Exosomal microRNAs as diagnostic and therapeutic biomarkers in non-malignant liver diseases
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Abstract The liver is a vital organ responsible for various physiological functions, such as metabolism, immune response, digestion, and detoxification. Crosstalk between hepatocytes, hepatic macrophages, and hepatic stellate cells is critical for liver pathology. Exosomes are small extracellular vesicles (50–150 nm) that play an important role in cell–cell or organ–organ communication as they transfer their cargo, such as protein, DNA, and RNA to recipient cells or distant organs. In various liver diseases, the number of liver cell-derived exosomes is increased and the exosomal microRNA (miRNA) profile is altered. Early studies investigated the value of circulating exosomal miRNAs as biomarkers. Several exosomal miRNAs showed excellent diagnostic values, suggesting their potential as diagnostic biomarkers in liver diseases. Exosomal miRNAs have emerged as critical regulators of liver pathology because they control the expression of multiple genes in recipient cells. In this review, we discuss the biology of exosomes and summarize the recent findings of exosome-mediated intercellular and organ-to-organ communication during liver pathology. As there are many review articles dealing with exosomal miRNAs in liver cancer, we focused on non-malignant liver diseases. The therapeutic potential of exosomal miRNAs in liver pathology is also highlighted.
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Introduction

Exosomes are a type of extracellular vesicles (EVs); they are membranous structures originating from different kinds of cells (Szabo and Momen-Heravi 2017). EVs can be classified into microvesicles, apoptotic bodies, and exosomes based on their size, surface proteins, and biogenesis mechanisms (Cocucci and Meldolesi 2015). Exosomes were originally regarded as a remnant of cell degradation to discard unneeded cellular materials (Doyle and Wang 2019); however, they have been increasingly implicated in cellular communication through which cells exchange proteins and genetic material (van Niel et al. 2018). The liver is a complex organ that consists of a number of different types of cells, including parenchymal cells (hepatocytes) and non-parenchymal cells, such as immune cells (e.g., Kupffer cells), liver sinusoidal endothelial cells, hepatic stellate cells, and cholangiocytes (Mehal et al. 2001). Exosomes can be released by most of these liver cells, and thus, may actively participate in intercellular communication not only in the liver but also in the distal organs (Szabo and Momen-Heravi 2017). Exosome-mediated intercellular communication is dependent on the cargo materials present in exosomes, which include proteins and nucleic acids (Fig. 1) such as DNA, mRNA, and microRNA (miRNA; Turchinovich et al. 2019). Transfer of these materials can alter the activities of recipient cells by regulating gene transcription and expression.

miRNAs are small (20–24 nucleotides in length), non-coding RNAs that mediate post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression (Ha and Kim 2014). They are transcribed as precursor molecules, pri-miRNAs, and subsequently
processed by the RNase III protein Drosha and the endonuclease Dicer to generate mature miRNAs (Treiber et al. 2019). Mature miRNAs form an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) in association with argonaute 2 for post-transcriptional regulation (Treiber et al. 2019). As a result, miRNAs bind to specific mRNAs and interfere with translation or reduce the stability of target mRNAs (Heo et al. 2019). The specificity of this reaction and the diversity of the miRNAs incorporated in exosomes have recently highlighted miRNAs as important cargo materials that may explain the molecular pathogenesis of liver diseases (Sato et al. 2016). In this review, we summarize recent advances in our understanding of the roles of exosomal miRNAs in the pathogenesis of liver diseases and their potential as therapeutic targets.
Exosome biology

EVs have been recently recognized as intercellular communication mediators. However, it needs to be noted that EV is the term that represents the population of different types of vesicles with profoundly heterogeneous nature, such as exosomes, microvesicles, and apoptotic bodies; thus, it is desirable to understand the specific biology of exosomes that distinguishes them from other subtypes of EVs. Exosomes (50 to 150 nm in diameter) are smaller than microvesicles (100 to 1000 nm) and apoptotic bodies (500 to 2000 nm) (Cocucci and Meldolesi 2015). In terms of biogenesis mechanisms, exosomes originate from the endosomal system as intraluminal vesicles (ILVs), and are secreted through the integration of multivesicular endosomes (MVEs) to the cell surface (Fig. 1), whereas microvesicles are formed by direct shedding from the plasma membrane (Raposo and Stoorvogel 2013). Cargoes such as nucleic acids and proteins to be secreted within exosomes are first targeted to the limiting membrane of MVEs, either involving endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) or independently of ESCRT (Zhang et al. 2019). Notably, specific sequence motifs in miRNAs assist in the sorting of miRNAs to exosomes (Villarroya-Beltri et al. 2013). The cargo-containing microdomain structures at the limiting membranes of MVEs are in turn subjected to inward fission to produce ILVs (Möller and Lobb 2020). Afterwards, MVEs migrate to and fuse with the plasma membrane to release ILVs into the extracellular environment (Möller and Lobb 2020).

Although the release of microvesicles is a straightforward event that occurs by pinching off from the plasma membrane, the trafficking and release of exosomes involves multiple steps that can be subjected to regulation at different levels (Hessvik and Llorente 2018). For example, MVEs can fuse with lysosomes resulting in the degradation of MVEs, and with the plasma membrane for the release of ILVs and exosomes (Futter et al. 1996; Treiber et al. 2019). Subsequently, the fate of MVEs is dependent on several factors, including RAB-guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) RAB7 (Vanlandingham and Ceresa 2009; Guerra and Bucci 2016). Remarkably, RAB7 may promote both targeting to the lysosome and the plasma membrane, which is in part determined by its ubiquitination status. Ubiquitinated RAB7 has been shown to increase the chance of MVEs to be targeted to lysosomes (Song et al. 2016). MVEs that are destined to be released migrate to the plasma membrane along the microtubules. They undergo docking and fusion, two crucial steps for the release of exosomes, and are also regulated by RAB-GTPases, such as RAB27A, RAB27B, RAB11, and RAB35 (Savina et al. 2002; Hsu et al. 2010; Ostrowski et al. 2010; Blanc and Vidal 2018).

Exosomes released from donor cells bind to recipient cells, possibly altering their cellular function by triggering changes in cellular pathways through interaction with surface receptors and membrane proteins, or by transferring exosome contents into the target cells (van Niel et al. 2018). Exosomes are internalized by multiple endocytosis mechanisms, including macropinocytosis, phagocytosis, clathrin-dependent endocytosis, lipid raft-mediated internalization, and caveolin-mediated uptake, all of which create early endosomes that carry exogenous exosomes to MVEs (Mulcahy et al. 2014). Exosomes that reach MVEs coexist with ILVs until they fuse with the limiting membrane of MVEs (Huotari and Helenius 2011), after which intraluminal materials of exosomes such as miRNA are released into the target cell, thereby triggering changes in cellular function (van Niel et al. 2018). Although endocytosis is considered the major pathway for exosome uptake, exogenous exosomes can also directly fuse with the plasma membrane and release the cargo materials into the target cells to alter cellular function (Fig. 1) (Prada and Meldolesi 2016).

Exosomal miRNAs in liver diseases

Among a number of cargo materials in exosomes, miRNAs, along with other nucleic acids have been implicated in the pathogenesis of liver diseases (Szabo and Momen-Heravi 2017; Urban et al. 2019). Therefore, exosomal miRNAs have been highlighted as biomarkers for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes in the management of diverse diseases (Sato et al. 2016; Pan et al. 2018). In this section, we discuss the recent literature on the involvement of various exosomal miRNAs in different types of liver diseases (Table 1).

Acute liver injury

Acute liver injury is one of the major causes of acute liver failure, which is a life-threatening disease in patients without preexisting hepatic disorders (Bernal and Wendon 2013). Emerging evidence has shown that exosomal miRNAs are implicated in the pathogenesis of acute liver injury that is induced by various factors, such as chemical toxicants [e.g., carbon tetrachloride (CCl4)] and drug overdose. Acute liver injury caused by acetaminophen increased miR-122 levels in the exosome-rich fraction of plasma in mice (Bala et al. 2012). CCl4 administration in mice reduced hepatic levels of miR-208a, and miR-208a-deficient mice were more susceptible to CCl4-induced liver injury associated with enhanced activation of nuclear factor kappa B and caspase-8-related cellular death pathways (Bala et al. 2020). Plasma exosomal miRNA analysis revealed that exosomal miR-1-3p could be substantially involved in the pathogenesis of anthracycline-induced liver injury (Zhang et al. 2020c). Expression profiling of exosomal, serum, and hepatic miRNAs identified several exosome-derived miRNAs (e.g., miR-122a-5p) with the potential for use in the diagnosis of acute liver injury.
induced by drugs (e.g., acetaminophen and thioacetamide) (Motawi et al. 2018). Idiosyncratic drug-induced liver injury (IDILI) is an acute liver injury caused by drugs only in a certain type of individuals (Teschke 2019). The specificity of IDILI is highly associated with human leukemia antigen serotypes in patients, and thus, its pathogenesis is thought to be attributable to the adaptive immune system (Yamashita et al. 2017; Uetrecht 2019). A recent study determined

| miRNAs  | Expression | Disease model | Exosome source | Targets | References |
|---------|------------|---------------|----------------|---------|------------|
| miR-192 | ↑          | NASH patients (human) | Hepatocyte | Rictor | Liu et al. (2020) and Povero et al. (2014) |
| miR-199 | ↑          | HFD feeding (mouse) | Hepatocyte | MST1, PPAR-α | Li et al. (2020b) |
| miR-122 | ↑          | Cholesterol (in vitro) | Hepatocyte | HIF-1α, Vimentin, MAP3K3, HO-1 | Csak et al. (2015), Povero et al. (2014) and Zhao et al. (2020b) |
| miR-155 | ↑          | HFD feeding (mouse) | Adipose tissue macrophage | PPAR-γ | Ying et al. (2017) |
| miR-223 | ↑          | HFD feeding (mouse) | Myeloid cell | TAZ, CXCL1, NLRP3 | He et al. (2020) and Hou et al. (2020) |

Alcoholic liver disease

| miR-155 | ↑          | Alcoholic hepatitis (human), Lieber–DeCarli diet for 5 weeks (mouse) | Liver, hepatocyte, Kupffer cell | SOCS1, mTOR, Rheb, LAMP1, LAMP2 | Momen-Heravi et al. (2014) and Babuta et al. (2019) |
| miR-122 | ↑          | Binge alcohol drinking (human and mouse), Lieber–DeCarli diet for 5 weeks (mouse) | Hepatocyte | HO-1 | Momen-Heravi et al. (2015a) |
| miR-27a | ↑          | Alcoholic hepatitis (human) | Monocyte | Increase of CD206 | Saha et al. (2016) |
| miR-29 | ↑          | HCV infection (in vitro) | Macrophage | HCV genome targeting Activate cellular anti-HCV response | Zhou et al. (2016) |
| HBV-miR-3 | ↑      | HBV transfection/infection (in vitro) | Hepatoma cell lines with an integrated HBV genome | SOCS5, HBV 3.5-kb mRNA encoding HBV core protein | Yang et al. (2017b) and Zhao et al. (2020a) |

Liver fibrosis

| miR-214 | ↓          | CCl4-induced liver fibrosis (mouse) | Hepatic stellate cell | CCN2 | Chen et al. (2014) |
| miR-199a-5p | ↓      | Quiescent and activated primary mouse hepatic stellate cells (in vitro) | Hepatic stellate cell | CCN2 | Chen et al. (2016) |
| miR-30a | ↓          | TGF-β1-treated LX-2 cells (in vitro) | Hepatic stellate cell | Beclin1 | Chen et al. (2017) |
| miR-19b | ↑          | Lieber–DeCarli diet + LPS (rat) | Hepatic stellate cell | TGF-R11, McCP2, SMAD7 | Brandon-Warner et al. (2016) |
| miR-92 | ↑          | Lieber–DeCarli diet + LPS (rat) | Hepatic stellate cell | P21, BCL2L11, SMAD7, CTGF, TSP-1 | Brandon-Warner et al. (2016) |
| miR-18a | ↓          | Lieber–DeCarli diet + LPS (rat) | Hepatic stellate cell | P21, BCL2L11, SMAD7, CTGF, TSP-1 | Brandon-Warner et al. (2016) |
| miR-19a | ↑          | HCV-infected hepatocyte (in vitro) | HCV-infected hepatocyte | SOCS3 | Devhare et al. (2017) |

NASH nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, HFD high-fat diet, MCD methionine–choline-deficient, HCV hepatitis C virus, HBV hepatitis B virus, LPS lipopolysaccharide
the immunoregulatory potential of exosomal miRNAs and their implications for IDILI (Holman et al. 2019). Treatment with hepatocyte-derived exosomes (HDEs) attenuated the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced immune response in THP-1 cells with reduced expression of interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α (Holman et al. 2019). These downregulated genes were predicted to be targeted by miRNAs enriched in HDEs.

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are multipotent stem cells that can differentiate into mesodermal, ectodermal, and endodermal lineages (Ullah et al. 2015). MSCs have been recently reported to have therapeutic potential in various diseases as they modulate immune reactions and promote cell regeneration (Parekkadan and Milwid 2010; Wang et al. 2018). Several recent studies have elucidated the immunosuppressive effects of MSCs in the liver. For example, exosomes isolated from the supernatant of mouse bone marrow-derived MSCs attenuated concanavalin A-induced T cell-mediated liver injury in mice (Tamura et al. 2016). Exosomal miRNAs have also emerged as potential mediators of the therapeutic benefit of MSCs. Miyaji et al. reported that miRNAs derived from bone marrow cells such as miR-92b-3p, miR-23b-3p, miR-204-3p, miR-1247-3p, and miR-326-5p improved the effectiveness of MSC therapy by upregulating TNF-stimulated gene 6 and/or repressing mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in vitro and in a CCL4-induced liver injury model (Miyaji et al. 2020). IL-6 mediates the acute phase response to normalize hepatic function after liver injury (Schmidt-Arras and Rose-John 2016); however, it can also induce a cytokine storm and liver injury (Kong et al. 2016). Shao et al. reported that IL-6 treatment enhanced exosome secretion from human umbilical cord-derived MSCs (hUC-MSCs), and these exosomes repressed LPS-induced macrophage activation (Shao et al. 2020). Among the miRNAs that may regulate IL-6 signaling, miR-455-3p was one of the most highly upregulated upon IL-6 treatment, and it repressed LPS-induced hepatic macrophage activation in mice through regulation of PI3-kinase regulatory subunit 1 (Shao et al. 2020).

Ischemia/reperfusion injury is a common cause of acute liver failure after liver transplantation and resection (Weigand et al. 2012), and the protective effect of MSCs in ischemia/reperfusion injury has been increasingly explored. LO2 human liver cell line subjected to hypoxia/reoxygenation underwent cell death and downregulated miR-1246 expression (Xie et al. 2019b). Treatment with hUC-MSC-derived exosomes upregulated miR-1246, induced anti-apoptotic genes in LO2 cells, and improved ischemia/reperfusion-induced liver dysfunction in mice via the glycogen synthase kinase-3β-Wnt/β-catenin pathway (Xie et al. 2019b). Another study from the same group reported that miR-1246 in hUC-MSC-derived exosomes ameliorated ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice by decreasing the Th17/Treg ratio in CD4+ T cells via a mechanism involving the IL-6-gp130-signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) 3 pathway (Xie et al. 2019a). miR-20a also mediates the protective effect of MSCs against ischemia/reperfusion injury by inhibiting the expression of Beclin-1 and Fas, the regulators of autophagy and apoptosis, respectively (Zhang et al. 2020a).

The combination of LPS and d-galactosamine (d-GalN) is widely used to induce acute liver failure in animals (Josephs et al. 2000). TNF-treated hUC-MSCs ameliorated LPS + d-GalN-induced acute liver failure in mice by suppressing the macrophage NLRP3 inflammasome. An anti-inflammatory miR-299-3p, whose levels were elevated in exosomes derived from TNF-treated hUC-MSCs, had potential involvement in this process (Zhang et al. 2020b). Another study reported that exosomes obtained from adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal MSCs ameliorated LPS + d-GalN-induced acute liver failure, which occurred through miR-17-dependent inhibition of thioredoxin-interacting protein and inflammasome activity (Liu et al. 2018b).

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD)

Recently, there has been an increase in the incidence of metabolic diseases, including a hepatic manifestation of these diseases known as NAFLD. Its major risk factors include obesity and diabetes (Friedman et al. 2018; Lee et al. 2019). NAFLD ranges from fatty liver to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and is highly associated with the dysregulated expression of genes involved in hepatic metabolism of lipids and energy (Gjorgjiev et al. 2019). Given the ability of miRNAs to regulate various gene expression, they have been investigated as potential regulators of the pathogenic mechanisms of NAFLD, and several miRNAs (e.g., miR-34a, miR-122, and miR-192) have been suggested as biomarkers for NAFLD (Liu et al. 2018a). In mice, levels of NAFLD-associated miRNAs such as miR-122 and miR-192 were substantially elevated in circulating EVs after 20 weeks of feeding choline-deficient l-amino acid-defined diet, which causes severe steatosis and inflammation in the liver (Povero et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2017a). miR-199a-5p was highly upregulated in the steatotic liver of mice on a high-fat diet (HFD; Li et al. 2020b). Exosome-associated delivery of miR-199a-5p in mice promoted hepatocyte fat accumulation by downregulation of macrophage stimulating 1 and modulation of genes involved in fatty acid metabolism (Li et al. 2020b).

The additional role of exosomal miRNAs in the progression of steatosis to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) has also been investigated. Feeding mice a methionine–choline-deficient diet caused NASH-related fibrosis and increased serum exosomal miR-122 levels while reducing hepatic miR-122 levels (Csak et al. 2015). A decrease in hepatic miR-122...
activated hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α, vimentin, and mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 3, which are regulated by miR-122, thereby promoting fibrosis (Csak et al. 2015). Cholesterol has emerged as a crucial inducer of liver injury and inflammation that contributes to NASH development (Ioannou 2016). Cholesterol increased the release of miR-122-5p-enriched exosomes from Huh7 hepatoma cells, and treatment of THP-1 macrophages with these exosomes promoted M1 polarization in an miR-122-5p-dependent manner (Zhao et al. 2020b). Serum miR-192-5p levels were also correlated with the severity of NAFLD in human patients, and exosomal miR-192-5p derived from lipotoxic hepatocytes activated M1 macrophages by targeting Rictor, which suppressed the phosphorylation of protein kinase B (Akt) and forkhead box protein O1 (FOXO1). This in turn triggered the FOXO1-mediated inflammatory response (Liu et al. 2020).

Besides the hepatocyte-released miRNAs mentioned above, exosomal miRNAs released by myeloid cells have also attracted attention as mediators of NAFLD development. miR-223 is predominantly expressed in myeloid cells and participates in inflammation regulation (Ye et al. 2018), but can also be transferred to other liver cell types (e.g., hepatocytes) via exosomes (He et al. 2020). Myeloid-specific deletion of the Ifnra gene in mice accelerated HFD-induced fibrosis development by lowering miR-223 levels in hepatocytes and subsequently activating fibrogenic mediators, such as transcriptional activator with PDZ-binding motif (TAZ) (Hou et al. 2020).

Alcoholic liver disease

Alcohol consumption was the 7th leading risk factor for death and disability-adjusted life-years in 2016 (Collaborators GBDA 2018). Moreover, excessive alcohol intake causes alcoholic liver disease, which is one of the most common causes of cirrhosis (Collaborators GBDC 2020). More than 90% of heavy drinkers develop alcoholic fatty liver, and a certain population of patients can progress to alcoholic hepatitis (Basra and Anand 2011).

The total number of circulating EVs/exosomes was elevated in patients with alcoholic hepatitis compared with healthy individuals (Momen-Heravi et al. 2015b). Similarly, chronic alcohol feeding [5% (v/v) ethanol containing Lieber–DeCarli diet for 4 weeks] increased the number of EVs in mice sera. Chronic alcohol consumption increased miR-155 levels in mouse livers, hepatocytes, and Kupffer cells isolated from alcohol-fed mice compared to the miRNA levels in the control mice (Babuta et al. 2019). Increased miR-155 by ethanol decreased lysosomal-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1) and LAMP2 levels, which regulate the fate of multivesicular bodies and exosome biogenesis. miR-155 deficiency or inhibition of LAMP1 and LAMP2 reduced alcohol-induced exosome production in hepatocytes and Kupffer cells (Babuta et al. 2019). Exosome-mediated delivery of a functionally active miR-155 inhibitor was investigated (Momen-Heravi et al. 2014). The delivery of the miR-155 inhibitor was effectively achieved using B cell-derived exosomes. This approach led to reduced LPS-induced TNFα production in macrophages and increased mRNA expression of suppressor of cytokine signaling (SOCS) 1 protein, a miR-155 target gene (Momen-Heravi et al. 2014). Exosome-based miRNA inhibitor delivery may be beneficial for the regulation of exosome production as well as for the modification of miR-155 target genes.

Increased miRNA signatures of EVs derived from sera of chronic alcohol-fed mice were identified: miR-122, miR-192, miR-30a/b, miR-1246, miR-130a, and miR-744 (Momen-Heravi et al. 2015b). miR-122 and miR-192 are major liver-enriched miRNAs (Yang et al. 2015). miR-192 showed excellent diagnostic value in both mice and humans (Momen-Heravi et al. 2015b); therefore, it can be a potential diagnostic biomarker for alcoholic liver disease.

miR-122 is the most abundant miRNA in the liver (Yang et al. 2012). Circulating miR-122 in exosomes is a good indicator of hepatocyte injury and inflammation. Serum miR-122 levels were elevated not only in alcoholic liver disease, but also in drug-induced liver injury and inflammatory liver diseases (Bala et al. 2012). Serum miR-122 levels and alanine aminotransferase levels were positively correlated in alcoholic liver disease. Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 signaling or oxidative stress regulate serum miR-122 levels in ethanol-fed mice (Bala et al. 2012). Endoplasmic reticulum stress also contributes to ethanol-induced exosomal miR-122 and acute liver injury (Wang et al. 2019). Ethanol-treated hepatocytes secrete exosomes containing miR-122, which is horizontally transferred to monocytes (Momen-Heravi et al. 2015a). Exosomal miR-122 recipient monocytes inhibited the HO-1 pathway, sensitization to LPS stimulation, and induction of pro-inflammatory cytokine production (Momen-Heravi et al. 2015a). Increased exosomal miR-122 by ethanol mediates intracellular communication between hepatocytes and monocytes.

The Szabo group investigated the miRNA cargo of EVs from alcohol-exposed monocytes. Ethanol treatment increased the number of EVs in monocytes. miR-27a levels were increased in plasma EVs of patients with alcoholic hepatitis. EVs isolated from ethanol-treated monocytes contained higher levels of miR-27a compared to those from vehicle-treated cells (Saha et al. 2016). miR-27a-loaded EVs stimulate naïve monocytes to differentiate into macrophages and promote anti-inflammatory cytokine production and phagocytosis (Saha et al. 2016). Monocyte-derived extracellular vesicular miR-27a can affect monocyte phenotypes and macrophage polarization even when neighboring EV recipient cells are not directly stimulated by ethanol.
These findings suggest that alcoholic liver disease promotes the total number of exosomes containing specific miRNA cargoes, which contributes to exosome biogenesis and pathophysiological processes, such as cytokine production. Exosomal miRNAs, especially miR-192, could be used as a potential diagnostic marker for alcoholic liver disease.

**Viral hepatitis**

In response to a hepatitis B or C infection, the host innate immunity acts as a first line defense to eliminate the virus. To overcome the evasion of host innate immunity by viruses, understanding of intercellular communications between hepatocytes and immune cells is important.

Liver macrophages are activated by TLRs in response to phagocytosed viral DNAs and/or RNAs released from hepatitis C virus (HCV)-infected hepatocytes (Heydtmann 2009). TLR3-activated macrophages produce exosomal anti-viral miRNAs, such as miR-29 family members. Exosomes are taken up by hepatocytes, where anti-viral miRNAs induce an anti-HCV response or directly target HCV gene expression (Zhou et al. 2016).

Hepatitis B surface antigen particles can carry miRNAs, especially pools of HCC, and they are released into the circulation within exosomes or microvesicles (Novellino et al. 2012). In patients with a hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, HBV-encoded miRNA (called HBV-miR-3) is secreted into the bloodstream by exosomes and HBV virions (Yang et al. 2017b). HBV-miR-3 inhibits HBV replication and protein production (Yang et al. 2017b). HBV-miR-3 targets SOCS5 expression, leading to an interferon-α-induced anti-HBV effect, as mediated by the Janus kinase (JAK)/STAT pathway in hepatocytes. In addition, inhibition of SOCS5 by exosoma HBV-miR-3 facilitated M1 macrophage polarization and stimulated IL-6 secretion (Zhao et al. 2020a). Taken together, HBV-miR-3 directly restrained HBV replication, whereas it enhanced the host innate immune response.

**Liver fibrosis**

NAFLD, alcoholic liver disease, viral hepatitis, and drug- or toxicant-induced liver injury can cause liver fibrosis. Liver fibrosis is characterized by excessive extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition (Yang et al. 2019, 2021). Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) are the major players in the fibrosis process. They are activated during liver fibrogenesis. Activated HSCs acquire proliferative, migratory, and fibrogenic phenotypes (Bataller and Brenner 2005).

Connective tissue growth factor (CCN2), a profibrogenic inducer, activates HSCs and the progression of liver fibrosis (Rachfal and Brigstock 2003). CCN2 promotes HSC proliferation and ECM production. The Brigstock group showed the regulation of CCN2 by exosomal miRNAs. Exosomal miR-214 derived from HSCs mediated HSC-HSC intercellular signaling by epigenetic regulation of CCN2 (Chen et al. 2014). The following studies from the same group demonstrated that endogenous or exosomal components of the Twist1-miR-199a-5p/miR-214 axis regulated CCN2 in HSCs (Chen et al. 2015, 2016). In quiescent HSCs, miR-199a-5p and miR-214 were highly expressed by the regulation of the Twist1 transcription factor. High levels of exosomal miR-199a-5p and miR-214 suppressed CCN2 expression. In activated HSCs, Twist1, miR-199a-5p, and miR-214 levels were low, and therefore, the deposition of collagen I α was increased in association with higher expression of CCN2 (Chen et al. 2015, 2016). The activated HSC phenotype can be reversed by the uptake of exosomes from quiescent HSCs.

In patients with NAFLD, liver fibrosis was associated with liver-related morbidity (Taylor et al. 2020). Treatment with palmitic acid stimulated exosome production in hepatocytes (Lee et al. 2017). The exosomal miRNA profile was altered by palmitic acid. In particular, miR-122, miR-192 miR-24, miR-19b, and miR-34a were greatly increased in exosomes from palmitic acid-treated Huh7 cells. Exosomes derived from palmitic acid-treated hepatocytes showed increased fibrotic gene expression in HSCs (Lee et al. 2017). Serum miR-122 levels increased, whereas hepatic miR-122 levels decreased (Csak et al. 2015). Decreased hepatic miR-122 was responsible for the induction of miR-122 targets, HIF-1α and vimentin in hepatocytes. HIF-1α overexpression was correlated with liver fibrosis (Csak et al. 2015). MSC transplantation can be considered as a potential therapeutic strategy in treating liver fibrosis. miR-122-modified adipose tissue-derived MSCs efficiently packaged miR-122 into exosomes (Lou et al. 2017). This approach exhibited an anti-fibrotic effect (Lou et al. 2017).

Lipid overload modulates autophagy, an intracellular pathway that mediates the breakdown of lipid droplets. Lipids including retinyl esters, triglycerides, cholesteryl esters, cholesterol, phospholipids, and free fatty acids are present in quiescent HSCs (Blander et al. 2009). Increased autophagic flux causes loss of lipid droplets and HSC activation (Kim et al. 2018). miR-30a was under-expressed in LX-2 cells (a human HSC cell line) (Chen et al. 2017). miR-30a downregulated Beclin-1, which ameliorates autophagy and HSC activation (Chen et al. 2017). Exosomal miRNAs can modulate central players in autophagy (i.e., Beclin-1) under fibrotic stimuli.

Excessive alcohol consumption is one of the major risk factors for liver fibrosis. The association between the miR-17/92 cluster and alcoholic liver fibrosis has been investigated. The miR-17/92 cluster has various roles in many pathological situations, such as liver, immune, and cardiovascular diseases, neurological disorders, and cancers (Mogilyansky and Rigoutsos 2013). The miR-17/92 cluster
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consists of miR-17, miR-18a, miR-19a, miR-20a, miR-19b-1, and miR-92a-1. The expression profile of the miR-17/92 cluster in exosomes has been proposed as a non-invasive diagnostic marker for several cancers (Komatsu et al. 2014). Previously, Koo et al. demonstrated that dysregulation of miR-18a is responsible for upregulating SMAD2 protein in HSCs, and thereby, promoting liver fibrosis under ER stress conditions (Koo et al. 2016). The expression of other miR-17/92 cluster members (miR-19b and miR-92) was downregulated in activated HSCs, but was upregulated in HSC exosomes (Brandon-Warner et al. 2016). In addition, miR-19b and miR-92 levels were increased in exosomes isolated from the sera of rats fed the Lieber–DeCarli diet with biweekly intraperitoneal LPS injections compared to the pair-fed control diet. Consistently, exosomes isolated from plasma samples of patients with alcoholic liver cirrhosis or alcoholic liver cirrhosis associated with HCC had higher expression of miR-19b and miR-92 compared to healthy controls (Brandon-Warner et al. 2016). This suggests that exosomal miR-19b and miR-92 can serve as novel biomarkers for alcoholic cirrhosis.

HCV infection is another major risk factor for liver fibrosis progression. However, the underlying mechanisms are poorly understood. Two groups showed that intercellular communication between HCV-infected hepatocytes and HSCs through exosomal transmission of miRNAs can contribute to HCV-mediated liver fibrosis. Exosomes derived from HCV-infected hepatocytes were internalized into HSCs and increased profibrogenic gene expression (Devhare et al. 2017). miR-19a is highly expressed in the exosomes derived from HCV-infected hepatocytes as well as in the sera of HCV-infected patients with liver fibrosis. As miR-19a targets SOCS3, exosomes derived from HCV-infected hepatocytes and miR-19a activated the STAT3/TGF-β signaling axis (Devhare et al. 2017). Exosomal miR-192 was derived from HCV-infected hepatocytes and delivered to HSCs. Exosomal miR-192 also promoted the activation and transdifferentiation of HSCs into myofibroblasts (Kim et al. 2019). These results suggest that miR-19a and miR-192 can be diagnostic and therapeutic targets for HCV-mediated liver fibrosis.

The therapeutic value of EVs for liver fibrosis treatment was explored. Administration of EVs from normal mice ameliorated CCl4- or thioacetate acid-induced liver fibrosis (Chen et al. 2018). EVs from normal mice contain higher expression of miR-34c, miR-151-3p, miR-483-5p, miR-532-5p, and miR-687, as compared to EVs from fibrotic mice. These miRNAs individually suppress the fibrotic response in HSCs and hepatocyte proliferation (Chen et al. 2018). In conclusion, EVs from healthy subjects may be a potential strategy to treat liver fibrosis.

The potential use of exosomal microRNAs as biomarkers for liver fibrosis has been investigated. The levels of exosomal miR-16 were decreased in patients with liver cirrhosis, and downregulation of exosomal miR-16 was associated with poor overall survival of these patients (Fründt et al. 2021). Moreover, exosomal miR-155 levels gradually increased with the degree of liver fibrosis (Niu et al. 2021). Increased exosomal miR-155 positively correlated with the progression of cirrhosis in patients, suggesting that it can be used as a biomarker for liver fibrosis diagnosis and progression. In addition, serum exosomal miR-103-3p levels were also overexpressed in patients with liver fibrosis (Chen et al. 2020). Furthermore, in the advanced stage of liver fibrosis, the levels of exosomal miR-103-3p were increased. Schistosomiasis, an infection caused by Schistosoma mansoni and S. japonicum, can lead to the development of periportal fibrosis and liver cirrhosis (Cai et al. 2020). Serum exosomal miR-146a-5p has the potential to serve as a biomarker for grading liver fibrosis due to schistosomiasis (Cai et al. 2020). Furthermore, exosomal microRNA biomarkers that enable us to predict the effects of prognostic anti-fibrotic treatments, such as the phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor were identified in vivo; these included exosomal miR-99b, miR-100, and miR-142-3p (Broermann et al. 2020). Their clinical implications should be further evaluated. In summary, exosomal microRNAs could potentially be used as diagnostic, prognostic, and/or surrogate biomarkers for liver fibrosis.

**Inter-organ crosstalk between liver and adipose tissue in the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism**

Exosomes mediate intercellular communication. They deliver bioactive cargoes to target cells and have autocrine and paracrine actions. Moreover, exosomes are important conduits for inter-organ communication. The liver is a vital metabolic organ that governs systemic glucose and lipid homeostasis. Here, we will discuss the roles of exosomes in crosstalk between the liver and other metabolic organs (Fig. 2).

Obesity is associated with insulin resistance and NAFLD. Obesity-associated exosomal miRNAs, miR-122, miR-192, miR-27a-3p, and miR-27b-3p, are liver abundant miRNAs with increased expression in obesity (Castano et al. 2018). Lean mice treated with exosomes isolated from obese mice developed glucose intolerance and insulin resistance. Administration of exosomes transfected with obesity-associated exosomal miRNAs downregulated the expression of Ppara in white adipose tissue (WAT) and resulted in dyslipidemia. Obesity-associated exosomal miRNAs contribute to glucose intolerance, insulin resistance, as well as epididymal WAT inflammation and hepatic steatosis (Castano et al. 2018). A study by Castano et al. showed that miR-122 and miR-192 expression was decreased in WAT but increased in the liver. However, there is no direct evidence of the source of obesity-associated exosomal miRNAs (Castano et al. 2018). Wu et al. investigated the role of exosomes...
derived from the liver in liver-fat crosstalk. Hepatic exosome-derived miR-130a-3p improved glucose intolerance by targeting the PH domain leucine-rich repeat-containing protein phosphatase 2 (PHLPP2). PHLPP2 dephosphorylates Akt. Therefore, hepatic exosome-derived miR-130a-3p activated Akt/glucose transporter type 4 signaling in adipocytes, which in turn attenuated adipogenesis (Wu et al. 2020).

Adipose tissue is a key organ for energy storage. Adipocytes regulate whole-body energy metabolism via the production of adipokines. Adipose tissue also secretes exosomes and communicates with distant organs, including the liver. Humans with lipodystrophy exhibited a decrease in circulating exosomal miRNAs (Thomou et al. 2017). Adipose-tissue-specific knockout of the miRNA-processing enzyme Dicer (ADicerKO) in mice exhibited lipodystrophy and insulin resistance, and these mice showed higher expression of fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 21. The Kahn group showed that fat tissue is a primary source of exosomal miRNAs in ADicerKO mice (Thomou et al. 2017).

Fat transplantation reconstituted circulating exosomal miRNA profiling. Transplantation of subcutaneous inguinal WAT, intraabdominal epididymal WAT, and brown adipose tissue (BAT) from wild-type donor mice to ADicerKO mice showed distinct circulating exosomal miRNA signatures. Fat-derived exosomal miRNAs play an important role in regulating glucose and lipid metabolism through fat-to-liver communication. ADicerKO mice that received transplantation of fat, especially BAT, exhibited substantially improved insulin sensitivity. Fat-derived exosomal miR-99b is responsible for the robust inhibition of FGF21 in the liver (Thomou et al. 2017). Brown adipocyte-derived exosomal miR-132-3p targets Srebf1 in the liver, which is the major regulator of lipid homeostasis (Kariba et al. 2020).

Obese adipose tissue-derived exosomal miRNAs may promote systemic insulin resistance. In the sera from
patients with type 2 diabetes, exosomal miR-222 was mainly produced by gonadal WAT (Li et al. 2020a). Gonadal WAT-derived exosomal miR-222 downregulated insulin receptor substrate-1 (IRS1), which resulted in insulin resistance in the liver and skeletal muscle of HFD-fed obese mice (Li et al. 2020a). In addition to adipocytes, adipose tissue macrophages (ATMs) secrete exosomes. Treatment of lean mice with obese ATM exosomes promoted insulin resistance in the liver, skeletal muscle, and visceral adipose tissues, whereas treatment of obese mice with lean ATM exosomes attenuated insulin resistance (Ying et al. 2017). One of the cargoes overexpressed in ATM-derived exosomes is miR-155, which represses PPARγ expression and impairs cellular insulin signaling. ATM-derived exosomal miR-155 promoted obesity-induced insulin resistance conferred by other obese ATM-exosomes (Ying et al. 2017). These studies suggest that exosomal miRNAs produced by the adipose tissue compartment can influence metabolic events in distant tissues, such as the liver and skeletal muscles.

Conclusions and perspectives

Given that multiple types of liver cells release miRNAs within exosomes, which may represent the physiological and pathological status of the liver, exosomal miRNAs have been actively investigated as biomarkers of various liver diseases. Emerging studies have also established the critical role of exosomal miRNAs in communication between different cells as well as in different organs, which may further provide mechanistic evidence for the pathogenic properties of exosomes. Recently, the safety of exosomes in patients and the therapeutic effect of exosomes have been evaluated in various diseases, such as COVID-19, type I diabetes mellitus, and cutaneous wound healing. However, the evidence supporting the feasibility of miRNA therapy in liver diseases is still lacking, the potential benefit of exosome therapy has been demonstrated at the animal level in experimental models of various liver diseases, which has established an empirical foundation for its clinical application. Continuous efforts to reveal the biological and pathological knowledge of miRNAs at the bench- and bedside will open new avenues for the discovery of potential therapeutic options for the treatment of liver diseases.
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