Unmasking Adherence as a Cause of Unexplained Poor Growth Response in Adolescents Receiving Growth Hormone
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Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate whether a digital record of injections can reveal nonadherence as the cause of unexplained poor growth response in adolescents on growth hormone therapy.

Methods: Twelve adolescent patients receiving growth hormone (GH) treatment who developed unexplained poor growth for six months were identified. Patients were transitioned to a digital record of GH administration (easypod®) from their original method of administration. Growth rates and IGF-I levels prior to and after 3 months of digital monitoring were compared.

Results: Mean age of the 12 participants was 15.6 ±1.9 years and mean duration of GH therapy was 4.5 ±2.7 years. Only one patient acknowledged poor adherence prior to starting easypod, but injection history log showed that five of the patients initially were not adherent with the daily injections. Four of these five patients then became adherent. Four patients were noted to be adherent at the initiation of easypod therapy but nonadherence could be suggested by their improved growth rates on easypod therapy. Mean growth rate of all subjects increased from 2.5 ±2.5 cm per year compared to 6.3 ±3.8 cm per year after transition to easypod (P<0.01). One patient remained poorly adherent for the duration of the study and two of the twelve patients remained poorly growing even though proven to be adherent to GH therapy by the digital injection record. These 3 patients discontinued GH therapy. There was no significant change in serum IGF-I levels before and after easypod use (P=0.25).

Conclusion: A digital record of injections is useful in revealing nonadherence as the cause of unexplained poor growth response in adolescent
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Introduction

Treatment adherence in patients affected by chronic health conditions is a well-known issue, particularly in the adolescent population [1-4]. Low adherence to growth hormone (GH) therapy is a major cause of treatment failure, with nonadherence rates estimated to be as high as 82% [5-10]. Poor adherence to GH treatment correlates with lower growth rates and may prevent patients from reaching their full adult height potential [9-14]. Patient questioning and other methods of estimating GH adherence, such as prescription renewal rates, are not reliable ways of measuring medication adherence [1,4,5,9]. Patients are subject to recall bias and prescription records cannot demonstrate that the GH was taken according to the prescribed regimen [1,5,9]. Furthermore, when questioned about adherence to GH therapy, many patients admit to ‘white coat adherence,’ in which patients follow their prescribed medication regimen only for a few days leading up to their next appointment [1]. Adherence declines with duration of therapy, and adolescents have the lowest rates of GH adherence [1,8,9,15-17].

The easypod™ (Merck Serono International SA, Geneva, Switzerland) electronic auto-injector is a delivery device intended to simplify growth hormone administration, but also allows for accurate monitoring of treatment adherence by keeping an electronic log of the patient’s injection history [18-20]. Many previous studies have shown that patients using easypod have good adherence rates that correspond to optimal growth rates [15,21-23]. However, these reports focus on children and young adults who have been treated with GH for a relatively short amount of time, generally 1 year or less. In this study, we retrospectively evaluated adolescents with unexplained poor growth response to GH therapy who were transitioned to a digital record of growth hormone administration to determine if their poor growth response could be attributed to nonadherence.
Methods

A retrospective, observational monocentric study was performed at the Pediatric Endocrinology Clinic of Boston Children’s Health Physicians, Sleepy Hollow, New York. Twelve adolescent patients with previously optimal growth response to GH treatment who later developed poor growth response for a period of at least six months were identified. Patients were excluded for identified alternative reasons for poor growth, such as low insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I), hypothyroidism, poor weight gain/loss, or onset of a chronic illness such as celiac disease. Poor growth response was defined as preadolescent or adolescent growth rate less than 5cm or 7cm per year, respectively. Patients were then transitioned to a digital record of GH administration from their original administration method and followed for response to treatment.

The digital recording system used in this study was the easypod™ device. Easypod documents the dose, date, and time of each injection it delivers, as well as the injection history and number of remaining doses in the cartridge [18-20]. Growth rate (GR) during the easypod period was measured and compared to growth rate of patients prior to the transition. Adherence to GH treatment was defined as missing fewer than 4 injections per month. If patients were found to be poorly adherent based on the injection history data, GR was measured for an additional three-month period to further determine if adherence with the treatment regimen was achieved. Serum IGF-I concentrations were measured by various commercial laboratories using standard assays. A GH peak of less than or equal to 10 ng/mL on stimulation was defined as GHD. Those with levels greater than 10 ng/mL were classified as ISS. GH levels were measured at our in-house endocrine laboratory via the chemiluminescent assay technique [24] (Figure 1).

Statistics: Paired t-tests were used to determine if there was a statistically significant difference in growth rate or IGF-I before and after using easypod. Analysis was performed in NCSS version 12 (NCSS Statistical Software, Kaysville, Utah).

Ethics: Ethical approval by an IRB for the analysis in this paper was not required as this study used secondary pre-existing data from de-identified subjects.

Results

Table 1 depicts subject demographic, auxologic, and adherence data. Twelve patients, 10 male and 2 females, were included in this study. Mean age of study participants was 15.6 ± 1.9 years. Patients had been on GH therapy for a mean of 4.5 ± 2.7 years and a minimum of 1.5 years. Baseline height standard deviation scores (HSDS) ranged from -0.77 to -3.93 with a mean of -1.85 ± 0.91. Mean growth rate of patients receiving GH prior to initiating easypod was 2.5 ± 2.5cm per year. Mean growth rate of all patients using easypod for 3 months was 6.3 ± 3.8cm per year. The difference in growth rates was found to be significant (P<0.01). All but one of the twelve patients prior to easypod transition reported good adherence to GH therapy. Easypod data showed that four patients were adherent to injections after initiation of easypod therapy. Five of the twelve remaining patients were initially nonadherent with the daily injections. Four of these patients became adherent by the end of the study.

Two of the twelve patients (subjects J, K) remained poorly growing even though proven to be adherent to GH therapy by easypod, and GH therapy was discontinued. When directly questioned about adherence to GH therapy, several patients admitted to taking GH injections only in the days leading up to appointments. When asked about adherence, the mother of one patient stated she was giving all GH injections and no doses were
missed. However, easypod data determined that no injections were administered over 3 months of prescribed easypod therapy. When queried about this discrepancy, the mother turned to the child and said, “You told me you were giving all the injections.” Of the patients with paired pre- and post-intervention IGF-I data, there was no significant difference in serum IGF-I with use of easypod (P=0.25), and IGF-I levels were within normal range (Table 2).

Table 1: Subject demographic, auxologic, and adherence information.

| Patient | Age (years) | Sex | Indication | Years of GH Treatment | HSDS | Patient Reported Adherence | Easypod Measured Adherence |
|---------|-------------|-----|------------|-----------------------|------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| A       | 16.6        | M   | ISS        | 9                     | -1.29| Yes                       | No                         |
| B       | 10.4        | F   | TS         | 3.5                   | -2.71| Yes                       | No                         |
| C*      | 16.9        | M   | GHD        | 4                     | -1.45| Yes                       | Yes                        |
| D       | 14.9        | M   | GHD        | 4                     | -0.77| No                        | Yes                        |
| E       | 15.2        | M   | GHD        | 3                     | -1.16| Yes                       | Yes                        |
| F       | 15.5        | M   | GHD        | 8.5                   | -0.92| Yes                       | No                         |
| G       | 14.9        | M   | GHD        | 7.5                   | -2.6 | Yes                       | No                         |
| H       | 16.9        | M   | GHD        | 2                     | -3.93| Yes                       | No                         |
| I       | 16.9        | M   | ISS        | 2.6                   | -1.75| Yes                       | Yes                        |
| I**     | 17          | M   | GHD        | 7                     | -1.54| Yes                       | No                         |
| K**     | 16.3        | F   | GHD        | 1.5                   | -2.44| Yes                       | Yes                        |
| L       | 16.8        | M   | GHD        | 1.5                   | -1.69| Yes                       | Yes                        |

*Patient elected to discontinue GH therapy; **GH therapy discontinued due to poor growth response; GH: growth hormone; HSDS: height standard deviation score; ISS: idiopathic short stature; TS: Turner Syndrome; GHD: growth hormone deficiency

Table 2: Differences in adolescent growth rate and IGF-I levels with easypod.

| Patient | Pre-easypod GR (cm/year) | Easypod GR† (cm/year) | Pre-easypod IGF-1 (ng/mL) | Easypod IGF-1‡ (ng/mL) |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| A       | 3.9                      | 5.8                   | 464                       | 618                    |
| B       | 4.4                      | 8.4                   | 435                       | 326                    |
| C*      | 0.2                      | 0                    | 759                       | 759                    |
| D       | 5.2                      | 10.8                  | 653                       | 597                    |
| E       | 0                        | 7.2                   | 636                       | 696                    |
| F       | 4.8                      | 11.4                  | 879                       | 870                    |
| G       | 6.8                      | 10.3                  | 879                       | 870                    |
| H       | 0                        | 3                    | 879                       | 870                    |
| I       | 0                        | 8.8                   | 648                       | 432                    |
| I**     | 3.6                      | 3                    | 432                       | 432                    |
| K**     | 2                        | 2                    | 872                       | 872                    |
| L       | 0                        | 4.5                   | 788                       | 866                    |

*Patient elected to discontinue GH therapy; **GH therapy discontinued due to poor growth response; GR: growth rate; IGF-1: insulin-like growth factor 1; GH: growth hormone; † P <0.01; ‡ P = 0.25

Discussion

Successful growth hormone treatment relies on long term and sustained adherence to a daily dosing regimen [5,8,25]. Early detection of nonadherence to GH therapy is important for patients to fully attain their predicted adult height potential [13]. Due to a myriad of reasons, adolescents are known to have lower adherence rates than children of other ages [2,8,16,26-28]. Common factors contributing to nonadherence include chronicity of treatment, misperceptions of consequences of missed doses, pain, and complexity of treatment regimen [2,5,8,9,17]. Electronic records of growth hormone administration offer the opportunity for objective measures of adherence, which can improve treatment outcomes. This observational study focused on a population particularly at risk for nonadherence, given the mean subject age
The present study is limited by several factors. Our small subject population and retrospective analysis of data prevented us from establishing equivalent numbers of males and females and evaluating changes in height SDS during sustained easypod use. Despite our small number of patients, we were able to demonstrate a significant increase in growth rate (P<0.01). Furthermore, the observational nature of the study makes it more likely to reflect actual clinical practice. Based on this, larger studies may be able to show even stronger, more generalizable results.

Adherence was treated as a categorical variable in our study, and the exact percentage of GH doses received was not quantified. If adherence had been quantified prior to transition to easypod, a direct correlation between adherence and growth rates would be established. Future research on this population should evaluate the amount of potential height loss with different levels of nonadherence. The duration of adherence follow-up in our study was limited to 3 months. Determination of persistent adherence in this population requires further study. The results of our study strongly indicate, nevertheless, that a digital record of injections can unmask poor adherence as the underlying cause of unexplained poor growth response in adolescents.

**Conclusion**

Nonadherence to growth hormone treatment is an important cause of treatment failure in adolescents. Objective measures of adherence are necessary for optimal response to growth hormone therapy. The present study demonstrates a digital record of injections can reveal nonadherence as the cause of unexplained poor growth response in adolescents.
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