An allosteric binding site of the α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor revealed in a humanized acetylcholine-binding protein
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Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) belong to the family of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels and mediate fast excitatory transmission in the central and peripheral nervous systems. Among the different existing receptor subtypes, the homomeric α7 nAChR has attracted considerable attention because of its possible implication in several neurological and psychiatric disorders, including cognitive decline associated with Alzheimer’s disease or schizophrenia. Allosteric modulators of ligand-gated ion channels are of particular interest as therapeutic agents, as they modulate receptor activity without affecting normal fluctuations of synaptic neurotransmitter release. Here, we used X-ray crystallography and surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy of α7-acetylcholine–binding protein (AChBP), a humanized chimera of a snail AChBP, which has 71% sequence similarity with the extracellular ligand-binding domain of the human α7 nAChR, to investigate the structural determinants of allosteric modulation. We extended previous observations that an allosteric site located in the vestibule of the receptor offers an attractive target for receptor modulation. We introduced seven additional humanizing mutations in the vestibule site of AChBP to improve its suitability as a model for studying allosteric binding. Using a fragment-based screening approach, we uncovered an allosteric binding site located near the β8–β9 loop, which critically contributes to coupling ligand binding to channel opening in human α7 nAChR. This work expands our understanding of the topology of allosteric binding sites in AChBP and, by extrapolation, in the human α7 nAChR as determined by electrophysiology measurements. Our insights pave the way for drug design strategies targeting nAChRs involved in ion channel–mediated disorders.

Cys-loop receptors, also called pentameric ligand-gated ion channels (pLGICs), are involved in fast synaptic transmission in the central and peripheral nervous systems. This channel family can be subdivided into two main subfamilies. One subfamily is formed by pLGICs that selectively permeate cations and are involved in excitatory synaptic transmission, namely nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) and type-3 serotonin receptors. The second subfamily is formed by pLGICs that selectively permeate anions and are involved in inhibitory synaptic transmission, namely type A γ-aminobutyric acid receptors (GABAARs) and glycine receptors (GlyRs). For recent reviews, see Refs. 1–5. Each of these pLGICs share a common assembly of five identical or non-identical subunits arranged around a central ion-conducting pore. Each subunit consists of an extracellular ligand-binding domain, a pore-forming transmembrane domain, and an intracellular domain. The

The abbreviations used are: pLGIC, pentameric ligand-gated ion channel; nAChR, nicotinic acetylcholine receptor; AChBP, acetylcholine-binding protein; GABAARs, type A γ-aminobutyric acid receptors; GlyRs, glycine receptors; ELIC, Erwinia ligand-gated channel; GLIC, Gloeobacter ligand-gated channel; PAM, positive allosteric modulator; α7-AChBP<sub>H</sub>, α7-acetylcholine–binding protein with additional humanizing mutations in the vestibule site; SPR, surface plasmon resonance; TQS, 4-(1-naphthyl)-3a,4,5,9b-tetrahydro-3H-cyclopenta[c]quinoline-8-sulfonamide; PNU-120596, 1-(5-chloro-2,4-dimethoxy-phenyl)-3-(5-methyl-isoxazol-3-yl)-urea; NS-1738, 1-(5-chloro-2-hydroxy-phenyl)-3-(2-chloro-5-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-urea; LY-2087101, (2-(4-fluoro-phenylamino)-4-methyl-thiazol-5-yl)-thiophen-3-yl-methanone; CU2017, N-(5-bromopyridin-2-yl)piperidine-3-carboxamide; PDB, Protein Data Bank.
rotransmitter-binding site is located in the ligand-binding domain at the interface between each of two subunits, whereas the channel gate is at a spatially distinct, yet conformationally coupled location in the pore domain.

From a therapeutic perspective, two specific nAChR subtypes have been explored extensively, namely the homomeric α7 nAChR and the heteromeric α4β2 nAChR. The α4β2 nAChR is the target for the addictive effects of nicotine in tobacco smokers and a partial agonist, varenicline (Champix®, has reached the market for the treatment of nicotine addiction (6). The highest affinity receptors are composed of two α4 subunits and three β2 subunits and are localized in the mesolimbic dopaminergic system where stimulation of these receptors results in dopamine release, which mediates the reinforcing effects of nicotine. Varenicline is a partial agonist for α4β2 nAChRs causing partial receptor stimulation while competitively inhibiting nicotine binding (6). The α7 nAChR has attracted considerable attention to enhance cognition in neurological and psychiatric disorders associated with cognitive decline such as Alzheimer’s disease and schizophrenia (7–11). Agonists for α7 nAChRs have a major disadvantage that prolonged receptor activation produces sustained receptor activation and desensitization, thereby decreasing the normal fluctuations in acetylcholine signaling at the synapse (9). In contrast, positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of α7 nAChRs enhance receptor function in the presence of acetylcholine while preserving normal fluctuations of receptor activation (10).

Structural insight into the molecular architecture of integral nAChRs has been revealed through the pioneering work of Unwin et al. (12) on the Torpedo nAChR and recently, with the X-ray structure determination of the human α4β2 nAChR bound to nicotine (13). In contrast, a three-dimensional structure for the integral α7 nAChR is not yet available, but a wealth of structural data exist for the acetylcholine-binding proteins (AChBPs) (14, 15), which are water-soluble surrogates of the ligand-binding domain of nAChRs. At present, more than 100 crystal structures have been determined of AChBPs in complex with a wide variety of agonists, partial agonists and antagonists, as available in the Protein Data Bank. In addition, a chimera of AChBP and the extracellular ligand-binding domain human α7 nAChR, named α7-AChBP, has been engineered that has 64% sequence identity and 71% sequence similarity with the α7 nAChR ligand-binding domain (16). Previously, we have employed α7-AChBP to unveil novel allosteric binding sites using a fragment-based screening approach in which the neurotransmitter-binding site was occupied with a known partial agonist and unoccupied allosteric sites were identified through novel binders from a fragment library (17). Among the identified allosteric sites the so-called vestibule-binding site appears to be particularly attractive as it overlaps with a benzodiazepine-binding site in the prokaryote ligand-gated ion channel ELIC, which is positively modulated by the benzodiazepine flurazepam (18).

In this study, we extended these observations and engineered an improved α7-AChBP harboring additional humanizing mutations in the vestibule-binding site. Using a fragment-based screening approach we identified additional allosteric binders and unexpectedly, we unveiled a novel allosteric binding site, which is located near the β8–β9 loop. This site partially overlaps with a known modulatory site for Ca2+, which potentiates the human α7 nAChR (19).

Results

Engineering humanizing mutations in the vestibule-binding site of α7-AChBP

The aim of our study was to enhance our understanding of the structural determinants of allosteric modulation of nAChRs and to develop improved tools for structure-based design of new therapeutics targeting the human α7 nAChR. To this end, we employed α7-AChBP, which is a previously designed chimera between the human α7 nAChR and the Lymnaea stagnalis AChBP and has 64% sequence identity with the α7 nAChR ligand-binding domain (16). Our preferred target site for allosteric modulation of the receptor is the previously identified vestibule-binding site (17), which corresponds to a benzodiazepine-binding site of the prokaryote ligand-gated ion channel ELIC, which is positively modulated by the benzodiazepine flurazepam (18). To improve the resemblance of α7-AChBP to the human α7 nAChR we introduced additional humanizing mutations in the local environment of the vestibule-binding site based on the amino acid interactions formed with a previously identified vestibule binder, named fragment molecule 4 (PDB code 5afm) (17). In total, we expressed 12 single point mutants substituting each residue by its corresponding residue in the human α7 nAChR, namely F52I, I80D, V85K, L88I, A89L, S95E, K96R, P97F, I119G, Q122F, and F123S. Among these 12 mutants, 7 were tolerated, namely F52I, V85K, L88I, A89L, A90L, S95E, K96R. We defined a “tolerated” mutation as a mutation that results in detectable protein secreted in the expression medium, similar to wildtype α7-AChBP. “Not-tolerated” mutations failed to produce secreted protein, because of folding, trafficking, or other issues. The remaining 5 mutants were not-tolerated. Next, the 7 tolerated mutations in the vestibule-binding site were combined into a single construct, which we termed α7-AChBPVS.

X-ray crystal structure determination of ligand-bound complexes of α7-AChBPVS

We determined the X-ray crystal structure of α7-AChBPVS in complex with the partial agonist αlobeoline (Table 2). Similar to our previous approach (17) we chose to determine structures bound to αlobeoline because crystals grown in the presence of αlobeoline gave more robust diffraction than apo crystals, thus facilitating subsequent studies with allosteric binders. Fig. 1, A and B, shows the overall architecture of lobeline-bound α7-AChBPVS, which is composed of 5 subunits radially arranged around a central pore. Human α7 nAChR residues are colored in blue and Lymnaea AChBP residues are colored in orange. The neurotransmitter-binding site is localized at the interface between each of two subunits and is occupied by αlobeoline in this structure (shown in sphere representation in Fig. 1). Fig. 1, C and D, shows a single α7-AChBPVS subunit and the location of the vestibule-binding site relative to the neurotransmitter-binding site. The vestibule-binding site is an intrasubunit site accessible from the receptor vestibule and located...
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Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of α7-AChBP vs in complex with α-lobeline. A, schematic representation of the α7-AChBP vs pentamer as seen perpendicular to the 5-fold symmetry axis. Residues belonging to the human α7 nAChR are colored in blue and residues from Lymnaea AChBP are colored orange. The partial agonist α-lobeline is bound to the neurotransmitter (orthosteric)-binding site of the subunit interface facing the viewer and is shown in sphere representation. Carbon atoms are colored in wheat, nitrogen in blue, and oxygen in red. B, view from (A) 90° rotated with the top (N-terminal side) of the pentamer facing the viewer. C and D schematic and surface representation of a single subunit oriented toward the vestibule-binding site (180° rotated from A), which lies opposite to the neurotransmitter-binding site and is accessible via the receptor vestibule. Additional amino acid residues that were mutated into corresponding residues from the human α7 nAChR are colored in green. E, detailed view of the vestibule-binding site with key side chains shown in stick representation. Orange/blue residues are color-coded as in previous panels and green residues correspond to additional humanizing mutations.

To confirm the structural integrity of the vestibule-binding site in α7-AChBP vs we also determined the X-ray crystal structure of α7-AChBP vs in complex with α-lobeline and the allosteric binder fragment 4 (Tables 1 and 2). Similar to our previous approach (17) we took advantage of the 2 bromine atoms in fragment 4 to pinpoint their location in an anomalous density map. Fig. 2, A–D, shows a schematic representation of a single α7-AChBP vs subunit and ligands shown in ball and stick representation. Unexpectedly, we observe that fragment 4 not only occupies the vestibule-binding site, but also another allosteric site at the N-terminal top side of the receptor, which we termed the top binding site (Fig. 2, A and B) as it was also bound by a different fragment molecule 1 in our previous study (17). Inspection of the anomalous density map reveals peaks (shown as magenta mesh in Fig. 2, B and D) almost twice as intense at the top binding site compared with the vestibule-binding site suggesting that fragment 4 even binds the top site with higher occupancy than the vestibule site. The fact that we observe fragment 4 not only in the vestibule site, but also in the top site could be explained by possible allosteric effects of the humanizing mutations on the top site, or alternatively, by the slightly differing crystallization conditions for the α7-AChBP vs complex compared with α7-AChBP in our previous study (17). In the top site, the carbamoyl moiety of fragment 4 forms hydrogen bonds (indicated as black dashed lines in Fig. 2B) with the backbone atoms of Glu-9, Leu-10, and Tyr-62, whereas the side chain of Leu-10 flips outward to expose the binding site. The hydroxypropyl moiety of fragment 4 forms a hydrogen bond with Tyr-70, which is located in a loop connecting the β2- and β3-strands. Additionally, fragment 4 forms van der Waals interactions with Leu-6, Tyr-7, Leu-63, Gln-64, Val-76, Val-78, and Val-107.

In the vestibule-binding site (Fig. 2D) fragment 4 forms a hydrogen bond (indicated as black dashed line) via the pyrrrole nitrogen and Gln-121. Van der Waals interactions are formed with Leu-54, Leu-89, Leu-90, Pro-97, Leu-100, Thr-101, Pro-102, Ile-119, and Phe-142.

In the neurotransmitter-binding site (Fig. 2C) the difference density map reveals features for the central piperidine moiety of α-lobeline, but less clearly for the extreme phenyl moieties. This can be explained by the fact that α-lobeline is flexible and adopts different partially overlapping ligand binding poses around the piperidine moiety as observed in previous structures of Aplysia and Capitella AChBPs and α7-AChBP (20, 21).

Characterization of the binding properties of α7-AChBP vs via surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy

To further validate α7-AChBP vs as an improved model for structural and functional studies we verified the pharmacological properties of α7-AChBP vs via surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy. We determined the binding characteristics of a range of representative agonists (acetylcholine, nicotine),
partial agonists (varenicline, \(\alpha\)-lobeline), antagonists (\(d\)-tubocurarine, strychnine), and allosteric modulators (fragment 4 and additional binders described below) and compared them for \(\alpha\)-7-AChBPVs and \(\alpha\)-7-AChBP (17).

Overall, binding affinities of the tested ligands were comparable for \(\alpha\)-7-AChBPVs and \(\alpha\)-7-AChBP with the exception of acetylcholine, varenicline, and nicotine, which displayed 10-fold higher \(K_D\) values for \(\alpha\)-7-AChBPVs compared with \(\alpha\)-7-AChBP (Table 3). Although the neurotransmitter-binding site was preserved from mutations, these orthosteric binders showed a lower affinity, which was not observed with the other compounds that bind the same pocket. The mutations in the vestibule-binding site seemed not to alter the binding affinity for the previously described allosteric binder, fragment 4. Both proteins showed a weak interaction with fragment 4 with a \(K_D\) of 1420 \(\mu\)M for \(\alpha\)-7-AChBP and 1703 \(\mu\)M for \(\alpha\)-7-AChBPVs. However, the latter represents an “apparent” \(K_D\) value because it is higher than half the highest analyte concentration used (i.e. 3 mM). Together, these results validate \(\alpha\)-7-AChBPVs as an improved model for structural and functional studies of ligand recognition at the vestibule-binding site. Because the additional humanizing mutations appear to affect the orthosteric binding site it is important to interpret results related to this site with caution.

**Deployment of \(\alpha\)-7-AChBPvs for high-throughput data collection of fragment libraries**

Our next goal was to obtain additional fragment molecules binding the vestibule site as a template to design a high affinity lead compound targeting this site. A conventional approach is to pre-screen fragment hits from a fragment library using a biophysical method amenable to high-throughput screening, such as SPR, and to select those hits for structure determination by X-ray crystallography. However, example cases have shown that hit selection highly depends on the biophysical screening method used and consequently, a large proportion of fragment molecules suitable for structure determination can be missed (23). With the recent development of automated data collection pipelines at synchrotron beamlines data sets of an entire fragment library can now be collected in 1 week, thus eliminating the need to employ a pre-screening selection.
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Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of α7-ACBP<sub>VS</sub> in complex with α-lobeline and allosteric binder fragment 4. A, schematic representation of a single α7-ACBP<sub>VS</sub> subunit as seen toward the vestibule site. α-Lobeline is bound to the neurotransmitter-binding site and is shown in white transparent sphere and stick representation. Fragment 4 is bound to two different allosteric sites, the vestibule site and the top site and is shown in yellow (carbon), blue (nitrogen), red (oxygen), and magenta (bromine). B, detailed view of the amino acid interactions formed by fragment 4 at the top site. The green mesh is the 5-fold averaged simple difference density at a contour level of 4σ, the magenta mesh is the anomalous difference density at 5σ. C, detailed view of amino acid interactions formed by α-lobeline at the neurotransmitter binding site. The principal subunit is shown in blue, the complementary subunit in green. D, detailed view of amino acid interactions formed by fragment 4 at the vestibule site. Most interactions are intrasubunit interactions (blue), whereas 3 residues come from a neighboring subunit (green). The green mesh is the 5-fold averaged simple difference density at a contour level of 4σ, the magenta mesh is the anomalous difference density at 5σ.

**Table 3**

Equilibrium dissociation constants (K<sub>D</sub>) as determined for different ligands via surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy

Data are reported as average ± S.D. of at least 4 experiments (*, **, and *** indicate significant difference at p values of 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively).

| Ligand            | K<sub>D</sub> α7-ACBP<sub>VS</sub> | K<sub>D</sub> α7-ACBP<sub>VS</sub> |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Acetylcholine     | 23.92 ± 4.83                      | 202.38 ± 81.32                   |
| Nicotine          | 1.60 ± 0.19                       | 11.40 ± 0.80                     |
| Varenicline       | 1.24 ± 0.17                       | 9.90 ± 1.28                      |
| α-Lobeline        | 0.75 ± 0.13                       | 2.14 ± 1.06                      |
| d-Tubocurarine    | 1.29 ± 0.19                       | 1.41 ± 1.02                      |
| Strychnine        | 2.14 ± 0.51                       | 2.64 ± 0.54                      |
| Fragment 4        | 1420.00 ± 98.49                   | 1703.44 ± 627.74                 |
| CU2017            | 40.04 ± 19.47                     | 93.57 ± 35.08                    |

*NS, not significant.

**Identification of an allosteric binding site near the β8–β9 loop of α7-ACBP<sub>VS</sub>**

From our fragment screen we identified a molecule termed CU2017 ((N-(5-bromopyridin-2-yl)piperidine-3-carboxamide) (Tables 1 and 2), which occupies a novel binding site located near the β8–β9 loop (Fig. 3, A–D). In integral pLGICs this loop contributes to the interface with the transmembrane domain and plays an important role in coupling ligand binding to channel opening. CU2017 contains a single bromine atom and the observed anomalous signal unambiguously pinpoints the location of this fragment molecule. Fig. 3A shows two peaks in the anomalous density map, contoured at a level of 4σ, and visible in subunits A and D. The difference density is only sufficiently clear to allow ligand building in subunit A, suggesting that the occupancy in subunit D is lower. The β8–β9 site occupied by CU2017 is mainly composed of human α7 amino acid residues (indicated in blue in Fig. 3, C and D) and only 3 residues from *Lymnaea* ACBP remain, namely Val-175, Thr-176, and Gln-177 (indicated in orange in Fig. 3, C and D). The bromo-pyridine moiety of CU2017 points deep into the β8–β9 site,

To this end, we employed AChBP<sub>VS</sub> at such a beamline, the XChem facility of the Diamond Light Source (Oxfordshire, UK). As part of a test run we collected structures for a partial library composed of 115 fragments. To further advance method development at automated synchrotron beamlines we investigated the usefulness of PDB-REDO (see “Experimental procedures”), which is an automated procedure to optimize macromolecular structure models (24, 25). We found that PDB-REDO improves model R<sub>free</sub> factors by 1.6% on average (minimum 0% and maximum 4.6%), thus contributing to model improvement and better electron density maps for interpretation of fragment hits. From this set we could identify 2 hits, one is localized in the vestibule-binding site, thus confirming our earlier observations of the importance of this site (17). A second hit is localized in a novel allosteric binding site in α7-ACBP<sub>VS</sub> as discussed below.
whereas the piperidine moiety points outward. Hydrogen bonds (shown as dashed lines in Fig. 3) are formed between the carboxamide moiety and Ala-159 and Gln-177. Van der Waals interactions are formed with Leu-33, Glu-158, Asp-160, Ile-161, Val-175, Thr-176, Phe-196, and Val-198. Additionally, interactions are formed with interacting residues from a neighboring pentamer in the crystal packing, Val-67 and Ser-68. It is likely that these residues contribute to ligand stability in the A subunit. The anomalous peak in subunit D indicates that CU2017 also binds in the $\beta8$–$\beta9$ site in the absence of crystal contacts albeit at lower occupancy. Importantly, the $\beta8$–$\beta9$ site in $\alpha7$ nAChR is very near to the allosteric binding site previously identified in the ELIC $\beta$ bromoform (27) and ELIC $\alpha$ chlorpromazine structures (28) (Fig. 3E). This result demonstrates that the $\beta8$–$\beta9$ site is common to different pLGICs and can be commonly targeted by chemically diverse molecules in these different pLGICs.

Electrophysiological characterization of novel fragment hits on human $\alpha7$ nAChRs

We previously demonstrated that fragment 4, a vestibule site binder, acts as a negative allosteric modulator on the human $\alpha7$ nAChR (17). In an earlier study, we demonstrated that the benzodiazepine flurazepam acts as a PAM through the vestibule-binding site of the prokaryote ligand-gated ion channel ELIC (18). To investigate the functional properties of fragment CU2017 we tested its effects on the human $\alpha7$ nAChR using voltage-clamp recordings in Xenopus oocytes. The fragment was tested in a concentration range between 1 and 500 $\mu$M and each concentration was applied either alone or in combination with 200 $\mu$M acetylcholine (Fig. 4). When CU2017 was applied in the absence of acetylcholine, no significant effect was observed. Upon co-application with acetylcholine we observed that CU2017 causes a concentration-dependent inhibition of acetylcholine-induced responses of the $\alpha7$ nAChR (Fig. 4) with an IC$_{50}$ value of 26.7 ± 1.6 $\mu$M. Together, these data demonstrate that the fragment hit CU2017 is functionally active and inhibits channel opening likely through its corresponding site as identified in the $\alpha7$-AChBP$_{\alpha7}$ crystal structure. Fragment CU2017 binds at the $\beta8$–$\beta9$ site and functionally behaves as a negative modulator, similar to chlorpromazine (28) and bromoform (27), which bind at an overlapping site in ELIC. Importantly, the

Figure 3. X-ray crystal structure of $\alpha7$-AChBP$_{\alpha7}$ in complex with allosteric binder fragment CU2017. A, yellow ribbon representation of the $\alpha7$-AChBP$_{\alpha7}$ pentamer as seen along the 5-fold symmetry axis with the bottom (C-terminal side) of the pentamer pointing toward the viewer. The magenta mesh is the anomalous difference density at a contour level of 4$\alpha$. B, schematic representation of the A subunit pointing toward the viewer. The magenta mesh is the anomalous difference density at a contour level of 4$\alpha$. E, comparison of the $\beta8$–$\beta9$ loop site occupied by fragment CU2017 and previously published structures of the Erwinia ligand-gated ion channel ELIC in complex with chlorpromazine (28) (PDB code 5lg3) or bromoform (27) (PDB code 3zkr).
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β8–β9 site is near to a known modulatory site for Ca2+ potentiation in the human α7 nAChR (19).

Together, these results demonstrate that fragment-based screening identifies functionally active allosteric binders of the human α7 nAChR. As mentioned in our previous work (17), we hypothesize that chemical optimization of our fragments, increasing their molecular weight, potency, and number of interactions, could lead to a modification in functional activity and cause positive allosteric modulation of the α7 nAChR, which is therapeutically desirable for cognition enhancement (7–11).

Discussion

PAMs of α7 nAChRs are currently investigated as promising therapeutic agents to enhance cognitive function in Alzheimer’s disease and schizophrenia. Several candidate molecules have been developed (29–33) and they are broadly categorized into two classes, namely type I PAMs, which increase the peak current response and type II PAMs, which increase peak current response in combination with a profound slowing of the desensitization kinetics (34). Some PAMs, such as galantham-
Figure 5. Overview of ligand-binding sites in \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP and ligand-binding domains of other Cys-loop receptors. The crystal structure of \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP<sub>ys</sub> is shown in green ribbon representation and was superposed onto the extracellular domain of the human \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 \) nAChR structure (13) (PDB code 5kxi). Only the transmembrane domain of the \( \alpha 4 \beta 2 \) receptor is shown for illustrative purposes. The different binding sites are indicated according to their respective bound ligands in different Cys-loop receptor structures and corresponding PDB accession codes are mentioned between parentheses: top site in blue, \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP + fragment 1 (17) (code 5afj) and \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP<sub>vs</sub> + fragment 4 (this study) (code 5oui); AM-3607 site in cyan, \( \alpha 3 \) GlyR + AM-3607 (43) (code 5tin); orthosteric site in white, example \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP<sub>ys</sub> + \( \alpha \)-labeled (code Souj); agonist subsite in wheat, \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP + fragment 1 (17) (code Sajf) and GLIC + ketamine (49) (code 48fh); \( \beta 8 \)–\( \beta 9 \) loop site in red, \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP<sub>ys</sub> + fragment CU2017 (this study) (code Souij); ELIC + bromoform (27) (code 3zk); and locally closed GLIC + xenon (47) (code 4zb); lower part of the \( \beta 8 \)–\( \beta 9 \) loop site in magenta, ELIC + chlorpromazine (28) (code 5g3); vestibule site in yellow, AChBP<sub>vs</sub> + fragment 4 structure (this study) (code Soug), ELIC + flurazepam (18) (code 2yo), and GLIC + bromoacetate (44) (code 4qh).

Later, the vestibule site was also revealed in \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP, where it was bound by fragment molecule 4 (17) and in the \( \alpha \)lloboac- ter ligand-gated ion channel GLIC, where it was bound by acetate (44). Very recently, the vestibule site was also identified as a site of positive allosteric modulation in a newly discovered \( \gamma \)-proteobacterial channel (45). In human \( \alpha 7 \) nAChRs, fragment 4 behaves as a negative allosteric modulator, whereas positive allosteric modulators are desirable to obtain cognitive enhancement in neurological and psychiatric disease (7–11). However, as exemplified in a recent study of TQS-derived allosteric modulators on human \( \alpha 7 \) nAChRs, it is possible that small changes in the chemical structures, such as methylation of an aromatic ring, can alter pharmacological profiles between PAMs and negative allosteric modulators (46). Therefore, we hypothesize that the available fragment hits for the vestibule site in the human \( \alpha 7 \) nAChR can serve the design of high affinity lead compounds targeting this site.

Fragment molecule CU2017 binds to a binding site near the \( \beta 8 \)–\( \beta 9 \) loop in \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP<sub>vs</sub> (in red, Fig. 5). This site was not revealed in \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP structures before, but it is near a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding site known to potentiate \( \alpha 7 \) nAChRs as identified in a mutagenesis study (19). The \( \beta 8 \)–\( \beta 9 \) loop site is also near a binding site in ELIC bound to the antipsychotic compound chlorpromazine (28) (in magenta, Fig. 5) or the general anesthetic derivative bromoform (27), as well as in a locally closed form of GLIC bound to xenon (47). This site was extensively characterized in ELIC using site-directed mutagenesis and a series of phenothiazine analogues (28), which act as negative allosteric modulators of ELIC.

In this study, we observed that fragment molecule 4 also bound a binding site near the N-terminal \( \alpha \)-helix, named the top site (in blue, Fig. 5), and which was also bound by fragment molecule 1 in our previous study (17). Relatively near to the top site is a novel intersubunit-binding site in the \( \alpha 3 \) glycine receptor, which is bound by the positive allosteric modulator AM-3607 (43) (in cyan, Fig. 5).

Just below the agonist-binding site is the so-called agonist subsite (in wheat, Fig. 5), which is occupied by fragment molecule 1 in \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP (17) and by ketamine in GLIC (49). Finally, there are a number of monovalent and divalent cation-binding sites in ELIC (50) and GLIC (51, 52), but which are not common with \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP and therefore not shown in Fig. 5.

Taken together, our study contributes to understanding the topology of allosteric binding sites in \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP and by extrapolation how those sites mediate allosteric modulation of the integral human \( \alpha 7 \) nAChR. An increasing number of allosteric binding sites can now be probed by chemically diverse molecules and comparison of available structures across the Cys-loop receptor family now indicates that different receptor subtypes often share common allosteric sites. The detailed structural architecture of allosteric sites, such as the vestibule site and the \( \beta 8 \)–\( \beta 9 \) loop site, however, differs in different receptor subtypes raising the possibility to modulate receptor function with high subtype specificity. This offers new opportunities in the development of novel therapeutics targeting nAChRs in channel-related disorders.

**Experimental procedures**

**Protein expression and crystallization**

\( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP was expressed and purified as previously described (17). In brief, \( \alpha 7 \)-AChBP was expressed as a C termi-
nally His-tagged fusion in Sf9 insect cells using the Bac-to-Bac baculovirus expression system from Invitrogen. Mutants were introduced using the QuikChange mutagenesis kit (Agilent) and cDNA sequences were confirmed by sequencing (LGC Genomics). At least 2 independent bacmid clones were trans- fected and amplified for each mutant. Each mutant was affinity- purified in batches using Ni-Sepharose High Performance beads (GE Healthcare). A final polishing purification was done on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) in buffer containing 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl. Fractions corresponding to pentameric AChBP were loaded on SDS-PAGE for a final quality control, pooled, and concentrated to 6 mg/ml.

Concentrated protein was mixed with α-lobeline hydrochloride (Sigma) at a final concentration of 1 mM. For the complex with fragment 4, we additionally added 4,5-dibromo-N-(3-hydroxypropyl)-1H-pyrrrole-2-carboxamide (Matrix Scientific) dissolved in DMSO at a final concentration of 10 mM. Crystal- lization plates were set up with a nanoliter Mosquito crystallization robot (TTP Labtech) and 2- or 3-well MRC plates at room temperature. Crystals grew in a variety of conditions and the best-diffracting crystals were obtained in a solution containing 0.1 m HEPES, pH 7.5, 8% ethylene glycol, and 10% PEG8000. Crystals grew to their maximal size in a few days and were harvested after cryo-protection by increasing the ethylene glycol concentration in the mother liquor to 30% in 5% incre- ments. Crystals were then immersed in liquid nitrogen. For fragment CU2017 (Enamine), crystals were soaked at the XChem facility of the Diamond Light Source (Oxfordshire, UK) using acoustic droplet ejection with the Echo 550 Liquid Handling (TTP Labtech) and 2- or 3-well MRC plates set up with a nanoliter Mosquito crystallization robot.

Structure determination

The X-ray diffraction data set for α7-AChBP VS in complex with α-lobeline and fragment 4 was collected at the PROXIMA-1 beamline of the SOLEIL synchrotron (Gif-Sur-Yvette, France) at a wavelength of 0.91983 Å. All other data sets were collected at the I04-1 beamline of the Diamond Light Source (Oxfordshire, UK) at a fixed wavelength of 0.92 Å. Data integration and reduction were done either with XDS (53) and SCALA (54) or in the xia2 pipeline (55). The structure was solved by molecular replacement using Molrep (54) and the coordinates of α7-AChBP with PDB accession code 5a6m from which ligands were removed. The raw molec- ular replacement solution was fed into the PDB-REDO server (24, 25) and an automated solution was obtained with refinement statistics: \( r = 22.9\% \) and \( R \_ \text{free} = 25.2\% \) that outperformed a parallel effort using several cycles of manual rebuilding and refining in Coot (56). Consequently, all subsequent data sets collected at the I04-1 beamline of the Diamond Light Source were processed automatically by extending the existing DIMPLE pipeline (54) with PDB-REDO as described below. Structure validation was done using MolProbity (57) and all figures were prepared using PyMOL (Schrödinger). Anoma- lous maps were calculated in Phenix (58) and cut to a resolu- tion of 5 Å for the CU2017-bound structure to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. NCS-averaged maps were calculated in Coot (56).

Model optimization by PDB-REDO

The structure models were processed by PDB-REDO 7.00 (24, 25). The PDB-REDO pipeline consists of over 50 components that perform model optimization tasks such as (parameterization of) reciprocal- and real-space refinement, side chain rebuilding, peptide-plane flips, water removal, refinement of zinc-binding sites, carbohydrate linkage correction, twinning detection, the selection of the high-resolution data threshold, model validation, and precaculating molecular graphics scenes (59–62). All of the tasks are embedded within a fully automated decision-making framework. Recently, homology-based hydro- gen-bond restraints were introduced in PDB-REDO. Model geometry and the fit to the crystallographic data improve when these restraints are imposed during model refinement, in particular at low resolution but also at intermediate and higher resolution (48). Homology restraints are particularly useful for (fragment-based) ligand screening as the proteins are identical but the data sets can vary much in resolution.

To improve deployability in high-throughput computing environments self-contained Docker (www.docker.com)5 and Singularity (26) images were created. The PDB-REDO Singu- larity image without the components that generate visualization scenes was prepared for fragment screening of α7-AChBP- PVS at the Diamond Light Source and deployed on the High Performance Computing system brEniac of the VSC (Vlaams Supercomputing Centrum) at KU Leuven. This is a cluster consisting of 580 nodes, each equipped with a dual-socket Intel Broadwell E5–2680v4 (2.40 GHz) and 128 GB RAM. 39 useful diffraction data sets with a resolution cut-off between 2.5 and 3.5 Å were processed in less than 10 h. All models were refined in a parallel fashion with one CPU-thread per crystallographic dataset. This approach reduces the computing time of \( n \) data- sets to the equivalent of a single dataset (~10 h) as long as sufficient computing resources are available. Docker and Singularity PDB-REDO images are available from WGT and RPJ upon request.

Coordinates and structure factors were deposited in the Pro-tein Data Bank under accession codes 5oug for α7-AChBPVS in complex with α-lobeline and fragment 4, 5ouh for α7-AChBP- PVS in complex with α-lobeline, and 5oui for α7-AChBPVS in complex with fragment CU2017.

Surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy

Interaction studies were performed on a Biacore T200 instrument (GE Healthcare). Proteins were covalently immobilized on a CM5 sensor chip in 10 mM HEPES using standard amine coupling chemistry. Chip densities varied between 1000 and 7000 resonance units. Interactions were performed at 25 °C in PBS-p+ (GE Healthcare) supplemented with 1% DMSO. Sample dilution series were injected for 30 s at a flow rate of 30 \( \mu l/\text{min} \) followed by a dissociation phase of 4 min. No regener- ation of the surface was needed. A reference flow was used as a control for nonspecific binding and refractive index changes. Several buffer blanks were used for double referencing and a

5 Please note that the JBC is not responsible for the long-term archiving and maintenance of this site or any other third party hosted site.
DMSO concentration series was included to remove the DMSO effect on the measured responses. 50 μM d-tubocurarine was used as positive control. Equilibrium dissociation constants (K_D) were calculated in the Biacore T200 Evaluation Software 2.0.

Because of the characteristic fast binding kinetics of small molecules a steady state analysis was applied to calculate the binding affinities. The binding levels at equilibrium (R_eq) were plotted against the corresponding analyte concentrations (C) and the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) was derived from R_eq = C * R_max/(K_D + C). R_max represents the analyte binding capacity of the surface.

Electrophysiological recordings

For expression in X. laevis oocytes, the plasmid encoding the human α7 nAChR subunit (α7; subcloned in vector pMXT) was linearized with BamHI and capped cRNAs were synthesized from the linearized plasmids by using the SP6 mMESSAGE-mMACHINE transcription kit (Ambion). Stage V and VI oocytes were harvested from the ovarian lobes of anesthetized frogs as described (22). Freshly isolated oocytes were subsequently injected with 45 nl of cRNA at a concentration of ~100 ng/μl by using a microinjector (Drummond). The oocyte incubation solution contained 96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl_2, 2 mM MgCl_2, and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, supplemented with 50 mg/liter of gentamicin sulfate. Whole cell currents were recorded from oocytes 2–5 days after injection by using the two-electrode voltage-clamp technique. All electrophysiological experiments were performed at a constant temperature (18 °C) by using an Axoclamp 900A amplifier (Molecular Devices) controlled by a pClamp 10.4 data acquisition system (Molecular Devices). Data were sampled at a frequency of 100 Hz and low-pass filtered at 20 Hz by using a four-pole Bessel filter. Voltage and current electrodes were backfilled with 3M KCl and their resistances were kept as low as possible (<1 megohm). Oocytes were clamped at a holding potential of −60 mV throughout all recordings. To minimize interference of endogenous Ca^{2+}-activated Cl⁻ channels during the experiments, a Ca^{2+}-free bath perfusion solution was used, containing 82.5 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl_2, 2.5 mM BaCl_2, and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.4. Current responses were evoked by 10-s applications of 200 μM acetylcholine and increasing fragment concentrations, separated by 200-s ligand-free intervals. Concomitantly injected with 45 nl of cRNA at a concentration of 45 ng/μl, oocytes were clamped at a holding potential of −60 mV.
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