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ABSTRACT
Distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) exploiting fiber optic cables provides a means for high-density sampling of the seismic wavefield. The scattered returns from multiple laser pulses provide local averages of strain rate over a finite gauge length, and the nature of the signal depends on the orientation of the cable with respect to the passing seismic waves. The properties of the wavefield in the slowness-frequency domain help to provide understanding of the nature of DAS recordings. For local events the dominant part of the strain rate can be extracted from the difference of ground velocity resolved along the fiber at the ends of the gauge interval, with an additional contribution just near the source. For more distant events the response at seismic frequencies can be represented as the acceleration along the fiber modulated by the horizontal slowness resolved in the same direction, which means there is a strong dependence on cable orientation. These representations of the wavefield provide insight into the character of the DAS wavefield in a range of situations from a local jump source, through a regional earthquake to teleseismic recording with different cable configurations and geographic locations. The slowness domain representation of the DAS signal allows analysis of the array response of cable configurations indicating the important role of the slowness weighting associated with the effect of gauge length. Unlike seismometer arrays the response is not described by a single generic stacking function. For high frequency waves, direct stacking enhances P, SV waves and Rayleigh waves; an azimuthal weighted stack provides retrieval of SH and Love waves at the cost of enhanced sidelobes in the array response.

Key Points:
• I review the nature of the averaged strain-rate field recorded by DAS using optical fiber sensing.
• The DAS wavefield is strongly dependent on the cable oriented relative to incoming waves.
• DAS records can be used directly with slowness-frequency domain modelling and array processing.

INTRODUCTION
Local distortions are produced as a dynamic disturbance interacts with an optical fiber that affect the way that light propagates along the fiber. Minor imperfections in the fiber act as scatterers, so that variations in the properties of backscattered light can be used to map out the passage of waves past the fiber. With repetitive sampling by laser pulses, interferometric sensing can be used to measure the phase of the backscattered light as a function of time and hence the position along the fiber. The relative phase along the fiber provides a measure of local strain or strain rate, and so a single fiber can become a large suite of effective sensors (e.g., Parker et al. 2014; Zhan 2020). The use of such multiple measurements of strain or strain rate extracted from Rayleigh backscattering has been termed distributed acoustic sensing (DAS). Although DAS results are presented as time series at specific points, the nature of the sampling process means that there is averaging over a ‘gauge length’ around the nominal point.

The dense sampling capabilities of DAS have seen a wide range of geophysical uses. The initial developments came in exploration geophysics with borehole installations (e.g., Mestayer et al., 2011; Daley et al., 2016; Karrenbach et al., 2018), and the dense sampling offers considerable potential for surface profiling (e.g., Bakulin et al., 2020). There have been a wide range of DAS applications in seismology from local monitoring to the analysis of regional and global events with a broadening range of studies as more groups move into the field. Event monitoring has been carried out in a variety of settings including reservoir stimulation (e.g. Karrenbach et al., 2019; Baird et al., 2020; Binder et al., 2020), geothermal seismicity (Li and Zhan, 2018), glacier icequakes (Walter et al., 2020; Hudson et al., 2021) and urban monitoring (Dou et al., 2017; Song et al. 2021; Zhu et al., 2021). Earthquake studies have used events at regional ranges (Lindsey et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Jouset et al., 2018; Ajo-Franklin et al., 2019; Sladen et al., 2019; van den Ende and Ampuero, 2021) and out to teleseismic distances (Lindsey et al., 2020; Paitz et al., 2020). DAS recording has also been used in the analysis of ambient noise (Dou et al., 2017; Zeng et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2018; Ajo-Franklin et al., 2019; Spica et al., 2020).

Some applications of DAS systems have deployed their own fiber optic cables (e.g., Wang et al. 2018, Walter et al. 2020), whilst many have exploited unused fiber channels on existing cables, termed ‘dark’ fibers. For specially deployed cable the configuration is well controlled and shallow burial can be expected to give good ground coupling. For existing cables although the general configuration may be known, cable loops in inspection pits can complicate the association of DAS channels with physical position. Also, for cables in a conduit the precise mode of deployment and the nature of the coupling to the surrounding environment can be difficult to determine. In urban environments the ground coupling conditions can change quite rapidly along the cable with some modification of the DAS signal with position.
Where there is long length of uniformly oriented fiber it is possible to make a transformation of the DAS result to ground velocity (e.g., Lindsey et al., 2020) and so make comparisons with seismometers. However, many ‘dark’ fibers, particularly in urban environments, have complex geometry linked to the configurations of streets with only short stretches of uniform orientation. This means that strain transformation is more difficult and so it is appropriate to work directly with the DAS system to understand the nature of the recorded seismic wavefield, which depends on both the detailed configuration of the DAS sampling and the orientation of the fiber relative to the components of the incoming seismic waves.

Because the nature and appearance of seismic ground velocity as recorded by seismometers is much more familiar than directional strain, many authors have made efforts to convert DAS strain-rate signals into equivalent ground velocity in different scenarios (e.g., Daley et al., 2016; Egorov et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2021). However, the geometries of many cable layouts are not well suited to such conversion, with only short lengths in a consistent direction.

Here I discuss the nature of the seismic wavefield as seen directly by DAS recording, taking account of the effects of gauge-length averaging of strain rate, and the geometrical configuration of the cable. Insight is provided by examining behavior in the slowness-frequency domain for radially stratified models. I build on the results obtained by many previous researchers, but try to provide a unified treatment of the different aspects of the wavefield specific to DAS recording.

The angular and slowness dependence of the DAS response means that the response of a DAS array is determined by the direction and epicentral distance of the event being imaged, rather than described by a general array function as in the case of seismometers. The DAS array response enhances slower travelling wave component such as surface waves compared with body waves with steeper paths through the near-surface zone.

**DAS CHARACTERISTICS AND SEISMIC RESPONSE**

The sequence of laser pulses from the DAS interrogator is analysed to produce a measure of the relative change in optical path length $Δg'/g$ over a gauge length $g$ that is determined by the nature of the laser pulses employed. Kushnikov (2016) provides a detailed analysis of the changes in optical path length in terms of both fiber strain and the effects induced by the changes in dielectric effects under strain. For typical fiber optic properties the change in optical path length is related to the axial strain along the fiber $ε_1$ and in the perpendicular direction $ε_⊥$:

$$Δg'/g ≈ 0.7ε_1 − 0.2ε_⊥. \tag{1}$$

For weak perpendicular strain $ε_⊥$ the Poisson effect enhances the apparent longitudinal strain and so $Δg'/g ≈ 0.8ε_1$. Only when the axial strain is small relative to the tangential strain will the second term on the right-hand side of (1) become significant. This can occur when waves arrive broadside to the cable very close to a source.

The changes in optical path length around a channel location on the DAS cable are averaged over gauge length in the construction of the strain-rate. The nature of the gauge length averaging depends on the pulse form employed in the DAS interrogation (Parker et al. 2014). A consequence of the gauge length averaging, typically 10 m, is that a localised strong effect, such as that due to the immediate vicinity of a source, will affect a span of channels over a distance comparable to the gauge length. Such spatial averaging effects can be compounded by channel stacking procedures to enhance signal to noise ratio that are built into the DAS interrogator system, with different approaches employed by the various manufacturers. Such channel stacking helps to overcome optical fading issues associated with locally net zero optical scattering (Zhan, 2020).

Since DAS measurements are dominated by the strain along the optical fiber, they represent just a single component of the strain tensor. This means that the effect of a change in the direction of incoming waves by an angle $θ$ is associated with tensorial strain rotation that depends on functions of $2θ$ rather than just $θ$ for the vector rotation employed for the horizontal components of seismometers (e.g. Martin, 2018; Zhan, 2020). As a result, the orientation of the optical fiber relative to incoming seismic waves plays an important role in determining the relative amplitude of DAS signals.

The DAS system renders the strain or strain rate along the optical fiber being sampled, but how far this represents the situation in the surrounding materials depends on the local coupling conditions. Such coupling issues are important in borehole situations since there is no natural clamping to the well wall. Where cable is specifically placed in trenches or glacier ice, coupling to the surroundings can be expected to be strong. Fortunately for horizontal near-surface cables in conduits, such as dark fiber, the effect of gravity and friction is generally sufficient to provide adequate linkage to the environment, though conditions can vary along a cable run. For cable in conduit, the geometrical configuration will depend on the nature of the conduit and cable loops may be introduced at on inspection points to take up excess length.

A typical DAS cable lies at shallow depth, from just beneath the surface to 2 m, or so, depending on the particular configuration. This means that there is a slight time offset between up-going waves arriving at the fiber and the down-going waves reflected at the free surface. The delay is small, and can normally be neglected unless the surface wavespeeds are very low or very high frequencies are used with active sources.

As we have seen the relative change in optical path length due to disturbances passing across the fiber is dominated by the axial strain rate, which is just the spatial derivative of the ground velocity in the direction of the fiber $v_d$ with respect to distance along the fiber. Under the assumption of uniform sampling along the gauge length $g$, the effect of averaging the axial strain rate around the reference point takes the form

$$⟨ε_d⟩ = 1 \frac{1}{g} \int_{-g/2}^{g/2} d s \frac{∂v_d(s)}{∂s} = [v_d(s)]_{s=g/2}^{s=-g/2} = v_d(g/2) − v_d(−g/2) \tag{2}$$

The averaged strain rate can therefore, in principle, be obtained by differencing the ground velocity resolved along the cable at the ends of the gauge length. Such relations were first noted by Bakku (2015) using a plane wave model for vertical seismic profiling, and have been widely employed for modeling DAS response for close sources (e.g., Daley et al., 2016; Binder et al., 2020) in borehole configurations. When an event is close to the DAS cable, the change in the waveforms across the gauge length can be significant and so the appearance of the DAS wavefield does not directly correspond to what is seen in ground velocity on a seismophone.

The effect of gauge length $g$ is equivalent to imposing a spatial
moving average (Dean et al., 2017) and, in consequence, imposes
notches in the response for a suite of frequencies that are multiples
of the inverse of the passage time of a wave across the gauge
length (Dean et al., 2017; Karrenbach et al., 2019). Such frequency
variations are significant for the high frequencies employed in
exploration work but should rarely impinge in seismological
applications to natural events or site testing.

Wang et al. (2018) have made a direct test of the relation (2)
by constructing the difference of the output of two seismometers
spanning the interval around a set of DAS channel locations for
the frequency band 1-5 Hz at the Brady test site. Even though the
distance between the seismometers spanned a number of channels,
the summed DAS response over these channels was in good
agreement with the difference between the ground velocity from
the seismometers resolved along the cable direction.

For close events the representation (2) can be used directly
in modeling by differencing synthetic seismograms constructed
from 1-D velocity profiles. For example, Hudson et al. (2020) use
reflectivity style calculations of Green’s functions for inversion of
the mechanism of microseisms at the base of an ice stream in
Antarctica. Wang et al. (2018) show a few examples of calculated
traces at regional distances produced by a similar approach. But,
for differences in event distance of a few meters in hundreds of
kilometers there is a strong possibility of loss of precision on
differencing velocity traces to produce strain rate. It is preferable
for regional and teleseismic distances to work with analytic
representations of the differencing within a slowness-frequency
integration that can readily capture orientation effects along a
complex cable geometry.

THE DAS SEISMIC WAVEFIELD

Consider an array of DAS channels at locations \( \{x_i\} \), and describe
the cable configuration via the local unit tangent vector \( d(x_i) \), under
the approximation of local linearity along the gauge length. This
assumption generally works well except in the vicinity of a sharp
turn in fiber direction.

A representation of the wavefield across the DAS cable
can be built in terms of a expansion in plane waves in the
slowness-frequency domain (e.g., Kennett, 2001). For a position \( x \)
the averaged strain rate along \( d(x) \) can be expressed as

\[
 \langle \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} \rangle(x, \omega) = \int \int d^2 p \langle \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} \rangle(p, \omega) e^{i \text{log} p \cdot x},
\]

where \( p \) is the local slowness vector in the horizontal plane, with
magnitude \( p \). In terms of velocity differences (2) we have the
alternative representation

\[
 \langle \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} \rangle(x, \omega) = \int \int d^2 p \{v \cdot d(p, \omega)\} e^{i \text{log} p \cdot x^+ - i \text{log} p \cdot x^-},
\]

where \( x^\pm = x \pm g \Delta/2 \). The component \( \{v \cdot d(p, \omega)\} \) is in common
for the two ends of the gauge length and can be expressed in terms
of the reflection and transmission properties of the medium. With
the introduction of a source at the origin, the double integration
over horizontal vector slowness \( p \) can be recast as an integral
over slowness \( p \) accompanied by an angular expansion in vector
harmonics (e.g., Kennett 2001) as in the usual approach to synthetic
seismograms for a layered medium. The differencing associated
with the gauge length means that it is necessary to take account
of the differences in range and azimuth relative to the DAS cable
at the two ends. As illustrated in Figure 1, for sources close to
the cable line there can be noticeable differences in these quantities.

Figure 1. Plan view of a near source to a DAS cable. For a nominal cable
channel at \( x_c \) and source with closest distance to the cable of \( d_0 \), the velocity
resolved along the cable \( v_1, v_2 \) has to be calculated for the points \( x_c \pm g \Delta/2 \),
which lie at different distances \( x_1, x_2 \) from the source and with different
inclinations of the radial vector to the cable \( \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \).

Interference effects from shallow cable emplacement only
become significant at high frequencies, and can be included by
replacing the free-surface reflection coefficients that depend only
on slowness by a frequency dependent term representing the
interaction of up-going and down-going waves at the cable depth.

Near sources

The relation (2) means that we can work directly with existing
ways of calculating synthetic seismograms, but need to include
the differencing effects of the gauge length. This can be done by
explicit differencing of prior ground velocity calculations provided
that the geometrical configuration is fully specified (e.g. Wang et
al., 2018; Hudson et al., 2020). The alternative is differencing whilst
constructing the synthetics in the slowness-frequency domain as
in (4), which has the advantage that many channels can be
calculated exploiting the same medium response terms once the
cable configuration has been mapped.

In the examples below, DAS synthesis is implemented in
the frequency-slowness domain using the approach described in
Kennett (1980) that builds the response of the multi-layered model
in terms of the reflection and transmission properties of the layers,
with the inclusion of surface force sources as well as internal
moment tensor sources.

Distant sources

When the DAS cable lies well away from the source of seismic
waves the 3-component ground velocity field has similar character
across even a kilometer or two of cable. The main effects now
come from the orientation of the cable with respect to the incident
arrivals. With frequency-slowness synthesis, we build the response
from a spectrum of plane waves, and can develop a formalism for
the effect of gauge length on distant events. I here present a general
formulation building on the analysis in the supplementary material
to Walter et al. (2020).

Consider a plane wave with frequency \( \omega \) that has propagated a
distance \( \Delta \) to a reference point from a distant source with horizontal
slowness vector \( p \). In the neighborhood of the reference point, the
displacement associated with the plane wave

\[
 u = u e^{i \omega [p \cdot x + \Delta]} ,
\]

where \( x \) is the offset from the reference point and \( u \) is the
polarization vector for the plane wave. The associated strain tensor

\[
 \varepsilon_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right] = \frac{i \omega}{2} u (n_i p_j + n_j p_i) e^{i \omega [p \cdot x + \Delta]} .
\]
The strain resolved along a DAS cable lying in the local tangent direction \( \mathbf{d} \) is then
\[
\varepsilon_d = d_i \varepsilon_j d_j = i \omega u(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{p}) e^{i \omega p \Delta}.
\] (7)

The DAS measurement associated with a point represents an average of strain along the gauge length \( g \) along the cable around that point. Thus, setting \( x = sd \) aligned along the local cable direction, the averaged strain around the reference point
\[
\langle \varepsilon_d(\omega) \rangle = \frac{1}{g} \int_{g/2}^{g/2} ds \varepsilon_d(s) = \frac{1}{g} \left[ \frac{u(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{n})}{l} e^{i \omega p(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{p})} \right] \frac{g}{2}.
\]

For systems that record strain rate, such as the iDAS, the averaged strain rate is
\[
\langle \dot{\varepsilon}_d(\omega) \rangle = \frac{2i \omega}{g} u(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \sin \left( \frac{g}{2} \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) e^{i \omega p \Delta}.
\] (9)

The term \( \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{n} \) depends on the polarization. The combination \( p_d = \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) represents the slowness of the plane wave resolved along the cable, and so \( p_d = p \cos \psi \) as a function of the inclination of the plane wave relative to the radial direction from the source.

Relations equivalent to (9) have been derived in many different contexts, forming the basis of conversion schemes to extract ground velocity from DAS records (e.g., Wang et al., 2018; Lindsey et al., 2020) to convert averaged strain to ground velocity the full form of (9) is needed, and has been applied by Egorov et al. (2018) to convert averaged strain rate to ground velocity.

When the variation of the plane wave along the cable is slow compared to the gauge length, i.e., \( \omega g p / 2 < 1 \), the sine in (9) can be approximated by its argument. In this case,
\[
\langle \dot{\varepsilon}_d(\omega) \rangle = \frac{2 \omega}{g} u(\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \sin \left( \frac{g}{2} \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{p} \right) e^{i \omega p \Delta}.
\] (10)

which is the acceleration due to the plane wave resolved along the cable modulated by the slowness along the cable. The averaged strain rate \( \langle \dot{\varepsilon}_d \rangle \) can thus be constructed by combining the radial \( u_r \) and tangential \( u_t \) components as
\[
\langle \dot{\varepsilon}_d(\omega) \rangle = \frac{2 \omega}{g} \left( p \cos \psi u_r \cos \psi + u_t \sin \psi \right) e^{i \omega p \Delta}.
\] (11)

This approximation will be suitable for frequencies
\[
f < f_c = 1/(5 \pi g p),
\] (12)

since the strongest variation occurs when the cable and propagation path are aligned. For regional phases with a gauge length of 10 m, \( f_c \sim 40 \text{ Hz} \) for \( P \) waves, and \( f_c \sim 20 \text{ Hz} \) for \( S \) waves. The values of \( f_c \) are even higher for teleseismic waves, with a steeper inclination to the vertical and so smaller horizontal slowness.

The approximate result (11) does not depend on the precise nature of the averaging along the gauge length. The relation between averaged strain and ground velocity \( \nu g \) projected along the cable is often given in the apparently simpler time domain form
\[
\langle \dot{\varepsilon}_d \rangle = \nu g / c_d,
\] (13)

(e.g., Daley et al, 2016) and forms the basis of conversion schemes to extract ground velocity from DAS records (e.g. Wang et al., 2018; Lindsey et al., 2020; Paitz et al., 2020).

The approximation (11) is suitable for both regional and teleseismic distances, and can be implemented by simple modifications of the receiver terms in the frequency-slowness response before integration. For synthesis using modal summation, the DAS response can again be obtained by a modification of the receiver terms, for a mode with frequency \( \omega \) and angular order \( l \) the slowness \( p = \omega (l + \frac{1}{2}) \). To apply (11) the source needs to be sufficiently far from the array for the local field to appear as a passing plane wave, so that a minimum distance of a few tens of kilometers is needed for natural sources. Nevertheless, the inclination factors can be applied for closer sources and provide a convenient rapid assessment of response.

The DAS response term (11) applies a factor of horizontal slowness to acceleration, irrespective of the relative orientation of the cable and the incoming wave. This means that steeply arriving waves with small slowness, such as \( P \) and \( S \) body waves from distant sources are diminished, whilst the late surface waves with large slowness are amplified. As a result, Rayleigh waves are even more pronounced on broad-band DAS records of distant events than on comparable seismograms (Lindsey et al., 2020), and the surface wave coda is extended. Van den Ende and Ampuero (2021) note that the amplification of locally scattered surface waves can have the effect of reducing the coherence of DAS recording of body waves.

When the condition (12) is satisfied there is simple scaling between projected ground velocity and averaged strain rate linked by the effective slowness along the fiber. This relation has been used by a number of authors (e.g., Wang et al., 2018; Lindsey et al., 2020) to implement conversion to ground velocity using \( f \rightarrow k \) mapping, but such conversion requires a significant length of fiber with a common orientation, and needs careful regularization when data has only a narrow wavenumber content. An alternative is to estimate the apparent slowness for the portion of the records being considered, e.g., Paitz et al. (2020) have used Rayleigh wavespeeds for the surface wave portions of recordings in Switzerland and Iceland.

As noted by Walter et al. (2020) the action of the DAS strain-rate averaging in (11) is to change the amplitude spectrum of the wavefield, whilst leaving the phase associated with propagation from the source unchanged. This means that travel-time picking is unaffected by the DAS recording system provided the frequency is not too high.

**Orientation factors**

The net result of the tensorial projection of strain onto the oriented cable is that the behavior is controlled by the relative angle \( \psi \) between the passing wavefront and the DAS cable. The radial component of acceleration from \( P \) and \( SV \) waves has the projection factor
\[
u_r \cos^2 \psi = \nu_r (\cos 2\psi - 1)/2
\] (14)

and for the tangential component from \( SH \) waves
\[
u_t \sin \psi \cos \psi = \nu_t (\sin 2\psi)/2
\] (15)

The radial contribution is always positive, whilst the transverse contribution can change sign. The double angle dependence comes from the tensor projection of strain. Martin (2018) provides a detailed discussion of orientation effects for different classes of waves, both for direct measurement and for cross-correlation as in the analysis of ambient noise.

For Rayleigh waves, the radial orientation term \( \cos^2 \psi \) is to be applied, with maximum response along the DAS cable (e.g. Martin, 2018, Zhan, 2020). For Love waves, the tangential factor \( \sin \psi \cos \psi \) comes into play and this 4-lobed pattern has nulls for waves travelling along and perpendicular to the DAS cable.
As a result, Rayleigh waves tend to be more prominent on DAS recordings than Love (e.g., Lindsey et al., 2020).

Since DAS records are commonly plotted in terms of distance along cable without consideration of orientation factors it is convenient to have a summary of such effects. This can be provided by plotting out the orientation terms on the same scale as the record section. Examples are shown below for regional and teleseismic events across arrays with notable changes of geometry. Such diagrams can be helpful in disentangling effects arising from the interference of different phases from changes in direction of the cable layout.

**EXAMPLES OF DAS WAVEFIELDS**

In this section I show how the nature of the DAS response discussed above can be used to provide understanding of the character of the strain field and to model DAS records directly. In addition to the gauge length, a number of other choices, e.g., for channel stacking, can be imposed by the DAS acquisition systems and these can modify the appearance of the strain-rate records. For simplicity I have chosen to extract single channel DAS responses in the simulations that could be post-processed to provide a direct match to the specific DAS interrogator settings.

To be able to model DAS records we must find (i) a suitable source representation, (ii) an appropriate propagation model and (iii) a detailed description of the configuration of the channels along the optical fiber in terms of position and orientation. The geometry of the cable can be well specified when a specific deployment has been made. But, for dark fibers in existing telecommunications systems, although the general pattern of cable run may be known, some effort may be needed to delineate the channel configuration, e.g., using localized sources (tap tests) to calibrate channel count against position.

A suitable description of a local source will normally have a strong vertical component, but a jump or a sledgehammer blow will commonly have some horizontal effect as well. For regional and teleseismic events, source information for larger events can be found from various agencies as fault plane mechanisms or full moment tensors, but smaller events may be poorly characterized from few recordings.

Successful simulation using simple one-dimensional models requires that the conditions in the neighborhood of the DAS cable layout do not show too much variation. Coupling of the DAS cable to its environment can vary noticeably, leading to amplitude discrepancies that could be ameliorated by post-processing of the model results, if sufficient information is available. One of the merits of direct DAS modeling is that it is able to reveal variations in cable coupling by comparison of expected and observed amplitude patterns.

**Local sources**

The applications of DAS recording in exploration contexts have the advantage of well calibrated cable configurations and controlled sources so that high frequencies can be effectively exploited (e.g., Daley et al., 2016; Binder et al., 2020). However, where existing telecommunication cables are being exploited only the basic geometry of the path is well known but not the mapping of individual channels.

As part of the procedure for calibrating the channel locations along a dark fiber it is common carry out tap tests with a localized source, e.g., a sledgehammer blow or a person jumping, and the strongest effects appearing at the closest DAS channel. The source point will normally lie close to the cable, but not right on top, and so the offset from the cable and the consequent variation in the rotation of the velocity field to lie along the cable needs to be taken into consideration when modelling the DAS records by differencing (Figure 1).

The character of the results for tap tests depend strongly on the local conditions, and the proximity of the source to the cable whose actual location may well not be known. Generally there is a strong localized response, often from 5 waves. In favorable circumstances, the DAS recordings show the equivalent of a refraction spread that can be used to extract information on near surface structure (as in Figure 2). In the immediate vicinity of tap tests, DAS records on dark fiber are often complex with long duration, and this could be associated with wave trapping in the cable conduit.

As an example of a test in an urban environment, Figure 2 illustrates a single jump on the sidewalk of a street in Bern, Switzerland recorded on an optical fiber connecting educational institutions about 2 km apart (Fichtner, Bowden and Smolinski - private communication). The cable lies at a depth of 0.7 m, with relatively good coupling to the surroundings. The gauge length employed was 10 m, and DAS channels were extracted at 2 m intervals. In this case the jump energy has propagated to considerable distance and there is noticeable energy in refracted P.

The segment of data illustrated in Figure 2 lies along a straight section of the street, but the nature of the buildings changes along the profile, including the presence of basements with concrete walls that can act as side reflectors. Such differences along a street are common in an urban environment and mean that the pattern of observations is not symmetric about the closest channel. Thus, any 1-D model will only be an approximation to the actual situation and a tight match to observations cannot be expected.

The modelling shown in Figure 2b uses the simple layered model shown in Figure 2c that gives a reasonable representation of the major arrivals at a number of different jump points and is consistent with local surface wave dispersion results. The effect of a person jumping has been simulated with a strong vertical force and much weaker horizontal components. The exact position of the jump point relative to the cable is not known, so I have assumed a separation of 2 m from the cable for the simulation. The synthesis used 2500 slownesses out to 4 s/km, for an 0.8192 s time interval with 4096 time points. The pass band was 0.25 to 55 Hz and moderate attenuation was included in the model with $Q_p^{-1} = 0.01$, $Q_s^{-1} = 0.02$. The travel time curves plotted in Figure 2 on the synthetics are calculated including the effect of the offset source, but do not allow for the mixing effect from velocity differentiating at the ends of the gauge length that is included in the seismograms. A consequence of differentiating is that a single DAS trace can mix aspects of the wavefield with different character, e.g., refracted and reflected segments with similar timing separated by the gauge length. Such an effect was noted by Zhu et al., (2021) in a comparison of a spread geophone and DAS records close to a hammer blow. Near the source some cancellation can occur from differentiating. At larger offsets the main effect is simple spatial averaging.

No channel stacking has been applied to the modeled results in Figure 2. However, it would appear that a five-channel running average has been applied to the observations (thus spanning 10 m the same as the gauge length). This gives the impression of a more localized response but at the expense of further smearing of the features of the wavefield. It is therefore necessary to investigate
closely the settings employed in any DAS deployment if a full simulation is to be made.

A consequence of the inclination factor (9) is that P waves at near normal incidence have very low amplitude in DAS records. This means that on the section of the cable in the closest proximity to a source lying some distance away there will be a rather bland zone. An example in shown in Figure 3 for a moment tensor source with dominant $M_{zz}$ component at 40 m depth, 2 km away from a straight cable using the same wavespeed model as in Figure 2c. The span of distances for which the inclination is close to normal increases with source displacement but the gradient is amplitude becomes weaker and the effect is less obvious. A similar feature is seen in Figure 3 of Karrenbach et al., (2018) with close observation of a microseismic event on a horizontal monitoring cable at depth. A comparable problem of reduced response to P waves at the closest distances occurs for sources lying beneath a DAS array. The P waves are suppressed for steep paths with small horizontal slowness $p$, (e.g., Hudson et al., 2020). Sensitivity to P waves can be ameliorated by special cable construction with engineered fibre, e.g, the helical winding analysed by Kushnikov (2016), Wuestefeld and Wilks (2019).

**Regional sources**

As an example of the high-frequency component of the regional wavefield, I show a recording of a regional earthquake at the Tidbinbilla Deep Space Tracking Station south of Canberra in Australia (Lai, Miller and McQueen – private communication). A spare fiber leading from the central building to the north to one of the antennas was used for the DAS system. The cable lies at a depth of 2 m and the path follows a set of straight-line segments with some sharp changes of orientation. The active operations at this site generate significant low frequency noise, which limits the usable passband to above 1 Hz. This means that the DAS data lie in the regime where influences from scattering are strong, and the coherence of signals is reduced.

Figure 4a illustrates the recordings from an event to the south at a distance of 125 km crossing the cable with azimuth 21.5°. Modulation of the amplitude of the observed arrivals and changes in the structure of the waveforms can be linked to the varying orientation of the segments of the cable (Figure 4c,d). The cable configuration was calibrated by tap tests. There are complications in the geometry around 700 m cable distance where an excess cable loop has been introduced. The surface conditions vary, with ground disturbance in places associated with past building work.

The synthetics displayed in Figure 4b were calculated using a regional velocity model with a gradient zone at the base of the crust from 35–45 km. The moment tensor source, based on previous events in the area, was set at 6 km depth since this provided the best representation of wavefield character. The azimuth to the DAS array lies close to a node in the P wave radiation pattern, so that S waves are enhanced by both scaling by horizontal slowness and the radiation pattern effects. The path from the source crosses a crustal region with pronounced wavespeed gradients (e.g., Salmon et al., 2013), and thus it is hard to find a suitable wavespeed model for high-frequency simulation. For the modelling, the DAS strain rate simulation was carried out using the mapping of acceleration (11)
onto the cable layout (Figure 4c). The orientation factors for the radial and tangential components of strain are displayed in Figure 4d alongside the synthetics on the same distance scale, so that their influence can be judged.

Slowness integration was taken out to 0.33 s/km with 1200 slownesses and a frequency passband from 0.1 to 8.0 Hz. A modest amount of signal generated noise has been added using the approach described by Kennett (1985) in which a random component modifies the frequency-slowness spectrum. The synthesised regional seismograms are still too simple, but the pattern of visibility of the $P$ and $S$ arrivals shows a reasonable correspondence with the observations. The synthetics only include propagation along the great-circle path, but because the azimuth is close to a $P$ wave radiation node, scattering effects from off path have a significant influence (as seen in the observations). The reduced $S$ wave amplitude between 500 and 650 m can be linked to a change in ground conditions in the material surrounding the conduit. Such an effect could not be recognized without the aid of the model.

**Teleseismic sources**

For events at teleseismic distances the dominant contribution on DAS records at low frequencies will be surface waves, usually dominantly Rayleigh waves, enhanced by the amplification of acceleration by horizontal slowness (e.g., Lindsey et al., 2020; Paitz et al., 2020). At higher frequencies, in favourable circumstances, it can be possible to pick up teleseismic arrivals on dark fiber in urban areas.

Figure 5a displays the recording of the Mw 8.1 earthquake in the Kermadec (2021 March 04, 29.723°S, 177.279°W, 30 km depth) on an urban cable in Perth, Western Australia connecting CSIRO establishments (Saygin and Ricard - private communication). The cable run follows the pattern of streets and includes many rapid changes in direction (Figure 5c). The event lies at 56.5° away from the cable, with takeoff azimuth at the source of 249.4°. The waveform crosses the DAS array at an azimuth of 286.6°. A consequence of the changes in orientation of the cable relative to the arrival direction from the source (Figure 5c) is that there is distinct banding in the amplitude of the observations, as well as variations that can be linked to changing ground coupling (e.g., in the street segment from 1.00–1.25 km).

To model the teleseismic body waves the approach of Marson-Pidgeon and Kennett (2000) has been used. This approach includes crustal response at both source and receiver sides coupled to a simple reflection from the mantle with allowance for attenuation. A slowness-frequency integration is performed over a bundle of slownesses around the ray theoretical value, which allows full modelling of the direct phases $P$, $S$ and their interactions with their associated depth phases.

In this case the $ak135$ model (Kennett et al., 1995) was used for both source and receiver crustal structure with $Q_p^{-1} = 0.001$, $Q_s^{-1} = 0.002$. The mantle model was also $ak135$ with the loss factors increased to $Q_p^{-1} = 0.005$, $Q_s^{-1} = 0.001$ at 120 and 660 km depth. The CMT solution for the event was used with a point source at 29 km depth. A bundle of 120 slownesses around the geometrical ray value was used separately for the $P$ and $S$ arrivals with a frequency band from 0.05–1 Hz. A trapezoidal waveform 5 s long was employed with rise and decay times of 1.25 s. Because the stations along a DAS cable are closely spaced the geometric slowness varies slowly. This means that the same bundle of slownesses can be employed in modeling for a sweep of stations at a time, thereby reducing computational effort significantly.

The modeled DAS records (Figure 5b) reproduce well the modulation of the $P$ arrivals by orientation, and there is a hint of the shift in emphasis between the direct and depth phases seen in the observations. The complex pattern of orientation effects due to the changes in cable direction are shown in Figure 5d on the same distance scale as the synthetics and observations. Some slight shifts in the position of amplitude minima between the observations and the modeling could be a product of an imperfect mapping of channel position.

Both the simulation and the DAS observations show reasonable consistency across the array in the style of waveforms, even though the amplitudes are affected by orientation. At the top of the simulation panel (Figure 5b) I show the stacks of the $P$ and $S$ wave traces, normalized by the number of traces. For the $P$ waves, the stack trace provides a good rendering of the character of the arrivals with little distortion. However, for $S$ there are significant variations in polarity of the contribution from the $SH$ waves, on the transverse component to the path from the source. These are most noticeable at the onset of $S$ on the DAS simulations. Their net effect on stacking is to almost entirely suppress the onset of $S$ in the stack trace leaving only modest depth phase contributions from the radial component ($SV$).

The significant amplitude difference between the modeling and the observations around 1.25 km indicates a segment along a road with very different coupling conditions from the rest of the cable. Although the synthetics indicate little change in $P$ waveform along the cable, there are some distinct shifts in the pattern in the observations consistent with a higher emphasis on the depth phases. There is strong coherence over road segments of up to 400 m, but changes along different roads that may be associated with the nature of the cable conduit.

The success of the relatively simple modification of the teleseismic response with the slowness and angular weight to simulate DAS records suggests that waveform inversion for source
Figure 4. Regional event: Jindabyne earthquake ML 3.7 of 2021 May 5 recorded at the Tidbinbilla Deep Space Tracking Station south of Canberra, Australia. The data are high-pass filtered above 1 Hz to eliminate strong site noise. (a) strainrate observations for the DAS cable, filter 1.0-30.0 Hz; (b) DAS modelling using a slowness-frequency integration; (c) configuration of the DAS cable; (d) orientation factors for P, SV waves (R) and SH waves (T), with the azimuth of the arriving wavefront indicated.

characterisation will be feasible. With stacking of a number of DAS channels on a well oriented cable segment, to increase the signal to noise ratio, the DAS results could be used directly in an inversion scheme, such as that described by Kennett et al. (2000), along with seismometer records.

DAS ARRAY RESPONSE

The capacity to provide a direct simulation of DAS signals opens the way to using such results for planning layouts for experimental deployments of optical fiber cables, including the influence of cable orientation and wave type.

We have seen in Figure 5 that direct stacking of DAS signals...
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Figure 5. Teleseismic event: Kermadec earthquake Mw 8.1 of 2021 March 4 recorded on an urban cable in Perth, Western Australia. (a) Strain rate observations for the DAS cable, filter 0.1-1.0 Hz; (b) DAS simulation using a slowness bundle around the geometric slowness, the S portion is shown at higher amplification for clarity; (c) configuration of the illustrated portion of the cable, superimposed on Open Street Map; (d) orientation factors for P, SV waves (R) and SH waves (T), with the azimuth of the arriving wavefront indicated.

can be effective for P waves, even for a cable configuration with complex changes of orientation. Can such an approach be carried further and a DAS cable configuration be used for signal analysis using array processing? The very high number of available DAS channels would suggest that it should be possible to use even a modest size cable layout to enhance signal at higher frequencies. Yet, the use of DAS recording brings in a dependence of the recorded response on the orientation of the cable with respect to the passage of the seismic wavefield that does not arise for seismometers, where rotation of components is available. As a result, the process of stacking an array of DAS channels has a somewhat different character than for an array of seismometers. Such effects have been noted by, e.g., Lindsey et al. (2019), van den Ende and Ampuero (2021) in empirical analysis of DAS stacking.

Array processing is designed to enhance coherent signal crossing an array by making suitable combinations of the traces. Distant events can be represented by a dominant plane wave with slowness $s$. Thus, adjusting the timing of the records from the different array sites to compensate for the expected phase differences and combining the set of shifted traces, arrivals with slowness close to $s$ will be enhanced since these will be coherent across the set of traces.

The linear array sum for an incident plane wave, with
appropriate time delays at the \( N \) DAS channels, takes the form
\[
E(\mathbf{s}, \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\mathbf{e})^T (\mathbf{x}_j, \omega) e^{-i\omega [\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{s}]},
\]  
(16)
in terms of the vector slowness \( \mathbf{s} \) and the sensor coordinates \( \mathbf{x}_j \) relative to a reference site at a suitable origin. For a single plane wave travelling with an azimuth \( \phi \), and an inclination to the vertical \( i \), the horizontal slowness vector \( \mathbf{s} = s(\cos \phi \sin i, \sin \phi \sin i) \).

For a distant event, from the representations (10), (11) we can express the ground motion at frequency \( \omega \) crossing a DAS array as a superposition of plane wave components
\[
(\mathbf{e})^T (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \omega) = \omega^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{d}p_1 \text{d}p_2 \left[ \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_1) \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_2)) e^{i \omega \left[ (p_1 - x_1)x_1 + (p_2 - x_2)x_2 \right]} \right],
\]  
(17)
where \( u(p_1, p_2, \omega) \) is the displacement recorded by a seismometer, and \( \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}) \) is the local unit vector tangent to the cable. With this form for \( (\mathbf{e})^T (\mathbf{x}, \omega) \) the array sum (16) becomes
\[
E(\mathbf{s}, \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \omega^4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{d}p_1 \text{d}p_2 u(p_1, p_2, \omega)
\cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_j)) \cdot \mathbf{e}^{i \omega \left[ (p_1 - x_1)x_1 + (p_2 - x_2)x_2 \right]},
\]  
(18)
where the sum involves both the polarisation and the orientation of the cable for each plane wave component in the wavefield. The time shifts depend on the differential slowness \( \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{s} \), but the orientation factors depend solely on \( \mathbf{p} \).

This complex result for a DAS array is in strong contrast with the situation for an array of seismometers where the wavefield is just modulated by the array response function
\[
\mathcal{S}(\Delta \mathbf{s}, \omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{i \omega \left[ \Delta \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{x}_j \right]},
\]  
(19)
shifted to the differential slowness \( \Delta \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{s} \). For seismometers, the same functional form is derived irrespective of the slowness \( \mathbf{s} \) and the pattern in slowness space is dictated by the geometry of the array. \( \mathcal{S}(\Delta \mathbf{s}, \omega) \) is a scaled version of the Fourier transform of a set of delta-functions placed at the array positions with respect to the center. The function \( \mathcal{S}(\Delta \mathbf{s}, \omega) \) can be characterised by calculating the response for a vertically incident wave for which \( x_1 = x_2 = 0 \).

The direct array response for the DAS cable modulates the seismometer array response by the slowness, so the specific behavior depends on the nature of the incident wavefront. There is almost no response for vertical incidence when the wave motion is perpendicular to the cable, but sensitivity grows as the angle of incidence to the vertical increases. From (11) the orientation factor associated with the radial component of motion to the path from the source \( (P \) and \( SV \) waves) is \( \cos^2 \psi \) where \( \psi \) is the angle between the local slowness vector \( \mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2) \) and the tangent to the cable at each site \( \mathbf{x}_j \). This factor will always be less than unity and thus stacking will tend to be less effective than for the similar configuration of seismometers even with amplification by the magnitude of the horizontal slowness. For the transverse component of motion \( (SH \) waves) the orientation factor is \( \cos \psi \sin \psi \) and so can take both positive and negative values.

The net result is that stacking does not focus on the target slowness, as we have seen in the \( S \) stack for the teleseismic event in Figure 5.

A very suitable configuration for creating an array from a DAS cable is an Archimedian spiral with polar equation \( r = a \theta \), which closely resembles a set of concentric circles with gentle curvature using a single continuous fiber (Figure 6a). Such a spiral has been shown to have good characteristics with even a limited number of available sensors (Wathelet 2005, Kennett et al. 2015). Here, for simplicity, I consider a 6 km long cable \( (a = 80 \text{ m}) \) with DAS channels extracted every 50 m, to give a total of 120 effective sensors along the spiral. The stack response of the DAS array depends on the specifics of the incoming wavefront. In Figure 6 the wavefront comes from a far regional event at 4 Hz and the array power distribution is constructed as a function of slowness for both a set of seismometers with the same spatial configuration (Figure 6b) and the DAS array for the radial and tangential components from the source (Figure 6c,d). Even with the modest size array, the number of sensors means that it is possible to achieve strong focusing without any orientation effects. Side bands are weak and well separated from the main response. Once the DAS orientation effects are included (Figure 6c) there is still a good concentration of the array response around the correct azimuth, but the peak is displaced to larger slowness (as would be appropriate to a closer event). Hence the DAS cable has a useful array response for \( P \) and \( SV \) waves that register on the radial component from the source, provided the event is not too far away. For the transverse component \( (SH \) waves) the orientation terms kill off the response at the target slowness and weak lobes lie to the sides of radial peak (Figure 6d).

For surface waves, which already have a large slowness, the distortion introduced by the DAS array stacking is much less, and so stacking for Rayleigh waves on the radial component can be expected to work well (Figure 7c: DAS-R), but Love waves on the tangential component will be suppressed (Figure 7d: DAS-T). The simple triangular array has stronger sidelobes than the spiral in Figure 6. Luo et al (2020) have demonstrated that Rayleigh and Love wave separation can be achieved by using orthogonal arms of DAS cable with addition and subtraction of the contributions from the arms. Their idea can be generalized to other array configurations.

We have noted above (14,15) that the orientation factors for radial propagation (\( P \), \( SV \), Rayleigh) is \( \cos^2 \psi \) and that for tangential propagation (\( SH \), Love) is \( \sin \psi \cos \psi \), i.e., that seen previously for the tangential, and convert the tangential term to \( \sin^2 \psi \) which no longer has the sign change that leads to stack cancellation. Since \( \tan \psi \) has a singularity when \( \cos \psi = 0 \) at \( \psi = 90^\circ \), it is necessary to regularize such tangent multiplication in applying a modified stack. This can be done with exclusion of the immediate neighborhood of the singularity where a zero factor is applied. The resulting modified stacks are shown in Figure 7e,f for the same configuration as before. The modified radial stack (Figure 7e: DAS-RL) is visually identical to the prior tangential stack in Figure 7d, though the remapping has some very slight effects from the regularization. The modified tangential stack (Figure 7e: DAS-TL) achieves the goal of stacking up Love waves at the desired slowness but the side bands are magnified by the slowness mapping in the DAS response.

The success of this angular weighting to enhance \( SH \) waves suggests that the application of an inverse slowness weight in stacking DAS records could help to improve the focusing of the array beam onto the correct slowness for body waves. To avoid the
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Figure 6. Simulation of array response for an Archimedean spiral including DAS cable orientation effects at 4 Hz. (a) Array geometry for 6 km cable with an incident wavefront crossing the array with an azimuth of 300° and slowness 10.0 s/° for an event at epicentral distance 20.3°; (b) Array response with no allowance for orientation (S), equivalent to seismometer stack; (c) Array response including orientation effects for radial motion (DAS-R: for P-SV waves); (d) Array response including orientation effects for transverse motion (DAS-T: for SH waves). The response is shown as relative power to the peak of the array response in (b), with the colour scale shown at the right. The location of the true slowness vector is indicated with an open circle in (b)–(d).

Figure 7. Simulation of array response including DAS cable orientation effects at 4 Hz, for a triangular array with enhanced stacking of SH component. (a) Array geometry for 6 km cable with an incident wavefront crossing the array with an azimuth of 285° and slowness 30.0 s/°; (b) Array response with no allowance for orientation (S), equivalent to seismometer stack; (c) Array response including orientation effects for radial motion (DAS-R: for P-SV waves); (d) Array response including orientation effects for transverse motion (DAS-T: for SH waves); (e) Modified array response to suppress radial motion (DAS-RL: for P-SV waves); (f) Modified array response to enhance transverse motion (DAS-TL: for SH waves). The response is shown as relative power to the peak of the array response in (b), with the colour scale shown at the right. The location of the true slowness vector is indicated with an open circle in (b)–(f).

singularity at vertical incidence $p = 0$, a regularization such as a water level would need to be applied to the inverse slowness.

Hence, if there is good control on the characteristics of arriving surface waves in terms of azimuth and approximate slowness, it is possible to extract Rayleigh waves by direct stacking of a DAS array with suitable geometry, and Love waves with an azimuth weighted stack using a regularized tangent weight. Stacking effects on linear cable runs will depend on their orientation relative to the arriving wavefronts.

Van den Ende and Ampuero (2021) have made an empirical analysis of array beamforming for the March 2016 ML 4.3 Hawthorne earthquake recorded on both dense nodal seismometer and a complex geometry DAS array at the Brady Hot Springs site in Nevada. The event was about 150 km south of the site. Vertical component seismometer traces show strong coherence, but this more patchy and geographically variable on the horizontal components. On the DAS array individual segments can show strong coherence, but the full suite of traces shows much less coherence than the seismometer results in part because of the influence of rapid changes in cable orientation across the Brady site.
and variable ground conditions (Parker et al., 2018). Direct DAS stacking showed strong effects from local scattering magnified by the slowness weighting for slow arrivals, whereas conversion to ground velocity produced results comparable to that from the nodal array.

Sterable arrays require sites where good signal coherency is achieved to enhance signal strength. With the orientation effects of DAS recording large numbers of abrupt changes of cable direction are undesirable since they complicate the array response. Complex sites such as the Brady geothermal field are good candidates for using the dense recording capabilities of DAS, but by their nature tend to distort the local strain wavefield, because of high sensitivity to small-scale heterogeneity (Singh et al., 2020). Thus, not all DAS layouts can be expected to be suitable for array analysis, and a larger size than most current configurations is needed to get well focused beams.

CONCLUSION

Understanding of the nature of the seismic wavefield as recorded on a DAS cable requires recognition of the effect of local spatial averaging from the intrinsic gauge length and the important role of the orientation of cable segments relative to the seismic waves arriving at the site. Analysis in the slowness-frequency domain provides a convenient framework for including such effects.

At close ranges the influence of gauge length is strong and can be included by differencing ground velocity resolved along the fiber at the ends of the gauge interval. For more distant events, at seismic frequencies, the gauge averaging of strain rate is equivalent to extracting the acceleration resolved along the cable modulated by the horizontal slowness along the cable. Once the configuration of the cable has been determined, with calibration of DAS channels against physical position, it is possible to directly simulate the DAS signal using numerical integration of the frequency-slowness response. For teleseisms an effective approach is to employ a bundle of slowness around the geometrical arrival so that depth phase effects are correctly represented.

Not only does such synthesis allow an analysis of the different phase contribution to the observed wavefield with allowance for cable orientation, it also has a valuable role in allowing assessment of coupling effects along a cable. The relative simplicity of far-field results means that DAS recordings can be used in waveform inversion for source characteristics, alongside seismometer records.

The slowness domain representation of DAS recordings also provides a convenient way of examining the behavior of different configurations of DAS cables. In particular, a single DAS layout can be employed as a steerable array for high frequency waves. However, there is a tendency for the estimated slownesses for body waves to be slightly enlarged by the slowness component of the DAS response. Such effects are less important for surface waves, and by suitable weighting both Rayleigh and Love waves can extracted from DAS stacks.

DATA AND RESOURCES

The data used in the observational examples was provided for illustration of the nature of the DAS wavefield by the groups acknowledged below. The DAS modeling and array response codes will be placed at the AusPASS repository (auspass.edu.au).
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