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Abstract

We prove that the partial zeta function introduced in \cite{9} is a rational function, generalizing Dwork’s rationality theorem.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field of $q$ elements of characteristic $p$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $X$ be an affine algebraic variety over $\mathbb{F}_q$, embedded in some affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$. That is, $X$ is defined by a system of polynomial equations

$$F_1(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \cdots = F_m(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = 0,$$

where each $F_i$ is a polynomial defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $d_1, \cdots, d_n$ be positive integers. For each positive integer $k$, let

$$X_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}(k) = \{ x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) | x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d_1k}, \cdots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d_nk} \}. $$

The number $\#X_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}(k)$ counts the points of $X$ whose coordinates are in different subfields of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. We would like to understand this sequence of integers $\#X_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}(k)$ indexed by $k$. As usual, it is sufficient to understand the following generating function.

Definition 1.1 Given $X$ and the $n$ positive integers $d_1, \cdots, d_n$, the associated partial zeta function $Z_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}(X, T)$ of $X$ is defined to be the following formal power series

$$Z_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}(X, T) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#X_{d_1,\cdots,d_n}(k)}{k} T^k \right) \in 1 + T \mathbb{Q}[[T]].$$

Replacing $q$ by a power of $q$, without loss of generality, we may assume that the integer $d_i$’s are relatively prime. In the special case that $d_1 = \cdots = d_n = 1$, the number $\#X_{1,\cdots,1}(k)$ is just the number of $\mathbb{F}_q^k$-rational points on $X$. The partial zeta function $Z_{1,\cdots,1}(X, T)$ then becomes the classical zeta function.
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$Z(X, T)$ of the variety $X$. Dwork's rationality theorem \cite{2} says that $Z(X, T)$ is a rational function. Deligne's theorem \cite{11} on the Weil conjectures says that the reciprocal zeros and the reciprocal poles of $Z(X, T)$ are Weil $q$-integers. Recall that a Weil $q$-integer $\alpha$ is an algebraic integer such that $\alpha$ and each of its Galois conjugates have the same complex absolute value $q^{w/2}$ for some non-negative integer $w$. The integer $w$ is called the weight of $\alpha$.

One of our motivations to introduce the above more general partial zeta function comes from potential applications in number theory, combinatorics and coding theory. From a theoretic point of view, a special case of the partial zeta function reduces to the geometric moment zeta function \cite{10} attached to a family of algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$, which was in turn motivated by our work on Dwork’s unit root conjecture \cite{7} \cite{8}. Intuitively, the partial zeta function gives many new ways, parametrized by the integers $d_i$’s, to count the geometric points on $X$ and thus it contains critical information about the distribution of the geometric points of $X$. The partial zeta function also provides a simple diophantine reformulation of many much more technical problems. In \cite{9}, the following two results were proven concerning the possible rationality of the partial zeta function. Recall that for a complex number $\alpha$ and a complex power series $R(T)$ with constant term 1, we can define the complex power $R(T)^\alpha$ in terms of the binomial series $(1 + T R(T) - 1)^\alpha$.

**Proposition 1.2 (Faltings \cite{9})** Let $d = [d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ be the least common multiple of the $d_i$. Let $\zeta_d$ be a primitive $d$-th root of unity. There are $d$ rational functions $R_j(T)$ ($1 \leq j \leq d$) with $R_j(0) = 1$ and with algebraic integer coefficients such that

$$Z_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(X, T) = \prod_{j=1}^d R_j(T)^{\zeta_d^j}.$$

Furthermore, the reciprocal zeros and reciprocal poles of the $R_j(T)$’s are Weil $q$-integers.

This result shows that the partial zeta function is nearly rational. It is proved by using a geometric construction of Faltings and the general fixed point theorem in $\ell$-adic cohomology.

**Proposition 1.3 (\cite{9})** If the integers $\{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\}$ can be rearranged such that $d_1 | d_2 | \cdots | d_n$, then the partial zeta function $Z_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(X, T)$ is a rational function in $T$, whose reciprocal zeros and reciprocal poles are Weil $q$-integers.

This result shows that the partial zeta function has the stronger property of being a rational function in some non-trivial special cases. It is proved by viewing $X$ as a sequence of fibered varieties and inductively using the Adams operation of the relative $\ell$-adic cohomology. Although it was felt that the partial zeta function may not be always rational in general, no counter-examples were found. The aim of this note is to prove the following result.
Theorem 1.4 For any variety \(X\) as above and any positive integers \(\{d_1, \cdots, d_n\}\), the partial zeta function \(Z_{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(X, T)\) is a rational function in \(T\), whose reciprocal zeros and reciprocal poles are Weil \(q\)-integers.

The idea of the proof is to exploit the geometric construction of Faltings and its relation to Galois action. Once the rationality is proved, one main new problem about the partial zeta function is to understand its dependence and variation on the arithmetic parameters \(d_i\)'s. This would raise many interesting new questions to be explored, as already illustrated in the special case of moment zeta functions [10].

Conjecture 1.5 There are two positive constants \(c_1(X)\) and \(c_2(X)\) depending only on \(X\) such that the total degree of the partial zeta function \(Z_{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(X, T)\) is uniformly bounded by \(c_1(X)d^{c_2(X)}\) for all positive integers \(\{d_1, \cdots, d_n\}\).
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2 Rationality of partial zeta functions

We slightly generalize the setup in the introduction. Let \(f_i : X \to X_i\ (1 \leq i \leq n)\) be morphisms of schemes of finite type over \(\mathbb{F}_q\). Assume that the map \(f : X \to X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n\) defined by

\[
f(x) = (f_1(x), \cdots, f_n(x))
\]
is an embedding. For each positive integer \(k\), let

\[
f_{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(k) = \{x \in X(\mathbb{F}_q^k) | f_1(x) \in X_1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_1k}}), \cdots, f_n(x) \in X_n(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_nk}})\}.
\]

This is a finite set since \(f\) is an embedding.
**Definition 2.1** Given the morphism $f$ and the $n$ positive integers $\{d_1, \cdots, d_n\}$, the associated partial zeta function $Z_{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(f, T)$ of the morphism $f$ is defined to be the following formal power series

$$Z_{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(f, T) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#f_{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(k)}{k} T^k \right) \in 1 + T \mathbb{Q}[T].$$

It is clear that the special case in the introduction corresponds to the case that $X$ is affine in $\mathbb{A}^n$ and $f_i$ is the projection of $x$ to the $i$-th coordinate $x_i \in \mathbb{A}^1$.

**Theorem 2.2** For any morphism $f$ and any positive integers $\{d_1, \cdots, d_n\}$, the partial zeta function $Z_{d_1, \cdots, d_n}(f, T)$ is a rational function in $T$, whose reciprocal zeros and reciprocal poles are $\text{Weil } q$-integers.

To prove this theorem, we begin with the geometric construction of Faltings. Let $d = [d_1, \cdots, d_n]$ be the least common multiple. The set of geometric points on the $d$-fold product $X^d$ of $X$ has two commuting actions. One is the $q^{-1}$-th power geometric Frobenius action denoted by $\text{Frob}$. Another is the automorphism $\sigma$ on $X^d$ defined by the cyclic shift

$$\sigma(y_1, \cdots, y_d) = (y_d, y_1, \cdots, y_{d-1}),$$

where $y_j$ denotes the $j$-th component ($1 \leq j \leq d$) of a point $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_d)$ on the $d$-fold product $X^d$. Thus, each component $y_j$ is a point on $X$. Let $Y = Y(d_1, \cdots, d_n, f)$ be the subvariety of $X^d$ defined by the equations

$$f_i \circ \sigma^{d_i} = f_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where $f_i : X^d \to X_i^d$ denotes the map $f_i(y_1, \cdots, y_d) = (f_i(y_1), \cdots, f_i(y_d))$. Thus, a point $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_d) \in X^d$ is on the subvariety $Y$ if and only if

$$f_i(y_j) = f_i(y_{j+d_i}), \ 1 \leq i \leq n, \ 1 \leq j \leq d, \ (2.1)$$

where $j + d_i$ is taken to be the smallest positive residue of $j + d_i$ modulo $d$. It is clear that $Y$ is stable under the action of $\sigma$ which commutes with $\text{Frob}$.

Now, let $a$ be a fixed positive integer relatively prime to $d$. Let $y = (y_1, \cdots, y_d)$ be a geometric point of $Y$. One checks that

$$\sigma^a \circ \text{Frob}^k(y) = y \iff \text{Frob}^k(y_j) = y_{j+a}, \ 1 \leq j \leq d. \ (2.2)$$

The latter is true if and only if

$$\text{Frob}^k(f_i(y_j)) = f_i(y_{j+a}), \ 1 \leq i \leq n, \ 1 \leq j \leq d \ (2.3)$$
as $f$ is an embedding. Iterating equation (2.3) $d_i$ times, we get

$$\text{Frob}^{d_i k}(f_i(y_j)) = f_i(y_{j+a d_i}).$$
Since \( y \) is on \( Y \), by (2.1), we deduce that
\[
\Frob^{d,k}(f_i(y_j)) = f_i(y_j).
\]
Taking \( j = 1 \), we see that every fixed point \( y \in Y(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q) \) of \( \sigma^a \circ \Frob^k \) uniquely determines a point \( y_1 \in X(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q) \) satisfying \( f_i(y_1) \in X_i(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i,k}}) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

Conversely, given \( y_1 \in X(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q) \) such that \( f_i(y_1) \in X_i(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i,k}}) \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we define
\[
y_j = \Frob^{kh_j}(y_1), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d,
\]
where \( h_j \) is the unique integer between 0 and \( d-1 \) such that \( ah_j + 1 \equiv j \pmod{d} \). The integer \( h_j \) is clearly well defined since \( a \) and \( d \) are relatively prime. If \( j \equiv j' \pmod{d_i} \), then \( h_j \equiv h_{j'} \pmod{d_i} \). Since \( f_i(y_1) \in X_i(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i,k}}) \), we deduce that
\[
f_i(y_j) = \Frob^{kh_j}(f_i(y_1)) = \Frob^{kh_j'}(f_i(y_1)) = f_i(y_{j'}).
\]
This shows that the point \( y = (y_1, \ldots, y_d) \) is on \( Y \). Since \( f \) is an embedding and \( f_i(y_1) \in X_i(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d_i,k}}) \) for all \( i \), we deduce that \( y_1 \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^{d,k}}) \). Using the congruence \( a(h_j + 1) + 1 \equiv j + a \pmod{d} \), we derive that
\[
\Frob^k(y_j) = \Frob^{k(h_j+1)}(y_1) = y_j + a.
\]
This proves that \( \sigma^a \circ \Frob^k(y) = y \). In summary, we have proved the following result.

**Lemma 2.3** Let \( a \) be a positive integer relatively prime to \( d \). Then, for each positive integer \( k \geq 1 \), we have the following equality
\[
\#f_{d_1,\ldots,d_n}(k) = \#\text{Fix}(\sigma^a \circ \Frob^k|Y(\mathbb{F}_q)). \tag{2.4}
\]

This lemma was proved in the case \( a = 1 \) in [9]. It together with the general \( \ell \)-adic fixed point theorem gives
\[
\#f_{d_1,\ldots,d_n}(k) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \text{Tr}(\sigma \circ \Frob^k|H^j_\ell(Y \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)),
\]
where \( \ell \) is a prime number different from \( p \) and \( H^j_\ell \) denotes the \( \ell \)-adic cohomology with compact support. This formula is likely explicitly stated somewhere in SGA. We have not found it. The quasi-projective case is explained in [9]. The general finite type case follows by excision.

Since \( \sigma \) and \( \Frob \) commute, \( \sigma^d = 1 \), we can decompose the cohomology space into the eigenspaces of \( \sigma \). The eigenvalues of \( \sigma \) are \( d \)-th roots of unity. The eigenvalues of \( \Frob \) are algebraic integers (in fact, Weil \( q \)-integers by Deligne’s theorem). It follows that there are finitely many \( d \)-th roots of unity \( \alpha_i \) and finitely many algebraic integers \( \lambda_i \) such that for all integers \( k \geq 1 \), we have
\[
\#f_{d_1,\ldots,d_n}(k) = \sum_i \pm \alpha_i \lambda_i^k.
\]
We collect similar terms in terms of $\lambda_i$ and rewrite the above expression as

$$\#_{f_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(k)} = \sum_j A_j \lambda_j^k,$$

where the $\lambda_j$’s are distinct and $A_j \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_d]$. Replacing $\sigma$ by $\sigma^a$ with $(a, d) = 1$ and using Lemma 2.3, we deduce that for all $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_d)/\mathbb{Q})$,

$$\#_{f_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(k)} = \sum_j \tau(A_j) \lambda_j^k.$$

This sequence of expression is unique since the $\lambda_j$’s are distinct. It follows that $\tau(A_j) = A_j$ for all $j$ and all $\tau$. Thus, $A_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$Z_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(f, T) = \prod_j (1 - \lambda_j T)^{A_j}$$

is indeed a rational function. Theorem 2.2 is proved.

3 A graph theoretic generalization

In this section, we give Lenstra’s generalization of the partial zeta function and its rationality in a graph theory setup. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite directed graph, where $V$ is the set of vertices of $G$ and $E$ is the set of directed edges of $G$. For each edge $e \in E$, let $s(e)$ (resp. $t(e)$) denote the starting (resp. the terminal) vertex of the edge $e$. Suppose that for each $v \in V$, we are given a scheme $X_v$ of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Suppose that for each edge $e \in E$, we are given a morphism $f_e : X_{s(e)} \to X_{t(e)}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $d_v$ ($v \in V$) be positive integers. For each positive integer $k$, we define

$$N(k) = \# \{x = (x_v)_{v \in V} \in \prod_{v \in V} X_v(\mathbb{F}_q^d) | \forall e \in E, f_e(x_{s(e)}) = x_{t(e)} \}.$$

Define the graph zeta function to be

$$Z_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(G, X, T) = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{N(k)}{k} T^k \right) \in 1 + \mathbb{Q}[T[[T]].$$

One can ask if this power series is a rational function in $T$.

Theorem 3.1 (Lenstra) For any graph $G$, any schemes $X_v$ and any morphisms $f_e$ as above, the graph zeta function $Z_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(G, X, T)$ is a rational function in $T$, whose reciprocal zeros and reciprocal poles are Weil $q$-integers.

To prove this theorem, it suffices to reduce the above graph zeta function to the case of partial zeta functions. For this purpose, let $X$ be the fibred product of the schemes $X_v$ ($v \in V$) over all morphisms $f_e$ ($e \in E$). That is,

$$X = \{x \in \prod_{v \in V} X_v | \forall e \in E, f_e(x_{s(e)}) = x_{t(e)} \}.$$
The scheme $X$ is a closed subscheme of the Cartesian product $\prod_{v \in V} X_v$. For each $v \in V$, let $f_v$ be the composed map

$$f_v : X \hookrightarrow \prod_{v \in V} X_v \to X_v,$$

where the last map is the projection to $X_v$. With these definitions, it is clear that the graph zeta function $Z_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(G, X, T)$ is simply the partial zeta function $Z_{d_1, \ldots, d_n}(f, T)$ attached to the morphisms $f_v : X \to X_v$. The theorem is proved.

It may be of interest to explore possible graph theoretic applications of this zeta function.

### 4 Artin-Schreier hypersurfaces

To give an example, we consider the case of Artin-Schreier hypersurfaces. Let

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'}) \in F_q[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'}],$$

where $n, n' \geq 1$. For each $d \geq 1$, let

$$N_d(f) = \# \{(x_0, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'}) : x_0^n - x_0 = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'})\},$$

where $x_i \in F_q$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) and $y_j \in F_q$ ($1 \leq j \leq n'$). Heuristically (for suitable $f$), we expect

$$N_d(f) = q^{dn+n'} + O(q^{(dn+n')/2})$$

where the constant depends on $p, f,$ and $d$. Deligne’s estimate on exponential sums implies the following result.

**Theorem 4.1 (Deligne)** Given $f$ as above, we write $f = f_{r-1} + \ldots + f_0$, where $f_i$ is homogeneous of degree $i$. Assume that the leading form $f_r$ defines a smooth projective hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^{n+n'-1}$, and assume that $p \not| r$. Then for $d = 1$, we have the following inequality

$$|N_1(f) - q^n + n'| \leq (p-1)(r-1)^{n+n'}q^{(n+n')/2}.$$

What can be said about $d > 1$? To answer this question, we introduce the following terminology.

**Definition 4.2** Let $d$ be a positive integer and let $f$ be a polynomial as above. We define the $d$th fibred sum of $f$ to be the following new polynomial

$$\bigoplus_y^d f = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'}) + \ldots + f(x_{dn}, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'}).$$

The following estimate on $N_d(f)$ is proved in [4].

**Theorem 4.3 (Fu-Wan)** Given $f$ as above, we write $f = f_{r-1} + \ldots + f_0$, where $f_i$ is homogeneous of degree $i$. Assume that $\bigoplus_y^d f_r$ is smooth in $\mathbb{P}^{dn+n'-1}_{F_q}$ and assume that $p \not| r$. Then, we have the following inequality

$$|N_d(f) - q^{dn+n'}| \leq (p-1)(r-1)^{dn+n'}q^{(dn+n')/2}.$$
Example 4.4 Consider the case that

$$f(x, y) = f_{1,r}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) + f_{2,r}(y_1, \ldots, y_{n'}) + f_{\leq r-1}(x, y),$$

where $f_{1,r}$ is smooth in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n-1}$, $f_{2,r}$ is smooth in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{n'-1}$ and $f_{\leq r-1}$ is a polynomial of degree at most $r-1$. It is then straightforward to check that $\bigoplus_y f_r$ is smooth in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{dn+n'-1}$ if and only if $d$ is not divisible by $p$. Since the condition that the fibred sum be smooth is Zariski open, there exist many more examples of such $f$ to which the theorem applies if $d$ is not divisible by $p$.

It would be interesting to prove similar results for the Kummer hypersurface $x_D^0 = f(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_{n'})$; see Katz [6] for some related weaker results in this direction.
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