MicroRNA-17-92 regulates myoblast proliferation and differentiation by targeting the ENH1/Id1 signaling axis
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Myogenesis is an important biological process that occurs during both skeletal muscle regeneration and postnatal growth. Growing evidence points to the critical role of microRNAs (miRNAs) in myogenesis. Our analysis of miRNA expression patterns reveal that miRNAs of miR-17-92 cluster are dramatically downregulated in C2C12 cells after myogenesis stimulation, are strongly induced in mouse skeletal muscle after injury and decrease steadily thereafter and are downregulated with age in skeletal muscle during mouse and porcine postnatal growth. However, their roles in muscle developmental processes remain elusive. We show that the miR-17-92 cluster promotes mouse myoblast proliferation but inhibits myotube formation. miR-17, -20a and -92a target the actin-associated protein enigma homolog 1 (ENH1). The silencing of ENH1 increased the nuclear accumulation of the inhibitor of differentiation 1 (Id1) and represses myogenic differentiation. Furthermore, the injection of adenovirus expressing miR-20a into the tibialia anterior muscle downregulates ENH1 and delays regeneration. In addition, the downregulation of miR-17-92 during myogenesis is transcriptionally regulated by E2F1. Overall, our results reveal a E2F1/miR-17-92/ENH1/Id1 regulatory axis during myogenesis.
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Myogenesis is an important event during postnatal muscle growth and regeneration.1,2 It is a multistep process during which myoblasts proliferate, withdraw from the cell cycle, differentiate into myocytes, fuse into multinucleated myotubes with centralized nuclei, and undergo further maturation.3 Myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) including MyoD, Myf5, myogenin and MRF4 work in conjunction with E proteins to activate muscle gene expression.4,5 On the other hand, one of the master myogenesis inhibitors, inhibitor of differentiation (Id), competitively binds to MRF and/or E proteins and subsequently inhibits myogenic differentiation.6 However, the induction of Id1 reverses the neonatal lethality of transgenic mice overexpressing myogenin in skeletal muscle.7 It is clear that investigating the mechanism by which muscle cells modulate the interaction between myogenic factors and Id is required for a better understanding of muscle growth and regeneration.

Increasing evidence suggests that microRNAs (miRNAs) are involved in skeletal muscle development and regeneration. To date, ~30 miRNAs have been experimentally identified as myogenesis-associated miRNAs.8–10 These miRNAs, which have been discovered primarily from studies using the in vitro model of skeletal muscle myogenesis, play important roles in skeletal muscle regeneration and growth. The loss of miR-206 or the knockdown of miR-26a delays the normal kinetics of muscle regeneration in mice.11,12 The double knockout of miR-208b and miR-499 causes a dramatic loss of type I fibers in the soleus muscle in mice.13 However, it is clear that there are still many myogenesis-associated miRNAs that have yet to be discovered.

In this study, to identify additional potential myogenic miRNAs, we analyzed the miRNA expression profiles of proliferating and differentiating C2C12 cells using a novel method of S-Poly(T) Plus real-time PCR.14,15 This method is one of the most sensitive and accurate methods for the quantification of miRNAs. Of the 720 detected miRNAs, 55 were differently expressed by at least fourfold. Among these, three members of the miR-17-92 cluster (miR-17, -20a and -92a) were highly expressed in the proliferating C2C12 myoblasts and significantly decreased in the differentiating C2C12 cells. Although miR-17-92 has been well-studied for its role in tumorigenesis,16–19 its role in skeletal muscle myogenesis remains undetermined. Because the proliferation of skeletal muscle cells is an initial step in muscle growth and regeneration,20 it is important to understand the regulatory network through which miR-17-92 controls the steps of myogenesis. We thus further demonstrated the expression pattern of the miR-17-92 cluster during myogenesis, muscle regeneration and postnatal growth. In vitro and in vivo studies established that miR-17-92 plays an important role in skeletal growth and regeneration.
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miR-17-92 promotes C2C12 myoblast proliferation but prevents differentiation. To study the functions of miR-17-92, we first investigated whether miR-17-92 affects myoblast proliferation. We infected C2C12 cells with a lentivirus individually overexpressing or inhibiting three major miRNAs of this cluster (miR-17, -20a and -92a). Based on the ethynyl-2′-deoxuridine (EdU) incorporation assay, we observed that the overexpression of miR-17, -20a or -92a significantly increased cell proliferation (1.37-fold, 1.44-fold and 1.43-fold, respectively, \( P < 0.01 \)) compared with the control virus (miR-NC) (Figure 2a and Supplementary Figure S4a). In contrast, the knockdown of miR-17, -20a or -92a caused the opposite effect (Figure 2b and Supplementary Figure S4b). These data show that miR-17, -20a and -92a have pro-proliferative effects.

Next, we investigated the role of the miR-17-92 cluster on C2C12 differentiation. First, we performed dual-luciferase reporter assays by co-transfecting C2C12 myoblasts with plasmids overexpressing miR-17, -20a or -92a and the myogenin promoter-driven Firefly luciferase. The overexpression of miR-17, -20a or -92a reduced the luciferase activity (Figure 2c), implying that these miRNAs affect myogenic differentiation. The C2C12 myoblasts were then transfected with the miR-17, -20a or -92a mimics, or the control (miR-NC) and transferred to DM. As shown in Figure 2d, the overexpression of miR-17, -20a or -92a dramatically blocked myotube formation when compared with the miR-NC group. Their inhibitory effects on muscle differentiation were further confirmed by western blot as indicated by a decrease in myogenin protein expression levels in C2C12 cells or in primary mouse myoblasts (Figure 2e and Supplementary Figure S5). Collectively, these results indicate that miR-17, -20a and -92a promote proliferation but have inhibitory effects on myoblasts differentiation.

ENH1 is a common target of miR-17, -20a and -92a and represses myogenesis. We next investigated the mechanism through which miR-17-92 regulates myogenesis by searching for miRNA targets that might mediate its effects. According to TargetScan 6.2 (Cambridge, MA, USA) and FINDTAR3 (Shenzhen, China), ENH1 is a common predicted target of miR-17, -20a and -92a. ENH1 revealed an inverse relation with miR-17, -20a or -92a expression not only during C2C12 myogenesis but also during skeletal muscle regeneration (Figures 3a and b and Supplementary Figure S6), indicates that ENH1 is a potential target of miR-17, -20a and -92a in regulating muscle cell proliferation and differentiation. ENH1 has one binding site (2234-2257) in its 3′ UTR for miR-17 and -20a and another binding site (2791-2811) for miR-92a (Figure 3c). As shown in Figure 3d, the overexpression of miR-17, -20a or -92a significantly repressed the luciferase activities of the wild-type ENH1 3′ UTR reporter (0.58-, 0.73- and 0.60- fold, \( P < 0.001 \), respectively), whereas these repressions were completely abolished when their corresponding binding sites were mutated. To further validate our results, the C2C12 cells were transfected with the miR-17, -20a, -92a mimics or the control mimic (miR-NC). Consistent with the above 3′ UTR dual-luciferase assay, the overexpression of miR-17, -20a or -92a resulted in a decrease in the expression of ENH1 at the protein level.
Figure 1  miR-17-92 cluster is downregulated during skeletal muscle differentiation, regeneration and development. (a) Workflow of the identification of myogenesis-associated miRNAs. (b) Volcano plot showing the differentially expressed miRNAs in differentiated C2C12 myotubes compared with myoblasts. The negative Log2-adjusted P-values (y-axis) are plotted against the Log2 fold changes in expression (x-axis). The horizontal dashed line indicates the threshold for significance (P < 0.05) and the vertical dashed line indicates the upregulated (right side) and downregulated (left side) miRNAs. miR-17, -20a and -92a are indicated. (c) miR-17-92 is downregulated during myogenesis. C2C12 mouse myoblasts were cultured in growth medium (GM) and then switched to differentiation medium (DM) for 1–7 days. Quantitative RT-qPCR was performed to analyze the expression level of miR-17, -18a, -19a, -20a and -92a. The data were normalized to SNORD202. miR-17-92 expression in myoblasts cultured in DM was set to 1.0. The error bars depict the means ± S.D. of the samples with six pigs in each group. The rest is as in c. (e) miR-17-92 expression in porcine skeletal muscle during post-natal development. Quantitative RT-qPCR validated the level of miR-17-92 in porcine longissimus dorsi muscles on postnatal days 35, 63, 98 and 161. The Data were normalized to SNORAN202. miR-17-92 expression on postnatal day 35 was set to 1.0. The error bars depict the means ± S.D. of the samples with six mice in each group. (f) miR-17-92 expression in mouse skeletal muscle during postnatal development. qRT-PCR validated the level of miR-17-92 in mouse hind legs on days 2, 14, 28 and 42. miR-17-92 expression on day 2 was set to 1.0. The rest is as in d.

(0.59-fold, P < 0.05, 0.36-fold, P < 0.01 and 0.77-fold, P < 0.05, respectively, Figure 3e). In contrast to miRNA overexpression, transfection of miR-17, -20a or -92a inhibitors in the C2C12 cells resulted in an increase of ENH1 protein about 1.5-fold (P < 0.01) (Figure 3f). Based on these data, we conclude that ENH1 is a direct target of miR-17, -20a and -92a in myoblasts.

To further investigate the role of the ENH1 on C2C12 myogenesis, we constructed the si-ENH1 vector and confirmed its efficiency by western blot (Figure 3g). We subsequently transfected the si-ENH1 myoblasts to DM for 4 days. Consistent with the inhibitory effect of miR-17-92 on muscle differentiation, the silencing of ENH1 dramatically blocked myotube formation and repressed myogenin protein expression (Figures 3h and i). Again, consistent with the pro-proliferative effects of miR-17, -20a and -92a, the silencing of ENH1 significantly increased the cell proliferation rate (Figure 3j and Supplementary Figure S7). Conversely, the forced expression of ENH1 in C2C12 myoblasts reduced proliferation (Figure 3k and Supplementary Figure S7). These results show that miR-17, -20a and -92a repress ENH1 expression, which in turn contributes to their functions of promoting muscle proliferation and preventing differentiation.

miR-17-92 and ENH1 modulate the abundance of Id1. The process of myogenic differentiation is regulated by at least three protein families including E protein, muscle regulatory factor and Id proteins.6 Ids (Id1–4) are a group of ubiquitous nuclear proteins that bind to MRF and E protein, which prevents the heterodimerization of MRF and E protein in nucleus and subsequently inhibits myogenic differentiation.26,27 ENH proteins are well-established regulators of the subcellular localization of helix–loop–helix proteins including Ids.28 In C2C12 myoblasts, Id1 mRNA is present at higher levels than Id2, Id3 or Id4 in myoblasts (Supplementary Figure S8). We thus investigated the regulatory
axis of miR-17/20a/92a-ENH1-Id1 in muscle differentiation. Id1 protein was downregulated during differentiation (Figure 4a), which displayed an inverse correlation with ENH1 (Supplementary Figure S9a). This inverse correlation was found only in the late phase of muscle regeneration (Supplementary Figures S9b and c). On the other hand, ENH1 has been reported to promote the cytoplasmic sequestration of Id2 during neuronal cell differentiation. We thus investigated whether ENH1 regulates the Id1 during myogenic differentiation.

We found that Id1 was less abundant in the cytoplasm as well as in the nucleus at DM4 (Figure 4b). Consistent with the study of Sun et al. that Id1 localizes in the nucleus of proliferating C2C12 myoblasts while in the cytoplasm of mature myotubes, little exogenous Id1 was found in the nucleus of the differentiating C2C12 cells (Figure 4c). However, the exogenous Id1 was mostly localized in the nucleus of si-ENH1 myoblasts (Figure 4d). Moreover, the silencing of ENH1 caused an increase of endogenous Id1 in the whole cell (1.53-fold, \( P < 0.05 \)) and in the nucleus (1.32-fold, \( P < 0.05 \)) (Figure 4e). These results show that the abundance of Id1 in the nucleus depended on the ENH1 expression level.

Consistent with the effects of si-ENH1, the overexpression of miR-17, -20a or -92a resulted in more exogenous Id1 accumulated in the nucleus (Figure 4f). Further analysis shows that the overexpression of miRNA reduced ENH1 protein expression while increased the abundance of endogenous Id1 in the cells at GM and DM4 (Figure 4g). Moreover, a mixture of miR-17, -20a and -92a mimics increased the Id1 protein level in the cytoplasm (1.30-fold, \( P < 0.05 \)) but not in the nucleus (1.1-fold, \( P < 0.05 \)) (Figure 4h). A further qPCR showed that the overexpression of miR-17, -20a and -92a increased the transcriptional level of Id1 in the C2C12 cells (Figure 4i). Therefore, although ENH1 could reduce the
nuclear Id1 in myoblasts, miR-17-92 modulates the protein level of Id1 mostly by other regulatory axis rather than ENH1-mediated pathway.

miR-20a promotes proliferation but prevents differentiation of regenerating skeletal muscle. Considering the fact that miR-20a effectively inhibits ENH1 expression in vitro (Figure 3e), we injected an adenovirus overexpressing miR-20a or its control (Ad-miR-NC) into the tibialis anterior muscles of mice and then evaluated the miR-20a-mediated regulation of muscle proliferation and differentiation using the CTX-induced skeletal muscle damage and regeneration model. Ten days after the Ad-miR-20a injection, miR-20a was upregulated (1.74-fold, \( P < 0.05 \)) in the muscle (Figure 5a), and the miR-20a target protein ENH1 was dramatically downregulated in the Ad-miR-20a mice (Figure 5b). As previously described, miR-17-92 was upregulated 1–3 days post injury, which is the active phase of muscle proliferation (Figure 1d). We investigated whether the overexpression of miR-20a affects muscle cell proliferation. The results of EdU incorporation assay showed that Ad-miR-20a induced an accumulation of mononucleated cells in the

Figure 3 miR-17, -20a and -92a downregulate ENH1 protein expression by directly targeting its 3′UTR and ENH1 promotes myogenic differentiation but inhibits cell proliferation. (a) ENH1 protein expression during C2C12 myoblast myogenesis. C2C12 mouse myoblasts were cultured in growth medium (GM) and then switched to differentiation medium (DM) for 1–7 days. Beta-actin served as the loading control. (b) ENH1 protein expression in skeletal muscle during regeneration after CTX injury. Beta-tubulin served as the loading control. (c) Western blot analysis of ENH1 protein in the C2C12 myoblasts transfected with miR-17, -20a, -92a or NC inhibitors. Beta-actin served as the loading control. The results are as in e. (d) Western blot confirms the efficiency of si-ENH1 on ENH1 protein expression in myoblasts. The rest is as in e. (h) Western blot analysis of ENH1 showing that ENH1 is downregulated in the Ad-miR-20a mice (Figure 5b). As previously described, miR-17-92 was upregulated 1–3 days post injury, which is the active phase of muscle proliferation (Figure 1d). We investigated whether the overexpression of miR-20a affects muscle cell proliferation. The results of EdU incorporation assay showed that Ad-miR-20a induced an accumulation of mononucleated cells in the
damaged area at 1 and 3 days post injury (Supplementary Figure S10). Therefore, miR-20a enhanced the proliferative activity of the muscle satellite cells, which is evidenced by the increases in Ki67 stained cells (2.20-fold, \( P < 0.01 \)) and PCNA protein levels (2.07-fold, \( P < 0.05 \)) (Figures 5d and e). As previously described, miR-20a was downregulated 3–10 days post injury (Figure 1d). We next investigated whether the overexpression of miR-20a would impact muscle...
mir-20a delays skeletal muscle regeneration after the CTX injury. C57BL/6 mice were injected in their tibialis anterior muscles with CTX. Adenoviruses expressing miR-20a (Ad-miR-20a) or control (Ad-miR-NC) were injected into the tibialis anterior muscles 10 days prior to the CTX injection. The tibialis anterior muscles were collected on 0, 1, 3, 5 and 10 days post-CTX injury. (a) Quantitative PCR shows that the injection of Ad-miR-20a increases miR-20a in the mouse tibialis anterior muscles 10 days after the adenoviruses injection. qRT-PCR of miR-20a normalized to SNORNA234 is shown relative to control adenovirus-injected samples. The data are presented as the means ± S.D. of the samples from six mice. *P < 0.05. (b) Injection of Ad-miR-20a in the tibialis anterior muscles downregulates the ENH1 protein level as detected by western blot on post-CTX injury day 0 and 5 (top panel). M1, mouse 1; M2, mouse 2; M3, mouse 3. Beta-tubulin served as the loading control. (Right) The ENH1 proteins were quantified, and the data were expressed relative to control adenovirus-injected (Ad-miR-NC) samples. The data are presented as the means ± S.D. of the samples from six mice. *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001. (c) H&E images of the tibialis anterior muscle cross-sections of mice injected with Ad-miR-NC or Ad-miR-20a on 5 and 10 days post-CTX injury. Arrows indicate the regenerating myofibers. Scale bar, 200 μm. (d, left) Immunostaining of K67 in the tibialis anterior muscle on day 3 after the CTX injury. Scale bar, 200 μm. (Right) Quantification of K67-positive cells from 10 random fields per sample. The error bars depict the means ± S.D. of the samples from six mice. *P < 0.05. (e, left) Western blot for PCNA in the tibialis anterior muscles on day 1 after the CTX injury. (Right) Quantification of PCNA protein levels. Ad-miR-20a increased the protein levels of PCNA in the tibialis anterior muscle. The results were normalized to beta-tubulin and expressed relative to the control. The error bars depict the means ± S.D. of samples from five mice. *P < 0.05. (f) Ad-miR-20a downregulated the protein expression of myogenin in the tibialis anterior muscles on day 3 post-CTX injury. Western blot (left) and the quantification (right) of myogenin in the tibialis anterior muscles. The rest is as in e. (g) Immunostaining of MHC in regenerating fibers on day 3 post-CTX injury. Scale bar, 200 μm. (h) Analysis of the cross-sectional areas of regenerating fibers on day 3 post injury using the ImageJ software. Only myofibers containing central nuclei were measured. The error bars depict the means ± S.D. of samples from five mice. (i) Average area of the cross-sections of regenerating fibers on day 5 post-CTX injury. Approximately eight random fields were captured, and > 1500 fibers were measured per sample. The error bars depict the means ± S.D. of samples from five mice. **P < 0.01.

differentiation as was observed in vitro. Under normal conditions, new myofibers containing central nuclei were formed to repair damaged fibers on day 5 post injury (Supplementary Figure S2). However, Ad-miR-20a downregulated the protein levels of myogenin (0.65-fold, P < 0.05) in the tibialis anterior muscle (Figure 5f) and delayed the growth of new myofibers (Figures 5c and g), which is evidenced by the analysis of myosin-stained cross-sectional areas revealing a decrease in myofiber sizes in the Ad-miR-20a muscle (Figure 5h), with an average size of 351.7 ± 10.6 μm² versus 638.8 ± 19.2 μm².
Discussion

In this study, we analyzed the expression pattern of 720 miRNAs in mouse C2C12 myoblasts before and during differentiation and identified miR-17-92, a cluster of six miRNAs that was significantly downregulated, as an inhibitory myogenic miRNA. We demonstrated the pro-proliferative and anti-differentiation effects of miR-17-92 in vitro and in vivo and elucidated the E2F1/miR-17-92/ENH1/Id1 regulatory axis in muscle myogenesis, regeneration, and development (Figure 7).

Of the 55 miRNAs differentially regulated in response to differentiation (Figure 1a), 16 miRNAs have previously been shown to play functional roles in myogenesis, including miR-1, -133, -24, -26a, -27, -29, -206 and -486, indicating that this novel method is successful in profiling miRNA expression patterns in a high-throughput manner. Therefore, this study offers a new strategy to efficiently identify myogenesis-associated miRNAs. Some of the additional miRNAs unstudied herein will be investigated in future studies.

Previous studies reveal a critical requirement for miR-17-92 at the early stage of development. A similar expression pattern has also been found during the normal development of lung and heart. miR-17-92 knockout causes lung hypoplasia, cardiac defects and a reduction of pre-B cells, which subsequently results in early-postnatal lethality. Moreover, miR-17-92-deleted embryos exhibit multiple skeletal abnormalities. Given the anti-differentiation role reported of other downregulated miRNAs during myogenic differentiation, including miR-155, -669a, -669q, -487b, -3963 and -6412, the reduction in these miRNAs at the initial step of myogenesis can be considered a miRNA signature of anti-differentiation effectors. In contrast, the differentiation-induced miRNAs, such as miR-1, -27 -26a, -29, -206 and -378, consistently induce the opposite effect on myogenesis. Surprisingly, some upregulated miRNAs, such as miR-133, have repressive abilities on myogenic differentiation. Regardless, the principal effects of miRNAs on myogenesis are the modulation of cell proliferation and differentiation.

Muscle differentiation and regeneration are highly dependent on the pro-proliferative capacity of a pool of muscle cells. In vitro studies demonstrated that the expression of MyoD and myogenin was upregulated in a density-dependent manner in C2C12 myoblasts. At a low-cell density, Myf5 inhibited the induction of myogenin in the human U2OS cells. Moreover, the number of regenerating myofibers and the expression of MyoD and myogenin are decreased when the proliferative ability of the satellite cells is inhibited, for example, by angiotensin II. These studies suggest that sufficient numbers of progenitor cells are required for myogenesis and muscle regeneration. Given the high expression of miR-17-92 at the early stage of myogenesis and regeneration (Figures 1c and d), one might have predicted that its high expression would favor the accumulation of muscle progenitor cells. Conversely, our skeletal regeneration experiments reveal that the abnormal high expression of miR-20a caused a hyper-proliferative phenotype and impeded muscle differentiation and myofiber maturation. Similarly, the transgenic overexpression of the miR-17-92 cluster in mice leads to the hyper-proliferation of lung epithelium, the inhibition of differentiation...
and subsequently an abnormal lethal phenotype characterized by the absence of air in the lungs.25

ENH1 is a heart/skeletal muscle-specific PDZ-LIM protein and is implicated in heart and skeletal muscle development.45–48 Yoshida and co-workers48 have shown that this protein promotes the mRNA levels of myoD and myogenin in C2C12 cells. ENH1 has also been shown to have anti-proliferative effects on human neuroectodermal cell lines.28 Here, we confirmed that ENH1 has anti-proliferative and pro-differentiation effects in myoblasts. The sequestration function of ENH1 is attributed to specific protein domains, with the PDZ domain of ENH1 binding to cytoskeletal proteins (such as actin and alpha-actinin) allowing for the organization of protein complexes at the cytoskeleton,45 and the LIM domain interacting with diverse partners.29–49 Previous studies have shown that alternative PDZ-LIM proteins could contribute to the regulation and subcellular compartmentalization of nuclear proteins.46 As mentioned above, ENH1 has been linked to the cytoplasmic localization of Id2 in neuronal cells.28 In our study, we highlighted the negative effect of ENH1 on the nuclear abundance of Id1 (Figure 7). It should be noted that this study investigated only Id1. In fact, Id1 and Id3 can both bind to ENH1,28 and the regulation of these Id proteins by their subcellular localization has been demonstrated to modulate cell proliferation and differentiation.50–52 Therefore, the effect of ENH1 on the localization of Id1, Id2 and Id3 during myogenesis remains to be determined (Figure 7).

E2F1, along with E2F2 and E2F3, bind to the promoter of miR-17-92 to activate its transcription, and miR-17 and -20a simultaneously repress the translation of E2F1-3 via 3′UTR-binding sites,53,51 establishing an auto-regulatory feedback loop between E2F1 and miR-17-92. Here we confirmed the positive regulation of E2F1 on miR-17-92 transcription (Figures 6a–c and Supplementary Figure S10), highlighting that miR-17-92 expression is induced by E2F1 during muscle differentiation, regeneration and postnatal development. However, E2F1 protein can be inhibited by miR-17 and -20a, but not by miR-92a (Figure 6d), further validating the E2F1–miR-17/20a feedback loop in skeletal muscle cells.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the miRNA expression patterns in response to differentiation and identified the members of the miR-17-92 cluster as muscle development-associated miRNAs. We then dissected the function of miR-17-92 in controlling muscle proliferation, differentiation and regeneration and discovered the E2F1/miR-17-92/ENH1/Id1 regulatory axis in myogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. The HEK 293A, HEK 293T and C2C12 cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). The C2C12 cells were cultured in GM and transferred to DM for myogenesis. In brief, the C2C12 cells were maintained in DMEM (Corning Cellgro, Manassas, VA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Biowest, Nuaillé, France) in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 at 37 °C. To induce myogenic differentiation, the culture medium was switched to DMEM with 2% heat-inactivated horse serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA). The 293A and 293T cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 at 37 °C.

Animals and muscle collection. The C57BL/6 and BALB/C male mice were purchased from the Guangdong Medical Laboratory Animal Center (Guangzhou, China). The mice were used at 2–10 weeks of age and were age-matched for each independent experiment. The castrated male hybrid pigs (Landrace × Large White × Duroc) were used at 35–161 days of age and maintained at the South China Agricultural University. The use of animals was in accordance with the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of China. All the protocols were approved by the Animal Ethical and Welfare Committee of Shenzhen University (Approval No. AEWC-2014-001004). The pigs were housed in a controlled environment and were provided free access to food and water. The experimental diet was designed according to the NRC2012 (Nutrient requirements of swine, 2012). The porcine longissimus dorsi muscles were

Figure 7 A model of the E2F1/miR-17-92/ENH1/Id1 regulatory axis in myogenesis. In the proliferating myoblasts, E2F1 upregulates the transcription of miR-17-92. miR-17, -20a and -92a, sequester Id1 in the nucleus by targeting its cytoplasmic sequestration factor, ENH1. Id1 binds to myogenic regulatory factor (MRF) and E protein, which prevents the heterodimerization of MRF and E protein and subsequently inhibits myogenic differentiation. Upon the stimulation of differentiation, the low expression of miR-17-92 results in a high level of ENH1, which reduces the abundance of Id1 protein in the nucleus, possibly by sequestrating the Id1 into the cytoplasm. The complex of MRF and E protein binds to the promoters of target muscle genes, thereby driving their expressions and inducing myogenic differentiation.
collected at postnatal days 35, 63, 98 and 161. The hind leg muscles of the BALB/C mice were collected at postnatal days 14, 28, 42 and 63. There are at least six pigs or mice in each group. The muscle samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

Mouse muscle regeneration model and adenovirus injection.

Muscle degeneration and regeneration in mice were induced by injecting cardiotoxin (CTX). Six week-old C57BL/6 mice were injected in their tibialis anterior muscles with 100 μl of 10 μM CTX. Adenoviruses expressing miR-20a or negative control (1015 to 1017 p.f.u./ml) were injected into the tibialis anterior muscles 10 days prior to the CTX injection. Two tibialis anterior muscles from each mouse were collected at 0, 1, 3, 5 and 10 days post-CTX injury. One sample was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for histology and immunohistochemistry. The other sample was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for RNA and protein extraction.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR.

Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Reagent (TaqGaRa, Dalian, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The isolated RNA was quantified using the NanoDrop 2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA). miRNA quantification was performed by qRT-PCR based on the S-Poly(T) Plus method (Geneups, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China).15 SNORNA44, SNORNA202 and SNORNA234 were used as the human, porcine and mouse miRNA endogenous controls, respectively. The SYBR Green method was used for mRNA quantitative determination using oligo(dT) plus random primers to initiate cDNA synthesis. RPL-14 was selected as a normalization control. The PCR reactions were carried out in the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Grand Island, NY, USA). The primers used for reverse transcription and qPCR can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

Plasmids

ENH1 3′UTR luciferase reporter assay: To construct the ENH1 3′UTR luciferase reporter plasmid, the ENH1 3′UTR was amplified from mouse genomic DNA using the primer listed in Supplementary Table S2. The purified PCR products were then cloned into the downstream region of the Firefly luciferase reporter gene at the EcoRI/XbaI restriction sites of the miRGlo vector (Promega). The corresponding binding sites were mutated by utilizing the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, CA, USA) to create E2Fs-binding sites. The mutant construct with mutated residues in the predicted binding site were constructed using site-directed mutagenesis by using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Myogenin promoter luciferase assay. To construct the myogenin promoter-driven luciferase reporter vector, a fragment (1.1 kb) of the mouse myogenin promoter was subcloned into the basic vector pGL4 (Promega) via the XhoI/MluI sites. The myogenin promoter was amplified from mouse genomic DNA by using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2.

miR-17-92 promoter luciferase assay. To construct the miR-17-92 promoter-driven luciferase reporter vector, a fragment (250 bp) of miR-17-92 promoter that contains two E2Fs-binding sites was amplified from human genomic DNA using the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2, then was subcloned into the vector pGL4 via the KpnI/MluI sites. The mutant construct with mutated residues in the two E2Fs-binding sites was generated with the primers listed in Supplementary Table S2.

miRNA overexpression and inhibition. The lentiviral vectors expressing miR-17, -20a, -92a or -17-92 were constructed by inserting the primary miRNAs into the pLVX-Puro vector (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA). To monitor for the transduction efficiency, the open reading frame of EGFP containing a stop codon was inserted between the CMV promoter and the primary miRNAs. The pLVX-miR-NC containing the EGFP fragment without any miRNA sequences was used as a negative control vector. The adenoviral vectors (Ad-miR-20a and Ad-miR-NC) were constructed with the BLOCK-iT Adenoviral RNAi Expression System (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The lentiviral-based miRNA inhibitors dTdT-miR-17, -20a or -92a were constructed based on the Tough Decoy (TuD) design,53 using the two-step PCR method.33

Lentivirus packaging and transduction.

The lentiviral particles were packaged in HEK 293 T cells by the transfection of the following three individual plasmids at a ratio of 2:1:5:—(i) pSAX2 encoding HIV Gag-Pol (Addgene plasmid 12260; Addgene), (ii) pSVG encoding the VSV-G glycoprotein (Addgene plasmid 8454; Addgene), and (iii) a lentiviral vector. Briefly, the HEK 293 T cells were seeded in 10-cm culture dishes at a density of 4×105 cells per dish. After 24 h of incubation, the cells were transfected with lentiviral vectors (12.5 μg) and the

packing plasmids (7.5 μg) using the PEI reagents. The cell-free supernatants were then harvested 48 and 72 h after transfection and used for subsequent cell infection in the presence of 8 μg/ml of polybrene. The infected cells were then selected by supplementing the culture medium with 1–2 μg/ml of puromycin 48 h after infection. The efficiency of the over expression/inhibition of miRNAs was confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis.

Transfection and luciferase reporter assays. The synthetic miRNA mimics and siRNAs were purchased from RiboBio (Guangzhou, Guangdong, China). The miRNA mimics or siRNAs were transfected into HEK 293A or C2C12 cells using the K2 transfection system (Biontext Laboratories GmbH, München, Germany). The transfection of plasmids into C2C12 cells was performed with the PEI transfection reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the myogenin promoter luciferase reporter assay, C2C12 cells were co-transfected with plasmids overexpressing miRNA, myogenin promoter luciferase reporter plasmids and internal control of in-house modified SV40 promoter-driven Renilla luciferase vector (pSV40-R-Luc). For ENH1 3′UTR luciferase assays, C2C12 cells were transfected with miRNA mimics and ENH1 3′UTR or mutated ENH1 3′UTR reporter plasmids. At 48 h post transfection, the measurement of luciferase activity was performed with a luminometer LumaT3 LB9508 (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany) using a dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The relative luciferase activities were calculated by comparing the Firefly/Renilla luciferase ratio.

Cell proliferation analysis. The EdU incorporation assay was performed using the EdU assay kit (Ribobio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 24 or 48 h after transfection, the C2C12 cells were cultured in GM containing EdU (50 μM) for 1.5 h. The EdU labeling was conducted for 1 h for the C2C12 cells stably expressing miRNA or dTdT-miRNA. The C2C12 cells were further analyzed by calculating their EdU incorporation.

Immunoblotting, cell immunostaining and immunohistochemistry

Western blot. The total proteins of the C2C12 cells or muscle samples were extracted with RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate and 1 mM EDTA) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) and quantified using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific, Beijing, China). The separation and preparation of cytoplasmic and nuclear protein from C2C12 cells were performed with NE-PER nuclear and cytoplasmic extraction reagents kit (Thermo Scientific) The proteins were electrophoresed with SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes. After blocking with 5% skim milk in TBST (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 130 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20), the blots were incubated with primary antibodies and then with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies. The protein bands were visualized using the SuperSignal chemiluminescent detection module (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL, USA), and images were captured using the Tanon-5200 imaging system (Tanon, Shanghai, China). The following primary antibodies were used: β-actin (1 : 10 000; ProteinTech, Wuhan, Hubei, China), β-tubulin (1 : 5 000; Proteintech), E2F1 (1 : 600; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), ENH1 (1 : 300; ProteinTech), Id1 (1 : 200; CalBioreagents, San Mateo, CA, USA), myogenin (1 : 5 000; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA) and PCNA (1 : 2 000; Proteintech). The HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (1 : 10 000; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) were also used.

Immunostaining. The immunostaining of cells was carried out with slight modifications of the previously reported protocols.38 The C2C12 cells were grown on sterile glass coverslip in 24-well plates, fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in ice-cold PBS for 10 min. The pretreated cells were blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin for 15 min and incubated with primary antibodies for 3 h at room temperature or overnight at 4 °C for the following dilutions: anti-Myosin heavy chain (1 : 100; Abcam) and anti-Flag (1 : 25; Proteintech). The indirect immunofluorescence was detected after incubation with fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated anti-mouse/ rabbit IgG (1 : 500; Abcam). The cell nuclei were stained with 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole hydrochloride (DAPI) for 5 min. After several washes with PBS, the cells were subjected to a fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Immunohistochemical staining. Immunohistochemical staining of skeletal muscle tissue sections was performed as previously described.59,60 The muscle tissue sections (paraffin-embedded) were deparaffinized and treated with the heat-
induced epitope retrieval (HIER) antigen retrieval EDTA-Tris buffer (pH 9.0). After retrieval, the sections were then incubated with the primary antibodies (anti-BrdU (1: 50; BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) and rabbit anti-Ki67 (1: 100; Abcam)). The 3′-3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) substrate was used as a chromogenic substrate for the detection of HRP. Images were captured with a fluorescence microscope.

**Histology.** The paraffin-embedded skeletal muscle tissue samples were cut into 5-μm-thick sections, mounted and stained with H&E. Images were captured using a fluorescence microscope. The determination of the area of regenerating fibers was performed with at least 30 fields from 5 sections of the tibialis anterior muscle in 5 mice for each group. The analysis of the fiber area was performed with the ImageJ software (National Institute of Mental Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

**Statistical analysis.** All results are expressed as the mean of at least three triplicates for each treatment. Pairwise comparisons were performed using a two-tailed Student’s t-test with STATGRAPHICS (Centurion XVI) software (StatPoint Technologies, Warrenton, VA, USA). A P-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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