**Germ cell-less** encodes a cell typespecific nuclear pore-associated protein and functions early in the germ-cell specification pathway of *Drosophila*
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The maternally supplied pole plasm at the posterior pole of a *Drosophila* embryo contains determinants that specify both the germ-cell precursors (pole cells) and the posterior axis. One pole plasm component, the product of the *germ cell-less* gene, has been found to be required for specification of pole cells, but not posterior somatic cells. Mothers with reduced levels of *gel* give rise to progeny that lack pole cells, but are otherwise normal. Mothers overexpressing *gel*, on the other hand, produce progeny exhibiting a transient increase of pole cells. Ectopic localization of *gel* to the anterior pole of the embryo causes nuclei at that location to adopt characteristics of pole cell nuclei, with concurrent loss of somatic cells. We also present evidence indicating that the *gel* protein associates specifically with the nuclear pores of the pole cell nuclei. This localization suggests a novel mechanism in the specification of cell fate for the germ line.
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Germ cells are unique in that they are the only cells that undergo meiosis and pass their genetic information to the next generation. In organisms ranging from worms to amphibians, the determinants for germ-cell specification are contributed solely by the maternal genome [for review, see Eddy 1975]. In many of these organisms the germ-cell determinants are localized to an identifiable cytoplasm (germ plasm) that contains unique organelles [Beams and Kessel 1974; Eddy 1975]. Although the process of germ plasm organization and some of its components have been characterized, very little is known about the molecular components of the germ plasm required for germ-cell determination in *Drosophila* [for review, see Lehmann and Rongo 1993; Wilson and Macdonald 1993].

In *Drosophila* the germ plasm (pole plasm) is localized to the posterior pole of the embryo and contains unique organelles referred to as polar granules [Mahowald 1962]. The germ-cell precursors, or pole cells, form at the posterior pole of the embryo and engulf the contents of the pole plasm, including the polar granules [Mahowald 1971]. This pole plasm is necessary and sufficient for both germ-cell specification and posterior axis specification. Ectopic placement of pole plasm either through transplantation [Illmensee and Mahowald 1974; Niki 1986; Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard 1991] or through genetic manipulation [Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992] results in the ectopic formation of fully functional pole cells, as well as an ectopic posterior axis.

Analysis of mutations in the mother that result in defective posterior axis formation in the progeny (maternal effect mutations of the posterior group) has identified *nanos* and *pumilio*, genes specified for posterior axis determination [Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard 1987, 1991; Barker et al. 1992; Macdonald 1992], as well as genes required for the formation of both posterior axis and pole cells. The latter include *cappucino*, *spire*, *oskar*, *staufen*, *vasa*, *valois*, *tudor*, *mago nashi*, and *pipsqueak* [Boswell and Mahowald 1985; Lehmann and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986; Schüpbach and Wieschaus 1986; Manseau and Schüpbach 1989; Boswell et al. 1991; Siegel et al. 1993]. Mothers mutant for any of these genes produce embryos without detectable pole plasm.

Several of the genes identified by the posterior group mutants have been cloned and are known to be components of the pole plasm (vasa protein, Hay et al. 1988b; Lasko and Ashburner 1988; *oskar* mRNA and protein, Ephrussi et al. 1991; Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992; Kim-Ha et al. 1991; Smith et al. 1992; *staufen* protein, St.
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Johnston et al. 1991; tudor protein, Bardsey et al. 1993; nanos mRNA and protein, Wang and Lehmann 1991; Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992, Smith et al. 1992). The posterior localization of these molecules appears sequentially and each new component requires the functions of all of the previous components for normal localization (for review, see Ding and Lipshitz 1993; Lehmann and Rongo 1993; Wilson and Macdonald 1993). Ultimately, this process leads to the localization of nanos and公布的 mRNAs, as well as the germ-cell determinants, to the posterior pole of the egg.

Both biological activities of the pole plasm (i.e., posterior axis specification and germ cell specification) are organized and activated by oskar. Ectopic localization of oskar mRNA to the anterior pole results in the formation of both functional pole cells and a posterior axis at the location of ectopic oskar expression (Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992). Overexpression of oskar during oogenesis results in the formation of additional pole cells, the formation of ectopic pole cell-like cells, and an expansion of the posterior axis (Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992; Smith et al. 1992).

Because pole plasm is capable of inducing pole cell formation at ectopic locations in the embryo, we presume that germ-cell determinants will be pole plasm components. The germ cell-less (gel) gene product has several characteristics expected for a component of the germ-cell specification pathway. Its posterior localization requires the function of all of the genes necessary for pole cell formation, it associates specifically with the nuclei that migrate into the pole plasm, and are incorporated into pole cells, and most important, reduction of maternal gel mRNA levels results in embryos that fail to form pole cells, but have a normal posterior axis (Jongens et al. 1992).

In this study we have addressed two questions concerning the role of gel in the process of germ cell specification: (1) Is gel protein sufficient to induce germ-cell formation? (2) What is the mechanism by which gel carries out its function? To address the first question, we have generated transgenic flies in which gel expression was altered. We show that increased levels of gel in the embryo result in the formation of additional pole cells and that ectopically localized gel initiates events similar to pole cell formation. To address the second question we have analyzed the subcellular localization of gel using high-resolution three-dimensional wide-field microscopy and immunoelectron microscopy. Our analysis indicates that gel protein is associated with the nuclear pores of the pole cells. We speculate on functions of gel in the specification of the germ-cell lineage.

Results

Overexpression of gel results in the formation of additional pole cells

Previously, we demonstrated that gel encodes a pole plasm component required for the formation of the pole cells (Jongens et al. 1992). To test whether gel is sufficient in promoting pole cell formation, we investigated the effects of overexpression of gel on pole cell number. To increase the levels of maternally contributed gel mRNA we constructed transgenic flies that contained the gel-coding sequences and 3'UTR, driven by the hsp83 promoter (Fig. 1A; see Materials and methods). Previously, the hsp83 promoter has been shown to give

Figure 1. The overexpression of gel leads to a higher concentration of gel mRNA and protein at the posterior pole. (A) The hg construct used to overexpress gel in the germ line (for construct details, see Materials and methods). (B) Northern blot of RNA prepared from 0- to 1-hr embryos. (Lane 1) Control; (lane 2) hg 130; (lane 3) hg 27; [lane 4] hg 93. The blot was probed with two probes, one specific for gel and one specific for α-tubulin. The amount of gel overexpression relative to the control for the hg lines is as follows: hg 27 [4.0-fold], hg 130 [3.3-fold], and hg 93 [2.6-fold]. (C,D) Parallel whole-mount in situ hybridization using a probe specific for gel mRNA was performed on C control and D hg 27 embryos. All embryos shown in this paper are oriented with the anterior pole to the left. (E,F) Parallel immunocytochemistry was performed using anti-gel antibodies on E control and F hg embryos; the arrows indicate the pole cells. The embryos in C and D are at the early cleavage stage and the embryos in E and F are at cellular blastoderm.
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high level germ-line expression during oogenesis (Xiao and Lis 1989). We refer to this construct as "hg (hsp83/gel)" and the embryos resulting from mothers containing it as "hg embryos." hg Embryos clearly have higher levels of gel mRNA [Fig. 1B] at the posterior pole as well as an elevation in the background levels found throughout the rest of the embryo [Fig. 1, cf. D with C]. A similar change in gel protein distribution was observed, resulting in syncytial blastoderm embryos with an increase in the number and staining intensity of gel-positive cells, which we presume are pole cells [Fig. 1, cf. F with E; see below]. No effect on the localization of other pole plasm components (e.g., cyclin B mRNA, nanos mRNA, and vasa protein) was observed (not shown).

To determine whether embryos with elevated levels of gel formed more pole cells, we counted pole cells at the time of their formation (interphase 11) and after they completed their initial divisions (cellular blastoderm, nuclear cycle 14) [Technau and Campos-Ortega 1986; Foe et al. 1993]. To determine the number of pole cells formed initially, both control and hg embryos were fixed and stained with anti-vasa antibody and Hoescht stain. The vasa protein is known to be incorporated and maintained in the pole cells throughout embryogenesis and provides an independent marker for this cell type [Hay et al. 1990; Lasko and Ashburner 1990]. The Hoescht stain specifically labels DNA and thus, allows staging of embryos with respect to the nuclear cycle (see Materials and methods). Pole cells were counted in embryos that were judged to be between interphase and metaphase of nuclear cycle 11, that is, the interval between pole cell formation and their first division [Foe et al. 1993]. In the hg embryos ~60–70% more pole cells formed initially compared to the control embryos [Fig. 2, Table 1]. Using either anti-vasa- or anti-gel–stained embryos for pole cell counts at the cellular blastoderm, we found that the difference between control and hg embryos was only 40% (Table 1). We conclude that the elevation of gel levels increases the number of nuclei that initiate pole cell formation, but that these pole cells undergo fewer divisions or die before or during the formation of the cellular blastoderm.

In control blastoderm embryos, pole cells occasionally fall through the somatic cell layer, lose their plasma membrane, and leave behind a mass of chromatin that remains at least until the mid-gastrulation stage [Turner and Mahowald 1976], an effect that can be detected easily in Hoescht-stained embryos [Fig. 3A, bracket]. The number of nuclei falling into the yolk mass in this manner was greater in hg [Fig. 3B, bracket] than in control embryos. The brackets in Figure 3 indicate DNA that we presume is derived from dying pole cells, as it and individual cells falling into the nuclear material stain with anti-gel [Fig. 3C]. These results suggest that more pole cells in the hg embryos die early in embryogenesis. Indeed, supernumerary pole cells were not detected in hg embryos at stage 14 when the pole cells coalesce in the embryonic gonad (Table 1). The formation of supernumerary pole cells and their subsequent loss, in early embryos owing to the overexpression of gel, does not lead to

Figure 2. Overexpression of gel results in the formation of additional pole cells. [A–C] Control embryo and [D–F] hg embryo at interphase of nuclear cycle 11, shown with Hoescht-stained embryos [A,D]. The regions in the embryos where pole cells formed, as assayed by both anti-vasa staining [B,E] and DIC optics [C,F] is indicated with brackets labeled pc. [G,H] Blastoderm stage embryos stained with anti-vasa antibody; pole cells indicated with arrows in the hg embryo (H) and the control embryo (G).
any detectable developmental defects. The resulting hg embryos develop into morphologically normal and fertile adults.

**Overexpression of gcl in early embryos leads to a distinct nuclear morphology and earlier onset of mitotic asynchrony of the pole bud nuclei**

Pole cell nuclei are the first nuclei in the embryo to divide asynchronously. This asynchrony generally begins after nuclear cycle 10 when pole cells are formed (Foe et al. 1993). Because pole cells divide from 0-2 × after their formation [Technau and Campos-Ortega 1986] some pole cell nuclei stop dividing after nuclear cycle 10, whereas somatic nuclei go through 13 cycles of nuclear division before the onset of asynchronous divisions.

In hg embryos, we observed two distinct properties of the pole bud nuclei. First, during interphase of nuclear cycles 9 and 10, the pole bud nuclei in hg embryos were generally much smaller in size than the corresponding somatic nuclei within the same embryo (the majority of the pole bud nuclei were <20% smaller in diameter than somatic nuclei in 34 of 43 (79%) embryos) [Fig. 4L–N] and the pole bud nuclei in control embryos [Fig. 4L–K]. In 24 of 29 (83.0%) hg embryos examined, at metaphase to telophase of cycle 10, the majority of the pole bud nuclei were obviously delayed, whereas in only 5 of 34 (15.0%) control embryos was this observed, a statistically significant difference, z = 5.44, α<0.01 (see Materials and methods). These two properties may be interrelated in that the delays in mitosis would cause delayed entry into interphase. Because nuclei grow in size during interphase, those nuclei entering interphase later would appear smaller in size.

**Ectopic localization of gcl mRNA leads to events that mimic the pole cell nuclei behavior during pole cell formation**

Because we found that higher gcl levels result in an initial increase in pole cell number, we investigated whether gcl activity would initiate pole cell formation in an ectopic position. In an attempt to localize gcl mRNA to the anterior pole of the embryo, we replaced the 3′ UTR of gcl with the anterior localization signal and polyadenylation signal of *bicoid* mRNA [Macdonald and Struhl 1988; see Materials and methods], using a design analogous to ones that have been used previously to mislocalize *oskar* and *nanos* mRNAs to the anterior pole of the embryo [Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992; Gavis and Lehmann 1992]. Again germ-line expression was obtained using the *hsp83* promoter. This construct is called hgb [hsp83,gcl, *bcd*]. The progeny from transgenic mothers containing it are referred to as “hgb embryos.”

Mislocalization of *gcl* mRNA and protein to the anterior pole was evident in the hgb embryos. We found *gcl* mRNA and gcl protein at both posterior and anterior poles of the embryo. The *gcl* mRNA and protein at the

---

**Table 1. Summary of the pole cell counts**

| Line  | Stage     | Average number of pole cells | Antibody used in assay | Percentage of the host line |
|-------|-----------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| w-    | interphase 11 | 11.6 ± 0.5                  | anti-vasa/Hoescht      | 100.0                       |
| hg27  | interphase 11 | 19.9 ± 0.5                  | anti-vasa/Hoescht      | 172.0                       |
| hg130 | interphase 11 | 19.0 ± 0.3                  | anti-vasa/Hoescht      | 164.0                       |
| w-    | blastoderm  | 28.2 ± 0.7                  | anti-vasa              | 100.0                       |
| w-    | blastoderm  | 26.2 ± 0.9                  | anti-gcl               | 100.0                       |
| hg93  | blastoderm  | 34.8 ± 1.2                  | anti-vasa              | 123.0                       |
| hg93  | blastoderm  | 34.5 ± 1.3                  | anti-gcl               | 132.0                       |
| hg27  | blastoderm  | 37.8 ± 1.0                  | anti-vasa              | 134.0                       |
| hg27  | blastoderm  | 36.0 ± 0.9                  | anti-gcl               | 137.0                       |
| w-    | blastoderm  | 27.5 ± 0.7                  | anti-vasa              | 100.0                       |
| hg130 | blastoderm  | 40.6 ± 0.9                  | anti-vasa              | 148.0                       |
| w-    | stage 14    | 21.5 ± 0.5                  | anti-vasa              | 100.0                       |
| hg93  | stage 14    | 20.9 ± 0.6                  | anti-vasa              | 97.0                        |
| hg27  | stage 14    | 21.4 ± 0.7                  | anti-vasa              | 99.5                        |

Pole cell counts [mean ± S.E.M.] on control (w-) and hg embryos, using anti-vasa or anti-gcl to mark pole cells as indicated. The determination of the stage of the embryo and the number of pole cells is described in Materials and methods. Line spaces separate four different counting experiments. Pole cells were counted for at least 20 embryos at interphase 11, or at least 50 embryos at both the cellular blastoderm stage and at stage 14.
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Figure 3. Increased loss of pole cells in the hg embryos. [A,B] Posterior halves of control [A] and hg [B] blastoderm-stage embryos stained with Hoescht. DNA presumably from dying pole cells is denoted with brackets. [C] The posterior half of an early gastrula embryo stained with anti-gel. Arrows indicate pole cells that have fallen through the somatic cell layer. Note the diffuse gel staining adjacent to the pole cells that have fallen in and are presumably dying. The majority of the pole cells in this embryo have migrated dorsally and are not visible in this focal plane.

anterior pole had a distribution similar to that previously described for bicoid mRNA and protein [Fig. 5B,C; Berleth et al. 1988; Drevier and Nüsslein-Volhard 1988]. The gel protein at the anterior pole was detected sooner and at much higher levels than at the posterior pole [Fig. 5C,E]. Interestingly, the subcellular localization of gel protein in the anterior pole was similar to that at the posterior: Both associated with the nuclear envelope in a punctate pattern [Fig. 5D,F; see below].

To test the effect of ectopic localization of gel protein, we fixed and stained embryos from hg lines with anti-gel antibody and examined them using differential interference contrast (DIC) optics for the formation of ectopic pole cells at the syncytial and cellular blastoderm stages. The anterior nuclei displayed properties in common with pole cells, including the appearance of pole bud-like structures, the shape of the nuclei, and the timing of nuclear divisions, as described below.

The first effect of ectopic gel was detected after the nuclei in the syncytial blastoderm reached the surface of the embryo. In the wild-type embryo, these nuclei induce the formation of buds, protuberances in the plasma membrane [Foe et al. 1993], and the buds that form at the posterior pole, the "pole buds", are more pronounced than those elsewhere in the embryo. In hg embryos the buds at the anterior pole were much more pronounced than those in the control embryos [Fig. 6, cf. B with A], and resembled the pole buds that appear at interphase of nuclear cycle 9. This pronounced ectopic budding was present in nuclear cycles 11 and 12. Unlike at the posterior pole, these ectopic buds did not pinch off to form pole cells.

The second unusual feature of the anterior nuclei, which appeared after the onset of ectopic budding, was the timing of nuclear division in the hg embryos. In the wild-type embryo, only the pole cell nuclei divide asynchronously from the somatic nuclei in cycles 11, 12, and 13. In comparison with control embryos and the more posterior somatic nuclei in hg embryos, the anterior nuclei in the hg embryos, like the pole bud nuclei during cycle 10, were either smaller in size during interphase or delayed in the other stages of the nuclear cycle [Fig. 6C–H]. These effects were observed in some embryos at nuclear cycle 12, and in all of the embryos by cycle 13. To confirm the observation seen in the fixed embryos, we analyzed the nuclear cycles in living embryos using DIC optics and charge-coupled device (CCD) time-lapse photography [see Materials and methods]. In all five hg embryos observed, distinct delays (2–4 min) into the entry of mitosis 12 and 13 were observed for the anterior nuclei, when compared to the timing of the rest of the somatic nuclei. Such a delay was not observed in five control embryos. In fact, in the control embryos, mitosis was initiated near the anterior and posterior poles, consistent with the observations of Foe et al. [1993].

The third pole cell-like characteristic was the shape of the nuclei. It is known that the germ-line nuclei retain a distinct round shape throughout embryogenesis [Underwood et al. 1980], whereas the somatic nuclei elongate and become more cylindrical during interphase of cycle 14, before the initiation of cellularization [Fullilove and Jacobson 1971; Foe et al. 1993]. The anterior nuclei in the hg embryos retained a round shape during interphase 14, clearly different from the more posterior nuclei that had undergone elongation [Fig. 6I–K]. During the invagination of the membrane furrows, which normally separate the somatic nuclei, many of the anterior nuclei in the hg embryos were displaced into the center of the embryo [Fig. 6I]. Those that were incorporated into cells still retained a round shape [Fig. 6K].

The ectopic localization of gel mRNA did not result in the ectopic localization of other pole plasm components
Figure 4. The pole bud nuclei in the hgb embryos are more compact during interphase and are mitotically delayed. A–D and E–H are a control and an hgb embryo, respectively, at interphase of nuclear cycle 10 (the hgb embryo is at a later stage of interphase than the control embryo, therefore its nuclei are larger). [A,E] Surface nuclei stained with Hoescht show comparison of nuclear density, (i.e., nuclear stage). B,C and F,G are higher magnification photos showing somatic (B,F) and posterior pole (C,G) nuclei. The pole buds, visible in the DIC images (D,H), are indicated with brackets labeled pb. I–K and L–N are a control and an hgb embryo, respectively, at anaphase of nuclear cycle 9. I,L show surface nuclei stained with Hoescht and allow determination of nuclear stage. J and M show Hoescht-stained posterior pole nuclei, with the pole buds seen in the DIC images (K,N) indicated by brackets labeled pb. All of the nuclei in the control embryo (I,L) are at anaphase of cycle 9. Mitotically delayed nuclei in the hgb embryo (M) are in prometaphase (arrow), metaphase (open arrow), or early prophase (slightly out of focus) (asterisk and arrow).

tested, including vasa protein, nanos mRNA, and cyclin B mRNA (not shown). This result is consistent with our previous studies that indicated that gcl function is not required for pole plasm assembly, and suggests that the effects observed at the anterior pole are attributable solely to the concentration of gcl and not to that of other pole plasm components.

The results described above indicate that although the anterior nuclei in the hgb embryos behaved like pole cell nuclei, they did not go on and form ectopic pole cells. One possible explanation was that the anterior axis morphogens interfered with pole cell formation. Normally, pole cells form at the posterior pole where nanos activity represses translation of hunchback mRNA (Wharton and Struhl 1991), thus preventing any exposure to anterior morphogens. Even when anteriorly localized oskar causes anterior pole cell formation in a nanos [hypo-morphic] mutant background, the remaining nanos protein is also mislocalized, becomes highly concentrated in the ectopic pole plasm, and in fact, is incorporated into the ectopic pole cells when they form (Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992). Thus, the levels of the anterior morphogens may need to be reduced sufficiently to allow pole cell formation. To test this possibility, we placed the hgb construct in each of three mutant backgrounds that eliminated the anterior axis morphogens, namely bcd [Frohnhofer and Nüsslein-Volhard 1986], BicD [Molher and Wieschaus 1986], and an-
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Figure 5. Ectopic expression of gcl mRNA and protein using the anterior localization signal of bicoid. (A) The hgb construct with genomic sequences of the gcl-coding region fused to the 3' UTR of bicoid, driven by the hsp83 promoter. (B) Whole-mount in situ hybridization of an hgb embryo using a probe specific for gcl mRNA. (C,E) Whole-mount immunocytochemistry of hgb embryos using anti-gcl antibodies. (C) An early cleavage embryo with detectable gcl protein in the anterior pole before gcl can be detected in the pole plasm. (E) A syncytial blastoderm-stage embryo with gcl protein detectable at both poles. (D,F) High magnification of gcl staining in an hgb embryo. The subcellular localization of gcl protein in the pole cells (D) and on the anterior nuclei (F) is perinuclear.

teriorly localized nanos (Gavis and Lehmann 1992). We found no enhancement or suppression of ectopic gcl activity, indicating that factors other than those that eliminate the anterior morphogens were needed for pole cell formation.

We also tested whether the delocalization of pole plasm components could raise their concentration throughout the rest of the embryo, and increase the likelihood of ectopic pole cell induction by gcl. Among the posterior group mutants we tested (cappucino, spire, oskar, tudor, staufen, and vasa), no enhancement toward pole cell formation was observed.

Ectopic localization of gcl affects the formation of anterior structures

Transgenic females carrying the hgb construct were sterile. Their resulting offspring died either during embryogenesis or as first instar larvae and had anterior defects ranging from malformed mouth hooks and loss of anterior gut, to the loss of the mouth hooks, anterior gut, pharynx, and part of the anterior cuticle [Fig. 6M,N]. The loss of anterior structures may be explained in part by an early loss of somatic cells at the anterior of the blastoderm, as many of the nuclei in the anterior pole fell into the center of the embryo [Fig. 6I]. However, some of the hgb embryos had only a few nuclei that failed to cellularize, and yet all of the hgb embryos lacked some anterior structures. This indicates that some of the cells that form at the anterior pole of the hgb embryos fail to adopt their normal cell fate, or that they died after cellularization. We believe that the missing anterior structures in these embryos are probably caused by later cell loss as we did not detect any changes in the early embryonic fate map. No change in the distribution of hunchback (maternal and zygotic) and knirps expression was detected in hgb versus control embryos [not shown].

gcl protein localizes near the nuclear pores of the pole cell nuclei

The gcl protein is localized specifically to the pole cell nuclei in the blastoderm embryo (Jongens et al. 1992). Immunofluorescence in conjunction with high-resolution three-dimensional wide-field microscopy, and immunoelectron microscopy, indicated that the subcellular distribution of gcl is consistent with an association to the nuclear pores of the germ-cell precursors.

All antibodies directed to proteins of the nuclear pore (Davis and Blobel 1986; Aris and Blobel 1989; Davis and Fink 1990; Nehrbass et al. 1990; Wente et al. 1992) and wheat germ agglutinin, which is likely to bind to nucleoporins containing O-linked oligosaccharides [Finlay et al. 1987], give a distinct punctate perinuclear staining pattern when examined by immunofluorescence. This punctate perinuclear pattern is similar to the staining pattern observed with anti-gcl antibodies. To highlight the punctate staining pattern of gcl, we compared its distribution with that of a B-type lamin in doubly stained embryos (Fig. 7). Previously, B-type laminas have been shown to be a component of the nuclear lamin that is continuously distributed along the inner surface of the nuclear envelope, except near nuclear pores [Dingwall and Laskey 1992; Belmont et al. 1993]. Although the anti-gcl antibody was highly concentrated on the pole cell nuclei [Fig. 7], as opposed to the general staining by the anti-lamin antibody of both germ-line and somatic nuclei [Fig. 7], both staining patterns were perinuclear. The anti-gcl pattern, however, was less continuous along
the nuclear envelope than the pattern obtained with the anti-lamin antibody (Fig. 7) and the two proteins do not colocalize on the surface of the pole cell nuclei (Fig. 7, see legend), consistent with the hypothesis that gel is present at nuclear pores.

To observe the subcellular localization of gel protein in more detail, we used immuno-electron microscopic analysis of blastoderm-stage embryos labeled with anti-gel antibody and a gold-conjugated secondary antibody (see Materials and methods). The gel protein was localized primarily to the nuclear envelope and showed discontinuous distribution (Fig. 8A). Some gel protein was also detected throughout the cytoplasm, as well as within the nucleus.

At higher magnification, most of the gel protein associated with the nuclear envelope was found on the nucleoplasmic side and was almost always near an identifiable nuclear pore complex (NPC) (Fig. 8B,C). NPCs were identified as dark staining regions that spanned the distance between the inner and outer membrane of the nuclear envelope (Fig. 8B,C, short arrows). The observed location of gel with respect to the NPC was variable. Sometimes gel immunoreactivity was directly adjacent to the nucleoplasmic surface of the NPC, but in most cases it was located within 100 nm of one side of the NPC. Occasionally gel protein was found in regions without an identifiable NPC (Fig. 8C, open triangles) and was not always associated with identifiable NPCs (Fig. 8B,C). We presume that this is attributable to separation of the NPC from gel protein during sectioning, given that gel protein is often located just to the side of an NPC and that the sections were only 100 nm thick.
Role of gcl in germ-cell specification

**Discussion**

Our results derived from overexpression and ectopic localization of gcl products suggest that gcl can initiate events in germ-cell formation, but that there is a requirement of at least one other localized factor for complete pole cell determination. The apparent localization of gcl to the nuclear pores of the germ-cell precursors suggests a novel mechanism by which the germ-cell fate is determined.

Figure 7. High-resolution CCD image showing that the subcellular distribution of gcl is distinct from lamin protein. A blastoderm-stage embryo was stained with both anti-lamin and anti-gcl antibodies and visualized using fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies in conjunction with high-resolution three-dimensional wide-field microscopy. Shown is a projection of optical sections taken from the posterior pole of a blastoderm embryo, which include the periphery and top surface of three pole cell nuclei (round nuclei). The distribution of B-type lamin protein, which is present in all nuclei, is shown at the top (red). The gcl protein distribution, which is most concentrated on the pole cell nuclei, is shown in the middle (green). Staining, which appears to be localized within the nucleus, is in fact mostly derived from sections that graze the nuclear surface. The superimposition of both signals is shown at the bottom, with the anti-gcl signal indicated in green and the anti-lamin distribution shown in red. Overlapping signals are shown in yellow. Note the signal derived from the grazing sections suggests little overlap between gcl and lamin protein distributions on the nuclear surface.

gcl is a limiting component of the pole plasm and is capable of initiating the germ-cell specification pathway

The number of pole cells that form initially in an embryo at the syncytial blastoderm stage is determined by the number of nuclei that reach the posterior pole and the percentage of these that are incorporated successfully into pole cells. As the elevation of gcl has no detectable effects on nuclear migration, more of the nuclei that reached the posterior pole must have ended up in pole cells. Thus, the increase of the initial number of pole cells due to an elevation of gcl levels indicates that gcl is capable of initiating or assisting in events that result in the formation of pole cells and that it is a limiting pole plasm component with respect to this process. This is consistent with our previous studies that also demonstrate that the number of pole cells initially formed is dependent on gcl levels (Jongens et al. 1992).

It seems likely that gcl is involved in the pathway to initiate germ-cell specification for several reasons. First, gcl protein specifically associates with those nuclei that will become germ-line nuclei, before the formation of pole cells or pole buds (Jongens et al. 1992). In addition, results obtained in this study suggest that gcl can initiate the specification of germ cells: 1 the early onset of mitotic asynchrony when gcl levels were elevated, and 2 the ectopic initiation of pole cell-like characteristics when the gcl product was localized ectopically. In the wild-type embryos, the onset of mitotic asynchrony and establishment of germ-cell fate occur at the same time, when the pole cells form (Technau and Campos-Ortega 1986, Foe et al. 1993). A similar correlation between the cell cycle and cell fate determination has been documented for other cell types (Marsh et al. 1991, Olson 1992). Hence, the earlier onset of mitotic asynchrony for the pole bud nuclei may indicate an earlier onset of germ-cell fate specification caused by overexpression of gcl.

Similar mitotic asynchrony, as well as the round shape and large buds characteristic of pole cell nuclei, can be
induced by gcl at the anterior pole. These nuclei either fail to cellularize or fail to give rise to anterior structures, indicating that they have adopted a different fate. Although the earlier mitotic and morphologic alterations of the nuclei before cellularization suggest that they may confer the fate of pole cells, the absence of early zygotic pole cell markers prevents us from further testing this possibility at the anterior pole on the affected cells. Nonetheless, the ectopic expression of gcl at the anterior pole clearly causes nuclei in the syncytial blastoderm to take on characteristics of pole cell nuclei and prevents the cells that form subsequently at this location from adopting their normal cell fate.

Although the induction of pole buds was not affected when gcl levels were reduced because of the maternal expression of anti-sense gcl RNA (Jongens et al. 1992), overexpression of gcl causes the formation of supernumerary pole cells by initiating the formation of additional pole buds. In addition, the ectopic placement of gcl resulted in the initiation of ectopic pole buds at the anterior pole of the embryo. Thus, gcl must function before or during the initiation of pole bud formation. It is possible that the levels of gcl were not reduced sufficiently in the embryos with maternal expression of anti-sense gcl RNA to cause an effect on pole bud formation. The level of gcl activity required for the induction of pole bud formation may be much less than that required to complete pole cell formation, which was affected in these embryos (Jongens et al. 1992). To determine more accurately the earliest point at which gcl acts in this pathway, we are currently screening for null mutations in the gcl gene.

The distribution of gcl protein and the mechanism by which it may initiate the germ-cell specification pathway

The subcellular localization of gcl protein is rather intriguing. Our analysis using both high-resolution three-dimensional wide-field microscopy and immunoelectron microscopy indicate that the majority of gcl protein is localized near NPCs. The gcl gene thus encodes the first example of a cell type-specific nuclear pore-associated protein. In addition, the distribution of gcl protein with respect to nuclear pores is novel, in that it is the only known example of a protein that localizes, with such a variable distribution, to the nucleoplasmic side of the nuclear pore.

We do not know what localizes gcl protein near nuclear pores. One possibility is that gcl binds to components of the “basket” structure located on the nucleoplasmic side of the NPC. Ultrastructural analysis of the NPC has revealed a highly organized basket structure formed by filaments that emanate from the nucleoplasmic surface and extend ~100 nm toward the nucleoplasm where they attach to a ring-like structure [Jarnik and Aebi 1991; Ris 1991; Akkey and Radosmacher 1993]. The preservation of the basket structure is known to be highly dependent on fixation conditions, under many fixation conditions it may appear as a less ordered array of filaments, or may not be visible, because of its collapse or loss during sample preparation [Gerace 1992]. The general localization of gcl protein to within 100 nm of the nucleoplasmic surface of a nuclear pore, its variable positioning with respect to pores, and lack of association with all identifiable pores, are consistent with the variability in localization expected for a protein associated with the basket structure.

The localization of gcl protein to the vicinity of the nuclear pore, and its specificity to the pole cell nuclei suggest a possible role in regulating nuclear trafficking. One way gcl may function is by controlling access of germ-cell determinants to a limited number of nuclei at the posterior pole. Alternatively gcl could block nuclear

Figure 8. Immunoelectron microscopy of blastoderm-stage embryos showing the distribution of gcl protein in a single pole cell [A] and along the nuclear envelope of a pole cell nucleus [B, C]. The location of gcl protein is seen as black dots. [N] Nucleoplasm, [C] cytoplasm. In A arrowheads indicate polar granules. In B and C nuclear pores are indicated with arrows; [an open arrow indicates a tangential view of a nuclear pore, [△] gcl protein that is not located near an identifiable NPC. Bar, 200 nm [A], 100 nm [B]. B and C are at the same magnification.
import or export in a way required for germ-cell determination. The limiting level of gcl may thus determine the number of pole cell nuclei that can arise in the syncytial embryo. In considering the specific import or export block model, it is interesting to note that the pole cell nuclei contain a unique organelle referred to as the nuclear body (Mahowald et al. 1979). Because of morphologic similarity, nuclear bodies have been proposed to consist of polar granule material (Mahowald et al. 1979). In fact, like polar granules, they have been shown to contain vasa protein (Hay et al. 1988a). Nuclear bodies are detected in pole cells as soon as they form. These structures increase in number and size, then begin to fragment and disappear at stage 11 (6.5 hr) (Mahowald et al. 1979). Interestingly, the appearance and loss of gcl protein closely brackets the appearance and disappearance of the nuclear bodies (Jongens et al. 1992).

Results from Raff and Glover (1989) suggest that nuclei are not required to induce the formation of pole cells. They found that anucleate pole cell-like cells formed when DNA synthesis was blocked during the cleavage stages of embryogenesis. The formation of these pole cell-like cells was initiated presumably by centrosomes that, in the absence of nuclei, replicated and migrated into the pole plasm. At present we do not know how to reconcile these results with the localization of gcl near nuclear pores and its requirement for pole cell formation. Possibly there is an alternate pathway by which the formation of pole cells can be initiated, in the absence of nuclei.

Requirements for the establishment of the germ line
The results from this study indicate that gcl activity alone is not sufficient for pole cell formation or maintenance. Therefore, at least one other pole plasm component is required for these processes. In the case of gcl overexpression, there could be enough of this additional factor in the pole plasm to allow the formation of more pole cells, but not enough to maintain or fully establish pole cell identity. This additional factor, like gcl, could be present at background levels throughout the rest of the embryo, so as to allow ectopically localized gcl to initiate some events of pole cell formation.

In addition to gcl, we know of seven other genes that encode pole plasm components and could be involved in pole cell formation or maintenance (oskar, Ephrussi et al. 1991; Kim-Ha et al. 1991; vasa, Hay et al. 1988b; Lasko and Ashburner 1988; tudor, Bardsley et al. 1993; cyclin B, Whitfield et al. 1989; Lehner and O'Farrell 1990; orb, Lantz et al. 1992; mitochrondrial IrRNA, Kobayashi et al. 1993; hsp83, Ding et al. 1993). The products of oskar, vasa, and tudor clearly have a role in organizing the germ-cell determinants (for review, see Ding and Lipshitz 1993; Lehmann and Rongo 1993; Wilson and MacDonald 1993). It is conceivable that they may also have functions specifically required for pole cell formation (Ephrussi and Lehmann 1992, Smith et al. 1992; Bardsley et al. 1993). The ability of mitochondrial IrRNA to rescue pole cell formation in UV-treated embryos implies a role of mitochondrial functions in pole cell formation (Kobayashi and Okada 1989). In addition to these known pole plasm components, products of the agametic gene may also be pole plasm components as the maternal contribution of this gene is implicated in pole cell maintenance (Engstrom et al. 1982). It will be informative to investigate which of these or other as yet unidentified pole plasm components when ectopically colocalized with gcl will enhance the transformation toward pole cell fate.

Material and methods

Construction of hg and hgb transformant lines

gcl genomic sequences were obtained from an 11.0-kb ClaI fragment contained in pBluescript (pgel gen. #1). The hsp83 promoter sequences were derived from a Dm 4.46. The 3' UTR sequences of bicoid mRNA were derived from pbcg 3' UTR. The hgb construct was made by replacing the gcl 3' UTR with a portion of the bcd 3' UTR. gcl genomic sequences from Pmll (+1936, 6 bp downstream of the gcl stop codon) to Apol (poly-linker site) were replaced with the MluI–Apol sequences from pbcg 3' UTR (downstream of the nanos response element site; Wharton and Struhl 1991), but containing the anterior localization signal and poly(A) site of bicoid (Macdonald and Struhl 1988), making the construct pgel–bcd. A unique Spel site was engineered at +3 of gcl using site-directed mutagenesis. The hsp83 promoter sequences from −880 (an engineered NotI site) to +15 (BsrWI) were introduced into pgel–bcd after digestion with NotI and Spel. The hgb construct was made by replacing the BamHI–Apol fragment (+238 to the polylinker of pgel–bcd) with BamHI–Apol sequences (+238 to ~1.0 kb downstream of gcl) from gcl gen. #1. Both constructs were inserted between P-element ends of pW8 (Thummel et al. 1988) using the unique NotI and Apol sites flanking the constructs and digesting pW8 with NotI and Xhol. Transgenic lines were obtained using standard techniques (Spradling 1986).

Fly stocks
The host for all of the transgenic experiments with the hg and hgb constructs is w− and is described in Lindsay and Zimm (1992). The hgb construct was introduced into several posterior group mutant backgrounds using the following balancer yw, Bc, Elp/CyO; Ki/TM6, Ubx constructed by Ellsworth Grell. The following posterior group mutants were used in this study: cappucinoG7, oskarG3, staufenD3, tudorG6, vasaG6, and valoisRBT1, as well as the following fly stocks: BicD71a/BicD71a, bcd61 [Lindsay and Zimm 1992] and [nanos/bicoid] n-b2-4 (Gavis and Lehmann 1992).

Immunocytochemistry and in situ hybridization

The procedure for antibody staining and in situ hybridization of whole-mount embryos was described previously (Bodmer and Jan 1987, Jongens et al. 1992). Anti-vasa monoclonal mAb46F11, purified from ascites, was used at a concentration of 1:500. Anti-gcl antibody prepared as described in Jongens et al. (1992) was used at a concentration of 1:2000. Comparative antibody stainings and whole-mount in situ hybridizations were done by fixing, staining, and developing the staining reactions for several preparations in parallel; all such reactions were initiated and terminated at the same time.
Pole cell counts

Pole cell counts of cellular blastoderm and stage 14 embryos were made using 0- to 4-hr and 8- to 12-hr collections, respectively, which were fixed and stained with anti-vasa or anti-gcl antibodies [Hay et al. 1988a; Jongens et al. 1992]. The "cellular blastoderm" stage counts were performed on embryos that had initiated or just completed cellularization. Stage 14 embryos were identified based on embryonic gut and anterior morphology and the coalescence of pole cells in the embryonic gonad. The pole cells were counted as in Jongens et al. [1992].

Pole cell counts at the time of pole cell formation were made on 0- to 3-hr embryo collections stained with anti-vasa antibody followed by a fluorescently labeled secondary antibody and Hoescht (1.0 ug/ml). Pole cell counts were made on embryos that were between interphase and mitosis of nuclear cycle 11. Embryos were determined to be at nuclear cycle 11 based on nuclear density at the periphery and completion of cytokinesis at the posterior pole. Only completely formed cells that stained positive with vasa antibodies were counted.

Analysis of nuclear divisions

To analyze nuclear divisions and nuclear size, we fixed embryo collections and stained them with Hoescht. Pole bud nuclei were scored as delayed in their nuclear divisions if the majority of the pole bud nuclei were still in metaphase or prophase when all of the somatic-destined nuclei were at or beyond anaphase. The compaction of the nuclei was determined by comparing the diameter of the nuclei in pole buds to the diameter of somatic-destined nuclei at the lateral, anterior, and posterior positions of the embryo. A binary z-score was calculated to determine if the observed frequencies of size difference and mitotic asynchrony between the pole bud and somatic nuclei were statistically significant. A z >2.58 indicates a >99% probability that the data are significantly different. The hgb embryos were analyzed similarly, except that the anterior nuclei were compared to the somatic nuclei in the posterior two-thirds of the embryo.

To analyze nuclear divisions in living embryos, bleach dechorionated embryos were mounted on coverslips and covered with a 1:1 mix of 3S and 75 of Voltalef oil. Nuclear divisions were observed at the periphery of the embryos using a 40× objective, immersed in the Voltalef oil, in conjunction with DIC optics. Mitotic waves were either observed using time-lapse CCD photography or manual observation.

Northern analysis

For comparison of gcl levels between the control (host line) and hgb embryos, RNA was prepared from 0- to 1-hr collections and analyzed by Northern analysis and quantitated as described previously [Jongens et al. 1992].

High-resolution three-dimensional wide-field analysis of the subcellular localization of gcl

Embryos were fixed and stained with both anti-gcl and anti-lamin monoclonal antibodies as described above. Three-dimensional images of immunostained embryos were recorded using a CCD-based imaging system. For design and specifications of the system, see Hiraoka et al. [1991] and Kam et al. [1993]. Optical sections (512×512 pixels; effective pixel size = 0.0744 μm) were recorded with an Olympus Plan Apochromat 60×/NA1.4 lens at 0.2-μm intervals by changing the microscope focus with a computer-controlled Nanomover motor [Melles Griot, Inc., Rochester, NY]. Multiple wavelength three-dimensional images were recorded in a single focal series through a multipass dichroic mirror [Chroma Technology Inc., Brattleboro, VT] by alternating the appropriate bandpass excitation and emission filters for fluorescein isothiocyanate and Texas red [Chroma Technology Inc.] at each focal plane. Iterative, constrained, three-dimensional deconvolution was then used to remove out-of-focus information in the images [Agard et al. 1989; Hiraoka et al. 1991]. This technique debirs an image by moving out-of-focus intensity back to its originating point based on an empirical measure of the "point-spread" function, the blurring of an image caused by the limited resolution of the objective lens. Projections through selected regions of the data stack were calculated as described previously [Agard et al. 1989].

Immunoelectron microscopic analysis of gcl protein distribution

Blastoderm-stage embryos were dechorionated with sodium hypochlorite [0.5× bleach], rinsed with ddH2O, and prefixed in a 1:1 mix of heptane: 0.1 M NaPO4 [pH 7.2] with 4% formaldehyde [EM grade, Polysciences] and 0.02% glutaraldehyde [EM grade, Ted Pella Inc.] on a rotary mixing table at 300 rpm for 30 min at room temperature. The embryos were transferred to a 1:1 mix of heptane: 1× PBS, then hand devitellinized by rubbing between a frosted end of a slide and a cover slip. Completely devitellinized embryos were obtained by the procedure described in the heptane: 1× PBS interface. The devitellinized embryos were then permeabilized and blocked with 1× PBS containing 5% normal goat serum, 2% BSA, 2 mg/ml glycine, and 0.05% saponin for 15 min at room temperature. The antibody staining was carried out as described above, except that the anti-gcl antibody was used at a concentration of 1:200 or 1:500 in 1× PBS, 5% normal goat serum, 2% BSA for 3 hr at room temperature. Anti-gcl antibody was omitted in an aliquot of embryos that were processed in parallel to determine the gcl-dependent signal. The localization of label along the nuclear envelope was anti-gcl dependent. The embryos were washed three times for 10 min, three times for 30 min, and then stained with a goat Fab'1/2 anti-rabbit coupled to 1.4-nm gold particles [Nano-probes] for 3 hr at room temperature. After the secondary antibody reaction and washing, the embryos were rinsed three times for 5 min in 0.1 M sodium phosphate [pH 7.2], then postfixed in 0.1 M sodium phosphate [pH 7.2] with 4% formaldehyde and 2% glutaraldehyde, for 1 hr at room temperature. The 1.4 nm gold label was enhanced using an N-propyl) gallate silver lactate enhancement for 8–20 min, followed by neutral fixer [Burty et al. 1992]. The embryos were then rinsed with 0.1 M NaPO4 [pH 7.2] twice for 5 min, twice in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate [pH 7.2] for 5 min, then fixed with 0.1% OsO4 for 30 min and rinsed with 0.1 M sodium cacodylate [pH 7.2]. The embryos were then dehydrated in an ethanol series three times at 35%, 70%, 95%, and 100%. Propylene oxide was used as a transitional fluid to Embed epoxy resin [Polysciences]. Subsequent to polymerization at 60°C for 48 hr between two microscope slides coated with dimethyl dichlorosilane, individual embryos were selected and mounted on a blank epoxy block with cyanocrylate adhesive. Sections (100-nm) were cut, then stained with 2% aqueous uranyl magnesium acetate and lead citrate. Specimens were examined and photographed at 80 kV.
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Germ cell-less encodes a cell type-specific nuclear pore-associated protein and functions early in the germ-cell specification pathway of Drosophila.
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