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Abstract

One of the major genomics challenges is to better understand how correct gene expression is orchestrated. Recent studies have shown how spatial chromatin organization is critical in the regulation of gene expression. Here, we developed a suite of computer programs to identify chromatin conformation signatures with 5C technology http://Dostielab.biochem.mcgill.ca. We identified dynamic HoxA cluster chromatin conformation signatures associated with cellular differentiation. Genome-wide chromatin conformation signature identification might uniquely identify disease-associated states and represent an entirely novel class of human disease biomarkers.

Rationale

Cell specialization is the defining hallmark of metazoans and results from differentiation of precursor cells. Differentiation is characterized by growth arrest of proliferating cells followed by expression of specific phenotypic traits. This process is essential throughout development and for adult tissue maintenance. For example, improper cellular differentiation in adult tissues can lead to human diseases such as leukemia [1,2]. For this reason, identifying mechanisms involved in differentiation is not only essential to understand biology, but also to develop effective strategies for prevention, diagnosis and treatment of cancer. Suzuki et al. recently defined the underlying transcription network of differentiation in the THP-1 leukemia cell line [3]. Using several powerful genomics approaches, this study challenges the traditional views that transcriptional activators acting as master regulators mediate differentiation. Instead, differentiation is shown to require the concerted up- and down-regulation of numerous transcription factors. This study provides the first integrated picture of the interplay between transcription factors, proximal promoter activity, and RNA transcripts required for differentiation of human leukemia cells.

Although extremely powerful, several observations indicate that implementation of new technologies will be required to gain a full appreciation of how cells differentiate. First, gene expression is controlled by a complex array of regulatory DNA elements. Each gene may be controlled by multiple elements and each element may control multiple genes [4]. Second, the functional organization of genes and elements is not linear along chromosomes. For example, a given element may regulate distant genes or genes located on other chromosomes without affecting the ones adjacent to it [4,5]. Third, gene regulation is known to involve both local and long-range chro-
matin structure changes [6,7]. Although the role of histone and DNA modifications is increasingly well described, relatively little is known about the function of spatial chromatin organization in the regulation of genes. Interestingly, recent studies show that control DNA elements can mediate long-range cis or trans regulation by physically interacting with target genes [8-10]. These studies indicate that genomes are organized into dynamic three-dimensional networks of physical DNA contacts essential for proper gene expression (Figure 1a). Therefore, mapping the functional (physical) connectivity of genomes is essential to fully identify the mechanisms involved in differentiation, and might provide important diagnostic and prognostic signatures of human diseases.

Physical contacts between DNA segments can be measured with the ‘chromosome conformation capture’ (3C) technologies [11,12]. The 3C approach (Figure 1b) uses formaldehyde to covalently link chromatin segments in vitro. Cross-linked chromatin is then digested with a restriction enzyme and ligated under conditions promoting intermolecular ligation of cross-linked segments. Cross-links are finally reversed by proteinase K digestion and DNA extraction to generate a ‘3C library’. 3C libraries contain pair-wise ligation products, where the amount of each product is inversely proportional to the original three-dimensional distance separating these regions. These libraries are conventionally analyzed by semi-quantitative PCR amplification of individual ‘head-to-head’ ligation junctions and agarose gel detection (for details, see [12]). 3C was first used to show that long-range interactions are essential for gene expression in several important mammalian genomic domains. For example, it was demonstrated that the locus control region of the beta-globin locus specifically interacts with actively transcribed genes but not with silent genes [13-16]. These contacts were required for gene expression and mediated by the hematopoietic transcription factors GATA-1 and co-factor FOG-1 [15].

3C technology has been widely adopted for small-scale analysis of chromatin organization at high-resolution [17-24]. However, this approach is technically tedious and not convenient for large-scale studies. Genome-scale conformation studies can be performed quantitatively using the 3C-carbon copy (5C) technology (Figure 1c) [16,25]. The 5C approach combines 3C with the highly multiplexed ligation-mediated-amplification technique to simultaneously detect up to millions of 3C ligation junctions. During 5C, multiple 5C primers corresponding to predicted ‘head-to-head’ 3C junctions are first annealed in a multiplex setting to a 3C library. Annealed primers are then ligated onto 3C contacts to generate a ‘5C library’. Resulting libraries contain 5C products corresponding to 3C junctions where the amount of each product is proportional to their original abundance in 3C libraries. 5C libraries are finally amplified by PCR in a single step with universal primers corresponding to common 5C primer tails. These libraries can be analyzed on custom microarrays or by high-throughput DNA sequencing [16]. Although 5C technology is an ideal discovery tool and particularly well suited to map functional interaction networks, this approach is not yet widely adopted partly due to the lack of available resources.

In this study, we used the THP-1 leukemia differentiation system characterized by Suzuki et al. [3] to identify chromatin conformation signatures (CCSS) associated with the transcription network of cellular differentiation. To this end, we mapped physical interaction networks with the 3C/5C technologies in the transcriptionally regulated HoxA cluster and in a silent gene desert region. The HoxA genes were selected for their pivotal roles in human biology and health. Importantly, the HoxA cluster encodes 2 oncogenes, HoxA9 and HoxA10, which are over expressed in THP-1 cells. This genomic region plays an important role in promoting cellular proliferation of leukemia cells and HoxA CCS identification should, therefore, help understand the mechanisms involved in regulating these genes.

Using 3C, we found that repression of HoxA9, 10, 11 and 13 expression is associated with formation of distinct contacts between the genes and with an overall increase in chromatin packaging. Chromatin remodeling was specific to transcriptionally regulated domains since no changes were observed in the gene desert region. We developed a suite of computer programs to assist in 5C experimental design and data analysis and for spatial modeling of 5C results. We used these tools to generate large-scale, high-resolution maps of both genomic regions during differentiation. 5C analysis recapitulated 3C results and identified new chromatin interactions involving the transcriptionally regulated HoxA region. Three-dimensional modeling provided the first predicted conformations of a transcriptionally active and repressed HoxA gene cluster based on 5C data. Importantly, these models identify CCSSs of human leukemia, which may represent an entirely novel class of human disease biomarker. 5C research tools are now publicly available on our 5C resource website (see Materials and methods).

Results and discussion
Spatial chromatin remodeling accompanies HoxA gene repression during cellular differentiation

We mapped physical interaction networks of the HoxA cluster and of a control gene desert region in the THP-1 differentiation system characterized by Suzuki et al. [3]. THP-1 are myelomonocytic cells derived from an infant male with acute myeloid leukemia. These cells terminally differentiate into mature monocytes/macrophages following stimulation with phorbol myristate acetate (PMA; Figure 2a) [26-28]. THP-1 cells express the MLL-AF9 fusion oncogene originating from the translocation t(9;11)(p22;q23) between the mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) and AF9 genes [29,30]. MLL gene rearrangements are frequently found in both therapy-related and infantile leukemia, and promote cellular proliferation by
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Capturing spatial chromatin organization in vivo with 3C/5C technologies. (a) Current model of genome organization in the interphase nucleus. The diagram illustrates multiple levels of chromatin folding from the primary structural unit consisting of genomic DNA bound to nucleosomes (10 nm fiber; left). Secondary organization levels involve formation of 30 nm fibers through nucleosome-nucleosome interactions, and binding of individual fibers is believed to form tertiary structures (top). Folded chromatin occupies ‘chromosome territories’ represented by green, blue or orange shaded areas (right). Yellow circles indicate physical DNA contacts within (intra) or between (inter) chromosomes. (b) Schematic representation of 3C technology. 3C measures in vivo cross-linked DNA contacts at high resolution using individual PCR amplification and agarose gel detection. Interacting DNA segments located in cis is shown as an example to illustrate the 3C approach. Co-interacting DNA fragments are represented by green and orange arrows and separated by a given genomic region (yellow line; left). Yellow circles represent cross-linked proteins. DNA segments are illustrated by arrows to highlight ‘head-to-head’ ligation configurations quantified by 3C. (c) Schematic representation of the 5C technology. 5C measures DNA contacts from 3C libraries using multiplex ligation-mediated amplification and microarray or high-throughput DNA sequencing. Genomic homology regions of 5C primers are shown in green and orange, and universal primer sequences are colored dark green or blue.

inducing aberrant expression of oncogenes, including HoxA9 and A10 [31-35].

Hox genes encode transcription factors of the homeobox superfamily [36]. In mammals, there are 39 Hox genes organized into 4 genomic clusters of 13 parologue groups. The HoxA, B, C, and D clusters are each located on different chromosomes. For example, the HoxA cluster is located on human chromosome 7 and encodes 11 evolutionarily conserved genes (Figure 2b). Undifferentiated THP-1 cells are known to express high levels of 5’ end HoxA genes, which are repressed following PMA-induced differentiation [3]. We first verified that HoxA genes were regulated in our samples by measuring steady-state mRNA levels with quantitative real-time PCR (Figure 2c). As expected, we found that HoxA9, 10, 11 and 13 were highly expressed in undifferentiated THP-1 compared to the other paralogues (Figure 2c, left). Expression of these genes was significantly reduced following differentiation (Figure 2c, right), whereas the macrophage-specific ApoE and CD14 markers were induced in mature monocytes/macrophages. These results indicate that HoxA genes are correctly regulated under our experimental conditions. RT-PCR primer sequences used in this analysis are presented in Additional data file 1.

Hox genes are master regulators of development and play pivotal roles during adult tissue differentiation. During development, the expression of Hox genes is regulated both spatially and temporally in an order that is colinear with their organization among chromosomes [37-39]. This colinearity has fascinated biologists for over 25 years and strongly suggests that chromatin structure plays an important role in their regulation. We first used the conventional 3C method to determine whether HoxA gene regulation is accompanied by changes in spatial chromatin architecture. 3C libraries from undifferentiated and differentiated THP-1 cells, and a control library prepared from bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) clones were generated as described in Materials and methods. These libraries were used to characterize chromatin contacts within the transcriptionally regulated 5’ end HoxA region (Figure 3a, b, top). In undifferentiated cells, the HoxA9 promoter region was found to interact frequently with neighboring fragments (‘Fixed HoxA9’ in Figure 3a). Additionally, the interaction frequency (IF) did not rapidly decrease with increasing genomic distance. In contrast, HoxA9 repression in differentiated cells was accompanied by formation of very strong looping contacts and by overall increased interaction frequency. Interestingly, looping fragments contained other down-regulated genes, suggesting that HoxA repression involves increased chromatin packaging mediated by the specific clustering of co-regulated genes.

To determine whether all or only specific genes interact with each other when repressed, we mapped the interaction profile of each looping fragment in both cellular states (‘Fixed HoxA10, 11, 13’ in Figure 3a). Similarly to HoxA9, HoxA10, 11, and 13 interacted frequently with neighboring fragments in undifferentiated and differentiated cells. Interaction frequency did not rapidly decrease with increasing genomic distance in undifferentiated cells. In fact, weaker but similar interaction profiles were observed in both cellular states, which is consistent with the partial gene repression measured in our samples (Figure 2c). We found that all repressed genes formed strong looping contacts with each other following differentiation and that silencing was accompanied by overall increased interaction frequency (Figure 3b). Looping contact intensities were likely underrepresented since HoxA9-13 gene expression was reduced rather than completely silenced in our samples (Figure 2c). Therefore, HoxA gene repression during cellular differentiation involves overall increased chromatin packaging driven, at least in part, by looping and clustering of co-repressed genes.

Direct quantitative comparison of IFs between cellular states was achieved by measuring contacts in a gene desert region as previously described (Figure 4) [12]. The gene desert characterized in this study is thought to be transcriptionally silent and should, therefore, remain unchanged following cellular differentiation. Accordingly, we found similar chromatin compaction profiles in both cell states where IFs decreased with increasing genomic distance. This result is consistent with a linear random-coil chromatin fiber devoid of long-range looping contacts. 3C primer sequences used in this analysis are presented in Additional data file 2.
Together, these results demonstrate that the spatial chromatin organization of the HoxA cluster is dynamic and depends upon transcription activity. Low-resolution in situ hybridization analysis of the HoxB and D clusters during mouse embryonic stem cell differentiation previously demonstrated that temporal Hox induction is accompanied by changes in spatial chromatin architecture [40-42]. For example, retinoic acid HoxB gene induction was shown to induce global decondensation and physical exclusion of the cluster from its chromosome territory. This 'looping out' mechanism was conserved in the HoxD cluster, suggesting that similar chromatin remodeling mechanisms regulate different Hox clusters.

**Figure 2**

5’ end HoxA genes are repressed during cellular differentiation. (a) Cellular differentiation system used in this study. The human myelomonocytic cell line THP1 was stimulated with PMA to cease proliferation and induce differentiation into mature monocytes/macrophages. (b) Linear schematic representation of the human HoxA gene cluster on chromosome 7. Genes are represented by left facing arrows to indicate direction of transcription. Cluster is presented in a 3’ (HoxA1) to 5’ (HoxA13) orientation. Same family members are labeled with identical color. Paralogue groups (1-13) are identified above each gene. (c) Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of HoxA genes during cellular differentiation. Steady-state mRNA levels in undifferentiated (left) and differentiated cells (right) were normalized relative to actin. CD14 and ApoE expression levels were measured to verify cellular differentiation. Number below each histogram bar identifies paralogue group. Asterisks indicate mRNA expression below quantitative real-time PCR detection levels. Each histogram value is the average of at least three PCRs and error bars represent the standard deviation.
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Interestingly, the *Drosophila* homeotic bithorax complex was recently found to be organized into higher-order chromosome structures mediated by the polycomb response elements [43]. In our preliminary 3C analysis we demonstrate that the corresponding human HoxA genes are also organized into looping contacts when transcriptionally repressed. These results strongly suggest that an evolutionarily conserved structural mechanism regulates the expression of Hox genes. Comprehensive mapping of the gene clusters will be required both to define the mechanism(s) regulating Hox expression and identify conserved Hox CCSs of cellular differentiation.

5C array analysis of HoxA spatial chromatin remodeling during cellular differentiation

We characterized 3C libraries with 5C technology to generate high-resolution maps of the entire HoxA cluster and control gene desert region during THP-1 differentiation. 5C analysis has been hampered by the lack of publicly available research tools. For this reason, we developed several computer programs to assist in experimental design, data analysis and result interpretation. First, we generated '5CPrimer' to design forward and reverse 5C primers directly from any given genomic domain. This program selects primers based on sequence complexity, length, and melting temperatures, and excludes sequences homologous to DNA repeats. This program is extensively described in the Materials and methods and an example of 5CPrimer output is presented in Additional data file 3.

We used 5CPrimer to design the HoxA and gene desert oligonucleotides used in this study (Additional data file 3). 5C libraries were generated with 58 5C primers using the cellular and control 3C libraries characterized above as templates (Figure S1a in Additional data file 4). Libraries were produced

---
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Extensive spatial chromatin remodeling accompanies 5' HoxA gene repression during cellular differentiation. (a) Conventional 3C analysis of transcriptionally regulated HoxA genes. Chromatin contacts between the HoxA9, A10, A11, or A13 genes and surrounding genomic domain were measured in undifferentiated and differentiated cells. The y-axis indicates normalized interaction frequency; the x-axis shows genomic position relative to start of domain characterized. The genomic domain is shown to scale above the graphs, and is as described in Figure 2b. Solid orange vertical lines identify the position of the 'fixed' 3C region analyzed in each graph. Shaded green vertical lines highlight the position of putative DNA looping contacts. Each data point is the average of at least three PCRs. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. (b) Chromatin contact changes during cellular differentiation. 3C interactions between the HoxA9, A10, A11, or A13 genes and surrounding genomic domain presented in (a) were compared in both cellular states by calculating fold differences (log ratio differentiated/undifferentiated). Areas above and below horizontal dashed lines represent increased and reduced interactions in differentiated cells, respectively (black and white vertical arrows). The genomic domain is shown to scale above the graphs as in (a). Interaction frequencies represent the average of at least three PCRs and error bars represent the standard error of the mean.

---

**Figure 4**

The chromatin compaction of a gene desert control region does not significantly change during cellular differentiation. The y-axis indicates interaction frequency and the x-axis shows genomic distance between interacting fragments. The average log ratio of corresponding contacts in undifferentiated and differentiated cells from this dataset was used to normalize the HoxA 3C datasets shown in Figure 3a. Interaction frequencies represent the average of at least three PCRs and error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
with alternating forward and reverse primers corresponding to consecutive restriction fragments along each region, and contained up to 841 different contacts. These contacts include 441 interactions within the HoxA cluster, 64 in the gene desert region, and 336 inter-chromosomal genomic contacts. This experimental design yields the maximum interaction coverage achievable per 5C library (50%), and generates a matrix of interactions throughout both genomic domains. To verify that multiplexed 5C libraries contained quantitative 3C contact ‘carbon copies’, we measured the levels of four 5C products regulated during THP-1 differentiation (Figure S1b, c in Additional data file 4; Figure 3a, b). 5C ligation products were measured individually with internal primers as previously described [16]. We found that 5C libraries closely recapitulated the 3C interaction profiles in both cellular states, indicating quantitative detection of chromatin contacts in our 5C libraries. 5C internal primer sequences are shown in Additional data file 5.

We analyzed the 5C libraries generated above using custom microarrays. To facilitate 5C array design, we developed the '5CArray' program. This program uses output files of the 5CPrimer algorithm and can design custom 5C arrays from any genomic region. A detailed description of this program is presented in Materials and methods. We used 5CArray to design the custom 5C microarrays used in this study. 5C libraries were hybridized onto arrays as described previously, and normalized IFs were calculated with the ‘IF Calculator’ program. We developed IF Calculator to automate IF calculation and exclusion of signals close to background (see Materials and methods). We first verified that 5C array results recapitulate 3C analysis by comparing the 3C and 5C chromatin interaction profiles of four different cluster regions regulated during THP-1 differentiation (Additional data file 6). We found that 5C array results recapitulated the overall interaction profiles generated by conventional 3C. However, some variations were observed, which may be explained by differences in the dynamic range of each approach as previously reported [16].

To help visualize spatial chromatin architecture changes between cellular states, we represented the complete HoxA 5C interaction maps as two-dimensional heat maps where the color of each square is a measure of pair-wise IFs (Figure 5 & Figure 6). Several changes can be observed from these maps. First, THP-1 differentiation is associated with overall increased chromatin packaging (compare overall IFs from each map). Second, gain of contacts throughout the cluster in differentiated cells is accompanied by decreased IFs between neighbors (compare IFs along diagonals in each map). This result is consistent with the formation of looping interactions and with a linear detection of DNA contacts in our experimental system. Third, the 3’ end of the cluster (fragments 47-50) interacts very strongly with the entire HoxA region in both samples, suggesting that this region might be located at the center of the model. Fourth, chromatin remodeling mostly involved the 3’ end (fragments 47-50) and the transcriptionally regulated 5’ end (fragments 71-75) of the cluster.

To identify the most regulated chromatin contacts, we then compared the individual interaction profiles of each restriction fragment in both cell states (Figure 7a). We found that interaction between the 3’ end and the entire HoxA cluster greatly increased following differentiation (Fixed 47 in Figure 7a). We also found that the transcriptionally regulated region interacted more frequently throughout the cluster in differentiated cells (Fixed 71, 73, 75 in Figure 7a). Interestingly, fragments containing the HoxA1 and A2 genes interacted more frequently with this region after differentiation (Fixed 51, 53 in Figure 7a; green highlight). These results suggest that transcription repression of 5’ end genes induces formation of long-range DNA contacts between the ends of the cluster. Because the maximum interaction coverage achievable per 5C library is 50%, looping contacts were not well defined in this experiment (compare Figures 7a and 3a). However, higher resolution can be obtained by combining complementary 5C datasets or by performing 5C on 3C libraries generated with frequent cutters (for example, DpnII).

In this experiment, we also used the control gene desert region to normalize IFs between datasets and to determine whether extensive chromatin remodeling was specific to transcriptionally regulated domains (Figure 7b). As observed by 3C, similar chromatin compaction profiles were found in both cell states. IFs rapidly decreased with increasing genomic distance, which is consistent with a linear chromatin fiber devoid of long-range looping contacts. These results suggest that extensive chromatin remodeling occurs preferentially in transcriptionally regulated regions during cellular differentiation. Therefore, CCSs might be valuable predictive signatures of gene expression and may represent an entirely novel class of human disease biomarker.

**Computer modeling of HoxA spatial chromatin architecture**

Two-dimensional analysis of 5C interaction maps identified several HoxA chromatin contacts regulated during differentiation. However, this preliminary analysis revealed an important feature of 5C detection of chromatin remodeling in that regulation involves both gain and loss of contacts throughout regulated domains (compare Figure 5 and Figure 6). Because two-dimensional data analysis mainly identifies prominent changes in DNA contacts, this approach does not fully integrate spatial chromatin regulation and information is lost. For this reason, we developed the ‘5C3D’ modeling program, which uses the 5C datasets to generate a representation of the average three-dimensional conformation based on IFs. 5C3D posits that relative IFs are inversely proportional to the physical distance between DNA segments in vivo. Starting from a random three-dimensional structure, 5C3D moves points iteratively to improve the fit to the physical distances estimated from the IFs (see Materials and methods for details).
No model was found to match exactly all pairwise distances, although the deviations were small for all pairs of points. This result is likely due to IF variability that may originate from experimental error, very low or high signals, or from experimental design. For example, 5C datasets generated from cell populations contain averaged IFs derived from various cell cycle states, which can introduce noise in models. For these reasons, 5C3D generates averaged structural models rather than true individual in vivo structures. Nevertheless, the model generated by this modeling program, while not providing a 'true' structure for the chromosome's conformation, still represents a valuable CCS identification tool.

We used 5C3D to predict three-dimensional models of the HoxA cluster in undifferentiated and differentiated cells (Figure 8a, b). In these models, the overall spatial chromatin density of the HoxA cluster increased following differentiation. This result is consistent with increased IFs observed in 5C...
datasets and, importantly, correlates with transcription repression of 5' end genes. For example, we found that transcriptionally silent 3' end HoxA genes (A1-5) were spatially clustered in undifferentiated cells and that this organization did not significantly change following differentiation. However, the position of transcriptionally regulated genes was significantly altered between cell states. In undifferentiated cells, HoxA9, 11 and 13 are expressed and looped away from the cluster. In contrast, these genes were pulled back towards the cluster following transcription repression in differentiated cells. The relative position of HoxA10 did not significantly change following differentiation where, accordingly, it remained the most highly expressed 5' end gene (Figure 2c).

We also found that the position of a region containing HoxA6 was significantly altered following differentiation. Since this gene is transcriptionally silent in both conditions, this result suggests that physical exclusion of genes from the cluster is not sufficient for transcription induction.

Visual identification of chromatin conformation changes from three-dimensional models can be challenging particularly when 5C3D outputs are sensitive to noise in IFs. To help robustly identify differences between models, we developed the 'Microcosm' program. Microcosm uses 5C datasets to calculate local chromatin densities within any given genomic environment, which are then represented graphically. This
Figure 7
Extensive HoxA spatial chromatin remodeling during cellular differentiation involves the transcriptionally regulated 5' end region. (a) 5C chromatin interaction profiles with the greatest differences between undifferentiated and differentiated states were extracted from 5C datasets. The normalized interaction frequency is plotted logarithmically on the y-axis to emphasize differences between cellular states. The x-axis shows genomic position relative to the start of the domain analyzed. The linear HoxA cluster diagram and predicted BglII restriction pattern are shown to scale above the graphs, and are as described in Figures 2b, 5 & 6. Solid orange vertical lines identify the position of 'fixed' 5C interaction profiles presented in each graph. Shaded green vertical lines highlight position of putative 3'-5' looping regions. Each data point is the average of at least three array interaction frequencies. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. (b) 5C chromatin compaction of a gene desert control region does not change during differentiation. The y-axis indicates interaction frequency and the x-axis shows genomic distance between interacting fragments. The average log ratio of corresponding contacts in undifferentiated and differentiated cells from this dataset was used to normalize HoxA 5C datasets shown in Figures 5 & 6 and in (a). Interaction frequencies represent the average of at least three array interaction frequencies and error bars represent the standard error of the mean.
Figure 8
Three-dimensional models of the human HoxA cluster during cellular differentiation. 5C array datasets from (a) undifferentiated and (b) differentiated samples were used to predict models of the HoxA cluster with the 5C3D program. Green lines represent genomic DNA and vertices define boundaries between consecutive restriction fragments. Colored spheres represent transcription start sites of HoxA genes as described in the legend. (c) Increased local genomic density surrounding 5' HoxA transcription start sites accompanies cellular differentiation. The y-axis indicates local genomic density and HoxA paralogue groups are identified on the x-axis. A linear schematic representation of the HoxA cluster is shown at the top, and green shading highlights the region of greatest density change. Error bars represent standard deviations.
In contrast, local densities around HoxA genes in both cellular states (Figure 8c). We found that transcriptionally silent 3’ end HoxA genes (A1-5) reside in comparable local density environments (see Additional data file 7 for calculated p-values). These environments did not change significantly following differentiation, which is consistent with the predicted 5C3D models (Figure 8a, b). In contrast, local densities around HoxA9, 11, and 13 increased significantly upon transcription repression to levels approaching those of the silent 3’ end HoxA genes. Also consistent with predicted 5C3D models, the local density of HoxA10 was comparable in both cell states, whereas the environment of transcriptionally silent HoxA6 dramatically changed following differentiation. The reason for chromatin remodeling at the transcriptionally silent HoxA6 gene region remains unknown. However, its position between transcriptionally silent and regulated domains might identify it as a molecular hinge during formation of contacts between the ends of the cluster following cellular differentiation.

Nothing is known about the mechanisms involved in the establishment and/or maintenance of HoxA DNA contacts during differentiation. However, the CAGE (cap analysis of gene expression) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP)-chip datasets generated by Suzuki et al. under both cellular conditions correlated well with our findings [3]. For example, CAGE, which quantitatively identifies transcription start sites at high resolution, specifically detected transcription start sites upstream of the HoxA9, 10, 11 and 13 genes in undifferentiated cells. Consistent with our results, these transcription start sites were significantly repressed following differentiation. Moreover, transcription repression of 5’ end genes was specifically correlated with reduced acetylated histone (H3K9Ac) and RNA polymerase II association, which are two markers of active transcription. Complete mapping of chromatin modifications in the cluster should help understand the role of DNA contacts in HoxA gene regulation throughout cellular differentiation and in human leukemia cells.

Comparison to similar software
We developed a suite of publicly available 5C computer programs to promote mapping of functional interaction networks in any non-specialized molecular biology laboratory. No software similar to ‘5CArray’, ‘IF Calculator’, ‘5C3D’, or ‘Microcosm’ existed prior to this study. A rudimentary program used to predict 5C primer sequences was previously developed in collaboration with NimbleGen Systems Inc. [16] but was not usable by non-specialists. The original script was written in Perl, was command line only, and required the installation of several additional packages to function. The ‘5CPrimer’ computer program presented in this study was written in C as a command line tool, but a web interface was created for easy access and use of all features for users of all abilities. 5CPrimer does not require additional packages to work, but is designed to make use of the RepeatMasker, if installed, to eliminate repetitive sequences that can potentially cause problems. The output files from the 5CPrimer program are used as the input for the 5CArray program.

Conclusions
In this study, we identified CCSs associated with transcription networks of cellular differentiation in a human leukemia cell line. The dynamic HoxA CCSs reported here are reminiscent of the three-dimensional structures recently described in the D. melanogaster homeotic bithorax complex [44]. Therefore, our results suggest that an evolutionarily conserved mechanism based on chromatin architecture regulates the expression of Hox genes. However, CCS mapping of each Hox cluster in other human differentiation systems will be required to verify evolutionary conservation of these signatures. The role of chromatin contacts in the regulation of Hox genes is still unknown and it will be particularly interesting to determine whether chromatin architecture is required for proper spatio-temporal Hox regulation. Fine mapping of Hox interactions in other cell systems will help identify the DNA sequences and regulatory proteins mediating both conserved and cluster-specific contacts. In this study, we also developed valuable tools to identify CCSs of gene expression. These tools will be useful to identify leukemia HoxA CCSs and to assess the diagnosis and prognosis predictive value of this new type of signature. Finally, complete mapping of physical interaction networks during differentiation should help further understand how the underlying transcription network of cellular differentiation regulates gene expression. This study represents the initial step towards defining the very first high-resolution molecular picture of a physically networking genome in vivo during differentiation.

Materials and methods
Cell culture
THP-1 is a human myelomonocytic cell line derived from the peripheral blood of a 1-year-old infant male with acute monocytic leukemia. The THP-1 cell line was subcloned and one clone (THP-1.5) was selected for its ability to differentiate homogeneously in response to PMA (phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate). The THP-1.5 clone was provided by the RIKEN Genome Exploration Research Group (Genome Sciences Center, RIKEN Yokohama Institute, Yokohama, Japan) and cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI 1640; Invitrogen™, Burlington, ON, Canada) supplemented
with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA). Medium also contained 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Invitrogen™), 1 mM sodium pyruvate (Invitrogen™), 10 mM HEPES (Invitrogen™), and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen™) ('complete' RPMI). Cells were grown at 37°C in 5% CO2 atmosphere.

To induce cellular differentiation of THP-1, cells were grown in 225 cm² flasks to approximately 1 × 10⁶ per 100 ml of complete RPMI. Twelve hours before differentiation, half volume of fresh media (50 ml) was added to each flask. For differentiation, cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended at 2 × 10⁵ per ml in complete RPMI containing 30 ng/ml PMA (Sigma®, St-Louis, MO, USA). THP-1 cells were incubated 96 hours in the presence of PMA or DMSO (control), and collected for RNA extraction and 3C library preparation.

**Real-time PCR quantification**

Total THP-1 RNA was extracted from undifferentiated (DMSO control) and differentiated (PMA) cells with the GenElute™ Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep kit as recommended by the manufacturer (Sigma®). Reverse transcription was performed with oligo(dT)₁₅ (Invitrogen™) using the Omniscript Transcription kit (Qiagen®, Mississauga, ON, Canada). Gene expression was quantified by real-time PCR with a LightCycler (Roche, Laval, QC, Canada) in the presence of SYBR Green I stain (Molecular Probes®, Burlington, ON, Canada). The RT-PCR primer sequences used in this analysis are summarized in Additional data file 1.

**Control 3C libraries**

Control 3C libraries are used to correct differences in 3C primer pair efficiency. A control 3C library for the human Hox clusters was generated from BACs as previously described [12,45]. Briefly, an array of BAC clones covering the human Hox clusters and one gene desert region (ENCODE region ENr313 [12,45]) was mixed at equimolar ratio. Mixed BAC clusters and one gene desert region (ENCODE region ENr313 [12,45]) were each mixed with salmon testis DNA (Sigma®) to a combined DNA mass of 1.5 μg, and with 3.4 fmol of each 3C primer in a final volume of 10 μl of annealing buffer (20 mM Tris-acetate, 1.25 mM NAD, 12.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.125% Triton X-100). Reactions were terminated by incubating samples 10 minutes at 65°C. 3C libraries were amplified by PCR with forward and reverse 3C primers corresponding to consecutive BglII fragments in the HoxA cluster and gene desert regions. This 3C experimental design yields 50% interaction coverage over both genomic regions and measures up to 841 possible contacts simultaneously.

**Generation of 5C libraries**

Forward and reverse 5C primers were designed with the ‘5CPrimer’ algorithm described below (see ‘Informatics’). Multiplex 5C libraries were produced by mixing 58 alternating forward and reverse 5C primers corresponding to consecutive BglII fragments in the HoxA cluster and gene desert regions. This 5C experimental design yields 50% interaction coverage over both genomic regions and measures up to 841 possible contacts simultaneously.

5C library preparation was performed as previously described [16,25,45] with minor modifications. Briefly, 3C libraries were each mixed with salmon testis DNA (Sigma®) to a combined DNA mass of 1.5 μg, and with 3.4 fmol of each 5C primer in a final volume of 10 μl of annealing buffer (20 mM Tris-acetate pH 7.9, 50 mM potassium acetate, 10 mM magnesium acetate, and 1 mM dithiothreitol). Samples were denatured at 95°C for 5 minutes and annealed overnight at 48°C. Annealed samples were ligated with Tag DNA ligase (NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) for 1 h at 48°C by adding 20 μl of ligation buffer containing 10 units of ligase (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 31.25 mM potassium acetate, 12.5 mM magnesium acetate, 1.25 mM NAD, 12.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.125% Triton X-100). Reactions were terminated by incubating samples 10 minutes at 65°C. 5C libraries were amplified by PCR with forward T7 (TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG) and reverse T3 primers (TATTTATTACACGACGCTCTTCCGCTCGAC) as described previously. T7 and T3 primers are complementary to common 5' and 3' tail sequences of forward and reverse 5C primers, respectively. Unincorporated primers and other contaminants were removed from samples with the MinElute Reaction Cleanup kit as recommended by the manufacturer (Qiagen®). 5C primer sequences are summarized in Additional data file 3.

**Quality control of 5C libraries**

Quantitative representation of chromatin contacts in 5C libraries was verified by measuring individual 5C products within amplified multiplexed 5C libraries. 5C products were amplified individually by PCR with specific internal primers, resolved on 2% agarose gels and visualized with ethidium bromide (0.5 μg/ml). Linear-range PCR detection was verified with two-fold serial dilutions of multiplex 5C libraries.
Internal primer sequences are summarized in Additional data file 5.

5C library microarray analysis
Multiplex 5C libraries were prepared as described above (see 'Generation of 5C libraries') and amplified with forward T7 and reverse 5'-Cy3-labeled T3 PCR primers. Custom maskless arrays (NimbleGen Systems Inc., Madison, WI, USA) were designed with the '5CArray' computer program described below (see 'Informatics'). Each array featured the sense strand of all 46,494 possible 5C ligation products within and between the four human Hox clusters and gene desert region. The array contained several inter-region negative controls. Each feature was represented by 8 replicates of increasing length ranging from 30 to 48 nucleotides, which served to identify optimal feature length under our hybridization conditions. A detailed description of the array design is presented on our website (see the 'URLs' section below). Maskless array synthesis was carried out as previously described [46].

Hybridization was carried out with 50 ng of amplified Cy3-5C libraries and using the NimbleGen CGH Hybridization kit as recommended by the manufacturer and as previously described [47-49]. Arrays were scanned using a GenePix4000B scanner (Axon Instruments, Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at 5 μm resolution. Data from scanned images were extracted using NimbleScan 2.4 extraction software (NimbleGen Systems, Inc.).

Informatics

5CPrimer
We developed a program named '5CPrimer' to design forward and reverse 5C primers directly from a given genomic region. The algorithm first scans a genomic region of interest supplied in FASTA format to identify the position of restriction sites for any enzyme selected. 5C primers are then designed iteratively starting from the center of each cut site. Single nucleotides corresponding to the genomic DNA sequence are added in a 3' to 5' direction. The melting temperature of the elongating primer is calculated after each addition using values from nearest-neighbor thermodynamic tables [50]. Nucleotides are added until an ideal melting temperature of 76°C is reached. Because 5C primer sequences are restricted by the position of cut sites, initial primer lengths are variable and may extend beyond maximum array feature lengths. To harmonize 5C library and array design, the length of 5C primers was restricted to 72 polymerization cycles, which corresponds to the optimal number during array synthesis. The number of polymerization cycles required to generate oligos on arrays is proportional to complexity, with low complexity oligos requiring more cycles and yielding shorter feature lengths. 5CPrimer also uses the RepeatMasker software to identify primers homologous to repeats or low-complexity genomic regions [51-54]. Such primers were previously found to generate false positives, and should be excluded from experimental designs. Resulting 5C primers contain genomic homology regions ranging from 19 to 37 bp in length. The 5CPrimer algorithm attaches a modified T7 universal sequence (TAATACGACTCACTATAGCC) at the 5' end of all forward primers, and a modified complementary T3 universal sequence (TCCCTTTAGTGAGGGTTAATA) to the 3' end of all reverse primers. Additionally, all reverse primers are phosphorylated on the 5' end. 5CPrimer output is a text file, which can be submitted directly for synthesis.

5CArray
We developed a computer program named '5CArray' to design custom 5C microarrays for any genomic region(s) of interest. This program uses the output from the 5CPrimer algorithm to determine the sequence of array features, which correspond to any possible 5C products between the forward and reverse 5C primers used in a given study. In addition to full-length 5C products, the user can specify a range of feature lengths for each 5C product. Varying feature lengths are useful to identify the optimal hybridization conditions under defined experimental conditions. 5CArray typically designs eight oligos for each predicted 5C product. Oligo sizes are defined equally from the center of the reconstituted restriction site and include 30, 36, 38, 40, 42, 44, 46, and 48 nucleotide sequences (combined half-site feature lengths). Oligo sequences only include complementary genomic regions and always exclude T7 and T3 universal primer sequences. In cases where one of the 5C primers of the 5C product is short, the program simply stops adding nucleotides to that end of the oligo. 5CArray outputs each oligo to a text file with a unique ID code. If arrays are designed from several 5CPrimer files, the resulting text files need only be merged and can be directly submitted for array synthesis.

Interaction frequency calculation: the IF Calculator program
5C analysis was conducted with custom arrays featuring half-site probe lengths of 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 bp as described above (see '5CArray'). The 15-bp half-site probe signal is representative of background noise and is used to determine which of the remaining probe values should be included to calculate the average IF of its corresponding fragment pair. We developed the 'IF Calculator' program to automate exclusion of points close to background signal. For each interaction and starting from the longest half-site, IF Calculator first compares the signal of each probe to the value of the corresponding 15-bp probe. If a signal is found to be less than 150% of the 15-bp values, that half-site signal is discarded along with all remaining shorter probe length values. Corresponding 15-bp signals are then subtracted from the remaining values to remove background from each entry. Corrected values are used to calculate IFs by dividing cellular and BAC 5C signals of corresponding feature lengths. Interaction frequencies are finally averaged and the variance, count, and 95% confidence interval are reported in the final 5C dataset. If all probe length values are rejected as background, an IF value of zero is reported and is indicated as a missing data point.
Three-dimensional model prediction: the 5C3D program

The 5C3D program begins by converting the IFs to distances (D) as follows:

\[ D(i, j) = \frac{1}{IF(i, j)} \]

where IF(i, j) is the IF between points i and j and D(i, j) is the three-dimensional Euclidean distance between points i and j, \((1 \leq i, j \leq N)\). Next, the program initializes a virtual three-dimensional DNA strand represented as a piecewise linear three-dimensional curve defined on N points distributed randomly in a cube. The program then follows a gradient descent approach to find the best fit, aiming to minimize the misfit between the desired values in the distance matrix D and the actual Euclidean pairwise distance:

\[
\text{Misfit} = \sqrt{\sum \left( \frac{\text{EuclidDist}(i, j) - \text{EuclidDist}(i, j)}{\text{EuclidDist}(i, j)} \right)^2}
\]

Each point is considered one-at-a-time and is moved in the inverse direction of the gradient of the misfit function (for which an analytical function is easily obtained), using a step size equal to \(\delta = \frac{0.00005}{|V|}\). Small values of \(\delta\) (e.g., \(\delta = 0.00005\)) were used to ensure convergence of the method but increase the number of iterations needed. The process of iteratively moving each point along the strand in order to decrease the misfit is repeated until convergence (change in misfit between successive iterations less than 0.001). The resulting set of points is then considered to be the best fit for the experimental data and is represented as a piecewise linear three-dimensional curve.

The width of the line is then modified to be proportional to the density of the number of base pairs in the genome per distance unit. This curve is then annotated with differently colored transparent spheres centered at the transcription start sites of the genes present along the DNA sequence. Another option is to surround the strand by identically colored transparent spheres having their vertices lying on the line to represent the uncertainty in the exact model of the DNA strand as well as to indicate the density of the number of base pairs in the genome per distance unit in the virtual representation.

Model comparison: the Microcosm program

In order to compare and find differences between any two models, we developed a program entitled 'Microcosm'. This program uses two 5C array datasets as input. Datasets feature the average IF values, variance, counts (or number of technical repeats), and 95% confidence intervals for each pair of points. To establish the robustness and significance of the observed structural differences, Microcosm selects an IF at random from the normal distribution of the corresponding mean and variance. This process is repeated for each fragment pair to generate 'randomly sampled' 5C array datasets based on original 5C data. Each randomly sampled dataset is then used individually by 5C3D to infer the best fitting model.

The final models are next analyzed to determine the local density of the environment surrounding each gene G. The local density is defined as the total number of DNA base-pairs from any DNA segment that lies within the volume of a sphere of a fixed radius centered at G's transcription start site. The process described above is repeated 100 times for each original 5C dataset to generate 100 individual models and local density estimates around each gene. The average local density, its variance and 95% confidence interval for the mean are then calculated for each gene and reported in a graphical format called a local density plot. Local density plots can be compared to identify genes with significant differences in local density. A p-value is calculated for each difference and corresponds to the probability of incorrectly predicting a difference in local densities assuming normality of the data. Small p-values therefore indicate strong degrees of confidence in the difference between the local densities of a gene's environment between two states. When correlated with corresponding changes in gene expression, these differences may indicate that transcription is regulated by changes in chromatin conformation.

Databases

The May 2004 human reference sequence (NCBI Build 35) produced by the International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium was used for 3C experimental design (see 'URLs' section below).

URLs

The human genome sequence is available at [55]. Detailed protocols and 3C/5C design support information can be found at [56]. Complete raw datasets and bioinformatics tools developed in this study are also available at [57]. Tools include '5CPrimer', '5CArray', 'IF Calculator', '5C3D', and 'Microcosm'.
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