Insights from Monitoring Aspirin Adherence: A Medication Adherence Cascade Tool
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Background: Adherence to recommended medications is a key issue in the care of patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) and barriers to adherence are well established during the medication adherence cascade, the processes of prescribing, obtaining, taking, and maintaining medication use. Aspirin avoids many of the barriers in the medication adherence cascade as it does not require a prescription (prescribing) and is inexpensive, easily accessible (obtaining), prescribed once-daily (taking) as an over-the-counter medication and is generally perceived by patients as safe (maintaining). The purpose of this paper is to report aspirin adherence and propose the Medication Adherence Cascade Tool to assist clinicians to consider all aspects of medication adherence.

Methods: Adherence to aspirin was monitored with an electronic pillbox. Frequency analysis, independent T-tests, and ANOVA were completed on 151 patients with underlying heart failure who were prescribed aspirin within a larger parent study. Chi-square tests were completed to assess differences in baseline demographic characteristics.

Findings: Mean aspirin adherence was 82.2% overall, with 11.9% of sample with adherence ≤50%, 18.5% with adherence 50–80%, and 69.5% with adherence ≥80%. Greater adherence was observed in self-identified White as compared to Black patients (84.47% vs 73.53%; p = 0.014), and patients ≥70 years of age compared to <70 years (87.00% vs 77.49%; p = 0.009).

Interpretation: Aspirin adherence was suboptimal despite the fact that it addresses most of the barriers on the medication adherence cascade (ie, relatively easy access, low cost, and low risk). A Medication Adherence Cascade Tool (MACT) is proposed as a clinical guide to facilitate patient–provider co-production of strategies to address medication adherence. The tool can assist patients and providers to co-produce adherence to achieve optimal medication benefits.
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Introduction

Patients with cardiovascular disease (CVD) take several medications with proven mortality benefit, in addition to numerous other medications for associated comorbidities. Taking medications is essential to realize the evidence-based benefits. Many system and personal factors contribute to overall medication adherence, with adherence being a multi-step, complex process. Patients may choose to ration medications due to cost, spread out doses to minimize side effects, or choose not to take medications under certain circumstances, such as travel. Many factors are linked to poorer medication adherence, including cost of medications, perceived or burdensome side effects, polypharmacy, health literacy, complexity of the medication regimen, and lack
of social support. Similarly, younger age and certain comorbidities, including depression and underlying cognitive impairment are associated with decreased medication adherence. Aspirin is an ideal medication to understand medication adherence in chronic disease, like CVD as it is taken just once daily, has relatively limited side effects, and is perceived as one of the safest medications. Almost 30 million Americans over the age of 40 years take aspirin, including >50% of those over 70 years, whether the medication is prescribed or not, in order to prevent CVD. Aspirin is easily accessible and inexpensive, can be purchased over the counter, and is widely prescribed for both primary and secondary prevention for patients at risk of or with underlying CVD. Thus, the study of aspirin adherence is ideal as it eliminates the common barriers identified in the literature and are listed in our medication adherence cascade that includes the steps of prescribing, obtaining, taking and maintaining medications (Figure 1).

The purpose of this paper was to 1) report the rate of adherence to aspirin use in a sample of adults with CVD, 2) identify differences in adherence rates based on demographic factors, and 3) propose adoption of the Medication Adherence Cascade Tool (MACT) to improve medication adherence within the context of CVD. Our study addresses many of these aforementioned barriers to medication adherence and provides contemporary data in the setting of an ideal drug for study. This analysis sheds light on what healthcare providers can expect for medication adherence in the most pragmatic of circumstances and offers a useful clinical tool to guide intervention to improve medication adherence in chronic disease.

Materials and Methods
This is a secondary analysis of data from the Heart Adherence, Behavior, and Cognition (HeartABC) study, which was a longitudinal, observational study of 372 community-dwelling adult patients with CVD, specifically heart failure (HF), that focused on the psychosocial and cognitive factors that impact HF self-management. The current analysis was performed on the 151 patients with underlying HF who were prescribed aspirin for either primary or secondary prevention of atherosclerotic disease (myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease), by their healthcare provider from various cardiology practices within two major hospital systems in Northeast Ohio. Participant eligibility for the parent study included a diagnosis of systolic HF (with confirmation of diagnosis with left ventricular ejection fraction <40% for at least 3 months and within the 36 months prior to study enrollment), age 50–85 years at the time of enrollment, and classification as NYHA class II or III for ≥3 months by their physician. Individuals were ineligible if they had...
cardiac surgery within 3 months prior to enrollment, were using a home telehealth HF monitoring program, or had overt cognitive dysfunction. Cognitive dysfunction included a history of neurological disorder or injury (eg, Alzheimer’s disease, dementia, stroke, seizures), moderate or severe head injury, past or current significant psychiatric disorders (eg, psychotic disorders, bipolar disorder, learning disorder, development disability), renal failure requiring dialysis, untreated sleep apnea, and substance abuse currently or within the past 5 years.

All patients were recruited from either inpatient cardiology services or outpatient cardiology practices in Northeast Ohio and provided written informed consent for their participation. The majority of patients were on at least four medications for prescription of guideline-directed medical therapy for their underlying HF. Most patients were insured through Medicare or Medicaid. All procedures and use of human test subjects were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Kent State University, Summa Health System, and University Hospitals Cleveland Medical Center (STUDY20180810 renewal 7/2020). The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Permission was obtained from the owners of the original dataset (Drs Hughes and Dolansky) to use the information in the database for the purposes of this research and data analysis, and all patient information was de-identified. After recruitment and written consent, a research assistant obtained baseline demographics and clinical data from official medical records and completed a series of self-report questionnaires including neuropsychological testing (Visit 1). Approximately 2 weeks later at visit 2, a research assistant installed and filled an electronic pillbox in each patient’s home and instructed the patient on its use, in order to collect adherence data for 21 days. Baseline characteristics on depression were obtained using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), a validated calculator that uses patient answers to nine questions in order to identify underlying depression. A score of 5 points suggests mild depression, which was included in this study as the cut-off for inclusion of baseline depression.

Medication adherence was measured objectively using MedSignals® Pillbox (VitalSignals, LLC, Lexington, KY). MedSignals pillbox was selected based on ease of participant use, ability to monitor multiple medications simultaneously, and the capacity to transmit daily adherence data via Bluetooth and home phone to a secure electronic server. Research assistants were readily available to participants, were trained on installation, problem solving, and instructing participants on the use of the pillbox, and provided technical support to patients during the study. The pillbox tracked adherence data for up to four patient medications for 21 days. All patients were counseled on their medical regimen. Adherence was defined as the percent of days that the patient was compliant with their personally prescribed medication regimen divided by the number of total days monitored (possible range of scores = 0–100%). Although the MedSignals device had a variety of audible and alarm features, all were deactivated during the study period.

Frequency analyses were completed to assess aspirin adherence rates. Despite a left-skewed distribution for medication adherence, normality was assumed due to a large sample size. Similarly, Levene’s tests for all analyses were performed with assumption of equal variances. Independent sample T-tests and ANOVA were performed to assess aspirin adherence related to baseline characteristics. Chi-square tests were performed to assess differences in baseline characteristics in patients with adherence ≤ 50%, 50–80% and ≥80%. Post hoc Bonferroni adjustments were used to further assess significant values. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all analyses. Comparison of aspirin with other common HF medications was not performed as the purpose of the paper was to understand aspirin adherence as it addresses most of the factor related to adherence in the literature. All analyses were performed using IBM© Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS©) version 26.0 statistical software (IBM Corporation).

The Medication Adherence Cascade Tool was developed by the authors after drawing from existing CVD literature on factors that affect medication adherence (ie prescribing, obtaining, taking, and maintaining). An expert in cardiovascular care and self-management assisted in the development and review of the tool.

**Results**

The demographics of the sample and description of aspirin use are reported in Table 1. Of the 151 aspirin users, the average age was 68.9 ± 10.05 years, 57.6% were male, and 78.8% self-identified as White. Overall average adherence to aspirin was 82.2% (SD 22.4). The majority of the sample, 69.5%, met a standard definition of medication adherence (≥80% adherent), and 30.5% had perfect adherence (100%; Figure 2). Other adherence cutoffs were as follows: 11.9% of the patients had ≤ 50% adherence,
18.5% of the patients had adherence between 50% and 80%, and 69.5% of the patients had adherence ≥80%.

Independent sample *t*-testing demonstrated that Whites as compared with Blacks (mean adherence 84.47% versus 73.53%, *p* = 0.014), and age ≥70 years compared to younger participants (mean adherence 87.00% versus 77.49%, *p* = 0.009) had statistically significant higher adherence rates (Table 1). There were no statistically significant differences in aspirin adherence based on gender, a history of myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease, or depression, marital status, education level, or employment. When comparing mean aspirin adherence among all baseline factors, patients who attended technical/trade school had the highest overall adherence (Figure 3). Of those meeting the standard cut-off of 80% adherence compared to those with <80% adherence, baseline characteristics were statistically different with regard to race and age, as above. When comparing patients with ≤50% adherence to those with 50–80%, and ≥80% adherence, of those who had ≥80% adherence, patients ≥70 years had statistically significant greater adherence than to those <70 years old (*p* = 0.0069).

| Characteristic                  | Total Sample N = 151 | Mean % Aspirin Adherence Mean (standard error) | p-value |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|
| **Gender**                      |                      |                                               |         |
| Male                            | 87 (57.6)            | 83.11 (2.372)                                 |         |
| Female                          | 64 (42.4)            | 80.84 (2.857)                                 | 0.540   |
| **Race**                        |                      |                                               |         |
| White                           | 119 (78.8)           | 84.47 (1.985)                                 |         |
| Black                           | 32 (21.2)            | 73.53 (4.120)                                 | 0.014** |
| **Age**                         |                      |                                               |         |
| 70 years                        | 74 (49.0)            | 87.00 (2.509)                                 | 0.009** |
| < 70 years                      | 77 (51.0)            | 77.49 (2.541)                                 |         |
| **Depression**                  |                      |                                               |         |
| Yes                             | 67 (44.4)            | 80.33 (2.630)                                 |         |
| No                              | 84 (55.6)            | 83.61 (2.541)                                 | 0.373   |
| **Prior MI**                    |                      |                                               |         |
| Yes                             | 81 (53.6)            | 81.77 (2.616)                                 |         |
| No                              | 70 (46.4)            | 82.60 (2.526)                                 | 0.820   |
| **PVD**                         |                      |                                               |         |
| Yes                             | 20 (13.2)            | 81.90 (5.666)                                 |         |
| No                              | 131 (86.8)           | 82.19 (1.923)                                 | 0.957   |
| **Marital status**              |                      |                                               |         |
| Never married                   | 7 (4.6)              | 73.43 (8.560)                                 |         |
| Married                         | 82 (54.3)            | 84.85 (2.251)                                 |         |
| Widowed                         | 27 (17.9)            | 86.37 (3.993)                                 |         |
| Separated                       | 5 (3.3)              | 77.80 (10.716)                                |         |
| Divorced                        | 30 (19.9)            | 73.73 (4.930)                                 | 0.104   |
| **Education**                   |                      |                                               |         |
| High school or less             | 61 (40.4)            | 82.41 (2.684)                                 |         |
| Technical/trade school          | 15 (9.9)             | 90.13 (3.052)                                 |         |
| Some college                    | 44 (29.1)            | 78.41 (4.164)                                 |         |
| Bachelor or master degree       | 31 (20.5)            | 83.10 (3.660)                                 | 0.365   |
| **Employment**                  |                      |                                               |         |
| Retired                         | 101 (66.9)           | 82.86 (2.284)                                 |         |
| Part/full time                  | 42 (27.8)            | 81.62 (3.357)                                 |         |
| Homemaker                       | 8 (5.3)              | 76.00 (7.008)                                 | 0.697   |

**Notes:** *Depression was calculated using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) standardized cut-off of 5 points. **Statistically significant using p-value < 0.05.

**Abbreviations:** MI, myocardial infarction; PVD, peripheral vascular disease.
Discussion

This study focused on aspirin as an ideal case to understand medication adherence and to offer a benchmark for what providers can expect for medication adherence when prescribing a drug regimen in patients with CVD. Aspirin is an ideal medication for defining adherence due to its ease of access, once-daily use, inexpensive cost, limited side effects, and relative widespread national use. However, even when such defining factors are present, this study demonstrated that medication adherence remains suboptimal. This is important to consider in a sample of patients who voluntarily enrolled in a research study, physically had aspirin in a monitored pillbox, and were educated about their chronic disease and importance of taking medications.

Rieckmann and colleagues previously reported on aspirin adherence following an acute coronary event in a population with depression using an electronic pill bottle cap to monitor adherence. In the Rieckmann analysis, the average aspirin adherence among patients ranged from 76.1% to 89.5%, depending on underlying depression status. This study was important as aspirin is a crucial medication following an acute coronary event. However, the Rieckmann analysis has important differences. For example, their study focuses on medication adherence in the acute setting and used a single cut-off value (75%) for adherence. Our study expands upon understanding medication adherence in several important ways. First of all, while the Rieckmann study focused on an acute event, most patients taking prescribed medications will require lifelong treatment to achieve benefit, especially in the setting of chronic disease, such as HF. Furthermore, in the setting of underlying comorbidities, most patients are prescribed more than just one medication. While our analysis focused on aspirin adherence as a benchmark, up to four medications could be placed in the electronic pillboxes for monitoring. Hawthorne effect therefore must be considered in the Rieckmann analysis, as patients are more likely to take a single medication with limited side effects,
especially following an acute, life-altering event, such as acute coronary syndrome. Similarly, our study included community-dwelling patients living with a chronic disease, excluding patients living in a nursing facility or with access to home care or a visiting nursing program, which the Rieckmann study did not.

Another important aspect of our study is defining a distribution of adherence amongst patients prescribed aspirin (Figure 2). While the Rieckmann study focused on a single cut-off value for medication adherence (75%), our study helps demonstrate the distribution of adherence among patients with a chronic disease. Among the patients meeting a standard medication adherence of >80%, we did identify that White patients and patients ≥70 years of age were more compliant than Black patients and those <70 years. However, our adherence distribution demonstrates that despite several barriers (Figure 1) to medication adherence including access, cost, and education of their medication regimen, having been eliminated with use of aspirin as a benchmark drug with minimal side effects, healthcare providers can still expect reduced medication adherence. Furthermore, practitioners can anticipate worse adherence rates when considering more complex medication regimens or difficult dosing schedules in medications with more side effects.

Understanding the distribution of adherence is important for healthcare providers prescribing medications to patients with chronic diseases. While prior studies have detailed adherence rates in such patients, most record adherence based on pharmacy records or medication lists. However, refill history does not provide information on whether or not patients actually took the medication once it was in their possession. Therefore, use of the electronic pillbox in our study provides a more accurate representation of medication adherence over a given period of time.

Of note, no significant difference was demonstrated in patients taking aspirin for primary or secondary prevention (patients with prior myocardial infarction or underlying peripheral vascular disease; patients with a prior cerebrovascular event were excluded). This may be related to the perceived importance and relative safety of aspirin, as well as the relative
ease of administration (one pill, once-a-day). This is further supported by the more than 6 million Americans who take a daily aspirin without the prescription from a physician. Aspirin adherence in secondary prevention in patients who have a history of myocardial infarction or stroke has been extensively studied, but we were unable to find comparisons of adherence between primary and secondary prevention.

The clinical implications of poor medication adherence are not inconsequential. Poor adherence is associated with increased hospitalizations, increased healthcare costs, and mortality. Many systematic reviews are available that identify factors associated with medication adherence in order to better understand and improve medication adherence to avoid such adverse events. Yet, there are few clinical tools that include these factors to assist physicians and interprofessional teams to address potential issues with medication adherence. The Medication Adherence Cascade Tool (Figure 1) was developed from common barriers published in the literature and is proposed to assist healthcare providers to work collaboratively with patients to identify barriers and potential strategies to address these barriers.

The Medication Adherence Cascade Tool was developed to highlight the steps in medication adherence that involves prescribing, obtaining, taking and maintaining medications and highlights barriers at each step. The tool provides a framework to help providers and patients to understand the steps in medication adherence and opportunity to identify barriers in each of the steps. The tool supports patients and healthcare providers to co-produce an individual approach to target adherence strategies. Adherence strategies can be different for each step of the cascade. Healthcare providers and patients start at the left side of the tool and work collaboratively over time through each step to identify barriers and implement strategies for each step. Strategies might include education, automatic prescription refills, use of reminder systems, such as electronic bill bottles or alarms, and treating underlying mental health conditions.

The Medication Adherence Cascade Tool outlines the key steps that ultimately lead to successful medication adherence and the potential barriers at each step. The healthcare provider and patient use the tool together to identify barriers in each of the steps and agree upon strategies to address the barriers. This co-production of barrier awareness and identification of strategies occurs over time as non-adherence is a dynamic process that typically is related to many barriers.

Potential limitations to this study include that the 21-day monitoring period was relatively short for a medication that is often taken for long periods of time. However, this duration is similar to other medication adherence studies with monitoring durations from 30 to 90 days. Furthermore, observation of suboptimal medication adherence within this short duration in research subjects who knew that they were being monitored provides an even greater indication that such suboptimal medication adherence can be expected in the real-world setting. Patients may have had difficulty with utilization of the electronic pillbox. However, each patient was individually instructed in their home regarding pillbox use, and trained research assistants were readily available to address perceived and real difficulties. This study also excluded patients with underlying cognitive impairment, psychiatric disorder, and substance abuse, among other exclusion criteria, and therefore may not be representative of the general population. However, patients with such conditions as listed above often have worse adherence to medications, and thus would likely further demonstrate poorer rates of adherence overall. The Medication Adherence Cascade Tool (Figure 1) was used as a framework that was developed in response to our findings and was not tested. Future research is needed to assess the usability, acceptability, and feasibility of the tool with external validation.

Conclusions

This study, by focusing on the monitored adherence of once-daily aspirin, a medication that was in the patients’ possession, is inexpensive, and is generally perceived as safe, provides novel and important insights into medication adherence in those with CVD. Even when many of the well-described factors adversely influencing medication adherence are minimized or circumvented, adherence is suboptimal in many (as much as 30%) of adult patients. The Medication Adherence Cascade Tool assists clinicians and patients with the identification of barriers to adherence and development of a plan that addresses these barriers. Use of aspirin as a benchmark drug in such pragmatic settings illustrates what healthcare providers can expect in terms of adherence to the medications that they prescribe and provides support for optimal care using a clinical tool such as the MACT.
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