Bacillus velezensis: A Treasure House of Bioactive Compounds of Medicinal, Biocontrol and Environmental Importance
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Abstract: Bacillus velezensis gram-positive bacterium, is frequently isolated from diverse niches mainly soil, water, plant roots, and fermented foods. B. velezensis is ubiquitous, non-pathogenic and endospore forming. Being frequently isolated from diverse plant holobionts it is considered host adapted microorganism and recognized of high economic importance given its ability to promote plant growth under diverse biotic and abiotic stress conditions. Additionally, the species suppress many plant diseases, including bacterial, oomycete, and fungal diseases. It is also able after plant host root colonization to induce more rapidly and/or effectively to biotic or abiotic stress. Moreover, B. velezensis have the ability to resist diverse environmental stresses and help host plants to cope with, including metal and xenobiotic stresses. Within species B. velezenis strains have unique abilities allowing them to adopt different life styles. Strain level abilities knowledge is warranted and could be inferred using the ever-expanding new genomes list available in genomes databases. Pangenome analysis and subsequent identification of core, accessory and unique genomes is actually of paramount importance to decipher species full metabolic capacities and fitness across diverse environmental conditions shaping its life style. Despite the crucial importance of the pan genome, its assessment among large number of strains remains sparse and systematic studies still needed. Extensive knowledge of the pan genome is needed to translate genome sequencing efforts into developing more efficient biocontrol agents and bio-fertilizers. In this study, a genome survey of B. velezenis allowed us to (a) highlight B. velezensis species boundaries and show that Bacillus suffers taxonomic imprecision that blurs the debate over species pangenome; (b) identify drivers of their successful acquisition of specific life styles and colonization of new niches; (c) describe strategies they use to promote plant growth and development; (d) reveal the unlocked strain specific orphan secondary metabolite gene clusters (biosynthetic clusters with corresponding metabolites unknown) that product identification is still awaiting to amend our knowledge of their putative role in suppression of pathogens and plant growth promotion; and (e) to describe a dynamic pangenome with a secondary metabolite rich accessory genome.
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1. Introduction

The genus *Bacillus* include ubiquitous bacteria having countless advantages in industrial, medicinal and especially in agricultural sectors [1–6]. The extensive use of *Bacillus* strains was documented by several reasons such as the facility of isolation, replication and cultivation, biofilm formation, and resistance to harsh environmental conditions through spore forming potentials [7–12]. Recently a massive amount of *B. velezensis* strains have been the focus of numerous studies [13–18]. *B. velezensis* is a Gram-positive, aerobic, endospore-forming beneficial bacterium inhabiting diverse environments (soil, water, air, plant rhizosphere, human, animal, fermented food, etc.). Among numerous other applications, *B. velezensis* strains have been especially applied for plant growth promotion and biocontrol of phytopathogens (fungi, bacteria, virus, nematodes, oomycetes, etc.) [19–24]. *B. velezensis* type strain FZB42 was isolated in 2005 from the Vélez River at Torredelmar in the province of Málaga, Spain [25] and its genome sequenced in 2007 [26]. So far, more than 200 *B. velezensis* strains genomes sequences are available in GenBank. Genome sequencing efforts allowed us to clearly understand the secondary metabolites gene clusters organization [27–29], this was especially the case with lipopeptides (LPs) biosynthesis (surfactin, fengycin, iturin, and bacilysin families), bacteriocins biosynthesis (amylocyclicin and amylolysin) and polyketides biosynthesis (difficidin, bacilaene, and macrolactin). Genome mining of *B. velezensis* strains genomes proved effective in detecting plant growth promotion and biocontrol genes [26,30–36].

The interesting features of *B. velezensis* strains isolated from various substrates throughout time, led to a massive amount of work dedicated to the species. According to several research reports *B. velezensis* strains were previously classified as *B. amyloliquefaciens* [37], based on the 16S-rRNA gene sequence which exceeded 99% similarity between the two strains [28,38]. The confusion in the taxonomic naming between these two species was resolved by advanced genomic data analysis targeting the whole genome rather than a gene subset to determine the exact taxonomy of the strain. The novel phylogenetic placement of *B. velezensis* bacteria indicated that it shares a close phylogenomic resemblance with *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum*, *B. methylotrophicus*, and *B. oryzicola* [27,35,37,39,40]. In 2017, all these strains were regrouped in a ‘*B. amyloliquefaciens* operational group’ containing three tightly linked branches including *B. velezensis*, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, and *B. siamensis* [17,26].

In this report, using a selected collection of 130 *B. velezensis* bacterial genomes publicly available in GenBank we performed phylogenomic analysis of the collection to decipher infra-species diversity of *B. velezensis*. Additionally, genome mining of secondary metabolites encoding gene clusters, as well as plant beneficial genes allowed us to shed the light and to have clues to explain the species life style and its biotechnological relevance as a biofertilizer. We clearly document that *B. velezensis* strains despite being isolated from different substrates (soil, water and plant material) represent the same species. Additionally, secondary metabolite clusters, as well as comparative genomic analysis allowed us to conclude that the species is represented by a very dynamic open pan genome. These findings legitimate the ongoing genomic sequencing efforts of newly discovered strains that is shading the light on the full biotechnological potential of the species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection and Phylogenomic Analysis of *B. velezensis* Genomes

One hundred thirty-two *B. velezensis* genome sequences and annotated datasets were extracted from National Center for Biotechnology Information (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov; accession date: 4 September 2021) and used in subsequent analyses. The CheckM program (1.0.9) [41] permitted estimation of completeness and contamination rates *B. velezensis* isolates genomes. All collected genomes had high quality draft genome sequences with over 90% completeness and below 10% contamination which explain their utilization in the current study. The global genomes alignments were performed using the REALPHY tool (http://realphy.unibas.ch; accession date: 10 September 2021) [42]. The
phylogenomic tree was constructed using a Maximum-Likelihood (ML) algorithm [43] in the MEGA v. 6.0 software [44]. The evolutionary distances were measured by the kimura 2-parameter model [45]. The obtained tree branches were checked by bootstrap values with 1000 replications [4]. The average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) calculation between the selected B. velezensis strains genome sequences, was realized using the algorithm developed by [46]. The recommended cut-off was 95% between species members [47], as implemented in the EzBioCloud server (http://www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/ani, accession date: 25 September 2021) [46,48]. The genome-to-genome distance (GGDC) calculated by DSMZ software available at http://ggdc.dsmz.de (accession date: 10 October 2021) was used for genome-based delineation of species and subspecies [49]. The recommended cut-off for species delimitation was set at 70%.

2.2. Comparative Genomics Study of B. velezensis Strains Assembled Genomes

Comparative genome analysis of a collection of 65 B. velezensis isolates was performed using BPGA pipeline set at the recommended cut-off of 50% [50]. Appointment of core and pan genomes functional genes into COG categories within the BPGA pipeline was performed using the USEARCH program against standard COG database. For KEGG Orthology (KO) functional annotation, the predicted proteins originating from the bacterial B. velezensis genomes were analyzed by BlastKOALA software (http://www.kegg.jp/blastkoala, accession date: 16 October 2021) [51].

2.3. Genome Mining of Secondary Metabolites Genes Clusters

Programs antiSMASH v. 3.0 [52], prediction informatics for secondary metabolomes NapDos [53], NPsearch [54], BAGEL3 [55] and (PRISM) [56], were all used to analyze and predict secondary metabolite gene clusters existing in the draft genome sequences.

2.4. Mining of Genes Devoted to Plant Beneficial Functions on Homology Basis

Nutrient Acquisition

Genes nifHDK, nifS and nifU from Azospirillum, Burkholderia, and Bacillus were mined in B. velezensis genomes [56]. The pqqBCDEFG genes resulting from Pseudomonas fluorescens F113, Erwinia herbicola, and Enterobacter intermedium were used to mine the studied genome collection [57,58]. SQR9 3-phytase gene from B. velezensis was also used in genome mining [59]. Genes ureABC homologs of B. subtilis strain 168 were mined in B. velezensis studied genomes [60]. Exoenzymes were blast searched using SignalP 4.1 for signal peptide detection and sequences of exoenzymes detected in similar species [60,61]. Enzymes targeted were lipases, proteases, xylanases, and amylases. Heat and cold shock protein genes (dnaJ, dnaK groE, cspA, cspC, cspD and cspE) [62], osmoprotectant glycine betaine synthesis genes (gbsAB) [63]. Genes encoding phenazine (phzADEFG) [64] were also mined in the genome collection.

2.5. Genome Mining for Functional Genes Responsible for Growth Promotion and Root Colonization

The flgBCDEGKLMN, flhABFOP and swrABC genes clusters were checked in the genomes of B. velezensis collection [65]. Homologs of che/fla/fli/tlp/mcp, and their relative clusters UCMB5113 and motABPS from the genomes of B. subtilis and B. velezensis, respectively, were used in genome mining searches in all B. velezensis isolates [60]. The xerCD genes were also mined [66]. Operon genes epsA-O from B. subtilis were mined according to previously described procedures [67].

2.6. Hormones of Plant Growth Promotion

Auxin (indole acetic acid, IAA) phytohormone biosynthesis was mined through the search for genes involved in the tryptophan-dependent pathway. The genes selected were from B. velezensis FZB42 and B. velezensis SQR9 [59,68]. Several growth promoting pathways
were mined by using genes responsible for their production [4], such as ipyA, patB, yclC, yclB, dhaS, IAN, yhcX, ysnE, and yawk.

2.7. Antioxidant Enzymes

In B. velezensis UCMB5113 several enzymes were effective against oxidative stress, the identified genes were: SodA, SodC, SodF, KatA, KatE, KatX, YdbD, AhpC, AhpF, BASU_0830, tpx, gpo, bpr, ggt, lmp, gacS, soxS, soxR, oxyR, and an operon ohrARB [60,69,70]. All these genes were used in homology-based searches in the B. velezensis genomes collection.

2.8. Disease Resistance Induction in Plants

Genes pchBA resulting from P. aeruginosa were mined in the genomes collection. Additionally, Bacillus genome collection strains have been mined using B. velezensis SQR9 genes [59].

2.9. Antibiotics and Other Related Compounds

_Pseudomonas_ spp. genes hcnABC and phlACBD were utilized for B. velezensis genomes mining [56]. gabD and gabT genes were also used to decipher the potential of the B. velezensis genomes collection [71].

2.10. Drugs Resistance

Homologues of the proteins tetB, tetR, and tetA of B. subtilis were searched in all B. velezensis genomes [72,73]. The streptothricin acetyltransferase encoding operon ynaACDE-HJKLRST was used as a bait in the screening of homologues in the concerned genomes [74]. The gene fosB resulting from _B. cereus_ was also used to search for homologs in the B. velezensis genomes [75]. The _B. licheniformis_ homolog gene ykca was recovered for mined B. velezensis strains genomes searching [76]. The β-lactamase gene penP originating from _B. subtilis_ strain 168 was used in homology search of the B. velezensis genomes collection [77]. Quinolone resistance homologs of the _Staphylococcus_ norA was examined in the genomes of _B. velezensis_ [78]. The _E. coli_ gene _floR_ have been homologs mined in the _B. velezensis_ genomes [79]. _B. subtilis_ 168 gene _aadK_, was mined in the targeted isolates [80]. _B. subtilis_ gene _ycbF_ was explored in the mined strains [81]. _B. subtilis_ gene _vmlR_ was utilized for genome mining [82]. Multidrug exporter genes were mined from all genomes [60].

2.11. Heavy Metals Tolerance

Arsenic detoxification was searched through the use of genes _arsABC_ and _ywrK_ for the detection of putative ability [83]. The _copYZAB_ operon, the component regulators _CueR, CopY_ and _CsoR_ [84], and _ctpAB_ and _ycn_ genes encoding copper resistance proteins [85] were all mined.

Homologs of the _ynbB_ gene from _B. subtilis_ strain 168 have been used as a bait in blast search for homologs in the _Bacillus_ genome collection [77]. Homology of _crcA, cspE, crcB, yhdV_ genes was used as a bait in the search for homologs in _Bacillus_ targeted genomes [86]. The _yceGH_ and _yaaN_ homologs have been searched in all _Bv_ genomes [87]. _CzCD_ gene encoding cadmium, cobalt and zinc/H(+)K(+)-antiporter in _B. subtilis_ and protecting cells against elevated Zn(II), Cu, Co(II), and Ni(II) levels [88] have been used in a blast search of homology in the _Bv_ selected genomes. Homologs of gene _ndoA_ (_ydcE_ and antitoxin gene, _ndoAI_ (_ydcD_)) have been mined [89]. Sensors for metals; _Fur, ArsR, MerR, NikR, DtxR, mtnR_, and _yfmP_ family of metalloregulators of the _B. subtilis_ genome were mined from the different _B. velezensis_ genomes [90].

2.12. Aromatic Compounds Degradation

Toxins, such as vanillate, 4-hydroxybenzoate, salicylic, ferulic, and _p_-coumaric phenolic acids could engender stress responses in microorganisms. _padC_ and _bsdBCD_ (_yclBCD_) phenolic acid decarboxylases of _B. subtilis_ were mined. We also mined the _bsdA_ (_yclA_ ) gene upstream of the _bsdBCD_ operon encoding the _LysR_ -type regulator is the transcriptional
activator of bsdBCD expression in response to phenolic acids [91]. Dibenzothiophene (DBT) is the model compound for sulfur-containing organic molecules. The operon dszABC of Rhodococcus sp. [92] was used as a bait in homology-based searches in B. velezensis genomes. Genome mining of genes encoding homologs of the B. velezensis FZB42 azoR2, mhqADNOPE was realized [93].

2.13. Determination of the Core and Accessory Genomes of the B. velezensis Isolates

The core genome, defined as the sequences present in nearly all genomes of a given species, was determined using Spine from the B. velezensis isolates sequences. On the other hand, the sequences of accessory genome of B. velezensis isolates were identified using Agent [94].

3. Results

A phylogenetic tree of a total 130 B. velezensis bacterial genomes was constructed (Figure 1A) based on the genome relationships among Bv genomes. Bacterial genome size was in the range of 3.38 to 4.97 Mb and the average GC content was between 45.23% and 46.8%. The bacterial collection was divided into four levels of genome sequencing (Figure 1B), where 49% of Bv strains were completely sequenced, 36% were having contig genomes, 11% with scaffold genomes, and 4% with chromosome genomes. Figure 1C demonstrated that almost 38% of the assembled bacteria were isolated from China, 22% were isolated from South Korea, 19% from Ukraine and 5% from the United States. The 16 remaining bacteria were isolated from several other locations, such as Poland, Germany, and Canada. B. velezensis collection were isolated from various substrates (Figure 1D), in fact, almost half of them originated from soil (44%), 6% came from the above ground parts of plants, 4% from either slow sand biofilter or uncut heroin sample, 3% of the totality of Bv bacteria were originally isolated from water or plants roots and bulbs or as endophytes, 1% originated from air, and the remaining 32% came from other different sources. Figure 1E shows that the studied bacterial collection was dotted with various bacterial activities, in fact, 59% bacteria were having a role in suppressing plant and animal pathogens, 31% has plant growth promoting abilities, 8% were successfully used in environmental bioremediation and 2% were having anti-inflammatory activities.

Genomes of 65 strains of B. velezensis showed that the number of new genes decreased conversely to the increase in analyzed genomes (Figure 2). Average nucleotide identity (ANI) clearly showed that four putative species within B. velezensis group could be clearly observed, with ≥98% cut-off between B. velezensis species (Supplementary Figure S1) [47].

Figure 3A evidently shows that the pan genome and the number of analyzed genomes (75 genomes) are increasing proportionally. The pan genome of Bv species was increasing with a value of 0.15 (α = 0.15), indeed, it could be described as an open pan-genome according the Heap’s law [95]. The investigation of COG pathways showed that the core genes were responsible for indispensable functions of the microorganism including transcription, translocation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis. The accessory genes were controlling amino acid transport and metabolism. Lastly, the unique genes were associated with the defense metabolisms and the secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism (Figure 3B). The analysis of KEGG distribution of the B. velezensis genomes were manifested in Figure 3C, where the metabolism of amino acids, terpenoids and polyketides and the xenobiotic biodegradation were mainly positioned in the unique genome. The metabolism of nucleotides, cofactors and vitamins and the signal transduction were manipulated by the accessory genome, while the carbohydrate metabolism, the excretory system, the cell motility and translocation were mainly located in the B. velezensis core genome.
Figure 1. (A) Neighbor-joining phylogenomic tree of Gram-positive bacteria *Bacillus velezensis* isolates. *Bacillus subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* isolate 168 was used as outgroup. Supports for branches were assessed by bootstrap resampling of the dataset with 1000 replications. Each color corresponds to a “sister clade” that represent a putative new species. Percentages of (B) Levels of genome sequencing, (C) Bacterial activity, (D) Substrates, (E) Locations of isolation of biocontrol bacteria *Bacillus velezensis* strains.
Results demonstrate that the secondary metabolites prediction in the core- and accessory-genomes of \textit{Bv} collection underlined the big amount of unknown secondary metabolites. Figure 5A shows that all secondary clusters were carried by the accessory genome except for Basilysin and Amylocyclin existing inside the core genome as well. AntiSMASH program revealed that the size of the accessory genome is significantly correlated to the number of secondary products genes clusters, with a percentage of 9.6% (Figure 5B).
Figure 3. (A) Core-Pan genome plot of B. velezensis isolates based on the number of genomes and number of gene families; (B) COG; and (C) KEGG distribution among core, accessory and unique genomes of B. velezensis isolates.
Figure 4. Secondary metabolite arsenal of *B. velezensis* isolates and its relationship to genome size and accessory genomes. (A) Genome mining of secondary metabolite clusters illustrated by a heat map; (B) Linear relationship between antiSMASH total hits and genome sizes (statistically non-significant); (C) Linear relationship between PRISM total hits and genome sizes (statistically non-significant); (D) Evolution of the number of discovered secondary metabolites in relation to the number of genomes sequenced.
3.2. Prediction of Secondary Metabolites Richness and Location within B. velezensis Genomes

Results demonstrated that the secondary metabolites prediction in the core- and accessory- genomes of Bv collection underlined the big amount of unknown secondary metabolites. Figure 5A shows that all secondary clusters were carried by the accessory genome except for basilysin and amylocyclicin existing inside the core genome as well. AntiSMASH program reveal that the size of the accessory genome is significantly correlated to the number of secondary products genes clusters, with a percentage of 9.6% (Figure 5B).

![Heat map of Bacillus velezensis core and accessory genome secondary metabolites.](image1.png)

![Statistically significant linear relationship between genome sizes and accessory genome antiSMASH total hits](image2.png)

3.3. Prediction of Secondary Metabolites Richness and Location within B. velezensis Genomes

Results demonstrated that the secondary metabolites prediction in the core- and accessory- genomes of Bv collection underlined the big amount of unknown secondary metabolites. Figure 5A shows that all secondary clusters were carried by the accessory genome except for basilysin and amylocyclicin existing inside the core genome as well. AntiSMASH program reveal that the size of the accessory genome is significantly correlated to the number of secondary products genes clusters, with a percentage of 9.6% (Figure 5B).

Results presented in Figure 6 showed that the highest percentage of genes were dedicated for genetic information processing. Amino acid metabolism, cofactors carbohydrate, and vitamins metabolisms were having an equal percentage of dedicated genes. Genes involved in the nucleotide metabolism and energy metabolism are other important ones with high percentages.

Supplementary Figure S3 presented the prediction of genes responsible for extracellular enzymes production. Almost all studied bacterial genomes were having both xylanases and proteases encoding genes. However, amylase encoding genes were present in 74% of studied bacterial genomes and lipases encoding genes were present in 34% of studied bacterial genomes only.
Results presented in Figure 6 showed that the highest percentage of genes were deduced only from bacterial genomes in 74% of studied bacterial genomes and lipases encoding genes were present in 34% of studied bacterial genomes. Genes involved in the nucleotide metabolism and energy metabolism are other important strategies inside of bacterial species. Amino acid metabolism, cofactors carbohydrate metabolism, and nucleotide metabolism are other important strategies inside of bacterial species. The advanced technologies of genome sequencing facilitated the understanding of the genetic relationships and the genomic and metabolic characteristics of *B. velezensis* bacteria [13–16,35,98,99]. In fact, the genome of more than 200 species have been sequenced from 2007 till now [18,26,39,100]. In the current work, genomes sequences of 130 *B. velezensis* strains were selected from the NCBI and comparatively studied. Our results demonstrated that the majority of *B. velezensis* bacterial strains were isolated from soil and were devoted to the biocontrol and plant growth promotion. Similar findings were earlier confirmed by several authors, such as Meng et al. [101] and Grady et al. [102], who mentioned that *Bv* has been extensively utilized as an antagonist agent against a wide range of microbial pathogens in agricultural sector [101,102]. Additionally, Wu et al. [2] and Liu et al. [35] reported that bio-formulation of *B. velezensis* was an effective alternative to chemical pesticides [2,35]. This strain is not well exploited in the medicinal and industrial fields as mentioned by Adeniji and Babalola [5] despite its anticancer properties [103] and its capacity to and degrade harmful industrial products [104].

Here, we explore the genomic relatedness among assembled *B. velezensis* bacteria through the average nucleotide identity (ANI) calculation. We speculate that it indicated four prominent subspecies within *B. velezensis* species despite their genomic similarities (98%) above the cut-off point. Genomic differences between closely related bacteria might be explained by mutation and recombination phenomena [36,37,105]. In silico analysis of the assembled *B. velezensis* strains had led to an open pan-genome that is increasing proportionally to the addition of new sequenced genomes. This finding confirms that *Bv* bacteria are in constant evolution and that saturation was not yet been established [4,106,107]. Additionally, Adeniji and Babalola [5] mentioned that according to genomic analysis, isolated *Bv* bacteria are still showing new distinct characteristics [5].

Figure 3B,C covers COG pathways and KEGG distribution, respectively. They indicate that genes responsible for fundamental functions are distributed in the core genome, genes encoding secondary metabolites biosynthesis are mainly located in the accessory genomes and that the bacterial species diversity is in the unique genome. These finding are in accordance with others obtained by Carlos Guimaraes et al. [4], Belbahri et al. [106], and Slama et al. [108].

The genetic mechanisms of *B. velezensis* genes encoding beneficial functions to plants were explored through genome mining (Supplementary Figure S2). The obtained results were proved by various other reports indicating that *B. velezensis* strains were endowed with plant growth promoting capacities [4,18,32,39,106,109]. Additionally, our results showed that *B. velezensis* bacteria were able to produce diverse secondary metabolites clusters endowed with antimicrobial activities. The clusters were identified through antiSMASH, non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPS), polyketide synthetases (PKS), and other mechanisms.

### 4. Discussion

In recent years, extensive studies have been reported on *B. velezensis* species due to their possibility of utilization in agricultural, environmental, and industrial sectors [18,97]. The advanced technologies of genome sequencing facilitated the understanding of the genetic relationships and the genomic and metabolic characteristics of *B. velezensis* bacteria [13–16,35,98,99]. In fact, the genome of more than 200 species have been sequenced from 2007 till now [18,26,39,100]. In the current work, genomes sequences of 130 *B. velezensis* strains were selected from the NCBI and comparatively studied. Our results demonstrated that the majority of *B. velezensis* bacterial strains were isolated from soil and were devoted to the biocontrol and plant growth promotion. Similar findings were earlier confirmed by several authors, such as Meng et al. [101] and Grady et al. [102], who mentioned that *Bv* has been extensively utilized as an antagonist agent against a wide range of microbial pathogens in agricultural sector [101,102]. Additionally, Wu et al. [2] and Liu et al. [35] reported that bio-formulation of *B. velezensis* was an effective alternative to chemical pesticides [2,35]. This strain is not well exploited in the medicinal and industrial fields as mentioned by Adeniji and Babalola [5] despite its anticancer properties [103] and its capacity to and degrade harmful industrial products [104].

Here, we explore the genomic relatedness among assembled *B. velezensis* bacteria through the average nucleotide identity (ANI) calculation. We speculate that it indicated four prominent subspecies within *B. velezensis* species despite their genomic similarities (98%) above the cut-off point. Genomic differences between closely related bacteria might be explained by mutation and recombination phenomena [36,37,105]. In silico analysis of the assembled *B. velezensis* strains had led to an open pan-genome that is increasing proportionally to the addition of new sequenced genomes. This finding confirms that *Bv* bacteria are in constant evolution and that saturation was not yet been established [4,106,107]. Additionally, Adeniji and Babalola [5] mentioned that according to genomic analysis, isolated *Bv* bacteria are still showing new distinct characteristics [5].

Figure 3B,C covers COG pathways and KEGG distribution, respectively. They indicate that genes responsible for fundamental functions are distributed in the core genome, genes encoding secondary metabolites biosynthesis are mainly located in the accessory genomes and that the bacterial species diversity is in the unique genome. These finding are in accordance with others obtained by Carlos Guimaraes et al. [4], Belbahri et al. [106], and Slama et al. [108].

The genetic mechanisms of *B. velezensis* genes encoding beneficial functions to plants were explored through genome mining (Supplementary Figure S2). The obtained results were proved by various other reports indicating that *B. velezensis* strains were endowed with plant growth promoting capacities [4,18,32,39,106,109]. Additionally, our results showed that *B. velezensis* bacteria were able to produce diverse secondary metabolites clusters endowed with antimicrobial activities. The clusters were identified through antiSMASH, non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPS), polyketide synthetases (PKS), and other mechanisms.
trans-Acyl Transferase Polyketide Synthetase (TransATPKS), and several other tools. This finding is in accordance with other reports confirming the secondary metabolites potentials of *B. velezensis* strains [4,34,60,106]. The rarefaction analysis of the number of discovered antibiotics underlined that saturation has not yet been attained which means that there are clusters encoding novel metabolites that are not described yet. In the same way Cai et al. [29] and Pandin et al. [10] have found clusters encoding new metabolites specific to *B. velezensis* species.

Clustering of the *B. velezensis* core- and accessory genome resulted in a high number of unknown secondary metabolites and proved that almost all secondary metabolites were synthesized by the accessory genome (Figure 5). A set of secondary metabolites were common in several *B. velezensis* bacteria, such as cyclic lipopeptides (surfactin, fengycin, bacillibactin, and bacilycin), polyketides (difficidin, macrolactin, and bacillaene) and some others were specific for few bacteria (locillomycin, subtilin, mersacidin, bacillmycin, and amylolycyclicin). All mentioned secondary metabolites were identified as effective antibacterial and antifungal compounds [28,35,110–112]. Data from bioinformatic analysis of Figure 6 demonstrated the percentage of genes given to a specific function (i.e., genetic information processing, amino acid metabolism, vitamins metabolism, etc.) is related to its importance (fundamental or secondary function). Similar results have been previously reported [107].

The prediction of genes responsible for extracellular enzymes production (Supplementary Figure S3) demonstrated that protease encoding gens were present in almost all bacteria especially theses originating from fermented food. This finding is supported by several other reports indicating that bacteria having strong proteolytic activities could accelerate brewing and fermentation processes [113–117].

5. Conclusions

This study provide evidence that the collected *B. velezensis* bacterial strains were very closely related despite the fact that they originate from different sources and locations. Additionally, the comparative genomic analysis shed light on the secondary metabolites richness which help in the plant growth promotion and biocontrol capacities of *B. velezensis* strains and their possible bio-formulation as biopesticides.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/f12121714/s1, Supplementary Figure S1: Average nucleotide identity (ANI) heatmap of *B. velezensis* group isolates, Supplementary Figure S2: Genome mining homology of genes encoding beneficial functions of *B. velezensis* isolates to plants, Supplementary Figure S3: Heatmap of prediction of genes responsible for extracellular enzymes production. Supplementary Table S1: Diverse secondary metabolites clusters table of *B. velezensis* isolates.
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