Removal of Orange G Dye by Manganese Oxide Nanostructures

Raquel P. D. Victor, Luiza L. M. Fontes, Antônio A. Neves, Maria E. L. R. de Queiroz, André F. de Oliveira and Liany D. L. Miranda

Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, 36570-900 Viçosa-MG, Brazil
Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Espírito Santo, Campus Vila Velha, 29106-010 Vila Velha-ES, Brazil

Two types of manganese oxide were investigated for the removal/degradation of Orange G (OG) dye in aqueous solution. One manganese oxide (pyrolusite) was obtained commercially and the other (birnessite) was prepared according to the polyol method by means of the oxidation of Mn²⁺ hydroxide by H₂O₂. Both materials were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), infrared spectroscopy (IR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and nitrogen adsorption/desorption at 77 K. The effects of agitation time, pH, and MnO₂ dose on the degradation of OG by the birnessite and pyrolusite were evaluated. Approximately 90 and 99% decoloration of OG (10 mg L⁻¹) was obtained after 30 min of stirring with 0.20 g L⁻¹ of birnessite or pyrolusite, respectively. The decoloration and increased intensity of absorbance bands in the UV-visible spectrum were indicative of a degradation process that followed pseudo-first order kinetics. The findings demonstrated the high efficiency of different types of manganese oxides for removal of this organic pollutant from waters.
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Introduction

Manganese oxides (MOs) are composed mainly of octahedral MnO₆ units connected by their vertices and/or edges. When the chains are bound by the shared vertices, a one-dimensional tunnel structure is formed, while sharing of the edges of the MnO₆ octahedra results in a layered structure. Birnessite is a type of manganese oxide with layered structure, containing manganese(III) and manganese(IV) in the MnO₆ octahedra. Alkali cations and water molecules separate these layers by about 7 Å. The interlamellar cations are responsible for electrostatic attraction of the lamellae, which provides electroneutrality. This phyllomanganate is found in the clay fraction of the soil, as well as in sediments and manganese nodules at the bottom of the oceans. Although the ores are naturally abundant, the MOs derived from them present little uniformity and low reproducibility, which hinders their practical application. For this reason, the production of synthetic birnessites has attracted attention due to their easy preparation and functionalization, as well their inherent homogeneity.

The most common methods employed for birnessite synthesis involve the oxidation of manganese(II) hydroxide or the reduction of potassium permanganate, using a variety of oxidizing or reducing agents. According to McKenzie, the synthesis of birnessite by the reduction of MnO₄⁻ in the presence of HCl produces brown nanoparticles. However, Cheney et al., in reproducing the method, showed that black birnessite nanoparticles were obtained by changing the agitation from manual to mechanical. Cheney et al., reported the conversion of brown birnessite produced by the classical McKenzie method into black birnessite by a simple sequence of physical treatments involving aging, freezing, and lyophilization.

Synthetic birnessites usually present points of zero charge (pHₚzc) in the range 1.5-7.3, surface areas of 10-180 m² g⁻¹ and cation exchange capacities of 1.8-2.47 mmol g⁻¹. Due to the presence of interlamellar cations, cationic exchange in birnessites occurs without causing structural change. Moreover, this oxide presents high reactivity for the degradation of organic compounds. Consequently, it is considered an important oxidant.

Manganese oxides have been demonstrated to provide rapid and efficient removal/degradation of different
pollutants present in aqueous systems, including dyes, ions, drugs and pesticides.

This study reports the removal/degradation of Orange G (OG) dye in aqueous solution by manganese oxides. This azo dye is widely used in the dyeing of fabrics. In addition to aesthetic problems, textile industry effluents containing azo dyes can present mutagenicity, carcinogenicity, and low biodegradability characteristics that have led them to be considered an environmental problem. In the literature, researches involving the removal of OG from water by ultrasound/TiO_2 processes, ultrasound/Al, UV/TiO_2 and Sn IV/TiO_2/activated charcoal are reported, but no work was found involving its removal by manganese oxides.

**Experimental**

**Standards and reagents**

Ethylene glycol, sodium hydroxide, manganese(II) chloride tetrahydrate (MnCl_2·4H_2O), and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), all with purity greater than 90%, were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Hydrogen peroxide (29% m m⁻¹) and analytical grade manganese(IV) oxide were obtained from Synth (São Paulo, Brazil) and Dynamics (São Paulo, Brazil), respectively. Solutions of H_2SO_4 and NaOH were used for pH adjustment. All reagents were used without any further purification.

The Orange G dye employed as a model substance in this study was purchased from BDH Chemicals Ltd. (Poole, England) and was used in aqueous solution (10 mg L⁻¹).

**Preparation of birnessite-type manganese oxide (δ-MnO_2)**

The birnessite was prepared by the method described by Zhou et al. with modifications. This procedure consisted of adding 90 mL of ethylene glycol, 2.4 g (0.67 mol L⁻¹) of NaOH, 0.5 g of PVP, and 2.97 g (0.17 mol L⁻¹) of MnCl_2·4H_2O to a three-neck round bottom flask, in this order, under vigorous stirring. The temperature was then increased to 40 °C (obtaining a bright red solution) and 10 mL of H_2O_2 (29% m m⁻¹) was added. The temperature was increased to approximately 100 °C and the mixture was kept under reflux, with magnetic stirring, for an additional hour. After this time, the reaction was stopped, and the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The reaction product, a dark precipitate of birnessite, was separated by centrifugation and washed several times with ethanol. This precipitate was dried in an oven for approximately 8 h at 40 °C and was then triturated in an agate mortar.

The influence of temperature (40 and 70 °C) and the rate of addition of hydrogen peroxide to the reaction medium (dropwise and continuously) were evaluated using a univariate procedure.

**Characterization of the manganese oxides**

The synthesized and commercial manganese oxides were characterized by X-ray diffractometry (XRD), infrared spectroscopy (IR), scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and nitrogen adsorption/desorption at 77 K.

The XRD analyses were performed using a Bruker D8 Discover DaVinci diffractometer with CuKα radiation (λ = 1.54 Å; 40 kV; 40 mA), Ni filter, and 2θ scan from 5° to 70°. The samples were prepared on a glass slide by mixing the oxide with ethanol to form a slurry.

Infrared attenuated total reflectance (IR-ATR) spectra were obtained using a Varian 660-IR infrared spectrophotometer equipped with a PIKE GladiATR attenuated reflectance accessory. A blank spectrum was obtained in the absence of the sample, after which each sample was placed directly on the ATR crystal and spectra were acquired at 400-4000 cm⁻¹.

SEM analysis of particle morphology was performed using a JEOL model JSM-6010A electron microscope with a tungsten filament, at an acceleration voltage of up to 20 kV. Double-sided adhesive tape was used to attach the samples to aluminum stubs covered with a thin layer of conductive glue. The stubs were then coated with gold using a metallizer.

The specific surface area was determined according to the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. Measurements were performed with a surface analyzer (Quantochrome), using 0.1 g of sample and nitrogen adsorption/desorption at 77 K.

**Application of manganese oxides in the removal of Orange G from water**

The experiments to determine the influence of the variables agitation time, nanocomposite dose, and pH of the solution on the removal/degradation of Orange G present in water were performed at room temperature using a 500 mL glass Erlenmeyer flask as the reactor, protected from light.

The effect of stirring time was evaluated by adding 50 mg of the synthesized or commercial oxide to 300 mL of the aqueous 10 mg L⁻¹ dye solution at pH 2 (previously adjusted with dilute H_2SO_4 solution). The mixture was agitated for 90 min using a magnetic stirrer. Aliquots (3 mL) were collected at regular 15 min intervals and centrifuged for 10 min at 8000 rpm. The residual concentration of the
dye was determined by analyzing the supernatant using a Hitachi U-2000 UV-visible spectrophotometer fitted with a quartz cuvette (1 cm optical path length). The tests to determine the influence of pH were performed following the same procedure, with the dye solution at initial pH values of 2.0, 4.5, and 8.0.

In the study of the effect of the dose of synthesized or commercial MnO$_2$ on dye removal/ degradation, doses of 0.30, 0.20, and 0.08 g L$^{-1}$ were used at the optimum pH, following the procedure described above.

Each experiment was performed in duplicate. The removal efficiency was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Removal(\%)} = \frac{A_i - A_t}{A_i} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $A_i$ and $A_t$ are the absorbance values at the wavelength of maximum absorption of the dye (483 nm) at the initial time and after different times, respectively. Distilled water samples with pH adjusted to each value studied were used as blanks in the spectrophotometric analyses.

**Results and Discussion**

Birnessites of two different colors (brown and black) were obtained in this work. The temperature (40 or 70 °C) at which the H$_2$O$_2$ (29% m m$^{-1}$) was added to the reaction medium did not influence the color, while the slow addition (dropwise) of hydrogen peroxide favored a black precipitate. In addition, the brown birnessite could be converted to black birnessite by a simple physical treatment involving maceration and drying.

The two birnessites (black and brown) were characterized in order to investigate their differences and similarities and were compared with a commercial manganese oxide.

**Characterization of the manganese oxides**

**X-ray diffractometry**

The X-ray diffractograms obtained for the black and brown precipitates produced in this work are presented in Figures 1a and 1b, respectively. Both samples presented diffraction peaks at approximately 7.4, 3.6, 2.5, and 1.4 Å (interplanar distances, d). All the peaks were broad and of low intensity, indicative of the presence of very small and/or poorly crystallized particles.$^{5,21}$ These results were similar to those reported for lamellar phases of natural birnessite$^{2,24}$ and synthetic black or brown forms.$^{3,9,12}$ Peaks at 2θ smaller than 20° were related to the distance between lamellae (also termed basal spacing). This feature favors the use of these materials in ion exchange and intercalation applications.$^{30}$

On the other hand, the commercial MnO$_2$ presented a diffractogram (Figure 1c) with peaks at interplanar distances (d) of 3.13, 2.42, 2.21, 1.97, 1.62, and 1.56 Å. These peaks are characteristic of β-MnO$_2$ crystals, as reported previously for synthetic pyrolusite.$^{31}$

![Figure 1. X-ray diffractograms of the manganese oxides: (a) black birnessite; (b) brown birnessite; and (c) pyrolusite (commercial MnO$_2$).](image-url)
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Hydrogen peroxide and potassium persulfate rapidly oxidize bivalent manganese to generate a pure phase of δ-MnO₂ with a surface area of up to 150 m² g⁻¹.

The surface areas of the synthesized birnessites were in agreement with the average crystal size obtained using the Scherrer equation. A very small surface area was observed for the commercial MnO₂, possibly due to a predominance of macropores that were not measured by the method employed. As pointed out by Tagliaferro et al., the gas adsorption technique only detects the presence of micropores and mesopores in the materials, while the presence of macropores is not considered in the calculation of the specific surface area by the BET method.

IR-ATR spectroscopy

The infrared spectra of the black and brown birnessites revealed residues of the synthesis reagents on the surfaces of the materials, since functional groups were identified that were not characteristic of birnessite. These impurities were removed by washing thoroughly with ethanol, resulting in the pure spectra shown in Figure 2. In the birnessite spectra, the bands located at 3310 and 1639 cm⁻¹ (black birnessite) and at 3297 and 1640 cm⁻¹ (brown birnessite) could be attributed to stretching vibrations of the −OH group of water molecules. The first broad band corresponded to water adsorbed on the birnessite, while the second band corresponded to less ordered water located within the layers. The bands observed at 467 and 466 cm⁻¹ (Figure 2) were attributed to the stretching of the Mn−O bonds in the octahedral layers of the black and brown birnessite and commercial pyrolusite structures. Mn−O stretching vibrations occurred in the region from 450 to 800 cm⁻¹.

Scanning electron microscopy

The SEM image for the black birnessite (Figure 3a) showed that this material was composed of a cluster of randomly arranged nanosheets. The brown birnessite (Figure 3b) presented as a cloud of agglomerated and irregular nanoparticles, while the image for the commercial MnO₂ (Figure 3c) showed unequally distributed plaques. The micrographs revealed differences in the forms of the black and brown birnessites and of the commercial product, with changes in the rate of addition of hydrogen peroxide during the synthesis causing differences in the morphologies of the birnessites.

Application of manganese oxides in the removal of Orange G dye in aqueous solution

Influence of stirring time on the efficiency of removal of Orange G dye in aqueous solution

Figures 4a-4c show the UV-Vis spectra of the solution of Orange G dye (10 mg L⁻¹) at pH 2, as a function of the time of treatment with the birnessite and pyrolusite manganese oxides. Before addition of the manganese oxides, the spectrum of the Orange G dye showed a major band in the visible region, with maximum absorption at 483 nm (λmax) and a shoulder at 405 nm, due to azo-hydrazine tautomerization of the azo (−N=N−) bond. Two other bands, at 329 and 248 nm, were associated with naphthalene and benzene rings, respectively.

When black birnessite was used to remove Orange G dye from aqueous solution (Figure 4a), the intensities of the bands at 483 and 329 nm decreased to near-zero with...
increasing stirring time. The band at 248 nm shifted to 258 nm and increased in intensity as the bands at 483 and 329 nm decreased in intensity, as can be seen in the detailed analysis. This behavior was indicative of degradation of the initial compound and the formation of degradation products associated with the appearance of new bands in the region from 248 to 258 nm. The same features were observed using the brown birnessite and the pyrolusite (Figures 4b and 4c, respectively).

Monitoring of the decrease in absorbance at 483 nm showed that the OG dye was decolored by between 90 and 100% after 45 min of contact with the three oxides evaluated. The decoloration of the solution (Figure 4c) could be explained by cleavage of the $-\text{N}=\text{N}-$ double bond, which
Removal of Orange G Dye by Manganese Oxide Nanostructures

J. Braz. Chem. Soc.

is the characteristic group responsible for the color of azo dyes including Orange G. The disappearance of the band at 329 nm with increasing reaction time could be explained by fragmentation of the aromatic anion bonds due to oxidation. According to Cai et al., thirteen possible degradation products can be formed due to oxidation processes during OG degradation. Each of these can shift the absorption peak. The intensity of agitation influenced the time required to remove the color. In the tests without birnessite, the OG spectra remained practically unaltered. The results indicated that the three oxides presented good efficiency for the decoloration of OG dye in water, with brown birnessite and pyrolusite removing the dye more rapidly, compared to black birnessite. The quantities of manganese(II), (III) and (IV) present in each type of manganese oxide have not been determined. However, considering that a higher amount of Mn(IV) increases the oxidation capacity of manganese oxide, this may possibly be the reason for the difference in behavior of the evaluated oxides. In the remaining assays, it was therefore decided to use only brown birnessite and pyrolusite.

Zhou et al. and Zhou et al. observed rapid removal of the dyes Ponceau 2R and methyl orange, respectively, using birnessite. In the case of Ponceau 2R, 95% decoloration was achieved after 10 min of stirring the solution (at pH 1.7) with birnessite. For methyl orange (at pH 1.7), the same percentage of decoloration was achieved after 5 min of contact between the dye and the oxide.

Influence of solution pH on the efficiency of removal of Orange G in aqueous solution by the manganese oxides

The initial pH of the OG solution was adjusted to values of 2, 4.5, and 8.0 in order to evaluate the effect of this parameter on the rate of decoloration of the dye in the presence of the manganese oxides. The results are shown in Figure 5.

It can be seen from Figures 5a and 5b that the percentage decoloration of OG was strongly influenced by the initial pH of the solution, with a marked decrease in decoloration as the initial pH increased. In basic media, no removal was observed for either of the manganese oxides. At pH 4.5, 13 and 0% decoloration of OG were obtained after 60 min of reaction with the brown birnessite and the pyrolusite, respectively. At pH 2, the OG decoloration percentages were 90 and 99% after 30 min of reaction with the brown birnessite and the pyrolusite, respectively. Cai et al. treated with an ultrasound (US) a solution of OG of initial concentration of 10 mg L⁻¹, initial of pH 3.0 obtained, after 180 min, a dye decoloration rate much lower than that obtained in our study (37%). The US combination with Al powder and decrease in pH (pH 2) resulted in 98.8% decoloration after 120 min of treatment. According to Sun et al., 99.1% degradation of OG was achieved by using H₂O₂ and Sn⁴⁺/TiO₂ after 60 min of reaction at pH 2. Both researchers achieved high percentages of OG decoloration after a much longer time observed in our laboratory. In addition, these results were only possible after the combination of organic pollutant treatment processes.

In order to elucidate the relationship between pH and the dye decoloration process, the pHₚₑₚₜ values of both oxides (brown birnessite and pyrolusite) were determined according to the method described by Saha and Pal. The pHₚₑₚₜ value obtained for the brown birnessite was 7.7, in agreement with the value reported by Matern and Mansfeldt. A pHₚₑₚₜ value of 7.3 was obtained for the pyrolusite.

These results suggested that the adsorption of Orange G by electrostatic interaction with the manganese oxides was negligible, since slight or no removal was observed at pH 4.5. Therefore, the positively charged surfaces of the oxides at pH values below pHₚₑₚₜ (pH < 7) did not show any substantial attraction for the negatively charged dye species.

Figure 5. Effect of pH on the percentage decoloration of Orange G using (a) brown birnessite and (b) pyrolusite (in detail: UV-Vis spectra of Orange G in aqueous solution (pH 2) after 0 and 60 min stirring without MnO₂). Conditions: 300 mL of 10 mg L⁻¹ OG solution; 50 mg of brown birnessite or pyrolusite.
(pK_a = 1.0) that predominated at this pH.\textsuperscript{25,30} The minor influence of adsorption on the dye decoloration process was confirmed by the results for pyrolusite, which despite a low surface area showed high efficiency in the removal of Orange G from aqueous solution.

At lower pH, crystals with a layered structure (such as birnessite) show poor stability, which is beneficial for the redox reaction between Mn^{IV}/Mn^{III} and Orange G.\textsuperscript{17} This suggests that the degradation of Orange G occurred by reduction of Mn^{IV}/Mn^{III} and oxidation of the dye, without any significant influence of the surface area of the oxide.

At lower pH (below 7), the degradation could occur by the generation of hydroxyl radicals (OH·) and a change in the oxidation state of manganese in aqueous solution. At pH < 7, Mn^{IV} is easily converted to Mn^{II}, producing hydroxyl radicals according to the following reaction:

\[
\text{Mn}^{IV}O_2(s) + 4H^+ + 2e^- \leftrightarrow \text{Mn}^{II}(aq) + 2OH\cdot + H_2O \quad (E^0 = +1.23 \text{ V}) \quad (3)
\]

The formation of hydroxyl radicals can be explained by the generation of a precursor complex, Mn^{IV}–HOH, followed by electron transfer to reduce Mn^{IV} to Mn^{II}, with release of hydroxyl radicals, according to the following reaction scheme (equations 4-7):\textsuperscript{42,43}

Formation of the precursor complex:
\[
\text{Mn}^{IV} + 2\text{HOH} \leftrightarrow (\text{Mn}^{IV}, 2\text{HOH}) \quad (4)
\]

Electron transfer:
\[
(\text{Mn}^{IV}, 2\text{HOH}) \leftrightarrow (\text{Mn}^{II}, 2\text{OH}^-) + 2H^+ \quad (5)
\]

Release of hydroxyl radicals:
\[
(\text{Mn}^{II}, 2\text{OH}^-) + 2H^+ \leftrightarrow \text{Mn}^{II} + 2\text{OH} \quad (6)
\]

Release of reduced Mn^{II}; Mn^{IV} \leftrightarrow Mn^{II} \quad (7)

The hydroxyl radicals generated are able to oxidize the toxic organic dye and produce nontoxic inorganic products, with the formation of several intermediates, as follows:\textsuperscript{42,43}

\[
\text{OH}^- + \text{toxic organic dye} \rightarrow \text{organic intermediates} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{nontoxic degraded inorganic} \quad (8)
\]

In order to verify the contribution of the -OH radicals in the decoloration of the OG solution, an experiment with the presence of tert-butyl alcohol was carried out. According to Ismail \textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{44} the tert-butyl alcohol acts as an -OH trap and can be used to confirm its involvement in the removal of the organic pollutant. It can be observed in Figure 6 that the presence of tert-butyl alcohol did not affect the effect of birnessite-type manganese oxide on dye decoloration, whereas for pyrolusite decoloration was reduced from 98 to 47%. However, the presence of NO_2^- ions inhibited almost 100% the decoloration for both oxides. Although NO_2^- ions may also act as -OH scavengers under the conditions studied, it is believed that these ions inhibited the oxidative degradation reaction of the dye due to their participation in the redox reaction in place of the organic pollutant. Thus, it is suggested that the evaluated manganese oxides acted as oxidants of the studied dye.

\[\text{Figure 6. Percentage of decoloration of Orange G in the presence of tert-butyl alcohol and NO}_2^- \text{ ions. Conditions: 300 mL of 10 mg L}^{-1} \text{ OG solution, 50 mg of brown birnessite or pyrolusite, 60 min of stirring.}\]

It should be noted that the theoretical removal capacity was approximately 50 mg of Orange G per g of birnessite (or pyrolusite). Qin \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{18} used the same ratio for the degradation of rhodamine B by birnessite, while Saha and Pal\textsuperscript{41} used 60 mg of malachite green per g of MnO_2 synthesized.

These results are similar to those obtained by Zaied \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{20} on the degradation of Indigo Carmine with birnessite films. The total decoloration of the indigo carmine occurs after 6 h contact at pH 3. They also verified that dye degradation occurs in the presence or absence of oxygen and that some inorganic ions can be oxidized.

\[\text{Influence of the dose of manganese oxide on the efficiency of removal of Orange G in aqueous solution}\]

The percentages of dye removal as a function of time obtained with the different doses evaluated are shown in Figure 7. When 0.30, 0.20, and 0.08 g L\textsuperscript{-1} of oxide were used in a 60 min reaction, 95; 91 and 85% of the dye, respectively, were removed using the brown birnessite (Figure 7a), while values of 98; 98 and 80%, respectively, were obtained for the pyrolusite (Figure 7b). These data showed that the removal of Orange G did not increase significantly when a mass of manganese oxide greater than 50 mg (corresponding to a dose of 0.20 g L\textsuperscript{-1}) was used.
However, a higher dose increased the rate of removal, since it increased the number of active sites available.\textsuperscript{45}

The oxidative degradation behavior of Orange G was evaluated by applying pseudo-first order and pseudo-second order kinetic models to the data shown in Figure 7. The nonlinear form of the pseudo-first order model used is shown in equation 9.\textsuperscript{46} The pseudo-second order model was used in its linearized form, shown in equation 10.

\begin{equation}
C_t = C_0 \left[1 - \exp\left(-k_{1\text{obs}}t\right)\right]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{t}{C_t} = \frac{1}{k_{2\text{obs}}C_0^2} + \frac{1}{q_e}t
\end{equation}

\[\text{In the above equations, } C_t \text{ is the amount of dye removed at time } t, C_0 \text{ is the maximum amount of dye removed during the experiment, } k_{1\text{obs}} \text{ (min}^{-1}) \text{ and } k_{2\text{obs}} \text{ (L mg}^{-1} \text{ min}^{-1}) \text{ are the observed rate constants, and } q_e \text{ is the amount of dye removed at equilibrium.}\]

The kinetic models analyzed presented coefficients of determination (R$^2$) near. The pseudo-first order model presented R$^2$ between 0.9915-0.9630 and 0.9956-0.8630 for the different doses of brown birnessite and pyrolusite, respectively, while values of R$^2$ in the range of 0.9999-0.9368 (birnessite brown) and 0.9999-0.8969 (pyrolusite) were presented by the pseudo-second order model. The residue graph (Figure 8) provided more information on the fit of these models, and it was observed that the kinetic model of pseudo-first order showed less variation of the results, that is, more agreement of the experimental data with the model. Compliance with the pseudo-first-order model is shown in Table 2.

**Conclusions**

Nanostructures of black and brown birnessite manganese oxide were successfully produced. Characterization of the materials confirmed their identities and revealed only morphological differences. A commercial MnO$_2$ sample showed characteristics of pyrolusite manganese oxide. The birnessite and pyrolusite oxides presented structures with layers (from Mn$^{III}$/Mn$^{IV}$O$_6$ octahedra) and tunnels (from Mn$^{IV}$O$_6$ octahedra), respectively. Both materials were effective in rapid oxidative degradation of Orange G dye in water, without the aid of UV, IR, temperature increase, microwaves, or ultrasound. Small doses of MnO$_2$ (0.20 g L$^{-1}$) in the form of brown birnessite or pyrolusite were sufficient to remove about 90 and 99% of the dye, respectively, in a short agitation time of 30 min. The decoloration of the dye followed pseudo-first order kinetics. The pH of the solution

| Dose / (g L$^{-1}$) | Brown birnessite | Pyrolusite |
|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
|                   | $K_{1\text{obs}}$ / min$^{-1}$ | R$^2$ | $K_{1\text{obs}}$ / min$^{-1}$ | R$^2$ |
| 0.30              | 0.4075 ± 0.0574  | 0.9915     | 0.20              | 0.5600 ± 0.0977  | 0.9956     |
| 0.20              | 0.1144 ± 0.0142  | 0.9878     | 0.08              | 0.1131 ± 0.0173  | 0.9643     |
| 0.08              | 0.0618 ± 0.0096  | 0.9630     | 0.03              | 0.0663 ± 0.0232  | 0.8630     |

$K_{1\text{obs}}$: pseudo-first order rate constant observed; R$^2$: coefficient of determination.
had a significant effect on the amount of dye removed, with efficient removal obtained in a strongly acidic medium (pH 2). These manganese oxides are promising candidates for application in the treatment of water contaminated with OG. The findings highlighted the commercial MnO₂, which has been little investigated and provided satisfactory results with economy of both cost and time.
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