Argon gas: a potential neuroprotectant and promising medical therapy
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Abstract
Argon is a noble gas element that has demonstrated narcotic and protective abilities that may prove useful in the medical field. The earliest records of argon gas have exposed its ability to exhibit narcotic symptoms at hyperbaric pressures greater than 10 atmospheres with more recent evidence seeking to display argon as a potential neuroprotective agent. The high availability and low cost of argon provide a distinct advantage over using similarly acting treatments such as xenon gas. Argon gas treatments in models of brain injury such as in vitro Oxygen-Glucose-Deprivation (OGD) and Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), as well as in vivo Middle Cerebral Artery Occlusion (MCAO) have largely demonstrated positive neuroprotective behavior. On the other hand, some warning has been made to potential negative effects of argon treatments in cases of ischemic brain injury, where increases of damage in the sub-cortical region of the brain have been uncovered. Further support for argon use in the medical field has been demonstrated in its use in combination with tPA, its ability as an organoprotectant, and its surgical applications. This review seeks to summarize the history and development of argon use in medical research as mainly a neuroprotective agent, to summarize the mechanisms associated with its biological effects, and to elucidate its future potential.
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Introduction
Argon gas is considered a small noble gas element that has been applied in a number of fields. It has been generally classified as a nonreactive or inert gas providing a view that it does not contain any biologically active characteristics. In fact, argon has demonstrated characteristics such as narcosis at hyperbaric pressures and more recently neuroprotective and organoprotective behaviors. Pharmaceutical drugs and surgical interventions have been widely accepted and commonly used methods of clinical treatments for a variety of applications such as the neuroprotection [1-4]. However, the high cost and development of new drugs and surgical techniques have exposed a need for new and easy to administer treatments. The application of argon as a medical gas presents a possible relief to this search and provides distinct advantages.

This review seeks to show the development of argon in the medical field with an emphasis on its ability as a neuroprotectant. In order to provide a foundation of argon’s role in the medical field, a summary of its discovery and characteristics will be first provided. We will then discuss the evidence suggesting the affirmative ability of argon as a neuroprotectant and highlight some evidence suggesting otherwise. In order to better understand how argon provides its biological actions, a review and discussion of the possible mechanisms of receptor interactions and apoptotic cellular pathways will be provided. Finally, we will consider the future of argon as a clinical therapy and other potential applications in the medical field.
History and characteristics of argon
In 1785, Henry Cavendish isolated atmospheric gases in an attempt to characterize and quantify the substituents. In an attempt to combust the samples to find their reactivity profile, a small constituent of isolated gas samples believed to be nitrogen did not give the expected reaction and remained unchanged. After further analysis, it was concluded that the nonreactive nature of this gas sample was considered to be an error due to contamination [5]. The results were brought to attention again in 1894 when Lord Rayleigh and William Ramsay's experimentation on atmospheric gases found that a similar amount of unreactive gas contained a unique set of characteristics. They confirmed that the unreactive gas was observed by Henry Cavendish and classified it to be a new element “Argon” [6].

Argon belongs to a family of elements located on the final column of the periodic table of elements termed “noble gases” which include the elements helium, neon, argon, krypton, xenon, and radon. The full electron valence shell of these elements prevents the formation covalent bonds and finding these gases in compound form remains a rare occurrence [7]. The inability to form strong covalent bonds with other elements develops an identity for the gases to be unreactive, grouping these gases under another term: inert gases. Though the term “inert gas” implies these gases have no active characteristics, several instances have been noted in which these gases are able to produce physical and biological effects [8]. The biological activity of argon can be attributed to its atomic structure interactions with enzymes and receptors. Though argon is unable to form strong covalent bonds to produce chemical reactions, it does have the capacity to interact with enzymes and receptors through charge-induced dipole and van der Waals interactions as a stabilizing component key in relating argon’s ability as an anesthetic and neuroprotectant [9,10].

Due to the similar structures and reactive natures of argon and xenon, a propensity to compare the two elements has appeared in a number of reports [11-13]. Xenon is one of the earliest and most widely investigated of the noble gases and is regarded as a potent anesthetic and a convincing neuroprotectant [14-17]. However, the limited availability (0.09 ppm) and high cost of xenon has prevented it from becoming a commonly used therapy option. The property of xenon as an inert gas has opened speculation of the other noble gases as possible alternatives with several of the noble gases revealing potentials in the medical field. In particular, argon displays attributes that position it as a likely alternative to xenon especially in the field of neuroprotection. Furthermore, the reasonably high availability (9340 ppm) of argon in the atmosphere allows for a low difficulty in obtaining the gas and makes argon relatively more cost effective as compared to xenon [18].

Effects of argon as a medical gas
Argon as a narcotic agent
The first biological effect of argon gas can be found as a description of its narcotic capabilities represented in a study pertaining to the high-pressure effects of naturally occurring gases during deep sea diving. Previous observations noted that under high pressure with normal respiratory air, divers begin to develop narcotic symptoms of slowed mental cognition and psychological instability [19-21]. After isolating the gases, it was surmised that argon gas produces a strong narcotic effect at high pressures (>10 atm) as compared to helium and nitrogen, while xenon is able to produce narcotic symptoms at atmospheric pressure [22]. It was also theorized that the narcotic effects argon exerts is being created in a physical rather than a chemical manner due to its characteristic as inert gas lacking chemical reactions in the body [23].

The mechanism in which argon displays its anesthetic ability has been suggested to be from the stimulation of \( \gamma \)-aminobutyric acid type-A receptors (GABA\( _A \)R) [24]. Though argons involvement has yet to be confirmed, other anesthetic gases such as nitrogen have also been suggested to stimulate the GABA\( _A \)R as well [25]. Of further interest, other anesthetic gases such as xenon and nitrous oxide are described to antagonize N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDAR) to promote their narcotic effects [26,27]. The antagonism of NMDAR remains a plausible method for argon-induced anesthesia, but has yet to be established and is still under investigation. Additionally, dopamine release has been connected to both the activity of GABA\( _A \)R and NMDAR, and a decrease in dopamine activity in the brain would promote a narcotic effect [28,29]. Argon gas treatments to rats found that levels of extracellular striatal dopamine are reduced at pressures exceeding 2.5 MPa (approximately 10 atm), suggesting a neurochemical method of which argon may present its anesthetic effects [30].

A number of gases including the noble gas family exhibit anesthetic tendencies, but greatly differ in the criteria required for their narcotic abilities to manifest [31]. Argon has been described as being an anesthetic agent, but is only able to exert these effects under hyperbaric pressures. Xenon has also been portrayed as a potent anesthetic, but manifests its narcotic effects at normobaric pressures. The noble gases helium and neon lack an observable anesthetic effect for reasons still under investigation [31,32]. The capacity of argon and xenon to
produce anesthetic effects at different pressures may signify an important difference when considering their treatment potential in the medical field.

**Ischemic neuroprotective models**

Of the potential uses argon in the medical field, studies pertaining to its ability as a neuroprotective agent have been most prominently examined. Investigations of neuroprotection seek to improve recovery of motor and behavioral functions of patients that have experienced neurological damage in cases such as but not limited to physical trauma [33,34]. Argon neuroprotective studies have largely been examined models of ischemic brain injuries in which the deprivation of essential nutrients such as glucose and oxygen to the brain may damage tissues and activate inflammatory and apoptotic pathways in surrounding tissues leading to neuronal death [35-39]. Oxygen-Glucose Deprived (OGD) environments, Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), and the Middle Cerebral Artery Occlusion (MCAO) models are highly accepted methods of establishing ischemic brain injury treatments in rodent models and are the common methods of which argon neuroprotection treatments have been examined (Figure 1). An OGD model places a tissue of interest in a medium depriving the tissue of oxygen and glucose to simulate ischemic conditions in vitro [40]. The TBI model is a physical method of applied blunt force to the skull resulting in inflammatory and ischemic conditions with treatments possible in vitro and in vivo [41,42]. The MCAO model is considered an in vivo method of
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**Figure 1** Commonly used ischemic models of neuroprotection. (A) An OGD model of neuroprotection places brain tissue into a medium that deprives it of oxygen and glucose in vitro. (B) The TBI model uses an apparatus to cause a forceful impact on the brain and results in ischemic tissue damage with treatments possible in vivo or in vitro. (C) The MCAO model ligates the middle cerebral artery to produce an ischemic infarction with treatments examined in vivo.
ligating the middle cerebral artery to simulate ischemic arterial blockage followed by reperfusion [43].

**Argon neuroprotection in vitro**

A study by Jawad and colleagues aimed to compare the neuroprotective ability of the noble gases helium, neon, argon, and krypton to xenon in an Oxygen-Glucose Deprived (OGD) environment. Fetal mice cerebral cortices were exposed to an OGD medium and then treated to the noble gases (75% noble gas, 20% O₂, and 5% CO₂). It was observed that argon and xenon improved neuronal survival while helium, neon, and krypton failed to significantly reduce neuronal death. Additionally, they observed that administering the gases without an OGD environment, is able to improve neuronal survival as compared to a control environment (75% N₂ instead of noble gas) [18].

Loetscher and colleagues examined both models of OGD and TBI in vitro and found that all concentrations of the argon-oxygen gas mixtures tested (25-74% Ar, 21% O₂, 5% CO₂, N₂ the rest) were effective in reducing cellular trauma injury to hippocampal brain slices of mice pups in both models. It was also noted that in the TBI model 50% argon exhibited the greatest reduction in injury but a concentration of 74% argon greatly attenuated this reduction in damage signifying a dose-dependent relationship of argon gas treatments. Additionally, it was demonstrated that delayed argon administrations given up to 3 hours after the insult were effective in reducing tissue damage [44]. Similarly, a study by Harris and colleagues found that argon (50% atm) and xenon (50% atm) gas are able to greatly attenuate the amount of injury up to 72 hours after TBI examined in vitro. The author’s note that though argon was able to reduce the total injury significantly, it was not as great of a reduction as found by Loetscher and colleagues [45].

**Argon neuroprotection in vivo**

An in vivo examination by Ryang and colleagues using the MCAO model treated adult rats with 50% argon (50% O₂) 1 hour following reperfusion of the middle cerebral artery. Reversals in tissue damage and a reduction in the edema-infarct volumes were observed up to 24 hours after reperfusion of the artery. The argon treated rats also demonstrated improved neurological function suggesting greater levels recovery and supporting the positive neuroprotective ability of argon. Furthermore, no significant differences of heart rate, blood pressure, and blood-gas measurements were monitored after argon inhalation signifying that normal physiological parameters were not disturbed [46].

Further supporting evidence for argon gas neuroprotection can be found in a study by Zhuang and colleagues aiming to compare noble gases treatments of helium, argon, and xenon to a control of nitrogen in a model of neonatal hypoxia-induced brain injury. Gas treatments (70% Ar/He/Xe and 30% O₂) were given 2 hours after the hypoxic insult in which the right common carotid artery was ligated. They observed that cell morphology in the hippocampus right hemisphere was significantly restored for all treatment groups, but that argon was able to restore cell viability to the greatest extent. It was also noted that argon and xenon treatment groups displayed reductions of infarction sizes and that neurocognitive behavior results showed improvement for all gases [47].

Patients suffering a cardiac arrest may exhibit neurological damage by consequence of global brain ischemia and deregulation of elements such as coagulation and inflammatory factors [48]. Post-cardiac arrest, the sustained neurological damage may provide complications in the survival and recovery. In an effort to remedy this situation, Brücken and colleagues examined the effects argon gas provided after cardiac arrest in rats. The treatments were applied 1 hour after cardiac arrest resuscitation using 70% argon (30% O₂). It was found that argon inhalation improved neurological scores with fewer damaged neurons observed in the cortex and hippocampal regions [49]. Similarly, Ristagno and colleagues found that treatments of 70% argon (30% O₂) for 4 hours following porcine cardiac arrest resuscitation resulted in significantly improved neurological scores up to 72 hours after the injury [50].

**Negative neuroprotective effect**

Though a number of ischemic brain injury studies have suggested a beneficial neuroprotective outcome due to argon gas exposure, a study by David and colleagues found that there remains a possibility for adverse consequences. A three-part examination found that positive neuroprotection was noted in cases of OGD and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) induced neuronal death, but negative effects were found in a model of MCAO. An in vivo treatment of 50% argon (50% O₂) was applied 2 hours after a MCAO-induced injury in adult rats. Though the total brain damage was decreased, it was also found that argon increased the amount of damage found in the sub-cortex region with no improvements of behavior or motor functions. This is the first study to reveal a detrimental outcome of argon gas treatments in a model of neuroprotection [51].

The examination of the OGD-induced environment measured lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) as a marker of neuronal injury and found that argon-oxygen gas exposure (37.5% to 75% argon) decreased neuronal injury with the greatest reduction in damage found 3 hours after the insult. Additionally, NMDA injections were used to stimulate neuronal death with treatments of argon-oxygen gas.
(15-75% argon) given 1 hour after injection. Consistent with previous studies, treatments of 50% argon showed the maximal reduction of neuronal death in both the OGD and NMDA-induced cytotoxic environments. Though improvements were seen in the OGD environment and NMDA-induced cytotoxic models, the authors assess that argon gas would not be a suitable post-ischemic neuroprotective treatment for clinical use due to the negative outcome found in the MCAO examination, but also suggest that argon may be a useful neuroprotectant for other brain injuries such as TBI.

**Mechanisms**

**NMDAR mediated neuroprotection**

As a neuroprotectant, little is understood about argon's interactions to receptors and enzymes or the cellular pathways involved after its initial interactions, though there have been hints as to the involvement of NMDAR and GABAAR. Both NMDAR and GABAAR are widely accepted to be involved in a number of cell survival pathways and are also considered to be major targets of a number of anesthetics [52-55]. NMDAR are largely stimulated by glutamate and are considered to be excitatory neuronal receptors, while GABAAR are largely stimulated by γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and are considered to be inhibitory neuronal receptors [26,56]. It should also be considered that an increase of activity of one receptor type could result in lowering the activity of the other due to their similar but opposite involvement in their excitatory actions.

Previous reports have theorized that NMDAR interactions may be implicated in the mechanism of argon gas neuroprotection. An intrinsic pro-apoptotic mitochondrial pathway is activated in consequence of increased glutamate release and stimulation of NMDAR. The over-stimulation of NMDAR produces a large influx of intracellular Ca2+, which is known to be a major cause of cytotoxic neuronal death [57-61]. The influx of Ca2+ triggers a rise in the pro-apoptotic Bax protein that competes with the anti-apoptotic signaling of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL proteins [57,62,63]. Zhuang and colleagues found that the argon treated groups promoted an increased expression of the pro-survival protein Bcl-2 while having no effect on Bax or Bcl-xL expression in neuronal cells [47]. Furthermore, the attenuation of NMDA induced neuronal damage by argon gas observed by David and colleagues offers additional conjecture of NMDAR involvement [51].

Conversely, Harris and colleagues provide evidence that NMDAR are likely not involved in the neuroprotective activity of argon. Using glycine as a competitive inhibitor of NMDAR, no changes in argons neuroprotective behavior were discovered suggesting another mechanism in which argon activity is mediated. In addition, they also found through electrophysiological methods that the activity of TREK-1 potassium channels is not affected with argon application. Though the study didn't expand on the cellular mechanisms of the neuroprotective behavior seen, it provides a clear representation of how NMDAR do not seem to be involved in argons neuroprotective behavior [45].

**GABAAR mediated neuroprotection**

It can also be proposed that GABAAR may play a role in producing the neuroprotective effects of argon rather than NMDAR. Argon has been suggested to change GABAAR activity by binding to multiple discrete sites on the receptor [24]. However, this observation was suggested in relation to argons narcotic properties with no relationship to its neuroprotective properties being made. Several examinations of other drugs have observed that the stimulation of GABAAR has the ability produce neuroprotective results [64-66]. Of important consideration is that the involvement of GABAAR to argon signaling is in relation to its narcotic properties that are displayed only at hyperbaric pressures, while neuroprotection studies of argon are largely done at normobaric pressure.

**Mek-erk 1/2 pathway involvement**

The neuroprotective behavior of argon may not be receptor mediated but may be the result of direct pathway participation. Fahlenkamp and colleagues noticed that argon treatments to cells in cultures increased expression of extracellular signal regulated kinases (ERK) 1/2 in neurons, microglia, and astrocyte cells. ERK 1/2 is a highly ubiquitous protein associated with a number of cellular pathways such as inflammatory and cell survival pathways depending on the methods of activation. It has been demonstrated that ERK 1/2 is largely regarded as a pro-death marker of neuronal cells, but through methods of transient stimulation can also be part of a pro-survival pathway [67]. Though these results did not investigate outcome results associated with the increase of ERK 1/2, it can be proposed that argon may be connected to the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) kinase (MEK)-ERK 1/2 pathway in neuronal cells. Measurements found that argon does not change the level of phosphotyrosine phosphatases suggesting that an indirect cellular pathway is not stimulated. They suggest that because of the varied nature and independency from the cellular background of the MEK-ERK 1/2 pathway, argon may directly stimulate the pathway to assert its neuroprotective effects rather than through receptor mediation [68] (Figure 2).

**Future outlook**

The use of argon gas for neuroprotective medical applications is a recent endeavor that has not expanded into clinical examinations as of yet. However, David and colleagues provided an examination on argons effects in
combination with tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) that can be regarded as an indicator that an interest in its clinical application exists. In cases of acute ischemic stroke, the current and only approved method of clinical therapy has been the administration of tPA. At levels below 50% argon gas (25% oxygen and the rest nitrogen to complete mixture), argon decreased the catalytic and thrombolytic efficiency of tPA, but increased them at levels above 50% argon. These results seem to promote that argon gas may enhance the efficiency of tPA treatments and may foster support for use in clinical examination [69].

Besides argon’s use as a neuroprotectant, argon gas has also demonstrated organoprotectant traits. Irani and colleagues found that kidney recovery after storage with argon gas was improved as compared with mediums of xenon, nitrogen, or air. It was assessed that argon gas may provide a potential organoprotective environment for organ transplants by preserving the quality and function of the kidney [70]. In addition, another study found that argon gas is able to protect the myocardium to infarction in cases of coronary artery occlusion and reperfusion. This study also examined the role of ERK 1/2 signaling, but did not find any changes in response to argon gas treatments [71]. It has also been found that argon and xenon (75% Ar/Xe, 20% O2, and 5% CO2) are able to limit apoptotic cell death when given up to 16 hours after inducing apoptosis to osteosarcoma cells in culture [72].

Another use of argon in the medical field has been as a surgical tool. Argon Plasma Coagulation (APC) is a non-contact technique that uses high frequency stimulation of argon plasma to cauterize surrounding tissues and prevent bleeding through coagulation around surgical sites. APC is an example of an early use of argon gas in a medical environment demonstrating an ability to effectively limit tissue damage as seen in surgical cases including skin, gastrointestinal, and neurosurgeries [73-77]. The positive feedback from the use APC has allowed for speculation on its aptitude as a suitable replacement for standardized coagulation techniques such as bipolar coagulation [78].

**Conclusion**

The development of argon in medical research has originated from its ability as a narcotic agent to a gas with...
potential protective properties. The greater availability and low cost of argon provide a distinct advantage over xenon while the difference in the pressure required to exhibit narcotic properties allows for a variety of clinical applications not available to xenon. Ischemic brain injury models tend to show that argon is able to produce positive neuroprotective effects, though there also exists possible negative effects of argon gas therapies. Furthermore, the mechanisms in which argon exerts its neuroprotective behavior are poorly understood. Therefore, it can be evoked that an inadequate amount of data exists to correctly assess argons neuroprotective capability. Though studies investigating argon gas use in clinical therapies have yet to be examined, support for its use is evident through the combinational report with tPA. In addition, argon has garnered support as an organoprotectant and has shown progress as a surgical tool. Though much is still unknown about the effects and mechanisms of argon, a number of promising signs have been given to its future in the medical field with an emphasis found in its neuroprotective ability.
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