Lewandowsky, S., Cowtan, K., Risbey, J. S., Mann, M. E., Steinman, B. A., Oreskes, N., & Rahmstorf, S. (2019). Erratum: The 'pause' in global warming in historical context: II. Comparing models to observations (Environmental Research Letters (2018)13 (123007) DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/aaf372). Environmental Research Letters, 14(4), [049601]. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aafbb7
ERRATUM • OPEN ACCESS

Erratum: The ‘pause’ in global warming in historical context: II. Comparing models to observations (2018 Environ. Res. Lett. 13 123007)

To cite this article: Stephan Lewandowsky et al 2019 Environ. Res. Lett. 14 049601

View the article online for updates and enhancements.
Erratum: The ‘pause’ in global warming in historical context: II. Comparing models to observations (2018 Environ. Res. Lett. 13 123007)

Stephan Lewandowsky1,2,3, Kevin Cowtan4, James S Risbey3, Michael E Mann5, Byron A Steinman6, Naomi Oreskes7 and Stefan Rahmstorf8,9

1 University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
2 University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia
3 CSIRO Oceans & Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia
4 Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, United Kingdom
5 Department of Meteorology and Atmospheric Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, State College, United States of America
6 Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences and Large Lakes Observatory, University of Minnesota Duluth, Duluth, United States of America
7 Department of the History of Science, Harvard University, Cambridge, United States of America
8 Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, D-14473, Germany
9 University of Potsdam, Institute of Physics and Astronomy, Potsdam, Germany

E-mail: stephan.lewandowsky@bristol.ac.uk

Figure 9 mistakenly duplicated figure 10 in the published article. This reflected a technical error during production. The correct figure 9 should be as follows:
Figure 9. Monte Carlo comparison of broken GMST trends (GISTEMP) to a reference distribution of synthetic realizations of internal variability. Cell entries refer to the percentage of synthetic trends lower than that observed. See text for how trends are computed. Values below 5% are circled in yellow to indicate statistical significance. Vantage years refer to the end point of the trends being examined and both models and observations are historically-conditioned for that time.