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Effect of Premelting on Conductivity of DNA-Lipid Films
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We have measured temperature-dependent (between 20 and 80 °C) electrical conductivity and molecular structure (Raman spectroscopy) of DNA-lipid cast film. Our findings show that the conductivity is strongly influenced by premelting effects in the molecular structure starting near physiological temperatures (∼40 °C), prior to the global DNA denaturation.

Most measurements reported in the last decade on the DNA conductivity are conducted at room temperatures and below [1]. If DNA is to become exploitable in microelectronics applications, however, its performance must be reliable at temperatures slightly above the room temperature due to the inevitable heating of electronic components. It is generally agreed that natural DNA molecules undergo a denaturation process at $T_{dn} = 70 \sim 80$ °C. Above this temperature, the double-stranded molecular conformation is destroyed, and consequently, the electrical conductivity is lost [2, 3]. According to numerous theoretical models [4, 5] even at physiological temperatures (∼40 °C), DNA experiences structural perturbations leading to local denaturations and/or “bubble-” type defect formations. The existence of “bubbles” [6, 7] as well as the temperature induced local perturbations at $T < T_{dn}$, termed “premelting,” [8–12] has been confirmed experimentally, and the biological aspects of these local denaturations were discussed in a number of studies (see, e.g., [6]). Local deformations should cause breaking of a long-range order in the DNA structure (i.e., interruption of the parallel base-pair (bp) stackings) similar to an order breaking in solid bodies due to the dislocation introduction. However, their influence on conductivity has not been properly addressed until now. In this work, we present the temperature-dependent conductivity and structural evolution monitored through Raman spectroscopy measured on the DNA-lipid cast film between physiological and denaturation temperatures. These DNA-lipid films were previously studied by Nakayama et al. [2], where disappearance of conductivity above the denaturation temperature was reported. We observed a substantial reduction in the DNA conductivity due to premelting effects starting at temperature as low as 40 °C, lending support to the theoretical inference on the importance of the long-range parallel bp stacking in DNA for the electrical conduction.

Self-standing DNA-lipid cast films with thickness of about 60 microns were prepared according to the method described elsewhere [13]. Once the self-standing film is mechanically stretched, DNA molecules (natural DNA, 2000 bp’s each) are aligned along film’s long axis with an average intermolecular distance of 41 Å. In previous investigations, Okahata et al. have verified the anisotropic
conductivity through these films and concluded that the electrons are traveling through the molecules and not via the lipid matrix \[13\] (conductivity of these molecules was not suppressed by strong interaction with a solid surface as in \[14\]). For our conductivity measurements, a section of a film of about \(5 \times 0.5\) cm\(^2\) was placed on a glass plate. The detailed description of measurement apparatus is given in Figure 1. The measurements were performed in Hewlett-Packard measurement systems in a dark box at temperatures of 30–150°C and with or without illumination (the temperature checked by melting points of Ga and In). The leak current through the contacts was less than 1 nA in the measurement range of ±3 V and for temperatures between 25 and 80°C, irrespective of illumination. Indentation created by the electrodes in soft insulators, such as resist or teflon, leads only to a reduction of conductivity due to an increase in the distance between electrodes. Similar increase is expected in the DNA film, while the total current (I) at 3 V reaches 1 μA and larger. Once heating the film to 150°C, I again decreases down to ~1 nA.

With illumination of 3 mW/cm\(^2\) (Halogen photo-optic lamp, unfiltered, and unpolarized), the overall conductivity and the maximal current \(I_{\text{max}} = I(-3\,\text{V})\) through the DNA-film start to diminish at about 40°C (Figure 2(a)). The largest change is observed between 35 and 45°C (Figure 2(b)), where \(I_{\text{max}}\) is reduced by more than 70%. The stability of the temperature was ±0.5°C during I-V characteristic measurements. When measurements are conducted in dark, the conductivity is 5 times smaller at 35°C and the temperature-dependent reduction of \(I_{\text{max}}\) is limited to 20% (not shown). These temperature-induced changes in the conductivity are entirely reversible upon thermal cycling provided that the DNA-film is not subjected to a high voltage bias (±3 V) at the highest temperature (80°C). The most probable reason for the conductivity reduction is the creation of local defects (premelting), as indicated by Raman spectrum evolution (described below), analogous to that of local dislocations in a solid body during high-temperature annealing. In the case of a solid body, local dislocations can physically migrate at elevated temperatures and stop close to grain or phase boundaries \[16\]. Therefore, local defects in DNA can be expected to also advance by melting points of Ga and In). We indeed observe such irreversibility in conductivity when the sample was maintained at 80°C for 10–15 minutes with the maximum voltage (3 V) of a chosen polarity. After cooling the sample back down to 30°C, I(V) instability is observed on the positive branch (Figure 2(c)). When the sample was reheated to 80°C with V of the opposite sign, the instability appears on the negative branch of I(V) curve after cooling to 30°C. We observed such behavior up to 4 cycles of measurements.
In order to associate the conductivity changes with DNA structural modifications, we have tracked the structural evolution of DNA molecules by Raman spectroscopy. The 514.5 nm excitation line of an Ar+-Kr+ laser was focused on the samples through a 50 x magnification objective lens with a radiation power at source of 10 mW. The scattered light was analyzed using a Jobin-Yvon triple grating spectrometer in the confocal configuration. The effective spectral resolution was less than 1 cm$^{-1}$. Raman spectra were taken in the 10–80°C temperature range on several films from the same batch as the conductivity measurements. The spectra of DNA-lipid complex taken at room temperature and at 80°C as well as that of natural B-DNA (also taken in our lab) are compared in Figure 3. Temperature-dependent Raman spectra of the DNA-lipid complex films showed certain notable differences from those observed in DNA molecules in aqueous solution. First, a cooperative melting of double-stranded DNA was not observed even at 80°C, whereas the premelting effects in 10–65°C range were clearly present. We also remarked that nearly all vibrational modes exhibit a reversible temperature dependency during premelting after the heating and the subsequent cooling of the films (not shown). Structural rigidity of molecules imposed by the lipid intercalation may explain these differences. It should also be noted that the hypochromic effect [12] was not observed with exception of 1680 cm$^{-1}$ marker due to the progressive change in the background luminescence of the film. Therefore, we have used the heating-induced shifts in Raman peaks to track the premelting effects in DNA molecules. These peaks are sensitive to (i) backbone and deoxynucleoside conformations, (ii) interbase hydrogen bonding, and (iii) base stacking effect.

(i) The broad peak at 780 cm$^{-1}$ contains contributions from dC, dT, and the 5’C-O-P-O-C3’ backbone stretching. The peak frequency shifts down from 781 to 776 cm$^{-1}$ starting around 45°C until nearly 70°C (see Figure 4(a)). The peak located at 840 cm$^{-1}$ originates from the 5’C-O-P-O-C3’ phosphodiester backbone movements and can be used as a quantitative measure of the ordered phosphodiester. This peak decreases in intensity and disappears into the background. The 746 cm$^{-1}$ peak is the vibrational marker of C2’-endo/anti conformation of dT. This peak broadens and shifts strongly to lower frequency indicating the extended distribution of conformations at higher temperature (Figure 4(b)). The onset of this movement is 55°C and continues to shift toward lower frequency up to 80°C.

(ii) The bands at 1482 and 1573 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to ring stretching vibrations of purine imidazole ring and are sensitive to hydrogen bonding. The both peaks shift to lower frequencies by 1 and 3 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, between 35 and 40°C, and stabilize for temperature above 65°C (Figure 4(c)). These shifts are the signature of the thermostability of base pairing. Moreover, the 3 cm$^{-1}$ shiftdown of the Raman peaks between 1200–1400 cm$^{-1}$ is associated with elimination of hydrogen bonding between bases (Figure 4(d)).

(iii) The intensity evolution of three peaks located at 1658, 1668, and 1682 cm$^{-1}$ (inset of Figure 4(b)) are normally attributed to the base stacking effects related to carbonyl stretching vibrations coupled to ring stretching vibration (mostly dT) [19]. The Raman peak at 1682 cm$^{-1}$ of the DNA-lipid film is, however, considerably more intense than in a typical B-DNA (see Figure 3), suggesting that contribution from the lipid complex cannot be ignored. Hence, we cannot conclude the hypochromicity observed here to the effect of DNA premelting without further investigation.
As described before, the premelting effects observed in DNA Raman signatures coincide with the temperature dependence of electrical conductivity measured in these films. Local destruction of double-stranded DNA conformation caused by premelting effects is most simply described as a “bubble” creation. The formation of such bubbles, and, more importantly, its movement within the molecule is just like a dislocation loop moving in a metal microwire subjected to a high current density [20]. The insets in Figure 2(c) will help understand the observed changes in electrical conductivity (Figure 2). Probably, in the presence of defects, the potential barrier in the vicinity of the molecule-metal contact decreases. This barrier reduction is a known effect in metal-semiconductor microcontacts near a dislocation [21] (the reduction is more dramatical under illumination), and electromigration (the physical displacement of defects under an applied electrical current) is also well known [22]. In electromigration, the direction of dislocation movement can be switched by changing the current direction. To estimate the pressure on a “bubble” by an electron wind, the current density in a DNA molecule must be known. Emerging consensus states that DNA molecules longer than 10 nm combined with bad electric contacts become insulating [23]. Therefore, no more than 10 molecules at the film surface should be electrically active in our measurements. Taking 2 nm as the DNA diameter, the corresponding current density would be as high as $10^7$ A/cm$^2$, comparable to a current density required to drag a dislocation in a metal microwire [20]. One can estimate the applied force on a bubble, $F_b$, using the equation for a dislocation in a metal [24]: 

$$F_b = j m^* V f S_b/e,$$

where $j$ is the current density, $m^*$ and $V_f$ are the effective mass and Fermi velocity of the electrons injected in DNAs from the tungsten electrodes [25], $S_b$ is the scattering cross-section of the electrons by a bubble, and $e$ is the electron charge. The applied force is about 1 pN (with $m^* \sim 10^{-30}$ kg and $V_f \sim 10^6$ m/s in W [26], $S_b \sim 1$ nm$^2$ for a small bubble) which is enough to deform a DNA molecule [6]. The number of bubbles increases with temperature [5], and it decreases the conductivity of DNAs (Figure 2(b)) and makes I-V characteristics more symmetrical (probably due to symmetrical molecule-metal contacts, see insets in Figure 2(b)).
In summary, our measurements demonstrate that the electrical conduction in DNA can be compromised under moderate heating above room temperature due to local disruptions in the long-range B-DNA structure. Furthermore, displacement of defects along molecules could explain why the structural transformation, as probed by Raman spectroscopy, is a reversible process while the electrical conductivity is not.
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