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Abstract

Background. Non-communicable general and oral health conditions share common social determinants. Based on several social determinants, i.e. socioeconomic position (SEP) indicators, we examined and compared social inequalities in general health condition, overweight and obesity, and oral health condition, dental caries, in a sample of adolescents from Northern Norway.

Methods. This cross-sectional study included data from 464 girls and 494 boys from the population-based Tromsø study Fit Futures, which included first-year students attending upper secondary school in 2010-2011 from two municipalities in Northern Norway (1038 participants in total, 93% participation rate). Multivariable binary logistic regression analyses stratified by sex was used to investigate the association between SEP indicators (adolescent’s own study program, parents’ education and employment status) and overweight and obesity indicated by body weight and waist circumference, and dental caries, here indicated by untreated dental caries in dentine.

Results. Boys enrolled in the general studies and sports programs (versus vocational) had lower odds of being overweight/obese (POR 0.42, 95% CI 0.20-0.86 and POR 0.24, 95% CI 0.08-0.73, respectively), of having high waist circumference (POR 0.39, 95% CI 0.21-0.75 and POR 0.25, 95% CI 0.10-0.64, respectively), and untreated caries (POR 0.57, 95% CI 0.32-0.99 and POR 0.47, 95% CI 0.22-0.98, respectively). Girls enrolled in the general studies program (versus vocational) had lower odds of having untreated dental caries (POR 0.50, 95% CI 0.30-0.84).

Conclusions. Among Northern Norwegian adolescents, social inequalities in overweight and obesity, and dental caries followed adolescent’s own study program. The pattern of social inequalities in general health condition resembled the pattern of social inequalities in oral health condition among boys. This suggests that the relationship between overweight and obesity, and dental caries maybe be explained by common social structural determinants. These findings call for common preventive strategies for general and oral health addressing the social inequalities observed.

Background

The common risk/health factor approach (CRHFA) suggests that non-communicable general and oral health conditions share common risk factors, such as behavioral variables (e.g., diet, hygiene, physical activity, tobacco use, and alcohol use) and psychosocial factors (e.g., stress and perceived control) [1]. The CRHFA aims to reduce “risk factors” and promote “health factors” common to non-communicable general and oral health conditions. In this way, the CRHFA strives to simultaneously improve the general and oral health of the whole population and that of high-risk groups, thereby reducing social inequities [1]. The CRHFA was integrated into the conceptual model of social determinants of health. This framework, presented by the World Health Organization (WHO), shows that behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors are only intermediary determinants of health, as these factors are shaped by one’s social environment and are correlated with socioeconomic position (SEP) (social structural determinants) [2-4].

The general health conditions overweight and obesity are considered global epidemics [5], and their prevalence among children and adolescents is increasing substantially [6]. In addition to amplifying multiple risk factors (e.g., metabolic syndrome, high blood pressure, deteriorated glucose tolerance) in childhood and adolescence, overweight and obesity are risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in adulthood, which are the leading annual cause of death worldwide, according to data from the Global Burden of Diseases Study [7-9]. Dental caries is a non-communicable oral health condition; untreated dental caries in the permanent dentition was reported as the most prevalent medical condition in the Global Burden of Diseases Study in 2015 [10]. A systematic review and meta-analysis found a significant relationship between obesity and dental caries in children from industrialized countries, but the authors concluded that future analyses should focus on common risk factors as confounders in this association [11]. Another recent systematic review and meta-analysis confirmed that, in high-income countries, overweight and obese children had more dental caries when compared to normal-weight children [12]. The authors called for future studies to address confounding factors, including possible common risk factors. A study among adults in Australia found that, after adjustment for confounders, the association between overweight/obesity and dental caries experience disappeared, but dental caries experience as well as overweight/obesity separately were associated with SEP [13]. Another study among children in South Pacific found ethnicity to be common risk factors for oral health and obesity [14]. Ethnicity is one of a social position proxy, and social position takes a central role in generating health inequalities [4]. Therefore, it would be reasonable to look at common risk factors in the broader social context (social structural determinants according to WHO conceptual model of social determinants of health) when investigating links between non-communicable general and oral health conditions [15, 16].

Even though Norway is a so-called welfare state and a high-income country, social inequalities in general and oral health have been shown, with those with lower SEP reporting poorer outcomes [17-20]. To our knowledge, there are no studies investigating social inequalities in general and oral health conditions among the same adolescents in Norway.

Methods

Study aim, design, and population

The main aim of the present study was to examine social inequalities (based on the following SEP indicators of adolescent’s own study program, parents’ education and employment status) in general health condition, overweight and obesity, indicated by body weight and waist circumference, and oral health condition, dental caries, here indicated by untreated dental caries in dentine, in a sample of adolescents from Northern Norway. We also aimed to compare the patterns of social inequalities in general and oral health conditions among the same individuals. Therefore, we investigated the association between SEP indicators (adolescent’s own study program, parents’ education and employment status) and measures of overweight/obesity and abdominal obesity (as general health condition), and untreated dental caries (as an oral health condition) among Norwegian adolescent girls and boys. The conceptual framework of this study, based on the WHO conceptual framework on the social determinants of health [4], is presented in Figure 1. The main hypothesis was that body
weight, waist circumference and untreated dental caries differ by SEP as measured by the adolescent’s own study program, parents’ education and employment status. The other hypothesis was that social inequalities in general health resemble inequalities in oral health among the same individuals.

This cross-sectional study included data from a population-based cohort study, the Tromsø Study Fit Futures (FF1). FF1 was conducted in the Tromsø school district, Northern Norway (the urban Tromsø municipality and the rural Balsfjord municipality) [21]. All first-year students attending upper secondary school (seven schools in Tromsø and one in Balsfjord) in 2010-2011, mainly aged 15-19 years, were invited to participate in FF1. Of a total of 1117 invited students, 1038 participated (93% participation rate), while 1010 (498 girls and 512 boys) volunteered to participate in the oral health portion (90% participation rate), which consisted of a dental evaluation [22, 23]. Data on general health was collected at the Clinical Research Unit, University Hospital of North Norway [22], data on oral health was collected at the University Dental Clinic [23]. All procedures were done during school hours [23]. After exclusion of participants aged 19 years or older, and those with missing data on height, weight, waist circumference and untreated dental caries, 464 girls and 494 boys (86% participation rate) were eligible for inclusion in the analyses.

Variables and measurements

Socioeconomic position indicators, demographic, behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors

SEP indicators (adolescent’s own study program, parents’ education, and employment status) were taken from the pretested, electronic self-administered FF1 questionnaire, as were demographic characteristics (age, sex, birth country, and household composition) [Furberg 2010, cited in 24] (Supplement Table 1).

Following the WHO conceptual framework for the social determinants of health, as intermediary determinants, twelve behavioral, biological and psychosocial variables were selected: history of chronic disease, alcohol intake, smoking, snuff use, physical activity, sugar-containing sweets and beverages intake, other dietary factors (including intake of omega 3 fatty acids-rich food/supplements, dairy products, fruits/vegetables, vitamin or mineral supplements), tooth brushing frequency, dental satisfaction and self-esteem, psychological therapy and sleep sufficiency, which were taken from the FF1 questionnaire, and vitamin D status, which was measured as serum vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D) level (Supplement Table 1).

Outcome variables

Body mass index (BMI) was used to assess body weight categories. Height and weight were measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.1 kg, respectively, on an automatic electronic scale/stadiometer (Jenix DS 102 stadiometer, Dong Sahn Jenix, Seoul, Korea), with participants wearing light clothing and no footwear [25]. BMI was calculated based on the WHO index for students aged ≥18 years [26]. For 15-17-year-olds, International Obesity Task Force age- and sex-specific cut-off values were used [27]. BMI was categorized as underweight (corresponding to adult BMI <18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (adult BMI value 18.5-24.9 kg/m²), overweight (adult BMI value 25-29.9 kg/m²), and obese (adult BMI value ≥30 kg/m²). In binary logistic regression analysis, this variable was dichotomized into normal weight (corresponding to adult BMI value <25 kg/m²) and overweight/obese (corresponding to adult BMI value ≥25 kg/m²) [28, 29].

Abdominal obesity was expressed by waist circumference, which was measured to the nearest centimeter with a measuring tape placed horizontally at umbilical level and at the end of a normal expiration. Subjects were standing with arms relaxed at sides and weight evenly distributed across feet [25]. Waist circumference was measured twice, and the mean value was used in the analyses. For 15-18-year-olds, waist circumference was categorized into normal and high based on age- and sex-specific cut-off values [30].

The oral health outcome, untreated dental caries, was expressed as a decayed teeth (DT) component of a decayed, missed, filled teeth (DMFT) index, which was recorded by a single dentist [23]. Untreated dental caries was detected clinically and radiographically using caries grading system suggested by Amarante and colleagues [31]. Untreated dental caries in enamel was graded level 1-2 referring to ICDAS level 1-3, while untreated dental caries in dentine was graded level 3-5, corresponding to ICDAS level 4-6 [32]. For analysis, D₃₅T component was dichotomized into no untreated dental caries in dentine (D₃₅T=0) and untreated dental caries in dentine (D₃₅T>0).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed in Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, Version 26.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Chi-square test was used for categorical variables to analyze the differences in SEP indicators, demographic characteristics, behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors, body weight, abdominal obesity and untreated dental caries between the study programs (general studies, sports, and vocational) stratified by sexes. The binary logistic regression analysis was stratified by sex, as it has been shown that health behavior differs between adolescent girls and boys [33, 34]. Univariable binary logistic regression analysis was used to identify the characteristics associated with the outcomes.

Multivariable binary logistic models were constructed to find the associations between body weight/waist circumference/untreated dental caries (dependent variables) and SEP indicators (independent variables) adjusted for demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and for all behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that achieved statistical significance p≤0.2 in the univariable binary logistic regression analyses for that sex. The hierarchical regression (blockwise method) was used. All SEP indicators were entered in the first block and the selected covariates were placed in the second block. The assumption of multicollinearity (tolerance, VIF statistics and eigenvalues) was not violated in any of the models [35]. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic yielded p>0.05 for all models constructed. Nagelkerke R² was recorded for the first (SEP) block and the whole adjusted model for every outcome [35]. The level of significance was set at p=0.05 and prevalence odds ratios (PORs) are presented with 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

Ethical considerations
FF1 was performed in compliance with Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki. The Norwegian Data Protection Authority (reference number 2009/1282) and the Regional Committee of Medical and Health Research Ethics (reference number 2011/1702/REK nord) approved the study at start-up. Participation was based on signed written informed consent: participants aged 16 years and above signed themselves, and younger participants brought written permission from their guardians. The present study was approved by the Regional Committee of Medical and Health Research Ethics (reference number 2018/172/REK nord).

Results

Sample characteristics

The mean age of the 464 included girls was 16.16 (standard deviation (SD) 0.48) years and for the 494 included boys it was 16.11 (SD 0.56) years. There was a difference in adolescent's own study program between girls and boys, but the proportion of girls and boys was the same in regard to parents' education and employment. A higher proportion of boys had untreated dental caries in dentine, a higher proportion of girls had high waist circumference and there was a difference in body weight between the sexes (Table 1).

Body weight, waist circumference and untreated dental caries

Girls enrolled in the general studies program versus the vocational program had 50% lower odds of having untreated dental caries (POR 0.50, 95% CI 0.30-0.84) (Table 4, Fig. 2).

Boys enrolled in the general studies program (versus vocation program) had 58% lower odds of being overweight/obese (POR 0.42, 95% CI 0.20-0.86), 61% lower odds of having high waist circumference (POR 0.39, 95% CI 0.21-0.75), and 43% lower odds of having untreated dental caries (POR 0.57, 95% CI 0.32-0.99) (Tables 2-4, Fig. 2). Being enrolled in the sports program (versus vocational program) was negatively associated with being overweight/obese (POR 0.24, 95% CI 0.08-0.73), having high waist circumference (POR 0.25, 95% CI 0.10-0.64), and untreated dental caries (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.22-0.99) (Tables 2-4, Fig. 2).

Boys who had mother with a lower education level (college less than 4 years versus college 4 years or more) had more than three times higher odds of being overweight/obese (POR 3.49, 95% CI 1.29-9.49) (Table 2) and boys who had father with lower education level (college less than 4 years vs college 4 years or more) had 54% lower odds to have untreated dental caries (POR 0.46, 95% CI 0.20-0.90) (Table 4).

Discussion

The present study, conducted among first-year students attending upper secondary school in Northern Norway, demonstrated social inequalities in general health condition, overweight and obesity, indicated by body weight and waist circumference, and oral health condition, dental caries, here indicated by untreated dental caries in dentine. Among boys, social inequalities in body weight, waist circumference and untreated dental caries followed adolescent's own study program, with poorer outcomes observed among those enrolled in a vocational program compared to those enrolled in a sports program or a general studies program. The pattern of social inequalities in general health resembled the pattern of social inequalities in oral health among boys. In addition, among boys, social inequalities in body weight and untreated dental caries were based on mother's and father's education, respectively. Among girls, social inequalities in untreated dental caries followed adolescent's own study program.

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating social inequalities in overweight and obesity, and dental caries among the same adolescents using the WHO conceptual model for social determinants of health. All general and oral health outcomes were measured using objective criteria during clinical examination. Moreover, to detect untreated dental caries in dentine, radiographies were used.

However, the study has some limitations. This was a cross-sectional study, whose design in general is prone to confounding and does not allow to establish causality [36]. To control for confounders, we used a multivariable binary logistic analysis [36]. Given a high prevalence of our outcomes (more than 10%), results of the current study are interpreted in terms of prevalence odds ratios.

The initial participation rate in FF1 was high, reaching 93%, and participation in the oral health part of FF1 was only slightly lower (90%). It is possible that this decrease in attendance to the dental evaluation that constituted the oral health part of FF1 was associated with low parental education, unemployment, and low income [37]. After exclusions, our final study sample represented 86% of all students invited to FF1, but in multivariable binary logistic regression, the number of participants was reduced to 63% among girls and 62% among boys due to missing data; therefore self-selection bias cannot be ruled out. The sample was collected from both a densely populated urban area (Tromsø, seven schools) and a sparsely populated rural area (Balsfjord, one school) including all upper secondary schools in Tromsø school district, Troms County, Northern Norway. In this county, 29% of the population resides in sparsely populated areas; therefore, the population residing in densely populated areas might be overrepresented in the study sample (7 schools in urban area versus 1 school in rural area). It has been shown that living in densely populated areas is associated with higher physical activity and thus probably better health outcomes among adolescents in Norway [33]. It must be noted that 16-18% of adolescents in Troms County do not live in their parents' household. Indeed, as Troms County is large, adolescents sometimes have to move from where their parents live to where the school is located – creating the household composition of "living without adults". This living situation occurs due to adolescents' need for education; not necessarily because they have a higher level of maturity and hence, their health may be jeopardized. It has been also shown that having an immigrant background was related to worse general and oral health outcomes among children and adults in Norway [38-40]. In Tromsø municipality in 2012, 4.8% of immigrants were aged 16-19 years [41]. In our study sample 6% of girls and 5% of boys reported that they were born outside Norway, indicating that our sample might be representative of the national population with respect to immigrant background.
A pretested, electronic, self-administered questionnaire was employed to collect data on SEP indicators and most of the covariates. Structure and content of the questionnaire were to a large degree adapted from the Tromsø Study among adults [42]. In general, questionnaires are prone to bias, especially regarding sensitive data, like alcohol intake and tobacco use. However, self-administration has been shown to decrease reporting bias [43].

Previous Norwegian study investigated social inequalities in health behavior among adolescents and suggested that adolescent's own study program in upper secondary school is a potential proxy of an adolescent's socioeconomic position [44]. Therefore, study program was chosen as the SEP indicator in this study. In the Norwegian school system, there is a lawful right, but not an obligation, to complete 1 year of upper secondary school. Students can apply for a general studies program, including a sub-path of a sports, or a vocational study program. The general studies program gives possibility for admission to higher education after three years. At the vocational study program, normally after two years of school training, a student goes in apprenticeship for two years. The completion rates (by normative length of study) differ according to study path (75% in general study program, 37% in vocational study program, during 2013-2018, respectively), and varies by sex, geographic area and parent's education. Adolescents' choice of study program has been shown to correlate with their social background [45] and health-related behaviors [44, 46]. As in the present study, the same previous Norwegian study also indicated that parents' education and occupation are applicable when investigating social inequalities among adolescents [44]. In addition, in Norway, previous studies also have shown that mother's and father's education associated with child's health behavior and adverse health events [47, 48]. We had no data on parents' occupation, therefore, parents' employment was used as a substitute variable in this study, as it has been associated with health and health behaviors among adolescents [49].

In this study, one of the indicators of the general health condition, overweight and obesity, body weight (expressed by BMI), was measured. BMI is commonly used as an indicator of overweight and obesity. Indeed, BMI is a ratio between weight and height, and it cannot distinguish between body fatness and fat-free mass [50]. On the other hand, it has been shown that BMI-for-age was a good indicator of body fatness, especially among heavier children and adolescents [51]. In addition to BMI, we used waist circumference as another general health indicator. Waist circumference is a specific measure to define abdominal fatness [52]. In our study, the two approaches gave quite similar results, but using BMI presented results with a higher Nagelkerke R² implying that the variability of the studied independent variables explained to a greater extent the variability in BMI than in waist circumference.

In this study, the indicator of the oral health condition, dental caries, was untreated caries in dentine (D₃₅T), it was measured and expressed as DT component of DMFT index. The DT component reflects the treatment need, or in other words, the severity of disease, but does not take into consideration dental caries experience (filled and missing due to caries teeth).

Adolescence has been described as a key period for developing health behaviors, thus determining future health. West discussed that it is also a period during which social equality in health is more predominant than inequality, and he suggested that this might be related to the youth culture, secondary school, and school friends that become more important for health equality/inequality than parents' SEP [53]. Despite the equalizing effect, school creates new inequalities related to study program and/or climate and gender [54]. In our study, the association between study program, one of the SEP indicator, and untreated dental caries proved to be statistically significant among girls. Among boys, the statistically significant associations were observed between study program and all the outcomes, i.e. body weight, waist circumference and untreated dental caries. It must be noted, that adolescents enrolled in the sports program may be healthier because of the fact that they are in this study program. Previous prospective Norwegian cohort study demonstrated social inequalities in health behaviors only among girls based on admission to given study programs [44], and our study showed social inequalities based on study program among boys. This finding may be explained that, in Norway, the choice of study program has been shown to depend mainly on the occupation of role models, role models for adolescents being mostly their friends and acquaintances, persons from the same social environment [55]. Therefore, one may assume that not the study program itself is a risk factor of poor general and oral health, but the social context that leads the adolescent to choose a particular program.

Lower mother's education, another SEP indicator used in this study, was associated with higher BMI only among boys. This finding might refer to gender orientation in adolescents' behavior. It might be that boys are less mature and more dependent on their mothers, as mothers have been shown to be "the prime mover in the health and welfare of the child" [56]. Our findings regarding mother's education and boy's health is in contrast to a study from the USA, in which father's health-risk lifestyle, which consisted of diet, physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, and sleep, affected boys' health-risk behavior, while mother's behavior affected girls' behavior; however parents' health-risk lifestyle was not included in this study [57]. It has been shown in Norway that father's occupation predicted changes in health behavior among 13-21-year-old girls [44]. We may speculate that father's occupation is linked to father's education, in the present study, higher father's education was associated with untreated dental caries among boys, and this finding is in contrast with the previously mentioned study. Even though it has been demonstrated that only few adolescents based their choice of the study program on their parents' opinions, the indirect influence may not be ruled out [55]. The associations between parents' education, and general and oral health conditions should be interpreted with caution given a high proportion of the adolescents who did not know or did not report the education level of their parents. Given the differences across genders of parents and children, future studies investigating social inequalities in adolescents should address the issue of gender in the relation between parents and their children.

A study in Hungary showed that incomplete parental employment (unemployed, retired, housewife) resulted in inconsistent associations; it was positively associated with health conditions, like depressive and psychosomatic symptoms, but negatively associated with behavioral factors, like smoking, drinking, and drug use among adolescents [49]. In the present study parents' employment, another SEP indicator, did not associate with any of the outcomes.

The above mentioned associations between adolescent's own study program and parents' education, and the outcomes confirmed our main hypothesis that social inequalities in general and oral health conditions differ by SEP indicators.

Among the same boys, the pattern of social inequalities in BMI and waist circumference resembled the pattern of social inequalities in untreated dental caries as illustrated in Figure 2. This finding confirms our second hypothesis, that social inequalities in general health are similar to social inequalities in oral health. One may deduce that overweight and obesity, and dental caries are related through common social structural determinants (in this case SEP indicator, study...
program) rather than direct oral-general health links; thus supporting the broader concept of social structural determinants as common risk factors for oral and general health. A recent study among chief dental officers showed that the majority of the countries acknowledged CRHFA by implementing shared preventive strategies for general and oral health, however CRHFA was interpreted too narrow as strategies focused mainly on intermediary determinants of health rather than social structural determinants of health inequalities [58]. Our results suggest that public health policymakers should focus on common preventive strategies for general and oral health that would address common social structural determinants.

Conclusions

Among Northern Norwegian adolescents, social inequalities in overweight and obesity, and dental caries followed adolescent’s own study program. The pattern of social inequalities in general health condition resembled the pattern of social inequalities in oral health condition among boys. This suggests that the relationship between overweight and obesity, and dental caries maybe be explained by common social structural determinants. These findings call for common preventive strategies for general and oral health addressing the social inequalities observed.
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Tables

Table 1. Socioeconomic position indicators, body weight, waist circumference, and untreated dental caries in dentine among the participants of The Tromsø Study Fit Futures 1 (FF1) stratified by sex.
| Characteristics                  | Girls      | Boys      |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|
|                                 | N (%)      | N (%)     |
| **Socioeconomic position indicators** |            |           |
| **Adolescent's own study program [†]** |            |           |
| General studies                 | 238 (51)   | 150 (31)  |
| Sports                          | 38 (8)     | 66 (13)   |
| Vocational                      | 188 (41)   | 278 (56)  |
| **Mother's education**          |            |           |
| Do not know                     | 103 (23)   | 140 (29)  |
| High school or less             | 156 (34)   | 157 (33)  |
| College less than 4 years       | 88 (19)    | 81 (17)   |
| College 4 years or more         | 111 (24)   | 104 (21)  |
| **Father's education**          |            |           |
| Do not know                     | 129 (29)   | 139 (29)  |
| High school or less             | 173 (38)   | 187 (40)  |
| College less than 4 years       | 60 (13)    | 63 (13)   |
| College 4 years or more         | 88 (20)    | 86 (18)   |
| **Parents' employment**         |            |           |
| Both parents work full time     | 252 (61)   | 266 (60)  |
| At least one parent does not work full time | 163 (39) | 174 (40) |
| **General health outcome**      |            |           |
| Body weight (BMI)*              | 464        | 494       |
| Underweight                     | 10 (2)     | 40 (8)    |
| Normal weight                   | 343 (74)   | 341 (69)  |
| Overweight                      | 78 (17)    | 76 (15)   |
| Obese                           | 33 (7)     | 37 (8)    |
| Waist circumference*            | 464        | 494       |
| Normal                          | 298 (64)   | 372 (75)  |
| High                            | 166 (36)   | 122 (25)  |
| **Oral health outcome**         |            |           |
| Untreated dental caries in dentine (D₃₅T)* |            |           |
| No (D₃₅T =0)                    | 296 (64)   | 273 (55)  |
| Yes (D₃₅T >0)                   | 168 (36)   | 221 (45)  |

Table 2. Crude and adjusted associations between age- and sex-adjusted body weight (body mass index, BMI) and socioeconomic position (SEP) indicators in the study sample, stratified by sex.
### Table 3. Crude and adjusted associations between age- and sex-adjusted waist circumference and socioeconomic position (SEP) indicators stratified by sex.

| Characteristic                | GIRLS (Crude POR (95% CI)) | BOYS (Crude POR (95% CI)) | GIRLS (Adjusted POR (95% CI)) | BOYS (Adjusted POR (95% CI)) |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Adolescent's own study program** |                            |                           |                               |                             |
| Vocational                   | 1                          | 1                         | 1                             | 1                           |
| General studies              | 0.66 (0.42-1.03)           | 0.69 (0.37-1.31)          | 0.41 (0.25-0.69)              | 0.42 (0.20-0.86)            |
| Sports                       | 0.37 (0.14-0.99)           | 0.42 (0.11-1.56)          | 0.19 (0.07-0.48)              | 0.24 (0.08-0.73)            |
| **Mother's education**       |                            |                           |                               |                             |
| College 4 year or more       | 1                          | 1                         | 1                             | 1                           |
| College less than 4 years    | 0.92 (0.47-1.77)           | 0.60 (0.24-1.48)          | 2.35 (1.07-5.18)              | 3.49 (1.29-9.49)            |
| High school or less          | 1.04 (0.59-1.83)           | 0.54 (0.23-1.28)          | 2.71 (1.35-5.45)              | 2.18 (0.87-5.50)            |
| Do not know                  | 0.95 (0.50-1.77)           | 0.39 (0.13-1.16)          | 2.86 (1.41-5.79)              | 1.24 (0.40-3.82)            |
| **Father's education**       |                            |                           |                               |                             |
| College 4 year or more       | 1                          | 1                         | 1                             | 1                           |
| College less than 4 years    | 1.77 (0.80-3.93)           | 2.35 (0.81-6.76)          | 0.86 (0.35-2.13)              | 0.45 (0.14-1.38)            |
| High school or less          | 1.56 (0.81-3.01)           | 2.04 (0.78-5.34)          | 1.68 (0.87-3.25)              | 0.89 (0.37-2.13)            |
| Do not know                  | 1.74 (0.88-3.44)           | 3.03 (0.96-9.53)          | 2.08 (1.05-4.10)              | 1.57 (0.49-5.00)            |
| **Parents' employment**      |                            |                           |                               |                             |
| Both full time               | 1.25 (0.79-1.98)           | 1.07 (0.60-1.93)          | 1.57 (1.00-2.46)              | 0.96 (0.55-1.71)            |
| At least 1 not full time     | 1.21 (0.62-2.47)           | 1.04 (0.41-2.67)          | 1.91 (1.02-3.58)              | 0.98 (0.38-2.57)            |

| Nagelkerke R² first (SEP) block | 0.056 | 0.118 |
| Nagelkerke R² whole model      | 0.117 | 0.164 |
Table 4. Crude and adjusted associations between untreated dental caries in dentine (D3,T) and socioeconomic position (SEP) indicators stratified by sex.

| Characteristic                          | GIRLS Crude POR (95% CI) | BOYS Crude POR (95% CI) | GIRLS Adjusted [vi] POR (95% CI) N=364 | BOYS Adjusted [vi] POR (95% CI) N=371 |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| **Socioeconomic position indicators**  |                           |                           |                                         |                                         |
| Adolescents t's own study program      |                           |                           |                                         |                                         |
| General studies                        | 0.41 (0.27-0.61)          | 0.50 (0.30-0.84)         | 0.53 (0.35-0.79)                       | 0.57 (0.32-0.99)                       |
| Sports                                 | 0.92 (0.46-1.85)          | 1.33 (0.59-3.00)         | 0.50 (0.29-0.87)                       | 0.47 (0.22-0.98)                       |
| Mother's education College 4 year or more | 1.02 (0.56-1.86)         | 1.15 (0.54-2.47)         | 1.18 (0.65-2.15)                       | 1.65 (0.75-3.65)                       |
| College less than 4 years              | 1.57 (0.94-2.61)          | 1.43 (0.70-2.92)         | 1.74 (1.05-2.90)                       | 1.93 (0.95-3.92)                       |
| High school or less                    |                           |                           |                                         |                                         |
| Do not know                            | 1.03 (0.58-1.82)          | 1.07 (0.43-2.66)         | 1.76 (1.05-2.96)                       | 1.48 (0.60-3.61)                       |
| Father's education College 4 year or more | 1.39 (0.67-2.88)         | 1.18 (0.48-2.86)         | 0.73 (0.37-1.41)                       | 0.46 (0.20-0.90)                       |
| College less than 4 years              |                           |                           |                                         |                                         |
| High school or less                    | 2.14 (1.21-3.78)          | 1.74 (0.81-3.72)         | 1.10 (0.66-1.84)                       | 0.57 (0.29-1.13)                       |
| Do not know                            | 1.78 (0.98-3.24)          | 1.08 (0.41-2.88)         | 1.14 (0.67-1.96)                       | 0.71 (0.29-1.74)                       |
| Parents' employment Both full time     | 1.01 (0.67-1.53)          | 0.85 (0.51-1.42)         | 1.66 (1.13-2.44)                       | 1.49 (0.94-2.36)                       |
| At least 1 not full time               |                           |                           |                                         |                                         |
| Nagelkerke R² first (SEP) block         | 0.087                     | 0.087                    |                                         |                                         |
| Nagelkerke R² whole model              | 0.129                     | 0.134                    |                                         |                                         |

[*] p<0.05 according to Chi-square test between girls and boys in FF1.

0_normal weight (corresponding to adult BMI value <25 kg/m²), 1_overweight/obese (corresponding to adult BMI value ≥25 kg/m²)
Crude prevalence odds ratios are presented according to univariable and adjusted prevalence odds ratios according to multivariable binary logistic regression analyses. The number of participants in each analysis differs due to missing data.

[i] Adjusted by all SEP indicators, age, demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that proved statistical significance p≤0.2 in univariable binary logistic regression analysis (tooth brushing frequency, psychological therapy, vitamin D).

[ii] Adjusted by all SEP indicators, age, demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that proved statistical significance p≤0.2 in univariable binary logistic regression analysis (tooth brushing frequency, psychological therapy, vitamin D).

[iii] Adjusted by all SEP indicators, age, demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that proved statistical significance p≤0.2 in univariable binary logistic regression analysis (alcohol intake, snuff use, sugar-containing sweets and beverages, other dietary factors, tooth brushing frequency, vitamin D).

[iv] Adjusted by all SEP indicators, age, demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that proved statistical significance p≤0.2 in univariable binary logistic regression analysis (tooth brushing frequency, dental satisfaction and self-esteem).

[v] Adjusted by all SEP indicators, age, demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that proved statistical significance p≤0.2 in univariable binary logistic regression analysis (alcohol use, snuff use, physical activity, other dietary factors, tooth brushing frequency).

[vi] Adjusted by all SEP indicators, age, demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that proved statistical significance p≤0.2 in univariable binary logistic regression analysis (tooth brushing frequency, dental satisfaction and self-esteem).

[vii] Adjusted by all SEP indicators, age, demographic characteristics (independent of significance), and behavioral, biological and psychosocial factors that proved statistical significance p≤0.2 in univariable binary logistic regression analysis (alcohol intake, smoking, snuff use, physical activity, sugar-containing sweets and beverages, other dietary factors, tooth brushing frequency, psychological therapy, sleep sufficiency).

Figures
Figure 1

Social inequalities in body weight, waist circumference and dental caries among girls and boys based on the socioeconomic position (SEP) indicator (adolescent’s own study program) in the Tromsø Study Fit Futures 1. The prevalence odds ratios derived from the multivariable binary logistic regression analyses. * p<0.05 general studies program versus vocational program, and sports program versus vocational program. ** p<0.05 general studies program versus vocational program. NS – not statistically significant
Figure 2
The conceptual framework of the study, based on the WHO conceptual framework on the social determinants of health [4].

**Supplementary Files**
This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- OHEAD1900886R2SupplementTable2withoutchanges.pdf
- OHEAD1900886R2SupplementTable1withoutchanges.pdf
- STROBEchecklistcrossectional04.03.2020.doc