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Abstract
We present a numerical study of the application of the Shannon entropy technique to the planar restricted three-body problem in the vicinity of first-order interior mean-motion resonances with the perturber. We estimate the diffusion coefficient for a series of initial conditions and compare the results with calculations obtained from the time evolution of the variance in the semimajor axis and eccentricity plane. Adopting adequate normalization factors, both methods yield comparable results, although much shorter integration times are required for entropy calculations. A second advantage of the use of entropy is that it is possible to obtain reliable results even without the use of ensembles or analysis restricted to surfaces of section or representative planes. This allows for a much more numerically efficient tool that may be incorporated into a working N-body code and applied to numerous dynamical problems in planetary dynamics. Finally, we estimate instability times for a series of initial conditions in the 2/1 and 3/2 mean-motion resonances and compare them with times of escape obtained from directed N-body simulations. We find very good agreement in all cases, not only with respect to average values but also in their dispersion for nearby trajectories.
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1 Introduction

One of the most difficult questions to ask about the evolution of a planetary system is that of orbital stability. Simply stated, given a system of \(N\) bodies of masses \(m_i, i = 1, \ldots, N\) orbiting a central mass \(m_0 > m_i\) under the effects of their mutual gravitational interactions, what are the initial conditions that guarantee orbital stability for a certain time interval?
While it is possible to define Hill stability criteria in the case of the three-body problem, the so-called Lagrange stability has proved much more difficult to tackle (see, for instance, Hénon and Petit 1986; Gladman 1993; Simó and Stuchi 2000 and references therein).

The KAM theory (Broer 2004 for a general description of Kolmogorov paper and Celletti and Chierchia 2006 for the application of this theory in the context of the three-body resonance in the Solar System) and the Nekhoroshev formulation (Nekhoroshev 1977) have led to a number of important discoveries during the last century, including Chirikov’s resonance overlap criterion (Chirikov 1979) introduced in Celestial Mechanics by Wisdom (1980) and recently revisited by Ramos et al. (2015). It is customary to difference between the strong unstable chaotic dynamics, called Chirikov’s regime, that applies when a major overlap of resonances takes place and the so-called Nekhoroshev regime, when unstable chaotic motion is almost restricted to the very narrow chaotic layers surrounding the resonances.

Several outstanding works in planetary dynamics deal with these issues, for instance Levison et al. (1997), Tsiganis et al. (2005), Robutel and Gabilondo (2006) for the Jupiter’s Trojans asteroids; Laskar (1989, 1990, 1996, 2013)), Duncan & Queen (1993), Lecar et al. (2001), Batygin and Laughlin (2008) concerning the stability of the Solar System; Guzzo (2005) for revealing the role of the resonance web in the outer Solar System among hundred of relevant papers in the field.

An even more challenging question is how long an unstable planetary system will last. In other words, even if it is not possible to establish that a given initial condition is stable, may we estimate how long the system will remain close to its initial configuration? Calculations of instability or escape times \( \tau \) are possible assuming a certain chaotic diffusion process (normal in general) and estimating diffusion-like coefficient. Analytical methods (e.g., Lichtemberg and Liberman 1983), based on a Fokker–Planck description of the dynamics in the vicinity of separatrix of a given resonance, have been recently been employed successfully for the GJ876 planetary systems (Batygin et al. 2015). However, they require an analytical model for the dynamical evolution and are usually restricted to the behavior of a single resonance. However, while chaos is often associated with large instabilities, it is important to keep in mind that this is not necessarily true, the so-called ”stable chaos” was firstly observed in the Solar System by Milani and Nobili (1992). Thus, a local exponential divergence of nearby orbits (i.e., a positive Lyapunov characteristic number) does not necessarily imply chaotic diffusion.

More general studies inevitably require a numerical approach. For instance, early works in planetary dynamics about relations between the Lyapunov time and an ”orbital evolution time” are discussed in Lecar et al. (1992). However, in more recent studies the standard procedure is to analyze the evolution of a fast action-type variable, say \( I \), and to model the growth of its variance as function of time. Depending on the complexity of the system, the solution \( I(t) \) may be obtained either by a discrete mapping or from the integration of the equations of motion in N-body simulation. Since even strongly chaotic motion is far from being ergodic in phase space, different approaches have been considered, for instance in Cachucho et al. (2010), when studying three-body resonances the time average over a single trajectory was considered following Chirikov’s diffusion approach. On the other hand, an ensemble of initial conditions were considered in Martí et al. (2016), to compute space averages of action-like variables for the motion in the vicinity of the Laplace resonance in GJ876.

Although the description of the chaotic diffusion may be obtained by any of these procedures, the calculations become time-consuming and usually require very long-term integrations as, for instance, Froeschlé et al. (2005), Lega et al. (2008) show in the case of the slow diffusion along resonances in relatively simple dynamical systems or as it has
been recently discussed in Cincotta et al. (2018) when reviewing the diffusion process in multidimensional Hamiltonian systems and applications to planetary dynamics.

A series of recent papers (see Giordano and Cincotta 2018; Cincotta and Giordano 2018; Cincotta and Shevchenko 2019) have analyzed a new numerical method for studying diffusion in both weakly and strong chaotic systems, based on the time evolution of the Shannon entropy. Applied to both discrete mappings and continuous dynamical systems, it appears to constitute a valuable tool with which to obtain a general description of the chaotic dynamics. Moreover, it has been shown to yield values of the diffusion coefficients comparable to those obtained by other means and in shorter integration times.

In this work, we present an application of this technique to planetary systems, analyzing the case of the planar restricted three-body problem (R3BP) in the vicinity of the 2/1 and 3/2 interior mean-motion resonances. We discuss how Shannon entropy calculations may be adopted to systems of unbounded phase space with multiple timescales and analyze both resonant and non-resonant trajectories. Based on a series of N-body integrations with different perturbing masses and initial conditions, we deduce diffusion coefficients and compare the results with similar estimations from the time evolution of the variance of the actions. We analyze how this method may be employed without the use of ensembles and, finally, compare the escapes times with very long-term numerical simulations.

2 The 2/1 mean-motion resonance

Our dynamical system is comprised of a mass-less particle orbiting a central star of mass \( m_0 = 1 \) and perturbed by an exterior planet of mass \( m_1 \ll m_0 \). Let \( a \) denote the semimajor axis of the mass-less body, \( e \) its eccentricity, \( \lambda \) the mean longitude and \( \varpi \) the longitude of the pericenter. The same notation, with subscript one, is reserved for the perturber. Orbital elements are measured in a \( m_0 \)-centric reference frame, and all motion is restricted to the same orbital plane.

For the current set of numerical tests, we will assume the following parameters for the planet:

\[
m_1 = 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \quad ; \quad a_1 = 1 \quad ; \quad e_1 = 0.05.
\]

All initial angles are taken equal to zero. The adopted value for \( m_1 \) is slightly smaller than Saturn mass.

We will consider initial conditions in the vicinity of the 2/1 mean-motion resonance (MMR), characterized by mean motions \( n \) such that \( n/n_1 \simeq (p + q)/p \) with \( n_1 \) the mean motion of the perturber and \( p = q = 1 \). Integer \( q \) is usually referred to as the order of the resonance, while \( p \) is the degree of the commensurability.

Figure 1 shows two dynamical maps resulting from the numerical integration of a set of mass-less bodies defining a \( 300 \times 300 \) grid of initial conditions in the \((a, e)\) plane, where \( a \) is taken adimensional, i.e., \( a/a_1 \). The equations of motion are those corresponding to the classical Newtonian 3-body problem, and initial values of the angles were set to zero. Integration time was \( 10^3 \) years (i.e., orbits of the perturber). The color code (from dark blue to orange) of the left-hand plot shows the maximum spread in semimajor axis suffered by each initial condition during its orbital evolution. We refer to this value as \( \max(\Delta a) \). Similarly, the right-hand graph shows the changes experienced by the eccentricity, i.e., \( \max(\Delta e) \).

Both plots show evidence of a forest of high-order MMR on both sides of the 2/1 commensurability whose overlap at high eccentricities generate a broad chaotic region. Such a
stochastic region is particularly evident for semimajor axes larger than the nominal value for the 2/1 MMR. Within the main resonance, both maps show slightly different features. While they display a net of secondary resonances for \( e \approx 0.05 \), the libration region in the left-hand plot [i.e., \( \max(\Delta a) \)] shows a smooth decrease in amplitude tending toward zero at the pericentric branch. Conversely, the plot on the right shows additional structures related to secular resonances inside the libration domain. An additional moderate \( \max(\Delta e) \) central region is also noted for \( e \approx 0.3 \).

### 3 Individual runs

From these maps, we choose four initial conditions, indicated in Fig. 1 by white circles and numbered in the right-hand plot. The semimajor axes and eccentricities are:

\[
\begin{align*}
(C1): & \quad a = 0.6305 \quad ; \quad e = 0.4 \\
(C2): & \quad a = 0.6611 \quad ; \quad e = 0.4 \\
(C3): & \quad a = 0.6370 \quad ; \quad e = 0.27 \\
(C4): & \quad a = 0.6590 \quad ; \quad e = 0.356.
\end{align*}
\]

Initial conditions (C1) and (C3) lie within the libration region of the 2/1 MMR: the first close to the pericentric branch and the second in the vicinity of the secular resonance generated inside the resonant domain. The other two initial conditions were chosen outside the commensurability in a region dominated by long-term chaotic motion. While (C2) exhibits short-term chaoticity and is eventually ejected from the system, (C4) initially lies in a high-order MMR and displays a seemingly regular orbit during a few \( 10^3 \) years, and the chaotic nature of its motion is only noticeable for longer integrations (see below).
Fig. 2 Numerical integration of four mass-less particles with initial conditions given by (2). Resonant orbits are shown on the top frames, with (C1) in blue and (C3) in brown. Trajectories initially outside the 2/1 MMR are presented in the lower plots, with (C2) in green, and (C4) in light orange.

3.1 Orbital evolution

All four initial conditions were numerically integrated with a Bulirsch–Stoer code for $2 \times 10^4$ orbits of the perturber. Figure 2 shows the evolution of the semimajor axis (left-hand frames) and the eccentricity (right-hand plots). The two upper graphs show results for (C1) in blue and for (C3) in brown, both initial conditions within the 2/1 resonance domain. In any case, the orbital elements display seemingly quasi-periodic motion, which is confirmed by the calculation of MEGNO (Cincotta and Simó 2000; Cincotta et al. 2003; Cincotta and Giordano 2016), as presented in Fig. 3. Notice that the value of MEGNO for (C3) appears to converge to a value close to 2, indicative of quasi-periodic regular motion, while that of (C1) remains below this limit throughout the integration time span, indicative of a motion in a lower-dimensional torus.

Returning to Fig. 2, the evolution of the semimajor axis is dominated by short-period terms with very low amplitude in the case of (C1) and a much larger periodic variation in the case
of (C3). The changes in the eccentricities, however, are primarily defined by the resonant and secular perturbing terms and have much larger periods, of the order of $10^3$ years. The large-amplitude oscillation perceived for (C1) is mainly driven by the forced eccentricity term and should decrease to zero as $e_1 \to 0$.

The two lower plots in Fig. 1 correspond to those initial conditions outside the 2/1 MMR domain; (C2) is drawn in green, while (C4) in light orange. Both are extremely chaotic (indicated in Fig. 3 by large MEGNO values), and the chaotic diffusion is exhibited primarily in the semimajor axis and not in the eccentricity. While the eccentricities show irregular variations, these appear bounded and with a limited long-term trend. The semimajor axes, on the other hand, experience erratic alternations between different high-order resonances that lead to a chaotic diffusion in the action space.

Although (C2) and (C4) are both highly irregular, their orbital evolution show significant differences, especially for short time spans. Orbit (C2) lies in a global chaotic sea and is rapidly ejected from the system; its MEGNO indicator grows monotonically with time almost from the beginning of the simulation. Initial condition (C4), on the other hand, was placed in what appeared to be a regular island inside a high-order MMR. Its short-term evolution is thus regular and with a very low value of MEGNO. However, after a few $10^3$ years it is removed from the commensurability, enters in the chaotic domain and begins to diffuse in the semimajor axis space. The value of MEGNO grows quickly, although the particle is never ejected from the system, at least during the integrated time span.

### 3.2 Shannon entropy

The application of Shannon entropy as an indicator of chaos and diffusion in phase space of dynamical systems stems from several years back (see Giordano and Cincotta 2018; Cincotta and Giordano 2018 and references therein). This tool was proposed to provide a measure of the extent of the instability region in action space as well as a good estimate of the diffusion rate. Moreover, in Cincotta and Giordano (2018) and Cincotta and Shevchenko (2019) it was shown the efficiency of the Shannon entropy to detect correlations among the state variables,
even when they are extremely weak. However, all these applications are restricted to relatively simple symplectic maps or to the Arnold Hamiltonian (Arnold 1964; Chirikov 1979).

The numerical calculation of Shannon entropy, action variances and diffusion rates (e.g., Martí et al. 2016; Cincotta et al. 2018; Giordano and Cincotta 2018) usually employs two different techniques to reduce the numerical noise and increase the precision. In this direction, each initial condition is represented by an ensemble of particles with a very small initial dispersion in orbital elements. Additionally, the relevant quantities are evaluated in a given representative plane, i.e., when the angles acquire values very close to those corresponding to \( t = 0 \). In particular, the computation of the entropy requires a partition of the action space; in the present application, it implies a grid of bi-dimensional cells defined on a given region of the \((a, e)\) plane that covers this domain of the action space (see below).

Although these practices are relatively simple to adopt in the case of mappings or simple continuous dynamical systems, they prove much more difficult in more complex systems such as the one discussed here. A secular timescale of the order of \( 2\pi/g \approx 10^3 \) years implies that the integration time of each initial condition must extend to values close to the age of the Solar system if we desire a total number of orbital points significantly larger than the number of cells in each partition. This makes any large-scale analysis of the system prohibitively expensive in terms of CPU usage. Moreover, if the orbit is extremely chaotic, intersections with the representative plane may be very difficult to obtain, as was shown by Martí et al. (2016) in the case of Gliese-876 or by Maffione et al. (2018) for halo stars in a neighborhood of the Sun.

In principle, however, it is not necessary to restrict the points of the trajectory to any reference plane as, for instance, the one defined by Fig. 1, where all the angles take the very same value. For example, let us assume a generic 2-DOF near-integrable dynamical system, written in terms of action-angles variables \((J_1, J_2, \theta_1, \theta_2)\) of the unperturbed Hamiltonian. A regular trajectory will be characterized by a single point or a small curve in \((J_1, J_2)\) when restricting the motion to the representative plane defined by \((\theta_1, \theta_2) = (\theta_{10}, \theta_{20})\), and thus easily identifiable as a strongly localized distribution in any partition of the action plane that contains at least more than one element. Chaotic motion, on the other hand, will appear in general as a two-dimensional region of the action space. If the chaos is not local (i.e., bounded) but global, then the area of this region of the action space may increase with time according to the associated diffusion rate.

If we now analyze the projection of the full phase space trajectory onto the action space, not restricted to any representative plane, all quasi-periodic orbits will define a bounded two-dimensional surface and thus the area of the action space covered by regular motion would be always confined to a small subspace. Chaotic orbits would also cover some surface of phase space but of increasing area. That is, chaotic diffusion will induce a change in the area covered by the orbit and for timescales larger than that corresponding to the lowest frequency of the system, the diffusion could be detected.

Therefore, in the following discussion we will generalize the formulation given in Cincotta and Shevchenko (2019), Giordano and Cincotta (2018) for the Shannon entropy as a tool to characterize the nature of the motion without restricting the motion to any reference plane; however, in Sect. 3.5 a more detailed analysis is provided.

The restricted 3-body problem has 3 distinct degrees of freedom, each with its own particular timescale. The highest frequency is associated with the synodic angle \( Q \) and has a period of the order of the orbital periods (\( \approx 1 \) year in our simulations). On the opposite end, the lowest frequency is defined by the secular evolution of the longitude of pericenter \( \varpi \) and, in our studies, is of the order of several \( 10^3 \) years. The remaining degree of freedom is dictated by the critical angle of whichever MMR dominates the resonant dynamics, if any.
Its frequency depends on the commensurability, mean eccentricity and the proximity of the initial condition to the center of the libration domain.

Since we expect chaotic diffusion to be particularly noticeable in the actions, we determined the entropy in the semimajor axis and eccentricity \((a/a_1, e)\) plane. This is not the only option; we performed additional tests using canonical variables (e.g., modified Delaunay actions). No significant differences were found, indicating that the particular choice of action-like variables should be fairly robust. For simplicity, hereafter we will refer to \((a/a_1, e)\) as the action plane even though both orbital elements are not truly action variables of the system.

Our first step is to define boundaries for the trajectories in the \((a/a_1, e)\) plane. Although angles are naturally bounded in the range \([0, 2\pi)\), the actions prove a greater challenge. We finally opted, after different trials, for defining the limits as \([a_{\text{min}}, a_{\text{max}}] \times [e_{\text{min}}, e_{\text{max}}]\) with

\[
(a_{\text{min}} = a_0 - \delta_a) ; \quad (a_{\text{max}} = a_0 + \delta_a) \\
(e_{\text{min}} = e_0 - \delta_e) ; \quad (e_{\text{max}} = e_0 + \delta_e),
\]

(3)

where \(a_0\) and \(e_0\) are the initial values of the semimajor axis and eccentricity, while \(\delta_a\) and \(\delta_e\) are the sizes of the box in orbital elements where the partition will be introduced. We choose \(\delta_a = 0.1a_1\) and \(\delta_e = 0.3\) since these were typical amplitudes found in initial runs for stable and bounded chaotic trajectories; however, the results were not very sensitive to changes in the limits. We used periodic boundary conditions when one or both of the actions exceeded the limits of the box. This allowed us to follow the evolution of the initial condition regardless the size of its excursion in semimajor axis and/or eccentricity, while at the same time preserving an adequate resolution in the case of very regular small-amplitude oscillations.

The plane of the actions was divided into a rectangular grid of \(r = r_a \times r_e\) cells. In most of our runs, we adopted \(r_a = r_e = 400\), leading to a total of \(1.6 \times 10^5\) cells per plane. Although we also did several tests with larger and smaller values, no large-scale differences were found in the results. In the next section, we will discuss the sensitivity of the entropy and diffusion coefficient with \(r\).

At any given time \(t\) of the integration, let us denote by \(N = t/h\) the number of orbital points of a given trajectory \(\gamma\), with \(h\) the output step. In our case, we chose \(h = 10^{-2}\) years, corresponding to one-hundredth of the orbital period of the perturber. We denote with \(n_k\) the number of times \(\gamma\) fell in the \(k\)-th cell of the partition. We can then calculate the Shannon entropy as (see, for example, Cincotta and Shevchenko 2019 for details):

\[
S(\gamma, N) = \ln N - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{r} n_k \ln (n_k),
\]

(4)

which in fact depends on the partition. It is simple to show that \(S\) presents to extreme values; its minimum, \(S = 0\), when \(n_k = \delta_{ik}\), that is, all the action values lie in a single cell, the \(i\)-th cell. On the other hand, when \(n_k = N/r\) (ergodic motion), the entropy takes its maximum, \(S = \ln r\).

In the case of a nearly ergodic orbit \(\gamma_e\) that covers \(r_0 \leq r\) cells, the distribution of orbital points in the grid would present small deviations from the mean value \(N/r_0\) and it is possible to relate the entropy with occupied cells \(r_0\), by

\[
S(\gamma_e, N) \simeq \ln (r_0) - \frac{r_0}{2N} R,
\]

(5)

where \(R\) is a constant parameter that depends on the dynamics that defines the distribution of points. If the latter is Poissonian (completely random motion), \(R = 1\). As the number of
points becomes much larger than the number of occupied cells, for any chaotic trajectory $\gamma$, we may take $S(\gamma, N) \approx \ln(r_0)$ as an approximate value for the entropy.

Figure 4 shows the normalized entropy, $S/\ln(r)$ (left-hand plot) and the natural logarithm of the number of occupied cells (right-hand frame). The color code employed for each initial condition is the same defined in previous figure, where now the total integration time was extended to $T = 10^5$ years. Each initial condition was represented by an ensemble of $N_{\text{part}} = 100$ ghost particles distributed randomly in semimajor axis and eccentricity around the nominal values $a_0$ and $e_0$ with a maximum range $\Delta a/a_0 = \Delta e = 10^{-7}$. The use of ensembles, as mentioned, smooths oscillations in the orbital evolution due to microscopic changes in the starting values, as well as increasing the number of orbital points per unit time. In fact, when working with ensembles, the value of $N$ in expressions (4) and (5) should be replaced by $\bar{N} = N_{\text{part}} \times N$.

Since part of the original ensembles corresponding to chaotic orbits were ejected from the system during the run, we re-introduced each eliminated body back into the simulation with a new initial condition chosen within the spatial limits of the ensemble as a reflection. Although this technique eliminates the possibility of following the orbital evolution of individual particles, we found that it does not introduce any significant noise in the estimations of the entropy while allowing for integrations well beyond the typical escape time of any region of the phase space.

The behavior of both $S$ and $\ln(r_0)$ shows similar general trends. After $t \sim 10^4$ yrs, regular orbits associated with initial conditions (C1) and (C3) level off at maximum values much smaller than $\ln(r)$ indicating that both the number of occupied cells and the distribution of $n_k$ within them stabilized, the orbital points are confined to a small region of the action space. The final values of $\ln(r_0)$ are proportional to the libration amplitude of each trajectory within the 2/1 MMR: a lower number corresponding to (C1) initially near the pericentric branch and a higher value in the case of the initial condition (C3) close to the separatrix. In other words, the plateau observed for both (C1) and (C3) are due to a motion in a distorted torus and indicative of regular motion. In contrast, $r_0$ for both chaotic orbits (C2) and (C4) continues growing until saturating the partition near the end of the run. Since the distribution of the
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Left: time evolution of the Shannon entropy calculated from ensembles of $N_{\text{part}} = 100$ ghost particles around each initial condition (C1)–(C4). Right: natural logarithm of the number of occupied cells. Total integration time was extended to $10^5$ years. All values are given in units of $\ln(r)$.
orbital points is not uniform, the entropy $S$ continues to increase even after the available cells are completed.

These plots show three distinct timescales: first related to the synodic period ($\simeq 10^0 - 10^1$ years), a second defined by the secular dynamics and with period of the order of $\tau_g \sim 10^3$ years, plus a third timescale associated with chaotic diffusion and unrelated to periodic motion. Regardless of the initial condition, for $t \ll \tau_g$ the amplitude of both $a$ and $e$ is dictated by short-period perturbations and the trajectory remains relatively confined to a small number of cells. However, as $t \to \tau_g$, the large amplitude increase in the eccentricity from secular perturbations becomes significant, and the entropy grows accordingly reaching more or less constant slopes for $t > \tau_g$. This behavior indicates that the minimum time span of the numerical integration must cover at least a few secular periods of the system.

3.3 Estimation of the diffusion rates from the variance

Numerical estimations of the rates of chaotic diffusion in the action plane may be obtained by studying the time evolution of the variance:

$$\text{Var}(I) = \frac{1}{\bar{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\bar{N}} I_k^2 - \mu^2, \quad (6)$$

where $I_k \equiv I(t_k) = [(a(t_k)/a_1)^2 + e(t_k)^2]^{1/2}$ is the Euclidean metric covered by the trajectory in the action plane, $t_k$ is its value at time $t = t_k$, $\bar{N} = N_{\text{part}} \times N$, and $\mu$ is the average value at the same instant and, i.e.,

$$\mu = \frac{1}{\bar{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\bar{N}} I_k. \quad (7)$$

Thus, defined the variance includes both time and space average. Alternatively, it is customary to compute the ensemble variance averaging over $N_{\text{part}}$ instead of $\bar{N}$. Assuming nearly normal diffusion, we can then estimate the diffusion coefficient $D_{\text{Var}}$ as the mean time derivative of the variance. Indeed, as it was discussed, for instance, in Cincotta et al. (2018), in near-integrable Hamiltonians the variance of any fast action-like variable for comparatively short motion times does not scale linearly with time, and thus, the derivation of a diffusion coefficient in the standard way by means of a linear fit in general does not work.

Figure 5 presents the results for the same numerical simulations described before. The color code follows the same pattern as in previous figures. The left-hand frame shows the time evolution of $\text{Var}(I)$ for each initial condition. While the ensembles associated with regular orbits yield variances that rapidly stabilize around values close to zero, those corresponding to the highly chaotic ensembles exhibit monotonic growths. The slopes, however, do not approach constant values for large integration times, but seem to exhibit different speeds of diffusion at different time intervals. This behavior could be related to temporary capture (stickiness) in high-order commensurabilities and thus representative of a diffusion that occurs in a phase space that is not free of remnant structures. Even so, during the last few $10^4$ years the curves of both (C2) and (C4) shows similar trends.

The middle plot shows the estimation of the diffusion coefficient $\langle D_{\text{Var}} \rangle$ where the derivative of the variance was averaged over time. While the values for the regular orbits tend to zero, those calculated for (C2) and (C4) appear to approach asymptotic values of the order of $10^{-5}$–$10^{-4}$, in units of 1/year. In theory, the inverse of the diffusion coefficient should be indicative of the time required by a certain initial condition to change its actions by order of
Fig. 5 | Left: variance of $I = [(a/a_1)^2 + e^2]^{1/2}$, calculated for ensembles around all four nominal initial conditions, as a function of time up to $T = 10^5$ years. The same color code is used as in the previous figure. Center: normal diffusion coefficient $D_{\text{var}}$, estimated from the mean time derivative of the variance. Right: histograms representing the escape times of members of the ensembles associated with (C2) and (C4).

unity, in other words the typical escape time from the system. The histograms displayed in the right-hand graph shows the distribution of the escape times of members of the chaotic ensembles obtained by a direct N-body integration. Although both distributions are not equivalent, most of the ejection times of particles from the system appear to take place between $10^4$ and $10^5$ years, thus confirming, at least in the order-of-magnitude range, the values deduced from the estimation of the diffusion coefficient.

3.4 Diffusion rates from the entropy

As discussed by Giordano and Cincotta (2018) and Cincotta and Shevchenko (2019), the time evolution of the Shannon entropy $S$ may be used to estimate the rate of chaotic diffusion along a given plane, for example, the one defined by the orbital elements $(a, e)$. Given a number of orbital points $\bar{N}$ such that $r_0/\bar{N} \ll 1$, from (5), we can then approximately relate the rate of change in $S$ with $r_0$ through

$$\frac{dS}{dt} \simeq \frac{1}{r_0} \frac{dr_0}{dt}.$$  

(8)

This expression was derived in the above-mentioned works assuming a nearly uniform distribution of orbital points within the occupied cells of the partition. This condition is expected to be a good approximation of the dynamics in the case of highly chaotic trajectories and provided the integration time is sufficiently long compared with the timescales of the perturbations.

We next assume a direct relation between the variance $\text{Var}(I)$ and the number of occupied cells, such that

$$\frac{r_0}{r} \simeq \frac{\text{Var}(I)}{(I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}})^2},$$  

(9)

where $(I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}})$ is the total interval of the action $I$ observed for a given ensemble during its dynamical evolution. Equation (9) simply states that the changes in the orbital elements are proportional to the variation in the number of occupied cells, where both quantities are proper normalized. The reader is referred to Giordano and Cincotta (2018) and Cincotta and Shevchenko (2019) for a more detailed discussion.
Differentiating (9) with respect to time, we may write
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \text{Var}(I) = \frac{(I_{\max} - I_{\min})^2}{r} \frac{dr_0}{dt} \simeq \frac{(I_{\max} - I_{\min})^2}{r} r_0 \frac{dS}{dt},
\]
where the last equality is obtained through approximation (8). In fact, after a series of test runs, we have found that the time variation of the entropy is actually a better indicator of diffusion than the derivative of \(r_0\). A probable explanation may lie in the fact that an increase in the variance \(\text{Var}(I)\) is not only tied to the number of occupied cells but also to the change in their population. The entropy \(S\) keeps track of both these dynamical signatures, while \(r_0\) only detects the former.

Assuming nearly normal diffusion in action space, we can relate the change of the variance with time as \(\delta \text{Var}(I) \simeq D \delta t\), from which we can estimate the diffusion coefficient as:
\[
D \simeq \Lambda r_0 \frac{dS}{dt},
\]
where the scale ratio \(\Lambda\) between action plane and partition is given by
\[
\Lambda = \frac{(I_{\max} - I_{\min})^2}{r}.
\]

Note that \(\Lambda\) is not a constant parameter, but a function of the dynamical evolution of the system. Its magnitude is defined by the excursions of the given trajectory in the action space during the numerical simulation as well as by the size of the partition.

Figure 6 shows the estimations of \(D_S\) as a function of time for all four initial conditions (C1) through (C4). The overall behavior displays a very good agreement with \(D_{\text{var}}\) presented in Fig. 5, both for regular and for chaotic orbits. While the values of \(D_S\) for the chaotic initial conditions (C2) and (C4) remain more or less constant, those corresponding to \(D_S\) for (C1) and (C3) rapidly fall to values close to zero, even for short integration times. Comparing these results with those of \(D_{\text{var}}\), they seem to indicate that diffusion estimates from Shannon entropy are possible even from short-term integrations, a result that was already found in Giordano and Cincotta (2018) for toy dynamical models.
The most noticeable difference between $D_S$ and $D_{\text{var}}$ may be the long-term trend observed for the chaotic trajectories. While the diffusion coefficient estimated from the variance seems to exhibit a secular growth in magnitude, the opposite occurs for $D_S$. Follow-up integrations extended to $10^6$ years indicate that none of these indicators reach constant or asymptotic values, but always display long-term fluctuations as a function of time. The amplitude of these fluctuations is more or less same for both indicators, limited between values of $10^{-5}$ and $10^{-4}$.

### 3.5 On the representative planes and ensembles

As we have already discussed, it seems not necessary to restrict the motion to any representative plane to compute the entropy as it was done in Giordano and Cincotta (2018). Indeed, the time evolution of $S$ given in Fig. 4 allows us to understand the global behavior of the entropy in this case. The growth of $S$ up to the secular timescale is primarily fueled by the long-period orbital variations, while the systematic increase in the entropy observed for longer time spans is caused by chaotic diffusion. Thus, for sufficiently long integration times it should be possible to estimate chaotic diffusion in the action plane without any reduction to a representative plane.

The other technical aspect we will analyze is the use of ensembles, where the dynamical evolution of a given initial condition is studied following the trajectory of $N_{\text{part}}$ ghost particles originally distributed in a very small region around the nominal values. The adopted $N_{\text{part}}$ depends on the system, but usually takes values between $10^2$–$10^3$ (e.g., Cincotta et al. 2014; Martí et al. 2016; Cincotta et al. 2018). The main problem with ensembles is, once again, CPU time. The same computer time required to integrate 100 ghost particles could be employed to extend the simulation of a single initial condition by two orders of magnitude or, similarly, map a significant region of the action space. We therefore wish to study how the calculation of the entropy depends on $N_{\text{part}}$ and whether working without ensembles leads to similar results.

Figure 7 compares estimations of the diffusion coefficient for (C1)–(C4) adopting different number of particles $N_{\text{part}}$ around each initial condition. Continuous curves reproduce our previous results with 100 ghost particles, while dashed lines present results for $N_{\text{part}} = 10$. Finally, dotted lines show values obtained without the use of ensembles.

The left-hand frame shows that the variance $\text{Var}(I)$ appears to be extremely sensitive to the number of ghost particles and no credible estimations are possible without ensembles. This behavior is probably an indication that the phase space does not satisfy the ergodicity condition: time averages are not equal to ensemble averages. In particular for $N_{\text{part}} = 1$, only the time average is involved in the variance. Consequently, estimations of the diffusion rate from the variance always seem to require large ensembles.

A different story is observed in the right-hand plot, where the diffusion rate was estimated from the Shannon entropy. While some dispersion is noted, particularly for single particles in (C3) and (C4), the overall trends are preserved and the qualitative nature of the dynamics appears to be correctly for all values of $N_{\text{part}}$. The case of (C4) is particularly interesting. The rapid decrease in $D_S$ observed in the early stages of evolution for $N_{\text{part}} = 1$ is related to the time spent by the particle inside the original high-order MMR. The dynamics therefore appears regular, and no significant diffusion seems to take place. As the secular evolution drives the body beyond the limits of the commensurability into the chaotic domain, the diffusion increases. The time evolution of $D_S$ after this point follows closely the behavior found using ensembles.
The results for (C4) raise the question whether the sensitivity observed in $D_S$ with respect to $N_{\text{part}}$ is due to an increasing inaccuracy of the diffusion coefficient with smaller ensembles or a reflection of local dynamical behaviors that are blurred when following the evolution of finite (even very small) regions of the phase space. We will address this issue in forthcoming sections by comparing the escape times predicted by $D_S$ with long-term numerical simulations.

In any case, since the computed values of the entropy for a given trajectory only depend on the calculation of the numerical measure of the elements of the partition, $S$ as well as its time derivative should be almost insensitive to the use of ensembles provided that $N = t/h \gg r$.

4 Initial conditions in a line segment

Let us now consider a set of 400 initial conditions with semimajor axes $a/a_1 \in [0.59, 0.67]$ and eccentricity $e = 0.4$. All other orbital elements are same as discussed previously. We numerically integrated this set for a total time span of $T = 10^5$ years and calculated both the diffusion coefficient $D_S$ as determined from the entropy, as well as the value of the MEGNO chaos indicator. Results are shown in Fig. 8.

The left-hand frame shows the value of the MEGNO chaos indicator at the end of the integration time. Values larger than 100 were set at that limit. Recall that regular trajectories are associated with a MEGNO value about 2, indicated in the graph with a horizontal dashed line. While initial conditions inside the 2/1 MMR libration domain, located between $a/a_1 \simeq 0.62$ and 0.64, appear regular, trajectories on either sides of the commensurability are dominated by chaotic motion. As observed in Fig. 1, the exterior region ($a/a_1 > 0.64$) is characterized by the overlap of many high-order resonances. All initial conditions with $a/a_1 \gtrsim 0.65$ seem to form a single region of strong chaotic motion, with MEGNO reaching the maximum allowed value after only a few $10^2 - 10^3$ years. A different behavior is noted closer to the main separatrix, as well as for initial conditions with $a/a_1 \lesssim 0.62$. This region does not appear to be dominated by a single connected chaotic sea, but by a series of smaller chaotic regions separated by more regular trajectories.
These differences in MEGNO among nearby trajectories define whether the chaos is local or global, and therefore affect the orbital instability. The right plot of Fig. 8 effectively shows that the region beyond $a/a_1 \gtrsim 0.65$ is associated with large and similar values of $D_S$, while much smaller values of the diffusion coefficient are obtained for the rest of the line segment. This indicates that $D_S$ does not necessarily correlate with the local value of the chaos indicator (e.g., MEGNO) even if the diffusion coefficient is calculated without the use of ensembles. It thus promises a more reliable indicator of global instability and not only of irregular motion.

Figure 9 now compares the predictions of the escapes times $\tau_{esc} \simeq 1/D_S$ (left), for the same 400 initial conditions with numerical integrations of the exact equations of motion (right) for a significant fraction of the initial conditions (those for which the black dots are depicted in the figure). In the N-body simulations, the escape time was defined as the moment in which the trajectory satisfied any one of the following conditions: (i) eccentricity equal or larger than unity ($e \geq 1$), (ii) semimajor axis $a$ larger than twice that of the perturber, (iii) semimajor axis smaller than 0.1$a_1$, (iv) minimum approach to the planet closer than one-tenth of its Hill radius, or (v) a physical collision with either $m_0$ or $m_1$.

Total integration time for each initial condition was set to $T = 10^9$ years (orbital periods of the primary). For comparison, if the system were to represent a perturber placed in the orbit of Jupiter, the time span covered by the simulation would be larger than the age of the Solar system. Trajectories that remained bounded at the end of the integration are identified with black circles set at $t = 10^9$ years. The orange horizontal dashed line in both plots aids helps to visualize which initial conditions have instability times detectable with the simulations.

Insofar integrations have proceeded, we find a very good overall agreement between $\tau_{esc}$ and the N-body instability timescales, not only qualitatively but also quantitatively. The dispersion observed between close-by initial conditions is also very similar in both cases, lending credibility to our proposition that the differences in the values $D_S$ calculated with and without ensembles could be (at least partially) due to small-scale structures in the phase space.

In the outer circulation domain of the 2/1 MMR ($a \gtrsim 0.65$), the diffusion coefficients estimated with Shannon entropy yield instability timescales of the same order as those found
Fig. 9  Left: estimation of the escape time $\tau_{\text{esc}} \simeq 1/D_S$ as deduced from the values of the unaveraged diffusion coefficients shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 8. Right: actual escape times, for the same initial conditions, obtained from an N-body simulation of the exact equations of motion. Total integration time was set at $T = 10^9$ years (dashed horizontal orange line).

from N-body simulations, even though these correspond to three orders of magnitudes the integrations times employed for the calculations of $D_S$ (i.e., $10^5$ years). Conversely, in the inner circulation domain ($a \lesssim 0.62$), the use of resurrected initial conditions has allowed us to correctly identify very short instability times, even extending the calculation of the entropy to the full $10^5$ years. Again, the N-body simulations show a very good agreement with the model.

5 Application to other configurations

So far we have concentrated solely on the behavior of initial conditions in the vicinity of the 2/1 mean-motion resonance, considering a perturber with mass similar to that of Saturn. In this section, we will explore larger perturbers and other configurations.

5.1 The 2/1 MMR with a Jupiter-mass perturber ($m_1 = m_{\text{Jup}}$)

We begin increasing the perturbing body to a Jupiter mass ($m_1 = m_{\text{Jup}}$) but preserving its orbit (i.e., $a = 1 = 1$ AU and $e_1 = 0.05$). Since the extension of the 2/1 MMR is broader, we will consider initial conditions for the particles in the range $a \in [0.59, 0.67]$ AU and eccentricities $e = 0.3$. The top left-hand frame of Fig. 10 shows, in broad red lines, the inner separatrix and outer separatrix of the 2/1 MMR. We will analyze the dynamical evolution of 400 particles with initial conditions $a \in [0.59, 0.67]$ AU, as shown with the horizontal dashed black line.

The MEGNO chaos indicator over the total time span is presented in the top right-hand graph. The broad light red vertical lines mark the location of the outer (left) and inner (right) branches of the separatrix. Comparing these results with those obtained for less massive perturbers (see Fig. 8), we again obtain an extended chaotic sea beyond the inner separatrix, but also a broader stochastic region inside branch of the separatrix itself. Conversely, the non-resonant domain inside of the outer separatrix now appears, in general, less chaotic and more
Fig. 10 Top left: broad red curves show the outer separatrix and inner separatrix of the 2/1 MMR in the semimajor axis and eccentricity plane, considering all angles equal to zero. The horizontal dashed black line marks the location of the initial conditions analyzed in the other plots. Top left: MEGNO chaos indicator (cutoff value equal to 100) for a set of 400 particles with initial conditions with $e = 0.3$. Total integration time was $T = 10^5$ years. Bottom left: as with the left-hand plot in Fig. 9, light blue circles show the estimated escape times $1/D_S$ calculated from the Shannon entropy. Bottom right: actual instability times $\tau_{esc}$ obtained from N-body simulations with integration time $T = 10^9$ years.

The distribution of the inverse of the diffusion coefficients (i.e., $1/D_S$), shown in the lower left-hand frame, tells a similar story. Shannon entropy predicts very fast instability times, of the order of $\sim 10^3$–$10^4$ years, for initial conditions with $a \gtrsim 0.66$, and much longer ejection times on the other side of the main resonance. Inside the libration domain, most of the trajectories appear long-lived except for those in the vicinity of both branches of the separatrix.

The N-body simulations for some of the 400 initial conditions (bottom right-hand plot) appear very close to the predictions of our model.
Fig. 11  Same as previous figures, but for initial conditions around the 3/2 MMR and adopting a perturber with mass $m_1 = 2.5 	imes 10^{-4} m_0$

5.2 The 3/2 MMR with a Saturn-mass perturber

For the final application of Shannon entropy, we return to the smaller perturbing mass ($m_1 = 2.5 	imes 10^{-4} m_0$) but shift the set of particles closer to the perturber up to the vicinity of the 3/2 MMR. We thus again analyzed the dynamical evolution of 400 initial conditions, this time with eccentricities $e = 0.2$ and semimajor axis in the interval $a \in [0.7, 0.83]$. The top left-hand plot of Fig. 11 depicts this set as a black horizontal dashed line in the $(a, e)$ plane, while both branches of the separatrix of the 3/2 MMR are shown in dark red. These curves were calculated using a semi-analytical model (e.g., Ramos et al. 2015), and results were truncated before reaching the collision curve.

The outer edge of the interval of semimajor axis for the particles was chosen to reach the 4/3 mean-motion resonance, whose separatrix is also included in the plot (light brown curve). It will prove interesting how this near-overlap will affect the instability of the trajectories in their neighborhood. The distribution of MEGNO, shown in the top right-hand frame, indicates that the this region is completely immersed in a chaotic sea, with the exception of
initial condition beyond $a \gtrsim 0.82$ that seems located in a regular island deep within the 4/3 MMR. The same is observed inside the libration domain of the 3/2 resonance, while, again, below $a \lesssim 0.73$ trajectories exhibit a complex alternation between chaotic and more regular motion.

The inverse of the diffusion coefficients, shown in the lower left-hand panel, translates chaoticity to estimations of the instability times. The region associated with the partial overlap between the 3/2 and 4/3 MMRs shows very fast escapes times, of the order of $10^4$ years, while much more stable trajectories are obtained inside both libration lobes. Finally, the non-resonant region inside of the 3/2 resonance shows intermediate values, with large instabilities close to the outer separatrix, while leading to longer escape times farther from the commensurability.

The N-body simulations for some initial conditions are shown in the lower right-hand plot, and again the estimations of $\tau_{esc}$ given by $1/D_S$ appear consistent with the predictions.

6 Conclusions

We have presented a series of applications of Shannon entropy as a numerical tool with which to estimate the diffusion coefficient and instability times of chaotic trajectories in the restricted three-body problem. We analyzed both resonant and non-resonant initial conditions in the vicinities of the 2/1 and 3/2 interior mean-motion resonances and compared the results with direct N-body simulations.

In general, we have found very good agreements between our estimations and direct numerical results, even in cases where the actual instability times are several orders of magnitude longer than the integrations necessary for the entropy-based diffusion coefficients.

More interesting is the fact that the computational effort to calculate the Shannon entropy is much smaller than the one required for estimating the diffusion rate by means of the variance of the action-like variables, since we have shown that ensembles of initial conditions are not necessary required.

The results here obtained together with those presented in Giordano and Cincotta (2018) suggest that the entropy would become an effective alternative to estimate a diffusion coefficient. In fact, a combination of different tools would provide a natural way to investigate the dynamics of dynamical systems. Indeed, the phase space structure could be displayed by some fast chaos indicator as the MEGNO or similar that tells us about the location of resonances and their associated stable and unstable manifolds, chaotic regions and small stability domains embedded in the chaotic sea. But since chaos indicators are in principle unable to distinguish between stable or unstable chaos, the entropy could be computed in the chaotic domains in order to get an estimate of the timescale of the unstable motion.
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