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Opinion

Transgenerational epigenetic impacts of parental infection on offspring health and disease susceptibility

Elizabeth A. Kleeman,1 Carolina Gubert,1 and Anthony J. Hannan1,2,*

Maternal immune activation (MIA) and infection during pregnancy are known to reprogramme offspring phenotypes. However, the epigenetic effects of preconceptual paternal infection and paternal immune activation (PIA) are not currently well understood. Recent reports show that paternal infection and immune activation can affect offspring phenotypes, particularly brain function, behaviour, and immune system functioning, across multiple generations without re-exposure to infection. Evidence from other environmental exposures indicates that epigenetic inheritance also occurs in humans. Given the growing impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, it is imperative that we investigate all of the potential epigenetic mechanisms and multigenerational phenotypes that may arise from both maternal and paternal severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection, as well as associated MIA, PIA, and inflammation. This will allow us to understand and, if necessary, mitigate any potential changes in disease susceptibility in the children, and grandchildren, of affected parents.

Parental reprogramming of offspring health in the context of COVID-19

Most chronic diseases have complex aetiologies that cannot be explained by genetic factors alone [1]. Accumulating evidence suggests that both maternal and paternal environmental exposures can reprogramme offspring phenotypes via germline epigenetic modifications [2,3]. Both human and animal studies show that inherited epigenetic changes can promote the development of various chronic diseases, including schizophrenia, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes [4–6]. Maternal stress, dietary changes, and infection during gestation can have intergenerational (see Glossary) and transgenerational impacts on offspring, including neurodevelopmental and metabolic dysfunctions [2,7,8]. However, due to the mature sperm’s tightly packaged chromatin and reduced transcriptional activity, the paternal epigenetic contributions to offspring (F1) and grand-offspring (F2) phenotypes have been previously disregarded [9]. Nevertheless, recent discoveries show that the sperm delivers intergenerational information, particularly in the form of noncoding RNAs, to the oocytes at fertilisation [10,11]. Furthermore, the small noncoding RNA ‘payload’ of the sperm can alter embryonic development and offspring phenotypes [12]. Paternal preconceptual exposure to various environmental factors, including stress, toxins, and dietary changes, can modulate the sperm epigenome, potentially increasing offspring disease susceptibility [13–17]. Although there is substantial evidence that maternal infection and immune activation have detrimental intergenerational (and even transgenerational) outcomes [18], these exposures are largely unexplored in paternal epigenetic inheritance models.

Highlights

Parental environmental experiences have the potential to reprogramme offspring phenotypes via changes in germline epigenetics.

While the detrimental reprogramming effects of maternal immune activation during gestation are well characterised, the epigenetic effects of paternal preconceptual exposure to infection and immune activation have not been well explored.

Recent evidence suggests that both paternal preconceptual Toxoplasma gondii infection and sepsis can have deleterious health consequences for multiple generations via changes in the sperm epigenome.

Given that many fertile men and women have been infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [the virus causing coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)], the potential epigenetic effects of infection and immune activation need to be investigated in preclinical maternal and paternal models, so that we may mitigate any multigenerational health impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic.
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Pathogenic infections, and associated immune activation, can impact an organism’s physiology and epigenetic profile [19,20]. Furthermore, maternal immune activation and infection in both humans and animals are known to increase offspring predisposition to neurodevelopmental disorders, including schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) [21,22]. Intriguingly, Tyebji and colleagues recently discovered that paternal preconceptual infection with *Toxoplasma gondii* can alter sperm epigenetics, leading to F1 and F2 cognitive and behavioural abnormalities without re-exposure to infection [19]. Nevertheless, the mechanisms underlying how infection and immune activation can reprogramme the sperm epigenome are not well understood [19].

Children of those affected by major disasters, including survivors of the Holocaust, famines, and the World Trade Centre attacks, often display altered stress responsivity and metabolism [23]. Furthermore, epigenetic mechanisms, including modified DNA methylation at imprinted genes, have been associated with these changes [24]. At the time of writing, over 500 million people worldwide have been infected with COVID-19, resulting in over 6 million reported deaths (World Health Organization, April 2022). Given the enormous physical and mental health burden associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, there is a significant possibility that long-term public health repercussions of the pandemic may arise due to epigenetic inheritance. Therefore, there is a clear imperative to investigate the potential intergenerational and transgenerational COVID-19-related health effects in preclinical studies, so that we can prevent these from arising in humans and, if they do occur, develop targeted therapeutic approaches. Henceforth, we discuss how the evidence from both MIA and paternal infection models of epigenetic inheritance can inform future research into the intergenerational and transgenerational impacts of COVID-19.

Brief overview of epigenetic inheritance and parental reprogramming

Epigenetics broadly refers to the mitotically stable molecular processes that regulate gene expression independently of the DNA sequence itself [25]. The main epigenetic processes include DNA methylation (and other modifications), histone modifications, as well as the actions of small and long noncoding RNAs [25]. Importantly, these epigenetic mechanisms work together to regulate gene expression. For example, DNA methylation modifications can regulate the expression of microRNAs (miRNAs) and in turn, miRNAs can modulate the expression of DNA methyltransferases and histone deacetylases [5]. These epigenetic processes are also dynamic and susceptible to changing environmental conditions during development [5]. The timing and severity of particular environmental insults, including diet and stress, can also affect whether epigenetic changes occur in germ cells [2].

Global erasure of epigenetic marks, including DNA methylation patterns and histone modifications, occurs at two stages in a mammal’s lifetime [26]. These are immediately after fertilisation in the zygote and when the primordial germ cells (PGCs) enter the developing gonad during gametogenesis [26]. These reset periods restore totipotency in the embryo and prevent many epigenetic aberrations from being inherited [2]. Furthermore, the developing sperm undergoes extensive chromatin remodelling during maturation as shown in Box 1 [27], which has previously led scientists to believe that paternal epigenetic inheritance is unlikely to occur. Contrastingly, these reprogramming and chromatin remodelling periods have been identified as critical periods where environmental insults can cause heritable epigenetic changes [2]. These epigenetic marks can escape reprogramming and potentially confer increased disease risk in offspring [2]. Moreover, the sperm and oocyte retain small and long noncoding RNAs that can guide embryonic development and subsequently affect offspring phenotypes [6,12,28]. Therefore, both paternal and maternal epigenetic marks can escape erasure and be inherited.
Box 1. Spermatogenesis and sperm epigenome remodelling

In mammals, spermatogenesis involves the development and maturation of PGCs into mature spermatozoa (see Figure 1 in main text) [29]. Spermatogenesis is a continuous and efficient process that spans a mammal’s reproductive lifetime [29]. The process begins in the basement membrane and ends in the lumen of the seminiferous tubules in the testes. A full cycle of spermatogenesis typically takes approximately 35 days in mice and 74 days in humans [30]. It begins with the development of PGCs into diploid, self-renewing spermatogonia, which then mitotically divide to become spermatocytes [27,31]. Spermatocytes then undergo two meiotic divisions to become round haploid spermatids [27]. These spermatids morphologically mature into spermatozoa in a process known as spermogenesis [32]. However, the spermatozoa exiting the testes after spermatogenesis still need to gain the motility and functional maturity to be able to fertilise the oocytes [33]. As they transition from the caput to the caudal region of the epididymis during their final stages of maturation, the spermatozoon receives growth factors and extracellular vesicles from the epithelial cells bordering the tubule [34,35].

During spermatogenesis, chromatin packaging occurs to attain nuclear compaction [27]. Histones that package the spermatozoon DNA are mostly replaced by intermediary transition proteins, which are then replaced by protamines [36]. Protamines are small basic proteins that can tightly pack the sperm DNA [37]. In humans, 15% of histones are retained in mature sperm, whereas only 1–2% of histones are retained in sperm from mice [38,39]. Sperm transcriptomic activity, including RNA synthesis, is also minimised during this process of nuclear compaction [39,40]. This entire compaction process has led many to disregard that the sperm carries any heritable information other than the paternal haploid genome. By contrast, researchers have found that the histones retained in human sperm map onto promoters for genes that are important in embryonic development [41]. Additionally, the mature sperm contain many noncoding RNA subtypes, most notably tRNAs, miRNAs, and PIWI-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) [38]. Rather than being a redundant remnant from spermatogenesis, these RNAs appear necessary for embryo implantation and development [12,42]. Furthermore, the extracellular vesicles delivered to the maturing sperm during epididymal transit contain noncoding RNAs that are also involved in fertility and implantation [12,42]. This highlights a mechanism by which crosstalk between somatic cells and the maturing spermatozoon can occur. Altogether, despite extensive chromatin compaction, there are multiple mechanisms whereby the sperm epigenome can reshape offspring embryonic and postnatal development.

Furthermore, the noncoding RNA profiles of sperm can be altered by environmental exposures experienced during a male’s reproductive lifetime [14,19,43]. Strikingly, microinjection of these differentially expressed noncoding RNAs into mouse zygotes can reproduce similar offspring phenotypes to those observed in epigenetic inheritance models involving paternal stress and infection [15,16]. Although the roles of sperm noncoding RNAs in intergenerational and transgenerational inheritance are yet to be fully characterised, this evidence highlights direct links between sperm epigenetic alterations and offspring phenotypes.

Paternal environmental exposures and epigenetic inheritance

Epidemiological and rodent studies suggest that paternal preconceptual environmental conditions can also reprogramme offspring development via changes in the sperm epigenome. Early retrospective studies in human cohorts from Överkalix, Sweden, show that a surfeit of food in the paternal grandfather’s food supply during their slow growth period is associated with increased mortality and diabetes mortality risks in grandsons [44]. Since then, paternal epigenetic inheritance animal models have gained much attention amongst researchers in the epigenetics field [4]. An advantage of studying paternal epigenetic inheritance rodent models is that fathers only contribute the sperm and its contents at conception and thus, in well-designed and controlled experiments, any observed offspring phenotypic changes may be linked to the sperm DNA and associated epigenome [4]. Highly inbred (congenic) mouse strains with almost identically matched genomes allow researchers to probe the sperm epigenome more specifically. Rodent studies have shown that paternal preconceptual exposure to toxins, dietary changes, and stress can all lead to altered offspring behavioural and metabolic phenotypes [6,19,43,45–47]. More recently, preliminary evidence indicates that paternal preconceptual exposure to infection and immune activation may also have both intergenerational and transgenerational health consequences [19,48,49].

The mammalian testes have a blood–testis barrier (BTB) that largely protects the developing sperm from potentially harmful immune factors and pathogens [50]. However, some pathogens, including mumps and Escherichia coli, can breach the BTB and disrupt spermatogenesis [51–53]. For instance, E. coli can damage sperm by binding to mannose residues located on the sperm head and reduce sperm motility [54]. Otherwise, high levels of inflammatory mediators and immune factors associated with infections can disrupt the BTB and impair spermatogenesis [55].
imbalance of both proinflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the testicular and epididymal areas are often implicated [53]. For example, increased levels of the proinflammatory cytokine tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α during mumps infection in mice can transiently reduce numbers of elongated spermatids [51]. Furthermore, elevated proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-1β, released by testicular macrophages and leukocytes during infection can promote oxidative stress, which can harm spermatogenesis by causing lipid peroxidation of the sperm membrane and sperm DNA fragmentation [52]. Damage to sperm DNA integrity and spermatogenesis are both associated with DNA methylation errors and changes in sperm miRNAs [52,56,57]. This suggests that the presence of certain pathogens and immune factors in the male reproductive tract may impact the sperm epigenome. However, the direct effects of infections and their associated immune responses on the sperm epigenome are not yet clearly defined.

Research into these impacts is critical, since it has been shown that preconceptual paternal infection with T. gondii in mice has detrimental intergenerational and transgenerational neurodevelopmental consequences [19]. In this study, F1 and F2 male offspring, in particular, showed abnormalities in learning, memory, sociability, anxiety and depressive behaviour [19]. Moreover, changes in sperm noncoding RNA profiles are involved, since injection of noncoding RNA fractions from T. gondii F0 sperm, which showed differentially expressed noncoding RNA profiles, into naïve zygotes recapitulated most of the offspring abnormalities observed in the natural mating experiments [19].

However, it is unclear whether T. gondii itself or the accompanying immune response alter the sperm epigenome, since cysts from T. gondii are present in the F0 testicular tissue at 4 weeks postinfection [19]. It is also unclear how T. gondii infection can modify the sperm small noncoding RNAs and how these modifications can produce transgenerational outcomes. Systemic inflammation may be mediating these epigenetic effects, since both preconceptual paternal sepsis and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) treatment can alter offspring metabolism and immune responsivity [48,49]. Indeed, systemic inflammation may modulate the sperm epigenome by changing the noncoding RNA payload.
delivered to maturing sperm during epididymal transit (Box 1). Alternatively, pathogens themselves may also directly cause sperm epigenome modifications, since some, including *T. gondii*, are able to manipulate somatic cell epigenetics to evade immune detection [58]. Therefore, a major challenge for future research will be to determine which particular pathogens and inflammatory mediators cause changes in the sperm epigenome.

**Maternal environmental exposure and epigenetic inheritance**

The effects of the maternal environment on reprogramming offspring phenotypes during gestation have been well studied [2,59,60]. The prenatal period is a critical window when maternal environmental exposures can alter foetal epigenetic programming [60]. Depending on the timing, nature, and severity of the exposure, this programming may have long-term consequences for offspring health [5]. For example, cohort studies show that individuals prenatally exposed to the Dutch Hunger Famine from 1944 to 1945 have elevated rates of chronic health conditions in adulthood, including schizophrenia [61], cardiovascular disease [62], and impaired glucose metabolism [63]. Animal studies have also shown that prenatal maternal stress, maternal undernutrition, and maternal overnutrition can all lead to adverse psychiatric and metabolic outcomes later in life [64–68]. Although early gestational insults are often associated with foetal reprogramming, mid- and late gestational challenges can also have enduring offspring effects [69,70]. The details of the various effects of maternal nutrition and stress on offspring phenotypes are outside the scope of this article and have been reviewed extensively elsewhere [2,3,71].

Foetal neurodevelopment is a tightly regulated process which involves the participation of many immune factors at the maternal–foetal interface [72]. Maternal cytokines, Toll-like receptors, microglia, and the complement system are known to play roles in neurogenesis, synaptic pruning, and synaptogenesis [72]. Disturbances in the timing and levels of these immune factors due to maternal infection and inflammation can result in poorer foetal neurodevelopment [72].

Maternal infection and immune activation were identified as risk factors for offspring health when an association was found between high seasonal rates of infectious diseases and the birth dates of people diagnosed with schizophrenia [73]. Since then, human and animal studies have shown that maternal exposure to influenza and other infections during pregnancy can predispose offspring to neurodevelopmental disorders, such as schizophrenia and ASD [22,74–77]. Animal studies modelling maternal infection have been essential for uncovering the underlying mechanisms contributing to these offspring neurodevelopmental phenotypes. Rodent studies reveal many biochemical and structural changes in the brain associated with prenatal influenza exposure during mid-pregnancy [76,78,79]. Following this, behavioural and cognitive changes resembling the symptoms of schizophrenia and ASD, including decreased sociability, decreased exploration and prepulse inhibition (sensorimotor gating) deficits, have been observed in mice prenatally exposed to influenza [22]. Since many prenatal infections are associated with similar neurodevelopmental outcomes, researchers have investigated whether the immune responses are causing these abnormalities. With the use of immunostimulants, including the viral mimetic *polyninosinic:polycytidylic acid (Poly I:C)*, maternal immune activation has been found to be the main driver of these offspring neurodevelopmental changes [80].

Poly I:C is a synthetic analogue of viral double-stranded RNA that binds to the **Toll-like receptor 3 (TLR-3)** [81]. Upon binding to TLR-3, Poly I:C triggers the release of proinflammatory cytokines, IL-6, IL-1β, interferon (IFN)-γ and TNF-α [82]. Prenatal Poly I:C exposure during mid- to late gestation in both male and female rodents recapitulate many of the effects seen in the maternal influenza model [18,83]. These offspring effects of MIA include increased amphetamine-induced locomotion [84], decreased prepulse inhibition [85], decreased social interaction [18], and...
increased repetitive behaviour [86], impaired learning and memory [87], increased fear conditioning [18], increased depressive behaviour [84,88], and increased anxiety-like behaviour [89]. Accompanying these deficits are changes in limbic and cortical neurotransmitter systems, including changes in glutamatergic [90], GABAergic [91], dopaminergic [92], and serotonergic [93] signalling. Furthermore, some of the behavioural dysfunctions are ameliorated by antipsychotic drug administration, reinforcing the predictive validity of MIA as a model of schizophrenia [83,94]. The offspring effects of MIA, particularly anhedonia and amphetamine-induced locomotion, appear to be more serious with greater maternal weight loss induced by Poly I:C [84]. This reinforces the concept that the severity of the parental exposure influences the extent of offspring reprogramming.

Although the focus of MIA research has been on neurodevelopmental disorders, other intergenerational health concerns have been identified with preclinical models of MIA. For example, increased maternal TNF-α levels induced by LPS in an MIA model results in intrauterine foetal growth restriction and delayed skeletal development [95]. Furthermore, MIA alters the gut microbiome of offspring, potentially leading to changes in offspring health beyond the central nervous system (CNS) [87,96]. MIA has also been linked to other CNS disorders, including cerebral palsy [97] and Alzheimer’s disease [98]. Therefore, it is important for researchers to investigate the broad array of disease susceptibility phenotypes resulting from the different maternal and paternal environmental exposures.

The mechanisms underlying the effects of MIA are complex and not entirely understood. There is evidence that MIA disturbs foetal brain cytokine and oxidative stress levels, leading to epigenetic alterations in the developing brain that then lead to the long-term behavioural outcomes [89,99]. For example, DNA methylation and histone modification changes, including those affecting the schizophrenia risk factor gene DISC1, have been identified in the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex of MIA-exposed offspring [93,100–102]. However, microglial changes in the foetal brain [88], maternal gut microbiome changes [103] and maternal care behaviour [104] are also linked to offspring abnormalities in the MIA model. Uncovering the relative contributions of each of these mechanisms and how they interrelate will be critical for our understanding of neurodevelopmental disorders.

Notably, some of the behavioural, molecular, and psychiatric MIA-related disturbances manifest in the second and third generations without re-exposure to an immune insult [18,92,104,105]. For example, increased fear conditioning, reduced sociability, and increased behavioural despair have been observed in the F2 and F3 generations of an MIA mouse model [18,92]. This implies that MIA can generate heritable germline epigenetic modifications. Indeed, Weber-Staudlbauer et al. have found increased DNA methylation at the promoter of nuclear receptor-related 1 protein (Nurr1), a transcription factor involved in dopaminergic signalling, in the sperm of MIA exposed offspring [92]. Intriguingly, the altered F2 and F3 phenotypes in this study are only observed in the paternal lineage, demonstrating that MIA-related inflammation may modify the sperm epigenome [92]. Further research is needed to fully characterise the transgenerational consequences and germline epigenetic mechanisms of MIA. Given that MIA can have such pervasive and prolonged outcomes, it is critical that we develop and utilise targeted public health approaches to identify exposed individuals, especially during and after the COVID-19 pandemic. This is important considering that early intervention may improve the mental health outcomes in individuals with genetic and/or environmental risk factors for schizophrenia [106].

Transgenerational COVID-19: MIA in the context of the global COVID-19 pandemic
Studies investigating the 1918–1919 Spanish influenza pandemic shed light on the potential long-term and multigenerational health complications that can arise due to prenatal viral exposure.
in a pandemic [107–109]. The 1918 influenza pandemic had a rapid onset in October 1918 and resulted in 20–100 million deaths worldwide [107]. Women of child-bearing age were also disproportionately affected, with estimations that approximately one third of women in the USA aged 25–30 years were infected [107]. Using data registries such as the 1960–1980 US Decennial Census data, researchers have found that cohorts exposed to influenza in utero during the peak of the pandemic were more likely to suffer from increased physical disability, poorer social and economic outcomes, and decreased life expectancy [107–109]. Furthermore, Richter and Olof Obling have shown that there are multigenerational impacts of the 1918 influenza pandemic, where daughters of women and sons of men prenatally exposed to influenza have lower educational attainments [109]. Interestingly, these effects are still present when nonbiological mechanisms, such as the socioeconomic status of the parent, are accounted for [109].

Although many of these studies are ecological and lack the controlled settings found in MIA animal studies, they provide an impetus to examine the potential for intergenerational outcomes resulting from prenatal exposure to COVID-19. Unlike the 1918 Spanish influenza pandemic, we now have more sophisticated diagnostic and data collection tools that allow us to study the neurodevelopment and overall health of large birth cohorts prenatally exposed to COVID-19.

SARS-CoV-2 is a single-stranded RNA betacoronavirus responsible for the COVID-19 pandemic [110]. SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans does not usually have fatal consequences, however, severe respiratory disease can occur in a minority of cases [111]. Symptoms can range from cough, fever, myalgia, and dyspnoea in mild presentations, to acute respiratory distress, cardiovascular complications, organ failure, and renal dysfunction in moderate to severe cases [111]. Severe illness and death from a SARS-CoV-2 infection are at least partially due to a cytokine storm originating from a dysregulated host immune response [112].

Although possible, emerging evidence suggests that vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is unlikely to occur [113–115]. This is supported by the lack of IgM antibodies targeting SARS-CoV-2 in the cord plasma and SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the placenta of pregnant women with a recent or ongoing infection [116,117]. However, there are some reports of adverse foetal outcomes following maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection, including preterm birth and intrauterine growth retardation [114,118]. Additionally, pregnant women with a SARS-CoV-2 infection appear to be at higher risk of severe illness, since hospitalisation rates and intensive care unit (ICU) admission rates are higher in this population group [119]. While the risk of a SARS-CoV-2 infection in neonates may be low, the associated maternal inflammatory response may threaten their neurodevelopment and long-term health (Figure 2).

Gee et al. (2021) have shown that a maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection can imprint on the neonatal immune system in the absence of vertical transmission of SARS-CoV-2, which includes changes in neonatal inflammatory cytokine levels and cytokine responsiveness of neonatal immune cells [117]. Furthermore, the inflammatory cytokines increased at the maternal-foetal interface during a SARS-CoV-2 infection include many of those that are upregulated by Poly I:C in MIA models, such as IL-1β, IL-10, IL-17A, and TNF [112,116,117,120]. Notably, IL-17a released by T-helper 17 cells has been linked to ASD-like behaviours in offspring exposed to MIA [121]. Patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection often have elevated plasma IL-6 levels, particularly in severe illness [112]. Importantly, elevated maternal IL-6 levels have been mechanistically linked to the behavioural and cognitive deficits seen in MIA [122,123]. Thus, the neonate may be exposed to several inflammatory mediators during a maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection that are known to increase the risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in adulthood.
Since the severity of neurodevelopmental phenotypes from MIA is increased with greater maternal immune induction [84], a SARS-CoV-2 cytokine storm during pregnancy may elevate both the likelihood and severity of offspring neurodevelopmental disorders. Based on recent MIA evidence [18,92,104], the maternal SARS-CoV-2 immune response may also have transgenerational neurodevelopmental repercussions, prolonging the consequences of the pandemic further still. Moreover, the increased maternal psychological stress from SARS-CoV-2 infection may also contribute to adverse foetal reprogramming [124]. There is some recent evidence to suggest that vaccination may reduce adverse outcomes and severity of illness in pregnant women with a SARS-CoV-2 infection [125]. However, it is currently unknown whether vaccinations can protect neonates against the inflammation associated with maternal SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Based on the current SARS-CoV-2 and previous Spanish influenza pandemic literature, we believe there is a strong imperative to urgently investigate COVID-19-specific MIA animal models to uncover any potential long-term mental health consequences. On this note, many SARS-CoV-2 mouse models have been developed to investigate the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 and evaluate the efficacy of many antiviral therapeutics and vaccines [126]. Since murine angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE2), the receptor SARS-CoV-2 uses to enter cells, has a low affinity for the S protein of SARS-CoV-2, researchers have adapted the SARS-CoV-2 wild-type strains for murine ACE2 [126]. Alternatively, other researchers have developed transgenic mice expressing the human ACE2 receptor to allow for efficient viral infection and replication in mouse lungs [126]. A challenge for future MIA research will be to adapt these models so that they accurately mimic the pathophysiology and immunopathology found in pregnant humans with a SARS-CoV-2 infection. While adapting these SARS-CoV-2 models for future MIA studies may be difficult due to strict...
biosafety requirements, they will be valuable for us to understand the biological mechanisms contributing to any multigenerational effects of prenatal SARS-CoV-2 exposure. Researchers should also explore whether vaccinations can reduce any of the SARS-CoV-2 associated maternal inflammation that may be impacting on the foetus. These studies will allow us to develop therapeutic strategies aimed at preventing, or at least mitigating, disease development in individuals prenatally exposed to SARS-CoV-2.

**Risk of long-term and multigenerational COVID-19 consequences from PIA**

While the risks of maternal-related intergenerational and transgenerational COVID-19 health impacts can be appreciated from our knowledge of MIA, the possible risks from paternal infection and PIA are not obvious. Nonetheless, there is evidence accumulating to suggest that SARS-CoV-2 may breach the BTB and disrupt spermatogenesis [127]. Given that impaired spermatogenesis is linked to changes in the sperm epigenome, investigating the effects of paternal preconceptual SARS-CoV-2 infection on offspring phenotypes will be a valuable avenue of research.

ACE2 is present on Leydig and Sertoli cells of the testes, raising the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 can infect the male reproductive tract, and potentially impair spermatogenesis [128]. It has been recently shown that a severe SARS-CoV-2 infection can decrease protein expression of claudin-11 and connexin-43 and decrease Sertoli cells in the testes, which are all important for the

**Paternal SARS-CoV-2 infection**

- Increased inflammatory cytokines in the testicular area, e.g., TNF-α and IL-6
- SARS-CoV-2 infection related damage of Leydig and Sertoli cells
- Increased ROS and oxidative stress
- Disturbed testosterone levels
- Increased apoptosis of germ cells
- Increased susceptibility to chronic disease, e.g., mental illnesses
- Altered expression of genes involved in embryonic development
- Altered developmental trajectory
- Sperm DNA methylation changes at imprinted genes
- Changes in small and long noncoding RNAs
- Changes in histone retention patterns

**Figure 3. Potential mechanistic pathways involved in the proposed reprogramming of offspring phenotypes due to paternal SARS-CoV-2 infection and immune activation.** High levels of systemic proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-6, and neutrophils can potentially damage the testes and affect spermatogenesis. Since many epigenetic changes occur during spermatogenesis, these immune factors may be able to alter the sperm epigenome. Furthermore, stress and metabolic changes that may occur during SARS-CoV-2 infection could also affect specific contents of the sperm, such as noncoding RNAs. The sperm can transmit this epigenetic information to the oocyte at fertilisation, ultimately leading to changes in the expression of genes involved in embryonic development. This could modulate offspring development and function, and possibly alter disease susceptibility. Created with BioRender.com. Abbreviations: IL, interleukin; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; TNF-α, tumour necrosis factor-α.
integrity of the BTB [129]. There is also evidence that SARS-CoV-2 can lead to elevated levels of TNF-α in the testes [129]. High levels of TNF-α in the testes can inhibit testosterone release from Leydig cells and increase BTB permeability; both of which can adversely affect spermatogenesis [51]. Additionally, acute febrile illness, a common symptom reported in illness associated with a SARS-CoV-2 infection, can also have detrimental effects on sperm parameters for months after the episode [130]. Indeed, some studies show impaired spermatogenesis in COVID-19 patients with moderate to severe disease [131–133]. Furthermore, a systematic review has found that semen quality of patients with a moderate SARS-CoV-2 infection is lower than that of patients with a mild infection [134]. Taken together, these suggest that severity of the SARS-CoV-2 infection may affect the degree of damage to male fertility outcomes.

However, there is currently very little published evidence showing changes in spermatogenesis and male fertility parameters in SARS-CoV-2-infected animal models [133]. It is also not clear whether the direct effects of SARS-CoV-2 or the associated immune response affect the level of impairment in spermatogenesis. Early data also suggest that COVID-19 mRNA vaccines themselves do not affect sperm parameters [135]. However, whether the current vaccines protect against detrimental SARS-CoV-2-related male reproductive parameters remains unclear. Answering these questions is essential for the mitigation of long-term male fertility issues that may arise due to the large number of young males affected by the virus.

Given the recent evidence that both paternal sepsis and infection with T. gondii can reprogramme offspring phenotypes [19,48,49], it will also be critical for researchers to investigate PIA and paternal SARS-CoV-2 epigenetic inheritance models. SARS-CoV-2 mouse models described earlier can also be adapted for this research so that all possible generational phenotypes and epigenetic mechanisms can be uncovered (Figure 3). Researchers should also pay careful attention to whether the timing and severity of COVID-19 exposure affects the sperm epigenome, since this can inform future public health measures aimed at reducing offspring disease burden. Other factors that affect spermatogenesis, such as cytokine levels and viral load, should also be considered when investigating the effects of SARS-CoV-2 on the sperm epigenome. Altogether, this research may prevent possible paternal-related intergenerational and transgenerational COVID-19 impacts from going unrecognised, and allow any negative consequence to be systematically addressed.

Concluding remarks

There is mounting evidence that both maternal and paternal environmental exposures can modulate offspring and grand-offspring phenotypes via heritable epigenetic modifications [5]. This evidence fundamentally challenges the previously accepted dogma that only the parental genome contributes to biological inheritance. The main challenge for the future will be to delineate the biological mechanisms underpinning the various epigenetic inheritance models, particularly the less characterised paternal models (see Outstanding questions). Another challenge to consider in future human cohort studies is that offspring may be impacted by both parents being infected with SARS-CoV-2, since household spread of the more infectious COVID-19 variants has become increasingly common. By increasing our understanding of which exposures can induce heritable epigenetic changes and how they can reprogramme offspring phenotypes, we may be able to prevent, or at the very least alleviate, future generational health impacts.

While much is known about the offspring effects of MIA on neurodevelopment, the mechanisms by which MIA-related transgenerational outcomes can occur are yet to be fully characterised. Furthermore, little is known about the intergenerational and transgenerational effects of paternal infection and PIA. Due to the high infection rates of the global COVID-19 pandemic, we believe it is essential to focus available resources on determining whether (and, if so, how) SARS-CoV-2
infection, and the various associated immune responses, can reprogramme offspring and grand-offspring phenotypes. Research into any potential intergenerational and transgenerational effects of PIA also needs to be prioritised, given that there is emerging evidence suggesting inflammation and infection can alter the sperm epigenome. Well-controlled preclinical studies using SARS-CoV-2-infected animal models that accurately mimic the various presentations of the human disease will be vital for addressing these questions. Crucially, systematically investigating the reprogramming effects of MIA and PIA, in both humans and animal models, will provide insights into epigenetic mechanisms and other biological processes which may contribute to the complex aetiologies of many common disorders.
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