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The Golgi staining method has a long history in the field of neuroscience, and remains an important teaching tool in undergraduate laboratory settings. The ability to visualize a cell with all the processes makes the method useful when teaching introductory neuroscience courses. However, the amount of time required for post-stain tissue processing makes it a difficult procedure to use when teaching laboratory classes. We detail a modified Golgi-Cox method with a short incubation period and minimal post-stain processing that produces well differentiated cells, making it ideal for use in an undergraduate laboratory.
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In 1873 Italian scientist Camillo Golgi originally published the “black reaction” which was a breakthrough in the field of histology. Unlike previous methods that allowed only certain parts of nerve cells to be observed, Golgi’s staining technique selectively stained the whole of an individual neuron, allowing for the examination of a single neuron and all of its neuronal processes (Pannese, 2007). Golgi’s procedure led to significant advances in the emerging field of neuroscience, expanding our knowledge of cell morphology, neuronal pathways, and the general organization of the central nervous system (Pannese, 2007). The method’s propensity to stain only certain nerve cells was the first that allowed researchers to observe axonal morphology and pathways throughout layers of tissue, as well as the details of dendritic trees. This resulted in the discovery that dendrites end freely and do not merge with other neurons in a wide “neuronal net.” In addition, the Golgi staining method revealed the staggering diversity of the kinds of neurons that comprise the nervous system (Pannese, 2007). These findings could not have been discovered using previous methods for observing nerve cells.

There has been considerable progress in the field of microscopy and in methods of single cell imaging, yet the Golgi stain remains a common procedure in neuroscientific research with the procedures adapted and improved over time. Two of the most commonly used variations are the Golgi-Cox and the Rapid-Golgi methods. The Golgi-Cox method generally produces impregnated cells with well defined dendritic trees, but requires a longer incubation period than Rapid-Golgi (Zhang et al., 2003; Shankaranarayana and Raju, 2004). However, although the Rapid-Golgi method produces differentiated cells within a shorter period of time, it frequently produces poorer quality stains than the Golgi-Cox method (Zhang et al., 2003).

The Golgi methods have become an essential teaching tool in undergraduate laboratory courses. Because of the Golgi stain’s ability to completely stain only certain neurons, and the ease with which the cells can be viewed using a basic light microscope, it is a valuable procedure when teaching units on histology, microscopy, and experimental methods. However, despite the usefulness of the Golgi technique in the undergraduate classroom, the long incubation period required for staining to occur as well as the often complex tissue processing procedures make it a difficult procedure to have students perform within a single laboratory session.

We describe a modification of a Golgi staining procedure that has been previously described for teaching purposes (Paul et al., 1997), omitting dehydration steps and thus allowing faster processing of tissue by students so that staining and examining tissue can more easily occur within one lab period. Tissue can be examined approximately 15 minutes following cutting of the sections. Because our procedure does not require extensive rinsing and processing once the tissue comes out of the stain, it is ideal for use in the undergraduate teaching laboratory.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Our procedure was adapted from a protocol that was used by the late Henrik Van der Loos at Johns Hopkins Medical School and was generously shared with us by Dr. Steve George of Amherst College. It is also described in the lab protocol handbook “Discovering Neurons” edited by Paul, et al. (1997). The instructor should consult this source for a more detailed description of the procedure.

Stock Solutions
To make the Golgi-Cox solution, three stock solutions were prepared.

(Solution A) 5% Potassium Dichromate Solution. Potassium dichromate stirred into warm deionized water until dissolved.

(Solution B) 5% Mercuric Chloride Solution. Mercuric chloride stirred into hot deionized water

(Solution C) 5% Potassium Chromate Solution. Potassium chromate added to cold deionized water while continuously stirring until dissolved.
All stock solutions were made in advance and can be kept at least a year.

**Preparation of the Golgi-Cox Solution**

10 ml of Solution A was added to 10 ml of Solution B. Separately, 20 ml of deionized water was added to 8 ml of Solution C. The A/B solution was then slowly added to the diluted C solution, while stirring continuously. A red/yellow precipitate of mercuric chromate was seen forming in the final solution. The Golgi-Cox solution was stored in the dark for three days to allow formation of the precipitate and then filtered before use; it has also been used immediately after mixing without filtering but slides show more precipitate. We have used this solution up to 12 months after mixing.

**Staining Procedure**

A fresh, unfixed brain (we used a C57Bl/6 mouse) was wrapped in gauze and placed in a tightly sealed glass jar and stored in the dark at room temperature for 14 days. 150um coronal slices were cut using a Vibratome and collected in deionized water. Using a paintbrush, the slices were transferred into wells filled with a 20% solution of aqueous ammonium hydroxide diluted with deionized water for 10 minutes. Whereas prior approaches at this point took the tissue through multiple dehydration steps, we simply rinsed tissue in deionized water, mounted on glass slides and coverslipped using an aqueous mounting media (Fluromount-G, SouthernBiotech). Slides can be viewed immediately. If desired, edges of the coverslip may be sealed with clear nail polish which should be left to dry prior to viewing under a microscope.

**Note to the instructor**

Due to the toxic nature of the solutions that compose the Golgi-Cox stain, and in the interest of saving time during the laboratory session, we prepared all stock solutions and Golgi-Cox solution in advance. When handling the stain solutions and treated brain tissue, two pairs of nitrile gloves should be worn in addition to lab coats and protective eye wear. Be sure to appropriately dispose of all chemical and dry waste in accordance with institutional regulations.

We found that the use of a Vibratome allowed more consistent thin sections; however, to accommodate a large number of students either a handheld single-edge razor blade or two razorblades taped together is a sufficient way to cut and obtain sections of tissue.

**RESULTS**

We developed this protocol as a modification of the processing suggested in prior protocols (Paul et al., 1997), which suggested dehydration and clearing steps. By reducing the number of processing steps and using an aqueous mounting medium that eliminated the need for dehydrating the tissue, we condensed the processing time from approximately one hour to circa 15-20 minutes following cutting. The use of aqueous mounting media allowed the section to be viewed immediately after coverslipping. Our results (Figure 1, 2) were comparable to those we obtained using the longer processing method. The advantage is that students can cut and process tissue in a shorter period of time, and may have time remaining in the lab period to observe the sections. Alternatively, the instructor could fit this procedure into one extended lecture period. Slides that are intended for use in future years should be dehydrated and coverslipped according to the previously published procedure (Paul et al., 1997) for optimal results, but the aqueous mount maintains tissue quality for at least several months. We observed loss of tissue quality when slides were stored in aqueous mount for one year, perhaps due to difficulties coverslipping the slices of uneven thickness.

**DISCUSSION**

We have described a modification of a Golgi-Cox procedure used in a teaching lab that shortens the processing time necessary following tissue sectioning. Our adapted Golgi method allows students to view stained brain sections and observe different types of neurons and their structure.

*Figure 1.* A Golgi-stained section of mouse cortex prepared by students in an undergraduate sophomore neuroscience class.

*Figure 2.* Golgi-stained pyramidal neuron at 40X magnification.
An undergraduate student reported “the stain itself was very clear and helpful in that we could see the complete neuron and its shape compared to other stains we have been taught. The Golgi staining process was very quick and we were able to cut our tissue and look at our slides all in one lab period” (Jennii Tran, Smith College Class of 2013). More advanced students could apply this technique to experimental questions, for example, asking if cells in different brain areas or across animals treated differently show quantifiable differences. One student doing a project on cell differentiation of hippocampal neurons paired the analysis of Golgi-stained sections with the use of ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) to allow quantification of images and stated that the proposed Golgi Staining method was “great because it was more focused on the structure of individual cells than other stains we have used. The procedure was much simpler than I thought it was going to be” (Grace McKay-Corkum, Smith College Class of 2014).

The brain areas most popular for students have been hippocampus, cerebral cortex, striatum and cerebellum. These areas have interesting cytoarchitecture and easily accessible information in textbooks, encyclopedias and websites to help students begin to recognize the different regions and cell types. This lab procedure is useful when teaching basic brain anatomy, histological techniques and microscopy and has been used in several classes at Smith College with no difficulties. The toxic nature of the stain makes this procedure less suitable for use in high school or outreach settings, but undergraduate students have presented their slides and images to younger students interested in neuroscience in outreach activities.
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