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1. Introduction

Petrochemical manufacturing industries generate large amounts of wastewater composed of aromatic hydrocarbons and organic solvents, e.g., toluene and xylene (TX) [35]. The TX compounds of petrochemical wastewater (PIW) are considered slowly biodegradable and highly toxic, imposing harmful and emerging threats on human health [31]. The unsafe disposal of these hydrocarbons would damage the human organs and central nervous system, or could even cause death at high doses [24]. Because PIW should be managed properly using sustainable and feasible pathways, its treatment should be investigated regarding the environmental and economic points of view.

Various physicochemical methods have been adopted to treat PIW, providing a rapid process accompanied by convenient operation and control. Unlike in biological systems, the physicochemical-based processes could withstand fluctuating temperature patterns and complex discharges within a shorter treatment time [9]. Among the physicochemical treatment techniques, adsorption is becoming a cost-effective, simple, and environmentally friendly option to mitigate PIW-related pollution [1]. Adsorption is not considered an energy-intensive technique because it does not usually require aeration, heating, and/or mixing [16]. Adsorption does not also suffer from sludge handling and management due to the utilization of low quantities of chemical reagents, providing an economically feasible approach [28].
researchers have investigated the treatment of PIW by adsorption onto crumb rubber [3], graphene [16], Na-P1 zeolite [5], silica [24], biocomposite [1], carbon nanotubes [4], and solid waste biochar [15]. Based on these studies, the adsorbent should be abundantly available, eco-friendly, and prepared with a cheap material for providing a stand-alone wastewater treatment system.

In most developing countries, wastewater treatment processes generate large amounts of sewage sludge that could pose serious burdens to the environment (soil and groundwater) [25]. A proper and smart waste (e.g., sludge) management system should be outlined according to the sustainable development goals (SDGs), tackling multiple environmental, economic, and social aspects [8]. Waste sludge could be utilized as a renewable biomass to produce biochar (a carbon-rich material) via pyrolysis [27]. Biochar has been employed as an innovative and effective adsorbent for removing aqueous contaminants such as complex organic compounds from industrial effluents [11]. However, under improper sludge pyrolysis, biochar might be unable to capture large amounts of pollutants due to the associated insufficient surface area and poor porosity [14]. Adding food residues and optimizing the pyrolysis temperature are efficient options to modify the texture, surface functional groups, molecular structure, and pore-size distribution of biochars [22, 32]. For example, eggshell waste could be utilized for producing multiple functional groups and mineral components (calcite) to biochars [6]. This pattern would enhance the intrinsic features and pore structure of biochars to improve the adsorption performance.

A significant gap exists in the literature regarding the relationship between biochar manufacturing, SDGs, and economic feasibility, which should be comprehensively addressed. Hence, this study is the first to illustrate the interlinkage between PIW treatment and the three pillars of sustainability via the techno-economic feasibility of a modified biochar adsorbent. In particular, the study objectives are fourfold: (i) characterize biochar produced from sludge and eggshell wastes, (ii) determine optimum pyrolysis temperature, effects of adsorption conditions on TX removal, and suggested adsorption mechanisms, (iii) test the synthesized biochar for treating real PIW under successive adsorption/regeneration cycles, and (iv) highlight the achievable SDGs, environmental considerations, and economic feasibility associated with the study outputs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Wastewater Preparation/Collection. The synthetic medium was prepared by initially dissolving calculated volumes of each of T and X compounds in a small amount of methanol, not exceeding 1% in the stock solutions. The standard stock solutions (1000 mg/L of each of T and X) were diluted with appropriate amounts of distilled water to prepare mono-component systems (T and X: 40 – 200 mg/L). The suspension pH was regulated by adding either 0.1 M NaOH or 0.1 M HCl solutions. For treating real PIW, a petrochemical industry situated in Alexandria, Egypt, was selected to collect the wastewater samples. The received PIW samples were directly used in the adsorption treatment assays without further adjustments. All solutions were stored in glass-stoppered bottles under the dark condition at 4°C. All reagents and solvents were of analytical grade (Sigma–Aldrich; >99% purity) and used without further purification.

2.2. Biochar Adsorbent Preparation. Sewage sludge was collected from the Alexandria East wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) located in Alexandria, Egypt. All the sludge samples were oven-dried (100°C for 24 hrs), and then screened using a 60-mesh sieve. Sludge pyrolysis was performed in an oxygen-deprived condition using a muffle furnace (Asahi Rika tabletop, AMF-25 N, Japan) to prepare the raw biochar (BC) material. The pyrolysis temperatures were investigated at 300, 350, 400, 450, 500, and 550°C with a 5°C/min heating rate for 60 min, based on the methods of earlier reports [2, 39]. These biochars were labeled as BC300, BC350, BC400, BC450, BC500, and BC550, respectively. The raw biochars (300–550°C) were examined for TX adsorption, and the best pyrolysis temperature was selected to prepare eggshell-modified biochar (EMBC), following our previous study [18].

2.3. Adsorption Experiment. In the first experiment, single-component sorption assays were performed in 250 mL flasks under 100 rpm stirring rate at room temperature (25 ± 2°C). The influences of adsorption factor on TX removal were statistically investigated using a one-factor-at-a-time method. Briefly, the first run was conducted by varying the pH values from 2 to 12 at adsorbent dosage = 1 g/L and C0 = 100 mg/L within 60 min. The optimized pH was used in the second assay at C0 = 100 mg/L for 60 min with increasing the adsorbent dosage from 2 to 10 g/L. These optimum pH and dosage values were further used to operate the adsorption system for 60 min with varying C0 from 40 to 200 mg/L. The optimum pH, adsorbent dosage, and C0 were used in the fourth experimental assay to investigate the influence of adsorption time (5–240 min) on TX removal. The measured TX concentrations were used to estimate the adsorption performance in Eqs. (1) and (2).

\[ R = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100 \]  

\[ q = (C_0 - C_e) \times \frac{V}{m} \]

where R is the adsorbate removal percentage (%), q is uptake capacity (mg adsorbate/g biochar), C0 and Ce are the initial and equilibrium adsorbate concentrations (mg/L), respectively, m is biochar mass (g), and V is the flask working volume (L).

The second experiment was conducted to determine the adsorption performance and stability of biochar for real PIW treatment. For this purpose, five adsorption/regeneration cycles were conducted to assess biochar reusability. Before each re-adsorption trial, the utilized biochar was washed with methanol and then dried overnight at 105°C. All experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the average results were recorded.
Table 1: Physiochemical, BET surface area and pore properties of biochars produced at various pyrolysis temperatures (300–550°C).

| Properties          | BC 300 | BC 350 | BC 400 | BC 450 | BC 500 | BC 550 |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Yield (wt. %)       | 66.10  | 54.20  | 45.82  | 38.60  | 38.55  | 38.09  |
| pH                  | 7.87   | 8.13   | 8.49   | 8.68   | 8.76   | 8.88   |
| C (w/w %)           | 84.79  | 77.55  | 73.4   | 69.28  | 65.56  | 67.43  |
| N (w/w %)           | 5.90   | 5.56   | 5.23   | 4.71   | 4.18   | 4.16   |
| O (w/w %)           | 18.09  | 17.82  | 15.68  | 12.62  | 9.86   | 6.73   |
| C/N ratio           | 14.37  | 13.95  | 14.03  | 14.71  | 15.68  | 16.21  |
| O/C ratio           | 0.21   | 0.23   | 0.21   | 0.18   | 0.15   | 0.10   |
| S (w/w %)           | 0.92   | 0.48   | 2.13   | 3.82   | 2.94   | 1.79   |
| Ca (w/w %)          | 3.40   | 3.79   | 7.69   | 7.81   | 7.62   | 9.00   |
| BET (m²/g)          | 0.227  | 0.282  | 1.266  | 22.680 | 27.240 | 52.210 |
| Total pore volume (cm³/g) | 0.00562 | 0.00745 | 0.00919 | 0.02962 | 0.01087 | 0.03238 |
| Average pore size (nm) | 14.00  | 12.60  | 12.20  | 1.29   | 1.27   | 1.23   |

2.4. Analytical Analysis. Biochars were characterized for their specific surface area and pore-size distribution using the methods of Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) and the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) [23]. BELSORP-mini II instrument (BEL Japan, Inc.) was assigned for measuring the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms at 77 K, following Shaaban et al. [27]. Proximate analysis and pH of biochars were determined as adapted from Fidel et al. [10]. X-ray diffractometer (XRD) (Shimadzu Xlab 6100, Kyoto, Japan) was used to analyze the biochar crystallinity over a 2θ range of 5–80° (0.02° step size @ 12°C/min). The XRD instrument was operated with Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å) at 40 kV and 30 mA. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy (Vertex 70, Bruker Optics Inc., Ettlingen, Germany) was used to detect the shift in peak wavenumbers associated with the adsorption process. The change in FTIR bands was recorded over the 4000–400 cm⁻¹ region. The surface morphology of biochars was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JSM-6010LV JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis in conjunction with the SEM instrument was employed to provide information of the biochars’ elemental composition (e.g., C, N, and O), following the procedures reported elsewhere [2, 15]. For identifying the benzene, T, ethylene, and X components, the liquid species (e.g., N, S, and Ca) that have probably been embedded in the components of the collected sewage sludge. The reduction of the C, N, and O fractions for biochars pyrolyzed in the O/C ratio between 0.10 and 0.23 could be linked to the formation of the organic nitrogen fractions [30]. The change in the O/C ratio between 0.10 and 0.23 could be linked to the degree of polarity [2], attaining a minimum O/C ratio of 0.10 at 550°C. A low O/C ratio at elevated pyrolysis temperatures is an indication of the high aromatic nature (i.e., the aromatic linkages were more stable) of the investigated biochars [30]. This O/C range is comparable to O/C 0.07 – 0.27 for biochar-based crop residues [2], but lower than O/C 0.59 – 0.71 for biochars obtained from digested sludge [32].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Biochar Characterization

3.1.1. Biochar Yield And Nutrient Content. Table 1 represents the variation in the properties and nutrient availability of biochars under different pyrolysis temperature conditions. The pyrolysis temperature substantially affected the yield of biochars. For instance, at 300°C the biochar yield was 66.1%, representing about 2-folds the yield at 450°C. The negative correlation between the biochar yield and the pyrolysis temperature could be ascribed to the thermal decomposition and gasification of the sludge components, such as volatile compounds and other non-carbon elements [32]. The volatilization of organic acids under high-temperature conditions also contributed to the rise in pH values (Table 1). Shaaban et al. [26] reported that elevated pyrolysis temperatures would increase the amounts of basic OH groups on the biochar surface, accompanied by eliminating various acidic functional groups. Increasing the total surface basicity of biochars, owing to organic acid decomposition, at elevated pyrolysis temperatures has also been verified [25]. However, increasing the pyrolysis temperature to 550°C provided an insignificant (p > 0.05) impact on the biochar yield, assigning that the carbonization process was almost completed.

Table 1 also revealed that biochars contained nutrient species (e.g., N, S, and Ca) that have probably been embedded in the components of the collected sewage sludge. The reduction of the C, N, and O fractions for biochars pyrolyzed at 300–550°C could be ascribed to the pyrogenic decomposition and emission of volatile matters at elevated temperatures [26]. Hence, a high proportion of nutrients would be maintained in biochars synthesized at low pyrolysis temperatures. The variation of the nitrogen properties with temperature (Table 1) could be assigned to the loss of volatile nitrogen species, such as ammonia or volatile amines, obtaining stable pyridine compounds at elevated temperatures [14]. Moreover, the C/N ratio of the biochar products varied as a result of carbonization along with the conversion and transformation of the organic nitrogen fractions [30]. The change in the O/C ratio between 0.10 and 0.23 could be linked to the degree of polarity [2], attaining a minimum O/C ratio of 0.10 at 550°C. A low O/C ratio at elevated pyrolysis temperatures is an indication of the high aromatic nature (i.e., the aromatic linkages were more stable) of the investigated biochars [30]. This O/C range is comparable to O/C 0.07 – 0.27 for biochar-based crop residues [2], but lower than O/C 0.59 – 0.71 for biochars obtained from digested sludge [32].

3.1.2. BET Surface Area. Increasing the pyrolysis temperature from 300 to 550°C provided a positive impact on
the BET surface area, which improved from 0.23 to 52.21 m²/g, respectively (Table 1). This BET surface area is higher than that (2.0–3.2 m²/g) of biochar prepared from poultry litter [30]. For the preparation of rice husk biochars [28], the BET surface area was increased from 45.2 to 193.1 m²/g with raising the pyrolysis temperature from 500 to 700 °C, respectively. Comparable patterns were noticed for the total pore volume (Table 1), which could be linked to a high degree of carbonization and the release of most volatiles with elevating the temperature from 300 to 550 °C. These observations were also confirmed by the BET N₂ adsorption/desorption assays and BJH pore-size distribution of different biochars (Figure 1(a)), implying the presence of mesopores and micropores. The pore-size distribution of BC 300–400°C was within the 2–50 nm range, denoting a mesoporous material classification. The pore-size of biochars BC 450–550°C obeyed the micropores region (<2 nm). Based on the aforementioned results, a high pyrolysis temperature would develop the biochar surface area, i.e., an essential property for entrapping high amounts of molecules via the pore-filling mechanism [26]. Additionally, an increase in pyrolysis temperature would provide an appropriate condition for attaining a high ash content and a stable physicochemical quality, which complied with the study by Song and Guo [30].

### 3.1.3. XRD Pattern

The crystallinity of biochars synthesized at different pyrolysis temperatures was estimated using the XRD analysis (Figure 1(b)). The XRD patterns of biochars BC 300–550°C exhibited the predominance of two main crystalline minerals, i.e., quartz (SiO₂) and calcite (CaCO₃). Similarly, Kraiem et al. [17] indicated the occupancy of quartz and calcite in biochar prepared from the pyrolysis of waste fish fats at a temperature of 500 °C. The XRD peaks observed at 2θ approximately of 20.9°, 36.6°, 50.2°, and 60.0° could be associated with (100), (110), (112) and (121) planes of SiO₂, respectively [13]. This result suggests that SiO₂ was the main crystalline phase for all biochars pyrolyzed throughout the temperature 300–550°C range. Some peaks of quartz for BC450–550°C were higher than those for BC300–400°C, indicating the increment of quartz crystallization in biochars when the heating temperature increased. However, the peak intensities of calcite decreased with an increase in temperature, which could be due to decomposing carbonaceous materials (calcite) after thermal treatment with elevated temperatures [26]. The presence of calcite in all biochar samples would elucidate the pH distribution within the alkaline range (Table 1), as previously recognized by Yuan et al. [34]. Similarly, Fidel et al. [10] demonstrated that the intensities of XRD peaks ascribed to the mineral content (e.g., sylvite, calcite, and dolomite) of biochar were diminished under a high thermal treatment condition.

### 3.1.4. FTIR and Functional Groups

Figure 1(c) shows the functional groups on the biochar surface treated at various pyrolysis temperatures (300–550°C). The intensity of peaks between 3270 and 3380 cm⁻¹ decreased largely with increasing the pyrolysis temperature. This finding suggested that the organic O–H group was unstable at elevated temperatures, probably due to the biochar structure dehydration [14]. Similarly, the reduction in peak intensities at 3250–3400 cm⁻¹ under higher temperature conditions could be associated with decomposing the organic nitrogen (N–H) groups in amines and amides. This finding could also explain the variation in the C/N ratio along with the pyrolysis temperature, as previously listed in Table 1. The dominance of alkyl and oxygenated functional groups (e.g., C–O, C=O, COOH, –OH, and C=O) for BC300°C indicated that the biochar surface was more aliphatic at lower pyrolysis temperature. However, the structures of BC400–550°C were more aromatic at higher pyrolysis temperature (>400°C) because of the dehydroxylation and volatilization process, supporting crystallization [20]. Again, this finding complied with the XRD pattern (Figure 1(b)), suggesting that biochars were more crystalline at higher pyrolysis temperatures.

Hence, the arrangement of carbon structures was adjusted from aliphatic to aromatic, with raising the pyrolysis temperature from 300 to 550°C. Moreover, some adsorption bands between 2850 and 2950 cm⁻¹ for BC300–400°C were gradually disappeared at pyrolysis temperatures over 400°C due to the instability of the aliphatic hydrocarbon (–CHₓ) groups. The change in C=O stretching (around 1700 cm⁻¹) with temperature could be ascribed to the formation of some conjugated aromatic carbonyl/carboxyl groups at exceeding the pyrolysis temperature over 300°C[39]. A slight change in the peak intensity at 1604 cm⁻¹ with elevating the pyrolysis temperature could be associated with the stability of conjugated aromatic ring stretching of C=C groups [22], viz., this observation also supports the decrease of O/C ratio (see Table 1). Moreover, the biochar surface would be more hydrophobic at elevated temperatures because of the evolution of oxygen functional groups via gasification [20, 26]. Accordingly, the –OH, –NH, and –CH₃ groups were unstable, with a concomitant increase in aromaticity at elevated temperatures. The decrease in the polar functional groups for BC400–550°C revealed the establishment of a condensed and carbonized structure owing to higher mass loss (Figure 1(c)). The presence of more functional groups (Figure 1(d)) for EMBC, viz., alkane (CH₃ and CH₂) bands, aromatic (C=C stretching), esters (S-OR) group, and alcohol (OH stretch and H-bonded) would be useful to form interactions and complexion with the adsorbate compounds.

### 3.1.5. SEM

Figure 2 shows the surface morphologies of the biochar samples (BC300–550°C). The SEM micrographs clearly indicated the development of irregular and uneven biochar surfaces with channels and well-defined and vacant pores. A smooth texture and incomplete decomposition were noticed for BC300–400°C. However, the surfaceroughness and intensity increased for BC450–550°C, suggesting an improved porosity. This finding could be ascribed to the release of volatiles during carbonization with elevating the pyrolysis temperature [37].

### 3.2. Toluene and Xylene (TX) Removals in Single-Solute System

#### 3.2.1. Effect of pH on TX Adsorption

Figure 3(a) shows the influence of solution pH on TX adsorption by biochars
Figure 1: Biochar adsorbent characterization: (a) BET nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and BJH pore-size distribution, (b) XRD patterns of BC 300–550°C, (c) FTIR spectra of BC 300–550°C before adsorption, and (d) FTIR spectra of BC 550°C and EMBC 550°C after adsorption.
(BC and EMBC). For BC, the T removal efficiency improved from 46.8 to 75.1%, with an increase in the solution pH from 2 to 10, respectively, suggesting that pH around 10 was favourable for the adsorption process. At the pH 10 condition, the X removal efficiency was comparable to T and reached 73.4%. The EMBC adsorbent attained better adsorption capabilities compared with BC, exhibiting the highest removal efficiencies of 82.1% for T and 86.1% for X. At lower pH, the high concentration and mobility of H⁺ ions tended to generate extremely protonated sorption sites [9], causing electrostatic repulsion to hinder the TX uptake ability. The data in Figure 3(a) also revealed that a further increase in solution pH over 10 caused a significant (p > 0.01) enhancement in the removal efficiencies of the TX adsorbate. This result could be ascribed to the competition between the adsorbate molecules and hydroxide (OH⁻) ions for the active sites occupying the biochar surface [21]. The point of zero charge (pHpzc) also showed a zero electrical charge density on the biochar surface at a solution pH of about 10 (Supplementary Figure S1). In another study, Jayawardhana et al. [15] found that pH conditions of 8.3 and 9.0 were favourable for the adsorption of toluene and m-xylene onto biochar prepared from municipal solid waste.

The affinity of EMBC towards TX adsorption over a wide pH scale could be ascribed to the increase in the degree of ionization of surface functional groups (see Figure 1(d)) essential for binding the hydrophobic TX compounds with the biochar adsorbent. Moreover, the TX removals under various pH conditions implied the occurrence of additional adsorption mechanisms such as π-π bonding interaction and hydrophobic interaction [37].

3.2.2. Effect of Biochar Dosage on TX Adsorption. Figure 3(b) represents the influence of biochar dosage on TX removal by BC and EMBC. The removal efficiencies were improved from 77.8 to 84.1% for T and from 80.2 to 87.8% for X with increasing the EMBC dosage from 2 to 10 g/L, respectively. These efficiencies were higher than the adsorption patterns attained using the unmodified BC biochar. The increase in the number of active adsorption sites on biochars, following the increment in biochar dosage, tended to uptake additional amounts of TX molecules [31]. Due to the insignificant (p > 0.05) variation in the TX removal efficiencies within the range of biochar dosage investigated, 2 g/L was selected for further investigations. This selection would minimize the requirement of chemical regeneration, disposal of exhausted adsorbents, and operational and maintenance issues.

3.2.3. Effect of Initial Concentration (C₀) on TX Adsorption. Figure 3(c) shows the effect of C₀ on TX adsorption at the optimum factors (pH = 10, biochar dosage = 2 g/L, and time = 60 min). For the EMBC adsorbent, an increase in
Co from 40 to 200 mg/L, respectively, caused a significant ($p < 0.05$) drop in the removal efficiencies of T from 79.1 to 39.0% and X from 86.6 to 42.4%. These data were better than the removal efficiencies observed when using raw BC biochars as an adsorbent material (Figure 3(c)). The negative correlation between the TX removal efficiency and $C_o$ could be ascribed to the low ratio of adsorption sites-to-TX molecules (or the full occupancy of the available adsorption sites) at elevated $C_o$ [3]. Moreover, the active binding sites of biochars would be saturated with an increase in the TX concentrations [33], suggesting that the removal efficiencies of the TX molecules by biochars were dependent on the $C_o$ 40–200 mg/L range.

3.2.4. Effect of Adsorption Time on TX Adsorption. Figure 3(d) shows the effect of adsorption time on the removal percentage of TX by biochars (BC and EMBC) at pH = 10 and dosage = 2 g/L. For the EMBC material, the removal efficiencies of T and X were 69.6 and 72.1% at adsorption time = 120 min, respectively. These removal efficiencies were insignificantly ($p > 0.05$) improved to 74.4 and 79.0%, respectively, with an additional increase in adsorption time to 240 min. The rapid enhancement in TX adsorption within the initial 120 min could be ascribed to the accessibility of large amounts of vacant active sites [35]. The slight increase in the removal efficiencies after 120 min could be attributed to (i) a reduction in the number of vacant active sites of biochars [5], and (ii) a formation of repulsion between the adsorbate molecules [15]. A fast removal rate, followed by a reduced pattern indicated a two-step adsorption process [33].

These results depicted that the equilibrium condition for adsorbing TX onto biochars would occur after a contact time of 120 min. A longer equilibrium time of 24 h was reported for the adsorption of T and X onto zeolite Na-P1, with removal efficiencies of 55% and 77–99%, respectively [5]. Jayawardhana et al. [15] found that about 5 h was suitable to attain an equilibrium time for adsorbing toluene and m-xylene by municipal solid waste biochar.

3.2.5. Adsorption Mechanisms. The results of adsorption isotherms revealed that the TX adsorbates were strongly attached to the EMBC surface via higher binding energy, as compared with BC (supplementary Figure S2; Table S1). Moreover, X had a better adsorption intensity or degree of favorability for adsorption than T. The TX molecules would form a uniform monolayer on the biochar surface within specific sites having equivalent sorption energy. In addition, all binding sites on biochars have equal affinity for the TX sorbate. The adsorption favorability followed the order of $X > T$, and X needed a shorter time than T to attain a specific fractional uptake (supplementary Figure S3; Table S2). This pattern could be linked to an increase in both molecular weight (X 106.17 g/mol > T 92.14 g/mol) and hydrophobicity (X 2.77 – 3.15 > T 2.69), and a decrease in water solubility (X 175 – 198 mg/L < T 515 mg/L) [5]. A similar arrangement of adsorption favorability was established for the adsorption of
TX ontosilica-based adsorbents [24] and multiwall carbon nanotubes [4]. Moreover, a higher affinity for X removal could be associated with the presence of two methyl groups connected to the benzene ring, augmenting the interaction with the biochar surface [16]. The reusability and recyclability of TX-loaded biochars were attained for five consecutive adsorption-desorption cycles (supplementary Figure S4).

According to the overall observation above, the adsorption of TX onto biochars could be graphically represented by Figure 4.

These adsorption mechanisms, occurring either in series or in parallel, could be summarized as follows:

(a) Monolayer adsorption onto nearly homogenous binding sites, and no interaction would occur among adsorbate species, as revealed by the high fitting accuracy of the Langmuir isotherm model (see supplementary Figure S2 and Table S1).

(b) Precipitation and pore-filling, as depicted by the physical properties of adsorbents having many pores of different sizes (micropores and mesopores) (Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2).

(c) Electrostatic interactions due to the significant influence of solution pH on TX removal (Figure 3(a)), and the corresponding variation in electric charges (solution pH vs. biochar pH_{PZC}).

(d) Hydrogen bonding interaction with carboxyl and ester carbonyl groups of biochars

(e) π-π dispersive interaction between carboxylic oxygen-atom of biochar (electron-donor) and the aromatic ring of TX (electron-acceptor).

(f) Van der Waals sorption interaction between TX aromatic ring and electron-donating functional groups, as depicted by the surface functional group changes after adsorption (Figure 1(d)).

(g) Multiple diffusion mechanisms (surface/porediffusions), as revealed by the kinetic model parameters (see supplementary Figure S3; Table S2).

(h) A minor contribution of chemisorption

3.3. Real Petrochemical Wastewater Application. The treatment of real PIW by biochars is an essential step towards the practical use of sludge-based adsorbents for industrial-scale applications. Wastewater samples collected from a petrochemical industry were composed of TOC = 127.4 ± 6.1 mg/L, benzene = 94.1 ± 4.6 mg/L, T = 340.2 ± 13.0 mg/L, ethylene = 457.0 ± 32.0 mg/L, and X = 55.2 ± 3.4 mg/L, representing a multi (competitive) aqueous solution. After the first adsorption cycle, the reductions of TOC, benzene, T, ethylene, and X denoted C/C_0 = 0.61, 0.66, 0.61, 0.78, and 0.61, respectively, within 60 min (Figure 5(a) - 5(e)). Further, the EMBC material was regenerated and subjected to PIW (i.e., second cycle within 60 min). The C/C_0 values were changed to 0.67, 0.73, 0.64, 0.80, and 0.66, respectively, suggesting a slight decline in the adsorption performance. After five regeneration cycles, the removal efficiencies of TOC, benzene, T, ethylene, and X decreased by 51.6%, 58.3%, 43.9%, 66.6%, and 48.8%, respectively. The decline in the biochar adsorption performance along with the successive adsorption/regeneration cycles could be ascribed to (i) the loss of the adsorbent active binding sites, and/or (ii) the presence of multiple anions and cations in the real-world industrial discharges [3, 24]. With a good regeneration ability, this high adsorption capacity validates the application of biochar-based adsorption as a tertiary treatment phase for real PIW.

3.4. Application Prospects and Cost Estimation. The environmental and economic aspects relating to the adsorption system were described for both BC and EMBC to determine the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of the adsorbent material. The cost estimation was derived as reported by Hamdy et al. (2019) and Mahmoud et al. [21]. The calculations in Table 2 were represented with a precision of ±10% due to variations in local currency among countries used for assumptions.

3.4.1. Total Capital Investment (TCI). The total capital investment (TCI) refers to the sum of money spent on buildings and equipment to establish the project objectives [36]. TCI can be calculated in terms of fixed capital cost (FCC)
and working capital cost (WCC). As listed in Table 2, FCC was presumed regarding the land footprint, building of the offices and laboratories, electro-mechanical equipment, and piping and fitting, as reported by Elhafez et al. [7]. The low land and building costs were assigned to the financial support of the local government, attaining a "Waste Recycling" program. The equipment cost of EMBC was higher than that of BC due to the use of additional machines for handling, washing, drying, and crushing the eggshell waste [29]. The installation and construction processes were estimated as 50% of the equipment cost. Accordingly, the FCC values were 4.20 USD/m³ for BC and 5.60 USD/m³ for EMBC. The WCC of the project was assigned to 6.5% of the FCC and regeneration costs, denoting the financing expenses used to run the adsorption process on a daily basis. Hence, the WCC values used to maintain the daily operations were

![Diagram](image-url)

**Figure 5:** Performance of EMBC for treating real petrochemical effluents under five successive adsorption/regeneration cycles.
0.41 USD/m$^3$ for BC and 0.55 USD/m$^3$ for EMBC. In this regard, the synthesis of BC and EMBC adsorbents entailed TCI values of 6.71 and 8.95 USD/m$^3$, respectively. A higher TCI of EMBC could be linked to the additional preparation procedures, including washing, drying/heating, and milling/sieving steps.

### 3.4.3. Net Profit and Payback Period

The annual operation cost (AOC) was estimated on a yearly basis, concerning raw material, utilities, operating labor, laboratory cost, maintenance and repair, and necessary extra costs [36]. The components of AOC were derived based on an operating time of 336 days per year, viz., 8-h shifts per day, 7 days per week, and 48 weeks per year, including 5 weeks for maintenance. The cost of raw material depended on sewage sludge and eggshells required for the pyrolysis process, as well as reagents (e.g., about 9 USD for each liter of H$_2$SO$_4$ 95% and 10 USD for each kg of pure NaOH) [29]. The sewage sludge is not always available for free, and the chemicals were purchased from local chemical suppliers. Table 2 also lists the cost of utilities, including tariff levels of 0.12 USD/m$^3$ for water supply and 0.03 USD/kWh for electricity requirement [9]. The operators and workers earned low hourly wages as the adsorption process is relatively simple and requires little experience for operation [12]. Extra costs include adsorbent regeneration and recycling, and waste released during the preparation process. The maintenance and insurance expenses required for the regular repairing of damages were equivalent to 2% and 1% of FCC, respectively. According to the above calculations and data obtained from previous studies, the AOC of 0.98 USD/m$^3$/y for BC and 1.30 USD/m$^3$/y for EMBC could be determined.

### 3.4.2. Annual Operation Cost (AOC)

The annual operation cost (AOC) was estimated on a yearly basis, concerning raw material, utilities, operating labor, laboratory cost, maintenance and repair, and necessary extra costs [36]. The components of AOC were derived based on an operating time of 336 days per year, viz., 8-h shifts per day, 7 days per week, and 48 weeks per year, including 5 weeks for maintenance. The cost of raw material depended on sewage sludge and eggshells required for the pyrolysis process, as well as reagents (e.g., about 9 USD for each liter of H$_2$SO$_4$ 95% and 10 USD for each kg of pure NaOH) [29]. The sewage sludge is not always available for free, and the chemicals were purchased from local chemical suppliers. Table 2 also lists the cost of utilities, including tariff levels of 0.12 USD/m$^3$ for water supply and 0.03 USD/kWh for electricity requirement [9]. The operators and workers earned low hourly wages as the adsorption process is relatively simple and requires little experience for operation [12]. Extra costs include adsorbent regeneration and recycling, and waste released during the preparation process. The maintenance and insurance expenses required for the regular repairing of damages were equivalent to 2% and 1% of FCC, respectively. According to the above calculations and data obtained from previous studies, the AOC of 0.98 USD/m$^3$/y for BC and 1.30 USD/m$^3$/y for EMBC could be determined.

Table 2: Primary techno-economic estimation for producing biochars to treat wastewater-containing aromatic hydrocarbons, with ±10% precision.

| Item                                      | BC  | EMBC | Unit   |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|------|--------|
| Building and construction                 | 0.97| 1.23 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Equipment purchase and installation      | 1.51| 2.02 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Instrumentation and control               | 0.71| 0.90 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Electrical system                         | 0.67| 1.01 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Piping system                             | 0.34| 0.45 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Fixed capital cost (FCC)                  | 4.20| 5.60 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Regeneration and recycling system         | 2.10| 2.80 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Working capital cost (WCC)                | 0.41| 0.55 | USD/m$^3$ |
| Total capital investment (TCI)            | 6.71| 8.95 | USD/m$^3$ |

Calculation of annual operation cost (AOC) in USD/m$^3$/yr

| Item                                      | BC  | EMBC | Unit   |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|------|--------|
| Raw material and chemicals                | 0.17| 0.23 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Waste generation and disposal             | 0.23| 0.29 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Utilities (water and electricity)         | 0.25| 0.31 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Extra cost                                | 0.22| 0.30 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Maintenance                               | 0.08| 0.11 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Insurance                                 | 0.04| 0.06 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Annual operation cost (AOC)               | 0.98| 1.30 | USD/m$^3$/yr |

Calculation of net profit (NP in USD/m$^3$/yr) and payback period (years)

| Item                                      | BC  | EMBC | Unit   |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|------|--------|
| Annual profitability and revenue          | 1.49| 2.48 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Benefits of tertiary treated wastewater   | 0.23| 0.36 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Net profit (NP)                           | 0.74| 1.54 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Tax                                       | 0.11| 0.23 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Net profit (after tax)                    | 0.62| 1.30 | USD/m$^3$/yr |
| Payback period = TCI/net profit (after tax)| 10.74| 6.86| Years  |
and EMBC, respectively. Further, the adsorption process was economically evaluated using the payback period; i.e., a general criterion obtained from TCI divided by NP. The payback periods (after 15% local tax) were 10.7 years for BC and 6.9 years for EMBC. These periods are shorter than the project lifetime (i.e., 15 years), signifying a profitability scheme. In addition, the payback period of EMBC was shorter than that of BC because the modified biochar-related project would recover its initial investment rapidly.

3.5. Sludge-Based Biochar Technology for Meeting Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The increasingly stringent environmental regulations have strongly imposed the researchers to find viable and economically feasible scenarios for industrial effluent treatment. Table 3 summarizes the number of SDGs that could be relevant to the study objectives. In this work, the EMBC adsorbent showed appropriate PIW treatment under repeated adsorption-regeneration (five) cycles, expressing a good reusable and recycling ability for industrial applications. This property would reduce the environmental risks caused by industrial effluents; hence, goal 6 (Clean Water and Sanitation) and goal 14 (Life below Water) could be partially achieved. The study also represented the utilization of material of natural origin (eggshells) for synthesizing biochar with unique properties, such as a low O/C ratio (see Table 1). Hence, no toxic chemicals or reagents were used during preparation, implying that biochar would act as a competitive and sustainable resource. The prepared biochar would have other applications such as soil quality improvement, catalysts, additives for anaerobic digestion/composting, and reducing GHG emissions. These applications have been comprehensively reviewed by Zhang et al. [38]. The public should have adequate education, skills, and awareness about the potential human health, socio-economic, and environmental advantages associated with biochar production. Proper mass media, advertisements, and programs should transfer the understanding of biochar-based projects to the public sector, especially in developing countries. The social benefit relevant to achievable SDGs should ensure jobs creation, awareness, education, and the standard of living.

3.6. Progress and Future Perspectives. Because the reusability of exhausted biochars is essential under real PIW wastewater applications, further studies are required to develop a convenient and economical regeneration method. Various thermal, biological, micro-wave, and ultrasound methods could be used to enhance the biochar regeneration process by liberating the complex organic contaminations. Several parameters, such as breakthrough time, desorption cycles and capacity, and bed exhaustion time, should be optimized to improve the regeneration efficiency. Moreover, the solvent used as an eluting agent for biochar regeneration should be appropriately selected based on its concentration, volume, and preferable pH condition, improving the economic viability of the adsorption/desorption cycles. The ability of biochars to eliminate other compounds in PIW such as ethylene glycol, formaldehyde, tetrachloroethylene, and 1,3-butadiene by adsorption should be further investigated.

4. Conclusions

The current work successfully synthesized and characterized sludge-based biochars having high aromaticity, carbonization degree, and quartz crystallization. Biochar adsorbent showed high performance for removing toluene and xylene pollutants with efficiencies of 79.1% and 86.6% at solution pH = 10, biochar dosage of 2 g/L, and C_0 = 40 mg/L within 60 min. The proposed adsorption mechanism also revealed a high ability to remove petroleum hydrocarbons from both synthetic and real PIW. Moreover, the synthesized biochar exhibited an economic benefit for industrialization with a payback period = 6.9 yr. The interlinkages between PIW treatment and SDGs (e.g., SDG 3, SDG 6, SDG 9, and SDG 14) were identified via (i) reducing the environmental risks and (ii) meeting sustainable development goals (SDGs) that could be relevant to the study objectives.
caused by industrial effluents, (ii) utilizing eggshell residues and sewage sludge for biochar preparation, avoiding waste disposal issues, (iii) synthesizing a cost-effective EMBC adsorbent showing a twofold increase in the specific surface area than conventional biochars, improving biochar production technology, and (iv) raising public awareness about waste management.
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