Genome-Wide Identification, Expression Profile and Evolution Analysis of Karyopherin β Gene Family in *Solanum tuberosum* Group Phureja DM1-3 Reveals Its Roles in Abiotic Stresses
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Abstract: In eukaryotic cells, nucleocytoplasmic trafficking of macromolecules is largely mediated by Karyopherin β/Importin (KPNβ or Impβ) nuclear transport factors, and they import and export cargo proteins or RNAs via the nuclear pores across the nuclear envelope, consequently effecting the cellular signal cascades in response to pathogen attack and environmental cues. Although achievements on understanding the roles of several KPNβs have been obtained from model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*, comprehensive analysis of potato KPNβ gene family is yet to be elucidated. In our genome-wide identifications, a total of 13 *StKPNβ* (*Solanum tuberosum* KPNβ) genes were found in the genome of the doubled monoploid *S. tuberosum* Group Phureja DM1-3. Sequence alignment and conserved domain analysis suggested the presence of importin-β N-terminal domain (IBN_N, PF08310) or Exporin1-like domain (Xpo1, PF08389) at N-terminus and HEAT motif at the C-terminal portion in most *StKPNβ* genes. Phylogenetic analysis indicated that members of *StKPNβ* could be classified into 16 subgroups in accordance with their homology to human KPNβs, which was also supported by exon-intron structure, consensus motifs, and domain compositions. RNA-Seq analysis and quantitative real-time PCR experiments revealed that, except *StKPNβ3d* and *StKPNβ4*, almost all *StKPNβ* genes were ubiquitously expressed in all tissues analyzed, whereas transcriptional levels of several *StKPNβ* genes were increased upon biotic/abiotic stress or phytohormone treatments, reflecting their potential roles in plant growth, development or stress responses. Furthermore, we demonstrated that silencing of *StKPNβ3a*, a SA- and H2O2-inducible KPNβ genes led to increased susceptibility to environmental challenges, implying its crucial roles in plant adaption to abiotic stresses. Overall, our results provide molecular insights into *StKPNβ* gene family, which will serve as a strong foundation for further functional characterization and will facilitate potato breeding programs.
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1. Introduction

Unlike the prokaryotic ancestors, the nucleus of eukaryotic cells is surrounded by double layers of lipid membranes, called the nuclear envelope (NE), which provides a controlled barrier between nucleoplasm and cytoplasm [1–3]. The selective transportation of macromolecules across NE provides
the eukaryotic cell with essential and additional benefits in regulating exchange of genetic information in response to the changing environments [4–6]. The nucleocytoplasmic transport machinery is composed of a variety of nuclear transport factors: (1) Karyopherin/Importin α (KPN α), which recognize cargo protein with nuclear localization signal (NLS) or nuclear export signal (NES); (2) Karyopherin/Importin β (KPNβ), which binds to KPNα and mediates cargo import into or export out of the nucleus; (3) A small GTPase Ran, which binds to KPNβ and drive directional nucleocytoplasmic transport of cargo-α/β/Ran complex by the RanGTP-RanGDP gradient across the NE [6–11]. In addition to collaborate with KPNα in nucleocytoplasmic transport, the KPNβ family of nuclear transport factors can mediate, by directly recognizing NLS/NES with cargos, most macromolecular transport across NE. Therefore, KPNβs are thought to be critical regulators of a set of cellular processes such as signal transduction, gene expression, immune response, etc. [12,13].

KPNβ is typically characterized with an importin-β N-terminal domain (IBN_N, PF03810) or Exporin1-like domain (Xpo1, PF08389) at the N-terminus, and a series of tandemly repeated HEAT (Huntingtin, elongation factor 3, protein phosphatase 2A and yeast PI3-kinase TOR1) motifs at the C-terminal portion [13,14]. Based on the evolutionary and transcriptional analysis, KPNβ family is divided into 15 subfamilies which are named according to human nomenclatures [15,16]. Previous experiments have demonstrated that at least 11 human KPNβs and 10 yeast KPNβs can regulate nucleocytoplasmic transport [13].

Members of KPNβ gene family were identified in many eukaryotic organisms from yeast, plant, to mammal. It has been reported that there are 14 members in yeast and over 20 in human genomes, and Arabidopsis genome encodes 18 KPNβ proteins, suggesting individual members of KPNβ gene family might have their unique features [12,17,18]. Current knowledge on plant KPNβ genes were mostly obtained from functional analysis of Arabidopsis importin mutants [15,19]. For example, AtKPNB1, member of KPNβ1 subfamily, modulates abscisic acid (ABA) signaling and its loss-of-function mutant exhibits enhanced tolerance to dehydration stress due to the increase sensitivity of stomatal closure in response to ABA [20]. PAUSED, an ortholog of human LOS1/XPOT in Arabidopsis, is capable of rescuing the tRNA export defect of los1 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae Meyen ex E.C. Hansen, indicating that their functions are highly evolutionarily conserved [21,22]. However, their genomic distribution and biological functions in plant species other than Arabidopsis thaliana, to our knowledge, has been largely uninvestigated yet.

Potato (Solanum tuberosum), grown on all continents except Antarctica, is the world’s third most important staple crop after rice and wheat in terms of food consumption [23–25]. Although most cultivated potatoes are heterozygous autotetraploid and possess the huge genome, wild diploid potatoes with relatively smaller genome become the ideal targets of potato genome sequencing, which could adequately simplify the genome complexity [26]. Furthermore, wild diploid potatoes are widely used as sources of resistance by potato breeders because they are important reserves of genetic and phenotypic variation to biotic and abiotic stresses [27]. The diploid S. tuberosum Group phureja DM, cultivated in South America, was chosen to produce a homozygous double-monoploid clone (S. tuberosum group Phureja DM1-3 516 R44) using classical tissue culture techniques [25]. The annotated genome of S. tuberosum Group phureja DM1-3, was released in 2011 [26], and afterwards draft genome sequence of Solanum commersonii, a tuber-bearing wild potato, was also available in 2015 [28–30]. The genomic information released facilitates the researches on potato functional genomics, and provides an opportunity to conduct genome-wide analysis of nucleocytoplasmic transporters in potato. Here, we performed a genome-wide, comprehensive analysis of KPNβ genes. In total, 13 KPNβ genes were identified, and further confirmed by sequencing. The physical and chemical characteristics, genomic structures, chromosomal locations, evolutionary relationship, expression profiles of potato KPNβ gene family were analyzed in detail. Finally, VIGS (Virus-Induced Gene Silencing) approach was employed to investigate the role of potato KPNβ3a, demonstrating that KPNβ3a was associated with plant adaption to salt and oxidative stresses. This study provides the molecular information with
respect to the StKPNβ gene family, paving the way to the further functional characterization of potato KPNβ genes.

2. Results

2.1. Genome-Wide Identification of KPNβ Genes from S. tuberosum

To identify KPNβ genes in potato, protein sequences of functionally validated KPNβs from S. cerevisiae, Homo sapiens Linnaeus and A. thaliana were used as the queries to perform BLASTP searches against the potato genome database in Phytozome as well as Potato Genomics Resource. After removing the non-representative splicing forms of same gene locus, 14 KPNβ-like genes were obtained from the genome sequences of S. tuberosum phureja DM1-3. Further, the presence of the IBN_N (or XpoI) and Heat repeats domains in these KPNβ-like proteins was scanned using the Conserved Domain Search (CD-search) with e-value <10^{-10}. One possible pseudogene (PGSC0003DMG400029568) was removed from our analysis because its expression could not be detected in all samples and conditions examined in subsequent expression analysis, although its protein sequence is identical to KPNβ3d. Eventually, only 13 genes were identified as StKPNβ genes (Table 1). According to the homologies against Arabidopsis and human KPNβs, the nomenclature of these StKPNβ genes was listed in Table 1. The predicted proteins encoded by StKPNβ varied from 239 amino acids (StKPNβ3c) to 1111 amino acids (StKPNβ3a), with corresponding molecular weights from 27.2 kDa to 123.1 kDa. Of these putative StKPNβ proteins, the theoretical isoelectric points ranged from 4.22 (StPLANTKAP) to 6.10 (StKPNβ3d), indicating that, as weakly acidic proteins, they could participate biochemical processes under disparate in vivo environments.

2.2. Chromosomal Distribution and Duplication Events among StKPNβ Genes

The physical map position of StKPNβ genes on 12 potato chromosomes was established. The number of StKPNβs are unevenly distributed on the potato chromosomes (Figure 1). Chromosome 1 contains the largest number of StKPNβ genes comprising six members, chromosome 3 and 9 each contain two members, whereas chromosome 6, 8 and 12 each contain a single StKPNβ.

The number of StKPNβ genes in potato genome is similar to its counterparts in yeast, human and Arabidopsis. Pairwise sequence comparison of StKPNβ proteins suggests that the homology broadly ranged from 4.58% (StXPO5 and StXPO2) to 91.71% (StKPNβ1b and StKPNβ1a). Strikingly, through the sequence similarity between StKPNβs, members in two subclades comprising StKPNβ1a/1b/1c share high sequence identity (64.4–91.7%), suggesting that these StKPNβs in KPNβ1 subfamily are likely to be originated from gene duplications while they are positioned to different chromosomes. A similar event was also found in KPNβ3 subclade, which includes StKPNβ3a/3b/3c/3d with identity from 34.3% to 90.5%.
Table 1. List of putative $StImp\beta$ gene family members of S. tuberosum Group phureja.

| Gene Name | Locus ID | Predicted Proteins | Chromosomal Location | Gene Models | Putative Proteins |
|-----------|----------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|
|           |          |                    | Chr | Chr_start | Chr_end | Length (aa) | pI | MW (kDa) |

| $StKPN\beta$ 1a | PGSC0003DMG400018525 | PGSC0003DMP400032281 | 3 | 45710853 | 45713572 | 1 | 871 | 4.61 | 96.40 |
| $StKPN\beta$ 1b | PGSC0003DMG400019597 | PGSC0003DMP400034029 | 6 | 38386746 | 38389457 | 1 | 868 | 4.59 | 96.00 |
| $StKPN\beta$ 1c | PGSC0003DMG400026641 | PGSC0003DMP400046282 | 9 | 10350557 | 10353269 | 1 | 873 | 4.62 | 96.22 |
| $StKPN\beta$ 3a | PGSC0003DMG400015862 | PGSC0003DMP400027802 | 1 | 16901021 | 16911855 | 1 | 1111 | 4.74 | 123.08 |
| $StKPN\beta$ 3b | PGSC0003DMG401004281 | PGSC0003DMP400007618 | 12 | 61091176 | 61100591 | 1 | 1021 | 4.77 | 113.87 |
| $StKPN\beta$ 3c | PGSC0003DMG400023766 | PGSC0003DMP400055376 | 1 | 1281756 | 1283167 | 1 | 239 | 4.73 | 27.15 |
| $StKPN\beta$ 3d | PGSC0003DMG40003325 | PGSC0003DMP400051493 | 8 | 45306052 | 4531794 | 1 | 983 | 6.10 | 109.56 |
| $StKPN\beta$ 4 | PGSC0003DMG400032173 | PGSC0003DMP400041127 | 1 | 80738183 | 80745787 | 5 | 1049 | 4.87 | 115.27 |
| $StKPI20$ | PGSC0003DMG401000117 | PGSC0003DMP400011095 | 9 | 9658233 | 9662484 | 1 | 307 | 5.28 | 33.77 |
| $StPLANTKAP$ | PGSC0003DMG400006259 | PGSC0003DMP400021286 | 1 | 34095216 | 34099108 | 1 | 438 | 4.22 | 49.23 |
| $StXOPT$ | PGSC0003DMG400012034 | PGSC0003DMP400039669 | 3 | 61569820 | 61577676 | 4 | 990 | 5.44 | 111.51 |
| $StXPO2$ | PGSC0003DMG400022883 | PGSC0003DMP400000259 | 1 | 73593165 | 73596092 | 1 | 975 | 5.52 | 109.63 |
| $StXPO5$ | PGSC0003DMG400022491 | PGSC0003DMP400038992 | 1 | 75806958 | 75808695 | 1 | 320 | 6.00 | 35.01 |

*a* Name referred to systematic designation to members of $KPN\beta$ family in S. tuberosum according to the homology against Homo sapiens. *b* Gene accession number in PGSC database. *c* Chromosomal location of the $StKPN\beta$ genes in the DM1-3 potato genome (V4.3). *d* Isomer numbers. *e* Length (number of amino acids), molecular weight (kilodaltons), and isoelectric point (pI) of the deduced polypeptides were calculated using Lasergene Molecular Biology Suite (Version 7.0).
Figure 1. Genomic distribution of StKPNβ genes on S. tuberosum group phureja DM1-3 chromosomes. The chromosome numbers and size are indicated at the top and bottom of each bar, respectively. The arrows next to gene names show the transcription directions. The number on the right side of the bars designated the approximate physical position of the first exon of corresponding StKPNβ genes on potato chromosomes.

2.3. Gene Structure of StKPNβs

To better understand the gene structure of StKPNβs, the exon-intron features among members of StKPNβ family were aligned via phylogenetic analysis. The phylogenetic analysis revealed three clusters in accordance with the group data presented in Figure 2. Gene structure analysis of all StKPNβ genes suggested that the number of exons ranged from 2 to 20, except that StXPO2 is intronless gene. It is noteworthy that StKPNβ members in KPNβ1 subfamily shares identical intron-exon structure. Three members of StKPNβ3 subclade were also exhibits similar gene structure while StKPNβ3c is a truncated gene. Although the exon-intron structure of StKPNβs varies between subclades, it is similar within subclades, which was supported by the phylogenetic analysis of StKPNβ proteins.
2.4. Conserved Domains and Motif Analysis of StKPN\textbeta s

It is well-known that members of KPN\textbeta proteins have common features—the IBN_N or XPO1 domains, and HEAT repeats. For members involved export of macromolecules, the conserved region was also called XPO1/CSE1 domains which contain HEAT repeats and a C-terminal domain. To better understand the structural similarity of potato Imp\textbeta s, we analyzed the amino acid sequences of StKPN\textbeta genes using CD-search available at NCBI with default configurations, and re-annotated the domains mentioned above. As shown on Figure 3, eight members of StKPN\textbeta s contain both Heat repeat motif and IBN_N motif, and two members possess IBN_N and XPO1/CSE1 domains. There were three potato KPNBs with high sequence similarity to functionally characterized Arabidopsis karyopherins, in which no conserved domains were identified by CD-search. StKPN\textbeta 3c, homologous to other StKPN\textbeta 3 genes, is truncated gene, which resulted in the loss of conserved domains aforementioned.
In addition to the HEAT and IBN motifs, we searched the compositions of StKPNβ proteins, which was evaluated using the MEME suite (http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme), an online motif discovery tool. In our analysis, four novel conserved motifs were identified, and among the four motifs, motif I was present in all StKPNβ proteins; Motif II was identified in eight StKPNβ members; and motif III was found in 10 StKPNβs (Figure 4), suggesting that these conserved regions might be essential to execute its biological functions. Furthermore, StKPNβs in the same subfamily shared similar patterns of motif composition, indicating that their functional similarities. Thus, distribution of the motifs also reveals that StKPNβs were likely conserved during the evolution.
2.5. Phylogenetic Analysis of StKPNβs

To investigate the phylogenetic relationship between the members of StKPNβ gene family, a neighbor-joining tree was constructed based on the multiple alignment of karyopherin β protein sequences from A. thaliana, S. tuberosum, H. sapiens and S. cerevisiae. All these KPNβ proteins, in accordance with the human KPNβs, were allocated to 16 subfamilies with relatively high confidence (Figure 5). Multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis suggested that members of the KPN family were considerably diverged as the statistical support for some branches was relatively poor. Although yeast is a unicellular organism, at least 13 KPNβs were identified previously in S. cerevisiae. These yeast karyopherins included in our analysis actually represented 14 subfamilies of KPNβ nucleocytoplasmic transporters, strongly suggesting that the functional diversification of KPNβ had occurred. Moreover, two yeast KPNβs (NMD5-SXM1), in our phylogenetic tree (Figure 5), were clustered into a sister pair, probably implying they were evolved from a common ancestor. Taken together, the results reinforced that the establishment of KPN family predated the appearance of radiation of eukaryote organism, which agrees well with conclusion drawn by O’Reilly et al. [16].

As shown on Figure 5, StKPNβs were distributed into two sister pairs of paralogous Impβs (StKPNβ1a/1b/1c, StKPNβ3a/3b/3c/3d) with strong bootstrap support, while the other six form sister pairs with their Arabidopsis orthologs. Surprisingly, no potato ortholog could be detected in several KPNβ subfamilies including KPNB2/IMB2, KPNB5/IMB5, IPO8, XPO1, XPO4, XPO7 and TNPO3, whereas XOPT subfamily is the only one that was lost in Arabidopsis. The fact that, compared with yeast and Arabidopsis, there are fewer members in the potato KPNβ family reinforces that gene loss occurred after the divergence between Brassicaceae and Solanaceae. Notably, a lineage-specific subclade consisted with two KPNβ members from potato and Arabidopsis was detected, suggesting that they might represent a group of plant-specific nucleocytoplasmic transporters. The likely interpretation for
the absence of XPO4 and XPO7 subclades from yeast genome indicates that in addition to PLANTKAP subclade, they were derived in multicellular organisms.

**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic analysis of StKPNβ proteins in *A. thaliana, S. tuberosum, S. cerevisiae* and *H. sapiens*. Neighbor-joining tree was constructed based on the alignment of KPNβ protein sequences from *S. cerevisiae* (Green triangle), *H. sapiens* (Blue square), *A. thaliana* (Red empty circle) and *S. tuberosum* (Red circle). The percent bootstrap support for 500 replicates is shown on each branch with >50% support.

2.6. Expression Profiles of StKPNβs among Various Tissues and Developmental Stages

To gain the insight into the tissue- or organ-specific expression preferences of StKPNβ genes, we analyzed the transcriptome data from Illumina RNA-Seq reads generated and stored by PGSC. The transcript abundance of 13 StKPNβ genes was determined from the RNA-Seq data as FPKM (Fragments per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads) values. The RNA-seq database was generated from 16 tissues which could be divided into three major groups: floral (carpel, stamen, petal, sepal and mature flower), vegetative (leaf, leaflet, shoot, roots, tuber and stolon) and other tissues (callus) [31]. Digital gene expression analysis revealed that, among these 20 StKPNβ genes, StKPNβ1a/1b/3a, StKAP120, StXPO5 were ubiquitously and robustly expressed in all tissues, suggesting that these StKPNβs might execute some universal roles and participate nucleocytoplasmic transport in various tissues and organs; conversely, the expression level of StKPNβ3d and StKPNβ4, compared to other StKPNβs, was relatively lower, suggesting that these KPN-βs might be unnecessary in normal growth conditions (Figure 6). Strikingly, transcripts of StKPNβ1a/3a/3c were relatively abundant in tuber or stolon tissues, indicating that their possible association with potato tuber development. These results suggest that, as nucleocytoplasmic regulators, members of StKPNβ family have diverse roles of in potato floral and vegetative tissues.
Figure 6. Expression profiles of StKPNβ genes with hierarchical clustering in different tissues. The Illumina RNA-Seq data were obtained from PGSC database, and the FPKM value of representative transcripts of StKPNβs were used to generate heatmap with hierarchical clustering based on the Manhattan correlation with average linkage using MeV software package. Color scale below heatmap shows the expression level; red indicates high transcript abundance while green indicates low abundance.

2.7. Expression Profiles of StKPNβs in Response to Biotic and Abiotic Stresses

To understand the functions of StKPNβ genes under various stresses, the transcript abundance of 13 StKPNβ genes was analyzed the log2 fold change between treatments and controls. RNA-Seq data revealed that most StKPNβs were found to be significantly induced by at least one treatment, while the StKPNβ3b transcript was not affected by stress conditions (Figure 7a). Of these 13 StKPNβ genes, StKPNβ4 increased by 2.63-fold under high salinity, and 2.21-fold in response to mannitol stress, while StKPNβ3d exhibited a high level of transcription abundance under mannitol and wounding stresses, with 1.90-fold and 1.81-fold increase, respectively. The expression of StXPO2 and StXPO5 was increased in response to both salt and wounding treatments. These results suggest that StKPNβs might be serve as core regulators in mediating the signaling transduction of abiotic stresses.

Several StKPNβ genes were found to be induced by at least one stress condition (Figure 7a). For example, StPLANTKAP were increased by 1.83-fold under salt stress, while in response to wounding treatment, StKPNβ1c, StKPNβ3c and StXOPT were highly increased by 1.94-, 2.15- and 2.30-fold, respectively. The expression specificity of these StKPNβs indicates that they were functionally diverged and actively regulated trafficking of different responsive proteins across the nuclear membrane. It seems that most StKPNβs did not respond to thermal and Phytophthora infestans (Mont.) de Bary challenges. The oomycetes P. infestans infection resulted in the 1.48-fold expression increase of StXOPT, suggesting it might involve the process of plant defense against the pathogen. Therefore, this result suggests that StKPNβs are associated with plant responses to abiotic and biotic stresses.
**Figure 7.** Heatmap representation and hierarchical clustering of $StKPN\beta$ genes under abiotic and biotic stresses (a) and phytohormone treatments (b). The Illumina RNA-Seq data were obtained from PGSC database, and the relative expression of $StKPN\beta$ genes was calculated with respect to control samples using FPKM values of representative transcripts corresponding to $StKPN\beta$ genes. Fold changes of $StKPN\beta$ expression were log$_2$ transformed, and the normalized expression data was used to generate heatmap with MeV software package using the same parameters in Figure 6. Color scale below heatmap shows the expression level; red indicates high transcript abundance, while green indicates low abundance.
2.8. StKPNβs Response to Various Phytohormones

Similarly, we also examined the expression changes of StKPNβs under different phytohormone or chemical analog treatments by RNA-Seq and quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. An interesting observation from RNA-Seq analysis was that expression level of a majority of StKPNβ genes were decreased when potato plant being treated with phytohormones or their analogs (Figure 7b). When plants treated with benzothiadiazole S-methyl ester (BTH), a chemical analog of salicylic acid, transcript accumulation of StKPNβ1c, StKPNβ3a and StKPNβ3b genes was observed, suggesting their upregulation possibly contributes to the plant defenses to pathologies. Application of DL-β-amino-n-butyric acid (BABA), known as a disease resistance-priming agent, resulted in the weak induction of StKPNβ3b.

Although Illumina RNA-Seq data provides plenty of information on the expression profiles of StKPNβ genes, we still lack their expression behavior in response to some important signal molecules such as ethylene (ETH), jasmonic acid (JA), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and salicylic acid (SA). Thus, quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was employed to determine the expression patterns of StKPNβ genes in these phytohormones or chemicals, and leaf tissues of potato treated with 50 µM SA, 1 mM JA, 1 mM ETH and 50µM H₂O₂, respectively, were used in the experiments.

Most StKPNβ genes considered in this study were upregulated upon SA, ETH or JA treatments. Compared to the controls, SA-feeding promoted the expression increase of StKPNβ1a/3a and StIXOPT by at least 6.5-fold under 24 h SA treatment, and similarly StKPNβ1b/3b/3c/3c/4 and StPLANTKAP also exhibited moderately increases, which suggested that they might be involved in the SA-signaling pathway. In JA-feeding experiments, all StKPNβ genes displayed an enhanced level of transcript abundance after 4 h treatment, indicating their potential roles in JA-mediated signal transduction. After 4 h ETH treatments, expression of StKPNβ1b/3c/3d were strongly activated by ethylene, with 24.0-, 25.8- and 39.9-fold expression increases, respectively; yet, other StKPNβs were slightly induced (Figure 8).

Hydrogen peroxide, predominantly produced during photosynthesis, photorespiration or respiration processes, plays an essential role as signaling molecule in numerous physiological process. The members of StKPNβ gene family were simply classified into two groups according to their responsive behavior in response to H₂O₂ upregulated and downregulated. The first group represents StKPNβ genes that were induced by H₂O₂ and correspond to StKPNβ1a/1c/3a, StPLANTKAP and StIXOPT, while the second group includes the remaining StKPNβs, of which the expression negatively responded to H₂O₂ (Figure 8). The observations imply that they may be important components of the Reactive oxygen species (ROS) signal cascade in plants. Collectively, these results indicate that StKPNβs were associated with diverse signaling pathways and probably were one of major players in environmental stress and immunity system.
Figure 8. qRT-PCR analysis of StKPNβ genes in response to salicylic acid (SA), ethylene (ETH), jasmonic acid (JA) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). StKPNβ transcript levels measured by real-time RT-qPCR from the various tissues or under phytohormone treatments at indicated time points. Data are means of three biological replicates (eight pooled plants each), and error bars denote SE. The StACT gene was used as an internal control. Stars above the error bars indicate significant differences between treatments and controls (according to student’s t-test). qRT-PCR primers for each StKPNβ genes were provided in Table S1.

2.9. Knockdown of StKPNβ3a Expression Results in Increased Susceptibility to Environmental Stresses

Considering that expression of some StKPNβ was activated by various stress or hormone treatments, it is plausible that silencing of positively responsive KPNβs would impair the plant tolerance to environmental stresses. Thus, VIGS approach was employed to investigate the role of potato KPNβs. As StKPNβ3a was one of highly expressed, H₂O₂- and SA-inducible genes, it was chosen for the insertion into the viral vector pGR107 (PVX), and the resulting plasmid PVX-StKPNβ3a was introduced into Agrobacterium containing the helper plasmid pJIC SA-Rep. The Agrobacterium lines harboring PVX-StPDS and empty PVX vector (PVX00) were served as controls. Potato plants were transformed by leaf-injection with Agrobacterium lines aforementioned, and after one month, all silencing lines were verified by qRT-PCR method. We found that leaves of PVX-StPDS lines exhibited photo-bleaching phenotypes, which was agreed with the reduction of StPDS genes. Compared to the control plants,
transcript accumulation of StKPNβ3a was decreased in StKPNβ3a-sliciencing lines, whereas expression of StKPNβ3b, StKPNβ3c and StKPNβ3d were not significantly affected (Figure 9b), suggesting that StKPNβ3a expression was specifically turn down. Under normal conditions, StKPNβ3a-sliciencing lines did not exhibit any morphological changes compared to the control plants (Figure 9c). Subsequently, the leaf discs of StKPNβ3a-sliciencing as well as experimental controls were floated on the distilled water supplemented with 300 mM NaCl or 100μM H2O2. After 48-hr salt or H2O2 treatments, we observed that, compared to the PVX00 controls, leaf discs of PVX-StKPNβ3a lines suffered severe damages (Figure 9a), while there were no evident morphological changes in leaf discs of non-silenced controls. The results illustrated that repression of StKPNβ3a could lead to the increased susceptibility to abiotic stresses.

Figure 9. StKPNβ3a-silenced potato plants exhibit reduced resistance to salt and H2O2 treatments. Potato plants were infiltrated with Agrobacterium carrying VIGS-control vector (PVX:00) and PVX-StKPNβ3a, and after 2–3 weeks, the StKPNβ3a-silencing lines confirmed by qRT-PCR were used for leaf-disk assay. (a) Leaf-disk assay for plant tolerance to different abiotic stresses. (b) Expression analysis of StKPNβ3 members in StKPNβ3a-silencing and control lines. (c) Phenotype of PVX-StKPNβ3a Silencing and control potato plants. The photographs were taken before or after 48-hrs salt (300 mM) or H2O2 (100μM) treatments, respectively. qRT-PCR analysis of StKPNβ3a expression in cotton plants infiltrated with VIGS-control vector (PVX:00) and PVX-StKPNβ3a. Error bars indicate SD from three technical replicates of three biological experiments, and asterisks indicate statistically significant differences, as determined by the Student’s t test (**, p < 0.01). The experiments were repeated three times with similar results.

3. Discussion

Karyopherin/Importin β, as an essential nucleocytoplasmic transport receptor, is considered to be a global regulator of diverse cellular functions, ultimately affecting the growth, development and stress adaptions of the eukaryotes [32]. However, current knowledge on its characteristics of was largely obtained from functional characterization of animal and yeast KPNβ genes. In the past
two decades, achievements have been made in understanding the role of KPNβ in model plant A. \textit{thaliana}, and several KPNβ genes, including \textit{Hasty}, \textit{SAD2/EMA1}, \textit{AtKPNB1}, \textit{MOS14} and \textit{KETCH1}, were investigated in detail, demonstrating their vital roles involved in the \textit{Arabidopsis} development, biotic and abiotic stresses [21,22,33–36]. However, the identification and functional analysis of KPNβ homologs still limited in plants other than \textit{Arabidopsis}. Hence, analyses of KPNβ gene family in \textit{S. tuberosum} become indispensable in understanding of its gene structure, protein function and evolution.

The number of KPNβ genes varies among organisms. In the study, 13 KPNβ genes were identified from potato genome, whereas previous search identified 18 KPNβs in \textit{Arabidopsis} [20,31]. Considering that potato has undergone two rounds of whole-genome duplication (WGD) events so that the genome size of DM1-3 potato was nearly five times larger than \textit{Arabidopsis} [26], the observations on \textit{StKPNβ} gene family contradicted with genome complexity between potato and \textit{Arabidopsis}. Therefore, it is interesting that the number of \textit{StKPNβ} genes was much less than that of \textit{Arabidopsis}. Our phylogenetic analysis revealed that eight KPNβ subfamilies, namely KPNβ1/Impβ1, KPNβ3/Impβ3, KPNβ4/Impβ4, KAI120, PLANTKAP, XPO2, XPO5 and XOPT, were represented by at least one KPNβ ortholog in potato genome, and duplication events occurred only in KPNβ1 and KPNβ3 subfamilies, perhaps due to the independent, small-scale, segmental duplication events and chromosome rearrangements in the two loci. Nevertheless, in comparison to yeast and \textit{Arabidopsis}, it seems that homologs to other seven KPNβ subfamilies (KPNβ2/Impβ2, KPNβ5/Impβ5, IPO8, XPO1, XPO6, XPO4, XPO7 and TNPO3) were lost completely during the evolution in potato genome, consequently resulting in the fewer members of KPNβ in potato genome.

Functional redundancy and diversification were observed in potato KPNβ1/Impβ1 and KPNβ3/Impβ3 gene subfamilies. With respect to KPNβ1 subfamily, phylogenetic analysis and sequence alignment revealed the existence of three genes, namely \textit{StKPNβ1a}, \textit{StKPNβ1b} and \textit{StKPNβ1c}, homologous to \textit{AtKPNB1}, which raises the possibility that these \textit{StKPNβ1s} might execute similar functions. Consistent with the assumptions, we found that expression patterns under stress or phytohormone treatments, to a large extent, resembled among members of \textit{StKPNβ1}, implying that members of \textit{StKPNβ1s} might share some conserved and overlapping functions. Nevertheless, it was noteworthy that some expression discrepancies between \textit{StKPNβ1} genes, because expression analysis demonstrated that only \textit{StKPNβ1a} could not respond to wounding stress, while expression of \textit{StKPNβ1c}, instead of \textit{StKPNβ1a} and \textit{StKPNβ1b}, was able to be strongly activated by wounding treatment, which reflects that members of \textit{StKPNβ1} subfamily might have acquired its unique roles through functional diversifications.

Recent investigations have reported that a few \textit{Arabidopsis} KPNβ/Impβs, as nucleo-cytoplasmic transport receptors, are involved in stress adaption under abiotic and biotic stresses, while they are not stress-inducible genes [20,37]. \textit{AtKPNB1} encodes an ortholog of human KPNB1 in \textit{Arabidopsis}, and \textit{kpnb1} loss-of-function mutant exhibits increased sensitivity to ABA [20]. It was proven that \textit{AtKPNB1}, functioning as negative regulator, could regulate the ABA responses and drought tolerance via ABI1- and ABI5-independent pathways, though ABA treatment only slightly boosted the transcript accumulation of \textit{AtKPNB1} [24]. In addition, absence of \textit{SAD2} (Super sensitive to ABA and Drought 2), member of IPO8 subfamily, led to the enhanced sensitivity to ABA, H2O2 or drought in \textit{Arabidopsis}, whereas its expression was independent from phytohormone or stress treatments [37,38]. In agreement with previous findings, our RNA-Seq analysis also suggested that many members of \textit{StKPNβ} gene family did not show any transcriptional responses to hormones or stresses examined, and only several \textit{StKPNβs}, such as \textit{StKPNβ3d}, \textit{StKPNβ3b}, \textit{StPLANTKAP}, \textit{SIXOPT} etc., were able to respond to environmental cues or phytohormone inductions.

It is tempering to analyze the roles of responsive \textit{StKPNβ}s, especially whose expression could be activated by hormones, environmental cues or pathogen infections. Expression of \textit{StKPNβ3a} was strongly induced by SA, JA or H2O2 treatments, suggesting its involvements in the phytohormone cascades. Thus, using VIGS approach, we demonstrated that silencing of \textit{StKPNβ3a} resulted in the increased susceptibility to salt or oxidative stresses, supporting that its function is indispensable
in the stress signaling transductions. However, due to the lack of stable transgenic lines of StKPNβ3a-overexpression or -RNAi, the biological functions of StKPNβ3a still need to be investigated in detail. Phylogenetic analysis supported that StKPNβ3a were orthologous to yeast PSE1/Kap121, human IPO5 and RANBP6. Yeast strains with disruption of PSE1 functions exhibit delayed mitosis and enhance sensitivity to temperature stress, while overexpression PSE1 contributes to the three-fold increase of cellulose production [39–41]. The import of histone H2A/H2B and H3/H4 is mainly mediated by PSE1 in S. cerevisiae, suggesting its essential roles in intranuclear transport [42,43]. It has been demonstrated that human IPO5 also functions in the nuclear import of essential histones as well as some ribosomal proteins [44]. Given that members of Impβ3 subclades play key roles in nucleocytoplasmic trafficking, it is reasonable that StKPNβ3a might execute the similar roles by regulating the import of positive regulatory protein(s) under abiotic stresses. Further investigations will be still required to identify its cargo(s) and to articulate the molecular mechanism of Impβ-mediated signaling pathway in plants.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Plant Material and Treatments

*S. tuberosum* Phureja DM1-3 or cultivar “Shpedy” plants were in vitro micropropagated on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium plus 30 g L−1 sucrose and 0.8% agar (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), with pH adjusted to 5.8. Potato seedlings were routinely subcultured as two-node segments every 3–4 weeks and incubated at 23 °C with 16 h photoperiod under cool with fluorescent lamps (~70 µmol m−2 s−1 photon flux density). 3-week old potato plants were subjected to IAA (50 µM), SA (1 mM), ethylene (1mM) or H2O2 (1mM) treatments. The plant tissues were collected at designated points and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Sample collections were performed on separate days for the replicates.

4.2. Identification of KPNβ Genes in S. tuberosum Group Phureja

To investigate the KPNβ gene family in in S. tuberosum Group phureja DM1-3, all members of KPNβ/Impβ sequences from Human (*H. sapiens*), yeast (*S. cerevisiae*) and Arabidopsis were used as queries for BLAST search against Phytozome (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/), NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), Potato Genomics Resource (http://solanaceae.plantbiology.msu.edu/) and other online resources with default parameters. The StKPNβ candidates were confirmed the presences of IBN_N (PF08310) or XpoI (PF08389) domain, and HEAT repeats using SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/smart/batch.pl) and CDD-search. In order to obtain non-redundancy KPNβ sequences, potato KPNβ sequences were used as queries to blast against Phytozome database, and any redundancy was manually removed. The representing gene model per StKPNβ locus were identified and their corresponding information on chromosomal location, locus ID, transcript ID were obtained simultaneously.

4.3. Analysis of Gene Structure and Conserved Domains

Based on the genome annotation of DM assembly available in Phytozome, the intron-exon structure of individual StKPNβ genes was predicated, and its genomic organization was visualized using Gene Structure Display Server 2.0 (GSDS, http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) [45]. Conserved domains in protein sequences were verified using ScanProsite (http://pro-site.expasy.org/scanprosite/), which provides information about positions of different domains in the protein sequence. This information was used to draw visual representation of distribution of domains in the deduced amino acid sequences of proteins using Microsoft Office PowerPoint 2016.

4.4. Sequence Alignment and Phylogenetic Construction

Multiple alignment of KPNβ protein sequences from *A. thaliana, S. tuberosum, H. sapiens* and *S. cerevisiae* was conducted using ClustalW [46]. Neighbor-joining method was used to conduct a phylogenetic tree analysis in MEGA X, with 500 bootstrap replicates and randomized sequence input order.
4.5. Expression Profiling of StKPNβ Genes in Different Tissues or Under Various Stresses

The RNA-Seq data corresponding to StKPNβ genes was downloaded from the Potato Genomics Resource [31], and the corresponding FPKM (fragments per kilobase per million reads) values for StKPNβ genes were obtained for 12 tissues representing major organs and developmental stages, including floral (carpel, petals, sepals, stamens and mature flower), leaf (whole leaf, leaflet and petiole), tuber (tuber and stolon), and other organs (shoot, root and callus). As described, biotic and abiotic treated tissues included potato plants exposed to heat (35 °C), NaCl (150 mM) or Mannitol (260 mM), and leaves challenged by P. infestans, BABA (DL-β-amino-n-butyric acid), BTH (6-benzylaminopurine) or hormones [31]. Similarly, FPKM values for abiotic or biotic stress-treated potato plants were analyzed by calculating the fold change of expression levels between treatments and the corresponding controls. The normalized expression data was used to generate heatmap by using the MeV software package (http://mev.tm4.org) available at the Institute for Genomic Research, and hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) was built on the basis of the Manhattan correlation with average linkage method.

4.6. RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen Inc., Madison, WI, USA) as described previously [47,48]. RNA quantity and quality were assessed using a NanoDrop8000 (Thermo Scientific™, Wilmington, DE, USA). Total RNA isolation and reverse transcription with oligo (dT)$_{18}$ (18418-012; Invitrogen, Madison, WI, USA) were performed as described previously. The amounts of individual genes were measured with gene-specific primers by real-time PCR analysis with a cycler IQ real-time PCR instrument CFX96 and SYBR Green mixture (Bio-Rad, Foster City, CA, USA). The relative expression of specific genes was quantitated with the 2$^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ calculation method [49], where $\Delta\Delta Ct$ is the difference in the threshold cycles and the reference housekeeping gene, which was potato StACT (PGSC0003DMG400027746) for expression analyses. The sequences of specific primers are shown in Table S1.

4.7. Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) of Potato

The potato virus X (PVX)-induced gene silencing is conducted as described previously [50, 51]. Briefly, PVX-StKPNβ3a were generated by cloning a PCR fragment amplified by S. tuberosum phureja DM1-3 potato leaf cDNA template using specific oligonucleotide primers incorporating SalI and ClaI restriction sites, respectively, at the 5′- and 3′-ends for cloning into virus vector pGR107. The Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Smith & Townsend, 1907) strain GV3101 harboring the recombinant plasmids PVX-StKPNβ3a and help plasmid pJIC SA_Rep were used for in vitro agroinoculation by leaf-injecting of 4-week-old potato plants. The Agrobacterium lines carrying with PVX-StPDS and the PVX vectors were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. Primers used for RT-PCR amplifications are listed in Table S1.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the systematic characterization of KPNβ/Impβ gene family was performed in the S. tuberosum. A total of 13 StKPNβ genes were identified through searching potato genome, and their chromosomal distribution, conserved domain, motif composition and intron-exon structure were studied in detail. Expression analysis based on the RNA-Seq and qRT-PCR analysis suggested that several StKPNβs was responsive to biotic and/or abiotic stresses. Furthermore, the function of StKPNβ3a was characterized through VIGS approach, illustrating that it might be a promising candidate gene for molecular breeding. In summary, our results provide valuable insights of StKPNβs gene family, which will facilitate further functional analysis of StKPNβs and will also benefit genetic engineering of potato.
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