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Abstract

Background: Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, physicians experienced unprecedented levels of burnout. The uncertainty of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic along with increased workload and difficult medical triage decisions may lead to a further decline in physician psychological health.

Methods: We searched Medline, EMBASE, and PsycINFO for primary research from database inception (Medline [1946], EMBASE [1974], PsycINFO [1806]) to November 17, 2020. Titles and abstracts were screened by one of three reviewers and full-text article screening and data abstraction were conducted independently, and in duplicate, by three reviewers.

Results: From 6223 unique citations, 480 articles were reviewed in full-text, with 193 studies (of 90,499 physicians) included in the final review. Studies reported on physician psychological symptoms and management during seven infectious disease outbreaks (severe acute respiratory syndrome [SARS], three strains of Influenza A virus [H1N1, H5N1, H7N9], Ebola, Middle East respiratory syndrome [MERS], and COVID-19) in 57 countries. Psychological symptoms of anxiety (14.3–92.3%), stress (11.9–93.7%), depression (17–80.5%), post-traumatic stress disorder (13.2–75.2%) and burnout (14.7–76%) were commonly reported among physicians, regardless of infectious disease outbreak or country. Younger, female (vs. male), single (vs. married), early career physicians, and those providing direct care to infected patients were associated with worse psychological symptoms.

Interpretation: Physicians should be aware that psychological symptoms of anxiety, depression, fear and distress are common, manifest differently and self-management strategies to improve psychological well-being exist. Health systems should implement short and long-term psychological supports for physicians caring for patients with COVID-19.
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Background

Infectious disease outbreaks pose a severe threat to public health [1, 2]. A novel infectious disease, Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), has spread rapidly globally after its emergence in late 2019, prompting The World Health Organization to declare a pandemic [3]. The transmissibility and the severity of COVID-19 make this a particularly dangerous pathogen [4], and adds to
frontline healthcare professionals experiencing concern, anxiety, frustration, and fear [5–8].

A recent rapid review of 59 papers described psychological outcomes of all healthcare professionals in response to infectious disease outbreaks (e.g., COVID-19, Ebola, H1N1, H7N9, MERS and SARS) and reported that healthcare professionals caring for affected patients experienced increased levels of acute or post-traumatic stress and psychological stress [9]. This rapid review included data from eight studies on psychological outcomes of healthcare professionals from China during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, our search returned 174 studies specific to COVID-19. This reflects the growth of the pandemic, with over 200 countries reporting COVID-19 cases and over 19 million cases worldwide. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has varied from country to country, depending on the public health measures enacted in each country to minimize transmission.

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, studies reported that physicians experienced unprecedented levels of burnout [10–15]. Burnout among physicians is reported to be as high as 70% [16], and has been reported to be higher than registered nurses (RNs) and respiratory therapists [17, 18]. The uncertainty of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic along with the moral distress, long shifts, and a unique role with difficult triage decisions, may further exacerbate a physician’s mental well-being [19, 20]. To date, experiences and management of physician psychological symptoms during the COVID-19 outbreak have not been comprehensively described and compared between countries and with previous infectious disease outbreaks. In response to this gap in the literature, we conducted a rapid review of the experiences and management of psychological symptoms in physicians during infectious disease outbreaks to better understand and support physician health during this unprecedented time.

Methods
We conducted a rapid review [21] to ensure timely synthesis of data in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. In the absence of a PRISMA statement for rapid reviews, we used the overall statement [22] to guide us where possible (Additional File 1) [23].

Eligibility criteria
Eligibility criteria were defined using the “Population, Exposure, Comparison, Outcomes, Study Designs” (PECOS) components.

Population
Physicians involved in caring for patients in any healthcare setting during an infectious disease outbreak. Due to the rapid nature of this review, other healthcare professionals were excluded.

Exposure
Caring for patients during an infectious disease outbreak. Care could be anticipated (e.g., not having worked a shift yet) or from direct patient care experiences.

Comparators
Any intervention, control group, or studies without a comparison group were eligible for inclusion.

Outcomes
Any outcome that measured physician psychological symptoms (e.g., fear, anxiety, stress) or ways to manage these experiences (e.g., behaviour changes) during infectious disease outbreaks.

Study designs
Any primary research of quantitative or qualitative design.

Search strategy and selection criteria
The search strategies were developed by a psychiatric epidemiologist (KMF), critical care physician (HTS), and a medical librarian. We searched Medline, EMBASE, and PsycINFO from inception until November 17, 2020. The final search strategy for Medline can be found in Additional File 2. No restrictions were placed on the language or date of publication.

Titles and abstracts were imported into Endnote X9 (Clarivate Analytics), which was also used to manage full-text articles. Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) primary research (e.g., cross-sectional studies); 2) physicians as the target population; and 3) article describes experiences or management of psychological symptoms (e.g., anxiety, fear, stress) related to infectious disease outbreaks (e.g., COVID-19, SARS [Severe Acute Respiratory Disease Syndrome], H1N1). Exclusion criteria included: 1) studies of HIV or AIDS; and 2) physician perceptions or attitudes towards vaccination. Titles and abstracts were reviewed by one of three reviewers (KK, KP, LK) [24]. All abstracts selected by any reviewer moved onto the full-text stage. Full-text review was conducted independently and in duplicate. Disagreements (e.g., include/exclude article or reason for exclusion) were resolved by discussion or involvement of another reviewer as necessary.

Data abstraction
We abstracted data on publication (e.g., year of publication), study (e.g., location of data collection, setting, time of data collection), and participant characteristics (e.g., age, sex, specialty). Outcome data on experiences or
management of physician psychological symptoms were also abstracted. The data abstraction form was developed and piloted by the study team. The data abstraction form, including quality assessment, was piloted on 10% of the included studies to ensure agreement. All data were abstracted independently and in duplicate. Any discrepancies between independently abstracted data were resolved by discussion or involvement of another reviewer as necessary. Data are reported using descriptive statistics.

Study quality appraisal
The quality of included quantitative studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, including the extension for cross-sectional studies [25, 26]. This is an eight-item (cohort studies) or seven-item (cross-sectional studies) checklist. Studies were rated from 0 to 10 with a higher number indicating lower risk of bias and better study quality (very good: 9–10 points; good: 7–8 points; satisfactory: 5–6 points; unsatisfactory: 0 to 4 points). The Joanna Briggs Institute Checklist for Qualitative Research was used to determine the quality of qualitative studies [27].

Results
Literature search
Following the removal of duplicates, the search yielded 6223 citations (Fig. 1). Of these, 480 articles were reviewed in full-text. The most common reasons for exclusion (n = 287) at the full-text stage were that the research was not primary research (n = 120), was not focused on physicians (n = 97), or not reporting on psychological symptoms associated with an infectious disease outbreak (n = 54). A total of 193 papers were included in the rapid review (Additional File 3) [28–89]. Most studies had a cross-sectional design (n = 181, 93.8%). All other study designs are listed in Additional File 3.

Publication, study, and participant characteristics
Of the 193 included studies (of 90,499 physicians), the majority focused on COVID-19 (n = 172, published in 2020) or SARS (n = 13, published between 2004 and
Experiences with physician psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks

All studies (n = 193) reported on physician experiences with psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks, with consistent experiences reported across different disease outbreaks. The most common method to identify psychological symptoms (e.g., anxiety, depression, stress, isolation, worry, fear, stigma) was a validated questionnaire (n = 120) or survey (n = 91). The most common psychological symptoms measured with surveys or validated questionnaires reported included anxiety (n = 103), depression (n = 73), stress (n = 68), fear (n = 61), burnout (n = 25), mental distress/health (n = 21), and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (n = 14) (Additional File 3). Most COVID-19 studies reported on physicians from USA (n = 23) [41, 52, 66, 70, 77, 90–107], China (n = 22) [15, 49, 54–56, 65, 68, 73, 86, 89, 102, 108–118] followed by India (n = 21) [40, 50, 58, 63, 67, 71, 102, 119–132] [41, 52, 66, 70, 77], Italy (n = 10) [32, 94, 102, 125, 133–138], and Turkey (n = 11) [35, 44, 94, 139–146] [28, 30, 32, 34, 36, 37, 42, 45, 47, 48, 59, 60, 62, 80, 87]. Sixteen studies reported on physicians from multiple countries [47, 51, 69, 94, 102, 106, 125, 133, 147–154]. Ninety-seven (97/172, 56.4%) studies from 43 countries (most from China [n = 17], USA, [n = 11], Turkey [n = 9], India [n = 8], Pakistan [n = 7], or Italy [n = 6]) reported physician anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic. Most studies used the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-2/GAD-7) (n = 33), survey/interview (n = 26), e.g., “Do you feel anxious when you care for COVID-19 patients” [35] or “Rate your level of anxiety regarding the COVID-19 outbreak on a scale of 1 to 10 [91],” Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale-21 Items (DASS-21) (n = 9), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (n = 9), State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (each n = 4), along with other measurements (Additional File 3). The proportion of physicians who experienced symptoms of anxiety (i.e., any symptoms of anxiety measured on a validated scale [i.e., mild, moderate, and severe]) was reported in 34 studies, and ranged from 14.3% (Iran) to 92.3% (Saudi Arabia and Egypt) (Fig 3), with many individuals (9.8% [India] to 39.3% [Colombia]) having clinically significant symptoms (i.e., described as having clinically significant symptoms in the study or meeting the cut-off for clinically significant symptoms for the specific scale used [e.g., GAD-7 score ≥ 10]). During other infectious disease outbreaks, the proportion of physicians with self-reported symptoms of anxiety related to the infectious disease outbreak ranged from 29.1% (SARS) to 67.0% (Ebola). Seventy-two studies (72/172, 41.9%) from 37 countries (most from China [n = 12], India [n = 8], USA [n = 6], Turkey [n = 5],) reported physician depression related to
the COVID-19 pandemic. Most studies used the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-2/PHQ-4/PHQ-9) \((n = 31)\), DASS-21 \((n = 10)\), survey \((n = 9\), e.g., “I feel depressed” [5-point Likert scale]), HADS \((n = 9)\), along with other measurements (Additional File 3) [155]. The proportion of physicians who experienced symptoms of depression (i.e., any symptoms of depression measured on a validated scale [i.e., mild, moderate, and severe]) was reported in 31 studies, and ranged from 17% [China] to 80.5% [India] (Fig. 3), with many individuals (7.2% [China] to 62% [Turkey]) having clinically significant symptoms (i.e., described as having clinically significant symptoms in the study or meeting the cut-off for clinically significant symptoms for the specific scale used [e.g., PHQ-9 score \(\geq 10\)]). The proportion of physicians with self-reported symptoms of depression was not reported for other infectious disease outbreaks included in this review.

Sixty-four studies (64/172, 37.2%) from 33 countries (most from India \([n = 13]\), USA \([n = 9]\)) reported on
physician stress (Fig. 3) related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Most studies used a survey \( n = 29 \), e.g., “My daily life has become more stressful due to the COVID-19 pandemic” [156]). Patient Stress Scale (PSS-10, \( n = 17 \)), DASS-21 \( (n = 9) \), along with other measurements (Additional File 3). The proportion of physicians who experienced symptoms of stress (i.e., any symptoms of stress measured on a validated scale [i.e., mild, moderate, and severe]) was reported in 26 studies, and ranged from 11.9\% (China) to 93.7\% (Iraqi Kurdistan) (Fig. 3). Symptoms of stress among physicians during other infectious disease outbreaks ranged from 31.8\% (SARS) to 100\% (Ebola).

Twenty-four studies from 12 countries reported on the proportion of physicians with symptoms of burnout related to the COVID-19 pandemic, which ranged from 14.7\% (Canada) to 76\% (Romania). Nine studies from seven countries reported on the proportion of physicians with symptoms of PTSD related to the COVID-19 pandemic, which ranged from 24.3\% (China) to 75.2\% (Turkey). Symptoms of PTSD and burnout were reported for other infectious disease outbreaks 13.2\% (SARS) and 36.4\% (H1N1), respectively.

Sixty-one studies reported on fear related to an infectious disease outbreak [29–31, 35–37, 43, 46, 53, 55, 61, 64, 72, 74, 78–83, 85–87, 94, 95, 99, 100, 107–109, 115, 117, 119, 123, 128, 131, 132, 134, 142, 146, 147, 155–173]. Most of the studies \((n = 52)\) reported physician’s fear of infecting themselves or their family. The greatest sources of distress for emergency department physicians during SARS were the spread of the virus and the health of their family [83] and during the MERS outbreak, many Saudi Arabian physicians reported a great source of their anxiety was worry over their family acquiring the illness [31]. More than one-quarter (27.5\%) of academic physicians in Canada were concerned about SARS spreading to their family [46], while 89\% of Egyptian physicians were concerned their family would contract H1N1 from them [43]. In Hong Kong, female physicians were more worried about infecting their families with SARS than male physicians [82]. Two months following the SARS outbreak, physicians reported that their greatest concern were fears of infecting self, family, and other loved ones [81]. Saudi Arabian physicians with past pandemic experience were less likely to experience fear during the COVID-19 pandemic [30].

Factors associated with psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks

One hundred studies reported on factors associated with psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks. Female sex (compared to male) was the factor most commonly associated with worse psychological symptoms in physicians [30, 41, 44, 48, 63, 66, 71, 77, 89, 98, 112, 122, 127, 128, 138, 142, 144, 148, 163, 165, 170, 174–189]. Other factors associated with poorer psychological outcomes included direct patient contact [28, 32, 34, 44, 46, 53, 59, 64, 71, 81, 95, 98, 112, 122, 130, 163, 169, 175, 182, 183, 186, 190–192] [30, 34, 41, 44, 48, 59, 63, 66, 71, 77, 89], single marital status (compared to married) [39, 44, 59, 63, 98, 122], younger age [33, 44, 47, 48, 50, 67, 77, 81, 82, 136, 148, 170, 174, 177, 179, 181, 191, 193–196], and more junior career stage (e.g., residents compared to staff) [28, 41, 43, 44, 48, 65, 77, 100, 120, 122, 128, 133, 136, 170, 174, 181, 185, 196, 197]. During COVID-19, feelings that there was inadequate PPE supply at their hospital was associated with worse psychological outcomes [107, 143, 147, 157, 163, 165, 170, 178, 187, 188]. Physicians working more days, with higher patient volumes, or with increased duties than before than pandemic also had an increased prevalence of psychological symptoms [96, 101, 122, 128, 149, 187, 192, 195, 198]. Eighteen studies reported on insomnia [32, 35, 36, 54, 65, 69, 88, 114, 128, 145, 149, 163, 175, 177, 181, 188, 192, 194], which is associated with psychiatric disorders and is a risk factor for depression and anxiety [15, 32, 54, 65, 69, 199, 200]. During the SARS outbreak, physicians of Asian descent felt more stigmatized than their Caucasian colleagues (Canada) [15, 32, 35, 36, 46, 54, 65, 69, 88, 114, 128, 145, 149, 163, 175, 177, 181, 188, 192, 194].

Eleven studies [32, 54, 74, 81, 82, 84, 85, 94, 136, 143, 201] focused on the experiences of family physicians during infectious disease outbreaks. Family physicians practicing in Singapore during the H5N1 outbreak feared there would be inadequate staff to manage patient demand [85]. During the SARS outbreak, the majority of surveyed family physicians in both Hong Kong and Canada reported having no infection control training (80\%) and lacked confidence in dealing with SARS (~70\%). Many concerns centered around training, availability, and use of personal protective equipment (PPE). Family physicians felt they did not have sufficient training or experience with appropriate use of PPE, which they felt limited its effectiveness [74]. The shortage of PPE heightened their insecurities and some physicians recycled PPE or wore PPE for prolonged periods of time, which caused physical discomfort [74, 143]. Some family physicians reported not following protective procedures, such as hand washing or wearing gowns [82].

Management of physician psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks

Nearly one-third \((n = 64, 33.2\%)\) of the studies evaluated ways physicians managed psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks. Groups of physicians from several countries (China [108], India [40], Saudi Arabia [31], Egypt [43], Singapore [39, 74, 85], and Hong
Kong [64]) reported voluntarily engaging in practices such as increased hand washing and wearing of PPE, even if it was not yet required by their workplaces (n = 8). Recognition of service from the government was also indicated by physicians in China [108] and Singapore [53] as a positive buffer to experiencing negative psychological symptoms. Avoiding outbreak related news and social media was also reported by physicians in China [56, 108] and Saudi Arabia [30] (n=3) conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Physicians reported the importance of having social support from friends, family, colleagues, and professionals (e.g., counsellors) in 29 studies [28, 30, 39, 43, 44, 48, 56, 58, 67, 72, 78, 83, 91, 99, 102, 106, 115, 119, 131, 150, 155, 163, 172, 192, 202–205]. Several studies (n = 29) described positive personal coping strategies such as maintaining a positive attitude and resilience [37, 46, 83, 100, 106, 108, 136, 202, 205, 206], practicing self-care (e.g., physical activity, eating well, resting, engaging in activities they enjoy) [30, 35, 56, 65, 70, 91, 102, 103, 117, 119, 129, 131, 150, 151, 207], and engaging with religious practices [39, 46, 131, 205] as a way to mitigate negative psychological outcomes. In contrast, two studies reported that physicians engaged in avoidance coping strategies (e.g., screaming, crying, denial, self-blame, disengaging, substance abuse, etc.) [83, 106, 108, 127, 202, 203, 205].

Specific to COVID-19, physicians in China [56], France [196], India [40, 50, 58], Pakistan [157, 192], South Korea [184], Tunisia [192], Turkey [44], and USA [99, 103, 105, 203] expressed desire for their organizations to provide access to psychological support through counselling programs or support hotlines (n = 13). Communication and appreciation from hospital administrators (n = 4) was indicated as an important gesture to help foster feelings of gratitude for physicians in China [108, 115], Colombia [201], and the USA [52] [52, 58, 62]. Physicians in China [108], France [28, 188], India [129], Israel [208], Pakistan [182], Saudi Arabia [30], Turkey [44], and the USA [52] indicated the importance of supportive workplace environments that provide an adequate supply of PPE, proper training, and comprehensive communication about infection prevention strategies.

**Study quality assessment**

Of 181 cross-sectional studies, scores ranged from 1 (n = 1, 0.6%) [86] to 9 (n = 4, 2.2%) [128, 140, 188, 201] with a median score of 5 (Additional File 4). Twenty-eight studies justified their sample size [54, 59, 70]. The majority of studies did not compare between respondents and non-respondents (n = 159, 87.8%) or include 95% confidence intervals (n = 100, 55.2%). The five included cohort studies [55, 57] had scores ranging from 4 (n = 1, 20%) to 6 (n = 4, 80%). Only one described the ascertainment of exposure [55]. The six included qualitative studies [56, 72, 74, 115, 204, 209] were considered to be of sufficient methodological quality. One study had a pre-test, post-test study design and was considered to be of sufficient methodological quality [112].

**Discussion**

In this rapid review, we summarized the literature from 193 studies on physician experiences and management of psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks. Results were consistent, with over 90,000 physicians surveyed during and after seven different infectious disease outbreaks (SARS, H7N9, H5N1, H1N1, MERS, Ebola, COVID-19) in 57 countries over the last 17 years. The burden of psychological symptoms in physicians was high, with anxiety symptoms affecting as many as 92.3% [210] of physicians and symptoms of PTSD in as many as 75.2% [145]. Female, younger, more junior physicians were reported to be at higher risk of more symptoms and/or more severe symptoms, as were those physicians who were in direct contact with infected patients. Though, these associations should be interpreted with caution as future prospective studies are needed to confirm the associations between demographic variables/career stage of physicians and adverse psychological symptoms. Worry about their families being infected during the outbreak was the greatest source of physician psychological symptoms. Concerns about the availability and use of PPE predominated the distress of family physicians. How physicians managed psychological symptoms was highly variable and included tangible acts such as self-isolating away from family, following protocols, and receiving emotional support from family members. Some experts estimate that the pandemic could continue for much longer- at least 24 months [211]. Given the magnitude and long-term projection of the COVID-19 pandemic, and the number of physicians involved, the impact on performance, burnout, quality of life, and personal relationships could be large.

COVID-19 is affecting physicians through infection, psychological symptoms, and an interplay between the two. COVID-19 appears to affect older individuals disproportionally [212–214] and biological sex is associated with higher COVID-19 disease severity and death rates in males [213, 215]. The physician workforce may be disproportionately affected by COVID-19; 20% of healthcare workers in Italy [216] had COVID-19 and China’s National Health Commission reports that over 3300 healthcare workers have been infected as of early March [217]. To some extent this describes the healthcare workforce of many specialities directly caring for patients with COVID-19 in hospital, including critical care, emergency, and infectious disease medicine [218–
In contrast, family medicine, which may be the first point of medical contact for many patients, is a specialty with a greater proportion of female physicians [221]. The results of this rapid review suggest that who manifests psychological symptoms during this infectious disease outbreak may differ by age and specialty. Younger, more junior physicians (i.e., residents) are at greater risk of developing psychological symptoms during infectious disease outbreaks. Residency training programs should ensure psychological support for trainees caring for patients during COVID-19.

Further to the above recommendation, this review offers important learnings that individual physicians and health systems can use to immediately inform their understanding and management of physicians’ psychological symptoms during an infectious disease outbreak. First, physicians should take up and apply the knowledge that psychological symptoms are common across the medical profession, manifest in various ways (e.g., anxiety vs. fear) and have specific triggers (e.g., close work with infected patients) in their day-to-day lives. For instance, this conceptualization should be used to help normalize experiences of psychological symptoms in oneself, enable recognition of symptoms in colleagues, and catalyze open dialogue around mental health and wellbeing across physician groups. Second, health systems must immediately prioritize the development of short and long-term supports for individual physicians, both in terms of recognition (e.g., education of psychological signs and symptoms) and treatment (e.g., online supports) options [222]. While some health systems have already begun to prioritize this type of programming [223, 224], there is much more that can be done to further these efforts [225]. As the impact is differential based off of area of practice (i.e., direct contact with infected patients) systems should focus their efforts on those physicians at the front lines of the pandemic. Finally, it is reasonable to extrapolate from this review that health systems should implement a long-term planning process to tackle some of the larger issues identified as triggers of physician psychological symptoms during an infectious disease outbreak, including options for appropriate accommodation away from family members when treating high-risk patients, and accessible training and access to PPE for all family physicians.

A major strength of our rapid review is the timely synthesis of evidence for physicians, health systems, and policy makers on physician psychological symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic. This rapid review followed established standards [21], which included a comprehensive literature search in multiple databases and full-text review, data extraction, and quality assessments performed independently, and in duplicate. No restrictions were placed on language of publication, and we were able to capture literature from many countries affected by recent infectious disease outbreaks (e.g., China, Singapore, Hong Kong, United States, Canada). While the review by Kisely and colleagues [9] previously summarized psychological effects on healthcare workers overall, our review reports on more recent publications and compares the outcomes of the COVID-19 pandemic with previous infectious disease outbreaks. Our review also focuses on physicians, who may be uniquely impacted during infectious disease outbreaks as they are required to take on a high level of responsibility with patient care. As with all rapid reviews there are limitations to consider. It is possible some studies were missed in the search, though results were consistent in all included studies, regardless of the country or infectious disease outbreak. We restricted our search to include selected infectious disease outbreaks and, as such, the results may not be generalizable to other outbreaks (e.g., HIV/AIDS). Due to the rapid nature of this review, we restricted our search to physicians and therefore the results may not be generalizable to other healthcare workers, such as nurses or allied health professionals. The strategies described to manage physician psychological symptoms are anecdotal (i.e., self-reported strategies) and further studies are needed to test their effectiveness. The evidence was predominately the result of cross-sectional surveys, though in the rapidly changing context of infectious disease outbreaks this is likely the most feasible study design to employ.

Conclusion
This rapid review demonstrates that the burden of psychological symptoms in physicians during an infectious disease outbreak is high; half of physicians experience anxiety and one in five experience symptoms of PTSD. Physicians should be aware that psychological symptoms during an infectious disease outbreak are common, manifest in different ways, and have specific triggers. Health systems must prioritize psychological supports for physicians during and after infectious disease outbreaks and outside of outbreaks, plan to tackle the issues that place physicians at greatest risk.

Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-021-03090-9.

Additional File 1. PRISMA Checklist.
Additional File 2. Medline Search.
Additional File 3. Study characteristics (n = 193).
Additional File 4. Quality analysis of included studies (n = 193).

Acknowledgements
KMF confirms that she has full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.
Authors’ contributions
All authors made significant contributions to the conception and design of the work and the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of the data. KMF, JPL, KK, and KP drafted the work and all authors (KMF, JPL, KDK, KMP, LGK, JNK, HTS) revised for important intellectual content. All authors (KMF, JPL, KDK, KMP, LGK, JNK, HTS) have given approval of the version to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Funding
This rapid review is funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. Canadian 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-2019) Rapid Research Funding Opportunity- Operating Grant (Grant Number RNa20046–439965) to JPL.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Funding
Appropriately investigated and resolved.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1Department of Critical Care Medicine, Calgary & Alberta Health Services, 3134 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary T2N4Z6, Canada. 2Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, 3134 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary T2N4Z6, Canada. 3O'Brien Institute for Public Health, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, 3134 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta T2N4Z6, Canada. 4School of Health Administration, Faculty of Health and Department of Critical Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, 5850 College Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H4R2, Canada.

Received: 25 August 2020 Accepted: 2 February 2021

Published online: 10 February 2021

References
1. Khot WY, Nadkar MY. The 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak - a global threat. J Assoc Physicians India. 2020;68(3):67–71.
2. Cascella M, Rajnik M, Cuomo A, Dulebohn SC, Di Napoli R. Features, evaluation and treatment coronavirus (COVID-19). Treasure Island: StatPearls; 2020.
3. Bedford J, Enria D, Giesecke J, Heymann DL, Ihekweazu C, Kobinger G, et al. COVID-19: towards controlling of a pandemic. Lancet. 2020;395(10239):1015–8.
4. Lai CC, Shih TP, Ko WC, Tang HL, Hsu WC, Hsieh PR. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2020;55(3):105924.
5. Xiang Y, Yang Y, Li W, Zhang L, Zhang Q, Cheung T, et al. Timely mental health care for the 2019 novel coronavirus outbreak is urgently needed. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(3):228–30.
6. Li W, Yang Y, Liu Z, Zhao Y, Zhang Q, Zhang L, et al. Progression of mental health services during the COVID-19 outbreak in China. Int J Biomed Sci. 2020;16(10):1732–8.
7. Payne B, Bellamy R. Novel respiratory viruses: what should the clinician be alert for? Clin Med (Lond). 2014;14(Suppl 6):s1–2.
8. Adams JG, Walls RM. Supporting the health care workforce during the COVID-19 global epidemic. JAMA. 2020;323(13):1439–40.
9. Kiselý S, Warren N, McMahon L, Dalai C, Henry J, Siskind D. Occurrence, prevention, and management of the psychological effects of emerging virus outbreaks on healthcare workers: rapid review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2020;369:m1642.
10. Berardo L, Georges C, Wright J, Stout A, Shah H, Paparanastassiou A, et al. Assessment of burnout prevention and wellness programs for US-based neurosurgical faculty and residents: a systematic review of the literature. J Neurosurg. 2020;1–9.
11. Sanfilippo F, Palumbo GJ, Noto A, Pennisi S, Minieri M, Vasilé F, et al. Prevalence of burnout among intensive care physicians: a systematic review. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva. 2020;32(3):458–67.
12. Cheung R, Yu B, Iordanous Y, Malvankar-Mehta MS. The prevalence of occupational burnout among ophthalmologists: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychiatr Res. 2020;332:491-20594135.
13. Zhou AY, Parajjoti M, Esmaill A, Agius R, Van Tongeren M, Bower P. Factors associated with burnout and stress in trainee physicians: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(8):e2013761.
14. Zaed J, Jaaiddane Y, Chibbaro S, Tinterri B. Burnout among neurosurgeons and residents in neurosurgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. World Neurosurg. 2020;143:e529–e34.
15. Zhang Q, Mu MC, He Y, Cai ZL, Li ZC. Burnout in emergency medicine physicians: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020;99(32):e21462.
16. Lamothe M, Boujut E, Zenasni F, Sultan S. To be or not to be empathic: the combined role of empathic role and perspective taking in understanding burnout in general practice. BMC Fam Pract. 2014;15:15.
17. Grace MK, VanHeuvelen J. Occupational variation in burnout among medical staff: evidence for the stress of higher status. Soc Sci Med. 2019;232:199–208.
18. El-Sherbiny E, Khashaba E, Abdel-Hady A. Burnout among intensive care workers in a tertiary care hospital in Saudi Arabia. Egypt J Occup Med. 2017;41(2):289–306.
19. Pasricha T. The BMJ opinion [Internet]. Bmj, editor. 2020. [cited 2020]. Available from: https://blogs.bmj.com/bmj/2020/07/08/covid-19-will-end-but-doctors-will-keep-dying-supporting-physicians-mental-health-after-the-pandemic/.
20. Abbasi J. Prioritizing physician mental health as COVID-19 marches on. JAMA. 2020;323(22):2235–9.
21. Tricco AC, Antony J, Zarin W, Struijf L, Ghassemi M, Ivory J, et al. A scoping review of rapid review methods. BMC Med. 2015;13:224.
22. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. BMJ. 2009;339:b2535.
23. Antony J, Zarin W, Pham B, Nincic V, Cardoso R, Ivory JD, et al. Patient safety initiatives in obstetrics: a rapid review. BMJ Open. 2018;8(7):e020170.
24. Tricco AC, Antony J, Straus SE. Systematic reviews vs. rapid reviews: what’s the difference? J CADTH Rapid Review Summit. 2015. https://www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/events/Andrea-Tricco_RR-vs-SystematicReviews_Feb-4-2015.pdf. Accessed 20 July 2020.
25. Modiati PA, Reboli G, Cappuccio FP, Agymang C, Remuzzi G, Rapi S, et al. Panethnic differences in blood pressure in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11(1):e0147601.
26. Wells GA, Shea B, O’Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. 2013. http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp. Accessed 20 July 2020.
27. The Joanna Briggs Institute. Checklist for Qualitative Research: Joanna Briggs Institute. 2020. https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools. Accessed 20 July 2020.
28. Abbessater M, Roupèrè M, Mistai V, Matillon X, Godrann-Tellier B, Freton L, et al. COVID-19 pandemic impacts on anxiety of French urologist in training: outcomes from a national survey. Prog Urol. 2020;30(8):1548–55.
29. Abebe TB, Bhagavathula AS, Telford YG, Ahmad A, Khan MU, Belachew SA, et al. Healthcare professionals’ awareness, knowledge, attitudes, perceptions and beliefs about Ebola at Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia: A cross-sectional study. J Public Health Afr. 2016;7:1.
30. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
31. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
32. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
33. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
34. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
35. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
36. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
37. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
38. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
39. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
40. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
41. Alsubaie S, Hani Temsah M, Al-Eyadhy AA, Gossady I, Hasan GM, Al-Rabiaah 83.
33. Austria-Corrales F, Cruz-Valdes B, Herrera-Kiengelher L, Garcia-Vazquez JC, Salas-Hernandez J. Burnout syndrome among Mexican physicians in training during a health emergency influenza virus A H1N1. Gac Med Mex. 2011; 147(2):97–103.

34. Badarah A, Khamis F, Al Mahajri N, Al Balushi M, Al Hatmi H, Al Salmi I, et al. The mental health of health care workers in Oman during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Soc Psychiatry. 2020;66(4):2093596.

35. Yalın Bahat P, Alıkçağşılı Talmacı, Bestel A, Topbas Selcuki NF, Karadeniz O, Polat I. Evaluating the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the physical and mental well-being of obstetricians and gynecologists in Turkey. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2020;151(1):67–73.

36. Bohlken J, Schömig F, Seehagen T, Köhler S, Gehring K, Roth-Sackenheim C, et al. Experience of practice-based psychiatrists and neurologists during the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychiatr Prax. 2020;47(4):214–7.

37. Büntzel J, Klein M, Keinkir W, Büntzel J, Hübner J. Oncology services in corona times: a flash interview among German cancer patients and their physicians. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2020;146(10):2713–5.

38. Cai W, Lian B, Song X, Hou T, Deng G, Li H. A cross-sectional study on mental health outcomes among health care workers exposed to coronavirus disease 2019. Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi. 2020;38(0):E001.

39. Chan AOM, Huak CY. Psychological impact of the 2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak on health care workers in a medium size regional general hospital in Singapore. Occup Med. 2004;54(3):190–6.

40. Chatterjee SS, Bhattacharyya R, Bhattacharyya S, Gupta S, Das S, Banerjee BB. Attitude, practice, behavior, and mental health impact of COVID-19 on healthcare workers. Indian J Psychiatry. 2020;62(3):257–65.

41. Civanton AM, Bymes Y, Chang C, Prasad A, Chozath K, Poonia SK, et al. Mental health among otolaryngology resident and attending physicians during the COVID-19 pandemic national study. Head Neck. 2020;42(7):1597–609.

42. Dimitriu MCT, Pantea-Stoian A, Smaranda AC, Nica AA, Carap AC, Constantin VD, et al. Burnout syndrome in Romanian medical residents in time of the COVID-19 pandemic. Med Hypotheses. 2020;144:10972.

43. El Gafary MM, Abd Elaziz KM, Abdel-Rahman AG, Allam MF. Concerns, perceived impacts and preparedness of health care workers in a referral hospital in Egypt in facing influenza (H1N1) epidemic. J Prev Med Hyg. 2010;51(3):105–9.

44. Elbay RY, Kurtulmuş A, Arpacıoğlu S, Karadere E. Depression, anxiety, stress levels of physicians and associated factors in Covid-19 pandemic. Psychiatry Res. 2020;290:113130.

45. Gill S, Hao D, Hirté H, Campbell A, Colwell B. Impact of COVID-19 on Canadian medical oncologists and cancer care: Canadian Association of Medical Oncologists survey report. Curr Oncol. 2020;27(2):71–4.

46. Grace SL, Hershfield K, Robertson E, Stewart DE. The occupational and psychosocial impact of SARS on academic physicians in three affected hospitals. Psychosomatics. 2005;46(5):385–91.

47. Guirao A, Gaglardi M, Coombes N, Landrieu F, Zanardi C, Camino Willhuber F. Attitude, practice, behavior, and mental health impact of COVID-19 on oncology services in corona times: a flash interview among German cancer patients and their physicians. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. 2020;146(10):2713–5.

48. Hong X, Zhang W. Psychological and ocular surface state of ophthalmologists and ophthalmic nurses working with patients with coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2020;138(8):907.

49. Huang JZ, Han MF, Luo TD, Ren AK, Zhou XP. Mental health survey of 230 medical staff in emergency departments during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(6):e2010705.

50. Huang J, Luo D, Haase JE, Guo Q, Wang XQ, Liu S, et al. The experiences of health-care providers during the COVID-19 crisis in China: a qualitative study. Lancet Glob Health. 2020;8(6):e790–e8.

51. Lung F-W, Lu Y-C, Chang Y-Y, Shu B-C. Mental symptoms in different health professionals during the SARS attack: a follow-up study. Psychiatry Q. 2009;80(2):107–16.

52. Mishra D, Nair AG, Gandhi RA, Gogate PJ, Mathur S, Bhashan P, et al. The impact of COVID-19 related lockdown on ophthalmology training programs in India – outcomes of a survey. Indian J Ophthalmol. 2020;68(6):999–1004.

53. Naser AY, Dahmash EZ, Al-Rousan R, Alkawa H, Alwasadeh HM, Ghoul I, et al. Mental health status of the general population, healthcare professionals, and university students during 2019 coronavirus disease outbreak in Jordan: a cross-sectional study. Brain Behav. 2020.

54. Naser MA. Evaluation of the level of anxiety among Iranian multiple sclerosis fellowships during the outbreak of COVID-19. Arch Iran Med. 2020;23(4):283.

55. Nettick LA, Crighton EJ, Tracy CS, Al-Enaizy H, Bolaji Y, Hanifah S, et al. Psychosocial effects of SARS on hospital staff: survey of a large tertiary care institution. Cmaj. 2004;170(5):793–8.

56. Odedra D, Chahal BS, Patlas MN. Impact of COVID-19 on Canadian radiology residency training programs. Can Assoc Radiol J. 2020;71(4):482–9.

57. Pedder I, Agarwal K, Datta S. Comparative analysis of perceived stress in dermatologists and other physicians during national lock-down and COVID-19 pandemic with exploration of possible risk factors: a web-based cross-sectional study from eastern India. Dermatol Ther. 2020;33(4):e13788.

58. Poon E, Lii KS, Cheong DL, Lee CK, Yam LYC, Tang WN. Impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome on anxiety levels of frontline health care workers. Hong Kong Med J. 2004;10(5):525–30.

59. Que J, Shi L, Deng J, Liu J, Zhang L, Wu S, et al. Psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare workers: a cross-sectional study in China. Gen Psychiatri. 2020;33(3):e100259.

60. Rodriguez RM, Medak AJ, Baumann BM, Lim S, Chinnock B, Frazier R, et al. Academic emergency medicine physicians’ anxiety levels, stressors, and potential stress mitigation measures during the acceleration phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. Acad Emerg Med. 2020;27(8):700–7.

61. Sahu D, Agrawal T, Rathod V, Bagaria V. Impact of COVID 19 lockdown on orthopaedic surgeons in India: a survey. J Clin Ortho Trauma. 2020;11(Suppl 3):S283–100.

62. Shao Y, Zhang W. Psychological and ocular surface state of ophthalmologists and ophthalmic nurses working with patients with coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2020;138(9):8907–10.

63. Shafri S, Amin F, Hafiz M, Benuel E, Preev N, Dahlan RH, et al. COVID-19 depression and neurosurgeons. World Neurosurg. 2020;140:e401–e10.

64. Shechter A, Diaz F, Moise N, Arstey DE, Ye S, Agarwal S, et al. Psychological distress, coping behaviors, and preferences for support among New York healthcare workers during the COVID-19 pandemic. Gen Hosp Psychiatry. 2020;66:6–18.

65. Sil A, Das A, Jaiswal S, Jaffery M, Thole A, Rajeev R, et al. Mental health assessment of frontline COVID-19 dermatologists: A Pan-Indian multicentric cross-sectional study. Dermatol Ther. 2020;e38884.

66. Smith MW, Smith PW, Kratochvil CJ, Schwedhelm S. The psychosocial challenges of caring for patients with Ebola virus disease. Health Secur. 2017;15(1):104–9.

67. Song X, Fu W, Liu X, Luo Z, Wang R, Zhou N, et al. Mental health status of medical staff in emergency departments during the coronavirus disease 2019 epidemic in China. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;88:660–5.

68. Tan N, Goh L, Lee S. Family physicians during the novel coronavirus disease 2019. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(6):e2024767.
Fargen KM, Khanan M, Ricci M, Strathem L, Jeney C, Patterson K, et al. SARS: coping with the impact at a community hospital. J Adv Nurs. 2005; 50(1):101–10.

Tzeng HM, Yin CY. A crisis: fear toward a possible H5N1 pandemic. J Nurs Care Qual. 2008;23(2):177–83.

Urooj U, Ansari A, Sajid A, Khan S, Tariq H. Expectations, fears and perceptions of doctors during Covid-19 Pandemic. Pak J Med Sci. 2020; 36(Covid-19:4):537–42.

Verma S, Mythily S, Chan YH, Deslypere JP, Teo EK, Chong SA. Post-SARS psychological morbidity and stigma among general practitioners and traditional Chinese medicine practitioners in Singapore. Ann Acad Med Singap. 2004;33(6):749–8.

Wong WCW, Lee A, Tsang KK, Wong SY. How did general practitioners protect themselves, their family, and staff during the SARS epidemic in Hong Kong? J Epidemiol Community Health. 2004;58(3):180–5.

Wong TW, Yau J, Chan CL, Kwong RS, Ho SM, Lau CC, et al. The psychological impact of severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak on healthcare workers in emergency departments and how they cope. Eur J Emerg Med. 2005;12(1):13–8.

Wong WCW, Wong SY, Lee A, Goggins WB. How to provide an effective primary medical care in fighting against severe acute respiratory syndrome: the experiences of two cities. Am J Infect Control. 2007;35(1):150–5.

Wong TY, Koh GC, Cheong SK, Sundram M, Koh K, Chia SE, et al. A cross-sectional study of primary-care physicians in Singapore on their concerns and preparedness for an avian influenza outbreak. Ann Acad Med Singap. 2008;37(6):458–64.

Wu J, Chen X, Yao S, Liu R. Anxiety persists after recovery from acquired COVID-19 in anaesthesiologists. J Clin Anesth. 2020;67:10984.

Zerbini G, Ebigbo A, Reicherts P, Kunz M, Messman H. Psychosocial burden and public service providers during the COVID-19 outbreak. PLoS One. 2020;15(10 October).

Zhu J, Chen X, Yao S, Liu R. Anxiety persists after recovery from acquired COVID-19 in anaesthesiologists. J Clin Anesth. 2020;67:10984.

Fiest A national survey on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic upon burnout and career satisfaction among neurosurgery residents. J Clin Neurosci. 2020; 80:137–42.

Khalafallah AM, Lam S, Gami A, Dornbos DL, Sivakumar W, Johnson JN, et al. Burnout and career satisfaction among attending neurosurgeons during the COVID-19 pandemic. Clin Neurol Neurosurg. 2020;198 (no pagination).

Rajan S, Bebayy J, Avitsian R, Lee CZ, Rath G, Luoma A, et al. The impact of the global SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic on neuroanesthesiology fellowship programs worldwide and the potential future role for KFPT accreditation. J Neurosurg Anesthesiol. 2020;16:161.

Robbins JB, England E, Patel MD, Debenedectis CM, Sarkany DS, Hettkamp DE, et al. COVID-19 impact on well-being and education in radiology residences: a survey of the Association of Program Directors in Radiology. Acad Radiol. 2020;27(8):1162–72.

Schwartz DA, Connerney MA, Davilla-Molina M, Tummalapalli SL. Resident mental health at the epicenter of the COVID-19 pandemic. Acad Med. 2020; 25:25.

Shah S, Castro-Dominguez Y, Gupta T, Attaran R, Byrum GV 3rd, Taleb A, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on interventional cardiology training in the United States. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv. 2020;96(3):997–1005.

Shahbub S, Mouaoud NJ, Malgor JD, Anderson AP, Wohlauer MV, Coogan SM, et al. Global vascular surgeons’ experience, stressors, and coping during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. J Vasc Surg. 2020.

Sharma M, Creutzfeldt CJ, Lewis A, Patel PV, Hartog C, Jannotta GE, et al. Healthcare professionals’ perceptions of critical care resource availability and factors associated with mental well-being during COVID-19 results from a US survey. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;22.

Cai H, Tu B, Ma J, Chen L, Fu L, Jiang Y, et al. Psychological impact and coping strategies of frontline medical staff in Hunan during January and March 2020 during the outbreak of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Hubei, China. Med Sci Monit. 2020;26:e924171.

Juan Y, Yuanjuan C, Qiaoxiong Y, Cong L, Xiaofeng L, Yundong Z, et al. Psychological distress surveillance and related impact analysis of hospital staff during the COVID-19 epidemic in Chongqing, China. Compr Psychiatry. 2020;1013 (no pagination).

Li G, Mao J, Wang H, Xu S, Sun W, Fan Y, et al. Psychological impact on women health workers involved in COVID-19 outbreak in Wuhan: a cross-sectional study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020;91(8):895–7.

Liu Y, Wang L, Chen L, Zhang X, Bao L, Shi Y. Mental health status of medical staff fighting against COVID-19 in Gansu. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:306.

Zhu J, Sun L, Zhang L, Wang H, Fan A, Yang B, et al. Prevalence and influencing factors of anxiety and depression symptoms in the first-line medical staff fighting against COVID-19 in Gansu. Front Psychiatry. 2020;11:386.

Collins C, Maluhon K, Bongiovanni T, Lancaster E, Sosa JA, Wick E. Stress and the surgical resident in the COVID-19 pandemic. J Surg Educ. 2020;25:25.

Demirjian NL, Fields BKK, Song C, Reddy S, Desai B, Chen SY, et al. Impact of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on healthcare workers: a nationwide survey of United States radiologists. Clin Imaging. 2020;62:18–25.

Fargen KM, Leslie-Mazwi TM, Klucznik RP, Wolfe SQ, Brown P, Ansari SA, et al. The psychological and personal impact of the coronavirus pandemic on US neurointerventional practices: a nationwide survey. J NeuroInterventional Surg. 2020;12(10):927–31.

Fitzpatrick K, Patterson R, Morley K, Stoltzfu S, Stanekwiecz H. Physician wellness during a pandemic. West J Emerg Med. 2020;24.

Goldmacher D, Pak H, Bakola M, Bhattacharya S, Hoedelbecke K, Jelastopulu E. Family physicians’ knowledge about and attitudes towards COVID-19 a cross-sectional multicentric study. Infect Mother. 2020;21:21.

Huffman BM, Athanasiadis DJ, Anton NE, Haskett LA, Doster DL, Stefanidis D, et al. How resilient is your team? Exploring healthcare providers’ well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. Am J Surg. 2020.

Jha S, Shah S, Calderon MD, Saini A, Manchikanti L. The effect of covid-19 on interventional pain management practices: A physician burnout survey. Pain Physician. 2020;23(4 Special Issue):S271–S278.

Johnson SJ, Ebrahimi OV, Hoffart A. PTSD symptoms among health workers and public service providers during the COVID-19 outbreak. PLoS One. 2020;15(10 October).

Kannapallil TG, Goss CW, Evonoff BA, Strickland JR, McAlister RP, Duncan J. Exposure to COVID-19 patients increases physician trainee stress and burnout. PLoS One. 2020;15(8 August).

Kelker H, Yoder M, Musey P, Harris J, Johnson M, Ossorio E, et al. Longitudinal prospective study of emergency medicine provider wellness across ten academic and community hospitals during the initial surge of the COVID-19 pandemic. Res Square. 2020;2020.15:15.

Khalafallah AM, Lam S, Gami A, Dornbos DL, Sivakumar W, Johnson JN, et al. A national survey on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic upon burnout.
a survey of the time commitment and perceptions of infectious diseases physicians in Australia and New Zealand. Intern Med J. 2020;50(8):924–30.

165. Norton EJ, Georgiou J, Fung A, Nazari A, Bandyopadhyay S, Saunders KEA. Personal protective equipment and infection prevention and control: a national survey of UK medical students and intern/ foundation doctors during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Public Health. 2020;23.

166. Kuo FL, Yang PH, Hsu HT, Su CY, Chen CH, Yeh IL, et al. Survey on perceived work stress and its influencing factors among hospital staff during the COVID-19 pandemic in Taiwan. Kachshun J Med Sci. 2020.

167. Khattab MF, Abou-Madawi AM. Current effect of COVID-19 global pandemic on the professional and life profiles of the Egyptian spine surgeons. Sicoj. 2020;6:31.

168. Osman M, Zaheer F, Saeed H, Anees K, Jawed Q, Syed SH, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on surgical residency programs in Pakistan, a residents’ perspective. Do programs need formal restructuring to adjust with the “new normal”? A cross-sectional survey study. Int J Surg. 2020;79:252–6.

169. Rajpuy P, Przydacz M, Zapala P, Wiekewicz J, Choragwicki D, et al. How has the COVID-19 pandemic impacted polish urologists? Results from a national survey. Cent Eur J Urol. 2020;73(3):252–9.

170. Rymarowicz J, Stefura T, Major P, Szlegla J, Wallner G, Nowakowski M, et al. General surgeons’ attitudes towards COVID-19: a national survey during the SARS-CoV-2 virus outbreak. Eur Surg Acta Chir Austriaca. 2020.

171. Saadeh RA, Alfaqih M, Younis OB, Okour A, Obeidat K. The psychosocial and clinical concerns of physicians treating COVID-19 patients. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2020;23.

172. Sanghavi PB, Au Yeung K, Sosa CE, Veesenmeyer AF, Limon JA, Vijayan V. Psychological impact of the pandemic COVID-19 Outbreak Among Medical Residents in Tunisia. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020;25(3):257–65.

173. Saadah RA, Alfaqih M, Younis OB, Okour A, Obeidat K. The psychosocial and clinical concerns of physicians treating COVID-19 patients. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2020;23.

174. Saadah RA, Alfaqih M, Younis OB, Okour A, Obeidat K. The psychosocial and clinical concerns of physicians treating COVID-19 patients. J Taibah Univ Med Sci. 2020;23.

175. Abdulah DM, Musa DH. Insomnia and stress of physicians during COVID-19 pandemic: An urgent need for intervention. Surgery. 2020.

176. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

177. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

178. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

179. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

180. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

181. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

182. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

183. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

184. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

185. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

186. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

187. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

188. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

189. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

190. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

191. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

192. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

193. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

194. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

195. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.

196. Almater A, Tobaigy M, Younis A, Alaqeel M, Abouammoh M. Effect of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic on pediatric resident well-being. J Med Educ Curric Dev. 2020;7:2382120520947062.
210. Arafa A, Mohammed Z, Mahmoud O, Elshazley M, Ewis A. Depressed, anxious, and stressed: what have healthcare workers on the frontlines in Egypt and Saudi Arabia experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic? J Affect Disord. 2021;278:365–71.

211. Moroes KA, Lipsitch M, Barry JM, Osterholm MT. COVID-19: The CIDRAP Viewpoint Minneapolis, MN: Office of the Vice President for Research, University of Minnesota; 2020. Available from: https://www.cidrap.umn.edu/covid-19/covid-19-cidrap-viewpoint.

212. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054–62.

213. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):507–13.

214. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA. 2020;323(11):1061–9.

215. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):497–506.

216. Remuzzi A, Remuzzi G. COVID-19 and Italy: what next? Lancet, 2020.

217. Lancet T. COVID-19: protecting health-care workers. Lancet. 2020;395(10228):922.

218. Colleges AoAM. The state of women in academic medicine: the pipeline and pathways to leadership, 2015–2016. Washington, DC; 2016.

219. Leigh JP, Grood C, Ahmed SB, Ulrich AC, Fiest KM, Straus SE, et al. Toward gender equity in critical care medicine: a qualitative study of perceived drivers, implications, and strategies. Crit Care Med. 2019;47(4):e286–e91.

220. The Lancet Infectious D. Gender parity in infectious diseases. Lancet Infect Dis. 2019;19(3):217.

221. Information CIH. Physicians in Canada, 2018. Ottawa; 2019.

222. Dewey C, Hingle S, Goelz E, Linzer M. Supporting clinicians during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ann Intern Med. 2020;172(11):752–3.

223. Chen Q, Liang M, Li Y, Guo J, Fei D, Wang L, et al. Mental health care for medical staff in China during the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry. 2020;7(4):e15–e6.

224. Officer BCotPh. Pandemic influenza psychosocial support plan for health care workers and providers - British Columbia’s pandemic influenza response plan. British Columbia; 2012.

225. Sands P, Mundaca-Shah C, Dzau VJ. The neglected dimension of global security—a framework for countering infectious-disease crises. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(13):1281–7.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.