Predeployed aortic extension cuff (kilt) in EVAR with hostile neck anatomy using Endurant II system: preliminary results
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Abstract

Introduction: Various modifications of standard endovascular aortic aneurysm repair (EVAR) have been developed to solve the problem of difficult neck anatomy.

Aim: The authors propose the implantation of a predeployed extension cuff (kilt) using on-shelf Endurant II elements. In a vast majority of cases, the proposed method provides a solution for the hostile neck problem using standard Endurant II elements available in all centers performing subrenal EVAR procedures.

Material and methods: The early outcomes of kilt implantation were evaluated in 11 patients (three with ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms, one symptomatic) in 2 vascular centers in Silesia (Poland). All patients presented with hostile neck anatomy defined as neck length < 10 mm, diameter > 28 mm, angulation > 60°, mural thrombus or calcium > 2 mm thickness or > 180° circumference.

Results: No intraoperative type I endoleak or device migration was observed. Two perioperative deaths occurred in patients in a severe condition with ruptured aneurysms. One case of type III endoleak was managed by the implantation of an additional iliac extension with complete endoleak sealing.

Conclusions: The proposed method seems to be effective in early endoleak prevention in patients with hostile neck anatomy undergoing EVAR procedures; however, studies with long-term follow-up are needed.
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Introduction

The morphological features of the aortic neck are crucial factors in technical and clinical success of endovascular aortic aneurysm repair (EVAR). The most frequent criteria that exclude patients from successful graft deployment are: pararenal aneurysm and severe angulations at the level of celiac and renal arteries. These issues can be managed by open or endovascular repair. Open surgical aneurysm re-
pair (OR) still remains the gold standard in vascular surgery, despite limitations resulting from high perioperative risk. The OR approach with the necessity of aortic clamping and renal/celiac artery reimplantation has limited availability for patients with severe comorbidities, very common in abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) patients. Its outcome may be complicated by abdominal organ ischemia, neurological deficits and problems arising from surgical access (laparotomy or thoracotomy simultaneously).

For such patients a second choice is a fenestrated graft (fEVAR), which allows treatment of aneurysms that encapsulate celiac and renal arteries’ origin by a minimally invasive method. Unfortunately, the availability of the fEVAR procedure is limited to high-volume clinical centers due to high cost of the procedure and its technical complexity. Another disadvantage of fEVAR is the fact that the procedure cannot be applied to patients with symptomatic and/or ruptured aneurysms.

However, for a substantial group of patients it is possible to use a standard on-shelf EVAR device with some modifications and adjuncts.

We introduce an alternative approach using the Endurant II device, where an infrarenal aortic covered cuff is predeployed into an AAA hostile neck. The main graft body is then implanted to a “channel” made by a previously deployed aortic extension. Such a strategy makes it possible to avoid an open repair or fenestrated graft implantation, while the treatment goals are met.

**Aim**

The authors propose the implantation of a predeployed extension cuff (kilt) using on-shelf Endurant II elements. In a vast majority of cases, the proposed method provides a solution for the hostile neck problem using standard Endurant II elements available in all centers performing subrenal EVAR procedures.

**Material and methods**

During the EVAR qualification procedure between June 2015 and May 2016 we identified 11 patients (including 1 case of symptomatic AAA and 3 cases of ruptured AAA) with hostile neck anatomy that was unfit for open repair, due to high perioperative risk. All patients (5 female, 6 male) were classified in the ASA III–V group according to various comorbidities or a severe general condition. Ten procedures were performed with local anesthesia with analgo-sedation and one in general anesthesia.

 Patients’ demographics and risk factors are presented in Table I.

The term hostile neck anatomy (HNA) was initially used in 2003 by Dillavou et al. [1]. In the literature different definitions of HNA exist. In general, several anatomical factors are taken into account when defining a hostile neck patient: neck length (usually < 15 mm), diameter (> 28 mm) and angulation (> 60°). Other adverse morphological parameters include proximal neck circumferential thrombus or calcification (> 50%) or a tapered/conical neck, wherein the diameter progressively increases between the renal arteries and the sac with a > 2- to 3-mm change over the first 15 mm of the proximal neck [2–6].

In our study all 11 patients met the criteria of hostile neck defined in the study of Jordan et al. [6]: neck length < 15 mm, diameter > 28 mm, angulation > 60°, mural thrombus or calcium > 2 mm in thickness or > 180° of circumference.

In 4 patients, short and conical neck was the main reason for cuff predeployment (Fig. 1). In 7 of 11 cases, the extension cuff was predeployed because of severe angulation of the proximal landing zone (Fig. 2).

In all cases additional pathologies of the arterial morphology existed as iliac tortuosity, landing zone thrombus or calcifications (Tab. II).

Anatomical information regarding challenging aortic neck was acquired from contrast enhanced computed

---

**Tab. I. Patient’s demographics and risk factors**

| Age | Gender | ASA | Arterial hypertension | Ischemic heart disease (CCSIII) | Myocardial infarction history | Diabetes | Chronic renal insufficiency | Other |
|-----|--------|-----|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|-------|
| 73  | M      | III | Y                     | Y                             | Y                             | N        | N                         | N     |
| 74  | M      | III | Y                     | Y                             | Y                             | N        | N                         | N     |
| 69  | M      | III | Y                     | Y                             | Y                             | Y        | N                         | N     |
| 64  | F      | IV  | Y                     | Y                             | N                             | N        | N                         | N     |
| 81  | F      | IV  | Y                     | Y                             | N                             | N        | Y                         | N     |
| 77  | M      | III | Y                     | Y                             | N                             | N        | N                         | N     |
| 69  | M      | III | Y                     | N                             | N                             | N        | N                         | N     |
| 67  | M      | III | Y                     | N                             | Y                             | N        | N                         | Symptomatic AAA |
| 63  | F      | III | Y                     | Y                             | Y                             | N        | N                         | N     |
| 73  | F      | V   | Y                     | Y                             | Y                             | N        | N                         | Hypovolemic shock |
| 63  | F      | V   | Y                     | N                             | N                             | Y        | N                         | Hypovolemic shock |

\( \text{e} = \text{emergency procedure.} \)
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Fig. 1. A, B – Example of short and conical neck

Fig. 2. A, B – Example of severe angulation

The general concept was to straighten and stabilize the landing zone area in order to prepare it for the main body deployment. In addition, the cuff material was expected to seal and smooth all irregularities of the neck such as calcifications or circumferential thrombus.

In the second step, the cuff was expanded by a low-pressure aortic balloon for better fixation and sealing. After that, aortography was performed to find any potential leak between the cuff and the aortic wall. If the leak existed, the next dilatation was made. Finally, the main body of the graft was introduced to such a prepared “channel” and deployed exactly on the markers of the cuff. Then another balloon expansion was made. After implantation of the main body the procedure continued with deployment of the iliac extensions. In all 11 cases balloon inflation of the entire endoprosthesis (body and extensions) was made with a Reliant device to smooth all possible irregularities of the inner walls.

Results

We achieved 100% technical success during the procedure, resulting in a properly sealed and positioned graft. In the final angiogram, no type I endoleak was visible in all 11 patients. In the perioperative period we found one...
type III endoleak resulting from iliac extension disconnection from the main body. That issue was resolved by additional iliac extension deployment, sealing the leak.

Two patients with aneurysm rupture died perioperatively due to a consequence of hypovolemic shock presented on admission to the hospital (Fig. 4).

In 9 patients color duplex ultrasound scans of the aorta were made on the day of hospital discharge and showed no signs of flow outside the graft.

During hospital stay and follow-up we did not observe any thrombosis-related events in all patients. The standard protocol of intravenous heparin infusion was used during the procedure. After hospital discharge patients were taking ASA (aspirin 150 mg daily) together with dalteparin (5000 IU daily for 2 weeks).

Discussion

In challenging neck anatomy EVAR, fixation and good device sealing are paramount for long-term clinical success [4]. Adequate fixation is defined as deployment of an endoprosthesis that positions the graft under the renal arteries without further device migration. Proper sealing is described as obtaining a tight contact between the surface of the deployed device and aortic wall that eliminates the possibility of blood flow between them after the deployment.

During development of EVAR techniques and devices, the percentage of patients excluded from the procedure due to unfavorable proximal landing zone morphology is systematically decreasing, reaching now the level of 20–30% of candidates for endovascular repair [7].

In spite of the advantages of EVAR as a less invasive technique, in patients with hostile neck anatomy the long-term outcome is still inferior to open surgery [6, 8, 9].

During the procedure planning one has to decide between excluding a patient from EVAR or trying to push the boundaries outside the instructions for use of the particular device. As documented in the literature, such approaches are possible, with some additions and modifications of the standard EVAR, that attempt to treat the problematic patients with challenging necks, not eligible for OR or fEVAR.

Endo Wedge Technique (EWT)

The concept of EWT is to position the graft just below the renal/celiac artery orifice with the balloon inserted partially into the artery. Inflated balloon acts as a wedge preventing graft fabric to close the artery origin. The technique proposed by Minion was initially used with Gore Excluder where balloon fits the flared area of the graft edge [10]. The results described in the literature were good, however such approach was not possible when using popular models with suprarenal fixation as Zenith or Endurant.

Chimney techniques (Ch-EVAR)

Reported initially by Greenberg in 2003 [11], initial stenting of renal and celiac arteries followed by EVAR allowed the proximal landing zone to be expanded, preserving perfusion...
of the abdominal organs. Many patient series with the use of visceral chimneys have been described showing relative safety and efficacy in challenging proximal landing zone anatomy. Ch-EVAR was also described as a useful technique in resolving type I endoleak, found during the follow-up period or as a result of a primary unsuccessful EVAR approach. On the other hand, a significant number of post Ch-EVAR renal insufficiency cases and bowel ischemic events have been described, resulting from chimney stents thrombosis or vessel remodeling that led to perfusion impairment.

**Uncovered Palmaz Cuff**

The uncovered Palmaz stent implantation was used in unfavorable neck anatomies either as a pre-deployed graft or as a secondary device in order to seal an endoleak found in the control aortogram during the procedure. Some authors have reported good effects and durability of initial bare metal stenting in order to straighten a previously angulated landing zone. Secondary stenting was also described as successful in endoleak sealing by exerting additional radial force that compressed the leak channels.

Critical reviews focused on low efficacy and insufficient long-term durability of the bare metal stenting strategy. Some authors have reported frequent stent repositioning during implantation [12–14].

**The use of Endo-Anchors in prevention and treatment of Endoleak type 1**

The use of aortic endostaples after failed endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair was initially described by de Vries in 2011 [15].

The Heli-FX aortic system is composed of an electronically controlled applicer with helical EndoAnchors provided in a cassette and a deflectable sheath designed for delivery of the applicer to the target location for EndoAnchor implantation. The idea is to mechanically fix the endograft fabric to the aortic wall directly in the endoleak location by the Endo Anchor acting like a screw.

The various ANCHOR study publications report high efficacy and durability of the Heli-Fx system in prevention of the type I endoleak in hostile neck anatomy. The use of Helix-Fx is also effective in dealing with type I endoleak found during follow-up CT scans [16, 17]. However, an elevated rate of thromboembolic events was reported.

**Covered cuff predeployment (kilt) and post-procedure implantation**

In 2009 Minion et al. [4] proposed predeployment of a covered cuff into a challenging neck using the Gore Excluder endoprosthesis which was described as a kilt technique. In 2011 Jimenez and Quinones-Baldrich [12] reported successful kilt implantation in four HNA patients with good long-term results in three of them and one reintervention with Palmaz stent implantation in order to seal type I endoleak.

Setacci et al. [3] reported a review of 72 HNA patients treated with an Endurant II graft. In four cases of endoleak an additional aortic extension was used as secondary sealing of the persistent endoleak. In a case report Park and Kim [18] described a successful predeployed thoracic stent graft as a kilt prior to bifurcated graft implantation.

In the case of our strategy for patients with hostile neck anatomy, first an aortic extension cuff was pre-deployed below the renal arteries (ETCF). The element was initially oversized in order to straighten the neck in case of angulation higher than 60°.

In addition, a polyester fabric supported externally with nitinol M-shaped stents was supposed to provide extra sealing in case of wall irregularities. The possible better sealing and neck straightening in the pre-deployment method is short cuff (length usually 49 mm). A short element better fits into irregular, angulated and different shaped necks. When using the main body first, the minimal system length is 124 mm in the ETBF device and 103 mm in the ESBF device, which can affect sealing in angulated and irregular areas such as calcifications or thrombi. When we use a cuff as an initial layer acting like a channel to the main body deployed afterwards, the fixation and sealing are much more accurate. By using two oversized elements one in another we also achieve higher radial force, being a derivative of radial forces of the cuff and main body. Higher radial force and better adherence of the kilt fabric to the aortic wall and the main body fabric to the inner surface of the cuff is probably responsible for good sealing and fixation. The preliminary results show that our method is effective when dealing with difficult anatomy outside the device IFU.

Kilt implantation is also a readily available procedure that can be completed using off-the-shelf endovascular equipment and does not require skills unfamiliar to most vascular surgeons.

**Conclusions**

Covered cuff predeployment seems to be an alternative to OR and T-EVAR for patients with challenging neck anatomy. Preliminary results in 11 patients with HNA using the Endurant aortic cuff and bifurcated grafts confirm that the kilt technique can be applied to a variety of clinical situations with a high degree of technical success. The long-term observation of a larger group of patients is needed to evaluate the efficacy of the proposed method.
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