Anchoring Dipalmitoyl Phosphoethanolamine to Nanoparticles Boosts Cellular Uptake and Fluorine-19 Magnetic Resonance Signal
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Magnetic resonance (MR) methods to detect and quantify fluorine (19F) nuclei provide the opportunity to study the fate of cellular transplants in vivo. Cells are typically labeled with 19F nanoparticles, introduced into living organisms and tracked by 19F MR methods. Background-free imaging and quantification of cell numbers are amongst the strengths of 19F MR-based cell tracking but challenges pertaining to signal sensitivity and cell detection exist. In this study we aimed to overcome these limitations by manipulating the aminophospholipid composition of 19F nanoparticles in order to promote their uptake by dendritic cells (DCs). As critical components of biological membranes, phosphatidylethanolamines (PE) were studied. Both microscopy and MR spectroscopy methods revealed a striking (at least one order of magnitude) increase in cytoplasmic uptake of 19F nanoparticles in DCs following enrichment with 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DPPE). The impact of enriching 19F nanoparticles with PE on DC migration was also investigated. By manipulating the nanoparticle composition and as a result the cellular uptake we provide here one way of boosting 19F signal per cell in order to overcome some of the limitations related to 19F MR signal sensitivity. The boost in signal is ultimately necessary to detect and track cells in vivo.

Tracking immune cells in vivo is a prerequisite for understanding the development of pathologies associated with disorders of the immune system. Dendritic cells (DCs) are immune cells that play key roles in the development of immunity and immunopathology. Of note, an understanding of the distribution and fate of cells such as DCs following their therapeutic application in vivo such as in cancers is crucial to assess treatment efficacy. The lack of a priori knowledge of the kinetics and dynamics of these cells during physiological and pathological settings makes their localization a challenging task. It is therefore a top priority to develop methods for the non-invasive spatiotemporal tracking of immune cells in vivo that can be easily transferred to the clinical scenario.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) offers an ideal solution for tracking cells in vivo due to its non-invasiveness and clinical translation as well as the opportunity of repetitive measurements and longitudinal studies. Major challenges in differentiating cells from the recipient tissue and signal sensitivity constraints nevertheless exist. For instance, iron oxide nanoparticles that reduce T2* relaxation in MR have been used to label cells, however present a challenge whereby the contrast created by the labeled cells is not easily distinguishable from other intrinsic tissue contrasts. This limitation of cellular MRI is surmounted by fluorine (19F) MR techniques. Carbon-bound fluorine is absent in living organisms. This guarantees background-free MR signals for externally-applied 19F compounds. Therefore 19F MR techniques are advantageous for localizing 19F-containing exogenous agents in vivo since they permit complete signal selectivity and specificity. Importantly, the 19F MR signal can also be equated to measurable cell numbers within defined regions. For in vivo tracking, cells are typically labeled with nanoparticles enriched with perfluorocompounds (PFCs) prior to their introduction into living organisms. These compounds possess unique properties (inertness, biocompatibility and hydrophobicity) which stem from...
the C–F bonds within the molecular structure. Hydrophobicity becomes more significant with increasing number of 19F atoms and a critical factor for increasing the ability of these compounds to cross biological membranes. Making use of these properties, 19F-rich nanoparticles are prepared via various techniques – commonly by emulsifying PFCs with phospholipids or surfactants – in order to label and track immune cells in vivo with the aid of combined 19F and anatomical/proton (1H) MR imaging as well as 19F spectroscopy techniques. Notwithstanding the benefits specified above, some limitations and challenges exist for 19F-based MR techniques, especially those pertaining to detection limit and signal sensitivity. These limitations come at a cost in increased signal averaging and thus acquisition times to compensate for low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). There is a need to optimize cellular 19F MR methods especially since clinical trials with DC vaccines have thus far provided a proof-of-principle as cancer therapy and the applicability of 19F scopy techniques. Notwithstanding the benefits specified above, some limitations and challenges exist for 19F-based MR techniques, especially those pertaining to detection limit and signal sensitivity.

In the present study we explored the possibility of altering the composition of 19F nanoparticles in order to maximize their uptake by DCs and therefore to promote the 19F signal per cell. To meet this goal, we investigated the incorporation of phosphatidylethanolamines (PEs) into the 19F nanoparticle shell. PEs are aminophospholipids that constitute an integral part of biological membranes. These aminophospholipids are cone-shaped, they do not form bilayers but inverted hexagonal phases, are believed to exert a lateral pressure that regulates membrane curvature and, are thought to stabilize membrane proteins in their optimum conformations. PE analogs have been used successfully in non-viral transfection systems as well as in nanotube carriers for drug delivery. For all these reasons we studied the influence of PE enrichment on the uptake of 19F nanoparticles by DCs. Using both electron microscopy and 19F MR spectroscopy we observed a dramatic increase in uptake of 19F nanoparticles enriched with 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DPPE) by DCs. As a result, the 19F signal per cell was increased by at least one order of magnitude and the cell detection limit considerably reduced. With this advantage at hand we could reduce the PFCE concentration per nanoparticle to avoid impairment in cell function, particularly cell migration. Our findings further offset the constraints of 19F MR and bring us a step closer to the crucial goal of ultimate signal sensitivity and minimal cell detection limit.

Results

Dipalmitoyl-Phosphoethanolamine promotes nanoparticle uptake by DCs. Considering the power of PE analogs to promote cellular uptake in transfection and drug delivery systems, we first set off to enrich 19F-rich (PFCE) nanoparticles (NP) used in our previous studies with different phospholipids of the phosphoethanolamine (PE) family (Figure 1A). The basic nanoparticles were prepared using Pluronic F-68 block copolymer. To enrich the 19F nanoparticles with PE we chose two analogs differing in their two long fatty acid hydrocarbon chains; one PE contained one unsaturated bond (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, POPE) and the other PE consisted of only saturated bonds (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, DPPE). Also consisting solely of saturated bonds was the third candidate we chose: 1,2-Distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DSPE), bound to polyethylene glycol-2000 (DSPE-PEG2000). PEG is widely employed as polymeric steric stabilizer and is anchored to stealth liposomal surfaces via cross-linked DSPE lipid. We chose these compounds for enrichment of nanoparticles for two reasons: (a) the ability to cross-link and stabilize membrane proteins in their optimum conformations and (b) the ability to reduce nanoparticle uptake in macrophages (uptake: PEG2000 > PEG5000 > PEG10000). We used DPPE and POPE to enrich Pluronic-based PFCE-containing nanoemulsions and prepared liposomal PFCE nanoparticles using DSPE-PEG2000. For all nanoparticle preparations, the molar fraction of the constituents and the physical characteristics (size, polydispersity, surface charge) are shown in Table 1.

To determine differences in uptake between the different nanoparticle groups we performed 19F magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) of the fixed DCs following labeling to determine the amount of 19F compound (PFCE) per 10^6 cells. Pluronic-nanoparticles enriched with PE polymers appeared to be taken up more efficiently by DCs than basic Pluronic nanoparticles and DSPE–PEG2000 liposomes as determined by the increase in cellular 19F signal. POPE enrichment already resulted in an increased 19F signal (POPE 1x: 138 nmol per 10^6 cells and POPE 10x: 179 nmol per 10^6 cells) compared to the basic nanoparticle formulations (74 nmol per 10^6 cells) and DSPE–PEG2000 liposomes (69 nmol per 10^6 cells). When we employed DPPE to enrich the nanoparticle shell, we observed an even stronger enhancement of 19F signal (771 nmol per 10^6 cells) (Figure 1B). This equates to an increase in 19F spins from 0.89 × 10^11 19F spins (in basic formulations) to 0.93 × 10^12 19F spins (in DPPE-enriched nanoparticles) per dendritic cell unit.

Figure 1 | Selection of phosphoethanolamines for enrichment of PFCE nanoparticles. (a) Chemical structures of DPPE (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine), POPE (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine used for the nanoemulsion and DSPE-PEG2000 (1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000]) used for the liposomal nanoparticles. (b) DCs were labeled with different 19F nanoparticle preparations (DSPE-PEG2000, Pluronic-basic, Pluronic-POPE and Pluronic-DPPE) using a PFCE concentration of 20 μmol per 10^6, fixed in 2% PFA and transferred (10^6) to NMR tubes. After positioning in a 19F-tuned loop coil (see Methods), 19F signal was acquired using a 90° block excitation pulse with 10 kHz bandwidth and the PFCE amount per 10^6 calculated using a 500 mM PFCE standard.
Intracellular appearance of Dipalmitoyl-Phosphoethanolamine-enriched nanoparticles. Despite the dramatic differences in $^{19}$F signal within DCs between the different nanoparticles, we did not observe any conspicuous differences in the shape of the nanoparticles employed as investigated by Cryo-TEM (Figure 2A). We next went on to investigate whether the striking increase in $^{19}$F signal in the DPPE-enriched nanoparticles was the result of increased nanoparticle uptake. In ultrathin sections of DCs derived from the same culture conditions, we observed intense differences in cytoplasmic uptake between the nanoparticle formulations (Figure 2B, EM images in upper two panels and lower left panel). We commonly observed the nanoparticles as white globules within the cell cytoplasm but similar to our previous observations the nanoparticles also often appeared compartmentalized as clusters in a lipid membrane capsule within an amorphous grey compartment. We also observed increased DC uptake of nanoparticles enriched with DPPE linked to the tracer dye Rhodamine as shown from the Rhodamine fluorescence imaged by laser scanning microscopy.

Table 1 | Composition and characteristics of nanoparticles (NP) used

| Nanoparticle | Molar fraction of constituents | Physical characteristics |
|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
|              | Pluronic | PE Lipid | PFCE | Particle size | Polydispersity | Zeta Potential |
| Pluronic-Basic—PFCE NP | 0.01419 | — | 0.98581 | 186 (15) | 0.06 (0.02) | -4.81 (1.52) |
| Pluronic(DPPE)—PFCE NP | 0.00582 | 0.000001 | 0.99417 | 246 (16) | 0.30 (0.02) | -18.55 (1.61) |
| Pluronic(POPE 1x)—PFCE NP | 0.00580 | 0.000011 | 0.99468 | 200 (1) | 0.12 (0.08) | -7.37 (0.53) |
| (DSPE-PEG2000)—PFCE NP | — | 0.000006 | 0.99994 | 216 (18) | 0.20 (0.01) | -28.43 (1.13) |

Dynamic light scattering was used to measure Z-average diameter (mean diameter based on intensity of scattered light and sensitive to presence of large particles), peak diameter, peak width and polydispersity index (PdI). PFCE = perfluoro-15-crown-5-ether; $X$ = mole fraction; PE = phosphoethanolamine; DPPE = 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine; POPE = 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine; DSPE-PEG2000 = 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N\[methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000.

Figure 2 | Appearance of phosphoethanolamine-enriched PFCE nanoparticles. (a) Cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of DSPE-PEG2000, Pluronic-basic, Pluronic-DPPE and Pluronic-DPPE-Rhodamine nanoparticles encapsulating PFCE fluorine compound (size-bar for TEM images: 50 nm). (b) Upper two panels and lower left panel show ultrathin sections of DCs labeled with DSPE-PEG2000, basic and DPPE-enriched PFCE nanoparticles (size-bar for EM images: 2 µm). Lower right panel shows a laser scanning microscopy image of DCs labeled with DPPE-Rhodamine-enriched $^{19}$F nanoparticles (size-bar for LSM image: 10 µm). (c) DCs were labeled with different nanoparticle preparations (DSPE-PEG2000 NP, basic NP, DPPE-NP and DPPE-Rhodamine-NP) using a PFCE concentration of 10 µmol per 10^6, fixed in 2% PFA and transferred (10^6) to NMR tubes. $^{19}$F signal was acquired using a 90° block excitation pulse and the PFCE amount per 10^6 calculated using a 500 mM PFCE standard.
(Figure 2B, LSM image in lower right panel); these nanoparticles were also loaded into DCs similarly to the non-Rhodamine linked DPPE-enriched 19F nanoparticles.

As a next step, the same groups of cells labeled with basic (-), DPPE or DPPE-Rhodamine 19F nanoparticles as well as DSPE-PEG2000 liposomes were investigated by 19F MRS (Figure 2C). Similarly to the results in Figure 1B, we observed a significant increase in 19F signal per cell when DCs were labeled with nanoparticles enriched with DPPE (361 nmol per 10^6 cells = 0.44 × 10^{13} 19F spins per cell) compared to the basic formulation (25 nmol per 10^6 cells = 0.3 × 10^{12} 19F spins per cell) and DSPE–PEG2000 liposomes (35 nmol per 10^6 cells = 0.42 × 10^{12} 19F spins per cell) (Figure 2C). The 19F signal per cell in DCs labeled with DPPE-Rhodamine nanoparticles was slightly lower (264 nmol per 10^6 cells = 0.32 × 10^{13} 19F spins per cell) than those from DCs labeled with nanoparticles enriched with DPPE containing no Rhodamine (Figure 2C).

**Titrating the 19F label in DPPE-enriched nanoparticles.** In the next experiments we performed dose titration curves for the 19F label (perfluoro-15-crown-5-ether, PFCE) in cells loaded with DPPE or basic 19F nanoparticles. With these experiments we wanted to determine the lowest concentration of PFCE feasible for detecting a sufficient 19F signal in MRS (Figure 3). Using the Pluronic-based nanoparticles we had previously employed up to 40 µmol PFCE per 10^7 DCs in culture. Taking into account the dramatic increase in 19F signal achieved following enrichment with DPPE we titrated the PFCE amount from 40 µmol to 2 µmol PFCE per 10^7 DCs. In line with the previous results (Figure 1–2), 5 µmol PFCE label within DPPE 19F nanoparticles gave a larger 19F signal than 40 µmol PFCE label within basic 19F nanoparticles when used to label 10^7 DCs (Figure 3A, 3B). This observation can be made already from the spectral representations of the 19F signal (Figure 3A) and upon quantification of the 19F signal from the maximum signal intensity of the FID (Figure 3B). By extrapolating the linear fit ([PFCE]_intracellular) for the basic 19F nanoparticles, we estimate a requirement of 70 µmol for the basic 19F nanoparticles to reach the equivalent 19F signal of DCs labeled with 5 µmol DPPE-enriched nanoparticles (Δ PFCE concentration ~65 µmol) (Figure 3B).

**Higher concentrations of 19F label hinder DC migration.** Ultimately, the 19F-labeled DCs will be applied in living organisms. Therefore it was necessary to determine the influence of increasing PFCE labeling on DC migration for both DPPE-enriched as well as basic 19F nanoparticles. For this, we employed an agarose assay to determine the chemotaxis of DCs towards a chemokine gradient following maturation with bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS). In the initial experiments comparing 19F signal between basic and DPPE nanoparticles we employed 10–20 µmol of PFCE to label 10^7 cells (Figure 1–2). When we investigated the influence of 10 µmol PFCE label on DC migration, we observed differences in the number of DCs moving towards chemokine between unlabeled cells treated for 18 h with LPS and cells labeled with 19F nanoparticles (Figure 4A). For DCs labeled with DPPE 19F nanoparticles, quantities of PFCE higher than 10 µmol per 10^7 cells reduced the migration of DCs towards chemokine by more than 50% (Figure 4B).

**Lower number of cells detected following labeling with DPPE-enriched nanoparticles.** Using 5 µmol PFCE for both DPPE and basic 19F nanoparticles we next measured the intracellular 19F signal in increasing numbers of DCs to determine the cell detection limit. We measured the 19F signal in each fixed cell sample by performing global spectroscopy of the NMR tube containing the cell pellet (see Methods). By using a 19F standard (500 mM PFCE) we quantified the amount of PFCE within each sample. Both spectral representation of the 19F signal (Figure 5A) as well as PFCE quantification (Figure 5B) for both DPPE-enriched and basic 19F nanoparticles demonstrated that 19F signal amplitude correlates with the number of labeled cells.

---

**Figure 3** | Dose titration of the 19F label for 19F NMR signal. (a) Spectral representation of 19F signal acquired from basic and DPPE-enriched label within DCs using different doses of the 19F label PFCE. DCs were labeled with nanoparticle preparations using PFCE concentrations between 2 µmol and 40 µmol per 10^7, fixed in 2% PFA and transferred (10^7) to NMR tubes. 19F signal was acquired using a 90° block excitation pulse and spectral representation using a FFT of the acquired FID. (b) The 19F signal was quantified from the intercept of the FID fit and the PFCE amount per 10^7 was calculated using a 500 mM PFCE standard.
From the spectral representation of the cell dilution curves it was already evident that far less DPPE-NP labeled DCs could be detected than basic-NP labeled DCs (Figure 5A). It should be noted that in these experiments (in line with the chemotaxis assays above) we used low PFCE labeling doses (5 µmol per 10⁷ cells) in contrast to previously published work where we commonly employed 20 µmol per 10⁷ cells⁵,⁶,¹². In the present experiments we observed that a minimum of 10⁶ DCs labeled with basic nanoparticles (5 µmol) were required to achieve a detectable ¹⁹F signal. However for DPPE-NP labeled DCs, 1.5 × 10⁵ of cells could be detected (Figure 5A). Following quantification of the ¹⁹F signal from the FID fit and calculation of the intracellular PFCE amount (using PFCE standard), we observed that 10⁶ DPPE-NP labeled DCs give a similar ¹⁹F signal and contain similar PFCE amounts (14.48 nmol) as 10⁷ basic-NP labeled DCs (10.45 nmol). It can also be deduced from the spectral representations (Figure 5A) that a baseline intracellular value of approximately 10 nmol PFCE (Figure 5B) is the threshold above which DCs become detectable.

Gain in sensitivity and cellular detection following labeling with DPPE ¹⁹F nanoparticles. In order to reach the goal of this study (to identify the influence of PE nanoparticle enrichment on signal sensitivity in vivo), we next wanted to identify the ¹⁹F signal and detection limit within defined regions of interest. For this we selected DC numbers used in the cell dilution curves and performed combined ¹⁹F/¹H MRI (Figure 6A) as well as voxel-based ¹⁹F PRESS in order to quantify the ¹⁹F signal within this defined region (Figure 6B). We used the same radio frequency volume coil used for tracking DCs in mice, in order to be able to project our findings to the in vivo experiment. For the volume used to embed cells in agarose (100 µl) within NMR tubes it was sufficient to place a (5 × 5 × 5) mm³ PRESS voxel that covered the entire sample (Figure 6B). The ¹⁹F/¹H MR imaging experiments showed that 2.5 × 10⁶ was the minimum amount of cells detected in the case of basic-NP labeled DCs and 0.3 × 10⁶ was the minimum for the DPPE-NP labeled DCs (Figure 6A). This corresponds to a cell detection limit of 1.7 × 10⁶ DCs per mm³ for basic-NP labeled DCs and 2 × 10⁵ DCs per mm³ for DPPE-NP labeled DCs.
Figure 6 | $^{19}$F/$^1$H MRI and Voxel-based $^{19}$F MRS of DCs in vitro and in vivo. (a) 3D rendering of images of PFCE standard (4 × 25 mM) and different number of DCs (labeled with basic or DPPE-enriched nanoparticles) suspended in agarose gel. Images for both $^{19}$F and $^1$H nuclei were acquired using the bSSFP sequence and post-processing of data using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij). (b) After acquisition of the bSSFP images, a (5 × 5 × 5) mm$^3$ voxel was placed over the whole region of cells in agarose and spectra were acquired using a PRESS-protocol for $^{19}$F MRS (see Methods). (c) DCs (10$^7$) labeled with DPPE-enriched nanoparticles were intradermally injected in the left hind limb and DCs (10$^6$) labeled with basic nanoparticles in the right hind limb of C57BL/6 mice. Three hours following intradermal application a (3 × 3 × 3) mm$^3$ voxel was placed around left and right popliteal lymph node and the $^{19}$F content measured within each lymph node using PRESS sequence as described above.
per mm$^3$ for DPPE-NP labeled DCs for an $^{19}$F MR scan time of 16.85 minutes (NEX = 64). The voxel-based spectroscopy method was more sensitive in detecting $^{19}$F signal: 0.5 × 10$^6$ basic-NP labeled DCs and 0.15 × 10$^6$ DPPE-NP labeled DCs could be detected with this method (Figure 6B). This corresponds to a cell detection limit of 4 × 10$^4$ DCs per mm$^3$ for basic-NP labeled DCs and 1.2 × 10$^4$ DCs per mm$^3$ for DPPE-NP labeled DCs for an $^{19}$F MR scan time of 13 minutes (NEX = 512).

To study the signal and migration efficiency of DPPE-NP labeled DCs in vivo, we next applied DCs (10$^6$) to the left hind limbs of C57BL/6 mice and compared their $^{19}$F signal to those labeled with basic $^{19}$F nanoparticles (right hind limb). Three hours following intradermal application we placed a (3 × 3 × 3) mm$^3$ PRESS voxel around both left and right popliteal lymph node and measured the $^{19}$F signal within each lymph node using PRESS sequence as described above. Both from the $^{19}$F/H MR imaging as well as from the voxel-based spectra illustrating the region-specific $^{19}$F signal we observed that DPPE-NP labeled DCs gave a more prominent signal in vivo than the basic-NP labeled DCs (Figure 6C). Using the cell calibration curves described above (Figure 5B) and the FID values at t = 0 for the PRESS spectra, we could translate the quantified $^{19}$F signal to the number of cells within the specific lymph node regions: we calculated that after 3 hours 2.85 × 10$^6$ DPPE-NP labeled DCs were present in the left lymph node and 0.79 × 10$^6$ basic-NP labeled DCs in the right lymph nodes (Figure 6C).

**Discussion**

Phosphatidylethanolamines (PEs) are aminophospholipids that constitute an integral part of biological membranes. However, PE analogs have also been employed as components of non-biological systems such as non-viral transfection agents$^{21,22}$ and as coatings of poorly soluble carbon nanotubes to promote cellular uptake$^{23}$. In the cell, PEs are mainly found in the inner leaflet of the lipid bilayer$^{27}$ and make up one fourth of the whole membrane$^{28}$. PEs play a role in membrane fusion and in cell division$^{29}$. These phospholipids are translocated to intracellular surfaces via ATP-dependent mechanisms to maintain asymmetrical distribution within the membrane leaflet$^{30,31}$. Aminophospholipid asymmetry is necessary for preserving the viability of the cell$^{35}$. The phospholipids PE, PC (phosphatidylcholine), PS (phosphatidylserine), PI (phosphatidylinositol) and SM (sphingomyelin) are irregularly distributed throughout the cellular membrane (23:43:12:9 of PC:PE:PS:PI/SM) in dendritic cells$^{32}$. The variable distribution of phospholipids is necessary for endocytosis in DCs$^{33,34}$. In this study we investigated the influence of two PE analogs on the uptake of fluorene-rich nanoparticles into DCs. We made use of 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (DPPE) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (POPE) to enrich basic nanoparticles with PE. These PEs possess different phase transition temperatures (POPE: +25°C; DPPE: +64°C) and their assemblies have very different fluidity. One important difference between the two analogs is the presence of an unsaturated bond within one of the fatty acid hydrocarbon chains in POPE, both fatty acid chains in DPPE are made up of only saturated bonds (Figure 1A).

We found that anchoring DPPE to nanoparticle structures dramatically improved their uptake by DCs (Figures 1–3, Figures 5–6). We also observed an increase in cellular uptake when incorporating POPE into the nanoparticle structure; however the increased uptake was not as remarkable when compared to DPPE (Figure 1B). DSPE-PEG2000 was without any effect (Figures 1–2). In our study we chose PEG2000, with an intermediate molecular weight, in order to stabilize DSPE-based nanoparticles and secure their uptake by DCs. Larger PEG chains are associated with reduced phagocytosis: PEG2000 nanoparticles are taken up more efficiently by macrophages than PEG4000, PEG5000 and PEG10000 coated nanoparticles$^{29,37}$. Earlier studies reported that covering nanoparticles with higher MW PEG (e.g. PEG5000) prolongs their circulation time in vivo$^{38–40}$ probably due to the decreased phagocytosis and thereby protection from the reticuloendothelial system$^{39,57}$. In addition to cell uptake and circulation time, the length of the PEG block influences other biological aspects such as target recognition and uptake of delivery systems mediated by address molecules. While long PEG chains may cover the vectors, short PEG chains do not inhibit their enzymatic degradation$^{39}$. Phospholipid-based drug delivery systems modified with PEG2000 are highly efficient and specific at drug targeting in tumor tissue, and remain longer in tumor tissue compared to other DSPE-based carriers with other PEG masses$^{41–44}$. Molecular targeting and cellular uptake can be further enhanced by modification with vector molecules such as receptor-recognition and cell-penetrating peptides$^{45–46}$. In our study we did not modify the DSPE-PEG and do not observe any changes in uptake by DCs. Our finding correlates with transfection studies that showed that increasing number of methyl or methylene groups are progressively less active in transfection$^{47}$. Furthermore, PEG-lipids were found to impair transfection efficacy$^{48}$. In future it will be interesting to study the impact of peptide-modified DSPE-PEG nanoparticles to promote cellular uptake in DCs$^{46–48}$.

The observation that DPPE is superior to POPE with regard to cellular uptake is however surprising since liposomal transfection formulations doped with PE analogs revealed that analogs with increasing acyl chain saturation were progressively less active than unsaturated analogs$^{49}$. In our study we enriched nanoparticles generated from emulsification of PFCE with Pluronic and DPPE. Since PE is strictly bound to the cytosolic leaflet, the observed increased efficiency of internalization of DPPE-enriched nanoparticles by DCs could be explained by an active process translocating PE to the inner membrane surface. It has been shown that multiple unsaturation of the fatty acyl chain significantly decreases the interaction with cholesterol in both bilayers and monolayers$^{55}$. The success of conveying nanocomplexes into the intracellular compartment is dependent on several factors: hydrophobic alkyl side chains, saturation of C-C bonds in hydrophobic moieties, the head group of the phospholipids utilized to make up the nanocarrier. In a study comparing the combination of a number of phospholipids to liposomal nanoparticles used for gene delivery, combinations containing DPPE and DOPE (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine) were most effective with regards to cellular transfection$^{50}$.

PE and PS aminophospholipids are present in cell-derived membrane vesicles: microparticles, microvesicles, exosomes$^{51}$. Recently a study reported that PE is extensively found on the external surface of microparticles derived from various human cellular sources$^{50}$. Although cell-derived membrane vesicles were first discovered as a product of platelets during blood coagulation$^{52}$ and thought to be cellular waste, it is now becoming clear that they play a crucial role in intercellular communication$^{53,54}$ that may include fusion with their target cells$^{55}$. Therefore it is conceivable that DPPE-enriched nanoparticles would behave in a similar fashion as these cellular vesicles in order to translocate into intracellular compartments of DCs.

Two clear differences between POPE and DPPE that could explain the differences in cellular uptake are: (i) the presence of an unsaturated bond in POPE and (ii) their dissimilar phase transition temperatures from fluid to liquid crystalline of +25°C for POPE$^{56}$ and +64°C for DPPE$^{57}$. These distinct differences between 2 otherwise very similar PEs might determine differences in the fluidity of their assemblies and rigidity of their bilayers, thereby conveying differences in the stability of the particulate structures. The character of the acyl chains in aminophospholipids such as PEs has indeed been shown to be crucial for the fluidity of a monolayer; saturated chains lead to lesser membrane fluidity than unsaturated ones$^{58}$. It was recently proposed that aminophospholipids with unsaturated oleoyl chains such as POPE are more prominent in areas of protrusion due.
to their overall conical shape and aminophospholipids consisting of solely saturated palmityl chains (that are considered less conical/ more cylindrical in shape) do not participate in curvature formation14. Curvature stress is indeed antagonized by addition of more cylindrical lipids15. Changes in the fluidity of biological membranes is also attributed to changes in membrane-initiated signaling processes; for instance, alcohol potentiation of calcium-activated potassium channels is favored by cylindrical phospholipids and blunted by conical ones, regardless of phospholipid head group charge16. Differences in membrane fluidity for DPPE and POPE nanoparticles might influence their interaction with DCs and perhaps the mechanism of entry into the cells. In future, it will be interesting to study the mode of internalization for different rigid and fluidic nanostructures by performing inhibition studies of specific uptake routes (e.g. energy-dependent processes, clathrin-mediated endocytosis, caveolae-mediated endocytosis, macropinocytosis)17 and to follow nanoparticle uptake over time using time-lapse video microscopy8. State-of-the-art technologies such as computational modeling18 or four-dimensional electron microscopy19 will shed light in the future on structure–property relationships of nanocomposites and single-nanoparticle structural dynamics.

In the present study we showed that the incorporation of DPPE in 19F nanoparticles for labeling of DCs increases the intracellular 19F signal in DCs by at least one order of magnitude compared to 19F nanoparticles devoid of DPPE. The observation of an increased uptake of 19F nanoparticles was demonstrated by 19F MR spectroscopy as well as electron and laser scanning microscopy. The microscopy studies demonstrated that nanoparticles are located inside discrete cytosolic endosomes after internalization by DCs, excluding the possibility of cell surface attachment. The agarose spot chemotaxis assay showed that DCs were less capable at moving towards a chemokine concentration when increasing 19F label concentration. Although the migration capability of DCs labeled with DPPE-enriched fluorine nanoparticles is diminished when compared to non-enriched nanoparticles, when given at the same PFCE labeling concentration, lower concentrations of DPPE-enriched fluorine nanoparticles still resulted in a higher 19F signal per million cells and minimal decrease in migration, implying a possible threshold for aminophospholipid enriched nanoparticle labelling concentration that increases the 19F MRS signal without influencing DC migration ability. The order of magnitude increase in 19F signal we observed with 19F spectroscopy could be translated into two advantages: (i) we could decrease the concentration of the 19F label (PFCE) from 20 µmol (employed in our original studies20,21) to 5 µmol per 106 DCs and thus decrease the impact of the 19F label on cell migration and (ii) the sensitivity gain considerably improved the cell detection limit to enable imaging and quantification of DCs within specific anatomical regions in vivo.

In conclusion, we present evidence that an enrichment of 19F nanoparticles with DPPE aminophospholipids enhances their uptake by DCs, thereby promoting cellular detection by various 19F MRI and MRS methods. By promoting cellular uptake of 19F label in cellular transplants — such as in DC vaccines for cancer therapy — we contribute to some of the solutions required to overcome the limitations and challenges of cellular MR imaging, particularly with respect to the barriers pertaining to detection limit and signal sensitivity.

Methods

Nanoparticle preparation. Nanoparticles with high fluorine content were prepared by emulsifying Perfluoro-15-crown-5-ether (PFCE, Fluorochem, Derbyshire, UK) via direct sonication, using an ultrasonic homogenizer (Hielser Ultrasonics GmbH, Teltow, Berlin, Germany). PFCE was emulsified in Pluronic F-88 (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) for 10 minutes on ice (1.2 M end concentration) to generate a basic formulation. Basic 19F nanoparticles (NP). To enrich the basic particles with DPPE (1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, Figure 1A, Avanti Polar Lipid, Inc., Alabaster, AL) diluted Pluronic basic nanoparticles were mixed with DPPE and further emulsified using the same conditions to obtain a final PFCE concentration of 400 mM and varying DPPE concentrations of 2.5–25 µM (DPPE:PFCE). The same procedure was used to prepare the Pluronic-Rhodamine-DPPE (DPPE-Rhodamine 19F NP) and nanoparticles enriched with POPE (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, Figure 1A, Avanti Polar Lipid, Inc., Alabaster, AL). DSPE-PEG2000—PFCE nanoparticles were prepared by first coating a vial with 10 mg of DSPE-PEG2000 (1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine, Avanti Polar Lipid, Inc., Alabaster, AL) and then sonicating PFCE in the vial for 10 minutes on ice, to achieve a final concentration of 120 mM PFCE and 3.75 mM DSPE-PEG2000.

Zeta potential and other physical characteristics of nanoparticles. To study the physical characteristics of the above nanoparticles, dynamic light scattering (DLS) data was obtained using a Zetasizer Nano ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments, Worcestershire, UK), backscatter detection at 173 degrees and 635 nm laser module at 25°C. DLS provides information on a number of parameters including intensity-weighted z-average diameter, peak diameter and width, zeta (ζ) potential and polydispersity index (PdI). All these physical characteristics of the different nanoparticles were documented and are shown in Table 1. The z-average diameter was used for particle size since it gives an intensity-weighted harmonic diameter and is ideal for comparing different analyses. The PdI is extrapolated from the DLS function and quantitatively describes the particle size distribution best. PdI ranges from 0.01 for monodispersed particles to 1 for particles that have a very broad size distribution. The generated nanoparticles have a PdI < 0.3, indicating a relatively low polydispersity and narrow size distribution. The ζ potential was also indirectly determined by measuring the electrophoretic mobility of the nanoparticles under a constant voltage of 40 mV at 25°C.

Cryo transmission Electron Microscopy (Cryo-TEM) of Nanoparticles. Nanoparticle preparations were plunge-frozen onto glow discharged holey carbon grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools, Jena, Germany) in liquid ethane using the environment-controlled Vitrobot (Vitrobot MarkIV, FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands). Briefly, 3 µl of the nanoparticle solution were applied onto the grid which was held by tweezers inside the climate chamber (22°C, 100% relative humidity) of the Vitrobot. The solution was automatically blotted with filter paper leaving a thin film of the nanoparticle solution over the holes. The film was allowed to relax for 10 s prior to plunge freezing the sample on the grid in liquid ethane cooled near to its freezing point. Vitrified nanoparticle samples were imaged at ~170°C using a Gatan cryo-transfer holder (Gatan 626, Gatan Inc. Pleasanton, USA) and standard low-dose imaging conditions (1 e⁻/Å².s) at a Tecnai G2 F20 transmission electron microscope (FEI, Oregon, USA), operated at 200 kV. Images were acquired at >25,000 magnification on a 2k × 2k CCD camera (984 Ultrascan 10000, Gatan Inc., Pleasanton, USA).

DC Preparation. DCs were prepared from bone marrow (BM) suspensions as previously described22. Briefly, BM from femurs of C57BL/6 mice were grown in RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% FCS (Biochrom, Germany) and supplemented with 30 ng/ml GM-CSF. After 9 days in culture, DCs were incubated overnight in the presence of different 19F nanoparticle preparations (end PFCE concentration ranging from 0.025 to 2.5 µmol or 2–40 µmol depending on the sample) and 1 µg/ml full-length chicken EndoGrade ovalbumin (endothoxin conc.<1 EU/mg, Hyglos, Regensburg, Germany) and 0.5 µg/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Following incubation, uncounb 19F nanoparticles were washed thoroughly from the culture dishes by washing with warm PBS. DCs were then harvested and prepared for the ensuing experiments.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) of DCs. DCs were fixed for 24 hours in PBS containing 2% glutaraldehyde and postfixed for 2 h with 1% osmium tetroxide. Cell pellets were then dehydrated in an ascending series of ethanol and embedded in Poly/Bed 812 (Polysciences, Eppelheim, Germany). Ultrathin sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Sections were imaged using a FEI Morgagni electron microscope (FEI, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) and iTEM software.

Fluorescence-activated flow cytometry (FAC) analysis of DCs. Intracellular fluorescence in DCs labeled with 19F nanoparticles was investigated using a laser scanning microscope (Carl Zeiss Micro imaging GmbH, Jena, Germany). DCs were harvested on day 9, washed and seeded onto 4-chamber µ-slide plates (Ibidi GmbH, München, Germany) and after 4 h labeled with 19F nanoparticles. Prior to LSM, uncounb 19F nanoparticles were washed thoroughly from the µ-slide plates by washing with warm culture medium.

Chemotaxis of DCs. DC motility towards chemokine following labeling with 19F nanoparticles was determined using an agarose spot assay, as previously described23. Briefly, a 0.5% agarose solution was prepared, cooled to 40°C and mixed with chemokine (2000 ng/ml CCL21) or PBS (as –ve control), thereafter the agarose solution was pipetted as 10 µl spots onto 35-mm glass dishes (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, MA, USA). Following harvesting, DCs were labeled with 19F nanoparticles, introduced, together with culture medium, to the glass dishes and incubated for 4 h at 37°C (5% CO2). The number of cells entering the agarose spot and counting all cells entering the corresponding spot.
number of cells within the spot a semi-automatic procedure using the 3D Objects Counter analyze tool was used.

In vitro ¹⁹F MR Spectroscopy (MRS). Following harvesting, DCs (10⁶) labeled with ¹⁹F nanoparticles were fixed in 2% PFA and transferred to NMR tubes (external diameter: 4.97 ± 0.019 mm; Wall thickness: 0.043 ± 0.02 mm; VWR International GmbH, Dormstadt, Germany) and the uptake of ¹⁹F nanoparticles monitored by ¹⁹F spectroscopy. For this, we employed an in-house built RF-coil. ¹⁹F-tuned loops were used for the signal transmission and reception on a 9.4 T animal MRI scanner (BioSpec 94/20 USR, Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany). A 90° block pulse with 10 kHz bandwidth was used for ¹⁹F signal excitation. Spectral representation was done by performing a fast Fourier transformation (FFT) of the acquired free induction decay (FID). The amount of PFCE in each sample was calculated from the amplitude of the extrapolated monoeXponential decay, at t = 0 of the FID, which is proportional to the ¹⁹F concentration. A standard consisting of 500 mM PFCE was used as quantitative reference in all of these experiments. To determine the cell detection limit of ¹⁹F labeling, voxel-based ¹⁹F spectroscopy was performed in phantom experiments using increasing numbers of ¹⁹F-labeled DCs. Fixed cells were suspended in 2% agarose and transferred to NMR tubes. An NMR tube holder made of acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) material was printed in-house using a 3D rapid prototyping system (BSTM 200es, Dimension Inc., Eden Prairie, MN, USA) and customized to fit within a ¹⁹F dual-tunable volume RF coil (35 mm inner diameter, 50 mm length; Rapid Biomed, Wurzburg, Germany). For quantification of the ¹⁹F content within the fixed cells in the NMR tubes, we used the Point RESolved Spectroscopy (PRESS) single voxel spectroscopy. For this purpose, we placed a (5 × 5 × 5) mm voxel within the region of interest covering the whole cells in agarose (Figure 6). Then we employed the FastMap™ method for volume specific magnetic field (B₀) shimming. After B₀ shimming, PRESS spectra were acquired using a PRESS protocol for ¹⁹F MRS: TR = 1500 ms, TE = 11.6 ms, voxel size (5 × 5 × 5) mm, number of repetitions = 512, scan time = 13 min.

In vivo ¹⁹F and ¹³C MRI of Dendritic Cells. To image the fluorine content within the NMR tubes holding the fixed cells labeled with ¹⁹F nanoparticles we performed 3D balanced steady state free precession (bSSFP) MRI on the 9.4 T animal MRI using a custom made H²/¹⁹F dual-tunable volume birdcage resonator (Rapid Biomed, Wurzburg, Germany) with 35 mm inner diameter and 30 mm length. The bSSFP sequence was chosen because it was also used for the in vivo experiments (described below); it has been shown previously to provide very high SNR, allowing for high spatiotemporal resolution image acquisitions in reasonable scan times, and because it produces very soft tissue contrast, related to their T₁/T₂ and relaxation times. The scan parameters for bSSFP were as follows for proton (H) scans: TR = 6.7 ms, TE = 3.3 ms, flip angle = 30°, matrix = 256 × 128 × 128, field of view (FOV) = (5.8 × 2.9 × 5.8) cm, (227 × 227 × 453) mm³ spatial resolution, number of excitations (NEX) = 1, scan time = 63 sec. For fluorine (¹⁹F) scans the parameters for bSSFP were: TR = 3.6 ms, TE = 1.8 ms, flip angle = 30°, matrix = 64 × 32 × 32, FOV = (5.8 × 2.9 × 5.8) cm², (906 × 906 × 1813) mm³ spatial resolution, NEX = 64, scan time = 16.85 min. To reduce banding artefacts across the SSFP images for both nuclei, 4-dimensional fast imaging employing steady-state acquisition (4-D FINS) with scan times for ¹⁹F phase cycling sequences below 20 ms were used. 4-D FINS with 100 ms scan times enabled ⁹⁹mTc imaging and 200 ms phase cycling sequences were used for ¹³C phase cycling. The four acquisitions were combined by the sum of squares method using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij).
40. Mori, A., Klibanov, A. L., Torchilin, V. P. & Huang, L. Influence of the steric
43. Torchilin, V. P. Micellar Nanocarriers: Pharmaceutical Perspectives.
45. Sydow, K., Torchilin, V. P. & Dathe, M. Lipopeptide-modified PEG-PE-based
47. Elfgang, J. H. et al. Enhanced gene delivery and mechanism studies with a novel
49. Ramezani, M., Khoshhamdam, M., Dehshahri, A. & Malaekeh-Nikouei, B. The
37. Fontana, G., Licciardi, M., Mansueto, S., Schillaci, D. & Giammona, G.
38. Klibanov, A. L., Maruyama, K., Torchilin, V. P. & Huang, L. Amphipathic
39. Kale, A. A. & Torchilin, V. P. “Smart” Drug Carriers: PEGylated TATp-Modified
41. Koren, E., Apte, A., Sawant, R. R., Grunwald, I. & Torchilin, V. P. Cell-penetrating
42. Torchilin, V. P. Micellar Nanocarriers: Pharmaceutical Perspectives. Pharm Res
43. Torchilin, V. P. & Dathe, M. Improvement of the drug loading capacity and
44. Kale, A. A. & Torchilin, V. P. “Smart” Drug Carriers: PEGylated TATp-Modified
45. Sydow, K., Torchilin, V. P. & Dathe, M. Lipopeptide-modified PEG-PE-based
47. Elfgang, J. H. et al. Enhanced gene delivery and mechanism studies with a novel
49. Ramezani, M., Khoshhamdam, M., Dehshahri, A. & Malaekeh-Nikouei, B. The
50. van Dommelen, S. M.
51. Larson, M. C., Woodliff, J. E., Hillery, C. A., Kearl, T. J. & Zhao, M.
52. Wolf, P. The nature and significance of platelet products in human plasma. British
53. Ramezani, M. et al. Cell-derived microparticles: new targets in the therapeutic
54. Hargett, L. A. & Baur, N. N. On the origin of microparticles: From “platelet dust”
55. Deregibus, M. C. et al. Endothelial progenitor cell derived microvesicles activate an angiogenic program in endothelial cells by a horizontal transfer of mRNA. Blood 110, 2440–2448, doi:10.1182/blood-2007-03-078709 (2007).
56. Saudinier, P., Fousard, F., Bhoury, F. & Proust, J. Structural properties of asymmetric mixed-chain phosphatidylethanolamine films. Journal of colloid and surface science 218, 40–46 (1999).
57. Malcherek, S., Himz, A., Hilterhaus, L. & Galli, H.-J. Multilayer structures in lipid monolayer films containing surfactant protein C: effects of cholesterol and POPE. Biophysical Journal 88, 2638–2649 (2005).
58. Epand, R. M. & Bottega, R. Determination of the phase behaviour of phosphatidylethanolamine admixed with other lipids and the effects of calcium chloride: implications for protein kinase C regulation. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes 1738, 650–659 (2009).
59. Wang, X. & Quinn, P. J. Cubic phase is induced by cholesterol in the dispersion of 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes 1564, 66–72 (2002).
60. Aroori, A., Dathe, M. & Blume, A. Peptide induced demixing in PG/PE lipid mixtures: a mechanism for the specificity of antibacterial peptides towards bacterial membranes? Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes 1728, 000–000 (2001).
61. Champion, J. A. & Mitragotri, S. Role of target geometry in phagocytosis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 103, 4930–4934, doi:10.1073/pnas.0609971103 (2006).
62. Yan, L.-T. & Xie, X.-M. Computational modeling and simulation of nanoparticle self-assembly in polymeric systems: Structures, properties and external field effects. Progress in Polymer Science 38, 369–405 (2013).
63. van der Veen, R. M., Kwon, O.-H., Tissot, A., Hauser, A. & Zewail, A. H. Single-nanoparticle phase transitions visualized by four-dimensional electron microscopy. Nature chemistry 5, 395–402 (2013).
64. Adrian, M., Dubochet, J., Lepault, J. & McDowall, A. W. Cryo-electron microscopy of viruses. Nature 308, 32–36 (1984).
65. Bendix, I. et al. MAPK3 deficiency drives autoimmunity via DC arming. European journal of immunology 40, 1486–1495, doi:10.1002/eji.200939930 (2010).
66. Wiggins, H. & Rappoport, J. An agerose spot assay for chemotactic invasion. BioTechniques 48, 121–124, doi:10.2144/000113353 (2010).
67. Schindelin, J. et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods 9, 676–682, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1929 (2012).
68. Gruetter, R. Automatic, localized in vivo adjustment of all first- and second-order shim coils. Magnetic resonance in medicine : official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine/Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 29, 804–811 (1993).

Acknowledgments
This study was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to SW (DFG WA 2804). We thank Ms. Stefanie Kox and Ms. Yvonne Balke for excellent technical support.

Author contributions
S.W., S.L. and T.N. contributed to the manuscript text; S.W., S.L., S.D., M.C.K., C.M., D.L., I.S. and B.F. performed the experiments; S.W., S.L., H.R.M., H.W., M.D. and A.P. analyzed the data. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional information
Competing financial interests: S.W. received research grants and poster honoraria from Novartis. K.S. was partly financed by the DFG (DA 124/9-1). H.W. is employed by and T.N. is founder of MRI-TOOLS GmbH. T.N. received speaker honoraria from Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany. M.D. now works with Siemens Healthcare, S.L., S.D., M.C.K., C.M., D.L., I.S. and B.F. have nothing to disclose.

How to cite this article: Waiszies, S. et al. Anchoring Dipalmitoyl Phosphoethanolamine to Nanoparticles Boosts Cellular Uptake and Fluorine-19 Magnetic Resonance Signal. Sci. Rep. 5, 8427; DOI:10.1038/srep08427 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder in order to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/