Hawking radiation as tunneling from the Kerr and Kerr-Newman black holes
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Recent work, which treats the Hawking radiation as a semi-classical tunneling process at the horizon of the Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström spacetimes, indicates that the exact radiant spectrum is no longer pure thermal after considering the black hole background as dynamical and the conservation of energy. In this paper, we extend the method to investigate Hawking radiation as massless particles tunneling across the event horizon of the Kerr black hole and that of charged particles from the Kerr-Newman black hole by taking into account the energy conservation, the angular momentum conservation, and the electric charge conservation. Our results show that when self-gravitation is considered, the tunneling rate is related to the change of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and the derived emission spectrum deviates from the pure thermal spectrum, but is consistent with an underlying unitary theory.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The “no hair” theorem stated that all information about the collapsing body was lost from the outside region apart from three conserved quantities: the mass, the angular momentum, and the electric charge. In other words, this implied that the only stationary rotating black hole solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell equations in four dimensions are the Kerr-Newman metrics. In the classical theory, the loss of information was not a serious problem since the information could be thought of as preserved inside the black hole but just not very accessible. However, taking the quantum effect into consideration, the situation is changed. With the emission of thermal radiation 1, black holes could lose energy, shrink, and eventually evaporate away completely. Since the radiation with a precise thermal spectrum carries no information, the information carried by a physical system falling toward black hole singularity has no way to be recovered after a black hole has disappeared completely. This is the so-called “information loss paradox” 2, which means that pure quantum states (the original matter that forms the black hole) can evolve into mixed states (the thermal spectrum at infinity). Such an evolution violates the fundamental principles of quantum theory, as these prescribe a unitary time evolution of basis states. While the information paradox can perhaps be attributed to the semi-classical nature of the investigations of Hawking radiation, researches in string theory indeed support the idea that Hawking radiation can be described within a manifestly unitary theory, however, it still remains a mystery how information is recovered. Although a complete resolution of the information loss paradox might be within a unitary theory of quantum gravity or string/M-theory, it is argued that the information could come out if the outgoing radiation were not exactly thermal but had subtle corrections 2.

On the other hand, the mechanism of black hole radiation remains shrouded in some degree of mystery. In the original derivation of black hole evaporation, Hawking described the thermal radiation as a quantum tunneling process 3 triggered by vacuum fluctuations near the event horizon. According to this scenario, a pair of particles is spontaneously created just inside the horizon, the positive energy particle then tunnels out to the infinity, and the negative energy “partner” remains behind and effectively lowers the mass of the black hole. This tunneling picture can be depicted in another manner, that is, a particle/anti-particle pair is created just outside the horizon, the negative energy particle tunnels into the horizon because the negative energy orbit exists only inside the horizon, the positive energy “partner” is left outside and emerges at infinity.

In fact, the above viewpoint that regards the radiation
as quantum tunneling out from inside the black hole has been proved very convenient to explore the issue of dynamics. But, actual derivation \[4\] of Hawking radiation did not proceed in this way at all, most of which based upon quantum field theory on a fixed background spacetime without considering the fluctuation of the spacetime geometry. Moreover, there is another fundamental issue that must necessarily be dealt with, namely, the energy conservation. It seems clear that the background geometry of a radiating black hole should be altered with the loss of energy, but this dynamical effect is often neglected in formal treatments.

Recently, a program that implemented Hawking radiation as a tunneling process was initiated by Kraus and Wilczek \[5\] and developed by Parikh and Wilczek \[6\], (this framework shall be referred to as the Kraus-Parikh-Wilczek’s analysis for briefness, see also Ref. \[7\] for a different methodology that the tunneling picture has been applied.) who carried out a dynamical treatment of black hole radiance in the static spherically symmetric black hole geometries. More specifically, they considered the effects of a positive energy matter shell propagating outwards through the horizon of the Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström black holes, and incorporated the self-gravitation correction of the radiation. In particular, they took into account the energy conservation and allowed the background geometry to fluctuate in their dynamical description of the black hole background. In doing so, they allowed the black hole to lose mass while radiating, but maintained a constant energy for the total system. The emission spectrum that they calculated for the Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström black holes gives a leading-order correction to the emission rate arising from loss of mass of the black hole, which corresponds to the energy carried by the radiated quantum. This result displays that the derived spectrum of black hole radiation is not strictly pure thermal under the consideration of energy conservation and the unixed spacetime background, which may be a correct amendment to Hawking radiation spectrum.

Apart from the energy conservation and the particle’s self-gravitation are considered, a salient point in the Kraus-Parikh-Wilczek’s analysis is to introduce a coordinate system that is well-behaved at the event horizon in order to calculate the emission probability. The so-called “Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinates” rediscovered in Ref. \[8\] are not only time independent and regular at the horizon, but for which time reversal is manifestly asymmetric, namely, the coordinates are stationary but not static. Following this approach, a lot of people \[9, 10, 11\] have investigated Hawking radiation as tunneling from various spherically symmetric black holes, and the obtained results are very successful to support the Parikh-Wilczek’s picture. Nevertheless, all these investigations are limited to the spherically symmetric black holes and most of them are confined only to discuss the tunneling process of the uncharged massless particles. There are also some recent attempts to extend this approach to the case of the stationary axisymmetric geometries \[12, 13\], however, as far as the treatment is concerned, not all of them are completely satisfactory.

The purpose of the current paper is to present a reasonable extension of the self-gravitation analysis (we will follow the presentation of \[4\]) from spherically symmetric spacetime to the case of a rotating Kerr \[14\] black hole. Moreover, we attempt to extend this method to investigate the tunneling radiation of charged particles from the event horizon of a stationary Kerr-Newman \[15\] black hole. In order to do so, one needs to find a coordinate system that is well-behaved at the event horizon, since it is the key to do a tunneling computation in the semi-classical framework of \[8\]. Fortunately, several years ago a suitable solution to this problem was already provided by Chris Doran \[16\], who presented a faithful generalization of the Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinate system to the case of the Kerr black hole. The new form of the Kerr solution found in Ref. \[16\] inherits most of the attractive properties of the Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinate system: (1) The metric is regular at the event horizon; (2) The time direction remains to be a Killing vector besides there exists another Killing vector \(\partial_\phi\); (3) The time coordinate \(t\) registers the local proper time for radially free-falling observers; (4) The measure on the surfaces of constant-time slices is the same as that of flat spacetime; (5) In addition, it satisfies Landau’s condition of the coordinate clock synchronization \[17\]. All these features are very useful to study the radiation of particles tunneling across the event horizon of a rotating black hole. Their utility will be demonstrated by how to calculate the tunneling probability in this paper.

Now since we shall adopt the semi-classical method \[8, 6\] with which the gravitation effects need not to be taken into account in our discussion, it seems that the unique task left is directly to calculate the tunneling rate and the corrected radiant spectrum of the black holes. Nevertheless, there is still another important issue needed to be well addressed, namely, the frame-dragging effect of a rotating black hole. In general, because there exists a frame-dragging effect of the coordinate system in the stationary rotating spacetime, the matter field in the ergosphere near the horizon must be dragged by the gravitational field with an azimuthal angular velocity also, so a legitimate physical picture should be described in the dragging coordinate system. In addition, due to the presence of rotation, the event horizon does not coincide with the infinite red-shift surface in both forms of the original Kerr \[14\] solution and that presented by Doran \[16\], the geometrical optical limit cannot be used there since the Kraus-Parikh-Wilczek’s analysis is essentially akin to a WKB (‘s-wave’) approximation. So the Painlevé-Kerr metric introduced by Doran \[16\] is still inconvenient for us to depict the tunneling process that takes place at the event horizon. Apparently, this superficial difficulty can be easily overcome by further performing a dragging coordinate transformation which makes the event horizon coincide with the infinite red-shift surface so that
II. NEW FORM OF THE KERR SOLUTION AND DRAGGING COORDINATE SYSTEM

Recall that in the tunneling framework advocated by Parikh and Wilczek’s [8], a convenient trick is to use stationary coordinates that are manifestly asymmetric under time reversal. In the case of a Schwarzschild black hole, it is most convenient to recast the metric into the form of Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinates

\[
ds^2 = dt^2 - \left( \sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}} dt + dr \right)^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \tag{1}
\]

The Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinate system [11] has a number of nice features [10], many of which extend to the Kerr case. The solution is well-behaved, without a singularity at the horizon, so can be employed safely to analyze physical processes near the event horizon, and indeed inside it. A second useful feature is that the time coordinate \( t \) coincides with the local proper time of observers free-falling along radial trajectories starting from rest at infinity. Because it has many of the properties of a global, Newtonian time, physics as seen by these observers is almost entirely Newtonian, making it a very powerful one for studying across-horizon physics. Another useful property of this metric is that the measure on surfaces of constant-time slices is the same as that of flat Euclidean spacetime. A further feature of the metric is that an observer at infinity does not make any distinction between the Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinates and the static Schwarzschild coordinates, the two time coordinates coincides with each other there. Finally, the line element satisfies the Landau’s condition of coordinate clock synchronization [17], making it very important to discuss the tunneling process because particle tunneling through a barrier is an instantaneous process in the sense of quantum mechanics.

Extending to the case of a stationary rotating black hole, it is naturally expected to find an analogue of the Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinates for the Kerr solution. Such an attempt might fail due to the presence of angular momentum. However, Doran [16] indeed achieved a suitable generalization by realizing that the key is to look for a convenient set of reference observers which generalizes the idea of a family of free-falling observers on radial trajectories, since it is only the local properties of time \( t \) that make it so convenient for describing the physics of the solution. Starting from the advanced Eddington-Finkelstein coordinate formalism of the Kerr metric and performing a coordinate transformation, Doran reached to a new form of the Kerr metric as follows [16]

\[
ds^2 = dt^2 - \left( \sqrt{\frac{2M}{r}} \left( dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma}{r^2 + a^2}} dr \right)^2 \\
- \Sigma d\theta^2 - (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \tag{2}
\]

where \( \Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta \), and \( \Delta = r^2 - a^2 - 2Mr \) (see below), in which \( M \) is the mass, the specific angular momentum \( a = J/M \) is kept as a constant thought this paper.

Unlike Doran did in Ref. [16], instead we shall demonstrate that via a suitable coordinate transformation the metric [16] can be directly obtained from the usual form...
of the Kerr solution which can be expressed as \[ ds^2 = dt^2 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma} \left( d\bar{t} - a \sin^2 \theta d\bar{\phi} \right)^2 - \frac{\Sigma dr^2}{\Delta} - \Sigma d\theta^2 - \left( r^2 + a^2 \right) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \] (3)

In order to do so, it is instructive to note that the metric (4) can be obtained from the original Schwarzschild solution

\[ ds^2 = \left( 1 - \frac{2M}{r} \right) dt^2 - \frac{dr^2}{1 - 2M/r} - r^2 \left( d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right), \]

by a coordinate transformation of the Painlevé-type

\[ d\bar{t} = dt - \sqrt{\frac{2Mr}{r - 2M}} dr. \] (5)

So a natural extension of this transformation in the rotating case should be written as

\[ d\bar{t} = dt - \sqrt{\frac{2Mr}{r - 2M}} \frac{dr}{\Delta}, \]
\[ d\bar{\phi} = d\phi - \frac{a}{\Delta} \sqrt{\frac{2Mr}{r^2 + a^2}} dr. \] (6)

A direct computation can check this indeed is the case.

The new form (2) of the Kerr metric directly generalizes the Painlevé-Gullstrand metric (1), replacing \( \sqrt{2M/r} \) with \( \sqrt{2M/r} \Sigma \), and introducing a rotational component. This coordinate system faithfully inherits a number of nice characters of the Painlevé-Gullstrand line element: (1) The metric is well-behaved at the event horizon; (2) There exist two commuting Killing vectors \( \partial_t \) and \( \partial_{\phi} \); (3) The time coordinate \( t \) represents the local proper time for radially free-falling observers; (4) The hypersurfaces of constant-time slices are just flat Euclidean space in the oblate spheroidal coordinates; (5) In addition, it satisfies Landau’s condition of the coordinate clock synchronization (17). As such, we shall refer to it as the Painlevé-Kerr coordinate system. Since these coordinates comply with the perspective of a free-falling observer, who is expected to experience nothing out of the ordinary upon passing through the horizon, it is well-suited for studying processes near the event horizon. The new form of the Kerr solution has already proved to be very powerful in numerical simulation in astrophysics, black hole physics and quantum mechanics in curved spaces (14 and references therein). In this paper, we shall further exploit its another application in black hole phenomena due to its distinguishing feature of horizon regularity, namely, Hawking radiation as tunneling from the horizon.

However, due to the inclusion of rotation, the Painlevé-Kerr metric (2) is still inconvenient for our study of the tunneling process at the event horizon. The reasons come from two aspects. In the one hand, because the event horizon \( r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2} \) does not coincide with the infinite red-shift surface \( r_{TLS} = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 \cos^2 \theta} \) in both forms of the original Kerr solution and the Painlevé-Kerr metric, the geometrical optical limit cannot be applied. On the other hand, since there exists a frame-dragging effect in the stationary rotating spacetime, the matter field in the ergosphere near the horizon must be dragged by the gravitational field also, so a reasonable physical picture should be depicted in the dragging coordinate system. Obviously, this hints that we must continue to transform the metric into a dragging coordinate system. Carrying out a dragging coordinate transformation \( d\phi = \Omega \ dt \) with

\[ \Omega = \frac{d\phi}{dt} = -\frac{g_{\phi\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}} = \frac{a(r^2 + a^2 - \Delta)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}, \] (7)

yields the new line element, which shall be called as the dragged Painlevé-Kerr metric

\[ d\bar{s}^2 = \frac{\Delta \Sigma}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} dt^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{r^2 + a^2} dr^2 - 2 \frac{\sqrt{2Mr(r^2 + a^2)\Sigma}}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} dt dr - \Sigma d\theta^2. \] (8)

In fact, the line element (8) represents a 3-dimensional hypersurface in the 4-dimensional spacetime, with no coordinate singularity at the horizon. Along with the above-mentioned properties of the Painlevé-Kerr coordinate system, the event horizon and the infinite red-shift surface coincide with each other in the dragged Painlevé-Kerr coordinate system so that the WKB approximation can be used now. These attractive features are very advantageous for us to discuss Hawking radiation via tunneling and to do an explicit computation of the tunneling probability at the event horizon.

In the subsequent section, we shall investigate the tunneling behavior of massless particles from the horizon. For this purpose, let us first evaluate the radial, null geodesics. Since the tunneling processes take place near the event horizon, we may consider a particle tunneling across the event horizon as an ellipsoid shell and think that the particle should still be an ellipsoid shell during the tunneling process, i.e., the particle does not have motion in the \( \theta \)-direction. Therefore, under these assumptions \( (d\bar{s}^2 = 0 = d\bar{\theta}) \), the radial, null geodesics followed by massless particles are

\[ \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} \times \left[ -\sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta^2 a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^3}}} \right]. \] (9)

where the upper (lower) sign can be identified with the outgoing (ingoing) radial motion, under the implicit assumption that time \( t \) increases towards the future. In other words, the plus sign corresponds to an outgoing geodesic and the minus sign corresponds to an ingoing geodesic, respectively.
III. TUNNELING PROCESS OF UNCHARGED PARTICLES FROM KERR BLACK HOLES

Now we turn to discuss Hawking radiation of uncharged particles as a semi-classical tunneling process across the barrier which is created just by the outgoing particle itself. We adopt the picture of a pair of virtual particles spontaneously created just inside the horizon. The positive energy virtual particle can tunnel out and materialize as a real particle escaping classically to infinity, its negative energy partner is absorbed by the black hole, resulting in a decrease in the mass and angular momentum of the black hole. In our discussion, we consider the particle as an ellipsoid shell of energy $\omega$ and angular momentum $a\omega$. If the particle’s self-gravitation is taken into account, Eqs. (7-9) should be modified. To guarantee the conservation of energy and angular momentum, we fix the total mass and total angular momentum of the spacetime but allow the hole’s mass and angular momentum to fluctuate. When a particle of energy $\omega$ is radiated from the event horizon, the mass and angular momentum of the black hole will be reduced to $M - \omega$ and $(M - \omega)a$, respectively. Then we should replace $M$ with $M - \omega$ in Eqs. (7-9) in order to describe the moving of the shell. In particular, the particle will move along the modified null geodesic in the radial direction

$$\dot{r} = \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} \left[ \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}} \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^3}} \right]^{-1},$$

(10)

where $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2(M - \omega)r$ is the horizon equation after the emission of the particle with energy $\omega$.

Since the event horizon coincides with the infinite redshift surface in the dragged Painlevé-Kerr coordinate system, so the geometrical optical limit become an especially reliable approximation and the semi-classical WKB (‘s-wave’) approximation can be used. By means of WKB approximation, the tunneling probability for an outgoing positive energy particle can be expressed in terms of the imaginary part of the action as

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2\text{Im} S}.$$  

(11)

At this point, it should be noticed that the coordinate $\phi$ does not appear in the dragged Painlevé-Kerr metric. That is, $\phi$ is an ignorable coordinate in the Lagrangian function. To eliminate this degree of freedom completely, the imaginary part of the action should be written as [by using $dt = d\phi/\dot{\phi} = dr/\dot{r}$.]

$$\text{Im} S = \text{Im} \int_{r_i}^{r_f} \left( P_r \dot{r} - P_\phi \dot{\phi} \right) dt$$

$$= \text{Im} \int_{r_i}^{r_f} \int_0^{P_\phi} \left( \dot{r} \, dP_r - \dot{\phi} \, dP_\phi \right) \frac{dr}{r},$$

(12)

where $P_r$ and $P_\phi$ are two canonical momenta conjugate to $r$ and $\phi$, respectively. $r_i = r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ and $r_f = M - \omega + \sqrt{(M - \omega)^2 - a^2}$ are the locations of the event horizon before and after a particle tunnels out, they are just inside and outside the barrier through which the particle tunnels.

To proceed with an explicit calculation, we now remove the momentum in favor of energy by applying the Hamilton’s equations

$$\dot{r} = \frac{dH}{dP_r}(r; \phi, P_\phi) = \frac{d(M - \omega)}{dP_r},$$

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{dH}{dP_\phi}(r; \phi, P_r) = a\Omega \frac{d(M - \omega)}{dP_\phi},$$

(13)

where $dH(\phi, P_r) = \tilde{\Omega}dJ = a\tilde{\Omega}d(M - \omega)$, which represents the energy change of the black hole because of the loss of the angular momentum when a particle tunnels out, and the dragging angular velocity is given by

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \frac{a(r^2 + a^2 - \Delta)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \cos^2 \theta}.$$  

Substituting Eqs. (10) and (13) into Eq. (12), and noting that we must choose the positive sign in Eq. (10) as the particle is propagating from inside to outside the event horizon, then we have

$$\text{Im} S = \text{Im} \int_{r_i}^{r_f} \int_M^{M - \omega} \left[ (1 - a\Delta')d(M - \omega') \right] \frac{dr}{r}$$

$$= \text{Im} \int_M^{M - \omega} \int_{r_i}^{r_f} \frac{r^2(a^2 + \Delta')}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\Delta'} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta'}{r^2 + a^2}}$$

$$+ \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta'^2 a^2 \sin^2 \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^3}} \, drd(M - \omega'),$$

(14)

where

$$\Delta' = r^2 + a^2 - 2(M - \omega')r = (r - r_+')(r - r_-'),$$

$$r_\pm' = M - \omega' \pm \sqrt{(M - \omega')^2 - a^2}.$$  

We see that $r = r_\pm'$ is a single pole in Eq. (14). The integral can be evaluated by deforming the contour around the pole, so as to ensure that positive energy solution decay in time. In this way, we finish the $r$ integral and get
Completing the integration finally yields

$$\text{Im } S = -2\pi \int_M^{M-\omega} \frac{(M - \omega')^2 + (M - \omega)\sqrt{(M - \omega')^2 - a^2} - a^2/2}{\sqrt{(M - \omega')^2 - a^2}} d(M - \omega'). \tag{15}$$

In terms of the entropy expression $S_{BH} = \pi(r_+^2 + a^2)$, the tunneling rate is then expressible as:

$$\Gamma \sim e^{-2\text{Im } S} = e^{\Delta S_{BH}}, \tag{16}$$

where $\Delta S_{BH} = S_{BH}(M - \omega) - S_{BH}(M)$ is the difference of Bekenstein-Hawking entropies of the Kerr black hole before and after the emission of the particle. The derived emission spectrum actually deviates from pure thermal.

To conclude this section, we find that in order to properly extend the semi-classical tunneling formalism to the case of a Kerr black hole, we must adopt the Painlevé-Kerr metric which neatly generalizes the Painlevé-Gullstrand line element. Nevertheless, we must further transform it to the dragged Painlevé-Kerr coordinate system so that an explicit tunneling analysis can be made. Moreover, when the energy conservation and the angular momentum conservation as well as the particle’s self-gravitation are taken into account, the tunneling rate is related to the change of black hole entropy during the process of the particle’s emission and the radiant spectrum is not precisely thermal. Furthermore, it should be pointed out that our discussion made in this section can be directly generalized to deal with the Hawking radiation of charged massive particles tunneling from the Kerr-Newman black hole with a simple replacement of $2M$ by $2Mr - Q^2$. The result is the same as that obtained above, generalizing those given in Refs. \[8,9\]. In the next section, we will focus on a semi-classical treatment of the tunneling characters of charged massive particles from a charged Kerr black hole.

### IV. RADIATION OF CHARGED PARTICLES AS TUNNELING FROM THE KERR-NEWMAN BLACK HOLE

As mentioned in the last section, the analysis of uncharged massless particles tunneling from a Kerr-Newman black hole completely parallels to the case that made for a Kerr black hole. In this section, we shall investigate the tunneling behavior of a charged massive particle and calculate its emission rate from a charged rotating black hole. It should be noted that one must overcome two additional difficulties. The first is that one has to decide the equation of motion of a charged test particle since the radial, null geodesics is only applicable to describe the tunneling behavior of the uncharged radiation from the event horizon. Different from the null geodesics of an uncharged particle, the trajectory followed by a charged massive particle is not light-like, but subject to Lorentz forces. Here we will decide it approximately by the phase velocity. The second is how to take into account the effect of the electro-magnetic field when the charged particle tunnels out from the event horizon. Apart from the conservation of energy and angular momentum, the electric charge conservation must be considered also. In the following discussion, we shall adopt a slightly modified tunneling picture, that is, we consider the charged massive particle as a charged conducting ellipsoid shell carrying energy $\omega$, angular momentum $a\omega$, and electric charge $q$. To account for the effect of the electro-magnetic field, we shall consider a matter-gravity system that consists of the black hole and the electro-magnetic field outside the hole. Taking into account the particle’s self-gravitation, the conservation of energy and angular momentum as well as electric charge, we must fix the total mass, total angular momentum, and total electric charge of the spacetime but allow those of the black hole to vary also.

Before seeking for the equation of motion of a charged massive particle, we must first deal with how the electro-magnetic vector potential changes under two steps of coordinate transformations. To this end, recall that the Kerr-Newman black hole solution \[1\] can be expressed in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinate system as

$$ds^2 = \frac{2Mr - Q^2}{\Sigma} (d\bar{t} - a\sin^2 \theta d\phi)^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 - \Sigma d\theta^2 - (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \tag{18}$$

$$A = \frac{Qr}{\Sigma} (d\bar{t} - a\sin^2 \theta d\phi), \tag{19}$$

where $\Sigma = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$, and $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 + Q^2 - 2Mr$, in which the parameters $M$, $Q$, and $J = Ma$ are the mass, the electric charge, and the angular momentum of the black hole, respectively.

A generalized Painlevé-type coordinate transformation

$$d\bar{t} = dt - \frac{\sqrt{(2Mr - Q^2)(r^2 + a^2)}}{\Delta} dr,$$

$$d\bar{\phi} = d\phi - \frac{a}{\Delta} \sqrt{2Mr - Q^2} \frac{dr}{r^2 + a^2}, \tag{20}$$
sends the metric and the vector potential to
\[
\begin{align*}
ds^2 &= dt^2 - \Sigma dB^2 - \left[\sqrt{\frac{2M r - Q^2}{\Sigma}}(dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi) + \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma}{r^2 + a^2}} dr^2 - (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2, \\
A &= \frac{Q r}{\Sigma} (dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi).
\end{align*}
\]  

(21)

Here, the form of vector potential remains unchanged up to a gauge transformation. To make the event horizon coincide with the infinite red-shift surface, we further introduce the dragging coordinate transformation
\[
d\phi = \frac{a(r^2 + a^2 - \Delta)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} dt,
\]  

(23)

under which the desired 3-dimensional dragged Painlevé-Kerr-Newman line element and the relevant electromagnetic vector potential can be obtained as follows
\[
\begin{align*}
ds^2 &= \frac{\Delta \Sigma}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} dt^2 - \frac{\Sigma}{r^2 + a^2} dr^2 - 2\sqrt{2M r - Q^2}(r^2 + a^2) \Sigma d\theta dt - \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2} dr^2, \\
\dot{A} &= \frac{Q r(r^2 + a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} dt = A_i dt.
\end{align*}
\]  

(24)

As before, the radial, null geodesics are given by Eq. with 2Mr replaced by 2Mr - Q^2. But we are considering the tunneling process of charged particles from a rotating charged black hole, the trajectory followed by a charged test particle is not light-like, it does not follow the radially light-like geodesics when it tunnels across the horizon. Because the calculations are more involved by the fact that the trajectory is now also subject to Lorentz forces, for the sake of simplicity, here it is approximately determined by the phase velocity. According to de Broglie’s hypothesis and the definition of the phase (group) velocity, the outgoing particle that can be considered as a massive shell corresponds to a kind of ‘s-wave’ whose phase velocity \( v_p \) and group velocity \( v_g \) have the following relationship
\[
\begin{align*}
v_p &= \frac{1}{2} v_g; \quad v_p = \frac{dr}{dt}, \quad v_g = \frac{dr_c}{dt},
\end{align*}
\]  

(26)

where \( r_c \) denotes the radial position of the particle.

Since the tunneling process across the barrier is an instantaneous effect, there are two events that take place simultaneously in different places during the process. One is the particle tunneling into the barrier, another is the particle tunneling out the barrier. Because the dragged Painlevé-Kerr-Newman metric (18) satisfies Landau’s condition of the coordinate clock synchronization (17), the coordinate time difference of these two events is
\[
dt = -\frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}} dr_c, \quad (d\theta = 0).
\]  

(27)

By the definition of the group velocity, we have
\[
v_g = \frac{dr_c}{dt} = -\frac{g_{rr}}{g_{tt}} = \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{2M r - Q^2}(r^2 + a^2)}.  
\]  

Therefore the phase velocity is
\[
\dot{r} = \frac{dr}{dt} = -\frac{g_{rr}}{2g_{tt}} = \frac{\Delta}{2(r^2 + a^2)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}}}.  
\]  

(29)

To include the particle’s self-interaction effect after the charged particle emission, the mass and charge parameters in Eqs. and should be replaced with \( M \to M - \omega \) and \( Q \to Q - q \), when a charged test particle of energy \( \omega \) and electric charge \( q \) tunnels out. Based on a similar discussion to that made in the last section, it is obvious that we must accordingly modify the radial trajectory of the charged massive particle to account for the particle’s self-gravitational, which is described by
\[
\dot{r} = \frac{\Delta}{2(r^2 + a^2)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2}}}.  
\]  

(30)

where \( \Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2(M - \omega)r + (Q - q)^2 \) is the horizon equation after the emission of the particle with energy \( \omega \) and electric charge \( q \).

When we investigate the tunneling process of a charged particle, the effect of the electromagnetic field should be taken into account. So we must consider the matter-gravity system that consists of the black hole and the electromagnetic field outside the black hole. As the Lagrangian function of the electromagnetic field corresponding to the generalized coordinates described by \( A_i = -(1/4)F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \), we can find that the generalized coordinate \( A_i \) in Eq. is an ignorable coordinate. In addition, the coordinate \( \phi \) is also a cyclic one. In order to eliminate these two degrees of freedom completely, the action of the charged massive particle should be written as
\[
S = \int_{t_1}^{t_f} \left[ \left(P_r \dot{r} - P_\phi \dot{\phi} - P_{A_i} \dot{A}_i \right) dt \\
- \int_{r_1}^{r_f} \left( (P_r, P_\phi, P_{A_i}) \right) \left( \dot{r} dP_r - \dot{\phi} dP_\phi - \dot{A}_i dP_{A_i} \right) \right] dr.
\]  

(31)

where the canonical momenta \( P_r, P_\phi, P_{A_i} \) conjugate to the coordinates \( \{ r, \phi, A_i \} \), \( r_1 = M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2 - a^2} \) and \( r_f = M - \omega + \sqrt{(M - \omega)^2 - (Q - q)^2 - a^2} \) are the locations of the event horizon before and after the charged particle emission.

According to the Hamilton’s equations, we have
\[
\dot{r} = \frac{dH}{dP_r |_{(r, \phi, P_r, A_i, P_{A_i})}} = \frac{d(M - \omega)}{dP_r},
\quad \dot{\phi} = \frac{dH}{dP_\phi |_{(r, \phi, P_r, A_i, P_{A_i})}} = \frac{aM d(M - \omega)}{dP_\phi},
\quad \dot{A}_i = \frac{dH}{dP_{A_i} |_{(A_i, r, \phi, P_r, P_\phi)}} = \frac{\Phi d(Q - q)}{dP_{A_i}}.
\]  

(32)
where the dragging angular velocity and the electric potential in the dragging coordinate system are given by
\[
\Omega = \frac{a(r^2 + a^2 - \Delta)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta},
\]
\[
\Phi = \frac{(Q-q)r(r^2 + a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta}.
\]

We would like to emphasize that, by keeping the mass \( M \) and the electric charge \( Q \) fixed, the conservation of energy and angular momentum as well as electric charge will be enforced in a natural fashion. Substituting Eqs. 30 and 32 into Eq. 31, and switching the order of integration yield the imaginary part of the action

\[
\text{Im } S = \text{Im} \left[ \int_{r_r}^{r_f} \int_{(M, Q)}^{(M-\omega, Q-q)} (1 - a\Omega)'d(M - \omega') - \Phi'd(Q - q') \right] dr
\]
\[
= \text{Im} \left[ \int_{(M, Q)}^{(M-\omega, Q-q)} \int_{r_r}^{r_f} 2(r^2 + a^2) \Delta' \sqrt{1 - \frac{\Delta'}{r^2 + a^2}} \left( \frac{r^2(r^2 + a^2) + \Delta a^2 \cos^2 \theta}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} d(M - \omega') \right)
\]
\[
- \frac{(Q-q)r(r^2 + a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2 \theta} d(Q - q') \right] dr,
\]

where
\[
\Delta' = r^2 + a^2 - 2(M - \omega')r + (Q - q')^2 = (r - r_+)^2(r - r_-), \quad r'_{\pm} = M - \omega' \pm \sqrt{(M - \omega')^2 - (Q - q')^2 - a^2}.
\]

The above integral can be evaluated by deforming the contour around the single pole \( r = r'_+ \) at the event horizon. Doing the \( r \) integral first, we find
\[
\text{Im } S = -\pi \int_{(M, Q)}^{(M-\omega, Q-q)} \frac{2r'_+}{r'_+ - r'_-} \left[ r'_+ d(M - \omega') - (Q - q')d(Q - q') \right].
\]

By means of the identity
\[
(r'_+ - r'_-) dr'_+ = 2r'_+ d(M - \omega') - 2(Q - q')d(Q - q'), \quad (35)
\]
we can easily finish the integration and arrive at a simple expression
\[
\text{Im } S = -\pi \int_{r_r}^{r_f} r'_+ dr'_+ = \frac{\pi}{2} \left( r^2 - r_+^2 \right), \quad (36)
\]
from which the tunneling rate can be readily deduced
\[
\Gamma \sim e^{-2\text{Im } S} = e^{\pi(r^2 - r_+^2)} = e^{\Delta S_{BH}},
\]
where \( \Delta S_{BH} = S_{BH}(M, Q) - S_{BH}(M, Q) = \pi(r^2 - r_+^2) \) is the difference of Bekenstein-Hawking entropies of the Kerr-Newman black hole before and after the particle emission. We see that the above result perfectly generalizes those obtained in Refs. 18, 19, which is indicative of a consistence with an underling unitary theory.

To end this section, it is necessary to reveal that the reason why the Kraus-Parikh-Wilczek’s semi-classical tunneling formalism is so successful is in that its effectiveness, to a large extent, relies on the well-known thermodynamic properties of a charged rotating black hole. A rigorous check on the prescribed method allows us to confirm that it is closely related to the first law of black hole thermodynamics. In fact, it is easily observed that all the radial trajectories whether or not they are charged, share the common near-horizon behavior
\[
\dot{r} \approx \kappa'(r - r'_+), \quad \kappa' = \frac{r'_+ - r'_-}{2(r'_+^2 + a^2)},
\]
where \( \kappa' \) is the surface gravity after the particle’s emission. On the other hand, note also that the explicit expressions for the angular velocity and electric potential are given by
\[
\Omega'_+ = \frac{a}{r'^2 + a^2}, \quad \Phi'_+ = \frac{(Q-q)r'_+}{r'^2 + a^2},
\]
one can verify that the entropy \( S' = \pi(r'^2 + a^2) \) obeys the differential form of the first law of thermodynamics
\[
d(M - \omega') = \frac{\kappa'}{2\pi} dS' + a\Omega'_+ d(M - \omega') + \Phi'_+ d(Q - q').
\]

Keeping the mass \( M \) and electric charge \( Q \) fixed, Eq. 39 indicates that the black hole could be in thermal equilibrium with the radiation outside the hole, and the detailed equilibrium condition is essentially equivalent to the conservation laws established elsewhere 18.
Substituting Eq. (39) into (38), then the imaginary part of the action can be rewritten as

\[ \text{Im } S \approx \text{Im } \int r_f \int_{(M, Q)} (M - \omega - Q - q) \frac{1}{\kappa'(r - r'_+)} d(M - \omega') \]

\[ - a \Omega'_+ d(M - \omega') - \Phi' + d(Q - q') dr \]

\[ = - \frac{1}{2} \int S_{BH}(M - \omega, Q - q) dS' = \frac{1}{2} \Delta S_{BH}, \quad (40) \]

which is equal to half of the difference of the initial and final entropy of the system. This completes our proof.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we have presented a neat extension of the semi-classical tunneling framework [2, 3] in the spherically symmetric black hole cases to deal with Hawking radiation of massless particles as a tunneling process through the event horizon of a Kerr black hole and that of charged particles from a Kerr-Newman black hole. The new form of the Kerr solution found by Doran [16] is especially appropriate for us to do an explicit tunneling calculation when transformed into the dragged Painlevé-Kerr coordinate system. By treating the background spacetime as dynamical, the energy conservation and the angular momentum conservation as well as the electric charge conservation are enforced in a nature way, when the particle’s self-gravitation is taken into account. Adapting this tunneling picture, we were able to compute the tunneling rate and the radiant spectrum of a Kerr and Kerr-Newman black hole. The resulting probability of particle emission is proportional to a phase space factor depending on the initial and final entropy of the system which multiplies the square of the quantum mechanical tunneling amplitude for the process. Meanwhile, this implies that the emission spectrum actually deviates from perfect thermality, but is in agreement with an underlying unitary theory (which is presumably String Theory but that is beyond the scope of this paper).

Before concluding, some remarks are in order. First, our analysis made here strictly followed the approach [5, 6] that visualizes the source of Hawking radiation as a tunneling process. The pertinent point of this approach is that black hole radiance is a dynamical mechanism for which conservation laws must be enforced. The effectiveness of the prescribed method is closely related to the first law of black hole thermodynamics. For a stationary radiation process where a black hole is in thermal equilibrium with the outside radiation, the detailed equilibrium condition suggests quantum conservation laws hold true [6]. If the evaporation can stabilize with the end point of the system being a stable remnant in thermal equilibrium with radiation, the information could be preserved. Second, we would like to stress that the preceding study is still a semi-classical analysis (formally analogous to a WKB approximation), which means that the radiation should be treated as point particles. Such an approximation can only be valid in the low energy regime. If we are to properly address the information loss problem, then a better understanding of physics at the Planck scale is a necessary prerequisite, especially that of the last stages or the endpoint of Hawking evaporation.

Finally, in a separate work [20] the extension made here has satisfactorily been examined in the case of a (2 + 1)-dimensional rotating black hole. Further application to the case of rotating black holes in higher dimensions is in progress.

Note added: After we finished this work, the paper [21] appeared, discussing the charged particles’ tunneling from the Kerr-Newman black hole.
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