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Abstract. Glioma is one of the most refractory types of brain tumor. Accurate tumor boundary identification and complete resection of the tumor are essential for glioma removal during brain surgery. We present a method based on visible resonance Raman (VRR) spectroscopy to identify glioma margins and grades. A set of diagnostic spectral biomarkers features are presented based on tissue composition changes revealed by VRR. The Raman spectra include molecular vibrational fingerprints of carotenoids, tryptophan, amide I/II/III, proteins, and lipids. These basic in situ spectral biomarkers are used to identify the tissue from the interface between brain cancer and normal tissue and to evaluate glioma grades. The VRR spectra are also analyzed using principal component analysis for dimension reduction and feature detection and support vector machine for classification. The cross-validated sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy are found to be 100%, 96.3%, and 99.6% to distinguish glioma tissues from normal brain tissues, respectively. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for the classification is about 1.0. The accuracies to distinguish normal, low grade (grades I and II), and high grade (grades III and IV) gliomas are found to be 96.3%, 53.7%, and 84.1% for the three groups, respectively, along with a total accuracy of 75.1%. A set of criteria for differentiating normal human brain tissues from normal control tissues is proposed and used to identify brain cancer margins, yielding a diagnostic sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 71%. Our study demonstrates the potential of VRR as a label-free optical molecular histopathology method used for in situ boundary line judgment for brain surgery in the margins. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.24.9.095001]
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1 Introduction

Glioma is the most common tumor of the central nervous system (CNS), with a high incidence rate, high recurrence rate, high mortality rate, and low cure rate. Even with a combination of comprehensive treatments of surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy, the mortality and disability rates still increase annually. In 2017, nearly 700,000 people were living with a primary brain and CNS tumor in the USA, and ~80,000 new cases were diagnosed, including one quarter as glioma tumors and one third as malignant tumors (GBM-glioma grade IV or astrocytomas). The survival of patients with high grades of gliomas, including anaplastic astrocytoma [World Health Organization (WHO) grade III] and pleomorphic glioblastoma (WHO grade IV), is only ~2 years and 1 year, respectively.1–3 Although the survival of a brain glioma patient is affected by multiple factors, the accuracy of tumor boundary identification and the degree of resection of the tumor are two essential factors.

Current clinical routine diagnosis of brain tumors is performed using biopsy and histopathology, which is considered the gold standard. This method requires freezing the biopsy tissue or reagent preparation prior to microscopic analysis. It also requires skilled technicians and expert histopathologists to perform the diagnosis as well as considerable time before the results are available. In addition to the gold-standard method, there is a panoply of alternative methods available for cancer detection. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is one of these alternative methods. It can provide the location and dimension of the tumor and its biochemical composition at the molecular level before a surgery. However, oftentimes it is difficult to use these diagnostic results to assist a surgeon during a surgery, due to the loss or alteration of the information. Such information loss is caused by the changes in the position and shape of the lesion and is one of the main concerns of the surgeons. Computed tomography and microscopic neurosurgical technology play large roles in neurosurgery. However, for the diagnosis and treatment of malignant brain tumors, there has yet to be a breakthrough. Most patients with malignant gliomas (77%) die within 1 year after diagnosis.4,5 It is critical to develop a diagnostic method for in situ margin assessment in real time. Such a
method may be used for early screening and more accurate intraoperative resection, which are the key factors to increase the survival rate of patients. Optical spectroscopy offers the molecular information on cancer; in particular, visible resonance Raman (VRR) offers a fast and accurate assessment of local vibrations in regions of tissues. VRR is a breakthrough method needed to advance detection and future treatment of cancer in the margins.

The current brain tumor treatment starts with surgical resection, which is the most critical first step in the comprehensive treatment of glioma. The main goal of the surgery is total resection of the tumor, which is then followed by further treatment. However, an early postoperative MRI review confirmed that only ~65% of gliomas can achieve a total resection, because the current microsurgery technology lacks accurate and timely detection. Recent studies have shown that for 75% of tumor resections, there was no clear distinction between higher and lower grade glioma cases, which is the major reason for high rates of recurrence and mortality.5–11

The commonly used auxiliary techniques during surgery, such as stereotaxis, can not only provide preoperative biopsies for pathology analysis, but also help the surgeon better identify and calibrate the location of the tumor, which is a great advantage compared with the traditional microscopic surgery. However, due to the limitations of positioning, the biopsy specimens obtained are small and do not represent the overall nature of the tumor, leading to an underestimation of high-grade brain tumor malignancy. Another auxiliary technique is intraoperative ultrasound technology that was first applied in 1980, attracting the attention of neurosurgeons.12,13 Intraoperative ultrasound has many advantages, such as clear images for surgeons, a simple, flexible, noninvasive operation, low cost, and intraoperative real-time positioning, and the procedure helps neurosurgeons remove the lesions. However, even using intraoperative ultrasound, it is still difficult to determine the real boundary of a glioma tumor as the boundaries are unclear and the borders of a high-grade glioma are deep in normal brain tissue. The invasive growth of tumor cells often occurs in the aggressive area of the tumor. Therefore, one of the hot areas of current glioma surgery research focuses on image-guided surgery combined with conventional navigation and quantitative analysis of the infiltration boundary of the glioma using navigation techniques. The da Vinci robotic system with the addition of a VRR probe analyzer can offer a major advance needed for surgery in brain cancer.

Recently, the molecular pathology method for glioma diagnosis and classification has been receiving increased attention. In 2016, the WHO majorly restructured the classification of CNS tumors, such as diffuse gliomas, medulloblastomas, and glioblastomas, and included new entities that are diagnosed with combined histopathological and molecular characteristics and genetic features, such as diffuse midline glioma H3 K27M-mutant and others. This reclassification will greatly assist in the early diagnosis and accurate treatment.14 With the development of molecular biology, the molecular pathology and molecular grading of gliomas are becoming increasingly important.

Because the traditional clinical histopathological diagnosis has low accuracy and the preferred surgical treatment of glioma has dissatisfactory results, there is a need for a rapid in situ approach to locate and determine cancer margins and the tumor grade at the molecular level. The rise of the costly molecular genetic pathology method, which is still in its initial stages for most diseases, and the desire to reduce diagnostic time, increase diagnostic accuracy, and identify tumor margins on brain lesions motivate researchers to explore the optical molecular histopathology based on vibrational Raman spectroscopic technique, one of the optical biopsy methods using optical spectroscopy and other optical methods. We have developed, and therefore report in this paper on, VRR spectroscopy-based technique for glioma diagnosis and margin detection that has the potential to address the aforementioned limitations. It is fast, accurate, and local. VRR uses the magic laser wavelength near 532 nm to enhance the Raman signal intensity by >100×, making the method very fast.

VRR is one panoply of optical spectroscopy techniques in Optical Biopsy that mainly include fluorescence, absorption, Stokes shift, and various Raman spectroscopy techniques based on spontaneous Raman (SR), resonance Raman (RR), ultraviolet resonance Raman (UVRR), coherent Raman scattering, coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering (CARS), stimulated Raman scattering (SRS), optical coherence tomography, and resonance stimulated Raman scattering (RSRS). VRR spectroscopy is a Raman-based technique with visible excitation light, particularly with 532 nm, in or near resonance with electronic transitions of the substance under investigation; in particular, in biological tissue and cells resonance with flavins, carotenoids, collagen, and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH). VRR signal is typically 100 to 1000 times stronger than SR signal.

In tissue, the 532 nm serves as the key wavelength to excite tissue for the resonance process located in pre-electronic region for incoming and outgoing Raman resonance for many native molecules in tissue of flavins, NADH, collagen, and tryptophan via one- or two-photon excitation. Among the conventional histopathology and newly explored molecular diagnosis methods mentioned above,14 Raman spectroscopy can analyze the changes of the chemical composition of different lesions in human tissues and the slight changes of protein structure and nucleic acids15–20 using intrinsic molecular fingerprints in situ, label-free at near real time,17,21–34 and low cost. Raman spectral changes can reveal the metabolic processes of brain tissue,24,25,30 and can potentially be used for margin assessment even during surgery.14,30–35

The VRR method is based on the native molecular vibrational characteristics in the Raman spectra of human brain tissue using 532 nm excitation.26,34 VRR has unique features, such as (a) compared to the traditional Raman spectroscopy, it provides more RR intrinsic biomarkers based on multiple vibrational modes of larger biomolecules; (b) Raman signal intensity may be enhanced by 102 to 103 times; (c) it probes a local region of 100 μm; (d) it operates in situ over seconds, which leads to (e) a rapid progress of brain and other human cancer diagnosis in clinical applications, which is typically difficult to achieve by a conventional nonresonance Raman method without using relatively high power.36,37

The VRR method has a high signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio and provides visually intuitive results for inspection. It only takes seconds for data acquisition. Even the raw RR spectra can be used for a direct comparison between cancerous and normal tissues. By contrast, it is more difficult to inspect the raw Raman data acquired using NIR, such as a 785 nm excitation because of the lower S/N ratio. In fact, the raw Raman spectra are rarely provided in the literature due to the large wing.36,37 The VRR system also uses less power and a shorter integration time to collect signals;24,25 thus, VRR provides a safer and more suitable method for in vivo and real-time in situ brain cancer diagnosis compared with NIR or FT-Raman methods. In addition, the
VRR signal is well localized in space due to the use of a shorter wavelength compared to NIR. VRR also explores a spatially more local region and offers a new microscope.

Although both UVRR and VRR can result in tremendous enhancements in Raman peak intensity and S/N ratio, which can help detect weak signals not observed in the conventional Raman system, the VRR method has low tissue penetration (on the order of a micrometer), high photomutagenicity, and low cell and tissue damage threshold, which lead to limited clinical applications. Compared to other Raman methods, VRR uses visible 532 nm wavelength excitation, matching more electronic transitions of biomolecules in tissues, cells, and organelles. Resonance-enhanced molecules can be detected at molecular concentrations <1.0 nM and the activity of particular molecular species can be targeted preferentially. Specific biomolecules in the cells and organelles include fluorophores, such as flavins, reduced NADH, collagens, elastin, lactate, carotenoid, amino acids, glucose, hemeproteins, mitochondria, and cytochromes. The VRR approach continues the research work of the optical biopsy of Alfano’s group, which has pioneered the field of optical biopsy for Raman since 1987 and 1991. It is also a new progress in molecular histopathological diagnosis and grade classification of human brain glioma tissues. The VRR technique has been used to study human brain, breast, GYN, GI, and oncology research due to the enhanced Raman signal for imaging.

The objective of this study is to use VRR as a new Raman technique to evaluate the biomarkers for glioma margins and the correlation between the levels of biomarkers and tumor grades. We used 532 nm Raman spectroscopy on human tissue ex vivo. It probes the electronic incoming and outgoing resonances of flavins and other native molecules in preresonance regimes. After collecting the spectra from glioma tissues at different grades, we applied PCA-SVM machine learning methods to classify the samples with the results compared with those from traditional histopathology.

The field of Raman spectroscopy has greatly advanced in the recent decade. In particular, important and promising studies on biomedical research have been reported by many groups. In particular, important and promising studies on biomedical research have been reported by many groups.

2 Results

2.1 VRR Spectra Measured from Healthy Tissue, Normal Control, and Grades I through IV Glioma Tissues

Figure 1 shows a set of typical RR spectra measured from healthy tissues, control tissues, and gliomas of six different grades from human brain tissues ex vivo. The RR spectrum from healthy brain tissue in Fig. 1(a) shows eight major peaks at 1005, 1157, 1521, 1438, 1667, 2850, 2885, and 2932 cm$^{-1}$. The relatively sharp and enhanced peaks of 1157 and 1521 cm$^{-1}$ should be carotenoids (low-density lipoprotein) and lipoprotein (high-density lipoprotein). Rich fatty acid RR peaks at 1438, 2850, and 2885 cm$^{-1}$ are stronger than protein bands at 1005, 1667, and 2932 cm$^{-1}$ in intensity. In a comparative study, Verdiyan et al. collected Raman spectra from the myelin sheath of sciatic nerve fiber tissue using 532 nm laser excitation and a 50-s exposure time and observed peak intensities at 1160, 1520, 2850, 2885, and 2935 cm$^{-1}$, respectively.

The normal control spectrum is collected from the cancer margin of grade IV glioma, which is also called the negative margin of the cancer or the cancer–normal tissue interface [Fig. 1(b)]. Compared with healthy tissue [Fig. 1(a)], the relative peak intensity of 2885 cm$^{-1}$ is significantly reduced and the peak at 2931 cm$^{-1}$ is higher than that at 2885 cm$^{-1}$. These differences can be explained by the hypothesis that the composition and conformation of lipids (saturated and unsaturated fatty acids) and proteins (full amino acids contribution) in cells and tissues changed from those in normal tissue to those found in cancer margins.

In this study, it is important to understand the classification of glioma. Traditionally, gliomas are classified into grades I to IV according to the morphology, the degree of malignancy, and the location of tumor cells. Low-grade gliomas refer to the first and second level of gliomas. With the development of molecular biology and the rise of molecular pathology (genetic code), the molecular grading of glioma has become increasingly important. Figures 1(c) and 1(d) show RR spectra of grade I and grade II glioma examined by histopathology, which are also called chronic neoplastic diseases. A grade I glioma tumor is considered to be at the benign level. The data show that its RR spectral profile is close to that of the control tissue [Fig. 1(b)], but some features are different. For example, the strength of the saturated fatty acid bond (1441 and 1452 cm$^{-1}$) is weaker, and the RR molecular fingerprints of amide I (1640 cm$^{-1}$), amide II (1550 cm$^{-1}$), amide III (1306 cm$^{-1}$), tryptophan and mitochondrial (1587 and 754 cm$^{-1}$), and the RR peak at 1358 cm$^{-1}$ (higher than 1378 cm$^{-1}$), which reflects HbO$_2$ saturation status and oxygen delivery at the cellular level, all show a tendency of either growing or weakening, respectively. Figures 1(d)–1(h) demonstrate grades II to IV gliomas. The RR molecular fingerprints that occurred in grade I glioma tissue all distinctly increased, decreased, or shifted in higher grades of glioma tissues. In addition, the intense sharp peak at 1127 and 2938 cm$^{-1}$ suggested contributions from lactate. A shift of the center of characteristic peaks and a change in peak intensities were found in glioma tumors of grades III and IV. For example, the intense peak at 1358 (low grades) shifting to 1378 cm$^{-1}$ (high grades) was observed. The changes in the RR spectral peaks at 1358 (deoxy-Hb) and 1378 cm$^{-1}$ (oxy-Hb) show deoxy-hemoglobin dominating at low-grade levels and oxy-hemoglobin dominating at high levels. This RR spectral peak shift may be used to monitor hypoxia and necrosis status in high-grade glioma tissues in vivo. RR spectral vibrational modes of fingerprints are shown in Supplemental Table S1.

2.2 Identification of Glioma Grades by Carotenoids

The resonance-enhanced intrinsic molecular fingerprints with intense RR modes at 1157 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu(C\text{--}C)$), 1521 cm$^{-1}$ ($\nu(C\text{--}C\text{--}C)$), and 1008 cm$^{-1}$ ($\rho(C\text{--}H_2)$, $\nu(C\text{--}C)$) and major overtone peaks at 2320 and 2667 cm$^{-1}$ should mainly arise from carotenoids. Carotenoids play an important role in the
Fig. 1 Typical baseline-subtracted VRR spectra from (a) healthy human brain tissue, (b) normal control tissue, and human brain glioma tumors of (c) grade I, (d) grade II, (e) grade II-III, (f) grade III, (g) grade III-IV, and (h) grade IV, respectively. Insets are the raw spectra.
2.3 Identification of Glioma Grades by Tryptophan

The peak at 1588 cm$^{-1}$ observed in the RR spectra is considered to be the main vibrational mode ($W_{ab}$) of the fingerprint of tryptophan. It was enhanced due to resonance, especially in the malignant gliomas of high grades (III and IV). In addition to tryptophan, we consider that the Raman mode of 1588 cm$^{-1}$ may be contributed by mitochondria, hemeproteins, and DNA bases (Supplemental Table S1). Based on our previous experimental data using VRR method and autofluorescence method (to be published) on human glioma tumors and the experimental and theoretical studies reported in the literature, we propose tryptophan as the main contributor to the Raman mode of 1588 cm$^{-1}$. One possible form of the tryptophan contribution to 1588 cm$^{-1}$ mode may be tryptophan radicals because of the microenvironment in the malignant tumor tissues. The intensity ratio of 1588 to 1440 cm$^{-1}$ (protein to lipids) was used to analyze the concentration changes of tryptophan in glioma tissue of different grades, and the difference is shown in Fig. 2(a). For calculations and comparisons of peak intensities, the spectral peak intensities were normalized to the intensity of the 1004 cm$^{-1}$ peak, which is an intense peak insensitive to conformational changes of proteins and is therefore usually used for normalization of the Raman spectra of proteins. This peak at 1004 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned to the breathing mode of phenylalanine that has a relatively stable intensity and position in different environments. The data show an increasing trend in both the concentration of tryptophan and the ratio of 1588 to 1440 cm$^{-1}$ in glioma tissue with increasing tumor grade. However, the ratio decreased in grade IV glioma tumor, which may be due to the metabolism of high-grade tumors, with the changes of cell apoptosis and tissue necrosis where the concentration of tryptophan is also reduced. Studies in the literature have shown that the heterocyclic amino acid tryptophan in human brain gliomas is an essential factor and plays a critical role in the metabolic process. The 1588–cm$^{-1}$ peak reveals that tryptophan in glioma tissues accumulates during tumor progression.

Tryptophan is one of the 10 essential amino acids that the body uses to synthesize proteins. It is highly hydrophobic and has an indole ring attached to a methylene group. In addition to its participation in the synthesis of proteins, tryptophan is also used to generate biologically active products, such as the biosynthesis of the aminergic neurotransmitter serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT). Tryptophan metabolism involves the kynurenine pathway. The catabolism of >95% of tryptophan in the brain metabolic process takes place through the kynurenine pathway. Previous studies have found that the degradation of tryptophan and the tryptophan metabolite, kynurenine (Kyn), can inhibit the antitumor immune response. As
reported in the literature, tryptophan-2,3-plusoxidase is an enzyme that can convert tryptophan into Kyn in glioma and other cancers and acts directly on glioma cells and promotes tumorigenesis.85,86

One possible explanation for the enhancement of the spectral peak of tryptophan (heterocyclic amino acids) in human brain glioma tissues is two-photon absorption.88 We will further study the enhancement of 1588 cm\(^{-1}\) mode in the future. If the enhancement in the Raman signal from tryptophan is due to the use of 532 nm, the same process should also occur for phenylalanine and tyrosine, which are both aromatic amino acids. However, compared to those two, tryptophan is much more active. Its maximum molar absorptive \((L_o \text{ to } L_a)\) band is centered at 278 nm with a bandwidth of 60 nm. Both fluorescence quantum yield and differential Raman cross section is centered at 278 nm with a bandwidth of 60 nm. Both fluorescence quantum yield and differential Raman cross section may arise from the side chains in proteins.89,90

### 2.4 Identification of Glioma Grades by the Intensity Ratio of Proteins to Lipid Metabolites

The Raman modes 2934 and 2885 cm\(^{-1}\) are both symmetric stretches that correspond to methyl (\(-CH_3\)) and methylene (\(-CH_2-\)), respectively. The 2934 cm\(^{-1}\) mode arises from proteins, whereas the 2885 cm\(^{-1}\) mode is from lipids and fatty acids of lipids, which contribute significant RR signal in the healthy brain tissues [Fig. 1(a)]. In this study, an increase in the intensity ratio was observed between these two Raman modes \(I_{2934} \text{ cm}^{-1}/I_{2885} \text{ cm}^{-1}\) in glioma tissues of different grades. This ratio represents the ratio between proteins and lipids. It is greater in gliomas than in normal brain tissues and gradually increases in gliomas with increasing grades, as shown in Fig. 2(b). According to the Raman modes, it is shown in Fig. 2(b) that the relative concentration of lipids is ~15% more than that of the protein in healthy brain tissues. However, in grade IV gliomas, the relative concentration of lipids is 18% less than that of the protein. This result may be attributed to the changes in the biochemical compositions of macromolecules including lipids and proteins during tumor development and progression. The decrease in the lipid concentration in the malignant gliomas has been reported in previous studies on glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) in the human brain using Raman spectroscopy in vitro and ex vivo.91,92

### 2.5 Identification of Glioma Grades by Protein Secondary Structure Conformation Changes

Another major finding of this study is that brain glioma tumors of various grades presented distinct rearrangements of protein secondary structures reflected by observed characteristic RR spectra changes (Fig. 1 and Supplemental Table S1). From a qualitative point of view, these changes could be described as a redistribution of RR spectral peak intensities from low grades of glioma to high grades of glioma; the RR spectral central positions of the peaks shifted. These shifts were confirmed by the differences in the spectral peak positions and the protein secondary spectral structure changes that were observed in proteins vibration modes in different grades of gliomas. Among various peptide vibration modes of amide I, amide II and amide III, for the shifts of peak central positions and changes of peak intensities, the amide I band was the most pronounced.92,93 The RR spectrum of amide I has a peak at 1667 cm\(^{-1}\) for the \(\alpha\)-helix form in normal brain tissues. This Raman frequency of the peak decreased and transitioned to 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to a \(\beta\)-sheet conformation with an increased intensity in grade IV glioma tissues. This shift in amide I may indicate conformational changes of the peptide backbone of proteins. Similar to amide I, amide III protein secondary structural conformation changes were also observed in normal brain tissues and glioma tissues of different grades. An amide III band of 1270 cm\(^{-1}\) associated with the \(\alpha\)-helix form in normal brain tissues appeared on the sideband and was not strong, but in grade IV gliomas, the amide III band showed a transition with the new peak centered at 1225 cm\(^{-1}\), corresponding to a \(\beta\)-sheet form with a sharp and enhanced \(\beta\)-sheet conformation. Other studies have reported that a tryptophan W104 mutation is one of the reasons that the lipophilic protein structure changes from an \(\alpha\)-helix to \(\beta\)-sheet structure.94–96 The changes in protein conformation require further research to understand the mechanism and contribution to glioma grading.

### 2.6 Identification of Brain Cancer Margins Using Peak Ratio and SVM

Brain tumors are classified as grades I to IV according to the WHO grading system. In this study, a noncancerous tissue from the perifocal area of a tumor was taken as the normal control, whereas the normal tissue were from surgeries for traumatic brain injury or posttraumatic death for noncancer patients.

We present an application of the above statistical approach as a new optical molecular histopathology method to evaluate the negative margins of human brain glioma tissue. The specimens included seven normal and six grade IV glioma human brain tissues along with fifteen negative margins of glioma cancerous tissues.

The RR spectra of all the above-mentioned types of human brain tissue samples were smoothed at first to reduce noise. Then the baselines of the spectra were removed using a polynomial fitting with an asymmetric Huber loss function.97,98 Typical preprocessed RR spectra are shown in Figs. 1(a), 1(b), and 1(h). The peak intensity ratios of \(I_{2932} \text{ cm}^{-1}/I_{2885} \text{ cm}^{-1}\) and \(I_{1588} \text{ cm}^{-1}/I_{1442} \text{ cm}^{-1}\) were calculated and scatter-plotted in Fig. 3. The three types of tissue samples are clustered with some overlap. Both ratios for the negative margins of glioma cancer tissues are greater than normal tissues and lower than glioma tissues.

The peak ratios of normal tissues versus the negative margins of glioma and the negative margins of glioma versus glioma were compared using Student’s \(t\)-test. For the ratio 1588/1442 cm\(^{-1}\), the \(p\)-values were 0.0196 and 3.9694 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) for normal versus negative margins of glioma and the negative margins of glioma versus glioma, respectively. Therefore, the RR spectra for these tissue types are statistically significantly different at a 0.05 significance level. Similarly for the ratio 2932/2885 cm\(^{-1}\), the RR spectra were also statistically significantly different for normal tissue versus the negative margin of glioma and the negative margin of glioma versus glioma, with \(p\)-values of 2.6785 \(\times\) 10\(^{-4}\) and 1.1215 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\), respectively.

A linear support vector machine (SVM) was used to classify the spectra for the three types of samples, as shown in Fig. 3.
The total accuracy was 92.9% with two normal tissue samples falling in the region for the negative margins of glioma tissue. If only normal tissues and the negative margins of glioma tissues were compared (normal, negative and negative margins, positive), the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 100%, 71.4%, and 90.9%, respectively. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve is plotted as a true positive rate versus false positive rate (or 1 − specificity) as shown in Fig. 3(b), and the area under curve (AUC) was 0.905. Leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV) was also used to further evaluate the classification and also achieved a sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 100%, 71.4%, and 90.9%, respectively.

This result demonstrated that the proposed ratio criterion is so sensitive that it can even detect the difference between the negative margin brain tissues and pure normal brain tissues. Ideally, the method can not only classify the tissue samples into the categories using the well-established cancer grading system but also identify the tissue type that is between normal and cancer of a particular grade.

2.7 Classification of Brain Glioma Grades by Statistical Analysis of SVM and PCA Methods

To further analyze the predicative effectiveness and robustness using the pathway signatures emerging from the Raman spectra, the statistical analyses of the VRR data collected from the brain tissues were conducted with principal component analysis (PCA) and SVM and compared with the histopathology and immunohistochemistry analysis (the “gold standard”). This analysis only used earlier data up to the year of 2017, which included 241 spectra from 95 samples in total, specifically containing 27, 7, 75, 44, and 88 spectra collected from 20, 2, 24, 14, and 35 samples for normal tissue (including negative controls), grades I to IV glioma tissues, respectively. All the sample types were confirmed by H&E-based histopathology reports. Different tissue samples (e.g., cancerous and negative control tissues) may be from one patient, and such samples are considered as different cases separately. The analyses here allowed us to evaluate the performance of the classification of normal brain tissue and glioma tissues, as shown in Fig. 4. The detailed explanation of the methods can be found in Sec. 4. When the first two principal components (PCs), PC1 and PC2, were used for classification, the scatter plot of the PC scores of normal and all grades of glioma tissue samples are shown in Fig. 4(a) along with the ROC curve shown in Fig. 4(b). When reclassification validation was performed, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for the SVM classifier shown in Fig. 4(a) were 96.3%, 81.5%, and 94.6%, respectively. The AUC of ROC was 0.968. If LOOCV was used, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 96.3%, 74.1%, and 93.8%, respectively. When PC2 and PC6 were used, the scatter plot of the PC scores of normal and all glioma tissue samples are shown in Fig. 4(c) along with the ROC curve in Fig. 4(d). The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for the SVM classifier with resubstitution validation as shown in Fig. 4(c) were 99.5%, 92.6%, and 98.8%, respectively. The AUC of ROC was 0.994. LOOCV sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were equal to those with reconfiguration validation. If PC1, PC2, and PC6 were used, the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for both reconfiguration validation and LOOCV were 100%, 96.3% and 99.6%, respectively, with an AUC of ROC of ~1.0.

In Fig. 5, the separation lines were calculated based on PC1 and PC2 using the SVM algorithm to classify the spectra collected from normal and glioma tissues into three categories, including normal tissue, glioma grades I and II, and glioma grades III and IV. The results yielded a total diagnostic accuracy of 71.4% when compared to the pathology reports as the “gold standard.” Here grades “II and III” glioma tissues were included in grade III, and grades “II and IV” glioma tissues were included in grade IV. The PC scores for PC1 and PC2 were used for classification. The entire two-dimensional space is divided into three regions for the three groups of brain tissue, respectively. The accuracy for each group is calculated based on the percentage of the tissue samples correctly falling into the corresponding region. The accuracies for the three classes were calculated as 81.5%, 52.4%, and 81.1%, respectively. The results are relatively effective for glioma diagnosis based on Raman spectra and the classification of brain glioma tissues of low
versus high grades. The results also show that approximately half of the low-grade tissue samples fall in the high-grade region, which may be due to mixed features in the low-grade tissue samples. If all three PC scores of PC1, PC2, and PC6 were used, the accuracies were 96.3%, 53.7%, and 84.1% for the three groups, respectively, with a total accuracy of 75.1%.

3 Discussion

One difficulty in this study at present lies in glioma grading as shown in Fig. 5. We cannot clearly classify every grade, including grade II-III and grade III-IV, of gliomas because most glioma tissues grow invasively with cells of overlapping grades. In particular, grade IV glioma tissues have necrosis and endothelial proliferation, which is clearly seen in the mapping images (which we will discuss in other reports).

It is reasonable that the cancer margin and glioma grades were not exactly identified in this study due to the following reasons. The H&E-tested samples were not from the exact locations of the tissues that were tested using Raman spectroscopy in our lab. The correlation between the H&E results and the optical results were affected due to a lack of co-registering of the size and locations of the tissues during transitions from the clinical laboratory to the optical laboratory.

The next steps of our future study are the following.

1. We have planned to improve the approach and find the real-time correlations between the results obtained from the examination in the hospital laboratory and the measured Raman spectral characteristics in the optical laboratory. To more accurately evaluate the RR method, synchronization between the ex vivo spectroscopic test and the hospital laboratory test is critical.

2. In fact, the VRR spectroscopic technique could lead to in vivo real-time diagnosis, whereas the traditional histopathological examination process is more time-consuming.

3. We will further study the Raman mode of 1588 cm⁻¹ and the role of tryptophan and 2940 and 2850 cm⁻¹ pair in our future cancer research.

4. A portable VRR device with a scanner for imaging and with $<1$ s integration time is being developed.

5. Finally, we will develop an RSRS microscope base on nonlinear optics combining both VRR and SRS for general use in optical biopsy.

In this study, “leave one spectrum out” was used. Since every glioma sample relatively evenly contributed ≤3 spectra, and the spectra collected within a normal tissue specimen were less but observed to be more consistent within a sample, statistically the bias due to this spectrum-based method is believed to be limited. The case-based or patient-based analyses will be performed to verify the statistical results in the future study.

In conclusion, our analyses based on the measured VRR spectral data revealed that the major molecular biomarkers, including carotenoids, tryptophan, amides, proteins, and lipids, are activated components that are involved in biological metabolic processes in human brain tissues and can be used to create an optical molecular pathology method. A set of criteria for differentiating normal human brain tissues from normal control tissues was created and used to identify brain cancer margins, yielding a diagnostic sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 71% for distinguishing the negative cancer margins from normal tissues when compared with the regular pathologically examined results by chemical reagents (the “gold standard”). More details about the study can be found in Ref. 99. The results may be used to develop a new fiber optic navigator for in vivo diagnosis of brain cancers and cancer margins that combines all VRR molecular spectral biomarkers.

4 Methods

4.1 Materials and Experiments

There are more than 125 histologically different types of brain and CNS tumors that are usually categorized as a primary brain tumor of a high grade (rapidly growing) or low grade (slow growing) and metastatic or secondary brain tumor (the cancer began in another part of the body and spread to the brain).

In this report, we studied primary glioma brain tumors. VRR spectra were measured from normal human brain tissues and glioma tissues including glioblastoma and astrocytoma ex vivo. The label-free RR spectral data reported in this paper were measured from the year of 2011 to 2018. The measurements were performed using a confocal micro-Raman spectrometer with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm over a spectral scan region of 500 to 3500 cm⁻¹. Five hundred and ten RR spectra were collected from one hundred and twenty-one subjects, including healthy brain tissue, normal control tissues (the negative margin of brain cancer tissues), and glioma tumors at low grades (I and II) and high grades (grade III including grade II-III, and grade IV including grade III-IV). For glioma tumor specimens, ~3 to 5 spectra were collected from each sample; for normal tissue specimens, less spectra were collected since the spectra from normal samples seem to be more consistent. The types and levels of all of the samples were examined according to the WHO standard (the gold standard) by regular histopathological analysis methods.

4.2 Sample Preparation

The tissue specimens were provided by the Air Force Medical Center in Beijing, China. Glioma grading was performed according to the standard WHO classification system.

There were no human participants in this study. All the samples used in this study were deidentified. Therefore, this study
that explain the most variance in the signal. PCA has been widely used in various applications, such as spectroscopy, face recognition, and optical imaging. Mathematically, PCA solves an eigenvalue equation and finds a set of orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues that are the variances. The Raman spectral data are contained in a matrix $X_{MxN}$, where $M$ is the number of wavenumbers and $N$ is the number of spectra or samples (assuming $M > N$). PCA considers the spectrum $x_i$ to be a linear combination of eigenspectra $\{w_j\}$ with coefficients $\{h_{ji}\}$, i.e.,

$$X_{MxN} \approx W_{MxN}H_{NxN}.$$  

where $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_N)$, columns of $W_{MxN} = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_j, \ldots, w_N)$ are also called principal component (PC) loadings, and columns of $H$ are PC scores corresponding to different spectra. To calculate the PCs, the data matrix is “mean centered” first, i.e., the mean of each row is calculated and subtracted off that row. The “mean-centered” data matrix is $X'$. Then an eigenvalue equation of the covariance matrix of $X'$ is solved to find the eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalues. The eigenvectors are the PC loadings, and the eigenvalues are the variances explained by the corresponding PCs. In practice, this can be solved using singular value decomposition of the data matrix $X'$, i.e., $X' = U \Sigma V^T$, where $U$ and $V$ are the left and right singular vectors, respectively, and $\sigma_i = \text{diag}(\Sigma)$ are the singular values. Columns of $U_{MxN}$ are taken to be the PC loadings, i.e., $W = U$, eigenvalues $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$, $H = \text{pinv}(W)X$, where $\text{pinv}(W) = (W^T W)^{-1} W^T$. The PC scores contained in $H$ are essentially the projections of the spectral data in matrix $X$ onto the PCs. Columns of $H$ correspond to different spectra. Each spectrum has a set of scores, which are essentially coefficients for the linear combination of PCs. These scores can be considered the characteristic information of the spectra (samples) and used for diagnosis. Alternatively, PC scores obtained from the mean-centered data matrix can also be used, and they are simply different from those obtained from the raw data matrix with a shift in the origin.

The PC scores of different spectra (samples) were considered characteristic features of the spectra (samples) and used for classification after standardization. The scores were standardized for each spectrum using the following formula: (score – score mean)/score standard deviation. An SVM with a linear kernel was then used for classification using the standardized features. In general, SVM attempts to find a hyper plane (a boundary line in two dimension) to separate two classes with the largest distance from the nearest class members (data points), which are called support vectors. Once the SVM classifier is trained, it is tested for classification using all of the data points, which is called resubstitution validation. Various combinations of features were tested for classification. Because the contributions due to higher-order PCs significantly decrease according to eigenvalues, only a limited number of PCs need to be evaluated and compared. A more careful optimal feature selection method can be used and is presented elsewhere. The classification performance of the SVM classifier was evaluated using statistical measures, including sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy, along with the ROC curve. To plot the ROC curve for the SVM classifier, the positive class (cancer) posterior probability (a data point classified into positive class) for each data point was calculated using the sigmoid function to map the SVM scores, which are the distances from the data points to the
SVM separation line. Then the posterior probabilities were used to calculate the true positive rate (i.e., sensitivity) and false positive rate (i.e., 1 − specificity) by varying the threshold and generating the ROC curve. The area under the ROC curve was calculated to show the performance of the classifier. To reduce bias in classification, LOOVC\textsuperscript{115} was used to re-evaluate the classification performance. To perform LOOVC, each time one individual data point, i.e., a set of features corresponding to a spectrum, was removed from the dataset. The rest of the dataset was used to train an SVM classifier. The removed data point was then classified using the classifier for testing. This process was repeated for all data points. In the end, sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were calculated based on the results of all tests as an overall evaluation of the classification performance. All of the computations for PCA-SVM were performed in MATLAB.
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