Prevalence and risk factors for bone loss in Southern Chinese with rheumatic diseases
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Abstract

Objectives: This study was to explore the prevalence of different severities of bone loss and the potential risk factors in rheumatic patients. Method: An analytical cross-sectional study design was performed. For the present study, 1,398 rheumatic patients and 302 healthy subjects were recruited and further accepted for data collection and blood and bone mineral density tests. Risk factors for bone loss in rheumatic patients were further analyzed by using multivariate logistic regression analysis. Results: (1) Rheumatic patients in this study are consisted of 40.0% rheumatoid arthritis, 14.7% systemic lupus erythematosus, 14.2% osteoarthritis, 9.2% ankylosing spondylosis, 7.9% gout, 7.0% primary Sjogren syndrome, 3.8% systemic sclerosis, and 3.2% mixed connective tissue disease. (2) In male patients aged under 50 and premenopausal female patients, the bone mineral density score of patients is lower than the healthy persons with the same gender and age (34.3% vs 18.2% P =0.045). (3) Osteopenia and osteoporosis are more prevailing in male patients aged or older than 50 and postmenopausal female patients than healthy counterparts (92.7% vs 87.2%, P =0.017). (4) Regression analysis indicated that compared with a healthy population, those with systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylosis gained the highest odd ratio of ‘score below the expected range for age’, osteopenia and osteoporosis, respectively. (5) Hypovitaminosis D, ‘CRP elevated’ was commonly-associated with impaired bone mineral density in all rheumatic patients. Conclusion: Bone loss commonly occurs at any age in the setting of rheumatic diseases. Hypovitaminosis D, elevated CRP was probably commonly-associated with impaired BMD in all age groups of rheumatic patients.

Introduction

Osteoporosis (OP) is a skeletal disease that refers to the reduction of bone mass and the deterioration of microstructure of bone tissue and leads to an increased risk of bone fragility and fracture and consequently, disability and mortality. Older age, low body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), female and post-menopause, smoking, vitamin D deficiency¹−³ have been proved to be generally and strongly related to OP and osteoporotic fracture. Rheumatic diseases (RD), including arthritis, diffuse connective tissue diseases, spondyloarthropathies, etc., are proved to be relevant to bone loss⁴−¹³. Disease-specific
causes of secondary OP are well-established and shared in RD, like inflammation-associated osteoclast activation\textsuperscript{14,15}, routine glucocorticoid (GC) treatment\textsuperscript{16–19}, and reduced physical activity, which in turn leads altered bone metabolism (favoring bone resorption)\textsuperscript{20,21} due to musculoskeletal pain and weakness. Also, disease activities would inhibit intestinal calcium and vitamin D absorption. In fact, chronic, systemic or local inflammation and/or exposure to GC treatment cause an imbalance between bone formation and bone resorption\textsuperscript{22} and which are both important determinants of bone loss in RD. Hence, rheumatic patients are more likely to suffer from osteoporosis. Nevertheless, more factors need to be included to explore the association. Levels of inflammatory markers, alcohol intaking, and medical history (e.g. diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, and hyperuricemia) may play a role in the decreased bone mineral density (BMD).

Many studies have reported on the prevalence of different severities of bone loss in rheumatic patients\textsuperscript{5,10,19,23,24}. However, the results are often presented in the form of one specific disease type comparing with RA and healthy subjects, such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and systemic sclerosis (SSc), instead of as a general rheumatic population. In 2016, a cross-sectional study in the South Korean reported the frequency of OP in the RA population was 46.8\%\textsuperscript{25}. In 2017, a Canada retrospective study revealed the occurrence of ‘score lower than expected range for age’, osteopenia and OP among 286 patients with SLE was 17.3\%, 12.3\% and 43.2\%, respectively\textsuperscript{23}. A French comparative study enrolled 71 patients with SSc and 139 patients with RA showed a high prevalence of OP (30\%), was increased compared to healthy controls and similar to RA group (32\%)\textsuperscript{6}.

There is still insufficient data on the general prevalence of combining with bone loss in diverse rheumatic diseases with a large sample size in China. Almost studies published already were about a single disease. For increasing physician’s awareness of bone loss in rheumatic patients so as to improve early diagnosis in order to ease the social economic burden, we primarily sought to determine the prevalence of the impaired bone mass in patients with rheumatism and further investigate the potential risk factors by conducting a cross-sectional survey in four hospitals in
different districts in Southern China: Third Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, Ganzhou Municipal Hospital, Fujian General Hospital, and the Shantou Second General Hospital. The principal center was the Third Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University.

Methods

Study design, sample size and population

The analytical cross-sectional study design was carried out, and rheumatic in-patients were consecutively recruited considering individual classification criteria from the rheumatism departments in four hospitals from May 2017 to August 2018. We also contemporarily recruited healthy subjects who were free from rheumatic diseases and selected randomly from applicants for health checks in the same hospital. The ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Third Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, and all participants provided informed consent for publication of their clinical details. Patients who were diagnosed with (1) rheumatoid arthritis (RA); (2) osteoarthritis (OA); (3) systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE); (4) systemic sclerosis (SSc); (5) ankylosing spondylosis (AS); (6) primary Sjogren syndrome (pSS); (7) gout; (8) mixed connective tissue disease (MCTD); we also excluded (1) pregnant; (3) with malignant tumor and/or receiving chemotherapy; (4) aged under 18; (5) refusing to write informed consent. A systematic sampling design was used to select the participants. The sample sizes were estimated by PASS 15 software (https://www.ncss.com), with the statistical power (1-β) set 0.90, type I error (α) set 0.05 and assuming that the prevalence of complicating with OP was 35% among rheumatic patients and 20% among healthy controls. The software calculated that a total sample size of at least 1653 would suffice. To ensure adequate events of each group, we finally recruited 1398 patients and 302 healthy controls (HC), totally 1700 participants for this study.

Data collection, procedures, and tools

A standardized five-part questionnaire was designed to collect data. The first part of this questionnaire contained demographic information such as age, gender, height, weight, menopausal status, etc. The second part focused on medical history, diabetes mellitus (type 2), hypertension (primary or secondary), dyslipidemia and hyperuricemia. Part three consisted of the patient’s lifestyle
habits including drinking and smoking and medication history. All variables in part two were dichotomous except conventional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (cDMARDs), which was an ordinal one; and part four of the questionnaire consisted of biochemical examinations. Detailed results of BMD test were recorded in the last part of the questionnaire.

The procedures of collection were in two steps. Participants filled in the first part of the questionnaire after admission. The other parts were completed by the trained physician according to the patients’ medical records or the HC reports after the patient had finished the blood test and BMD test at the same hospital.

**Blood samples and DXA tests**

Blood samples were analyzed by standard laboratory techniques at the participating hospitals. Fresh blood samples were collected from each patient after the patient had been admitted, included detailed concentrations of blood calcium, serum phosphate, serum 25(OH)D3, serum creatine (sCr) and serum uric acid (sUA), c-reactive protein level (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and plasm complement component 4 (C4). Blood lipid examination was also performed with no detail showing in our study but finally diagnosis.

Statistics After the blood samples had been taken, the patients were taken to the nuclear medicine department for bone mass density then assessed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA; Hologic Discovery A densitometer, Badford, MA, USA) at the lumbar spine L2~L4(anterior-posterior view), femoral neck and total hip.

**Definitions**

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing body weight by the square of height in meters (kg/m$^2$). According to the definition of by WHO, BMI was categorized as underweight, normal, overweight and obese in the Chinese population when the individual had a BMI of $<18.5$, $\geq 18.5 - <24$, and $\geq 24 - <28$, $\geq 28$ respectively$^{27, 28}$. Cigarette and alcohol consumption was further described as former/current smokers and non-smokers; regular or never/seldom drinking.

Meditation history of participants defined as follow: (1) those who have consecutively taken orally or
took GC ≥3 months\textsuperscript{18} in the last one year before the day of BMD examination were ‘former or current chronic therapy of oral GC’; (2) those who had a history of consecutively taking cDMARDs ≥1 months, or used biological DMARDs (bDMARDs) in the last one year were cDMARDs and/or bDMARDs users; (3) those has regularly taken NSAIDs ≥1 month was ‘NSAIDs user’.

BMD was expressed in standard deviation (SD) from the mean of healthy age- and sex-matched people (the Z-score) and as the number of SD from the mean of healthy, young sex-matched people (the T-score). All procedures were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s standardized analysis software for hip and spine BMD measurements. T-score is recommended for males ≥50-year-old and postmenopausal women, but Z-score is preferable for males < 50-year-old and premenopausal women. Corresponding T-score or Z-score of each detective site was evaluated separately, but the lowest value of BMD in these measured sites was used. Final results met the WHO classification\textsuperscript{29} and the 2005 International Society for Clinical Densitometry (ISCD)\textsuperscript{30} official positions.

**Data processing and statistical analysis**

Data were entered into Microsoft Office Excel (version 2016), and then two of the physicians rechecked and transferred this data to the R software (version 3.6.1) for analysis. Descriptive statistics for continuous variables included means and standard deviation (with normal distribution) and medians and interquartile ranges (with non-normal distribution), while categorical variables are presented as frequency and percentage. Group comparisons between the rheumatic patients and the healthy subjects were performed by Student’s two-tailed t-test for normally distributed continuous variables and Kruskal-Wallis H test for non-normally distributed ones. Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was performed for categorical variables and Cochran-Armitage trend test for ordinal variables as appropriate. To determine the association between impaired BMD and rheumatic diseases and potential risk factors, we conducted logistic regression analyses to calculate the odds ratios (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. No imputations of missing values were performed. Comparison analyses were
carried out by using R-3.6.1 for windows, package ‘compareGroups’ version 4.1\textsuperscript{31}.

Results

**Baseline characteristics of patients**

A total of 1398 patients and 302 healthy subjects participated in this study. RA group took up the largest proportion of patients (40.0%), followed by SLE (14.7%) and OA (14.2%), details are shown in Figure 1A. The basic demographic characteristics of the participants stratified by diagnosis are presented in Table 1. Age and gender compositions in some groups of patients differed from HC. The other general characteristics are shown in Table 2. Smoking and drinking were not frequent in our cohort (8.9% and 6.3%, respectively). Hypertension was the most complication (24.9%), followed by hyperuricemia (23.2%). Hypovitaminosis D was common in our cohort (68.6%).

**Prevalence of BMD**

As shown in Figure 1B, compared with healthy counterparts, either young rheumatic patients (those with Z-score, 34.3% vs 18.2%, $P=0.045$) or the elder (those with T-score, 92.7% vs 87.2%, $P=0.017$) had a statistical significance of higher prevalence of bone loss.

The detailed prevalence of ‘score below than expected range of age’ is shown in Figure 1C. Patients with AS (53.9%, $P<0.001$) and SLE (39.6%, $P=0.034$) have a significant higher occurrence of bone loss, compared with HC (18.2%).

Prevalence of varying degrees of bone loss among men aged $\geq 50$ and postmenopausal women is shown in Figure 1D. It was obviously higher in patients with RA ($P$ for trend $<0.001$), OA ($P$ for trend $=0.02$) and SLE ($P$ for trend $=0.011$), but lower in gout ($P$ for trend $=0.001$) compared with healthy peers.

**The odds ratio for bone loss in rheumatic patients**

Figure 2-4 shows the relationships among the impaired BMD and variables in rheumatic patients compared with the healthy group, using an age-, gender- and BMI-adjusted logistic regression model. Results showed young patients with SLE gained the highest risk, reached about 6.5-fold and followed by AS (5.6-fold). In patients classified by T-score, namely men aged 50 or over and postmenopausal women, RA (4.5-fold) and SLE (2.8-fold) patients had both greater risk of osteopenia and osteoporosis.
Patients with AS and SSc obtained the highest risk of osteoporosis, similarly 5 times higher. Notwithstanding, in patients with OA, pSS, gout, and MCTD, no significant risk of any sort of bone loss was found.

We next aimed to explore risk factors that account for bone loss among rheumatic patients, stratified by age groups (i.e. scoring methods). What shown in Table 3 is that underweight, hypovitaminosis D and elevated CRP had negative effects on bone mass in young rheumatic patients; however, increased age, female, regular drinking were protective factors for bone loss.

In those scored with T-score, longer disease duration, obese, GC therapeutic history, hypovitaminosis D and elevated CRP were both associated with osteopenia and osteoporosis (shown in Table 4). Apart from the above-mentioned, increased age, low BMI, female, hyperuricemia and elevated ESR were significantly associated with osteoporosis, and dyslipidemia was further found relative to osteopenia.

Discussion
Prevalence of bone loss and odd ratios
In this multi-central, cross-sectional study with age-stratification, we compared the frequency and odd ratios of reduced BMD in all rheumatic patients with healthy counterparts, and examined risk factors for bone loss in patients, aimed to help prevent and efficiently treat less-heeded bone loss. No contradiction was found in our study on the prevalence of bone loss with previous literature for some rheumatic diseases: for RA patients, the prevalence of ‘score below the expected range for age’ was reported 7.8%~18% \(^5\)\(^{32}\), and osteopenia and osteoporosis was 46.8%~55.7%\(^{25}\)\(^{33}\); a retrospective study in Spain in 2010 showed a high prevalence of osteopenia (average 36.9%) among 105 female patients with SLE\(^{34}\). Bone loss was found in 5%~44% patients with AS\(^{11}\). The risk of OP in SSc was reported closely analogous to RA\(^{24}\), and the occurrence of OP was 51.1%\(^{35}\).

For increasing the comparability, multivariate logistic regression analyses found the adjusted odds ratios of ‘score below the expected range for age’ in patients with SLE and AS gained the highest, 6.5 times and 5.6 times higher risk, respectively. Patients with RA and SLE achieved a higher risk of osteopenia, achieving 4.5-fold and 2.8-fold respectively. Moreover, the strongest association with osteoporosis was found in AS, reaching 5.8 times higher.
OA, pSS, gout, and MCTD were not discovered related to higher risk of bone loss in our study. Except for the small sample size, a plausible scenario could be in the follows. OA is an age strongly-related degenerative disease, and BMI has opposing effect on OA and OP; also, local inflammation caused by mechanical injury, rather than systemic one caused by autoimmunology, is its salient feature. Whether pSS would gain higher prevalence of OP or osteopenia is still uncertain and in present study were mostly in early-onset and untreated. That might be another reason why risk of bone loss in these patients didn’t increase. A protective effect of uric acid (UA) on lumbar spine BMD has been reported in male patients and hypothesized its potent antioxidant effect or via its interaction with the vitamin D/parathyroid hormone pathway, but high levels of serum UA (sUA) could cause oxidative stress and microinflammation as a pro-oxidant; the role that high sUA/gout plays in OPF is also paradoxical. In our study, likewise, hyperuricemia showed a positive effect on OP.

**Risk factors for bone loss in different age groups of rheumatic patients**

The well-known association of elder age, female and underweight (BMI <18.5 Kg/) were also found associated with OP in our study, similar to the reported. But the contrast was found in ‘score below the expected range for age’. It might be attributed to more than half of AS patients were young male (68.8%) in our study, who were strongly related to impaired BMD, and in female patients, estrogen has direct effects on osteocytes, osteoclasts, and osteoblasts, leading to inhibition of bone resorption and maintenance of bone formation. Obesity was found even as a protective factor for BMD. Dyslipidemia was found a protective factor for osteopenia, probably it is one of the results of obesity; the association between lipid profiles and osteoporosis is still uncertain. Post-menopause is well-documented risk factor for OP, owing to low level of estrogen and 2.1 times higher risk than male peers were found in our study.

Disease duration is collinear with age and partially reflecting the therapeutic period of GC. Long-term GC therapy and high cumulative dose have been proved to be strongly related to OP and fragile fracture. In a previous South Korean study showed that evaluated cumulative GC dose did not
correlate with reduced BMD in different detective sites but those who had a history of taking GCs. Likewise, our results showed a higher risk of osteopenia and OP upon chronic GC therapeutic history. In addition, we found regular alcohol intake had a significant protective effect upon ‘score below the expected range for age’, after gender-adjustment, but no such effect found in cigarette consumption due to insufficient samples who exposed to cigarettes and undetailed daily and period of consumption in our study. A British study on 651 young males showed that moderate alcohol intake perhaps benefited to BMD, but smoking was detrimental, even short duration of smoking.

Conclusions
Bone loss commonly occurs at any age in the setting of rheumatic diseases. Hypovitaminosis D, elevated CRP was probably commonly-associated with impaired BMD in all age groups of rheumatic patients.
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Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants

|        | HC   | RA   | OA   | SLE  |
|--------|------|------|------|------|
| N      | 302  | 559  | 198  | 206  |
|                      | 63.0 [53.2;72.8] | 58.0 [50.0;66.0] | <0.001 | 61.0 [53.0;69.8] | 0.188 | 45.0 [35.0;54.0] | <0.001 |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|------------------|-------|------------------|--------|
| **Age, years, median** |                  |                  |        |                  |       |                  |        |
| Disease duration†, years, median[IQR] | NA | 5.6 [2.0;12.0] | NA     | 4.0 [1.5;10.0]   | NA    | 3.0 [1.0;7.0]   |
| **Age groups, years, n(%)** |                  |                  |        |                  |       |                  |        |
| <30                  | 19 (6.3)         | 10 (1.8)         | 2 (1.0) | 37 (18.0)        |
| 31-39                | 9 (3.0)          | 24 (4.2)         | 4 (2.0) | 38 (18.4)        |
| 40-49                | 25 (8.3)         | 105 (18.8)       | 29 (14.6) | 50 (24.3)       |
| ≥50                  | 249 (82.5)       | 420 (75.1)       | 163 (82.3) | 81 (39.3)       |
| BMI, Kg/mean(SD)     | 22.5 (3.7)       | 21.9 (3.5)       | 0.321   | 24.1 (4.0)       | <0.001 | 22.0 (3.6)   |
| Gender, female, n(%) | 229 (75.8)       | 450 (80.5)       | 0.173   | 165 (83.3)       | 0.087  | 187 (90.8)   |
| Menopause status of female, n(%) |                  |                  | 0.01    |                  | 0.277  |                  |
| Post-menopause       | 202 (88.2)       | 359 (79.8)       | 139 (84.2) | 91 (48.7)       |
| Early menopause, age≤45 | 31 (15.3)       | 48 (13.4)        | 18 (12.9) | 18 (19.8)       |

|                      |                  |                  |        |                  |       |                  |        |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|------------------|-------|------------------|--------|
| **HC**               | N=302            |                  |        |                  |       |                  |        |
| **AS**               | N=128            |                  |        |                  |       |                  |        |
| **pSS**              |                  |                  |        |                  |       |                  |        |
| **Gout**             |                  |                  |        |                  |       |                  |        |
| Age, years, median[IQR] | 63.0 [53.2;72.8] | 36.5 [27.0;45.2] | <0.001 | 54.5 [46.0;60.0] | <0.001 | 61.0 [48.0;72.0] |
| Disease duration†, years, median[IQR] | NA | 6.5 [3.0;12.0] | NA     | 1.2[0.6;2.3]    | NA    | 6.0 [3.0;11.5]  |
### Table 2. General characteristics of participants

| Characteristic                          | Results     |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|
| Former or current smoking, n(%)        | 151 (8.9)   |
| Always drinking, n(%)                  | 107 (6.3)   |
| Medical history, n(%)                   |             |
| Diabetes Mellitus                       | 203 (11.9)  |
| Hypertension                            | 424 (24.9)  |

*: Compared with healthy controls. †:correlated with age

HC: healthy controls; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; OA: osteoarthritis; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc: systemic sc primary Sjogren syndrome; MCTD: mixed connective tissue disease.
Dyslipidemia 284 (16.7)
Hyperuricemia 395 (23.2)
Femoral head necrosis 28 (1.6)
Osteoporotic fracture 75 (4.4)

Medication history, yes, n(%)

Former or current chronic oral Glucocorticoid therapy 713 (41.9)
NSAIIDs 799 (47.0)
cDMARDs
  1 Type 261 (15.5)
  2 Types 336 (20.0)
  3 Types 124 (7.4)
bDMARDs 112 (6.6)

Blood calcium level, mean (SD) 2.6 (7.8)
Serum phosphate level, mean (SD) 1.3(2.6)
Serum creatinine level, median [IQR] 62.0 [53.0, 75.3]
Serum Uric acid level, n(%)
  <360 1068 (63.6)
  360-419 249 (14.8)
  420~539 243 (14.5)
  ≥540 118 (7.0)
CRP, median [IQR] 7.1 [1.5, 30.9]
ESR, median [IQR] 34.5 [15.0, 66.0]
Serum 25(OH)D3 level, mean (SD) 64.0 (26.3)
Hypovitaminosis D, n(%) 1167 (68.6)
Elevated inflammatory markers, n(%) ESR 972 (63.8) CRP 729 (47.8)

NSAIIDs: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; cDMARDs: conventional disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; bDMARDs: biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: c-reactive protein
Table 3. Odd ratios of variables in rheumatic patients with ‘score below the expected range for age’

| Variables                                  | ‘Score below the expected range for age’ | OR (95% CIs)       | P   |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|
| Age                                        |                                          | 0.95 [0.93;0.97]   | <0.001 |
| Disease duration†                          |                                          | 1.02 [0.99;1.06]   | 0.943 |
| BMI, Kg/                                   |                                          |                    |     |
| <18.5 (underweight)                        |                                          | 3.98 [2.35;6.86]   | <0.001 |
| 18.5-23.9 (normal)                         |                                          | Ref.               | Ref. |
| 24-27.9 (overweight)                       |                                          | 0.91 [0.53;1.52]   | 0.719 |
| ≥28 (obese)                                |                                          | 0.69 [0.28;1.52]   | 0.374 |
| Gender, compared with male                 |                                          |                    |     |
| Female                                     |                                          | 0.41 [0.27;0.61]   | <0.001 |
| Medical history*                           |                                          |                    |     |
| Diabetes Mellitus                          |                                          | 0.67 [0.21;1.79]   | 0.453 |
| Hypertension                               |                                          | 1.60 [0.71;3.48]   | 0.243 |
| Dyslipidemia                               |                                          | 0.87 [0.45;1.61]   | 0.664 |
| Hyperuricemia                              |                                          | 1.33 [0.82;2.14]   | 0.239 |
| Former or current smokers**                |                                          | 0.69 [0.41;1.13]   | 0.142 |
| Regular drinking**                         |                                          | 0.40 [0.19;0.75]   | 0.008 |
| Former or current chronic therapy of oral GC |                                      | 0.86 [0.58;1.26]   | 0.054 |
| Hypovitaminosis D                         |                                          | 1.57 [1.04;2.42]   | 0.033 |
| CRP elevated                               |                                          | 1.68 [1.15;2.48]   | 0.008 |
| ESR elevated                               |                                          | 1.37 [0.93;2.05]   | 0.113 |
Table 4. Odd ratios of variables in rheumatic patients with osteopenia and osteoporosis

| Variables                     | Osteopenia OR (95% CIs) | Osteopenia P | Osteoporosis OR (95% CIs) | Osteoporosis P |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| **Age**                       | 1.02 [1.00;1.04]        | 0.116        | 1.08 [1.05;1.10]          | <0.001         |
| **Disease duration†**         | 1.05 [1.00;1.09]        | 0.032        | 1.04 [1.00;1.09]          | 0.031          |
| **BMI, Kg/**                  |                         |              |                           |                |
| <18.5 (underweight)           | 1.10 [0.39;3.98]        | 0.871        | 3.00 [1.18;10.3]          | 0.019          |
| 18.5-23.9 (normal)            | Ref.                    | Ref.         | Ref.                      | Ref.           |
| 24-27.9 (overweight)          | 0.62 [0.38;1.01]        | 0.053        | 0.33 [0.20;0.53]          | <0.001         |
| ≥28 (obese)                   | 0.45 [0.23;0.89]        | 0.024        | 0.23 [0.12;0.46]          | <0.001         |
| **Gender, compared with male**|                         |              |                           |                |
| Female                        | 1.36 [0.84;2.15]        | 0.204        | 2.13 [1.34;3.33]          | 0.002          |
| **Menopause status**          |                         |              |                           |                |
| Post-menopause                | Ref.                    | Ref.         | Ref.                      | Ref.           |
| Early menopause, age ≤45      | 2.42 [0.93;8.46]        | 0.073        | 3.01 [0.86;20.6]          | 0.091          |
| **Medical history***          |                         |              |                           |                |
| Condition                        | Odds Ratio | 95% CI       | p-value |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| Diabetes Mellitus               | 0.62       | [0.35;1.13]  | 0.135   |
| Hypertension                    | 0.96       | [0.58;1.59]  | 0.13    |
| Dyslipidemia                    | 0.57       | [0.34;0.97]  | 0.065   |
| Hyperuricemia                   | 0.71       | [0.41;1.24]  | 0.002   |
| Former or current smokers**     | 0.94       | [0.55;1.64]  | 0.936   |
| Regular drinking**              | 0.87       | [0.49;1.59]  | 0.836   |
| Former or current chronic therapy of oral GC | 1.87       | [1.17;3.05]  | 0.003   |
| Hypovitaminosis D               | 1.41       | [0.91;2.19]  | 0.002   |
| CRP elevation                   | 1.61       | [1.01;2.61]  | 0.007   |
| ESR elevation                   | 1.39       | [0.88;2.21]  | 0.001   |

Figures
Figure 1

Fig 1A: composition of rheumatic patients; Fig 1B: comparison of prevalence of bone loss in healthy subjects and rheumatic patients; Fig 1C: prevalence of ‘score below the expected range for age’ in different groups; Fig 1D: prevalence of osteopenia, osteoporosis and severe osteoporosis in different groups. *: P<0.05; **: P<0.01; ***: P<0.001. HC: healthy controls; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; OA: osteoarthritis; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc: systemic scleroderma; AS: ankylosing spondylitis; pSS: primary Sjogren syndrome;
MCTD: mixed connective tissue disease.

Figure 2

odds ratio of impaired BMD in rheumatic patients, stratified by scoring methods. *: age-, gender- and BMI-adjusted. HC: healthy controls; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; OA: osteoarthritis; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc: systemic scleroderma; AS: ankylosing spondylitis; pSS: primary Sjogren syndrome; MCTD: mixed connective tissue disease
Figure 3
odds ratio of impaired BMD in rheumatic patients, stratified by scoring methods. *: age-, gender- and BMI-adjusted. HC: healthy controls; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; OA: osteoarthritis; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc: systemic scleroderma; AS: ankylosing spondylitis; pSS: primary Sjogren syndrome; MCTD: mixed connective tissue disease

Figure 4
odds ratio of impaired BMD in rheumatic patients, stratified by scoring methods. *: age-, gender- and BMI-adjusted. HC: healthy controls; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; OA: osteoarthritis; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; SSc: systemic scleroderma; AS: ankylosing spondylitis; pSS: primary Sjogren syndrome; MCTD: mixed connective tissue disease
