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Abstract

Malaysia is a nation that has undergone a massive development based on its abundance of fuel supply. The imbalance ratio between gross domestic products and energy demand clearly indicates the need to promote energy-efficiency strategies in the country. This study investigates the relationship between building shape and energy consumption by considering the control of excessive solar radiation in a tropical climate. In the first step, four basic plan geometries, namely, square, rectangle, triangle and circle shapes, are studied to determine the optimal building shape in terms of energy consumption in Penang, Malaysia. Results of simulation analysis using DesignBuilder software (Version 5.4.0) reveal that the circle is the most suitable form in terms of energy performance. In the second step, all buildings with extended shapes based on the optimal shape obtained from the first step are simulated under the same condition to analyse the thermal behaviour of different building forms. Amongst four alternative extended cases, Case 3 with 90 cm depth and without vertical offset from the top of the window has superior energy performance and sufficient natural daylight. This study contributes to enhance energy efficiency of new buildings by incorporating design strategies in the design process.
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Resumo

A Malásia é uma nação que passou por um enorme desenvolvimento devido à sua abundância de combustível. A relação desequilibrada entre o Produto Interno Bruto e a demanda de energia indica claramente a necessidade de promover estratégias de eficiência energética no país. Este estudo investiga a relação entre o formato da construção e o consumo de energia, levando em conta o controle da excessiva radiação solar em um clima tropical. Na primeira etapa, quatro formas geométricas planas básicas, quadrado, retângulo, triângulo e círculo, são estudadas para determinar o formato ideal da construção em termos de consumo de energia em Penang, Malásia. Os resultados das análises das simulações usando o software DesignBuilder (versão 5.4.0) revelam que o círculo é a forma mais apropriada em termos de performance de energia. Na segunda etapa, todas as construções com formas ampliadas, baseadas na forma ideal obtida na primeira etapa, são simuladas sob as mesmas condições para analisar o comportamento térmico dos diferentes formatos de construção. Entre quatro casos alternativos ampliados, o Caso 3, com 90 cm de profundidade e sem deslocamento vertical a partir do topo da janela, tem uma performance energética superior e iluminação natural suficiente. Este estudo contribui para aumentar a eficiência energética de novas construções a partir da incorporação de estratégias de design no processo de design.

Palavras chave: Design passivo. Formato da construção. Sombreamento. Simulação de energia. Iluminação natural.

Introduction

Building energy use

The United Nations projects that 68% of the world population would be living in urban areas by 2050 (United Nations, 2018), resulting in increased urbanisation, climate change and environmental problems (Susca, 2019). The building sector consumes a considerable amount of energy and has become the third largest consumer of natural resources after the industrial and agricultural sectors (Chel & Kaushik, 2018). Evidence shows that the building sector consumes around 31% of the total global final energy use and 54% of the final electricity demand (Carneletto et al., 2019). The former is expected to increase from 31% to 95% between 2005 and 2050 (Levesque et al., 2018).

Population growth is one of the main causes of the increasing demand for fossil fuels in the building sector all over the world. Population growth has critical consequences, such as air pollution and global warming. During the past five decades, the Earth’s temperature has risen dramatically. Hence, the use of air conditioning in the building sector and the cooling energy consumption have greatly increased. The huge amount of energy demand can be controlled in several ways, such as promoting behavioural change (Hafner et al., 2019), actively building envelope systems (Luo et al., 2019), assessing the urban environment (Mauree et al., 2019) and evaluating materials (albedo) (Falasca et al., 2019). In particular, utilising novel methods will decrease the global energy demand from buildings by up to 47% in 2050 and 61% in 2100 (Levesque et al., 2019).

Researchers have recently focused on reducing global energy consumption (Ballarini et al., 2019). Energy demand in buildings changes considerably across countries and climatic zones (D’Amico et al., 2019). The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) is a region characterised by rapid urbanisation and economic growth. Although the region’s climatic conditions are favourable for renewable energy, such as wind and solar, the dominant energy supply comes from nonrenewable resources (Khuong et al., 2019). According to the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA, 2018), ASEAN countries differ from others in terms of their national policy frameworks and progress towards the implementation of renewable energy. For instance, the investment trend in solar photovoltaics has dramatically increased in Thailand, Singapore and Indonesia whilst obviously decreasing in Malaysia, Philippines and Vietnam (IRENA, 2018).

Malaysia is a nation that has been widely developed. The building sector in Malaysia is one of the largest and fastest in Southeast Asia. High-rise buildings are sprouting in major cities, such as Kuala Lumpur, Penang and Johor Bahru. The country has undergone a massive development on the basis of its abundance of fuel supply (Energy Malaysia, 2017), and this condition has increased the energy demand for cooling, heating and
lighting. Due to the imbalance ratio between Gross Domestic Products (GDP) and energy demand, the Malaysian government has implemented incentives to grow the use of energy-efficiency strategies and renewable energies (NEEAP, 2015). Hence, a reasonable point of discussion is the extent to which such massive development can be sustained given the inconsistency in the future fuel market.

Malaysia has hot and humid (tropical) climate throughout the year. This typical subtropical climate is one of the main causes of the increasing electricity consumption in Malaysia as it heightens the need for thermal comfort; meanwhile, fossil fuels are one of the main sources used to generate electricity (Ludin et al., 2019), nearly 90% of which is produced from fossil fuels, especially natural gas and coal (Muhammad-Sukki et al., 2012). Evidence suggests that buildings, especially in residential and commercial sectors, consume approximately 14.3% of the overall energy and 53% of the only electrical energy in Malaysia (Shaikh et al., 2017). This amount of energy consumption is steeply increasing. Occupants use air-conditioning units for thermal comfort, especially during the hot months (Mirrahimi et al., 2016). As a result of the steadily increasing energy demand in the building sector, the Malaysian government has placed energy efficiency as one of the important elements of its energy policy framework through National Energy Efficiency Action Plan (NEEAP, 2015) and Sustainable Energy Development Authority (SEDA, 2019) among others. Hence, promoting efficient utilisation of energy and eliminate wasteful and non-productive patterns of energy are the main focus of the government to achieve sustainable buildings. Therefore, the main goal of this research is to examine the energy savings of buildings after optimisation of building forms through the simulation analysis in the study area.

The United Nations projects that 68% of the world population would be living in urban areas by 2050 (United Nations, 2018), resulting in increased urbanisation, climate change and environmental problems (Susca, 2019). The building sector consumes a considerable amount of energy and has become the third largest consumer of natural resources after the industrial and agricultural sectors (Chel & Kaushik, 2018). Evidence shows that the building sector consumes around 31% of the total global final energy use and 54% of the final electricity demand (Carminetto et al., 2019). The former is expected to increase from 31% to 95% between 2005 and 2050 (Levesque et al., 2018).

Population growth is one of the main causes of the increasing demand for fossil fuels in the building sector all over the world. Population growth has critical consequences, such as air pollution and global warming. During the past five decades, the Earth’s temperature has risen dramatically. Hence, the use of air conditioning in the building sector and the cooling energy consumption have greatly increased. The huge amount of energy demand can be controlled in several ways, such as promoting behavioural change (Hafner et al., 2019), actively building envelope systems (Luo et al., 2019), assessing the urban environment (Mauree et al., 2019) and evaluating materials (albedo) (Falasca et al., 2019). In particular, utilising novel methods will decrease the global energy demand from buildings by up to 47% in 2050 and 61% in 2100 (Levesque et al., 2019).

Researchers have recently focused on reducing global energy consumption (Ballarini et al., 2019). Energy demand in buildings changes considerably across countries and climatic zones (D’Amico et al., 2019). The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) is a region characterised by rapid urbanisation and economic growth. Although the region’s climatic conditions are favourable for renewable energy, such as wind and solar, the dominant energy supply comes from nonrenewable resources (Khuong et al., 2019). According to the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA, 2018), ASEAN countries differ from others in terms of their national policy frameworks and progress towards the implementation of renewable energy. For instance, the investment trend in solar photovoltaics has dramatically increased in Thailand, Singapore and Indonesia whilst obviously decreasing in Malaysia, Philippines and Vietnam (IRENA, 2018).

Malaysia is a nation that has been widely developed. The building sector in Malaysia is one of the largest and fastest in Southeast Asia. High-rise buildings are sprouting in major cities, such as Kuala Lumpur, Penang and Johor Bahru. The country has undergone a massive development on the basis of its abundance of fuel supply (Energy Malaysia, 2017), and this condition has increased the energy demand for cooling, heating and lighting. Due to the imbalance ratio between Gross Domestic Products (GDP) and energy demand, the Malaysian government has implemented incentives to grow the use of energy-efficiency strategies and renewable energies (NEEAP, 2015). Hence, a reasonable point of discussion is the extent to which such massive development can be sustained given the inconsistency in the future fuel market.
Malaysia has hot and humid (tropical) climate throughout the year. This typical subtropical climate is one of the main causes of the increasing electricity consumption in Malaysia as it heightens the need for thermal comfort; meanwhile, fossil fuels are one of the main sources used to generate electricity (Ludin et al., 2019), nearly 90% of which is produced from fossil fuels, especially natural gas and coal (Muhammad-Sukki et al., 2012). Evidence suggests that buildings, especially in residential and commercial sectors, consume approximately 14.3% of the overall energy and 53% of the only electrical energy in Malaysia (Shaikh et al., 2017). This amount of energy consumption is steeply increasing. Occupants use air-conditioning units for thermal comfort, especially during the hot months (Mirrahimi et al., 2016). As a result of the steadily increasing energy demand in the building sector, the Malaysian government has placed energy efficiency as one of the important elements of its energy policy framework through National Energy Efficiency Action Plan (NEEAP, 2015) and Sustainable Energy Development Authority (SEDA, 2019) among others. Hence, promoting efficient utilisation of energy and eliminate wasteful and non-productive patterns of energy are the main focus of the government to achieve sustainable buildings. Therefore, the main goal of this research is to examine the energy savings of buildings after optimisation of building forms through the simulation analysis in the study area.

Passive design as a mitigation strategy

Measures are being taken to meet the demand for energy in a large population. However, some of these measures do not include the construction of energy-efficient buildings. As a result, energy consumption increases and thus leads to environmental problems. Although previous attempts to reduce the energy demand have not always been successful (Sorrell, 2015), extensive effort has been exerted to reduce cooling and heating demands in different scales. In the context of the ongoing shortage of natural resources and continued environmental degradation, building energy performance has emerged as an important consideration all over the world.

One of the most sustainable energy techniques is to conserve natural energy resources as much as possible. Research has indicated that the energy performance of buildings depends on five factors, namely, climate, urban geometry, building design, system efficiency and occupant behaviour (Sanaieian et al., 2014). Evidence also suggests that architectural design and form as design strategies reduce energy consumption (Alhuwayil et al., 2019). Under the design and construction zone, passive design strategies and bioclimatic design are amongst the main solutions to decrease energy demand (Mirrahimi et al., 2016). A passive building design, being directly related to energy use, can aid energy conservation efforts (Schiefelbein et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019). Studies classified building design factors into five parameters: namely, shape, transparent surface, orientation, thermal–physical properties of building materials and distance between buildings (Pacheco et al., 2012; Rodrigues et al., 2019). In this study, shape refers to virtue of how many sides a building has and its angular relations, while form is described by the area bordered by the lines of a building created. Orientation, aspect ratio, and stacking or vertical proportion also play significant roles among form aspects.

Numerous published studies discuss the interrelationship between climate, especially solar radiation, and energy consumption. Most of these studies can be categorised into four main themes: urban organisation, building form, shading devices and vegetation. Building form has always been an area of interest because of its pivotal role in controlling energy consumption in buildings. Studies found that shape optimisation can reduce building energy demand by approximately 36% (Aksoy & Inalli, 2006; A. P. Chan & Adabre, 2019). In Malaysia, solar radiation received directly or indirectly by buildings causes a rise in the amount of cooling energy consumption (Qahtan, 2019). Solar gain affects building cooling loads, which cover around 50% of the global cooling loads for buildings (Laïne et al., 2019). An appropriate building form allows a building to shade itself to control solar heating. Such case is especially true for
buildings exposed to excessive direct solar radiation. Evidence suggests that large energy savings in annual cooling loads, ranging from 0.6% to 10.9%, can be achieved via self-shading (Chan & Chow, 2014).

Aim of the study

Although the interest towards passive design strategies has grown in recent years, further research needs to be carried out in various contexts. In Web of Science, a large number of scientific articles match the following keywords: ‘urban organisation’, ‘building form’, ‘shading devices’ and ‘vegetation’. However, as the focus of the current study is on building form, the keyword ‘energy and building form’ was used to search for relevant published articles. A total of 158 related scientific articles were published between 2010 and September 2019. Figure 1 shows the trends in the number of scientific articles published within the said period. A gradual rise is noted in the number of published articles presenting information about the relationship between energy consumption and building form.

![Published articles](image)

**Figure 1 - Number of scientific articles regarding building form and energy published between 2010 and 2019 in Web of Science**

In 2010, a limited number of studies investigated building form, and the research topic has transitioned into the investigation on urban planning and a number of specific forms, i.e. courtyard and square, although much uncertainty still exists about the optimal shape and form (Toparlar et al., 2017). The relationship between building basic form and energy demand needs to be studied, along with the impact of extended forms on building shading and energy consumption.

Research has indicated that in addition to a building form’s role in creating space, several main aspects include aesthetics (scale, proportion and shape) and the relation between form and function (Dascher, 2019). At present, most studies related to the effects of form factors on building energy consumption can be classified into four categories: (i) heating and cooling load for reducing energy consumption (Biyik & Kahraman, 2019; Florides et al., 2002; Kannan et al., 2019), (ii) ventilation towards thermal comfort (Patil et al., 2020; St. Clair & Hyde, 2009), (iii) impact of building shape on energy demand for the study of the cost of life cycle or energy budget (Sharif & Hammad, 2019; Wang et al., 2006) and (iv) solar access with a focus on daylight purposes and passive heating (Ahn & Sohn, 2019; Atan & Ibrahim, 2019; Zhang et al., 2019).

Building cooling load in Malaysia has received critical attention because of the huge amount of solar radiation and the resulting increase in energy consumption. Hence, as a mitigation strategy, external shading could be effective. Designers can apply several strategies to shape buildings in a way that enhances their thermal performance. One of the intelligent strategies to avoid solar radiation in buildings is to provide appropriate shadings. Considering various design strategies is vital in providing appropriate
shadings, especially for apertures. The concept of self-shaded buildings involves the determination of the building form in a manner that allows self-protection, especially in regions with topical climate (Capeluto, 2003).

Although many works have explored the application of external shading devices, a shading strategy that incorporates the features of self-shading through form extensions has yet to be explored. The current study seeks to investigate the relationship between building shape and energy consumption in high-rise residential buildings in Malaysia. The objective is to understand to what extent building shape and its related components, such as the amount of self-shading, influence building thermal performance. Extended building shapes are considered on the basis of four basic geometric forms (i.e. square, rectangle, circle and triangle), and the optimal shape is determined by considering the control of excessive solar radiation through self-shading during the day.

**Materials and methods**

**Location and climate type**

The present study focuses on the energy saving potential of incorporating shading with a self-shading envelope through extended forms for high-rise residential buildings under the hot-humid climate of Penang, Malaysia. Malaysia receives a large amount of average daily solar radiation 4500 kW/m² and plentiful sunshine around 10 h per day. The temperature in Malaysia, which is a tropical country located in Southeast Asia, does not fluctuate frequently in the span of a year because of its equatorial climate. Malaysia is located between 1°–7° in the north latitude and 100°–120° in the east longitude, and the average annual rainfall and temperature are 250 cm and 28 °C, respectively (Hosseini & Wahid, 2013). The maximum outdoor air temperatures are 31 °C–33 °C, and the outdoor relative humidity is constantly above 60%. During the day, wind speed is around 0.91 m/s because of the intermonsoon period (Kubota et al., 2018).

Penang, as the study location (5°25’ N, and longitude 100°19’ E), is classified as a place having an ‘equatorial hot-humid climate’; the hottest and coolest months are March (24 °C–32 °C) and September (23 °C–30 °C), respectively, with Georgetown (1785 kW/m²) receiving one of the highest levels of solar radiation (Soonmin et al., 2019). This amount of solar gain causes a large amount of cooling load; thus, around 42% of the total electrical energy consumption in Malaysia is allocated to the commercial sector, and 30% of it is set for residential buildings in response to the cooling energy demand (Sulaiman, 2019).

**Study framework**

Although several studies have focused on the relationship between building elements and energy performance, most of them analysed building and system design rather than building geometry (Sanaieian et al., 2014). In generating base design in this work, four basic geometries, i.e. square, rectangle, triangle and circle shapes, are considered as base plans to obtain the optimal building shape in terms of energy consumption in Penang, Malaysia. The modelling and energy simulations are performed using DesignBuilder software. DesignBuilder is simulation software that can consider the envelope and interior elements of buildings.

DesignBuilder software is based on the EnergyPlus engine, which is implemented with a 3D interface and meteorological database. Four geometry plans are studied to simultaneously improve energy performance, thermal comfort and natural daylighting in Penang, Malaysia. After the selection of an optimal basic shape in the first step, four 15-storey buildings with extended forms are simulated using
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DesignBuilder under the same condition to analyse the thermal behaviour and energy consumption of different building forms.

Compactness and shape are some of the most crucial factors that are related to energy requirements. Several studies have assessed the efficacy of aspect ratio in relation to energy consumption and have demonstrated that a direct relationship exists between increasing aspect ratio and energy consumption (İnanıci & Demirbilek, 2000; McKeen & Fung, 2014). Basically, the ratio between volume and building surface describes the compactness index (V/Aext), and the ratio of building length to building depth expresses the shape factor (Bostancioğlu, 2010; Pathirana et al., 2019).

Modelling and simulation

The optimisation process comprised two steps. Figure 2 depicts the workflow diagram and sequential steps of optimisation. In the first step, the energy performance of the initial samples consisting of four basic geometric forms was analysed on the basis of three design parameters, namely, dimension, location and orientation. As the focus of this study is building form, the aforementioned design parameters were kept constant during the analysis. For the initial design, all cases were one-storey buildings with a 30% window-to-wall (WWR) ratio and about 120 m² floor area. As demonstrated in Table 1, no specific orientation was applied because the forms were compact.

![Workflow diagram and sequential steps of optimization](image)

**Table 1 - The basic plan geometries in this study**

| Shape  | Dimension |
|--------|-----------|
|        | 10.95*10.95 | 15*8 | 14.42*16.65 | 6.18*6.18 |

Source: Authors.
The main parameters of buildings were obtained from DesignBuilder, and test according to ASHRAE Standard 140. The EnergyPlus building energy simulation software has automated the process of testing ASHRAE Standard 140, which is an essential part of EnergyPlus development, with an emphasis on comparative and analytical testing (EnergyPlus, 2019; Neymark et al., 2017). Table 2 shows the simulation input for buildings' properties and operation details.

| Parameter                          | Specification                          |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Building Properties               |                                        |
| External wall insulation          | XPS (U-Value: 0.350 W/m²-K)            |
| Roof insulation                   | U-Value: 0.250 W/m²-K                  |
| Window U-Value                    | 1.960 W/m²-K                           |
| Glazing type                      | Generic PYR 8 CLEAR 3mm                |
| SHGC                              | 0.691                                  |
| Normalized power density          | 2.50 W/m²-100 lux                      |
| Window-to-wall ratio (WWR)        | 30%                                    |
| Shading                           | Without inside shading                 |
| Building Operation Details        |                                        |
| HVAC system type                  | Fan-Coil Unit (4-Pipe), Air cooled Chiller |
| Heating system seasonal CoP       | 0.850                                  |
| Heating set point temperature     | 21 °C                                  |
| fuel                              | Natural Gas                            |
| Cooling                           | 1.800                                  |
| Cooling set-point temperature     | 25 °C                                  |
| fuel                              | Electricity from grid                  |
| Fresh air supply rate             | 10 L/s-person                          |
| Lighting target illuminance      | 150 lux                                |
| Type of lighting                  | LED                                    |
| Occupancy density                 | 0.0188 people/m²                       |

Source: Authors.

In the second step, after determining the optimal form in the initial analysis, various alternatives of building forms with self-shading were considered. The high-rise building models had 15-storeys with a floor area of 1200 m² but four different floor plans. Each façade had a WWR of 30% for all façade elevations. The floor-to-floor height of the buildings was set to 3.5 m. In the optimisation process, the extended building geometry provided adequate shading during critical hours whilst allowing appropriate daylight. Generally, the optimisation of daylighting requires the consideration of an extensive set of architectural design parameters, including building compactness, building orientation, configuration (floor arrangement), depth of external shading devices and WWR. Amongst these factors, building compactness, WWR and building orientation in all cases were assumed as constant, and the configuration (floor arrangement) and depth of external shading devices were investigated. The depth of external shading devices for self-shading buildings was calculated on summer solstice (solar declination, 23.5°) to avoid the penetration of direct solar radiation during daytime. According to Capeluto (2003), 

$$ h = \frac{d}{\tan \hat{z}} $$

where \( \hat{z} (5.29 - 23.5 = -18.21) \) represents the angle between the zenith and the sun and \( h \) represents the height (i.e. 3.5). Therefore, \( d \) is 2.60 cm.
Results

Comparison of basic shape simulation results

The simultaneous optimisation of building shape and solar gain was conducted on four different building models in Penang, Malaysia. In the first step, buildings with four basic shapes were evaluated in terms of heat exchange. Figure 3 demonstrates the proportions of relevant loads (lighting, cooling and domestic hot water) and the amount of received energy in relation to the gross annual energy (GAE) use as total internal gains. Figure 4 shows the total loads and annual solar gain for all basic building shapes.

![Diagram of basic shape buildings](image)

Figure 3 - The portions of internal gains for four basic shape buildings: (a) A portion of internal gains of the triangle shape; (b) A portion of internal gains of the square shape; (c) A portion of internal gains of the rectangle shape; (d) A portion of internal gains of the circle shape building.
Figure 3 shows that the largest load was related to solar gain and was greater than 50% in all cases. The results further indicated that the greatest demand referred to cooling loads because of the large amount of solar gain. No significant differences were found between the demand for domestic hot water and general lighting. As solar radiation is the most critical factor in the overall thermal transfer value equation (Nikpour et al., 2013), intensive solar radiation affects the requirements of employing mechanical cooling mechanisms and considerably increases energy consumption in buildings. Hence, a passive solar building design through building form can aid energy efficiency in buildings (Chel & Kaushik, 2018).

The results further indicated that the triangle shape received about 60% of the total heating exchange, followed by the square and rectangle shapes at around 59% and by the circle shape at approximately 57% solar radiation. A comparison of the four basic shapes demonstrated that the triangle shape had the highest consumption of about 9459 kWh in a year, whereas the circle shape had undoubtedly the lowest consumption of approximately 7210 kWh annually. The square and rectangle shapes consumed approximately 8546 and 8682 kWh. Given the relatively available natural light, the portion of lighting had the lowest energy consumption amongst all alternatives (about 3%–4%).

Consistent with the large body of literature, the results of this study show that the amount of received solar energy depends on building shapes (Alhuwayil et al., 2019). As shown in Figure 4 and given the same area for all shapes, the circle shape achieved the best energy performance at about 146 kWh/m² (at least 14% lower than those for the other shapes) because it received the lowest solar gain of 13543 kWh (around 20% less than those received by the other shapes). Nevertheless, the highest amount of solar gain was related to the triangle shape which influenced cooling load and, consequently, the amount of energy consumption. Moreover, the rectangle shape was the second highest energy consumer and solar gain receiver. The square shape showed the third highest energy consumption.

Comparison of building shape simulation results

Evidence suggests that several factors and techniques related to building shapes contribute to thermal comfort and energy consumption. In the second step, four 15-storey residential buildings with an average floor area of 1200 m² were selected to analyse building efficiency, including the effects of
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shape factors on daylight assessment, energy consumption and thermal comfort across all shapes. Table 3 shows four alternative self-shading designs. On the basis of the findings in the first step, these alternative cases were based on the circle shape form as it had the best performance amongst all four shapes in terms of energy efficiency and solar gain.

Table 3 - Descriptions of extended forms

| Case | Shape | Specification | Reason |
|------|-------|---------------|--------|
| 1    | - Circular plan with a radius of 22 m and area of 1519 m² 1. Circular plan with a radius of 19.5 m and area of 1200 m² 2. Circular plan with a radius of 17 m and area of 907 m² | A self-shading building is amongst the several types of building design with exterior facades that could enhance buildings' thermal performance. It can control direct solar heat gains through its upside-down pyramidal shape (Example: Energy Commission Building [Diamond Building] in Putrajaya, Malaysia). | |
| 2    | - Circular plan with a radius of 19.5 m and area of 1200 m² 1. Indentation form to a depth of 1 m in every 380 cm | The vertical shading affords efficient shading, especially for the east and west facades. This shading not only acts as a windbreak but also improves glass insulation value in the heating period (Example: Three elegant towers of Troika, KOMTAR). | |
| 3    | - Circular plan with a radius of 19.5 m and area of 1200 m² 1. With 0.8 cm O.K.B. 150 cm window height and 90 cm depth (overhang) | An overhang is a horizontal surface that extends over a window to provide shade (Example: UMNO Tower Penang). | |
| 4    | - Spiral tower, circular plan with a radius of 19.5 m and area of 1200 m² 1. About a 50-degree orientation around a central axis per floor | Twisted buildings in modern architecture come in several types, such as twister category, Tordo category, sliding twister category and helical twister category (Examples: Agora Tower, Taipei, Taiwan and Mesiniaga, Gensier's Shanghai Tower, Shanghai, China). | |

Source: Authors.

Effects of shape factors on daylight assessment

Sufficient daylight provides comfortable and satisfying indoor conditions. Sufficient daylight can play a pivotal role in decreasing electrical lighting requirements. However, high luminance causes heat gains and glare problems. In the simulation, potential glare spots were avoided by the estimated shading devices and building form. The models were presented as residential buildings, where light work was not the main activity of the occupants. An average daylight factor of 2% is recommended as a sufficient daylight factor for Malaysia (Nedhal et al., 2016).

An acceptable range of indoor illuminance for a residential building in Penang is 200–300 lux (Dogan & Park, 2017; Susan & Prihatmanti, 2017). As demonstrated in Figure 5, Cases 2, 3 and 4 fell within the standard range of indoor illuminance. Overall, Case 3 provided the highest amount of average daylight factor, and Case 1 had the lowest amount of average daylight factor, which was around 40% lower than that of Case 3. Meanwhile, no significant difference was noted between Case 2 and Case 4, both of which
had the second highest amount of daylight factor. In terms of annual average daylight factor, Case 1 provided around 144 lux, followed by Case 4 (199 lux), Case 2 (215 lux) and Case 3 (359 lux). Amongst the four cases, Case 3 showed the greatest difference, which ranged from 50% to 100% relative to the other cases. Cases 1 and 4 received a lower average daylight factor than Case 3 because of their lower shading device depth.

Figure 5 - The annual average daylight factor [lux%].

Effect of shape factors on energy consumption

The evaluation of building energy consumption is an essential step in the optimisation of building energy performance. Optimisation means obtaining the best solution(s) amongst different possible alternatives (Kheiri, 2018). Amongst existing strategies, building form plays a key role in optimising building energy performance. In this section, the analysis focuses on the influence of building shape on annual energy consumption and the energy efficiency index (EEI). The EEI refers to the ratio of energy consumption (kWh) per unit floor area (m²).

Figure 6 shows a direct relationship between the EEI and the amount of solar gains. An increase in solar gain contributed to an increase in the EEI. The results further demonstrated that Case 1, an upside-down pyramid, received the lowest amount of solar gain and consumed the lowest energy at 73.78 kWh/m² amongst all cases. However, this low amount of solar gain may lead to an insufficient supply of natural light. In terms of net annual energy use, Case 2, an indentation building form, was the least efficient due to the high energy demand and large solar gain. Case 3 with an annual energy consumption of 80.51 kWh/m² was the second highest energy consumer. Case 4, the spiral building form, received sufficient solar radiation and consumed a low amount of energy. For residential buildings, 150–400 kWh/m²/year is recommended as the acceptable range of the EEI (Abu Bakar et al., 2015). All cases herein were within the acceptable range. A comparison of the solar gains in all cases indicated that building forms can reduce direct solar gain by up to 33%.
To assess the impact of building forms on energy performance, we investigated the total cooling loads for all cases. Figure 7 presents cooling loads in a bar chart which shows fluctuations. According to the sun path diagram in Malaysia, the solar radiation increases during February to March and August to October, whereas the low solar radiation occurs from November to January. The same trend was observed in this study. The cooling load was the highest in March at 50000 kWh and the lowest in October. The cooling load gradually decreased from March to October. Amongst all cases, Case 1 showed the lowest total cooling load (30346.01 kWh) in October, followed by Case 4, Case 3 and Case 2. Case 2 showed the highest amount of cooling load (38222 kWh) amongst all cases. These results indicate that the cooling demand is closely related to solar radiation on the vertical surfaces of buildings.

Effect of shape factors on thermal comfort

The final section of the analysis investigated the thermal comfort of occupants by examining the predicted mean vote (PMV) index as a notable thermal comfort index. Thermal comfort was measured by Fanger’s PMV model on the basis of six factors, namely, air temperature, air velocity, mean radiant
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The first four factors were categorised as environmental factors whilst the last two factors were categorised under personal factors. As noted by Daighigh (2015), the PMV index quantifies the degree of comfort and is shown as a psychophysical scale of hot (+3), warm (+2), slightly warm (+1), neutral (0), slightly cool (−1), cool (−2) and cold (−3). The most suitable range is between −1 and +1.

Figure 8 shows that the PMV value ranged from +0.3 to +0.5. The values were positive due to the average temperature of Penang, Malaysia being always higher than 0 °C. At first glance, the PMV values in all cases were within the acceptable range (−1 and +1). However, the results showed minor differences which could influence the overall thermal comfort. Thermal balance is maintained when the internal heat gain is equal to heat loss. Case 2 showed the largest PMV index, whereas Case 1 had the minimum PMV index and the greatest thermal comfort. Cases 2 and 4 also showed an average thermal balance. These results indicate that building form plays a vital role in achieving a comfortable indoor environment and, consequently, the total energy demand of buildings.

Discussions

In Malaysia, the constant and intensive exposure to solar radiation of building surfaces causes an increase in energy demand for cooling purposes. Therefore, building surfaces need to be protected to reduce the direct or indirect inflow of heat. In the current work, we aim to investigate the impact of building shape on energy consumption by considering passive design to control excessive solar radiation. The study provides a comprehensive approach to optimising the design of building envelopes and increasing thermal comfort, energy performance and natural daylighting. In the first step, four basic building forms, namely, rectangle, square, triangle and circle shapes, were analysed. The results showed that the circle was the most suitable form in terms of energy performance. The second step investigated the extended building forms on the basis of the optimal shape obtained from the first step under Penang’s climate. All extended forms were purely geometrical and are common in architecture modelling. Simplicity, popularity and solar potential were amongst the factors considered in developing the alternatives.

In general, all alternatives were within acceptable ranges, but all of them presented advantages and disadvantages. The results indicated that the upside-down pyramid-shaped building (Case 1) with an average radius of 19.5 m and area of 1200 m² was the best alternative in terms of energy use (73.78
kWh/m²) and PMV (0.34). However, in terms of daylight factor, the worst performance measured about 144 lux, which indicated insufficient natural daylight.

By contrast, the indentation building form (Case 2) showed the worst building form in terms of energy consumption and thermal comfort index. Although this form obtained an acceptable daylight factor of approximately 214 lux, it could not be chosen as an optimum alternative. Case 3 with an average radius of 19.5 m had the greatest daylight factor provision of approximately 358 lux (around 66% greater than that of the other cases). However, in terms of energy performance, Case 3 ranked third and was amongst the best alternatives in terms of thermal comfort index (0.44) based on Fanger model. Lastly, the spiral form (Case 4) showed good results in terms of energy consumption (78.60 kWh/m²) and natural daylighting (199 lux). In addition, no significant difference was observed between Case 4 and Case 3 with regard to thermal comfort index.

Building shape factors, especially those related to shading, play an important role in reducing building energy consumption. This argument is consistent with the findings of Capeluto (2003), who improved the energy performance for all orientations of a building with a self-shading envelope. In the hot-humid climate of Saudi Arabia, Alhuwayil et al. (2019) found that an advanced shading could reduce the annual energy consumption of a building by approximately 20.5%.

In sum, building forms serve as the optimal solution for the hot-humid region of Penang, Malaysia. Case 1 and Case 3 showed better energy performance than Case 2 and Case 4 because of their particular specifications. Case 1 with a 260 cm depth and 110 cm vertical offset from the top of a window and Case 3 with a 90 cm depth without a vertical offset from the top of a window revealed that the depth of horizontal shading is highly effective in improving energy performance. A comparison of all cases revealed that Case 1 had the lowest total energy consumption of around 73.78 kWh/m² and that Case 2 had the highest energy consumption of approximately 91.12 kWh/m². This result shows that the optimisation of building shape can reduce building energy demand by up to 19% in the study location. Overall, Case 1 and Case 2 could not be considered as the best alternatives of their low daylight factor and high energy consumption, respectively. Case 3 and Case 4 were close in terms of thermal comfort, EEI and energy consumption. However, Case 3 provided more sufficient natural daylight than Case 4.

Another interesting finding is that a circular building shape with horizontal shading is better than that with vertical shading. Thus, in general, the self-shading approach in buildings, especially in high-rise buildings, contributes to the improvement of building energy performance by providing sufficient natural daylight and a comfortable indoor environment. This study can be considered as an important step in understanding the effects of high-rise building forms on energy performance.

Limitations and implications of the study

The findings of this study could also be applicable to areas with similar climate conditions. However, these observations are limited, and some other aspects of passive design can be further explored on the basis of this work. One limitation of this work is the constant value of the WWR. Further investigation is necessary to explore the effects of various WWRs and building forms on energy performance and solar gain. The lack of consideration of operation costs, solar photovoltaic potential, other diverse forms and other climate zones are other important limitations of this study that warrant further investigations.

Energy efficiency is amongst the prime objectives of the energy policy in the country. In line with National Energy Efficiency Action Plan (NEEAP, 2015), this study contributes to enhance energy efficiency of new buildings by incorporating design strategies in the design process. Evidence suggests that the design of buildings by designers and architects is mostly focused on the aesthetic values rather than energy performance and climate conditions. Meanwhile, residents as users of residential buildings are not aware of how much energy is being consumed or wasted in their routine activities. Hence,
professionals must find a novel way to save energy whilst taking into consideration the daily needs of residents. The function of a building form depends on the shape and properties that are influenced by temperature, solar gain, wind and humidity. Therefore, setting out a suitable relationship between buildings and climate is a challenge for architects.
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