Variation of grain nitrogen content in relation with grain yield in old and modern Spanish wheats grown under a wide range of agronomic conditions in a Mediterranean region
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SUMMARY

Wheat yield and grain nitrogen concentration (GNC; mg N/g grain) are frequently negatively correlated. In most growing conditions, this is mainly due to a feedback process between GNC and the number of grains/m². In Mediterranean conditions, breeders may have produced cultivars with conservative grain set. The present study aimed at clarifying the main physiological determinants of grain nitrogen accumulation (GNA) in Mediterranean wheat and to analyse how breeding has affected them. Five field experiments were carried out in north-eastern Spain in the 2005/06 and 2006/07 growing seasons with three cultivars released at different times and an advanced line. Depending on the experiment, source-sink ratios during grain filling were altered by reducing grain number/m² either through pre-anthesis shading (unshaded control or 0·75 shading only between jointing and anthesis) or by directly trimming the spikes after anthesis and before the onset of the effective grain filling period (un-trimmed control or spikes halved 7–10 days after anthesis). Grain nitrogen content (GN content; mg N/grain) decreased with the year of release of the genotypes. As the number of grains/m² was also increased by breeding there was a clear dilution effect on the amount of nitrogen allocated to each grain. However, the increase in GN content in old genotypes did not compensate for the loss in grain nitrogen yield (GNY) due to the lower number of grains/m². GN content of all genotypes increased (increases ranged from 0·13 to 0·40 mg N/grain, depending on experiment and genotype) in response to the post-anthesis spike trimming or pre-anthesis shading. The degree of source-limitation for GNA increased with the year of release of the genotypes (and thus with increases in grain number/m²) from 0·22 (mean of the four manipulative experiments) in the oldest cultivar to 0·51 (mean of the four manipulative experiments) in the most modern line. It was found that final GN content depended strongly on the source-sink ratio established at anthesis between the number of grains set and the amount of nitrogen absorbed at this stage. Thus, Mediterranean wheat breeding that improved yield through increases in grain number/m² reduced the GN content by diluting a rather limited source of nitrogen into more grains. This dilution effect produced by breeding was further confirmed by the reversal effect produced by grain number/m² reductions due to either pre-anthesis shading or post-anthesis spike trimming.

INTRODUCTION

Productivity has been the main goal of traditional agricultural systems. Currently, there is increased concern about the quality of both crop production and the environmental contamination that the over-utilized inputs may produce. In this context, the nitrogen economy of crops acquires great relevance, and particularly when wheat is grown in Mediterranean environments that are characterized by drought and high temperatures during the grain filling period (Bidinger et al. 1977; Shepherd et al.)
1987; Papakosta & Gagianas 1991), which limit yield (Acevedo et al. 1999) and consequently nitrogen use efficiency (R. Savin, personal communication).

In wheat and other cereal crops, yield and grain nitrogen concentration (GNC; Pepe & Heiner 1975; Day et al. 1985; Heitholt et al. 1990; Naylor & Stephen 1993; Calderini et al. 1995a, b; Oury et al. 2003; Triboi et al. 2006) or grain protein content (Simmonds 1996; Triboi & Triboi-Blondel 2002; Guarda et al. 2004) are commonly negatively correlated. In fact, Benzian & Lane (1981) and Jenner (1991) reported that while starch deposition lies on an asymptotic region of a rate v. supply relationship (source is higher than grain growth capacity), protein deposition lies on a linear region (source is clearly limiting grain nitrogen accumulation (GNA)). This was later confirmed by Triboi & Triboi-Blondel (2002), Martre et al. (2003) and Triboi et al. (2006), who showed that the grain itself limits starch synthesis more than protein synthesis, while protein synthesis is more source-limited than starch synthesis. Thus, understanding the basis of GNA could help breeders to determine whether they can break the negative relationship between yield and GNC to improve both traits simultaneously (or at least improve one without negative effects on the other). For this purpose, studying the pre-anthesis nitrogen accumulation and further remobilization to grains in cultivars released at different breeding periods may be relevant. Studies reporting results of breeding effects on the capacity of wheat cultivars to uptake and remobilize nitrogen (e.g. Fischer & Wall 1976; Austin et al. 1980; Slafer et al. 1990; Papakosta & Gagianas 1991; Canevara et al. 1994; Calderini et al. 1995b; Foulkes et al. 1998; Muurinen et al. 2007) generally found that GNC decreased with the year of release of cultivars.

GNC depends mainly on the remobilization of nitrogen accumulated before anthesis and stored in vegetative tissues (Spieritz & De Vos 1983; Van Sanford & MacKown 1987). The ability of the crop to export nitrogen from vegetative tissues may come either from improved capacity of the grain to accumulate nitrogen or from greater nitrogen supply to the grains (Triboi & Triboi-Blondel 2002). In fact, reports in wheat and other cereals have shown that GNA may depend upon an intrinsic grain control (Borghi et al. 1986; Wyss et al. 1991; Mattsson et al. 1993) or, more importantly, by the nitrogen source-strength (Barlow et al. 1983; Wyss et al. 1991; Barneix & Guitman 1993; Ma et al. 1995, 1996; Dreccer et al. 1997; Voltas et al. 1997; Martre et al. 2003). Breeding has decreased the post-anthesis source-sink balance of wheat by noticeably increasing grain number/m², while crop growth capacity was not clearly altered (Calderini et al. 1999), even under Mediterranean growing conditions such as those of Spain (Acreche & Slafer 2009). This fact could imply changes within improved cultivars in the degree of nitrogen source-limitation for GNA that wheat is generally reported to have (Radley & Thorne 1981; Koshkin & Tararina 1989; MacKown et al. 1992; Ma et al. 1995, 1996; Martre et al. 2003). To the best of our knowledge, the only experiment studying the GNA of cultivars differing considerably in grain number/m² (the main yield component improved by breeders) subjected to different source-sink ratios during grain filling was by Martre et al. (2003). They reported increased nitrogen source-limitation (from 0.09 in the cultivar with the lowest number of grains/m² to 0.29 in the cultivar with the highest grain number/m²) for grain nitrogen content (GN content). However, the study of Martre et al. (2003) was not conducted under Mediterranean conditions, such as those of southern Europe, where successful cultivars may depend on better reserve accumulation rather than fruiting efficiency (grain number/unit of spike dry matter at anthesis; Acreche et al. 2008). The fact that the accumulation of nitrogen in the grains was expressed as GN content (mg N/grain) and not as GNC (mg N/g grain) is due to the fact that altering the source-sink balance generally produced changes in the average grain weight that could lead to a confused interpretation of the accumulation of nitrogen in the grains if expressed as GNC.

As nitrogen absorption occurs mainly during pre-anthesis (Austin et al. 1977; Loffler et al. 1985; Heitholt et al. 1990), and due to the fact that during this period the number of grains/unit area is being determined (Kirby 1988; Slafer 2003), altering the growing conditions during this period may allow further exploration of the basis of GNA. It has been widely reported that pre-anthesis shading dramatically reduces the number of grains/m² (e.g. Fischer & Stockman 1980; Thorne & Wood 1987; Savin & Slafer 1991; Wang et al. 2003; Demotes-Mainard & Jeuffroy 2004; González et al. 2005) and that the main genetic gains in yield were due to increased number of grains/m² (see Slafer et al. 1994; Calderini et al. 1999), even under Mediterranean conditions (Acreche et al. 2008). However, to the best of our knowledge no study has been conducted combining, in a factorial design, the effects of pre-anthesis shading and cultivars of different potential number of grains/m² on the GNA. Only Slafer et al. (1994), Wang et al. (2003) and Acreche et al. (2009) have conducted experiments on the effect of pre-anthesis shading treatments on cultivars of different potential number of grains/m² but none of them reported results on GNC or on the nitrogen economy of the crop.

The present study aimed at clarifying the main physiological determinants of GNA in wheat under a wide range of Mediterranean conditions (low, moderate and high inputs) and to analyse how breeding has affected them. For this purpose, old and modern
genotypes were compared and their responses to treatments of source-sink ratios (pre-anthesis shading or post-anthesis spike trimming) were analysed in Mediterranean conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General

Five field experiments were carried out at the province of Lleida (Catalonia, north-eastern Spain) during the 2005/06 and 2006/07 growing seasons. Two of the experiments were conducted at Gimenells (41°37’N, 0°22’E, 248 m asl) under high inputs and well irrigated conditions, one in 2005/06 and the other in 2006/07 (hereafter Expt 1 and Expt 2, respectively). Another two experiments at Gimenells were carried out in 2006/07 under moderate inputs, mainly conducted under rainfed conditions but within an irrigated area (hereafter Expt 3 and Expt 4). The last experiment was conducted at Foradada (41°51’N, 1°0’E, 407 m asl) under low inputs and rainfed conditions in 2005/06 (hereafter Expt 5). Table 1 summarizes the main characteristics of the five experiments.

Plots were kept weed- and pest-free with recommended products in all experiments. To prevent lodging, nets that did not modify the canopy architecture were installed at Gimenells near the boot stage for the oldest and tallest cultivar (Aragon 03). All experiments were sown in mid-November (Table 1) and at a sowing density of 350 seeds/m². Sowing times (Table 1) and plant densities were those most common in the region.

Treatments and design

Each experiment used either four or two bread wheats (Table 1). When four genotypes were used, they were a widely grown landrace (Aragon 03) reported to be cultivated since 1940, cultivars Estrella and Anza (released in 1960 and 1974, respectively) and the advanced line ID-2151 developed by the bread wheat programme of the Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries (IRTA) selected for its good performance since 2005 in several comparative experiments of the ‘National Variety Testing and Registration Yield Trials’ run by the Spanish Office of Vegetable Variety (OEVV). When there were two genotypes, they were the oldest (Aragon 03) and the most modern (ID-2151) ones. These genotypes were selected from a previous study (Acreche et al. 2008) because they represent different periods of cultivation well, as well as the overall trends of breeding effects from 1940 to 2005 on crop yield in Mediterranean Spain. Additional details of these genotypes can be found in Acreche et al. (2008).

In the two experiments including post-anthesis spike trimming (Expts 1 and 5), treatments consisted
of the factorial combination of the four genotypes and two source-sink ratios during grain filling. All the spikelets from the upper half of the spikes of every single shoot in an area 1-2 m² were hand removed (trimmed spikes) at 7–10 days after anthesis (Decimal Code [DC] 7.1, Zadoks et al. 1974), while the other part of the plots remained unaltered as controls. Both experiments were arranged in a split-plot design where genotypes were the main plots and the source-sink ratios the subplots. Main plots (eight rows, 0-15 m apart and 5 m long) were randomized in three blocks.

In the two pre-anthesis shading experiments (Expts 2 and 4), treatments consisted of the factorial combination of the two most contrasting wheats (Aragon 03 and ID-2151) and two pre-anthesis shading treatments: unshaded control and shaded from jointing (DC 3.1) to anthesis (DC 6.5). Shading treatments were imposed by a black shade cloth that decreased light intensity by 0.75 ± 0.023. Shades were suspended at least 0.1 m above the top of the canopy. Hobo Canopy Temperature Sensors (Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA, USA) were installed 0.2 m below the top of the canopy in order to monitor possible changes in air temperature due to the shades. Both experiments were arranged in a split-plot design where genotypes were the main plots and the pre-anthesis shading treatments the subplots. Main plots (16 rows, 0-15 m apart and 5 m long) were randomized in three blocks, while eight of the 16 rows were completely shaded generating the sub-plots.

Experiment 3 compared the four genotypes in a randomized complete block design with three replications. Plots consisted of eight rows, 0-15 m apart and 5 m long.

Sampling and measurements

At anthesis and maturity (DC 9.2) all plots and subplots, depending on the experiment, were sampled. Samples consisted of all plants in 0.5 m of central rows at anthesis or 1 m at maturity. As the subplots were relatively small, extreme care was taken to maintain the reliability of the results. Plots were not only sown with experimental machinery that is normally very uniform but also soon after seedling emergence many sectors of the plots in which plants were at the exact density and uniformity expected were tagged and then random sampling was restricted to these tagged sectors. Leaf blades, stems (including leaf sheaths), spikes and grains (the latter only at maturity) were oven-dried and weighed separately. Then samples were milled and the nitrogen content was determined in each case by a micro-Kjeldahl method.

Data from the experiments were subjected to analysis of variance with the SAS system (SAS Institute 2003). The degree of association between different traits was estimated by linear regression models.

RESULTS

Breeding effects on nitrogen accumulation and partitioning

GN content was significantly different between genotypes in all experiments (Table 2). In general, the newer the genotype (and so the higher the number of grains/m²), the lower the GN content (Fig. 1). These differences were not evident for grain nitrogen yield (GNY) and total nitrogen accumulated (TNA) in the above ground biomass at maturity. While GNY was only significantly different between genotypes in the high inputs experiments (Expts 1 and 2), the TNA at maturity was, in general, not significantly different between genotypes (Table 2). In those cases in which GNY was significantly different, there was a trend to increase with the year of release of the genotype (Fig. 2).

The nitrogen harvest index (NHI; GNY as a proportion of TNA at maturity) showed differences between genotypes only in the high inputs experiment of the 2006/07 season (Expt 2; Fig. 2). In this case, the modern line ID-2151 had higher NHI (0.71) than the old genotype Aragon 03 (0.57).

Although there were no major trends for TNA at maturity, clear differences at anthesis were observed in those experiments in which this was measured (Expts 1, 3 and 5; Table 2). Aragon 03 had higher TNA at anthesis than the mean value of TNA at anthesis of the other genotypes: 300 ± 250, 163 ± 126 and 131 ± 107 kg N/ha in the high (Expt 1) and low (Expt 5) inputs experiments of the 2005/06 season and in the moderate inputs experiment of the 2006/07 season (Expt 3), respectively. However, due to their constitutive differences in partitioning, the spike nitrogen content (SN content) at anthesis was 55% higher in Anza and 22% higher in ID-2151 than the mean value of SN content at anthesis of the other genotypes in the high inputs experiment of the 2005/06 season (Expt 1) and moderate inputs experiment of the 2006/07 season (Expt 3), respectively.

GNA as affected by trimming the spikes after anthesis

The GN content of all genotypes increased significantly in the high (Expt 1) and low (Expt 5) inputs experiments of the 2005/06 season in response to halving the grain number per spike (Table 2), showing an increase in GN content of 0.21 and 0.32 mg N/grain (mean of all genotypes) of the GN content in Expts 1 and 5, respectively (Fig. 1a, b). The degree of source-limitation (relative increase, in percentage, of the GN content of trimmed plots with respect to controls) for GNA increased with the year of release.
Table 2. Mean squares for GN content, GNY, total nitrogen absorbed (TNA) at anthesis and maturity, SN content at anthesis and NHI of cultivars Aragon 03, Estrella and Anza and line ID-2151 in three groups of experiments: comparative experiments (including one experiment with four genotypes under moderate inputs conditions), post-anthesis spike trimming experiments (including four genotypes and two spike trimming treatments in two experiments, low and high inputs conditions) and pre-anthesis shading experiments (including two genotypes and two pre-anthesis shading treatments in two experiments, moderate and high inputs conditions)

| Source of variation                  | GN content (mg N/grain) | P     | GNY (kg N/ha) | P     | TNA at maturity (kg N/ha) | P     | TNA at anthesis (kg N/ha)* | P     | SN content at anthesis (kg N/ha)* | P     | NHI | P     |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|-----|-------|
| **Comparative experiment**          |                         |       |               |       |                          |       |                          |       |                                  |       |     |       |
| Genotype (G)                        | 0.09                     | P < 0.01 | 203           |       | 601                       |       | 1101                      | P < 0.05 | 41.3                            | P < 0.001 | 15.7 |       |
| Error                               | 0.001                    |       | 317           |       | 590                       |       | 309                       |       | 12.5                            | P < 0.001 | 5.1  |       |
| **Post-anthesis trimming experiments** |                        |       |               |       |                          |       |                          |       |                                  |       |     |       |
| Genotype (G)                        | 0.11                     | P < 0.01 | 1000          | P < 0.01 | 1012                      | P < 0.01 | 3130                      | P < 0.01 | 210                            | P < 0.001 | 52.3 |       |
| Trimmed treatment (Tt)              | 0.83                     | P < 0.01 | 8844          | P < 0.001 | 12180                     | P < 0.01 | 71504                     | P < 0.001 | 1413                           | P < 0.001 | 47   |       |
| G × Tt                              | 0.01                     | P < 0.05 | 13.2          |       | 858                       |       |                          |       | 339                            | P < 0.001 | 20   |       |
| Experiment (Exp)                    | 0.46                     | P < 0.001 | 118738        | P < 0.001 | 518531                    | P < 0.001 | 71504                     | P < 0.001 | 1413                           | P < 0.001 | 47   |       |
| G × Exp                            | 0.02                     | P < 0.01 | 693           | P < 0.05 | 1291                      |       |                          |       | 311                            | P < 0.001 | 31.8 | P < 0.05 |
| Tt × Exp                           | 0.03                     | P < 0.01 | 2591          | P < 0.001 | 10153                     | P < 0.001 | –                        |       | 652                            | P < 0.05  |       |       |
| G × Tt × Exp                       | 0.001                    |       | 95.3          |       | 641                       |       |                          |       | 15.4                           |       |     |       |
| Error                               | 0.002                    |       | 152           |       | 500                       |       | 574                       |       | 9.1                            |       | 8.4 |       |
| **Pre-anthesis shading experiments** |                        |       |               |       |                          |       |                          |       |                                  |       |     |       |
| Genotype (G)                        | 0.46                     | P < 0.001 | 10278         | P < 0.01 | 3971                      | P < 0.05 | –                        |       | 632                            | P < 0.01 | 519  | P < 0.01 |
| Shading treatment (Sh)              | 0.38                     | P < 0.01 | 13263         | P < 0.01 | 3089                      | –       |                           |       | 1991                           | P < 0.01 | 899  | P < 0.001 |
| G × Sh                             | 0.05                     |       | 43.2          |       | 456                       | –       | 244                       |       | 26.8                           |       |     |       |
| Experiment (Exp)                    | 0.41                     | P < 0.001 | 41849         | P < 0.001 | 111674                    | P < 0.001 | –                        |       | 1172                           | P < 0.001 | 97.2 | P < 0.05 |
| G × Exp                            | 0.006                    |       | 6042          | P < 0.01 | 1421                      | –       | 285                       | P < 0.05 | 243                            | P < 0.01 |     |       |
| Sh × Exp                           | 0.0001                   |       | 5163          | P < 0.01 | 321                       | –       | 0.39                      |       | 268                            | P < 0.001 |     |       |
| G × Sh × Exp                       | 0.04                     | P < 0.01 | 834           |       | 3450                      | P < 0.05 | –                        |       | 14.1                           |       | 5.0  |       |
| Error                               | 0.003                    |       | 237           |       | 421                       | –       | 41.2                      |       | 8.8                            |       |     |       |

* The TNA and SN content at anthesis for the post-anthesis spike trimming experiments was analysed as a complete randomized block design with genotypes as treatment, because the treatment began after anthesis. In the case of TNA at anthesis of the pre-anthesis shading experiments, no samples were taken from vegetative tissues.
of the genotypes (and so with the number of grains/m²) in both experiments from 23% (mean of both experiments) in the oldest cultivar to 48% (mean of both experiments) in the most modern line (Fig. 3). This indicates that the main effect of breeding on GN content was due to a dilution of a limited amount of nitrogen in an increased number of grains/m².

The increase in GN content did not compensate for the GNY loss due to trimming the spikes and so control plots had 41.9 (mean of all genotypes) and 13.2 (mean of all genotypes) kg N/ha more than trimmed plots in Expts 1 and 5, respectively. The relative decrease of GNY due to the trimming treatment was lower than the relative decrease of grain

Fig. 1. Relationship between the GN content and the number of grains/m² of cultivars Aragon 03 (●, ○), Estrella (●, ○) and Anza (▲, △), and line ID-2151 (■, □) in: (a) and (c) high (fertilized and irrigated); (b) low (without fertilization and rainfed); (d) and (e) moderate (fertilized and rainfed) inputs experiments. Panels (a) and (b) also show the response to the trimming treatment (white symbols), while panels (c) and (d) show the response to the shading treatment (white symbols). The bar and the number in brackets on the left side of each panel are the standard error of the mean and the degrees of freedom.
yield due to the same treatment, showing that GNY is less sink-limited than grain yield (Fig. 4, squares).

The significant interaction between trimming treatments and experiments (Table 2) for TNA at maturity showed that there were differences between control and trimmed plots only in Expt 1, where control plots had higher (73 kg N/ha, mean of all genotypes) TNA at maturity than trimmed plots. In the low inputs experiment, the control had higher GNY than trimmed plots but similar TNA at maturity, indicating that under stressful conditions wheat removed more of the stored nitrogen to the grains. This was corroborated by the higher decrease in NHI due to trimming the spikes in Expt 5 (0.24, mean of all genotypes) than in Expt 1 (0.11, mean of all genotypes).

Pre-anthesis shading effects on nitrogen balance
Pre-anthesis shading increased GN content significantly (Table 2) in both Aragon 03 and ID-2151 in Expts 2 and 4. GN content increased by 0.20 mg N/grain (mean of both experiments) in the landrace and by 0.32 mg N/grain (mean of both experiments) in the modern line (Fig. 1 c, d). Again, when the number of grains/m² increased (modern line) the degree of source-limitation for GN content was also increased, producing a stronger response to a reduced sink-strength.

The increase in GN content did not compensate for the GNY loss due to the pre-anthesis shading and so GNY was 51 kg N/ha (mean of both genotypes) higher in the unshaded control than in shaded plots. The relative decrease of GNY due to the pre-anthesis shading treatment was higher than the relative decrease of grain yield (Fig. 4, circles). This could imply that GNY was more sink-limited than grain yield. However, in this case, the shaded plots absorbed at anthesis less than 0.60 of the TNA at maturity, while unshaded controls absorbed at anthesis c. 0.80 of TNA at maturity. Thus, the higher relative reduction of GNY due to pre-anthesis
shading treatments was affected by the lower N absorbed by the crop.

Again, the treatment that reduced the number of grains/m$^2$ (shaded plots) had lower GNY and similar TNA at maturity than unshaded control (237 v. 263 kg N/ha, mean of both genotypes and experiments). This was evident in the higher NHI of unshaded controls with respect to the shaded plots in Expts 3 and 4, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study revealed that GNA in wheat under Mediterranean conditions is strongly source-limited. There was a clear breeding effect in reducing the GN content through increases in grain number/m$^2$, producing an important dilution of the nitrogen absorbed by the crop into more grains. Although this was a clear trend in the different experiments of the present paper, the magnitude of the dilution effect differed depending on the agronomic condition of each experiment. The present results corroborate those from other wheat growing areas (e.g. Naylor & Stephen 1993; Calderini *et al.* 1995$b$; Oury *et al.* 2003; Guarda *et al.* 2004), opposing the speculation that successful cultivars in Mediterranean areas would have developed a more conservative determination of grain number/m$^2$, in which case GNA would be less source-limited than modern wheats of non-Mediterranean regions. The fact that wheat is mainly source-limited for GNA agree with Radley & Thorne (1981), Koshkin & Tararina (1989), MacKown *et al.* (1992), Ma *et al.* (1995, 1996), Martre *et al.* (2003) and Triboi *et al.* (2006). The response to improved nitrogen source-sink ratios was generally greater when GN content was lower (Fig. 5), as low GN content probably reveals strong source-limitation for GNA. It is notable that the spike trimming treatment could alter the photosynthetic rate of the plants, which would lead to an incorrect estimate of the nitrogen source-limitation. In fact, Acreche & Slafer (2009) showed that there was a higher leaf maximum photosynthesis rate ($A_{\text{max}}$) in control than in trimmed wheat plants. However, the increase in $A_{\text{max}}$ was not proportionally related to the decrease of the number of grains/m$^2$. The present...
results also agree with those reported by Martre et al. (2003), in that GN content in genotypes with higher numbers of grains/m² to the amount of nitrogen absorbed at anthesis for comparative (▲; Expt 3), post-anthesis spike trimming (■; Expts 1 and 5) and pre-anthesis shading (●; Expts 2 and 4) experiments.

While GNY was unaltered by breeding when wheat was grown under low or moderate inputs conditions, breeding increased GNY under high inputs conditions ranging from 155 to 265 kg N/ha. These values are similar to those reported in other wheat growing areas (e.g. Calderini et al. 1995b; Foulkes et al. 1998; Martre et al. 2003) and also to those reported under Mediterranean conditions by Papakosta & Gagianas (1991) in Greece as well as from what can be inferred from results of Canevara et al. (1994) in Italy. However, the NHI in many treatments of the present experiment was lower than that reported in those studies. This could be related to the low harvest index (HI) in the present experiment (Acreche et al. 2008), which showed a reduced partitioning of resources under Mediterranean conditions. Both NHI and HI values would have been reduced by the high and stressful temperatures during grain filling, which reduced crop growth (Schenk 1996) or by the terminal drought. The similar GNY and lower HI and NHI in the Spanish Mediterranean region show that there is an important part of the carbohydrate and nitrogen absorbed by the crop that was not remobilized to grains. This might be behind the relatively low success of newer cultivars released in Mediterranean Spain during the last few decades, particularly under low-yielding conditions (Acreche et al. 2008).

As the main source of nitrogen for the grains is the stored nitrogen accumulated in vegetative organs before anthesis (Spiertz & De Vos 1983; Van Sanford & MacKown 1987), and since GN content decreased in the present work due to a dilution effect revealed that final GN content depends strongly on the source-sink ratio established at anthesis between the number of grains set and the amount of nitrogen absorbed at this stage (Fig. 6). However, under favourable conditions, there may be an important part of nitrogen absorbed by the crop during post-anthesis that could increase GNC (e.g. Mi et al. 2000; Woolfolk et al. 2002; Bly & Woodward 2003). Nevertheless, this source of nitrogen can only be relatively important when soil humidity remains high during grain filling in order to allow the absorption of nitrogen during this period, a fact that is not common under Mediterranean conditions. Thus, it would be necessary to increase the amount of nitrogen absorbed at anthesis more than the number of grains to overcome the negative relationship between yield and GNC. As nitrogen uptake can be converted into grains/m² (Fischer 1993; Prystupa et al. 2004), simultaneous improvement of yield and GN content would be required to reduce the nitrogen use efficiency. This is probably why breeding has consistently and systematically reduced GNC whenever it was successful in boosting potential yields.
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$$r = -0.73 \ (P < 0.001; \text{D.F. } 32)$$
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