(Fe,Ni)$_2$P allabogdanite can be an ambient pressure phase in iron meteorites
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An orthorhombic modification of (Fe,Ni)$_2$P, allabogdanite, found in iron meteorites was considered to be thermodynamically stable at pressures above 8 GPa and temperatures of 1673 K according to the results of recent static high-pressure and high-temperature experiments. A hexagonal polymorphic modification of (Fe,Ni)$_2$P, barringerite, was considered to be stable at ambient conditions. Experimental investigation through the solid-state synthesis supported by ab initio calculations was carried out to clarify the stability fields of (Fe,Ni)$_2$P polymorphs. Both experimental and theoretical studies show that Fe$_2$P-allabogdanite is a low-temperature phase stable at ambient conditions up to a temperature of at least 773 K and, therefore, is not necessarily associated with high pressures. This is consistent with the textural relationships of allabogdanite in iron meteorites.

Fe$_2$P plays a substantial role in the mineralogy of iron meteorites. Two polymorphic modifications of Fe$_2$P—Ni$_2$P solid-solution currently known are hexagonal barringerite (C22)$_1^1$ and orthorhombic allabogdanite (C23)$_3^1$. Barringerite was discovered in the Ollague pallasite found in Bolivia$^1$. The composition determined by electron probe microanalysis was (Fe$_{0.58}$Ni$_{0.42}$Co$_{0.003}$)$_{1.95}$P. It is isostuctural with the hexagonal modification of Fe$_2$P with lattice parameters $a = 5.87(7)$ Å and $c = 3.44(4)$ Å. In a short time after its discovery, it was shown that the Ollague meteorite is a fragment of a very large, up to several hundred kilograms, Imilac pallasite, the numerous fragments of which were found in the province of Antofagasta, Chile. It turned out that the Ollague meteorite was artificially reheated, which could cause high-temperature changes in the chemical and mineral compositions$^5$. It is noteworthy that barringerite has never been observed in other fragments of the Imilac meteorite or other investigated pallasites. Some barringerite findings are associated with placers$^6$, but their sources are probably cosmogenic$^2$.

Detailed mineralogical and crystal-chemical characterization of terrestrial barringerite from pyrometamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim formation, Israel is reported by Britvin et al.$^2$. The mineral occurs in associations of the so-called «paralavas» — initially silicate-carbonate sedimentary rocks that remelted during pyrometamorphic processes at about 1300 K, but under low pressure. Barringerite from the Hatrurim formation is almost pure Fe$_2$P, the exact composition is (Fe$_{1.95}$Ni$_{0.05}$Co$_{0.02}$)$_{2.00}$P. The unit cell parameters determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction are $a = 5.867(1)$ Å and $c = 3.464(1)$ Å with $Z = 3$.

The orthorhombic modification of Fe$_2$P—Ni$_2$P solid-solution, allabogdanite was first detected in anomalous Onello high-Ni ataxite found in 1997 in the alluvium of the Onello River, Yakutia, Russia$^3$. Earlier this mineral in the Onello ataxite was considered barringerite$^7–9$. The unit cell parameters of allabogdanite refined from single-crystal data are $a = 5.792(7)$ Å, $b = 3.564(4)$ Å, and $c = 6.691(8)$ Å with $Z = 4$ and chemical composition of (Fe$_{1.59}$Ni$_{0.40}$Co$_{0.03}$)$_{2.03}$P$_{0.96}$. A comprehensive investigation of mineralogy and trace element composition of the Onello meteorite has been carried out by Litasov et al.$^{10}$. They argue that the morphology of the allabogdanite crystals and surrounding phases indicates equilibrium relationships (Fig. 1), though the Fe-Ni-P phase diagram has an intermediate Fe$_2$P compound. Besides, the samples do not reveal any signatures of high-pressure modifications in the form of planar deformations, mosaicism or mylonitization.

Recently allabogdanite was identified by the electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) in other anomalous nickeliferous ataxites, Santa Catharina found in 1875 in Brazil, and Barbianello found in 1961 in Italy$^4$. Similar
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μm was found in the Barbianello meteorite. Only one allabogdanite crystal with a size of 7 μm was found in the Barbianello meteorite.

 dynamical unstable at 0 K and ambi-

tual crystal structure is restricted to the relatively narrow compositional range x reported unit cell parameters for this allabogdanite are a = 5.7332(7) Å, b = 3.5413(6) Å, and c = 6.6682(10) Å. Only one allabogdanite crystal with a size of 7 μm was found in the Barbianello meteorite.

In addition, Fe2P is of significant importance for practical applications. It is characterized by large uniaxial magnetic anisotropy, unique magnetocaloric and magnetoelastic properties. Therefore, intensive investigations were performed both at ambient and high pressures. The crystal structure of hexagonal modification of Fe2P (P6 2m, C22-type) is described in many works. The existence of orthorhombic Fe2P (Pnma, C23-type) was first reported in Senator et al., who synthesized this modification at 8 GPa and 1073 K. Then these data were confirmed using in situ X-ray diffraction, where phase transition was established at 8 GPa and 1673 K.

Based on the experimental results, it was concluded that allabogdanite could serve as a stishovite-grade indicator of shock metamorphism in iron meteorites. To date, there are two other cases of mineralogical evidence for shock effects in iron meteorites, including (a) stishovite in IVA iron meteorite Muonionalusta and (b) high-pressure modification of apatite - tuite in IIE iron meteorite Elga. In both cases, high-pressure minerals are related to shock melt veins and deformation microstructures, which is in contrast with allabogdanite appearance.

The Curie temperature of Fe2P is 217 K. Therefore, numerous studies were devoted to increasing the Curie temperature of Fe2P without the reduction of the magnetic anisotropy. One of the ways is the substitution of Fe by other transition metals. In (Co2-Ni2)2P solid-solution, where Co2P is orthorhombic (Pnma, C23-type), hexagonal crystal structure is restricted to the relatively narrow compositional range x > 0.84. Ellner and Mittemeijer discovered a high-temperature hexagonal modification of Co2P (P6 2m, C22-type) which is stable in the temperature range from 1428 to 1659 K. The high-temperature modification of Co2P can be quenched to room temperature. In (Co1-x,Ni2)2P the orthorhombic structure is stable at x < 0.15. Jernberg et al. reported hexagonal to orthorhombic transformation in partially Si-substituted Fe2P1-xSi phase. At room temperature, it takes place at x ≈ 0.1.

Here we would like to point out some controversial and ambiguous observations that prompted us to carry out present research. Firstly, ab initio calculations showed that Fe2P-allabogdanite is energetically favorable compared to Fe2P-barringerite at ambient conditions, which contradicts the experimental evidence for barringerite as an ambient pressure phase. Bhat et al. took into account the spin fluctuations to obtain preferable energetic stability of barringerite at ambient conditions, which, according to the authors, is an "exceptional case" in ab initio calculations. Secondly, C23 Co2P-allabogdanite modification is thermodynamically stable at ambient conditions. In this paper, we report the results of ab initio calculations and experimental investigation of the stability fields of polymorphic modifications of (Fe,Ni)2P, which show that allabogdanite is thermodynamically stable at ambient PT-conditions.

**Results**

**Ab initio calculations.** Both Fe2P modifications, barringerite and allabogdanite, are dynamically stable at 0.1 MPa. The calculated Gibbs energy difference between allabogdanite and barringerite at ambient pressure and 298 K is −2.19 kJ/mol (−22.6 meV/atom), whereas at 600 K it is −0.69 kJ/mol (−7.2 meV/atom) with calculation accuracy of ±0.96 kJ/mol (Fig. 2). Between 600 and 900 K, barringerite becomes a stable phase at 0.1 MPa. Our Gibbs free energy calculations for Co2P show that the C22 modification is dynamically unstable at 0 K and ambient pressure. Static calculations show that the C23 Co2P-phase has a lower enthalpy than the C22 phase (Fig. S1). Thus, for Co2P there is only one stable modification at atmospheric pressure, which has C23 allabogdanite crystal structure.

Figure 1. Back-scattered electron (BSE) images of the Onello iron meteorite: (a) Allabogdanite (Abg), schreibersite (Sch), and trevorite-magnetite oxide (Ox) phases in taenite (Tae) matrix; (b) enlarged area with allabogdanite crystal.
Fe₂P-allabogdanite has a smaller unit cell volume of 33.50 Å³/f.u. than Fe₂P-barringerite (33.91 Å³/f.u.) at normal conditions. Similar relations are observed at high pressures up to 20 GPa (Fig. 3). In contrast, Dera et al. noticed that Fe₂P-allabogdanite has marginally larger unit cell volume than Fe₂P-barringerite (corresponding data are shown in Fig. 3), which may imply the stability of the latter at ambient conditions. The bulk moduli of the C22 and C23 phases, determined using 3rd order Birch Murnaghan EOS are 199(9) GPa (with \( K' = 1.4 \)) and 142(2) GPa (with \( K' = 5.5 \)), respectively. The constructed PT-diagram for Fe₂P, based on the calculation of Gibbs free energy, shows that at ambient conditions Fe₂P is stable in the form of allabogdanite with the transition to barringerite at ~750 K. With increasing pressure, the stability field of allabogdanite expands, and at a pressure of 25 GPa, the phase transition occurs at ~2500 K (Fig. 4).

Experimental study of the stability fields of (Fe,Ni)₂P modifications. We performed the annealing of Fe₂P-barringerite reagent, Fe-P, and Fe-Ni-P mixtures at 673–773 K to confirm the stability of allabogdanite at atmospheric pressure. The experimental conditions, reaction products and their compositions are summarized in Table 1.

After annealing at 673 K for 30 days, the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the Fe₂P reagent corresponds to barringerite modification. However, additional peaks of another phase, FeP, appeared (Fig. 5b). Recently, a natural analog of FeP phase, muraskoite, has been described in pyrometamorphic rocks of the Hatrurim Formation. Using the scanning electron microscopy, we have determined Fe₂P with a minor amount of Fe₃P-schreibersite (Fig. 6a). The compositions of the phases are as follows: barringerite Fe₂.03(2)P, muraskoite Fe₁.10(1)P, and schreibersite Fe₃.03(6)P (Table 1). The appearance of Fe₃P and FeP may be explained by minor heterogeneity of the starting Fe₂P powder or reaction of Fe₂P with the P-bearing vapor along grain boundaries during synthesis.

The XRD pattern of the stoichiometric mixture of Fe and red P, corresponding to Fe₂P, clearly reveals the presence of two phases, Fe₂P-allabogdanite and α-iron (Im3m) (Fig. 5c). BSE images and elemental mapping (Fig. 6b,c) show the unreacted areas of iron grains surrounded by allabogdanite rims. The determined composition of allabogdanite is uniform, Fe₂.004(4)P. We used whole-profile fitting to simultaneously fit both phases using...
the Le Bail method through the MAUD software\(^3\), \(R_b = 10.267\%\) (Fig. 7). Refined allabogdanite unit cell parameters are \(a = 5.791\ \text{Å}, b = 3.552\ \text{Å},\) and \(c = 6.656\ \text{Å}\) with the unit cell volume of 136.92 Å\(^3\) (Table 2).

The most important results have been obtained for the stoichiometric mixture of Fe\(_{1.5}\)Ni\(_{0.5}\)P composition (see Table 1), which is close to that of the allabogdanite phase described in iron meteorites\(^3,10\). In this case, X-ray diffraction peaks of both allabogdanite and barringerite as well as unreacted Fe are observed (Fig. 5d).

The composition of the phosphide varies over a broad range of concentrations, from 6 to 80 wt. % Ni, as illustrated by elemental mapping (Fig. 8a,b). The Ni-enriched areas correspond to the barringerite modification, while Fe-enriched areas, adjacent to unreacted iron grains, to the allabogdanite modification (Fig. 8b). This was confirmed by Raman spectroscopic measurements of Ni-poor and Ni-rich areas of \((\text{Fe,Ni})_2\text{P}\). The Ni-rich areas correspond to the Raman spectra of barringerite, whereas Fe-rich areas correspond to the spectra of \(\text{Fe}_2\text{P}\) allabogdanite (Fig. 9). The reference Raman spectra were obtained for \(\text{Fe}_2\text{P}\) allabogdanite and barringerite confirmed by XRD measurements (Fig. 9). The Raman spectra of both allabogdanite and barringerite consist of very weak lattice LO-TO vibrations at 179–376 cm\(^{-1}\) and broad presumably higher-order modes at 664 (Abg) and 807 (Brg) cm\(^{-1}\). The band positions are different from those for Fe-O and P-O vibrations in related oxide and phosphate compounds\(^3,34\), indicating that the modification of sample under laser beam was minimized and Raman bands correspond to the \(\text{Fe}_2\text{P}\) compounds.

We also performed EBSD measurements to clarify the compositions of \((\text{Fe,Ni})_2\text{P}\) polymorphs formed at 673 K and 773 K. In both samples, EBSD from iron grains was observed with a mean angular deviation (MAD) of 0.07–0.1° (Fig. S3). Only a few points were found for barringerite structure with a MAD of about 0.6° (Fig. S3), whereas no acceptable points were found for the allabogdanite structure. This may be because the size of the individual allabogdanite crystals is less than 1–2 microns, as well as with the inability to polish the spongy grain surface.

The determined average composition for the solid solution with allabogdanite structure at 673 K is \(\text{Fe}_{1.6(2)}\text{Ni}_{0.3(2)}\text{P}\) (Ni concentration varies from 6 to 28 wt.%), whereas for barringerite structure is \(\text{Fe}_{0.7(3)}\text{Ni}_{1.4(4)}\text{P}\) (Ni concentration varies from 34 to 80 wt.%). The formation of the \((\text{Fe,Ni})_2\text{P}\) solutions with both allabogdanite and barringerite structures can be accounted for by their different Ni/Fe concentrations. An increase in the Ni content in \((\text{Fe,Ni})_2\text{P}\) solution stabilizes the barringerite modification, as Ni\(_2\text{P}\) crystallizes only in barringerite.

**Figure 4.** Calculated PT-diagram for \(\text{Fe}_2\text{P}\) allabogdanite (Abg) and barringerite (Brg). Black line shows the phase transition boundary based on data from ab initio calculations.

**Table 1.** Initial compositions of the samples and the results of their characterization by XRD and SEM/EDX analysis after annealing in evacuated quartz ampoules.
Figure 5. X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the samples: (a) reagent Fe$_2$P before experiment; (b) reagent Fe$_2$P, (c) mixture of Fe and red P, corresponding to Fe$_3$P stoichiometry, and (d) mixture of Fe, Ni and red P, corresponding to Fe$_{1.5}$Ni$_{0.5}$P stoichiometry, after sequential annealing at 673 K for 30 days (b,c) and 45 days (d) in sealed quartz ampoules.

Figure 6. BSE image of Fe$_2$P reagent (a); and stoichiometric Fe$_3$P mixture of Fe and red P (b) after sequential annealing at 673 K for 30 days in sealed quartz ampoule. (c) elemental mapping of the area shown in (b). Brg – barringerite, Abg – allabogdanite. Black – pore spaces.
structure\(^{35}\). It should be noted that for (Ni,Co)\(_2\)P solution the C\(_{23}/C_{22}\) phase transition occurs at about 15 mol.\% Ni\(^{26}\) (Fig. S2). The equilibrium state was not achieved in our experiments. However, the results unequivocally confirm the formation of allabogdanite modification of (Fe,Ni)\(_2\)P at atmospheric pressure.

In general, the results obtained after annealing of samples at 773 K are very similar to those annealed at 673 K. The annealed Fe\(_2\)P reagent contains Fe\(_2\)P-barringerite and FeP (Fig. 10a). The results of the whole-profile fitting of the X-ray profiles of samples annealed at 773 K obtained are summarized in Table 2. For comparison, we included the powder cell data on Fe\(_{1.5}\)Ni\(_{0.5}\)P from the Onello meteorite\(^{3}\) in Table 2. The determined unit cell volumes for (Fe,Ni)\(_2\)P allabogdanite formed in our experiments at 673 K and 773 K are 135.1(6) Å\(^3\) and 135.0(7) Å\(^3\), respectively, which is close to that determined for (Fe\(_{1.5}\)Ni\(_{0.5}\)Co\(_{0.03}\))\(_2.04\)P\(_{0.96}\) from the Onello meteorite\(^{3}\), 135.8(1) Å\(^3\). Minor schreibersite was also identified by scanning electron microscopy. Phase compositions are as follows: barringerite Fe\(_{1.98(2)}\)P, murashkoite Fe\(_{1.12(8)}\)P, and schreibersite Fe\(_{3.03(6)}\)P.

Annealing of the stoichiometric Fe-P mixture leads to the formation of allabogdanite and unreacted Fe metal (Fig. 10b). Thus, it can be concluded that at a temperature of 773 K allabogdanite is still a stable modification of Fe\(_2\)P. Allabogdanite composition is uniform and close to that determined at 673 K: Fe\(_{1.97(4)}\)P. In the annealed Fe\(_{1.5}\)Ni\(_{0.5}\)P composition, allabogdanite, barringerite, and unreacted Fe are observed (Fig. 10c). Corresponding BSE image and elemental mapping are shown in Fig. 8c,d. The same broad range of solid solution compositions was found. The phases were also identified by Raman spectroscopy and EBSD, which gave similar results with the run at 673 K (Figs. 9 and S3). The determined average composition for the solid solution adjacent to unreacted iron grains with allabogdanite structure is Fe\(_{1.6(2)}\)Ni\(_{0.3(2)}\)P (Ni concentration varies from 6 to 24 wt.%), whereas that for Ni-enriched areas with barringerite structure is Fe\(_{0.4(3)}\)Ni\(_{1.6(4)}\)P (Ni concentration varies from 49 to 81 wt.%). The compositions of the phases are summarized in Table 1.

### Discussion
Several experimental works on the Fe\(_2\)P phase diagram are known. Senateur et al.\(^{18}\) reported C\(_{22}/C\(_{23}\) phase transition at 8 GPa and 1073 K. The indicated volume for C\(_{23}\) modification is smaller than that for C\(_{22}\) (34.24 Å\(^3\)/f.u. and 34.36 Å\(^3\)/f.u., respectively). The determined parameters of the phase transition and relationship between the unit cell volumes are in agreement with the results of our ab initio calculations (Fig. 3).

To specify the stability fields of Fe\(_2\)P polymorphs Dera et al.\(^{19}\) performed in situ synchrotron X-ray diffraction experiments at high pressures and temperatures. Polycrystalline sample of Fe\(_2\)P, obtained through
high-temperature synthesis (the temperature of the synthesis was not indicated), was compressed in a diamond anvil cell (DAC) with laser heating to about 1400 K at each pressure step with 1 GPa interval. The authors revealed the existence of Fe$_2$P barringerite at pressures from 0 to 7 GPa and Fe$_2$P-allabogdanite at pressures from 8 GPa to 40 GPa and temperature of 1400 K. It was shown that allabogdanite can be recovered to ambient conditions and then, when reheated to 1400 K, transforms back to barringerite. These data provided a significant constraint on the PT-history of iron meteorites, which assumes: (a) the formation of allabogdanite at pressures above 8 GPa and

Figure 8. BSE image (a,c) and elemental maps (b,d) of the stoichiometric mixture of Fe, Ni and red P, corresponding to Fe$_{1.5}$Ni$_{0.5}$P, after sequential annealing at 673 K and 45 days (a,b) and 773 K and 15 days (c,d) in sealed quartz ampoule. Brg – barringerite, Abg – allabogdanite. Black – pore spaces.

Figure 9. Raman spectra of allabogdanite (Abg) and barringerite (Brg). See Table 1 for sample description.
high temperature without subsequent heating above 1400 K at lower pressures, or (b) formation of barringerite and its transformation to allabogdanite during shock metamorphism to pressures above 8 GPa and temperatures above 1400 K. The authors note that the ambient pressure density of allabogdanite is marginally lower than that of barringerite, which is inconsistent with our data in Table 2.

Gu et al.\textsuperscript{23} performed a study of Fe\textsubscript{2}P using Mossbauer spectroscopy in laser-heated DAC. Initial Fe\textsubscript{2}P-barringerite phase was synthesized at 1273 K for 3 hours in quartz tube from stoichiometric amounts of Fe and red P. Allabogdanite phase was observed at 14.5 GPa and 1800 K. Minin et al.\textsuperscript{36} investigated the Fe-Fe\textsubscript{2}P phase diagram at 6 GPa and showed that barringerite phase is stable from 1173 K to a congruent melting temperature of 1848 K. All experimental data at high pressures are broadly consistent with PT-parameters of allabogdanite to barringerite transition determined by our \textit{ab initio} calculations (Fig. 4) indicating accuracy of DFT method applied.

We think that there are two main reasons why barringerite is erroneously considered to be a thermodynamically stable modification at ambient conditions. Firstly, this is because Fe\textsubscript{2}P-barringerite reagent is typically synthesized at temperatures above 1223 K, which are higher than the C23 to C22 phase transition temperature. Secondly, because barringerite is extremely persistent as a metastable modification at ambient pressure. There are two non-equivalent Fe sites in the crystal structure of both polymorphs, Fe I and Fe II. According to Mössbauer spectroscopic measurements, during the phase transition, a fall of quadrupole splitting in the Fe II site takes place, while other hyperfine parameters change insignificantly\textsuperscript{23}. This fact indicates that the Fe II site may be a phase transition trigger. During the phase transition, half of the P atoms displace along the \(c\)-hexagonal axis by ~1.7 Å, preserving the topology of the Fe lattice\textsuperscript{19}. While the total energy of the resulting crystal structures at ambient conditions is nearly equal (see Fig. 2), breaking the three Fe-P bonds, which is necessary for the mobilization of P atoms, most likely requires significant kinetic energy to surmount activation barrier. This is a reason for enhanced Fe\textsubscript{2}P-barringerite reagent stability at annealing at 673 for 30 days and 773 K for 15 days and ambient pressure without phase transition to a thermodynamically stable at these conditions allabogdanite modification.

Based on the results of several experimental investigations (e.g., ref.\textsuperscript{27}, where it is stated that C22-structured Fe\textsubscript{2}P is stable down to 10 K), barringerite has been considered a thermodynamically stable modification at ambient conditions. Most likely, this was the reason why in carrying out \textit{ab initio} calculations it was barringerite that the authors attempted to stabilize. In Zhao et al.\textsuperscript{37}, the stabilization of C22-barringerite phase at ambient conditions was reached with the use of the Hubbard parameter (DFT + U approach). However, the authors did not provide the details of their calculations. DFT calculations carried out by Bhat et al.\textsuperscript{29} showed that C22/C23 phase
transition occurred at about 300 K indicating that C23-allabogdanite can be stable at ambient conditions. The use of DFT + U approach also led to the stability of allabogdanite. Finally, considering the energy contribution of zero-point spin fluctuations (ZPSF) Fe,P-barringerite phase became energetically favorable, which, according to the authors, represents the exceptionally rare case of bulk material stabilization. Our DFT calculations have shown that the allabogdanite phase is stable at ambient conditions without ZPSF energy contribution. Zero-point spin fluctuations play an important role at temperatures close to 0 K and are significant, for example, for superconductors. Typically, at finite temperature, the contribution of ZPSF to the total energy is negligible in comparison with the contribution of thermal vibrations.

In this study, we suggest that (Fe,Ni),P allabogdanite may be an ambient pressure phase and should not be considered as an indicator of high-pressure transformations by impact processes in iron meteorites. The observed morphology of allabogdanite grains indicates equilibrium-like relations with (Fe,Ni)-metal (Fig. 1), which is consistent with the formation at low pressures. However, the details of allabogdanite origin remain poorly constrained, because it appears in direct contact with (Fe,Ni)-metal. At the same time, there is an intermediate schreibersite-structured (Fe,Ni),P phase in the Fe-P and Ni-P phase diagrams at ambient and at high pressures. The ternary Fe-Ni-P diagram has not yet been studied in the phosphorus enriched region. The Ni-enrichment of iron meteorites may be responsible for the formation of (Fe,Ni),P – (Fe,Ni)-metal equilibrium as allabogdanite appears in Ni-rich ataxites only. There are two alternative possibilities. First, the appearance of allabogdanite may be related to the local disequilibrium and fast entrapment of (Fe,Ni),P barringerite crystals by solidifying Fe-Ni metal with subsequent very slow cooling, which allows barringerite-allabogdanite back transformation. Second, allabogdanite and (Fe,Ni)-metal can be condensed together from the gas phase without subsequent heating (above allabogdanite stability temperatures) and melting.

The results of ab initio calculations and experimental study indicate that allabogdanite is a thermodynamically stable polymorphic modification of (Fe,Ni),P solid solution with Ni concentration of at least 20 wt.% (the value of the Ni-content in meteoritic allabogdanite) at ambient pressure and temperatures below 773 K. The exact temperature of allabogdanite-barringerite phase transition was not determined in present experiments due to the significant sublimation of red phosphorus at temperatures of about 873 K in quartz ampules and the corresponding change in the stoichiometry of the initial mixture. Hence, the temperature of phase transition and its dependence on pressure and nickel concentration is the subject of further research.

Methods
Sample preparation. The samples for investigation were commercially available Fe,P powder (99.9% Alfa Aesar) and stoichiometric mixtures of Fe (99.9%), Ni (99.9%), and red P (99.5%) corresponding to the Fe,P and Fe,Ni,P. The mixtures were ground under ethanol in an agate mortar, pressed into 4-mm diameter pellets with a weight of 50 mg, and sealed into a quartz ampoule under vacuum. The samples in the ampoule were separated by quartz rods.

Experimental conditions. In experiment #1 all samples except Fe,Ni,P mixture were annealed at 623 K for 1 day and at 673 K for 30 days. The Fe,Ni,P mixture was annealed at 623 K for 1 day and at 673 K for 45 days (experiment #2). For experiment #3 the samples were annealed at 623 K, 673 K, and 723 K (all for 1 day), and at 773 K for 15 days (Fig. 11). The residual pressure inside the quartz ampoules was below 133 Pa.

Scanning electron microscopy. Samples after annealing were mounted into epoxy, polished and studied using a MIRA 3 LMU scanning electron microscope (Tescan Orsay Holding) coupled with an INCA energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis system 450 equipped with the liquid nitrogen-free Large area EDS X-Max-80 Silicon Drift Detector (Oxford Instruments Nanoanalysis Ltd) at IGM SB RAS. Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra were collected over a 1–10 μm sample area at 20 kV accelerating voltage and 1.5 nA beam current. Live counting time for X-ray spectra was 30 s. We used the same calibration technique as reported previously.

X-ray powder diffraction. The composition of the samples was characterized by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) using DRON 4 (Russia) with CuKα (1.5418 Å) radiation in the 2θ range of 5–65°, with a step width of 0.05° and 3 s of exposure time per position at IGM SB RAS.
Electron backscatter diffraction. Prior to the EBSD investigation, the samples were additionally polished with acidic nanometer alumina with particles of 50 nm in size. The EBSD data were collected on a Hitachi S-3400 N scanning electron microscope equipped with an Oxford Instruments HKL detector at the Siberian Synchrotron and Terahertz Radiation Centre (SSTRC), INP SB RAS. The measurement parameters include 0.5–1.0° accuracy of sample misorientations, 20 kV accelerating voltage, 8 nA beam current, 15.5 mm working distance, and 70° tilt angle. The Kikuchi pattern of each individual point was automatically indexed by the Oxford data collection software.

Raman spectroscopy. The Raman spectra were recorded on a Horiba Jobin Yvon LabRAM HR800 spectrometer with a 1024-pixel LN/CCD detector using the 532 nm wavelength of an Nd:YAG laser in a backscattering geometry using Olympus BX41 confocal microscope at IGM SB RAS. The spectral resolution of the recorded Stokes side of the Raman spectrum was set to ~2.0 cm⁻¹ at a Raman shift of 1300 cm⁻¹. This resolution was achieved by using one grating with 1800 grooves/mm and equivalent 150-μm slits and pin hole. The microscope with an Olympus 100× objective lens of WD = 0.37 mm with 0.75 numerical aperture for visual spectral range produces a focal spot diameter of ~2 μm. The power of the laser light was set to about 0.5 mW on the sample to avoid the sample heating. The spectroscopic analysis of studied phosphides was very difficult, therefore we used 50 sec duration repeated for 10 times to record one spectrum.

Ab initio calculations. The electronic structure calculations were performed within density functional theory (DFT) implemented in the VASP 5.4 package. The exchange-correlation effects were treated in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PAW) scheme. All calculations were performed taking into account spin-polarization with the following settings: the energy cut-off was set to 600 eV; the Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh was 7 × 7 × 10 for Brg, and 7 × 7 × 6 for Abg; electronic smearing – with Metfessel-Paxton scheme; and σ = 0.05 eV. The temperature effect was considered within the quasi-Harmonic approximation (QHA) using PHONOPY code. In these calculations, the energy cut-off was set to 800 eV.
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