**RB1 Germline Variant Predisposing to a Rare Ovarian Germ Cell Tumor: A Case Report**
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Malignant ovarian germ cell tumors (MOGCTs) are neoplasms of the ovary, of which, due to their rarity and heterogeneity, few is reported about genetic background and development. Here, we report a 18-years old patient diagnosed with an ovarian mixed germ cell tumor, without any previous history of malignancies, who has been treated with surgery and chemotherapy and died 4 years later due to peritoneal metastasis complications. Patient’s blood DNA was screened for a panel of 52 cancer-related genes in order to identify predisposing aberrations to this rare cancer. The analysis discovered the uncharacterized c.2393G>A variant in RB1, the retinoblastoma gene, leading both to a missense change and a splicing perturbation of the RB1 transcript. The variant was found to be hypomorphic, damaging the C-terminal domain with a partially impaired protein function. The variant is inherited from the unaffected mother. Due to an imprinting mechanism, the maternal allele is ∼3-fold more expressed than the paternal one. The parent-of-origin effect combined with the hypomorphic impact of the variant determines a rescue of sufficient tumor-suppressor activity to prevent retinoblastoma development but can predispose to other cancers in the adult age. In order to understand the somatic events acting on the germline predisposition we used the NGS-liquid biopsy covering 77 cancer driver genes. Using this approach, we detected deleterious mutations in TP53, SMAD4, FGFR3, and MSH2, indicative of a dis-regulation of cell cycle and DNA repair mechanisms pathways. In conclusion, we have pinpointed for the first time that an RB1 leaky variant, not leading to retinoblastoma because of its maternal origin, can predispose in adults to a very rare form of ovarian cancer and that the somatic disruption of few genes contributes to the tumor progression and aggressiveness.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Ovarian germ cell tumors (OGCTs) are histologically heterogeneous neoplasms arising from primitive germ cells. Most OGCTs are represented by mature cystic teratoma while, in the minority of cases, they present as malignant tumors (MOGCTs) including immature teratoma, dysgerminoma, yolk sac tumor, embryonal cell carcinoma, and choriocarcinoma. About 20–25%
of ovarian neoplasms are OGCTs and only 5% of them are malignant. Globally MOGCTs represent the 2.6% of ovarian malignant neoplasm (1, 2). Due to their rarity, few is known about the genetics of MOGCTs: a germline PTEN frameshift variant was recently found in a pediatric patient carrying a mixed ovarian germ cell tumor associated with overgrowth. In other reports ovarian mixed germ cell tumors were associated with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations in familial contexts of hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome (3–5).

RB1 (#MIM 614041) is the first characterized and most studied tumor suppressor gene (6, 7). It is globally accepted that the encoded protein (pRB) mainly act as a tumor suppressor inhibiting the G1-S phase transition during the cell cycle by repressing the E2F transcription factors (8). Beyond this, many other protein interactions related to several functions other than cell cycle regulation have been discovered in the past years, making the pRB role more complex (9–12). RB1 inactivation is a quite frequent somatic event in many types of cancer, such as small cell lung cancer, soft tissue sarcoma, breast adenocarcinoma, bladder carcinoma (13), while germline inactivation has been related to predisposition to retinoblastoma (RB) and primary osteosarcoma following or not a previous retinoblastoma (12–14). Hereditary RB patients have a strongly increased risk for second primary malignancies in the adult age, including osteosarcoma, soft tissue sarcoma and melanoma (15–17). RB1 is regulated by an imprinting mechanism which is responsible for a skewed gene expression in favor of the maternal allele, that is about 3 times more transcribed than the paternal one (18, 19). A parent-of-origin effect, due to this imprinting mechanism, has been proposed as an explanation for low penetrant pedigrees, in whom hypomorphic RB1 variant inherited from the mother retain sufficient activity to suppress retinoblastoma development and carriers can show only benign lesions named retinoma or developing other types of cancer in adulthood (20–22).

Here, we employed an NGS-based strategy, combining the screening a panel of 52 cancer-related genes with a liquid biopsy approach to discover germline mutations predisposing to this rare cancer and investigate somatic mutations driving tumor progression.

METHODS

Patient samples were obtained at the Medical Genetics Unit (A.O.U.S, Siena, Italy) upon the signature of a written informed consent for both diagnostic and research purposes, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Detailed methodology is available in Supplementary Appendix.

Case Description

Here, we report a case of a female patient who had a diagnosis of unilateral ovarian mixed germ cell tumor (mature teratoma and yolk sac tumor) at 18 years and who died at 22 years due to peritoneal and lymph node metastases. The available information on family history revealed no evidence of tumors. The cystic teratoma consisted of epidermoid elements, extensive areas of mature neuroectodermal tissue and a limited glandular area positive for AFP, with the typical histological features of yolk sac tumor (Figures 1A–D). The lesion was surgically removed and the patient was treated with estrogenprogestin therapy until the appearance of metastases at 20 years. Bleomycin, etoposide and platinum (BEP) chemotherapy was then started (four cycles); 2 months later, after another surgical treatment, recurrent pelvic lesions compatible with mature teratoma were detected, so three cycles of combination chemotherapy with Paclitaxel, Ifosfamide and Cisplatin (TIP) were started, followed by two autologous transplantations. Subsequently, ascites and peritoneal metastases were observed at CT scan again (Figure S1). When the metastasis firstly appeared, the patient underwent genetic counseling, where a screening of 52 cancer-related genes and a liquid biopsy approach were proposed and approved to identify germline tumor predisposing variants and somatic driver events (Supplementary Tables 1, 2). Blood test revealed the c.2393G>A (NM_000321) variant in RB1, reported as unknown in the rb1-lsdb database (http://rb1-lsdb.d-lohmann.de) with the ID: RB1_00572. Bioinformatics prediction tools for missense variants were in favor of a deleterious effect and splicing bioinformatics tools predicted the introduction of a de novo exonic acceptor splice site (3’SS) at position c.2394 with high confidence (Table 1). The resulting effect on the transcript was supposed to be the skipping of 69 nt at the 5’ of exon 23. mRNA analysis was thus performed to confirm the prediction. Unexpectedly, in vitro splicing analysis, performed on blood RNA by RT-PCR, revealed the full skipping of exon 23 together with the retention of 11 nucleotides of intron 22 (r.2326_2489delins2325+1_2325+11) (Δ23▼VGQK) (Figure 2A). The resulting transcript is generated by the activation of a cryptic 5’ splice site located in the intron 22 (c.2325+12) together with the usage of the 3’SS of exon 24, with the skipping of the canonical 3’SS of exon 23 (Figure 2B). This splicing alteration is predicted to result in the partial loss of the carboxy-terminal domain (C-term) with the restoration of the reading frame thanks to the insertion of four residues (p.(Pro776_Arg830delinsValGlyGlnLys)). The 3D protein model of the aberrant splicing variant showed the loss of organization of the secondary structure of the C-term and, consequently, of the complexity of the tertiary structure (Figure 2C). Sequencing of the full-length transcript revealed the presence of both A/G nucleotides at position c.2393, suggesting a “leaky” effect of the variant (Figure 2D). Densitometric analysis performed with ImageJ on the gel bands showed the aberrant spliced transcript to be ~32% of the total one, indicating that the mutant allele is still able to produce a still relevant percentage of normal spliced transcript (Figure 2E). For this reason, the impact of the missense variant on the protein structure and activity was investigated in order to understand its involvement in the protein function impairment. Six in silico tools (SIFT, PolyPhen-2, CADD, SNAP2, MutationTaster2, FATHMM) were queried about the impact of the missense variant on the protein function and the predictions were in favor of a deleterious effect (Table 1) (23–29). In addition, MutationTaster predicted the loss of LIMDI binding site. To confirm RB1 biallelic inactivation in the tumor, NGS analysis was performed on DNA isolated from FFPE tissue slides of the ovarian cyst. A nonsense variant, c.1359T>A (p. (Tyr453*)), was discovered in
FIGURE 1 | (A) 4x HPF, H&E stain. Ovarian cystic teratoma consisting of epidermoid elements and mature neuroectodermal tissue with focal morphological characteristics of a yolk sac tumor. (B) 20x HPF, H&E stain. At higher magnification, the limited and focal tumoral area shows typical characteristics of the hepatoid variant of yolk sac tumor. It can usually be found in young females, associated with increased levels of serum α-fetoprotein (AFP). To note, the presence of great polygonal cells with a well represented eosinophilic cytoplasm and abundant presence of hyaline bodies. (C,D) 10x HPF, H&E stain. Teratoma shows abundant mature neuroectodermal tissue interspersed in the stroma with different and well-differentiated structures such as hair follicles. The presence of these elements indicates a high maturation level of the neoplasia.

TABLE 1 | In silico predictions for the c.2393G>A variant.

| SIFT | PolyPhen | CADD | SNAP2 | FATHMM |
|------|----------|------|-------|--------|
| Score Prediction | Score Prediction | Score Phred | Score Prediction | Score Prediction |
| 0 deleterious | 0.99 probably damaging | 4.278 32 | 55 effect | −1.99 damaging |

Splicing prediction tools: de novo 3' SS in position c.2394

| SpliceSiteFinder-like [0–100] | MaxEntScan [0–16] | NNSPLICE [0–1] | Human Splicing Finder [0–100] |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| WT mut | WT mut | WT mut | WT mut |
| 0 84.7 | 0 7.2 | 0 0.9 | 0 89.4 |

about 15% of the analyzed molecules, percentage indicative of a contamination from DNA of the surrounding normal tissue. A liquid biopsy approach, representative of the invasive clone(s), was employed to discover driver events involved in tumor progression. Cell-free DNA isolation from plasma was performed when the patient already showed peritoneal metastases. NGS analysis of a panel of 77 cancer-related genes showed, in addition to the germline variant found in RB1, the presence of three pathogenic mutations in four genes: p.(Ser371Cys) in FGFR3, p.(Arg445*) in SMAD4, p.(Tyr205Ser) in TP53 and p.(Lys151*) in MSH2 in a percentage of 0.10, 0.15, 0.17, and 0.33, respectively.

DISCUSSION

The knowledge of the genetic landscape of MOGCTs is quite limited due to their rarity and heterogeneity and a general wide response to chemotherapy, often resulting in a good prognosis. However, a component of yolk sac tumor represents a poor
FIGURE 2 | (A) Electrophoresis gel of the RT-PCR products of case and control sample with forward primer spanning exon 21-22 junction and reverse spanning exon 24–25 junction. Next to the gel the schemes correspond to the amplified products. (B) Scheme explaining the mechanism of splicing of intron 22 and exon 23 in presence of the variant c.2393G>A; the figure shows the loss of the use of the canonical sites of exon 22 and 23, the generation of a de novo 3’SS and the activation of a cryptic 5’SS in intron 22 used with the canonical 3’SS of exon 24. This results in an aberrant exons junction with the inclusion of part of intron 22 and the exclusion of exon 23 in the mature transcript. (C) Three-dimensional model of the FL and the aberrant spliced RB proteins predicted with EzMol, C-term domain is highlighted in red. (D) Electropherogram represents the sequence of the FL agarose band displayed in (A). (E) The graph shows the result of the densitometric analysis of the CASE gel bands displayed in (A); sum of the FL and aberrant bands was considered as the total and each value from both transcripts was compared to that. M, DNA molecular marker; CTR, healthy control sample; NTC, no-template control; FL, full length transcript.

The c.2393G>A variant in RB1, found in heterozygous state in blood DNA by NGS, was further investigated to assess its impact on the protein function. A splicing impairment, leading to an in-frame deletion of part of the C-term domain and the loss of C-term organization was shown. Despite the most studied region involved in pRB function is the pocket domain, C-term has recently acquired more consideration as a regulatory domain involved in several activities. C-term has indeed the ability to bind E2F1 in a cdk independent manner, even in a hyperphosphorylated condition. This binding persists in S phase of the cell cycle and it is responsible for the transcription of pro-apoptotic genes in response to DNA damage (32). Moreover, C-term represents the binding site for LIMD1, a tumor suppressor gene known to prevent Rb phosphorylation, thus promoting E2F1 binding to the pocket domain and consequent inhibition of the cell cycle progression (33, 34). Due to these findings, the identified variant must be considered hypomorphic, partially affecting the protein function. This allowed us to hypothesize a “parent-of-origin” effect, a broadly studied mechanism in retinoblastoma. Hypomorphic variants affecting the paternal allele are more likely to predispose to RB than the ones on the maternal allele, while, maternally-inherited hypomorphic variants usually don’t lead to RB development, but can predispose to other neoplasms in the adult age (14, 18, 20, 21). Here the proband was not affected by retinoblastoma in her childhood, and, as expected, the variant was inherited from the mother. We cannot exclude the proband or her mother to carry a benign retinoma, since no information about the fundus oculi was available.

The hypothesis of a pRB involvement in ovarian germ cell tumors predisposition is supported by the finding that RB1 conditional knockout in ovarian germ cells lead to the development of unilateral ovarian teratoma in mice (35, 36). Interestingly, all the mice screened in the study by Yang et al. developed only unilateral teratoma, so preneoplastic lesions, implicating that besides Rb inactivation, other genes or regulatory elements must be involved to promote tumor initiation. RB1 involvement in GCTs pathogenicity is also supported by the evidence that RB1 is often lacking or low expressed in GCTs and by a previous report of a girl developing a primary immature teratoma following bilateral retinoblastoma,
so probably carrying a RB1 mutation (37). As biallelic RB1 inactivation alone is responsible for a pre-neoplastic lesion (retinoma) in the retina, here it might contribute to the ovarian teratoma initiation, even if more evidence/case are needed to support this hypothesis (38). More events following RB1 inactivation are likely needed for the aggressive yolk sac tumor development, as we found by a liquid biopsy approach, in TP53, SMAD4, FGFR3, and MSH2. TP53 and RB1 cooperate to repress the cell cycle in the presence of a DNA damage, so mutations in both these factors could mean a loss of cell cycle equilibrium with a consequent uncontrolled proliferation (39). Mismatch repair proteins are involved in the pathogenicity of ovarian cancer in the context of the Lynch syndrome, moreover reduced expression of MSH2 or MLH1 have been linked to reduced cisplatin sensitivity of testicular germ cell tumors with a differentiated teratoma component, so this mutation could partially explain the chemoresistance to cisplatin of the mature teratoma with yolk sac tumor component we reported here (40, 41). Loss of SMAD4 is known to be associated with tumor progression and chemoresistance in different types of cancer, while alterations in FGFR3 differently correlate with tumorigenesis and outcome in diverse carcinoma (42, 43). So, the identified somatic events could be responsible for the malignant transformation and chemotherapy resistance.

In conclusion, we identified and characterized for the first time a germline splicing variant in RB1 in an 18-year-old patient carrying a mixed ovarian germ cell tumor with components of mature teratoma and yolk sac tumor. The variant has been linked to tumor predisposition and additional events have been found by liquid biopsy to be involved in tumor progression and aggressiveness. These results provide a first news inside the genetics of mixed ovarian germ cell tumors, despite additional cases are needed to confirm the association between RB1 variants and OGCTs development.

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation, to any qualified researcher.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

Written informed consent was obtained from the next of kin for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

EG performed the experiments and drafted the manuscript. CF, FV, AG, MP, and RT contributed to the experiments and data acquisition. FC, LL, AF, and MM contributed to histologic data interpretations, clinical data collections, and genetic counseling. FA and AR made substantial contributions to conception of the study and reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was generated within the ERN PaedCan [European Reference Network on pediatric cancer (haemato-oncology)].

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2020.01467/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2020.01467/full#supplementary-material)

**REFERENCES**

1. Weiss NS, Homonchuk T, Young JL. Incidence of the histologic types of ovarian cancer: the U.S. Third National Cancer Survey, 1969-1971. Gynecol Oncol. (1977) 5:161–7. doi: 10.1016/0090-8258(77)90208-8

2. Shaaban AM, Revzani M, Elays M, Baskin H, Mourad A, Foster BR, et al. Ovarian malignant germ cell tumors: cellular classification and clinical and imaging features. Radiographics. (2014) 34:777–801. doi: 10.1148/rg.343130067

3. Tullius BP, Shankar SP, Cole S, Triano V, Aradhya S, Huang EC, et al. Novel heterozygous mutation in the PTEN gene associated with ovarian germ cell tumor complicated by growing teratoma syndrome and overgrowth in a two-year-old female. Pediatr Blood Cancer. (2019) 66:e27788. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27788

4. Hanen N, Wong N, Alpert L, Galvez M, Foulkes WD. Mixed ovarian germ cell tumor in a BRCA2 mutation carrier. Int J Gynecol Pathol. (2007) 26:161–4. doi: 10.1097/01.pgp.0000228142.52054.0f

5. Werness RA, Ramus SJ, Whittermore AS, Garlinghouse-Jones K, Oakley-Girvan I, DiCioccio RA, et al. Primary ovarian dysgerminoma in a patient with a germline BRCA1 mutation. Int J Gynecol Pathol. (2000) 19:390–4. doi: 10.1097/00004347-200010000-00017

6. Classon M, Harlow E. The retinoblastoma tumor suppressor in development and cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. (2002) 2:910–7. doi: 10.1038/nrc950

7. Knudson AG. Mutation and cancer: statistical study of retinoblastoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (1971) 68:820–3. doi: 10.1073/pnas.68.4.820

8. Burkhardt DL, Sage J. Cellular mechanisms of tumour suppression by the retinoblastoma gene. Nat Rev Cancer. (2008) 8:671–82. doi: 10.1038/nrc2399

9. Morris EJ, Dyson NJ. Retinoblastoma protein partners. Adv Cancer Res. (2001) 82:1–54. doi: 10.1016/S0065-230X(01)82001-7

10. Dick FA, Goodrich DW, Sage J, Dyson NJ. Non-canonical functions of the RB protein in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer. (2018) 18:442–51. doi: 10.1038/s41568-018-0008-5

11. Cryns VL, Thor A, Xu HJ, Hu SX, Wierman ME, Vickery AL, et al. Loss of the retinoblastoma tumor-suppressor gene in parathyroid carcinoma. N Engl J Med. (1994) 330:757–61. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199403173301105

12. Hansen MF, Koufos A, Gallie BL, Phillips RA, Fodstad O, Brøgger A, et al. Osteosarcoma and retinoblastoma: a shared chromosomal mechanism revealing recessive predisposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (1985) 82:6216–20. doi: 10.1073/pnas.82.18.6216

13. Weinberg RA. Tumor suppressor genes. Science. (1991) 254:1138–46. doi: 10.1126/science.1659741

14. Imbert-Bouteille M, Gauthier-Villars M, Leroux D, Meunier I, Aerts I, Lumbroso-Le Rouic L, et al. Osteosarcoma without prior retinoblastoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2007) 104:13118–23. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0706304104
15. Eng C, Li FP, Abramson DH, Ellsworth RM, Wong FL, Goldman MB, et al. Mortality from second tumors among long-term survivors of retinoblastoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (1993) 85:1121–8. doi: 10.1093/jnci/85.14.1121
16. Yu C-L, Tucker MA, Abramson DH, Furukawa K, Seddon JM, Stovall M, et al. Cause-specific mortality in long-term survivors of retinoblastoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* (2009) 101:581–91. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djp646
17. Marees T, van Leeuwen FE, de Boer MR, Ihmoh SM, Ringens PJ, Moll AC. Cancer mortality in long-term survivors of retinoblastoma. *Eur J Cancer.* (2009) 45:3245–53. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2009.05.011
18. Buiting K, Kanber D, Horsthemke B, Lohmann D. Imprinting of RB1 (the new kid on the block). *Brief Funct Genomics.* (2010) 9:347–53. doi: 10.1093/bfgp/elq014
19. Kanber D, Berulava T, Ammerpohl O, Mitter D, Richter J, Siebert R, et al. The human retinoblastoma gene is imprinted. *PLoS Genet.* (2009) 5:e1000790. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000790
20. Imperatore V, Pinto AM, Gelli E, Trevisson E, Morbidoni V, Frullanti E, et al. Parent-of-origin effect of hypomorphic pathogenic variants and somatic mosaicism impact on phenotypic expression of retinoblastoma. *Eur J Hum Genet.* (2018) 26:1026–37. doi: 10.1038/s41431-017-0054-6
21. Eloy P, Dehainault C, Sefta M, Aerts I, Doz F, Cassoux N, et al. A parent-of-origin effect impacts the phenotype in low penetrance retinoblastoma families segregating the c.1981C>T/p.Arg661Trp mutation of RB1. *PLoS Genet.* (2016) 12:e1005888. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005888
22. Gemmiardi M, Klutz M, Devriendt K, Caruso D, Stirpe M, Lohmann DR. Multiple lipomas linked to an RB1 gene mutation in a large pedigree with low penetrance retinoblastoma. *Eur J Hum Genet.* (2001) 9:690–4. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejhg.5200694
23. Vaser R, Adusumalli S, Leng SN, Sikic M, Ng PC. SIFT missense predictions for genomes. *Nat Protoc.* (2016) 11:1–9. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2015.123
24. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods.* (2010) 7:248–9. doi: 10.1038/nmeth0410-248
25. Niroula A, Urolalin S, Vihinen M. PON-P2: prediction method for fast and reliable identification of harmful variants. *PLoS ONE.* (2015) 10:e0117380. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117380
26. Rentzsch P, Witten D, Cooper GM, Shendure J, Kircher M. CADD: predicting the deleteriousness of variants throughout the human genome. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2019) 47:D886–94. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1016
27. Bromberg Y, Rost B. SNAP: predict effect of non-synonymous polymorphisms on function. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2007) 35:3823–35. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkm238
28. Schwarz JM, Cooper DN, Schuelke M, Seelow D. MutationTaster2: mutation prediction for the deep-sequencing age. *Nat Methods.* (2014) 11:361–2. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2890
29. Shihab HA, Gough J, Mort M, Cooper DN, Day INM, Gaunt TR. Ranking non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms based on disease concepts. *Hum Genomics.* (2014) 8:11. doi: 10.1186/1479-7364-8-11
30. Gershenson DM. Management of ovarian germ cell tumors. *J Clin Oncol.* (2007) 25:2938–43. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.10.8738
31. Gershenson DM. Current advances in the management of malignant germ cell and sex cord-stromal tumors of the ovary. *Gynecol Oncol.* (2012) 125:515–7. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2012.03.019
32. Cecchini MJ, Dick FA. The biochemical basis of CDK phosphorylation-independent regulation of E2F1 by the retinoblastoma protein. *Biochem J.* (2011) 434:297–308. doi: 10.1042/BJ20101210
33. Mayank AK, Sharma S, Dihswal RK, Lal SK. LIMD1 antagonizes E2F1 activity and cell cycle progression by enhancing Rb function in cancer cells. *Cell Biol Int.* (2014) 38:809–17. doi: 10.1002/cbi.21066
34. Sharp TV, Munoz F, Bourbouilla D, Presneau N, Darai E, Wang H-W, et al. LIM domains-containing protein 1 (LIMD1), a tumor suppressor encoded at chromosome 3p21.3, binds pRB and represses E2F-driven transcription. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* (2004) 101:16531–6. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0407123101
35. Yang Q-E, Nagaoka SI, Gwost I, Hunt PA, Oakley JM. Inactivation of retinoblastoma protein (RB1) in the oocyte: evidence that dysregulated follicle growth drives ovarian teratoma formation in mice. *PLoS Genet.* (2015) 11:e1005355. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1005355
36. Mayer F, Mueller S, Malenke E, Kuczyn M, Hartmann JT, Bokemeyer C. Induction of apoptosis by flaviprid unrelated to cell cycle arrest in germ cell tumour derived cell lines. *Invest New Drugs.* (2005) 23:205–11. doi: 10.1007/s10637-005-6728-x
37. Schroder W. Similar histological patterns in a bilateral malignant teratoma of the ovary and a previous retinoblastoma in a girl. *Oncol Res Treat.* (1991) 14:437–9. doi: 10.1159/000217021
38. Gallie BL, Campbell C, Devlin H, Dukett A, Squire JA. Developmental basis of retinal-specific induction of cancer by RB mutation. *Cancer Res.* (1999) 59:1731–5.
39. Engeland K. Cell cycle arrest through indirect transcriptional repression by p53: I have a DREAM. *Cell Death Differ.* (2018) 25:114–32. doi: 10.1038/cdd.2017.172
40. Nakamura K, Banno K, Yanokura M, Iida M, Adachi M, Masuda K, et al. Features of ovarian cancer in Lynch syndrome (Review). *Mol Clin Oncol.* (2014) 2:909–16. doi: 10.3892/mco.2014.397
41. Rudolph C, Melau C, Nielsen JE, Vile Jensen K, Liu D, Pena-Diaz J, et al. Involvement of the DNA mismatch repair system in cisplatin sensitivity of testicular germ cell tumours. *Cell Oncol.* (2017) 40:341–55. doi: 10.1007/s13402-017-0326-8
42. Zhao M, Mishra L, Deng C-X. The role of TGF-β/Smad4 signaling in cancer. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2018) 14:111–23. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.23230
43. Feng S, Zhou L, Nice EC, Huang C. Fibroblast growth factor receptor: multifactorial-contributors to tumor initiation and progression. *Histol Histopathol.* (2015) 30:13–31. doi: 10.14670/HH.30.13

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Gelli, Fallerini, Valentino, Giliberti, Castiglione, Palmieri, Fabbiani, Tita, Mencarelli, Renieri and Ariani. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.