The formation of authigenic deposits during Paleogene warm climatic intervals: a review
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Abstract

Although Paleogene warm climatic intervals have received considerable attention for atmospheric and oceanographic changes, the authigenic mineralization associated with these time spans remains overlooked. An extensive review of the literature reveals a close correspondence between the high abundance of glauconite and warm climatic intervals during the Paleogene period. The abundance of phosphorite, ironstone, lignite and black shale deposits reveals similar trends. Although investigated thoroughly, the origin of these authigenic deposits is never understood in the background of Paleogene warming climatic intervals. A combination of factors like warm seawater, hypoxic shelf, low rate of sedimentation, and enhanced rate of continental weathering facilitated the glauconitization. The last factor caused the excess supply of nutrients, including Fe, Si, K, Mg and Al through the rivers, the cations needed for the formation of glauconite. The excessive inflow of nutrient-rich freshwater into the shallow seas further ensured high organic productivity and stratification in shallow shelves, causing hypoxia. The consequent rapid rise in sea-level during the warm periods created extensive low-relief shallow marine shelves starved in sediments. Oxygen-deficiency in the shallow marine environment facilitated the fixation of Fe into the glauconite structure. The inflow of nutrient-rich water during the warm climatic intervals facilitated the formation of phosphorite, ironstone, and organic-matter-rich sedimentary deposits as well. Although global factors primarily controlled the formation of these authigenic deposits, local factors played significant roles in some of the deposits. Therefore, phosphorites formed in marine conditions with open circulation within the tropical zone. While lush growth of rainforest covers in the tropical belt facilitated the formation of coastal lignite.
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1 Introduction

The Paleogene period witnessed several global hyperthermal events (Zachos et al. 2001). Out of them, the most significant had been that took place at the end of the late Paleocene and the beginning of early Eocene intervals when the seawater temperature rose by about 4 °C (Jenkyns 2003; Hessler et al. 2017). These hyperthermal events were triggered by an enhanced supply of greenhouse gases that ushered rapid evolutionary and/or environmental turnovers. These events are marked by records of sharp sea-level rise, ocean de-oxygenation (Sluijs et al. 2014 and references therein), shoaling of the calcite compensation depth (CCD), enhanced hydrological and weathering cycles (Nicolo et al. 2007) and increased supply of kaolinite to the marine realm (Gibson et al. 2000 and references therein). Several studies link the formation of authigenic minerals to sea-level changes in sequence stratigraphic context (Morad et al. 2012). On the contrary, the role of seawater temperature and composition on authigenic mineral formation representing the ‘greenhouse world’ is rarely investigated beyond carbonate sediments. This paper finds a correlation of authigenic mineralization with the fluctuations in global seawater temperature. It points out marked enhancement in authigenic
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mineralization in marine sediments during Paleogene warm climatic intervals.

Glauconite formed abundantly during the Paleogene, constituting up to 24% of the total record (Banerjee et al. 2016a). Recently Bansal et al. (2019) attributed the high abundance of glauconite in the Upper Cretaceous to a combination of factors like high sea-level, enhanced continental weathering in warm and humid climatic conditions and oxygen depletion on shelf seas. However, because of the lack of biostratigraphic control, these authors could not relate the abundance of the Upper Cretaceous glauconites to specific geological events. Therefore, it is unclear whether the glauconite is distributed evenly within the Late Cretaceous, or it is restricted to specific time intervals. Palaeo-oceanographic conditions of the Late Cretaceous time largely continued in the Paleogene (Jenkyns 2003). The biostratigraphically constrained sections in the Paleogene provide an opportunity to explore whether the occurrence of glauconite depended on subtle changes in palaeo-oceanographic conditions corresponding to warm climatic intervals. Phosphorite is a common associate of the Late Cretaceous glauconites, particularly Tethyan deposits (Banerjee et al. 2019). Lignite, phosphorite, and ironstone deposits of commercial importance are well known in the Paleogene sedimentary succession. However, the relationship between the abundance of these minerals and hyperthermal events is never investigated. This paper aims to present the commonalities of authigenic minerals formed during the Paleogene warm climatic intervals. Although the focus of this study is on glauconitization, phosphorite, ironstone, and lignite formation are also considered. To this effect, a thorough review has been presented.

2 Global record of hyperthermal events

Paleogene time represents a complex evolution of Earth’s climate bracketed within the overall warmer Cretaceous to colder Neogene transition (Zachos et al. 1993). Deep-sea benthic foraminiferal δ18O and δ13C values reveal extreme warming during the Paleogene (Zachos et al. 2001). Short-lived (~200 kyr) events of rapid climatic shifts characterize the Paleogene climate. The ‘hyperthermal’ events coincide with negative carbon isotopic excursions (CIEs) (Fig. 1; Cramer et al. 2003; Nicolo et al. 2007; Stap et al. 2009; Zachos et al. 2010). The negative CIE implies a rapid delivery of isotopically depleted carbon into marine shelves and the rise of pCO2 in the atmosphere subsequently. The climatic transitions during the Paleogene had a severe impact on the biosphere and lithosphere. Microfossil records show severe decline and diversifications in pelagic and open marine ecosystems during these thermal events (e.g., Thomas 1998; Crouch et al. 2001; Kelly 2002; Khanolkar and Saraswati 2019).

Early Paleogene time records warming of Earth’s surface in the period from late Paleocene (ca. 59 Ma) to early Eocene (ca. 52 Ma). Most of the hyperthermal events viz. the Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum (PETM) or H-1 (Cramer et al. 2003) and the Eocene thermal maximum 2 (ETM2) or Eocene layers of mysterious origin (ELMO) (Lourens et al. 2005) and the Eocene thermal maximum 3 (ETM3) or H2 or “X” event (Nicolo et al. 2007; Stap et al. 2010; Zachos et al. 2010), besides several short-lived climatic perturbations viz. I1 and I2 (Cramer et al. 2003; Nicolo et al. 2007), belong to this period. These hyperthermal events belong to three warm climatic intervals (Fig. 1). The early Paleogene warming interval includes the early late Paleocene event (ELPE, Bralower et al. 2002), also known as mid-Paleocene biotic event (MPBE, Bernaola et al. 2007), and the latest Danian event (LDE, Bornemann et al. 2009) in the Paleocene, and PETM, ETM2, ETM3 and EECO (early Eocene climatic optimum in the early Eocene. A 17 Myr of cooling trend succeeds upwards and is interrupted by another warm climatic interval incorporating the middle Eocene climatic optimum (MECO) during the early Bartonian (Fig. 1). It is followed upwards by a long-term cooling trend that continues till the early Oligocene, as the arctic ice-sheets formed. A short-lived warming interval incorporates the late Oligocene warming event (LOWE), representing the last hyperthermal event during the Paleogene (Zachos et al. 2001). The Paleocene hyperthermal events viz. Dan C2-event, latest Danian event (LDE), and early late Paleocene event (ELPE) have received less attention compared to the hyperthermal events in the Eocene (Schulte et al. 2013).

3 Paleogene authigenic mineral formation

3.1 Occurrence of glauconite

Our study presents 124 Paleogene glauconite occurrences that formed principally in four major continents/zones, and these account for >90% of the total global record of this time (Table 1; Fig. 2). These zones are: A) North American continental margin (eastern and western coastal plain deposits); B) Palaeo-Tethys, including northern Africa, parts of southern Europe, Middle East and India to the east; C) Palaeo-North Sea, extending from the United Kingdom to the west to northern Germany in the east; and D) High southern latitudes, including New Zealand eastern Tasman Plateau and Argentina (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). Paleogene glauconite also occurs in places in Africa, including Ivory Coast, Nigeria and South Africa, and Asia, including Russia, China and Japan. The majority of the glauconite deposits formed on the well-developed continental margin on the northern hemisphere.
Out of 124 occurrences, approximately ~55% have reliable age control, biostratigraphic or radiometric. Therefore, they are presented separately from those not having similar age constraints in Fig. 1. The stratigraphic distribution of glauconite during the Paleogene shows a non-uniform trend (Fig. 1). Eocene, Paleocene, and Oligocene record 49%, 35%, and 16%, respectively, of total glauconite occurrences (Table 1; Fig. 1). These time intervals also included the hyperthermal events within the grey bands are marked along with the carbon and oxygen isotopic curves. The sea-level cycle is adapted from Haq et al. (1987). Numbers correspond to those provided in Table 1. MECO: Middle Eocene climatic optimum; EECO: Early Eocene climatic optimum; ETM2: Eocene thermal maximum 2; ETM3: Eocene thermal maximum 3; PETM: Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum; ELPE: Early late Paleocene event; MPBE: Mid Paleocene biotic event; DAN-C2 represents two short-lived carbon and oxygen isotope excursion at the early Danian (Gradstein et al. 2012); Black solid circles with ‘O’ represents Oligocene isotope excursion events (Miller et al. 2009).

Extensive Paleocene–early Eocene phosphorite deposits occur along the northern margin of the African continent (Lucas and Prévôt-Lucas 1995; Soudry et al. 2006; Kechiched et al. 2018) (Figs. 3, 4). These deposits represent the so-called ‘Tethyan phosphorites’ (Soudry et al. 2006). Broadly similar lithology defined by clays, marls, dolomite, and foraminiferal limestone hosts phosphorites in these basins. Phosphorites deposited in low palaeo-latitudes (<30°) in Tunisia and Algeria (Kouwenhoven et al. 1997; Messadi et al. 2016; Garnit et al. 2017; Kechiched et al. 2018) (Fig. 3). During the early Eocene, phosphorite-rich sediments, hosting glauconite, extended towards the north in shallow marine deposits of Germany (Dill et al. 1996), and to the east in Dababiya Quarry Member in Egypt (Metwally and Mahfouz 2018) (Fig. 4). During the middle to late Eocene, the locus of phosphorite deposits along the palaeo-Tethyan margins (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5; see also Soudry et al. 2006). The co-occurrence of glauconite and phosphorite is reported in 17 cases, all of which correspond to the Paleogene warm climatic intervals (Fig. 1).

3.2 Glaucinite–phosphorite association
Phosphorite deposits of economic significance are associated with glauconitic sandstone, siltstone and shale (Banerjee et al. 2019 and references therein; Boukhalfa et al. 2020). Our study reveals a cluster of glauconite–phosphorite deposits along the palaeo-Tethyan margins (Figs. 2, 3, 4, 5; see also Soudry et al. 2006). The co-occurrence of glauconite and phosphorite is reported in 17 cases, all of which correspond to the Paleogene warm climatic intervals (Fig. 1).
**Table 1** Paleogene glauconites along with precise age, associated lithology, mineral and biostratigraphic assemblage (the serial no. of data correspond to those provided in Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **PALEOCENE** | | | | | | |
| **A: North American continental margin** | | | | | | |
| 1 | Stassen et al. (2015) | Paleocene / Vincetown Formation, New Jersey Gulf Coastal Plain, USA | Glauconitic quartz sand | Middle to outer neritic | Glauconite-bearing Vincetown Formation was deposited during NP9a. The lithology changed to a kaolinite-rich mudstone with the onset of PETM | The glauconite-bearing sandy unit is overlain by a transitional bed deposited during PETM |
| 2 | Sluijs et al. (2014) | Paleocene / Tuscaloosa Formation, Wilcox Group, Gulf Coastal Plain, USA | Glauconitic sands and silts | Shallow marine to estuarine | Glauconitic unit demarcates *Apectodinium* acme and shallow marine dys-oxic condition | Lignite appears intermittently within the formation |
| 3 | John et al. (2008) | Paleocene / Moreno Formation, Turnley Gulch Section, USA | Glauconitic shale | Outer shelf | Glauconitic unit was deposited during NP9 | |
| 4 | Cramer et al. (1999) | Paleocene / Vincetown Formation, ODP Leg 174AX, USA | Glauconitic sand (> 40% sand) | Shallow marine | Glauconitic sand was deposited during NP9a | |
| 5 | Liu et al. (1997) | Paleocene / Homerstown Formation, ODP Leg 150X, USA | Quartzose glauconitic clay | Middle neritic | Glauconite formation took place during biozone P1c or NP3 | Lignite appears at the top part of the section |
| 6 | Mancini and Tew (1993) | Paleocene / Matthews Landing Marl Member, Porters Creek Formation, USA | Fossiliferous sandstone and marlstone | Shallow marine | In Porters Creek Formation, glauconite is confined within lower part of *M. angulata* I.Z. (upper part of NP4 toward the boundary of NP4–NP5) | |
| 7 | Mancini and Tew (1993) | Paleocene / Coal Bluff Member, Naheola Formation, USA | Fossiliferous sandstone and marlstone | Shallow shelf | In Naheola Formation, glauconite is confined within *P. pusilla pusilla* I.Z. (Upper NP5) | The glauconitic sandstones and marlstones overlie a lignitic marlstone member, which grades laterally into carbonaceous shale |
| 8 | Self-Trail et al. (2012) | Paleocene / Aquia Formation, USA | Glauconitic sandstone | Shallow shelf | Glauconitic Aquia Formation was deposited during NP9a and truncated by unconformity at Paleocene–Eocene boundary | |
| 9 | Mancini (1981) | Paleocene / Nanafalia Formation, USA | Glauconitic sandstone | Shallow shelf | Biostratigraphically the Middle Member belongs to *P. pusilla pusilla* I.Z. and Grampian Hill belongs to *P. pseudomenadrii* R.Z. | |
| 10 | Duarte and Martinez (2002) | Paleocene / Sepultura Formation, Mexico | Glauconitic sandstone with ovoid and vermiform pellets | Shallow marine | Absolute K–Ar ages of glauconite are 59±1 Ma and 60±1 Ma. Although biostratigraphy not given, author reports that the ages are consistent with reported biostratigraphic age | |
| **B: Palaeo-Tethys (northern Africa, southern Europe and eastern Tethys)** | | | | | | |
| 11 | Kouwenhoven et al. (1997) | Paleocene / El Kef section, El Haria Formation, Tunisia | Siltstone | Middle to inner neritic setting | Glauconitic unit is dated with planktonic foraminifera and calcareous nanoplanктон to be of NP6/7–NP7/8 age | At the basal part, close to K–Pg boundary, pyrite is associated. Phosphorite occurs at the |
| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|-----------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 12        | Sprong et al. (2013) | Paleocene / Sidi Nasseur Section, El Harria Formation, Tunisia | Marl | Shallow marine | Glaucnite beds of P3a/P3b age serves as a marker bed to the latest Danian event (LDE) along the Tunisian deposits | upper part |
| 13        | Garnit et al. (2017) | Paleocene / Chouabine Formation, Metlaoui Group, Tunisia | Glaucnite associated with phosphorite | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Restricted marine condition in Eastern Basin and Gafsa-Metlaoui Basin inhibited glauconite formation and favoured phosphorite deposit. Open ocean condition in Northern Basin favoured phosphorite with abundant glauconite |
| 14        | Messadi et al. (2016) | Paleocene / Thelja Formation, Southern Tunisia | Glaucnite associated with phosphorite | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Glaucnites are associated with phosphates |
| 15        | Steurbaut et al. (2000) | Paleocene / Ain Settara marls, El Harria Formation, Tunisia | Marl | Shallow marine | Glaucnite bed is assigned to subzone NTp7B | Associated with phosphorite deposits, glauconites are concentrated in the phosphorite-rich bands |
| 16        | Speijer and Schmitz (1998) | Paleocene / Dakhla Formation, Egypt | Conglomeratic and glauconitic marl | Palaeodepth varies at ~ 200 m | Planktonic foraminiferal zone P1c was assigned to the glauconitic marl | |
| 17        | Kechiched et al. (2018) | Paleocene / Djebel el Kouif and Kef Essenoun deposit, Algeria | Argillaceous phosphorite | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | |
| 18        | Samanta et al. (2013a) | Paleocene / Cambay Shale Formation, India | Shale | Lagoonal | Ar–Ar age of glauconite is 56.6 ± 0.7 Ma | Lignite appears as thick seams within a dominantly shaley lithology |
| 19        | Egger et al. (2009) | Paleocene / Kroischbach Member, Kressenberg Formation, Austria | Glaucnite-bearing quartz sandstone | Shallow marine | Glaucnite-bearing quartz sandstone unit was deposited during upper Thanetian (NP8) | Coal-bearing terrestrial deposits of the Paleogene Holzer Formation yielded palynoflora typical of Nypa mangrove forest. Ooidal sandstone unit is present at the basal part of the section |
| C: Palaeo-North Sea | | | | | | |
| 20        | Knox (1979) | Paleocene / Thanet Beds, England | Glaucnitic clayey sandstone | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | The high degree of montmorillonite in most of the 'glauconite' pellets is correlated to the montmorillonite-rich nature of associated clays or even to a pyroclastic mud precursor. |
| Serial no. | Author                      | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location         | Lithology                                                                 | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details                                                                                     | Associated authigenic phases |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 21        | Fitch et al. (1978)         | Paleocene / Oldhaven Beds, Thanet Sand, England | Sandstone                                                                 | Shallow marine            | Fair age of Thanet Bed and Reculver Sand obtained by K-Ar method. Basal Thanet Sand: 59.5 ± 0.9 Ma; Reculver Sand: 56.8 ± 0.6 Ma. Precise biostratigraphy not provided. |                                |
| 22        | Huggett et al. (2017)       | Paleocene / Upnor Formation, England       | Fine- to medium-grained sandstone with glauconite pellets                | Shallow marine to estuarine | Age of glauconite formation is ~55.6–56.2 Ma (NP8–NP9) which is referred to Ali and Jolley (1996). |                                |
| 23        | Ellison et al. (1996)       | Paleocene / Upnor Formation, England       | Medium-grained, glauconitic, quartzose sands                             | Shallow marine            | C25n to C24r, NP9, Dinocyst zone A. hyperacanthum; FO Discoaster multiradiatus. Four (4) pulses of glauconite formation is observed and dated magnetostratigraphically to be in between C25n to C24r. |                                |
| 24        | Schmitz et al. (2004)       | Paleocene / Ølst Fm., Østerrenden core, Denmark | Siltstone                                                                 | Shallow marine            | Glauconitic siltstone appears just below the peak-CIE i.e. Apectodinium acme. | Presence of ash layer directly points towards explosive basaltic volcanism. |
| 25        | Steurbaut et al. (2003)     | Paleocene / Grandglise Sand Member, Hannut Formation, Belgium | Bioturbated sandstone, very fine sand to sandy silt | Shallow marine | Just below the main CIE, reappears again in 54.6 Ma in Mont Héribu Clay Member. Before CIE – Hannut Formation, in sandstone, upper part of NP8. | Tienen Formation, sandwiched between Hannut Formation and Mont Héribu Clay Member have abundant thin lignite bodies. |
| 26        | Clemmensen and Thomsen (2005) | Paleocene / Lellinge Greensand Formation, North Sea Basin | Greensand                                                                | Inner shelf               | Lellinge Greensand deposited during 595–60 Ma. Biostratigraphic information is based on calcareous nannoplankton and supplemented by planktonic foraminifera. |                                |
| 27        | Hamberg et al. (2005)       | Paleocene / Bohr Member, Våle Formation, Siri Canyon, Stavanger Platform Area, Denmark | Sandstone                                                                | Deep marine               | Biostratigraphic data provided. All Paleogene sandstones in Siri Canyon, Denmark contains glauconite. |                                |
| 28        | Hamberg et al. (2005)       | Paleocene / Ty Member, Våle Formation, Siri Canyon, Stavanger Platform Area, Denmark | Sandstone                                                                | Deep marine               | Biostratigraphic data provided. |                                |
| 29        | Hamberg et al. (2005)       | Paleocene / Heimdal Member, Holmehus Formation, Siri Canyon, Stavanger Platform Area, Denmark | Sandstone                                                                | Deep marine               | Biostratigraphic data provided. |                                |
| 30        | Hamberg et al. (2005)       | Paleocene / Heimdal Member, Lista Formation, Siri Canyon, Stavanger Platform Area, Denmark | Sandstone                                                                | Deep marine               | Biostratigraphic data provided. |                                |
| 31        | Dill et al. (1996)          | Paleocene / Formation A, North German Basin, Germany | Sandstone                                                                | Shallow marine            | Biostratigraphic data provided; dinocyst zone D4 is assigned for Formation A. | Glaucnite is confined within the lower sandstones. Glaucnite-rich Formation A is overlain by phosphorite and |
| Serial No. | Author(s) and Year | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 32        | Schmitz et al. (2004) | Paleocene / Zumaya and Ermua Section, Basque Basin, Spain | Grey limestone with glauconite at the top | Middle to lower bathyal: shallow marine | Glaucocitic limestone appears just below the peak-CIE i.e. Apectodinium acme. The limestone bed is assigned to NP9 zone. | sideritic horizon of Formation B |
| 33        | Dypvik et al. (2011) | Paleocene / Fryjaadden Formation, Norway | Highly-bioturbated sandstone | Deep marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Report of PETM is based on Th/U and clay mineral proxies | Coal seams are present in the upper part of the formation. PETM interval contains abundant pyrite |
|           | **D: High southern latitudes**                                   |                                    |           |                          |                         |                             |
| 34        | Ferrow et al. (2011) | Paleocene / Conway Formation, New Zealand | Sandstone | Shallow marine | Glaucocitic is present throughout the formation, in Paleogene it is associated with *Trithyrodinium evittii* IZ, *Acarinina* spp. and *Globigerina* sp. | In K-Pg boundary, jarosite is associated Fe-bearing phases. Sporadic coal seams are present |
| 35        | Hines et al. (2013) | Paleocene / Awhea Formation, New Zealand | Glaucocitic sandstone | Deep marine | Awhea Formation: Middle and upper member contain definitive Paleocene (Teurian) assemblages, including *Stensioina beccariiformis*, *Nuttallinella florealis*, *Acanthina* spp. and *Globigerina* sp. | Pyrite occurs within burrows |
| 36        | Hines et al. (2013) | Paleocene / Mungaroa Limestone, New Zealand | Glaucocitic sandstone | Deep marine | Mungaroa Limestone: Calcareous nannofossil assemblages from the middle member of the Mungaroa Limestone in the Pukemuri Stream include *F. tympaniformus* and *Heliolithus cantabriac* with a notable absence of *Heliolithus kleinpellii*, placing the middle member in Upper Zone NP5. |                             |
| 37        | Lurcock and Wilson (2013) | Paleocene / Abbotsford Formation, New Zealand | Greensand | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Magneteite is associated/embedded in glauconite pellets |
| 38        | Schiøler et al. (2010) | Paleocene / Tartan Formation, New Zealand | Glaucocitic mudstone | Marginal marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided |                             |
| 39        | Franzosi et al. (2014) | Paleocene / Salamanca Formation, Argentina | Moderately sorted and weakly consolidated sand | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Volcanic clasts and glass sherds are common within the sand that hosts glauconite |
| 40        | Friel et al. (2014) | Paleocene / Lyulinvor Formation, Russia | Sandstone | Shallow marine (from Rudmin et al. 2017) | Biostatigraphic data provided. Glaucocite-rich unit separates the top of Chron 25n and the PETM | In the eastern part, a sapropelic unit overlies the glauconite. In the western part, thicker glauconitic sandstone overlain by oolitic ironstone |
| 41        | Iakovleva and Kulikova (2003) | Paleocene / Talitskaya Formation, West Siberia | Glaucocitic sandstone and siltstone | Shallow marine | Glaucocite-bearing sediments range in age from P3b to middle P7. Glaucocite |                             |
Table 1  Paleogene glauconites along with precise age, associated lithology, mineral and biostratigraphic assemblage (the serial no. of data correspond to those provided in Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) (Continued)

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 42         | Iakovleva and Kulkova (2003) | Paleocene / Serovskaya Formation, West Siberia | Glaucnitic sandstone | Shallow marine | Glaucnite is confined within the dinoflagellate zone, Cerodinium speciosum | Glauconite is associated with phosphate. Background lithology is marl, black shale and clayey limestone |
| 43         | Nahon et al. (1980) | Paleocene / Eboinda region, Ivory Coast | Shale | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Diagenetic pyrite replaces many glauconite. Glaucnitic beds alternate with black shales |
| 44         | Stassen et al. (2015) | Eocene / Manasquan Formation, New Jersey Gulf Coastal Plain, USA | Fine sand/silt | Shallow marine | Biostratigraphic data provided | |
| 45         | Goodman (1979); Gibson et al. (1993) | Eocene / Nanjemoy Formation, Northern Gulf Coastal Plain, USA | Fine-grained quartz sand | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | |
| 46         | John et al. (2008) | Eocene / Lodo Formation, USA | Fine sandstone | Outer shelf | Biostratigraphic data provided | |
| 47         | Sluijs et al. (2014) | Eocene / Bashi Marl Member, Hatchetibee Formation, USA | Coarse sandstone | Inner shelf | Biostratigraphy (in parts) is provided | Burst (1958) and Hower (1961) characterized the glauconites |
| 48         | Pietsch et al. (2016) | Eocene / Gosport Sand Alabama Gulf Coastal Plain, USA | Sandstone | Shallow marine | Biostratigraphic data provided | |
| 49         | Strickler and Ferrell Jr. (1993) | Eocene / Wilcox Sandstone, USA / Lower Eocene, Texas, USA | Glaucnitic lithic arkose / feldspathic litharenite with pellets | Shallow marine | Glaucnite is in lower Eocene Wilcox Group but no biostratigraphic or radiogenic dates are given. Precise biostratigraphy not provided | |
| 50         | Harris et al. (1984) | Eocene / Santee Limestone (South Carolina), USA | Limestone | Shallow marine | Rb-Sr radiometric age of glauconites from Santee Limestone is 36.7 ± 0.6 Ma | |
| 51         | Harris et al. (1984) | Eocene / Castle Hayne Limestone (North Carolina), USA | Limestone | Shallow marine | Rb-Sr radiometric age of glauconites from Castle Hayne Limestone is 34.9 ± 1.1 Ma | |
| 52         | Harris et al. (1984) | Eocene / Cross Formation, USA | Impure limestone | Shallow marine | Rb-Sr radiometric age of glauconites from Cross Formation is 34.1 ± 1.5 Ma | |

B: Palaeo-Tethys (northern Africa, southern Europe and eastern Tethys)

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 53         | Tlig et al. (2010) | Eocene / El Garia Formation, Metlaoui Group, Tunisia | Impure limestone | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Glaucnite is of Ypresian age | |
| 54         | Metwally and | Eocene / Esna Formation, Dababiya | Shale | Shallow marine | Glaucnite-bearing strata are marked by | Glaucnite is associated with phosphate. Background lithology is marl, black shale and clayey limestone |
Table 1  Paleogene glauconites along with precise age, associated lithology, mineral and biostratigraphic assemblage (the serial no. of data correspond to those provided in Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). (Continued)

| Serial No. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 55         | Marivaux et al. (2014) | Eocene / Fortuna Formation, Tunisia | Shale     | Subtidal to upper intertidal | Glaucites are of late middle Eocene (Bartonian). Radiometric ages from glauconite (in m.y.): 38.7 ± 1.0, 39.4 ± 1.1, 40.7 ± 1.1, 39.3 ± 1.0 | Phosphates |
| 56         | Jorry et al. (2003) | Eocene / Choubine Formation, Central Tunisia | Marl | Shallow marine | Biostatigraphy of the glauconitic marl indicates a P8 biozone | Glaucitic marl is overlain by rich phosphate deposits |
| 57         | Hegab and El-Wahed (2016) | Eocene / Qarara Formation / Middle Eocene, Egypt | Green shale with pellets | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | |
| 58         | Baioumy (2007); El- Habaak et al. (2016) | Eocene / Hamra Formation, Egypt | Sandy glauconitic limestone | Marginal marine | Although the formation is biotically constrained using Nummulites species and SBZ. The glauconitic unit did not yield any microfossil | Glaucite in Upper Hamra Formation unconformably overlies oolitic ironstone deposits of Lower Hamra Formation |
| 59         | Chattoraj et al. (2009) | Eocene / Naredi Formation, Kutch, India | Green shale | Middle shelf | Two glauconite horizons occur within Naredi Formation; the basal unit is biotaxonomically dated as SBZ 8 and the upper bed is dated as SBZ 10 | Lignite is present at the basal part of the Naredi Formation |
| 60         | Banerjee et al. (2012b) | Eocene / Harudi Formation, Kutch, India | Green shale | Lagoon to shelf transition | Biostatigraphically the glauconite bed at the top of Harudi Formation is dated to be in SBZ 17 | At the basal part of Harudi Formation, lignite appears as lenses |
| 61         | Samanta et al. (2013a) | Eocene / Cambay Shale Formation, India | Shale | Lagoonal | Glaucite formed related to 11/12 event | Thick seams of lignite within a dominantly shaley lithology |
| 62         | Kalia and Kintso (2006) | Eocene / Laki Formation, Jaisalmer Basin, India | Sandy clay | Shallow marine | Glaucite is confined within Acarinina sibayensis zone (E1?) and reported as basal part of Psb | Lignite occurs at the Paleocene–Eocene boundary, along with glaucite and pyrite |
| 63         | Kharkwal (1966) | Eocene / Subathu Formation, Simla, India | Limestone and calcareous sandstone | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Clays are carbonaceous at the basal part, locally coal. Possible ooidal ironstone at the basal Subathu Formation |
| 64         | Sarma and Basumallick (1979) | Eocene / Sylhet Limestone, India | Limestone | Neritic | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Coal alternate with sandstone at the basal part, followed upwards by glauconitic nummulitic limestone |
| 65         | Sarma and Borgohain (2012) | Eocene / Narpuh Sandstone, India | Calcareous sandstone | Shallow shelf | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Thin lenses of coal seams at the basal part |
| 66         | Shiloni et al. (1977) | Eocene / Zor’a Formation, Israel | Glaucitic chalky | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Phosphate-bearing rocks underlie |
| Serial no. | Author                        | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location                                      | Lithology      | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details                                                                 | Associated authigenic phases                                                                 |
|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 67        | Zarasvandi et al. (2019)      | Eocene / Pabdeh Formation, Iran                                        | Limestone      | Shallow marine            | Precise biostratigraphy not provided                                                      | Glaucite is overlain by phosphorite. REE data indicate sub-oxic to anoxic condition        |
| 68        | Beavington-Penney et al. (2006)| Eocene / Seeb Formation, Oman                                          | Wackestone, packstone | Shallow lagoonal          | Precise biostratigraphy not provided                                                      | Glaucite is associated with minor phosphate and siderite                                   |
| 69        | Clark and Robertson (2005)    | Eocene / Gümüs Member, Hasangazi Formation, Turkey                     | Facal pellets and infillings | Shallow shelf             | Precise biostratigraphy not provided                                                      |                                               |
| 70        | Bektemirova et al. (2018)     | Eocene / Hanabad Formation, Kyzyltokoy Basin, Kyrgyzstan              | Clay           | Shallow marine            | The basin are dated using macrofossils (bivalve) and presented in Bosboom et al. (2017)   |                                               |
| 71        | Rasser and Piller (2004)      | Eocene / Helvetic Shelf, Austria                                       | Nummulitic limestone | Shallow marine            | Precise biostratigraphy not provided                                                      |                                               |
| 72        | Cosović and Drobne (1995)     | Eocene / Adriatic Carbonate Platform, Istran Peninsula, Croatia       | Wackestone, packstone | Palaeodepth as high as ~ 130m | Abundant glauconite is found confined within Alveolina stipes and Alveolina munieri zone which demarcates SBZ 13/14. Precise biostratigraphy not provided | In the Liburnian Formation, the basal part of Eocene succession, coal occurs locally.     |
| 73        | Schweitzer et al. (2005)      | Eocene / “Marl with crab”, Istran Peninsula, Croatia                  | Foraminiferal packstones | Outer ramp                | P-11 biozone was identified based on Globigerinahteca mexicana, Turborotalia frontosa, Turborotalia posagnoensis, and Subbotina inaequispira for the glauconite-bearing formation |                                               |
| 74        | Cosović et al. (2004)         | Eocene / Adriatic Carbonate Platform, Istran Peninsula, Croatia       | Foraminiferal wackstone/ packstone | Slightly deeper water     | Glaucite ages were determined using foraminiferal biozones. Glaucite occurs within SBZ13–SBZ16 interval |                                               |

C: Palaeo-North Sea

| Serial no. | Author                        | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location                                      | Lithology      | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details                                                                 | Associated authigenic phases                                                                 |
|-----------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 75        | Huggett and Gale (1997)       | Eocene / Harwich Formation, Hampshire Basin, UK                        | Fine-grained glauconitic sandstone | Shallow marine            | Biostratigraphic data obtained from the authors and Ali and Jolley (1996). Glaucitic sandstone belongs to NP9 and part of NP10 | Siderite-bearing units alternate with glauconites. Harwich Formation contain tephra deposits |
| 76        | Huggett and Gale (1997); Amorosi and Centineo (1997) | Eocene / London Clay Formation, Hampshire Basin, UK                  | Fine-grained glauconitic sandstone | Shallow marine            | Biostratigraphic data obtained from the authors and Ali and Jolley (1996)                      |                                               |
| 77        | Huggett and Gale (1997); Amorosi and Centineo (1997) | Eocene / Wittering Formation, Hampshire Basin, UK                     | Glaucitic silty sand       | Shallow marine            | Biostratigraphic data obtained from the authors and Ali and Jolley (1996)                      | Two glauconitic horizons are overlain by siderite concretion-bearing units                  |
| 78        | Huggett and Gale (1997); Amorosi and | Eocene / Earnley Formation, Hampshire Basin, UK                      | Bioturbated glauconitic sand | Shallow marine            | Biostratigraphic data obtained from the authors and Ali and Jolley (1996)                      |                                               |
| Serial No. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|-----------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 79        | Hughes and Whitehead (1987); Huggett and Gale (1997) | Eocene / Barton Clay, Hampshire Basin, UK | Glauconitic muddy silt | Shallow marine | Biostratigraphic data obtained from the authors and Ali and Jolley (1996) | |
| 80        | Huggett and Cuadros (2010) | Eocene / Headon Hill Formation, Hampshire Basin, UK | Shale, siltstones and marls | Lacustrine | Biostratigraphic zonation of Aubry (1985) indicates a NP18 to NP19–20 age of Headon Hill Formation. Radiometric dating provides ~34 Ma. Precise biostratigraphy not provided | |
| 81        | Steurbaut et al. (2003) | Eocene / Mont Héribu Clay Member, Belgium | Glauconitic clayey very fine sand | Mostly lagoonal | Biostratigraphic data provided | |
| 82        | Vanhove et al. (2011) | Eocene deposits of Belgium (including Tielt, Hyon, Gentbrugge & Aalatar Formation), Belgium | Glauconitic sand and muds | Shallow marine | Glaucocotic sand and mud is very common in latest NP12 and NP13 zones | |
| 83        | Morton et al. (1984) | Eocene / Offshore Ireland DSDP Leg 81, North Sea Basin | Pale-green clay | Shallow marine shelf | Glaucocitization started at late NP10 and truncated at NP12. Biostratigraphy and magnetostratigraphy data available | |
| 84        | Czuryłowicz et al. (2014) | Eocene / Siemier Formation, Lubartów area, Poland | Siltstone and sandstone | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Glaucocotic silty sand overlies a phosphate unit |
| 85        | Gedl (2014) | Eocene sediments of Solokija Graben, Roztocze, Poland | Glaucocitic sandstone, calcareous and non-calcareous | Shallow marine | Glaucocitic sands are confined from upper part of NP16 to lower NP18 or top of NP17 | |
| 86        | Dill et al. (1996) | Eocene / Formation C, North German Basin, Germany | Sandstone | Shallow marine | Formation C is confined within Subzone D7a and D8b | Glaucocite is confined within the lower sandstones while pyrite formed in clays and marls |

**D: High southern latitudes**

| Serial No. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|-----------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 87        | Sorrentino et al. (2014) | Eocene / Red Bluff Tuff Formation, New Zealand | Volcanic tuff | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Magnetite and hematite are associated with glauconite |
| 88        | Crouch et al. (2003) | Eocene / Wanstead Formation, Tawanui, New Zealand | Glaucocitic sandy siltstone | Deep marine | Biostratigraphy is done based on Apectodinium acme and dinocyst assemblages | Although depositional environment was deep, land-derived terrestrial components are abundant |
| 89        | Wei (2004) | Eocene / Tasmanian Gateway, ODP Leg No 189, New Zealand | Silty claystone and siltstone | Shallow marine | Its first occurrence of glauconite is between the FO of Reticulofenestra reticulata (41.2 Ma) and that of Reticulofenestra umbilicus (42.0 Ma) and thus it can be dated as 41–42 Ma | |
| 90        | Dallanave et al. (2016) | Eocene / Ashley Mudstone, New Zealand | Mudstone | Deep marine | Age of glauconite is confined to NP16, LO of Reticulofenestra umbilicus marks the | |
Table 1 Paleogene glauconites along with precise age, associated lithology, mineral and biostratigraphic assemblage (the serial no. of data correspond to those provided in Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). (Continued)

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| 91         | Aitchison (1988) | Eocene / Tapui glauconitic sandstone, New Zealand | Sandstone | Storm-dominated inner shelf | Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Glauconites are of early to middle Eocene age | onset of glauconite but upper boundary is not defined. Absolute age of glauconite is 42.64 Ma (Gradstein et al. 2012) |
| 92         | MacGregor (1983) | Eocene / Waitakere Limestone, Nile Group, New Zealand | Limestone | Marginal marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Age is based on benthic foraminiferal assemblage but not precisely demarcated | Pyrite occurs at upper part of the section. Underlying Brunner Coal measure is a thick coal-bearing unit |
| 93         | Hines et al. (2013) | Eocene / Pukemuri Siltstone, New Zealand | Glaucnitic sandstone | Deep marine | Pukemuri Siltstone. The presence of Discocysta lodoensis throughout the formation indicates correlation with Nannofossil Zones NP12–14 |
| 94         | Iakovleva and Kulkova (2003) | Eocene / Tavdinskaya Formation, West Siberia, Russia | Glaucnitic sand and siltstone | Shallow marine | Glaucnite-bearing sediments of Tavdinskaya Formation belong to Rhombodinium draco dinoflagellate zone |
| 95         | Polevaya et al. (1961) | Paleogene deposits of Abkhazia, Russia | Sandstone, clayey sandstone and limestone | Shallow marine | Absolute age of glauconite by radiometric dating yields ~ 53 Ma |
| 96         | Polevaya et al. (1961) | Paleogene deposits of Turgay, Russia | Sandstone, clayey sandstone and limestone | Shallow marine | Radiometric dating provides ~ 51 Ma |
| 97         | Polevaya et al. (1961) | Paleogene deposits of Volga River Area, Russia | Sandstone, clayey sandstone and limestone | Shallow marine | Radiometric dating provides ~ 46 Ma is reported |
| 98         | Polevaya et al. (1961) | Paleogene deposits of Ciscaucasia, Russia | Sandstone, clayey sandstone and limestone | Shallow marine | Radiometric dating provides ~ 37 Ma |
| 99         | Geptner et al. (2008) | Eocene / Amanin Formation, Russia | Volcanogenic sandstone and mudstone | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided |
| 100        | Wei et al. (2018) | Eocene / Shahejie Formation Bohai Bay Basin, China | In varying lithologies from sandstone to calcareous mudstone | Shallow marine | Main glauconite event took place ~ 42.47 Ma with two minor event ~ 35.99 Ma and ~ 31.94 Ma. Precise biostratigraphy not provided |
| 101        | Jiang et al. (2007) | Eocene / Shulu Sag Basin, China | Calcareous shale and siltstone | Lacustrine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided |
| 102        | Petters and Olsson (1979) | Eocene / Akinbo Formation, Nigeria | Shale | Shallow marine | K–Ar method yields 54.45 ± 2.7 Ma |
Table 1  Paleogene glauconites along with precise age, associated lithology, mineral and biostratigraphic assemblage (the serial no. of data correspond to those provided in Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). (Continued)

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 103        | Amaral (1967) | Eocene / Calumbi Formation, Mosquiro well, Sergipe-Alagoas Basin, Brazil | Glaucnitic sandstone | Shallow marine | K-Ar absolute ages of glauconite from Mosquiro Formation are 53 ± 2 Ma and 51 ± 2 Ma | |
| 104        | Amaral (1967) | Eocene / Cururu well, Majaró Basin, Brazil | Fine sandstone and siltstone | Shallow marine | K-Ar absolute age of glauconite from Mosquiro Formation is 35 ± 2 Ma | |

**OLIGOCENE**

A: North American continental margin

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 105        | Miller et al. (2009) | Oligocene / Sequence O1, New Jersey Coastal Plain, USA | Glaucnitic sand | Middle shelf | The Sequence O1 has rich glauconite concentration and age is defined as NP22 | |
| 106        | Miller et al. (2009) | Oligocene / Sequence O2, New Jersey Coastal Plain, USA | Glaucnitic sand | Middle shelf | The Sequence O2 has rich glauconite concentration and age is defined as upper part of NP23 | |
| 107        | Miller et al. (2009) | Oligocene / Bumpnose sequence, SSQ section Alabama Gulf Coastal Plain, USA | Glaucnitic sand | Middle shelf | The Sequence O2 has rich glauconite concentration and age is defined as upper part of NP23 | |
| 108        | Hesselbo and Huggett (2001); Savrda et al. (2001) | Oligocene / Offshore New Jersey, ODP Leg 174A, USA | Mudstone and sandstone | Deep marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Age estimation is based on Sr stratigraphy (Savrda et al. 2001) | Glauconite has ooidal coating of glauconitic smectite, while shallow water glauconites have cores of siderite |

B: Palaeo-Tethys (northern Africa, southern Europe and eastern Tethys)

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 109        | Boukhalfa et al. (2013) | Oligocene / Fortuna Formation, Tunisia | Glaucnitic siltstone and mudstone | Lagoonal | Glauconite forms in Chattian. Glauconite-bearing sequence is marked by biostratigraphically well-constrained upper and lower boundary | Lagoonal glauconite of Fortuna Formation overlies a Fe-, S-bearing horizon |
| 110        | Boukhalfa et al. (2015) | Oligocene / Lower Béjaoua Group, Tunisia | Glaucnitic siltstone | Lagoonal | Glauconite-bearing sequence is marked by biostratigraphically well-constrained upper and lower boundary | |
| 111        | Banerjee et al. (2012a) | Oligocene / Maniyara Fort Formation, Kutch, India | Green shale | Lagoonal | Glauconite age is modified to the base of SBZ 22B based on foraminiferal studies | |
| 112        | Tóth et al. (2010) | Oligocene / Eger Formation, Hungary | Carbonate cemented sandstone layers | Deep sublittoral to epibathyal | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Phosphate is associated with glauconite even as very fine particles |

C: Palaeo-North Sea

| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 113        | Rasmussen and Dybkjær (2005) | Oligocene / Brejning Clay Member, Vejle Fjord Formation, Denmark | Bioturbated greenish silty clay | Shallow marine | Overlying the glauconitic unit is characterized by common occurrences of *Delflandrea phosphoritica* and *Chiropteridium galea* (Dinocyst assemblage) | Glaucony is abundant with pyritized burrow. Glauconitic clay is overlain by silty to sandy unit with iron oolite and siderite cemented sandstone |
| 114        | Porrenga (1968) | Oligocene / Kerkom sand Belgium | Thin green clay layers | Marginal marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | |
| Serial no. | Author | Age / Stratigraphic unit, location | Lithology | Depositional environments | Biostratigraphic details | Associated authigenic phases |
|-----------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 114       | De Man and Van Simaeys (2004) | Oligocene / Southern North Sea Basin, Belgium | Glauconitic sand and lenses intercalated in sands | Marginal marine | Oldest time-transgressive glauconitic sand was deposited around 26.7 Ma | Coals are present in the formation, but precise stratigraphy not available |
| 115       |        |                                    |           |                           |                          |                             |
| 116       | Van der Lingen et al. (1978)  | Oligocene / Oxford Chalk, New Zealand | Cross-bedded glauconitic sand with foraminiferal infillings | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided |                             |
| 117       | Lewis and Belliss (1984)      | Oligocene / Gee Greensand Otekaie Limestone, New Zealand | Greensand | Inner shelf | Age of the formation is based on Harland et al. (1982); but the age is redefined again. Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Ostracoda biostratigraphy is provided in Ayress (2006) |                             |
| 118       | McConchie and Lewis (1978)    | Oligocene / Coleridge Formation, New Zealand | Glauconitic sandstone with faecal pellets | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Oligocene glauconite belongs to early Oligocene (Whaingaroan Stage) (Harland et al. 1982) |                             |
| 119       | Kelly and Webb (1999)         | Oligocene / Jan Juc Formation, Torquay Group, Australia | Argillaceous sandstone | Middle shelf | Foraminiferal biostratigraphy is provided in Li et al. (1999) | Pyrite, siderite, phosphate and iron oxide minerals overlie basal glauconite-rich units. Glauconitic unit contains pyrite, phosphates and iron oxides, but lacks siderite |
| 120       | Dix and Parras (2014)         | Oligocene / San Julián Formation, Patagonia (Argentina) | Hardground in limestone | Shallow marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided. Age of glauconite-bearing rocks are correlated with chronostratigraphy of Gradstein et al. (2012) | Microcrystalline siderite is associated with glauconite. Glauconite overlies coal-bearing member |
| 121       | Sageman and Speed (2003)      | Oligocene / Caratas Fm., Tinajitas Lst. and Los Jabilos Fm., Venezuela | Arenites with foraminiferal infillings | Shallow marine | NP24 for Glauconitic wacke; three distinct glauconitization event without proper biostratigraphic age provided. Precise biostratigraphy not provided |                             |
| 122       | Amaral (1967)                 | Oligocene / Cururu Fm., Majará Basin, Brazil | Fine sandstone and siltstone | Shallow marine | K–Ar age of glauconite from upper part of Cururu well section is 25 ± 2 Ma which is in good agreement with biostratigraphic data according to the author |                             |
| 123       | Wigley and Compton (2006)     | Oligocene / Upper Oligocene-Lower Miocene Calcareous unit, South Africa | Calcareous sand | Shallow marine | Glauconite formed during Upper Oligocene (258–27.2 Ma) | Phosphate (CFA) is associated with glauconite |
| 124       | Tazaki and Fyfe (1992)        | Oligocene / Isu Bonin Forearc Basin, ODP Leg 126, Japan | Volcanogenic sandstone | Deep marine | Precise biostratigraphy not provided | Glauconite along with celadonite and graphite occurs in volcaniclastic sediments |
phosphorite deposition shifted towards the eastern and northern parts of the Tethyan domain (Fig. 5). The deposition of phosphorite took place in Iran and in Oman (Beavington-Penney et al. 2006; Zaraşvandi et al. 2019) and in Poland (Czuryłowicz et al. 2014). Phosphorite deposition was less common in the Oligocene. Besides the Tethyan margin, glauconite and phosphorite deposits formed within the Oligocene succession of Australia and South Africa (Kelly and Webb 1999; Wigley and Compton 2006; Tóth et al. 2010) (Fig. 6). Throughout the Paleogene, most of the phosphorite–glauconite association was restricted to arid paleoclimate, low-latitudinal passive margin settings (Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6).

3.3 Glauconite–lignite association
Lignite is a common deposit of the Paleogene time (Table 1; Fig. 1). The formation of Paleogene lignite overlaps with glauconite within the warm climatic intervals (Fig. 1). Paleogene glauconite occurs in the same stratigraphic succession with economically exploitable lignite in 15 cases. During the Paleocene, lignites formed within a short span of ~ 10 Ma from late Danian to late Lutetian (Mancini and Tew 1993; Liu et al. 1997; Steurbaut et al. 2003; Egger et al. 2009; Ferrow et al. 2011; Samanta et al. 2013a; Sluijs et al. 2014). The oldest record of the lignite–glauconite association from North American Gulf Coastal Plain deposits corresponds to the Danian–Selandian transition (Fig. 1). At the Paleocene–Eocene transition, lignite formed even at high palaeolatitudes in Svalbard, Norway (Dypvik et al. 2011) (Fig. 3). The late Paleocene and middle Eocene lignite–glauconite associations are best developed in the eastern margin of Tethys (Figs. 3, 4). Lignite formed in restricted marine conditions (Chattoraj et al. 2009; Saraswati et al. 2014, 2018). The glauconite–lignite association reduced abruptly during middle and late Eocene (Fig. 1). During the late Oligocene, lignite–glauconite association was restricted only to palaeo-North Sea basin (De Man and Van Simaeys 2004) (Fig. 5). Lignites formed in humid, tropical to boreotropical, and even warm temperate climatic conditions favoring lush growth of vegetation (Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6). Most lignite–glauconite occurrences of high northern latitudes coincide with the Paleocene–
Eocene transition, but it is restricted to middle Eocene in Russia and late Oligocene in Belgium (Table 1; Figs. 5, 6). At high southern latitude lignite is devoid of glauconite during the Paleocene and early Eocene.

3.4 Glauconite–oolitic ironstone association
Oolitic ironstone deposits contain ~ 5% iron oolith/ooid and more than 15% iron, with goethite, siderite, chamosite, odinite, and berthierine as chief iron-bearing minerals (Rudmin et al. 2019). The majority of the oolitic ironstone of the Cenozoic time preferably formed in the late Paleocene to early-middle Eocene period in marginal marine environments (van Houten 1992; Rudmin et al. 2019). van Houten (1992) found that the majority of the oolitic ironstone deposits of the Cenozoic time are associated with glauconites and phosphorites, hardgrounds and coal measures. Our review reports 14 cases of glauconite–oolitic ironstone association, closely linked to the warming events of the Paleogene (Table 1; Fig. 1). In most of these deposits, glauconite and oolitic ironstone deposited in close proximity to lignite and phosphorite.

Glauconite–oolitic ironstone association occurs within the Paleocene Kressenberg Formation in Austria (Egger et al. 2009) (Fig. 3). Oolitic ironstone deposits proliferate in the London Basin during the late Paleocene and early Eocene (Huggett and Gale 1997). Glauconite–ironstone association declines during the onset of cold climatic conditions after EECO (ca. 50 Ma) (Figs. 1, 5, 6). This deposit in Egypt, Iran, and Oman coincides with middle Eocene climatic optimum (MECO) (Beavington-Penney et al. 2006; Baioumy 2007; El-Habaak et al. 2016; Zarasvandi et al. 2019). The late Oligocene glauconite–oolitic ironstone association occurs in the North American continental shelf deposit, from the palaeo-North Sea basin and high southern latitude deposits in Australia and New Zealand (Kelly and Webb 1999; Hesselbo and Huggett 2001). Oolitic ironstones tend to form in tropical/boreotropical and warm temperate climate during most of the Paleogene. During the middle Eocene, the locus of their formation shifted towards the northern margin of the African Shelf (Fig. 5).

4 Discussion
4.1 The formation of glauconite during warming intervals
Although the allo genetic glauconite occasionally occurs in the ancient rock record (Amorosi 1997), the vast
majority of glauconites form on the seafloor in situ with negligible sediment input (Odin and Matter 1981; El Albani et al. 2005; Amorosi et al. 2007, 2012; Banerjee et al. 2012a, 2012b, 2015, 2016a, 2016b; Baldermann et al. 2013, 2017). Prolonged chemical exchange between seawater and sediments is a prerequisite for the formation of glauconite (Odin and Matter 1981). Several case studies indicate that the composition of glauconite bears subtle evidence of seawater composition of the past (El Albani et al. 2005; Meunier and El Albani 2007; Banerjee et al. 2016a, 2016b; Mandal et al. 2020). The following section discusses the influence of the controlling factors in the formation of authigenic glauconite during the Paleogene.

The deposition environment has strong control over the formation of glauconite by regulating the rate of sedimentation, redox conditions as well as the supply of abundant ions. Although seawater contains abundant potassium, its iron content is very less, particularly in the deep marine environment. Iron is supplied into the shallow sea by the weathering of continental landmasses. However, the depositional environment remains oxic and sediment supply remains high in shallow marine environments, which discourages the growth of glauconite. In modern oceans, glauconite forms abundantly within the outer shelf and deeper environments (Odin and Matter 1981; Amorosi 2012; Banerjee et al. 2016a). However, the Paleogene glauconite formed primarily in
shallow seas, possibly below the fair-weather wave base (Table 1; Fig. 7). Significantly, glauconites formed predominantly in shallow marine conditions during the Cretaceous period, which is also known for warm climatic conditions (Bansal et al. 2019). However, Bansal et al. (2019) could not establish the relationship between warming intervals and glauconite occurrence because of poor biostratigraphic controls of the Cretaceous successions. Recent glauconite forms mostly along the eastern and western margins of Africa and North America, southern margin of Australia, and western margin of South America. The formation of glauconite always remained confined within 60° latitudes on both sides of the equator (Porrenga 1968; Odin and Matter 1981). Except for one report of glauconite from Norway, all the Paleogene glauconites also show a similar latitudinal distribution, i.e. within the confinement of 60° palaeo-latitudes. They are absent in the high latitudes (in the Arctic and Antarctic region) (Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6). The absence of glauconite in the polar region and its paucity in the extra-tropical region indicates that a high temperature of seawater facilitates the formation of this mineral. As carbonate deposition shifted to the shallow marine environment during the greenhouse climate, likewise glauconitization too shifted to shallow seas during the Paleogene hyperthermal events. The formation of glauconite is five times slower in the cold water at a depth of 2.5 km, compared to the shallow marine region (Baldermann et al. 2013). Microbiota plays a crucial role in the fixation of iron into the smectite structure, transforming it into glauconite in the modern deep marine environment (Baldermann et al. 2017). In the case of shallow marine glauconite, such a microbial role is not apparent. The chemical composition of deep marine glauconite differs from their shallow marine counterparts by having more Fe₂O₃ and less Al₂O₃ and therefore indicates that the mechanism of formation of this mineral must be different (Baldermann et al. 2017).

The warm and humid climatic conditions during the Paleogene thermal events enhanced the rate of continental weathering (Hessler et al. 2017). Consequently, an increase in the supply of K, Fe, Si, Al, Fe, and Mg ions into the shallow marine environment through riverine input likely to have raised the alkalinity of oceans (Fig. 7). Experimental results indicate that highly alkaline seawater promotes the formation of glauconite (Harder...
Extensive physical reworking of all varieties of continental rocks during the attendant marine transgression further facilitated the release of nutrients to the seawater (Peters and Gaines 2012). The enhanced riverine input during the warm climatic intervals could have provided the required Fe for the formation of glauconite in the shallow marine environment. The formation of iron-bearing authigenic phases is regulated by the depositional redox condition and the iron reduction reactions (El Albani et al. 2005; Meunier and El Albani 2007; Taylor and Macquaker 2011). Experimental results indicate that sub-oxic condition is a prerequisite for glauconite formation (Harder 1980). Fe occurs as sulfide in reducing conditions in the sulfidic environment.
anoxic zone (Berner 1981), while goethite and chamosite forms in oxygenated seawater (Kimberly 1979; Rudmin et al. 2019). However, shallow and intermediate seas presumably became oxygen-deficient during the hyperthermal events (Nicolo et al. 2010; Schulte et al. 2013; Sluijs et al. 2014). The extensive occurrence of Paleogene black shale within the shallow marine Tethyan domain bears testimony to this (Gavrilov et al. 2013; Schulte et al. 2013). Micropalaeontological data of Kutch in India also supports oxygen-deficient shallow marine environments during PETM, ETM2, and ETM3, all of which are characterized by the high abundance of rectilinear benthic foraminifera that is known to be tolerant to low oxygen (Nigam et al. 2007; Khanolkar and Saraswati 2015, 2019). Multiple factors possibly led to this hypoxia on the Paleogene shelves (Fig. 7). The enhanced bioproductivity related to the abundant supply of nutrients created the sub-oxic condition in the shallow marine domain (Sluijs et al. 2014). Widespread hypoxia in marginal marine environments has been documented from Cretaceous global anoxic events (Sluijs et al. 2014). Significant warming during the hyperthermal events led to the discharge of freshwater and nutrients, causing a stratified seawater column and thereby promoting the suboxic to anoxic conditions. Sluggish deep-water circulation further aided the seafloor oxygen depletion (Ridgwell and Schmidt 2010). The glauconite-bearing green shales of Kutch in early and middle Eocene correspond to ETM2 and MECO. These glauconitic shales are typically characterized by tiny, triserial planktic foraminifera Jenkiniina Columbiana and Streptochilus martini (Kroon and Nederbragt 1990; Kimoto et al. 2009; Khanolkar et al. 2017). Their abundance reaching up to 35% of planktic foraminiferal count suggests high runoff and upwelling conditions in these intervals. Therefore, the availability of abundant continent-derived Fe, as well as the development of the sub-oxic conditions in shallow seas boosted glauconite formation during warm climatic intervals.

A slow rate of sedimentation generally helps the reduced iron to be incorporated into the glauconite structure (Odin and Matter 1981; Meunier and El Albani 2007; Amorosi 2012; Banerjee et al. 2016a, 2016b). The enhanced supply of siliciclastics because of heightened continental weathering during the hyperthermal events should also have raised the sedimentation rate. Although the absolute sea-level rose only about 20 m to 30 m during the hyperthermal events, its rapidness might have led to sediment starvation in shallow seas (Sluijs et al. 2014). The occurrence of around 90% glauconite coinciding with the three warm climatic intervals marked in Fig. 1 indicate that temperature, redox condition of the depositional environment, rapid transgression as well as the availability of abundant nutrients possibly superseded the effect of possible excessive clastic supply into the marine basin related to enhanced weathering during the ‘greenhouse world’.

4.2 Factors promoting phosphorite deposition during the Paleogene time
Phosphorite is a common associate of glauconite in the Paleogene (Glenn and Arthur 1990; Kouwenhoven et al. 1997; Kechiched et al. 2018; Metwally and Mahfouz 2018; Banerjee et al. 2019). Conditions favourable for the precipitation of phosphorite and glauconite broadly overlap, requiring depletion in clastic supply and oxygen-depleted seawater. Hypoxic and anoxic bottom seawater facilitates the recycling of phosphorus from organic matter. Sub-oxic Paleogene shelf waters, therefore, remained the favourable sites of formation for both glauconite and phosphorite. Schulte et al. (2013) reported the formation of phosphorite during the recovery phase of the PETM. Phosphorite–glauconite association in modern and ancient sediments forms within a narrow zone lying between upper slope (Fe- and P-poor, TOC enriched) and outer shelf (Fe- and P-enriched), in close vicinity of the oxygen minimum zone (Banerjee et al. 2019 and references therein). Palaeolatitude is also another factor that controls global P-cycle (Soudry et al. 2006). Low latitudes favouring open circulation prefer the accumulation of phosphorite (Cook and McElhinny 1979; Soudry et al. 2006). During most of the Paleogene, the northern part of the African continent remained close to the equator (Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6).

4.3 Factors influencing lignite deposition
Paleogene lignite deposits are predominantly of strand plain origin (Prasad et al. 2013), and they remain confined to the tropical zone along the palaeo-Tethyan margin (Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6; Chattoraj et al. 2009; Egger et al. 2009; Samanta et al. 2013a, 2013b). Lignite deposits form at the top of smaller order shallowing-upward cycles, below the marine flooding surfaces within an overall transgressive deposit (Prasad et al. 2013). Whereas, the occurrence of glauconite coincides with the marine flooding surfaces (Banerjee et al. 2012a, 2012b). A humid climate presumably facilitated the growth of the tropical rainforest during the warmer climatic intervals of Paleogene. Accumulation of abundant vegetal matter in a stagnant marginal marine environment possibly led to lignite formation. Coal deposits during the Paleozoic formed in tropical climates under high rainfall (Cecil et al. 1985). A low rate of clastic input coupled with wet climatic conditions and vegetation cover facilitated coal formation (Cecil 1990). However, glauconite, as well as phosphorite are rarely associated with Paleozoic coal deposits.
Coal/lignite is particularly abundant in several Indian Paleogene basins including Cambay (Prasad et al. 2013; Samanta et al. 2013b), Kutch (Khanolkar and Saraswati 2015 and references therein), Rajasthan (Raju and Mathur 2013) and in Assam-Arakan basin (Saikia et al. 2009). The high abundance of lignite within the Indian Paleogene possibly relates to the formation of a tropical rainforest that leads to the rapid deposition of organic matter and higher land plants into the marginal marine environment (Prasad et al. 2013). Extensive development of marsh-bay complexes characterized the Indian sub-continent that remained close to the equator during the Eocene (Figs. 4, 5) (Prasad et al. 2013).

4.4 Formation of oolitic ironstone during the Paleogene
Glaucinite forms an important component within the Paleogene oolitic ironstone deposits along the globe (van Houten 1992). Depositional conditions required for the formation of oolitic ironstone, glauconite, and phosphorite are broadly similar (van Houten 1992; Todd et al. 2019). Although most Paleozoic oolitic ironstones involve upwelling, Cenozoic deposits are controversial in terms of origin. A warm climate and marine transgression favour the formation of oolitic ironstone (Todd et al. 2019). The warm climate facilitates continental weathering and supplies abundant Fe into the shallow marine ocean (see Todd et al. op. cit.). The particulate riverine Fe is trapped mostly in lagoons, estuaries and flood plains before reaching the deep ocean during the rapid transgression (Poulton and Canfield 2011). Further, submarine volcanism, related to ocean floor spreading provides abundant Fe$^{2+}$ into the marine realm. The upwelling current carries additional P$^{4+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ from the deeper ocean and facilitates the formation of phosphorite, glauconite and oolitic ironstone. Microbial respiration/oxidation of organic matter is further responsible for the formation of anoxic and hypoxic water column. The upwelling front favouring the formation of francolite (and/or pyrite) and Fe-silicates, respectively (Todd et al. 2019). A more oxygenated water column results in the formation of Fe-(oxyhydr)oxide constituting the ironstone facies. Several studies indicated that the formation of oolitic ironstone is favoured immediately after ocean hypoxia (Schulte et al. 2013; Bekker et al. 2014). Therefore, an increase in productivity and related oxygen deficiency provides abundant ferrous iron in shelf waters, thus facilitating massive ironstone deposits during the warm climatic intervals (Homoky 2017; Konhauser et al. 2017). While the pyrite can be formed in hypoxic and anoxic seawater, chamosite and/or berthierine formation is favoured in hypoxic seawater conditions (Berner 1981; Taylor and Macquaker 2011; Todd et al. 2019; Rudmin et al. 2020). Rudmin et al. (op. cit.) established a link between volcanism and oolitic ironstone formation from the Siberian basins. Widespread volcanism in north Atlantic during the early part of Paleogene might have facilitated hypoxic seawater.

5 Conclusions
The review of existing literature establishes a link between Paleogene warming events and authigenic mineralization, with the following conclusions.

1) A review of global occurrences of Paleogene glauconites broadly correspond to warm climatic intervals that witnessed multiple hyperthermal events.

2) The widespread occurrence of glauconite across the globe in the late Paleocene and early Eocene relates to a combination of factors including global sea-level rise, hypoxic shelf, and warm and humid climate. A slow rate of sediment accumulation within the transgressive shallow seas facilitated the formation of glauconite on the seafloor.

3) The depositional conditions of phosphorus and oolitic ironstone are broadly similar to those of glauconite, and therefore, the abundance of these two deposits follows a similar trend.

4) Although the occurrence of authigenic deposits was largely influenced by global climatic conditions, factors like upwelling current and palaeolatitude led to the formation of phosphorite and lignite regionally.

5) Palaeo-latitudinal settings also influenced the occurrence of authigenic deposits. The deposition of phosphorite and lignite deposits was favoured in low palaeolatitudes.

6) Paleogene lignite formed mostly in coastal environments and their formation is facilitated in warm and humid climate. These deposits marked smaller order regressions within an overall transgressive deposit of warm climatic intervals.
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