Effects of nuclear symmetry energy on \( \eta \) meson production and its rare decay to the dark U-boson in heavy-ion reactions
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Using a relativistic transport model ART1.0, we explore effects of nuclear symmetry energy on \( \eta \) meson production and its rare decay to the dark U-boson in heavy-ion reactions from 0.2 to 10 GeV/nucleon available at several current and future facilities. The yield of \( \eta \) mesons at sub-threshold energies is found to be very sensitive to the density dependence of nuclear symmetry energy. Above a beam energy of about 5 GeV/nucleon in Au+Au reactions, the sensitivity to symmetry energy disappears. Using the branching ratio of the rare \( \eta \) decay (\( \eta \rightarrow \gamma U \)) available in the literature, we estimate the maximum cross section for the U-boson production in the energy range considered, providing a useful reference for future U-boson search using heavy-ion reactions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The physics motivations of this work are twofold. The first purpose is to look for new and possibly more sensitive probes of the high-density behavior of nuclear symmetry energy in high energy heavy-ion collisions. The other purpose is to explore the possibility of producing the neutral vector U-boson (dark photon) introduced in relativistic heavy-ion collisions. The two purposes naturally come together in studying properties of neutron stars where both the possible existence of the U-boson and the high-density behavior of nuclear symmetry energy affect significantly the Equation of State (EOS) of dense neutron-rich nucleonic matter. In fact, it has been shown already that massive neutron stars can be supported even with a rather soft EOS for symmetric nuclear matter and/or a super-soft symmetry energy if the additional interaction between two nucleons due to the exchange of a U-boson is considered. Moreover, both the symmetry energy and the possible U-boson affect the core-crust transition density/pressure and the moment of inertia of neutron stars.

A. Potentials of using \( \eta \) meson production in heavy-ion collisions as a probe of the high-density behavior of nuclear symmetry energy

Nuclear symmetry energy \( E_{sym}(\rho) \) encodes the energy cost of converting all protons into neutrons in symmetric nuclear matter. Because the density dependence of \( E_{sym}(\rho) \) is very important for understanding properties of rare isotopes and neutron stars as well as the dynamics of heavy-ion reactions, supernova explosions and gravitational wave emissions of spiraling neutron star binaries, much efforts have been devoted to extracting the \( E_{sym}(\rho) \) using data from both terrestrial laboratory experiments and astrophysical observations, see e.g., refs. While significant progress has been made recently in constraining the \( E_{sym}(\rho) \) and the slope \( L(\rho_0) = \left[ \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial E_{sym}}{\partial \rho} \right]_{\rho_0} \) at normal density \( \rho_0 \), see, e.g., refs. 23-49, our knowledge about the high-density behavior of the \( E_{sym}(\rho) \) is still very poor. In fact, findings about the high-density \( E_{sym}(\rho) \) from comparing heavy-ion reaction data with various transport model calculations are still inconsistent, see, e.g., refs. 50-53. This is at least partially because of both the uncertainties in the physics inputs and the different numerical techniques in initializing and modeling the transport process of colliding nuclei, see, e.g., refs. 53-58 for discussions on some of the contributing factors. Thus, new and possibly more sensitive probes of the high-density behavior of nuclear symmetry energy are always useful. Since the symmetry (isovector) potential is normally much smaller than the isoscalar potential, in order to observe clearly effects of the symmetry energy/potential in heavy-ion reactions one needs ideally to use slowly moving particles to be acted on by the isovector potential for a long time in a large region of high isospin asymmetry and density gradients. Sub-threshold mesons, such as pions and kaons, especially the ratios of different charge states, are good candidates and have been studied extensively. Transport model calculations have shown consistently that near their respective production threshold, the \( \pi^-/\pi^+ \) and \( K^+/K^0 \) ratios are indeed sensitive to the high-density symmetry energy although comparisons with limited data available are still inconclusive so far. Generally speaking, more massive mesons probe the \( E_{sym}(\rho) \) at higher densities if effects of the final state interactions do not wash out the signal. The \( \eta \) meson of mass 547.853 MeV/c\(^2\) is the most massive member in the octet of pseudoscalar Goldstone mesons. It has significant photon and dilepton decay channels providing the possibility of...
studying the high-density symmetry energy more cleanly
using the electromagnetic probes. In fact, η meson
production in heavy-ion collisions has been studied ex-
tensively both theoretically and experimentally, espe-
cially by the TAPS and HADES Collaborations, see, e.g., refs. [66, 73]. Moreover, as pointed out earlier [66, 67], because
of the hidden strangeness (the \( s\bar{s} \) component), η mesons
experience weaker final state interactions compared to
pions. Contrary to kaons, because the net strangeness
content is zero in η mesons, they can be produced with
out another strange partner in the final state and thus
require less energies. More quantitatively, the threshold
invariant energy for η and kaon production in nucleon-
nucleon collisions is \( (\sqrt{s})_{th}^{\eta} = 2.4 \text{ GeV} \) and
\( (\sqrt{s})_{th}^{\Lambda} = 2.6 \text{ GeV} \), respectively. The corresponding threshold beam energy in free nucleon-nucleon collisions is \( E_{th}^{\eta} = 1.2 \text{ GeV} \) and \( E_{th}^{\Lambda} = 1.6 \text{ GeV} \), respectively. For a compar-
ison, the experimental \( K^+/\pi^+ \) and \( \eta/\pi^0 \) ratios in the
most central Au+Au reactions at 1 GeV/nucleon is ap-
proximately \( 2 \times 10^{-3} \) [72] and \( 1.4 \times 10^{-2} \) [72], respectively.
It is thus interesting to know if the η meson might be use-
ful for exploring the \( E_{sym}(\rho) \). Intuitively, in order for the p-n pair to create a η near its production threshold,
they have to have their momenta oriented opposite to
each other. Thus, near threshold η mesons will probe
sensitively the p-n relative momentum which is deter-
mined mainly by the gradient of the isovector potential.
Compared to pions and kaons, however, the elementary
η production cross sections in baryon-baryon and meson-
baryon scattering still suffer from relatively larger un-
certainties although they are gradually better known as
more data and calculations become available [68,75]. It
is thus necessary to know the optimal beam energies and
the kinematic regions where the yield of η mesons is most sensitive to the \( E_{sym}(\rho) \).

B. Heavy-ion reactions as a possible tool for dark U-boson search

While there are well established observational evi-
dences for dark matter through its gravitational inter-
actions, the mass and interactions of dark matter par-
ticles are completely unknown [79]. Thus, what is dark
matter? It is actually at the very top of the Eleven Sci-
ence Questions for the New Century identified by the
Committee on the Physics of the Universe, US National
Research Council [50]. In fact, much efforts have been
devoted to searching for dark matter candidates, see,
e.g., refs. [81, 82] for reviews. Besides the weakly in-
teracting massive particles as the most popular candi-
dates for dark matter, it has been proposed that light
dark matter particles \( \chi \) with a mass in the range of 0.5-
20 MeV may exist [1, 2]. In particular, the annihilation of \( \chi\chi \rightarrow e^+e^- \) through the exchange of the light vec-
tor U-boson of mass 10-100 MeV, has been used to ex-
plain successfully the INTEGRAL satellite observation
of the excess flux of 511 keV photons from the center re-
gion of our galaxy [83] although alternative explanations
exist [84]. Moreover, assuming the U-boson couples to
quarks as well as electrons [79], the extra contribution
to the pion decay (\( \pi^0 \rightarrow e^+e^- \)) width mediated by an
off-shell U-boson can explain nicely the enhanced pion
decay observed by the KTeV Collaboration [85] in com-
parison with the Standard Model prediction [86]. Fur-
thermore, as mentioned earlier, the extra interaction be-
tween nucleons due to the U-boson exchange may affect
properties of neutron stars [68,87], although it has no
effect on properties of finite nuclei [88]. It may also cause
the deviation from the inverse-square-law of gravity, see,
e.g., [89,91] for recent reviews. The aforementioned stud-
ies and findings have motivated considerable interest in
searching for the U-boson [85]. While various upper lim-
its on the strength and interaction range of the U-boson
have been put forward at various length scales without
contradicting known physics principles and existing ex-
perimental/observational data, see, e.g., ref. [88] for the
latest review, most of the constraints on properties of
the U-boson are indirect. It is thus very interesting to
see that some direct constraints on this kind of gauge
bosons through neutral meson decays in neutrino experi-
ments at CERN have been reported recently [89]. More-
over, several proposals to investigate directly properties
of the U-boson in low energy (\( E_{c.m} \leq 10 \text{ GeV} \)) experi-
ments have been put forward. These include experi-
ments using \( e^+e^- \) colliders through the \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma U \)
process [1, 95, 97, 99], meson rare decays at meson factories
and fixed target electron-nucleus scatterings [92]. Many
mesons can decay into the U-boson with branching ratio
\( BR(X \rightarrow Y + \gamma) \approx \epsilon^2 BR(X \rightarrow Y + \gamma) \) where \( \epsilon \) is the
strength ratio of the U-\( \text{SM} \) particle to \( \gamma \)-\( \text{SM} \) particle cou-
pling. This is then followed by the U decay into dileptons,
\( \epsilon^2 \), \( U \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- \). Using the meson summary tables of the
Particle Data Group, Reece and Wang estimated the U-
producing branching ratios for several mesons [92]. The
\( \eta \) rare decay was shown to be the most promising one.
More quantitatively, the ratio of \( \eta \rightarrow \gamma U, \phi \rightarrow \pi^0 U, \phi \rightarrow \eta U \) and \( K_L^0 \rightarrow \gamma U \) is found to be approximately
\( 320/225/1.3/4.4 \times 10^{-3} \). It is interesting to note that
the \( \gamma + p \rightarrow \eta + p \) reaction with a cross section of ap-
proximately 70 nb will be used for the U-boson search at
the Jlab Eta Factory [100]. As we shall estimate in
this work, the \( \eta \) production cross section in an Au+Au
reaction with a cross section of 0.2 to 10 GeV/GeV energy range is
on the order of 60-6000 mb. While it will be very chal-
 lenging to extract information about the U-boson from
various backgrounds, the relatively large \( \eta \) production
section cross section warrants a U-boson search using heavy-ion
facilities, such as the CSR (Cooler Storage Ring) and the
planned HI24 (High Current Heavy-Ion Accelerator Fa-
cility) in Lanzhou, the FAIR (Facility for Antiproton and
Ion Research) in Darmstadt, NICA (Nuclotron based Ion
Collider Facility) at JINR Dubna and the BES (Beam
Energy Scan) program at RHIC (Relativistic Heavy-Ion
Collider). To explore the idea more quantitatively, we
shall investigate the excitation function of \( \eta \) production

in Au+Au reactions in the energy range covered by these facilities.

II. TRANSPORT MODEL SIMULATIONS OF η MESON PRODUCTION IN HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS

Our study is based on the relativistic transport model ART [101]. The model was developed from the BUU transport model [102] by including more baryon and meson resonances and their interactions. More specifically, the following baryons \( N, \Delta(1232), N^*(1440), N^*(1535), \Lambda, \Sigma, \) and mesons \( \pi, \rho, \omega, \eta, K \) with their explicit isospin degrees of freedom are included. The model was used successfully in studying many features of heavy-ion reactions at AGS energies up to a beam momentum of about 15 GeV/c, for a review, see, ref. [103]. An extended version of ART is also used as a hadronic afterburner in the AMPT (A Multi-Phase Transport Model) for heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC energies [104]. In the ART model, \( \eta \) meson production and absorption are modeled via \( \eta N \leftrightarrow N^*(1535) \). The cross sections for the production and absorption of \( N^*(1535) \) resonance in baryon-baryon collisions and its decay width can be found in refs. [68] [101]. For ease of the following discussions, we emphasize that \( \sigma(NN \rightarrow NN^*(1535)) \approx 2\sigma(NN \rightarrow NN\eta) \) and the elementary cross section for \( \eta \) production is strongly isospin dependent, i.e., \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow p\eta) \approx 3\sigma(nn \rightarrow n\eta) \). The ART1.0 has the option of using different isoscalar potentials for baryons. However, the isovector (symmetry) potential was not implemented earlier. For this exploratory study, we use the Skyrme-type parametrization for the isospin-dependent but momentum-independent mean field potential [101, 103]

\[
U(\rho, \delta, \tau) \equiv A(\rho/\rho_0) + B(\rho/\rho_0)^{\sigma} + 4\pi E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) + (18.6 - F(x)) \times \left( G(x) - 1 \right) (\rho/\rho_0)^{G(x)} \delta^2. \tag{1}
\]

In the above, \( E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) = F(x)\rho/\rho_0 + (18.6 - F(x))(\rho/\rho_0)^{G(x)} \) is the interaction part of nuclear symmetry energy, \( \delta \equiv (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho \) is the isospin asymmetry at density \( \rho \) and \( \tau=1/2(-1/2) \) for neutrons (protons). Adding the kinetic part of nuclear symmetry energy \( E_{\text{sym}}^{\text{kin}}(\rho) = \hbar^2/6m_n(3\pi^2/2)^{2/3} \) where \( m_n \) is the nucleon mass, the symmetry energy corresponding to the single-particle potential in Eq. (1) is

\[
E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) = E_{\text{sym}}^{\text{kin}}(\rho) + E_{\text{sym}}^{\text{pot}}(\rho) = \hbar^2/6m_n(3\pi^2/2)^{2/3} + F(x)\rho/\rho_0 + (18.6 - F(x))(\rho/\rho_0)^{G(x)} \tag{2}
\]

with \( F(x) \) and \( G(x) \) given in Ref. [103] for different values of the parameter \( x \) used to vary the density dependence of \( E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) \). Shown in Fig. 1 is the \( E_{\text{sym}}(\rho) \) with \( x = 1, 0 \) and \(-2\), respectively, from being very soft to very stiff. The mean-field potentials of mesons and baryon resonances in nuclear matter are still largely unknown. We adopt here the minimum assumption that the isoscalar part of the mean-field potential for baryon resonances is the same as that for nucleons and there is no mean-field for mesons as assumed in most transport models. The isovector potential for baryon resonances is taken as an average of that for neutrons and protons with an isospin-dependent weighting factor determined by the square of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in the \( \Delta(N^*) \leftrightarrow \pi + N \) coupling [59]. Contributions of the different charge states of baryon resonances to the isospin asymmetry of the baryonic system are similarly calculated [59]. We choose two sets of parameters \( A \) and \( B \), i.e., \( A= -356 \) (-124) MeV, \( B= 303 \) (70.5) MeV and \( \sigma= 7/6 \) (2) corresponding to the incompressibility of nuclear matter \( K\sim 200 \) (380) MeV at \( \rho_0 = 0.168 \, fm^{-3} \).

![FIG. 1: (Color online) Nuclear symmetry energy as a function of reduced density \( \rho/\rho_0 \) (the symmetry energy at \( \rho_0 \) is taken to be 31.6 MeV) with three values for the parameter \( x \). Taken from Ref. [103].](image)

III. EFFECTS OF NUCLEAR SYMMETRY ENERGY ON \( \eta \) MESON PRODUCTION

Shown in Fig. 2 is a comparison of the ART1.0 model calculations with the TAPS experimental data on the \( \eta \) transverse momentum distribution at midrapidity in Au+Au reaction at a beam energy of 1 GeV/nucleon. In this and the following model calculations, the error bars are statistical in nature. It is seen that our calculations give a reasonable description of the data with \( x = 0 \) and 1 while the stiff nuclear symmetry energy with \( x= -2 \) leads to a significantly larger number of \( \eta \) mesons. For a comparison, we notice that the FOPI \( \pi^+ / \pi^- \) data in Au+Au reactions at beam energies from about 0.4
to 1 GeV/nucleon favor the symmetry energy with $x=1$ \cite{50}. Because $\eta$ is sensitive to the number of $p$-$n$ collisions while the $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio is determined by the ratio of $n$-$n$ and $p$-$p$ colliding pairs, $\eta$ and the $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio provide complementary information about the symmetry energy. It has been argued that sub-threshold $\eta$ production requires multiple nucleon-nucleon scattering over sufficiently long time \cite{60}, it may thus carry information mainly about the equilibrium phase of the reaction. At this stage, the following condition is satisfied between any two regions of density (isospin asymmetry) $\rho_1(\delta_1)$ and $\rho_2(\delta_2)$ \cite{107, 108}

$$E_{\text{sym}}(\rho_1)\delta_1 = E_{\text{sym}}(\rho_2)\delta_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Then, the so-called isospin fractionation occurs, i.e., the density region with a higher symmetry energy $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ will have a lower isospin asymmetry $\delta$ and vice versa. Consequently, with a stiffer symmetry energy the isospin asymmetry $\delta$ is lower in the high density regions where then more $np$ ($pn$) collisions can occur to produce more $\eta$ mesons. While it is the opposite for the $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio.

It is well known that the $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio is more sensitive to the symmetry energy at lower beam energies especially in the sub-threshold region where the mean-field dominates the reaction dynamics and has longer time to modify the momentum of nucleons. To create a $\eta$ at sub-threshold energies requires even longer reaction time for both multiple collisions and the mean-field to act coherently in order to accumulate enough energy on the two colliding baryons or their resonances. Thus, it is interesting to examine the multiplicity of $\eta$ production as a function of incident beam energy. Shown in Fig. 3 are the $\eta$ multiplicity as a function of beam energy in inclusive $\text{Au+Au}$ reactions with both $x = 0$ and $x = -2$. It is seen that the $\eta$ multiplicity decreases rapidly with decreasing beam energy, especially for the soft symmetry energy. The $\eta$ multiplicity saturates at an incident energy of about 10 GeV/nucleon. It is interesting to see that indeed the effect of nuclear symmetry energy is stronger in the deeper sub-threshold region as one expects. In fact, it is more sensitive to the symmetry energy than the $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio in the same energy region \cite{109} although the pion yields are relatively more abundant. More detailed information about $\eta$ production and its dependence on the symmetry energy can be obtained from studying its transverse momentum distri-
K = 380 MeV seems to have little effect on the compressibility of nuclear matter from K = 200 MeV to its maxima of about a factor of 5-10. Changing the in-MeV/c, the effect of nuclear symmetry energy reaches its maxima of a factor of 5-10. Changing the incompressibility of symmetric nuclear matter causes smaller (larger) compression during the reaction, both the production and reabsorption of the near-threshold η production is sensitive to the symmetry energy but not the incompressibility of symmetric nuclear matter.

IV. PRODUCTION OF DARK U-BOSON FROM THE RARE DECAY OF η MESONS IN HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS

Shown in Fig. 4 is such a distribution in terms of \( dM_\eta/dp_t = \frac{dM_\eta}{dp_t} \) for Au+Au reactions at a beam energy of 0.2 GeV/nucleon with different values for the parameter x and the incompressibility K. It is seen that at a transverse momentum of about 200 \( \sim \) 300 MeV/c, the effect of nuclear symmetry energy reaches its maxima of a factor of 5-10. Changing the incompressibility of nuclear matter from K = 200 MeV to K = 380 MeV seems to have little effect on the η yields and its spectrum. While the larger (smaller) incompressibility of nuclear matter causes smaller (larger) compression during the reaction, both the production and reabsorption of η are approximately equally affected. Moreover, as mentioned earlier, the p-n relative momentum is essentially determined by the gradient of the isovector potential. Thus, the near-threshold η production is sensitive to the symmetry energy but not the incompressibility of symmetric nuclear matter.

\[ \sigma_{\gamma U}(x=0) \]

FIG. 5: (Color online) The maximal possible U-boson production cross section from the η rare decay in inclusive Au+Au reaction as a function of beam energy with the soft symmetry energy (x = 0).

We now turn to estimating the maximum U-boson production cross section. For this purpose, we use the maximal U-boson-SM particle coupling constant \( \alpha^2 = 4 \times 10^{-6} \) and \( BR(X \rightarrow Y + \gamma) \approx 0.7 \) \( [62, 95] \). Since the symmetry energy with \( x = 0 \) can better reproduce the η production data from the TAPS collaboration, we present here our estimates using the soft symmetry energy with \( x = 0 \). Using the stiff symmetry energy with \( x = -2 \) would increase the maximal total U-boson production cross section by the same ratio shown in Fig. 3.

Shown in Fig. 5 is the maximal total U-boson production cross section in Au+Au reaction at a beam energy from 0.2 to 10 GeV/nucleon. It is seen that the maximal U-boson production cross section is in the 1-70 \( \mu b \) range which is about 6-8 orders of magnitude higher than that estimated for the \( \gamma + p \) reaction at the Jlab Eta Factory. However, similar to the situations at other facilities, it will be a big challenge to find in the dilepton spectrum in heavy-ion collisions the signature of the dark U-boson decay \( U \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^- \) because of the various backgrounds. Moreover, the predicted U-boson width is only about 10 eV which is much smaller than the resolution of most detectors. Nevertheless, our estimate of the excitation function of U-boson production in heavy-ion collisions provide a useful reference for future U-boson search.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, within the transport model ART1.0 we studied the excitation function of η yield in heavy-ion collisions both as a potential probe of the symmetry energy and as a possible source of the dark U-boson. The yield of η meson is found to be very sensitive to the density dependence of nuclear symmetry energy at subthreshold energies. Using the branching ratio of the rare \( \eta \) decay (\( \eta \rightarrow \gamma U \)) available in the literature, we estimated the maximum cross section for the U-boson production in heavy-ion collisions at a beam energy of 0.2 to 10 GeV/nucleon, providing a useful reference for future U-boson search experimentally.
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