INTRODUCTION

Methionine (Met) is one of the most important essential amino acids (AA) in livestock nutrition. It is a limiting amino acid in complex pig diets containing spray dried blood products or dried whey (Cromwell, 2004). Apart from its nutritional function, Met is also important for the metabolism and gut health of animals. Through transmethylation Met can be converted to S-adenosylmethionine, a primary methyl donor that methylates compounds to form such products as creatine and phosphatidylcholine (Finkelstein, 1990; Martín-Venegas et al., 2006). Methionine can be converted to cysteine, a precursor of glutathione, and taurine. These molecules may regulate intestinal epithelial oxidative status, and may contribute to intestinal mucosal integrity and gut function (Shoveller et al., 2005; Riedijk, et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2014; Shen et al., 2014).

Methionine is primarily produced by either chemical synthesis or hydrolyzing proteins. The product by chemical synthesis is DL-mixture of the amino acid (Mannsfeld et al., 1978; Gomes and Kumar, 2005) whereas hydrolysis of proteins leads to a complex mixture from which Met must be separated (Kumar and Gomes, 2005). It is commonly supplemented in diets as dry DL-methionine (DL-Met) or as liquid DL-Met hydroxy analog-free acid (MHA-FA). However, both the DL-Met and MHA-FA have to be converted to L-Met before it can be used by animals, and L-Met is the only form used for protein synthesis and metabolism (Dibner and Ivey, 1992; Stoll et al., 1998; Martín-Venegas et al., 2006). The conversion of D-Met to L-Met is not a limiting factor due to the existence of substantial D-amino acid oxidase activity in different tissues, such as kidney, liver, stomach, duodenum, jejunum and ileum, of pigs (Fang et al., 2010). Recently a...
fermentation approach has been used to produce L-Met (Odunfa et al., 2001; Ikeda, 2003; Kumar and Gomes, 2005). During the fermentation process, L-Met precursor was obtained from microorganism strain, and mixed with converting enzyme and methyl mercaptan which converted the L-Met precursor to L-Met (Kim et al., 2015). Studies have been conducted on comparison on L-Met and DL-Met for pigs in the past (Cho et al., 1980; Kim and Bayley, 1983; Chung and Baker, 1992; Shen et al., 2014). Some of them were conducted more than 30 years ago. Shen et al. (2014) conducted two experiments to test the effect of dietary L-Met supplementation on growth performance and gut health in nursery pigs compared with DL-Met containing a basal diet (0.18%, standardized ileal digestibility [SID] Met) supplemented with L-Met or DL-Met 0.048%, 0.096%, and 0.144%, respectively. However, little information is available on the effect of L-Met and DL-Met on nitrogen (N) balance, and serum amino acid profile in starter pigs.

The objective of the present study was to determine the effect of L-Met as a Met source compared with DL-Met on N balance, serum protein, and amino acid profile in starter pigs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General
The experiment was conducted in the Metabolism Laboratory of Ministry of Agriculture Feed Industry Center (Beijing, China). The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at China Agricultural University (Beijing, China) reviewed and approved the protocols used in this study. L- and DL-methionine were obtained from CJ (CheilJedang Corporation, Seoul, Korea). L-methionine was produced by fermentation processes. The analyzed purity of L-Met and DL-Met was 99.3% and 99.2%, respectively.

Animals, diets, and experimental design
Eighteen crossbred (Duroc×Landrace×Yorkshire) barrows with initial body weight of 15.45±0.88 kg were randomly allotted to 3 diets. The basal (BD) diet was formulated according to the recommendations of NRC (1998) with the exception of Met. The Met concentration in the basal diet was 0.24%, which is below the Met requirement for piglets between 10 to 20 kg body weight according to NRC (1998). All other nutrients and energy were adequate for the basal diet. The other two experimental diets were formulated based on the basal diet, 0.12% either DL-Met or L-Met was supplemented. The SID value of Met in the experimental diets was 0.36%, which met the recommendation of NRC (1998). The composition of all diets is presented in Table 1. Before the beginning of experiment, the concentrations of AA in test diets were analyzed for confirmation. The pigs were individually housed in stainless-steel metabolism crates (1.4×0.7×0.6 m) and maintained in an environmentally controlled room with ambient temperature of 24±2°C. All pigs were fed ad libitum and free access to water throughout the experiment.

Management procedures
The experiment lasted for 18 days, consisting of a 13-day (d) adaptation period to the diets followed by a 5-day (d) experimental period (total collection of feces and urine). Feed refusals and spillage were collected, dried, and weighed to correct feed intake. During the experimental period feed intake was recorded on daily basis.

Sample collection
Total feces and total urine were collected during the last 5 d of the study. During the 5-d collection period, all feces were collected into plastic bags and stored at −20°C immediately. At the end of the collection period, fecal samples from each pig were pooled and weighed and a 300-g sample was taken and dried in a forced-draft oven at 65°C for 72 h. After drying and grinding, samples were stored at

Table 1. Ingredients and nutrient contents of experimental diets (% as-fed basis)

| Items                   | Basal diet | L-Met | DL-Met |
|-------------------------|------------|-------|--------|
| Ingredients             |            |       |        |
| Corn                    | 31.26      | 31.14 | 31.14  |
| Wheat                   | 40.00      | 40.00 | 40.00  |
| Soybean meal            | 23.30      | 23.30 | 23.30  |
| Soybean oil             | 2.00       | 2.00  | 2.00   |
| Dicalcium phosphate     | 1.20       | 1.20  | 1.20   |
| Limestone               | 0.80       | 0.80  | 0.80   |
| Sodium chloride         | 0.30       | 0.30  | 0.30   |
| Lysine                  | 0.48       | 0.48  | 0.48   |
| Methionine              | -          | 0.12  | 0.12   |
| Threonine               | 0.16       | 0.16  | 0.16   |
| Vit. Min. Mix¹          | 0.50       | 0.50  | 0.50   |
| Total                   | 100.00     | 100.00| 100.00 |
| Analyzed nutritional content |        |       |        |
| Crude protein           | 18.94      | 18.55 | 18.52  |
| Calcium                 | 0.70       | 0.71  | 0.70   |
| Total phosphorous       | 0.62       | 0.60  | 0.61   |
| Lysine                  | 1.24       | 1.26  | 1.25   |
| Methionine              | 0.27       | 0.38  | 0.38   |
| Methionine+cysteine     | 0.60       | 0.72  | 0.74   |

L-Met, L-methionine; DL-Met, DL-methionine.

1 Provided per kilogram complete feed: vitamin A, 12,000 IU; vitamin D₃, 2,500 IU; vitamin E 30 IU; vitamin K₂, 3 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.012 mg; vitamin K₃, 3 mg; riboflavin, 4 mg; niacin, 40 mg; pantothenic acid, 15 mg; Choline chloride, 400 mg; folie acid, 0.7 mg; vitamin B₆, 1.5 mg; vitamin B₉, 1.5 mg; Biotin, 0.1 mg; Zn, 105 mg; Mn, 22 mg; Fe, 84 mg; Cu, 225 mg; I, 0.50 mg; Se, 0.35 mg.
–20°C for further chemical analysis. Total urine samples were collected into plastic buckets attached to funnels located under the metabolism cages at the same time as the fecal collection. Approximately 50 mL of 6 N HCl were added to each bucket to limit microbial growth and reduce loss of ammonia. Urine volume was recorded daily and a subsample of 10% of the urine excreted from each pig was collected and stored at –20°C. At the end of the collection period, urine samples were pooled for each pig and a subsample was prepared for further analysis.

On the second day after the collection period, blood samples were collected from the precava of each pig into 10 mL heparin-free tubes (Greiner Bio-One Company, Monroe, NC, USA) at 0800 h. Blood samples were then centrifuged (3,000×g at 4°C for 15 min) within 1 h of collection, and serum samples were stored at –20°C until ready for serum urea nitrogen (SUN) and AA analysis.

Chemical analysis
Dry matter (method 934.01), crude protein (method 990.03), ash (method 942.05), and Ca and P (method 985.01) content of the diets were analyzed according to the procedures of the AOAC International (2007).

Amino acids in diets were analyzed according to the AOAC International (2007; method 151 982.30). Samples were hydrolyzed with 6 N HCl at 110°C for 24 h and analyzed for lysine using an Amino Acid Analyzer (Hitachi L-8900, Tokyo, Japan). Methionine and cysteine were determined as methionine sulfone and cysteic acid after oxidized with cold performic acid overnight and hydrolyzed with 7.5 N HCl at 110°C for 24 h. An Amino Acid Analyzer (Hitachi L-8900, Tokyo, Japan) was used for the measurements.

Blood urea nitrogen, total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), and glucose concentrations were measured using an Automatic Biochemistry Analyzer (Hitachi 7020, Japan). Serum AA concentrations were determined by ion-exchange chromatography with physiological fluid analysis conditions (S-433D AA Analyzer, Sykam, Germany) according to Zhang et al. (2013). Frozen serum samples were first thawed at 4°C and then deproteinized with 120 mg of salicylic acid/mL of serum. After samples were placed an ice bath for 20 min, the reaction system was adjusted for pH by adding lithium hydroxide solution (2 mol/L) and then centrifuged at 12,000 g (L-80 XP, Beckman, Brea, CA, USA) for 30 min. The supernatant fluid was collected and then passed through a filter (0.1 μm) before use for AA analysis.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using the general linear model procedure of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA) followed by Student-Newman-Keuls multiple tests. In all analyses, the differences were considered to be significant if p<0.05.

RESULTS
Nitrogen-balance
Supplementation of either L-Met or DL-Met improved N retention (p<0.01) and N retention rate (p<0.01) when compared with the BD (Table 2). No difference was found in N retention and N retention rate between the DL-Met and L-Met treatments.

Supplementation of either L-Met or DL-Met significantly decreased (p<0.01) urine nitrogen when compared with the BD group. No difference in total N excretion was observed between the DL-Met treatment and the L-Met treatment (p>0.05).

Serum amino acid profile and metabolites
The serum amino acid profile of pigs fed the different diets is presented in Table 3. Compared with the BD group, supplementation of either L-Met or DL-Met increased (p<0.01) the serum Met concentration. Supplementation of L-Met significantly decreased (p<0.05) serum glycine.

| Item                      | Basal diet | L-Met | DL-Met | SEM   | p-value |
|---------------------------|------------|-------|--------|-------|---------|
| Initial BW (kg)           | 15.43      | 15.47 | 15.45  | 0.38  | 0.99    |
| Finial BW (kg)            | 25.67      | 27.63 | 26.95  | 0.73  | 0.20    |
| Nitrogen utilization      |            |       |        |       |         |
| N intake (g/d)            | 26.48b     | 28.66a| 28.47a | 0.55  | 0.04    |
| Fecal N excreted (FN, g/d)| 5.76       | 4.90  | 5.04   | 0.49  | 0.47    |
| Urine N excreted (UN, g/d)| 8.34a      | 5.33b | 5.37b  | 0.50  | <0.01   |
| Retained N (RN, g/d)      | 12.38b     | 18.43a| 18.06a | 0.77  | <0.01   |
| N digestibility (%)       | 78.30      | 82.91 | 82.58  | 1.66  | 0.16    |
| N retention rate (%)      | 46.94b     | 64.32a| 63.06a | 1.84  | <0.01   |

L-Met, L-methionine; DL-Met, DL-methionine; SEM, standard error of the mean; BW, body weight.
1 Data are means of 6 observations per treatment.
ab Means in a row followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).
concentration when compared with the BD control. There were no differences in most serum AA concentrations and in treatments.

The results of SUN, serum ALB, and TP concentration are shown in Table 4. Supplementation of either L-Met or DL-Met increased TP concentration and decreased SUN concentration when compared with the BD group (p<0.01) without differences between the DL-Met treatment and the L-Met treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Studies have been conducted to evaluate the effects of supplementing of different sources of Met in monogastric feed since 1980. The results of those studies were not consistent. Kim and Bayley (1983) has used the oxidation of phenylalanine as an indicator to determine the requirement of Met and the efficacy of L-Met compared with D-Met. The results indicated that D-Met was 50% efficacious relative to L-Met in young pigs. In contrast, it was also reported that L-Met and DL-Met has the same efficacy on growth performance in pigs (Chung and Baker, 1992) and in chicks (Garlich, 1985; Dilger and Baker, 2007), or even L-Met was found to have less efficacy than D-Met and DL-Met in chicks (Tipton et al., 1966). Some studies found that efficacy of Met utilization is different with supplementation of dietary Met levels and whether Met was added as the sole source sulfur amino acid. For example, Katz and Baker (1975) conducted four experiments to evaluate the relative efficacy of different sources of Met and to estimate the requirement for D- and L-Met of broiler chickens when was Met serving as the sole source of sulfur AA or when added to a diet containing 0.27% L-cystine. Their results showed that at lower levels of supplementation, L-Met is a better source of sulfur AA than D-Met on growth performance of broiler chickens. L- and D-Met have equal efficacy when incorporated into diets that are only marginally deficient in sulfur-containing AA.

Our data provided evidence to support earlier results indicating that the efficacy of L-Met and DL-Met is indistinguishable (Cho et al., 1980; Chung and Baker, 1992). However, Shen et al. (2014) reported that the relative bioavailability (RBA) of L-Met to DL-Met in nursery pigs for average daily gain (ADG) and gain:feed ratio was 143.8% and 122.7%, respectively. The inconsistency in

### Table 3. Effect of different type of Met supplementation on serum amino acids concentrations in pigs

| Serum amino acids (nmol/mL) | Basal diet | L-Met | DL-Met | SEM | p-value |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| Indispensable amino acid    |            |       |        |     |         |
| Lysine                      | 297.72     | 260.35| 272.11 | 5.89| 0.14    |
| Threonine                   | 446.01     | 442.19| 443.30 | 9.26| 0.96    |
| Methionine                  | 33.04<sup>b</sup> | 50.70<sup>a</sup> | 53.18<sup>a</sup> | 0.83 | <0.01  |
| Arginine                    | 145.65     | 139.91| 157.05 | 5.77| 0.14    |
| Histidine                   | 86.75      | 85.27 | 80.51  | 3.00| 0.33    |
| Leucine                     | 243.45     | 214.21| 229.07 | 9.26| 0.17    |
| Isoleucine                  | 137.01     | 123.62| 116.74 | 6.80| 0.13    |
| Phenylalanine               | 97.18      | 110.68| 113.71 | 5.51| 0.11    |
| Tryptophan                  | 66.91      | 70.06 | 70.59  | 3.10| 0.67    |
| Valine                      | 205.47     | 179.45| 186.84 | 11.23| 0.27    |
| Dispensable amino acid      |            |       |        |     |         |
| Cysteine                    | 17.54      | 18.36 | 19.52  | 0.72| 0.18    |
| Aspartic acid               | 153.50     | 140.82| 146.19 | 8.54| 0.58    |
| Glutamic acid               | 228.89     | 201.58| 193.79 | 11.97| 0.13    |
| Alanine                     | 656.74     | 580.52| 607.85 | 26.25| 0.15    |
| Glycine                     | 1,362.67<sup>b</sup> | 1,186.71<sup>b</sup> | 1,277.71<sup>ab</sup> | 37.63 | 0.02    |
| Serine                      | 195.68     | 167.36| 180.45 | 10.07| 0.17    |
| Tyrosine                    | 115.76     | 131.24| 125.92 | 5.72| 0.18    |

L-Met, L-methionine; DL-Met, DL-methionine; SEM, standard error of the mean.

1 Data are means of 6 observations per treatment.

<sup>ab</sup> Means in a row followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).

### Table 4. Effect of different type of Met supplementation on serum urea nitrogen (SUN), albumin, and total protein concentration in pigs

| Item                | Basal diet | L-Met | DL-Met | SEM | p-value |
|---------------------|------------|-------|--------|-----|---------|
| Albumin (g/L)       | 37.58      | 39.27 | 39.04  | 0.71| 0.22    |
| Total protein (g/L) | 58.22<sup>b</sup> | 70.30<sup>a</sup> | 69.83<sup>a</sup> | 1.62| <0.01  |
| SUN (mmol/L)        | 5.10<sup>a</sup> | 3.90<sup>b</sup> | 3.97<sup>ab</sup> | 0.18| <0.01  |

L-Met, L-methionine; DL-Met, DL-methionine; SEM, standard error of the mean.

1 Data are means of 6 observations per treatment.

<sup>ab</sup> Means in a row followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05).
different reports is likely due to many factors. Some studies pointed out that the body weight of animals could lead to the difference of results (Chung and Baker, 1992; Shen et al., 2014). Moreover, at lower levels of Met supplementation below the requirement supplementation as the sole source of sulfur AA or the difference of relative contribution of Met and cysteine to treatment diet may also cause discrepancy (Katz and Baker, 1975; Christensen et al., 1980). Ball et al. (2006) reported that more than 40% of the sulfur amino acid requirement can be met by dietary cysteine. Cysteine has the sparing effect on Met requirement which may alleviate the deficiency of Met (Shoveller et al., 2003).

Nitrogen retention or N balance reflects the utilization of proteins, the balance between the body protein synthesis and body protein degradation (Metayer et al., 2008). In the current study, when compared with BD group, both L-Met and DL-Met diets improved retained N (p<0.01) and N retention rate (p<0.01) demonstrating a better utilization of nitrogen in L-Met and DL-Met treatments than the control. There was no difference in N retention and N retention rate between the DL-Met and L-Met treatments. In contrast, Shen et al. (2014) reported that the RBA of L-Met to DL-Met estimated from concentrations of plasma urea nitrogen on d 10 was 160.2%. However, on d 20 no difference in ADG was observed between the L-Met and DL-Met treatments which indicated that utilization of Met isomers may be a function of age. The initial body weight of pigs in their study was 7.15±0.97 kg (weanling pigs). In our study it was 15.45±0.88 kg. This may partly explain the inconsistency between the results presented by Shen et al. (2014), and those showed in this study.

The estimation of plasma urea nitrogen is a rapid method to estimate amino acid requirement in pigs (Coma et al., 1995). A rapid response in nitrogen metabolism was found when there was a change in the concentration of dietary AA. The reduction of plasma urea nitrogen reflects more efficient nitrogen utilization and, consequently, decreased the urea synthesis (Brown and Cline, 1974). In present study, the low level of SUN in Met supplemented group reflects a low level of urea synthesis and a higher efficiency of AA or nitrogen utilization. To our knowledge, D-Met must be converted to L-Met before it can be used by the gastrointestinal tract (Dibner and Ivey, 1992). The rate-limiting enzyme for conversion of D-Met to L-Met is D-AA oxidase (Fang et al., 2010). The existence of substantial D-AA oxidase activity in different tissues, not only liver and kidney (major sites conversion) but also the gastrointestinal tract (stomach, duodenum, jejunum and ileum) can efficiently convert D-Met to L-Met in pigs (Fang et al., 2010). Thus, the effective conversion of D-Met to L-Met might possibly explain the result that the supplementation of L-Met did not affect SUN and serum amino acid profile compared with DL-Met treatment.

Although this absorption and metabolism of Met from different sources were not evaluated in this study, it is necessary to conduct more experiments to investigate the metabolism of amino acid utilization.

CONCLUSION

In present study, the results indicated that supplementation of either L-Met or DL-Met improved N retention, and decreased N excretion. The N retention of pigs fed diets supplemented with the same inclusion levels of DL-Met or L-Met were not different indicating that DL-Met and L-Met are equally bioavailable as Met sources for starter pigs.
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