COOLING FLOWS AND METALLICITY GRADIENTS IN CLUSTERS OF GALAXIES
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ABSTRACT

The X-ray emission by hot gas at the centers of clusters of galaxies is commonly modeled assuming the existence of steady state, inhomogeneous cooling flows. We derive the metallicity profiles of the intracluster medium expected from such models. The inflowing gas is chemically enriched by Type Ia supernovas and stellar mass loss in the outer parts of the central galaxy, which may give rise to a substantial metallicity gradient. The amplitude of the expected metallicity enhancement toward a cluster’s center is proportional to the ratio of the central galaxy’s luminosity to the mass-inflow rate. The metallicity of the hotter phases is expected to be higher than that of the colder, denser phases. The metallicity profile expected for the Centaurus Cluster is in good agreement with the metallicity gradient recently inferred from ASCA measurements. However, current data do not rule out alternative models in which cooling is balanced by some heat source. The metallicity gradient does not need to be present in all clusters, depending on the recent merger history of the gas around the central cluster galaxy and on the ratio of the stellar mass in the central galaxy to the gas mass in the cooling flow.

Subject headings: cooling flows — galaxies: clusters: general — galaxies: clusters: individual (Centaurus) — galaxies: individual (NGC 4696) — X-rays: general

1. INTRODUCTION

X-ray observations of clusters of galaxies have shown that the central gas often has short cooling times (Edge, Steward, & Fabian 1992) and that the X-ray spectra show an excess of low-energy photons compared to single-temperature spectra. This excess may be understood as arising from cold gas components at temperatures well below the average X-ray temperature. It is usually inferred from these observations that the gas is cooling and that a “cooling flow” forms (see Fabian 1994 for a review).

When interpreted in terms of steady state cooling flow models, the X-ray surface brightness profiles in cooling regions yield a mass-inflow rate that increases with radius, which requires an inhomogeneous medium with phases at different temperatures at every radius to generate a distributed mass dropout as some of the gas cools below X-ray temperatures (Nulsen 1986; Thomas, Fabian, & Nulsen 1987). However, it has not been proved that such a multiphase medium can be maintained against buoyancy forces; moreover, the presence of a gas inflow has not been directly demonstrated, and the fate of the cooled gases remains unknown. A possible alternative is the presence of an energy source that can balance cooling, for which several alternatives have been proposed (Tucker & Rosner 1982; Silk et al. 1986; Miller 1986; Fringle 1989). This could lead to a cycle in which the heated gas would rise buoyantly from the center while cooled gas would form clouds that fall and reevaporate, with no need for a net inflow or mass deposition (see Tabor & Binney 1993).

Recently, a metallicity gradient was observed in the hot gas of the Centaurus Cluster (Fukazawa et al. 1994). In this Letter, we consider the expected metallicity profile in inhomogeneous cooling flow models, as well as in alternative models with no net inflow. Although it may seem that in cooling flow models one should not expect metallicity gradients, since the gas is flowing in from large radius, we show that a substantial metallicity gradient may result because of metal enrichment of the inflowing gas by the central galaxy.

2. COOLING FLOW MODELS

Inhomogeneous cooling flow models (see Nulsen 1986) assume that at all radii there is a multiphase medium with a distribution of temperatures. Relative motions of gas in different phases due to buoyancy and heat exchange among phases are prevented by a tangled magnetic field. While it has not been shown that these assumptions can be valid in reality, they are the only known way to reconcile steady state cooling flow models without energy injection with the observed X-ray profiles and spectra. The phases that cool to low temperatures are “deposited” and no longer contribute to the average weight of the multiphase medium, so the mass-inflow rate \( \dot{M}(r) \) increases with radius.

Cooling flows generally occur around central cluster galaxies, which often have extended cD halos. Evolved stars in these galaxies should inject metals into the hot gas at a rate \( \xi(r) \) proportional to the stellar density (neglecting any radial metallicity gradients for the stars). Since metal injection from stars is equally likely to occur at any point, we assume that the metallicity in a phase of density \( \rho \) is increased at a rate \( \dot{Z}(r) = \xi(r)/\rho \). Thus, the hotter phases become more metal-rich than the cooler (and denser) ones. Metals could be produced by Type Ia supernovas or lost from evolved stars with higher metallicity than the gas. The metal-rich ejected gas will have a large kinetic energy (from a supernova explosion or stellar orbital motion), which will heat the surrounding gas, further increasing the concentration of ejected metals in the hot phases.

The power injected by all the supernovas required to produce an iron abundance enhancement \( \Delta Z \) in the cooling flow region is \( L_{SN} \sim (\Delta Z \dot{M}/M_{SN}) E \), where \( E \sim 10^{51} \) ergs and \( M_{SN} \approx 0.3 M_{\odot} \) are the kinetic energy and iron mass produced by a Type Ia supernova (see, e.g., Woosley & Weaver 1986). The total luminosity of the hot gas in the cooling flow region
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is \( L \sim (5T/2\mu)M \), where \( T \) is the temperature of the gas and \( \mu = 10^{-24} \text{ g} \) is its mean molecular weight. Their ratio, \( \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L} \approx 0.1|\Delta Z/(0.5 Z_\odot)|T/(3 \text{ keV}) \), is small for the Centaurus Cluster (for which the reference parameters were chosen) and probably even smaller for richer, hotter clusters, so the contribution of supernovas to the total energy budget is negligible. Evolved stars will inject gas at their virial velocity, which is comparable to the gas thermal motions. In order for the cooling flow model to be meaningful, the mass of gas injected has to be a small fraction of the total gas mass present (requiring a stellar metallicity much higher than the maximum metallicity of the gas), so the heat budget is, again, not substantially altered. Thus, we assume in what follows that only metals are injected but that the mass and energy budget of the cooling flow are unaffected by this process.

If we identify a phase by its “initial” density \( \rho_0 \) at a fiducial radius \( r_0 \), its metallicity at radius \( r \) is

\[
Z(r, \rho_0) = Z_* + \int_0^r \frac{\xi(r') dr'}{\rho(r') \rho_0 u(r')},
\]

where \( \rho(r, \rho_0) \) is the density at radius \( r \) of the phase of initial density \( \rho_0 \) and \( u(r) \) is the inflow velocity of the gas, assumed to be the same for all phases. \( Z_* \) is the metallicity at a large distance from the central galaxy, which we assume was laid down before the cluster gas relaxed to its present steady state. In principle, the integral should have an upper cutoff at a radius comparable to the cooling radius \( r_{\text{cool}} \) (the radius at which the cooling time equals the age of the cluster), beyond which the steady state assumption does not hold. Since most of the metals are injected at smaller radii, we will ignore this upper cutoff.

If the total enclosed mass, the gas density profile, and the gas cooling function in the range of interest for the cooling flow are well approximated by power laws \( M(r) \propto r^{\alpha_M}, \rho \propto r^{-\beta}, \) and \( \Lambda(T) = \Lambda_0 T^{\delta}, \) then the cooling flow is self-similar (Nulsen 1986; Waxman & Miralda-Escudé 1995), i.e., the mass-inflow rate at radius \( r \) of gas in phases with densities larger than \( \rho \) can be written as \( \phi(r, \rho) = M(r)\rho(r)\rho_0 u(r), \) where \( \rho(r) \) is the average gas density at radius \( r. \) The total mass-inflow rate is also a power of radius, \( \dot{M} \propto r^\delta, \) with \( \delta = 3 - 2\lambda_\nu - (1 - \alpha)(\lambda_\nu - 1). \) The cumulative density distribution at any given radius is

\[
\Phi(r) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\xi_{\text{min}}}{\xi} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right]^r 
\xi > \xi_{\text{min}}, \\
0, \quad \text{otherwise}, \end{array} \right.
\]

with \( \nu = 5\delta(2 - \alpha)^{-1}[2\lambda_\nu + 3(\lambda_\nu - 1)]^{-1}. \)

The density evolution of a given phase is given implicitly by

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
1 - \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{min}}(r)}{\rho(r, \rho_0)} \right)^{2-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \\
1 - \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{min}}(r)}{\rho_0} \right)^{2-\alpha} \rho^{\alpha} \end{array} \right\} r_0 = r_{\text{dep}}(r, \rho),
\]

where \( r_{\text{dep}}(r, \rho) \) is the deposition radius of the phase whose density is \( \rho \) at radius \( r \) and \( \rho_{\text{min}}(r) \) and \( \rho_{\text{min}} \) are the densities of the hottest phase at radius \( r \) and at the fiducial radius, respectively. Thus, for this case, equation (1) can be rewritten as

\[
Z(r, \rho) = Z_* + \int_0^r \frac{4\pi r^2 \rho'(r')}{M(r')} \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{r_{\text{dep}}(r, \rho)}{r'} \right)^{\delta - 1} \right\}^{1/2-\alpha} dr'.
\]
We take the metal-injection function to be \( \z(r) = \eta \bar{l}(r) \), where \( \eta \) is an adjustable parameter (metal injection per unit time per unit stellar luminosity) and \( \bar{l}(r) \) is the \( V' \) luminosity per unit volume of the central galaxy, taken as a de Vaucouleurs profile with the parameters given above.

Figure 2 shows the data from the ASCA Gas Imaging Spectrometer (GIS) and Solid-State Imaging Spectrometer (SIS; Fukazawa et al. 1994) and those from the ROSAT Position Sensitive Proportional Counter (PSPC; Allen & Fabian 1994), together with results from different models. In all of the latter, we assume a power-law gas density profile with \( \rho = 1.25 \), which gives a good approximation to the ROSAT X-ray surface brightness profile (Allen & Fabian 1994). The total mass distribution is not well known since no lensing observations exist and the temperature profile is fairly uncertain (compare the results of Allen & Fabian 1994 with those of Fukazawa et al. 1994). Therefore, we first consider two cooling flow models that approximately span the range of observationally allowed temperature profiles. In the first, the mass profile, with \( \Lambda_\chi = 1 + (3 - 2\Lambda_\alpha)(1 - \alpha) \), is chosen to make \( \dot{M} \) constant, corresponding to a single-phase flow. In the second, we use an isothermal mass profile (\( \Lambda_\chi = 1 \)), which gives a multiphase flow with phase-dependent metallicity. Since our model assumes a steady state cooling flow, which does not apply when \( r > r_{\text{cool}} \), the metallicity differences among phases shown should be regarded more as indications of the size of the expected effect than as precise quantitative predictions. With the present measurement uncertainties, the disagreement among the data is somewhat larger than the expected difference in iron abundance between different phases. This is partly because of the steep surface brightness profile of this cluster, which requires a rather narrow density distribution.

In both models, the mass distribution is normalized by the emission-weighted temperature at the cooling radius determined (with low precision) by ASCA, \( T_c(r_{\text{cool}}) \sim 3 \) keV. Above \( \sim 2 \) keV, bremsstrahlung is the most important cooling mechanism, and the cooling function \( \Lambda(T) \) can be approximated by a power law. Below this temperature, \( \Lambda(T) \) increases dramatically because of line emission (see, e.g., Gehrels & Williams 1993). However, even if \( \Lambda(T) \) is approximated by a power law, the cooling time decreases substantially \((\propto T^{-2})\) as the temperature decreases. Thus, the cooler phases cool quickly and nearly isobarically until they drop out of the flow, and their total emissivity is determined only by the mass-deposition rate. Since the mass and volume of the cooling flow are dominated by the hotter phases, inferred properties such as \( M(r) \) will be unaffected by a change in the cooling function at lower temperatures as long as the hotter phases remain above \( \sim 2 \) keV.4 The metallicity of each phase at deposition is determined by its evolution at high temperatures rather than during the quick cooling through the low-temperature tail of the distribution, so it should be similarly unaffected by this change. Thus a power-law cooling function should be appropriate for our purpose, namely, to calculate the metallicity as a function of radius, even though the high-density tail of the resulting density distribution is not correct. For these low temperatures, the cooling is practically isobaric and the emitted spectrum is independent of the structure of the cooling flow (see Johnstone et al. 1992).

The shape of the metallicity profile is also quite insensitive to the value of \( \alpha \). For example, for \( M = \text{constant} \), it is determined solely by \( \z(r) \), and for the hottest phase in an isothermal mass profile, it depends on \( \z(r) \) and \( \Lambda_\chi \). For definiteness, we choose a power-law cooling function with \( \Lambda_\chi = 1.4 \times 10^{23} \text{ergs cm}^{-3} \text{g}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{K}^{-0.2} \) and \( \alpha = 0.2 \), which approximates the solar-metallicity curve of Gehrels & Williams (1993) near 3 keV.

In addition to these two standard cooling flow models, we consider two “toy” models with no mass deposition, i.e., in which a heat source is balancing the radiative cooling. In the first, we assume that the gas is static and accumulates locally injected iron over the lifetime of the cluster so that \( Z(r) = Z_c + \int_{r(t)}^r \z(r') \rho(r') \dot{l}(r') \, dr' \), where \( t \) is the age of the cluster in its present, relaxed state and \( \rho \) is the local gas density.

A visual inspection of the figures shows that the cooling flow models reproduce the observational curves somewhat better than the two extreme models with no net cooling. However, intermediate models with no cooling are possible, and even the extreme models may not yet be ruled out, given the uncertainties in the measured metallicities. The iron-injection rates required by all the models considered (see caption to Fig. 2) are in rough agreement with the observed rates for Type Ia supernovas in elliptical galaxies \( (1 - 5) \times 10^{-15} \text{h}^2 L_{B0}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1} \); Turatto, Cappellaro, & Benetti 1994), assuming that each supernova injects a substantial fraction of a solar mass of iron (Nomoto, Thielemann, & Yokoi 1984). However, these rates
are substantially higher than those inferred from X-ray observations of the hot gas around some elliptical galaxies not in cluster centers (Serlemitsos et al. 1993; Loewenstein et al. 1994). The expected injection rate from evolved stars is less certain, especially because of the uncertain calibration of stellar metallicity indicators (see, e.g., Worthey 1994). However, the metallicity of NGC 4696 is high compared to other elliptical galaxies (Davies et al. 1987; Carollo, Danziger, & Buson 1993), which implies that this process may well be significant. The relative importance of Type Ia supernovas and stellar mass loss could be decided by spatially resolved abundance measurements of other metals. In particular, Fukazawa et al. (1994) have reported that O, Si, Ar, and Ca lines are also stronger in the central region, which would argue in favor of stellar mass loss. It should also be pointed out that a radial decrease in the stellar metallicity has been detected in the central part (∼ 10″) of NGC 4696 and other elliptical galaxies (Carollo et al. 1993). If this gradient continues out to arcminute scales, it could steepen the expected metallicity gradient in the gas.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusion we have reached in this paper is that the standard cooling flow model, in which gas with the initial metallicity of the intracluster medium flows toward the center of the potential well with the coolest phases continuously dropping out of the flow, is consistent with the metallicity gradient observed in the Centaurus Cluster, given reasonable rates of ejection of metals from Type Ia supernovas and evolved stars in the central cluster galaxy (NGC 4696). Most of the ejected metals are deposited in the hot phases, and these are the ones that survive for a longer time in the flow. The gas in the center of the flow is enriched because of the metals that were deposited in the hot phases at larger radius. This model predicts that, at any radius, the metallicity should increase with the temperature of the phase. This predicts changes in the X-ray spectrum of cooling flows, which might be observable in the future.

Alternative models predict slightly different metallicity profiles. If the gas is static, the metallicity peak in the center should be more pronounced. In a model in which a heating source produces a convection zone, where the gas follows a cycle in which it is heated in the center, rises to large radius, and sinks again as it cools, the gas would be mixed and all the metals ejected would be present in the X-ray-emitting gas, producing a central “plateau” in metallicity and a sharper decline at the edge of the convection zone. The metallicity would also be more uniform among the phases. However, in the absence of a specific model for a possible heating source, this alternative possibility is not sufficiently predictive to be tested from the metallicity profile.

The metallicity enrichment of the cooling flow gas depends mainly on the ratio of the stellar mass in the central galaxy to the gas mass in the cooling flow. This ratio is relatively large in the Centaurus Cluster, and the metallicity gradient should be much smaller in clusters with massive cooling flows where the central galaxy is not very luminous. At the same time, the metallicity gradient should depend on the merger history of clusters: it should be stronger in clusters that have grown quiescently by accreting many small clumps over a long period, without disturbing the radial distribution of the central gas, and weaker in clusters in which the central gas has recently been stirred by a large merger. Thus, variations of the metallicity gradient among different clusters are expected.
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