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ABSTRACT

Background & Aim: Diabetes is one of the most common chronic metabolic disorders, and one of the essential health-related challenges in today’s world. In addition to different kinds of disabilities, diabetes complications can severely impact the quality of life of patients. The present systematic review and meta-analysis are aimed at examining the quality of life of Iranian patients who have type 2 diabetes based on the World Health Organization Quality of Life-BREF.

Methods & Materials: A total of 16 articles published in Persian and English were reviewed without any time limitation. The search was conducted in Iranian databases, including Scientific Information Database, Magiran, and IranMedex; and international databases, including Google Scholar, Web of Science, Pub Med, and Scopus. The data was examined using the meta-analysis method and the random-effects model. Heterogeneity was assessed using the F statistic. The analyses were conducted in Stata, version 11.

Results: The mean quality of life score for patients with type 2 diabetes was 61.90 (95% confidence interval: 54.40-69.40). The highest and lowest quality of life scores were for social support (49.19) and mental health (42.96) dimensions, respectively. No significant association was found between the mean quality of life score and year of publication, methodological quality, and mean age of participants (P>0.05).

Conclusion: Given that patients with type 2 diabetes have a lower quality of life, especially in terms of mental health, it seems necessary to better understand psychological problems common in this group of patients and design strategies to overcome them.

Introduction

Diabetes is a common chronic metabolic disorder and one of the most critical health, financial, and social challenges in today's world (1). The prevalence of diabetes is rising due to different factors, including population increase and aging, obesity, and poor nutrition (2). According to the WHO, the number of people with diabetes has increased from 422 million in 2014 to 108 million in 1980 (3) and is expected to rise to 4.4% of the world’s population by 2030 (4). In Iran, the number of people with diabetes is projected to rise to more than 6 million by 2030 (5). Among different types of diabetes, the prevalence of type 2 diabetes (T2D) is increasing. This type of diabetes is due to insufficient insulin production or low insulin sensitivity of body cells and has the highest incidence rate among different types of diabetes (6).

Diabetes can lead to cardiovascular disease, kidney failure, stroke, eye disorders, neuropathy, and cognitive disorders (7). Not only diabetes...
complications can lead to early or delayed impairments, but also they can significantly reduce the quality of life (QOL) of patients (8).

QOL is a subjective, multifaceted, and utterly personal concept that is defined as one’s overall perception of life, values, goals, standards, and interests (9). It refers to one’s perception of their existing and ideal conditions (10). Reduced QOL in patients with T2D can influence different aspects of their lives, including their social performance, self-care abilities, and adherence to treatment (8). Therefore, improving the QOL of these patients is one of the main objectives of controlling diabetes (5). Considering that chronic disorders have no absolute cures, the examination of QOL of patients with chronic disorders is regarded as one of the most important goals of therapeutic interventions (11).

Various instruments have been designed to assess QOL, and one of the best instruments in this domain is the WHO Quality of Life-BREF (WHOQOL-BREF). It has been validated in more than 15 countries and translated into 40 languages. It is easily administered because it does not have too many items, and each item has been designed based on the statements of patients suffering from disorders with different severity levels, healthy people, and health-care experts. Therefore, the WHOQOL-BREF can be used in different cultures and to compare different groups of people (12). It is worth to note that the QOL of diabetic patients using the SF-36 has previously been published in a systematic review and meta-analysis (13).

Given that the control of chronic disorders is of high importance, and because patients with T2D often experience reduced QOL, it is necessary to understand the effects of T2D on the life of patients and assess their QOL (14). On the other hand, not paying attention to QOL can lead to hopelessness, lack of motivation, and reduced financial, social, and health activities, especially in patients with T2D. Based on what was mentioned, it seems necessary to provide accurate and reliable findings of this issue. Therefore the goal of the present study is to examine the QOL of Iranian patients with T2D using the WHOQOL-BREF.

Methods

Search strategy

This is a systematic review and meta-analysis aimed at examining the QOL of patients with T2D by reviewing Iranian articles published in Persian or English. Search for articles was conducted in Iranian databases (SID, Magiran, and IranMedex and international databases (Google Scholar, Web of Science, Pub Med, and Scopus), without time limitation.

The following keywords were used in the search process: Health-Related Quality of Life, Quality of life, HRQOL, Diabetes, Diabetes Mellitus, T2DM, WHOQOL-BREF, and Iran. The keywords used in the Persian databases were equivalents of the English keywords. Search in Pub Med was as follows:

("Health-Related Quality of Life"[All Fields] OR "Quality of Life"[All Fields] OR ("quality of life"[MeSH Terms] OR ("quality"[All Fields] AND "life"[All Fields])) OR "quality of life"[All Fields] OR "HRQOL"[All Fields]) AND "Diabetes Mellitus"[All Fields] AND WHOQOL-BREF [All Fields] AND ("Iran"[MeSH Terms] OR "Iran"[All Fields]). It is worth
to note that the reference lists were examined to find more related articles.

Study selection and data extraction

In the first step, two researchers independently examined the titles and abstracts and selected articles based on the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were observational studies, published in Persian and English, focused on an Iranian statistical population, and available full text. The exclusion criteria were qualitative studies, interventional studies, review studies, and letters to the editor. Because Iranian databases do not save and provide grey literature, dissertations and conference papers were excluded. Two independent researchers examined the articles included in the meta-analysis, and their data was extracted. A five-item instrument was used to examine the methodological quality of the articles. This instrument assessed the following aspects: study design, comparison groups, participants’ characteristics, sample size, and psychometric properties (15, 16). Also, a researcher-made sheet was used to extract the following information for each article: name of the first author, year of publication, sample size, place of study, participants’ age and gender, methodological quality, and reported means and standard deviations for QOL and its dimensions.

Statistical analysis

Because QOL and its dimensions had quantitative scores, the mean and standard deviation of QOL was extracted from each study. The variance of means was calculated using the normal distribution. The Cochran’s Q test and the I² statistic were used to assess heterogeneity.

According to the Higgins et al.’s recommendation (2009), when I² is higher than 50% or when a p-value of less than 0.05 is obtained for the Cochran’s Q Test, the random-effects model should be used to estimate the pooled variance of QOL scores, otherwise the fixed effects model should be used (17). The sensitivity analysis was used to examine the stability of results. The meta-regression model was used to explore the relationship of the overall QOL score with mean age of participants, year of publication, sample size, and methodological quality. Publication bias was assessed using the Egger’s funnel plot. The analyses were performed using Stata software, version 11, and using the “,” commands.

Results

In the first search, 534 articles were found, of which 461 articles were excluded due to unrelated subjects. Among the remaining 73 articles, another 57 articles were excluded for the following reasons: use of other instruments to gather data (45 articles), use of unspecified instruments (5 articles), problems about the validation of the instruments used (4 articles), and use of researcher-made instruments (3 articles). Finally, a total of 16 articles consisting of 18 groups of patients with T2D were included in the analysis (Figure 1).

The highest had the smallest sample sizes for the studies by EydiBaygi et al. (14) and Gholami et al. (18), with sample sizes of 50 and 1847, respectively. In terms of methodological quality, two studies by Derakhshanpoor et al. (19, 20) and the study by EydiBaygi et al. (14) had high methodological quality, and the rest of the articles had average methodological quality.
Overall, 16 articles (18 groups of patients with T2D) with a total sample size of 4826 (302 participants per study) were systematically reviewed. The detailed description of articles is presented in Table 1. The mean QOL of patients with T2D was 61.90 (95% confidence interval: 54.40-69.40). Using the linear transformation method, the estimated score was transformed to a standard score based on 100; this showed that the patients with T2D obtained 34.5 of the overall score of QOL (100).

The subgroup analysis by Iran’s regions showed that the highest (72.67 with 95% confidence interval: 58.73-86.62) and lowest (54.14 with 95% confidence interval: 49.93-56.35) QOL scores among patients with T2D were for the regions 2 and the regions 3 and 5, respectively.

Also, the mean QOL score was lower in the articles published in English (55.13 with 95% confidence interval: 51.58-56.70) than in those published in Persian (61.89 with 95% confidence interval: 54.39-69.39). Also, the subgroup analysis by QOL dimensions showed that the highest scores were for social support (49.19 with 95% confidence interval: 29.84-68.55) and the lowest scores were for mental health (42.96 with 95% confidence interval: 31.86-54.06) (Table 2).

Results of meta-regression analysis indicated no significant relationship between the mean QOL score and year of publication (p=0.273), sample size (p=0.223), methodological quality (p=0.786), and mean age of participants (p=0.495). Also, the publication bias was significant (p=0.016) (Figure 3).
Table 1. Description of the selected articles

| First author | Year | Sample size | Women (n) | Age (Mean) | Location | Language | Quality | Total QOL | Quality Of Life Dimensions |
|--------------|------|-------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Mamaghanian (21) | 2017 | 394         | 260       | 56.67      | Tabriz   | English  | 8       | 52.11±11.53 | 58.48±10.48 | 38.32±16.74 | 60.38±14.54 | 51.24±13.26 |
| Arbabi (8) | 2017 | 100         | 63        | 57.92      | Zabol    | Persian  | 8       | 232.21±43.65 | 56.15±16.22 | 71.58±18.57 | 59.21±13.58 | 53.79±12.96 |
| Parsa (22) | 2017 | 112         | 56        | -          | Hamedan  | English  | 7       | 51.7±22.5   | 54.8±18.3  | 60.1±22.2  | 54.5±2.0    | 50.9±20.0   |
| Tavakkoli (23) | 2017 | 200         | 157       | 54.91      | Kerman   | English  | 8       | -          | 56.47±11.03 | 54.63±18.78 | 53.94±12.59 | 54.61±11.98 |
| Babazadeh (24) | 2017 | 120         | 56        | 46.30      | West Azerbaijan | English  | 8       | 49.30±12.20 | -          | -          | -          | -          |
| Aghayousefi (5) | 2017 | 234         | 147       | 44.8       | Lamerd   | Persian  | 9       | 79.77±14.33 | 24.6±5.22  | 9.43±2.34  | 18.94±4.15  | 20.56±5.09  |
| Didarkoo (25) | 2016 | 352         | 352       | 43.00      | Khoy     | English  | 8       | 58.02±17.63 | 21.81±5.73 | 8.52±2.44  | 18.48±4.07  | 19.29±5.49  |
| Shahbazi (26) | 2016 | 145         | 82        | 56.77      | Khorramshahr | Persian  | 7       | 74.44±1.65  | -          | -          | -          | -          |
| Shamshirgan (27) | 2016 | 300         | 217       | 54.13      | Ardabil  | English  | 8       | 53.07±17.09 | 57.10±10.52 | 45.68±17.25 | 54.96±12.73 | 55.48±11.97 |
| Derakhshapooren (20) | 2015 | 138         | 74        | 49.9       | Golestan | English  | 13      | 60.5±13.3   | 52.7±19.1  | 90.2±15.9  | 46.0±2.5    | 64.8±21.3   |
| Derakhshanpour (20) | 2015 | 192         | 118       | 51.0       | Golestan | English  | 13      | 50.7±14.0   | 43.4±21.5  | 90.5±14.4  | 36.8±2.1    | 58.3±22.8   |
| Eydibaygi (14) | 2014 | 50          | 22        | 46.42      | Ahvaz    | Persian  | 10      | 73.91±14.85 | 24.28±4.93 | 9.15±2.49  | 19.39±4.32  | 21.06±4.83  |
| Ramezanzhani (28) | 2014 | 330         | 197       | 53.22      | Bandar Abbas | Persian  | 9       | 229.52±41.67 | 53.65±14.52 | 68.27±16.89 | 56.41±11.66 | 51.18±10.81 |
| Ghassemzadeh (29) | 2013 | 93          | 59        | 74.2       | Tehran   | English  | 10      | 10.95±2.01  | 10.75±1.94 | 9.77±2.63  | 10.97±2.47  | 11.89±2.19  |
| Ghassemzadeh (29) | 2013 | 93          | 39        | 67.17      | Tehran   | English  | 10      | 12.43±1.84  | 11.35±2.29 | 11.66±2.89 | 12.73±2.33  | 14.06±2.71  |
| Gholami (18) | 2013 | 1847        | 1289      | 59.65      | Neyshabur | English  | 9       | 12.18±2.3  | 12.44±2.19 | 12.66±2.94 | 11.73±2.50  | 11.87±2.69  |
| Mehrabzadeh Honarmand (30) | 2013 | 50          | 22        | 47.7       | Ahvaz    | Persian  | 11      | 73.91±14.85 | -          | -          | -          | -          |
| Shahab Jahanlou (31) | 2011 | 76          | 46        | 47         | Bandar Abbas | English  | 9       | 65.54±10.52 | 62.87       | 69.37±1.88 | 66.52±12.25 | 65.45±9.81  |

Table 2. Mean QOL score for patients with T2D by different variables.

| Variable | Average Score | Confidence Interval95% | Heterogeneity |
|----------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|
| **QOL Dimensions** |               | Upper | Lower | I² | Q | P  |
| Environment | 47.31 | 55.53 | 31.90 | 99.9 | 6255.62 | 0.001 |
| Social Support | 49.19 | 68.55 | 29.84 | 100 | 69140.89 | 0.001 |
| Mental Health | 42.96 | 54.06 | 31.86 | 100 | 7207.60 | 0.001 |
| Physical Health | 46.83 | 58 | 35.65 | 99.9 | 6809.81 | 0.001 |
| **Regions** |               | Upper | Lower | I² | Q | P  |
| Region 1 | 55.58 | 65.19 | 45.98 | 97.6 | 41.71 | 0.001 |
| Region 2 | 72.67 | 86.62 | 58.73 | 98.8 | 86.78 | 0.001 |
| Region 3 and 5 | 53.14 | 56.35 | 49.93 | 92.8 | 41.92 | 0.001 |
| Region 4 | 68.57 | 77.67 | 59.47 | 97.4 | 114.01 | 0.001 |
| **Publishing language** |               | Upper | Lower | I² | Q | P  |
| English | 55.13 | 58.70 | 51.56 | 96.2 | 182.46 | 0.001 |
| Persian | 61.89 | 69.39 | 54.39 | 90.6 | 31.88 | 0.001 |

**Region 1**: Tehran, Alborz, Mazandaran, Semnan, Golestan, and Qom; **Region 2**: Isfahan, Fars, Bushehr, Chahar Mahal and Bakhtiari, Hormozgan, and Kohgiluyeh and Boyer-Ahmad; **Region 3**: East Azerbaijan, West Azerbaijan, Ardabil, Zanjan, Gilan, and Kurdistan; **Region 4**: Kermanshah, Ilam, Lorestan, Hamedan, Markazi, and Khuzestan; **Region 5**: Razavi Khorasan, South Khorasan, North Khorasan, Kerman, Yazd and Sistan & Baluchestan.
Figure 2. Mean QOL of patients with T2D based on the random-effects model. The middle point of each line segment shows the mean QOL of patients with T2D, and the diamond shows the mean of QOL for all the studies.

Figure 3. Publication bias
Discussion

The present systematic review and meta-analysis was aimed at assessing the QOL of Iranian patients suffering from type 2 diabetes (T2D) based on the WHOQOL-BREF. A total of 16 articles with a total sample size of 4826 were analyzed. The mean score of QOL was 61.90, that is 34.5 points lower than the overall score (100). Obtaining two-thirds of the overall score shows that Iranian patients with T2D experience low to average levels of QOL. In line with this finding, a previous systematic review and meta-analysis by Mokhtari et al. (2019) showed that patients with T2D had average levels of QOL (13). The highest and lowest QOL scores were for social support and mental health dimensions. Shao et al. (2017) showed that blood sugar control was better in patients with higher social support than those with lower social support (32). Given that social support can encourage healthy behavior and treatment-seeking behavior, it is expected that patients with higher social support experience better QOL (33). Miller and Davis (2005) referred to social support as a critical element of managing diabetes (34). It appears that the more a diabetic patient receive social support from people around them, especially from family members, the more they are motivated to engage in self-care behavior, including adherence to treatment and adherence to diet and exercise; this gives them more ability to manage their illness.

By breaking the patient and impairing their abilities, a chronic disorder like diabetes gradually lead to behavioral, emotional, and social problems in the patient (35). The unpleasant symptoms of diabetes together with the labeling by the society, can threaten the patient’s identity and cause them to experience negative emotions (30). Severe career limitations, taking diabetes medication and injecting insulin on a daily basis, long-term complications of diabetes, such as nephropathy, neuropathy, cardiovascular disease, and brain problems can reduce the QOL of patients, and threaten their mental health (36). Daily challenges, side effects of medications, and high costs of treatment may lead to psychological distress in patients with diabetes. In their qualitative study, Rezaei et al. (2019) found that some Iranian couples still believed that diabetes was contagious; they pointed out that this incorrect belief had negative impacts on the psychological wellbeing of patients with diabetes (37). Overall, due to struggling with their illness and trying to manage it, patients with diabetes often feel hopeless; this can have adverse effects on their psychological and social feeling of wellness. Therefore, they may experience significantly lower levels of QOL than people without diabetes (30).

The results by region showed that patients living in the regions 3 and 5 of Iran had lower levels of QOL than those living in the other regions. This can be attributed to differences between Iran’s regions in people’s knowledge on diabetes, their attitude toward it, and available self-care training for patients with diabetes; these can lead to differences in factors determining the QOL of patients with diabetes (38). Another explanation for this finding could be the lack of access to proper health facilities and the lower socioeconomic status of the regions 3 and 5 compared to other regions.

The study results indicated that patients with T2D experience relatively low levels of QOL. Given that the lowest scores were for mental health, it seems necessary to
better understand their psychological problems, and provide them with preventive programs focused on increasing social support, physical activities, controlling the metabolic indicators, etc.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank all the authors whose articles were reviewed in the present study.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Miller GF, Coffield E, Leroy Z, Wallin R. Prevalence and costs of five chronic conditions in children. The Journal of School Nursing. 2016 Oct;32(5):357-64.
2. Ahmed N, Choe Y, Mustad VA, Chakraborty S, Goates S, Luo M, Mechanick JI. Impact of malnutrition on survival and healthcare utilization in Medicare beneficiaries with diabetes: a retrospective cohort analysis. BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care. 2018 Feb 1;6(1):e000471.
3. World Health Organization. Global report on diabetes: World Health Organization. 2016.
4. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes care. 2004 May 1;27(5):1047-53.
5. Aghayousefi A, Amini F, Dehestani M, Salary S. The Role of Perceived Social Support and Resilience in Predicting the Quality of Life in patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Iranian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2017 Feb 15;18(5):334-42.
6. Cantley J, Ashcroft FM. Q&A: insulin secretion and type 2 diabetes: why do β-cells fail?. BMC biology. 2015 Dec;13(1):33.
7. Sayin N, Kara N, Pekel G. Ocular complications of diabetes mellitus. World journal of diabetes. 2015 Feb 15;6(1):92.
8. Arbabi H, Mansouri A, Shahdadi H, Nooshirvani S, Poodineh Moghadam M. The Relationship Between Spiritual Health and Quality of Life in Patients with Type II Diabetes Referring to Diabetes Clinic of Zabol. J Diabetes Nurs. 2017;5(3):212-22.
9. Ihsan N, Aziz B. A Multidimensional Analysis of Quality of Life: Pakistan’s Context. Social Indicators Research. 2019 Feb 1:1-27.
10. Farajzadeh M, Gheslagh RG, Sayehmiri K. Health related quality of life in Iranian elderly citizens: a systematic review and meta-analysis. International journal of community based nursing and midwifery. 2017 Apr;5(2):100.
11. Sloan RA, Sawada SS, Martin CK, Haaland B. Combined association of fitness and central adiposity with health-related quality of life in healthy Men: a cross-sectional study. Health and quality of life outcomes. 2015 Dec;13(1):188.
12. Nejat SA, Montazeri A, Holakouie Naieni K, Mohammad KA, Majdzadeh SR. The World Health Organization quality of Life (WHOQOL-BREF) questionnaire: Translation and validation study of the Iranian version. Journal of School of Public Health and Institute of Public Health Research. 2006 Jul 15;4(4):1-2.
13. Mokhtari Z, Gheslagh RG, Kurdi A. Health-related quality of life in Iranian patients with type 2 diabetes: An updated meta-analysis. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2019;13(1):402-7.
14. EydiBaygi M, Mehrabizade M, Davoudi I, Ahmadi V, Dehghanizade Z. Comparison the quality of life in patients with diabetes type 2 and non-diabetic individuals. scientific journal of ilam university of medical sciences. 2014 Oct 15;22(5):55-62.
15. Dehvan F, Mokhtari Z, Aslani M, Ebtetakar F, Ghanem Gheslagh R. The prevalence of needlestick injury in students of medical sciences universities: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of Hayat. 2018 Jul 1:140-51.
16. Dehvan F, Monjazebi F, Khanghah ME, Mohammadi H, Gheslagh R, Kurdi A. Adequacy of dialysis in Iranian patients undergoing hemodialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nephro-Urology Monthly. 2018 Sep 17;10(5).
17. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Spiegelhalter DJ. A re-evaluation of random-effects meta-analysis. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society). 2009 Jan;172(1):137-59.
18. Gholami A, Azini M, Borji A, Shirazi F, Sharafi Z, Zarei E. Quality of life in patients
with type 2 diabetes: application of WHOQOL-BREF scale. Shiraz E-Medical Journal. 2013;14(3):162-71.

19. Derakhshanpoor F, Farsinia M, Shahini N. Relationship between anxiety disorders and life quality in type two diabetic patients. Journal of Research Development in Nursing & Midwifery. 2015 Apr 1;12(1):94-102.

20. Derakhshanpour F, Vakili MA, Farsinia M, Mirkarimi K. Depression and quality of life in patients with type 2 diabetes. Iranian Red Crescent Medical Journal. 2015;17(5):e27676.

21. Mamaghanian A, Shamshirgaran SM, Aminisani N, Aliaasarzadeh A. Clinico-epidemiological factors of health related quality of life among people with type 2 diabetes. World journal of diabetes. 2017;8(8):407-13.

22. Parsa P, Ahmadinia-Tabesh R, Mohammadi Y, Khorami N. Investigating the relationship between quality of life with lipid and glucose levels in Iranian diabetic patients. Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2017;11:S879-S83.

23. Tavakkoli L, Dehghan A. Compare the quality of life in type 2 diabetic patients with healthy individuals (application of WHOQOL-BREF). Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. 2017;19(2):e5882.

24. Babazadeh T, Dianatinasab M, Daemi A, Nikbakht HA, Moradi F, Ghaffari-fam S. Association of Self-Care Behaviors and Quality of Life among Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Chaldoran County, Iran. Diabetes Metab J. 2017;41(6):449-56.

25. Didarloo A, Alizadeh M. Health-related quality of life and its determinants among women with diabetes mellitus: A cross-sectional analysis. Nursing and midwifery studies. 2016;5(1):e28937.

26. Shahbazi H, Shakerinejad G, Ghajari H, Ghofranipour F, Lottifzadeh M. Relationship of Spirituality and Quality of Life in Patients with Type 2 diabetes. Iranian Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism. 2016;17(5):345-52 [Persian].

27. Shamshirgaran SM, Ataei J, Alamdari MI, Safaeian A, Aminisani N. Predictors of health-related quality of life among people with type II diabetes Mellitus in Ardabil, Northwest of Iran, 2014. Primary care diabetes. 2016;10(4):244-50.

28. Ramezankhani A, Hatami H. Association between spiritual health and quality of life in patients with type 2 diabetes in Bandar Abbas, Iran. Bimonthly Journal of Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences. 2014;18(3):210-8 [Persian].

29. Ghassemzadeh R, Nasseh H, Arastoo AA, Kamali M, Foroushani AR, Arzaghi M. Quality of life in elderly diabetic: comparison between home and nursing home. Acta Medica Iranica. 2013;51(4):254-9.

30. Mehrabizadeh Honarmand M, Eydi Baygi M, Davodi I. Comparing the quality of life and mental health of patients with diabetes type I, II and non-diabetic individuals in Ahwaz. Iran J Res Behav Sci. 2013;10(7):654-62.

31. Shahab Jahanlou A, Ghofranipour F, Kimmiai M, Vafaei M, Heydari A, Sobhani A. Can quality of life questionnaires be used in diabetics to assess the relation between HbA1c and patients’ domain aspects? Acta Medica Iranianica. 2011;49(4):246-51.

32. Shao Y, Liang L, Shi L, Wan C. The Effect of Social Support on Glycemic Control in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: The Mediating Roles of Self-Efficacy and Adherence. 2017;2017:2804178.

33. Chew B, Kho K, Chia Y. Social support and glycemic control in adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health. 2015;27(2):NP166-NP73.

34. Miller CK, Davis MS. The influential role of social support in diabetes management. Topics in Clinical Nutrition. 2005;20(2):157-65.

35. Rahimi E, Abyar Z, Homam Zakeri langroudi A, N Z. Comparison of General health, Mental Health and Coping Strategies in Patients with Diabetes type 1 & 2 and Patients with Multiple Sclerosis. Health Research Journal. 2016;2(1):33-41.

36. Göz F, Karaoz S, Goz M, Ekz S, Cetin I. Effects of the diabetic patients’ perceived social support on their quality-of-life. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2007;16(7):1353-60.

37. Rezaei M, Valiee S, Tahan M, Ebtkefar F, Gheshlagh RG. Barriers of medication adherence in patients with type-2 diabetes: a pilot qualitative study. Diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity: targets and therapy. 2019;12:589.

38. Seyedosshohadaee M, Barasteh S, Jalalinia F, Eghbali M, Nezami M. The relationship between health literacy and self-care behaviors in patients with type 2 diabetes. Iranian Journal of Nursing Research. 2016;10(4):43-51.