Adaptive resistance to PI3Kα-selective inhibitor CYH33 is mediated by genomic and transcriptomic alterations in ESCC cells
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Abstract
Phosphoinositide-3 kinase alpha-specific inhibitors (PI3Kαi) displayed promising potential for the treatment of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) with frequent activation in PI3K signaling. However, acquired resistance is likely to develop and limit the efficacy of PI3Kαi like other targeted therapies. To identify genomic adaptation to PI3Kαi, we applied whole-genome sequencing and detected gene mutation and amplification in four lines of ESCC cells established with adapted resistance to a novel PI3Kαi CYH33. Particularly, HRASG12S mutation was found in KYSE180C cells. Overexpression of HRASG12S in ESCC parental cells rendered resistance to CYH33. By contrast, down-regulation of HRASG12S restored the sensitivity of KYSE180C1 cells to CYH33, and combination of CYH33 and MEK162 displayed synergistic effect against KYSE180C1 cells and xenografts. Furthermore, elevated mTORC1, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and c-Myc signaling pathways were found in resistant cells by RNA sequencing and combination of CYH33 and RAD001, MEK162, or OTX015 overcame the resistance to CYH33, which was accompanied with enhanced inhibition on S6, extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 (ERK), or c-Myc, respectively. Overall, we characterized the adaptations to PI3Kαi in ESCC cells and identified combinatorial regimens that may circumvent resistance.

Introduction
Esophageal cancer is the seventh most common cancer and the sixth leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the world, with an estimated 572,034 new cases diagnosed in 20181. Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and esophageal adenocarcinoma are the two major types of esophageal cancer, which tend to develop in different parts of the esophagus and are driven by different genetic alterations2. ESCC is the more common type of esophageal cancer on the global scale, especially in Eastern Asia as well as Eastern and Southern Africa, where about 90% of cases are ESCC3. The overall 5-year survival rate increased from 20.9% to 30.3% since 2003 to 2012 in China, and better outcome is associated with disease diagnosed at the early stage4. However, approximately 40% of patients with ESCC are diagnosed with advanced unresectable or metastatic disease. Definitive chemoradiotherapy (CRT) with 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) and cisplatin is the standard treatment for patients with unresectable locally advanced ESCC5. Although clinical trials with antibodies against epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) or programmed cell death protein-1/programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-1/PD-L1) are in progress for the treatment of ESCC6–8, no molecularly targeted therapy has been approved up to date. ESCC remains a major unmet medical need worldwide.

To gain insight to the mechanism of pathogenesis, hundreds of ESCC samples have been profiled in a
comprehensive manner using whole-exome sequencing (WES) and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) in the past 5 years. Somatic copy number variations (CNV) involving 11q13.2-11q13.3 (47.2%), 3q26.32-3q26.33 (12.0%), and 9q21.3 (26.5%), as well as somatic mutations in tumor protein p53 (TP53, 80.5%), lysine methyltransferase 2D (KMT2D, 15.2%), notch receptor 1 (NOTCH1, 13.2%), nuclear factor erythroid 2 like 2 (NFE2L2, 9.3%), zinc finger protein 750 (ZNF750, 9.0%), FAT atypical cadherin 1 (FAT1, 8.8%), and phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha (PIK3CA, 8.2%) genes have been frequently found in ESCC. Notably, mutation of PIK3CA leads to hyper-activation of PI3Kα, which plays a key role in the regulation of multiple cellular events, including cell growth and proliferation. Aberrant activation of PI3K also occurs frequently in ESCC via amplification of PIK3CA, hyper-activation of upstream receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), alteration in downstream effector AKT, as well as functional loss of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN). Selective targeting PI3Kα has emerged as a promising approach for treatment of ESCC. Alpelisib, a PI3Kα-specific inhibitor, is currently in clinical trials to treat ESCC patients (NCT03292250 and NCT01822613). However, in the first-in-human clinical trial of alpelisib in solid tumors (NCT01387321), all the responding patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) eventually became refractory to the treatment. Given the similarities in terms of genetic characteristics between HNSCC and ESCC, we would anticipate that efficacy of PI3Kα-specific inhibitors will be limited by the development of acquired resistance. It has been reported that activation of pim-1 proto-oncogene (PIM1, 22), p110β (23), pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1)-serum/glucocorticoid-regulated kinase 1 (SGK1) (24), and estrogen receptor (25) mediated the resistance to PI3K inhibitors in breast cancer. However, the mechanism conferring resistance to PI3Kα-specific inhibitors in ESCC remains largely unknown.

CYH33 is a novel PI3Kα-selective inhibitor with a distinctive structure, which is discovered by our group and is currently in clinical trials for the treatment of solid tumors including advanced ESCC (NCT03544905). Our previous study has reported that CYH33 displayed significant activity against the proliferation of ESCC cells and the growth of xenografts derived from ESCC cells or ESCC patients. CYH33 also sensitized ESCC to radiation by abrogating survival signals in tumor cells and tumor microenvironment, indicating its potential in ESCC treatment. In this study, we established CYH33-resistant cell lines by incubating ESCC cells with progressive concentrations of CYH33. Mutation and gain of copy number were frequently found in CYH33-resistant cells, which were accompanied with activation of pathways involving mammalian target of Rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), c-Myc, or mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). Thus, inhibiting these pathways by RAD001, OTX015, or MEK162 sensitized the resistant ESCC cells to CYH33.

Materials and methods

Compounds

CYH33 was provided by Shanghai HaiHe Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd. Alpelisib, GSK21118436, MEK162, GDC0994, BI-D1870, RAD001, and OTX015 were purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA). For in vitro experiments, all compounds were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) at the concentrations of 10 mM and stored at −20°C. For in vivo studies, CYH33 and MEK162 were solved in normal saline containing 0.5% Tween 80 (v/v; Sangon Biotech, Shanghai, China), and 1% CMC-Na (m/v; SINOPHARM, Beijing, China).

Cell lines and cell culture

The esophageal squamous cell carcinoma COLO680N, KYSE30, KYSE180, KYSE70, KYSE140, KYSE150, KYSE410, KYSE450, and KYSE510 cells were kindly provided by Dr. Hideaki Shimada (Department of Surgery, Toho University School of Medicine). All cell lines were authenticated by analyzing short-tandem repeats (STR) by Genesky Biotechnologies Inc. (Shanghai, China). Cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO2 at 37°C. We established CYH33-resistant cells by exposing ESCC KYSE70, KYSE180, KYSE410, and KYSE510 cells to increasing concentrations of CYH33 as described previously.

Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation was evaluated using the sulforhodamine B (SRB, Sigma, ST, USA) assay as described previously. The inhibitory rate was calculated using the formula: (ODcontrol cells − ODtreated cells)/ODcontrol cells × 100% or (ODcontrol cells − ODtreated cells)/(ODcontrol cells − ODDay0 cells) × 100%. IC50 or GI50 values were calculated by four parameter concentration response curve fitting with SoftMaxPro (Molecular Devices, California, USA).

Next-generation sequencing

Genomic DNA and RNA were isolated and purified using AllPrep DNA/RNA mini Kit (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany). For genomic DNA, paired-end libraries were constructed with insert size of approximately 400 bp using TrueSeq Nano DNA Library Prep Kit (Illumina, California, USA). The constructed libraries were sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq X Ten sequencing system. Sequence reads were mapped onto hg19 reference genome with BWA (v0.7.9a). The alignment results were used to call SNV.
with GATK pipeline (v3.4). To determine copy number variations, we used CNVkit (v0.8.3). RNA-Seq libraries were constructed using paired-end adapters with an Illumina mRNA sequencing kit. The libraries were sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq X Ten sequencing system. Transcript quantification and differential expression analysis were performed using Salmon (v0.8.0) and DESeq2, respectively.

**Western blotting**

Cell lysates were prepared and standard western blotting was performed with antibodies against HRAS (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), Akt, phospho-Akt (Ser473), phospho-Akt (Thr308), S6K, phospho-S6K (Thr389), 4EBP1, phospho-4EBP1 (Thr37/46), MEK, phospho-MEK (Ser217/221), extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 (ERK), phospho-ERK (Thr202/Tyr204), p90RSK, phospho-p90RSK (Thr359/Ser363), c-Myc, S6, phospho-S6 (Ser235/236), phospho-S6 (Ser240/244) (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), and GAPDH, β-Actin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Images were captured with the ImageQuant LAS 4000 system (GE, Boston, USA).

**Flow cytometry**

Samples for analysis of cell cycle distribution were prepared as previously described30,31. Data were collected with a FACSCalibur Instrument (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lake, NJ, USA) and analyzed with FlowJo software.

**siRNA transfection**

siRNA duplexes were synthesized by GenePharma (Shanghai, China). The sequences of siRNAs targeting HRAS were as follows: 5'-GGUGAUCAGAACCACAUUU UTT-3', 5'-GAGGCUCUUCUGUGUGUTT-3', 5'-UG CACGACAGUGGAAUUTT-3'. The negative control sequence was: 5'-UUCUCGGAACGUGUCACGUTT-3'. Cells seeded in 6-well plate were transfected with siRNAs using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as described previously32. Cells were subjected to the following experiments 24 h post transfection.

**Plasmids and transfection**

HRAS and HRASG12S genes were constructed into PGMVL-6395 vector, namely PGMVL-HRAS and PGMVL-HRASG12S by Genomeditech (Shanghai, China). HEK293T cells seeded in 6-well plates were transfected with PGMVL-6395, PGMVL-HRAS, or PGMVL-HRASG12S along with pCMV-VSV-G (#8454, Addgene) and pCMV-dr8.2 dvpr (#8455, Addgene) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. Cell media containing viruses were collected 48 h after infection and filtered through a 0.45 μM filter. ESCC cells were infected with viruses in the presence of 6 μg/mL polybrene (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). Cells stably expressing HRAS and HRASG12S were selected in the presence of 3 μg/mL puromycin.

**Combination analysis**

Cells were treated with single agent alone or in combination, and inhibition on cell proliferation was determined by SRB assay. Combinatorial effect was analyzed by CalcuSyn software ( Biosoft, Cambridge, UK) to determine the combination index (CI) using the median-effect method of Chou–Talalay33. A CI = 1 indicated an additive effect, a CI > 1 indicated antagonism, and a CI < 1 indicated synergism.

**Sanger sequencing**

Total DNA was collected using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood/Tissue Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nested PCR was performed to generate target gene and the PCR products were sequenced by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China).

**Animal studies**

All experiments were carried out according to the Institutional Ethical Guidelines on Animal Care and were approved by the Institute of Animal Care and Use Committee at Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica. For the experiment, 4–5-week-old male BALB/c athymic nude mice were obtained from the Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica (Shanghai, China). Then, 5 × 10^6 KYSE180C1 or KYSE180-H cells suspended in Matrigel were injected subcutaneously into the right side of axillary. Tumor tissues were harvested and cut into small pieces with the size around 40 mm^3 when the volume reached about 1000 mm^3. The tumor pieces were then planted subcutaneously into BALB/c athymic nude mice. Animals were randomized to receive vehicle control or tested compounds. Mice were then administered orally with vehicle (normal saline containing 0.5% Tween 80 and 1% CMC-Na), CYH33 (10 mg/kg), MEK162 (5 mg/kg), or concurrently with CYH33 and MEK162 for 21 or 17 days. Body weight was recorded by electronic balance and the tumor volume was measured using microcalipers twice per week. The tumor volume (V) was calculated using the formula V = a^2b/2, and a and b represented the tumor's width and length, respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were represented as the mean and standard deviation (mean ± SD) of at least three independent experiments unless otherwise stated in the figure legend. Data sets were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 8 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Statistical comparison was carried out with one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey
multiple group comparison test among more than two groups. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

Results
ESCC cells developed acquired resistance after continuous exposure to PI3Kα inhibition

We have reported that CYH33 is a novel PI3Kα-selective inhibitor and sensitizes ESCC to radiation. As PI3Kα is frequently hyper-activated in ESCC, we investigated the anti-proliferative activity of CYH33 in a panel of ESCC cell lines. As shown in Fig. 1A, CYH33 significantly inhibited the proliferation of ESCC cells tested, with half-maximal growth inhibitory concentration (GI₅₀) values ranging from 0.009 μM to 1.164 μM. Alpelisib, a well-known PI3Kα-selective inhibitor, displayed similar profile against the panel of cell lines but with less potency. Like other molecularly targeted inhibitors, we would anticipate that efficacy of PI3Kα-specific inhibitors against ESCC

Fig. 1 ESCC cells developed acquired resistance after continuous exposure to PI3Kα inhibition. A A series of ESCC cells were treated with CYH33 or alpelisib for 72 h and the GI₅₀ values were determined with SRB assay (n = 3). B Parental and resistant cells were incubated with CYH33 for 72 h and cell proliferation was measured with SRB assay. Resistance factor (RF) was calculated by the ratio of GI₅₀ obtained in CYH33-resistant cells and that in parental cells (n = 3). C Parental and resistant cells were treated with CYH33 at the indicated concentrations for 72 h and cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry (n = 3). Data are presented as mean ± SD. Differences between indicated groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple group comparison test. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
would be limited by the development of acquired resistance. To monitor the occurrence of resistance to PI3Kα inhibitors in ESCC and develop strategies to overcome the acquired resistance, we exposed 4 types of ESCC cell lines (KYSE70, KYSE180, KYSE410, and KYSE510) that are sensitive to PI3Kα inhibitors to increasing concentrations of CYH33 for about 6 months. These cells developed resistance to CYH33 and were named KYSE70C, KYSE180C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1B, the growth inhibitory curves of CYH33 in KYSE70C, KYSE180C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C cells indicated that these cells were resistant to CYH33 compared with their parental cells. Accordingly, GI50 values of CYH33 obtained in CYH33-resistant cells were much higher than those obtained in parental cells, with the resistance factors more than 10 (Fig. 1B). Similar pattern was observed when these panel of cells were treated with alpelisib (Supplemental Fig. 1A), indicating that CYH33-resistant ESCC cells were cross-resistant to alpelisib. As PI3Kα inhibitors execute their anti-proliferative activity via arresting cells at G1 phase, we investigated whether the reduced sensitivity to CYH33 were due to different effects on cell cycle distribution in CYH33-resistant and parental cells. CYH33 and alpelisib significantly arrested cells at G1 phase in parental cells, while they displayed little effect on cell cycle distribution in resistant cells (Fig. 1C and Supplemental Fig. 1B, C). Thus, KYSE70C, KYSE180C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C cells with adaptive resistance to CYH33 were successfully established.

Gained mutations and amplification of chromosome segments were detected in CYH33-resistant cells

To elucidate the underlying mechanism of the acquired resistance to CYH33 in ESCC cells, we applied whole-genome sequencing and analyzed genomic alterations in CYH33-resistant cells compared to corresponding parental cells (PRJNA682323). DNA was purified from 4 types of CYH33-resistant cells as well as matched parental cells. The genomic DNA was captured and then sequenced using an Illumina Hiseq X system. The average distinct coverage of each base in the targeted region was 30-fold, and 95% of targeted bases were represented by at least 10 reads. Using stringent criteria for the analysis of these data, we identified 51, 29, 52, and 173 high-confidence non-synonymous somatic mutations in KYSE70C, KYSE180C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C cells, respectively (Supplemental Tables S1–S4). However, mutation in PIK3CA was not identified in resistant cells. The representative oncogenic mutations were listed in Fig. 2A including PDE3AK330N in KYSE70C cells, HRASG12S in KYSE180C cells, AKT3Q78K in KYSE410C cells, and STK11P221L and PTPRTD905N in KYSE510C cells. In addition to gained mutations, we also detected amplification of multiple chromosome segments in the CYH33-resistant cells, such as amplification of chr8q24 in KYSE180C cells, chr22q11 in KYSE410C cells, and chr2q31 in KYSE510C cells (Fig. 2B). Amplified DNA segments localizing oncogenes such as activating transcription factor 2 (ATF2), TTN antisense RNA 1 (TTN-AS1), zinc fingers and homeoboxes 2 (ZHX2), Derlin-1

| No. of Gained SNV | Representative mutation      |
|-------------------|------------------------------|
| KYSE70C           | 51, PDE3A K330N              |
| KYSE180C          | 29, HRAS G12S                |
| KYSE410C          | 52, AKT3 Q78K                |
| KYSE510C          | 173, STK11 P221L, PTPRT D905N |

Fig. 2 Gained mutations and amplification of chromosome segments were detected in CYH33-resistant cells. Genomic DNA purified from KYSE70C, KYSE180C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C cells and their parental cells was subjected to whole-genome sequencing. A Number of non-synonymous mutations and representative mutations in KYSE70C, KYSE180C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C cells compared to their parental counterparts. B Amplified chromosome segments in KYSE180C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C cells compared to parental cells.
(DERL1)\textsuperscript{37}, mediator complex subunit 15 (MED15)\textsuperscript{38}, and MYC proto-oncogene (MYC)\textsuperscript{39} (Supplemental Tables S5–S7), which have been reported to mediate drug resistance in multiple cancer types. These results suggested that gained mutations and amplification of chromosome segments induced by CYH33 exposure might mediate resistance to the treatment.

Acquired resistance to PI3Ka inhibition in ESCC cells was associated with hyper-activation of mTORC1, MAPK and c-Myc

In order to identify mechanisms of the acquired resistance to CYH33, we interrogated the PI3K signaling upon CYH33 treatment in both resistant and parental cells. As shown in Fig. 3A, CYH33 suppressed the phosphorylation level of Akt, which is the intermediate downstream effector of PI3K, in resistant and parental cells in a dose-dependent manner, suggesting that the acquired resistance was not due to the lack of inhibition on its cellular target. We next checked the effect of CYH33 on the activity of mTORC1, which sits downstream of Akt. CYH33 slightly suppressed the level of phosphorylated ribosomal protein S6 (S6), a downstream effector of mTORC1, in KTSE70C, KYSE410C, and KYSE510C cells, while the phosphorylation of S6 was almost completely blocked by CYH33 in parental counterparts. These results indicated that mTORC1 remained activated independent of PI3Ka in cells resistant to CYH33.

To further investigate the mechanism mediating resistance to CYH33 in ESCC cells, global gene expression was profiled with RNA-Seq in CYH33-resistant cells as well as their parental cells (GSE162658). Gene enrichment analysis revealed that RAS signaling pathway, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, and MAPK signaling pathway were highly activated in most CYH33-resistant cells (Supplemental Fig. 2A). To analyze the difference of gene expression profiles between CYH33-resistant cells and their matched parental cells upon CYH33 treatment, cells were treated with CYH33 at 1 μM for 24 h and RNA-seq was performed. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA, http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp) was then carried out (GSE162658). CYH33 significantly inhibited mTORC1 signaling pathway in all 4 types of parental cells, while CYH33 displayed little effect on this set of genes in KYSE70C, KYSE180C, and KYSE410C cells. mTORC1 signaling pathway even elevated in KYSE510C cells after CYH33 treatment (Fig. 3B). This finding was consistent with the results that mTORC1 remained activated independent of PI3Ka in cells resistant to CYH33 (Fig. 3A).

Similarly, “MYC_targets” gene set was down-regulated in CYH33-treated group in all 4 types of parental cells, while this effect was mild in KYSE70C, KYSE180C, and KYSE410C cells. “MYC targets” gene set was even up-regulated in KYSE510C cells treated with CYH33 (Fig. 3C). As stability of c-Myc protein is regulated by Ras-dependent phosphorylation\textsuperscript{40}, we further detected MAPK pathway and c-Myc protein in CYH33-resistant cells and parental cells. ERK was hyper-activated in KYSE180C and KYSE510C cells compared to their parental cells, which was not attenuated by CYH33. Higher expression level of c-Myc was detected in KYSE180C cells than that in their parental cells. Although the level of c-Myc was similar in CYH33-resistant and parental cells, CYH33 markedly down-regulated c-Myc in KYSE410 and KYSE510 cells but not in KYSE410C and KYSE510C cells (Fig. 3D). These results demonstrated that mTORC1, c-Myc, and MAPK pathways were frequently activated independent of PI3Ka in CYH33-resistant ESCC cells.

Gain-of-function mutation in HRAS rendered resistance to CYH33 in ESCC cells

As mTOR and c-Myc have been reported to confer resistance to PI3K inhibitors in multiple cancers\textsuperscript{41–43} and we detected HRAS\textsuperscript{G12S} mutant in KYSE180C cells, we further investigated whether HRAS\textsuperscript{G12S} mutant was associated with resistance to CYH33. To verify mutation of HRAS\textsuperscript{G12S} in KYSE180C cells, we generated 3 lines of monoclonal cells from KYSE180C cells, namely KYSE180C1, KYSE180C2 and KYSE180C3. These monoclonal cells displayed similar resistance to CYH33 as KYSE180C cells (Fig. 4A). We amplified the DNA fragment containing HRAS codon 12 from the 3 lines of monoclonal cells by nested PCR. Sanger sequencing of the PCR products revealed a double peak at HRAS codon 12 (Fig. 4B), demonstrating a heterozygous G to A mutation in all KYSE180C monoclonal cells, which resulted in a heterozygous G12S mutation in HRAS. Accordingly, both Akt and ERK were hyper-phosphorylated in KYSE180C monoclonal cells compared to parental cells (Fig. 4C). Though CYH33 was able to inhibit the phosphorylation of Akt in KYSE180C monoclonal cells, phosphorylation of ERK remained unchanged upon CYH33 treatment (Fig. 4C). To determine whether ectopic expression of HRAS\textsuperscript{G12S} in ESCC cells is able to induce resistance to CYH33, we established KYSE180 cells stably overexpressing HRAS\textsuperscript{G12S} (KYSE180-H). Introduction of HRAS\textsuperscript{G12S} significantly activated PI3K pathway and MAPK pathway with enhanced level of phosphorylated Akt, ERK and S6 compared to those in KYSE180 cells transfected with a vehicle plasmid (KYSE180-V, Fig. 4D). Although CYH33 significantly blocked Akt phosphorylation in KYSE180-H cells, the phosphorylation of ERK, ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1 (S6K1) and S6 remained largely insensitive to CYH33 treatment in KYSE180-H cells (Fig. 4E). Accordingly, ectopic expression of HRAS\textsuperscript{G12S} significantly induced resistance to CYH33 and alpelisib in KYSE180 cells (Fig. 4F and Supplemental Fig. 3A), which was accompanied with reduction in cell population arrested at G1 phase after CYH33 treatment (Fig. 4G and Supplemental Fig. 3B).
Fig. 3 Acquired resistance to PI3Kα inhibition in ESCC cells was associated with hyper-activation of mTORC1, MAPK, and c-Myc. A Parental and resistant cells were treated with CYH33 at the indicated concentrations for 1 h. Cell lysates were subjected to western blotting with indicated antibodies. B, C CYH33-resistant and parental cells were treated with 1 μM CYH33 or DMSO for 24 h and RNA was purified and subjected to RNA sequencing. GSEA enrichment plot of differentially expressed genes in the gene set of mTORC1 signaling pathway (HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING) (B) and MYC targets (HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1) (C) upon CYH33 treatment in CYH33-resistant cells and parental cells. D CYH33-resistant cells and parental cells were treated with CYH33 at indicated concentrations for 1 h. Cell lysates were subjected to western blotting with indicated antibodies. Data shown are from three replicates or three independent experiments.
Fig. 4 Gain-of-function mutation in HRAS rendered resistance to CYH33 in ESCC cells. A KYSE180, KYSE180C, and KYSE180C monoclonal cells were incubated with CYH33 for 72 h and cell proliferation was measured with SRB assay (n = 3). B Sanger sequencing of HRAS showing heterozygous mutation of HRAS at codon 12 in KYSE180C monoclonal cells. C KYSE180 and KYSE180C monoclonal cells were treated with CYH33 at 10 μM for 1 h, and cell lysates were subjected to western blotting with indicated antibodies. D KYSE180 cells were transfected with plasmid expressing HRAS G12S mutant (KYSE180-H) or a vehicle plasmid (KYSE180-V), and cell lysates were subjected to western blotting with indicated antibodies. E KYSE180-V and KYSE180-H cells were treated with CYH33 at indicated concentrations for 1 h, and cell lysates were subjected to western blotting with indicated antibodies. F KYSE180-V and KYSE180-H cells were treated with CYH33 for 72 h and cell proliferation was measured with SRB assay (n = 3). G KYSE180-V and KYSE180-H cells were incubated with CYH33 for 24 h and cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry (n = 3). H KYSE180C1 cells transfected with siRNAs targeting HRAS (#1, #2, and #3) or negative control siRNA (NC) were treated with CYH33 for 72 h and cell proliferation was measured with SRB assay (n = 3). Data shown are mean ± SD or representative from three independent experiments. Differences between indicated groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple group comparison test. *P < 0.05.
Overexpression of wild-type HRAS (180-WT) also rendered KYSE180 cells less sensitive to CYH33 (Supplemental Fig. 3C). However, cells expressing HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> were more resistant to CYH33 compared to 180-WT cells though the expression of HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> was lower than wild-type HRAS (Supplemental Fig. 3C). To investigate whether HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> mutation was able to mediate resistance to CYH33 in other ESCC cells, we generated KYSE70, KYSE410, and KYSE510 cells expressing HRAS<sup>G12S</sup>, and found that these cells displayed reduced sensitivity to CYH33 (Supplemental Fig. 3D). To determine if HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> activation is causal to CYH33 resistance, we employed HRAS-specific siRNAs to down-regulate its expression. As shown in Fig. 4H, knockdown of HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> by siRNA sensitized down-regulate its expression. As shown in Fig. 4H, knockdown of HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> by siRNA sensitized CYH33 resistance. We employed HRAS-specific siRNAs to down-regulate its expression. As shown in Fig. 4H, knockdown of HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> by siRNA sensitized KYSE180C1 cells to CYH33 demonstrated as reduced IC<sub>50</sub> values of CYH33 against cell proliferation. Collectively, activation of HRAS by G12S mutation rendered resistance to CYH33 in ESCC cells.

Inhibition of MAPK signaling pathway sensitized KYSE180C cells to CYH33

To develop rational drug combinations to circumvent acquired CYH33 resistance, we screened small-molecule inhibitors targeting proteins downstream of HRAS because there is no HRAS-selective inhibitor available. As shown in Fig. 5A, GSK2118436, MEK162, GDC0994, and BI-D1870 at the concentration of 1 μM inhibited their respective target demonstrated as phosphorylated MAPK (MEK), ERK, ribosomal protein S6 kinase A (RSK) or S6. GSK2118436 and MEK162 sensitized KYSE180C1 cells to CYH33 to a greater degree than GDC0994 and BI-D1870, indicating that inhibition of MEK would abrogate HRAS<sup>G12S</sup>-mediated resistance to CYH33 (Fig. 5B). MEK162 at the concentration of 1 μM also sensitized KYSE180C2 and KYSE180C3 cells to CYH33 (Supplemental Fig. 4A). Consistently, combination of CYH33 and MEK162 enhanced G1 phase arrest in KYSE180C1 cells (Fig. 5C and supplemental Fig. 4B). We next examined the efficacy of CYH33 and MEK162 in mice bearing KYSE180C1 xenografts. CYH33 alone slightly inhibited the growth of KYSE180C1 xenografts, while co-administration of CYH33 and MEK162 potently inhibited tumor growth and resulted in significant reduction in tumor weight compared to the control group (Fig. 5D and Supplemental Fig. 4C). Accordingly, co-administration of CYH33 and MEK162 simultaneously blocked phosphorylation of Akt and ERK, resulting in enhanced inhibition on phosphorylation of S6 (Supplemental Fig. 4D). Similar results were obtained in KYSE180-H cells and xenografts. MEK162 sensitized KYSE180-H cells to CYH33 (Fig. 5E), which was accompanied with reduced phosphorylated ERK (Supplemental Fig. 4E). Co-administration of CYH33 and MEK162 significantly inhibited the growth of KYSE180-H xenografts (Fig. 5F and Supplemental Fig. 4F) with co-current inhibition on phosphorylation of Akt and ERK (Supplemental Fig. 4G). Collectively, inhibition of MEK restored the sensitivity of KYSE180C1 and KYSE180-H cells to CYH33 in vitro and in vivo.

Combination of CYH33 with MEK/mTORC1/BET inhibitors synergistically suppressed growth of CYH33-resistant cells

We found that activation of MAPK pathway, mTORC1, and c-Myc was frequently occurred in CYH33-resistant ESCC cells (Fig. 3). To discover new regimen to overcome acquired resistance to CYH33, combinatorial study of CYH33 with MEK inhibitor (MEK162), mTORC1 inhibitor (RAD001) or bromodomain and extra terminal domain (BET) inhibitor (OTX015) was performed in established resistant cell lines. We examined the anti-proliferative activity of the combinations and calculated the CI using Chou-Talalay method. As expected, we observed synergistic effect with the drug combinations in CYH33-resistant cells, with CI values less than 1 (Fig. 6A and Supplemental Fig. 5A–C). To explore the mechanism underlying the synergism, we dissected signaling pathways targeted by the employed compounds in CYH33-resistant cells. As shown in Fig. 6B, CYH33 and MEK162 at the concentration of 1 μM suppressed phosphorylation of Akt or ERK, respectively. However, combined CYH33 and MEK162 simultaneously blocked activity of both PI3K pathway and MAPK pathway in CYH33-resistant cells. CYH33 partially suppressed phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein 1 (4EBP1), S6K1, and S6, and co-treatment of CYH33 and RAD001 resulted in enhanced inhibition on phosphorylation of 4EBP1 and S6K (Fig. 6C). Overexpression of c-Myc is a common mechanism mediating drug resistance. CYH33 at 1 μM reduced the level of c-Myc protein in KYSE180C and KYSE410C cells. Pretreatment with OTX015 for 23 h enhanced the ability of CYH33 to reduce the level of c-Myc protein in KYSE70C, KYSE180C, and KYSE410C cells (Fig. 6D). Thus, combination of CYH33 with MEK/mTORC1/BET inhibitors synergistically suppressed the proliferation of CYH33-resistant cells.

**Discussion**

CYH33 is a novel PI3Kα-selective inhibitor in clinical trials for the advanced solid tumors including ESCC based on its promising efficacy in preclinical settings (Fig. 1A).<sup>28,44</sup> However, acquired resistance induced by continuous PI3Kα inhibition would inevitably occur and compromise the therapeutic efficacy. In this study, we found gained mutations and amplification of chromosome segments in established CYH33-resistant ESCC cells, which is associated with PI3Kα-independent activation of mTORC1, MAPK, and c-Myc signaling. Especially, gain-of-function HRAS<sup>G12S</sup> mutant was able to confer resistance to CYH33 in ESCC.
cells, which could be abrogated by co-current inhibition of MAPK and PI3Kα. Similarly, combination of RAD001 and OTX015 with CYH33 displayed synergistic activity against the proliferation of the resistant cells. The potential mechanisms to mediate acquired resistance upon inhibition of PI3Kα have been studied in different types of cancers, while the global genomic sequencing has not been reported in ESCC cells resistant to PI3Kα inhibitors. By sequencing the whole genome of the resistant and the parental cells, we revealed frequent amplified or mutated genes gained in resistant cells, which are involved in regulation of cell survival and proliferation. Accordingly, we found that mTORC1, MAPK, and c-Myc pathways were hyper-activated in resistant cells in a PI3Kα-independent manner. Mutations in the target protein have been frequently found to induce resistance. For example, EGFR T790M mutant mediates resistance to the first- and second-generation of EGFR inhibitors, while C797S mutant mediates resistance to inhibitors targeting T790M mutant. However, mutation in PIK3CA was not identified.

Fig. 5 Inhibition of MAPK signaling pathway sensitized KYSE180C cells to CYH33. A KYSE180C1 cells were treated with 1 μM CYH33 alone or in combination with 1 μM GSK2118436/MEK162/GDC0994/BI-D1870 for 1 h. Cell lysates were subjected to western blotting with indicated antibodies. B KYSE180C1 cells were treated with serially diluted CYH33 alone or concurrently with 1 μM GSK2118436/MEK162/GDC0994/BI-D1870 for 72 h. Cell proliferation was measured with SRB assay. Data were presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). C KYSE180C1 cells were treated with CYH33 (1 μM) and MEK162 (1 μM) alone or concurrently and cell cycle distribution was analyzed by flow cytometry. Percentage of cell population in G1 phase was presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). Differences between the indicated groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple group comparison test. *P < 0.05. D Randomly grouped nude mice bearing KYSE180C1 xenografts were administrated orally with a vehicle control, CYH33 (10 mg/kg), MEK162 (5 mg/kg), or a combination of CYH33 and MEK162 once a day for 21 days (n = 6). Tumor volume was measured twice a week. Data were presented as mean ± SEM. Differences between the indicated groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple group comparison test. **P < 0.01. E KYSE180-H cells were treated with serially diluted CYH33 alone or concurrently with 1 μM MEK162 for 72 h. Cell proliferation was measured with SRB assay. Data were presented as mean ± SD (n = 3). F Randomly grouped nude mice bearing KYSE180-H xenografts were administrated orally with a vehicle control, CYH33 (10 mg/kg), MEK162 (5 mg/kg), or a combination of CYH33 and MEK162 once a day for 17 days (n = 3). Tumor volume was measured twice a week. Data were presented as mean ± SEM. Differences between the indicated groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey multiple group comparison test. *P < 0.05.
Fig. 6 Combination of CYH33 with MEK/mTORC1/BET inhibitors synergistically suppressed growth of CYH33-resistant cells. A CYH33-resistant cells were treated with MEK162/RAD001/OTX015 and CYH33 alone or in combination for 72 h. Cell proliferation was measured with SRB assay and combination index (CI) values were calculated by CalcuSyn software (n=3). B-D CYH33-resistant cells were treated with CYH33 (1 μM, 1 h), MEK162 (1 μM, 1 h) (B), RAD001 (1 μM, 1 h) (C), or OTX015 (1 μM, 24 h) (D) alone or in combination. Cell lysates were then subjected to western blotting with indicated antibodies. Data shown are from at least two independent experiments. C: CYH33, M: MEK162, R: RAD001, O: OTX015.
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