The detection of *Schistosoma bovis* in livestock on Pemba Island, Zanzibar: A preliminary study

Tom Pennance a,b,c,*, 1 Shaali M. Ame d, Amour Khamis Amour d, Khamis Rashid Suleiman d, Jo Cable b, Bonnie L. Webster a,c, **

a. Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, South Kensington, London, SW7 5BD, United Kingdom
b. School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, CF10 3AX, Cardiff, United Kingdom
c. London Centre for Neglected Tropical Disease Research, Imperial College London, School of Public Health, Norfolk Pl, Paddington, London W2 1PG, United Kingdom
d. Public Health Laboratory, Chake Chake, Pemba, United Republic of Tanzania

** Corresponding author. Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, South Kensington, London, SW7 5BD, United Kingdom.
* Corresponding author. Department of Life Sciences, Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, South Kensington, London, SW7 5BD, United Kingdom.

E-mail addresses: t.pennance@nhm.ac.uk (T. Pennance), b.webster@nhm.ac.uk (B.L. Webster).

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Although the burden of human schistosomiasis is well reported, significantly less is known about infections in wildlife and domestic livestock, despite the high number of infections and species (host and *Schistosoma*) involved (Webster et al., 2006). When last estimated, at least 165 million cattle were predicted to be infected worldwide with *Schistosoma* species, although this was likely a gross underestimation (De Bont & Vercruysse, 1997). If not controlled through preventative chemotherapy, bovine schistosomiasis can cause significant health problems for cattle, such as anaemia, emaciation, haemorrhagic enteritis, and death, ultimately incurring economic costs by affecting local farming practices through loss of meat and milk products from infected livestock (De Bont & Vercruysse, 1998; Legesse et al., 2014). The *Schistosoma haematobium* species group is diverse containing nine described species, five of which cause livestock schistosomiasis. Of these *Schistosoma bovis* is the most prevalent, pathogenic (along with *Schistosoma mattheei*) and widespread, found throughout northern, western and eastern Africa (except Egypt), the Middle East, Asia, and some countries bordering the Mediterranean Sea (Standley et al., 2012a, 2012b; Calavas & Martin, 2014; Gower et al., 2017; Pennance et al., 2018).

Extending schistosomiasis control strategies to include treatment of livestock and zoonotic schistosomiasis still requires a comprehensive evaluation to determine if this is economically and epidemiologically viable (Gower et al., 2017). A renewed interest in a One Health approach for tackling infections caused by species of the *S. haematobium* group,
including the sampling of livestock and understanding zoonotic species, has been brought about by the identification of hybrids involving human- and animal-infecting species of the S. haematobium group, such as S. haematobium and S. bovis, and more recently S. mattheei (see Léger & Webster, 2017; Catalano et al., 2018; Djuikwo-Teukeng et al., 2019; Léger et al., 2020; Rey et al., 2021; Savassi et al., 2021). Although S. bovis is endemic across a wide range, its presence has not been recorded on Indian Ocean Islands neighbouring the east coast of the African continent until the recent identification of S. bovis-infected B. globosus, the intermediate host snail species, on Pemba Island, Zanzibar (Pennance et al., 2018).

Zanzibar, an archipelago of two islands situated off the east coast of Tanzania in the Indian Ocean is composed of two major islands, Unguja to the south and Pemba to the north, as well as several smaller isles. Pemba and Unguja have a long history of urogenital schistosomiasis research and control, which dates back almost a century (Crofton, 1928) and is now being targeted as the next elimination setting (Knopp et al., 2019). For urogenital schistosomiasis control and elimination on Zanzibar, the islands were thought to offer an advantage due to the allopatric transmission involving human-only species, such as S. mansoni, restricting areas where transmission can take place (Pennance et al., 2018). Fresh stool samples were also taken from three cows in the immediate vicinity of a second stream site, Kinya9 (coordinates: −5.03077, 39.73333), 800 m north-west of the first site where only S. haematobium was known to be transmitted. A photo was taken of each cow and a 300 ml collection pot was filled using a spatula with freshly excreted faeces and transported back to the Public Health Laboratory (Chake Chake, Pemba Island). Faecal samples were stored at 4 °C upon return to the laboratory, and within 24 h of collection, each faecal sample was individually washed with 0.85% saline solution through four sieves of decreasing mesh size (1.4 mm; 710 μm; 355 μm; and 212 μm) to remove large debris and the sediment was collected in a final retention sieve of 125 μm (Fig. 1). This remaining sediment was subdivided in half to trial two different miracidia hatching methods, using either a Pitchford funnel or sedimentation flask (Fig. 1).

For the Pitchford funnel miracidial hatching method, the faecal solution was washed within the Pitchford funnel (Pitchford & Visser, 1975) (mesh sizes: inner sieve 200 μm; outer sieve 40 μm) using room temperature bottled water concentrated to provide a faecal sediment, which was then placed equally in three separate 90 mm Petri dishes (AB260; Appleton Woods Ltd., Birmingham, UK). Room temperature bottled water was added to each Petri dish and placed in indirect sunlight outside (28–34 °C) for at least 1 h to induce miracidia hatching. Petri dishes were checked for swimming miracidia at 4, 8, 20 and 24 h after plating.

For the sedimentation flask method, the other half of the sieved faecal solution was placed directly in individual 250 ml sedimentation flasks, filled with room temperature bottled water to the 250 ml mark, mixed using a wooden spatula and left in indirect sunlight outside (28–34 °C) for 1 h. Each sedimentation flask was then completely covered in a heavy microfibre piece of black fabric, except for the top 25 ml (i.e. between 225 and 250 ml) which was left exposed to light from a desk lamp to encourage any miracidia present to move to surface. Between 5 and 10 ml of liquid was removed from the top of the liquid surface and placed in a Petri dish to check for swimming miracidia following 4, 8, 20 and 24 h.

For both methods, any swimming S. bovis miracidia observed in Petri dishes, using a dissection microscope, were captured and individually pipetted in 3.5 μl onto Whatman FTA cards (Whatman, Part of GE Healthcare, Florham Park, USA) for subsequent molecular analyses (Gower et al., 2007; Webster et al., 2012).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study site and sampling

In mid-February 2019, fresh stool samples were collected from six adult cows (Bos taurus) across two sites in Kinya9 (Pemba Island). Three cows were sampled in the immediate area surrounding site Kinya9 (coordinates: −5.03560, 39.73972), a stream surrounded by marsh previously reported for the occurrence of several S. bovis-infected B. globosus (see Pennance et al., 2018). Fresh stool samples were also taken from three cows in the immediate vicinity of a second stream site, Kinya9 (coordinates: −5.03077, 39.73333), 800 m north-west of the first site where only S. haematobium was known to be transmitted. A photo was taken of each cow and a 300 ml collection pot was filled using a spatula with freshly excreted faeces and transported back to the Public Health Laboratory (Chake Chake, Pemba Island). Faecal samples were stored at 4 °C upon return to the laboratory, and within 24 h of collection, each faecal sample was individually washed with 0.85% saline solution through four sieves of decreasing mesh size (1.4 mm; 710 μm; 355 μm; and 212 μm) to remove large debris and the sediment was collected in a final retention sieve of 125 μm (Fig. 1). This remaining sediment was subdivided in half to trial two different miracidia hatching methods, using either a Pitchford funnel or sedimentation flask (Fig. 1).

For the Pitchford funnel miracidial hatching method, the faecal solution was washed within the Pitchford funnel (Pitchford & Visser, 1975) (mesh sizes: inner sieve 200 μm; outer sieve 40 μm) using room temperature bottled water concentrated to provide a faecal sediment, which was then placed equally in three separate 90 mm Petri dishes (AB260; Appleton Woods Ltd., Birmingham, UK). Room temperature bottled water was added to each Petri dish and placed in indirect sunlight outside (28–34 °C) for at least 1 h to induce miracidia hatching. Petri dishes were checked for swimming miracidia at 4, 8, 20 and 24 h after plating.

For the sedimentation flask method, the other half of the sieved faecal solution was placed directly in individual 250 ml sedimentation flasks, filled with room temperature bottled water to the 250 ml mark, mixed using a wooden spatula and left in indirect sunlight outside (28–34 °C) for 1 h. Each sedimentation flask was then completely covered in a heavy microfibre piece of black fabric, except for the top 25 ml (i.e. between 225 and 250 ml) which was left exposed to light from a desk lamp to encourage any miracidia present to move to surface. Between 5 and 10 ml of liquid was removed from the top of the liquid surface and placed in a Petri dish to check for swimming miracidia following 4, 8, 20 and 24 h.

For both methods, any swimming S. bovis miracidia observed in Petri dishes, using a dissection microscope, were captured and individually pipetted in 3.5 μl onto Whatman FTA cards (Whatman, Part of GE Healthcare, Florham Park, USA) for subsequent molecular analyses (Gower et al., 2007; Webster et al., 2012).

2.2. Molecular analyses of Schistosoma specimens

Following elution of parasite DNA from Whatman FTA cards as described in Webster et al. (2015), schistosome species identification was performed by mito-nuclear analyses targeting a partial mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (cox1) region (956 bp) and the complete nuclear internal transcribed spacer (ITS1+5.8S + ITS2) rDNA region (967 bp) following previous methods (Webster et al., 2012, 2013a). Sequence data were manually edited and trimmed for both cox1 (750 bp) and

### Fig. 1.
Outline of two protocols for the detection, collection and identification of Schistosoma bovis miracidia from bovine faecal samples.
ITS1 + 5.8S + ITS2 (880 bp) in Sequencer v5.4.6 (GeneCodes Corp., Michigan, USA). The cox1 and ITS species identification was inferred by comparison to reference data as described in Webster et al. (2012, 2013b).

3. Results and discussion

From the six cow stool samples processed from the two sites in Kinyasini, miracidia were successfully hatched from one sample from site Kinyasini using the Pitchford funnel method. No miracidia were recovered from samples processed using the sedimentation flask method. From the positive sample, two swimming miracidia were recovered and molecularly identified as S. bovis with two cox1 haplotypes (Sb2; GenBank: OK484568 and Sb3; GenBank: OK484569), which differed from each other by nine single nucleotide polymorphisms. The ITS profiles from the two S. bovis miracidia were identical (GenBank: OK447652), and showed no intraspecies variation to the previously sequenced cercarial samples from Pemba Island (Pennance et al., 2018).

The adult cow, that two miracidia were recovered from, was from site Kinyasini, where S. bovis-infected B. globosus have previously been identified (Pennance et al., 2018). Of the two S. bovis cox1 haplotypes identified from the miracidia, one (Sb2) was identical to its overlapping sequence (664 bp) to the S. bovis cox1 haplotype previously identified from S. bovis cercariae released from the B. globosus collected at this same site (Kinyasini) in the previous study conducted in 2016 (GenBank: MH014043; see Pennance et al., 2018). The second S. bovis cox1 haplotype (Sb3) was identical to a S. bovis isolate originating from Iringa, coastal Tanzania (GenBank: AY157212; see Lockyer et al., 2003). The matching S. bovis haplotypes from the cow and also from the cercariae released by B. globosus confirmed direct and ongoing transmission at this site. The close relatedness of the haplotypes to S. bovis from Tanzania suggests introduction into Pemba from the African mainland, possibly via cattle importation, rather than being a distinct population originating in Pemba (see Supplement S2 in Vreysen et al., 2014; Pennance et al., 2018). Investigating the route of S. bovis transmission to Pemba by a more extensive genetic comparison with other mainland strains of S. bovis, such as those from coastal Kenya, may help elucidate how this parasite was introduced to Zanzibar. There has been a steady increase in cattle farming on Zanzibar since the late 20th Century (see Supplement S2 in Vreysen et al., 2014) that has been facilitated by the importation of cattle under strict guidelines of the United Republic of Tanzania’s Animal Resources Management Act of 1999 (https://www.fao.org/faolex/resul
ts/details/en/c/LEX-FAOC172321/). Although many veterinary concerns are covered in these nationwide guidelines, bovine schistosomiasis is not included here despite the risk to livestock/wildlife health.

The confirmed presence of S. bovis infecting cattle on Pemba Island is a cause for concern since increased transmission could lead to significant animal health and economic impact, as well as a potential risk for hybridisation with S. haematobium (Huysse et al., 2009; Savassi et al., 2020). Since many studies have investigated the larval schistosomes shed from the endemic Bulinus spp. collected from the Zanzibar archipelago (Stothard et al., 2002; Allan et al., 2013; Pennance et al., 2016), and none have identified S. bovis before 2016 (Pennance et al., 2018), it could be proposed that the introduction of S. bovis is recent. This is supported by the fact that in other endemic regions where S. bovis and S. haematobium occur in a well-established sympatry, the abundance of S. bovis-infected Bulinus spp. is often several times higher than that of S. haematobium (Pennance et al., 2020).

Our trial in recovering bovine schistosomes following miracidial hatching was successful in that we detected, collected and identified two S. bovis miracidia using a non-invasive sampling method. This low number of miracidia is expected (Giovannoli Evack et al., 2020), especially in light of the growth and development of S. bovis from such reduced sampling. On the immediate future on Pemba Island, a better assessment of S. bovis is required to determine the epidemiology of bovine infections. In addition to screening Bulinus snails for Schistosoma infections and differentiating S. haematobium and S. bovis (Pennance et al., 2018), one could also assess the prevalence and burden of disease in cattle by dissections after animals are slaughtered for meat, as is the case in the majority of studies investigating bovine schistosomiasis (Léger & Webster, 2017).

4. Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, we provide here the first evidence of S. bovis transmission on Pemba Island. Further faecal sampling on Pemba Island and trials to improve the sensitivity of S. bovis diagnosis are essential to identify the distribution and impact of bovine schistosomiasis on livestock, and assess the requirement for starting livestock treatment to curtail transmission. The latter goal is critical considering the importance of livestock farming to Zanzibar’s economy (OCGS, 2019) and the potential, if any, of animal reservoirs of zoonotic S. haematobium group species and hybrid transmission.
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