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Abstract

Background: Isotonic crystalloids are the preferred solution for the initial clinical management of patients with multiple trauma, among which lactated Ringer’s solution and normal saline are the most widely used, but both have clinical limitations. Bicarbonated Ringer’s solution (BRS), which provides physiological levels of bicarbonate ions and electrolyte ions, can be used to supplement missing extracellular fluid and correct metabolic acidosis.

Methods: A prospective, randomized controlled study enrolled 63 patients with traumatic hepatic rupture and hemorrhagic shock. They were randomly assigned to the Bicarbonated group (n = 33) or the Control group (n = 30), which received restrictive fluid resuscitation with sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution or sodium lactate Ringer’s solution, respectively. The levels of interleukin (IL)-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, arterial blood lactic acid and potential of hydrogen (pH) were measured prior to, 1, 3, 24, and 72 hours following resuscitation. The primary outcomes were patient survival, shock-related complications, and comparison of the inflammatory factors.

Results: The incidence of complications in the Bicarbonated group was significantly lower than in the Control group (15.15% vs 40.0%; P < .05). The intensive care unit length of stay and mechanical ventilation time in the Bicarbonated group were significantly shorter than in the Control group (all P < .01). The levels of IL-6 and TNF-α in the Bicarbonated group were significantly lower 1 hour following resuscitation than prior to resuscitation (P < .01), whereas these levels in the Control group were increased following 1h of resuscitation as compared with before resuscitation (P < .01). Following resuscitation, the levels of IL-6, TNF-α and lactate in the Bicarbonated group were significantly lower than in the Control group (P < .01). Moreover, in the Bicarbonated group, the lactic acid level decreased and the pH value increased significantly following resuscitation, whereas there was no difference in lactic acid levels and pH value between pre- and 1 hour post-resuscitation in the Control group (P > .05).

Conclusion: The shock-related complications were dramatically reduced from using BRS in these patients. Additionally, the BRS was found to better inhibit the expression of inflammatory factors in their peripheral blood and could correct acidosis.

Abbreviations: BP = blood pressure, BRS = Bicarbonated Ringer’s solution, IL-6 = interleukin-6, LRS = Lactated Ringer’s solution, pH = potential hydrogen, SI = shock index, TNF-α = tumor necrosis factor-α.
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1. Introduction

Trauma from traffic accidents, mechanical accidents, and fall injuries has now become the principal cause of death among people under 40 years of age.[1,2] Nearly 50% of the deaths have been attributed to hemorrhagic post-traumatic shock.[3] The liver has been the most likely ruptured, which can induce massive hemorrhagic shock[4] resulting in death within 2 hours.[3,4] Therefore, timely antishock treatment is the key to saving lives.

The sine qua non of severe hypovolemic shock is insufficient tissue perfusion, leading to cellular hypoxia, with resultant microcirculatory dysfunction, causing a shift to anaerobic metabolism and increased lactate production that upsets the acid-base balance resulting in metabolic acidosis.[7,8] Timely resuscitation of body fluids and restoration of circulating blood volume are the main clinical antishock treatments. In the last 25 years, restrictive fluid resuscitation has been clinically accepted, showing a significantly better efficacy than large volume fluid resuscitation.[9,10] However, the choice of resuscitation fluids remains controversial. Normal saline and sodium lactate Ringer’s solution have until recently been the most commonly used crystalloids for resuscitation in clinical practice.[11,12] But both have limitations. Normal saline has a high chloride ion content, which can cause hyperchloremic metabolic acidosis and aggravate the disorders of water and electrolyte balance during rapid replenishment.[13] Although sodium lactated Ringer’s solution (LRS) avoided the hyperchloremia, it reportedly had the potential of aggravating the shock-induced lactic acidosis.[14] The lactate in LRS and the acetate in Sodium acetate Ringer’s liquid require metabolism in the liver,[15,16] and in the setting of shock and a traumatized liver, may only exacerbate the problem.[17] Sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution is a new formulation of crystalloid solution composed of sodium, magnesium, potassium, and calcium ions.[18] Sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution has been demonstrated to have a beneficial clinical effect in supplementing circulating blood volume and improving metabolic acidosis.[19,20]

In the early stage of shock, the body’s inflammatory system is also stimulated, and many inflammatory factors are released into the blood, which can potentially evolve into the systemic inflammatory response syndrome.[21] In view of this, this paper adopts Bicarbonated Ringer’s solution to treat traumatic hepatic rupture with hemorrhagic shock.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

The study included 63 patients with traumatic hepatic rupture and hypovolemic shock who were admitted to our hospital from June 2020 to June 2022. According to the random number table method, they were divided into the Bicarbonate group (resuscitated with Bicarbonated Ringer’s solution) and the Control group (resuscitated with LRS), 33 vs 30 cases, respectively. The Bicarbonate group included 20 males and 13 females, aged 19 to 67 years; the Control group included 16 males and 14 females, aged 18 to 68 years (Table 1). The clinical characteristics of the 2 groups were comparable. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Lu’an Hospital of Anhui Medical University (batch number: 2020LL017), and the patient’s family members were informed of the relevant information, and informed consent was obtained for all participants.

Inclusion criteria: shock index ≥ 1; mean arterial pressure at admission < 60 mm Hg; and age ≥ 18 years old. Exclusion criteria: hemorrhage from abdominal organs or mesentery in addition to the liver confirmed at operation; patients who received blood transfusion or surgery within 1 hour of resuscitation; and moderate to severe traumatic brain injury with associated persistent hypertension.

2.2. Assessment of shock degree

Shock index (SI), calculated by heart rate/systolic blood pressure (BP), was used to assess the degree of shock in patients.[22] 1.0 ≤ SI < 1.5 indicated mild shock; 1.5 ≤ SI < 2.0 indicated moderate shock; SI ≥ 2.0 indicated severe shock.

2.3. Treatment

All patients were immediately resuscitated upon admission by maintaining the airway, undertaking oxygen supplementation and tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation as necessary, establishing large venous access and invasive BP monitoring through arterial catheterization, indwelling urinary catheter, correcting acid-base imbalance, and providing analgesia and sedation as indicated. Restricted fluid resuscitation was conducted within 1 h using lactated Ringer’s solution (Anhui Shuanghe Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Wuhu, China) in the Control group, and sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution (Jiangsu Hengrui Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Lianyungang, China) in the Bicarbonate group. Fluid infusion was administered with a target mean arterial pressure of 60 to 70 mm Hg within half an hour (permissive hypotension) and maintained for the subsequent 30 minutes by adjusting the amount and the speed of fluid infusion.[23] Then the infusion rate was reduced, and the ratio of crystalloids, plasma and packed red blood cell was approximately 1:1:1. Both groups underwent targeted surgical treatments according to their sites and types of injuries. These included 24 with liver rupture that underwent suture repair, 11 had left external lobectomy, 9 had right posterior lobe resection, 6 had gauze packing hemostasis, and 13 had hepatic resection.

2.4. Outcome measures

Admission vital signs and peripheral venous blood were obtained prior to resuscitation, supplemented by the demographic data of the 2 patient groups. Repeat venous blood was obtained 1 hour after resuscitation, and peripheral serum interleukin (IL)-6 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α were analyzed by ELISA, and blood gas analysis was performed to determine lactic acid levels at the same time.

The primary outcomes of patient hospital survival (survival rate = number of surviving patients/total number of patients × 100%), and shock-related complications (number of patients with complications/total number of patients × 100%). The patients were observed until death or hospital discharge. The primary outcome was 28-day survival. Additionally, both intragroup and intergroup comparisons of inflammatory factors and lactate levels prior to and following fluid resuscitation were analyzed. Total amount of crystalloid infused in 24 hours; total red blood cell, plasma; international normalized ratio; blood potential of hydrogen (pH); length of hospitalization, Intensive care unit length of stay, mechanical ventilation time also were recorded.

2.5. Statistical methods

SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) was used for statistical analyses. Count data were expressed as number of cases (n, %), and processed by χ² test (test level: 2 sided α = 0.05). The measurement data in this study conformed to normal distribution and were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (X ± SD). Independent sample t test was used for the comparison between groups, and paired t test was used for comparison before and after resuscitation within the same group, 2-way ANOVA was used for comparison each time within the 2 groups (test level: 2-sided α = 0.05). P < .05 indicates a statistically significant difference.
3. Results

3.1. Baseline data

The clinical data of the 2 groups are shown in Table 1. Both the Bicarbonated group and the Control group showed a majority of male patients (64.0% and 76.0%, respectively) and the principal cause of injury was traffic accidents (48.5% and 46.7%, respectively). There were no significant differences between the 2 groups regarding gender, age, injury severity score, routine blood tests, electrolytes, osmolarity, BP, time from injury to resuscitation, cause of injury, or degree of shock (all $P > .05$); the 2 groups were comparable. The comparison of the components between the 2 solutions is shown in Table 2.

3.2. The levels of IL-6 and TNF-α prior to and following resuscitation

Prior to resuscitation, there was no significant difference in the levels of IL-6 and TNF-α between the 2 groups (all $P > .01$). However, following resuscitation, the Control group showed a significant increase in IL-6 and TNF-α as compared to levels prior to resuscitation (all $P < .01$); in contradistinction, the Bicarbonate group demonstrated significantly decreased IL-6 and TNF-α levels, comparing post-to-pre-resuscitation ($P < .01$). Commensurately, the Bicarbonated group had lower levels of IL-6 and TNF-α than the Control group following resuscitation (all $P < .01$; Fig. 1, Table 3).

3.3. Levels of lactic acid and pH prior to and following resuscitation

Prior to resuscitation, there was no significant difference in the blood lactic acid level and pH value between the 2 groups ($P > .05$). Following resuscitation, however, at each time, the blood lactic acid level in the Bicarbonated group was significantly reduced compared to the pre-resuscitation level ($P < .0001$) (Fig. 2A). The Bicarbonated group also showed a lower blood lactic acid level than the Control group at 1, 3, 24, and 72 hours following resuscitation. At the same time, the pH value in the Bicarbonated group was significantly raised compared to the pre-resuscitation level at each time ($P < .0001$) (Fig. 2B). At 3 hours after resuscitation, the levels of lactate decreased and pH value increased in the control group, so in early resuscitation,

### Table 1
The clinical data of the 2 groups.

| Item                                         | Bicarbonate group n = 33 (%) | Control group n = 30 (%) | $t$/$\chi^2$ | $P$  |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|------|
| Sex, n (%)                                   |                               |                          |              |      |
| Male                                         | 20 (60.6)                     | 16 (53.3)                 | 0.339        | .56  |
| Female                                       | 13 (39.4)                     | 14 (46.7)                 |              |      |
| Age (yr)                                     | 38.48 ± 12.6                  | 36.8 ± 11.2               |              |      |
| Injury severity score (points)               | 21.18 ± 3.47                  | 21.80 ± 4.07              |              |      |
| Time from injury to resuscitation (hr)       | 2.52 ± 0.55                   | 2.72 ± 0.67               |              |      |
| Cause of injury, n (%)                       | 16 (48.5)                     | 14 (46.7)                 | 0.292        | .902 |
| Fall                                         | 8 (24.2)                      | 7 (23.3)                  |              |      |
| Crush                                        | 6 (18.2)                      | 5 (16.7)                  |              |      |
| Others                                       | 3 (9.1)                       | 4 (13.3)                  |              |      |
| Degree of shock, n (%)                       | 9 (27.3)                      | 10 (33.3)                 | 0.897        | .639 |
| Mild                                         | 16 (48.5)                     | 11 (36.7)                 |              |      |
| Moderate                                     | 8 (24.2)                      | 9 (30)                    |              |      |
| Routine blood test                           |                               |                          |              |      |
| Red blood cell ($10^{12}$/L)                 | 3.18 ± 0.46                   | 3.40 ± 0.49               | 1.885        | .064 |
| Hemoglobin (g/L)                             | 101.76 ± 8.78                 | 102.37 ± 8.52             | 0.279        | .781 |
| Hematocrit                                   | 0.30 ± 0.05                   | 0.30 ± 0.04               | 0.022        | .982 |
| Electroly                                    |                               |                          |              |      |
| Na (mmol/L)                                  | 136.48 ± 5.88                 | 136.73 ± 4.94             | 0.181        | .857 |
| K (mmol/L)                                   | 4.04 ± 0.49                   | 3.98 ± 0.48               | 0.540        | .501 |
| CL (mmol/L)                                  | 102.27 ± 3.98                 | 100.87 ± 5.21             | 1.105        | .237 |
| The osmolarity (mmol/L)                      | 347.24 ± 31.08                | 346.10 ± 31.33            | 0.145        | .885 |
| Systolic pressure (mm Hg)                    | 66.48 ± 9.43                  | 68.10 ± 8.94              | 0.696        | .499 |
| Diastolic pressure (mm Hg)                   | 41.58 ± 3.91                  | 39.93 ± 4.34              | 1.580        | .119 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg)               | 49.82 ± 4.98                  | 49.37 ± 4.72              | 0.369        | .714 |
| Heart rate (bmp)                             | 107.67 ± 9.74                 | 110.20 ± 12.54            | 0.900        | .372 |
| Crystalloid infused in 24 hours ($)          | 1.45 ± 0.27                   | 1.54 ± 0.25               | 1.429        | .158 |
| Total red blood cell in 24 hours (units)     | 3.44 ± 0.99                   | 3.43 ± 1.08               | 0.023        | .982 |
| Plasma in 24 hours (mL)                      | 552.88 ± 83.67                | 547.50 ± 86.19            | 0.251        | .802 |
| INR                                          | 1.36 ± 0.10                   | 1.35 ± 0.08               | 0.122        | .903 |
| pH                                           | 7.24 ± 0.20                   | 7.25 ± 0.21               | 0.209        | .835 |
| Length of hospitalization (d)                | 14.33 ± 5.41                  | 14.93 ± 6.30              | 0.407        | .686 |
| Intensive care unit length of stay (d)       | 4.52 ± 0.97                   | 5.82 ± 1.73               | 3.615        | .001 |
| Mechanical ventilation time (hr)             | 54.52 ± 10.65                 | 63.57 ± 13.63             | 2.950        | .004 |

INR = international normalized ratio, pH = potential of hydrogen.

### Table 2
Comparing the components of these 2 solution.

| Components                  | Bicarbonated Ringer’s solution | Lactated Ringer’s solution |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Na+ (mmol/L)                | 130                            | 131                       |
| K+ (mmol/L)                 | 4                              | 4                         |
| Mg2+ (mmol/L)               | 1                              | –                         |
| Ca2+ (mmol/L)               | 1.5                            | 1.4                       |
| CI– (mmol/L)                | 109                            | 110                       |
| Buffer system               | HCO3–                          | lactate                   |
| pH                          | 7.3                            | 6.5                       |
sodium bicarbonate ringer liquid can more quickly correct aci-
dosis and reduce lactic acid levels. The \( t \) test indicated that the
level of lactate in the sodium Bicarbonate group decreased more
significantly at each time following resuscitation (Fig. 2C) than
the control group, and pH (Fig. 2D) increased more significantly
than the control group at 1 and 3 hours following resuscitation
\( (P < .0001) \).

3.4. Success rate of resuscitation and incidence of
complications

No difference was found in the success rate of resuscitation
between the 2 groups (84.8% vs 76.7%; \( P > .05 \)). In strik-
ing contrast, the overall incidence of complications in the
Bicarbonate group was significantly lower than in the Control
group (15.15% vs 40.0%; \( P < .05 \); Table 4).

4. Discussion

Patients in shock require timely fluid resuscitation to restore
the effective circulating intravascular volume, increase BP, and
ensure blood perfusion and oxygenation of tissues and organs.[23]
The currently accepted method of restrictive fluid resuscitation
reduces the excessive dilution of blood and profound extravas-
cular tissue edema that accompanied the previous traditional
aggressive fluid resuscitation which could also aggravate coag-
ulation dysfunction.[24] Nevertheless, further refinement of
restrictive fluid resuscitation could potentially improve patient
outcomes in hypovolemic shock.

In the present study, the outcomes of using sodium bicar-
bonate Ringer’s solution and sodium lactate Ringer’s solution
were compared in the early restricted fluid resuscitation of
patients who had suffered traumatic hepatic rupture and hypo-
volemic shock. The sudden drop in blood volume, leading to
hypoperfusion of tissues and organs results in anaerobic metab-
olism, elevated lactate levels, and an inflammatory response. IL-6
and TNF-\( \alpha \) are at the center of the inflammatory cascade, which
can intensify the deleterious consequences of shock. TNF-\( \alpha \) can
affect the physiological function of endothelial cells, changing
the permeability of capillaries, potentially resulting in ischemia
and thrombosis.[25,26] The marked reduction of renal perfusion
can be heralded by an elevated IL-6 level as a predictor of acute
kidney injury in shock patients.[27] Sodium lactate Ringer’s solu-
tion was shown to cause granulocyte respiration bursts, releasing
a large amount of oxygen free radicals and other inflammatory
response mediators to aggravate systemic inflammation.[28] The
inflammation response can increase capillary permeability and
plasma extravasation as well as decrease the blood volume,
which can aggravate the hemorheology. An important result of
the present study showed that IL-6 and TNF-\( \alpha \) levels in patients
who were resuscitated with sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solu-
tion were significantly lower than those in the sodium lactate
Ringer’s solution group. Furthermore, both inflammatory fac-
tors, already elevated in response to the serious hemorrhagic
shock at the time of admission, were reduced just 1 hour fol-
lowing resuscitation with the bicarbonate Ringer’s solution.
On the contrary, these factors showed an upward trend when
lactate Ringer’s solution was used for resuscitation. Clearly,
these results would indicate that sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s
solution inhibited the expression of the inflammatory factors,
undoubtedly blunting the overall inflammatory response. The
specific molecular mechanism and signaling pathways involved
are not yet clear and deserve further study.

In further support of bicarbonate Ringer’s solution, it is
impressive to recognize that the metabolic rate of sodium bicar-
bonate is 6 times greater than lactic acid, which would reduce
the metabolic burden to the liver.[29] Concordant with the pres-
ent study, bicarbonate Ringer’s solution has been effective in
the early resuscitation of patients with severe multiple injuries

| Table 3 |
|---|
| **Paired design t test comparing levels of cellular inflammatory factors before and after resuscitation between the 2 groups.** |
| | IL-6 (pg/mL) | TNF-\( \alpha \) (pg/mL) |
| | Prior to resuscitation | Following resuscitation | \( t \) | \( P \) | Prior to resuscitation | Following resuscitation | \( t \) | \( P \) |
| Bicarbonate group (n = 33) | 23.96 ± 1.59 | 14.15 ± 1.41 | 27.10 | <.01 | 13.33 ± 1.33 | 8.57 ± 0.91 | 17.48 | <.01 |
| Control group (n = 30) | 24.00 ± 1.73 | 27.00 ± 1.18 | 14.61 | <.01 | 13.39 ± 1.28 | 16.40 ± 1.35 | 10.94 | <.01 |

Figure 1. The levels of IL-6 and TNF-\( \alpha \) prior to and following resuscitation. (A) IL-6; (B) TNF-\( \alpha \). The Bicarbonate group showed lower IL-6 and TNF-\( \alpha \) than the Control group following resuscitation. Compared with prior to resuscitation within the group, **\( P < .01 \); compared with Control group, ##\( P < .01 \). IL-6 = interleukin-6, TNF-\( \alpha \) = tumor necrosis factor \( \alpha \).
and traumatic shock, improving coagulation function and lactic acid metabolism, thereby reducing the risk of related complications and improving patient outcome.[30] This may be related to the HCO₃⁻ buffer system that can neutralize acidity without increasing lactic acid. An animal experiment in Japan also confirmed that sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution could improve metabolic acidosis in dogs with hemorrhagic shock, and its effect was significantly better than sodium lactate Ringer’s solution. Not surprisingly, sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution has been identified as an ideal crystalloid solution for the treatment of metabolic acidosis and maintenance of electrolyte balance.[19,31]

The Bicarbonated group did not achieve a survival advantage compared to the Control group. However, the overall incidence of complications in the Bicarbonated group was significantly lower than the Control group (15.15% vs 40.00%), suggesting that sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution may be a better choice for early fluid resuscitation in patients with traumatic hepatic rupture with hemorrhagic shock.

There are limitations in this study. The sample size in this study was small which may have allowed statistical bias. The specific mechanism of resuscitation with sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution that accounted for the improvement of the inflammatory factors was not investigated. We plan to conduct a larger sample size study to further clarify the specific mechanism of sodium bicarbonate Ringer’s solution in patients with severe multiple injuries and traumatic shock.
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