Medial percutaneous hemi-epiphysiodesis improves the valgus tilt of the femoral head in developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) type-II avascular necrosis

Good outcome in 11 hips
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Background and purpose — Avascular necrosis (AVN) is a major cause of disability after treatment of developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH), leading to femoral head deformity, acetabular dysplasia, and osteoarthritis in adult life. Type-II AVN is characterized by retarded growth in the lateral aspect of the physis or by premature lateral fusion, which produces a valgus deformity of the head on the neck of the femur. We investigated the effect of medial percutaneous hemi-epiphysiodesis as a novel technique in the treatment of late-diagnosed type-II AVN.

Patients and methods — 9 patients (11 hips) with a diagnosis of type-II AVN who underwent medial percutaneous hemi-epiphysiodesis after the surgical treatment for DDH were included in the study. 10 patients (12 hips) with the same diagnosis but who did not undergo hemi-epiphysiodesis were chosen as a control group. Preoperative and postoperative articularrochanteric distances, head-shaft angles, CE (center-edge) angles, and physeal inclination angles were measured. The treatment group underwent medial hemi-epiphysiodesis at a mean age of 8 years. The mean ages of the treatment group and the control group at final follow-up were 14 and 12 years respectively. The mean duration of follow-up was 5.7 years in the treatment group and 8.3 years in the control group.

Results — Preoperative articularrochanteric distance, head-shaft angle, and functional outcome at the final follow-up assessment were similar in the 2 groups. However, preoperative and postoperative CE angles and physeal inclination angles differed significantly in the treatment group (p < 0.05). The final physeal valgus angles were better in the treatment group than in the control group (p = 0.05). The treatment group improved after the operation.

Interpretation — Medial percutaneous epiphysiodesis performed through a mini-incision under fluoroscopic control is a worthwhile modality in terms of changing the valgus tilt of the femoral head.

Avascular necrosis (AVN) of the proximal femoral epiphysis is an iatrogenic complication of treatment for developmental dysplasia of the hip (DDH) (Danielsson 2000, Dhar 2003, Domalzki and Synder 2004, Roposch et al. 2013). A late abnormality that may be the manifestation of the lateral portion of the capital femoral growth plate in type-II AVN alters the morphology of hip joint (Kalamchi and MacEwen 1980). When a progressive valgus deformity occurs in a patient with type-II AVN, problems associated with hip dysplasia may follow (Siffert 1981, Campbell and Tarlos 1990, Kim et al. 2000, Wu et al. 2010, Herring 2014). Due to inadequate coverage, reduced contact area between acetabulum and femoral head leads to early secondary osteoarthritis (Aronson 1986, Inoue et al. 2000, Herring 2014).

The treatment decision for type-II AVN is challenging. Procedures such as varus femoral osteotomy and redirectional acetabular osteotomy have been used with a view to preventing future degenerative disease. However, these procedures are technically difficult and may result in serious complications (Siebenrock et al. 2013). On the other hand, as the main pathology is the growth disturbance at the lateral aspect of the femoral head, some form of arrest of the medial portion of the growth plate may be more logical in the treatment of type-II AVN (Herring 2014). We analyzed the radiographic and clinical outcomes of 11 hips in 9 patients with late-diagnosed type-II AVN who underwent percutaneous hemi-epiphysiodesis of the femoral head.

Patients and methods

12 patients (11 of them girls; 14 hips) with a diagnosis of Kalamchi type-II AVN between 1996 and 2002 participated into the study after surgical treatment for DDH. Of these,
1 patient refused surgery and 2 did not appear for follow-up examinations. The remaining 9 patients (8 girls; 11 hips) constituted the treatment group of the study. As a control group, 10 consecutive patients (9 girls; 12 hips) with similar demographics who did not undergo medial hemi-epiphysiodesis were chosen from another clinic. The mean age of the treatment group at the time of operation was 9 years. The mean duration of follow-up was 5.7 years for the treatment group and 8.3 years for the control group. At the time of the final follow-up, the mean age of the treatment group was 14 years and the mean age of the control group was 12 years.

The diagnosis of AVN was done radiographically. Standard AP radiographs of the pelvis were taken with the patients placed supine and both legs in neutral position, to minimize the effect of rotation of the hip joints. Articulotrochanteric distance, head-shaft angle, center-edge (CE) angle, and physeal inclination angle were measured. Preoperative radiographic measurements refer to values before the initial hip surgery for DDH in both groups. Postoperative measurements refer to the final follow-up values after hemi-epiphysiodesis in the treatment group and to the final follow-up values after DDH surgery in the control group. All the measurements were performed in blind fashion. The interobserver variability was studied using 2 of the authors (BÖ and AR) and the intraobserver error was evaluated using 1 author (BÖ). To evaluate intra- and interobserver agreement, a 3 week interval separated the first and second measurements. Interobserver agreement was high in both the first and the second evaluation (Kendall tau-b, p < 0.001). Intraobserver agreement was also high (Kendall tau-b, p < 0.0001). We measured pelvic rotation and tilt by the method described by van der Brom et al. (2011) to limit the systematic error in assessing the radiological measurements caused by pelvic misalignment. All the radiographs acquired were within approximately ± 4˚ rotation and ± 4˚ tilt intervals, which is considered acceptable. Clinical assessments at final follow-up were made according to McKay’s criteria (McKay 1974). Patient characteristics in both groups are given in Tables 1 and 2.

**Surgical technique**

All procedures were performed in supine position under general anesthesia. The hip joint was flexed and abducted to obtain a suitable entry point. Under image intensifier, the capital femoral physis was visualized and directly approached medially with the aid of a 1.2-mm Kirschner wire. The medial physis was almost totally destroyed by making 2 or 3 penetrations with the 3.5-mm drill in different directions, while being careful to leave the peripheral region intact in order not to cause collapse (Figure 1).

The postoperative regimen included full weight bearing immediately. Patients were followed up clinically and radiographically for assessment of correction of the epiphyseal valgus deformity.

**Statistics**

Parametric tests were used to analyze variables with homogeneous variance and normal distribution, whereas non-parametric tests were used to analyze variables without homogeneous variance and normal distribution. In order to determine whether parametric tests could be used, we first confirmed the homogeneity of the variance and the normality of the distribution of the variables by using Levene’s test and a the Shapiro-Wilk W test, respectively. Independent-samples t-test and Mann-Whitney U-tests were used to compare continuous variables between independent groups where necessary, while Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used to compare discontinuous variables between dependent groups. Interobserver and intraobserver agreement was measured using the Kendall tau-b concordance coefficient.

All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 22.0 and PAST (paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis).

**Results (Tables 1 and 2)**

There was no statistically significant difference when the treated hips were compared with the control group regarding preoperative articulotrochanteric distances and head-shaft angles at the final follow-up assessment. Preoperative and postoperative physeal inclination angles differed significantly in the treatment group (p = 0.002) (Figures 2 and 3). The treatment group also improved in terms of final epiphyseal valgus angles relative to the control group (p = 0.05).

Correction of the physeal valgus is expressed as the difference between the preoperative and postoperative physeal inclination angles. Alteration in the physeal inclination angle (from preoperatively to postoperatively) in the control group was 4˚ (0–7), and it was 10˚ (2–27) in the study group. Both
groups showed statistically significant improvements in epiphysial inclination angle. However, when the correction rate in the 2 groups was compared, it was borderline significant in the treatment group (p = 0.05).

There were no statistically significant differences in functional outcomes between the 2 groups. There were no major or minor complications related to the surgical procedure, including joint penetration and neurovascular injury.

**Discussion**

Kim et al. (2000) stated that only slightly more than half of type-II AVN patients end up with satisfactory results when left untreated. Traditionally partial hemi-epiphysiodesis is the treatment of choice when the epiphysis is partially affected in a growing child. This method is non-invasive, cheap, and effectively achieves partial closure of the hemiepiphysis (Bowen et al. 1984). Well-designed animal and clinical trials have shown that using a combination of drills and high-speed burs effectively produce adequate epiphysiodesis (Canalle et al. 1986, Atar et al. 1991). In contrast, corrective osteotomies, which are used in the treatment of type-II AVN, address the resulting deformity rather than the partial growth disturbance occurring at the lateral side of the immature femoral head epiphysis. The main disadvantage of the osteotomies is that they treat a resulting deformity rather than trying to prevent it (Siebenrock et al. 2013).

Furthermore, hospitalization, immobilization, and delayed weight bearing are other issues to be considered. When the deformity is discovered early, provided that the physis is still open and there is still potential growth, hemiepiphysiodesis could be an alternative treatment modality.

In 1980, Kalamchi and MacEwen described a classification system emphasizing the growth disturbances associated with various degrees of physeal arrest. The most common type of growth disturbance in this classification system is type II, representing about one-third of cases. Also, the authors stated that type-II AVN may not be evident until the patient is more than 13 years old (mean: 9 years old). These authors stressed that “it may be difficult to identify this group early”. It may appear at any time until skeletal maturity (Domzalski and Synder 2004). The mean age of our patients at operation was 8.

We do not know of any studies investigating the outcomes of percutaneous medial hemi-epiphysiodesis in physeal valgus deformity after the treatment of DDH. We found that a medial percutaneous hemi-epiphysiodesis might solve the progressive valgus tilting of the femoral head. However, concerns about the altered hip mechanics in these patients should be kept in mind. Despite this operation being effective in changing the epiphyseal slope angle, long-term follow-up is needed.

### Table 1. Patient characteristics

| Patient | Age | Sex | First operation | Follow-up period years |
|---------|-----|-----|-----------------|------------------------|
| Study group 1 | 18  | F   | Medial open reduction | 11                     |
| Study group 2 | 15  | M   | Femoral + pelvic osteotomy | 7.6                  |
| Study group 3 | 10.2 | F   | Femoral + pelvic osteotomy | 2.5                   |
| Study group 4 | 15  | F   | Femoral + pelvic osteotomy | 7.9                   |
| Study group 5 | 12  | F   | Medial open reduction | 3.1                    |
| Study group 6 | 9.1  | F   | Medial open reduction | 8.1                    |
| Study group 7 | 8.3  | F   | Medial open reduction | 5.5                    |
| Study group 8 | 10.5 | F   | Femoral + pelvic osteotomy | 2.1                  |
| Study group 9 | 6   | F   | Medial open reduction | 3.3                    |
| Control group 1 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 8                      |
| Control group 2 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 10                     |
| Control group 3 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 2                      |
| Control group 4 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 9                      |
| Control group 5 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 9                      |
| Control group 6 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 11                     |
| Control group 7 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 11                     |
| Control group 8 | –  | F   | Medial open reduction | 10                     |
| Control group 9 | –  | M   | Medial open reduction | 4                      |
| Control group Mean | 11.6 – | | Medial open reduction | 8.3                    |
because there is no evidence to suggest that it also improves outcome in hip dysplasia.

Our findings suggest that after the surgical insult on the medial epiphysis, adequate growth retardation is obtained to provide symmetrical epiphyseal growth retardation on both sides of the plate. Thus, favorable outcomes can be obtained even though a complete bony union cannot be formed. We can speculate that all our patients had enough remaining growth potential for satisfactory correction with medial hemi-epiphysiodesis to be achieved. Furthermore, as the proximal femoral physis is responsible for only about one-third of the growth of the femur, leg length discrepancy is not an important issue when hemi-epiphysodesis is performed.

None of our patients in the treatment group presented varus tilt of the femoral physis. However, over- or undercorrection of the deformity should be a matter of concern in younger patients. Roposch et al. (2013) reported that osteonecrosis of the femoral head inhibited acetabular remodeling. We did not obtain substantial differences in terms of correction of femoral shaft angle, but we were able to improve the coverage of the femoral heads.

We did not observe complete radiographic osseous bridging in our patients at follow-up. In addition, substantial osseous bridging across the superior portion of the physeal plate could not be clearly identified in many hips with type-II AVN. Therefore, the procedure described here is able to correct the valgus deformity by providing growth disturbance at the medial side rather than by resulting in osseous bridging.

Our study had several limitations. The sample size was small. Also, the learning curve should be considered. Finally, the long-term outcome of the procedure is unknown.

The procedure we describe is technically demanding, with a risk of complications such as joint penetration and neurovascular injury. Because the growth plates are not perfectly flat, there is a technical challenge in making sure that the tip of the tool is at the growth plate and remains there. The surgeon should be careful not to inadvertently enter the joint space.

We believe that the advantages of the procedure far outweigh the risks, and we suggest that it should be an alternative treatment modality for physeal valgus deformity due to type-II AVN. Furthermore, performing a medial epiphysiodesis does not complicate subsequent surgical corrections.
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