ON THE ORIGINS OF THE HIGH-LATITUDE Hα BACKGROUND
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ABSTRACT

The diffuse high-latitude Hα background is widely believed to be predominantly the result of in situ recombination of ionized hydrogen in the warm interstellar medium of the Galaxy. Instead, we show that both a substantial fraction of the diffuse high-latitude Hα intensity in regions dominated by Galactic cirrus dust and much of the variance in the high-latitude Hα background are the result of scattering by interstellar dust of Hα photons originating elsewhere in the Galaxy. We provide an empirical relation, which relates the expected scattered Hα intensity to the IRAS 100 μm diffuse background intensity, applicable to about 81% of the entire sky. The assumption commonly made in reductions of cosmic microwave background observations, namely that the observed all-sky map of diffuse Hα light is a suitable template for Galactic free–free foreground emission, is found to be in need of reexamination.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Efforts to observe the all-sky distribution of diffuse Hα emission (Dennison et al. 1998, VTS; Gaustad et al. 2001, SHASSA7; Reynolds et al. 2002; Haffner et al. 2003, WHAM8) have resulted in detailed maps which revealed the presence of diffuse, highly structured Hα radiation at high Galactic latitudes. Although questions about the inferred ionization sources for diffuse, ionized gas outside of H II regions in late-type galaxies have not been fully resolved (e.g., Ferguson et al. 1996; Hoopes & Walterbos 2000; Seon 2009), the high-latitude Hα emission in the Milky Way has generally been interpreted as resulting from in situ emission in an extended, ionized warm interstellar medium (WIM; Gaensler et al. 2008; see Haffner et al. 2009 for a recent review). This was supported by studies of the [S II]/Hα ratio in a limited number of selected regions (Reynolds 1985, 1988; Haffner et al. 2003; Madsen et al. 2006) and with radiative transfer models by Wood & Reynolds (1999), who concluded that scattering of Hα photons originating elsewhere in the Galaxy by high-latitude dust was not a significant component of the Hα background. Consequently, an all-sky Hα map by Finkbeiner (2003), produced through the combination of the VTS, SHASSA, and Wisconsin H-Alpha Mapper (WHAM) data, has been used extensively as a template for Galactic free–free emission in the analysis of Galactic foregrounds of the all-sky cosmic microwave background (CMB) observations by WMAP (Reynolds & Haffner 2005; Bennett et al. 2003; Dickinson et al. 2003; Gold et al. 2010), with the fundamental assumption that the extinction-corrected Hα intensity is directly proportional to the line-of-sight emission measure in the foreground Galactic interstellar medium (ISM).

The question of which physical processes are responsible for high-latitude Hα radiation was reopened recently through the analysis of the Hα excess observed in the isolated, high-latitude interstellar cloud LDN 1780 (l = 359°02′; b = +36°77′; distance ~110 pc; Franco 1989). LDN 1780 is readily identifiable as a distinct object on the map of Finkbeiner (2003) by its excess Hα surface brightness. From an analysis of the Hα morphology, in addition to CO, FIR, and optical extinction maps of LDN 1780, del Burgo & Cambrésy (2006) concluded that the observed surface brightness distribution of LDN 1780 was inconsistent with photoionization as the cause for the observed Hα light. Photoionization by an external field of Lyman continuum photons is expected to result in ionization of a thin outer shell of an isolated interstellar cloud while the observations of the Hα light from LDN 1780 clearly reveal the dense inner core of the cloud as the brightest feature (see Figure 1, bottom), showing that most of the observed Hα photons come from the densest interior structures of the cloud. To account for this phenomenon, del Burgo & Cambrésy (2006) proposed that cosmic rays caused partial ionization of the hydrogen throughout LDN 1780, leading to the observed Hα emission. To produce the observed intensity, they postulated a locally enhanced cosmic ray flux about 1 order of magnitude larger than commonly accepted for the solar neighborhood.

Mattila et al. (2007), analyzing the same LDN 1780 data from the Finkbeiner (2003) map as del Burgo & Cambrésy (2006), concluded instead that the Hα excess intensity in LDN 1780 and a number of other similar high-latitude clouds is more likely the result of scattering by the dust in these clouds of Hα photons produced elsewhere in the Galaxy. Their interpretation relies upon the facts that (1) the optical depth of LDN 1780 at the wavelength of Hα is sufficiently small so that external Hα photons from the Galactic interstellar radiation field (ISRF) can readily reach the inner structures of LDN 1780 and (2) the known albedo of interstellar dust is sufficiently high to produce the observed intensities by scattering, given the known mean intensity of the Galactic radiation field in the Hα line. Still more recently, Lehtinen et al. (2010) analyzed the excess Hα surface brightness of the large high-latitude translucent cloud G294-24 and also concluded that the excess Hα intensity there can be explained solely by Galactic Hα radiation scattered off...
dust in the cloud, thus supporting the conclusions of Mattila et al. (2007).

The possible presence of scattered H\(\alpha\) radiation at high latitudes was first considered by Jura (1979), but subsequent Monte Carlo radiative transfer simulations by Wood & Reynolds (1999) suggested that the scattered H\(\alpha\) component should be relatively small. They conclude that the scattered light from midplane H\(\Pi\) regions is typically around 10% of the total H\(\alpha\) intensity at high latitudes, with a similar fraction (see their Figure 3) being contributed by scattering of H\(\alpha\) photons emitted by the WIM. Thus, their models predict an average-scattered H\(\alpha\) contribution at high latitudes of about 20% of the total H\(\alpha\) intensity. Obvious shortfalls of the Wood & Reynolds model are their assumption of a smooth, unstructured ISM and, more seriously, the use of the Henyey & Greenstein (1941) scattering phase function. The Henyey–Greenstein phase function is known to underpredict scattered light intensities (e.g., Witt 1977; Draine 2003) when large scattering angles are involved, as they are in the scattering of midplane H\(\alpha\) photons by high-latitude clouds.

Frequently cited support for the conclusion that scattering makes only a minor contribution to the diffuse H\(\alpha\) background comes from WHAM measurements of the [S\(\text{II}\)]/H\(\alpha\) ratio cited earlier in this section, which demonstrated that this ratio is typically several times larger in the diffuse ionized ISM than in bright H\(\Pi\) regions. If scattering of H\(\alpha\) photons originating in H\(\Pi\) regions were to dominate the H\(\alpha\) intensity in the diffuse ISM, the [S\(\text{II}\)]/H\(\alpha\) ratio would be expected to be similar to that in H\(\Pi\) regions. We will show in this paper that the selection criteria in use for the [S\(\text{II}\)]/H\(\alpha\) ratio measurements conducted with WHAM have led to a highly biased sample of regions in which scattering indeed is a minor contributor. The [S\(\text{II}\)]/H\(\alpha\) argument, while valid in principle, has been inappropriately extended to the entire sky without sufficient observational justification.

Given the ubiquity of high-latitude dust structures in the Galactic cirrus (Sandage 1976; Low et al. 1984), the conclusions of Mattila et al. (2007) and Lehtinen et al. (2010) have profound consequences. If a substantial fraction of the high-latitude H\(\alpha\) background is due to scattering rather than in situ recombination, the in situ emission component alone. Both astrograph/camera systems were flux calibrated through observations of the absolute flux standard star SAO 90153. For LDN 1780, individual sub-exposures of 900 s each were processed through bias subtraction, flat-fielding, and cosmic ray removal. Atmospheric extinction corrections were applied to each 900 s sub-exposure, before co-adding them. This was particularly important in the case of LDN 1780, because its negative declination required data acquisition over a fairly large range of air masses. We note here that the SHASSA data (Gaugstad et al. 2001), which form the basis for the Finkbeiner (2003) H\(\alpha\) map for LDN 1780, were not corrected for atmospheric extinction.

The narrowband H\(\alpha\) filter has a nearly rectangular transmission profile with 6 nm FWHM. In addition to the H\(\alpha\) line, this filter also transmits scattered light continuum around H\(\alpha\) and possibly light from the [N\(\text{II}\)] line at 654.81 nm and to a lesser extent light from the [N\(\text{II}\)] line at 658.34 nm, if there is significant radiation present in these lines in LDN 1780. As discussed by Madsen et al. (2006), these lines are fairly weak relative to H\(\alpha\) in H\(\Pi\) regions but are more significant in the radiation from the WIM. Observations of stellar continuum sources with spectral energy distributions similar to that of LDN 1780 with the BATC 9 filter and the H\(\alpha\) filter were used to determine the continuum contribution passing through the finite width (6 nm) of the H\(\alpha\) filter.

On 2010 July 13 and 14, one of us (G.J.M.) used the WHAM, currently located at CTIO, to measure the emission line ratios [N\(\text{II}\)]/H\(\alpha\) for the stronger [N\(\text{II}\)] line at 658.34 nm and [S\(\text{II}\)]/H\(\alpha\) for the [S\(\text{II}\)] line at 673.1 nm on LDN 1780 relative to the nearby sky. The 1° WHAM beam\(^9\) encloses the full extent of LDN 1780 as well as a substantial fraction of the adjacent sky. The excess H\(\alpha\) intensity of LDN 1780, averaged over the 1° beam relative to three sky positions at several degrees offset (same latitude, 2. OBSERVATIONS

Table 1

| Filter | \(\lambda\) (nm) | FWHM (nm) | Exp. Time (s) | Obs. Date |
|--------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| BATC 9 | 607             | 30.0      | 9000          | 2008 April 4 |
| BATC 9 | 666             | 51.7      | 8100          | 2008 April 4 |
| BATC 10| 705             | 29.0      | 9000          | 2008 April 4 |
| H\(\alpha\) narrow | 656.3      | 6.0       | 9000          | 2008 April 11 |

\(^9\)http://www.astro.wisc.edu/wham/old/description.html.
1.52 to lower $l$, 1:30 to higher $l$; same longitude, 3:0 to higher $b$), was found to be 0.90 K; the H$\alpha$ line profile was substantially narrower (18 km s$^{-1}$) than commonly seen in the high-latitude WIM (25–30 km s$^{-1}$). The line ratios were measured as [N$\text{II}$]/H$\alpha$ = 0.19 and [S$\text{II}$]/H$\alpha$ = 0.16, rather close to corresponding values in H II regions and much smaller than typically found in the diffuse WIM (Madsen et al. 2006).

While these recent WHAM measurements suggest that significant contamination of our H$\alpha$ intensities on LDN 1780 by contributions from the [N$\text{II}$] lines is not likely, we will carry out an additional examination of this question in Section 3 by comparing our measured intensities with those derived from the SHASSA data, which have been calibrated with pure WHAM H$\alpha$ intensities and should therefore be free of [N$\text{II}$] contamination. In the absence of contamination, we expect the two sets of H$\alpha$ intensities to agree within the measurement uncertainties.

3. ADDITIONAL DATA AND REDUCTIONS

3.1. Surface Brightness Morphology

We conducted differential surface brightness measurements for 378 individual circular source regions on LDN 1780 (radius 37$^\prime$) and the adjacent sky, represented by the average of 40 circular sky fields, as displayed in Figure 1 (top). The positions of the source regions were selected by eye to avoid contributions from stars as faint as $V \sim 21$ mag, yet were aimed to provide maximum surface coverage of the visible extent of LDN 1780.

The source regions were divided into five color-coded groups to distinguish regions by the dust column density through the cloud and the ISRF impinging upon the dust in them (Figure 1, top). Figure 1 (center) displays the image of LDN 1780 in the narrowband H$\alpha$ filter. The morphology of LDN 1780 observed with the H$\alpha$ narrowband filter appears to be identical to that in adjacent continuum filters. Given the width (6 nm) of the H$\alpha$ narrowband filter, both H$\alpha$ line photons as well as continuum photons are contributing to this image. Figure 1 (bottom) displays the residual H$\alpha$ image of LDN 1780, after the data in Figure 1 (center) have been sky subtracted and corrected for the continuum contribution in the narrowband H$\alpha$ filter. The latter was determined to be 12.38% of the continuum intensity measured through the broader BATC 9 filter, normalized to the same total exposure time, sky background and extinction corrected. This image shows the excess H$\alpha$ of LDN 1780 only. In order to overcome the large per pixel noise but still leave the stars as recognizable discrete sources, the image has been smoothed by a 7 pixel Gaussian. The most important aspect of the resulting image is that the high-opacity inner core region ($A_V \sim 4$ mag; Ridderstad et al. 2006) of LDN 1780 appears as a region of greatest H$\alpha$ brightness. The implications of this fact will be discussed in Section 4.

3.2. External Data

Other data sets employed in this study were the all-sky H$\alpha$ map of Finkbeiner (2003) and the all-sky maps of the IRAS 100 $\mu$m diffuse background and the line-of-sight dust extinction maps of Schlegel et al. (1998). Finally, we used numerical data for the optical depths through LDN 1780 that were derived from the intensity at 160 $\mu$m (Spitzer, MIPS) and the dust temperatures determined by A. N. Witt et al. (2010, in preparation). The dust temperatures were determined from the intensity ratios at 160 $\mu$m (MIPS) and 100 $\mu$m (IRAS) and thus refer to the equilibrium temperature of the large-grain population responsible for the far-infrared emission as well as the optical scattering in LDN 1780. The temperatures of these grains were found to lie in the range 14.5–16.8 K, typical for dust in interstellar clouds exposed to the ISRF near the Sun (Bot et al. 2009) and in good agreement with the dust temperatures for LDN 1780 independently determined by Ridderstad et al. (2006). We note that these dust temperatures are well below the minimum temperature (17.35 K) indicated by the Schlegel et al. (1998) dust temperature map for the center of LDN 1780. The reason for this discrepancy most likely arises from the low spatial resolution of the COBE/DIRBE 100 $\mu$m and 240 $\mu$m maps, which are the basis for the Schlegel et al. temperature map. With our temperatures based on the much higher IRAS 100 $\mu$m resolution, we determined the optical depth at 160 $\mu$m for each sampling position on LDN 1780 from the relation $\tau_{160} = I_{160}/B_{160}(T)$, where $B_{160}(T)$ is the Planck function for the dust temperature at the specific sampling field and $I_{160}$ is the corresponding measured MIPS intensity, both at the wavelength of 160 $\mu$m.

3.3. Possibility of Emission Line Contamination

We examined our measurements of the continuum-corrected excess H$\alpha$ intensities with regard to the reliability of our absolute calibration and the possible presence of [N$\text{II}$] line contamination by comparing them with the corresponding measurements of the excess intensities in the Finkbeiner H$\alpha$ map. The two data sets differ in several important aspects. (1) Resolution: our data (NMS, for New Mexico Skies) have a scale of 3′70 pixel$^{-1}$ while the SHASSA survey, which underlies this region of the Finkbeiner H$\alpha$ map, was conducted with an angular scale of 47′64 pixel$^{-1}$, with the final resolution of the Finkbeiner (2003) map reduced to a resolution of 6′. (2) Star subtraction: our data are free of starlight contamination to the limit of $V \sim 21$ mag by design while the SHASSA survey relied upon star subtraction based on stellar images in adjacent continuum images, which was not perfect in all cases (see Gaustad et al. 2001 and Finkbeiner 2003 for details). (3) Atmospheric extinction correction: The NMS data were corrected for atmospheric extinction at the sub-exposure (900 s) level while the SHASSA data were not corrected for atmospheric extinction. (4) Absolute calibration: the NMS data were calibrated against the absolute flux standard star SAO 90153, whereas the SHASSA data were calibrated against sources with known H$\alpha$ fluxes, with an absolute zero point based on WHAM H$\alpha$ measurements, which are pure, uncontaminated H$\alpha$ intensities. The LDN 1780 data obtained from the Finkbeiner map should, therefore, be free of contamination from [N$\text{II}$] lines, while the NMS data may not be.

The comparison of the intensities measured in LDN 1780 is shown in Figure 2. In order to facilitate this comparison, the resolution of the Finkbeiner map was artificially increased to match that of the NMS data. The ideal 1:1 correlation is indicated by the solid diagonal line; the least-squares linear fit (dashed line) through the origin suggests that the Finkbeiner intensities, on average, are about 11% higher than the corresponding NMS intensities. This difference is small compared to the typical errors in each measurement represented by the error bars in Figure 2. This independent test is consistent with our recent measurement of the [N$\text{II}$]/H$\alpha$ line intensity ratio for LDN 1780 (see Section 2), which showed the [N$\text{II}$] lines to be weak. We therefore conclude that contamination of the NMS data by light from [N$\text{II}$] lines passing through our H$\alpha$ filter is insignificant.
Figure 1. Top: red continuum image of LDN 1780, identifying the 378 sampling areas (colored circles) and 40 sky reference fields (white). The field shown is 88′ × 56′. Each sampling area is 74′ in diameter. Typical line-of-sight extinctions through LDN 1780 are $A_V \sim 1$ mag (cyan, blue, and yellow), $A_V \sim 2$ mag (green), and $A_V \sim 4$ mag (red). North is up and east is to the left. Middle: 9000 s exposure of LDN 1780 with a 6 nm wide narrowband Hα filter. Bottom: Hα excess image of LDN 1780 after corrections for sky and continuum contributions in the narrowband Hα filter. The image has been smoothed with a 7 pixel Gaussian. The intensity scales are counts pixel$^{-1}$. 
4. ANALYSIS OF THE Hα EXCESS IN LDN 1780

As seen in Figure 1 (bottom), LDN 1780 stands out brightly against the surrounding sky at Hα. We further observe that both sets of measurements shown in Figure 2 consistently identify the densest parts of LDN 1780 with $A_V \sim 4$ mag, represented by the red symbols (see Figure 1, top), as the region of maximum Hα intensities. Such brightness contrast would not be expected if the Hα radiation from LDN 1780 were the result of photoionization. If ionizing photons could penetrate via low-density paths and voids through a turbulent ISM to the altitude of LDN 1780, as recently suggested by Wood et al. (2010), the resulting ionization would be limited to a thin outer shell of LDN 1780, given its maximum visual extinction of $A_V \sim 4$ mag and its known nature as a molecular cloud with a dense core and a more diffuse outer envelope (Toth et al. 1995). In such a morphology, the outer, optically thin regions would appear brightest in Hα line emission, while the optically thick core regions would appear only about half as bright as the extinction in the core would attenuate the Hα radiation from the far side. This is contrary to the observations shown in Figure 1 (bottom). The Hα morphology of LDN 1780 is indistinguishable from its appearance in the adjacent continuum, which is well understood as originating through scattering of the ISRF by the dust grains in LDN 1780 (Mattila 1979). These facts strongly imply that photoionization by external Lyman continuum photons is not the cause of the observed Hα light in LDN 1780, while dust scattering of Hα photon from elsewhere in the Galaxy could provide a natural explanation.

The same reasoning caused del Burgo & Cambresy (2006) to look for an ionization source other than photoionization for LDN 1780, one that would be capable of penetrating deeply into the interior of the cloud. They suggested ionization by cosmic rays, which would be supported by a linear relation between the resulting Hα intensity and the optical depth through the cloud. In our view, they were misled into believing that there exists such a linear relation between the Hα intensity and the visual extinction through LDN 1780 by applying what we consider an inappropriate extinction correction (their Equation (7)). We will show in this section that the actual observed functional dependence of the Hα surface brightness on the optical depths of different cloud regions is a natural consequence of the radiative transfer of an external ISRF through the interior of LDN 1780.

The actual observed scattering response of LDN 1780 to illumination by an external ISRF in terms of the intensity $I$ as a function of the line-of-sight optical depth $\tau$ is the solution to the equation of transfer. In the range of optical depths from the optically thin limit to moderately optically thick regimes as represented by LDN 1780, this solution has the general functional form

$$ I(\tau) = A[1 - \exp(-\tau)], \quad (1) $$

The constant $A$ is a product of three quantities: (1) the average intensity $J$ of the incident ISRF; (2) the dust albedo $\alpha$; and (3) the phase function contribution factor $P$, which measures the coupling between the anisotropic external ISRF to the line of sight to the cloud through the forward-directed scattering phase function of the dust grains (Witt 1985). Rather than trying to find the solution to the actual transfer problem for LDN 1780 through modeling with all its inherent approximations and uncertainties, we will employ an empirical approach by using the well-observed scattered light intensities in our two continuum filters immediately adjacent to Hα, BATC 8 (607 nm) and BATC 10 (705 nm), to provide the functional parameters for the solution to the equation of transfer.

In Figure 3(a), we plot the averaged observed intensities $I_{\text{cont}}$ in these two filters against the optical depth $\tau_{160} \mu$m (see Section 3) for all observed positions on LDN 1780. The least-squares curve fit shown corresponds to the function

$$ I_{\text{cont}} = A[1 - \exp(-B \tau_{160})], \quad (2) $$

where the constant $A$ is the appropriate value for the continuum adjacent to Hα and the constant $B$ is the ratio of the optical depths around Hα and at 160 $\mu$m. We find these values:

$$ A = 31.86 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad B = 1157.92. $$

This lets us conclude that the maximum optical depth of LDN 1780 near Hα is $\tau_{160} = 0.0026 \times 1157.92 = 3.01$, consistent with the maximum extinction through LDN 1780 of $A_V = 4.2$ mag found by Riddenstad et al. (2006) and the ratio of $\tau_{160}/A_V = 0.714$ (Weingartner & Draine 2001) for Milky Way dust with $R_V = 3.1$. The average continuum ISRF intensity in the vicinity of the Sun near Hα is estimated to be $J(656 \text{ nm}) = 1.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$ (Porter & Strong 2005) and the dust albedo is modeled as $\alpha = 0.56$ (Draine 2003). This allows us to estimate the phase function contribution factor $P = 0.38$. A value of $P$ less than unity is expected for forward-scattering grains and a scattering geometry where large-angle scattering prevails, as is the case of high-latitude clouds illuminated preferentially by sources near the Galactic plane (Witt 1985; Stark 1995). As Figure 3(a) shows, the ISRF impinging upon LDN 1780 is clearly non-isotropic. The southern regions facing the Milky Way plane, represented by blue, cyan, and green symbols, are illuminated by a stronger radiation field, whereas the northern regions represented by the yellow symbols are illuminated by intensities that are 20%–30% lower compared to the southern regions.
A relation identical in functional form to Equation (2) should also fit the measurements of the Hα excess surface brightness if the Hα light in LDN 1780 is a result of scattering by the same grains with the same scattering geometry as the ones that apply to the adjacent continuum. To arrive at the appropriate value for the constant A, the first factor representing the average continuum radiation density needs to be replaced by the average Hα intensity. This is found by averaging the Finkbeiner Hα map over the entire sky. Mattila et al. (2007) and Lehtinen et al. (2010) report a value for the all-sky Hα average intensity of 8 R. The other two factors contributing to the value of the constant A remain approximately the same as found earlier for the continuum, given that the illumination geometries for the continuum and Hα are very similar. Thus, we estimate $A(Hα) = 1.70 \, \text{R}$ if we assume that the ratio of the Hα line intensity to continuum intensity as seen by LDN 1780 is the same as found near the Sun. The constant B should be identical to that found from Figure 3(a), because, by design, B refers to an effective wavelength of 656 nm, the same as Hα.

We plot our Hα surface brightness measurements as a function of the optical depth $τ_{160}$ in Figure 3(b). The solid curve is the expected scattering solution for $A = 1.70 \, \text{R}$. It fits the Hα intensities for the northern portion of LDN 1780 rather well but fails to predict the higher intensities in the southern and central portions of the cloud. A more satisfactory solution for these portions of LDN 1780 with $A = 2.35$ is represented by the dashed curve, which implies that the radiation field as seen by the southern side of LDN 1780 has a 38% higher ratio of Hα to continuum intensities than the ISRF near the Sun. An examination of the environment of LDN 1780 on the Finkbeiner Hα map reveals two giant HII regions at Galactic latitudes $b \sim +22\degree$ just to the south of LDN 1780, with maximum Hα surface brightnesses near 100 R. These two HII regions are powered by the OB stars ζ Oph and δ Sco, with approximate $Hipparcos$-derived distances (Perryman et al. 1997) of 140 pc and 123 pc, respectively. These two HII regions are therefore separated from LDN 1780 by only about 50–40 pc to the south, illuminating LDN 1780 under albeit rather inefficient scattering angles near 70°. The presence of these HII regions could well explain the greater relative strength of Hα in the southern portions of LDN 1780. Considering the closeness of the fits in Figure 3(b), we conclude, in agreement with Mattila et al. (2007), that both the absolute Hα surface brightness in LDN 1780 and in particular its dependence on the optical depth through this cloud are fully consistent with dust scattering by typical interstellar grains in this cloud. These fits do not require a 10-fold locally enhanced cosmic ray flux, nor are they consistent with the expected surface brightness distribution produced through photoionization by external Lyman continuum photons.

5. CONSEQUENCES FOR THE HIGH-LATITUDE Hα BACKGROUND

The high-latitude Galactic sky is dominated by extended dust structures, which have been observed at both optical (Sandage 1976; Witt et al. 2008) and far-infrared wavelengths (Low et al. 1984). The dust morphologies in the two spectral ranges mirror each other closely (de Vries & Le Poole 1985; Stark 1995), supporting the conclusion that the optical continuum intensity is due to scattering of the Galactic ISRF by wavelength-sized dust grains, while the far-infrared emission is due to the equilibrium thermal emission from the same dust grains, re-radiating that portion of the ISRF that is absorbed by them (Guhathakurta & Tyson 1989; Guhathakurta & Cutri 1994). Both the absolute and relative intensities of these two radiation phenomena are consistent with the intensity of the Galactic ISRF in the solar vicinity and the known scattering parameters (Draine 2003) of interstellar dust.
At high latitudes, the intensities of the optical continuum and far-infrared backgrounds are linearly related, because the respective intensities result from scattering and absorption of the same ISRF by the same population of interstellar grains, and because the high-latitude sky is optically thin at both optical and far-infrared wavelengths. However, only at blue wavelengths can the optical background be fully attributed to scattering (Mathis 1973), while at red wavelengths it appears to exhibit a significant contribution from dust luminescence or extended red emission (ERE), as shown by Guhathakurta & Tyson (1989) and Gordon et al. (1998). Therefore, in the subsequent analysis we rely only on the observed relation between the intensities at blue optical and at far-infrared wavelengths.

For optically thin cirrus clouds, Witt et al. (2008) found a linear relation between the optical scattered light intensities near 457 nm and the 100 μm thermal emission intensities recorded by the IRAS survey (Schlegel et al. 1998):

\[ I(457 \text{ nm}) \text{[} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{Å}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1} \text{]} = 3.243 \times 10^{-9} I(100 \mu \text{m}) \text{[MJy sr}^{-1} \text{]} \].

(3)

The optically thin high-latitude dust clouds exhibit a scattered light spectrum with an intensity ratio (Witt et al. 2008)

\[ \frac{I(656 \text{ nm})}{I(457 \text{ nm})} \sim 0.68. \]

(4)

From the results shown in Figures 3(a) and (b) and the associated curve fits, we adopt a line-to-continuum ratio

\[ J(H\alpha) / J(656 \text{ nm}) = 6.0 \times 10^{7} [R \text{ erg}^{-1} \text{cm}^{2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{Å}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}]. \]

(5)

This corresponds to a fit to the Hα intensities in Figure 3(b) with a constant A = 1.92, which is intermediate to the two fits shown with A = 1.7 and A = 2.35 but closer to the lower one.

Combining the last three relations, we expect a scattered Hα intensity in the high-latitude, optically thin dust corresponding to the measured far-infrared intensities I(100 μm) [MJy sr^{-1}]:

\[ I(H\alpha)[R] = (0.129 \pm 0.015) \times I(100 \mu \text{m}) \text{[MJy sr}^{-1} \text{]} . \]

(6)

This relationship assumes that all high-latitude dust structures see an ISRF intensity approximately the same as that observed in the solar vicinity, with the same Hα line-to-continuum ratio. We justify this assumption with the fact that virtually all high-latitude cirrus dust clouds owe their large angular extent and their high latitudes to their proximity to the Sun (Weiland et al. 1986; Franco 1989; Szomoru & Guhathakurta 1999). The cloud distances, typically 100–200 pc, are small in comparison with the distances of most of the principal Hα sources at low Galactic latitudes.

By applying Equation (6) to the 100 μm IRAS map of Schlegel et al. (1998), we now have a way for estimating the contribution to the high-latitude Hα background due to Galactic dust scattering. We estimate the uncertainty in this prediction to be about 12%, a combination of the estimated uncertainties in the relations shown in Equations (3)–(5) of 3%, 5%, and 10%, respectively.

We applied Equation (6) to the entire high-latitude sky, where the linear, single-scattering approximation Equation (6) is expected to be valid (τ(Hα) < 1). Figure 4(a) shows the resulting histogram of the numbers of 6′ pixels with measured total Hα intensities (black), predicted scattered Hα intensities (green), and residual Hα intensities (red) attributed to in situ recombination in the WIM. The most likely value of scattered Hα intensities (0.1 R) is about 19% of the most frequently encountered measured total Hα intensity (0.52 R). Thus, when averaged over the entire high-latitude sky, our prediction is in fair agreement with the expectations from radiative transfer models of Wood & Reynolds (1999) and the recent analysis of the free–free emission and associated Hα emission from the WIM by Dong & Draine (2010).

We next analyzed a northern Galactic sky region associated with extended cirrus structures, limited by Galactic longitudes (340° to 60°) and Galactic latitudes (+35° to +75°). Figure 4(b) shows the corresponding histograms of the frequency of the observed Hα intensities from the map of Finkbeiner (2003), of the predicted Hα-scattered light intensities, and the residual Hα intensities that can be attributed to in situ recombination, all without extinction corrections for this region. Here, the total observed Hα intensity displays a distinct double-peaked distribution, while the predicted scattered Hα intensities peak at a higher value (0.16 R) than for the high-latitude sky overall (0.10 R). We find that the removal of the scattered Hα contribution leads to a narrowing of the frequency distribution of the residual Hα intensities attributable to in situ emission, implying smaller amplitude in the spatial structure of the in situ emission component than previously thought (Hill et al. 2007), and an overall reduction in average intensity by about a factor of 2. This implies that the free–free emission template for such high-latitude regions used in the WMAP reductions (Bennett et al. 2003; Gold et al. 2010) has been overestimated in both strength and spatial structure. We suggest that the standard assumption in the reduction of CMB observations, namely that the observed Hα all-sky map of Finkbeiner (2003) is a suitable template for free–free emission in the Galactic foreground, must be reexamined, especially as it applies to high-latitude parts of the sky.

In contrast to the effect of scattering, the correction for foreground extinction, using the standard approach of Bennett et al. (2003), of the observed Hα intensities or the Hα residual intensities after removal of the scattered component introduces relatively small changes, as illustrated in Figure 4(c).

The observed Hα high-latitude background before correction for scattered Hα is much more structured than the component we identify as attributable to in situ recombination. The FWHM values of the two respective intensity frequency distributions shown in Figure 4(c) are different by about a factor of 2. Figure 5 shows a map of all high-latitude parts of the sky with 1° resolution, where the linear, single-scattering approximation Equation (6) is expected to apply (τ(Hα) < 1). We display the ratio of scattered Hα to total observed Hα intensities. We find many extended regions where the fraction of the scattered Hα intensity is of order 0.5 or higher, while it is much lower in other regions. Specifically, at a resolution of 1°, we find for the unmasked areas, representing 81.4% of the entire sky, that 51.7% exhibits a ratio of scattered-to-total Hα of ≤0.25, 38.7% of the region falls into the range 0.25–0.50, and 8.3% reaches values of 0.5–0.75, with only 1.3% exceeding the value of 0.75. The last category increases in coverage about fourfold when the pixel size is changed from 1° to 6′, with a corresponding decrease in the second interval (0.25–0.50). This emphasizes the fact that the scattered Hα contribution adds particularly to the small-scale structure of the Hα background.

The estimate by Wood & Reynolds (1999), suggesting that between 5% and 20% of the all-sky Hα background may be attributable to scattering by dust, appears to be in good...
agreement with our estimates for about half of the high-latitude sky, especially if lower latitudes are included (Figure 4(a)), but the authors failed to account for the highly structured distribution in the ratio of Hα scattering to Hα in situ emission (Figure 4(b)) in their model. It is precisely this structure that is important in attempts to determine the small-scale anisotropy of the CMB at angular scales of \( \lesssim 1 \degree \).

Also shown in Figure 5 are the published positions where WHAM measurements of the [S\text{ii}]/Hα line intensity ratio have been obtained by Reynolds (1985, 1988) and Madsen et al. (2006). It appears the selection criteria applied to these observations have resulted in a somewhat biased data set in the sense that the chosen directions fall either very close to the Galactic plane or predominantly in high-latitude regions where we identify the scattered Hα contribution to be very small. We averaged the ratios of the scattered-to-total Hα intensities predicted by Equation (6) for all those individual pointings shown as small open circles falling outside the masked area in Figure 5, with a resulting average of scattered-to-total Hα of 0.14. Also shown with a cyan outline is a continuous region surveyed by Madsen et al. (2006). In Figure 6, we show the line emission ratios [S\text{ii}]/Hα as a function of the predicted ratio of scattered-to-total Hα for those pointings within this region that fall outside the near-plane masked area. For comparison, we also entered the corresponding values for the excess emission in LDN 1780, based on our recent WHAM measurements. While the data from the Madsen et al. (2006) survey appear to represent...
to a large extent a typical WIM environment with little scattering and significantly enhanced \([\text{S} \text{II}] / \text{H} \alpha\) ratios compared to those seen in H II regions, this comparison shows LDN 1780 to be clearly distinct from the WIM, with an emission line ratio similar to that of H II regions. This is an independent indication that the Hα excess in LDN 1780 is a result of scattering. Note that the total ratio of scattered-to-total Hα emission toward LDN 1780 is about 0.65, reflecting the fact that in addition to the Hα excess in LDN 1780 analyzed in this paper, additional Hα intensity of both scattering and recombination origin is seen in the direction of LDN 1780.

It is our conclusion that the ratio of scattered-to-total Hα background across the high-latitude sky is highly variable and that this could be demonstrated by conducting an unbiased survey of the \([\text{S} \text{II}] / \text{H} \alpha\) line intensity ratio. While our results may lead to a reduction in the Hα intensities attributable to in situ emission in the WIM, they do not eliminate in situ emission in the WIM as a real phenomenon requiring further study (e.g., Wood et al. 2010).

After completion of this work, a paper by K.-I. Seon et al. (arXiv:1006.4419) became available, dealing with the analysis of the far-ultraviolet (FUV) continuum background observed by SPEAR/FIMS. The latitude-dependent FUV background is consistent with scattering by interstellar dust. At Galactic latitudes \(|b| > 25^\circ\), these authors find a close linear correlation between the diffuse Hα background taken from the Finkbeiner map and their FUV background intensities. The most likely interpretation of this result is that the high-latitude Hα background is significantly affected by its dust-scattered component.

6. CONCLUSIONS

1. We confirm the prediction of Jura (1979) and the findings of Mattila et al. (2007) that the excess surface brightness in Hα light of LDN 1780, and by extension in other high-latitude dust structures (Lehtinen et al. 2010), is due to scattering of Hα photons present in the Galactic ISRF, having originated in emission regions elsewhere.

2. Our determination of the line-to-continuum ratio of the scattered light in LDN 1780, when combined with the earlier work relating the optical continuum intensity to the 100 μm surface brightness of optically thin high-latitude clouds, allows us to predict the intensity of the expected scattered Hα intensity for large fractions of the high-latitude Galactic sky.

3. We find the ratio of scattered-to-total observed Hα intensities for many regions dominated by Galactic cirrus dust to be of the order of 0.5, higher than predicted by Wood & Reynolds (1999), but with considerable small-scale structure and spatial variation.

4. The standard assumption in the reduction of CMB observations, namely that the observed Hα all-sky map of Finkbeiner (2003) is a suitable template for free–free emission in the Galactic foreground, must be reexamined, especially as it applies to high-latitude parts of the sky.
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