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Abstract: Maintenance of genome stability is a crucial priority for any organism. To meet this priority, robust signalling networks exist to facilitate error-free DNA replication and repair. These signalling cascades are subject to various regulatory post-translational modifications that range from simple additions of chemical moieties to the conjugation of ubiquitin-like proteins (UBLs). Interferon Stimulated Gene 15 (ISG15) is one such UBL. While classically thought of as a component of antiviral immunity, ISG15 has recently emerged as a regulator of genome stability, with key roles in the DNA damage response (DDR) to modulate p53 signalling and error-free DNA replication. Additional proteomic analyses and cancer-focused studies hint at wider-reaching, uncharacterised functions for ISG15 in genome stability. We review these recent discoveries and highlight future perspectives to increase our understanding of this multifaceted UBL in health and disease.
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1. Ubiquitin and Ubiquitin-Like Proteins (UBLs)—An Overview

Ubiquitylation is one of the most studied post-translational modifications (PTMs) and involves the conjugation of ubiquitin (8.5 kDa), a highly conserved 76 amino-acid protein, primarily onto lysines of target proteins via a three-step ATP-dependent enzymatic cascade formed by an E1-activating enzyme, an E2-conjugating enzyme and an E3 ligase [1]. Ubiquitylation and conjugation with UBLs (UBLylations) are commonly reversible processes catalysed by deubiquitylating enzymes (DUBs) and UBL-specific proteases (ULPs), respectively [1–3]. Following the discovery of ubiquitin in 1975, >10 human UBLs have been identified, including several paralogues of small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO), neural precursor cell expressed and developmentally down-regulated 8 (NEDD8), interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15, aka G1P2), human leukocyte antigen F locus adjacent transcription 10 (FAT10), ubiquitin-fold modifier 1 (UFM1), ubiquitin-related modifier 1 (URM1), autophagy-related protein 12 (ATG12), autophagy-related protein 8 (ATG8), Finkel-Biskis-Reilly murine sarcoma virus (FBR-MuSV) ubiquitously expressed (FUBI) and ubiquitin-like protein 5 (UBL5) [1,2]. Ubiquitin-like domains also exist as integral parts of proteins with functions often linked to the proteasome (Figure 1A) [4,5]. Importantly, ubiquitylation and UBLylation are key for regulating essential cellular processes including responses to different cellular stimuli, such as genotoxic stress. It is therefore not surprising that deregulation of ubiquitin and UBL systems are linked to a wide variety of human diseases, including cancer and neurodegenerative disorders as well as immune and inflammatory diseases [6–8]. As a consequence, components of the ubiquitin/UBL systems represent attractive drug targets for treating...
these diseases [9]. Although the involvement of ubiquitin, SUMO and NEDD8 in genotoxic stress responses is well established [3,10,11], the roles of the remaining UBLs in this regard are only starting to emerge [12,13]. Indeed, while ISG15 has primarily been associated with antiviral immune responses [14,15], additional non-canonical roles are starting to be uncovered. Herein, we review the emerging roles of the ISG15 system in DNA damage repair/signalling and associated pathways.

2. ISG15 and ISGylation

ISG15 was the first UBL to be discovered in 1979, four years after ubiquitin [16,17]. Initially termed ubiquitin cross-reactive protein (UCRP) after its ability to cross-react with ubiquitin antibodies [14], it was later renamed to ISG15 [18,19]. ISG15 bears key features found in all UBLs, namely a β-grasp fold partially wrapped around a short and flexible C-terminal tail terminating in a diglycine by which ISG15 can be conjugated onto substrates (Figure 1B). An aspect of ISG15 distinct from ubiquitin is that, similar to FAT10, it is comprised of two UBL domains [1]. While the N- and C-terminal UBL domains of ISG15 possess only ~30% sequence homology with ubiquitin, they share strikingly similar tertiary structures and display comparable as well as distinct areas of electrostatic surface potentials with ubiquitin (Figure 1C) [8,20–23]. Interestingly, the two ISG15 UBL domains differ in molecular function, with the solvent-exposed N-terminal domain facilitating ISG15 transfer from E2 to substrate and the C-terminal domain being crucial for E1-mediated ISG15 activation and transthiolation [20,22]. ISG15 orthologues can be found in various organisms although cross-species conservation of amino-acid sequences is relatively low even amongst mammals (Figure 1D) [24,25]. Moreover, the orientation of the two ISG15 UBL domains varies considerably across species [26–28], contrasting with the almost 100% cross-species conservation of ubiquitin [29], suggesting that ISG15 is an evolutionarily diverse and non-essential gene.

As with ubiquitylation, ISGylation involves a three-step enzymatic cascade (Figure 2). Initially, an inactive 17-kDa precursor of ISG15 is transcribed before being proteolytically cleaved into its 15-kDa mature form [30,31], exposing a highly conserved, C-terminal LRLRG motif required for canonical conjugation [32]. Initial activation is carried out in an ATP-dependent manner by the ISG15 E1 enzyme UBA7 (aka UBE1L) [33]. ISG15 is then transferred to the active cysteine of the E2 UBE2L6 (aka UBCH8) before being conjugated onto substrates by one of three identified E3 ligases: HERC5 [34], EFP (aka TRIM25) [35] or HHARI (aka ARIH1) [36]. Unlike the ISG15-specific UBA7, UBE2L6 and all three E3s can participate in ubiquitylation events [24,37–40]. However, while UBE2L6 is capable of ubiquitin E2 activity in vitro [41], a significantly higher affinity for UBA7 over the ubiquitin-specific E1, UBA1 (aka UBE1), suggests that UBE2L6 is ISG15-specific in cells [42]. Removal of ISG15 from substrates and processing of pro-ISG15 [43] can be catalysed by USP18 (aka UBP43) [43,44], which has been confirmed as the major delSGylase in vivo [45,46].
Figure 1. ISG15 comparison with selected ubiquitin/UBLs, ubiquitin-like domains and across species. (A) Phylogeny of ubiquitin (Ub), ubiquitin-like proteins (UBLs) and Ub/UBL domains fused to human proteins. Genome stability associations are highlighted in green and apply to the following in addition to ISG15: Ub [3,10], Parkin [47–50], NEDD8 [11], UBTD1 [51], FAT10 [12], SF3A1 [52], RAD23A and RAD23B [53], TMUB1 (aka HOPS) [54–56], UBL5 (aka HUB1) [12], UHRF1 [57–59], ELOB (aka TCEB2) [60], USP48 [61,62], ATG12 [63], BAG6 [64], RBCK1 [65], BAG1 [66,67], HERPUD1 [68].
Figure 2. ISG15 conjugation cascade. Expression of ISGylation components is induced by different stimuli as indicated. After processing into its mature form, ISG15 can be conjugated to proteins via a three-step enzymatic cascade comprised of UBA7 (aka UBE1L) as the E1 activating enzyme, UBE2L6 (aka UBE1L) as the E2 conjugating enzyme and either HERC5, EFP (aka TRIM25) or HHARI (aka ARIH1) as the E3 ligase (note that these ligases are diverse in nature encompassing HECT-type, RING-type and HECT-RING hybrid E3 ligases, respectively). The ubiquitin-like protease (ULP) USP18 (aka UBP43) acts as an ISG15-specific protease, cleaving ISG15 from substrates and processing pro-ISG15 into its mature form. Figure created using BioRender.com (2020).
3. ISG15: More Than an Antiviral Protein

All components of the ISGylation cascade are transcriptionally induced by type-I/III interferons (IFNs), lipopolysaccharides, retinoic acid, genotoxic stress or other immune activators (e.g., references [18,24,81–90]). As one of the earliest induced proteins following type-I IFN signalling [18], ISG15 has been investigated extensively regarding its effects on countering viral and bacterial infections. In this regard, ISGylation of viral and host proteins can directly inhibit the functions of viral proteins (Figure 3, Section 1) [15,24]. Additionally, free extracellular ISG15 can act as a cytokine, stimulating IFNγ secretion in natural killer cells [91,92] (Figure 3, Section 2). Moreover, components of the ISGylation cascade such as USP18 can modulate JAK-STAT immune responses in an ISGylation-independent manner (Figure 3, Section 3) [93].

However, ISG15 also displays broader functions in a variety of cellular processes, such as proteasomal degradation. ISGylation was first linked to the proteasome because of a marked increase in ISG15 conjugates upon proteasomal inhibition [94]. ISG15 can inhibit proteasomal degradation by outcompeting ubiquitin for conjugation sites [95–98], by directly inhibiting ubiquitin E3 ligases [99,100] or by ISGylation of ubiquitin at K29, forming mixed chains, which are ineffective as degradation signals [101]. However, ISG15 can also act in a similar fashion to ubiquitin by targeting certain proteins for proteasomal degradation [102–106]. Furthermore, ISG15 can enhance overall ubiquitylation and substrate degradation [107,108] e.g., by ISGylation of CHIP (aka STUB1), a key E3 ligase in protein quality control [109], which accelerates CHIP activity, thereby increasing activation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) (Figure 3, Section 4) [110]. Taken together, these findings closely tie ISG15 to ubiquitin and the proteasome, and suggest complex roles for ISG15 in proteasomal degradation depending on the exact circumstances.

In addition to roles in the UPS, ISG15 has recently emerged as a key modulator of autophagy. For example, several crucial facilitators of selective autophagy interact with free and conjugated ISG15, potentially promoting autophagy of ISG15-conjugates (Figure 3, Section 5) [111]. HyperISGylation can even induce aberrant autophagy under genotoxic stress, at least in certain pathological circumstances [112,113]. By contrast, ISG15 can also have a negative effect on autophagy e.g., by ISGylation, and subsequent inhibition, of positive autophagy regulators (Figure 3, Section 5) [114–116]. In certain circumstances ISGylation can also protect proteins from lysosomal degradation, challenging the notion that ISG15 simply serves as a tag for selective autophagy [117]. These studies suggest a sophisticated role for ISG15 in autophagy that varies depending on the cellular context.

In protein synthesis ISG15 can inhibit translation of individual proteins through modification of RNA-binding partners [118], as well as by suppressing global or mRNA-specific translation via ISGylation of key translational regulators (Figure 3, Section 6) [36,119,120]. This activity is largely thought to be an antiviral mechanism whereby translation of newly synthesized viral proteins is restricted via translational suppression following IFN stimulation. In addition, ISG15 may function as a co-translational modifier, targeting nascent viral or misfolded proteins for degradation. Indeed, HERC5 localises to polyribosomes and can broadly target newly synthesized proteins [121], which may also enhance the presentation of antigens on MHC class 1 molecules by bolstering co-translational antigen processing [122]. Further research is required to understand if and how ISG15-mediated inhibition of translation occurs outside of an antiviral context.
Figure 3. Canonical ISG15 functions in key cellular processes. (1) Inhibition of viral proteins. ISG15 modifies numerous viral proteins and is capable of disrupting their oligomerisation, function and interaction with host pathways. (2) Extracellular cytokine activity. Free ISG15 can be secreted as a cytokine and stimulate IFNγ release through interaction with the LFA-1 receptor. (3) Regulation of IFN signalling. The ISG15-protease USP18 interferes with type-I IFN signalling via direct interaction with the INFAR2 subunit of the IFN receptor. This displaces JAK1 and prevents JAK/STAT signal transduction. Non-conjugated ISG15 binds and stabilises USP18. (4) Inhibition of proteasomal degradation. ISGylation can interfere with ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation through inhibition of E3 ligases, competition for lysine conjugation sites and formation of mixed chains. Alternatively, ISG15 can promote proteasomal degradation through stimulation of CHIP (aka STUB1) activity. (5) Selective autophagy. ISG15 can promote selective autophagy of target proteins. In the case of RIG-1, ISG15 association allows for interaction with LRCC25, facilitating p62-guided RIG-1 degradation via the autophagosome. Conversely, ISGylation of the autophagy-promoting protein BECN1 prevents its activity through disrupting its ubiquitin-mediated interaction with PIK3C3. (6) Inhibition of protein translation. ISGylation of PKR promotes phosphorylation and activation of the translational suppressor elF2α. Similarly, ISGylation of 4EHP increases its affinity to 7-methylguanosine mRNA cap binding, displacing elF4F and inhibiting protein translation. Figure created using BioRender.com (2020).

ISG15 can also influence numerous other cellular processes, including inhibition of exosome secretion [123], attenuation of hypoxia [124], activation of cytokine secretion [89,91,125–128] as well as modulation of cytoskeleton dynamics [129,130]. For further insights and recent trends into the more canonical activities of ISG15 we refer the reader to the following reviews [15,131,132]. These findings illustrate the highly diverse impact of ISG15 on a wide variety of cellular processes and highlight its
capacity to partake in complex pathway regulation, which also applies to the intertwined processes that make up the DNA damage response (DDR).

4. The DNA Damage Response (DDR)

Genome integrity is constantly challenged by intrinsic and extrinsic stressors. Reactive oxygen species (ROS), base pair mismatches—occasionally introduced during DNA replication—and topoisomerase-generated DNA breaks are examples of endogenous sources of DNA damage. Exogenous DNA stressors include ionizing radiation (IR), ultraviolet light (UV) and genotoxic agents such as chemotherapeutic drugs [133,134]. These DNA-damaging agents cause DNA lesions which, if un- or misrepaired, are capable of interfering with essential cellular processes. For instance, high levels of DNA damage in S-phase can halt DNA replication fork progression and cause DNA replication stress [135]. Inaccurate repair of DNA lesions can also give rise to mutations and chromosomal abnormalities which lead to genomic instability, a hallmark of cancer [136]. For the survival and normal functioning of a mammalian organism, maintaining genome stability is therefore critical for accurate transmission of genetic information and to prevent prevalent diseases. In fact, carriers of hereditary DNA repair deficiencies can be predisposed to tumourigenesis, immunodeficiency, neurodegeneration, infertility and premature ageing, highlighting the broad importance of genome integrity to human health [133,134,136].

To maintain genome stability, cells have evolved the DDR, a collection of pathways which coordinates the detection, signalling and repair of DNA lesions, and arrests cell-cycle progression to allow time for repair [133,134]. DDR sensors activate the DDR signalling network, which is orchestrated by phosphoinositide 3-kinase-like kinases (PIKKs) including the master regulator kinases ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM) and ataxia telangiectasia and Rad3 related (ATR; Figure 4). PIKKs amplify DDR signalling by phosphorylating and activating other DDR factors, such as the checkpoint kinases CHK1 and CHK2 as well as the downstream tumour suppressor p53 which regulates the transcription of hundreds of DDR effectors to induce G1 cell-cycle arrest, senescence and/or apoptosis depending on the type of DNA damage and cellular context [137,138].

The DDR also coordinates DNA replication and repair with cell-cycle progression to preserve genome stability. S-phase is particularly vulnerable to detrimental effects of bulky DNA lesions, which cause replication fork stalling. For instance, the S-phase checkpoint elicits protective ATR/CHK1-mediated responses including the repression of unfired origins of replication, the stabilisation of replication forks and the inhibition of entry into mitosis if DNA is not fully replicated, thus constituting the surveillance mechanism that prevents genome instability upon replication stress. The attenuation of S-phase checkpoint signalling and recovery from DDR-induced cell-cycle delay is critically dependent on post-replication repair mechanisms to resolve stalled replication forks and allow for S-phase progression on damaged genomic DNA templates [139]. Translesion DNA synthesis (TLS), a DNA damage tolerance mechanism, allows cells to bypass DNA lesions that would otherwise block the replication machinery while tolerating their repair at a later stage, thus avoiding the collapse of replication forks. One central TLS component is the sliding clamp proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA), a replication processivity factor that supports the assembly of DNA replication and repair proteins [140]. Among other replicating factors, the RECQ1 helicase is critically involved in replication fork restart under replication stress conditions, cell-cycle progression and genotoxic stress resistance [141,142]. Importantly, PTMs are crucial for coordinating the above processes and therefore are key to a functioning DDR [3,10,133,143]. In addition to PTMs such as ubiquitin and the UBLs SUMO and NEDD8, ISG15 has emerged as an important regulator of genome stability through covalent modification of—or non-covalent interaction with—target proteins involved in key aspects of the processes described above, ranging from p53 and p63 signalling to TLS and replication fork progression (Figure 4, sections 1–4) [23,85,86,88,104,144].
5. ISG15 System and p53—A Complex Relationship

p53 coordinates cellular responses to stressors, such as DNA damage, telomere erosion, oncogene activation and hypoxia [138]. Under normal conditions, p53 levels are kept low primarily by targeting p53 for proteasomal degradation via its interaction with, and ubiquitylation by, the ubiquitin E3 ligase Mdm2 [145–147]. Under stress conditions, the interaction of p53 with Mdm2 is disrupted by phosphorylation and acetylation leading to p53 stabilisation and activation. Activated p53 then binds to p53-responsive elements (p53REs) for transcriptional activation of target genes (e.g., BAX, p21 and PUMA) that modulate cell-cycle arrest, senescence and/or apoptosis [148–150]. ISG15 expression has been linked in different ways to p53-mediated cellular responses [12,88,104,107,144,151–153].
5.1. ISG15 as a p53 Degradation Signal

HERC5-mediated ISGylation of p53 has been reported as a proteasomal degradation signal (Figure 5A) [104]. In non-transformed cells, because of the low expression of the ISGylation system, the conjugation of ISG15 to p53 is less prominent and primarily targets misfolded/dominant-negative p53 (Figure 5A, Section 1, left). Indeed, ISG15 deletion results in the accumulation of misfolded/dominant-negative p53 and suppression of overall p53 activity, leading to decreased DNA damage-induced senescence, accelerated cell proliferation and lowered p21 expression. ISG15−/− mice similarly showed increased cell proliferation in vivo, while mice-derived cells displayed reduced p53 target expression and downregulated apoptosis. Likewise, ISG15 deficiency leads to the accumulation of misfolded dominant-negative p53 in the context of HIV infection [153].

In transformed cells, ISG15 overexpression has been detected in several cancer settings and has been linked to tumourigenesis [95, 100, 117, 129, 154–165]. p53 ISGylation can be upregulated by oncogenes, such as SRC, RAS and MYC, e.g., via SRC-mediated phosphorylation of p53 positively regulating HERC5 binding to, and ISGylation of, cytoplasmic p53. In transformed cells, both native and misfolded p53 are targeted for degradation by ISG15, reducing the overall p53 levels (Figure 5A, Section 2, right) [144].

As a result, ISG15 deficiency can upregulate p53 transactivity and thus reduce cellular proliferation/tumourigenic potential of transformed cells and lung tumour formation/growth in a KRAS cancer mouse model [144]. ISG15 deficiency can also enhance DNA damage-induced transcriptional activation of p53 in cancer cells exposed to different genotoxic agents. In line with the potential cross-talk between ubiquitin and ISG15 and a direct role for ISG15 in p53 degradation, simultaneous suppression of p53 ubiquitylation and ISGylation can potentiate the inhibitory effects on cell proliferation. Therefore, oncogene-mediated transformation of cells may lead to ISG15-dependent degradation of p53 and tumourigenesis, highlighting the distinct effects of ISG15-modulated p53 degradation depending on cellular context [144].

5.2. p53-Mediated Induction of ISG15 System

ISGylation does not only impact p53 levels and function, but p53 itself can regulate the ISG15 system. ISGylation is upregulated by genotoxic stressors, such as UV irradiation, doxorubicin (DOX) and camptothecin (CPT) because of the presence of p53REs in the ISG15, UBA7, UBE2L6 and EFP promoters which are induced by p53 independently of IFN-I signalling (Figure 5B) [83–86, 88]. Inhibition of ATM/ATR in several p53+/+ but not p53−/− cancer cell lines therefore abrogates the induction of ISGylation components, consistent with genotoxin-induced ISGylation of p53 being promoted by PIKKs via their known role of p53 activation [88, 137]. However, ATM has also been suggested to suppress the ISG15 system [97], pointing towards nuanced layers of control depending on the exact circumstances. It is noteworthy that HERC5 lacks a p53RE in its promoter and is not induced by genotoxic agents. Since HERC5 is physically associated with polyribosomes and modifies a wide range of newly synthesized proteins in a co-translational manner, HERC5 may ISGylate newly synthesized/unstructured p53 in a non-specific manner [88, 121].

5.3. ISG15 Enhances p53 Transactivity

DNA damage-activated p53 can be ISGylated at K291/K292 by EFP (Figure 5B). These ISGylations promote p53 transactivity by enhancing p53 phosphorylation and acetylation and consequently, the affinity of p53 to the p53REs of its own gene and downstream targets (e.g., p21, BAX, MDM2), ultimately modulating cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis. In a positive feedback loop, the ISG15 conjugation system is also upregulated by p53 ISGylation to further potentiate p53 transactivity and downregulated by USP18-mediated deISGylation of p53. In cellular assays EFP-mediated p53 ISGylation increased p53 stability, while ISG15 or EFP depletion, or expression of K-to-R p53 mutants significantly decreased the DNA damage-induced p53 responses. Furthermore, p53 ISGylation inhibited cellular proliferation
and tumour growth in mice via p53 tumour suppressive functions, therefore supporting a role for ISG15 in preventing tumourigenesis under genotoxic stress [88].

**Figure 5.** Pleiotropic functions of p53 ISGylation. (A) HERC5-mediated p53 ISGylation. (1) In non-transformed cells, HERC5 (light brown) mediates ISGylation of p53 to primarily remove dominant-negative/misfolded p53 via the 20S proteasome, thereby increasing native p53 activity.
In cancer cells, oncogene proteins, such as SRC, RAS and MYC, enhance the interaction between HERC5 (dark brown) and ISG15, leading to indiscriminate modification of native and misfolded p53 and an overall reduction of total anti-tumoural p53 activity. (B) EFP-mediated p53 ISGylation. (1) p53 is associated with Mdm2 under normal cellular conditions. (2) Upon DNA damage, p53 is phosphorylated (orange, P) and acetylated (yellow, Ac) via DNA damage response signalling pathways, resulting in dissociation of p53 from Mdm2 and subsequent p53 stabilisation. (3) EFP conjugates ISG15 to stabilised p53 at lysines K291 and K292, which increases the phosphorylation and acetylation status of p53 (denoted as +), as well as its ability to bind p53 responsive elements (p53RE). (4) This induces the expression of p53 targets, such as p21, BAX, PUMA, p53 itself and ISGylation factors. (5) In a positive feedback loop, increased expression of ISGylation factors accelerates p53 ISGylation and transactivation, leading to tumour growth suppression. This loop is deactivated by USP18 (aka UBP43) via deISGylation of p53, leading to p53 destabilisation. Note that levels of Mdm2 are also increased with p53 transactivation, which further contribute to downregulating the cycle. (C) Abrogation of the oncogenic functions of ΔNp63α, an isoform of p53 family member p63, by ISGylation. (1) The tumourigenic protein ΔNp63α is overexpressed in human epithelial cancers and in the absence of external DNA-damaging agents, acts by blocking the transactivation (TA) of p53 family members, such as TAp63α and TAp63γ, with its transactivation inhibitor domain (TI). (2) DNA damage caused by doxorubicin (DOX) or camptothecin (CPT) induces the ISG15 and its conjugation system, and (3) the ISGylation of ΔNp63α at lysines K139 and K324. Under the same conditions, TAp63α is also ISGylated at lysines K194 and K397. (4) These events lead to CASP2-mediated cleavage of ΔNp63α at aspartates D452, D469 and D489 and of TAp63α at aspartates D507, D524 and D544, as well as subsequent cytoplasmic release of their TI domains. (5) Cleaved ΔNp63α can no longer inhibit the transcriptional activities of other p53 family members, such as TAp63α and TAp63γ, thus facilitating their anti-tumourigenic functions e.g., via mediating apoptosis. (6) The N-terminal fragment of TAp63α containing the TA domain, but deprived of the TI domain, is relieved from self-suppression leading to anti-tumourigenic effects e.g., via the expression of its downstream apoptotic genes (6). CASP2: caspase 2. Figure created using BioRender.com (2020).

Taken together, these findings reveal a pleiotropic and complex relationship between ISG15 and p53 that takes place in the presence of a gamut of other PTMs likely to compete for target residues. It appears that the cellular responses and precise ISGylation sites on p53, as well as the contributing ISGylation components, depend on various factors including cellular context and extracellular stimuli. What is clear from the above is that ISGylation plays important and diverse roles in helping cells to fine-tune and adapt downstream p53-mediated DDR processes to maintain genome stability.

6. ISGylation of ΔNp63 and Tumourigenesis

The p53 protein family comprises the p53, p63 and p73 transcription factors [166]. Alternative promoter usage generates two p63 transcripts, one including an N-terminal transactivation domain (TA) and the other lacking the TA domain (ΔN). Alternative splicing of TAp63 and ΔNp63 generates unique p63 C-termini, namely α, β, γ, δ and ε. Similar to p53, TAp63 isoforms function as tumour suppressors by inducing cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis via p53-responsive genes. The p63α isoforms also contain a C-terminal transactivation-inhibitory (TI) domain, which can suppress the transactivity of p53 family members by binding to their TA domains [166,167]. In cancer cells with elevated ΔNp63α levels, such as human epithelial cancers, the tumour-suppressive functions of the TA isoforms are inhibited by the dominant-negative action of ΔNp63α (Figure 5C, step 1). This suppression makes cells resistant to apoptosis, causing uncontrolled cell proliferation and tumour formation [166,167].

Upon treatment with DOX and CPT, ΔNp63α is ISGylated at K139 and K324 in various cell lines (Figure 5C, steps 2–3) [85]. Through an unknown mechanism, caspase 2 (CASP2) is then activated to specifically recognise ISGylated ΔNp63α and cleave off its TI domain. The N-terminal ΔNp63α fragment lacking the TI domain subsequently fails to suppress transactivation of the other TA isoforms. The C-terminal ΔNp63α fragment, although retaining the TI domain, is exported to the cytoplasm,
abolishing its dominant-negative function towards nuclear p53 family members (e.g., TAp63γ; Figure 5C, steps 4–5, top). In cellular assays, ΔNp63α ISGylation abrogates its ability to inhibit both apoptosis and RAS-driven senescence as well as to induce cell growth and tumour formation. Conversely, ISG15 depletion, K-to-R mutations of ΔNp63α ISGylation sites, or D-to-A mutations of CASP2 cleavage sites markedly potentiate ΔNp63α-mediated anchorage-independent cell growth and tumour formation in vivo [85].

TAp63α is also ISGylated at K194 and K397 and cleaved by CASP2, followed by export of its C-terminal TI domain to the cytoplasm. The N-terminal fragment of TAp63α, containing the TA domain but lacking the TI domain, becomes unsuppressed and thereby capable of inducing expression of its downstream apoptotic genes (Figure 5C, steps 2–4,6, bottom). According to this model, ISG15 conjugation to ΔNp63α and TAp63α plays a critical role in maintaining genome stability and suppressing tumourigenesis, particularly in epithelial cancer cells under genotoxic stress. The model also provides a molecular mechanism for the use of chemotherapeutic drugs to treat ΔNp63α-mediated cancers [85].

Intriguingly, DNA damage-induced ISGylation of ΔNp63α can occur in cells expressing mutated non-functional p53. Therefore, p53-independent induction of the ISG15 system in these cells is likely under the control of other signalling pathways, such as those mediated by Notch [85,88]. Alternatively, a second TA domain present in ΔNp63α lacking the N-terminal TA domain could potentially regulate the expression of a distinct subset of genes [167]. Therefore, ΔNp63α itself might induce the ISG15 system to mediate its own ISGylaion [88].

7. Translesion DNA Synthesis (TLS)—A New Terminator Model

In order to maintain faithful transmission of genetic information, cells need to replicate their genome accurately and facilitate efficient and faithful repair of DNA damage, such as nucleotide mismatches occasionally introduced during DNA replication [168]. PCNA is a critical processivity factor and a scaffold for recruiting the replication machinery. Moreover, PCNA is important for DNA lesion bypass in the TLS pathway by serving as a platform for recruiting DNA damage tolerance factors, making PCNA a key regulator of genome stability [140].

When replicating cells encounter DNA damage, PCNA undergoes multiple PTMs including RAD6/RAD18-mediated monoubiquitylation at K164 to initiate TLS by exchanging replicative polymerases, such as Pol δ, with DNA damage-tolerant polymerases, such as Pol η [140,169–171]. TLS polymerases then bypass damaged DNA, allowing replication fork progression to occur without immediate damage removal and risk of fork collapse [87,140,171]. However, TLS polymerases lack proofreading activity, can introduce nucleotide mismatches and, as a result, are potentially mutagenic. Consequently, error-prone TLS polymerases need to be promptly released from PCNA after DNA lesion bypass to prevent excessive mutagenesis [171].

ISGylation of PCNA plays a key role in TLS termination (Figure 6A) [86]. Upon DNA damage by e.g., UV light, PCNA is first monoubiquitylated at K164 in one of its three identical subunits to promote recruitment of Pol η and initiate TLS (Figure 6A, steps 1–2) [171]. After DNA lesion bypass, EFP ISGylates a different subunit of monoubiquitylated PCNA at K168 (Figure 6A, step 3).
Figure 6. ISG15 in DNA synthesis—replicating past lesions. (A) Termination of translesion DNA synthesis (TLS) by ISGylation of PCNA. Under non-stressed conditions, PCNA serves as a processivity factor for replicative DNA synthesis. In response to certain types of DNA damage (e.g., UV light) PCNA is key to initiating and terminating TLS as follows: (1) PCNA is monoubiquitylated by the RAD6/RAD18 E3 ligase complex at K164 in one of its three identical subunits, which (2) recruits the translesion polymerase Pol η to carry out TLS. (3) After bypass of the lesion, EFP ISGylates PCNA at lysine K168. (4) ISGylation of PCNA triggers recruitment of the deubiquitylase USP10, which in turn deubiquitylates PCNA and releases Pol η to avoid UV-induced mutagenesis. (5) A further ISGylation step of PCNA by EFP at lysine K164 likely prevents additional cycles of monoubiquitylation. (6) Finally, USP18 (aka UBP43) deISGylates PCNA and allows reloading of replicative DNA polymerases as well as resumption of replicative cell replication. (B) ISG15 accelerates replication fork progression. Association of ISG15 with the DNA helicase RECQ1 accelerates replication and promotes stalled replication fork restart. While multiple mechanisms of replication fork restart and reversal exist, single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) is typically exposed to and bound by RPA, which triggers events leading to DNA loading of PCNA and its polyubiquitylation by UBE2N (aka UBC13). Upon fork reversal complementary nascent DNA strands can be used as a template to bypass DNA lesions, such as those caused by camptothecin (CPT). Reversed forks are stabilised by different factors before being restarted by RECQ1 although the exact mechanisms behind this are unclear [172]. ISG15 accelerates RECQ1 activity leading to increased rates of DNA synthesis, potentially interfering with coordination of fork restart, thereby promoting genome instability. In cancer cells overexpressing ISG15, this increased genome instability has the potential to create a positive feedback loop mediated by cGAS/STING signalling whereby type-I interferons are upregulated following DNA damage, although the exact underlying mechanisms remain to be determined e.g. in light of recently described effects of cGAS/STING on replication fork progression [173]. Ub: Ubiquitin. Figure created using BioRender.com (2020).
ISGylated and ubiquitylated PCNA is subsequently deubiquitylated by USP10 which, in turn, triggers the release of Pol η from PCNA for TLS termination (Figure 6A, step 4). EFP is able to conjugate an additional ISG15 to PCNA at K164, thus forming diISGylated PCNA with two ISG15 molecules in the same subunit, likely for preventing additional cycles of PCNA monoubiquitylation and subsequent recruitment of Pol η (Figure 6A, step 5) [86,87]. The exact order of some of these events and their duration of functioning are not entirely clear. Moreover, it will be interesting to see how ISGylation of PCNA integrates with potential additional/alternative pathways to help terminate TLS [174,175]. Eventually, ISG15 expression is downregulated and PCNA is deISGylated by USP18 for reloading of replicative DNA polymerases and resumption of DNA replication (Figure 6A, step 6). Therefore, the sequential modification of PCNA (monoubiquitylation, ISGylation, deubiquitylation, and deISGylation) occurs in a timely manner after UV irradiation. ISGylation-defective K-to-R PCNA mutants or depletion of any of ISG15, EFP, or USP10 leads to persistent recruitment of monoubiquitylated PCNA and Pol η to UV-induced DNA damage sites, causing an increase in UV-mediated mutation frequency and reducing PCNA interaction with the Pol δ catalytic subunit in vitro [86]. These findings establish a crucial role for PCNA ISGylation in termination of error-prone TLS after DNA lesion-bypass and in preventing excessive mutagenesis to maintain genome stability.

8. ISG15 in Replication Fork Progression

Error-free DNA replication is a central pillar in the preservation of genomic integrity. As new DNA is synthesised, cells must carefully balance speed and accuracy of replication against the distribution and availability of key replication factors. Any disruptions in this delicate process can lead to replication stress, often presenting as slowed or stalled replication forks [176].

Recently, a role for ISG15 in replication processivity has been revealed (Figure 6B) [23,177]. Increased levels of ISG15 resulted in, or were representative of, accelerated fork progression and reduced sensitivity to CPT-induced fork slowing. The phenotype was largely independent of ISGylation, primarily relying on non-covalent interaction between ISG15 and the DNA helicase RECQ1 [23].

This study complements the role of ISG15 in translesion synthesis, with both mechanisms acting as a means of replicating past genomic stress. Moreover, it offers an additional perspective regarding the effects ISG15 can have on genome stability. RECQ1 is a key helicase responsible for restarting stalled replication forks, a process that if underregulated promotes DSB formation [142]. ISG15 seemingly encourages this activity as its increased expression, when uncoupled from the induction of its conjugation system, can induce DSBs and chromosomal aberrations as a likely result of replication fork acceleration. Interestingly, similar effects have previously been observed following the inhibition of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) [178], a key negative regulator of RECQ1 and common drug-target in the treatment of HR-deficient cancers. Given that ISG15 overexpression and PARP inhibition were reported to have no additive effect on fork acceleration, it is possible that the two may operate through similar mechanisms. Additionally, elevated ISG15 sensitised cancer cells to both CPT and the PARP inhibitor olaparib, raising intriguing possibilities regarding the treatment and stratification of cancer patients.

The capacity for highly expressed ISG15 to promote genomic instability through RECQ1 interaction contrasts with the protective function of ISG15 as a facilitator of DNA damage tolerance through covalent modification of PCNA [86]. A possible explanation for these different effects could be that differential ISG15 functions are dependent on the amount of free ISG15 in the cell available to impact on RECQ1. The level of free ISG15 is likely influenced by the amount and functionality of ISG15 conjugating/deconjugating factors versus the level of ISG15 itself, which can both be impacted by the type and quantity of DNA-damaging agents, as well as cell type-specific adaptations. Moreover, the type of genomic stress caused may also be a contributing factor per se, with the potential for ISG15-mediated fork restart/acceleration by RECQ1 and TLS termination being directed by the specific nature of the lesion. Taken together, these findings highlight a nuanced role for how covalent and non-covalent ISG15 interactions with DDR factors define the responses to genotoxic stress in distinct
ways under different conditions. These mechanisms may further depend on various other PTMs, protein–protein interaction crosstalk and/or IFN responsiveness. It will be interesting to see how these roles can be put in context with other genome stability pathways likely regulated by ISG15, as outlined below.

9. Further Roles in Genome Stability—Bright Prospects for ISG15

Hints of further roles for ISG15 in various genome stability pathways including the DDR, cell-cycle regulation and telomere-associated processes are emerging. For example, differing ISG15 conjugates after DNA-damaging agents and IFN induction suggest uncharacterised ISG15 roles that are independent of an innate immune response [88]. Moreover, ISG15 expression is often perturbed in cancer cell lines and can impact on drug resistance [179]. Indeed, in concordance with its role in replication fork progression, ISG15 is a key determinant of sensitivity to topoisomerase poisons in certain breast, lung and gastric cancer contexts, with higher ISG15 expression being associated with increased sensitivity [180–183]. By contrast, overexpression of ISG15 can confer gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer cells [161]. Additional studies investigating IFN-driven drug resistance signatures also identify ISG15 as a key marker for resistance to genotoxic therapies involving chemotherapeutic drugs, such as DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) or histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors, or radiotherapy [118,152,184–188]. While the roles of ISG15 in drug sensitivity are unclear, these studies not only hint at functions for ISG15 in genome stability, but also touch on the possibility of interplay between the innate immune system and the DDR.

While telomeres predominantly act as protective chromosome ends to maintain genome stability, they are also capable of regulating expression of specific genes e.g., through long-range intrachromosomal loop structures. This phenomenon is termed telomere position effect over long distances (TPE-OLD) [189]. ISG15 has emerged as a gene regulated by TPE-OLD, with increased ISG15 expression being observed following telomere shortening independent of DDR or IFN signalling [189,190]. Additional studies have supported this, reporting an inverse correlation between ISG15 expression and telomere length [191–193]. The biological purpose of this regulatory mechanism is not well understood, but it is possible that TPE-OLD-regulated genes act as a means of monitoring telomere length before the need to initiate the DDR. If this is the case, ISG15 could potentially have roles in telomere maintenance, adding another layer of how ISG15 may contribute to genome stability.

Multiple studies have suggested the involvement of ISG15 in cell-cycle regulation. For example, ISGylation of cyclin D1, the primary cyclin involved in G1-phase progression, promotes its degradation in lung cancer cell lines [103]. ISGylation can also promote degradation of FOXO3a, another key cell-cycle regulator [106], and UBA7 deficiency in murine haematopoietic progenitor cells increases G2/M-phase blockage following transplantation, suggesting a role in stress-induced cell-cycle regulation [194]. Moreover, basal levels of ISG15 and USP18 can dynamically regulate the activity of SKP2, a key ubiquitin E3 involved in S-phase progression [195], and deregulation of ISG15 or USP18 consequently can lead to considerable changes in cell-cycle distribution [107,196].

Proteomic studies investigating ISG15 interactors have identified a considerable number of potential targets relevant to genome stability, the majority of which remain uncharacterised (Table 1) [23,38,85,86,197–199]. DDR-relevant ISG15 substrates include, for example, the helicase XPD (aka ERCC2) [199], DDB2 [197] and more recently DDB1 [23] with crucial DDR roles in nucleotide excision repair (NER) [200]. As the ISG15 conjugation system is induced by UV damage [86], it will be interesting to investigate the potential involvement of ISG15 in NER processes. Another validated ISG15 target is PML-RARα, an oncogenic fusion protein and hallmark of acute proteolytic leukaemia (APL) [102,201]. Given that PML nuclear bodies can act as ATM/ATR-regulated DNA damage sensors [202] and that PML colocalises with the DDR factor TOPBP1 at single-strand breaks (SSBs) [203], ISGylation of PML could have unidentified impacts on the DDR and genome stability. Overall, these findings suggest bright prospects for ISG15 in a variety of DDR pathways that remain to be uncovered.
Table 1. Selected validated/candidate ISG15 interactors relevant to DNA damage response and beyond.

| Experimentally Validated Targets/Interactors | Roles in DDR/Associated Pathways |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| CHIP (aka STUB1) (K143) [110]               | Regulates proteins involved in BER [204] and cell cycle arrest [205] |
| Cyclin D1 [103]                             | Gatekeeping cyclin for DNA replication/roles in HR [206] |
| p53 (K291, K292 among others) [88,104]      | Master regulator of DNA damage response [207] |
| p63, ΔNp63a (K139, K324) [88]; TAp63a (K194, K397) [85] | Genome stability/instability, particularly in epithelial cancer cells under genotoxic stress, and depending on isotype [85] |
| Parkin (K349, K369) [108]                   | Promotes NER [47] and has important roles in mitosis [49] |
| PCNA (K164, K168) [86]                     | Facilitates TLS and has roles in mismatch repair [208] |
| PML-RARa [102]                              | Disrupts of PML nuclear body formation important for HR [209] |
| PTEN [210]                                  | Roles in DSB repair and NER [211] |
| RECQ1 [23]                                 | DNA helicase in replication stress response [212] |
| UBE2N (K92) [199]                           | Roles in DSB repair, NER and TLS [213] |
| Ubiquitin (K29) [101]                       | Roles in various DNA repair and signalling pathways [3,10,214] |
| VCP [198]                                   | DSB repair through extraction of ubiquitylated substrates [215] |
| XPD (aka ERCC2) [199]                       | Helicase for NER [216] |

| Candidate Targets/Interactors | Roles in DDR/Associated Pathways |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| AHNAK [197]                 | Interacts with NHEJ proteins and may facilitate strand ligation [217] |
| ARID5B [197]                | Involved in chromatin organisation and recruited to DNA damage sites [218] |
| ATXN2 [197]                 | Suggested protection against oxidative stress/potentially harmful R-loops [219] |
| CBX1 (aka HP1γ) [23]        | Likely promotes recruitment of repair factors in various pathways |
| CBX3 (aka HP1β) [23]        | Likely promotes recruitment of repair factors in various pathways [220] |
| CBX4 [197]                  | Mediates SUMO conjugation at DNA lesions and facilitates DSB repair [221] |
| CHD1 [197]                  | Opens chromatin around DSBs to allow for recruitment of HR proteins [222] |
| DDB1 [23]                   | Part of UV damage recognition complex in NER [223] |
| DDB2 [197]                  | Part of UV damage recognition complex in NER [223] |
| DEK [23]                    | Structural modulator of chromatin [224] |
| PRKDC (aka DNA-PKcs) [23]   | Canonical factor in DSB repair by NHEJ [137] |
| DVYK1A [197]                | Regulates recruitment of 53BP1 to DNA damage sites, inhibiting NHEJ [225] |
| H2A1B [23]                  | Contributes to higher order chromatin structure [226] |
| HNRPK [197]                 | Contributes to DNA damage signalling [229–232] |
| HNRNPU (aka SAF-A) [197]    | Regulator of DNA-end resection [233] |
| LMNA [197]                  | Important for DSB repair and telomere maintenance [234,235] |
| PRDX1 [18,197]              | Protects telomeres from oxidative damage [236] |
| RAN [197]                   | Regulates nuclear import of ATM [237,238] |
| RBBP4 [197]                 | As part of chromatin remodelling complexes regulates DNA repair [239] |
| RFC2 [23]                   | DNA replication factor involved in PCNA-related repair mechanisms [240] |
| SENP1 [197]                 | SUMO-deconjugating enzyme that regulates p53 activity [241] |
| SIN3A [197]                 | Restricts formation of potentially harmful R-loop structures in DNA [242] |
| SMAD4 [197]                 | Promotes expression of DSB and NER repair proteins [243] |
| SMARCE1 (aka SMCE1) [23]    | Chromatin remodelling via SWI/SNF complex [244] |
| T6K38 [199]                 | Facilitates cell cycle arrest [245] and promotes activation of ATM [246] |
| TOPO2A [197]                | Checkpoint for chromosome decatenation during mitosis [247] |
| UBE2C [88]                  | Regulator of cell cycle progression and arrest [248] |
| WDR33 [197]                 | Prevents genome instability caused by unreleased nascent transcripts [249] |
| XRCC5 (aka Ku80) [197]      | Essential factor in DSB repair by NHEJ [250] |
| XRCC6 (aka Ku70) [86,197]   | Essential factor in DSB repair by NHEJ [250] |
| ZNF281 [197]                | Helps recruit XRCC4 to DNA breaks for DSB repair by NHEJ [251] |

Abbreviations: BER: base excision repair; DSBs: DNA double-strand breaks; HR: homologous recombination; NER: nucleotide excision repair; NHEJ: non-homologous end-joining; PML: promyelocytic leukaemia; TLS: translesion DNA synthesis; UV light: ultraviolet light.

10. Conclusions

Given the emerging roles of ISG15 in genome stability, exciting opportunities for new areas of study have arisen. For example, the crosstalk between ISG15 and ubiquitin in genome maintenance represents an interesting avenue for future investigation. Indeed, ISG15 can directly bind and regulate ubiquitylating enzymes [100,252] and various E2s and E3s relevant to genome stability, such as UBE2N [213,253] and CBX4 [221,254], have come up as putative or validated ISG15 targets (Table 1). In fact, ISGylation of UBE2N can inhibit its catalytic ubiquitylation activity [255], and non-canonical conjugation of ISG15 to UBE2N via disulphide bridging has also been observed [256]. While these effects could hamper DNA repair, the existence of complex UBL crosstalk may prevent this from happening.
Future studies are required to investigate the potential interplay between ISG15 and other UBL cascades in the DDR and associated pathways. In this regard, emerging technologies capable of performing proteomic studies with improved spatiotemporal resolution will prove beneficial. The advent of new sensitive platforms, such as inducible proximity labelling, photo cross-linking, E2-thioester-driven and related approaches, to identify the weak and transient interactions characteristic of the ubiquitin/UBL systems and the DDR hold considerable promise here [91,257–260].

Perhaps the most prevalently discussed aspect of ISG15 is its inextricable link to type I IFN signalling. While IFN-independent roles are emerging, to what degree these roles are annexed from the most biologically relevant functions of ISG15 remains to be determined. In recent years, various links between the innate immune system and the DDR have been reported. cGAS-STING signalling in particular has garnered attention, stimulating the innate immune system in response to both viral infection and DNA damage [261]. This is consistent with crucial DDR proteins displaying dual functions in innate immunity [262], and vice versa, with type-I IFN signalling promoting the initiation of the DDR in certain cellular contexts [263]. Future research will shed light on if and how exactly ISGylation contributes to the interplay of these two systems.

The hugely diverse network of PTMs, critical to the DDR and other genome stability pathways, makes defining the downstream readers that non-covalently bind to and action the PTMs into precise cellular activities a high priority for increasing our knowledge of how genome integrity is maintained in the future. In addition, non-covalent interactions with free ISG15 can regulate important pathways, such as replication fork progression [23]. Despite this importance, only a few non-covalent ISG15-binding proteins have been revealed with the most recent, RECQ1, being intricately linked to the DDR [23,91,111,195,252,264,265]. Given the indications of distinct molecular functions of the two UBL domains of ISG15 [20,22,132], it will be exciting to see if such specific functions are more widely mediated by ISG15 interactors binding preferentially to one and/or the other UBL domain. Moreover, the identification of mixed ubiquitin/ISG15 chains [101] and the possibility of poly-chain ISGylations (e.g., references [85,86,88,108,266]) in one or multiple positions on target proteins represent additional opportunities for sophisticated signal integration and recognition via topology-specific ISG15-binding proteins. Further investigation into non-covalent interactors of ISG15 topologies will provide mechanistic insights into the multifaceted functions this UBL plays in a wide variety of cellular pathways. Taken together, it is clear that ISG15 is far more than a simple immunological analogue of ubiquitin. Indeed, understanding the functions of ISG15 in response to genotoxic stress is fundamental for enhancing our knowledge of how genome integrity is maintained, and may thus help prevent, or better treat, the various diseases associated with ubiquitin and UBL defects in the future.
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