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Abstract

In the paper is presented a vortexial pre-quantum model of atom, based on a vortexial type of electron and proton magnetic moment, resulted in a cold genesis theory (CGT) as etherono-quantonic vortex \( \Gamma^\mu_M (r) = \Gamma^\mu_A (r) + \Gamma^\mu_B (r, r') \), of heavy tachyonic etherons \((m_e \approx 10^{-6})kg; \omega_c\)-generating the magnetic potential \( A \), and of quantons \((m_q^* = h/12\pi \approx 7.37 \times 10^{-15}kg)\)-generating vortex-tubes \( \xi_B \) that materializes the B-field lines of the magnetic induction, the proton’s magnetic moment resulting by a degenerate Compton radius. The model may explain the ‘hydroino’ atom, with \( n=\frac{1}{2} \), the tachyonic speed of the electronic neutrino and the Kervran effect of biological nuclear transmutations. By the multi-quantum model of nucleon resulted in CGT, are explained also some astrophysical observation which sustains the CGT’s hypothesis of pulsatile antigravitic pseudo-charge and gravitational waves generating at the surface of a ‘black hole type star by matter–energy conversion, resulting also the possibility of cosmic dust’s cold forming.
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Introduction

In a Cold Genesis Theory of Matter and Fields of the author, (CGT-1,2), based on the Galilean relativity the discovered elementary particles are explained by a vortex model, of composite fermion type, as Bose–Einstein Condensate of \( N^0 \) cluster, i.e- quasi-electrons with diminished mass, charge and magnetic moment, i.e: \( m_e^s \approx 0.81 m_e, \) \( e^s = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) e \);

\[ \mu^s = \mu (2.79 m_e / m_p) \approx \mu_h, 1,2 \]

The particle’s magnetic moment \( \mu^s \) results in CGT as etherono-quantonic vortex: \( \Gamma^\mu_M (r) = \Gamma^\mu_A (r) + \Gamma^\mu_B (r, r') \), of heavy (‘sinergonic’) etherons \((m_e \approx 10^{-6})kg\) generating the magnetic potential \( A \), and of quantons \((m_q^* = h/12\pi \approx 7.37 \times 10^{-15}kg)\) - generating vortex-tubes \( \xi_B \) that materializes the B-field lines of the magnetic induction.

The superposition of the \((N^0+1)\) quantonic vortices: \( \Gamma^\mu_M \) of the protonic quasi-electrons generates inside a volume with the radius: \( r^*_\mu \approx \frac{m_q e^s}{\hbar} \approx 2.35 fm^{-1} \), a total dynamic pressure: \( P^q = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \rho_n (r) \omega c^2 \) which gives a nuclear potential: \( V^\mu_M (r) \), in an eulerian form, having a variation according to eqn:

\[ V^\mu_M (r) = V^\mu_M (r') - \frac{\xi_B}{\hbar} = V^0 (r') - \frac{\xi_B}{\hbar} \Rightarrow \frac{\xi_B}{\hbar} \Rightarrow [\text{MeV}]; \quad \Gamma^\mu_M = \frac{1}{2} \xi_B (3 - \frac{1}{2} r^*_M, r^*_M) \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \frac{\xi_B}{\hbar} \Rightarrow \frac{\xi_B}{\hbar} \tau^*_M \Rightarrow (2) \]
The possible explaining of some controversial effects by a vortexial atom model

The atomic electrons rotate causes

In CGT, the atomic results phenomenologically as formed mainly at cold, as quantum-vortexial system, the electrons being circulated with

\[ \rho_e^* (r) = \rho_0 (r) \times v_e^2 (r); \quad (\rho_0 (r) = \rho_e^* (a / r)^2 ; c < w \leq \sqrt{2} c) \]

The relation (6) corresponds also to the generalized nuclear model by the conclusion that the vibration energy of the nucleons \( \varepsilon_n \) decreases the value of nuclear potential well: \( V \). The degeneration of the nucleonic potential through the nucleon's vibration, according to eqn. (6), explains also the transformation mechanism of the compound nucleus by interaction with low energy particles up to 2MeV, as in the case of the Be9 nucleus that can be transformed with a gamma quantum of only 1.78 MeV, even if the binding energy given by the sum of its nucleons is 58 MeV.
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The exponential form of $\gamma$ is given by the density of the superposed secondary $\Gamma_\nu$-vortices in the volume of radius: $a<r\leq 2a$.

The quantification of the electron’s kinetic moment results according to the known relation:

$$L(n) = m_e v_e r_e = n\hbar / 2\pi; \ v_e(n) = v_0 / n$$

The explaining of some controversial phenomena

In accordance with the resulted relation: $k \cong \sqrt{(2\pi a / l)}$, by eqs. (7a) and (7b) it results also, for $r \rightarrow a$, that a nuclear particle such as an emitted $\gamma$-quantum or a neutrino emitted in a $\beta$-transformation or in a mesonic transformation $(\pi^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu)$, may be accelerated by the protonic $\Gamma_\nu$-vortex in a time of $-10^{-23}s$ to a speed $v_\nu = k c$ with $k > 1$, (exceeding the light speed, c). For example, for $r = 1.5$ fm, $k = 1.19$. So, it is possible to explain by the theory, the result of the recent OPERA experiment in which was observed neutrinos with a speed exceeding the light speed, emitted from a CERN’s accelerator and detected to the Gran Sasso lab of Italy, (“Nature”, 22 sept. 2011). Is explained also the recoilless $\gamma$-radiation emission/absorption phenomenon, (the Mössbauer effect). In the meantime, the value of $\rho_\nu(r)$ for $r \rightarrow r_\nu^-$, explains “the stopped light” experiment (L.V Hau, 2001) which evidenced the possibility to reduce the speed of a light beam which is passed by a small cloud of ultracold atoms of sodium forming a B-E condensate. The resulted pre-quantum soliton model of atom, of $T \rightarrow 0K$, which degenerates in the Bohr-Sommerfeld’s model at $T \rightarrow 0K$, is also consistent with some other soliton models of atom [63] and allow the explaining of the electron transition on sub-fundamental level ($n=1/2$) in the hydrogen atom, (i.e: the “hydrino” atom) observed in some experiments of cold nuclear fusion by a nuclear reaction in the form:

$$p^+ + e^- \rightarrow n^0 + v_e(2\pi) N^A + e^- \rightarrow (2\pi) M^A$$

The Kervran effect

An unexplained phenomenon by the usual physics was evidenced in 1962 by Louis Kervran and it refers to the phenomenon of atomic transmutations at low un-radiative energy, produced for stable isotopes by a biological organism. Her assumption is based on previous observations of french chemist Vuquelain, who observed that a hen nourished with oats and water, produced of five times more calcium than the consumed quantity. Proud observed also that an egg of one day has of four times more calcium than the fecundated egg. Researches concerning the variation of calcium indicated similar disproportions during the germination of the oats seeds (Von Herzelee, 1875-1883) and barley, (Long, 1970).

During 1875 and 1883, von Herzeee conducted 500 analytical experiments which checked the growing of plants in controlled medium and he concluded that the plants can produce nuclear transmutations of some chemical elements. Similar researches were done by Baranger from the Polytechnic School in Paris, 1947, who analyzed the content of Ca, K, and P in plants. His researches showed that the un-germinated seeds or germinated in distilled water doesn’t reveal a variable content of K, but the seeds treated with CaCl has an increased quantity of P, unexplained by the plants biology, and a growth of 10% of K. After Kervran, the living organisms can produce, in certain conditions, by bio-geochemical reactions, also nuclear reactions of elements as: C, N, O, Si, Na, K, Ca, P, S, KI, by specific enzymes (transmutantenzimes) located intra-mitochondrial. For explain the effect of biological transmutations of chemical elements, L. Kervran considered a new nuclear model, as cluster of alpha particles with two types of links: hard and week, considering that the weak links can be split enzymatically, so that an atomic nucleus can be divided in two nuclei by biological way, with the mitochondrial energy, the produced energy being a part of the total energy of the body. We can observe that this nuclear model is in partial concordance with the equation (2) of nuclear potential periodical decreasing, resulted in CGT as consequence of the deuteron self-resonance at the level of a heavier nucleus.

Kervran considered that Si is a “bio-consumed” element and Ca is a bio-produced element, being known that it is possible to reduce the lack of Ca in the human body and in the animal body (cow, pig) through the administration of Mg and Si (under different forms-organic or anorganic). According to Kervran, the specific reactions are:

$$^{24}\text{Mg} + ^{16}\text{O} \rightarrow ^{40}\text{Ca}, ^{28}\text{Si} + ^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow ^{40}\text{Ca}$$

Figure 1 Pre-quantum atom.

$$\sigma_e = e \cdot N(n) / 2\pi r_e l_e = \text{const}; \Rightarrow N(n) = e \cdot n^2 \cdot r_e$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(1) = 2e; \ r_e = c / (\sigma_e \cdot \pi \cdot l_e); \ \{ t_e = n \hbar / m_e v_e = n^2 \cdot r_e \}$$

According to the model, the transition on sub-fundamental level ($n=1/2$) is specific to the hydrogen atom, (to the “hydrino” atom) observed in some experiments of cold nuclear fusion, resulting possible also the producing of “mascons” (concentrated mass, resulted by the atomic radius decreasing and stronger inter-atomic forces). Irreals also that- by this possibility, it may be generated also a stimulated K½ -electronic capture to some atoms (such as Am), after electronic induced transition on sub-fundamental energetic level ($n=1/2$), according to the model, by a nuclear reaction in the form:

For other atoms, with bigger mass, the transition on sub-fundamental level: ($n=1/2$) results as possible by stimulated electronic transition, according to the model, (by laser excitation with: $h\nu = E_f - E_e$), resulting possible also the producing of “mascons” (concentrated mass, resulted by the atomic radius decreasing and stronger inter-atomic forces). Irreals also that- by this possibility, it may be generated also a stimulated K½ -electronic capture to some atoms (such as Am), after electronic induced transition on sub-fundamental energetic level ($n=1/2$), according to the model, by a nuclear reaction in the form:

$$p^+ + e^- \rightarrow n^0 + v_e(2\pi) N^A + e^- \rightarrow (2\pi) M^A$$
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It is considered also that in the process of thermolysis and of ATP modification, in the human body, by electric excitations are produced the following reactions:

\[ {^{39}}\text{K} + ^{1}\text{H} \rightarrow {^{40}}\text{Ca}, {^{23}}\text{Na} + ^{16}\text{O} \rightarrow {^{39}}\text{K}, \]  

(14')

De Beauregard proposed to explain the biological transmutation of potassium by the known reaction:

\[ {^{39}}\text{K} \rightarrow {^{39}}\text{Ca} + e^- + \nu_e; (\nu_e - \text{antineutrino}) \]  

(15)

G. Oshava and M. Torii showed in 1964\(^{16}\) that after an electrical discharge of 60W and 30 minutes, in a vacuum tube of 20 cm containing 2.3 mg of Na, by introducing of O in the tube, after the stopping of electrical discharges is produced a cold fusion between nuclei of Na and O, producing K as in (13') reaction which explains the adjustment of Na/K balance to cell level, according to the Kervran effect. Also this observation is in concordance with the eqn. (2) of CGT, indicating a nuclear potential’s periodical decreasing by nucleus’ vibration. L. Kervran and Komaki showed after many years of observations that the human and the animal bodies consumes continuously Na and eliminates continuously K,\(^{6}\) but the Na/K balance remains constant, with or without K consuming. Experimental researches in the field of biological nuclear transmutations producing, have been realized by Panos T. Pappas from the Physics Department of Pirraeus Technological Institute, which evidenced the role of the cell membrane potential,\(^{11}\) sustaining the phenomenon of S transmutation in potassium inside the biological cell, during the processes of active (Na-K) pump of ions in the presence of oxygen, according to the reaction:

\[ {^{11}}\text{Na}^{23} + (\text{electric excit.}) + ^{8}\text{O}^{16} \rightarrow {^{10}}\text{K}^{39} + \text{Energy} \]  

(16)

which was considered in the base of G. Oshava and M.Torii researches (1964) and those of Hodkin and Keynes (1955).

During 1989-1999 the researches made by P. Pappas showed that the concentration of K increases in the blood of the bodies subjected to magnetic pulses of short time, which generates induced electricity representing a fraction from the value of transmembranar potential gradient which is of about 10MV/m, to a power level corresponding to the thermal level of electrotherapy.\(^{12}\) It is considered that a great number of functional biological and medical mechanisms could be better understood through the known mechanisms of osmosis correlated with the reaction (16) of biological nuclear transmutation, implying also the energy of ATP transforming, at the cell level, according to the reaction:

\[ {^{11}}\text{Na}^{23} + ^{8}\text{O}^{16} + (\text{electric excit.}) + (\text{ATP - aze' energy}) \rightarrow \gamma_{9}\text{K}^{39} + \text{BioEnergy} \]  

(17)

and with its reverse:

\[ ^{39}\text{K}^{38} + (\text{electric excit.}) = {^{11}}\text{Na}^{23} + ^{8}\text{O}^{16} \]  

(18)

with the energy of an electric current

There are also other serious studies concerning the Kervran effect and some patented inventions, for example-based on researches of Vladimir I. Vyshotk, Alla A. Kormilova and Igor I. Samajlenko (patent: RU2052223/10 January 2006).

A possible explanation of the phenomenon

The explaining of these nuclear reactions of cold transmutations through the nuclelemolecule model typeand through the vortexialmodel of atom, proposed in CGT, supposes the hypothesis that the energy of intra-mitochondrial transformations (of some specific transmuting enzymes) or some electrical impulses delivered in shocks, increases the vibrating state of the atomic components (electrons and nuclei) and favors the nuclear fusion or fission by the periodical decreasing of the nuclear potential in accordance with the equation (2) of deuteronic self-resonance (CGT\(^{13}\)) induced in this case by the nucleus’ vibration, the nuclear fusion reactions of the Kervran’s effect resulting and as a consequence of electronic transition on sub-fundamental energetic level (of n=1/2),\(^{17}\) induced by the sinergono-quantronary vortex of the nuclear moment and by the energy of the ATP transformation or/and by electric impulses or by nuclear magnetic resonance, the nuclear charge screening produced by the e-charge of this electronic n=1/2 level favoring the nuclear fusion as in the case of reaction (17), by atoms coupling with collinear magnetic momenta. The previous hypothesis is in accordance with the Kervran’s conclusions looking the role of intra-mitochondrial energy resulted by the ATP transformation and with the Pappas explicative model based on the role of the cell membranepotential in the phenomenon’s producing. Also, there are some experiments of cold nuclear fusion obtained with relative low electric potential, such as:

\[ \text{O} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{N} ; (\text{Th. Moray, 1927}); \]  

\[ \text{Light} \rightarrow \text{Be8}; (\text{Cockcroft is Walton, 1932, 125V}). \]

An US Patent: 1427109, was granted in 1965 to M.Y. Sakurazawa for the invention: “Manufacture of special steels by means of low energy transformations”, and implies also a transformation of low energy and temperature, of the type:

\[ 2\text{C} + 20 \rightarrow 2\text{Si} + 2\left( {^{56}}\text{Ni}^{28} \right) \rightarrow {^{56}}\text{Fe}^{58} \]  

(20)

produced by an electric discharge at 80 V and 15A, between carbon electrodes, in a chamber with pure oxygen or air.

Also are known the experiments of Walter Russell resumed from Tim Binder et al.\(^{15}\) for the production of fluorine from pure water vapor, using a complex arrangement of electro-magnetic fields. It is known also the effect Barker-Keller of nuclear transmutation accelerating by electric discharge (US 5.076.971-Apparatus and method for decontaminating radioactive materials by stimulating the atomic system of radioactive materials), the rate of the radioactive decay of the materials being greatly accelerated at potential differences in the range of 50 kilovolts to 500 kilovolts, applied for a period of at least 30 minutes. Looking the nuclear transmutation produced by nuclear fission biologically induced, considered by the Kervran effect, we may suppose that the increasing of nucleus vibration energy by chemobiological reactions, determines the reducing of the quantronary vortex $\Gamma$,\(^{16}\) of the nuclear magnetic moment and the increasing vibration energy of some weakly linked nucleons, determining- according to the quasi-crystal nuclear model of CGT, the local decreasing of the bonding energy of vibrated nucleons, conform to eq. (2) and thereafter - the nuclear fission of the nucleus in two stable nuclei, by nucleonic self-resonance. The previous explanation is relative equivalent with those of Kervran, considering a nuclear model of alpha particles with two types of links: hard links and weak links.
Relative to the reaction (14): $^{10}$K+H $\rightarrow ^{16}$Ca.

it is observed that—even it can explain the calcium generating by K, it seems un-plausible as biological cold fusion reaction, because the Coulomb nuclear barrier. For example, for deuteron the Coulomb barrier is: $B_\nu = 2Ze\nu$ ($\nu_{\text{A}}$) = 0.8 MeV- too high for the possibility to occurring inside a biological cell, even in the context of the proton’s charge screening by the hydrino atom’s electron. However, according to the Quantum Mechanics, the charged particle $m_\nu$ can pass over the potential barrier of high $U_\nu$ and with a by a “tunneling effect”, with a probability $P_\nu$ given by the relation:

$$P_\nu = \exp\left(\frac{-2}{\hbar a}\sqrt{2m_\nu(U_\nu - T)d}\right)$$

in which $T$ is the particle’s kinetic energy along $r$-direction. But the classical law of energy conservation impose:

$$T \geq U_\nu.$$  Of classical point of view, it seems that the tunneling probability $P_\nu$ indicates the probability of the $U_\nu$ potential barrier decreasing during the interaction of particles $m_\nu(z_e)$ and $M(Z)$. This imply the probabilistic reducing of the Z- or charge of the particle $m_\nu$ or $M$. It may be supposed— for example, an oscillating $z$-charge of the proton, as in the Leo Sapogin’s theory, which considers a periodical variable charge as effect of the associated wave function of the particle. But for sustain also phenomenologically this hypothesis, there is necessary to know the $e$-charge’s generation. According to the prequantum multi-vortexial model of nucleon of CGT, the cold electron is of barrel-like form and the $e$-charge is given by a flux of vectorial photons (‘vectons’-in CGT) resulted by vortexial conversion from pseudo-scalar photons of the quantum vacuum and spread quasi-radially by the $\Gamma$-vortex of the particle’s magnetic moment. Because the precession movement, the vectons’ spreading seems spheric-

$$n\epsilon_\nu + m_\nu c^2 + \Delta \epsilon_\nu \rightarrow m_\nu c^2 + \epsilon_\nu; \epsilon_\nu = n\epsilon_\nu, (n^\nu \geq 10); \epsilon_\nu = h\nu_\nu; \epsilon_\nu = h\nu_\nu; \Delta \epsilon_\nu \geq \epsilon_\nu / K; \nu_\nu = 10^{-15} - 10^{20} \text{Hz}$$

where: $\epsilon_\nu; \epsilon_\nu$— the energy of the captured photons and, respectively, of thee emitted scalar quantum generated by electromagnetic vibration with an energy $\Delta \epsilon_\nu$ per emitted scalar quantum and $K$ is a constant which can be of over-unity value—accordance to some experiments, without contradiction with the energy conservation law. The nuclear $Q(Z)$-charge’s variation at the atom’s sinusoidal vibration with $\Delta Q$, with $\Delta Q$:

$$U_\nu = U(r, \omega) = U(r) - \Delta U = U(r) - \frac{\partial Q}{Q_0}$$

$$\Delta U = k_\nu \epsilon_\nu; \epsilon_\nu = \frac{1}{2}m_\nu c^2 = \frac{1}{2}m_\nu (\omega \cdot A \cdot \cos^2 \omega)$$

($\nu$- the vibration frequency), resulting that:

$$Q = Q_0 (1 - k_\nu \epsilon_\nu / \epsilon_0); Q_0 = \epsilon Z$$

with: $(k_\nu < 1; \epsilon_\nu \leq \epsilon_0); k_\nu; \epsilon_\nu$— proportionality constants.

The previous hypothesis cannot explain the dependency of the potential tunneling probability on the incident particle’s impulse, but contributes to the explaining of the observed reaction of cold nuclear fusion, (which generally implies a nuclear excitation energy).

We may suppose also aperiodical decrease of the nucleus’ charge, $Q = Z_e$, generated by a periodical diminishing of the protons’ vortexiality during a monopolar giant resonance , i.e-the nucleus’ volume pulsating as consequence of the deuteron self-resonance generated by the nuclear potential periodically diminishing at the nucleons’ impact or at the nucleons’ vibration, according to eqn. (2). The way in which the nucleus vibration may generates periodically Q-charge decreasing results by the multi-vortexial nucleus model of CGT by the induced intrinsic vibration of the electronic super-dense centroids inside the nucleus’ volume, at its vibration with high frequency, with intrinsic vortexial (vexonic) sub-structures destroying, (with the transforming of their dynamical quantonic pressure into static pressure), phenomenon which generates the absorption of electric interaction vectorial photons (vectons), by vibration with the energy $\Delta \epsilon_\nu$ by a reaction of type:}

**Other implications of the theoretical model**

However, the previous possibility may sustain in CGT a technical possibility: those of the realizing of a weight decreasing for a metamaterial structured as metallic sandwich of 1-5 mm with an upper diamagnetic layer (as Bi), a median dense layer (Pb or ‘mascon’), an intermediate strong magnetic layer and an inferior metallic layer strongly dopped with nuclei with giant resonance: U, Zr, Pb excited with gamma rays with energy $E_\gamma \rightarrow 1$MeV or even with microwave of nuclear magnetic resonance, ($\nu \rightarrow 1$GHz), which-by the induced deuteron(self)resonance, will increase the local static quantonic pressure by partially destroying of the internal vortexiality (by the vibration of the electronic super-dense kernels inside the vibrated nucleonic volume), which will generate a quantonic pressure gradient corresponding to a quantonic pseudo-antigravitic (levitation) force, (an inverse Casimir effect), acting over the rest part of the metallic sandwich according to CGT. The median magnetic layer may generate also a quantum repelling (levitating) force over the diamagnetic layer, (which is repelled by its magnetic field), without the generating of a reactive force. Another theoretic implication of the presented hypothesis regarding the nuclear cold fusion is in the explaining of the metallic elements spreading inside the earth’s surface.
In this sense, it is known the opinion that the majority of the atomic elements are synthesized inside the stars, at very high temperature, for example, in a supernova explosion, in conditions in which the kinetic energy of the lightest nuclei (H, D, He) exceeds the repulsive coulombian potential and favours their fusion. But in this case the protoplanetary nebula which formed also the Earth (according to the Kant-Laplace theory) should contain homogeneously spreading elements. So, in consequence, the orogenesis theories cannot explain enough clear (causally) the localized spreading of metallic ores and of other deposits of telluric chemical elements. Also, it is known that the asteroids are heterogenous as chemical composition, being classified into three groups: C-type (carbonaceous) asteroids, which comprise about 75% of the total and are similar in composition to carbonaceous chondrites, the most common class of meteorite; S-types (of stone) which comprise about 15% and contain various silicate compounds and a certain amount of nickel and/or iron and of M-types (metallic), with a predominantly iron content and thus a link with iron meteorites. Contrary to the expectations of the nebula hypothesis, it is now clear that they do not form an orderly compositional gradient across the main belt between Mars and Jupiter but are jumbled together, especially the smaller ones. An example is the asteroid Psyche 16, found between Mars and Jupiter, which is made of solid metal: gold, platinum, iron and nickel.

Also, because the heat generated by radioactivity seems to have been insufficient to cause large-scale melting, it is supposed that the asteroid Vesta, (530 km in diameter), which is an achondritic meteorite, derived from the explosion of a still larger molten body and its internal melting caused light minerals (silicates) to float towards the surface, its centre remaining with heavy minerals, rich in iron. It exist two basic hypothetical possibilities to explain the localized spreading of telluric elements: either i) the Earth ‘inherited’ neomogenities in the chemical elements’ spreading from the protoplanetary nebula (suggesting the cold forming of heavier nuclei as quasi-crystalline clusters of protons and neutrons- possibility resulted in CGT by a ‘dynamide’ model of neutron, with degenerate electron(s) inside the nucleon’s volume,\(^\text{15,16}\)), or ii) the heavier elements were formed at least partially inside the Earth, from lighter elements, at high temperatures and pressures (but lower than those specific to stars) and in conditions of intense electric discharges or intense vibration, particularly- during the orogenesis processes, as in the case of reaction (17) of ‘cold fusion’, for example.

The chemical composition of Jupiter, whose atmosphere is composed from hydrogen and helium in a proportion close to the theoretical composition of the primordial solar nebula, its interior containing roughly 71% hydrogen, 24% helium, and 5% other denser elements,\(^\text{16}\) suggests that is more plausible the second explanatory variant ii) or a mixt variant, i.e: -iii) the forming of heavier elements inside the planet, by ‘cold’ fusion of a solid kernel resulted from cosmic dust formed by heavier nuclei generated in a cold genesis process (at \(T\rightarrow0\text{K}\), CGT\(^\text{17}\)) with H – and He-nuclei, this process being in concordance with the fact that-the case of Psychic 16 asteroid, the difference between the Au-nucleus and the Pt-nucleus is a proton and the difference between a Fe –nucleus and a Ni-nucleus is a He3- or a He4-particle.

It is believed that the cosmic dust results from chemical elements generated inside the stars, especially- inside the supernovae and expelled in the outer space, an important argument being the fact that the emission at 11.5 micrometres indicates the presence of silicon carbide dust in cool evolved carbon-rich giant stars.\(^\text{18}\) The hypothesis of cosmic dust forming from heavier nuclei generated at cold,\(^\text{17}\) especially in the gravitational field of a ‘black hole’ or a magnetar-type star, from neutrons “escaped” from a neutron star’s surface, is in concordance with some reports that showed some evidences that the cosmic dust is formed near a supermassive black hole.\(^\text{19}\) This hypothesis is sustained also by the fact that-although the most abundant elements in the Sun are hydrogen and helium, a total of 60 elements were abundant in both meteorites and solar photosphere, suggesting that these elements were probably abundant also in the protosolar nebula. The research of Trevor Ireland and co-authors\(^\text{20}\) compared the composition of the Earth’s core and those of the primordial mantle of the planet, which can be calculated using a combination of mathematical models, seismic data and rock samples. They found that the Earth was “devolatilized” over time, and this was an “inherent process” as the Solar System formed, concluding that the exoplanets are almost certainly devolatilized pieces of star nebula, This conclusion is in concordance with the possibility to explain the Titius-Bode relation of planet-Sun distances by the Kant-Laplace theory of the solar system’s forming.\(^\text{19}\)

Another astrophysical theoretical implication of the vortexial model of atom and of the multi-vortexial model of nucleon proposed in CGT is related to the process of matter →energy conversion resulted at the surface of a massive or super-massive ‘black hole’ type star because the strong gravity at the BH’s surface but also because the nuclear force and the diminishing of the repulsive character of the impenetrable nucleonic volume’ field at \(T\rightarrow0\text{K}\) (resulted according to CGT\(^\text{19}\)). According to CGT, the releasing of the nucleon’s energy is realized- after the atom’s implosion with the capturing of the atomic electrons by the nuclei and the transforming of the nuclear protons into neutrons, by the nuclear matter partial transforming into quark matter, the rest part of neutrons being destroyed with the releasing of their intrinsic vortexial energy \(m_c^2\) into etherono-quantonic fluxes which generates periodically an anti-gravitational (pseudo)charge of the BH’s, according to CGT,\(^\text{21}\) being explained in this way the cause of Universe expansion but also the mechanism of gravitational waves emission and the astrophysical observation of a star which is moving away from a black hole, even if this BH could not generate its acceleration, (the star \(PG1610+062\)).\(^\text{22}\) According to CGT, because the etheronic flux of ‘dark energy’ released by a periodical matter→energy conversion process is much intense than the mean gravitonic flux of etherons coming toward the ‘black hole’ star, (which generates the gravitation force- according to a Fatio-LeSage model\(^\text{23}\)), the mean total effect can be a carrying off, generated by a repulsion force \(F_i\) of high value acting in short periods of time \(\Delta t\), followed by a less intense attraction force \(F_j\) acting in longer period of times, \(\Delta t\), i.e:

\[
F_i\Delta t > F_j\Delta t
\]

It is explained in this way also an apparently strange cosmic phenomenon: the recently discovery of a star:55-HVS1, which is to about 29,000 light-years from Earth and pass through the Crane constellation in the southern sky because was expelled from the center of our galaxy (in which a super-massive black hole known as Sagittarius A*, with the mass of four million solar masses) at the speed of four million miles/hour- of ten times higher than the mean speed of the galactic stars.\(^\text{23}\) The phenomenon is explained the by the ‘Hills mechanism’, by which the supermassive black hole in the center of the Milky Way can eject stars when a binary star system is disrupted by a supermassive black hole: the tidal forces from the black hole cause one of the stars to be captured by it, falling into an orbit around it, the other star being jettisoned away from it at very high speeds. According to CGT, the phenomenon is explained by a periodically
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anti-gravitic pseudo-charge of the super-massive BH “Sagittarius A*, which may contribute also to the galaxy’s stability (to its dynamic equilibrium). Also, according to CGT, if the strong gravitic field of the BH converts partially the H- and He-nuclei of another star into neutrons, the expelling of these neutrons during the matter—energy conversion and the BH’s antigravitic pseudo-charge forming gives the neutronic mass for heavier nuclei cold forming22 and for cosmic dust cold forming— in concordance with the astrophysical observations showing that the cosmic dust is formed near a supermassive black hole.19

Another astrophysical phenomenon which may be explained by the conclusion of the periodically generating of ablack hole’ anti-gravitic pseudo-chargeis the observing of a supernova called IPTF14hls, of II-P type, with a core collapsed into a neutron star, which after a first explosion in 1954 exploded again after 60 years, after 600 days of observation its light decreasing and increasing in intensity repeatedly at least of five times.25 The phenomenon could not be explained as pair-instability supernova effect- generated when the production of free electrons and positrons in the collision between atomic nuclei and energetic gamma rays, temporarily reduces the internal pressure of a super massive stars core. According to CGT, it is possible the generating of the effect by matter—energy conversion at the surface of super nova’s core of ‘black hole’ type, with periodically generating of an antigravitic pseudo-charge,(PBH) phenomenon coherently explained in CGT.2 Also, the gammonic un-destroyed pairs (e-+h-) of nucleonic degenerate electrons emitted as hard gamma-rays, of 1 MeV, by their conversion into negatron-positron pairs in a strong electric or magnetic field like those of a charged ‘black hole’ or of a magnetar, may explain the mysterious presence of antimatter in the middle of the Milky Way- phenomenon considered initially as caused by particular type of supernova,23 hypothesis which cannot explain how is generated a quantity of 15 billion tons of positrons per second. Another possibility predicted in CGT which may explain the positrons production in the field of a massive black hole or of a magnetar is the genesis of (e-+) pairs from the quantum vacuum, at very low temperatures T→0K but in an enough intense magnetic field.26

Conclusion

In the paper is presented a vortexial pre-quantum model of atom, based on a vortexial nature of the electron and proton magnetic moment, resulted in a Cold Genesis Theory as etherono-quantonic vortex: \[ \Gamma_0^+(t) = \Gamma_0^-(t) + \Gamma_1^+(t) > \Gamma_0^-(t) \], of heavy tachyonic etheons (m\approx10^{-6} kg; w\approx-c) generating the magnetic potential A, and of quantaons (m\approx h/\sqrt{e^2}=7.37\times10^{41} kg) - generating vortex-tubes \( \xi \) that materializes the B-field lines of the magnetic induction, the proton’s magnetic moment resulting by a degenerate Compton radius. The model may explain the ‘hydrino’ atom, with n=\hbar/\xi, the tachyonic speed of the electronic neutrino and the Kervran effect of biological nuclear transmutations. By the multi-vortexial model of nucleon resulted in CGT, are explained also some astrophysical observation which sustains the CGT’s hypothesis of pulsatile antigravitic pseudo-charge and gravitational waves generating at the surface of a ‘black hole’ type star by matter-energy conversion.
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