Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-Bisphosphate Induces Actin Stress-fiber Formation and Inhibits Membrane Ruffling in CV1 Cells
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Abstract. Phosphatidylinositol 4,5 bisphosphate (PIP$_2$) is widely implicated in cytoskeleton regulation, but the mechanisms by which PIP$_2$ affects cytoskeletal changes are not defined. We used recombinant adenovirus to infect CV1 cells with the mouse type I phosphatidylinositol phosphate 5-kinase α (PIP5KI), and identified the players that modulate the cytoskeleton in response to PIP$_2$ signaling. PIP5KI overexpression increased PIP$_2$ and reduced phosphatidylinositol 4 phosphate (PI4P) levels. It promoted robust stress-fiber formation in CV1 cells and blocked PDGF-induced membrane ruffling and nucleated actin assembly. Y-27632, a Rho-dependent serine/threonine protein kinase (ROCK) inhibitor, blocked stress-fiber formation and inhibited PIP$_2$ and PI4P synthesis in cells. However, Y-27632 had no effect on PIP$_2$ synthesis in lysates, although it inhibited PI4P synthesis. Thus, ROCK may regulate PIP$_2$ synthesis by controlling PI4P availability. PIP5KI overexpression decreased gelsolin, profilin, and capping protein binding to actin and increased that of ezrin. These changes can potentially account for the increased stress fiber and non-ruffling phenotype. Our results establish the physiological role of PIP$_2$ in cytoskeletal regulation, clarify the relation between Rho, ROCK, and PIP$_2$ in the activation of stress-fiber formation, and identify the key players that modulate the actin cytoskeleton in response to PIP$_2$.
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Introduction

Phosphatidylinositol 4,5 bisphosphate (PIP$_2$) modulates many actin regulatory proteins in vitro (Yin and Stull, 1999), and manipulations of intracellular PIP$_2$ levels have profound effects on the actin cytoskeleton. Overexpression of a 5'-PIP$_2$ phosphatase to change PIP$_2$ levels (Sakisaka et al., 1997), or a pleckstrin homology domain of PLCγ to sequester PIP$_2$ dissipates actin stress fibers and decreases the attachment of stress fibers to the plasma membrane (Rausher et al., 2000). Deletion of a 5'-PIP$_2$ phosphatase gene severely compromises synaptic vesicle recycling (Cremo et al., 1999). Overexpression of type I phosphatidylinositol phosphate 5-kinase (PIP5KI), the enzyme that accounts for most of the synthesis of PIP$_2$ from phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P), induces dramatic actin rearrangements. Paradoxically, there is a wide range of actin phenotypes, depending on the type of cells used. These include comet-like actin tails (Rozelle et al., 2000), microvilli (Matsui et al., 1999), membrane ruffles (Honda et al., 1999), and abnormal pine needle–like structures (Sakisaka et al., 1997). It is difficult to explain why overexpression of the same enzyme has pleiotropic effects. One potential explanation is that the different cell backgrounds may favor a unique combinatorial involvement of subsets of potential players. For example, many small GTPases including Rho (Chong et al., 1994; Weernink et al., 2000), Rac (Tolias et al., 2000), Arf6 (Honda et al., 1999), and Arf1 (Jones et al., 2000) affect PIP5KI activity, and many actin regulatory proteins are regulated by PIP$_2$ in vitro. The large number of potential upstream regulators and downstream effectors of PIP5KI and the use of transient PIP5KI overexpression have confounded biochemical analysis. Thus there is still considerable disagreement as to which small GTPases are required, and the major players that

Abbreviations used in this paper: ADF, actin depolymerizing factor; BDM, 2,3-butanedione 2 monoxide; ERM, ezrin/radixin/moesin; HA, hemagglutinin; HSP, high-speed pellet; HSS, high-speed supernatant; LSP, low-speed pellet; PI4P, phosphatidylinositol 4 phosphate; PIP$_2$, phosphatidylinositol 4,5 bisphosphate; PIP5KI, type I phosphatidylinositol phosphate 5-kinase α; PS, phosphatidylinositol; ROCK, Rho-dependent serine/threonine kinase; TLC, thin layer chromatography.
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generate a particular cytoskeletal phenotype after PIP5KI overexpression have not been defined in most cases.

In this study, we report that adenovirus-mediated PIP5KI overexpression in CV1 cells, a fibroblast-like cell line derived from African green monkey kidneys, induces the formation of robust stress fibers and inhibits membrane ruffling after PDGF stimulation. We capitalized on these findings to examine the relation between Rho and PIP5KI and to identify the PIP2-regulated players that promote stress-fiber formation and curtail membrane ruffling in these cells.

Materials and Methods

Adenovirus-mediated Gene Transfer

Recombinant adenovirus vectors expressing β-gal, hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged mouse PIP5KIIα or HA-tagged PIP5KIIα (K138A) were constructed as described in Shibasaki et al. (1997). CV1 cells were infected with virus at a multiplicity of 10 for 2 h, washed, and then cultured in serum-free medium for 24 h.

Fluorescence Microscopy

Cells were fixed in formaldehyde, permeabilized with Triton X-100, and stained with 0.7 μM rhodamine-phalloidin for 30 min. Serum-starved cells with thick, longitudinal stress fibers in randomly chosen fields were scored and expressed as a percentage of PIP5KI-overexpressing cells.

Serum-starved CV1 cells (CCL 70; American Type Culture Collection) were stimulated with PDGF (50 ng/ml) for 25 min, and then stained with rhodamine-phalloidin. Cells with dorsal or lateral ruffles (Sun et al., 1997) were counted and expressed as a percentage of PIP5KI-overexpressing cells.

Inhibitors

Y-27632 compound, a specific inhibitor of Rho-dependent serine/threonine kinase (ROCK; Ishizaki et al., 2000), was provided by M. Uehata (Yoshitomi Pharmaceutical Industries, Osaka, Japan). 2,3-Butanediol 2 monoxide (BDM), a myosin ATPase inhibitor (Cramer and Mitchison, 1995), was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. HA-1077, a ROCK inhibitor (Weernink et al., 2000), was from Calbiochem.

Detection of [32P]-labeled Phospholipids in Cells

Cells were loaded in 4 h in phosphate-free DMEM and 40 μCi/ml [32P]-PO4. Lipids were extracted, resolved by thin layer chromatography (TLC), and detected by autoradiography (Rozelle et al., 2000). Lipid standards were detected with iodine vapor.

Phospholipid Mass Quantitation by High Pressure Liquid Chromatography

Cells in a 100-mm dish were washed with ice-cold PBS, rapidly scraped on ice, and fixed with 660 μl cold MeOH:HCl (40:1), 330 μl CHCl3, and added, and the sample was transferred to an eppendorf tube (the final solution contains CHCl3∙MeOH:HCl in a 20:40:1 ratio). The mixture was vortexed vigorously, and 300 μl of cold CHCl3 was added. After centrifugation, the lower phase (containing lipids) was washed with 1 ml MeOH, 0.1 M EDTA (1:0.9 vol/vol), and dried under nitrogen. Phospholipids were decylated by incubation with 1 ml methyamine reagent (25% methyamine in water, MeOH, n-butanol: 42.8%:45.8%:11.4%) at 53°C for 50 min (Clarke and Dawson, 1981; Bocckino et al., 1989). The lower phase was dried in a Speed-Vac, resuspended in 0.5 ml water, and extracted twice with an equal volume of n-butanol:light petroleum ether:ethereal formate (20:4:1). The aqueous phase was dried under nitrogen, resuspended in water, and subjected to anion-exchange HPLC in an Ionpac AS11 column. Negatively charged glycerol head groups were eluted with a 10–80 mM NaOH gradient and detected online by suppressed conductivity. Negatively charged glycerol head groups were eluted with a 10–80 mM NaOH gradient and detected online by suppressed conductivity. Negatively charged glycerol head groups were eluted with a 10–80 mM NaOH gradient and detected online by suppressed conductivity. Negatively charged glycerol head groups were eluted with a 10–80 mM NaOH gradient and detected online by suppressed conductivity. Negatively charged glycerol head groups were eluted with a 10–80 mM NaOH gradient and detected online by suppressed conductivity.

Under these conditions, there was a linear increase in 32P incorporation with time. The reaction was terminated after 10 min at room temperature by adding 1 ml chlorormethanol:1 N HCl (5:10:4 vol/vol). Lipids extracted in the chloroform phase were spotted on TLC plates.

Microinjection

Botulinum C3 exoenzyme (C3) ADP-ribosylates and inactivates Rho. Recombinant C3 was obtained by bacterial expression using a glutathione-S-transferase–tagged cDNA clone. The C3 protein was purified by Ni-agarose chromatography and resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HEP. pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, and 0.1 mM dithiothreitol (kinase buffer) supplement. Under these conditions, there was a linear increase in 32P incorporation with time. The reaction was terminated after 10 min at room temperature by adding 1 ml chlorormethanol:1 N HCl (5:10:4 vol/vol). Lipids extracted in the chloroform phase were spotted on TLC plates.

In Vitro Actin Nucleation Assay

Nucleated actin assembly was quantitated by monitoring the rate of addition of exogenous pyrene-labeled actin in cell lysates (Chan et al., 1998). CV1 cells were stimulated with PDGF (50 ng/ml) for 25 min, and permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 in a solution containing 60 mM Pipes, 25 mM Hepes, 10 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2, 1 μM phalloidin, and protease inhibitors, pH 7.4. Pyrene-labeled actin was added to a final concentration of 1 μM, and actin polymerization was monitored in a fluorimeter. 2 μM cytochalasin B was added to parallel samples to block actin polymerization from the barbed end. Under these conditions, pyrene actin added to buffer alone did not polymerize, and cytochalasin B blocked polymerization from cell lysates by >95%. The rate of barbed-end nucleated actin assembly was obtained by calculating the difference between polymerization in the absence and presence of cytochalasin B. It provides an estimate of the number of polymerization-competent filament barbed ends at the time of cell lysis.

Protein Pull-down Assays

Gelsolin association with actin monomers was detected using two independent assays. (a) Immunoprecipitation. Cells were lysed in Triton X-100 in the presence of 1 mM EGTA. Gelsolin was immunoprecipitated with 2C4 monoclonal antigelsoin and washed under stringent conditions to depolymerize actin. As a result, all the actin bound to gelsolin are in 1:1 complexes (Chaponnier et al., 1987). The immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and proteins were detected by Western blotting. In some experiments, cells were metabolically labeled with [35S]translational [0.2 μCi/ml] for 16 h in methionine-free medium. Immunoprecipitated radioactive bands were detected by autoradiography and their intensity was determined by densitometry scanning. (b) DNaseI-sepharose pull down. Actin monomers bind DNaseI and many proteins that bind actin monomers are pulled down as well. Blank sepharose beads were used as controls for nonspecific binding.

Profilin binding to actin was detected using DNaseI sepharose and poly-L-proline sepharose (Lindberg et al., 1988). Profilin and actin were detected by silver staining or by Western blotting. The polyclonal antiprofilin was generated in our laboratory (Sun et al., 1999), and antiaactin was from Sigma-Aldrich.

Actin Depolymerizing Factor Phosphorylation

An antibody against recombinant chicken actin depolymerizing factor (ADF) was generated and affinity purified in our laboratory. The ADF
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We also tested the effect of the PIP5KI K138A mutant that has minimal kinase activity in vitro, but paradoxically was reported to alter the actin cytoskeleton after overexpression (Ishihara et al., 1998). We now find that PIP5KI K138A increased $^{32}$P-PIP$_2$ level to 210% of control, and decreased PIP synthesis to 41% of control (Fig. 1 A). Although the increase in PIP$_2$ synthesis is less than that observed with wild-type PIP5KI, it appears to be sufficient to cause a moderate induction of stress fibers (Fig. 2 A). Recently, a bona fide kinase-dead mutant that does not increase PIP$_2$ stress level in cells has been described (Tolias et al., 2000). This kinase-dead mutant had no effect on the actin cytoskeleton when overexpressed (data not shown).

Tabe I. Effect of PIP5KI Overexpression on

| Carboxylic/PI | PS/PI | PIP$_4$/PI | PIP$_2$/PI |
|---------------|-------|------------|------------|
| β-Gal         | 1.31 ± 0.25 | 0.54 ± 0.11 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.03 ± 0.00 |
| PIP5KI       | 1.20 ± 0.12 | 0.56 ± 0.28 | 0.03 ± 0.00 | 0.06 ± 0.01 |

Lipids from cells overexpressing PIP5KI or β-gal adenovirus were extracted and deacylated. The extracts were analyzed by HPLC. The area of the peak for each phospholipid was expressed relative to that of phosphatidylinositol. Values given are mean ± SEM of three independent experiments.

Results and Discussion

**PIP5KI Overexpression Increases PIP$_2$ Levels**

We used adenovirus to introduce HA-PIP5KI into cells. Immunofluorescence staining with anti–HA showed that close to 95% of the CV1 cells were infected by this procedure. The high percentage of infected cells allowed us to use biochemical assays to determine precisely how the phosphoinositide levels are changed and how individual players in the regulatory pathway are affected. Using TLC to monitor the phosphoinositide levels, we found that in PIP5KI-overexpressing cells, $^{32}$P incorporation into PIP$_2$ and PI4P in the experiment shown in Fig. 1 A was 380 and 30%, respectively, of that of β-gal–infected cells. These results established the extent to which PIP$_2$ level was increased, and showed that there was a reciprocal relation between PIP$_2$ and PI4P. Although PI4P is generally assumed to be present in large excess compared with PIP$_2$, our results suggest that the possibility that a subset of the PI4P pools may be limiting in these cells and that PIP5KI overexpression depletes this PI4P pool by converting it to PIP$_2$.

Table I. Effect of PIP5KI Overexpression on Phospholipid Profile

| Carboxylic/PI | PS/PI | PIP$_4$/PI | PIP$_2$/PI |
|---------------|-------|------------|------------|
| β-Gal         | 1.31 ± 0.25 | 0.54 ± 0.11 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.03 ± 0.00 |
| PIP5KI       | 1.20 ± 0.12 | 0.56 ± 0.28 | 0.03 ± 0.00 | 0.06 ± 0.01 |

Fractionation of Actin Pools

Actin pools were assayed by differential centrifugation of Triton X-100 cell extracts (Watts et al., 1991). Lysates were centrifuged sequentially at 15,900 g for 2 min, and then at 366,000 g for 20 min. The pellets from each centrifugation step were resuspended into the original lysate volume. Samples were boiled in SDS gel sample buffer, and equal fractions of each pool were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Antibodies to capping protein and ezrin were pro-
complete agreement, establishing that there was an increase in PI₃, level and a concomitant decrease in PI₄P.

Although PI₃ is a substrate for the PI 3-kinase, we did not detect an increase in PI(3,4,5)P₃ synthesis after PIP5KI overexpression, using either the TLC (Fig. 1 A) or HPLC (B) methods. Therefore, the PIP5KI-induced actin phenotype is unlikely to be mediated through PI(3,4,5)P₃.

**PIP5KI Overexpression Induces Stress-Fiber Formation**

The PIP5KI-overexpressing CV1 cells cultured in serum-free medium had a markedly different shape and actin cytoskeleton than control cells. They were rectangular (Fig. 2 A, top right) and had long, thick stress fibers that were aligned along the cell’s longitudinal axis. Their plasma membrane was lined with bright phalloidin staining, suggesting that there is a robust membrane actin cytoskeleton. This is consistent with a recent study showing that PI₃ maintains the strength of cytoskeletal-plasma membrane adhesion (Raucher et al., 2000). In contrast, control cells were polygonal and had wispy actin filaments characteristic of serum-starved cells (Fig. 2 A, top left). Their actin filament bundles were much shorter and not aligned longitudinally. Their plasma membrane was only weakly stained with phalloidin.

On rare occasions (<3% of cells), actin comet tails were found in PIP5KI-overexpressing CV1 cells, and some of these comets protrude from the plasma membrane (Fig. 2 A, bottom right, arrow). As described previously, cells forming comets have decreased stress fibers (Rozelle et al., 2000). Addition of serum or PDGF did not increase the rate of comet formation in CV1 cells (data not shown). In contrast, PIP5KI-overexpressing REF52 cells and Swiss 3T3 cells generate comets predominantly and dissolve stress fibers (Rozelle et al., 2000). We cannot explain why PIP5KI generates such different phenotypes in the three cell lines examined thus far, but we suspect that the dominance of a unique subset of regulatory proteins within each cellular context determines the final outcome. PIP5KI K138A also increased stress fibers in CV1 cells, but to a lesser extent than the wild-type enzyme (Fig. 2 A, bottom left). The cells were not as elongated. The milder phenotype is consistent with reduced PI₃ synthesis (Fig. 1).

Direct quantitation shows that while only 10.8 ± 3.3% of β-gal adenovirus-infected cells had thick stress fibers, 72.0 ± 10.2% and 44.8 ± 5.2% of the PIP5KI wild-type and K138A-overexpressing cells had thick stress fibers, respectively (Fig. 2 B).

**PIP5KI Overexpression Inhibits Membrane Ruffling**

Growth factors induce membrane ruffling by promoting actin polymerization from the barbed ends of actin nucleating sites under the plasma membrane (Chan et al., 1998; Svitkina and Borisy, 1999; Borisy and Svitkina, 2000; Cooper and Schafer, 2000). We examined the effect of PIP5KI on PDGF-induced membrane ruffling. Direct counting shows that while 22.1 ± 3.1% of control cells formed ruffles after PDGF treatment, only 1.7 ± 1.3% of PIP5KI-overexpressing cells did so (Fig. 2 B).

Because ruffling requires the coordinated activation of many actin regulatory proteins, the inability to ruffle could be due to a variety of problems. These include the inability to generate polymerization-competent actin nucleation sites, limited availability of actin monomers for polymerization, and slower rate of actin addition to the barbed ends of the actin nuclei. To sort out some of these possibilities, we used an in vitro actin nucleation assay to determine whether PIP5KI-overexpressing cells were able to generate actin nucleation sites in response to PDGF. As described previously (Azuma et al., 1998; Chan et al., 1998), the lysates of PDGF-treated control cells had a higher rate of barbed end actin assembly (Fig. 2 C). In contrast, cell extracts from PDGF-treated PIP5KI-overexpressing cells did not show an increase in actin nucleation.
Thus, inhibition of membrane ruffling could be due to an inability to generate actin nuclei. Polymerization-competent actin nucleating sites are formed by a number of mechanisms (Yin and Stull, 1999). These include severing actin filaments by gelsolin and ADF/cofilin, uncapping of preexisting nuclei by dissociation of capping protein (also known as CapZ), or de novo formation of actin nuclei through the WASP:Arp2/3 complex pathway. We will describe experiments to identify the basis for the inability to mount an actin polymerization response.

**The Relation between PIP5KI, Rho, and ROCK**

We used inhibitors to examine how Rho may be involved in the PIP5KI-induced actin phenotype. Microinjected C3 decreased stress-fiber formation in PIP5KI-overexpressing cells significantly, establishing that Rho is involved. C3 also restored membrane ruffling (Fig. 3, A and B). Since C3 did not stimulate ruffling of β-gal cells, we conclude that membrane ruffling was inhibited as a result of excess stress-fiber formation.

Rho activates multiple effectors that can promote stress-fiber formation (Maekawa et al., 1999; Watanabe et al., 1999) (see Fig. 9). Among these, ROCK has recently been implicated in the stimulation of PIP2 synthesis (Weernink et al., 2000), inhibition of ADF/cofilin through LIM kinases (Arber et al., 1998; Yang et al., 1998; Sumi et al., 1999), and activation of actomyosin by inhibiting the myosin light chain phosphatase (Kimura et al., 1996). We therefore tested the effect of a specific, cell-permeant ROCK inhibitor, Y-27632, on PIP5KI-induced stress-fiber formation and PIP2 synthesis. Y-27632 dramatically reduced stress fibers in PIP5KI-overexpressing cells and reduced their plasma membrane phalloidin staining (Fig. 4).

The most straightforward explanation for the inability of PIP5KI overexpression to overcome Y-27632 inhibition was that PIP5KI acts upstream of ROCK. However, this may be overly simplistic. It is also possible that PIP5KI acts downstream of ROCK but is regulated by ROCK even when overexpressed. Another possibility is that ROCK and PIP5KI are not directly linked, and that Y-27632 inhibited the players required for stress-fiber formation without changing PIP2 concentrations. To distinguish between these possibilities, we examined the effect of Y-27632 on PIP2 levels. Using TLC, we found that Y-27632 reduced 32P incorporation into PIP2 by intact cells significantly (to 64.4 ± 13.3%) (Fig. 5 A; Table II). At the same time, PI4P labeling was also decreased (to 49.8 ± 7.0%). HPLC measurements using unlabeled cells confirmed that there was a decrease in PIP2/PI and PI4P/PI mass ratios.

**Figure 3.** PIP5KI-induced stress-fiber formation is blocked by C3. CV1 cells were microinjected with purified C3 and fluorescein-IgG as an injection marker. After 30 min, cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained with rhodamine-phalloidin. (A) Pairwise images of rhodamine-phalloidin and fluorescein-IgG staining of two PIP5KI-overexpressing cells. The cell on the left was microinjected with C3 and fluorescein-IgG (arrow), and the one on the right was not injected. Scale bar, 40 μm. (B) Quantitation of the effect of C3 on stress-fiber formation and PDGF-induced membrane ruffling. Cells were microinjected with either C3 or fluorescein-IgG or with fluorescein-IgG alone (control). 60–80 microinjected cells were counted in each category, and the percentage of cells with thick stress fibers (in serum-starved conditions) or ruffles (after PDGF treatment) was indicated on the y axis. Data shown is from a single microinjection experiment, which is representative of two experiments.

**Figure 4.** Effect of ROCK and myosin ATPase inhibitors on the actin cytoskeleton. Cells were incubated with cell-permeant inhibitors and stained with rhodamine-phalloidin. (Top) β-Gal adenovirus-infected cells after incubation with 1 μM Y-27632 or 10 mM BDM for 1 h. (Bottom) PIP5KI overexpressing cells. Scale bar, 40 μm.
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Figure 5. Effect of Y-27632 on phosphoinositide synthesis. Incorporation of \(^{32}\)P into phospholipids was determined by autoradiography after TLC. (A) Intact cells. Cells were radiolabeled with \(^{32}\)P for 4 h and treated with 1 \(\mu\)M Y-27632 at the last hour of the labeling period. Result shown is from a single representative experiment done in triplicate. There was a slight retardation of the PI4P band in the Y-27632–treated PIP5KI-overexpressing cells, due to problems with solvent development during the TLC analysis. (B) In vitro kinase assays. Lysates were prepared from cells treated with Y-27632 were prepared from cells that were treated with Y-27632 as described in A, or from untreated cells. 10 \(\mu\)M Y-27632 was added to the former lysates, and 10 \(\mu\)g/ml C3 or buffer was added to the latter lysates. The samples were incubated for 15 min at room temperature before the initiation of the kinase assay. PI4P synthesis by \(\beta\)-gal cells was too low to be detected at this exposure. Results from multiple experiments were summarized in Table II.

(table II). The decrease is specific for these lipids because cardiopin/PI and PS/PI ratios in PIP5KI-overexpressing cells were not changed significantly from that of control cells (99.4 \(\pm\) 7.9% and 101.0 \(\pm\) 10.8, respectively, \(n = 4\)).

The parallel decrease in PI4P and PIP2 synthesis in intact cells raises the possibility that Y-27632 reduces PIP2 synthesis by inhibiting PI4P synthesis, rather than by directly inhibiting PIP5KI. We therefore examined the effect of Y-27632 on phosphoinositide synthesis in cell lysates, under conditions in which PI4P was not limiting. Lysates from PIP5KI-overexpressing cells actively synthesized both PIP2 and PI4P, while those from control cells synthesized much less PI4P (Fig. 5 B).

Y-27632 inhibited PI4P synthesis slightly in both lysates, but had no effect on PIP2 synthesis (Fig. 5 B; Table II). The lack of effect on PIP2 synthesis in vitro contrasts strikingly with the repression of PIP2 synthesis by Y-27632 in living cells (Fig. 5 A). Likewise, HA-1077 (another ROCK inhibitor) (Weernink et al., 2000) and C3 also inhibited PI4P but not PIP2 synthesis (Fig. 5 B; Table II).

The lack of effect of the ROCK inhibitors on PIP2 synthesis in cell lysates can be explained in several ways. One possibility is that detergent extraction disrupts the cytoarchitecture that mediates ROCK regulation of PIP5KI. Another is that Y-27632 does not inhibit PIP5KI directly, but affects PIP2 synthesis indirectly by limiting the availability of PI4P to PIP5KI in cells. This possibility is supported by the fact that overexpression of PIP5KI depletes PI4P (Fig. 1, A and B). It should be pointed out that, although ROCK stimulates PIP2 synthesis in cells (Weernink et al., 2000), and PIP5KI binds Rho (albeit in a GTP-independent manner; Ren et al., 1996), there is no evidence in the literature to show conclusively that Rho or ROCK directly activates PIP5KI (Ren et al., 1996; Weernink et al., 2000). The possibility that ROCK may regulate the synthesis of phosphoinositides other than PIP2 has not been considered previously and should be examined further.

It is known that actomyosin contraction promotes stress-fiber formation (Chrzanowska-Wodnicka and Burridge, 1996) and that ROCK promotes contraction by repressing the myosin light chain phosphatase (Kimura et al., 1996). We compared the effect of Y-27632 with that of BDM, which inhibits the myosin ATPase directly. While Y-27632 or BDM both blocked the stress-fiber formation, the BDM-treated PIP5KI-overexpressing cells retained their rectangular shape and the phalloidin staining of their plasma membrane, while the Y-27632–treated cells did not (Fig. 4). These differences suggest that Y-27632 blocks stress-fiber formation in PIP5KI-overexpressing cells not only by inhibiting myosin, but also by other mechanisms, some of which may be linked to the production of PIP2.

### Effects of PIP5KI Overexpression on PIP2-regulated Actin-severing Proteins

The formation of robust actin stress fibers and the inability to ruffle described here for PIP5KI-overexpressing cells are strikingly similar to the phenotype of gelsolin null fibroblasts (Witke et al., 1995; Azuma et al., 1998). Gelsolin is the most potent actin filament–severing protein identified to date (Sun et al., 1999). Genetic and biological studies have shown that gelsolin is a Rac effector that mediates dynamic actin turnover during membrane ruffling (Azuma et al., 1998). It is inhibited by PIP2 in vitro. Inhibition of gelsolin by increasing PIP2 in vivo is therefore expected to prevent it from severing actin filaments in cells, although this has not been demonstrated experimentally.

We therefore tried to determine whether PIP5KI overexpression inhibits gelsolin to generate a de facto gelsolin null phenotype. The gelsolin immunoprecipitates con-
the gelsolin-null phenotype obtained by completely eliminating actin filament severing. This result is indicative of a decrease in actin filament severing. Since gelsolin stoichiometrically severs actin filaments, this inhibition was apparently sufficient to recapitulate the gelsolin-null phenotype obtained by completely eliminating gelsolin.

Effects of PIP5KI overexpression on other actin regulatory proteins that promote dynamic actin assembly

Recently, there is increasing evidence that WASP:Arp2/3 complexes drive de novo actin assembly from membranes to promote membrane ruffling and vesicle trafficking (Rohatgi et al., 2000; Rozelle et al., 2000). WASP and related members such as N-WASP are activated by PIP2 (Miki et al., 1996; Higgs and Pollard, 2000; Rohatgi et al., 2000). It is therefore puzzling that CV1 cells do not mount a vigorous actin polymerization response to PDGF, as evidenced by the lack of ruffling or comet formation (Fig. 2, A and B), or generation of polymerization competent actin nuclei (C), even though N-WASP should presumably be activated at the WASP:Arp2/3 interface. Profilin, although not absolutely required for comet formation, increases the rate of actin polymerization by acting synergistically with ADF/cofilin (Didry et al., 1998; Loisel et al., 1999) and profilin (Borisy and Svitkina, 2000). Capping protein works primarily to terminate polymerization (Eddy et al., 1997) and to funnel actin monomers to privileged actin nuclei generated at the WASP:Arp2/3 interface. Profilin, although not absolutely required for comet formation, increases the rate of actin polymerization by acting synergistically with ADF/cofilin (Didry et al., 1998; Loisel et al., 1999) and prevents spontaneous actin polymerization. Both proteins are inhibited by PIP2 in vitro (Lassing and Lindberg, 1985; Schafer et al., 1996).

ADF/cofilin is a weaker severing protein than gelsolin, but it can profoundly decrease filament length by promoting actin dissociation from the pointed ends of actin filaments (Carlier et al., 1999). ADF/cofilin is inhibited by phosphoinositides (Yonezawa et al., 1990). Unfortunately, we were not able to determine whether PIP5KI overexpression altered ADF interaction with actin, because we could not detect ADF binding to actin, using immunoprecipitation or DNaseI-sepharose. As an alternative approach, we examined the effect of PIP5KI overexpression on the phosphorylation state of ADF. ADF is inhibited by LIM kinase, which is activated by ROCK (Arber et al., 1998; Sumi et al., 1999). Endogenous ADF was immunoprecipitated from 32P-labeled cells (Fig. 7). In duplicate experiments, the 32P-ADF/total ADF level of PIP5KI-overexpressing cells was 93.9% of that of β-gal-overexpressing control cells. Thus, PIP5KI overexpression had no effect on ADF phosphorylation. It remains to be shown whether ADF/cofilin interaction with actin is reduced by PIP2 in PIP5KI-overexpressing cells.
Proteins in the ezrin/radixin/moesin family (ERM) cross link actin filaments to the plasma membrane (Hirao et al., 1996). They are required for the reconstitution of Rho-dependent stress fiber and focal contact formation in permeabilized cells (Mackay et al., 1997), and they are activated by PIP2 in vitro (Hirao et al., 1996). PIP5KI overexpression in NIH3T3 cells enhances ERM phosphorylation and induces microvilli formation without increasing stress fibers (Matsui et al., 1999). Since our PIP5KI-overexpressing cells do not form microvilli but have thick stress fibers, we wanted to know if ezrin is involved.

PIP5KI overexpression increased ezrin association with actin filaments increased in the HSP and LSP and reduced that in the HSS (Fig. 8 B). These differences are particularly striking when ezrin was expressed as a function of actin. The ezrin/actin ratios are doubled and tripled in the LSP and HSP, respectively. A dramatic increase in ezrin binding to actin suggests that ezrin is likely to be the PIP2 regulator of membrane–cytoskeletal linkage.

A Model for How PIP2 Induces Stress-Fiber Formation

In conclusion, PIP5KI overexpression in CV1 cells stimulates stress-fiber formation, inhibits PDGF-induced membrane ruffling, and blocks the generation of polymerization-competent actin nuclei. The PIP5KI effect is dependent on PIP2 synthesis; the PIP5KI mutant K138A is less effective in increasing PIP2 level and is also less effective in promoting stress-fiber formation and blocking membrane ruffling. Stress-fiber formation has been ascribed to Rho activation, and membrane ruffling to Rac activation (Hall, 1998). The reciprocal effects of PIP5KI overexpression on stress-fiber formation and membrane ruffling suggest that the Rho dominates within the context of the CV1 cells, and this suppresses Rac-dependent actin...
Figure 9. Proposed involvement of PIP2 in stress-fiber assembly. The model is adapted from Maekawa et al. (1999) and Watanabe et al. (1999), and incorporates the finding that generation of a particular actin structure reflects the antagonistic as well as cooperative influences of multiple inputs. PIP5KI is regulated by multiple mechanisms. In this diagram, we focus on the Rho pathway, and show that the increase in PIP2 synthesis after PIP5KI overexpression is at least partly mediated through ROCK, because the ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 decreases PIP2 accumulation in cells. Our data suggest that inhibition may be due to a decrease in PI4P synthesis, although this is not yet established conclusively (?). The inhibitors used, and their sites of action, are indicated. The effect of PIP5KI overexpression on ADF/cofilin function was not determined, and hence the potential involvement of ADF/cofilin is denoted by a question mark. (Two-headed arrows) Reciprocal antagonistic actions, (black arrow) activation. The bar at the end of dashed line indicates inhibition after PIP5KI overexpression in CV1 cells. The dominance of signals to generate stress fibers in CV1 decreases the ability of the cells to ruffle their membrane, to form thin actin fibers, and to form actin comets.

structures. This mutually exclusive cytoskeletal response to Rho and Rac is supported by many other studies (Burridge, 1999; Rottner et al., 1999; Sander et al., 1999; Bito et al., 2000). In our previous study, we found that overexpression of PIP5KI in two other types of cells generates actin comets, decreases stress fibers, and suppresses membrane ruffling (Rozelle et al., 2000) through pathways that may be mediated by Cdc42. Therefore, the reciprocal antagonism between these small GTPases and their downstream effectors may explain why a particular actin phenotype dominates after PIP5KI overexpression, and that the phenotype is dependent on the cellular context.

PIP5KI induction of stress fibers can be considered in this context (Fig. 9). Watanabe et al. (1999) proposes that Rho promotes actin reorganization by a cooperative model. In this scheme, thick stress-fiber assembly is activated by ROCK kinase and antagonized by the mDia pathway, which uses profilin to form thin actin fibers. We show that PIP5KI overexpression altered the activity of several key actin regulatory proteins. We propose that these changes may account for the increase in thick stress fibers and inhibition of the mDia and Rac pathways. First, gelsolin is inhibited from severing actin filaments. This increases the overall length distribution of actin filaments and promotes bundling into stress fibers. It also decreases the number of actin nuclei that can be used to mount an actin nucleation response downstream of Rac activation. The PIP5KI overexpression phenotype is remarkably similar to that of gelsolin-null cells, supporting a cause and effect relation. Although we did not show that ADF/cofilin is inhibited as well (Fig. 9, ?), this is likely to be the case. Second, capping protein is inhibited, so actin polymerization is not terminated in a timely manner. As a result, filaments are longer and more readily cross linked into stress fibers. Third, profilin binding to actin is also reduced. The decrease in monomer sequestering capacity may promote spontaneous actin polymerization to account for the small increase in polymerized actin observed in Fig. 8. Inhibition of profilin will decrease the rate of filament elongation (Didry et al., 1998). Profilin inhibition will also compromise the mDia1 pathway (Watanabe et al., 1999). The balance is therefore shifted towards the formation of thick stress fiber in the antagonist ROCK stress-fiber pathway. Fourth, PIP2 promotes the activation of ERM to stabilize membrane–cytoskeletal linkage. ERM may in turn activate Rho in a positive feedback loop (Matsumi et al., 1999). The upregulation of integrin signaling can further activate Rho through bidirectional signaling (Schoenwaelder and Burridge, 1999).

PIP5KI overexpression inhibited membrane ruffling in all three types of cells examined thus far (this study; Rozelle et al., 2000). Inhibition of ruffling is likely to be due to PIP2 inhibition of gelsolin, capping protein, and profilin. These proteins generate actin nucleation sites, promote “funneling” of actin monomers to privileged sites, and ensure the continued supply of actin monomers to fuel actin polymerization. Some (capping protein) are essential components of the N-WASP:Arp2/3 actin polymerization machinery (Loisel et al., 1999), explaining why membrane ruffling is inhibited even when N-WASP itself should be activated by PIP2. The others (e.g., gelsolin and profilin) clearly have been implicated in dynamic actin reorganization. Our in vitro nucleation assay shows that there is a decrease in the number of polymerization-competent actin nuclei, which would be consistent with inhibition of actin nucleation per se. It is therefore reasonable to conclude that besides N-WASP, which is activated by PIP2, another target that is inhibited by PIP2 may also have a role in generating actin nucleation sites.

Although Rac and Rho have each been implicated in the activation of PIP5KI and LIM kinases, the balance in CV1 cells is tipped in favor of the Rho pathway. A similar antagonism has also been observed between membrane ruffling and actin comet formation, even though both use PIP2 and N-WASP (Rozelle et al., 2000). We therefore propose that the reciprocal antagonism between the signals to generate distinct actin structures may explain why increasing PIP2 in cells can produce pleiotrophic actin phenotypes. The data presented here elucidated the pathway by which PIP2 increases stress-fiber formation and inhibits membrane ruffling, and the involvement of ROCK in these responses.
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