T lymphocyte acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) is a heterogeneous disease affecting T cells at multiple stages of their development and is characterized by frequent genomic alterations. The transcription factor LEF1 is inactivated through mutation in a subset of T-ALL cases but elevated LEF1 expression and activating mutations have also been identified in this disease. Here we show, in a murine model of T-ALL arising due to E2a inactivation, that the developmental timing of Lef1 mutation impacts its ability to function as a cooperative tumor suppressor or oncogene. T cell transformation in the presence of LEF1 allows leukemic cells to become addicted to its presence. In contrast, deletion prior to transformation both accelerates leukemogenesis and results in leukemic cells with altered expression of genes controlling receptor-signaling pathways. Our data demonstrate that the developmental timing of Lef1 mutations impact its apparent oncogenic or tumor suppressive characteristics and demonstrate the utility of mouse models for understanding the cooperation and consequence of mutational order in leukemogenesis.
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INTRODUCTION

T acute lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) is an aggressive malignancy that accounts for approximately 10-15% of pediatric and 25% of adult leukemia (1). This disease arises in thymocytes leading to an enlarged thymus and respiratory distress; however, leukemic cells can disseminate through the blood and infiltrate tissues. Treatment involves intensive chemotherapy but 25% of pediatric cases and nearly 50% of adult cases show therapy resistance or relapse within 5 years (1). T-ALL is a consequence of transformation of cells at multiple stages of T cell differentiation (2, 3) and distinct subsets of T-ALL can be identified by their unique gene
expression signatures, genetic alterations, and response to therapy (1, 4, 5). Recent analysis further highlights that clonal evolution and progressive mutation contribute to disease evolution but much remains to be learned about the consequences of individual mutations and mutational order on transformation (6). Understanding the molecular pathogenesis of T-ALL is crucial for the improved development of prognostic markers and tailored therapeutic approaches.

The most broadly occurring mutations in T-ALL affect the Notch signaling pathway. Mutations in the NOTCH1 gene occur in > 60% of cases (7). Mutations occur within the heterodimerization domain, resulting in ligand independent activation, and the intracellular PEST domain, resulting in stabilization of the transcriptionally active form (intracellular Notch1/ICN). In another 15% of T-ALL, mutations occur in FBXW7, which encodes an ubiquitin ligase involved in the PEST-domain dependent degradation of ICN (8–10). Further, epigenetic alterations affecting the Notch1 gene impact the site of transcription initiation and splicing and result in ligand independent activation (11–13). Consistent with the oncogenic role of Notch1, ectopic expression of constitutively active forms of Notch1 in mouse T cell progenitors leads to their transformation (14).

Activation of the TAL1 and LYL1 genes is also associated with subsets of T-ALL (4). Tal1 and Ly1l are basic helix-loop-helix proteins that bind DNA in association with the E protein transcription factors (15), which are critical for T cell development (16). While Tal1:E protein and Ly1l:E protein dimers have targets implicated in T-ALL (17–19), their ability to inhibit E protein homodimer formation is sufficient to promote T cell transformation as revealed by the development of T-ALL like disease in E2a−/− mice and in mice ectopically expressing inhibitors of E protein DNA binding (22–25). E2a−/− leukemias are characterized by recurrent mutations in the Notch1 PEST domain and altered Notch1 splicing and transcription initiation prior to ligand independence (11, 26).

A critical target of Notch1 in many cases of T-ALL is c-myc (27–29). However, in E2a−/− leukemias Notch1 signaling is essential but it regulates expression of Lef1, encoding a TCF1-related transcription factor that is an effector of the Wnt signaling pathway (26, 30, 31). LEF1 appears essential for the survival of E2a−/− leukemias since siRNA-mediated knockdown of Lef1 causes cell cycle arrest and the death of leukemias in vitro (30). Other murine models of T-ALL, including those arising in Tcf7−/− and Ikaros−/− mice also show increased expression of Lef1 (32, 33). Recently, a study of childhood ALL, including 28 patients with T-ALL, revealed a positive prognostic value to increased peripheral cell numbers at the time of sacrifice. Cell lines generated from these leukemias reveal differences in expression of multiple genes associated with monocarboxylic transport and hedgehog signaling that could also impact T cell receptor signaling. Our study describes novel models for studying LEF1 function in T-ALL and indicate that LEF1 is a modulator of leukemic transformation providing both additive and inhibitory functions depending on its availability during transformation.

**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Mice**

Mice were backcrossed onto an FvB/NJ background for at least 8 generations. All experiments were performed in compliance with the University of Chicago Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. E2a−/−, Lef1−/−, and Lck-Cre mice and genotyping protocols were described previously (38). FvBn/J mice and Lck-Cre mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory.

**Flow Cytometry**

Thymocytes or splenocytes were dissected and dispersed using frosted glass slides followed by filtration through a 100 mM cell strainer. Cells were stained at a concentration of 2 x 10^7 cells/ml in FACS buffer (PBS + 5% FCS +.02% azide) after incubation with FcBlock. Intracellular staining was performed using the FoxP3/Transcription Factor Staining Kit. Antibodies were purchased from BioLegend, ebioscience or Fisher Scientific and specific antibody clones and fluorochromes are available upon request. Antibodies used: Lef1, CD4, CD8, CD117, CD25, CD44, TCRb, CD11b, CD11c, DX5, B220, Gr1. Data was acquired on an LSRII or Fortessa and analyzed using FlowJo (TreeStar).

**Cell Lines**

Leukemia cell lines were generated by culturing thymic cells from moribund mice in OPTI-MEM media containing 10% FCS, 2-mercaptoethanol and Pen/Strep/Glu for greater than 2 weeks. All established lines were frozen in 5% DMSO/50%FCS in liquid nitrogen for long term storage.
**In Vitro Culture**

OP9-DL1 stromal cells were maintained in OPTI-MEM and plated 1 day before used to achieve a near confluent monolayer of cells. Multipotent progenitors were isolated as Ter119-Gr1- cells from e13 fetal liver and cultured on OP9-DL1 in the presence of 5 ng/ml Flt3 ligand, IL-7 and CD117 ligand.

**Retroviral Transduction**

The retroviral vectors MigR1, MigR1-Cre, MigR1-DNMAML were described previously (39). Retroviral plasmid DNA was isolated using CsCl. Retroviral supernatants were produced by transfecting plasmid DNA into Phoenix cells using CaPO4 precipitation and cells were transduced with retrovirus as previously described (40).

**RNA Extraction, Microarray, Sequencing and Analysis**

RNA was extracted using Trizol Reagent. For microarray analysis, RNA was converted to cDNA that was used to probe Affymetrix MOE 430_2 arrays as previously described (41). Raw array data were normalized with RMAexpress (http://rmaexpress.bmbolstad.com/) and analyzed by dChip (http://www.bioskatt.harvard.edu/complab/dchip/). Probe set annotation was obtained from Affymetrix. For RNA-seq analysis, RNA was sequenced on a Next-Seq500 and analyzed as described (42). Raw sequence reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.33 (TRAILING:30 MINLEN:20) and then aligned to mouse genome assembly mm10 with TopHat v 2.1.0. Reads were assigned to genes using the htseq-count tool from HTSeq v 0.6.1 and gene annotations from Ensembl release 78. The R package EdgeR was used to normalize the gene counts from HTSeq v 0.6.1 and gene annotations from Ensembl release 78. The R package EdgeR was used to normalize the gene counts and to calculate differential expression statistics for each gene for each pairwise comparison of sample groups. Metascape analysis was performed on differential gene expression lists (https://metascape.org) (43). Genes were considered differentially expressed at Log2FC with an adj. p-value of <0.01.

**Quantitative PCR**

RNA was reverse transcribed with Superscript III (Invitrogen). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed in an iCycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with SYBR Green (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Expression values were normalized to Hprt and were calculated by the ΔCT method. Primer sequences are available on request.

**Spectral Karyotyping Analysis**

To characterize the cytogenetic pattern of T cell leukemias from E2a\(^{-/-}\)Lef1\(^{fl/fl}\) mice, SKY analysis was performed using the ASI SkyPaintTM assay for mouse chromosomes as described previously (44) on cell lines or fresh leukemia cells from moribund mice with leukemia (10 metaphase cells were analyzed per case). Karyotype results are in Table S2.

**Western Blot**

Total protein extracts were prepared and analyzed by Western blot analysis as described previously (30). Primary antibodies used were anti-Notch1 antibody (V1744) reactive with the cleaved cytoplasmic domain (Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-actin (Abcam).

**Data Sharing Statement**

For original data please contact bkee@bsd.uchicago.edu. RNA-sequencing data can be accessed in the Gene Expression Omnibus under GSE186420. Microarray data is under GSE196391.

**RESULTS**

**LEF1 Is Required for the Survival of E2A-Deficient T Cell Leukemias**

Our previous studies revealed that Notch1 is mutated in E2a\(^{-/-}\) T cell leukemias and required for their survival (26). We identified Lef1 as a target of the Notch pathway in these cells and demonstrated that siRNA directed against Lef1 reduced the viability of these leukemic cells (30). Here, using flow cytometry, we found that LEF1 and TCF1 protein are detected in E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemias and that LEF1, but not TCF1, was reduced after treatment of cells with a \(\gamma\)-secretase inhibitor, which antagonizes Notch signaling (Figures 1A, B). To rigorously demonstrate that LEF1 was required for growth of these leukemias, we created E2a\(^{+/-}\) mice that were homozygous for alleles of Lef1 with loxp sites flanking the DNA binding domain (32). We generated 2 lines from the leukemias arising in these mice and used a retrovirus producing Cre to delete the DNA binding domain of LEF1 (Figure 1C). The retrovirus produced GFP in addition to Cre and therefore we could track Cre expressing cells by their expression of GFP (Figure 1C, D). LEF1 was lost from a subset of cells after transduction with MigR1-Cre and the frequency of LEF1 negative cells mirrored the frequency of GFP\(^{+}\) cells (Figure 1D). QPCR analysis of sorted GFP\(^{+}\) cells from MigR1 or MigR1-Cre transduced cells revealed decreased Lef1 mRNA after transduction of E2a\(^{-/-}\)Lef1\(^{fl/fl}\) but not E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemias with MigR1-Cre (Figure 1E). We tracked the fate of cells with deletion of Lef1 by following the frequency of GFP\(^{+}\) cells. GFP\(^{+}\) cells in MigR1-Cre expressing E2a\(^{+/-}\)Lef1\(^{fl/fl}\) cells declined steadily over time consistent with the loss of cells that lacked LEF1 (Figure 1F). An E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemia line that was heterozygous for the Lef1\(^{fl}\) allele (E2a\(^{-/-}\)Lef1\(^{fl/fl}\)) and transduced with MigR1-Cre also showed reduced representation of GFP\(^{+}\) cells with time in culture, although not to the degree of E2a\(^{-/-}\)Lef1\(^{fl/fl}\) leukemias (Figure 1F). In contrast, the same cell lines transduced with MigR1, which does not promote deletion of Lef1, demonstrated a stable frequency of GFP\(^{+}\) cells over time (Figures 1D–F). Similarly, E2a\(^{-/-}\) lines transduced with either MigR1 or MigR1-Cre showed stable GFP expression (Figure 1F). To further examine the impact of LEF1-deletion on these leukemic cells, we analyzed the transcriptome of GFP\(^{+}\) cells 72 hours after transduction with MigR1 or MigR1-Cre. We found decreased expression of multiple signaling-associate genes (Id3, Syk, Sgk, RhoGDI) and increased expression of Cdkn1a, encoding the cell cycle inhibitor p21 (Figure 1G and Table S1). The increased expression of p21 could contribute to the reduced expansion of LEF1-deleted mice.
leukemias. These data demonstrate that LEF1 is required for the maintenance of E2a−/− T cell leukemia lines in vitro and are consistent with our previous studies using Lef1 siRNA (30).

**LEF1 Is Increased in E2a−/− DN3 Thymocytes and Promotes Their Differentiation**

To determine whether the increased expression of LEF1 occurs in pre-leukemic mice, we examined the Lineage− population of the thymus for expression of LEF1. As expected, there were fewer DN3 thymocytes in E2a−/− mice compared to control with an increased frequency of CD117loCD25int cells, previously shown to be innate lymphoid cells (Figure 2A) (45, 46). The E2a−/− DN3 cells expressed substantially more LEF1 than control DN3 thymocytes (Figure 2B). By qRT-PCR we found increased expression of Lef1 mRNA in E2a−/− DN3 thymocytes (Figure 2C). DN3 thymocytes fail to develop from E2a−/− multipotent progenitors cultured in vitro on OP9-DL1 (45, 47), indicating that these cells are compromised with respect to T cell differentiation. To determine whether LEF1 might provide an advantage to these cells, we used a retrovirus to force multipotent progenitor (MPP) cells to ectopically express LEF1. E2a−/− MPPs transduced with the MigR1 retrovirus, which produce GFP only, failed to generate DN3 cells in vitro, as expected (Figures 2D, E). In contrast, E2a−/− MPPs transduced with LEF1 producing retrovirus generated DN3 cells and an increased frequency of DN2 cells. Notably, even control MPPs transduced with LEF1 producing retrovirus showed increased generation of DN2 and DN3 cells (Figures 2D, E). This propensity to promote differentiation of control and E2a−/− MPPs was not dependent on the presence of the β-catenin interaction domain (CAT) as a retrovirus producing a mutant form of LEF1 lacking the CAT domain also supported differentiation (Figures 2D, E). These data lead us to hypothesize that the increased expression of LEF1 in E2a−/− DN3 thymocytes aids in their differentiation from more immature progenitors and that LEF1’s essential functions are independent of its interaction with β-catenin.

**T Cell Specific Deletion of Lef1 in E2a−/− Mice Abrogates DN3 Development**

To test the hypothesis that LEF1 is essential for the development of E2a−/− DN3 cells and for leukemic transformation,
we generated Lck-Cre $E2a^{-/-}$Lef1$^{f/f}$ mice, which express Cre starting at the DN2 stage. Lck-Cre$^+$$E2a^{-/-}$Lef1$^{f/f}$ mice (DKO) and $E2a^{-/-}$ mice had similar numbers of thymocytes and Lineage$^-$ thymocytes ($Figures$ $3A$–$C$). However, the Lineage$^-$ population of DKO mice showed a reduced frequency of DN3 thymocytes ($Figures$ $3B$, $D$). The number of DN3 thymocytes was also significantly decreased in DKO compared to $E2a^{-/-}$ mice ($Figure$ $3E$). In contrast Lck-Cre$^+$$Lef1^{f/f}$ ($Lef1^{D/D}$) mice had thymocyte and Lineage$^-$ thymocyte numbers, as well as DN3 frequencies that were similar to Control mice ($Figure$ $3D$), indicating that Lef1 deletion did not have a major impact on these cells.

Since the number of thymocytes was similar in $E2a^{-/-}$ and DKO mice we examined the phenotype of more mature thymocytes. As previously reported, $E2a^{-/-}$ thymocytes had a reduced frequency of CD4$^+$CD8$^+$ (DP) thymocytes with an increased frequency of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ cells. The CD4 by CD8 profile of DKO thymocytes revealed a slightly increased frequency of CD4$^+$CD8$^+$ (DP) cells and a decreased frequency of CD4$^+$ of CD8$^+$ single positive thymocytes compared to $E2a^{-/-}$ mice ($Figure$ $4A$). $E2a^{-/-}$ mice also have an increased frequency of TCR$^+$ thymocytes, although the number of these cells is lower than in Ctrl mice ($Figures$ $4A$, $B$). The frequency and number of TCR$^+$ cells was reduced in DKO mice compared to $E2a^{-/-}$ mice, although it was still higher than in Ctrl or $Lef1^{D/A}$ mice ($Figures$ $4A$, $B$). These data suggest that deletion of LEF1 had a subtle but significant impact on the differentiation of thymocytes in the absence of $E2a$. Interestingly, a substantial portion of DKO Lineage$^-$ thymocytes, which are primarily DP cells, expressed CD25 ($Figure$ $4C$, $D$). The frequency of Lineage$^-$CD25$^+$ thymocytes was also higher in $E2a^{-/-}$ thymocytes than in Ctrl or $Lef1^{D/A}$ thymocytes but was not significantly elevated in number ($Figures$ $4C$, $D$). Indeed, a direct comparison of DP thymocytes revealed a substantial increase CD25 on DKO compared to $E2a^{-/-}$ thymocytes ($Figure$ $4E$). Given that CD25 is a known Notch1 target gene ($48$), we tested the hypothesis that Notch1 was expressed in DP thymocytes from DKO mice. By QPCR analysis we observed mRNA for Notch1 and the Notch1 target gene Nrarp in DP thymocytes from DKO but not $E2a^{-/-}$ or Ctrl mice ($Figure$ $4F$). These data indicate that Notch1 is activated in DKO DP thymocytes.

**DKO Mice Develop T Cell Leukemia With Reduced Latency**

To determine whether deletion of Lef1 impacted the transformation potential of $E2a^{-/-}$ thymocytes, we allowed the mice to age and monitored them for signs of leukemia. Surprisingly, DKO mice became moribund with an average latency of 100 days (range 80-130 days) whereas the average latency for $E2a^{-/-}$ mice was 130 days (range 100-170 days), and notably, all mice that we followed developed disease ($Figure$ $5A$).
numbers and by flow cytometry of multiple tissues. Cell numbers were similar in the thymus of moribund E2a\(^{-/-}\) or DKO mice, although the range was greater for the DKO cells (Figure 5B). However, splenic lymphocyte numbers were elevated in the moribund DKO as compared to E2a\(^{-/-}\) mice (Figure 5C).

Primary leukemic cells in DKO mice were frequently low for CD4 and CD8 whereas E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemias were CD4\(^{hi}\)CD8\(^{hi}\) or contained SP cells (Figures 5D, E). The DKO leukemias also expressed CD25 without CD44, a phenotype that is distinct from that of the majority of E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemias (Figure 5E). Taken together, these data demonstrate that, despite the reduced number of DN3 cells, DKO mice developed T cell leukemia with reduced latency compared to E2a\(^{-/-}\) mice and these leukemias had a distinct surface receptor phenotype.

**DKO Leukemias Have Notch1 Mutations and Require Notch Signaling**

E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemias have mutations in the Notch1 gene and they are dependent on Notch signaling for their survival (26). To determine whether DKO leukemias also had mutations in the Notch1 gene, we PCR amplified the 3’ portion of Notch1 and performed sequencing on 3 DKO cell lines that we established in culture. Notably, we found insertions that resulted in out of frame translation of the PEST domain of Notch1 in all of the DKO lines (Figure 6A). These mutations are predicted to stabilize ICN1 and, indeed, ICN1 protein could be detected in these leukemias by western blot analysis (Figure 6B). To determine whether these leukemias were dependent on Notch1 signaling we used retroviral transduction to ectopically express a dominant negative version of the Notch1 co-activator MAML in these cells (39). The frequency of cells expressing DN-MAML, identified by their expression of GFP, declined over time in culture regardless of whether they were E2a\(^{-/-}\) or DKO leukemias (Figure 6C). In contrast, the frequency of GFP+ cells remained stable in cultures transduced with the control MigR1 retrovirus (Figure 6C). Cytogenetic analysis using spectral karyotyping of three DKO primary leukemias revealed trisomy for chromosome 15 containing the c-Myc locus, a feature that is also observed in E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemias (Figures 6C, D and Table S2) (24). Consistent with this observation, E2a\(^{-/-}\) and DKO cell lines had similar levels of c-Myc mRNA (Figure 6E). These data demonstrate that DKO leukemias, like E2a\(^{-/-}\) leukemias, required Notch signaling for their survival and had mutations impacting the stability of ICN1 expression of c-Myc.

**DKO Leukemias Have an Altered Transcriptome Implicating Monocarboxylic Acid Transport and Hedgehog Signaling**

To gain further insight into the differences between E2a\(^{-/-}\) and DKO leukemias we performed RNA-sequencing on multiple
E2a−/− and DKO leukemia lines. There was a large amount of variation in the gene programs of these leukemias but they were resolved into distinct populations by principle component analysis through PC1 and PC3 (Table S3 and Figure 7A). We identified 89 genes that were generally decreased in DKO as compared to the E2a−/− lines and 70 genes that were increased (Log2FC, adj. p-value <0.01) (Figure 7B). Tcf7 mRNA appeared to be increased in DKO leukemias but TCF1 protein, unlike LEF1 protein, was expressed similarly in E2a−/− and DKO lines when evaluated by flow cytometry (Figure S1). Analysis of the differentially expressed genes by Metascape revealed that the genes that decreased in the DKO leukemias were enriched for genes involved in IL-4 production whereas those that increased were enriched for genes in the monocarboxylic acid transport (MCT) and the Hedgehog signaling pathways (Figures 7C, D). Genes in the MCT pathway included Fabp5, Pla2g12a, Syk and Hoxa13 (Figures 7B, E). Genes in the Hedgehog signaling pathway included Axin2, Cdkn1a, Prkch and Rasgrf1 (Figures 7B, F). Taken together, these data indicate that DKO and E2a−/− leukemia lines share many common gene expression features but differ in a few key genes that could impact their metabolic requirements or response to external signals.

DISCUSSION

Over the past 10 years, analysis of gene expression and mutations in T-ALL have revealed both positive and negative associations with LEF1 (34, 36, 37). Here, we investigated the role of LEF1 in T cell transformation in a murine model of T-ALL. We used conditional alleles of Lef1 in E2a−/− T lymphocytes, that were deleted either before or after leukemic transformation and found that LEF1 can function as either an oncogene or a tumor suppressor depending on the context in which it is deleted.
When LEF1 is present during the transformation process, leukemias can become addicted to its presence. In contrast, when Lef1 is deleted prior to transformation, early T cell development is altered and there is a more rapid onset of leukemogenesis. We note that leukemias arising in DKO mice had some of the characteristics of human T-ALL with LEF1 inactivating mutations including mutations in the Notch1 signaling pathway and gain of chromosome 15 (37), although these characteristics are shared with E2a-/- leukemias (24, 26). However, DKO leukemias had a more rapid onset than E2a-/- leukemias mirroring the early development of T-ALL with Lef1 inactivating mutations (37). In addition to providing insight into how LEF1 can be both a positive and negative regulator of leukemogenesis, our data indicate that the order of acquisition of specific mutations in T cell progenitors can impact the phenotype and latency of T-ALL.

The leukemic cells that arise in DKO mice have very dim expression of CD4 and CD8 and express CD25, which distinguishes them from E2a-/- leukemias. Cell lines established from DKO mice also showed some differences in gene expression from E2a-/- leukemias. In particular, genes associated with the MCT and Hedgehog signaling pathway, were elevated relative to E2a-/- leukemias. Among these genes were Rasgpl, Pla2g12a and Syk, which are also involved in T cell receptor signaling and their increased expression may be related to the dim expression of CD4 and CD8, which canonically identifies cells undergoing strong TCR signaling or negative selection (49). The increased expression of Fabp5 also raises the possibility that DKO and E2a-/- leukemias may have different metabolic requirements. While there was quite a bit of variability in the gene programs of individual leukemia lines, these observations raise the possibility that leukemias with different mutation profiles may have unique susceptibilities that could be exploited for therapy.

Lef1 is increased in expression in multiple mouse models of T-ALL. Tcf7-/- mice also have increased Lef1 in DN thymocytes but develop an ETP-like T-ALL (32). TCF1 was shown to repress Lef1 and indeed, TCF1 binds to a cluster of sites in the Lef1 gene and represses transcription from this region in a reporter
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**FIGURE 5** | DKO mice have an accelerated onset of T cell leukemia. (A) Kaplan-Meier plot of leukemia incidence in E2a-/- and DKO mice. ****p < 0.0001, Mantel-Cox Test (B) Total thymocyte numbers and (C) spleen lymphocyte numbers at time of morbidity in E2a-/- and DKO mice compared to age matched Ctrl and non-moribund E2a-/- mice. **p< 0.01, ***p < 0.005, ****p < 0.001. Student’s t-test for pairwise comparisons. (D) Flow cytometry showing CD4 and CD8 on two representative E2a-/- and DKO thymic leukemias. (E) Summary of phenotype of E2a-/- and DKO leukemias.
construct transduced into DN thymocytes. TCF1 is expressed in E2a−/− thymocytes at levels indistinguishable from control mice indicating that the increased LEF1 is not a consequence of TCF1-deficiency (45, 46). We showed here that unlike LEF1, TCF1 is not regulated by Notch signaling in E2a−/−leukemias and therefore we hypothesize that Notch1 regulates Lef1 directly and independent of TCF1. Deletion of Lef1 in Tcf7−/− thymocytes had surprisingly little impact on DN thymocyte development but prevented β-selection and abrogated leukemogenesis (32). In contrast, deletion of Lef1 in E2a−/− mice did not prevent leukemogenesis. Therefore, TCF1 appears sufficient in E2a−/− mice to support T cell transformation. TCF1 protein was expressed equivalently in E2a−/− and DKO leukemias suggesting that LEF1 does not promote leukemogenesis by repressing Tcf7. Given that E2a−/− leukemias are not ETP-like, we do not think that the major function of LEF1 is simply antagonism of TCF1. However, it is possible that high levels of LEF1 impact TCF1 function by competing with TCF1 for binding to TCF1/LEF1 binding sites, either promoting or inhibiting TCF1-like functions. In this scenario, LEF1 may

| Genotype | Notch1 mutation | Location/truncation |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
| E2a−/−  | G to T mutation | aa2260              |
| E2a−/−  | T to C mutation | aa2886              |
| DKO     | CCCCCCG insertion | aa2399          |
| DKO     | G insertion     | aa2361              |
| DKO     | CCCT insertion  | aa2361              |

**FIGURE 6** | DKO leukemias require Notch1 signaling and have amplification of chromosome 15. (A) Identification of mutations in the Notch1 gene in two E2a−/− and 3 DKO leukemias. (B) Western Blot Analysis for cleaved ICN1 in the indicated leukemia lines. Actin is shown as a loading control. (C) Relative GFP expression in leukemias of the indicated genotype after transduction with MigR1 or MigR1-DNMAML. The frequency of GFP+ cells was assessed by flow cytometry at the indicated times after transduction. ****p < 0.0001 Students t-test on MigR1 versus DNMAML infected cells (D) Spectral karyotyping analysis of metaphase cells isolated from a DKO leukemia. (E) qRT-PCR analysis for c-Myc in 2 E2a−/− and 3 DKO leukemias.
antagonize TCF1 functions that prevent transformation while promoting, or leaving intact, TCF1 functions that support T cell differentiation. Indeed, we note that \textit{Cdkn1a} is increased in both DKO leukemias and \textit{E2a-/-Lef1F/F} leukemias after deletion of \textit{Lef1} compared to \textit{E2a-/-} leukemias suggesting that \textit{Cdkn1a} could be a TCF1 target that is repressed by LEF1. In contrast, \textit{Syk} and \textit{Rasgrp1} are increased in DKO compared to \textit{E2A-/-} leukemias but decreased after deletion of \textit{Lef1} from \textit{E2a-/-Lef1F/F} leukemias.

**FIGURE 7** | RNA-sequencing of \textit{E2a-/-} and DKO leukemia lines reveals differences in multiple genes associated with signaling and monocarboxylic acid transport. (A) Principal Component Analysis of \textit{E2a-/-} (blue) and DKO (red) leukemias based on differential gene expression in RNA-seq analysis. \textit{adj.p} based on FDR. (B) Volcano plot showing Log2FC by \textit{adj.p}-value comparing RNA-seq from \textit{E2a-/-} and DKO leukemias. \textit{Lef1} and genes of interest that were higher in DKO as compared to \textit{E2a-/-} leukemias are indicated. (C) Pathway analysis for genes that are increased in DKO compared to \textit{E2a-/-} leukemias. (D) Pathway analysis of genes that are decreased in DKO compared to \textit{E2a-/-} leukemias. (E) Normalized reads for individual \textit{E2a-/-} and DKO leukemia samples for selected genes in the monocarboxylic acid transport pathway. (F) The Hedgehog Signaling Pathway. \textit{p} < 0.05, \textit{**} \textit{p} < 0.01, \textit{***} \textit{p} < 0.005, and \textit{****} \textit{p} < 0.0001 by student t-test of individual normalized reads.
Thus, we could speculate that Syk and Rasgrp1 are positively regulated by LEF1 or TCF1, gaining a dependence on high levels of LEF1 in E2a-/-Le11/F leukemias and TCF1 in DKO leukemias. Further studies are required to understand the mechanisms by which LEF1 and TCF1 function in these different contexts.

We anticipated that LEF1 would be required for the differentiation of ETPs to the DN3 stage in the absence of E2A and our in vitro experiments supported this hypothesis. Indeed, deletion of Lef1 in E2a-/- mice resulted in a loss of DN3 thymocytes. However, total thymocyte numbers were not impacted by deletion of Lef1 suggesting that LEF1 was not required for T cell development in the absence of E2a. Given that we observed increased CD25 and Notch1 signaling in DP thymocytes after deletion of Lef1, we hypothesize that LEF1 prevents differentiation of DN3 cells into DP cells. Whether these cells are truly DP thymocytes or DN3 thymocytes that express CD4 and CD8 remains to be investigated. Thus, the altered latency of transformation in DKO mice could be related to differences in the intrinsic susceptibility of thymocytes at different stages of differentiation to transformation in the absence of E2A or to an altered environment in which the transforming progenitors reside.

Taken together, our data demonstrate that LEF1 impacts the developmental trajectory of E2a-/- T cell progenitors and can act as a tumor suppressor or oncogene depending on its availability during the transformation process. Moreover, our data support the utility of mouse models for understanding the cooperativity and consequence of mutational order on leukemogenesis.
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