Optimal Lesion Size Index for Pulmonary Vein Isolation in High-Power Radiofrequency Catheter Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation
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Background: Although both high-power (HP) ablation and lesion size index (LSI) are novel approaches to make effective lesions during pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) for atrial fibrillation (AF), the optimal LSI in HP ablation for PVI is still unclear. Our study sought to explore the association between LSI and acute conduction gap formation and investigate the optimal LSI in HP ablation for PVI.

Methods: A total of 105 consecutive patients with AF who underwent HP ablation guided by LSI (LSI-guided HP) for PVI in our institute between June 2019 and July 2020 were retrospectively enrolled. Each ipsilateral PV circle was subdivided into four segments, and ablation power was set to 50 W with target LSI values at 5.0 and 4.0 for anterior and posterior walls, respectively. We compared the LSI values with and without acute conduction gaps after the initial first-pass PVI.

Results: PVI was achieved in all patients, and the incidence of first-pass PVI was 78.1% (82/105). A total of 6,842 lesion sites were analyzed, and the acute conduction gaps were observed in 23 patients (21.9%) with 45 (0.7%) lesion points. The gap formation was significantly associated with lower LSI (3.9 ± 0.4 vs. 4.6 ± 0.4, \( p < 0.001 \)), lower force-time integral (82.6 ± 24.6 vs. 120.9 ± 40.4 gs, \( p < 0.001 \)), lower mean contact force (5.7 ± 2.4 vs. 8.5 ± 2.8 g, \( p < 0.001 \)), shorter ablation duration (10.5 ± 3.6 vs. 15.4 ± 6.4 s, \( p < 0.001 \)), lower mean temperature (34.4 ± 1.4 vs. 35.6 ± 2.6°C, \( p < 0.001 \)), and longer interlesion distance (4.4 ± 0.3 vs. 4.3 ± 0.4 mm, \( p = 0.031 \)). As per the receiver operating characteristic analysis, the LSI had the highest predictive value for gap formation in all PVs segments, with a cutoff of 4.35 for effective ablation (sensitivity 80.0%; specificity 75.4%, areas under the curve: 0.87). The LSI of 4.55 and 3.95 had the highest predictive value for gap formation for the anterior and posterior segments of PVs, respectively.

Conclusion: Using LSI-guided HP ablation for PVI, more than 4.35 of LSI for all PVs segments showed the best predictive value to avoid gap formation for achieving effective first-pass PVI. The LSI of 4.55 for the anterior wall and 3.95 for the posterior wall were the best cutoff values for predicting gap formation, respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Radiofrequency (RF) ablation for pulmonary vein isolation (PVI) has become the standard treatment for patients with atrial fibrillation (AF) (1). Recent studies have shown that high-power (HP) ablation has been shown to be feasible and effective in achieving a high rate of PVI and reducing procedure complications (2–6). Previous in vivo and ex vivo studies have demonstrated that, in contrast to conventional low-power (LP) ablation, HP ablation generates a broader zone of direct resistive heating of tissue with a shorter temperature decay time, creating a larger diameter and lesser depth with similar lesion volumes compared with conventional LP ablation (7, 8), which can reduce the risk of steam pops and collateral damage to adjacent structures like the esophagus.

To control and minimize time-dependent deep tissue heat transfer, the ablation duration should be short (2–5 s or no more than 15 s at each location) in the HP setting (9–12). Nevertheless, the subjective determination of each site ablation duration preselected by the operators might lead to incomplete ablation lesions and subsequent increased likelihood of reconnection and gap formation of left atrium-pulmonary vein (LA-PV), which may cause recurrence of AF and atrial tachyarrhythmia/flutter (AT/AFL) (2, 11, 13). The lesion size index (LSI) is a multiparameter index incorporating power, contact force (CF), impedance, and time, and is found to be highly predictive of RF lesion width and depth in ex vivo studies, which is a better predictor of RF lesion dimensions than each of its components and is expected to be used as a surrogate end point to determine the duration of ablation (14–16). Recently, it was reported that the HP ablation guided by LSI (LSI-guided HP) could help manage the ablation duration and was shown to be feasible and effective for AF (17, 18). Nevertheless, although several studies have evaluated the optimal LSI cutoff value for predicting acute LA-PV conduction gaps in LP ablation (16, 19), the optimal LSI in HP ablation approach for PVI has yet to be determined. The aim of this study was to explore the efficacy of LSI-guided HP (50 W) ablation technique for PVI and further investigate the association between LSI values and acute conduction gap formation, and further evaluate the optimal LSI in HP ablation for PVI in patients with AF.

METHODS

Study Population
A total of 105 patients with AF who received LSI-guided HP (50 W) ablation for PVI at Fuwai hospital between June 2019 to July 2020 were consecutively enrolled in this study. Prior to the procedure, the patients were required to take anticoagulant agents for at least 4 weeks. The absence of thrombus in the LA was confirmed using cardiac CT angiogram or transesophageal echocardiogram before the procedure. The key exclusion criteria were 1) prior catheter or surgical ablation for AF; 2) valvular-related AF; 3) LA diameter > 55 mm, or left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) < 35%; 4) stroke, or transient ischemic attack within 6 months; and 5) pregnancy. All demographic and clinical data were extracted in the institutional medical record system. All patients signed informed consent forms, and the study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Fuwai Hospital.

LSI-Guided HP Ablation for PVI Procedure
The procedure was performed under conscious sedation anesthesia with fentanyl citrate. Local right cervical and groin anesthesia was performed with lidocaine 1%, 5–10 ml. Under fluoroscopy, the decapolar catheter was placed in the coronary sinus by the right internal jugular vein route. After a double transseptal puncture was performed from right femoral vein access, anticoagulation with heparin was initiated to maintain a target-activated clotting time of 250–350 s. Through transseptal access, a nonsteerable sheath (SL1, 8.5F; Abbott) and a steerable sheath (Agilis, 11.5F; Abbott) were placed into the LA. Then, the 10-pole circular mapping catheter (AFocus II, Abbott) and a CF-sensing catheter with a 3.5-mm tip electrode with six small irrigation holes (TactiCath Quartz; Abbott) were advanced into the LA via the above both sheaths. A three-dimensional electroanatomic mapping system (Ensite V5 system, Abbott) was used to perform an electro-anatomical map of the LA and PVs using the circular mapping catheter.

Contiguous point-by-point ipsilateral PVI for left PVs and right PVs was achieved guided by a three-dimensional mapping system. The decision to perform additional linear ablation depended on the LA substrate and the operator. All ablation lesions were performed using a power-controlled mode with the power limited to 50 W in both the anterior and posterior segments, temperature limit 43°C at 25 ml/min flow rate. The target CF was between 5 and 15 g with target LSI values at 5.0 and 4.0 for anterior and posterior walls, respectively (16). Once the target LSI was reached, the RF application was stopped, and the catheter was moved to an adjacent spot. The ablation duration should not be over 30 s for each ablation point, otherwise reablation was performed after adjusting CF. Surface 12-lead ECG and intracardiac electrograms were recorded continuously at a speed of 100 mm/s on LabSystem Pro (Bard Electrophysiology, Lowell, MA).

AutoMark Settings
In this study, PVI was conducted with the AutoMark system (Abbott), which automatically detects the ablation duration and calculates force–time integral (FTI) and LSI for each lesion only when the ablation catheter stays within the confined area. FTI was defined as the total CF integrated over the time of RF application. LSI is calculated and displayed in real time that aggregates CF and RF current data across time and is calculated as follows (15):

$$LSI = b_0 \left(1 - \frac{e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_1}} + b_2}{1 - e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_3}}} \right) \left(1 - b_3 + \frac{b_4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{e^{\frac{t}{\tau_5}}} \right)}{1 - \frac{1}{e^{\frac{t}{\tau_5}}}} \right)$$

where LSI is the lesion index (arbitrary units); $b_{0,5}$ are scaling constants; $F$ is a 6-s sliding window average of CF; $I$ is a 6-s sliding window average of RF current; and $T$ is time.

For catheter position stability, AutoMark settings for filter thresholds were the minimum marker time was 3 s, the marker
spacing was 6 mm, and the away time was 5 s. The lesion tag size was 4 mm, and the target interlesion distance (ILD) between the two neighboring lesions was 5 mm or less according to the recommendation of a previous study (20, 21).

**Pulmonary Vein Segments and Ablation Parameters**

For each ipsilateral pair of PVs, we divided the PV antrum into four regions, including two segments at the anterior wall and two segments at the posterior wall, respectively (as shown in Figure 1A). A total of 210 PV circles (840 PV segments) were analyzed and ablation points were assigned to each segment of the PV antrum. Allowing for a detailed ablation lesion analysis, the following parameters of each ablation site, including FTI, LSI, RF power, CF, RF duration, impedance drop (Δ-Imp), RF temperature, and ILD, were analyzed offline and quantitative measurements for the respective eight circumferential PVs segments were performed in each patient.

**The Definition of Conduction Gaps**

PVI was verified as the absence of any PV or LA potential in the PV antral ablation area using a circular catheter and/or the ablation catheter, and bidirectional conduction block between the PV and the LA were also assessed. First-pass PVI was defined if PVI was achieved following complete circumferential PV antral ablation surrounded by a line of contiguous ablation lesions. PVs were further assessed for acute conduction gap formation after a minimum 30-min waiting period of the first-pass completion of ipsilateral circumferential PVs ablation. The location of conduction gap was detected by using the circular catheter and ablation catheter, which was located just adjacent to the ablation line as close as possible, and was defined as a change of clear activation sequence or elimination of PV potential from the LA to PV caused by additional RF application. When one gap site included multiple ablation points with the target tag size, all of these ablation points were counted as gaps. For each subject, the ablation map was carefully reviewed and analyzed offline.

![FIGURE 1](A) Schematic diagrams of target LSI at different PVs segments and distribution of conduction gaps after first-pass PVI attempt. (B–D) The actual regional LSI, FTI, and CF at different PVs segments, respectively. LPV, left pulmonary vein; RPV, right pulmonary vein; LSPV, left superior pulmonary vein; LIPV, left inferior pulmonary vein; RSPV, right superior pulmonary vein; RIPV, right inferior pulmonary vein; L1 and R1, anterior inferior segments of LPV and RPV, respectively; L2 and R2, anterior superior segments of LPV and RPV, respectively; L3 and R3, posterior superior segments of LPV and RPV, respectively; L4 and R4, posterior inferior segments of LPV and RPV, respectively.
to identify the conduction gap localization for the respective 8 circumferential PVs segments.

**Statistical Analysis**
Continuous data are presented as mean ± SD, and dichotomous data are expressed as numbers and percentages. A comparison of continuous variables between different PVs segments was performed with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni post-hoc testing. A comparison of ablation characteristics with and without conduction gaps was performed using the unpaired samples t-test. The univariable and multivariable binary logistic regression analysis used parameters that have already been reported to have a relationship with conduction gaps, and the p-values were <0.05 to predict conduction gaps. The predictive value of different threshold levels of ablation parameters for conduction gaps was assessed using sensitivity, specificity, and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis. A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed with SPSS for Windows, version 22.0 (SPSS, Chicago, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Patient and Procedure Characteristics**
The clinical and procedure characteristics at baseline are summarized in **Table 1**. Of those, 76 (72.4%) were men. The mean age was 57.8 ± 9.8 years, and the mean body mass index was 26.0 ± 3.1 kg/m². The whole study cohort included 59 patients with paroxysmal AF and 46 patients with persistent AF with a mean LA diameter of 39.5 ± 5.5 mm and mean LVEF of 62.0 ± 5.9 %. All patients with targeted PVs (210 ipsilateral veins) were successfully isolated following RF ablation procedure. The first-pass PVI was achieved in 82 (78.1%) patients. The total RF duration for PVI per procedure was 30.4 ± 6.8 min, and the mean fluoroscopy time was 38.0 ± 27.7 s with mean ablation points of 65.6 ± 10.6.

Steam pops without pericardial effusion were found in 3 (0.04%) out of 6,842 lesions and in 3 (2.9%) out of 105 patients, including 2 in the anterior superior segments of right pulmonary vein (RPV) and 1 in the anterior ridge segment of left pulmonary vein (LPV). The mean CF, time and LSI at the site of the steam pops in three patients were 21 g, 6 s, 5.1; 25 g, 5 s, 5.6; 27 g, 6 s, 5.9, respectively. An arteriovenous fistula was found at the puncture site of the right femoral vein in three patients (2.9%) and one patient (1.0%) had a pseudoaneurysm. No esophageal injury, phrenic nerve injury, cardiac tamponade, or stroke occurred.

**Ablation Lesion Analysis**
As shown in **Figures 1B–D** and **Table 2**, the total number of RF application was 6,842 with 3,269 for the LPV circles and 3,573 for the RPV circles. Overall, the mean LSI value and FTI per lesion were 4.6 ± 0.4 and 120.6 ± 40.4 gs based on ablation duration of 15.4 ± 6.4 s and mean CF of 8.4 ± 2.8 g. The mean Δ-Imp (%) per lesion was 17.3 ± 6.9 Ω (13.8 ± 4.4 %), and the mean temperature per lesion was 35.5 ± 2.6°C. The mean ILD between two neighboring lesions was 4.3 ± 0.4 mm. Compared with the ablation lesion parameters of respective left and right posterior segments, left and right anterior segments have significantly higher mean CF and mean temperature, longer ILD and higher LSI (all p < 0.05), and tended to have higher FTI, longer ablation duration, and larger mean Δ-Imp.

**Gaps Distribution**
PVs' conduction gaps were detected at 45 (0.7%) points in 7 (87.5%) PV segments in 23 (21.9%) patients. The distribution of gaps within each segment of the PVs is illustrated in **Figure 1A**. The greatest number of gaps was 16 (35.6%) in the anterior inferior segments of LPV, followed by 9 (20.0%) in the posterior superior segments of RPV and 8 (17.8%) in the anterior ridge segment of LPV, no gaps were found in the anterior inferior segments of RPV. Moreover, gaps were concentrated in the anterior segments of PVs (27, 60.0%), which was significantly more than gaps (18, 40.0%) in the posterior segments of PVs.

**Ablation Parameters With and Without Gaps**
The ablation characteristics with and without gaps are shown in **Table 3**. Although the min CF and mean Δ-Imp were not significantly different between the two groups, the max and mean CF, as well as max, min, and mean temperature, were significantly lower, the ablation duration per RF application was significantly

---

**TABLE 1** Baseline clinical and procedure characteristics.

| Study patients (n = 105) |          |
|-------------------------|----------|
| Age, yrs                | 57.8 ± 9.8 |
| Male, %                 | 76 (72.4) |
| BMI, kg/m²              | 26.0 ± 3.1 |
| History of AF, mths     | 33.8 ± 30.6 |
| CHA2DS2-VASc score      | 1.3 ± 1.2  |
| Paroxysmal AF           | 59 (56.2) |
| Persistent AF           | 46 (43.8) |
| Complications           |          |
| Hypertension            | 43 (41.0) |
| Diabetes mellitus       | 9 (8.6)   |
| Coronary artery disease | 12 (11.4) |
| Stroke                  | 3 (2.9)   |
| Heart failure           | 6 (5.7)   |
| Echocardiography        |          |
| LAD, mm                 | 39.5 ± 5.5 |
| LVEF, %                 | 62.0 ± 5.9 |
| Procedure               |          |
| PVI only                | 67 (63.8) |
| Ablation points for PVI | 65.6 ± 10.8 |
| Ablation duration for PVI, min | 30.4 ± 6.8 |
| Fluoroscopy time for PVI, s | 38.0 ± 27.7 |
| Additional line ablation| 38 (36.2) |

The data are presented as the numbers (%) or the mean ± SD. BMI, body mass index; AF, atrial fibrillation; CHA2DS2-VASc score, congestive heart failure, hypertension, age (≥75 years), diabetes, stroke/transient ischemic attack, vascular disease, age (65-74 years), sex female; LAD, left atrial diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; PVI, pulmonary vein isolation.
The data are presented as the mean ± SD. "PV, pulmonary vein; CF, contact force; Δ-imp, impedance drop; ILD, interlesion distance; FTI, force–time integral; LSI, lesion size index." *p < 0.05 (compared with ablation lesion parameters of respective left and right posterior segments). Abbreviations of pulmonary vein segments are as shown in Figure 1.

The data are presented as the mean ± SD. "CF, contact force; Δ-imp, impedance drop; ILD, interlesion distance; FTI, force–time integral; LSI, lesion size index." *p < 0.05 (compared with ablation lesion parameters of respective left and right posterior segments). Abbreviations of pulmonary vein segments are as shown in Figure 1.

shorter, and the mean ILD was significantly longer in the lesions with gaps than those without gaps. Furthermore, the LSI (3.9 ± 0.4 vs. 4.6 ± 0.4, p < 0.001) and FTI (82.6 ± 24.6 vs. 120.9 ± 40.4 g/s, p < 0.001) were significantly lower in the gap group compared with the nongap group.

For anterior segments, the max, min and mean CF, and temperature were significantly lower, and the ablation duration per lesion was significantly shorter in the gap group compared with the nongap group. For posterior segments, mean CF was significantly lower, the ablation duration per lesion was significantly shorter, and the mean ILD was significantly longer in patients with gaps compared with those without gaps. Not only anterior segments but also posterior segments, both of the LSI and FTI, were significantly lower in the gap group compared with the nongap group (Table 4).

**Relationships Between Ablation Parameters and Gap Formation**

As shown in Table 5, after adjusting for confounding factors of the significant ablation parameters, the multivariable analysis has shown that LSI was identified as an independent predictor of acute conduction gap formation (odds ratio (OR): 0.62; 95% CI: 0.54 to 0.71, p < 0.001). Figure 2A presents the ROC curve analysis for LSI, FTI, CF, RF duration, Δ-imp, and ILD to determine the thresholds for predicting the presence of acute conduction gap formation. The area under the curve (AUC) values for LSI, FTI, CF, RF duration, Δ-imp, and ILD were 0.87, 0.79, 0.78, 0.75, 0.51, and 0.60, respectively. Compared to other ablation parameters, LSI showed the best predictive value with an AUC of 0.87 and the cutoff value of LSI on the ROC curve was 4.35 (sensitivity 80.0%; specificity 75.4%, p < 0.0001). Hence, the LSI of 4.35 showed the best predictive value for gap formation in all PV’s segments. In addition, following stratification by PV’s segments, the LSI of 4.55 had the highest predictive value for gap formation for the anterior segments (AUC0.90; sensitivity 96.3%; specificity 75.8%, p < 0.0001) and the lower LSI of 3.95 showed a relatively high sensitivity of 72.2% and specificity of 92.3% for posterior segments (AUC 0.85, p < 0.0001), respectively, as shown in Figures 2B, C.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we explored the efficacy of LSI-guided HP ablation technique for PVI and further elucidated the relationship
between LSI and gap formation, as well as the best cutoff value, to predict gap formation following LSI-guided HP ablation for PVI in a Chinese AF cohort. The most important finding of this study was that LSI-guided HP ablation contributed to isolation of all targeted PVs with a higher first-pass PVI rate. Furthermore, most of the conduction gaps were concentrated in anterior wall while no or few gaps were observed in the posterior wall, and LSI was significantly lower in the gap group compared with the nongap group. In addition, our results showed that LSI turned out to be a strong independent predictor of acute conduction gap formation, and more than 4.35 of LSI for all PVs’ segments showed the best predictive value to avoid gap formation for achieving effective first-pass PVI. The optimal LSI of 4.55 for the anterior wall and 3.95 for the posterior wall were the best cutoff values for detecting conduction gaps, respectively.

As is known, long-lasting, continuous, and transmural PVI has the greatest effect on the long-term atrial arrhythmia-free survival, and it is still a clinical challenge (1). Compared to conventional LP ablation, HP ablation distinctly increases resistive heating and decreases conductive heating, avoiding damage depth excessively and thus reducing the risk of adjacent tissue damage, especially esophageal injury (22, 23). Although HP ablation has already acted as a meaningfully efficient and safe strategy for treating AF, it did not significantly reduce the recurrence of AT/AFL compared with conventional LP ablation (24, 25). Recurrent AT/AFL was frequently associated with the reconnection of conduction gaps in the circumferential PVI lines, as well as extrapulmonary areas, following HP ablation (26, 27). Hence, optimization of procedural parameters, including power and duration for HP ablation, is critical for the creation of durable transmural lesions without collateral injury.

LSI is derived from a mathematical expression that incorporates power, CF, impedance, and time, which could predict accurately lesion dimensions by the experimental study and was reported to be related to higher single ablation success rate and lower rate of acute conduction gap formation, subsequently to minimize AT/AFL recurrence following PVI (15, 28). Thus, it is important to note that combining the advantage of both HP ablation and LSI may preferably improve the procedural efficacy. Using LSI-guided HP ablation strategy for PVI in our study, despite a relatively low CF of 8.4 ± 2.8 g in our series, all targeted PVs were successfully isolated with a shortening ablation duration of 15.4 ± 6.4 s without severe complications other than steam pop. The first-pass PVI rate was 78.1% in our study, and the incidence of first-pass PVI was reasonably higher and the subsequent incidence of acute conduction gap formation was quite lower when compared to previous LP ablation studies with an average of 61.8% of first-pass PVI (29). The development of tissue edema and subsequent nontransmural lesion, as well as loss of proper tissue CF or catheter dislodgement during prolonged LP ablation, may lead to a lower incidence of first-pass PVI and a higher probability of gap formation. On the contrary, when following an LSI-guided HP ablation strategy, the use of higher power translates into

### TABLE 4 | Comparison of ablation lesion characteristics of anterior and posterior segments with and without gaps.

|                        | Without gap (n = 3680) | With gap (n = 27) | P-value |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Max CF, g              | 26.5 ± 13.2            | 21.4 ± 12.9      | 0.049   |
| Min CF, g              | 1.1 ± 1.6              | 0.5 ± 0.9        | 0.001   |
| Mean CF, g             | 8.5 ± 3.1              | 4.8 ± 2.0        | <0.001  |
| Max temperature, °C    | 38.7 ± 3.2             | 36.2 ± 1.3       | <0.001  |
| Min temperature, °C    | 35.1 ± 2.9             | 32.9 ± 1.2       | <0.001  |
| Mean temperature, °C   | 36.4 ± 2.7             | 34.6 ± 1.2       | <0.001  |
| Mean Δ-Imp, Ω         | 18.0 ± 7.4             | 18.6 ± 8.7       | 0.638   |
| Mean Δ-Imp, %         | 14.2 ± 4.7             | 14.3 ± 5.6       | 0.908   |
| RF duration, s        | 16.9 ± 7.2             | 10.0 ± 3.5       | <0.001  |
| ILD, mm               | 4.4 ± 0.4              | 4.4 ± 0.3        | 0.721   |
| FTL, g                | 129.8 ± 42.9           | 86.4 ± 24.6      | <0.001  |
| LSI                   | 4.8 ± 0.4              | 3.9 ± 0.5        | <0.001  |

The data are presented as the mean ± SD. CF, contact force; Δ-Imp, impedance drop; ILD, interlesion distance; FTL, force-time integral; LSI, lesion size index.

### TABLE 5 | The univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis for predicting acute conduction gap formation.

|                        | Univariable | Multivariable |
|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
|                        | OR (95% CI) | P-value       | OR (95% CI) | P-value       |
| LSI                    | 0.98(0.52 - 0.62) | <0.001 | 0.62(0.54 - 0.71) | <0.001 |
| FTI                    | 0.94(0.93 - 0.95) | <0.001 | 1.02(0.99 - 1.05) | 0.156 |
| Mean CF                | 0.61(0.53 - 0.70) | <0.001 | 0.69(0.49 - 0.97) | 0.031 |
| RF duration            | 0.80(0.74 - 0.87) | <0.001 | 0.82(0.69 - 0.98) | 0.028 |
| Mean Δ-Imp             | 1.02(0.98 - 1.06) | 0.328 | 1.02(0.97 - 1.07) | 0.433 |
| ILD                    | 1.79(0.90 - 3.57) | 0.096 | 1.08(0.99 - 1.18) | 0.059 |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; LSI, lesion size index; FTI, force–time integral; CF, contact force; RF, radiofrequency; Δ-Imp, impedance drop; ILD, interlesion distance.
distinctly shorter ablation duration, HP ablation could improve the catheter stability in a short time, achieve transmural injuries by predominant resistive heating, and reduce the conduction gaps, generating a higher first-pass PVI rate (23). Furthermore, in recent POWER-FAST PILOT and PILOT-AF study (17, 18), the first-pass PVI rate was 57% and 73.8% following LSI-guided HP ablation, respectively, which were relatively lower than that in this study. In spite of the similar ablation parameter settings as our study, including energy power output and target LSI, a higher incidence of first-pass PVI in our study may potentially be attributed to the more remarkably shorter RF duration per lesion and better stable tissue contact.

Although the role of LSI in PVI during LP ablation has been well recognized (14, 16, 30), and the optimal LSI in HP ablation to create transmural lesions and avoid conduction gaps remains unclear. In this study, to our knowledge, we are the first to elucidate the relationship between LSI and gap formation, as well as the best cutoff value, to predict gap formation following HP ablation for PVI. Theoretically, increasing LSI values could generate larger lesions and enhance a higher probability of contiguity and transmurality, but bring a higher potential risk of collateral damage (15). It is of great importance to identify the optimal target LSI value providing the best compromise between efficacy and safety. In line with a previous study conducted by Wang et al. (31), we found that more gaps were frequently concentrated in the anterior wall than those in the posterior wall. Moreover, we detected that the LSIs were significantly lower in the gap group compared with the nongap group and low LSI was significantly related to the formation of conduction gap regardless of anterior or posterior segments of PVs. When combined in a multivariable model, LSI represented a strong independent predictor of acute conduction gap formation. On ROC curve analysis, an LSI threshold level of 4.35 was identified to predict gaps in all PVs segments. Considering the wall thickness of the posterior wall of LA thinner than the nonposterior wall, excessive HP ablation of LA posterior wall may result in a rapid rise in tissue temperature and thermal latency to cause overheating of the myocardium and thermal injury to the adjacent tissues (16, 31, 32).

In an ex vivo model, when the RF application was delivered under the same LSI, it is worth noting that HP ablation resulted in similar lesion volumes but significantly wider lesion when compared to conventional LP ablation (7, 32). The essential mechanism of different lesion geometries when reaching the same target LSI may be that the HP ablation could quickly produce stronger resistive heating which could create a wider surface lesion area, while conductive heating on the tissue surface was weakened by convective cooling through the blood flow and catheter irrigation flow. Therefore, the larger the lesion surface diameter, the lower is the likelihood of gap formation between lesions in LSI-guided HP ablation. It may explain why a relatively lower LSI threshold under HP ablation could predict gaps in our patients compared with the optimal LSI threshold of 5.25 reported by Kanamori et al. using conventional LP ablation (16, 30). Consequently, our results showed a reduced LSI target value would provide a reasonable approach to LSI-guided HP ablation for PVI, which may improve the procedural efficacy and avoid excessive ablation to minimize the occurrence of complications.
LIMITATIONS

First, this study was a retrospective and single-center study in a relatively small sample size cohort, which was therefore subject to a myriad of biases, particularly selection bias and statistical power limitations. Hence, results from the current data need to be confirmed by further large-scale prospective randomized controlled studies. Second, the procedure in our study was performed under conscious sedation anesthesia rather than deep sedation, and RF ablation may cause discomfort such as chest pain or coughing, body movement, and respiratory instability, which may interfere catheter stability, motion correction reference, the accuracy of three-dimensional electroanatomic mapping, and circumferential PV antral ablation lines. Third, given that the thickness of the PVs antrum is significantly different and LSI does not take into account regional variations in underlying left atrial thickness (31), gap formation therefore may be associated not only with LSI value but also with wall thickness for each ablation point. Further study on the relationship among LSI, wall thickness, and gap formation in the LSI-guided HP ablation is warranted. Fourth, although we analyzed the association between LSI and acute conduction gap formation, the relationship between LSI and redo mapping, as well as long-term AF recurrence, was not performed in our study. The long-term efficacy of LSI-guided HP ablation performed with the optimal LSI settings should be performed and validated in future study. Fifth, as similar results were reported in the previous studies, LSI-guided HP may further minimize the collateral thermal injury (35), whereas the incidence and severity of esophageal injury in this study remain unrevealed due to lack of application of continuous luminal esophageal temperature monitoring. Sixth, the ablation catheter with high irrigation is very efficient at cooling the catheter tip and the adjacent atrial tissue, which may affect the catheter tip temperature, Δ-Imp, and subsequent LSI value (36). Therefore, the results of this study were based on the HP ablation using an ablation catheter with 6 irrigation holes (TactiCath Quartz; Abbott), the optimal LSI value for HP ablation using an ablation catheter with 66 or 56 irrigation holes cannot be derived from our data. Finally, the underlying biophysical and pathophysiological mechanisms of the interaction between LSI and gap formation in different PVs segments following HP ablation are also needed to elucidate in further in vivo and ex vivo studies.

CONCLUSION

This study on LSI-guided HP ablation for PVI demonstrated that LSI was correlated with gap formation at different PVs segments and could be utilized as a surrogate end point to guide PVI. To achieve a higher first-pass PVI without acute conduction gaps, more than 4.35 of LSI for all PVs segments showed the best predictive value to avoid gap formation. In addition, the optimal LSI of 4.55 for the anterior segments and 3.95 for the posterior segments of PVs were the best cutoff values for predicting gap formation in LSI-guided HP ablation, respectively.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Fuwai Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

JW provided the design of the study, analyzed and interpreted data, drafted the manuscript, and approved the final version of the manuscript. CC participated in drafting the manuscript, analyzing and interpreting data. J-MC and YY assisted with the revising of the article. H-XN, WH, and SZ contributed to acquiring the patients’ clinical data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

REFERENCES

1. January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, Chen LY, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JJ, et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society in Collaboration With the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. Circulation. (2019) 140:e125–51. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000665

2. Kottmaier M, Popa M, Bourier F, Reents T, Cifuentes J, Semmler V, et al. Safety and outcome of very high-power short-duration ablation using 70 W for pulmonary vein isolation in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation. Europace. (2020) 22:388–93. doi: 10.1093/europace/euz342

3. Chen S, Schmidt B, Bordignon S, Teshoku S, Urban VC, Schulte Hahn B, et al. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation using ablation index-guided high-power technique: Frankfurt AI high-power 15-month follow-up. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2021) 32:616–24. doi: 10.1111/jce.14912

4. Brien J, Obeidat M, Kozhuharov N, Ding WY, Tovmassian L, Bierme C, et al. Procedural efficiencies, lesion metrics, and 12-month clinical outcomes for Ablation Index-guided 50 W ablation for atrial fibrillation. EP Europace. (2021) 23:878–86. doi: 10.1093/eurace/eua031

5. Naniwadekar A, Dukkipati SR. High-power short-duration ablation of atrial fibrillation: a contemporary review. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol. (2021) 44:528–40. doi: 10.1111/pcl.14167

6. Park JW, Yang SY, Kim M, Yu HT, Kim TH, Uhm JS, et al. Efficacy and safety of high-power short-duration radiofrequency catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation. Front Cardiovasc Med. (2021) 8:709585. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.709585

7. Bourier F, Duchateau J, Vlachos K, Lam A, Martin CA, Takigawa M, et al. High-power short-duration versus standard radiofrequency ablation: Insights on lesion metrics. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2018) 29:1570–5. doi: 10.1111/jce.13724

8. Borne RT, Sauer WH, Zipse MM, Zheng L, Tzou W, Nguyen DT. Longer duration versus increasing power during radiofrequency ablation yields...
different ablation lesion characteristics. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. (2018) 4:902–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jcef.2018.03.020
9. Reddy VY, Grimoldi M, De Potter T, Vigen JM, Bulava A, Duytschaever MF, et al. Pulmonary vein isolation with very high power, short duration, temperature-controlled lesions: the QDOT-FAST trial. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. (2019) 5:779–86. doi: 10.1016/j.jce.2019.04.009
10. Bunch TJ, Day JD. Novel ablative approach for atrial fibrillation to decrease risk of esophageal injury. Heart Rhythm. (2008) 5:624–7. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2007.11.007
11. Bunch TJ, May HT, Bair TL, Crandall BG, Cutler MJ, Mallender C, et al. Long-term outcomes after low power, slower movement versus high power, faster movement irrigated-tip catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. Heart Rhythm. (2020) 17:184–9. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2019.08.001
12. Mao ZJ, Pei Y, Lin H, Xiang Y, Huang QZ, Xiao FY, et al. Assessment of high-power catheter ablation in patients with atrial fibrillation: a meta-analysis. Front Cardiovasc Med. (2021) 8:609590. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2021.609590
13. Berte B, Hilfiker G, Russi I, Moccetti F, Cuculi F, Toggweiler S, et al. Pulmonary vein isolation using a higher power shorter duration CLOSE protocol with a surround flow ablation catheter. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2019) 30:2199–204. doi: 10.1111/jce.14122
14. Mattia LD, Crosato M, Indiani S, Causin E, Licciardello C, Maria Squasi PA, et al. Prospective evaluation of lesion index-guided pulmonary vein isolation technique in patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation: 1-year follow-up. J Atrial Fibrill. (2018) 10:1858. doi: 10.4022/jafib.1858
15. Calzolari V, De Mattia L, Indiani S, Crosato M, Furlanetto A, Licciardello C, et al. In vitro validation of the lesion size index to predict lesion width and depth after irrigated radiofrequency ablation in a porcine model. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2017) 3:1126–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jce.2017.08.016
16. Kanamori N, Kato T, Sakagami S, Saeki T, Kato C, Kawai K, et al. Optimal lesion size index to prevent conduction gap during pulmonary vein isolation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2018) 29:1616–23. doi: 10.1111/jce.13727
17. Leo M, Pedersen M, Rajappan K, Ginks MR, Hunter RJ, Bowers R, et al. Power, lesion size index and oesophageal temperature alerts during atrial fibrillation ablation. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. (2020) 13:e008316. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.120.008316
18. Castrejón-Castrejón S, Martínez-Cossian M, Ortega Molina M, Escobar C, Frolán Torres C, Gonzalo Bada N, et al. Feasibility and safety of pulmonary vein isolation by high-power short-duration radiofrequency application: short-term results of the POWER-FAST PILOT study. J Interv Card Electr. (2020) 57:57–65. doi: 10.1007/s10840-019-00645-5
19. Dello RA, Fassini GM, Casella M, Romanielli E, Pala S, Riva S, et al. Lesion index: a novel guide in the path of successful pulmonary vein isolation. J Interv Card Electr. (2019) 55:27–34. doi: 10.1007/s10840-018-0487-z
20. El HM, Taghji P, Philips T, Wolf M, Demolder A, Choudhury R, et al. Determinants of acute and late pulmonary vein reconnection in contact force-guided pulmonary vein isolation: identifying the weakest link in the Ablation Chain. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. (2017) 10:e004867. doi: 10.1161/CIRCEP.116.004867
21. Park CI, Lehrmann H, Keyl C, Weber R, Schiebeling J, Allgeier J, et al. Mechanisms of pulmonary vein reconnection after radiofrequency ablation of atrial fibrillation: the deterministic role of contact force and interlesion distance. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2014) 25:701–8. doi: 10.1111/jce.12396
22. Thiyagarajan A, Kadhim K, Lau DH, Emami M, Linz D, Khokhar K, et al. Feasibility, safety, and efficacy of posterior wall isolation during catheter ablation guided by ablation index or lesion size index. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2020) 31:196–204. doi: 10.1111/jce.14285
23. Takemoto M, Takami M, Fukuzawa K, Kiuchi K, Kurose J, Suehiro H, et al. Different tissue thermodynamics between the 40 W and 20 W radiofrequency power settings under the same ablation index/lesion size index. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2019) 31:196–204. doi: 10.1111/jce.14285
24. Chen S, Schmidt B, Seeger A, Bordignon S, Tohoku S, Willems F, et al. Catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation using ablation-index guided high power (50 W) for pulmonary vein isolation with or without esophageal temperature probe (the AI-HP ESO II). Heart Rhythm. (2020) 17:1833–40. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2020.05.029
25. Chen S, Chun K, Tohoku S, Bordignon S, Urbanek L, Willems F, et al. Esophageal endoscopy after catheter ablation of atrial fibrillation using ablation-index guided high-power: Frankfurt AI-HP ESO-I. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. (2020) 6:1253–61. doi: 10.1016/j.jaecp.2020.05.022
26. Winkle RA, Mohanty S, Patrawala RA, Mead RH, Kong MH, Engel G, et al. Low complication rates using high power (45–50 W) for short duration for atrial fibrillation ablations. Heart Rhythm. (2019) 16:165–9. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2018.11.031
27. Ullah W, Hunter RJ, Finlay MC, McLean A, Dhinoja MB, Sporton S, et al. Ablation index and surround flow catheter irrigation: impedance-based appraisal in clinical ablation. JACC Clin Electrophysiol. (2017) 3:1080–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jaecp.2017.03.01

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Cai, Wang, Niu, Chu, Hua, Zhang and Yao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine | www.frontiersin.org 9 April 2022 | Volume 9 | Article 869254