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Abstract
We construct a sheaf of $N = 2$ vertex algebras naturally associated to any Poisson manifold. The relation of this sheaf to the chiral de Rham complex is discussed. We reprove the result about the existence of two commuting $N = 2$ superconformal structures on the space of sections of the chiral de Rham complex of a Calabi-Yau manifold, but now calculated in a manifest $N = 2$ formalism. We discuss how the semi-classical limit of this sheaf of $N = 2$ vertex algebras is related to the classical supersymmetric non-linear sigma model.

1 Introduction

To any smooth manifold $M$ one can associate a sheaf of vertex algebras [1], which is called the chiral de Rham complex (CDR) of $M$. Locally on a $d$-dimensional manifold $M$ one attaches $d$ copies of the free bosonic $\beta\gamma$-system tensored with $d$ copies of the free fermionic $bc$-system. These local models are then glued along intersections of the corresponding patches on $M$ using appropriate automorphisms of these free field systems. One can combine these $4d$ fields into $2d$ $N = 1$ superfields to obtain a sheaf of $N = 1$ SUSY
vertex algebras [2]. More generally, one can construct a sheaf of $N = 1$ SUSY vertex algebras associated to any Courant algebroid $E$ over $M$, and this can be done in a coordinate free fashion [3]. Geometric properties of $M$ are reflected in algebraic properties of CDR. For example, if $E$ admits a generalized Calabi-Yau structure, then there exists an embedding of the $N = 2$ superconformal vertex algebra into global sections of this sheaf [4]. The reader may find more results along these lines in [2, 3, 5].

There is a quasiclassical version of CDR as a sheaf of Poisson vertex algebras [6]. This can be naturally related to the Hamiltonian treatment of supersymmetric classical non-linear sigma models [7]. Indeed, CDR can be interpreted as a formal quantization of the non-linear sigma model. By “formal” we mean here that instead of working with the actual loop space of $M$, one deals with the space of formal loops into $M$ [8]. Nevertheless, the relation to sigma models is quite inspiring, e.g. see [5].

In this note, we present a very simple construction of a sheaf of $N = 2$ SUSY vertex algebras on any Poisson manifold $M$. We discuss the relation of this $N = 2$ sheaf to CDR as a sheaf of $N = 1$ SUSY vertex algebras. We recover the main result from [3], about the existence of two commuting $N = 2$ superconformal structures on the space of sections of CDR in the Calabi-Yau case, but now calculated in a manifest $N = 2$ formalism. We also briefly discuss the semiclassical limit of this $N = 2$ sheaf and its relation to the Hamiltonian treatment of $N = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric sigma models with a Kähler target.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 the definition of an $N_K = 2$ SUSY Vertex algebra is given. In Sect. 3 we construct the sheaf of $N=2$ SUSY vertex algebras on any Poisson manifold. In Sect. 4 we discuss the case of a symplectic manifold. Sect. 5 deals with the case of a Calabi-Yau manifold. In Sect. 6 we consider the semiclassical limit of the $N = 2$ sheaf and discuss the relation to the $N = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric sigma model. Section 7 contains a summary of the results in this article and a discussion of open questions. All technical calculations are collected in the appendices. For the reader’s convenience, we collect the rules for Λ-brackets in Appendix A. Appendix B contains the calculation for the symplectic case. Appendix C presents the proof of the existence of an embedding of the $N = (2, 2)$ superconformal algebra in the Calabi-Yau case, in manifest $N = 2$ formalism. Appendix D contains the details of the Hamiltonian treatment of the $N = (2, 2)$ sigma model with a Kähler target.
In this section we briefly review the definitions of vertex algebras and their \( N = 2 \) supersymmetric counterparts. The number of supersymmetries introduced are in general arbitrary, but since we are mainly interested in the case of two supersymmetries in this work, we choose to be concrete. For more details, the reader is referred to [9] and [10].

Given a vector space \( V \), a field is defined as an \( \text{End}(V) \)-valued distribution in a formal parameter \( z \):

\[
A(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} A_{(j)}, \quad \text{where} \ A_{(j)} \in \text{End}(V),
\]

(2.1)

and, for all \( B \in V \), \( A(z)B \) contains only finitely many negative powers of \( z \).

A vertex algebra is a vector space \( V \) (the space of states), with a vector \( |0\rangle \in V \) (the vacuum), a map \( Y \) from a given state \( A \in V \) to a field \( Y(A, z) \) (called the state-field correspondence), and an endomorphism \( \partial : V \rightarrow V \) (the translation operator). The field \( Y(A, z) \) will also be denoted by \( A(z) \).

These structures must fulfill a set of axioms. The vacuum should be invariant under translations: \( \partial |0\rangle = 0 \). Acting with \( \partial \) on a field should be the same as differentiation of the field with respect to the formal parameter \( z \):

\[
[\partial, Y(A, z)] = \partial_z Y(A, z).
\]

(2.2)

We will use \( \partial \) to denote both the endomorphism and \( \partial_z \), and it should be clear from the context what we mean by \( \partial \). The field \( Y(A, z) \) corresponding to a given state \( A \) creates the same state from the vacuum in the limit \( z \to 0 \):

\[
Y(A, z)|0\rangle|_{z=0} = A_{(-1)}|0\rangle = A.
\]

(2.3)

The construction easily extends to the case when \( V \) is a super vector space. The state-field correspondence \( Y \) should respect this grading, \( \partial \) should be an even endomorphism, and the vacuum should be even.

From the endomorphisms \( A_{(j)} \) of \( Y(A, z) \) (called the Fourier modes), we can define the \( \lambda \)-bracket:

\[
[A_\lambda B] = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (A_{(j)}B),
\]

(2.4)

where \( \lambda \) is an even formal parameter. The \( \lambda \)-bracket can be viewed as a formal Fourier transformation of \( Y(A, z)B \):

\[
[A_\lambda B] = \text{Res}_z \ e^{\lambda z} Y(A, z)B,
\]

(2.5)
where Res$_z$ picks the $z^{-1}$-part of the expression. The locality axiom of the vertex algebra says that the sum (2.4) is finite for all $A$ and $B$, in other words, all fields in a vertex algebra are mutually local.

The $\lambda$-bracket captures the operator product expansion of the corresponding (chiral) fields in a two dimensional quantum field theory. Taking the residue in (2.5) picks out the pole in $z$, which can be considered to be a formal $\delta$-function. The parameter $\lambda$ then keeps track of how many derivatives act on the $\delta$-function. In other words, (2.4) is equivalent, in the familiar notation of OPEs, to

$$A(z) \cdot B(w) \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(A_{(j)}B)(w)}{(z-w)^j}.$$ (2.6)

### 2.1 $N_K = 2$ supersymmetric vertex algebra.

A vertex algebra endowed with extra supersymmetries can conveniently be described by the formalism of SUSY vertex algebras. By introducing two additional formal parameters, $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$, that are odd, and promoting the fields $A(z)$ to superfields $A(z, \theta^1, \theta^2)$, we obtain the notion of $N_K = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra of \cite{10}. In the following, we will often drop the subscript $K$.

We let $Z = (z, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ and consider $N = 2$ superfields of the form

$$A(Z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} (A_{(j)} + \theta^1 A_{(j)} + \theta^2 A_{(j)} + \theta^1 \theta^2 A_{(j)}) ,$$ (2.7)

where $A_{(j)} \in \text{End}(V)$ and, as before, for all $B \in V$, $A(Z)B$ contains only finitely many negative powers of $z$. The state-field correspondence $Y(A, Z)$ maps a state $A$, to a superfield $A(Z)$. We have two odd endomorphisms: $D_1$ and $D_2$ satisfying $[D_1, D_j] = \delta_{ij} \partial$ and $[D_i, \partial] = 0$. The vacuum is translation invariant: $D_i |0\rangle = 0$. We require translation invariance,

$$[D_i, Y(A, Z)] = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i} - \theta^i \partial_z \right) Y(A, Z) .$$ (2.8)

In addition to the even formal parameter $\lambda$, we introduce two odd formal parameters, $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$, with the relations $[\chi_i, \chi_j] = -2 \delta_{ij} \lambda$ and $[\chi_i, \lambda] = 0$. We can then define the $N=2$ SUSY $\Lambda$-bracket:

$$[A \Lambda B] = \text{res}_Z e^{(z \lambda + \theta^1 \chi_1 + \theta^2 \chi_2)} Y(A, Z)B$$

$$= \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} (A_{(j0)} - \chi_1 A_{(j10)} + \chi_2 A_{(j10)} - \chi_1 \chi_2 A_{(j11)}) B ,$$ (2.9)
where $\text{res}_Z$ is the coefficient of $\theta^1 \theta^2 z^{-1}$. The locality axiom of the SUSY vertex algebra requires that the sum (2.9) is finite for all $A$ and $B$, i.e., all fields in a SUSY vertex algebra are mutually local.

Let us define the normal ordered product $::$ between two states by

$$V \otimes V \rightarrow V, \quad A \otimes B \mapsto AB : = A(-1|11)B.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

In the following, we will often omit the symbol ::, and use parenthesis to indicate when the ordering is important. Properties of the normal ordering product and the relations between the $\Lambda$-bracket and the normal ordering are given in Appendix A. We note however that the normal ordered product is not associative nor commutative. The $\Lambda$-bracket and the normally ordered product satisfy a Leibniz-like rule (A.7) known as the non-commutative Wick formula. In fact, one can define an $N_K = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra as a tuple $(V, |0\rangle, ::, [\Lambda], D^1, D^2, \partial)$ satisfying the axioms of Appendix A.

If one drops the integral terms in the axioms, one arrives to the notion of a Poisson $N = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra [10, § 4.10]. In this case, one writes $\{\Lambda\}$ for the $\Lambda$-bracket, and we note that $V$ with its operation $\cdot$ becomes a unital super-commutative associative algebra since the quantum corrections in (A.5) and (A.6) vanish. Moreover, the Poisson $\Lambda$-bracket $\{\Lambda\}$ now is distributive with respect to :: (i.e. the Leibniz rule holds) since the quantum correction in (A.7) vanish.

Let us consider the situation when one has a family $V_\hbar$ of $N = 2$ SUSY vertex algebras parametrized by $\hbar$, that is, an $N = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$, such that the fiber at $\hbar = 0$ is a Poisson vertex algebra $V_0$ with the operations defined as

$$:AB: = \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} : AB :_\hbar, \quad \{A_\Lambda B\} := \lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\hbar}[A_\Lambda B]_\hbar.$$  

We then say that the family is a quantization of $V_0$, or that $V_0$ is the quasiclassical limit of $V_\hbar$. This happens for example when $V$ is the universal enveloping SUSY vertex algebra of a conformal Lie algebra, namely, when $V$ is generated by some fields $A^i$ such that their OPE only involves the fields $A^i$ and their derivatives. In this situation, one may consider the algebra $V_\hbar$ generated by the same $\{A^i\}$ with the $\Lambda$-bracket

$$[A^i_\Lambda A^j]_\hbar := \hbar[A^i_\Lambda A^j],$$

We easily see that the quantum corrections of (A.5) and (A.6) are of order $\hbar$, and therefore they vanish on $V_0$. We thus obtain a quasiclassical limit of $V_\hbar$. 

5
2.2 Example: The $\lambda$-brackets of an $N = 2$ superconformal vertex algebra.

The $N = 2$ superconformal vertex algebra is generated by a Virasoro field $L$, two odd fields $G^+$ and $G^-$, an even field $J$, and a central element $c$ (the central charge) [9], with

\[ [L_\lambda L] = (\partial + 2\lambda)L + \frac{\lambda^3}{12}c , \quad [L_\lambda G^i] = (\partial + \frac{3}{2}\lambda)G^i , \quad (2.11) \]
\[ [L_\lambda J] = (\partial + \lambda)J , \quad (2.12) \]
\[ [G^+ \lambda G^-] = L + (\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\partial)J + \frac{\lambda^2}{6}c , \quad [G^\pm \lambda G^\mp] = 0 , \quad (2.13) \]
\[ [J_\lambda G^\pm] = \pm G^\pm , \quad [J_\lambda J] = \frac{\lambda}{3}c . \quad (2.14) \]

In an $N_K = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra, the same algebra is generated by a single field $G$, with the $\Lambda$-bracket [10]

\[ [G_\Lambda G] = (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_1 D_1 + \chi_2 D_2)G + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \frac{c}{3} , \quad (2.15) \]

where the superfield $G(Z)$ is expanded as

\[ G(Z) = -iJ(z) + i\theta 1 \left( G^+(z) - G^-(z) \right) - \theta 2 \left( G^+(z) + G^-(z) \right) + 2\theta 1 \theta 2 L(z) . \quad (2.16) \]

2.3 Graded SUSY vertex algebras

In this section, we recall the concepts of gradings by conformal weights and charge in the supersymmetric case. As always, we restrict to the case of 2 supersymmetries, and we will omit the terms $N_K = 2$ below.

Recall from [10, Def. 5.6] that a SUSY vertex algebra $V$ is called conformal if it admits a vector $\tau \in V$ such that defining $G(Z) = Y(\tau, Z)$ this field satisfies (2.15), and moreover

- $\tau_{(0|00)} = 2\partial$, $\tau_{(0|10)} = -D_1$, $\tau_{(0|01)} = D_2$.
- The operator $H := \frac{1}{2}\tau_{(1|00)}$ acts diagonally with eigenvalues bounded below and with finite dimensional eigenspaces.

In this case the eigenvalues of $H$ are called the conformal weights. Moreover, it follows from [10, Thm. 4.16 (4)] that, $\forall a \in V$,

\[ [H, Y(a, Z)] = \left( z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2} \left( \theta^1 \partial_{\theta^1} + \theta^2 \partial_{\theta^2} \right) \right) Y(a, Z) + Y(Ha, Z) . \quad (2.17) \]
A SUSY vertex algebra will be called graded if there exists a diagonal operator $H$ satisfying (2.17). If $Ha = \Delta a$ for $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ we say that $a$ has conformal weight, or dimension, $\Delta$. It is easy to see that in this case:

\[ \Delta(\partial a) = \Delta(a) + 1, \quad \Delta(D_ia) = \Delta(a) + \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Delta(ab:) = \Delta(a) + \Delta(b), \]

and if we let $\Delta(\lambda) = 1$ and $\Delta(\chi^i) = 1/2$ then all the terms of the $\Lambda$ bracket $[a_\Lambda b]$ have conformal weight $\Delta(a) + \Delta(b)$, so that the OPE (or the $\Lambda$ bracket) becomes a graded operation of degree zero. This is a special property of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case, in general the OPE is of degree $\mathcal{N}/2 - 1$.

We want to construct a supersymmetric theory where the scalar fields consist of functions on the target manifold. If we want these fields to have dimension zero, then it is clear that their OPE will vanish unless our theory is $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric. In this case, the $\Lambda$-bracket has to be another field of conformal weight zero. In particular, the $\Lambda$-bracket of functions is an operation on functions.

In fact, the following is a simple exercise in SUSY vertex algebras:

**Theorem 1.** Let $V$ be a graded $\mathcal{N}_K = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra such that the conformal weights are bounded by 0. Let $V_0$ be the space of conformal weight 0 vectors, then $V_0$ is naturally a Poisson algebra, with multiplication being the normally ordered product, and the Poisson bracket being the $\Lambda$-bracket.

Immediately we see that if we want the dimension zero sector of our theory to consist of functions on the target manifold $M$ then $M$ has to be a Poisson manifold. This is the content of the next section.

The theorem above can be generalized as in the non-SUSY case. Indeed, given a SUSY vertex algebra $V$, it is easy to see that

\[ P(V) := \frac{V}{VD_1V : + : VD_2V :}, \]

is naturally a Poisson algebra, the associative commutative product is induced from the normally ordered product and the Poisson bracket is induced from the $(0|00)$-th product. If $V$ is graded, then $P(V)$ inherits the grading, and therefore the zero-th weight space is a Poisson subalgebra.

### 3 Sheaf of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ VA from a Poisson structure

In this section, we construct a sheaf of SUSY vertex algebras on any Poisson manifold $(M, \Pi)$. The heuristic is simple; we first attach a local model to an
affine space and then we need to prescribe how these local fields change under the allowed local automorphisms (depending on whether we work in the algebraic, real-analytic or smooth setting). In [1], the authors attach to $\mathbb{R}^n$ (in the smooth setting) and coordinates $\{x^\nu\}$ a free $\beta\gamma$-bc-system. That is a vertex algebra generated by $2n$ fermionic fields $\{b_\nu, c_\nu\}$, and $2n$ bosonic fields $\{\gamma_\nu, \beta_\nu\}$. What the authors noticed is that under changes of coordinates, the fields $\gamma_\nu$ transform as the coordinates $\{x^\nu\}$ do, the fields $b_\nu$ (respectively $c_\nu$) transform as the vector fields $\partial/\partial x^\nu$ do (respectively the differential forms $dx^\nu$). The fields $\beta_\nu$, however, do not transform as tensorial objects, but in a rather complicated way. In fact, one may think of the generating fields $\gamma^\mu$, $\beta_\mu$, $c^\mu$ and $b_\mu$ as coordinates on the graded supermanifold $\tilde{M} := T^*[2]T[1]M$ and CDR may be thought of as a formal quantization of loops into this manifold.

It was noticed in [2] that if we instead of looking at $4n$-fields as generators, we study $2n$-superfields as generators, these objects transform as tensors. This corresponds to trading supersymmetry in the target by supersymmetry in the worldsheet, namely, instead of loops into $\tilde{M}$ as above, we are looking at $N = 1$ superloops (maps from $S^1|1$) into the supermanifold $M' := T^*[1]M$. In terms of the previous generators (in the non-SUSY case), the superfields are given by

$$\phi^\nu = \gamma^\nu + \theta c^\nu, \quad S_\nu = b_\nu + \theta \beta_\nu,$$

where the superfields $\phi^\nu$ are even and transform as the coordinates $\{x^\nu\}$ do, while the superfields $S_\nu$ are odd and transform as the vector fields $\partial/\partial x^\nu$ do.

In this article we exploit further this mechanism by which we trade the complexity of each generator (they are superfields with more components), by simplicity of the transformation formula under changes of coordinates. For this we will look at $N = 2$ superloops into $M$. Locally, to $\mathbb{R}^n$ we will attach a SUSY vertex algebra generated by $n$ superfields ($N = 2$) $\Phi^\nu$ (which in components account for the $4n$ generators in the classical sense) such that they transform as coordinates do. It follows from Theorem 1 that the OPE of these fields has to be of the form:

$$[\Phi^\mu \Lambda \Phi^\nu] = \Pi(\Phi)^{\mu\nu},$$  

where $\Pi^{\mu\nu}$ are the components of a Poisson bivector. In fact, we have the following

**Theorem 2.** Let $M$ be a Poisson manifold and let $\mathcal{O}$ be its sheaf of smooth functions. There exists a sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ of SUSY vertex algebras on $M$ generated
by \(\mathcal{O}\), together with an embedding \(\iota: \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{V}\), such that
\[
\iota(fg) := \iota(f)\iota(g), \quad \iota\{f, g\} = [\iota(f)\Lambda\iota(g)],
\] (3.2)
for all local sections \(f, g\) of \(\mathcal{O}\). This sheaf satisfies a universal property such that for any other sheaf \(\mathcal{V}'\) satisfying (3.2), then there exists a unique surjective morphism \(j: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'\).

**Proof.** The proof of this statement is straightforward just as in the construction of the chiral de Rham complex [1] (see also [3, Prop. 4.6]). Since the construction in the \(N = 2\) supersymmetric case is simpler than in the non-SUSY case of [1] and the \(N = 1\) case of [3] we sketch here the proof. Locally, one can proceed as follows. For a Poisson algebra \(\mathcal{O}\) we consider the free \(\mathcal{H}\)-module generated by \(\mathcal{O}\) (see Appendix A for notation). This module has a structure of SUSY Lie conformal algebra with the operation
\[
[f\Lambda g] := \{f, g\},
\] (3.3)
extended by Sesquilinearity. We can consider its universal enveloping SUSY vertex algebra \(\mathcal{V}'\) [10]. We now consider its quotient \(\mathcal{V}\) by the ideal generated by the relations
\[
fg =: fg : , \quad D_i(fg) :=: (D_i f)g : + : fD_i g : , \quad 1_\mathcal{O} = |0\rangle ,
\] (3.4)
\(\forall f, g \in \mathcal{O}, i = 1, 2\). Since the operations are defined locally, this ideal is compatible with localization and in fact we obtain a sheaf locally described by this quotient \(\mathcal{V}\).

Notice that \(\mathcal{V}'\) is naturally graded (declaring \(\mathcal{O}\) to be of degree zero). Since the ideal (3.4) is homogeneous it follows that \(\mathcal{V}\) is also graded. In fact, we see that locally \(\mathcal{O}\) is just the degree zero part of \(\mathcal{V}\).

**Remark 1.** There is a subtlety when we say that this sheaf is generated by \(n\)-superfields satisfying (3.1). If we are in the algebraic setting and the bivector \(\Pi\) is algebraic then we can use arguments of formal geometry to make sense of the RHS of (3.1). In the smooth setting we may construct the sheaf as in the proof of the Theorem, or argue as in [11].

This sheaf of \(N_K = 2\) SUSY vertex algebras can also be viewed as a sheaf of vertex algebras, generated by the components of \(\Phi\). Naming the components of \(\Phi\) as
\[
\Phi^\mu = \gamma^\mu + \theta_1 c^\mu + \theta_2 d^\mu + \theta_1 \theta_2 \delta^\mu ,
\] (3.5)
the bracket (3.1) is equivalent to the λ-brackets
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ \gamma^\mu \lambda \delta^\nu \right] &= \Pi^{\mu\nu}, & \left[ c^\mu \lambda d^\nu \right] &= \Pi^{\mu\nu}, \\
\left[ e^\mu \lambda \delta^\nu \right] &= \Pi_{\tau,\mu} c^\tau, & \left[ d^\mu \lambda \delta^\nu \right] &= \Pi_{\tau,\mu} d^\tau, \\
\left[ \delta^\mu \lambda \delta^\nu \right] &= \Pi_{\tau,\mu} \frac{1}{2} (d^\tau c^\rho - c^\tau d^\rho),
\end{align*}
\]
where Π is evaluated at γ and the rest of the brackets are zero. Note that, for a linear Poisson-structure the δ’s commute. Here γ is even, and transforms as a coordinate. The odd fields c and d transforms as vectors, end the even field δ transforms in an in-homogenous way.

Alternatively, we can generate the sheaf by \( N = 1 \) superfields. Expand Φ as \( \Phi^\mu = \phi^\mu (z, \theta_1) - \theta_2 S^\mu (z, \theta_1) \). We then have
\[
\left[ \phi^\mu A S^\nu \right]_{N_K=1} = \Pi^{\mu\nu}, \quad \left[ S^\mu A S^\nu \right]_{N_K=1} = \Pi_{\tau,\mu} S^\tau.
\]
This shows that the Poisson calculus, in the sense of [12], can be mapped to the \( N_K = 1 \) vertex algebra corresponding to (3.1). For any Poisson manifold, the cotangent bundle is equipped with the non-trivial structure of Lie algebroid. Namely, in local coordinates we have
\[
\{ dx^\mu, dx^\nu \} = \Pi^{\mu\nu} dx^\tau, \quad \{ f(x), dx^\mu \} = \Pi^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu f,
\]
where \( f(x) \in C^\infty(M) \) and \( dx \) is the local basis for differential forms. Thus, on a Poisson manifold one can construct the Courant algebroid (bi-algebroid \( TM \oplus T^*M \) with the above bracket on \( TM \) and the trivial bracket on \( T^*M \)) and the corresponding sheaf of \( N = 1 \) SUSY vertex algebras is generated by the relations (3.9).

### 3.1 Relation to the Chiral de Rham complex

The \( N = 2 \) sheaf can be related to the Chiral de Rham complex (the sheaf of \( N = 1 \) SUSY vertex algebras associated to the standard Courant algebroid on \( TM \oplus T^*M \)). It is instructive to expand the superfield Φ in such way so we make contact with previous [3, 2, 7] calculations.

Let \( \phi^\mu (z, \theta^1) \) be an even \( N = 1 \) superfield, and \( S_\mu (z, \theta_1) \) an odd \( N = 1 \) superfield with the expansions
\[
\phi^\mu (z, \theta_1) = \gamma^\mu (z) + \theta^1 c^\mu (z),
\]
and
\[
S_\mu (z, \theta_1) = b_\mu (z) + \theta^1 \beta_\mu (z).
\]
The field \( \phi^\mu(z, \theta^1) \) transforms as a coordinate, and \( S^\nu(z, \theta^1) \) as a one-form. Recall that the defining \( \Lambda \)-bracket of the Chiral de Rham complex is

\[
[\phi^\mu \Lambda S^\nu]_{N_K=1} = \delta^\mu_\nu ,
\]

(3.13)

with \([\phi^\mu \Lambda \phi^\nu]_{N_K=1}\) and \([S^\mu \Lambda S^\nu]_{N_K=1}\) being zero. Written as \( \lambda \)-brackets, e.g., with no manifest supersymmetry, this is

\[
[\beta^\nu \Lambda \gamma^\mu] = \delta^\mu_\nu , \quad [c^\mu \Lambda b^\nu] = \delta^\mu_\nu ,
\]

(3.14)

and the rest of the brackets are zero.

From these brackets and fields, we can construct an \( N = 2 \) superfield \( \Phi^\mu \), that will fulfill (3.1), by

\[
\Phi^\mu(z, \theta^1, \theta^2) = \phi^\mu(z, \theta^1) - \theta^2 \Pi^\mu\nu(\phi(z, \theta^1)) S^\nu(z, \theta^1) .
\]

(3.15)

In components, this is

\[
\Phi^\mu = \gamma^\mu + \theta^1 c^\mu - \theta^2 \Pi^\mu\nu b^\nu + \theta^1 \theta^2 (\Pi^\mu\nu \beta^\nu + (\Pi^\mu\nu c^\tau) b^\nu) .
\]

(3.16)

If the Poisson structure is degenerate, this \( \Phi \) may differ from the most general \( \Phi \) fulfilling (3.1), and it is only on a symplectic manifold where the sheaf generated by (3.1) is the same as the CDR.

### 3.2 Automorphism of the algebra

The labeling of the two \( \theta \)'s in the definition of the SUSY vertex algebra is arbitrary, and when we have more than one supersymmetry, we also have an \( R \)-symmetry. In particular, the bracket (3.1) is invariant under the transformations

\[
\theta^1 \to -\theta^2 , \quad \theta^2 \to \theta^1 .
\]

(3.17)

If we also let \( D_1 \to -D_2 \) and \( D_2 \to D_1 \), then axiom (2.8) is still satisfied. This automorphism may induce non trivial transformations on the components of the superfields.

### 3.3 Quasi-Classical limit

The sheaf \( \mathcal{V} \) constructed above admits a quasi-classical limit \( \mathcal{P} \) as a sheaf of SUSY Poisson vertex algebras. It is generated by \( \mathcal{O} \) just as in (3.2) with the normally ordered product \( :: \) replaced by the associative commutative product of the Poisson vertex algebra and its \( \Lambda \)-bracket \([\Lambda]\) replaced by the Poisson \( \Lambda \)-bracket \( \{\Lambda\} \).
4 \( N = 2 \) algebra on a symplectic manifold

In this section, we discuss the case of a symplectic structure. If the Poisson bivector \( \Pi \) is invertible, then \( M \) is symplectic and we will use a different notation for this case: \( \Pi^{\mu\nu} = \omega^{\mu\nu} \). The symplectic structure \( \omega_{\mu\nu} \) is a closed non-degenerate two form, such that \( \omega^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\nu\rho} = \delta^\mu_\rho \). We can then associate a sheaf of \( N = 2 \) vertex algebras to the manifold, generated by

\[
[\Phi^{\mu}_A \Phi^{\nu}] = \omega(\Phi)^{\mu\nu}.
\] (4.1)

The symplectic case is interesting since we have a canonical two form \( \omega_{\mu\nu} \). From the \( \Phi \)'s, we can construct objects that transforms as vectors, \( D_i \Phi^\mu \), or \( \partial \Phi^\mu \). To construct target space diffeomorphism invariant operators, currents, out of these objects, we need tensors with covariant indices that we can contract with, \( e.g. \), forms. The most apparent example to study is the case of a symplectic manifold.

As noted above, this sheaf is essentially the same as the Chiral de Rham complex. If we expand \( \Phi \) as in (3.15), we can use \( \omega \) to project out \( S_\nu \). The brackets (3.13) and (4.1) are then equivalent.

The automorphism (3.17) induces an automorphism on the components of \( \Phi \), given by

\[
\gamma^\mu \to \gamma^\mu, \quad \beta^\mu \to \beta^\mu + (\omega_{\tau\nu,\mu}\omega^{\nu\sigma})(c^\tau b_\sigma) + \omega_{\mu\sigma,\nu}\partial \omega^{\nu\sigma},
\] (4.2)

\[
c^\mu \to -\omega^{\mu\nu} b_\nu, \quad b^\mu \to \omega_{\mu\nu} c^\nu.
\] (4.3)

This automorphism of the \( \beta\gamma - bc \)-system was discovered, in the case of a Calabi-Yau target manifold, in [3, Theorem 6.4].

4.1 \( N = 2 \) superconformal algebra

On the symplectic manifold, the sheaf carries the structure of an \( N = 2 \) superconformal algebra. We can construct a generator \( G_\omega \) by

\[
G_\omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (D_1 \Phi^\mu D_1 \Phi^\nu + D_2 \Phi^\mu D_2 \Phi^\nu).
\] (4.4)

There are no order ambiguities in this expression. The operator \( G_\omega \) is a well defined section of the sheaf, and there is no need for any quantum corrections. The operator fulfill the \( N = 2 \) superconformal algebra

\[
[ G_\omega \Lambda G_\omega ] = (2\lambda + 2\theta + \chi_1 D_1 + \chi_2 D_2) G_\omega + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \frac{c}{3},
\] (4.5)

with central charge \( c = 3 \dim M \). The proof is given in Appendix B.
5 $N = (2, 2)$ vertex algebra on a Calabi-Yau manifold

Let us consider a Kähler manifold $M$, with Kähler form $\omega$. Consider the $N_K = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra generated by

$$[\Phi^\alpha, \Phi^\bar{\beta}] = \omega^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Here the fields $\Phi^\alpha$ and $\Phi^{\bar{\beta}}$ correspond to holomorphic and anti-holomorphic coordinates. Let us define an operator $H_0$ by

$$H_0 = (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}D_2 \Phi^\alpha)D_1 \Phi^{\bar{\beta}} - (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}D_1 \Phi^\alpha)D_2 \Phi^{\bar{\beta}}. \quad (5.2)$$

As it stands, this operator is not a well-defined section of the sheaf of vertex algebras for a general Kähler manifold. It may need a "quantum correction", as we will see soon. At this stage, the operator might seem rather ad-hoc, but we will motivate it by the discussion of sigma model in section 6.

In order to construct a well defined section of the sheaf of vertex algebras, we need to investigate how $H_0$ transforms under coordinate changes. Let $\{z^{\alpha}\}$ be a holomorphic coordinate system, and let $\tilde{z}^\alpha = F^\alpha(z^{\bar{\beta}})$ be an invertible, holomorphic change of coordinates. We have

$$\tilde{g}_{\delta\bar{\varepsilon}}D_2 \tilde{\Phi}^\delta = (g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Phi^\alpha D_2 \Phi^{\bar{\beta}})\Phi^\delta D_2 \Phi^\varepsilon = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Phi^\beta D_2 \Phi^\alpha, \quad (5.3)$$

and, using quasi-associativity (A.6),

$$\left(\tilde{g}_{\delta\bar{\varepsilon}}D_2 \tilde{\Phi}^\delta\right)D_1 \tilde{\Phi}^\varepsilon = \left(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Phi^\beta D_2 \Phi^\alpha\right)(\Phi^\delta D_2 \Phi^{\bar{\gamma}}) = g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Phi^\beta D_2 \Phi^\alpha,$$

$$\left(\tilde{g}_{\delta\bar{\varepsilon}}D_2 \tilde{\Phi}^\delta\right)D_1 \tilde{\Phi}^\varepsilon = \left(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Phi^\beta D_2 \Phi^\alpha\right)(\Phi^\delta D_2 \Phi^{\bar{\gamma}}) = \left(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}\Phi^\beta D_2 \Phi^\alpha\right)\Phi^\delta D_2 \Phi^{\bar{\gamma}}.$$

Therefore, under the inverse change of coordinates $\tilde{z} \to z$, $H_0$ transforms as

$$H_0 \to H_0 - 2i\left(\partial \Phi^\alpha\Phi^{\bar{\rho}}\right)\Phi^{\bar{\rho}} = H_0 - 2i\frac{\partial \det \tilde{A}}{\det A}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $A^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \partial \tilde{z}^\alpha / \partial z^{\bar{\beta}}$ is the Jacobian of the change of coordinates and $\tilde{A}$ is its complex conjugate. We see immediately that $H_0$ will define a global section of our sheaf if $M$ is Calabi-Yau. In that case, this section looks like
(5.2) in the holomorphic coordinate system where the holomorphic volume form is constant.

To find the expression for this section in a general holomorphic coordinate system we must add a quantum correction to \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) that cancels the inhomogeneous transformations. On a Calabi Yau manifold, we can write the volume form as \( \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \), where \( \Omega \) is a holomorphic volume form, \( \Omega = e^{f(z)}dz^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dz^{d/2} \).

Under the change of coordinates \( \tilde{z} \to z \), \( f \) transforms as a density:

\[
\tilde{f} = f + \log \det \Phi^\alpha_{\beta} = f - \log \det A . \tag{5.6}
\]

We can use this to cancel the inhomogeneous transformation of \( \mathcal{H}_0 \). Thus, in general holomorphic coordinates of a Calabi-Yau manifold, \( \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 - 2i\partial \tilde{f} = (g_{\alpha\beta}D_2 \phi^\alpha)D_1 \phi^\beta - (g_{\alpha\beta}D_1 \phi^\alpha)D_2 \phi^\beta - 2i\partial f \) (5.7) is a well defined section.

Let us now define \( G_{\pm} \) by

\[
G_{\pm} = G_\omega \mp \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H} , \tag{5.8}
\]

where \( G_\omega \) is the operator constructed in (4.4). Introducing new odd derivatives, \( D_{\pm} \), that are linear combinations of the derivatives \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \), by

\[
D_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_1 \mp iD_2) , \tag{5.9}
\]

we can write (5.8) in a general holomorphic coordinate system as

\[
G_{\pm} = (\omega_{\alpha\beta}D_{\pm} \Phi^\alpha)D_{\mp} \Phi^\beta \pm i\partial \tilde{f} . \tag{5.10}
\]

The following is the main result of [3] now stated in manifest \( N = 2 \) formalism. The proof can by found in Appendix C.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( M \) be a Calabi-Yau manifold and \( G_{\pm} \) be defined by (5.10). The sections \( G_{\pm} \) generate two commuting \( N = 2 \) superconformal algebras,

\[
\begin{align*}
[ G_{\pm} \Lambda G_{\pm} ] &= (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_1 D_1 + \chi_2 D_2) G_{\pm} + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 c \mathcal{H}_3 , \\
[ G_{\pm} \Lambda G_{\mp} ] &= 0 ,
\end{align*}
\tag{5.11}
\]

each with a central charge \( c = \frac{3}{2} \dim M \).
6 The $N = 2$ Hamiltonian of an $N = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric sigma model

We now want to relate the above discussion to the Hamiltonian treatment of the supersymmetric sigma model, and thereby motivate the expression (5.2). To do this, we consider the classical supersymmetric sigma model, and we derive a Hamiltonian formulation thereof. A similar treatment of the $N = 1$ sigma model was initiated in [13, 14] and its relation to CDR was suggested in [7]. Here, we suggest the similar relation between the $N = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric sigma models with a Calabi-Yau target and the sheaf of $N = 2$ supersymmetric vertex algebras on the same Calabi-Yau.

We restrict ourself to the $N = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric sigma model with the target manifold $M$ being a Kähler manifold, which is not the most general sigma model with this amount of supersymmetry. The action functional for a classical $N = (2, 2)$ supersymmetric sigma model is given by

$$S = \int d\sigma d\tau d\theta^1_+ d\theta^1_- d\theta^2_+ d\theta^2_- K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}), \quad (6.1)$$

where the integral performed over $\Sigma^{2|4}$ with even coordinates $t, \sigma$ and four odd $\theta$ coordinates. For the sake of simplicity, we assume that $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \times S^1$. $\Phi$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ are maps from $\Sigma^{2|4}$ to $M$ which satisfy some first order differential equation (see Appendix D). In physics, $\Phi = \{\Phi^\alpha\}$ is called a chiral superfield, and $\bar{\Phi} = \{\bar{\Phi}^\bar{\alpha}\}$ is an anti-chiral superfield. $K$ is the Kähler potential, which is defined only locally, but nevertheless the action functional (6.1) is well-defined. Upon integration of the odd $\theta$-coordinates, the functional (6.1) reduces to the more familiar form of the non-linear sigma model and its critical points are the generalizations of harmonic maps from $\Sigma$ to $M$. In Appendix D we set the notation and present some properties of this $N = (2, 2)$ model which are needed for the derivation. For more on supersymmetric sigma models and their applications, the reader may consult the book [15].

We would like to consider the Hamiltonian formulation of (6.1). By doing a change of the odd variables, and integrating out two of them, the action (6.1) can be written as

$$S = \int d\sigma d\tau d\theta^1 d\theta^1 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H},$$

with

$$\mathcal{H} = g_{\alpha \beta} D_2 \phi^\alpha D_1 \phi^\beta - g_{\alpha \beta} D_1 \phi^\alpha D_2 \phi^\beta \quad (6.3)$$
being the Hamiltonian and $\partial_0$ being the derivative along $\tau$ (time). Here, $\theta^1$ and $\theta^2$, with corresponding odd derivatives, $D_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} + \theta_i \partial_\sigma$, are the remaining two odd coordinates. Also, $K_{\alpha \beta} = g_{\alpha \beta}$. See Appendix D for a more detailed derivation.

From (6.2), we see that the Poisson bracket is given by

\[ \{ \phi^\alpha, \phi^{\bar{\beta}} \} = \omega^{\alpha \bar{\beta}}, \]  

and that the Hamiltonian density of the sigma model is given by (6.3). The bracket (6.4) is the same as the bracket of the Poisson vertex algebra corresponding to the vertex algebra generated by (5.1). The expression (6.3) is the classical version of the operator $\mathcal{H}$ considered in (5.7). Thus, following the logic presented in [7], we can think of the sheaf of $N = 2$ supersymmetric vertex algebras on a Calabi-Yau as a formal quantization of the $N = (2,2)$ sigma model defined by the action (6.1).

7 Summary and discussion

In this note, we construct a sheaf of $N = 2$ supersymmetric vertex algebras for a Poisson manifold. We also study the properties of this sheaf on symplectic and Calabi-Yau manifolds. We relate the corresponding semiclassical limit to the $N = (2,2)$ non-linear sigma model. Let us conclude with a few remarks.

- As mentioned above, given an $N_K = 2$ SUSY vertex algebra $V$, the quotient $P(V)$ defined by (2.19) is a Poisson algebra. Just as in the non-SUSY case, there exist an analogous construction of the Zhu algebra associated to $V$, this is a one parameter family of associative superalgebras $P_\hbar(V)$ such that the special fiber $\hbar = 0$ coincides with $P(V)$ and all other fibers are isomorphic. In general it is not true that this family is flat, or that $P_\hbar(V)$ is a deformation of the Poisson algebra $P_0(V)$. However, given the construction in this article, starting from a Poisson manifold $M$ with its sheaf of Poisson algebras $\mathcal{O}$, we constructed a sheaf of SUSY vertex algebras $\mathcal{V}$ and we obtain a one parameter family of associative algebras $P_\hbar(\mathcal{V})$. We easily see that $P_0(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{O}$.

This immediately leads one to question whether this family is indeed a deformation in this particular case, obtaining thus a natural way of quantizing Poisson manifolds. We plan to return to this topic in a future publication.
• The most general $N = (2, 2)$ non-linear sigma models are related to generalized Kähler geometry [16]. Thus, there should be an analogous Hamiltonian treatment of these general models, and it should suggest how to define sheaves of $N = 2$ Poisson vertex algebras for a wider class of manifolds. However, it may require a bigger set of fields than considered in this article. This problem remains to be studied.
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Appendices

A Rules for $\Lambda$-brackets in $N_K = 2$ SUSY vertex algebras

In this appendix we collect some properties of $\Lambda$-bracket calculus. For further explanations and details, the reader may consult [10].

• The operators $D_i$, $\partial$ and the parameters $\chi_j$, $\lambda$, where $i, j = 1, 2$, have the commutator relations $[\partial, \chi_i] = [D_i, \lambda] = [\partial, \lambda] = 0$, and

$$[D_i, D_j] = 2\delta_{ij}\partial, \quad [\chi_i, \chi_j] = -2\delta_{ij}\lambda, \quad [D_i, \chi_j] = 2\delta_{ij}\lambda.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

We will denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the super-algebra with two odd generators $D_1$, $D_2$ and one even generator $\partial = [D_1, D_2]$ commuting with both $D_1$ and $D_2$.

• Sesquilinearity:

$$[D_i a \Lambda b] = -\chi_i [a \Lambda b], \quad [a \Lambda D_i b] = (-1)^a (D_i + \chi_i) [a \Lambda b], \quad (A.2a)$$

$$[\partial a \Lambda b] = -\lambda [a \Lambda b], \quad [a \Lambda \partial b] = (\partial + \lambda) [a \Lambda b]. \quad (A.2b)$$

• Skew-symmetry:

$$[a \Lambda b] = -(-1)^{ab} [b \Lambda a] \ . \quad (A.3)$$
The bracket on the right hand side is computed as follows: first compute \([b_\Gamma a]\), where \(\Gamma = (\gamma, \eta)\), then replace \(\Gamma\) by \((-\lambda - \partial, -\chi - D)\).

- **Jacobi identity:**
  \[
  [a_\Lambda [b_\Gamma c]] = [[[a_\Lambda b]_{\Gamma+\Lambda} c] + (-1)^{ab}[b_\Gamma [a_\Lambda c]]].
  \]
  (A.4)
  where the first bracket on the right hand side is computed as in (A.3).

An \(\mathcal{H}\)-module with an operation \([\lambda]\) satisfying sesquilinearity, skew-symmetry, and the Jacobi identity is called a SUSY Lie conformal algebra.

- **Quasi-commutativity:**
  \[
  ab - (-1)^{ab}ba = \int_{-\nabla}^0 [a_\Lambda b]d\Lambda ,
  \]
  (A.5)
  where the integral \(\int_{-\nabla}^0\) is defined as \(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\int_{-\partial}^0 d\lambda\).

- **Quasi-associativity:**
  \[
  (ab)c - a(bc) = \left(\int_{0}^{\nabla} d\Lambda a\right) [b_\Lambda c] + (-1)^{ab}\left(\int_{0}^{\nabla} d\Lambda b\right) [a_\Lambda c].
  \]
  (A.6)

- **Quasi-Leibniz (non-commutative Wick formula):**
  \[
  [a_\Lambda bc] = [a_\Lambda b]c + (-1)^{ab}[b_\Lambda a] + \int_{0}^{\Lambda} \left[[a_\Lambda b]_{\Gamma} c\right]d\Gamma .
  \]
  (A.7)

## B \(N = 2\) algebra on a symplectic manifold

We want to show that
\[
\mathcal{G}_\omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} (D_1 \Phi^\mu D_1 \Phi^\nu + D_2 \Phi^\mu D_2 \Phi^\nu)
\]
fulfill
\[
[\mathcal{G}_\omega, \mathcal{G}_\omega] = (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_1 D_1 + \chi_2 D_2) \mathcal{G} + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \dim M,
\]
using the bracket
\[
[\Phi^\mu, \Phi^\nu] = \omega(\Phi)^{\mu\nu},
\]
(B.3)
where $\omega^{\mu\nu}\omega_{\mu\tau} = \delta^\tau_\nu$. Note that there are no ambiguities in the order of the normal ordering in (B.1). Since each term only contains one type of $D$, there can be no $\chi_1\chi_2$-terms when the brackets of the constituents are calculated. Thus, no terms survive the integration in (A.6).

We are free to choose any coordinates we want. Since we are on a symplectic manifold, we can choose Darboux coordinates, where $\omega$ is constant. This simplifies the calculations considerably. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_i \equiv \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} D_i \Phi^\mu D_i \Phi^\nu. \quad \text{(B.4)}$$

We first want to calculate $[\mathcal{G}_i \Lambda \mathcal{G}_i]$. We have $[D_i \Phi^\mu \Lambda D_i \Phi^\nu] = \lambda \omega^{\mu\nu}$, so $[D_i \Phi^\mu \Lambda \mathcal{G}_i] = \lambda D_i \Phi^\mu$. Skew-symmetry then gives

$$[\mathcal{G}_i \Lambda D_i \Phi^\mu] = (\lambda + \partial) D_i \Phi^\mu. \quad \text{(B.5)}$$

From this, we see that

$$[\mathcal{G}_i \Lambda \mathcal{G}_i] = (2\lambda + \partial) \mathcal{G}_i. \quad \text{(B.6)}$$

We now want to calculate $[\mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda \mathcal{G}_2]$. We have $[D_2 \Phi^\mu \Lambda \mathcal{G}_1] = -\chi_2 \chi_1 D_1 \Phi^\mu$. Using skew-symmetry, we then get

$$[\mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda D_2 \Phi^\mu] = (\partial + \chi_2 D_2 + \chi_1 D_1) D_2 \Phi^\mu + \chi_2 \chi_1 D_1 \Phi^\mu. \quad \text{(B.7)}$$

From this we see that

$$[\mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda D_2 \Phi^\mu D_2 \Phi^\nu] = (\partial + \chi_2 D_2 + \chi_1 D_1) (D_2 \Phi^\mu D_2 \Phi^\nu)$$

$$\quad + \chi_2 \chi_1 (D_1 \Phi^\mu D_2 \Phi^\nu + D_2 \Phi^\mu D_1 \Phi^\nu) + \int, \quad \text{(B.8)}$$

where the integral term is given by

$$\int_0^{\Lambda} [(\partial + \chi_2 D_2 + \chi_1 D_1) D_2 \Phi^\mu + \chi_2 \chi_1 D_1 \Phi^\mu \Gamma D_2 \Phi^\nu] d\Gamma =$$

$$- \int_0^{\Lambda} \chi_1 \chi_2 [D_1 \Phi^\mu \Gamma D_2 \Phi^\nu] d\Gamma = -\lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \omega^{\mu\nu}. \quad \text{(B.9)}$$

From (B.8), it is now easy to see that

$$[\mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda \mathcal{G}_2] = (\partial + \chi_2 D_2 + \chi_1 D_1) \mathcal{G}_2 +$$

$$\chi_2 \chi_1 \omega_{\mu\nu} D_1 \Phi^\mu D_2 \Phi^\nu + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \frac{\dim M}{2}, \quad \text{(B.10)}$$

and, finally,

$$[\mathcal{G}_\omega \Lambda \mathcal{G}_\omega] = [\mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda \mathcal{G}_1] + [\mathcal{G}_2 \Lambda \mathcal{G}_2] + [\mathcal{G}_1 \Lambda \mathcal{G}_2] + [\mathcal{G}_2 \Lambda \mathcal{G}_1]$$

$$= (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_1 D_1 + \chi_2 D_2) \mathcal{G} + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \dim M. \quad \text{(B.11)}$$
We want to calculate the algebra generated by $G_+$ and $G_-$, defined in (5.10), under the bracket (5.1). We are free to work in any coordinates we want. A convenient choice is to choose the coordinates where the holomorphic volume form is constant. On a Calabi-Yau, we can always choose such coordinates locally. In this coordinates, the quantum correction $\pm i\partial \bar{f}(z)$ vanishes. Also note that $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = 0$ in these coordinates. To the metric, we have a corresponding Kähler potential $K$. Let subscripts of $K$ denote derivatives: $K_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} \equiv \partial_{\mu_1} \cdots \partial_{\mu_k} K$, so $K_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} = i\omega_{\alpha\beta}$, with $g$ being the metric and $\omega$ the Kähler form of the manifold.

Let us define $p_\alpha \equiv iK_\alpha$, and

$$G_0^\pm = D_\pm p_\alpha D_\pm \phi^\alpha, \quad M = iK_{\alpha\beta}D_\pm \phi^\alpha D_- \phi^\beta. \quad (C.1)$$

We then have

$$G_\pm = G_0^\pm \pm M. \quad (C.2)$$

Note that $M$ vanishes for a flat manifold. The definition of $p$ implies the brackets

$$[\phi^\alpha, p_\beta] = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \quad [\phi^\alpha, \phi_\beta] = i\omega^{\alpha\beta} K_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (C.3a)$$

in addition to

$$[\phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta] = \omega^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (C.3b)$$

In light of the derivation of the Hamiltonian density in section 6, $p_\alpha$ can be understood as the conjugate momenta to $\Phi^\alpha$, and the brackets (C.3) is the corresponding Dirac brackets, see (D.25).

Let us define linear combinations of $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$, to better suit the base (5.9):

$$\chi_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\chi_1 \pm i\chi_2). \quad (C.4)$$

The relations between $D_\pm$ and $\chi_\pm$ are

$$[D_\pm, D_\mp] = 2\partial, \quad [\chi_\pm, \chi_\mp] = -2\lambda, \quad [D_\pm, \chi_\pm] = 2\lambda, \quad (C.5a)$$

$$[D_\pm, D_\pm] = 0, \quad [\chi_\pm, \chi_\pm] = 0, \quad [D_\pm, \chi_\mp] = 0. \quad (C.5b)$$

Note that the rules of sesquilinearity give

$$[D_\pm a_\Lambda b] = -\chi_\mp [a_\Lambda b], \quad [a_\Lambda D_\pm b] = (-1)^\alpha (D_\pm + \chi_\mp) [a_\Lambda b]. \quad (C.6)$$
We want to prove that $G_+^0$ and $G_-^0$ give two commuting $N=2$ super conformal algebras, i.e.
\[
[G_\pm A G_\pm] = (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_+ D_+ + \chi_- D_-) G_\pm + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2^2 d^2_2,
\]
\[
[G_\pm A G_\mp] = 0.
\]
We first prove that $G_0^+$ and $G_0^-$ fulfill the algebra (2.15). In terms of the split (C.2), we then need to prove that
\[
[G_0^+ \Lambda M] + [M \Lambda G_0^+] \pm [M \Lambda M] = (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_+ D_+ + \chi_- D_-) M,
\]
\[
(C.8a)
\]
\[
[G_0^+ \Lambda M] - [M \Lambda G_0^+] \mp [M \Lambda M] = 0.
\]
(C.8b)

C.1 Algebra of $G_0^\pm$.

The calculation of $[G_0^\pm A G_0^\pm]$ is straightforward. We do the calculation for $G_0^+$, the calculation for $G_0^-$ can be deduced by exchanging $+$ and $-$. We have
\[
[p_\alpha A G_0^+] = \chi_- D_+ p_\alpha,
\]
\[
[\phi^\alpha A G_0^+] = \chi_+ D_+ \phi^\alpha,
\]
\[
(C.9a)
\]
\[
(C.9b)
\]
and
\[
(\chi_+ + D_+)(\chi_+ + D_-) = -\chi_+ \chi_- - D_+ D_- + 2\partial + \chi_+ D_- - \chi_- D_+,
\]
\[
(C.10)
\]
so, remembering that $(D_\pm)^2 = 0$,
\[
[G_0^+ A G_0^+] = [G_0^+ A D_+ p_\alpha] D_+ \phi^\alpha + D_- p_\alpha [G_0^+ A D_+ \phi^\alpha] + \int
\]
\[
= ((\chi_+ + D_-)([G_0^+ A p_\alpha])) D_+ \phi^\alpha + D_- p_\alpha ((\chi_+ + D_+)[G_0^+ A \phi^\alpha]) + \int
\]
\[
= -\chi_+ \chi_- G_0^+ + ((2\partial + \chi_+ D_+ D_- p_\alpha) D_+ \phi^\alpha
\]
\[
- \chi_+ \chi_- G_0^+ + D_- p_\alpha ((2\partial + \chi_- D_-) D_+ \phi^\alpha) + \int
\]
\[
= (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_+ D_+ + \chi_- D_-) G_0^+ + \int.
\]
(C.11)
The integral term is given by

\[
\int \left[ \left[ \mathcal{G}_+^0 \Lambda D_- p_\alpha \right] \Gamma D_+ \phi^\alpha \right] d\Gamma = \int \left[ \left( 2\partial + \chi_+ D_- - \chi_- \chi_+ \right) D_- p_\alpha \Gamma D_+ \phi^\alpha \right] d\Gamma \\
= -\int (\chi_- \chi_+ + 2\gamma) \eta_+ \eta_- \delta^\alpha_\alpha d\Gamma = i \int (\chi_- \chi_+ + 2\gamma) \eta_1 \eta_2 d\Gamma \frac{d}{2} \\
= -i \lambda (\chi_- \chi_+ + \lambda) \frac{d}{2} = \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \frac{d}{2} . \quad (C.12)
\]

To see that \( \mathcal{G}_+^0 \) and \( \mathcal{G}_-^0 \) commutes, we note that

\[
(\chi_\pm + D_\pm)^2 = 0 , \quad (C.13)
\]

so

\[
[\mathcal{G}_+^0 \Lambda D_+ p_\alpha] = (\chi_- + D_+)[\mathcal{G}_+^0 \Lambda p_\alpha] = (\chi_- + D_+)^2 D_- p_\alpha = 0 , \quad (C.14a)
\]

\[
[\mathcal{G}_+^0 \Lambda D_+ \phi^\alpha] = (\chi_- + D_-)[\mathcal{G}_+^0 \phi^\alpha] = (\chi_- + D_-)^2 D_+ \phi^\alpha = 0 . \quad (C.14b)
\]

Thus,

\[
[\mathcal{G}_+^0 \Lambda \mathcal{G}_-^0] = [\mathcal{G}_+^0 \Lambda D_+ p_\alpha] D_- \phi^\alpha + D_+ p_\alpha [\mathcal{G}_+^0 \Lambda D_- \phi^\alpha] = 0 . \quad (C.15)
\]

There is no integral term.

**C.2 Algebra of \( \mathcal{G}_\pm^0 \) and \( \mathcal{M} \).**

Let us define some shorthand notation, and calculate some brackets we are going to use later. Let

\[
B^{\alpha\beta} \equiv D_+ \phi^\alpha D_- \phi^\beta , \quad (C.16)
\]

so \( \mathcal{M} \) can be written

\[
\mathcal{M} = iK_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} . \quad (C.17)
\]

Let

\[
E_\pm \equiv \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} K_{\sigma\gamma} D_\pm \phi^\gamma B^{\alpha\beta} . \quad (C.18)
\]

Also, note that

\[
[ K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda \phi^\gamma ] = i\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} . \quad (C.19)
\]
C.2.1 The bracket $[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{M}]$.

We want to calculate $[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{M}]$. Since both the first and second argument of the bracket is the same expression, $\mathcal{M}$, we only need to calculate the poles represented by an odd number of $\lambda$’s and $\chi$’s, and from skew-symmetry we can deduce the full answer. We have

$$[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{M}] = i[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta} + iK_{\alpha\beta}[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda B^{\alpha\beta}] + \int ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.20)

where $\int$ represents the integral term in the quasi-Lebniz.

First term of (C.20). We start with the first term in (C.20), so we want to calculate $[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}]$. Now,

$$[K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda \mathcal{M}] = i[K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda K_{\gamma\delta}] B^{\gamma\delta} + iK_{\gamma\delta}[K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda B^{\gamma\delta}] .$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.21)

We then need to calculate $[K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda B^{\gamma\delta}]$:

$$[K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda B^{\gamma\delta}] = [K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda D_+ \phi^\gamma] D_- \phi^\delta + D_+ \phi^\gamma [K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda D_- \phi^\delta] + \int$$

$$= i(D_+ + \chi_-)(\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma) D_- \phi^\delta + iD_+ \phi^\gamma (D_- + \chi_+) (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\delta) + \int .$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.22)

The integral term of (C.22) is

$$\int_0^{\Lambda} [[K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda D_+ \phi^\gamma] \Gamma D_- \phi^\delta] d\Gamma = \int_0^{\Lambda} [(D_+ + \chi_-)[K_{\alpha\beta} \Lambda \phi^\gamma] \Gamma D_- \phi^\delta] d\Gamma$$

$$= \int_0^{\Lambda} i(-\eta_- \eta_+)[\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \Gamma \phi^\delta] d\Gamma$$

$$= - \lambda [\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \Gamma \phi^\delta] .$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.23)

From (C.21), using skew-symmetry, we have

$$[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] = \chi_+ \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\delta K_{\gamma\delta} D_+ \phi^\gamma - \chi_- \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma K_{\gamma\delta} D_- \phi^\delta - \lambda iK_{\gamma\delta} [\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \Gamma \phi^\delta]$$

$$- D_+ \phi^\gamma D_- K_{\gamma\delta} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\delta - D_+ K_{\gamma\delta} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma D_- \phi^\delta + \ldots ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.24)

where the dots represents terms with no poles, or no odd derivatives, or containing only terms where $D_\pm$ hits holomorphic $\phi$. So, using the notation defined in (C.18), the first term in (C.20) is

$$\chi_+ iE_+ - \chi_- iE_- + \lambda K_{\gamma\delta} [\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \Gamma \phi^\delta] B^{\alpha\beta} + O(\lambda^0) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (C.25)
Second term of (C.20). To calculate the second term of (C.20), we first calculate \([B^\alpha\beta \Lambda \mathcal{M}]\) using (C.22):

\[
[B^\alpha\beta \Lambda \mathcal{M}] = i[B^\alpha\beta \Lambda K_{\gamma\delta}]B^{\gamma\delta} = \chi_+ \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta} D_+ \phi^\beta B^{\gamma\delta} - \chi_- \Gamma^\beta_{\gamma\delta} D_- \phi^\alpha B^{\gamma\delta} - \lambda \ i \ [\Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta} \Gamma^\beta_{\gamma\delta}] B^{\gamma\delta} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^0) .
\]  
(C.26)

The second term of (C.20) then is

\[
\chi_+ iE_+ - \chi_- iE_- + \lambda K_{\gamma\delta} [\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^\delta_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^0) .
\]  
(C.27)

Integral term of (C.20). There will be an integral term in (C.20), given by

\[
i \int_{\Lambda} \left[ [\mathcal{M} \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] \Gamma B^{\alpha\beta} \right] d\Gamma .
\]  
(C.28)

Skew-symmetry still guaranties that we only need to calculate the poles represented by an odd number of \(\lambda\)'s and \(\chi\)'s. The integral gives at least \(\lambda\), and the possible poles then are \(\lambda\) and \(\lambda \chi_1 \chi_2\). Higher poles are not possible due to dimensional arguments. Let \(\mathcal{M} K \equiv [\mathcal{M} \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}]\). We have

\[
[\mathcal{M} K \Gamma B^{\alpha\beta}] = [\mathcal{M} K \Gamma D_+ \phi^\alpha]D_- \phi^\beta + D_+ \phi^\alpha [\mathcal{M} K \Gamma D_- \phi^\beta] + \int .
\]  
(C.29)

The integral term can not be relevant here, since this would give at least a \(\gamma\), and the integration in (C.28) would give at least \(\lambda^2\), but the highest possible power of \(\lambda\) is one. Recall that the only terms surviving the integration is the \(\eta_+ \eta_-\)-terms.

We first calculate the first term in (C.29). We have

\[
[\mathcal{M} K \Gamma D_+ \phi^\alpha] = (\eta_- + D_+) [\mathcal{M} K \Gamma \phi^\alpha] .
\]  
(C.30)

So, we need the \(\eta_+\)- and \(\eta_+ \eta_-\)-part of \([\mathcal{M} K \Gamma \phi^\alpha]\), which can be found by looking at the corresponding terms of \([\phi^\alpha \Gamma \mathcal{M} K]\). These, in turn, can be found by using (C.24), and we get

\[
[\phi^\alpha \Gamma [\mathcal{M} \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}]]_{\eta_+ \eta_+ \eta_-} = \eta_+ [\phi^\alpha \Gamma K_{\gamma\delta}] \Gamma^\delta_{\alpha\beta} D_+ \phi^\gamma = -i \eta_+ \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta} \Gamma^\delta_{\alpha\beta} D_+ \phi^\gamma ,
\]  
(C.31)

and

\[
[\mathcal{M} K \Gamma D_+ \phi^\alpha]_{\eta_+ \eta_-} = -i \eta_- \eta_+ \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta} \Gamma^\delta_{\alpha\beta} D_+ \phi^\gamma ,
\]  
(C.32)
so the relevant part of the first term of (C.29) is
\[ -i\eta_-\eta_+\Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta\beta}B^{\gamma\beta}. \] (C.33)

The second term can be calculated by exchanging + and −, and yields the same term. In total, the integral terms is
\[ \int_0^\Lambda 2\eta_-\eta_+\Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta\beta}B^{\gamma\beta}d\Gamma = -2i\lambda \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\delta}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\delta\beta}B^{\gamma\beta}. \] (C.34)

**In total.** Summing the contributions, and using skew-symmetry, we have
\[
\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{M} = A + \chi_+ 2iE_+ - \chi_- 2iE_- + \lambda 2Q
\]
\[= - A + (\chi_+ + D_-)2iE_+ - (\chi_- + D_+)2iE_- + (\lambda + \partial)Q ,\] (C.35)

where \(A\) is the part of the bracket with no \(\lambda\)’s or \(\chi\)’s, and
\[ Q \equiv (K_{\gamma\delta}[\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Gamma^{\phi\delta}]) - i\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\delta}\Gamma^{\phi\beta}B^{\alpha\beta} . \] (C.36)

Thus
\[
\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{M} = (2\chi_+ + D_-)iE_+ - (2\chi_- + D_+)iE_- + (2\lambda + \partial)Q . \] (C.37)

### C.2.2 The bracket \([\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{G}^0_\pm]\).

We want to calculate \([\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{G}^0_\pm] + [\mathcal{G}^0_\pm \Lambda \mathcal{M}]\), and later \([\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{G}^0_\pm] - [\mathcal{G}^0_\pm \Lambda \mathcal{M}]\). We start with \([\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{G}^0_\pm]\), and the other terms can then be calculated by using skew-symmetry and by exchanging + and −. Using Leibniz and sesquilinearity, we see that
\[
[\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{G}^0_\pm] = [\mathcal{M}_\Lambda D_- p_\gamma D_+ \phi^\gamma + D_- p_\gamma [\mathcal{M}_\Lambda D_+ \phi^\gamma]] + \int (\chi_+ + D_-)([\mathcal{M}_\Lambda p_\gamma]) D_+ \phi^\gamma + D_- p_\gamma (\chi_- + D_+)([\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \phi^\gamma]) + \int . \] (C.38)

**First part of** (C.38). We first note that
\[
[p_\gamma \Lambda \mathcal{M}] = i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta} + iK_{\alpha\beta}[p_\gamma \Lambda B^{\alpha\beta} , \] (C.39)

with no integral term, and
\[
[p_\gamma \Lambda B^{\alpha\beta}] = \chi_+ \delta^\alpha_\gamma D_+ \phi^\alpha - \chi_- \delta^\alpha_\gamma D_- \phi^\beta , \] (C.40)
\[ [p_\gamma \Lambda M] = i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}]B^{\alpha\beta} + i\chi_+ K_{\gamma\alpha} D_+ \phi^\alpha - i\chi_- K_{\gamma\alpha} D_- \phi^\alpha . \] (C.41)

Using skew-symmetry, we have
\[ [\mathcal{M} \Lambda p_\gamma] = -i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}]B^{\alpha\beta} \\
+ i(\chi_+ + D_-)(K_{\gamma\alpha} D_+ \phi^\alpha) - i(\chi_- + D_+)(K_{\gamma\alpha} D_- \phi^\alpha) . \] (C.42)

From (C.5), we see that \((\chi_\pm + D_\pm)^2 = 0\), and we note that
\[ (\chi_+ + D_-)(\chi_- + D_+) = \chi_+ D_+ - \chi_- D_- - \chi_- \chi_+ + D_- D_+ , \] (C.43)

so, the first part of (C.38) is
\[ -i(\chi_+ + D_-)((p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta})B^{\alpha\beta})D_+ \phi^\gamma \\
- i(\chi_- D_- - \chi_- \chi_+ + D_- D_+)(K_{\gamma\alpha} D_- \phi^\alpha)D_+ \phi^\gamma \\
= -i(\chi_+ + D_-)((p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta})B^{\alpha\beta})D_+ \phi^\gamma + \chi_+ D_+ \mathcal{M} \\
- \chi_- \chi_+ \mathcal{M} - i\chi_- D_- K_{\alpha\beta}B^{\alpha\beta} - iD_- D_+(K_{\gamma\alpha} D_- \phi^\alpha)D_+ \phi^\gamma . \] (C.44)

**Second part of (C.38).** We have
\[ [\phi^\gamma \Lambda M] = i[\phi^\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}]B^{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}B^{\alpha\beta} , \] (C.45)

so, the second part of (C.38) is
\[ -D_- p_\gamma (\chi_- + D_+)(\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}B^{\alpha\beta}) . \] (C.46)

In the coordinates chosen, this is
\[ (\chi_- + D_+)(\Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}B^{\alpha\beta})D_- p_\gamma - i\partial([p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma^{\gamma}_{\alpha\beta}]B^{\alpha\beta}) . \] (C.47)

**Integral term of (C.38).** The integral term in (C.38) is given by
\[ \int_0^\Lambda [[\mathcal{M} \Lambda D_- p_\gamma] \Gamma D_+ \phi^\gamma]d\Gamma . \] (C.48)

We need
\[ [[\mathcal{M} \Lambda D_- p_\gamma] \Gamma D_+ \phi^\gamma] = -(\eta_- + D_+)[[\mathcal{M} \Lambda D_- p_\gamma] \Gamma \phi^\gamma] \\
= -(\eta_- + D_+)[(D_- + \chi_+)[\mathcal{M} \Lambda p_\gamma] \Gamma \phi^\gamma] \\
= (\eta_- + D_+)(\eta_+ + \chi_+)[[\mathcal{M} \Lambda p_\gamma] \Gamma \phi^\gamma] . \] (C.49)
Using (C.42) we get
\[
\left[ \phi^\gamma \Gamma [\mathcal{M}_\Lambda p_\gamma] \right] = -i[\phi^\gamma \Gamma [p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}]] B^{\alpha \beta} \\
+ i(D_- + \eta_+ + \chi_+)[\phi^\gamma \Gamma K_{\gamma \alpha}] D_+ \phi^\alpha \\
- i(D_+ + \eta_- + \chi_-)[\phi^\gamma \Gamma K_{\gamma \alpha}] D_- \phi^\alpha .
\] (C.50)

We have \([\phi^\gamma \Gamma K_{\gamma \alpha}] = \Gamma_{\gamma \alpha} = 0, \) so
\[
\left[ [\mathcal{M}_\Lambda p_\gamma] \Gamma \phi^\gamma \right] = i[\phi^\gamma \Gamma [p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}]] B^{\alpha \beta} ,
\] (C.51)
and
\[
\left[ [\mathcal{M}_\Lambda D_- p_\gamma] \Gamma \phi^\gamma \right] = i\eta_- \eta_+ [\phi^\gamma \Gamma [p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}]] B^{\alpha \beta} ,
\] (C.52)
so the quantum correction is
\[
\lambda [\phi^\gamma \Gamma [p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}]] B^{\alpha \beta} .
\] (C.53)

Using Jacobi, this is
\[
\lambda [p_\gamma \Lambda [\phi^\gamma \Gamma K_{\alpha \beta}]] B^{\alpha \beta} = -i\lambda [p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma_{\gamma \alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} .
\] (C.54)

**In total.** Thus, (C.38) is the sum of (C.44), (C.47) and (C.54):
\[
\chi_+ D_+ \mathcal{M} - \chi_+ \chi_+ \mathcal{M} + \chi_- (\Gamma_{\gamma \alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} D_- p_\gamma - iD_- K_{\alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta}) \\
- i\chi_+([p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}] B^{\alpha \beta} D_+ \phi^\gamma) + D_+ (\Gamma_{\alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} D_- p_\gamma \\
- iD_- D_+ (K_{\alpha \beta} D_- \phi^\alpha) D_+ \phi^\gamma - iD_- ([p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}] B^{\alpha \beta}) D_+ \phi^\gamma \\
- (\lambda + \partial)(i [p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma_{\gamma \alpha \beta}] B^{\alpha \beta}) .
\] (C.55)

We have
\[
i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}] B^{\alpha \beta} D_+ \phi^\gamma = iE_+ ,
\] (C.56)
\[
\Gamma_{\gamma \alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} D_- p_\gamma - iD_- K_{\alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} = iE_- .
\] (C.57)

Also,
\[
-iD_- D_+ (K_{\gamma \alpha} D_- \phi^\alpha) D_+ \phi^\gamma = - iD_+ (D_- K_{\alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta}) \\
+ i2\partial K_{\alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} + i2 K_{\alpha \beta} D_+ \phi^\alpha \partial D_- \phi^\beta ,
\] (C.58)
so
\[
\left[ \mathcal{M}_\Lambda \mathcal{G}^0_+ \right] = - \chi_- \chi_+ \mathcal{M} + \chi_+ D_+ \mathcal{M} + i(\chi_- + D_+ E_- - i(\chi_+ + D_-) E_+ \\
+ 2i\partial K_{\alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} + 2i K_{\alpha \beta} D_+ \phi^\alpha \partial D_- \phi^\beta \\
- \Gamma_{\gamma \alpha \beta} B^{\alpha \beta} D_+ D_- p_\gamma + i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha \beta}] B^{\alpha \beta} D_- D_+ \phi^\gamma \\
- (\lambda + \partial)(i [p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma_{\gamma \alpha \beta}] B^{\alpha \beta}) .
\] (C.59)
\[ [G^0_+ \Lambda M], \text{ and taking the sum.} \] Using skew-symmetry, from (C.59), we calculate \([G^0_+ \Lambda M]\):

\[
\begin{align*}
[G^0_+ \Lambda M] = & \chi - \chi_+ \mathcal{M} + 2\lambda \mathcal{M} + 2\partial \mathcal{M} + \chi_- D_- \mathcal{M} + i\chi_- E_- - i\chi_+ E_+ \\
& - 2i\partial K_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} - 2iK_{\alpha\beta} D_+ \phi^\alpha \phi_\beta \\
& + \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} D_+ D_- - i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta} D_- D_+ \phi^\gamma \\
& - \lambda i [p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta}.
\end{align*}
\] (C.60)

Taking the sum of (C.59) and (C.60), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
[G^0_+ \Lambda M] + [\mathcal{M} \Lambda G^0_+] = & (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_- D_- + \chi_+ D_+) \mathcal{M} \\
& + i(2\chi_- + D_+) E_- - i(2\chi_+ + D_-) E_+ \\
& - (2\lambda + \partial)(i[p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta}).
\end{align*}
\] (C.61)

### C.2.3 Summing the results from C.2.1 and C.2.2

We want to show that (C.8a) is fulfilled. From (C.37) and (C.61), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
[G^0_+ \Lambda M] + [\mathcal{M} \Lambda G^0_+] + [\mathcal{M} \Lambda M] = & (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_+ D_+ + \chi_- D_-) \mathcal{M} \\
& - (2\lambda + \partial) \left( -K_{\gamma\delta}[\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma \phi^\delta] + i\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\delta} \Gamma^\delta_{\gamma\beta} + i[p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}] \right) B^{\alpha\beta}. \quad \text{(C.62)}
\end{align*}
\]

The parenthesis of the last line is

\[ -K_{\gamma\delta}[\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma \phi^\delta] + i\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\delta} \Gamma^\delta_{\gamma\beta} + i[p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}] = i\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\delta} \Gamma^\delta_{\gamma\beta} - i\partial_\gamma \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \] (C.63)

This is zero in the coordinates chosen. So, (C.8a) is fulfilled. The corresponding equation for the \(-\) sector comes by exchanging + and -. We have thus shown that

\[
[G_{\pm} \Lambda G_{\pm}] = (2\lambda + 2\partial + \chi_+ D_+ + \chi_- D_-) G_{\pm} + \lambda \chi_1 \chi_2 \frac{d}{2}. \] (C.64)

We now want to show that \(G_+\) and \(G_-\) commute.
We want to calculate \([G^0_\Lambda \mathcal{M}] - [\mathcal{M} \Lambda G^0_+]\). From (C.60) we see that \([G^0_\Lambda \mathcal{M}]\) is

\[
[G^0_\Lambda \mathcal{M}] = \chi_+ \chi_- - 2\lambda \mathcal{M} + 2\partial \mathcal{M} + \chi_+ D_+ \mathcal{M} + i\chi_+ E_+ - i\chi_- E_-
- 2i\partial K_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} + 2iK_{\alpha\beta}D_+ \phi^\alpha \partial D_+ \phi^\beta
+ \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma B^{\alpha\beta} D_- D_+ \phi^\gamma
+ \lambda i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta}.
\]

(C.65)

Note that when we exchange + and −, or equivalently 1 and 2 in the numbering of the supersymmetries, we keep the integration order in the integrals fixed. This yields an extra minus sign in the quantum term above. The difference between (C.65) and (C.59) is

\[
[G^0_\Lambda \mathcal{M}] - [\mathcal{M} \Lambda G^0_+] = (\chi_+ \chi_- - 2\lambda + 2\partial)\mathcal{M}
+ i(2\chi_+ + D_) E_+ - i(2\chi_- + D_) E_-
- 4i\partial K_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} - 2iK_{\alpha\beta}D_+ \phi^\alpha \partial D_+ \phi^\beta - 2iK_{\alpha\beta} \partial D_+ \phi^\alpha D_- \phi^\beta
+ \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma B^{\alpha\beta} (D_- D_+ + D_+ D_-) p_\gamma
- i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta} (D_- D_+ + D_+ D_-) \phi^\gamma + i(2\lambda + \partial) ([p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma] B^{\alpha\beta})
= +i(2\chi_+ + D_) E_+ - i(2\chi_- + D_) E_-
+ 2\partial \mathcal{M} - 4i\partial K_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta} - 2iK_{\alpha\beta} \partial B^{\alpha\beta}
+ 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma B^{\alpha\beta} \partial p_\gamma - 2i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta} \partial \phi^\gamma
+ i(2\lambda + \partial) ([p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma] B^{\alpha\beta}).
\]

(C.66)

The third line of (C.66) can be simplified, noting

\[
\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma B^{\alpha\beta} \partial p_\gamma - i[p_\gamma \Lambda K_{\alpha\beta}] B^{\alpha\beta} \partial \phi^\gamma =
\]

\[
i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma B^{\alpha\beta} K_{\gamma\sigma} \partial \phi^\sigma + i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma B^{\alpha\beta} K_{\gamma\sigma} \partial \phi^\sigma
- i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma K_{\gamma\sigma} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial \phi^\gamma + iK_{\alpha\beta\gamma} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial \phi^\gamma
= iK_{\alpha\beta\gamma} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial \phi^\gamma + iK_{\alpha\beta\gamma} B^{\alpha\beta} \partial \phi^\gamma = i\partial K_{\alpha\beta} B^{\alpha\beta}.
\]

(C.67)

So, finally,

\[
[G^0_\Lambda \mathcal{M}] - [\mathcal{M} \Lambda G^0_+] = i(2\chi_+ + D_) E_+ - i(2\chi_- + D_) E_-
+ i(2\lambda + \partial) ([p_\gamma \Lambda \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma] B^{\alpha\beta}),
\]

(C.68)
and, using (C.37) and (C.63),
\[
\begin{aligned}
G^0_0 - \Lambda M - M \Lambda G^0_0 + M \Lambda M = & \quad + (2\lambda + \partial) \left( \left( -K_{\gamma\delta} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \Gamma^\delta_\gamma \right) + i \Gamma_{\alpha\delta}^\gamma \Gamma_{\gamma\beta}^\delta + i \left( p_{\gamma} \Lambda \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \right) \right) B^{\alpha\beta} = 0 .
\end{aligned}
\]
(C.69)

Thus
\[
[G_+ \Lambda G_-] = 0 .
\]
(C.70)

D Derivation of the Hamiltonian of the \( N = (2, 2) \) supersymmetric sigma model

The action for an \( N = (2, 2) \) supersymmetric sigma model with a Kähler target manifold is given by
\[
S = \int d\sigma d\tau d\theta^1_+ d\theta^2_+ d\bar{\theta}^1_+ d\bar{\theta}^2_+ K(\Phi, \bar{\Phi}) ,
\]
where \( K \) is the Kähler potential, and \( \Phi = \{ \Phi^\alpha \} \) is a chiral superfield, and \( \bar{\Phi} = \{ \Phi^{\bar{\alpha}} \} \) is an anti-chiral superfield. We use indices \( \mu, \nu, \ldots \) to denote real coordinates, and \( \alpha, \beta, \ldots \) to denote complex coordinates.

We have two copies of the \( N = (1, 1) \) algebra\(^1\):
\[
(D^i_\pm)^2 = i\partial_\pm , \quad \{ D^i_+, D^j_- \} = 0 , \quad \{ D^1_+, D^2_+ \} = 0 , \quad i, j = 1, 2 , \quad (D.2)
\]
where
\[
D^i_\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^i_\pm} + i\theta^i_\pm \partial_\pm , \quad \partial_\pm = \partial_0 \pm \partial_1 . \quad (D.3)
\]

For chiral and anti-chiral superfields, the two supersymmetries are related:
\[
D^1_+ \Phi^\alpha = iD^2_+ \Phi^\alpha , \quad D^1_- \Phi^{\bar{\alpha}} = -iD^2_- \Phi^{\bar{\alpha}} . \quad (D.4)
\]

In physics literature \( D^i_\pm \) are typically combined into complex operators and also it is more customary to use the the complex \( \theta \)'s (for example, see the conventions in [16]).

\(^1\) Here we misuse the spinor notation. For example, the partial derivative \( \partial_+ \) should be understood as \( \partial_{\pm} \) in spinor indices. Since we are after the Hamiltonian treatment, the Lorentz covariance is not the issue.
We now want to go to Hamiltonian formalism. We are going to integrate out two odd coordinates, and write (D.1) in a first order form.

Let us define a new set of $\theta$'s:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta_1^1 \\
\theta_2^1 \\
\theta_1^2 \\
\theta_2^2
\end{bmatrix}
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & -i & i \\
-i & i & 1 & 1 \\
i & -i & 1 & -1 \\
i & i & -1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\theta_1^1 \\
\theta_2^1 \\
\theta_1^2 \\
\theta_2^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The action then is

\[S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\sigma d\tau d\theta_1^1 d\theta_2^1 d\theta_1^2 d\theta_2^2 K.\]

We now want to integrate out $\theta_1^0$ and $\theta_2^0$. Introduce new differential operators:

\[
D_0^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_1^1 + D_1^1) , \quad D_0^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_2^1 + D_2^1),
\]
\[
D_1^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_1^1 + iD_1^1), \quad D_1^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_2^1 + iD_1^1).
\]

We have

\[
D_0^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1^0} + i\theta_1^1 \partial_0 + \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_1^1 - \theta_2^2) \partial_0 + \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_1^1 + \theta_2^2) \partial_1 ,
\]
\[
D_0^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2^0} + i\theta_2^2 \partial_0 + \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_1^1 - \theta_2^2) \partial_0 + \frac{1}{2}(i\theta_1^1 + \theta_2^2) \partial_1 ,
\]

and

\[
(D_0^1)^2 = (D_0^2)^2 = i\partial_0 , \quad (D_1^1)^2 = (D_1^2)^2 = i\partial_1 .
\]

Under integration,

\[S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\sigma d\tau d\theta_1^1 d\theta_2^1 D_0^1 D_0^2 K|_{\theta_1^0 = \theta_2^0 = 0} .
\]

Now,

\[D_0^1 D_0^2 K = K_{\mu \nu} D_0^1 \Phi^\mu D_0^2 \Phi^\nu + K_{\mu} D_0^1 D_0^2 \Phi^\mu .
\]

Due to (D.4), we have

\[D_0^2 \Phi^\alpha = -iD_0^1 \Phi^\alpha , \quad D_0^1 \Phi^\alpha = +iD_0^1 \Phi^\alpha ,
\]

and

\[K_{\mu} D_0^1 D_0^2 \Phi^\mu = -iK_{\alpha} D_0^1 D_0^2 \Phi^\alpha + iK_{\bar{\alpha}} D_0^1 D_0^2 \Phi^\bar{\alpha} = K_{\alpha} \partial_0 \Phi^\alpha - K_{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_0 \Phi^\bar{\alpha} = 2K_{\alpha} \partial_0 \Phi^\alpha + \text{total derivative}.
\]
Also,

\[ K_{\mu \nu} D_0^1 \Phi^\mu D_0^2 \Phi^\nu = K_{\mu \alpha} D_0^1 \Phi^\mu D_0^2 \Phi^\alpha + K_{\mu \bar{\alpha}} D_0^1 \Phi^\mu D_0^2 \Phi^\bar{\alpha} = -iK_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_0^1 \Phi^\bar{\beta} D_0^1 \Phi^\alpha + iK_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_0^1 \Phi^\bar{\beta} D_0^1 \Phi^\bar{\alpha} \]

\[ = -2iK_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_0^1 \Phi^\bar{\beta} D_0^1 \Phi^\bar{\alpha}. \]

Using (D.4) again, we note that

\[ D_0^1 \Phi^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_1^1 + D_1^1) \Phi^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_1^1 + iD_2^1) \Phi^\alpha = D_1^1 \Phi^\alpha, \]

\[ D_0^1 \Phi^\bar{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_1^1 + D_1^1) \Phi^\bar{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-iD_2^1 + D_1^1) \Phi^\bar{\alpha} = -iD_2^1 \Phi^\bar{\alpha}, \]

so,

\[ K_{\mu \nu} D_0^1 \Phi^\mu D_0^2 \Phi^\nu = -2iK_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_0^1 \Phi^\bar{\beta} D_1^1 \Phi^\alpha, \]

and

\[ S = \int d^2 \sigma d\theta_1^2 d\theta_1^2 \left( K_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_2^1 \Phi^\bar{\beta} D_1^1 \Phi^\alpha - K_{\alpha \beta} \partial_0 \Phi^\alpha \right) \bigg|_{\theta_0^1 = \theta_0^2 = 0}. \]

Denote \( \theta_1^1 \equiv \sqrt{i} \theta_1^1, \theta_2^1 \equiv \sqrt{i} \theta_1^2 \) and \( \bar{\theta} \equiv \partial_1 \). Let

\[ D_1 \equiv -i \sqrt{i} D_1^1 \bigg|_{\theta_0^1 = \theta_0^2 = 0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1^1} + \theta_1^1 \partial, \]

\[ D_2 \equiv -i \sqrt{i} D_2^1 \bigg|_{\theta_0^1 = \theta_0^2 = 0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1^2} + \theta_1^2 \partial, \]

and \( \phi^\mu \equiv \Phi^\mu \bigg|_{\theta_0^1 = \theta_0^2 = 0} \). Then

\[ S = \int d^2 \sigma d\theta_1^2 d\theta_1^2 \left( iK_{\alpha \beta} \partial_0 \phi^\alpha - K_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_2^1 \phi^\bar{\beta} D_1^1 \phi^\alpha \right) \]

\[ = \int d^2 \sigma d\theta_1^2 d\theta_1^2 \left( iK_{\alpha \beta} \partial_0 \phi^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{H} \right), \]

with

\[ \mathcal{H} = K_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_2^1 \phi^\bar{\beta} D_1^1 \phi^\alpha - K_{\bar{\beta} \alpha} D_1^1 \phi^\bar{\beta} D_2^1 \phi^\alpha. \]

From (D.22) we see that the Hamiltonian density is given by (D.23). The momenta is

\[ p_\alpha = iK_{\alpha}, \quad p_{\bar{\alpha}} = 0. \]

The definitions of the momentas give the second class constraints \( p_\alpha - iK_{\alpha} = 0 \) and \( p_{\bar{\alpha}} = 0 \), leading to the Dirac brackets

\[ \{ \phi^\alpha, \phi^\beta \}^* = \omega^\alpha_\beta, \quad \{ \phi^\alpha, p_\beta \}^* = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \quad \{ \phi^\bar{\alpha}, p_\bar{\beta} \}^* = i\omega^{\bar{\alpha}}_\beta K_{\alpha \beta}, \]
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with the remaining brackets being zero.

Let us define new combinations of the derivatives $D_1$ and $D_2$:

$$D_\pm \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (D_1 \mp iD_2) .$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.26)

We can then write the Hamiltonian (D.23) as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}_-^c - \mathcal{G}_+^c ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.27)

with

$$\mathcal{G}_\pm^c = D_\mp p_\alpha D_\pm \phi^\alpha + iK_{\alpha\beta} D_\pm \phi^\alpha D_\mp \phi^\beta .$$  \hspace{1cm} (D.28)
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