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Abstract. Spin Density Matrix Elements (SDMEs) describing the angular distribution of exclusive $\rho^0$ electroproduction and decay are determined in the HERMES experiment with 27.6 GeV beam energy and unpolarized hydrogen and deuterium targets. Eight (fifteen) SDMEs that are related (unrelated) to the longitudinal polarization of the beam are extracted in the kinematic region $1 \text{ GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 7 \text{ GeV}^2$, $3.0 \text{ GeV} < W < 6.3 \text{ GeV}$, and $-t < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$. Within the given experimental uncertainties, a hierarchy of relative sizes of helicity amplitudes is observed. Kinematic dependences of all SDMEs on $Q^2$ and $t$ are presented, as well as the longitudinal-to-transverse $\rho^0$ electroproduction cross section ratio as a function of $Q^2$. A small but statistically significant deviation from the hypothesis of $s$-channel helicity conservation is observed. An indication is seen of a contribution of unnatural-parity-exchange amplitudes; these amplitudes are naturally generated with a quark-exchange mechanism.

PACS. 13.60.-r, 13.60.Le, 13.88.+e
1 Introduction

In exclusive production of vector mesons such as $\rho$, $\omega$ or $\phi$ from deep-inelastic lepton scattering (see Fig. 1), measurements of angular and momentum distributions of the scattered lepton and vector meson decay products allow one to study the production mechanism and, in a model-dependent way, the structure of the nucleon.

For more than 40 years, many basic features of vector meson production by a virtual photon have been successfully explained in terms of the Vector Meson Dominance (VMD) model [1, 2]. In this model, the virtual photon fluctuates into a vector meson whose interaction with the nucleon could be described, for example, using Regge phenomenology. More recently, in the context of perturbative Quantum Chromo-Dynamics (pQCD), exclusive meson production at sufficiently large values of the photon virtuality $Q^2$ is assumed to be dominated by so-called handbag-diagrams (see Fig. 2) that involve various non-perturbative nucleon structure functions, known as Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs) [3, 4, 5, 6].

In pQCD, the common model of the production of vector mesons can be considered as three consecutive steps: i) dissociation of the virtual photon into a quark-antiquark ($q\bar{q}$) pair, ii) scattering of the pair on a nucleon (nucleus), iii) formation of the observed vector meson from the $q\bar{q}$-pair. The interaction of the $q\bar{q}$-pair with the nucleon can proceed via two distinct mechanisms. The first one, two-gluon exchange, is described by the Feynman diagram shown in Fig. 2a. This process transfers the same quantum numbers as pomeron exchange in the Regge picture, and is anticipated to
exhibit a similar phenomenology. The second mechanism is described by the exchange of a $q\bar{q}$-pair, also possibly with additional gluons connecting them, and is called quark-exchange (Fig. 2b). The corresponding process in Regge phenomenology [7] is the exchange of “secondary” reggeons, such as $\rho$, $\omega$, $f_2$ and $a_0$ in the case of natural-parity exchange (NPE), in which the spin $J$ and parity $P$ associated with the reggeon trajectory are $J^P = 0^+, 1^-, 2^+, \ldots$, or $\pi$, $a_1$, $b_1$ mesons with $J^P = 0^-, 1^+ , \ldots$ in the case of “unnatural-parity” exchange (UPE). In the GPD formalism, NPE (UPE) processes are described by $H$ and $E$ ($\bar{H}$ and $\bar{E}$) GPDs. In the intermediate energy range of the HERMES experiment ($3\, \text{GeV} < W < 6\, \text{GeV}$, where $W$ is the invariant mass of the photon-nucleon system) either Regge phenomenology or pQCD may be applied to describe exclusive vector meson production. The interpretations they offer of the experimental data are often complementary and consistent.

The main focus of this work is on the measurement of Spin Density Matrix Elements (SDMEs) of the $\rho^0$ meson, which describe the distribution of final spin states of this produced vector meson. These elements depend on amplitudes for the angle- and momentum-dependent transition processes between initial spin states of the virtual photon and final spin states of the produced vector meson. The values of SDMEs serve to establish the hierarchy of helicity amplitudes that are commonly used to describe exclusive $\rho^0$ production. In this way the relative importance of the various $\gamma^* \rightarrow \rho^0$ transitions is revealed. Two main ordering principles are observed in vector meson leptonoproduction, $s$-channel helicity conservation (SCHC) and the dominance of NPE over UPE mechanisms. SCHC implies that only $\gamma^* \rightarrow \rho^0$ transitions with the same helicities of virtual photon and $\rho^0$ occur in the reaction when considered in the “hadronic” center-of-mass frame (defined below). These concepts apply both in the reggeon-exchange picture and in pQCD. In particular, we note that a signal of UPE is evidence of quark-antiquark exchange (Fig. 2b), as the pomeron has natural parity.

At high energies pomeron exchange dominates, and secondary-reggeon exchanges with natural parity are suppressed by a factor $\sim M/W$ [7] in their amplitudes; $M$ is an energy scale in Regge phenomenology chosen to be equal to the nucleon mass. Also suppressed, by a factor $\sim (M/W)^2$ [7], are the most important unnatural-parity exchanges mediated by $\pi$, $a_1$, and $b_1$ reggeons. Therefore substantial UPE contributions can be expected only at lower values of $W$.

In the pQCD framework, the leading-twist contribution describes the transition of longitudinal photons to longitudinal vector mesons, which is $s$-channel helicity conserving and corresponds to natural-parity exchange. As it is not agreed how strongly the various other contributions are suppressed at a given energy, measurements of SDMEs in the HERMES kinematics help to distinguish these contributions and are of particular interest. Non-conservation of $s$-channel helicity in exclusive $\rho^0$ production was already observed at collider energies [8,9,10]. At lower energies it was observed at HERMES [11], and for exclusive $\omega$ production at CLAS [12].

At sufficiently large values of $W$, experiments are typically sensitive to partons that carry small nucleon momentum fraction $x$, where the parton density in the nucleon is dominated by gluons. High-energy data of H1 and ZEUS [8,9,10] are well described by two-gluon exchange. At lower values of $W$, larger values of $x$ are probed, where the parton density in the nucleon receives significant contributions from quarks. Indeed, a contribution from the quark-exchange mechanism has been suggested to be necessary to describe exclusive $\rho^0$ production at intermediate virtual-photon energies, as in the case of the HERMES data [13,14,15,16,17] and corresponding calculations [18,19,20,21].

In leptonoproduction, the spin transfer from the virtual photon to the vector meson is commonly described by helicity amplitudes, from which SDMEs can be constructed. The detection of the scattered lepton and the vector meson decay products allows one to reconstruct the full reaction kinematics and the three-dimensional angular distribution of the production and decay of the $\rho^0$ meson. For an unpolarized or helicity-balanced lepton beam, the expression for this distribution contains a set of “unpolarized” SDMEs as coefficients. An additional set of “polarized” SDMEs, which appear in products with the beam polarization in the expression for the angular distribution with polarized beam, can be determined if information on the longitudinal polarization of the lepton beam is available [22,23]. In a very recent new classification scheme of SDMEs [24], also the cases of longitudinal and transverse target polarizations are described. However, the analysis in this paper follows the representation introduced in Ref. [22].

Early theoretical calculations [2] of SDMEs in vector meson production were based on the VMD model. More recent calculations combining this model with pQCD models [25,26,27,28,29,30] and with Regge phenomenology [31], deal mainly with high-energy HERA collider data. A contemporary account of the various
theoretical approaches is given in Ref. [13]. Recent model calculations based on GPDs present SDMEs for both high and intermediate energies, considering first only two-gluon exchange [32], and recently incorporating quark exchange [33, 34].

In this analysis, the beam polarization is used for the first time in an SDME extraction, thereby making possible the determination of the additional 8 polarized SDMEs. The high-statistics data samples accumulated at HERMES in the years 1996–2005 on both hydrogen and deuterium targets are used to determine $\rho^0$ decay angle distributions with an accuracy superior to that of the previously published HERMES $^3$He data from 1995 [15] and of the preliminary HERMES results from hydrogen data collected in 1996–1997 [11, 35]. The improved statistical accuracy permits the study of the nature of the exchange mechanism, and in particular the testing of the hypothesis of s-channel helicity conservation. The availability of both hydrogen and deuterium targets offers the possibility to search for significant contributions of secondary reggeon exchange with isospin $I = 1$ and natural parity.

The structure of this paper is as follows. The kinematics, SDME formalism, and HERMES experiment are described in the next three sections. The analysis procedure including event selection and background subtraction is discussed in section 5. The extraction of the SDMEs from the data using a Monte Carlo based maximum likelihood method is described in section 6. The experimental results on SDMEs integrated over the entire observed kinematic region are presented in section 7, and their kinematic dependences are shown in section 8. An indication of the contribution of unnatural-parity-exchange amplitudes is discussed in section 9. Contributions of helicity-flip and UPE amplitudes to the cross section are estimated in section 10. The ratio of longitudinal to transverse $\rho^0$ electroproduction cross-sections is presented in section 11. The results are summarized in section 12.

## 2 Kinematics

Figure 1 identifies the kinematic variables of $\rho^0$ lepto-production,

$$\gamma^* + N \to \rho^0 + N',$$

where $N(N')$ denotes the initial (scattered) nucleon. The four-momenta of the incoming and outgoing lepton are denoted by $k$ and $k'$, the difference of which defines the four-momentum $q$ of the virtual photon $\gamma^*$. In the laboratory $(lab)$ frame, $\theta$ is the scattering angle between the incoming and outgoing lepton, whose incoming and outgoing energies are denoted by $E$ and $E'$. The photon virtuality is given by:

$$Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')^2_{lab} \approx 4 \, E \, E' \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2},$$

which is positive in lepto-production. In this equation the electron rest mass is neglected. The four-momenta of the target nucleon and of the recoiling baryon are denoted by $p$ and $p'$, respectively, and both have rest mass $M$ of the nucleon, irrespective of target.

The Bjorken scaling variable $x_B$ is defined as:

$$x_B = \frac{Q^2}{2 \, p \cdot q} = \frac{Q^2}{2 \, M \nu},$$

so that $\nu$ represents the energy transfer from the incoming lepton to the virtual photon in the laboratory frame. The squared invariant mass of the photon-nucleon system is given by:

$$W^2 = (q + p)^2 = M^2 + 2 \, M \nu - Q^2.$$

The squared four-momentum transfer from virtual photon to vector meson equals that between the momenta of the initial and final nucleons or nuclei,

$$t = (q - v)^2 = (p - p')^2,$$

where $v$ is the four-momentum of the vector meson. The variables $t, t_0$, and

$$t' = t - t_0$$

are always negative, where $-t_0$ represents the smallest kinematically allowed value of $-t$ at fixed $\nu$ and $Q^2$. In the photon-nucleon center-of-mass frame considered here, the condition $t = t_0$ corresponds to the case where the momentum of the produced $\rho^0$ is collinear with that of the $\gamma^*$. Typically for exclusive processes at intermediate and high energies, $|t_0|$ is much smaller than $|t|$ and therefore $t' \approx t$.

At very low $t$, the approximation $-t' \approx v_T^2$ holds, where $v_T$ is the transverse momentum of the vector meson with respect to the direction of the virtual photon, i.e., the subtraction of $t_0$ removes the contribution of the longitudinal component of the momentum transfer.

---

1 This kinematic observable is to be distinguished from the variable $x$ of the quark parton model, which represents in the GPD formalism the average longitudinal momentum fraction of the probed parton in the initial and final states.
Fig. 3. Definition of angles in the process $\gamma^* N \to \rho^0 N' \to \pi^+ \pi^- N'$ [36]. Here $\Phi$ is the angle between the $\rho^0$ production plane and the lepton scattering plane in the “hadronic” center-of-mass system of virtual photon and target nucleon. $\Theta$ and $\phi$ are polar and azimuthal angles of the decay $\pi^+$ in the vector meson rest frame.

The variable $\epsilon$ represents the ratio of fluxes of longitudinal and transverse virtual photons:

$$\epsilon = \frac{1 - y - y^2 \frac{Q^2}{4E^2}}{1 - y + \frac{1}{4}y^2 \left( \frac{Q^2}{2E^2} + 2 \right)} \approx \frac{1}{1 + 2(1 + \frac{\nu}{Q^2}) \tan^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2}}$$

with $y = p \cdot q / p \cdot k \approx \nu / E$.

The “exclusivity” of $\rho^0$ production is characterized by the variable

$$\Delta E = \frac{M_X^2 - M^2}{2M} \approx E_V - (E_{\pi^+} + E_{\pi^-}),$$

where $M_X$ is the invariant mass of the recoiling system, $E_V = \nu + t / (2M)$ is the energy of the exclusively produced $\rho^0$ meson, and $(E_{\pi^+} + E_{\pi^-})$ is the sum of the energies of the two pions. For exclusive vector meson production [1], $M_X = M$ holds and hence $\Delta E = 0$, given perfect detector and beam energy resolution.

Angles used for the description of the process $\gamma^* N \to \rho^0 N' \to \pi^+ \pi^- N'$ are defined according to Ref. [36] and presented in Fig. 3. The helicity amplitudes are defined in the “hadronic” center-of-mass system of virtual photon and target nucleon, where the $Z$-axis is directed along the virtual photon three-momentum $q$. The $Y$-axis of the right-handed system is parallel to $q \times v$. It is the normal to the $\rho^0$ production plane spanned by the three-momenta $q$ and $v$, of the virtual photon and $\rho^0$-meson, respectively. The angle $\Phi$ between the $\rho^0$-production plane and the lepton-scattering plane in the “hadronic” center-of-mass system is specified by:

$$\cos \Phi = \frac{(q \times v) \cdot (k \times k')}{|q \times v| \cdot |k \times k'|},$$

$$\sin \Phi = \frac{[(q \times v) \times (k \times k')] \cdot q}{|q \times v| \cdot |k \times k'| \cdot q|}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

The angle $\phi$ between the $\rho^0$-production plane and $\rho^0$-decay plane is defined by:

$$\cos \phi = \frac{(q \times v) \cdot (v \times p_{\pi^+})}{|q \times v| \cdot |v \times p_{\pi^+}|},$$

$$\sin \phi = \frac{[(q \times v) \times (v \times p_{\pi^+})] \cdot (p_{\pi^+} \times v)}{|(q \times v) \times (v \times p_{\pi^+})| \cdot |p_{\pi^+} \times v|},$$

where $p_{\pi^+}$ is the three-momentum of the positive decay pion in the “hadronic” center-of-mass system.

The polar angle $\Theta$ of the decay $\pi^+$ in the vector meson rest frame, with the $z$-axis aligned opposite to the outgoing nucleon momentum $P'$ and the $y$-axis parallel to $Y$ and directed along $P' \times q$, is defined by:

$$\cos \Theta = \frac{-P' \cdot P_{\pi^+}}{|P'| \cdot |P_{\pi^+}|}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

with $P_{\pi^+}$ is the three-momentum of the positive decay pion.

Note that the relation between this notation and the notations of the so-called “Trento convention” [37] and Ref. [24] is: $\Phi = -\varphi_{[24]} = -\varphi_h[37]$, $\phi = \varphi_{[24]}$, $\Theta = \vartheta/\varphi_{[24]}$.

### 3 Formalism

#### 3.1 Helicity Amplitudes

Exclusive vector meson leptonproduction [11] is commonly described by helicity amplitudes $F_{\lambda_V \lambda_N' \lambda_N}$, defined in the “hadronic” center-of-mass system of virtual photon and target nucleon [22] (see Fig. 3). Helicity indices $\lambda_N$ and $\lambda_V$ describe the spin states of virtual photon and $\rho$ meson, respectively, while $\lambda_N$ ($\lambda_N'$) is the helicity of the initial (scattered) nucleon. The helicity amplitude can be expressed as the scalar product of the matrix element of the electromagnetic current vector $J^\mu$ and the virtual-photon polarization vector $e_{\mu}^{(\lambda_N)}$:

$$F_{\lambda_V \lambda_N' \lambda_N} = (-1)^{\lambda_N} \langle v_{\lambda V} p' \lambda_N' | J^\mu | p \lambda_N \rangle e_{\mu}^{(\lambda_N)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $e_{\mu}^{(\pm)}$ describes the transverse and $e_{\mu}^{(0)}$ the longitudinal polarization of the virtual photon. The ket vector $| p \lambda_N \rangle$ corresponds to the incident nucleon and
the bra vector \( \langle \nu \lambda V; p' \lambda' N | \) describes the final state of the \( \rho^0 \) meson and scattered nucleon. The amplitudes depend on \( Q^2, W \) and \( t \). For convenience, these dependences may be omitted in the following.

The amplitudes obey the relation \[ F_{-\lambda V; -\lambda' N; -\lambda; -\lambda N} = ( -1 )^{(\lambda V - \lambda' N) - (\lambda - \lambda N)} F_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} \tag{14} \]
which is a consequence of parity conservation in the strong and electromagnetic interactions.

### 3.2 Natural and Unnatural-Parity-Exchange Amplitudes

A helicity amplitude \( F \) can be decomposed into an amplitude \( T \) for natural-parity exchange and an amplitude \( U \) for unnatural-parity exchange:

\[
F_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} = T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} + U_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N},
\]

with

\[
T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} = \frac{1}{2} ( F_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} + ( -1 )^{\lambda V + \lambda N} F_{-\lambda V; -\lambda' N; -\lambda; -\lambda N} ), \tag{16}
\]

\[
U_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} = \frac{1}{2} ( F_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} - ( -1 )^{\lambda V + \lambda N} F_{-\lambda V; -\lambda' N; -\lambda; -\lambda N} ). \tag{17}
\]

From definitions (16), (17) and relation (14) the amplitudes \( T \) and \( U \) obey the symmetry relations \[ \sum \]

\[
T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} = ( -1 )^{\lambda V - \lambda N} T_{\lambda N; \lambda' N; \lambda N} = ( -1 )^{\lambda N - \lambda N} T_{\lambda N; -\lambda' N; -\lambda N}, \tag{18}
\]

\[
U_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} = ( -1 )^{\lambda V - \lambda N} U_{\lambda N; \lambda' N; \lambda N} = ( -1 )^{\lambda N - \lambda N} U_{\lambda N; -\lambda' N; -\lambda N}. \tag{19}
\]

For convenience, we introduce the abbreviation \[ \sum \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda N} \] for the summation over the final nucleon helicity indices and averaging over the initial spin states of the nucleon. In the following the nucleon helicity indices of the amplitudes are implicit, but will be included when required for clarity.

For NPE amplitudes, transitions diagonal in nucleon spin \( (\lambda' N = \lambda N) \) are dominant. Furthermore, since for scattering off an unpolarized target there is no interference between nucleon spin-flip and non-spin-flip amplitudes, the fractional contribution of nucleon spin-flip NPE amplitudes to SDMEs is of the order of \( -t'/(4M^2) \), which is small at low \( t' \). In this case, neglecting the small nucleon spin-flip amplitudes \( T_{\lambda V \pm 1/2; \lambda' N \mp 1/2} \) and using (18) reduces the summation and averaging \[ \sum \] to one term:

\[
\sum T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N}^* = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda N} T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N}^* \]
\[
= T_{\lambda V 1/2; \lambda' N 1/2} T_{\lambda V 1/2; \lambda' N 1/2}^* + T_{\lambda V -1/2; \lambda' N -1/2} T_{\lambda V -1/2; \lambda' N -1/2}^*
\]
\[
= T_{\lambda V 1/2; \lambda' N 1/2} T_{\lambda V 1/2; \lambda' N 1/2}^* = T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N}^*, \tag{20}
\]

where \( T^* \) represents the complex conjugate quantity.

For UPE amplitudes in general, the dominance of diagonal transitions \( (\lambda N = \lambda' N) \) cannot be proven, so that no relation similar to (20) can be derived and therefore \[ \sum \] is always used.

For unpolarized targets, there is no interference between NPE and UPE amplitudes \[ \sum \] as

\[
\sum T_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N} U_{\lambda V; \lambda' N; \lambda N}^* = 0, \tag{21}
\]

following from relations (18) and (19) without additional assumptions.

### 3.3 Spin Density Matrices of Photon and Vector Meson

The photon spin density matrix normalized to unit flux of transverse photons comprises the unpolarized \( (U) \) and polarized \( (L) \) matrices, with \( P_{\text{beam}} \) being the longitudinal polarization of the beam:

\[
\rho_{\lambda V, \lambda' N}^{U,L} = \rho_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{U} + P_{\text{beam}} \rho_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{L}, \tag{22}
\]

\[
\rho_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{U,L} (\epsilon, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \epsilon (1 + \epsilon) e^{i \Phi} & \epsilon e^{-2i \Phi} \\ \epsilon e^{2i \Phi} & \epsilon (1 + \epsilon) e^{-i \Phi} \end{array} \right), \tag{23}
\]

\[
\rho_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{U,L} (\epsilon, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 + \epsilon & \epsilon e^{-i \Phi} \\ \epsilon e^{i \Phi} & 0 \end{array} \right). \tag{24}
\]

The spin density matrix \( \rho_{\lambda V, \lambda' N}^{U,L} \) of the produced vector meson is related to that of the virtual photon, \( \rho_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{U,L} \), through the von Neumann formula:

\[
\rho_{\lambda V, \lambda' N} = \frac{1}{2N_{\lambda V, \lambda' N}} \sum_{\lambda N} F_{\lambda V, \lambda' N; \lambda N} \rho_{\lambda, \lambda'}^{U,L} F_{\lambda V, \lambda' N; \lambda N}^*, \tag{25}
\]

\[ \text{The adjectives “(un)polarized” are used here with the same meaning as when applied to SDMEs.} \]
where \( F_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda} \) denotes the helicity amplitude of the \( \gamma^* N \to \rho^0 N \) transition defined in \( [39] \). The normalization factor is given by
\[
N = N_T + cN_L, \quad (26)
\]
with
\[
N_T = \sum (|T_{11}|^2 + |T_{01}|^2 + |T_{-11}|^2)
+ |U_{11}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2 + |U_{-11}|^2), \quad (27)
\]
\[
N_L = \sum (|T_{00}|^2 + 2|T_{10}|^2 + 2|U_{10}|^2). \quad (28)
\]
Equation (28) is obtained by using symmetry relations
\( [13, 24] \) that describe the angular distribution of
\( \gamma \) mesons: unpolarized, linearly polarized in two orthogonal
\( \gamma \) directions, and circularly polarized, respectively. For
these cases \( N_\alpha = N_T \). Vector meson production by
longitudinal virtual photons corresponds to \( \alpha = 4 \) in
\( [20] \) and \( N_\alpha = N_L \). The interference between the
amplitudes of vector meson production by transverse and
longitudinal virtual photons is described by \( [20] \) for
\( \alpha = 5, 6, 7, \) and 8 with \( N_\alpha = \sqrt{N_T N_L} \).

3.4 Cross Sections

The differential cross section of the reaction \( \gamma^* N \to \rho^0 N \to \pi^+ \pi^- \) is given by
\[
\frac{d\sigma_{f\text{ull}}(W,Q^2)}{d\phi d\phi \cos \Theta} = \frac{f(W,Q^2)}{4\pi}
\times \sum \frac{F_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda}}{g_{\lambda \lambda, \lambda \lambda}} (\epsilon, \Phi) F_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda}^{U+L}
\times Y_{1\lambda \nu} (\phi, \cos \Theta) Y_{1\lambda \nu}^{*} (\phi, \cos \Theta), \quad (30)
\]
in terms of \( g_{\lambda \lambda, \lambda \lambda} \), the virtual-photon spin density
matrix, the helicity amplitudes \( F_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda} \) describing the
transition of the virtual photon with helicity \( \lambda \gamma \)
to the vector meson with helicity \( \lambda \nu \), and the spherical
harmonics
\( Y_{1m} (\phi, \cos \Theta) \), \( m = \pm 1, 0 \) (defined as
in \( [20] [13] [24] \)) that describe the angular distribution of
the pions from the decay \( \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \). It is assumed
here that the branching ratio of the \( \rho^0 \)-meson decay
into \( \pi^+ \pi^- \) is 100%. The kinematic factor
\[
f(W,Q^2) = \frac{1}{16\pi(\nu^2 + Q^2)} \quad (31)
\]
in \( [30] \) accounts for the fact that the flux of transverse
photons in electroproduction is not unity (see Ref. [22]
for the relation of the differential virtual-photon cross
section to the differential electroproduction cross section).

The singly differential cross section \( \frac{d\sigma_{f\text{ull}}}{dt} \) for \( \rho^0 \)
meson production is obtained by integrating \( [30] \) over
\( \Phi, \phi, \cos \Theta \). The integration over \( \Phi \) eliminates the
interference between contributions of transverse and
longitudinal photons and makes the photon density
matrix diagonal. For this case, the full differential cross
section becomes the linear combination of the cross
sections \( \frac{d\sigma_{f\text{ull}}}{dt} \) and \( \frac{d\sigma_{I\text{nt}}}{dt} \) for vector meson production with
transverse and longitudinal photons, respectively:
\[
\frac{d\sigma_{f\text{ull}}}{dt} = \epsilon \frac{d\sigma_{I\text{nt}}}{dt} + \frac{d\sigma_{L\text{nt}}}{dt}, \quad (32)
\]
where
\[
\frac{d\sigma_i}{dt} (W,Q^2,t) = f(W,Q^2)N_i(W,Q^2,t), \quad (33)
\]
for \( i = L, T \), where \( N_T \) and \( N_L \) are defined in \( [20] \) and
\( [28] \), respectively.

The “differential” longitudinal-to-transverse cross
section ratio is defined as:
\[
R(W,Q^2,t) \equiv \frac{d\sigma_L}{dt}/\frac{d\sigma_T}{dt} = \frac{N_L}{N_T}. \quad (34)
\]
The complete representation for \( R \) in terms of helicity
amplitudes is obtained by inserting \( [30] \) and \( [27] \)
into \( [30] \). Approximate expressions for \( R \) related to SCHC
or NPE will be discussed in section 11.

3.5 Accessible Spin Density Matrix Elements

For an unpolarized target and a longitudinally polarized
beam, the 3-dimensional angular distribution of
\( \rho^0 \) production and decay is described by 26 matrix
elements \( \rho_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda} \) \( [20] \). If the experiment can be performed
only at one beam energy, the matrix elements \( \rho_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda} \)
cannot be disentangled, so that only 23 elements are accessible. It is customary to extract from the
experimental data the following elements:
\[
r_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda} = (\rho_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda} + \epsilon R_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda})/(1 + \epsilon R), \quad (35)
\]
where
\[
r_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda} \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, \quad (1 + \epsilon R),
\]
\[\sqrt{R_{\lambda \nu, \lambda \lambda}} \quad \alpha = 5, 6, 7, 8. \]
From now on, we will designate $r_{\lambda_V' \lambda_V}$ and $r_{\lambda_V' \lambda_V}^\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1-3, 5-8$) as the Spin Density Matrix Elements (SDMEs).

In Appendix A, (44)-(54), the SDMEs are expressed in terms of NPE and UPE amplitudes, as obtained by combining (47) and (48).

3.6 Extraction of SDMEs from Measured Angular Distributions

Measurement of the 3-dimensional $\rho^0$ production and decay angular distribution

$$\mathcal{W}^{U+L}(W, Q^2, t, \Phi, \phi, \cos \Theta) = \frac{d\sigma_{\text{fall}}}{dt\,d\Phi\,d\phi\,d\cos \Theta} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{fall}}}{dt}$$ (36)

reveals the helicity structure of the $\gamma^* N \rightarrow \rho^0 N$ transition. Its integral over the variables $\Phi, \phi,$ and $\cos \Theta$ is equal to unity. The $W, Q^2$ and $t$ dependences of $\mathcal{W}^{U+L}$ are contained in the corresponding dependences of the SDMEs $r_{\lambda_V' \lambda_V}^\alpha$. The full angular dependence of $\mathcal{W}^{U+L}(\Phi, \phi, \cos \Theta)$, as a linear function of the SDMEs $r_{\lambda_V' \lambda_V}^\alpha$, is given in (47) as derived in Ref. [22]. (Note that these formulae are on the next page.)

3.7 $s$-Channel Helicity Conservation.

The measurement of SDMEs allows the determination of the extent to which $s$-channel helicity is conserved for a given process and kinematic conditions. SCHC implies that the contributions from all non-diagonal transitions $F_{\lambda_V' \lambda_V; \lambda_V' \lambda_V}$ with $\lambda_V \neq \lambda_V$ are zero. In terms of NPE and UPE amplitudes, only $T_{00}, T_{11},$ and $U_{11}$ remain. As a consequence, all spin density matrix elements vanish except the unpolarized SDMEs $r_{00}^0$, $r_{1-1}^1$, $\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^2\}$, $\text{Re}\{r_{10}^5\}$, $\text{Im}\{r_{10}^6\}$, and the polarized ones $\text{Im}\{r_{10}^7\}$ and $\text{Re}\{r_{10}^8\}$, as can be seen from (77) of Appendix A. If SCHC holds, SDMEs are not independent, as the following relations apply:

$$r_{1-1}^1 = -\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^2\},$$
$$\text{Re}\{r_{10}^5\} = -\text{Im}\{r_{10}^6\},$$
$$\text{Re}\{r_{10}^8\} = \text{Im}\{r_{10}^7\}.$$ (40-42)

The measurement of SDMEs also allows the determination of the extent to which the unnatural-parity-exchange mechanism is relevant for a given process and kinematic conditions. If natural-parity exchange dominates, so that the amplitude $U_{11}$ can be neglected, an additional relation is obtained:

$$1 - r_{00}^0 = 2r_{1-1}^1 = -2\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^2\}.$$ (43)

4 The HERMES Experiment

The HERMES experiment at DESY used a 27.6 GeV longitudinally polarized positron or electron beam impinging on pure hydrogen or deuterium gas targets internal to the HERA storage ring. Parts of the data set were collected with longitudinally or transversely polarized targets, the polarization of which was flipped approximately every minute. The average over the target polarization values was confirmed to be consistent with zero, as required for the extraction of SDMEs in this analysis. The lepton beam was transversely self-polarized by the emission of synchrotron radiation [35]. Longitudinal polarization at the interaction point was achieved by spin rotators located upstream and downstream of the HERMES apparatus. For both positive and negative beam helicities, the beam polarization was continuously measured by two Compton polarimeters [39,40]. The average beam polarization for the hydrogen (deuterium) data set was 0.45 (0.47) after requiring $0.15 < P_{\text{beam}} < 0.8$, and the fractional systematic uncertainty of the beam polarization was 3.4% (2.0%) [39,40].

The data sample recorded with a longitudinally polarized hydrogen (deuterium) target, representing 14% (38%), of the total statistics, has a residual polarization of $0.0221 \pm 0.0001$ (0.0036 $\pm$ 0.0009). The data sample recorded with a transversely polarized hydrogen target, representing 35%, has a residual polarization of $0.0028 \pm 0.0001$. The systematic uncertainty of the target polarization measurement is typically 0.04.

The HERMES spectrometer is described in detail in Ref. [41]. It was a forward spectrometer in which both scattered lepton and produced hadrons were detected within an angular acceptance $\pm 170$ mrad horizontally, and $\pm (40 - 140)$ mrad vertically. The scattered-lepton trigger was formed from a coincidence between three scintillator hodoscopes and a lead-glass calorimeter. The trigger required an energy of more than 3.5 GeV deposited in the calorimeter. The tracking system had a momentum resolution of $\approx 1.5\%$ and an angular resolution of $\approx 1$ mrad. Lepton identification was accomplished using a lead-glass calorimeter, a preshower detector consisting of a scintillator hodoscope preceded by a lead sheet, and a transition-radiation detector. Until 1998 the particle identification system included a gas threshold Čerenkov counter, which was replaced in 1999 with a dual-radiator ring-imaging Čerenkov detector (RICH) [42]. Combining the responses of these detectors in a likelihood method leads to an average lepton identification efficiency of 98% with a hadron contamination of less than 1%. 

The HERMES spectrometer is described in detail in Ref. [41]. It was a forward spectrometer in which both scattered lepton and produced hadrons were detected within an angular acceptance $\pm 170$ mrad horizontally, and $\pm (40 - 140)$ mrad vertically. The scattered-lepton trigger was formed from a coincidence between three scintillator hodoscopes and a lead-glass calorimeter. The trigger required an energy of more than 3.5 GeV deposited in the calorimeter. The tracking system had a momentum resolution of $\approx 1.5\%$ and an angular resolution of $\approx 1$ mrad. Lepton identification was accomplished using a lead-glass calorimeter, a preshower detector consisting of a scintillator hodoscope preceded by a lead sheet, and a transition-radiation detector. Until 1998 the particle identification system included a gas threshold Čerenkov counter, which was replaced in 1999 with a dual-radiator ring-imaging Čerenkov detector (RICH) [42]. Combining the responses of these detectors in a likelihood method leads to an average lepton identification efficiency of 98% with a hadron contamination of less than 1%.
5 Data Analysis

5.1 Exclusive $\rho^0$ Events

Events accepted for the analysis are required to fulfill the following criteria (see Refs. [11,43] for details):

- three tracks originate from the target and are recorded in the spectrometer;
- two oppositely charged hadrons and one lepton with the same charge as the beam are identified through the likelihood analysis of the combined responses of the four particle-identification detectors [11];
- the reconstructed virtual photon satisfies the kinematic constraint $1$ GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 7$ GeV$^2$;
- the $\rho^0$ meson is selected by requirements on the invariant mass of the two hadrons of opposite charge: $0.6$ GeV $< M_{\pi^+\pi^-} < 1.0$ GeV when both hadrons are assumed to be pions, and the veto constraint $M_{K^+K^-} \geq 1.06$ GeV, the latter under the hypothesis that both hadrons are kaons. The veto constraint excludes contamination from $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ decay. Two-pion invariant mass distributions in the HERMES acceptance for proton and deuteron data are presented in Fig. 4. A detailed description of the invariant mass peak of exclusive $\rho^0$ events is published in Refs. [14,17] and also presented in Ref. [11]. The distribution of these events in both $\Delta E$ and $t'$ is presented in Fig. 5.

- exclusive $\rho^0$ events are selected by the requirement $-1.0$ GeV $< \Delta E < 0.6$ GeV (called the “exclusive region” in the remainder of the text). The applicability of such a constraint was explained in detail in Ref. [17], as well as in Refs. [14,15,11]. In the $\Delta E$ spectrum the resolution due to instrumental effects ranges between $0.26$ and $0.38$ GeV depending on the spectrometer configuration.

- the “final event sample” of $\rho^0$ events is obtained from the sample of exclusive events by the additional requirement $-t' < 0.4$ GeV$^2$. This requirement ensures that the semi-inclusive background does not exceed a level of about $10\%$ in the kinematic bins of $Q^2$ and $t'$ presented below.

After the application of all the above requirements, the entire kinematic region contains $16362$ $\rho^0$ events from hydrogen and $25940$ events from deuterium, which are used in the subsequent physics analysis.

5.2 Backgrounds for Exclusive $\rho^0$ Events

In exclusive vector meson production, the target nucleon remains intact. At HERMES the recoiling target nucleon was not detected and hence, given the experimental resolution, also a certain number of non-exclusive events will satisfy the requirements for exclusive events. They appear as background remaining underneath the $\Delta E$ peak.

a) Background from Semi-Inclusive Deep-Inelastic Scattering

Background events originate mainly from fragmentation processes in Semi-Inclusive Deep-Inelastic Scattering (SIDIS), in which the final state contains a pair of oppositely charged hadrons in the spectrometer. Only a small fraction of this background passes the
above-described \( \Delta E \) and \(-t'\) requirements for exclusive \( \rho^0 \) production.

The amount of SIDIS background and its angular distributions in the exclusive region can not be determined with the present apparatus. Therefore, the PYTHIA code [44] tuned for HERMES kinematic conditions [45, 46, 47] is used. Exclusive processes were excluded from the simulated sample. The simulated SIDIS events were passed through the same chain of kinematic requirements as the experimental ones. Very good agreement between the experimental data and the simulation is observed for the shape of the \( \Delta E \) distributions in the region \( \Delta E > 2 \text{ GeV} \) for each kinematic interval in \( Q^2, x \) or \( t' \), and for both targets. This agreement in shape is demonstrated in Fig. 6 which shows four intervals in \( t' \) as an example. Since no absolute normalization between data and simulation is required to determine the SDMEs (as shown in the next section), for every kinematic interval the fractional background contribution \( f_{\text{bg}} \) in the exclusive region can be obtained by normalizing the simulation to the data in the region \( 2 \text{ GeV} < \Delta E < 20 \text{ GeV} \).

\[
\frac{N_{\text{data}}}{N_{\text{MC}}} = \frac{N_{\text{MC}}}{N_{\text{data}}} \left( \frac{N_{\text{data}}^{MC}}{N_{\text{data}}^{MC}} \right),
\]

where \( N_{\text{data}}^{2-20}, N_{\text{MC}}^{2-20} \) and \( N_{\text{data}}^{MC}, N_{\text{MC}}^{MC} \) are the total number of measured and simulated events at \( 2 \text{ GeV} < \Delta E < 20 \text{ GeV} \) and in the exclusive region, respectively. This contribution amounts to 8% for the entire kinematic region and ranges between 3 and 12% in the different kinematic intervals. These values will be used for the subtraction of the SIDIS background angular distributions, as described in section 6.2.

b) Background from Non-resonant Exclusive \( \pi^+\pi^- \) Pairs

The contribution of non-resonant \( \pi^+\pi^- \) production and its interference [48] with resonant \( \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^- \) production...
production are determined using a modified Breit-Wigner fit to the invariant mass distribution. We do not distinguish between resonant and non-resonant contributions in $\rho^0$ production, following the practice of previous experimental \cite{31,33} and theoretical publications \cite{29,32,33}. Therefore the present data were not corrected for non-resonant background, which amounts to $\sim 4 - 8\%$ depending on the kinematics \cite{13,10,11}. Note that the contribution of $\rho^0-\omega$ interference has been found to be negligible \cite{14}.

c) Background from Proton-Dissociative Processes

Another possible background consists of events in which the target proton is excited to some other baryonic resonance, which then decays to a proton and typically a soft pion. In the absence of a recoil detector, such events cannot be distinguished, but their contribution to the exclusive $\rho^0$ production cross section at HERMES was found to be small ($4 \pm 2\%$) \cite{14}. No correction has been applied for this background. This approach is supported by results from ZEUS, where the decay angle distributions of the proton-dissociative reaction have been found to be consistent with those of exclusive events \cite{30,39}.

6 Extraction of SDMEs

6.1 Maximum Likelihood Method

In each bin of $Q^2$ or $t'$, or the entire acceptance, the SDMEs are obtained by minimizing the difference between the 3-dimensional ($\cos \Theta, \phi, \Phi$) production and decay angle distribution of the experimental events and that of a sample of fully reconstructed Monte Carlo events, using a binned maximum likelihood method. For the Monte Carlo simulation, events are generated isotropically in ($\Phi, \phi, \cos \Theta$) using the rhoMC generator \cite{13,15} for exclusive $\rho^0$ production, simulated in the instrumental context of the spectrometer, and passed through the same reconstruction chain as the experimental data. Introduction of estimated misalignments of the spectrometer into the Monte Carlo simulation used for the SDME extraction was found to have a negligible effect on the results. The variables $\cos \Theta, \phi,$ and $\Phi$ are binned in $8 \times 8 \times 8$ cells. The content of each of the 512 cells is weighted using \cite{37}, whereby the 23 matrix elements are treated as free parameters. The number of events $d_i$ in each cell is assumed to obey a Poisson distribution:

$$P(d_i, c_N m'_i) = \frac{(c_N m'_i)^{d_i}}{d_i!} e^{-c_N m'_i},$$

with mean value $c_N m'_i$, where $c_N = (\sum_j d_i)/(\sum_i m'_i)$ is a normalization factor accounting for the difference in the total number of events in the data ($d_j$) and simulated ($m'_i$) sample, and $m'_i$ is the (re)weighted number of simulated events in cell $i$. The likelihood function is then defined as \cite{50}

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_i^{\text{cells}} P(d_i, c_N(\lambda)m'_i(\lambda)), \quad (46)$$

where $\lambda$ represents the 23 fit parameters that are the 23 SMDEs. The best fit parameters were determined by maximizing the logarithm of the likelihood function,

$$\ln L(\lambda) = \sum_i \left[ d_i \ln (c_N(\lambda)m'_i(\lambda)) - c_N(\lambda)m'_i \right] + \text{constant}, \quad (47)$$

or equivalently by minimizing $-\ln L(\lambda)$.

The minimization itself and the uncertainty calculation are performed using the MINUIT package \cite{31}. In the fitting procedure the samples with negative and positive beam helicity are fitted simultaneously. The values of $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom ($\chi^2/d.o.f.$) for the 16 kinematic intervals ($Q^2$, $t'$ or $x$), calculated after completing their likelihood fits, range between 0.6 and 1.2 for $8 \times 8 \times 8 - 23$ degrees of freedom. For every SDME, the averages of the SDMEs extracted from the two separate beam helicity samples are found to be consistent with each other and the result from the common fit.

In Fig. 4 one-dimensional angular distributions are shown for the hydrogen and deuterium data samples, where the positive-helicity sample is chosen as representative. In addition to distributions in $\cos \Theta, \phi,$ and $\Phi$, the angular distribution in $\psi = \phi - \Phi$ is shown, which embodies the entire azimuthal dependence in the case of SCHC. For each panel, the data are compared with the isotropic input distributions as modified by instrumental effects such as acceptance, tracking resolution, and reconstruction efficiencies, as well as the one-dimensional projections of the fitted 3-dimensional angular distribution. These projections are clearly in agreement with the data.

6.2 Background Subtraction

Before fitting SDMEs to the ($\cos \Theta, \phi, \Phi$) angular distributions, the SIDIS background in the exclusive region is subtracted. This subtraction is performed separately for each interval in $t'$, $Q^2$ or $x$. In a given ($\cos \Theta, \phi, \Phi$) cell, the number of background events in the exclusive region is calculated as follows:

$$N_{\text{cell}}^{\text{bg}} = N_{\text{cell}}^{\text{MC}} \frac{N_{\text{data}}^{20}}{N_{\text{MC}}^{20}}, \quad (48)$$

where the number of SIDIS Monte Carlo events in a given cell is $N_{\text{cell}}^{\text{MC}}$, while $N_{\text{data}}^{20}$ and $N_{\text{MC}}^{20}$ are defined as in equation \cite{14}.
Fig. 7. Angular distributions with common arbitrary normalization for $\rho^0$ meson production and decay. Data points represent the positive helicity sample of the proton (deuteron) data in the left (right) half of the figure. The dotted lines represent isotropic input Monte Carlo distributions as modified by the HERMES acceptance, while the dashed lines are the results of the 23-parameter fit. Here 16 bins are chosen for a more detailed comparison. The data correspond to the full kinematic region of the analysis.

6.3 Systematic Uncertainties

a) Background Subtraction

The systematic uncertainty of the background subtraction procedure is estimated as the difference between the SDMEs obtained with and without any background correction.

b) rhoMC Input Parameters

The SDME extraction procedure starts from isotropic distributions in $\cos\Theta$, $\phi$, and $\Phi$ generated by rhoMC, as explained above. The parameterization of the total electroproduction cross section in rhoMC is chosen in the context of a VMD model that incorporates a propagator-type $Q^2$ dependence, and also contains a dependence on $R(Q^2)$. As the HERMES spectrometer acceptance depends on $Q^2$, different input parameters result in slightly different reconstructed angular distributions. The corresponding systematic uncertainty of the resulting SDMEs is obtained by varying these parameters within one standard deviation in the total uncertainty of the parameters given in Refs. [11, 12, 13].

The total systematic uncertainty is obtained by adding in quadrature the uncertainty from the background subtraction procedure and that due to the uncertainty in rhoMC input parameters.

c) Further Systematic Studies

Several further studies using generated and reconstructed event samples are performed to estimate possible systematic uncertainties:

i) A consistency check of the extraction method is performed by using several known sets of SDMEs as input to the rhoMC [14, 15, 43] simulation and comparing the SDMEs extracted from the simulated data with those used as input to the rhoMC generator. First, isotropic angular distributions were simulated, corresponding to all SDMEs vanishing except $r^{90}_{04} = 1$. Alternatively, events were generated assuming SCHC, implying that only five unpolarized and two polarized SDMEs are non-zero, as explained in section 3.7. Finally, the extracted 23 SDMEs are used as input parameters. In all cases, good agreement is found between input and extracted SDMEs at the given level of statistical accuracy.

ii) Several tests are performed to ensure that the choice of the $(\cos\Theta, \phi, \Phi)$ cell size does not bias the results of the maximum likelihood procedure. A sample of about 40000 simulated events with angular dependences determined by the (normally) extracted 23 SDMEs is fitted after binning the data in several numbers of angular cells, varying from $5 \times 5 \times 5$ to $12 \times 12 \times 12$. The $\chi^2$/d.o.f. calculated between sets of SDMEs extracted with $8 \times 8 \times 8$ and $12 \times 12 \times 12$ binning is 0.14. Hence the cell size used in the maximum likelihood procedure is not treated as a source of systematic uncertainty.
iii) Variations of the restrictions on $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$, $t'$, and $\Delta E$ result in slightly different amounts of SIDIS background. The resulting systematic uncertainty is much smaller than that estimated for the background subtraction procedure, and hence is neglected.

iv) In the SDME extraction procedure, only the shape of the 3-dimensional angular distribution matters. As events in which a radiative photon is emitted with an energy larger than 0.6 GeV are removed from the analysis by the constraint $\Delta E < 0.6$ GeV, the impact of radiative effects on the shape of the 3-dimensional angular distribution is strongly reduced. Two approaches are used to quantify this effect. First, the DIFFRAD code is used to calculate the radiative effects for exclusive $\rho^0$ production \cite{52,53}, as was done also in Refs. \cite{31,32}. As the emission of a real photon by the positron alters the direction of the virtual photon, the angle $\Phi$ between lepton scattering plane and $\rho^0$ production plane also changes. The effect of a small variation ($< 2.5 \%$, as suggested in Ref. \cite{52}) of the shape of the $\Phi$-distribution is studied by re-weighting the isotropic input angular distribution. The difference between SDMEs obtained with and without re-weighting is found to be less than 0.0012 for all SDMEs ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. $< 0.1$, i.e., radiative effects are negligible.

As an independent cross check, radiative effects in the extracted SDMEs are studied using a Monte Carlo simulation of exclusive $\rho^0$ production with events from the PYTHIA generator \cite{44}. Two statistically independent isotropic angular distributions are generated, with and without the emission of radiative photons. A set of SDMEs is extracted from the (real) data sample for each of these isotropic input angular distributions. The difference between the resulting SDMEs is statistically indistinguishable ($\chi^2$/d.o.f. $< 0.2$).

As a further check we use the extracted 23 SDMEs as input parameters to rhoMC and compare the shapes of the simulated distributions with the data. In order to restrict the comparison to exclusive $\rho^0$ events, properly normalized SIDIS background distributions from PYTHIA are subtracted from the data. In the maximum likelihood fit method, the extraction of SDMEs only requires simulated event distributions normalized to the data. The shape comparison reveals good agreement for all variables, some of which are presented in Fig. 8.

7 Results on SDMEs Integrated over the Entire Kinematic Region

7.1 Classification of SDMEs

The presentation of the extracted SDMEs will be based on a hierarchy of NPE helicity amplitudes:

$$|T_{00}| \sim |T_{11}| \gg |T_{01}| > |T_{10}| \geq |T_{1-1}|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)

A similar hierarchy was discussed for the first time in Ref. \cite{26}. In perturbative QCD this is valid at asymptotically high $Q^2$. It was experimentally confirmed at the HERA collider \cite{8,9,10} and discussed in Refs. \cite{13,31,32}. In the following it will be shown that it applies also at $Q^2$ values typical of the HERMES experiment.

The extracted 23 SDMEs are categorized into five classes according to the hierarchy shown above. Class A comprises SDMEs dominated by the helicity-conserving amplitudes $T_{00}$ and $T_{11}$ which describe the transitions $\gamma_{L}^* \rightarrow \rho_L^0$ and $\gamma_{T}^* \rightarrow \rho_T^0$, respectively. Class B contains SDMEs that correspond to the interference of the above two amplitudes. Class C consists of all those SDMEs in which the main term contains a contribution linear in the $s$-channel helicity non-conserving amplitude $T_{01}$, corresponding to the $\gamma_{L}^* \rightarrow \rho_L^0$ transition, except for a term involving $T_{10}$, for which the $T_{01}$ contribution is quadratic. The classes D and E are composed of the SDMEs in which the main terms contain a contribution linear in the small helicity-flip amplitudes $T_{10}$ $(\gamma_{L}^* \rightarrow \rho_L^1)$ and $T_{1-1}$ $(\gamma_{T}^* \rightarrow \rho_T^1)$, respectively. Equations (77-99) in Appendix A show the representation of all the SDMEs in terms of helicity amplitudes ordered according to the five classes defined above.

7.2 Representation of the Integrated Data

Separate maximum likelihood fits to the proton and deuteron data samples are performed in the entire kinematic region: $1 \text{GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 7 \text{GeV}^2$, $3 \text{GeV} < W < 6.3 \text{GeV}$ (corresponding to $0.03 < x_B < 0.25$),
Table 1. Values of $\rho_0$ SDMEs for proton and deuteron data ordered in classes by horizontal lines according to the expected hierarchy of helicity amplitudes. Elements $r_{ij}^\alpha$ with $\alpha = 3, 7, 8$ are polarized. The first uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic. The statistical significance of the magnitude of each SDME expected to vanish in the case of SCHC is shown in column three or five as its absolute value in units of standard deviations of its total uncertainty, denoted as SDME/tot. Similarly, the four bottom rows of the table show deviations from zero of certain combinations of SDMEs that would thereby violate SCHC and NPE dominance (see text).

| element | proton | deuteron |
|---------|--------|----------|
|         | SDME $\pm$ stat $\pm$ syst | SDME/tot | SDME $\pm$ stat $\pm$ syst | SDME/tot |
| $r_{00}^{04}$ | $0.412 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010$ | | $0.416 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.013$ | |
| $r_{11}^{04}$ | $0.246 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.019$ | | $0.247 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.014$ | |
| $\text{Im } r_{11}^{2}$ | $-0.227 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.012$ | | $-0.234 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.019$ | |
| $\text{Re } r_{10}^{0}$ | $0.161 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.010$ | | $0.165 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.010$ | |
| $\text{Im } r_{10}^{0}$ | $-0.167 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.009$ | | $-0.156 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.006$ | |
| $\text{Im } r_{00}^{0}$ | $0.112 \pm 0.021 \pm 0.011$ | | $0.104 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.004$ | |
| $\text{Re } r_{00}^{8}$ | $0.074 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.006$ | | $0.114 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.009$ | |
| $\text{Re } r_{10}^{0}$ | $0.031 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.008$ | $3.5$ | $0.030 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.008$ | $3.5$ |
| $\text{Re } r_{10}^{1}$ | $-0.032 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.012$ | $2.3$ | $-0.020 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$ | $1.7$ |
| $\text{Im } r_{10}^{0}$ | $0.022 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.015$ | $1.3$ | $0.014 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.017$ | $0.8$ |
| $r_{00}^{0}$ | $0.109 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.009$ | $8.7$ | $0.111 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ | $10.4$ |
| $r_{00}^{0}$ | $0.011 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.008$ | $0.6$ | $-0.038 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.016$ | $1.7$ |
| $\text{Im } r_{10}^{3}$ | $-0.017 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.004$ | $1.1$ | $0.031 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.003$ | $2.7$ |
| $s_{00}^{8}$ | $0.035 \pm 0.050 \pm 0.010$ | $0.7$ | $0.053 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.006$ | $1.4$ |
| $r_{11}^{7}$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.013$ | $1.2$ | $-0.021 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.013$ | $1.6$ |
| $r_{11}^{7}$ | $0.005 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006$ | $0.7$ | $0.013 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.006$ | $1.9$ |
| $\text{Im } r_{11}^{6}$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.007$ | $0.3$ | $-0.007 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.006$ | $1.0$ |
| $\text{Im } r_{11}^{7}$ | $-0.035 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.005$ | $1.2$ | $-0.058 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.009$ | $2.3$ |
| $r_{11}^{7}$ | $0.036 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.001$ | $1.6$ | $0.026 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.003$ | $1.4$ |
| $r_{11}^{7}$ | $0.019 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.005$ | $0.6$ | $-0.066 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.008$ | $2.8$ |
| $r_{11}^{7}$ | $-0.011 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.005$ | $1.5$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.008$ | $0.2$ |
| $r_{11}^{7}$ | $-0.025 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ | $2.3$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.013$ | $0.1$ |
| $\text{Im } r_{11}^{7}$ | $-0.024 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.001$ | $1.3$ | $-0.004 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.004$ | $0.3$ |
| relation | SCHC? | | SCHC? | |
| $r_{11}^{7} + \text{Im } r_{11}^{7}$ | $0.018 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.011$ | $1.1$ | $0.013 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.014$ | $0.8$ |
| $\text{Re } r_{10}^{0} + \text{Im } r_{00}^{0}$ | $-0.006 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.001$ | $1.5$ | $0.010 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.005$ | $1.7$ |
| $\text{Im } r_{10}^{0} - \text{Re } r_{00}^{8}$ | $0.038 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.006$ | $1.3$ | $-0.011 \pm 0.022 \pm 0.006$ | $0.5$ |
| relation | SCHC and NPE? | | SCHC and NPE? | |
| $1 - r_{00}^{04} - 2r_{11}^{7}$ | $0.097 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.046$ | $2.0$ | $0.091 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.038$ | $2.3$ |

and $0 \text{ GeV}^2 < -t < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2$. The resulting $\rho_0$ meson SDMEs $r_{ij}^{\alpha}$, are listed in Tab. 1 and displayed in Fig. 2 ordered according to the classes described above. The statistical uncertainties are larger for the eight polarized SDMEs (shown in the shaded areas of the figure) due to the non-unity of the beam polarization and the kinematic suppression factor $\sqrt{1 - t}$ (see (39)). In order to facilitate comparison with a recently introduced new representation of SDMEs [24], the proton SDMEs in that representation are shown in Tab. 11 of Appendix E.

In Fig. 3 the SDMEs are shown multiplied by certain factors in order to allow their comparison at the level of dominant amplitudes (see [77,99]). For all classes numerical factors are chosen in such a way that the coefficient of the dominant terms is equal to unity. The plotted representatives for the elements of class A are chosen so that their main terms are equal to $|T_{11}|^2/N$; in particular this requires that the term $1 - r_{00}^{04}$ be chosen. The coefficients for class B are chosen to have the main contribution to the plotted representatives for the unpolarized and polarized SDMEs equal to $\text{Re}\{T_{11}T_{00}\}/N$ and $\text{Im}\{T_{11}T_{00}\}/N$, respectively. This corresponds to the general rule that is applicable to classes B to E: the dominant contribution of the unpolarized (polarized) element presented...
in Fig. 9 is proportional to the real (imaginary) part of a product of two amplitudes. Class C contains the main terms $T_{00}T_{00}'/\mathcal{N}$ (for $r_{00}^5/\sqrt{2}$ and $r_{00}^0/\sqrt{2}$) and $T_{11}T_{11}/\mathcal{N}$. The dominant contributions for classes D and E contain terms $T_{00}T_{11}/\mathcal{N}$ and $T_{11}T_{11}/\mathcal{N}$, respectively.

Given the scaled SDMEs in Fig. 9, it easily can be seen that the two unpolarized SDMEs of class B have large values, similar to those of class A. This suggests the presence of a substantial interference between the two dominant amplitudes $T_{00}$ and $T_{11}$. The two polarized class B SDMEs are significantly nonzero for proton and deuteron as well. It is also seen that the values of elements in class C that contain the dominant term $T_{00}T_{11}$ are similar for the unpolarized SDMEs ($\text{Re}(r_{10}^{04})$, $\text{Re}(r_{10}^1)$, $\text{Im}(r_{10}^2)$). Those unpolarized class C elements measured with good accuracy, $\text{Re}(r_{10}^{04})$ and $r_{00}^5$, are much smaller than the

Fig. 9. The 23 SDMEs extracted from $\rho^0$ data: proton (squares) and deuteron (circles) in the entire HERMES kinematics with $\langle x \rangle = 0.08, \langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.95 \text{ GeV}^2, \langle -t' \rangle = 0.13 \text{ GeV}^2$. The SDMEs are multiplied by prefactors in order to represent the normalized leading contribution of the corresponding amplitude (see (77-99)). The inner error bars represent the statistical uncertainties, while the outer ones indicate the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. SDMEs measured with unpolarized (polarized) beam are displayed in the unshaded (shaded) areas. The vertical dashed line at zero is indicated for SDMEs expected to be zero under the hypothesis of SCHC.
class B SDMEs, whereas the unpolarized class C elements are larger than the unpolarized class D and class E SDMEs. This shows that the anticipated hierarchy is supported by the data. For class D SDMEs, slightly positive (negative) values are observed in the polarized (unpolarized) case. Finally, values of class E SDMEs for the proton target tend to deviate from zero, while those for the deuteron ones are consistent with zero.

We note that no significant difference is found between the sets of SDMEs for proton and deuteron, as a $\chi^2$/d.o.f. = 22.5/23 is obtained taking into account the total uncertainties. Hence there appears to be no indication of significant contributions of secondary reggeons with isospin $I = 1$ and natural parity.

7.3 Test of the SCHC Hypothesis

As explained in section 3.7, only the following seven SDMEs are not restricted to be zero in the case of $s$-channel helicity conservation: $r_{00}^0$, $r_{1-}^1$, Im{$r_{1-}^1$}, Re{$r_{10}^1$}, Im{$r_{10}^1$} and Im{$r_{11}^1$}, Re{$r_{11}^1$}. All other SDMEs are required by SCHC to be zero. The magnitudes of their measured offsets from zero, expressed in units of the standard deviation of their uncertainty, are shown in one of two separate columns of Tab. 11 next to the respective SDME. Several elements are inconsistent with the hypothesis of SCHC, in particular several members of class C.

The SDME $r_{00}^0$ is observed to be non-zero at the level of nine (ten) standard deviations in the total uncertainty for the proton (deuteron) result, proving $s$-channel helicity non-conservation. This was already observed earlier by the HERA collider experiments [8] [10] at a lower significance level, and with high significance very recently [9]. For the first time, HERMES observes $s$-channel helicity non-conservation also in other class C SDMEs, in particular Re{$r_{10}^1$}.

The polarized elements $r_{00}^0$ and Im{$r_{10}^1$}, related to the terms Im{$T_{00}^1T_{00}^1$} and Im{$T_{00}^1T_{11}^1$} respectively [9][90], are extracted using a longitudinally polarized lepton beam for the first time. Both elements are consistent with zero (Figs. 11) within the uncertainties.

The relations imposed by the hypothesis of SCHC [10][12] are satisfied within about one standard deviation of the total uncertainty, as can be seen from the corresponding rows of Tab. 11. The sensitivity of these relations to SCHC is low. In the case of the relation [10] only the contributions of small double-helicity-flip amplitudes (see [79][79]) violate SCHC. For the relations [11][12], equations [50][53] show that the largest SCHC amplitude $r_{00}^0$ is multiplied by the smallest $T_{1-1}$ amplitude in the terms that violate SCHC. The relation corresponding to the combined hypotheses of SCHC and NPE dominance [13] is marginally violated by two standard deviations in the total uncertainty. In evaluating the uncertainties of these relations, correlations between the corresponding elements (see Tabs. 15[16]), are taken into account.

7.4 Phase Difference between $T_{11}$ and $T_{00}$

The phase difference $\delta$ between the amplitudes $T_{11}$ and $T_{00}$ can be evaluated as follows:

$$\cos \delta = \frac{2\sqrt{\text{Re}\{r_{10}^1\} - \text{Im}\{r_{11}^0\}}}{\sqrt{r_{00}^0(1 - r_{00}^0 + r_{1-1}^1 - \text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^1\})}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

This results in $|\delta| = 26.4 \pm 2.3_{\text{stat}} \pm 4.9_{\text{syst}}$ degrees for the proton and $|\delta| = 29.3 \pm 1.6_{\text{stat}} \pm 3.6_{\text{syst}}$ degrees for the deuteron (see Fig. 12). Using polarized SDMEs, also the sign of $\delta$ can be determined:

$$\sin \delta = \frac{2\sqrt{\text{Re}\{r_{10}^1\} + \text{Im}\{r_{11}^0\}}}{\sqrt{r_{00}^0(1 - r_{00}^0 + r_{1-1}^1 - \text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^1\})}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)

Equations (50) and (51) are derived in Appendix B. Second order contributions of spin-flip amplitudes are neglected in obtaining these formulae. Using (51), it is determined, for the first time, that the sign of $\delta$ is positive: $\delta = 30.6 \pm 5.0_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.4_{\text{syst}}$ degrees for the proton and $\delta = 36.3 \pm 3.9_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.7_{\text{syst}}$ for the deuteron. These values are consistent with each other and their magnitudes are in agreement with the results obtained with [30] for $\cos \delta$.

We note that in the GPD-based model of Ref. [34], the phase difference between the amplitudes $T_{11}$ and $T_{00}$ is found to have a value of about 3 degrees. This appears to be inconsistent with the HERMES results and also, to a lesser extent, with the H1 results [11], the two experimental results agree within their total uncertainties.

8 The $Q^2$ and $t'$ Dependencies of the SDMEs

In the following, the $Q^2$ dependences are presented in four bins, where the first bin is defined by $0.5 \text{GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 1 \text{GeV}^2$ with $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 0.83 \text{GeV}^2$. For the $t'$ dependences, also shown in four bins, only data with $Q^2 > 1 \text{GeV}^2$ are included. The average value of $t'$ is almost independent of $Q^2$ and $W$.

8.1 Class A: Dominant Transitions $\gamma^+_L \rightarrow \rho^0_L$ and $\gamma^+_L \rightarrow \rho^+_L$.

Class A comprises SDMEs corresponding to the dominant transitions, $\gamma^+_L \rightarrow \rho^0_L$ and $\gamma^+_L \rightarrow \rho^+_L$, described by the amplitudes $T_{11}$ and $T_{00}$. The $Q^2$ and $t'$ dependences for the class A SDMEs $1 - r_{00}^0$, $r_{1-1}^1$, and $\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^1\}$ are shown in Fig. 11. The three elements
Fig. 10. $Q^2$ and $t'$ dependences of class A SDMEs describing the dominant transitions $\gamma^*_L \rightarrow \rho^0_L$ and $\gamma^*_T \rightarrow \rho^0_T$. Filled squares (circles) correspond to proton (deuteron) data. Total uncertainties are depicted, calculated as statistical and systematic uncertainties combined in quadrature. Deuterium data points are presented with a small horizontal offset here and in Figs. (11-18) to improve their visibility.

Fig. 11. $Q^2$ and $t'$ dependences of the class B SDMEs describing the interference of the dominant transitions $\gamma^*_L \rightarrow \rho^0_L$ and $\gamma^*_T \rightarrow \rho^0_T$. Filled squares (circles) correspond to proton (deuteron) data. Total uncertainties are depicted, calculated as statistical and systematic uncertainties combined in quadrature.
exhibit somewhat similar \( Q^2 \) dependences. They are found to be approximately constant over the measured \( t' \) range, as also observed by ZEUS [3] for \( r_{01}^{04} \) at average \( Q^2 \) values of 3 and 10 GeV\(^2\). Such a \( t' \) independence indicates similar \( t' \)-slopes for longitudinal and transverse components of the vector meson production cross section.

We note that there is good agreement between the highest \( Q^2 \) points of the HERMES proton data and the GPD-based model calculations of Ref. [34].

8.2 Class B: Interference of \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_L \) and \( \gamma_T^* \to \rho^0_T \) Transitions

Class B comprises SDMEs describing the interference of the dominant transitions \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_L \) and \( \gamma_T^* \to \rho^0_T \), i.e., those corresponding to a product of the amplitude \( T_{11} \) and the complex conjugate of \( T_{00} \). Polarized (unpolarized) SDMEs correspond to the real (imaginary) part of this product. Correspondingly, they describe the interference of the helicity-flip transition \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_L \) with the helicity-conserving transition \( \gamma_T^* \to \rho^0_T \). Figure 13 shows that the class D SDMEs depends only weakly on \( Q^2 \) and \( t' \), and are consistent with zero as \(-t' \to 0\), as expected.

8.3 Class C: Helicity-Flip Transition \( \gamma_T^* \to \rho^0_L \)

Class C consists of all those SDMEs with the main term containing a product of the \( s \)-channel helicity-violating amplitude \( T_{01} \) describing the helicity-flip transition \( \gamma_T^* \to \rho^0_L \), and the complex conjugate of \( T_{00} \), \( T_{11} \) or \( T_{01} \) (see [34]). No clear \( Q^2 \) dependence is observed for class C SDMEs (see Fig. 13).

As suggested by general properties of helicity-flip kinematics at low \( t' \) values, a dependence on \( \sqrt{-t'} \) that monotonically increases from zero is expected for the amplitude \( T_{01} \) [24]. This is consistent with the measured SDMEs containing this amplitude, as is clearly seen for \( r_{01}^{04} \) and \( \text{Re}(r_{10}^{04}) \) in the third row of Fig. 13.

8.4 Class D: Helicity-Flip Transition \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_T \)

Class D consists of SDMEs for which the main terms in \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_T \) contain a product of the small helicity-flip amplitude \( T_{10} \) with the complex conjugate of \( T_{11} \). Unpolarized (polarized) SDMEs represent the real (imaginary) part of this product. Correspondingly, they describe the interference of the helicity-flip transition \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_T \) with the helicity-conserving transition \( \gamma_T^* \to \rho^0_T \). Figure 14 shows that the class D SDMEs depends only weakly on \( Q^2 \) and \( t' \), and are consistent with zero as \(-t' \to 0\), as expected.

8.5 Class E: Double Helicity-Flip Transition \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_T \)

Class E consists of the SDMEs with the main term describing the interference of the transition \( \gamma_L^* \to \rho^0_T \), with \( \gamma_T^* \to \rho^0_T \). This corresponds to a product of the double spin-flip amplitude \( T_{11} \) with the complex conjugate of the helicity-conserving amplitude \( T_{11} \). Unpolarized (polarized) SDMEs represent the real (imaginary) part of this product. Their \( Q^2 \) and \( t' \) dependences are presented in Fig. 15, where it can be seen that the class D SDMEs depend only weakly on \( Q^2 \) and \( t' \), and are consistent with zero as \(-t' \to 0\), as expected.

9 Unnatural-Parity Exchange

For \( \rho^0 \) production on the proton, or incoherent production on the deuteron, the existence of unnatural-
Fig. 13. $Q^2$ and $t'$ dependence of the class C SDMEs describing the interference of the helicity-flip transition $\gamma^*_T \to \rho_0^0$ and one of the dominant helicity-conserving transition. Filled squares (circles) correspond to proton (deuteron) data. Total uncertainties are depicted, calculated as statistical and systematic uncertainties combined in quadrature.
Fig. 14. $Q^2$ and $t'$ dependences of class D SDMEs describing the interference of the helicity-flip transition $\gamma^*_L \rightarrow \rho^0_T$ and the dominant transition $\gamma^*_T \rightarrow \rho^0_T$. Filled squares (circles) correspond to proton (deuteron) data. Total uncertainties are depicted, calculated as statistical and systematic uncertainties combined in quadrature.
parity exchange can be tested by evaluating the following combinations of SDMEs:

\[ u_1 = 1 - r_{00}^{04} + 2r_{1-1}^{04} - 2r_{11}^{1} - 2r_{1-1}^{1}, \]  
\[ u_2 = r_{11}^{5} + r_{1-1}^{5}, \]  
\[ u_3 = r_{11}^{8} + r_{1-1}^{8}. \]  

If UPE is absent, all three combinations are expected to vanish without resort to SCHC. A non-zero result

\[ u_1 = \sum \{4\epsilon|U_{10}|^2 + 2|U_{11} + U_{-11}|^2\} / N \]  

indicates the existence of UPE contributions, while the value for

\[ u_2 + iu_3 = \sqrt{2} \sum \{(U_{11} + U_{-11})^*U_{10}\} / N \]  

can vanish despite the existence of UPE contributions. Such a behavior can be explained if a hierarchy exists also for unnatural-parity-exchange amplitudes:

\[ \sum |U_{11}|^2 \gg \sum |U_{10}|^2, \sum |U_{01}|^2, \sum |U_{-11}|^2. \]  

This hierarchy is analogous to [49] and can be assumed to be a general property of UPE amplitudes.

The proton result \( u_1 = 0.125 \pm 0.021_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.050_{\text{syst}} \) for the entire HERMES kinematic region differs from zero at a level of more than two standard deviations in the total uncertainty, suggesting the existence of unnatural-parity-exchange contributions. The deuteron result \( u_1 = 0.091 \pm 0.016_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.046_{\text{syst}} \) also exceeds zero, but with smaller significance. Note that for both targets, systematic uncertainties strongly dominate. The dependences on \( Q^2 \) and \( t' \) of \( u_1 \) for the proton and deuteron are presented in Fig. 10 and Tab. 10. Although the uncertainties are large due to the large number of SDMEs involved in relation [52], all measured values of \( u_1 \) are positive over the whole kinematic range. For the calculation of uncertainties in [52], the correlations between SDMEs are taken into account (see Tabs. 10, 10).

For coherent \( \rho^0 \) production on the deuteron (isospin zero), only isoscalar meson exchange is allowed; hence there are no contributions from \( \pi, a_1, \) or \( b_1 \) exchange.

The incoherent contribution to the cross section on the neutron is expected to have an unnatural-parity-exchange contribution similar to that for the proton. The resulting value of \( u_1 \) for the deuteron is hence expected to be smaller than that for the proton due to the admixture of coherent scattering. A possible indication of this behavior is observed in the lowest
Non-conservation of s-channel helicity arises from the existence of non-zero helicity-single-flip and/or helicity-double-flip amplitudes. It can be quantified by measuring ratios $\tau_{ij}$, of helicity-flip amplitudes $T_{ij}$ to the square root of the sum of all amplitudes squared,

$$\tau_{ij} = \frac{|T_{ij}|}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}^2}}$$

with $\mathcal{N} = c N_L + N_T$ as defined in Section 3. The squared ratio $\tau_{ij}^2$ represents the fractional contribution from the amplitude $T_{ij}$ to the full cross section. The $\tau_{ij}$’s can be expressed in terms of SDMEs, as shown in Appendix C.

For the helicity-flip amplitude $T_{01}$, describing the transition $\gamma^*_T \rightarrow \rho_0^0$, the quantity $\tau_{01}$ is approximated as:

$$\tau_{01} \approx \sqrt{|c(r_0^0)|^2 + |r_0^0|^2 \frac{2}{r_{10}^4}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (59)

For the helicity-flip amplitude $T_{10}$, describing the transition $\gamma^*_L \rightarrow \rho_0^0$, the quantity $\tau_{10}$ is given by

$$\tau_{10} \approx \sqrt{rac{(r_{11}^4 + \text{Im}(r_{11}^4)) + (\text{Im}(r_{11}^4) - r_{11}^4)^2}{2(r_{11}^4 - \text{Im}(r_{11}^4))}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)
In Ref. [8] the amplitude ratios are approximated as follows:

\[ \tau_{01} \approx \frac{r_{01}^5}{\sqrt{2r_{00}^{10}}} \]  
\[ \tau_{10} \approx \frac{\text{Re}\{r_{01}^{10}\} + \text{Re}\{r_{10}^1\}}{\sqrt{2r_{00}^{10}}} \]  
\[ \tau_{1-1} \approx \frac{|r_{11}|}{\sqrt{2r_{1-1}^{10}}} \]  

In contrast to \([50, 51]\), these expressions rely on the assumption of zero phase difference between the considered amplitude \((T_{01}, T_{10}, \text{or} T_{1-1})\) and the corresponding dominant amplitude \((T_{00} \text{or} T_{11})\). Results for the quantities \(\tau_{ij}\) from ZEUS and other experiments, calculated from unpolarized proton SDMEs, are shown in Fig. 18 and in the bottom section of Tab. 12.

The combined effect of \(s\)-channel helicity non-conservation and of a contribution of UPE to the full cross section can be estimated according to \([32, 38, 29, 28]\) as follows. First note that

\[ \frac{d\sigma_{\text{full}}}{dt} \approx fN_0(1 + \tau_1^2 + \tau_{UPE}^2), \]  

where

\[ N_0 = \epsilon|T_{00}|^2 + |T_{11}|^2 \]  

contains only the contributions of \(s\)-channel helicity conserving NPE amplitudes. The \(s\)-channel helicity non-conserving fractional contribution of NPE amplitudes to the cross section is defined as

\[ \tau_T^2 = \left(2\epsilon|T_{10}|^2 + |T_{01}|^2 + |T_{1-1}|^2\right)/N_0 \]
\[ \approx 2\epsilon\tau_{10}^2 + \tau_{01}^2 + \tau_{1-1}^2. \]  

The HERMES result for \(\tau_T^2\) is 0.025 ± 0.003_{\text{stat}} ± 0.003_{\text{syst}} and 0.028 ± 0.002_{\text{stat}} ± 0.002_{\text{syst}} for the proton and deuteron, respectively.

Correspondingly, the UPE contribution is defined as:

\[ \tau_{UPE}^2 = \sum (2\epsilon|U_{10}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2 + |U_{1-1}|^2 + |U_{11}|^2)/N_0. \]  

Because the contributions of amplitudes \(U_{01}\) and \(U_{1-1}\) to \([32]\) are negligibly small, \(\tau_{UPE}^2\) and \(u_{1/2}\) can be approximately related to one another as: \(\tau_{UPE}^2 \approx u_{1/2}.\) Accordingly, the first determination of the fractional UPE contribution to the full cross section \(\tau_{UPE}^2\) is 0.063 ± 0.011_{\text{stat}} ± 0.025_{\text{syst}} and 0.046 ± 0.008_{\text{stat}} ± 0.023_{\text{syst}} for the proton and deuteron, respectively.

### 11 Longitudinal-to-Transverse Cross Section Ratio

In principle the longitudinal-to-transverse cross section ratio \(R[44]\) can experimentally be determined
directly from the two cross sections if they can be extracted separately from the data using, e.g., the Rosenbluth decomposition technique [55]. For given values of \(Q^2\) and \(W\) (or \(Q^2\) and \(x_B\)), this requires data sets at different values of \(\epsilon\), so that measurements at different beam energies are necessary [22]. No data on vector meson production using such a decomposition have been published.

### 11.1 Approximations for \(R\)

A common approximation to the ratio \(R\) is experimentally determined from the measured SDME \(r_{00}^{04}\):

\[
R^{04} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{r_{00}^{04}}{1 - \epsilon r_{10}^{04}}.
\]  (69)

The quantity \(R^{04}\) represents the ratio of cross sections for longitudinal and transverse \(\rho^0\) polarization, and it is not identical to the true \(R\) that represents the ratio of the cross sections with respect to the polarization of the virtual photon. The relation between \(R^{04}\) and \(R\) is obtained by comparing \([60,27]\) with \([54,28,27]\):

\[
R = R^{04} - \eta(1 + \epsilon R^{04}) \epsilon(1 + \eta),
\]  (70)

with

\[
\eta = \frac{1 + \epsilon R^{04}}{N} \sum_i \left( |T_{01}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2 \right),
\]  (71)

(see Appendix D). In the case of SCHC, \(\eta = 0\) and \(R^{04} = R\). The quantity \(R^{04}\) can be either smaller or larger than \(R\), depending on the sign of the small parameter \(\eta\). The latter can be calculated from data by neglecting the small contributions of the helicity-flip UPE amplitudes \(U_{10}, U_{01}\) in \([24]\):

\[
\eta \approx (1 + \epsilon R^{04}) (r_{01}^2 - 2 \epsilon r_{10}^2),
\]  (72)

where \(r_{01}\) and \(r_{10}\) are given in \([60,64]\).

Regge phenomenology suggests that contributions of unnatural-parity exchange are more significant at the lower energies typical of this experiment, and decrease at collider energies. In order to allow a comparison of HERMES results on \(R\) with those at high energy and also with GPD-based calculations, the ratio \(R_{NPE}\) is determined from \(R^{04}\) by subtracting the contributions of all UPE amplitudes. The dependence of the difference \(\Delta R^{UPE} = R^{04} - R_{NPE}\) on \(|U_{ij}|^2\) can be determined in a linear approximation as

\[
\Delta R^{UPE} = \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial R^{04}}{\partial |U_{ij}|^2} |U_{ij}|^2.
\]

Assuming the hierarchy \([57]\) of UPE amplitudes, this can be approximated by retaining only \(U_{11}\):

\[
\Delta R^{UPE} \approx \frac{\partial R^{04}}{\partial |U_{11}|^2} |U_{11}|^2.
\]  (73)

According to \((70)\) and \((71)\), \(R^{04}\) can be approximated by \(R = N_L / N_T\), which yields, along with \([24,28]\),

\[
\Delta R^{UPE} \approx -N_L \sum_i |U_{11}|^2 \frac{N_T \epsilon N_L}{N_T + \epsilon N_L}
\]

\[
\approx -R^{04} \cdot \frac{u_1}{2} (1 + \epsilon R^{04}).
\]  (74)

Here \(u_1 \approx 2 \sum |U_{11}|^2 / (N_T + \epsilon N_L)\) is used instead of \([55]\). The final approximate formula for \(R_{NPE} = R^{04} - \Delta R^{UPE}\) is

\[
R_{NPE} \approx R^{04} \left[1 + \frac{u_1}{2} (1 + \epsilon R^{04})\right].
\]  (75)

### 11.2 HERMES Results on \(R\)

Evaluations of \(R\) from HERMES data are performed for the entire interval \(0 < Q^2 < -t' < 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2\). The \(Q^2\) dependences of the quantities \(R^{04}\) \([60]\) and \(R\) \([70,72]\) are presented in Fig. 19. In the HERMES kinematic conditions, at \(\epsilon \approx 0.8\), the value of \(\eta\) is about 0.1 (0.01) for the proton (deuteron), and the magnitude of the difference between \(R\) and \(R^{04}\) is small, of the order of 0.1.
In section 10, it was shown that, at the intermediate energy of the HERMES experiment, the cross section receives a significant UPE contribution. If it is caused by exchange of $\pi$, $a_1$, or $b_1$, this contribution would be negligible at higher energies [7]. In order to compare the HERMES results on $R$ with those of experiments at higher energy, it is appropriate to correct $R^{04}$ for the UPE contribution and consider $R^{NPE}$. The value of $\Delta R^{UPE}$ is about $-0.11$ ($-0.08$) for the proton (deuteron) at HERMES kinematics. The resulting values of $R^{NPE}$ are shown in Fig. 19 and Tab. 13.

11.3 Comparison to World Data and Models

Results for $R$ from different experiments can be compared only if either $R$ is independent of $t'$, or the $t'$ dependences of the cross sections $\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ and $\frac{d\sigma}{dt'}$ and the $t'$ intervals of the measurements of $R$ are the same. The $t'$ dependence of $R$ is determined essentially by the $t'$ dependence of the SDME $r_0^0$ (see (77)), which is found to be approximately flat in $t'$ both at HERMES (see Fig. 10) and at H1 [10] and ZEUS [9] kinematics. For this case, the ratio of the total cross sections coincides with the ratio of the cross sections that are differential in $t$ (see (64)).

The left panel of Fig. 20 shows HERMES results on the $Q^2$ dependence of $R^{04}$, as measured on the proton, in comparison to world data. Given the experimental uncertainties, there is no discrepancy with the data at lower energies from CLAS [57] and CORNELL [58]. The HERMES data at intermediate energies are not expected to match those at high energies because of the significant UPE contributions seen in the HERMES data, as discussed in section 9. Additional reasons may be the importance of valence-quark exchange for NPE amplitudes and also a generally different $W$ dependence of the longitudinal and transverse cross sections, as recently discussed in Ref. [34] in the context of a GPD-based model.

The right panel of Fig. 20 presents the HERMES results on the longitudinal-to-transverse cross section ratio $R^{NPE}$, which is corrected for the UPE contributions shown in the previous section to be of substantial size at intermediate energy. The HERMES data are compared to the recent high energy data on $R^{04}$ from ZEUS [9], for which the UPE contribution is expected to be strongly suppressed.

In order to investigate a possible $W$ dependence of the longitudinal-to-transverse cross section ratio, the HERMES and ZEUS data are fitted separately to a $Q^2$ dependence suggested by VMD models [2,25,60]:

$$R(Q^2) = c_0 \left( \frac{Q^2}{M_V^2} \right)^{c_1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (76)

where $c_0$ and $c_1$ are free parameters and $M_V$ is the mass of the $\rho^0$ meson. The fit results are $c_0 = 0.56 = 0.08$, $c_1 = 0.47 = 0.12$ for HERMES and $c_0 = 0.69 = 0.22$, $c_1 = 0.59 = 0.15$ for ZEUS, with $\chi^2/d.o.f. = 0.45$.
and 0.15 respectively. These $\chi^2$ values indicate that the fits are dominated by systematic uncertainties.

A $W$ dependence of the $Q^2$ slope is consistent with recent calculations using a GPD-based model [33]. We note the agreement of these calculations performed at $W = 5$ GeV for $Q^2$ values down to 3 GeV$^2$ (see dashed curve in Fig. 20) with the highest $Q^2 = 3$ GeV$^2$ point of HERMES. Uncertainties in the model calculations originating from uncertainties in the parton distributions employed are shown as a shaded band superimposed on the curve.

12 Summary

HERMES has studied exclusive $p^0$ production on the proton and deuteron at intermediate energies ($\langle W \rangle = 4.8$ GeV), at the average values of $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.95$ GeV$^2$, $(-t') = 0.13$ GeV$^2$, and $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.08$, using polarized beams and unpolarized targets.

By performing a maximum likelihood fit, fifteen unpolarized SDMEs and, for the first time, eight polarized SDMEs are obtained. The measured SDMEs are grouped according to their theoretically expected hierarchy. This facilitates the investigation of the relative importance of various helicity amplitudes describing different $\gamma^* \to p^0$ transitions. Within the given experimental uncertainties, the expected hierarchy of relative sizes of helicity amplitudes is observed.

Non-zero values are observed for the two helicity-flip amplitudes $T_{01}$ and $T_{10}$, indicating a small but statistically significant deviation from the hypothesis of $s$-channel helicity conservation.

The phase difference between the helicity-conserving amplitudes $T_{11}$ and $T_{00}$ is confirmed to be significantly non-zero and is also seen to have a possible $Q^2$ dependence. For the first time, the sign of the phase difference is determined using the polarized SDMEs.

The kinematic dependences of all 23 SDMEs are measured for both hydrogen and deuteron targets. Clear dependences on $Q^2$ and $t'$ are observed for certain SDMEs. No significant difference between proton and deuteron results is seen.

The evaluation of certain linear combinations of SDMEs provides an indication that at the intermediate energy of the HERMES experiment, contributions of unnatural-parity-exchange amplitudes exist. Such amplitudes are naturally generated by a quark-exchange mechanism.

In order to determine the longitudinal-to-transverse cross section ratio with respect to the polarization of the virtual photon, an approximation $R^{04}$ to the ratio $R$ of the cross sections for longitudinal and transverse $p^0$ polarizations is calculated from the SDME $\gamma_{00}^{04}$ as a function of $Q^2$. The results obtained for other SDMEs permit us to improve this approximation of $R$ by taking into account transitions of natural parity that do not conserve s-channel helicity.

In order to facilitate comparison with high-energy collider data, a correction is applied to $R^{04}$ to exclude contributions from unnatural-parity exchange. The comparison of the $Q^2$ dependences of $R$ at low and high values of $W$ suggests a possible $W$ dependence of the ratio.
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Appendix A. The 23 Spin-Density Matrix Elements Expressed in Terms of Helicity Amplitudes

The basic expressions for the 23 spin density matrix elements measurable with a polarized lepton beam and an unpolarized target, ordered according to the expected hierarchy of amplitudes, are given in (77)–(99).

Appendix B. Derivation of Formulae for cos δ and sin δ

Neglecting small contributions of the products of spin-flip amplitudes $T_{10}T_{01}^*$ and $U_{10}U_{01}^*$ in (93), we obtain the approximate relations:

$$\sqrt{2}(\text{Re}(r_{10}^5) - \text{Im}(r_{10}^6)) \approx \text{Re}(T_{11}T_{00}^*)/N,$$

$$= |T_{11}||T_{00}| \cos \delta /N,$$  \hspace{1cm} (100)

$$\sqrt{2}(\text{Re}(r_{10}^8) + \text{Im}(r_{10}^9)) \approx \text{Im}(T_{11}T_{00}^*)/N,$$

$$= |T_{11}||T_{00}| \sin \delta /N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (101)

Neglecting $|T_{01}|^2$ and $|U_{01}|^2$ in the numerator in relation (100) we get

$$r_{00}^{04}/\epsilon \approx |T_{00}|^2 /N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (102)

Recalling the formulae (27), (28), and (26) for $N$, we obtain from (107) the approximate relation

$$1 - r_{00}^{04} \approx \sum |T_{11}|^2 + |U_{11}|^2 /N.$$  \hspace{1cm} (103)
A: \( \gamma_L \to \rho_L^0 \) and \( \gamma_T \to \rho_T^0 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
& \quad \quad \quad r_{00}^{04} = \sum \{e|T_{00}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2\}/N, \\
& r_{1-1}^1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum \{|T_{11}|^2 + |T_{1-1}|^2 - |U_{11}|^2 - |U_{1-1}|^2\}/N, \\
& \Im \{r_{1-1}^1\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \{|T_{11}|^2 + |T_{1-1}|^2 + |U_{11}|^2 - |U_{1-1}|^2\}/N, \\
& \text{Re} \{r_{10}^0\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sum \Re \{2T_{10}T_{01}^{\ast} + (T_{11} - T_{1-1})T_{00}^{\ast}\}/N, \\
& \Im \{r_{10}^6\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sum \Re \{2U_{10}U_{01}^{\ast} - (T_{11} + T_{1-1})T_{00}^{\ast}\}/N, \\
& \Im \{r_{10}^7\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sum \Re \{2U_{10}U_{01}^{\ast} + (T_{11} + T_{1-1})T_{00}^{\ast}\}/N, \\
& \Re \{r_{10}^8\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \sum \Im \{-2T_{10}T_{01}^{\ast} + (T_{11} - T_{1-1})T_{00}^{\ast}\}/N, \\
& \text{Re} \{r_{10}^{03}\} = \sum \Re \{\epsilon T_{10}T_{00}^{\ast} + \frac{1}{2} T_{01}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^{\ast} + \frac{1}{2} U_{01}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \\
& \Re \{r_{10}^1\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \Re \{-T_{01}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^{\ast} + U_{01}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (84) \\
& \Im \{r_{10}^2\} = \frac{1}{2} \sum \Re \{T_{01}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^{\ast} - U_{01}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (85) \\
& r_{00}^5 = \sqrt{2} \sum \Re \{T_{01}T_{00}^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (86) \\
& r_{00}^6 = \sum \{-|T_{01}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2\}/N, \quad (87) \\
& \Im \{r_{10}^3\} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum \Im \{T_{01}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^{\ast} + U_{01}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (88) \\
& r_{00}^8 = \sqrt{2} \sum \Im \{T_{01}T_{00}^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (89) \\
& \text{D:} \quad \gamma_L^0 \to \rho_L^0 \\
& r_{11}^7 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \Re \{T_{10}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^{\ast} + U_{10}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (90) \\
& r_{1-1}^5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \Re \{-T_{10}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^{\ast} + U_{10}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (91) \\
& \Im \{r_{1-1}^6\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \Re \{T_{10}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^{\ast} - U_{10}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (92) \\
& \Im \{r_{1-1}^7\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \Re \{T_{10}(T_{11} + T_{1-1})^{\ast} - U_{10}(U_{11} + U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (93) \\
& r_{11}^8 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \Im \{T_{10}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^{\ast} + U_{10}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (94) \\
& r_{1-1}^8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum \Im \{-T_{10}(T_{11} - T_{1-1})^{\ast} + U_{10}(U_{11} - U_{1-1})^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (95) \\
& \text{E:} \quad \gamma_T^0 \to \rho_T^0 \\
& r_{1-1}^{04} = \sum \Re \{-\epsilon|T_{10}|^2 + \epsilon|U_{10}|^2 + T_{1-1}T_{11}^{\ast} + U_{1-1}U_{11}^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (96) \\
& r_{11}^1 = \sum \Re \{T_{1-1}T_{11}^{\ast} + U_{1-1}U_{11}^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (97) \\
& \Im \{r_{1-1}^1\} = -\sum \Im \{T_{1-1}T_{11}^{\ast} - U_{1-1}U_{11}^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (98) \\
& r_{11}^4 = \sum \Re \{-\epsilon|T_{10}|^2 + \epsilon|U_{10}|^2 + T_{1-1}T_{11}^{\ast} - U_{1-1}U_{11}^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (99) \\
& \Im \{r_{11}^4\} = -\sum \Im \{T_{1-1}T_{11}^{\ast} - U_{1-1}U_{11}^{\ast}\}/N, \quad (100)
\end{align*}
\]
Appendix C. Derivation of Formula for $\tau_{10}$

Here we derive the formula for $\tau_{10}$ only; formulae for $\tau_{01}$ and $\tau_{1-1}$ can be derived in an analogous way. If we retain only linear contributions of small s-channel helicity-flip amplitudes in the basic formulae for SDMEs (77)-(99), neglecting unnatural-parity-exchange contributions and bilinear products of helicity-flip amplitudes we obtain:

$$r_{00}^{10} = \epsilon |T_{00}|^2 / N_0 ,$$  \hfill (106)

$$\text{Re}\{r_{10}^{04}\} = \text{Re}\{T_{10}T_{00}^* + \frac{1}{2} T_{01}T_{11}^*\} / N_0 ,$$  \hfill (107)

$$\text{Re}\{r_{10}^{1}\} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\{T_{01}T_{11}^*\} / N_0 ,$$  \hfill (108)

$$r_{1-1}^{1} = -\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{2}\} = \frac{1}{2} |T_{11}|^2 / N_0 ,$$  \hfill (109)

$$r_{11}^{5} = \text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{6}\} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Re}\{T_{01}T_{11}^*\} / N_0 ,$$  \hfill (110)

$$\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{7}\} = -r_{11}^{8} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{Im}\{T_{10}T_{11}^*\} / N_0 ,$$  \hfill (111)

where $N_0 = |T_{00}|^2 + |T_{11}|^2$ (see (93)).

The parameter $\bar{\tau}_{10}$ was defined in [8] by the relation

$$\bar{\tau}_{10} = |T_{10}| / \sqrt{|T_{00}|^2 + |T_{11}|^2}$$  \hfill (112)

and estimated from (106,107,108) with the formula

$$\bar{\tau}_{10} \approx \frac{\text{Re}\{r_{10}^{04}\} + \text{Re}\{r_{10}^{1}\}}{\sqrt{r_{00}^{10}}} = \frac{|T_{10}| \cos \delta_{10}}{\sqrt{|T_{00}|^2 + |T_{11}|^2} / \epsilon}$$  \hfill (113)

where $\delta_{10} = \text{arg}(T_{10}) - \text{arg}(T_{00})$. Comparison of (112) and (113) shows that they are equal to each other if $\epsilon \approx 1$ and $\delta_{10} \approx 0$.

Instead of (113) we derive a formula which is applicable for any values of $\delta_{10}$ and $\epsilon$. Combining (106) and (110), we obtain

$$\left(r_{11}^{5} + \text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{6}\}\right)^2 + (\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{7}\} - r_{11}^{8})^2 = \frac{2}{N_0^2} \left[\left|\text{Re}\{T_{10}T_{11}^*\}\right|^2 + (\text{Im}\{T_{10}T_{11}^*\})^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{N_0^2} |T_{10}|^2 |T_{11}|^2 .$$  \hfill (114)

Dividing (114) by $2r_{1-1}^{7} - 2\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{2}\} = 2|T_{11}|^2 / N_0$ (see (109)) we get the final approximate formula

$$\tau_{10} \approx |T_{10}| / \sqrt{N_0}$$

$$\approx \sqrt{(r_{11}^{5} + \text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{6}\})^2 + (\text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{7}\} - r_{11}^{8})^2} / \sqrt{2(r_{1-1}^{7} - \text{Im}\{r_{1-1}^{2}\})} .$$  \hfill (115)

Since $N_0 = N$ within the approximation under consideration, formula (115) corresponds to definition (103) of $\tau_{10}$. In the case $\epsilon = 1$, which was considered in Ref. [8], the estimate (115) for $\tau_{10}$ coincides with the definition given in (112).

Appendix D. Derivation of Relations between $R^{04}$ and $R$

From (99, 77, 20) it follows that

$$\epsilon R^{04} = \frac{\sum \{\epsilon |T_{00}|^2 + |T_{01}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2\}}{N_T + \epsilon N_L - \sum \{\epsilon |T_{00}|^2 + |T_{01}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2\}} =$$

$$\frac{\epsilon N_L + \sum \{-2\epsilon(|T_{10}|^2 + |U_{10}|^2) + |T_{10}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2\}}{N_T - \sum \{-2\epsilon(|T_{10}|^2 + |U_{10}|^2) + |T_{01}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2\}} ,$$  \hfill (116)

where in the second step we have used the formula for $N_L$ (28) for the transformation of (116). Dividing both the numerator and denominator in (116) by $N_T$ and remembering the definition (24) of $R$ we get

$$\epsilon R^{04} = \frac{\epsilon \bar{R} + \zeta}{1 - \zeta}$$  \hfill (117)

with

$$\zeta = \frac{\sum \{-2\epsilon(|T_{10}|^2 + |U_{10}|^2) + |T_{10}|^2 + |U_{01}|^2\}}{N_T} = \frac{\eta(1 + \epsilon R)}{1 + \epsilon R^{04}}.$$  \hfill (118)

The last relation follows from comparison of (118) and the definition (74) of $\eta$. Equation (117) can be easily rewritten in the form

$$R = R^{04} - \frac{\zeta}{\epsilon}(1 + \epsilon R^{04})$$  \hfill (119)
which is equivalent to (70) if we take into account the relation (118) between $\eta$ and $\zeta$.

**Appendix E. Kinematic Intervals, Mean Values for Kinematic Variables and SDMEs, for Proton and Deuteron**

The resulting SDMEs with statistical and systematic uncertainties are presented below in tabular form for hydrogen and deuterium targets. First, in Tab. 2 the mean kinematic values are presented for the entire kinematic region of the measurement and for each bin used in the $Q^2$, $t'$ and $x_B$ dependences. In Tabs. 3 4 5 6 7 8 the results of the measurement of the $Q^2$, $t'$ and $x_B$-dependences, respectively, for the proton (deuteron) are listed. The values of the phase difference $\delta$ between $T_{11}$ and $T_{00}$ amplitudes, from proton and deuteron data, are contained in Tab. 9. The kinematic dependences of the $u_1$ value used for the study of unnatural-parity-exchange amplitudes are in Tab. 10. The SDMEs measured over the entire kinematic region from proton data, but presented using the recent representation of Ref. [24] are listed in Tab. 14. The correlation matrices of the 23 SDMEs measured for the proton and deuteron over the entire kinematic region are presented in Tabs. 15 and 16.
Table 2. The definition of intervals and the mean values for kinematic variables for hydrogen (deuterium) data.

| Element | $Q^2$ | $\langle Q^2 \rangle$, GeV$^2$ |
|---------|-------|-------------------------------|
| $0.5 \text{ GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 1.0 \text{ GeV}^2$ | 0.82 (0.82) |
| $1.0 \text{ GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 1.4 \text{ GeV}^2$ | 1.19 (1.18) |
| $1.4 \text{ GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ | 1.66 (1.66) |
| $2 \text{ GeV}^2 < Q^2 < 7 \text{ GeV}^2$ | 3.06 (3.04) |

Table 3. The 23 unpolarized and polarized SDMEs for $\rho^0$ production from the proton in $Q^2$ bins defined by the limits 0.5, 1.0, 1.4, 2.0, and 7.0 GeV$^2$. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.

| Element | $Q^2 = 0.82$ GeV$^2$ | $Q^2 = 1.19$ GeV$^2$ | $Q^2 = 1.66$ GeV$^2$ | $Q^2 = 3.06$ GeV$^2$ |
|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $r_{00}$ | $0.349 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.061$ | $0.368 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.011$ | $0.397 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.018$ | $0.454 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.011$ |
| $r_{10}$ | $0.283 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.049$ | $0.262 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.024$ | $0.274 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.024$ | $0.294 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.012$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $-0.294 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.038$ | $-0.255 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.022$ | $-0.239 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.011$ | $-0.197 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.012$ |
| Im $r_{11}$ | $0.151 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.026$ | $0.171 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.000$ | $0.161 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.004$ | $0.141 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.008$ |
| $r_{10}$ | $-0.149 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.010$ | $-0.167 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.003$ | $-0.167 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$ | $-0.156 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$ |
| Im $r_{10}$ | $0.079 \pm 0.068 \pm 0.011$ | $0.092 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.010$ | $0.093 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.004$ | $0.187 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.018$ |
| $r_{00}$ | $0.040 \pm 0.043 \pm 0.011$ | $0.020 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.008$ | $0.074 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.002$ | $0.098 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.005$ |
| $r_{10}$ | $0.028 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.020$ | $0.029 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.003$ | $0.035 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.011$ | $0.026 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.003$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $-0.037 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.032$ | $-0.043 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.036 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.012$ | $-0.009 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.010$ |
| Im $r_{11}$ | $0.023 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.007$ | $0.022 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.018$ | $0.005 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.024$ | $0.022 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.008$ |
| $r_{00}$ | $0.121 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.039$ | $0.094 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.017$ | $0.057 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.019$ | $0.151 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.007$ |
| $r_{10}$ | $0.054 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.013$ | $0.011 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.018$ | $0.007 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.009$ | $0.037 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.002$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $0.002 \pm 0.041 \pm 0.008$ | $-0.041 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.005$ | $-0.074 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.005$ | $0.048 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.006$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $0.022 \pm 0.079 \pm 0.026$ | $0.040 \pm 0.084 \pm 0.014$ | $0.054 \pm 0.086 \pm 0.011$ | $0.010 \pm 0.085 \pm 0.016$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $-0.015 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.011 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.008 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.011$ | $-0.021 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.016$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $0.009 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.019$ | $0.008 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.013 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.003$ | $0.020 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $-0.011 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.013$ | $0.002 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.007$ | $0.002 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.004$ | $-0.010 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.007$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $-0.003 \pm 0.078 \pm 0.021$ | $0.023 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.013$ | $-0.005 \pm 0.055 \pm 0.010$ | $-0.109 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.004$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $0.019 \pm 0.053 \pm 0.007$ | $0.056 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.004$ | $0.051 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.005$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $0.013 \pm 0.062 \pm 0.008$ | $0.072 \pm 0.053 \pm 0.011$ | $-0.018 \pm 0.054 \pm 0.004$ | $0.004 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.014$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $-0.024 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.021$ | $-0.014 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010$ | $-0.019 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.003$ | $0.001 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.007$ |
| $r_{11}$ | $-0.030 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.018$ | $-0.034 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.013$ | $-0.023 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.008$ | $-0.018 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.010$ |
| Im $r_{11}$ | $0.021 \pm 0.051 \pm 0.010$ | $0.000 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.004$ | $-0.031 \pm 0.032 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.026 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.005$ |
Table 4. The 23 unpolarized and polarized SDMEs for $\rho^0$ production from the proton in $-t'$ bins defined by the limits 0.0, 0.04, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 GeV$^2$. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.

| Element | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.019$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.068$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.146$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.281$ GeV$^2$ |
|---------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| $r_{00}^0$ | 0.393 ± 0.018 ± 0.019 | 0.394 ± 0.018 ± 0.025 | 0.415 ± 0.019 ± 0.021 | 0.481 ± 0.019 ± 0.028 |
| $r_{1-1}^0$ | 0.235 ± 0.021 ± 0.014 | 0.265 ± 0.021 ± 0.012 | 0.260 ± 0.020 ± 0.031 | 0.203 ± 0.020 ± 0.030 |
| Im $r_{1-1}^0$ | -0.204 ± 0.020 ± 0.008 | -0.243 ± 0.019 ± 0.011 | -0.232 ± 0.019 ± 0.041 | -0.231 ± 0.019 ± 0.021 |
| Re $r_{50}^0$ | 0.156 ± 0.007 ± 0.005 | 0.156 ± 0.007 ± 0.010 | 0.153 ± 0.007 ± 0.007 | 0.153 ± 0.008 ± 0.009 |
| Im $r_{10}^0$ | -0.162 ± 0.007 ± 0.005 | -0.170 ± 0.007 ± 0.010 | -0.155 ± 0.007 ± 0.006 | -0.153 ± 0.008 ± 0.008 |
| Re $r_{10}^0$ | 0.103 ± 0.040 ± 0.007 | 0.112 ± 0.040 ± 0.007 | 0.081 ± 0.042 ± 0.011 | 0.163 ± 0.047 ± 0.033 |
| Im $r_{10}^0$ | 0.042 ± 0.037 ± 0.011 | 0.059 ± 0.037 ± 0.006 | 0.100 ± 0.036 ± 0.013 | 0.114 ± 0.039 ± 0.015 |
| Re $r_{04}^0$ | 0.018 ± 0.008 ± 0.004 | 0.027 ± 0.008 ± 0.011 | 0.035 ± 0.008 ± 0.007 | 0.038 ± 0.008 ± 0.003 |
| Re $r_{10}^1$ | -0.009 ± 0.014 ± 0.005 | -0.045 ± 0.014 ± 0.024 | -0.013 ± 0.015 ± 0.009 | -0.046 ± 0.016 ± 0.015 |
| Im $r_{10}^0$ | -0.001 ± 0.014 ± 0.011 | 0.030 ± 0.014 ± 0.019 | 0.015 ± 0.013 ± 0.019 | 0.030 ± 0.015 ± 0.013 |
| $r_{50}^0$ | 0.039 ± 0.016 ± 0.001 | 0.068 ± 0.016 ± 0.030 | 0.136 ± 0.018 ± 0.010 | 0.219 ± 0.020 ± 0.022 |
| $r_{10}^0$ | 0.019 ± 0.035 ± 0.020 | 0.015 ± 0.035 ± 0.027 | -0.026 ± 0.036 ± 0.021 | -0.005 ± 0.041 ± 0.013 |
| Im $r_{10}^0$ | -0.035 ± 0.028 ± 0.001 | -0.044 ± 0.027 ± 0.004 | 0.018 ± 0.029 ± 0.007 | 0.009 ± 0.032 ± 0.025 |
| $r_{00}^2$ | -0.013 ± 0.103 ± 0.011 | 0.128 ± 0.097 ± 0.012 | -0.028 ± 0.095 ± 0.028 | 0.066 ± 0.097 ± 0.012 |
| $r_{51}^0$ | -0.009 ± 0.007 ± 0.004 | -0.011 ± 0.006 ± 0.009 | -0.009 ± 0.007 ± 0.011 | -0.031 ± 0.006 ± 0.024 |
| $r_{1-1}^0$ | -0.009 ± 0.008 ± 0.003 | 0.005 ± 0.008 ± 0.004 | 0.003 ± 0.008 ± 0.008 | 0.012 ± 0.008 ± 0.008 |
| Im $r_{1-1}^1$ | 0.010 ± 0.008 ± 0.002 | -0.010 ± 0.008 ± 0.008 | 0.006 ± 0.008 ± 0.003 | -0.009 ± 0.008 ± 0.002 |
| Im $r_{1-1}^0$ | -0.034 ± 0.064 ± 0.011 | -0.040 ± 0.060 ± 0.004 | -0.076 ± 0.060 ± 0.015 | -0.005 ± 0.058 ± 0.008 |
| $r_{11}^1$ | 0.018 ± 0.050 ± 0.003 | -0.010 ± 0.048 ± 0.009 | 0.061 ± 0.045 ± 0.006 | 0.068 ± 0.042 ± 0.006 |
| $r_{1-1}^1$ | 0.021 ± 0.062 ± 0.005 | 0.024 ± 0.058 ± 0.009 | -0.019 ± 0.055 ± 0.007 | 0.051 ± 0.053 ± 0.006 |
| $r_{1-1}^0$ | 0.008 ± 0.011 ± 0.003 | 0.008 ± 0.011 ± 0.010 | -0.033 ± 0.011 ± 0.008 | -0.029 ± 0.010 ± 0.003 |
| $r_{11}^1$ | -0.022 ± 0.015 ± 0.016 | 0.002 ± 0.015 ± 0.009 | -0.036 ± 0.014 ± 0.003 | -0.034 ± 0.014 ± 0.012 |
| Im $r_{1-1}^1$ | -0.038 ± 0.036 ± 0.008 | -0.015 ± 0.035 ± 0.001 | -0.014 ± 0.036 ± 0.006 | -0.036 ± 0.036 ± 0.010 |
| Element     | $(x_B) = 0.042$       | $(x_B) = 0.064$       | $(x_B) = 0.120$       |
|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| $r_{00}^1$ | 0.349 ± 0.044 ± 0.031 | 0.405 ± 0.017 ± 0.013 | 0.448 ± 0.014 ± 0.012 |
| $r_{-1}^1$ | 0.297 ± 0.036 ± 0.006 | 0.253 ± 0.014 ± 0.023 | 0.216 ± 0.015 ± 0.019 |
| Im $r_{-1}^1$ | −0.308 ± 0.032 ± 0.024 | −0.220 ± 0.031 ± 0.008 | −0.198 ± 0.014 ± 0.015 |
| Re $r_{10}^5$ | 0.151 ± 0.027 ± 0.014 | 0.176 ± 0.008 ± 0.007 | 0.146 ± 0.006 ± 0.012 |
| Im $r_{10}^6$ | −0.172 ± 0.015 ± 0.006 | −0.169 ± 0.005 ± 0.003 | −0.157 ± 0.006 ± 0.012 |
| Im $r_{10}^7$ | 0.132 ± 0.067 ± 0.015 | 0.045 ± 0.061 ± 0.016 | 0.160 ± 0.032 ± 0.018 |
| Re $r_{10}^8$ | 0.021 ± 0.041 ± 0.002 | 0.067 ± 0.042 ± 0.000 | 0.092 ± 0.032 ± 0.011 |
| Re $r_{10}^{04}$ | 0.023 ± 0.021 ± 0.005 | 0.038 ± 0.017 ± 0.010 | 0.033 ± 0.006 ± 0.005 |
| Re $r_{10}^{10}$ | −0.013 ± 0.035 ± 0.014 | −0.050 ± 0.011 ± 0.010 | −0.021 ± 0.011 ± 0.019 |
| Im $r_{10}^{10}$ | 0.035 ± 0.021 ± 0.017 | 0.009 ± 0.022 ± 0.015 | 0.027 ± 0.011 ± 0.017 |
| $r_{00}^5$ | 0.079 ± 0.051 ± 0.034 | 0.056 ± 0.013 ± 0.017 | 0.130 ± 0.013 ± 0.014 |
| $r_{00}^6$ | 0.044 ± 0.064 ± 0.016 | 0.000 ± 0.029 ± 0.005 | 0.067 ± 0.030 ± 0.007 |
| Im $r_{10}^{10}$ | −0.025 ± 0.042 ± 0.001 | −0.067 ± 0.033 ± 0.001 | 0.014 ± 0.022 ± 0.005 |
| $r_{60}^8$ | 0.100 ± 0.092 ± 0.011 | 0.030 ± 0.074 ± 0.003 | −0.005 ± 0.090 ± 0.031 |
| $r_{5}^2$ | 0.005 ± 0.022 ± 0.011 | −0.014 ± 0.011 ± 0.005 | −0.019 ± 0.004 ± 0.016 |
| $r_{1}^1$ | −0.014 ± 0.020 ± 0.002 | 0.017 ± 0.007 ± 0.004 | 0.000 ± 0.006 ± 0.005 |
| Im $r_{10}^6$ | 0.000 ± 0.017 ± 0.003 | 0.003 ± 0.014 ± 0.007 | −0.001 ± 0.006 ± 0.007 |
| Im $r_{10}^{10}$ | 0.019 ± 0.099 ± 0.012 | 0.071 ± 0.135 ± 0.019 | −0.142 ± 0.044 ± 0.010 |
| $r_{11}^{8}$ | 0.042 ± 0.060 ± 0.007 | 0.081 ± 0.079 ± 0.008 | −0.002 ± 0.033 ± 0.009 |
| $r_{11}^{8}$ | 0.089 ± 0.065 ± 0.003 | 0.031 ± 0.077 ± 0.003 | 0.005 ± 0.043 ± 0.009 |
| $r_{10}^{04}$ | −0.025 ± 0.020 ± 0.007 | −0.001 ± 0.012 ± 0.010 | −0.016 ± 0.008 ± 0.003 |
| $r_{11}^{11}$ | −0.067 ± 0.030 ± 0.008 | −0.018 ± 0.014 ± 0.011 | −0.032 ± 0.010 ± 0.009 |
| Im $r_{10}^{10}$ | −0.020 ± 0.067 ± 0.014 | −0.017 ± 0.061 ± 0.009 | −0.001 ± 0.026 ± 0.004 |

Table 5. The 23 unpolarized and polarized SDMEs for $\rho^0$ production from the proton in $x_B$ bins defined by the limits 0.0, 0.05, 0.08, and 0.35. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.
Table 6. The 23 unpolarized and polarized SDMEs for $\rho^0$ production from the deuteron in $Q^2$ bins defined by the limits 0.5, 1.0, 1.4, 2.0, and 7.0 GeV$^2$. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.

| SDME    | $(Q^2) = 0.82$ GeV$^2$                  | $(Q^2) = 1.18$ GeV$^2$                  | $(Q^2) = 1.66$ GeV$^2$                  | $(Q^2) = 3.04$ GeV$^2$                  |
|---------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| $r_{10}^0$ | 0.365 ± 0.015 ± 0.058                  | 0.386 ± 0.017 ± 0.010                  | 0.445 ± 0.012 ± 0.022                  | 0.407 ± 0.011 ± 0.013                  |
| $r_{1,-1}^1$ | 0.294 ± 0.013 ± 0.060                  | 0.278 ± 0.016 ± 0.022                  | 0.235 ± 0.013 ± 0.012                  | 0.216 ± 0.014 ± 0.008                  |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,-1}^1$ | −0.287 ± 0.014 ± 0.047               | −0.267 ± 0.013 ± 0.034               | −0.194 ± 0.014 ± 0.020               | −0.219 ± 0.014 ± 0.017               |
| $\text{Re} r_{1,0}^5$ | 0.159 ± 0.008 ± 0.025                  | 0.173 ± 0.005 ± 0.002                  | 0.160 ± 0.005 ± 0.006                  | 0.154 ± 0.005 ± 0.005                  |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,0}^5$ | −0.159 ± 0.008 ± 0.015                 | −0.165 ± 0.005 ± 0.001                 | −0.155 ± 0.005 ± 0.003                 | −0.139 ± 0.005 ± 0.007                 |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,0}^3$ | 0.070 ± 0.042 ± 0.004                  | 0.080 ± 0.028 ± 0.011                  | 0.102 ± 0.030 ± 0.004                  | 0.125 ± 0.026 ± 0.007                  |
| $\text{Re} r_{1,0}^3$ | 0.080 ± 0.028 ± 0.012                  | 0.080 ± 0.023 ± 0.003                  | 0.132 ± 0.026 ± 0.006                  | 0.117 ± 0.024 ± 0.012                  |
| $\text{Re} r_{1,0}^4$ | 0.021 ± 0.007 ± 0.019                  | 0.036 ± 0.006 ± 0.004                  | 0.026 ± 0.006 ± 0.016                  | 0.023 ± 0.005 ± 0.006                  |
| $\text{Re} r_{1,0}^1$ | −0.025 ± 0.011 ± 0.031                 | −0.017 ± 0.009 ± 0.002                 | −0.014 ± 0.010 ± 0.015                 | −0.031 ± 0.010 ± 0.013                 |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,0}^2$ | −0.006 ± 0.011 ± 0.017                 | 0.016 ± 0.009 ± 0.018                  | 0.015 ± 0.010 ± 0.038                  | 0.004 ± 0.010 ± 0.018                  |
| $r_{1,0}^5$ | 0.097 ± 0.017 ± 0.035                  | 0.088 ± 0.013 ± 0.011                  | 0.113 ± 0.012 ± 0.018                  | 0.119 ± 0.012 ± 0.006                  |
| $r_{1,0}^3$ | 0.019 ± 0.028 ± 0.014                  | −0.018 ± 0.024 ± 0.019                 | −0.031 ± 0.025 ± 0.020                 | −0.036 ± 0.026 ± 0.009                 |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,0}^3$ | 0.005 ± 0.029 ± 0.004                  | 0.003 ± 0.019 ± 0.008                  | 0.024 ± 0.021 ± 0.003                  | 0.062 ± 0.018 ± 0.003                  |
| $r_{1,0}^8$ | 0.138 ± 0.061 ± 0.010                  | 0.221 ± 0.066 ± 0.021                  | 0.258 ± 0.069 ± 0.016                  | −0.098 ± 0.063 ± 0.007                 |
| $r_{1,0}^5$ | −0.009 ± 0.006 ± 0.005                 | −0.013 ± 0.004 ± 0.009                 | −0.017 ± 0.004 ± 0.013                 | −0.027 ± 0.004 ± 0.015                 |
| $r_{1,0}^3$ | 0.008 ± 0.007 ± 0.016                  | 0.009 ± 0.005 ± 0.002                  | 0.006 ± 0.006 ± 0.004                  | 0.021 ± 0.006 ± 0.011                  |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,0}^3$ | −0.007 ± 0.007 ± 0.018                 | −0.003 ± 0.005 ± 0.004                 | −0.003 ± 0.006 ± 0.006                 | −0.013 ± 0.006 ± 0.005                 |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,0}^7$ | −0.066 ± 0.052 ± 0.008                 | −0.040 ± 0.040 ± 0.016                 | −0.026 ± 0.044 ± 0.001                 | −0.100 ± 0.037 ± 0.013                 |
| $r_{1,0}^8$ | 0.007 ± 0.039 ± 0.003                  | −0.011 ± 0.035 ± 0.010                 | 0.047 ± 0.033 ± 0.008                  | 0.037 ± 0.028 ± 0.001                  |
| $r_{1,0}^8$ | −0.015 ± 0.047 ± 0.014                 | −0.055 ± 0.040 ± 0.015                 | −0.083 ± 0.041 ± 0.003                 | −0.072 ± 0.036 ± 0.017                 |
| $r_{1,0}^4$ | 0.000 ± 0.009 ± 0.021                  | 0.003 ± 0.008 ± 0.012                  | −0.006 ± 0.008 ± 0.009                 | −0.008 ± 0.007 ± 0.003                 |
| $r_{1,0}^1$ | −0.029 ± 0.012 ± 0.019                 | −0.002 ± 0.009 ± 0.013                 | −0.003 ± 0.010 ± 0.011                 | −0.012 ± 0.010 ± 0.007                 |
| $\text{Im} r_{1,0}^3$ | 0.006 ± 0.031 ± 0.010                  | −0.017 ± 0.024 ± 0.008                 | −0.023 ± 0.026 ± 0.003                 | 0.029 ± 0.022 ± 0.004                  |
Table 7. The 23 unpolarized and polarized SDMEs for $\rho^0$ production from the deuteron in $-t'$ bins defined by the limits 0.0, 0.04, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.4 GeV$^2$. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.

| Element | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.018$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.068$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.145$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle -t' \rangle = 0.283$ GeV$^2$ |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| $r_{00}^{04}$ | $0.440 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.017$ | $0.396 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.024$ | $0.389 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.017$ | $0.434 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.032$ |
| $r_{1}^{1}^{-1}$ | $0.225 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.014$ | $0.261 \pm 0.017 \pm 0.011$ | $0.272 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.029$ | $0.247 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.029$ |
| Im $r_{1}^{1}$ | $-0.208 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.015$ | $-0.258 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.019$ | $-0.239 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.028$ | $-0.229 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.026$ |
| Re $r_{10}^{3}$ | $0.155 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.0061$ | $0.153 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$ | $0.172 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.009$ | $0.154 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.009$ |
| Im $r_{10}^{6}$ | $-0.154 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.003$ | $-0.150 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.004$ | $-0.150 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.154 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.010$ |
| Im $r_{10}^{7}$ | $0.084 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.006$ | $0.122 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.008$ | $0.108 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.007$ | $0.086 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.015$ |
| Re $r_{10}^{6}$ | $0.161 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.010$ | $0.095 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.007$ | $0.081 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.006$ | $0.099 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.012$ |
| Re $r_{10}^{9}$ | $0.016 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$ | $0.025 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.011$ | $0.034 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$ | $0.046 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.005$ |
| Re $r_{10}^{11}$ | $-0.010 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.005$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.021$ | $-0.020 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.010$ | $-0.047 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.018$ |
| Im $r_{10}^{12}$ | $0.012 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010$ | $0.018 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.018$ | $0.005 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.018$ | $0.036 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.017$ |
| $r_{00}^{8}$ | $0.053 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.003$ | $0.085 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.033$ | $0.108 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.003$ | $0.215 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.032$ |
| $r_{10}^{5}$ | $-0.077 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.025$ | $-0.001 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.034$ | $-0.053 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.028$ | $-0.040 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.030$ |
| Im $r_{10}^{9}$ | $0.020 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$ | $0.043 \pm 0.020 \pm 0.003$ | $0.022 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.004$ | $0.033 \pm 0.027 \pm 0.006$ |
| $r_{11}^{8}$ | $0.157 \pm 0.073 \pm 0.013$ | $0.111 \pm 0.076 \pm 0.011$ | $-0.147 \pm 0.070 \pm 0.013$ | $0.078 \pm 0.079 \pm 0.012$ |
| $r_{11}^{6}$ | $-0.018 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.007$ | $-0.014 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.009$ | $-0.012 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.005$ | $-0.025 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.023$ |
| $r_{11}^{4}$ | $0.001 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.003$ | $0.007 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.004$ | $0.006 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.007$ | $0.017 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ |
| Im $r_{11}^{6}$ | $-0.007 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.002$ | $-0.005 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.005$ | $0.001 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.009$ | $-0.003 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.002$ |
| Im $r_{11}^{4}$ | $-0.037 \pm 0.043 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.041 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.004$ | $-0.060 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.002$ | $-0.080 \pm 0.048 \pm 0.008$ |
| $r_{11}^{8}$ | $-0.038 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.002$ | $-0.003 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.004$ | $0.078 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.001$ | $0.056 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.008$ |
| $r_{11}^{6}$ | $-0.095 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.010$ | $-0.55 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.008$ | $-0.030 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.008$ | $-0.091 \pm 0.045 \pm 0.006$ |
| $r_{11}^{4}$ | $0.016 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.004$ | $-0.005 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.006$ | $-0.021 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.001$ | $-0.013 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.008$ |
| $r_{11}^{1}$ | $0.026 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.013$ | $-0.004 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.015$ | $-0.036 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.004$ | $-0.016 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.011$ |
| Im $r_{11}^{4}$ | $0.003 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.002$ | $-0.036 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.005$ | $-0.033 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.002$ | $0.052 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.005$ |
Table 8. The 23 unpolarized and polarized SDMEs for $\rho^0$ production from the deuteron in $x_B$ bins defined by the limits 0.0, 0.05, 0.08, and 0.35. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.

| Element | $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.042$ | $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.064$ | $\langle x_B \rangle = 0.119$ |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $r_{11}^{1}$ | -0.226 ± 0.021 ± 0.039 | -0.234 ± 0.011 ± 0.019 | -0.218 ± 0.012 ± 0.018 |
| Re $r_{11}^{1}$ | 0.162 ± 0.005 ± 0.021 | 0.168 ± 0.005 ± 0.007 | 0.148 ± 0.004 ± 0.011 |
| Im $r_{11}^{1}$ | -0.162 ± 0.003 ± 0.017 | -0.160 ± 0.004 ± 0.004 | -0.132 ± 0.005 ± 0.009 |
| $r_{11}^{3}$ | 0.080 ± 0.017 ± 0.018 | 0.080 ± 0.020 ± 0.005 | 0.145 ± 0.024 ± 0.018 |
| Im $r_{11}^{3}$ | 0.044 ± 0.005 ± 0.009 | 0.027 ± 0.005 ± 0.012 | 0.026 ± 0.005 ± 0.005 |
| Re $r_{10}^{1}$ | -0.017 ± 0.013 ± 0.027 | -0.016 ± 0.010 ± 0.009 | -0.025 ± 0.009 ± 0.018 |
| Im $r_{10}^{1}$ | 0.013 ± 0.008 ± 0.031 | 0.024 ± 0.009 ± 0.019 | 0.011 ± 0.010 ± 0.017 |
| $r_{1}^{5}$ | 0.138 ± 0.014 ± 0.043 | 0.075 ± 0.011 ± 0.014 | 0.120 ± 0.010 ± 0.014 |
| $r_{10}^{5}$ | 0.100 ± 0.023 ± 0.061 | 0.056 ± 0.022 ± 0.011 | 0.019 ± 0.024 ± 0.009 |
| $r_{1}^{3}$ | 0.048 ± 0.026 ± 0.020 | 0.010 ± 0.018 ± 0.005 | 0.040 ± 0.017 ± 0.002 |
| $r_{0}^{5}$ | 0.199 ± 0.043 ± 0.024 | 0.074 ± 0.058 ± 0.005 | -0.059 ± 0.062 ± 0.016 |
| $r_{11}^{5}$ | 0.010 ± 0.007 ± 0.021 | -0.006 ± 0.004 ± 0.005 | -0.030 ± 0.004 ± 0.015 |
| $r_{11}^{7}$ | 0.018 ± 0.009 ± 0.011 | 0.000 ± 0.005 ± 0.002 | 0.020 ± 0.004 ± 0.007 |
| $r_{11}^{1}$ | -0.010 ± 0.011 ± 0.002 | 0.001 ± 0.005 ± 0.008 | -0.012 ± 0.005 ± 0.005 |
| $r_{11}^{3}$ | -0.081 ± 0.033 ± 0.032 | -0.028 ± 0.037 ± 0.003 | -0.081 ± 0.036 ± 0.010 |
| $r_{11}^{3}$ | -0.028 ± 0.026 ± 0.007 | 0.020 ± 0.029 ± 0.001 | 0.040 ± 0.026 ± 0.004 |
| $r_{11}^{4}$ | -0.116 ± 0.042 ± 0.028 | -0.046 ± 0.035 ± 0.004 | -0.073 ± 0.034 ± 0.017 |
| $r_{11}^{4}$ | 0.004 ± 0.008 ± 0.020 | -0.003 ± 0.007 ± 0.012 | -0.007 ± 0.006 ± 0.002 |
| $r_{11}^{1}$ | -0.023 ± 0.016 ± 0.020 | -0.007 ± 0.009 ± 0.011 | -0.010 ± 0.009 ± 0.010 |
| Im $r_{11}^{3}$ | -0.001 ± 0.013 ± 0.019 | -0.032 ± 0.022 ± 0.004 | 0.027 ± 0.019 ± 0.004 |

Table 9. The values of the phase difference $\delta$ between $T_{11}$ and $T_{00}$ amplitudes calculated according to [50] for the proton and deuteron in $Q^2$ bins defined by the limits 0.5, 1.0, 1.4, 2.0, and 7.0 GeV$^2$. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.

| target    | $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 0.82$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.19$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1.66$ GeV$^2$ | $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 3.06$ GeV$^2$ |
|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| proton    | 36.17 ± 12.33 ± 7.09             | 18.76 ± 6.99 ± 5.62             | 26.52 ± 3.92 ± 0.91             | 36.53 ± 2.79 ± 3.65             |
| deuteron  | 33.18 ± 4.55 ± 9.88              | 22.55 ± 4.07 ± 2.83             | 30.37 ± 3.13 ± 0.54             | 36.58 ± 2.28 ± 3.99             |

Table 10. Values in different kinematic bins of the variable $u_1 = 1 - r_{60}^{04} + 2r_{11}^{04} - 2r_{11}^{1} - 2r_{11}^{1}$, used for the test of NPE dominance, for proton and deuteron data. The first uncertainties are statistical, the second systematic.

| bin | $u_1$ proton | $u_1$ deuteron |
|-----|--------------|---------------|
| 0.5 GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 1.0$ GeV$^2$ | 0.114 ± 0.053 ± 0.045 | 0.104 ± 0.035 ± 0.061 |
| 1.0 GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 1.4$ GeV$^2$ | 0.148 ± 0.035 ± 0.044 | 0.069 ± 0.026 ± 0.048 |
| 1.4 GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 2.0$ GeV$^2$ | 0.063 ± 0.037 ± 0.077 | 0.078 ± 0.028 ± 0.028 |
| 2.0 GeV$^2 < Q^2 < 7.0$ GeV$^2$ | 0.178 ± 0.038 ± 0.040 | 0.160 ± 0.032 ± 0.024 |
| 0.0 GeV$^2 < -t' < 0.04$ GeV$^2$ | 0.197 ± 0.043 ± 0.035 | 0.091 ± 0.029 ± 0.024 |
| 0.04 GeV$^2 < -t' < 0.10$ GeV$^2$ | 0.090 ± 0.040 ± 0.041 | 0.082 ± 0.032 ± 0.039 |
| 0.10 GeV$^2 < -t' < 0.20$ GeV$^2$ | 0.073 ± 0.041 ± 0.078 | 0.097 ± 0.033 ± 0.068 |
| 0.20 GeV$^2 < -t' < 0.40$ GeV$^2$ | 0.125 ± 0.040 ± 0.107 | 0.077 ± 0.036 ± 0.095 |
| 0.0 < $x_B$ < 0.05 | 0.142 ± 0.099 ± 0.005 | 0.180 ± 0.063 ± 0.075 |
| 0.05 < $x_B$ < 0.08 | 0.123 ± 0.037 ± 0.029 | 0.070 ± 0.027 ± 0.031 |
| 0.08 < $x_B$ < 0.35 | 0.152 ± 0.031 ± 0.055 | 0.149 ± 0.027 ± 0.039 |
Table 11. Results on $u_1$, $u_2$ and $u_3$, calculated according to (52-53), shown together with average value or range in $Q^2$ and $W$. Top section: HERMES results from proton and deuteron data, shown with statistical and systematic uncertainties separately. Bottom section: results from other experiments calculated according to (62-64), with statistical and systematic uncertainties combined in quadrature without accounting for correlations between the SDMEs.

| Experiment | $Q^2$, GeV$^2$ | $W$, GeV | $u_1$ | $u_2$ | $u_3$ |
|------------|---------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| HERMES $p$ | 1.95          | 4.8      | 0.125 ± 0.021 ± 0.050 | -0.011 ± 0.004 ± 0.012 | 0.055 ± 0.045 ± 0.006 |
| HERMES $d$ | 1.94          | 4.8      | 0.091 ± 0.016 ± 0.046 | -0.008 ± 0.003 ± 0.010 | -0.040 ± 0.035 ± 0.007 |
| ZEUS DIS $^9$ | 2.4          | 90       | 0.018 ± 0.066 | 0.018 ± 0.011 |
| ZEUS BPC $^8$ | 0.41        | 45       | 0.058 ± 0.078 | -0.002 ± 0.016 |
| H1 $^{10}$ | 2.5–3.5    | 30–100   | 0.065 ± 0.15 | -0.017 ± 0.034 |
| SLAC $^{54}$ | 0.9         | 3.14     | 0.85 ± 0.32 | -0.050 ± 0.072 |
| SLAC $^{55}$ | 0.9         | 3.14     | 1.174 ± 0.379 | 0.039 ± 0.082 |
| DESY $^{38}$ | 1.05       | 2–2.8    | 0.73 ± 0.33 | -0.040 ± 0.064 |

Table 12. Ratios of certain helicity-flip amplitudes to the square root of the sum of all amplitudes squared: $\tau_{01}$ for the transition $\gamma_T^\ast \rightarrow \rho_0^0$, $\tau_{10}$ for the transition $\gamma_L^\ast \rightarrow \rho_0^0$, and $\tau_{1-1}$ for the transition $\gamma_{-T}^\ast \rightarrow \rho_0^0$. Top section: HERMES results from proton and deuteron data calculated according to (59-61), shown with statistical and systematic uncertainties separately. Bottom section: results from other experiments calculated according to (62-64), with statistical and systematic uncertainties combined in quadrature without accounting for correlations between the SDMEs.

| Experiment | $\tau_{01}$ | $\tau_{10}$ | $\tau_{1-1}$ |
|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| HERMES     | 0.114 ± 0.007 ± 0.010 | 0.075 ± 0.030 ± 0.003 | 0.051 ± 0.029 ± 0.010 |
| proton     | 0.122 ± 0.007 ± 0.006 | 0.090 ± 0.022 ± 0.011 | 0.007 ± 0.025 ± 0.015 |
| deuteron   | 0.069 ± 0.027 | 0.003 ± 0.029 | 0.048 ± 0.028 |
| ZEUS BPC $^8$ | 0.078 ± 0.016 | -0.010 ± 0.028 | 0.013 ± 0.032 |
| ZEUS DIS $^9$ | 0.088 ± 0.036 | 0.019 ± 0.065 | 0.035 ± 0.109 |
| H1 $^{10}$ | 0.095 ± 0.165 | 0.030 ± 0.133 | 0.112 ± 0.231 |
| SLAC $^{54}$ | 0.084 ± 0.177 | 0.412 ± 0.430 | 0.042 ± 0.389 |
| SLAC $^{55}$ | 0.041 ± 0.247 | 0.335 ± 0.436 |

Table 13. The longitudinal-to-transverse cross section ratios $R^{04}$, $R$, and $R^{NPE}$ for the proton and deuteron in $Q^2$ bins defined by the limits 0.5, 1.0, 1.4, 2.0, and 7.0 GeV$^2$. The total uncertainties are shown.

| Ratio | Target | $(Q^2)_1 = 0.82$ GeV$^2$ | $(Q^2)_2 = 1.19$ GeV$^2$ | $(Q^2)_3 = 1.66$ GeV$^2$ | $(Q^2)_4 = 3.06$ GeV$^2$ |
|-------|--------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| $R^{04}$ | proton | 0.694 ± 0.187 | 0.701 ± 0.063 | 0.798 ± 0.080 | 1.053 ± 0.074 |
|         | deuteron | 0.748 ± 0.179 | 0.755 ± 0.063 | 0.973 ± 0.098 | 0.870 ± 0.061 |
| $R$ | proton | 0.649 ± 0.188 | 0.671 ± 0.065 | 0.794 ± 0.083 | 1.068 ± 0.087 |
|         | deuteron | 0.677 ± 0.180 | 0.583 ± 0.067 | 0.958 ± 0.102 | 0.922 ± 0.074 |
| $R^{NPE}$ | proton | 0.755 ± 0.190 | 0.783 ± 0.068 | 0.840 ± 0.090 | 1.225 ± 0.084 |
|         | deuteron | 0.809 ± 0.182 | 0.798 ± 0.067 | 1.041 ± 0.102 | 0.994 ± 0.065 |
### Table 14.
The SDME results from the proton data, integrated over the entire HERMES kinematic range, presented in the notation of Ref. [24]. The first uncertainties are statistical and the second systematic.

| Expression | Value |
|------------|-------|
| $u_{00}^{0+} + \epsilon u_{00}^{0+} = r_{00}^{04}$ | $0.412 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010$ |
| $\text{Re} \left( u_{00}^{0+} - u_{00}^{-0} \right) = \sqrt{2} (\text{Im} \ r_{10}^{10} - r_{10}^{11})$ | $-0.464 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.028$ |
| $u_{0+}^{++} + u_{0+}^{--} + 2\epsilon u_{00}^{0+} = 1 - r_{00}^{04}$ | $0.588 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.010$ |
| $u_{00}^{0+} = r_{10}^{11} - \text{Im} \ r_{10}^{21}$ | $0.473 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.029$ |
| $u_{0+}^{0+} - u_{0+}^{-0} + 2\epsilon u_{00}^{0+} = 2\text{Re} \ r_{10}^{04}$ | $-0.077 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.006$ |
| $u_{00}^{0+} = \text{Re} \ r_{10}^{10} - \text{Im} \ r_{10}^{21}$ | $0.062 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.016$ |
| $\text{Re} \left( u_{00}^{0+} - u_{00}^{0+} \right) = \sqrt{2} (\text{Im} \ r_{10}^{10} + \text{Re} \ r_{10}^{21})$ | $-0.054 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.027$ |
| $\text{Re} \left( u_{0+}^{++} + \epsilon u_{00}^{++} \right) = r_{10}^{11}$ | $-0.008 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.015$ |
| $\text{Re} \left( u_{0+}^{++} + \epsilon u_{00}^{++} \right) = r_{11}^{11}$ | $-0.011 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.005$ |
| $\text{Re} \left( u_{0+}^{++} + \epsilon u_{00}^{++} \right) = r_{12}^{11}$ | $-0.025 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ |
| $\text{Re} \left( u_{00}^{0+} + \epsilon u_{00}^{0+} \right) = -\sqrt{2} r_{11}^{11}$ | $-0.023 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.018$ |
| $\text{Re} \left( u_{00}^{0+} + \epsilon u_{00}^{0+} \right) = -\sqrt{2} r_{11}^{11}$ | $-0.005 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.008$ |
| $u_{00}^{0+} = r_{10}^{10}$ | $0.011 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.008$ |
| $u_{00}^{0+} = r_{10}^{11} + \text{Im} \ r_{10}^{21}$ | $0.009 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.004$ |
| $u_{00}^{0+} = (\text{Im} \ r_{10}^{11} + r_{10}^{21})/\sqrt{2}$ | $-0.002 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001$ |
| $u_{00}^{0+} = r_{11}^{11} + \text{Im} \ r_{11}^{21}$ | $0.018 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.011$ |
| $\text{Im} \left( u_{00}^{0+} - u_{00}^{0+} \right) = \text{Im} \ r_{10}^{10} + \text{Re} \ r_{10}^{10}$ | $0.264 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.023$ |
| $\text{Im} \left( u_{00}^{0+} - u_{00}^{0+} \right) = \text{Im} \ r_{10}^{10}$ | $0.025 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.007$ |
| $\text{Im} \left( u_{00}^{0+} - u_{00}^{0+} \right) = -2\text{Im} \ r_{10}^{10}$ | $0.034 \pm 0.030 \pm 0.008$ |
| $\text{Im} \left( u_{00}^{0+} - u_{00}^{0+} \right) = \sqrt{2} (\text{Im} \ r_{10}^{11} + \text{Re} \ r_{10}^{21})$ | $0.054 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.008$ |
| $\text{Im} \left( u_{00}^{0+} + \epsilon u_{00}^{++} \right) = \sqrt{2} r_{11}^{11}$ | $0.024 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.001$ |
| $\text{Im} \left( u_{00}^{0+} + \epsilon u_{00}^{++} \right) = (r_{11}^{11} + \text{Im} \ r_{11}^{21})/\sqrt{2}$ | $0.51 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.001$ |
| $u_{00}^{++} = (r_{11}^{11} + \text{Im} \ r_{11}^{21})/\sqrt{2}$ | $-0.012 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.007$ |
| $u_{00}^{++} = (r_{11}^{11} - \text{Im} \ r_{11}^{21})/\sqrt{2}$ | $0.038 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.001$ |
| SDME | \( r^{04}_{00} \) | \( r^{04}_{10} \) | \( r^{04}_{1-1} \) | \( r^{11}_{11} \) | \( r^{11}_{00} \) | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | \( r^{12}_{10} \) | \( r^{12}_{1-1} \) | \( r^{55}_{55} \) | \( r^{55}_{00} \) | \( r^{55}_{1-1} \) | \( r^{50}_{00} \) | \( r^{50}_{1-1} \) | \( r^{50}_{10} \) | \( r^{50}_{1-1} \) | \( r^{57}_{1-1} \) | \( r^{78}_{88} \) | \( r^{88}_{00} \) | \( r^{88}_{10} \) | \( r^{88}_{1-1} \) |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| \( r^{04}_{00} \) | 1.00 | \( r^{04}_{10} \) | 0.16 | 1.00 | \( r^{04}_{1-1} \) | -0.05 | -0.01 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{11} \) | -0.04 | -0.01 | 0.62 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{00} \) | -0.02 | 0.12 | -0.18 | -0.48 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.00 | -0.41 | -0.08 | -0.03 | 0.02 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.00 | -0.65 | -0.22 | -0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.02 | 0.33 | -0.03 | -0.03 | 0.07 | -0.17 | -0.10 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.54 | 0.23 | 0.00 | -0.03 | 0.01 | -0.11 | -0.32 | 0.07 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | -0.17 | 0.15 | 0.02 | -0.04 | 0.09 | -0.03 | 0.10 | 0.07 | -0.07 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.37 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.09 | -0.26 | 0.02 | -0.27 | 0.00 | 0.20 | -0.50 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | -0.10 | 0.08 | -0.13 | -0.12 | 0.06 | -0.18 | -0.03 | 0.08 | 0.04 | -0.01 | -0.12 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | -0.05 | -0.15 | 0.10 | 0.10 | -0.09 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | -0.08 | -0.43 | 0.08 | -0.04 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.15 | -0.02 | -0.08 | -0.05 | 0.06 | 0.20 | -0.01 | -0.21 | 0.00 | -0.05 | 0.19 | -0.34 | 0.04 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.04 | 0.16 | -0.07 | -0.13 | 0.02 | 0.06 | -0.09 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.46 | -0.09 | -0.05 | -0.27 | -0.04 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | -0.05 | 0.04 | -0.05 | -0.03 | 0.01 | -0.02 | 0.06 | -0.05 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.06 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | -0.02 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.00 | -0.09 | -0.02 | -0.12 | 0.03 | 0.04 | -0.02 | 0.00 | -0.08 | -0.03 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.51 | -0.11 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | -0.05 | -0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | -0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | -0.13 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | -0.11 | -0.04 | -0.01 | -0.03 | 0.05 | -0.02 | -0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | -0.01 | 0.04 | -0.01 | -0.05 | 0.00 | -0.19 | -0.10 | 0.03 | 0.39 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.11 | 0.07 | -0.01 | -0.03 | 0.05 | 0.01 | -0.03 | -0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.05 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | -0.05 | -0.02 | 0.03 | -0.12 | -0.51 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | 0.12 | -0.02 | -0.07 | -0.03 | 0.10 | -0.03 | -0.11 | -0.05 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | -0.10 | -0.03 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.15 | -0.07 | -0.04 | -0.06 | 0.08 | 1.00 | \( r^{11}_{1-1} \) | -0.06 | -0.06 | 0.00 | 0.03 | -0.01 | -0.02 | -0.04 | -0.05 | -0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.03 | -0.19 | -0.06 | 0.04 | 0.17 | 0.46 | -0.16 | -0.10 | 1.00 |

Table 15. The correlation matrix for the 23 SDMEs obtained from the hydrogen target data. The column headings do not indicate the real and imaginary parts of any SDMEs in order to keep the table compact.
Table 16. The correlation matrix for the 23 SDMEs obtained from the deuterium target data. The column headings do not indicate the real and imaginary parts of any SDMEs in order to keep the table compact.

| SDME | $r^{04}_{00}$ | $r^{04}_{10}$ | $r^{04}_{1-1}$ | $r^{1}_{11}$ | $r^{1}_{00}$ | $r^{1}_{1-1}$ | $r^{2}_{10}$ | $r^{2}_{1-1}$ | $r^{5}_{00}$ | $r^{5}_{10}$ | $r^{5}_{1-1}$ | $r^{8}_{10}$ | $r^{8}_{1-1}$ | $r^{10}_{00}$ | $r^{10}_{10}$ | $r^{10}_{1-1}$ | $r^{11}_{00}$ | $r^{11}_{10}$ | $r^{11}_{1-1}$ |
|------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| $r^{04}_{00}$ | 1.00          |               |               |             |             |             |              |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{04}_{10}$ | 0.12          | 1.00          |               |             |             |             |              |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{04}_{1-1}$ | -0.07         | -0.01         | 1.00          |             |             |             |              |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{1}_{11}$ | -0.05         | 0.00          | 0.61          | 1.00        |             |             |              |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{1}_{00}$ | -0.03         | 0.10          | -0.16         | -0.48       | 1.00        |             |              |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{1}_{1-1}$ | 0.04          | -0.39         | -0.10         | -0.02       | 0.05        | 1.00        |              |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{2}_{10}$ | -0.60         | -0.18         | 0.03          | 0.04        | 0.02        | -0.04       | 1.00        |              |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{2}_{1-1}$ | -0.01         | 0.32          | -0.04         | -0.02       | 0.04        | -0.14       | -0.06       | 1.00        |             |             |              |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{5}_{00}$ | 0.52          | 0.19          | -0.04         | -0.03       | -0.03       | -0.06       | -0.27       | 0.04        | 1.00        |             |             |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{5}_{10}$ | -0.17         | 0.15          | 0.04          | -0.05       | 0.12        | -0.02       | 0.12        | 0.07        | -0.05       | 1.00        |             |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{5}_{1-1}$ | 0.39          | 0.04          | 0.06          | 0.10        | -0.29       | -0.01       | -0.26       | -0.01       | 0.22        | -0.51       | 1.00        |             |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{6}_{10}$ | -0.12         | 0.06          | -0.15         | -0.11       | 0.00        | -0.20       | -0.02       | 0.04        | 0.01        | 0.02        | -0.14       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{6}_{1-1}$ | -0.06         | -0.17         | 0.08          | 0.08        | -0.09       | 0.01        | 0.00        | -0.01       | -0.08       | -0.42       | 0.08        | -0.04       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{8}_{10}$ | 0.17          | 0.00          | -0.04         | -0.03       | 0.00        | 0.19        | -0.03       | 0.19        | 0.02        | 0.05        | 0.18        | -0.36       | 0.05        | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{8}_{1-1}$ | 0.02          | 0.15          | -0.06         | -0.09       | 0.01        | 0.04        | -0.08       | 0.08        | 0.11        | 0.45        | -0.09       | -0.02       | -0.24       | -0.07       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{11}_{00}$ | 0.05          | 0.01          | 0.02          | -0.01       | -0.01       | 0.02        | -0.05       | 0.02        | 0.02        | 0.00        | 0.03        | -0.04       | -0.02       | 0.06        | -0.04       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{11}_{10}$ | -0.03         | -0.01         | -0.03         | -0.04       | 0.00        | -0.01       | -0.01       | -0.01       | 0.02        | -0.01       | -0.06       | -0.01       | -0.04       | 0.07        | -0.04       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |              |
| $r^{11}_{1-1}$ | 0.04          | 0.02          | 0.05          | 0.00        | -0.06       | -0.01       | -0.06       | -0.01       | 0.04        | 0.03        | -0.03       | -0.03       | -0.02       | 0.04        | -0.01       | 0.48        | -0.11       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |
| $r^{10}_{10}$ | -0.04         | -0.04         | -0.01         | -0.02       | -0.01       | 0.05        | -0.02       | 0.03        | 0.02        | 0.02        | -0.01       | -0.03       | -0.03       | 0.00        | 0.03        | -0.14       | 0.24        | 0.01        | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |
| $r^{10}_{1-1}$ | -0.08         | -0.02         | 0.00          | 0.05        | -0.05       | 0.02        | -0.00       | -0.03       | 0.02        | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.02        | 0.00        | -0.02       | -0.01       | -0.16       | -0.09       | 0.03        | 0.40        | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |
| $r^{10}_{00}$ | 0.11          | 0.08          | -0.02         | -0.05       | 0.12        | 0.03        | -0.06       | 0.00        | 0.02        | 0.00        | -0.03       | -0.08       | -0.01       | 0.08        | -0.01       | -0.04       | -0.04       | 0.04        | -0.12       | -0.50       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |
| $r^{10}_{11}$ | 0.10          | 0.07          | -0.09         | -0.03       | 0.13        | 0.03        | -0.13       | 0.01        | 0.06        | -0.01       | -0.01       | -0.12       | 0.00        | 0.10        | -0.01       | 0.09        | 0.11        | -0.10       | -0.03       | -0.02       | 0.04        | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |
| $r^{10}_{1-1}$ | -0.04         | -0.05         | 0.03          | 0.04        | -0.05       | -0.03       | -0.05       | 0.00        | 0.02        | 0.01        | 0.02        | -0.01       | -0.02       | 0.00        | -0.16       | -0.07       | 0.06        | 0.20        | 0.47        | -0.13       | -0.09       | 1.00        |             |              |              |              |