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Abstract. We present a definition of chaotic Delone set, and establish the genericity of chaos in the space of $(\epsilon,\delta)$-Delone sets for $\epsilon \geq \delta$. We also present a hyperbolic analogue of the cut-and-project method that naturally produces examples of chaotic Delone sets.

1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the relation between chaos theory and the dynamics of Delone sets. Introduced by Delone in the context of mathematical crystallography, Delone sets have been studied also from the viewpoints of arithmetics, topology and foliated spaces. Let us recall the definition of a Delone set.

Definition 1.1. Let $\epsilon, \delta > 0$. A subset $S$ of a metric space $X$ is $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone if,

(i) for every $x \in X$, there is some $y \in S$ with $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$ ($S$ is $\epsilon$-relatively dense), and

(ii) we have $d(x, y) \geq \delta$ for every $x, y \in S$, $x \neq y$ ($S$ is $\delta$-separated).

Given $\epsilon, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+$, let $\text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$ denote the set of $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The set $\text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$ has a canonical, compact, metrizable topology (the local rubber topology) such that the action of $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by

$$ \mathbb{R}^n \times \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} \to \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} $$

$$(v, S) \mapsto S - v := \{ s - v \mid s \in S \} $$

is a continuous action [1, Lem. 2.5]. Definition 1.1(ii) makes this action locally free, so that the orbits inherit a canonical smooth structure compatible with the topology.

There is a canonical way of obtaining dynamical systems from Delone sets [2, p. 10]: Let $S \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$ and let $[S]$ denote the orbit $S + \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $[S]$, the closure of $[S]$ in the aforementioned topology, is a compact space endowed with an $\mathbb{R}^n$-action; it consists of the Delone sets which are locally indistinguishable from $S$, and it is sometimes called the local isomorphism class of $S$ [3]. Since $S$ determines $[S]$, we may think of dynamical properties of $[S]$ as properties of $S$.

Chaos for group actions is usually characterized by three conditions [4]. The first two are topological transitivity and density of periodic orbits, and they admit a purely topological description. The last requirement is sensitivity on initial conditions, and is usually formulated in terms of a Lyapunov exponent [5]. This third condition seems harder to adapt to our setting. For example, in [6] and [7] there are
adaptations of the Lyapunov exponent for laminations endowed with a harmonic measure. In general \([S]\) may admit several different ergodic invariant measures \([8, \text{ Thm. } 1.7]\). Since we are only interested in the topological dynamics of \([S]\), we omit this condition in our definition of chaos, cf. \([9]\).

The preceeding discussion leads us to the following definition, analogous to that in \([10]\).

**Definition 1.2.** A Delone set \(S\) is almost (topologically) chaotic if the union of the periodic orbits is dense in \([S]\). We say that \(S\) is (topologically) chaotic if it is almost chaotic and aperiodic; that is, \(S - v \neq S\) for all \(v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}\).

To the authors' knowledge, such Delone sets have not been studied before. However, the analogous definition in the case of shift spaces is satisfied for well-known objects, such as subshifts of finite type. Also note that, by simple topological arguments, a repetitive tiling cannot satisfy the obvious analogue condition. In particular, this rules out substitution tilings and Euclidean cut-and-project tilings.

If \(S\) is almost chaotic, then \([S]\) satisfies the aforementioned requirements of topological transitivity and density of periodic orbits. We require aperiodicity in our definition of chaos because almost chaotic Delone sets include the degenerate case where there is a single compact orbit.

Recall that a property is topologically generic if it holds on a residual subset—i.e., a subset containing a countable intersection of open dense sets. This notion is well-behaved for Baire spaces, which in particular include compact, metrizable spaces by the Baire Category Theorem. The first main result of the paper establishes the topological genericity of chaos for \((\epsilon, \delta)-\text{Delone subsets of } \mathbb{R}^n\) when \(\epsilon \geq \delta\).

**Theorem 1.3.** If \(\epsilon \geq \delta\), then being chaotic is a generic property in \(\text{Del}_{\epsilon,\delta}\).

This result is similar to that obtained for colored graphs in \([10]\). The reason why we impose the condition \(\epsilon \geq \delta\) is that it is necessary for extension properties (Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4) that are essential ingredients in our proof. It is also easy to come with examples where \(\epsilon < \delta\) and Theorem 1.3 does not hold—e.g., all \((\delta/2, \delta)-\text{Delone sets in } \mathbb{R}\) are periodic.

The second aim of this paper is to obtain examples of chaotic Delone sets using a so-called cut-and-project construction on the Poincaré disk. Being discrete subsets of manifolds, Delone sets lie in a sort of middle ground between geometry and discrete mathematics. There are well-known examples of symbolic dynamical systems satisfying the obvious analogue of Definition 1.2—e.g., a two-sided version of Champernowne’s number \([11]\). A less trivial family of examples comes from the symbolic coding of geodesics in hyperbolic surfaces. This research was initiated by Hadamard in \([12]\) and continued by Morse in \([13, 14]\), among others. In the particular case of the modular surface, there is an approach for symbolic coding of geodesics that is closer to number theory. In \([15]\) the reader can enjoy a nice exposition of these methods and their historical development. All of the aforementioned approaches take advantage of the well-known chaotic properties of the geodesic flow in compact hyperbolic surfaces to construct chaotic symbolic dynamical systems.

Our method, while related to that described in the previous paragraph, is more geometrical in nature, and naturally yields subsets of \(\mathbb{R}\) instead of a coding of \(\mathbb{Z}\). It is also inspired by the projection method in tiling theory, see \([16]\). In our case, we will orthogonally project subsets of an orbit of torsion-free uniform lattices \(\Gamma\) in the hyperbolic plane \(\mathbb{H}^2\) onto a geodesic. This construction is not guaranteed
to produce Delone sets in the general case. We prove a necessary and sufficient condition for this to hold, and present a specific example.

Let us fix a torsion-free uniform lattice $\Gamma$ of $\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{R})$, a positive number $\rho$ and a point $x$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$. For a geodesic $\ell$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$, let $p_\ell : E_\ell \to \ell$ be the orthogonal projection from the open tubular neighbourhood of $\ell$ of radius $\rho$, and define

$$S_\ell = p_\ell (E_\ell \cap \Gamma x)$$

(see Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Construction of $S_\ell$ in $\mathbb{H}^2$. The black dots represent points in $\Gamma x$, the blue area is $E_\ell$, the red dots represent points in $S_\ell$.](image)

In order to state our result, we need to fix the following terminology: From now on, let $\Sigma = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2$ be a compact hyperbolic surface. Given a closed disk $D$ on $\Sigma$, a geodesic $\sigma$ on $\Sigma$ is said to have one-sided tangency with $\partial D$ if $\sigma$ is tangent to $\partial D$ at every point in $\sigma \cap \partial D$, and we can take an orientation of the normal bundle of $\sigma$ so that the outward vector of $\partial D$ at every tangential is positive. In Section 4 we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** With the above notation, assume that the orbit of the geodesic flow that consists of the unit tangent vectors of the projection of $\ell$ to $\Sigma$ is dense in $S^1(T\Sigma)$ and $d(\ell, y) \neq \rho$ for every $y \in \Gamma x$. Then $S_\ell$ is Delone if and only if:

(A) We have $\rho < \text{inj}(\Sigma, x_0)$. Here $x_0 = \Gamma x$ and $\text{inj}(\Sigma, x_0)$ is the injectivity radius of $\Sigma$ at $x_0$, which is clearly equal to $\frac{1}{2} \min \{ d(y, z) \mid y, z \in \Gamma x, y \neq z \}$.

(B) Any geodesic on $\Sigma$ intersects the closed disk $\Delta$ of radius $\rho$ centred at $x_0$, and there exists no geodesic with one-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$.

If $S_\ell$ is Delone, then it is chaotic.

By Hedlund’s theorem ([17], see also [18] and references therein), the orbits of the geodesic flow that are dense in the unit tangent bundle of $\Sigma$ form a conull set in the space of geodesics.
It is not easy to check Condition (B) in the last theorem with given $\Gamma$, $\rho$, $x$ and $\ell$, but it is possible for the following example.

**Example 1.5.** Let us construct a Riemann surface $\Sigma$ of genus two as follows:

Take a hyperbolic 12-gon $P$ with alternating internal angles $\pi/3$ and $2\pi/3$, all side lengths the same. Identify the sides via the pattern

$$A - B - C - A - D - C - E - D - F - E - B - F$$

going around the boundary (see Figure 3). There are 3 orbits of vertices, two made up of three vertices and one made up of 6. It is easy to see that the quotient has genus 2 by using the Euler characteristic $3 - 6 + 1 = -2$.

![Figure 3. A 12-gon P](image)

![Figure 4. A triangle T](image)

Let $\Gamma < \text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{R})$ be the lattice that corresponds to $\Sigma$. Take $x \in \mathbb{H}^2$ so that $x$ is projected to the barycentre $x_0$ of $P$. Let $\mu$ denote the injectivity radius of $\Sigma$ at $x_0$. Let $\rho$ be a positive number such that $0 < \mu - \rho \ll 1$. In the sequel we will see that, for any geodesic $\ell$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$ that satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1.4, the quadruple consisting of $\Gamma$, $x$, $\rho$ and $\ell$ satisfies Conditions (A) and (B) in Theorem 1.4. Firstly, note that our choice of $\rho$ ensures that Condition (A) is satisfied. For $r > 0$, let $\Delta_r$ be the closed disk on $\Sigma$ centred at $x_0$ of radius $r$. By the symmetry of the 12-gon $P$, the disk $\Delta_\mu$ is tangent to all edges of $P$. In order to show that Condition (B) holds, it is sufficient to show that any geodesic on $\mathbb{H}^2$ intersects $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_\rho)$, where $\pi : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the universal covering projection and $\Delta_\rho$ is the interior of $\Delta_\mu$. Assume that there exists a geodesic $k$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$ contained in $\mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_\rho)$. Here $\pi^{-1}(\partial \Delta_\mu)$ is a circle packing of $\mathbb{H}^2$. Since each angle of $P$ is equal to either of $\pi/6$ or $\pi/3$, we can see that any connected component of $\mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \pi^{-1}(\Delta_\rho)$ is either a triangle or a hexagon. Since each hexagon is adjacent to triangles, $k$ intersects a triangle $T$. Since $\rho$ is sufficiently close to $m$, the geodesic $k$ should be close to two vertices $v, w$ of $T$. Thus $k$ is close to the geodesic segment $vw$. Since $\Delta_\rho$ is geodesically convex, the segment $vw$ is contained in $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_\mu)$ (see Figure 4). It follows that $k$ intersects $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_\rho)$. 
It is easy to modify this example to construct an example with $\Sigma$ a closed Riemann surface of arbitrary genus $> 1$.

**Remark 1.6.** If $\mu \leq \rho$, then $S_\ell$ is not $r$-separated for any $r > 0$ by the last theorem. But in some cases we can obtain almost chaotic Delone sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{Z}$ by modifying $S_\ell$. We can see that, if $\rho$ is close to $m/2$, there cannot be three points in $S_\ell$ that are close to each other. Replacing every pair of points which are close to each other with their midpoint, we have a chaotic Delone set in $\ell$.

2. Preliminaries

Let $X$ be a metric space, let $x \in X$ and $r > 0$. We will use $D_X(x, r)$ and $S_X(x, r)$ to denote, respectively, the disk or closed ball and the sphere of centre $x$ and radius $r$. We will omit subscripts when no confusion may arise.

The canonical topological structure on $\text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$ has received several names, including “natural topology” [8], “vague topology” [19], and “local rubber topology” [2]. Let $\tilde{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the origin, and let $U$ and $U'$ denote open neighbourhoods of $\tilde{0}$, with $U$ precompact. The local rubber topology mentioned in the introduction is induced by the entourage base determined by the sets

$N_{U, U'} := \{(S, S') \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} \times \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} | S \cap U \subset S' + U' \text{ and } S' \cap U \subset S + U'\}$.

For notational convenience, let $N_r$ denote the set $N_{B(\tilde{0}, r), B(\tilde{0}, 1/r)}$ for $r > 0$. For $S \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$, let

$N_{U, U'}(S) = \{S' \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} | (S, S') \in N_{U, U'}\}$,

$N_r(S) = \{S' \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} | (S, S') \in N_r\}$.

For $A, B, C, D$ open neighbourhoods of $\tilde{0}$, with $A$ and $B$ relatively compact, one has [2] p. 9

$$N_{A+B, B} \circ N_{C+D, D} \subset N_{A+C, 2(B+D)},$$

where $2(B \cup C) = (B \cup C) + (B \cup C)$.

Once we have provided neighbourhood bases for $\text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$, the following lemma follows trivially from Definition 1.2

**Lemma 2.1.** An $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone set $S$ is almost chaotic if and only if, for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a periodic Delone set $S' \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$ such that $(S, S') \in N_r$ and, for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $(S - x, S') \in N_s$.

The following lemmas will be used in the next section. The first one follows by applying Zorn’s lemma to $\epsilon$-relatively dense sets (see Álvarez-Candel [20, Proof of Lemma 2.1]).

**Lemma 2.2.** Every $\delta$-separated subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is contained in a $(\delta, \delta)$-Delone set.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $\epsilon \geq \delta$, let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and let $S$ be an $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. There is an $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone set $S'$ on $A$ such that $S$ and $S'$ coincide over the subset

$A_\epsilon := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | D(x, \epsilon) \subset A\}$.

**Proof.** Consider the collection of $\delta$-separated subsets $M$ of $A$ such that $M \cap A_\epsilon = S \cap A_\epsilon$. By Zorn’s Lemma, $S \cap A$ is contained in a maximal such subset $S'$. We only need to prove that $S'$ is $\epsilon$-relatively dense in $A$, so let $x \in A$ and let us prove $d(x, S') \leq \epsilon$. If $x \in A_\epsilon$, the assumption that $S$ is a Delone set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ means that
there is some \( s \in S \) with \( d(x,s) \leq \epsilon \). But \( s \in A \) by the triangle inequality and \( S \cap A \subset S' \), so \( s \in S' \) and \( d(x,S') \leq \epsilon \). Consider now the case where \( x \in A \setminus A_c \), and suppose by absurdity that \( d(x,S') > \epsilon \geq \delta \). Then \( S' \cup \{x\} \) is a \( \delta \)-separated subset of \( M \) strictly containing \( S' \) and satisfying \( (S' \cup \{x\}) \cap A_c = S \cap A_c \). This contradicts the maximality of \( S' \), so \( d(x,S') \leq \epsilon \).

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose \( \epsilon \geq \delta \), and let \( A \) be a subset of either \( \mathbb{R}^n \) or \( \mathbb{T}^n \). Then, for any \((\epsilon, \delta)\)-Delone set \( N \) in \( A \), there is an \((\epsilon, \delta)\)-Delone set \( S \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) or \( \mathbb{T}^n \) such that \( S \cap A = N \).

**Proof.** We will write the proof for \( A \in \mathbb{R}^n \), the case where \( A \in \mathbb{T}^n \) being identical. Consider the collection of subsets \( M \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A \) such that \( N \cup M \) is \( \delta \)-separated.

By Zorn’s Lemma, there is such a subset \( L \) that is maximal by inclusion. Then \( S := N \cup L \) trivially satisfies \( S \cap A = N \) and is \( \delta \)-separated by the definition of \( N \). Let us prove that it is also a \( \epsilon \)-relatively dense, so let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). If \( x \in A \), then by hypothesis \( d(x,N) \leq \epsilon \). If \( x \notin A \) and \( d(x,S) > \epsilon \geq \delta \), then \( S \cup \{x\} \) is \( \delta \)-separated, contradicting the maximality of \( L \). \( \square \)

### 3. Genericity of chaotic Delone sets

This section contains the proof of Theorem 1.3. We start by proving that aperiodicity is a generic property. Let \( 0 < \alpha < \delta/4 \) and, for \( q \in \mathbb{Q}^n \), let

\[
V_q = \{ S \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon,\delta} \mid \exists x \in S, \ D(x-q,\alpha) \cap S = \emptyset \}.
\]

**Proposition 3.1.** The subsets \( V_q \subset \text{Del}_{\epsilon,\delta} \) are open for \( q \in \mathbb{Q}^n \).

**Proof.** Let \( S \in V_q \), so that there is some \( x \in S \) such that \( d(x-q,S) = \beta > \alpha \). Let \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) be large enough depending on \( x, q, \alpha, \) and \( \beta \), and let \( S' \in N_r(S) \). If \( r > |x| \), then the definition of \( N_r(S) \) ensures that there is some \( y \in S' \) with \( d(x,y) < 1/r \). Suppose that there exists some \( z \in B(y-q,\alpha) \cap S' \). If

\[
r - 1/r > |x| + |q| + \alpha,
\]

then \( z \in B(0,r) \). Therefore, by the definition of \( N_r(S) \), there is some \( z' \in S \) with \( d(z,z') < 1/r \). We may assume that \( \alpha + 2/r < \beta \). Then the triangle inequality yields \( d(x-q,z') < \beta \), a contradiction. Therefore \( S' \subset V_q \) and, since \( S' \) was an arbitrary element of \( N_r(S) \), we get \( N_r(S) \subset V_q \). \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.2.** The sets \( V_q \) are dense in \( \text{Del}_{\epsilon,\delta} \) for \( q \in \mathbb{Q}^n \).

**Proof.** Let us start by proving that there is some \( S \in V_q \) satisfying the condition in (3.1) with \( x = \bar{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Assume first that \( q \) has all coordinates equal to \( 0 \) except the first one. If \( |q| + \alpha < \delta \), then any \( S \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon,\delta} \) with \( \bar{0} \in S \) satisfies the condition in (3.1) with \( x = \bar{0} \) because it is \( \delta \)-separated, so assume that \( |q| + \alpha \geq \delta \). Let \( y = q + (2\alpha,0,\ldots,0) \), and let \( S \) be a \((\delta,\delta)\)-Delone set containing \( \bar{0} \) and \( y \), which exists by Lemma 2.2. Since

\[
D(q,\alpha) \subset D(y,3\alpha) \subset D(y,\delta)
\]

by the triangle inequality, we get that \( S \) satisfies (3.1) with \( x = \bar{0} \). The same strategy applies for general \( q \in \mathbb{Q}^n \) after applying a suitable rotation.

Let us prove that \( V_q \) is dense, so let \( S' \subset \text{Del}_{\epsilon,\delta} \). By Lemma 2.4 for \( r, s \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( y \) far enough from \( \bar{0} \), there is an \((\epsilon, \delta)\)-Delone set \( S'' \) such that

\[
S' \cap B(\bar{0},r) = S'' \cap B(\bar{0},r)
\]
and
\[ y + (S \cap B(0, s)) = S'' \cap B(y, s), \]
where \( S \) is the Delone set constructed in the previous paragraph. It is clear that, for \( s > \delta + \alpha, S'' \) satisfies the condition in (3.1) with \( x = y \). Therefore, given an arbitrary \( S' \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} \) and \( r > 0 \), we have produced a Delone set \( S'' \in V_q \) such that \( S'' \in N_r(S') \), and the lemma follows. \( \square \)

Lemma 3.3. The set \( \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^n} V_q \) consists of aperiodic Delone sets.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that there are \( S \in \bigcap_{q \in \mathbb{Q}^n} V_q \) and \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \) such that \( S - v = S \). In particular, this implies that, for every \( q \in \mathbb{Q}^n \) and \( \epsilon, \delta \), \( d(z - q, S) \leq |v - q| \). When \( |q - v| < \alpha \), we obtain a contradiction with the definition of \( V_q \) in (3.1). \( \square \)

Corollary 3.4. Aperiodicity is a generic property in \( \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} \) for \( \epsilon \geq \delta \).

Proof. By Propositions 3.2 and 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, \( \bigcap_q V_q \) is a residual subset consisting of aperiodic Delone sets. \( \square \)

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will now show that being almost chaotic is also a generic property. Let \( v_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \), denote the standard basis of \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

Definition 3.5. For \( m, m' \in \mathbb{N} \), let \( W_{m,m'} \subset \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} \) be the subset of \( (\epsilon, \delta) \)-Delone sets satisfying the following conditions:

(i) there is some \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \((S,S - x) \in N_m\), and

(ii) for any integer coefficients \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) with \( |a_i| \leq m' \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), we have
\[
(S - x, S - x - (m + \delta + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i v_i) \in N_{m'}.
\]

Proposition 3.6. The sets \( W_{m,m'} \) are open for \( m, m' \in \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. Let \( S \in W_{m,m'} \). We will show that there is some \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( N_l(S) \subset W_{m,m'} \). By the definition of \( W_{m,m'} \), there is some \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) satisfying Definition 3.5 (i) and (ii). Since the sets \( \{N_r\} \) are open for \( r > 0 \) and any Delone set in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is locally finite, there are \( m > \tilde{m} > 0 \) and \( m' > \tilde{m}' > 0 \) such that
\[
(S,S - x) \in N_{\tilde{m}}, \quad (S - x, S - x - (m + \delta + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i v_i)) \in N_{\tilde{m}'},
\]
for \( |a_i| \leq m' \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). By (2.1), we can choose \( l \) large enough so that \( N_l \circ N_{\tilde{m}} \circ N_l \subset N_{\tilde{m}'} \) and \( N_l \circ N_{\tilde{m}} \circ N_l \subset N_{m'} \). It is now a trivial matter to check that every \( S' \in N_l(S) \) satisfies Definition 3.5. \( \square \)

Proposition 3.7. If \( \epsilon \geq \delta \), then the subsets \( W_{m,m'} \) are dense in \( \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} \) for \( m, m' \in \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. Let \( S \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta} \) and \( l \in \mathbb{N} \). Identify the \( n \)-torus \( \mathbb{T}^n \) with the quotient of the square \([-m - \delta - \epsilon, m + \delta + \epsilon]^n \) that identifies opposite faces. Let \( \pi: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n \) denote the quotient map. By Lemma 2.3 there is a \( (\epsilon, \delta) \)-Delone set \( S' \) on \([-m - \epsilon, m + \epsilon]^n \) satisfying
\[
S' \cap [-m, m]^n = S \cap [-m, m]^n.
\]
Then $\pi(S' \cap [-m - \epsilon, m + \epsilon]^n)$ is a $\delta$-separated subset and $\epsilon$-relatively dense in $\pi([-m - \epsilon, m + \epsilon]^n)$, so applying Lemma 2.4 we may enlarge it to an $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone set $T$ on $\mathbb{T}^n$ that satisfies

$$
\pi(S \cap [-m, m]^n) = T \cap \pi([-m, m]^n)
$$

Choose $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ sufficiently far from 0, and lift $T \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ to an $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone set $\hat{T}$ on a “grid” of fundamental domains given by the squares with centres $x + \sum a_i v_i$ and length $2(m + \delta + \epsilon)$, as illustrated in Figure 5. Using Lemma 2.4, complete the disjoint union

$$
\hat{T} \sqcup (S \cap [-l, l]^n)
$$

to an $(\epsilon, \delta)$-Delone set $\hat{S}$ satisfying

$$
\hat{S} \cap [-l, l]^n = S \cap [-l, l]^n
$$

and

$$
\hat{S} \cap [x - m'(m + \delta + \epsilon), x + m'(m + \delta + \epsilon)]^n = \hat{T} \cap [x - m'(m + \delta + \epsilon), x + m'(m + \delta + \epsilon)]^n.
$$

Then $\hat{S}$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 3.5 with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We have shown that, for every $S \in \text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $\hat{S} \in W_{m, m'} \cap N_l(S)$. This establishes the density of $W_{m, m'}$.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.8.** The set $\bigcap_{m, m'} W_{m, m'}$ consists of almost chaotic Delone sets.

**Proof.** Let $S \in \bigcap_{m, m'} W_{m, m'}$ and fix a neighbourhood $N_l(S)$ ($l \in \mathbb{N}$). Let $m > l$. For every $m'$ there is a point $x_{m'} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $(S, S - x_m) \in N_m$ and, for any integer coefficients $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ with $|a_i| \leq m'$, we have

$$
(S - x_{m'}, S - x_{m'} - (m + \delta + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i v_i)) \in N_{m'}.
$$

Since $\text{Del}_{\epsilon, \delta}$ is compact, the sequence $(S - x_{m'})_{m' \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence converging to some $S' \in [S]$, and $(S, S') \in U_l$ because $l < m$. Moreover, for $m'$ large enough and $|a_i| \leq m'$, we have

$$
(S - x_{m'}, S - x_{m'} - (m + \delta + \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i e_i)) \in N_{m'}.
$$
By continuity we obtain
\[(S', S' - (m + \delta + \epsilon) \sum (a_i e_i)) \in N_{m'}\]
for every \(m' \in \mathbb{N}\). This means \((m + \delta + \epsilon) \mathbb{Z}^n \subseteq \text{Aut}(S)\), hence \(S'\) is periodic. We
have proved that, for any \(S \in \bigcap_{m,m'} W_{m,m'}\), there are periodic Delone sets in \([S]\) arbitrarily close to \(S\), and the result follows. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.9.** Being almost chaotic is a generic property in \(\text{Del}_{\epsilon,\delta}\) for \(\epsilon \geq \delta\).

**Proof.** The set \(\bigcap_{m,m'} W_{m,m'}\) is a residual subset consisting of almost chaotic Delone sets by Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 and Lemma 3.8. \(\square\)

The combination of Corollaries 3.4 and 3.9 gives Theorem 1.3.

4. Cut-and-project construction on the Poincaré disk

In this section we will present a geometric example of a chaotic Delone set on \(\mathbb{R}\) by proving Theorem 1.4.

As we will see in the course of the proof of Theorem 1.4, it turns out that it is more natural to consider a variant of the hyperbolic cut-and-project set \(S_\ell\) in Theorem 1.4. Let us fix some notation first: Fix a torsion-free uniform lattice \(\Gamma\) of \(\text{PSL}(2; \mathbb{R})\), a positive number \(\rho\) and a point \(x\) on \(\mathbb{H}^2\) throughout this section. Let \(\Sigma = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^2\) be the compact hyperbolic surface obtained from \(\Gamma\). From now on, all geodesics on \(\mathbb{H}^2\) and \(\Sigma\) are assumed to be parametrised by arc-length. The image of a geodesic \(k: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{H}^2\) is denoted by the same symbol \(k\), and it is identified with \(\mathbb{R}\) via the arc-length parametrisation. Thus subsets of the image of geodesics on \(\mathbb{H}^2\) are regarded as subsets of \(\mathbb{R}\). We orient the normal bundle of \(k\) with the orientation induced from the standard orientation of \(\mathbb{H}^2\) and the orientation of \(k\).

We will consider the following variant of \(S_\ell\) in Theorem 1.4.

**Definition 4.1.** Let \(k\) be a geodesic on \(\mathbb{H}^2\). Let \(E_k\) be the open tubular neighbourhood of \(k\) of radius \(\rho\) in \(\mathbb{H}^2\). Let \(\partial^+ E_k\) be the connected component of the boundary of \(E_k\) that is the positive with respect to the orientation of the normal bundle of \(k\). Let
\[
E_k^+ = E_k \cup \partial^+ E_k, \quad S_k^+ = p_k(\overline{E_k^+} \cap \Gamma x),
\]
where \(p_k: \mathbb{H}^2 \to k\) is the orthogonal projection.

We fix throughout this section a geodesic \(\ell\) on \(\mathbb{H}^2\) such that the orbit of the geodesic flow that consists of the unit tangent vectors of the projection of \(\ell\) is dense in the unit tangent bundle of \(\Sigma\). As we will see, \(S_\ell^+\) always has a chaotic nature. However, it may not be Delone in general. We will show the following generalization of Theorem 1.4 to \(S_\ell^+\), which characterises when it holds.

**Theorem 4.2.** With the above notation, \(S_\ell^+\) is Delone if and only if:

(A) \(\rho < \text{inj}(\Sigma, x_0)\), where \(x_0 = \Gamma x\) and \(\text{inj}(\Sigma, x_0)\) is the injective radius of \(\Sigma\) at \(x_0\).

(B) Any geodesic on \(\Sigma\) intersects the closed disk \(\Delta\) of radius \(\rho\) centred at \(x_0\), and there exists no geodesic with one-sided tangency with \(\partial \Delta\).

If \(S_\ell^+\) is Delone, then it is chaotic.
This result is slightly more general than Theorem 4.1. Indeed, in Theorem 4.1, we assume that \(d(\ell, y) \neq \rho\) for any \(y \in \Gamma x\) which implies that \(S^+_k = S_k\).

First we show the chaotic nature of \(S^+_k\). In order to do so, we will use a classical result of Anosov on the chaotic nature of the geodesic flow on \(\Sigma\).

**Theorem 4.3** ([21], for English translation, see [22]). The union of closed orbits is dense in the unit tangent bundle of \(\Sigma\).

We will say that a geodesic \(k\) on \(\mathbb{H}^2\) is \(\Sigma\)-closed if \(k\) is projected on a closed geodesic on \(\Sigma\). For a \(\Sigma\)-closed geodesic \(k\), it is easy to see the sets \(S_k\) and \(S^+_k\) associated with \(k\) is periodic. We will prove that \(S^+_k\) is almost chaotic by approximating \(S^+_k\) with such periodic \(S_k\) and \(S^+_k\) based on the characterisation of the almost chaotic property in Lemma 2.1. However, if there are \(y \in \Gamma x\) such that \(d(k, y) = \rho\), it may violate the approximation of \(S^+_k\) by \(S_k\) with \(\Sigma\)-closed geodesics \(k\). As we will see, the set \(S^+_k\) behaves better than \(S_k\) in this approximation (see Remark 4.5).

In the following lemma we will use \(N_r\) \((r > 0)\) in a situation more general than in Section 2; let \(N_r\) be the set consisting of all pairs \((T, T')\) of subsets of \(\mathbb{R}\) such that

\[T \cap [-r, r] \subset T' + [-1/r, 1/r], \quad T' \cap [-r, r] \subset T + [-1/r, 1/r].\]

Now we will show the following, which implies the chaotic nature of \(S^+_k\).

**Lemma 4.4.**

(i) For any \(r > 0\), there exists a \(\Sigma\)-closed geodesic \(k\) such that \((S^+_k, S^+_k) \in N_r\).

(ii) For any \(s > 0\) and any geodesic \(k\) on \(\mathbb{H}^2\), there exists \(a \in \mathbb{R}\) such that \((S^+_k - a, S^+_k) \in N_s\).

**Proof.** Take any \(r > 0\) and consider the interval \(I = \ell([-r, r])\). Let \(v = \frac{d(\ell(y), y)}{\rho}\) for any \(y \in \Gamma x\). By Theorem 4.3, we can take a unit vector \(w\) tangent to a \(\Sigma\)-closed geodesic \(k\) and arbitrarily close to \(-v\). Let \(Z\) be the subset of all points \(z\) in \(\Gamma x\) such that \(d(I, z) \leq \rho\). For \(m = k, \ell\), let \(E^+_m\) be the union of the open tubular neighbourhood of \(m\) of radius \(\rho\) in \(\mathbb{H}^2\) and its positive boundary, as in Definition 4.1. We may assume that the tangent vector \(w\) of \(k\) at \(t = 0\) is sufficiently close to \(-v\), so that \(I\) is contained in the positive component of \(E_k \backslash k\) and \(J\) is contained in the positive component of \(E_\ell \backslash \ell\), where \(J = k([-r, r])\). Since \(Z\) is finite, by replacing \(k\) with a \(\Sigma\)-closed geodesic closer to \(I\), we can assume the following:

- for any \(z \in Z\), we have \(z \in E^+_\ell\) if and only if \(z \in E^+_k\),
- \(d(\ell(y), y) < 1/2r\) for any \(y \in J\), where \(\ell : J \to I\) is the unique orientation reversing isometry, and
- \(d(p_k(z), p_k(z)) < 1/2r\) for any \(z \in Z\), where \(p_k : \mathbb{H}^2 \to k\) is the orthogonal projection.

By the first condition, we have \(S^+_k \cap I \subset p_k(Z)\) and \(S^+_k \cap J \subset p_k(Z)\). For any \(z \in Z\), by the second and third conditions, we have

\[d(p_k(z), \ell(p_k(z))) < d(p_k(z), p_k(z)) + d(p_k(z), \ell(p_k(z))) < 1/r.\]

Since \(\ell(0) = k(0)\), it follows that \((S^+_k, S^+_k) \in N_r\). This completes the proof of (i).

For (ii), take any \(s > 0\) and any geodesic \(k\) on \(\mathbb{H}^2\). Let \(w = \frac{d(\ell(0), 0)}{\rho}\). Since the unit tangent vectors of the projection of \(\ell\) is dense in \(S^1(T\Sigma)\) by assumption, we can take \(\gamma \in \Gamma\) and a unit tangent vector \(v\) of \(\ell\) so that \(\gamma v\) is arbitrarily close to \(-w\), where \(\gamma\) is the tangent map of the action \(\mathbb{H}^2 \to \mathbb{H}^2\) of \(\gamma\). Let \(\ell' = k([-r, r])\). Let \(Z'\) be a subset of \(\Gamma x\) which consists of all points \(z' \in \Gamma x\) such that \(d(z', I') \leq \rho\). The
rest of the argument is parallel to the proof of (i). Since $Z'$ is finite, by taking $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and the unit tangent vector $v'$ of $\ell$ at parameter $t = a$ so that $\gamma_* v'$ is sufficiently close to $-w$, we have $(S_\ell^+ - a, S_k^+) \in N_s$. □

Remark 4.5. The last lemma is not true for $S_\ell$ in general. If there exists no $y \in \Gamma x$ with $d(y, \ell) = \rho$, then (i) is true for $S_\ell$. Similarly (ii) is true for a geodesic $k$ such that there exists no $y \in \Gamma x$ with $d(y, \ell) = \rho$.

Figure 6. Approximation of $S_\ell^+$ by $S_k^+$: The vectors $\nu_\ell$ and $\nu_k$ represent the orientations of the normal bundles of $\ell$ and $k$, respectively. Two circles with dotted lines represent the boundary of the $\rho$-neighbourhoods of $I$ and $J$, respectively. The dots represent points in $\Gamma x$. The blue dots belong to both $E_\ell^+$ and $E_k^+$. But the black dots do not because they belong to the negative side of the boundary of $E_\ell$ or $E_k$, respectively.

Once $S_\ell^+$ is proved to be Delone, the following consequence of the last lemma shows that $S_\ell^+$ satisfies the characterisation of an almost chaotic Delone set in Lemma 2.1

Corollary 4.6. For every $r \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\Sigma$-closed geodesic $k$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$ such that $(S_\ell^+, S_k^+) \in N_r$, and for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(S_\ell^+ - a, S_k^+) \in N_s$.

Let us characterize now when $S_\ell^+$ is Delone.

Proposition 4.7. The subset $S_\ell^+$ is Delone if and only if Conditions (A) and (B) in Theorem 4.2 are satisfied.

Let us prove Proposition 4.7 by showing the following two lemmas. In the first one, we characterize the discreteness of $S_\ell^+$ in terms of $\rho$, based on the density of the unit tangent vectors of the projection of $\ell$ in $S^1(T\Sigma)$.

Lemma 4.8. Let $\mu$ denote the injectivity radius of $\Sigma$ at $x_0 = \Gamma x$.

(i) If $\rho < \mu$, then $S_\ell^+$ is $\delta$-separated, where $\delta = 2\mu - 2\rho$.

(ii) If $\mu \leq \rho$, then $S_\ell^+$ is not $\delta$-separated for any $\delta > 0$.

Proof. First note that $2\mu = \min\{ d(y, z) \mid y, z \in \Gamma x, y \neq z \}$. Here (i) follows directly from the triangle inequality. Indeed, for every $y_i$ in $S_\ell^+$, choose $\tilde{y}_i \in \Gamma x$ so that $d(\tilde{y}_i, y_i) < \rho$ and $p(\tilde{y}_i) = y_i$. If $y_i \neq y_j$, then

$$2\mu \leq d(\tilde{y}_i, \tilde{y}_j) \leq d(\tilde{y}_i, y_i) + d(y_i, y_j) + d(y_j, \tilde{y}_j) < 2\rho + d(y_i, y_j),$$
which implies that $d(y_i, y_j) > 2\mu - 2\rho = \delta$.

In order to prove (ii), let us assume $\mu \leq \rho$. We consider the case $\mu < \rho$ first. Let $y$ and $z$ be a pair of distinct points in $\Gamma x$ such that $d(y, z) = 2\mu$, and let $v$ be a unit tangent vector at the midpoint of the segment $\vec{yz}$ which is perpendicular to $\vec{y\ell}$. Let $k$ be the geodesic on $\mathbb{H}^2$ such that $\frac{dk}{dt} \big|_{t=0} = v$. Assume that we can take $\gamma \in \Gamma$ so that $\gamma_* v$ is very close to a tangent vector of $\ell$ at $t = t_0$. Since $\ell(t_0)$ is close to the midpoint of $\vec{y\ell}$ and we assume $\mu < \rho$, we have $d(\ell(t_0), \gamma(y)) < \rho$ and $d(\ell(t_0), \gamma(z)) < \rho$. Hence $p_\ell(\gamma(y))$ and $p_\ell(\gamma(z))$ belong to $\mathcal{S}_0^\ell$. Since $\ell$ is almost tangent to the bisector of the segment $\gamma(y)\gamma(z)$ near the middle point of $\vec{yz}$, we can see that $p_\ell(\gamma(y))$ and $p_\ell(\gamma(z))$ are close to each other. Since we can take $\gamma \in \Gamma$ so that $\gamma_* v$ is arbitrarily close to a tangent vector of $\ell$, it follows that $S$ is not $\epsilon$-separated for any $\epsilon > 0$. The case where $\rho = \mu$ follows by a slight modification of the proof. Note that, even if we take a geodesic $k_1$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$ so that a tangent vector of $k_1$ is close to $v$, we may have $d(k_1, z) > \rho$ or $d(k_1, y) > \rho$ in general. Instead of approximating $v$ with a tangent vector of $\ell$, first we take a tangent vector $v'$ close to $v$ such that $d(k', y) < \rho$ and $d(k', z) < \rho$, where $k'$ is the geodesic tangent to $v'$. We can take $\gamma \in \Gamma$ so that $\gamma_* v'$ is close to a tangent vector of $\ell$. Then, we can do the same argument to see that $p_\ell(\gamma(y))$ and $p_\ell(\gamma(z))$ are close to each other. □

Let us characterize the density of $S_\ell^+$ in the following lemma. In the proof, we say that a geodesic $\sigma$ on $\Sigma$ has two-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$ if $\sigma$ is tangent to $\partial \Delta$ at every point in $\sigma \cap \partial D$, but it does not have one-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$; namely, there exists a pair of outward vectors of $\partial \Delta$ at tangential points in $\sigma \cap \partial D$ that are in the opposite directions.

**Lemma 4.9.** The subset $S_\ell^+$ is $\epsilon$-relatively dense for some $\epsilon > 0$ if and only if Condition (B) in Theorem 4.2 is satisfied.

**Proof.** The “only if” part follows from Lemma 4.4. Indeed, if Condition (B) is not satisfied, then there exists a geodesic on $\Sigma$ which does not intersect $\Delta$, or there exists a geodesic on $\Sigma$ with one-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$. If a geodesic $k$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$ does not intersect $\Delta$, then we have $S_k^\ell = \emptyset$. If $k$ has one-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$, then we have $S_k^\ell = \emptyset$ after changing the orientation of $k$ if necessary. Since $(S_k^\ell, \emptyset) \in N_s$ means that $\ell$ has an interval $I$ of length $2(s - \frac{1}{2})$ such that $I \cap S_k^\ell = \emptyset$, in any cases, it follows that $S_\ell^+$ is not $\epsilon$-relatively dense for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Let us prove the “if” part. First consider the case where any geodesic on $\Sigma$ intersects $\Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the open disk of radius $\rho$ in $\Sigma$ centred at $\Gamma x \in \Sigma$. For $v \in S^1(T\Sigma)$, let $\tau(v) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be defined by

$$\tau(v) = \inf\{ |t| \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} | \ell_v(t) \in \hat{\Delta} \},$$

where $\ell_v$ is the geodesic on $\Sigma$ such that $\frac{d\ell_v}{dt} \big|_{t=0} = v$. Since any geodesic intersects $\hat{\Delta}$, it follows that $\tau : S^1(T\Sigma) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is well-defined. It is easy to see that it is upper semicontinuous. Then, since $S^1(T\Sigma)$ is compact, $\tau$ is bounded from above. This implies that $\tau$ is bounded on $\ell$, which implies that $S_\ell^+$ is $\epsilon$-relatively dense for some $\epsilon$.

Let us consider the general case. We will show that, if Condition (B) in Theorem 4.2 is satisfied, there are finitely many closed geodesics on $\Sigma$ that have two-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$, and any other geodesics on $\Sigma$ intersect $\Delta$. Under Condition (B) in Theorem 4.2 for any geodesic $\sigma$ on $\Sigma$, either $\sigma$ intersects $\Delta$ or $\sigma$ has two-sided
tangency with $\partial \Delta$. Since any geodesic sufficiently close to a geodesic with two-sided tangency intersects $\Delta$, the set of unit tangent vectors of $\partial \Delta$ which are tangent to geodesics with two-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$ is discrete, and hence finite. It follows that there are only finitely many geodesics on $\Sigma$ with two-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$, and all of them are closed. Let $C$ be the union of closed orbits in $S^1(T\Sigma)$ given by the tangent vectors of all geodesics on $\Sigma$ that have two-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$. Since a geodesic close to a geodesic with two-sided tangency with $\partial \Delta$ intersects $\Delta$, for a sufficiently small open neighbourhood $U$ of $C$, we see that the function $\tau$ is bounded on $U \setminus C$. It follows that $\tau$ is bounded on $\ell$, and hence so is on $\ell$. Then we can conclude that $S^+_{\ell}$ is $\epsilon$-relatively dense for some $\epsilon$ as in the above case.

Proposition 4.7 follows from Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9.

Finally, we will show the aperiodicity of $S^+_{\ell}$ by applying Lemma 4.4 and a result of Dal’bo for the non-arithmeticity of the length spectrum of Riemann surfaces. Recall, the length spectrum of a Riemann surface $M$ is the set of the lengths of all closed geodesics on $M$. Dal’bo proved that the length spectrum of any Riemann surface cannot be of the form $aN$ for any $a > 0$.

Lemma 4.10. If Condition (B) of Theorem 4.2 is satisfied, then $S^+_{\ell}$ is aperiodic.

Proof. Assume that $S^+_{\ell}$ is periodic with period $\omega$. Take any closed geodesic $\sigma$ on $\Sigma$ and a geodesic $k$ on $\mathbb{H}^2$ which is projected to $\sigma$. By assumption, $S^+_{\ell}$ is non-empty. Since $\sigma$ is closed, the set $S^+_{k}$ is periodic with period $|\sigma|/m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $|\sigma|$ is the length of $\sigma$. It follows from Lemma 4.4(ii) that $S^+_{\ell}$ and $S^+_{k}$ have the same period, which means $|\sigma| = \omega m$. Hence, the length spectrum of $\Sigma$ is contained in $\omega \mathbb{N}$. But this contradicts a result of Dal’bo [23, Proposition 2.1].

Theorem 4.2 is the combination of Corollary 4.6 and Lemma 4.10.
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