Longitudinal change in depressive symptoms among healthcare professionals with and without COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy from October 2020 to June 2021 in Japan
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Abstract: This study aimed to compare the longitudinal change in depressive symptoms among healthcare professionals in Japan who are willing to receive novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) vaccination and those who are unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination. The baseline survey was conducted in October 2020 (Survey time 1: T1); respondents in T1 were invited to participate in May 2021 (Survey time 2: T2). Depressive symptoms were assessed by the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). Group comparisons of the estimated mean of PHQ-9 score at T1 and T2 were estimated by the analysis of covariance. In T1, 597 participants (response rate: 4.4%) completed all questions. In T2, 211 participants (follow up rate: 35.3%) completed all questions. The group and time interaction effect was significant (F(1, 207)=3.9, p=0.049); depressive symptoms were worse among healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive vaccination than among those who were willing to receive vaccination. This study showed that depressive symptoms were worse among healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination than those who are willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination. This suggests that it is important to take care of healthcare professionals who are unwilling to receive vaccination to prevent mental health deterioration.
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Introduction

Recently in some countries, the governments and several medical centers have made vaccination against the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) mandatory for healthcare professionals, which has led to a debate on whether this practice is ethical\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\). Healthcare professionals in medical centers may be required to receive a vaccine against COVID-19 even if they have vaccine hesitancy, or they may be at a disadvantage because they refuse to receive the vaccine and have to change their workplace\(^5\). Vaccine hesitancy is defined as delayed acceptance, reluctance, or refusal of vaccination even though vaccination services are available\(^6\). In a systematic review of nationally representative samples that consisted of 58,656 participants from 13 countries, the proportion of vaccine hesitancy against COVID-19 was reported to be 20% (range: 13‒29%)\(^7\). The vaccine confidence index in Japan has been one of the lowest worldwide\(^8\). A cross-sectional survey of the Japanese population showed that factors associated with hesitancy were the presence of severe psychological distress, female sex, living alone, and lower socioeconomic status\(^9\). In Japan, vaccinations began on 17 February 2021, and the first target group was healthcare professionals. On 9 July 2021, it was reported that 6,187,797 healthcare professionals had received their first dose of a vaccine against COVID-19, and 5,259,713 had received their second dose\(^9\).

It is well-known that mental health problems have occurred among healthcare professionals responding to COVID-19\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^13\). Mental health problems have been reported to be associated with turnover, absenteeism, and job performance among healthcare professionals\(^12\). Prevention and countermeasures of mental health problems among healthcare professionals were important to maintain the healthcare system during the COVID-19 outbreak. Some previous studies have been published on the association between vaccination intentions and mental health among healthcare professionals and community residents\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^19\). A previous study among healthcare professionals in Poland suggested that depression was significantly related to the willingness to get vaccinated\(^11\). Another study among 254 vaccinated community residents in Israel showed that higher levels of vaccine hesitancy were a risk factor for depression, peritraumatic stress and anxiety\(^14\). On the other hand, a previous study of the general adult population in Germany found that anxiety and depressive symptoms had no significant association with vaccine acceptance\(^15\). Thus, not enough studies have been done on vaccination hesitancy and mental health. In addition, only cross-sectional study designs have been reported, and the causal relationship between vaccination intention and mental health has not been clarified. Furthermore, no study has investigated longitudinal changes in mental health among healthcare professionals who are willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccination and those who are unwilling to receive the COVID-19 vaccination during the COVID-19 pandemic, respectively. Therefore, it is not clear whether there is a difference in longitudinal changes in mental health between healthcare professionals who are willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccination and those who are unwilling to receive the COVID-19 vaccination. It is important to examine changes in mental health among healthcare professionals who are willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccination and those who are unwilling to receive the COVID-19 vaccination, from before the start of vaccination to when vaccination has ended.

This study aimed to compare the longitudinal change in depressive symptoms among healthcare professionals in Japan who were willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccination and those who were unwilling to receive the COVID-19 vaccination, from before the start of vaccination (October 2020) to when vaccination had mostly ended during the pandemic (June 2021).

Subjects and Methods

Participants

Disaster Medical Assistance Team (DMAT) and Disaster Psychiatric Assistance Team (DPAT) are trained healthcare professionals with the mobility to work in an acute phase of a disaster. DMAT and DPAT members (physicians, nurses, and other healthcare professionals such as pharmacists and occupational therapists) usually work at their own base hospital. At the time of need, the national or prefectural government requests a deployment to disaster base hospitals. The selected members provide rescue efforts to the affected areas or accident sites for several days and return to their regular working hospital after the rescue activity. The recruited participants in this study included DMAT and DPAT members who met the following inclusion criteria: 1) aged 18 years or older, 2) native Japanese speaker or nonnative speaker with Japanese conversational abilities, 3) physically and psychologically capable of understanding and providing consent for study participation, 4) could receive an e-mail of the written guide for this study from the DMAT office or the DPAT office.
Study Design

Healthcare professionals belonging to DMAT or DPAT were recruited for this internet-based cohort survey. The baseline survey of this study was conducted from 23 October to 20 November, 2020 (Survey time 1: T1). The respondents in T1 were invited to participate in a follow-up survey from 21 May to 18 June, 2021 (Survey time 2: T2). For DMAT members, a written guide for this study was posted to the mailing list by the DMAT office, and for DPAT members by the DPAT office. The guide contained a written explanation of the study and the URL of a web page containing a consent form for this study and a questionnaire. Participants accessed the URL, read a detailed explanation of the study and responded online to a consent form and a questionnaire.

This study was ethically approved by the research ethics committee of the Graduate School of Medicine and Faculty of Medicine at the University of Tokyo (No. 2019164N11(2)(3)(4)) and the research ethics committee of the National Hospital Organization Disaster Medical Center (No. 2019-19). This study was ethically approved to use the information of DMAT and DPAT by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan. Informed consent was obtained by reading an ethical document and completing a consent form on the Web page. This study was conducted in accordance with the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement16).

Measurements

Outcome: depressive symptoms

Depressive symptoms were assessed by the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), a self-reporting questionnaire designed to evaluate depressive symptoms, rating one’s condition for the last two weeks17). PHQ-9 consists of 9 items and uses a 4-point response format ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day); the total score ranges from 0 to 27. The Japanese version of PHQ-9 was translated from English into Japanese, the developer of the PHQ used back translation to confirm the accuracy18). The validity and reliability of the Japanese version have been confirmed18). We used PHQ-9 to measure depressive symptoms at T1 and T2.

Independent variables

To the best of our knowledge, there was no Japanese version of the COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy scale that its reliability and validity were confirmed, at the time we were preparing the survey. Therefore, items about assessment of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and experience of vaccination against COVID-19 were originally developed by reviewing previous studies5,13-15), and through discussion between healthcare professionals and researchers (HA and DN) who engaged in mental health among healthcare professionals.

The question about assessment of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy was asked at T2: “What do you think about vaccination against the novel coronavirus infection (COVID-19)?”. The participants answered by selecting one of the following four options: “I want to be vaccinated”, “I rather want to be vaccinated”, “I rather want not to be vaccinated” and “I don’t want to be vaccinated”. Those who answered “I want to be vaccinated” and “I rather want to be vaccinated” were defined as “willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination”, while those who answered “I rather want not to be vaccinated” and “I don’t want to be vaccinated” were defined as “unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination”.

The question about the experience of vaccination against COVID-19 was asked at T2: “Have you received at least one dose of vaccine for the novel coronavirus infection (COVID-19)?”, and was answered in a binary (yes/no).

Demographic variables of sex, age, occupation and years of occupational experience were retrieved at the T2 survey.

Statistical Analysis

We analyzed the dataset of participants who completed all questions of both self-report questionnaires at T1 and T2. Participants were divided into two categories according to their answers to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy at T2: healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination and those who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination. Group comparisons were estimated of the estimated mean of PHQ-9 scores at T1 and T2 between healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination and those who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination by the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). Estimated mean scores were controlled by sex and age6,7). Statistical significance was set as a two-sided p<0.05. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 26.0 J for Windows (SPSS, Tokyo, Japan).

Results

At T1, of 13,638 recruited DMAT and DPAT members, 810 (response rate: 5.9%) agreed to participate in this study, and 597 (4.4%) completed all questions. Among 597 completers of T1, 211 participants (follow-up rate: 35.3%) completed all questions at T2 (Fig. 1). The characteristics of participants are shown
in Table 1. The mean age was 44.9 (SD=7.9), 58 participants (27.5%) were physicians, 71 participants (33.6%) were nurses and 82 participants (38.9%) were other healthcare professionals. 199 participants (94.3%) experienced at least one dose of COVID-19 vaccination. 174 participants (82.5%) were willing to receive the vaccine. Among 37 participants who were unwilling to receive vaccine against COVID-19, 30 participants had experienced at least one dose of COVID-19 vaccination and 7 participants did not receive a COVID-19 vaccination.

The results of the ANCOVA and the estimated mean of PHQ-9 scores at T1 and T2 between healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination and those who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 2. The estimated mean of PHQ-9 score among healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination was 3.4 (SE=3.8) at T1 and 5.0 (SE=4.6) at T2. The estimated mean of PHQ-9 score among healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination was 3.0 (SE=3.9) at T1 and 3.6 (SE=4.1) at T2. The group and time interaction effect was significant (F(1, 207)=3.9, p=0.049), and the change in the estimated mean of PHQ-9 score between healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination and those who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination was different.

**Discussion**

This study showed the longitudinal change in depressive symptoms among healthcare professionals in Japan who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination and those who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination, from before the start of vaccination (October 2020) until when vaccination had mostly ended (June 2021). The longitudinal changes in depressive symptoms differed among healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination and those who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination: depressive symptoms were worse among those who were unwilling than among those who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccina-
The result that COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy was positively associated with depressive symptoms was consistent with a previous cross-sectional study\textsuperscript{13, 14}. This longitudinal study might suggest the causal relationship between COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy and depressive symptoms, which previous studies has not been clarified.

Participants in this study who were unwilling to receive the vaccine consisted of those who had experienced at least one dose of COVID-19 vaccination and those who had not received a vaccination. The result that vaccine hesitation was positively associated with poor mental health among people who were vaccinated against COVID-19 was consistent with a previous cross-sectional study\textsuperscript{14}. Healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination but were vaccinated against COVID-19 might be depressed due to fears regarding the side effects and long-lasting effects of the vaccine against COVID-19\textsuperscript{14}.

Among healthcare workers who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination and were not vaccinated against COVID-19, they might have been wondering whether to vacci-

| Sex       | n  | %  | mean | SD  |
|-----------|----|----|------|-----|
| Male      | 149| 70.6|      |     |
| Female    | 62 | 29.4|      |     |

| Age (years) | 44.9 | 7.9 |
| Occupation  |      |     |
| Physicians  | 58   | 27.5|
| Nurses      | 71   | 33.6|
| Other       | 82   | 38.9|
| Occupation  |      |     |
|            |      |     |

| Experience with at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccination | 199 | 94.3 |

| Willingness to receive COVID-19 vaccine |      |     |
| Willing to receive COVID-19 vaccine   | 174  | 82.5|
| Unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccine | 37   | 17.5|

| PHQ-9 at T1 (range: 0–27) | 3.1  | 3.9 |
| PHQ-9 at T2 (range: 0–27) | 3.8  | 4.2 |

COVID-19, Coronavirus disease 2019.
SD, standard deviation.
PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9.
Sex, age occupational experience, occupation, experience with at least one dose of COVID-19 vaccination, willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccine and PHQ-9 at T2 were measured at T2.
T1: October 2020, T2: May 2021.

As the results of the ANCOVA, the estimated mean of PHQ-9 score among healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination was 3.4 (SE=3.8) at T1 and 5.0 (SE=4.6) at T2. The estimated mean of PHQ-9 score among healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination was 3.0 (SE=3.9) at T1 and 3.6 (SE=4.1) at T2. The group and time interaction effect was significant ($F(1, 207)=3.9$, $p=0.049$). The estimated mean PHQ-9 score of healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination increased significantly than the estimated mean PHQ-9 score of healthcare professionals who were willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination from T1 to T2. These results showed depressive symptoms were worse among healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive vaccination than among those who were willing to receive vaccination, from before the start of vaccination (October 2020) to when vaccination had mostly ended during the pandemic (June 2021) in Japan. The result that COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy was positively associated with depressive symptoms was consistent with a previous cross-sectional study\textsuperscript{13, 14}. This longitudinal study might suggest the causal relationship between COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy and depressive symptoms, which previous studies has not been clarified.

Participants in this study who were unwilling to receive the vaccine consisted of those who had experienced at least one dose of COVID-19 vaccination and those who had not received a vaccination. The result that vaccine hesitation was positively associated with poor mental health among people who were vaccinated against COVID-19 was consistent with a previous cross-sectional study\textsuperscript{14}. Healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination but were vaccinated against COVID-19 might be depressed due to fears regarding the side effects and long-lasting effects of the vaccine against COVID-19\textsuperscript{14}.

Among healthcare workers who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination and were not vaccinated against COVID-19, they might have been wondering whether to vacci-
Despite those limitations, to our knowledge, this study is the first longitudinal study to examine the association of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy with depressive symptoms. This longitudinal study might suggest the causal relationship between vaccination intention and mental health, which previous

Table 2. The estimated mean of PHQ-9 score at baseline (T1) and T2 among Japanese healthcare professionals: analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) (N=211)

| Estimated Means (SE)† | Unwilling to receive the COVID-19 vaccination (n=37) | Willing to receive the COVID-19 vaccination (n=174) | Interaction effect | p value |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Outcomes              | October 2020  May 2021 | October 2020  May 2021 | F (1,207) = 3.9 | 0.049   |
| PHQ-9                 | 3.4 (3.8)  5.0 (4.6) | 3.0 (3.9)  3.6 (4.1) |                   |         |

† Estimated mean scores were controlled by sex and age.
COVID-19, Coronavirus disease 2019.
SE, standard error.
PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9.
T1: October 2020, T2: May 2021.
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Conclusions

This study showed depressive symptoms were worse among healthcare professionals who were unwilling to receive COVID-19 vaccination than those who are willing to receive COVID-19 vaccination from before the start of vaccination (October 2020) to when vaccination had mostly ended among health care professionals (June 2021) in Japan. This suggests that it is important to take care of healthcare professionals who are unwilling to receive vaccination to prevent mental health deterioration. This study suggests the necessity to consider vaccine hesitancy from a mental health perspective, and further studies are needed.

Fig. 2. The estimated mean of depressive symptoms at baseline (T1) and T2: analysis of covariance (N=211).

Estimated mean scores were controlled by sex and age.
COVID-19, Coronavirus disease 2019.
Depressive symptoms were assessed by Patient Health Questionnaire-9.
T1: October 2020, T2: May 2021.
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