CNN SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION TO RETRIEVE PAST LAND COVER OUT OF HISTORICAL ORTHOIMAGES AND DSM: FIRST EXPERIMENTS
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ABSTRACT:
Images from archival aerial photogrammetric surveys are a unique and relatively unexplored means to chronicle 3D land-cover changes occurred since the mid 20th century. They provide a relatively dense temporal sampling of the territories with a very high spatial resolution. Thus, they offer time series data which can answer a large variety of long-term environmental monitoring studies. Besides, they are generally stereoscopic surveys, making it possible to derive 3D information (Digital Surface Models). In recent years, they have often been digitized, making them more suitable to be considered in automatic analyses processes. Some photogrammetric softwares make it possible to retrieve their geometry (pose and camera calibration) and to generate corresponding DSM and orthophotomosaics. Thus, archival aerial photogrammetric surveys appear as being a powerful remote sensing data source to study land use/cover evolution over the last century. However, several difficulties have to be faced to be able to use them in automatic analysis processes. Indeed, surveys available on a study area can exhibit very different characteristics: survey pattern, focal, spatial resolution, modality (panchromatic, colour, infrared. . . ). Planimetric and altimetric accuracies of derived products strongly depend on these characteristics. Thus, analysis processes have to cope with these uncertainties. Another important gap states in the lack of training data. Deep learning methods and especially Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are at present the most efficient semantic segmentation methods as long as a sufficient training dataset is available. However, temporal gaps can be very important between existing available databases and archival data. In this study, two custom variants of simple yet effective U-net - Deconv-Net inspired DL architectures are developed to process ortho-image and DSM based information. They are then trained out of a groundtruth derived out of a recent database to process archival datasets.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Archival photogrammetric campaigns: opportunities

Archival aerial photogrammetric surveys were initially acquired by mapping, cadastral or military agencies for topographic map generation. Stereoscopic configurations were adopted so as to offer 3D plotting capacities. These surveys have been a common practice in many countries over the last century. Recently, several countries have digitised their film-based photos (e.g., >3 millions images in France), and facilitated their access through spatial data infrastructures and web services with basic metadata and visualisation capacities. These images are a unique yet unexplored means for long-term environmental monitoring and change analysis, as they chronicle Earth surface evolution in a comprehensive way. Their use in remote sensing workflows requires a fine georeferencing step so as to generate orthoimages sufficiently registered to be spatially compared across time. Some photogrammetric softwares make it possible to retrieve their geometry (pose and camera calibration) and to generate corresponding Digital Surface Models and orthophotomosaics (e.g. Agisoft, 2016 or Rupnik et al., 2017).

Until now, satellite images and archival topographic maps have mostly been used to characterise land-cover dynamics. On the one hand, satellite images, such as Landsat and SPOT sensor families, are compatible with automatic analysis requirements: their radiometry and spatial resolutions are stable over time. For instance, Landsat images have been widely used in order to monitor urban land-cover change (Song et al., 2016). However, the types of changes that can be described are very limited by their low spatial resolution (30-60 m), the length of the time series (30-40 years) and to 2D information (Hermosilla et al., 2015). On the other hand, topographic maps are available in many countries since the 18th century, but are difficult to analyse automatically, especially because of varying representation issues. Thus, approaches that characterise land-cover evolution are heavily based on Volunteered Geographic Information (Perret et al., 2015) and their temporal resolution is very coarse: the objects that can be extracted (buildings, roads) are difficult to date precisely (Leyk et al., 2006) (Herrault et al., 2013).

In contrast, archival aerial images are perfectly tailored to characterise long-term land-cover changes (Giordano et al., 2017). The time series are (i) long (first images: 1920s), (ii) relatively dense temporally (every 2-5 years), (iii) acquired at very high spatial resolution (<1-2 m) and, (iv) more remarkably, the survey conditions enable to generate 3D information.

1.2 Specificities and difficulties

However, such advantages are accompanied with potential difficulties.

- Very heterogeneous data: Archival airborne campaigns are very heterogeneous. Indeed, surveys available on a same study area can exhibit very different characteristics: survey pattern, focal length, scale, scan parameters, spatial resolution (from 10 cm to 2m), modality (panchromatic, colour, infrared. . . ) or even very different acquisition dates corresponding to different seasons (surveys all

* Corresponding author.
over the year) leading to important seasonal changes or very different shadow configurations. Therefore, a classification model learned for one epoch can not be directly applied to another.

- **Poor radiometric information:** Archival images can exhibit several spectral configurations: panchromatic, infrared, natural color images and color infra-red (infra-red, red, green channels). However, they are often composed of a unique panchromatic channel. Thus, their analysis will mostly rely on texture information or on generated DSMs. Besides, the state of conservation of the analog images and digitisation conditions can have caused noisy/blurry radiometry, that will affect the quality of generated orthoimages and DSMs.

- **2D and 3D geometric imprecision of the orthoimages and DSMs produced with noisy values** The image pattern of the survey, its spatial resolution, as well as image quality have a strong impact on the level of details contained in the different ortho-images and DSMs.

- **The lack of relevant semantic reference information (i.e. ground truth) to train classifiers is another problem.** Semantic reference information is also needed for information extraction methods (learning). This is all the more true for deep learning methods. For recent images, current topographic, land cover and forest databases can be used for automatic land cover classification because this reference information is little affected by errors. However, these databases can hardly be used with vintage aerial surveys, especially when territories have undergone important evolutions.

To sum it up, archival images are often limited to a panchromatic band. Thus, using them to perform land cover semantic segmentation requires to rely on their texture information or associated DSM, even though they can be very smooth or noisy depending on image digitisation conditions and the geometric parameters of the survey. Compared to classic machine learning algorithms involving handcrafted features, deep learning (DL) methods have shown a better ability to use texture and context information. Thus they are adapted to semantic segmentation of archival data. However, they require important training dataset. Unfortunately, training reference ground truth data are missing, because of the important temporal gaps between existing available land cover databases and archival data. Besides, due to the above mentioned important heterogeneity of archival surveys, one single model will not necessarily be sufficient to cope with all surveys along a time series over a same study area.

1.3 Scope of the study

Nevertheless, in spite of these limits, this study aims at using deep learning semantic segmentation to retrieve past land covers. Two variants of custom simple yet effective U-net - Deconv-Net inspired DL architecture are developed to process archival datasets. They are then trained out of a groundtruth derived out of a recent database to process archival datasets.

More precisely, it is aimed at:

- identifying the relevance of DSM information in addition to image information, and the best way to merge then it with image information.

2. SEMANTIC SEGMENTATION METHOD

2.1 Short overview of existing methods

Deep learning approaches and especially Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) are at present the most efficient semantic segmentation methods as long as a sufficient training dataset is available (LeCun et al., 2015). Indeed, compared to more traditional machine learning methods involving handcrafted features, such methods better cope with texture and context information and show increased generalisation capacities. The most efficient CNN semantic segmentation architectures are fully convolutional encoder-decoder ones (Long et al., 2015). U-net (Ronneberger et al., 2015) is one such architecture. Compared to basic encoder-decoder, it involves skip connections between corresponding encoder and decoder layers to progressively reintroduce high frequency information in the decoder to better delineate objects. (Zhou et al., 2018) proposed a variant named U-netx involving 1d convolution in the skip connections to keep only the most relevant high frequency information from the encoder layers. More complex approaches as (Chen et al., 2017) exist but involve heavier architectures.

DL architectures were also proposed for remote sensing data fusion. They generally consist in as many encoders as data source. Most of them were dedicated to image-DSM fusion, e.g. (Audebert et al., 2018, Koppanyi et al., 2019), but Sentinel 2 (S2) time series and VHR image fusion has also been tackled (Benedetti et al., 2018).

Thanks to the availability of several benchmarks (such as ISPRS Vaihingen and Postdam ones), many DL studies have been devoted to submetric VHR multispectral data (Volpi, Tiuá, 2017) Marmanis et al., 2016, in particular when 3D information is also available (Paistkriangkrai et al., 2016 Audebert et al., 2018). However, as explained earlier, archival images are quite different.

2.2 One input CNN architecture

The first considered model is a standard encoder-decoder CNN, with only one input image. In order to use both orthoimage and DSM information, both modalities will first be concatenated into one input tensor image. For this study, a light yet efficient network is required. Indeed, a light architecture reduces the number of parameters to optimize, and is less training dataset greedy. To lower computing times, a fully convolutional network was preferred to the ones involving fully connected layers as (Gaetano et al., 2018). At the end, the U-net like CNN presented in figure I was adopted. It is slightly different from the original U-net (Ronneberger et al., 2015). First, its depth was reduced to take into account the resolution of the images (especially the one of the DSM here) in respect to the small size of targeted objects, but also to reduce the number of parameters to optimize, to be less sensitive to overfitting. Second, to improve spatial information, as in (Noh et al., 2015), transposed convolution is used in the decoder and Max pooling from the encoder transfer their max-indices to homologous Unpooling layers in the decoder. Convolutions are done with mirror padding and each floor is...
composed of two block containing a 2D convolution, a Batch Normalization \cite{ioffe_szegey_2015} and a ReLU activation. Both Max pooling and unpooling operations have a ratio of 2.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{Proposed architecture based on Deconv-Net and U-Net. Blue : $3 \times 3$ convolution, green : $2 \times 2$ max pooling, red : $2 \times 2$ unpooling, Violet : $3 \times 3$ deconvolution, ++ : concatenation, max : softmax.}
\end{figure}

2.3 Two inputs CNN architecture

The previous architecture is adapted to explicitly cope with two input modalities. It is extended to fusion, especially to merge a higher spatial resolution data (e.g. ortho-imagery) with a native lower resolution or at least smoother one (e.g. its corresponding DSM). It is simply turned into a double entry CNN. The previous encoder branch was simply duplicated. The merging point of these two encoding branches is set before the deepest layer. Skip connections and max pooling indices transfer, supposed to enhance spatial information flow are only kept for entry corresponding to high resolution data, as it is the main provider of spatial information. Both sensors are expected to have been resampled at a same GSD for reasons given in 3.2. Keeping the same encoding and decoding operations as in the previous mono sensor CNN also enables to fairly compare them. It can here be noted that this architecture can cope with fusion at different levels (early or late) as inputs can be raw images, classification probabilities or label maps.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{Proposed architecture for fusion. Same color legend as figure 1.}
\end{figure}

2.4 Implementation details

The forward operation is fed with image tiles of $264 \times 256$ pixels, which are normalized given the 2% and 98% quantiles of the radiometric distribution of the whole image. Training and evaluation is done out of a set of couples of images and ground truth tiles at the same size. Training, validation and test sets represent respectively 60%, 20% and 20% of the whole dataset.

"Xavier" initialization \cite{glorot_bengio_2010} and "Adam" optimizer \cite{kingma_ba_2014} are used for the learning step. The latter follows a step decreasing learning rate from $10^{-2}$ with $\gamma = 0.7$ each 50 epochs. The loss function is a weighted cross entropy. It aims at taking into account the strongly heterogeneous class representation in the dataset. Each weight $w_{\text{class}}$ is calculated out of its class proportion $p_{\text{class}}$ as $w_{\text{class}} = 0$ when $p_{\text{class}} = 0$ or $w_{\text{class}} = \frac{3}{p_{\text{class}}} \sum_{i=1}^n p_{\text{class}i}$ (with $n$ the number of classes) else.

Both networks are implemented in pytorch framework \cite{pytorch}. 

3. DATASET

3.1 Study area

The study area is located near Pezenas, in Southern France. Covering almost 260 km$^2$, it is a mostly rural area with hills, but it also contains small cities for which urban sprawl has happened in an obvious way. An highway was also constructed on this area. Vegetation consists of vines, crops, moors and forests.

3.2 archival aerial surveys

Archival surveys corresponding to 2 epochs (2001 and 1981) will be considered. For each of them, orthoimage and DSM were generated. Orthoimages native resolution is around 30 cm, while DSMs are computed for a 1.2 m GSD. In further experiments, orthoimages were downsampled at the DSM GSD to reduce computing times and to be less sensitive to image digitisation noise.

Height information from the DSM is intended to be used in the classification process. However, such information can take different forms:

- Raw DSM
- Normalized DSM, resulting from the difference between the raw DSM and a Digital Terrain Model (DTM). (Here, the DTM is extracted from the present national reference altimetric database.)
- Relief shading maps derived out of the raw DSM. Initially devoted to the visualization of the DSM, such maps are here computed at pixel level as a combination of the sky viewing factor and the shadow mask generated by a fictive Sun. Such shading map makes it possible for a human to better understand the 3D scene than the raw DSM. Besides, it is also generally less sensitive to clutter areas and compensates some height estimation errors. Thus, it is interesting to test whether the CNN can also "understand" this map.

These different variants of 3D information can be seen on figure 3 will be tested in the two CNN architectures.

3.3 Training and validation land cover data

Training data are extracted from the current version of the national reference topographic, agricultural and forest databases, last updated in 2018.

Considered classification nomenclature will involve 5 classes corresponding to basic topographic elements:
An artificial "around building buffer" class is added to help to enhance building delineation. Indeed, building surroundings are generally not described in the previous databases. This additional class introduces constraints in such area preventing building overdetection over their direct unlabelled neighbourhood. A 5m radius was used to create this buffer from the building objects of the database.

As one important phenomenon related to long term land cover evolution concerns urban sprawl, the present quantitative analysis focuses on building and road detection. Besides, being able to retrieve construction dates of building objects present in topographic database is valuable to retrieve a fine history of the evolution of territories. That’s why the building and road databases were manually cleaned (reverse updating) for each epoch to be coherent with corresponding archival images. These cleaned datasets were mostly used to assess the quality of the obtained classification results. It can be seen from table 1 and figure 4 that the test area has undergone important changes in building layer.

### Table 1. Statistics about the evolution of the building objects in the DB

| epoch | number of building objects | evolution to current DB |
|-------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2018  | 54502                     | /                       |
| 2001  | 48605                     | -10.8%                  |
| 1981  | 40786                     | -25.2%                  |

4. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

4.1 Presentation of experiments

To sum previous discussions, proposed experiments aim at

- assessing whether it is possible to train CNN models for historical epochs out of recent (but very asynchronous w.r.t. image epochs) ground truth.
- identifying the best way to use DSM information and image information.

As a consequence, for each epoch, different configurations were tested. They are denoted as Method:im+mod, where Method is the used CNN architecture ("UNet" for the mono input one, “FuseNet” for the two inputs one), im is the ortho-image and mod is the used height information modality ("dsm” for raw DSM, "sh” for the shading map). "UNet:im” means that only the ortho-image is used by the CNN.

By default, classifiers are trained using a ground truth map generated from the recent (but not coherent to historical image). When a configuration is trained out of the cleaned versions of the database, it is named Method:im+mod:clean.

4.2 Evaluation method

Obtained results are visually assessed for all classes. They also undergo quantitative (pixel based) evaluation out of cleaned databases but only for buildings and roads.

Generic quality metrics are considered, with global measures - Overall Accuracy (OA), mean FScore over all classes (mIoU), mean Intersection over Union over all classes (mIoU) - as well as per class FScores.

4.3 Results

Obtained results for both epochs can be seen in figures and in tables [1] and [2].

From a visual analysis, the classification object delineation is quite coarse, explaining some low scores, but, for instance, building objects are generally correctly retrieved (few overdetection cases far from true building position). Roads and building buffers tend to be misclassified in (very) dense urban centres.
Applying the FuseNet CNN to orthoimage and shading map derived out the DSM led to the better results for building detection. This can be explained by the fact such 3D representation is less sensitive to height estimation errors. Good results are also obtained using the mono-input UNet CNN to the tensor resulting from the concatenation between image and shading map.

The method is quite robust to errors in the ground truth. When training out of cleaned ground truth map, results are better, but the difference is not so important. This can be both assessed visually and quantitatively.

5. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

This study aimed at testing CNN method in this difficult historical survey context. Even though obviously more difficult situations exist, these very first classification results are quite encouraging, as the model is quite robust to training errors. Besides, intermediate DSM comparison and iterative classification process can help to automatically clean the training databases. Another interesting output of this study concerns the possible use of shading maps to represent height information. Present classification is quite smooth. A way to alleviate this problem could consist in introducing 1d convolution in skip connections as in (Zhou et al., 2018). Besides, the considered CNN should probably be deeper to extend its receptive field and consider wider context (especially when using VHR images at native resolution instead of the one of the DSM). Dependence on training data could also be reduced exploiting multi-loss strategies, where one loss is dedicated to classification, and the other to generate one modality from the other one taken as input. Another observation was that validation and test tiles were other to generate one modality from the other one taken as input. Thus co-training strategies could be envisaged to improve the model. At the end, transfer learning should also be considered. Recent methods involving Generative Adversarial strategies have already been presented, e.g. (Fang et al., 2019).

### Table 2. Quality metrics (in %) Results obtained for 2001 epoch

| Method            | OA  | mFSc | mIoU | build. | road |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|--------|------|
| UNet:im           | 95.9| 71.7 | 59.9 | 66.7   | 50.3 |
| UNet:im+dsd       | 96.3| 71.1 | 59.3 | 64.8   | 50.4 |
| UNet:im+sh        | 96.3| 72.7 | 61.2 | 69.8   | 50.3 |
| FuseNet:im+dsd    | 95.3| 71.3 | 59.5 | 65.1   | 50.7 |
| FuseNet:im+sh     | 95.8| 72.2 | 60.9 | 72.1   | 46.8 |
| FuseNet:im+sh:clean| 96.2| 74.0 | 62.4 | 71.3   | 52.5 |

### Table 3. Quality metrics (in %) obtained for 1981 epoch

| Method            | OA  | mFSc | mIoU | build. | road |
|-------------------|-----|------|------|--------|------|
| UNet:im           | 97.0| 72.7 | 61.0 | 67.2   | 52.3 |
| UNet:im+dsd       | 96.4| 70.0 | 58.3 | 63.6   | 48.3 |
| UNet:im+sh        | 96.9| 72.9 | 61.4 | 69.5   | 50.7 |
| FuseNet:im+dsd    | 96.2| 69.0 | 57.4 | 53.0   | 46.1 |
| FuseNet:im+sh     | 96.9| 73.0 | 61.6 | 70.7   | 50.0 |
| FuseNet:im+sh:clean| 96.9| 74.7 | 63.4 | 73.1   | 52.6 |
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