Effect of the allelic variants of aldehyde dehydrogenase ALDH2*2 and alcohol dehydrogenase ADH1B*2 on blood acetaldehyde concentrations
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Abstract
Alcoholism is a complex behavioural disorder. Molecular genetics studies have identified numerous candidate genes associated with alcoholism. It is crucial to verify the disease susceptibility genes by correlating the pinpointed allelic variations to the causal phenotypes. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) are the principal enzymes responsible for ethanol metabolism in humans. Both ADH and ALDH exhibit functional polymorphisms among racial populations; these polymorphisms have been shown to be the important genetic determinants in ethanol metabolism and alcoholism. Here, we briefly review recent advances in genomic studies of human ADH/ALDH families and alcoholism, with an emphasis on the pharmacogenetic consequences of venous blood acetaldehyde in the different ALDH2 genotypes following the intake of various doses of ethanol. This paper illustrates a paradigmatic example of phenotypic verifications in a protective disease gene for substance abuse.
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Introduction
Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) are the principal enzymes responsible for hepatic metabolism of ethanol.1 Human ADH and ALDH constitute complex gene families, exhibiting functional polymorphisms among racial populations. The variant gene alleles ADH1B*2 and ALDH2*2 have been consistently documented to reduce the risk of developing alcohol dependence across ethnic groups.2–4 Alcoholism is a multifactorial, polygenic behavioural disorder involving complex gene–gene and gene–environment interactions.5,6 The pharmacological and toxicological effects of ethanol are dependent on the duration of exposure and the concentrations of ethanol and its metabolite acetaldehyde attained in body fluids and tissue within that period. Recent pharmacogenetic and pharmacodynamic studies support the notion that full protection against alcoholism in ALDH2*2/*2 homozygotes may derive from either abstinence or deliberate moderation in alcohol consumption. This might be due to prior experience with strong unpleasant reactions caused by persistently elevated blood acetaldehyde after ingesting a small amount of alcohol. In addition, these studies have shown that partial protection against the disease in ALDH2*1/*2 heterozygotes can be ascribed to the significantly
lower acetaldehyde accumulation in blood than is observed in variant homozygotes after low to moderate intake of alcohol. This paper focuses on recent advances in genomic studies of human ethanol metabolism and alcoholism, highlighting the phenotypic manifestations with blood acetaldehyde in correlation with the pertinent allelic variations of alcohol metabolic genes.

**Genetics of ADH/ALDH and alcoholism**

The genes of the human ADH family cluster in a region of chromosome 4q21 spanning ~370 kilobases (kb). The family members have been classified into five groups, designated ADH1–ADH5, on the basis of their structural and functional characteristics. Positional identities of the amino acid sequence range from 60 to 70 per cent between classes and over 90 per cent within the class. Class I–IV ADH isozymes display markedly different kinetic properties for ethanol oxidation (Table 1). Catalytic functions of class V ADH remain unknown owing to its extremely labile activity. ADH1B allozymes differ from each other by a single amino acid, resulting from a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) — ADH1B1 (Arg47, Arg369), ADH1B2 (His47, Arg369) and ADH1B3 (Arg47, Cys369). ADH1C allozymes exhibit two amino acid exchanges (Arg271 and Ile349 for ADH1C1; Gln271 and Val349 for ADH1C2) owing to the separate corresponding SNPs. X-ray crystallographic studies indicate that the amino acid residues 47, 271 and 369 are located at the coenzyme binding site and can influence the catalytic function of the allozymes. Distribution of the functional polymorphisms of ADH1B and ADH1C genes show ethnic distinctions. The ADH1B*1 allele is prevalent among Caucasians and Native Americans. ADH1B*2 is predominant among East Asians, including Han Chinese, Japanese and Koreans, and is also relatively high among Filipinos, Malays and the aborigines of Taiwan, Australia and New Zealand. ADH1B*3 is found in black populations and Native Americans.

The human ALDH superfamily comprises ten families, mapped to 11 chromosomes. Amino acid sequence identities are approximately 40 per cent between families and approximately 60 per cent or higher between subfamilies. The superfamily contains divergently related enzymes that metabolise a wide spectrum of endogenous and exogenous aldehydes. Based on kinetic mechanisms for catalysis, ALDH1A1, ALDH2 and ALDH3A1 are the first representatives of the class I, II, and III ALDHs, respectively. ALDH1A1 and ALDH2 primarily contribute to the in vivo metabolism of acetaldehyde, a direct metabolite of ethanol (Table 1). ALDH1B1 may also participate in acetaldehyde oxidation but the kinetic parameters have yet to be determined. There are two ALDH2 allozymes — ALDH2E (also designated E4, where E stands for normal type subunit Glu487) and ALDH2K (K4, where K stands for variant type subunit Lys487). The ALDH2 allozymes exhibit an 800-fold difference in catalytic efficiency, $V_{\text{max}}/K_m$, for acetaldehyde oxidation. A molecular model for partial dominance of the variant ALDH2 subunit in the tetrameric molecule has been proposed. The model is based on the dimer-of-the-dimers structure and the half-of-the-site reactivity of genetically engineered recombinant enzymes. The KK dimer in the tetrameric molecule appears to be inactive in the cell owing to the extremely high $K_m$ for nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) and the diminished $V_{\text{max}}$. The EK dimer appears to be inactive owing to the dominance of the K subunit over the E subunit through half-of-the-site reactivity. Assuming that the association of the E and K subunits is random, a mixture of the homo- and het erotetrameric molecules in ALDH2*1/*2 heterozygotes can be described by the binomial expansion $E_4 : E_3K : E_2K_2 : EK_3 : K_4 = 1 : 4 : 6 : 4 : 1$. This partial dominance model predicts that the residual activity of $E_4$, $E_3K$ and $E_2K_2$ in heterozygotes would account for 25 per cent of the total activity in normal ALDH2*1/*1 homozygotes. For comparison, the co-dominant and complete dominant molecular models predict that the residual activity of ALDH2 in heterozygotes would be 50 per cent and 6.25 per cent, respectively. Further
studies are needed to compare the activities and protein content of ALDH2 in human liver tissues with three different ALDH2 genotypes, to corroborate the proposed molecular mechanism for the negative dominance of ALDH2*. The glutamic acid/lysine exchange at position 487 is caused by a single nucleotide G/A transition in exon 12 of ALDH2 on chromosome 12. The allele frequencies of variant ALDH2*2 are 16–35 per cent in the Han Chinese, Japanese, Koreans and Vietnamese, and 1–10 per cent in Tibetans, Mongolians, Thais, Malays, Filipinos and Taiwanese aborigines.3,12 ALDH2*2 rarely occurs in other ethnic groups, for example, Caucasians, black populations and American Indians.

To date, the most widely replicated candidate genes that contribute to alcoholism susceptibility are polymorphisms in the alcohol-metabolising enzymes — that is, ADH1B and ALDH2.1–3 Homozygosity of variant allele ADH1B*2 per se can reduce the risk of alcohol dependence by eight-fold in East Asians,19 whereas ALDH2*2 homozygosity appears to be almost completely protective against the disease.20–22 Multiple logistic regression analyses suggest that ADH1B*2 and ALDH2*2 may independently influence vulnerability to alcoholism.19,21,22 The variant ADH1B*3 allele has recently been shown to have a significant protective effect on the risk for alcoholism in African-Americans and Native Americans.23,24 The ADH1C*1 allele, which is in linkage disequilibrium with ADH1B*2, does not appear to have independent protective effects against alcoholism in East Asians.19,25 The null effect is compatible with a much smaller difference in V_max for ADH1C allozymes, compared with the difference between ADH1B allozymes (Table 1). Recent genetic association and linkage studies have screened a large number of SNPs, most in the non-coding sequences (intronic, gene-flanking and intergenic) and only a few in the coding sequences across the entire region containing the seven ADH genes, suggesting that in addition to ADH1B, ADH1A, ADH2, ADH3 and ADH4 also affect the risk for alcoholism.23,26,27 The contribution of individual candidate ADH genes may be small and an interaction between the genes may also exist, influencing disease

Table 1. Human ADH and ALDH family members involved in the metabolism of ethanol

| Enzyme | Class | Gene locus | Allelic variant | Subunit composition | K_m (mM) | V_max (U/mg) | V_max/K_m (U/mg/mM) |
|--------|-------|------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|
| ADH | I | ADH1A | αα | 5.2 | 0.24 | 0.047 |
| ADH1B | ADH1B*1 | β1β1 | 0.016 | 0.18 | 12 |
| ADH1B*2 | β2β2 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 2.6 |
| ADH1B*3 | β3β3 | 53 | 3.2 | 0.060 |
| ADH1C | ADH1C*1 | γ1γ1 | 0.11 | 0.81 |
| ADH1C*2 | γ2γ2 | 0.061 | 0.47 |
| II | ADH2 | ππ | 23 | 0.30 | 0.013 |
| III | ADH3 | XX | 3,400 | 0.83 |
| IV | ADH4 | μμ(σσ) | 58 | 11 | 0.19 |
| ALDH | I | ALDH1A1 | Tetramer | 0.033 | 0.63 | 19 |
| II | ALDH2 | ALDH2*1 | Tetramer(E4) | 0.00020 | 0.60 | 3,000 |
| ALDH2*2 | Tetramer(K4) | 0.0046 | 0.017 | 3.6 |

Enzyme activity was determined in 0.1M sodium phosphate, at pH 7.5 and 25°C. Samples contained 0.5 mM nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD; ie a cytosolic oxidised coenzyme concentration in hepatocytes), and various concentrations of ethanol for alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) or of acetaldehyde for aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH). γ1/γ2 and γ1/γ2 exhibit negative and positive cooperativity, respectively; hence they do not have a K_m and the values shown are S_0.5. Enzyme activity units (U) are expressed as micromoles NADH formed per minute under the assay conditions. Data are from Yin and Agarwal,1 Yin et al9 and Lee et al.10
susceptibility. A functional window has been proposed for assessing protection against alcoholism by allelic variations of the ADH family genes, which is based on hepatic activity differences for ADH1B allozymes and for ADH1C allozymes as the thresholds of effective protection and null protection, respectively.28 Numerous SNPs have been identified in the genes of human ALDH1A1 and ALDH2.15 Thus far, ALDH2*2 is the only variant that has been proven to be causally associated with alcoholism.3,29–31

Pharmacogenetics of ethanol metabolism

The liver is the major organ for ethanol metabolism.1,16,32 Acetaldehyde concentrations in hepatocytes depend on both the rate of generation (ie ethanol oxidation by ADHs) and the rate of removal (ie acetaldehyde oxidation by ALDHs). The functional polymorphic variants of ADH/ALDH genes can alter the metabolic balance of acetaldehyde. It is generally accepted that the high-activity ADH isozymes/allozymes accelerate acetaldehyde production, while the low-activity ALDH2 allozymes slow down its degradation. Cytosolic class I ADH1A, 1B, 1C, class II and III ADHs, as well as cytosolic ALDH1A1 and mitochondrial ALDH2, participate in human hepatic ethanol metabolism. The relative contributions of ADH/ALDH isozymes/allozymes are primarily determined by the respective kinetic parameters, the tissue isozyme composition and contents, and the cellular ethanol/acetaldehyde concentrations.10,33 At low ethanol levels, ADH1B (except for 1B3) and ADH1C isozymes/allozymes are active in the liver owing to the low $K_m$ (Table 1). At higher ethanol levels, the high-$K_m$ ADH1A, ADH1B3 and ADH2 become active. ALDH2 plays a major role in the hepatic oxidation of acetaldehyde. This is mainly based on its lowest $K_m$ for acetaldehyde (0.20 μM) (Table 1) and the subcellular location that is directly linked to the electron transport system coupled with oxidative phosphorylation in the mitochondria. Cytosolic ALDH1A1 may also contribute to acetaldehyde catabolism, since its $K_m$ (33 μM) is comparable with peak acetaldehyde levels (~15 μM) in hepatic venous blood after ingestion of 0.8 g/kg ethanol.34 Gastric first-pass metabolism can influence the bioavailability of ethanol.32 ADH1C, ADH3 and ADH4 are expressed in the human stomach and may contribute to ethanol oxidation with quantitative significance.10,33,35

The functional polymorphism of ALDH2 dramatically influences the blood acetaldehyde concentration in the cubital vein in East Asians after alcohol consumption (Table 2). For the homozygous ALDH2*2/*2 genotype, the peak blood acetaldehyde concentrations are 1.6–3.3-fold higher than in ALDH2*1/*2 heterozygotes following a low to a moderate intake of ethanol. Both homozygotes and heterozygotes show increased blood acetaldehyde when the ethanol dose increases, indicating a more rapid formation of acetaldehyde with higher alcohol intake. The peak blood alcohol concentrations after ingestion of 0.2 g/kg and 0.5 g/kg ethanol in ALDH2*2 homozygotes are 4.1 mM and 12 mM, respectively.21,29 The increased ethanol oxidation rate at higher alcohol concentrations can be attributed to the contributions of hepatic high-$K_m$ ADH1A and ADH2.10,33 It is worth noting that cytosolic ALDH1A1 is mainly responsible for acetaldehyde oxidation in the variant ALDH2*2 homozygotes because of a lack of ALDH2 activity. In heterozygotes, the hepatic residual ALDH2 activity also contributes significantly to the degradation of acetaldehyde. This could explain the much lower blood acetaldehyde found in heterozygotes than in variant homozygotes. Notably, at 130 minutes after drinking a relatively small amount of ethanol (0.2 g/kg), variant homozygotes still exhibit blood acetaldehyde levels (17 ± 3 μM; mean ± SE) similar to that of the peak concentrations (24 ± 1 μM) of the heterozygotes.29 This suggests that the full protection against alcoholism by ALDH2*2/*2 homozygosity can be pharmacogenetically ascribed to a prolonged and large accumulation of acetaldehyde in the blood, which results from an almost complete loss of ALDH2 activity in the liver. After challenge with a moderate dose of ethanol (0.5 g/kg), however, heterozygotes can exhibit a comparable blood acetaldehyde level (76 μM) to that of variant...
homozygotes (75 μM) receiving 0.2 g/kg ethanol.29,31 This may explain the partial protection against alcoholism by ALDH2*1/*2 heterozygosity, being due to a significantly faster elimination and hence lower accumulation of acetaldehyde resulting from the residual activity of hepatic ALDH2, thus allowing heterozygotes to tolerate small amounts of alcohol. Interestingly, following alcohol ingestion in East Asians and Caucasians with the normal ALDH2*1/*1 genotype, blood acetaldehyde concentrations are near or below the detection limit.29–31,36,37 This reflects that ALDH2 and ALDH1A1 can efficiently oxidise most of the acetaldehyde derived from ethanol in the liver, and that peripheral tissues, including red blood cells, can eliminate trace amounts of acetaldehyde entering the circulation in normal homozygotes. Although the ADH1B2 allozyme exhibited a higher activity for ethanol oxidation, and individuals carrying the ADH1B*2 allele showed higher alcohol elimination rates,10,38 combinatorial genotype studies demonstrate that ADH1B polymorphism does not reveal significant differences in blood acetaldehyde levels in ALDH2*1/*1 or ALDH2*1/*2 genotypes (Table 2). This suggests that the increased generation of acetaldehyde from hepatic ADH1B2 can be effectively metabolised by the hepatic and extrahepatic low-Km ALDHs. Notably, the ADH1B polymorphism per se does not

| Ethanol dose (g/kg) | Combinatorial genotype | Subject number | Mean peak acetaldehyde (μM) | Author |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 0.2                 | *1/*1                  | 6              | 1.0                         | Peng et al.29 |
| 0.2                 | *1/*1                  | 6              | 24                          | Peng et al.29 |
| 0.2                 | *2/*2                  | 6              | 75                          | Peng et al.29 |
| 0.3                 | *1/*1                  | 6              | 0.3                         | Peng et al.30 |
| 0.3                 | *1/*1                  | 6              | 0.2                         | Peng et al.30 |
| 0.3                 | *1/*1                  | 6              | 60                          | Peng et al.30 |
| 0.3                 | *1/*1                  | 6              | 60                          | Peng et al.30 |
| 0.4                 | *1/*1                  | 5              | 3.3                         | Mizoi et al.36 |
| 0.4                 | *1/*1                  | 8              | 4.8                         | Mizoi et al.36 |
| 0.4                 | *1/*1                  | 20             | 4.2                         | Mizoi et al.36 |
| 0.4                 | *1/*1                  | 4              | 23                          | Mizoi et al.36 |
| 0.4                 | *1/*1                  | 9              | 23                          | Mizoi et al.36 |
| 0.4                 | *1/*1                  | 16             | 24                          | Mizoi et al.36 |
| 0.5                 | *1/*1                  | 6              | 79                          | Mizoi et al.36 |
| 0.5                 | *1/*1                  | 8              | 3.3                         | Peng et al.31 |
| 0.5                 | *1/*1                  | 8              | 4.0                         | Peng et al.31 |
| 0.5                 | *1/*1                  | 16             | 76                          | Peng et al.31 |
| 0.5                 | *2/*2                  | 1a             | 125                         | Chen et al.21 |

*a alcohol-dependent patient with normal liver function.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; ALDH, aldehyde dehydrogenase.
lead to an appreciable blood acetaldehyde accumulation in normal \textit{ALDH2}*1/*1 homozygotes. The physiological basis for protection by the \textit{ADH1B} variant against alcoholism remains largely unknown and it warrants further investigation.

**Summary and future perspectives**

The allelic variation of \textit{ALDH2} has been shown to be a unique functional polymorphism, which results in loss of enzyme activity in a partially negative dominant fashion. The severity of the inborn error of acetaldehyde metabolism differs markedly between homozygosity and heterozygosity of the variant \textit{ALDH2}*2 allele. The \textit{ALDH2} polymorphism, which commonly occurs in East Asian populations, is, as yet, the strongest genetic modifier of drinking behaviour and risk for alcoholism. The genotypes of \textit{ALDH2}*2 can be causally correlated with the pharmacogenetic and pharmacodynamic phenotypes following intake of various doses of ethanol, providing the first example of functional verification of disease genes for substance abuse. There remain several critical issues that need to be addressed in future human or animal studies: (i) quantitative correlation of acetaldehyde concentrations in the peripheral blood, hepatic venous blood and cerebrospinal fluid in different \textit{ALDH2} genotypes following ethanol intake; (ii) quantitative assessment of the contributions of cytosolic \textit{ALDH1A1} and mitochondrial \textit{ALDH2} in hepatic and extrahepatic tissues under various ethanol/acetaldehyde concentrations; (iii) modelling with the kinetic mechanism-based rate equations and prediction of hepatic ethanol metabolism, particularly the steady-state concentrations of acetaldehyde, by the complex myriad of \textit{ADH}/\textit{ALDH} families.

**Acknowledgments**

The work in the authors’ laboratory was supported by grants 89–2314–B016–28, 92–2314–B016–73 and 96–2320–B016–18–MY3 from the National Science Council, and EX91-8939BP from the National Health Research Institutes, Republic of China.

**References**

1. Yin, S.-J. and Agarwal, D.P. (2001), ‘Functional polymorphism of alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases: Alcohol metabolism, alcoholism, and alcohol-induced organ damage’, in: Agarwal, D.P. and Seitz, H.K. (eds), \textit{Alcohol in Health and Disease}, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, pp. 1–26.
2. Yin, S.-J., Lee, S.-L., Han, C.-L. et al. (2006), ‘Pharmacogenetic determinants of alcohol metabolism and alcoholism in the human alcohol dehydrogenase family’, in: Weiner, H., Lindahl, R., Papp, B.V. et al. (eds), \textit{Enzymology and Molecular Biology of Carboxyl Metabolism 12}, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, pp. 161–170.
3. Yin, S.-J. and Peng, G.-S. (2005), ‘Overview of ALDH polymorphism: Relation to cardiovascular effects of alcohol’, in: Preedy, V.R. and Watson, R.R. (eds), \textit{Comprehensive Handbook of Alcohol Related Pathology}, Elsevier Academic, London, Vol. 1, pp. 409–424.
4. Zintzaras, E., Stefanidis, I., Santos, M. et al. (2006), ‘Do alcohol-metabolizing enzyme gene polymorphisms increase the risk of alcoholism and alcoholic liver disease?’, \textit{Hepatology} Vol. 43, pp. 352–361.
5. Li, T.-K., Yin, S.-J., Crabbe, D.W. et al. (2001), ‘Genetic and environmental influences on alcohol metabolism in humans’, \textit{Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.} Vol. 25, pp. 136–144.
6. Edenberg, H.J. and Foroud, T. (2006), ‘The genetics of alcoholism: Identifying specific genes through family studies’, \textit{Addict. Biol.} Vol. 11, pp. 386–396.
7. Yin, S.-J. and Peng, G.-S. (2007), ‘Acetaldehyde, polymorphisms and the cardiovascular system’, \textit{Novartis Found. Symp.} Vol. 285, pp. 52–63; discussion, pp. 63–68.
8. Dueter, G., Farres, J., Felder, M.R. et al. (1999), ‘Recommended nomenclature for the vertebrate alcohol dehydrogenase gene family’, \textit{Biochem. Pharmacol.} Vol. 58, pp. 389–395.
9. Yin, S.-J., Wang, M.-E., Han, C.-L. et al. (1995), ‘Substrate binding pocket structure of human aldehyde dehydrogenases: A substrate specificity approach’, \textit{Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.} Vol. 372, pp. 9–16.
10. Lee, S.-L., Chau, G.-Y., Yao, C.-T. et al. (2006), ‘Functional assessment of human alcohol dehydrogenase family in ethanol metabolism: Significance of first-pass metabolism’, \textit{Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.} Vol. 30, pp. 1132–1142.
11. Niederhut, M.S., Gibbons, B.J., Perez-Miller, S. et al. (2001), ‘Three-dimensional structures of the three human class I alcohol dehydrogenases’, \textit{Protein Sci.} Vol. 10, pp. 697–706.
12. Agarwal, D.P. and Goedde, H.W. (1992), ‘Pharmacogenetics of alcohol metabolism and alcoholism’, \textit{Pharmacogenetics} Vol. 2, pp. 48–62.
13. Li, H., Mukherjee, N., Soundararajan, U. et al. (2007), ‘Geographically separate increases in the frequency of the derived \textit{ADH}^*B^*47 His allele in Eastern and Western Asia’, \textit{Am. J. Hum. Genet.} Vol. 81, pp. 842–846.
14. Vashilou, V., Bairoch, A., Tipton, K.F. et al. (1999), ‘Eukaryotic aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) genes: Human polymorphisms, and recommended nomenclature based on divergent evolution and chromosomal mapping’, \textit{Pharmacogenet.} Vol. 9, pp. 421–434.
15. Sophos, N.A., Black, W.J. and Vashilou, V. (2006), ‘An update of the \textit{ALDH} superfamily’, in: Weiner, H., Lindahl, R., Papp, B.V. et al. (eds), \textit{Enzymology and Molecular Biology of Carboxyl Metabolism 12}, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, pp. 3–7.
16. Deitrich, R.A., Petersen, D. and Vashilou, V. (2007), ‘Removal of acetaldehyde from the body’, \textit{Novartis Found. Symp.} Vol. 285, pp. 23–40; discussion pp. 40–51.
17. Laron, H.N., Zhou, J., Chen, Z. et al. (2007), ‘Structural and functional consequences of coenzyme binding to the inactive Asian variant of mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenases: Roles of residues 475 and 487’, \textit{J. Biol. Chem.} Vol. 282, pp. 12940–12950.
18. Zhou, J. and Weiner, H. (2000), ‘Basal for half-of-the-site reactivity and the dominance of the K487 Oriental subunit over the E487 subunit in heterotetrameric human liver mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase’, \textit{Biochemistry} Vol. 39, pp. 12019–12024.
19. Chen, C.-C., Lu, R.-B., Chen, Y.-C. et al. (1999), ‘Interaction between the functional polymorphism of the alcohol-metabolism genes in protection against alcoholism’, \textit{Am. J. Hum. Genet.} Vol. 65, pp. 795–807.
20. Higuchi, S., Matsushita, S., Imazeki, H. et al. (1994), ‘Aldehyde dehydrogenase genotypes in Japanese alcoholics’, Lancet Vol. 343, pp. 741–742.
21. Chen, Y.-C., Lu, R.-B., Peng, G.-S. et al. (1999), ‘Alcohol metabolism and cardiovascular response in an alcoholic patient homozygous for the ALDH2*2 variant gene allele’, Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. Vol. 23, pp. 1853–1860.
22. Kim, D.J., Choi, I.G., Park, B.L. et al. (2008), ‘Major genetic components underlying alcoholism in Korean population’, Hum. Mol. Genet. Vol. 17, pp. 854–858.
23. Edenberg, H.J., Xuei, X., Chen, H.-J. et al. (2006), ‘Association of alcohol dehydrogenase genes with alcohol dependence: A comprehensive analysis’, Hum. Mol. Genet. Vol. 15, pp. 1539–1549.
24. Wäll, T.L., Carr, L.G. and Ehlers, C.L. (2003), ‘Protective association of genetic variation in alcohol dehydrogenase with alcohol dependence in native American mission Indians’, Am. J. Psychiatry Vol. 160, pp. 41–46.
25. Choo, I.-G., Son, H.-G., Yang, B.-H. et al. (2005), ‘Scanning of genetic effects of alcohol metabolism gene (ADH1B and ADH1C) polymorphisms on the risk of alcoholism’, Hum. Mutat. Vol. 26, pp. 224–234.
26. Luo, X., Kranzler, H.R., Zuo, L. et al. (2006), ‘Diploide type trend regression analysis of the ADH gene cluster and the ALDH2 gene: Multiple significant associations with alcohol dependence’, Am. J. Hum. Genet. Vol. 78, pp. 973–987.
27. Kuo, P.-H., Kalsi, G., Prescott, C.A. et al. (2008), ‘Association of ADH and ALDH genes with alcohol dependence in the Irish affected sib pair study of alcohol dependence (Iaspsad) sample’, Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. Vol. 32, pp. 785–795.
28. Lee, S.-L., Hoög, J.-O. and Yin, S.-J. (2004), ‘Functionality of allelic variations in human alcohol dehydrogenase gene family: Assessment of a functional window for protection against alcoholism’, Pharmacogenetics Vol. 14, pp. 725–732.
29. Peng, G.-S., Wang, M.-E, Chen, C.-Y. et al. (1999), ‘Involvement of acetaldehyde for full protection against alcoholism by homozygosity of the variant allele of mitochondrial aldehyde dehydrogenase gene in Asians’, Pharmacogenet. Vol. 9, pp. 463–476.
30. Peng, G.-S., Yin, J.-H., Wang, M.-F. et al. (2002), ‘Alcohol sensitivity in Taiwanese men with different alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase genotypes’, J. Formos. Med. Assoc. Vol. 101, pp. 769–774.
31. Peng, G.-S., Chen, Y.-C., Tsao, T.-P. et al. (2007), ‘Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic basis for partial protection against alcoholism in Asians heterozygous for the variant ALDH2*2 gene allele’, Pharmacogenet. Genomics Vol. 17, pp. 845–855.
32. Crabb, D.W., Matsumoto, M., Chang, D. et al. (2004), ‘Overview of the role of alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase and their variants in the genesis of alcohol-related pathology’, Proc. Nutr. Soc. Vol. 63, pp. 49–63.
33. Yin, S.-J., Lee, S.-L., Yao, C.-T. et al. (2007), ‘Functional roles of alcohol dehydrogenases in human ethanol metabolism’, in: Weiner, H., Lindahl, R., Plapp, B.V. et al. (eds), Enzymology and Molecular Biology of Carbonyl Metabolism 13, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, pp. 134–143.
34. Neumark, Y.D., Friedlander, Y., Durst, R. et al. (2004), ‘Alcohol dehydrogenase polymorphisms influence alcohol-elimination rates in a male Jewish population’, Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. Vol. 28, pp. 10–14.