Introduction

Chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) is a common cause of hearing impairment, disability and poor scholastic performance in children in poor and developing countries. It is the major cause of morbidity all over the world. The worldwide prevalence of CSOM is 65-330 million people, and 39-200 million (60%) have clinically significant hearing impairment. The overall incidence is estimated to be around 9 per 100,000 people. CSOM is the persistent inflammation of the middle ear or mastoid cavity and characterized by recurrent or persistent ear discharge (otorrhea) over 2-6 weeks through a perforation of the tympanic membrane. Frequent upper respiratory tract infections and poor socioeconomic conditions (overcrowded housing and poor hygiene and nutrition) are often associated with the development of CSOM.

Background: Chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) is a major cause of hearing disability and morbidity in poor socioeconomic developing countries with prevalence of 4%. Indiscriminate use of antibiotics and poor follow up of patients has resulted in treatment failure, emergence of resistant strains, super infection, intra-cranial and extra-cranial complications in CSOM patients. Staphylococcus aureus, P. aeruginosa, Proteus spp. and Klebsiella spp. are most common organisms causing infection. Knowledge of microbial profile and susceptibility pattern is essential for efficacious treatment of this disorder.

Objective: To determine the clinico-bacteriological profile of CSOM, to analyze the susceptibility pattern of various antibiotics and to evaluate the in vitro efficacy of aminoglycosides over fluoroquinolones against the aerobic bacterial isolates from CSOM.

Methods: We studied 153 clinically suspected CSOM cases from March 2018 to October 2018 in Microbiology and Otorhinolaryngology department. The ear swabs were aerobically cultured and identification of the isolate was done by standard bacteriological methods.

Results: Safe type CSOM was a major cause of disease. Moderate (35.3%) and mild degree (32.7%) of hearing loss was seen in most of the CSOM cases. The culture positivity rate was 82.4% and the most common isolate was P. aeruginosa (55.8%) followed by S. aureus (27.5%). P. aeruginosa, A. baumannii and Enterobacteriaceae spp. showed high sensitivity to colistin, piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime-tazobactam and good sensitivity for cefepime and amikacin; 33.3% S. aureus isolates were Methicillin-resistant which was sensitive to gentamicin, vancomycin and linezolid.

Conclusion: Knowledge of the spectrum of microorganisms causing ear discharge is important for effective treatment.
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deafness caused by CSOM of safe type was usually considered to be purely of conductive type.[5] In unsafe type of CSOM, the sensorineural deafness is known usually due to labyrinthitis and cholesteatoma. Occasionally, in fatal condition, CSOM can lead to fatal intracranial infections and acute mastoiditis.[5,6]

Due to misuse and overuse of antibiotics, antibiotic drug resistance (ADR) is increasing among the pathogens causing CSOM which makes this mandatory for periodic surveillance of microbiological and sensitivity profile of CSOM.[10] So, this study was planned to determine the clinic-bacteriological profile of CSOM, to analyze the susceptibility pattern of various antibiotics and to evaluate in vitro efficacy of aminoglycoside antibiotic over fluoroquinolones against the aerobic bacterial isolate from CSOM at a tertiary care hospital in western Rajasthan.

**Material and Methods**

This is a prospective cross-sectional study conducted from March 2018 to October 2018 at a tertiary care hospital. This study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee, AIIMS, Jodhpur with letter no. AIIMS/IEC/2017/946. Total 153 patients, who were clinically diagnosed with CSOM, were enrolled for the study after their consent. Patients presenting with tympanic perforation and ear discharge of more than 3 months and those patients who were not on any antibiotics (oral and systemic) in the previous 7 days were included in the study. Patient having ear discharge with intact tympanic membrane and on antibiotic therapy was excluded from the study. Informed consent was obtained at the enrolment of the patient and before collecting the aural discharge without touching external auditory canal. The middle ear discharge was then aseptically collected by the Otorhinolaryngologist from the tympanic cavity with a thin sterile cotton swab (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) after cleaning with normal saline. The specimens so collected were transported immediately to the microbiology laboratory for further processing. The swabs were inoculated onto blood agar, chocolate agar and MacConkey agar for aerobic culture and the inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24–48 hours with 5% carbon dioxide given to blood agar for aerobic culture and the inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 24–48 hours with 5% carbon dioxide given to blood agar and chocolate agar plates. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing for aerobic bacterial isolates was done by Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method[8] on Mueller Hinton agar (HiMedia, India) as per Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines 2018.[9] The following antibiotics with specific concentrations were used: Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole/cotrimoxazole (25 µg), gentamicin (10 µg), amikacin (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), levofloxacin (5 µg), cefoxitin (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), piperacillin (10 Units), tazobactam (100/10 µg), colistin sulphate (10 µg), erythromycin (15 µg), clindamycin (2 µg), imipenem (10 µg), vancomycin (30 µg), linezolid (30 µg), clindamycin (2 µg), erythromycin (15 µg), high-level gentamicin (120 µg) from HiMedia Laboratories, India. AIIMS/IEC/2017/946 dated 15/12/2017.

Automated Siemens 2 Microscan-Beckman Coulter automated identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) analyzer was used to evaluate minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antibiotic which needs for confirmation. Methicillin resistance among *Staphylococcus aureus* strains was detected by cefoxitin disc diffusion test as cefoxitin is considered as a surrogate marker of mecA resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*.[9]

**Data analysis and interpretation**

Data were entered and analyzed using SPSS version 20 software. Results were presented through graphs and tables. The statistical significance of association was measured by using the Chi-square test. A P value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

In the present study, a total of 153 patients with clinical diagnosis of CSOM were enrolled in the study. Out of 153 cases, Safe- and Unsafe-type CSOM was found in 92 (60.1%) and 61 (39.9%) cases, respectively. Males, 80 (52.3%), were predominantly affected as compared to females, 73 (47.7%). The maximum incidence of CSOM was observed in patients of 21-30 years age group (25.5%) as seen in Table 1.

Figures 1 and 2 show status of hygiene and degree of hearing loss in clinically diagnosed patients of CSOM. A majority of patients had either poor or borderline hygiene status (38.6%). A 31.4%
A patient suffered from moderately severe hearing loss and the rest of them had moderate (35.3%) and mild (32.7%) loss of hearing. However, the statistical correlation to see the association between severity of hearing loss and hygiene status could not be done.

Out of 153 samples cultured, bacterial growth was obtained in 126 (82.4%) and 27 (17.6%) showed no growth. In positive cultures, 109 (86.5%) isolates were pathogenic and 14 (11.1%) were identified as commensals and the remaining 3 (2.4%) had growth of more than three types of organisms. Amongst these 14 cases, 8 (57.1%) were Coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS), 4 (28.6%) Coryneform species and 2 (14.3%) were Micrococcus species, thus, excluded from the study.

Out of the total 109 pathogenic isolates, mono-microbial growth was seen in 99 (90.8%) samples and 10 (9.2%) with polymicrobial growth as shown in Figure 3. The total bacterial isolates obtained were 120 that included all isolates obtained from mono-microbial and polymicrobial growth. Gram negative bacteria 83 (69.2%) far exceeded Gram positive bacteria 37 (30.8%).

Figure 4 shows the distribution of polymicrobial isolates in CSOM cases. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* combination were more commonly isolated followed by *Proteus mirabilis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Among the total bacterial isolates (mono-microbial and polymicrobial), the most common isolate was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 67 (55.8%) followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* 33 (27.5%). Other isolates found were *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Proteus mirabilis* 5 (4.2%) each, *Acinetobacter baumannii* 3 (2.5%), *Enterococcus faecalis* 2 (1.7%) and *Escherichia coli*, *Citrobacter koseri*, *Morganella morganii*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae* 1 (0.8%) each displayed in in Figure 5. The organisms were also distributed between safe and unsafe CSOM disease type; however, the difference was not statistically significant (P-value = 0.542), the difference was also not found to be statistically significant among hygiene status.

Figure 6 shows the distribution of organisms among various degree of hearing loss, however, the association between them was not found to be statistically significant (P-value = 0.233).

Figures 7 and 8 show antibiotic susceptibility pattern among *P. aeruginosa* and *A. baumannii*. *P. aeruginosa* showed 100% susceptibility to ceftazidime and colistin followed by piperacillin tazobactam (95.5%), ceftazidime-tazobactam (92.9%) and cefepime (81.8%). It showed moderate sensitivity to aztreonam, aminoglycosides, fluoroquinolones and imipenem.
Acinetobacter species was found highly sensitive (100%) to ceftazidime-tazobactam and colistin, however, it was 66.7% sensitive to gentamicin and had low sensitivity for amikacin. It was fully resistant to cotrimoxazole and levofloxacin.

Table 2 shows antibiotic susceptibility pattern among Enterobacteriaceae. Most of the isolates showed 100% sensitivity to Imipenem (IMP), Piperacillin tazobactam (PIT), Ceftazidime-tazobactam (CAT), amikacin (AK), Gentamicin (GEN), Levofloxacin (LE). Moderate sensitivity was seen for ceftriaxone (CTR), Ciprofloxacin (CIP). K. pneumoniae was fully resistant to CAT, E. coli resistance was for cefepime (CPM), CTR and LE. C. koseri was not sensitive to IMP (0%). M. morganii was found to be fully sensitive to netilmicin (NET) (100%).

Among Gram positive isolates, 11 (33.3%) S. aureus isolates were Methicillin resistant (MRSA) and 22 (66.7%) were Methicillin sensitive (MSSA). No resistance was seen to vancomycin and linezolid in S. aureus. Good sensitivity (93.9%) was seen for gentamicin. Cotrimoxazole was susceptible in 59.1% cases. A very low sensitivity was observed for penicillin, erythromycin and fluoroquinolones as shown in Figure 9. Enterococcus species was fully susceptible to P, CIP, GEN (High level), VAN and Linezolid (LZ). These isolates were resistant to E. No P resistance was observed in S. pyogenes. It was also found to be susceptible to CD. S. pneumoniae was 100% sensitive to P, LE, VAN and LZ.

When we compared the resistance of aminoglycoside over fluoroquinolones in Gram positive and Gram negative isolates, we found that the aminoglycosides were more susceptible over fluoroquinolones as shown in Figures 10 and 11.
Discussion

CSOM is a major public health problem in poor and developing countries like India. According to a report by WHO, India belongs to the highest (>4%) CSOM-prevalent countries.\textsuperscript{[10]} Hence, early diagnosis, knowledge of regional etiological agents and an effective antibiotic policy can curtail the development of CSOM in fatal cases.

In the present study, safe type CSOM contributed to majority 92 (60.1%) of cases followed by unsafe type 61 (39.9%). The findings are consistent with the study done by Bhan C, et al.\textsuperscript{[9]} Males were predominantly (52.3%) affected as compared to females (47.7%), this was in agreement with various studies.\textsuperscript{[7, 11]} However, Shrestha BL, et al.\textsuperscript{[11]} reported female predominance. As young adult males are more engaged in outdoor activities and travelling which expose them to recurrent upper respiratory tract infections and later to CSOM. Maximum number of patients were in the age group 21-30 years followed by 31-40 years (21.6%). Young children may develop CSOM due to unhygienic condition and over gathering in school premises. Similar findings were reported by Agarwal R, et al.\textsuperscript{[10]} and Rathi S, et al.\textsuperscript{[7]} In contrast, maximum number of patients in the age group of 0-8 years (72%) were observed by Chavan P, et al.\textsuperscript{[11]}

Majority of patients in the study had either poor or borderline hygiene status (38.6%). Overcrowding, poor hygiene, low socioeconomic status, inadequate housing, altered immunity, recurrent upper respiratory tract infections have been well documented as important risk factors for CSOM.\textsuperscript{[12]}

Hearing loss in CSOM is classified into mild (26-40 decibel(db)), moderate (41-55 db) and moderately severe (56-70 db).\textsuperscript{[11]} In the present study, moderately severe hearing loss was observed in 31.4% cases followed by moderate (35.3%) and Mild degree (32.7%) of hearing loss. In a study conducted by Bhan C, et al.\textsuperscript{[9]} hearing loss was observed in 87.7% patients. It is an established fact that hearing loss is not associated with any specific species of bacteria but with duration of disease, low socioeconomic status and its complications.\textsuperscript{[8]} In the present study, statistical association between severity of hearing loss and poor hygiene practices could not be done.

Out of 153 samples cultured, bacterial growth was obtained in 126 (82.4%) and 27 (17.6%) showed no growth. Culture positivity rate varying from 84% to 91.18% have been reported in different Indian studies.\textsuperscript{[14, 15]} The reason of culture negativity (17.6%) can be due prior antibiotic therapy or infections by anaerobes, Mycoplasma and Chlamydia. Culture negativity of 12.6% and 16.9% has been reported in other studies from India.\textsuperscript{[10]}

In positive cultures, 109 (86.5%) isolates were pathogenic and 14 (11.1%) were identified as commensals and the remaining 3 (2.4%) had growth of more than three types of organisms. Amongst these 14 cases, 8 (57.1%) were Coagulase negative Staphylococci (CoNS), 4 (28.6%) coryneform species and 2 (14.3%) were Micrococcus species. Similar findings were documented by Khatoon A, et al.\textsuperscript{[17]} while Harshika et al.\textsuperscript{[18]} reported a 3.8% growth of skin commensals (Micrococcus).

Out of 109 total isolates, mono-microbial growth was seen in 90.8% samples and 9.2% with polymicrobial growth. Gram negative bacteria (69.2%) exceeded Gram positive bacteria (30.8%). The findings were in well agreement with a study done by Rathi et al.\textsuperscript{[7]} However, Samanth TU, et al.\textsuperscript{[19]} observed predominance of Gram positive bacteria in their study.

The combination of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumoniae was more commonly isolated followed by Proteus mirabilis and Pseudomonas aeruginosa from samples having polymicrobial growth. Rangaiyah et al.\textsuperscript{[20]} observed the predominance of Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa combination among polymicrobial growth.

The most common isolate found in the present study was Pseudomonas aeruginosa (55.8%) followed by Staphylococcus aureus (27.5%), Klebsiella pneumoniae and Proteus mirabilis (4.2%) each, Acinetobacter baumannii (2.5%), Enterococcus faecalis (1.7%) and Escherichia coli, Citrobacter koseri, Morganella morganii, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus pneumoniae 1 (0.8%) each. These observations are in accordance with the studies conducted by some authors\textsuperscript{[10, 21, 22]} but in contrast with the other studies who have reported Staphylococcus aureus, Proteus mirabilis and Streptococcus pyogenes as the commonest etiological agents of CSOM.\textsuperscript{[11, 19, 23]} The probable
reason for high prevalence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* could be
due to the hot and sandy dry environment in this area which
accelerate more sweating condition. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* also
requires minimal nutrition for survival and have the ability to
produce self-defense products like pyocyanin, bacteriocin and
pyoverdine. *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Proteus*
species are common organisms causing CSOM in India whereas
in the western countries *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Hemophilus
influenzae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Pseudomonas species*, *Enterobacter ale* and
*Brumbamella catarrhali* have been implicated as the commonest
organisms.[24]

The variation in incidence of various causative organisms
can be explained by geographical distribution and patient
population.

This study also provides insights into the susceptibility
profile of bacteria isolated from ear infections. *Pseudomonas
aeruginosa* was found to be highly sensitive to ceftazidime,
colistin (100%), piperacillin tazobactam (95.5%) and
ceftazidime-tazobactam (92.9%); and good sensitivity for
cefepine (81.8%), amikacin (77.8%), gentamicin (74.2%) and
levofloxacin (76.9%). Aztreonam, ciprofloxacin and imipenem
were sensitive in 73.9%, 68%, 64.9% cases, respectively.
A study done by Khatoon et al.[13] reported maximum sensitivity
for colistin, piperacillin-tazobactam and ceftazidime, while
Soumya et al.[26] observed that the most effective antibiotics
for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were piperacillin and piperacillin
trazobactam.

In the present study, *Acinetobacter baumannii* was highly
sensitive (100%) to ceftazidime-tazobactam and colistin. It was
66.7% sensitive to gentamicin and had a low sensitivity for
amikacin. It was fully resistant to cefepime, cotrimoxazole
and levofloxacin. A study done by Sahu et al.[39] reported the
organism to be highly susceptible to aminoglycosides and
fluoroquinolones.

Among *Enterobacteriaceae*, the most effective antibiotics were
imipenem, piperacillin tazobactam, ceftazidime-tazobactam,
amikacin, gentamicin and levofloxacin (100%). However, *Citrobacter koseri* was resistant to imipenem. The observations are
comparable with the study of Harshika et al.[30]

Among Gram positive isolates, MRSA was found in 33.3%
cases and 66.7% were MSSA. The most effective antibiotics
were gentamicin, vancomycin and linezolid. Cotrimoxazole was
susceptible in only 59.1% cases. However, a very low sensitivity
was observed for penicillin, erythromycin and fluoroquinolones.
These results were comparable with the studies done by
Samanth TU, et al.[19] and Kaur P, et al[18]

*Enterococcus faecalis* was fully susceptible to ampicillin, ciprofloxacin,
gentamicin (high level), vancomycin and linezolid. Kashyap S, et al.[10,27] reported ciprofloxacin as the most effective antibiotic
and the isolates were 50% sensitive to gentamicin and fully
resistant to ampicillin. *Streptococcus pyogenes* was penicillin sensitive
and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* was found to be sensitive to penicillin,
levofloxacin, vancomycin and linezolid.

The most widely used topical antibiotics for CSOM are
fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides.[28]

A systemic review compared quinolones versus aminoglycosides
in topical treatment of CSOM and found very low certainty
of the evidence which indicates it is debatable if or not one
intervention is better or worse than the other.[29]

When we compared resistance of aminoglycoside over fluoroquinolones in Gram negative bacteria, we found
sensitivity pattern as gentamicin (78.7%), amikacin (77.5%),
ciprofloxacin (75.6%) and levofloxacin (65.6%). In Gram positive
bacteria, fluoroquinolones had low sensitivity as compared to
aminoglycosides. Based on best-practice recommendations, quinolones should be used in the treatment of otitis media.[30] When gentamicin ear drops are indicated, otoscopic examination
is essential, because aminoglycoside ear drops are contraindicated
in patients with perforated tympanic membrane. The treatment
duration should be as short as possible, often less than 7 days, and
the drug should be stopped immediately if ototoxic symptoms
develop. Patients should be assessed for adverse effects after the
first 5–7 days of use, and regularly thereafter, if the treatment
is prolonged.[28] Although ototoxicity is rare and not well
established, it poses a dilemma for the prescribing physician.
Therefore, other safer options should be looked for based on
local antibiogram.

The increased susceptibility in comparison to fluoroquinolones is an interesting finding noted in the study. Usually, empirical
treatment of CSOM is done with fluoroquinolone drops, however, we anticipate inappropriate and wide use of
empirical ear drops has led to this change in the microbial
dynamics. Aminoglycosides being a reserve drug for ear and
skull base infections, this finding is alarming and change of
practice from empirical therapy to culture guided therapy of
CSOM is warranted to address this difficult situation of
developing antimicrobial resistance in this reason. This study
will guide general family practitioners regarding appropriate
management of CSOM in the western region of India which
can help in avoiding the indiscriminate use of antibiotics.
However, in the present study, in vivo utility of aminoglycosides
was not studied.

The other limitation of this study is that the fungal culture and
anaerobic culture were not done.

**Conclusion**

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* followed by *Staphylococcus aureus* were
observed as the principle causes of CSOM in this study.
Knowledge of the spectrum of microorganisms causing ear
discharge is important for the treatment of patients which
decides whether to start antibacterial agents which helps to reduce treatment cost. Aminoglycoside had high susceptibility compared to fluoroquinolones in both Gram positive and Gram negative isolates due to over-the-counter and high use of fluoroquinolones. This study can help to formulate local antibiotic policy and will guide the clinician on appropriate management of CSOM infection in this area.
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