Health-related quality of life, cognitive screening, and functional status in a randomized phase III trial (EF-14) of tumor treating fields with temozolomide compared to temozolomide alone in newly diagnosed glioblastoma
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Abstract We characterized health-related quality of life (HRQoL), cognitive, and functional status in newly diagnosed glioblastoma (GBM) patients receiving Tumor treating fields (TTFields) with temozolomide (TMZ) versus TMZ alone in a planned interim analysis of a randomized phase III trial [NCT00916409], which showed significant improvement in progression-free and overall survival with TTFields/TMZ. After radiotherapy with concomitant TMZ, newly diagnosed GBM patients were randomized (2:1) to TTFields/TMZ (n = 210) or TMZ (n = 105). Interim analysis was performed in 315 patients with ≥18 months of follow-up. HRQoL, a secondary endpoint, was evaluated in per-protocol patient population and expressed as change from baseline (CFB) at 3, 6, and 9 months for each subscale in the EORTC QLQ-C30/BN20. Karnofsky performance scores (KPS) and Mini-Mental State Examination scores (MMSE) were assessed. CFB in HRQoL was balanced in treatment groups at the 12-month time point. Initially, HRQoL improved in patients treated with TTFields/TMZ (CFB3: 24% and CFB6: 13%) versus TMZ (CFB3: −7% and CFB6: −17%), though this difference was no longer evident at the 9-month point. General scales, including physical and social functioning, showed no difference at 9 and 12 months. TTFields/TMZ group reported higher concerns of “itchy skin”. KPS over 12 months was just below 90 in both groups. Cognitive status (MMSE) was stable over time. HRQoL, KPS, and MMSE were balanced in both groups over time. There was no preliminary evidence that HRQoL, cognitive, and functional status is adversely affected by the continuous use of TTFields.
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Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is the most aggressive and common malignant primary brain tumor in adults, with an estimated 9600 to 11,200 new cases diagnosed in the US yearly [1]. Patients with GBM have a poor prognosis with median overall survival (OS) of 14.6 months in clinical trial settings and 11 months in general GBM population [2, 3], and patients experience recurrence on average within 7 months despite use of multimodal therapies [3, 4]. Given that novel
treatment approaches for GBM have only offered modest gains in OS and/or progression-free survival (PFS), their role in improving functioning or palliating adverse symptoms has been highlighted, and thus assessment of neurocognitive functioning (NCF) and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) has gained priority in neuro-oncology trials [5].

Quality of life is a multi-faceted construct, encompassing physical, emotional, cognitive, and social functioning, and characterized by patient self-report to reflect a subjective assessment of well-being [6]. In addition to self-report, objective assessment of cognition through validated measures is also recommended, as it has been shown to relate to patients’ ability to perform basic and instrumental activities of daily living [7] and to have independent prognostic value for disease progression [8]. A large portion of patients with GBM experience reduced HRQoL, as well as decrement in objectively measured NCF in the pre-treatment setting [9]. Additional decline in HRQoL and NCF typically occurs throughout the course of the disease, secondary to side effects from therapies, tumor recurrence or progression, and disease related complications, such as seizures and venous thromboembolic events [6]. Therefore, measurement of HRQoL and NCF, as well as patient functional status via the Karnofsky Performance Score (KPS) at multiple time points have become standard in clinical trials for novel therapeutic approaches targeting glioma [5]. Furthermore, data regarding quality of life and cognition are factored into drug-efficacy metrics and can in turn inform physician and patient decision-making regarding choices of treatment [6].

Current standard-of-care treatment for newly diagnosed GBM includes maximum safe resection or biopsy and adjuvant concomitant radiation with temozolomide (TMZ) during the induction phase, followed by monthly TMZ for 6–12 months [3, 10]. Tumor Treating Fields (TTFields, Optune, Novocure, Ltd., Haifa, Israel) is a novel cancer therapy that leads to mitotic arrest and apoptosis of dividing cells by disrupting mitotic spindle formation during metaphase [11] and causing dielectrophoretic movement of polar molecules during cytokinesis [12]. The results from a randomized phase III trial (EF-11) comparing its efficacy with regard to extending OS and PFS in recurrent GBM in comparison to best physician chosen chemotherapy have been previously published [13]. Data from the trial indicated superior HRQoL for the TTFields group, pertaining to self-ratings of cognitive and emotional functioning in particular, as well as with regard to treatment related toxicity (appetite loss, constipation, diarrhea, nausea, and vomiting were more prevalent in the chemotherapy-treated arm). Most recently, a randomized phase III clinical trial (NCT00916409) for newly diagnosed GBM comparing TTFields/ TMZ versus TMZ alone has demonstrated superior outcomes of the treatment arm versus control arm in both OS and PFS at the pre-planned interim analysis time point [14]. This report focuses on the findings detailing HRQoL, cognitive, and functional status in the same trial.

Patients and methods

Full study details have been published previously [14]. Briefly, patients with newly diagnosed supratentorial GBM, age 18 and above, with KPS score of 70 or higher, with adequate bone marrow, liver, and renal functions were eligible for this trial. Patients were randomized at a ratio of 2:1 to receive TTFields/TMZ versus TMZ alone. Treatment with TTFields was initiated within 4–7 weeks from the last dose of the concomitant TMZ and radiation therapy. Randomization was performed through a central web-based randomization system and was stratified by extent of resection and O6-methyl guanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) gene methylation status. Raw scores on the HRQoL measures were normalized (range 0–100). A change of 10 points on a scale in either direction was regarded as the minimal clinically significant change [15].

Patients in the TTFields/TMZ group received continuous TTFields combined with cyclic TMZ per standard of care. TTFields was administered via placement of two pairs of transducer arrays on shaved scalp according to an individualized array map based on patient magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and tumor location(s). The transducer array map was generated using mapping software designed for optimization of field intensity within the treated tumor (NovoTAL®, Novocure Ltd). The TTFields generate 200 kHz alternating electric fields within the brain. Following training in array placement, patients were supplied with transducer arrays in sterile packaging in order to replace arrays at home every 3 days. It was recommended that patients use the TTFields continuously to reach a goal of an average of 18 h per 24 h in a 4 week period; short treatment breaks were allowed. For those with tumor progression, second-line chemotherapy was offered per choice of the treating physician. In the TTFields/TMZ group, TTFields could be maintained until a second radiological progression or a maximum of 24 months. The study was approved by institutional review boards of all 83 participating institutions. All patients had reviewed and signed informed consent prior to enrollment in the study.

Procedures

At baseline, in addition to undergoing contrast-enhanced MRI of the brain (within 2 weeks prior to the start of treatment), comprehensive assessments were performed (within 1 week of treatment initiation) including physical and neurological examinations, collection of laboratory parameters, and measurement of patient independence in
activities of daily living with the KPS. Patients completed questionnaires assessing HRQoL and cognitive screening with the Mini-Mental Status Exam (MMSE) [16]. HRQoL was assessed with an EORTC quality-of-life questionnaire core-30 (QLQ-C30, Version 3), supplemented by the brain cancer module (BN20). Thereafter, MMSE and KPS assessments were repeated once monthly during office visits, and the HRQoL questionnaires were administered once every 3 months until progression or withdrawal from the trial.

Given that cognitive status, functional status, and health-related quality of life were secondary endpoints of the EF-14 trial, analysis was performed on the per protocol patient population: TTFields/TMZ (n = 196) and TMZ alone (n = 84). Descriptive data was expressed as change from baseline (CFB), which was calculated for each time point over the course of the first year post-randomization, and is presented in the “Results” section.

Results

A total of 695 patients diagnosed with supratentorial GBM were randomized (2:1 ratio) to receive either TTFields/TMZ or TMZ alone between July 2009 and November 2014. As pre-specified, the interim analysis was performed when 210 and 105 patients were randomized to the TTFields/TMZ and TMZ alone, respectively, with a median follow-up of 38 months (range 18–60 months) (Fig. 1). The median time from randomization to treatment was 37 days (from the last day of radiotherapy to randomization). As the pre-defined boundaries for trial success (improvement of both PFS and OS) were met, the independent data and safety monitoring committee at the October 2014 meeting recommended termination of the study. The US Food and Drug Administration approved study termination; recruitment closed on November 29, 2014, when 695 of the planned 700 patients were enrolled. Patients in the TMZ alone arm were allowed to cross over to receive TTFields and follow-up of all patients continued in accordance with study protocol.

Patient baseline characteristics at interim analysis were well balanced between the two treatment groups (Table 1) [14]. Median age was 57 years and 66% were male. Tumor location was similar across groups. A gross total resection was achieved for 64% of the participants in each group, whereas about 11% had a biopsy in each arm. Use of antiepileptic medication and corticosteroid therapy was comparable across the two groups. Median KPS score at baseline was 90 for each group. Based on MMSE scores at baseline, 15 and 13% were classified as cognitively impaired at baseline in the TTFields/TMZ and TMZ groups, respectively.

HRQoL assessment was a secondary endpoint of the study and was analyzed in the per protocol population. Compliance with questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30 and BN20) completion was 82% (173/210) in the TTFields/TMZ group and 64% (67/105) in the TMZ alone group. All assessments were carried out until the number of remaining patients was ≤70.

Functional and cognitive status

KPS ratings at baseline and monthly thereafter reflected relative stability with regard to patient independence in activities
of daily living over time during the first year after randomization in either group; the majority of mean scores remained just under 90 (Fig. 2a). Mean percentage change from baseline (CFB) was between −4.3 (month 7) and −1.6 (month 1) within the TTFields/TMZ group and between −4.2 (month 8) and −0.4 (month 2) in the TMZ alone group. Similarly, mean cognitive status within either group did not decline below 27/30 and no differences in MMSE scores were documented between the groups (Fig. 2b). Mean percentage change in MMSE scores ranged from −2.4 (month 1) to 4.8 (month 8) in the TTFields/TMZ arm, and from −0.5 (month 2) to 3.8 (month 8) in the TMZ alone group.

**Health-related quality of life (HRQoL)**

At 3 and 6 months, HRQoL initially improved in patients treated with TTFields/TMZ (CFB3: 24% and CFB6: 13%) versus mild reduction in the TMZ group (CFB3: −7% and CFB6: −17%). However, at 9 months the CFB were 0.42 (2.9%) in the TTFields/TMZ group, and 0.0 (7.8%) in the TMZ group (Fig. 3). There were no significant changes registered from baseline values on any of the quality-of-life scales (Fig. 4). No significant group differences were reported for any of the functional scales from the EORTC QLQ-C30 measure. Patients did not rate their physical or social functioning any differently when receiving TTFields/TMZ versus TMZ alone. Group differences were pronounced for “itchy skin,” where patients receiving TTFields/TMZ had higher numeric scores (Fig. 5). Self-reported neurologic symptomatology on BN20 did not differ between the two groups and as expected reflected a tendency toward increase in symptoms, likely related to known side effects of TMZ, which was administered to both groups.
Discussion

The current study reviewed available data at the interim analysis from the first multicenter international phase III clinical trial of TTFields added to standard-of-care therapies in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma (EF-14). Although findings characterizing the efficacy of TTFields with regard to OS and PFS have been encouraging and were published elsewhere [14], this is the first report of cognitive status, self-reported HRQoL and performance status at the pre-planned interim analysis of the trial. As such, the data presented herein may offer important implications for the provision of clinical care to individuals with newly diagnosed glioblastoma and their families and highlights directions for future study.

Interim review of the data revealed no significant group differences across any of the administered measures, including mean cognitive status, KPS-assessed functional status, and HRQoL. Results indicated that patients receiving TTFields/TMZ and TMZ alone, on average, had intact cognitive status (all means stayed above the 27-point cut-off for both groups) and were independent with regard to functional status (KPS mean scores at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months following randomization remained just below 90). Mean self-reported functional scales from the EORTC QLQ-C30 did not differ between the two groups, including self-ratings of social functioning and physical functioning, which could theoretically be adversely impacted by wearing the TTFields device. Findings from the cognitive screening (MMSE) showing no adverse change in cognitive status were congruent with
results from HRQoL measures revealing no significant CFB in self-ratings of cognitive functioning. Similarly, self-reported emotional functioning was also not significantly different relative to baseline in both groups at months 3, 6, and 9. Although symptoms known to be associated with TMZ treatment (e.g., nausea/vomiting, diarrhea, constipation, appetite loss, fatigue, and pain) were reported by both groups, no differences were noted between treatment groups.

The present HRQoL findings are consistent with results from the previous phase III trial (EF-11) assessing efficacy and HRQoL in patients with recurrent GBM treated with TTFields versus physician best choice chemotherapy [13]. Similarly, there were no differences between the TTFields group and patients receiving chemotherapy on self-ratings of global health and social functioning; ratings of cognitive and emotional functioning were higher in the TTFields group, and role functioning favored TTFields. In addition, pain and fatigue were increased only in the chemotherapy patients, but not in the patients receiving TTFields.

Limitations inherent in the design of the current clinical trial have been addressed elsewhere and comprise: exclusion of patients with very poor prognoses due to the timing of randomization; reporting bias given that patients in the TTFields group were allowed to remain in the trial beyond progression; and absence of a sham/placebo treatment [14]. Compliance with questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30 and BN20) completion was 82% (173/210) in the TTFields/TMZ group and 64% (67/105) in the TMZ alone group due to more patients in the TMZ arm withdrawing consent after GBM progression to participate in other trials, whereas patients in the TTFields/TMZ arm continued treatment and clinical follow up with MMSE and KPS collected monthly during office visits, and HRQoL questionnaires completed every 3 months until a second progression. It can be extrapolated that missing data from noncompliant participants in the TMZ group would likely skew toward poorer ratings for HRQoL and reduced cognitive status, given findings of declining functioning with advancement of disease. Nevertheless, increased efforts to collect HRQoL data, and perform cognitive screening and assessment of functional status as participants exit the trial, in addition to the measurements collected at assigned study time intervals, are recommended in order to provide more complete comparisons between treatment modalities.

The use of the MMSE to measure cognition in clinical trials of patients with primary brain tumor has been questioned on grounds of poor sensitivity, potential practice effects with
repeated administration, and the failure to adequately sample frontal subcortical functions impacted by radiotherapy [22]. Brevity, low cost, and ease of administration during a typical office visit has contributed to wide utilization of MMSE in multiple phase II and phase III clinical trials. It is noted that, had the current study utilized neurocognitive measures with higher sensitivity, as has been suggested in other work [23, 24], greater decline from baseline in cognition may have been documented in both treatment groups, consistent with levels registered in other investigations. The MMSE has been demonstrated to capture cognitive status deterioration in patients receiving escalating doses of radiation [23], hypofractionated radiation with TMZ [24], as well as in patients experiencing first progression of GBM while enrolled in a bevacizumab plus standard-of-care clinical trial [25]. MMSE scores have also been shown to correlate to scales assessing activities of daily living [25]. Nevertheless, given the demonstrated feasibility of more sensitive neurocognitive measures and explicit recommendations by the International Cognition and Cancer Task Force [26], further investigation of potential neurocognitive impact of TTFields on patients with GBM is warranted in future studies. In addition, in light of the high KPS and MMSE scores recorded in both treatment groups in the present study, future investigations in patients with GBM where cognitive deficits may range from very subtle to severe are cautioned to exercise care in employing neurocognitive tests with demonstrated sensitivity to milder decline in cognitive and performance status.

In summary, prospective measurement of HRQoL and neurocognitive functioning as part of clinical trials evaluating novel treatments for GBM has become standard in recent years. It is critical to adequately monitor treatment-related cytotoxicity and provide a multifaceted assessment of the impact of the illness and treatments on patient daily functioning, particularly in light of the limited survival duration for these individuals. As further advances are made in the GBM treatment arena, such data would be essential in providing guidelines regarding expected side effects and informing physician and patient choices of preferred treatment modality.

Acknowledgements We are very grateful to patients and their families for participating in the trial as well as to all the EF-14 investigators and their research staff for taking care of the patients. We are very appreciative of Dr. Katherine Tiku’s assistance in preparation of this manuscript.

Funding Funding was provided by Novocure (NCT00916409).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest Jay-Jiguang Zhu, MD, PhD has disclosed that he has received research funding from Novocure Inc., Five PRIME, Inc. Immuno-Cellular Inc., Diffusion Pharmaceuticals LLC, and DEKK-TEC, Inc. Petya Demireva, PhD, declares that she has no conflict of interest. Andrew Kanner, MD, declares that he has no conflict of interest. Susan Pannullo, MD, declares she has served as a consultant or participated in an advisory board for Cancer Panels, Guidepoint Global, Clearview Healthcare Partners, ICF International and MAPI; has received research funding from Novocure, Celldex, Immunocel-
Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Ostrom QT, Bauchet L, Davis FG et al (2014) The epidemiology of glioma in adults: a “state of the science” review. Neuro Oncol 16(7):896–913
2. Zhu P, Du XL, Lu G, Zhu JJ (2017) Survival benefit of glioblastoma patients after FDA approval of temozolomide concomitant with radiation and bevacizumab: a population-based study. Oncotarget 8(27):44015–44031
3. Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ et al (2005) Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 352:987–996
4. Stupp R, Hegi ME, Mason WP et al (2009) Effects of radiotherapy with concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide versus radiotherapy alone on survival in glioblastoma in a randomised phase III study: 5-year analysis of the EORTC-NCIC trial. Lancet Oncol 10(5):459–466
5. Weller M (2011) Neurocognitive function: an emerging surrogate endpoint for neuro-oncology trials. Neuro Oncol 13(6):565
6. Cheng JX, Zhang X, Liu BL (2009) Health-related quality of life in patients with high-grade glioma. Neuro Oncol 11:41–50
7. Warren EJ, Grek A, Conn D et al (1989) correlation between cognitive performance and daily functioning in elderly people. Arch Neurol 65(7):963–967
8. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR (1975) Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 12:189–198
9. Maringwa J, Quinten C, King M et al (2011) Minimal clinically meaningful differences for the EORTC QLQ-C30 and EORTC QLQ-BN20 scales in brain cancer patients. Ann Oncol 9:2107–2112
10. Folstein MF, McHugh PR (1975) Mini-mental state: a practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. J Psychiatr Res 12:189–198
11. O’Bryant SE, Humphreys JD, Smith GE et al (2008) Detecting dementia with the mini-mental state examination in highly educated individuals. Arch Neurol 65(7):963–967
12. Meyers CA, Wefel JS (2003) The use of the mini-mental state examination to assess cognitive functioning in cancer trials: no ifs, ands, buts, or sensitivity. J Clin Oncol 21:3557–3558
13. Corn BW, Wang M, Fox S et al (2009) Health related quality of life and cognitive status in patients with glioblastoma multiforme receiving escalating doses of conformal three dimensional radiation on RTOG 98-03. J Neurooncol 95(2):247–257
14. Reddy K, Gaspar LE, Kavanagh BD et al (2013) Prospective evaluation of health-related quality of life in patients with glioblastoma multiforme treated on a phase II trial of hypofractionated IMRT with temozolomide. J Neurooncol 114(1):111–116
15. Chinot OL, Wick W, Cloughesy T (2014) Bevacizumab for newly diagnosed glioblastoma. N Engl J Med 370(21):2049
16. Meyers CA, Hess KR (2003) Multifaceted end points in brain tumor clinical trials: cognitive deterioration precedes MRI progression. Neuro Oncol 5:89–95
17. Henriksen R, Asklund T, Poulsen HS (2011) Impact of therapy on quality of life, neurocognitive function and their correlates in glioblastoma multiforme: a review. J Neurooncol 104(3):639–646
18. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Central Nervous System Cancers. Cancer Guidelines. 2016
19. Stupp R, Talibert S, Kanner AA et al (2015) Maintenance therapy with tumor-treated fields plus temozolomide vs. temozolomide alone for glioblastoma. JAMA 314(23):2535–2543
20. Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B et al (1993) The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst 85(5):365–376
21. Taphoorn MJ, Claassens L, Aaronson NK et al (2010) An international validation study of the EORTC brain cancer module (EORTC QLQ-BN20) for assessing health-related quality of life and symptoms in brain cancer patients. Eur J Cancer 46:1033–1040
22. Fayers PM (2001) Interpreting quality of life data: population-based reference data for the EORTC QLQ-C30. Eur J Cancer 37:1331–1334
23. Arias HH, Beard RW, Taylor JL, Smith KG, Soper JT (2014) Development of the EORTC QLQ-C30: a comprehensive review. Cancer 120(23):4231–4256
24. Wefel JS, Vardy J, Ahles T, Schagen SB (2011) International Cognition and Cancer Task Force recommendations to harmonies studies of cognitive function in patients with cancer. Lancet Oncol 12:703–708