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Abstract

The article discusses the possibilities of using a system consisting of small nuclear reactors, solar collectors and a heat accumulator in future carbon-free conditions. Kraków (Poland) was chosen as an example of a city supplied with electricity, and heat from the discussed system. The demand for electricity and heat was estimated, possible technical solutions were proposed in an approximate manner and monthly balances of electricity and heat were calculated. In this way, it was found possible to meet the energy needs by using only renewable sources in specific conditions of moderate and season-dependent intensity values of sun and wind. The only and efficient option that is able to cover the city’s demand for electricity and heat is a nuclear power plant, consisting, for example, of NuScale nuclear units in combination with solar collectors and a properly selected heat accumulator. Fifty MWₜ禳 reactors were considered, but a new version of the NuScale modular reactor with a modular capacity of 77 MWₜ禳 is also briefly shown.

1. Introduction

In addition to fossil fuel-based facilities, nuclear power plants (NPPs), wind turbines (WTs), photovoltaic (PV) systems and solar panels (SP) are important energy technology options available. In connection with the adopted long-term vision of the development of energy systems in the European Union, we ask which of these solutions are possible to apply in the future in Poland in view of the withdrawal from fossil fuel use by 2050. In Polish conditions, the energy potential of hydroelectric power plants is exhausted and geothermal energy resources are insufficient to fill the gap that will arise after shutting down coal-fired power plants. Solar energy, despite its environmental advantages and declining PV prices, has limited possibilities to replace fossil fuel-based technologies, mainly due to the low peak power utilization factor, high variability of power generation, depending on insolation and temperature, and maladjustment to simultaneous coverage of the electricity and heat needs in concentrated form, i.e. mainly for large cities and industrial plants. Thus, NPPs and WTs remain potentially available options, but the latter also show a high dependence on weather conditions, i.e. wind speed, the value and stability of which depend on the location. Installing a large number of WTs will require the simultaneous construction of intervention sources and energy storage, with very limited current possibilities of accumulating large amounts of energy. Nevertheless, the Energy Policy of Poland until 2040 supports investments in offshore WTs, at the same time pointing to the need to quickly make decisions on the implementation of nuclear energy. In the field of cogeneration systems, the number of technological options that can be installed is even more limited. Steam and gas combined cycle units, characterized by high energy efficiency and relatively low specific investment expenditure, will have to bear high costs related to the purchase of gaseous fuel and CO₂ emission allowances. On the other hand, incinerators where the fuel may be selected waste (RDF - refuse derived fuel), the price of which is negative (the incinerator receives a payment for waste disposal), need to meet stringent requirements to comply with best available techniques (BAT) conclusions, which discourages the investment. Biomass heat and power plants can use the renewable energy source (RES) auction mechanism, but they must ensure the supply of fuel in the right amount and at a price that would guarantee the profitability of the project. All these options are and will be considered and are actually implemented in selected Polish cities. However, the aforementioned limitations result in the need to look for zero-emission options with high power density, as well as low fuel costs. Systems with small and medium power reactors (SMRs) are such an option. In Poland, interest in such a technology has already been shown by Synthos Green Energy, which considers the installation of a small-size boiling water reactor (BWR) with a modular structure (this time SMR may also represent a small modular reactor). This reactor is BWRX-300 and it is offered by GE Hitachi.

In this article, we consider a system consisting of a small nuclear reactor as well as solar collectors and heat accumulators. The proposed cogeneration system could supply cities - large (on the Polish scale) or medium (on the European scale) - with electricity and heat, with the possibility of adapting it to process heat (process steam). The considerations will be presented in the example of Krakow, Poland.

2. Demand For Power And Energy

To design a system that meets the demand for electricity and heat in a given city, the annual energy demand and its distribution over time as well as the peak demand for power should be determined. The total electricity and heat demand and power loads were taken from the municipal energy supply plan [1]. The respective expected values for Kraków (740,000 inhabitants) in 2030 are presented in Table 1. The authors do not have data on the monthly distribution of electricity and heat demand in Kraków. Therefore, for the purposes of this analysis, they were properly estimated on the basis of data on loads in the national electricity system [2] and heat demand characteristics for Prague (Czech Republic) [3], which is located in climatic conditions similar to Kraków. In both cases, the characteristics were obtained after scaling in accordance with extreme values. The results of such approximations are presented in Fig. 1 for electric power and in Fig. 2 for thermal power.

Table 1

| Total power required | Annual energy |
|----------------------|---------------|
|                      |               |
| electric             | electric      |
| heat                 | heat          |
| MW                    | TWh/yr        |
| 616                   | 1600          |
|                      | 2.85          |
|                      | 2.78          |
|                      | 10000         |

Figure 1 Characteristic monthly values of electricity demand in Kraków (based on data from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019)

3. Considered Options For Supplying Kraków With Electricity And Heat

Below, we consider possible energy sources and corresponding technologies that can meet the demand for energy carriers if fossil fuels are excluded.
3.1. Electricity

Solar power systems are the first option considered. If the photovoltaic installation was to operate independently and not to cooperate with the NPP, it would have to store electricity on summer days to ensure maximum power, i.e., 500 MW during winter days at full load, i.e., during dark hours. To day, there has been no practical solution for storing so much electricity for several months. Tesla’s most powerful battery can run at 250 MW but is only able to store up to 1 GW of energy, occupying an area of 120 ha. In addition, in a location with low solar radiation, a large surface area for solar modules would be required. In Poland, the power plant with an installed capacity of 3.77 MW, in the Czernikowo commune (Kujawsko-Pomorskie Voivodeship), covers an area of 7.7 ha, of which 2.25 ha is occupied by photovoltaic modules alone. It provides about 3500 MWh/a of electricity [4]. This gives an average surface power density of approx. 18 MW/km², while the measurements carried out by the University of Opole in 2011-2012 showed a value of 8-9 MW/km² [5].

In the calculations below, we optimistically assumed the efficiency of available photovoltaic cells to be 20%. In December, it is necessary to produce approx. 390 GWh of electricity for Kraków within a month, while the production from PV is only approx. 165 MWh / km² per day, and at noon approx. 34 MWh / km² per hour. Then, the required area of the land for the modules would be approx. 75 km². There are still two problems to be solved. First, approximately 8 GWh / d of electricity would have to be stored for seven hours each day to meet the demand in December. Second, in the remaining time the electricity power generated during the June solar peak (at 12:00) would be approximately 7.5 GW, and the surplus energy produced in one day would be around 65 GWh / day. This amount of electricity would have to be consumed daily for many days, which is practically impossible. Even conversion to heat or cold would use only a fraction of this amount due to the low demand for these energy carriers. Hydrogen production would require huge, rarely available natural underground reservoirs. Additionally, the overall efficiency of the electricity-hydrogen-electricity cycle is only around 50%. Due to these circumstances, this option can be used exceptionally. It is worth adding that there is no information in the world on 100% coverage of energy demand by PV. On average, it is 20-30%, and the highest values, for quite modest sizes of PV systems, are approx. 50%. Practice shows that many technological problems are associated with a large share of renewable sources in the AC network, such as the difficulty of maintaining constant frequency and voltage values and the increase in electricity prices [6]. This shows that it is unrealistic to meet the electricity demand on the basis of solar energy alone. Taking into account the use of photovoltaics on the roofs of Kraków buildings, we can see that the data contained in the report [7] define the potential usable area of roofs at the level of approx. 9 km² and the generated electricity at the level of approx. 1.8 TWh/a; however, this energy would be produced and used mainly in summer and would rather be unavailable in winter.

As a result, we need to consider another source of electricity based on fossil or nuclear fuels. Currently, solar farms can work in, medium and large cities, as an auxiliary source. They cannot be the only source of electricity. If we exclude coal or, more generally, fossil fuels, only NPP remains practically usable.

3.2. Electricity and heat

Two solutions can be considered to meet the city’s electricity and heat needs:

3.2.1. Nuclear heat and power plant

Such a solution has already been analyzed in [8], listing three types of high-power nuclear reactors and taking into account the assumed seasonal changes in demand. The main assumption of the concept proposed in our work is the constant thermal power of a nuclear reactor. This capacity should cover the peak demand for electricity, i.e., 500 MW in Kraków. The surplus - \( P_{he}^N \) can be used for heating. A reactor meeting these needs could be NuScale SMR (Small Modular Reactor) with all 12 modules (50 MW each) [9]. Then, the nominal power of the power plant would be 570 MW, which would allow the use of a significant part of the necessary heat during lower electricity demand \( P_{he}^N \).

\[
P_{he}^Z = P_{he}^N - P_{he}^Z
\]

In the calculations, we increased the power for heating by 20%, taking into account the needs of municipal trade, i.e., heating of shops, cinemas, etc. The results presented in Fig. 1 show that the peak demand for electric power can reach 700 MWe, i.e., approximately 130 MWe above the nominal capacity of the twelve-block NuScale power plant. In such a situation, the power of the power plant could be supplemented for a short time from the national power system. At other times, there may even be a large excess of heat from the reactor. Some of this heat can be used in the form of high-temperature process steam between the individual stages or from the outlet of the turbine. This means that the system would function as a combined heat and power plant.

The distribution of the required thermal power over the year (Fig. 2) is less even then the electricity demand and shows large differences between winter and summer. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate whether the surplus from the reactor in individual months could fully cover the heat demand. This can be done by converting the monthly surplus of electric power of the reactor \( P_{el}^N \) into the amount of heat and assuming the efficiency \( \eta = 0.3 \) (Eq. 2). The result is shown in Fig. 3.

\[
\Delta P_{he}^R = \frac{(570 - P_{el}^Z)}{\eta}
\]

In January, despite the low ambient temperature, quite strange excess heat can be noticed. However, the demand for electricity does not directly depend on temperature. The given values of demand are also indicative. We believe that a little bit of disagreement with reality should not significantly affect our main thought. As a result, we see that there is no surplus in February, but only a small surplus in December.
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3.2.2. Nuclear heat and power plant with a solar heating plant and heat storage

Increasing the amount of necessary heat, as long as there is space for its storage, can be achieved by installing an appropriate surface of solar collectors. We

assumed 3400 GWh as the total annual heat demand (from the reactor and solar panels), taking into account the storage losses. After calculating the monthly

heat shortages \( \Delta Q^h \), it turned out that in April this value changed from negative to positive. The trial and error method was used to select the size of the

surface of the solar collectors to ensure a positive value of the heat balance this month. It turned out that the required area is approx. 2.6 km². The amount of

heat obtained in this way is presented in Fig. 4. The graph allows us to assume that April is the first month with a net heat surplus. When the system is first

launched in April, the first batch can be moved to storage. Over the following five months, further deliveries to the storage will be possible until September.

From October, heat is taken from the storage until March inclusive. The graph in Fig. 5 shows the variation in time of the amount of energy in these flows and

the level of heat in the storage. From the chart, we can determine the required maximum heat storage capacity at ~ 1500 GWh (in September) and the
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We chose an underground hot water tank as the only type of storage that would keep heat from summer to winter and that would be able to efficiently store a

large amount of energy [10, 11]. It would occupy a considerable area, e.g. under a solar collector field. With a storage height of ~ 10 m, the area is ~ 2.2 km

It is planned to be able to divide the storage into a low temperature section (HSTG) and a high temperature section (steam accumulators); see Fig. 6.

Important values related to the design and construction of the proposed combined heat and power plant are the maximum power values of heat exchange
devices to and from storage. The monthly averages in both directions are approx. 600 MW

the heat transfer capacities to and from the storage, respectively, at 500 GWh/month in September and -500 GWh/month in February.

The annual sum of excess heat - \[ \sum_{i=1}^{12} Q^R_{\text{heat},i} \] reaches 3000 GWh, which only slightly exceeds the annual needs of Krakow. Considering the inevitable heat

losses in storage (~ 5% per month) and the amount needed in the winter months, it can be seen that one NuScale power plant is somewhat insucient.

Therefore, we move on to the second option.

4. New Version Of Nuscale Reactor

Recently, a new version of the NuScale modular reactor with a modular capacity of 77 MW was proposed in the US. We checked how such a reactor would be

suitable for Krakow. Our calculations, carried out similarly to those presented for the 50 MW module, show that 10 modules with a capacity of 77 MW each

would cover the demand for electricity and heat throughout the year, according to the current needs assessments. Thanks to this solution, 9 modules can

operate with full thermal power for 11 months, and one module can operate in January. In this version, the heat accumulator storing heat from summer to

winter should have a heat capacity of ~ 4300 TJ (~ 1.2 TWh

i

) and an area of ~ 1.8 km². The heat transfer capacities to and from the storage would be +500 and -600 MW

i

, respectively. (Fig. 7).

5. Summary And Conclusions

It should be clearly stated that many of the figures quoted here are approximate, especially since we did not include the complete diagram of the electrical and

thermal parts in the calculations. We have also omitted a detailed analysis of the devices that must and can be used, i.e. heat pumps (when receiving heat

from the store), heat exchangers, pumps driving the ow, heat transfer from turbines to the store and others. In addition, we did not take into account issues

such as costs and risk, as they were repeatedly presented and discussed in the literature. It is obvious that prices uctuate over time and the quality of new

installations improves, as does the safety of their operation. Moreover, along with the gradual depletion of the existing fuels, their prices rise, and the

harmfulness associated with their use becomes increasingly onerous. As a result, energy costs must increase. If, as we have tried to show, there is no other
reasonable and effective choice than NP, then a certain threat will have to be accepted as a result of logical analysis, devoid of unjustified fear, but based on thorough knowledge. One of the caveats that arises when discussing the use of a nuclear power plant is the scarcity of $^{235}$U. An approximate analysis shows that it should be enough for at least several dozen years. It is also possible to produce new fuels: $^{239}$Pu from $^{238}$U and $^{233}$U from $^{232}$Th, and the resources (natural uranium and thorium) are many times greater than that of $^{235}$U. Both technologies have been developed and improved for many years. Examples of the practical production of these new fission nuclides can be found in nuclear countries, i.e. USA, Russia, China, India and others. In France, $^{239}$Pu is already used as part of the reactor fuel, while India plans its nuclear power based on the fuel cycle of $^{232}$Th and $^{233}$U.

Given that the earliest commissioning of the NuScale reactor may take place in the late 2030s, it is worth starting preparatory work, in particular by educating teachers at all levels and sending talented graduates and/or students for research and industrial internships to the company that will supply the reactor. The purpose of the latter would be to jointly adapt the reactor to work in a combined heat and power plant system. An important feature of the reactor is the ability to gradually start up 12 or 10 modules to ‘smooth’ the financial burden until the power plant reaches full power. A high level of energy self-sufficiency of the city, low transmission losses from domestic electricity generation centres, stability of the reactor load and negligible air pollution would be extremely beneficial in this solution.
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Figures
Figure 1

Characteristic monthly values of electricity demand in Kraków (based on data from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019)

Figure 2

Characteristic average monthly values of heat power demand in Kraków - PZ

Figure 3

Please see the Manuscript file for the complete figure caption.
Figure 4

Surplus heat from the reactor (SMR) reduced by the monthly heat demand, i.e. the amount of heat stored in the reservoir to cover the demand for the following months. Heat from solar collectors is presented separately.

Figure 5

Resulting monthly heat transfer to the tank and the heat content of the tank, after taking into account the 5% content loss/month.

Figure 6

A simplified schematic diagram of a NuScale turbine adapted to cogeneration with steam accumulators, own illustration based on [8]. Note: HPFH, IPFH, LPFH - respectively: high pressure, intermediate, low pressure feed water heaters, GSC - gland steam condenser, CON - condenser, CONP - condensate pump, DHC – district heat consumers, NFH1, NFH2 - heating networks, FWP - feed water pump, SOL - solar collectors, HSTG - low-temperature heat storage.
Figure 7

Resulting monthly heat transfer to the storage and the heat content of the storage, after taking into account the 5% content loss/month, for the 77 MWe NuScale reactor version.
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