CEBPB is associated with active tumor immune environment and favorable prognosis of metastatic skin cutaneous melanoma
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Metastatic skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM) is a common malignancy that accounts for low morbidity but high mortality of skin cancer. SKCM is characterized by high lymphocytic infiltration, whereas the states of infiltrated cells are variable in patients leading to a heterogeneous prognosis and hindering appropriate clinical decisions. It is therefore urgent to identify markers associated with lymphocytic infiltration, cellular conditions, and the prognosis of SKCM. In this study, we report that CEBPB, a transcriptional factor, is mainly expressed in macrophages in metastatic SKCM and associated with an active tumor immune environment and a favorable prognosis through integrated analysis of single-cell and bulk RNA-seq datasets. High CEBPB expression is significantly associated with active inflammation and immune response pathways in both macrophages and bulk SKCM tumor tissues. A signature based on CEBPB-associated genes that are specifically expressed in macrophages could robustly and prognostically separate different metastatic SKCM patients. In addition, the associations between the metastatic SKCM tumor signature and microenvironment with respect to T-cell recruitment and state, inflammation response, angiogenesis, and so on were also determined. In conclusion, we present here the first report on CEBPB in tumor immune environment and prognosis regulation in metastatic SKCM and construct a reliable signature, which should provide a useful biomarker for stratification of the patient’s prognosis and therapeutic selection.
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Introduction

Skin cutaneous melanoma (SKCM), which occurs in the skin, is the most common type of melanoma. SKCM is one of the most aggressive and lethal malignancies, which accounts for only 3–5% of morbidity but almost 75% of mortality of skin cancer (1). Surgical resection followed by adjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy to control tumor spread represents the optimal therapeutic method for localized SKCM (2). Additionally, the development of targeted therapy and immunotherapy has significantly prolonged the overall survival (OS) of SKCM patients (3, 4). However, metastasis continues to pose a great threat to the prognosis of SKCM patients, and the probability of 5-year OS of metastatic SKCM is only approximately 19% (5). Systemic treatment, including chemotherapy and a combination of chemotherapy and biochemotherapy, has been extensively used in metastatic SKCM, but only low clinical benefits have been achieved and were often accompanied by great toxicity (6).

A high level of lymphocytic infiltration in both primary lesions and metastatic sites enables SKCM to be an ideal candidate for immunotherapy, which aims to modulate the immune environment of tumor tissue, and a significant improvement and less toxicity compared to conventional chemotherapy are obtained (7). In contrast, great heterogeneities of response to immunotherapy exist in metastatic SKCM patients, largely due to the heterogeneous tumor microenvironment (TME) (8, 9). As a result, the identification of molecular biomarkers for metastatic SKCM stratification with different TME would be helpful for appropriate clinical decision-making.

The TME is a complex community composed of multiple cell types and interactions among them. Lymphocytes and myeloid cells could infiltrate the solid tumor tissue through tumor vasculature or other means, consisting of the immune part of the TME (9). Infiltration levels and states of cells are dynamically regulated by various factors. For example, the migration of T cells from the second lymphoid organ to the solid tumor tissue is regulated by some chemokines (10). High expression of PD-1, CTLA4 on the T cell surface, or PD-L1/L2 on the malignant cell surface could induce the exhaustion of T cells, resulting in the reduction and even the loss of their cytotoxicity on malignant cells (11). Cell–cell interactions via an autocrine or paracrine manner could also influence the states of infiltrated cells in the TME. Such malignant cells could induce the differentiation of fibroblasts into cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and promote tumor metastasis. Macrophage polarization to the M2 subtype induced by CAFs could in turn result in a suppressed immune environment mainly through manipulation of T-cell states (12). Additionally, it turned out that a growing number of molecules were involved in the regulation of the TME. For example, PTEN has been extensively studied solely in the epithelial context as a tumor suppressor, whereas its indispensable role in CAF regulation in the TME has recently been identified and approved for participation in cancer progression (13). CDR1, as a circular RNA, was found to be closely associated with the infiltration and state of immune cells in multiple cancers (14). However, the understanding of TME regulation in cancers, including metastatic SKCM, is far from complete, which represents an obstacle to the development of therapeutic methods and the determination of appropriate treatments.

CEBPB is a transcriptional factor (TF) that has three subtypes, namely, LAP-1, LAP-2, and LIP. LAP-1 and LAP-2 play important roles in transcriptional activation, while LIP is mainly involved in transcriptional repression (15). One of the most known functions of CEBPB is immune modulation in multiple inflammatory diseases, including sepsis (16, 17) and skin fibrotic diseases (18–20). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that CEBPB is the master regulator of macrophage differentiation, which could define the macrophage identity by marking the macrophage-specific chromatin regulatory elements for the following TF binding (21). The regulatory roles of CEBPB in the onset of cancer and the progression of its transcriptional regulatory function in malignant cells have also been uncovered (22, 23). A few studies have also reported potential associations between CEBPB and metastasis (24) or treatment response in melanoma (25). However, relationships between CEBPB and tumor immune landscape as well as the prognosis of metastatic SKCM have never been systemically reported.

In this study, we report that CEBPB is mainly expressed as macrophages in the TME of metastatic SKCM and is associated with an active immune environment. A signature based on CEBPB–regulated genes that are expressed specifically in macrophages could robustly separate prognostically and immunologically different metastatic SKCM patients.

Materials and methods

Datasets, normal fibroblast, and melanoma cell line

A total of three metastatic SKCM datasets were used for survival analysis in this study. The TCGA-SKCM cohort contains a total of 472 samples including one adjacent normal tissue sample, out of which 349 metastatic ones, including distant metastasis, regional lymph node metastasis, and regional skin metastasis, with complete prognosis information, were retained in this study. Another metastatic SKCM cohort was obtained from the study of Garraway et al. (26) and abbreviated as DFCI2015 hereafter, which contains 40 metastatic SKCM samples with transcriptome and complete survival information freely available. Notably, all 40 patients of the DFCI2015 cohort experimented with anti-CTLA4 treatment and the molecular data were obtained before the treatment. GSE59455 (27) from the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO;
transcripts was performed on a QuantStudio™5 Real-Time PCR Instrument (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) using the SYBR Green Premix Pro Taq HS qPCR Kit (RoX Plus, Hunan, China) (AG), and RNA levels were normalized to those of 18S rRNA. 2^−DDCt was applied to analyze the data. Three parallel duplicate wells were designed for the experiment, and all samples were tested three times. Error bars represent the mean ± standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. The primer sequences used were as follows: 18S rRNA forward: 5′-GTAAACCGTGGACCCCAT-3′, reverse: 5′-CCATCCATCCGTTAGGCG-3′; CEBPB, forward: 5′-TTTCGAGTTGATGCAATCGG-3′, reverse: 5′-CGTAGGAACATCTTTAAGCGA-3′.

**Assessment of cell proliferation with cell counting kit-8 test**

Cells were divided into the control group and the overexpression-CEBPB group. The cells were spread in 96-well plates with 3,000 cells per well, and then an appropriate amount of Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) reagent was added to detect the absorbance of each experimental group, so as to measure the proliferation activity at different times.

**Differential expression analysis**

Differential expression analysis between metastatic SKCM samples with higher and lower CEBPB mRNA levels was performed by using the DESeq2 R package (31) based on the raw count data. For the single-cell RNA-seq, which provides fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) data for each cell, the limma R package (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/lmma.html) was applied for differential expression analysis between cells with CEBPB FPKM > 1 and those without CEBPB detected. Threshold of absolute log2(Fold Change) (log2FC) > 1 and false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value<0.05 was used as the criteria for screening significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs).

**Functional enrichment analysis**

Significantly enriched Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways were obtained by using the clusterProfiler R package (32) to the DEGs with the threshold of Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) adjusted p-value<0.05. In addition, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was also adopted to identify hallmarks (gene signatures) that are associated with CEBPB expression in both bulk metastatic SKCM tissue and the macrophages in the TME by using GSEA software (33) with the threshold of nominal p-value<0.05.

---

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/ contains 141 SKCM samples including 39 primary and 102 metastatic ones, only those metastatic samples were retained for analysis, and all the samples were deceased at the end of the follow-up. Additionally, GSE46517 (28) contains 121 samples including 73 metastatic SKCM samples, 31 primary SKCM samples, nine nevus samples, and seven normal skin samples, and one normal epithelial melanocyte sample was also included in this study for CEBPB expression comparison. CEBPB mRNA level was compared between the 73 metastatic SKCM and the seven normal skin samples. Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis (GEPIA; http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/) online tool was also adopted to estimate the difference in CEBPB mRNA level by including the SKCM tumor samples from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and normal skin samples from Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx; https://www.gtexportal.org/). A single-cell RNA-seq dataset GSE115978 (29), which contains gene expression profiles of 7,186 cells from 31 melanoma samples, was used for CEBPB cell-specific expression analysis.

Normal dermal fibroblast was obtained from a 67-year-old male keloid patient in a Chinese PLA hospital with signed consent obtained. The ethics committee of PLA General Hospital approved this study (Ethics Approval No. S2018-223-02). The details for fibroblast extraction and culture could be found in our previous study (30). Additionally, skin melanoma cell lines A375 and SK-MEL-2 were obtained from the RE-STEM cell bank (Jiangsu, China).

**Cell culture**

A375 cells were cultured in a complete medium (DMEM: serum:double antibody = 100:10:1) and placed in a 5% CO2, 37°C incubator for culture. Subculture was carried out every 3 days, and seeds were grown on plates.

**Transfection**

A total of 1 × 10^5 cells were plated on a 6-well plate and transfected with 2 μg of either pcDNA3.1-3×Flag as mock control or CEBPB-pcDNA3.1-3×Flag. Transfection was done using 4 μl of PEI 40000 following the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were transfected overnight for cell proliferation assay.

**Reverse transcription–PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using the Steady Pure Quick RNA Extraction Kit (AG) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and used for first-strand cDNA synthesis using the Evo M-MLV RT Mix Kit (AG). The quantification of all gene transcripts was performed on a QuantStudioTM5 Real-Time PCR Instrument.
Construction of death risk signature

Univariate Cox regression analysis was first applied to the candidate genes, namely, CEBPB-regulated genes in macrophages, to identify prognostically significant genes based on the metastatic melanoma samples in the TCGA-SKCM cohort with the threshold of p-value<0.05. Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) Cox regression analysis by using the glmnet R package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/glmnet/) was then performed to further prioritize genes from the significant ones in univariate Cox regression analysis for death risk signature construction. The signature was finally constructed as an equation for the estimation of death risk for each metastatic SKCM patient: risk score (RS) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Cox}_i \times \text{E}_i , \text{in which Cox}_i and \text{E}_i represent the LASSO Cox coefficient and mRNA level of the \text{i}th gene, respectively.

Survival analysis

Kaplan–Meier (KM) analysis by using the survival R package (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival) was used for determining the significance of the difference in OS probability between metastatic SKCM patients with higher and lower CEBPB expression as well as with higher and lower RS. p-Value<0.05 was used as the significant threshold.

Statistical analysis and visualization

A comparison of expression of CEBPB and antigen presentation-related genes between different patient groups was performed by using the Wilcoxon test with the threshold of p-value<0.05. Visualization of gene expression boxplot and volcano plot of differential expression analysis was performed through the ggplot2 R package (https://ggplot2-book.org/). The KM survival probability plot was visualized through the survminer R package (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survminer/). The expression heatmap was visualized through the pheatmap R package (https://cran.rstudio.com/web/packages/pheatmap/). All the statistical analyses of this study were performed in R version 4.0.2 (https://www.r-project.org/).

Results

CEBPB is downregulated in metastatic skin cutaneous melanoma and associated with favorable prognosis

To explore alterations of CEBPB expression in SKCM initiation, we first performed a comparison analysis through GEPIA online tool (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/), which includes SKCM tumor tissue samples from TCGA and normal tissue samples from GTEx. As a result, CEBPB was significantly downregulated in SKCM tumor tissues (Figure 1A), which was further confirmed by another independent cohort GSE46517, which included 73 metastatic SKCM samples and seven normal skin samples (primary and nevus samples were excluded from this study) (Figure 1B). To validate the downregulation of CEBPB in melanoma, we performed RT-PCR of CEBPB in dermal fibroblast from the normal skin of a keloid patient and A375 and SK-MEL-2 melanoma cells. As a result, the CEBPB mRNA level was significantly lower in A375 and SK-MEL-2 cells compared with that in dermal fibroblast (Figure 1C). To confirm the repression of CEBPB protein expression in SKCM tissue, we obtained the immunohistochemical staining results of CEBPB in three normal skin and three tumor tissues of malignant melanoma patients from the Human Protein Atlas (HPA; https://www.proteinatlas.org/). The staining intensity of CEBPB is obviously higher in normal skin samples than that in melanoma tumor tissues (Figure S1). Additionally, a high CEBPB mRNA level was proved to be associated with a favorable prognosis, namely, longer overall survival here, of metastatic SKCM in both the TCGA cohort (Figure 1D) and DFCL2015, another independent patient cohort (Figure 1E, not significant, which might be attributed to its relatively small sample size, but the survival probability difference between the two groups is obvious). These indicated that CEBPB could inhibit the initiation and progression of SKCM.

To explore if CEBPB has any influence on melanoma proliferation, we overexpressed CEBPB in both A375 and SK-MEL-2 cell lines and performed a CCK-8 assay. Strikingly, CEBPB overexpression (OE-CEBPB) almost had no influence on the proliferation ability of the two cell lines (Figure S2). We speculated that CEBPB might affect skin melanoma mainly by influencing other cell types in the tumor microenvironment rather than the malignant cell itself.

CEBPB is associated with immune pathway activity in metastatic skin cutaneous melanoma

CEBPB is a transcription factor that plays important roles in gene expression regulation. To identify genes regulated by CEBPB in metastatic SKCM tumor tissue, we selected the 10 metastatic SKCM tumor tissues that have the highest CEBPB expression (CEBPB_High) and the 10 with the lowest CEBPB expression (CEBPB_Low) from the TCGA cohort and conducted differential gene expression analysis between the two sample groups. As a result, a total of 2,039 DEGs, including 842 downregulated and 1,197 upregulated genes, were obtained in CEBPB_High compared with CEBPB_Low samples (Figure 2A). Functional enrichment analysis of those
DEGs obtained many immune-related or TME regulation-related pathways, such as cytokine–cytokine interaction, JAK–STAT signaling pathway, and TNF signaling pathway (Figure 2B; Supplementary Table 1). GSEA indicated that immune response-related pathways were significantly activated in CEBPB_High metastatic SKCM samples (Figure 2C; Supplementary Table 2). These indicate that CEBPB participates in the immune activation in metastatic SKCM.

CEBPB is mainly expressed in macrophages of metastatic skin cutaneous melanoma tumor tissue and is associated with immune activation

To explore if CEBPB was specifically expressed in some cell types in the TME of metastatic SKCM tumor tissue, we analyzed its mRNA level in a single cell RNA-seq dataset (GSE115978) of metastatic SKCM patients across multiple cell types. Strikingly, it was mainly expressed in macrophages but nearly depleted in other cell types (Figure 3A). CEBPB has been previously reported to be a master regulator in macrophages; we here proposed to explore genes regulated by CEBPB in macrophages of metastatic SKCM TME. We first identified macrophage-specific genes in the TME by comparing the gene expression in macrophages with other cell types with the threshold of $|\text{log}_2(\text{Fold Change})| > 0.5$ and FDR-adjusted p-value <0.05, which obtained a total of 2,516 genes (Figure 3B), and CEBPB was expectedly identified. Then we selected the macrophages that have no CEBPB mRNA detected and those with FPKM of CEBPB > 1 and performed differential expression analysis between those two macrophage groups. As a result, a total of 41 upregulated and seven downregulated genes in addition to CEBPB itself were identified in CEBPB_High macrophages compared with those without CEBPB detected (Figure 3C). Additionally, GSEA indicated that immune response-related pathways, such as inflammatory response and IL6–JAK–STAT3 signaling pathway, were significantly activated in CEBPB_High macrophages (Figure 3D; Supplementary Table 3), which was consistent with the bulk tumor tissue analysis. These indicated that CEBPB might manipulate the TME of metastatic SKCM by influencing the macrophage state.
A macrophage-specific CEBPB-associated gene signature could robustly distinguish prognostically different metastatic skin cutaneous melanoma patients

As TME state is closely associated with the prognosis of multiple cancers, we here proposed to explore if the CEBPB-associated genes could predict the prognosis of metastatic SKCM patients. We performed a univariate Cox regression analysis of the 48 DEGs in macrophages that were regulated by CEBPB along with CEBPB itself for their association with OS probability of metastatic SKCM patients from TCGA, which identified 36 prognostic-related genes. The top 20 most significant genes along with their hazard ratio and p-value were provided as a forest plot in Figure 4A. Further details can be found in Supplementary Table 1.
prioritize genes for prognostic signature construction, we applied the LASSO Cox regression method to the 36 prognostically significant genes, which finally retained five genes (Figure 4B), including FPR2, AIF1, LILRB2, SOD2, and FCGR2C, and the death RS of metastatic SKCM patients could be calculated by the sum of multiply–accumulate of each gene’s relative expression, namely, log2-based raw sequencing reads, and its regression coefficient: \( RS = -0.0194 \times FPR2 - 0.0202 \times AIF1 - 0.0254 \times LILRB2 - 0.0387 \times SOD2 - 0.1226 \times FCGR2C. \) We calculated the RS for the metastatic SKCM patients in TCGA cohort and found that patients with high RS had relatively short OS than those with low RS (Figure 4C). Additionally, KM analysis also illustrated the association between high RS and inferior OS of metastatic SKCM patients in TCGA cohort as well as another two independent validation metastatic SKCM patient cohorts (Figure 4D). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for the prediction ability of RS for 3-year OS probability of metastatic SKCM patients obtained the area under the curve (AUC) of TCGA, DFCI2015, and GSE59455 as 0.690, 0.677, and 0.669, respectively (Figure 4E), which indicated the good performance of RS in prognosis prediction.
CEBPB-associated risk score is related to tumor immune landscape in metastatic skin cutaneous melanoma

RS could robustly separate prognostically different metastatic SKCM patients, and the TME plays fundamental roles in cancer initiation, progression, and drug resistance, so we proposed to explore the potential association between RS and TME landscape in metastatic SKCM tissues. First, the relative infiltration level of lymphocyte, myeloid cell, and the stromal cell was determined by the MCPCounter algorithm and compared between metastatic SKCM patients of the TCGA cohort stratified by their median RS. As a result, T cells, CD8 T cells, cytotoxic lymphocytes, NK cells, and monocytic lineage cells were among the most differential cell types, which had significantly high infiltration levels in SKCM patients with low RS. In contrast, neutrophils, endothelial cells, and fibroblasts only showed a slight difference between the two patient groups (Figure 5A). We then comprehensively collected genes closely related to TME modulation, including cytokines and chemokines associated with immune activity, namely, T-cell chemotaxis and activation and tertiary lymphoid structures here, and those associated with immune suppressive, including T cell-specific suppression, angiogenesis, and immunosuppression, and we compared their expressions between metastatic SKCM patients with high and low RS. Expectedly, mRNA levels of all the genes related to T-cell chemotaxis and activation as well as tertiary lymphoid structures (TLSs) were significantly higher in metastatic SKCM patients with low RS than those with high RS. Strikingly, most of the genes related to immune suppressive also showed higher expression in RS_low patients except angiogenesis-related...
VEGFB and immunosuppression-related LGALS1, which were significantly higher in patients with higher RS (Figure 5B). This was partially controversial, for lower RS was associated with superior OS (Figure 4). We speculated that the immune active environment might stimulate the response of immunosuppression signals of multiple origins, such as high expression of immune checkpoint ligand gene in malignant cells and chemokine cascade secreted from tumor-associated macrophage (TAM) for regulatory T-cell recruitment. Antigen presentation (AP) is a core process for the recognition and the following cytotoxicity of T cells for malignant cells. Hence, we collected a comprehensive list of AP-related genes and compared their mRNA levels in metastatic SKCM patients from the TCGA cohort stratified by the median RS value. As a result, 13 of the 14 genes showed significantly higher expressions in patients with low RS (RS_low) than in those with high RS (RS_high) as shown in Figure 5C, indicating that the RS_low
patients had a more intact AP system, which might underlie the better OS of those patients. Additionally, Shmulevich et al. grouped cancer patients into five immune clusters according to gene expression signatures associated with immune activity (34). To explore the relationship between RS and the immune cluster, we collected the genes used for defining each immune cluster and compared their mRNA levels between RS_low and RS_high metastatic SKCM patients. As a result, genes enriched in the macrophage and leukocyte infiltration cluster showed obviously higher expressions in RS_low patients than RS_high patients, whereas differences in expressions of TGFβ, IFNγ and wound healing-related genes were nearly indistinguishable between the two patient groups (Figure 5D). These indicated that low RS is associated with high immune activity and might have predictive value for immunotherapy response.

Discussion

Metastatic SKCM is a common malignancy worldwide that accounts for low morbidity but very high mortality in all skin cancers (35). High exposure to UV irradiation represents the most common pathogenesis, which induces a high accumulation of mutation and in turn causes aggressive lymphocytic infiltration (36). Systemic treatment, including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and the recently developed immunotherapy, are the most adopted therapeutics, and they provide some clinical benefits to metastatic SKCM, but heterogeneous clinical outcomes stand in the way of widespread adoption (37, 38). Therefore, it is urgently necessary to identify biomarkers or signatures that may immunologically and prognostically distinguish different patients.

In this study, we reported the associations between CEBPB and prognosis as well as the TME landscape of metastatic SKCM patients, and a genetic signature associated with CEBPB was developed for immune activity and stratification of OS probabilities. The results showed that high CEBPB expression is associated with a favorable prognosis of metastatic SKCM and activated immune response-related pathways in bulk tumor tissue as well as pure macrophages. It has been extensively reported that CEBPB participates in immune regulation (16, 39), and CEBPB contributes to immune activation in many scenarios and relates to the occurrence of many autoimmune diseases (40, 41). Particularly, it represents a master regulator for macrophage identity determination (21). Indeed, CEBPB has proven to be nearly specifically expressed in the macrophages of metastatic SKCM tissues and regulates several immune response-related pathways in both macrophage and bulk tumor tissue in this study. Macrophages exhibit controversial roles at different tumor stages. For example, macrophages could cause tumor regression by directly killing malignant cells or promoting the presentation of tumor cells to T cells. In contrast, macrophages might transform into TAMs and promote tumorigenesis, progression, metastasis, and drug resistance when type 2 T helper cell dominates the TME contexture (12). Above all, we speculated that CEBPB could prompt the polarization of macrophages to the immunologically stimulative subtype in metastatic SKCM TME and in turn inhibit tumor progression. In addition, the CEBPB mRNA level was significantly lower in melanoma cells than in normal dermal fibroblasts. In contrast, CEBPB overexpression almost had no influence on the proliferation ability of melanoma cells. CEBPB therefore might affect the initiation and progression of melanoma through the modulation of the tumor microenvironment rather than the malignant cell itself. In contrast, correlations between the change in malignant cells caused by CEBPB inhibition and tumor microenvironment perturbation should still be further studied.

For the important roles of macrophages in the determination of a relationship between the TME and tumor progression, we specially screened the macrophage-specific genes that were regulated by CEBPB in the metastatic SKCM and constructed a prognostic signature by using several CEBPB-regulated genes. The robustness of the gene signature in prognosis stratification was confidently validated with the assistance of three independent patient cohorts. In addition, we found obvious differences in the TME landscape between the signature-derived RS in high and low patients. Generally, the RS_low metastatic SKCM patients illustrated a more active immune environment than RS_high patients with respect to T-cell infiltration and state as well as antigen presentation. Unexpectedly, certain genes related to immunosuppression and angiogenesis also showed higher mRNA levels in the RS_low patient group than in the RS_high group. The TME is a complex contexture in which immunologically active and suppressive signals coexist and transition from high tumor immunity in the initial tumor to low tumor immunity in the late-stage tumor, which could occur in tumor progression (42, 43). In quantitative terms, the relative increment in the expression of immunologically active-related genes in RS_low samples compared to RS_high samples is much higher than that of immunologically suppressive-related genes, which might indicate that the RS could discriminate between patients with dominant immunoreactive TME versus those with dominant immunosuppressants.

Immunotherapy is a recently developed therapeutic that aims to modulate the TME, and significant prognostic improvement has been achieved. However, the efficacy of immunotherapy is highly heterogeneous across different cancers and among individuals of the same cancer with
differences in their immune environment (44). Some biomarkers, such as tumor mutation burden, neoantigen load, and lymphocytic infiltration, have been proposed to be associated with immunotherapy responses (45, 46). However, there is evidence that the predictive values are limited in numerous investigations. In this study, the RS is closely associated with TME state: the lower the RS, the more active the immunity. In addition, the DFCI2015 patient cohort is comprised of immunotherapy-experienced metastatic SKCM patients, and the RS could robustly discriminate against the different prognostic patients. These indicate the potential predictive value of the RS in the immunotherapy response of metastatic SKCM patients.

This study also has several limitations. First of all, the conclusion about the influence of CEBPB on the tumor microenvironment of melanoma patients was mainly based on the prediction of the immune cell infiltration ratio in tumor tissues. An animal model with an intact immune system along with CEBPB perturbation would be more precise for exploring associations between CEBPB and the tumor microenvironment. Another limitation of this study was the lack of normal melanocytes for validation of CEBPB mRNA level, which was superseded by dermal fibroblast, although the CEBPB expression was indeed very low in A375 and SK-MEL-2 melanoma cells. These efforts will continue into the future.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we validated the positive role of CEBPB in metastatic SKCM patients and its function in enabling the immune response pathway in both bulk SKCM tissue and macrophages of SKCM TME for the first time. Additionally, a signature based on genes regulated by CEBPB in macrophages was also constructed, which could robustly distinguish prognostically and immunologically different metastatic SKCM patients. It might be a potentially useful marker for immunotherapy response.
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SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1
Immunohistochemical staining of CEBPB in normal skin samples and melanoma tumor tissues from HPA database. Patient ID in HPA were provided for each staining image.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2
Cell proliferation ability detected by CCK-8 assay for control and OE-CEBPB A375 (A) and SK-MEL-2 (B) cell lines.
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