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Abstract

A comprehensive study on the methanol with three different types of Catalytic coatings assisted by Glow Plug is discussed in this paper. A four stroke single cylinder water cooled D.I diesel engine was adopted for the experiment. The present study aims to evaluate the Performance and Emission characteristics level of methanol fuel with three types of catalytic coatings assisted by Glow Plug. The catalytic coatings have established enhanced rate of combustion, which results in increased brake thermal efficiency. These methods of coating are selected based on generally available technologies and reviews of several researchers through their literature reviews. In this study, the diesel engine was tested using methanol coated with three types of catalytic coatings namely Copper, Aluminium oxide, and Chromium oxide and assisted by Glow Plug respectively. The influence of various catalytic coatings in competition chamber (cylinder head inner surface, piston, valve) results in tremendous changes in the various parameters of the GHISI engine. The Exhaust temperature for Copper coating was higher compared to Aluminium oxide coating and Chromium oxide coating. It is noticed that, brake thermal efficiency was thus improved in almost all operation conditions with the Methanol fuels. The HC and CO emissions are higher at Methanol fuelled with Copper coating. NOx and Smoke emissions are lower for Chromium oxide coating compared to Copper coating and Aluminium oxide coating. Among all coatings, Copper coating exhibits better efficiency and lower emission compared to other coatings.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Alternate fuels gained attention day by day around the globe due to their added advantages over fossil fuel. Alcohol fuels, one among the alternate fuel, offer potential to mitigate national security and economic concerns over fuel supplies as well as environmental concerns over tailpipe emissions. Last several years of automotive research, a variety of alcohol fuels—primarily methanol, ethanol and blends with hydrocarbon fuels have been investigated. The results showed better emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and particulate matter (PM) as well as moderately improved brake thermal efficiency Nabi MN et al. [1], Miyamoto N et al[2], Baranescu R etal[3], Dhaliwal B et al [4]. In spite of this, notably cold starting has limited the widespread use of neat alcohol-fueled vehicles. Benefits of alcohol fuels such as higher efficiency, specific power output and lower emissions, their high octane number gives the ability to operate at higher compression ratio without problems Brinkman ND [5]; their greater latent heat of vaporization gives higher charge density and their higher laminar flame speed allows them to be run with leaner or more dilute air/fuel mixtures Ryan T et al. [7]. In addition, alcohols generally give lower fuel heat release rates, resulting in lower NOx emissions and reduced combustion noise Miyamoto N et al. [2]. One of the main challenges with neat alcohol fuels is cold start emissions, especially in PFI engines Battista V et al. [8]. In such applications, the low vapor pressure and low Cetane number must overcome with higher-energy ignition systems or higher compression ratios Hikino K et al. [9].

Further, the increased wetting of the intake manifold, cylinder walls and Glow plugs must be addressed in the design of the combustion chamber and in the control of transient fueling during startup Battista V et al. [8], Dodge LG et al. [10]. In this paper, the experimental investigations are carried out on GHISI engine with methanol as fuel, with different catalytic coatings to the combustion chamber. The base engine with standard configuration is fitted with aluminum piston without any coating to the combustion chamber; it is utilized for the experiment. The different coatings that are used in this work are Copper coating, Aluminium oxide coating and Chromium oxide coating. Various performance parameters for above coatings to the combustion chamber and Piston on the glow plug Hot Surface Ignition (GHSI) engine are studied along with emission and combustion parameters B.Karthikeyan et al. [11], Jin Kusaka et al.[12]. Experimental work is carried out to evaluate the various parameters like Brake thermal efficiency, Volumetric efficiency, Exhaust gas temperature, Hydro carbon emission, Carbon monoxide emission, Nitrogen oxides emission and combustion parameters J.V. Pastor et al. [13], C.G.McCreath [14]. By the usual engine testing method, we can measure these parameters. Then, by analyzing the results, we can identify the best catalytic coating which provides higher engine efficiency, low emissions and good combustion rate when using methanol as a fuel [16]. M. Cerit et al [17]. The influence of various catalytic coatings in combustion chamber and Piston results in tremendous changes in the various parameters of the engine R.P.Glovena et al. [18]. The results are clearly discussed below.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SET UP

A single cylinder water-cooled D.I Diesel engine developing a power output of 4.4 kW at the rated speed of 1500 rpm is used for the experimental studies. The specification of the engine is given in Table 1 and the comparison of fuel properties is shown in Table 2. The schematic of Experimental setup and Photographic view are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.
The Glow plug is mounted on the engine at the top of the cylinder head, after selecting an optimized location. The Glow Plug is connected to the engine cylinder head and it is connected to a battery via ignition coil. The coating is given to the engine Combustion chamber (cylinder head), Valve and Piston crown by means of Plasma spray painting up to a thickness of 150 micron meter (0.15mm). The engine is coupled to an electrical Eddy current dynamometer. The engine is started with neat diesel fuel and warmed up. It is allowed to run for 10 minutes with diesel to attain steady condition at its rated speed. The governor of the engine is used to maintain a constant speed of 1500 rpm. The combustion chamber is hemispherical in shape. The engine is gradually loaded to full-load by switching on the load mains. The injector opening pressure recommended by the manufacturer is 200 bar. The exhaust of the engine is collected and sent out by a central facility, which maintained a constant pressure close to ambient in the exhaust manifold. The time taken for 10cc of fuel consumption is measured using a stopwatch. The tests are conducted for various loads for five times and the average values of the five readings are taken to eliminate the uncertainty.

The in-cylinder pressure, NOX, CO, HC, Smoke, and Exhaust gas temperature are measured at different loads with different test fuels. A Kistler Piezoelectric transducer with a range of 0 to 250 bar is used to measure the cylinder pressure. The Piezoelectric transducer is mounted on the cylinder head and connected to a Charge amplifier for measuring the pressure inside engine cylinder. AVL’s Software Indimeter 619 version 2.2 is used to measure the heat release rate, inside cylinder pressure, Mean effective pressure etc. A TDC (Top Dead Centre) AVL 364 optical encoder is used to detect the engine crank angle. NOX, CO, and HC emissions are measured by AVL Digas-444 exhaust gas analyzer. Smoke intensity is measured using a Bosch smoke meter. The temperature of the exhaust gas is measured with Chromel Alumel (K-Type) thermocouples. At each load, the required parameters are measured and analyzed for five times and the average is taken to eliminate the uncertainty.

Fig. 1. Schematic showing experimental set up  
Fig. 2. Photographic View of experimental set up

| Make | Kirloskar TAF-1 |
|------|----------------|
| Cylinder | One |
| Cycle | Four-Stroke |
| Injection | Direct Injection |
| Aspiration | Naturally Aspirated |
| Cooling | Water cooled |
| Bore | 85.5mm |
| Stroke | 110mm |
| Compression Ratio | 17.5:1 |
| Power Output | 4.4kW at 1500rpm |
| Injection Pressure | 200 bar |
| Static Injection Timing | 23° Before TDC |

Table 1. Engine Specifications

| Properties          | Methanol | Ethanol | Petrol | Diesel |
|---------------------|----------|---------|--------|--------|
| Chemical formula    | CH₃OH    | C₂H₅OH  | -      | -      |
| Molecular weight    | 32       | 46      | -      | -      |
| Boiling point (°C)  | 64.5     | 78      | 40-190 | 170-340|

Table 2. Comparison of fuel properties
3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Performance Parameters

3.1.1. Brake Thermal Efficiency

The brake thermal efficiency with brake power for three different coatings coated on the combustion chamber with GHSI engine is explained diagrammatically in Fig.3. It is found that, the brake thermal efficiency for all catalytic coatings to GHSI engine are higher as compared to plain GHSI engine with methanol as fuel over wide range of operation. The GHSI engine with Copper coating shows maximum efficiency over various operations carried. The GHSI engine with plain combustion chamber indicates minimum efficiency when compared over other coating considering wide range of operation.

![Brake thermal efficiency graph](image)

### Table: Performance Parameters

| Parameter                      | Value         |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Density at 20°C (kg/m³)       | 0.792 - 0.84  |
| Stoic. air/Fuel ratio         | 6.4:1 - 14.7:1|
| Cetane No.                    | 3 - 50-55     |
| Octane No.                    | 92 - 80-90    |
| Calorific value (kJ/kg)       | 23800 - 44500 |
| Flash point (°C)              | 11 - 52       |
| Auto ignition temp (°C)       | 464 - 180     |

**3.1.2. Volumetric Efficiency**

The difference in volumetric efficiency with brake power output between the three types of catalytic coatings along with the GHSI engine is visualized in the Fig.4.
Fig. 4. Variation of Volumetric efficiency with Brake power for different coatings

The volumetric efficiency of plain GHSI engine, Copper coating, Aluminium oxide, and Chromium oxide at maximum load is 86.88 %, 75.57 %, 77.29 %, 79.01 % respectively. It can be obtained by the formulae

$$\eta_v = \frac{\text{Mass of charge actually sucked in}}{\text{Mass of charge corresponding to the cylinder intake P and T conditions}}$$

(3)

It is observed that, drop in volumetric efficiency is more for Copper coatings in GHSI engine. The drop in volumetric efficiency for the other coatings varies depending upon the degree of insulation which they are coated. The combustion chamber surface temperature is very high during the suction stroke, the heat transfer to the incoming air is high which tends to decrease the volumetric efficiency. The drop in volumetric efficiency affects combustion by reducing the amount of air available for combustion.

3.1.3. Exhaust Gas Temperature

The variation of exhaust gas temperature with brake power for different catalytic coatings on GHSI engine is illustrated in Fig.5.

Fig. 5. Variation of Exhaust gas temperature with Brake power for different coatings

By using catalytic coating in combustion chamber, the exhaust temperature increases. The plain GHSI engine exhibits lower exhaust temperature among all the fuel tested i.e. 333 C, when compared with other coatings at rated load condition. The exhaust temperature for Copper coating, Aluminium oxide, and Chromium oxide at maximum load is 418 C, 403 C, and 393 C respectively.

3.2 Emission Parameters

3.2.1. Hydro Carbon emissions

The variation in hydrocarbon (HC) emission levels with power output is represented in Fig.6. The Copper coated GHSI engine shows lowest HC emissions. The reduction in HC emissions level for Copper coated GHSI engine over the other coatings is about 5 PPM at maximum load. The hydrocarbon emission for Copper, Aluminium...
oxide, and Chromium oxide at maximum load is 150 PPM, 152 PPM, and 155 PPM respectively. The HC emission level for the other entire coated GHSI engine is higher at lower loads. This is because the location of the ignition source with respect to fuel injector is optimized for the rated load operation. In case of GHSI engine, it is also noticed that the HC emissions are higher at low loads higher than rated load. The HC emission level for all the other coated GHSI engine are in between the plain GHSI engine and the Copper coated GHSI engine with methanol as fuel.

\[ \text{Fig.6. Variation of hydrocarbon emission with brake power for different catalytic coatings} \]

\textbf{3.2.2. Carbon Monoxide emissions}

The Fig.7 illustrates the variation of Carbon monoxide with power output. The Copper coating GHSI engine indicates lower level of Carbon monoxide emissions when compared to that of other two coatings on GHSI engine. In GHSI engine, the variation of Carbon monoxide emission at lower output is negligible.

\[ \text{Fig.7. Variation of CO emission with brake power for different catalytic coatings} \]

The Carbon monoxide emission for Copper coating, Aluminium oxide, and Chromium oxide at maximum load are 0.125%, 0.135%, and 0.141% respectively. The reduction for Copper coating is about 0.005 % when compared to Aluminium oxide and Chromium oxide coating at maximum load.

\textbf{3.2.3. Nitrogen Oxide emission}

This Fig.8 shows the Nitrogen oxide levels for various coatings of a GHSI engine. Complete combustion takes place, due to higher combustion chamber temperature in the catalytic coated GHSI engine and the oxidation rate of nitrogen oxide is also improved.

\[ \text{Fig.8. Variation of nitrogen oxide with brake power for different catalytic coatings} \]
The GHSI engine with Chromium oxide coating gives the lowest nitrogen oxide emission and is about 10 to 20 PPM with that of other coatings at rated load. The Nitrogen oxide emission for Copper coating, Aluminium oxide and Chromium oxide coating at maximum load is 2545ppm, 2535ppm, 2525ppm respectively. Out of all the coatings which are experimented, nitrogen oxide emission is higher with copper coated GHSI engine when compared to that of the other coatings on the GHSI engine.

3.2.4. Exhaust Smoke emissions

The study of exhaust emission for different coated GHSI engine is shown in Fig.9. The Copper coated GHSI engine gives the lowest Smoke emission almost over the entire operating range. The Exhaust smoke emission of Copper coating, Aluminium oxide, and Chromium oxide at maximum load are 1.504 BSU, 1.754 BSU and 2.005 BSU respectively.

![Image](image_url)

**Fig.9. Variation of exhaust smoke emission with brake power for different catalytic coatings**

Aluminium oxide and chromium oxide coatings can be taken into account in the reduction of smoke emission. At maximum load, the maximum reduction in smoke emission is noted to be 1.504 BSU for copper coated GHSI engine and the reduction is about 0.501 BSU to 0.25 BSU.

4. CONCLUSION

Out of three coatings coated on the combustion chamber of the GHSI engine, hopefully the Copper coating on the GHSI engine is proved to be good in terms of performance and emission as compared to plain GHSI engine.

- The brake thermal efficiency for the Copper coated GHSI engine is 32.6% and it is quite high than the plain GHSI engine which is 32.47% at maximum load. This is due to positive ignition of the injected methanol spray under all conditions.
- The volumetric efficiency is 86.88% for plain GHSI engine which is the highest and 75.57% for Copper coating which is the minimum when compared to other coatings.
- The exhaust gas temperature for plain GHSI engine is 333°C and is the lowest when compared to other coatings, Copper coating shows higher exhaust gas temperature of 418°C.
- It is found that the minimum smoke emission is noted as 1.504 BSU for Copper coated GHSI engine when compared to other coatings, and plain GHSI engine shows higher smoke emission of 2.806 BSU.
- The Copper coated GHSI engine shows the lowest HC emission of 150 ppm, where plain GHSI engine shows the highest HC emission of 160 ppm.
- The Copper coated GHSI engine gives the lowest level of CO emissions of 0.125% and plain GHSI engine gives the highest level of CO emission of 0.165%.
- The plain GHSI engine gives the lowest NOx emission of 2300 ppm, where as the Copper coating gives the maximum NOx emission of 2545 ppm.
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