Cholesterol synthesis is a tightly regulated process, both transcriptionally and post-translationally. Transcriptional control of cholesterol synthesis is relatively well-understood. However, of the ~20 enzymes in cholesterol biosynthesis, post-translational regulation has only been examined for a small number. Three of the four sterol reductases in cholesterol production, 7-dehydrocholesterol reductase (DHCR7), 14-dehydrocholesterol reductase (DHCR14), and lamin-B receptor (LBR), share evolutionary ties with a high level of sequence homology and predicted structural homology. DHCR14 and LBR uniquely share the same Δ-14 reductase activity in cholesterol biosynthesis, yet little is known about their post-translational regulation. We have previously identified specific modes of post-translational control of DHCR7, but it is unknown whether these regulatory mechanisms are shared by DHCR14 and LBR. Using CHO-7 cells stably expressing epitope-tagged DHCR14 or LBR, we investigated the post-translational regulation of these enzymes. We found that DHCR14 and LBR undergo differential post-translational regulation, with DHCR14 being rapidly turned over, triggered by cholesterol and other sterol intermediates, whereas LBR remained stable. DHCR14 is degraded via the ubiquitin-proteasome system, and we identified several DHCR14 and LBR putative interaction partners, including a number of E3 ligases that modulate DHCR14 levels. Interestingly, we found that gene expression across an array of human tissues showed a negative relationship between the C14-sterol reductases; one enzyme or the other tends to be predominantly expressed in each tissue. Overall, our findings indicate that whereas LBR tends to be the constitutively active C14-sterol reductase, DHCR14 levels are tunable, responding to the local cellular demands for cholesterol.

Cholesterol is a vital lipid in the human body, and its deficiency can result in a wide array of health problems (1). It plays an essential role in membrane fluidity and the formation of lipid rafts (2), in the production of bile acids (3) and steroid hormones (4), and in embryonic development (5). However, an excess of cholesterol also leads to disease (6).

In the cholesterol synthesis pathway, there are four sterol reductases that catalyze three distinct reductive steps on sterol intermediates (7) (Fig. 1). Two of these reductases, 7-dehydrocholesterol reductase (DHCR7)3 and 24-dehydrocholesterol reductase (DHCR24) (the terminal enzymes of cholesterol biosynthesis), have been relatively well-characterized, and their transcriptional (8, 9) and post-translational regulation has been studied (10–14). Whereas their transcriptional regulation is generally understood (15–17), little is known about the post-translational regulation of the two remaining sterol reductases, lamin-B receptor (LBR) and 14-dehydrocholesterol reductase (DHCR14).

LBR and DHCR14 share the same C14-sterol reductase (C14-SR) activity, reducing the carbon 14–15 double bond in sterol intermediates. The substrates and products of C14-SRs were initially ascribed meiotic activities (18) and were collectively called meiosis-activating sterols (MASs), consisting of FF-MAS (folicular fluid MAS) and T-MAS (testis MAS) (19). DHCR24 can act on these sterols to produce dihydro-FF-MAS or dihydro-T-MAS.
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DHCR14 and LBR emerged as a result of a gene duplication event (20) and share a high level of sequence homology with 58% protein similarity over their shared sterol reductase domain in humans. Whether DHCR14 or LBR is responsible for the majority of C14-SR activity in mammals has been contentious (15, 20–24), with evidence presented for each being the primary C14-SR.

DHCR14 localizes to the ER (20), the site of post-squalene cholesterol biosynthesis, suggesting that it is well-positioned to participate in C14-SR activity. Previous work has also highlighted that human DHCR14 has higher C14-SR activity than human LBR in microsomal preparations, suggesting that it is the major C14-SR in cholesterol biosynthesis (15). Despite the localization and higher activity of DHCR14, mice deficient in this enzyme have normal sterol profiles and an average life expectancy (25), indicating that LBR may compensate for the absence of DHCR14. Moreover, LBR knockout cells fail to thrive in sterol depleted conditions, whereas DHCR14 knockout cells were not challenged by the sterol-deficient environment (24).

LBR is a multidomain protein, localized at the inner nuclear membrane, consisting of the sterol reductase domain, which is
homologous with DHCR14 and DHCR7, and an N-terminal Tudor domain that binds lamin-B, chromatin, and both assembled and unassembled histones H3 and H4 (26, 27). Despite the role of LBR in binding lamin-B, LBR knockout cells do not have altered nuclear morphology (24). In mouse models, LBR-null knockouts proved to be embryonically lethal (23), and whereas the deleterious impact of LBR loss was initially presumed to only be a laminopathy, later work has indicated that knockout mice and cells have altered sterol profiles indicative of reduced C14-SR activity (24). Furthermore, in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, LBR can complement ERG24 (the yeast homologue of the sterol reductase domain of LBR and DHCR14) knockouts, whereas DHCR14 is unable to compensate for this deficiency (21, 28).

Whereas the necessity of LBR cannot be disputed, it appears that both LBR and DHCR14 are used for C14-SR activity in mammalian cells. The enzymatic redundancy of these two C14-SRs may have an elusive functional role, and understanding the post-translational regulation of LBR and DHCR14 could help to clarify this conundrum.

Here, we show that DHCR14 undergoes sterol-dependent turnover, whereas LBR does not. We elucidate the mechanism of post-translational regulation of DHCR14 and identify E3 ligases that modulate basal DHCR14 levels. We further identify a tissue-specific RNA expression pattern between TM7SF2 (the gene encoding DHCR14) and LBR, suggesting preferential use of particular C14-SRs in different tissues.

Results

Human TM7SF2, but not LBR, responds to changing sterol status

Many cholesterol synthesis enzymes are transcriptionally controlled by sterol regulatory element–binding protein 2 (SREBP-2) (29). This was thought to be the case for TM7SF2 (the gene encoding DHCR14) (15, 16), but not for LBR. Despite the characterized SREBP-2–binding motif in the TM7SF2 promoter (15, 16), recent literature has yielded conflicting data about the sterol responsiveness of human TM7SF2 in some cell lines (24).

We employed previously generated cDNA sets derived from CHO cells with sterol-related mutations, CHO-7 and SRD-1 (30). There is an increase in gene expression of SREBP-2 target genes in CHO-7 cells with statins and a decrease with oxysterol treatment. SRD-1 cells have constitutively active SREBP-2 and therefore high levels of SREBP-2 target genes, irrespective of cellular sterol status (31). We found that 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR), a canonical SREBP-2 target, follows this trend in expression. However, neither TM7SF2 nor LBR follow this pattern, showing no response to variable SREBP-2 levels in these cell lines (Fig. 2A).

We next investigated the sterol-dependent transcriptional regulation of human TM7SF2 under different sterol conditions in three human-derived cell lines: Huh7 liver cells, Be(2)C brain cells, and HeLaT cervical cancer cells. Consistent with previous studies (15, 16), human TM7SF2 was affected by altered sterol levels, with mRNA increasing with sterol depletion (statin treatment) and decreasing when sterol levels were high (Fig. 2B). The liver X receptor agonist, T0901317, did not increase TM7SF2 levels. The HeLaT, Be(2)C, and Huh7 TM7SF2 expression profiles are in line with our previously published
data for other established SREBP-2 targets, including HMGCR (8, 30). In contrast, LBR was not responsive to cellular sterol levels, which is also in line with previously published studies (15, 24).

Epitope-tagged DHCR14 and LBR localize as expected

We next generated CHO-7 cells stably expressing CMV-driven, V5-tagged human LBR or DHCR14. After generation of these cell lines, we confirmed the proper localization of our ectopic V5-tagged proteins. Endogenous DHCR14 is reported to localize to the ER (32), and the majority of LBR is localized to the inner nuclear membrane (20). However, a small portion of LBR can also be found in the ER (33). V5-tagged DHCR14 localized to the ER, and the majority of LBR-V5 was observed around the nucleus (Fig. 3), indicating that the ectopically V5-tagged DHCR14 and LBR are located similarly to their endogenous counterparts.

LBR protein is stable, whereas DHCR14 is rapidly turned over and triggered by cholesterol

We investigated the post-translational regulation of both LBR and DHCR14 by comparing the turnover of these two C14-SR enzymes using a stably expressing system. This system, consisting of the human protein-coding sequence with a V5 epitope tag driven by the CMV promoter, was necessary to remove the normal transcriptional control by sterols. CHO-7 cells stably expressing V5-tagged human LBR or DHCR14 were treated with or without the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide for up to 8 h. In line with previous work (24), we found that LBR was stable over 8 h (Fig. 4A). However, DHCR14 was rapidly turned over, with less than 50% of the protein remaining after 1 h of treatment with cycloheximide (Fig. 4A). This was further reduced throughout the time course, with only ~20% of DHCR14 remaining after 8 h of protein synthesis inhibition, similar to the rapid turnover we previously observed for the homologous DHCR7 (10).

Considering that DHCR7 turnover is triggered by cholesterol (10), we investigated cholesterol as a trigger for DHCR14 turnover. Approximately 45% of DHCR14 remained after 8 h of cholesterol treatment (Fig. 4B). In contrast, LBR-V5 protein levels were unchanged by cholesterol treatment (Fig. 4B). Together, this indicated that DHCR14 protein, unlike LBR protein, is highly susceptible to basal and cholesterol-mediated turnover.

Co-treatment of cycloheximide and cholesterol led to a further reduction in DHCR14-V5 levels compared with cycloheximide or cholesterol alone. This shows that the cholesterol-mediated reduction of DHCR14-V5 protein levels is independent of protein synthesis (Fig. 4C). Conversely, decreasing cellular cholesterol status with statin treatment increased DHCR14-V5 protein levels (Fig. 4D).

C14-demethylated sterol intermediates, but not oxysterols, mediate turnover of DHCR14

The selectivity of different sterols as degradation triggers varies between cholesterogenic enzymes, with HMGCR degradation primarily being induced by lanosterol (34) and side-chain oxysterols like 25-hydroxycholesterol, whereas squalene monooxygenase (SM) and DHCR7 degradation is induced by cholesterol and certain other sterol intermediates (10, 35). We anticipated that the sterol degradation profile of DHCR14 would align closely with that of DHCR7, considering their homology.

A large number of mevalonate pathway sterols, including the substrate and product of C14-SRs, MASs, triggered the turnover of DHCR14 (Fig. 5A). Lanosterol, an early precursor to cholesterol, was the only sterol tested that failed to trigger this turnover, with all tested C14-demethylated sterols (post-lanosterol) triggering the degradation of DHCR14. There was no significant reduction in DHCR14 levels in response to any of the
tested oxysterols (Fig. 5B). This low level of sterol specificity hints that DHCR14 is highly susceptible to variations in cholesterol production in the cell and that accumulation of any of the tested post-lanosterol intermediates rapidly triggers DHCR14 turnover.

Intriguingly, plotting the reciprocal of these data (Fig. 5A) to reflect degradative potency of the sterols, along with previously published data on the sterol-driven turnover of DHCR7 (10), suggests that mid-post-lanosterol intermediates (such as zymosterol and lathosterol) trigger the turnover of DHCR14 to a greater extent than later intermediates and cholesterol itself (Fig. 5C). The opposite is observed for the turnover of DHCR7, with later post-lanosterol intermediates (includ-
7DH in 7DHC and desmosterol) inducing higher turnover of DHCR7 (Fig. 5C).

The sterol-responsive turnover of DHCR14 is via the ubiquitin-proteasome system

Many well-characterized cholesterol biosynthetic enzymes are turned over via the ubiquitin-proteasome system, including HMGCR (36), SM (37), and DHCR7 (10).

To evaluate which degradation pathway regulates the cholesterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14, we treated CHO-DHCR14 cells with proteasomal or lysosomal inhibitors. In the presence of the general proteasomal inhibitors MG132 and ALLN, DHCR14 was rescued from cholesterol-driven turnover (Fig. 6A). DHCR14 protein levels were not rescued when cells were treated with the lysosomal inhibitor ammonium chloride (Fig. 6A), indicating that the degradation of DHCR14 occurs primarily through the proteasome.

To further investigate the role of the proteasome in the sterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14, we treated CHO-DHCR14 cells with or without MG132, in the absence or presence of cholesterol (Fig. 6B). DHCR14 protein levels were increased with MG132, irrespective of cellular cholesterol status.

**Figure 5.** DHCR14 is degraded in response to numerous sterols. CHO-DHCR14 cells were treated for 8 h with or without 20 µg/ml of the indicated sterols complexed to cyclodextrin (A) or 1 µg/ml of the indicated oxysterols (B). Cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, and protein levels were quantified by Western blotting using V5 (DHCR14), α-tubulin, and GAPDH. Data are presented as mean ± S.E. (error bars) of n = 4 (A) or n = 3 (B) independent experiments. Western blots are representative. A paired Student’s two-tailed t test was used for statistical analysis. *, p < 0.05. C, sterol specificity of DHCR14 versus DHCR7. The reciprocals of data from Fig. 5A (DHCR14) or extracted from Ref. 10 (DHCR7) were plotted against the listed sterols presented in pathway order. #, no data available for this sterol.
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More degradation
Moreover, higher-molecular weight laddering occurred with proteasomal inhibition, consistent with polyubiquitination of DHCR14-V5.

After establishing that DHCR14 is degraded by the proteasome, we sought to investigate other proteasome-related factors that may play a role in the turnover of DHCR14. For a substrate to be degraded by the proteasome, it is typically ubiquitinated on a lysine residue. We transfected HA ectopically tagged ubiquitin (HA-Ub) into CHO-DHCR14 stable cells and immunoprecipitated DHCR14 using an anti-V5 antibody. When DHCR14 was pulled down, there was an enrichment of HA-Ub in the immunoprecipitation product, indicative of DHCR14 being ubiquitinated in the cell (Fig. 6C).

Valosin-containing protein (VCP), an ATPase involved in the destruction of ubiquitinated substrates (38), plays a role in the degradation of cholesterol-regulated proteins DHCR7 (39), SM (and its truncated regulatory domain SM N100) (40), and MARCH6 (41). We inhibited VCP using CB-5083 (39) and found that DHCR14-V5 accumulated (similarly to SM N100), whereas LBR-V5 did not (Fig. 6D). Analogous to proteasomal inhibition (Fig. 6B), far more marked higher-molecular weight laddering was observed with VCP inhibition for DHCR14-V5, compared with either SM N100-V5 or LBR-V5 (Fig. 6D).

Next, we investigated the residue responsible for sterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14. Although there are 10 lysines in DHCR14, there is only experimental evidence for the ubiquitination of Lys-397 (42). We mutated Lys-397 to arginine (K397R) to prevent ubiquitination and found no blunting of sterol-mediated turnover compared with the WT plasmid (Fig. 6E).

Multiple E3 ligases may interact with DHCR14 and its homologous enzyme, DHCR7

We set out to identify the E3 ligase(s) that mediate the degradation of DHCR14 and DHCR7. In our previous work, knockdown of MARCH6, an E3 ligase that target the two rate-limiting enzymes in cholesterol biosynthesis, HMGCR and SM (37), failed to rescue the sterol-driven turnover of DHCR7 (10). In the present study, we knocked down MARCH6 using siRNA (Fig. 7A) and found that it was also not responsible for the turnover of DHCR14 (Figs. 7B and 7C).

To identify new candidate E3 ligases for the degradation of DHCR14 and DHCR7, we employed a proteomics-based approach. Utilizing CHO-DHCR14 cells and HEK293 cells transiently expressing DHCR7-Myc with appropriate empty vector controls, interaction partners were co-immunoprecipitated and separated via SDS-PAGE. After pulldown of target proteins, MS was performed to identify E3 ligases with peptides present in the DHCR14 or DHCR7 samples, and absent in the empty vector controls, as potential interacting partners (Table 1). The full list of identified proteins can be found in Table S1 and the MS/MS fragmentation patterns for single peptide identifications can be found in figures S2–S9.

We next determined whether the identified E3 ligases played a role in the sterol-dependent turnover of DHCR14. Using siRNA to knock down the candidate E3 ligases (Fig. 7A and Fig. S1A), we observed a significant increase in the basal protein levels of DHCR14-V5 when WW domain-containing E3 ubiquitin protein ligase 2 (WWP2) was knocked down (Fig. 7, B and C). All other E3 ligases identified from our interaction screen, including glycoprotein 78 and Hrd1, which are implicated in the turnover of HMGCR (43), failed to increase basal DHCR14 levels and/or rescue the sterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14 (Fig. 7B and C) and Fig. S1B).

WWP2 knockdown additionally resulted in a significant decrease of cholesterol-induced degradation, with WWP2 siRNA knockdown leading to a 45% reduction in the sterol-driven turnover of DHCR14 (Fig. 7B). This suggests that WWP2 may play a role in the cholesterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14.

DHCR14 and LBR have differential expression between tissues

Finally, we hypothesized that the expression of DHCR14 and LBR may differ between tissues to help explain the apparent redundancy of these two enzymes. Using the publicly accessible median gene expression of human tissues from the GTEx expression database (dbGaP accession code phs000424.v7.p2), we investigated the correlation of TM7SF2 and LBR transcript levels in six tissues and noted both tissue-specific expression patterns (unlike for porphobilinogen deaminase (PBGD)) and a negative relationship between expression of TM7SF2 and LBR (Fig. 8A). Expanding this analysis to 51 human tissues highlighted a moderate negative correlation of expression between TM7SF2 and LBR, with the linear correlation producing a significantly nonzero line of best fit (Pearson correlation coefficient, r = −0.37, p = 0.01). Various attempts at curve fitting were made on the data to elucidate the relationship; however, the “best-fitting” models were of questionable biological relevance. The expression data were moderately well-fitted (r = −0.48), with no significant deviation (p = 0.14), to a semi-log model (Fig. 8B).

This negative correlation of expression was specific to the TM7SF2 and LBR pairing; there were no discernible relationships between the median tissue expression of LBR and two other examined cholesterol synthesis enzymes (HMGCR, r = 0.07, p = 0.62; DHCR7, r = −0.13, p = 0.36). Strong linear
relationships were observed between TM7SF2 and HMGCR ($r = 0.57, p < 0.001$) or DHCR7 ($r = 0.77, p < 0.001$) expression in tissues (Fig. 8C); these correlations are in line with all of these enzymes being predominantly controlled by the same transcription factor, SREBP-2 (29).

**Discussion**

Cell cholesterol synthesis is tightly controlled by both transcriptional and post-translational regulation. In this study, we showed differential regulation of two homologous cholesterol biosynthesis enzymes, DHCR14 and LBR, elucidated the degradation pathway for DHCR14, and proposed a tunable model for the regulation of C14-SR catalytic activity (Fig. 9). We found that DHCR14 overall follows a regulatory pattern similar to many other cholesterol biosynthesis enzymes, particularly its homolog DHCR7 and the well-studied second rate-limiting enzyme of cholesterol biosynthesis, SM (8, 10, 35, 44).

The observed degradation of DHCR14 in the presence of sterols (Figs. 4 and 5), coupled with it being an SREBP-2 target gene (Fig. 2B) (16), suggests that levels of this enzyme are highly susceptible to cellular cholesterol status. This was observed of DHCR14 as early as its initial isolation studies (45), where the treatment of excess cholesterol reduced activity of the enzyme in isolated microsomes.

HMGCR, SM, and DHCR7 are all known to be regulated by changing sterol levels transcriptionally and post-translationally (8, 10, 29, 35, 44, 46). The addition of DHCR14 into this suite of enzymes, despite the enzymatic redundancy of C14-SRs, forges a strong case for its biological relevance and importance in cholesterol biosynthesis. Intriguingly, we show that TM7SF2 in CHO-7–derived cell lines does not respond like a canonical SREBP-2 target. We have previously found that SREs are not necessarily conserved between rodents and human cell models (8). The primary work in mapping the SREs of TM7SF2 has

---

Figure 7. Numerous E3 ligases affect basal protein levels of DHCR14, but none of the tested E3 ligases are solely responsible for the sterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14. A–C, CHO-DHCR14 cells were transfected for 24 h with a 25 nM concentration of the indicated siRNA and pretreated for 16 h in sterol-deficient medium. A, total RNA was harvested, cDNA was prepared, and mRNA levels of the siRNA targets were measured using qRT-PCR and normalized to PBGD. mRNA levels are relative to the negative siRNA control, which was set to 1. B and C, cells were treated for 8 h with or without 20 μg/ml Chol/CD, and cell lysates were harvested. Cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, and protein levels were quantified by Western blotting using V5 (DHCR14) and GAPDH. Data are presented as mean ± S.E. of n = 3 (A) or n = 5–8 (C) independent experiments. Western blots are representative. A paired Student’s two-tailed t test was used for statistical analysis. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01. D, Relative cholesterol driven degradation of DHCR14-V5 with respect to the control siRNA condition was calculated using values from figure 7C.
been carried out in human cell lines (15, 16), and further work is required to explain the lack of sterol response in hamster cell models.

In agreement with a previous study that found that the Tudor domain of LBR confers its stability (24), we show that LBR is resistant to cholesterol-mediated turnover. It is likely that this stability is necessary for its additional role at the inner nuclear membrane of binding lamin B and interacting with H3/H4 histones (24).

We found that DHCR14 turnover, similarly to DHCR7 (10), is triggered by a wide variety of sterols (Fig. 5A), including both its substrate and product. Comparing the turnover of DHCR14 and DHCR7 revealed that sterols appearing earlier in the post-lanosterol pathway preferentially degrade DHCR14. Comparison of this turnover with previously published data on the sterol-driven turnover of DHCR7 (10) revealed that DHCR7 is degraded to a greater extent by sterols later in the sterol synthesis pathway (Fig. 5C). Recently, published work on HMGCR has shown that lanosterol and post-lanosterol C4-dimethylated sterol intermediates, including T-MA and F-MA, induce rapid degradation of HMGCR (34). Within the large feedback loops of cholesterol biosynthesis, it seems likely that there are smaller feedback loops that regulate the degradation of crucial enzymes and help to control flux through the pathway. For instance, early pathway sterols affect early pathway enzymes (e.g. DHCR14), with late-pathway sterols including cholesterol controlling later-pathway enzymes (e.g. DHCR7).

Furthermore, we identified that the predominant degradation pathway of DHCR14 is via the proteasome (Fig. 6A), with proteasomal inhibition leading to a 2–3-fold increase in DHCR14 levels. The increase in DHCR14V5 levels with the addition of MG132 occurred irrespective of the addition of cholesterol, but cholesterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14 could be fully rescued by MG132 addition (Fig. 6B, C). We additionally showed that DHCR14 is ubiquitinated with or without added cholesterol (Fig. 6C). Inhibition of VCP, an ATPase involved in extracting ubiquitinated substrates from the endoplasmic reticulum, led to the accumulation of DHCR14. Additionally, high-molecular weight banding was prominent with VCP inhibition, a phenomenon that was not observed for SM N100 GFP, another VCP substrate that our laboratory has reported (40). However, high-molecular weight banding of DHCR14 occurs after MG132 treatment, irrespective of cholesterol treatment (Fig. 6B), as does ubiquitination of DHCR14 (Fig. 6C). These findings, together with the failure of mutating the only published ubiquitination site to rescue cholesterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14, suggest that cholesterol is not the only trigger for the ubiquitination of DHCR14.

We previously found that MARCH6, an E3 ligase for SM and HMGCR (37), is not responsible for the cholesterol-mediated turnover of DHCR7 (10) and here show that this E3 ligase also does not affect the levels of ectopic DHCR14 (Fig. 7, B and C). We identified nine E3 ligases that potentially interact with DHCR14 and/or DHCR7 (Table 1). The different effects of a wide variety of E3 ligase knockdowns on basal DHCR14 protein levels (Fig. 7B and C and Fig. S1B) suggest that many of these identified and tested E3 ligases are altering cellular proteostasis in poorly understood ways and indicate that the role of the ubiquitin-proteasome system in the regulation of cholesterol metabolism is far more complex than initially envisaged.

From our results, WWP2, a member of the NEDD4-1 family of E3 ligases, modulates DHCR14 levels and may blunt the sterol-mediated degradation of DHCR14 (Fig. 7, C and D). However, considering that WWP2 knockdown does not completely rescue sterol-mediated turnover of DHCR14 and only increases basal DHCR14 levels by 2-fold (compared with an order of magnitude increase in basal SM levels with MARCH6 knockdown (37)), we speculate that WWP2 is not the only E3 ligase responsible for the degradation of DHCR14. WWP2 interacts with and targets substrates that contain a PPXY motif (47) that is not found in the protein-coding sequence of DHCR14 and as such may indirectly mediate DHCR14 levels through another substrate.

Our experiments have focused on an ectopic model of DHCR14 expression under the control of a CMV promoter to avoid sterol-mediated transcriptional control. Future work should confirm that endogenous DHCR14 behaves similarly to
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Table 1

| Accession | Gene Name | Description | Immunoprecipitation Experiment | Found? | Score | Coverage % |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------|------------|
| Q8ETM6    | HRD1      | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase synoviolin1 (SYVN1) | DHCR7                          | Yes    | 41.01 | 1.46      |
| Q9UKV5    | GP78      | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase AMFR                | DHCR7                          | No     |       |           |
| Q9UNE7    | CHIP      | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase CHIP                | DHCR7                          | Yes    | 266.07| 7.15      |
| QST447    | HECTD3    | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase HECTD3              | DHCR7                          | Yes    | 47.84 | 2.80      |
| Q15034    | HERC3     | Probable E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase HERC3      | DHCR7                          | No     | 54.8  | 3.96      |
| Q7Z627    | HUWE1     | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase HUWE1               | DHCR7                          | No     |       |           |
| 88490887  | Itch      | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase Itchy               | DHCR7                          | Yes    | 53.42 | 1.63      |
| O43164    | PRAJA2    | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase Praj2               | DHCR7                          | No     |       |           |
| O00308    | WWP2      | NEDD4-like E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase WWP2     | DHCR7                          | Yes    | 137.07| 0.87      |

---
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Scores were assigned by MASCOT, and MASCOT scores >30 were used as a threshold for identification. Coverage represents the percentage of the protein that was mapped by found peptides.
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Figure 8. Human tissue gene expression of TM7SF2 versus LBR. Analysis of gene expression suggests a reciprocal, nonlinear relationship between TM7SF2 and LBR gene expression. A, bar chart of PBGD, TM7SF2, and LBR expression, using the publicly accessible data for six listed human tissues from the GTEx expression database (dbGaP accession code phs000424.v7.p2). B, TM7SF2 and LBR expression (expressed as transcripts per million (TPM)), using data for 51 human tissues from the GTEx expression database (dbGaP accession code phs000424.v7.p2). Pearson’s correlation coefficients were as follows: p < 0.01, r = –0.37. Semi-log relationship statistics were as follows: p = 0.14, r = –0.48 with data not significantly deviating (#) from the semi-log model. C, TM7SF2 and LBR gene expression versus HMGCR or DHCR7 gene expression (expressed as transcripts per million), using data for 51 human tissues from the GTEx expression database (dbGaP accession code phs000424.v7.p2). All statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism 8.

From our work and that of others, LBR is constitutively active independent of sterol levels, with LBR protein levels remaining steady. On the other hand, we have shown that DHCR14 is a highly tunable and inducible C14-SR, with an increase in mRNA levels in times of low sterols and a rapid reduction in protein level when cellular sterol status is high—a trait mirrored in several other sterol synthesis enzymes. We propose that DHCR14, being transcriptionally and post-translationally sensitive to sterol levels, provides extra C14-SR capacity in times of sterol depletion. However, LBR is constitutively active as a C14-SR independent of cellular cholesterol status (Fig. 9).

In conclusion, DHCR14 and LBR are differentially regulated at both the transcriptional and post-translational levels. We identified triggers for the degradation of DHCR14 and screened a panel of putative E3 ligases responsible for its sterol-driven turnover. We propose a model for the duality of C14-SR enzymes, which further highlights the exquisite regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis.

Experimental procedures

Cell culture

Cell lines stably expressing DHCR14-V5 (CHO-DHCR14) or LBR-V5 (CHO-LBR) under the control of a CMV promoter were generated for this study from CHO-7 Flp-In cells as described previously (14). Briefly, plasmids containing an FRT recombination site and either the DHCR14 or LBR coding sequence (pcDNA5-FRT-CMV-DHCR14-V5 or pcDNA5-FRT-CMV-LBR-V5) were transfected into CHO-7 stable cells generated in-house with the Flp-In system (Invitrogen). Single colonies were selected for by the addition of hygromycin B (400 µg/ml). Clones were screened for expression via Western blotting, and clones that had growth rates similar to the parental cell lines and expressed moderate levels of DHCR14-V5 or LBR-V5 were selected for further study.

CHO-7 cells stably expressing DHCR7-Myc (CHO-DHCR7) (10) or pcDNA5/FRT empty vector (EV) (CHO-EV) (48) and HEK293-SM N100-GFP-V5 (37) were previously generated in-house.

CHO-derived cell lines were maintained in DMEM/F-12 medium with 5% (v/v) lipoprotein-deficient serum (LPDS) (50 mg/ml protein) and supplemented with penicillin (100 units/ml) and streptomycin (100 µg/ml). CHO-DHCR14, CHO-LBR, and CHO-DHCR7 cell lines were supplemented with 400 µg/ml hygromycin B, and CHO-EV cell lines were supplemented with 150 µg/ml hygromycin B.

HeLaT and Be(2)C cells were gifts from Drs. Noel Whitaker and Louise Lutze-Mann, respectively (University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia), Huh7 cells were gifts from the Centre for Vascular Research (University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia), and HEK293 cells were gifts of Drs. Goldstein...
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and Brown (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX).

HeLaT cells were maintained in RPMI medium, and Huh7, Be(2)C, and HEK293 cells were maintained in DMEM:HG medium. HEK293-SM N100-GFP-V5 cells were maintained in DMEM:HG medium supplemented with 200 µg/ml hygromycin B. All human-derived cell lines were grown with 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (FCS) and supplemented with penicillin (100 units/ml) and streptomycin (100 µg/ml). Lipoprotein-deficient serum was prepared in-house from newborn calf serum (LPDS) and FCS (FLPDS) as described previously (49, 50).

Immunofluorescent microscopy

Cells were grown on coverslips and transfected for 24 h with an ER marker plasmid (pDsRed-ER) using Lipofectamine LTX reagent. Cells were fixed in 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde, rinsed in PBS, and permeabilized with 0.3% (v/v) Triton X-100. Fixed cells were washed with PBS and blocked for 1 h with 10% FCS (v/v) in PBS. Cells were incubated with anti-V5 antibody in 10% FCS (v/v) in PBS and 0.1% (w/v) saponin in the dark at 4 °C overnight.

Samples were washed and incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse in 10% FCS (v/v) in PBS and 0.1% (w/v) saponin in the dark for 1 h. Cells were washed, and coverslips were mounted onto glass slides with ProLong Gold AntiFade Reagent with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Slides were dried, sealed, and imaged. Images were obtained using a Nikon C1 confocal microscope with laser excitation at 408 nm (DAPI), 488 nm (Alexa Fluor 488), and 561 nm (DsRed-ER).

Treatments

Pretreatment and all experiments (unless stated otherwise in the figure legends) were carried out in lipoprotein-deficient media (LPDS for CHO-derived cell lines and FCLPDS for human-derived cell lines) supplemented with the statin compaction (5 µM) and mevalonate (50 mM).

T0901317 (Sigma), compactin (Sigma), cycloheximide (Sigma), CB-5083 (Sigma), ALLN (Sigma), and MG132 (carboxbenzox-Leu-Leu-leucinal, Sigma) were dissolved in 100% ethanol. Complexed sterols (cholesterol, Sigma) were dissolved in DMSO. Sterols were incubated with 4 µM compactin (Sigma-Aldrich). Protein concentrations were measured by a BCA protein assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, C29F4), Anti-Myc (1:2000 in 0.5% (w/v) BSA/ PBST; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc., sc-40).

Secondary antibodies were diluted 1:10,000 in 5% (w/v) skim milk/PBST (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories; HRP-anti-mouse (715-035-150) and HRP-anti-rabbit (715-035-150 711-035-152) or 5% (w/v) BSA/PBST (LI-COR anti-mouse (926-68073) and anti-rabbit (926-32212)). HRP-conjugated antibodies were imaged using Immobilon Western HRP substrate (Merck, WBKLS0500) on an ImageQuant LAS 500. LI-COR antibodies were imaged on a LI-COR Odyssey CLx.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total RNA was harvested from cells using TRI Reagent. cDNA was synthesized from RNA using the SuperScript III First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Gene expression was determined using the SensiMix SYBR® kit (Bioline), and expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene coding for PBGD. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR can be found in Table S2. Further normalization was carried out as described in the figure legends.

Protein immunoprecipitation

Cells were lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1 mM sodium orthovandate, 5 mM EDTA). Cell lysate was rotated overnight with anti-V5 (Invitrogen, R960-25) or anti-Myc (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-40) conjugated to DynaBeads (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE for either Western blotting or band excision for MS.

Liquid chromatography mass spectrometry

Gel bands were excised, destained, reduced, and alkylated following the methodology outlined by Shevchenko et al. (52). In-gel tryptic digestions and peptide extractions were performed following procedures described by Luu et al. (11). Peptide solutions were dried and resuspended in 0.1% (v/v) formic acid.

For DHCR14 samples, peptides were subjected to LC-MS/MS analysis on an LTQ Orbitrap Velos Pro (Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) interfaced with an UltiMate 3000 HPLC and autosampler system (Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Peptides were separated by nano-LC and ionized using positive ion mode nano-ESI as described by Hart-Smith and Raftery (53). MS survey scans were performed using parameters described in Luu et al. (11). Up to the 10 most abundant ions (>5000 counts) with charge state >2 were sequentially isolated and fragmented via collision-induced dissociation using parameters described by Luu et al. (11).

For DHCR7 samples, peptides were subjected to an LC-MS/MS analysis on a Tribrid Fusion Lumos (Thermo Scientific) interfaced with an UltiMate 3000 HPLC and autosampler system (Dionex, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Peptides were separated by nano-LC following conditions described by Smith et al. (54), and eluting peptides were ionized using positive ion mode nano-ESI as described by Hart-Smith and Raftery (53). MS survey scans were performed using parameters described by Chua et al. (55), and ions (>2500 counts) with charge state...
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Chua et al. (55).

Sequence database searches were performed using the Proteome Discoverer mass informatics platform (version 1.4, Thermo Scientific), using the search program Mascot (version 2.3, Matrix Science). Peak lists derived from LC-MS/MS were searched using the following parameters: instrument type was ESI-TRAP; precursor ion and peptide fragment mass tolerances were ±5 ppm and ±0.4 Da, respectively, for DHCR14 samples and ±5 ppm and ±0.02 Da, respectively, for DHCR7 samples; variable modifications included in each search were carbamidomethyl (C) and oxidation (M); and enzyme specificity was trypsin with up to two missed cleavages. For DHCR14 samples and empty vector controls, the NCBI protein database was searched using rodentia taxonomies only. For DHCR7 samples and empty vector controls, the UniProt database (July 2013 release, 540,732 sequence entries) was searched using human sequences only. E3 ligases putatively identified from one or more statistically significant (p < 0.05 according to the Mascot expect metric) peptides and absent in empty vector controls were subjected to downstream analysis. The MS proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the PRIDE (57) partner repository with the data set identifier PXD016417.

**Site-directed mutagenesis**

The CMV-DHCR14-V5 plasmid construct was generated using methods described previously (14). We used megaprimer site-directed mutagenesis (58) to mutate CMV-DHCR14-V5 to generate the K397R mutant plasmid. Megaprimer were generated using the forward mutagenic primer GCCTGCAGAGGTACGGCCTG and a BHG reverse primer GCGATGCAAATTTCCTCATT.

**Transient transfection**

To express DHCR14 WT and DHCR14 K397R plasmids, CHO-7 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and transfected with 0.25 μg of expression plasmid, 0.75 μg of pTK-empty vector DNA, and 4 μl of Lipofectamine-LTX for 24 h. To express HA-tagged ubiquitin, CHO-EV or CHO-DHCR14 cells were seeded in 6-cm dishes and transfected with either 2 μg of pTK-empty vector DNA and 4 μl of Lipofectamine-LTX or 1.8 μg of pTK-empty vector DNA, 0.2 μg of pEF1a-HA-ubiquitin (HA-Ub) (a kind gift from Dr. Bao-Liang Song (Wuhan University)), and 4 μl of Lipofectamine-LTX for 24 h. Cells were then treated as described in the figure legends.

**siRNA transfection**

To knock down candidate E3 ligase genes, CHO-DHCR14 cells were transfected with 25 nm siRNA using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 24 h followed by pre-treatment and treatment as listed in figure legends. Nonproprietary siRNA sequences are available in Table S3.

**Calculation of cholesterol-induced degradation**

To account for changes in basal protein expression with transient transfections and E3 ligases knockdowns, we calculated the cholesterol-induced degradation of DHCR14 with respect to each transfected plasmid or siRNA. This is a measure of the ratio of protein degraded in the plasmid/siRNA condition compared with the control.

A ratio smaller than 1 indicates that there is reduced cholesterol-mediated degradation, and a ratio greater than 1 indicates increased cholesterol-driven degradation. This method has been described in previous work (59).

**GTEx database**

The data used for the analyses described in this paper were obtained from the GTEx Portal on July 12, 2019, dbGaP accession number phs000424.v7.p2. Median tissue expression data were extracted from the GTEx Portal for 51 tissues. Correlations were generated by GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software Inc.).

**Data presentation and statistical analysis**

Image analysis was carried out in Image Studio Lite (version 5.2, LI-COR Biosciences). Statistical analysis was conducted as described in the respective figure legends. Pearson correlation coefficients and the fitting of curves were calculated in GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software). Graphs were generated in GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software).
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