Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Extreme Weather Events in the Niger Delta Part of Nigeria
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Abstract: The Niger Delta is the most climate-vulnerable region in Nigeria. Flooding events are recorded annually in settlements along the River Niger and its tributaries, inundating many towns and displacing people from their homes. In this study, climate change impacts from extreme meteorological events over the period 2010–2099 are predicted and analyzed. Four coupled model intercomparison project phase 5 (CMIP5) global climate models (GCMs) under respectively concentration pathways (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) emission scenarios were used for climate change predictions. Standardized precipitation indices (SPI) of 1-month and 12-month time steps were used for extreme event assessment. Results from the climate change scenarios predict an increase in rainfall across all future periods and under both emission scenarios, with the highest projected increase during the last three decades of the century. Under the RCP8.5 emission scenario, the rainfall at Port Harcourt and Yenagoa Stations is predicted to increase by about 2.47% and 2.62% while the rainfall at Warri Station is predicted to increase by about 1.39% toward the end of the century. The 12-month SPI under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emission scenarios predict an exceedance in the extreme wet threshold (i.e., SPI > 2) during all future periods and across all study locations. These findings suggest an increasing risk of flooding within the projected periods. The finding can be useful to policymakers for the formulation and planning of flood mitigation and adaptation measures.
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1. Introduction

The industrialization of developed and developing countries increases the concentration of greenhouse gases (GHGs), which enhances climate variability [1]. Climate change has become an enormous challenge for developmental planning in many countries, especially for developing countries like Nigeria. The IPCC report [2] shows that an increase in the frequency of extreme rainfall is likely to occur in most areas during the 21st century with different emissions scenarios. Recent studies by [3,4] reported that human activities played a leading role in increasing climate change impacts. The projected results of these changes include flooding, damage to crops, soil erosion, adverse effects on surface and groundwater quality, water scarcity, water contamination, disease outbreak, loss of properties, disruption of the settlement, and other socio-economic challenges [5].

Floods are the result of weather events occurring at variable time frequencies in many areas around the world [6]. Many cities are hotspots of risk from extreme weather events, which is growing due to a combination of climate change and anthropogenic activities [7]. Different regions in Nigeria
are seasonally affected by flood, which significantly alters both the national and regional economic development depending on its severity. Studies in Nigeria [8–11] reported that flood displaces more people in the country than any other natural disaster, with an estimated 20% of the population at risk. The impacts are recognized more by vulnerable communities, who often build homes along the floodplains, causing millions of people to relocate, destroying their businesses, and polluting water resources, which increase the risk of diseases [8,12].

The Niger Delta part of Nigeria is the most vulnerable part of the country to impacts of climate change [13,14]. Flooding events are recorded annually in the coastal Niger Delta states located along the River Niger and its tributaries [13]. Devastating floods often inundate two-thirds of the coastal communities in the Niger Delta region for at least a quarter of each year [13,15–18]. Studies on the vulnerability of communities in three Niger Delta States [15,16] reported that a total of 1110 towns are at risk of being inundated and about 7,120,028 people risk displacement. It has, therefore, become very vital to study the impact of climate change on extreme weather events to predict the possible occurrence of associated risks, which can assist in the planning and management of such incidents. One of the significant effects of climate change is the variation of rainfall. As high rainfall may result in flood, its deficit may lead to drought. Therefore, the prediction of variation in future rainfall trends is necessary for assessing the impact of climate change.

The cost of climate change adaptation can significantly be reduced through adequate policies and flood control investment plans. There is, therefore, a need for systematic studies of indices that are useful for continuous monitoring of high-risk associated with future extreme weather events. The standardized precipitation index (SPI) [19,20], although developed for drought monitoring, can be successfully applied for flood monitoring purposes [6,21–23]. SPI can also serve as an indicator for the development of soil-saturation conditions, leading to flooding potential.

General circulation models (GCMs) are essential tools for assessing the impact of climate change on a range of human and natural systems [24]. Simulations at these inner scales are of considerable interest to hydrologists in assessing the possible impact of climate change on water resources and extreme weather events [25]. Different climate models have been used globally for climate impact assessment studies. Climate models, particularly the GCMs, provide an essential source of information for constructing scenarios of climate change and providing such information at a higher spatial resolution. GCMs are based on physical laws and physical-based empirical relationships and are mathematical representations of the atmosphere, ocean, and land surface processes [24].

Though few researchers [13,15–18] have studied the vulnerability of the Niger Delta States to flooding, no study has investigated the spatial and temporal variations of future extreme weather events in the context of climate change. In this study, the spatiotemporal variations in rainfall over the Niger Delta, for the observed period (1980 to 2005) and the predicted period (2010 to 2099) under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 were investigated for extreme events. The results help in the analysis of extreme weather events as part of the strategies in climate change impact mitigation.

2. Description of the Study Area

The study area is comprised by three states in the Niger Delta part of Nigeria namely the Delta, Bayelsa, and Rivers States (Figure 1), which are geographically located at a latitude of 4.15° N and a longitude of 6.01° E, and covers an area about 29,100 km². It is a low lying coastal area that is drained by the Kwa-Ibo, Imo, Bonny, and Aba Rivers and their tributaries.
The study area is classified as an equatorial climate toward the southern coast and subequatorial climate toward the northern tropical rainforest [26]. The altitude of the area under the influence of high coastal tides results in flooding, especially during the rainy season [27]. The area is characterized by typical tropical dry seasons (November to February) and wet season (March to October) with a mean annual rainfall increasing from 2000 mm around the northern fringe to about 4500 mm around the coastal margin [28]. Recent studies reported that during the last 20 years [13], a trend of increase in precipitation, flood frequencies, maximum and minimum temperature were observed over the years in the Niger Delta due to global warming, which show a clear sign of climate change with a variable future climate over the region [16–18,29].

The mean monthly temperatures are high up to 26.7 °C around March/April and as low as 24.4 °C during July/August giving a small annual range of 2.7 °C. The relative humidity of the area is relatively high, often reaching 90% and are associated with warm, wet southwesterly winds blowing inland most of the year whereas dust-laden, warm and dry northeasterly winds occasionally reach the coast for small periods of the year [30].

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Meteorological Datasets

The datasets used in this study are daily rainfall time series datasets obtained from the Climate Research Unit (CRU) for the historical periods of 1980 to 2005 over the Niger Delta part of Nigeria.
due to the scarcity of reliable long time records of hydroclimatological stations in the area. The CRU datasets are observation-based gridded rainfall and temperature datasets, which are widely used globally because of their extensive spatial and temporal coverage [31–33]. A study by [34] found the CRU datasets to be the best-fit datasets that replicate the distribution patterns, spatial, and temporal variability of the Niger Delta’s observed hydroclimatological datasets.

3.2. Coupled Model Inter-Comparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) General Circulation Models (GCM) Datasets

In this study, four GCMs of the ISI-MIP (Inter-Sectoral Impact Model Inter-comparison Project) (Table 1) and two carbon emission and historical scenarios (RCP4.5 and RCP8.5) for the years (2010–2099) were extracted at a grid resolution of 0.5° × 0.5° for the study area coordinates consistent with the observed climate datasets. These GCMs were selected based on their better performance over the Niger Delta in a study conducted by [35].

| Models            | Institute                                                                 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ACCESS1-3         | CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research Organization, Australia), and BOM (Bureau of Meteorology, Australia) |
| MIROC-ESM         | AORI, NIES and JAMSTEC                                                    |
| MIROC-ESM-CHEM    | AORI, NIES and JAMSTEC                                                    |
| NoerESM1-M        | Norwegian Climate Centre                                                  |

The RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emission scenarios were selected for this study, as these two scenarios are assumed to provide a possible complete range of impact. The RCP4.5 denotes the common pathway scenario depicting good agreement with the latest lower greenhouse gas emissions policy by the global community. In contrast, RCP8.5 denotes the business-as-usual scenario, consistent with a future with no change in climate policy on emissions reduction [36]. Therefore, as many studies recommend using ensemble results of several climate change models [37], the ensemble of the four best performing GCMs [35] was used in this study.

3.3. Bias Correction

Raw GCM outputs typically contain biases when compared with observations [38]. In this study, the CRU dataset for the periods 1980 to 2005 was used to correct the biases in the historical raw GCMs (1980–2005), which were then used to validate the bias-corrected GCM outputs. The differences in mean and variability between the raw GCM outputs and the observed CRU datasets were later used to correct the biases in the projected raw GCMs (2005–2099). The easiest and most common bias correction method, which is the multiplicative method, was adopted to correct the biases in the daily time series rainfall from the four GCM outputs [33,39,40]. In the multiplicative bias correction method, a multiplicative correction factor for each month is used, and the modified daily rainfall is expressed in Equation (1):

\[ P_{\text{corrected}} = \frac{P_{\text{GCM}ij}}{P_{\text{reference}jk}} \]

where \( P \) is the rainfall (mm day\(^{-1}\)); \( \overline{P} \) is the long-term mean rainfall; and \( i, j, k \) are the day, month, and year counters, respectively.

3.4. Meteorological Drought Assessment

The methodology adopted in this study consists of applying the standardized precipitation index (SPI) to investigate the susceptibility of the selected meteorological stations to flooding events. SPI was developed to identify meteorological flood and drought events from precipitation time series data for
monitoring and evaluation of extreme events [19,20]. A wet period for a time scale $i$ is defined as the period during which the SPI is continuously positive and reaches a value of +1 or higher [19]. SPI is calculated by taking the difference in monthly precipitation ($x_i$) from the monthly mean ($\bar{x}$), then divided by the standard deviation ($\sigma$) [21].

$$SPI = \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sigma}$$ (2)

Positive SPI values show higher precipitation than the mean, while negative values indicate less than mean precipitation [41]. In this study, the SPI index was calculated for time scales of 1-month and 12-month rainfall series for each of the selected locations. One-month SPI plots are very similar to a time series plot displaying the percentage of average rainfall for 30 days and reflect short-term conditions. A 12-month SPI indicates long-term rainfall patterns that represent a comparison of the precipitation for 12 consecutive months and that recorded in the same 12 consecutive months during all the previous years of available data [22]. In this study, the SPI package in R [42] was used for the computation analysis. Wet dynamics were graphically analyzed for each scale, each RCP emission scenario, and all the projected durations and each location. The definition of the SPI values in drought characterizations are summarized in Table 2.

| Drought Category   | SPI Value |
|--------------------|-----------|
| Extremely wet      | 2.00+     |
| Very wet           | 1.50 to 1.99 |
| Moderately wet     | 1.00 to 1.49 |
| Near normal        | −0.99 to 0.99 |
| Moderately dry     | −1.00 to −1.49 |
| Severely dry       | −1.50 to −1.99 |
| Extremely dry      | −2.00 and less |

3.5. Performance Assessment of the Models

The performance evaluation of the developed models was carried out using three statistical indicators: root mean squared error (RMSE); coefficient of correlation ($R^2$); and the Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) [43]. RMSE is considered as a robust measure of accuracy [44,45].

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{H} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_{oi} - h_{pi})^2}$$ (3)

The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) is an indicator of the strength of the relationship between the observed and simulated values, which is considered more accurate if it is approximately equal to one [43].

$$R^2 = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_{oi} - \bar{h}_o)(h_{pi} - \bar{h}_o)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_{oi} - \bar{h}_o)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_{pi} - \bar{h}_o)^2} \right]$$ (4)

The Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE) is a normalized statistic that determines the relative magnitude of the residual variance compared to the measured data variance. NSE also indicates how well the plot of the observed versus simulated data fits. NSE ranges between $-\infty$ and 1, with NSE = 1 being the optimum value. Values between 0 to 1 are viewed as an acceptable level of performance, whereas values of 0.0 indicate that the mean observed value is a better predictor than the simulated value, which indicates unacceptable performance [44].
\[ NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_{oi} - h_{pi})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_{oi} - h_{o})^2} \]  

(5)

where \( h_{oi} \) is the observed precipitation at the \( i \)th time; \( h_{pi} \) is the predicted rainfall at the \( i \)th time; \( h_{o} \) is the mean of the observed rainfall levels; \( h_{p} \) is the mean of the predicted rainfall, and \( N \) is the total number of observations.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Performance Assessment of GCM Ensemble

The performance of the four GCM ensemble models during the historical period (1980–2005) was assessed using the root mean square error (RMSE), coefficient of correlation (\( R^2 \)), and Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE). The results obtained are summarized in Table 3, and the mean monthly distribution of observed and ensemble rainfall in Figure 2a–f shows that the ensemble model performed very well in depicting the observed CRU datasets at each of the three study locations. The statistical indicators showed a low RMSE with high NSE and \( R^2 \) values across all of the study locations, which indicate that the model is fit for simulations/projections of the area’s future rainfall.

Table 3. Performance assessment of rainfall in the general circulation model (GCM) ensemble.

| Location     | RMSE | NSE | \( R^2 \) |
|--------------|------|-----|----------|
| Port Harcourt| 78.49| 0.59| 0.84     |
| Yenagoa      | 62.29| 0.74| 0.92     |
| Warri        | 75.54| 0.64| 0.85     |

4.2. Observed and Predicted Rainfall Scenarios

The distribution of mean monthly observed and predicted rainfall scenarios in the study locations are shown in Figure 2a–f, respectively. The projected models were grouped into three time periods of 2010–2039, 2040–2069, and 2070–2099. The monthly distribution, as presented in Figure 2, shows that the projected rainfall, in comparison with the observed rainfall, predicts an increasing trend across all stations and all predicted durations. The highest increase across all three stations was projected to be during the 2070–2099 period. In Port-Harcourt, the rainfall is projected to increase by 1.06% under RCP4.5, and 2.47% under RCP8.5. Yenagoa is also projected to experience an increase of about 1.27% under RCP4.5 and 2.62% under RCP8.5. In comparison, Warri Station is also predicted to experience an increase of about 1.24% under RCP4.5, and 1.39% under RCP8.5 toward the end of the century, as shown in Figure 3. This projected increase in rainfall confirms the report of the IPCC [25] in response to greenhouse gases forcing, which is consistent with other parts of the world [46–49].
Figure 2. Seasonal variation of observed and predicted precipitation in (a) Yenagoa-RCP4.5, (b) Yenagoa-RCP8.5, (c) Warri-RCP4.5, (d) Warri-RCP8.5, (e) Port Harcourt-RCP4.5 and (f) Port Harcourt-RCP8.5, during the future periods.

Figure 3. Percentage increase in predicted future rainfall in comparison with the observed rainfall across the study locations under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emission scenarios.

4.3. Meteorological Drought Assessment

The 1-month and 12-month time-steps in the standardized precipitation index were calculated for the base period (1980–2005) and the predicted duration (2010–2099) across the three study locations. Results of the computed SPI for the base period is shown in Figure 4a,b. In contrast, the results of all the projected periods divided into thirty year time periods (2010–2039, 2040–2069, and 2070–2099) across all stations, and both emission scenarios are shown in Figures 5–7. The most striking characteristic is
the change in frequency as the time scale changes, as shown in all figures. On the 1-month scale, the wet frequency increases, but its duration decreases while in the 12-month time scale, the wet events become less frequent but last longer. This trend implies that on the shorter time scales, the wet events become more frequent but last for a short period. The figures show that extremely wet weather (i.e., SPI > 2, as classified in Table 2) is likely to occur across most of the stations, especially when using the 12-month time steps. However, all the figures show that very wet event weather (i.e., 1.5 > SPI < 1.99, as classified in Table 2) is expected to occur across all the study locations almost on an annual basis and all the predicted durations using all the time steps. Using the 1-month and 12-month SPI, three well-defined extremely wet weather events were identified in all three locations from the base period series analyzed, which depicted three major floods associated with three of the weather events (Figure 4a,b).

Figure 4. Computed standardized precipitation index (SPI) for the base period across the study locations.

Analysis of SPI variability for the period 2010 to 2039 across the study area shows that the 1-month SPI predicted an exceedance in extreme wet event threshold (i.e., SPI > 2) at Yenagoa Station once under RCP4.5 (Figure 5a) and twice under RCP8.5 (Figure 5c) during the thirty year mean. The 12-month SPI under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 in Figures 5b,d also predict an exceedance in extreme wet event threshold (i.e., SPI > 2) twice during the thirty year mean, similar to the baseline period. No station predicted the risk of severe dryness (i.e., −1.0 > SPI < 1.49) using the 1-month SPI. However, the 12-month SPI suggests that during those periods (2010–2039), the climate at Warri and Port Harcourt Stations exceeds the severely dry threshold (i.e., 1.5 > SPI < 1.99) once under RCP4.5 and three times under RCP8.5 (Figure 5d) for the thirty year mean period.

Attention has not been given to flood intensity measurements and records storage in Nigeria. Hence, no historical datasets are available to validate the findings of the historical period. However, the findings of this study match those of the reported extreme flood incidents [9,11] in the study area during the 1999 and 2001 rainstorms, which submerged houses, schools, markets, farmlands, and displacement of over 425,839.5 people in Delta State between March 1999 and April 2001. Additionally, in Bayelsa State, over 273,266 people were displaced by floods in 1999, and 382,000 people in March 2001 [10,11]. Comparisons of the reported extreme weather events across the study locations with the computed extremely wet events (i.e., SPI > 2) during the historical period in Figure 4 confirm the findings of the base period.
RCP4.5 (Figure 5a) and twice under RCP8.5 (Figure 5c) during the thirty year mean. The 12-month SPI under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 in Figure 5b,d also predict an exceedance in extreme wet event threshold (i.e., SPI > 2) twice during the thirty year mean, similar to the baseline period. No station predicted the risk of severe dryness (i.e., −1.0 > SPI < 1.49) using the 1-month SPI. However, the 12-month SPI suggests that during those periods (2010–2039), the climate at Warri and Port Harcourt Stations exceeds the severely dry threshold (i.e., 1.5 > SPI < 1.99) once under RCP4.5 and three times under RCP8.5 (Figure 5d) for the thirty year mean period.

Figure 5. Computed (a) 1-month RCP4.5 SPI, (b) 12-month RCP4.5 SPI, (c) 1-month RCP8.5 SPI, and (d) 12-month RCP8.5 SPI for the projected periods from 2010 to 2039 across the study locations.
Figure 6. Computed (a) 1-month RCP4.5 SPI, (b) 12-month RCP4.5 SPI, (c) 1-month RCP8.5 SPI, and (d) 12-month RCP8.5 SPI for the projected periods from 2040 to 2069 across the study locations.
Figure 7. Computed (a) 1-month RCP4.5 SPI, (b) 12-month RCP4.5 SPI, (c) 1-month RCP8.5 SPI, and (d) 12-month RCP8.5 SPI for the projected periods from 2070 to 2099 across the study locations.

For the periods covering 2040 to 2069, (Figure 6a–d), the 12-month SPI under the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emission scenarios projected an exceedance in the extreme wet event threshold (i.e., SPI > 2) twice during the thirty year mean. However, no station showed a risk of severe dryness (i.e., −1.0 > SPI < 1.49) under RCP4.5. The 12-month SPI under the RCP8.5 (Figure 6d) emission scenario predicted the
risk of a severely dry event (i.e., $-1.0 > \text{SPI} < 1.49$) once during the thirty year mean and across all study locations. Similarly, during the periods covering 2070 to 2099, the 1-month time step SPI in Figure 7a,c under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 projected an exceedance in the extreme wet threshold (i.e., $\text{SPI} > 2$) once during the thirty year mean. However, the 12-month SPI predicted the exceedance in the extreme wet threshold (i.e., $\text{SPI} > 2$) twice under RCP4.5 (Figure 7b), and three times under RCP8.5 (Figure 7d) during the thirty year mean. The drought magnitude at the Port Harcourt and Warri Stations exceeded the severely dry threshold (i.e., $1.5 > \text{SPI} < 1.99$) once under RCP4.5 and three times under RCP8.5 for the thirty year (2070 to 2099) mean.

5. Discussion

Adaptation and Planning Strategy

It is evident in several studies [13,15–18] that the Niger Delta experiences periodic vulnerability in terms of flooding, rendering millions of people homeless by submerging their houses, schools, and markets and destroying their farmlands, which is often attributed to climate change. The geographical location of the study area being a low-lying part of Nigeria exacerbates the situation, causing the area to be easily submerged during heavy rainfall events. The condition of such areas have forced people to adapt to the frequent seasonal flooding rather than control or mitigating measures. Hence, studies geared toward extreme weather events projections in low-lying areas are necessary. This will create awareness for an urgent collaborative effort between government, stakeholders, and other relevant agencies to support town planning, and in developing strategic plans for mitigating the possible impacts of extreme weather events in the Niger Delta to prevent its long-range consequences. Prevention of common practices such as construction in flood-prone zones should be encouraged to ensure an adequate buffer along the river channels.

The projected increase in rainfall across the three study locations confirmed the IPCC [25] report as well as the results in [26,35,50–52] for this region. These findings highlight that the region might be at risk of extreme wet events, which could result in the risk of flooding within the projected periods. These might pose a considerable threat to lives and properties, which might consequently harm the socio-economic growth and livelihood of the region [13,15–18,29]. Therefore, routine monitoring and measurement of water levels in rivers, streams, and dams, especially along the Niger River for potential risk of flooding should be enforced to forestall the possible disaster. Sufficient information or warning systems could be put in place across the years predicted by this study to educate the public against the dangers of flooding as well as the government policies for its mitigation adaptation measures.

6. Conclusions

In determining the potential impact of climate change on extreme events in the Niger Delta part of Nigeria, rainfall has been projected from 2010 to 2099 using an ensemble of four GCMs under RCP4.5 and 8.5 emission scenarios. The results showed an increase in the projected future rainfall scenarios across all study locations, which suggest a wetter future in the coastal Niger Delta. The extreme weather events at the stations were investigated using the standardized precipitation index (SPI). The SPI index projects an extreme wet event at least once under RCP4.5 and three times under RCP8.5 for the thirty year mean across the study locations. These findings suggest high rainfall in the future climate of this area across all of the projected durations. Hence, adequate plans for flood mitigation should be put in place. The findings can also be useful to policymakers for the formulation and planning of mitigation and adaptation measures for climate change.
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