Study of the transition from self-organised to homogeneous plasma distribution in chromium HiPIMS discharge
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Abstract
The self-organised plasma patterns, known as spokes or ionisation zones in magnetron sputtering discharges, were observed in a wide range of power densities, from low power direct current magnetron sputtering (dcMS) discharge to high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS) discharge. For some target materials and non-reactive gases, it was observed that at very high power densities (>3 kW cm\(^{-2}\)) the plasma exhibits a transition from a regime where spokes are observed to a homogeneous plasma regime. In this contribution, we present a comparison of plasma properties: plasma emission (optical emission spectroscopy) and flux of argon and chromium ions (mass spectrometry), measured both in the spoke regime and in the homogeneous plasma regime, aimed to expand the understanding of the plasma transition between the two modes. A simple biased flat probe was used to distinguish between the spoke regime and the homogeneous plasma regime. It was found that the flux of multiply charged ions (Ar\(^{2+}\), Cr\(^{2+}\), Cr\(^{3+}\), Cr\(^{4+}\)) increases abruptly at the transition between the spoke regime and the homogeneous plasma regime. Similarly, the emission from Cr\(^{+}\) ions exhibits a strong increase of about 50% when the plasma torus becomes homogeneous. These observations are interpreted as an increase in electron temperature and a change in the electron heating mode, from a combination of secondary electron heating and Ohmic heating towards pure Ohmic heating. The transition to the homogeneous plasma regime and pure Ohmic heating is only observed in non-reactive HiPIMS discharges for target atoms with the second ionisation potential higher than the first ionisation potential of Ar (15.76 eV), and a self-sputter yield larger than 1.
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1. Introduction

The magnetron sputtering method was developed during the sixties and seventies of the last century. Sputtering is a physical process where target (cathode) atoms are knocked-out by incoming energetic ions of the working gas. A source of these ions is a plasma discharge, which is confined close to the cathode target by an external magnetic field. The magnetic field is not homogeneously distributed above the target, therefore, the plasma density is spatially inhomogeneous as well. In the region with a higher plasma density, a more intense sputtering of the cathode target occurs, creating the so-called racetrack [1]. An advanced method of magnetron sputtering is high power impulse magnetron sputtering (HiPIMS) [2–4]. In HiPIMS, the power is applied to the cathode target in pulses with a duration from several tens to hundreds of microseconds. The pulses are of high instantaneous power, whereas the average power remains comparable with the power used for direct current magnetron sputtering (dcMS), because a duty cycle is in the percent range to protect the target from overheating. The discharge current densities in the pulse are usually several A cm$^{-2}$. This leads to a high ionisation degree of the working gas atoms, intense sputtering and a high ionisation degree of the target atoms [5–7].

A few years ago, it was discovered that the plasma in a HiPIMS discharge is not always azimuthally homogeneously distributed above the racetrack, but under certain conditions, the plasma is self-organised into localised bunches [8], also called plasma instabilities [9], spokes [10] or ionisation zones [11]. The spokes have recently been observed even in dcMS [12, 13] and radio frequency magnetron sputtering (rfMS) [14]. The spoke properties are highly dependent on the experimental conditions including the chamber geometry. It was found that spokes usually rotate in the $E \times B$ direction at the discharge currents of HiPIMS discharges [8–10], but at lower discharge currents typical for dcMS discharges the spokes were observed to also move in the opposite direction [15–17]. The velocities of spokes rotating in the $E \times B$ direction are usually of about 10 km s$^{-1}$, i.e. 10% of the electron drift velocity [8–11, 18]. The spokes rotating in the reverse direction reach lower velocities, typically hundreds of m s$^{-1}$ [15, 16]. Depending on the strength of the magnetic field and target material, various spoke shapes were detected [19–23]. They were categorised into several groups according to their shape: non-recognizable spokes, stochastic spokes, diffusive spokes, triangular spokes, and round spokes [24]. The spoke mode number increases with the magnetic field strength and it is also strongly dependent on the discharge current and working gas pressure [20, 24–26]. Both, an increase [8, 24, 26, 27] as well as a decrease [18, 20, 22, 24, 25] in the spoke mode number with increasing the discharge current were observed depending on the particular experimental setup. Furthermore the electric field measurement showed that the spokes influence the electric field distribution in the target vicinity [28, 29].

Using certain target materials, such as Cr, Cu, Mo or Ta with argon as the working gas, the so-called spoke-to-homogeneous transition was observed during the gradual increase in the discharge current [20, 22, 30, 31]. At lower discharge currents, the homogeneous plasma reorganises into localised spokes. As the discharge current increases, the spokes start merging, which results in a decrease in the spoke mode number. A further increase in the discharge current leads to the disappearance of the spokes, and the plasma emission becomes azimuthally homogeneous [20]. The transition to the homogeneous plasma has not been observed in reactive HiPIMS [32]. When operating in the homogeneous regime, the plasma exhibits a high impedance and a low conductivity [20, 30]. Moreover, the ion flux towards the substrate together with the deposition rate increases in the homogeneous regime compared to the spoke regime [30]. Breilmann et al [22] showed that during the transition from the spoke regime to the homogeneous regime the high energy part of the ion energy distribution function (IEDF) is not affected and only the low energy part is modified.

The aim of this work is to expand the understanding of the plasma transition, from self-organised spokes into homogeneously distributed plasma, by observing the change in plasma emission and in flux of argon and chromium ions. A simple biased flat probe was used to distinguish between the spoke regime and the homogeneous plasma regime. The change in emission from Ar and Cr atoms and Cr$^+$ ions between the two regimes was measured using time-resolved optical emission spectroscopy (OES). Furthermore, the temporal evolution of Ar$^+$, Ar$^{2+}$ and Cr$^+$, Cr$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$, Cr$^{4+}$ ion fluxes were monitored by time-resolved ion mass spectrometry (MS).

2. Experimental setup

The experiments were carried out using a low-pressure magnetron sputtering system adapted for plasma diagnostics. A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in figure 1. The cylindrical vacuum chamber with dimensions of 35 cm in diameter and 45 cm in height was equipped with a two-stage vacuum system. The Pfeiffer TPU 261 turbomolecular pump was backed up by a Leybold Trivac DBB/SD secondary pump and reached a base pressure of 8 × 10$^{-4}$ Pa measured by Pfeiffer PKR 251 full-range pressure gauge. Argon was introduced into the chamber by a MKS mass flow controller to reach the working gas pressure of 0.5 Pa measured by a MKS Baratron 627A capacitive vacuum gauge.

The 2 inch chromium target (3 mm thickness) was fitted to an unbalanced circular planar magnetron assembly, which served as the sputter source. The discharge was driven by a TruPlasma Highpulse 4002 generator manufactured by Hüttlinger Electronik capable of supplying a maximum voltage of 2kV and a maximum current of 1 kA within a pulse. The pulse length was set to 200 μs with a repetition rate of 10 Hz providing a duty cycle of 0.2%. The waveforms were recorded by a Tektronix TPS 2024 oscilloscope.

Figure 2 shows the simplified scheme for the probe measurements. The probe was biased by −36 V, which attracted the ions and repelled the electrons. The ion saturation current, probe current, was determined by measuring the voltage drop on the resistor placed in series with the biasing circuit.
was 3 cm above the target surface. In the FPM, a resistor of 
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and for the FPM between 3 and 8 cm from the target surface.

The time-resolved OES was carried out using a Avantes 
AvaSpec-ULS2048XL two channel USB spectrometer. The 
spectrometer covers a spectral range of 200–1100 nm with a 
0.75 nm resolution. Optical fibre with collimator was placed 
into the holder in the chamber to collect the light 2 mm above 
the target surface, integrating the light along the width of the 
plasma. The spectral lines of Ar I, Cr I and Cr II and some 
relevant parameters are described in table 1.

The time-resolved ion flux of Cr+, Cr2+, Cr3+, Cr4+, Ar+ 
and Ar2+ was determined using a HIDEN EQP 300 HE mass 
spectrometer. The target and the mass spectrometer faced each 
other at a distance of 5 cm. The mass spectrometer orifice (50 
µm in diameter) was tilted by 3° to point at the racetrack of the 
target. The time resolved ion energy distribution function (IEDF) 
was acquired with the time resolution of 100 ns. The data were averaged over 20 points, resulting in the temporal 
resolution of 2 µs. The time axis compensation was performed 
for each ion by calculating the time-of-flight through the mass 
spectrometer. To determine the ion flux the IEDF was energy 
integrated. A more detailed description of the MS setup is 
given elsewhere [22].

The time-resolved ion energy distribution function 
during the HiPIMS pulse occurs in a time interval 
from 125 µs to 200 µs. This region of interest is marked by 
grey dashed lines in figures throughout this paper. Long pulses 
(200 µs) were chosen in order to allow the discharge to evolve 
and to reach the most stable plasma conditions, where the 
discharge current reached a plateau.

Three current levels of discharge were chosen. At the lowest 
discharge current, Pulse I, the plasma is in the spoke regime 
after 125 µs. The peaks in the probe signal are observable until 
165 µs into the pulse. At later times during 
the pulse, a constant signal is detected. The transition from the 
spoke regime to the homogeneous plasma distribution occurs

Figure 1. Simplified scheme of the side view of the experimental 
setup. A rectangular flat probe (10 mm × 5 mm) was mounted in two 
configurations: (a) side probe measurements (SPM) and (b) 
face probe measurements (FPM). In the case of the SPM, the 
probe was placed next to the target edge, i.e. perpendicular 
to the target surface. The probe could be moved away from the 
target along the y-axis, as shown in figure 2. The zero position 
was at the edge of the target. For the SPM, the probe current was 
determined by measuring the voltage across a 100 Ω resistor. 
In the case of the FPM, the probe was facing the centre 
of the circular target. The whole magnetron assembly could 
be moved away from the probe along the x-axis direction, as 
shown in figure 2. In the FPM, a zero position of the probe 
was at the surface of the target, but the closest measuring distance 
was 3 cm above the target surface. In the FPM, a resistor of 
6.8 Ω was employed due to the higher ion flux to the probe in 
comparison with the SPM. In both configurations, the probe 
was placed in six positions with a step of 1 cm, i.e. for the SPM 
the probe was placed between 0 and 5 cm from the target edge 
and for the FPM between 3 and 8 cm from the target surface.

Figure 2. Simplified scheme of the experimental setup for the 
probe and optical measurements.

3. Results

3.1. Spoke detection in an argon/chromium HiPIMS 
discharge by flat probe

The presence or absence of spokes in the discharge was deter-
mined using the flat probe. Breilmann et al [22] showed that 
the regular peaks observed in the signal from the flat probe in 
SPM correspond to passing spokes and the occurrence of a 
constant signal indicates a homogeneous plasma distribution 
along the torus.

In this study, the flat probe was either placed next to the 
target edge to perform SPM or the flat probe faced the centre 
of the target to perform the FPM, as illustrated in figure 2. The 
typical flat probe signals are shown in figure 3 with the corre-
spanding discharge current waveforms shown in figure 3(a). The 
SPM signals acquired at the position y = 2 cm from the 
target edge are shown in figure 3(b). All flat probe signals 
increase from the beginning of the pulse until 125 µs, which is 
similar to the discharge current. The peaks on all three probe 
signals indicate the appearance of stochastic spokes roughly 
after 45 µs.

The transition from a spoke regime to the homogeneous 
distribution during the HiPIMS pulse occurs in a time interval 
from 125 µs to 200 µs. This region of interest is marked by 
grey dashed lines in figures throughout this paper. Long pulses 
(200 µs) were chosen in order to allow the discharge to evolve 
and to reach the most stable plasma conditions, where the 
discharge current reached a plateau.

Three current levels of discharge were chosen. At the lowest 
discharge current, Pulse I, the plasma is in the spoke regime 
after 125 µs. The peaks in the probe signal are observable until 
the end of Pulse I where the discharge current during the pla-
taeu is ∼50A and the cathode voltage is about −740V. The 
plasma parameters of Pulse II are adjusted to reach a transition 
regime. For Pulse II during the plateau, a discharge current is 
∼54A and a cathode voltage is about −760V, the peaks are 
visible only until 165 µs into the pulse. At later times during 
the pulse, a constant signal is detected. The transition from the 
spoke regime to the homogeneous plasma distribution occurs
During the time window when the discharge current is constant. And at the highest current, Pulse III, the plasma reaches the homogeneous regime. A constant signal and thus homogeneous plasma distribution, was observed after 125 $\mu$s until the end of Pulse III where a discharge current is $\sim 57$ A and a cathode voltage is about $-780$ V. The plasma appearance is classified at its steady state when the plateau region in current waveform is reached, for better clarity see table 2.

Figure 3(c) shows the probe signals of the FPM for Pulses I, II and III for the probe at position $x = 3$ cm. The flat probe signals show no peaks in the plateau region for all three HiPIMS pulses, even in the case of Pulse I where the plasma exhibits spokes, as was shown by the previous side probe measurements (SPM). The flat probe in the FPM captures the signal generated by the whole plasma, because it is placed above the geometrical centre of the target. Due to the symmetry, it is impossible to observe a modulation on the probe signal, although one or more spokes may rotate. Similar probe signal evolutions were acquired for the flat probe at positions 3–8 cm apart from the target. Therefore, only a SPM can be used for reliable spoke detection.

Figure 4 shows the flat probe currents for Pulse I in SPM for various positions of the flat probe $y = 0$–5 cm. The peaks indicating presence of spokes are clearly visible even for the farthest flat probe position at 5 cm.

### 3.2. Optical emission spectroscopy measurements

Overview spectra, as well as the temporal evolution of the intensities of selected spectral lines obtained by OES were investigated for Pulses I, II and III. The overview optical emission spectra are shown only for Pulses I and III in figure 5. The overview spectrum of Pulse II is not shown here, because it is very similar to the spectrum obtained for Pulse I. The overview spectra were recorded in the range of 225–950 nm at 170 $\mu$s into the pulse, where stable plasma conditions were reached. It can be seen that the most intense spectral lines are Cr I lines (around 357, 427, 520, 693, 740 and 900 nm) and Cr II lines (265–345 nm). The argon atom lines are approximately 100× weaker indicating either Ar rarefaction or substantial Ar ionisation [34–37]. The intensities of the Cr I lines in the overview spectrum recorded for Pulse III are slightly higher compared to the case of Pulse I. However, the major difference can be observed on the intensities of the Cr II lines. The intensities of the Cr II lines are almost two times higher for Pulse III, i.e. for homogeneous plasma distribution, despite the discharge current being only $\sim 14\%$ higher compared to Pulse I in this case.

The temporal evolution of Ar I (763.51 nm), Cr I (425.44 nm) and Cr II (267.18 nm) line intensities during all three HiPIMS pulses are shown in figures 6(a)–(c), respectively. The evolution of the Ar I line intensity reveals a pronounced peak, as shown in figure 6(a). The intensity of the Ar I line sharply increases during the first 27 $\mu$s of the HiPIMS pulse and reaches a maximum. Afterwards, it decreases very quickly due to the gas rarefaction [34–38]. The same evolution of the Ar I line intensity is observed for all three pulses. The time-resolved evolutions of the Cr I line intensities to a large extent follow the discharge current. The intensity of the Cr I line increases by 9% for Pulses II and III in comparison...
to Pulse I, as shown in figure 6(b). The Cr II line intensities are almost the same for Pulses I and II, but for Pulse III the intensity increases by roughly 50%, as shown in figure 6(c).

The OES data show the strong emission of chromium ions in the overview spectra as was expected. Moreover, it revealed that the Cr II line intensity increases about 50% for Pulse III compared to Pulse I, while the discharge current increased only slightly.

3.3. Time-resolved ion fluxes

The mass spectrometer was used to measure the time-resolved evolution of the ion fluxes of Ar\(^+\), Ar\(^{2+}\), Cr\(^+\), Cr\(^{2+}\), Cr\(^{3+}\) and Cr\(^{4+}\). The ion flux was obtained by integrating the ion energy distribution function (IEDF). The detector was mounted at a distance of 5 cm from the target. The time dependence of IEDF. The detector was mounted at a distance of 5 cm from the target. The time dependence of ion distribution function (IEDF). The detector was mounted at a distance of 5 cm from the target. The time dependence of ion distribution function (IEDF). The detector was mounted at a distance of 5 cm from the target. The time dependence of ion distribution function (IEDF). The detector was mounted at a distance of 5 cm from the target. The time dependence of ion distribution function (IEDF). The detector was mounted at a distance of 5 cm from the target.

The ion flux decreases faster and the Ar\(^{+}\) ion flux is highest in Pulse III and the lowest in Pulse I till the end of the pulse. A similar evolution is also observed for the Ar\(^{2+}\) ion flux. However, the change occurs earlier (at 100\(\mu\)s) and the Ar\(^{2+}\) ion flux increases and then saturates in Pulses II and III, while in Pulse I it still gradually decreases. The Ar\(^{2+}\) ion flux is higher by a factor of 2.3 for Pulse III compared to Pulse I at the time of 170\(\mu\)s, while the discharge current only increased by about 14%.

One could expect the Ar\(^+\) ion count to reduce when the discharge current is increased due to the transition to self-sputtering [39]. As described by Huo et al [36], the results from an ionisation region model (IRM) suggested that at pressures lower than 1.8 Pa (the pressure at which they ran their model) the density of Ar atoms that had been trapped in the target surface and eventually become sputtered (warm recombined Ar) could be larger than the density of background Ar atoms (cold Ar). Therefore, the increase of Ar\(^{2+}\) ions in the homogeneous regime, shown in figure 7(b), could originate from recombined Ar\(^+\) ions that return from the target as neutrals and were ionised in the target vicinity.

Figure 7(c) shows the temporal evolution of the Cr\(^+\) ion flux. The highest flux of Cr\(^+\) ions is detected for Pulse III and the lowest ion flux for Pulse I during the whole HiPIMS pulse. One should note that even though data in figures 6(c) and 7(c) show the temporal evolution of the Cr\(^+\) ion flux, these data are acquired differently yielding slight difference in the temporal evolution of the signal. The OES data in figure 6(c) are integrated over the length of the plasma, as described in section 2. The MS data in figure 7(c) are measured locally, at the position of the orifice of the MS. In a manner similar to the Ar\(^{2+}\) ions, the increase in Cr\(^{2+}\), Cr\(^{3+}\) and Cr\(^{4+}\) ion fluxes are observed at 100\(\mu\)s for Pulses II and III, and shown in figures 7(d)–(f), respectively. Comparing Pulse III and Pulse I at 170\(\mu\)s, the ion flux of Cr\(^{2+}\) increases by a factor of 1.9, the ion flux of Cr\(^{3+}\) by a factor of 5 and the Cr\(^{4+}\) ion flux increases by a factor of 2.3. In table 3, the relative ion flux compositions for Pulses I and III at 170\(\mu\)s are shown. In conclusion, the homogeneously distributed plasma generated a significantly higher flux of multiply charged ions at the distance of 5 cm such as Ar\(^{3+}\), Cr\(^{2+}\), Cr\(^{3+}\) and Cr\(^{4+}\) compared to the plasma with a pronounced spoke pattern.

4. Discussion

From the experiments one can see that a relatively small change in the applied power density (from 1.9 kW cm\(^{-2}\) to 2.2 kW cm\(^{-2}\), corresponding to a change in the cathode voltage from 740 V to 780 V) causes a transition from a spoke regime to a homogeneous regime, characterised by a strong increase in ion production as shown by optical emission spectroscopy and ion mass spectrometry measurements. Furthermore, an increase in the ion flux of highly charged ions indicates an increase in electron temperature. Here, we assume that the dominant excitation and ionisation mechanism of atoms and
ions is electron-impact excitation and ionisation at the pressure of our experiment. The ionisation energies of the relevant species are shown in table 4.

There are two mechanisms that provide energy for the electrons in the sheath and pre-sheath region near the target, respectively. The first mechanism is the acceleration of secondary electrons in the cathode sheath emitted from the target surface (sheath energisation). The second mechanism is Ohmic heating, suggested by Huo et al [40] based on calculations by an IRM [41], where electrons gain energy in the cathode pre-sheath by dissipation of locally deposited energy \( j \cdot E \) to the species in the pre-sheath region. Huo et al [40] have shown that in a HiPIMS discharges using target materials with a high second ionisation potential, like Al and Cr, the dominating energy transfer mechanism to the electrons is through Ohmic heating. The reasoning is that for high power HiPIMS discharge, the Ar gas is strongly depleted, and metal ions are the dominant ion species. Since Al\(^+\) (and Cr\(^+\)) ions have secondary electron yield of ~0 when bombarding the target of the same element [42], the plasma can only be sustained by electrons gaining energy from Ohmic heating. Brenning et al [43] expanded on the Ohmic theory in dcMS discharges and found that Ohmic heating becomes a more important electron heating mechanism compared to sheath energisation, because secondary electron yield reduces from 0.1 towards 0, thus making the highest contribution to the total ionisation. The effectiveness of the transition to Ohmic heating will also depend on the self-sputter yield of the element as a larger self-sputter yield will enhance argon rarefaction.

According to the literature, it is possible to recognise two groups of plasmas regarding their appearance at high power densities (above several kW cm\(^{-2}\)); Group 1 plasmas exhibit transition to a homogeneous regime at high powers, and Group 2 plasmas that at high powers exhibit spokes with a diffuse shape [44]. Group 1 comprises of plasmas generated in the following material/gas combination: in Ar gas: Al, Au, Cr, Cu, Mo and Ta, in Kr gas: Al, and in a gasless environment: Cu. The common features of these materials are that the second ionisation potential is higher than the first ionisation potential of Ar (15.76 eV) and the self-sputter yield is larger than 1, \( Y_{\text{ss}} > 1 \). It is characteristic for all these materials to exhibit a triangular spoke shape before the transition to a homogeneous regime [15, 19, 45].

The plasmas in Group 2 do not exhibit a transition to a homogeneous regime and this has been observed for target materials with a second ionisation potential lower than the first ionisation potential of Ar (15.76 eV) [9, 18, 19, 23–26] and self-sputter yield lower than 1 (\( Y_{\text{ss}} < 1 \)), such as Ti and Nb. The reactive HiPIMS discharges (Al/N\(_2\), Al/O\(_2\), Cr/N\(_2\), Cr/O\(_2\), Ti/N\(_2\), Ti/O\(_2\)) [32] also belong to Group 2. These discharges are characterised by a high density of doubly charged ions and compound layer on the cathode target during reactive HiPIMS discharges, which can be correlated with a secondary electron yield higher than that during a pure Ar discharge [19, 46]. Additionally, for both HiPIMS plasmas using Ti and Nb targets, and for reactive HiPIMS discharges, it is characteristic to observe a transition from a triangular spoke shape to a diffuse spoke shape at higher power densities [15, 19, 45].
Figure 7. The ion fluxes of Ar$^{+}$, Ar$^{2+}$, Cr$^{+}$, Cr$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$ and Cr$^{4+}$ from the argon/chromium HiPIMS plasma as a function of time after pulse ignition. The inset graphs are plotted on a linear scale. The distance between the mass spectrometer orifice and the target was 5 cm and the argon pressure was 0.5 Pa.

Table 3. The relative ion flux compositions of Ar$^{+}$, Ar$^{2+}$, Cr$^{+}$, Cr$^{2+}$, Cr$^{3+}$ and Cr$^{4+}$ from argon/chromium HiPIMS plasma at 170 µs for Pulses I and III corresponding to the spoke regime and the homogeneous regime, respectively. The standard deviation of ion flux compositions is smaller than 0.01%. The argon pressure was 0.5 Pa.

|        | Ar$^+$ | Ar$^{2+}$ | Cr$^+$ | Cr$^{2+}$ | Cr$^{3+}$ | Cr$^{4+}$ |
|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Pulse I| 8.98%  | 0.62%     | 67.07% | 22.62%    | 0.68%     | 0.03%     |
| Pulse III| 7.21% | 1.04%     | 57.29% | 31.86%    | 2.55%     | 0.05%     |
Figure 8 shows a visual representation of *Group 1* and *Group 2*. There, the target materials are plotted as a function of the self-sputter yield (\(Y_m\)) and the ratio of the second ionisation potential of the target element (\(E_{iz}^M\)) to the first ionisation potential of Ar (\(E_{iz}^N\)). The self-sputter yield values are calculated at the highest achievable voltages before the discharge becomes unstable, typically due to arcing. The blue colour represents elements from *Group 1*, and red colour elements from *Group 2*. In reactive HiPIMS, the self-sputter yield is low [47] and the ionisation potential of reactive gas atoms (N at 14.53 eV, and O at 13.61 eV) is lower than that of Ar, therefore belonging to *Group 2*.

The transition from a spoke regime to a homogeneous regime, leads to an increase in the ion emission and the ion flux of highly charged ions, that appears when the cathode voltage is increased by only 5% (from 740 V to 780 V). Such a strong increase in the ion species signal cannot be explained only by an increase in the high energy tail of electron energy distribution function (EEDF). The increase in the cathode voltage will surely affect the high energy tail of the EEDF through the acceleration of secondary electrons from the target in the cathode sheath, but this can only be a minor effect.

Our hypothesis is that in a spoke regime, electron heating is a combination of secondary electron acceleration and Ohmic heating. The location of highly charged ions in the spoke [48] and the localisation of the discharge current in the spokes [21, 49] indicate, that energetic secondary electrons are ‘defining’ the ionisation region. It has been shown that the discharge current to the target is never 0 between the spokes, which means that Ohmic heating between the spokes is probably present, and within the spokes it is enhanced by secondary electrons [21, 49].

In the case of *Group 1* elements, at a certain power the Ar density drops (probably due to a high sputter yield), secondary electrons emission will diminish and all power input into the discharge will be delivered through Ohmic heating process. This will eventually lead to a higher electron temperature overall, not just within the spokes and to a more homogeneous sputtering with a more sputtered material produced (as shown in the work of de los Arcos et al [30]).

The transition to solely Ohmic heating is probably triggered by an increase in the electron density above a certain threshold where the increase in the power cannot be sustained by secondary electrons as the density of \(Ar^+\) and \(Ar^{2+}\) ions is reduced by rarefaction; for example, negative slopes are observed for \(Ar^+\) and \(Ar^{2+}\) ions in figures 7(a) and (b) between 50 to 125 \(\mu s\). Furthermore, the density of the multiply charged metal ions is not sufficiently high to compensate for the lack of secondary electrons. The transition to a homogeneous regime is more probable to occur in elements with sputter yields higher than 1 (more efficient Ar rarefaction), and the high second ionisation potential (lower doubly charged ion density), which is a characteristic of the elements belonging to *Group 1*.

This interpretation is corroborated by the analysis of the discharge current contributions using the IRM model. The discharge current contributions of a non-reactive HiPIMS discharge with Al (1.8 Pa) and Ti (0.54 Pa) target are compared [50]: for an Al target it was found that at 360 V (pulse energy \(E_p < 0.1 J\)) and 400 V (\(E_p = 0.5 J\)), there is a significant contribution of \(Ar^+\) ions to the discharge current, while at 800 V (\(E_p = 6.4 J\)), the discharge current is predominantly composed of \(Al^+\) ions. According to Poolcharunsin et al [21], an HiPIMS discharge with Al target at 1.8 Pa and above \(E_p = 2 J\) is in the homogeneous regime, which is consistent with our explanation for the elements from *Group 1*. The discharge current contributions of the HiPIMS discharge with a Ti target comprised of \(Ar^+\), \(Ti^+\), and \(Ti^{2+}\) ions, in descending order. Bohlmack et al [51] reported an up to a 24% contribution of \(Ti^{2+}\) ions in HiPIMS with a Ti target. This is consistent with our explanation for the elements from *Group 2*, where the spokes are present at high power densities.

In reactive HiPIMS discharges (also belonging to *Group 2*) with a Ti target and Ar/O\(_2\) background gas mixture, it was shown that in the metal mode \(Ar^+\) and \(Ti^+\) ions are the main discharge current contributions at the target surface, while in the poisoned mode the \(Ar^+\) ions contribute to over 80% of the total discharge current [47]. Due to the high \(Ar^+\) ion contribution to the discharge current, there are more secondary electrons contributing to the electron heating, while the self-sputter yield of \(Ti^+\) ion is below unity, thus reactive HiPIMS discharge fits well to the requirements of *Group 2*. In reactive HiPIMS, the transition to the homogeneous discharge has not been observed [32].

For the plasma, driven by Ohmic heating, more highly ionised particles will be produced, simply because Ohmic

### Table 4. Ionisation energy of the argon and chromium ions [33].

| \(E_{iz}\) (eV) | \(E_{iz}^M\) (eV) |
|----------------|------------------|
| \(Cr^+\)   | 6.76 | \(Ar^+\) |
| \(Cr^{2+}\) | 16.48 | \(Ar^{2+}\) |
| \(Cr^{3+}\) | 30.96 | |
| \(Cr^{4+}\) | 49.16 | |

### Figure 8. Different target materials plotted as a function of self-sputter yield (\(Y_m\)) and the ratio of the second ionisation potential of the element (\(E_{iz}^M\)) to the first ionisation potential of Ar (\(E_{iz}^N\)). The voltages in brackets represent the value at which the self-sputter yield values are calculated.
heating occurs over the whole racetrack area, while for secondary electron driven plasma, the ionisation tendency can be very localised [48]. Huo et al [40] estimated that the potential drop in the pre-sheath is in the order of 100 V, which is sufficiently high to energise electrons to produce the highly charge chromium ions observed in this work. As the ions are ionised in the pre-sheath volume, it is possible that their kinetic energy is sufficient to leave the pre-sheath region and reach the orifice of the mass spectrometer, rather than to be back-attracted to the cathode target.

Assuming that the targets can be cooled sufficiently, it is possible that the homogeneous plasma regime can be reached for all materials above a certain electron density. The ways and thresholds to reach such a high plasma density might be, however, different and challenging depending on the target material. For example, materials with a high self-sputter yield allow more easily to reach high plasma density. The same might be true for the cases of low self-sputter yield material, where at certain material specific threshold Ohmic heating in the pre-sheath becomes significant.

5. Conclusions

The transition of the plasma pattern from spokes into the homogeneously distributed plasma in an argon/chromium HiPIMS discharge was studied by a flat probe, optical emission spectroscopy and ion mass spectrometry. The flat probe was utilized to detect the presence of spokes in the discharge. A sufficient signal to verify the presence of spokes was detected up to 5 cm a distance of the side of the target. In the conditions where the plasma undergoes the transition from self-organised spokes towards the homogeneous plasma distribution, a strong 50% increase of Cr II emission was observed together with a significant increase in the fluxes of multiply ionised species. The change from the self-organised spokes towards the homogeneous plasma emission was explained to originate from an increase in electron temperature and change in the electron heating mode, from a combination of secondary electron heating and Ohmic heating towards pure Ohmic heating. The transition to the homogeneous plasma regime and pure Ohmic heating is only observed in non-reactive HiPIMS discharges for target atoms with the second ionisation potential higher than the first ionisation potential of Ar (15.76 eV), and a self-sputter yield larger than 1, \( Y_{ss} > 1 \).
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