Nanophotonics has been in the focus of intensive investigations in recent years. One of its numerous areas is the nanoscale controlling of light emission from a single molecule or quantum dot. Promising tools for the realization of this goal are optical nanoantennas [1–3].

Metal antennas are traditionally used for controlling the radiation pattern of electromagnetic wave emission in the radio and microwave frequency range. Though the electromagnetic properties of metals in the optical range differ significantly from that in the radio and microwave range, it seems to be reasonable to use the main concepts of radio antennas in the optical range as well. It has been suggested [2, 4–6, 11] to construct a nanoptical antenna with elements that are arranged as in the radio Yagi-Uda antennas.

Yagi-Uda antenna consists usually of one or two reflectors, one feed element and several directors with appropriately selected scattering phases (reflector and director are slightly detuned inductively and capacitively). As it has been recently shown, the nanoantenna elements can be nanorods [3, 5, 13], core-shell [2, 7] or spherical [12] nanoparticles. All elements scatter the light and the resulting interference forms a highly directed beam along the antenna axis. The size of the elements in such optical Yagi-Uda nanoantennas have to be smaller than the light emission wavelength in free-space. Such optical nanoantennas only work efficiently in narrow frequency domains, where the interaction of the emitter with light is resonantly enhanced because of the excitation of so-called localized plasmons [14, 15] in the nanoantenna elements.

Spontaneous emission is not an intrinsic atomic property, but it depends sensitively on the local density of photonic modes at certain frequencies in a microcavity [16, 17]. or, equivalently, on the local electromagnetic field value at the position of the quantum emitter [4, 6, 11]. Using resonances, it is possible to increase the local electromagnetic field significantly, and, resulting, to enhance and redirect the dipole emission. In the case of localized plasmon resonances, the collective excitation of electrons at the plasmon frequency leads to a considerably enhanced emission rate when the point dipole is located in the vicinity of metallic nanoparticles with the appropriate orientation of its dipole moment [8–10].

The exact description of photon radiation from the quantum emitter located in some metal-dielectric environment is very complicated. A convenient approximation is a model of an oscillating point dipole. It oscillates with constant frequency and magnitude fixed by the external source (so-called weak coupling limit). In other words, the emission of a current $j(r, t) = j_0 \cdot \delta(r - r_0) \cdot e^{-i \omega t}$ inside an environment with spatially modulated permittivity has to be calculated. This system is now classical and can be described by Maxwell’s equations.

The goal of this paper is to calculate the radiation pattern and emission rate of one oscillating point dipole located in the periodic array of optical Yagi-Uda nanoantennas. Each antenna in the array consists of three rectangular gold elements, director, feed, and reflector. The point emitter is located a few nanometers from the edge of one feed element. To the best of our knowledge, the emission properties of an oscillating point dipole from such a structure have not been investigated yet. The so far investigated structures have been either single Yagi-Uda nanoantennas [2, 3, 5, 7, 13], or arrays of simpler spherical shapes [8]. Using arrays of antennas in combination with several emitters with controlled phase difference of oscillation, we can control the emission directivity additionally [18], as in phased antenna arrays.

The calculation of the radiation characteristics from oscillating dipoles in two-dimensional photonic crystal slabs can be performed using the method of scattering matrix [19, 20]. Its main idea is to divide the structure into two parts adjacent to a plane through the dipole, to find the scattering matrices of these parts, and to combine them by considering the boundary conditions at the plane with the dipole. We use the Fourier modal method (FMM) [19, 21], which can be improved by the formulation of the correct Fourier factorization rules [22] and adaptive spatial resolution [23], as well as of matched coordi-
nates for more complex metallic shapes [24].

The structure of interest is shown schematically in Fig. 1. It consists of a glass superstrate ($\varepsilon = 2.4$), a periodic array of optical Yagi-Uda nanoantennas ordered in a rectangular lattice, and a quartz substrate ($\varepsilon = 2.13$). The periods along the x- and y-axis are equal to 450 nm and 300 nm, respectively. Each antenna consists of three rectangular gold parallelepipeds of 30 nm height and 100 nm width. The lengths of the top (director), middle (feed), and bottom (reflector) elements are 220, 250 and 300 nm, respectively. They are located in glass and the vertical distance between them is equal to 100 nm. We assumed the gold permittivity to be described by the Drude formula with 9106 meV plasma frequency and 81 meV damping rate.

Our first goal is to calculate the directional pattern as well as the emission spectra in the direction normal to the antenna plane. It makes sense to normalize the computed emission intensity $P(\theta, \phi)$, i.e., the Poynting vector of the dipole emission in the far field as a function of the spherical angles $\theta$ and $\phi$, to the maximum intensity of the emission of a point dipole in free-space, which oscillates with the same magnitude and frequency. Thus, we can easily distinguish the enhancement of emission ($P > 1$) from the attenuation ($P < 1$) compared to the dipole located in homogeneous vacuum.

To characterize quantitatively the full dipole emission and its radiative part, it is convenient to define the Purcell factor and its radiative part as

$$F_P = \frac{\oint (\vec{P} \cdot d\vec{A})}{\oint_{\Sigma} (\vec{P}_0 \cdot d\vec{A})}, \quad \text{and} \quad F_P^{\text{rad}} = \frac{\oint (\vec{P}_0 \cdot d\vec{A})}{\oint_{\Sigma_0} (\vec{P}_0 \cdot d\vec{A})}, \quad (1)$$

respectively. Here, $\Sigma_0$ and $\Sigma$ denote spheres with an infinitely small and large radius, respectively, that surround the point dipole. $\vec{P}$ is the Poynting vector of the dipole emission from the antenna array, $\vec{P}_0$ is its counterpart for dipole emission in free space. The antenna absorption losses can be characterized by the non-radiative part of the Purcell factor $F_P^{\text{nr}}$:

$$F_P^{\text{nr}} = F_P - F_P^{\text{rad}}. \quad (2)$$

Now, we can also introduce the radiative efficiency $\eta$ indicating the radiative part of dipole emission,

$$\eta = \frac{F_P^{\text{rad}}}{F_P}. \quad (3)$$

In what follows the results of these quantities will be presented employing 1633 spatial harmonics in the FMM [21, 24].

The calculated emission spectra in top (+z) and bottom (−z) directions of the x-directed oscillating point dipole located inside the periodic array of gold Yagi-Uda nanoantennas are shown in Fig. 1. The dipole is placed on the horizontal symmetry axis along x-direction at a distance of 5 nm to the edge of the feed element (see in Fig. 1). Only one strong and narrow resonance occurs in the emission spectra in the top direction at the photon energy $\hbar\omega = 820$ meV ($\lambda = 1.5 \mu$m). Its magnitude is about 250 and the FWHM is 107 meV. The emission to the bottom direction is significantly smaller. It has even a minimum at the plasmon resonance.

Figure 2 depicts the calculated radiation pattern of emission $P(\theta, \phi)\vec{e}_r$ at the resonant photon energy $\hbar\omega = 820$ meV (where $\vec{e}_r$ is the radial unit vector of a spherical coordinate system centered in the point dipole position). The calculated radiative part of the Purcell factor according to the second Eq. (1) appears to be as high as 80 (see also Fig. 3 below), which indicates a very strong enhancement of the dipole emission by the feed element.

In spite of only one director employed in our Yagi-Uda antenna, the emission in top direction appears to be highly directional. In order to characterize it, the angular directivity $D(\theta, \phi)$ can be calculated [25], which indicates part of the
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**FIG. 1:** (Color online) Lateral view of a periodic array of optical Yagi-Uda nanoantennas with an x-oriented oscillating point dipole (black point with arrow) located at a horizontal distance of 5 nm from the feed element of one nanoantenna.

**FIG. 2:** (Color online) Calculated spectra of the emission in top (blue curve) and bottom (green curve) directions of the oscillating point dipole (directed along x) coupled to the gold Yagi-Uda nanoantenna array. The intensity is normalized to the maximum radiation intensity of an equivalent dipole (with the same magnitude and the same frequency) in free space.
full emission radiated along the direction \((\theta, \varphi)\),

\[
D(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{4\pi P(\theta, \varphi)}{\int P(\theta, \varphi) d\Omega}.
\]

Its maximum value \(D^{\text{max}} = \max[D(\theta, \varphi)]\) is called directivity and indicates the antenna’s ability to form a narrow beam. The larger the directivity, the narrower is the light beam. An isotropic radiator would have a directivity of 1, whereas for an oscillating point dipole in free space, \(D^{\text{max}} = 1.5\). In the case of the radiation pattern of Fig. 5, \(D^{\text{max}} = 4.7\), i.e., it exceeds the directivity of the dipole in free space by a factor of 3.1. In [5] the directivity of a single Yagi-Uda antenna with three directors has been calculated as 6.4, which is only 1.37 times larger than in our case with only a single director. Furthermore, we can also increase the directivity using more than one dipole emitter coupled to different antenna array elements which is shown below.

It is also instructive to investigate the dependence of the emission enhancement on the dipole position and on the orientation of its dipole moment respectively to the feed element. Figure 4 shows the calculated dependence of the radiative part of the Purcell factor \(F^{\text{rad}}_P\) with respect to the distance between the feed element and the dipole for \(x\), \(y\), and \(z\)-directed dipoles. The emission enhancement of the antenna array decreases with increasing distance. The strong polarization and distance dependence demonstrates the local nature of the antenna-dipole enhancement.

For the different distances indicated in the inset of Fig. 4, the emission is only significantly enhanced for the \(x\)-polarized dipole, which means that a plasmon mode with charges oscillating along \(x\) is excited in the system. Such mode leads to a very strong enhancement of emission and determines the directional pattern. As the electromagnetic field near the edges of the feed element is known to have a dipolar character at the fundamental plasmon mode, it is not surprising that the radiation pattern of the \(z\)-polarized dipole displaced vertically by 10 nm above the edge of the feed element (see Fig. 3) nearly coincides with that of the \(x\)-polarized dipole 5 nm apart from the edge of the feed element (see Fig. 4). Unlike a \(z\)-polarized dipole in free space, the majority of the emission is directed along the dipole polarization (i.e., along the \(z\)-axis). The magnitude, however, is about 3 times smaller than that of the \(x\)-oriented dipole.

In this point let us discuss the results of the full Purcell factor \(F_P\) and the radiative efficiency \(\eta\). The calculated results are shown in Fig. 5 where the dipole is placed 10 nm above the feed (i.e., in a homogeneous transparent glass). The Purcell factor is rather large and reaches the maximum of \(F_P \approx 200 – 300\) when the dipole is located above the edge of the feed element. Our numerical analysis shows that the growing oscillations of the calculated Purcell factor when the \(x\)-coordinate approaches the edges of the director, feed, and reflector elements (vertical dashed lines in Fig. 5) are the artifacts of our calculation method. The radiative efficiency appears to be almost independent of the dipole’s \(x\)-coordinate and is about 20% when the emitter is above the feed element.

It should be mentioned that we omitted the calculation of the full Purcell factor as well as the radiative efficiency \(\eta\) in Fig. 4 where the dipole is placed inside a modulated layer. In this case, it appears that we cannot calculate these quantities correctly in the FMM, because the discontinuous permittivity function of such a layer is described by a truncated Fourier expansion. Thus, due to the Gibbs phenomenon, also the non-absorptive surrounding of the metal exhibits a small imaginary part. The point dipole approximation, however, fails if the dipole is placed inside a lossy material.

FIG. 3: (Color online) Calculated 2D polar diagrams (solid lines) of far-field emission in \(xz\) (left panel) and \(yz\) (central panel) planes, as well as the full 3D-directional diagram (right panel) for the \(x\)-oriented oscillating point dipole coupled to the gold Yagi-Uda nanoantenna array at resonant photon energy \((\hbar\omega = 820 \text{ meV})\). The emission intensity is normalized to the maximum radiation intensity of the equivalent dipole \(\hbar\omega (\theta, \varphi)\). The dipole position is in the center-of-coordinates. Dashed lines in left and central panels show the scaled by a factor of 1/2 polar diagrams of \(P_{\text{rad}}(\theta, \varphi)\), the emission of a pair of synchronized dipoles separated by distance \(d\) (see explanation in the text).
Finally, we would like to mention that the antenna array allows to control the emission directivity further, by using several emitting dipoles in different positions and controlling their phase difference of oscillations. Figure 3 shows the scaled by $1/2$ 2D polar diagrams of the emission of two synchronized dipoles, positioned at neighboring antennas of the array at distance $d$, proportional to integer numbers of periods (see dotted and dashed lines in the left and central panels). The emission intensity of the synchronized pair of dipoles normalized to the maximum emission intensity of two non-synchronized dipoles is then simply $P_2(d, \theta, \varphi) = P(\theta, \varphi)\left[1 + \cos\left(2\pi n(d \cdot \varepsilon)/\lambda\right)\right]$, where $P(\theta, \varphi)$ is the directional pattern of a single dipole (solid line), and $n$ is the refractive index of superstrate. Note that the polar diagrams $P_2$ of the synchronized dipoles demonstrate the effect of superradiance: the Purcell factor of two synchronized closely positioned dipoles becomes twice larger than unsynchronized. It is seen also that it becomes possible to increase the directivity using two emitting dipoles.

To conclude, we show that the gold Yagi-Uda nanoantenna array enhances and simultaneously directs radiation of the oscillating point dipole. The dipole-nanoantenna enhancement depends very strongly on the oscillating frequency, dipole position, and orientation of its moment. It becomes possible to control the emission directivity further by using several synchronized emitting dipoles attached to different antennas in the array. This opens a way to manipulate an excited-state lifetime of a quantum emitter and to fabricate narrow beam- ing nanoscale antennas in the optical range.

We acknowledge support from BMBF (13N 9155, 13N 10146), DFG (FOR 557, FOR 730, GI 269/11-1), DFH/ UFA, ANR Chair of Excellence Program, the Russian Academy of Sciences, and the Russian Foundation for Basic Research.

[1] H. Gersen, M. F. García-Parajo, L. Novotny, J. A. Veerman, L. Kuipers, and N. F. van Hulst, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5312 (2000).
[2] J. Li, A. Salandrino, and N. Engheta, Phys. Rev. B 76, 245403 (2007).
[3] H. F. Hofmann, T. Kosako, and Y. Kadoya, New J. Phys. 9, 217 (2007).
[4] S. Kühn, G. Mori, M. Agio, and V. Sandoghdar, Mol. Phys. 106, 893 (2008).
[5] T. H. Taminiau, F. D. Stefani, and N. F. van Hulst, Opt. Express 16, 10858 (2008).
[6] A. Mohammadi, V. Sandoghdar, and M. Agio, New J. Phys. 10, 105015 (2008).
[7] J. Li, A. Salandrino, and N. Engheta, Phys. Rev. B 79, 195104 (2009).
[8] G. Sun, J. B. Khurgin, and R. A. Soref, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 25, 1748 (2008).
[9] G. Sun, J. B. Khurgin, and R. A. Soref, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 101103 (2009).
[10] A. Kinkhabwala, Z. Yu, S. Fan, Y. Avlasevich, K. Mullen, and W. E. Moerner, Nat. Photon. 3, 654 (2009).
[11] R. Esteban, T. V. Teperik, and J. J. Greffet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 026802 (2010).
[12] A. F. Koenderink, Nano Lett. 9, 4228 (2009).
[13] T. Kosako, Y. Kadoya, and H. F. Hofmann, Nat. Photon. 4, 312 (2010).
[14] W. L. Barnes, A. Dereux, and T. W. Ebbesen, Nature 424, 824 (2003).
[15] A. Polman, Science 322, 868 (2008).
[16] E. M. Purcell, Phys. Rev. 69, 681 (1946).
[17] G. W. Ford and W. O. Weber, Phys. Rep. 113, 195 (1984).
[18] D. Dregely, R. Taubert, J. Dorfmüller, R. Vogelgesang, K. Kern, and H. Giessen, Nat. Comm. 2, 267 (2011).
[19] D. M. Whittaker and I. S. Culshaw, Phys. Rev. B 60, 2610 (1999).
[20] H. Taniyama and M. Notomi, J. Appl. Phys. 103, 083115 (2008).
[21] S. G. Tikhodeev, A. L. Yablonskii, E. A. Muljarov, N. A. Gippius, and T. Ishihara, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045102 (2002).
[22] L. Li, J. Optics A 5, 345 (2003).
[23] G. Granet and J.-P. Plumey, Journal of Optics A: Pure and Applied Optics 4, S145 (2002).
[24] T. Weiss, G. Granet, N. A. Gippius, S. G. Tikhodeev, and H. Giessen, Opt. Express 17, 8051 (2009).
[25] C. A. Balanis, *Antenna Theory, 3rd edition* (John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2005).