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Abstract

**Background:** Several independent and inter-dependent factors contribute in high rates of perinatal mortality. The aim of this study was to identify community based myths and obscure beliefs that affect maternal health, and to find socioeconomic and psychological co-relates impacting on PNM.

**Methods:** This small scale, community based study was carried out in June, 2012 at squatter settlements of Karachi. A pretested structured questionnaire was administered to married women of child bearing age (15-49 years) with history of perinatal mortality.

**Results:** Out of 55 successfully surveyed women, 63.6% did not take antenatal care; 40.9% due to ‘infertility’ myth; 22.7% had no access. Generally women were of poor health; 52.7% weighed 40-50 kg, 43.6% were severely anaemic. The literacy rate among surveyed women was very low; 63.6% were illiterate. During pregnancy, 34.5% did household work for 6-8 hours in a typical day; and 38.2% were pressurized for sons. The rate of betel nut, tobacco and drug addiction was high among them 67.3%, 50.9%, 25.5%, respectively. The majority (40%) of husband’s worked as fisherman and 76.4% had their husband’s income <$5,000 per month. 74.5% lived in joint families. 47.3% of their babies were of low birth weight (<2.5 kg) and 38.2% died in first 12 hours; asphyxia caused 30.9% of these deaths while 29.1% were due to pre-eclampsia. However, 14.5% mothers believed it was due to God’s will. 54.5% new-borns were male and 45.5% female.

**Conclusion:** In order to reduce perinatal mortality, it’s important not only to make antenatal care accessible but also acceptable and available. Due efforts are required in educating women about the health benefits of antenatal care, and increasing women’s overall awareness to help them uplift their physical and mental health, and social, and economic wellbeing in community.
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Introduction

Perinatal mortality is a global challenge. However, developing countries have to bear the brunt of it, accounting for 98% of perinatal deaths [1]. World Health Organization (WHO) defines perinatal mortality as deaths occurring during late pregnancy (>22 weeks of gestation), during birth and within seven days after delivery [1,2]. The perinatal period is considered the most critical phase of Life [2-4].

Globally there are about 130 million babies born every year of which 4 million die in the first 4 Weeks and 3.3 million are still births [1,5]. In Pakistan, about 5.3 million births occur yearly out of which 2,70,000 new borns die. This high perinatal mortality is 10 times higher than that in Unites States [5,6].

It would be difficult to achieve The Millennium Development goal of reducing child mortality by two thirds by 2015 without reducing perinatal death [2,7]. The Perinatal mortality rates reported for Pakistan are between 61-81/1000 [5,8]. A demographic survey in Karachi reported 54/1000 [5,9]. Rural and per urban survey from Lahore reported 67/1000 [5,10].

Surveys previously conducted were largely hospital based focusing on socio-biological determinants. The importance of this research lies in unfolding community practised social myths, socioeconomic and psychosocial stresses contributing in high perinatal mortality.

Objective

To lay out in open various community based social myths, socioeconomic and psychosocial taboos causing an increase in PNM.

Methodology

A small scale, community based, retro-grade, cross sectional survey was conducted by a learning researcher in the month of June, 2012. Study was conducted in an urban squatter, Rehri Goth, a coastal fishing village in Pakistan, located on Arabian Sea coast, comprising of the community of fishermen and laborers [11]. Included in the survey were a total of 55 married women of reproductive age (15–49 years) having a history of perinatal mortality (still births and early neonatal deaths).

They were conveniently surveyed by a structured questionnaire preceded by informed consent, filled by researcher. It inquired about various dimensions affecting PNM.

1) Socioeconomic and Demographic Variables (mother’s education, occupation, income, father’s occupation and income, age, weight, maternal disease, parity, household information, no. of meals, constituent of meals, water and sanitation conditions, drug, smoking, gutka history).
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2) Biological and Psychological Determinants (no. of pregnancies experienced, hours of work daily during pregnancy, no of full term, premature, still births, neonatal deaths experienced, antenatal care if taken, vaccination history, if pressured for giving birth to sons, antenatal, postnatal complications and psychosocial stress faced in each pregnancy).

3) Details of New Born (sex, congenital anomaly, birth weight if known, history of birth asphyxia, umbilical cord anomaly).

| RISK FACTORS                  | PERCENTAGES | NUMBERS |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Maternal education:          |             |         |
| Primary level                | 30.9%       | 17      |
| Secondary level              | 5.5%        | 03      |
| Illiterate                   | 63.6%       | 35      |
| Time elapsed since last delivery: |          |         |
| <1 year                      | 36.4%       | 20      |
| 2 year                       | 14.4%       | 9       |
| 3 year                       | 1.8%        | 1       |
| 4 year                       | 5.5%        | 3       |
| 5 year                       | 5.5%        | 3       |
| 6 year                       | 5.5%        | 3       |
| 7 year                       | 9.1%        | 5       |
| Marriage:                    |             |         |
| Inside family                | 74.5%       | 41      |
| -first cousin                | 73.17%      | 30      |
| -second cousin               | 26.83%      | 11      |
| Outside                      | 23.6%       | 13      |
| Husband’s income:            |             |         |
| <5,000                       | 76.4%       | 42      |
| 5-10,000                     | 20%         | 11      |
| 10,001-15,000                | 1.8%        | 1       |
| 15,001-20,000                | 1.8%        | 1       |
| Own income                   |             |         |
| <5,000                       | 30.9%       | 17      |
| 5-10,000                     | 5.5%        | 3       |
| Diet:                        |             |         |
| Balanced:                    | 49.1%       | 27      |
| Un-balanced:                 | 50.9%       | 28      |
| Living conditions:           |             |         |
| Poor                         | 65.5%       | 36      |
| Satisfactory                 | 23.6%       | 13      |
| Good                         | 10.9%       | 6       |
| Family status:               |             |         |
| Joint family                 | 74.5%       | 41      |
| Nuclear family               | 25.5%       | 14      |
| Water and sanitation:        |             |         |
| Poor                         | 65.5%       | 36      |
| Satisfactory                 | 21.8%       | 12      |
| Good                         | 12.7%       | 7       |
| No. of hours of work during pregnancy: | | |
| 2-4 hrs.                     | 18.2%       | 10      |
| 4-6 hrs.                     | 34.5%       | 19      |
| 6-8 hrs.                     | 34.5%       | 19      |
| 8-10 hrs.                    | 12.7%       | 7       |
| Iron and folic acid intake during pregnancy: | | |
| Taken                        | 43.6%       | 24      |
| Not taken                    | 56.4%       | 31      |
| Maternal disease:            |             |         |
| Signs of anemia              | 5.5%        | 3       |
| Eclampsia                    | 23.6%       | 13      |
| Gestational diabetes         | 3.6%        | 2       |
| Asthma                       | 7.3%        | 4       |
| APH                          | 23.6%       | 13      |
| PPH                          | 16.4%       | 9       |
| Obstructed labor             | 6.4%        | 9       |
| Puerperal sepsis             | 3.6%        | 2       |

Table 1: Socioeconomic and Demographic Factors Related To Pnm.

Results

Outcome of the study showed that out of 55 surveyed women with a history of perinatal mortality, 38.2% were pressurized for sons; rate of drug and tobacco addiction was high amongst them. Generally, 67.3% were addicted to betel nuts and gutka, 50.9% to tobacco and 25.5% to drug intake. Psychological stress was faced by 40% (confirmed through emotional and behavioral symptoms) during pregnancy. Majority of surveyed females 63.6% did not take antenatal care, 40.9% of them due to some myth while 22.7% had no access to antenatal care (Table 1).

Psychosocial Factors

During our survey, 38.2% females reported that they were pressurized for giving birth to sons while 61.8% were not. Addiction to betel nuts and gutka, tobacco and drug intake were 67.3%, 50.9%, 25.5%, respectively. Psychological stress was experienced by 40%
Asphyxia 30.9% (Figures 1-3). and 45.5% females. Majority of neonatal deaths were due to birth 65.5% at home, 34.5% hospital. Method of delivery 89.1% NVD, 4.2% child.

is also a belief that home delivery is a better option than that of a and low birth weight for the child sometimes resulting in death. There

Another myth that was found among Rehri Goth women was that 'infertility' myth while 22.7% had no access to antenatal care. Majority of neonatal deaths were due to birth asphyxia 30.9% (Figures 1-3).

Antenatal and Post Nata Factors

TTV vaccinations were not taken by 63.5%. Deliveries took place 65.5% at home, 34.5% hospital. Method of delivery 89.1% NVD, 4.2% CS. Deliveries was conducted by mother-in-law 41.8%, LD 36.4%, TBA 20%, and LHV 1.8%, 1.8% forceps. 54.5% newborns were male and 45.5% females. Majority of neonatal deaths were due to birth perinatal complications [5,11].

Discussion

Pakistan has rich heritage of social myths, spanning several decades, diffusing through linguistic, racial, geographical and socioeconomic barriers. However, with better conditioning of mind through education many of these detrimental myths have washed away in upper and middle socioeconomic setups. Unfortunately, in orphanage areas like rural and outskirts of urban it still persists as a plague. Underlying rationale behind endemicity of social myths is being deserted from basic education. More than 60% of men and 90% of women have received no formal schooling or education (IUCN, 2003).

Among many myths, stories, or old wives’ tales, is the belief that is passed arduously in Rehri Goth and directly implicated in perinatal mortality was the probability of acquiring ‘infertility’ with antenatal care taken. The majority of surveyed females (63.6%) did not take antenatal care, 40.9% of them due to some myth while 22.7% had no access to antenatal care.

Perinatal mortality itself is governed by many factors including the ‘myth’ factor which is largely over-looked and yet is a significant contributor in many developing nations. Any stigma to form and prosper requires several culture influenced factors. To overcome them requires multi-dimensional prolong efforts in various sectors. Perinatal mortality jeopardizes maternal health and life accounting for high maternal morbidity and mortality in Pakistan. It is important not only to make ante-natal care accessible but also to make it acceptable and available. Proper education regarding benefits of antenatal care and surveillance programs can help in reducing PNM.

Another significant culprit present in Rehri Goth particularly and largely in developing nations like Pakistan is the pressure inflicted on pregnant females for bearing sons. Survey results showed (38.2%) females were pressurized for sons. Higher rate of drug usage, tobacco in the form of shisha and gutka, betel nuts were seen among these pressurized females. Study has shown that perinatal mortality increases directly with the level of maternal smoking during pregnancy. Increases in smoking level are associated with increases in the frequency of early fetal deaths and of neonatal deaths [12].

Psychological stress was reported by 40% during their pregnancy. Factors causing such stress were intense house hold work for 6–8 (34.5%) hours per day, economic instability (76.4% men had temporary earning of less than 5,000/month <52.97 USD/month), poverty, pressure for sons, and unbalanced diet (50.9%). Along with malnutrition, maternal health is affected by manual labor (getting water and fuel for their households). Moreover, the fertility rates among such women are high. The high energy demands on poor women from their combined productive and reproductive roles together with malnutrition thus have a substantial impact on their health. This is further compounded by poor health care. Children born to underweight and stunted women are also likely to be underweight and stunted which affect their future potential at the individual and community level [13].

Illiteracy, unskilled labor, poor living conditions, disparity among kids, preference for male child results as malnutrition, poor maternal health, antenatal and postnatal complications and eventually an increase in perinatal mortality.
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