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Abstract
The security and interoperability of an adopted and advanced architecture within heterogeneous components, based on the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) Sensor Web Enablement Architecture (SWE) and RESTful web service, requires integrity and confidentiality in the different communication protocol.

RESTful services are considered a versatile lightweight solution relied upon by a number of advanced web services. At the same time, RESTful services suffer from a lack of meta-data description concerning security requirements. We introduce the REST security protocol to provide secure data transfer service, together with its quality and its performance analysis when compared to equivalent WS-security configuration.

The work in this paper aims to introduce a security protocol of communication between the sensors based on SWE services and the adopted RESTful interface. In this way, we provide a REST security protocol which will implement a secure lightweight sensor message and analyze its performance comparing to equivalent web services.

Keywords: Representational state transfer; Performance; Protocol; Sensor web; Wireless sensor networks; Sensor web enablement; SOS

Introduction
There is important on-going progress in the field of sensor networks deployment, especially with regards to controlling and monitoring the environment through the measurement of environmental physical values. Pollution, climate, global warming and natural disasters are of global significance, directly impacting human well-being. The criticality of the consequences requires that the communication tools be secure, providing strong confidence in the data transfer protocol. REST is suitable lightweight for such application; thus securing these web services whilst respecting the SWE standards is the proposal we present in this paper.

Confidentiality, availability and integrity are the security features that we will apply on web services in order to secure. Finally, section 5 we present our conclusions and future work.

Related Work
Diverse research and studies related to RESTful security has been conducted to provide security methods for data exchange between sensors and applications.

The security solution of Amazon S3 [1] REST security model supports authentication and the client encryption data over HTTP requests. Requests are based on a token method to protect the data from unauthorized access, deletion or modification. Transmitting the proof of identity and ensuring the request authenticity it is the role of the token, which brings the signature value calculated in every request. The security of the data transfer depends upon the integrity of the endpoints. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduces the most important works that deal with the REST security and SWE standards. In section 3, we start by introducing the architecture system based on SWE standards and REST technology presenting our security approach for an adopted SWE services to a REST architecture style. In section 4, we analyze and evaluate the performance of the proposed security approach and position our security orientation regarding WS security APIs via a benchmark. Rouached [2] research showed that RESTful services are much lighter than SOS services.

Our approach is to secure our sensors communication channel using the lightweight RESTful interfaces based on SWE services. We propose to apply a specific security policy on the sensors data exchange using the lightweight JSON format based on OGC standards.

The same solution by SAP Labs France [3] but it brings more flexibility and server benefits from a PKI environment in order to serve its clients by rendering services without the need to maintain and generate secret keys. Users can use the REST security protocol with any service providers by simply uploading of their public key.

The security solution of Nevada Solar Energy-Water-Environment [4] explains the authentications implied for RESTful web service such as: HTTP Digest Authentication, HTTP Basic Auth, Access Token and OAuth, and API Key. This security solution uses the data collected from various sensors and stores it within the database.

All these previous studies and others article present valuable security models and approach for REST security and its performance. Some of them provide excellent results with regards to securing communication channels between sensors and application servers; however they do not provide an interoperable and secured solution which respects standards. Sergio [5] research provided a variety of interfaces by sustaining interoperability of SWE and proposed the use of RESTful services based on SWE standards.

REST Security for SWE
In this section, we will demonstrate the principle concept of secured data transfer based on REST security principle sand SWE standards.
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SWE framework and secure RESTful web services

**SWE framework:** The SWE Framework is an idea from the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) for a protocol that describes Sensors and Sensor Observations, designed to unify communications between sensors using a particular set of tools or a suite of standards encodings. Those standards define the appropriate data format for sensor data and metadata, and web services interfaces.

The work in this level aims at developing the interoperability and improving the security of data provided by sensor networks based on SWE standards.

SWE offers a specific language and service interface in order to guarantee a smooth and standardized transfer between sensors and data storage. This ‘core’ is divided in two parts:

- The “Service Model”: This standard defines 4 interfaces of sensor related web service types
- The “Information Model”: contains the data model primarily for the encoding of the sensor observations and metadata results.

**Part one: Information model**

- **Sensor observations service (SOS):** The standard, which defines a web services interface: providing not only querying observations but also sensor metadata. Furthermore, this norm allows other operations such as; registering new sensors and remove existing ones, and defines new methods to insert new sensor observations.
- **Sensor planning service (SPS):** The standard that defines interfaces for queries which provide information about the abilities of a sensor and how to task it.

This Standard is designed to support queries that have the following purposes:

- To determine the viability of a sensor planning request (SPR)
- To submit and commit a request
- To ask about the status of the demand
- To update or remove a request
- To request details and information about additional OGC Web services to provide access to data collected by the requested task
- **Sensor Alert Service (SAS):** To determinate how alert or “alarm” conditions are defined and detected. The “SAS” norm is used to focus on alerts from sensors and sensor webs, so the SAS itself acts like a registry rather than an event notification system.
- **Web Notification Services (WNS):** This standard defines a set of specifications which show the web service interactions with the notifications. A web service can communicate and exchange information with other web services without needing prior knowledge of these other Web Services.

**Part two: information model**

- **Observations & Measurements (O&M):** The standard which specifies an XML implementation for encoding observations from a sensor and for features and behaviors involved in sampling whilst taking those observations (Figure 1).
- **Sensor Model Language (SensorML):** The standard which not only provides an xml schema for defining the geometric, dynamic and observational characteristics of a sensor, but also describes sensors systems and the processes associated with the sensors observations.

• Transducer Model Language (TransducerML or TML): Refers to the conceptual model and XML Schema for exchanging live streaming or archived data from any sensor systems.

OGC standards facilitate the adaptation of external tools, forms and model to Restful interface which has been well introduced in previous research; however, how can we guarantee the confidentiality, the integrity and the availability of the sensor data transfer once this implementation?

**Security policy for an adapted SWE framework to REST architecture**

Our approach here is to adopt an advanced security policy within heterogeneous components: adopt the Sensor Web Enablement services with secure RESTful web service as shown in Figure 2. This architecture is already defined and based on two characteristics regarding the development of REST interfaces for each element of the service model (SOS, SAS, SPS, and WNS): Each service can be applied as an application server that encapsulates SWE service instances, and each operates as a proxy for the service to offer RESTful interface to the data [6-8].

Each deployed sensor node can join the network by its unique ID in order to provide, send and receive data using the different Service Model Standards components (SOS, SAS, SPS, and WNS) and the different operations of REST (POST, GET, Delete, Put, etc.) (Figure 2).

In our case, the most important level is the SOS service and the RESTful interface (RESTful SOS) which guarantees interoperability and acts as a proxy to the existing SOS. This proxy also transforms encoded observations in the Observations and Measurements format to lightweight JSON format, which is considered as an independent platform for a data exchange and requires lower overhead and less secured resources compared to the XML format shown in Figure 3.

Proposing secure communication by respecting the philosophy of RESTful services and taking full advantage of the reusing the

HTTP protocol with the minimum overhead:

REST is ideally suited to exposing data over such networks and has low bandwidth.

This advantage will be more efficient when we guarantee a secured communication between the sensors based on SWE services and those on the adopted RESTful interface (Figure 3).

In our case, reuse HTTP protocol to its full advantage will be the pillar of our RESTful security principal protocol, which will be very aligned to the WS-security standard (confidentiality, Authenticity, and non-repudiation).

In this session, we show the steps to attach signature information to a sensor message, the encryption of a REST message and the basic authentication methods for REST.

**Message signature:** The use of digital signatures for transmitting
sensors data through non-secure channels can be very valuable in combating forgery and preventing the misrepresentation of digital information [9,10].

In our case, a digital signature is a form of electronic signature, which assures that receiving server that the sensor message is the same message as intended by the sensor source.

In this case, a digital signature authenticates sensor messages and guarantees the correct transmission of electronic data.

The advantages of a digital signature process are better overall performance of authentication, integrity, and non-repudiation. The principal of our implementation is to ensure secure communication at the message level. The execution of the secured signature REST program needs some requirements: Msg is a message, sig is a signature algorithm name, dig is a digest algorithm, cid is a Certificate Id, pk is the sender private key, url path the requested path and hds are headers element to protect. So, we started to declare variables that we will use in our implementation.

```java
//***** Variables declaration********/
static boolean v;
static String theURL;
static MessageSignature request;
static byte[] dv;
static byte[] valeursSignature;
static String message;
static Cipher cipher;
```

In our program, we allow client to decide which algorithm to use

In our program, we allow client to decide which algorithm to use

```
// MessageDigest dig = MessageDigest.getInstance("MD5");
// Signature sig = Signature.getInstance("SHA1withDSA");
```

- MD5 (Message Digest 5) is a cryptographic hash function that computes, from a given message it hash.
- SHA1 with DSA creates and verifies the digital signature of a message (Figure 4).

The java code presents steps to attach signature information to the message after "digest then encrypt" processing.

Hash and Sign technical: Message ⇒ Hash ⇒ Sign ⇒ Verification

`SignatureAlgorithm = (Hash Function ID, Cipher ID)`

```java
Java code 1 : Signature of Rest messages
```

```
dv = calculerValeurDeHachage(getString(), dig);
url = ";
if (getString().equals(request))
{
    theURL = url.getPath();
}
Byte[] bytes =
    concat(dv, url, sig, cid, hds);
digValue =
    calculerValeurDeHachage(bytes, dig);
sigValue = encrypt(digValue, sig, pk);
```

We should start by generating method for public and private keys: Generate keys from a security of parameter produces a pair (private key : pk, public key : ppk).
Java function : Generate private and public keys

```java
KeyPair keyPair = generateKeyPair(key);
PrivateKey pk = keyPair.getPrivate();
PublicKey ppk = keyPair.getPublic();
public static KeyPair generateKeyPair(long l) throws Exception {
    KeyPairGenerator keyGenerator = KeyPairGenerator.getInstance("DSA");
    SecureRandom rng = SecureRandom.getInstance("SHA1PRNG", "SUN");
    rng.setSeed(l);
    keyGenerator.initialize(1024, rng);
    return (keyGenerator.generateKeyPair());
}
```

Public key was used to encrypt the message. The private key is held by the receiver only, which is used to decrypt the message encrypted with the public key (Figure 5).

We retrieve digValue from a function, which return bytes from a message send via sensor and a message digest (Figure 6).

Java function : Calculate hash values

```java
public static byte[] calculerValeurDeHachage(MessageDigest dig, String msg) {
    dig.update(msg.getBytes());
    return (dig.digest());
}
```

Then we calculate the values of the signature using the adequate method (Figure 7).

Java code 2 : Verification of REST signature

```java
public static boolean verifySig(byte[] data, PublicKey key, byte[] sig) throws Exception {
    if (data.equals(sig) == true) {
        return true;
    }
    return false;
}
```

Encryption: A goal is to protect data within messages sent from sensors via RESTful web services to data storage, based on the WSE standards.

Encryption is used to protect sensitive data within the sensor message. An algorithm and a cryptographic key are used to encrypted data, whilst later the cipher text is converted back to the original plaintext [11-15].

Sensor as the originator of a message and the application server that receives the message from the sensor.

The process of data confidentiality can be applied in two steps:

- Encrypting the data. In this step, the sender (sensor) converts plaintext to cipher text.
- Decrypting the data. In this step, cipher text rendered intelligible to the intended recipient (application server) by converting it back to plaintext.

To provide data confidentiality, asymmetric algorithm is preferable, it imposes heaviness but on large quantities of data, it guarantees encryption performance. In addition, we generate a symmetric small key, easy for encryption and will be sent with the sensor message to the receiver.

This message contains the encrypted payload and the key details regarding the encryption algorithm.

This code processes the payload of a message. To share information
between public and private keys, the message contains an encrypted symmetric key.

**Encryption of a REST message**

```java
Public static byte[] encrypt(byte[] m, PrivateKey k) {
    try {
        KeyGenerator keyGenerator = KeyGenerator.getInstance("Blowfish");
        keyGenerator.init(n);
        SecretKey blowfishKey = keyGenerator.generateKey();
        Cipher cipher = Cipher.getInstance("RSA/ECB/PKCS1Padding");
        cipher.init(Cipher.ENCRYPT_MODE, blowfishKey);
        cipher.doFinal(m);
        return cipher.doFinal(m);
    } catch (Exception e) {
        return null;
    }
}
```

This code presents the reverse operation with respect to the above code. The message contains information about encrypted parts and codes used for key encryption and date encryption. To decrypt the data, the receiver of a message retrieves the symmetric key (Figure 8).

**Decryption of a REST message**

```java
public static byte[] decrypt(byte[] data, PrivateKey k) {
    try {
        Cipher cipher = Cipher.getInstance("RSA/ECB/PKCS1Padding");
        cipher.init(Cipher.DECRYPT_MODE, k);
        byte[] plainData = cipher.doFinal(data);
        return plainData;
    } catch (Exception e) {
        return null;
    }
}
```

**Signature and encryption:** To enhance security, applying both a digital signature and encryption will be an important feature. Creating a signature allows for authentication, avoids repudiation [16,17]. A signature alone cannot stop attackers from accessing the content of the message. Encryption alone is considered as an effective way of protecting confidential data but do not preclude against data manipulation and then data can be changed. Due to the importance the integrity and security of data, a combination of encryption and signature at the message level is applied to ensure confidentiality of data and prevent intruders from any modification (Figure 9).

**Basic authentication methods for REST:** Much research has been conducted about the use of HTTP to resolve the authentication problem by developing solutions and parameterizing servers in order to authenticate the client in every request, using HTTP basic Authentication, Access Token, API Key and OAuth.

All these previous methods are used for web services authentication, however HTTP basic Authentication and HTTP digest Authentication are not statelessness due the lack of session that keep the session. For this reason, the OAuth method is considered as the best method as we use a Token instead of ID and Password.

The client starts by requesting a service; the service server (SS) redirects the clients to a specific browser. OAuth process is working as following (Figure 10):

![Auth authentication](image)

**Figure 8:** Encrypted payload during a request.

**Figure 9:** Signature and encryption process.

**Figure 10:** Auth authentication.
1. Client request service to SS
2. The SS redirect the client’s browser to the AS
3. The client login to the AS to get his Token
4. The SS get the Token from the AS
5. Client can access to the SS with the Token

We have to note that this protocol allows a flexible way for client to authenticate. Many approaches include Client’s id and password as POST parameters by the use of Authentication Http Header. It must pass a grant type (“client credentials”) if they are correct, the AS return a JSON Object that contain the access Token and it Type and optionally other values needed. OAuth prefers the Authorization HTTP Header as a mechanism to request an access Token.

Experimental Results and Evaluation

The implementation of java codes for all these security scenarios requires a specific configuration and also a middleware system for preparing Sensor Web Infrastructures (SWI) based on Sensor Web Enablement (SWE). We have used a recognized free software: 52° North Sensor Web framework, which provides implementations for all SWE services through the OX-Framework (OGC framework).

The aim of OX-Framework is to offer a flexible architecture, which provides easy access to all types of OGC Web Services tools to visualize the required data. Thin SOS Client application, Web Map Server application, and uDig Plugin application are built in the OX-Framework in order to provide access to the different sensor data, through a web graphic user interface. In our case we have chosen the Thin SOS Client to interface with SOS service. To implement this demonstration we have used a several important public web applications as the RESTful SOS deployed at URL1 of the Institute for Geo-informatics of the University of Muenster and the application of the JSON exchange format presented in URL2.

The graphic interface provides easy accessibility to any kind of SOS and the response to the O&M request will be converted into a secured JSON format.

After configuring the different security scenarios and preparing the full test environment, we conduct performance tests in order to analyze the performance of our Restful service security scenario with other security scenarios as WS-security, measuring average response time (milliseconds), throughput (transaction/seconds), and response size (KB).

This benchmarking test scenario also requires a system for measuring and analyzing performance of the security solution that we have used; Apache JMeter is the software used in this operation.

In the following tables and figures, we present the processing of the data buffer size in terms of transmission time, using the different security mechanisms for REST and SOAP services (Figure 11).

The next Figures 12 and 13 shows the results of SOAP and REST without signature security.

Figure 12: Statics results of SOAP XML $ REST JSON-XML without security.

Figure 13: SOAP XML $ REST JSON-XML with sign security.

The following Figures 14 and 15 shows the results of SOAP and REST with signature security.

Figure 14: Statics results of SOAP and REST with sign security.

Figure 15: SOAP XML $ REST JSON-XML with encryption.

The following Figures 16 shows the results of SOAP and REST with encryption.

The following Figures 14 and 15 shows the results of SOAP and REST with signature security.

The following Figure 16 shows the results of SOAP and REST with encryption.
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