Abstract — This study was carried out to ascertain the effect of Interactional Justice on Organizational Citizenship Behaviour of Academic Staff in Universities in Bayelsa State. Cross Sectional Research design was adopted. A sample size of 294 was obtained from a population of 1,268 workers, using Krejcie and Morgan (1970) Sample size determination table. With the aid of Statistical Package for Social Sciences, the Spearman Rank Order Correlational Coefficient was used to analyse the data. The results obtained showed a significant positive relationship between Interactional Justice and all measures of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (Altruism, Courtesy, Conscientiousness, Civic Virtue, and Sportsmanship), indicating that workers are very helpful and assist colleagues with problems. It was recommended that management should always be polite and respectful to employees and involve them in decision making because employees perception of equity breeds extra role behaviour.

Index Terms — Interactional Justice, Organizational Citizenship Behaviour, Organizational Justice.

I. INTRODUCTION

Organizational Citizenship Behaviour is a topical issue in management discourse. Firms seek employees who are totally committed to their vision to enable the attainment of organizational goals and objectives. This can be achieved when employees have Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB). OCB is a set of discretionary workplace behaviour that goes beyond the requirements of job duties and specification [24]. The importance of OCB has made management practitioners implement the contributions made by researchers on those job and organizational characteristics that improves this behaviour in workers: such as intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction [31]; conducive working conditions [36]; leader-member exchange, organizational inflexibility, perceived organizational support and interactional Justice, [5]; organizational Justice [23]; Psychological Capital [53]. These contributions notwithstanding, issues still abound on lack of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour in workers.

Ngugi [36] reports low morale and low performance among bankers in his study of Kenya banks, and how this can be ameliorated with OCB, especially when there is conducive working conditions. A lot of firms are still not being optimal in their use of resources; efficiency and effectiveness is still a problem and there is a wide cry on how to achieve competitive edge in the industry [41], [48]. These problems necessitated this present research work which examined the effect interactional justice had on organizational citizenship behaviour. There is paucity of research in this area as most related works were carried out in Western and Eastern climes with multiple constructs [23], [5]. The three dimension of Organizational Justice seems to be the most used approach in the Justice Literature [16].

This study however examined interactional justice (a dimension of Organizational Justice) because the interpersonal and informational relationship between workers and their supervisors is highly motivating and can make employees to go beyond expected behaviours in carrying out their job duties [13], [37], [47], [34], [17]. Researchers [44], [34], [1] believe Interactional Justice is more influential than other Justice dimensions. The framework below is drawn to show the relationship among the variables of the study. The review of literature will be based on this.

![Fig.1 Conceptual framework of interactional justice and organizational citizenship behaviour.](image)

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Equity theory is at the heart of organizational justice. Employees’ perception of the fairness of the interpersonal relationship between them and management determines their output in that organization. Equity theory was propounded by Adams [54]. It describes the process employed by workers in maintaining equity between their inputs and the outputs they receive when compared to referent others in the organization. Employees’ perception of inequity will make them to either reduce or increase their input in cases of
perceived under-reward or over-reward, just to ensure justice prevails [3]. Employees’ perception of fairness in the informational and interpersonal interaction with their supervisors has a direct and positive effect on Organizational Citizenship Behaviour. Where there is equity, it is natural for employees to go the extra mile for the organization which may contribute to organizational performance [5].

A. Interactional Justice

Interactional justice according to Bies and Moag [56]) deals with respect, propriety, truthfulness and justification of actions. Supervisors need to respect, be honest and give clear directives to subordinates without being improper or prejudiced. Greenberg [21] collapsed Bies and Moag [56] classification into two: interpersonal justice and informational justice. Scholars have argued that there is really no need for such distinctions, because interactional justice encompasses both interpersonal and informational dimensions [27], [17], [10], [9].

Interactional justice deals with supervisor/subordinate relationship detailing the social aspect of organizational justice [13]. Bies [9] identified the following factors as portraying the absence of interactional justice in organizations: use of abusive and insulting words, deception, invasion of privacy, rudeness, coercion and disclosure of confidence. In their research, Bies and Shapiro [10] studied the influence of causal account on interactional fairness judgements and found that employees view organizations that provide causal explanation for their actions as being fair and just. Jha and Jha [25] reports that workers’ perception of being treated equitably by management helps to strengthen their extra discretionary effort.

B. Organizational Citizenship Behaviour

Organ [41] defines Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB) as an “individual behaviour that is discretionary, not directly or explicitly recognized by the formal reward system, and in the aggregate promotes the efficient and effective functioning of the organization”. He sees the workers discretionary action as a voluntary behaviour that goes beyond the call of duty and the requirements of his employment contract, without expectations of rewards but contributing to the effectiveness of the organization.

Organ [43] identified the following as dimensions of OCB:

a) Altruism, “helping other members of the organization in their tasks. This involves being helpful or assisting colleagues, bosses, and other stakeholders of the organization [42]. This involves helping others voluntarily in order to prevent work related problems; being selfless in rendering assistance to people generally in the organization.

b) Courtesy, “preventing problems deriving from the work relationship.” This involves being considerate to others in the organization. Ensuring that you clear up after using any equipment or machine in the office. Showing consideration to others in all you do [42].

c) Sportsmanship, “accepting less than ideal circumstances.” This involves being tolerant and uncomplaining and having a positive outlook about issues and problems in the firm; sacrificing to ensure the organization moves forward irrespective of any negativism by colleagues [42].

d) Civic virtue, “responsibly participating in the life of the firm.” Employees’ with this behavioural trait participates actively in all the organization’s activities such as attending meetings, selling the organization positively to others, being proactive about the strength, weakness, threats and opportunities facing the organization from its internal and external environment. Such an employee proudly identifies with the organization and willingly accepts responsibilities and expectations such membership entails by abiding to the culture, policies and promoting strategies to assist the organization grow [42].

e) Conscientiousness, “dedication to the job and desire to exceed formal requirements in aspects such as punctuality or conservation of resources.” It involves being honest and doing the right thing always even when no one is watching. Adhering strictly to organizations rules, regulations and policies such as punctuality to work, break timing, not engaging in idle gossips while at work, etc. [42].

Williams and Anderson [50] broke down these dimensions of OCB into two broad categories: Organizational Citizenship Behaviour that directly affects the organization (OCBO) and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour that is inherent in the Individual (OCBI). Altruism and Courtesy were seen as OCBI while Civic Virtue, Conscientiousness and Sportsmanship were classified as OCBO [42], [45], [46].

Researchers [49], [35], [29], [22] have shown strong relationship between OCBO and OCBI on job and organizational related factors such as job performance and organizational effectiveness, cognition and affect, organizational commitment, among others.

C. Interactional Justice and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour (OCB)

Several studies have highlighted the relationship between Interactional Justice and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour [1], [12], [33], [32], [51], [15], [46], [49], [35], [38], [37], [47], [34], [28], [12] showed how workers are motivated to comply with workplace policies, are conscientious and exhibit altruistic behaviour when they perceive management to be fair and just. Cropanzano [16] found interactional justice very helpful to organizations that introduced pay cuts, because employees felt management carried them along and are truthful and empathetic about the whole process.

In a similar vein [30] reports that when workers perceive management is honest and treats them with respect during retrenchment exercises, it reduced the risk of litigation by the employees. Skarlicki and Latham [45] explained that leaders who were honest, justified their actions to employees and treated them with courtesy and respect were able to elicit positive organizational citizenship behaviour in such workers. Wei [49] investigated the benefits of OCB on job performance of 56 high technology firms in Taiwan. He found that good interpersonal relationship between employees and the organization had a direct influence on the relationship between OCBO and OCBI bringing about improved job performance and organizational effectiveness. This relationship was moderated by employees’ human and
intelligent capital.

Newman [35] in her study reports that OCBO (Civic Virtue, Sportmanship and Conscientiousness) was more predominant in participants than OCBI (Altruism and Courtesy). Her result was based on data collected from college students enrolled in psychology courses at Southeastern University. This finding may be attributed to students not having team cohesiveness with their fellow students but having great commitment to their institution of learning. They identified more with their school and are willing to go the extra mile for their institution. Lee and Allen [29] in their study of registered nurses in Canada found strong correlation between OCBO and Job Cognition because such behaviours are planned and deliberate; while OCBI was strongly correlated with job affect since it involves emotions.

In a similar vein, Huang and You [22] explained how organizational commitment strongly influenced OCBI and OCBO. Employees who have affective, continuance and normative commitments are more prone to exhibiting extra discretionary efforts in the organization. This relationship is based on the positive interpersonal and informational role management play in the course of their work. Greenberg [21] reiterated that when employees are given explanations about organizations decisions, they perceive they are treated fairly. Researchers [19], [8], [52], [18], [7], [6], [52], [2] have found strong support for the relationship between interactional justice and organizational citizenship behaviour. When employees perceive organizational policies and treatment meted out on them as being fair and just, their OCB increases leading to higher organizational productivity. Interactional justice has been shown to positively affect employees’ conscientiousness and civic virtue [52].

Ali [2] in his study of the effect of Organizational Justice on the OCB of doctors in Pakistan public hospitals found that the doctors did not exhibit altruism owing to the way they were treated by their employer (government). Thus, the doctors reduced their output with the perception that there exists inequity in the way they were being treated by government.

From the foregoing, we hypothesized that:

- H01: There is no significant relationship between Interactional Justice and Altruism.
- H02: There is no significant relationship between Interactional Justice and conscientiousness.
- H03: There is no significant relationship between Interactional Justice and Civic Virtue.
- H04: There is no significant relationship between Interactional Justice and Sportmanship.
- H05: There is no significant relationship between Interactional Justice and Courtesy.

III. METHOD

The study adopted cross sectional survey research design. The population was made up of 1,268 academic staff of universities in Bayelsa State. Using Krejcie and Morgan table on sample size determination table, a sample size of 294 respondents were obtained. The retrieved and usable copies of questionnaire were 251, after cleaning and coding; representing 85% response rate. The instrument used for data collection was a 4 point Likert type of questionnaire, with response category ranging from 4 – strongly agree to 1 – strongly disagree. The variables were measured from existing studies – Interactional Justice Scale was adapted from Colquitt [14] study, while OCBI Scale was adapted from Podsakoff et al [59] study. Our studies had content validity as renowned scholars were used to validate it. The variables also had construct validity because they were sourced from existing studies and had been pretested and validated by other works [57]-[61]. Cronbach Alpha was used to test for reliability of our variables. Bryman and Bell [62] stated that an Alpha Coefficient of 0.7 is considered as efficient, hence our variables were all efficient as given by the table below:

| TABLE 1. RELIABILITY ANALYSIS SCALE (ALPHA) |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Variable        | No. of cases | No. of items | Alpha |
| Interactional Justice | 251   | 9      | 0.804 |
| Organizational Citizenship Behaviour | 251   | 23     | 0.873 |

Source: SPSS computation

The Spearman Rank Order Correlational Coefficient was used to test the association between our variables with the use of Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS). The confidence level was set at 0.05 level of significance.

IV. RESULTS

| TABLE 2. CORRELATION BETWEEN INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE AND ALTRUISM |
|-------------------|--------|--------|
| Spearman's rho    | IJS    | AS     |
| Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 | .622*  |
| Sig. (2-tailed)    | .000   | .000   |
| N                  | 251    | 251    |
| AS Correlation Coefficient | .622* | 1.000  |
| Sig. (2-tailed)    | .000   | .000   |
| N                  | 251    | 251    |

Source: SPSS computation **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Results reveal a significant relationship between interactional justice and altruism where rho = 0.622 and P = 0.000. Evidence indicates that interactional justice significantly contributes towards actions or behaviour that reflect altruism; hence the null hypothesis is rejected.

| TABLE 3. CORRELATION BETWEEN INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE AND CONSCIENTIOUSNESS |
|--------------------------|--------|-------|
| Spearman's rho           | IJS    | CSS   |
| Correlation Coefficient  | 1.000  | .291* |
| Sig. (2-tailed)          | .000   | .000  |
| N                        | 251    | 251   |
| CSS Correlation Coefficient | .291* | 1.000  |
| Sig. (2-tailed)          | .000   | .000  |
| N                        | 251    | 251   |

Source: SPSS computation **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Results reveal a significant relationship between interactional justice and conscientiousness where rho = 0.291 and P = 0.000. Evidence indicates that interactional justice significantly contributes towards actions or behaviour that reflect conscientiousness; hence the null
hypothesis is rejected.

### TABLE 4. CORRELATION BETWEEN INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE AND CIVIC VIRTUE

| Spearman’s rho | JIS | CVS |
|----------------|-----|-----|
| IJS Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 | 0.229** |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .000 |
| N | 251 | 251 |
| CV S Correlation Coefficient | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .000 |
| N | 251 | 251 |

Source: SPSS computation **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Results reveal a significant relationship between interactional justice and civic virtue where rho = 0.229 and P = 0.000. Evidence indicates that interactional justice significantly contributes towards actions or behaviour that reflect civic virtue; hence the null hypothesis is rejected.

### TABLE 5. CORRELATION BETWEEN INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE AND SPORTSMANSHIP

| Spearman’s rho | JIS | SMS |
|----------------|-----|-----|
| IJS Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 | 253** |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .000 |
| N | 251 | 251 |
| SM S Correlation Coefficient | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .000 |
| N | 251 | 251 |

Source: SPSS computation **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Results reveal a significant relationship between interactional justice and sportsmanship where rho = 0.253 and P = 0.000. Evidence indicates that interactional justice significantly contributes towards actions or behaviour that reflect sportsmanship; hence the null hypothesis is rejected.

### TABLE 6. CORRELATION BETWEEN INTERACTIONAL JUSTICE AND COURTESY

| Spearman’s rho | JIS | AS |
|----------------|-----|----|
| IJS Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 | 0.226 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .000 |
| N | 251 | 251 |
| AS Correlation Coefficient | 0.000 | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | . | .000 |
| N | 251 | 251 |

Source: SPSS computation **. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Results reveal a significant relationship between interactional justice and courtesy where rho = 0.226 and P = 0.000. Evidence indicates that interactional justice significantly contributes towards actions or behaviour that reflect courtesy; hence the null hypothesis is rejected.

From the above results the null hypotheses were rejected, and the following relationship are established:

- There is a significant positive relationship between Interactional Justice and Altruism.
- There is a significant positive relationship between Interactional Justice and conscientiousness.
- There is a significant positive relationship between Interactional Justice and Civic Virtue.
- There is a significant positive relationship between Interactional Justice and Sportsmanship.
- There is a significant positive relationship between Interactional Justice and Courtesy.

V. DISCUSSION

The findings showed that interactional justice has a significant positive relationship with the dimensions of Organizational Citizenship Behaviour - Altruism, Courtesy, Civic Virtue, Conscientiousness and Sportsmanship. This is similar to the findings of previous studies [1], [12], [33], [32], [51], [15], [45], [49], [35], [37], [34], [38].

The findings are also in support of researches [41], [45], [46], [49], [35], [29], [22] that group OCB into OCBI and OCBO, showing that both individual and organizational aspects of OCB have a positive relationship with Interactional Justice.

Interactional Justice having a significant positive influence on Academic Staff OCB goes further to buttress the fact that when employees participate actively in organizational decision making, it makes them to be fully committed in implementing those decisions and increases their work passion and commitment [39], [40] – which is a precursor of OCB. The University structure whereby decisions are arrived at by board members agreement on all levels of Management – from departmental, faculty, senate, to governing councils – makes the head of these units to show respect and consideration to staff members and disseminate information in a timely and truthful manner which spurs workers to perform above their prescribed job duties.

Interactional Justice significantly influenced workers Altruistic behaviour towards co-workers. Academic staff in universities in Bayelsa State assist their colleagues who have work related problems and heavy workloads. They act as mentors and are very helpful. This finding supports previous researches [28], [19], [6] that when employees perceive that management treats them with respect and dignity and are trustworthy, it brings out their altruistic tendency of helping others and the ability to grow both personally and professionally, thereby increasing the organizational performance with the extra discretionary behaviour displayed by workers. Our findings run contrary to Ali [2] research. In his work Interactional Justice had no significant influence on the altruistic behaviour of respondents. This is not surprising because the doctors in the public hospital of Pakistan had embarked on a series of strike action owing to the inability of government to meet their needs. In line with Adams equity theory, employees will reduce their output if they believe their exist inequity in the organization.

The findings that Interactional justice significantly influenced courtesy of Academic staff in universities in Bayelsa state supports previous studies [2], [52]. Employees are careful with their dealings with others and avoid creating problems. They try not to abuse the rights of co-workers. According to Ali [2] when supervisors are polite and respectful to subordinates, they exhibit courteous behaviour in return. A perception of fairness increases workers positive extra role behaviour.

Interactional justice significantly influences workers Conscientiousness towards co-workers. Academic staff attend school functions and meetings and are given voice regarding decisions taken by board agreements. This
supports Yardan, et al [52] findings that interactional justice has a positive effect on workers Conscientiousness.

Interactional justice significantly influences workers civic virtue behaviour in the organization. Academic staff are respectful of University rules and policies and put in a lot of effort in their work by working extra hours to meet their research and other academic requirements.

Interactional justice significantly influences workers sportsmanship behaviour in the organization. Academic staff are positive about issues in the university and avoid unnecessary complaints. They rather try to proffer solutions to problems. This finding is contrary to Yardan et al [52] results which reported that there was a negative relationship between interactional Justice and Sportsmanship. This is not surprising because their respondents were medical workers in public hospitals in Turkey and there were reports on how workers perceive that the government was insensitive to their welfare and security. Research has demonstrated that when workers perceive inequity in their organization, they reciprocate by reducing their output to restore equity [55].

Employees react to the presence or absence of equity in the organization by increasing or decreasing their output. In the presence of Interactional Justice, employees are more loyal, committed and go beyond the call of duty without expectations of rewards [41], [6], [33], [63], [64].

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Academic Staff of Universities in Bayelsa State exhibit Organizational Citizenship Behaviour. They are passionate about their work and are very helpful to colleagues. They go the extra mile by ensuring their organization is effective and efficient in producing graduates that can influence the society positively. This helps in human capital development and transcends to intellectual capital development in most firms. These can be achieved because there is a cordial relationship between heads of units and subordinates. Workers are treated in a polite and respectful manner, with information being decimated in a timely and accurate way. Employees have a voice in decision making and this spurs workers in the implementation of organizational policies and rules.

Employees’ perception of interactional justice increases their extra role behaviour in universities. When they are provided information about wages, promotion and other organizational policies in a timely, truthful and fair manner by management, it spurs them towards going the extra mile for the university and in turn increases organizational effectiveness and efficiency.

Therefore, there is need for supervisors to improve their information and interpersonal relationship with their staff. This will bring about a more relaxing and pleasing climate in organizations, whereby workers will be motivated to put in their best without expectation of rewards. Thus, employees’ perception of being treated fairly in the organization increases OCB [47].
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