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Objectives

There are currently few data on the long-term risk of cancer and death in individuals taking raltegravir (RAL). The aim of this analysis was to evaluate whether there is evidence for an association.

Methods

The EuroSIDA cohort was divided into three groups: those starting RAL-based combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) on or after 21 December 2007 (RAL); a historical cohort (HIST) of individuals adding a new antiretroviral (ARV) drug (not RAL) to their cART between 1 January 2005 and 20 December 2007, and a concurrent cohort (CONC) of individuals adding a new ARV drug (not RAL) to their cART on or after 21 December 2007. Baseline characteristics were compared using logistic regression. The incidences of newly diagnosed malignancies and death were compared using Poisson regression.

Results

The RAL cohort included 1470 individuals [with 4058 person-years of follow-up (PYFU)] compared with 3787 (4472 PYFU) and 4467 (10 691 PYFU) in the HIST and CONC cohorts, respectively. The prevalence of non-AIDS-related malignancies prior to baseline tended to be higher in the RAL cohort vs. the HIST cohort [adjusted odds ratio (aOR) 1.31; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.95–1.80] and vs. the CONC cohort (aOR 1.89; 95% CI 1.37–2.61). In intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis (events:
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RAL, 50; HIST, 45; CONC, 127), the incidence of all new malignancies was 1.11 (95% CI 0.84–1.46) per 100 PYFU in the RAL cohort vs. 1.20 (95% CI 0.90–1.61) and 0.83 (95% CI 0.70–0.99) in the HIST and CONC cohorts, respectively. After adjustment, there was no evidence for a difference in the risk of malignancies [adjusted rate ratio (RR) 0.73; 95% CI 0.47–1.14 for RAL vs. HIST; RR 0.95; 95% CI 0.65–1.39 for RAL vs. CONC] or mortality [adjusted RR 0.87; 95% CI 0.53–1.43 for RAL vs. HIST; RR 1.14; 95% CI 0.76–1.72 for RAL vs. CONC].

Conclusions
We found no evidence for an oncogenic risk or poorer survival associated with using RAL compared with control groups.
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Introduction
After the publication of the results of randomized clinical trials performed against efavirenz-containing combination antiretroviral therapy (cART), raltegravir (RAL), the first available antiretroviral agent belonging to the class of HIV integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs), has been approved for both ART-experienced patients and first-line therapy in ART-naïve patients [1–6]. It was widely introduced across Europe starting from 2010 [7]. Although a satisfactory tolerability profile in patients, including those with underlying comorbidities, and proportionally limited drug–drug interactions have generally been shown, a slight excess of cancers was observed in interim analyses of clinical trials including RAL-based regimens which was not, however, confirmed in subsequent analyses [8–13]. INSTIs bind at the active site of HIV integrase and block the strand transfer step of integration. Recent in vitro studies showed that suboptimal doses of RAL could lead to the generation of aberrant proviruses during the strand transfer reaction, with significant rearrangements of the host genome, including duplications, inversions, deletions and, occasionally, acquisition of sequences from other chromosomes [14,15]. Based on what is known about the activation of oncogenes in human tumours, it is possible that rearrangements in the host DNA (so far observed only in in vitro models) as a result of potential aberrant HIV DNA integrations could increase the chance that HIV integrations could lead to the development of cancer.

In 2009, a large meta-analysis was conducted combining data from several randomized RAL clinical trials [16] and found no difference in rates of cancer comparing RAL users and people receiving other treatments. These early results showed that, over the first 2 months of these trials, cancer rates were similar in people who received RAL and in controls. After 2 months in these studies, cancers became more common in RAL users and the number of new cancers then stabilized over time (affecting about 1% of the patients enrolled over the following 20 months). Although there was no evidence for statistical differences in rates of cancer between people receiving RAL and those receiving standard of care, concerns about the earlier findings led to further analyses being conducted in observational studies to monitor long-term safety profiles in RAL users.

The aim of this analysis was to compare the incidences of malignancies and other comorbidities as well as survival rates in cohorts of individuals initiating RAL-based and non-RAL-based cART regimens in a large European cohort of HIV-infected patients.

Methods
EuroSIDA is a large, on-going prospective cohort study of 18,931 individuals living with HIV. The study collects data from 111 hospitals in 34 different countries across Europe, as well as Israel and Argentina [17,18]. Recruitment started in 1994, and data are collected 6-monthly on standardized case report forms (CRFs). Variables collected include demographic information, CD4 counts, viral load (VL) measurements and start and stop dates for all antiretroviral drugs used. All patients gave informed consent to be included in EuroSIDA at enrolment. Non-AIDS-related events are collected in EuroSIDA following the standardized HIV Cohorts Data Exchange Protocol (HICDEP) code for data collection (http://www.hicdep.org/wiki/Hicdep_1.90). Clinicians at EuroSIDA participating sites were asked 6-monthly to report any event not previously reported to EuroSIDA, including all events since the last follow-up. There is a specific “List of Definitions” for the relevant events collected in EuroSIDA which follows the accepted World Health Organization International Classification of Diseases (WHO ICD). More detailed information about the study can be found at www.cphiv.dk.

Patients in EuroSIDA were included in the RAL cohort if (1) they started RAL for the first time on or after 21
December 2007 (the RAL authorization date in the European Union); (2) they had at least 1 month’s prospective follow-up in this cohort, and (3) they had a CD4 count and a VL measured within 6 months prior to the start date of RAL. Two control cohorts were chosen a priori and were defined as follows: a ‘historical’ (HIST) and a ‘concurrent’ (CONC) cohort. Patients were included in the HIST comparison cohort if (1) they started a new antiretroviral drug as part of a cART regimen on or after 1 January 2005 and before 21 December 2007 (patients must have had no previous exposure to the new drug, including as part of a different co-formulation, to be included); (2) they had at least 1 month’s prospective follow-up in this cohort, and (3) they had a CD4 count and a VL measured within 6 months prior to the start date of the new drug. Patients were included in the CONC cohort if (1) they started a new antiretroviral drug other than RAL as part of a cART regimen on or after 21 December 2007, and had no previous exposure to the new drug, including as part of a different co-formulation; (2) they had at least 1 month’s prospective follow-up in this cohort, and (3) they had a CD4 count and a VL measured within 6 months prior to the start date of the new drug. Baseline for the analysis in the RAL cohort was defined as the date on which the patient first received RAL. In the HIST and CONC cohorts, baseline was the date on which the patient first received the new antiretroviral drug (not RAL). Patients were allowed to contribute data to more than one cohort, but with no overlap in the follow-up time (Fig. 1). Those who contributed data to the HIST cohort were also eligible for inclusion in the RAL cohort upon initiation of RAL or in the CONC cohort upon initiation of a new antiretroviral drug after 21 December 2007. Patients who contributed data to the CONC cohort were also allowed to ‘switch’ into the RAL cohort upon initiation of RAL. However, in order to be able to evaluate the risk associated with currently being exposed to RAL, patients in the RAL cohort could not switch to the CONC cohort upon initiation of a new antiretroviral drug.

Analyses were performed on the data set including cumulated data collected from patient journals until December 2014. The data were collected, keyed and quality assured at the Coordinating Centre between January 2014 and December 2014.

Statistical analysis

Four main outcomes were assessed: newly diagnosed malignancies (AIDS- and non-AIDS-related), clinically important hepatic events, lipodystrophy and mortality. By protocol, a formal comparison of the incidence rates between cohorts was performed only for outcomes with > 30 events in all three comparator cohorts; this target number of events was achieved only for the outcomes of malignancies and overall mortality.

Patient’s follow-up time was defined as follows: only prospectively collected person-years of follow-up (PYFU) were included after the date of enrolment in EuroSIDA. Follow-up time in the RAL cohort was censored at the time of the earliest of any of the following events: discontinuation of RAL, death or last clinic visit. In the HIST cohort, follow-up time was censored at the earliest of 21 December 2007, discontinuation of any new drugs started on the baseline date, death or last clinic visit. In the CONC cohort, follow-up time was censored at the earliest of starting RAL (if started), discontinuation of any new drugs started on the baseline date, death or last clinic visit.

For the analysis of the incidence of clinical events, the follow-up time included was additionally censored at the first occurrence of the specific event of interest if it occurred before the end of the follow-up period, but not at the first occurrence of any of the other main outcomes. As a consequence of the nature how the data are collected in our cohort, it is difficult to distinguish between subsequent recurrences and continuations of malignancies, and therefore only first occurrences of each type were analysed in all incidence analyses. A further analysis including all malignancies reported over the follow-up time, regardless of whether or not they were first occurrences, yielded similar results (not shown).

Baseline characteristics in the three cohorts were compared using univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis. We also described the incidence of discontinuation of one or more drugs (main reason as reported by the treating physician, for the RAL cohort alone).

The incidence of all outcomes was calculated as the number of events over the follow-up period divided by PYFU, assuming a Poisson distribution. Univariable and multivariable Poisson regression models were used to estimate rate ratios (RRs) of malignancies and of death between the cohorts. Because of the large number of potential measured confounders, propensity scores (PSs) were used for the adjustment in the multivariable analysis. All factors showing a univariable association with \( \alpha = 0.1 \) were included in the PS vector. Quintiles of the PS were fitted in the Poisson regression model as a continuous variable. Results were consistent when we used the alternative approaches of matching, stratifying (data not shown). For all Poisson regression analyses, an intention-to-treat (ITT) approach, ignoring treatment switches as well as recurrences of clinical events of the same type, was used. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).
### Results

#### Study population

A total of 1470 patients were included in the RAL cohort, 3787 patients were included in the HIST comparison cohort and 4467 patients were included in the CONC cohort (Fig. 1). Table 1a shows a comparison of the demographic characteristics of the patients in the three cohorts at baseline. The majority of patients in all three cohorts were male (approximately 75%) and of white ethnicity (> 84%). The mode of HIV transmission was similar across the three cohorts. Approximately 40% of patients were men who have sex with men (MSM), almost 20% reported heterosexual contact as the mode of transmission, and approximately 30% were persons who inject drugs (PWID). There was some difference in the geographical distribution of the patients between the cohorts; RAL users were mostly split between North Europe (21%), Central West Europe (36%) and South Europe (31%), whereas approximately three-quarters of patients in the HIST and CONC cohorts were evenly split between North, Central West and South Europe, with the remaining patients distributed between Central East and East Europe, and just 1% in Argentina. The median age was 49 years in the RAL cohort, and 44 years in the HIST and CONC cohorts. Baseline CD4 count was similar across the three cohorts (medians between 392 and 468 cells/lL) but CD4 count nadir was higher in the HIST and CONC cohorts (medians 140 and 175 cells/lL, respectively, compared with 118 cells/lL in the RAL cohort). There was a shorter time from CD4 nadir to enrolment in both of the comparison cohorts compared with the RAL cohort. On average, RAL patients had been enrolled in EuroSIDA for
longer than those in the comparison cohorts (median 11 months compared with 7 months in the HIST cohort and 4 months in the CONC cohort). Median baseline VL was 1.7 log10 HIV RNA copies/ml in the RAL cohort, 1.9 log10 copies/ml in the HIST cohort, and 1.8 log10 copies/ml in the CONC cohort. Baseline VL was suppressed to \( \leq 500 \) copies/ml in 74% of the RAL cohort (60% were suppressed to \( \leq 50 \) copies/ml), 60% of the HIST cohort (53% were suppressed to \( \leq 50 \) copies/ml) and 58% of the CONC cohort (56% were suppressed to \( \leq 50 \) copies/ml), and it was \( > 10000 \) copies/ml in 14% of the RAL cohort, 27% of the HIST cohort and 33% of the CONC cohort. Baseline peak VLs were also similar between the cohorts (median 5.0 log10 copies/ml). Median baseline date for the RAL cohort was February 2010, that for the HIST cohort was September 2006 and that for the CONC cohort was March 2009, and the median follow-up time was 35 months for the RAL cohort, 13 months for the HIST cohort and 25 months for the CONC cohort. RAL patients had a longer time since HIV-1-positive diagnosis (median 17 years compared with 12 years in the HIST cohort and 10 years in the CONC cohort). Only a minority of the study population was ART-naïve at the date of inclusion (4% of the RAL cohort, 11% of the HIST cohort and 30% of the CONC cohort). The prevalences of hepatitis coinfection and comorbidities at baseline are shown in Table 1b.

Predictors of RAL initiation

Table 2a shows the unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (aORs) for initiation of RAL vs. a historical agent. In the unadjusted analysis, there were strong associations between the probability of starting RAL and a large number of the factors examined \((P < 0.005)\). The multivariable model showed that, after adjustment for all significant factors, older patients were more likely to receive RAL \((\text{aOR per 10-year increase: } 1.42; 95\% \text{ confidence interval (CI) 1.31–1.54})\), as were patients with a longer time since CD4 count nadir \((\text{aOR per 1 year longer: } 1.11; 95\% \text{ CI 1.04–1.19})\), patients with a lower baseline VL \((\text{aOR per 1 log10 copies/ml higher: } 0.80; 95\% \text{ CI 0.74–0.85})\), patients with a higher peak VL at baseline \((\text{aOR per 1 log10 copies/ml higher: } 1.26; 95\% \text{ CI 1.17–1.36})\) and patients who had been on ART for a longer period \((\text{aOR per 1 year longer: } 1.18; 95\% \text{ CI 1.15–1.24})\). Patients visiting a clinic in the North of Europe were less likely to receive RAL compared with patients followed up in other regions. Furthermore, higher numbers of previous nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) and protease inhibitors (PIs) used were linked to increased odds of starting RAL. For example, patients who had previously received three or four PIs were more than twice as likely to start RAL than those who had previously taken only one or two PIs \((\text{aOR 1.79; 95\% CI 1.50–2.14})\). Finally, patients who had previously received treatment for an opportunistic infection were less likely to receive RAL \((\text{aOR 0.71; 95\% CI 0.61–0.84})\), than patients who had previously experienced loss or accumulation of fat \((\text{aOR 0.45; 95\% CI 0.39–0.52})\) (Table 2a). Results for the comparison between RAL recipients and the CONC cohort were similar (Table 2b).

Drug discontinuation in the RAL cohort

A total of 351 patients (24%) discontinued RAL, of whom 39 (11%) discontinued within 3 months. Of the 351 discontinuations, 140 (40%) involved the discontinuation of RAL alone, while in the remaining 211 instances at least one additional drug was discontinued together with RAL (60%). The other drugs most frequently leading to the 351 discontinuations of the RAL regimen were ritonavir (18%), emtricitabine/tenofovir (16%), lamivudine (8%) maraviroc and tenofovir (7%), and atazanavir and efavirenz (6%). Of the 39 discontinuations that occurred within 3 months, 16 (41%) were of RAL alone.

Focussing on these 39 early discontinuations (within 3 months), they were mainly attributable to gastrointestinal toxicity \((n = 4)\) and patient choice \((n = 10)\), whereas the majority of the 312 longer term discontinuations \(\text{(after the first 3 months)}\) seemed to be attributable to physician decision \(\text{(including structured treatment interruptions)}\) \((n = 71)\), patient wish \((n = 63)\) or clinicians reporting treatment failure \((n = 28)\), while only 10 were attributable to gastrointestinal toxicity.

Clinical outcomes

A total of 222 malignancies occurred over the follow-up time, after excluding 13 recurrent events (five in the RAL cohort, two in the HIST cohort and six in the CONC cohort). This included 50 malignancies over the follow-up time in the RAL cohort, 45 in the HIST cohort and 127 in the CONC cohort. AIDS-defining malignancies, including Kaposi’s sarcoma and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, were reported in 14% of diagnoses in the RAL cohort (one case of Kaposi’s sarcoma and six cases of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma), 29% of diagnoses in the HIST cohort (five cases of Kaposi’s sarcoma and eight cases of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma) and 16% of diagnoses in the CONC cohort (10 cases of Kaposi’s sarcoma and 10 cases of non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma). The breakdown of all specific cancer locations, including the remaining non-AIDS-related events,
Table 1 Baseline* patient characteristics. (a) Baseline comorbidities and prior clinical events according to inclusion in the raltegravir (RAL), historical and concurrent cohorts. (b) Baseline information on hepatitis coinfection status, comorbidities and prior clinical events according to inclusion in the RAL, historical and concurrent cohorts

| RAL | Historical | Concurrent |
|-----|------------|------------|
| Total number | 1470 | 3787 | 4467 |
| Gender [n (%)] | | | |
| Female | 361 (24.6) | 949 (25.1) | 1229 (27.5) |
| Mode of HIV transmission [n (%)] | | | |
| MSM | 640 (43.5) | 1623 (42.9) | 1782 (39.9) |
| Heterosexual contacts | 255 (17.3) | 764 (20.2) | 860 (19.3) |
| PWID | 451 (30.7) | 1129 (29.8) | 1539 (34.5) |
| Other/unknown | 124 (8.4) | 271 (7.2) | 286 (6.4) |
| Ethnicity [n (%)] | | | |
| White | 1232 (83.8) | 3274 (86.5) | 3942 (88.2) |
| Asian | 20 (1.4) | 62 (1.6) | 56 (1.3) |
| Black | 90 (6.1) | 239 (6.3) | 282 (6.3) |
| Other/unknown | 128 (8.7) | 212 (5.6) | 187 (4.2) |
| Country of origin [n (%)] | | | |
| Same country as clinic | 1142 (77.7) | 2902 (76.6) | 3539 (79.2) |
| Other European country | 82 (5.6) | 212 (5.6) | 225 (5.0) |
| Africa | 94 (6.4) | 243 (6.4) | 284 (6.4) |
| America | 32 (2.2) | 133 (3.5) | 168 (3.8) |
| Asia | 17 (1.2) | 48 (1.3) | 53 (1.2) |
| Other/unknown | 103 (7.0) | 249 (6.6) | 198 (4.4) |
| Geographical region [n (%)] | | | |
| South | 458 (31.2) | 1131 (29.9) | 1053 (23.6) |
| Central West | 523 (35.6) | 892 (23.6) | 889 (19.9) |
| North | 315 (21.4) | 1071 (28.3) | 891 (19.9) |
| Central East | 138 (9.4) | 394 (10.6) | 777 (17.4) |
| East | 21 (1.4) | 190 (5.0) | 696 (15.6) |
| Argentina | 15 (1.0) | 109 (2.9) | 161 (3.6) |
| Viral load [n (%)] | | | |
| < 500 copies/mL | 1082 (73.6) | 2278 (60.2) | 2584 (57.8) |
| 500–10 000 copies/mL | 179 (12.2) | 486 (12.8) | 411 (9.2) |
| > 10 000 copies/mL | 209 (14.2) | 1023 (27.0) | 1472 (33.0) |
| Age (years) [median (IQR)] | | | |
| Baseline [cells/μL] | 468 (300, 673) | 392 (237, 614) | 404 (255, 630) |
| Nadir [cells/μL] | 118 (41, 208) | 140 (50, 225) | 175 (75, 266) |
| Time since nadir (years) [median (IQR)] | 10 (4, 13) | 6 (2, 9) | 4 (0, 10) |
| Viral load (log10 copies/mL) [median (IQR)] | | | |
| Baseline | 1.7 (1.6, 2.9) | 1.9 (1.7, 4.2) | 1.8 (1.6, 4.5) |
| Peak | 5.0 (4.3, 5.6) | 4.9 (4.2, 5.5) | 5.0 (4.3, 5.5) |
| Index date [baseline] [median (IQR)] | 2010 (2009, 2012) | 2006 (2005, 2006) | 2009 (2008, 2011) |
| Length of follow-up (months) [median (IQR)] | 32 (15, 52) | 13 (6, 22) | 25 (11, 45) |
| Time HIV-1 positive (years) [median (IQR)] | 17 (13, 21) | 12 (8, 16) | 10 (4, 16) |
| History of ART [n (%)] | | | |
| ART-naive | 58 (3.9) | 424 (11.2) | 1339 (30.0) |
| Prior clinical events* | | | |
| No. of previous AIDS diagnoses [n (%)] | | | |
| 0 | 921 (62.7) | 2577 (68.0) | 3350 (75.0) |
| 1 | 311 (21.2) | 760 (20.1) | 764 (17.1) |
| 2 | 144 (9.8) | 270 (7.1) | 240 (5.4) |
| ≥ 3 | 94 (6.4) | 180 (4.8) | 113 (2.5) |
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stratified by cohort, is shown in Supporting Information Table S1.

The incidence of newly diagnosed malignancies (222 events over 23 501 PYFU) was 0.94 (95% CI 0.82–1.08) per 100 PYFU; the incidence of death (197 events/23 936 PYFU) was 0.82 (95% CI 0.71–0.95), that of lipodystrophy (189/23 510 PYFU) was 0.80 (95% CI 0.69–0.93) and that of hepatic events (82/23 713 PYFU) was 0.35 (95% CI 0.28–0.43). After stratification by cohort, the rates of cancer were 1.1 (95% CI 0.8–1.5), 1.2 (95% CI 0.9–1.6) and 0.8 (95% CI 0.7–1.0) per 100 PYFU in the RAL, HIST and CONC cohorts, respectively. The corresponding mortality rates by cohort remained low at 1.0 (95% CI 0.7–1.3), 0.9 (95% CI 0.6–1.3) and 0.8 (95% CI 0.6–0.9) per 100 PYFU (Table 3).

For reasons described in the Methods, adjusted RRs from fitting a Poisson regression are shown only for the endpoints of malignancies and death. The unadjusted analysis for cancer showed a 40% increase in the risk of malignancies for people who started RAL compared with people in the CONC cohort (Table 4a). However, after controlling for a number of potential confounders identified in Table 2, using standard regression adjustment, the estimated RR was closer to the null and not significant (comparing RAL with CONC: unadjusted RR 1.33; 95% CI 0.96–1.85; P = 0.08; adjusted RR 0.98; 95% CI 0.67–1.41; P = 0.90; Table 4a). The adjusted estimate obtained from fitting the quintiles of the PSs as a continuous covariate in the model was consistent with this estimate (RR 0.95; 95% CI 0.65–1.39; P = 0.79). Figure S1a and b in the Supporting Information show the overlap in the PS distributions in the three cohorts which allowed a meaningful observational comparison using this strategy to control for confounding.

In order to test the hypothesis that the difference in the risk of malignancies between people receiving RAL and control cohorts might vary according to previous history of ART, we stratified the PS analysis to separate people who had previously experienced virological failure to a maximum of two drugs and those who had experienced failure to more than two drugs, and we found no evidence for such an interaction (P = 0.77). Similarly, we found no evidence for an interaction with baseline VL (when using a binary variable with a cut-off of 400 copies/mL; P = 0.92).

Again, there was no difference in the incidence of death between the RAL and HIST cohorts. In the unadjusted analysis, there was a trend for a greater risk of mortality in the CONC cohort compared with the RAL cohort (RR 1.35; 95% CI 0.96–1.89; P = 0.08). However, this difference was attenuated and not significant after controlling for potential confounders (RR 1.14; 95% CI...
Table 2 Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for initiation of raltegravir (RAL) compared with (a) the historical cohort and (b) the concurrent cohort

| Factor                                | Unadjusted | P-value | Adjusted | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---------|----------|-------------|---------|
| (a)                                   |            |         |          |             |         |
| Gender                                |            |         |          |             |         |
| Male                                  | 1.00       |         |          | 1.00        |         |
| Female                                | 0.97 (0.86, 1.10) | 0.672  |          | 1.15 (0.94, 1.40) | 0.168  |
| Mode of HIV transmission              |            |         |          |             |         |
| MSM                                   | 1.00       |         |          | 1.00        |         |
| IDU                                   | 0.85 (0.73, 0.98) |         |          | 1.68 (1.39, 2.02) |       |
| Heterosexual                          | 1.01 (0.89, 1.15) |         |          | 1.73 (1.42, 2.11) |       |
| Other                                 | 1.16 (0.95, 1.42) |         |          | 2.92 (2.21, 3.87) |       |
| Ethnicity                             |            |         |          |             |         |
| White                                 | 1.00       |         |          | 1.00        |         |
| Other/unknown                         | 1.23 (1.07, 1.43) | 0.005  |          | 1.40 (1.29, 1.52) | < 0.001|
| Geographical region                   |            |         |          |             |         |
| North                                 | 1.00       | < 0.001 |          | 1.00        | < 0.001|
| Central West                          | 1.99 (1.72, 2.31) | 0.001  |          | 1.68 (1.39, 2.02) |       |
| South/Argentina                       | 1.30 (1.12, 1.50) |         |          | 1.73 (1.42, 2.11) |       |
| Central East/East                     | 0.93 (0.76, 1.13) |         |          | 2.92 (2.21, 3.87) |       |
| HBV coinfection                       |            |         |          |             |         |
| Negative                              | 1.00       |         |          | 1.00        |         |
| Positive                              | 0.79 (0.64, 0.99) |         |          | 1.05 (1.03, 1.07) | < 0.001|
| Unknown                               | 0.73 (0.58, 0.90) |         |          | 1.26 (1.17, 1.36) | < 0.001|
| HCV coinfection                       |            |         |          |             |         |
| Negative                              | 0.91 (0.80, 1.03) | < 0.001 |          | 0.80 (0.74, 0.85) | < 0.001|
| Positive                              | 0.40 (0.40, 0.50) |         |          | 0.45 (0.40, 0.50) | < 0.001|
| Unknown                               | 0.73 (0.58, 0.90) |         |          | 0.40 (0.35, 0.46) | < 0.001|
| CD4 count (per 100 cells/μL higher)   |            |         |          |             |         |
| Baseline                              | 1.08 (1.06, 1.10) |         |          | 1.05 (1.03, 1.07) | < 0.001|
| Nadir                                 | 0.91 (0.87, 0.96) | < 0.001 |          | 1.11 (1.04, 1.19) | 0.002  |
| Viral load (per log₁₀ copies/ml higher) | 1.17 (1.16, 1.19) | < 0.001 |          | 1.05 (1.03, 1.07) | < 0.001|
| Baseline                              | 0.75 (0.71, 0.79) | < 0.001 |          | 0.80 (0.74, 0.85) | < 0.001|
| Peak                                  | 1.05 (1.00, 1.11) |         |          | 1.26 (1.17, 1.36) | < 0.001|
| Length of enrolment in EuroSIDA (per 1 year longer) | 1.17 (1.15, 1.19) | < 0.001 |          | 1.05 (1.03, 1.07) | < 0.001|
| Prior clinical events (vs. no event)  |            |         |          |             |         |
| No. of previous AIDS diagnoses        |            |         |          |             |         |
| 0                                     | 1.00       |         |          | 1.00        |         |
| 1                                     | 1.14 (1.00, 1.31) | 0.052  |          | 0.99 (0.83, 1.18) | 0.914  |
| ≥ 2                                   | 1.48 (1.27, 1.72) | < 0.001 |          | 1.27 (1.02, 1.58) | 0.033  |
| AIDS-related malignancy               | 1.36 (1.12, 1.65) |         |          | 1.17 (1.04, 1.33) | 0.015  |
| Non-AIDS-related malignancy           | 2.46 (1.90, 3.18) | < 0.001 |          | 1.31 (0.95, 1.80) | 0.099  |
| Cardiovascular event                  | 2.25 (1.74, 2.92) | < 0.001 |          | 1.31 (0.95, 1.80) | 0.099  |
| Loss or accumulation of fat           | 1.39 (1.25, 1.55) | < 0.001 |          | 1.31 (0.95, 1.80) | 0.099  |
| Discontinued drug because of toxicity | 1.62 (1.28, 2.04) | < 0.001 |          | 1.46 (1.18, 1.83) | 0.001  |
| Discontinued drug because of lipodystrophy/atrophy | 1.18 (1.04, 1.34) | 0.010  |          | 0.90 (0.77, 1.05) | 0.165  |
| Prior medication (vs. no medication)  |            |         |          |             |         |
| OI treatment*                         | 1.40 (1.25, 1.57) | < 0.001 |          | 0.71 (0.61, 0.84) | < 0.001|
| Lipid-lowering agents                 | 1.56 (1.30, 1.88) | < 0.001 |          | 0.82 (0.67, 1.02) | 0.070  |
| Prior ART                             |            |         |          |             |         |
| Time since started ART (per 1 year longer) | 1.24 (1.21, 1.26) | < 0.001 |          | 1.18 (1.15, 1.21) | < 0.001|
| No. of previous treatment failures (per one higher) | 1.47 (1.40, 1.54) | < 0.001 |          | 0.72 (0.66, 0.80) | < 0.001|
| No. of previous NRTIs                 |            |         |          |             |         |
| 3–4                                   | 1.00       |         |          | 1.00        |         |
| 0–2                                   | 0.66 (0.55, 0.79) | < 0.001 |          | 1.79 (1.42, 2.27) | < 0.001|
| ≥ 5                                   | 3.19 (2.77, 3.67) | < 0.001 |          | 1.89 (1.59, 2.26) | < 0.001|
| No. of previous NNRTIs                |            |         |          |             |         |
| 1                                     | 1.00       |         |          | 1.00        |         |
| 0                                     | 0.32 (0.28, 0.37) | < 0.001 |          | 0.51 (0.34, 0.77) | 0.001  |
| ≥ 2                                   | 1.39 (1.20, 1.60) | < 0.001 |          | 0.95 (0.80, 1.13) | 0.587  |
Table 2 (Continued)

| Factor                                                                 | Unadjusted |                | Adjusted      |                |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
|                                                                        | OR (95% CI)| $P$-value      | OR (95% CI)   | $P$-value      |
| No. of previous PI drugs                                               |            |                |               |                |
| 1–2                                                                   | 1.00       |                | 1.00          |                |
| 0                                                                     | 0.55 (0.46, 0.67) | < 0.001 | 1.42 (1.02, 1.98) | 0.038          |
| 3–4                                                                   | 2.66 (2.30, 3.07) | < 0.001 | 1.79 (1.50, 2.14) | < 0.001        |
| ≥ 5                                                                   | 4.45 (3.72, 5.32) | < 0.001 | 3.20 (2.47, 4.15) | < 0.001        |
| Ever previously exposed to ART                                        | 3.38 (3.00, 3.81) | < 0.001 | 1.10 (0.71, 1.70) | 0.681          |
| (b)                                                                   |            |                |               |                |
| Gender                                                                 |            |                |               |                |
| Male                                                                  | 1.00       |                | 1.00          |                |
| Female                                                                | 0.86 (0.75, 0.98) | 0.021 |                |                |
| Mode of HIV transmission                                              |            |                |               |                |
| MSM                                                                   | 1.00       | 0.254          | 1.00          |                |
| IDU                                                                   | 0.83 (0.70, 0.97) |                |               |                |
| Heterosexual                                                          | 0.82 (0.72, 0.93) |                |               |                |
| Other                                                                 | 1.21 (0.97, 1.50) |                |               |                |
| Ethnicity                                                             |            |                |               |                |
| White                                                                 | 1.00       |                | 1.00          |                |
| Other/unknown                                                         | 1.45 (1.24, 1.69) | < 0.001 | 1.15 (0.91, 1.46) | 0.240          |
| Geographical region                                                   |            |                |               |                |
| North                                                                 | 1.00       | < 0.001        | 1.00          | < 0.001        |
| Central West                                                          | 1.66 (1.42, 1.95) | < 0.001 | 1.55 (1.29, 1.85) |               |
| South/Argentina                                                       | 1.10 (0.94, 1.29) | < 0.001 | 1.31 (1.09, 1.59) | < 0.001        |
| Central East/East                                                     | 0.31 (0.25, 0.37) | < 0.001 | 0.76 (0.60, 0.96) |               |
| HBV coinfection                                                       |            |                |               |                |
| Negative                                                              | 1.00       | < 0.001        |               | 0.002          |
| Positive                                                              | 0.95 (0.76, 1.19) | < 0.001 | 0.63 (0.48, 0.82) |                |
| Unknown                                                               | 0.56 (0.45, 0.69) | < 0.001 | 0.94 (0.72, 1.23) |                |
| HCV coinfection                                                       |            |                |               |                |
| Negative                                                              | 1.00       | < 0.001        |               |                |
| Positive                                                              | 0.92 (0.81, 1.05) | < 0.001 |               |                |
| Unknown                                                               | 0.49 (0.40, 0.59) | < 0.001 |               |                |
| Age (per 10 years older)                                              | 1.85 (1.57, 1.74) | < 0.001 | 1.22 (1.13, 1.31) | < 0.001        |
| CD4 count (per 100 cells/µL higher)                                   |            |                |               |                |
| Baseline                                                              | 1.05 (1.03, 1.06) | < 0.001 |               |                |
| Nadir                                                                 | 0.76 (0.72, 0.80) | < 0.001 | 0.99 (0.93, 1.05) | 0.715          |
| Time since CD4 count nadir (per 1 year longer)                        | 1.12 (1.11, 1.14) | < 0.001 | 1.01 (0.99, 1.02) | 0.419          |
| Viral load (per log₁₀ copies/mL higher)                               |            |                |               |                |
| Baseline                                                              | 0.74 (0.71, 0.77) | < 0.001 | 1.00 (0.93, 1.07) | 0.975          |
| Peak                                                                  | 1.03 (1.07, 1.08) | 0.349 | 0.96 (0.90, 1.03) | 0.270          |
| Length of enrolment in EuroSIDA (per 1 year longer)                   | 1.13 (1.12, 1.14) | < 0.001 | 1.00 (0.98, 1.02) | 0.830          |
| Prior clinical events (vs. no event)                                  |            |                |               |                |
| No. of previous AIDS diagnoses                                        |            |                |               |                |
| 0                                                                     | 1.00       |                | 1.00          |                |
| 1                                                                     | 1.48 (1.28, 1.71) | < 0.001 | 0.97 (0.81, 1.15) | 0.708          |
| ≥ 2                                                                   | 2.45 (2.07, 2.90) | < 0.001 | 1.27 (1.01, 1.60) | 0.037          |
| AIDS-related malignancy                                               | 2.04 (1.64, 2.54) | < 0.001 | 0.92 (0.70, 1.21) | 0.559          |
| Non-AIDS-related malignancy                                           | 3.12 (2.39, 4.08) | < 0.001 | 1.89 (1.37, 2.61) | < 0.001        |
| Cardiovascular event                                                  | 2.69 (2.06, 3.53) | < 0.001 | 1.35 (0.99, 1.83) | 0.055          |
| Loss or accumulation of fat                                           | 2.91 (2.60, 3.27) | < 0.001 | 0.95 (0.81, 1.11) | 0.516          |
| Discontinued drug because of toxicity                                 | 1.94 (1.53, 2.46) | < 0.001 | 1.09 (0.83, 1.42) | 0.550          |
| Discontinued drug because of lipodistrophy/atrophy                    | 1.78 (1.56, 2.04) | < 0.001 | 1.04 (0.88, 1.23) | 0.642          |
| Prior medication (vs. no medication)                                  |            |                |               |                |
| OI treatment*                                                         | 2.51 (2.23, 2.82) | < 0.001 | 0.88 (0.76, 1.03) | 0.109          |
| Lipid-lowering agents                                                 | 1.88 (1.54, 2.28) | < 0.001 | 0.75 (0.60, 0.94) | 0.012          |
| Prior ART                                                             |            |                |               |                |
| Time since started ART (per 1 year longer)                            | 1.16 (1.15, 1.17) | < 0.001 | 0.99 (0.96, 1.01) | 0.281          |
| No. of previous treatment failures (per one higher)                   | 2.33 (2.20, 2.47) | < 0.001 | 1.29 (1.17, 1.42) | < 0.001        |
| No. of previous NRTIs                                                 |            |                |               |                |
| 3–4                                                                   | 1.00       |                | 1.00          |                |
| 0–2                                                                   | 0.31 (0.26, 0.37) | < 0.001 | 0.89 (0.70, 1.12) | 0.313          |
| ≥ 5                                                                   | 3.35 (2.89, 3.88) | < 0.001 | 1.70 (1.43, 2.03) | < 0.001        |
Table 3 Overall incidence of outcomes: unadjusted analysis

| Factor                              | RAL Historical Concurrent | Historical | Concurrent |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                     | Incidence per 100 PYFU (95% CI) | No. of events PYFU | Incidence per 100 PYFU (95% CI) | No. of events PYFU |
| Malignancies                        | 50 450.5 1.11 (0.84, 1.46) | 45 374.85 1.20 (0.90, 1.61) | 127 15 247 0.83 (0.70, 0.99) |
| Clinically important hepatic events | 3 455.9 0.31 (0.18, 0.52) | 77 371.20 2.07 (1.66, 2.59) | 98 15 238 0.64 (0.53, 0.78) |
| Lipodystrophy                       | 14 465.2 1.01 (0.76, 1.34) | 34 3780.2 0.90 (0.64, 1.26) | 116 15 500 0.75 (0.62, 0.90) |
| Mortality                           | 47                         | 102-117    | 102-117    |

Table 4 Unadjusted and adjusted relative risks (RRs) of (a) malignancies and (b) death from fitting a Poisson regression analysis using standard adjustment and propensity scores

| Factor                              | Unadjusted | Adjusted |
|-------------------------------------|------------|----------|
|                                     | RR (95% CI) | P-value  | RR (95% CI) | P-value  |
| No. of previous NNRTIs              |            |          |            |          |
| 1                                   | 1.00       |          | 1.00       |          |
| 0                                   | 0.26 (0.23, 0.30) | < 0.001 | 0.35 (0.25, 0.49) | < 0.001 |
| ≥ 2                                 | 2.39 (2.02, 2.83) | < 0.001 | 1.38 (1.14, 1.68) | < 0.001 |
| No. of previous PIs                 |            |          |            |          |
| 1–2                                 | 1.00       |          | 1.00       |          |
| 0                                   | 0.22 (0.18, 0.26) | < 0.001 | 0.34 (0.24, 0.47) | < 0.001 |
| 3–4                                 | 2.53 (2.19, 2.94) | < 0.001 | 1.52 (1.28, 1.80) | < 0.001 |
| ≥ 5                                 | 7.04 (5.60, 8.86) | < 0.001 | 2.82 (2.12, 3.74) | < 0.001 |

*Factors included in the vector to construct propensity scores in the two comparisons were: gender, ethnicity, country of origin, geographical region, hepatitis B virus coinfection, hepatitis C virus coinfection, age, CD4 count, time since CD4 count nadir, baseline viral load, length of enrolment in EuroSIDA, comorbidities, loss or accumulation of fat, opportunistic infection treatment use, time since starting antiretroviral therapy, number of previous treatment failures, number of previous nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, number of previous nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, number of previous protease inhibitors and number of previous drug classes used.
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0.76–1.72; \( P = 0.52 \); Table 4b). After controlling for the confounding factors included in the PS vector, the adjusted RRs were also closer to the value of 1.0 for the comparisons with both the HIST (RR 0.90; 95% CI 0.56–1.45; \( P = 0.66 \)) and CONC (RR 1.22; 95% CI 0.83–1.80; \( P = 0.32 \)) cohorts. In the analysis of the unadjusted RRs stratified by PS quintiles, again the results were similar (data not shown). After restricting the analysis to people who developed Hodgkin’s or non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas (\( n = 37 \) events), the unadjusted RRs were 0.68 (95% CI 0.28–1.64; \( P = 0.39 \)) when comparing the RAL cohort with the HIST cohort and 2.03 (95% CI 0.89–4.64; \( P = 0.093 \)) when comparing the RAL cohort with the CONC cohort. The latter was attenuated to a RR of 1.73 (95% CI 0.66–4.57; \( P = 0.26 \)) after controlling for PSs (quintile adjustment).

### Discussion

Soon after the advent of cART, observational studies detected a major reduction in the incidence of Kaposi’s sarcoma and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma following cART initiation among treatment-naïve HIV-infected persons [16,17,19]. The benefit of cART in reducing cancer risk could be explained by suppression of HIV replication, immune function improvement or reduction of inflammation. Some studies also showed a decreased incidence of malignancies not driven by infection with increased cART exposure [20–24]. Therefore, global improvement of immune surveillance against cancer cells was also postulated as a likely mediator of the benefit of cART in reducing cancer risk [25]. However, experimental data suggest that specific drugs, such as RAL, may have potential carcinogenic effects [14,15,26,27].

Our analysis, conducted in a large observational cohort of HIV-infected people receiving RAL, showed no evidence that using RAL was associated with an increased risk of cancer or death compared with other concomitant treatment strategies or a historical control group of individuals on ART. This is largely consistent with a recent meta-analysis of two large randomized clinical trials of people using RAL (the STARTMRK and BENCHMRK trials) which reported a low risk of adverse events in RAL recipients and no difference in the RR of cancer comparing RAL with efavirenz (RR 0.75; 95% CI 0.30, 1.91) [28,29]. Our analysis extends these results to a longer follow-up than that observed in these trials.

In a previous meta-analysis of clinical trials comparing RAL with other agents, 46 participants developed 53 cases of cancer over the follow-up period [28]. Commonly detected cancers included Kaposi’s sarcoma, anal or rectal cancer, cancer of the immune system and lymphomas. These were also cancers frequently reported in our analysis, but there was no particular diagnosis that appeared more frequently than expected on the basis of findings in similar HIV-infected populations. If anything, there was a trend favouring RAL for the incidence of Kaposi’s sarcoma (2% in RAL recipients) compared with the HIST (11%) and CONC (8%) cohorts, which should perhaps be investigated in larger studies. Similarly, the incidence of serious hepatic events was found in our analysis to be substantially lower in people using RAL (Table 3). Unfortunately, the small number of events did not allow us to further investigate in a multivariable analysis whether this held true after controlling for baseline imbalances in other factors.

In the trials, cancers were more common in ART-experienced patients, possibly because of the patients’ weaker immunity, indicated by a generally lower CD4 count at enrolment [28,29]. Cancer typically tends to take years to develop but it is possible that tumours grow faster in people with advanced HIV disease, despite immune restoration, as a consequence of ongoing inflammation and increased coagulation associated with the use of ART [30,31].

EuroSIDA represents an ideal setting in which to evaluate long-term outcomes in a heterogeneous population with moderate to extensive pretreatment history and offered the possibility to identify suitable ‘control’ groups of patients who initiated RAL-sparing treatment regimens. Indeed, well-known limitations of randomized clinical trials are the selection of patients included (e.g. female patients and populations with lifestyle factors associated with nonadherence and mortality are under-represented in trials) and lack of data on the long-term risk of clinical events. Although our follow-up was a little shorter than 3 years, this is longer than the follow-up period of any trial previously conducted in people receiving RAL-based regimens. Thus, with the caveat that other types of bias may be present (mainly because of a lack of randomization), analyses of observational data can provide insights into the risk of these long-term outcomes. A recent French study (the Racing cohort) in 482 RAL-treated patients exposed to RAL for >12 months and with available data, in a real-life setting like ours, reported an elevated rate and variety of comorbidities and a variable adherence rate, but confirmed excellent efficacy and tolerability results, which were comparable to those observed in randomized registration trials [28,29]. In particular, of the 134 reported and treatment-related adverse events (AEs), the symptoms most frequently described (> 5%) were myalgia (7%) and nausea (5%). A total of 34 serious AEs were reported, of which five were possibly or probably related to RAL. In general, a favourable
tolerability profile has been demonstrated for RAL since the earlier registration studies, with headache and gastrointestinal complaints representing the most common reported AEs [28,32–35]. In contrast, mild neuropsychiatric disorders have been infrequently recorded (usually reported on a subjective basis), and cases of rhabdomyolysis and hypersensitivity reactions have been extremely rare. Finally, there is little evidence that the serum lipid profile is modified by RAL, especially when compared with regimens containing older PIs and also efavirenz. On this basis, it has been suggested by Lee and Carr that RAL should be a preferred option for patients with a pre-existing risk of cardiovascular diseases, altered serum lipid levels, metabolic syndrome, or changes in body fat composition (i.e. the lipodystrophy syndrome) [36]. Manfredi R et al., in an analysis of a large hospital database in Italy, showed that grade 2–4 AEs attributable to RAL were neither directly observed nor reported in the self-completed record of possible untoward events filled in every month by patients at the time of repeat prescription, and double-checked by hospital pharmacists and physicians. In contrast, the discontinuation of some companion drugs at the time of RAL introduction and during the 12-month follow-up [especially NRTIs, some selected PIs and nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs), and enfuvirtide] had a favourable effect on the tolerability of the RAL-based regimens [37]. In addition, no clinical or laboratory evidence of autoimmune disorders associated with exposure to RAL-containing cART regimens was found, as also reported in a recent 12-month observational study [38].

In our analysis, discontinuation was examined in the RAL cohort alone, and we found a risk of discontinuation similar to those reported in the trials. The main reason for stopping RAL appeared to be physician’s choice. Although the exact reason reported by the physician under ‘physician’s choice’ is not specified in the EuroSIDA data collection report forms, it is conceivable that discontinuations because of toxicity induced by RAL would be filed under the reason ‘toxicity’, not ‘physician’s choice’. There was also a nonnegligible frequency of people with missing information regarding the reason for stopping, which reflects the way the date are collected, as reasons are often not known or reported in clinical patient notes. We cannot rule out that some of these might be due to toxicity.

We also found that, at the time of treatment initiation, individuals in the RAL cohort tended to be different from those in the HIIST and CONC control groups: RAL recipients were typically older with a longer time since CD4 count nadir, a lower baseline VL and a longer duration of ART. There were also differences by geographical region, previous drug history (RAL recipients had been treated more extensively in the past) and past comorbidities (patients in the RAL cohort were less well). However, once these differences had been taken into account using standard and more sophisticated statistical modelling, we found no difference in the risk of the two main clinical outcomes analysed (risk of malignancy and death) compared with those starting other historical or concurrent cART regimens. Results were similar in people with little and those with more extensive pretreatment drug history, although the power to study such an interaction was low. Further studies with larger numbers of malignancies need to be conducted in order to determine whether the risk of cancer might vary according to the extent of previous virological failure or the extent of exposure to RAL (in vitro risk was highest in those with suboptimal exposure).

Before drawing firm conclusions, it should be noted that ours are observational cohort study data and hence need to be interpreted practically, realistically and with full knowledge of their limitations and inherent potential biases. While there are extensive data quality programmes in place within EuroSIDA, it remains an observation of routine clinical practice across Europe. As a consequence, although it was reassuring to see that our analyses using a range of techniques aimed at reducing bias caused by confounding, led to similar results, we are not able to exclude the possibility of confounding by indication or other bias introduced by unmeasured confounders (such as traditional lifestyle risk factors for cancer such as smoking) that can only be truly accounted for in a randomized clinical trial. In the specific case of patients who are about to receive chemotherapy, patients are typically switched to RAL to minimize pharmacokinetic interactions. Some of the residual excess risk of death seen in the RAL vs. CONC analysis might be explained by this important unmeasured source of confounding.

Although the list of clinical diagnoses collected in the database follows the accepted classification of the WHO ICD, a central pathological review was not conducted. Specifically, as data are collected in infectious diseases and internal medicine departments, there is a risk of under-reporting of non-AIDS-defining cancers, when there is no linkage with cancer registries. There is also a risk of misclassification, in particular for cancers such as anal cancer, which was particularly frequent in all three cohorts. Furthermore, for the primary endpoint of malignancies, because of the relatively small number of events observed, a certain degree of uncertainty around our estimates remains, calling for additional confirmatory analyses in larger data sets. In our analysis, loss to follow-up...
and death from causes other than cancer may act as competing risks which have not be accounted for. The small overall number of cancers did not allow a fully adjusted comparison after restriction to specific diagnoses. However, because direct HIV-1-related integrase inhibitor-induced genome alterations are expected to affect only HIV-1-permissive cells, especially CD4 T cells, macrophages and glia cells [14,15], we restricted the analysis to 37 events of Hodgkin’s or non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas, and the unadjusted RRs were similar. Overall, there was no evidence that results varied by level of HIV replication. Neither host DNA or INSTI resistance data were available to evaluate the prevalence of RAL-induced mutations potentially associated with an increased risk of cancer.

In conclusion, our findings show that use of RAL does not seem to be associated with an increased risk of cancer or reduced survival when compared with the cancer and survival rates seen in people treated with alternative regimens in routine clinical care. With all the caveats of a comparison conducted in observational settings, our data, confirm that RAL is a safe and valid therapeutic option, especially for patients with a history of multiple failures, presenting with a broad spectrum of HIV resistance mutations, or multiple intolerances or contraindications to other antiretroviral drugs and combinations [39].

Appendix : the EuroSIDA Study Group

Members of the multi-centre EuroSIDA Study Group are as follows (national coordinators in parentheses). Argentina: (M. Losso) and M. Kundro, Hospital J.M. Ramos Mejia, Buenos Aires. Austria: (B. Schmied), Pulmologisches Zentrum der Stadt Wien, Vienna; R. Zangerle, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck. Belarus: (I. Karpov) and A. Vassilenko, Belarus State Medical University, Minsk; V. M. Mitsura, Gomel State Medical University, Gomel; D. Paduto, Regional AIDS Centre, Svetlogorsk. Belgium: (N. Clumeck), S. De Wit and M. Vandekerckhove, University Ziekenhuis Gent, Gent. Bosnia-Herzegovina: (V. Hadziosmanovic), Klinicki Centar Univerziteta Sarajevo, Sarajevo. Croatia: (J. Begovac), University Hospital of Infectious Diseases, Zagreb. Czech Republic: (L. Machala) and D. Jilich, Faculty Hospital Bulovka, Prague; D. Sedlacek, Charles University Hospital, Plzen. Denmark: G. Kronborg and T. Benfield, Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen; J. Gerstoft and T. Katzenstein, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen; N. F. Møller and C. Pedersen, Odense University Hospital, Odense; L. Ostergaard, Skejby Hospital, Aarhus; L. Wiese, Roskilde Hospital, Roskilde; L. N. Nielsen, Hillerod Hospital, Hillerod. Estonia: (K. Zilmer), West-Tallinn Central Hospital, Tallinn; J. Smidt, Nakkusosakond Siseklinik, Kohlta-Järve. Finland: (M. Ristola) and I. Aho, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki. France: (J.-P. Viard), Hôtel-Dieu, Paris; P.-M. Girard, Hospital Saint-Antoine, Paris; C. Pradier and E. Fontas, Hôpital de l’Arche, Nice; C. Duviere, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, Paris. Germany: (J. Rockstroh), Universitäts Klinik Bonn, Bonn; R. Schmidt, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover, Hannover; O. Degen, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Infectious Diseases Unit, Hamburg; H. J. Stellbrink, IPM Study Center, Hamburg; C. Stefan, JW Goethe University Hospital, Frankfurt; J. Bogner, Medizinische Poliklinik, Munich; G. Fäkkenheuer, Universität Köln, Cologne. Georgia: (N. Chkhartishvili), Infectious Diseases, AIDS & Clinical Immunology Research Center, Tbilisi. Greece: P. Gargalianos, G. Xylomenos and P. Lourida, Athens General Hospital, Athens; H. Sambatakou, Ipokration General Hospital, Athens. Hungary: (J. Szlávik), Szent László Hospital, Budapest. Iceland: (M. Gottfredsson), Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavik. Ireland: (F. Mulcahy), St. James’s Hospital, Dublin. Israel: (I. Yust), D. Turner and M. Burke, Ichilov Hospital, Tel Aviv; E. Shahar and G. Hassoun, Rambam Medical Center, Haifa; H. Elinav and M. Haouzi, Hadassah University Hospital, Jerusalem; D. Elbirt and Z. M. Sthoeeger, AIDS Center (Neve Or), Jerusalem. Italy: (A. D’Arminio Monforte), Istituto Di Clinica Malattie Infettive e Tropicale, Milan; R. Esposito, I. Mazeu and C. Mussini, Università Modena, Modena; F. Mazzotta and A. Gabbuti, Ospedale S. Maria Annunziata, Firenze; V. Vullo and M. Lichtner, University di Roma la Sapienza, Rome; M. Zacchelli, A. Antoniri, R. Aicinapura and M. Piazz, Istituto Nazionale Malattie Infettive Lazzaro Spallanzani, Rome; A. Lazzarin, A. Castagna and N. Gianotti, Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan; M. Galli and A. Ridolfo, Osp. L. Sacco, Milan. Latvia: (B. Rozentale), Infectology Centre of Latvia, Riga. Lithuania: (V. Uzdavinieni), Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiu Klinikos, Vilnius; R. Matulionyte, Center of Infectious Diseases, Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiu Klinikos, Vilnius. Luxembourg: (T. Staub) and R. Hemmer, Centre Hospitalier, Luxembourg. The Netherlands: (P. Reiss), Academisch Medisch Centrum bij de Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam. Norway: (V. Ormaassen), A. Maeland and J. Bruun, Ullevål Hospital, Oslo. Poland: (B. Knysz), J. Gasiorowski and M. Inglot, Medical University, Wroclaw; A. Horban and E. Bakowska, Centrum Diagnostyki i Terapii AIDS, Warsaw; R. Flisiak and A. Grzeszczyk,
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