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Abstract The acetylcholinesterase of Lepidoptera insects is encoded by two genes, ace1 and ace2. The expression of the ace1 gene is significantly higher than that of the ace2 gene, and mutations in ace1 are one of the major reasons for pesticide resistance in insects. In order to investigate the effects of the mutations in ace1’s characteristic sites on pesticide resistance, we generated mutations for three amino acids using site-directed mutagenesis, which were Ala(GCG)303Ser(TCG), Gly(GGA)329Ala(GCA) and Leu (TCT)554Ser(TTC). The Baculovirus expression system was used for the eukaryotic expression of the wild type ace1 (*wace1*) and the mutant ace1 (*mace1*). SDS-PAGE and Western blotting were used to detect the targeting proteins with expected size of about 76 kDa. The expression products were purified for the determination of AChE activity and the inhibitory effects of physostigmine and phoxim. We observed no significant differences in the overall activity of the wild type and mutant AChEs. However, with 10 min of physostigmine (10 lM) inhibition, the remaining activity of the wild type AChE was significantly lower than that of the mutant AChE. Ten min inhibition with 33.4 lM phoxim also resulted in significantly lower remaining activity of the wild type AChE than that of the mutant AChE. These results indicated that mutations for the three amino acids reduced the sensitivity of AChE to physostigmine and phoxim, which laid the foundation for future in vivo studies on AChE’s roles in pesticide resistance.
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Introduction

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE, EC 3.1.1.7) is encoded by the acetylcholinesterase gene (ace), and it maintains the normal transmission of neural impulses in synaptic clefts through catalyzing the hydrolysis of neurotransmitter acetylcholine. AChE is the target enzyme for organophosphorus and carbamate pesticides [1]. These pesticides can bind to AChE and reduce its activity, leading to massive accumulation of postsynaptic membrane acetylcholine, continuous stimulation, biological convulsion and the eventual insect death.

The ace gene’s overexpression and mutations are the main reason of pesticide resistance to organophosphate pesticides. Gao and Zhu found that increased transcription rates and/or stability of the mRNA resulted in elevated levels of AChE mRNA in OP-resistant clones of the greenbug (*Schizaphis graminum*) [2]; the pesticide resistance was increased by the loss of 3 or 5 glutamine residues on the C-terminus of AChE that increased GPI anchor efficiency to recruit more AChE on the plasma membrane. Increased number of GPI-anchored molecules in synaptic clefts may reduce their sensitivity to insecticides [3]. However, it is more widely believed that point mutations accompanied with the changes in kinetic parameters are the
major reason of pesticide resistance [4–7]. Such resistance-related point mutations include the substitutions of key sites in the activity region that have spatial effects, which may change the directivity of active site residues. Indeed, many potential point mutations in the ace gene have been shown to result in the resistance to organophosphorus pesticides [8, 9].

Cao et al. [10] found that the expression level of ace2 was actually higher than that of ace1 in B. mori cell lines. The ace1 gene plays an important role in the cholinergic function and serves as the main target of anticholinesterase insecticides in insects [11]. Baek et al. [12] has reported that the organophosphate-resistant strain of Lepidoptera diamondback moth (Plutella xylostella) ace1 possessed mutations for three amino acids (Ala201Ser, Gly227Ala, and Ala441Gly) in its conserved sequence, which may confer the moths their pesticide resistance. Amino acid sequence analysis revealed that the mutation of Ala441Gly also exists in the ace1 gene in B. germanica; however, Kim et al. [13] reported that B. germanica was not resistant to organophosphorus pesticides. Therefore, this mutation may only play a very limited role, if any, in pesticide resistance. Li et al. [14] discovered that the Leu452Ser mutation in the ace1 gene is unique in the resistant strains of P. xylostella (ACCESSION: AY970293) by comparing the sequences of different insect ace1s, suggesting important correlation between this site and insecticide resistance.

The silkworm (Bombyx mori) is an important economic insect and has also become an important model insect with its finished genomic maps and re-sequencing [15–17]. In 1999, Surendra Nath and Surendra Kumar [18] first used B. mori as a model to study the effects of organophosphate insecticides on AChE’s activity and metabolic levels. In 2007, Seino et al. [19] first cloned and characterized the full length cDNA of the two ace genes in B. mori. Shang et al. [20] first expressed the B. mori Bm-ace1 and Bm-ace2 in eukaryotic cells and demonstrated that the eukaryotic Bm-ace2 was sensitive to organophosphorus pesticides. In 2009, Chen et al. [21] reported the activity of B. mori AChE and the transcript differences of ace1 and ace2. Peng et al. [22] revealed the transcript level changes of ace genes induced by different doses of pesticides, indicating ace1’s important role in pesticide induction. However, no studies have been reported on the functional roles of the mutant sites in B. mori ace1 on organophosphorus pesticide resistance.

Materials and methods

Materials and major reagents

Escherichia coli DH5a and Top10 strains were maintained in our laboratory. The Bac to Bac expression system, Bacmid-containing E. coli strain Ac DH10Bac and the pFastBac™ HT B plasmid were kindly provided by Professor Wenbing Wang at Jiangsu University. The sf9 cells were subcultured in our laboratory.

The DNA polymerase, restriction endonucleases, T4 DNA ligase, and DNA marker were purchased from TaKaRa Biotechnology (Dalian) Co., Ltd. The Pfu DNA polymerase and protein prestained marker were purchased from Fermentas. PCR primers were synthesized in Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Services Co., Ltd. The primary anti-Id tag antibody rabbit IgG and the secondary AP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG were purchased from Abcam Co., Ltd., UK and Santa Cruz Biotech, Inc, USA, respectively. AP Conjugate Substrate Kit (170-6432) was purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories. Insect cell culture medium TC-100 was purchased from Applichem. Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from Hyclone; and Celfectin transfection reagent and the Ni–NTA purification system were purchased from Invitrogen. The chemical Phoxim [O,O-Diethyl O-(alpha-cyanobenzylideneamino)phosphorothioate] was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Company. The physostigmine was purchased from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co., Ltd. Other major reagents were purchased from Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology & Services Co., Ltd.

Methods

Ace1 cloning and site-directed mutagenesis

Three pairs of mutagenesis primers were designed (Table 1) to amplify the PCR product containing Ala(GCG)303Ser(TCG), Gly(GGA)329Ala(GCA) and Leu(TCT)554Ser(TTC) mutation. The Ala303Ser mutation was generated by using pUC-ace1 plasmid as template, F1 and R1 as primers, and Pfu high fidelity enzyme as DNA polymerase. The PCR product was digested with DpnI to eliminate the methylated DNA template but retain the mutant PCR product. Then the product was cloned into pUCmT vector and confirmed by sequencing. F2, R2; F3, R3 primers were used to further amplify the mutant fragment and confirmed by sequencing.

Construction and identification of recombinant vector pFastBac™ HT B-ace1

The ace1-XbaI and ace1-XhoI primers containing restriction endonuclease sites (Table 1) were designed to amplify the ace1 fragment from pUC-ace1 and pUC-mace1, which was later digested by XbaI and XhoI and ligated into pFastBac™ HT B. After transformation into the E. coli DH5a competent cells, positive recombinant plasmids pFastBac™ HT B-ace1 and pFastBac™ HT B-mace1 were selected and digested with XbaI and XhoI to confirm the correct insertion of pFastBac™ HT B.
Construction and identification of recombinant baculovirus plasmids Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1

Recombinant plasmids pFastBac™ HT B-wace1 and pFastBac™ HT B-mace1 were extracted and transformed into E. coli Ac DH10Bac competent cells. Recombinant bacmids Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1 were extracted by the alkali lysis method with blue colonies as negative controls. The upstream and downstream M13 primers were used to identify and screen for the targeting recombinant plasmids Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1.

Transfection of recombinant baculovirus plasmids Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1 into sf9 cells

The confirmed correct Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1 plasmid DNA was transfected into sf9 cells using Celfectin transfection reagent (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer’s manual. After 3–4 days culture at 27 °C, correct growth and morphology of cells were confirmed under an inverted microscope. The sf9 cells transfected with wild type Bacmid and those without transfection were both used as negative controls. The supernatants of transfected cells were collected and saved at 4 °C, and the pellets were centrifuged at 300 g for 5 min to remove cells and debris to obtain the passage 1 (P1) virus. P1 virus was used to re-infect the cells to obtain high titer recombinant virus solutions. The virus titer and the 50 % tissue culture infective dose (TCID50 value, data not shown) were determined by using the end-point dilution method [23].

Detection of recombinant virus expression

The sf9 cells with 72 h Bacmid infection (Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1) were collected along with the negative control cells for SDS-PAGE. SDS-PAGE was performed using electrophoresis cells from Bio-Rad; the separating gel contained 12 % acrylamide, and the stacking gel contained 5 % acrylamide. Routinely, the gels were stained for proteins with Coomassie brilliant blue R250. After proteins were transferred from gels (120 min, 70 V) to PVDF membranes (Millipore, USA) by tank Blotting, the membranes were blocked for 1 h with a 5 % skim milk/TBST solution (0.02 M Tris, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1 % Tween 20, pH 7.5) at 4 °C and then incubated with anti-His-tag antibody (diluted 1:5, 000) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing in TBST for 15 min for three times, the membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with a goat anti rabbit IgG-AP (diluted 1:10, 000 in skim milk/TBST solution). After washing in TBST for 15 min for three times, the blots were stained with the AP Conjugate Substrate Kit following the kit protocol. Then the proteins were purified with the Ni–NTA purification system following the user manual.

Biological activity analysis

The activity assay was evaluated using the method of Ellman et al. [24] with some modifications. The reaction was carried out in 96-well microtiter plates. In detail, the activity of AChE was determined by the acetylcholinesterase measurement kit following the manufacturer’s manual (Nanjing Technology Co., Ltd.). The Substrate buffer was ATC (acetylthiocholine iodide) with different concentrations from 0.05 to 10 mM. Four groups of samples were used in the measurement procedure: the blank control group, the standard control group, the experimental control group and the experimental group. The volume of each component was following Table 2. All components were mixed and incubated for 10 min for measurements at 412 nm in 0.5 optical path. The activity of AChE = [(experimental group – experimental control) × AChE standard concentration]/[(standard control- blank control) × Experimental sample concentration]. Three biological replicates were used in each group. The signals were read with a Multiskan GO microplate reader (Model5111 9200; Thermo Scientific, Nanjing, China) at 412 nm, with one reading per 30 s. The reaction was at 30 °C, and 10 values were recorded for each reaction.

| Primer sequence | Length of product (bp) |
|-----------------|------------------------|
| F1 | TTATCCGTTGAATCATCGGGAGCCGTGTCAG |
| R1 | CTGACACGGCTCCCGATGATTCCACCGAATAA |
| F2 | CTATCATGCAGTCTGCAGCCGCCACTGCTCC |
| R2 | GGAGCAGTGGCCGCTGCAAGCTGATGATAG |
| F3 | GTTGCGGGGAGCCTTCCCAATCCCGGGAAA |
| R3 | TTTCCCGGGATTGGAAGGTCTCCCCGAAC |
| ace1-Xba I | GCTCTAGAATGCGCGTGGTGTTGGCA |
| ace1-Xho I | CGGCTCGAGTTATATGGTGTTATTTGAACGTGC |
| M13 forward | GTTTTCCCAGTCACGAC |
| M13 reverse | CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC |
The data were recorded and processed with the Skanit software (Thermo Scientific), and the values of Km and Vmax were calculated by using the Lineweaver–Burk plots method.

In the detection of remaining activity, 10 µL enzyme solution was mixed with 10 µL physostigmine or phoxim solution at different concentrations in microtiter plates and settled at 37 °C for 10 min for complete binding of the enzyme and its inhibitor. Water was used as control. The remaining activity of AChE was then determined by using the acetylcholinesterase measurement kit following the manufacturer’s manual (Nanjing Technology Co., Ltd.). Three biological replicates were used in each group.

### Statistical Analysis

All results are expressed as mean ± standard error (SE). The significant differences were examined by unpaired Student’s t test using SPSS 19 software (USA). A p-value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

### Results

#### Directed mutagenesis

With plasmid pUC-wace1 as template, the mutant plasmid was generated by directed mutagenesis PCR, digested with DpnI to eliminate the template, and transformed into E. coli DH5α competent cells. The positive colonies were picked and the plasmid DNA was amplified for sequencing. As shown in Fig. 1, the G at position 907 was mutated into T, the G at position 986 was mutated into C, and the C-T at positions 1, 660 and 1, 661 were mutated into T-C, which resulted in the amino acid changes of Ala303Ser, Gly329Ala and Leu554Ser.

#### Construction and identification of pFastBac™ HT B-wace1 and pFastBac™ HT B-mace1

The size of the ace1 gene is 2, 100 bp. As shown in Fig. 2, fragments with expected size of the ace1 gene from PCR amplification with pUC-wace1 and pUC-mace1 as templates were observed after XbaI and XhoI digestion. The size of the donor plasmid pFastBac™ HT B is 4.8 kb. As shown in Fig. 3, a band with expected size of about 2, 100 bp was observed from the XbaI and XhoI digestion of the recombinant plasmids pFastBac™ HT B-wace1 and pFastBac™ HT B-mace1, demonstrating the successful construction of pFastBac™ HT B-ace1.

#### PCR identification of the recombinant baculovirus Bacmid-ace1

Using the recombinant baculovirus plasmids Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1 as templates, the targeting gene of ace1 with the size of 2, 100 bp was PCR amplified with the primer pair of M13 forward/M13 reverse; the successfully transposed Bacmid with the size of 4, 500 bp was PCR amplified with the primer pair of M13 forward/M13 reverse, while the PCR product for the Bacmid without transposition was only 300 bp. As shown in Fig. 4, expected sizes of PCR products were obtained, demonstrating the successful transposition of the ace1 gene.

#### Transfection of recombinant baculovirus plasmids Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1 into sf9 cells

The identified recombinant baculovirus plasmids Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1 were transfected into sf9 cells in logarithmic phase. 7 days after transfection, the cells were observed under an inverted microscope, which
revealed significantly changes that included round shapes, enlarged nucleoli, the formation of intracellular vesicles and apoptotic bodies, and cell detachment and suspension (Fig. 5). The viral supernatants were collected and saved for re-inoculation. The sf9 cells were infected with the P3 virus, and the cells displayed apparent pathological symptoms 72 h later.
Product detection of recombinant virus expression

Sf9 cells were infected with the P3 recombinant viruses Bacmid-wace1 and Bacmid-mace1 (5 × 10^5 cells/well) with empty virus as negative control. The cells were collected after 72 h culture for SDS-PAGE and Western-blotting analyses. As shown in Fig. 6, an expected specific band with the size of about 76 kDa was observed, demonstrating correct expression of the targeted protein. Approximately 0.53 mg of purified AChE was obtained from 100 mL culture solution, and SDS-PAGE analysis revealed only one band for the purified enzyme (Fig. 7), demonstrating sufficiently high purity of the purified AChE for further experiments.

Biological activity of the expression product

Recombinant AChE expressed in the baculovirus system was used for biochemical characterization. Biochemical kinetic assays (Fig. 8) revealed that the Km of wAChE and mAChE was 0.0305 mM and 0.0284 mM for acetylthiocholine, respectively, with the Vmax values of 0.731 and 0.743 μM min⁻¹ mg⁻¹, respectively, demonstrating the preference for acetylthiocholine substrates. These results indicate that the two enzymes have similar kinetic properties.

The inhibition of the two AChEs by physostigmine and phoxim was investigated to reveal the effects of mutations on the enzymic activity. When the physostigmine concentration reached 10 μM, the relative remain activity of the wild-type AChE was 13.84 ± 0.56 %, only 75.41 % of that of the mutant AChE at 18.35 ± 0.71 %, indicating higher
sensitivity of the wild type AChE to acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (Fig. 9). After being treated with 33.4 µM phoxim, the mutant AChE’s relative remain activity was 14.09 ± 0.53 %, about 1.40 times of the wild type AChE’s activity at only 10.06 ± 0.35 %, indicating that the wild type AChE is more sensitive to organophosphorus insecticides and that the mutant AChE has weaker binding ability to phoxim (Fig. 9).

Discussion

Relationship between ace1 function and mutations

Lepidopteran insects have two AChEs, the two enzymes have distinct catalytic properties and responses to different inhibitors, AChE1 is more sensitive than AChE2 to most OP insecticides[25]. In this study, we generated mutations for three amino acids in AChE1, the site of Ala303 is located in the cholinesterase activity center (PGEASAG) and close to the catalytic triad Ser302. Mutations were also
Functional study of the B. mori ace1 mutations.

In recent years, the resistance of Lepidopteran insects to chemical pesticides has increased gradually with their widespread use in agriculture and forestry and has been the focus in the research of pest control. On the other hand, the B. mori is an important economic Lepidopteran insect with low resistance to chemical pesticides because of long-term indoor domestication. Therefore, chemical pesticide contamination has become a serious problem for the sericulture in China [28]. In this study, we constructed plasmids containing different mutant sites of the ace gene, based on the discoveries from the resistant Diamondback moth. The mutant AChE was expressed using the baculovirus expression system. The expressed protein was purified and inhibited by physostigmine and phoxim to measure the remaining enzyme activity. The mutant AChE showed significantly higher remaining enzyme activity than the wild-type, indicating the close relationship between the mutated sites and AChE's sensitivity to physostigmine and phoxim. Due to the complexity of the metabolic resistance at organismic level, further investigations are clearly required to confirm the findings on the pesticide resistance in vivo.

Interaction among mutation sites

It has been reported that either Gly228Ser or Phe439Trp mutation in Tetranychus urticae increased the insensitivity of insects by 26 or 99 times, respectively, while double mutations were able to increase the insensitivity by 1165 times. The Ala391Thr mutation alone did not change the dynamics, although it did inhibit the effects of Phe439Trp mutation [27], indicating an interaction between different ace mutations. In this study, the activity difference between the wild type and mutant AChEs was not significant, suggesting that the interactions among the three mutations may affect the enzymic activity. Further investigations are needed to explore the specific synergy or antagonism among the three mutations.
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