Recent advances in $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ and related superconductors
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Abstract

It has been more than four years since the discovery of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ superconductors. Through the efforts of many outstanding research groups, unprecedented advances in the field have been achieved. High-quality single crystals of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ and related compounds have been prepared by various techniques, allowing us to explore in detail the physical properties of this class of materials. Detailed characterizations of the structure and properties of these crystals have helped us to understand the origin of superconductivity in $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$. The occurrence of superconductivity is associated with the low-temperature structure distortion, which is accompanied by several anomalies. Recent measurements on quasiparticle and acoustic phonon dynamics with respect to the orbital modification in $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ suggest the opening of an energy gap below 130–140 K, accompanied by a coincident transfer of optical spectral weight in the visible range and alterations in transport properties. These observations provide convincing evidence that the modification of the electronic structure occurs prior to the lattice distortion. They further suggest that the high-temperature gap and the lattice symmetry breaking are driven by short-range orbital and/or charge orders.

Keywords: FeSe, superconductors, high-quality single crystals, structure and properties characterization

1. Introduction

The discovery of superconductivity with a critical temperature $T_c \sim 10$ K in $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ (hereafter referred to as 11 system) [1] has attracted much attention because of having the simplest crystal structure (figure 1) among Fe-based superconductors and relatively high $T_c$ (up to 37 K) under pressure [2]. A subsequent chemical doping study showed that $T_c$ could be enhanced by partial substitution of Se by Te [3]. More interestingly, the crystal symmetry of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ was observed to undergo a distortion at about 100–105 K into a monoclinic structure (space group $P112/n$, or orthorhombic with the defined $ab$ plane rotated by $\sim 45^\circ$ with respect to the original lattice) [4, 5]. Thus a detailed characterization of the electronic and magnetic behavior and their interplay with structural peculiarities may contribute to a more fundamental understanding of this class of materials. Recently, a new bilayer compound, A$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ (where A stands for alkaline metal) [6] was found to be a superconductor with $T_c > 30$ K. This new development has generated extensive research of this class of material. Furthermore, intercalation of alkaline-metal-based liquid ammonia in the FeSe bilayer has resulted in the observation of superconductivity with a substantially enhanced $T_c$. An extremely large superconducting-like gap was observed by both scanning tunneling microscopy and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy on monolayer $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ prepared by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE).

Immediately after the discovery of 11 systems, extensive investigations to better understand this material have
been carried out. Several groups have optimized the preparation of polycrystalline samples and measurement of the chemical stoichiometry of the 11 compounds. Unfortunately, controversy remains as to whether they are Fe rich or Se deficient. Efforts were spent on the preparation of superconducting thin films [4]. It was found that relatively high quality thin films could be prepared by laser ablation. An interesting orientation and thickness dependence of the superconductivity was observed in the pristine 11 and Te-doped 11 compounds [7, 8].

In polycrystalline samples, the existence of second phases at the grain boundaries complicates the correlation of structure with electronic properties, including the superconducting properties. Bulk samples prepared by high-temperature solid-state synthesis typically consist of a major tetragonal phase and minor phases such as ferrimagnetic hexagonal δ-FeSe, ferromagnetic hexagonal Fe2Se8, monoclinic Fe3Se4, Fe3O4 and pure Fe. Single crystals are crucial for studying the correct phase devoid of impurities and for better understanding the underlying mechanisms. Therefore, many groups initiated the crystal growth soon after the discovery of superconductivity in β-FeSe1−x.

This review summarizes our research activities on β-FeSe1−x and related compounds in the past few years. We begin with the methods developed to grow single crystals and textured thin films. Then we present our understanding of the material properties in both the normal state and superconducting state. Finally, we summarize our current understanding of the correlation between the observed properties and the occurrence of superconductivity.

2. Crystal growth

2.1. β-FeSe1−x crystal growth

Bulk samples prepared by high-temperature solid-state synthesis typically contain impurities, including ferrimagnetic hexagonal δ-FeSe, ferromagnetic hexagonal Fe2Se8, monoclinic Fe3Se4, Fe3O4 and pure Fe. This problem may be overcome by the use of high-quality single crystals whose chemical composition and crystal structure can be properly determined. As single crystals are important for obtaining the correct phase devoid of impurity phases, many groups initiated the crystal growth soon after the discovery of superconductivity in β-FeSe1−x. From the reported phase diagram β-FeSe1−x is known to melt congruently around 1075 °C [9]. However, considerable difficulties arise when growing crystals of β-FeSe1−x by the melt growth techniques because of the high viscosity of the melt and the high vapor pressure of Se at this temperature. Therefore, crystals were grown by low-temperature methods, such as molten salt flux growth and vapor transport growth. Zhang et al [10] grew FeSe crystals by the flux method using NaCl/KCl as a flux. Rectangular and hexagonal crystals with dimensions of several hundreds of microns have been grown using FeSe0.82 powder with NaCl/KCl in a 1 : 1 ratio as the starting materials. They contained β-FeSe1−x but with a high volume of intergrown δ-FeSe. The as-grown crystals show a superconductivity onset at 11.9 K with zero resistance (Tc,0) at 3.4 K. Mok et al [5] grew FeSe0.88 crystals from KCl solutions. Large hexagonal plates of ~2–3 mm width and 0.1–0.3 mm thickness are shown in figure 2(a). The crystal plate surface is identified to be the (101) face of β-FeSe1−x. The crystals contained the β-FeSe1−x phase with minor amounts of hexagonal FeSe and showed a resistive transition width of ~3.5 K. The flux-grown crystals typically suffer from flux contamination and water-induced degradation of
the sample during the separation from the flux. The crystals are normally stacked with pieces of small grains and therefore show a large in-plane imperfection, despite having a highly oriented (101) out-of-plane crystallinity.

Vapor transport is a superior method for the preparation of bulk single crystals with low twin and dislocation densities. Patel et al [11] used a physical vapor transport (PVT) approach to grow $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals with lateral dimensions ranging from a few hundred micrometers to 1–2 mm. Although they predominantly contained the $\beta$-phase, trace amounts of $\delta$-phase were also detected. Crystals of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ were grown by vapor transport with $I_2$ as a carrier gas [12]. The growth was carried out in a 200–250 mm long quartz ampoule with a temperature gradient from 700°C at the hot end to 300°C at the cold end. The growing time was relatively long, 2–4 weeks. The crystals obtained were typically around 1 mm$^2$ in size, and their composition depended on position in the ampoule.

We found that $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals grown by CVT with $I_2$ as a gas carrier deteriorated soon after exposure to air, probably due to the $I_2$ contamination on the surface of the crystals. Therefore, we tried to use iron(III) bromine (FeBr$_3$) as the carrier to grow $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals. Thermodynamic arguments suggest the following growth mechanism: FeBr$_3$ thermally dissociates at above 400°C producing Br$_2$ vapor, which then reacts with FeSe positioned in the hotter zone to form FeBr$_3$ and Se vapors. These vapors diffuse from the hotter source zone to the cooler growth zone where their reaction reversely produces solid FeSe and gaseous FeBr$_3$. The charge held at 800°C with the growth zone at 700°C and a temperature gradient along the tube of about 6°C cm$^{-1}$ are optimal for the crystallization. The crystals obtained appear black with mirror-like luster and a typical size of $2 \times 2 \times 0.05$ mm$^3$ as shown in figure 2(b). Their powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern is consistent with a single-phase tetragonal structure. The samples reveal no trace amount of hexagonal phase. XRD on oriented crystals shows reflections from the (101) family of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$. The superconducting transition width is about 4 K, which may be attributed to the unavoidable non-uniformity of Fe/Se or to slight oxidation.

The cubic-anvil high-pressure technique, which has been utilized for the growth of SmFeAsO$_{1-x}$F$_x$ [13], MgB$_2$ [14] and CoP$_3$ [15], was also applied to the growth of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals. The advantage of this technique is that the extremely high pressure can effectively suppress the evaporation of volatile components in the material, which is melted at high temperatures. One of the problems with crystal growth under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions is that the density of the nucleus is high and it is difficult to control nucleation; this hinders growth of large crystals because of the relatively small space for the growth of individual grains. The precursor mixture of stoichiometric compositions was placed in a boron nitride crucible and heated, forming the melt at a pressure of 10 kbar and temperatures of 1000–1050°C. The difficulty in controlling nucleation in the high-pressure technique is reflected in the quality of crystals grown—many have irregular shapes and form clusters of several crystals. Plate-like crystals consist of a high volume fraction of superconducting $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$; on the other hand, crystals with long columnar shape are non-superconducting hexagonal FeSe. As shown in figure 2(c), single crystals of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ can be separated and the crystals can reach a size of up to $1 \times 1$ mm$^2$.

$\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals grown by one of the techniques mentioned above always exhibit an inevitable intergrowth of $\delta$-FeSe and a nonuniform compositional distribution, and therefore have a wide superconducting transition. Annealing at 400°C in situ during the cooling appears to be beneficial to the properties of the crystals [4, 16]. However, it is still a mystery why the $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals were grown to have the plate-like habit of a (101) facet with the atomic arrangement as shown in figure 2(d). These binary $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals cannot be cleaved in any condition, yet the important feature of in-plane crystallinity that may affect the interpretation of anisotropic properties was not fully discussed.

2.2. FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ crystal growth

It is difficult to obtain large single crystals of superconducting $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ phase using the growth techniques mentioned above. Therefore, the isostructural Fe–Te–Se system which crystallizes relatively easy and has a higher $T_c$ than that of Fe–Se is much more promising for the successful growth of single crystals. FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ crystals can be easily grown from the high-temperature melt using the Bridgman method [17–20]. The elements were sealed in a double quartz crucible, melted together at a temperature above 1000°C, and then cooled in a temperature gradient at rates ranging from 3 to 6°C h$^{-1}$ to a temperature between 350 and 750°C, followed by furnace cooling. Large crystals can be separated, especially in the composition of FeSe$_{0.3}$Te$_{0.7}$, as shown in figure 3(a). FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ single crystals can also be grown by the optical zone-melting technique proposed by Yeh et al. The samples were zone-melted using a focused halogen light source and moved at a rate of 1–2 mm h$^{-1}$ to control the crystallization rate [21]. As shown in figure 3(b), a crystal of excellent quality grew perpendicular to the c-axis along the direction of ampoule translation, indicating a well-controlled growth.

Considerable difficulties occur when growing crystals of low Te concentrations ($x < 0.3$), and only crystals with $x > 0.3$ can be obtained. Resistivity measurements show superconductivity for crystals of $x = 0.4–0.9$ (figure 4(a)).
with maximum onset transition temperatures around 14 K for $x = 0.5$–0.7, as shown in figure 4(b). It was noted that most of the as-grown crystals exhibited non-uniform distribution of Se and Te. Post annealing at temperatures above 700 °C would homogenize the Se/Te distribution [21]. A recent work revealed that, as shown in figure 4(c), single crystals of $x = 0.5$–0.9 annealed at 400 °C for at least 100 h in vacuum exhibit bulk superconductivity [19]. The FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ crystals are comparably large and of better quality than FeSe crystals. Importantly, these crystals can be cleaved in ultrahigh vacuum for surface-sensitive spectroscopic experiments.

2.3. Growth of doped FeSeTe crystals

The FeSe system demonstrates the interplay of structure, magnetism and superconductivity. Chemical substitutions at different atomic sites have a significant effect on the emergence or suppression of superconductivity. Recently, Wu et al [4] reported the substitution effect of different metals, such as Al, Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Ga, In, Ba and Sm in superconducting $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$. All of the transition metals (Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni and Cu) were incorporated into the FeSe structure with a substitution ratio below 10 at.%. It was claimed that Fe ions can be substituted by Co up to 50 at.% [22], and disappearance of superconductivity was reported for all $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ samples substituted by Co at above 25 at.% [21]. In contrast, for Fe$_{1-z}$Co$_z$Se$_{1-x}$ with $z$ equal to 0.05 and 0.1, the critical onset temperature ($T_{c, onset}$) was 10 and 5 K, respectively [23]. Zhang et al [24] reported the absence of superconducting transition above 2 K in Fe$_{0.96}$Ni$_{0.04}$Se$_{1-x}$. However, Mizuguchi et al [23] estimated $T_{c, onset}$ at 10 K in Fe$_{0.95}$Ni$_{0.05}$Se$_{1-x}$. The solubility limit of Cu in the FeSe$_{1-x}$ phase reached the range of 20–30 at.% [25]. It is concluded from these studies that only Fe$_{1-x}$Cu$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ samples with $x < 0.02$ exhibit superconductivity while those with $x > 0.03$ are semiconductors [4, 25, 26]. It is noteworthy that superconductivity of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$, where Fe was substituted by Co, Ni and Cu was strongly suppressed; however, Mn substitution up to 30 at.% only slightly changed the $T_c$ value [26] and increased the $T_c$ at a low doping level of 2 at.% [27].

Most of the samples with various elements substituting Fe in both systems of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ and FeTe$_{1-x}$ have been prepared by a conventional solid-state reaction. Although FeSe is chemically amenable to a wide variety of substitutions, the growth of sizable high-quality crystals remains a challenge. Thus, a high-quality FeSe$_{0.8}c$Te$_{0.2}$ crystal, which had a higher $T_c$, was studied to address the substitution effect on superconductivity. Fe$_{0.9}$TM$_{0.1}$Se$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.5}$ crystals, where TM is transition metal, are prone to exfoliation and readily cleave from an ingot because of the layered crystal structure. Shiny $c$-axis-oriented crystals with dimensions up to $5 \times 2$ mm$^2$ can be easily cleaved, as shown in figures 5(a) and (b). In contrast to polycrystalline samples, these crystals consist of a single chemical phase. As seen in figure 5(c), dopant concentrations in these crystals are close to the nominal values.

As shown in figure 6(a), cobalt substitution results in monotonous structural contractions along the $a$- and $c$-axis. Clearly, the lattice contraction for Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_{0.85}$ crystals is much larger than those of Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$Se$_{0.85}$ [22, 23] and
Fe\textsubscript{1−x}Co\textsubscript{x}Se\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} bulk samples [24, 28, 29]. This can be explained by the fact that the Co substitution levels on Fe sites in polycrystalline samples are overestimated due to a possible segregation in the grain boundaries and impurity phases. The structural variations of Fe\textsubscript{1−x}Cu\textsubscript{x}Se\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} samples reveal that lattice constants decrease as iron is replaced by copper, in agreement with the dependence of polycrystalline Fe\textsubscript{1−x}Cu\textsubscript{x}Se\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} samples [28]. However, it remains unclear why the lattice parameter \(a\) increases with Cu substitution for polycrystalline Fe\textsubscript{0.99−x}Cu\textsubscript{x}Se samples [25].

The lattice contractions of 0.3% along the \(a\)-axis and 0.6% along the \(c\)-axis are much smaller than 0.9% (both for the \(a\)- and \(c\)-axis) of Co-doped FeSe\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} crystals, indicating a good correlation with the difference in ionic radii between Co\textsuperscript{2+} and Cu\textsuperscript{2+}. Figure 6(c) shows resistivity as a function of temperature for Fe\textsubscript{1−x}Co\textsubscript{x}Se\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} compounds. Small amounts of Co enhance the resistivity and the \(T_{c}\text{onset}\) decreases from 14 K for \(x = 0\) to 10.2 K for \(x = 0.02\) and 4 K for \(x = 0.05\). For \(x = 0.1\), the compound becomes weakly metallic and is not superconducting. Figure 6(d) demonstrates that Cu substitution suppresses the superconductivity. A resistivity drop is observed at \(T_{c}\text{onset} = 5.6\) K and the resistivity does not reach zero for \(x = 0.02\); moreover, Fe\textsubscript{0.9}Cu\textsubscript{0.1}Se\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} crystals show Mott insulating phases common for polycrystalline [26] and single-crystalline samples [30]. The superconductivity was destroyed by as little as 2% Cu substitution.

The reason for the easy suppression of superconductivity in \(\beta\)-FeSe\textsubscript{1−x} remained unresolved, whether it is a result of structural disorder induced by substitution or whether the unpaired 3d electrons play a crucial role in generating competition between magnetic and superconducting phases. Rietveld refinements of the powder diffraction data at room temperature are summarized to give insight into the substitution effect on crystal structure. The angle of the Se–Fe–Se bond across the Fe-plane and the normalized \(T_{c}\) decrease simultaneously by TM substitution. The overall effects of the doping are compressing the FeSe\textsubscript{4} tetrahedra and decreasing the cross-Fe-plane bond angle. The Se–Fe–Se bond angle is reduced more significantly and the superconductivity is suppressed more rapidly for Fe\textsubscript{1−x}Cu\textsubscript{x}Se\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} than for cobalt-doped FeSe\textsubscript{0.5}Te\textsubscript{0.5} crystals. It is noteworthy that in the superconducting \(\beta\)-FeSe\textsubscript{1−x} [1], FeSe\textsubscript{1−x}Te\textsubscript{x} [2] and Fe\textsubscript{1−x}Cu\textsubscript{x}Se\textsubscript{0.85} [26] samples, the low-temperature structural distortion occurs at around 100 K signifying a symmetry lowering from the high-temperature tetragonal phase to monoclinic phase (\(P\)1\(\bar{n}\)), and this distortion is believed to correlate with the occurrence of superconductivity. We conclude that the TM substitution results in the compression of the FeSe\textsubscript{4} tetrahedron, which inhibits the structural transition and superconductivity at low temperatures.

2.4. \(K_{1}Fe_{2}Se_{2}\) crystal growth

The anion height, i.e, the distance between the chalcogen atoms and the Fe plane inside [Fe\textsubscript{2}Ch\textsubscript{2}] blocks, is an...
important parameter affecting the $T_c$ of Fe-chalcogenides. It can be changed by altering the relative ratios of Se and Te anions or by applying pressure. $T_{c,\text{onset}}$ increases from 8 K at the ambient pressure to 37 K under 4.5 GPa in FeSe. Intercalation of alkaline metal ions, such as K$^+$, Rb$^+$ [31] and Cs$^+$ [32] into the center of Fe$_2$Se$_2$ blocks has been reported recently. As a result, the transition temperature was enhanced to above 30 K, and this is so far the highest reported recently. As a result, the transition temperature was enhanced to above 30 K, and this is so far the highest reported recently.

The enhanced superconductivity of this novel phase may be related to structural characteristics, such as the anion height and Fe–Se–Fe bond angles. These values for K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ seem to be closer to the 'optimum' values (~1.38 Å and 109.47°) for iron-based superconductors, as shown in figure 7(b) [34]. The other key factor responsible for the superconductivity in K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ is deficiency of alkaline metal ions, which determines the electron concentration in the systems and for the type of band filling, i.e. the hole doping effect.

To prepare K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ the FeSe precursor and K metal with a nominal ratio of K:FeSe = 0.8:2 were placed in a quartz crucible, or in an alumina crucible to prevent reaction with K. The inner crucible was sealed into an outer quartz tube or in an arc-welded stainless-steel tube. The mixture was heated to above 1000 °C, kept at this temperature for at least 2 h, and slowly cooled down to 750–800 °C at a rate of 3–6 °C h$^{-1}$ to enable the crystal growth. K$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ crystals are easy to cleave, and crystals with a thickness below 100 µm can be easily obtained, whereas Cs$_x$Fe$_2$Se$_2$ crystals are fragile and difficult to cleave.

$T_{c,\text{onset}}$ is 31 K and 30 K for K$_{0.86}$Fe$_2$Se$_{1.82}$ and Cs$_{0.86}$Fe$_{1.66}$Se$_2$ single crystals, respectively, indicating that the superconducting fraction can be close to 100% for both types of crystals if the preparation is optimized.

The $H_{c2}$ and the normal-state resistivity are larger than in other iron-based superconductors [35]. The anisotropy $H_{ab,c2}(0)/H_{c2}(0)$ is ~3 for both materials. Despite a higher $T_c$ compared to $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$, the superconducting transition temperature monotonously decreases with applied pressure, and the material becomes a non-superconducting metal at pressures above ~9 GPa [39].

3. Preparation of FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ thin films

FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ (FST) thin films can be prepared by many methods, such as pulsed laser deposition (PLD) [4, 40–42], molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) [43, 44] and metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) [45]. Various substrates are used for growing epitaxial FST thin films, including SrTiO$_3$, MgO, YAlO$_3$, LaAlO$_3$ (LAO), Si, GaAs and r-Al$_2$O$_3$, despite some of them having a large lattice mismatch with FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$. FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ films are typically grown in a vacuum of 10$^{-5}$–10$^{-6}$ Torr to prevent oxidation during deposition. The growth temperature of FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ films ranges from 250 to 600 °C.

Most reported epitaxial FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ films had their c-axis preferentially oriented along the out-of-plane direction of the substrate. Wang et al [7] have prepared epitaxial FeSe films with (101) preferred orientation on MgO substrate at high temperatures (~500 °C). High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images revealed a thin transition layer (~5 nm) at the interface in (101) preferred orientation films, but not in (001) films. For Te-substituted films, the growth orientation remained along the (001) direction even at high substrate temperatures. In contrast, Huang et al [8] demonstrated an in-plane orientation change in FeSe$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.5}$ films on MgO. The a-axis of FeSe$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.5}$ films grown at 280 °C is rotated 45° with respect to the (100) direction of MgO, but it is aligned to the (001) direction when the substrate temperature is increased to 500 °C.

A broadening of XRD peaks was observed in FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ films, indicating a phase separation which is common to the bulk [4]. In addition, the
Se/Te ratio is higher in the film than in the target for PLD. The deviation of Se/Te is attributed to the high vapor pressure of Se. Figure 8 compares the (001) XRD peak position between thin film and bulk samples. The maximum deviation is observed around Se/Te = 1. The actual Se/Te ratios of thin films can be calibrated according to the bulk data.

4. Superconductivity of FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ films

The substrate may generate compressive or tensile stress in the film grown on it. Usually such stress is uniaxial, which is different from hydrostatic pressure. Additional information on the film state can be extracted through studying the properties of the film. In FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ films, many important phenomena related to the superconducting transition were observed, which may clarify the mechanism of superconductivity in Fe-chalcogenides. Several important observations are included below.

Wu et al [4] reported a strong suppression of superconductivity in Te-substituted thin films prepared at low temperatures, FeSe$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.5}$ films, with (001) preferred orientation. However, such suppression is not observed in FeSe films grown with (101) orientation [7]. Further investigations by low-temperature XRD correlated the superconductivity of FeSe film with the structural distortion at low temperatures. Figure 9 shows the temperature evolution of XRD peaks of FeSe films grown at 500 °C (a) and 320 °C (b, c). The FeSe film in figure 9(a) has $T_c$ ∼ 9 K. Its (220) XRD peak gradually splits into two peaks upon cooling to temperatures below 80 K, indicating a structural distortion from tetragonal to orthorhombic symmetry. In contrast, the 140-nm-thick FeSe film in figure 9(b) is grown at 320 °C and has $T_c$ < 2 K. Its (221) peak does not split even at 6.5 K. If the thickness of FeSe film grown at 320 °C is increased to 1 μm, $T_c$ recovers to about 6 K and the (221) peak broadens below 80 K, as shown in figure 9(c), indicating that the low-temperature structural distortion occurred in part of the thin film.

Huang et al [8] reported the suppression of superconductivity in FeSe$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.5}$ films. The a/c lattice constant ratio varied linearly with growth temperature, but the unit cell volume remained nearly constant. From the x-ray refinement results, the (Se,Te)-(Se,Te) bond angle, $\alpha$, remained roughly unchanged at about 98° while the $T_c$ varied greatly. Therefore, Huang et al concluded that $\alpha$ does not significantly affect $T_c$; instead, the position of chalcogen might play an important role. In contrast, Bellingeri et al [46] reported an enhanced $T_c$ up to 21 K in FeSe$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.5}$ films on LAO substrate. They found that in film with the maximum $T_c$ of 21 K, $\alpha$ approaches 109.47°, corresponding to a regular tetrahedron, which is similar to the observation in Fe-pnictide superconductors. More interestingly, FeTe films on MgO substrate can show a superconducting transition around 13 K under tensile stress [47]. As expected, the structural and magnetic first-order transition are softening in superconducting FeTe films. The authors found the Te–Fe–Te bond angle of superconducting films ($T_c$ = 7 K) is larger by 0.75° compared to the non-superconducting films.

5. Characterization

The $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals were investigated for their microstructure using HRTEM, powder XRD and Raman spectroscopy. Here we briefly present the HRTEM results. To prevent oxidation during TEM sample preparation, the $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ crystals were crushed, ground, and suspended in two-tree drops of n-hexane in an argon-filled glove box.
Figure 10. (a, d) HRTEM images of FeSe$_{0.95}$ crystals viewed along the (111) and (001) crystal orientations, respectively; (b, e) selected area electron diffraction patterns of the same crystals; (c, f) magnified views of the areas marked by dotted squares in (a, d) respectively. A Wiener filter was used to reduce the noise in the experimental images. Insets in (c) and (f) show computer-simulated images for a crystal thickness of 35.3 and 35.3 nm and an objective defocus of 15 and 18 nm, respectively. Green atoms represent Fe and red atoms represent Se.

Figure 11. EDS analysis of the area shown in figure 10(d), revealing strong Fe and Se peaks. The Cu and C peaks originate from the supporting Cu grid and Lacey film, respectively. Semi-quantitative analysis yields a chemical composition of FeSe$_{0.94±0.04}$.

5.1. HRTEM

The quality of the crystals was assessed by HRTEM (figure 10). The composition of the crystals was nearly the same as the starting composition of the powders, as assessed by energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS, figure 11). Efforts to measure the composition using inductively coupled plasma techniques were not satisfactory as Se reacted with the solvents and formed volatile complexes.

Figures 10(a) and (d) show two HRTEM images of FeSe$_{0.95}$ crystal, viewed from the [111] and [001] crystallographic orientations, respectively. Even though the as-grown crystals exhibit a (101) plate habit, there seems to be a natural (100) cleavage, which is seen when crushing the crystal or cleaving it in vacuum for x-ray absorption spectroscopy studies [11, 12]. The powder XRD patterns also show a strong (100) reflection which is not seen in crystal plates. It is therefore not surprising that we see (100) oriented plates in the TEM samples. The extended lattice fringes signify good crystallinity. A 10-μm field-limiting aperture was used (producing a round area of ~150 nm diameter) to create corresponding electron diffraction images. The lattice constants obtained from the diffraction patterns are $a = b = 3.681 ± 0.003$ Å and $c = 5.488 ± 0.013$ Å, very close to those in the JCPDS card. Figures 10(c) and (f) show, respectively, the magnified images of figures 10(a) and (d), where the experimental noise is reduced by a Wiener filter and the random background is partly subtracted. The individual Fe and Se atoms are hardly resolved in figure 10(c) due to the resolution limit of our TEM. However, when viewed along the $c$-axis, the atoms in the $ab$ plane are resolved, as shown in figure 10(f). Since HRTEM images (phase-contrast images) vary strongly with the sample thickness and the defocus value of the objective lens, it is instructive to compare them with images simulated by established atomic models. Using the thickness, which was estimated from the electron energy loss spectrum (not shown here), and computer-optimized objective defocus value, we simulated HRTEM images for the [111] and [001] crystal orientations. The best matches between experimental and simulated images are shown in the insets of figures 10(c) and (f).

EDS spectra of FeSe$_{0.95}$ crystals (figure 11) are dominated by iron and selenium, with other signals originating from the supporting copper grid and Lacey film. Semi-quantitative chemical analysis yielded a composition of FeSe$_{0.94±0.04}$, in agreement with the nominal composition of the powders used for crystal growth. Similar results were also obtained for a FeSe$_{0.85}$ crystal.

5.2. Transport properties

The resistivity-temperature curve for single-crystal FeSe (figure 12) is similar to those of polycrystalline samples, suggesting an isotropic system. A more detailed analysis reveals several interesting features, such as an anomaly with onset at about 100 K that corresponds to the structural transition temperature ($T_s$, right inset of figure 12). This result further confirms the presence of the low-temperature structural distortion. The second feature is a small resistivity drop at about 40 K, which may be associated with the 40 K superconducting phase observed in $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ under high pressures.
Figure 12. (Left) Temperature dependence of resistivity of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ single crystals where the inset shows the magnetic field dependence of the resistive transition; (right) derivative $dR/dT$ of the resistivity of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ single crystal as a function of temperature. It is rescaled in the inset to reveal anomalies at $\sim$100 and $\sim$40 K.

Figure 13. Temperature dependence of transport properties of FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ films with $x$ = 0, 0.48, 0.56 and 0.85: (a) MR, (b) resistivity and (c) Hall coefficient $R_H/\rho^2$.

Figure 14. Temperature dependence of Seebeck coefficient of single-crystal $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$. 

Figure 12 shows the temperature dependences of magnetoresistance (MR), resistivity ($\rho$) and Hall coefficient ($R_H$) of FeSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ with different Te contents. The contribution from Lorentz force dominates MR in pure FeSe films in the entire temperature range, and the negative MR term strengthens with Te substitution, as shown in figure 13(a); meanwhile, the character of the $\rho$–$T$ curve changes from metallic to semiconducting, as shown in figure 13(b). This evolution implies a significant increase of impurity scattering rate of the carriers in highly Te-substituted samples. The weak-localization effect is non-negligible in dirty metals, which results in a weakly semiconductor-like temperature dependence of resistivity and in the negative MR. It is well known that the value $k_F l$ is a measure of weak-localization effect, where $k_F$ is the Fermi wave factor and $l$ is the mean free path of carriers. The values of $l$ and $v_F$ can be estimated from the resistivity and Hall coefficient at room temperature using the Drude model; $k_F l$ is approximately 5.8 for $x$ = 0.85, which indicates a non-negligible contribution of the weak-localization effect in resistivity. In addition, the magnitude of MR increases around 100 K, for both pure FeSe and highly Te-substituted samples. This rise coincides with the structural distortion of FeSe superconductor. This significant change is also observed in the Hall coefficient, as shown in figure 13(c), which shows a sharp rise in the Hall coefficient at $\sim$140 K. This phenomenon could result from the change of carrier scattering rate that may be associated with the structural distortion.

In addition to the observed resistivity anomaly at $\sim$100 K associated with the structural distortion, the low-temperature thermoelectric power of $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$, shown in figure 14, also exhibits a minimum at the temperature where the structural distortion appears. Another interesting feature is the crossover from positive to negative value around 230 K, which is discussed below. Such an anomaly in thermoelectric power is not unique for $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ and was observed in other Fe-based superconductors.
shows the temperature Several features appear in the electrical resistivity on An anomaly also appears at around 150 K that At temperatures close to 200 K the magnetic Substitution of Fe in Local probe experiments including nuclear magnetic The superconducting transition temperature of We can summarize the observed features as follows: 6. Summary of experimental observations We can summarize the observed features as follows: 1. At temperatures close to 200 K the magnetic susceptibility of superconducting \( \beta - \text{FeSe}_{1-x} \) exhibits anomalous behavior so that the field-cooling data differ from the zero-field cooling curves and there is a strong anisotropy when the magnetic field is directed along or perpendicular to the (101) axis. These features are attributed to the orbital modification due to the hybridization of the Fe d and Se p orbitals. 2. An anomaly also appears at around 150 K that corresponds to a rapid increase in the Hall coefficient on cooling. It suggests that the change in the density of states near the Fermi level results from the orbital modification. 3. Several features appear in the electrical resistivity on cooling before the onset of superconductivity, such as a broad hump at \( \sim 200 \) K, a slight dip at \( \sim 100 \) K and a drop at \( \sim 40 \) K. The high-temperature hump is not well understood, but is likely related to the magnetic susceptibility anomaly mentioned above. The anomaly at 100 K is associated with the structural distortion, which was confirmed by x-ray and neutron diffraction experiments. 4. Substitution of Fe in \( \beta - \text{FeSe}_{1-x} \) with transition metal elements demonstrated that superconductivity is suppressed by non-magnetic element such as Cu, but is less affected by magnetic ions like Mn. Detailed structure analysis of the non-superconducting samples show that superconductivity is closely correlated with the low-temperature structural distortion. This conclusion is confirmed by studies on \( \beta - \text{FeSe}_{1-x} \) thin films and by a detailed chemical stoichiometry investigation by McQueen et al [48]. 5. Thermoelectric power exhibits an anomaly in \( \beta - \text{FeSe}_{1-x} \) at the temperature where structural distortion occurs, suggesting that the structure distortion affects the band structure. 6. Local probe experiments including nuclear magnetic resonance and muon spin resonance show a strong spin fluctuation, which can also be associated with the structural distortion. 7. The superconducting transition temperature of \( \beta - \text{FeSe}_{1-x} \) can be enhanced up to about 40 K by the application of pressure. It is thus interesting to identify the origin of the resistivity anomaly appearing at \( \sim 40 \) K. The features summarized above are pertinent to the origin of superconductivity in \( \beta - \text{FeSe}_{1-x} \) and may also apply to other Fe-based superconductors. It was suggested that in the Fe-based superconductors electron pairing is likely mediated by spin fluctuations [49, 50] and is likely entangled in the electronic nematic order (a rotational symmetry-breaking phase) [51–54]. Both nematicity and magnetic fluctuations are generally associated with the structural distortion at high temperatures. The interplay among different degrees of freedom is thus the core of diverse questions involving Fe-based superconductors. Ongoing debates discuss how the nematicity develops and whether it induces precursor electron condensations [55]. 7. Structure—properties correlation and superconductivity in \( \beta - \text{FeSe} \) Recent photoemission spectroscopic studies [51] indicated that breaking of the electronic symmetry is induced by unbalanced populations in Fe 3d\( _{xz} \) and 3d\( _{yz} \) orbitals, driven by the orbital splitting near the structural transition temperature (\( T_s \)). This effect causes changes in transport properties through a Fermi surface reconstruction [55] and redistributions of the optical spectral weight with photon energies up to the visible range [53, 54]. Even with these efforts, no generally accepted picture has emerged due to limited observations on the orbital modification at temperatures across \( T_s \) [51]. It thus remains unclear which role nematicity plays in relation to the lattice symmetry breaking and high-\( T_s \) superconductivity. To gain more insight into the correlation between superconductivity and the low-temperature structural
disruption, we carried out time-resolved optical studies of electron and phonon dynamics [56–59], which can clarify the changes of the band structure near the Fermi level $E_F$ and underlying interatomic interactions. The major contribution to the optical response of FeSe in the visible spectral range comes from intraband Fe d and interband Fe d to hybridized Fe-d/Se-p transitions [60]. Figure 16 shows the real part of the optical conductivity $\sigma_r(w)$ derived from room-temperature ellipsometric measurements. The distinct peak at $\sim 1.8$ eV is associated with the transition from Fe d to Fe-d/Se-p hybridized orbitals and the two major peaks of the density of states near $E_F$ [60]. To look into the temperature-dependent orbital change, we used near-infrared photons (1.55 eV, an arrow in figure 16) that resonantly probe this interband transition. The inset of figure 16 shows the corresponding temperature-dependent optical reflectivity, where a clear reduction can be found at $T < 130 \pm 10$ K (the marker $T_c$ is set at 140 K). This feature indicates a redistribution of the optical spectral weight and the orbital modification at low temperatures.

Figure 17(a) shows the evolution of reflectivity in the picosecond scale at different temperatures. The high-temperature traces can be well described by a single relaxation, whereas an additional sub-ps relaxation is observed at low temperatures. We modeled the picosecond response by the equation $\Delta R / R = A_{fast} \exp(-t / \tau_{fast}) + A_{slow} \times \exp(-t / \tau_{slow}) + A_{step}$, where $A_{step}$ represents the sub-nm recovery.

Figure 17(b) shows the temperature-dependent fitting variables derived from the data in figure 17(a). From the inset of figure 17(b), the sub-ps relaxation (fast one) is found to emerge below 130–140 K, and its magnitude $A_{fast}$ gradually increases with decreasing temperature until $\sim 70$ K. This feature allows us to attribute this signal to the gap-like quasiparticles, which can be phenomenologically explained in the framework of Rothwarf–Taylor (RT) theory [61]—in the presence of a gap near $E_F$, hot carriers will accumulate in the quasiparticle state above the gap and wait for recombination via scatterings with high-energy phonons. The bottleneck model by Demsar et al. [57] and Mertelj et al. [58] provides an analytical description of the experimental data, enabling a preliminary estimation of the effective gap at $\Delta = 36 \pm 4$ meV.

The picosecond relaxation also contains a contribution from carrier–phonon (c–p) thermalization that is ubiquitous at all temperatures and reflects the c–p coupling strength [58, 59]. Intriguingly, we find that the measured c–p thermalization rate strongly depends on the film orientation, indicating the anisotropy of the probe transition matrix element. For a probe polarization parallel to the ab plane, the c–p thermalization rate is $2.7 \text{ps}^{-1}$, which agrees with the theoretical prediction (3.5 ps$^{-1}$). This striking contrast reveals a dramatic change in the contribution of bands with non-vanishing interplane wavevectors to $\Delta R / R$ and considerable mass of the carriers therein$^4$. This anisotropic c–p coupling may help understand the distinctive interplane optical conductivity and resistivity of Fe-based superconductors [63, 64].

The temperature-dependent c–p thermalization is further analyzed with the two-temperature model (TTM), which provides the commonly accepted descriptions in metals [65], and the fitting results are shown in figure 17(b). Obviously, the TTM predicts an overestimated temperature dependency of $\tau_{slow}^{-1} (\propto T^2 \sim T^3)$ at low temperatures, where the gap could participate in the carrier relaxation. To alleviate this deviation, a model including a gap near $E_F$ is adopted. The modified c–p relaxation time [66], obtained by analytically solving the RT theory, led to an effective energy gap of $\Delta = 9.2 \pm 1.5$ meV, where a satisfactory description of the low-temperature experiment supports the existence of an energy gap. The same order of magnitude of the gap width revealed from the fast and slow relaxations in $\beta$-FeSe$_{1-x}$ (36 and 9.2 meV) quantitatively support the unified scenario of the gap formation at high temperatures ($T > T_c$). The onset of the gap opening can be set at $\sim 140$ K, where the emergence of gap-like quasiparticles (130–140 K), a small singularity of $\tau_{slow} (140 \pm 5 \text{ K})$, and dramatic changes in transport properties ($140 \pm 10 \text{ K}$) are observed.

Figure 18(a) shows the transient optical reflectivity on the sub-nanosecond timescale. Here our concern is the oscillatory feature emerging below 140–150 K. It becomes more distinct and has a lower oscillation frequency (3–4.2 GHz, $\Delta \omega \sim 0.01$ meV) at lower temperatures. Analysis of this feature is performed by fitting with a damped sinusoidal function $A_{exp} \exp(-t / \tau_p) \sin(\omega t + f)$. This signal can be attributed to the round-trip propagation of photoexcited coherent acoustic phonons, i.e. macroscopic film vibrations. It periodically modulates the thickness of the FeSe film with $w = p V_g / L$ and can reveal the effective longitudinal stiffness $C_{eff} = rV_p^2$ (figure 18(c)), where $L$ is film thickness, $r$ is mass density, and $V_g$ and $V_p$ are group and phase velocities.

$^4$ Large mass causes inefficient energy exchange with phonons and with the carriers in other bands. See, for example, [62].
of the quasi-longitudinal waves along the [101] direction, respectively [66]. This interpretation of coherent phonon detection is supported by the agreement between the measured $C_{\text{eff}}$ at the highest temperature ($76 \pm 12$ GPa at 140 K) and the available literature value (77 GPa at room temperature)\(^5\).

The finding above provides valuable clues to both electronic and elastic properties. On cooling, FeSe first exhibits a significant suppression of the optical absorption below 140 K (figure 18(b)), consistent with the distinct spectral weight transfer, as well as orbital modifications, below $T_e$ (inset of figure 16). Second, the $C_{\text{eff}}$ exhibits a temperature-dependent reduction above 90 K and remains nearly constant at lower temperatures. The phonon softening originates from the lattice instability above the structural transition and is arrested at $T_e$, as previously observed by ultrasonic techniques [67, 68]. The coherent phonon dynamics indicates a transition temperature $T_e$ of 90 ± 3 K (figure 18(c)), consistent with the x-ray studies on nearly stoichiometric $\beta$-FeSe\(_{1-x}\) [7, 48] and remarkably lower by $\sim$50 K than the onset of the electronic modification ($T_m$).

From the fact of $T_e > T_m$, we propose that short-range orders evolve into long-range ones and induce the lattice symmetry breaking at $T_m$. As mentioned above, the nematicity picture is consistent with dramatic changes in the Hall coefficient $R_H$ and the Seebeck coefficient $S$ [69]. The key point is the striking coincidence of the onset of changes in the transport properties ($140 \pm 10$ K with $T_m$), supporting the scenario that the short-range nematic charge and/or orbital orders induce Fermi surface reconstruction and gap opening above the structural transition.

The carrier dynamics study has relevant implications for the high-temperature anomalies of Fe-based superconductors. Our data and the systematic studies of the structural effect on the competition/cooperation of spin-fluctuation modes and superconductivity [48, 70] suggest that the structural transition is not only driven by the orbital order, but also strongly interacts with it in this correlated system. The observations on the optical spectral weight transfer and opening of the high-temperature gap, as well as alterations in the transport properties, reflect the nature of the short-range charge and/or orbital orders that cause the Fermi surface reconstruction and gap opening. These in situ and stress-free studies, therefore, not only provide the first evidence for short-range orders above the structural phase transition, but also support the picture that nematicity plays an important role in the precursor state of high-$T_c$ superconductors.

\(^5\) $\rho = 5.65$ g cm$^{-3}$; the walk-off angle of the quasi-longitudinal wave ($\sim 16^\circ$) was assumed to be temperature independent.
8. Conclusions

We have summarized the methods of growing high-quality single crystals and oriented thin films with controllable orientation. The available high-quality samples provide researchers with opportunities to characterize the physical properties in more details, which are critical for understanding the mechanism of superconductivity. The results of magnetic, electrical and thermo-transport measurements indicate an orbital modification at around 140–200 K that leads to the structural distortion at ~100 K. There is also strong evidence for the presence of spin fluctuation at the structure distortion temperature. More direct evidence to support this speculation came from recent results on quasiparticle and acoustic phonon dynamics. Our observations suggest the opening of an energy gap (in the order of ~36 meV) below 130–140 K, which is accompanied by a coincident transfer of optical spectral weight in the visible range and alterations in the transport properties. These observations provide compelling evidence that the modification of the electronic structure occurs prior to the lattice transformation. They further suggest that the high-temperature gap and the lattice symmetry breaking are driven by short-range orbital and/or charge orders, implying a close correlation between nematicity and the precursor phase.
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