Plasmonic Nature of the Terahertz Conductivity Peak in Single-Wall Carbon Nanotubes
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Plasmon resonance is expected to occur in metallic and doped semiconducting carbon nanotubes in the terahertz frequency range, but its convincing identification has so far been elusive. The origin of the terahertz conductivity peak commonly observed for carbon nanotube ensembles remains controversial. Here we present results of optical, terahertz, and DC transport measurements on highly enriched metallic and semiconducting nanotube films. A broad and strong terahertz conductivity peak appears in both types of films, whose behaviors are consistent with the plasmon resonance explanation, firmly ruling out other alternative explanations such as absorption due to curvature-induced gaps.

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the dynamic and plasmonic properties of charge carriers in single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) is crucial for emerging applications of SWCNT-based ultrafast electronics and optoelectronic devices especially in the terahertz (THz) range due to their finite lengths. The magnitude of the curvature-induced gap in nanotubes with different chiralities exhibits either semiconducting or metallic properties, providing great flexibility for a variety of THz and plasmonic applications, including sources,\textsuperscript{5–7} detectors,\textsuperscript{8–10} antennas,\textsuperscript{11} and polarizers.\textsuperscript{12–14}

A pronounced, finite-frequency peak in THz conductivity spectra has been universally observed in diverse types of SWCNT samples, containing both semiconducting and metallic nanotubes.\textsuperscript{15–20} Two interpretations have emerged regarding the THz peak, but there is no consensus about its origin. One of the possible mechanisms proposed by many authors\textsuperscript{21–23} is based on interband absorption across the curvature-induced bandgap\textsuperscript{24,25} in non-armchair metallic SWCNTs, while the other is the plasmon resonance in metallic and doped semiconducting SWCNTs due to their finite lengths.\textsuperscript{26–28} Hence, spectroscopic studies of type-sorted SWCNT samples are crucial for determining which of the two working hypotheses is correct.

In the first scenario, direct interband absorption occurs across the narrow bandgap\textsuperscript{29–31} induced by lattice distortion in the rolled-up graphene sheet in non-armchair metallic SWCNTs. The magnitude of the induced bandgap is given by\textsuperscript{32}

\[
E_{g}^{\text{ind}} = \frac{3\gamma_{0}a_{\text{C-C}}^{2}}{4d_{t}^{2}} \cos 3\alpha,
\]

where \(a_{\text{C-C}} = 0.141 \text{ nm}\) is the interatomic distance in graphene, \(d_{t}\) is the nanotube diameter, \(\gamma_{0} \approx 3.2 \text{ eV}\) is the tight-binding transfer integral, and \(\alpha\) is the chiral angle. For a SWCNT with \(d_{t} \approx 1.5 \text{ nm}\) and \(\alpha \approx 0\), \(E_{g}^{\text{ind}} \approx 20 \text{ meV}\). If this scenario is correct, the THz peak should (1) appear only in non-armchair (\(\alpha \neq 30^\circ\)) metallic SWCNTs, (2) show a sensitive dependence on \(d_{t}\),\textsuperscript{33} (3) be suppressed by doping or optical pumping\textsuperscript{34} have a strong (4) temperature dependence and (5) polarization dependence. It is also important to note that no detailed theory exists for predicting the lineshape for interband absorption in non-armchair metallic SWCNTs including excitonic effects.\textsuperscript{35,36}

In the second scenario, THz radiation incident onto a finite-length metallic or doped semiconducting SWCNT launches collective charge oscillations (plasmons) along the nanotube axis with a frequency given by the charge density and nanotube length. The expected experimental signatures of this process are: (1) the THz peak should be observable both in metallic and doped semiconducting nanotubes; (2) the frequency of the THz peak should systematically depend on the nanotube length in a predictable manner;\textsuperscript{25,29} (3) the THz peak intensity should be enhanced by doping in semiconducting SWCNTs; (4) there should be weak temperature dependence;\textsuperscript{37} and (5) there should be strong polarization dependence, with no resonance expected for polarization perpendicular to the nanotube axis.

To systematically prove or disprove these scenarios, broadband spectroscopic studies on well-separated semiconducting and metallic SWCNT samples are necessary. In particular, in order to correctly interpret THz spectra, it is important to monitor interband transitions in the near-infrared (NIR), visible (VIS), and ultraviolet (UV). Hence, we performed absorption spectroscopy studies from the THz to the UV as well as DC transport measurements on highly-enriched semiconducting and metallic SWCNT films. We clearly observed a broad and pronounced THz peak in both types of films. However, in semiconductor-enriched films, the peak significantly decreased in intensity after carriers were removed by annealing. In both types of films, the THz peak showed a very weak temperature dependence. These observations led us to conclude that this peak is due to plasmon resonance of free carriers in metallic and doped semiconducting nanotubes, rather than interband absorption in non-armchair metallic nanotubes.
II. METHODS

Suspensions enriched in metallic and semiconducting types of SWCNTs were produced via density gradient ultracentrifugation (DGU) using a three-surfactant system. Arc-discharge-P2-SWNT grade (Carbon Solutions, Inc.) SWCNTs were initially dispersed in 1% (wt./vol.) sodium deoxycholate (DOC) (sodium deoxycholate monohydrate, Aldrich, 97% purity) by bath sonication (Cole-Parmer 60 W ultrasonic cleaner, model #08849-00) for 30 minutes at a starting concentration of SWCNTs of 1 g/L. The suspension was then further sonicated by probe ultrasonicator (Cole-Parmer 500 W ultrasonic processor, model # CPX-600, 1/4" probe, 35% amplitude) for 6 hours while being cooled in a water bath maintained at 10°C. Lastly, the suspension was then centrifuged for 1 hour at 208,400g average (Sorvall Discovery 100SE Ultracentrifuge using a Beckman SW-41 Ti swing bucket rotor) to remove large bundles of SWCNTs. After centrifugation, the upper 80% of the supernatant was removed for use in DGU.

For DGU, a mass density gradient was prepared composed of 1.5% (wt./vol.) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (sodium dodecyl sulfate- molecular biology or electrophoresis grade, Sigma, 99% purity), 1.5 % (wt./vol.) sodium cholate (SC) (sodium cholate hydrate, Aldrich, 98% purity), and varying amounts of iodixanol (Opti-Prep density gradient medium, Sigma, 60% (wt./vol.) solution in water). The gradient was layered inside a centrifuge tube in 2 mL volume steps starting from the bottom with 40% (wt./vol.), 30% (wt./vol.), 27.5% (wt./vol.), 25% (wt./vol.), 22.5% (wt./vol.), and 20% (wt./vol.) iodixanol. All gradient steps except the 40% layer contained 1.5% (wt./vol.) SDS and 1.5% (wt./vol.) SC. The 40% layer, which contained SWCNTs, was prepared by vortex mixing (Fisher Scientific Vortex Genie 2, model # 12-812, mixed at maximum setting) for 2 minutes. 0.67 mL of the SWCNT supernatant prepared previously with 1.33 mL of 60% iodixanol, 1.5% SDS, and 1.5% SC to ultimately form 2 mL of 40% iodixanol, 1% SDS, 1% SC, and 0.33% DOC. The gradient was then centrifuged for 18 hours at 208,400g average (Beckman SW-41 Ti swing bucket rotor). The top 250 µL of the metal-enriched (aqua green-blue-colored) fractions and semiconductor-enriched (rust-colored) fractions were extracted by hand-pipetting for measurements of suspension samples.

For the preparation of film samples, vacuum filtration was performed on enriched SWCNT suspensions using a procedure modified from one previously described. Briefly, 8 mL of enriched SWCNT suspension was vacuum-filtered using 50 nm-pore size, polycarbonate filtration membranes. After filtration was finished and the film was dried, the film was washed by alternating filtrations of 50 mL of boiling nanopure water and 50 mL of 50%/50% vol. ethanol/nanopure water through the SWCNT film and filtration membrane. This was repeated three times to remove surfactants and iodixanol. Finally, the films were immersed in bath of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to dissolve away the filtration membrane and transferred onto intrinsic silicon and sapphire substrates for optical measurements. The thickness of semiconductor- and metal-enriched films were measured via vertical scanning interferometry to be 410 nm and 190 nm, respectively. Annealing of prepared nanotube films was done in a vacuum quartz tube furnace at a base pressure of 3 × 10^{-6} Torr. The films were initially heated to 423 K, 573 K, and lastly 823 K. At each temperature, the films remained at that temperature until no other chemical species were observed to desorb as determined by a monitoring furnace pressure. Upon completion of annealing, the films were brought back to room temperature under vacuum and then subsequently exposed to the atmosphere.

For obtaining attenuation spectra in a transmission geometry in a wide spectral range, we used time-domain THz spectroscopy (TDTS) in the 0.15-2.5 THz range, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Jasco-660) in the 3-300 THz range, and a UV-VIS-NIR double beam spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-3101PC scanning spectrophotometer) in the 300-1200 THz range (250-1000 nm in wavelength). The TDTS setup used a ZnTe crystal for THz generation and photoconductive antennas for detection with a 150 fs Ti:sapphire laser. DC transport studies were performed on the same films via four-point measurements with gold ohmic contacts under a nitrogen environment.

III. RESULTS

As shown in Figure 1a, different coloration occurs between the metal- and semiconductor-enriched solutions due to the subtraction of different colors by distinct interband transitions. Figure 1b presents the attenuation, \( \log_{10} T \), where \( T \) is the transmittance, in the NIR-VIS-UV range for solution and film samples of SWCNTs. The \( M_{11} \) and \( M_{22} \) (\( S_{22}, S_{33}, \) and \( S_{44} \)) interband transitions are absent in the semiconductor (metal) case. The semiconductor-enriched solution (blue solid line) exhibits sharp features, coming from different \((n,m)\) species, which are not resolved in the corresponding film (blue dashed line). This is expected because the nanotubes are individually suspended in the solution while they are bundled in the film, which broadens interband absorption peaks. However, interestingly, in the metallic case, bundling-induced broadening seems non-existent, i.e., the \( M_{11} \) and \( M_{22} \) peaks maintain their shapes between the solution (red solid curve) and film (red dashed curve) forms.

Figure 1c shows the effect of annealing on the attenuation spectra of semiconductor- and metal-enriched films from the mid-infrared (MIR) to the UV, again showing the robustness of the interband features of metallic SWCNTs. The films were vacuum annealed (see Section for the annealing conditions used) to remove the adsorbed
FIG. 1: (a) Unsorted, metal-enriched, and semiconductor-enriched SWCNTs suspended in aqueous solution. The type separation is achieved by density gradient ultracentrifugation (DGU). (b) NIR-to-UV attenuation spectra for semiconductor-enriched (blue curves) and metal-enriched (red curves) SWCNT samples in solution (solid curves) and film (dashed curves) forms. (c) MIR-to-UV attenuation spectra for semiconductor-enriched (blue curves) and metal-enriched (red curves) SWCNT films before (dashed curves) and after (solid curves) annealing.

FIG. 2: THz-to-UV attenuation spectra of semiconductor- and metal-enriched SWCNT films at room temperature before and after annealing. (a) Semiconductor-enriched (blue) and metal-enriched (red) SWCNT films before annealing. (b) Semiconductor-enriched SWCNT film before (dashed) and after (solid) annealing. (c) Metal-enriched SWCNT film before (dashed) and after (solid) annealing.

molecules that act as dopants to provide carriers. The removal of carriers results in an enhancement of the $S_{11}$ peak as well as a small ($\sim 20$ meV) red shift of both the $S_{11}$ and $S_{22}$ peaks. We interpret these annealing-induced spectral changes in the semiconducting film in terms of Pauli blocking and bandgap renormalization; namely, before annealing, carriers occupy some near-band-edge states, which not only prevent interband transitions but also modify the bandgap via many-body interactions. On the contrary, there are basically no doping effects in the metallic film, except the change seen in the $S_{11}$ region due to a small amount (estimated to be $\sim 5\%$) of residual semiconducting SWCNTs.

Attenuation spectra of the semiconductor- and metal-enriched SWCNT films at room temperature in a very broad spectral range, from the THz to the UV, are shown.
FIG. 3: Temperature dependence of the THz peak in (a) metal-enriched SWCNT film before annealing, (b) semiconductor-enriched SWCNT film before annealing, (c) metal-enriched SWCNT film after annealing, and (d) semiconductor-enriched film after annealing.

in Figure 2a, demonstrating the existence of a broad and pronounced THz peak in both types of films; the peak is slightly smaller in width and lower in frequency in the metallic film. Annealing effects are strikingly different between the two types of films: in the semiconducting film (Figure 2b), the THz peak is significantly suppressed by carrier removal, whereas in the metallic film (Figure 2c), the THz peak exhibits a small decrease in intensity at its peak position but there is an increase in absorption at the lowest frequency side of the spectrum toward DC. Note that the sharp features above 100 THz are interband transitions (S_{11}, S_{22}, S_{33}, M_{11}, and M_{22}), whose behaviors upon annealing are discussed in the last paragraph. Furthermore, we performed temperature-dependent spectroscopy of this broad THz peak down to 79 K, as shown in Figure 3. There is very little spectral change between 300 K and 79 K for both before (Figures 3a and 3b) and after (Figures 3c and 3d) annealing in both metallic (Figures 3a and 3c) and semiconducting (Figures 3b and 3d) nanotubes.

IV. DISCUSSION

Based on these observations, we can rule out the curvature-induced gap interpretation for the appearance of the THz peak. There are three lines of argument that strongly support this conclusion. First, interband transitions for curvature-induced gaps should exist only in metallic samples. However, the THz peak clearly appears in the semiconducting film with a strength comparable to that in the metallic film. Second, an optical excitation across a $\sim 20$ meV gap should be extremely sensitive to carrier doping due to Pauli blocking. The nanotubes need to be almost intrinsic in order to make the curvature-gap transition dominate the low-energy excitation. Our data show the opposite: doping semiconducting nanotubes enhances the THz peak. In the metallic film (which contains non-armchair metallic nanotubes), on the other hand, doping has only small influence. Third, a very weak temperature dependence was observed for the THz peak. A curvature-induced gap is calculated to be 0-20 meV (0 for armchair and 20 meV for zigzag tubes) for the metallic nanotubes used in this study ($d_t \sim 1.5$ nm), which is comparable with or much less than the room temperature thermal energy (26 meV). Therefore, such
interband absorption is expected to be very sensitive to the thermal carrier distribution, in contradiction with our observation.

Our observations are qualitatively consistent with the plasmon resonance interpretation. In particular, the THz peak is observed both in metallic and doped semiconducting nanotubes, its intensity is enhanced by doping in semiconducting SWCNTs, and there is no temperature dependence. Also, strong polarization dependence has been universally confirmed by many authors, with no resonance expected for polarization perpendicular to the nanotube axis.

To gain more quantitative understanding via comparison with theoretical models, we performed Kramers-Kronig (KK) transformation on our broadband transmission spectra $T(\omega)$ and obtained the phase spectra $\phi_0(\omega)$. We first interpolated the $T(\omega)$ data in the region between the THz and IR and then applied four-pass binomial smoothing to prevent spikes. On the UV side, we extrapolated $T(\omega)$ by using $1 - A \omega^{-\nu}$, where $A$ is a real constant and $\nu$ is around 1. We chose $A$ and $\nu$ to make the extrapolation as continuous and smooth as possible. On the THz side, we did linear extrapolation of $T(\omega)$ to the zero frequency. Finally, by using

$$\phi_0(\omega) = -\frac{\omega}{\pi} \int \frac{\ln(T(\omega')) - \ln(T(\omega))}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2} d\omega',$$

we were able to obtain the phase spectrum, $\phi_0(\omega)$. With $\phi_0(\omega)$ and $T(\omega)$, we obtained the transmission coefficient, $t(\omega) = \sqrt{T(\omega)} \exp(i\phi_0(\omega))$.

We then converted the transmission coefficient, $t(\omega)$, into the complex optical conductivity, $\sigma(\omega)$, through the thin-film approximation,

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{i\sigma_{\text{plasmon}}(\omega)}{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2 + i\omega \gamma_{\text{plasmon}}} + \frac{i\sigma_{\text{Drude}}\gamma_{\text{Drude}}}{\omega + i\gamma_{\text{Drude}}},$$

where the first (second) term represents the contribution from the plasmon resonance (the Drude-like free electron response), $\sigma_{\text{plasmon}}$ is the plasmon conductivity at the resonance frequency ($\omega = \omega_0$), and $\sigma_{\text{Drude}}$ is the Drude conductivity in the DC limit. $\gamma_{\text{plasmon}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{Drude}}$ are phenomenological scattering rates for the plasmon and free electron response, respectively. This equation describes the experimental traces well, as shown in Figure 4. The extracted fitting parameters are listed in Table 1.

The plasmon resonance frequency $\omega_0$ for a SWCNT with length $l$ is given by $\omega_0 = v_F(\pi/l)$, where $v_F$ is the Fermi velocity, $\varepsilon$ is the static dielectric constant, and $K_0$ and $I_0$ are the first and second type, respectively, of the modified Bessel function. Using $v_F = 10^6$ cm/s, $\varepsilon = 2.5$, $d = 1.5$ nm, $a_{C-C} = 0.142$ nm, and $\gamma_0 \sim 3.2$ eV, together with the measured value of $\omega_0/2\pi = 5.5$ THz, the THz conductivity peak is due to plasmon resonance. In the semiconductor case, the plasmon resonance occurs at a slightly higher frequency, while the scattering rate is almost as twice large as that in the metal case. After annealing, the residual THz peak in the semiconductor film has $\omega_0$ and $\gamma_{\text{plasmon}}$ values that are very similar to the metallic film. We thus interpret the residual peak as mainly arising from the residual metallic tubes in the semiconductor-enriched films. The ratio between the carrier densities of the annealed semiconductor and metal films, which can be extracted by spectrally integrating the real part of the conductivity, is 0.12. This number should be considered to be the upper limit of the residual metallic tubes in the semiconductor-enriched films since it also includes defects-induced doping, which cannot be removed by annealing. In the annealed metal film, the Drude term dominates the low-frequency response ($\sigma_{\text{Drude}} > \sigma_{\text{plasmon}}$), unlike the other three films where $\sigma_{\text{Drude}} < \sigma_{\text{plasmon}}$. The result indicates that intertube carrier migration is enhanced by the removal of trapped molecules and the annealing-induced nanotube distortion around the junction, which increases the contact area between nanotubes. In addition, the small $\sigma_{\text{Drude}}$ in the annealed semiconductor film can be understood as the lack of macroscopic percolating.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we performed transmission spectroscopy over a wide range (from the THz to the UV) as well as DC transport measurements of semiconductor- and metal-enriched SWCNT samples. Our experimental results show that the broad THz peak originates from a plasmon resonance in both the metallic and doped semiconducting carbon nanotubes rather than the interband excitation of the curvature-induced gap in non-armchair metallic nanotubes. Intradband free electron response also contributes to the low-energy excitation spectrum, especially in the metal-enriched film after annealing. Our studies provide fundamental insight into the low-energy excitation in SWCNTs, while the broadband spectroscopy of semiconducting and metallic type-separated nanotube samples also provide basic knowledge useful for emerg-
ing applications of SWCNTs in plasmonics and optoelectronics in the technologically important THz frequency range. Future studies on films of SWCNTs enriched in single chiralities should provide further information on the chirality dependence of low-energy excitations in SWCNTs. In particular, samples of single-chirality non-armchair metallic nanotubes, such as (7,4) nanotubes, will be useful for elucidating the nature of interband absorption across the curvature-induced gaps.
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