Plant–Metal Interactions in the Context of Climate Change
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Abstract: Expanding fundamental understanding of the complex and far-reaching impacts of anthropogenic climate change is essential for formulating mitigation strategies. There is abundant evidence of ongoing damage and threat to plant health across both natural and cultivated ecosystems, with potentially immeasurable cost to humanity and the health of the planet. Plant–soil systems are multifaceted, incorporating key variables that are individually and interactively affected by climatic factors such as rainfall, solar radiation, air temperature, atmospheric CO₂, and pollution. This synthesis focuses on climate effects on plant–metal interactions and related plant–soil dynamics. Ecosystems native to metalliferous soils incorporate vegetation well adapted to metal oversupply, yet climate-change is known to induce the oversupply of certain immobile soil metals by altering the chemistry of non-metalliferous soils. The latter is implicated in observed stress in some non-metal-adapted forest trees growing on ‘normal’ non-metalliferous soils. Vegetation native to riverine habitats reliant on flooding is increasingly at risk under drying conditions caused by anthropogenic water removal and climate change that ultimately limit plant access to essential trace-metal nutrients from nutrient poor sandy soils. In agricultural plant systems, it is well known that environmental conditions alter soil chemistries and plant responses to drive plant metal toxicity stress. These aspects are addressed with reference to specific scenarios and studies linking climate to plant–metal interactions, with emphasis on land plants.
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1. Introduction

While land plants are crucial to life on Earth, marine and aquatic plants help sustain the health of our planet and its provision of oceanic and freshwater food resources. Research into climatic effects on land plant–metal interactions far outweighs that of the latter; hence, this synthesis prioritises it to examine the implications of climate change for plant health, specifically, metal interactions. Despite an overall scarcity of direct research into how climate change may affect plant–metal interactions, the findings of past studies not originally intended to interrogate this subject can be drawn upon to provide insights in the present context, as will be done here. Plant status has been conceptually represented within the framework of internal and external factors below and above the substrate surface [1,2] (Figure 1), some dynamic and/or mutually interactive. The uptake of mineral nutrients, non-nutrient metals, and other elements is directly determined by specific plant and substrate traits; however, environmental conditions can influence these processes indirectly [2–10].

Plants access soil metal cations in their highly reduced oxidation states as determined by soil chemical dynamics, to which root exudates contribute within the rhizosphere [11]. Roots are also capable of releasing low molecular weight organic acids that bind to soluble metals to inhibit plant uptake as an exclusion strategy [12–14]. The mediation of metals by plants from uptake at the root-soil interface, through translocation to above-ground parts is well researched for many metals, with transporters linked to specific metal cations, as well as various counter-anions including organic acids implicated in xylem transport and vacuolar storage [15–22].
Soil metals are mobilized by reduction (solubilisation) arising from acidification, redox conditions, waterlogging, and microbial activity [3,8,19,23]. Plant response to metal oversupply varies between the extremities of tolerance and sensitivity, which are genetic [24,25]. At one end is plant metal hyperaccumulation, an intrinsic ability to safely accumulate extraordinarily high metal concentrations via this rare and extreme affinity for metals evolved on metalliferous soils [24–27]. Metal sensitivity manifests in non-adapted plants growing on substrates metal enriched by natural processes, environmental pollution, and climatic factors that mobilise normally immobile substrate metals [28,29]. Conversely, trace-metal nutrient deficiency arises when plants cannot access essential elements for reasons including altered environmental conditions that limit soil bioavailability and natural soil conditions of trace metal immobility or scarcity [1,30,31]. The acidification of water bodies from streams to oceans is having wide-ranging impacts such as coral bleaching [32]; however, understanding about the direct effects of climate change on water plant–metal interactions is limited [10,33] notwithstanding the issue of metal pollution not addressed here. The most tangible effects of environmental change on plant–metal
interactions arise primarily from alterations to the chemistries of the substrates in which they grow and the ambient conditions. This synthesis interrogates specific case studies and scenarios relating to plant–metal interactions to inform discussion around broader trends linked to climate change.

2. Metal Hyperaccumulating Plant Ecosystems

The unusual occurrence of *Alyssum bertolonii* (Brassicaceae) on bare rock in the Upper Tibre Valley was noted four centuries ago [34] (Figure 2). Much later, this was classified as a Ni-hyperaccumulator on serpentine or ultramafic rock, [35], the very first characterisation of plant metal hyperaccumulation. The rare trait manifests in extraordinarily high metal concentrations in plant aerial tissues, commonly foliage as is currently documented in around 0.24% of all angiosperms on Earth [36,37].

Hyperaccumulators are edaphic specialists found primarily on naturally metalliferous soils [27,38], the majority serpentine with several of the following properties: high Fe, high Mg:Ca ratios, low N, P, K and phytotoxic Ni levels, and other heavy metals such as Pb, Cd, Zn, Mn, Co [27,35,39]. These nutritionally depauperate metal-rich soils have shaped specialised floras, often with high degrees of endemism in habitats recognized for their rich biodiversity and major conservation value [40,41]. They vary from sparse vegetation on shallow soils, to large-stature rainforest on deep soils overlaying ultramafic bedrock [39].

Depending on the metal, sequestration in hyperaccumulator shoots occurs in a variety of tissues, both non-photosynthetic and photosynthetic, with evidence of carboxylate and other counter anion associations, along with mediation via several classes of metal transporter proteins as implicated in these extreme and complex detoxification strategies [17,42,43]. While such mechanisms are of ongoing interest, there have been no studies into how
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**Figure 2.** *Alyssum bertolonii* growing on serpentine rock, Upper Tiber Valley, Tuscany, Italy (Photo: D. Fernando 2006).
climate change may impact them. Such novel systems are invaluable for expanding fundamental knowledge across multiple scientific disciplines, yet mining and land-clearing pose anthropogenic threats, with little yet known about potential climate change impacts. Laterite, another naturally metalliferous soil type for metal hyperaccumulators forms from deep weathering and leaching in the tropics where rainfall is high, or on geologically unchanged land where soils are relict [44,45]. For example, on the eastern seaboard of Australia, laterites are Al-, Fe- and Mn-rich, with smaller disjunct Ni-rich areas over Ni ore bodies. Bedrocks of ore bodies or igneous rock such as basalt or serpentine can give rise to high metal content in early soil horizons [45]. Oxidation of the parent rock gives rise to new minerals that get flushed out or concentrated, depending on their solubilities [46,47]. Whether the increasing frequency of major rain events attributable to climate change [48] will accelerate soil laterisation is yet to be determined.

Empirical studies into the direct effects of climatic changes on hyperaccumulator plant–metal interactions are extremely scarce, although several meta-analyses have attempted to assess impacts on specialised metallophytic ecosystems [49–51]. It is plausible that shifts in climatic conditions detrimental to the long-term physiological health of hyperaccumulator plants may ultimately indirectly impair their intrinsic ability to mediate excess metals. Further enhancement of soil metal bioavailability through altered climatic conditions is unlikely to adversely impact plants already well adapted to metal oversupply provided they remain healthy [50]. Interestingly, controlled experiments on metal hyperaccumulators have been able to demonstrate metal over-accumulation to elicit stress thus far not reported in natural systems [52–54]. A meta-study modeling assessment of potential climate change effects on serpentine ecosystems cautiously suggests these plants may be less sensitive than non-serpentine plants, while stressing the need for a multidimensional comparative approach incorporating floras on many soil types, from ‘normal’ to ‘special’ such as metalliferous soils [51].

3. Agricultural and Other Land–Plant Systems

Nutrient metal imbalance in agricultural plants is well researched [55], useful for interrogating plant–metal interactions in a changing climate. By far, Mn is the most important example of a soil metal whose interaction with plants is climate-associated [3]. It is soil abundant and nutritionally essential in trace amounts only; yet its bioavailability is easily enhanced by environmental fluctuations, which in turn can drive Mn phytotoxicity, a common agricultural problem in regions such as eastern Australia on naturally Mn-rich soils [3,5,23,56–59]. It is noteworthy that Mn is commonly tolerated by plants in foliar concentrations above their critical nutritional needs, and this is unlike most other heavy metals that have clearly defined phytotoxicity thresholds [55]. While Mn oversupply and accumulation can induce dark leaf-spotting under experimental conditions [60], Mn phytotoxicity damage as observed in the field and further supported by controlled studies occurs as photobleaching by solar radiation, and oxidative stress due to ion antagonism between excess Mn(II) and metal cations [4,28,61]. Photobleaching manifests as leaf ‘bleaching’ (Figure 3) when photosynthetic apparatus are damaged by reactive oxygen species [28]. The presence of excess metal cations such as Mn(II) can lead to oxidative stress when they outcompete trace-metal-cation cofactors essential to the activities of mitigating enzymes such as superoxide dismutase [28]. These have been demonstrated in common bean, sugar maple (Figure 3) [28], wheat, soybean, and canola plants exposed to combined treatments of Mn and light exposure, and also observed in field canola and wheat crops exhibiting seasonal Mn toxicity stress (Figure 4) [4,30,61].

It is now well established that solar radiation triggers Mn phytotoxicity-induced photobleaching damage to photosynthetic apparatus when leaf-Mn concentrations are elevated [3]. Increasingly lengthy periods of sunshine due to longer hotter summers and/or increased intensity due to ozone depletion have implications for plants normally capable of tolerating above-normal foliar Mn levels, as is common among certain crop cultivars [3]. Commercially valuable sugar maple forest trees known to overaccumulate
foliar Mn due to anthropogenic soil acidification [30] have been documented as declining in parts of the Allegheny Plateau in Pennsylvania (Figure 5), and shown experimentally to be susceptible to Mn toxicity-induced oxidative stress and photobleaching [28] (Figure 3). Other climatic effects known to enhance Mn bioavailability to induce Mn overaccumulation and stress include soil waterlogging, soil acidification, extreme soil wetting and drying cycles, and increased ambient temperatures [3,5,23,56–59].

Figure 3. Mn toxicity-induced photobleaching of Mn-treated maple leaves exposed to sunlight [60].

Figure 4. Seasonal Mn toxicity in canola and wheat field-crops in southeastern Australia (Photo: D. Eksteen 2017).

There is now a strong body of field and experimental evidence pointing to future scenarios of large-scale Mn phytotoxicity induced by changing climatic conditions in agricultural plants and in natural systems unadapted to metal oversupply, particularly where soils are Mn-rich. In areas where soil-Mn is insufficiently available to crop plants requiring Mn supplements, it is possible that such changing climatic conditions may boost soil-Mn bioavailability; however, there are no studies to support this other than a newspaper report in Western Australia of a first-time observation of Mn toxicity in canola crops that historically required Mn addition. Although the soil bioavailabilities of metals other than Mn can also be affected by soil chemical changes such as acidification leading to phytotoxicity, interaction between their bioavailabilities and environmental fluctuations are comparatively less well defined [3,55]. Increasing atmospheric CO2 levels, the main driver soil acidification will leave unadapted plants vulnerable to metal stress [20,29].
There is now a strong body of field and experimental evidence pointing to future scenarios of large-scale Mn phytotoxicity induced by changing climatic conditions in agricultural plants and in natural systems unadapted to metal oversupply, particularly where soils are Mn-rich. In areas where soil-Mn is insufficiently available to crop plants requiring Mn supplements, it is possible that such changing climatic conditions may boost soil-Mn bioavailability; however, there are no studies to support this other than a newspaper report in Western Australia of a first-time observation of Mn toxicity in canola crops that historically required Mn addition. Although the soil bioavailabilities of metals other than Mn can also be affected by soil chemical changes such as acidification leading to phytotoxicity, interaction between their bioavailabilities and environmental fluctuations are comparatively less well defined [3,55]. Increasing atmospheric CO₂ levels, the main driver soil acidification will leave unadapted plants vulnerable to metal stress [20,29].

### 4. Plants Associated with Marine and Aquatic Ecosystems

Fresh- and salt-water ecosystems support diverse plant communities variously reliant on these water-bodies, from total continuous submersence to periodic flooding, for example, in riverine riparian–floodplain zones [1,31] (Figure 6). Changing climatic influences on these plant–metal interactions has attracted far less attention than land-only plants; however, a few disjunct studies collectively provide preliminary insights into environmental shifts including atmospheric CO₂ emissions and the increasingly warming and drying conditions in many regions of the world [48]. Among the most damaging to sensitive oceanic ecosystems is acidification due to emissions, the driver of coral bleaching, which, combined with rising water temperatures, is driving down biodiversity [32].

Although overwhelming evidence exists for large-scale soil acidification from pH 6.5 to well below that, levels conducive to land (only) plant metal uptake beyond phytotoxicity thresholds depending on the metal [55], there is no evidence that water acidification has a similar direct effect in enhancing metal uptake by rooted water-submersed or water-emergent plants. While metal accumulation in rooted macrophytes has been shown to correlate with available metal content in their host substrates [62], understanding about how climate interacts with these underwater plant–substrate relationships is scarce. One study reports a direct relationship between temperature increase and metal uptake in two common rooted aquatic species without toxicity symptoms [33]. Another on seagrass response to seawater-pH manipulation from 8.36 to 8.06 showed no increased metal uptake nor detectable stress [10]. Whether this apparent lack of a ‘pH effect’ is due to the generally far higher pH levels in watery habitats compared to land soils, regardless of climatic water acidification, is unclear. How environmental changes may affect the well-known ability of many aquatic species to safely overaccumulate heavy metals, a trait regarded as useful for remediating metal-contaminated waters [63–65] is unknown. The aforementioned study by Fritoff et al. [33] of a single species warrants investigation of others to examine whether their metal-loading capacities are enhanced by temperature elevation.
Flooding in freshwater ecosystems is known to facilitate the soil bioavailability of essential trace-metal nutrients such as Mn, Zn, Fe, and Cu, particularly important for outer-floodplain species at the far reaches of natural flows on nutrient-poor, well-drained sandy soils [1]. There is preliminary evidence [1,66] that even short pulses of water as generated by very infrequent flooding provides necessary access to trace nutrients. A warming, drying climate coupled with water abstraction for agriculture and other purposes is increasingly impacting riverine vegetation in regions such as eastern Australia, where large stature trees in the agriculturally and ecologically important Murray Darling River Basin system are showing marked stress and decline in some parts [67] (Figure 7). While these stresses are not solely nutritional, interrelationships between multiple variables (Figure 1) can trigger a gradual net cascade of overall decline ultimately noticeable in advanced irreversible stages, scenarios playing out in some remote areas of natural habitats that escape attention until decline becomes noticeable.
5. Concluding Comments

Substantial knowledge gaps need addressing in order to formulate actionable evidence-based hypotheses and predictions about the wider implications of climate change for plant-metal interactions across agricultural, non-agricultural, and water-associated systems. As discussed here, there is substantial direct and indirect evidence pointing to large-scale environmental imbalances caused by climatic change that are capable of driving plant stress from metal toxicity to nutrient-metal deficiency. Decades of research into plant-metal interactions of land-only plant communities variously point to dire predictions for a changing climate, where soil acidification, elevated atmospheric temperatures, greater exposure to solar radiation, reduced water availability in many regions, increasing frequencies of drastic weather events such as flooding, stand to detrimentally alter the healthy equilibria of ‘normal’ plant-metal interactions to elicit metal toxicity or trace-metal deficiency. There is early indication that plants highly adapted and evolved to tolerate metal oversupply may be less vulnerable to climate-induced metal toxicity, although more basic data-gathering is necessary for forecasting their long-term health and persistence under changing conditions. Very limited understanding of the potential direct impacts of climatic changes on plant-metal interactions associated with water bodies preliminarily suggests warming-enhanced metal accumulation for water plants, and reduced trace-metal nutrient bioavailability on some river floodplains. A global approach incorporating
currently disparate evidence of the potential long term destabilising effects of climate change on ‘normal’ healthy plant–metal interactions would assist future data-gathering and predictive modeling to address possible scenarios of broad-scale damage.
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