Assessment of normal tricuspid valve anatomy in adults by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography
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Abstract

Background  The tricuspid valve (TV) is a complex structure. Unlike the aortic and mitral valve it is not possible to visualize all TV leaflets simultaneously in one cross-sectional view by standard two-dimensional echocardiography (2DE) either transthoracic or transesophageal due to the position of TV in the far field.

Aim  Quantitative and qualitative assessment of the normal TV using real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE).

Methods  RT3DE was performed for 100 normal adults (mean age 30 ± 9 years, 65% males). RT3DE visualization was evaluated by 4-point score (1: not visualized, 2: inadequate, 3: sufficient, and 4: excellent). Measurements included TV annulus diameters (TAD), TV area (TVA), and commissural width.

Results  In 90% of patients with good 2DE image quality, it was possible to analyse TV anatomy by RT3DE. A detailed anatomical structure including unique description and measurement of TV annulus shape and size, TV leaflets shape, and mobility, and TV commissural width were obtained in majority of patients. Identification of each TV leaflet as seen in the routine 2DE views was obtained.

Conclusion  RT3DE of the TV is feasible in a large number of patients. RT3DE may add to functional 2DE data in description of TV anatomy and providing highly reproducible and actual reality (anatomical and functional) measurements.
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Introduction

The tricuspid valve (TV) is a multi-component complex structure [1]. In classic anatomic studies the anterior, septal and posterior TV cusps were described [2, 3]. Unlike the aortic and mitral valve it is not possible to visualize all TV cusps simultaneously in one cross-sectional view by standard transthoracic two-dimensional echocardiography (2DE) [4]. During transesophageal 2DE small changes in transducer angle, probe position and rotation may bring to light some
additional TV details [5, 6]. However, because of the position of the TV in the far field in relation to probe, transesophageal 2DE can still only provide limited information and can also not visualize all TV cusps simultaneously. In three-dimensional (3D) transesophageal image reconstruction and intracardiac echocardiography studies this goal could be achieved but at the cost of some procedural risks and an increase in procedural duration [7, 8]. Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography (RT3DE) can visualize the atrio-ventricular valves from both the ventricular and atrial side in detail without these limitations [9]. This study aimed to apply RT3DE for quantitative and qualitative assessment of normal TV anatomy.

Subjects and methods

In one hundred patients (mean age 30 ± 9 years, 65% males) the TV was examined by transthoracic RT3DE after an informed consent. All patients had sinus rhythm and normal right-sided heart (normal right ventricular dimensions and function, normal right atrial dimension, trivial or absent tricuspid regurgitation and normal tricuspid valve function). Patients with good 2DE image quality only were included. RT3DE was done with a commercially available ultrasound system (Philips Sonos 7500, Best, The Netherlands) attached to a X4 matrix array transducer capable of providing real-time B-mode images. The 3D data set was collected within approximately 5–10 s of breath holding in full volume mode from an apical window and transferred for off-line analysis with TomTec software (Unterschleißheim, Munich, Germany). Data analysis of 3D images was based on a two-dimensional approach relying on images obtained initially from the apical 4-chamber view. The images were adjusted to put the TV in the center of interest. To exclude non-relevant tissue, the TV was sliced between the two narrowest lines by which all parts of the TV leaflets were still in between. The TomTec software allows in this way visualization of the short-axis TV view in a 3D display (see Fig. 1). RT3DE gain and brightness were adjusted to improve delineation of anatomic structures. The following points were checked for visualization: 1) tricuspid annulus diameter and area, 2) TV leaflets (number, mobility, thickness and relation to each other), 3) TV area, and 4) TV commissures (antero-septal, antero-posterior, and postero-septal) including the position of their closure.
lines. All these structures were classified according to a subjective 4-point scale for image quality (1 = not visualized, 2 = inadequate, 3 = sufficient and 4 = good).

For quantitative assessment of TV the following RT3DE data were obtained: 1) TV annulus diameter defined as the widest diameter that could be measured from an end-diastolic still frame, 2) maximal TV annulus area obtained from an end-diastolic still frame and measured by manual planimetry, 3) TV area defined as the narrowest part of the TV at the time of maximal opening and measured by manual planimetry, and 4) TV commissural width obtained from a late-diastolic still frame using zoom function to avoid underestimation. The images were optimized for each commissure along its plane to measure the maximal width of the angle formed by the two adjacent TV leaflets.

To identify the TV leaflets visualized by the standard 2DE images the TomTec quad screen display was used. As seen in Fig. 1, this screen contains four images; the upper two images are 2DE images perpendicular to each other, the lower two images are a short-axis 2DE image and a RT3DE image. From the properly chosen two-dimensional image a mid-diastolic frame was selected to visualize the TV leaflets just separated from each other. Each leaflet was defined by a marker, after which this marker position was compared with the RT3DE image to detect which leaflet was shown in the 2DE images. Analysis of images was done by two experienced echocardiographers (AMA, JSM) independently. Each one dealt with the full volume image as acquired from echo machine and the selection of cut plane, angulation and gain setting adjustment were dependable on his experience.

Statistical analysis

All data obtained by RT3DE were presented as mean ± SD. Interobserver and intraobserver agreements for the visualization score were estimated using kappa values for each morphologic feature and classified as poor (kappa < 0.4), moderate (kappa 0.4 to 0.7), or good (kappa > 0.7). Interobserver and intraobserver variabilities for RT3DE measurements was assessed according to the Bland and Altman method in a randomly selected group of 50 patients [10].

Table 1

| Score   | TV annulus | TV leaflets | TV area | TV commissures |
|---------|------------|-------------|---------|----------------|
| Good (4)| 60%        | 80%         | 55%     | 50%            |
| Sufficient (3) | 30%   | 10%         | 30%     | 20%            |
| Inadequate (2) | 10%     | 10%         | 15%     | 20%            |
| Not visualized (1) | 0%     | 0%         | 0%      | 10%            |
| Mean score | 3.5 ± 0.7 | 3.7 ± 0.6   | 3.4 ± 0.7 | 3.1 ± 1.0     |
| Median score | 3.0      | 3.0         | 3.0     | 2.5            |

Abbreviations: TV = tricuspid valve

Results

Acquisition and analysis of the RT3DE data was performed in approximately 10 min per patient. The TV could be visualized in 90% of patients enface from both ventricular and atrial aspects in relation to adjacent cardiac structures. In these 90 patients detailed analysis of the TV was performed including tricuspid annulus shape and size, TV leaflets shape, size, and mobility, and commissural width.

Tricuspid annulus

Tricuspid annulus visualization was good in 54 patients (60%), sufficient in 27 patients (30%), and inadequate in 9 patients (10%). As seen in Fig. 2, tricuspid annulus shape appeared as oval rather than circular. Tricuspid annulus diameter and area could be measured in 63 patients (70%), normal values were 4.0 ± 0.7 cm and 10.0 ± 2.9 cm², respectively.

Tricuspid valve leaflets

Visualization of the three TV leaflets (in motion) was good in 72 patients (80%), sufficient in 9 patients (10%), and inadequate in another 9 patients (10%). The anterior leaflet was the...
largest and most mobile of the three leaflets and had a nearly semicircular shape. The septal leaflet was the least mobile and had a semi-oval shape. Its position was parallel to the interventricular septum. The posterior leaflet was the smallest one with variable shape. It was clearly separated from the septal leaflet in all patients but in 10% of patients it was hard to discriminate the posterior leaflet from the anterior leaflet even during maximal TV opening.

From the RT3DE data set all standard two-dimensional TV cross-sections (apical 4-chamber, parasternal short-axis and parasternal long-axis right ventricular inflow) were simulated. As seen in Fig. 3, in the apical 4-chamber view in all patients the septal leaflet was seen adjacent to the septum and the anterior leaflet was seen adjacent to the right ventricular free wall. In the parasternal short-axis view, the posterior leaflet was seen adjacent to the right ventricular free wall in 92% of patients and in the remaining 8% no leaflet could be obtained although modification of the cut plane downward could identify this leaflet. In this view the leaflet adjacent to the aorta was the anterior in 52% and the septal leaflet in 48%. In the parasternal right ventricular inflow view the leaflets seen were identical to the apical 4-chamber view with in all patients the septal leaflet seen adjacent to the septum and the anterior leaflet seen adjacent to the right ventricular free wall.

Tricuspid valve area

Visualization of the triangular shaped TV area was good in 50 patients (55%), sufficient in 27 patients (30%), and inadequate in 13 patients (15%). As seen in Fig. 4, the anterior and septal leaflets formed the TV area’s angle and the small posterior leaflet formed its base. TV area

![Fig. 2 Oval-shaped Tricuspid annulus (the line represent the tricuspid annulus diameter, the dots demark the area)](image)

![Fig. 3 Identification of the tricuspid valve leaflets seen on two-dimensional imaging. Below the 2D images, percentage of leaflet identification in each standard view depending the RT3DE images)](image)
could be measured in 77 patients (86%) and mean TV area in these patients was 4.8 ± 1.6 cm².

Tricuspid valve commissures

As seen in Fig. 4, the three TV leaflets were separated from each other by three commissures. The commissures and the direction of closure lines were good visualized in 45 patients (50%), sufficient in 18 patients (20%), inadequate in 18 patients (20%), and not visualized in 9 patients (10%). TV commissural width could be obtained in 63 patients (70%), mean commissural width in these patients was 5.4 ± 1.5 mm for the antero-septal commissure, 5.2 ± 1.5 mm for the postero-septal commissure, and 5.1 ± 1.1 mm for the antero-posterior commissure, respectively. Visualization and measurement of commissures was relatively easy for the antero-septal commissure and most difficult for the antero-posterior commissure. All measurements are listed in Table 2 as absolute value and indexed to body surface area.

Interobserver variability

The visualization score between two observers was good for the TV annulus (kappa value 0.91) and TV leaflets (kappa value 0.71) and moderate for the TV commissures (kappa value 0.59). As seen in Fig. 5, good interobserver correlations were found for measurement of TV annulus \((r = 0.98, P < 0.0001)\) and TV area \((r = 0.95, P < 0.0001)\) and fair correlation was found for TV commissural width \((r = 0.51, P < 0.001)\). In the same Figure, the interobserver agreement for TV annulus diameter (mean difference -0.28 ± 1.20 mm, agreement: 2.12, -2.68), for TV area (mean difference: 0.17 ± 0.52 cm², agreement: 1.21, -0.87), and for mean TV commissural width

Table 2  Normal (absolute and index) values of tricuspid valve annulus (diameter and area), Tricuspid valve area and the width of the 3 commissures

| Parameter                  | Absolute value | Index value |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Tricuspid annulus diameter | 4.0 ± 0.7 (cm) | 2.2 ± 0.4 (cm/m²) |
| Tricuspid annulus area     | 10.0 ± 2.9 (cm²) | 5.5 ± 1.6 (cm²/m²) |
| Tricuspid valve area       | 4.8 ± 1.6 (cm²) | 2.7 ± 0.9 (cm²/m²) |
| Antero-septal commissure   | 5.4 ± 1.5 (mm)  | 2.9 ± 0.8 (mm/m²)  |
| Postero-septal commissure  | 5.2 ± 1.5 (mm)  | 2.9 ± 0.7 (mm/m²)  |
| Antero-posterior commissure| 5.1 ± 1.1 (mm)  | 2.8 ± 0.6 (mm/m²)  |
Intraobserver variability

The visualization score by the first observer at 2 separate settings was good for the TV annulus (kappa value 0.92) and TV leaflets (kappa value 0.73) and moderate for the TV commissures (kappa value 0.58). Intraobserver agreement was (mean difference –0.26 ± 1.15 mm, agreement: 2.14, –2.56) for TV annulus diameter, (mean difference: 0.15 ± 0.50 cm², agreement: 1.15, –0.85) for TV area, and (mean difference: 0.02 ± 0.60 mm, agreement: 1.22, –1.18) for the mean TV commissural width.

Discussion

Two-dimensional echocardiography is a valuable imaging modality for the functional assessment of TV [11–13]. However, with 2DE it is not possible to visualize all TV cusps simultaneously in one cross-sectional view nor can detailed anatomical information of the TV annulus, leaflets, and commissures be provided. Previous studies and case reports described visualization of TV by RT3DE [9, 14] in abnormal states, while this study applied RT3DE for the morphological assessment of the normal TV anatomy. RT3DE allowed analysis of TV annulus, leaflets and commissures in the majority of patients. Beside this morphologic description, quantitative assessment could be obtained. However, it should be noticed that only patients with good 2DE image quality underwent RT3DE. In our experience these patients represent over 50% of the total number of patients referred to our echocardiographic laboratory. Nevertheless, RT3DE allowed TV analysis to a level quite comparable to that recently reported by others for the mitral valve leaflets [15].

One of the salient findings in our study was the identification of the TV leaflets as seen in the routine 2DE views. It is still a matter of controversy in echocardiographic textbooks. In one well known echocardiographic textbook [16], the leaflet seen in the apical 4-chamber view adjacent to the right ventricular free wall was described as being the anterior or posterior leaflet depending on the exact rotation and angulation of the image.

![Image: Interobserver correlations (top) and Bland–Altman analysis (bottom) of TV annulus, leaflets, and commissures](image_url)
plane. However, in our study this leaflet was consistently found to be the anterior leaflet (see Fig. 6 for explanation), as described in another textbook [17]. Also, in both these echocardiographic textbooks [16, 17] in the parasternal short-axis view the leaflet adjacent to the right ventricular free wall was described as being the anterior. However, as shown in Fig. 3 and explained in Fig. 6, in all patients in whom a leaflet could be identified in our study it was the posterior one.

In our study tricuspid annulus diameter (and area) could be reliably obtained with RT3DE. Tricuspid annulus measurement is of critical importance in the TV surgical decision-making process if a patient is operated for mitral valve disease and has concomitant TV regurgitation [18, 19]. In addition, TV area could be reliably obtained and this may have important implications for the diagnosis of tricuspid stenosis [20, 21]. Visualization of commissures and measurement of its width were obtained with difficulty, in particular for the antero-posterior commissure. Commissural width also showed weak interobserver correlation. This may be due to differences in the commissural levels and tissue dropout. For proper assessment of the three commissures, more cut planes with different angles are needed. However, assessment of commissural width may also be a valuable tool for the diagnosis, follow up, and selection of therapeutic strategy of tricuspid stenosis. All our RT3DE measurements were consistent with the measurements described in anatomical studies [2, 3]. Our data may potentially take RT3DE a step further into clinical routine (providing accurate TV measurements) and may enhance the understanding of TV valve morphology during the cardiac cycle (Fig. 7). Also, the detailed assessment by RT3DE may affect the therapeutic decision of various TV abnormalities and thus expand the abilities of non-invasive cardiology [22].

**Limitation of study**

The main limitation of this study is that RT3DE data were not compared with a “gold standard” such as magnetic resonance imaging, autopsy or surgical findings. Also, RT3DE images more critically depend on 2DE image quality and could be obtained only in patients with sinus rhythm during hold breath that limits its application to all. The study included patients with narrow age range (21–39 years), and thus the normal findings
in this study is confined to this age group and could not be applied to both extremities (<21 and >39 years).

Conclusion

Three-dimensional imaging of the TV is feasible in a large number of patients. RT3DE may add to functional 2DE data in description of TV anatomy and providing highly reproducible and actual reality (anatomic and functional) measurements.
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