Choline Transporter regulates olfactory habituation via a neuronal triad of excitatory, inhibitory and mushroom body neurons
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Abstract

Choline is an essential component of Acetylcholine (ACh) biosynthesis pathway which requires high-affinity Choline transporter (ChT) for its uptake into the presynaptic terminals of cholinergic neurons. Previously, we had reported a predominant expression of ChT in memory processing and storing region of the Drosophila brain called mushroom bodies (MBs). It is unknown how ChT contributes to the functional principles of MB operation. Here, we demonstrate the role of ChT in Habituation, a non-associative form of learning. Odour driven habituation traces are laid down in ChT dependent manner in antennal lobes (AL), projection neurons (PNs), and MBs. We observed that reduced habituation due to knock-down of ChT in MBs causes hypersensitivity towards odour, suggesting that ChT also regulates incoming stimulus suppression. Importantly, we show for the first time that ChT is not unique to cholinergic neurons but is also required in inhibitory GABAergic neurons to drive habituation behaviour. Our results support a model in which ChT regulates both habituation and incoming stimuli through multiple circuit loci via an interplay between excitatory and inhibitory neurons. Strikingly, the lack of ChT in MBs shows characteristics similar to the major reported features of Autism spectrum disorders (ASD), including attenuated habituation, sensory hypersensitivity as well as defective GABAergic signalling. Our data establish the role of ChT in habituation and suggest that its dysfunction may contribute to neuropsychiatric disorders like ASD.

Author summary

Habituation is a conserved phenomenon that enables an organism to enhance attention only on salient stimuli in the surroundings and ignore stimuli without any positive or negative consequences. The circuitry, regulators, and molecular mechanisms involved in habituation are poorly understood. By using Drosophila model system, we demonstrate that Choline Transporter (ChT) regulates olfactory habituation and its central operational features. We show for the first time that ChT is localised in GABAergic neurons and demonstrate that reduced levels of ChT in mushroom body and GABAergic neurons lead to...
defective habituation, which is correlated to augmented sensory perception. Our data provides a new perspective of ChT in habituation and provides avenues for future research to investigate the molecular correlates of ChT in habituation-associated neuropsychiatric disorders.

Introduction

Acetylcholine (ACh) is the fundamental neurotransmitter of cholinergic neurons. These neurons are widely distributed throughout the central nervous system (CNS) in the vertebrate and invertebrate brain. In vertebrates, all pre-ganglionic sympathetic neurons, part of post-ganglionic sympathetic neurons, pre and post ganglionic parasympathetic neurons are cholinergic [1]. Also, in invertebrates like *Drosophila*, almost all major types of sensory neurons, including chemosensory, chordotonal, olfactory neurons and most regions of the central brain and interneurons are cholinergic [2–4]. Given the widespread distribution of cholinergic neurons in the vertebrate and invertebrate brain, ACh mediated neurotransmission is crucial for neural functions that include varied sensory modalities.

ACh synthesis for efficient neurotransmission at cholinergic synapses depends on the proteins of its metabolic cycle, namely, Choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), vesicular acetylcholine transferase (VACHT), Acetylcholine esterase (AChE) and ChT. The ACh is synthesized by enzyme ChAT from choline and acetylcoenzymeA. It is then transported into synaptic vesicles by VACHT. The ChT imports choline into the presynaptic terminal and is the rate-limiting step of ACh biosynthesis. The MBs in *Drosophila* CNS are bilateral neuropilar areas having evolutionary similarities with vertebrate cortex [5]. *Drosophila* MBs express ChAT and VACHT and require ACh for its function [6,7]. Based on immunostainings, we recently reported a preponderance of ChT in *Drosophila* MBs as compared to ChAT and VACHT [8]. This finding was intriguing which led us to explore why ChT has preferentially higher expression in MBs as compared to ChAT and VACHT. MBs receive olfactory input from the antennal lobes (AL) via projection neurons (PNs) and serve as the prime site for sensory integration and learning. MBs associate the memory with a reward or punishment pathway. However, before establishing such an association in MBs, an animal must evaluate each incoming stimulus, identify the salient stimuli and initiate the appropriate stimulus driven response. Therefore, to understand the mechanisms of complex associative learning, it is important to decipher the mechanisms in MBs that impart an animal the flexibility to choose only the salient incoming stimuli, ignore inconsequential ones and finally register this information in a context-dependent manner. ‘Habituation’ is one such behavioral process that enables an organism to evade inconsequential stimuli from the salient ones. Several reported *Drosophila* genes like dunce, rutabaga, turnip, radish, DCO, Leonardo, DAMB, Nmdar1 and 2 have high expression in MBs and contribute to *Drosophila* memory [9]. Many of the proteins expressed at elevated levels in the MBs are required for both associative learning and habituation [10,11], suggesting that the proteins contributing to associative learning also contribute to habituation. This implies the existence of an association between the two forms of plasticity. Flies that lack MBs display reduced habituation [10,12,13]. Also, habituation to repetitive footshock stimuli requires intact MBs [14]. Thus, these reports signify the importance of MB function in habituation behaviour.

Habituation enhances attention only on the salient features of the animal’s surroundings such as food, mate, danger, etc. Habituation has been observed in many organisms from as simple as a single cell protozoa to *Aplysia californica*, medicinal leech, territorial fish, Birds,
and *Drosophila* to more complex life forms like rats, and humans suggesting its ubiquitous persistence [15]. Multiple habituation studies focused on *Drosophila* sensory systems such as olfactory, gustatory, visual, and proprioceptive systems have contributed to our understanding of the cellular and circuit basis of habituation [11,16]. At the synaptic level, habituation may result through the action of heterosynaptic modulation involving activation of inhibitory neurons or homosynaptic depression of excitatory neurons [17]. Studies in adult *Drosophila* suggest that potentiation of GABAergic inhibition onto PN terminals in AL cause olfactory habituation [18]. Habituation reflects the efficient sensory input processing, and defective or premature habituation may lead to sensory hyper-responsivity, which has been widely observed in individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) [19–22]. Recently, orthologs of 98 human intellectual disability (ID) genes were reported to be important for habituation in fruit flies and a large fraction of these genes were associated with ASD [23]. In view of our previous findings that report high expression of ChT in MBs, we study a putative role of ChT in ‘Habituation’ which is widely regarded as a prerequisite for more complex form of associative learning. Compilation of the previous information describing habituation in *Drosophila* reveals that most of the olfactory habituation paradigms engage olfactory circuitry [24,25]. Therefore, we mapped the function of ChT in MB neurons as well as in the olfactory pathway governing olfactory habituation in *Drosophila* larvae.

Here, we show that ChT is required for olfactory habituation at multiple loci involving olfactory pathway and MB neurons. This study reports for the first time that ChT is also localised in GABAergic terminals of *Drosophila* larval brain suggesting that ChT is not unique to cholinergic neurons. We show that the olfactory PN terminals (majority of which are excitatory) and the inhibitory GABAergic neuron terminals express ChT and perhaps their teamwork regulates habituation and its central operational features: the response devaluation to an olfactory stimulus, spontaneous recovery on the removal of stimulus ordishabituation of response upon exposure to an unrelated stimulus. Knock-down of ChT in MBs was observed to be correlated with the hypersensitivity towards the incoming stimuli and defective habituation, suggesting that ChT bridges the link between upstream plasticity and downstream stimulus suppression. Our results demonstrate the role of a conserved protein, ChT, contributing to the dynamic nature of habituation and highlight that its dysfunction leads to sensory abnormalities. Thus, these findings add insight into habituation behaviour mechanisms at the neural circuit levels through choline metabolism.

**Results**

**Decrement of odour-specific chemotactic response in naïve *Drosophila* larvae conforms to habituation parameters**

Attraction towards an odour is referred to as chemotaxis, which is essential for a diversity of insects to navigate for food sources, potential mating partners, assessing danger, search for egg-laying sites, etc. The olfactory system of insects has evolved to impart them great discriminatory power for behaviourally relevant odours, decipher this message in CNS and finally exhibit appropriate behaviours. Thus, chemotaxis-based behavioural responses towards an odour are significant for their survival. Foraging *Drosophila* larvae are in constant search of food for their development. The larval olfactory system is similar but numerically simpler than the adult flies, discern a wide range of odours and learn to discriminate between different odours and concentrations [26–29]. Thus, it provides a system with a genetically accessible, well-defined neural circuit relevant to our study.

We first tested and standardised the habituation assay in wildtype 3rd instar foraging larvae to affirm if our assay accedes to habituation parameters. Naïve wildtype larvae were attracted
by Ethyl acetate (ETA) and Amyl acetate (AMA) as assessed by calculating response index (Pre-hab R.I) (Fig 1A and 1B). Continuous exposure of naïve wild type larvae for 5 min to AMA and ETA, evoked significant avoidance of these odours (Post-hab R.I) (Fig 1A and 1B). However, among both the odours tested, ETA elicited a more robust chemotactic response and showed stronger avoidance after prior exposure. Therefore, we used the attractant ETA for subsequent experiments. We further investigated whether the decrement of chemotactic response conforms to classical habituation parameters [30], which means animals that habituate to a stimulus should regain the response after a time-lapse, if the stimulus is withheld. This phenomenon is termed as ‘spontaneous recovery’ (Fig 1C). Indeed, we observed a spontaneous recovery of the chemotactic response to the naïve levels after 15 and 30 min rest time (Fig 1B).
To confirm the initial decrement as habituation and not a fatigue or sensory adaptation, we tested another classical habituation feature called ‘Dishabituation’. It means if the habituated animal is exposed to an unrelated strong stimulus, the naïve response is fully or partially restored. We attempted dishabituation using a 1 min exposure to cold shock on ice (Schematics, Fig 1D). This significantly reverses the chemotactic response of larvae pre-exposed to 5’ ETA (Fig 1B). Notably, 1 min exposure to cold shock given to naïve larvae did not affect R.I towards ETA as compared to pre-hab R.I, suggesting that cold shock does not affect the general perception of olfactory stimuli or cause sensitisation (Fig 1B). We also assessed effect of 1 min cold shock on larval motility before and after the cold shock and observed that 100 percent larvae had left the choice point (Fig 1E). Under both conditions (with cold shock and without cold shock), 28 percent larvae moved to zone 1 and 71 percent larvae moved to zone 2 (Fig 1E), suggesting that 1 min cold shock does not have any effect on larval motility.

Taken together, the decrement of chemotaxis in wildtype larvae on continuous exposure to an odorant stimulus, the recovery of the response when the stimulus was withheld, and the dishabituation conforms to the habituation parameters and demonstrates that the response attenuation is attributed to olfactory habituation. We have used these olfaction-based paradigms to demonstrate the functional relevance of ChT in regulating habituation in our subsequent experiments.

**Intrinsic neurons of MB require ChT for olfactory habituation and incoming odour stimulus suppression**

There are three types of MB intrinsic neurons, i.e., α/β, α'/β' and γ neurons, also termed as Kenyon cells (KC). These are distinctly implicated in olfactory learning and memory [31,32]. To understand the role of ChT in MBs, we depleted it in independent domains of MB intrinsic neurons with the help of UAS-GAL4 binary expression system [33] and investigated if these neurons require ChT function in habituation. Our immunostainings show ChT colocalization in MB calyx and different domains of MB neurons as assessed by driving expression of UAS-KC), and NP1131 (mCD8GFP with MB247 (C305a (α'/β' class of KC), and NP1131 (γ class of KC) (S2 Fig). We used two RNAi fly stocks [ChTRNAi1 (V101485) and ChTγRNAi2 (BL28613)] to knock down ChT in MB intrinsic neurons. The knock-down efficiency of ChTγRNAi2 was assessed by immunostaining (S1 Fig), while that of ChTγRNAi1 was described previously by us [8]. First, we evaluated the naïve chemotactic response of 3rd instar foraging larvae towards ETA upon knock-down of ChT in each of the neuronal domains of MBs. Interestingly, we observed a significant increase in naïve chemotactic response upon knock-down of ChT in γ lobe neurons (NP1131> ChTγRNAi1 or ChTγRNAi2) as well as in α'/β' (C305a> ChTγRNAi1 or ChTγRNAi2) and α/β + γ (MB247> ChTγRNAi1 or ChTγRNAi2) class of KC as compared to their genetic controls (Fig 2A–2C). To test if chemotactic enhancement is specific to the knock-down of ChT, we expressed ChT transgene on UAS-ChTγRNAi1 background in all the three neuronal domains of MBs (MBGAL4s> ChTγRNAi1; UAS-ChT) and observed a reversal of the response index in γ and α'/β' class of KC but not in α/β+ γ class of KC when compared with respective genotypes of MBGAL4s> + (Fig 2A–2C). Additionally, we compared response index of genotype MBGAL4s> ChTγRNAi1;UAS-ChT with MBGAL4s> ChTγRNAi1 but observed statistically significant reversal of the response index only in γ lobe but not in α'/β' and α/β+ γ class of KC (Fig 2A–2C). Next, we tested the effect of ChT knock-down in MBs on olfactory habituation. A significant reduction in habituation index was observed in the group of larvae upon knock-down of ChT with both ChTγRNAi1 and ChTγRNAi2 fly lines in γ, α'/β' and α/β+ γ class of KC as compared to their genetic controls (Fig 2D–2F). Transgenic expression of ChT in UAS-ChTγRNAi1 background significantly enhanced the habituation index of the larvae in
Fig 2. Knock-down of ChT in MB intrinsic neurons enhances chemotaxis towards odour but suppresses habituation. (A-C) Response index of naive larvae (also referred to as chemotaxis) towards ETA, (D-E) habituation index of larvae exposed to ETA, of genotypes: NP1131GAL4 was used as a driver line for expression in MB γ-lobe. Scatter plot represents R.I (A) and H.I (D) of genotypes NP1131> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}, NP1131> UAS-ChTRNAi1;UAS-ChT, NP1131> UAS-ChT as compared to their controls NP1131> +, NP1131> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} as compared to UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAiAl}, C305aGAL4 was used as a driver line for expression in MB α'/β'-lobe. Scatter plot represents R.I (B) and H.I (E) of genotypes C305a> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}, C305a> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1};UAS-ChT, C305a> UAS-ChT as compared to their controls C305a> +, C305a> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} as compared to ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2}>+. MB247GAL4 was used as a driver line for expression in MB α/β+ γ-lobe. Scatter plot represents R.I (C) and H.I (F) of genotypes MB247> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}, MB247> UAS-ChTRNAi1;UAS-ChT, MB247> UAS-ChT as compared to their controls MB247> +, MB247> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} as compared to UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2}>+. Pink circles represent knockdown using UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} and UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2}, Blue circles represent rescue, and yellow triangles represent transgenic over-expression of UAS-ChT as compared to genetic controls (black circles). Data are represented as scatter plot with error bars showing SEM and N≥16. Each N represent one experiment performed with a group of 40 larvae. Each data group was analysed for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired t-test (parametric) with Welch’s correction. *** represent p<0.0001, n.s means statistical non-significance when p≥0.05. For more statistical details and numerical data values in the scatter plot refer to S1 and S2 Data.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009938.g002
\(\alpha'/\beta'\) and \(\alpha/\beta + \gamma\) class of KC but not in \(\gamma\) KC (Fig 2D–2F). This difference in the rescue of response index and habituation index in different KC may be due to the differences in the expression levels of UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} and UAS-ChT transgenes driven by the different GAL4s. To clarify, if the levels of ChT determine the extent of habituation and chemotaxis, we over-expressed ChT in all the three classes of KC neurons using specific GAL4 drivers (MBGAL4's > UAS-ChT). The extent of the naïve olfactory response, as well as the habituation, remains unaffected by overexpression of ChT (Fig 2A–2F). Next, we confirmed if larvae’s enhanced response towards ETA and decreased habituation is specific to the knock-down of ChT and not specific to the kind of pre-exposed odour. For this, we knocked down ChT in \(\gamma\), \(\alpha'/\beta'\) and \(\alpha/\beta + \gamma\) KC using UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (MBGAL4's > ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}) and exposed these group of larvae to amyl acetate (AMA) and the alcoholic class of odour, 3-Octanol. We observed an enhancement of chemotaxis and reduced habituation for both the pre-exposed odours, suggesting it to be ChT specific phenotype and not to the class of odour (S3A–S3D Fig).

To investigate if the synaptic transmission from MBs is required to regulate incoming odour stimulus and habituation, we expressed the temperature-sensitive mutant of Dynamin orthologue, Shibire, in MB neurons using a UAS-Shi\textsuperscript{ts} transgene. Shibire\textsuperscript{ts} mutant causes a block of synaptic vesicle recycling at non-permissible temperature (29˚C), leading to a rapid decline of neurotransmitter release and synaptic transmission [34]. The neural activity was perturbed specifically in \(\alpha'\beta', \gamma\) and \(\alpha/\beta + \gamma\) class of KC intrinsic neurons using C305a-GAL4, NP1131-GAL4 and MB247-GAL4, respectively, and their consequent effect on the naïve chemotactic response and habituating ability of the larvae at non-permissible temperature was assessed. A significantly enhanced chemotaxis towards ETA and reduced habituation were observed as compared to their genetics controls (GAL4s > +) (S4A and S4B Fig). Inactivation of synaptic vesicle endocytosis in MB intrinsic neurons resulted in enhanced chemotaxis and attenuated habituation similar to those observed with knock-down of ChT in these neurons. This suggests a need for neurotransmitter release from MBs for incoming odour stimulus suppression and habituation.

Previously, we reported attenuated neuromuscular junctions (NMJ) in third instar larvae due to knock-down of ChT in \(\alpha/\beta\) and \(\gamma\) intrinsic lobes of MB [8]. To ascertain if the observed reduction in habituation index is the acute functional change in the neurons during habituation or has resulted from changes in the NMJ, we employed the TARGET system [35]. TARGET system uses a Tubulin promotor to express temperature-sensitive repressor of GAL4 (TubGAL80\textsuperscript{ts}) where expression of GAL80\textsuperscript{ts} allows RNAi expression by GAL4 at 29˚C but not at 18˚C. Using this system, we limited the expression of UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (MBGAL4 > UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}; tubGAL80\textsuperscript{ts}) to the developmental window of foraging 3rd instar larvae during which the assay was performed (S5A Fig). We observed a significant enhancement in response index towards the odour (S5B Fig) and a drastic reduction in habituation index (S5C Fig) upon knock-down of ChT in \(\alpha/\beta\) and \(\gamma\) lobe neurons at 29˚C but not at 18˚C (S5B and S5C Fig). Reportedly, MB247GAL4 has expression domain in both \(\gamma\) and \(\alpha/\beta\) domain [36]. Therefore, we validated our results obtained with MB247GAL4 by performing experiments with additional GAL4 line, C739GAL4, which preferentially expresses only in \(\alpha/\beta\) domain [36]. We observed an enhanced response index and reduced habituation index as compared to their genetic controls at 29˚C but not at 18˚C (S5D and S5E Fig). These results confirm that ChT is acutely required in MB intrinsic neurons to facilitate habituation and the observed habituation defects are not due to changes in neurons during development. Altogether, our results indicate that knock-down of ChT in MB does not affect the odour perception. It has a function in MB intrinsic neurons that support devaluation of the incoming stimuli and regulates sensitivity towards incoming olfactory stimuli.
ChT is essential in intrinsic neurons of MB for the maintenance of key characteristics of habituation

A habituated animal should re-establish the response towards stimuli partially or wholly within a specific time frame if the stimulus is withheld [30]. To ascertain that the decrement in response due to knock down in ChT is indeed habituation phenotype, we assessed the response recovery (spontaneous recovery), after a rest period of 15 min and 30 min, in the habituated larvae when the stimulus was withheld. The knock-down of ChT using the expression of UAS-ChTRNAi1 and UAS-ChTRNAi2 lines driven by NP1131GAL4 (γ lobe, Fig 3A), C305aGAL4 (α'/β' lobe, Fig 3B) and MB247GAL4 (α/β+ γ lobe, Fig 3C) intrinsic lobes caused a defect in the larvae to spontaneously recover. On the other hand, larvae from their genetic controls (MBGAL4s > + or UAS-ChTRNAi2 > +) significantly recovered to the naïve levels after just 15 min (Fig 3A–3C). The defect in recovery due to depletion of ChT was restored partially or completely when ChT was transgenically expressed on a ChT RNAi background in α'/β', α/β+ γ and γ class of KC neurons (Fig 3A–3C). However, we noticed a significant rescue in spontaneous recovery in γ lobe only after 60 min whereas in α'/β' and α/β+ γ, the recovery was achieved within 30 min.

Next, we induced dishabituation by exposing the habituated larvae to cold shock for 1 min. The knock-down of ChT in the γ, α'/β' and α/β+ γ class of KC neurons of larvae using NP1131GAL4 (Fig 3A), C305aGAL4 (Fig 3B) and MB247GAL4 (Fig 3C) driver lines, respectively, were unable to dishabituate upon cold shock. On the other hand, exposure of habituated larvae to 1 min cold shock reverses chemotaxis decrement to naïve levels in the control group of larvae (MBsGAL4 > +, Fig 3A–3C). The dishabituating capability was restored in these larvae when ChT was transgenically expressed on a ChT RNAi background (UAS-ChT RNAi1;UAS-ChT) in γ, α'/β', and α/β class of KC neurons (Fig 3A–3C). The exposure of naïve larvae to similar cold shock did not affect the chemotaxis both in control and ChT-depleted group of larvae, suggesting that the observed enhancement of response index is not the sensitisation of olfactory receptors due to cold shock.

The control group of larvae (MBsGAL4 > +) are capable of re-establishing baseline response levels that follow an unrelated dishabituating stimulus as well as after a prolonged lapse of time in the absence of stimulus while larvae deficit of ChT function in MB neurons are incapable of resuming the initial response levels. This suggests that in the absence of ChT, the synaptic capability to re-establish the response is compromised, leading to defective spontaneous recovery and dishabitation.

ChT is localized in the neural circuit of the larval olfactory pathway

Drosophila larva has a well-defined olfactory circuitry where olfactory signals are conveyed through a dome of perforated cuticle called (DO) at its anterior end of the body, which houses 21 olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs). The axons of OSNs bundle together and project into the AL via the antennal nerve [37]. Each OSN expresses a specific type of olfactory receptor (OR) along with the OR83b gene, which is essential for the proper functioning of ORs [38]. The olfactory signals are further conveyed to higher order structures in the brain via PNs [39] (Schematics Fig 4A). Previous studies suggest that the potentiation of inhibitory signals from the local interneurons (LNs) present in AL modulate PNs to facilitate olfactory habituation [24]. This led us to hypothesize that ChT might also be present in the olfactory neural circuit and possibly facilitate olfactory habituation. Therefore, we investigated the presence of ChT in OSNs, AL, and PNs using specific GAL4s to drive UAS-mCD8GFP. The OSN terminals were visualised by expressing UAS-mCD8GFP using Or83bGAL4 in F1 larval brains of genotype Or83bGAL4 > UAS-mCD8GFP and costained by the anti-ChT antibody. We observed ChT...
expression only at a few of the termini of its branches and the expression was not as profusely defined as the total number of branches suggesting that its function lies only in a particular subset of OSNs (S6A–S6C Fig). OSNs project their terminals onto the local interneurons in AL or dendrites of projection neurons in AL (schematics Fig 4A). PNs are uniglomerular and relay...
Fig 4. ChT is localised in major neurons of larval olfactory neural circuit. (A) Schematics of the larval olfactory pathway. Odorants are detected by olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs, green), which terminate in antennal lobe (AL) and synapses with local interneurons (LNs, blue) or with dendrites of projection neurons (PNs, red). PNs innervate MB calyx (brown). (B-D) Expression of UAS-mCD8GFP in projection neurons (green) driven by GH146GAL4 and immunostained with anti-ChT (magenta) and merge. The PNs connecting AL to MB calyx are encircled by white dotted shape, scale bar 50 μm. imaged with 20x, 0.9 N.A objective (F-H) PN imaged at higher magnification, scale bar 20 μm, imaged with 40x, 1.4N.A oil objective (E and I) represents the merged image of single plane taken from the same z-section of represented image stacks. The colocalised regions can be viewed as white areas in the merged image shown. (J-M) Expression of UAS-mCD8GFP in central brain region (green) driven by GH298GAL4 and immunostained with anti-ChT (magenta) and merge, scale bar 50 μm. imaged with 20x, 0.9 N.A objective. GH298 shows expression in both AL and calyx. (N-P) AL imaged at higher magnification, scale bar 20 μm, imaged with 40x, 1.4N.A oil objective (R-T) MB calyx imaged at higher magnification, scale bar 20 μm, imaged with 40x, 1.4N.A oil objective. (M, Q, and U) represents the merged image of single plane taken from the same z-section of represented image stacks. The colocalised regions in AL and calyces can be viewed as white areas in the merged image shown. All images are z-stacked (unless mentioned) pseudocoloured representative of 3–5 3rd instar larval brains.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009938.g004

information to MBs or other higher brain regions [40]. They connect to individual glomeruli of calyx via the presynaptic ends and the AL via postsynaptic ends [41]. We used GH146GAL4 to drive the expression of UASmCD8GFP reporter in projection neurons (Fig 4B–4E). The dendritic arborization of PNs covered a larger area of the AL (Fig 4F). An axon extending from AL was visible and terminated in the MB calyx, representing the output area of PNs (Fig 4F). We observed an intense localisation of ChT at the presynaptic ends of PNs terminating in the calyx of MB (Fig 4F–4I). The dendritic end showed a sparse localisation of ChT, which probably represents the expression of ChT in the antennal lobes rather than the dendritic end of PNs (Fig 4G). To identify ChT localisation in the larval antennal lobe neurons, we crossed GH298GAL4 driver with UAS-mCD8GFP and coimmunostained with anti-ChT to visualize ChT expression pattern in AL neurons (Fig 4J–4Q). The GH298GAL4 expression was seen as arborisation in AL and in cells situated around the lateral edge of the AL (Fig 4N). GH298 expression was also seen in MB calyx with a predominant colocalization with anti-ChT immunostainings (Fig 4J–4M and Fig 4R–4U). Collectively, our immunostaining data shows that ChT is present in all three major cellular components of the olfactory pathway i.e the OSNs, the AL, the PNs as well as in the MBs of Drosophila larva. Therefore, it became logical to investigate the role of ChT in the whole olfactory neural circuitry and assess if ChT contributes at each stage of olfactory processing to facilitate olfactory habituation.

**ChT mediates habituation but not chemotaxis through local interneurons of antennal lobes and projection neurons**

Our immunostaining analysis shows ChT localisation in olfactory circuit neurons including OSNs, local neurons of AL, PNs and MB intrinsic neurons, which provided the basis to dissect the potential contribution of ChT in each of these kinds of neurons in the olfactory neural circuitry. We first knocked down ChT in OSNs by expressing UAS-ChTrNAI using Or83bGAL4 (OR83bGAL4 > UAS-ChTrNAI), acutely knock down ChT in 3rd instar developmental window using TubGAL80ts, and knockdown ChT throughout development using TubGAL80ts. We observed a reduction in chemotaxis towards ETA in genotype OR83bGAL4 > UAS-ChTrNAI and OR83bGAL4 > UAS-ChTrRNAi; TubGAL80ts (throughout 29˚C) as compared to the genetic control (OR83bGAL4 > +) (S6D Fig) and not when it was knocked down in the 3rd instar developmental window. This suggests that the reduction observed in chemotaxis arises due to developmental defect in these neurons. The attenuated chemotaxis was partially rescued by transgenically expressing UAS-ChT on a ChTrNAI background (OR83bGAL4 > UAS-ChTrRNAi; UAS-ChT) (S6D Fig). However, we did not find any significant reduction in habituation index neither upon acute knock-down of ChT nor throughout knockdown of ChT (S6E Fig). The
overexpression of ChT in OSNs neither modulated chemotaxis nor the larvae’s habituating capability (S6D and S6E Fig). These results imply that ChT localised in OSNs does not have a role in habituation.

When the larvae sense an odour through OSNs, an activity pattern is generated at the glomerulus of AL. AL are the first processing centres of the olfactory circuit consisting of LNs, which are majorly inhibitory and only a small number of neurons are excitatory [42]. We tested naïve chemotactic response and habituation index after knock-down of ChT in LNs of AL using GH298GAL4 driver line. GH298GAL4 marks the majority of LNs present in the glomeruli of AL [40]. We observed that depletion of ChT by UAS-ChT RNAi1 and UAS-ChT RNAi2 in AL neurons (GH298>UAS-ChT RNAi1 or UAS-ChT RNAi2) significantly reduced habituation as compared to their genetic controls (GH298>+ or UAS-ChT RNAi2>+ ) without affecting naïve chemotactic responses (Fig 5A and 5B). The control larvae recovered to the naïve levels within 30 min after stimulus removal (Fig 5C). However, we observed that the response towards odour recovered to near baseline level when ChT was knocked down with UAS-ChT RNAi1 but not with UAS-ChT RNAi2 (Fig 5C). The observed recovery obtained with UAS-ChT RNAi1 might be because the decrement in chemotaxis was already less upon habituation. Also, the brief dishabituating cold shock stimulus recovers the response index to the naïve levels significantly in control (GH298>+ ) but not when ChT was knocked down in GH298>UAS-ChT RNAi1 larvae (Fig 5C). The failure of group of larvae with depleted ChT to spontaneously recover and dishabituate was significantly rescued by transgenic expression of ChT on ChT background (GH298>ChT RNAi1;UAS-ChT) (Fig 5C). Since LNs modulate the activity of PNs and our immunostainings show ChT expression in PN presynaptic terminals, we assessed the functional role of ChT in PNs in odour processing and habituation. We used GH146GAL4 driver to mark PNs, most of which are excitatory neurons and also have expression domain in GABAergic and octopaminergic PNs [43–45]. To determine the role of ChT in all the PNs that project from AL to MBs in olfactory habituation, we used GH146GAL4 driver to drive UAS-ChT RNAi1 and UAS-ChT RNAi2 for knock-down of ChT (GH146>UAS-ChT RNAi1 or UAS-ChT RNAi2). Knock-down of ChT in PNs did not cause any significant changes in naïve chemotactic response but caused a significant reduction in habituation index with both UAS-ChT RNAi1 and UAS-ChT RNAi2 lines as compared to the genetic controls (GH146GAL4>+ or UAS-ChT RNAi2>+ ) (Fig 5D and 5E). The habituation defect was rescued back by transgenically expressing UAS-ChT in larvae of genotype GH146>UAS-ChT RNAi1;UAS-ChT (Fig 5E). The decline in the olfactory response in the habituated larvae was recovered back to the baseline levels in the control group of larvae (GH146>+ ) over time, in the absence of a stimulus (Fig 5F) as well as on providing dishabituating cold stimulus conforming to the habituation characteristics (Fig 5F). This spontaneous recovery and dishabituation were not observed in the group of larvae with depleted ChT in genotypes GH146>UAS-ChT RNAi1 or UAS-ChT RNAi2 (Fig 5F).

To ascertain if the reduced habituation observed due to knock down of ChT in AL and PN is not a result of any developmental defect, we knocked down ChT acutely in specific 3rd instar developmental window and, also, throughout development using TubGAL80ts driven by GH298 and GH146 driver lines. We observed an unaltered chemotaxis when ChT was knocked down throughout the development using TubGAL80ts both in AL and PN (Fig 5A and 5D). Interestingly, we observed an enhanced chemotaxis when ChT was specifically knocked down in 3rd instar larvae using GH298Gal4 driver in genotype GH298>UAS-ChT RNAi1; GAL80ts (Fig 5A). However, a significantly reduced habituation was observed when ChT was knocked down either in 3rd instar developmental window or throughout development, both in AL and PN (Fig 5B and 5E). In our immunostaining analysis, expression domain of GH298GAL4 was observed both in MB calyx and AL. Therefore, to delineate the effect of ChT...
Fig 5. Presence of ChT in antennal lobes and projection neurons is required for olfactory habituation. GH298GAL4 was used as a driver line for expression in AL (A) response index, (B) habituation index of genotypes: GH298> UAS-ChTRNAi1 (pink circles), GH298> UAS-ChTRNAi2 (pink circles) as compared to its control GH298> + (black circles). GH298> UAS-ChT RNAi2 (pink circles) as
compared to UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2}++ (black circles). GH298>ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1};TubGAL80ts at 29˚C where ChT was knocked down only in 3\textsuperscript{rd} instar foraging developmental window or throughout 29˚C (orange circles) as compared to GH298>++ (black circles). Scatter plot also shows data of genotypes GH298>ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1};TubGAL80ts at 18˚C (orange circles) as compared to GH298>++ (black circles) at 18˚C. Chemotaxis remains unaltered except in the condition when ChT was knocked down only in 3\textsuperscript{rd} instar developmental window while a significant reduction in habituation index was observed when ChT was knocked down in AL neurons marked by GH298 driver line. (C) response index following 5’ ETA exposure (post-hab), spontaneous recovery in the ETA pre-exposed larvae after 15 and 30 min rest time in the absence of stimulus, dishabituation in the ETA pre-exposed larvae presented to 1 min cold shock (ETA+cold shock) and naive larvae presented to 1 min cold shock (naive + cold shock), of the genotypes: GH298>++ (black circles), GH298> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (pink circles), GH298> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}; UAS-ChT (blue circles), GH298> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (pink circles) and UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2}++ (black circles). Knock-down of ChT impairs spontaneous recovery and dishabituation when ChT was knocked down with GH298GAL4 driver. GH146GAL4 was used as a driver line for expression in PN. (D and E) shows response index and habituation index, respectively, in genotypes: GH146> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (pink circles), GH146> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}; UAS-ChT (blue circles) as compared to its control GH146>++ (black circles). GH146> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} (pink circles) as compared to UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2}++ (black circles). GH146> ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1};TubGAL80ts at 29˚C where ChT was knocked down only in 3\textsuperscript{rd} instar foraging developmental window or throughout 29˚C (orange circles) as compared to GH146>++ (black circles). Scatter plot also shows data of genotypes GH146>ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1};TubGAL80ts 18˚C (orange circles) as compared to GH146>++ (black circles) at 18˚C. A significant reduction in the habituation index was observed while chemotaxis remains unaltered when ChT was knocked down in PNs. (F) response index following 5’ ETA exposure (post-hab), spontaneous recovery in the ETA pre-exposed larvae after 15 and 30 min rest time in the absence of stimulus, dishabituation in the ETA pre-exposed larvae presented to 1 min cold shock (ETA+cold shock) and naive larvae presented to 1 min cold shock (naive + cold shock), of the genotypes: GH146>++ (black circles), GH146> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (pink circles), GH146> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1}; UAS-ChT (blue circles), GH146> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (pink circles) and UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2}++ (black circles). Knock-down of ChT impairs spontaneous recovery and dishabituation when ChT was knocked down in PNs with GH146GAL4 driver. Each N represent one experiment performed with a group of 30–40 larvae. Each data group was analysed for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired t-test (parametric) with Welch's correction. * * * represent p<0.0001, ** represent p<0.001, n.s means statistical non-significance when p≥0.05. For more statistical details and numerical data values in the scatter plot refer to S1 and S2 Data.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009938.g005

ChT is required in GABAergic neurons for both olfactory habituation and stimulus suppression but mediates only stimulus suppression via cholinergic neurons

OSNs and major class of PNs in Drosophila are cholinergic in nature [46]. The majority of LNs in AL are GABAergic neurons that make connections with projections both from OSNs and PNs. GABAergic connections of LNs onto the dendrites of PNs in AL are known to synchronise the PNs output [47] and regulate the changes in MB neurons further upstream in higher brain centres [48]. Habitation might result from either depression of excitatory neurotransmission [49] or potentiation of inhibitory transmission [24,50]. To understand how ChT contributes to the devaluation of inconsequential stimuli (habitation), we investigated two
possible courses of action: 1) ChT might be present in GABAergic neurons and directly regulate olfactory habituation, 2) ChT might regulate ACh release from excitatory cholinergic neurons which in turn activate GABAergic neurons for inhibition.
The synthesis of the GABA neurotransmitter is catalysed by the enzyme Glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD). GAD1GAL4 has a predominant expression in GABAergic neurons in the fly brain [51]. We used GAD1GAL4 to mark the putative GABAergic neurons in the larval brain by driving UAS-mCD8GFP and assessed with anti-ChT primary antibody if ChT is colocalised in the GABA positive regions. A colocalisation of GABA positive neurons and ChT was observed in several regions of central brain (Fig 7A–7C’), MB lobes (Fig 7D–7F’), ventral nerve cord (VNC) (Fig 7G–7I’), MB calyx (Fig 7J–7L’), and subesophageal ganglia (SEG) (Fig 7M–7O’). Further, we knocked down ChT in GABAergic neurons using GAD1GAL4; UASmCD8GFP genetic combination and immunostained with anti-ChT to assess ChT signal loss in GABAergic neurons. We quantified signal loss in VNC region and observed a significant reduction of ChT signals in GABAergic neurons present in VNC (S7 Fig). This suggests ChT is localised in GABAergic neurons. Although ChT is a transporter generic to ACh metabolic cycle, but interestingly we observed a major ChT immunopositive region colocalised with GABAergic terminals in several regions of larval central brain neuropile. To elucidate whether ChT is indeed required in inhibitory neurons for olfactory habituation, we knocked down ChT by expressing UAS-ChT RNAi1 and UAS-ChT RNAi2 in GABAergic neurons using GAD1GAL4. Knock-down of ChT by both ChT RNAi1 and ChT RNAi2 significantly reduced chemotaxis as well as habituation as compared to their respective genetic controls (Fig 8A and 8B). The waning of the response towards odour stimulus was recovered back in the control group of larvae (GAD1GAL4>+) after 30 min rest time or by giving cold stimulus but not in ChT depleted group of larvae (GAD1GAL4>UAS-ChT RNAi1 and UAS-ChT RNAi2) (Fig 8C). The habituation ability was restored to baseline levels on transgenic expression of ChT in a ChT RNAi1 background in genotype GAD1GAL4>UAS-ChT RNAi1;UAS-ChT (Fig 8B and 8C). These observations suggest that ChT has a function in GABAergic neurons which is necessary for olfactory habituation. To further ascertain that the habituation defect observed due to knock-down of ChT in GABAergic neurons is an acute effect and not a developmentally driven defect, we genetically restricted the knock-down of ChT in GABAergic neurons to the shorter developmental window of 3rd instar foraging larval stage using TubGAL80 ts. Significantly enhanced chemotaxis was observed when knock-down of ChT was restricted to the specific developmental window of 3rd instar foraging larvae at 29˚C but not at 18˚C (Fig 8D). However, when we knocked down ChT under TubGAL80 ts throughout development, we observed that the reduction in naïve chemotaxis (Fig 8D) was similar to our previous observation with GAD1GAL4>UAS-ChT RNAi1 and GAD1GAL4>UAS-ChT RNAi2 group of larvae (Fig 8A). The habituation index was also significantly reduced when ChT knock-down was specified to the developmental window of 3rd instar foraging larvae at 29˚C but not at 18˚C (Fig 8E). However, the reduction was more drastic when it was knocked down throughout development (Fig 8E). These experiments allowed us to differentiate the acute effect of ChT knock-down on chemotaxis and habituation from the developmental role of these neurons. Together, these results suggest that ChT is an essential component of inhibitory GABAergic neurons and facilitates olfactory habituation directly.

Next, we knocked down ChT in all the cholinergic excitatory neurons using ChATGAL4 [4] (Salvaterra and Kitamoto, 2001) which includes subsets of cholinergic LNs, PNs of the olfactory pathway and also cholinergic neurons of MBs. Null mutant alleles of ChAT encoding genes in Drosophila lead to embryonic lethality and show morphological abnormalities suggesting that ACh mediated neurotransmission is essential for development [52]. Therefore, to bypass any developmental defect, we knocked down ChT in the specific foraging 3rd instar larval developmental window. A drastic reduction of chemotaxis was observed when ChT was knocked down in genotypes ChATGAL4>UAS-ChT RNAi1 or UAS-ChT RNAi2 as compared to their respective controls (Fig 9A). However, enhanced chemotaxis or hypersensitivity was
Fig 7. ChT is localised in GABAergic neurons of several neuropilar areas of Drosophila larval brain. (A–O’) Shows 3rd instar larval brain. The pseudocolored images are mCD8GFP driven by GAD1GAL4 (green), coimmunostained with anti-ChT (magenta) and merge (colocalization appears as white). (A–F’) ChT staining marks several neuropilar areas of the central brain colocalised with areas marked by GAD1>mCD8GFP including MB, MB calyx, and subesophageal ganglia (SEG). Respective regions of central brain are encircled with white dots and labelled, scale bar 50 μm. (G–I) shows VNC, scale bar 50 μm, (J–L) shows high magnification image of MB calyx, scale bar 20 μm. ChT staining was observed to be colocalised with GAD1>mCD8GFP marked areas, specifically at the peripheral areas of calyx. Merged image shows colocalised area as white. (M–O) shows high magnification image of SEG, scale bar 20 μm. ChT staining was observed to be colocalised with GAD1>mCD8GFP marked areas.
observed when ChT knock-down was restricted to foraging 3rd instar larvae stage in genotype ChATGAL4>UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1};TubGAL80\textsuperscript{ts} at 29˚C (Fig 9A). Interestingly, we saw habituation defect only when ChT was knocked down throughout the development in genotype ChAT-GAL4>UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1};TubGAL80\textsuperscript{ts} at 29˚C but not when specifically knocked down during foraging 3rd instar larvae (Fig 9B). The reduced chemotaxis and habituation upon knock-down of ChT throughout development might have arisen due to modulation of olfactory neural circuit development, which depends on the ACh mediated signals. ChATGAL4 driver used here contains a large 5’ flanking genomic region of ChAT gene which consist of the regulatory region for the most extensive spatial expression of ChAT gene [53,54]. Although unlikely, but we do not rule out the possibility if ChATGAl4 driver is missing regulatory sequences to be expressed in the neuropilar regions which are important for facilitating olfactory habituation. Perhaps due to this, we did not observe the impaired habituation.

Together, our results suggest that ChT mediates the regulation of incoming odour stimulus by a subset of both inhibitory GABAergic and excitatory cholinergic neurons but facilitates habituation only through the inhibitory GABAergic neurons. Thus, in agreement with previous published reports, our results support the involvement of inhibitory GABAergic circuit in habituation mechanism. In addition, we now demonstrate that ChT is a crucial component of this olfactory habituation pathway. The attenuated chemotaxis and habituation upon knock-down of ChT in GABAergic neurons indicate that ChT might not be unique to cholinergic neurons but may facilitate other neurotransmitter systems’ functioning too.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrate the role of ChT in the *Drosophila* larval olfactory circuit and higher processing centres to devalue the incoming olfactory stimuli (habituation), followed by spontaneous recovery in the absence of the stimuli and recovery upon exposure to unrelated stimuli (dishabituation) from a habituated state. We show hypersensitivity towards an attractive odour is a direct corollary of habituation defects, due to depletion of ChT in MBs. ChT function has classically been attributed to acetylcholine formation. Importantly, we report for the first time that ChT is also present in GABAergic neurons and contributes to habituation and sensory stimuli suppression. Thus, in addition to highlighting the importance of ChT in attaining habituation flexibility, this data demonstrates a relationship between upstream MB neuropilar function and downstream stimulus, mediated by ChT localized in MBs.

**ChT regulates olfactory habituation via GABAergic neurons**

The requirement of control over perceived sensory stimuli is vital for an animal to acquire transition flexibility between a habituated to non-habituated state and back, enabling an animal to respond selectively to a stimulus depending on the demand. Our results show that ChT is one, if not an exclusive, regulatory molecule to facilitate this flexibility. This is supported by our observations that ChT is required for habituation, spontaneous recovery, dishabituation, and stimulus suppression. Genetic experiments demonstrate olfactory habituation in *Drosophila* as GABA mediated inhibition of PN terminals at AL which required NMDA receptors or GABA receptors on dendrites of cholinergic PNs in AL [24–50]. Intriguingly, we observed that ChT is required in GABAergic neurons to facilitate olfactory habituation, corroborating with the previous findings. Different results obtained in this study support the requirement of ChT...
Fig 8. ChT is required in GABAergic neurons for olfactory habituation and chemotaxis. (A and B) shows response index and habituation index in larvae of genotypes: GAD1+ UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (pink circles), GAD1+ UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} (pink circles), and UAS-ChT (blue circles) as compared to its control GAD1+ (black circles). A reduced chemotaxis and habituation was observed when ChT was knocked down in GABAergic neurons. (C) response index following 5' ETA exposure (post-hab), spontaneous recovery in the ETA pre-exposed larvae after 15 and 30 min rest time in the absence of stimulus, dishabitation in the ETA pre-exposed larvae presented to 1 min cold shock (5'ETA+cold shock) and naive larvae presented to 1 min cold shock (naïve + cold shock), of the genotypes: GAD1+ (black circles), GAD1+ UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi1} (pink circles), GAD1+ UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} (pink circles), GAD1+ UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} (pink circles), GAD1+ UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi2} (pink circles), and UAS-ChT (blue circles). Knock-down of ChT impairs spontaneous recovery and dishabitation when ChT was knock down in GABAergic neurons with GAD1GAL4 driver. (D and E) shows response index and habituation index, respectively, in genotypes
in GABAergic neurons to regulate habituation: Firstly, knock down of ChT in the GABAergic neurons using specific GAD1GAL4 driver leads to habituation defect. Secondly, the three kinds of neurons, namely PNs, AL, and MB neurons in the olfactory neural circuitry also have a GABAergic subset of neurons and knock down of ChT in all these neurons show habituation defect. Thirdly, knock down of ChT in cholinergic neurons using ChATGAL4 does not show habituation defect. Our results with TARGET system distinguish between developmental and acute effect of ChT knock down in excitatory cholinergic and inhibitory GABAergic neurons on habituation. These results suggest that olfactory habituation is not directly modulated by cholinergic transmission rather neuronal developmental processes in the habituation circuit may be affected by cholinergic signalling. Perhaps due to this reason we observed impaired habituation and chemotaxis when ChT was constitutively knocked down in cholinergic neurons. Our interpretation of ChT expression in GABAergic neurons is based on visualisation of putative GABAergic neurons marked by GAD1GAL4 driver, followed by assessment of ChT immunoreactivity in these locations. We do not rule out the possibility of any difference in the GAD1-GAL4 driven expression pattern and the actual endogenous GAD1 protein distribution. Future studies are required to evaluate the detailed expression pattern of endogenous ChT and GAD1 or GABA antigens using specific antibodies. Recently, low levels of ChAT transcript were reported in GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons of specific hemilineages of larval VNC [55]. The significance, if any, of ChAT transcript in non-cholinergic neurons is unknown but raises a possibility that these neurons perhaps synthesize Ach at a specific spatial or temporal stage. Also, further investigations are required to determine the specific contribution of ChT in development of GABAergic neurons. This will be crucial in understanding impaired habituation processes underlying GABA mediated neurodevelopmental disorders.

Immunoelectron microscopic analysis of MB calyx reveals that each glomerulus comprises cholinergic nerve endings at the core encircled by numerous GABAergic terminals [56]. Therefore, MB Kenyon cells dendrites receive major excitatory inputs from cholinergic neurons and inhibitory inputs from GABAergic neurons that exist in close vicinity with each other. Such anatomy has been reported in many invertebrates such as honeybees [57], crickets [58], locusts [59], in addition to Drosophila. The localisation of ChT in AL and MB calyx glomerulus and the fact that both the locations have excitatory as well as inhibitory terminals in close vicinity indicate that ChT might be essential for the functioning of local transmission of neurotransmitters. We speculate that ChT is a conserved protein and its presence in both excitatory and inhibitory nerve terminals in the glomerulus of MB calyx and AL might represent a conserved phenomenon across species. More specific studies are required to understand the functional diversity of ChT in different neurotransmitter systems.

**Presence of ChT in MB neurons is required for olfactory stimulus suppression**

MB lesion or ablation in Drosophila show elevated locomotor activity [60] and diurnal activity [61]. Conditional silencing of MB output neurons (MBON) was recently reported to show...
Fig 9. Knockdown of ChT in cholinergic neurons does not impair olfactory habituation. (A and B) shows response index and habituation index in larvae of genotypes: ChATGAL4>UAS-ChT<sub>RNAi1</sub> (pink circles) as compared to its control ChATGAL4>+ (black circles). ChATGAL4>UAS-ChT<sub>RNAi2</sub> (pink circles) as compared to UAS-ChT<sub>RNAi2</sub>+ (black circles), ChATGAL4 > UAS-ChT<sub>RNAi1;TubGAL80ts</sub> at 29˚C where ChT was knocked down only in 3rd instar foraging developmental window or throughout 29˚C (orange circles) as compared to ChATGAL4>+ (black circles).
enhanced proboscis extension reflex in Drosophila [31]. Knock-down of dopaminergic receptors (DAMB) in MBON-1 ped>αβ also promotes yeast food-seeking behaviour in fed flies as well as odour-seeking behaviour [62]. Our finding shows that lack of ChT in MBs causes hypersensitivity towards odour corroborates with previous behavioural responses on ablation of MBs and suggests that ChT may contribute to such elicited phenotypes. We propose that ChT functions as a regulatory switch in MBs to control the perceived olfactory signals to facilitate habituation behaviour. This impetus is lost when ChT is depleted in MBs, leading to hypersensitivity. Our conclusion that ChT is required for incoming stimulus suppression for facilitation of habituation and is a MB specific phenomenon is supported by different results obtained in this study: Firstly, knock down of ChT in all the three kinds of MB intrinsic neurons (αβ, αβ' and γ neurons) leads to hypersensitivity towards exposed odours as well as habituation defect. Secondly, knock down of ChT in AL neurons and PNs does not show hypersensitivity but show a habituation defect. Thirdly, perturbation of synaptic transmission from MB neurons also display hypersensitivity. Our immunostainings show a prominent localisation of ChT in MB calyx. An important converging point in our observations, shared by all the GAL4 lines (MB247, C305a, NP1131, C739, GH298, GAD1) is the presence of expression domain in calyx. We observed hypersensitivity towards odour upon knock down of ChT with all these driver lines, in addition to impaired habituation. This hypersensitivity towards odour was not observed with LN2GAL4 line, which lacks expression domain in MB calyx, neither by acute knockdown of ChT nor through its constitutive knock down. This suggests that MB calyx are an important site of action for ChT to regulate incoming odour stimuli. The effect of ChT knock down on habituation and chemotaxis in different neuron types are summarised in S1 Table.

Proposed mechanistic model of ChT functioning at MB calyx to regulate olfactory habituation

Although our results do not provide any direct evidence in an anatomical context of how ChT in MBs controls incoming stimuli, it might likely be occurring via feedback regulation from MB lobes to MB calyx or via feedforward from MB to AL. Several anatomical evidence support feedback regulation in sensory processing via connections from AL to OSNs [63], MBs to PNs [64], MB lobes to MB calyx in Drosophila [65], cortex to the olfactory bulb in mammals [66], cortex to visual centres in mammals [67] or feedforward regulation from MBs to AL in Drosophila and honeybees [68,69]. Based on our observations, we identified MB calyx as an important site for regulation of incoming odour stimulus required for olfactory habituation. Our immunostaining analysis identified ChT expression in MB calyx which has three major neuronal terminals i.e. PN terminals, Kenyon cell dendritic terminals and GABA neuron terminals. In light of these results, we propose a mechanistic model representing how ChT might mediate habituation and stimulus suppression by a synchronised activity between PN, inhibitory GABAergic neuron and MB neurons and maintains a balance between perceived stimuli and habituation (Fig 10). PN carries olfactory information to the calyx of MB and activate a
feedforward inhibition of MB neurons via activation of GABAergic inhibitory neurons to induce habituation. Both PN and inhibitory GABAergic neurons requires ChT for the forward inhibition and permits control over the MB neurons to respond to input stimuli. There are two possibilities through which ChT in MBs may further manifest control over incoming stimuli as depicted in the figure (black dashed lines): a feedback inhibition of incoming stimuli via GABAergic neurons which in turn inhibit output from excitatory PNs or a feedforward inhibition of incoming stimuli. Right panel, if ChT in PNs, GABAergic or MB intrinsic neuron is depleted (shown as a red no-sign icon), the habituation is defective and the control over the incoming stimuli is lost, leading to enhanced chemotaxis towards odour, as presented in our results.

Neuronal membranes are extremely dynamic because there is a constant need of synthesis, degradation and recycling of synaptic pools for regulating neurotransmitter secretion. Choline, imported to presynaptic terminals by ChT, is known to be acetylated for the synthesis of ACh neurotransmitter. Alternately, choline can also be phosphorylated for synthesis of phosphatidylcholine which is also one of the most abundant choline metabolites important for maintaining structural integrity of cellular membranes including neuronal membranes. We speculate that ChT is not an exclusive protein of cholinergic system but may be localised in other cell types with high need of choline, particularly neuronal cells which require it in high amounts to modulate synaptic plasticity. Here, we provide evidence that ChT is present in GABAergic neurons and plays a crucial role in facilitating olfactory habituation. Hypersensitivity has been described to be closely associated with a lack of habituating capability towards a sensory stimulus in individual with ASD [22,70,71]. ASD animal model studies and human observations also suggest dysfunction of GABA signalling [72]. Also, ChT polymorphism
associated with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) has been reported as a key trait of Autism [73]. Further studies are warranted to investigate neural and molecular correlates of ChT in GABAergic neurons to understand GABAergic signalling related neurological disorders. It will be intriguing to also explore functional diversity of ChT in other neurotransmitter systems, which will have far reaching implications on several neurological disorders.

**Material and methods**

*Drosophila* stocks and maintenance

All *Drosophila* stocks were grown on standard BDSC formulation of fly food consisting of cornmeal-agar media supplemented with yeast, grown at 25°C unless mentioned otherwise, on a 12:12 light: dark cycle. For RNAi experiments, all fly crosses and their genetic controls were grown at 29°C. For temperature-sensitive experiments requiring GAL80, the crosses were maintained at 18°C and shifted to 29°C 24 hours before the experiments. The Gal4 drivers MB247GAL4 (#50742), c305aGAL4 (#30829), Or83bGAL4 (#26818), C739GAL4 (#7362), GH298GAL4 (#37294), GH146GAL4/CyoGFP (#30026), GAD1GAL4 (#51630), ChATGAL4 (#6798), TubGAL80<sup>ts</sup> (#7017), UAS-mCD8GFP (#5130) were obtained from Bloomington stock centre, Bloomington, Indiana. The UAS-ChT<sup>RNAi1</sup> (#101485) line was obtained from VDRC and UAS-ChT<sup>RNAi2</sup> (#28613) was obtained from Bloomington stock center. NP1131 (#103898) was from DGRC Kyoto stock centre, Japan. LN2GAL4 (#NP2426) was shared by NCBS, Bangalore, India. UAS-ChT line was previously created and characterised by us [8]. All genetic combinations of stocks used in this study were created in the lab. For control experiments, all driver lines and responder lines were crossed with *w<sup>1118</sup>*. UAS-ChT<sup>RNAi2</sup> fly stock is on y<sup>v</sup> background, therefore for controls, crosses with w<sup>1118</sup>(+) virgins and UAS-ChT<sup>RNAi2</sup> males were used.

Antibodies and immunohistochemistry of larval brain

For immunostaining experiments, the larval brains were dissected in phosphate buffer saline (PBS), fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde followed by 5 washes with PBS+ 0.5% TritonX-100 (PBSTx) for 6 min each. The brains were incubated with 5% BSA for 40 mins and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody for 16 hrs. The primary antibody was removed followed by 5 washes for 5 min each with PBSTx at room temperature. The brains were then incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hour, followed by 3 washes with PBSTx of 10 min each. The immunostained samples were mounted in vectashield, and confocal images were collected using Zeiss LSM880 microscope, Central Imaging Facility, CCMB, India. Rabbit *Drosophila* anti-ChT was used at 1:300, and mouse anti-DLG (DSHB) was used at 1:200. Optical slices were obtained in 1024x1024 pixel format using oil immersion 40x (1.4 N.A) objective. The representative images were stack projected using a maximum intensity projection module in Image J 1.52p (NIH, USA).

ChT intensity quantification in GABAergic neuropilar regions

GABAergic neuropilar areas were marked by driving UAS-mCD8GFP with GAD1Gal4. For this, stable fly stock with genetic combination of GAD1GAL4;mCD8GFP was generated. Virgins from this fly stock were crossed with males of w<sup>1118</sup> (for controls), UAS-ChT<sup>RNAi1</sup> (for knock-down) or UAS-ChT<sup>RNAi2</sup>; UAS-ChT (for rescue) fly lines. The 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae from F1 generation were dissected and immunostained with anti-ChT primary antibody and Rabbit-AlexaFluor594 secondary antibody. The confocal images were collected using 40x oil, 1.4 N.A objective in 1024 x 1024 pixel format. All imaging parameters were kept constant for scanning.
of all the three genotypes. All images were further quantified in the Image J. 6–10 square ROIs of 120x120 pixels were drawn in GABAergic neuropilar areas of VNC marked by mCD8GFP expression. The exact corresponding regions were marked in the second imaging channel of anti-ChT. The ChT intensity value ($I_{\text{ChT}}$) was normalised to GFP intensity value ($I_{\text{GFP}}$) and represented as normalised percentage intensity of ChT.

**Measurement and quantification of olfactory response index and habituation index**

The assays were performed using 90 mm petridishes with 2% solid agar medium. The plates were divided into two halves and two 1.5 ml eppendorf caps were cut, autoclaved and embedded into the agar on opposite sides of the plates to place the odour. Only third instar foraging larvae (approx. 72–90 hr AEL) were used for the experiments, as they are continuously feeding and their tendency to move towards the fruity odour is higher. The assays were performed at room temperature and under red light to avoid any visual inputs. Also, all experiments were performed before noon to avoid any alterations arising due to different activity phases of larvae during the day. Forty foraging larvae were thoroughly washed and placed in the centre of the agar plate. Immediately, the odour was placed near one end of the plate and water at the opposite end. After three minutes, the number of larvae on each half of the agar plate was counted. The quantification used the midline drawn in the centre of the plate to allocate whether the larvae were on the odour side or the water side. The response towards the odour was measured by calculating the response index (R.I) and mentioned as pre-hab R.I:

$$R.I = \frac{\text{No. of larvae towards odour} - \text{No. of larvae towards water}}{\text{Total no. of larvae}}$$

The same set of larvae were allowed to crawl on the plate while keeping lid closed for additional two minutes in the presence of the odour. Therefore, the larvae were exposed to odour for a total of 5 min for habituation. They were then placed back in the middle of the agar plate, and the response index was calculated again and mentioned as Post-hab R.I. For quantifying habituation, the Habituation index (H.I) was calculated as:

$$H.I = \frac{\text{Pre-hab R.I} - \text{Post-hab R.I}}{\text{Pre-hab R.I}}$$

We used pure ethyl acetate (ETA), 1:100 dilution of Amyl acetate (AMA) and 1:1000 dilution of 3-Octanol for odours.

**Analysis of spontaneous recovery and dishabituation**

Thirty larvae were habituated in presence of the odour for five minutes on an agar plate. Subsequently, the habituated larvae were immediately placed on a separate agar plate in the absence of odour for recovery. The response towards the odour was measured after 15, 30, and if required, 60 min. R.I was calculated as mentioned above and referred to as recovery post-hab R.I. The calculated response index after 15 or 30 min (recovery post-hab R.I) was compared with the post-hab R.I to assess for the spontaneous recovery.

For Dishabituation, the larvae were habituated for five minutes and were subsequently given a cold shock for 1 min by placing them on a pre-cooled agar plate on ice for one minute. The larvae were then kept for 2 min on agar plate without odour at room temperature to regain their movement caused due to low temperature followed by response index test. R.I towards odour was calculated as mentioned earlier and was compared with the post-hab R.I for the odour to assess for dishabituation.
Statistical analysis

Figure alignments and statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0.0 for Windows, GraphPad Software, San Diego. All experimental groups were tested for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test. The groups that passed normality test were analyzed with parametric unpaired t-test for comparison between two independent groups. Each data set was compared with their genetic controls, which is indicated separately in the individual figure legend. Data was considered significantly different if p<0.05 and represented as *** for p<0.0001, ** for p<0.001, * for p<0.05 and n.s for non-significance when p≥0.05.

Model

Larval anatomical schematics (Fig 4A) and hypothetical functional model for olfactory habituation (Fig 10) were prepared using BioRender (www.BioRender.com).

Supporting information

S1 Fig. RNAi mediated knock-down of ChT using UAS-ChT RNAi2 shows reduction in protein levels of ChT in larval VNC. (A-C) Immunostained VNC with anti-Dlg (green), anti-ChT (magenta) and colocalised region shown as white in merge image in genotype Elav;dicer>+. (D-F) with genotype Elav;dicer>UAS-ChT RNAi2. (G) Images of VNC immunostained with anti-ChT of Elav;dicer>+ (left) and Elav;dicer>ChTRNAi2 (Right) converted to Fire LUT map using imageJ. The scale shows the range of colours from 0-255 pixel intensity. These are representative of images of 3–5 brains. Scale bar 50μm. (TIF)

S2 Fig. ChT localisation in neuropile marked by different MB GAL4 lines in Drosophila larval brain. Figure shows merged larval brain images of mCD8GFP (green) driven by (A) MB247GAL4, (B)C305aGAL4, (C) NP1131GAL4, and (D) C739GAL4 and coimmunostained with anti-ChT (magenta). The expression domains in MB regions are encircled by white dotted line. Specific regions of driver expression domain where ChT is colocalised, appears white. These are representative of images of 3–5 brains. Scale bar 50μm. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Knockdown of ChT in MB intrinsic neurons attenuates chemotaxis and habituation irrespective of the kind of odour. (A) Response index, and (B) habituation index of larvae towards amylacetate (1:100 dilution) of genotypes NP1131>ChTRNAi1 (pink circles, N = 14) as compared to NP1131>+ (black circles, N = 9), C305aGAL4>ChTRNAi1 (pink circles, N = 6) as compared to C305aGAL4>+ (black circles, N = 10) and MB247GAL4>ChTRNAi1 (Pink circles, N = 10) as compared to MB247>+ (black circles, N = 10). (C) Response index, and (D) habituation index towards 3-Octanol (1:1000 dilution) of genotypes NP1131>ChTRNAi1 (Pink, N = 11) as compared to NP1131>+ (Black, N = 11), C305aGAL4>ChTRNAi1 (Pink, N = 10) as compared to C305aGAL4>+(Black, N = 9) and MB247GAL4>ChTRNAi1 (Pink, N = 10) as compared to MB247>+ (Black, N = 13). Each N in scatter plot represent one experiment performed with a group of 40 larvae. Each data group was analysed for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired t-test (parametric) with Welch’s correction. *** represent p≤0.0001, ** represent p≤0.001, n.s means statistical non-significance when p≥0.05. For exact statistical details and numerical data values in the scatter plot refer to S1 and S2 Data. (TIF)
S4 Fig. Neurotransmitter release from MB neurons is essential to regulate incoming odour stimulus and olfactory habituation. (A) Schematics showing time segments followed for the experiments. Scatter plot shows response index towards ETA, and (B) habituation index in genotypes: C305aGAL4>UAS-Shi\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) as compared to C305aGAL4>++ (black circles), NP1131GAL4>UAS-Shi\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) as compared to NP1131GAL4>++ (black circles), MB247GAL4>UAS-Shi\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) as compared to MB247GAL4>++ (black circles) at 29°C and 18°C. Temporary silencing of neurotransmission by expression of temperature-sensitive mutant of Dynamin, Shibire, leads to significant enhancement of chemotaxis towards ETA and reduction in habituation at 29°C but not at 18°C. Each N in scatter plot represent one experiment performed with a group of 40 larvae. Each data group was analysed for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired t-test (parametric) with Welchs correction. *** represent \(p\leq0.0001\), ** represent \(p\leq0.001\), n.s means statistical non-significance when \(p\geq0.05\). For exact statistical details and numerical data values in the scatter plot refer to S1 and S2 Data. (TIF)

S5 Fig. Enhanced chemotaxis and reduced habituation is an acute effect of ChT knock-down. A) Schematic shows specific time window of temperature shift for TARGET system using TubGAL80\(^{ts}\). (B) response index, and (C) habituation index of group of larvae with genotype MB247GAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);TubGAL80\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) compared to control MB247GAL4>++ (Black circles), NP1131GAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);TubGAL80\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) compared to control NP1131GAL4>++ (Black circles) at 29°C and 18°C, ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);TubGAL80\(^{ts}\) > + at indicated temperatures of 29°C and 18°C. (C) response index, and (D) habituation index in genotypes C739GAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);TubGAL80\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) where ChT is specifically knocked down in 3rd instar developmental window or throughout development at 29°C compared to control C739GAL4>+. Scatter plot also shows response index and habituation index in genotypes C739GAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);TubGAL80\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) compared to C739GAL4>+ at 18°C. Knockdown of ChT in \(\alpha/\beta\) (marked by MB247 and C739) and \(\gamma\) lobe neurons (marked by NP1131) specifically in 3rd instar developmental window or throughout development shows enhanced chemotaxis and reduced habituation. Each N in scatter plot represent one experiment performed with a group of 40 larvae. Each data group was analysed for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired t-test (parametric) with Welchs correction. *** represent \(p\leq0.0001\), ** represent \(p\leq0.001\), n.s means statistical non-significance when \(p\geq0.05\). For exact statistical details and numerical data values in the scatter plot refer to S1 and S2 Data. (TIF)

S6 Fig. Knock-down of ChT in olfactory sensory neurons does not affect habituation but attenuates chemotaxis. (A-C) Immunostained images of larval olfactory sensory neurons in 3rd instar dissected larval brain marked by expression of mCD8GFP using Or83bGAL4 driver in genotype Or83bGAL4>UAS-mCD8GFP (green), costained with anti-ChT (magenta), merge (colocalised regions of mCD8GFP and ChT appear as white). Inset shows a cropped and zoomed image of colocalised (mCD8GFP and ChT) terminal of OSNs, encircled by white dotted line. The image shown is a representative image of 3–5 brain lobes. Scale bar 50 μm (D) Response index, and (E) habituation index towards ETA of genotypes Or83bGAL4>++ (black circles), Or83bGAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\) (pink circles), Or83bGAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);UAS-ChT (blue circles) and Or83bGAL4>UAS-ChT (yellow triangles). Or83bGAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);TubGAL80\(^{ts}\) (orange circles) where ChT is specifically knocked down in 3rd instar developmental window or throughout development at 29°C compared to control C739GAL4>+. Scatter plot also shows response index and habituation index in genotypes Or83bGAL4>ChT\(^{RNAi1}\);TubGAL80\(^{ts}\)
(orange circles) compared to Or83bGAL4> + at 18˚C. Each N in scatter plot represent one experiment performed with a group of 40 larvae. Each data group was analysed for normal distribution using Shapiro-Wilk test. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed unpaired t-test (parametric) with Welch's correction. ** represent p≤0.001, n.s means statistical non-significance when p≥0.05. For more statistical details and numerical data values in the scatter plot refer to S1 and S2 Data.

**S7 Fig. Estimation of ChT signal reduction in GABAergic neurons by ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi}.** Intensity quantification of anti-ChT fluorescence signal normalised to mCD8GFP intensity signal driven by GAD1GAL4. One representative image from each genotype is shown as:(A–A’) GAD1;mCD8GFP> +, (B–B’) GAD1;mCD8GFP> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi}, (C–C’) GAD1;mCD8GFP> UAS-ChT\textsuperscript{RNAi}; UAS-ChT. All samples were imaged at similar imaging parameters. The images are shown as fire LUT map showing the scale of colours from minimum 0 pixel intensity to maximum 255 pixel intensity. The scale of intensity colours is shown on the right. (D) Bar graph shows quantification of ChT intensity signals (6–10 ROI of 120x120 pixels per brain in regions marked by GAD1GAL4>mCD8GFP) normalised to GFP intensity signals in the corresponding regions. Each bar represents normalised % intensity value (I\textsubscript{ChT}/I\textsubscript{GFP}) from indicated genotypes. For each genotype N = 3 brains were taken. For numerical data values representing the bar graph refer to S1 Data.

**S1 Table. Summary of effects on habituation and chemotaxis due to ChT knockdown in different neuron types.**

**S1 Data. Data underlying all graphs.**

**S2 Data. Sample size and Statistical details of the tests applied.**
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