considerable efforts had been made in introgressing robust traits from *Tripidium* species into sugarcane. Meanwhile, *N. porphyrocoma* has also been used for sugarcane breeding through distant hybridization [3]. The F1 and BC1 hybrid of sugarcane and *N. porphyrocoma* showed resistance to smut [5]. Since the *Saccharum* complex/Saccharinae comprises gene resources that are helpful for sugarcane variety improvement, it is necessary to obtain an accurate phylogenetic relationship between *Saccharum* and its purported relatives, particularly for those species from outside of the core *Saccharum*.

Chloroplasts (cp) are inherited maternally in most plants, and each of these organelles contains a quadripartite circular molecule of double-stranded DNA that comprises two inverted repeats (IRs), and single-copy regions, the large and small single-copy regions (LSC and SSC). Like most angiosperms, the chloroplasts of sugarcane are inherited maternally [6]. As a result of their relatively small size, simple structure, and conserved gene content, cpDNA sequences have been widely used for phylogenetic studies, and complete cp genome sequences could provide valuable datasets for resolving taxonomical complexes and/or DNA barcoding (e.g., *matK* and *rbcL*) [7]. In addition, the cp genomes have proven to be more informative than cp DNA fragments in revealing the phylogeny of land plants [8,9]. With the advent of next-generation sequencing technologies, the complete chloroplast genome sequences obtained by high throughput techniques could significantly increase the phylogenetic resolution and provide new insight into the taxonomic relationships of the *Saccharum* complex. Nevertheless, based on the results obtained recently, distinguishing closely related species using universal or specific DNA barcodes may be extremely difficult [10]. A comparative analysis of the complete sequences of chloroplast genomes was successfully used in the *Aldama* [11] and *Pinus mugo* complex [12], and their candidate barcode regions were potentially useful for genetic identification. *Saccharum* species have been restricted to being investigated in nuclear genomics because of their high ploids and large genome size, whereas their chloroplast genomes are much smaller and relatively simple. Therefore, a comparison of the complete cp genome sequences could reveal novel genome features such as single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions/deletions (InDels), and microsatellites. Moreover, SNPs-based dCAPS markers have been utilized for genetic analysis and selective breeding in allopolyploid species such as oats [13], wheat [14], and *Brassica napus* [15].

In *Saccharum*, the complete cp genomes of *Saccharum* hybrid NCo310 [16], SP80-3280 [17], and R867515 [18]; *S. spontaneum* SES205A, SES234B [19], and Yunnan 83-184 [20]; *S. officinarum* Badila and IJ76-514 were obtained in previous studies. More recently, the complete cp genomes of *T. arundinaceum* [21,22] and *N. porphyrocoma* [23] have been sequenced and assembled. The analysis of chloroplasts in *Saccharum* and related species focused on three aspects: (1) the structural features of the cp genome were compared with *Saccharum* species and other agronomically and industrially important monocots, such as *Zea mays* and *Sorghum bicolor* [16]; (2) the molecular classification of all *Saccharum* species and their nearest evolutionary neighbors was elucidated by plastid-based studies [23]; (3) the plastid view on sugarcane origins [20]. These results have indicated the potential of this approach to elucidate the phylogenetic relationships within the ‘*Saccharum* complex’.

However, as the major member of *Saccharum* species, the cp genomes of *S. robustum*, *S. barberi*, and *S. sinense* have not been sequenced and assembled yet, which is urgently required for a comprehensive understanding of the genus *Saccharum*. Meanwhile, the cp genomes of representative sugarcane germplasm were absent. Molecular sequence studies on the *Saccharum* genus to date have been small in scale, involving either limited marker studies or being based only on phylogenies derived from a few chloroplast regions or a few genomic regions. The situation is even more complex when attempting to distinguish between cultivars that might only be a few decades divergent from one another. In this
study, we aimed to obtain the complete cp genome sequences of *Saccharum* and its relative genera to characterize those genome structures, gene content, and other characteristics. The whole-genome sequencing (WGS) paired-end reads were used to assemble the corresponding plastomes to (1) enrich, update, and improve the database genomics of Saccharinae, (2) improve the phylogenetic resolution of the defined species complexes, and (3) develop efficient markers for germplasm evaluation and species discrimination in *Saccharum* and *Saccharum*-relative species.

2. Results
2.1. Plastid Genome Structure and Organization

We sequenced and de novo assembled 19 cp genomes of Saccharinae members. Combined with 4 genomes that were assembled using raw reads from NCBI SRA database, a total of 23 chloroplast genomes (Table S1) was used for plastid genome comparison, which included one species from *Tripidium*, one from *Narenga*, and twenty-one species from *Saccharum* (four *S. spontaneum* species, four *S. robustum* species, two *S. sinense* species, three *S. barberi* species, four *S. officinarum* species, and four *S. hybrids*). The complete chloroplast genome of *N. porphyrocoma* (141,231 bp) (Figure 1a) contained a large single-copy (LSC) region (83,107 bp), and a small single-copy (SSC) region (12,533 bp), which were separated by a pair of IRs (IRa and IRb; 22,794 bp each), 8 rRNA, and 38 tRNA, whereas that of *T. arundinaceum* (141,209 bp) (Figure 1b) consisted of an LSC (83,167 bp), an SSC (12,513 bp), and a pair of IRs (22,763 bp each), 8 rRNA and 38 tRNA.

In *Saccharum*, assembly sizes ranged from 141,161 bp (IND81-013, *S. spontaneum*) to 141,216 bp (SP79-9, *S. spontaneum*) (Figure 1c). All had a typical quadripartite structure, comprising an SSC (12,538–12,542 bp) and an LSC (82,036–83,093 bp) region separated by a pair of IRs (22,789–22,795 bp). The cp genome length varied from 141,161 to 141,216 bp, with a medium-size of 141,177 bp. In *Saccharum*, *S. spontaneum* (*x* = 141,183 ± 26 bp) had the most variable length, followed by *S. robustum* (141,171–141,187 bp, *x* = 141,179 ± 9 bp) and *S. officinarum* (141,182–141,183 bp, *x* = 141,183 ± 1 bp). Across the 21 chloroplast genome sequences in *Saccharum*, the cp genome length was most conserved in *S. sinense*.
genes presented inside the circle are transcribed clockwise. The gene content and organization are similar for all *Saccharum* species, and, therefore, one figure (c) is drawn as representative of all three species. (a) *N. porphyrocoma*; (b) *T. arundinacea*; (c) *Saccharum* species.

In *Saccharum*, assembly sizes ranged from 141,161 bp (IND81-013, *S. spontaneum*) to 141,216 bp (SP79-9, *S. spontaneum*) (Figure 1c). All had a typical quadripartite structure, comprising an SSC (12,538–12,542 bp) and an LSC (82,036–83,093 bp) region separated by a pair of IRs (22,789–22,795 bp). The cp genome length varied from 141,161 to 141,216 bp, with a medium-size of 141,177 bp. In *Saccharum*, *S. spontaneum* (x = 141,183 ± 26 bp) had the most variable length, followed by *S. robustum* (141,171–141,187 bp, x = 141,179 ± 9 bp) and *S. officinarum* (141,182–141,183 bp, x = 141,183 ± 1 bp). Across the 21 chloroplast genome sequences in *Saccharum*, the cp genome length was most conserved in *S. sinense* (141,174 bp, x = 141,174 ± 0 bp) and *S. barberi* (141,174 bp, x = 141,174 ± 0 bp), as well as *S. hybrid* (141,182 bp, x = 141,182 ± 0 bp) (Table 1).

In terms of synteny and gene number, both were highly conserved in the assembled accessions in *Saccharum*, *Tripidium*, and *Narenga*. Gene annotation showed that there were 130 genes in all assembled cp genomes, including 83 protein-coding genes (74 single copy protein-coding genes, 7 repeated/IR genes, and 2 copies of ndhB, rps12, rps19, rpl2, rpl23, rps7, and rps15), 38 tRNA genes (9 repeated/IR genes and 2 copies of trnA-UGC, trnH-GUG, trnL-GAU, trnV-GAC, trnI-GAU, trnA-UGC, trnR-ACG, trnN-GUU, and trnL-CAA), and 8 rRNA genes (4 repeated/IR genes and 2 copies of rrn23, rrn4.5, rrn5, and rrn16) (Table S2). Among the annotated genes, 13 genes had introns in all species, of which, there were two introns for *ycf3* and one intron for the other 12 genes (*atpF, ndhA, ndhB, rps16, rpl16, rpl2, trnK-UUU, trnS-CGA, trnL-CAA, trnL-1AA, trnV-UC, trnT-CGU, and trnA-UGC*). Interestingly, the gene *rps12* had two introns but with a trans-splicing, with one of its exons located in the LSC region, and the other duplicated by the IRs. The members of the *Saccharum* complex lost *accD* (encoded subunits of Acetyl-CoA carboxylase) and *ycf1*, which were also absent in the cp genomes of other Panicoideae grasses [16].

2.2. Phylogenetic Analysis of Saccharinae Species

Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic analysis was performed based on 74 protein-coding genes (PCGs), which were highly conserved single copy protein-coding genes shared among 36 species in Saccharinae (23 cp genomes obtained in this study) (Table S3), rooted using *Sorghum bicolor* as outgroup. The phylogenetic tree showed that all *Saccharum* species were clearly clustered into a lineage (Figure 2). *S. spontaneum* diverged from other *Saccharum* species firstly, whereas *S. sinense* and *S. barberi* were clustered into the same clades, being sisters to *S. robustum* and *S. officinarum*, and they probably had different *S. officinarum* accessions as an ancestor in the hybridization, contributing to their differences in genome compositions. The phylogram showed that *S. officinarum* and sugarcane modern cultivar were closer to *S. robustum* than ancient hybrid species *S. sinense* and *S. barberi*. 
Table 1. The structure and length of the chloroplast genome sequences in *N. porphyrocoma*, *T. arundinaceum*, and *Saccharum* spp. assembled in this study.

| Species       | Accession  | S. spontaneum | S. robustum | S. sinense | S. barberi | S. officinarum | S. hybrid |
|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| **Length/bp** |            |               |             |            |            |                |           |
| BM87-36       | 141,209    | 141,216       | 141,191     | 141,171    | 141,187    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| HBW1          | 141,231    | 141,162       | 141,161     | 141,171    | 141,187    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| SES14         | 141,162    | 141,216       | 141,191     | 141,171    | 141,187    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| SP79-9        | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| LSC14         | 141,231    | 141,162       | 141,161     | 141,171    | 141,187    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| IN84-066      | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| IN84-013      | 141,231    | 141,162       | 141,161     | 141,171    | 141,187    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| NG51-63       | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| NG57-208      | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| IS76-184      | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| IN84-076      | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| Guilinzhuzhe  | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| Youba         | 141,161    | 141,191       | 141,171     | 141,187    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| **Length/bp** |            |               |             |            |            |                |           |
| Katha         | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| Kewali        | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| Chunne        | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| Loether       | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| Christalin    | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| BlackChLBH    | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| NG96-14       | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| POJ2878       | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| ROC25         | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| GT42          | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| ZhongzheNo1   | 141,174    | 141,174       | 141,174     | 141,174    | 141,174    | 141,174        | 141,174   |
| **Length/bp** |            |               |             |            |            |                |           |
| LSC14         | 83,167     | 83,107        | 83,039      | 83,093     | 83,093     | 83,093         | 83,093    |
| SSC14         | 12,513     | 12,533        | 12,542      | 12,542     | 12,542     | 12,542         | 12,542    |
| IR14          | 22,763     | 22,794        | 22,789      | 22,789     | 22,789     | 22,789         | 22,789    |
15 SNPs were located in the coding sequence (CDS) of genes involved in a variety of biological processes, from transcription (ndhD) and ndhF, to subunits of NADH-dehydrogenase (ndhF and ndhD). Most InDels were found in intergenic sequences, except for two InDels found in the six Saccharum plastomes. Detailed information about SSR types, SSR sequences, regions, and start/end positions of the aforementioned SSRs in the cp genome is presented in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.

There were no large structural variations, except for SNPs, and InDels in Saccharum cp genomes (Tables S6 and S7). Among six Saccharum species, S. spontaneum had the largest number of SNPs (68) and InDels (43), whereas S. officinarum had the smallest number of SNPs (10) and InDels (5). S. robustum had 20 SNPs and 12 InDels that were detected, and S. sinense and S. barberi had the same number of SNPs (22) and InDels (10). Most of the SNPs were found in intergenic sequences (52), and the maximum number of SNPs was the same in all species. Interestingly, trinucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of T. arundinaceum, and hexanucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of N. porphyrocoma, but were not detected in the six Saccharum plastomes. Detailed information about SSR types, SSR sequences, regions, and start/end positions of the aforementioned SSRs in the cp genome is presented in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.

There were no large structural variations, except for SNPs, and InDels in Saccharum cp genomes (Tables S6 and S7). Among six Saccharum species, S. spontaneum had the largest number of SNPs (68) and InDels (43), whereas S. officinarum had the smallest number of SNPs (10) and InDels (5). S. robustum had 20 SNPs and 12 InDels that were detected, and S. sinense and S. barberi had the same number of SNPs (22) and InDels (10). Most of the SNPs were found in intergenic sequences (52), and the maximum number of SNPs was the same in all species. Interestingly, trinucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of T. arundinaceum, and hexanucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of N. porphyrocoma, but were not detected in the six Saccharum plastomes. Detailed information about SSR types, SSR sequences, regions, and start/end positions of the aforementioned SSRs in the cp genome is presented in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.

Figure 2. Phylogram based on 74 protein-coding genes shared among Saccharinae species (23 newly assembled in this study, which are marked with *, and 14 published accessions of Saccharinae species in NCBI). Sorghum bicolor is included as outgroup.

2.3. Repeat Analysis and Genetic Diversity Assessment

Chloroplast repeats with a high diversity in copy numbers are usually useful for markers development to investigate the population genetics and biogeography of allied taxa. In the MISA analyses, a total of 36 microsatellites regions (>=10 bp) were identified in the six Saccharum plastid genomes: 34 in T. arundinaceum and 33 in N. porphyrocoma. The distribution, types, and numbers of repeats were highly similar among the plastomes. The most abundant SSRs were mononucleotide, and the number of that was 26 in T. arundinaceum and S. spontaneum, and 27 in other species. The number of dinucleotide SSRs was the same in all species. Interestingly, trinucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of T. arundinaceum, and hexanucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of N. porphyrocoma, but were not detected in the six Saccharum plastomes. Detailed information about SSR types, SSR sequences, regions, and start/end positions of the aforementioned SSRs in the cp genome is presented in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.

There were no large structural variations, except for SNPs, and InDels in Saccharum cp genomes (Tables S6 and S7). Among six Saccharum species, S. spontaneum had the largest number of SNPs (68) and InDels (43), whereas S. officinarum had the smallest number of SNPs (10) and InDels (5). S. robustum had 20 SNPs and 12 InDels that were detected, and S. sinense and S. barberi had the same number of SNPs (22) and InDels (10). Most of the SNPs were found in intergenic sequences (52), and the maximum number of SNPs was the same in all species. Interestingly, trinucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of T. arundinaceum, and hexanucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of N. porphyrocoma, but were not detected in the six Saccharum plastomes. Detailed information about SSR types, SSR sequences, regions, and start/end positions of the aforementioned SSRs in the cp genome is presented in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.

There were no large structural variations, except for SNPs, and InDels in Saccharum cp genomes (Tables S6 and S7). Among six Saccharum species, S. spontaneum had the largest number of SNPs (68) and InDels (43), whereas S. officinarum had the smallest number of SNPs (10) and InDels (5). S. robustum had 20 SNPs and 12 InDels that were detected, and S. sinense and S. barberi had the same number of SNPs (22) and InDels (10). Most of the SNPs were found in intergenic sequences (52), and the maximum number of SNPs was the same in all species. Interestingly, trinucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of T. arundinaceum, and hexanucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of N. porphyrocoma, but were not detected in the six Saccharum plastomes. Detailed information about SSR types, SSR sequences, regions, and start/end positions of the aforementioned SSRs in the cp genome is presented in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.

There were no large structural variations, except for SNPs, and InDels in Saccharum cp genomes (Tables S6 and S7). Among six Saccharum species, S. spontaneum had the largest number of SNPs (68) and InDels (43), whereas S. officinarum had the smallest number of SNPs (10) and InDels (5). S. robustum had 20 SNPs and 12 InDels that were detected, and S. sinense and S. barberi had the same number of SNPs (22) and InDels (10). Most of the SNPs were found in intergenic sequences (52), and the maximum number of SNPs was the same in all species. Interestingly, trinucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of T. arundinaceum, and hexanucleotide SSRs were found in the plastomes of N. porphyrocoma, but were not detected in the six Saccharum plastomes. Detailed information about SSR types, SSR sequences, regions, and start/end positions of the aforementioned SSRs in the cp genome is presented in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.
2.4. Comparison of Chloroplast Genome Sequences

The LSC/IRs and IRs/SSC borders and their adjacent genes were compared in the six *Saccharum* cp genomes and other Saccharine species (Figure 3). The *rps19* gene was located in the IRb region entirely, 35 bp away from the LSC/IRb border. The *ndhF* gene was situated at the junction of IRb/SSC, extending 29 bp into the IRb region. Overall, the structure and gene content of the six chloroplast genomes were consistent, and no significant expansion or contraction of IR regions were found in the *Saccharum* spp. and two related species.

![Comparison of border positions of LSC, SSC, and IR regions among nine Saccharinae species and *S. bicolor*. Genes are denoted by boxes, and the gap between the genes and the boundaries are indicated by the number of bases unless the gene coincides with the boundary. Extensions of genes are also indicated above the boxes.](image)

Figure 3. Comparison of border positions of LSC, SSC, and IR regions among nine Saccharinae species and *S. bicolor*. Genes are denoted by boxes, and the gap between the genes and the boundaries are indicated by the number of bases unless the gene coincides with the boundary. Extensions of genes are also indicated above the boxes.

To investigate the similarities and differences in the cp genome sequences between *Saccharum* and other species of Saccharinae, a global alignment program was used to align these sequences. The result was plotted using the mVISTA tool with *sorghum bicolor* as a reference. Those closely related species had little difference in cp genome size, ranging from 141,174 bp to 141,231 bp. As shown in Figure 4, the structures of these cp genomes were conserved. The global patterns of sequence similarities among these sequences were very high.
Figure 4. Chloroplast genomes were aligned using the mVISTA program with the annotation of *S. bicolor* as a reference. The X- and Y-scales indicate the coordinates within chloroplast genomes and the percentage of identity (50–100%), respectively. Gray arrows indicate the direction of transcription of each gene. Annotated genes are displayed along the top. The red block is the intron region, the pearlecent Aqua block is the tRNA region, and the purple block is the CDS region.
A comparative sequence analysis of the eight Saccharinae species using mVISTA revealed a high sequence identity, with identity ranging from 97.89% to 99.95%, suggesting that Saccharinae chloroplast genomes were extremely conserved. Overall, the tRNA region was the most conservative (identity = 99.95 ± 0.01%), and exon regions (identity = 99.80 ± 0.00%) were more conserved than the intron region (identity = 99.04 ± 0.14%) and intergenic regions (identity = 98.19 ± 0.19%). Most high polymorphic regions were located in the intergenic regions (such as rps16-trnQ, trnG-trnM, psbM-petN, ndhC-trnV, petA-psbJ, and psbJ-rpl33). These regions may be undergoing more rapid nucleotide substitution at the species level, indicating their potential applications for developing molecular markers for phylogenetic analyses and plant identification in Saccharinae species. A few divergent regions were also observed in the genes coding regions of matK, psbB, psaB, and rpoA, and introns of atpF, rps16, rpl16, and ycf3.

2.5. Development of Markers to Discriminate Saccharum and Sugarcane-Relative Species

The nucleotide diversity (Pi) of the chloroplast genomes in the six Saccharum species (including 21 accessions) was also calculated to assess the sequence divergence level of these species (Figure 5). The average Pi value was 0.000265 (range 0–0.00518) in the LSC region, and 0.000468 (range 0–0.00324) in the SSC region, whereas that of the IR region was 0. Most of the sequences with high Pi values were spacer regions between genes. Among these spacer regions, rps16-trnQ, trnG-trnM, psbM-petN, petN-trnC, ndhC-trnV, petA-psbJ, psbJ-rpl33, ndhF-rpl32, and trnL-ccsA were the nine regions with Pi values bigger than 0.01000. Only one coding region (ycf3) had high Pi values over 0.01000. These hotspot regions of divergence could be applied in developing DNA markers for distinguishing Saccharum species. We also calculated Pi for chloroplast genome sequences of each species to investigate the sequence variation at interspecies level. The highest Pi value was 0.00007 in S. robustum, followed by that of S. spontaneum with 0.00003, whereas the lowest Pi value was 0 in S. officinarum, S. sinense, S. barberi, and S. hybrids. The Pi values of the two wild species (S. spontaneum and S. robustum) within the genus Saccharum were higher than that of the domesticated species (S. officinarum, S. sinense, S. barberi, and S. hybrids), showing that the wild species had the higher sequence diversity.

Figure 5. Nucleotide diversity (Pi) based on sliding window analysis in the aligned complete chloroplast genomes of S. spontaneum, S. officinarum, S. robustum, S. sinense, S. barberi, and S. hybrids.

Based on the alignment of complete cp genome sequences, the nine most variable loci based on the analysis of all twenty-one individuals were selected as DNA markers for development (Figure 6a). Markers were derived from InDelS in the intergenic regions, including rps16-trnQ, trnS-psbD, trnS-psbZ, trnR-rps14, ndhC-trnV, petL-petG, rpl33-rps18, and rps12 introns (Table 2). These nine markers were classified into three categories based on their differentiation ability. For the first category, Sscps1d4 in the trnR-rps14 intergenic region was able to differentiate each of the three species, T. arundinaceum, S. spontaneum, and S. officinarum, which had a 188 bp, 172 bp, and 198 bp expected amplified fragment size.
respectively. For the second category, the marker SscpId7 (15 bp InDel in the \textit{rpl33-rps18} region) could differentiate \textit{S. spontaneum} and \textit{T. arundinaceum} from other \textit{Saccharum} species. For the third category, all of the rest markers could differentiate \textit{T. arundinaceum} from \textit{Saccharum} species, which had a 29 bp, 31 bp, 34 bp, 21 bp, 46 bp, 18 bp, and 33 bp fragment length difference for SscpId1, SscpId2, SscpId3, SscpId5, SscpId6, SscpId8, and SscpId9, respectively. Regarding validation experiments using six samples, two accessions from each species showed the power of these markers to separate the target samples (Figure 6b). These markers were valuable tools for accurate germplasm evaluation in sugarcane research and breeding programs.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Primer & Forward Region & PCR Product Size (bp) & Reverse Region \\
\hline
SscpId1 & \textit{rps16-trnQ} & 130 & 159 159 \\
SscpId2 & \textit{trnS-psbD} & 235 & 204 204 \\
SscpId3 & \textit{ndaC-trnF} & 195 & 230 230 \\
SscpId4 & \textit{psbA-trnE} & 350 & 400 400 \\
SscpId5 & \textit{psbA-trnE} & 125 & 150 150 \\
SscpId6 & \textit{psbA-trnE} & 125 & 150 150 \\
SscpId7 & \textit{rps12 intron} & 180 & 180 180 \\
SscpId8 & \textit{rps12 intron} & 180 & 180 180 \\
SscpId9 & \textit{rps12 intron} & 180 & 180 180 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Information of nine InDel markers designed for \textit{T. arundinaceum}, \textit{S. spontaneum}, and \textit{S. officinarum}.}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{gel.png}
\caption{The gel electrophoresis results of the validation of nine molecular markers derived from InDels regions of three chloroplast genomes of \textit{T. arundinaceum}, \textit{S. spontaneum}, and \textit{S. officinarum}.}
\end{figure}
(a) The dashed line indicates deleted sequences. Left and right black arrows indicate forward and reverse primers, respectively. (b) The gel electrophoresis results from the amplification of InDels markers using designed primers. Abbreviated species names are shown on schematic diagrams, Ta, T. arundinaceum; Ss, S. spontaneum; So, S. officinarum.

Table 2. Information of nine InDel markers designed for T. arundinaceum, S. spontaneum, and S. officinarum.

| Primer | Forward Reverse | Region | PCR Product Size (bp) |
|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|
|        |                 |        | T. aru | S. spon | S. off |
| Sscp1d | GGATCGACTCTTCCCACAACCCAAAAGCGAACCATTTT | rps16-trnQ | 130 | 159 | 159 |
| Sscp2d | TGACAGAGCGAAAGAGAATAAGGA | trnS-psbD | 235 | 204 | 204 |
| Sscp3d | TGAAGTGAGGACCTGCTTGCAG | trnS-psbZ | 157 | 123 | 123 |
| Sscp4d | CCATGACAGGAGCGCTTGG | trnR-rps14 | 188 | 172 | 198 |
| Sscp5d | AACCTAAATGAAAATCGGATGATTA | ndhC-trnV | 249 | 228 | 228 |
| Sscp6d | AGGGACTCATGTTCCGTCTG | petL-petG | 191 | 145 | 145 |
| Sscp7d | TCGTGTGTTCGATTTTTCCA | rpl33-rps18 | 235 | 235 | 250 |
| Sscp8d | TTTGGCAAAGAAAAATAGAGTACC | rps12 intron | 155 | 173 | 173 |
| Sscp9d | TTTGCGATTATTCATAGGAGGA | rps12 intron | 143 | 176 | 176 |

T. aru: T. arundinaceum; S. spon: S. spontaneum; S. off: S. officinarum.

The species-specific SNPs between S. robustum and S. officinarum were used to develop dCAPS markers to generate the mismatches near SNPs for the recognition site of restriction endonuclease (Table 3). One SNP in trnG-trnM intergenic regions (“G” for S. officinarum, and “A” for S. robustum) was used to design two dCAPS markers Sscp1 and Sscp2 (Figure 7a), with the TaqI and XbaI digestion site in the amplicon fragment using S. robustum DNA as a template, respectively. Sscp3 and Sscp4 were designed for SNPs in the petA-psbJ (“G” for S. officinarum, and “A” for S. robustum) and rbcL-psaI (“C” for S. officinarum, and “G” for S. robustum) intergenic region, with the TaqI digestion site in the amplicon fragment using S. officinarum DNA as a template. The validation showed that the markers could separate S. officinarum (Badila) and S. robustum (NG57-208) clearly by the fragment length difference (Figure 7b), indicating that these dCAPS markers worked.

Table 3. dCAPS makers design based on SNPs between S. robustum and S. officinarum.

| Primer | Forward Reverse | Region | Digestion Enzyme | PCR Product Size (bp)/Target SNP |
|--------|-----------------|--------|------------------|---------------------------------|
|        |                 |        |                  | S. rob | S. off |
| Sscp1d | CGTACTCTTAAATCGGATTGATTTTT | trnG-trnM | TaqI | 226/A | 199/G |
| Sscp2d | CGTACTCTTAAATCGGATTGATTTTT | trnG-trnM | XbaI | 224/A | 197/G |
| Sscp3d | CCGGTTTTAATTTGATTGATTTTT | rbcL-psaI | TaqI | 158/C | 174/G |
| Sscp4d | TCTTCTTTATCTTCGAATTGATTTC | petA-psbJ | TaqI | 216/A | 201/G |

S. rob: S. robustum; S. off: S. officinarum.
**Figure 7.** dCAPS makers design based on SNPs between *S. robustum* and *S. officinarum*. (a) The multiple alignments of the chloroplast genomes by MAFFT, (b) SNP analysis with dCAPS primers, Sscp1 and Sscp2, designed for the SNP site in *trnG-trnM* region, with *TaqI* and *XbaI* restriction sites, respectively. Sscp3 and Sscp4 were designed for SNPs in *petA-psbJ* and *rbcL-psaI* intergenic region, with *TaqI* restriction sites. Abbreviated species names are shown on schematic diagrams, *Ss*, *S. spontaneum*; *Sr*, *S. robustum*; *So*, *S. officinarum*.

The species-specific SNPs between *S. sinense*, *S. barberi*, and other *Saccharum* spp. (including *S. hybrid*, *S. officinarum*, *S. robustum*, and *S. spontaneum*) were used to develop the dCAPS marker (Table 4). Sscp5 designed for SNPs in the *rbcL-psaI* (“A” for *S. sinense* and *S. barberi*, and “G” for other *Saccharum* spp.) intergenic region, with the *TaqI* digestion of the amplicon producing a digested fragment, except in *S. sinense* and *S. barberi*, resulting in a 201 bp fragment size in *S. sinense* and *S. barberi*, and 216 bp in other *Saccharum* species, respectively. The validation results showed that these markers could clearly separate ancient cultivars *S. sinense* and *S. barberi* from modern sugarcane hybrids, *S. officinarum* and *S. robustum*, by the fragment length difference (Figure 8b), indicating that the dCAPS marker worked for the discrimination of *S. sinense/S. barberi* from other *Saccharum* species.

**Figure 8.** The gel electrophoresis results of the amplification of DNA barcodes using designed primers. (a) The multiple alignments of the chloroplast genomes by MAFFT, (b) SNP analysis with dCAPS primers, and Sscp5 designed for the SNP site in *rbcL-psaI* region with *TaqI* restriction sites. Abbreviated species names are shown on schematic diagrams, *Sb*, *S. barberi*; *Ss*, *S. sinense*; *Sh*, *S. hybrid*; *So*, *S. officinarum*; *Sr*, *S. robustum*; *Ss*, *S. spontaneum*. 
Table 4. dCAPS maker design based on SNPs between S. sinense/S. barberi and other Saccharum species.

| Primer | Forward Reverse | Region | Digestion Enzyme | PCR Product Size (bp) | Target SNP |
|--------|----------------|--------|------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Sscpd5 | TCTTCTTATTTCGATTGATTGATC TGCGATTCAATTATGCGTCAT | rbcL-psaI | TaqI | 201 | A 216 | G |

S. sin: S. sinense; S. bar: S. barberi; S. spp.: Saccharum spp. (included Sh, S. hybrid; So, S. officinarum; SR, S. robustum; Ss, S. spontaneum.).

3. Discussion

In this study, we firstly sequenced and assembled cp genomes of S. barberi, S. sinense, and S. robustum. A total of 23 cp genomes of Saccharinae were presented, including 6 Saccharum species and 2 Saccharum-related species, N. porphyrocoma and T. arundinaceum, which enriched and improved the chloroplast database of Saccharinae. The chloroplast sequences of Saccharum species showed high variation in their intergenic regions. Based on sequence polymorphisms of chloroplast genomes assembled in this study, nine InDels markers and five dCAPS markers were successfully developed. Those markers not only enriched the marker for species discrimination in Saccharinae, but also had highly discriminatory power in Saccharum species and Saccharum-relative species.

3.1. Differences in Gene and Structure of Chloroplast Genomes among Saccharinae Species

For the first time, the chloroplast genomes of the representative six species in Saccharum and two relative species T. arundinaceum and N. porphyrocoma have been described, which provides important insights into the characteristics of plastid genomes of the members of Saccharinea. Our results showed that the chloroplast genomes of Saccharum species were extremely similar, and the genome sizes were similar to those of most plant cp genomes reported previously [20,23–26], indicating their high level of conservation, especially in terms of chloroplast genome organization, gene content, and SSRs. The expansion and contraction of IR regions were the main cause of variation in cp genome sizes [27]. We compared the cp IR boundary regions of reported plastomes to those of closely related species and found slight differences between closely related species. The six chloroplast sequences of Saccharum species showed higher variation in non-coding regions than in their coding regions. Some of the mutation hotspot regions, including rps16-trnQ, trnG-trnM, psbM-petN, petN-trnC, ndhC-trnV, petA-psbJ, psbJ-rpl33, ndhF-rpl32, and trnL-ccsA, were detected from the cp genomes, which were potential regions for marker development for species discrimination.

3.2. Plastid View on the Evolutionary Relationships within Saccharinae

Within Saccharum, the lineage of S. spontaneum diverged from the other Saccharum species firstly, and S. officinarum diverged from a common ancestor with S. robustum. S. sinense and S. barberi were clustered as a monophyletic group with the S. officinarum and S. robustum lineage, suggesting that their maternal origins might be the common ancestors. S. sinense and S. barberi were more distant to S. robustum than S. officinarum and modern sugarcane cultivar. As expected, high polymorphisms within species were observed in the S. spontaneum and S. robustum clade, possibly because they were wild species and had widely ecological distributions. Low polymorphisms were observed in branches S. sinense and S. barberi, indicating their limited maternal origins.

The existing consensus within Saccharum was that S. officinarum originated from S. robustum, whereas S. sinense and S. barberi were believed to have arisen by the local/natural hybridization of S. officinarum with S. spontaneum. Recent studies [28] based on homologous haplotypes suggested that S. officinarum was presumed to be derived from S. robustum 0.64 Mya ago, and S. officinarum and S. robustum were derived from interspec-
specific hybridization between two unknown ancestors [19]. The phylogram presented in the current study confirmed that *S. officinarum* shares a common ancestor with *S. robustum*, but the true natural evolutionary processes are still unclear. Our results demonstrated that *S. sinense* and *S. barberi* might be from a single-origin natural hybridization and might have arisen earlier than previously inferred (4000 years BP) [19]. However, more evidence is required to corroborate this conclusion. In addition, in the case of some closely related taxa, the use of cp genomes may fail to distinguish these taxa at the intrageneric level [11,12].

Within the ‘Saccharum complex’, *T. arundinaceum* and *N. porphyrocoma* were considered as excellent gene resources for sugarcane variety improvement. Many closely related species have been employed within sugarcane breeding programs, but the information on the phylogeny of the core ‘Saccharum complex’ is still limited, especially species such as *Erianthus rockii* Keng, a close relative that is used in the introgressing process with sugarcane. Previous studies had suggested that *Tripidium* and *Saccharum* were divergent eight million years ago, last sharing a common ancestor twelve million years ago [21]. However, the phylogram in this study showed that *T. arundinaceum* was sister to *E. aurea*, which was closely related to Miscanthus. The distant plastid phylogenetic relationship between sugarcane and *Tripidium* suggested that these taxa may be less cross-compatible with sugarcane than *Erianthus* and Miscanthus, the same as a previous study [25], and were therefore not ideal for crosses with sugarcane. Recently, a comparison of chloroplast genomes and ITS phylogenies revealed that *Erianthus* is monophyletic with *Narenga* and *Saccharum* [23], but the phylogram in this study revealed that *T. arundinaceum* (once named *Saccharum arundinaceum* [29] or *Erianthus arundinaceum* [22]) is clustered into the lineage of *Tripidium*. Therefore, these accessions should be classified as genus ‘*Tripidium’* instead of its traditional genus ‘*Saccharum’* or ‘*Erianthus’*. The phylogenomic analysis had a good boostraps branch that supported that *N. porphyrocoma* typically emerged as sister to *Saccharum*, demonstrating that *Narenga* was more closely related to *Saccharum* than *Tripidium*.

### 3.3. Development of Markers at the Inter- and Intra-Species Level for Saccharum

The cytoplasm plays a significant role in the inheritance and realization of agronomically important traits. To date, many examples of the influence of the cytoplasmic background on numerous plant traits, including those of great biological and economic importance, have been documented [30]. The identification of correct parental germplasm and verifying the cytoplasm origin of their progeny are crucial for sugarcane breeding. The matrilineal inheritance of chloroplasts makes it possible to use their SNPs for the identification of maternal parents [31].

Previously, researchers attempted to utilize cytogenetic tools such as GISH [32] or the detection of the progeny of hybrid populations from intra- or inter-species cross [33–35], and the identification of *Saccharum* germplasm materials. However, GISH is still very expensive, laborious, and time-consuming. Instead, species-specific molecular markers are favored to be utilized. At the beginning, instance ribosomal restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) markers were used to distinguish *S. sinense* and *S. barberi* accessions from other *Saccharum* species, but their detectable variations were limited within species [36]. Then, PCR-based markers, such as the random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers and simple sequence repeats (SSR) or microsatellite markers, were applied. RAPD markers were used to identify “*Saccharum officinarum* × *Erianthus fulvus*” true F$_1$ hybrids [37], but they proved to be less reproducibility across laboratories. The SSR marker is considered to be one of the most efficient markers, and has been utilized greatly to identify true hybrids of sugarcane [38–43]. Nevertheless, SSRs have some disadvantages over SNPs/InDels-based markers, such as a low mutation rate.

The large and polyploid genome of sugarcane is a challenge for markers development, but the cp genome provides a useful target for developing DNA markers to verify species. For the development of DNA markers using sequence variations between species with similar genetic backgrounds, it would be efficient to use SNPs and InDels. dCAPS markers
generated from SNPs have been proven to have important applications in the analyses of genetic polymorphism and phylogeny in closely related species [31,44]. To date, no SNPs or InDels markers for species discrimination have been developed in the sugarcane cp genome. In this study, we successfully developed 14 valuable and unique polymorphic markers to distinguish *Saccharum* and related species. Most of these markers were derived from the intergenic regions of cp genomes and showed high interspecific polymorphisms. At the level of genus species differentiation, eight InDels-based markers could discriminate *T. arundinaceum* from *Saccharum* species. At the level of species differentiation within the genus *Saccharum*, two InDel markers could discriminate *S. officinarum* from *S. spontaneum*, four SNP-based dCAPS markers could discriminate *S. officinarum* from *S. robustum*, and one dCAPS marker could differentiate *S. barberi* and *S. sinense* from other *Saccharum* species. Those markers were fragment-length-difference-based (InDels) or SNP-transformed dCAPs, which are easy and cheap to run for sample discrimination. In addition, these markers have a good repeatability, and it is easy to transfer them between sugarcane populations or laboratories. It is possible to utilize these markers for germplasm evaluation and species discrimination in *Saccharum* and relative species.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. DNA Extraction, Library Construction, and Sequencing

Fresh leaves of 19 samples were collected from Guangxi University (Nanning, Guangxi, China) (108°19’ E, 22°51’ N, 530,023) (Table S1) and stored in liquid nitrogen. After the DNA extraction from fresh leaf tissues, its quantification was validated using Agarose gel electrophoresis and Nanodrop for construction of DNA library, and it was sequenced by Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencing platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Approximately 10 Gb of 150 bp paired-end reads were generated for each accession.

4.2. Plastome Assemblies and Annotation

Fastp (v0.21.0) [45] was used for quality control, adapter trimming, quality filtering, and per-read quality pruning. The trimmed reads were subjected to GetOrganelle (v1.7.5) [46] to generate plastome assemblies as suggested for Embryophyta plant plastome sequences (-R 10; -F embplant_pt). The SPAdes [47] kmer settings were set to -k 21, 45, 65, 85, or 105. The contig coverage information and other graph characteristics were used by GetOrganelle to construct the final assembly graphs, which were plotted and visually assessed using Bandage (v0.8.1) [48]. The completed chloroplast assembly was re-aligned to *S. officinarum* cultivar badila (GenBank: MG685915.1) to confirm the quadripartite structures, including LSC, SSC, and two IRs.

Genome annotation and visualization were performed using web server CPGA V AS2 [49], CDS, and rRNA annotation based on BLAST search, while tRNAscan-SE v2.0.2 [50] was used to find and annotate tRNA genes. Then, the genomes were manually corrected using the Apollo [51]. Lastly, Chloroplot [52] was used to generate a corrected cp circular map.

4.3. Phylogenetic Analyses

For phylogenetics analysis for Saccharinae species, 14 published plastome sequences were downloaded. *Sorghum bicolor* (NC_008602.1) was used as outgroup. Fasta files with the nucleotide sequences for all 74 PCGs that they were shared from were extracted from the GenBank files using PhyloSuite (v1.2.2) [53]. All of the genes were aligned in batches with MAFFT (v7.313) [54] integrated into PhyloSuite using normal-alignment mode. The best partitioning scheme and evolutionary models for 74 pre-defined partitions were selected using PartitionFinder2 [55], with greedy algorithm and AICc criterion, which were divided into 27 subsets. PhyloSuite was then used to concatenate these alignments into a single alignment and generate phylip and nexus format files for the phylogenetic analysis. The selection of the best-fit partition strategy and models was carried out using PartitionFinder2. Maximum likelihood phylogenies were inferred using IQ-TREE [56] under Edge-unlinked partition model for 50,000 ultrafast [57] bootstraps, as well as the
Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like approximate likelihood ratio test [58]. Images were drawn with iTOL [59] and Adobe Illustrator.

4.4. Plastome Polymorphism

To explore the sequence divergence and hotspot regions, DnaSP (v6.0) [60] was used for sliding window analysis for computing nucleotide diversity (π) among the chloroplast genome sequences, with 500 bp windows size and 250 bp step size.

For variation analysis, clean reads were aligned to *S. officinarum* cultivar badila (GenBank: MG685915.1) cp genome v3.0 using BWA-mem [61] with default settings. For variant calling, we used the GATK HaplotypeCaller v.4.1.8.1 [62] software with the ‘-ploidy 1’ parameter to compare SNPs and small indels from BAM files. We filtered out low-quality variants (“QD < 2.0 || MQ < 40.0 || FS > 60.0 || SOR > 3.0 || MQRankSum < −12.5 || ReadPosRankSum < −8.0”) in GATK.

The microsatellites were determined by perl script MIcroSAtellite (MISA) [63] with search parameters: 1–10; 2–5; 3–5; 4–4; 5–3; 6–3, where 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 indicate the mono-di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexa-nucleotide repeats.

4.5. Comparative Genome Alignment

Aligned sequences and annotations for assembled chloroplast genomes were used to construct sequence conservation plots in the program mVISTA [64] and were compared using mVISTA software in Shuffle-LAGAN mode with the *S. bicolor* as a reference. IRscope [65] was used to detect IR border expansion and contraction.

4.6. Development and Validation of InDel and dCAPS Markers

To discriminate among the Sacchariane species, specific primers were designed using Primer 3 based on the mutational hotspot regions found in *Saccharum* and *Saccharum*-related chloroplast genomes. The specific primers for InDel regions and dCAPS primers for SNP sites were designed using the Primer 3 program (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/, accessed on 15 January 2022) and dCAPS Finder 2.0 (http://helix.wustl.edu/dcaps/dcaps.html, accessed on 15 January 2022), respectively.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in a 20 μL reaction mixture containing 10 μL of 10× reaction buffer, 5 pmol of each primer, 1.25 units of Taq DNA polymerase, and 20 ng of DNA template. The PCR reaction was performed in thermocyclers using the following cycling parameters: 94 °C (5 min); 35 cycles of 94 °C (30 s), 56–62 °C (30 s); 72 °C (30 s), then 72 °C (7 min). The PCR products of each dCAPS marker were treated with a restriction enzyme at 37 °C (XbaI) or 65 °C (TaqI) for 1 h. PCR products were visualized on agarose gels (2.0–3.0%) containing safe gel stain.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we sequenced 19 accessions of Saccharinae species, and a total of 23 chloroplast genomes were assembled, including 6 species of *Saccharum* (among them, *S. robustum*, *S. sinense*, and *S. barberi* firstly reported in this study) and 2 sugarcane relative species, *Tripidium* arundinaceum and *Narenga porphyrocoma*. Our results enriched, updated, and improved the database genomics of Saccharinae, further confirming the phylogeny of Saccharinae based on available cp genomes. These results can be used to elucidate evolutionary history, such as the origin of Saccharinea, allowing for a deeper understanding of their parental lineages.

In addition, we developed 14 robust molecular markers, including 9 InDels markers for the discrimination of *S. spontaneum*, *S. officinarum*, *T. arundinaceum*, 5 dCAPS markers for *S. officinarum* and *S. robustum*, and 1 dCAPS marker for differentiated *S. sinense* and *S. barberi* from other *Saccharum* species. These markers were demonstrated to have a high discriminatory power in the *Saccharum* genus. Taken together, the results obtained from our study will contribute to the understanding of Saccharinea classification and plastome evolution at inter- and intra-species levels of *Saccharum*. Molecular markers developed in
this study were inexpensive and efficient, and, thus, were possible to utilize for germplasm evaluation and species discrimination in Saccharum and relative species.
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