Human Blastocyst Secreted microRNA Regulate Endometrial Epithelial Cell Adhesion
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ABSTRACT

Successful embryo implantation requires synchronous development and communication between the blastocyst and the endometrium, however the mechanisms of communication in humans are virtually unknown. Recent studies have revealed that microRNAs (miRs) are present in bodily fluids and secreted by cells in culture. We have identified that human blastocysts differentially secrete miRs in a pattern associated with their implantation outcome. miR-661 was the most highly expressed miR in blastocyst culture media (BCM) from blastocysts that failed to implant (non-implanted) compared to blastocysts that implanted (implanted). Our results indicate a possible role for Argonaute 1 in the transport of miR-661 in non-implanted BCM and taken up by primary human endometrial epithelial cells (HEECs). miR-661 uptake by HEEC reduced trophoblast cell line spheroid attachment to epithelial cell (HEEC) gene expression and adhesion, the initiating event of implantation, via the secretion of miR abnormalities in which result in implantation failure.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Embryo–endometrial interactions are critical for implantation and subsequent placental development. During the early stages of implantation, the blastocyst enters the uterine cavity, apposes and then adheres to an adequately prepared or ‘receptive’ endometrial uterine luminal epithelium to initiate implantation. Abnormalities in adhesion during the very early stages of implantation result in implantation failure, which is a major cause of infertility (Dimitriadis et al., 2005; Koot et al., 2012). In humans, very little is known of the blastocyst–endometrial interactions, largely due to the difficulty in studying implantation in humans. The influence of human blastocysts on human endometrial receptivity is largely unknown.

The conceptus enters the uterine cavity up to 72 h prior to implantation (Norwitz et al., 2001) and is thought to act on the endometrium at least in part via soluble factors to facilitate receptivity and implantation (Cuman et al., 2013). We have previously published that human blastocysts release soluble factors that alter primary human endometrial epithelial cell (HEEC) gene expression and adhesion, the initiating event of implantation (Cuman et al., 2013).

miRs are short (~20–22 nucleotides), highly conserved sequences that regulate the expression of 50% of genes in the human genome (Bartel, 2004). Mature miRs act by binding to complementary regions of mRNAs, inhibiting translation or by destabilising the gene, resulting in down regulation of their target genes (Bohnsack et al., 2004; Chen and Rajewsky, 2007; Kim, 2005; Lee et al., 2003). miR can be secreted by cells, via a number of mechanisms including exosomes, apoptotic bodies and bound to lipid or RNA binding complex (RBC) proteins, such as Argonaute (Ago) 1 and 2 (Arroyo et al., 2011; Vickers et al., 2011). MiRs are present not only within cells but also in body fluids such as saliva, urine, blood, plasma and cell culture media (Hanke et al., 2010; Mitchell et al., 2008; Park et al., 2009; Zubakov et al., 2010).

Analysis of human endometrium and trophoderm has identified the expression of a large number of miRs (Dior et al., 2014; Galliano and Pellicer, 2014; Kresowik et al., 2014; Rosenbluth et al., 2013), with more recent studies demonstrating that miRs are secreted by human and bovine embryos in culture (Kropp et al., 2014; Rosenbluth et al., 2014). We hypothesised that miRs are released by human blastocysts and are taken up by endometrial surface epithelial cells to regulated endometrial receptivity and implantation. The aim was to identify miR
profiles of spent culture media (BCM) from embryos that successfully implanted compared to those that failed to implant. Furthermore, we aimed to determine miR uptake by human endometrial epithelial cells and the effect on adhesion and therefore identify the possible functional consequences relevant to endometrial receptivity and implantation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethical Approval

Human ethical approval was obtained for all the studies in this manuscript as follows:

Endometrium collection
Written informed consent was obtained from each patient, before surgery in the case of women with primary infertility, and protocols were approved by the Southern Health Human Research Ethics Committee, Melbourne, Australia.

 Blastocyst media collection
Written informed consent was obtained from each patient, before surgery in the case of women with primary infertility, and protocols were approved by the Southern Health Human Research Ethics Committee, Melbourne, Australia.

 Trophoderm collection
Written informed consent was obtained from each patient and the study was approved by the Monash Surgical Private Hospital Human Ethics Committee, Melbourne, Australia.

2.2. Endometrial Collection

Endometrial biopsies (n = 33) were collected at curettage from women with regular menstrual cycles throughout the proliferative and secretory phases of the menstrual cycle (Cuman et al., 2013; Paiva et al., 2009; Van Sinderen et al., 2013). The women had no steroid treatment for at least 2 months prior to tissue collection. An experienced gynaecological pathologist confirmed biopsies showed no evidence of possible endometrial dysfuncit. Biopsies were either placed into DMEM F/12 media for further isolation or fixed in Formalin. See supplemental experimental procedures for further details on endometrial isolation.

2.2.1. Spent Conditioned Media (BCM)

Spent blastocyst conditioned media (BCM) were collected from embryos (fertilised by ICSI only) that had been cultured from days 3 to 5 and stored at −80 °C. Control culture media (not exposed to an embryo) were also collected. BCM were collected from two groups: 1. Blastocysts that successfully implanted (clinical pregnancy carried to term > 36 weeks) (Implanted) and 2. Blastocysts that did not implant and did not result in pregnancy (no biochemical or clinical indications) (non-implanted).

2.2.2. Trophoderm Collection

Human embryos consented to medical research (Ethics #12101) were thawed, and allowed to expand with assisted hatching overnight. Using in house technique, the inner cell mass was removed from the embryo and allowed to succumb. The remaining trophoderm cells were collected directly into lysis buffer for PCR use. MicroRNA was isolated from cells using TaqMan Cell to CT kit (Life Technologies) according to manufactures instructions.

2.2.3. BCM microRNA Real Time PCR Arrays

RNA was isolated from BCM (10 μl) using miRCURY RNA Biofluids isolation Kit (Exiqon, Denmark) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA synthesis and RT qPCR on BCM was performed using the miRCURY LNA™ Universal RT microRNA PCR system (Exiqon, Denmark) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. In brief, the RNA was tailed with a poly (A) sequence at their 3’ end and then reverse transcribed into cDNA using a universal poly (T) primer with a 3’ end degenerate anchor and a 5’ end universal tag. The cDNA products were subsequently diluted 125 fold and transferred to the ready-to-use microRNA PCR Human Panels (I + II). The qPCRs were run on a 7900HT thermocycler (ABI) using the thermal-cycling parameters recommended by Exiqon. Raw Ct values were calculated as recommended by Exiqon using the ABI software v1.2.1 (ABI) with manual settings for threshold and baseline, i.e. all miRCURY assays were analysed using a ΔRn threshold of 60 and baseline subtraction using cycles 1–14. Analysis was performed using the Gene Ex software.

2.2.4. Primary HEEC Isolation

Endometrial epithelial cells were prepared as previously published (Cuman et al., 2013). Briefly, endometrial tissue was digested with collagenase and the suspension was filtered through 43 and 11 mm nylon mesh to collect endometrial epithelial glands. The cells and epithelial fragments were collected and resuspended in a 1:1 mixture of Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (DMEM)/Hams F-12 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum (FCS; Invitrogen), and 1% antibiotic–antimycotic? solution (Gibco, Auckland, NZ) and plated. A purity of 95% was necessary for the cells to be used experimentally.

2.2.5. HTR-8/SVneo Trophoblast Cell Line

The HTR-8/SVneo trophoblast cell line exhibits features of invasive trophoblast cells, such as human leukocyte antigen-G (extravillous trophoblast marker) and cytokeratin-7 expression (Haman et al., 2010). These cells were cultivated and maintained in RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% FCS, as previously described (Graham et al., 1993).

2.2.6. RNA Isolation and Quantitative PCR

RNA was extracted from cultured cells and conditioned media (excluding BCM and primary trophoderm cells) using Tri Reagent (Sigma) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Isolated RNA was reversed transcribed into complimentary DNA with M-MLV RT system (Life Technologies) by using the TaqMan primer sets for miRs (Applied Biosystems) or Oligo primers (sigma) for non-miRs. Real time PCR was performed using the TaqMan Fast Universal PCR Master mix (Applied Biosystems) or Power SYBR Green master mix (Applied Biosystems) by using TaqMan probes or specific primer pairs (M1TA1, F- TATAACCAACCA AATCCGAACC R- TCTTGCCCTCTCCATCTA; M1TA2, F- CGGGTGGGAGAT TAGCTCTA R- TGGTCCTTGATCTTTCTTTPR/L1 F- AATCGAGAAGCGAGC TCAA R- CGGATCTTCTGACTCCTG; EPHB2, F- GATGGGGCCAGTACCAAG GACA, R- AGGGAGTGAATGCTCAAAACC). miR expression levels were normalised against control snU6 probes. Expression of M1TA2 and PVR1 lwas normalised against 18S and beta-actin.

2.2.7. miR Uptake by Primary HEEC

Fluorescein (FLC) tagged miR-661 (Sigma) was transfected into HTR8s using Lipofectamine RNAiMax at a concentration of 100 nM, (based on the manufacturer’s instructions). HTR8s were washed with culture media 12 h post transfection and incubated with fresh culture media for 12 h further. HTR8-CM was collected and used to treat HEECs. A scramble microRNA sequence (Life Technologies) was used as a control. HTR8 cells and 1 ml-conditioned media were collected for confirmation of overexpression of miR-661 by RT qPCR. HEECs were treated for 8 h and uptake confirmed by RTqPCR and immunofluorescence (method adapted from Zhou et al., 2013).

2.2.8. Immunofluorescence

Visualisation of FLC-miR-661 was confirmed using immunofluorescence. Briefly, HEECs were plated onto chamber slides and treated with HTR8-CM as described above. Following treatment, media removed, cells were washed and the chamber slide fixed in 70% ethanol overnight. Nuc-Red (to visualise nuclei; Invitrogen) was applied to the slide prior to fixing with fluorescent mounting media (Dako).
2.2.9. Ultracentrifugation

HTR8-CM was collected and centrifuged at 1000 g for 10 min to remove cell debris. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and spun at 120,000 g for 100 min at 4 °C (Arroyo et al., 2011). The supernatant and pellet were collected and RNA isolated to identify miR-661 expression. HEECs were treated with the collected supernatant, and the pellet re-suspended in 5% FBS DMEM/F12.

2.2.10. Proteinase K Treatment

HTR8-CM was treated with proteinase K (20 µg/ml, Invitrogen) following VESICLE separation by ultracentrifugation, at 55 °C for 15 min to digest proteins in the CM (Arroyo et al., 2011). HEECs were treated with or without the proteinase K treated media for 8 h, followed by RNA extraction and PCR (as described above) to determine the effect on miR-661 expression levels in HEEC.

2.2.11. Co-Immunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation was performed using 800 µl HTR8-CM or 400 µl pooled BCM 200 µl or 100 µl of lysis buffer respectively and 1 µg of Ago1 antibody (Cell signalling technologies), Ago 2 antibody (Cell signalling technologies) or control IgG (Dako). Following Incubation at 4 °C overnight, the immune complexes were pulled down with protein A/G magnetic beads (Thermo Scientific) and serially washed with 0.5% TBS/Tween, followed by TBS and distilled H2O. 500 µl of TriReagent was added to each sample and RNA extracted as per standard protocol described above. Method adapted from (Arroyo et al., 2011).

2.2.12. In-silico Analysis

For computational analysis, we used miRTarbase release 4.5 (Hsu et al., 2014) and DIANA-TarBase v7.0 (Vlachos et al., 2015). A list of the common targets was composed based on the 2 lists.

2.2.13. Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry for MTA2 and PVRL1 was performed on endometrial tissue from fertile women across the cycle as previously described (Cuman et al., 2013), using antibodies at the following concentrations: MTA2 (0.5 µg/ml rabbit monoclonal, # sc-28731, Santa Cruz) and PVRL1 (1 µg/ml mouse monoclonal, # sc-21722, Santa Cruz). Negative isotype controls of mouse or rabbit IgG (both DakoCytomation, Denmark) were applied at the same concentration as the primary antibodies.

2.2.14. Western Blotting

HEEC lysates were collected using universal lysis buffer following treatment with HTR8-CM. Western blotting was performed as previously described (Van Sinderen et al., 2013). Membranes were probed with antibodies against MTA2 (1:500 # sc-28731, Santa Cruz), PVRL1 (1:250 # sc-21722, Santa Cruz) and GAPDH (1:5000, #3683 cell signalling). Densitometry analysis was performed using Image Lab (BioRad).

2.2.15. Spheroid Adhesion Assay

To determine the effect of miR-661 on the adhesive properties essential for the attachment of the blastocyst to the endometrium, a co-culture model was established based on previous publication (Krishnan et al., 2013).

HEECs were grown to confluence 96-well plate and transfected according to manufacturers instructions using Lipofectamine RNAmax, with; miR-661 mimic only (3 pmol; Life Technologies); miR-661 mimic + miR-661 inhibitor (3 pmol; Life Technologies); miR-661 mimic + PVRL1 miR script Target Protect (4.5 pmol; Qiagen) or vehicle control for 72 h. Spheroids were formed using HTR88/neot (2000 cells per spheroid) in a Cellstar U-shaped 96-well Suspension Culture Plate (Greiner Bio-One) and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. Spheroids (~8–10 per well) were transferred into a 96-well plate containing treated HEEC cells. Spheroid number was determined visually prior to incubation at 37 °C for 2 h. Co-culture wells were washed gently, with 150 µl serum-free DMEM/F12 media and the remaining spheroids counted to determine the number of adhered spheroids; Attachment us expressed as a percentage of the original spheroid number.

2.2.16. Sample Size

All sample sizes detailed have been chosen according to our previous experience using these techniques and power calculations (G*Power).

3. Results

3.1. Human Blastocysts Secrete microRNA Relative to Implantation Potential

To identify the profile of miRs secreted by blastocysts, real-time PCR miR arrays were used to compare BCM (pooled n = 8, Table S1, patient sample characteristics) collected from blastocysts that successfully implanted (implanted) compared to those which failed to implant (non-implanted). Culture media alone were used as a control. 140 miRs (18% of total 784 on array) were detected across the three media groups. 47 miRs were detected exclusively in the media containing a blastocyst and from these 19 miRs were in the implanted group exclusively, 22 miRs in the non-implanted group exclusively and 6 miRs found in both groups. 22 miRs were solely expressed in the control culture media (Data not shown). miR-661, the highest differentially expressed miR in non-implanted BCM was confirmed by individual real time PCR TaqMan assays of the pooled media sample (Fig. 1A) and its presence in human trophoderm cells were also confirmed (Table S3). Analysis of additional individual samples (n = 5), showed the presence of miR-661 samples specifically to the non-implanted cohort (Table 1).

3.2. miR-661, Secreted by Human Blastocysts that do not Implant is Taken up by Primary Human Endometrial Epithelial Cells (HEECs)

To determine if HEECs can take up blastocyst secreted miRs, miR-661 uptake was investigated in our in vitro primary human culture models. Cultured HEECs treated with non-implanted BCM (pool of individual BCM samples used in miR arrays) demonstrated a significant increase in intracellular miR-661 mRNA levels compared to treatment with implanted BCM and control media (Fig. 1B). Investigation of endogenous miR-661 expression in HEECs, demonstrated miR-661 expression in the cultured HEECs was detected at very low or undetectable levels by Real time PCR (Data not shown). To further investigate if miRs secreted by blastocysts were taken up by primary HEEC we used fluorescently tagged synthetic miR-661 (FLC-miR-661) which was transduced into the HTR88/neot (HTR8) cell line. After transfection, the culture media were refreshed after 12 h to remove free oligonucleotides. The HTR8 CM was collected 12 h after refreshment. Transfection of HTR8 with FLC-miR-661 significantly increased the expression of miR-661 in HTR8 cell CM (Fig. 1C), compared to control (scrambled miR) transfected CM. Primary HEECs were treated with the HTR8 CM which resulted in the expression of miR-661 in HEECs compared to undetectable miR-661 in culture media from control treated cells (Fig. 1D). Fluorescent imaging confirmed the presence of FLC-miR-661 in the cytoplasm of HEECs (Fig. 1E).

3.3. miR-661 is Secreted and Transported by Human Blastocysts via Argonaute 1 Protein

To determine the possible mechanism by which miR-661 is secreted by blastocysts into culture media, ultracentrifugation was performed on HTR8 FLC-miR-661 CM to separate RBC proteins in the supernatant from micro vesicles (MV) found in the remaining pellet (6). miR-661 expression was significantly higher in the supernatant compared to the remaining MV pellet (Fig. 2A). HEECs were treated with the total (unspun media), supernatant CM or resuspended MV pellet. miR-661 expression significantly increased in the HEEC treated with supernatant...
CM (Fig. 2B) compared to the resuspended MV pellet. To further prove the hypothesis that miR-661 was transported via RBC proteins, the supernatant CM was digested with proteinase K which significantly decreased miR-661 expression in the culture media (Fig. 2C), thus demonstrating that miR-661 was protected from digestion via its binding to an RBC protein. To determine the RBC protein responsible for the transport of miR-661, co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) of the supernatant CM with either Argo 1 or Argo 2 identified Ago 1, but not Ago 2, as the carrier of miR-661 (Fig. 2D). In order to determine if the miR-661 association with Ago 1 was not an artefact of the trophoblast cell line, co-IP on pooled BCM (n = 270), confirmed that miR-661 was bound to Ago 1, thus indicating that human blastocysts transport miR-661 via the RBC protein, Argo-1 (Fig. 2E).

Table 1
Individual BCM miR-661 CT levels.

| CT Level  | Implanted | Non-implanted |
|-----------|------------|---------------|
| Undetected CT >40 | 31.1        |               |
| Undetected CT >40 | 27.8        |               |
| Undetected CT >40 | 28.6        |               |
| Undetected CT >40 | 27.4        |               |
| Undetected CT >40 | 26.1        |               |

3.4. miR-661 Targets MTA2 and PVRL1 in Human Endometrial Epithelial Cells

In silico bioinformatics analysis of validated miR-661 target genes, identified a number of target genes that have roles in adhesion and
municate with the endometrium, which is likely to facilitate receptivity. We have identified their adhesive capacity via targeting gene and protein production. Are taken up by primary human endometrial epithelial cells and regulated invasion (Table S4). Poliovirus receptor-related 1 (PVRL1, also known as Nectin-1), metastasis associated protein (MTA) 1 and 2 and Ephrin type-B receptors 2 (EPHB2) expression were significantly decreased in miR-661 CM treated HEEC compared to control HEEC (Fig. 3A–D). PVRL1 and MTA2 proteins were down regulated (Figs. 3 and S1) and but there was no change in EpBH2 (data not shown). Immunohistochemistry localised MTA2 and PVRL1 to the luminal and glandular epithelium, with no changes in their levels observed across the menstrual cycle in normal fertile endometrial tissue (Fig. 3F–iv).

3.5. miR-661 Blocks Adhesion in Primary Human Endometrial Epithelial Cells via PVRL1

To determine the role of miR-661 in implantation, an established trophoblast spheroid-endometrial coculture adhesion assay (Krishnan et al., 2013) was used to investigate miR-661 ability to inhibit embryo–endometrial adhesion. miR-661 treated HEEC, significantly decreased adhesion of spheroids to HEEC compared to vehicle only HEEC (Fig. 4A). The addition of a miR-661 inhibitor significantly increased the adhesion of the spheroids to HEEC, compared to mimetic treatment only HEEC (Fig. 4A). Investigation of PVRL1, previously shown to have a role in cell adhesion (Takai et al., 2003), significantly increased adhesion of spheroids to HEEC treated with PVRL1 target protector, which prevents the binding of miR-661 to the 3′UTR binding site, specifically blocking the down regulation of PVRL1 by miR-661 (Fig. 4B).

4. Discussion

This study has demonstrated that human blastocyst secreted miRs are taken up by primary human endometrial epithelial cells and regulate their adhesive capacity via targeting gene and protein production. We have identified a potential mechanism by which blastocysts communicate with the endometrium, which is likely to facilitate receptivity and implantation in humans. This study has identified a functional role for blastocyst-secreted miRs on endometrial epithelial cell adhesion, the initiating event of implantation.

Our findings demonstrate that blastocysts secrete different miR profiles, in accordance with their implantation outcomes following ART. To date two other papers have examined miR expression in human BCM. Kropp et al., identified only one miR, miR-25, in pooled media from day 5 and day 6 blastocysts, however no correlation was identified in relation to blastocyst quality (Kropp et al., 2014). miR-25 was not identified in our cohort.

One other study used PCR arrays to identify miR expression profiles in BCM (Rosenbluth et al., 2014). They identified two miRs solely expressed in BCM, miR-372 and miR-191 that were not present in control media. miR-372 was expressed in both euploid and aneuploid BCM and was higher in BCM from embryos that failed to implant when correlated with the use of ICSI only embryos. These results are consistent with our finding that miR-372 was detected only in non-implanted BCM samples. miR-191 was not included in the array panel used in our study.

We however identified a large number of miRs that were differentially secreted into BCM from implanted compared to non-implanted BCM. The differences in the miRs detected between our study and the previous studies are likely due to differences in the experimental methods between the studies including differences in RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, miR array panels used for detecting miRs in BCM and differences in embryo culture media. Specifically, in our study we cultured embryos for 48 h compared to 24 h, and used different media for culture compared to a previous study (Rosenbluth et al., 2014). This may have affected differences in the miRs detected in BCM between the two studies. In addition, we used a miR array system that required less BCM compared to a previous study suggesting that the array system we used was highly sensitive which may have contributed to differences in detection of specific miRs between the present and
other studies (Rosenbluth et al., 2014). In addition, a previous study used embryos that had been frozen at the pronuclear stage, thawed and cultured to blastocyst stage and arrays undertaken on BCM collected at the blastocyst stage (Rosenbluth et al., 2014). By comparison, in our study we did not freeze/thaw the embryos which may have affected the pattern of miR secretion between the present and a previous study (Rosenbluth et al., 2014).

Extracellular miRs are released from cells in membrane bound vesicles (such as exosomes), bound to RBC proteins (Ago1 and Ago2) or attached to high density lipo-proteins. miRs encapsulated in membrane bound vesicles or attached to proteins, protect miRs from RNase activity (Arroyo et al., 2011). Our study demonstrates a mechanism by which human blastocysts secrete miR-661 and transport it for uptake by the primary endometrial epithelial cells. We demonstrated that extracellular miR-661 was bound to the RBC Ago 1 and not Ago 2 or in vesicles, a finding that has not been previously identified in any cell type. Our study however, does not rule out whether primary HEEC take up other miRs or any other factors present in BCM. Our data however does demonstrate that the increased miR-661 expression in the primary HEEC occurs primarily via uptake from the media and not via
stimulation of miR-661 expression in HEEC from factors present in the media. It is unknown if miR transport mechanisms for specific miRs remain the same in all cell systems or whether a specific cell transports most miRs via one or multiple modes. While this study shows a mechanism of miR transport from human trophoderm cells in vitro, it remains to be investigated whether this is a generalised phenomenon for most miRs secreted by human blastocysts. Studies investigating the expression of miRs secreted by the endometrium are limited to the capture of exosomes and the miR carried in their cargo (Kresowik et al., 2014; Ng et al., 2013). To date no study, has examined the role Ago proteins play in the communication between the blastocyst and the endometrium.

Studies of the miRs in the endometrium are limited to expression studies, comparing the expression of miRs in receptive with non-receptive phase endometrium (Altmae et al., 2013; Kresowik et al., 2014; Kuokkanen et al., 2010), or in endometrium from fertile, infertile and repeat implantation failure (RIF) women (Dior et al., 2014; Revel et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2012), with the aim of identifying endometrial receptivity biomarkers. To date only one recent study, has investigated a functional role of miRs in human endometrial cells in vitro, specifically miR-145. miR-145, is a previously identified miR with high expression in endometrium from women with repeat implantation failure (RIF) compared to normal fertile women (Revel et al., 2011). miR-145 over-expression in a human endometrial carcinoma cell line, was shown to inhibit mouse embryo adhesion to the cells (Kang et al., 2015). Our study however, provides evidence of direct uptake of a miR from human BCM by HEEC and demonstrates a functional effect on adhesion.

Mature miRs act by destabilising mRNAs with some degree of complementarity or by repressing protein translation, leading to down regulation of target genes and changes in biological functions (Bohnsack et al., 2004; Chen and Rajewsky, 2007; Kim, 2005; Lee et al., 2003). The expression of miRs is tightly coordinated and each miR has the ability to act on numerous gene targets (Bartel, 2004; Chen and Rajewsky, 2007). miR-661, is predicted to target approximately 1000 target genes (Paraskevopoulou et al., 2013; Reczko et al., 2012; Vlachos et al., 2015), and has been experimentally verified to target 6 genes: MTA1 MTA2, VCL and PVRL1 (also known as PVRL1) (Hsu et al., 2014; Reczko et al., 2012; Reddy et al., 2009; Vetter et al., 2010; Vlachos et al., 2015).

The MTA family of proteins, is a central component of the Mi-2Nurd complexes, in which their primary role is to regulate gene expression networks, via controlling histone acetylation and by regulating key signalling pathways by acetylation of target networks (Covington and Fuqua, 2014; Sen et al., 2014). MTA1 has been previously shown to be expressed in benign endometrium and in endometrial adenocarcinomas (Balasenthil et al., 2006) and we have identified and localised MTA2 in human endometrial tissue. MTA2 regulates cytoketolar organisation partly via activation of the Rho signalling pathway (Covington and Fuqua, 2014). Whilst no studies have demonstrated a role of MTA2 or the effects of histone acetylation in human implantation, the Rho signalling pathway has been implicated to have a role in inducing human trophoblast invasion and migration (Saso et al., 2012). The downregulation of MTA2 by miR-661, may therefore inhibit activation of MTA2 target genes, such as Rho, which are required for embryo implantation.

PVRL1 is a membrane bound immunoglobulin-like cell adhesion molecule and modulates cell adhesion (Takai et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2007). It is a validated target of miR-661 breast cancer cells (Vetter et al., 2010). We demonstrated that miR-661 significantly down regulated PVRL1 mRNA and protein in primary endometrial epithelial cells. Nectins regulate the formation of adherens and tight junctions in epithelial cells (Takai and Nakanishi, 2003) and participate in the regulation of cellular activities such as cell polarisation, differentiation and proliferation (Takai et al., 2003, 2008), all of which are known requirements for embryo implantation (Norwitz et al., 2001). This suggests that the repression of PVRL1 by miR-661 may contribute to the disassembly of cell-cell contact and loss of epithelial cell polarity in the endometrial luminal epithelium, thus creating an unstable environment for attachment or loss of the firm adhesion required between the endometrium and trophoderm for successful implantation. In this regard, we demonstrated that miR-661 blocked HEEC adhesion, at least partly, via PVRL1. Whilst a modest effect on adhesion was noted, there is highly likely to be additional factors regulated by miR-661 that regulate adhesion.

Our findings emphasise the important role that human blastocysts have on regulating the very early stages of implantation, adhesion, abnormalities in which lead to implantation failure and infertility. Our data demonstrate that human blastocysts secrete miRs that likely actively participate in the implantation process. Blastocyst-secreted miR profiles may thus be useful as biomarkers of their implantation potential or as targets to treat implantation failure and infertility, however additional studies are required to explore this further.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2015.09.003.
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