Dissociation and re-association of RNA polymerase with DNA during osmotic stress response in Escherichia coli
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ABSTRACT

The thermodynamic association of RNA polymerase (RNAP) with DNA is sensitive to salt concentration in vitro. Paradoxically, previous studies of changes in osmolarity during steady-state cell growth found no dependence between the association of RNAP to DNA and K⁺ concentration in Escherichia coli. We reevaluated this issue by following the interaction of RNAP and genomic DNA in time-course experiments during the hyper-osmotic response. Our results show that the interaction is temporally controlled by the same physical chemistry principle in the cell as in vitro. RNAP rapidly dissociates from the genome during the initial response when the cytoplasmic K⁺ accumulates transiently, and concurrently the nucleoid becomes hyper-condensed. The freed RNAP re-associates with the genome during a subsequent osmoadaptation phase when organic osmoprotectants accumulate as K⁺ levels decrease. RNAP first surrounds the hyper-condensed nucleoid forming a sphere of RNAP before it progressively moves in to the center of the nucleoid. Our findings reinterpret the dynamic protein–DNA interactions during osmotic stress response. We discuss the implications of the dissociation/association of RNAP for osmotic protection and nucleoid structure.

INTRODUCTION

Transcription by RNA polymerase (RNAP) depends on the interaction of RNAP and DNA. Because the electrostatic component of the binding free energy is of major importance, the thermodynamics of the association of RNAP with DNA (at promoters, non-specific sites and during promoter search along DNA) (1) is extremely sensitive to salt concentration, and RNAP dissociates from the DNA at high salt concentration in vitro (2–5). By analogy with in vitro studies, it would be expected that an increase in cytoplasmic K⁺ concentration induced by high osmolarity in the growth media should significantly perturb the interaction of RNAP with DNA in the living cells. However, studies with Escherichia coli cells grown in media of different osmolarities found that this correlation is missing: the association of RNAP and DNA, as determined indirectly by gene expression from promoters, is insensitive to the changes in cytoplasmic K⁺ concentration (6). This paradox has also been found for the interactions of other nucleic acid-binding proteins and DNA (6,7). To account for the discrepancy between the in vitro and in vivo studies, compensation mechanisms including (i) enhanced macromolecular crowding owing to a decrease of free cytoplasmic water at high osmolarity (8,9), (ii) decreased cytoplasmic putrescine (net charge 2+) that acts competitively with K⁺ (10) and (iii) increased cytoplasmic anion glutamate that stabilizes initiation complexes of promoters (11) have been postulated. A more general macromolecular crowding mechanism that DNA-binding proteins are always bound regardless of changes in environments (12) has also been proposed. However, analysis of the interactions of RNAP and DNA during hyper-osmotic stress response (for simplicity, hereafter called osmotic stress response) has not been determined.

Osmotic response is essential for cell survival in all kingdoms of life (13). In the E. coli model system, osmotic shock such as exposure to high salt induces a stress response, which involves sequential changes in physiology and gene expression (14–20). Plasmolysis occurs immediately after osmotic stress (21) owing to water leaking out of the cell, which results in reducing the effective concentration of cytoplasmic water (22,23) and growth arrest. To prevent dehydration damage and maintain the osmotic or turgor pressure, exogenous K⁺ from the media is rapidly transported into the cell,
resulting in rapid accumulation of cytoplasmic K⁺ within minutes (8,14). The K⁺ uptake is mediated by several transporter systems, most of which are constitutively expressed, but some are induced by changes in turgor pressure (24). To compensate the net charge increase caused by the K⁺ accumulation, glutamate (net charge −1) is either imported from the media or synthesized de novo, and putrescine (net charge +2) is exported from the cell; thus, the net charge of the cytoplasm is preserved (6,10,14,25). The rapid accumulations of cytoplasmic K⁺ and glutamate occur almost simultaneously at the onset of osmotic shock (14); however, the concentration of K⁺ is in substantial excess over glutamate (8). Potassium glutamate inhibits RNA synthesis during the osmotic response (26) and activates osmotic stress response genes (27). Because K⁺ is not a compatible solute (high concentration inhibits cell growth), it provides only temporary protection during the initial osmotic stress response.

The subsequent osmoadaptation phase involves the accumulation of compatible organic osmotic solutes (osmolytes) (28) or long-term osmoprotectants, which are small molecules including proline, glycine betaine and trehalose. They can be transported from the medium or synthesized de novo (13,14,29). New protein synthesis is required for these transport systems during the response (26) and activates osmotic stress response genes (27). Because K⁺ is not a compatible solute, high concentration inhibits cell growth, it provides only temporary protection during the initial osmotic stress response.

A direct measurement of the association of RNAP with the genomic DNA during the dynamic process of osmotic stress response has not been performed in E. coli. Previously, using a fluorescent tagged RNAP, we showed that E. coli RNAP is associated with the bacterial chromosome named nucleoid, and its distribution is sensitive to environmental stimuli (31). Here, we examine the effect of osmotic stress on the distribution of RNAP and determine the interaction of RNAP with the nucleoid in the cell. Our results show that the association of RNAP with the genome is temporally controlled and sensitive to the accumulation of cytoplasmic K⁺ concentration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, bacterial growth and techniques

The strains used in the study are derivatives of MG1655. The CC72 strain containing a chromosomal rpoC-venus gene fusion was constructed with modifications as described (31). Briefly, the kan gene cassette conferring kanamycin resistance from the pKD4 plasmid (32) was PCR amplified using the primers P1F1 (5'ATGCGAATTCGATGACCGCTGTCGGCGGGTT CTGATACGAGCGTACATGAATAATGTAAGCAGGGC GAGGAGCT3') and rpoC-Venus R1 (5'CCCCCCCAT AAAAAACCGGAGCGGGTTTTTACGTTATTTT GCGGATTACATATGAAATCTCCTCTTAG3'). Using this PCR fragment, the chromosomal copy of the rpoC gene was replaced by recombineering (34), and the kanamycin-resistant recombinants were selected. The rpoC-venus <=> kan marker was then introduced into MG1655 by P1 transduction, resulting in the strain CC49 (rpoC-venus <=> kan). Unlike our previous rpoC-gfp construct (31), which renders cells temperature sensitive for growth, the CC49 strain has a wild-type growth phenotype. The kan gene cassette in the CC49 strain was removed by FLP-mediated recombination as described (32), resulting in the strain CC72 (rpoC-venus). These constructions were confirmed by PCR and/or DNA sequencing. The CC241 strain is a derivative of CC72 containing the double mutations ΔproP737 and ΔbetT756::kan. This strain was constructed in multiple steps by two P1 transductions using the corresponding kan-linked mutations from the Keio collection (36) as donors; the kan gene cassette in the first transductant (ΔproP737::kan) was removed by FLP-mediated recombination (32) before the ΔbetT756::kan mutation was moved in by a second P1 transduction.

The bacterial media and techniques are described (37). Unless otherwise noted, cells were grown to early log (or exponential) phase in LB [tryptone 10 g/l, yeast extract 5 g/l, NaCl 5 g/l (~0.09 M)] at 37°C. The CC241 strain cells, defective in most of the K⁺ transporter systems and requiring extra KCl in the media, were grown in LB supplemented with 50 mM KCl. To induce hyper-osmotic response, NaCl was rapidly dissolved into a hyper-osmotic response, NaCl was rapidly dissolved into a rapidly growing culture at OD₆₀₀ ~0.2 to a final concentration of 0.59 M. An osmolarity of about 0.26 Osm/L is calculated from the salt (0.09 M NaCl) in LB, and the value increases to about 1.18 Osm/L with 0.59 M NaCl (8). Samples were taken immediately before the addition of salt (time 0) and at successive time points thereafter. When indicated, the media was supplemented with rifampicin (rif) 100 mg/l. The M63-K (a K⁺-free medium) is a modified M63 medium in which KH₂PO₄ has been replaced by NaH₂PO₄.

Potassium downshift experiment

Cells (OD₆₀₀ ~0.2) grown in LB were pelleted and washed twice with warm (37°C) M63-K (K⁺-free) medium containing 0.2% glucose to eliminate residual K⁺ from the LB, followed by re-suspension in the same volume of the
wash medium (time 0). For the controls, M63 containing 0.2% glucose was used instead of M63-K. The cells were incubated at 37°C, and the osmotic stress response was monitored as described.

Microscopy
The microscopy was done as previously described (38). Briefly, the cells were fixed using formaldehyde (1–3.7% v/v final) owing to the metastable nature of the transcription foci (31) before being mounted on a microscope slide for imaging. An inverted microscope (Zeiss Axio Observer) with a Plan Apochromat 100×/1.4 oil phase objective and a 1.6 Optovar was used for microscopy. Images were captured with a high signal to noise ratio and high resolution EMCCD camera (Hamamatsu). Three images were taken for each sample: phase contrast for cell shape, YFP channel for RNAP-Venus and DAPI channel for DNA. Each experiment was performed at least three times, and in total, >100 cells for each condition were imaged. The cells illustrated are representative of the majority (>90%) of the observed cells unless otherwise noted. Pictures were processed uniformly and false coloured with Adobe Photoshop.

Nucleoid isolation
Immediately after sampling, the cells were fixed for 20 min at room temperature with formaldehyde (1% v/v final). The cells were centrifuged at 5000g for 5 min, resuspended in 50 μl of 10mM Tris (pH 8.0), 10mM EDTA, 20% sucrose, 0.2 μl Ready-lyse lysozyme (Epicerent), and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. The cell lysis was completed by the addition of 50 μl of water. After lysis, a 2 μl aliquot of the lysate containing nucleoids was dropped onto a microscopy slide with 2 μl of 1% warm low melting point agarose + 10 μg/ml Hoescht 33342. The slides were imaged immediately with YFP channel for RNAP-Venus and DAPI channel for DNA.

Determination of the nucleoid-associated proteins during the osmotic stress response
Immediately after sampling, the cells were fixed for 20 min at room temperature with formaldehyde (1% v/v final), then centrifuged at 5000g for 5 min and suspended in 200 μl of 10mM Tris (pH 8.0), 50mM NaCl, 10mM EDTA, 20% sucrose, 0.2 μl Ready-lyse lysozyme (Epicerent), with continued incubation for 30 min at 37°C. The cell lysis was completed by the addition of 200 μl of 200mM Tris (pH 8.0), 600mM NaCl, 4% Triton X-100, 1mM PMSF and incubated 10min at 37°C. Two hundred microlitres of the lysate was removed and kept as the total protein input while the remaining sample was centrifuged for 10 min at 20000g at 4°C. After centrifugation, the pellet mainly contains the nucleoid-associated proteins while the supernatant contains the unbound or soluble proteins. The pellet was resuspended in 200 μl of 105mM Tris (pH 8.0), 325mM NaCl, 5mM EDTA, 10% sucrose, 2% Triton X-100, 0.1 μl Ready-lyse lysozyme (Epicerent) and 0.5mM PMSF. All three fractions, total protein input, pellet and supernatant, were TCA precipitated, and the precipitate was resuspended in 100 μl of 10mM Tris (pH 8), 1mM EDTA, 5% SDS and 20% glycerol. They were incubated for 6 h at 65°C to reverse the crosslinking, followed by the addition of 2,2,2 trifluoroethanol (20% v/v final) to completely solubilize the proteins. The same volumes from each of the three fractions were loaded into a 4–12% acrylamide gel for electrophoresis, and the proteins were identified by Western blot using specific antibodies. E. coli RNAP β′ subunit monoclonal antibody (NT73) was purchased from NeoClone (Madison, WI, USA). The polyclonal antibodies for H-NS were a gift from Dr Darren Sledjeski and for IHF were kindly provided by Dr Steven D. Goodman.

RESULTS
Rational and experimental design
Previously the effects of high osmolarity on the interaction of RNAP with DNA were determined during steady-state growth of E. coli cells in minimal media with different osmolarities. Because osmotic response is a dynamic process involving sequential changes, we decided to follow the interaction of RNAP with the genomic DNA in time-course experiments during the osmotic stress response. To achieve a fast response during optimal growth conditions, nutrient-rich LB was used instead of minimal media to minimize requirements for de novo synthesis of the needed organic osmoprotectants. Another advantage of using fast-growing cells in LB at 37°C is to address whether the response to osmotic stress, which inhibits rRNA synthesis (26), affects the transcription foci (31) or factories (39), in which the majority of the RNAP molecules are concentrated in rRNA transcription (40). Such an optimal growth condition becomes possible with the newly constructed rpoC-venus fusion (CC72).

RNAP rapidly dissociates from the genomic DNA during the initial osmotic stress response while cytoplasmic K+ accumulates
The cells (CC72) were grown in LB at 37°C with a doubling time of 20 min, and the osmotic stress response was induced by the addition of 0.5M NaCl into the culture at OD600 0.2. Figure 1 shows the growth curve and images of cells before and at different time points after the salt addition. At the earliest time point (5 min) after the salt shock, there is a reproducible slight increase in OD600 compared with the untreated cells, which is quickly followed by a decline in OD600 and growth arrest (Figure 1A). After a lag of ~30–45 min, cell growth resumes with a slightly reduced growth rate compared with that of untreated cells, similar to previous reports (26,30). The growth curve reflects the sequential changes in bacterial physiology during the stress response. For example, the increase in OD600 5 min after the salt shock signifies a decrease in cytoplasmic volume caused by water loss (14,30). Plasmolysis is apparent at this early time point as indicated by the cytoplasmic membrane collapse away from the cell wall of many cells. This effect is no longer visible 10 min after the
shock, suggesting that the efficient $K^+$ influx systems lead to rapid accumulation of cytoplasmic $K^+$ and regaining of the lost water (data not shown).

The *rpOC-venus* fusion, which is brighter than *rpOC-gfp*, coupled with a better imaging system, allows us to observe RNAP and obtain images with greater details and sharpness compared with our previous studies. Both the distribution of RNAP and the interaction of RNAP with the genomic DNA change during the osmotic stress response. Before the salt addition, RNAP forms distinct transcription foci for rRNA synthesis in the nucleoid, which is a signature of the cells growing in optimal conditions, and the overall intensities of RNAP in the remaining vast majority areas of the nucleoid are generally low. The nucleoid is relatively compact in these cells, and it exhibits variations in intensities including apparent voids. Such features have not been described previously (41). At the growth conditions used, each cell contains, on average, four ‘nascent’ nucleoids, two of which are located in each half of the long axis of the cell. Only 5 min after the salt addition, the transcription foci diminish, redistributing RNAP into other areas of the nucleoids, and by 10 min (Figure 1B, NaCl 10$^o$) the transcription foci totally disappear, consistent with the report that rRNA synthesis is inhibited shortly after salt shock (26). After 10 min, significant amounts of RNAP dissociate from the nucleoid; free RNAP molecules relocate and occupy the entire cytoplasmic space. Concurrent with depletion of RNAP from the genome, the nucleoid transforms to a hyper-condensed structure, and the two ‘nascent’ nucleoids in each half of the cell are fused as described (42). At 20 min (Figure 1B, NaCl 20$^o$), RNAP is concentrated at the periphery of the hyper-condensed nucleoid, suggesting that those RNAP molecules are DNA-associated. Our examination of the nucleoids released from the lysed cells after the osmotic stress for 20 min confirms the localization of RNAP at the surface of the nucleoid (compare Figure 1B and C, NaCl 20$^o$). In contrast to the intact cells, the cytoplasmic pool of RNAP molecules is undetected because the unbound RNAP is diluted out during the nucleoid isolation. Because global transcription is active during osmotic stress response (17,19), it is conceivable that many of the expressed genes including those involved in osmotic stress response are located as loose DNA loops surrounding the hyper-condensed nucleoid.

During exponential growth in LB, most of RNAP molecules in the cell are engaged in rRNA synthesis. Because rRNA synthesis is inhibited during initial salt shock (26), it is very likely that RNAP molecules engaged in rRNA synthesis before osmotic stress have contributed to the pool of the cytoplasmic RNAP. Nonetheless, to determine whether the free RNAP is exclusively from the shutdown of the rRNA synthesis during the osmotic stress response, we treated early-log cells with rifampicin (30 min) to inhibit all transcription including rRNA synthesis, and subsequently added salt (0.5 M NaCl, 20 min) to induce the osmotic stress response (Figure 2A). Although rifampicin inhibits transcription initiation by RNAP, it does not block binding of the enzyme to DNA (43,44). Compared with the untreated cells, rifampicin frees up the transcribing RNAP and significantly increases the number of locations occupied by RNAP in the nucleoid, reflecting genome-wide redistribution of RNAP by the antibiotic (45). As previously reported (38), in the rifampicin-treated cells (LB+rif), RNAP associates with the nucleoid, and the nucleoid becomes relatively expanded with significantly reduced cytoplasmic space surrounding the nucleoid compared with untreated cells (LB). Significantly, however, when the rifampicin-treated cells were subjected to osmotic stress (LB+rif+NaCl), significant amounts of RNAP are released from the nucleoid into the cytoplasmic space and the nucleoid contracts significantly as indicated by the increased cytoplasmic space. These results indicate that the released RNAP is not merely from those engaged in rRNA synthesis before the salt addition; rather, dissociation of bound RNAP from the DNA is a general response to the osmotic stress and is independent of transcription.

![Figure 1](image-url) Dynamic interaction of RNA polymerase and DNA during the osmotic stress response. (A) Growth curve of CC72 cells in LB (close circle) and after osmotic shock in mid log phase (open circle). The arrow indicates the induction of the hyperosmotic response.
Figure 1. Continued.
(B) Distribution of RNAP and nucleoid structure during hyper-osmotic response. RNAP-venus protein fusion, nucleoid, overlay of RNAP (green) and nucleoid (red), overlay of nucleoid (white) and cell (black) before (LB) or after osmotic shock (NaCl 5° to NaCl 75°). Axial intensity profile for RNAP (green) and DNA (red) from the cell shown is also included, in which cumulative relative intensity from the short axis of the cell (y-axis) is plotted against the position along the long axis (pole-to-pole) of the cell (x-axis). The RNAP rapidly dissociates from the nucleoid, and the released RNAP occupies the entire cytoplasmic space shortly after osmotic shock (NaCl 10°). The nucleoid becomes hyper-condensed at the same time. Afterwards, the freed RNAP gradually re-associates with the genome over time by first forming a ring (a 2-D picture) at the surroundings of the hyper-condensed nucleoid (NaCl 10° to NaCl 30°) before penetrating the interior (NaCl 45°).
Potassium uptake is essential for the dissociation of RNAP from the nucleoid

To confirm a role for K+ in the release of RNAP, we performed two sets of experiments. First, we induced the osmotic stress response in minimal medium either with (M63) or without K+ (M63-K) (Figure 2B). The nutrient downshift from LB (before osmotic shock) to minimal medium induces the redistribution of RNAP in the chromosome and causes nucleoid expansion (NaCl 0') (31,46). Similarly to LB, after addition of NaCl to the M63 medium, RNAP dissociates from the nucleoid as indicated by the appearance of the cytoplasmic RNAP, and the nucleoid becomes highly condensed. In contrast to M63, in M63-K medium there is no apparent release of RNAP from the DNA and the nucleoid remains expanded even 60 min after salt addition, although plasmolysis is apparent 20 min after the salt addition (data not shown). These results indicate that K+ is critical for the dissociation of RNAP.

To eliminate complications owing to nutrient downshift into minimal media, we constructed the CC241 strain, a derivative of the TK2420 strain (35) that is deleted for the major K+ uptake systems (\(\Delta kdpFAD\) \(\Delta trkAD\)). We examined these transporter mutant cells during the initial osmotic stress response in LB supplemented with 50 mM KCl, and found that in contrast to wild type, there is no apparent dissociation of RNAP from the DNA and no apparent changes in nucleoid compaction after NaCl addition (compare Figures 1B and 2C, NaCl 20'). Together, these results indicate that RNAP dissociates from the DNA as a consequence on the increase in intracellular K+. The dissociation of RNAP also corresponds to the reported time of peak accumulation of the cytoplasmic K+ after salt addition (14). We conclude that RNAP dissociates from the genomic DNA during the initial osmotic stress response at the time cytoplasmic K+ concentration increases rapidly. This result agrees well with that of the in vitro results of salt effects on the interaction of RNAP with DNA (2–5).

The stepwise re-association of RNAP with the nucleoid during the osmoadaptation phase

The cell starts to release accumulated cytoplasmic K+ by 20–25 min after the salt shock during the osmoadaptation or recovery phase when organic osmoprotectants accumulate (14). At this same time, cytoplasmic RNAP begins to re-associate with the nucleoid in several distinct steps. After 20 min (Figure 1B, NaCl 20') although RNAP still occupies the cytoplasmic space, RNAP concentrates at the periphery of the fused condensed nucleoid appearing as a distinct ring in a 2-D picture, indicating that RNAP forms a sphere at the periphery of the nucleoid, and by 30 min (Figure 1B, NaCl 30') the majority of RNAP binds to the surface of the condensed nucleoid, some of which appears to split into four ‘nascent’ nucleoids. Gradually, RNAP penetrates the nucleoid, and concomitantly the nucleoid expands progressively to resemble a global structure observed before salt addition. Transcription foci that are indicative of active rRNA synthesis become evident only after 75 min when steady-state (exponential) growth is re-established, consistent with the time frame reported for recovery of the rRNA synthesis after osmotic stress (26).

The relatively long time needed for the re-association of the freed RNAP with the nucleoid during recovery period may include time for the synthesis of transporters required for accumulation of the osmoprotectants necessary for the K+ efflux. In addition, the diffusion rate for the free RNAP in a macromolecular crowding environment of the cytoplasm is likely to be slower than that of the DNA-associated RNAP (47) and the interior of the hyper-condensed nucleoid may not be easily accessible to RNAP. We speculate that re-association of RNAP with
the genome and remodelling of the highly condensed nucleoid are integral parts of the osmoadaptation process. Proline and glycine betaine are the major osmoprotectants that can be transported from LB by their respective transporters. We determined the effects of the deletion of the genes for the proline/glycine betaine (proP) and choline (betT) (a precursor for the glycine betaine) transporters on the re-association of RNAP during the osmotic stress response in LB (Figure 3). The double mutation ( ΔproP and ΔbetT) causes a significant delay in the re-association of RNAP compared with wild type (Figure 1B). After 20 min there is no RNAP associated with the surface of the hyper-condensed nucleoid in the mutant cells; only after 60 min RNAP appears to be concentrated in the periphery of the hyper-condensed nucleoid. These results indicate that under the condition used, the uptake of proline, glycine betaine and choline are important for the re-association of RNAP with the nucleoid. The accumulation of the cytoplasmic proline and glycine betaine from LB promotes the K+ efflux system to reduce the cytoplasmic K+ concentration, which in turn facilitates the re-association of RNAP with the nucleoid.

Other nucleoid-associated proteins behave similarly to RNAP during the osmotic stress response

To determine whether the interaction of other nucleoid-associated proteins (NAPs) with DNA is affected during osmotic stress response, we examined the localization of two ‘histone-like’ NAPs (H-NS and IHF) during the initial stress and recovery period. Specially, we looked at time 0 immediately before the salt addition, after 20 min when the dissociation of RNAP is apparent and after 60 min when RNAP has re-associated with the nucleoid. After cells were treated with formaldehyde to cross-link NAPs to DNA and lysed, total cell lysates (T) from each time point were fractionated into soluble (S), which represents cytoplasmic fraction of unbound and weakly bound proteins, and nucleoid bound (N), which represents proteins stably associated with the nucleoid. After de-crosslinking, the proteins were separated by gel electrophoresis and identified by western blot using specific antibodies.

Figure 4 shows that RNAP, as indicated by the β' subunit staining, localizes exclusively in the nucleoid in cells growing in LB, with no detectable signal from the soluble cytoplasmic fraction. This is expected as RNAP is a strong DNA-binding protein. The transient redistribution of RNAP to the cytoplasm is clearly visible at

Figure 2. Continued
20 min after the salt addition. This result confirms that the cytoplasmic RNAP is indeed released from the nucleoid as opposed to being associated with some external DNA loops extruded to the cytoplasm from the nucleoid surface. The freed RNAP then re-associates with the nucleoid 60 min after the salt addition. These data are in complete agreement with the image analyses of Figure 1.

In contrast to RNAP, H-NS and IHF are not exclusively in the nucleoid fraction in LB before salt addition, suggesting that the two small-sized NAPs bind to DNA not as strong as RNAP in the cell, which is consistent with the reported results in vitro (48) and the fact that formaldehyde only crosslinks stably bound proteins to DNA (49). Importantly, however, the soluble fractions of H-NS and IHF increase noticeably at 20 min after the salt addition and return to the condition before the salt addition by 60 min. These results indicate that, similarly to RNAP, the interaction of H-NS and IHF, and possibly other NAPs with the nucleoid, is sensitive to the cytoplasmic K⁺ concentration during the osmotic stress response.

Hyper-condensed nucleoid during the initial osmotic stress response is not caused by the major factors proposed to affect nucleoid structure

The nucleoid undergoes noticeable dynamic changes during the osmotic stress response. It becomes hyper-condensed when the dissociation of RNAP is mostly apparent 10–20 min after the salt shock (Figure 1B). We attempted to understand the cause(s) for the changes by analysing the contributions of the major factors that have been proposed to affect nucleoid compaction and expansion (50). Although the underlying mechanism responsible for the compaction and expansion of the nucleoid is unknown at this time, we systematically eliminated the possibilities that the observed dynamics of the nucleoid during the osmotic stress response is caused by (i) inhibition of translocation, (ii) NAPs, (iii) DNA supercoiling by gyrase, and (iv) Dps function as detailed below.

Translocation (a process coupling of transcription–translation and translocation of membrane proteins) is proposed to be an expansion force for the nucleoid, which is based on the result that inhibition of translocation by chloramphenicol is correlated with hyper-condensed nucleoid (50). However, inhibition of translocation per se does not lead to nucleoid condensation, as active rRNA synthesis is required for the nucleoid compaction under the conditions in which translocation is inhibited (38). Because rRNA synthesis is inhibited shortly after the salt addition (26), inhibition of translocation is unlikely to be responsible for the hyper-condensed nucleoid. This possibility is further disregarded because RNAP dissociates from the DNA and the nucleoid is hyper-condensed in the salt-shocked cells pre-treated with rifampicin (Figure 2A), which inhibits both transcription and translocation.

Small-sized *E. coli* ‘histone-like’ proteins are postulated to be involved in nucleoid compaction (41,50,51), which are inferred largely from their biochemical properties *in vitro* as DNA bridgers and DNA benders causing DNA stiffening (51–56). As shown in Figure 4, at least two of the ‘histone-like’ NAPs (IHF, H-NS) tested also behave like RNAP and are released from the nucleoid during the osmotic stress; thus, it is improbable that the hyper-condensed nucleoid is a consequence of the binding of these NAPs when RNAP is depleted.

Supercoiling by gyrase is postulated to be a nucleoid compaction force in the cell (50), based on the results that supercoiling compacts DNA *in vitro* (57). Supercoiling by gyrase increases shortly after an osmotic stress response (58). To test whether the increase of supercoiling might be responsible for the hyper-condensed nucleoid during osmotic response, we pre-treated the cells with gyrase inhibitor coumermycin for 30 min before the addition of salt in LB (Figure 5A). By itself coumermycin (Cr 30’) causes no change in nucleoid compaction as reported previously (59). In cells pre-treated
with coumermycin and then salt shocked, significant amounts of RNAP are released from the nucleoid into the cytoplasmic space, and the nucleoid becomes hyper-condensed. This result indicates that supercoiling by gyrase is not responsible for the nucleoid compaction during osmotic response.

Because an increase in supercoiling by gyrase is necessary for transcriptional activation of many osmotic stress response genes (17,60), inhibition of supercoiling by gyrase may significantly reduce the expression of the proline and glycine betaine transporter genes. Consistently, there is no ring of RNAP surrounding the nucleoid in the cells treated with coumermycin first and then subjected to osmotic shock (Figure 5A, Cr 30', NaCl 20'). This phenotype mimics that of the double ΔproP and ΔbetT mutant (Figure 3, NaCl 20').

Dps is a stress protein that accumulates in late-stationary cells and causes a hyper-condensed nucleoid in starved cells overproducing Dps (61,62). Because the amount of Dps increases during the osmotic stress response (18), we determined whether Dps might be responsible for the hyper-condensed nucleoid under the conditions used. We examined the dps deletion mutant cells during the osmotic stress response and found that, like wild type, RNAP dissociates from the DNA and the nucleoid is hyper-condensed even in the absence of Dps (Figure 5B).

DISCUSSION

Our study emphasizes the importance of following a dynamic process such as the osmotic stress response temporally and demonstrates that the association of RNAP with the nucleoid is sensitive to the cytoplasmic K+ concentration during the osmotic stress response in LB. The cytoplasmic K+ concentration transiently increases through K+ uptake systems and by removing a significant fraction of cytoplasmic water in the initial response phase, which is followed by a decline in K+ owing to accumulation of osmoprotectants including glycine betaine and proline. Consequently, RNAP dissociates shortly after the salt addition followed by the re-association of the freed RNAP with DNA. The accumulation of cytoplasmic glutamate could also contribute to stabilizing RNAP–DNA interaction during the osmoadaptation phase. This work identifies a missing link between in vitro and in vivo studies on the salt-dependent association of RNAP and DNA and confirms that the interaction between RNAP and DNA is governed by the same thermodynamic principle in vivo as it is in vitro. This is also true for the interaction of other NAPs with genomic DNA during the osmotic stress response. It is noteworthy that osmotic stress in yeast also causes an immediate dissociation of many transcription factors (but not of RNA polymerase II) and followed by re-association (63), suggesting a common mechanism in response to salt shock in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. Our findings significantly broaden our understanding of osmotic stress response and provide the framework for the future modeling of the dynamic interaction of RNAP with the genome during the osmotic stress response in E. coli.

Our results show that nucleoid structure undergoes dynamic changes during the osmotic stress response. The nucleoid rapidly becomes hyper-condensed during the initial stress response, followed by a gradual expansion approaching the pre-stress state during the recovery phase. These changes could have potential consequences in global gene regulation and spatial organization of the nucleoid. We speculate that shortly after salt addition, the transient dissociation of RNAP from the genomic DNA with a concurrent nucleoid condensation could be an effective way to silencing genome-wide gene expression while maintaining active expression of osmotic stress response genes, which are presumably oriented at the surface of the hyper-condensed nucleoid. The mechanism underlying the salt-resistant interaction of RNAP and these genes remains to be determined. In addition,
changes in global nucleoid compaction will likely affect the cytoplasmic space (volume), which could in turn have potential consequences on macromolecular crowding during the osmotic stress response. A nucleoid with tightly packed DNA is proposed to be a protecting mechanism against DNA damage caused by long-term stress effects (64,65). It remains to be determined whether the nucleoid condensation during the osmotic stress alters response to DNA damage. During the recovery phase, a reversed process is necessary; probably a highly compacted nucleoid would interfere with gene expression and chromosome functions including DNA replication, recombination and segregation.

Our study also raises the question about the potential mechanism underlying the dynamic changes in nucleoid structure during the osmotic stress response. To this end, we examined the contributions of the major factors that have been proposed to be important for nucleoid structure, including transinsertion, NAPs, DNA supercoiling and Dps, and found that they are not responsible for the effect. One intriguing finding of this study is that there is a correlation between the dissociation of RNAP and the hyper-condensation of the nucleoid during the initial osmotic stress response. Link between the distribution of RNAP, global gene regulation and nucleoid structure has been reported (31,46). Whether the dissociation of RNAP is a cause or a consequence of the nucleoid compaction is unclear; however, we previously showed that the nucleoid compaction does not lead to RNAP dissociation (31,46). All together it is tempting to speculate at this time that dissociation of RNAP may have a direct role in the hyper-condensation of the nucleoid during the initial osmotic stress response. For example, a nucleoid that is depleted of RNAP might be packed into dense aggregates because of a macromolecular crowding environment in the cell (66). And conversely, the re-association of RNAP might be responsible for the de-condensing of the nucleoid during the recovery phase of the response. RNAP binding or transcription from the periphery of the hyper-condensed nucleoid could ‘open up’ the nearby region because RNAP is able to rapidly slide along DNA (67); thus, RNAP could gradually remodel the nucleoid and drive de-condensation. Our study provides the basis for the future study of the role of RNAP in modulating nucleoid structure.
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