Multiple length and time scales of dynamic heterogeneities in model glass-forming liquids: A systematic analysis of multi-point and multi-time correlations

Kang Kim¹,² and Shinji Saito¹,²,¶
¹) Institute for Molecular Science, Okazaki, Aichi 444-8585, Japan
²) School of Physical Sciences, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Okazaki, Aichi 444-8585, Japan

(Dated: 5 May 2014)

We report an extensive and systematic investigation of the multi-point and multi-time correlation functions to reveal the spatio-temporal structures of dynamic heterogeneities in glass-forming liquids. Molecular dynamics simulations are carried out for the supercooled states of various prototype models of glass-forming liquids such as binary Kob-Andersen, Wahnström, soft-sphere, and network-forming liquids. While the first three models act as fragile liquids exhibiting super-Arrhenius temperature dependence in their relaxation times, the last is a strong glass-former exhibiting Arrhenius behavior. First, we quantify the length scale of the dynamic heterogeneities utilizing the four-point correlation function. The growth of the dynamic length scale with decreasing temperature is characterized by various scaling relations that are analogous to the critical phenomena. We also examine how the growth of the length scale depends upon the model employed. Second, the four-point correlation function is extended to a three-time correlation function to characterize the temporal structures of the dynamic heterogeneities based on our previous studies [K. Kim and S. Saito, Phys. Rev. E 79, 060501(R) (2009); J. Chem. Phys. 133, 044511 (2010)]. We provide comprehensive numerical results obtained from the three-time correlation function for the above models. From these calculations, we examine the time scale of the dynamic heterogeneities and determine the associated lifetime in a consistent and systematic way. Our results indicate that the lifetime of the dynamical heterogeneities becomes much longer than the $\alpha$-relaxation time determined from a two-point correlation function in fragile liquids. The decoupling between the two time scales is remarkable, particularly in supercooled states, and the time scales differ by more than an order of magnitude in a more fragile liquid. In contrast, the lifetime is shorter than the $\alpha$-relaxation time in tetrahedral network-forming strong liquid, even at lower temperatures.

I. INTRODUCTION

Various liquids form disordered and amorphous solids if temperatures are reduced below their melting points while avoiding crystallizations. This transition to a disordered solid is known as the glass transition. A remarkable feature of supercooled states and glasses is the drastic increase in the viscosity and the structural relaxation time that accompanies non-exponentially observed in various time correlation functions. Understanding the universal mechanism of the slow dynamics in glass transitions is a challenging problem for condensed matter physics.

To tackle this problem, the notion of “spatially heterogeneous dynamics” or “dynamic heterogeneity” in glass-forming liquids has attracted much attention in recent decades and has been considered central to understanding the slow dynamics of glassess. Many theoretical, computational, and experimental efforts have been devoted to understanding of dynamic heterogeneities in glassy systems.

Experimentally, various nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and other spectroscopic techniques have revealed “heterogeneous” relaxation of the non-exponential decays in glassy systems. In such systems, the non-exponential relaxation can be explained as the superposition of individual particle contributions with different relaxation rates. A large number of molecular simulations have also provided information by allowing for the visualization of microscopic details regarding the molecular dynamics. These simulations have demonstrated direct evidences of the dynamic heterogeneities, i.e., molecular motions accompany correlated domains and, to some extent, exceed the molecular scale in a heterogeneous manner in both time and space. Experiments to directly visualize these dynamic heterogeneities have also been performed in colloidal glasses.

Those results have required characterizing and quantifying the length and time scales to determine the physical role of dynamic heterogeneities in the underlying mechanism of the glassy slow dynamics. Furthermore, such information regarding spatio-temporal structures would be indispensable to an assessment of the theoretical scenarios and hypotheses proposed thus far, such as the Adam–Gibbs, random first-order transition, dynamic facilitation, potential energy landscape, mode-coupling, replicated liquid, and frustration-limited domain approaches. Recent attention has focused on determining the physical origin of dynamic heterogeneities by proposing various length scales.
The aim of the present paper is to investigate the spatio-temporal structure of glass-forming systems using the four-point correlation function and the three-time correlation function. We systematically determine the length and time scales of dynamic heterogeneities for various glass models. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the simulation details of the glass-forming models used in this paper. In Sec. III, we present numerical calculations of the multi-point and multi-time correlation functions to characterize the spatio-temporal structure of dynamic heterogeneities. In Sec. III A, we briefly summarize numerical results using conventional two-point correlation functions and determine the relaxation times. Then, in Sec. III B, we evaluate the growing length scales of the dynamics heterogeneities in the models by analyzing the four-point correlation functions. Finally, in Sec. III C, the lifetimes of the dynamic heterogeneities are quantified from the three-time correlation functions, and their dependence on the model and fragility is examined. In Sec. IV, we summarize our results and provide concluding remarks.

II. SIMULATIONS OF MODEL GLASSES

In this study, we carry out extensive molecular dynamics simulations for three-dimensional binary mixtures in the microcanonical ensemble. The system contains $N_1$ particles of component 1 and $N_2$ particles of component 2 under periodic boundary conditions. The total number density is fixed at $\rho = N/V$ with the total number of particles $N = N_1 + N_2$ and a system volume $V$.

The models examined are the well-known prototype models of glass-forming liquids: the binary Kob–Andersen Lennard–Jones (KALJ) liquid. The binary Kob–Andersen model and its Weeks–Chandler–Andersen modification have also been proposed for experimental measurements.
nary Wahnström (WAHN) liquid\textsuperscript{165}, and binary soft-
sphere (SS) liquid\textsuperscript{166,167}. In addition, we also study a
model of a network-forming (NTW) liquid that mimics SiO\textsubscript{2} with short-range spherical potentials\textsuperscript{168}.

A. KALJ: binary mixture of Kob–Andersen Lennard–Jones
particles

The binary Lennard–Jones mixture is the most fre-
quently utilized model for the study of glass transi-
tions\textsuperscript{165,166,167,168} The pair potentials are given by

\[ v_{\alpha\beta}(r) = 4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\left[ \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r}\right)^{6} \right], \quad (1) \]

in which \( \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 2\} \) are the indexes of the particle
species. The energy and size ratios are \( \epsilon_{12}/\epsilon_{11} = 1.5, \)
\( \epsilon_{22}/\epsilon_{12} = 0.5 \) and \( \sigma_{12}/\sigma_{11} = 0.8, \)
\( \sigma_{22}/\sigma_{11} = 0.88, \) respectively. The masses of the two particle species are
equal, \( m_1 = m_2 = 1. \) The interaction is truncated at \( r = 2.5\sigma_{\alpha\beta}. \) The reduced units \( \sigma_{11}, \epsilon_1/k_B, \)
and \( \sqrt{m_1\sigma_{11}^2/\epsilon_1} \) are used in the model for length, tempera-
ture, and time, respectively. The time step is \( \Delta t = 0.001 \)
in the reduced time units. The total number density is fixed at \( \rho = 1.2 \) with \( N_1 = 800 \) and \( N_2 = 200. \) The
temperatures investigated are \( T = 0.7, 0.65, 0.6, 0.55, 0.5, \)
and 0.47.

B. WAHN: binary mixture of Wahnström Lennard–Jones
particles

The KALJ model is a non-additive mixture and thus
obeyes the so-called Lorentz–Berthelot combining rules
due to the strong attraction between components 1 and
2. Alternatively, the prototype model of the additive and
equimolar binary Lennard–Jones mixture is introduced
by Wahnström\textsuperscript{166,167} The interaction potentials are the
same as in Eq. (1), in which the size, mass, and energy
ratios are given as \( \sigma_1/\sigma_2 = 1/1.2, m_1/m_2 = 1/2, \)
and \( \epsilon_1/\epsilon_2 = 1, \) respectively. The Lorentz–Berthelot rules,

\[ \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\sigma_\alpha + \sigma_\beta}{2}, \quad \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \sqrt{\epsilon_\alpha\epsilon_\beta}, \quad (2) \]

are obeyed in this model. Simulation results will be
described in terms of the reduced units \( \sigma_1, \epsilon_1/k_B, \)
and \( \sqrt{m_1\sigma_{11}^2/\epsilon_1} \) for length, temperature, and time, respec-
tively. The system consists of \( N_1 = 500 \) and \( N_2 = 500 \)
particles with a fixed number density \( \rho = 0.75. \) A time
step of \( \Delta t = 0.001 \) is used. The temperatures investigat-
gated are \( T = 0.8, 0.75, 0.7, 0.65, 0.6, \) and 0.58.

C. SS: binary mixture of soft-sphere particles

We also study an equimolar binary mixture of soft-
sphere particles\textsuperscript{166,167} Particles interact via the soft-core
potentials

\[ v_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r}\right)^{12}, \quad (3) \]

with the cubic smoothing function \( v_{\alpha\beta}(r) = B(a-r)^3+C \)
for distances \( r > r_c = \sqrt{3}. \) The values of \( a, B, \) and \( C \) are
determined by the continuity conditions up to the second
derivative of \( v_{\alpha\beta}(r). \) The size, mass, and energy ratios are
the same as those of the WAHN model: \( \sigma_1/\sigma_2 = 1/1.2, \)
\( m_1/m_2 = 1/2, \) and \( \epsilon_1/\epsilon_2 = 1, \) respectively. Thus, this
model can be regarded as a purely repulsive interacting
system of the WAHN model. Simulation results will
be described in terms of the reduced units \( \sigma_1, \epsilon_1/k_B, \)
and \( \sqrt{m_1\sigma_{11}^2/\epsilon_1} \) for length, temperature, and time, respec-
tively.

The thermodynamic state of this model is usually char-
acterized by the following non-dimensional parameter:

\[ \Gamma = \rho \left( \frac{\epsilon_1}{k_BT} \right)^{1/4} l_0^{-3}, \quad (4) \]

in which \( l_0 \) represents the effective particle size defined by
\( 4\pi l_0^3 = (2\sigma_1)^3 + 2(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)^3 + (2\sigma_2)^3. \) In the
simulation, the total number density is given as \( \rho = l_0^{-3} \)
with \( N_1 = N_2 = 500. \) The investigated states are
\( \Gamma = 1.30, 1.35, 1.38, 1.42, 1.45, \) and 1.47. The correspon-
ding temperatures are \( T = 0.350, 0.301, 0.276, 0.246, 0.220, \)
and 0.214 with a time step of \( \Delta t = 0.005. \)

D. NTW: tetrahedral network-forming liquids

In addition, we study a model of network-forming li-
luids interacting via spherical short-ranged potential\textsuperscript{168}
This model is simple model and imitates SiO\textsubscript{2} glasses,
in which tetrahedral networks strongly dominate the dyna-
mics with an Arrhenius behavior for the structural relaxa-
tion time, even near the glass transition temperature.
The interaction potentials are given as

\[ v_{\alpha\beta}(r) = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}\left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r}\right)^{12} - (1 - \delta_{\alpha\beta}) \left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\beta}}{r}\right)^{6}, \quad (5) \]

in which \( \delta_{\alpha\beta} \) is the Kronecker delta. The interaction is
trunaced at \( r = 2.5\sigma_{\alpha\beta}. \) The size and energy units are
determined as follows:

\[ \sigma_{12}/\sigma_{11} = 0.49 \quad \sigma_{22}/\sigma_{11} = 0.85 \quad (6) \]
\[ \epsilon_{12}/\epsilon_{11} = 24 \quad \epsilon_{22}/\epsilon_{11} = 1. \quad (7) \]
The mass ratio is determined as \( m_2/m_1 = 0.57 \) from the
same ratio of O and Si. The units of length, time, and
temperature are given as \( \sigma_{11}, \epsilon_1/k_B, \) and \( \sqrt{m_1\sigma_{11}^2/\epsilon_1}, \)
respectively. These parameters are adjusted to reproduce
the radial distribution functions of the SiO\textsubscript{2} amorphous
states. Tetrahedral networks are found to be formed due
to the highly asymmetric size ratio and the strong at-
traction between the different components\textsuperscript{168}. The den-
sity of the investigated system is \( \rho = 1.655, \) with particle
numbers \( N_1 = 1,000 \) and \( N_2 = 2,000. \) This value
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Intermediate scattering function and the $\alpha$-relaxation time

First, we study the conventional two-point density correlation function and determine the structural $\alpha$-relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$. The self-part of the intermediate scattering function of the component 1 particles

$$F_s(k,t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \exp[i\mathbf{k} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{r}_j(0,t)] \right\rangle,$$

is calculated for various glass-forming models. Here, $\Delta \mathbf{r}_j(0,t) \equiv \mathbf{r}_j(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(0)$ is the $j$th particle displacement vector at times 0 and $t$, and $\mathbf{k}$ is the wave vector.

The behavior of $F_s(k,t)$ at various temperatures is demonstrated in Fig. 1. The wave vector $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ is chosen so that the static structure factor of component 1, $S_{11}(k)$, marks the main peak as (a) $k = 7.25$, (b) 6.65, (c) 6.55, and (d) 8.0 for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively. From these calculations, we determine the $\alpha$-relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$ as $F_s(k,\tau_\alpha) = e^{-1}$.

In Fig. 2(a), the temperature dependence of $\tau_\alpha$ is plotted as a function of the inverse temperature $T_0 / T$. Here $T_0$ denotes the onset temperature introduced in the earlier work.\textsuperscript{106} We set $T_0$ as $T_0 = 0.8, 0.7, 0.3$, and 0.5 for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively. Below this onset temperature, $F_s(k,t)$ begins to develop a two-step relaxation in each model. Furthermore, the temperature dependence of $\tau_\alpha$ exhibits super-Arrhenius behavior in the KALJ, WAHN, and SS models. This behavior is typical for the fragile glass-forming liquids. In contrast, in the NTW model the tetrahedral networks begin to develop strongly below $T_0$. Correspondingly, the temperature dependence of the $\alpha$-relaxation time obeys the Arrhenius law.\textsuperscript{106} In addition, we summarize the power-law behavior as $\tau_\alpha \propto |T - T_c|^{-\Delta}$, as predicted by the mode-coupling theory.\textsuperscript{61,62} The results of the power-law fittings for the four model liquids are demonstrated in Fig. 2(b). We obtain the values of the exponent $\Delta$ and the mode-coupling temperature $T_c$ as $(\Delta, T_c) \approx (2.4, 0.435), (1.8, 0.56), (2.2, 0.198)$, and $(2.8, 0.31)$ for KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW liquids, respectively. Similar results have been reported for the KALJ, SS, and NTW models.$^{173}$ We also note that the power-law behavior of the NTW model is reliable over the limited temperature range, as investigated in the simulations for the BKS model.$^{172}$

B. Four-point correlations and the growing length scale of dynamic heterogeneities

To characterize the growth of the dynamic heterogeneities in supercooled states, the four-point correlation function is introduced to measure the correlation of the particle mobility field at a given time interval. There are several choices for the mobility field such as the particle displacement amplitude, the overlap function, and the intermediate scattering function. Physically,
the choice of the mobility field does not alter the fundamental meaning of the four-point correlation function. We here calculate the four-point dynamical susceptibility $\chi_4(k, t)$, which is defined as the variance of the self-part of the intermediate scattering function $F_s(k, t)$:

$$\chi_4(k, t) = N_1[(\hat{F}_s(k, t))^2 - \langle \hat{F}_s(k, t) \rangle^2].$$ (9)

We utilize $\hat{F}_s(k, t)$ expressed as

$$\hat{F}_s(k, t) = \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \cos[k \cdot \Delta r_j(0, t)],$$ (10)

with $F_s(k, t) = \langle \hat{F}_s(k, t) \rangle$. The total value of $\chi_4(k, t)$ is approximately proportional to the extension of the spatial correlations in dynamics with $k$ at a given time interval $t$ because $\chi_4(k, t)$ investigates the increasing deviation of the two-point correlation function $F_s(k, t)$ from the mean behavior, as is well documented in various studies[101,102] and as demonstrated in Fig. 3. $\chi_4(k, t)$ typically has its maximum value at the time scale of $\tau_s$, which increases as the temperature decreases. The growth of $\chi_4(k, t)$ for the strong NTW liquid is suppressed and is smaller than those of other fragile liquids. This behavior implies that the dynamic heterogeneity is less pronounced and plays a minor role in the strong liquid, as revealed in previous studies[101,102,103]. Therefore, the dynamics of the strong liquid can be interpreted as mostly occurring through the rearrangement of strongly connecting tetrahedral networks. Interestingly, a similar suppression of $\chi_4(k, t)$ in strong liquids, for longer times, has been observed in polydispersed systems[104,105], colloidal gels[106,107], and confined systems in random media[108,109].

The study of the spatial correlation of the four-point correlation function is also essential to extract the growing length scales $\xi$ of the dynamic heterogeneities. In this study, instead of the self-intermediate scattering function, we utilize the frequently used four-point correlation function using the overlap function, which is defined as follows:

$$S_4(q, t) = \frac{1}{N_1}(Q(q, t)Q(-q, t)),$$ (11)

$$Q(q, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} W_j(a, t) \exp[-i q \cdot r_j(0)],$$ (12)

with the wave vector $q = |q|$. Here, $W_j(a, t) = \Theta(a - |r_j(t) - r_j(0)|)$ is the overlapping function with the Heaviside step’s function $\Theta(x)$. The function $W_j(a, t)$ selects a particle that moves further than the distance $a$ during the time interval $t$. The value $a = 0.3$ is typically chosen. As studied in the previous study[105], the relaxation profile of the overlap function $Q(t) = (1/N_1)\sum_{j=1}^{N_1} W_j(a, t)$ with this probe length scale $a = 0.3$ approximately corresponds to that of the self-intermediate scattering function $F_s(k, t)$ with the wave number marking the main peak of the static structure factor. We also note that any significant differences in $S_4(q, t)$ are not observed if we choose $F_s(k, t)$ as the mobility field. Although recent reports have described the results of $S_4(q, t)$ using large-scale simulations[110,111,112], we revisit the identification of the correlation length $\xi$ from the four-point correlation function, Eq. (11). Accordingly, we use much larger systems with $N = 100,000$ for the KALJ, WAHN and SS liquids and $N = 90,000$ for the NTW liquid to calculate $S_4(q, t)$. The other parameters described in Sec. II are unchanged throughout the simulations. Correspondingly, the linear dimension of the system, in termed of the unit length, $L = V^{1/3}$, is given as $L = 43.68, 51.09, 51.27$, and $37.89$ for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the wave-number dependence of $S_4(q, t)$ on the time scale $\tau_\alpha$. As indicated in Fig. 4, $S_4(q, t)$ at the $\alpha$-relaxation time grows in small wave-numbers of $q$, particularly at low temperatures. This observation indicates that the mobile (or immobile) particles become highly correlated in space when the system undergoes supercooling. The behavior of $S_4(q, t)$ at small wave-numbers can be described by the Ornstein–Zernike (OZ) form:

$$S_4(q, t) = \frac{\chi(t)}{1 + (q\xi(t))^\alpha},$$ (13)

in which $\xi(t)$ is regarded as the length scale of the dynamic heterogeneity and $\chi(t)$ is the dynamic susceptibility at $q \rightarrow 0$. The OZ form with $\alpha = 2$ has been
used in previous studies. However, Fig. 4 shows that the exponent \( \alpha \) depends on the details of the simulation model. Figure 5 displays the scaled function \( S_4(q, \tau_\alpha) / \chi(\tau_\alpha) \) as a function of \( q_\xi(q_\tau) \). The results are in good agreement with \( \alpha \approx 2.4, 2.0, 2.4, \) and 1.5 for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively. The apparent difference in the exponent \( \alpha \) between the fragile (KALJ, WAHN, and SS) and strong (NTW) glass-forming liquids may be related to the change in geometrical characteristics of the heterogeneous motions if we employ an analogy to the critical phenomena. A similar relationship in the exponent has been found in kinetically constrained models (KCMs), in which a snapshot of the dynamic heterogeneity in strong KCM exhibits a smoother cluster structure than in fragile KCM model.

The values of the length scales \( \xi \) and the susceptibility \( \chi \) at the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time are determined from the fitting to Eq. (13). Figure 6(a) shows the temperature dependence of the correlation length \( \xi(\tau_\alpha) \). We find that the increasing length scale \( \xi(\tau_\alpha) \) with decreasing temperature can be described by the mode-coupling-like power-law \( \xi(\tau_\alpha) \sim (T - T_c)^{-\nu} \) at the investigated temperatures. The exponent is \( \nu \approx 0.5 \) for the fragile KALJ, WAHN, and SS models, whereas \( \nu \) decreases to \( \nu \approx 0.25 \) for the strong NTW model. However, the length \( \xi \) at lowest temperature \( T = 0.32 \) is apparently deviated from the power-law behavior of the NTW model, which is a strong liquid exhibiting the Arrhenius behavior. This limited power-law behavior is also observed in the temperature dependence of the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time (see Fig. 2(b)). In addition, we obtained the scaling relationship \( \tau_\alpha \sim \xi(\tau_\alpha)^z \) found in Fig. 6(b). In analogy to the dynamical critical phenomena, the exponent \( z \) is approximately determined by the relationship \( z = \Delta / \nu \), i.e., the exponent \( z \) becomes \( \approx 4.4, 4.8, 3.6, \) and 11.0 for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively.

We also examine the relationship between the susceptibility \( \chi(\tau_\alpha) \) and the correlation length \( \xi(\tau_\alpha) \) via the scaling \( \chi(\tau_\alpha) \sim \xi(\tau_\alpha)^{2-\eta} \), as demonstrated in Figure 6(c). These results indicate that the scaling exponent of each model is correlated to the value of the exponent \( \alpha \) utilized in the \( \xi_2 \) function, as shown in Eq. (13). In addition, the dynamic susceptibility \( \chi(\tau_\alpha) \) is approximated by the scaling relation \( \chi(\tau_\alpha) \sim |T - T_c|^{-\gamma} \) with \( \gamma = (2 - \eta)\nu \), as shown in Fig. 6(c). Similar dynamic criticality has been investigated in Refs. [11] and [100].

Here, we remark that a recent theoretical treatment has been presented based on mode-coupling approach, referred to as the inhomogeneous mode-coupling theory (IMCT). The IMCT predicts the scaling exponents to be \( \nu = 1/4 \) and \( 2 - \eta = 4 \) at the \( \alpha \)-relaxation time scale. To examine the validity of these predictions, intensive large-scale molecular simulations have been carried out. Our exponents, \( \approx 4.4 \) and \( 2 - \eta \approx 2.4 \), of the KALJ model are similar to the values obtained in Refs. [104] and [182]. In these findings, the molecular simulations disagree with the theoretical predictions. However, the IMCT does not investigate a four-point correla-

FIG. 4. Four-point static structure factor \( S_4(q, \tau_\alpha) \) at various temperatures as a function of the wave-number \( q \) for the (a) KALJ, (b) WAHN, (c) SS, and (d) NTW models.

FIG. 5. Scaled four-point static structure factor \( S_4(q, \tau_\alpha) / \chi(\tau_\alpha) \) as a function of \( q_\xi(q_\tau) \) at various temperatures for the (a) KALJ, (b) WAHN, (c) SS, and (d) NTW models. The dashed line represents the Ornstein–Zernike form \( 1/(1 + \xi(\tau_\alpha)^z) \) with (a) \( \alpha = 2.4 \), (b) 2.0, (c) 2.4, and (d) 1.5.
FIG. 6. (a) Correlation length $\xi(\tau_\alpha)$ as a function of $(T - T_c)/T_c$. The dashed line represents the power-law behavior, $\xi(\tau_\alpha) \sim |T - T_c|^{-\nu}$ with $\nu = 0.5$ (red) and $\nu = 0.25$ (orange), respectively. (b) The relationship between the correlation length $\xi(\tau_\alpha)$ and the $\alpha$-relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$. The dashed line represents the power-law behavior, $\tau_\alpha \sim \xi(\tau_\alpha)^z$ with $z = 44$ (red) and $z = 11.0$ (orange), respectively. (c) The dynamic susceptibility $\chi(\tau_\alpha)$ as a function of $(T - T_c)/T_c$. The dashed line represents the power-law behavior, $\chi(\tau_\alpha) \sim |T - T_c|^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.2$ (red) and $\gamma = 0.37$ (orange), respectively. (d) The relationship between the correlation length $\xi(\tau_\alpha)$ and the dynamic susceptibility $\chi(\tau_\alpha)$. The dashed line represents the power-law behavior, $\chi(\tau_\alpha) \sim \xi(\tau_\alpha)^{2-\eta}$ with $2 - \eta = 2.4$ (red) and $2 - \eta = 1.5$ (orange), respectively.

C. Three-time correlations and lifetimes of dynamic heterogeneities

In this subsection, we explore and accentuate the characteristic time scale of the dynamic heterogeneity. To this end, we search for the multi-time extension of the four-point correlation function $\chi_4(k, t)$ by introducing

$$\Delta F_4(k; t_1, t_2, t_3) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \delta F_j(k; \tau_2, \tau_3) \delta F_j(k; 0, \tau_1) \right\rangle,$$

provides the individual fluctuations in the two-point correlation function at times 0 and $t$. This three-time correlation function examines the correlations at four different times, $0, \tau_1, \tau_2$, and $\tau_3$. In practice, $\Delta F_4(k; t_1, t_2, t_3)$ reveals the correlation of the two-point correlation function $F_2(k, t)$ between the two time intervals, $t_1 = \tau_1$ and $t_3 = \tau_3 - \tau_2$. Furthermore, the progressive changes in the waiting time $t_2 = \tau_2 - \tau_1$ of the three-time correlation function $\Delta F_4(k; t_1, t_2, t_3)$ allow for an investigation of how the correlated motions change with time $t_2$. In fact, the first time-interval portion $\delta F_j(k; 0, \tau_1)$
enables a distinction between the subensemble of slow- and fast-moving particles in the dynamic heterogeneities. In addition, the total function $\delta F_j(k, 0, \tau_1)\delta F_j(k, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ can reveal how long the correlations in the dynamics of the subensembles remain over the waiting time $\tau$. Therefore, this multi-time correlation function can provide the temporal structures and the associated characteristic time scale of the dynamic heterogeneity. In other words, the time scale extracted from the three-time correlation function can be regarded as the lifetime of the dynamic heterogeneity, which should be associated with the length scale $\xi$ determined from the four-point correlation function.

Figure 7 shows the time evolutions of the three-time correlation functions $\Delta F_4$ at the lowest temperature for each glass-forming liquid. Note that the wave-number $k$ is chosen as the same value used in the calculation of $F_s(k, t)$ in Fig. 1. We also provide the diagonal portions of the time evolutions along $t = t_1 = t_3$ for various $t_2$ in Fig. 8. In previous studies, we have examined the three-time correlation functions for the SS model. This work confirms that the basic features of $\Delta F_4$ are similar and that the time scales at $t_2$ occur similarly among all of the glass models studied: (i) As the temperature decreases, the intensity of the three-time correlation $\Delta F_4(k, t_1, t_2, t_3)$ gradually increases (see the results for other temperatures in the Supplementary Material). This result is due to the correlations between particles that move slower (faster) during the first time interval $t_1$ and remain slower (faster) during the second time interval $t_3$. (ii) The correlations of $\Delta F_4(k, t_1, t_2, t_3)$ at $t_2 = 0$ between the first time interval $t_1$ and the subsequent time interval $t_3$ are noticeable over wide time scales. This result implies that the particle motions are coupled not only at the (diagonal) $\alpha$- and $\alpha$-relaxation time scales, but also at the (off-diagonal) $\alpha$- and $\beta$-relaxation time scales. (iii) With increasing the waiting time $t_2$, $\Delta F_4$ gradually decays to zero, indicating that the dynamics change from heterogeneous to homogeneous because of the memory loss in the correlated motions between the two time intervals $t_1$ and $t_3$ for the given waiting time $t_2$. However, it should be noted that the lineshape of the NTW model, which exhibits the strong (Arrhenius) glass behavior, differs from those of the KALJ, WAHN, and SS models, which exhibit fragile glass (super-Arrhenius) behavior, particularly at $t_2 = 0$. We observe the strong correlations of $\Delta F_4$ at small values of $t_1$ and $t_3$ in the NTW model, which approximately corresponds to the time scale of the early $\beta$-relaxation at which $F_s(k, t)$ exhibits damped oscillations on a plateau as shown in Fig. 8(d). This well-known finite-size effect in silica glasses would be smaller if simulations were carried out for larger systems. Furthermore, the relaxation time scale of $\Delta F_4(k, t_1, t_2, t_3)$ depends on the model. As demonstrated in Fig. 7(d), $\Delta F_4$ of the NTW model decays rapidly. This time scale is clearly smaller than the $\alpha$-relaxation time determined by the two-point correlation function. In contrast, the relaxation of $\Delta F_4$ occurs on a time scale comparable to (or exceeding) $\tau_\alpha$ in the fragile KALJ, WAHN, and SS models, as depicted in Fig. 7(a)-(c).

To quantitatively distinguish between the models we quantify the average lifetime of the dynamic heterogeneities using the waiting-time $t_2$ dependence of $\Delta F_4$. To this end, we define the volume of the heterogeneities by integrating over the two time intervals $t_1$ and $t_3$.

$$\Delta_{\text{hetero}}(t_2) = \int_0^\infty dt_3 \int_0^\infty dt_1 \Delta F_4(k, t_1, t_2, t_3).$$

(16)

This integration resembles the underlying strategy of non-linear responses such as NMR, hole-burning, and photo-bleaching techniques. In simulations, similar procedures have been utilized to analyze relevant multi-time correlations. Figure 9 illustrates the $t_2$ dependence of $\Delta_{\text{hetero}}(t_2)$ normalized by $\Delta_{\text{hetero}}(0)$ for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models. The waiting time $t_2$ is normalized by $\tau_\alpha$ at each temperature. Figure 9(d) clearly shows that $\Delta_{\text{hetero}}$ of the NTW model decays rapidly and that the relaxation time is smaller than $\tau_\alpha$ at any temperature. In contrast, Fig. 9(a)-(c) demonstrates that in the fragile liquid models, the relaxation of $\Delta_{\text{hetero}}$ is slower than $\tau_\alpha$ with decreasing temperature. Remarkably, the characteristic time scale of $\Delta_{\text{hetero}}$ for the WAHN model at the lowest temperature exceeds $\tau_\alpha$ by more than an order of magnitude.
From this analysis, we determine the average lifetime of the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively. That relationship is responsible for the minor role of the dynamic heterogeneities in strong liquids, as previously discussed when we examined the four-point correlations and the associated length scale $\xi$ in Sec. III B. In contrast, the ratio $\tau_{\text{hetero}}/\tau_\alpha$ in the fragile liquid models exhibits the opposite temperature dependence, i.e., the lifetime $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ exceeds the $\alpha$-relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$ with decreasing temperature. However, the increase in $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ upon supercooling is considerably different among the fragile KALJ, WAHN, and SS models. The ratio $\tau_{\text{hetero}}/\tau_\alpha$ markedly exceeds the unity at lower temperatures in the WAHN and SS models, whereas $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ in the KALJ model remains on the time scale of $\tau_\alpha$, even at the lowest temperature. We note that this feature of the KALJ model was also found in a previous study using a similar multi-time correlation function, in which its lifetime is comparable to $\tau_\alpha$.

A discussion of the significant observed dependence of the lifetime $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ on the model details is meaningful, even for the fragile models. Recently, the fragility indexes of the simulated models such as the KALJ and WAHN models have been critically investigated from the perspective of the many-body static correlations hidden in the two-point correlations such as the usual radial distribution function. It has been found that the slow- and long-lived correlated domains correspond to the locally preferred structures (LPSs), that are characterized by the Voronoi polyhedra. These studies have also revealed that the non-additive KALJ mixture is less fragile than the additive WAHN mixture. This difference in fragility can be explained in terms of the spatial extent of the LPS domains. In fact, the growth of the LPS domains is significant in the WAHN model that develops icosahedral order upon supercooling. In contrast, the LPS domains in the KALJ model formed by a bicapped prismatic order upon supercooling are found to be smaller than that in the WAHN model. Given these findings, one can conclude that the over-

\[ \Delta_{\text{hetero}}(t_2)/\Delta_{\text{hetero}}(0) \] on the waiting time $t_2$ can be fitted by the stretched-exponential function $\exp[-(t_2/\tau_{\text{hetero}})\zeta]$, as demonstrated in Fig. 9. The exponent $\zeta$ is approximately $0.6, 0.5, 0.5$, and $0.3$ for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively. From this analysis, we determine the average lifetime of the dynamic heterogeneity as $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ at various temperatures for each model. We plot $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ as a function of the inverse temperature $T_0/T$ in Fig. 10(a). As shown in Fig. 10(b), we obtain a relationship between the two time scales $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ and $\tau_\alpha$ that follows the power-law-like behavior, $\tau_{\text{hetero}}/\tau_\alpha \sim \tau_\alpha^\zeta$, with $\zeta \approx 1.25, 1.9, 1.5$, and $0.9$ for the KALJ, WAHN, SS, and NTW models, respectively. From the analysis, we find that $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ of the network-forming strong glass (NTW) is not greater than $\tau_\alpha$ and tends to decrease with decreasing temperature $T$. That relationship is responsible for the minor role of the dynamic heterogeneities in strong liquids, as previously discussed when we examined the four-point correlations and the associated length scale $\xi$ in Sec. III B. In contrast, the ratio $\tau_{\text{hetero}}/\tau_\alpha$ in the fragile liquid models exhibits the opposite temperature dependence, i.e., the lifetime $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ exceeds the $\alpha$-relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$ with decreasing temperature. However, the increase in $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ upon supercooling is considerably different among the fragile KALJ, WAHN, and SS models. The ratio $\tau_{\text{hetero}}/\tau_\alpha$ markedly exceeds the unity at lower temperatures in the WAHN and SS models, whereas $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ in the KALJ model remains on the time scale of $\tau_\alpha$, even at the lowest temperature. We note that this feature of the KALJ model was also found in a previous study using a similar multi-time correlation function, in which its lifetime is comparable to $\tau_\alpha$.

A discussion of the significant observed dependence of the lifetime $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ on the model details is meaningful, even for the fragile models. Recently, the fragility indexes of the simulated models such as the KALJ and WAHN models have been critically investigated from the perspective of the many-body static correlations hidden in the two-point correlations such as the usual radial distribution function. It has been found that the slow- and long-lived correlated domains correspond to the locally preferred structures (LPSs), that are characterized by the Voronoi polyhedra. These studies have also revealed that the non-additive KALJ mixture is less fragile than the additive WAHN mixture. This difference in fragility can be explained in terms of the spatial extent of the LPS domains. In fact, the growth of the LPS domains is significant in the WAHN model that develops icosahedral order upon supercooling. In contrast, the LPS domains in the KALJ model formed by a bicapped prismatic order upon supercooling are found to be smaller than that in the WAHN model. Given these findings, one can conclude that the over-
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IV. SUMMARY

We have examined the four-point correlation function and its three-time correlation extension to systematically characterize the length and time scales of dynamic het-
erogeneities in prototype fragile (KALJ, WAHN, and SS) and strong (NTW) glass models. Analyses such as the extensive investigation performed herein to determine not only the length scale but also the time scale of various glass-forming models have not, to the best of our knowledge, been previously reported.

First, we quantified the growing length scale of the dynamic heterogeneities upon supercooling as determined by the wave-number dependence of the four-point correlation function using the overlap function. The scaling relationships of the extracted length scale $\xi$, which are analogous to the dynamical scaling obtained for critical phenomena, were consistently explored for the employed glass models. We observed that the length scale increases with decreasing temperature depending on the fragility of glass. In particular, the increase in the dynamic length scale of the strong glass is suppressed compared with those of the fragile glass-forming liquids, indicating that the dynamic heterogeneity is less pronounced and plays only a minor role in the strong liquid. We also commented on the comparisons of our numerical results with the theoretical predictions of the IMCT.

Second, we investigated the time scale of the dynamic heterogeneities from determining how long the heterogeneous dynamics survive. Comprehensive numerical results of the three-time correlation function were demonstrated via two-dimensional correlation maps with an analogy to the multi-dimensional spectroscopic methods, as outlined in the introduction. From the progressive changes in the second time interval of the three-time correlation function, we quantified the characteristic time scale of the dynamic heterogeneities and the associated lifetime $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$. The lifetime $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ exceeds the $\alpha$-relaxation time $\tau_\alpha$, particularly for highly supercooled states in fragile glass-forming liquids. In contrast, $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ is smaller than $\tau_\alpha$ even at lower temperatures in the strong liquid, indicating that the dynamic heterogeneities play a minor role. Furthermore, we observed that the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ depends significantly on the fragility, i.e., more fragile liquids exhibit long-lived dynamic heterogeneities with a time scale that exceeds the $\alpha$-relaxation time. The two time scales differ by more than an order of magnitude in the WAHN model.

Finally, we remark that it is of important to investigate the relationship between the length and time scales of dynamic heterogeneities and their model dependence. In fact, we find that the length scale $\xi$ of fragile liquids increases with decreasing temperature in a similar manner, as seen in Fig. 3a. In contrast, the time scale $\tau_{\text{hetero}}$ is more sensitive to the fragility and becomes noticeably longer than $\tau_\alpha$ as the fragility index increases. To clarify it, further work that utilizes not only multi-point and multi-time correlations but also other measurements including configurational entropy, LPS, PTS, and BOO is required.
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