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Abstract

It is estimated that in Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC) at least 13.9 million preschool age and 35.4 million school age children are at risk of infections by soil-transmitted helminths (STH): Ascaris lumbricoides, Trichuris trichiura and hookworms (Necator americanus and Ancylostoma duodenale). Although infections caused by this group of parasites are associated with chronic deleterious effects on nutrition and growth, iron and vitamin A status and cognitive development in children, few countries in the LAC Region have implemented nationwide surveys on prevalence and intensity of infection. The aim of this study was to identify gaps on the mapping of prevalence and intensity of STH infections based on data published between 2000 and 2010 in LAC, and to call for including mapping as part of action plans against these infections. A total of 335 published data points for STH prevalence were found for 18 countries (11.9% data points for preschool age children, 56.7% for school age children and 31.3% for children from 1 to 14 years of age). We found that 62.7% of data points showed prevalence levels above 20%. Data on the intensity of infection were found for seven countries. The analysis also highlights that there is still an important lack of data on prevalence and intensity of infection to determine the burden of disease based on epidemiological surveys, particularly among preschool age children. This situation is a challenge for LAC given that adequate planning of interventions such as deworming requires information on prevalence to determine the frequency of needed anthelmintic drug administration and to conduct monitoring and evaluation of progress in drug coverage.

Introduction

Helminth infections impose a great and often silent burden of morbidity and mortality on poor populations in developing countries. The most common helminth infections are caused by soil-transmitted helminths (STH): roundworms (Ascaris lumbricoides), whipworms (Trichuris trichiura), and hookworms (Necator americanus and Ancylostoma duodenale). Worldwide estimates suggest that A. lumbricoides infects 1.221 billion people, T. trichiura, 795 million, and hookworms, 740 million. Infections occur most frequently in the Americas, China and East Asia, and Sub-Saharan Africa [1].

STH are amongst the most prevalent pathogenic organisms on the planet, estimated to infect almost one-sixth of the global population with the highest rates among school-age children (SAC) who are frequently infected with two or more species at a time [2]. Stunting usually occurs between 6 months and 2 years of age, overlapping with the period in which STH begin to emerge [3]. STH infection primarily affects physical and cognitive development [4]. A. lumbricoides can cause malnutrition; hookworms damage the intestinal mucosa leading to bleeding, loss of iron and anemia, and infections by T. trichiura cause chronic reduction of food intake [5]. During pregnancy, mild or severe infections by hookworms can cause anemia in the mother and damage to the fetus, leading to low birth weight [6]. In areas where helminths are common, deworming activities can be done once or twice a year among the population at risk (those with no access to improved sanitation facilities), including deworming for pregnant women after the first trimester. Deworming during pregnancy reduces severe maternal anemia, increases birth weight and reduces infant mortality [7]. Thus, regular treatment against helminth infections produces both immediate and long-term benefits, contributing significantly to improving the growth and cognitive development of affected individuals, especially children.

In 2001, the World Health Assembly adopted Resolution WHA/54.19 [8] urging all Member States where STH are endemic to attain “a minimum target of regular administration of chemotherapy to at least 75% and up to 100% of all SAC at risk of morbidity by 2010”. On October 2009, the Directing Council of the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) approved Resolution CD49.R19 [9] stating the commitment of PAHO’s Member States to eliminate or reduce neglected diseases, among them STH, to levels such that they are no longer considered public health problems by the year 2015, and hence help to achieve the Millennium Development Goals. In PAHO’s Resolution, STH and schistosomiasis were classified as diseases whose prevalence can be drastically reduced with available cost-effective interventions. Regarding STH, the following goal to be reached by 2015 was defined: reducing prevalence among SAC in high risk areas (prevalence ≥50%) to less than 20% as measured by quantitative egg count in feces. The Resolution also mentioned several
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Interventions to reach the STH control goal, especially those related with improved access to safe water and basic sanitation, preventive chemotherapy and health education through inter-sectoral collaboration.

According to WHO/PAHO estimates, in LAC there were 13.9 million preschool-age children (P SAC) and 35.4 million SAC in need of preventive chemotherapy for STH in 2012. These estimates have been calculated based mainly on the percentage of people without access to improved sanitation facilities, differentiated by rural and urban areas, due to the fact that STH prevalence and intensity of infection in LAC are not well mapped [10].

The purpose of this paper is to present the status of the mapping of prevalence and intensity of STH infection and to identify information gaps in LAC for the 2000-2010 period based on a literature search. This study is a call for action in LAC to address the existing information gaps in order to prioritize integrated interventions for STH control based on solid evidence, increase efforts towards reduction of the morbidity caused by these parasites, and reach the targets in the WHO and PAHO resolutions.

Materials and Methods

Data Selection

A wide literature search was conducted to collect data on STH prevalence and intensity of infection (Ascaris lumbricoides, Trichuris trichiura or hookworms or Necator americanus or Ancylostoma duodenale) among preschool and school-age children (5–14 years of age) for the 2000–2010 period. The following were the inclusion criteria: 1) studies with data on STH prevalence and intensity of infection published from 2000 to 2010; 2) reports with data on intensity of infection not using WHO classification parameters (mild, moderate and high); 3) studies including STH prevalence data disaggregated by age groups. The following were the exclusion criteria: 1) studies undertaken before 1995; 2) reports with data on prevalence and intensity of infection not using WHO classification parameters (mild, moderate and high), and 3) studies without data on their geographical location or including data on prevalence and intensity of infection of limited use for the present analysis (e.g. studies reporting prevalence data only for adults, or studies in children with co-infections). Given the limited number of data published on STH prevalence and intensity of infection in the Region, no restriction was established regarding sample size, type of study (community-based or among school children, base line or intervention monitoring) or laboratory diagnostic methods used, as the aim was not to verify the existence of accurate data for the two indicators in the Region, but to explore existing information and gaps on mapping (Figure S1).

After reviewing the articles and reports, information was extracted from those that met the inclusion criteria and then a database was built with the following variables: name of country; name of geographical location of study; name of geographical locations within the first and second subnational levels corre-
sponding to the geographical location of the study (in those cases where no information on this regard appeared, the publication year was recorded), sample size, STH prevalence, prevalence of infection by species, intensity of infection and age group of study subjects. Each value of prevalence and intensity of infection registered on the database was denominated a data point. In those studies that included data for several geographical locations at the lowest subnational level (e.g., municipalities), data were registered for each of these locals and, therefore, we were able to extract more than one prevalence or intensity of infection data point from several studies.

Analysis
A descriptive analysis of the number of studies including data on prevalence and intensity of infection published from 2000 to 2010 was done by country, age group and prevalence and intensity of infection range. Although the search was restricted to studies published from 2000 to 2010, some authors included results from surveys conducted before the study publication date, and for this reason our analysis included data only from studies carried out from 1995 onwards. Besides the analysis of frequency and proportion distributions, the geographic locations of prevalence and intensity of infection data points for preschool and school age children were mapped, as this was useful to visualize gaps in data publishing. The database was made with MS Excel 2010 and the analysis with Tableau 7.0.

Results
A total of 236 publications were found, of which 120 met the selection criteria established for the study; the publications corresponded to 18 countries: Brazil (39 publications, 32.5%), Argentina (11, 9.2%), Colombia (10, 8.3%), Venezuela, Mexico, Ecuador (9, 7.5% each), Peru (7, 5.8%), Cuba (5, 4.2%), Honduras, Bolivia (4, 3.3% each), Guatemala (3, 2.5%), Haiti, Costa Rica, Belize (2, 1.7% in each country), Saint Lucia, Paraguay, Nicaragua and Guyana (1, 0.8% each). All publications were articles published in scientific journals. Although some documents were found in the websites of health ministries, NGOs and FBOs, none of them included information meeting the inclusion criteria. The studies recovered by the Cochrane database had no information on their geographic location and, therefore, they were not considered.

A total of 335 data points on STH prevalence were registered and analyzed for 18 countries out of which 12.0% were for preschool-age children (40 prevalence data points), 56.7% for school-age children (190 prevalence data points) and the remaining 31.3% (105 prevalence data points) were registered for children under 15 years of age because authors did not differentiate by age groups, although they did state in the methodology that the study had been undertaken among children under 15 years of age. Another 687 data points on prevalence by STH species were also extracted, of which 40.2% corresponded to *A. lumbricoides*, 35.5% to *T. trichiura*, 19.5% to hookworms (undifferentiated by species), 2.9% to *A. duodenale*, and 1.9% to *N. americanus*. Additionally, 151 data points were extracted for intensity of infection.

The main characteristics of the 335 data points for STH prevalence were the following: 1) 27.8% of prevalence data points showed values over 50%, 34.9% between >20 and 50%, and 37.3% below 20%; 2) 63% of prevalence data points corresponded to data published by four countries (Argentina, Brazil, Honduras and Mexico); 3) 35% or more of STH prevalence data from Belize, Ecuador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico and Venezuela showed values over 50%. Prevalence ranges found in each country are shown in Table 1. The geographic locations of STH prevalence data points for PSAC and SAC by prevalence ranges are shown in Figures 1 and 2.
A total of 151 infection intensity data points from seven countries (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Honduras and Venezuela) were registered and analyzed. The following were their main characteristics: 1) The largest number of data points was found for Honduras (41.7%), Bolivia and Brazil (15.9% each); 2) 56.9% of infection intensity data corresponded to SAC, 17.8% to PSAC and 25.1% to children under 15 years of age; 3) 37.1% showed light, 34.4%, moderate and 28.5% heavy intensity infections (Table 2); 4) 65.1% of the data points on heavy intensity infections corresponded to *A. lumbricoides* (28 data points), and 5) no data on intensity of infection published after 2005 were found.

The most recent year in which prevalence data points were found varied among countries. Regarding prevalence data points for SAC, and taking as the most recent data those from studies conducted after 2005, we observed that 10 countries (out of 16 with data points) had studies conducted from 2005 to 2010. As for preschool age children, six countries (out of 11 with data points) had studies, while nine countries had studies for children under 15 years of age (out of 16 with data points).

**Discussion**

A total of 335 data points on STH prevalence from 18 LAC countries were found in the 120 articles included in the present study that were then registered and analyzed; 12.0% of the data were for PSAC, 56.7% for SAC and 31.3% for children under 15 years of age. We found that 60% of the prevalence data points showed prevalence levels above 20%. If these data were to be used for decision making regarding deworming in those geographic areas, it could be concluded that in more than half of such places deworming would be necessary for all children under 15 years of age (excluding children under 1 year of age) at least once a year. We also registered and analyzed 151 infection intensity data points from seven countries, of which 37.1% showed light, 34.4%, moderate and 28.5% heavy intensity infections; no data published on intensity of infections were found after 2005. Our analysis suggests that there is a significant gap in data published on STH prevalence and intensity of infection in LAC, especially for PSAC.
No restriction was established regarding sample size, sample randomization, type of study (community-based or among SAC, baseline or post-intervention monitoring) or laboratory diagnostic methods used, and, therefore, the study does not allow for making any inferences. Nevertheless, this information is useful to recognize existing data gaps on prevalence and intensity of infection for STH, independently of the quality of the few data found, and emphasizes the need to make a call for action aimed at collecting evidence-based information required to define needed deworming activities for public health purposes in LAC countries. The results also emphasize the need to promote the development of studies that are epidemiologically robust, with a certain standardization of both the epidemiological and laboratory methods, following WHO guidelines, that will provide reliable information on the epidemiological situation of STH and allow for comparability between studies done in different geographical regions and at different points in time. Another limitation of the study could be the fact that the data from some reports published by health ministries and organizations (NGOs and FBOs), as well as from undergraduate and graduate theses and other types of documents containing information on studies about prevalence and intensity of infection could have been omitted due to the methodology used for data recovery that focused mainly on published indexed data accessible on the web. Due to the aforementioned limitations and the bias that this could represent for this study, inferences or use of these data to estimate prevalence and intensity of STH and its distribution in LAC, or for making decisions on deworming activities to be implemented in any area of the 18 countries included in the analysis should not be done. Countries are encouraged to conduct their own scientifically sound studies of prevalence and intensity of STH infection, where data gaps are seen.

During the period from January 2000 to June 2010 data on STH prevalence and intensity of infection at the local level were recovered only for 18 LAC countries (Argentina, Belize, Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Ecuador, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, Paraguay, Peru, Saint Lucia and Venezuela) despite the fact that it is estimated that at least 30 countries in the Americas are endemic for STH infections according to a document published by PAHO in 2010 [131]. In this report, LAC countries were classified into four groups based on the estimated number of PSAC and SAC at risk of STH infections, as well as on the presence of other neglected infectious diseases (NIDs) targeted for preventive chemotherapy as one of the tools to reach their control and elimination. This classification of countries in four groups was done from analysis of quantitative and qualitative variables. The group of quantitative variables included sanitation coverage, population at risk for STH, deworming coverage on PSAC and SAC population, population at risk, prevalence and treatment coverage for onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis, blinding trachoma and lymphatic filariasis. The qualitative variables included inter-programmatic actions already in implementation in the countries, partners supporting deworming, needs of technical cooperation, progress on mapping, and opportunities for integrated actions.

These four groups included 33 countries as follows: the first group comprised 11 countries (Bolivia, Brazil, Ecuador, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Mexico, Peru, Dominican Republic, Saint Lucia and Suriname) concentrating 66.8% of PSAC and 67.4% of SAC at risk of STH infections in the Region. The second group included six countries (Belize, Colombia, El Salvador, Honduras, Panama and Venezuela) with 26.8% of PSAC and 26.1% of SAC at risk. The third group comprised three countries (Argentina, Paraguay and Nicaragua) with 5.4% of children at risk from both age groups, and the fourth group of 13 countries registering 1% of children at risk from both age groups. If deworming activities were focused in the 17 countries in groups 1 and 2, 94% of PSAC and SAC at risk of STH infections in the Region would be reached and protected [132].

Of the 335 data points from the 18 LAC countries analyzed in the study, 62.7% of data points showed STH infection prevalence above 20% (including both ranges, 20–50% and >50%). If these data were representative of the geographic areas to which they correspond, and if a country was interested in implementing deworming using these data without waiting for data of a survey of prevalence to make a decision on number of treatment rounds needed, it would be necessary to conduct deworming at least once a year for all children aged between 1 and 15 years there. According to information provided by PAHO’s Regional NID Program, by 2011 a total of eight countries (Dominican Republic, Guyana, Haiti, Mexico, Belize, Honduras, Nicaragua and Cuba) had deworming programs at national and subnational levels while four other countries had started updating their mapping of prevalence and intensity of infection at national level (Brazil, Ecuador, El Salvador and Honduras), while Suriname had finished a national survey in 2010. As a result of the advocacy activities waged by PAHO and other regional partners, and based on meetings held with national health authorities, it is expected that by 2013 at least 11 countries from groups 1, 2 and 3 prioritized by PAHO (Bolivia, Dominican Republic, Guatemala, Guyana, Haiti, Peru, Colombia, Panama, Argentina, Paraguay and Venezuela) will update their mapping of STH prevalence and

**Table 2.** Number and relative frequency of data points found for each category of STH intensity of infection by country, 2000–2010.

| Country     | Light (%) | Moderate (%) | Heavy (%) | Data Source |
|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|
| Argentina   | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)        | 2 (4.7)   | [14]        |
| Bolivia     | 8 (14.3)  | 8 (15.4)     | 8 (18.6)  | [24]        |
| Brazil      | 9 (16.1)  | 8 (15.4)     | 7 (16.3)  | [53,63]     |
| Colombia    | 3 (5.4)   | 3 (5.8)      | 3 (7)     | [67]        |
| Ecuador     | 2 (3.6)   | 2 (3.9)      | 5 (11.6)  | [85,89]     |
| Honduras    | 27 (48.2) | 24 (46.2)    | 12 (27.9) | [99,100]    |
| Venezuela   | 7 (12.5)  | 7 (13.5)     | 6 (13.9)  | [123,126]   |
| **Total data points found by level of intensity** | **56 (100%)** | **52 (100%)** | **43 (100%)** |
intensity of infection, and that four countries will conduct mapping to evaluate the impact of their national deworming programs (Belize, Mexico, Nicaragua and Saint Lucia) (Table 3).

Although the methodologies recommended by PAHO/WHO to estimate STH prevalence and intensity of infection indicate that surveys among SAC are enough to establish prevalence and intensity of infection levels in a community [133], the amount of published prevalence data found in the present study for PSAC (12.0%) is very low compared with the data recovered for SAC (56.7%). The lack of information regarding PSAC is a challenge for the Region where, according to estimates made for 2011 based on the WHO-recommended algorithm [10], one fifth of PSAC and SAC were at risk of STH infections (around 13.9 and 35.4 million of a total of 61 and 155 million PSAC and SAC, respectively).

Although this indicator of children at risk of infection is an indirect way of identifying areas and population groups requiring massive deworming interventions, it does not take into account differences within countries regarding ecological zones and deworming activities that may be underway. Therefore, mapping (be it through population-based surveys, sentinel surveillance or the use of methods based on geographic information systems and remote sensing technologies that combine epidemiological, demographic, climate, social and economic variables to estimate geographic areas and populations at risk of several diseases) should be promoted in all LAC countries to identify the administrative units at second subnational level (districts, municipalities or provinces depending on the geopolitical structure of each country or territory) and focus on the implementation of deworming interventions among population groups at risk due to their living conditions. Such mapping should be promoted not only as a baseline to start deworming and other interventions in each country, but also as part of the monitoring and evaluation of progress towards STH control goals, as well as to modulate deworming activities (increasing, sustaining or reducing them).

According to data from the PAHO Regional NID Program, the countries that have conducted national mass deworming programs maintaining coverage above 75% for more than five years are

| PAHO’s priority group | Country       | Deworming activities for STH up to 2011 | Mapping for STH up to 2011 | Perspectives for mapping of STH for 2012–2013                                                                 |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1                    | Bolivia      | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH and fascioliasis in priority areas, and for STH at national level                             |
| 1                    | Brazil       | No                                     | A national survey for STH integrated with SCH in progress       | Finalize a survey for STH and SCH at national level                                                          |
| 1                    | Dominican Republic | National                      | No                        | Mapping of STH and SCH at national level                                                                  |
| 1                    | Ecuador      | No                                     | A national survey for STH in progress                           | Finalize a survey for STH at national level                                                                |
| 1                    | Guatemala    | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH in priority areas                                                                         |
| 1                    | Haiti        | Subnational integrated to LF program | No                        | Mapping of STH at national level                                                                         |
| 1                    | Mexico       | National                               | No                        | Mapping of STH at national level for evaluation of its national deworming program                          |
| 1                    | Peru         | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH in priority areas                                                                        |
| 1                    | Saint Lucia  | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH and SCH at national level for evaluation of progress towards control and elimination, respectively |
| 1                    | Suriname     | No                                     | Yes (no MDA needed for STH)                                     | Mapping of STH and SCH in some priority areas within the country                                             |
| 2                    | Belize       | Yes                                    | No                        | Mapping of STH at national level for evaluation of its national deworming program                          |
| 2                    | Colombia     | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH at national level                                                                         |
| 2                    | El Salvador  | No                                     | A national survey for STH integrated with malaria in progress   | Finalize a survey of STH and malaria at national level                                                       |
| 2                    | Honduras     | Yes                                    | A national survey for STH integrated with malaria in progress   | Finalize a survey of STH and malaria at national level                                                       |
| 2                    | Panama       | No                                     | No                        | Advocacy for NID at national level including STH                                                          |
| 2                    | Venezuela    | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH and SCH at national level for evaluation of its epidemiological status                      |
| 3                    | Argentina    | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH in El Chaco area                                                                          |
| 3                    | Paraguay     | No                                     | No                        | Mapping of STH at national level                                                                         |
| 3                    | Nicaragua    | Yes                                    | No                        | Mapping of STH at national level for evaluation of its national deworming program                          |

LF: Lymphatic filariasis; SCH: Schistosomiasis; STH: Soil-transmitted helminths; NID: Neglected infectious diseases. PAHO classified countries of LAC into 4 priority groups to focalize activities for control and elimination of NID. More information can be consulted at: http://www2.paho.org/hq/dmdocuments/2010/Prioritization_NTD_PAHO_Dec_17_2010_En.pdf.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002419.t003

Table 3. Overview of current status of implementation of deworming programs and progress on STH mapping, 2011–2012.
Mexico (two or three rounds per year for SAC), Nicaragua (one round per year for PSAC and SAC), Dominican Republic (one round per year for SAC) and Honduras (a round per year for SAC). However, it is worth noting that despite the limitations already mentioned, our study found data published on prevalence levels above 20% and even above 50%. This may indicate that it is necessary to insist on mapping differentiated by ecological zones and population groups living in at-risk conditions that perpetuate STH transmission cycles given that national averages, and sometimes even subnational averages, can mask the real local situation.

The low amount of data published on STH intensity of infection in LAC during the period under study (151 data points from seven countries) is also noteworthy, especially the lack of data published for this indicator after 2006 at the lowest subnational administrative levels. It may be that this indicator was not estimated in the studies found, or that it was estimated but not included in the publications. However, it has been clearly documented that moderate to heavy intensity infections have significant implications for children’s physical and cognitive development, as well as for severe or even fatal complications among children under 15 years of age, especially school age children [4–6]. Our study also found that in 63% of the data published intensity of infection ranged from moderate to heavy. It is important to suggest to health authorities in LAC countries that when massive and sustained deworming is implemented, the indicator showing the fastest change towards reduction is intensity of infection and that this has a remarkably positive impact on the well-being of children [134]. The reduction in infection prevalence will be slower, as it depends on the effect of other social determinants such as access to safe water, basic sanitation, nutrition, use of footwear and housing improvement (e.g., eliminating dirt floors, adding ventilation, lighting), amongst others.

In 2011, PAHO published an updated mapping of NIDs in LAC based on secondary sources of information [135]. The study included data on STH prevalence at first subnational level (departments, states or provinces depending on each country’s characteristics) for several countries and the aim was to have an approximate idea of which areas would need to implement deworming activities at least once a year. The study also concluded that there were information gaps for several countries. Through this new search of scientific literature, PAHO’s Regional NID Program wanted to analyze data availability at the lowest subnational administrative levels and learn about the status of mapping.

As indicated by WHO, mapping based on secondary sources (i.e., surveys published in recent years, official reports on prevalence surveys, undergraduate and graduate papers on STH prevalence) are useful as a first approach when the epidemiological status of a given geographic area is unknown. However, mapping quality varies according to the quality of the data published in the different secondary sources and it does not replace the power and strength of population-based studies whose aim is to establish baseline epidemiological data for STH or evaluate the impact of deworming programs, particularly those conducted at large scale and sustained through time [135].

Despite the limitations of this study, the dataset has been useful for further analysis. PAHO’s Regional Neglected Infectious Diseases Program joined efforts with the Department of Epidemiology and Public Health at the Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute to develop ecological niche-based models and Bayesian geostatistical models to predict the disease distribution at municipality level including STH. This dataset was shared to contribute to a manuscript on geographical meta-analysis of STH in South America whose results were published in 2013 and the data were included on the Global Database for Mapping, Control, and Surveillance of Neglected Tropical Diseases (GNTD) [136]. The dataset was shared also with the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) as part of a joint initiative to carry out analysis on correlation between STH prevalence and some determinants as access to water and sanitation, education and housing features whose results were published in 2013 [137].

Even though by the time that this study was completed data for STH in LAC were not available on the Global Atlas for Helminth Infections (GAHI) nor on the GNTD, countries in LAC could benefit from data already available by 2013, and thus have useful data to support control activities for STH. Additionally, PAHO encourages countries in LAC to share information with the aforementioned initiatives that contribute for a better understanding of distribution of prevalence of STH and thus support countries in LAC to move forward the agenda for the control and elimination of neglected infectious diseases, in general, and in particular of STH.

Conclusions

It is necessary and urgent to update the mapping of STH prevalence and intensity of infection in several LAC countries in order to make better evidence-based decisions regarding deworming activities. The data available for PSAC are insufficient to know the real situation of STH prevalence and intensity of infection in many countries in the LAC region, and although more data for SAC population were found, these data are only from a limited number of countries and some second administrative levels.

It is also necessary to prioritize the operational research agenda within governments and interested groups in order to develop STH mapping activities. Mapping is necessary to know where the populations at risk and which age groups are at risk of STH infection, as well as the municipalities where authorities need to focus their efforts (if these data are available), and also for monitoring and evaluation purposes to know the impact of interventions, not only deworming, but also nutrition, education, and environmental interventions and integrated actions to reduce child morbidity and mortality and improve child development.

Without enough accurate and specific data about STH prevalence and intensity of infection by age group (PSAC and SAC) in LAC, it will be difficult to identify with certainty the main needs, resources to be assigned and places where integrated actions, including deworming, must be focused in order to reach the regional and global deworming goals for children at risk and reduce the prevalence and intensity of infections by STH.

Supporting Information

Checklist S1 PRISMA checklist. Source: PAHO based on literature search for Latin American and the Caribbean. (DOC)

Figure S1 Flowchart of included studies. Source: PAHO based on literature search for Latin American and the Caribbean. (DOC)

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Eng. Roberto Saenz for his assistance in producing the prevalence maps.

Author Contributions

Analyzed the data: MIS LC. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MIS LC RSN SKA. Wrote the paper: MIS LC RSN SKA.
References

1. de Silva NR, Brooker S, Hotz PJ, Montresor A, Engels D, et al. (2003) Soil-transmitted helminth infections: updating the global picture. Trends Parasitol 19(12): 547–51.

2. Hotz PJ, Fenwick A, Savioli L, Molyneux DH. (2009) Rescuing the bottom billion through control of neglected tropical diseases. Lancet 373(9674): 1570–9.

3. Drake LJ, Jukes MCH, Sternberg RJ, Bundy DAP (2000) Geohelminth Infections (Ascarisiasis, Trichuriasis, and Hookworm): Cognitive and development impacts. Semin Pediatr Infect Dis 11: 245–51.

4. Dickson R, Awasti S, Williamson P, Dembele CG, Garner P (2000) Effects of Treatment for Intestinal Helminth Infection on Growth and Cognitive Performance in Children: Systematic Review of Randomized Trials. BMJ 320(7251): 1697–701.

5. Hall A, Hewitt G, Tuffrey V, de Silva N (2008) A review and meta-analysis of the impact of intestinal worms on child growth and nutrition. Matern Child Nutr 4 (Suppl 1): 118–236.

6. Bundy DA, Chan MS, Savioli L (1995) Hookworm infection in pregnancy. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 89: 321–22.

7. Christian P, Khatry SK, West KP (2004) Antenatal anthelmintic treatment, birth-weight, and infant survival in rural Nepal. Lancet 364: 981–83.

8. World Health Organization (2001) Resolution WHO54.19. Schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminth infections. Fifty-fourth World Health Assembly. Geneva: WHO. Available: http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/medicentre/WHA54.19_Eng.pdf. Accessed 14 May 2011.

9. Pan American Health Organization (2009a). Resolution CD67.49. Elimination of Neglected Diseases and Other Poverty-Related Infections. Forty-ninth Directing Council. Washington DC: PAHO. Available: http://www.paho.org/ hq/dmdocuments/2009/CD67.49R.pdf. Accessed 20 November 2010.

10. World Health Organization (2011) Soil-transmitted helminthiases: estimates of the number of children needing preventive chemotherapy and number treated. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 86: 256–78.

11. Gamboa MI, Basualdo JA, Kozubsky L, Costas E, Cueto Rua E, et al. (1998) Cambio de la distribución de los helmintos intestinales en escolares de la ciudad de Santa Fe. Medicina (B Aires) 67: 238–43.

12. Guignard S, Arienti H, Freyre L, Lujan H, Rubinstein H (2000) Prevalence of Enteroparasites in a residence for children in the Cordoba Province, Argentina. Europ J Epidemiol 16: 287–93.

13. Lura MCE, Beltramino DM, Elena F de Carrera EF (2002) Prevalência de helmintiases intestinais em escolares de la ciudad de Santa Fe. Visão Científica 2: 37–46.

14. Beltramino D, Lura MC, Carrera E (2003) El tratamiento antihelmíntico selectivo frente al tratamiento masivo. Experiencia en dos comunidades hiperendémicas. Rev Panam Salud Publica/Pan Am J Public Health 13: 10–18.

15. Gamboa MI, Basualdo JA, Córdoba MA, Pezzani BC, Minvielle MC, et al. (2004) Influence of specific treatment of intestinal parasites and schistosomiasis on prevalence in children in Barra de Santo Antônio, AL. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 37: 625–28.

16. Kobayashi J, Hasegawa H, Nishimura NF, Yamanaka A, Gaba NA, et al. (2005) Effect of biweekly anthelmintic treatment on anemia in children infected with Schistosoma mansoni, dietary iron intake, and anemia in Brazilian children. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73: 399–44.

17. Carvalho TB, Carvalho LR, Assis LM (2006) Parasitology and helminth infections in day care centers in Botucatu (São Paulo state, Brazil) with emphasis on Cryptosporidium sp., Giardia duodenalis and Enterobius vermicularis. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo 48: 269–73.

18. Philip Castillo. Baseline Parasitological Survey, Southern Region, Belize C.A.

19. Milano AMF, Oscherov EB, Palladino AC, Bar AR (2007) Enteroparasitoses em crianças da idade preescolar e escolar: situação atual em populacos urbanas, periurbanas e rurais na região de Presidente Prudente, São Paulo state, Brazil. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo 49: 129–34.

20. Zonta ML, Navone GT, Oyhnart EE (2007) Parasitosis intestinales en niños de edad preescolar y escolar: situación actual en poblaciones urbanas, periurbanas y rurales en Brandsen, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Parasitol Latinoam 62: 54–60.

21. Muniz PT, Ferreira MU, Monteiro CA (2002) Intestinal parasitic infections in young children in São Paulo, Brazil: prevalences, temporal trends and associations with physical growth. An Trop Med Parasitol 56: 509–12.

22. Kobayashi J, Hasegawa H, Nishimura NF, Yamanaka A, Gaba NA, et al. (2005) Effect of biweekly anthelmintic treatment on anemia in children infected with Schistosoma mansoni, dietary iron intake, and anemia in Brazilian children. Am J Trop Med Hyg 73: 399–44.

23. Tashima NT, Simoes MJS (2004) Enteroparasitosis: an entomological review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro 99: 289–93.

24. Ferreira MU, Ferreira CS, Monteiro CA (2000) Secular trends in child intestinal parasitic diseases in São Paulo city, Brazil (1984–1996). Rev Sao Paulo Med 34(Suppl): 73–82.

25. Rocha RS, Silva DJ, Peixoto SV, Caldeira RI, Firmo JO, et al. (2000) Assessment of schistosomiasis and other intestinal parasites in school children of the Bambuí municipality, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 33: 431–36.

26. Scali C, Torri C, Beltrame A, Mantelli A, Castelli F, et al. (2000) Prevalence and distribution of soil-transmitted helminth (STH) infections in urban and indigenous schoolchildren in Ortigüera, State of Parana, Brazil: implications for control. Trop Med Int Health 5: 302–07.

27. Munoz Ortiz V, Borda Cayaure CF, Frade Vargas C (2009) Intestinal parasites in children of incarcerated mothers of Center of the Feminine Orientation of Chiriquí, La Paz, Bolivia: High prevalence of Taenia solium. BioMed Central 17: 39.

28. Grieco A, Caggiano G, Cerrito L, Capparelli M, Perna MA, et al. (2006) Prevalence of soil transmitted helminths and Giardia lamblia infections in children of migrant families in the southern Italy. Ann Ist Super Sanita 42: 593–600.

29. Ferreira TB, Carvalho LR, Assis LM (2006) Occurrence of enteroparasites in day care centers in Botucatu (São Paulo state, Brazil) with emphasis on Cryptosporidium sp., Giardia duodenalis and Enterobius vermicularis. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo 48: 269–73.
50. Moraes LRS (2007) Household solid waste bagging and collection and their health implications in a rural area of Brazil: associations with ascariasis and hookworm. Trop Med Int Health 13: 450–80.

51. Menezes AL, Lima VM, Freitas MT, Rocha MO, Silva EF, et al. (2008) Occurrence of enteroparasites in centers of child education in municipalities with low HHIs in the state of São Paulo. Rev Soc Bras Med Trop 41: 581–85.

52. Menezes AL, Lima VM, Freitas MT, Rocha MO, Silva EF, et al. (2008) Prevalence of intestinal parasitism in children from public daycare centers in the city of Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais. Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo 50: 57–92.

53. Moraes LRS (2007) Household solid waste bagging and collection and their health implications in a rural area of Brazil: associations with ascariasis and hookworm. Trop Med Int Health 13: 450–80.

54. Mondaini SW, Katio GR (2008) Prevalence of intestinal parasitism in young children in the interior of Guyana. West Indian Dis 4: e588.

55. Moraes LRS (2007) Household solid waste bagging and collection and their health implications in a rural area of Brazil: associations with ascariasis and hookworm. Trop Med Int Health 13: 450–80.

56. Mondaini SW, Katio GR (2008) Prevalence of intestinal parasitism in young children in the interior of Guyana. West Indian Dis 4: e588.

57. Moraes LRS (2007) Household solid waste bagging and collection and their health implications in a rural area of Brazil: associations with ascariasis and hookworm. Trop Med Int Health 13: 450–80.

58. Mondaini SW, Katio GR (2008) Prevalence of intestinal parasitism in young children in the interior of Guyana. West Indian Dis 4: e588.
associated socio-demographic variables in four rural Honduran communities. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 96: 303–14.

101. Instituto de Enfermedades Infecciosas y Parasitología Antonio Vidal (2005). Manual de Manejo de Enfermedades Parasitarias en Honduras – 1a ed. Tegucigalpa, 102 p.

102. Zuniga VC (2004) Evaluación de la situación epidemiológica de las geo-helmintiasis en Honduras después de varias intervenciones de desparasitación dirigidas a escolares entre el 2001 y el 2003. Secretaria de Salud de Honduras. Informe de Evaluación Nacional del Programa. 2004.

103. Rodríguez-Guzmán LM, Hernández-Jerónimo EF, Rodríguez-García R (2000) Parasitosis intestinal en niños seleccionados en una consulta ambulatoria de un hospital. Rev Mex Pediatr 67: 117–22.

104. Díaz R, Mondragón J, Ramírez E, Bernal RM (2003) Epidemiology and control of intestinal parasites with nitazoxanide in children in Mexico. Am J Trop Med Hyg 68: 384–85.

105. Faulkner CT, García BB, Logan MH, New JC, Patton S (2003) Prevalence of endoparasitic infection in children and its relation with child's prevention efforts in Mexico. Rev Panam Salud Publica/Pan Am J Public Health 14: 31–41.

106. Quihui-Cota L, Valencia ME, Crompton DWT, Hagan P, et al. (2004) Prevalence and intensity of intestinal parasitic infections in relation to nutritional status in Mexican schoolchildren. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 98: 653–9.

107. Belkin-Valdesnovis U, Belkind-Geron J, Sánchez-Franca D, Espinoza-Ruiz MM, Lazcano-Ponce E (2004) Evaluación de la nitazoxanida en dosis única y por tres días en parasitosis intestinal. Salud Pública Mex 46: 333–40.

108. Quihui L, Valencia ME, Crompton DWT, Hagan P, et al. (2006) Role of the employment status and education of mothers in the prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections in Mexican rural schoolchildren. BMC Public Health 6:225.

109. Galván-Ramírez ML, Rivera N, Locea ME, Avila X, Acreo J, et al. (2007) Nitazoxanide in the treatment of Ascariasis lumbricoides in a rural zone of Colima, Mexico. J Helminthol 81:255–59.

110. Guerrero MT, Hernández MY, Rada ME, Aranda A, Hernández MI (2008) Presencia de Strongyloides stercoralis en un estudio de enteroparasitosis en escolares del asentamiento humano “La Candelaria”, distrito de Chancay, Provincia de Huaral, departamento de Lima. Acta Médica Peruana 24:177–80.

111. Zunini M, Núñez MT (2001) Prevalence of intestinal parasitosis in scholarships students in the department of Lima, Peru. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 96: 303–14.

112. Rosewell A, Robleto G, Rodríguez G, Barragne-Bigot P, Amador JJ, et al. (2004) Prevalencia del Enteroparasitosis intestinal y alternativas de disposición de excreta en municipios de alta marginalidad. Rev Cub Salud Pública [online journal]; 34(2). Available: http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script =sci_arttext&pid = S0154-34662004000200009&lng = es.

113. Gutiérrez-Rodríguez C, Trujillo-Hernández B, Martínez-Cantuera A, Pineda-Lucatero A, Millán-Guerrero RO (2007) Frequency of intestinal helmintsiasis and its association with iron deficiency and malnutrition in children from western Mexico. [Article in Spanish]. Gac Med Mex 143: 297–300.

114. Ibañez HN, Jara CC, Guerra MA, Díaz LE (2004) Prevalencia del enteroparásitas en escolares de comunidades nativas del Alto Marañón. Amazonas, Peru. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica 21: 126–33.

115. Casapí M, Joseph SA, Núñez C, Rahme E, Gyorkos TW (2006) Parasite risk in children of an urban area of Honduras. Trop Med Int Health 11: 309–15.

116. Kasmera 37: 62–73.

117. Galván-Ramírez ML, Rivera N, Locea ME, Avila X, Acreo J, et al. (2007) Nitazoxanide in the treatment of Ascariasis lumbricoides in a rural zone of Colima, Mexico. J Helminthol 81:255–59.

118. Ibáñez HN, Jara CC, Guerra MA, Díaz LE (2004) Prevalencia del enteroparásitas en escolares de comunidades nativas del Alto Marañón. Amazonas, Peru. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica 21: 126–33.

119. Ibañez HN, Jara CC, Guerra MA, Díaz LE (2004) Prevalencia del enteroparásitas en escolares de comunidades nativas del Alto Marañón. Amazonas, Peru. Rev Peru Med Exp Salud Publica 21: 126–33.

120. Carpio In, Díaz JR, Belanude MT, Chavez YV, Bedoya DY, et al. (2007) Prevalencia de enteroparasitosis en niños de la etnia Yukpa de Toromo, Estado Zulia, Venezuela Rev Med Chil 134: 72–8.

121. Kurup R, Singh Hunjan G (2010) Intestinal parasites in St Lucia: a retrospective, Laboratory-based study. J Rural Trop Public Health 9: 24–30.

122. Ortiz D, Alonso C, Hagel I, Rodríguez O, Ortiz C, et al. (2008) Influencia de las infecciones helminticas y el estado nutricional en la respuesta inmunitaria de niños venezolanos. Rev Panam Salud Publica/Pan Am J Public Health 8:156–63.

123. Rivero-Rodríguez Z, Choucri-Lezcano G, Díaz I, Cheng R, Rusión G (2000) Enteroparasitosis en escolares de una institución pública del municipio Maracaibo, Venezuela. Invest Clin 41:37–57.

124. Miller SA, Rosario CL, Rojas E, Scorza JV (2005) Intestinal parasitic infection and associated symptoms in children attending day-care centres in Trujillo, Venezuela. Trop Med Int Health 8: 342–47.

125. Rivero-Rodríguez Z, Choucri-Lezcano G, Díaz I, Cheng R, Rusión G (2000) Enteroparasitosis en escolares de una institución pública del municipio Maracaibo, Venezuela. Invest Clin 41:37–57.

126. Guiguer L, Kalade H, Marchán E (2006). Relación entre la helmintiasis intestinal y el estado nutricional-bemateológico en niños de una escuela rural en el estado Sucre Venezuela. Kasmera 34: 14–24.

127. Devera R, Ortega N, Suarez M (2007) Parasitosis intestinales en la población del Instituto Nacional del Menor, Ciudad Bolívar, Venezuela. Rev Soc Ven Microbiol 27: 349–63.

128. Saloso L, Acuña I, Barón MA, Morón de Salim A, Sánchez A (2008) Influencia de las parasitosis intestinales y otros antecedentes infectiosos sobre el estado nutricional antropométrico de niños en situación de pobreza. Parásitol Latinoam 63: 12–19.

129. Sframieri M, Silva I, Molina Y, Mongue D, Montenegro L, et al. (2009) Intestinal parasitic infections among students of Carabobo educational unit, Bellén, Carlos Arefavo Municipality, Carabobo State, Venezuela. Comunidad y Salud 7: 25–8.

130. Rivero de RZ, Maldonado IA, Bracho MA, Castellanos SM, Torres Y, et al. (2009) Prevalence of enteroparasites, rotavirus and adenoviruses in apparently healthy children. Kasmera 37: 62–73.

131. Pan American Health Organization (2011) Prevalence and intensity of infection of Soil-transmitted Helminths in Latin America and the Caribbean Countries: Mapping at second administrative level 2000-2010. Washington D.C. 106 p Available: http://new.paho.org/hq/index.php?option = com_content&view = category&layout = blogpost&catid = 3701&Itemid = 4046. Accessed: 1 September 2012.

132. Pan American Health Organization (2010) Control and Elimination of Five Neglected Diseases in Latin America and the Caribbean, 2010–2015. Analysis of Progress, Priorities and Lines of Action for Lymphatic filariasis, Schistosomiasis, Onchocerciasis, Trachoma and Soil-transmitted helmintiasis. Washington, D.C. 93 p. Available: http://new.paho.org/hq/documents/2010/Prioritization_NTD_PAHO_Dec_17_2010_En.pdf. Accessed: 1 September 2012.

133. World Health Organization (2011). Helminth control in school age children: a guide for managers of control programmes - 2nd ed. Geneva, 76 p.

134. Crompton DW and Neherme MC (2002) Nutritional impact of intestinal helminthiases during the human life cycle. Annu Rev Nutr 22:35–59.

135. Schneider MC, Aguilera XP, Barbosa da Silva Junior J, Ault SK, Najera P, et al. (2010) Helminth control in school age children: a guide for managers of control programmes - 2nd ed. Geneva, 76 p.

136. Schneider MC, Aguilera XP, Barbosa da Silva Junior J, Ault SK, Najera P, et al. (2010) Helminth control in school age children: a guide for managers of control programmes - 2nd ed. Geneva, 76 p.

137. Colston J, Saboya M (2013) Soil-transmitted helminthiasis in Latin America and the Caribbean: modelling the determinants, prevalence, population at risk and costs of control at sub-national level. Geospat Health 7: 321–340.