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Biotechnological Processes in Microbial Amylase Production
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Amylase is an important and indispensable enzyme that plays a pivotal role in the field of biotechnology. It is produced mainly from microbial sources and is used in many industries. Industrial sectors with top-down and bottom-up approaches are currently focusing on improving microbial amylase production levels by implementing bioengineering technologies. The further support of energy consumption studies, such as those on thermodynamics, pinch technology, and environment-friendly technologies, has hastened the large-scale production of the enzyme. Herein, the importance of microbial (bacteria and fungi) amylase is discussed along with its production methods from the laboratory to industrial scales.

1. Introduction

The International Enzyme Commission has categorized six distinct classes of enzymes according to the reactions they catalyze: EC1 Oxidoreductases; EC2 Transferases; EC3 Hydrolases; EC4 Lyases; EC5 Isomerases; and EC6 Ligases [1]. In general, biologically active enzymes can be obtained from plants, animals, and microorganisms. Microbial enzymes have been generally favored for their easier isolation in high amounts, low-cost production in a short time, and stability at various extreme conditions, and their cocompounds are also more controllable and less harmful. Microbially produced enzymes that are secreted into the media are highly reliable for industrial processes and applications. Furthermore, the production and expression of recombinant enzymes are also easier with microbes as the host cell. Applications of these enzymes include chemical production, bioconversion (biocatalyst), and bioremediation. In this aspect, the potential uses of different microbial enzymes have been demonstrated [2–5]. With regard to industrial applications, enzyme purification studies have predominantly focused on proteases, lipases, and amylases [4–12]. Furthermore, several microbes have been isolated from different sources for the production of extracellular hydrolases [5, 13, 14], which are either endohydrolases or exohydrolases. In this overview, we focus on the microbial hydrolase enzyme amylase for its downstream applications in industries and medicines.

2. Amylase and Its Substrates

Amylases are broadly classified into α, β, and γ subtypes, of which the first two have been the most widely studied (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). α-Amylase is a faster-acting enzyme than β-amylase. The amylases act on α-1-4 glycosidic bonds and are therefore also called glycoside hydrolases. The first amylase was isolated by Anselme Payen in 1833. Amylases are distributed widely in living systems and have specific substrates [15, 16]. Amylase substrates are widely available from cheap plant sources, rendering the potential applications of the enzyme more plentiful in terms of costs. Amylases can be divided into endoamylases and exoamylases. The endoamylases catalyze hydrolysis in a random manner within the starch molecule. This action causes the formation of linear and branched oligosaccharides of various chain lengths. The
exoamylases hydrolyze the substrate from the nonreducing end, resulting in successively shorter end products [16]. All α-amylases (EC 3.2.1.1) act on starch (polysaccharide) as the main substrate and yield small units of glucose (monosaccharide) and maltose (disaccharide) (Figure 2). Starch is made up of two glucose polymers, amylose and amylopectin, which comprise glucose molecules that are connected by glycosidic bonds. Both polymers have different structures and properties. A linear polymer of amylose has a maximum of 6000 glucose units linked by α-1,4 glycosidic bonds, whereas amylopectin is composed of α-1,4-linked chains of 10–60 glucose units with α-1,6-linked side chains of 15–45 glucose units. Saboury [17] revealed the α-amylases to be metalloenzymes that require metal (calcium) ions to maintain their stability, activity, and structural confirmation. Based on sequence alignments of α-amylases, Nielsen and Borchert [18] revealed that these enzymes have four conserved arrangements (I–IV), which are found as β-strands 3, 4, and 5 in the loop connecting β-strand 7 to α-helix 7 (Figure 3). Despite the fact that amylases are broadly available from different sources, past focus has been on only microbial amylases, owing to their advantages over plant and animal amylases, as discussed above. Microbial amylases have been isolated from several stains and explored for amylase production by the methods described below.

3. Isolation Methods

The isolation of potential and efficient bacterial or fungal strains is important before being screened for their production of enzymes of interest. As stated elsewhere, microbes are ubiquitous and can be obtained from any source. However, the most efficient strains are usually obtained from substrate-rich environments, from which the microbes can be adopted to use a particular substrate [5, 13]. The common method of strain isolation is through serial dilution, whereupon the number of colonies is minimized and thus easy to select [13]. Another method is through substrate selection, where efficient strains are isolated according to their affinity for a particular substrate [14]. Through these methods, several bacteria and fungi have been isolated and studied for amylase production.

4. Microbial Amylase

Microbial amylases obtained from bacteria, fungi, and yeast have been used predominantly in industrial sectors and scientific research. The level of amylase production varies from one microbe to another, even among the same genus, species, and strain. Furthermore, the level of amylase production also differs depending on the microbe’s origin, where strains isolated from starch- or amylose-rich environments naturally produce higher amounts of enzyme. Factors such as pH, temperature, and carbon and nitrogen sources also play vital roles in the rate of amylase production, particularly in fermentation processes. Because microorganisms are amenable to genetic engineering, strains can be improved for obtaining higher amylase yields. Microbes can also be fine-tuned to produce efficient amylases that are thermostable and stable at stringent conditions. Such improvements can also reduce contamination by background proteins and minimize the reaction time and lead to less energy expenditure in the amylase reaction [20]. The selection of halophilic strains is also beneficial to the production of amylase under extreme conditions (Figure 4).

Figure 1: Three-dimensional structures of amylases. (a) α-Amylase (RCSB PDB accession code 1SMD; the calcium-binding regions are indicated). (b) β-Amylase (RCSB PDB accession code PDB 2xf).
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4.1. Bacterial Amylases. Among the wide range of microbial species that secrete amylase, its production from bacteria is cheaper and faster than from other microorganisms. Furthermore, as mentioned above, genetic engineering studies are easier to perform with bacteria and they are also highly amenable for the production of recombinant enzymes. A wide range of bacterial species has been isolated for amylase secretion. Most are Bacillus species (B. subtilis, B. stearothermophilus, B. amyloliquefaciens, B. licheniformis, B. coagulans, B. polymyxa, B. mesentericus, B. vulgaris, B. megaterium, B. cereus, B. halodurans, and Bacillus sp. Ferdowsicous), but amylases from Rhodothermus marinus, Corynebacterium gigantea, Chromohalobacter sp., Caldimonas taiwannensis, Geobacillus thermoleovorans, Lactobacillus fermentum, Lactobacillus manihotivorans, and Pseudomonas stutzeri have also been isolated [1, 12, 16, 20, 21]. Halophilic strains that produce amylases include Haloarcula hispanica, Halobacillus sp., Chromohalobacter sp., Bacillus dopsauri, and Halomonas meridiana [22]. More studies involving the isolation and improvement of novel strains will pave the way to creating important strains. For example, Dash et al. [23] identified a new B. subtilis BI19 strain that produces amylase efficiently and, upon optimizing the conditions, enhanced the enzyme production about 3.06 folds. Three-dimensional structural analysis of such amylases helps in improving their efficiency. For example, the crystal structure of α-amylase from Anoxybacillus has provided insight into this enzyme subclass [19]. Studies on the three-dimensional structure also aid in the alteration or mutation of particular amino acids to improve the efficiency and functions of the enzyme or protein [24–26].

4.2. Fungal Amylases. Fungal enzymes have the advantage of being secreted extracellularly. In addition, the ability of fungi to penetrate hard substrates facilitates the hydrolysis process. In addition, fungal species are highly suitable for solid-based fermentation. The first fungal-produced amylase for industrial application was described several decades ago [27]. Efficient amylase-producing species include those of genus Aspergillus (A. oryzae, A. niger, A. awamori, A. fumigatus, A. kawachii, and A. flavus), as well as Penicillium species (P. bruneum, P. fellutanum, P. expansum, P. chrysogenum, P. roqueforti, P. janthinellum, P. camemberti, and P. olsonii), Streptomyces rimosus, Thermomyces lanuginosus, Pycnoporus sanguineus, Cryptococcus flavus, Thermomonospora curvata, and Mucor sp. [12, 16, 20, 21].

5. Recombinant Amylase

Genetic engineering and recombinant DNA technology are the current molecular techniques used to promote efficient
Figure 3: Topology of α-amylases. The positions of four conserved sequence patterns are indicated with dashed boxes [18].

Figure 4: A flowchart for microbial amylase. Three-dimensional structure of the α-amylase from *Anoxybacillus* (RCSB PDB accession code 5A2C) [19] is shown.
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**Figure 5:** Recombinant DNA technology for amylase production. The steps involve selection of an efficient amylase gene, insertion of the gene into an appropriate vector system, transformation into an efficient bacterial system to produce a higher amount of recombinant mRNA, and overproduction of amylase from the bacterial system.

enzyme production [18, 28–30]. Recombinant DNA technology for amylase production involves the selection of an efficient amylase gene, gene insertion into an appropriate vector system, transformation in an efficient bacterial system to produce a high amount of recombinant protein (in the presence of an expression-vector promoter-inducing agent), and purification of the protein for downstream applications (Figure 5). In this technology, high-copy numbers of the gene promote higher yields of amylase [30]. On the other hand, screening mutant libraries for selection of the best mutant variants for recombinant amylase production has been more successful (Figure 6). Zhang et al. [31] deleted amyR (encoding a transcription factor) from *A. niger* CICC2462, which led to the production of enzyme/protein specifically with lower background protein secretion. Wang et al. [32] generated a new strategy to express the α-amylase from *Pyrococcus furiosus* in *B. amyloliquefaciens*. This extracellular thermostable enzyme is produced in low amount in *P. furiosus*, but its expression in *B. amyloliquefaciens* was significantly increased and had good stability at higher temperature (optimum 100°C) and lower pH (optimum pH 5). By mimicking the *P. furiosus* system, they obtained a novel amylase with yields ~3000- and 14-fold higher amylase units/milliliter than that produced in *B. subtilis* and *Escherichia coli*, respectively.

### 6. Screening Microbial Amylase Production

Production or secretion of amylase can be screened by different common methods, including solid-based or solution-based techniques. The solid-based method is carried out on nutrient agar plates containing starch as the substrate, whereas solution-based methods include the dinitro salicylic acid (DNS) and Nelson-Somogyi (NS) techniques. In the solid-agar method, the appropriate strain (fungi or bacteria) is pinpoint-inoculated onto the starch-containing agar at the center of the Petri plate. After an appropriate incubation period, the plate is flooded with iodine solution, which reveals a dark bluish color on the substrate region and a clear region (due to hydrolysis) around the inoculum, indicating the utilization of starch by the microbial amylase. Gopinath et al. [7] applied this method to determine the amylase activity of *Aspergillus versicolor*, a swellest hard of *Penicillium* sp., in their preliminary study (Figure 7).

In the solution-based DNS method, the appropriate substrate and enzyme are mixed in the right proportion and reacted for 5 min at 50°C. After cooling to room temperature, the absorbance of the solution is read at 540 nm. Gusakov et al. [33] applied this method to detect the release of reducing sugars from substrate hydrolysis by *Bacillus* sp. amylase. They found that the amylase activity could reach up to 0.75 U mL⁻¹ after 24 h of incubation. Similarly, in the NS method, amylase and starch are mixed and incubated for 5 min at 50°C. Then, a Somogyi copper reagent is added to stop the reaction, followed by boiling for 40 min and a subsequent cool-down period. A Nelson arsenomolybdate reagent is then added and the mixture is incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Then, after diluting with water, the solution is centrifuged at high speed and the supernatant is measured at 610 nm [34]. Apart from these, several other methods are available for amylase screening, but all use the same substrate (starch).
7. Enhancing Microbial Amylase Production

The primary objective in amylase production enhancement is to perform basic optimization studies. This can be done either experimentally or by applying design of experiments (DOE) with further confirmation by the suggested experiments from the DOE [35, 36]. Several DOE methods have been proposed and, with the advancement of software, are capable of better predictions [35–38]. Gopinath et al. [8] performed an optimization study by using a Box-Behnken design, involving three variables (incubation time, pH, and starch as the substrate), for higher amylase production by the fungus A. versicolor. The laboratory experiments were in good agreement with the values predicted from DOE, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9798 confirming the higher production. Srivastava et al. [37] optimized the conditions for immobilizing amylase covalently, using glutaraldehyde as the crosslinker on graphene sheets. In this study, Box-Behnken-designed response surface methodology was used, with the efficiency of immobilization shown as 84%. This kind of study is important when molecules such as glutaraldehyde are used, owing to two aldehyde groups being available at both ends of the molecule. By optimization study, the chances of immobilizing a higher number of glutaraldehyde molecules can be predicted. In another study, the enzyme-assisted extraction and identification of antioxidative and α-amylase inhibitory peptides from Pinto beans were performed, using a factorial design with different variables (extraction time, temperature, and pH) [38]. Another way to enhance the action of amylase is by its encapsulation or entrapment on alginate or other beads (Figure 8). This method facilitates the slow and constant release of enzyme and increases its stability.

8. Industrial Applications of Microbial Amylase

Amylase makes up approximately 25% of the world enzyme market [1]. It is used in foods, detergents, pharmaceuticals, and the paper and textile industries [12, 21]. Its applications in the food industry include the production of corn syrups, maltose syrups, glucose syrups, and juices and alcohol fermentation and baking [1]. It has been used as a food additive and for making detergents. Amylases also play an important role in beer and liquor brewing from sugars (based on starch). In this fermentation process, yeast is used to ingest sugars, and alcohol is produced. Fermentation is suitable for microbial amylase production under moisture and proper growth conditions. Two kinds of fermentation processes have been followed: submerged fermentation and solid-state
Figure 7: Amylase production on agar plate. In this solid-based method, the starch-containing agar plate is pinpoint-inoculated with the microorganism at the center of the Petri plate. After an appropriate incubation period, flooding the plate with iodine solution reveals a dark bluish color on the substrate region. The clear region around the inoculum indicates the zone of hydrolysis. (a) Amylolytic activity by Aspergillus versicolor; (b) amylolytic activity by Penicillium sp.

fermentation. The former is the one traditionally used and the latter has been more recently developed. In traditional beer brewing, malted barley is mashed and its starch is hydrolyzed into sugars by amylase at an appropriate temperature. By varying the temperatures and conditions for α- or β-amylase activities, the unfermentable and fermentable sugars are determined. With these changes, the alcohol content and flavor and mouthfeel of the end product can be varied.

The potential industrial applications of enzymes are determined by the ability to screen new and improved enzymes, their fermentation and purification in large scale, and the formulations of enzymes. As stated above, different methods have been established for enzyme production. In the case of amylase, the crude extract can function well in most of the cases, but for specific industrial applications (e.g., pharmaceuticals), purification of the enzyme is required. This can be accomplished by ion-exchange chromatography, hydrophobic interaction chromatography, gel filtration, immunoprecipitation, polyethylene glycol/Sepharose gel separation, and aqueous two-phase and gradient systems [2], where the size and charge of the amylase determine the method chosen. Automated programming system with the above methods has improved the processes greatly.

With these developments, microbial amylase production has successfully replaced its production by chemical processes, especially in industry [39]. Production of amylase has been improved by using genetically modified strains that reduce the polymerization of maltose during amylolytic action [20]. For further improvement in the industrial process, the above-mentioned DOE and encapsulation methods can be implemented.

9. Future Perspectives

Among the different enzymes, amylase possesses the highest potential for use in different industrial and medicinal purposes. The involvement of modern technologies, such as white biotechnology, pinch technology, and green technology, will hasten its industrial production on a large scale. This will be further facilitated by implementation of established fermentation technologies with appropriate microbial species (bacteria or fungi) and complementation
of other biotechnological aspects. The technologies of high-throughput screening and processing with efficient microbial species, along with the ultimate coupling of genetic engineering of amylase-producing strains, will all help in enhancing amylase production for industrial and medicinal applications.
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