Collimation and acceleration of monoenergetic electron beams in Laser Plasma accelerators
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Motivated by rapid advances in plasma based accelerators, we propose a simple mechanism for the production of highly collimated quasi monochromatic electrons beams. By considering Compton scattering of electrons (in the plasma) with virtual photons – through an effective interaction — we demonstrate angular collimation with a divergence less than 3 mrad, quasi monoenergetic nature of the beam and an energy gain of $O(1\text{GeV/cm})$, in laser induced accelerators.

PACS numbers: 41.75.Jv,52.38.Kd, 52.40.Mj,52.25.Mq

Collective effects in laser plasma interaction play a significant role in table top particle acceleration. Dawson and Tajima [1] first showed, through computer simulations, that a high intensity laser shone on a dense plasma ($n_0 \sim 10^{18}\text{cm}^{-3}$) could accelerate the electrons in the plasma up to a GeV over a short distance of about a centimetre. Subsequently, significant progress has been made both experimentally and theoretically [2–10] addressing, mainly, two issues: (i) acceleration to high energies, and (ii) achieving a good collimation so that they can be of utility in high energy experiments and other applications [10]. While beam accelerations up to a few GeV had been achieved prior to 2004, the spatial divergence of the beam ranged from a few to tens of degrees with a Maxwellian energy spectrum [2–4] and a large energy spread ($\Delta E/E \sim 100\%$). Monoenergetic beams with small spatial divergence were achieved first by three groups [6–8], henceforth referred to as I, II and III respectively. In I and II collimation was achieved by carefully choosing laser parameters and plasma density whereas III used a preformed plasma channel to achieve the same. Unlike in the earlier experiments, the distribution was non Maxwellian in all the three cases. A few more salient points: In I the electron beam spectrum contained $Q \sim 2 \pm 0.5$ nC of charge with an energy $E \sim 170 \pm 20$ MeV within the collimation angle $\Delta \theta = 10$ mrad. II reports $Q \sim 22$ pC of charge with $\Delta \theta \lesssim 50$ mrad. Finally, III found $Q \sim 0.32$ nC of charge with $E \sim 86 \pm 1.8$ MeV and $\Delta \theta \sim 3$ mrad. Promising that these results are – for future accelerator designs and experiments, theoretical analyses have so far been computation intensive, employing PIC (three dimensional particle-in-cell) simulations [11] which have been used e.g., in [5, 6], and Vlasov models [12]. Depending on the regime of interest, the acceleration mechanism is attributed to either laser wake field acceleration (in the linear regime), or stimulated Raman scattering (in the nonlinear regime [13]). In this letter, in contrast to the above mentioned methods, we take an effective field theory approach to unravel the physics behind Plasma acceleration. We study acceleration via Compton scattering of photons by electrons in the medium, by explicitly incorporating the collective nature of the plasma at every level. We hope to show that the essential physics behind plasma accelerators can be captured by this approach and establish collimation and the monoenergetic nature of the scattered electrons. The attainment of high energies $O(\sim 1\text{GeV})$ over a distance of about a cm, will be addressed rather qualitatively, and will be treated more completely in a future work.

The Effective Interaction: In the radiation gauge $A^0 = 0$ which we employ throughout, the constitutive Maxwell equations, which incorporate electric response of the plasma, have the form

$$4\pi \rho_\omega (\vec{k}) = -\omega \varepsilon_\omega (\vec{k}) \vec{k} \cdot \vec{A}; \quad j_\omega (\omega, \vec{k}) = [\omega^2 \varepsilon_\omega (\vec{k}) \vec{P}_{ij}^\omega + (\omega^2 \varepsilon_\omega (\vec{k}) - \vec{k}^2) \vec{P}_{ij}^\omega] A_j$$

where, $\vec{P}_{ij} = k_i k_j / \vec{k}^2; \vec{P}_{ij}^\omega = (\delta_{ij} - \vec{P}_{ij}^\omega)$ are the projection operators for longitudinal and transverse modes respectively and $\varepsilon_\omega$ and $\varepsilon_j$ are the corresponding permittivities. In the collisionless limit and in the regime $k_\omega \pi n \gg 1$ relevant to us, we obtain the well known relations $\omega^2 - a_{i,t} |k|^2 = \omega_p^2$ where, $a_t = 3 v_T^2; a_e = 1 + v_T^2$ in terms of the plasma frequency $\omega_p = \sqrt{4\pi \rho_\omega c^2 / \rho}$ and the thermal velocity of the electron $v_T = \sqrt{T/\rho}$.

The lagrangian of the system including the medium responses can be written as (we employ the units $\hbar = c = k_B = 1$), $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Int}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Field}}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac}} = \bar{\psi}(x) (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi(x); \mathcal{L}_{\text{Int}} = -e \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) A_\mu(x)$ retain their usual forms while the field part gets modified to

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Field}} = \frac{1}{2} [\vec{P}_{ij}^\omega E_i D_j^\omega + \vec{P}_{ij}^\omega E_i D_j^\omega - \vec{B}^2]$$

where, $D_i^\omega (\vec{r}, t) = \int \bar{d}^3 r' d' t' \varepsilon_i^{\omega,t}(t - t', \vec{r} - \vec{r}') E_i(t', \vec{r}')$
The corresponding hamiltonian for the field reads \[14\]
\[
\mathcal{H}_{\text{Field}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2}) \frac{d(\omega^2 \varepsilon_l(\omega, \vec{k}))}{d\omega} \right] E_i E_j + \frac{k_i k_j}{k^2} \frac{d(\omega \varepsilon_l(\omega, \vec{k}))}{d\omega} |E_i E_j|^2
\]
(3)

The field energy can be interpreted as a sum of free harmonic oscillators provided that longitudinal and transverse components of the field are multiplied by respective normalization factors \(N_l^{-1} = \frac{k^2}{2} \frac{d^2(\omega \varepsilon_l(\omega, \vec{k}))}{d\omega^2}, N_t^{-1} = \frac{d}{d\omega}(\omega^2 \varepsilon_l(\omega, \vec{k}))\)[15]. Using the dispersions relations we may further simplify the factors to \(N_l^2 = \frac{\omega_E}{\pi \varepsilon_0}(1 - \frac{6k^2\omega^2}{c^2}), N_t^2 = \frac{\omega_t^2}{2}\). Field quantization is then implemented via equal time commutation relations

\[
A_i(x, t) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{3} N^\lambda(k) (a_{E\lambda} e^{-i k \cdot x} \varepsilon_{\lambda}^* + a^\dagger_{E\lambda} e^{i k \cdot x} \varepsilon_{\lambda})
\]
(4)

where, \(\lambda = 1, 2\) stand for transverse polarizations and \(\lambda = 3\) denotes the longitudinal polarization. With an explicit employment of \(N_l, N_t\) leads to the standard commutation relations \([a_{E\lambda}, a^\dagger_{E\lambda'}] = \delta(k - k')\delta_{\lambda, \lambda'}\).

Once the quantization rules are established, it is straight forward to write Feynman rules (which we shall not do here), and also the amplitudes for any process. The relevant process here is Compton scattering \(\gamma(\omega_i, \vec{k}_i, \alpha_i) + e^-(E_i, \vec{p}_i, s_i) \rightarrow \gamma(\omega_f, \vec{k}_f, \alpha_f) + e^-(E_f, \vec{p}_f, s_f)\) where \((\omega, \vec{k}, \alpha)\) and \((E, \vec{p}, s)\) refer to energy, momentum and polarization for the photon and the electron respectively. Crucially, Compton scattering in the plasma medium involves longitudinal polarization of the photon in addition to the transverse modes. Accordingly, the scattering cross section is an incoherent sum of four contributions coming from the longitudinal \((L)\) and transverse \((T)\) modes in initial and final states. The spin averaged/summed scattering cross section for the process is given by \(d \sigma = \sum_{i, f} d \sigma_{i f}; i, f = L, T\). The target electrons belong to the plasma at a definite temperature, and this calls for a further averaging of the cross section wrt to the initial electron distribution. All the results presented in this paper are reported after such an average. Thus the medium plays a dual role of (i) modifying the dispersion relations and the scattering amplitude through its permittivity and (ii) further contributing to the average cross section. The role of the collective nature of the plasma is central to the analysis. It is pertinent to mark that the density and the temperature of the plasma have a highly nontrivial role to play.

The basic quantities of interest are angular regions with momentum gain for the scattered electrons, the angular distribution \(\langle dN/d(\cos \theta) \rangle\) and the corresponding energy spectrum \(\langle dN/dE \rangle\); all of them are determined experimentally. The momentum gain can be determined from dispersion relations, and the other two quantities by multiplying the corresponding cross sections by the initial photon flux. It is also of great interest to estimate yet another important quantity, the equivalent electric field that causes the acceleration, since it is a measure of giant acceleration gradients which characterize all plasma based accelerators. We present the results below.

**Results and Discussion:** The plasma parameters will be the same as chosen in the experiments. We take the plasma density \(n_0 = 6.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) and temperature \(T_0 = 50 \text{ eV}\). The initial photon frequency \(\omega_i = 1.5 \omega_p\), corresponding to a wavelength \(\lambda = 9.31 \mu\text{m}\). The beam intensity is taken to be \(I = 3.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ W cm}^{-2}\). First of all, consider the momentum transferred to the electron in each case as the scattering angle is varied: Fig. I shows regions of positive momentum transfer for each of the scattering processes. The angular width is very small, with \(\Delta \theta \sim 3 - 10 \text{ mrad}\) with the TL and LL cases having the least divergence. We further note that the dominant contribution to \(\Delta P\) comes from TL and LL scatterings which can be \(\sim 10^3\) larger than the other two cases.

**Collimation and Energy Spectrum:** We now consider the number distribution \(\langle dN/d(\cos \theta) \rangle\), in Fig. II for the electrons scattered in the angular regions with the positive momentum gain (See Fig. I); for clar-
ity, we show both the density as well as the cumulative distribution. Note again that the contribution to $\langle dN/d(\cos \theta_e) \rangle$ is dominated by LL scattering (Fig.1). Indeed, the cross sections $\Delta \sigma_{ij}$ obtained by integration over the angular regions shown in Fig.1 are respectively given by $\Delta \sigma_{LL} = 1.2 \times 10^9$, $\Delta \sigma_{TL} = 2.1 \times 10^5$, $\Delta \sigma_{LT} = 1.3 \times 10^{-5}$, $\Delta \sigma_{TT} = 0.0028$ in units of $r_0^2 = \alpha^2 / m^2 = 0.08 \times 10^{-24} \text{cm}^2$, where $r_0$ is the classical electron radius. These numbers may be compared with the total cross section $\sigma_T \approx 10^{10}$ which is itself $10^9$ times larger than the Klein-Nishina cross section which is valid in free space. Fig.2 clearly demonstrates collimation which is restricted to a small angular width $\delta \theta \sim 2 - 3 \text{mrad}$ centered at $\theta_e = 1.474$. This is in excellent agreement with the experimental result of Geddes et al.\cite{GEDDES} who report the same angular divergence, and is comparable to the findings of Faure et al.\cite{FAURE} who report a divergence which is thrice the value found here. This establishes the first goal that we set out to demonstrate. It is important to note that the angle at which collimation takes place depends on plasma parameters and the incoming beam energy. We report that we have verified that collimation is stable against small perturbations in parameter values. Under large changes, e.g., in $n_0$, the beam quality is seen to deteriorate, as seen by experiments. The energy distribution of the electrons in the angular region around collimating cone is shown in Fig.3. The energy clearly peaks in the collimating cone, achieving a maximum density $dN/dE_f = 2.5 \times 10^{15}$ at
$E = 19.46 \text{ eV}$ with a small width $\Delta E/E = 2.37\%$. Note further that the distribution is non Maxwellian, as reported in experiments [6, 7].

**Equivalent Electric Field:** The equivalent electric field $E_{\text{eff}}$ in this formalism is given by $E_{\text{eff}}(\theta_e) = \frac{1}{e} \langle \frac{dE_c}{d\Omega} \rangle (\theta_e) = \frac{n_\gamma}{e} \langle \Delta E_L \frac{dE_c}{d\Omega} \rangle$, where $n_\gamma$ is the mean photon density with longitudinal polarization and $E_L$ is the longitudinal component of the energy. Rather than estimate $n_\gamma$ in terms of laser intensity and the medium properties, we take the value of $E_{\text{eff}}(\theta_e)$ as a phenomenological input from the experiments [1, 6], who report a value $\approx 10^9 V \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This value of $n_\gamma E_{\text{eff}}$ is $10^9 V \text{ cm}^{-1}$ which compares excellently with the estimate of [1] who find $E_{\text{eff}} \approx \frac{10}{3} V \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Employing this value, we plot $E_{\text{eff}}(\theta_e)$ in Fig. as a function of the scattering angle. The utility of this curve becomes clear below.

**Plasma Acceleration:** Finally, we note that the energy of the scattered electron is peaked at $\mathcal{E}_f \sim 20 \text{ eV}$, which is less than the thermal energy (50 eV). It might thus appear that the present effective field theory approach fails to capture the essential feature of the plasma acceleration, viz., very large energies. We now argue that this is not necessarily a drawback: only a single scattering has been considered here while in reality the final energy owes its value to multiple scatterings. To put this on a more quantitative footing observe that the distance traversed by the electron due to a single scattering, $\ell = (n_\gamma^2 \sigma)^{-1} \approx 0.3 \text{ nm}$ where $n_\gamma^2$ is taken from the above estimate. The number of scatterings in a distance of $\sim 3 \text{ nm}$ is $O(10^7)$, which would contribute to a cumulative energy $\mathcal{E} \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$, which is not far away from the experimentally reported numbers. The result corresponding to this extrapolation is shown in Fig. for electrons accelerated over a distance of a centimeter. The energy spectrum shows several peaks and is remarkably akin to the electron spectrum unraveled in [6] from deconvolution of their experimental data. Note however that our data gives two closely spaced prominent peaks, one at $\mathcal{E} \approx 118.5 \text{ MeV}$ which corresponds to a scattering angle $\theta_e = 1.45 \text{ rad}$ and the other at $\mathcal{E} \approx 150 \text{ MeV}$ at $\theta_e = 1.469 \text{ rad}$. The second peak is relevant since it occurs in the collimating angular region. To conclude, we have seen that the physics behind collimation, quasi mono energetic spectrum and emergence of strong accelerating fields in laser plasma accelerators can be understood in terms of an effective lagrangian. This may be employed to study beat wave acceleration and plasma wake field acceleration with equal ease. A careful evaluation of multi scattering cross sections and an explicit incorporation of the beam properties would yield truly reliable quantitative estimates. Finally, the current approach allows a study higher order quantum corrections, similar to QED corrections to synchrotron radiation, which are not easily accessible in other methods.
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