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ABSTRACT

In cloud computing, immediate access of resources is important due to cost incurred to customer by pay per use model of cloud computing. Usually resource is protected by using cryptography technique. The resource may be shared by multiple members in group. There can be overlapping members to access the multiple resources. Group key management is important to form the group key to access the resource. Group key formation time is crucial for immediate access of protected resource in cloud computing. Thus ternary tree based approach is proposed to form the key for overlapping members accessing resources. Membership event such as join and leave also considered. Through the analysis, it is found that computational overhead is reduced by 23% if ternary key trees are combined than independent ternary key trees. It is also observed that combined ternary key tree outperforms the combined binary key tree approach for group key formation by considering overlapping members. Security requirement analysis of group membership for key formation is also provided in the paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent days, the use of cloud computing is increasing. Usage of cloud based social media applications whatsapp, twitter, facebook and collaborative applications, Pay TV [1] systems are increasing. Many governments have initiated digital move including cashless transactions, m-wallet etc. Thus security is major concern over the usage of many cloud based applications. Obviously there is important role of cryptographic algorithm to secure the resource. Resource can be considered as data, applications, storage, CPU, virtual machine etc. Thus key management plays significance role to access the resources from cloud computing.

In cloud computing, it is important to have instant (on demand) access of resources. It is important to form the group key within a time for immediate access of resources. For collaborative environment, group key among the members needs to be formed to protect the access of resources in cloud computing. There can be member accessing multiple resources. Such member in group/s is called overlapping member. Thus in this paper, the problem of overlapping members accessing multiple resources is proposed.

Group key can be formed by TGDH approach [2],[3]. Presently for group key formation separate key trees are formed even if member has access to multiple resources. This approach leads to computational overhead to form the group key. We preferred ternary key tree approach over binary tree based approach for group key formation because as the number of members increased in ternary key tree, the height is also reduced compared to binary. Hence computational cost for forming group key is also reduced. The novelty of the approach is that it is computational efficient that the other approaches.
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proposes binary tree based approach for multiple members overlapped to access the resources. [7] specified computational time analysis for group key formation by multiple members accessing resources. Binary tree based approach [8], [9], [10] proposes group key management protocol in distributed group communication. Group members are managed in the hierarchical manner logically. Diffie-Hellman key agreement is applied. [11] proposed suite of group key management protocols that allows a group of users to agree on a shared group key, which can be used to protect a shared file system stored remotely in the cloud. [12] proposes and applies key management methods to various cloud environments. [13] proposes group leader, group administrator approach. Data is shared and accessed by group member based on the group key. [14] proposes group leader, group administrator approach. Data is shared and accessed by group member based on the group key. The tresor contains all the encrypted files. It is protected by group key. The concept of Key Lock Box is proposed. Every directory has key-lock-box and contains the keys of files within directory. Thus our contribution towards this paper is

1. Combining ternary key trees algorithm.
2. Consideration of membership event such as join and leave.
3. Computational and communication cost analysis of group key formation by considering separate key trees and combining ternary based key trees.
4. Security analysis of proposed scheme.

The section 2 presents the proposed method, section 3 presents research method details, section 4 presents the results and analysis section, section 5 concludes the paper.

2. PROPOSED METHOD
2.1. Motivation

Figure 1 represents resource key tree $R_1$. Members $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, m_5, m_6, m_7, m_8, m_9\}$ are part of resource $R_1$. Members $\{m_4, m_5, m_6, m_7, m_8, m_9\}$ are part of resource $R_2$. Members $\{m_7, m_8, m_9\}$ are part of $R_3$. Respective group key can be formed independently by three way Diffie hellman key exchange protocol as explained in subsection 2.3. From figures 1 and 2, it is observed that if we calculate each resource group key separately (independently), it causes the extra computations and extra partial keys incurred by members $\{m_4, m_5, m_6, m_7, m_8, m_9\}$. This is because these members are common to access resource $R_2$ and resource $R_1$. Members $\{m_7, m_8, m_9\}$ are common to access resource $R_3$. Thus key formed at resource $R_3$ can be reused to form the resource group key at $R_2$ while key formed at resource $R_2$ can be reused to compute resource group at $R_1$. This approach causes less computational cost to form the key than the independent group key formation. Thus we use overlapping members approach for accessing multiple resources.
2.2. Overlapping Members

Let R be the set of resources i.e. \( \{ R_1, R_2, R_3, \ldots, R_n \} \)

Members \( \{ m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n \} \in R_1 \).

Members \( \{ n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_n \} \in R_2 \).

Overlapping member can be defined as \( \{ x | (x \in R_1) \land (x \in R_2) \} \). From figure 3, members \( \{ m_2, m_3, n_2 \} \) are overlapping members accessing resources \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \).

![Figure 3. Overlapping members access to resources](image)

In earlier approach, we proposed binary key tree approach [4], [7] for overlapping resource access members. In this approach, we can prove how ternary tree data structure improves the performance over earlier proposed approach.

2.3. Group key formation by using ternary key tree

![Figure 4. Ternary based resource key tree](image)

Group key can be formed by TGDH approach [2],[3]. It uses bottom-up approach. Basic TGDH uses two way Diffie hellman key exchange algorithm. In this paper, we modified it for three way Diffie hellman key exchange algorithm.

Figure 4 shows the ternary tree. Root node is called as R1 and leaf nodes represents the members \( m_1 \), \( m_2 \) and \( m_3 \). Here we assume that g is generator and p is prime number. Members \( m_1 \), \( m_2 \) and \( m_3 \) have \( \beta_1 \), \( \beta_2 \), \( \beta_3 \) private keys respectively. Each member forms the key called as blinded key. Thus group key among these members is formed by the following steps.

1. Member \( m_1 \) forms key called as \( g^{\beta_1} \mod p \)
2. Member \( m_2 \) forms key called as \( g^{\beta_2} \mod p \)
3. Member \( m_3 \) forms key called as \( g^{\beta_3} \mod p \)
4. Member \( m_1 \) sends \( g^{\beta_1} \mod p \) to member \( m_2 \)
5. Member \( m_2 \) forms \( g^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \mod p \)
6. Member \( m_2 \) sends \( g^{\beta_1} \mod p \), \( g^{\beta_2} \mod p \) and \( g^{\beta_1 \beta_2} \mod p \) to member \( m_3 \)
7. Member \( m_3 \) forms \( g^{\beta_3 \beta_2} \mod p \), \( g^{\beta_3 \beta_1} \mod p \) and \( g^{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3} \mod p \)
8. Member \( m_3 \) sends \( g^{\beta_3 \beta_2} \mod p \), \( g^{\beta_3 \beta_1} \mod p \) to member \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \)
9. Member \( m_1 \) forms \( g^{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3} \mod p \)
10. Member \( m_2 \) forms \( g^{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3} \mod p \)
By steps 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 10, total modular exponential operations (MEO) required are nine. Group key formed as \( g^{\beta_1 \beta_2 \beta_3} \mod p \). Total messages involved are mainly two unicast messages namely at step 4 and step 6 and one broadcast message namely at step 8. Thus total three messages are used to establish the group key. In general formula for calculating total number of exponential operations is as below.

\[ N = 9(3^{\log_3 n} - 1)/2 \]

Where \( N \) = Number of modular exponential operations, \( n \) = number of members e.g if \( n=3 \), by above formula, \( N = 9 \)

3. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1. Member Resource Access Matrix (MRAM)

Any member for resource access, broadcast message containing resource membership details and current resource request for which resource. Thus each member makes entry in MRAM. Rows represents members \( m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n \). Columns represents resources \( R_1, R_2, R_3, \ldots, R_n \)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots \\
1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\( m_1 \in R_1 \) and \( m_3 \in R_1, R_2 \) i.e. \( m_3 \) overlapped to access the resources \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \). These are indicated by ‘1’ in the MRAM.

3.2. Combining Ternary Key Trees Algorithm

In existing key management algorithm [8],[9],[10],[11],[13],[15],[16] separate key tree is built for each resource, even if members are accessing multiple resources. Thus we can combine multiple resource key trees. Algorithm 1 illustrates combining ternary key trees algorithm. Computation cost analysis is given in subsection 3.3.

Algorithm 1 Combining Ternary based Resource Key Trees Algorithm

1: Begin
2: The member which wants the access of particular resource, broadcast request to access the resource. Each member makes the entry in member resource access matrix.
3: Let \( R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, \ldots, R_n \) be the set of resources.
4: Let \( m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n \) be set of members.
5: Each member keep the track of resource membership in member resource access matrix.
    Rows represents members \( m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n \)
    and Columns represents resources \( R_1, R_2, R_3, \ldots, R_n \)
    \[
    \begin{bmatrix}
    1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\
    1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\
    1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots \\
    1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
    \end{bmatrix}
    \]
6: Identify the members which are overlapped to access multiple resources.
7: Build the key graph of overlapped members. Maintain the entries such as resources and overlapping members in table 1.
8: Identify the members which are not overlapped. Build the key tree of members which are not overlapped.
9: Combine the trees which are formed during Step 7 and Step 8.
10: End

Table 1. Resources containing overlapped members

| Index | Resources | Overlapping members |
|-------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1     | \( R_1, R_2 \) | \( m_3 \) |
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3.3. Computational Cost for Group Key Formation

There can be multiple members overlapping to any resources. Modular exponential operations (MEO) after combining ternary key trees = MEO for separate ternary key trees - MEO due to overlapping members

\[
\text{MEO for separate ternary key trees} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (9(3^{\log_3 N_i} - 1)/2)
\]

\[
\text{MEO due to overlapping member} = \sum_{\text{index}=1}^{T} (\text{Rcount}[\text{index}] - 1)(9(3^{\log_3 C[\text{index}] - 1})/2) \ldots \text{from Table 1}
\]

where

- \(N\) = Number of members per ternary key tree
- \(K\) = total number of ternary key trees
- \(T\) = Number of entries formed as per table 1
- \(\text{Rcount}\) = Total Resource count per entry as per table 1
- \(C\) = Number of Members overlapped per entry as per table 1

It is observed that computation cost in terms of number of modular exponential for separate key tree is \(O(N)\) while for ternary key trees combined is \(O(N – RC)\) where \(N\) is number of members of resource key trees, \(R\) is number of resources considered and \(C\) is overlapping members.

Thus we can observe that number of modular exponential operations required in separate key trees is more i.e \(RC\) compared to the combined key trees. Table 2 describes complexity in terms of modular exponential operations.

| Best Case | Worst Case |
|-----------|------------|
| \(\Omega(N)\) | \(O(2N)\) |

Best case complexity when all members of resource groups are overlapped to access the resources. Worst case complexity is when members of resource groups are not overlapped to access the resources.

3.4. Security Requirement

The members which are not part of resource group must not be able to access the resource. Following is the list of security requirement for group membership which should not be violated.

1. Backward secrecy: The members which joined to access the resource recently should not get access of past key. This property is called as backward secrecy.

2. Forward secrecy: The members which left from resource group should not get access of future key. This property is called as forward secrecy.

Section 4.1. illustrates the detailed security analysis of the proposed approach.

3.5. Group Key Formation Steps for Overlapping Members

Figure 5 describes overlapping members access to resources R1, R2 and R3.

Members \(\{m1, m2, m3, m4, m5, m6, m7, m8, m9\}\) have access to resource R1.

Members \(\{m4, m5, m6, m10, m11, m12, m13, m14, m15\}\) have access to resource R2.

Members \(\{m7, m8, m9, m10, m11, m12, m13, m14, m15\}\) have access to resource R3.

Resource access representation is shown in the following.

OG1 → \(\{m4, m5, m6\}\)
OG2 → \(\{m7, m8, m9\}\)
When we form the group key using ternary key tree approach, the group key formation steps are as follows 1) Overlapping group (OG) member forms its partial group key as per TGDH approach. 2) Each subgroup forms it partial group key by approach used in subsection 2.3. 3) Group DH used to calculate the group key.

There are different events occurred during the key tree formation. a) Any member can join the group or overlapping members group. b) Any member can leave the group or overlapping members at any instant of time.

3.6. Scenarios

The following section elaborates about membership join at OG, non OG as well as moving from one OG to other OG. a) If the member m19 joins the group to have access to R1 as shown in figure 6. Member m19 is inserted at the rightmost node in the key tree of non-overlapping group. Thus group key can be formed by partial group key formed by sub group of non-overlapping members and overlapping group members. b) If member m19 joins OG1 with respect to figure 5. This is shown in figure 7. c) Member can move from one OG to other group. This is shown in figure 8. Member m6 can move to non-overlapping group members of R2 while member m12 can move to overlapping group 1 to access the resource R1 as well. This is shown in the figure 8.

4. RESULT AND ANALYSIS

Simulation is performed. The results are compared by considering separate key trees, combined key trees with ternary approach and binary key tree approach. From figure 9, when we consider number of Resources =2, total members 200, the number of overlapping members varied, the number of modular exponentiation operations are less for combing key trees (ternary) with overlapping than the other approaches. In case of combining key trees (binary) with overlapping, computational overhead (56%) is more than the combining key
trees (ternary) with overlapping approach. If we consider the independent (separate) key trees, the computational overhead (23%) is more than the combining key trees (ternary) with overlapping approach. Computational overhead is more in binary tree is more due to increase in height of the binary when members are increasing.

Figure 10 shows that as we vary the total number of members, modular exponentiation operations in combining key trees (ternary) with overlapping members are increased slowly as compared with other two approaches. The computational overhead is (73%) more in combining key tree (binary) with overlapping members and 15% more in group key formation with separate key trees compared with combined key tree (ternary) based approach.

Table 3 depict comparisons in terms of computational and communication cost. Authors [8], [9], [10], [11], [13] uses independent binary key trees based approach. Authors [6], [7] use binary key tree based approach with the consideration of overlapping members. Our approach uses ternary based key trees with overlapping resource access members. ‘k’ indicates total number of key trees (resources), \( n_i \) indicates total number of members per
resource key tree, ‘c’ indicates number of overlapping members among resource key trees. From the table 3, it is observed that from our approach, as the overlapping members increases, computational and communication cost decreases.

Table 3. Comparisons: Computational and Communication cost

| Approach | Modular Exponential Operations | Number of messages |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| Authors[8],[9],[10],[11],[13],[15],[16] | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (1 + \log_2 n_i)$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (2 * n_i - 2)$ |
| Gu Xiaozhuo[6], Buchade [4] | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (1 + \log_2 n_i) - c(1 + \log_2 c)$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (2 * n_i - 2) - (2c - 2)$ |
| Ternary trees without overlapping | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} 9(3^{\log_3 n_i} - 1)/2$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (3(n_i - 1)/2)$ |
| Our approach | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} 9(3^{\log_3 n_i} - 1)/2 - (9(3^{\log_3 c} - 1)/2)$ | $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (3(n_i - 1)/2) - (3(c - 1)/2)$ |

4.1. Security Analysis

The group key formation for combined resource key tree is similar to TGDH. Thus attacker does not form any partial group key due to its discrete log problem of DH algorithm. By giving g and p, it is impossible to form $g^{\beta_1} \text{mod} p, g^{\beta_2} \text{mod} p, g^{\beta_1\beta_2} \text{mod} p$. It is impossible to get the group key by the attackers because private keys are kept with the use. Blinded keys are used to form the group key.

Backward secrecy is also achieved when new member joins the group. Thus group key is renewed after joining the members. So that member does not know previously formed group key.

Forward secrecy is also achieved when new member leaves the group. Thus group key is renewed after leaving the members. So that leaving member does not know future group key.

When member moves from one overlapping group to other, group secrecy is also achieved. The members in overlapping group updates the partial subgroup key when member joins or leave occurs thus backward and forward secrecy is achieved.

5. CONCLUSION

In cloud computing, immediate access of protected resource is important. Resource can be considered as data, applications, storage, CPU, virtual machine etc. Applications e.g social media that uses cloud computing also increasing. Key formation is important step for members of such applications. As soon as group key is formed, user should be able to access the resource in cloud computing and not to violate the on demand resource access property of cloud computing. We proposed novel ternary key based group key formation method for members with access to multiple resources. We proved that group key formation using ternary key tree approach by considering overlapping members is computationally efficient than the independent group key formation as well as combined key tree (binary) approach.
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