Keywords: lipophilic substances in lipid-based nano systems can improve the aqueous solubility and bioavailability of curcumin, the use of biological activity, including cytotoxicity [9]. Due to the poor products of curcumin are ferulic acid and vanillin, which also show and sulfation on oral administration [8]. The major degradation metabolism and intestinal metabolism including glucuronidation of curcumin are due to poor solubility and high first-pass bioavailability [12], anti-inflammatory [13], topical administration studies showed sustained release for up to 24 h. The cytotoxicity against human lung cancer line A-549 for curcumin-loaded NLCs was confirmed the maximum encapsulation of curcumin in lipid matrices. The particles were spherical with smooth surface morphology. Conclusion: Curcumin-loaded NLCs prepared had a nanosize particle distribution with maximum entrapment efficiency. Dispersed solubility was increased by the lyophilisation process. The solid lyophilised powder is reconstituted for oral delivery.

INTRODUCTION

A polyphenolic compound, curcumin, targets various signalling compounds at the cellular level [1]. As Curcumin is a natural product, it is of great interest, safe, inexpensive and easily accessible for cancer therapy. Curcumin potently effects intracellularly by altering important processes like modulation of the genome, cell invasion and death. This shows curcumin as a promising candidate for cytostatic and cytotoxic activity. Curcumin shows its anticancer activity via inhibition of transcription factors and downstream gene products, antiproliferative action, affecting growth factor receptors, altering cell adhesion molecules in angiogenesis, negative regulation of inflammatory cytokines, and protein kinases altering the growth of tumours and metastasis. Recently published literature showed anti-tumor activity, possibly by inhibition of telomerase [2, 3]. The uptake of curcumin in cancer cells is higher than in normal cells because of lower levels of glutathione in cancer cells, which increases their sensitivity to curcumin [4]. The interaction of curcumin on normal rat hepatocytes showed no superoxide generation and further no cell death. Hence, curcumin has no cytotoxic effect on normal cells [5, 6]. Oral administration of 2 g of pure curcumin showed a plasma concentration of less than 10 ng/ml at 1 h postdose in healthy human volunteers [7]. Low plasma levels of curcumin are due to poor solubility and high first-pass metabolism and intestinal metabolism including glucuronidation and sulfonation on oral administration [8]. The major degradation products of curcumin are ferulic acid and vanillin, which also show biological activity, including cytotoxicity [9]. Due to the poor aqueous solubility and bioavailability of curcumin, the use of lipophilic substances in lipid-based nanosystems can improve the solubility and bioavailability of curcumin. Previously, curcumin loaded NLCs were evaluated for enhanced solubility [10, 11], bioavailability [12], anti-inflammatory [13], topical administration [14, 15], chronic wound healing [16], antibacterial [17], neuroprotective potential in Alzheimer’s disease [18], ocular delivery [19], targeted delivery to mitochondria [20], brain [21]. The cytotoxic activity of curcumin loaded NLCs were previously reported against human HepG2 cells [22], astrocytoma –glioblastoma cell line (U373MG) [23], Caco-2 cell [24], brain cancer [25, 26], HeLa cells [27], human lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells [28]. In the present study, we evaluated in vitro cytotoxic activity against cell lines of non-small cell lung cancer for optimized curcumin NLC formulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Curcumin of purity 99.50 % was obtained from VAV Life Science (Mumbai, India) as a gift sample. Precirol ATO 5 (PRE), Geleole (GLE), Labrafac lipophile WL 1349 (LAF), Capryol PGMC (CPG) were gift samples obtained from Gattefosse, India. Dynasan 114 (D114), Dynasan 116 (D116), Dynasan 118 (D118), Miglyol 812 (MIG) were gift samples obtained from Cremer Oleo GmbH and Co. Germany. Capmul MCM C8 EP (CAP) was a gift sample obtained from Indchem International, India. Tween 80 (T80), Poloxamer 188 (P188), Solutol HS 15 (SIS), Kolliphore EL (KEL), Kolliphore RH 40 (KRH40) were gift samples obtained from BASF, India. Olive oil, sesame oil, sunflower oil and rice bran oil were purchased from Kamani oil Industries, India. Stearic acid and Palmitic acid were purchased from LOBA-Chemie. Millipore (ultrapure) water was used for the preparation of all solutions.
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Screening of excipients

The solubility of curcumin was performed for screening of suitable formulation excipients by a semi-quantitative method. The solid lipids were melted 5 °C higher than their melting point and curcumin was added to the molten mass, then mixed in a vortex mixer (Remi CM 101 Plus; Remi Labs, India) for uniform mixing and determining the maximum amount of curcumin that could be dissolved in each lipid. These solutions were checked for the presence or absence of curcumin visually and the process was continued till the lipid got saturated with the curcumin. The lipid with the highest solubility for curcumin was chosen for further studies [14]. A similar procedure was used for screening of liquid lipid, emulsifier and the ratio of solid lipid to liquid lipid (heating was applied for only solid components) [29].

Method of preparation

In modified hot melt emulsification, the emulsifiers, solid lipid and liquid lipids are placed in a test tube. This preconcentrate is warmed at a temperature of 5 °C above the melting point of the solid lipid. Curcumin is dissolved in this preconcentrate. On the other hand, a beaker containing water is placed on a preheated magnetic stirrer (Remi Ltd., India) at the same temperature. Then the preconcentrate is added to the water maintained at the same temperature under stirring. This mixture is kept on the magnetic stirrer (Remi Ltd., India) until a uniform emulsion is obtained [30]. Further particle size reduction was carried out using a probe sonicator (Oscar Ultrasonics Pvt Ltd., India) with a 3 mm horn with a 30–40 % varicap and 90-watt power (fig. 1).

Preliminary screening of formulation and process-related parameters

The formulation-related parameters like solid lipid, emulsifier and its concentration, and process-related parameters like sonication time were taken into consideration [30, 31]. After every parameter screening, the best value of the parameter was finalized and kept as constant for the next parameter screening as mentioned in table 1. All the formulations were prepared in triplicates.

Optimization-design of experiment

A 2-factor 2-level full factorial design was used for the optimization. The effect of various parameters on the basis of responses observed was evaluated statistically by applying one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the software Design-Expert® version 9.0.3. This helps to understand the combined effect of formulation and process-related parameters. The influence of two independent variables constituted of a formulation and a process-related parameter, emulsifier concentration (A: EC) and sonication time (B: ST) on the responses of particle size and polydispersity index (PDI) was studied. Each independent variable was varied at two levels; a high (+1) and a low level (-1) as mentioned in table 2. Experimental trials were carried out in triplicates. Specific desired values for both the responses were assigned. The upper limit for particle size was specified as 220 nm and the upper limit for PDI was 0.200. The EC and ST values were specified as in the range i.e. any value of EC and ST that leads to a value of the responses in the desired limit range. For each response, the response polynomial coefficients were determined in order to evaluate the effect of each factor. Each response coefficient was studied for its statistical significance by using pareto charts. The pareto charts establish the t value of effect that is studied by two limit lines namely, the bonferroni limit line (t value of effect = 3.96079) and the t limit line (t value of effect = 2.77645). Coefficients with t value of effect above the bonferroni line are designated as significant coefficients; coefficients with t value of effect between the bonferroni line and the t limit line are termed as coefficients likely to be significant, while t value of effect below the t limit line is a statistically insignificant coefficient. Also, the contour plots and 3D design were observed for better understanding of the effect of factors on the responses observed. The desirability and overlay plot takes into consideration all the factors and their combined effect on the responses. The software suggests several solutions pertaining to the acceptance criteria specified for the factors and the responses of various solutions. An appropriate solution has to be selected as an optimized formula for the formulation.

### Table 1: Preliminary screening parameters for curcumin loaded NLCs

| Parameter                  | Preliminary screening | Variables |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Curcumin                   | 8 mg                  | PRE, GLE, D114, D116, D118. |
| Solid lipid                | 1.2 %                 | CAP       |
| Liquid lipid               | 0.8 %                 |           |
| Emulsifier                 | T80, SHS, T80+SHS 15 (1:1) |           |
| Emulsifier concentration (EC) | 1.5 %             | 1 %, 1.5 %, 2 % |
| Purified water             | 10 ml                 |           |
| Sonication time (ST)       | 10 min                | 5, 10, 15 min |

### Table 2: Factorial design for optimization of curcumin loaded NLCs

| Levels | Factors | Response |
|--------|---------|----------|
|        | A: EC   | B: ST    | 1 | 2 |
| High (+1) | 170 | 7 | Particle Size | PDI |
| Low (-1)  | 120 | 2 |          |    |

---

**Fig. 1: Method of preparation of lyophilized curcumin loaded NLCs**
Lyophilisation
Solid dosage forms are more stable and more preferred than liquids in nanoparticle formulations. In order to improve the physical and chemical stability of liquid systems, water has to be removed. In the present study, a few cryoprotectants like lactose, sucrose, dextrose and mannitol were evaluated for lyophilisation. These cryoprotectants were screened at different concentrations. The process was carried out using freeze-thaw cycles wherein cryoprotected formulations were subjected to 12 h freezing, allowed to come to room temperature and to thaw for alternative cycles for a period of 3 d. Cryoprotectants were incorporated into the formulation in specific concentrations w/v by sufficient mixing. These formulations were filled into vials and subjected to lyophilisation. A formulation without any cryoprotectant was lyophilized to compare the effect of the cryoprotectant on particle size and PDI. An appropriate amount of curcumin NLCs formulation was dispensed into glass vials and transferred to a lyophilizer (Biocryos, Korea). Pre-freezing of the samples was done for 12 h at a temperature of between-35 °C and -40 °C. Furthermore, the formulations were dried using a vacuum at a temperature of 35 °C to 22 °C. The pressure maintained during drying was 200-500 millitorr (fig. 1).

Characterization and evaluation of lyophilized curcumin loaded NLCs

Redispersibility and drug content
An equivalent amount of water was added to the lyophilized product to maintain the concentration of the drug uniformly. The ability of the lyophilized powder to reconstitute into a uniform solution was observed. For drug content, 1 ml of curcumin-loaded NLCs were diluted with methanol in a 10 ml volumetric flask and were sonicated in a bath sonicator (Remi Ltd., India) for 60 min for complete extraction of the curcumin from the nanoparticles. The solution was filtered through a nylon syringe filter of 0.45 μm and further dilutions of the sample solution were made with mobile phase to obtain a final concentration of 10 μg/ml and this solution was injected into the HPLC system and the chromatogram was recorded as per our previously published method [32]. The percentage assay or recovery of the sample solution was then calculated by the following formula:

Recovery (%) = \( \frac{\text{Amount of curcumin recovered}}{\text{Amount of curcumin added}} \times 100 \)

Particle size, size distribution and zeta potential
The Malvern Zetasizer ZS90 (Malvern Instruments, UK) at a 90-degree scattering angle with dynamic light scattering was used for particle size and extent of the size distribution (PDF) of curcumin NLCs. For light scattering measurements, the samples were measured at a fixed angle of 90° at 25 °C. The scattering intensity was adjusted between 100-500 kcps by appropriately diluting the aqueous phase of NLCs by 100-fold and measuring the scattered light intensity at a fixed angle of 90° with a He-Ne laser at room temperature. The scattered light intensity was measured using the Malvern Zetasizer ZS90 (Malvern Instruments, UK) at a 90-degree scattering angle with dynamic light scattering. The particle size, size distribution, and zeta potential were obtained for curcumin-loaded NLCs and mannitol and sodium nitrate formulations.

Entrapment efficiency and drug loading
The EE of curcumin NLCs was determined by an indirect method wherein the amount of unincorporated (unentrapped) drugs in the aqueous phase of NLCs was determined. 1.5 ml of curcumin NLCs was subjected to centrifugation using a high-speed centrifuge (Remi Ltd., India) at 14 000 rpm for 30 min. The upper portion of the sample was separated. 0.5 ml of this solution was further diluted with methanol and the amount of the curcumin present was analysed using HPLC system [32].

\[ \text{Entrapment efficiency (EE)}\% = \frac{\text{Wt} - \text{Wa}}{\text{Wt}} \times 100 \]

Drug loading (%) = \( \frac{\text{Wt} - \text{Wa}}{\text{Wt}} \times 100 \)

Where,

\( \text{Wt} \) stands for the total amount of curcumin added to the system.

\( \text{Wa} \) stands for the amount of curcumin quantified by indirect method.

\( \text{Wt} \) stands for the amount of lipid.

Surface morphology
The external surface morphology of curcumin-loaded NLCs was recorded using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Phillips XL30 FEG, Netherlands) at 15 kV as an accelerating voltage. A small amount of samples was mounted on an aluminium stub with double-sided adhesive tape. A thin layer of gold was sputter-coated on the stub with the sample to make the sample conductive. The sample was then subjected to analysis under different magnification levels (8000x).

Crystallinity studies
The X-ray diffractogram (XRD) measurements of lyophilized curcumin-loaded NLCs were performed on the PAN analytical X’Pert PRO MPD (Multi-Purpose Diffractometer) System. The samples were loaded onto an X-ray diffractometer and then the spectrum range of 0-5000 intensity was observed at 2θ. PANalytical X’Pert High Score software was used for pattern treatment, peak identification, and peak labelling. The analysis was performed for curcumin, solid lipid and curcumin-loaded NLC formulations.

In vitro drug release studies
The in vitro drug release studies from curcumin lipoidal nanoparticles were performed using the dialysis bag (molecular cut-off of 12-14 kилodalton, Sigma-Aldrich Co., India) method [11]. The adequate volume of dissolution media, constituted of pH 6.8 phosphate buffer saline and ethanol in a ratio of 1:1, was maintained at 37 °C with the agitation of 100 rpm. Aliquots volume was withdrawn and replaced with fresh buffer at predetermined time intervals. Appropriate dilutions were made and the concentration of the drug was analysed using the HPLC system [32].

The drug release data were fitted into various common release kinetics models [11] as follows:

Zero-order model
Zero-order drug release is represented by the equation: \( Q = Q_0 + Kt \)

Where \( Q \) is the amount of drug dissolved in time \( t \), \( Q_0 \) is the initial amount of drug in the solution (most times, \( Q_0 = 0 \)). \( K \) is the zero-order release constant expressed in units of concentration/time. To study the release kinetics, data obtained from in vitro drug release studies was plotted as the cumulative amount of drug released versus time.

First-order model
The release of the drug which followed first-order kinetics can be expressed by the equation: \( \log C = \log C_0 - Kt \)

Where \( C_0 \) is the initial concentration of drug, \( K \) is the first-order rate constant, and \( t \) is the time. The data obtained is plotted as log cumulative percentage of drug remaining vs. time, which would yield a straight line with a slope of \( -K/2.303 \).

Higuchi model
A mathematical model aimed at describing drug release from a matrix system was proposed by Higuchi in 1961. The model expression is given by the equation:

\[ F_t = Q = A_{n/2} \sqrt{2D(C - C_0)t} \]

Where \( Q \) is the amount of drug released in time \( t \) per unit area \( A \), \( C \) is the drug’s initial concentration, \( C_0 \) is the drug's solubility in the matrix media, and \( D \) is the diffusivity of the drug molecules (diffusion coefficient) in the matrix substance. The data obtained were plotted as cumulative percentage drug release versus square root of time.

Korsmeyer peppas model
In this model, the value of \( n \) characterizes the release mechanism of a drug. \( n = 0.45 \) corresponds to a fickian diffusion mechanism, \( 0.45 < n < 0.89 \) corresponds to non-fickian transport, \( n = 0.89 \) to case II
[relaxational] transport, and n=0.89 to super case II transport. To study the release kinetics, data obtained from in vitro drug release studies was plotted as log cumulative percentage drug release versus log time.

**In vitro cytotoxicity study for curcumin loaded NLCs**

In vitro cytotoxicity studies were carried out using the A-549 cell line, a representative cell line of non-small cell lung cancer. It was used to evaluate the efficiency of curcumin against lung cancer. The studies were performed to check the effectiveness of nano formulated curcumin over standard curcumin. It was also performed to check the efficacy of the nanoformulation in preventing cell growth. Analysis was carried out using three different samples. The samples consisted of curcumin-loaded NLCs formulation, curcumin dispersed in water and curcumin in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). A positive control ADR was also used to confirm that the cell line was itself significant for the studies. The samples were appropriately diluted to attain concentrations of 10, 20 and 40 μg/mL. These solutions were tested for cytotoxicity activity. The LC50, GI50 and TGI values of each sample were calculated from the graph. LC50 = Concentration of drug causing 50% cell kill, GI50 = Concentration of drug causing 50% inhibition of cell growth, TGI = Concentration of drug causing total inhibition of cell growth, ADR = adriamycin, positive control compound.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The solubility of curcumin in solid lipids is in the order of PRE>D116>GLE>D114>D118. Palmitic and stearic acids were discontinued for further study due to their low solubility for curcumin. Curcumin solubility was higher in CAP>CPR>LAF>MIG than in other oil components. Further, it was observed that the maximum amount of curcumin was soluble in a blend of PRE and CAP in a ratio of 60:40 when compared with various other solid lipid and liquid lipid combinations. Curcumin was degraded when added to surfactants KRH 40 and KEL. P188 had the least solubility (fig. 2). Hence, T80 and SHS were taken for further studies.

**Screening of excipients**

In vitro cytotoxicity studies were carried out using three different samples. The samples consisted of curcumin-loaded NLCs formulation, curcumin dispersed in water and curcumin in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). A positive control ADR was also used to confirm that the cell line was itself significant for the studies. The samples were appropriately diluted to attain concentrations of 10, 20 and 40 μg/mL. These solutions were tested for cytotoxicity activity. The LC50, GI50 and TGI values of each sample were calculated from the graph. LC50 = Concentration of drug causing 50% cell kill, GI50 = Concentration of drug causing 50% inhibition of cell growth, TGI = Concentration of drug causing total inhibition of cell growth, ADR = adriamycin, positive control compound.

**Real-time stability studies**

The optimized lyophilized curcumin loaded NLCs formulation was filled in aluminum-polyvinyl chloride (Alu-PVC) sachets and were subjected to different conditions (25°C±2°C/60±5% RH and 5±3°C) as per guidelines of the international council for harmonization (ICH) for stability studies over a period of 2 mo. The formulations were evaluated for various quality control parameters.

**Formulation development of lyophilized curcumin loaded NLCs**

**Screening of formulation and process-related parameters**

The particle size decreased for solid lipids in the order of D116>D114>GLE>D118>PRE. No relationship between the chemical structure of the lipids and particle size was observed. The formulations prepared by D114 and D118 lacked thermodynamic stability (drug leakage) and formulations with GLE and D116 showed phase separation. Hence, PRE was selected for the preparation of curcumin-loaded NLCs. Non-ionic emulsifiers (alone or in combination) showed better thermodynamic stability. A combination of emulsifiers is used to reduce the toxicity of individual emulsifier when reduced to half. A combination of T80 and SHS was used for further studies. It was observed that as the emulsifier concentration was increased, the particle size and PDI decreased. But after a certain concentration, the increase in emulsifier concentration did not show a significant reduction in particle size and PDI. Hence, 1.5 % of the emulsifier concentration was selected. The least particle size and PDI were observed when the curcumin NLCs were subjected to 15 min of sonication. However, there is no considerable difference in the particle size and PDI obtained from 5 and 10 min sonication times and hence, 5 minute was selected as the sonication time for further series of experiments (table 3).

**Table 3: Preliminary screening data for formulation and process-related parameters of curcumin loaded NLCs**

| Variable | SL   | LL   | Emulsifier | EC | ST | Particle size (nm) | PDI | Thermodynamic stability |
|----------|------|------|------------|----|----|---------------------|-----|------------------------|
| SL       | GLE  | CAP  | SHS        | 1.5 | 10 | 284.0±8.5           | 0.401| No                     |
|          | D114 | CAP  | SHS        | 1.5 | 10 | 222.0±4.9           | 0.345| Yes                    |
|          | D116 | CAP  | SHS        | 1.5 | 10 | 317.0±46.7          | 0.259| No                     |
|          | D118 | CAP  | SHS        | 1.5 | 10 | 361.0±12.4          | 0.355| No                     |
| Emulsifier| PRE  | CAP  | SHS        | 1.5 | 10 | 225.5±6.7           | 0.322| Yes                    |
|          | PRE  | CAP  | T80        | 1.5 | 10 | 170.4±3.4           | 0.299| Yes                    |
|          | PRE  | CAP  | SHS+T80    | 1.5 | 5  | 207.4±5.4           | 0.237| Yes                    |
| ST       | PRE  | CAP  | SHS+T80    | 1.5 | 5  | 219.5±8.4           | 0.215| Yes                    |
|          | PRE  | CAP  | SHS+T80    | 1.5 | 10 | 212.7±7.8           | 0.208| Yes                    |
|          | PRE  | CAP  | SHS+T80    | 1.5 | 15 | 189.9±3.1           | 0.199| Yes                    |
| EC       | PRE  | CAP  | SHS+T80    | 1.0 | 5  | 287.0±8.7           | 0.333| Yes                    |
|          | PRE  | CAP  | SHS+T80    | 1.5 | 5  | 209.0±5.4           | 0.244| Yes                    |
|          | CAP  | SHS+T80 | 2.0 | 5  | 189.0±4.1           | 0.206| Yes                    |

SL-Solid lipid, LL-Liquid lipid, EC-Emulsifier concentration, ST-Sonication time in min, PDI-Polydispersity index.
size decreases with an increase in EC and ST. Hence, at a high level of particle size. Negative value coefficients indicate that the particle size is quite evident from the results in table 4.

Response 1: particle size

The particle size of curcumin-loaded NLCs varied from 184.4 to 269 as was observed from the data in table 4. Table 5 indicates the result of ANOVA provided by the software after feeding the response of particle size.

The following parameters were deduced by the software for this response Particle size:

- The F-value of the model was found to be 29.82, which implied that the model was significant. There was only a 0.34% chance that an F-value this large could occur due to noise.

- The "Adeq precision" measures the signal-to-noise ratio. If this ratio is greater than 4, then it is considered to be desirable. The ratio of 11.383 indicated an adequate signal. And hence, this model could be used to navigate the design space.

Equation derived for particle size: Particle size = 229.52 - 20.7* EC - 13.229 ST

The equation suggested that both factors have a negative effect on particle size. Negative value coefficients indicate that the particle size decreases with an increase in EC and ST. Hence, at a high level of both factors, a smaller particle size could be obtained. When the coefficient values of two independent factors are compared, the value of the coefficient of sonication time (22.48) was found to be higher than that of the emulsifier concentration (20.7). Hence, it can be said that ST has more effect on particle size as compared to EC. However, there is not much difference in the coefficients of the two factors and both significantly affect the particle size.

The pareto chart, contour and 3D surface response plots for particle size

The pareto chart also indicated the negative value of the two factors, EC and ST, and the positive value of the interaction. The coefficients of EC and ST with t values above the bonferroni limit indicate that these are certainly significant coefficients and affect the response, whereas the coefficient of AB with t value below the limit is non-significant and not a major contributing factor to the response. Also, as observed from the equation, the coefficient of ST with a t value bigger than that of EC will affect particle size more than EC. The pareto chart for the response of particle size is represented in fig. 3A. The relationship between the factors and the responses was further elucidated using the contour and response surface plots. 3D response surface plots give a representation of the variations in each response when the two factors are simultaneously changed from a lower to a higher level. It gives a three-dimensional curvature of the response at different factor levels. It also gives the variation in design points from the predicted response value. Fig. 3B illustrates the contour plot indicating that the particle size decreased with an increase in surfactant concentration and sonication time. Similar observations were illustrated by the 3D surface response plot in fig. 3C.

Response 2: polydispersity index

The PDI of Curcumin NLCs varied from 0.138 to 0.421 as was observed from the data in table 4. Table 5 indicated the results of ANOVA provided by the software after feeding the response of PDI.

The following parameters were deduced by the software for this response PDI:

- The F-value of the model was found to be 26.71, which implied that the model was significant. There was only a 0.42% chance that an F-value this large could occur due to noise.

Equation derived for polydispersity index: PDI = 0.3 - 0.091* EC - 0.033* ST + 0.011* EC*ST

PDI was shown to be significantly affected by emulsifier concentration and sonication time. PDI also tends to decrease with an increase in EC and ST. This was indicated by the negative value of the coefficients of the two factors. However, the values of coefficients indicate that surfactant concentration affects the response more significantly as compared to the sonication time, as the coefficient value of EC (0.091) is much higher than that of ST (0.033).

The pareto chart, contour and 3D surface response plots for the PDI

The pareto chart depicted that the coefficient of EC with t value above the bonferroni line was certainly a significant coefficient and the coefficient of ST with t value between the bonferroni limit and the t limit line was likely to be significant. Hence, it could be concluded that EC affected PDI more than ST. The pareto chart for the response PDI is illustrated in fig. 3D. The contour and 3D surface response plot also suggested that PDI decreased with an increase in EC and ST. The least PDI was observed with a high level of both factors. Fig. 3E and 3F illustrate the contour and 3D surface response plots, respectively for the response PDI.

Table 4: Responses observed in 2² factorial design for curcumin loaded NLCs

| Standard | Run | Factor 1 A: EC (mg) | Factor 2 B: ST (min) | Response 1 PS (nm) | Response 2 PDI |
|----------|-----|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 4        | 1   | -1                  | 1                    | 120                | 170            |
| 5        | 2   | 1                   | 1                    | 120                | 170            |
| 6        | 3   | 1                   | -1                   | 120                | 170            |
| 7        | 4   | -1                  | -1                   | 120                | 170            |

Table 5: Results of ANOVA for the response particle size and PDI

| Source       | ANOVA for the response particle size | ANOVA for the response PDI |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|              | F Value | p-value Prob>F | Outcome | F Value | p-value Prob>F | Outcome |
| Model        | 29.82   | 0.0034        | Significant | 26.71   | 0.0042        | Significant |
| A-EC         | 40.22   | 0.0032        | Significant | 70.08   | 0.0011        | Significant |
| B-ST         | 47.43   | 0.0023        | Significant | 9.07    | 0.0395        | Significant |
| AB           | 1.82    | 0.2487        | Significant | 0.99    | 0.3755        | Significant |
The desirability plot suggests the region (working space) from which any formulation prepared would have resulted in the desired range of responses. The overlay plot takes into consideration all the desired values of responses and shows the area suitable by combining all the factors. In the desirability plot, it can be observed that at high levels of both surfactant concentration and sonication time, the formulation prepared would have the highest desirability 1. The red-colored area depicts the working range for the optimized formulation. The yellow colored region in the overlay plot depicts the working region for the optimized formulation. Fig. 4A depicts the desirability plot for the curcumin-loaded NLC formulation and Fig. 4B depicts the overlay plot.

Optimized curcumin loaded NLCs formulation

For the point with emulsifier concentration and sonication time value 1, 1 from the overlay plot, all the responses are predicted to be in the desired limit. Hence this formulation was selected as the optimized batch of the curcumin loaded NLCs. Hence high level of emulsifier concentration (+1) was selected i.e. 170 mg and high level of sonication time (+1) i.e. 7 min was selected for preparation of optimized batch.

Lyophilisation

For screening of cryoprotectants, nanoparticles (fig. 5A) were subjected to freeze-thaw cycles of 12 h freezing and a 12 h drying cycle for a duration of three days. The results obtained from freeze-thaw cycles were not very significant except that mannitol showed slightly better particle size as compared to others. Lactose tried during the freeze-thaw cycle showed an extreme increase in particle size; hence it was discontinued (table 6).

![Fig. 3: Design of experiment charts for particle size (A. pareto chart, B. contour plot, C. 3D surface response plot) and PDI (D. pareto chart, E. contour plot, F. 3D surface response plot)](image)

![Fig. 4: A. Desirability and B. overlay plot](image)
Cryoprotectants at 10 % w/v concentration showed higher particle size. The particle size of cryoprotectants was in the order of mannitol<sucrose<dextrose at the highest concentration. The minimum difference in particle size and PDI before and after lyophilisation was observed in the case of mannitol (5 % w/v) as the cryoprotective agent. Hence, mannitol 5 % was chosen for the lyophilization of curcumin loaded NLCs (fig. 5B).

Characterization and evaluation of lyophilised dried curcumin loaded NLCs

Redispersibility and drug content

The formulation reconstituted easily within no time after the addition of water. The formulation was found to be easily redispersible with manual shaking. The drug content in the formulation was found to be 99.38±0.71 %. The chromatogram of the standard curcumin (fig. 6A) and curcumin in curcumin loaded NLC formulation (fig. 6B) was comparable. This shows that the drug does not undergo degradation when formulated in the form of NLCs.

Particle size, size distribution and zeta potential

The particle size of the curcumin loaded NLCs dispersion was found to be 205.4±12.3 nm with a polydispersity index of 0.207±0.008 whereas that of the optimized lyophilised curcumin loaded NLCs was found to be 286.5±11.5 nm and a PDI of 0.288±0.011 respectively. The particle size and PDI of the NLCs tend to increase on lyophilisation. The Sf/Si ratio (ratio of nanoparticles size after and before lyophilization) of 1.39 was observed, which can be considered acceptable. Though the PDI of lyophilised products was increased, it was within the acceptable limit. The T80 and SHS stabilized NLC formulations had a zeta potential value of 0.063±0.002 mV and thus an almost neutral surface charge. Both the emulsifiers are non-ionic and do not bear any charge. Hence, the particles did not show any surface charge on them. Also, the lyophilised formulation had a zeta potential value of 0.247±0.025 mV, which is not a very significant change. Hence, lyophilisation did not affect the zeta potential of the formulation.

Entrapment efficiency and drug loading

The entrapment of curcumin loaded NLCs was found to be 98.20±1.53 % suggesting the maximum amount of drug entrapment in the NLCs. The drug loading of curcumin loaded NLCs was found to be 2.50±0.21 %.

Surface morphology

SEM studies suggest the spherical shape of the curcumin loaded NLCs with smooth surface morphology (fig. 7A). The adsorbent was found to be irregular in shape with aggregated masses. SEM of DANs showed adsorption of spherical NLCs on the surface of adsorbents. Also, the particle size observed using SEM was found to be in agreement with that obtained from the particle size analyser.

---

### Table 6: Screening of cryoprotectants for lyophilization

| Cryoprotectants | Concentration (%) | Initial particle size (nm) | Particle size of redispersed NLCs (nm) | PDI      | Sf/Si Ratio |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------|
| Placebo         | 7.00              | 337.2±15.3                | 490.6±180.3                           | 0.491±0.085 | 1.95        |
| Placebo         | 10.00             | 568.0±98.5                | 337.2±15.3                           | 0.242±0.021 | 1.64        |
| Mannitol        | 5.00              | 286.2±11.5                | 400.0±22.4                           | 0.458±0.049 | 1.95        |
| Mannitol        | 8.00              | 400.6±18.5                | 490.6±12.3                           | 0.242±0.021 | 1.64        |
| Mannitol        | 10.00             | 568.0±98.5                | 337.2±15.3                           | 0.242±0.021 | 1.64        |

Fig. 5: A. Curcumin loaded NLCs, B. Lyophilized curcumin loaded NLCs

Fig. 6: Chromatogram of A. standard curcumin, B. curcumin in curcumin loaded NLCs

Fig. 7: Surface morphology of A. Curcumin loaded NLCs, B. Cryoprotectant mannitol and C. Lyophilized curcumin loaded NLCs
Crystallinity studies
Curcumin showed sharp peaks at 8 and 17 along with some peaks of lower intensity. For the solid lipid PRE, broad peaks were observed. In a lyophilized curcumin-loaded NLCs formulation, the characteristic peaks of curcumin were absent (fig. 8). It indicated maximum solubilization of the curcumin within the lipid phase. But the thermogram showed additional peaks which might be due to the presence of cryoprotectant and the XRD studies confirmed the amorphous nature of the drug in NLCs.

In vitro drug release studies
For in vitro dissolution of a hydrophobic drug, sink conditions were to be maintained to achieve maximum release of the drug. The drug was poorly soluble in phosphate buffer saline; hence it was required to develop a dissolution medium allowing maximum solubility of the drug. In vitro drug release studies of curcumin loaded NLC formulations were performed to observe the amount of drug released and the pattern of drug release from the nanoparticles. It is an indicator of product performance. It was observed that 99.8±1.21% of standard curcumin was released at the end of 7 h whereas only 42.48±2.93 % of the curcumin from the formulation was released at the same time. At the end of 24 h the drug release from the formulation was found to be 64.00±3.91%. The drug release from standard curcumin was very fast, whereas the cumulative release rate from the formulation was much slower; an initial burst release was followed by a sustained release (fig. 9).

Fig. 8: X-ray diffractogram of standard curcumin, PRE (solid lipid) and curcumin loaded NLCs

Fig. 9: In vitro drug release profile of standard curcumin versus curcumin loaded NLCs

Fig. 10: Release kinetics of curcumin loaded NLCs A. Zero-order release, B. First-order release, C. Higuchi release and D. Korsmeyer-peppas
Table 7 enlists the linearity coefficients obtained from different kinetic plots of *in vitro* drug release studies (fig. 10). From the linearity coefficient, it can be concluded that the curcumin-loaded NLCs formulation follows the higuchi model for drug release. The higuchi release kinetic model suggests that diffusion is one of the major methods of drug release and the drug release is unidirectional. As per korsemeyer-peppas, n was found to be 0.501. This indicates the formulation follows non-fickian diffusion.

Table 7: Coefficient ($R^2$) values from different kinetic plots for curcumin loaded NLCs

| Kinetic model                  | Linearity ($R^2$) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Zero order                    | 0.775             |
| First order                   | 0.893             |
| Higuchi model                 | 0.916             |
| Korsmeyer-peppas model        | $R^2 = 0.897$     |
|                               | $n = 0.501$       |

In *vitro* cytotoxicity study for curcumin loaded NLCs

Table 8 mentions the drug concentration of samples showing % control growth and the growth curve of samples against the A-549, respectively. Fig. 11 illustrates images showing cell cytotoxicity of samples against A-549 cell line. Fig. 12 represents the % control growth and the growth curve, and table 9 enlists the LC50, TGI, and GI50 values of the samples calculated from the graph. Growth percent of 100 corresponded to growth seen in untreated cells. Growth percent of 0 indicated no net growth over the course of the assay (i.e. equal to the number of cells at time zero). Growth percent of-100 resulted when all cells were killed. Three endpoints were routinely calculated: 1) GI50, the log M concentration yielding a growth percent of 50 (i.e. 50 % growth inhibition), 2) TGI, the log M concentration yielding a growth percent of 0, or total growth inhibition, and 3) LC50, the log M concentration yielding a growth percent of-50, or lethality in 50 % of the starting cells. From the graph, it could be observed that the curcumin loaded NLC formulation showed a maximum decrease in percent growth of the cells. curcumin in DMSO was almost as efficient as the positive control. Curcumin in water showed no or very little decline in percent cell growth as the drug is very poorly soluble in water and hence might not be available for causing the desired activity. The NLCs formulation and ADR showed TGI, GI50 and LC50 at concentrations below 10 μg/ml. The curcumin in DMSO showed LC50 and TGI at concentrations above 40 μg/ml. The results obtained from curcumin in water were not equivalent though, as suggested by the studies. This result could be due to less solubility of the compound. GI50 value of $\leq 10^{-6}$ mol (i.e. 1 μmol) or $\leq 10$ μg/ml was considered to demonstrate activity in the case of pure compounds. Hence, it could be concluded that curcumin-loaded NLCs showed improved effectiveness in preventing cell growth as compared to curcumin in water and DMSO.

![Fig. 11: Cell cytotoxicity of samples against A-549 cell line](image1)

![Fig. 12: Growth curve of samples against A-549](image2)
The curcumin showed maximum solubility in PRE. Chemically, PRE and GLE are mixtures of fatty acid esters and monoglycerides, respectively. This decreases the chances of crystallization of lipids into various polymeric forms and thus can encapsulate the maximum amount of curcumin. Further, dynasans (114,116 and 118) are highly crystalline in nature, which shows minimum maximum amount of curcumin. Further, dynasans (114,116 and 118) are highly crystalline in nature, which shows minimum

The stability of the system can be improved as the surface tension of oil droplets can be controlled better with surfactants, which helps to maintain a smaller particle size in the system [35]. The stability of the system can be improved as the surface tension of oil droplets can be controlled better with surfactants, which helps to maintain a smaller particle size in the system [35]. The incorporation of emulsifiers helps to reduce particle aggregation, which improves the stability of the system. The combination of non-ionic surfactants T80 and SHS were used in curcumin-loaded NLCs, which maintains the balance of crystallization by repelling forces. This helps to maintain the stability of the lipid nanoparticles during

The encapsulation efficiency can be easily improved by liquid lipids due to the higher solubility of curcumin in liquid lipids. Further, the stability of the system can be improved as the surface tension of oil droplets can be controlled better with surfactants, which helps to maintain a smaller particle size in the system [35]. The incorporation of emulsifiers helps to reduce particle aggregation, which improves the stability of the system. The combination of non-ionic surfactants T80 and SHS were used in curcumin-loaded NLCs, which maintains the balance of crystallization by repelling forces. This helps to maintain the stability of the lipid nanoparticles during

Real-time stability studies
The stability study results suggested that the formulation was quite stable for the study duration of 60 d. There were no significant changes in the particle size, PDI, and zeta potential. Also, the appearance was similar to that observed on the initial day. The formulation was easily redispersible throughout the batches. There was a slight decrease in entrapment efficiency with time. The percentage assay was also observed to have decreased slightly with increased time duration, although no signs of drug degradation were observed. This was confirmed by the absence of any degradation

Table 8: In vitro % control growth in human lung cancer line A-549

| Samples                                      | Experiment 01 | Experiment 02 | Experiment 03 | Average |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Curcumin concentration (µg/ml)              | 10            | 10            | 10            | 10      |
| Curcumin loaded NLCs                         | -19.5         | -19.5         | -19.5         | -19.5   |
| Curcumin in water                            | 95.2          | 91.1          | 90.1          | 92.2    |
| Curcumin in DMSO                             | 22.7          | 9.3           | 2.4           | 10.99   |
| ADR (Control)                                | 26.4          | 15.1          | 2.1           | 3.5     |

Table 9: LC50, TGI and GI50 values of the samples

| Drug concentrations (µg/ml) calculated from graph |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| A-549 cell line                                 |
| Curcumin loaded NLCs                            |
| Curcumin in water                               |
| Curcumin in DMSO                                |
| ADR (Control)                                   |
| LC50                                            |
| TGI                                             |
| GI50                                            |
| <10                                             |
| >40                                             |
| <10                                             |
| <10                                             |

Table 10: Stability data of curcumin loaded NLCs subjected to 25 °C±2 °C/60 %±5 % RH and 5 °C±3 °C (refrigerator)

| Parameter | 25 °C±2 °C/60 %±5 % RH | 5 °C±3 °C (refrigerator) |
|-----------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| RT        | Initial 15 d 30 d 60 d 15 d 30 d 60 d |
| Redispersibility | Excellent  Excellent Excellent  Excellent  Excellent  Excellent |
| Particle size (nm) | 286±11.5  289±12.5  299±14.7  295±18.4  288±7.9  285±1.37  290±14.5 |
| PDI       | 0.280±0.011  0.294±0.035  0.305±0.018  0.313±0.028  0.285±0.017  0.290±0.015  0.298±0.041 |
| Zeta potential (mV) | 0.247±0.025  0.299±0.015  0.314±0.034  0.302±0.019  0.286±0.041  0.305±0.025  0.309±0.015 |
| In vitro drug release (%) | 64.0±3.91  65.09±2.89  62.00±2.45  60.93±1.45  56.02±8.31  67.7±4.34  59.1±5.24 |
| EE (%)    | 98.20±1.53  97.97±0.97  97.90±1.14  97.82±0.79  98.04±1.45  97.93±1.34  97.90±1.54 |
| Drug content (%) | 99.38±0.71  98.6±0.19  98.53±0.15  98.59±0.25  98.59±0.11  98.59±0.34  98.5±0.45 |

Fig. 13: In vitro drug release profile of curcumin loaded NLCs stability at 25 °C±2 °C/60 %±5 % RH and 5 °C±3 °C (refrigerator)
loading, nano particle size distribution and zeta potential. The optimized curcumin loaded NLCs consist of PRE as a solid lipid, CAP as a liquid lipid and a combination of T80 and SHS as surfactants. The impact of formulation and process parameters were investigated by a systematic approach in terms of thermodynamic stability, particle size, size distribution, and entrapment efficiency. Further, the thermodynamic stability of the NLCs dispersion was improved by lyophilisation using 5 % mannitol as a cryoprotectant. The redispersed curcumin loaded NLCs have similar properties as those of the curcumin loaded NLC dispersion. The optimized NLC formulation has a sustained release profile for curcumin. The curcumin loaded NLCs had significant cytotoxic activity against human lung cancer line A-549 with Adriamycin as a positive control. The developed, optimized lyophilized formulation can be further filled into sachets and capsules for oral administration.

ABBREVIATION
NLCs-Nanostructured lipid carriers, PRE-Precirol ATO 5, CAP-Capmul MCM C8 EP, T80-Twee 80, SHS-So-Sorbitol HS 15, EE-Entrapment efficiency, ADR-Adriamycin, GLE-Gleolecio, CPR-Capryol PGMC, D114-Dynasan 114, D116-Dynasan 116, D118-Dynasan 118, MIG-Miglyol 812, P188-Poloxamer 188, KEL-Kolliphore EL, KRH40-Kolliphore RH 40, HPLC-High-performance liquid chromatography, EC-Emulsifier concentration, ST-Sonication time, PDI-Polydispersity index, ANOVA-Analysis of variance, SEM-Scanning electron microscopy, XRD-X-ray diffraction, MPD-Multi-purpose diffractometer DMSO-Dimethyl sulfoxide, LC50-Concentration of drug causing 50 % cell kill, G150-Concentration of drug causing 50 % inhibition of cell growth, TGI-Concentration of drug causing total inhibition of cell growth, ICH-International council for harmonisation, RH-Rheometric humidity, IC50-The half maximal inhibitory concentration, ACTREC-The Advanced Centre for Treatment, Research and Education in Cancer.
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