Evolution of an Atmospheric Kármán Vortex Street From High-Resolution Satellite Winds: Guadalupe Island Case Study
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Abstract Vortex streets formed in the stratocumulus-capped wake of mountainous islands are the atmospheric analogues of the classic Kármán vortex street observed in laboratory flows past bluff bodies. The quantitative analysis of these mesoscale unsteady atmospheric flows has been hampered by the lack of satellite wind retrievals of sufficiently high spatial and temporal resolution. Taking advantage of the cutting-edge Advanced Baseline Imager, we derived kilometer-scale cloud-motion winds at 5-min frequency for a vortex street in the lee of Guadalupe Island imaged by Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite-16. Combined with Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer data, the geostationary imagery also provided accurate stereo cloud-top heights. The time series of geostationary winds, supplemented with snapshots of ocean surface winds from the Advanced Scatterometer, allowed us to capture the wake oscillations and measure vortex shedding dynamics. The retrievals revealed a markedly asymmetric vortex decay, with cyclonic eddies having larger peak vorticities than anticyclonic eddies at the same downstream location. Drawing on the vast knowledge accumulated about laboratory bluff body flows, we argue that the asymmetric island wake arises from the combined effects of Earth's rotation and Guadalupe's nonaxisymmetric shape resembling an inclined flat plate at low angle of attack. However, numerical simulations will need to establish whether or not the selective destabilization of the shallow atmospheric anticyclonic eddies is caused by the same mechanisms that destabilize the deep columnar anticyclones of laboratory flows, such as three-dimensional vertical perturbations due to centrifugal or elliptical instabilities.

1. Introduction

Flow past a circular cylinder is a classic problem in fluid dynamics. In an unstratified fluid and nonrotating reference frame, the wake pattern is determined solely by the Reynolds number $Re$. For $Re > \sim 50$, vortices with alternate senses of rotation are shed from alternate sides of the cylinder. As these counterrotating vortices are advected downstream, they form a vortex street of two rows arranged in a staggered configuration. For $Re < \sim 190$, vortex shedding is strictly periodic and two dimensional (2D) with no vertical (spanwise) variations in the flow as illustrated in Figure 1. For $\sim 190 < Re < \sim 260$, however, vortex shedding transitions from a laminar regime to a three-dimensional (3D) regime as intricate streamwise and transverse vorticity structures develop in addition to the primary spanwise vortices. At higher $Re$, the fine-scale three-dimensional of the wake becomes increasingly disordered, but quasiperiodic coherent vortex shedding is observed even in highly turbulent laboratory flows with $Re$ of the order of $10^6$ (Williamson, 1996).

Although vortex streets were first photographed by Henri Bénard during his laboratory investigations of vortex spacing (Bénard, 1908a, 1908b), much to his chagrin the phenomenon became associated almost exclusively with Theodore von Kármán, who made two important contributions to the relevant aerodynamic knowledge (von Kármán, 1911, 1912; von Kármán & Rubach, 1912). First, he connected the momentum carried by the vortex system with wake drag. Second, he performed linear stability analysis of a simplified model comprising an infinite train of point vortices embedded in an inviscid fluid and showed that all vortex
configurations are unstable, except for one specific staggered configuration with an aspect ratio—distance between vortex rows divided by intervortex spacing in one row—of \( H/L = 0.281 \), which is neutrally stable. Measurements of vortex streets in viscous fluids later found that the actual aspect ratio hardly ever assumes this theoretical value, but rather it increases with downstream distance due to turbulent diffusion, varying from 0.15 to 0.45 and also having a slight dependence on \( Re \) (Bénard, 1926a, 1926b, 1927; Matsui, 1981). Nevertheless, the vortex pattern sketched in Figure 1 is now universally referred to as the Kármán vortex street in recognition of Kármán's fundamental theoretical insights.

Visible band satellite images often show spectacular trains of vortices in the cloudy wake of mountainous islands, which bear a striking resemblance to patterns observed behind cylinders in low Reynolds number \((Re < \sim 300)\) laboratory flows—see the fluid motion albums of Samimy et al. (2003) and Van Dyke (1982). These atmospheric vortex streets consist of mesoscale eddies in a well-mixed boundary layer capped by a temperature inversion and are made visible by streaklines in stratocumulus clouds acting as tracer. The eddies span the entire boundary layer as revealed by their sea surface imprints in synthetic aperture radar images (Li et al., 2000, 2008). As such, atmospheric vortex streets differ from their laboratory cousins in several important aspects. First and foremost, they develop in a stratified atmosphere on a rotating Earth, which necessitates the introduction of the Froude number \( Fr \) and the Rossby number \( Ro \) as additional control parameters besides \( Re \). Furthermore, the height to width aspect ratio and the sidewall slope of relatively flat islands are much smaller than those of long cylinders. Islands are often not even axisymmetric, unlike the obstacles used in the laboratory. Finally, while laboratory experiments are performed in steady, spatially uniform, and low-turbulence, upstream flow with velocity fluctuations and turbulence intensity typically below 1% and 0.1%, such ideal freestream conditions are never achieved in the atmosphere even in the most stable meteorological situations.

Whether atmospheric vortex streets are close physical analogues of laboratory ones or there is only a superficial morphological similarity between these two systems depends ultimately on the nature of the respective dynamical instabilities, vorticity generation and vortex shedding mechanisms responsible for their formation. Although this topic is beyond the scope of our observational study, we note that significant advances have been made in the understanding of laboratory wake dynamics thanks to sophisticated experiments, direct numerical simulations, and the introduction of new theoretical concepts such as global or local and absolute or convective instability. The modern view of the phenomenon describes the onset of vortex
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**Figure 1.** Schematic geometry of an atmospheric vortex street and definition of coordinate axes—the generating mountain is not depicted. The Kármán vortices span the marine boundary layer between the cloud-top level and the ocean surface. The vortex street aspect ratio is defined as the transverse distance between the two rows of counterrotating vortices \( H \), divided by the streamwise spacing of like-rotating vortices \( L \), which can be calculated for each triplet of consecutive vortices. The flow at cloud top and the surface is, respectively, characterized by GOES-16 cloud-motion vectors and ASCAT ocean surface wind vectors. Note that the tall and narrow vortices in this vertically exaggerated sketch actually resemble the columnar vortices of laboratory flows. Atmospheric vortex streets, in contrast, comprise short and wide pancake vortices instead.
sheding at the critical \( Re \approx 50 \) as a self-excited limit-cycle oscillation (Hopf bifurcation) with the periodic vortex street in the near wake being the saturated end product of a time-amplified global instability (Provansal, 2006; Provansal et al., 1987; Williamson, 1996).

Since Bénard and Kármán’s pioneering work a century ago, hundreds of papers have been published on laboratory wake vortices behind bluff bodies (reviewed partly by the excellent two-volume monograph of Zdravkovich, 1997). In contrast, their atmospheric counterparts have attracted considerably less attention due mainly to observational limitations; numerical modeling studies are not surveyed in our paper. Although island vortex streets have been photographed from a number of spacecraft, only the geometric aspect ratio and the vortex shedding frequency have so far been estimated from satellite imagery (Chopra, 1973; Chopra & Hubert, 1965; Hubert & Krueger, 1962; Jensen & Agee, 1978; Lyons & Fujita, 1968; Thomson et al., 1977; Tsuchiya, 1969; Zimmerman, 1969). The most comprehensive past study is that of Young and Zawislak (2006), which derived aspect ratio statistics for 30 vortex streets based on Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) images. They found that the aspect ratio of atmospheric vortex streets does follow geometric similarity theories but, much like in laboratory flows, it differs considerably from Kármán’s analytical prediction. The measured values were larger, with a mean of 0.42 and a 95% confidence interval of 0.36–0.47 for island vortex streets having a straight centerline.

The spaceborne measurement of atmospheric vortex street dynamics, however, has been out of reach, because the spatial and temporal resolution of operational satellite wind products is still too coarse to retrieve the small-scale wake flow. Existing cloud-motion wind algorithms are based on 1–5-km pixel resolution imagery acquired every 10–100 min and use a large tracking box of 26 \( \times \) 26–72 \( \times \) 72 \( \text{km}^2 \) (Horváth et al., 2017). Higher temporal and higher spatial resolution sampling is needed to advance the understanding of atmospheric processes in turbulent environments, as highlighted by a review of current remote sensing capabilities (Geerts et al., 2018). Recently developed research-quality wind data sets now offer enhanced resolutions capable of resolving the vortex street wind field. For example, Horváth (2013) demonstrated that 4.4-km resolution stereo cloud-motion winds from the Multiangle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) could successfully capture the flow and vorticity field of a vortex street in the lee of Jan Mayen Island. Ocean surface winds from the Advanced Scatterometer (ASCAT) resampled on a 6.25-km grid were also shown to well represent the finer details of island wakes including those of a Madeira vortex street, in good agreement with near-coincident 4.4-km cloud-level MISR winds (Nunalee et al., 2015; Vogelzang, 2016; Vogelzang et al., 2017).

The current paper reports on the latest improvements in satellite retrievals of small-scale geophysical flows enabled by the enhanced capabilities of the Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) onboard the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite-R (GOES-R) series (Schmit et al., 2017). The ABI provides visible band imagery at a spatial and temporal resolution of 0.5 km and 5 min over the continental United States (CONUS) scan sector, which includes Guadalupe Island off Baja California. The operational GOES-R wind product contains 7.5-km resolution cloud-motion vectors every 15 min (Bresky et al., 2012; Daniels et al., 2010). Internally, however, the algorithm also computes 2.5-km resolution local motion vectors by the nested tracking of 5 \( \times \) 5-pixel subscenes. Our study takes advantage of these local motion vectors, whose frequency we have also increased to 5 min, to investigate an atmospheric Kármán vortex street observed by GOES-16 in the lee of Guadalupe on 9 May 2018. We also exploit a novel MODIS–GOES joint wind product that offers accurate stereo cloud-top heights (CTHs) and semi-independent wind validation data (Carr et al., 2019). Unlike polar-orbiter retrievals providing only snapshots, high temporal resolution geostationary data can capture the oscillation of the wake. We use ABI local cloud-motion vectors over an 8-hr daytime period, combined with two early morning ASCAT surface wind snapshots, to characterize the evolution of the vortex street wind field including the downstream advection and decay of vorticity. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the spaceborne measurement of atmospheric vortex shedding.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the satellite winds, atmospheric reanalysis fields, other auxiliary data used as well as the calculation of basic flow parameters. Section 3 gives an overview of the meteorological situation at Guadalupe Island on 9 May 2018, determines vortex street geometry, and illustrates GOES-16 and ASCAT retrievals of the vortex street wind field. Section 4 contains a quantitative analysis of the wake’s temporal evolution. Finally, section 5 summarizes our results and provides an outlook on
the further potential of high spatial and temporal resolution satellite winds for the characterization of unsteady geophysical flows.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Wind Data Sets

2.1.1. GOES-16 Local Cloud-Motion Vectors

Our primary data, the GOES-16 local cloud-motion vectors (or “local winds”) were calculated using a simplified version of the operational GOES-R derived motion winds algorithm (Bresky et al., 2012; Daniels et al., 2010). The simplified version involved use of only the feature tracking portion of the GOES-R derived motion winds algorithm. The local motion winds were not assigned heights as is the case in the operational version, limited quality control was performed on the output, and the tracking was done using image pairs instead of image triplets, in order to increase the spatial coverage and temporal frequency of the retrievals. Winds were extracted from multiple 0.5-km resolution ABI Band 2 (0.64-μm visible red band) image pairs separated by a 5-min time interval, starting at 14:32 UTC and ending at 22:37 UTC. Retrievals were generated every 5 min over a 602 × 602-pixel domain encompassing Guadalupe and its wake down to 26°N latitude. A 5 × 5-pixel (~2.5 × 2.5 km²) subscene was centered on each pixel in this domain and then tracked forward in time by minimizing the sum of squared difference similarity measure between the target image subscene and the search image subscene. Because our research application is more noise-tolerant than numerical weather prediction (NWP) data assimilation systems, the only quality constraint placed on the raw local motion vectors was that each matching subscene had to meet a correlation threshold of 0.8 with the initial target subscene.

2.1.2. MODIS-GOES-16 3D Cloud-Motion Vectors

We also used data from a novel MODIS–GOES joint wind retrieval algorithm (Carr et al., 2019), which provides horizontal wind vectors with geometric height assignments derived from the stereo tracking of cloud patterns in a triplet of consecutive GOES-16 CONUS scenes and a single MODIS granule. The Terra and Aqua overpasses yielded two snapshots of the wind field at 18:12 UTC and 21:22 UTC. The algorithm is based on red band imagery (ABI Band 2, MODIS Band 1) as it offers the finest spatial resolution in both systems, 0.5 and 0.25 km at the respective subsatellite points. Feature templates are taken from the central repetition of the GOES-16 triplet and matched to the other two repetitions 5 min before and after, providing the primary source of velocity information. The GOES-16 feature template is then matched to the MODIS granule which is observed from a different perspective, providing the stereoscopic height information. The apparent shift in the pattern from each match, modeled pixel times, and satellite ephemerides feed the retrieval model to enable the simultaneous solution for a wind vector and its geometric height. These joint MODIS–GOES-16 retrievals, hereafter referred to as “3D winds,” are derived using templates of 8 × 8 km² sampled every 2 km. As such, they have a slightly coarser resolution than the GOES-16 “local winds” described previously. At the same time, the larger template and use of GOES-16 image triplets rather than image pairs lead to visually less noisy, smoother retrievals.

2.1.3. ASCAT Ocean Surface Wind Vectors

The ASCAT is a C-band scatterometer carried by the MetOp satellites. Here we used data from ASCAT-A and ASCAT-B, which at the time of our case study were flying half an orbit apart in the same polar orbit with a separation time of ~50 min. ASCAT is a vertical transmit and vertical receive polarized C-band radar with six fan beam antennas, three at each side of the satellite. Two beams look forward at 45° azimuth with respect to the satellite moving direction, two look cross-track at 90° azimuth, and two backward at 135° azimuth. The radar cross section of the ocean surface is thus measured from three directions in two swaths of about 550-km width (Figa-Saldaña et al., 2002). The ASCAT wind data processor (AWDP) is the standard software for deriving near real-time ocean surface wind vectors from the radar measurements on 25 and 12.5-km operational grids (KNMI, 2013). In this study, full resolution radar cross sections from the European Organization for the Exploitation of Meteorological Satellites (EUMETSAT) were processed with AWDP using a finer grid spacing of 6.25 km. Although the retrievals have a true spatial resolution of ~17 km, the resulting wind field is oversampled to prevent information loss (Nyquist sampling), and hence, the 6.25-km product shows considerably more detail than the coarser resolution operational products (Vogelzang et al., 2017).
We further note that ASCAT measures stress-equivalent winds at 10-m height, derived assuming a near-neutral wind profile in the surface layer (de Kloe et al., 2017). These 10-m winds differ from cloud-motion winds due to the height difference and ocean drag. For example, for a typical aerodynamic roughness of 0.1 mm, near-surface friction velocity of 0.2 m s\(^{-1}\), and 10-m wind of 6 m s\(^{-1}\), the corresponding wind at 1-km height is ~40% faster. This height scaling directly applies to vorticity as well, assuming a constant diameter vortex, and therefore, ASCAT wind speeds and vorticity are substantially lower than cloud-motion wind speeds and vorticity.

**2.1.4. Processing of the Wind Data Sets**

All three wind products were regridded onto the same Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) grid covering our study domain with a spacing of 6.3 km to match the spacing of the coarsest ASCAT data. To reduce noise, each Universal Transverse Mercator gridbox was assigned the median of the wind vectors it contained. The presence of occasional outliers affected mostly the highest resolution GOES-16 local motion vectors, but for consistency, the median filter was applied to the other wind data sets as well. The vertical (spanwise) component of relative vorticity \(\zeta\) was then calculated from the horizontal wind components using standard finite difference methods (Belmonte Rivas & Stoffelen, 2019). As additional noise reduction, the regridded and median-filtered data fields were also smoothed with a 3 × 3-gridbox averaging window.

The original north-south (N-S) and east-west (E-W) wind components were converted to the more fitting streamwise \(U\) and transverse \(V\) wind components by rotating the coordinate system hourly to align its \(x\) axis with the upstream wind taken from ERA5 (C3S, 2017). This conversion was only approximate, because the upstream wind direction and thus the direction of vortex shedding changed during the day, resulting in a curved vortex street centerline. As a result, the upstream wind direction at any given time was representative of the streamwise (vortex advection) direction only in the near wake but could deviate from it in the far wake.

The wind data sets are visualized by vector fields in the remainder of this paper and by evenly spaced streamlines in the supporting information Figure S1. These plots are best viewed magnified on screen to discern individual wind vectors and streamlines.

**2.2. ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model**

The topography of Guadalupe Island is characterized by the Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER) Global Digital Elevation Model Version 2 (GDEM V2) derived from stereo image pairs (NASA/METI/AIST/Japan Space Systems & US/Japan ASTER Science Team, 2009). The ASTER GDEM is distributed in 1° × 1° tiles with elevation data posted on a 1 arc-second (~30 m at the equator) grid and has an overall accuracy of ~17 m (Meyer et al., 2011). Combining the GDEM with ERA5 wind and temperature profiles allowed us to calculate the crosswind island diameter at inversion base level, which is the length scale that determines vortex spacing. As shown in Figure 2, Guadalupe’s shape is not an approximately axisymmetric cone typical of volcanic peaks (e.g., Jan Mayen and Gran Canaria) but rather resembles an elongated inclined flat plate. The effects of such a shape on the flow are twofold. First, it makes the crosswind island diameter vary considerably with wind direction. Second, it naturally defines a leading edge and trailing edge relative to the upstream wind. In Figure 2, we plotted the “mass density” ellipse fitted to Guadalupe, which clearly indicates that under the prevailing northwesterly winds, the northern and southern shores act as the leading and trailing edge, respectively. The angle of attack \(\alpha\), defined as the angle between the upstream wind vector and the major axis of the

---

**Figure 2.** Topography of Guadalupe Island from the ASTER Global Digital Elevation Model (GDEM) Version 2. The black contour lines are drawn at sea level, 0.5 and 1.0 km and the highest point, Mount Augusta, is marked by the blue triangle. The GDEM was used in conjunction with hourly ERA5 temperature and wind profiles to determine the crosswind island diameter at inversion base height. Black arrows show the ERA5 upstream wind direction at the ASCAT-A/B (04Z-05Z) and GOES-16 (14Z-23Z) retrieval times. The blue dashed outline is the “mass density” ellipse fitted to the island with its major axis oriented at 343.4° and center indicated by the blue asterisk. The angle between the upstream wind vector and the major axis of the ellipse is the angle of attack \(\alpha\). The prevailing northwesterly winds set the northern and southern shores of Guadalupe as the leading and trailing edge.
fitted ellipse, varied between 9° and 32° in our case. As we discuss in section 4, vortex shedding behind an inclined flat plate at low angle of attack is distinct from that behind a circular cylinder.

2.3. MODIS and VIIRS Imagery

Vortex street geometry (vortex spacing and aspect ratio) was determined from MODIS and Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) 0.64-μm red band images. The advantages of polar-orbiter imagery over geostationary imagery are better resolution and minimized image distortion due to the curvature of the Earth. The MODIS Terra, VIIRS Suomi National Polar-Orbiting Partnership (Suomi NPP), and MODIS Aqua observed the vortex street at 18:12 UTC, 20:17 UTC, and 21:22 UTC, respectively. The images used were obtained from the NASA Worldview application in an equirectangular map projection with a pixel resolution of 0.25 km.

2.4. Basic Flow Parameters

2.4.1. Reynolds Number

In a nonrotating unstratified fluid, the nature of the wake only depends on the Reynolds number, which is the dimensionless ratio of inertial force to viscous force. The Reynolds number is defined as

$$Re = \frac{UD}{\nu},$$  

where $U$ is the upstream velocity, $D$ is the obstacle (cylinder) diameter, and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid (generally water in laboratory experiments). For atmospheric vortex streets $U$ is of $O(1–10)$ m s$^{-1}$ and $D = D_{inv}$, the crosswind island diameter at inversion base, is of $O(1–10)$ km. Using the kinematic viscosity of air $\nu_{air}$, which is of $O(10^{-5})$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, would yield an exceedingly large $Re$ of $O(10^8–10^{10})$ implying extremely turbulent conditions.

However, the cloud patterns of atmospheric vortex streets resemble the more laminar patterns observed in laboratory flows with $Re \ll 300$ (see the photographs in Samimy et al., 2003 and Van Dyke, 1982). In order to achieve a semblance of similarity between natural and laboratory conditions, one must invoke the use of the eddy viscosity in the determination of $Re$ for atmospheric vortex streets. The eddy viscosity $\nu_E$ is at least five orders of magnitude larger than $\nu_{air}$; however, its appropriate value for atmospheric flows is still debated—a topic not pursued any further in this paper. Suffice it to say, the eddy viscosity approach behaves relatively poorly for large-eddy coherent structures, and it allows, at most, only qualitative comparisons between geophysical and laboratory phenomena as pointed out by Boyer and Davies (2000).

2.4.2. Froude Number

The Froude number is the dimensionless ratio of flow inertia to an external field. Although originally introduced in naval architecture to formulate the resistance experienced by partially submerged vessels moving through water, the parameter was also found relevant in the description of stratified atmospheric flows; in which case, it is the ratio of inertial force to buoyancy force. There are various formulas for the Froude number (Heinze et al., 2012; Leo et al., 2016), and here we use the one based on the dividing streamline concept of Snyder et al. (1985). The dividing streamline at height $h_c$ separates the air parcels that go over from those that go around the obstacle. The flow above $h_c$ is 3D near the obstacle with a significant vertical component. Below $h_c$, however, flow splitting leads to quasi 2D streamlines in horizontal planes.

For the atmosphere where wind speed and stratification generally vary with height $z$, Snyder et al. (1985) derived an implicit expression, which can be solved for $h_c$ by iteration:

$$\frac{1}{2} U^2(h_c) = \int_{h_m}^{h_c} N^2(z)(h_m-z)dz,$$  

Here $U(z)$ is the ERA5 upstream wind speed profile, $h_m = 1,298$ m is the peak height of Guadalupe, and

$$N(z) = \left(\frac{g \theta}{\partial \theta/\partial z}\right)^{1/2},$$  

is the Brunt–Väisälä frequency, with $g = 9.81$ m s$^{-2}$ being the gravitational acceleration and $\theta(z)$ being the ERA5 upstream potential temperature profile. Equation (2) assumes that all horizontal kinetic energy is
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converted into potential energy and thus provides the lowest possible dividing streamline, which in reality might be found at a somewhat higher level.

Etling (1989) showed that the dividing streamline height obtained above for vertically varying atmospheric wind speed and stratification profiles can be converted to a Froude number that facilitates comparison with laboratory flows under constant upstream velocity and constant stratification:

\[ Fr = 1 - h_c/h_m \quad (4) \]

Laboratory experiments with 3D obstacles in stably stratified flows found spanwise vortex shedding only for \( Fr < 0.4 \) (Boyer et al., 1987; Brighton, 1978; Hunt & Snyder, 1980), a condition also met by the four atmospheric vortex street cases with available radiosonde profiles that were analyzed by Etling (1989). This restriction on the static stability of the flow requires that \( h_c > 0.6 h_m \), that is the quasi 2D split-flow regime must cover at least 60% of the vertical extent of the island (~779 m for Guadalupe).

### 2.4.3. Rossby Number

In the study of rotating fluids, one more dimensionless dynamical parameter has to be introduced, which usually is the Rossby number. The Rossby number is the ratio of inertial force to Coriolis force, defined as

\[ Ro = U/D = U/2D \Omega \sin\phi \quad (5) \]

where \( U \) is the upstream velocity, \( D = D_{inv} \) is the crosswind island diameter at inversion base, and \( f = 2\Omega \sin\phi \) is the Coriolis parameter, with \( \Omega = 7.29 \times 10^{-5} \) s\(^{-1}\) being the rate of Earth’s rotation and \( \phi = 29.03^\circ \) being Guadalupe’s latitude.

Thus, for the general case of a stratified rotating fluid, a basic set of independent dimensionless control parameters consists of \( Re, Fr, \) and \( Ro \). Alternatively, the Ekman number \( \dot{E}k \), the ratio of viscous force to Coriolis force, can be used instead of the Reynolds number, in which case \( Re = \dot{E}k/Ro \) is not an independent parameter any longer.

### 2.4.4. Strouhal Number

Although not a control parameter, the Strouhal number is an essential dimensionless quantity in the description of oscillating flows. It can be considered a normalized shedding frequency, defined as

\[ St = D/TU \quad (6) \]

where \( U \) is the upstream velocity, \( D = D_{inv} \) is the crosswind island diameter at inversion base, and \( T \) is the shedding period between two consecutive like-rotating vortices. The importance of \( St \) stems from the fact that a similarity relationship was observed between \( St \) and \( Re \) by several laboratory studies, allowing the identification and categorization of distinct flow regimes. Roshko (1954) found for a circular cylinder that \( St \) increases steadily with \( Re \) from 0.120 to 0.205 for \( 50 < Re < 300 \) and then \( St \) asymptotes to the value of 0.210 for \( 300 < Re < 10^4 \). The behavior of \( St \) and the definition of flow regimes for even higher \( Re \) are reviewed in Williamson (1996).

The periodicity of numerically modelled atmospheric vortex streets was investigated by Nunalee and Basu (2014). Varying the diameter and height of an idealized axisymmetric bell-shaped island, they found the shedding period generally increasing with crosswind island diameter. The Strouhal number fluctuated within the broad range of 0.15–0.22 consistent with Roshko (1954); however, \( St \) did not exhibit any obvious dependence on \( Re \), unlike for circular cylinders.

The Strouhal number for an inclined flat plate, which is a better first-order model of Guadalupe than a cylinder or a cone, has also been studied albeit less extensively (see Rostami et al., 2019 and references therein). The \( St \) based on the projected plate width shows a complicated behavior with Reynolds number and angle of attack. Some investigators found a near constant value of \( St \approx 0.148 \) (Fage & Johansen, 1927; \( 30^\circ < \alpha < 90^\circ \)) or \( St \approx 0.17 \) (Yang et al., 2012; \( 20^\circ < \alpha < 30^\circ, Re = 1,000 \)). Others observed \( St \) decreasing with \( \alpha \) from 0.18 to 0.14 (Lam & Leung, 2005; \( 20^\circ < \alpha < 30^\circ, Re = 5,300 \)). In contrast, the latest study found \( St \) increasing sharply from 0.02 at \( \alpha = 5^\circ \) to 0.17 at \( \alpha = 50^\circ \) and then remaining constant at higher angles (Rostami et al., 2019; \( Re = 10^4-10^5 \)). What emerges robustly from all these studies, however, is that the \( St \) values for an inclined flat plate correspond to the \( Re < 200 \) regime of a circular cylinder and stay below the asymptotic value of 0.21.
3. Kármán Vortex Street in the Lee of Guadalupe on 9 May 2018

3.1. Meteorological Conditions

Satellite imagery showed a well-developed Kármán vortex street in the cloudy wake of Guadalupe on 9 May 2018. Supporting information Movie S1 compiled from GOES-16 Band 7 (3.9 μm) and Band 2 (0.64 μm) images revealed coherent vortex shedding during the entire day. Although the nighttime shortwave (Band 7) infrared brightness temperatures have coarser resolution (2 km) and less contrast than daytime visible reflectances, they still clearly capture the formation and downstream advection of vortex pairs. In fact, vortex shedding was observed throughout 7–9 May and also on 11 May with a vortex-free weak wake pattern in between on 10 May indicating relatively uniform atmospheric conditions favorable to vortex formation over several days. The animated images demonstrate the excellent geolocation of ABI data but also hint at the sporadic presence of very thin high-level clouds that could hamper the tracking of low-level clouds and thus introduce noise in the wind retrievals.

The hourly ERA5 potential temperature and wind speed profiles upstream of Guadalupe are plotted in Figure 3. The diurnal variation of the most relevant meteorological and flow parameters is also tabulated in Table 1. The marine boundary layer had a well-mixed subcloud layer capped by a strong temperature inversion with a weaker stably stratified layer above and thus exhibited the typical conditions for atmospheric vortex streets. The inversion base was near 350 m in the morning and near 570 m in the afternoon and evening. Wind speed varied between 7 and 12 m s⁻¹ with the lowest values occurring in the early hours and showed only a slight increase with height below the mountain peak. The dividing streamline height fluctuated somewhat but was mostly above the 779-m threshold for Guadalupe. Consequently, the Froude number was close to or distinctly below the critical value of 0.4, especially after 12 UTC. Given the uncertainties in ERA5 profiles and considering that calculated from equation (2) was likely a slight underestimate, the Froude number did indicate atmospheric conditions conducive to coherent vortex shedding all day long.

The upstream wind direction and thus, the direction of vortex shedding, increased from 311° at 04–05 UTC to 334° at 15–17 UTC and then decreased to 322° at 22 UTC. The relatively large (23° and 12°) directional swings of these northwesterly winds are apparent in the satellite image loop too. For example, vortex shedding in the near wake as well as the advection of earlier-shed vortices in the far wake shifted noticeably to the east after 17 UTC, as the more westerly background flow introduced an increased zonal wind component. The westerly turn of background winds also resulted in two consecutive anticyclonic vortices being pushed close together and thereby weakening each other (vortices V2
Table 1
Hourly Meteorological Conditions in the Boundary Layer Upstream of Guadalupe on 9 May 2018

| UTC hour | Wind speed (m s⁻¹) | Wind direction/α (degree) | h₀inv (m) | h₉ (m) | D₉ inv (km) | Fr | Ro |
|----------|------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------|-------------|----|-----|
| 00       | 7.76             | 318°/25°                 | 354      | 796    | 19.85       | 0.387 | 5.51 |
| 01       | 8.17             | 316°/27°                 | 351      | 780    | 20.64       | 0.399 | 5.58 |
| 02       | 8.79             | 314°/29°                 | 351      | 762    | 21.35       | 0.413 | 5.81 |
| 03       | 9.18             | 313°/30°                 | 354      | 747    | 21.72       | 0.424 | 5.96 |
| 04       | 9.32             | 311°/32°                 | 354      | 742    | 22.38       | 0.428 | 5.87 |
| 05       | 9.70             | 313°/32°                 | 356      | 759    | 22.38       | 0.415 | 6.11 |
| 06       | 10.20            | 314°/29°                 | 357      | 781    | 21.31       | 0.398 | 6.75 |
| 07       | 10.44            | 318°/25°                 | 355      | 786    | 19.85       | 0.394 | 7.42 |
| 08       | 10.62            | 324°/19°                 | 357      | 781    | 13.49       | 0.398 | 11.10 |
| 09       | 10.55            | 327°/16°                 | 357      | 768    | 12.42       | 0.408 | 11.98 |
| 10       | 9.53             | 326°/17°                 | 351      | 748    | 16.75       | 0.424 | 8.02 |
| 11       | 9.08             | 328°/15°                 | 349      | 753    | 15.98       | 0.430 | 8.01 |
| 12       | 9.02             | 329°/14°                 | 347      | 753    | 15.57       | 0.420 | 8.17 |
| 13       | 9.74             | 329°/14°                 | 346      | 776    | 15.58       | 0.402 | 8.82 |
| 14       | 10.13            | 331°/12°                 | 348      | 812    | 14.72       | 0.374 | 9.70 |
| 15       | 10.38            | 334°/09°                 | 568      | 858    | 9.87        | 0.339 | 14.83 |
| 16       | 10.21            | 334°/09°                 | 570      | 866    | 9.87        | 0.333 | 14.59 |
| 17       | 9.95             | 330°/09°                 | 570      | 810    | 9.87        | 0.376 | 14.22 |
| 18       | 9.80             | 332°/11°                 | 570      | 783    | 10.57       | 0.397 | 13.07 |
| 19       | 9.60             | 330°/13°                 | 568      | 785    | 11.31       | 0.395 | 11.97 |
| 20       | 9.61             | 328°/15°                 | 565      | 810    | 12.08       | 0.376 | 11.22 |
| 21       | 9.79             | 327°/16°                 | 562      | 833    | 12.45       | 0.358 | 11.09 |
| 22       | 9.89             | 322°/21°                 | 556      | 892    | 14.36       | 0.313 | 8.82 |
| 23       | 9.76             | 324°/19°                 | 553      | 899    | 13.63       | 0.307 | 10.10 |

Note: Inversion base height h₀inv and the corresponding wind speed and wind direction are from ERAS. The angle of attack α is relative to the major axis of Guadalupe’s “mass density” ellipse oriented at 343°. The height of the dividing streamline h₉ is calculated from equation (2), while the crosswind island diameter at inversion base height D₉inv is determined from the ASTER GDEM. The Froude number Fr and the Rossby number Ro are computed from equations (4) to (5). aASCAT-A wind retrievals bASCAT-B wind retrievals cGOES-16 wind retrievals

and V4 in later figures). Such interaction between two successive like-rotating vortices in the same row would not happen under steady uniform flow. The crosswind island diameter varied systematically with inversion base height and wind direction (see Table 1). Inversion base height had the slightly larger effect, although the changing wind direction also caused significant variations in D₉inv due to Guadalupe’s non-axisymmetric shape. The combined effect of these two parameters produced a factor of two variation in D₉inv during the day (10–22 km). The crosswind island diameter was also mostly responsible for the variations in Ro. The Rossby number was consistently well above one and varied between five and 15, with the lower values occurring early in the morning.

On a final note, Etling (2019) has recently reported a rare case in the wake of Heard Island, where the orientation of the vortex street suddenly turned by ~90° halfway down its length, but the staggered arrangement of vortices remained intact. This unusual L-shaped vortex street resulted from a non-stationary high-pressure system located north of the island moving west to east during vortex shedding and the associated closed cyclonic circulation altering the advection of vortices. Atmospheric vortex streets can thus form and endure even under highly unsteady ambient winds, as long as the Froude number of the upstream flow remains below the critical value.

3.2. Vortex Street Geometry

Vortex spacing and vortex street aspect ratio were determined from 0.25-km pixel resolution MODIS Terra, VIIRS Suomi NPP, and MODIS Aqua images spanning a ~3-hr period between 18:12 and 21:22 UTC. The images are plotted in Figure 4 with the centers of the 10 vortices identifiable in the earliest MODIS Terra image labeled V1–V10 in increasing downstream order. Due to the temporal change in wind direction, wind speed, and crosswind island diameter, all of which had an effect on vortex spacing, shedding frequency, and advection velocity, the vortex street had a rather distorted geometry. Notably, the background flow became more westerly between 17 and 22 UTC, introducing an easterly shift in vortex shedding and advection. This was most apparent for the near-wake vortices V1–V6, while the far-wake vortices V7–V10 shed much earlier between 06 and 10 UTC under more northerly winds were less affected.

The resulting irregularity of vortex spacing, as compared to a vortex street developing under steady upstream flow, was largest near vortex pair V3–V4. The line connecting the centers of a cyclonic-anticyclonic vortex pair (V1–V2, V5–V6, etc.) typically had a WNW–ESE orientation. The orientation of the V3–V4 pair, in contrast, gradually rotated from WSW–ENE in the MODIS Terra image to SW–NE in the MODIS Aqua image; at 16:12 UTC, however, V3–V4 still had the usual WNW–ESE orientation. As the background winds turned, the anticyclonic vortex V4 was pushed east and got caught up in the southeasterly flow of the lower part of the succeeding anticyclonic V2 vortex, which dragged it northwestern relative to its cyclonic companion the V3 vortex (see also Movie S1). In fact, the much larger and stronger V2 severely weakened and eventually absorbed V4 such that by 22:07 UTC V4 was not identifiable as a separate local peak in the vorticity field.

In order to avoid the most severe distortions, geometric parameters were only calculated for the three southernmost vortex triplets t₁ = (V6, V7, and V8), t₂ = (V7, V8, and V9), and t₃ = (V8, V9, and V10). The vortex street centerline, obtained by fitting a third-order polynomial to the intervortex midpoints of vortices V5–V10, had an upper segment with considerable curvature and eastward shift caused by the faster easterly advection of the V5–V6 pair. The centerline segment corresponding to vortices V7–V10, on the other hand, was consistently linear and showed a much smaller eastward shift.
As given in Table 2, the aspect ratio $H/L$ of vortex triplets $t_1$ and $t_2$ increased sharply in time, because the transverse spacing $H$ increased and the streamwise spacing $L$ decreased. The $t_1$ and $t_2$ aspect ratios varied between 0.50 and 0.80 and were far outside the 95% confidence interval of 0.36–0.47 obtained by Young and Zawislak (2006) for regular atmospheric vortex streets. The aspect ratio of $t_3$, which was the triplet least affected by changes in the background flow, however, showed good temporal consistency and agreed well with the results of Young and Zawislak (2006).

3.3. Vortex Street Wind Field

3.3.1. ASCAT Surface Winds

Ocean surface winds at the ASCAT-A overpass time are shown in Figure 5. For visual context, the corresponding GOES-16 Band 7 image of the vortex street is also plotted. Lower resolution, reduced contrast, and presence of high-level clouds made it more difficult to discern low-level cloud structures in this nighttime infrared image compared to the daytime Band 2 images used in the next section. Nevertheless, the characteristic “mushroom” patterns formed by counterrotating vortex dipoles were still recognizable, especially in the depth map rendition of the brightness temperatures. The vortex street had a curved centerline because the vortices furthest downstream were shed under more northerly winds on 8 May.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** The Kármán vortex street in Guadalupe’s wake on 9 May 2018 observed by (a) MODIS Terra at 18:12Z, (b) VIIRS Suomi NPP at 20:17Z, and (c) MODIS Aqua at 21:22Z. Red and blue dots mark the cyclonic and anticyclonic vortex centers in a given image, while the arrows indicate the movement of the vortex centers over the three images. The solid, dashed, and dash-dot-dot lines show the third-order polynomial centerline fitted to the locations of vortices V5–V10, respectively, at the MODIS Terra, VIIRS Suomi NPP, and MODIS Aqua observation times. The centerline corresponding to a particular image is plotted in yellow.

As given in Table 2, the aspect ratio $H/L$ of vortex triplets $t_1$ and $t_2$ increased sharply in time, because the transverse spacing $H$ increased and the streamwise spacing $L$ decreased. The $t_1$ and $t_2$ aspect ratios varied between 0.50 and 0.80 and were far outside the 95% confidence interval of 0.36–0.47 obtained by Young and Zawislak (2006) for regular atmospheric vortex streets. The aspect ratio of $t_3$, which was the triplet least affected by changes in the background flow, however, showed good temporal consistency and agreed well with the results of Young and Zawislak (2006).

| Vortex Street Geometry as Defined in Figure 1 and Calculated From the 0.25-km Pixel Resolution MODIS Terra, VIIRS Suomi NPP, and MODIS Aqua Images Shown in Figure 4 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **H (km)** | **L (km)** | **H/L** |
| $t_1$ | $t_2$ | $t_3$ | $t_1$ | $t_2$ | $t_3$ | $t_1$ | $t_2$ | $t_3$ |
| MODIS T | 46.18 | 38.46 | **36.84** | 74.00 | 76.22 | **84.39** | 0.62 | 0.50 | **0.44** |
| VIIRS | 50.51 | 43.89 | **40.97** | 64.89 | 71.21 | **89.10** | 0.78 | 0.62 | **0.46** |
| MODIS A | 51.36 | 42.43 | **35.75** | 64.20 | 65.77 | **85.59** | 0.80 | 0.65 | **0.42** |

**Table 2**

Note. Results are given for the three southernmost vortex triplets $t_1 = (V6, V7, and V8)$, $t_2 = (V7, V8, and V9)$, and $t_3 = (V9, V9, and V10)$. Bold italic numbers highlight geometric parameters for vortex triplet $t_3$, which was least affected by the change in wind direction and wind speed and thus yielded the temporally most consistent aspect ratios. For comparison, Young and Zawislak (2006) obtained a mean aspect ratio of 0.42 with a 95% confidence interval of 0.36–0.47 for regular atmospheric vortex streets.
The surface winds showed flow splitting coupled with deceleration on the windward side of the island and acceleration zones on the eastern and western flanks, characterized by higher shear and “cornering” winds 2–3 m s$^{-1}$ faster than the background flow. In the lee of the island, a meandering wake of reduced wind speeds and fluctuating wind directions was clearly visible down to 26°N, following the curved centerline. The maximum speed reduction was ~3 m s$^{-1}$ that was accompanied by a ~55° maximum turn in wind direction near the island; these perturbations gradually decreased to ~0.5 m s$^{-1}$ and ~10° toward the southern edge of our domain. In the vorticity field, eight local peaks could be unambiguously associated with the four vortex pairs seen in the Band 7 image. Peak vorticity generally decreased with increasing distance from the island.

As discussed in the next section, the wake effect—speed reduction, oscillations in wind direction, and magnitude of vorticity—was stronger in GOES-16 daytime cloud-motion winds. The coarser resolution of ASCAT retrievals and ocean drag were definitely major reasons for a less pronounced wake and smaller vorticity values. It should be noted, however, that the Froude number was larger at 04–05 UTC ($Fr = 0.42–0.43$) than after 14 UTC ($Fr < 0.4$), indicating slightly less favorable conditions for vortex formation in the early
hours of the day. In addition, the upstream wind was the most westerly (311°) and consequently the angle of attack was the highest (32°) at the ASCAT observation times, which might have also contributed to a weaker wake. Results for the ASCAT-B overpass (not shown) were similar but with the wake shifted further to the east.

We note that Kilpatrick et al. (2019) reported systematic climatological wind errors near coastal mountains in certain scatterometer wind products. The AWDP-processed winds used here have a 180° wind direction ambiguity, but this is generally resolved by the AWDP 2D variational ambiguity removal procedure, which uses empirically derived spatial structure functions (Vogelzang & Stoffelen, 2012, 2018). In our experience, island lee vortices are captured well by the KNMI ASCAT products, which yield physically consistent wind structures.

### 3.3.2. GOES-16 Local Winds

Hourly snapshots of GOES-16 local wind vectors between the MODIS Terra and MODIS Aqua overpasses are plotted in Figure 6, while the variation of local winds over the entire 8-hr period between 14:37 and 22:32 UTC is given every 5 min in Movie S2. The local winds were somewhat noisy and had coverage gaps before 16:00 UTC, probably caused by the presence of thin high-level clouds. Later on, however, there were
only occasional speed or direction outliers in the retrievals. The wake signature extended all the way down to 26°N. Flow splitting and deceleration on Guadalupe’s windward side and lobes of acceleration on its flanks were also apparent at cloud level. The maximum speed reduction in the wind shadow was ~5 m s⁻¹. Note that the background flow south of Guadalupe was spatially nonuniform as winds were stronger west of the wake than east of the wake—and they also became more westerly after 17 UTC (see Table 1). These spatial and temporal variations in background wind speed and direction introduced a westerly crossflow through the vortex street.

Wind direction within the wake changed by up to 90° at the western rim of cyclonic and eastern rim of anticyclonic vortices, often in association with a zone of acceleration similar to the one on the flanks of the island. The locations of the largest swings in the wind coincided with local minima or maxima in vorticity, and the magnitude of the swings generally decreased with downstream distance. Counterclockwise turns in wind direction (decreases in angle) were larger than clockwise turns in wind direction (increases in angle) due to the westerly crossflow. The wind oscillations lead to alternating bands of positive (“westerly”) and negative (“easterly”) transverse (cross-street) jets, the former again being more prominent than the latter. A westerly jet runs between the bottom of a cyclonic vortex and the top of the preceding anticyclonic vortex, while an easterly jet runs between the bottom of an anticyclonic vortex and the top of the preceding cyclonic

Figure 7. Same as Figure 6, but for the streamwise wind component U smoothed with a 3 x 3-gridbox averaging window. The x and y coordinate axes were rotated from the N-S, E-W directions to the streamwise, transverse directions using the ERA5 upstream wind direction.
Because of the added westerly crossflow, “easterly” jets had only a weak easterly absolute wind component and typically showed a more northerly orientation. The transverse jets advected downstream with the vortices. For example, the westerly jet located at ~28°N (between 117°W and 118°W) in the MODIS Terra image advected down to ~27.6°N (and also further to east) by the time of the MODIS Aqua overpass, following the movement of vortex pair V5–V6 shown in Figure 4.

Snapshots of the corresponding streamwise wind component are plotted in Figure 7 with its 8-hr temporal evolution given in Movie S3. The streamwise component showed clearly the wind shadow of Guadalupe with speed reductions up to 5 m s⁻¹ in the wake. It had local minima at the locations of the five westerly jets. However, the local minima corresponding to what would have been easterly jets under uniform mean flow conditions were missing, because the westerly crossflow gave these opposing jets a more northerly orientation and thus an increased streamwise wind component.

The oscillating nature of the wake could perhaps be best seen in the transverse wind component plotted in Figure 8 and Movie S4. The transverse wind field exhibited alternating bands of positive and negative values at the locations of the opposing cross-street jets, with the streamwise distance between bands of the same sign regulated by the vortex shedding frequency. Note that the midpoint of the diverging color palette in Figure 8 was shifted from 0 to +1.5 m s⁻¹ to account for the westerly crossflow and aid visualization. The
regular pattern of the 10 alternating bands was somewhat broken by the interaction of the V2 and V4 vortices caused by a change in the shedding direction, as described in section 3.1. This resulted in the corresponding positive and negative bands being distorted into a more circular shape and ending up at approximately the same axial location rather than following each other along the streamwise axis. Also note that several of the bands showed the transverse wind being the strongest near the end points of a cross-street jet and weaker in between, which is the classic pattern in laboratory flows. The formation and downstream advection of the transverse wind bands was nicely captured in the supporting information animation.

Snapshots of the vertical component of relative vorticity are plotted in Figure 9, while its 8-hr temporal evolution is given in Movie S5. The magnitude of vorticity calculated from cloud-motion winds was a factor of ~2 larger than that calculated from ASCAT surface winds (cf. Figure 5d). This difference was due mostly to the higher spatial resolution of GOES-16 retrievals and ocean drag affecting ASCAT retrievals, although the effect of temporal changes in the atmospheric state—for example, slightly more favorable conditions for vortex formation after 14 UTC as indicated by the Froude number—could not be ruled out. The plots show the V2 vortex gradually absorbing the V4 vortex, the latter of which almost completely disappeared by 22:02 UTC both in the vorticity maps and visible satellite images. Most importantly, the vorticity calculations revealed an asymmetric downstream vortex decay with cyclonic trailing-edge vortices being stronger than

Figure 9. Same as Figure 6, but for the relative vorticity $\zeta$ smoothed with a 3 x 3-gridbox averaging window. The numbers mark the locations of vorticity minima or maxima of the 10 vortices identified in Figure 4.
3.3.3. GOES-16 3D Winds

The GOES-16 3D winds and the derived vorticity are plotted in Figure 10 for the MODIS Terra overpass. Results for the MODIS Aqua overpass (not shown) were of similar quality. As described in section 2.1.2, the 3D winds are representative of a somewhat larger area (8 × 8 vs. 2.5 × 2.5 km²) and longer averaging time (10 vs. 5 min) than the local winds, which explains most of the differences between the two products. Because of the larger image template and Guadalupe’s location close to the edge of the CONUS sector, the coverage of 3D winds did not extend as far west as that of local winds. The 3D wind retrievals were smoother and exhibited less pronounced minima and maxima. For example, the magnitude of local minima in the streamwise component and the magnitude of local maxima in the transverse component, that is the intensity of the westerly jets, as well as the strength of the individual vortices were all slightly reduced compared to the higher resolution local winds. Nevertheless, the comparison statistics tabulated in Table 3 indicated a good overall agreement between the data sets within 5%. The 3D winds had a slight fast bias due to the less marked wind shadow and showed marginally smaller differences for the E-W component than the N-S
component. Although the two wind products were derived from the same imagery and hence were not fully independent, their consistency still enhanced our confidence in both.

The salient feature of the joint wind retrieval is the stereoscopic height assignment, which is potentially more accurate than traditional infrared brightness temperature-based height assignment techniques. Examining the retrievals for cloud-free feature templates over land provides a useful self-consistency validation, since the algorithm is expected to return near-zero winds and heights close to the terrain elevation. This is a technique pioneered with MISR (Horváth, 2013; Lonitz & Horváth, 2011; Moroney et al., 2002). The Aqua granule provided ample ground-point retrievals, which showed a median height retrieval error of 28.3 m and a standard deviation of 66.9 m.

The stereo CTHs showed a cloud layer between 600 and 900 m encountering the island peak and revealed that vortex formation left the vertical structure of the cloud layer largely undisturbed. The median stereo CTH was nearly the same at the two MODIS overpass times, 742 and 726 m, respectively. Brightness temperature-based CTHs from the collection 6 MOD06 and MYD06 operational MODIS products were biased slightly high: 900–1,000 m of upstream and 900–1,200 m of downstream. The stereo CTHs were more consistent with an inversion base height of 570 m (see section 3.1) and a typical marine stratocumulus thickness of 200–300 m (Stevens et al., 2007).

4. Wake Flow Dynamics

4.1. Observed Vortex Shedding Mechanisms

Visual analysis of the high spatial and temporal resolution animations of GOES-16 Band 2 imagery (S1) and derived vorticity (S5) enabled us to draw a basic sketch of vortex shedding behind Guadalupe. The animations revealed different vortex formation mechanisms at the trailing edge and the leading edge. Flow separation at the trailing edge is unaffected by Guadalupe's elongated shape and thus the counterclockwise-rotating vortices simply roll up and shed directly from the trailing edge. At the leading edge, however, the island itself acts as a long after-body object for flow separation. The clockwise-rotating vortices generated at the leading edge first extend along the streamwise direction and develop into a large recirculation region on the eastern flank of Guadalupe that remains attached to the island for some time. The growth and eventual detachment of this clockwise recirculation region as a fully fledged leading-edge vortex is controlled largely by the development of the next counterclockwise-rotating trailing-edge vortex. As a result, leading-edge vortices shed into the wake at an axial location near the trailing edge too. This implies that at the same streamwise location, leading-edge vortices have undergone a longer birth and more diffusion than trailing-edge vortices, which might explain the smaller anticyclonic vorticities downstream (more on this in section 4.3). These vortex formation processes observed behind Guadalupe are in excellent qualitative agreement with the asymmetric vortex shedding found behind an inclined flat plate both in large-eddy simulations (LES, Breuer & Jovičić, 2001) and wind tunnel experiments (Lam & Leung, 2005), further confirming the flat plate as a better model for Guadalupe than the circular cylinder or cone.

4.2. Temporal Variation of Wind Components

The temporal variation of wind speed and the various wind components during the 8-hr daytime period is plotted every 5 min in Figure 11 for one downstream location outside and one inside the wake; for visual clarity, the positive streamwise component is displayed here with negative sign to avoid overlapping

| Absolute/relative bias (m s\(^{-1}\) / %) | RMSD (m s\(^{-1}\)) | Correlation |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Wind speed                               | 0.12/2.20          | 0.72        | 0.92        |
| E-W component                            | 0.05/1.50          | 0.82        | 0.86        |
| N-S component                            | –0.21/4.90         | 0.92        | 0.85        |

Note. The two separate wind products were median-filtered and resampled without smoothing on a common 6.3-km UTM grid, resulting in 3,866 data pairs.
curves. The background flow was sampled near the western edge and central latitude of our domain (27.685°N, 118.166°W), while the wake was sampled at the western end point of the first transverse jet closest to the island (28.596°N, 118.047°W), where wind oscillations were the largest.

Wind speed showed a ~2 m s\(^{-1}\) variation during this time period, generally increasing after 16:32 UTC. The wind speed deficit between the selected main flow and wake locations was also ~2 m s\(^{-1}\). Because the wake was sampled near the edge of rather than the middle of the wind shadow, the speed reduction was smaller than the maximum value of ~5 m s\(^{-1}\) found earlier. The westerly cross flow was indicated clearly by the increasing E-W component of the main flow after 16:32 UTC (Figure 11a), which was due both to the strengthening and the westerly turn of the background winds. The corresponding N-S component also increased slightly with increasing wind speed. Aligning the coordinate system hourly with the ERA5 upstream wind vector accounted for the turning wind direction to first order and resulted in a transverse component with much reduced fluctuations around zero (Figure 11b). Coordinate system rotation alone could not completely eliminate the crossflow and thus the transverse component still exhibited a small

Figure 11. Temporal variation of GOES-16 local wind over an 8-hr period at 5-min resolution and averaged for a 3 × 3-gridbox region (a and b) outside and (c and d) inside Guadalupe’s wake. Panels (a and c) plot the N-S, E-W components, while panels (b and d) plot the streamwise, transverse components. Note that in the flow-oriented coordinate system defined in Figure 7 the streamwise component is positive, but here it is plotted with negative sign to avoid its overlapping with the wind speed curve. In panel (d), the time intervals between the minima and maxima of the oscillating transverse wind are also indicated, which correspond to the shedding period $T$ between two consecutive like-rotating vortices.
variation, switching from slight negative to slight positive values at 16:32 UTC. Apart from these relatively small, smooth, and slow variations, however, the wind field did not exhibit any observable oscillations outside the wake.

In contrast, winds within the wake experienced quasiperiodic oscillations of significant magnitude. Given the driving northwesterly winds, the E-W and N-S components both showed oscillations, the magnitude of which was slightly larger for the former than the latter (Figure 11c). The oscillations were the clearest and had the largest amplitude in the transverse component, while the streamwise component showed the smallest temporal variations with no obvious periodicity. Rotating the coordinate system mostly accounted for the westerly crossflow in the first half of our study period, and hence, the transverse component showed positive and negative fluctuations of comparable magnitude (±4–5 m s⁻¹). After 20:00 UTC, however, there was a distinct shift toward positive values in the transverse wind as a result of the uncorrected crossflow.

The 8-hr time period encompassed a little more than two full vortex shedding cycles. By visual analysis of the GOES-16 image loops, we estimated the shedding period between two consecutive like-rotating vortices varying between 2 and 4 hr during the entire day. With the diurnal variations in upstream velocity and crosswind island diameter, this yielded a Strouhal number between 0.09 and 0.18 with the higher values occurring early in the morning. This $St$ range was considerably below the 0.21 asymptotic value for a circular cylinder.

As revealed by the oscillations in the transverse wind component (Figure 11d) and also confirmed by the GOES-16 animations, the shedding period gradually increased from 2.2 to 3.7 hr between 15 and 22 UTC, corresponding to a decrease in $St$ from ~0.12 to ~0.09. During the same time period, the crosswind island diameter increased and the upstream wind speed slightly decreased, leading to an overall increase in $Re$. For a circular cylinder, $St$ increases steadily with $Re$ in the regime below the asymptotic value. The observed decrease in $St$ with $Re$ is therefore inconsistent with the classic similarity relationship for a circular cylinder. The magnitude of $St$ was furthermore below the 0.15–0.22 range simulated for an idealized bell-shaped island by Nunalee and Basu (2014). On the other hand, the angle of attack increased between 15 and 22 UTC, and $St$ decreasing with $\alpha$ qualitatively agrees with Lam and Leung’s (2005) findings for an inclined flat plate. However, a note of caution is in order here, because there is no consensus yet on the $St$–$\alpha$ relationship among experimental studies, and its dependence on $Re$ is also largely unexplored, as discussed in section 2.4.4. In our case, $Re$ and $\alpha$ varied simultaneously, which prevents disentangling their independent effects.

It is instructional at this point to examine the time-averaged wind and vorticity fields, plotted in Figure 12. The wind vectors show flow splitting with deceleration on the windward northern shores, a prominent leading-edge lobe of acceleration on the eastern flank, and a reattachment region just south of the island.
at 118°W. Downstream from the reattachment region, the wind vectors indicate a NW–SE orientation with approximate parallel streamlines as the velocity oscillations averaged out to a large degree. The shape of the reduced-wind speed zone, however, still revealed the meandering of the wake over time. Toward the south of the island, the time-averaged winds suggested a counterclockwise recirculation zone associated with the trailing edge.

For comparison, the time-averaged velocity field behind a circular cylinder with periodic Kármán vortex shedding shows two symmetrically placed counterrotating standing vortices attached to the cylinder and streamlines parallel to the main flow past the reattachment point (Goharzadeh & Molki, 2015). The time-averaged flow behind an inclined flat plate is similar, but the clockwise recirculation region at the leading edge is considerably larger than the anticlockwise recirculation region at the trailing edge (Breuer & Jovičić, 2001; Yang et al., 2012). Interestingly, this flow pattern with two steady counterrotating recirculation regions is characteristic of the laminar steady regime ($5 < Re < 50$) that occurs between “creeping flow” ($Re < 5$) and laminar periodic vortex shedding ($50 < Re < 190$). The lack of a clockwise recirculation zone in our time-averaged wind field might have been caused by the uncorrected westerly crossflow or retrieval uncertainties in the largely cloud-free area immediately east of the island.

The vorticity map corresponding to the time-averaged wind vectors (Figure 12b) outlined the positive and negative vorticity generation regions on the western and eastern flanks of the island. The tendency toward stronger cyclonic than anticyclonic vortices downstream of Guadalupe was apparent here too, as the tongue of elevated positive vorticities extended further south than the corresponding negative contour line of the same magnitude. The vorticity generation areas near the island, however, showed the opposite asymmetry in strength. The peak vorticity of the anticyclonic region associated with the leading edge was $-5.73 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, while that of the cyclonic region associated with the trailing edge was $4.67 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$. Higher peak vorticity production near the leading edge than the trailing edge but stronger cyclonic than anticyclonic vortices further downstream agrees again with the experimental results of Lam and Leung (2005) for an inclined flat plate.

### 4.3. Asymmetric Vortex Decay

The vorticity maps in Figure 9 have already indicated visually that (i) the strength of individual vortices decreased with downstream distance, and (ii) the decrease was faster for anticyclonic (negative) vorticity than for cyclonic (positive) vorticity. In this section, we quantify the asymmetric vorticity decay and discuss its potential causes. The downstream (axial) distance was measured from Guadalupe’s center and was normalized by the crosswind island diameter at inversion base height: $x' = x/D_{inv}$. We used vorticity calculated from GOES-16 local winds for the time period 15:32–20:32 UTC, which excluded the noisiest earlier retrievals as well as later retrievals most affected by the crossflow (see Figure 11).

Peak vorticity as a function of $x'$ is plotted in Figure 13, separately for the vorticity production regions on the flanks of the island (plus signs) and for individual vortices advecting downstream (filled circles). A statistical summary of the results is also given in Table 4. Echoing the findings for the time-averaged winds, the mean peak vorticity was higher in the anticyclonic vorticity production region than in the cyclonic vorticity production region: $-6.19 \times 10^{-4}$ versus $5.61 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$. The asymmetry, however, was the opposite for vortices shed into the wake. The average peak vorticity of anticyclonic vortices was not only smaller than that of cyclonic vortices, $-3.67 \times 10^{-4}$ versus $4.74 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, but the streamwise linear decay rate of anticyclonic vorticity was also twice as high as that of cyclonic vorticity: $0.19 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}/D_{inv}$ versus $-0.09 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}/D_{inv}$.

At $x' = 10–11$, there was an anomalous drop in both negative vorticity ($-2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$) and positive vorticity ($3.5 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$), which corresponded to the V3–V4 vortex pair located at the geometrically most distorted portion of the vortex street (see Figure 4 and section 3.2). As discussed previously, the larger anticyclonic V2 vortex started to absorb and weaken the smaller anticyclonic V4 vortex due to the westerly turn of the background winds. The westerly crossflow also pushed the cyclonic V3 vortex close to the V4 vortex (in fact, the V3–V4 pair had the smallest transverse separation of all vortex pairs), resulting in the overlap of the adjacent eddy cores and partial cancelation of vorticity of opposite signs. Excluding the V3–V4 pair from the analysis, however, did not change our finding of a markedly asymmetric downstream vorticity decay.
Note that ASCAT-A/B ocean surface winds showed the same cyclonic-anticyclonic asymmetry in vortex strength and downstream decay rate, although in a much smaller sample. The magnitude of vorticities and the difference between them were considerably smaller than those for GOES-16 cloud-motion winds, due to the coarser resolution of the scatterometer data and ocean drag effects. In contrast, decay rates per $D_{\text{inv}}$ were higher because the crosswind island diameter was a factor of 2 larger at the ASCAT overpass times than during the GOES-16 observations. Decay rates per 100 km, however, were fairly comparable between the instruments (see Table 4).

Note that ASCAT-A/B ocean surface winds showed the same cyclonic-anticyclonic asymmetry in vortex strength and downstream decay rate, although in a much smaller sample. The magnitude of vorticities and the difference between them were considerably smaller than those for GOES-16 cloud-motion winds, due to the coarser resolution of the scatterometer data and ocean drag effects. In contrast, decay rates per $D_{\text{inv}}$ were higher because the crosswind island diameter was a factor of 2 larger at the ASCAT overpass times than during the GOES-16 observations. Decay rates per 100 km, however, were fairly comparable between the instruments (see Table 4).

### Table 4

*Statistical Summary of Downstream Vorticity $\zeta$ Plotted in Figure 13a*

|        | Mean ($\times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$) | Median ($\times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$) | Correlation ($\zeta, x^*$) | Slope ($\times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}/D_{\text{inv}}$) | Slope ($\times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}/100$ km) | Count |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|
| GOES-16+ | 4.74                             | 4.65                              | $-0.58$                     | $-0.086$                                      | $-0.086$                                   | 262   |
| GOES-16− | $-3.67$                          | $-3.47$                           | $0.84$                      | $0.190$                                       | $1.792$                                    | 245   |
| ASCAT+  | 1.98                             | 2.17                              | $-0.93$                     | $-0.222$                                      | $-0.993$                                   | 7     |
| ASCAT−  | $-1.82$                          | $-1.39$                           | $0.93$                      | $0.298$                                       | $1.331$                                    | 7     |

*Note.* Here $x^* = x/D_{\text{inv}}$ is the normalized downstream distance.
Another measure of vortex street intensity is the peak transverse wind in cross-street jets, which is plotted as a function of $x^+$ in Figure 13b. Similar to peak vorticity, positive jets were on average stronger than negative jets, although this discrepancy was due partly or perhaps mostly to the uncorrected westerly crossflow; a crossflow of $\sim 1$ m s$^{-1}$ could easily eliminate the observed asymmetry. Here we note that while a spatially uniform crossflow biases the transverse wind component, it does not bias the vorticity. Regardless, the intensity of transverse jets clearly decreased as the wind oscillations dampened downstream, suggesting a non-linear decay with the decline being steeper in the near wake and then tapering off in the far wake.

In the classic Lamb-Oseen vortex model, peak vorticity decreases inversely with time, which translates to a hyperbolic spatial decay along the streamwise axis for a roughly constant vortex advection speed. Such a vortex model was used successfully to describe the viscous decay of 2D vortices shed by a circular cylinder as observed in both laboratory experiments and direct numerical simulations (Ponta, 2010). In our retrievals, the peak transverse wind could be fitted reasonably well with a hyperbola, but the peak vorticity followed a linear decay. The different decay functions might have been the result of using raw transverse winds but smoothed vorticities in the analysis. Deviations from the 2D Lamb-Oseen vortex model in a significantly 3D wake or variations with $Re$ could also lead to more linear decay laws (Hamid et al., 2015).

To recap, the general decay of vortices can be explained by the viscous diffusion of vorticity. In a manner analogous to the diffusion of heat, vorticity spreads into the flow as vortices advect in the wake. The size of eddies also increases downstream due to diffusion, a tendency that can be seen in Figure 4 too, leading to the gradual overlapping of viscous cores and cancelation of vorticity of opposite signs. To account for the asymmetry in the cyclonic and anticyclonic decay rates, however, requires considering the effects of Earth's rotation or obstacle shape on the flow.

For a circular cylinder, asymmetric vortex decay could only be achieved in both laboratory experiments and numerical simulations by the inclusion of moderate background rotation. In a rotating environment, the Rossby number is an additional control parameter. When $Ro < < 1$ (geostrophic regime), the strong background rotation tends to two dimensionalize the flow and thus stabilize columnar vortices against perturbations. Taylor–Proudman theory suggests that in this regime, cyclones and anticyclones behave very similarly (Carnevale et al., 1997). In the opposite limit, when $Ro > > 1$, the flow does not feel the rotation, and again, there is no fundamental difference between cyclones and anticyclones. It is in the intermediate regime with $Ro \geq 1$ where selective 3D destabilization of anticyclonic vortices can occur through various modes of instability.

Boyer and Davies (1982) and Boyer and Kmetz (1983) conducted laboratory investigations of homogeneous flow past a circular cylinder in a rotating water channel. Although they noted clear differences in the flow structure between $f$-plane (constant Coriolis parameter) and $\beta$-plane (latitudinally varying Coriolis parameter) as well as between westward and eastward flows, the formation of an asymmetric wake with cyclonic eddies dominating anticyclonic ones was common in all experiments. Carnevale et al. (1997) studied the stability of three-dimensionally perturbed individual vortex tubes in a rotating flow using numerical simulations. They found that for a large but finite Rossby number ($Ro = 5$), even small perturbations lead to the complete breakdown of the anticyclonic vortex through centrifugal instability, while the cyclones remain stable.

Another set of laboratory studies by Afanasyev and Pelletier (1998) and Afanasyev (2002) investigated the evolution of an anticyclonic columnar vortex subjected to either centrifugal or elliptical instability. When the vortex is quasi-circular centrifugal instability dominates, which introduces primary perturbations around the edge of the vortex in the form of toroidal rib vortices with mushroom-like cross section. When the vortex is exposed to a strain field and has a more elliptical shape, elliptical instability is dominant. In this case, the core of the anticyclone bends in a sinusoidal manner in the plane corresponding to the direction of maximal strain. The competition between centrifugal (edge mode) and elliptical (core mode) instabilities is governed by the magnitude of ellipticity and the Rossby number. Maximum destabilization was found at $Ro = 4$ or 10 for the centrifugal mode and at $Ro = 3–6$ for the elliptical mode.

The experimental work perhaps most pertinent to our case is that of Stegner et al. (2005), which investigated the stability of entire Kármán vortex streets, rather than that of single vortex tubes, in a rotating deep-water layer. They concluded that the breaking of symmetry is primarily caused by core-centered sinusoidal
perturbations due to elliptical instability. Cyclonic-anticyclonic asymmetry was observed for \( Ro \geq 1.2 \) where the vertical wavelength of the perturbations increased with \( Ro \). They also found that vorticity decay in coherent vortices comes not only from classic viscous dissipation but also from the direct energy cascade toward small scales induced by the 3D instability. For small Reynolds numbers (\( Re \sim 150 \)), no asymmetry between peak cyclonic and anticyclonic vorticity was detected as standard viscous dissipation dominated. For larger Reynolds numbers (\( Re \sim 400–500 \)), however, viscous dissipation was weaker, and selective destabilization of anticyclones was more efficient, resulting in an asymmetric decay. The selective 3D destabilization of anticyclones and thus the asymmetric Kármán vortex street persisted in a shallow-water configuration too.

The range of Rossby numbers investigated by the above studies combined is 1–16, which covers our case where \( Ro \) varied between 5 and 15. Some of these studies even used satellite photos of Guadalupe vortex streets to build a qualitative bridge between laboratory or numerical model results on rotational effects and geophysical flows. The cyclonic-anticyclonic asymmetry is frequently detectable in the visual appearance of vortices in Guadalupe’s wake. Anticyclonic (in the northern hemisphere clockwise-rotating) eddies tend to have smaller clear eyes and less well-preserved spiral cloud patterns than cyclonic eddies at the same downstream location, which is apparent in Figure 4 too (e.g., compare the vortices in pairs V1–V2 and V7–V8). The same cyclonic-anticyclonic visual asymmetry can also be observed in southern hemisphere vortex streets. In the wake of the conical peak of Alejandro Selkirk Island (33.75°S, 80.78°W) or Heard Island (53.1°S, 73.5°E), however, the counterclockwise-rotating eddies are the anticyclones that lose their spiral appearance faster (see the satellite images in DeFelice et al., 2000, Etling, 2019, and Stegner et al., 2005). The primacy of elliptical instability in causing the wake asymmetry would also imply more elliptically elongated shapes for anticyclones and more circular shapes for cyclones. Because shape perception by a human observer is highly subjective, we defer judgment on this issue until an objective analysis of vortex shapes is conducted in a larger sample.

We caution, however, that the above laboratory experiments on the effects of Earth’s rotation were performed for columnar vortices characterized by an aspect ratio (vertical thickness to horizontal radius) of 1–10. The pancake vortices of atmospheric vortex streets, on the other hand, have a much smaller aspect ratio of only \( \sim 0.1 \), and they can also be sensitive to other types of (shear, baroclinic, or gravitational) instabilities. Therefore, extrapolating the results obtained for columnar vortices to pancake vortices is not straightforward and requires confirmation by numerical simulations focused on the velocity profile of atmospheric vortices.

A factor that has been overlooked consistently in explaining the asymmetric island wake is Guadalupe’s non-axisymmetric shape resembling an inclined flat plate. Both laboratory experiments (Lam & Leung, 2005) and numerical simulations (Breuer & Jovićić, 2001; Lam & Wei, 2010; Yang et al., 2012) confirmed an inclined flat plate at angles of attack encountered in our case (9°–32°) produces inherently asymmetric vortex shedding even without background rotation, although the exact cause of asymmetry is not fully understood yet. Lam and Leung (2005) pointed out that leading-edge (anticyclonic) vortices undergo a longer birth before detachment and hence are more diffused with a smaller peak vorticity than trailing edge (cyclonic) vortices at the same axial location. The numerical simulations additionally revealed the significant three dimensionality of the flow; in fact, the laboratory-observed vortex dynamics could not be reproduced in 2D simulations. Braids of streamwise vorticity can form around the primary spanwise Kármán rollers and at certain angles of attack even oblique shedding and vortex dislocations can occur. Such wake instabilities are likely to play a part in the selective destabilization of anticyclonic eddies.

A cyclonic-anticyclonic asymmetry in vortex decay qualitatively similar to our observations was also noted in the LES of atmospheric vortex streets by Heinze et al. (2012), although the asymmetry was weaker and was unquantified. Because the model setup included an idealized Gaussian-shaped mountain in a rotating system with \( Ro = 4.9 \), the asymmetric vortex decay could only be attributed to background rotation, rather than island geometry. The simulations produced practically 2D cyclonic vortices with no vertical variation in their properties. Unfortunately, the occurrence of potentially destabilizing 3D perturbations in the vertical structure of anticyclones was not investigated.

Background rotation and shape effects are present simultaneously in the case of Guadalupe vortex streets, but their relative contributions cannot be determined from satellite measurements alone. The excellent
Qualitative agreement between the vortex formation topologies observed in laboratory inclined flat-plate experiments and in our satellite imagery, however, strongly suggests that Guadalupe's complex geometry is indeed an important contributing factor in the development of the asymmetric wake.

5. Summary and Outlook

We investigated the evolution and dynamics of an atmospheric Kármán vortex street observed by GOES-16 in the lee of Guadalupe Island on 9 May 2018. Exploiting the state-of-the-art imaging capabilities of the ABI instrument and the nested tracking algorithm designed specifically for the GOES-R series, we derived cloud-motion winds in the island's wake on a 2.5-km scale every 5 min over an 8-hr daytime period. A novel MODIS–GOES joint wind product provided accurate stereo CTHs and semi-independent wind validation data. ASCAT retrievals processed on a fine 6.25-km grid contributed two additional early morning snapshots of ocean surface winds. These high spatial and temporal resolution research-quality winds enabled the quantitative analysis of atmospheric vortex shedding and vortex decay, for the first time from spaceborne observations.

The vortex street developed under atmospheric stratification conducive to coherent vortex shedding. The marine boundary layer had a well-mixed subcloud layer capped by a strong temperature inversion with a weaker stably stratified layer above. The Froude number related to the dividing streamline was typically below the critical value of 0.4, corroborating previous findings. Confirming the quality of the satellite retrievals, the derived wind field around Guadalupe exhibited characteristics expected from laboratory flows past bluff bodies: flow splitting with deceleration on the windward side, lobes of acceleration on the flanks, and an oscillating wake with transverse jets at quasi-regular intervals set by a vortex shedding period of 2–4 hr. A westerly turn in the background winds during the day introduced slight deviations in the observed flow patterns compared to idealized laboratory results obtained under uniform freestream conditions. The westerly crossflow also distorted the vortex street geometry, leading to a curved centerline and uncharacteristically large aspect ratios at most locations. The aspect ratio in the least-affected far wake, however, showed good temporal consistency, varying between 0.42 and 0.46 and falling within the 95% confidence interval of 0.36–0.47 found for regular atmospheric vortex streets by Young and Zawislak (2006).

Most importantly, both GOES-16 and ASCAT winds revealed an asymmetric island wake with cyclonic eddies having larger peak vorticities than anticyclonic ones at the same downstream location. Vorticity generally decreased with time, that is with downstream distance, due to viscous diffusion but the rate of decrease was a factor of two higher for anticyclones. For a long and narrow circular cylinder such asymmetric vortex shedding can only occur under the influence of moderate background rotation. When the Rossby number is larger than unity, as was the case for the studied vortex street, anticyclonic vortices can be selectively destabilized by edge-mode or core-mode 3D perturbations due to centrifugal or elliptical instability, depending on the initial shape of the vortices and the rate of rotation. However, nonaxisymmetric obstacles can also produce inherently asymmetric wakes even without the presence of background rotation. An inclined flat plate at low angle of attack, which is a good first-order model for Guadalupe under the prevailing northwesterly winds, sheds weaker leading-edge (anticyclonic) eddies than trailing edge (cyclonic) eddies, as found by both laboratory experiments and numerical simulations.

Rotational and shape effects act simultaneously on the flow past Guadalupe, and their relative contributions can only be determined by future modeling studies, where these two factors can be controlled independently. Such modeling studies also need to investigate if the 3D instabilities seen in columnar laboratory vortices play a role in the selective destabilization of the shallower pancake-shaped anticyclonic eddies of atmospheric flows too. Nevertheless, the different leading edge and trailing edge vortex formation topologies observed in GOES-16 imagery show excellent qualitative agreement with experimental results, suggesting that Guadalupe's nonaxisymmetric shape does have a substantial influence on the wake.

Cloud-motion winds derived from ABI imagery hold great potential for the further study of small-scale unsteady geophysical flows, the accurate numerical simulation of which is still challenging. Our new wind product can provide previously lacking benchmark data for the validation of mesoscale NWP or LES models and allow, for example, the evaluation of different boundary layer parameterization schemes. As
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