Canonical Wnt Signaling Promotes Formation of Somatic Permeability Barrier for Proper Germ Cell Differentiation

Ting-An Chen1†‡, Kun-Yang Lin1†‡, Shun-Min Yang1,2‡, Chen-Yuan Tseng1†, Chi-Hung Lin1, Lichao Luo3†, Yu Cai3,4 and Hwei-Jan Hsu1*†

1Institute of Cellular and Organismic Biology, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan, 2Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czechia, 3Temasek Life Science Laboratory, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, 4Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

Morphogen-mediated signaling is critical for proper organ development and stem cell function, and well-characterized mechanisms spatiotemporally limit the expression of ligands, receptors, and ligand-binding cell-surface glypicans. Here, we show that in the developing Drosophila ovary, canonical Wnt signaling promotes the formation of somatic escort cells (ECs) and their protrusions, which establish a physical permeability barrier to define morphogen territories for proper germ cell differentiation. The protrusions shield germ cells from Dpp and Wingless morphogens produced by the germline stem cell (GSC) niche and normally only received by GSCs. Genetic disruption of EC protrusions allows GSC progeny to also receive Dpp and Wingless, which subsequently disrupt germ cell differentiation. Our results reveal a role for canonical Wnt signaling in specifying the ovarian somatic cells necessary for germ cell differentiation. Additionally, we demonstrate the morphogen-limiting function of this physical permeability barrier, which may be a common mechanism in other organs across species.
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INTRODUCTION

Morphogens are long-range signaling molecules that often establish gradients within developing tissues by traveling up to a few dozen cell diameters from the secreting cell source (Christian, 2012). Along the gradient, cells receiving different concentrations of morphogen signal will display different target gene expression profiles and differentiate into distinct cell types. The establishment of such morphogen gradients depends largely on tightly regulated expression of morphogen receptors and negative regulators, though other mechanisms may participate as well. One important family of morphogens is the Wnt proteins, which control various aspects of tissue development and stem cell function in many adult tissues (Clevers, 2006; MacDonald et al., 2009). However, relatively few studies have been conducted to describe the role of Wnt signaling in the development of ovaries, and the mechanisms controlling Wnt territory in the ovaries are unclear.

Wnt signaling is a highly conserved process that includes both canonical and non-canonical pathways (Nusse, 2005; MacDonald et al., 2009). Canonical Wnt signaling is initiated by the binding of Wnt to Frizzled (Fz) receptors and Lipoprotein Receptor Protein (LRP) coreceptors.
The Wnt-Fz-LRP complex recruits the scaffolding protein Dishevelled (Dsh in Drosophila), leading to disruption of the destruction complex and consequent stabilization of β-catenin (Armadillo, Arm, in Drosophila). The stable β-catenin protein translocates to the nucleus, where it forms a complex with the T-cell factor (TCF) transcription factor and co-activators, including Pygopus (Pygo), to regulate target gene expression.

Wnts can also trigger a non-canonical, β-catenin-independent pathway by binding to a non-LRP coreceptor. Such non-canonical signaling can be further divided into the Planar Cell Polarity and the Wnt/Ca²⁺ pathways (Zhan et al., 2017). Drosophila has seven Wnt ligands with vertebrate orthologs: Wingless (Wg, ortholog of vertebrate Wnt1), Wnt2 (vertebrate Wnt7), Wnt3/5 (vertebrate Wnt5), Wnt4 (vertebrate Wnt9),...
**RESULTS**

**The Developing Ovarian Soma Displays Active Canonical Wnt Signaling**

A previous study reported that canonical Wnt signaling is undetectable in the late larval ovary, but it is activated in germarial ECs of late pupae (Upadhyay et al., 2018). This finding was made using the fz3RFP reporter, which consists of the promoter region of frizzled3 (fz3) followed by an open reading frame for red fluorescent protein (RFP) (Barolo et al., 2004; Olson et al., 2011), as fz3 is a validated downstream target of canonical Wnt signaling. Since a complete assessment of canonical Wnt signaling activation in the ovary throughout development was still lacking, we first examined the fz3RFP expression in the ovary at different developmental stages. In the L1 gonad (Figure 1A and B′), fz3RFP was not detectable, but its expression was clearly observable in ICs of the L2 gonad (Figure 1C and C′). In the late-L3 gonad (Figure 1D and D′), fz3RFP was weakly expressed in developing TFs but more highly expressed in a subset of ICs and basal cells. Similar patterns were also observed in late-L3 gonads (Figure 1E and E′) when using another canonical Wnt signaling reporter, 3GRH4TH-GFP, which contains three Grainyhead (GRH) and four classic HMG-Helper (4TH) site pairs followed by green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Zhang et al., 2014). At the early-pupal stage, ICs in the germarium (considered to be ECs) expressed low levels of fz3RFP (Figure 1F), while fz3RFP expression became strong in ECs at the mid-pupal stage (Figure 1G) and remained in adult germlia of newly eclosed flies (1-day-old) (Figure 1H). Interestingly, in the 1-day-old adult germlia 3GRH4TH-GFP was expressed only in one or two
ECs that were in direct contact with GSCs (Figure 1I), although a previous report showed 3GRH4TH-GFP expression in posterior ECs and follicle stem cells (Kim-Yip and Nystul, 2018). Even so, our results indicate that canonical Wnt signaling is active in the developing ovarian soma and becomes strong in germlarial ECs from the mid-pupal stage.

**FIGURE 2** | Canonical Wnt signaling in the developing soma controls escort cell formation and promotes germ cell differentiation. (A and A') Canonical (A) and non-canonical Wnt signaling pathways (A'). Wnt signaling components were knocked down in the ovarian soma from embryo to adult day (D)1 (B–O), from late-L3 (L3) to adult D1 (P–S), and from embryo to L3 (T–W), and D1 germs were examined. (B–O) tj-GAL4-gfpRNAi (B and E), tj > dshRNAi (C and F), tj > armRNAi (V1) (D), tj > pygoRNAi (V) (G), c587>dshRNAi (H), and c587>armRNAi (V) (I), tj > mCD8-gfp & dshRNAi (J), tj > armRNAi (V) & dshRNAi (K), c587>gfpRNAi (L), c587>racRNAi (M) c587>rhoARNAi (N) and c587>daamRNAi germaria (O) with LamC (green in B–D and H–O, red in E–G, terminal filament (TF) and cap cell nuclear envelopes), Hts (green in B–D and H–O, red in E–G, fusomes), Tj in (B' to D') (gray, nuclei of cap, escort and follicle cells), Fax (green in E–G, EC membranes), and DAPI (blue in E–G, DNA). (E–G') show only Fax channel. (P–S) tj-GAL4-gfpRNAi (P), tj > dshRNAi (O), tj > armRNAi (V) (R) and tj > pygoRNAi germlaria (S) with LamC (green) and Hts (green). (T–W) tj-GAL4-gfpRNAi (T), tj > dshRNAi (U), tj > pygoRNAi (N) and tj > armRNAi (V) germaria (W) with LamC (green) and Hts (green). Solid lines, GSC-cap cell junction; dashed lines, the 2A/2B boundary (or the junction between escort cells and follicle cells when 2A/2B boundary is missing). Arrowheads and an asterisk in panel 2B respectively mark GSCs and cystoblast. Two asterisks in F, H, K, and Q denote two side-by-side egg chambers in the ovariole. Scale bars are 10 μm; B–D and H–K, E–G, L–O, P–S, and T–W have the same scale bar.
Canonical Wnt Signaling in the Developing Soma Controls EC Formation and Is Required for Proper Germ Cell Differentiation

In the canonical Wnt signaling pathway, binding of Wnt ligands to Fz receptors leads to recruitment of Dsh and consequent disruption of the Axin destruction complex. This action stabilizes Arm, which subsequently enters the nucleus and interacts with Pygo (co-activator) and TCF to regulate expression of the downstream targets (Figure 2A) (Staal et al., 2008). It has been previously concluded that canonical Wnt signaling does not play a role during ovary development, as very low fz3RFP expression was detected in the larval ovary (Upadhyay et al., 2018). In addition, arm knockdown throughout development only causes a very mild increase in the number of undifferentiated cells carrying round-shape fusomes (spectrosome-containing cells; SCCs) (Mottier-Pavie et al., 2016). However, our data showed that fz3RFP and 3GRH4TH-GFP expression is detectable in ICs. Furthermore, no canonical Wnt signaling components other than Arm had been tested for functional effects in the developing ovary. We thus individually disrupted dsh, arm and pygo expression in the ovarian soma throughout development and examined 1-day-old germlia. For this purpose, we used UAS-RNAi lines driven by tj-GAL4, which is expressed in ICs of the larval ovary (Supplementary Figure S1) (Lai et al., 2017). At the anterior tip of the control 1-day-old germlia (Figure 2B), two GSCs can be identified by their fusomes, i.e., the membrane-enriched organelle (yellow arrows) adjacent to niche cap cells (cap cell-GSC junction is indicated by a solid line). Additionally, 1 ± 0.7 spectrosome-containing Cbs were designated as SCCs (n = 15 germlia) (indicated by the asterisk in Figure 2B). Differentiating germ cells containing branched fusomes were located posterior to the GSCs and wrapped by EC protrusions (marked by fz3RFP; Figure 2B). Between cap cells (indicated by a yellow line) and the 2A/B boundary (indicated by a dashed line, Figure 2B), we observed 26.2 ± 3.3 ECs (n = 21 germlia) expressing Traffic jam (Tj), a Maf transcription factor (Li et al., 2003). In contrast, the dsh- and arm-knockdown (KD) germlia (Figures 2C,D), fewer cysts with branched fusomes were found posterior to the GSCs, and this decrease in cysts was accompanied by SCC accumulation (dsh-KD, 4.7 ± 1.9 SCCs (n = 14 germlia), p < 0.001; arm-KD, 6.6 ± 4.6 SCCs (n = 14 germlia), p < 0.001; some SCCs were even observed within egg chambers). As evidence for the disruption of Wnt signaling activity, fz3RFP expression in ECs was dramatically decreased in dsh- and arm-KD germlia (Figures 2B–D). dsh- and arm-KD germlia also carried fewer ECs (dsh KD, 9.0 ± 3.8 ECs (n = 21); arm KD, 6.8 ± 4 ECs (n = 15), p < 0.001), resulting in shortened EC regions (space between cap cells and the 2A/B boundary, or space between cap cells and follicle cells if the 2A/B boundary is lost) (Figure 2C and D). In addition, Failed axon connections (Fax)-labeling was used to mark EC protrusions (Su et al., 2018). The germ cells in the control germlia were completely wrapped by ECs (Figure 2E and F’), whereas the wrapping was disrupted in dsh-KD germlia (Figure 2F and F’). Similar results could be obtained by disrupting pygo expression (Figure 2G and G’) or by using another somatic GALA driver, c587-GAL4 (Tseng et al., 2018), to drive expression of dshRNAi or another independent armRNAi throughout developmental stages (Figures 2H,I). Moreover, compared to dsh-KD germlia (Figure 2J), overexpressing a constitutively active form of Arm, armS10 (Pai et al., 1997), in the dsh-KD soma throughout development expanded the EC region, decreased SCC accumulation [63% of dsh-KD & mCD8gfp germlia (n = 26) carrying more than 4 SCCs vs 0% of dsh-KD & armS10 germlia (n = 20) carrying more than 4 SCCs, p < 0.001], and increased cysts bearing a branched fusome [0% of dsh-KD & mCD8gfp germlia (n = 26) carrying more 4–6 cell cysts vs 95% of dsh-KD & armS10 germlia (n = 20) carrying 4–6 cell cysts, p < 0.001] (Figure 2K). Given that these phenotypes were observed after knockdown of nearly every canonical Wnt signaling component, we concluded that canonical Wnt signaling is required for formation of ECs and their protrusions during ovary development, and that promotes germ cell differentiation.

In the non-canonical Wnt signaling pathway, Wnt-Fz signals through Dsh and downstream effectors, such as Dsh Associated Activator of Morphogenesis 1 (DAAM1), Ras homolog gene family member A (RhoA) and Rac1, to modulate Actin remodeling, cell movement and division (see Figure 2A) (Zhan et al., 2017). Indeed, the occurrence of side-by-side-positioned cysts or egg chambers in dsh-KD germlia (21%, n = 19 germlia) was not rescued by expression of ArmS10 (25%, n = 20 germlia) (Figures 2J,K, marked by asterisks); this phenotype was also present in wnt4-KD germlia (see Figure 3D), supporting a role for Wnt4-mediated non-canonical Wnt signaling in the soma during ovary development (Upadhyay et al., 2018). Notably, disruption of downstream effectors of non-canonical Wnt signaling components in the ovarian soma throughout development did not cause shortened germlia (Figure 2L–O). In agreement with a previous report (Upadhyay et al., 2018), rac1-, rhoA- and daam-KD germlia displayed low levels of SCC accumulation (Figure 2M–O); however, only a small fraction of germlia carried more than 4 SCCs [rac1-KD, 9.5% (n = 21); rhoA-KD, 33.3% (n = 21); and daam-KD, 15% (n = 20) of examined germlia; none were significantly different than controls, 14.3% (n = 21)]. These results suggested that canonical Wnt signaling is indispensable for specifying normal ECs, which promote germ cell differentiation.

To understand the temporal requirement of somatic canonical Wnt signaling during ovary development, we knocked down dsh, arm and pygo at different developmental times by modulating GALA activity with GAL80; in these lines, GALA activity is suppressed at 18°C but not at 29°C (McGuire et al., 2004). Knockdown of dsh, arm and pygo from late-L3 to adult stage caused similar phenotypes, which were less severe than those seen with continuous knockdown (Figure 2P–S). Suppressing dsh and pygo in the larval soma before the late-L3 stage did not result in aberrant germlia (Figure 2T–V), while arm-KD germlia exhibited SCC accumulation (Figure 2W), likely due to a requirement for the interaction between Arm and E-cadherin to promote PGC-IC intermingling (Supplementary Figure S2) (Lai et al., 2017). Taken together, these results show that canonical Wnt signaling...
acts on ICs, probably as early as late-L3, to maintain the EC population and promote germ cell differentiation.

**Wnt4 and Wnt6 in the Developing Soma Stimulate Canonical Wnt Signaling in Escort Cells**

Five Wnts are known to be expressed in adult gerarial somatic cells. Wg and Wnt6 are highly expressed in cap cells, while Wnt2 and Wnt4 are expressed in both cap cells and ECs (Luo et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Wnt5 is also expressed at low levels, according to RNA-seq analysis of isolated ECs (Wang et al., 2015).

To determine which Wnt activates the somatic canonical Wnt signaling required for EC formation and germ cell differentiation, we individually knocked down Wnt ligands throughout development using \(tj-GAL4\) and examined germarial phenotypes and \(fz3\) expression in 1-day-old ovaries. However, we did not observe overt phenotypes similar to those seen in \(dsh\)- or \(arm\)-KD (Figures 3A–H). However, we did observe side-by-side cysts or egg chambers (indicated by

---

**FIGURE 3** | Wnt4 and Wnt6 activate canonical Wnt signaling in escort cells. (A–H) One-day-old \(tj>\)gfpRNAi (A), \(tj>\)wgRNAi (B), \(tj>\)wnt2RNAi (C), \(tj>\)wnt4RNAi (D), \(tj>\)wnt5RNAi (E), \(tj>\)wnt6RNAi (F), \(tj>\)wnt8RNAi (G), \(tj>\)wnt10RNAi (H) germaria, (I and J) with \(fz3\) expression (canonical Wnt signaling reporter), LamC (green, terminal filament and cap cell nuclear envelopes), and Hts (green, fusomes). (A–J). Heatmap showing relative intensity, red = low to yellow = high, of \(fz3\) in the germaria. Images were processed with Zen blue and Fire look-up table (LUT); color gradient bar indicates strength of \(fz3\) from low (L, red) to High (L, gold). Scale bar, 10 μm; A–H have the same scale bar, K and L have the same scale bar. (K) Number of spectosome-containing cells (SCCs) per germarium for indicated genotypes. (L) Expression of \(fz3\) in the escort cell region (between cap cells and follicle cells; marked by dashed lines) of germaria with the indicated genotypes. RNAi was expressed throughout development until dissection. Error bar, mean ± SD. Statistical analysis, One-way ANOVA, *, \(p < 0.05\); **, \(p < 0.01\); ***, \(p < 0.001\).
FIGURE 4 Wg signaling is activated in the germ cells of somatic-tkv knockdown germaria. (A and B) tj-GAL4/+(A) and tj>tkvRNAi (B) germaria (with LamC and Hts, green; fusomes and fz3RFP, red, canonical Wnt signaling reporter). Insets in A and B show only fz3RFP channel. (C) Fold-change (FC) of RNA-seq based gene expression values (log2) for tkv transcript variant D (tkv-D) and fz3 in 1-day-old control (UAS-tkvRNAi, ctrl, +) compared with UAS-tkvRNAi (−) anterior ovarioles. Statistical analysis was performed with two biological replicates. (D to G) c587>tkvRNAi (D), c587>tkvRNAi & dshRNAi (E), tj>tkvRNAi & gfpRNAi (III) (F), and c587>tkvRNAi & wgRNAi (G) germaria (with LamC, Hts, and DAPI, blue, DNA). Yellow lines denote the junction between cap cell and GSC; dashed line mark the 2A/B boundary or the junction between escort cells and follicles when the 2A/B boundary is missing. Asterisks mark 16-cell cysts. (H) Numbers of spectrosome-containing cells (SCCs) and 16-cell cysts per germarium of flies with the indicated genotypes. (I–N) In situ hybridized tj>gfpRNAi (I), tj>tkvRNAi & gfpRNAi (J), tj>gfp (K), tj>arm-mGFP6 (L), tj>tkvRNAi & wgRNAi (M) and tj>tkvRNAi & wgRNAi germaria (N) with labeling for Fax (green, escort cell membrane extension), Vasa-GFP (blue, germ cells), and fz3 mRNA (gray). Hollow triangles point to the 2A/B boundary; yellow triangles indicate escort cell region; germ cell regions before the 2A/B boundary are outlined by yellow circles. (O) Number (No.) of fz3 mRNA puncta in the germline per germarium with the indicated genotypes. *, p < 0.05, **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. Error bars indicate mean ± S.D., One-Way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis. RNAi was expressed throughout development. Scale bar, 10 μm. A and B, D–G, and I to N are 3D-reconstructed images.
asterisks in Figure 3D and E) after knockdown of wnt4, and we found slightly increased SCC numbers upon knockdown of wnt4, wnt5 and wnt6 (Figure 3K). Except wnt2 (only one RNAi line was available), similar results were obtained similar results from an independent RNAi lines (Supplementary Figure S3). Somatic knockdown of wg, wnt2, wnt5, wnt8 or wnt10 increased fz3RFP, somatic knockdown of wnt4 or wnt6 decreased fz3RFP expression in ECs of 1-day-old germaria (Figure 3L). Co-knockdown of wnt4 and wnt6 in the developing soma caused germaria to exhibit nearly absent fz3RFP expression, a shortened EC region, SCC accumulation (wnt4 & 6 coKD germaria; 4.2 ± 3.7 SCCs, n = 22 germaria; gfp-KD germaria: 1.6 ± 1.0 SCCs, n = 20 germaria; p < 0.005), and side-by-side cysts or eggs (Figure 3I–L), reminiscent of somatic dsh-KD germaria. We did not know why developmental knockdown of wg, wnt2, wnt5, wnt8, and wnt10 would increase canonical Wnt signaling in ECs, perhaps other Wnt ligands are increased for compensation when those Wnts are decreased. Nevertheless, our data suggested that Wnt4 and Wnt6 appear to be positive regulators of canonical Wnt signaling in ECs.

Canonical Wnt Signaling Is Activated in the Germine When Thickveins Is Suppressed in the Soma During Development

We have previously shown that during ovary development, germ cell differentiation requires somatic Tkv, a Dpp receptor (Xie and Spradling, 1998), which maintains EC protrusions via a Smad-independent pathway (Tseng et al., 2018). In this line when tkv is disrupted in the developing ovarian soma, EC protrusions are disrupted, and SCC accumulation occurs, but the EC region is not shortened (Figures 4A,B, and see Supplementary Figure S8A,B), as compared to the germaria developed from the gonad with disrupted Canonical Wnt signaling. Intriguingly, analysis from our previous RNA-seq result (Tseng et al., 2018) showed an increased fz3 mRNA transcript level in 1-day-old c587>tkvRNAi ovaries (Figure 4C), whereas, fz3RFP expression was not increased in ECs of 1-day-old tkv-KD germaria, as compared to controls (see inset images in Figures 4A,B). Co-knockdown of dsh and tkv in the developing ovarian soma did not prevent SCC accumulation but did cause a shortened EC region (Figures 4D,E). These results indicate that canonical Wnt signaling in the soma is not involved in the impairment of germ cell differentiation in somatic tkv-KD germaria; instead, canonical Wnt signaling may be elevated within the germ cells of somatic tkv-KD germaria. We were not able to perform knockdown of Wnt signaling specifically in the germline of somatic tkv-KD germaria by the current genetic tools because the targeted signaling component would be knocked down both in the germline (by nos-GAL4) and in the soma (by tj-GAL4, which was used to knockdown tkv). Therefore, we knocked down Wnts in the developing soma of somatic tkv-KD ovaries. Somatic knockdown of tkv with wnt2, wnt4, wnt5 or wnt6 did not suppress SCC accumulation (Supplementary Figure S4). Strikingly, simultaneous knockdown of wg and tkv in the developing soma partially rescued the germ cell differentiation defect, as evidenced by reduced SCC numbers, and increased 16-cell cyt numbers (Figures 4F–H), indicating that Wg may activate canonical Wnt signaling in the germline of somatic tkv-KD germaria.

We did not detect fz3RFP expression in germ cells, perhaps because fz3RFP only effectively reports Wnt signaling in somatic cells. We thus examined fz3 mRNA expression in somatic tkv-KD germaria by in situ hybridization. In the control germaria (Figure 4I), fz3 transcripts were detected in the cytoplasm of anterior germ cells and ECs, while fz3 transcript levels were dramatically increased in the germ cells of somatic tkv-KD germaria (Figure 4J,O). Of note, no fz3 mutants or UASp-RNAi lines were available to test the specificities of fz3 antisense probes we used. Instead, we overexpressed arm or knocked down axin in the germline to force canonical Wnt signaling activation, and then we examined fz3 expression. We found that fz3 transcripts were significantly increased in the germline with arm overexpression (Figure 4K,L,O), and in axin-KD germ cells (Supplementary Figure S5). As expected, increased fz3 transcripts in the germline of somatic tkv-KD germaria could be suppressed by knockdown of wg, using two independent RNAi lines (Figure 4M–O). These results suggested that Wg from the soma contributes to germ cell differentiation defects in somatic tkv-KD germaria. It is likely that when tkv is disrupted in the soma, the receipt of Wg by germ cells activates canonical Wnt signaling, which is deleterious for germ cell differentiation.

Decreasing CycB3 Expression in the Germline of Somatic Tkv-KD Germaria Partially Rescues Germ Cell Differentiation

From the previously obtained RNA-seq data (Tseng et al., 2018), we noticed that transcripts of some Cyclins (e.g., CycB, CycB3, and CycE) and Cyclin-dependent protein serine/threonine kinase regulators (e.g., CycT) were significantly increased in 1-day-old somatic tkv-KD germaria (Figure 5A). Among the increased transcripts, CycB, CycB3 and CycE are known to be required for GSC maintenance (Ables and Drummond-Barbosa, 2013; Chen et al., 2018; Wang and Lin, 2005), and increased CycB3 expression delays CB differentiation (Chen et al., 2018). Given the continuous proliferation of SCCs in somatic tkv-KD germaria (Supplementary Figure S6), and since an antagonism between cell cycle regulators and differentiation genes has been proposed (Ruijtenberg and van den Heuvel, 2016), we next asked if reducing Cyclins could suppress germline differentiation defects in somatic tkv-KD germaria. We individually knocked down cycB, cycB3 and cycE in the germline using nos-GAL4, along with tkv knockdown in the soma using tj-GAL4 throughout developmental stages (Figures 5B–E). Note, the tkvRNAi(V) line used in this study was not effectively expressed in the germline due to its UAS promoter (see Figures 4H, Figure 5F), and therefore the role of Tkv in the germline is uncertain with regard to GSC maintenance. Knockdown of cyclins in the developing soma did not cause obvious defects in germaria, but knockdown of cycB and cycE in the germline throughout development respectively caused GSC loss and SCC
**FIGURE 5** Canonical Wnt signaling transcriptionally activates cycB3 to suppress differentiation in the germline of somatic-tkv knockdown germaria. (A) Fold-change (FC) of RNA-seq-based gene expression values (log2) for indicated cyclin (cyc) gene in 1-day (D)-old control (ctrl, UAS-tkvRNAi(N)/+) and c587>tkvRNAi(N) anterior ovarioles compared with UAS-tkvRNAi(N)/+ (control, ctrl). FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads. ***, p < 0.001. Statistical analysis was performed with two biological replicates. (B to E) One-day-old tj & nos->tkvRNAi (V) (B), tj & nos->tkvRNAi(V) & cycB3RNAi (C), tj & nos->tkvRNAi(V) & cycERNAi (D) and tj & nos->tkvRNAi(V) & cycB3RNAi (E) and with LamC (red, terminal filament and cap cell nuclear envelopes) and Hts (red, fusomes). Solid lines mark junction between GSCs and cap cells; dashed lines outline the germaria in D. (F and F’). Numbers of spectrosome-containing cells (SCCs) (F), and 16-cell cysts per germarium (F’) of flies with the indicated genotypes. (G and H) Live image of 1-day-old tj-GFP (III) and tj-GFP (II) germaria (H) bearing cycB3P-cycB3-GFP (Green, CycB3-GFP). (I) Box plot shows expression of CycB3-GFP in GSCs or SCCs in the indicated genotypes. (J) Schematic shows how β-catenin (β-Cat) interacts with TCF, which binds to HMG and Helper sites of the cycB3 promoter through its HMG and C domains, respectively. Chip analysis of TCF binding in 1-day-old ovaries; the chromatin from nos->gfp and nos->arm-mGFP6 cells was precipitated with GFP-Trap beads. Co-precipitated DNA was analyzed by qPCRs using two sets of primers (P1 and P2) against the region between Helper and HMG sites. The amplicons of two different coding regions were used as negative controls. (K and L) One-day-old bab1->mcherryRNAi (K) and bab1->wgRNAi (L) with cycB3P-CycB3-GFP (gray) and CellMask (Magenta, cell membrane). (M) Average of CycB3-GFP expression in GSCs of indicated genotypes. Number of GSCs analyzed is shown above each bar. Differences in F and F’ were analyzed by one-way ANOVA; data in I and M were analyzed by Student’s t test, and in J were analyzed by two-way ANOVA. Solid line in the box of I and M is median; cross in M is Mean. Error bars represent SD; *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. RNAi was expressed throughout development until dissection. Scale bar is 10 μm.
accumulation (Supplementary Figure S7). Furthermore, knockdown of cycB in the germline of somatic tkv-KD germaria did not rescue the germ cell differentiation defect (Figures 5B–F and F'), and germ cells were completely lost from tjε> nos > tkvRNAi & cycERNAi germaria, which displayed a thin tubular-like shape (Figure 5D). Strikingly, knockdown of cycB3 in the germline of somatic tkv-KD ovaries decreased SCCs and increased 16-cell cysts (Figures 5E,F and F'), and it partially rescued EC protrusions (Supplementary Figure S8). These effects suggested that the increase of CycB3 in the germline suppressed germ cell differentiation in the somatic tkv-KD germaria.

Wnt-cycB3 Regulation in the Germline

To determine if enhanced Wnt signaling promotes SCC accumulation via loss of somatic Tkv function in the soma, we directly overexpressed Arm or suppressed Axin (a negative regulator of Wnt signaling (Kishida et al., 1998)) in the germline throughout development and examined 1-day-old germlarial phenotypes. These germaria did not exhibit SCC accumulation, but we observed increased cycB3-GFP expression and higher numbers of 16-cell cysts located in the anterior gerarium compared with the numbers of 16-cell cysts present in region 2 of the control germaria (Supplementary Figure S9A–I); these findings were in agreement with a previous study (König and Shcherbata, 2015). In addition, disruption of canonical Wnt signaling in the germline was previously shown to slightly increase SCC numbers, suggesting a delay of CB differentiation (König and Shcherbata, 2015). Furthermore, knockdown of cycB3 in the germline of nos > axinRNAi germaria decreased 16-cell cysts (Supplementary Figure 9J–L). These results further confirm the existence of a Wnt signaling-CycB3 regulatory axis that is important for germline homeostasis. However, somatic cells appear to play a direct or indirect role in promoting or suppressing germ cell differentiation by Wnt signaling-CycB3 regulation, at least in part through the action of Tkv.

Blunted Escort Cell Protrusions Allow Wg and Dpp to Signal in the Germline

We next asked how Wg-mediated canonical signaling becomes activated in the germline of somatic tkv-KD germaria. Previous reports showed that Wg is produced from cap cells (Forbes et al., 1996; Luo et al., 2015; Song and Xie, 2003; Wang and Page-McCaw, 2014) and received by follicle stem cells to promote their maintenance and proliferation (Song and Xie, 2003; Wang and Page-McCaw, 2014). EC protrusions wrap germ cells (Sahai-Hernandez and Nystul, 2013) and are disrupted in somatic tkv-KD germaria (see Supplementary Figure S8) (Tseng et al., 2018), raising the possibility that Wg might be normally restricted to somatic cells due to EC protrusions. Therefore, Wg may be able to access germ cells that are not wrapped by EC protrusions. To test this hypothesis, we used GFP-Wg in which GFP is inserted into the wg locus (Port et al., 2014) to examine the distribution of Wg in live 1-day-old control and somatic tkv-KD germaria labeled with CellMask, a cell membrane dye. GFP-Wg granule numbers in TF and cap cells (19.6 ± 3, n = 12), where Wg is produced, were decreased when wg (9.1 ± 3, n = 17, p < 0.001) or gfp (7.8 ± 4.3, n = 14, p < 0.001) were knocked down in the developing soma using c587-GAL4 (Figures 6A,B, and Supplementary Figure S10), demonstrating that GFP-Wg expression can fairly represents Wnt signaling is upregulated and transcriptionally promotes expression of CycB3, which in turns suppresses germ cell differentiation.
Wg expression. GFP-Wg expression was not altered in somatic tkv-KD ovaries as compared to control (Figure 6C), while GFP-Wg distribution was altered (Figures 6D–F). In the control germarium (Figure 6E,E' and Supplementary Figure S11), GFP-Wg was mainly present in cap cells (arrows), and it was observed in ECs (indicated by yellow asterisks) as well as in follicle cells and germ cells posterior to the 2A/2B boundary; very few GFP-Wg signals were observed in the germ cell zone before the 2A/2B boundary (2.3 ± 1.2 granules, n = 11 germaria). In contrast, in addition to above-mentioned somatic cells and germ cells after follicle cell layer (2A/B boundary was missing), GFP signals were increased in the germ cell zone before the 2A/2B boundary.
follicle cell layer of the somatic tkv-KD germarium (10.9 ± 2.3 granules, n = 12 germaria; p < 0.001) (Figure 6F,F” and Supplementary Figure S11). We further confirmed this explanation by examining the Wg distribution in the bag-of-marbles (bam) mutant germarium, which display blunted EC protrusions due to defective germ cell differentiation (Kirilly et al., 2011). The GFP-Wg distribution in the germline zone before follicle cell layer (2A/B boundary was missing) of the bam mutant was similar to the distribution in the somatic tkv-KD germaria (Figure 6G,G” and Supplementary Figure S11), although GFP-Wg expression seemed to be increased. Consistent with this observation, CycB3-GFP expression was also increased in SCCs of bam mutant germaria (Supplementary Figure S12). ECs did not produce wg transcripts (Supplementary Figure S13), indicating that niche-produced GFP-Wg distributed in the germline of somatic tkv-KD germaria is due to the lack of EC protrusions.

We next wanted to know if EC protrusions also limit the distribution of Dpp (mammalian BMP; stemness factor that maintains GSC fate), which is produced from cap cells. To answer this question, we used mCherry-tagged Dpp (Dpp-mCherry), which was expressed in cap cells and ECs in the control germarium (Figure 6H,H”). Remarkably, Dpp-mCherry signal was spread throughout the germ cell zone of the bam mutant germarium (bam mutant; 28.9 ± 7 granules, n = 10 germaria vs sibling control: 2.7 ± 2 granules, n = 18 germaria; p < 0.001) (Figure 6I,I” and Supplementary Figure S14). These results suggest that EC protrusions wrap germ cells and prevent them from receiving cap cell-produced signals. Furthermore, multiple secretory factors leak to germ cells when EC protrusions are disrupted may explain the differential effect of canonical Wnt signaling-CycB3 regulation on germ cell differentiation in the normal and somatic tkv-KD germaria.

**Escort Cell Protrusions Act as a Physical Barrier to Compartmentalize Germ Cells**

We next directly tested if the germline is isolated from the external environment by EC protrusions using a previously developed permeability assay (Fairchild et al., 2015). In this assay, ovaries were dissected and incubated in medium containing a fluorescently labeled 10-kDa dextran dye. The dye accessibility to germ cells was assessed. In the control germarium (n = 10 germaria) (Figure 7A and A”), the fluorescence signal (black) overlapped with EC protrusions.
(red, marked by CellMask) but was excluded from germ cells. In contrast, in somatic tkv-KD germarium with blunted EC protrusions (n = 10 germaria) (Figure 7B,B”), the fluorescent dye was observed between all germ cells. This result suggests that EC protrusions isolate germ cells from the external environment.

**DISCUSSION**

Wnts are critical and conserved morphogens that control development of various organs. However, the nuanced roles of Wnt signaling in ovary development are still undefined, and how Wnt signaling territory is set within the tissue remains largely unclear. Here, we report that canonical Wnt signaling is activated in the ovarian ICs to promote EC formation and maintain EC protrusions. When canonical Wnt signaling is disrupted in ICs, EC number is decreased and EC cellular protrusions are disrupted. In the adult wild-type germarium (Figure 7C), cap cells express Dpp and Wg signals, which respectively lead to Mad phosphorylation and transcriptional activation of CyCB3 in GSCs to maintain GSC fate. In addition, Dpp and Wg can signal to ECs as well (see Figure 6) (Luo et al., 2015). When EC protrusions are present, GSC progeny are compartmentalized, preventing aberrant activation of Dpp/Wnt signaling in GSC progeny to allow their proper differentiation. By contrast, without EC protrusions (Figure 7D), Wg and Dpp are no longer restrained in the soma and diffuse to GSC progeny, where they suppress germ cell differentiation. Taken together, our data suggest that canonical Wnt signaling in the developing ovarian soma promotes the development of ECs, and the EC protrusions act as a physical permeability barrier to establish the territory of morphogens produced by the GSC niche. This barrier is necessary for proper differentiation of GSC progeny. Similar physical cell barriers to prevent the reception of morphogen signals by germ cells might exist in other organs and organisms, where they may act as determinants of tissue patterning.

**Both Canonical and Non-canonical Wnt Signaling in the Soma of Larval Gonads Are Required for Germ Cell Differentiation**

A switch between Wnt4-Dsh-mediated non-canonical and canonical Wnt signaling in larval gonads and adult germaria has been proposed (Upadhyay et al., 2018). In their study, Upadhyay and colleagues reported that two non-canonical Wnt signaling reporters were expressed in ICs of late larval gonads, but the reporter expression levels were decreased in adult ECs. Meanwhile, expression of the canonical Wnt signaling reporter, fz3RFP, was observed in a reverse pattern; it was not expressed in ICs, but it became strongly expressed in ECs. Knockdown of non-canonical Wnt signaling components decreased IC number and disrupted IC-PGC intermingling, resulting in a milder germ cell differentiation defects in adult germaria. In contrast, knockdown of non-canonical Wnt signaling components in adult ECs did not cause obvious defects.

In our study, we did not observe obvious defects in newly eclosed flies when canonical Wnt signaling was knocked down in the ovarian soma from embryonic to late-L3 stages, suggesting a dispensable role of canonical Wnt signaling in the soma before the late-L3 stage. However, we could detect the expression of two different canonical Wnt signaling reporters in ICs of L2 and late-L3 gonads. In addition, although Dsh is involved in both canonical and non-canonical Wnt signaling, we did not find IC-PGC intermingling defects when dsh was knocked down in the soma (see Supplementary Figure S2C). Nevertheless, overexpressing a constitutively active form of Arm could not rescue the side-by-side cyst or egg chamber phenotype in somatic dsh-KD germaria (see Figure 2F); this phenotype is also observed in fgrRNAi germaria (see Figure 3D). Thus, both canonical and non-canonical Wnt signaling function in the larval soma, and the putative switch between non-canonical and canonical Wnt signaling in the ovarian soma might occur as early as the late-L3 stage.

**Escort Cell Protrusions Compartmentalize Germ Cells to Block Cap Cell-Produced Maintenance Cues**

The boundaries of Wg signaling contribute to the patterning of various cell types in tissues throughout the organism. Several determinants of Wg territories have been reported. For example, glypicans (cell surface heparan sulfate proteoglycans) were shown to affect cell surface localization of morphogens (Hufnagel et al., 2006), including Wg, Hedgehog (Hh) and Dpp. The fly has two glypicans, Dally and Dally-like protein (Dlp) (Filmus et al., 2008). Dally is expressed in cap cells to facilitate short-range Dpp trans signaling in GSCs (Guo and Wang, 2009; Hayashi et al., 2009), while Dlp is expressed in ECs for Wg long-range travel from cap cells to follicle stem cells (Wang and Page-McCaw, 2014). It has been proposed that Dally acts a classic co-receptor, while Dlp is like a gatekeeper, helping to transfer Wg from the source cells to distal cells (Franch-Marro et al., 2005). Interestingly, overexpression of Dlp in ECs attenuates Wnt signaling and results in the absence of fz3RFP expression, fewer ECs, blunted EC protrusions, and defective germ cell differentiation (Waghmare et al., 2020). On the other hand, knockdown of Dlp in ECs phenocopies Wnt overexpression, resulting in increased EC number and GSC loss without affecting germ cell differentiation (Waghmare et al., 2020). These results suggest that Dlp and Fzs trap Wg, at least partially on the EC surface. However, this explanation cannot fully account for the inactivation of canonical Wnt signaling in germ cells, since germ cells are closely associated with ECs and express low levels of Fzs, according to single cell-sequencing results from the larval gonad (Slaidina et al., 2020) and adult ovaries (Rust et al., 2020). In addition, somatic tkv-KD germaria do not show decreased fz3RFP expression, obvious changes in EC number, or GSC loss (Tseng et al., 2018), suggesting that Wg-trapping molecules are still expressed on the EC surface. In this study, we showed that GFP-Wg is distributed in the germ cell zone of somatic tkv-KD or bam mutant germaria, indicating that the expansion of GFP-Wg territory does not rely on ECs themselves.
Therefore, the EC protrusions may generate a compartment to keep germ cells shielded from Wg. In such case, the interfaces between ECs and germ cells would not encounter Wg, while the outer surfaces of ECs (facing sheath cells) would have the opportunity to trap cap cell-secreted Wg. A similar mechanism seems to restrict Dpp in GSCs. In addition to Wg, cap cells also produce Hh, Wnt2, Wnt4 and Wnt6 (Luo et al., 2015; Lai et al., 2017). Unfortunately, we do not have tools available to investigate whether the distributions of these molecules are altered when EC protrusions are blunted. In addition, we do not know how germ cells remain unresponsive to Wnt2 and Wnt4, which are produced by ECs (Luo et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015). Perhaps ECs display a cell polarity that causes Wnt2 and Wnt4 to be secreted only from the outer surface. Despite these remaining uncertainties, our results show that depletion of Wg alone can partially rescue germ cell differentiation defects. Conversely, blocking germ cell protrusions physiologically wrap GSC progeny to block receipt of cap cell-derived maintenance cues, allowing germ cells to undergo proper differentiation, in line with the hypothesis made by Banisch and colleagues (Banisch et al., 2017).

Cell Barriers in Setting Morphogen Territories May Be Evolutionary Conserved

Soma-germline interactions are critical for germ cell differentiation, and in the fly ovary, EC-germline interactions are particularly important for germ cell differentiation. The long cellular protrusions of ECs wrap germ cells (Banisch et al., 2017; Kirilly et al., 2011), and disruption of these protrusions causes germ cell differentiation defects. Conversely, blocking germ cell differentiation also impairs EC protrusions (see Figures 6G,1) (Kirilly et al., 2011). In this study, we show that protrusions from ECs act as a somatic-germline barrier that compartmentalizes germ cells to prevent undue influence from GSC niche signals. A similar hypothesis has also been made with regard to fly testes, wherein somatic cyst cells (the counterparts of ECs) wrap GSCs and their progeny to facilitate proper differentiation (Decotto and Spradling, 2005; Fairchild et al., 2015). In mammalian testes, the early phase of spermatogenesis (GSCs and progenitor spermatogonia) occurs at the basal compartment of the seminiferous epithelium. This early phase is physically separated from the later phase of spermatogenesis, which occurs in the apical compartment, by an epithelial layer of somatic Sertoli cells called the blood-testicular barrier (the Sertoli cell barrier) (Cheng and Mruk, 2012; Piprek et al., 2020). Because blood vessels, lymphatic vessels and nerves do not enter into the seminiferous epithelium, the blood-testicular barrier regulates the entry of molecules, such as nutrients and hormones, into the apical compartment in which germ cells enter meiosis (Cheng and Mruk, 2012). Disruption of the blood-testicular barrier leads to a failure of spermatogenesis (Lui et al., 2003; Cheng and Mruk, 2012).

A similar physical barrier of cells is found in the C. elegans gonad, but this barrier is formed by the germ cells themselves (Cinquin et al., 2015). The germ cells in C. elegans form a syncytium in which the nuclei are enclosed by a partial plasma membrane, which has large openings on a central cytoplasmic core called “rachis”; some germ cells with partial membranes span the rachis and form cell bridges to pattern stemness Notch signaling in the gonad. Overall, these studies and ours strongly suggest that at least in the gonads, physical permeability barriers formed by cells can help to establish morphogen territories for proper cell patterning.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly Strains and Husbandry

Fly stocks were maintained at 22–25°C on standard medium, unless otherwise indicated. y w118 was used as a wild-type control. The bam586 and bam1F1 null alleles have been described previously (Gonczy et al., 1997). fz3RFP (a gift from Dr. Rangan, Department of Biological Sciences University at Albany, State University of New York, United States) and 3GRH4TH-GFP (86FB) (a gift from Dr. Cadigan, Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, University of Michigan, United States) were used to monitor Wnt signaling activity (Upadhyay et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). Wg-GFP is an CRISPR/cas9-mediated in-frame insertion of GFP after the first exon of wg (a gift from Dr. Jean-Paul Vincent, The Francis Crick Institute, United Kingdom) (Port et al., 2014). Dpp-mcherry is a CRISPR/cas9-mediated in-frame insertion of mCherry after amino acid 465 of Dpp (a gift from Dr. Thomas Kornberg, Cardiovascular Research Institute, UCSF, United States) (Fereres et al., 2019). cycB3P-cycB3-gfp, consisting of 6.5 Kb of the cycB3 promoter driving the cycB coding region fused with GFP, was used to examine CycB3 expression (a gift from Dr. Dongsheng Chen, the Institute of Bioinformatics, College of Life Sciences, Anhui Normal University, China). UAS-RNAi lines against tkv (N#14026R-3 and V#3059), wg (B#32994 and V#13352), wnt2 (V#104338), wnt4 (B#29442 and V#104671), wnt5 (B#34644 and V#101621), wnt6 (B#30493 and V#102040), wnt8 (B#28947 and V#107727), wnt10 (B#31989 and V#100867), cycB3 (B#41979), cycB (B#34545), cycE (B#38920), arm (V#107344 (V1) and V#7767 (V2)), dsh (B#31306), pygo (V#100724), axin (B#62434), daam (V#24885), rhoA (B#28985), rac1 (B#32383), and gfp (B#9331, second chromosome (II), and B#9330, third chromosome (III)) were obtained from the National Institute of Genetics (N), Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (V), or Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (B). The efficiencies of RNAi lines have been previously tested (Ables and Drummond-Barbosa, 2013; Aradiya et al., 2015; Herranz et al., 2014; Kizhedathu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015; Lui et al., 2015; Mottier-Pavie et al., 2016; Ruan et al., 2016; Sarpal et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2014; Tseng et al., 2018; Upadhyay et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). UAS-arm118 (B#4782, a constitutively active form of Arm lacking a GSK3 phosphorylation site for degradation), was obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center and has been described previously (Wang et al., 2015). UAS-arm-mGFP6 (B#58724) is the arm coding region linked via a polysterine linker to a C-terminal mGFP6 tag under the control of UASp regulatory sequences (Jiang et al., 2019). bab1-GAL4, c587-GAL4, tj-GAL4...
digoxigenin-UTP (Roche) were synthesized from 1 μg of the templates for synthesizing antisense probes; primers used are described in a previous report (McGuire et al., 2004). Other genetic tools are listed in the flybase (http://flybase.bio.indiana.edu).

Developmental Stage of Larvae and Pupae
The developmental stages of Drosophila were defined as previously described (Ashburner, 2005). Flies were transferred to a new vial at 25°C to lay eggs for 3–6 h and then removed. The vial was kept at 25°C. Newly hatched flies (First instar larvae, L1) were collected for dissection or further culturing. At approximately 50 h after egg laying (AEFL), larvae were second-instar larvae (L2). Larvae climbing up and down from the food were considered mid-third instar larvae (ML3, 96 AEF), and larvae that had left the food and began wandering were late-third instar larvae (LL3, ~120 AEF). Mid- and late-pupae were collected at around 170 and 194 AEL, respectively. Newly eclosed flies collected within 24 h were referred to as 1-day-old flies.

Cloning and Probe Synthesis for in situ Hybridization
Total RNA was extracted from 20 pairs of 1-day-old ovaries by using the GENExol™ TriRNA Pure Kit (Geneaid) according to the manufacturer’s manual. Total RNA (1 μg) was reversely transcribed with the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Roche). Fragments of fzd3 and wg were amplified and used for the templates for synthesizing antisense probes; primers used are listed in the Supplementary Table S2 mRNA probes labeled with digoxigenin-UTP (Roche) were synthesized from 1 μg of the above PCR product using the ampliCap™ SP6 high-yield message marker kit (Cell Script).

Immunohistochemistry and Fluorescence Microscopy
For immunostaining, gonads and ovaries were dissected, fixed and immunostained at designated stages, as described previously (Lai et al., 2017). In brief, ovaries were dissected in Grace’s insect medium (GIM) (Lonza) and fixed with 5.3% paraformaldehyde (PFA)/GIM for 13 min, then, samples were washed in PBST (0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS) three times for 20 min each and heated at 65°C. Samples were then incubated with primary antibodies (diluted in blocking solution) for 5 h at RT or 4°C overnight, followed by four PBST washes for 30 min each. Samples were incubated with secondary antibodies (diluted in blocking solution) for 5 h at RT or 4°C overnight, followed by four PBST washes for 30 min each. Primary antibodies were used as follows: mouse anti-Hts (Drosophila Studies Hybridoma bank, DSHB, 1B1, 1:50), mouse anti-a-Spectrin (DSHB 3A9, 1:100), mouse anti-Lamin (Lam) (DSHB LC28.26, 1:25), guinea pig anti-Traff-Jam (1:10000; a gift from Dorothea Godt, University of Toronto, Canada), rabbit anti-Vasa (Santa Cruz Sc-30210, 1: 500), and chicken anti-GFP (Invitrogen, A10262, 1:1000). Secondary antibodies were used as follows: Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit IgG (Invitrogen, 1:250), Alexa Fluor 488 anti-mouse (Invitrogen, 1:500), Alexa Fluor 568 anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, 1:250), Alexa Fluor 647 anti-anti-Guinea Pig IgG (Invitrogen, 1:250), Alexa Fluor 488 anti-chicken (Jackson, 1:1000), DNA was stained with 0.5 μg/ml DAPI (Sigma) or TO-PRO-3 (Invitrogen) for 10 min at RT or O/N at 4°C. Finally, samples were mounted in 80% glycerol containing 20 μg/ml N-propyl gallate (Sigma) or Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) and analyzed using a Zeiss LSM 700, 900 or Leica SP8 confocal microscope.

Fluorescent RNA in situ hybridization was performed as described in a previous report (Zimmerman et al., 2013), with slight modifications. In brief, larval or adult ovaries were dissected in GIM and fixed by 4% PFA in PBS (DEPC-treated) with freshly added 1% DMSO for 20 min at RT or O/N at 4°C. Samples were washed in PBS and dehydrated through a series of ethanol solutions (25, 50, 75, and 100%), followed by storage at −20°C. Samples were rehydrated through a series of ethanol solutions (100, 75, 50, and 25%), rinsed by PBS, and treated with 50 μg/ml proteinase K for 5 min. After a post-fixation step in 4% PFA in PBST (1X PBS with 0.1% Tween 20) for 30 min at RT, samples were washed well and prehybridized in hybridization buffer (HYB*) (50% formamide, 5X SSC, 50 μg/ml heparin, 0.1% Tween-20, 100 μg/ml tRNA, 10 μg/ml Salmon Sperm DNA) for 1 h at 60°C. Then, samples were hybridized in HYB* containing denatured DIG-labeled RNA probes (100–150 ng) at 60°C O/N. Samples were washed with a series of HYB* (50% formamide, 5X SSC with 0.1% Tween) mixed in 2X SSC (0.3M NaCl, 30mM sodium citrate) (75, 50, and 25%) at 65°C and a series of 0.2X SSC solutions (75, 50, and 25%) at 68°C, followed by a rinse with PBST at RT. Samples were treated with 3% H2O2/PBT for 1 h at RT to inactivate endogenous peroxidase (POD), and then the samples were blocked in 2X Roche Blocking solution for 1 h at RT. Ovaries were incubated with anti-Dig-POD (1:500, Roche # 11207733910) in blocking buffer at 4°C O/N, washed well, and incubated in 1:200 TSA/amplification buffer (TSA Plus Fluorescence Kits; PerkinElmer) for 30 min to develop signals. After washing, ovaries were blocked with blocking solution (GOAL Bio), and then the immunostaining procedure was followed, as described above.

Imaging Quantification
GSCs were defined by their location directly adjacent to niche cap cells, and their fusome that is juxtaposed to the GSC-cap cell junction. SCCs were identified as cells with round-shaped fusomes (CBs in controls) that are not GSCs. To measure fzd3-RFP expression, five confocal z-sections of each germarium carrying a clear EC region (5 sections) were merged and analyzed with ImageJ. The EC region was selected and the mean intensity (arbitrary units) was measured. To assess CycB3-GFP expression, Zen 3.1 (blue edition, ZEISS) was used to measure the mean fluorescence intensity of the confocal
z-section with the largest nuclear diameter from each GFP-positive germ cell. To measure fz3 transcript signals in the germ cell, four to five confocal z-sections covering the largest area of the germarium were assessed. The numbers of signals in germ cells marked by Vasa-GFP were counted using ImageJ. To measure GFP-Wg signals, confocal z-sections containing images of TF and cap cells or the germ cell region were merged, and numbers of GFP-Wg granules were counted using ImageJ.

Each experiment was performed with at least two biological replicates. For fixed samples, 10 newly eclosed females with the indicated genotypes were randomly picked from a standard cross; ovaries were dissected and subjected to immunostaining. Ovaries from at least 10 pairs of ovaries were separated, mixed, and mounted for observation; 5–15 images were collected of representative phenotypes for each replicate. Statistical analysis was performed as described in the figure legend.

**Live Imaging of Adult Germaria**

Live images were captured of germaria carrying Wg-GFP, Dpp-mcherry or cyclB3p-CycB3-GFP, as fixation caused high background that interfered with analysis of signals. To obtain images of live adult germaria, ovaries of 1-day-old flies were dissected in GIM, and the anterior portions of the ovarioles were gently teased apart to separate germaria. Ovaries were then stained with or without CellMask™ Deep Red Plasma Membrane Stain (1:2000 diluted in GIM, Invitrogen, C10046) for 1 min at RT. A short incubation time was used to prevent/reduce the staining of germ cell membranes. Note that somatic tkv and bam mutant germaria have blunted EC protrusions, and the CellMask signal within those germaria likely corresponds to germ cell membranes. Ovaries were transferred onto a glass slide, and 15 µl fresh GIM was added; sheath cells were removed from each ovariole using a tungsten filament needle. For the permeability assay, after removing the sheath, 0.3 µl of 5 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% N-lauroyl sarcosine, 1 mM PMSF, 5 mM NaF and 1x protease inhibitor). Chromatin pellets were then sonicated in 450 µl buffer A2 using a Bioruptor (Diagenode) for 16 min (30 s on/30 s off). Chromatin solutions were obtained by centrifugation, 14,000 rpm, 10 min, at 4°C after sonication. Twenty-five µl of GFP-Trap bead slurry (GFP-Trap Magnetic Agarose, Chromtek, gma-10) was added to 500 µl chromatin solution and incubated overnight at 4°C. GFP-Trap beads were washed with 500 µl RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 1% sodium deoxycholate) three times and twice with TE buffer. The chromatin was eluted twice in TE buffer containing 1% SDS and 250 mM NaCl for 20 min at 65°C. Eluted chromatin solutions were treated with RNase A and proteinase K, then cross-linking was reversed overnight at 65°C. DNA was purified using a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN). Input and immunoprecipitated DNA samples were used for qRT-PCR with qPCRBIO SyGreen Mix (PCR Biosystems). The primers used to amplify fragments of the cyclB3 and rp49 gene are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Forty pairs of ovaries were dissected from newly enclosed flies with little or no stage 14 egg chambers. Samples were lyzed and homogenized on ice in RIPA buffer (20 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM EDTA) supplemented with 2× EDTA-free Complete protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). Protein lysates were collected from supernatant after centrifugation at 4°C, 12000 rpm for 5 min. Lysate was added into same volume 2× Laemmli sample buffer (126 mM Tris/Cl, pH 6.8, 20% glycerol, 4% SDS and 0.02% bromophenol blue) containing 10% β-mercaptoethanol and then boiled for 10 min at 70°C in, separated by 10% SDS polyacrylamide gels (SDS-PAGE) and blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. Membranes were blocked by 5% skim milk in 1× Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Triton X-100 (TBST, pH 7.5) for 1 h at room temperature, then incubated with rabbit anti-GFP (1:2000, Torrey Pines Biolabs, #TP401), mouse anti-Wg 4D4 (1:2000, DSHB) in TBST containing 1% skim milk at 4°C overnight with shaking. After three 10 min washes with 1× TBST, membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)- conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:5,000, Jackson ImmunoResearch), HRP-conjugated goat-anti-mouse IgG (1:10,000, Croyez Bioscience Co., Ltd.) in TBST containing 1% skim milk for 1 h at room temperature. After three 10 min washes with 1× TBST, signals were detected by chemiluminescence with a Western LightningTM Plus-ECL kit (PerkinElmer).
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