An easier method for performing a pancreaticojejunostomy for the soft pancreas using a fast-absorbable suture
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AIM: To clarify the usefulness of a new method for performing a pancreaticojejunostomy by using a fast-absorbable suture material irradiated polyglactin 910, and a temporary stent tube for a narrow pancreatic duct with a soft pancreatic texture.

METHODS: Among 63 consecutive patients with soft pancreas undergoing a pancreaticoduodenectomy from 2003 to 2006, 35 patients were treated with a new reconstructive method. Briefly, after the pancreatic transaction, a stent tube was inserted into the lumen of the pancreatic duct and ligated with it by a fast-absorbable suture. Another tip of the stent tube was introduced into the intestinal lumen at the jejunal limb, where a purse-string suture was made by another fast-absorbable suture. The pancreaticojejunostomy was completed by ligating two fast-absorbable sutures to approximate the ductal end and the jejunal mucosa, and by adding a rough anastomosis between the pancreatic parenchyma and the seromuscular layer of the jejunum. The initial surgical results with this method were retrospectively examined of the 28 patients treated with conventional duct-to-mucosa anastomosis.

RESULTS: The incidences of postoperative morbidity including pancreatic fistula were comparable between the two groups (new: 3%-17% vs conventional; 7%-14% according to the definitions). There was no mortality and re-admission. Late complications were also rarely seen.

CONCLUSION: A pancreaticojejunostomy using an irradiated polyglactin 910 suture material and a temporary stent is easy to perform and is feasible even in cases with a narrow pancreatic duct and a normal soft pancreas.
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INTRODUCTION

Leakage of the pancreaticoenterostomy remains a major cause of postoperative morbidity and mortality after pancreaticoduodenectomy[5-7]. Many factors have been identified that are associated with an increased incidence of this complication. Among them, a small pancreatic duct size with a soft pancreas creates one of the technical hurdles to the completion of the anastomosis, and is known to be a risk factor for major leakage[5,8-15]. Several methods have been advocated to reduce the occurrence of leakage[6,14-16], but the best technique is still a subject of debate[22-25].

We established a new anastomotic technique for pancreaticojejunostomy by using a fast-absorbable suture material, irradiated polyglactin 910, and a temporary stent tube.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

One hundred and one consecutive patients underwent an elective pancreaticoduodenectomy for hepatobiliary and pancreatic disease between February 2003 and January 2007 at the Hirosaki University Hospital. Among them, 63 patients, in whom the pancreas was macroscopically normal and soft in texture, and in whom a pathological examination of the pancreatic cut end confirmed the absence of fibrosis or pancreatitis, were included in...
The pancreaticoduodenectomy was performed by a pancreaticojjunostomy in two fashions, the conventional duct-to-mucosa anastomosis, or a new duct-to-mucosa contact method. Five surgeons performed either of the anastomotic techniques, as was their preference, however, one of the authors, KH, supervised all operations and the procedures were strictly standardized in the institute.

The postoperative management was prescribed according to the clinical pathway. The amylase level in the peripancreatic external drain was measured on postoperative d 1, 3 and 6. In this study, a pancreatic fistula was defined by four internationally well-documented criteria, which are described as follows: (1) drainage of more than 50 mL per day of fluid with an amylase content above three times the serum amylase activity on and after postoperative d 10 as described by Yeo et al (Definition A); (2) drainage of more than 50 mL per day of fluid with an amylase content above three times the serum amylase activity on and after postoperative d 7 as described by Park et al (Definition B); (3) drainage of more than 10 mL per day of fluid with an amylase content above three times the serum amylase activity on and after postoperative d 4 as described by Buchler et al and Pederzoli et al (Definition C); (4) drainage of any measurable volume of fluid with an amylase content greater than three times the normal serum value on or after postoperative d 3 according to the International Study Group of Pancreatic Fistula (ISGPF) classification scheme. According to the ISGPF definition, outcomes were divided into four grades: (1) no fistula; (2) Grade A: biochemical fistula without clinical sequelae; (3) Grade B: fistula that shows clinical symptoms or requires any therapeutic intervention; (4) Grade C: fistula with severe clinical sequelae. The occurrence of Grade B or C fistulas is included in the postoperative morbidity. A delayed gastric emptying is defined as the need for nasogastric drainage for more than 10 d, or as the delay of the resumption of a regular diet beyond 14 d postoperatively. Computed tomography (CT), either a helical CT or a multidirector row CT, was performed serially before discharge and on the third and sixth postoperative month to rule out cancer recurrence. Based on these findings, the diameter of the main pancreatic duct ventral to the base of the superior mesenteric artery was measured by an enhanced computed tomogram. A progression of pancreatic ductal dilatation was defined by the diameter on the sixth month CT being 130% or greater than that before the hospital discharge. The glucose tolerance was evaluated serially by blood hemoglobin A1C or a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test at the same intervals as the CT scans. Diabetes mellitus was defined as a fasting glucose level greater than 1.26 g/L or a two-hour glucose level greater than 2 g/L.

The data were collected prospectively for all patients and included the preoperative historical information and laboratory data, the details of the operative procedure, the pathology, and the clinical information regarding the postoperative course, postoperative morbidity and mortality, and the late complications occurring at least 6 mo postoperatively.

The statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 11.0 statistical software. The comparisons between the two groups were carried out by Chi-square test for the categorical data and Student’s t-test for the continuous data. The continuous variables were reported as the mean ± SD. P values less than 0.05 were thought to be significantly different.

Surgical procedures
New Duct-to-mucosa contact method: The pancreatic transection was performed with an electrocautery or a scalpel. A stent tube (5, 6, or 7.5 Fr, Sumitomo Bakelite, Tokyo, Japan) with a notch near the tip was inserted into the lumen of the main pancreatic duct. The stent tube was ligated with the pancreatic duct near the cut end and the jejunal mucosa; H: The pancreaticojjunostomy was completed by adding an anterior suture to the pancreatic parenchyma and the jejunal wall.
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The notch functions to prevent the tube from dislodging. The jejunal limb was moved to the pancreatic cut end by a retro-mesenteric route. The seromuscular layer of the jejunal limb was cut at the anti-mesenteric side, and the serosal side of the mucosa was exposed by the same width as the pancreatic cut surface (Figure 1B and C). A purse-string suture was made by using a 4-0 irradiated polyglactin 910 suture at the center of the mucosa, where another tip of the stent tube was introduced into the jejunal lumen, and ligated by this fast-absorbable suture (Figure 1D). After the posterior side of the pancreatic parenchyma was anastomosed to the seromuscular layer of the jejunal limb by using 4-0 polydioxanone sutures, the pancreatic ductal end was anastomosed to the small hole at the antimesenteric side of the jejunal limb by using 5-0 polydioxanone sutures in an interrupted fashion. Then the anterior side of the pancreatic parenchyma was anastomosed to the wall of the seromuscular layer of the jejunal limb by using 5-0 polydioxanone sutures. No stent tube was used.

### RESULTS

The new duct-to-mucosa contact method was applied to 35 patients, while the conventional duct-to-mucosa anastomosis was performed in 28 patients (Table 1). The male-to-female ratio was the same between the two groups; however the duct-to-mucosa contact method was applied to older patients. There were no differences in the presence of jaundice, diabetes mellitus, or malnutritional status, which was defined as a 10% or greater weight loss.

The operative indications were different between the two groups. The duct-to-mucosa contact method was applied more frequently to biliary cancer, while the conventional duct-to-mucosa anastomosis was preferred in pancreatic cancer. The diameters of the pancreatic duct at the cut surface were significantly smaller in the duct-to-mucosa contact method group (2.4 ± 0.5 mm vs 4.2 ± 1.9 mm, *P* < 0.01). The total operative time, as well as the time after resection, was the same between the two groups. The amount of blood loss and the number of patients transfused were comparable in both groups.

Postoperative complications occurred equally to both groups (Table 2). Pancreatic fistula was noted in 3% of the patients in the duct-to-mucosa contact method group and in 7% in the duct-to-mucosa anastomosis group (*P* = 0.43) according to Definition A. By the more strict definitions of Definition B and C, pancreatic fistula was found in 8% and 17% by the new method, while in 8% and 11% by the conventional method, respectively (*P* = 0.77 and *P* = 0.47). Fistulas of any grade (Grade A-C) by the ISGPS definition occurred in the same degree. Moreover, the incidences of Grade B or C fistulas, which were categorized into clinically relevant fistulas, were also comparable between the two groups (17% vs 14%, *P* = 0.76). Intestinal bleeding from the ruptured pseudoaneurysm of the common hepatic artery, which occurred in a patient treated with duct-to-mucosa anastomosis on postoperative d 21, was successfully treated with radiological intervention using a self-expandable metallic stent. Other abdominal and non-abdominal complications, both infectious and non-infectious, were comparable between the two groups. No operative mortality was found in this series. The length of hospital stay and the re-admission rate were equal in both groups.

A late pancreatic ductal dilatation, as determined by a comparison with the ductal diameter before discharge, was noted in one patient in each group. An exacerbation of diabetes mellitus was rarely found in either group, and the recovery of body weight was comparable between the two groups.

### DISCUSSION

Pancreatic fistula is the major cause of postoperative

---

**Table 1 Preoperative and operative data**

|                         | New method | Conventional method | *P* |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------------|-----|
|                         | n (%)      | n (%)               |     |
| Patient number          | 35         | 28                  |     |
| Male/female             | 20/15      | 11/17               | 0.77|
| Age (yr)                | 64.1 ± 9.2 | 68.0 ± 8.6          | 0.09|
| Preoperative biliary drainage | 28 (80) | 19 (68)             | 0.27|
| Diabetes mellitus       | 8 (23)     | 13 (46)             | 0.05|
| Weight loss > 10%       | 4 (11)     | 4 (14)              | 0.74|
| Operative indications   |            |                     | <0.01|
| Biliary adenocarcinoma  | 28 (80)    | 10 (56)             |     |
| Ampullary adenocarcinoma| 2 (6)      | 2 (7)               |     |
| Duodenal adenocarcinoma | 1 (3)      | 1 (4)               |     |
| Pancreatic adenocarcinoma| 0         | 12 (43)             |     |
| Other pancreatic neoplasm| 4 (11)  | 7 (25)              | 0.44|
| Type of resection       |            |                     |     |
| Classical Whipple       | 6 (17)     | 7 (25)              |     |
| Pylorus-preserving       | 29 (83)    | 21 (75)             |     |
| Pancreatecojejunostomy  | 35 (100)   | 28 (100)            |     |
| Diameter of pancreatic duct (mm) | 2.4 ± 0.5 | 4.2 ± 1.9          | <0.01|
| Operative time (min)    | 392 ± 72   | 418 ± 110           | 0.24|
| Time after resection (min) | 187 ± 56  | 181 ± 66            | 0.74|
| Blood loss (g)          | 1074 ± 490 | 1112 ± 607          | 0.78|
| Patients transfused     | 5 (14)     | 5 (18)              | 0.7  |

*Carcinomas of the bile duct and the gallbladder; *Non-invasive intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasm, islet cell tumor, and lymphangiohemangiomma of the pancreatic head.

---

Conventional Duct-to-mucosa anastomosis

After the posterior side of the pancreatic parenchyma was anastomosed to the wall of the seromuscular layer of the jejunum by using 4-0 polydioxanone sutures, the pancreatic ductal end was anastomosed to the small hole at the antimesenteric side of the jejunal limb by using 5-0 polydioxanone sutures in an interrupted fashion. Then the anterior side of the pancreatic parenchyma was anastomosed to the wall of the seromuscular layer of the jejunal limb by using 5-0 polydioxanone sutures. No stent tube was used.
duct if the stent tube can be inserted into it. In our series, the mean diameter of the pancreatic duct in the duct-to-mucosa contact method group was significantly smaller than that in the conventional duct-to-mucosa anastomosis group. The surgeons tended to choose this method for the narrow duct because it was a very simple procedure and they did not need to hold a fine needle or use a surgical microscope.21

The priority of this method is the use of an irradiated polyglactin 910 suture. This synthetic absorbable suture material has an initial strength comparable to that of nylon but it loses its tensile strength rapidly, being 50% at 5 d and almost none at 14 d, according to the commercial information.41 An added advantage of this material is the very mild inflammatory reaction of the surrounding tissues42,43. These characteristics favor its use in the field of oral surgery44,45 as well as in pediatric46, gynecological47,48, and plastic surgery49.

In this duct-to-mucosa contact method, the irradiated polyglactin 910 suture was used to secure the complete external drainage of the pancreatic juice and to approximate the pancreatic duct and the mucosa for about a week. The anastomosis of the pancreatic parenchyma and the intestinal seromuscular wall was secured by the more long-lasting absorbable synthetic sutures comprised of polydioxanone50. In most cases, the color of the drained pancreatic juice changes from transparent to bile-staining by POD 14, when the irradiated polyglactin 910 has already been degraded and the stent can be removed without any resistance. These phenomena suggested to us that the exposure of the pancreatic juice to the anastomotic site could be avoided for about 2 wk postoperatively.

It has been suggested that the rate of formation of a pancreatic fistula varies according to the definition. Bassi et al36 summarized the current pancreatic fistula concept by analyzing 26 definitions described in the past 10 year, and applied four definitions to a group of 242 patients undergoing a pancreaticojejunostomy after a pancreatic resection. They found the incidence of pancreatic fistula to range from 9.9% to 28.5% according to the different definitions applied. Pratt et al37 also reported incidences of pancreatic fistula between 9.1% and 19.3% by applying different definitions to 176 patients undergoing a pancreaticojejunostomy. As a result, it is quite important to use a universally accepted definition of pancreatic fistula to compare the different aspects of the new surgical method with the conventional one. In this study, we applied three well-documented definitions as described by Yeo et al (1995)7, Park (1996)31, and Buchler (1992)32 and Pedzeroli (1994)18 to help compare our results with those of previous reports. We examined a high risk group of pancreatic fistula in which the pancreatic texture was soft and the pathology confirmed the absence of fibrosis and pancreatitis change. Nevertheless, the incidences were comparable to the overall incidences of the previous reports using these definitions1,3,6,7,12,13,22,29, or were even lower than those in cases restricted to the soft pancreas9,10. In a current objective definition by the ISGPF classification scheme, Pratt et al35 reported an overall incidence of 11.9% in Grade B fistula and 3.4% in

| Table 2 Postoperative course, complications and late outcome | New method | Conventional method | P |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---|
| Morbiditya | 16 (46) | 11 (39) | 0.61 |
| Abdominal complications | 15 (43) | 10 (36) | 0.56 |
| Pancreatic fistula | 1 (3) | 2 (7) | 0.43 |
| Definition A (Yeo et al) | 3 (8) | 3 (8) | 0.77 |
| Definition B (Park et al) | 6 (17) | 3 (11) | 0.47 |
| Grade B or C by ISGPF definition | 6 (17) | 4 (14) | 0.76 |
| Intraabdominal abscess (n) | 2 (6) | 4 (14) | 0.25 |
| Intraabdominal bleeding | 0 | 0 | - |
| Intestinal bleeding | 0 | 1 (4) | 0.26 |
| Delayed gastric emptying | 2 (6) | 0 | 0.20 |
| Liver abscess | 0 | 1 (4) | 0.26 |
| Wound infection | 2 (6) | 0 | 0.20 |
| Other complications | 1 (3) | 2 (7) | 0.43 |
| Pneumonia | 0 | 1 (4) | 0.26 |
| Pulmonary embolism | 0 | 1 (4) | 0.26 |
| Catheter fever | 1 (3) | 0 | 0.37 |
| Re-operation | 0 | 0 | - |
| In-hospital mortality | 0 | 0 | - |
| Hospital stay (d) | 28.5 ± 10.9 | 28.0 ± 12.2 | 0.60 |
| Re-admission within 30 d | 1 (3) | 1 (4) | 0.87 |
| Late complicationsb | 1 (3) | 1 (4) | 0.87 |
| Progression of ductal dilatation | 1 (3) | 1 (4) | 0.87 |
| Exacerbation of diabetes | 1 (3) | 1 (4) | 0.87 |
| Body weight gain (%)c | 100.3 ± 4.6 | 101.3 ± 9.0 | 0.65 |

aTotal patients with one or more complications; bComparison before hospital discharge and on the sixth month; cPercent body weight gain at 6th month in comparison to that at the time of discharge.

morbidity and mortality after pancreaticoduodenectomy. The incidence is estimated to be 5% to 30%1,5-7,34,35, which varies according to the definition34,35. Many risk factors for pancreatic fistula have been described, among which the most significant factors are thought to be a soft pancreatic texture, a narrow pancreatic duct, and a high output of pancreatic juice34,35,36.

Pancreaticoenterostomy is the most physiologic method to deal with the remnant pancreatic stump, because the continuity of the pancreatic exocrine and intestinal functions can be restored37-39. Indeed, a pancreaticoenterostomy, either via a pancreatico-jejunostomy or a pancreaticogastrostomy, is easy to perform in cases of a dilated pancreatic duct with a firm texture of the pancreatic parenchyma, but in cases with a normal pancreas, it is quite hard to Anastomose a narrow pancreatic duct and a fragile parenchyma to the jejunal or gastric wall32,40,41. To overcome the technical difficulties of performing a pancreaticoenterostomy of a soft pancreas, we have developed a new anastomotic technique of a “duct-to-mucosa contact method” by using a fast absorbable suture material and a temporary stent tube.

In this method, the pancreatic ductal end is approximated to the serosal side of the jejunal mucosa by ligating two stay sutures of the temporary stent tube. This method can be applied easily to a narrow pancreatic duct if the stent tube can be inserted into it. In our series, the mean diameter of the pancreatic duct in the duct-to-mucosa contact method group was significantly smaller than that in the conventional duct-to-mucosa anastomosis group. The surgeons tended to choose this method for the narrow duct because it was a very simple procedure and they did not need to hold a fine needle or use a surgical microscope21.

The priority of this method is the use of an irradiated polyglactin 910 suture. This synthetic absorbable suture material has an initial strength comparable to that of nylon but it loses its tensile strength rapidly, being 50% at 5 d and almost none at 14 d, according to the commercial information41. An added advantage of this material is the very mild inflammatory reaction of the surrounding tissues42,43. These characteristics favor its use in the field of oral surgery44,45 as well as in pediatric46, gynecological47,48, and plastic surgery49.

In this duct-to-mucosa contact method, the irradiated polyglactin 910 suture was used to secure the complete external drainage of the pancreatic juice and to approximate the pancreatic duct and the mucosa for about a week. The anastomosis of the pancreatic parenchyma and the intestinal seromuscular wall was secured by the more long-lasting absorbable synthetic sutures comprised of polydioxanone50. In most cases, the color of the drained pancreatic juice changes from transparent to bile-staining by POD 14, when the irradiated polyglactin 910 has already been degraded and the stent can be removed without any resistance. These phenomena suggested to us that the exposure of the pancreatic juice to the anastomotic site could be avoided for about 2 wk postoperatively.

It has been suggested that the rate of formation of a pancreatic fistula varies according to the definition. Bassi et al36 summarized the current pancreatic fistula concept by analyzing 26 definitions described in the past 10 year, and applied four definitions to a group of 242 patients undergoing a pancreaticojejunostomy after a pancreatic resection. They found the incidence of pancreatic fistula to range from 9.9% to 28.5% according to the different definitions applied. Pratt et al37 also reported incidences of pancreatic fistula between 9.1% and 19.3% by applying different definitions to 176 patients undergoing a pancreaticojejunostomy. As a result, it is quite important to use a universally accepted definition of pancreatic fistula to compare the different aspects of the new surgical method with the conventional one. In this study, we applied three well-documented definitions as described by Yeo et al (1995)7, Park (1996)31, and Buchler (1992)32 and Pedzeroli (1994)18 to help compare our results with those of previous reports. We examined a high risk group of pancreatic fistula in which the pancreatic texture was soft and the pathology confirmed the absence of fibrosis and pancreatitis change. Nevertheless, the incidences were comparable to the overall incidences of the previous reports using these definitions1,3,6,7,12,13,22,29, or were even lower than those in cases restricted to the soft pancreas9,10. In a current objective definition by the ISGPF classification scheme, Pratt et al35 reported an overall incidence of 11.9% in Grade B fistula and 3.4% in
Grade C fistula, which were comparable with our results of 14.4% in Grade B fistula and 1.6% in Grade C fistula. A subgroup analysis of the incidences of pancreatic fistula limited to the soft pancreas in each Grade according to the ISGPF definition has not yet been reported to date.

Consequently, the total incidence of the postoperative infectious and non-infectious complications was not different from that with conventional duct-to-mucosa anastomosis. The late status of the anastomotic site also seemed to be stable according to the serial measurements of the pancreatic ductal diameter as determined by CT scans. Good recovery of the nutritional status and avoiding worsening of the diabetic symptoms seemed to be primarily due to the uniform preoperative backgrounds of the normally functioning pancreas in this series, but these results may indirectly favor the use of this new method.

The primary aim of this study was to introduce a new method of performing a pancreaticojejunostomy, because this method is quite easy to perform even in a difficult anastomosis of a narrow duct of a normal soft pancreas. Indeed any conclusion for the surgical method needs to await randomization or a good cohort study, but our preliminary results were comparable with those previously reported from mass volume centers. These facts seem to justify the carrying out of a randomized prospective study to compare this new method with appropriate controls.

COMMENTS

Background
A narrow pancreatic duct with a soft pancreatic texture creates a high risk for the development of a pancreatic fistula after pancreatectoduodenectomy. Several methods have been advocated to reduce the occurrence of leakage, but the best technique is still a subject of debate.

Research frontiers
The authors developed a new method for performing a pancreaticojejunostomy with a fast- absorbable suture material, irradiated polyglactin 910, and a temporary stent tube.

Innovation and breakthrough
This easy-to-perform method was feasible in cases with narrow pancreatic duct and a soft pancreas. Both early and late surgical results were comparable to or better than the methods ever reported.

Applications
This method is expected to give a new idea of overcoming the difficulties of pancreatoenterostomy for a soft pancreatic texture with a narrow pancreatic duct.

Peer review
In this study, 35 consecutive patients with a soft pancreas undergoing a pancreatectoduodenectomy from 2003 to 2006 were treated by a new reconstructive method. This work adds significant information for future development of pancreaticojejunostomy therapy.
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