Cage effect in supercooled molecular liquids: local anisotropies and collective solid-like response
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Both local geometry and collective, extended excitations drive the moves of a particle in the cage of its neighbours in dense liquids. The strength of their influence is investigated by Molecular Dynamics simulations of a supercooled liquid of fully-flexible trimers with semirigid or rigid bonds. The rattling in the cage is investigated on different length scales. First, the rattling anisotropy due to local order is characterized by two order parameters sensing the monomers succeeding or failing to escape from the cage. Then, the collective response of the surroundings excited by the monomer-monomer collisions is considered. The collective response is initially restricted to the nearest neighbours of the colliding particle by a Voronoi analysis revealing elastic contributions. Then, the long-range excitation of the farthest neighbours is scrutinised by searching spatially-extended correlations between the simultaneous fast displacements of the caged particle and the surroundings. It is found that the longitudinal component has stronger spatial modulation than the transverse one with wavelength of about one particle diameter, in close resemblance with experimental findings on colloids. It is concluded that the cage rattling is largely affected by solid-like extended modes.

I. INTRODUCTION

The relation between the structure and the dynamics is a key problem in liquid-state [1–4] and polymer [5, 6] physics. Here, we address the case of dense supercooled liquids where each particle is temporarily trapped by the cage formed by the first neighbours. The lifetime of the cage is set by the structural relaxation and quantified by the structural relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$ which exceeds the rattling times of the particle in the cage of several orders of magnitude on approaching the glass transition from above.

Which are the key aspects driving the moves of the trapped particle in the cage? At very short times, fractions of picoseconds, the local geometry plays the leading role. This inspired the free-volume model [7] which has been recently re-examined [8–11]. The role of the local structure is seen by e.g. considering the short-time expansion of the mean square displacement (MSD):

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = 3 v^2 t^2 - \frac{1}{4} v^2 \Omega_0^2 t^4 + \cdots$$

(1)

where $v = \sqrt{k_B T / m}$ is the thermal velocity [12]. Initially, MSD is ballistic but early collisions with the first neighbours slow down the particle. $\Omega_0$ is an effective collision frequency. More precisely, $\Omega_0$ is the frequency at which the tagged particle would vibrate if it were undergoing small oscillations in the potential well produced by the surrounding monomers when kept fixed at their mean equilibrium positions [12]. Collisions lead also to correlation loss of the velocity and the related correlation function starts to decay as

$$C_{\nu\nu}(t) = 3v^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\Omega_0^2}{2} t^2 + \cdots \right)$$

(2)

After a few collisions, velocity correlations reveal oscillatory components due to sound waves that, owing to the low compressibility of liquids, reach wavelengths of a few particle diameters [1, 13]. This means that the particle displacement is also affected by collective, elastic modes. Later, the particle completes the exploration of the cage in a time $t^*$, a few picoseconds, and, in the absence of escape processes, MSD would levels off at $\langle r^2(t^*) \rangle \equiv \langle u^2 \rangle$, the mean square amplitude of the cage rattling (related to the Debye-Waller factor). In actual cases, early breakouts cause MSD to increase for $t > t^*$ and an inflection point appears at $t^*$ in the log-log plot of $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ [2, 9, 14].

We aim at clarifying by extensive molecular-dynamics (MD) numerical simulations of a supercooled molecular liquid if the single-particle fast dynamics up to $\sim t^*$ is more contributed by the local geometry of the cage or the solid-like extended modes. To be more precise, the influence of the local geometry will be examined by considering how the positions of the particles forming the cage at a given initial time affect the direction of the subsequent displacement of the particle in the cage. Instead, the influence of extended collective modes will be studied by the correlations between the direction of the displacement of the particle in the cage with the simultaneous displacements of the surrounding particles. The present study contributes to our continuing effort to understand the microscopic origin of the universal correlation between the fast dynamics, by using $\langle u^2 \rangle$ as metric, and the re-
laxation and transport, found in simulations of polymers [15–17], supercooled binary atomic mixtures [16, 18], colloidal gels [19] and antiplasticized polymers [10, 20], and supported by the experimental data concerning several glassformers in a wide fragility range \( (20 \leq m \leq 191) \) [15, 18, 21–23]. On a wider perspective, our investigations on the correlation between \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) and the relaxation are part of the intense ongoing research on the relation between the vibrational dynamics and the relaxation in glassforming systems. As a matter of fact, despite the huge range of time scales older [24] and recent theoretical [10, 25–31] studies addressed the rattling process in the cage to understand the structural relaxation, gaining support from numerical [9, 10, 14–23, 32–45] and experimental works on glassforming liquids [10, 46, 47] and glasses [28, 48–53].

The coupling between the rattling process and extended, fast modes has been indicated [14, 31, 37, 38]. Recent support to the collective character of the cage rattling is the evidence of spatially extended correlations (up to about the fourth shell) between the simultaneous fast displacements of the caged particle and the surrounding ones [44, 45]. They revealed a rather promising feature, i.e. states with identical spatial correlations exhibit equal mean square amplitude of the cage rattling \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) and structural relaxation time \( \tau_\alpha \) [44, 45]. The role of extended modes in the cage rattling and the relaxation process is also suggested by the so-called elastic models, see refs. [54, 55] for excellent reviews and refs. [20, 43, 52, 56–66] for recent related papers. Recent improvements include the finding of the universal correlation between the cage rattling and the linear elasticity drawn by simulation [43] and supported by comparison with the experiments [64].

The influence of local order on the rattling motion in the cage has been recently considered. The local structure was found to correlate poorly with the cage rattling and then structural relaxation in liquids of linear trimers [67, 68] and atomic mixtures [67]. In particular, it was found that:

- physical states with equal mean square amplitude of the cage rattling \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) and structural relaxation time \( \tau_\alpha \) have different distributions of the cage geometries [67, 68];
- for a given state of a liquid of linear chains (trimers or decamers), the end and the central monomers, which have different distributions of the cage geometries, have equal \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) and structural relaxation time \( \tau_\alpha \) [68].

Notice that the coincidence of \( \langle u^2 \rangle \) and \( \tau_\alpha \) of two states mirror the coincidence of the self-part of the van Hove function \( G_s(r, t^*) \) and \( G_s(r, \tau_\alpha) \), respectively [17, 18]. These findings are fully consistent with Berthier and Jack who concluded that the influence of structure on dynamics is weak on short length scale and becomes much stronger on long length scale [69]. Several approaches suggest that structural aspects matter in the dynamics of glassforming systems. This includes the Adam-Gibbs derivation of the structural relaxation [41, 70] - built on the thermodynamic notion of the configurational entropy [71] - , the mode- coupling theory [2] and extensions [72], the random first-order transition theory [73], the frustration-based approach [74], as well as the so-called elastic models [9, 20, 43, 52, 54–59, 62–66] in that the modulus is set by the arrangement of the particles in mechanical equilibrium and their mutual interactions [43, 54]. It was concluded that the proper inclusion of many-body static correlations in theories of the glass transition appears crucial for the description of the dynamics of fragile glass formers [75]. The search of a link between structural ordering and slow dynamics motivated several studies in liquids [76–80] colloids [81–83] and polymeric systems [81, 84–89].

To discriminate between the roles of the local geometry and the collective extended modes in the single-particle vibrational dynamics, the cage rattling will be examined on different length scales. First, we characterize the rattling process by local anisotropies, namely order parameters which are projections of the direction of the displacement of the central particle onto a fixed local axis. We are inspired by a seminal work by Rahman in an atomic liquid [90], studying the directional correlations between the particle displacement of the trapped particle in the cage and the position of the centroid \( C \) of the vertices of the associated Voronoi polyhedron (VP). The interest relies on the fact that the VP vertices are located close to the voids between the particles and thus mark the weak spots of the cage. It has been shown in simulations of atomic liquids [90] and experiments on granular matter [11] that the particle initially moves towards the centroid, so that cage rattling and VP geometry are correlated at very short times. We extend such studies to later times to reveal the sharp crossover to a regime where the anisotropic rattling excites the collective response of the surroundings. The collective response is initially restricted to the nearest neighbours of the colliding particle by investigating statics and fluctuations of the VP surface, volume and asphericity [91]. Then, the long-range excitation of the farthest neighbours is evidenced as spatially-extended correlations between the simultaneous fast displacements of the caged particle and the surroundings.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II the molecular models and the MD algorithms are presented. The results are discussed in Sec. III. In particular, Sec. III A presents the general aspects of the transport and relaxation of interest. The cage rattling process is examined on the local, intermediate and large length scales in Sec. III B, Sec. III C, and Sec. III D, respectively. Finally, the main conclusions are summarized in Sec. IV.

II. METHODS

A coarse-grained model of a melt of \( N \) linear fully-flexible molecules with three monomers per chain is considered. Full flexibility is ensured by the absence of both torsional or bending potentials hindering the bond orientations. The total number of particles is \( N = 2001 \). Non-bonded monomers at a distance \( r \) interact via a truncated Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential \( U_{LJ}(r) = \varepsilon [\left( \sigma^* / r \right)^{12} - 2\left( \sigma^* / r \right)^6] + U_{cut} \) for \( r < r_c = 2.5 \sigma \) and zero otherwise, where \( \sigma^* = \sqrt[2]{\varepsilon} \sigma \) is the position of the potential minimum with depth \( \varepsilon \). The value of the constant \( U_{cut} \) is chosen to ensure that \( U_{LJ}(r) \) is continuous at \( r = r_c \). In the case of semirigid bonds, the bonded
monomers interact by a potential which is the sum of the LJ potential and the FENE (finely extended nonlinear elastic) potential $U^\text{FENE}(r) = -1/2 \, k \, r_b^2 \ln \left(1 - r^2/R_b^2\right)$ where $k$ measures the magnitude of the interaction and $R_b$ is the maximum elongation distance [6, 68]. The parameters $k$ and $R_b$ have been set to $30 \, \varepsilon/\sigma^2$ and $1.5 \, \sigma$ respectively [92]. The resulting bond length is $b = 0.97 \, \sigma$ within a few percent. All quantities are in reduced units: length in units of $\sigma$, temperature in units of $\varepsilon/k_B$ (with $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant) and time $\tau_{MD}$ in units of $\sigma \sqrt{\varepsilon/m}$ where $m$ is the monomer mass. We set $m = k_B = 1$. One time unit corresponds to a few picoseconds [66]. We investigate states with number density $\rho = 1.086$ and temperature $T = 0.6, 0.63, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1$. States with rigid bonds having bond length $b_{\text{rigid}} = 0.97$ are also studied with the same density and $T = 0.6, 0.9$. We also considered a crystalline state, with the same density of the other states, obtained by spontaneous crystallization of an equilibrated liquid made of trimers with rigid bonds at $T = 0.7$. Apart from the crystalline state, the average pressure of the other states ranges between $P = 6.0$ at $T = 0.6$ and $P = 10.2$ at $T = 1$ for the semirigid system and very similar results for rigid bonds ($T = 0.6, P = 6.5$). This corresponds to a compressibility factor $Z = P/\rho \, T \approx 10$, comparable to other studies, e.g. Kremer and Grest found $4.84 \leq P \leq 5.55$ with density $\rho = 0.85$ and $T = 1$, corresponding to $Z \sim 6.1$ [93]. Periodic boundary conditions are used. NVT ensemble (constant number of particles, volume and temperature) has been used for equilibration runs with Nosé-Hoover thermostat (damping parameter 0.3), while NVE ensemble (constant number of particles, volume and energy) has been used for production runs for a given state point [94]. The simulations of systems with semirigid bonds are carried out by using LAMMPS molecular dynamics software (http://lammps.sandia.gov) [95]. The equations of motion of the system with rigid bonds are integrated by using a dedicated software developed in-house [96, 97] with a Verlet algorithm in velocity form and RATTLE algorithm [94]. Both LAMMPS and the in-house software set the time step at $3 \cdot 10^{-3}$, yielding an energy drift of about 1% in NVE runs. For each state we averaged over at least sixteen different runs (twenty-four runs at $T = 0.6$ due to increasing dynamical heterogeneity [4]). This effort was needed to reach appreciable statistical accuracy in the evaluation of several collective quantities, including the extremely time-consuming evaluation of the tiny anisotropies of the monomer random walk, see Sec.III B, and the VP volume and surface correlation functions, see Sec.III C 2. The equilibration procedure involves runs with time lengths $\Delta t_{eq}$ exceeding at least three times the average reorientation time of the end-end vector [98]. The procedure ensures that the slowest correlation functions of interest drop at $\Delta t_{eq}$ to a few percent of their maximum value. In order to test the equilibration procedure, we checked if the states under study comply with the universal correlation between the mean square amplitude of the cage rattling and the relaxation in metastable liquids, see Sec.III A and refs. [10, 15–23]. Since the correlation is highly sensitive to non-equilibrium effects, the observed perfect agreement, see Fig.2 (bottom), provides confidence about the equilibration procedure. It must be pointed out that the present work is interested only in the time window where the structural relaxation is completed. From this respect, given the considerable effort to reach significant accuracy, the production runs at the lowest temperatures were extended only up to $\sim 10 \, \tau_{\alpha}$.

Since the model with rigid bonds exhibits weak crystallization resistance, we have paid particular attention to detect any crystallization signature. The detailed discussion is deferred to Appendix B. We summarize the results: i) no crystalline fraction is revealed in all the systems with semirigid bonds, and the system with rigid bonds at $T = 0.9$; ii) the possible crystalline fraction of the system with rigid bonds at $T = 0.6$, if present, is so small as to play no role.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We now present and discuss the results about our trimeric liquid. The states represent a significant set spanning a wide range of relaxation times. Below, it will be shown that they exhibit key features of the supercooled liquids, e.g. the stretching of the relaxation [4, 15, 99], the presence of dynamical heterogeneity [4, 15, 99, 100], and all comply with the universal scaling between the cage rattling and the structural relaxation found in several glass-forming systems [10, 15–23]. From this respect, we believe that the conclusions to be drawn by their analysis are representative of supercooled molecular liquids broadly.
We define the monomer displacement in a time $t$ as:

$$\Delta r_i(t) = r_i(t) - r_i(0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $r_i(t)$ is the vector position of the $i$-th monomer at time $t$. The mean square displacement (MSD) $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ is expressed as:

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} ||\Delta r_i(t)||^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where brackets denote the ensemble average. In addition to MSD the incoherent, self part of the intermediate scattering function (ISF) is also considered:

$$F_s(q,t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{iqt} \Delta r_j(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

ISF was evaluated at $q = q_{max}$, the maximum of the static structure factor ($7.29 \leq q_{max} \leq 7.35$).

Fig.2 shows MSD of the molecular monomers (top) and ISF (middle) curves of the states of interest. At very short times (ballistic regime) MSD increases according to $\langle r^2(t) \rangle \approx (3k_B T/m) t^2$ and ISF starts to decay. The repeated collisions slow the displacement of the tagged monomer, as evinced by the knee of MSD at $t \sim t_m = 0.175$, i.e. very close to the minimum of the velocity correlation function, see Fig.1. At later times a quasi-plateau region, also found in ISF, occurs when the temperature is lowered. This signals the increased caging of the particle. Trapping is permanent in the crystalline state so that neither MSD nor ISF decay. In the other states, an inflection point is seen at $t^* = 1.023$ in the log-log MSD plot, see Fig.2 (top). $t^*$ is state-independent in the present model [15]. The inflection point signals the end of the exploration of the cage by the trapped particle and the subsequent early escapes. The average escape time yields the structural relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$, defined by the relation $F_s(q_{max},\tau_\alpha) = e^{-1}$. For $t > \tau_\alpha$ MSD increases more steeply and finally ends up in the diffusive regime, whereas ISF decays to zero as a stretched exponential with stretching parameter $\beta \sim 0.6$.

Fig.2 (bottom) shows that all the states under study (apart from the crystalline state) comply with the universal scaling between the fast vibrational dynamics and the slow relaxation in glass-forming systems, as expressed by the master curve between the mean square amplitude of the cage rattling $\langle u^2 \rangle \equiv \langle r^2(t^*) \rangle$ and the structural relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$ [10, 15–23].

It is known [15, 100] that the mean square amplitude of the cage rattling $\langle u^2 \rangle$ scales also the non-gaussian parameter $\alpha_2$, a measure of the non-gaussian character of the dynamics, and then of its heterogeneous character ($\alpha_2$ vanishes for gaussian, homogeneous dynamics) [99]. Scaling means that the maximum of the non-gaussian parameter $\alpha_2^{max}$ is a universal function of the structural relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$ [15, 100]. On this basis, given the structural relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$ of the states under study, we see that they range from states with virtually no dynamical heterogeneity, $\alpha_2^{max} \sim 0.2$, up to states with significant heterogeneity, $\alpha_2^{max} \sim 3.4$. 

---

**A. Transport and relaxation: general aspects**

The cage effect is well evidenced by the velocity self-correlation function $C_{vv}(t)$ [1], which is shown in Fig.1. Initially, the decay is well accounted for by Eq.2. Later, a negative region develops due to backscattering from the cage wall leading, on average, to the reversal of the velocity of the particle. A minimum is seen at $t \sim t_m = 0.175$. Superimposed to the slower decay faster oscillations are seen. By replacing the semirigid bond with a rigid one, they largely disappear, see Fig.1 (inset), so that they are ascribed to bond vibrations. Nonetheless, some oscillatory components are still present after the minimum. In atomic liquids, e.g. rubidium, similar components are due to sound waves that, owing to the low compressibility, reach wavelengths of a few particle diameters [1].

![Fig. 2: Monomer dynamics in the molecular liquid. As reference, a crystal state having the same density of the other states, obtained by spontaneous crystallization of an equilibrated liquid made of trimers with rigid bonds at $T = 0.7$ is also plotted (maroon line). Top: MSD. The knee at about $t_m = 0.175$ corresponds to the minimum of the velocity correlation function, see Fig.1. Middle: corresponding ISF curves. The long-time decay is stretched with stretching parameter $\beta \sim 0.6$. Bottom: scaling of the non-crystalline states on the universal master curve $\log \tau_\alpha$ vs $\langle u^2 \rangle^{-1}$ (dot-dashed line) expressing the universal correlation between the fast vibrational dynamics and the slow relaxation [15]. $\langle u^2 \rangle$ is the MSD evaluated at the time $t^* = 1.023$ where $\log$ MSD vs. $\log t$ has the inflection point, see top panel. $t^*$ marks the end of the exploration of the cage by the trapped particle. The expression of the dot-dashed master curve is $\log \tau_\alpha = \alpha + \beta < u^2 >^{-1} + \gamma < u^2 >^{-2}$ with $\alpha = -0.424(1), \beta = 2.7(1) \cdot 10^{-2}, \gamma = 3.41(3) \cdot 10^{-3}$ [15].
FIG. 3: Quantities of interest to characterize the correlation between the displacement of the particle (green dot) and the cage shape. The magenta dot is the centroid of the vertices of the associated Voronoi polyhedron (VP) at the initial time, Eq. The highlighted regions are limited by planes perpendicular to C_i and passing through either the initial position of the particle or the centroid.

B. Inside the cage

We now turn our attention on how the cage rattling is affected by the cage shape. We correlate the direction of the monomer displacement \( \vec{u}_i(t) \) with the direction of the elongation of the VP surrounding the \( i \)-th particle at the initial time \( t = 0 \). Fig. 3 visualizes the quantities of interest. The direction of the elongation is defined as [90]:

\[
\vec{u}_i^C = \frac{C_i}{|C_i|}
\]

\( C_i \) is the position of the centroid, the center of mass of the VP vertices, with respect to the position of the \( i \)-th particle:

\[
C_i = \frac{1}{N_{v,i}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{v,i}} \vec{v}_j^i
\]

where \( N_{v,i} \) and \( \vec{v}_j^i \) are the number of vertices and the position of the VP \( j \)-th vertex with respect to the position of the \( i \)-th particle, respectively.

In order to investigate the correlation between the displacement of the trapped particle and the shape of the cage, we consider the time evolution of two distinct order parameters, namely the anisotropy of the particle displacement relative to the centroid with respect to the initial direction of the centroid, see Fig. 3:

\[
\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{\Delta \vec{r}_i(t) - C_i}{|\Delta \vec{r}_i(t) - C_i|} \cdot [-\vec{u}_i^C] \right] \right\rangle
\]

and the anisotropy of the particle displacement with respect to the initial direction of the centroid [90], see Fig. 3:

\[
\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \vec{u}_i(t) \cdot \vec{u}_i^C \right\rangle
\]

Complete isotropy yields \( \langle \cos \theta_i \rangle = 0 \) (\( i = p, c \)). Perfect alignment of \( \vec{u}_i(t) \) with respect to \( \vec{u}_i^C \) yields \( \langle \cos \theta_p \rangle = 1 \) whereas perfect alignment of \( [\Delta \vec{r}_i(t) - C_i] \) with respect to \( -\vec{u}_i^C \) yields \( \langle \cos \theta_c \rangle = 1 \). Furthermore, if the monomer displacement is large with respect to \( |C_i| \), \( \theta_p(t) \simeq \pi - \theta_c(t) \) and \( \langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle \approx -\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle \). The order parameters defined by Eqs. 8 and 9 provide complementary information. By referring to Fig. 3, positive values of \( \langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle \) signal that the particle is preferentially located in regions I and II, whereas positive values of \( \langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle \) denote preferential location of the particle in regions II and III.

Fig. 4 (top) shows detailed plots of \( \langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle \), Eq. 8. At very short times the displacement \( \Delta \vec{r}_i(t) \) is small and \( \langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle \sim 1 \). Then, the anisotropy drops in a temperature-independent way up to \( t_m \), the time needed by most particles to reverse their initial velocity, see Fig. 1. At later times the decay slows down and becomes temperature-dependent. The de-
The decay stops at about the structural relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$. At $t > \tau_\alpha$ a mild increase of $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$ is observed to be followed by a later decay. The description of the non-monotonous relaxation of the order parameter in this viscoelastic regime goes beyond the purposes of the present paper and will be presented elsewhere.

Fig. 4 (bottom) plots $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$, Eq. 9, at different temperatures. At very short times the direction of the particle displacement $\mathbf{u}_i(t)$ is almost isotropic and $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ is small. Later, the particle approaches the initial position of the centroid of the VP vertices, $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ increases and reaches the maximum at $t_m$, when $C_{v0}(t)$ is at the minimum. The initial tendency of the trapped particle to move to the centroid has been reported [11, 90] and is clear indication that initially there is growing correlation between the local structure and the particle displacement. However, at later times the correlation decreases, up to $t \sim 0.65$ in an almost temperature-independent way. For $t \gtrsim 0.65$ the decrease of $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ is slowed down and becomes strongly temperature-dependent. The declining anisotropy $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$, is consistent with our previous finding that the influence of both the size and the shape of the cage on the mean square displacement is lost within $t^* \sim 1$ [67, 68]. For times longer than the structural relaxation time the escape from the cage reduces the order parameter further, and a negative tail is observed. The tail follows by the approximate relation $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle \approx -\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$, which holds at long times, and the positiveness of $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$.

It seems proper to compare the decrease of the two order parameters in the range $t_m \lesssim t \lesssim t^*$ where structural relaxation is virtually missing [15, 16, 43]. First, by replacing the semirigid bond with a rigid one, one clarifies that the small oscillations which are superimposed to their decay in this time window are due to the finite stiffness of the bonds, see Fig. 4 (insets). One also notices that, differently from $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$, $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ is largely temperature-independent if $t \lesssim 0.65$, see Fig. 4. This is due to the different character of the two order parameters. The time-dependence of $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ around its maximum at $t_m$ tracks the bounce of the particle with the cage wall. This process is nearly temperature-independent, see Fig. 1. Instead, the anisotropy $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$ decreases if the population of particles, initially located in regions I and II of Fig. 3, displaces appreciably to region III. The same process affects $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ less because region III may be reached from region II also with no change of $\theta_p$. To reach the region III the particle initially approaches the centroid of the VP vertices, located close to the voids between the particles marking the weak spots of the cage. The approach to the centroid, and then the decay of $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$, is limited by the softness of the cage [9, 43, 54, 64], which is temperature-dependent. The elastic response by the cage will be dealt with in Sec. III C 2.

The above discussion suggests that $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ tracks the monomers being backscattered by the cage wall, whereas $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$ is more sensitive to the monomers escaping from the cage. This picture is reinforced by observing the changes of the two anisotropies around $t^*$. We remind that at $t^*$ early breakouts from the cage start to take place, see Fig. 2 and Sec. III A [15, 16]. $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ has an inflection point at $t^*$ which develops in $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$ only at the lowest temperature, see Fig. 4. The accelerated decay of $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$ around $t^*$ suggests that $\langle \cos \theta_c(t) \rangle$ is more affected by the monomers leaving the cage, since they lose correlation with the cage geometry, whereas $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ is more sensitive to the trapped particles which keep on being affected by the cage geometry. At low temperature, being the escapes quite rare, the two anisotropies are more similar.
C. Cage border

Sec. III B discussed how the cage rattling of the trapped monomers is affected by the neighbours. Here, we reverse the point of view and investigate how the neighbours are affected by the collisions of the trapped monomer. To this aim, we consider the geometry of the cage in terms of the volume $V_i$, the surface $S_i$ and the asphericity $a_i$ of the $i$-th VP. The asphericity is defined as:

$$a_i = \frac{S_i^3}{36\pi V_i^2} - 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

It is non-negative and vanishes for a sphere. For the system under study $a \sim 0.35 - 0.45$, namely the VPs are moderately non-spherical (the asphericities of the dodecahedron and the octahedron are 0.325 and 0.654, respectively) \cite{67, 68}. Since each VP includes one particle only, the VP volume is a measure of the local density.

1. Statics: volume-surface correlations

There are no correlations between the asphericity and the volume. Fig. 5 shows a representative example. No correlations are also found between the asphericity and the surface of the VPs (not shown). Instead, Fig. 6 evidences the strong correlation between the surface and the volume of VPs. It follows from the good packing and the subsequent relatively narrow width of the distribution of the asphericity \cite{67, 68}. To show that we recast Eq. 10 as

$$S = \left[ 36\pi (a + 1) \right]^{1/3} V^{2/3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Then, we neglect the fluctuations of the asphericity $a$ and treat it as an adjustable parameter to best-fit Eq. 11 to the correlation plot. The result is superimposed to the numerical data in Fig. 6. It provides a nice fit with best-fit asphericity rather close to the average asphericity of the VPs of the state under consideration. The plot also shows that the cloud of data is bounded within the approximate range of the asphericity, $a \sim 0.35 - 0.45$, see Fig. 5.

2. Dynamics: elastic response

Volume, surface and asphericity of the $i$-th VP fluctuate around their average values due to the rearrangement of both the tagged $i$-th particle and the surroundings.

To give clear impression of the surface-volume correlations we plot in Fig. 7 a selected time-frame of the fluctuations of volume, surface and asphericity of the VP surrounding two specific central and end monomers. The strong correlation of the volume and the surface is quite apparent. Differently, the fluctuations of the asphericity has poor resemblance with the ones of the volume and surface.

To characterize in a quantitative way the fluctuations we define the correlation function:

$$C_x(t) = \left\langle \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ x_i(t) - \langle x \rangle \right] \left[ x_i(0) - \langle x \rangle \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ x_i(0) - \langle x \rangle \right]^2 - \delta_x} \right\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

with $x = V, S, a$. $\langle x \rangle$ denotes the average of $x$ over all the $N$ monomers. Eq. 12 yields $C_x(0) = 1$. To ensure that $C_x(t)$ vanishes at long times we set

$$\delta_x = \frac{N_c N_e}{N} \left[ \langle x \rangle_c - \langle x \rangle_e \right]^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $\langle x \rangle_c$ and $\langle x \rangle_e$ are the average of $x$ restricted to the $N_c$ central and the $N_e$ end monomers, respectively ($N_c + N_e = N$). Eq. 13 is derived in Appendix A.

Fig. 8 shows $C_x(t)$ with $x = V$ (top panel), $S$ (middle panel) and $a$ (bottom panel) at different temperatures. As anticipated, there is strong similarity between $C_V(t)$ and $C_S(t)$. Within the time $t_m$ needed by most particles to reverse their initial velocity, a large part of the correlations of the cage geometry is lost. For $t \gtrsim t_m = 0.175$, the decay becomes
poor correlation between the size and the shape of the cage. It is worth noting that the elastic effects seen via the VP volume and surface are small, in that the fluctuations of the VP size and shape are quite limited in size, as seen by Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.

D. Beyond the cage border

Sec. III B and Sec. III C investigated the cage rattling and the effects on the closest neighbours, respectively. Here, we complete the analysis and extend the range of the neighbours. It will be now shown that in a time about $t_m = 0.175$, needed by most particles to bounce back from the cage wall (Fig. 1), extended modes involving particles beyond the first shell appear. The modes have solid-like character since $\tau_\alpha \gg 1$ [15, 16, 43], i.e. they are distinct in nature from the hydrodynamic modes developed by the drag force of a moving particle [101]. We divide the discussion in two parts by first describing the onset of extended displacement correlations for $t \leq t^*$, when the trapped particle completes the cage exploration, and then their persistence and decay for $t > t^*$. In particular, in Sec. III D 1 we will compare the influence of the extended modes on the moves of the trapped particle in a time $t^*$ with the one of the local geometry. Sec. III B noted that the influence of the cage shape at $t^*$ is smaller than at $t_m$.

I. Onset of the displacement correlations ($t \leq t^*$)

To reveal the modes, we characterize the degree of correlation between the direction of the displacements performed simultaneously in the same lapse of time $t$ by two particles initially spaced by $r_{ij}$ via the space correlation function [44, 45]:

$$C_\alpha(r, t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{u}_i(t) \cdot U_i(r, t) \right\rangle$$

(14)

with:

$$U_i(r, t) = \frac{1}{N(r)} \sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^{N} \hat{u}_j(t) \delta(r - r_{ij})$$

(15)

where $\hat{u}_i(t)$ and $N(r)$ are the direction of $\Delta r_i(t)$, Eq. 3, and the average number of particles initially spaced by $r$, respectively. If the displacements are perfectly correlated in direction, one finds $C_u(r, t) = 1$. $C_u(r, t)$ has some formal similarity with $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$, Eq. 9, but the two quantities are quite different:

- $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$ is a measure of the directional correlation of the displacement performed in a time $t$ by the tagged particle and the axis $\hat{u}_i(r)$ set by the initial cage geometry.
- $C_u(r, t)$ is a measure of the average directional correlation of the simultaneous displacements performed in a time $t$ between the tagged particle and each of the surrounding particles at distance $r$.

FIG. 8: Correlation functions, Eq. 12, of volume (top), surface (middle) and asphericity (bottom) of VPs at different temperatures. The dashed lines mark the region $t_m \leq t \leq t^*$ as in Fig. 1 and Fig. 4. Insets: magnification of the oscillatory behavior at intermediate times. The insets labelled as "Rigid" plot the correlation function for $T = 0.6, 0.9$ having replaced the semirigid bond with a rigid one and leaving any other parameter unchanged. They evidence that the oscillations are not due to the finite stiffness of the bond but to the elastic response of the local structure.

We now address the fluctuation correlation in the time window $t_m \lesssim t \leq t^*$ where both the velocity correlations, Fig. 1, and the order parameters, Fig. 4, hint at the elastic response of the cage to the colliding trapped particle. The insets of Fig. 8 focus on this time interval. Correlation oscillations in VP size, but not in shape, are apparent. The oscillations are still present if one replaces the semirigid bonds by rigid bonds (see insets labelled as "Rigid" in Fig. 8), proving that they are not due to bond vibrations. We interpret the minimum of $C_V(t)$ and $C_S(t)$ as due to the deformation of the local structure following the disordering collision of the central particle with the cage, whereas the successive maximum and the later smaller oscillations reflect the elastic response to recover the original arrangement. This sort of collective "cage ringing" is not tracked by the asphericity, see Fig. 8 (bottom), confirming the extremely slow and strongly dependent on the temperature. This parallels the time dependence of the order parameter $\langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle$, see Fig. 4 (top). At long times, the structural relaxation erases the residual correlations of the cage geometry and $C_\alpha(\tau_\alpha) \simeq 0.1$, $x = V, S, \alpha$.
Fig. 9 (top) plots the spatial distribution of the correlations for different times \( t \). It is seen that if the time is shorter than \( t_m = 0.175 \), the time needed by most particles to reverse their initial velocity due to backscattering, the correlations are limited to the bonded particles at \( r = r_b = b \) and, weakly, the first shell (Fig. 9, lower panels). For longer times, the correlation grows in both magnitude and spatial extension with characteristic peaks corresponding to the different neighbour shells \([44, 45]\). These spatial directional correlations have been also observed in simulations on hard spheres and hard disks \([102]\) and experiments on colloids \([103]\). Fig. 9 (lower panels) shows that the onset of the correlations of both the third and the fourth shells is delayed of about 0.4 time units due to the finite propagation speed of the perturbation. It is also seen that the growth of the correlation levels off at times \( \sim t^* \) and is temperature-independent, whereas their magnitude weakly decreases with the temperature. The limited influence of the temperature mirrors the one of the velocity correlation loss in the intermediate range \( t_m \lesssim t \lesssim t^* \).

Comparing \( \langle \cos \theta_p(t) \rangle \), Fig. 4, and \( C_u(r, t) \), Fig. 9, leads to a clearer picture of how the particle in the cage progresses between \( t_m \) and \( t^* \). Before \( t_m \) the cage geometry has increasing, even if weak, influence, see Fig. 4. After \( t_m \), the displacement-displacement correlations increase and extend in space, Fig. 9, with parallel decrease of the influence of the cage geometry, Fig. 4. At the completion of the cage exploration at \( t^* \), the anisotropy of the particle displacement due to local order is small and declining, whereas the displacement-displacement correlations reach their maximum. The picture provides an interpretation of the puzzling finding that for a given state of a liquid of linear chains (trimers or decamers), the end and the central monomers, which have different distributions of the cage size and shape, have equal \( \langle r^2(t^*) \rangle \equiv \langle u^2 \rangle \) \([68]\). On one hand, that finding exposes the minor role of the cage geometry. On the other hand, it is well explained by the extended displacement-displacement correlations evidenced in Fig. 9, overriding the different local order of the end and the central monomers. The leading role of the extended displacement-displacement correlations in setting the monomer moves on the time scale \( t^* \) is proven by the fact that physical states with identical spatial distributions of the displacement-displacement correlations exhibit equal mean square amplitude of the cage rattling \( \langle r^2(t^*) \rangle \equiv \langle u^2 \rangle \) \([44, 45]\). The coupling between the rattling process and extended modes has been indicated \([14, 31, 37, 38]\).

Displacement correlations have been evidenced in an experimental study of a dense colloidal suspension \([103]\). Contact with our simulations is allowed by splitting the displacement direction in the transverse and the longitudinal components with respect to the direction of the separation vector:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_m^L &= \hat{u}_m \cdot \hat{r}_{ij} \\
  u_m^T &= \hat{u}_m - u_m^L \hat{r}_{ij}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( m = i, j \) and \( \hat{r}_{ij} \equiv (r_j - r_i)/r_{ij} \) refers to the initial configuration before the displacement occurs. Let us define the related correlation functions as:

\[
C_L(r, t) = \left< \frac{1}{N N(r)} \sum_{i,j=1}^N u_i^L(t) \cdot u_j^L(t) \delta(r - r_{ij}) \right> \tag{18}
\]

\[
C_T(r, t) = \left< \frac{1}{N N(r)} \sum_{i,j=1}^N u_i^T(t) \cdot u_j^T(t) \delta(r - r_{ij}) \right> \tag{19}
\]

The longitudinal and the transverse components are related to the total correlation function by:

\[
C_u(r, t) = C_L(r, t) + C_T(r, t). \tag{20}
\]

Fig. 10 plots \( C_L(r, t) \) (top) and \( C_T(r, t) \) (middle). The longitudinal correlations increase faster than the transverse ones with increasing time \( t \). This is seen in Fig. 10 (bottom) plotting for selected positions the growth function:

\[
\tilde{C}_X(r, t) = \frac{C_X(r, t) - C_X(r, 0.1)}{C_X(r, t^*)}, \quad X \in \{L, T\} \tag{21}
\]
The longitudinal correlations have different spatial distribution with respect to the transverse ones. Fig. 10 proves that the bonded particles ($r = 0.97$) are correlated mostly via their longitudinal component, whereas the transverse component is much less sensitive to the radial density distribution. Other salient features are the negative minimum of $C_L(r,t)$ at $r \approx 1.4$, corresponding to the first minimum of $g(r)$, and the pronounced maximum of $C_T(r,t)$ close to the same position. All these hallmarks have been observed in an experimental study of a dense colloidal suspension [103]. This suggests that the key features of the displacement correlations are not strictly affected by the molecular connectivity.

Even if the full interpretation of the spatial pattern of $C_L(r,t)$ and $C_T(r,t)$ is deferred to future work, some preliminary remarks may be offered. The negative dip of $C_L(r,t)$ at $r \approx 1.4$ close to the maximum of $C_T(r,t)$ is consistent with particles approaching, or receding from, each other in a compression/dilation motion while transversely displacing the same way. The role of quasi-linear arrangements of particles was suggested in regard to the oscillatory behaviour of the longitudinal correlation of the displacements [103]. From this respect, evidence of bond-bond alignment, i.e. three monomers in a row, is reported for the molecular liquid under study [91]. Moreover, in densely packed colloids it is known that straight paths of $\ell_{SP}$ particles are exponentially distributed as $\sim \exp[-\ell_{SP}/\ell_{SP}]$ with $\ell_{SP} \sim 0.73, 0.87$ depending on the sample preparation [104]. Interestingly, the height of the peaks of $C_u(r,t)$, due to the longitudinal component, decay exponentially with distance as $\sim \exp[-r/\xi]$ with $0.7 \leq \xi \leq 1$ [44, 45], suggesting a connection with the distribution of the length of aligned particles.

We briefly discuss the weak negative tail observed in $C_T(r,t)$ at large $r$, Fig. 10 (middle), affecting $C_u(r,t)$, Fig. 9. The tail disappears by increasing the size of the system (not shown) and is due to the momentum conservation requiring
that, with fixed center of mass of the system, a displacement of one particle induces correlated counter-displacements on the other ones. The size effect does not affect the longitudinal displacements when averaged over the sphere with radius \( r \), see Fig.10 (top). This may be understood by reminding that the direction of the displacement of the central particle sets the sphere axis. Then, the larger size effect on the transverse displacement follows from the larger weight of the equatorial belt in the average with respect to the polar zones, so that the induced counter-displacements contribute negative terms to \( C_T(r, t) \) and negligibly to the average of \( C_L(r, t) \).

2. Persistence and decay of the displacement correlations (\( t > t^* \))

What happens at the displacement correlations for longer sampling times \( t > t^* = 1.023 \)? We already know that small changes are observed up to \( t = \tau_\alpha \) even for sluggish states, thus creating a plateau region on increasing \( t \) [44, 45]. In this range the presence of quasi-static collective elastic fluctuations [43] set the magnitude of the direction correlations. A view of the displacement correlations in space for \( t > t^* \) is given in Fig.11. The complete view of the growth, up to \( t \sim t^* \), the plateau region up to \( t \sim \tau_\alpha \), and the following decay is presented in Fig.12. Note that, since the decay is quite slow, in order to visualise all the time range, a state with short structural relaxation (\( \tau_\alpha = 3 \)) is considered, thus limiting the persistence of the maximum longitudinal and transverse correlations. Fig.11 shows that the spatial modulation of both the longitudinal and the transverse correlations are averaged for \( t > \tau_\alpha \). At longer sampling times the magnitude of the correlations decreases further. From this respect, an important time scale is the average molecular reorientation time \( \tau_{ee} \), defined as \( C_{ee}(\tau_{ee}) = 1/e \) where \( C_{ee}(t) \) is the end-to-end time correlation function [16]. The correlations vanish for \( r \gtrsim 2 \) and \( t \gg \tau_{ee} \). Nonetheless, some permanent correlations are left at shorter distances. In particular, residual correlations due to the intrachain connectivity are present for \( 1 \lesssim r \lesssim 2 \), together with large correlations between bonded monomers at \( r \approx 0.97 \). Thus, we see that the connectivity of the trimer does not affect the correlation of the displacements of monomers spaced of more than two diameters.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The present paper investigates by MD simulations of a dense molecular liquid the key aspects driving the moves of the monomers in the cage of the surrounding ones. The aim is clarifying if the displacements are driven by the local geometry of the cage or the solid-like extended modes excited by the monomer-monomer collisions. The main motivations reside in both contributing to the intense ongoing research on the relation between the vibrational dynamics and the relaxation in glassforming systems, and improving our microscopic understanding of the universal correlation between the relaxation and the mean-square amplitude of the rattling in the cage, \( \langle u^2 \rangle \), a quantity related to the Debye-Waller factor.

To discriminate between the roles of the local geometry and the collective extended modes in the single-particle vibrational dynamics, the cage rattling is examined on different length scales. First, the anisotropy of the rattling process due to local order, i.e. the arrangement of the first shell, is characterized by two order parameters sensing the monomers succeeding or failing to escape from the cage. Then, the collective response of the surroundings excited by the monomer-monomer collisions is considered. The collective response is initially restricted to the nearest neighbours of the colliding particle by investigating statics and fluctuations of the VP surface, volume and asphericity. Then, the long-range excitation of the farthest neighbours is scrutinised by searching spatially-extended correlations between the simultaneous fast displacements of the caged particle and the surroundings.

Two characteristic times are found: \( t_m = 0.175 \) and \( t^* \sim 1 \). The former is the time when the velocity correlation function reaches the minimum. The latter is the time needed by the trapped particle to explore the cage with mean square rattling amplitude \( \langle u^2 \rangle \). One finds that the anisotropy of the random walk driven by the local order develops up \( t_m \), then decreases and becomes small at \( t^* \sim 1 \). On the other hand, between \( t_m \) and \( t^* \) the monomer-monomer collisions excite both the elastic response of the cage and the long-range collective modes of the surroundings in parallel to the decreasing role of the local anisotropies. The longitudinal component of the long-range collective modes has stronger spatial modulation than the transverse one with wavelength of about the particle diameter, in close resemblance with experimental findings on colloids.

All in all, we conclude that the monomer dynamics at \( t^* \), and then \( \langle u^2 \rangle \), is largely affected by solid-like extended modes and not the local geometry, in harmony with previous findings [43–45, 64, 67, 68], in particular reporting strong, universal
correlations with the elasticity [43, 64], and poor correlation with the size and the shape of the cage [67, 68]. On a more general ground, our study suggests, in close contact with others [14, 31, 37, 38], that the link between the fast dynamics and the slow relaxation is rooted in the presence of modes extending farther than the first shell.
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Appendix A: Derivation of $\delta_x$, Eq.13

Let us define the auxiliary quantity:

$$X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ x_i(t) - \langle x \rangle \right] \left[ x_i(0) - \langle x \rangle \right]$$

(A1)

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \left[ (x_j(t) - \langle x \rangle)(x_j(0) - \langle x \rangle) \right]$$

(A2)

$$+ \sum_{h=1}^{N_t} \left[ (x_h(t) - \langle x \rangle)(x_h(0) - \langle x \rangle) \right]$$

(A3)

$\langle x \rangle$ denotes the average of $x$ over all the $N$ monomers. Let us define $\langle x \rangle_c$ and $\langle x \rangle_e$ as the averages of $x$ restricted to the $N_c$ central and the $N_t$ end monomers, respectively ($N_c + N_t = N$). The average $\langle x \rangle$ is related to $\langle x \rangle_c$ and $\langle x \rangle_e$ by the equation:

$$\langle x \rangle = \frac{N_c}{N} \langle x \rangle_c + \frac{N_t}{N} \langle x \rangle_e$$

(A4)

In Eq. A2 we add and subtract $\langle x \rangle_c$ to $x_j(t)$, and do the same to $x_h(0)$. Also, we add and subtract $\langle x \rangle_e$ to $x_h(t)$ and do the same to $x_h(0)$. If $t \to \infty$ the fluctuations of $(x_j(t) - \langle x \rangle)_c$ and the fluctuations of $(x_j(0) - \langle x \rangle)_e$ have both zero average and are uncorrelated. Analogously for the fluctuations of $(x_h(t) - \langle x \rangle)_c$ and the fluctuations of $(x_h(0) - \langle x \rangle)_e$.

Then, we yield

$$\delta_x = \lim_{t \to \infty} X(t)$$

(A5)

$$= N_c \left[ \langle x \rangle_c - \langle x \rangle \right]^2 + N_e \left[ \langle x \rangle_e - \langle x \rangle \right]^2$$

(A6)

Plugging Eq. A3 into Eq. A5 yields:

$$\delta_x = N_c \left[ \frac{N_c}{N} \langle x \rangle_c - \frac{N_e}{N} \langle x \rangle_e \right]^2$$

$$+ N_e \left[ \frac{N_e}{N} \langle x \rangle_e - \frac{N_c}{N} \langle x \rangle_c \right]^2$$

(A7)

$$= \frac{N_c N_e}{N} \left[ \langle x \rangle_c - \langle x \rangle_e \right]^2$$

(A8)

Eq. A6 coincides with Eq.13.

Appendix B: On the presence of a crystalline fraction

Since the model with rigid bonds exhibits weak crystallization resistance, we have paid particular attention to detect any crystallization signature. From this respect, we have monitored some key quantities under equilibration and production of both the rigid and semirigid systems. We summarize the results:

i) the radial distribution function compares rather well with the one of atomic liquids, apart from the extra-peak due to the bonded monomers.

ii) the pressure and the configurational energy exhibit neither drops nor even slow decreases within 1 %, during the runs.

iii) no global order and even microcrystalline domains are seen by visual inspection of the samples. Note that, if the sample crystallizes, ordering is strikingly visible, e.g. see Fig. 10 of ref.[91].

iv) no order revealed by Steinhard global order parameters [91].

v) the mean square displacement always increases steadily with time. In a crystalline sample it levels off, see Fig.2 (top).

vi) full decorrelation of the incoherent part of the intermediate scattering function in both equilibration and production runs in all cases except the production runs of the system with rigid bonds at $T = 0.6$. In the presence of a solid-like fraction the incoherent part of the intermediate scattering function decays to a finite plateau at long times, see Fig.2 (middle).

It is worth noting that the crystalline state obtained by spontaneous crystallization of an equilibrated liquid made of trimers with rigid bonds at $T = 0.7$ considered in Fig.2 does not pass any of the above tests.

The tests iv), v) and vi) are now discussed in detail.

a. Test iv): absence of long-range order

To characterize the degree of global positional ordering of our samples we resort to the metric $Q_{l,global} [91, 105]$. To this aim, one considers in a given coordinate system the polar and azimuthal angles $\theta(r_{ij})$ and $\phi(r_{ij})$ of the vector $r_{ij}$ joining the $i$-th central monomer with the $j$-th one belonging to the neighbors within a preset cutoff distance $r^* = 1.2 \sigma^* \approx 1.35$ [105]. The vector $r_{ij}$ is usually referred to as a "bond" and has not to be confused with the actual chemical bonds of the polymeric chain!

To define a global measure of the order in the system, one calculates the quantity [105]:

$$Q_{l,global} = \frac{1}{N_b \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \sum_{j=1}^{N_b} Y_{lm} \left[ \theta(r_{ij}), \phi(r_{ij}) \right]$$

(B1)

where $n_b(i)$ is the number of bonds of $i$-th particle, $N$ is the total number of particles in the system, $Y_{lm}$ denotes a spherical harmonic and $N_b$ is the total number of bonds i.e:

$$N_b = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_b(i)$$

(B2)
FIG. 13: Global order parameters $Q_{l, \text{global}}$ and $Q_{4, \text{global}}$. The crystalline state is the same considered in Fig. 2 and is the only state exhibiting global order.

The global orientational order parameter $Q_{l, \text{global}}$ is defined by the rotationally invariant combination:

$$Q_{l, \text{global}} = \left\langle \left[ \frac{4\pi}{(2l+1)} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |Q_{l,m}^{\text{global}}|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

In the absence of global ordering $Q_{l, \text{global}} = 0$ in systems with infinite size. In the presence of long-range crystalline order $Q_{l, \text{global}} \neq 0$, e.g. $Q_{6, \text{global}} \sim 0.5$ [91, 105], with exact values depending on the kind of order. Fig. 13 shows $Q_{6, \text{global}}$ vs. $Q_{4, \text{global}}$ for all the states under investigation. They are compared with one typical crystalline state of the system with rigid bonds. Other crystalline states yield $Q_{4, \text{global}}$, $Q_{6, \text{global}}$ pairs within the size of the diamond. The non-ideal values of the order parameters of the crystalline state indicate imperfect long-range ordering [91, 105]. We were unable to crystallize the system with semirigid bonds which, however, having $b = b_{\text{rigid}}$, is anticipated to have order parameters similar to the rigid bond case. Fig. 13 shows that only the crystalline state has global, long-range order.

b. Tests v) and vi): absence of crystalline fractions

Fig. 2 shows that if the sample crystallizes the changes of both MSD and ISF stop. In principle, the MSD increase in time may be also seen if supercooled liquids and crystalline fraction coexist [39]. In this heterogeneous case the overall MSD is largely contributed by the mobile phase, since the MSD of the arrested phase levels off rapidly. However, ISF would reach a plateau at long times due to the frozen phase unable to lose the position correlation tracked by ISF. Fig. 2 shows that ISF vanishes at long times in all states, except one to be discussed below, ruling out the presence of polycrystallinity at the end of the production runs, i.e. no crystalline regions formed during the equilibration and the subsequent production runs. The ISF of the system with rigid bonds at $T = 0.6$ is still non-zero at the end of the production runs (due to our decision to stop the simulation soon after $\tau_0$). To get a rough estimate of the maximum crystalline fraction $\phi_c^{\text{max}}$, we identify $\phi_c^{\text{max}}$ with the ratio of the residual ISF height, $\text{ISF}_r$, with the ISF plateau of the crystal state, $\text{ISF}_c$, (weakly dependent on T). We get $\phi_c \leq \phi_c^{\text{max}} = \text{ISF}_r/\text{ISF}_c \approx 0.09$. We offer arguments to conclude that the possible crystalline fraction of the system with rigid bonds at $T = 0.6$, if present, is much less than the upper limit $\phi_c^{\text{max}}$, and, in any case, plays negligible role. In fact:

1) the system with rigid bonds at $T = 0.6$ passes the tests i), ii), iii), iv), v);
2) the same system at $T = 0.9$, where no crystalline fraction is present, provides quite close, or even coincident, results to the ones gathered at $T = 0.6$, see the insets of Fig. 1, Fig. 4, Fig. 8. Note also that the results of the systems with rigid and non-rigid bonds are also quite close, see Fig. 1 and Fig. 8;
3) the cage rattling amplitude and the structural relaxation of the system with rigid bonds at $T = 0.6$ fulfill the universal scaling between the fast vibrational dynamics and the slow relaxation in glass-forming systems, see Fig. 2 (bottom) and Sec.III A [10, 15–23]. The universal scaling is anticipated to fail in semicrystalline materials where the frozen component has finite rattling amplitude but no relaxation.
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