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ABSTRACT: BACKGROUND: Fractures of the distal radius are common, open reduction and internal fixation using an interlocking plate system has gained popularity for the treatment of dorsally displaced distal radius fractures. The aim of the study was to assess how adequately distal radial fracture reduction was reproduced and maintained with the pre-contoured distal radius Indian locking plates and to evaluate the functional and radiological results of treating unstable distal radius fractures with the volar locking plate. PATIENTS AND METHODS: It was conducted on 20 patients with distal radius fractures who underwent open reduction, internal fixation using the pre-contoured distal radius Indian locking plates between May 2012 and September 2013 at the our Hospital. The preoperative, intra-operative, and postoperative films were reviewed. The AO classification was recorded. The volar angulation, radial tilt, radial height and step-off in joint incongruity were measured on intra-operative X-rays and compared with final follow-up X-rays. The last recorded range of motion at follow-up and a functional assessment using Gartland and Werley criteria. RESULTS: Radiological review showed bone healing in 20 patients and good articular congruity for all intrarticular fractures with less than 1 mm step-off. Complications were few with two wound infection and one neuropraxia of the superficial radial nerve. Wrist function was excellent in seven (35%) patients, good in 10 (50%) and fair in three (15%). CONCLUSION: The pre-contoured distal radius Indian locking plates with its distal buttressing ability are an effective treatment method in patients with distal radius fractures. KEYWORDS: Distal Radius Fracture, Indian Locking Plates, Pre contoured.

INTRODUCTION: Distal radial fractures are the most common upper extremity fracture and account for 15% of all fractures. Since Abraham Colles’ first description in 1814, their treatment has continually evolved, with open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) using volar locking plate technology becoming increasingly employed with the aim to restore function through anatomical reconstruction of the fracture. Fractures of the distal radius are the most commonly treated fracture by the orthopaedic surgeon and are increasing in incidence primarily due to osteoporosis in an ageing population. Achieving anatomical fracture reduction, maintaining this reduction with minimal complications, and expeditious return to pre-morbid function, are the aims of surgical management of these fractures. It is well recognized that in order to achieve good functional results following ORIF, an accurate reconstruction of distal radial anatomy is necessary.

Distal radius fractures associated with small intra- or peri-articular fragments have always been a challenge to management with no consensus as to the best treatment for a given patient or fracture type and often leads to mal-union with loss of function. Traditional stabilization with external splintage (cast) used to be an accepted form of treatment, but the volar lip fragment often slips and fracture reduction is not maintained.
Bridging external fixation is effective in maintaining reduction after closed manipulation but has associated complications of stiffness, reflex sympathetic dystrophy and metacarpal fractures.\textsuperscript{9} The theoretical advantage of locking plates is that they are able to obtain a good hold in osteoporotic bone and allow early postoperative rehabilitation.\textsuperscript{10,11} This is achieved by the creation of a biomechanically stable construct able to withstand significant forces prior to failure.\textsuperscript{12} The biomechanical strength of the pre-contoured locking plates lies in its ability to create a three-dimensional scaffold to provide subchondral support for the distal radius articular surface.\textsuperscript{13} The use of the pre-contoured distal radius Indian locking plates in the management of these patients has been effective in achieving union and good hand function. We present our experience in the use of this buttress plate in this study.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS:** We evaluated a consecutive series of 20 adult patients treated with the pre-contoured distal radius Indian locking plates at our institution from 2012 to 2013. All patients that required surgery for a distal radius fracture were included, even those with other wrist, hand, or forearm injuries. The preoperative films, intra-operative films, and postoperative films, were reviewed.

Patients were counseled and treatment options explained. The decision for surgical reduction was based on informed consent. They were operated on and managed by the senior author of the paper. We classified the fractures according to the AO system\textsuperscript{14} (Table 1). There were 11 females and 9 males in our study with age ranging from 27 to 79 (mean 47.1) years old. There were 11 right and 9 left wrist fractures with 55\% occurring on the dominant limb (Table 2).

We measured four radiological parameters as predictors of outcome. These were the volar angulation, radial tilt, radial height and step-off in joint incongruity.\textsuperscript{15} Finally, an assessment of function using the Gartland and Werley evaluation score was performed for all patients.\textsuperscript{16}

**OPERATIVE TECHNIQUE:** The fractures were exposed using Henry’s approach as described for volar plating of the distal radius.\textsuperscript{17} Check radiographs intra-operatively to confirm lunate fossa reduction with anatomical tilt lateral views at 20-30 degrees were used to ensure there was no intra-articular screw penetration. The patients were immobilized in plaster for two weeks postoperatively until sutures were removed, and then a further two weeks based upon the decision of the operating surgeon of the stability of fixation intra-operatively. Drains were not routinely used unless intra-operative bleeding was significant. All patients were referred to the occupational therapist for finger and wrist mobilization postoperatively.

| AO CLASSIFICATION (23-) | NO. OF PATIENTS |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| A 2.1                   | 1               |
| A 2.3                   | 2               |
| A 3.1                   | 1               |
| A 3.2                   | 1               |
| B 1.1                   | 1               |
| B 3.2                   | 1               |
| B 3.3                   | 2               |
| C 1.1                   | 1               |
| C 1.2                   | 1               |

**TYPE A (EXTRA ARTICULAR) 5(25\%)**

**TYPE B (PARTIALLY ARTICULAR) 4(20\%)**

**TYPE C (COMPLETE ARTICULAR)**
RESULTS: The average operating time was 70 minutes (40–120) and the average post-operative stay was 3 days (1–7 days). Only two patient with fracture configuration of AO C2.2 required iliac crest bone graft. The preoperative radiological index was measured. The follow-up period was 12 months.

All fractures united clinically and on radiographs. The range of movement and radiological index are reported in Table 3. All patients had a volar angulation of between 0–20° (Mean 8.2), a radial tilt of between 11–25° (mean 17.9) and a height of between 5 to 15 mm (mean 9.65).

Five patients had step off of 1 mm; none of the patients had a step-off greater than 1 mm. Post-operative complications were few. Two patient developed superficial wound infection, which required only saline dressings. The infection resolved with 6 days. One patient complained of numbness over the distribution of the superficial radial nerve, probably due to traction on the nerve. They recovered after conservative treatment. Functional assessment was performed using the Gartland and Werley's functional evaluation in all patients. 7 patients (35%) scored excellent, 10 (50%) scored good and 3 (15%) scored fair (Table 3).

DISCUSSION: Volar rim fractures are infrequent, constituting between 1.5 and 10.5% of distal radius fractures.\(^\text{18}\) They are intrinsically unstable due to the obliquity of the fracture line and loss of carpal support. Closed reduction may sometimes lead to further displacement due in part to the strong proximal pull of extrinsic flexor mass. Furthermore, reduction manoeuvres pull the fracture fragment apart from the metaphyseal flare and fail to restore articular congruity.\(^\text{19}\)

The use of the pre-contoured distal radius Indian locking plates gives a highly satisfactory reduction of the fracture at the time of surgery and a good maintenance of that reduction to final follow-up. Our study has equivalent range of movement, and better maintenance of fracture reduction than a similar study using another type of volar locking plate for distal radius fractures.\(^\text{20}\) The radiographic findings are comparable to the series where the mean volar tilt were 6 degrees and radial inclination 20 degrees.

Radial shortening averaged less than 1 mm in that series. The postoperative range of movement achieved in our study is also equivalent to the reported original series, by Orbayet al and a study by Frattini et al., which also showed similar radiological parameters to our study.\(^\text{13, 21}\) Knirk and Jupiter had reported that articular incongruity was the most critical factor in subsequent development of arthritis. A step-off of ≥2 mm is prone to arthritis.\(^\text{22}\) In our patients, articular congruity was restored and maintained in all intra-articular fractures with eventual step-off ≤1 mm.

| No. | Sex / Age | Fracture Configuration | Injury Mechanism | Follow-up Period (mth) | Gartland and Werley's Assessment | Complications |
|-----|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| 1   | M/61      | A 3.2                  | RTA             | 12                     | Good                           | -             |
| 2   | F/35      | B 1.1                  | Fall            | 12                     | Excellent                      | -             |
| 3   | F/52      | C 2.1                  | Fall            | 12                     | Excellent                      | -             |

Table 1 Fracture Type as per AO Classification
We did not have any development of arthritis in our early follow-up. The range of volar tilt between $0^\circ - 14^\circ$ was within acceptable range as proposed by McQueen.\textsuperscript{23,24} The plate was used in a variety of ages including osteoporotic fractures with good success.

Patient reported outcome measures following distal radius fractures have been shown to approach normal at six months, with small improvements continued to one year.\textsuperscript{25} In a comparison study between old and young patients a good maintenance of radiographic reduction was noted in both populations at 12 months. The younger patients mean recovery was six months and the older patient was 12 months.\textsuperscript{26}

Knox et al. compared the biomechanics of the crossed k-wire technique of Clancey and the pre-contoured distal radius locking plates for fixation in unstable distal radial fractures, with the locking plate being significantly more stable with physiological loading.\textsuperscript{27,28} No displacement or slipping occurred with the plates compared with the pinning. This suggests early aggressive rehabilitation is not going to displace the fracture.

In our study patients spent a maximum of four weeks in cast immobilization, with early rehabilitation initiated promptly. As use of the plate increased this was reduced to only two weeks cast immobilization to allow wound healing only. Early mobilization had no deleterious effect on outcome.

A concern with the use of these plates is a high complication rate, with studies in the literature reported to be 8% to 27%.\textsuperscript{29} Our study had a complication rate of 15%. We had one patient with numbness of superficial radial nerve distribution and two patients developed superficial wound infection. The patient reported recovery of the superficial radial nerve in the post-operative period and the neuropraxia is likely due to excessive stretch in trying to visualize and apply the implant along the articular surface of the radius. This complication may be decreased by an extended incision to further mobilize the soft tissue and nerve distally.

|   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | M/31 | C 1.2 | RTA | 12 | Good |
| 5 | F/47 | B 3.2 | RTA | 12 | Excellent |
| 6 | M/40 | C 2.2 | RTA | 12 | Fair |
| 7 | M/41 | C 3.3 | Fall | 12 | Good |
| 8 | F/42 | A 2.1 | RTA | 12 | Good |
| 9 | F/62 | C 1.1 | Fall | 12 | Good |
| 10 | M/47 | B 3.3 | RTA | 12 | Excellent |
| 11 | F/52 | A 2.3 | Fall | 12 | Fair |
| 12 | F/79 | C 2.2 | Fall | 12 | Fair |
| 13 | M/33 | A 2.3 | Fall | 12 | Good |
| 14 | F/47 | C 3.1 | Fall | 12 | Good |
| 15 | M/54 | A 3.1 | RTA | 12 | Excellent |
| 16 | M/27 | C 2.1 | Fall | 12 | Good |
| 17 | F/44 | C 2.1 | Fall | 12 | Good |
| 18 | M/51 | B 3.3 | RTA | 12 | Excellent |
| 19 | F/38 | C 3.3 | Fall | 12 | Good |
| 20 | F/59 | C 2.1 | Fall | 12 | Excellent |

Table 2: Bio Data, Fracture Configuration with Injury Mechanism, Follow-up and Clinical Assessment

We did not have any development of arthritis in our early follow-up. The range of volar tilt between $0^\circ - 14^\circ$ was within acceptable range as proposed by McQueen. The plate was used in a variety of ages including osteoporotic fractures with good success.
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However, we had no flexor tendon injuries in our study which is in marked contrast to the 57% of complications reported by Arora et al.\textsuperscript{20}

| No. | Sex/Age | Fracture Configuration | Volar Angulation | Radial Tilt | Radial Height | Step-off | Dorsiflex-plantar flexion | Radial to Ulna Deviation | Pronation to Supination | Gartland and Werley's Assessment |
|-----|---------|------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1   | M/61    | A 3.2                  | 14               | 23          | 10           | 0       | 50-0-50                  | 35-0-40                  | 80-0-90                   | Good                          |
| 2   | F/35    | B 1.1                  | 3                | 15          | 9            | 0       | 60-0-80                  | 20-0-25                  | 90-0-90                   | Excellent                     |
| 3   | F/52    | C 2.1                  | 6                | 16          | 6            | 1       | 80-0-65                  | 15-0-15                  | 90-0-90                   | Excellent                     |
| 4   | M/31    | C 1.2                  | 12               | 18          | 10           | 0       | 80-0-60                  | 15-0-20                  | 90-0-80                   | Good                          |
| 5   | F/47    | B 3.2                  | 10               | 21          | 7            | 0       | 70-0-85                  | 30-0-25                  | 80-0-80                   | Excellent                     |
| 6   | M/40    | C 2.2                  | 20               | 23          | 15           | 1       | 60-0-50                  | 10-0-15                  | 60-0-70                   | Fair                          |
| 7   | M/41    | C 3.3                  | 14               | 20          | 11           | 0       | 60-0-80                  | 25-0-15                  | 80-0-70                   | Good                          |
| 8   | F/42    | A 2.1                  | 6                | 23          | 10           | 0       | 50-0-60                  | 20-0-15                  | 80-0-80                   | Good                          |
| 9   | F/62    | C 1.1                  | 16               | 15          | 9            | 1       | 60-0-80                  | 20-0-20                  | 90-0-90                   | Good                          |
| 10  | M/47    | B 3.3                  | 2                | 13          | 7            | 0       | 55-0-75                  | 20-0-20                  | 90-0-90                   | Excellent                    |
| 11  | F/52    | A 2.3                  | 17               | 22          | 14           | 0       | 50-0-60                  | 10-0-15                  | 60-0-80                   | Fair                          |
| 12  | F/79    | C 2.2                  | 13               | 25          | 15           | 0       | 60-0-40                  | 15-0-15                  | 70-0-70                   | Fair                          |
| 13  | M/33    | A 2.3                  | 5                | 20          | 10           | 0       | 45-0-45                  | 20-0-15                  | 70-0-80                   | Good                          |
| 14  | F/47    | C 3.1                  | 9                | 18          | 11           | 0       | 40-0-40                  | 15-0-15                  | 60-0-60                   | Good                          |
| 15  | M/54    | A 3.1                  | 0                | 13          | 5            | 1       | 90-0-60                  | 20-0-15                  | 90-0-90                   | Excellent                    |
| 16  | M/27    | C 2.1                  | 0                | 15          | 8            | 0       | 60-0-50                  | 10-0-10                  | 80-0-70                   | Good                          |
| 17  | F/44    | C 2.1                  | 0                | 14          | 9            | 1       | 55-0-50                  | 20-0-25                  | 80-0-80                   | Good                          |
| 18  | M/51    | B 3.3                  | 7                | 11          | 7            | 0       | 80-0-70                  | 20-0-20                  | 90-0-90                   | Excellent                    |
| 19  | F/38    | C 3.3                  | 10               | 21          | 12           | 0       | 70-0-55                  | 15-0-15                  | 80-0-90                   | Good                          |
| 20  | F/59    | C 2.1                  | 0                | 12          | 8            | 0       | 90-0-70                  | 30-0-30                  | 90-0-90                   | Excellent                    |

Table 3: Final Radiological Measurements and Final Clinical Measurements of Patients’ Wrist Movements

This is most likely due to the design of the pre-contoured distal radius Indian locking plate. This plate is T-shaped and has been pre-contoured to fit the volar surface of the distal radius with separate left- and right sided implants available. It is a fixed angle device, with the location of screws in the plate designed to minimize tendon irritation with correct placement in the distal radius. Technically, the implant is not difficult to use. Surgical approach was similar to the standard volar buttress plate. The accurate placement of distal screws is greatly aided by the image intensifier, which we believe should be a routine in placement of screws. It also negates the need for bone grafting in the majority of patients since it supports the subcondral articual surface and maintain
radial height until bone gap has remodeled. This is very cost effective and suits the Indian scenario with poor patients.

Whilst the study showed that satisfactory fracture reduction can be achieved and maintained using the pre-contoured distal radius locking plate, there was no assessment of associated soft tissue injury in these patients. Varitimidiset al. has shown a high incidence of triangular fibrocartilage complex tears, complete or incomplete scapholunate ligament tears, and lunotriquetral ligament tears. This is an area for further study as the potential effect of additional injuries was not explored in our series.

A study by Arora et al. has shown that in elderly patients over the age of 65 years, the functional outcome and range of motion following a distal radius fracture is not dependent on anatomical reduction at 12 months following injury. Our study has shown that in younger patients, and in those with intra-articular fractures, the locking plate was able to maintain anatomical reduction and lead to highly satisfactory functional outcomes. The best functional outcomes were seen in patients where the fracture reduction was highly maintained by the plate.

CONCLUSION: We believe that this implant, with its very distal buttressing effect, provides a stable fixation and gives good functional outcome when used in the treatment fractures in the distal radius. The functional assessment using Gartland and Werley’s evaluation score revealed good or excellent outcome in 17/20 (85%). Our results have been encouraging. With its low cost but similar results it’s a cost effective alternative in developing countries. The technique has a relatively short learning curve with few complications.
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