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Abstract: Optimization of dry ash flow is an important aspect in the field of dry ash conveying system in power plant. Material pickup and conveying to desired distance at desired rate with minimum conveying medium (air) is a primarily requirement of any pneumatic conveying dry ash system. Though it looks simple task to determine parameters of conveying medium i.e. air flow but significant challenges poses while designing air parameters at Material pickup point and to maintain to convey desired throughput to conveying distance. In today's prevalent designs / technology for pneumatic dry ash conveying system, it uses valve as controlling device in air supply system. But such system of using valve as controlling device has certain drawbacks like a) Malfunctioning of valve due to moisture &amp; ash accumulation leading to system failure. b) Unstable operation due to high vibration and temperature Compressed air is used for instruments control purpose as well as a conveying medium. Air is a compressible gas which makes controlling difficult when specific parameters needed at certain location depending on the ash collection rate &amp; accordingly distribution piping layout. At such locations, we need a device i.e orifice in place of valve for the same in order to achieve the desired result. In general most of system designed for two stages 1) Air storage to maintain desired air parameters passing through system. 2) In 2nd phase of pneumatic conveying air supply lines, we require fine controlling of air parameters for air supply to multiple points / ash hoppers (108 Nos).

Air required in system operation is controlled by valves in prevalent designs but due to drawbacks of valve (malfunction, high initial cost and high maintenance cost of valves), orifice is one of the most commonly used element in flow regulation because of its simple structure, construction, easy installation and reliable performance (as no moving part) the orifice is increasingly adopted in air supply lines of dry ash pneumatic conveying system. The work present experimental investigation of dry ash pneumatic conveying system using multiple hole orifice in conveying air supply line to enhance flow uniformity and mass distribution downstream of manifolds or at pickup point of material as a distributors at Koradi Thermal Power Plant and result where compare with previous data and the study is extended for different parameters like conveying pressure and fluidizing pressure mean in particle size of dry ash, orifice numbers, thickness of orifice and place of orifice mounting along with corresponding loading ratio. A new approach of modeling on similar pipe line configuration since scaling is not required, it has provided better accuracy and approximation when the result was compared with experimental data. This method of online experimentation is aimed to address the partial filling of pipe’s cross section by the dune of dry ash which requires high volume conveying air.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dry ash are produced around the world every year from the combustion of pulverized coal in thermal power stations, CEA New Delhi 2017 [1] In the report it was shown that the total no of thermal power in 18 states were of 155 and the generation of fly ash was in these stated during the year 2016-2017 was 169.2533 million tons. The table below will give the summary of fly ash generation and utilization during year 2016-2017.

| Description | Year 2016-17 |
|-------------|-------------|
| Nos. of Thermal Power Stations from which data was received | 155 |
| Installed capacity (MW) | 157377.00 |
| Coal consumed (Million tons) | 509.46 |
| Fly Ash Generation (Million tons) | 169.25 |
| Fly Ash Utilization (Million tons) | 107.10 |
| Percentage Utilization | 63.28 |
| Percentage Average Ash Content (%) | 33.22 |

Till date majority of ash producers are using hydraulic conveying systems due to non-availability of efficient dry ash pneumatic conveying system. Dry ash largely used in building material sector. Attempts are being made to find practical uses for the dry ash on large scale & also to avoid ground & water contamination, land & water resource constraint, it necessary to avoid the disposal of ash in wet slurry form into ash bund [2]. The solution of this problem lies in developing a pneumatic conveying system for Dry ash with maximum throughput, which uses ecofriendly, energy efficient system which protects the potential dry ash properties for utilization on large scale in various industries. In the past investigations have been carried out for dry ash pneumatic system for industrial application [3].
Nomenclature:
D : Bore of pipe
L : Length of conveying line \( P_1 \)
: Supply pressure \( \rho_b \) : Dry ash
bulk density \( \rho_p \) : Permeability
\( M_a \) : Mass flow rate of air
\( M_s \) : Mass flow rate of ash
\( \phi \) : Loading ratio
MHnO : Multiple Hole Orifice with n number of holes open

**Experimental set up & Experimental procedure**

(a) Multiple Orifice (MH_{14}O)

(b) ESP blow tank

The steps involved in the planning of experimentation [4] under the classical plan of experimentation to enhance conveying capability of dry ash pneumatic conveying are discussed below:

- a) Identification of various physical quantities affecting the modeling of the system.
- b) Dimensional Analysis to reduce the variables.
- c) Deciding the test envelopes Test points and Test sequence.
- d) Selection of measuring instruments.
- e) Calibration of measuring instruments.
- f) Test data checking and rejection.
- g) Data analysis and formulation of the model.

Referring theories of engineering experimentation by Hilbert Schenck Jr. [5] it was decided to use classical plan of experimentation, data was collected for dense phase pneumatic conveying system for dry ash with the use of specially designed multiple hole orifice (MHnO) to optimize conveying condition at ash pick-up point in air supply line [6]. The model was developed to correlate dependent term with independent variables of the system.

II. MATHEMATICAL MODELING

Data collected during experimentation needs to be analyzed to develop some logical relationship between various independent and dependent parameters. Hence mathematical model needs to be formed. A mathematical model is a description of a system using mathematical concepts [7]. The process of developing a mathematical model is termed mathematical modelling. A model may help to explain a system and to study the effects of different components, and to make predictions about behavior of system components under various working conditions. In terms of \( \pi \) it can be written as

\[
\pi_1 = \frac{L_B}{D_B} \quad \pi_2 = \frac{\mu_p}{D_B} \quad \pi_3 = \frac{P_1}{\rho_b\rho_p^2}
\]

Same method can be used to form the pi terms of the dependent variables also.

\[
\pi_{01} = LR
\]

These 3 independent pi terms and 1 dependent pi terms will be used to form mathematical model. Each dependent pi term will is assumed to be the function of all independent pi terms.

\[
\pi_{01} = f(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \ldots \ldots (i)
\]

Initial observation hints that dependent and independent parameters have exponential relationship. Hence

\[
\pi_{01} = k_1 \times \pi_1^{a_1} \times \pi_2^{a_2} \times \pi_3^{a_3} \ldots \ldots (ii)
\]

Now forming the mathematical model means to find the value of unknowns in the above equation. Taking log [7] of the both the sides of above equation gives
\[ \log \pi_{01} = \log k_1 + \log \pi_1^{a_1} + \log \pi_2^{a_2} + \log \pi_3^{a_3} \]  

(iii)

\[ \log \pi_{01} = \log k_1 + a_1 \log \pi_1 + a_2 \log \pi_2 + a_3 \log \pi_3 \]  

(iv)

Above equation is valid for all the readings collected during experimentation. Hence putting summation on both the sides

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \pi_{01} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\log k_1 + a_1 \log \pi_{1i} + a_2 \log \pi_{2i} + a_3 \log \pi_{3i}) \]  

(v)

Where \( n \) is number of readings

Similarly, following equations can be formed for remaining dependent pi terms

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \pi_{02} = \log k_2 + b_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \pi_{1i} + b_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \pi_{2i} + b_3 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \pi_{3i} \]  

(vi)

All these mathematical equations are solved in software package MATLAB.

| Pi Term | K     | \( \pi_1 \) | \( \pi_2 \) | \( \pi_3 \) |
|---------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| \( \pi_{01} \) | 4.7034 | 3.996     | 0.029     | 0.00321   |

The same can be written as follows

\[ \pi_{01} = 4.7034 \times \pi_1^{3.996} \times \pi_2^{0.029} \times \pi_3^{0.00321} \]  

(vii)

All above equations are in form of dimensionless pi terms. It is required to express them in terms of variable for the purpose of analysis of the

\[ LR = 4.7034 \times D_B^{-7.7254} \times L_B^{7.6964} \times P_t^{0.00321} \times \rho_B^{0.00321} \times \mu_m^{0.029} \times V_P^{0.00321} \]  

(viii)

These are the various mathematical models which are used for analysis of the process and performance of the mathematical models.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The experiments were conducted in different conditions. All the observations were recorded under steady state condition. The calculations were performed to obtain the value response variable using the log-log linear model and the results are presented in graphical form.

Figure 1 shows that as mass flow rate of ash increases with increase in inlet pressure. For inlet pressure of 2.5 kg/cm\(^2\), maximum ash collected was 50 tonnes/hr and for 1.8 kg/cm\(^2\) ash collected was 45 tonnes/hr. Figure 6.3 shows by the same trend as shown by figure 6.1, below the velocity of 0.08 m/s choking condition was occurred and there was no flow of ash or very less collection is there.

Figure 2 shows that as mass flow rate of ash increases with increase in inlet pressure. For inlet pressure of 2.5 kg/cm\(^2\), maximum ash collected was 50 tonnes/hr and for 1.8 kg/cm\(^2\)ash collected was 45 tonnes/hr. Figure 6.3 shows by the same trend as shown by figure 6.1, below the velocity of 0.08 m/s choking condition was occurred and there was no flow of ash or very less collection is there.

Figure 2: Mass flow rate of ash versus inlet pressure

Figure 3 indicate maximum loading ratio for fine ash against the velocity of air. As velocity of air increases loading ratios are decreases, this is because of quantity of air is more than ash.
Figure 3: $V_{\text{air}}$ verses Loading ratio

Figure 4 shows the mass flow rate of ash at various velocities point of for all three cases. It is observed that mass flow rate of ash increases as velocity increases but below 2.12m/s mass flow of ash is very low and its condition is known as choking condition.

Figure 5: $\Delta P$ verses $V_{\text{air}}$
Figure 5 shows the velocities at different conditions with respective changes in pressure. As the change in pressure decreases, the respective velocities also decrease. If changing pressure below 0.8 Kg/cm², very small velocities are getting (2.21 m/s to 0.19 m/s).

Figure 6 shows predicted trend of loading ratio for different bore diameters. If the diameter is increased to 250mm, then loading ratios decrease and vice versa by considering the same air velocity, pressure range and same material condition.

Figure 7 shows comparison of loading ratios of model and experimental values and it is found that these values are within 5% error.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based upon the experimental data, the various parameters were compared with the response variable. The other parameters such as mass flow rate of ash, velocity of air, pressure drop, loading ratios and inlet pressure were represented graphically. From above, it is concluded that loading ratios increase with decreasing inlet pressure. To convey from multiple ESP locations (generally for 660 MW 108 ESP hoppers), compact conveying piping lines are required. To control various operating parameters multiple coal orifice found advantages over valves (reduces initial costing and reduces maintenance & down time). This work introduced and multiple orifice in an air supply line before each pick up point of dry ash by using this methodology and implementing it experimentally first multiple hole orifice (MH₂₀) of 20 holes were used. The experiments were conducted to control the air parameters by reducing the number of holes by blocking one by one holes by trial and error method [9]. The test procedure presented in this work allows for simplification of the air supply line configuration and reduction in down time.
This work also provides a good reference for further studies in two phase flow conveying system.
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