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Abstract – The effects of selection for growth rate on weights and qualitative carcass and muscle traits were assessed by comparing two lines selected for live body weight at 63 days of age and a cryopreserved control population raised contemporaneously with generation 5 selected rabbits. The animals were divergently selected for five generations for either a high (H line) or a low (L line) body weight, based on their BLUP breeding value. Heritability ($h^2$) was 0.22 for 63-d body weight ($N = 4754$). Growth performance and quantitative carcass traits in the C group were intermediate between the H and L lines ($N = 390$). Perirenal fat proportion ($h^2 = 0.64$) and dressing out percentage ($h^2 = 0.55$) ranked in the order L < H = C (from high to low). The weight and cross-sectional area of the Semitendinosus muscle, and the mean diameter of the constitutive myofibres were reduced in the L line only ($N = 140$). In the Longissimus muscle ($N = 180$), the ultimate pH ($h^2 = 0.16$) and the maximum shear force reached in the Warner-Braztler test ($h^2 = 0.57$) were slightly modified by selection.

1. INTRODUCTION

For many years, the aim of genetic selection in rabbit dam lines has been to increase the number of young born alive or still alive at weaning [34]. In sire lines, selection for high growth rate has been largely introduced, but genetic
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selection on growth rate can modify the whole pattern of growth, the feed efficiency, and the tissue composition, thus affecting carcass and meat quality traits. The effects of postnatal weight gain on feed efficiency and carcass composition in rabbits have been studied [1, 8, 24, 26] using various breeds (dwarf, giant, commercial genotypes or native breeds) or lines selected for different criteria (e.g. growth vs. reproductive traits). Selection experiments have been recently conducted on increased average daily gain (ADG) [6, 22, 35] or phenotypic mass at 70 d of age [18, 32], and genetic parameters associated with growth have been estimated. Few within breed studies have also examined the effects of selection for an increased growth rate on carcass fatness, but lower [27] or higher contents [31] in dissectible fat weights have been demonstrated in the selected animals. Negatively correlated phenotypic responses of selection for rapid growth rate on water holding capacity, myosin heavy chain type I percentage, or instrumental texture properties of the longissimus muscle have also been reported [31], but the consequences of selection for low growth rate on meat quality indicators are not known.

This study was aimed at determining the consequences of selection for a rapid or a low growth rate on carcass composition, muscle histological characteristics at slaughter, and indicators of meat quality traits (lipid content, pH, colour, instrumental texture) in two divergent lines selected for five generations on body weight at a fixed age, and a control group from the same base population.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Origin of the animals

Founder rabbits were obtained from a commercial heavy sire line widely used in terminal crosses in French rabbit production (Grimaud Frères, La Corbière, Roussay, France). They were introduced in 1996 on the INRA experimental farm (Langlade, Pompertuzat, France) after hysterectomy of fifteen does. The males were distributed into 11 groups, one per founding sire, and the females were randomly distributed among the 11 groups, avoiding full-sib mating. Two successive generations (G0 and G1) were needed to produce the starting breeder population made up of 11 groups, each with one sire and 7 dams.
2.2. Selection process

The animals were weaned at 28 d of age and individually weighed at 63 d of age. The bucks and does were selected on their individual genetic value for live body weight at 63 d of age, estimated by BLUP applied on an animal model. The first step of selection took place in the G1 generation where bucks and does selected as breeders were chosen within-litter. The animals with the highest genetic values founded the high line (H) and their full sibs with the lowest genetic values the low line (L). The 11 original groups were represented in both lines. From the 2nd generation onwards, the animals were selected within group: each buck was replaced by its extreme son, and the does were chosen within sire progeny. The selected buck was kept in its group, and the does were distributed in the other groups to limit the increase of inbreeding. In the last generation, the inbreeding coefficient was 8.2% and 9.2% in the H and L lines, respectively.

Selected animals originated from two successive batches, and never belonged to the first parity progeny. In the case of divergent selection, the symmetrical evolution of the two lines cannot be verified, except if a control line is settled, for example with frozen embryos thawed at the end of the selection experiment. The advantages of such a control line are the almost complete absence of genetic drift and the limited cost of maintenance. This control line has previously been successfully used in selection experiments in rabbits [10, 26, 27]. To produce the control population, frozen [14] embryos from G0 were thawed and implemented in G4 females from both lines, in order to be contemporary of G5 rabbits from the selected lines. We obtained 44 bucks and 30 does out of 198 implanted embryos, and a G6 control group was obtained by mating 15 males and 21 females from this G5 control population.

2.3. Animal management and measurements

Animals

The total number of sires and dams was 204 and 595, respectively. A total of 5009 young rabbits were weighed at weaning (28 d). After weaning, growing rabbits were reared collectively in flat-deck cages (6 rabbits per cage). They had free access to a commercial pelleted diet (16.5% protein, 2520 kcal·kg⁻¹ ME) and water. A total of 4754 rabbits were weighed at 63 d of age. The average daily gain (ADG) was estimated between weaning and 63 d of age (g/days).
At G3, G5 and G6, twenty pairs of full sibs were kept from the 2nd progeny in each line after weaning, and pair-caged. In one cage, two full sibs from the same litter were put together to have progeny from all families. The feed consumption per cage was measured from weaning to slaughter. Feed conversion (FC, g feed/g gain) was then calculated. At 63 d of age, without prior fasting, the rabbits were electrically stunned (6s 90V 50Hz direct current), and bled. Commercial dressing procedures were followed, including the removal of genital organs, the digestive tract, urinary bladder and skin.

**Carcass traits**

The hot carcasses [2], and skin were weighed immediately after slaughter. After 24 h chilling at 4 °C in a ventilated room, the cold carcasses [2] were weighed. The carcasses were then dissected according to the norms of the World Rabbit Science Association. The perirenal fat was removed from the carcass and weighed. Dressing out percentage (chilled carcass weight/live weight at slaughter), and perirenal fat percentage (weight/chilled carcass weight) were calculated. Carcass colour was assessed on the surface over the Biceps femoris (BF) muscle in G5 and G6. The colour measures L* (lightness), a* (redness) and b* (yellowness) were obtained with a Minolta chromameter (Minolta Camera, Osaka, Japan).

**Muscle collection**

The Semitendinosus muscle (ST) was excised in one-half of the carcasses within 20 min post-mortem (one per litter, N = 20 from each selected line and the C group, for each generation) and weighed. The absence of any visible signs of contamination by inter-muscular fat depots was carefully checked in the muscle samples by visual examination. A slice (entire cross-section) was taken in the mid part of the ST muscle, cooled at 4 °C for 1 h after removal, and then frozen at −20 °C for determination of the total muscle cross-sectional area. For the evaluation of myofibre characteristics, the samples were taken in the ST muscle at the same relative location (one sample in the oxidative deep part, one sample in the superficial glycolytic part). The samples were oriented according to the longitudinal fibre axis, restrained on flat sticks, and frozen in isopentane cooled by liquid nitrogen. For biochemical evaluation, the remaining left ST sample and the Longissimus lumborum (LL, 6-7th lumbar vertebra) were cut into small pieces and frozen in liquid nitrogen. All samples
were stored at –70 °C until analysis. After 48 h of carcass chilling, the LL (6-7th lumbar vertebra) and the ST muscles were carefully excised from the right carcass side for texture assessment.

**Histological traits**

The total muscle cross-section area (mm\(^2\)) was determined on frozen left ST muscles using a programmable planimeter (Kontron, AMO 3, France). Transverse serial sections (14 µm-thick) cut with a cryostat (2800 Frigocut Reichert-Jung, Francheville, France), were stained with azorubin. The individual cross-sectional areas of myofibres were determined from three randomly selected fields in each part of the ST muscle, after inter-fibre network extraction, using a macro-program developed on an image analysis system (Optimas 6.5, Media Cybernetics, Silver-Spring, MD). The mean cross-sectional area (CSA, µm\(^2\)) of myofibres was then calculated. Muscle fibre type composition was studied on 10 µm-thick transverse serial cross-sections stained for actomyosin ATPase activity, after pre-incubation at pH 4.35 to identify types I (slow-twitch), IIA or IIB (fast-twitch) fibres. Percentages of type I, IIA and IIB fibres were determined from at least 1000 fibres into seven randomly selected fibre fasciculi, using a projection microscope (Reichert-Jung, Visopan, Wien, Austria).

**Muscle lipid content**

About 4 g of ST pieces were homogenised in methanol/chloroform (1:2, v/v), and intra-muscular lipids were extracted according to Folch *et al.* [9].

**Warner-Bratzler shear tests**

To assess the mechanical properties of the muscle, a Warner-Bratzler shear test was performed on raw ST or LL muscle samples using a universal test machine (synergie 200, MTS, MN, USA). Considering its small size, the whole ST muscle was used. Each LL muscle width was standardised at about 2.5 cm and two adjacent portions were obtained from each piece. Data are given as the mean of the measurements in the two pieces. Muscle sample was sheared at its centre using a Warner-Bratzler blade with a triangular hole drawn at 100 mm-min\(^{-1}\). The ST muscle was cut perpendicular to the muscle fibre orientation, while LL samples were positioned so that the superficial epimysial
side was the sheared last [5]. The parameters from the force deformation curve were the maximal shear force (Fm, N), the energy at the maxima (Energy, mJ), and total energy (Energy tot, mJ) defined as the area under the force displacement curve. Stress was calculated as follows: Fm/2x muscle area, expressed in N·cm$^{-2}$ [36]. An estimation of the stiffness of the sample was assessed by calculating the Fm/displacement to Fm (N·mm$^{-1}$ ratio).

**pH**

In the right portion of the carcasses chilled for 48 h, the ultimate pH (pHu) was measured *in situ* in the LL (5th lumbar vertebra level), using a combined glass penetrating electrode (Ingold, Mettler Toledo, Switzerland) and a portable pH meter (Knick, Berlin, Germany). For the ST muscle, due to the heterogeneity between the red and white portions, the samples were first crushed in a solution of sodium iodo-acetate (5 mM; 1:9, w/v), before pHu was measured using the apparatus described above.

### 2.4. Statistical analyses

Breeding values were estimated with the BLUP methodology applied to an individual model using the PEST package [13]. The model included sex, batch, and birth litter size (8 levels) as fixed effects, and permanent environment (dam) and animal as random effects. Heritability ($h^2$) and permanent environment values ($p^2$) were previously estimated in the original sire line ($h^2 = 0.18$, $p^2 = 0.18$).

At the end of the experiment, the traits were analysed using the GLM procedure (SAS® Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA), in order to determine the effect of selection on the phenotypic evolution. For weight at 63 d of age, the fixed effects were litter size at weaning, generation, batch within generation, line within generation, and sex. For carcass and muscle traits, the model included the effects of litter size at weaning, generation (3 levels), line within generation (7 levels) and sex (2 levels). The least squares means for the line effect were compared separately within each generation (pdiff statement of the GLM procedure). Significance was set at $P < 0.05$. Genetic parameters were estimated by the REML methodology for all traits with the effects mentioned above for breeding value estimation and with the common litter effect, using the VCE package [23] in bivariate analyses. For histological characteristics and lipid contents (only one rabbit per litter), the random litter effect was removed from the model.
Table I. Selection intensity.

|        | Males |        | Females |        |
|--------|-------|--------|---------|--------|
|        | Low line | High line | Low line | High line |
| G1     | −1.06 | 1.12  | −0.69  | 0.59  |
| G2     | −0.62 | 0.57  | −0.41  | 0.33  |
| G3     | −0.67 | 0.74  | −0.65  | 0.49  |
| G4     | −1.08 | 1.21  | −0.56  | 0.40  |
| G5     | −0.47 | 0.92  | −0.55  | 0.47  |

3. RESULTS

3.1. Selection intensity

The values of selection intensity for males and females are given in Table I. Mean selection intensity was similar in both lines, with a slightly higher selection intensity for females in the Low line and for males in the High line.

3.2. Phenotypic evolutions

The phenotypic differences between Low and High lines for body weight at 63 d (Fig. 1a), weaning weight (Fig. 2), and ADG (Fig. 3), were significant from G2 onwards. In G6, the difference between L and H lines was 450 g for 63-d body weight, corresponding to two standard deviations. For weaning weight and ADG, the difference between the two selected lines in the G6 generation was about one standard deviation (68 g and 12 g/d for weaning weight and ADG, respectively). In this final generation, growth performance in the C group was intermediate between that in the H and L lines.

The FC ratio was lowered by 4% in the H line compared to the L line from G5 onwards (Tab. II), with the C group being intermediate between the two selected lines in G6.

The carcass merit of the L line was slightly lower, with a higher proportion of skin and a lower carcass yield. The differences between the L and H lines were significant from G5 onwards for the skin (+7% on average) and perirenal fat proportion (+23% on average), and in G6 only for carcass yield (i.e., −1.1% for dressing out percentage). Carcass merit was similar in the C group and the H line. Selection on growth did not significantly influence the exterior surface colour measurements.

In the ST muscle, the weight and the cross-sectional area were significantly lower in the L line compared to the H line, due to a hypotrophy of the constitutive myofibres (Tab. III). Differences between lines increased during the
Figure 1. Phenotypic (a) and genetic (b) evolutions for body weight measured at 63 days of age, in rabbits divergently selected for a high (■) or a low (●) body weight at 63 days, and in the control population (▲), ($\sigma_p = 223$ g).

Figure 2. Phenotypic evolutions for the weaning weight in rabbits divergently selected for a high (■) or a low (●) body weight at 63 days, and in the control population (▲), ($\sigma_p = 129$ g).
Figure 3. Phenotypic evolutions for the average daily gain in rabbits divergently selected for a high (■) or a low (○) body weight at 63 days, and in the control population (▲), ($\sigma_p = 4.89$ g/d).

Table II. Phenotypic evolution for feed conversion (FC) and for carcass traits at generations G3, G5 or G6 of selection for 63-day body weight\(^1\) in rabbits.

| Traits                  | Effects G3 | G5 | G6 |
|-------------------------|------------|----|----|
| Weight at slaughter (g) | 201***     |    |    |
| FC, g feed/g gain        | 0.18*      | 3.15 | 3.19 | 3.12\(^a\) | 2.99\(^b\) | 3.05\(^a\) | 2.96\(^ab\) | 2.90\(^b\) |
| Percentage (%)           | 1.01***     | 20.22\(^a\) | 20.58\(^b\) | 16.24\(^b\) | 15.62\(^b\) | 19.12\(^c\) | 18.23\(^b\) | 17.55\(^a\) |
| Skin                    | 2.17*       | 54.34 | 55.22 | 56.18 | 56.12 | 54.41\(^a\) | 55.67\(^b\) | 55.53\(^b\) |
| Perirenal fat            | 0.42***     | 1.68 | 1.70 | 1.51\(^a\) | 1.82\(^b\) | 1.62\(^b\) | 1.92\(^b\) | 2.04\(^b\) |
| Colour                  |             |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| L' carcass              | 2.15 NS     | -   | -   | 57.82 | 57.40 | 53.80 | 53.20 | 52.94 |
| a' carcass              | 1.77 NS     | -   | -   | 3.65 | 3.68 | 4.60 | 4.52 | 4.03 |
| b' carcass              | 1.37 NS     | -   | -   | 3.04 | 2.94 | 4.39 | 4.23 | 3.78 |

\(^1\)Least square means (\(N = 40\) per line and per generation).
***\(P \leq 0.001\); **\(P \leq 0.01\); *\(P \leq 0.05\).
\(a, b, c\) Within each generation, least square means with different letters are significantly different (\(P \leq 0.05\)).

Selection (e.g., −7%, −9% and −16% for muscle total cross-sectional area at G3, G5, and G6, respectively). In contrast, the relative proportions of the different fibre types in the ST were not affected by selection. In G6, all histological traits measured in the H line were not significantly different from those in the C group. Instrumental texture assessed in ST and LL muscles was little affected by selection. The energy at a maximum shear force in G6 and the total energy
Table III. Phenotypic evolution of histological, rheological, and biochemical traits for the *Semitendinosus* muscle at generations G3, G5 or G6 of selection for 63-day body weight in rabbits (*N* = 140)\(^1\)\(^2\).

| Traits                          | Effects | G3          | G5          | G6          |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                                | stde    | Line sex    | L    H    | L    H    | L    C    H |
| Histology                      |         |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Muscle weight (g)              | 0.75    | *** NS      | 7.03\(^a\) | 7.67\(^b\) | 7.06\(^a\) | 8.05\(^b\) | 7.08\(^a\) | 8.04\(^b\) | 8.46\(^b\) |
| Muscle CSA (mm\(^2\))          | 29      | *** NS      | 216\(^a\) | 232\(^b\) | 241\(^a\) | 265\(^b\) | 220\(^a\) | 246\(^b\) | 263\(^b\) |
| Mean fibre CSA (µm\(^2\))      | 295     | *** NS      | 2737\(^a\) | 2952\(^b\) | 2335\(^a\) | 2641\(^b\) | 2786\(^a\) | 3374\(^b\) | 3222\(^b\) |
| Fibre type proportion (%)      |         |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| I                              | 5.23    | NS NS       | 19.84 | 20.12 | 16.58 | 16.86 | 17.41 | 17.95 | 17.03 |
| IIA                             | 4.67    | NS NS       | 19.90 | 20.74 | 18.79 | 19.04 | 15.47 | 16.93 | 15.68 |
| IIB                             | 6.89    | NS NS       | 60.27 | 59.14 | 64.64 | 64.10 | 67.12 | 65.12 | 67.29 |
| Fibre type proportion (%)      |         |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| I                              | 1.11    | NS NS       | 0.65  | 0.65  | 0.76  | 0.65  | 0.12  | 0.54  | 0.37  |
| IIA                             | 3.71    | NS NS       | 6.41  | 7.14  | 5.66  | 6.16  | 4.17  | 4.71  | 3.12  |
| IIB                             | 4.44    | NS NS       | 93.00 | 92.35 | 93.66 | 93.27 | 95.71 | 94.63 | 96.63 |
| Texture                        |         |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Maximum shear force (N)        | 8.44    | * NS        | 28.4  | 33.8  | 41.1  | 45.1  | 36.7\(^a\) | 44.4\(^b\) | 38.6\(^a\) |
| Energy at maximum shear force (mJ) | 44 | ** NS | 100  | 122  | 156  | 164  | 128\(^a\) | 175\(^b\) | 162\(^b\) |
| Total energy (mJ)              | 88      | ** NS       | 345\(^a\) | 433\(^b\) | 534  | 558  | 404\(^a\) | 494\(^b\) | 449\(^ab\) |
| Stress (N·cm\(^{-2}\))         | 1.74    | NS NS       | 6.94  | 9.14  | nd    | nd   | 8.71\(^a\) | 7.48\(^b\) | 7.64\(^ab\) |
| Stiffness (N·mm\(^{-1}\))      | 18.3    | NS NS       | 45.9  | 53.9  | 57.1  | 60.5  | 63.0  | 67.5  | 57.7  |
| Meat quality indicators        |         |             |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Ultimate pH                    | 0.09    | NS *        | 5.98  | 5.92  | 6.11  | 6.07  | 6.13  | 6.13  | 6.09  |
| Lipid content (%)              | 0.41    | NS NS       | 1.74  | 1.71  | 1.44  | 1.53  | 1.78\(^a\) | 2.10\(^b\) | 2.02\(^ab\) |

\(^1\)Least square means (*N* = 20 per line and per generation).

\(^2\)*P* ≤ 0.001; **P* ≤ 0.01; *P* ≤ 0.05.

\(^a,b,c\) Within each generation, least square means with different letters are significantly different (*P* ≤ 0.05).

needed to achieve rupture in G3 were 20% lower in the L line as compared to the H line for the ST muscle (Tab. III). In G6, muscles from the C group tended to be firmer (higher shear force, energy, and total energy) than those from the L line. In the same generation, however, the energy at the maximum shear force for the LL muscle (Tab. IV) was 14% higher in the L line than in the H line, whereas the C group tended to be firmer (higher shear force value and stiffness) than the H line. Only the C group tended to be firmer than the two selected lines within the last generation in both the ST (Tab. III) and LL
Table IV. Phenotypic evolution of rheological and biochemical properties for the Longissimus lumborum muscle at generations G3, G5 or G6 of selection for 63-day body weight\(^1\) in rabbits (\(N = 180\)).

| Traits                      | Effects stdev | G3 L H | G5 L H | G6 L C H |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|----------|
| Texture                     |               |        |        |          |
| Maximum shear force (N)     | 3.95          | * **   | 22.4   | 24.8     | 35.3     | 35.1     | 33.9\(^b\) | 34.5\(^b\) | 31.2\(^a\) |
| Energy at maximum shear force (mJ) | 54            | NS     | 137    | 140      | 303      | 297      | 287\(^a\) | 284\(^ab\) | 251\(^b\) |
| Total energy (mJ)           | 97            | NS     | 523\(^a\) | 604\(^b\) | 828      | 852      | 712      | 740      | 695      |
| Stiffness (N mm\(^{-1}\))   | 3.0           | * NS   | 23.6\(^a\) | 26.4\(^b\) | 12.4     | 12.6     | 20.7\(^ab\) | 21.2\(^b\) | 19.2\(^a\) |
| Meat quality indicators     |               |        |        |          |
| Ultimate pH                 | 0.08          | NS     | ** 5.79 | 5.83     | 5.80     | 5.80     | 5.72     | 5.69     | 5.71     |

\(^1\) Least square means.
*** \(P \leq 0.001\); ** \(P \leq 0.01\); * \(P \leq 0.05\).

a,b,c Within each generation, least square means with different letters are significantly different (\(P \leq 0.05\)).

muscle (Tab. IV). Significant differences between the H and L lines were found for the total energy needed to achieve rupture at G3 in the ST muscle (L < H), and for maximum shear force in the LL muscle at G6 (L > H).

The ultimate pH was not affected by selection in the two muscles, whereas lipid content was slightly decreased in the L line for the ST muscle in G6.

### 3.3. Genetic parameters

For the 63-d body weight, the realised heritability value calculated in both lines (\(h^2 = 0.32\)) was very similar to the heritability estimated with REML methodology (\(h^2 = 0.22\), Tab. V). Litter effect and additive effect on 63-d body weight were similar. In contrast, the litter effect was larger than the additive genetic effect for weaning weight. Both heritability and litter effect values were moderate for ADG. High direct genetic correlations were found between 63-d body weight and ADG, or 63-d body weight and weaning weight. Medium to large heritabilities (0.43 to 0.64) were obtained for dressing proportions (Tab. V). Perirenal fat proportion was positively associated to 63-d body weight, whereas the relative weight of the skin was negatively correlated with weight at slaughter. The genetic correlation between carcass yield
Table V. Genetic parameters (±SD) for growth, carcass and meat quality traits assessed in the *Longissimus lumborum* muscle\(^1\) of rabbits.

| Traits\(^1\)                        | \(c^2\)      | \(h^2\)      | \(r_g\)      |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| **Growth performance**             |              |              |              |
| Weight at 63 days                  | 0.30 ± 0.01  | 0.22 ± 0.02  |              |
| Weaning weight                     | 0.49 ± 0.02  | 0.13 ± 0.02  | 0.67 ± 0.04  |
| Average daily gain                 | 0.20 ± 0.02  | 0.29 ± 0.03  | 0.91 ± 0.02  |
| **Carcass traits**                 |              |              |              |
| Dressing proportions               |              |              |              |
| Skin percentage                    | 0.14 ± 0.05  | 0.43 ± 0.09  | −0.39 ± 0.10 |
| Dressing out                       | -            | 0.55 ± 0.10  | 0.09 ± 0.09  |
| Perirenal far/chilled carcass      | -            | 0.64 ± 0.11  | 0.24 ± 0.09  |
| **Colour (external muscle surface)**|              |              |              |
| L*                                 | 0.21 ± 0.09  | 0.01 ± 0.01  | ne           |
| a*                                 | 0.04 ± 0.06  | 0.01 ± 0.01  | ne           |
| b*                                 | 0.01 ± 0.09  | 0.01 ± 0.01  | ne           |
| **Meat quality traits (Longissimus lumborum)**| | | |
| pH                                 | 0.03 ± 0.06  | 0.16 ± 0.09  | 0.02 ± 0.11  |
| Maximum shear force                | -            | 0.57 ± 0.02  | 0.02 ± 0.10  |
| Energy at maximum                  | -            | 0.09 ± 0.12  | −0.18 ± 0.26 |

\(^1\) \(c^2\) = common environment; \(h^2\) = heritability; \(r_g\) = genetic correlation with 63-day body weight; ne = non-estimable.

and 63-d body weight was not significant. CIELAB colour measurements had null heritability values.

Shear force and energy applied at a maximum shear force in the Warner-Bratzler test displayed moderate heritability in the LL muscle (Tab. V). The ultimate pH had a low heritability value \((h^2 = 0.16)\), and a null genetic correlation with 63-d body weight. The heritability values calculated for other muscle traits had very high standard errors and are not shown.

### 3.4. Genetic evolutions

The genetic evolutions were in accordance with the phenotypic evolutions for 63-d body weight. The evolutions were very similar in low and high lines (Fig. 1b). The control line was in between the two selected lines, and had the same genetic level as the founder population.
4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Symmetrical and asymmetrical responses for selection on body weight at a fixed age

As a consequence of selection, the animals of the high or low lines were heavier or lighter, respectively, than the control animals at weaning and at 63 days of age, in agreement with the observations by Piles and Blasco [26] that rabbits selected for increased growth rate are heavier than control animals throughout the entire growth curve. Successful selection in rabbits was previously shown for ADG [4, 22, 35] and body weight at market age [18, 34]. However, a higher genetic progress for body weights and ADG was obtained in the present work, mainly because of the efficiency of selection on BLUP breeding values in comparison with selection on phenotypic values. For instance, post-weaning ADG was currently increased by nearly 1 g/d per generation of selection on 63-d body weight, versus 0.83 g/d for Rochambeau et al. [34] and 0.45 g/d for Piles and Blasco [26] per generation by direct selection on post-weaning ADG breeding values. In agreement with previous studies on rabbits selected for ADG [8, 22], the lowest feed conversion ratio was observed in the rapid-growing animals, since the genetic correlation between ADG and market weight was high ($r_g = 0.82$ to 0.91, current study, [22]).

In divergent selection experiments on growth, asymmetrical responses for growth traits between upward and downward lines are generally observed in rabbits [21] and other species [37]. The symmetrical responses to selection for growth performance observed in the present study may partially arise from the fact that selection was carried out on breeding values. However, asymmetrical responses to selection were obtained for carcass conformation, with responses in the low line only.

4.2. Genetic parameters for growth performance and qualitative traits

The heritability value estimated for 63-d body weight was similar to that previously estimated for commercial slaughter weight in rabbits ($h^2 = 0.15$ to 0.36, [4, 11, 12, 35]). Similarly, the estimated heritability value for ADG between 4 and 9 wk was very similar to the value reported by Piles et al. [28], but was slightly higher than that reported in rabbits selected on 70-d mass weight (0.17, [18]) or for 4 to 10 wk ADG (0.23, [34]). The high positive genetic correlation between 63-d body weight and 4 to 9 wk ADG, was close to that reported between 70-d body weight and 3-4 to 10 wk ADG ($r_g = 0.91$ to 0.98, [18, 40]). Indeed, a moderate correlated response ($r_g = 0.50$ to 0.64) for
weaning weight in rabbits selected on market body weight has been reported previously [18, 22]). Interestingly, the common (litter) effect was markedly larger than the direct additive effect for weaning weight, in accordance with Lukefahr et al. [18]. In contrast to this latter study, we obtained similar estimates for the litter effect and direct effect on 63-d body weight and on ADG.

The genetic parameters associated with carcass merit and meat quality have been little estimated in rabbits. The heritability \( h^2 = 0.55 \) currently estimated for dressing out percentage was similar to that reported for rabbits selected on 70-d body mass \( h^2 = 0.37 \), [18]) and higher than the low heritability value \( h^2 = 0.17 \) reported by Su et al. [39]. In pigs, the heritability for carcass yield is considered as moderate [38].

Interestingly, the Warner-Brazler shear force had a moderate heritability, in agreement with other studies on pigs [38].

**4.3. Phenotypic responses for qualitative traits**

As previously reported for rabbits selected on ADG [40] or body mass [27], the present results suggest that rabbit dressing out percentage was slightly affected by selection for growth rate. Phenotypically, both a decrease [27, 29] and an increase [31] in carcass fatness have been reported in rabbits selected for a high growth rate and in two lines of rabbits selected for growth rate compared to a line selected on litter size [12]. In mice, most studies indicate that at a fixed age, lines selected for high body weight tend to be fatter [19]. The animals selected for a high growth rate are generally approximately as mature as unselected animals from the same origin at the same age [3], which could explain the lack of variation in carcass fat content currently observed between the high line and controls. The present study rather suggested that only selection for a low growth rate results in a decreased perirenal fat proportion and a slightly lower lipid content in *Semitendinosus* muscle. Interestingly, both carcass fatness and feed efficiency were depressed in the L line only, from G5 onwards.

No effect of selection was found in the ultimate pH values of both muscles at a fixed slaughter age and no evidence of phenotypic differences in ultimate pH of the *Longissimus* muscle between fast growing and control animals was found in previous works [27, 31]. In contrast to the present results, negative genetic correlations have been reported in rabbits between ultimate pH and growth rate \((-0.05 \text{ to } -0.55, [16])\). It has also been suggested that continual selection of mammals for rapid growth accompanied by a higher development of the musculature should entail fibre type conversion, leading to a
more pronounced glycolytic character and a lower ultimate pH in fast-growing animals [1]. However, the muscle fibre type proportion was not affected by selection on body weight, in agreement with studies on divergently growth-selected chickens or mice at a fixed age [32, 33]. The assumption that oxidative metabolism is related negatively to muscularity (proportion of muscle weight to carcass weight) and positively to the maturity degree of the animals at slaughter (proportion of body weight to adult weight) might help explain these differences.

The present results did not confirm the statement that the meat of growth-selected rabbits may show higher firmness [30, 31], but the Warner-Braztler shear test was performed either on raw (current study) or on cooked meat [30, 31]. Finally, for Semitendinosus and Longissimus lumborum texture traits, opposite responses to selection were currently evidenced, probably as a consequence of the methodology applied during the texture test (entire muscle or standardised squares, respectively). Overall, the present work suggests that the structure of the muscle at slaughter did not markedly diverge between the growth selected lines. Previous sensory analysis also showed similar hardness in a growth-selected group and a control group [15].

No previous results are available on the influence of selection for growth on muscle fibre architecture in rabbits. The significant hypotrophy of cross-sectional areas of myofibres in the low line was in agreement with observations on chickens [33] and cattle [17]. It was generally accompanied by an increase in total fibre number [7, 33]. Fibre number is fixed shortly after birth in rabbits, thereafter the fibre enlargement is due to additional DNA from satellite cell proliferation and differentiation [25]. Selection on post-weaning growth rate appears to alter the amount of DNA synthesised in the muscle, at least in mice [20], which might explain the difference in fibre cross-sectional areas observed between L and H rabbit lines.

5. CONCLUSION

Selection for growth rate in rabbits is efficient. After five generations of selection, an accurate rabbit model was produced in order to estimate the effects of increased or decreased body weight on carcass composition and muscle characteristics at a fixed age. Although quantitative weights have been increased by selection in the rapid growing line, the qualitative traits did not differ significantly between highly selected animals and the control population.
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