Long-Term Hantavirus Persistence in Rodent Populations in Central Arizona
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For 35 months, we monitored hantavirus activity in rodent populations in central Arizona. The most frequently captured hantavirus antibody–positive rodents were *Peromyscus boylii* and *P. truei*. Antibody-positive *P. boylii* were more frequently male (84%), older, and heavier, and they survived longer on trapping web sites than antibody-negative mice. The number of antibody-positive *P. boylii* was greater during high population densities than during low densities, while antibody prevalence was greater during low population densities. Virus transmission and incidence rates, also related to population densities, varied by trapping site. The spatial distribution of antibody-positive *P. boylii* varied by population density and reflected the species preference for dense chaparral habitats. The focal ranges of antibody-positive *P. boylii* also demonstrated a patchy distribution of hantavirus.

Trapping and Processing

In January 1995, we established four 3.14-ha mark-recapture trapping webs in northcentral Arizona, elevation 1,648 m (Mills et al., this issue, pp. 95-101). The webs were located north of Prescott in Limestone Canyon (35°31'N, 121°29'W). All sites were in juniper-pinyon and interior chaparral communities (1), although each site varied in physiognomy, aspect, slope, and plant species composition and distribution. Trapping web sites S-1 and C-1 were separated by a valley 150 m wide and were .6 km north of sites S-2 and C-2, which were set apart by a 100-m ravine and creek bed. All webs were operated from January 1995 to September 1996. Serologic samples were taken from rodents captured at S-1 and S-2, while C-1 and C-2 were initially operated as control sites to determine the effects of sampling on rodent survivorship. In October 1996, trapping was discontinued at C-2 (since our field data and others' [2] indicated that sampling had no effect on rodent survival), and blood collection and antibody testing were initiated at C-1 because of its microhabitat uniqueness and high rodent densities.

We report results of the initial 35 months of one of several longitudinal hantavirus studies begun in the southwestern United States after the 1993 outbreak of hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS) (Mills et al., this issue, pp. 95-101). This study monitors and quantifies the seasonal and year-to-year changes in rodent populations and the prevalence and incidence of hantavirus infection, identifies environmental factors associated with these dynamics, explores aspects of temporal and spatial viral transmission within reservoir populations, and examines the characteristics of infected animals.
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Data Analysis

Peromyscus boylii (brush mouse) and P. truei (pinyon mouse) were assigned to three categories on the basis of body mass at first capture. Body mass classes (derived from our field data and other sources [4]) were used as an indication of relative age: 6.0 g to 19.0 g (juvenile), 19.1 g to 22.0 g (young adult), and 22.1 g to >30.0 g (adult). We estimated the survival of trappable populations by using mark-recapture data to assess the number of times an animal was caught between the first and last capture. While not a measure of actual life span, average survival provides some indication of population turnover and longevity (5). The minimum number alive (the number of rodents captured in a month plus the number of rodents captured on at least one prior and one subsequent occasion) was used to estimate population sizes (5-7). The minimum number infected was calculated for antibody-positive rodents by using the same technique. Estimated standing prevalence was calculated by dividing the monthly minimum number infected by minimum number alive. These methods provide an estimate of the number of rodents alive and population sizes for a period, and comparisons of antibody prevalences for 35 months based on the number of samples tested.

Table 1. Sin Nombre virus–antibody-positive mice and hantavirus prevalence at three mark-recapture webs, December 1995–November 1997

| Species                      | Trapping webs |
|------------------------------|---------------|
|                              | S-1           | S-2           | C-1<sup>b</sup> | Totals         |
| Peromyscus boylii            | 76/286/109    | 74/516/178    | 3/56/22         | 153/858/309    |
| (Brush mouse)                | (26.6%)       | (14.3%)       | (5.4%)          | (17.8%)        |
| Peromyscus truei             | 3/165/67      | 5/133/55      | 0/15/8          | 8/313/130      |
| (Pinyon mouse)               | (2.0%)        | (3.8%)        | (0.0%)          | (2.6%)         |
| Tamias dorsalis              | 0/73/40       | 0/83/29       | 0/19/9          | 0/175/78       |
| (Cliff chipmunk)             |               |               |                | (0.0%)         |
| Dipodomys ordii              | 0/3/2         | 0/33/13       | 0/7/3           | 0/43/18        |
| (Ord’s kangaroo rat)         |               |               |                | (0.0%)         |
| Onychomys leucogaster        | 0             | 0/10/3        | 0               | 0/10/3         |
| (Northern grasshopper mouse) |               |               |                | (0.0%)         |
| Neotoma stephensi            | 0/3/1         | 0/2/2         | 0/3/2           | 0/8/5          |
| (Stephen’s woodrat)          |               |               |                | (0.0%)         |
| Neotoma albigula             | 0/1/4         | 0/4/1         | 0/2/1           | 0/7/6          |
| (White-throated wood rat)    |               |               |                | (0.0%)         |
| Reithrodontomys megalotis    | 0             | 0/4/4         | 0               | 0/4/4          |
| (Western harvest mouse)      |               |               |                | (0.0%)         |
| All species                  | 79/531/223    | 79/785/285    | 3/102/45        | 161/1,418/553  |

<sup>a</sup>Positive samples/number of samples tested/number individuals tested. Values in parentheses are hantavirus antibody prevalences for 35 months based on the number of samples tested.

<sup>b</sup>C-1 was initially a control web; serologic sampling began in October 1996.

Field data were transferred to a computer database by using Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) and Lotus 1-2-3 for Macintosh (Lotus Development Corporation, Cambridge, MA). Statistical analyses were performed by using MINITAB (Minitab Inc, State College, PA) statistical software, the Mann-Whitney and two-sample t tests, one-way analysis of variance, and linear trend model (8).

Trapping Results

During 35 months of trapping at three grids, 844 rodents were captured 3,552 times. Blood samples were obtained from 553; from these rodents, 1,418 samples were collected (as a result of subsequent captures of the same rodents during progressive trapping sessions) and tested for hantavirus antibody (Table 1).

P. boylii was the most commonly captured species (70%), followed by P. truei (18%), Tamias dorsalis (9%), and Dipodomys ordii (2%). Irregular species (Neotoma albigula, N. stephensi, Onychomys leucogaster, and Reithrodontomys megalotis) accounted for 1% of the total captures. The highest rodent densities occurred at webs S-2 and C-1 (40% and 33% of all captures, respectively).
respectively), while S-1 accounted for 27% of the total captures.

**Population Dynamics**

Population levels of the two most frequently captured rodent species, *P. boylii* and *P. truei*, were relatively high through the winter of 1995 to 1996 and then declined (p < 0.05) during the subsequent summer and autumn, remaining at low levels through 1996 to 1997 (Figure 1). The *P. boylii* population had the most persistent decline (76%) followed by *T. dorsalis* (64%) and *P. truei* (34% short-term reduction). Population levels of *P. boylii* were consistently higher than those of *P. truei*, except for the summer of 1997 (May through August); during this period *P. boylii* densities were at their lowest, 6.5 animals per 6.2 ha per month, while the *P. truei* populations increased to near high density levels (12.2 animals per 6.2 ha per month). For 4 months, far more *P. truei* were captured than *P. boylii* (Figure 1).

During the first 5 months, adverse weather conditions (rain, snow, high winds) hampered trapping efforts. Strong wind and wind gusts seemed the main factor contributing to reduced periodic capture rates (Figure 1).

**Characteristics of Antibody-Positive Captured Rodents**

Although data from C-1 were not included in comparative analysis because serologic sampling was not initiated at this site until October 1996 during low population densities (4.0 samples per month, range 0 to 8), of the 21 *P. boylii* captured and tested, 2 were hantavirus–antibody-positive (10%); 0 (0%) of 7 females and 2 (28%) of 14 males. After samples were collected from one antibody-positive *P. boylii* in October 1996, no antibody-positive samples were collected until the following October, when another *P. boylii*, which had survived for 12 months, became antibody-positive for the first time.

The 62 hantavirus antibody–positive rodents captured at the two sites represented two species: 58 *P. boylii* and 4 *P. truei* (Table 2). The prevalence of hantavirus antibody differed considerably by species: *P. boylii* had a prevalence of 20%, *P. truei* 3%. All four antibody-positive *P. truei* were trapped before September 1996 when population densities were high for all rodent species.

Antibody-positive *Peromyscus* were more often male and within the heaviest mass class (Table 2). Although approximately half of the *P. boylii* tested were male, 84% of the antibody-positive mice were male. The male-to-female ratio was similar to that of *P. truei*, despite the small sample size. We found more adults and fewer young among the antibody-positive *Peromyscus*, even though young-to-adult capture ratios were similar among seronegative mice.

Longevity of antibody-positive mice was considerably different between the two species, while longevity of antibody-negative mice was similar (Table 2). Antibody-positive male *P. boylii* tended to survive longer than antibody-positive female. Furthermore, antibody-positive male *P. boylii* lived longer than antibody-negative male *P. boylii* (4.4 months and 2.9 months, respectively; \( t = 2.58, \text{df} = 48, p = 0.007 \)).

**P. boylii** Population Dynamics and Temporal Patterns of Infection

The number of captures per month and the number of samples per month were usually not the same—some animals were not sampled because of death, weakened physical condition, hypothermia, or escape. The number of animals tested for antibody to hantavirus, however, mirrored population trends. The *P. boylii* population declined dramatically during sum-
mer and autumn 1996, stabilized at low levels during winter 1996 and 1997, and fell to minimal levels in spring 1997 (Figure 1) (Table 3).

For the 35-month sampling period, the mean number of antibody-positive *P. boylii* was 5.0 animals per 6.2 ha per month, range 0 to 11 (Figure 1). The number of antibody-positive *P. boylii* was higher during high population densities than during low densities (8.0 and 2.8 animals per 6.2 ha per month, respectively; \( t = 4.83, df = 21, p < 0.001 \)). Numbers of antibody-positive animals were similar during 35 months at S-1 and S-2 (2.7 and 2.4 animals per 6.2 ha per month, respectively), even though population densities at S-2 were regularly higher than at S-1.

The mean antibody prevalence for the sampling period was 20.2% (range 0% to 43%) and was higher during low densities than high densities (Figure 2). At each site, antibody prevalence rates were also higher during low densities, but not significantly different from rates during high population densities. However, antibody prevalence varied between sites and was consistently higher at S-1 (Table 3). The highest mean monthly antibody prevalence occurred on S-1 during low population densities (37.0%) and was higher than prevalence on S-2 during the same period. The highest monthly antibody prevalence occurred at S-1 during minimal population densities, May 1997, when three of four captured *P. boylii* were antibody-positive (75%).

### Table 3. Population densities and hantavirus-antibody prevalence in *Peromyscus boylii* at two mark-recapture trapping webs, by period

| Web sites | Dec 1995-Nov 1997 | High density<sup>a</sup> | Low density<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|           | Density/ month<sup>c</sup> | Prevalence/ month<sup>d</sup> | Density/ month<sup>c</sup> | Prevalence/ month<sup>d</sup> | Mean/ month<sup>c</sup> | Prevalence/ month<sup>d</sup> |
| S-1 & S-2 | 26.1 (4-52) | 20.2 (0-43) | 43.6 (32-52) | 18.4 (10-22) | 11 (4-22) | 25.4 (12-43) |
| S-1      | 9.7 (1-20) | 28.5 (0-75) | 15.2 (10-20) | 26.4 (15-38) | 4.5 (1-9) | 37.0 (0-75) |
| S-2      | 16.4 (3-42) | 14.2 (0-33) | 28.4 (13-42) | 14.3 (6-19) | 6.5 (3-13) | 15.0 (0-33) |

<sup>a</sup>June 1995 to June 1996  
<sup>b</sup>September 1996 to September 1997  
<sup>c</sup>Population density (number of individuals per 6.2 hectares), determined by minimum number alive. Values in parentheses are ranges.  
<sup>d</sup>Antibody prevalence to hantavirus (%), determined by estimated standing prevalence. Values in parentheses are ranges.
Maximum and minimum antibody prevalence occurred during similar periods at both sites. During low population densities, S-2 had 4 months without an antibody-positive sample, while antibody-positive animals were not captured from S-1 for 2 months. The months when no antibody-positive animals were captured were not the same for both sites; at least one positive sample was recorded each month, even during low population densities.

**Longevity and Seroconversion of Infected Mice**

Hantavirus antibody-positive *P. boylii* tended to survive longer (mean 4.2 months) than seronegative mice (mean 3.2 months) (*t* = 1.77, df = 138, *p* = 0.04) (Table 4). At site S-2, survival was similar between antibody-positive and antibody-negative mice, but at S-1, antibody-positive mice lived longer (4.8 months) than seronegative mice (3.0 months) (*t* = 2.58, df = 48, *p* = 0.007). At both sites, survival among male and female mice was not significantly different.

Initial acquisition of hantavirus antibody (seroconversion) was observed in 33% of the antibody-positive *P. boylii*. *P. boylii* acquired hantavirus antibody in all months except December, January, and March (Figure 3). Two transmission peaks, accounting for 79% of seroconversions, took place during the typical 7-month reproductive period, April through October (37% during April, May, and June; 42% during September and October). Seroconversions at S-2 were directly related to population levels, with 9 (90%) of 10 S-2 seroconversions taking place during high population densities in 1995. This relationship did not appear at S-1, where the number of

---

**Table 4. Frequency of intervals between first and last capture of individual *Peromyscus boylii*, December 1995-November 1997**

| Web sites | No. *P. boylii* | No. months in interval between first and last captures |
|-----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------|
|           |                | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 18 26 Mean |
| Antibody-positive mice | Antibody-negative mice |
| S-1 & S-2 | 58 22 4 6 5 7 2 2 3 2 1 1 1 1 | 4.2 |
| S-1       | 30 10 2 2 1 6 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 4.8 |
| S-2       | 28 12 2 4 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 3.5 |
| S-1 & S-2 | 250 117 48 24 11 11 6 7 7 3 4 3 3 1 2 1 1 1 3.2 |
| S-1       | 90 47 15 8 3 4 2 2 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 3.0 |
| S-2       | 160 70 33 16 8 7 4 5 5 1 4 2 1 2 1 1 1 3.3 |

<sup>a</sup>Total number of individual *P. boylii*.

<sup>b</sup>Mean number of months in interval.
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Seroconversions was similar during high and low population densities.

**Incidence of Infection**

The incidence rate for seroconversion per 100 mice per month was twice as high at S-2 (34.5) as at S-1 (17.0). The greater number of mice at risk and the number of months before seroconversion accounted for the higher incidence rate at S-2 (Table 5). S-1 had fewer *P. boylii* at risk, which did not seroconvert for an average of 5.4 months; S-2 had a larger number of mice at risk, which seroconverted after 2.2 months.

**Spatial Patterns of Infected Mice**

Distribution and movement of antibody-negative and antibody-positive *P. boylii* varied by population density and availability of shelter and food resources. At both trapping web sites, *P. boylii* distributions were associated with brushy chaparral plant species. The ranges of high density mice outline, in general, the distribution of thick chaparral stands (Figure 4). Because plant species diversity and belts of chaparral stands were greater at S-2, *P. boylii* distribution was relatively continuous and widespread. Chaparral stands at S-1 were discontinuous, and *P. boylii* lived in rocky pockets of vegetation and were seldom trapped in different chaparral pockets if separated by open terrain (Figure 4). At both sites, *P. boylii* avoided open juniper-pinyon areas.

During periods of high population density, antibody-positive mice occupied scattered chaparral habitats of undergrowth areas of the sites and moved freely between web transects. Movement, however, appeared to be directly influenced by chaparral cover. During low population densities, antibody-positive mice withdrew to a few, well-defined refuges (Figure 4). The movement of antibody-positive *P. boylii* during low densities was also restricted; mice seldom moved between web transects.

**Hantavirus Prevalence Rates and Patterns**

The prevalence rates of *P. boylii* (20.2%) and *P. truei* (3%) in our study were similar to those found in other studies carried out in pinyon-juniper habitats (4). The short-term infection in *P. truei* may have been caused by spillover from syntopic *P. boylii* (the four antibody-positive *P. truei* were found only during spring and summer 1995, when *P. boylii* densities and the potential of interspecies contact were greatest). Six other rodent species coexisting with *P. boylii* should have had similar risks for hantaviral infection since they had been captured at trap stations used by *P. boylii* at one time or another (capturing two or three different species at one station during a single trapping session was not uncommon). The evident rarity of hantavirus infection in *P. truei* and the absence of infection in other sympatric rodents suggests that *P. boylii* is the primary hantavirus host in this area and that transmission to other rodent species may be unlikely during periods of average population densities. Similar relationships have been

---

**Table 5. Incidence rates of hantavirus infection in *Peromyscus boylii* that were recaptured and sampled at least twice, December 1995–November 1997, two web sites**

| Sites | No. at risk | Sero- (Cumulative observations) | Mean mo. before seroconversion |
|-------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S-1   | 43          | 9 (20.9)                        | 53.0                          |
| S-2   | 90          | 10 (11.1)                       | 29.0                          |
| S-1 & S-2 | 133   | 19 (14.3)                       | 82.0                          |

*aAntibody-negative at time of first capture.

*bIncludes all time intervals between successive captures when mice were seronegative, and half the interval between captures when mice became seropositive.

*cSeroconversions per 100 mice per month.*

---

**Figure 3. Initial antibody acquisition in *Peromyscus boylii* at two mark-recapture webs, by month, December 1995–November 1997.**
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demonstrated in southern Arizona, where only species of *Peromyscus* had immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody reactive with SNV (Kuenzi et al., this issue, pp. 113-117).

On the basis of long-term infection patterns and persistent virus shedding (9-11), we assume that hantavirus antibody–positive *P. boylii* are chronically infected and infectious (Mills et al., this issue, pp. 135-142). Studies using reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) on blood samples from field-caught *P. maniculatus* from Nevada (12) mirror other studies of host-hantavirus associations in suggesting initial viremia, followed by a relatively rapid immune response that cleared virus from blood in approximately 1 month (animals remained antibody-positive for at least 7 months). However, as numerous studies have shown (9-11;13), the short duration of hantavirus RNA in blood does not reflect its residence in organs. Another study demonstrated that 97% of antibody-positive *P. maniculatus* were PCR-positive for viral RNA in organ tissues (13), which implies chronic infection, as has been demonstrated for other hantavirus-host associations. Nevertheless, the crucial experiments to demonstrate chronic infection and persistent shedding have not been done for *P. boylii*. We are attempting to develop methods to reliably and consistently collect urine from mark-release-capture animals in the field to address this problem.

Slightly more male than female mice (1.2:1) were tested for antibody to hantavirus; however, fewer male than female mice (1:1.2) were antibody-negative. The higher antibody prevalence in males may be due to territoriality, aggression toward other males during breeding periods, longer survival, and breadth of travel (4,14).

Factors Affecting Population Density
The population densities and distributions of rodents were related to seasonal and year-to-year availability of acorns, seeds, and juniper berries (mast). Acorns, pinyon seeds, juniper

Figure 4. Ranges and trap stations of hantavirus antibody–positive *Peromyscus boylii* during high and low population densities. Each web covered 3.1 ha. Trap stations within ranges were occupied by antibody-negative and antibody-positive mice at various times. High densities represent 13 months (June 1995 to June 1996), and low densities represent 13 months (September 1996 to September 1997).
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berries, and grasses were abundant throughout the study sites during summer and autumn 1995, reflecting surplus winter precipitation the previous 3 years (15). Population levels of the rodent community—relatively high during autumn and winter 1995-96—may have been related to this abundance of seed crops. During the winters of 1995-96 and 1996-97, precipitation was well below normal, and the first winter drought resulted in complete mast failures by all chaparral species and pinyon pine. Juniper crops, evident in autumn 1996, were depleted soon after. The second winter drought resulted in the mast failure of oak species, pinyon, and juniper, but other chaparral species produced minimal crops during the spring and late summer (Abbott et al., unpub. data).

P. boylii population fluctuations (Figure 1), related to year-to-year mast resources and variations in seasonal female reproductive efforts, are consistent with fluctuations of mast-consuming Peromyscus and Tamias rodents, which show a positive correlation between mast production and breeding behavior (16-18). Comparable regional population fluctuations occurred during this same period in Colorado and southern Arizona (Calisher et al., this issue, pp. 126-134; Kuenzi et al., this issue, pp. 113-117). Female reproductive activity was consistently absent during the colder winter months of November through February. The reproductive period, April through October, typically unimodal and coinciding with seed development of syntopic vegetation, started at low levels in April and peaked in late summer and autumn. Mast of oak species, pinyon pine, and juniper usually ripen in late summer and early autumn while summer monsoons may cause other chaparral species to produce seeds in both spring and summer (Abbott et al., unpub. data).

This pattern of reproduction and food supply was evident during the 1995 breeding season; 40% of the female mice captured in spring showed signs of reproductive activity, compared with 76% of those captured in summer and 86% of those captured in autumn. The subsequent breeding season began normally, with 43% of females pregnant, but new pregnancies nearly halted during the summer months, decreasing 96% from the previous year. Only a few of the 64 female mice captured in June and July 1996 had a perforate vagina, and none showed signs of lactation or pregnancy. The autumn breeding effort declined by 56% from the previous year. During the 1997 breeding season, there were 84% fewer female mice than during the 2 previous years, but most were reproductively active, suggesting that a population recovery was under way.

Factors Affecting Hantavirus Prevalence

The number of hantavirus-infected mice was higher during the high population densities of 1995-96 (Figure 1). Month-to-month numbers of antibody-positive mice appeared more stable than those of antibody-negative mice. The number of high density–antibody-positive P. boylii was stable during the winter, with small peaks proportional to monthly capture success. The numbers of antibody-positive mice remained stable (though lower) during the subsequent precipitous 7-month population decline. Even during low population densities, antibody-positive mice were persistent at minimal, yet stable levels. This consistent presence of at least a few infected mice may reflect the resident nature of antibody-positive mice, characteristically older and able to survive for longer periods. Fifty-five percent of the antibody-positive mice survived on trapping web sites 3 months or longer and were considered resident, while 34% of the seronegative mice were resident.

The proportion of hantavirus antibody–positive P. boylii varied by population density and trapping web site (Figure 2) (Table 3). S-1 maintained the highest mean antibody prevalence; during low population densities, prevalence increased. Almost half of the P. boylii captured at S-1 tested positive during low density months when at least one mouse was antibody-positive. Population densities at S-2 were consistently greater than at S-1 and were associated with lower overall prevalence rates. Approximately 23% of the P. boylii captured at S-2 were antibody-positive during low density months when at least one mouse was antibody-positive. Positive linear correlations between population density and antibody prevalence have not been found in other species of Peromyscus (Calisher et al., this issue; pp. 126-134;11;19).

We observed that one third of the antibody-positive P. boylii acquired antibody. No mice reverted from antibody-positive to antibody-negative. Transmission of hantavirus was bimodal and associated with spring and autumn
reproductive activity (Figure 3). Thirty-seven percent of *P. boylii* seroconverted in the spring, and 42% in the autumn reproductive period. Mice that seroconverted were more frequently male, within the heaviest mass class, and survived longer than mice that remained antibody-negative. The trend for bimodal transmission may reflect intraspecific competition, greater movement, and aggressive behavior by resident antibody-positive males during peak reproductive periods (20). Similar transmission trends have been reported in rat populations (6). Consequently, risks of horizontal transmission may increase during the more active seasons.

Incidence of infection varied with population densities, recapture rates, and population dynamics. Rates of *P. boylii* seroconversion varied by site, but collectively, both sites had an average 14.3% incidence of infection among the population at risk during the study period (Table 5). The number of seroconversions at both sites was similar, but the number of mice at risk at S-2 was much larger, since population densities were regularly higher. Consequently, the cumulative proportion of mice seroconverting at S-2 was 47% lower than at S-1, whereas the incidence of seroconversions per 100 mice per month was 103% greater. Characteristics of the S-1 population (longer survival as antibody-negative animals, more restricted centers of activity, and continuous infection during periods of high and low population densities) may have been contributing factors to the difference in incidence rates between sites.

The focal ranges of antibody-positive *P. boylii* were patchy; they expanded and contracted over time (Figure 4). Hantavirus infection and distribution patterns were influenced by habitat structure, seasonal food availability, and the behavioral characteristics of infected mice. At both sites, *P. boylii* were associated with corridors and patches of chaparral understory within the juniper-pinyon woodland, and especially with dense stands of chaparral associated with rocky substrates and downed trees that provided optimal shelter. These favored sites were usually located on slopes and along creek channels. In southern Arizona, *P. boylii* were found in analogous habitat distributions; the species favored oak riparian vegetation, and most were captured in one portion of one trapping web (Kuenzi et al., this issue, pp. 113-117).

Diverse chaparral stands were more widespread and continuous at S-2. During high population densities, *P. boylii* occupied scattered chaparral areas throughout most of the web and were often trapped at sites several meters apart. The relatively high abundance of mice over a large area may explain the greater incidence of infection and lower antibody prevalence at S-2. The greater number of mice during high population densities and the greater turnover rate seemed to dilute the prevalence of infection and, at the same time, increase the risk for infection because of intensified encounters.

The patchiness of hantavirus infection was more evident and focalized at S-1. Chaparral stands were discontinuous; *P. boylii* occupied discrete chaparral pockets, seldom migrating from one pocket to another (Figure 4). During this study, S-1 had three prominent centers of hantavirus infection and three associated centers of *P. boylii* activity. The structure and disjunct nature of the activity centers (and associated centers of antibody-positive animals) may have contributed to higher antibody prevalences and greater cumulative seroconversion since the mice occupying these restricted habitats had a greater chance of encountering each other. During low population densities, the higher prevalence rates of 50% to 75% were related to antibody-positive male mice that were older, heavier, and able to reside for a longer period within the activity centers. Similar patterns of clustering or patchiness and hantavirus infection have been documented for cotton rats, *Sigmodon hispidus*, in Florida (21).

Along with high population densities, the longer stay of dominant male mice in optimal and reliable habitats may be a primary variable contributing to hantavirus infection. This assumption is based on three trends: animals that became antibody-positive survived longer than those that did not seroconvert; antibody-positive tended to survive longer than antibody-negative mice; and in patchy optimal habitats, resident mice tended to be dominant, male, and antibody-positive. Consequently, resident male mice may provide a reliable reservoir during low population densities and therefore ensure the survival of hantavirus within rodent communities.

**Conclusions**

Our preliminary results, and those of other recent studies (Kuenzi et al., this issue, pp. 113-
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117:18), have implicated precipitation, habitat structure, and food resources as ultimate environmental factors that influence reservoir population dynamics, viral transmission, and hantavirus persistence. The results of this and other recent studies have raised questions concerning proximate patterns of hantavirus maintenance, seroconversions, and transmission within specific reservoir species occupying different western regions (Mills et al., this issue, pp. 135-142). Additional data suggesting that sex ratios, size, and social organization affect temporal and spatial seroconversion relationships will be addressed in forthcoming articles. We hope that this ongoing study will collect sufficient data to explain the interplay of habitat resources, social hierarchies, intraspecific competition, and dispersal behavior and how these proximate factors influence hantavirus ecology and human risk.
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