Pure endoscopic endonasal odontoidectomy:
anatomical study
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Abstract Different disorders may produce irreducible atlanto-axial dislocation with compression of the ventral spinal cord. Among the surgical approaches available for such condition, the transoral resection of the odontoid process is the most often used. The aim of this anatomical study is to demonstrate the possibility of an anterior cervico-medullary decompression through an endoscopic endonasal approach. Three fresh cadaver heads were used. A modified endonasal endoscopic approach was made in all cases. Endoscopic dissections were performed using a rigid endoscope, 4 mm in diameter, 18 cm in length, with 0 degree lenses. Access to the cranio-vertebral junction was possible using a lower trajectory, when compared to that necessary for the sellar region. The choana is entered and the mucosa of the rhinopharynx is dissected and transposed in the oral cavity in order to expose the cranio-vertebral junction and to obtain a mucosal flap useful for the closure. The anterior arch of the atlas and the odontoid process of C2 are removed, thus exposing the dura mater. The endoscopic endonasal approach could be a valid alternative to the transoral approach for anterior odontoidectomy.
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Introduction

Removal of the odontoid process is a procedure often required for the treatment of the basilar impression with compression of the brain stem or cervical spinal cord due to irreducible atlanto-axial translocation. Different disorders may produce atlanto-axial dislocation such as congenital malformation, chronic inflammation, metabolic disorders and trauma.

The transoral approach is the most favoured approach to the odontoid process and it is largely used for the surgical treatment of extradural and also intradural disorders of the cranio-vertebral junction [6–9, 11–13, 15, 16, 22–26]. Despite the fact that such an approach provides a direct route to the odontoid process, it presents several disadvantages such as the deepness of the surgical corridor, the sometimes required splitting of the soft palate, the risk of tongue and teeth damage and, in case of dural opening, the increased risk of post-operative CSF leakage and meningitis.

Based on the experience of endoscopic endonasal pituitary surgery [3, 17], some works have recently reported anatomical studies and clinical applications of a modified endoscopic endonasal approach for the removal of the dens. These studies show the potential applications of the endoscopic endonasal approach for the surgical manage-
ment of suprasellar, parasellar and retroclival pathologies [1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 14, 18–21].

This anatomic study describes the extended endoscopic endonasal approach to the cranio-vertebral junction, with particular attention to the reconstruction of the surgical route.

**Material and methods**

For this anatomic study, three fresh cadaver heads were dissected; an extended endoscopic endonasal approach to the cranio-vertebral junction was performed in all cases.

Endoscopic dissections were performed using a rigid endoscope (Karl Storz GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany), 4 mm in diameter, 18 cm in length, with 0 degree lenses.

The endoscope was connected to a light source through a fiberoptic cable and to a camera fitted with 3CCD sensors. The video-camera was connected to a 21” monitor supporting the high resolution of the 3CCD technology.

**Results**

The procedure started with the introduction of the endoscope into a nasal vestibule through a lower trajectory as compared to the one employed for reaching the sellar region. Along such trajectory, the first structures to be visualized were the nasal septum medially, the inferior turbinate and the middle turbinate laterally. The inferior margin of the middle turbinate led to the choana which represented the main landmark of the approach.

By advancing the endoscope through the choana it was possible to identify the ostium of the Eustachian tube laterally, the rhinopharynx posteriorly, the soft palate inferiorly and the inferior wall of the sphenoid sinus superiorly; the latter representing the superior limit of the surgical approach. Angling the endoscope to the contralateral nasal cavity, it was possible to visualize the ostium of the contralateral Eustachian tube. The ostia of the two Eustachian tubes represented the lateral limits of this approach (see Fig. 1a,b).

In order to expose the cranio-vertebral junction the mucosa of rhinopharynx was incised along its lateral limits at the edge with the ostia of the Eustachian tube and along the inferior wall of the sphenoid sinus superiorly (see Fig. 2a). The mucosa, the longus capitis and longus colli muscles were gently dissected downward as a single layer, thus creating a muscle-mucosal flap (see Figs. 2b and 3). Proceeding from the inferior wall of the sphenoid sinus to the soft palate of the lower clivus, the atlanto-occipital membrane, the anterior arch of C1 and the body of C2 were visualized.

Introducing the endoscope in the oral cavity it was possible to reach the dissected mucosa of rhinopharynx and to transpose the muscle-mucosal flap into the oral cavity (see Fig. 4a,b).

This manoeuver permitted an adequate endonasal exposure of the cranio-vertebral junction without removing the

---

**Fig. 1 a, b** Entering the choana, the rhinopharinx and the Eustachian tube have been bilaterally visualized (iwsphs inferior wall of sphenoid sinus, ET Eustachian tube, Rphx rhinopharinx)

**Fig. 2 a** The mucosa of the rhinopharynx has been incised in order to create a mucosal flap. **b** The muscles longus capitis and colli have been dissected together with the mucosa in order to expose the cranio-vertebral junction. (ET Eustachian tube, Rphx rhinopharinx, NS nasal septum, iwsphs inferior wall of sphenoid sinus, C clivus, aom atlanto-occipital membrane, C1 atlas, mmf muscle-mucosal flap)
mucosa of the rhinopharinx, which provides a useful autologous material for closure of the surgical field.

Reintroducing the endoscope into the nasal cavity, the anterior arch of the atlas was removed and the dens with the apical and alar ligaments were exposed (see Fig. 5a, b). The dens was then thinned with a microdrill, separated from the alar and apical ligaments, and finally removed (see Fig. 6a, b).

At this point the transverse ligament was identified; it was removed with the tectorial membrane, a double layer membrane positioned behind the transverse ligament, in order to expose the dura mater. At the end of the procedure the muscle-mucosal flap was replaced into the nasal cavity, thus closing the surgical field (see Fig. 7).

In this study, the endoscopic endonasal approach to the cranio-vertebral junction has been performed using both the one-nostril and the two-nostril technique, without removal of inferior and/or middle turbinate, nasal septum or other nasal structures. Although the procedure can be performed through only one nostril, the binosorial technique provides, without any additional surgical trauma, a better maneuverability of the surgical tools and the possibility to work with “three hands”. As a matter of fact, this technique permits a free-hand use of the endoscope in one nostril, held by the assistant, and the use of the other nostril or both nostrils for the insertion of the surgical instruments.

Furthermore, in the case of a narrow nasal cavity, it is valuable to perform a unilateral middle turbinectomy and removal of the posterior third of the nasal septum to enlarge the surgical corridor.

Discussion

Different pathological disorders may produce atlanto-axial translocation with ventral compression of the brain stem or spinal cord. The most common are congenital malformations, such as Arnold Chiari malformation type II, chronic inflammation, such as rheumatoid arthritis, genetic transformation, such as Down’s syndrome and trauma, such as type II odontoid fracture. Some of these patients are candidate to the resection of the odontoid process for anterior decompression. The indication for odontoid resection is irreducible atlanto-axial subluxation, associated with severe spinal cord compression causing progressive myelopathy.

The most favoured approach to the odontoid process is the transoral approach. This approach provides a direct route to the surgical field, without any neurovascular manipulation and passing through the oropharynx, without injuring major neurovascular structures. The main limitation to this approach is the difficulty of dural closure and the subsequent higher risk of CSF leak and meningitis. For this reason the trans-oral approach is mainly used for extradural lesions [7, 8, 11–13, 15, 22–24], although some studies have reported its application for the surgical treatment of intradural pathology of the lower clivus and ventral cranio-cervical junction [6, 9, 16, 25, 26]. Other minor disadvantages are, however, related to this approach: the split of the soft palate and even of the hard palate is often performed in the case when rostral extension of the approach is required; tongue swelling may occur for prolonged compression; there is risk of damaging the teeth with retractors; velopharyngeal insufficiency may develop; and there is the necessity of nasal feeding in the postoperative stay.
Recently, increased diffusion in the use of the endoscope for transsphenoidal pituitary surgery [3, 17] led some studies to explore the possibility of applying the endoscopic endonasal approach in the surgical treatment of skull base lesions other than pituitary tumors. In recent years some works have reported anatomical studies and surgical experience in the endoscopic endonasal approach to different areas of the midline skull base, from the olfactory groove to the cranio-vertebral junction [1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 14, 18–21].

Thanks to the properties of the endoscope itself, the endonasal approach provides a wider view of the surgical field and a close-up vision, when compared with the transoral microscopic approach. Furthermore, the minimal invasiveness of the endoscopic endonasal route may reduce some morbidities related to the transoral approach. In fact, it is no longer necessary to use mouth retractors, prolonged compression of the tongue or split of the soft palate, and even considering the necessity of a middle turbinectomy or removal of the posterior portion of the nasal septum to enlarge the surgical corridor, these adjunctive manoeuvres do not usually produce morbidity to the patient. These manoeuvres are often performed in the endonasal extended approaches to the area around the sella in live patients and do not cause any respiratory problems.

The possibility of performing an odontoidectomy through the nose is strictly related to the level of the C1–C2 junction. In fact, in the case of a low junction, below the level of the hard palate, it is virtually impossible to remove the odontoid process with an endonasal approach. On the contrary, in the case of a high position of the atlas-axis junction, the dens is more easily reached and removed through the nasal cavities.

Odontoidectomy may be considered one of the most complicated manoeuvres for the transoral approach, in which the split of the soft and even hard palate is often necessary. Thus, this approach could be evaluated for those cases in which a transoral removal is considered more difficult.

However, this kind of approach still presents some of the main problems of the transoral approach. The first problem concerns the risk of CSF leak and subsequent meningitis. Although the endoscope, thanks to its close-up and multi-angled vision, has a greater chance of detecting an occasional CSF leak, it is quite hard to suture the dura and the nasopharynx mucosa with conventional suturing tools through the nose. For this reason, in our anatomical study, we have created a muscle-mucosal flap, comprehensive of the entire muscular and mucosal tissue covering the ventral cranio-vertebral junction. This flap, as shown, is transposed into the oral cavity during the bone’s removal and replaced in its original site at the end of the procedure. Due to the difficulty of anchoring the flap with suture, it is only distended on the defect and its borders are apposed on the corresponding lines of incision. The mucosa of the inferior wall of the sphenoid sinus could be stripped to favour the adherence of the flap and fibrin glue could be used to seal the edges. The
creation of a pedunculated muscle-mucosal flap permits a more physiological reconstruction of the surgical corridor and, furthermore, the vascularization of the flap that directly continues with the oropharynx, facilitates a rapid healing. An endoscopic control should be performed one month after surgery to check the recreating integrity of the rhinopharynx mucosa.

The second problem of the transoral approach concerns the stability of the cranio-vertebral junction. The removal of the odontoid process with its ligaments can destabilize the cranio-vertebral junction.

The third problem concerns the haemostasis that is often difficult in the extended endonasal approaches. Bleeding control may become difficult with bipolar coagulation because the endonasal approach presents a long and narrow corridor, with a limited working space between the tips of the bipolar forceps. Nevertheless, specific bipolar forceps (TAKE-APART bipolar forceps; Karl Storz GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) have been used to work through the nose in a safe and effective way as well.

Conclusions

This cadaver study has been performed to demonstrate the possibility of an anterior decompression of the upper cervical cord through an endoscopic endonasal approach. Similar to the transoral approach, the endoscopic endonasal approach provides a direct route to the surgical target, but it seems related to less morbidity. For clinical applications of this approach, the most common surgical problems are the risk of CSF leak and meningitis, the instability of the cervico-medullary junction and the bleeding control. The creation of a muscle-mucosal flap may represent a valid modality for closure of the surgical field. For application in live surgery, dedicate surgical instruments, such as endonasal bipolar forceps, high-speed low-profile drill and surgical guidance systems are needed.

In selected cases this approach could be considered a valid alternative to the transoral microscopic approach for the resection of the odontoid process of C2. Obviously, it should be performed only by surgeons very skilled in endoscopic endonasal surgery and in endoscopic cadaver dissections, while cooperation in a team with ENT surgeons is recommended.
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Comments

Martin Bettag, Trier, Germany

The authors provide an anatomical study of endoscopic removal of the odontoid process as an alternative to the otherwise most favoured microsurgical transoral approach. They nicely demonstrate this midline approach with respect to the surrounding structures. Creating a muscle-mucosal flap seems to be a good solution for the closure of the surgical field.

However, some details have to be discussed. At first, the endonasal approach to the craniovertebral junction offers only a long and narrow surgical corridor so that even using both nostrils the insertion and the use of instruments can be very difficult. Especially the use of standard needle holders or bipolar forceps is almost impossible. Also, with the endonasal technique, one can only approach the C1–C2 level if this level is not located below the level of the hard palate. In the case of a low junction, the endonasal approach is not feasible. On the contrary, the transoral approach to the craniovertebral junction permits a wide access from the inferior third of the clivus to the C3 vertebra.

In conclusion, this is a well written report by recognized leaders in the field of endoscopic endonasal surgery. The given anatomical details and technical solutions are very precise and instructive. However, I doubt that there are many reasonable indications in patients where an endoscopic endonasal approach will be superior to the standard transoral odontoidectomy.