Liquid-liquid extraction of ferric ions into the ionic liquids
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Abstract: (200 words) Imidazolium ionic liquids containing acetylacetone, thenoyltrifluoroacetone, or 8-hydroxyquinoline, respectively, were used as the extracting agents for the separation of traces of iron (III) from its aqueous solutions with or without citric and oxalic acids. The results show that 8-hydroxyquinoline in imidazolium ionic liquids extract iron quantitatively from all the tested solutions including complexing ones, regardless indications of unexpected iron behavior/speciation.
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1. Introduction

At present days, ionic liquids become increasingly interesting for researchers in a wide range of fields. They play an important role for many current technologies from hydrometallurgy to food processing [1]. These substances gained attention of scientists due to their unique and tunable properties depending on the exact structure of the anion and cation [2]. Among their advantages, wide liquid range, high thermal stability, low melting points, and a near absence of vapor pressure may be listed, too [3].

Although the possibility of tuning the properties of ionic liquids can lead to their specific use, ionic liquids can also be used as a substitute for conventional organic solvents, when they may be used in nearly any area where the conventional molecular solvents are traditionally employed. Liquid-liquid extraction is being one of the most evident application for the purpose of purifying or concentrating the substances [1] and it also seems to be very promising way for the separation of metals and radionuclides in the treatment of an industrial waste.

The imidazolium ionic liquids are usually used as solvents for suitable extracting agents and they have been successfully tested in the extraction of a variety of metals [1,4]; in many cases the combination with extracting agent caused the synergistic effect. [5]. Additional advantages of this group of ionic liquids are their acceptable viscosity of the ones with short carbon chains, and relatively high (electro)chemical stability, what allows various possibilities for their regeneration [5-8].

Extraction of iron from high to trace concentrations plays important role in many of the fields mentioned above, i.e. waste streams treatment, determination of iron in minerals, separation and purification of radionuclide solutions, in water treatment, organic synthesis, and many others. [3,5]

Main aim of the research was to study the extraction of Fe (III) in trace concentrations into the selected ionic liquids with various extracting agents, from nitric acid aqueous solution and in the presence of complexing agents in the aqueous phase.

2. Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Five ionic liquids, listed below, were purchased from Iolitec, Germany (high-purity grade, 99%) and used without additional purification.

1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyl) imide - [C₃mim][NTf₂]
1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyle) imide - [C₄mim][NTf₂]
1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyle) imide - [C₆mim][NTf₂]
Methyltributylammonium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyle) imide - [N₁₈₈][NTf₂]
Tributylmethylammonium bis (trifluoromethanesulfonyle) imide - [N₁₄₄₄][NTf₂]

For comparison with classic VOC representatives, benzene (≥ 99.7%, Lachema, Brno) and chloroform (pure, Lachema Brno) were used.

As the extraction agent, acetylacetone (AcAc, purity ≥ 98 %, Carl Roth GmbH + Co. KG), 8-hydroxyquinoline (8HQ, SIGMA-ALDRICH CHEMIE GmbH 99%) and thenoyltri-fluoroacetone (TTA, purity 99 %, SIGMA-ALDRICH CHEMIE GmbH) were tested.

Nitric acid, hydrochloric acid, sodium tetraborate decahydrate and citric acid (H₃Cit) (Lach-Ner s.r.o., Neratovice, p.a.), sodium dihydrogenphosphate, sodium hydroxide (Penta, Prague, p.a.), and sodium hydrogenphosphate, and oxalic acid (H₂Ox) (Lachema Brno, p.a.) were used for preparation of aqueous phase.

The aqueous phase was spiked with stock solution of ⁵⁹Fe prepared from commercially available radionuclide solution of carrier-free ⁵⁹FeCl₃ in 0.5M HCl, with reference activity A = 37 MBq (99%, Perkin Elmer).

**Instrumentation and methods**

Organic phase was prepared by dissolving of appropriate amount of extracting agent in respective ionic liquid or benzene or chloroform.

The aqueous phase varied according to the needs of the study:
- HNO₃ in concentration of 0.001-1.5 mol.L⁻¹ or
- 0.2M phosphate buffer or
- 0.1M borate buffer or
- 0.01M H₂Ox or 0.005M H₃Cit or the mixture of 0.01M H₂Ox and 0.005M H₃Cit

In all cases, the distribution ratio (D) and the percent of extraction (E) were calculated from net count rates of the analyzed nuclide in the aqueous and organic phases measured at the same conditions, Eq. (1).

\[
D = \frac{c_{\text{org}}}{c_{\text{aq}}} \approx \frac{I_{\text{org}}}{I_{\text{aq}}} \quad E = 100 \left( \frac{c_{\text{org}}}{c_{\text{tot}}} \approx \frac{I_{\text{org}}}{I_{\text{tot}} + I_{\text{org}}} \right)
\]

where \( c_{\text{org}}, c_{\text{aq}} \) are concentrations and \( I_{\text{org}}, I_{\text{aq}} \) net specific count rates in the aqueous and organic phases, respectively, and \( c_{\text{tot}} \) total concentration of analyte. The maximum and minimum determinable distribution ratios (\( D_{\text{max}}, D_{\text{min}} \)) and percent of extraction (\( E_{\text{max}}, E_{\text{min}} \)) were calculated using count rate limits of detection for each experimental set [9].

The uncertainty of the distribution ratios was evaluated as a combined uncertainty of the statistics of the measurement and pipetting and it did not exceed 5% in all experiments.

Extraction experiments were performed as follows:
1. Equal volumes (1 mL) of organic and aqueous phases were contacted in Eppendorf microvials and shaken for 30 minutes at laboratory temperature.
2. Aqueous and organic phases were separated by centrifugation (5 min at 700 RCF)
3. 200 µl aliquots were taken from both phases and measured in a well-type 2” NaI (TI) scintillation detector connected to a NV 3102 single channel counter.
4. After the separation of phases, the equilibrium pH values of the aqueous phase were measured using digital pH meter PHM240 equipped with combination Red-Rod glass electrode.

3. Results and Discussions

Pre-experimental screening confirmed the presumption of negligible iron(III) extraction into pure imidazolium ionic liquid. Therefore, the selection of extractants based on
their solubility in the tested ILs, and their extraction abilities was performed. Based on the results, acetylacetone (AcAc), 8-hydroxyquinoline (8HQ) and thenoyltrifluoroacetone (TTA) were selected for detailed extraction experiments including parameters strongly influencing the extraction, such as pH of aqueous phase, different type of ionic liquids, the composition of organic phase, concentration of extractant, and presence of organic complexing agents in aqueous phase, were studied in details.

**Acetylacetone**

As it is shown at Figure 1.(a), the trend of the extraction dependence on pH value with acetylacetone as the extracting agent (pKa = 8.82) [10] is similar for all used solvents. The highest extraction was achieved in the pH range 4-9, and around pH 11, the drop of the E was observed. In the pH range with maximal extraction, the E of Fe(III) extraction into acetylacetone in different solvents increased in the order of $[\text{NTf}_2]\text{[N1444]} < [\text{NTf}_2]\text{[N1888]} < [\text{NTf}_2]\text{[C4mim]} < [\text{NTf}_2]\text{[C6mim]} < [\text{NTf}_2]\text{[C2mim]} < \text{benzene}$. In this range, more than 98% of iron was extracted into AcAc solution in $[\text{C2mim}][\text{NTf}_2]$. The efficiency of extraction into ammonium based ionic liquids ([N1444][NTf2] and [N1888][NTf2]) is lower - the achieved maximum extraction was no more than 85%. Comparing to benzene as the conventional VOC mainly used for dissolving acetylacetone where almost quantitative extraction was reached, the Fe(III) extraction into selected ionic liquids is less effective.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** The dependence of (a) the percentage of extraction (E) and (b) the distribution ratio (D) on the equilibrium pH value for extraction of ferric ion into 0.5M AcAc in various ionic liquids or in benzene ($V_{org}/V_{aq}=1$, $T=25^\circ C$, t(contact) = 30 min)

The distribution ratio (D) dependence on equilibrium pH (Figure 1.(b)) showed strictly linear behavior in the pH range 0-4. Slopes of these linear trends and related pH1/2 values (pH at equilibrium at which $D = 1$) were calculated (Table 1). The highest slope value was found for the benzene environment, in the case of ILs the slope value as well as the pH1/2 increases with the alkyl chain length of imidazolium ionic liquids (C$_n$mim). In the case of ammonium ionic liquids, the possible trend is hidden within uncertainty interval.

In addition, the dependence of distribution ratio (D) on concentration of extracting agent in IL at constant pH was compared to the same dependence for benzene as a solvent (Figure 2.) and it shows that increasing AcAc concentration in the $[\text{C2mim}][\text{NTf}_2]$ system is less effective when compared to benzene system; ionic liquid influences chelate behavior and related extraction mechanism.
Table 1. Slopes and pH values calculated from the dependence of D on equilibrium pH for extraction of ferric ion into 0.5 M AcAc in various ionic liquids or in benzene (Vorg/Vaq = 1, T = 25°C, t(contact) = 30 min).

| Slope          | pH_{1/2}   |
|----------------|------------|
| [C₄mim][NTf₂]  | 1.19±0.60  |
| [C₆mim][NTf₂]  | 1.33±0.08  |
| [N₁₄₄₄][NTf₂]  | 1.20±0.17  |
| [N₁₈₈₈][NTf₂]  | 1.17±0.03  |
| Benzene        | 1.64±0.05  |

Figure 2. The dependence of the distribution ratio (D) on the concentration of AcAc at constant equilibrium pH value for extraction of ferric ion into AcAc in [C₄mim][NTf₂] or in benzene (Vorg/Vaq = 1, T = 25°C, t(contact) = 30 min).

Thenoyltrifluoroacetone

For this extraction agent (pKₐ = 6.23) [10] only benzene as conventional VOC solvent and [C₄mim][NTf₂] representing ionic liquids were tested. As in the case of AcAc, the trend of the Fe(III) extraction dependences on equilibrium pH for IL and benzene as solvents are similar. The maximum extraction efficiency (98 %) was reached at pH close to 4 (Figure 3.(a)).

Figure 3. The dependence of (a) the percentage of extraction (E) and (b) the distribution ratio (D) on the equilibrium pH value for extraction of ferric ion into 0.1 M TTA in various ionic liquids or in benzene (Vorg/Vaq = 1, T = 25°C, t(contact) = 30 min).
Distribution ratio dependence on equilibrium pH showed several linear sections in logarithmic scale in the pH range 0-4 indicating change of the extraction mechanism (Figure 3.(b)). The outer sections are quite short to characterize, however the middle section allowed to calculate slopes and appropriate pH1/2 values, all summarized in Table 2. It can be seen that the slope achieved for \([\text{C}_4\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]\) is steeper to that achieved for benzene as solvent, but in the tested concentration range TTA in \([\text{C}_4\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]\) provides lower distribution ratios. However, the curve line describing the extraction of Fe(III) into IL and appropriate pH1/2 value are shifted to higher pH values comparing to the extraction into organic phase with benzene.

**Table 2.** Slopes and pH1/2 values calculated from the dependence of D on equilibrium pH for extraction of ferric ion into 0.1M TTA in \([\text{C}_4\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]\) or in benzene (\(\text{V}_{\text{org}}/\text{V}_{\text{aq}} = 1\), \(T = 25^\circ\text{C}\), t(contact) = 30 min).

|                      | \([\text{C}_4\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]\) | benzene |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| slope                | 1.79±0.24                               | 1.82±0.12 |
| pH1/2                | 2.78±0.41                               | 1.91±0.19 |

In addition, the dependences of distribution ratio on concentration of TTA were tested at pH = 2.3 for both solvents (Figure 4). The small difference between the slopes can be seen indicating again different behavior of the chelate in the case of ionic liquid solvent.

**Figure 4.** The dependence of the distribution ratio (D) on the concentration of TTA at constant equilibrium pH value for extraction of ferric ion into TTA in \([\text{C}_4\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]\) or in benzene (\(\text{V}_{\text{org}}/\text{V}_{\text{aq}} = 1\), \(T = 25^\circ\text{C}\), t(contact) = 30 min)

**8-hydroxyquinoline**

The effect of different ionic liquids on the extraction of Fe(III) using amphoteric chelating agent 8-hydroxyquinoline (8HQ) as extracting agent was studied. It is an amphoteric chelating agent with hydration constant \(\text{pK}_H = 5.0\) and dissociation constant \(\text{pK}_A = 9.66\) [11]. As the reference organic solvent, chloroform was used in this case because it is commonly used as the most suitable VOC solvent for 8-hydroxyquinoline [6]. As in the both cases above, the trend of the dependences on the equilibrium pH is similar for all tested solvents (Figure 5.(a)) – imidazolium ionic liquids, ammonium ionic liquids and chloroform. At pH ~ 1, the extraction of iron rises sharply and the maximal extraction (> 99.7 %) is achieved at pH ~ 3 for all solvents. Using ionic liquids, the extraction did not decrease significantly in the studied pH range (up to 9). In chloroform, the slight reduction of extraction at pH > 6 was observed.
The semi-logarithmic dependence of distribution ratio on the equilibrium pH value shows two easily definable sections with different slopes (Figure 5(b)), which suggests that the reaction mechanism is most likely to change. The parameters characterizing the log D dependence on pH value are summarized in Table 3. The effect of alkyl chain length cannot be clearly quantified as well as the trend in pH\(^{1/2}\) values, in all the cases the differences do not exceed the uncertainty interval \(s\). However, for all ionic liquids systems and at the given 8-HQ concentration, the pH\(^{1/2}\) values are higher than in the reference CHCl\(_3\) system.

Table 3. Slopes and pH\(^{1/2}\) values calculated from the dependence of D on equilibrium pH for extraction of ferric ion into 0.1M 8HQ in various ionic liquids or in chloroform \((V_{org}/V_{aq} =1, T= 25^\circ C, t(\text{contact}) = 30 \text{ min})\)

| \([\text{C}_2\text{mim}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | \([\text{C}_4\text{mim}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | \([\text{C}_6\text{mim}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | \([\text{N}_{1444}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | \([\text{N}_{1888}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) | CHCl\(_3\) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| slope \(pH\rightarrow 1.2\) | 3.19±0.26        | 3.82±0.15        | 3.38±0.60        | 2.80±0.19        | (2.97) |
| slope \(pH\rightarrow 2\)  | (0.66)           | 1.00±0.06        | 1.24±0.19        | 1.48±0.18        | 1.44±0.03 |
| pH\(^{1/2}\) | 1.66±0.19        | 1.37±0.08        | 1.35±0.34        | 1.49±0.13        | 1.53±0.05 |

The distribution ratio dependences on various 8HQ concentrations in \([\text{C}_4\text{mim}]\text{[NTf}_2\text{]}\) in logarithmic scales at two different equilibrium pH values were measured (Figure 6). From these data, the slopes of these dependences were calculated. At lower pH the slope is between 1.5 and 2 (1.78±0.12), but at higher pH value, it is close to one (0.95±0.03). This indicates change in the number of 8-HQ in the extracted species above pH ~2.
Figure 6. The dependence of the distribution ratio \(D\) on the concentration of 8HQ at constant equilibrium pH value for extraction of ferric ion into 8HQ in \([\text{C}4\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]\) \((V_{\text{org}}/V_{\text{aq}} = 1, T = 25^\circ\text{C}, t(\text{contact}) = 30\text{ min})\)

Extraction from aqueous solution containing common complexing agents

As the representatives of the substances widely known as complicating the iron extraction, namely in trace concentrations, citric acid and oxalic acid were selected. All experiments were performed with solution of single acids or their mixture at the natural initial pH of the solutions \((\text{pH} = 2.1-3)\). Based on the results above, \([\text{C}4\text{mim}][\text{NTf}_2]\) was used as a representative of ionic liquids and for comparison, the respective classical solvents were used.

All experimental results are summarized in Table 4. It can be seen that the effect of citric acid suppressing iron extraction is much smaller than that of oxalic acid in all tested systems. And – as supposed – with increasing concentration of complexing acid less iron is extracted. Any synergy or antergy was not observed between the complexing acids in the range of E uncertainties.

AcAc was showed to be strong enough to extract Fe(III) from the solution of citric acid. Comparing to the extraction using benzene as the solvent, the extraction was up to 10% lower with respect to the concentration of citric acid. In the case of oxalic acid and the mixture, the extraction did not exceed 6%. In this system, the use of ionic liquids and combination with AcAc is not bringing any advantage comparing to the AcAc solution in benzene; and AcAc is in both case not strong enough to pass complexing abilities of citric and namely oxalic acid.

Although iron is extracted relatively efficiently from HNO3 with TTA, its extraction ability when using oxalic and/or citric acid solutions is relatively small. Even increase of TTA concentration did not result in effective Fe(III) extraction.

The extraction with 8HQ was much more efficient than with acetylacetone and TTA. At extractant concentration of 0.5 mol.L\(^{-1}\), complete extraction was achieved from all solutions, including oxalic acid solutions and a mixture of both complexing acids. Additionally, it can be clearly seen that the extraction into ionic liquid is much more efficient than into CH\(_3\)Cl.
Table 4. The effect of citric and oxalic acids on the extraction of ferric ion into various organic phase 
\((V_{org}/V_{aq} = 1, T = 25^\circ C, t(\text{contact}) = 30\text{ min}).\)

|                     | No complexant | HClit | HClit | 0.005M HClit + 0.01M HClit |
|---------------------|---------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|
|                     | HClit | 0.005M | 0.01M | 0.005M | 0.01M |
| 0.5M AcAc in benzene pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | 97.5±0.5 % | 98.9±0.4 % | 95.2±0.4 % | 2.3±0.1 % | 5.2±0.1 % | 5.1±0.1 % |
| 0.5M AcAc in [C\(_4\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | 94.7±0.5 % | 91.5±0.4 % | 85.8±0.4 % | 0.4±0.1 % | 0.1±0.1 % | 0.1±0.1 % |
| 0.1M TTA in [C\(_4\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | 33.8±0.2 % | 3.3±0.1 % | 0.2±0.1 % | 4.3±0.1 % | 0.4±0.1 % | 0.2±0.1 % |
| 0.4M TTA in [C\(_4\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | 87.0±1.1 % | 15.1±0.1 % | 2.9±0.1 % | 14.9±0.1 % | 1.1±0.1 % | 1.5±0.1 % |
| 0.1M 8HQ in CHCl\(_3\) pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | 22.3±1.3 % | 6.7±1.2 % | 5.9±0.1 % |
| 0.1M 8HQ in [C\(_4\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | 87.2±0.4 % | 74.2±0.3 % |
| 0.5M 8HQ in CHCl\(_3\) pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | 97.9±0.5 % | 79.8±0.4 % | 82.0±0.4 % |
| 0.5M 8HQ in [C\(_4\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] pH\(_{\text{eq}}\) | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % | >99.7 % |

4. Conclusions

Our experiments and results covered area of the chelate assisted extraction of iron(III) into ionic liquids. With respect to the aims of the work, most of the measured values are suitable for further investigation and deeper study on water/ionic liquid biphasic systems. The achieved results clearly show that iron (III) is extracted from nitric acid solutions and from given aqueous complexing environment. From the set of chelating agents and regarding organic phase composition, iron is quantitatively extracted with 0.5M 8-hydroxyquinoline solution in [C\(_4\)mim][NTf\(_2\)] at the natural pH of the solution. Such result indicates ability of such system to separate iron and concentrate it from diluted systems without any additions of buffers or mineral acids, which can further influence speciation and purity of the resulting separated product.
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