Constraints on blue straggler formation mechanisms in Galactic globular clusters from proper motion velocity distributions
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ABSTRACT

For a sample of 38 Galactic globular clusters (GCs), we confront the observed distributions of blue straggler (BS) proper motions and masses (derived from isochrone fitting) from the BS catalog of Simunovic & Pu zig with theoretical predictions for each of the two main competing BS formation mechanisms. These are mass transfer from an evolved donor on to a main-sequence (MS) star in a close binary system, and direct collisions involving MS stars during binary encounters. We use the FEWBODY code to perform simulations of single-binary and binary-binary interactions. This provides collisional velocity and mass distributions for comparison to the observed distributions. Most clusters are consistent with BSs derived from a dynamically relaxed population, supportive of the binary mass-transfer scenario. In a few clusters, including all the post-core collapse clusters in our sample, the collisional velocities provide the best fit.

Key words: stars: blue stragglers – binaries: general – globular clusters: general – scattering.

1 INTRODUCTION

In Galactic globular clusters (GCs), the central densities are so high ($\gg 10^5$ $\text{M}_\odot$ pc$^{-3}$) that direct encounters between single and binary stars occur frequently. The exact rate depends on the host cluster properties but, for the densest GCs, the time-scale for single-binary and binary-binary (note that these two timescales are roughly equal for binary fractions $\sim 10\%$ (Sigurdsson & Phinney 1993; Leigh & Sills 2011)) encounters to occur is of order 1-10 Myr (e.g. Leigh & Sills 2011; Leigh et al. 2013; Geller & Leigh 2015). Furthermore, simulations have shown that a large fraction of these interactions should produce direct collisions between two or more main-sequence stars (e.g. Leonard 1989; Leigh et al. 2012, 2013; Hypki & Giersz 2013). For interaction parameters typical of GCs, single-binary and binary-binary interactions should produce direct MS-MS collisions of order a few to a few tens of percent of the time (Leigh et al. 2012). The corresponding main-sequence lifetimes of these collision products are poorly known from stellar evolution theory, but should be of order $\sim 1$ Gyr (Sills et al. 1997, 2001). This implies that approximately (1 Gyr)/(1-10 Myr) $\times$ 10% $\sim$ 10-100 direct collisions should be present in a dense GC at any time.

So where are all these collision products? Observationally identifying collision products is anything but straightforward. Arguably the most promising candidates are blue straggler (BS) stars, which are sources that appear brighter and bluer than the main-sequence turn-off (MSTO) in a cluster colour-magnitude diagram (CMD; see Figure 1). Two primary channels for BS formation have
Figure 1. Stellar evolution tracks calculated with the YREC code (Sills et al. 1997, 2001) are shown in the $(V - I) - V$-plane for the collision products of main-sequence stars of the indicated mass (red; left panel). The red points are plotted every 0.1 Myr for the first $\sim$ 10 Myr before the time-step increases progressively from this value to $\sim$ 100 Myr at an age of about $\leq$ 1 Gyr. The solid black lines show theoretical isochrones from Dotter et al. (2010) at cluster ages of 4, 8 and 12 Gyr. The time evolution of the $V$-band magnitude of each collision product is also shown in the right panel in Myr; collisions begin much brighter and redder than the zero-age main-sequence (ZAMS; left panel), but quickly dim and contract back down to become indistinguishable from MS stars in a cluster CMD (right panel).

been proposed: (previous and/or ongoing) mass transfer from an evolved donor on to a main-sequence star in a close binary star system (e.g. McCrea 1964; Knigge, Leigh & Sills 2009; Leigh & Sills 2011; Gosnell et al. 2014, 2015), and direct collisions involving main-sequence stars that are typically mediated via resonant interactions involving binaries (e.g. Hills 1975; Shara, Saffer & Livio 1997; Leigh et al. 2013; Hypki & Giersz 2013). Other possible, albeit related, formation mechanisms include mergers of close or compact MS-MS binaries, and Lidov-Kozai-induced mergers of the inner MS-MS binaries of hierarchical triple star systems (e.g. Perets & Fabrycky 2009). We include both of these formation mechanisms in the “collisional” channel for BS formation, since observationally they should be indistinguishable from BSs formed via MS-MS collisions during single-binary and/or binary-binary interactions.

Lower-mass collision products that end up further down the main-sequence could also be identifiable photometrically in a cluster CMD. As shown in the left panel of Figure 1, immediately after the collision, the product is out of thermal equilibrium, inflated and has evolved up the Hayashi track in the CMD (e.g. Sills et al. 1997; Sills & Bailyn 1999; Sills et al. 2001). For at least a brief period of time, this places the collision products towards red colours and brighter luminosities than the MS, where it could potentially be less ambiguous to identify. However, this phase in the lifetime of the collision product is brief (see Figure 1), and distinguishing these objects from unresolved binary and/or triple star systems in clusters may be difficult.

Observationally, the best evidence for collisions in GCs comes from direct measurements of individual blue stragglers. For example, Shara, Saffer & Livio (1997) reported the discovery of a BS with a mass of $\sim 1.7 \pm 0.4$ M$_\odot$ in the Galactic GC 47 Tuc, consistent with being nearly twice the mass of the MSTO. The authors argued that such a massive BS could only have formed if at least one collision or merger occurred. Less encouragingly, Leigh, Sills & Knigge (2007), Knigge, Leigh & Sills (2009) and Leigh et al. (2013) argued that the dominant BS formation mechanism operating in GCs is binary mass transfer.

Theoretically, simulations have shown that both collisions and binary mass transfer can produce BSs in significant numbers (e.g. Hurley et al. 2005; Geller et al. 2013b; Chatterjee et al. 2013; Hypki & Giersz 2013). Interestingly, Chatterjee et al. (2013) found from a suite of Monte Carlo simulations for GC evolution that BSs formed from collisions tend to be more massive than BSs formed from binary mass transfer. Consequently, collisional BSs have shorter main-sequence lifetimes than mass transfer BSs in their simulations. This contributes to a decrease in the probability of actually observing collision products in the BS region of the cluster CMD, relative to mass transfer BSs. Moreover, it is not always straightforward to cleanly assign one formation mechanism to all BSs (Hypki & Giersz 2013). For example, Hurley et al. (2005) and Geller et al. (2013b) found from $N$-body simulations of star cluster evolution that many BSs have very complicated formation histories, experiencing multiple dynamical exchange interactions, episodes of mass transfer and even collisions.

Several studies have looked for evidence of a collisional origin for BSs in GCs (e.g. Sills et al. 1997, 2001). Most of these previous studies used indirect methods, such as looking for correlations between BS population size and collision rate (Piotto et al. 2004; Leigh, Sills & Knigge 2007). Based on such an approach, Knigge, Leigh & Sills (2009) argued that most BSs have a binary origin, since their abundance is not strongly correlated with the collision cluster rate in the cores of Galactic GCs, but is strongly correlated with the core masses. Moreover, most BSs in old open clusters seem to have a mass transfer origin (Mathieu & Geller 2009; Geller & Mathieu 2011; Gosnell et al. 2014, 2015), as evidenced by the detection of hot white dwarf and post-AGB/HB companions to these BSs.

This highlights an important commonality of the two competing formation mechanisms for BS production: they each predict that BSs should most likely have a binary companion. The nature of the companion depends on the formation mechanism; mass transfer predicts white dwarf companions (e.g. Geller & Mathieu 2011), whereas MS-MS collisions during single-binary and/or binary-binary interactions predict MS or old white dwarf companions (e.g. Leonard 1989; Leigh & Sills 2011).

If theoretical simulations predict the formation of BSs via dynamical collisions/mergers, where are all the observed main-sequence collision products in Galactic GCs? Here, we address this question directly using multi-epoch multi-band photometry from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). This is done using the

---

1 We note that the probability of obtaining a white dwarf companion is also significant within the framework of the collisional hypothesis for BS formation, since GCs are composed of old stars with a non-negligible mass-to-light ratio of order two. Hence, this mechanism predicts mostly MS binary companions to BSs, but with some old WDs as well. These WDs should be sufficiently old and have cooled enough that they should be difficult if not impossible to detect. It follows that the BS companions to these old WDs should appear as isolated single BSs photometrically.
blue straggler catalog of Simunovic & Puzia (2016), which provides proper motion-cleaned BS samples for 38 Galactic GCs. We compare the observed BS velocity (from our 2-D proper motions) and mass distributions to theoretical predictions for each of the two main competing formation scenarios for BS formation.

Additionally, this study is intended as a first step toward addressing the over-arching question: Where are all the collision products in Milky Way globular clusters? We will consider low-mass collision products appearing below the main-sequence turn-off in the cluster CMD (see Figures 1 and 2) in a future paper. These low-mass collision products should spend some of their lives sufficiently far off the main-sequence that they might potentially be observable above the equal-mass binary line, where very few if any multiple star systems should exist (with the possible exception of unresolved triple star systems composed of three nearly identical main-sequence stars).

2 ANALYSIS

In this section, we present the multi-epoch, multi-band proper motion-cleaned photometry used to obtain the catalogs of blue stragglers, and hence collision product candidates, used in this study. We further present the numerical scattering experiments used to generate theoretical predictions for the velocity and mass distributions of collision products formed during single-binary and binary-binary interactions.

2.1 The data

The Ultraviolet-Visible Channel (UVIS) camera on board the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) surveyed almost half (out of over 150) of the Galactic globular cluster population in near-UV passbands (Piotto et al. 2015). These data, together with an analogous optical survey performed previously using the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) camera on board HST (Sarajedini et al. 2007), provides the input for multi-epoch photometry. Cluster members have been selected in Simunovic & Puzia (2016) using HST proper-motions, removing in the process the vast majority of foreground and background contaminants from the field of view. This now allows for a much more secure photometric identification of blue stragglers and multiple star systems in the proper-motion cleaned CMDs.

2.1.1 BS proper-motion velocities and mass estimates

The stellar proper motions represent 2-D motions, projected in the plane of the sky. We transform the relative proper motions into velocity units by using the GCs’ heliocentric distances from Harris et al. (1996; 2010 update) to convert to a physical distance, and then dividing by the time baseline of the multi-epoch data. Therefore, this way we effectively obtain the relative velocity of each BS with respect to the GC mean motion. As discussed already in Simunovic & Puzia (2016), the derived velocities can be subject to large uncertainties (e.g. for the GCs more distant to Earth, in which cases the true stellar motions are buried in the instrumental astrometric errors). For this reason, the BSs used for the comparison with the scattering experiments are selected using the condition that their calculated proper motion error must be smaller than a characteristic maximum angle. The latter is defined as the astrometric projected 2-D displacement, at the distance of each cluster, calculated for the time equal to the multi-epoch baseline and the central velocity dispersion of each parent cluster. The central velocity dispersion for each GC is calculated from a dynamical mass approach, where the total cluster mass is computed from the V-band mass-to-light ratios from the SSP models of Bruzual & Charlot (2003), for each GC metallicity assuming a 12 Gyr old stellar population. The V-band magnitudes and metallicities are taken from Harris et al. (1996; 2010 update). The aforementioned condition reduces our sample size but ensures that the measured BS velocities used in the analysis are not dominated by the astrometric errors. Moreover, for the resulting BS samples we do not find any evidence of a bias in luminosity nor in cluster-centric distance, as found via KS tests.

Motivated by the opportunity to probe the effect of stellar mass in our scattering experiments, we use a simple approach to obtain stellar mass estimates for our BS sample. The method uses the position of the BS in the CMD with respect to a set of appropriate isochrones, and finds the interpolated mass value that can reproduce the location of each BS in the CMD. We use a set of Dartmouth isochrones (Dotter et al. 2010) and adopt the corresponding cluster parameters of reddening $E(V - F606W)$, metallicity and distance modulus from the values reported in Dotter et al. (2010), or instead from Harris et al. (1996; 2010 update) for the GC values not available from the former paper. We convert the galactic extinction factors given in Harris et al. (1996; 2010 update) to the ACS/WFC filter system by using the transformations from Sirianni et al. (2005). For all GCs, we adopt a representative value of 0.2 for the $[\alpha/\text{Fe}]$ enhancement.

We use a set of isochrones with a range in ages from 1 to 9 Gyr as the stellar library grid that fully encompasses the BS region in the CMD. This approach has been used in previous studies (Ferraro, Valenti & Origlia 2006; Lanzoni et al. 2007; Lovisi et al. 2012) in an attempt to characterize the BS physical properties in individual GCs, but it should be noted that this approach assumes all BSs are isolated stars or, equivalently, binary systems with a less luminous companion that does not contribute to the observed photometry. Consequently, we emphasize that, if our observed BSs are indeed members of binary star systems with companions that affect the observed photometry, these derived masses will be representative of the integrated light, but will be incorrect for individual components.

Importantly, we do not infer much about the observed BS sample from any analysis of these mass distributions, and instead only compare between our theoretical predictions for collisions during 1+2 and 2+2 interactions. This is because it suffers from not knowing the binarity of each BS in our samples. Regardless, the inferred mass can be used as a proxy for the total luminosity, and hence should correlate strongly with the underlying binarity of a given sample.

2.2 Numerical scattering simulations

In this section we present results from roughly a million numerical scattering experiments of single-binary (1+2) and binary-binary (2+2) interactions performed with the FEWBODY code (Fregapane et al. 2004). We choose parameters for these scattering experiments that are relevant for the core of each GC in our sample, using the observed total cluster masses and half-mass radii (Harris et al. 1996; 2010 update). In order to sample the masses of the stars for input to FEWBODY, we sample the masses of the stars from the observationally-constrained present-day stellar mass function for that cluster. This is done using the corresponding relations provided in Leigh et al. (2012), adopting the total cluster mass from
Figure 2. Example colour-magnitude diagram for the GC NGC 4833, delineating the BSs and low-mass collision product candidates. The red circles indicate candidate low-mass collision products that do not have any nearby bright giants in the images, and are hence secure from contamination from giants. The green dashed horizontal line indicates the I-band magnitude corresponding to the main-sequence turn-off, found from isochrone-fitting (Dotter et al. 2010). The solid red line shows the fiducial MS. The dashed red lines show the fiducial MS shifted vertically by 0.75 and 1.25 mag; these lines correspond to, respectively, the equal-mass binary and triple sequences. We note that the photometric errors in the I-band are smaller than the sizes of the plotted data points for each low-mass collision product candidate.

Harris et al. (1996; 2010 update), calculated assuming $M/L = 2$, appropriate for an old stellar population, and the MSTO mass obtained from fitting theoretical isochrones. Our isochrones are taken from Dotter et al. (2010), adopting the cluster metallicities and extinctions provided in Harris et al. (1996; 2010 update) and a cluster age of 12 Gyr.

For a given binary, we first choose the primary mass ($m_1$) from the same mass function. We then draw a mass ratio ($q = m_2/m_1$) from a uniform distribution to select the secondary mass ($m_2$), enforcing the criteria that $q \leq 1$ and $m_2 > 0.1M_\odot$. We derive a radius for each star using this stellar mass and the cluster [Fe/H], following the method of Tout et al. (1996). We provide these stellar parameters to FEWBODY such that it can identify physical collisions during the encounters, using the “sticky-star” approximation. This assumes that a collision occurs if the stellar radii overlap at any point during the interaction (see Fregeau et al. (2004) and Leigh et al. (2012) for more details). We choose orbital elements from the observed distributions of binaries with solar-type primary stars in the Galactic field from Raghavan et al. (2010), which are also consistent with observations of solar-type binaries in open clusters (e.g. Geller et al. 2013a; Geller & Mathieu 2012).

Hence, we draw orbital periods from a log-normal distribution with a mean of $\log(P[\text{days}]) = 5.03$ and $\sigma = 2.28$. The short period limit is equivalent to the one at the Roche radius (Eggleton 1983) and the long-period limit at the hard-soft boundary of the core. This translates to detached hard binaries initially, and soft binaries that are disrupted promptly. We estimate the maximum period for a given hard binary, $P_{\text{vir}}$, using the virial theorem. This means that the velocity at infinity for the incoming object is drawn from a lowered Maxwellian distribution (typical for star clusters), which is defined by the velocity dispersion and the escape velocity, both calculated at the center of a Plummer model (with the observed cluster mass and half-mass radius). Finally, we draw orbital eccentricities from a uniform distribution.

All angles that uniquely define each encounter are drawn randomly from an isotropic distribution. We choose the impact parameter for a given encounter randomly from a uniform distribution between 0 and 1 times the binary semi-major axis in a 1+2 encounter, or the sum of the two binary semi-major axes for a 2+2 encounter. The above parameters define discrete 1+2 and 2+2 scattering experiments that are appropriate for the cores of typical Milky Way star clusters.

For each GC in our sample, we perform $10^4$ 1+2 and the same number of 2+2 unique collision experiments. We project the resulting 3-D velocity distributions for our collision products on to the plane of the sky, in order to obtain 2-D velocity distributions for direct comparison to our observed 2-D BS velocities.

3 RESULTS

In this section, we present and discuss the results of our study to help constrain the formation mechanism of BSs in Galactic GCs. This is accomplished by comparing the observed BS velocity and mass distributions to the predictions of theory.

3.1 Blue straggler velocities and masses

Here we present the results of comparing the observed BS velocities and masses, as derived in Section 2.1.1, to the theoretical predictions for the competing BS formation mechanisms, namely i) MS-MS collisions during single-binary (1+2) or binary-binary (2+2) interactions and ii) binary mass transfer. The latter are calculated using a suite of numerical scattering experiments performed with the FEWBODY code (see Fregeau et al. (2004) for more details), as described in Section 2.2. For the former, we assume a Maxwellian velocity distribution for an average or typical binary population in the cluster, i.e., assuming that all binaries have masses equal to twice the mean stellar mass. We are only able to compare this theoretical expectation to the observed velocity data but not the mass distribution, since at least for the mass-transfer and/or binary-merger hypothesis a clear theoretical prediction is lacking. Moreover, we note that only 29 GCs have BS velocity samples. This is because we chose not to include GCs whose BS velocity sample, after applying the selection criteria described in Section 2.1.1, included less than 8 stars, to ensure statistical significance. The main results of our analysis are summarized below in Table 3.1 and Figures 3-7.
Table 1. Statistics of our blue straggler samples and comparisons to theoretical models. The last four columns are taken directly from Harris et al. (1996; 2010 update), and correspond to, respectively, the central velocity dispersion (in km s\(^{-1}\)), the absolute cluster V-band magnitude, the logarithm of the central cluster luminosity density (in units of L\(\odot\) pc\(^{-3}\)) and the logarithm of the core relaxation time (in years).

| Cluster ID (NGC) | \(N_{\text{BS}}\) | Mass Distributions | Velocity Distributions | Mass Transfer | \(\sigma_0\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(M_V\) | \(\log \rho_0\) | \(\log \tau_{\text{rc}}\) |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------|----------|------------------|
|                  | 1+2             | 2+2                 | 1+2                   | 2+2           | KS statistic   | P-value | KS statistic | P-value           |
| 3201             | 25              | 0.16                | 0.81                  | 0.08          | 16              | 0.48    | 0.28       | 0.24             | 4.36             | -7.45          | 2.71          | 8.61          |
| 4590             | 22              | 0.17                | 0.70                  | 0.03          | 18              | 0.44    | 0.14       | 0.98             | 3.6              | 7.37           | 2.57          | 8.45          |
| 4833             | 49              | 0.2                 | 0.12                  | 0.31          | 26              | 1.74e-3 | 0.29       | 0.03             | 6.05             | -8.17          | 3.00          | 8.78          |
| 5286             | 22              | 0.3                 | 0.48                  | 3.72e-5       | 42              | 4.44e-4 | 0.32       | 0.17             | 9.07             | -8.74          | 4.10          | 8.40          |
| 5927             | 31              | 0.16                | 0.21                  | 0.96          | 0.13            | 0.66    | 0.45       | 2.21e-3          | 6.93             | -7.81          | 4.09          | 8.39          |
| 6101             | 18              | 0.2                 | 0.15                  | 0.03          | 0.41            | 2.83e-3 | 0.44       | 0.04             | 2.77             | -6.94          | 1.65          | 9.21          |
| 6121             | 16              | 0.22                | 0.14                  | 1.82e-8       | 42              | 4.51e-3 | 0.31       | 0.35             | 5.14             | 19.79          | 3.64          | 7.90          |
| 6171             | 28              | 0.35                | 3.05e-3               | 0.13          | 0.18            | 0.29    | 0.14       | 0.92             | 4.28             | -7.12          | 3.08          | 8.06          |
| 6218             | 52              | 0.29                | 1.55e-3               | 0.09          | 0.17            | 0.08    | 0.19       | 0.26             | 5.22             | -7.31          | 3.23          | 8.19          |
| 6254             | 45              | 0.33                | 5.65e-5               | 0.18          | 0.31            | 2.03e-4 | 0.36       | 4.77e-3          | 5.37             | -7.48          | 3.54          | 8.21          |
| 6304             | 29              | 0.09                | 0.9                   | 0.11          | 0.21            | 0.15    | 0.21       | 0.51             | 6.15             | -7.30          | 4.49          | 7.36          |
| 6341             | 31              | 0.12                | 0.7                   | 0.25          | 0.31            | 2.89e-3 | 0.26       | 0.22             | 8.22             | -8.21          | 4.30          | 7.96          |
| 6352             | 28              | 0.49                | 8.14e-6               | 0.19          | 0.27            | 0.02    | 0.32       | 0.09             | 3.19             | -6.47          | 3.17          | 8.46          |
| 6362             | 39              | 0.18                | 0.15                  | 0.04          | 0.38            | 1.33e-5 | 0.18       | 0.51             | 2.89             | -6.95          | 2.29          | 8.80          |
| 6366             | 8               | 0.38                | 0.16                  | 7.55          | 9.99e-5         | 0.43    | 0.08       | 0.25             | 2.89             | -7.49          | 3.54          | 8.21          |
| 6388             | 71              | 0.12                | 0.03                  | 0.02          | 0.655e-3        | 0.37    | 9.36e-5   | 19.13            | -9.41            | 5.37          | 5.37          | 7.72          |
| 6541             | 45              | 0.52e-4             | 1.55e-3               | 0.07          | 0.36            | 4.77e-3 | 8.45       | -8.52            | 4.65             | 7.55          | 5.68          | 8.13          |
| 6584             | 18              | 0.16                | 0.73                  | 0.23          | 0.20            | 0.44    | 0.28       | 0.43             | 5.00             | -7.69          | 3.33          | 8.13          |
| 6624             | 25              | 0.26                | 0.24                  | 0.27          | 2.01e-11        | 0.14    | 0.65       | 0.28             | 7.19             | -7.49          | 5.30          | 6.61          |
| 6637             | 32              | 0.22                | 0.08                  | 0.02          | 0.15            | 0.62    | 0.25       | 0.24             | 7.31             | -7.64          | 3.64          | 8.15          |
| 6652             | 15              | 0.29                | 0.27                  | 0.23          | 0.19            | 0.61    | 0.19       | 0.89             | 6.66             | -6.66          | 4.48          | 7.05          |
| 6656             | 32              | 0.21                | 0.18                  | 0.14          | 0.19            | 0.19    | 0.19       | 0.59             | 8.27             | -8.50          | 3.63          | 8.53          |
| 6681             | 13              | 0.49                | 5.50e-3               | 0.31          | 0.13            | 0.15    | 0.31       | 0.49             | 5.2              | -7.12          | 5.82          | 8.52          |
| 6717             | 15              | 0.23                | 0.38                  | 0.04          | 0.51            | 3.48e-4 | 0.47       | 0.05             | 3.72             | -5.66          | 4.58          | 6.52          |
| 6723             | 24              | 0.38                | 1.33e-3               | 0.37          | 0.20e-3         | 0.16    | 0.16       | 0.33             | 5.69             | -7.83          | 2.79          | 8.79          |
| 6809             | 18              | 0.21                | 0.26                  | 0.05          | 0.19            | 0.47    | 0.33       | 0.22             | 4.03             | -7.57          | 2.22          | 8.90          |
| 6838             | 25              | 0.16                | 0.81                  | 0.43          | 0.22            | 0.16    | 0.28       | 0.24             | 3.27             | -5.61          | 2.83          | 7.54          |
| 7089             | 22              | 0.28                | 0.29                  | 0.24          | 0.17            | 0.52    | 0.14       | 0.98             | 9.04             | -9.03          | 4.00          | 8.48          |
| 7099             | 26              | 0.17                | 0.41                  | 0.57          | 3.3e-8          | 0.17    | 0.40       | 0.35             | 5.15             | -7.45          | 1.49          | 6.37          |
Figure 3. Comparison between the observed and simulated 2-D BS velocity and mass distributions for NGC4833. (Left panel): The velocities are plotted on the y-axis, and the collision product masses on the x-axis. Blue points correspond to the observed BS data, whereas the solid black and light open grey circles correspond to 1+2 and 2+2 interactions, respectively. The dashed red line delineates the cluster escape velocity from the core. (Right panels): We show histograms of the observed BS mass (bottom panel) and velocity distributions (top two panels). In the bottom panel, the derived BS masses are compared directly to theoretical predictions for the collisional hypothesis during both 1+2 and 2+2 interactions obtained using our numerical simulations. In the middle panel, the same exercise is performed, but with the observed and simulated velocity distributions. In the top panel, the observed BS velocity distributions are compared to a Maxwellian velocity distribution, calculated assuming an average object mass equal to twice the mass of an average MS single star (i.e., $\sim 0.5 \, M_\odot$). We note that many of the black points are not seen, since the open grey circles have been overplotted. This is because the black points occupy a smaller region in this parameter space relative to the grey points.

Figures 3-6 show a comparison of the observed BS mass and velocity against the set of simulated distributions for NGC 4833, NGC 6362, NGC 6809 and NGC 6838, respectively. The sub-panels in each figure show a comparison of the cumulative distributions of the observed data against a Maxwellian velocity distribution (top), collision product velocity distribution (middle) and collision product stellar mass distribution (bottom). We obtain the p-value statistic from a 2-sided KS test in order to assess the agreement between the data and each theoretical prediction.

Figure 4. Same as Figure 3, but for the observed BS population in the GC NGC6362.

Figure 5. Same as Figure 3, but for the observed BS population in the GC NGC6809.

Figure 6. Same as Figure 3, but for the observed BS population in the GC NGC6838.

Figures 7 and Figure 8 show our results upon comparing all GCs in our samples. Figure 7 shows that in both panels for the 1+2 (bottom) and 2+2 (top) scenarios, a large number of points fall above the dashed line, indicating that MT is preferred over either collisional scenarios, while in many of these cases the corresponding 1+2 and/or 2+2 scenarios have very low p-values such that the null hypotheses for these models can be ruled out. We also point out that more of the solid red points fall below the dashed line relative to the panel below showing the 1+2 p-values. Hence, the 2+2 channel is preferred over the MT channel more often than is the case for the 1+2 scenario. In particular, the velocity distributions for the 2+2 channel are shifted to higher velocities for most GCs, and more often they provide a better fit to the observed data than the comparatively slower 1+2 collision products. Similarly, the calculated 2+2 mass distributions predict slightly higher masses relative to the 1+2 case, by a factor of $\sim 1.1$. This is primarily due to the higher probability of collisions between more than two stars during four-body interactions relative to three-body interactions (e.g. Leigh & Sills 2011; Leigh et al. 2012).

If most BSs are formed from collisions during 1+2 and 2+2 interactions, then the resulting BS velocity distribution will resemble the results of our Fewbody calculations immediately after formation. Over time, however, relaxation will transform this initial distribution into a Maxwellian. Conversely, if BSs are formed...
Constraints on BS formation in Galactic GCs

Figure 7. The $p$-values from our KS tests are plotted for both the binary mass-transfer hypothesis ($y$-axis) and the $1+2/2+2$ collisions hypothesis ($x$-axis; bottom/top inset, respectively). The open black and filled red circles correspond to, respectively, $1+2$ and $2+2$ collisions. The open blue circles denote the post-core collapse clusters in our sample. The straight dashed line shows the dividing line between the competing formation scenarios; clusters whose data points fall in the upper left quadrant prefer binary mass transfer, whereas clusters that fall in the lower right quadrant prefer $1+2/2+2$ collisions.

4 SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have performed a thorough statistics-based search to answer the question: What are the origins of BS stars in GCs? The BS catalog of Simunovic & Puzia (2016) provides us with observational measurements for BS velocities (from their proper motions) and masses (from isochrone-fitting and assuming they are

from mass-transfer in binaries, then we expect the resulting velocity distribution to reflect that of the progenitor binaries, which has had sufficient time to become thermalized. Hence, the binary mass transfer hypothesis for BS formation predicts a Maxwellian velocity distribution immediately after formation. Although the mean BS lifetime is expected to exceed the relaxation time in the cluster core by up to 1-2 orders of magnitude for all but the most massive and extended GCs in our sample (Sills et al. 2001; Harris et al. 1996; 2010 update), most collisionally-produced BSs are more massive and have shorter MS lifetimes (Chatterjee et al. 2013). Hence, while most BSs should have had sufficient time to relax dynamically, such that their velocity distribution resembles a Maxwellian independent of the preferred formation mechanism, this may not be the case for all BSs. Below we discuss in more detail one such scenario.

A few interesting scenarios could occur that are relevant to the collisional channel for BS formation. For example, if a GC recently passed through a phase of deep core collapse, then the temporarily elevated central density would contribute to a sharp increase in the rates of collisions during $1+2$ and $2+2$ interactions. For approximately a relaxation time after core collapse, these new collisionally-formed BSs should adhere to a velocity distribution decided by energy and momentum conservation during individual $1+2$ and $2+2$ interactions, as calculated by our numerical scattering simulations for every GC in our sample. In such an extreme case, the observed BS population could indeed have an observed velocity distribution that deviates from a Maxwellian distribution, and resembles more closely the velocity distribution predicted by our numerical scattering simulations. With that said, this particular example certainly requires some fine-tuning, and we would not expect to observe more than a few GCs in our sample in such a phase of their lifetime (e.g. Fregeau, Ivanova & Rasio 2009). To check this, we note that three GCs in our BS velocity samples are suspected to be in a post-core collapse phase, namely NGC 6624, NGC 6681 and NGC 7099. In all three clusters, the $p$-values for the $2+2$ collision scenario are the highest, and are even higher than the $p$-values obtained for the Maxwellian velocity distributions corresponding to the mass transfer scenario (see Table 3.1). The $p$-values for the $1+2$ and binary MT channels are low enough (i.e., $\lesssim 0.1$) for all but one PCC cluster, such that the null hypothesis that these models describe the data should be rejected. More specifically, for NGC 6624 the $1+2$ collision scenario can be rejected at high confidence. For NGC 6681, none of the scenarios can be rejected at high confidence, although the $1+2$ collision scenario is the weakest at a $p$-value of $\sim 0.1$. The most notable case is NGC 7099, for which the $1+2$ collision scenario can be rejected at high confidence and the mass transfer scenario can be rejected at modest confidence, hence making this GC the strongest candidate in our sample for having a large fraction of BSs formed via $2+2$ collisions.

To further test these competing hypotheses, we search for possible correlations between the $p$-values for each formation channel provided in Table 3.1 and the half-mass relaxation time, $t_{rh}$. Figure 8 shows that there is no strong correlation between the $p$-values obtained for the mass transfer channel and the cluster half-mass relaxation time. If such a correlation were present, one interpretation would be that the initial BS velocity distribution immediately after formation is not Maxwellian, but instead evolves towards a dynamically-relaxed state over a relaxation time. This would, in principle, be more consistent with the collisional hypothesis, since the binary mass-transfer hypothesis predicts that BSs descended directly from internal evolution within (relatively) old binaries that have had plenty of time to relax toward a Maxwellian velocity distribution. Thus, the results from this component of our analysis are most consistent with a mass-transfer origin for the majority of the BSs in our samples, since the observed BS velocity distributions are typically consistent with having formed from a dynamically-relaxed population. However, as already discussed, this is insufficient to fully exclude the collisional channel, because collisions among the dynamically-relaxed binary population would yield the same Maxwellian velocity distribution of the collision products in very relaxed clusters. As shown in Table 3.1 and Figure 8, the $2+2$ collisional velocity distributions best match the observed BS velocity distributions for the post-core collapse GCs in our sample, namely NGC 6624, NGC 6681 and NGC 7099. The latter being our strongest candidate for having a large fraction of BSs formed via $2+2$ collisions. This provides good independent evidence to support the claim of a strong contribution from collisions to BS formation in NGC 7099, as found by Ferraro et al. (2009).

2 Or, more accurately, some systematic trend at low $p$-values satisfying $p \lesssim 0.1$, since $p$-values are most reliable in this regime.
single isolated objects). We compare these observed BS masses and velocities to analogous theoretical predictions for BSs formed from MS-MS collisions during single-binary (1+2) and binary-binary (2+2) interactions, obtained via a suite of numerical scattering experiments performed with the \texttt{FENBODY} code (Fregeau et al. 2004).

The results of this analysis are ultimately consistent with the BS samples having been derived from a dynamically-relaxed population. At face value, this appears to favour the mass-transfer (and/or binary merger) hypothesis for BS formation. This is because the p-values obtained from Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests suggest that the observed BS velocity distributions most closely resemble Maxwellian velocity distributions, adjusted to account for the slightly higher masses of the progenitor binaries. That is, we typically find lower p-values for the 1+2 and 2+2 channels relative to the MT channel, which suggests that for these cases (i.e., small p-values, or \( p \lesssim 0.1 \)) we can reject the null hypothesis that the observed data are drawn from the models.

Importantly, a Maxwellian velocity distribution is insufficient to generally rule out the collisional BS formation channel. The reason is that the central relaxation timescales of our sample GCs are typically insufficiently short relative to the expected BS lifetime. Therefore, even for collisional BSs, their observed velocities would be dynamically relaxed at the present-day cluster age. Moreover, even if collisions happen at a rapid burst of BS formation occurring due to collisions during an episode of core collapse. In fact, for all post-core collapse GCs in our sample, we find that the observed BS velocities are best fit by the predicted 2+2 collision velocity distributions, favouring the collisional hypothesis for BS formation.

No strong correlation exists between the p-values obtained for the mass transfer channel and the cluster half-mass relaxation time.\(^3\) If such a correlation were present, one interpretation would be that the initial BS velocity distribution immediately after formation is not Maxwellian, but instead evolves toward this steady-state significantly over a relaxation time. This would, in principle, be more consistent with the collisional hypothesis, since the binary mass-transfer and merger hypothesis predicts that BSs are descended directly from internal evolution within (relatively) old binaries that have had plenty of time to relax toward a Maxwellian velocity distribution. Thus, the results from this component of our analysis primarily identify a lack of evidence for the collisional channel for the majority of the BSs in our samples, with the possible exception of post-core collapse clusters, since the observed BS velocity distributions are consistent with having formed from a dynamically-relaxed population. However, for the three GCs in our sample, BS velocity samples suspected of being in a post-core collapse phase, namely NGC 6624, NGC 6681 and NGC 7099, the preferred agreement with the 2+2 collisional velocity distributions could be interpreted as evidence that the rate of BS formation due to collisions is enhanced during core-collapse. We note that previous studies have speculated about the connection between core-collapse and BS formation by collisions. In particular, collisional, high-mass binarity isochrones have been used to suggest the collisional origin of sub-populations of BSs in NGC 7099 (Ferraro et al. 2009) (which is also our strongest candidate for collisions being the dominant mechanism for BS formation), NGC 362 (Dalessandro et al. 2013) and NGC 1261 (Simunovic, Puzia & Sils 2014) (unfortunately, neither of the last two GCs are in our sample). Our results therefore seem to be consistent with this hypothesis.

We do not use the distribution of inferred BS masses from our analysis, since we do not know the underlying binarity of each BS. Consequently, we defer this exercise to future work. If a more detailed and thorough SED-analysis is performed that can reveal the single or binary nature of each BS in our sample, this would allow us to return to this component of our analysis and re-perform it with significant refinements informed by our initial analysis. We hope to perform this SED-fitting exercise to constrain the binarity of each BS in a forthcoming paper.

Our primary conclusions can be summarized as follows:

* The results of our BS velocity distribution analysis suggest that each of the 1+2, 2+2 and binary MT channels are preferred by at least some clusters. The majority of the clusters in our sample prefer the MT hypothesis over either collisional hypotheses, as shown in Figures 7 and 8.

* Of those clusters that prefer a collisional origin during our BS velocity distribution analysis, most prefer the 2+2 collisional velocity distributions over the 1+2 distributions.

* Finally, we note that all three of the post-core collapse clusters included in the BS velocity distribution analysis (i.e., NGC 6624, NGC 6681 and NGC 7099) prefer the 2+2 collisional hypothesis over either the 1+2 or MT hypotheses.

\(^3\) We emphasize that a correlation is, in this case, not exactly the relevant flag to search for. Instead, we look for something systematic in the distribution of low p-values with \( p < 0.1 \) (since larger p-values are less informative). For example, if clusters with long half-mass relaxation times had only low p-values, which is not observed in our data.
In a forthcoming paper, we will also use a similar procedure as outlined in this paper to check for low-mass collision products below the main-sequence turn-off, as illustrated in Figure 1. Both collisionally-formed BSs during 1+2 and 2+2 interactions and MS-MS binary mergers are expected to resemble the evolutionary tracks provided in Figure 1 immediately after the collision/merger. Several Gyrs later, these “dormant” collision/merger products hiding on the MS could appear brighter and bluer than the main-sequence turn-off, coinciding with BSs (e.g. Hypki & Giersz 2013). By constraining the numbers of such low-mass collision/merger products in the CMD and convolving these numbers with stellar evolution models (which quantify the rate at which objects evolve in colour and brightness, and hence move around in the CMD), they can be used to constrain the rates of MS-MS mergers and/or collisions. In turn, these constraints can be extrapolated to other regions of the CMD, especially BSs, in order to constrain the expected contribution from collisions/mergers.
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