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Abstract

Background

The order Oedogoniales can be divided into three genera, Oedogonium, Oedocladium, and Bulbochaete based on traditional morphological criteria. While several molecular phylogenetic studies have suggested that both Oedogonium and Oedocladium may not be monophyletic, broader taxon sampling and large amounts of molecular data acquisition could help to resolve the phylogeny and evolutionary problems of this order. This study determined five chloroplast (cp) genomes of Oedogonium species and aimed to provide further information on cp genome for a better understanding of the phylogenetic and evolutionary relationships of the order Oedogoniales.

Results

The five Oedogonium cp genomes showed typical quadripartite and circular structures, and were relatively conserved in their structure, gene synteny, and inverted repeats boundaries in general, except for small variation in genome sizes, AT contents, introns, and repeats. Phylogenetic analyses based on 54 cp protein-coding genes examined by maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses using amino acid and nucleotide datasets indicated that both Oedocladium and Oedogonium are polyphyletic groups. A positively selected gene (psbA) was identified in the two Oedocladium species and the terrestrial Oedogonium species, indicating that terrestrial Oedogoniales taxa may have undergone adaptive evolution to adjust to the difference in light intensity between aquatic and terrestrial habitats.

Conclusions

Our results enrich the data on cp genomes of the genus Oedogonium. The availability of these cp genomes can help in understanding the cp genome characteristics and resolve phylogenetic and evolutionary relationships of the order Oedogoniales.

Background

The order Oedogoniales includes three genera: Oedogonium Link ex Him, Oedocladium Stahl, and Bulbochaete Agardh [1-4]. More than 600 species have been described in this order, most of which can be found in fresh waters throughout the world, although Oedocladium species are mainly found on soil surfaces, a few species of Oedogonium are found in moist soil surfaces. The presence of branches and hairs are the genus-level characteristics to distinguish this order; Oedogonium has simple and unbranched filaments, Bulbochaete has bulb-based hairs, and Oedocladium has branched filaments [4-13]. While some molecular phylogenetic studies on Oedogoniales have suggested that this order is monophyletic, both Oedogonium and Oedocladium do not appear to be monophyletic, and the morphological criteria of Oedogoniales do not define natural groups, making its evolutionary position unclear [14-19].

Chloroplast (cp) genomes have been found to be ideal for phylogenetic analysis and molecular evolution studies owing to advantages such as low evolution rate and maternal inheritance [20-24], and plastome has been increasingly used for phylogenetic and evolutionary studies of green algae. For example, Claude Lemieux et al. [25] conducted cp phylogenetic analysis based on the cp genes of 61 chlorophytes and revealed that Trebouxiophyceae is not monophyletic. Zhang et al. [26] demonstrated the adaptive mechanism of sea-ice environment by analyzing the molecular evolution of an Antarctic sea ice alga Chlamydomonas sp. based on cp protein-coding genes. However, only four cp genomes of Oedogoniales are currently available in public databases [27, 28], restricting the phylogenetic analysis and molecular evolution studies based on cp genomes of this group.

Nucleotide substitution rates are often used as the criterion to reflect selection pressure. While nonsynonymous substitution rates (dN) can cause amino acid change, synonymous substitution rates (dS) do not cause amino acid change. The dN/dS ratio is the measure of natural selection acting on the protein. According to Yang [29], dN/dS < 1 denotes negative purifying selection, dN/dS = 1 signifies neutral evolution, and dN/dS > 1 indicates positive selection [30]. As most of the plastid protein-coding genes undergo negative or purifying selection to maintain their function, they are conserved and have a low dN/dS ratio. However, some genes might undergo positive selection in response to environmental changes, consequently presenting relatively high dN/dS ratio [31-34].

In this study, the cp genomes of five Oedogonium species, were sequenced and an in-depth analysis of these genomes, including comparative analysis with previously reported Oedocladium and Oedogonium cp genomes, was performed. Furthermore, phylogenetic analysis and evolutionary study of the order Oedogoniales were conducted based on cp protein-coding genes and a positively selected gene was identified in Oedocladium species. The results of this study could be useful to understand the phylogenetic and evolutionary relationships of Oedogoniales.

Results

Species identification

Description

Oedogonium dentireticulatum

Nannandrous, gynandrosporous; vegetative cells cylindrical; oogonium single, subglobose, poriferous, pore median to inframedian, oospore of the same form as oogonium, nearly or completely filling the oogonium, outer layer reticulate and dentate, teeth spreading form reticulations; suffulutory cells slightly inflated or inflated; androsporangia single or up to 2 seriate, scattered; dwarf males on suffulutory cells, stipes slightly curved, antheridia exteriors, 1 or 2 continuous; top and base of the filaments often slender; apical and basal cells not observed; vegetative cells generally 5–9 times as long as their width (Figure 1A–D).

Vegetative cells: 14–19 × 35–91 μm
Oogonium: 33–48 × 33–51 μm
Oospore with dentate teeth: 33–49 × 33–51 μm
Oospore without dentate teeth: 27–37 × 29–36 μm
Androsporangia: 13–15 × 12–14 μm
Antheridium: 7 × 11 μm

**Oedogonium crispum**

Monoecious; oogonium usually single, obovoid-globose, operculate, division superior; oospore globose or subglobose, nearly or completely filling the oogonium, spore wall smooth; antheridium single, subepigynous; sperms 2, division horizontal; terminal cells apically obtuse; basal cells elongate; vegetative cells generally 3–4.5 times as long as their width (Figure 1E–I).

Vegetative cells: 10–14 × 24–36 μm
Oogonium: 33–38 × 30–41 μm
Oospore: 28–34 × 27–32 μm
Antheridium: 5–8 × 10–12 μm.

**Oedogonium capilliforme**

 Dioecious, macrandrous; oogonium single, slightly inflated, rarely 2 continuous, obovoid to subovoid, with superior pore; oospore variable, ovoid-globose, subglobose, or globose, nearly or completely filling the oogonium, spore wall smooth; antheridium 2–7 in a series, often alternating with the vegetative cell; sperms 2, division horizontal; terminal cells apically apiculate; basal cells elongate; vegetative cells generally 1–3 times as long as their width (Figure 2A–E).

Female vegetative cells: 20–29 × 54–95 μm; male vegetative cells: 19–24 × 42–62 μm
Oogonium: 45–53 × 48–69 μm
Oospore: 44–49 × 42–50 μm
Antheridium: 14–17 × 9–13 μm.

The characteristics of all the five *Oedogonium* species were examined, and strains FACHB-3309, FACHB-3310, and FACHB-3312 were identified as *Oe. dentireticulatum*, *O. crispum*, and *Oe. Capilliforme*, respectively. With regard to strains FACHB-3311 (Figure 2F) and FACHB-3313 (Figure 2G), the entire sexual features could not be observed; however, the filaments of both of these strains were unbranched, indicating that they obviously belonged to the genus *Oedogonium*. In particular, strain FACHB-3313 exhibited unbranched rhizoids that resembled those of *Oedocladium*.

**General characteristics and comparison of Oedogoniales cp genomes**

Table 1 summarizes the cp genomes characteristics of the five newly included *Oedogonium* species, three reported *Oedocladium* taxa and one *Oedogonium* species. The complete cp genomes of the nine species of Oedogoniales ranged from 146,367 bp (*O. crispum*) to 204,438 bp (*O. carolinianum*) in length. All of the five *Oedogonium* cp genomes displayed typical circular mapping with a large single copy (LSC) region (76,475–98,887 bp), a small single copy (SSC) region (43,305–58,055 bp), and two inverted repeats (IR) regions (12,808–35,492 bp) (Supplementary Figs S1–S5). The overall AT content in each cp genome was comparable and showed a little difference among the species, ranging from 69.98% (strain FACHB-3311) to 72.66% (*O. prescottii*); besides, difference was noted in coding proportion, which varied from 51.4% (*O. carolinianum*) to 69.5% (*O. prescottii*). The cp genomes of six *Oedogonium* species were moderately compact relative to those of the *Oedocladium* species. The number of genes located in the plus or minus strand showed some differences. All the cp genomes contained 68 protein-coding genes and three rRNA genes, except for the cp genome of *Oe. cardiacum*, which had two additional genes (*dpoB* and *inf*) located in the IR region. With respect to tRNA, the cp genomes showed slight difference as follows: *Oe. cardiacum* exhibited two additional *tmR*(ccu) located in the IR regions and *Oe. dentireticulatum* (strain FACHB-3309) presented an additional *tmR*(ccu) in the LSC region; *Oe. sp.* (strain FACHB-3313) contained two additional *tmR*(ccg) in the IR regions and *O. carolinianum* had an additional *tmR*(ccg) in the LSC region; and *O. carolinianum* had an additional *tmS*(gga) in the LSC region. Sequence repeats of more than 30 bp were less frequent (3.9%–4.9%) in the cp genomes of the five *Oedogonium* species when compared with those in the two *O. carolinianum* cp genomes, but were more frequent, when compared with those in the *Oe. cardiacum* cp genome.

**Introns content and insertion sites**

The introns content and insertion sites of the nine Oedogoniales cp genomes are listed in Table 1 and Supplementary Tables S1 and S2. The nine cp genomes significantly differed with respect to the introns content. *Oe. sp.* (strain FACHB-3311) had the maximum introns content with 26 group I introns and 11 group II introns. When compared with the other six *Oedogonium* cp genomes, multiple intron losses were observed in the cp genome of *Oe. crispum* (strain FACHB-3310), with four group I introns in *tmL*(uaa), *psbC*, *atpA*, and *psbD*, respectively, and four group II introns in *psbA*, *petD*, *psaC*, and *psaB*, respectively. Besides, similar to *O. prescottii*, *Oe. crispum* also exhibited introns losses in *psbA*. *Oe. sp.* (strain FACHB-3311) presented two additional group II introns in *chB* and *chL*, introns were first observed in them. All the nine cp genomes included group I introns in *tmL*(uaa), which is common across all algal lineages and is
considered to originate from the common ancestor of cp [35]. The nine cp genomes showed a certain variation in insertion sites. The common group I introns in *tmrL*(uua) and group II introns in *petB, psaC,* and *psbA* (only strain FACHB-3311 lost the intron in *psbA*) showed the same insertion sites. With regard to the other genes with introns, the insertion sites in different species showed similarities and variations. For instance, in *psbA*, the number of introns (introns in *psbA* are all group I) differed among the species, whereas the insertion sites of the first intron in *Oe. dentireticulatum* (strain FACHB-3309), *Oe.* sp. (strain FACHB-3311), and *Oe.* sp. (strain FACHB-3313) were identical. The two *O. carolinianum* were the same; however, the insertion site of the first intron in *Oe. capilliforme* was similar to that of the fourth intron in *Oe. dentireticulatum* and sp. (strain FACHB-3311).

### Discussion

In this study, we investigated five *Oedogonium* isolates from China, of which strains FACHB-3309, FACHB-3310, and FACHB-3312 were identified as *Oe. dentireticulatum, Oe. crispum,* and *Oe. capilliforme,* respectively. Strains FACHB-3311 and FACHB-3313 were considered to belong to the genus *Oedogonium* owing to their unbranched filaments; however, they could not be identified at species level owing to their lack of entire sexual characters.
Comparative analyses of the nine Oedogoniales cp genomes showed highly conserved structures and gene numbers. The cp genomes of the newly sequenced five *Oedogonium* species were found to share the same structure as the previously reported Oedogoniales cp genomes, and the structures of the tetrad were not altered, but were different from the other two orders in the OCC clade (the IR is obliterated in the reported cp genomes in Chaetophorales and Floydiellidae of Chaetopeltidales). It has been indicated IR loss may be a synapomorphy marking the common ancestry of Chaetophorales and Chaetopeltidales [37]. The total length of these cp genomes was observed to vary within a relatively large range, extending from 146,367 bp (*Oe. crispum*) to 204,438 bp (*O. carolinianum*), which may be the result of contraction and expansion of IR regions and proportion of non-coding sequences, such as the introns content. Furthermore, the nine cp genomes showed highly conserved protein-coding genes and rRNAs number; however, they presented a slight difference in the tRNAs content. With regard to the introns content, the nine cp genomes exhibited relative variation, and the number of group I introns significantly differed, mainly owing to the diversity in the introns in *psbA*. In particular, introns (group II) were observed for the first time in *chb* and *chb* in *Oe. dentireticulatum*. All the nine cp genomes retained the group I introns in *tmL* (uaa) and group II introns in *petD* and *psaC*, and shared the same insertion sites. With regard to the other genes with introns, the insertion sites of different species showed similar variations and variations.

Synteny analyses revealed a relatively high degree of syntenic conservation among the nine cp genomes, and only one inverted segment was detected in *O. carolinianum* FACHB-2453 and *O. carolinianum* UTEX LB 1686. The other variations were mainly owing to the introns, and no structural variation was observed in the six *Oedogonium* species. The results of FastANI also supported the findings of synteny analyses, indicating that *Oe. capilliforme* had high similarity with *Oe. cardiacum*, and *Oe. dentireticulatum* resembled strain FACHB-3311.

IR regions are the most conserved regions in the cp genomes. Frequent expansions and contractions at the junctions of SSC and LSC with IRs illustrate the relationships among the taxa and have been recognized as evolutionary signals [38-42]. The nine species of Oedogoniales examined in the present study showed only a few variations at the junctions. When compared with the two *O. carolinianum*, *O. prescottii* showed higher similarities to the five *Oedogonium* species, and the five *Oedogonium* species were similar to each other. The IR regions of *O. prescottii* and *Oe. crispum* presented a contraction, when compared with those of the other Oedogoniales taxa, and the cp genomes of both *O. prescottii* and *Oe. crispum* exhibited the shortest length. Previous studies have indicated that IR expansion and contraction frequently result in variations in genome size, which can be applied to phylogenetics and genome evolution analyses [39, 40, 43], and gene conversion during speciation is considered to be responsible for small IR expansions or contractions [38, 40, 44-46].

Phylogenetic studies based on 54 cp protein-coding genes assayed using ML and Bayesian analyses with amino acid and nucleotide datasets showed that *Oedocladium* and *Oedogonium* are polyphyletic, which is in accordance with that reported previously. However, the location of *O. prescottii* remained uncertain, and the support value based on nucleotide dataset was not very high at the basal node, probably owing to the lack of sufficient representative taxa for this group as well as different evolutionary rates of the amino acid sequence and nucleotide data. Previous studies have proposed that larger sample sizes can substantially improve the phylogenetic results [47].

Positively selected genes are known to play a key role in adaptation to different environments and speciation [48-52], and it is necessary to understand the adaptive evolutionary history of *Oedocladium* species. The results of the present study showed that 291SER of *psbA* may be under positive selection with posterior probability higher than 99%. The genus *Oedocladium* (terrestrial) is presumed to have partly originated from *Oedogonium* species, which grow on moist soil surface and present underground filaments with slightly unbranched rhizoids [9]. The *psbA* encodes the photosystem II reaction center protein D1, which is one of the two reaction center proteins of photosystem II. Photosystem II is the first link in the chain of photosynthesis, and captures photons and uses the energy to extract electrons from water molecules [53]. It has been reported that the genes in the cp genome (including *psbA*) of *Curcuma* sp. show adaptive evolution to adapt to the changes in light conditions [54], and that the green alga *Chlamydomonas* sp. ICE-L underwent adaptive evolution to adapt to extreme polar environment [26]. We speculate that the *Oedocladium* species and terrestrial *Oedogonium* species could have partly originated from the aquatic *Oedogonium* species, and might have undergone adaptive evolution during this process to adapt to the difference in light intensity between aquatic and terrestrial habitats. Nevertheless, more genomic data, especially for terrestrial species, may help to verify these hypotheses and further understand the phylogenetic and evolutionary relationships of the order Oedogoniales.

**Conclusion**

The present study determined the cp genomes of five *Oedogonium* species and revealed that the overall structure and gene contents of the Oedogoniales cp genomes were relatively conserved, except for some variations in genome sizes, AT contents, introns, and repeats. Phylogenetic analysis based on 54 cp protein-coding genes indicated that both *Oedogonium* and *Oedocladium* are polyphyletic. The positively selected gene in the two *Oedocladium* species was identified, and the terrestrial *Oedogonium* species were speculated to have undergone adaptive evolution to adapt to the difference in light intensity between aquatic and terrestrial habitats. These findings not only strengthen our understanding of Oedogoniales cp genomes, but also help us to comprehend the phylogenetic and evolutionary relationships of the order Oedogoniales.

**Methods**

**Sampling, culture conditions, DNA extraction, and morphological observation**

**Species identification**

The strains described in this study were isolated from water or soil samples, and have been deposited to the Freshwater Algae Culture Collection at the Institute of Hydrobiology (FACHB collection), Wuhan, Hubei Province, China. Strain FACHB-3309 was collected from a paddy field in Hechuan (29°50'15" N, 112°12'29.25" E), Chongqing Province, China, in March 2019. Strain FACHB-3310 was collected from a pond in Lviang (37°34'20" N, 112°12'29.25" E), Shanxi Province, China, in March 2019. Strain FACHB-3311 was collected from a paddy field in Hechuan (29°50'15" N, 112°12'29.25" E), Chongqing Province, China, in July 2018. Strain FACHB-3312 was collected from a ditch in Wuhan (30°33'2" N, 114°25'48" E), Hubei Province, China, in April 2019. Strain FACHB-3313 was collected from a pond in Wuhan (30°33'2" N, 114°25'48" E), Hubei Province, China, in July 2018. Strain FACHB-3314 was collected from a pond in Wuhan (30°33'2" N, 114°25'48" E), Hubei Province, China, in April 2019. Strain FACHB-3315 was collected from a pond in Wuhan (30°33'2" N, 114°25'48" E), Hubei Province, China, in July 2018.
damp soil in a park in Haikou (20°2’23” N, 110°2’11” E), Hainan Province, China, in December 2018. All the strains were grown at 25°C in liquid BG11 medium under a 12/12-h light/dark cycle. An Olympus BX53 (Tokyo, Japan) light microscope equipped with an Olympus DP80 digital camera and CellSens standard image analysis software (Tokyo, Japan) were used for morphological examination.

Library preparation, sequencing, genome assembly, and annotation

A NEB Next Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) was used for preparing sequencing libraries, which were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform by a commercial provider (Novogene, Beijing, China). The methods of genome assembly and annotation have been described elsewhere [55]. The data were trimmed using SOAPnuke software [56] and assembled using SPAdes [57]. The resulting assembly contigs were considered to have originated from the cp genome if the (1) BLAST searches in publicly available cp genomes returned Chlorophyta species with significant e-values (1e-5); (2) GC content of the contigs was less than 45% (the GC content of previously sequenced green algal cp genomes is typically less than 45%); and (3) sequencing depth was more than 100-fold coverage. Subsequently, trimmed reads were aligned to the resulting assembly contigs using BWA-MEM [58]. If the reads mapped to two contigs, the order of the contigs was determined and one sequence was produced, which was confirmed by Sanger dyeodeoxy sequencing. The tRNA genes were identified using tRNascan-SE [59]. BLAST was used to refine the annotation results. Intron boundaries were determined by comparing intron-containing genes with homologs without introns, and intron subgroup affiliation was determined by modelling intron secondary structures [60, 61] using RNAwaeasel tool [62]. Forward and palindromic repeats larger than 30 bp were searched using Vmatch software (http://www.vmatch.de/) with the options f = 1-h = allmax and masked in the genome sequence by RepeatMasker (http://repeatmasker.org) running under the NCBI/RMBLAST (2.9.0+) search engine (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). The annotated sequences have been deposited to the NCBI GenBank database under the accession numbers MW250871–MW250875 (corresponding to strains FACHB-3309–FACHB-3313, respectively). Genome maps were generated using OrganellarGenomeDRAW [63].

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analysis of the algal strains was performed by examining the sequences of cp protein-coding genes based on amino acid and nucleotide datasets. The amino acid and nucleotide datasets of the cp genomes were assembled using the following 54 protein-coding genes: atpA, atpB, atpE, atpF, atpH, atpI, cemA, chlB, chlC, chlD, clpP, petA, petB, petD, petE, petL, psaA, psaB, psaC, psaI, petA, psbA, psbB, psbD, psbE, psbF, psbH, psbI, psbJ, psbK, psbL, psbM, psbN, psbT, rps12, rpl16, rpl2, rpl20, rps23, rps6, rps7, rps11, rps12, rps14, rps18, rps19, rps2, rps3, rps7, rps8, rps9, tufA, ycf12, ycf3, ycf4. The genes used in the amino acid dataset were aligned using MAFFT 7.0 [64], and those employed in the nucleotide dataset were additionally aligned using the MUSCLE function of MEGA7 [65] with the option "align codons" [66]. Ambiguous regions were removed from each alignment using trimAl 1.2 [67] with the option gt = 1.

Evolutionary models and partitions of the datasets were determined using PartitionFinder 2 [68], and the best partitions are shown in Table 2. ML and Bayesian analyses were used for inferring phylogenies. IQ-TREE web server [69] was employed to perform ML analysis with 1000 ultrafast bootstraps [70] and 1000 SH-aLRT tests [70, 71] to examine nodal support. Bayesian analysis was conducted using MrBayesv3.2.6 [72], and the dataset was partitioned as shown in Table 2. Markov chain Monte Carlo analyses were run with four Markov chains (three heated, one cold) for 1,000,000 generations, and trees were sampled every 1000 generations. In each round of calculation, a fixed number of samples (burn-in = 1 000) was discarded from the beginning of the chain. An alignment of the cp genome sequences of all the species of Oedogoniales was generated using Mauve ver. 2.3.1 with the progressive mode [73]. FastANI [74] was employed to determine the ANI of all the cp genomes.

Evolutionary analysis

Evolutionary analysis based on 54 cp protein-coding genes was conducted using the CODEML program of PAML v4.9 [29]. Branch-site model was utilized to find genes that possibly underwent positive selection. The improved branch-site model (model = 2, Nsites = 2) was used to detect signatures of positive selection on individual codons in a specific branch [75]. The three Oedocladium species and the terrestrial Oedogonium sp. (strain FACHB-3313) were set as the foreground branch. The null model assumed that no positive selection occurred on the foreground branch (fix_omega = 1, omega = 1), and the alternative model assumed that sites on the foreground branch were under positive selection (fix_omega = 0, omega = 2). LRT were used to test model fit and Chi-square test was applied for examining the P values. A correction was performed for multiple testing using an FDR criterion, and BEB method was employed to statistically identify sites under positive selection. Genes with an FDR-adjusted P < 0.05 were considered as putatively selected.

Abbreviations

OCC clade: Oedogoniales, chaetophorales, and chaetopeltidales; IR: Inverted repeats; ML: Maximum likelihood; cpDNA: Chloroplast DNA; Oe.: Oedogonium; O.: Oedocladium.
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Table 1. General features of nine oedogonialean chloroplast genomes.

| Genomic Feature       | *O. prescottii* | *O. carolinianum* (FACHB-2453) | *O. carolinianum* | *Oe. cardiacum* | *Oe. dentireticulatum* | *Oe. crispum* (FACHB-3311) | *Oe. sp.* (FACHB-3311) | *Oe. capilliforme* |
|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Size (bp)             |                |                                |                  |                |                        |                            |                          |                      |
| Total                 | 154,978        | 200,832                        | 204,438          | 196,547        | 159,341                | 146,367                    | 187,104                  | 195,349              |
| IR                    | 12,808         | 22,275                         | 23,748           | 35,492         | 19,159                 | 13,284                     | 25,841                   | 35,138               |
| LSC                   | 80,821         | 98,462                         | 98,887           | 80,363         | 77,718                 | 76,475                     | 86,403                   | 80,003               |
| SSC                   | 48,542         | 57,820                         | 58,055           | 45,200         | 43,305                 | 43,324                     | 49,019                   | 45,070               |
| A+T(%)                | 72.66          | 70.51                          | 70.2             | 70.5           | 71.46                  | 71.28                      | 69.98                    | 70.64                |
| Coding proportion*    | 67.0%          | 52.7%                          | 51.4%            | 55.9%          | 64.1%                  | 69.5%                      | 54.8%                    | 52.8%                |
| Gene (+/-)bc          | 49/60          | 50/61                          | 50/61            | 52/63          | 50/59                  | 50/61                      | 49/60                    | 49/60                |
| Protein-coding genes (number/proportion) | 68/29 | 68/29 | 68/29 | 70/31 | 68/29 | 68/29 | 68/29 | 68/29 |
| rRNA(number/proportion)| 3/3            | 3/3                            | 3/3              | 3/3            | 3/3                    | 3/3                        | 3/3                      | 3/3                  |
| tRNA(number/proportion)| 28/17          | 30/19                          | 30/19            | 29/18          | 29/18                  | 29/18                      | 28/18                    | 28/18                |
| Introns               | 6/5            | 15/7                           | 17/7             | 21/17          | 22/18                  | 8/5                        | 37/24                    | 24/17                |
| Group I (no.)         | 1/1            | 5/7                            | 7/7              | 17/18          | 18/4                   | 4/5                        | 26/20                    | 20/18                |
| Group II (no.)        | 5/4            | 10/4                           | 10/4             | 4/4            | 4/4                    | 4/4                        | 11/4                     | 4/4                  |
| Repeatsd(%)           | 4.8            | 8.9                            | 11.3             | 1.3            | 3.9                    | 4.0                        | 4.7                      | 3.3                  |
| Accession number      | MT364368       | MT364369                        | NC_031510        | EU677193       | MW250871               | MW250872                   | MW250873                 | MW250874             |

*a The coding proportion only includes all annotated protein-, rRNA-, and tRNA-coding regions; b Gene and CDS numbers do not include ORF genes; c The plus-minus sign means number of genes in plus strain (left side of slash) or minus strain (right side of slash). d Non-overlapping repeat elements were mapped on each genome with RepeatMasker using as input sequences the repeats ≥30 bp identified with Vmatch.

Table 2. Partition scheme of 54 concatenated chloroplast protein-coding genes used in this study.
| Subset | Best model | Partition scheme | Best model | Partition scheme |
|--------|------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
|        |            |                  |            |                  |
| 1      | LG+I+G     | rps12, chl, atpB, atpA | GTR+I+G   | atpA, rps19, atpF, atpl, ycf12, petL |
| 2      | LG+G       | atpF, chN, atpE  | GTR+I+G   | psaJ, psbZ, psbB, psaB, petD, psbD, psbK, petB, ycf3, psbH, rpl16, atpB, rpl14 |
| 3      | MTZOA+G+F  | psbM, petB, psbI, psbE, psbT, psbN, atpH, psbD, psbB, psaA, psaB | GTR+I+G   | ycf4, rps12, rpl2, atpE |
| 4      | CPREV+G    | rps19, atpI, chB, rpl16, rpl2, rpl5 | GTR+G     | atpH, psbA |
| 5      | CPREV+G    | rps14, rpl20, rps9, cemA, ycfA | GTR+G     | psbM, psbI, psaC, psbL, psbF, psbE, cemA, rpl36, rps14 |
| 6      | JTT+G+F    | rps18, rps8, rpl23, rps3, clpP | GTR+I+G   | psbN, chB, chL, rps11, rpl5, chN |
| 7      | MTZOA+G    | psaJ, psbF, psbJ, psbK, pelI, petL, psbZ, petD | GTR+G     | clpP, rps7 |
| 8      | LG         | petG, ycf3      | GTR+G     | psbI, psbT, petG |
| 9      | PMB+G      | rpl36, psbA, psaC | GTR+I+G   | psaA |
| 10     | LG         | rps11, psbL, rpl14 | GTR+G     | rps2, rps8, rps9, rpl20, rpl23, rps18 |
| 11     | LG+G       | rps2            | GTR+G     | rps3 |
| 12     | FLU+G      | rps7            |           |      |
| 13     | LG4M+G     | tuFA            |           |      |
| 14     | MTZOA      | ycf12           |           |      |

**Figures**
Figure 1

Photos of habitat and light microscopy of five Oedogonium strains. Fig 1 (A-D) Oe. dentireticulum. A. Showing the unbranched filament with oogonium, dwarf males and androsporangia. B. Showing the dwarf male with two seriate and the oogonium. C. Showing the median pore. D. Sowing the oospore with dentate teeth. Figs 1 (E-I) Oe. crispum. E. Showing the unbranched filament with oogonium and antheridium. F. Showing the sperms division horizontal, sperms 2. G. Showing the oogonium single, obovoid-globose, operculate, division superior. H. Terminal cell apically obtuse. I. Elongated basal cell.
Figure 2

Photos of habitat and light microscopy of five Oedogonium strains. Fig 1. (A-E) Oe. capilliforme. A. Unbranched female filament with oogonium single or 2-continues, oogonium with superior pore. B. Oogonium with median pore. C. Antheridium in 2-7 in a series, with sperms 2, division horizontal. D. Apiculate terminal cell. E. Elongated basal cell. F. Oe. sp. (strain FACHB-3311), showing the unbranched filament with young oogonium. G. Oe. sp. (strain FACHB-3313), unbranched filament with rhizoid (4% formaldehyde fixed sample). Scale bars: 20 μm.
Figure 3

Syntenic comparison of Oedogoniales algae chloroplast genomes using progressiveMauve. The coloured syntenic blocks are local collinear blocks; blocks above the centre line indicate they are on the same strand, and blocks below the center line indicate they are on the opposite strand.
Figure 4

Comparison of the IR-SC boundaries among nine Oedogoniales species.
**Figure 5**

Phylogenetic tree based on 54 chloroplast genes was generated by the amino acid data sets. Numbers on the left and right side at the branches represent Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap values, respectively. Scale bar indicates substitutions per site.
Phylogenetic tree based on 54 chloroplast genes was generated by the nucleotide data sets. Numbers on the left and right side at the branches represent Bayesian posterior probabilities and bootstrap values, respectively. Scale bar indicates substitutions per site.
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