Raman spectral identification of phase distribution in anodic titanium dioxide coating
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Abstract. Growing need for cleaner environment and energy production has brought about a hunt for perspective materials. One of such perspective materials is titanium dioxide (TiO₂, titania) due to its chemical stability and photocatalytic properties. Titania can be synthesized through many methods but anodization process is one of the prevailing methods to produce high active surface nanostructured titania. Various anodization electrolytes produce different polymorphs of TiO₂. Uniform phase distribution on the surface is crucial for higher photocatalytic activity. In this research, the influence of two electrolytes on polymorph phase distribution of TiO₂ was investigated. Phase distribution correlation with optical band gap, charge density and photocurrent values were tested. Successful Raman investigation of anodized titania revealed uniform, single and multi-phase, as well as nonuniform phase distributions produced respectively in PO₄³⁻ and SO₄²⁻ ions containing electrolytes. Uniform single phase titania shows highest photocurrent (PCR) and charge density values compared to phase composition and nonuniform phase distributions. We have shown Raman microprobe analysis as indispensable method for wholesome sample characteristics.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the world of an accelerated energy consumption [1] and generation [2] great amount of work is put in into investigation of reduction of the pollution from industry [1,3,4] as well as individual homes [5], to find most efficient way of energy usage and lower the levels of pollution, according to Paris Agreement [6]. A prevalent way to lower pollution is through photocatalytic reduction [7]. In materials with potential use for photocatalytic pollution degradation the most promising seems to be titanium dioxide (TiO₂, titania). It can be used for transformation of solar energy into chemical energy for splitting of water into hydrogen and oxygen [8], CO₂ reduction [9,10] and organic pollution degradation [7] as well as many other applications. The main advantage of TiO₂ is its chemical and photocatalytic stability, high availability, high oxidation potential of •OH radicals and environmental acceptability. Even though the photocatalytic properties of TiO₂ were shown already 40 years ago by Fujishima and Honda [11] and many studies have been conducted, finding highly efficient material is still in progress. TiO₂ can be synthesized in anatase (A), rutile (R), and brookite polymorph forms as well as in forms of cubic, pyrite, monoclinic and cotunnite [12] through various methods: magnetron sputtering, electrochemical anodization, electrophoretic deposition, spray-pyrolysis etc. [13–15]. Most of amorphous coatings are produced using previously mentioned methods of synthesis. This means that heat treatment is necessary for crystalline structure. It is well known that rutile is thermodynamically stable phase and anatase transforms into rutile at higher...
calcination temperatures [16]. Phase transition depends on the synthesis method and calcination atmosphere, for example [17] reports no phase transition up to 873 K, but [16] showed 703 K as threshold temperature for transformation. Comprehensive table and graph on phase transition was reported by Hanaor et al. [12] suggesting A to R transformation in ambient atmosphere above 873 K, whereas Mor et al. [18] reported crystallization temperature as low as 553 K. It is necessary to find right calcination temperature for the synthesis method to ensure the desired polymorph phase composition as it influences the overall photocatalytic activity.

It has been reported that multiphase composition ensures higher photocatalytic activity [19]. The knowledge of polymorph phase structure distribution of TiO₂ coating is essential for more favourable optical and photocatalytic properties. Due to various possible outcomes of structural composition it is necessary to investigate the TiO₂ surface for polymorph phase distribution.

This research is focused on the investigation of influence of anodization properties on the phase uniformity of anodized TiO₂ coating on Ti foil. Investigation of TiO₂ surface morphology and polymorph phase is done by SEM and Raman spectroscopy. Correlation between optical absorption edge, charge carrier density and uniformity of phase distribution is reported.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental setup

Experimental setup as seen in Fig. 1 was used for sample synthesis. Titanium (Ti) foil was mechanically polished using chromium paste (particle size 0.25–1 µm) then sonicated (US) in acetone bath for 10 minutes. Additional chemical polishing with HF:HNO₃:H₂O (volume relation 1:4:4) was performed for some samples. Cleaned Ti substrate was used as anode and platinum (Pt) as counter electrode. Electrolyte was under constant stirring throughout the process of the synthesis, current density on the surface as well as temperature were measured.

Two kinds of electrolytes were prepared. First, by dissolving H₃PO₄ (85%, Penta) in deionized (DI) water. Second, by dissolving H₂SO₄ in DI water. 1 M NaOH (Enola) was added to the solution to tweak the pH level to 2.5. Both electrolytes are water based, as Grimes et al. [20] reported there is a need of F⁻ ion source, Regonini et al. [21] came to the same conclusions. To ensure anodic process by chemical dissolution of TiO₂ NaF (Enola) was added. Thus, F⁻ ion concentration of 0.3 wt% was ensured.

Anodizing current-time transient is shown in Fig. 2. As reported by [21] anodization process can be divided into 3 stages. Stage I, anodization process begins with applied voltage, TiO₂ layer grows on Ti substrate following drop of current density due to higher resistance. Though authors suggest extending phase I, with low voltage application before the anodization voltage, to ensure initial oxide layer, pH gradient and speed up anodization process in given electrolyte. In stage I the oxide layer thickens until the rapid pore evolution starts; in the stage II, chemical and field assisted etching of oxide layer proceeds and Ti⁴⁺ and O²⁻ ions migrate to oxide-electrolyte and metal-oxide interfaces; in stage III an equilibrium between oxide growth and dissolution.
(field assisted as well as chemical) is achieved and pores turn into tubes and oxide layer [21,22].

After anodization samples were rinsed in DI water and dried in ambient atmosphere. As anodized TiO₂ is amorphous crystalline structure of samples was achieved by heat treatment in ambient atmosphere at 773 K, except for one sample treated with heat in nitrogen atmosphere at 773 K.

Photoactivity was determined using three electrode cell, where sample was used as working electrode, Pt as auxiliary electrode and calomel (SCE) as the reference electrode, VoltaLab 40 (PGZ301, Radiometer Analytical) potentiostat was used. In photoactivity measurements 150 W xenon lamp (10 mW/cm²) was applied as light source by cycled ON and OFF irradiation with self-made chopper.

In this work two sets of samples are compared; sample synthesis parameters are presented in Table 1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Morphology

If it is necessary to produce high specific area materials for higher efficiency of absorbed irradiation, nanostructured surface is the right answer. As seen in SEM investigation (Fig. 3) both electrolytes can produce nanotube structures of titania. But two problems arise: lack of uniformity of the tubular layer and anodization excess contamination, even though proper rinsing and annealing is performed. As seen in Fig. 3 (c) not only surface is semi-chaotic, meaning not clear tubular structure, but the surface can be contaminated with flower like structures.

On the other hand, tubular structure of Fig. 3 (a), (b), and (d) are partially covered with thin oxide layer, closing the openings of tubes.

3.2. Structural composition

Single spot spectroscopic investigation shows 3 various titania phase compositions as seen in Fig. 4 (a), (b) and (c) provides with various polymorph phase indications. Both most common polymorph phases are present. Anatase phase is characterized with major modes at 144, 196, 396, 515 and 632 cm⁻¹ as seen in Fig. 4 (b). Other samples show mostly anatase phase as seen in Fig. 4 (c) with shifted multiphoton mode at 245 cm⁻¹ and major R modes at 445 cm⁻¹ and 613 cm⁻¹. Sample results seen in Fig. 4 (a) suggests mostly rutile structure with two anatase modes.

### Table 1. Sample synthesis parameters. Two sets of samples were synthesized, differentiating in used electrolyte. TH represents H₃PO₄ and TS represents H₂SO₄ containing electrolyte

| Sample | Chemical polishing, s | Low voltage, V | Time, min | Anodization voltage, V | Time, min | Electrolyte | Annealing atmosphere | T, K | Heat treatment time, min |
|--------|------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|
| TH1    | 0                      | 5              | 10        | 20                     | 90        | H₃PO₄      | Ambient              | 773  | 240                     |
| TH2    | 0                      | 5              | 10        | 20                     | 90        | H₃PO₄      | Nitrogen             | 773  | 120                     |
| TH3    | 1                      | 5              | 10        | 20                     | 90        | H₃PO₄      | Ambient              | 773  | 240                     |
| TH4    | 0                      | 5              | 10        | 20                     | 90        | H₃PO₄      | Ambient              | 773  | 120                     |
| TS1    | 5                      | 5              | 10        | 20                     | 90        | H₂SO₄      | Ambient              | 773  | 240                     |
| TS2    | 0                      | 5              | 15        | 20                     | 90        | H₂SO₄      | Ambient              | 773  | 240                     |
| TS3    | 5                      | 5              | 15        | 20                     | 90        | H₂SO₄      | Ambient              | 773  | 240                     |

Fig. 3. Morphology investigation. (a) tubular structures of TiO₂ sample TH1; (b) tubular structure covered with anodization excess TH2; (c) microstructural surface contamination with TiO₂ flowers of TS3 (d) TiO₂ tubular structure TH4.
For thorough surface characterization, it is necessary to map phase distribution on the surface by taking multiple spectra, results of multiple lines of spectra can be seen in Fig. 5. The phase distribution varies over the surface of different samples. Tubular structure (Fig. 5 (b)) shows uniform single phase surface in Fig. 5 (a). Uniform structural composition, although not of single phase, distribution seen in Fig. 5 (b), which morphology is with specific structure but not tubular as seen in Fig. 5 (c).

For more comprehensive depiction of distribution, density plots of specific polymorph phase as seen in where color represents single spectra depending on co-ordinates can be seen in Fig. 6. As seen in Fig. 6 (a), (b), and (c) the surface has mostly uniform phase composition, density plots are in uniform color, but it must be kept in mind that in case of sample A, even though mostly uniform distribution, it is not single polymorph phase but phase composition as seen in Fig. 4 (a). In comparison to the sample TH2 where the surface is single polymorph phase with difference in acquired signal intensity, indicating slightly varying crystallite size. Whereas Fig. 6 (c) shows two phase composition in TS3, where green and red represents respectively rutile and anatase polymorph phases.

Distribution density plot of two single phases clearly can be seen in Fig. 7, where amongst rutile there is a small island of anatase. As seen from Fig. 7 (b) the anatase phase is just in one location. The single anatase island can be explained with higher number of defects due to TiO₂ layer cracking.

3.3. Optical properties

Optical absorption edge was determined from Kubelka–Munk function [23,24] applied to reflectance spectra and by linear fitting and extrapolating to maximum absorption. Keeping in mind theoretical calculated band gap values of rutile 3.05 eV [25] and anatase 3.2 eV [26], our results are anatase-rutile composition in TH1 (Fig. 5 (a)) \( E_g = 3.2 \text{ eV} \), TH2 uniform anatase phase (Fig. 5 (b)) \( E_g = 3.3 \text{ eV} \), but sample TS3 phase composition (Fig. 5 (c)) \( E_g = 2.9 \text{ eV} \). Aforementioned three electrode photo-electrochemical cell allows to measure...
open circuit potential (OCP), which provides with rough determination of electron-hole generation and recombination rate, and photocurrent (PCR) which in turn provides with an estimates of amount of charge carriers. Fig. 8 (a) shows PCR value for TH1 uniform single anatase phase sample and Fig. 8 (b) TS3 OCP value of phase composition sample. From voltage-time transient we can estimate rough recombination rate, as seen in Fig. 8 (b), in 10 seconds potential difference of 15 mV then for next 50 seconds only 7 mV of increase in potential is created, thus showing the high recombination rate. Single phase distribution as seen in sample TH2 (Fig. 5 (a), Fig. 6 (b)) shows 8 µA/cm² whereas sample TH1 (rutile majority Fig. 4 (a)) shows 4 µA/cm². Even lower PCR values of 0.61 µA/cm² and 0.45 µA/cm² were shown by samples TS1 and TS2.

Efficient photocatalytic material requires high charge carrier density – N_D. N_D was determined by linear approximation of Mott–Schottky plot [27,28]. N_D estimate for nanotubular pure anatase phase (Fig. 5 (a)) sample TH2 is 2.8 × 10¹⁹ cm⁻³, compared to TS2 nontubular nonuniform phase composition (Fig. 7) with mostly rutile polymorph N_D is 1.2 × 10¹⁹ cm⁻³, and TS3 phase composition (seen in Fig. 4 (c) and Fig. 5 (b)) N_D = 2.26 × 10¹⁷ cm⁻³. Whereas tubular anatase TH4 N_D estimated to 4.6 × 10²² cm⁻³. Samples synthesized in H₃PO₄ electrolyte flat band potentials are below –1 V but other samples synthesized in H₂SO₄ based electrolyte flat band potentials over –2 V and N_D lower by 2 to 3 orders of magnitude. Comparing samples TH2 and TS3 respectively flat band potential were –0.57 V and –2.2 V.

As seen in Table 2 samples synthesized in H₃PO₄ showed higher band gap, PCR and N_D values, whereas samples synthesized in H₂SO₄ showed lower band gap, PCR and N_D values. TS samples show lower E_gap values that corresponds well with strucrural properties, as rutile
Fig. 8. Potential-time transient (OCP) and photocurrent-time transient (PCR) graphs. (a) Sample TH2 PCR value of single phase uniform distribution of anatase; (b) TS3 phase composition OCP value of −22 mV.

Phase has lower band gap values, but also TS show lower PCR values that translates into lower overall photo-activity. Similarly high $E_{fb}$ assists in confirming lower activity, all samples with very high flat band potentials show lower activity. Additionally, heat treatment environment is crucial for higher activity as it is well seen for sample TH2 and TH4, single anatase phase, low $E_{fb}$ value, high activity.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this research anodized titania was synthesized in two different electrolytes and optical, structural and electrochemical properties were tested with main attention to polymorph phase distribution of samples with 2D Raman investigation.

Considering the synthesis parameters and anatase to rutile transformation parameters in comparison with the results presented here, conclusions on the electrolyte influence on crystallinity of TiO$_2$ can be made. Uniform and mostly anatase phase samples were synthesized using H$_3$PO$_4$ based electrolyte whereas mostly rutile distribution was achieved with H$_2$SO$_4$ based electrolyte with similar synthesis and heat treatment parameters. Anodized TiO$_2$ surface phase distribution depends on anodization electrolyte as well as heat treatment temperature and sample preparation for anodization, as it was showed by Raman individual and phase distribution plots.

Phase distribution has direct influence on overall photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$, influencing charge carrier density and recombination rate. There was no observed correlation between multiphase composition and higher charge carrier densities, higher PCR values. With the right choice of electrolyte and heat treatment atmosphere it is possible to ensure higher photoactivity.

By combining TiO$_2$ polymorph phase distribution with PCR values and charge carrier densities we present novel method of estimation of material overall photoactivity. Because of the major differences in phase composition, the surface analysis is necessary and Raman microprobe analysis is indispensable method for wholesome sample surface characterization.

| Sample | OCP, mV | FCR, µA/cm$^2$ | $E_{gap}$, eV | Polymorph phase | $E_{fb}$, mV | $N_0$, m$^3$ |
|--------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| TH1    | −7.47   | 4.00           | 3.20         | R             | 1395.5       | 2.41E+17    |
| TH2    | −300.15 | 8.20           | 3.30         | A             | −572.6       | 2.78E+19    |
| TH3    | −8.64   | 0.02           | 2.99         | A/R           | −1246.6      | 1.96E+23    |
| TH4    | −80.00  | 5.62           | 3.11         | A             | −646.3       | 4.63E+22    |
| TS1    | −0.49   | 0.45           | 2.94         | R/A           | −2124.6      | 7.64E+15    |
| TS2    | −9.42   | 0.61           | 2.99         | R/A           | −6253.3      | 1.23E+19    |
| TS3    | −22.07  | 0.81           | 2.90         | R/A           | −2182.8      | 2.27E+17    |
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Faasijaotuse ramanspektraalne identifitseerimine anoodtitaanoksiidiidist pinnakatetes

Ainars Knoks, Janis Kleperis ja Liga Grinberga

On uuritud titaani anoodimisel saadud titaandioksiidi faasikoostise ja optiliste ning elektrokeemiliste omaduste sõltuvust anoodimisprotsessi parameetrist. Ramanspektroskoopiliste mõõtmete tulemused näitasid, et sõltuvalt elektrolüüdi valikut (kas H₃PO₄ või H₂SO₄) on võimalik saada kas anataasi või rutiili faasi ülekaaluga titaandioksiidi. Samuti mõjutas faasikoostist objekti ettevalmistamine anoodimiseks ja anoodimisele järgnenud termotöötlus. Faasikoostis omakorda mõjutas otseselt TiO₂ fotokatalüütilist aktiivsust. Uuringute tulemusena on välja selgitatud fotovoolu ja laengukandjate kontrastsiooni sõltuvus TiO₂ faasikoostisest.