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ABSTRACT: Remarkable interfacial behaviors are observed in nature. Our efforts, directed toward replicating the structures, chemistries, and therefore functional properties of natural non-wetting surfaces, are competing with the result of billions of years of natural selection. The application of man-made surfaces is challenged by their poor longevity in aggressive environmental or applied service conditions. This study reports on a new approach for the creation of multiscale hierarchical surface patterns in metals, which exploits thermodynamic phenomena in advanced manufacturing processes. While hydrophobic coatings can be produced with relative ease by electrodeposition, these fractal-type structures tend to have poor structural integrity and hence are not durable. In this method, "seed surfaces" are directly written onto substrates by selective electrodeposition, after which they are irradiated by a large-area, pulsed electron beam to invoke a beading phenomenon, which is studied here. The length scale of these beads is shown to depend upon the melt time of the liquid metal. The created surfaces are shown to yield high water contact angles (145°) without subsequent chemical modification, and high adhesion properties reminiscent of the "rose petal" hydrophobic effect. The size and morphology and hence the hydrophobic effect of the surface beads generated are correlated with the thickness of the electrodeposited coating and hence the melt lifetime upon electron irradiation. This new rapid approach for tunable hydrophobic surface creation has applications for developing precision hydrophobic patterns and is insensitive to surface complexity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Manipulation of fluid-facing properties of a material can radically alter the interaction between its surface and surroundings. There are profound benefits where wettability can be controlled, both in terms of wetting regime and magnitude, in a location-specific manner across a given surface for a range of engineering applications. These include microfluidics as well as self-cleaning and corrosion-resistant surfaces. Hydrophobic Cu surfaces have also been shown to significantly increase the efficiency of CO₂ reduction into usable fuel chemistries. This has wide-reaching implications for increasing selectivity in other heterogeneous catalytic systems. Technology that creates durable interfaces of this kind is yet to be demonstrated.

Surface wettability is governed by both chemistry and topography. The interaction between these varies greatly across material systems. Fundamentally, the wettability of a flat surface depends on the thermodynamic equilibrium of the free energy at the solid−liquid−vapor interface, which is described by the Young equation. Low-surface-energy materials, which display poor solid−liquid adhesion, tend toward poor wettability. Metals, which have high surface energy, tend toward high wettability by homogeneous wetting without additional surface modification. Surface topography also influences the apparent liquid contact angle, which is generally described by the Wenzel and Cassie−Baxter models. Both dictate that rougher surfaces exaggerate pre-existing hydrophobicity or hydrophilicity.

The traditional approach to hydrophobic surface generation involves deposition or top-down processing of materials with intrinsic hydrophobicity (considering surface free energy), such as some polymers and their composites. These are often not hard-wearing and can be susceptible to photodegradation, limiting their environmental longevity. To increase...
applicability, more robust materials are required, such as metals,12 ceramics,13 and their composites.14 In contrast, metals generally display good mechanical properties such as hardness, wear resistance, and specific strength, in addition to high-temperature stability as well as good thermal and electronic conductivity.

High apparent water contact angles (WCAs) on high-surface-area metals, such as those created by electrodeposition, have been attributed to the time-dependent adsorption of adventitious hydrocarbon species,15 or O2 (for example, on CuO surface oxides16), under ambient conditions. This is useful for real-world applications where environmental conditions cannot be controlled. However, typically, electrodeposition is used to create high-performance surfaces with low surface roughness. Through overcurrent provision and locally exhausting metal cation concentration (see Figure 1b), it is possible to create structures at scales affecting wettability (see Figure 1d). Coatings of this kind often have poor substrate bonding based on a powdery and/or dendritic morphology, wherein electrodeposition transitions from a continuous film deposition to discrete nucleation and growth.17 Deposition is promoted on pre-existing asperities that shield recesses and depressions, leading to discontinuous surfaces with self-similar hierarchical morphologies.18,19 Despite exhibiting favorable hydrophobic properties,20 these structures suffer from inferior mechanical properties compared to bulk materials and hydrogen embrittlement.

To make a meaningful impact upon daily life, the next generation of hydrophobic coatings will be rapidly/ flexibly manufactured, tunable, and robust. A solution is therefore required to manipulate and enhance surface functionality of such morphologies while retaining or enhancing structural and interfacial integrity of electrodeposited coatings. Here, Cu is used as a model material system to demonstrate that electrochemically seeded surfaces can result in robust functional coatings. In this study, Cu films were selectively electrodeposited using electrochemical jet processing (EJP), under ambient conditions.21 Relatively high electrolyte impingement velocities (up to 30 m/s) suppress the diffusion layer and increase the surface cation supply rate. This enables a greater limiting current condition in comparison to conventional bath deposition.22 An operational schematic is shown in Figure 1. EJP is achieved using multiple axes, enabling complex geometry surface processing.

It was theorized here that appropriate thermal activation and recrystallization of the as-deposited coating could allow enhancement of functionality and integrity. It was proposed that this could be achieved through melting and coalescence of the liquid metal, where the control of cooling rates dictates morphology length scales (see Figure 1e,f). To achieve this, an energy source localized to the coating, exhibiting high cooling rates and deliverable in an inert atmosphere, was required. In this study, irradiation of electrodeposited “seed” surfaces was undertaken using a high-current pulsed electron beam (HCPEB). In prior work, the process has been shown to be capable of significantly modifying the coating morphology and creating dense near-surface regions containing nanostructured grains.24 Specific energy densities (up to 0.2 J/mm225) and appropriate pulse durations (2–4 μs25) allow energy to be transferred in a thin surface layer (<10 μm), generating high temperatures beyond the melting or even boiling points of most metals. Small electron penetration depths (<3 μm for dense Cu26,27) at conventionally applied acceleration voltages (10–40 kV) in HCPEB indicate that the energy of each pulse...
is discharged into microscopic surface volumes. This means electron–material interactions during HCPEB are characterized by extremely high surface heating and cooling rates (up to \(10^{9}\) K/s), which favor nanoscale grain formation, and nonequilibrium phase creation.\(^{28}\) Topography enhancements are assisted by the high surface tension of molten metals (Cu \(\approx 1.30\) N/m at 1083 °C\(^{39}\)), which enables "micro/nanobeads". Alongside EJP, HCPEB has been shown to be robust to nonplanar surface geometries, and in this study, the two techniques have been applied together to create and sculpt hierarchical surfaces on complex-shaped parts.

In the present work, tunable, directly written hydrophobic metal coatings are demonstrated. Manipulation of EJP is used to yield a variety of seed structures, which are consequently subject to activation by HCPEB, although the process could be extended to other dynamic heat sources, such as relatively common scanning laser systems, using existing equipment to process materials at a low cost and intervention level. The process materials at a low cost and intervention level. The cumulative charge transferred, \(Q\), and current density, \(J\), are assisted by the high surface tension of molten metals (Cu) and high thermal conductivity are broad properties of metallic materials (see Supporting Information Table S1). It is therefore likely that an extended study would reveal that by exploiting the adapted parameters, the same phenomena could be replicated in alternate materials and their alloys, where it is possible to create them.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1. Materials. Stainless steel (SUS304) was used as a substrate material for all experiments. Samples were cut from a grit-blasted (Al, O\(_{2}\), 212 μm grit size, D50) flat bar and washed sequentially with deionized water and acetone prior to processing. Electroplating was performed using a copper sulfate electrolyte (1 ± 0.01 M) made from the as-received salt (CuSO\(_{4}\)·5H\(_{2}\)O, Acros) and deionized water undertaken using a 1 mm internal diameter, 0.3 mm total patch area, \(A_p\) nozzle, by superimposing CNC toolpaths over decreasing areas. This was undertaken at a constant supply current density, \(J_p\) (0.022 A/mm\(^2\)), where \(J_p\) is the ratio between the applied current, \(I\), and planar nozzle area, \(A_n\). This is a simplified description of the actual current density distribution that is Gaussian under standard operational conditions.\(^{31}\) The cumulative charge transferred, \(Q_p\), was controlled for each area, where the areal charge density is the ratio between \(Q_p\) and \(A_p\). Nonuniversal parameters for preliminary experimentation are outlined in Table 1.

Deposited foil mass, \(M\), may be approximated with the knowledge of current efficiency, \(\eta\), by applying Faraday’s law; see eq 1

\[
M = \frac{Q_m}{Fz}\quad (1)
\]

where \(F\) is the Faraday constant, \(m_a\) is the molar mass of Cu, and \(z\) is the charge number of the reaction. Considering Cu density (8.96 mg/mm\(^3\) at 25 °C) will enable approximations of deposit volume and thickness. \(\eta\) tends toward 1 at low current densities or high Cu\(^{2+}\) concentrations, ultimately, where Cu\(^{2+}\) reduction is the dominant charge-transfer reaction. In EJP, the Cu\(^{2+}\) surface concentration becomes zero as the limiting current is exceeded, and alternate charge-transfer reactions dominate, e.g., water reduction and evolving hydrogen (see Figure 1b). Chin and Hsueh\(^{2,3}\) derived limiting current densities for an unsubmerged impinging jet; see eq 2

\[
J_{lim} = 0.9FeC_dDSc^{1/3}Re^{-0.09}H^{0.7}d^{-0.99}
\]

For EJP conditions outlined above, \(J_{lim}\) is expected to be \(\approx 0.185\) A/mm\(^2\), significantly greater than low flow bath electrodeposition. Hierarchical surfaces were created by depositing coatings (10 × 10 mm) at different supply current densities. Parameters for these experiments are outlined in Table 2, returning approximate deposition masses of 11.8–12.8 mg for these areal plating operations (where \(\eta = 1\)). The applied current densities were limited to 0.101 A/mm\(^2\), below the predicted limiting current condition for this EJP setup. Knowledge of the limiting current density allows the approximation of the effective Cu\(^{2+}\) surface concentration, \(C\), at a given current density, according to eq 4

\[
C = \frac{FeC_dDSc^{1/3}Re^{-0.09}H^{0.7}d^{-0.99}}{J_{lim}} - \frac{J}{J_{lim}}
\]

Anisotropic wetting patterns were created by electrochemical etching using the same EJP setup. In this experiment, the workpiece polarity was reversed to be the anode, while a 0.25 mm nozzle was applied to remove the material using the NaCl (2 M) electrolyte, with a striation separation of 1.00 mm, \(\nu_s\) and \(H\) were maintained at 16.3 m/s, 2.00 mm/s, and 0.50 mm, respectively. Current was supplied at 0.100 ± 0.011 A, resulting in an approximate current density of 2.00 ± 0.021 A/mm\(^2\) using the smaller diameter nozzle.

### Table 1. EJP Parameters for Deposit Thickness Experiments

| patch number | patch dimensions (mm) | layers/patch (n) | cumulative layers (n) | areal charge density (C/mm\(^2\)) |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1            | 10 × 10               | 2               | 2                     | 0.04 ± 0.02                      |
| 2            | 10 × 8                | 3               | 5                     | 0.10 ± 0.02                      |
| 3            | 10 × 6                | 5               | 10                    | 0.20 ± 0.02                      |
| 4            | 10 × 4                | 5               | 15                    | 0.30 ± 0.02                      |
| 5            | 10 × 2                | 5               | 20                    | 0.40 ± 0.02                      |

### Table 2. EJP Parameters Used To Characterize Topography—Beam Interactions

| current density, \(J_e\) (A/mm\(^2\)) | applied current, \(I\) (A) | layers (n) | areal charge density (C/mm\(^2\)) | surface [Cu\(^{2+}\)] concentration (M) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 0.022 ± 0.011                         | 0.020 ± 0.01                | 19         | 0.38 ± 0.19                        | 0.88 ± 0.05                            |
| 0.050 ± 0.011                         | 0.045 ± 0.01                | 8          | 0.36 ± 0.08                        | 0.73 ± 0.05                            |
| 0.078 ± 0.011                         | 0.070 ± 0.01                | 5          | 0.35 ± 0.05                        | 0.58 ± 0.05                            |
| 0.101 ± 0.011                         | 0.090 ± 0.01                | 4          | 0.36 ± 0.04                        | 0.45 ± 0.05                            |
After EJP, the samples were cleaned with deionized water and dried under ambient conditions prior to HCPEB processing (Sodick PF32A EBM). An acceleration voltage of 30 kV (≈0.12 J/mm² energy density) was applied for 50 discrete discharge cycles. This electron beam voltage was selected to ensure material modification through the coating thickness, where this was desired. A 30 kV electron beam will lead to an electron penetration depth ≈2.8 μm in a homogeneous Cu, which typically results in microstructural changes up to 10⁻²⁰ μm into the material, when processing dense samples. Fifty discharge cycles were chosen in an effort to ensure the material and topographic effects were homogenized across the sample surface.

Discontinuous and porous (lower density) films expected when deposition approaches the limiting current condition will result in deeper electron-affected zones. This electron beam voltage was selected to ensure material modification through the coating thickness, where this was desired. A 30 kV electron beam will lead to an electron penetration depth ≈2.8 μm in a homogeneous Cu, which typically results in microstructural changes up to 10⁻²⁰ μm into the material, when processing dense samples. Fifty discharge cycles were chosen in an effort to ensure the material and topographic effects were homogenized across the sample surface.

Figure 2. Cu layer thickness influences the resulting surface topography after HCPEB (30 kV, 50 cycles). SE micrographs of surfaces of the as-deposited Cu films (Jn = 0.022 A/mm²) before (a–d) and after (e–h) HCPEB. Topography develops features conducive to hydrophobicity after the electron beam processing. (i) Mean thickness (FVM, 20x objective), with the accompanying optical micrograph of the as-deposited surface (11.0 x 1.51 mm). (j) For thin-film Cu deposits, heat transfer is poor across the Cu–Cr oxide–substrate interface and molten balls coalesce, where heat localization enables evaporation and pore formation, shown in the section view BSE micrograph inset. (k) Thicker films effectively transfer heat from the near-surface, and molten beads freeze before full coalescence. Fusion of microballs through sintering and melting mechanisms densifies the top layer, shown in the section view BSE micrograph inset. SEM scale bars are 10 μm unless indicated.

2.3. Characterization. Electrolytic conductivity was measured with a Mettler-Toledo S3 conductivity meter. After generation, the surfaces were appraised using an optical focus variation microscopy (FVM) (Alicona G5, 2.5×, 20×, 50× objectives), as well as backscattered electron (BSE) and secondary electron (SE) microscopy (Philips XL-30 and JEOL 7100F SEM). Cross-sectional scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) imaging and

$$Bo = \frac{\Delta \rho g L^2}{\gamma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

while the ratio of capillary and viscous forces can be expressed by the dimensionless Laplace number

$$La = \frac{\rho g L}{\nu^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where \(\Delta \rho\) is the density difference between the liquid and gas phases, \(g\) is acceleration due to gravity, \(L\) is the characteristic length, and \(\gamma\) is the liquid surface tension. Vacuum chamber gas (Ar) density can be calculated by the ideal gas law. \(\rho_{Ar(g)} \approx 7.93 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mg/mm}^3\) at chamber pressure is negligible compared with \(\rho_{Cu(l)}\) at the melting point: 1083 °C (7.99 mg/mm³), so \(\Delta \rho\) is taken as the latter. \(L\) here is taken as the approximate melted depth in HCPEB (≈2–10 μm). For these conditions, the Bond number is ≈10⁻⁵, and \(La \approx 10^4\), demonstrating that surface tensile effects dominate gravitational and viscous forces across the length scales and conditions in HCPEB. This indicates that parameter selection with the intention of modulating the Cu melt lifetime can enable the formation and control of surface-tension-induced topographies at small length scales.

2.3. Characterization. Electrolytic conductivity was measured with a Mettler-Toledo S3 conductivity meter. After generation, the surfaces were appraised using an optical focus variation microscopy (FVM) (Alicona G5, 2.5×, 20×, 50× objectives), as well as backscattered electron (BSE) and secondary electron (SE) microscopy (Philips XL-30 and JEOL 7100F SEM). Cross-sectional scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) imaging and
selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns were acquired using a JEOL 2100+ transmission electron microscope, on samples prepared by a focused ion beam (FIB) milling (FEI Quanta 200 3D). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was carried out using Oxford Instruments Xmax 80 detectors (acceleration voltage: XL-30 SEM 20 kV, 2100+ TEM 100 kV). EDS spectra were acquired after 1 min of data collection, and EDS maps were acquired after >5 min of data collection. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed using a Bruker D8 Da Vinci diffractometer with Cu $\kappa$α radiation. Samples were left for >1 month prior to the microstructural study to account for self-annealing phenomena, which are prevalent in the electrodeposited Cu.

S$_q$ surface roughness and S$_z$ maximum height were characterized by FVM surface datasets after leveling (least-squares method) and waviness filtering (25 $\mu$m cutoff) using the MountainsMap software. Cu surface beads were sized from SE micrographs using the Canny edge detection routine (3 $\times$ 3 Gaussian filter) using ImageJ (see Supporting Information Figure S1).

Sessile water contact angle (WCA) was measured (Krüss DSA100) on processed surfaces equilibrated for >1 week at atmospheric conditions with deionized water ($3 \pm 0.2 \mu$L droplet volume) and the same surfaces after 30 weeks under atmospheric conditions. Droplets were left for >3 min until an apparent steady state was observed. Five repeats were acquired for each parameter. Advancing, $\theta_a$, and receding, $\theta_r$, water contact angles were acquired through the tilting base method (Krüss DSA100) along with the contact angle hysteresis as the difference between the two values ($3 \pm 0.2 \mu$L droplet volume). Durability was tested after 30 weeks of ambient condition aging, by immersing the samples in deionized water in an ultrasonic bath (RS Components) and agitating (40 kHz for 20 min at 21 °C). Samples were left for 2 weeks after this step before retesting the sessile water contact angle.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Electron Beam–Cu Film Interactions. The interaction between the electron beam and electrodeposited Cu films was appraised by processing samples with the graduated deposit thickness, generated using EJP parameters in Table 1. The applied EJP current density ($0.022 \text{ A/mm}^2$) was selected as a small percentage ($\approx 12\%$) of the theoretical limiting current density calculated from eq 2. This parameter was selected to create a continuous initial Cu surface with a high deposition efficiency, evidenced by the relatively smooth as-deposited topographies (see Figure 2a–d) over a range of areal charge densities ($0.04-0.40 \text{ C/mm}^2$). The sample was subjected to a defined HCPEB treatment (30 kV, 50 cycles), and the resulting surfaces are shown in Figure 2e–h. Beading phenomena are observed after HCPEB, where the length scale is influenced by the areal charge density (indicative of deposit thickness). At low deposit thickness (see Figure 2e,f), microbead ($2-20 \mu$m) features are formed, while at greater thicknesses (see Figure 2g,h), smaller nanobeads (<1 $\mu$m) are distributed across the surface. The aspherical morphology of the larger beads formed at low deposit thickness is indicative of interdroplet coalescence and wetting in the liquid phase. Complete droplet wetting on the steel substrate is likely to be
inhibited by the native oxide layer on the steel, which prevents the perfect chemical adhesion between the metallic copper (liquid) and the metallic steel substrate (solid). Analogous to this, complete wetting of the nanobead features, formed on the thick film, is likely to be prevented by native oxide films on the Cu electrodeposit.

The mean thickness of the as-deposited Cu film is presented in Figure 2i over a sampling width of 1.51 mm (20× objective field of view). The area of the mean profile curve (0.717 mm²) is consistent with the theoretical profile area (0.708 mm²) calculated from eq 1, indicating a deposition efficiency of ≈1. This demonstrates that hydrogen evolution and embrittlement of deposits at these parameters are likely to be limited. The relationship between the length scale of the surface beading and the deposit thickness is likely to be influenced by the efficacy of heat transfer away from the irradiated surface. Considering that the penetration depth of a 30 kV electron beam is generally <5 μm when processing fully dense metals, the deposit thickness will intuitively affect heat transfer, especially where the Cu film thickness is of a similar magnitude to the heat-affected depth in HCPEB. This is relevant in the context of the differing thermal conductivities of the deposit (Cu: up to 400 W/mK, assuming high density) and the steel substrate (304 stainless steel: <20 W/mK), which are proportional to the resulting heat flux density.

HCPEB irradiation rapidly melts the surface, where the high surface tension of liquid Cu dominates over gravitational and viscous forces within the small length scales of the melted zone (≈10 μm; see eqs 5 and 6). This promotes beading within the applied HCPEB parameters. In the case of thin initial Cu deposits (see Figure 2j), the heat flux across the interface and through the substrate is relatively poor, increasing the liquid lifetime of Cu allowing beads to coalesce into larger forms. Heat localization then leads to boiling and associated pore formation, with an apparent honeycombed metal foam indicated by the contrast in the micrographs (see Figure 2e,f) and shown in the section view in Figure 2j. Where the underlying Cu deposit is thicker, the thermal conduction away from the near-surface is significantly more efficient, and bead solidification is more rapid (see Figure 2k). This phenomenon has also been observed in the HCPEB treatment of hydroxypatite, which, albeit with poorer thermal conductivity, showed evidence of higher temperatures and more severe heating cycles in regions of lower thickness. Higher rate solidification prevents coalescence into larger forms. Instead, surface beads fuse together through melting/sintering mechanisms, and smaller submicron beads are visible in Figure 2g,h. This fusion mechanism appears to densify the near-surface layers (see Figure 2k).

Length scales of the nanobeads in Figure 2g,h are consistent with those observed to influence wettability in films electrodeposited under diffusion control. This realizes the possibility of achieving similar topographies while depositing higher-quality dense and continuous coatings, using subsequent thermal surface activation.

A mechanism through which the topographic Cu bead size is influenced by surface cooling rates will leave evidence throughout the resulting microstructures. This is confirmed by the multiple types of microstructure present in the dynamic thickness Cu sample after HCPEB processing (see Figure 3). A coalesced bead (≈10 μm) taken from the thin-film region (0.04–0.10 C/mm²) was sectioned with FIB milling (see Figure 3a) to reveal a foam-like structure of disconnected pores. The striking regular spherical morphologies of these pores are unambiguous evidence of formation as gas bubbles within the liquid Cu phase. While the boiling of Cu may also be facilitated by the low-pressure (50 pa) environment within the HCPEB chamber, the presence of pores of the same order of length scales as the bead is indicative of poor heat transfer across the interface to the film. Slow cooling rates within this thin-film region are also evidenced by the large-scale grains in the coalesced bead, shown by the bright-field (BF) STEM image and associated single-crystal diffraction pattern (white ring demarcates the approximate pattern acquisition area).

The thick-film region (deposited >0.10 C/mm²) Cu surface is dominated by <1 μm beads (see Figure 3b). In the STEM micrograph of the top surface (adjacent to the protective Pt), these beads appear to be individual nanoscale crystallites (<300 nm), evidenced by the grain contrast in the bright-field (BF) image. Similarly to the thin-film case, the spherical morphology of these surface nanobeads is indicative of their formation from the liquid phase through a beading-type mechanism. The length-scale magnitude of the final beads appears to be proportional to the degree of coalescence, which is in turn dictated by the effective lifetime in the molten phase.

The heat transfer rate is good (for a thick, dense Cu film), freezing is rapid and the material grains and surface beads tend toward the nanoscale. Dense Cu deposits are observed in the STEM micrographs, with no obvious porosity indicating a lack of boiling throughout the thickness of the deposit. Furthermore, no obvious morphological anisotropy is observed. This is also evidence of rapid heat dissipation and cooling rates consistent with HCPEB studies in bulk metals. Nanoscale grain sizes are demonstrated in the SAED pattern of this area, showing the Debye–Scherrer rings more consistent with a powder pattern, in addition to the discrete reciprocal lattice spots. Considering grain boundary strengthening mechanisms, crystallites on this length scale are expected to increase the yield strength of the material from that of the thin-film “large-grain” region of the sample.

The unmodified Cu deposit (see Figure 3c) shows a fine-grained microstructure consistent with the electrodeposited material, with twinning and some nanoscale porosity (see Supporting Information Figure S2). The STEM micrograph of this material shows that the microstructure of the unmodified as-deposited Cu is smaller than that of the thin-film region, while being larger than that of the thick-film region. The associated SAED pattern reflects this with evidence of multiple grains but no apparent diffraction rings. Further evidence to support these findings can be found in the FIB-induced SE micrographs of the TEM lamellae, showing ion channeling contrast (ICC) (see Supporting Information Figure S3), revealing contrasting Cu grain sizes (0.03–8 μm).

3.2. Creating Hierarchical Surfaces. For continuous surfaces, the deposit thickness influences the length scale of the electron-beam-induced beading effect and enables control over the small-scale surface topography (<1 μm). However, it cannot recreate the multiscale, hierarchical, surface structures that are responsible for naturally occurring hydrophobicity (e.g., the “rose petal” and “lotus” effects). Input energy manipulation in EJP enables control over larger-scale surface topography and the level of discontinuity (see Figure 1c,d), and it is proposed that from this, deposited seed surfaces can be thermally activated to craft hierarchical surfaces. Introducing larger-scale surface undulations will reduce the effective coating density; therefore, appropriate current
densities ultimately need to be considered in the context of the end application. Here, the current density was limited to 0.101 A/mm² (≈55% of the limiting current density at the nozzle).

"Thick-film" (>50 μm) Cu was deposited in this study by controlling the areal charge density of electrodeposits (0.35−0.38 C/mm²; see Table 2) to negate the apparent influence of differential heat transfer across the film−substrate interface explored in Section 3.1. A range of input EJP topographies are shown in the SE micrographs in Figure 4a−d, where increasing the supply current density (0.022−0.101 A/mm²) leads to increasing complexity. This is consistent with the conventional electroplating theory, where increasing applied current density increases discontinuity and the number of asperities, as the diffusion-limited condition is approached and the surface concentration of Cu²⁺ reduces (see Figure 1b). Internodular depressions are shielded from metallic cations, increasing the deposition rate at the sides and peaks of growing asperities. Surfaces acquire morphological characteristics more consistent with fractal self-similarity. 39 In addition, existing asperities serve to further localize charge transfer (and local current density), resulting in a positive feedback mechanism that accelerates the rate of asperity growth. As such, increasing supply current density beyond 0.101 A/mm² could result in local current densities at asperity tips exceeding the limiting current condition and lead to powdery films with poor mechanical properties and hydrogen embrittlement.

Figure 4. Hierarchical surfaces can be selectively created. Input topography affects microballing phenomena. SE micrographs showing Cu films after electron beam interactions: (a−d) top row as-deposited, (e−h) bottom row after HCPEB, with current densities (from left to right): 0.022, 0.050, 0.078, and 0.101 A/mm². Increasing discontinuity is observed upon increasing current density. (i) Sq surface roughness reduction upon HCPEB processing. (j) Sz height showing no major change after HCPEB, except at 0.101 A/mm². (k) Length scale of beading increases toward asperity tips, and apparent wetting of Cu (liquid) improves. (l) This indicates a longer melt lifetime toward the asperity tips, likely caused by poorer heat transfer through the narrow asperity in comparison to the basal film region. Results reported at 0.00 A/mm² indicate roughness values of the substrate before and after HCPEB. Error bars are the standard deviation of results from the mean value. Scale bars are 10 μm unless indicated.
The relationship between surface roughness and applied deposition current density was quantified from FVM datasets. A linear increase in the root-mean-square roughness, $S_m$, is shown for the as-deposited Cu films in the current density range tested (see Figure 4j). However, $S_m$ increases significantly at 0.101 A/mm$^2$ (mean 88.10 ± 10.60). This is the result of an apparent acceleration in the rate of asperity growth and is indicative of the local physicochemical environment at the asperity tips exceeding the limiting current density of the deposit surface upon electron irradiation. As such, the surface may be homogenized through the application of HCPEB.

The overall input seed surface roughness increases, the distribution of microbeads appears to localize at the basal areas in the interasperity regions (see Figure 4k). This is indicative of a greater surface melting at the tips and could be caused by either (i) a greater electron flux at the asperities or (ii) restricted heat transfer through the relatively narrow nodular features. A schematic of the asperity–beam interaction is shown in Figure 4l, where the basal region of the deposit (i) shows submicron surface features consistent with those observed for irradiated continuous thick-film Cu (see Figure 2h). At asperity walls (ii), the scale of the ball features increases and the apparent wetting angle of the liquid phase Cu reduces, indicative of longer melt lifetimes. The smoother surface at the asperity tip (iii) is indicative of perfect wetting between the liquid and solid Cu phases or vaporization of the near-surface. Both phenomena are consistent with heat localization in the asperities. Perfect wetting could occur between the liquid and solid Cu phases (see Figure 4l), which would inhibit the formation of larger-scale beads, such as those observed in thin films where liquid Cu is in contact with the steel substrate (see Figure 2c).

The near-surface composition of the processed films was appraised by the EDS of the TEM lamella in cross section (see Figure 5), which is also supported by EDS compositional data acquired in the normal view of the same surface (see Supporting Information Figure S6). Consistent with the observations of Cu films, the near-surface composition is dominated by the native oxide layer, as well as carbon-containing species. The chemistry of surface oxides on the electrodeposited Cu has previously been shown to be a mixture of Cu$_2$O and CuO, with metallic Cu unlikely at the surface under ambient conditions. In this study, no SAED diffraction patterns correlating with either Cu$_2$O or CuO oxide phases were isolated from the bulk Cu, despite the relatively high oxygen concentration visible at the near-surface in Figure 5. This indicates a lack of oxide crystallinity. XRD analysis also shows no evidence of reflections that could be attributable to.

Figure 5. Appraising surface composition with EDS. EDS mapping area marked with a black box. O and C signals are shown to be localized in the top 200 nm of the surface layer, shown in the cross section. Pt signal is a result of the protective coating. TEM scale bars are 500 nm.
either oxide phase (see Supporting Information Figure S4). In prior X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy experiments, carbon-based adsorbed species on electrodeposited metal surfaces have been shown to be dominated by hydrocarbon groups: C=C, C≡C/C=H and C==O;\textsuperscript{15,38} which are proposed to lower the surface energy of the metallic films.

Therefore, the observed surface chemistry appears to be consistent with the time-dependent state of electrodeposited Cu, whereby the oxide and adventitious carbon species effectively lower the surface energy of the coating top surface and lead to interesting wetting properties. Precise quantification of the surface energy is challenged by the relatively complex topography, even of the smoothest surfaces prior to HCPEB treatment (see Figure 4). In addition, the mechanism of time-dependent wetting is related to the adsorption of low-surface-energy species to the Cu, the efficacy of which is likely to be related to the surface area and therefore the roughness.

3.3. Wetting Properties and Pattern Stability and Durability. The ability to manipulate fluid-facing properties was appraised with the sessile apparent water contact angle (WCA) of deionized water (3 μL droplet volume, five repeats per sample). WCA was measured as outlined in the Experimental Section after leaving under ambient conditions >1 week from the final processing step (see Figure 6).

Counterintuitive observation of high apparent hydrophobicity in metals has been determined to result from the adsorption of adventitious species by surface-sensitive techniques like X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{15,38} As-deposited Cu films have low apparent hydrophobicity (WCA > 98°), with no overall increase in hydrophobicity over the grit-blasted steel substrate (104°). A slight increase in WCA is shown for deposition at current densities ≥ 0.078 A/mm\textsuperscript{2} (>107°), where the surfaces are more complex. However, the scale of the surface features shown in the SE micrographs in Figure 4c,d is likely too large to fully inhibit wetting and the development of the Cassie-Baxter-type air-trapping state.

The HCPEB treatment increases the apparent hydrophobicity of the Cu films deposited at all current densities over the as-deposited coating. While this increase appears counterintuitive considering the decreasing roughness upon HCPEB (see Figure 4i,j), this increase in hydrophobicity is related to the hierarchy of the submicron features (see Figure 4e,f) upon electron beam irradiation, on top of the macroscale features measured by FVM. There is a linear relationship between the mean WCA and current density within this current density range (\textit{R}^2 = 0.99). At low current densities (0.022 A/mm\textsuperscript{2}), the mean WCA is 118°, while at high current densities (0.101 A/mm\textsuperscript{2}), the mean WCA further increases (144°). This indicates that the HCPEB-generated macroscale surface features (see Figure 4e,f) disrupt the wetting properties of the Cu film. It is likely that an air-trapping wetting state is developed when a water droplet is deposited at the surface of a HCPEB-processed sample. Here, the hierarchical topographies allow trapped air and additional fluid–vapor interfaces, disrupting further wetting. In addition, it should be noted that the hydrophobicity of the uncoated steel substrate decreases slightly upon electron irradiation (98°), likely a result of the smoothing of asperities. This is consistent with the observations of HCPEB treatment of stainless steel\textsuperscript{43} and indicates that the increase in hydrophobicity observed after electron processing of the films is a function of the scale of balling across the surface. It is assistive in the context of creating an industrially viable material processing technique that the highest hydrophobicity is observed at higher current densities, where the mass transfer rates are higher and deposition times are faster.

These samples were retested after an extended period under ambient conditions (30 weeks; see the Experimental Section) to appraise the stability of wetting properties after natural aging treatment. Dynamic contact angles were measured to characterize adhesion properties of the treated surfaces after this time period, following the methodology outlined in Experimental Section. Here, the tilting base method was applied, with advancing and receding contact angles measured at 10° increments. This was appraised for the smoothest (deposited at 0.022 A/mm\textsuperscript{2}) and the roughest surfaces (deposited at 0.101 A/mm\textsuperscript{2}), both in the as-deposited condition and after thermal activation, referring to the \textit{S}_q roughness values reported in Figure 4i.

Figure 7 shows the dynamic contact angles recorded for 3 μL water droplets placed on the surfaces deposited at 0.022 A/mm\textsuperscript{2} without (Figure 7a,b) and with thermal activation (Figure 7c,d). In all cases, the droplet stays pinned to the surface when the stage is rotated through 90°, despite both surfaces exhibiting hydrophobic initial conditions (115° with and 131° without thermal activation); thus, for this droplet size, there is no observable roll-off angle. Pinning at 90° tilt with larger droplet volumes (5, 7.5, and 10 μL) is additionally shown in Supporting Information Figure S5. Droplet pinning indicates that although even these smoother low current density metallic surfaces are hydrophobic, there are hydrophilic aspects of the topography or chemistry that prevent droplet roll-off and decrease the receding contact angle. This is reflected in the contact angle hysteresis at 90° tilt, which increases from 31° for the as-deposited surface to 41° upon HCPEB treatment. It can be observed that this difference in hysteresis between the surface conditions is driven predominantly by the difference in the advancing contact angle (\textit{θ}_a 119° as-deposited and \textit{θ}_a 137° after HCPEB treatment), rather than the receding contact angle (\textit{θ}_r 88° as-deposited and \textit{θ}_r 96° after HCPEB treatment).

Figure 7c,d shows the dynamic wetting of the roughest surfaces (deposited at 0.101 A/mm\textsuperscript{2}) appraised with droplets of the same volume (3 μL). As previously indicated in Figure...
Figure 7. Contact angle hysteresis increases upon HCPEB processing at low current density. Images of droplets at 0° and 90° of stage tilt. For (a) 0.022 A/mm² as-deposited, (b) 0.022 A/mm² after HCPEB treatment, (c) 0.101 A/mm² as-deposited, and (d) 0.101 A/mm² after HCPEB treatment. Smooth asperities are likely to be responsible for the adhesive effect through contact line pinning, while spreading is likely inhibited by the microbeads. Advancing and receding contact angles are measured with a 3 μL droplet.

Figure 8. Thermal activation consolidates and strengthens the coating. Observations of samples ultrasonically agitated (20 min at 40 kHz): the as-deposited coating at (a) 0.022 A/mm², showing exposed substrate, (b) at 0.101 A/mm², showing fragmentation/spalling damage, the HCPEB-treated surfaces at (c) 0.022 and (d) 0.101 A/mm² showing no apparent surface damage after the equivalent treatment. SEM scale bars are 50 μm.

6, the initial sessile contact angles of the two surfaces have high apparent hydrophobicity both in the as-deposited condition and after HCPEB treatment (127 and 149°, respectively). Despite the surfaces being more hydrophobic than those deposited at 0.022 A/mm² (see Figure 7a,b), the droplets are pinned to the surface in the same manner as the stage is tilted.
through 90°, and no roll-off angle is apparent for this droplet volume, or others, up to 10 μL (see Supporting Information Figure S5). This is revealed in the similar receding contact angles for the two surfaces at 90° tilt (θr = 99° as-deposited, θr = 92° after HCPEB), which are similar to those acquired from the surfaces deposited at low current density. Figure 7d shows that the contact angle hysteresis at 90° tilt is higher for the HCPEB-treated sample (68°) than for the as-deposited surface (36°), where this difference is caused by the difference in the advancing contact angle (θa = 135° as-deposited, θa = 160° HCPEB).

This state of high hydrophobicity and high adhesion has been observed on natural surfaces, such as the petals of certain roses.44 In this case, it is proposed that the microbeading of the topography impedes water droplet spreading, thus increasing the advancing contact angle, most likely through an air-trapping mechanism. This is relevant in the context that both surfaces have been exposed to the same conditions since the last processing step and thus are likely to have similar chemical local surface heterogeneities. Supporting Information Figure S6 indicates that the surfaces all have similar chemical compositions.

The durability of the hydrophobic patterns was appraised by immersing the samples (after 30 weeks of ambient condition aging) in deionized water in an ultrasonic bath (40 kHz), where they were agitated for 20 min at 21 °C (see Experimental Section). The effect of thermal activation on the integrity of the hydrophobic patterns after agitation can be observed in Figure 8. The as-deposited EJP films appear to be susceptible to fragmentation and spalling damage resulting from ultrasonic agitation than the thermally activated surfaces, leading to substrate exposure at both high and low current densities (see Figure 8a,b).

This is more prominent for the high current density deposits (0.101 A/mm²), which appear especially vulnerable to fragmentation. At higher EJP current densities, the deposition rate is greater and the locality of accretion becomes increasingly dependent on diffusion. This means that an interfacial bonding between the film and the substrate is likely to be more inconsistent than that observed at lower current densities (e.g., 0.022 A/mm²); thus, the Cu layer delamination is easier. Upon fragmentation, the underlying steel substrate can be seen where the coating has locally detached. On the contrary, upon thermal activation, similar fragmentation damage is not apparent in the micrographs at low and high current densities (see Figure 8c,d), and the integrity of the surface appears to be retained. This indicates that the HCPEB treatment enhances the durability of the hydrophobic patterns, in addition to adapting the wetting properties.

The fragmentation/spalling damage observed in the as-deposited samples is likely caused by ultrasonic-induced microcracking, which would initiate at the interface between the substrate and the coating. On the as-deposited material, these cracks are able to propagate through the coating to the surface where relatively large fragments of deposit are able to separate and be removed by ultrasonic agitation. The HCPEB treatment refines the near-surface (≤6 μm) metallurgy (see Figure 3b), so it is likely that similar microcracks are formed at the Cu–steel interface in thermally activated samples. However, the refined microstructural properties associated with near-surface grain refinement are likely to attenuate crack propagation, and fragmentation-type damage appears to be limited at the surface.

The long-term stability and the durability of the patterns were appraised by the static droplet method (3 μL volume) to appraise the effect of (i) natural aging (30 weeks) and (ii) the ultrasonic agitation treatment on the wetting properties of the materials. The surfaces were left for 2 weeks under ambient conditions after ultrasonic agitation to achieve stable surface chemistry.43 Figure 9a shows the static water contact angle after 30 weeks of ambient aging (but before ultrasonic agitation), indicating that the wetting properties of the Cu coatings produced by the combined approach appear not to have degraded over this time period. For the high current density surfaces, the water contact angle appears stable (0.078 A/mm²: 138°, 0.101 A/mm²: 147°), while the lower current density surfaces show an appreciable increase in the apparent hydrophobicity (0.022 A/mm²: 117°, 0.050 A/mm²: 135°) when compared with the data acquired after >1 week of ambient condition storage (see Figure 6).

In addition, the apparent hydrophobicity of the as-deposited coatings has also increased from the initially recorded values. As the surface topography has not changed over the 30 week time period (see Supporting Information Figure S6), these increases can be explained by gradual changes in surface chemistry, such as further surface oxidation and adsorption of...
hydrocarbon species over the extended time period. This is also evidenced by the increase in the apparent contact angle of the steel substrate, exposed to the same conditions evidenced in Figure 9a (0 A/mm² data) for both treatments, over the same time period (as-deposited: 123°, HCPEB: 117°), compared with the initial data acquired after 1 week from the last processing step, shown in Figure 6 (as-deposited: 105°, HCPEB: 98°).

Figure 9b shows the water contact angles of the aged surfaces after ultrasonic agitation. Regarding the thermally activated samples, the apparent hydrophobicity is shown to increase from 120 to 132° across the range: 0.022−0.101 A/mm². For the highest current density sample (0.101 A/mm²), this is a decrease in the mean water contact angle of 12° from the initial data acquired after 1 week (see Figure 6) and 16° from the data acquired after 30 weeks of aging, before ultrasonic agitation. For the lowest current density sample (0.022 A/mm²), the mean water contact angle is within the range of error range (1° difference) of the data acquired after 1 week and 16° from the data acquired after 30 weeks of aging, before ultrasonic agitation. For the lowest current density sample (0.022 A/mm²), the mean water contact angle is within the range of error range (1° difference) of the data acquired after 1 week and 16° from the data acquired after 30 weeks of aging, before ultrasonic agitation. Upon ultrasonic treatment, the as-deposited surfaces show lower water contact angles (103−121°) than the thermally activated surfaces across the same current density range (0.022−0.101 A/mm²), with a wider data spread. While the ultrasonic treatment has reduced the apparent hydrophobicity of all surfaces from that shown in Figure 9a, the difference is more marked in the as-deposited samples (27° at 0.022 A/mm² and 19° at 0.101 A/mm²).

Ultimately, Figure 9b shows that the HCPEB-irradiated Cu films have more stable wetting properties after ultrasonic agitation than the as-deposited films, after identical ultrasonic treatment. This indicates that the thermal activation step and the associated microstructural consolidation have enhanced the durability of the Cu films. The mechanism through which the HCPEB treatment stabilizes the recorded hydrophobicity is likely through the prevention of surface damage, such as that shown in Figure 8. In particular, it is noticeable that the as-deposited surfaces (after agitation) have a much wider spread of data than the thermally activated samples, which is indicative of inhomogeneous wetting across the 10 × 10 mm surface area in the former case. It is considered that the revelation of the less hydrophobic steel substrate upon ultrasonic agitation leads to the differential apparent hydrophobicity across the same sample surface.

3.4. Patterning Hydrophobicity on Complex Surfaces. The combined approach was applied to selectively impose a hierarchical patterned surface on a large (40 × 20 × 2.5 mm) workpiece of complex geometry (see Figure 10 a) to demonstrate process versatility and methodological insensitivity toward curved surfaces like aerofoils. From the metrological inspection of a component surface and integration of computer-aided manufacturing technologies, CNC-EJP enables the electrochemical direct writing of free-form Cu seed surfaces. In this instance, a lattice toolpath (45° angular separation) was overlaid onto the workpiece, where the IEG was maintained as a function of the nozzle location in comparison to the measured workpiece surface coordinates (x, y, z). The result is shown in the reconstruction in Figure 10b, after the combined approach to surface creation (EJP: 0.101 A/mm², 4 passes, HCPEB: 30 kV, 50 cycles). The hydrophobic patterns were written using a 1 mm I.D. nozzle to maintain experimental consistency with the rest of the study.
Higher pattern resolutions in EJP can be achieved by scaling down the nozzle size, where the effective line width is directly proportional to the diameter of the impinging jet and is also influenced by the tilting angle and the electrolyte chemistry.\(^{45}\)

Consistent with the film thickness findings (see Section 3.1), each discrete track is bounded by a more reflective strip upon irradiation, where the normal current density distribution leads to smaller local deposition masses (see Figure 10c). This is shown in the optical micrograph in Figure 10d, revealing the interface between the substrate, the thin-film, and the thick-film regions, where the dull appearance in the former case is indicative of increasing light scattering from the surface of the hierarchically structured material. The larger length-scale beading within the thin-film region leads to increased reflectance.

SE micrographs (see Figure 10c,f) demonstrate the differential length scales in the micro- and nanoscale structuring between the edge and the center of the track, respectively. The latter of which (see Figure 10f) is responsible for the high apparent hydrophobicity of these selective coatings. The photographs in Figure 10g show water droplets manually placed onto the track intersections, after which the sample was manipulated into different orientations. A thin film of trapped air can be observed at the interface between the water droplets and the coating, observed as a difference in the refractive index between the two transparent phases. These droplets demonstrate high adhesion to the coating, regardless of workpiece orientation, which is indicative of a complex wetting state, such as "rose petal hydrophobicity" or the Cassie-impregnating state.\(^{44,46}\) In this mechanism, the smoother asperity tips (see Figure 4k) enable stronger wetting of the substrates that are likely to trap air pockets more efficiently.\(^{47}\) Where the substrate is exposed, a lower water contact angle is observed showing spatial wettability contrast on the complex geometry surface (see Figure 10h).

In addition to direct patterning of Cu, thermally activated electrodeposits can be electrochemically etched using the same EJP setup, simply by switching the workpiece--nozzle polarity to effect anodic dissolution.\(^{30,45}\) Through reducing the nozzle diameter for the etching process (0.25 mm) and by increasing the striation separation distance (1.0 mm), the Cu film was dissolved in a controlled manner at an elevated current density (2.00 A/mm\(^2\)) to reveal a series of parallel striations of the exposed substrate, shown in the optical micrograph in Figure 11a (see the Experimental Section). In this case, the programmed interstriation separation is approximately equal to the periodicity of the striations. The remnant areas of the Cu film have also etched in the anodic dissolution process to reveal a high-surface-area metallic foam (see Figure 11b), while the exposed steel substrate topography appears significantly smoother under microscopic inspection (see Figure 11c).

The effect of spatial manipulation of both composition and topography across the surface was appraised by placing a small water droplet (1.5 μL) into the center of a striation to observe the interaction between the liquid, the Cu film, and the steel substrate. The sample was left under ambient conditions for 1 week after the etching step prior to droplet placement. The frames in Figure 11d show the same droplet at 90° of angular separation, where the left frame is the view parallel to the striations, and the right frame shows the view perpendicular to the striations. The parallel view water contact angle is much greater (145° ± 1°) than the perpendicular view contact angle (85° ± 1°). In this case, the high contact angle anisotropy (Δθ ≈ 60°) is a result of the hydrophilic etched substrate in comparison to the hydrophobic Cu surface. Figure 7 shows that the HCPEB-treated Cu films have high advancing contact angles, which prevents the wetting of the Cu area fractions. On
the other hand, the more hydrophilic substrate material leads to droplet desorption and contact angle anisotropy (see Figure 11e).

The additional etching step demonstrates a route through which passive directional fluid transport could be modulated at fine length scales on the metallic surfaces. The high adhesion of the Cu patterns presented in this study leads to water pinning and thus will decrease the efficacy of the fluid transport routine in comparison to that observed in lower-surface-energy materials,48 or TiO2 nanotube arrays,49 and arrays modified with organic inks,50 which have been shown to be highly efficient at continuous flow transport. However, the thermally activated patterns presented here are shown to (i) be resistant to superficial surface damage, and (ii) the results presented in this study indicate that time-dependent contamination does not negatively affect the apparent hydrophobicity. Both of these factors are assistive for application in adverse external environments. In addition, it is possible that the subsequent modification of these metallic films with low-surface-energy materials will allow for more controlled adhesive properties.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A new surface creation methodology has been demonstrated, in which electrochemically deposited surfaces have been thermally activated to create a controlled beading effect. Electrochemical jet processing was applied to selectively electrodeposit predominantly dense Cu films with good interfacial bonding to the stainless steel in a “direct-write” fashion, and these coatings have been modified using a HCPEB system. The electron beam treatment affects the nanoscale and microscale surface textures, the scale of which can be tuned by the film thickness, which influences the rate of heat transfer from the surface upon HCPEB. In addition, the electron beam treatment has been shown to refine the grain size of the near-surface, which is likely to enhance the mechanical properties such as hardness, through grain boundary strengthening mechanisms. Manipulating the current density can enable the creation of hierarchical surfaces, displaying roughness at multiple length scales, which has been shown to significantly increase the apparent mean hydrophobicity from 98 to 144° after the HCPEB treatment for samples deposited at 0.101 A/mm², which appear stable after 30 weeks and more resistant to ultrasonic agitation than geometries and has been applied to create periodic patterns of Cu films (Figure S4); pinned water droplets of different volumes (Figure S5); EDS area spectral data (Figure S6); and nonexhaustive table of metals (Table S1) (PDF)
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