Development of new genetic resources for faba bean (Vicia faba L.) breeding through the discovery of gene-based SNP markers and the construction of a high-density consensus map
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Faba bean (Vicia faba L.) is a pulse crop of high nutritional value and high importance for sustainable agriculture and soil protection. With the objective of identifying gene-based SNPs, transcriptome sequencing was performed in order to reduce faba bean genome complexity. A set of 1,819 gene-based SNP markers polymorphic in three recombinant line populations was selected to enable the construction of a high-density consensus genetic map encompassing 1,728 markers well distributed in six linkage groups and spanning 1,547.71 cm with an average inter-marker distance of 0.89 cm. Orthology-based comparison of the faba bean consensus map with legume genome assemblies highlighted synteny patterns that partly reflected the phylogenetic relationships among species. Solid blocks of macrosynteny were observed between faba bean and the most closely-related sequenced legume species such as pea, barrel medic or chickpea. Numerous blocks could also be identified in more divergent species such as common bean or cowpea. The genetic tools developed in this work can be used in association mapping, genetic diversity, linkage disequilibrium or comparative genomics and provide a backbone for map-based cloning. This will make the identification of candidate genes of interest more efficient and will accelerate marker-assisted selection (MAS) and genomic-assisted breeding (GAB) in faba bean.

Legume crops serve as a source of food and feed. They also play an important role in sustainable agriculture because of their ability to improve soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen and increasing crop yield when used in crop rotation with cereals or intercropping1. In particular, faba bean (Vicia faba L.; Vf) is a primary ingredient of daily meals in both developing and industrialized countries due to its high content in proteins, carbohydrates, dietary fibers and micronutrients2,3. It is the most yielding pulse crop after field pea. However, its yield is still about half that of wheat, indicating that great breeding efforts are still needed4. Faba bean yield is greatly affected by environmental conditions, especially extreme temperatures, drought and acidity5,6. In addition, diseases such as chocolate spot (Botrytis fabae S. or B. cinerea P.) or ascochyta blight (Ascochyta fabae S.), viruses such as faba bean necrotic viruses, parasitic weeds of Orobanche genus and pests such as leaf weevil (Sitona lineatus L.), aphids (Aphis fabae S., A. craccivora K., Acyrthosiphon pisum H., Myzus persicae S.) or seed weevils (Bruchus rufimanus B.) considerably reduce its yield and affect the commercialization of the grains5,7. Other factors limiting the production of faba bean include the overproduction of flowers resulting in a variable fertilization
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Faba bean is a diploid outcrossing species (2n = 12) with a "giant genome" of approximately 13 Gb distributed on six chromosomes. The high content in transposable elements complexes the faba bean genome assembly and map-based cloning. Most of the linkage maps generated so far have a low to medium saturation and are based on morphological, isozyme, restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD), sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR), intron targeted amplified polymorphism (ITAP), simple sequence repeat (SSR) and low-density single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers. SNP-based genetic maps have been recently developed. To date, the most saturated map is the one reported by Webb et al. consisting of 687 SNPs. This map provided a first glimpse of synteny of faba bean with other legumes such as barrel medic (Medicago truncatula; Mt), lupine (Lupinus albus L.), soybean (Glycine max (L.) M.; Gm) or lentil (Lens culinaris M.). In fact, the SNPs used to construct the consensus map of Webb et al. were designed based on orthologous sequences in *M. truncatula* with the objective of physically anchoring the faba bean consensus map to the Medicago genome. Webb et al. also took advantage of the macrosynteny between these two species to discern the level of conservation of the genetic organization between faba bean and lentil, one of its most closely related crop species. A high conservation of genomic blocks between *V. faba* and these legume species was reported.

The development of dense and robust genetic maps that involve multiple populations and gene-based markers is a prerequisite for marker-assisted selection (MAS) and paves the way to *V. faba* genome assembly. Now that pulse genomes are becoming available, it is important to implement more accurate comparative genomic approaches that will reinforce faba bean breeding programs through a faster and more efficient identification of candidate genes. Transcriptome sequencing has been of great benefit of SNP markers for genetic mapping and diversity panel structuration of model species and crops with large genomes. In addition, Illumina MiSeq has made possible the identification, location and functional characterization of genes that control traits of interest and have been used to provide a more comprehensive view of diversity and gene function in plants. The design of SNP markers is strongly recommended for the construction of genetic linkage maps, as they stand out for their uniform distribution throughout the genome, for being numerous and for their tendency to be biallelic and codominant. Therefore, we chose to exploit next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies and transcriptome sequencing to specifically address the expressed gene fraction (exome) for the discovery of gene-based SNPs. The objective of our work was to develop high-resolution genetic linkage maps in three interconnected faba bean recombinant line populations and build a high-density consensus map. Our work also took advantage of macrosynteny among legume relatives to locate faba bean genomic regions conserved in different sequenced species. A comparative alignment and mapping between the faba bean consensus map developed herein and the genomes of barrel medic, birdsfoot trefoil (*Lotus japonicus* L.; *Lj*), chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.); *Ca*), common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.; *Pv*), cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) W; *Vu*), pea (*Pisum sativum* L.; *Ps*) and soybean has been performed. The identification of highly syntenic and collinear areas between faba bean and already sequenced species will facilitate candidate gene discovery. In this way, SNP markers developed in genes resulting from the present work will be very useful in MAS and in map-based isolation of candidate genes.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material.** Three connected bi-parental mapping populations have been built using cultivar (cv.) HIVERNA as a common female parent, and the accessions NOVA GRADISKA, SILIán, and QUASAR as male parents for Pop1, Pop2 and Pop3, respectively. The winter type cv. HIVERNA of German origin was selected as a common parent between the three populations. The parents NOVA GRADISKA (originated in Croatia) and SILIán (originated in Northern Sudan) are both minor type landraces sown in late and early spring, respectively; while QUASAR (originated in United Kingdom) is a winter type cultivar adapted to oceanic climate (cool winters with abundant rainfall). NOVA GRADISKA and QUASAR have previously been reported as resistant to the faba bean weevil (*Bruchus spp.*) attack. All segregating recombinant populations used for mapping were made of *F_{2}* plants produced by single seed descent (SSD) of *F_{1}* plants. Pop1 includes 102 *F_{3}* individuals, Pop2 147 *F_{3}* plants and Pop3 96 *F_{3}* plants. Samples were collected from the leaves of an *F_{3}* plant for each recombinant line. Tissues were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until DNA extraction for genotyping.

For SNP discovery, the parental lines were grown in a glass chamber (photoperiod of 16 h light/day, 15°C night, 20°C day, hygrometry 60% min) for 15 days. Samples of whole plant per parental line were collected. Tissues were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until RNA extraction.

**Transcript assembly and SNP Discovery.** SNP discovery was carried out as described by Duarte et al. with slight modifications. Total RNAs were extracted from the parental lines and checked for quality and integrity. RNAs were then converted to full-length double-stranded cDNA and normalized with the Mint-2 and Trimmer-2 kits (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia), respectively. Later, q-RT-PCR assays were developed on a set of genes of different abundances to assess the efficiency of normalization. Normalized double-stranded cDNAs were sheared into 450 bp fragment size using the Covaris E220 system (Covaris Inc., Massachusetts, USA). An equimolar pool of the four libraries was sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform (V2 chemistry, PE 2 × 250 nt, 12 million of clusters) (Illumina, California, USA). FastQC was used to check raw data quality. Then, sequencing adapter removal and quality trimming were performed using trim.galore and SMART oligos (normalization primers) that were masked in the raw sequence readings using an in house script. MIRA 4.0 (http://mira-assembler.sourceforge.net) software was used to perform a de novo assembly of all samples at once. Reads of parental genotypes were remapped on the assembly using BWA and only contigs with more than...
The task is then to estimate the genetic positions of the rest of the markers. For generality, the genetic position of the first marker of each linkage group is estimated and the true recombination rate is inferred from those 7 frequencies by maximum likelihood using the formulas for those frequencies in F3 as a function of r. The heuristic was based on the serial inference of marker orders, proceeding according to the following steps. First, 10 “seed” markers were randomly chosen in each chromosome, and one statistically robust scaffold map replicate was constructed from each seed marker, by iteratively choosing the most strongly linked neighbour with at least 10 cM between adjacent markers. Then given these scaffold maps, marker density was increased to produce framework maps containing as many markers as possible while keeping a LOD score >3.0 for the robustness of marker orders. Finally, the complete maps were obtained by placement of additional markers using bin-mapping. As a post-processing step, it was necessary to calculate the distance between pairs of markers taking into account that the recombination rates estimated by the algorithm assumed that the populations were F2 whereas they were in fact F3. For that, we first determined the correspondence between rF2, the recombination rate estimated assuming an F2 population, and the true recombination rate, r. That correspondence was obtained in two steps. In the first step we used the explicit formula relating rF2 to the two-locus genotype frequencies fAAbB, fAABB = fAAbb, fAABB = fAAbb, and fAAbb = faaB where the two parental types are denoted A (respectively a) and B (respectively b) and the labels refer to unphased genotypes. Then in the second step we inferred r from those 7 frequencies by maximum likelihood using the formulas for those frequencies in F3 as a function of r. Finally, centiMorgan (cM) distances were calculated using Haldane’s mapping function.

Genetic maps construction for each population. Markers were first filtered for deviation from Mendelian segregation (37.5:25:37.5) using the following index 
\[
\text{Distortion} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sum_{i,j} n_{ij} \log \left( \frac{n_{ij}}{N_i N_j} \right)}{N} - \log(\text{expected}) \right)
\]
with threshold of 0.8. Then, markers were assigned to linkage groups by estimating the pairwise recombination frequencies within each population using the maximum likelihood procedure with the forward-backward algorithm and a LOD score of 5.0 as the threshold for significant linkage in the software JoinMap V5.0. In a second stage, markers were ordered and assigned to their positions in each linkage group by likelihood maximization. Candidate orders’ likelihoods were computed as for F2 populations using Spell-QTL Bayesian inference and heuristic local maximization was performed using custom R scripts implementing the algorithm described earlier in Ganal et al.

Consensus genetic map construction. SNP sequences anchored to the sequences of the SNPs previously mapped by Webb et al. were used to assign each linkage group (defined by the initial seed used in the map construction) to one chromosome number. For each chromosome, we produce a consensus map that summarizes the recombination information within the three populations. Our method is quite general and in particular it does not assume collinearity of the individual maps. Its key feature is the minimization of an index Ip that measures the differences between the (unknown) consensus map M* and all of the individual maps M1, M2. We forced our consensus map to include all the markers present in the individual maps. M* is to be specified by assigning a genetic position for each marker and of course that will also define the marker ordering in M*. Without loss of generality, the genetic position of the first marker of M* can be considered as the origin of genetic coordinates. The task is then to estimate the genetic positions of the rest of the markers.

In our framework based on the minimization of the index Ip, we first define a “distance” between two maps via the formula:
\[
D(M, M') = \sum_i \sum_{j \in \Omega} \left( d(M_{i,j}) - d(M'_{i,j}) \right)^2
\]
where i labels the markers that are common in the two maps and j(i) labels the markers that are not only in common in the two maps but also meet a criterion for their distance to marker i. Specifically, if marker j(i) is quite far
from marker $i$, that corresponding interval does not provide much information, so it is better to exclude it from the sum. In general, there are many of these markers and thus it is also computationally efficient to exclude them.

Inversely, if a marker $j(i)$ is very close to $i$, the corresponding distance is often not very well determined and so again it is better to exclude it. Therefore, our criterion imposes both a minimum and maximum distance between $j(i)$ and $i$. In addition, to avoid having many $j(i)$ markers for some $i$ and only a few for others, we keep only a subset of the possible markers $j(i)$ for a given $i$. As a result, all the $i$s are treated on an equal footing and have the same importance in $D(M, M')$.

Given this definition for the distance $D(M, M')$ between two arbitrary maps, we numerically search for the positions of the markers in $M^*$ to minimize the index

$$I_p = \sum_n D(M^*, M_n)$$

where $n$ runs through all the individual maps from which the consensus is being built. If the maps have been determined using very different population sizes, we weight each term of this sum by the corresponding population size. In this way, if a map has a much smaller population than the other maps, it will have little influence on the construction of $M^*$ which is justified since its marker positions are not very accurate. On the contrary, if a map has a much larger population than the other maps, it will have a strong influence on the construction of $M^*$, which is again justified since its marker positions are rather precisely determined.

**Synteny with other legume crops.** The flanking sequences of the SNP markers placed in the consensus map were searched against *C. arietinum* (v1)\(^17\) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCF_000331145.1/).

| Map     | LG | Markers | Distance (cM) | Unique positions | Average marker density (marker/cM) | Average gap size (cM) | Gaps >10cM | Biggest gap size (cM) |
|---------|----|---------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Pop1\(^a\) | 1  | 401     | 444.99        | 360              | 0.90                              | 1.24                  | 0         | 9.51                 |
|         | 2  | 228     | 262.44        | 191              | 0.87                              | 1.38                  | 2         | 18.94                |
|         | 3  | 226     | 194.59        | 199              | 1.16                              | 0.98                  | 0         | 7.90                 |
|         | 4  | 180     | 192.88        | 150              | 0.93                              | 1.29                  | 0         | 6.92                 |
|         | 5  | 164     | 176.20        | 136              | 0.93                              | 1.31                  | 1         | 13.05                |
|         | 6  | 239     | 154.81        | 231              | 1.54                              | 0.74                  | 0         | 7.26                 |
| Total   | 1438 | 1425.91 | 1247          | 1.01              | 1.16                              | 3                    | 18.94    |
| Pop2\(^b\) | 1  | 376     | 555.35        | 367              | 0.68                              | 1.52                  | 2         | 13.66                |
|         | 2  | 219     | 278.87        | 204              | 0.79                              | 1.37                  | 0         | 7.80                 |
|         | 3  | 231     | 315.56        | 221              | 0.73                              | 1.43                  | 1         | 19.27                |
|         | 4  | 168     | 247.25        | 153              | 0.68                              | 1.62                  | 2         | 12.98                |
|         | 5  | 152     | 222.56        | 147              | 0.68                              | 1.52                  | 2         | 11.20                |
|         | 6  | 166     | 212.34        | 160              | 0.78                              | 1.34                  | 1         | 20.78                |
| Total   | 1312 | 1831.93 | 1252          | 0.72              | 1.47                              | 8                    | 20.78    |
| Pop3\(^c\) | 1  | 384     | 493.68        | 350              | 0.78                              | 1.41                  | 2         | 12.08                |
|         | 2  | 275     | 312.25        | 257              | 0.88                              | 1.22                  | 2         | 20.82                |
|         | 3  | 223     | 242.73        | 201              | 0.92                              | 1.21                  | 2         | 12.59                |
|         | 4  | 168     | 223.30        | 147              | 0.75                              | 1.53                  | 0         | 8.50                 |
|         | 5  | 141     | 208.45        | 126              | 0.68                              | 1.67                  | 2         | 12.45                |
|         | 6  | 215     | 216.13        | 190              | 0.99                              | 1.14                  | 0         | 8.51                 |
| Total   | 1406 | 1696.53 | 1271          | 0.83              | 1.36                              | 8                    | 20.82    |
| Consensus | 1  | 467     | 481.74        | 375              | 0.97                              | 1.29                  | 3         | 18.44                |
|         | 2  | 334     | 252.46        | 219              | 1.32                              | 1.16                  | 2         | 17.05                |
|         | 3  | 271     | 216.51        | 231              | 1.20                              | 0.88                  | 3         | 11.03                |
|         | 4  | 220     | 204.06        | 168              | 1.08                              | 1.22                  | 0         | 13.65                |
|         | 5  | 183     | 196.69        | 141              | 0.93                              | 1.4                   | 0         | 6.98                 |
|         | 6  | 253     | 168.94        | 156              | 1.35                              | 1.09                  | 1         | 20.51                |
| Total   | 1728 | 1520.40 | 1290          | 1.12              | 1.17                              | 9                    | 20.51    |

Table 1. Map features of the three individual genetic linkage maps and the consensus map of faba bean presented in this study. \(^a\)Recombinant population derived from the cross Nova Gradiska × Hiverna. \(^b\)Recombinant population derived from the cross Silian × Hiverna. \(^c\)Recombinant population derived from the cross Quasar × Hiverna.
Figure 1. Faba bean individual genetic linkage maps constructed from three populations of (A) 102 F_3 recombinant lines derived from the cross between Vicia faba cv. Nova Gradiska and Hiverna; (B) 147 F_3 derived from the cross between cv. Silian and Hiverna and (C) 96 F_3 derived from the cross between cv. Quasar and Hiverna.

*P. sativum* (v.1)<sup>38</sup> (https://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Species/Pisum/Pea-Genome-project), *M. truncatula* (Mt5.0)<sup>39</sup> (https://medicago.toulouse.inra.fr/MtrunA17r5.0-ANR/), *L. japonicus* (v 2.5)<sup>50</sup> (http://www.kazusa.or.jp/lotus/), *G. max* (v2.0)<sup>51</sup> (http://www.plantgdb.org/GmGDB/), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (v2.1)<sup>52</sup> (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Pvulgaris) and *Vigna unguiculata* (v1.1)<sup>53</sup> (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Vunguiculata_er) genomes assemblies using the BLASTn function in order to identify the corresponding orthologous genes and their position in those genomes.
Results
Transcriptome assembly and gene annotation. A total of 1.1 million RNA-seq reads from 4 faba bean accessions, i.e. HIVERNA, NOVA GRADISKA, SILIAN and QUASAR, were assembled into 164,529 contigs (Table S1). After filtering on coverage (see Materials and Methods section), a transcriptome resource of 39,423 high-quality contigs with a N50 of 1460 bp (Table S2) and a BUSCO completeness score of 84.8% was built (Table S3). Functional annotation was obtained for 24,507 contigs (Fig. S1). Each contig of the unigene set was assigned, if possible, to the categories of biological processes, molecular functions and cellular components (Fig. S1). Among the biological processes, the metabolism of nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acid (10.26%), biosynthesis (9.67%) and cell organization and biogenesis (8.02%) were the main classes represented (Fig. S1A). Catalytic (36.49%) and transferase (13.17%) activities contributed in greater proportion to the category of molecular function (Fig. S1B). The cytoplasmic (31.68%) and nucleus (15.91%) cellular components were the most represented classes within the annotation (Fig. S1C).

SNP discovery, selection, genotyping and individual genetic linkage of the three F3 populations. A total of 105,828 homozygous SNPs (Fig. S2) were detected on 19,190 contigs (5.5 SNP/contig) with an average coverage of 47.7×. In total, 64.77% of the SNPs were transitions while 35.23% were transversions. Out of these robust SNPs, 2,000 were selected based on the following parameters: SNP quality score, polymorphism in more than one population (see Materials and Methods section), potential synteny with pea and *Medicago truncatula* and 1 SNP maximum per contig. Capture probes were then designed to allow large-scale targeted genotyping. Of the 2,000 gene-based SNP markers selected above, 1,911 markers were successfully scored on the progenies of three F3 inbred populations, i.e., Pop1-3 (Table S4). Of them, 95.2% (1,819 SNPs) were polymorphic in at least one population. Altogether, 1,446 SNPs were polymorphic in Pop1, 1,499 in Pop2 and 1,409 in Pop3 (Table S4).

Linkage mapping of the three F3 populations. Individual genetic maps were constructed for the three F3 populations after filtering markers for distortion and missing data (see Materials and Methods section). Two hundred thirty-three (Pop1), 189 (Pop2) and 175 (Pop3) SNP markers were placed on the individual scaffold maps (Fig. S3). The framework maps included 350 (Pop1), 209 (Pop2) and 326 (Pop3) markers (Fig. S4). The full maps had 1,438 markers for Pop1, 1,312 markers for Pop2 and 1,406 markers for Pop3 that covered all six faba bean LGs (Table 1; Figs. 1, 2 and S5, Tables S5–S7). The number of markers per LG ranged between 141 (Pop3, LGV) and 401 (Pop1, LGI) SNP markers (Table 1). Total map lengths were: 1,426 (Pop1), 1,832 (Pop2) and 1,697 (Pop3) cM. The density of markers was high for all LGs in the three populations. Pop1 had an average marker density of 1.01 markers per cM, while in Pop2 this density was 0.72 markers/cM and in Pop3 it was 0.83 markers/cM. The average gap size between pairs of non-colocalized markers was 1.16 cM in Pop1, 1.47 cM in Pop2 and 1.36 cM in Pop3 (Table 1). Only a few large gaps (>10 cM) were observed: three gaps on the linkage map for Pop1 (LGII and LGV), nine gaps on the map from Pop2 (LGI, LGIII, LGIV, LGV and LGVI) and eight gaps on the map from Pop3 (LGI, LGII, LGIII and LGV) (Table 1). Nine hundred twenty-eight markers were common to the

![Venn diagram showing the SNP markers shared between the individual genetic maps of the three populations presented in this study.](https://example.com/venn_diagram.png)
three populations (Fig. 2). One thousand five hundred and four SNPs were mapped onto at least two of the three linkage maps. Pairwise comparisons of the positions and the orders of common markers were performed among the three populations to assess synteny and collinearity (Fig. 3). The positions of the marker were consistent in the LGs of the three populations. High positive correlations (Spearman test) between map orders were obtained: Pop1-Pop2, \( r = 0.98 \); Pop1-Pop3, \( r = 0.99 \) and Pop2-Pop3, \( r = 0.98 \); \( P < 0.001 \).

Segregation distortion of the individual maps. There was a significant distortion of segregation (\( \chi^2 \) test, \( P < 0.05 \)) with respect to the expected Mendelian segregation ratio (37.5:25:37.5) for a minority of markers in the three populations (5.57% in Pop1, 9.14% in Pop2, 8.52% in Pop3). Only a few markers exceeded the index distortion threshold of 0.8 used for mapping (see Materials and Methods section).

Pop2 presented a region with segregation distortion towards SILIAN alleles at the bottom of LGI (Figs. 4 and S6). Although with less intensity, LGI of Pop1 also displayed a region in which segregation favoured the alleles of the male parent NOVA GRADISKA (Figs. 4 and S6). Outside of this region, the segregation distortion slightly favoured NOVA GRADISKA alleles in Pop1 (except in LGIII where HIVERNA alleles were over-represented) and SILIAN alleles in Pop2 (except in LGVI where HIVERNA alleles were favoured) while in Pop3 HIVERNA alleles were favoured (except in LGIII and LGIV where QUASAR alleles were more frequent) (Fig. S6).

Integration of the individual maps into a consensus map of faba bean. General collinearity among the individual genetic linkage maps (Fig. 3) helped to construct a dense consensus map of 1,547.71 cM that included 1,728 markers (95% of the polymorphic SNPs) (Table 1, Fig. 5, Table S8). The number of markers per LG varied from a minimum of 153 (LGV) to a maximum of 375 (LGI) SNPs (Table 1). The density of markers in this consensus map was high in all LGs, with an average density of 1.12 markers/cM. The average distance between two markers was 1.17 cM (Table 1). Nonetheless, 9 intervals were found with a distance between two markers

Figure 3. Order comparison of the marker assignments by linkage group between the individual linkage maps of the three recombinant populations presented in this study. Marker positions are normalized.
greater than 10 cM, the largest gap being 21.51 cM (Table 1). The position and order of markers on the individual and consensus maps was overall conserved ($r = 0.99$ for Pop1-consensus, Pop2-consensus Pop3-consensus, $P < 0.001$) (Figs. 6 and S7). A few local inversions of the order of markers were observed (Fig. S7).

Comparison between the faba bean consensus map SNP marker sequences reported here and those presented in the Webb et al.\textsuperscript{21} consensus map using BLASTn search highlighted markers located in the same genes. Eighty-eight common markers (e.g. markers corresponding to the same *Medicago truncatula* gene sequence) were found. The distribution and position of these common markers between both maps showed highly conserved

---

**Figure 4.** Distribution of the segregation distortion ($\chi^2$ test, $P = 0.05$) of the SNPs used to construct the three faba bean individual maps in the recombinant populations studied throughout the six linkage groups. (A) Pop1; (B) Pop2 and; (C) Pop3. Red dashed line indicates the threshold above which segregation distortion is significant.
Collinearity between them in the six LGs, although a few marker inversions were also observed (Fig. S8), which is another evidence of the reliability of the data presented here.

**Macrosynteny between the faba bean consensus map and the genomes of related legume species.** Syntenic and collinear relationships between the faba bean consensus map presented in this study and the genomes of related legume species are summarized in Fig. 7. As expected, the degree of synteny and collinearity between faba bean and the legume species compared here increased when the phylogenetic distance decreased and vice versa. The genomes of *P. sativum*, *C. arietinum* and *M. truncatula* showed high levels of macrosynteny with our consensus map (Fig. 7). The best blast hits on the *M. truncatula* and *P. sativum* genomes for the faba bean markers’ flanking sequences are described in Table S4, including their annotations and positions. There was a high conservation of synteny and collinearity between LGs II, IV, V and VI of faba bean and PsChr5, PsChr4, PsChr3 and PsChr7 of *P. sativum* and LGs II, III, IV and V of faba bean and MtChr3, MtChr1, MtChr4 and MtChr7 of *M. truncatula*, respectively (Fig. 7). However, syntenic regions were associated with several chromosomes of the sequenced legumes in the rest of the LGs of faba bean. The most striking case was that of LGI, which was the longest LG. Syntenic blocks corresponding to *V. faba* LGI were found in CaChr1, CaChr2 and CaChr8, in PsChr1, PsChr2 and PsChr5, and also in MtChr2 and MtChr5 (Fig. 7). Substantial collinear blocks were also found between *V. faba* and *P. vulgaris*, *V. unguiculata* and *L. japonicus*, despite their greater phylogenetic distance from faba bean (Fig. 7). The same was observed between faba bean and *L. japonicus*: VfLG-I-LjChr2 and -LjChr6, VfLGIII-LjChr5, VfLGIV-LjChr3 and -LjChr4; faba bean and *P. vulgaris*: VfLG-I-PvChr6, VfLGIII-PvChr6 and -PvChr9, VfLGIV-PvChr7, VfLGIV-PvChr3, VfLGIV-PvChr3 and -PvChr8, VfLGIV-PvChr2 and -PvChr11; faba bean and *V. unguiculata*: VfLG-VuChr2, -VuChr7 and -VuCVhr9, VfLGII-VuChr6 and -VuChr9, VfLGII-VuChr7 and -VuChr8, VfLGIV-VuChr3, VfLGIV-VuChr1 and VfLGVI-VuChr3 (Fig. 7). In the case of soybean, conservation patterns can be intuited between VfLG-GmChr13, VfLGIII-GmChr4 and -GmChr6, VfLGIII-GmChr10, -GmChr14 and -GmChr20, VfLGIV-GmChr17, VfLG-VmChr19 and VfLGVI-GmChr5, -GmChr8, -GmChr12 and -GmChr13 (Fig. 7).

**Discussion**

**New faba bean genetic resources: transcriptome, gene-based SNPs, gene-based SNP markers and a consensus map.** Europe suffers a significant deficit of plant proteins that makes it necessary to import up to 70% of the plant-based proteins consumed. Grain legumes including faba bean are good candidates to boost EU plant protein production due to the high protein content of their seeds. Despite this potential and
the important environmental services related to grain legume production, these crops represent only 3–4% of the arable land. The low investment in breeding programs has limited the development of stable high-yielding varieties, resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses. The future of the faba bean crop depends on efficient breeding programs including MAS and/or genomic-assisted breeding (GAB), in which the development of improved varieties is accelerated. For this, new faba bean genetic resources are needed. Fortunately, the progress and cheapening of NGS and assembly technologies in recent years are allowing the development of new genetic resources. The suitability and effectiveness of the transcriptome sequencing approach for the generation of gene-based SNP markers resulted in a transcriptomic resource of 39,423 faba bean transcripts obtained after de novo assembly.
(File S1), of which 24,507 contigs were annotated. Although this amount is lower than that of other studies, it is a sufficiently high number for the discovery of robust SNP markers well distributed throughout the faba bean genome. These data are also available for use in transcriptome comparisons with other faba bean genotypes and between faba bean and other species. In addition, the faba bean transcriptome was used to identify 105,828 gene-based SNPs (File S2). The present work makes this new source of SNPs available to the faba bean community, who can develop additional SNP markers useful in other genetic backgrounds. Two thousand non-redundant loci (Table S4) from the 105,828 SNPs were selected to develop the molecular markers for genotyping 245

Figure 7. Dot-plots for synteny and collinearity comparisons between the faba bean consensus map (cM) and the genomes (bp) of (A) *Pisum sativum*; (B) *Medicago truncatula*; (C) *Cicer arietinum*; (D) *Vigna unguiculata*; (E) *Phaseolus vulgaris*; (F) *Glycine max* and (G) *Lotus japonicas*.
recombinant lines from three populations and the four parental lines that originated them. One thousand nine hundred eleven SNP markers (95.5%) were validated after a successful genotyping (Table S4). Our set of 1,819 polymorphic gene-based SNPs is a valuable tool for the faba bean community and particularly for breeders. Since the markers were designed in genes, they are highly informative and allow establishing syntenic relationships with other species. The high quality of this set of markers was confirmed after the construction of three individual genetic maps derived from three different populations.

High collinearity between individual maps led to the construction of the densest faba bean consensus map known to date. The map includes 1,728 well-distributed markers along six LGs that correspond to the six faba bean chromosomes and covers 1,547.71 cM with a dense marker placement (0.89 cM between adjacent pairs of markers on average) (Fig. 5). In accordance with previous cytogenetic studies, LGI, corresponding to chromosome 1, was the largest linkage group. The total map size is consistent with the 1,403.8 cM size of the consensus map previously published by Webb et al. Despite having different genetic backgrounds, both consensus maps showed a good collinearity (Fig. S8), which confirming the good quality of both maps. Consensus gene-based genetic linkage maps are useful in meta-QTL analysis, phylogenetic and comparative genomic studies, map-based cloning and GAB, especially in the absence of a genome sequence. The phenotypic characteristics of the parents of the recombinant lines make these populations and maps useful resources. The next steps in our research will be to perform Quantitative Trait Locus (QTL) analyses to identify potential candidate genes for resistance to faba bean seed weevils in Pop1 and Pop3. In a previous work, we reported that the male parents NOVA GRADISKA and QUASAR present partial resistance to the attack of bruchids. Differences in parental responses to bruchids attacks suggested distinct resistance mechanisms in the two accessions. This would be of great advantage in breeding since different genes could be pyramided and introgressed simultaneously in cultivars, making the resistance to faba bean weevils more durable and contributing to agriculture with less need for pesticides. In the case of Pop2, a distorted region was located on the top of LGI (Figs. 4 and S6). Knowledge about this area is of great importance for MAS because the genes located in this part of LGI of Pop2 seem to segregate together in favour of the genetic background of SILIAN. If favourable alleles of a gene of interest were located in this area of the LGI but at the same time there were nearby genes that carried unfavourable alleles, most likely, they would all segregate together. Thus, the introgression of favourable agronomic traits will be quite difficult in such a situation. Other previously published faba bean maps have also noted the presence of distorted regions throughout the different linkage groups.

Syntenic regions shared between faba bean and other legumes will facilitate future comparative genomic studies. Exploitation of the syntenic relationships between the faba bean consensus map developed in this work and available legume genomes will make the identification of candidate genes of important traits easier in the future and will enable forthcoming syntenic-based gene cloning approaches. As expected, robust macrosyntenic blocks that sometimes nearly cover a complete chromosome were found between faba bean LGs and pea, barrel medic and chickpea chromosomes since their phylogenetic proximity is greater than that of the rest of the compared sequenced legumes. In accordance with our results, Webb et al. also reported good levels of synten between their consensus map, the genome of *M. truncatula* and the genetic map of lentil developed by Sharpe et al. In addition, we have been able to locate abundant blocks of macro-synteny between faba bean and common bean, cowpea or birdsfoot trefoil despite their greater evolutionary distances (Fig. 7), providing further evidence of the mapping accuracy. By contrast, the number of markers in our consensus map may not be enough to clarify the macrosynteny between faba bean and soybean due to the extensive chromosomal rearrangements and polyploidization of the soybean genome. Hopefully, gene conservation with soybean will be more evident once the faba bean genome is available, as has happened in the case of the pea. Although duplication of the soybean genome and chromosomal rearrangements are a limitation for translational genomic studies with faba bean, synteny in duplicate regions would be a good resource to exploit. Despite the large size of the faba bean genome, synteny data reflects a globally conserved organization with respect to the genome of the legumes studied here. There is of course a certain amount of reorganization that can be easily observed, for example, in the condensed LGI of faba bean that gathers the genes located on chromosomes 1, 2 and 5 of pea. These results include *V. faba* as an additional syntenic species in the paleogenomic scheme described in Kreplak et al.

In conclusion, this work provides to faba bean researchers and breeders a new faba bean exome assembly originated from transcriptome data of four accessions (HIVERNA, NOVA GRADISKA, SILIAN and QUASAR), a set of 105,828 gene-based SNPs and 1,819 mapped SNP markers on three individual linkage maps and one consensus map. The high quality of the assembly resulted in the identification of a large number of SNPs of the most informative type due to their location in genes. The molecular markers designed from this set of SNPs were validated in three recombinant populations, resulting in the densest faba bean consensus map to date. The SNP markers designed here are available for genotyping other inbred populations that could be integrated later into our consensus map. These robust resources will be useful for trait mapping, genetic diversity and linkage disequilibrium studies or map-based cloning, and will enable faba bean MAS and GAB as well as the identification of candidate genes of agronomic interest through synteny-based approaches.
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