Changes in Glycemic Index in Maize Based Flour Before and After Processing under in vitro Condition
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Maize, a nutricereal can be utilized for persons in stress if properly processed. The data on the glycemic index value of different varieties of foods within India is very limited. Also effect of processing on glycemic index of food is also scanty. In view of this background, the current study was undertaken to determine the effect of processing on glycemic index in maize grain before and after incorporating whole bengal gram through in vitro method. In this study, results revealed that the alkali treated maize and boiled maize grain was lower (77.84 ± 0.23) and (78.09 ± 0.53) glycemic index as compared to roasted and control maize grain (82.13 ± 0.53) and (89.4 ± 0.33) respectively. After incorporating whole bengal gram in maize, the level of glycemic index was found to be lower in case of boiled maize (69.29 ± 0.21) and alkali treated maize (69.48 ± 0.41) as compared to roasted maize (72.46 ± 0.33) and control maize (75.67 ± 0.27). The lower level of glycemic index in maize after boiling and alkali treatment is recommended for persons with Diabetes, obesity and cardiovascular diseases.
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Introduction

Glycemic index has proven to be a more useful nutritional concept than is the chemical classification of carbohydrate (as simple or complex, as sugars or starches, or as available or unavailable), permitting new insights into the relation between the physiologic effects of carbohydrate-rich foods and health. Several prospective observational studies have shown that the chronic consumption of a diet with a high glycemic load (GI dietary carbohydrate content) is independently associated with an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and certain cancers(1). Glycemic index is a measure of the effect of carbohydrates on blood sugar levels. Carbohydrates that break down quickly during digestion releasing glucose rapidly into the bloodstream, have a high GI; Carbohydrates that break down more slowly, releasing glucose more gradually into the blood stream, have a low GI. For most people, foods with a low GI have significant health benefits (2).

These factors include physical entrapment, rate of digestion, food form (physical forms, particle size), type of preparation (processing and cooking method), nature of starch
(amylose or amylopectin), amount and presence of fibre, fat and protein and the presence of organic acids (3).

A popular application of GI is for body weight management. A low-GI diet is thought to promote weight loss through reduced food intake, reduced fat storage, and increased fat oxidation (4). Physiological and metabolic advantages observed from consuming low GI foods are due to reduced rate of carbohydrate absorption in the small intestine. The metabolic advantages include; lower postprandial glucose rise; reduced daily insulin levels, flatter gastric inhibitory polypeptide response decreased 24 hours urinary C-peptide output, prolonged suppression of plasma free fatty acids; reduced urinary catecholamine cholesterol levels, reduced hepatic cholesterol synthesis, decreased serum uric acid levels and increased urinary uric acid excretion (5).

Cereal grains are the main staple food like wheat, rice and number of coarse grain which are now termed as nutricereals like maize, sorghum, bajra, ragi, barely etc. However, the nutricereals having higher nutrient content. Among all nutricereals maize is one of the staple food which contains, carbohydrate, protein, fat and appreciable amount of phosphorus, calcium and iron. In India, rice, wheat and maize are the three important staple food crops, out of these three crops, maize is very nutritious. Maize is a major crop for livestock feed and human nutrition in a number of developed and developing countries. At global level, India ranks 4th in area and 7th in production of maize.

The productivity of maize is increasing in India day by day, but the intake of maize is decreasing. Hence the risk factors are also increasing day by day. So, considering all these points in mind it had been undertaken to study the changes in glycemic index in maize based flour before and after processing under *in vitro* condition.

**Materials and Methods**

**Selection of the raw material**

The most common varieties of normal maize (Pioneer 3522) grain was selected for the study. Further for making the food mix with lower glycemic index bengal gram was taken as premix.

**Procurement of maize grains and bengal gram**

For the study, freshly harvested maize grain variety (Pioneer 3522) and bengal gram was collected from farmers of Bisanpur, Dighra, (District –Samastipur) in one lot. For the study, the 12 kg maize grains and 5 kg bengal gram were procured. The collected grains were cleaned by isolating damaged and unhealthy seeds and also by removing foreign matter. Ten kg of cleaned maize grains were divided into 4portions each of 2.5 kg for processing. Each portion was subdivided for processing into triplicate. In case of bengal gram, the lot was divided into two portion for processing. Each portion was subdivided for processing into triplicate.

**Processing of maize and pulse grains**

For the study, out of four sets (in triplicate), one set was kept as such as control (in triplicate). The other three sets (each in triplicate) were kept for processing. The processing methods applied were boiling, roasting and alkali processing.

**Boiling of maize grains**

Maize grains (in triplicate) were boiled in double amount of water by weight for 30 minutes. Then it was oven dried for 10 hours at 60 °C.
Roasting of maize grains

Maize grains were roasted at temperature at 180°C for 20 minutes.

Alkali processing of maize grains

Maize grains were soaked for 5 minutes in double amount of 1% lime water by weight and then heat treatment was given for 30 minutes at 85°C. Then it was kept overnight. Next day the grains were washed 4 times and kept in oven for 10 hours at 60°C for drying.

Processing of bengal gram grains

Bengal gram grains were soaked for overnight (8 to 10 hours) then dried in sunlight and then ground into flour.

Preparation of the sample

After the application of processing methods, the control as well as processed maize grains (all together 12 replicates) were subdivided into four sets. Similarly maize grain and pulse after processing along with the control (all together 12 replicates maize grain and 6 replicates of whole bengal gram) were subdivided into four sets and two sets. Then, the maize grains and whole bengal gram were converted into flour with the help of grinder.

In vitro determination of glycemic index

The in vitro glycemic index was determined according to the protocol given by Englyst et al., 1987 (6). In the first phase, samples were mixed with an equal weight of water, homogenized and incubated with α-amylase (185 U/g available carbohydrates) at 37 °C for 15 min in a shaking incubator, in order to mimic the oral digestion. Subsequently, the pH was adjusted to 2.5 with 0.1 M HCl. In cases that a food shomogenate volume was less than 2 ml, water (pH adjusted to 2.5 with 0.1 M HCl) was added up to 2 ml and transferred in duplicates to wells in a six-well plate. In each well plate, 0.1 ml of pepsin (porcine pepsin preparation, suspended in 4 g/100 mL in 0.1 M HCl) was added and the plates were placed on a shaking incubator at 37 °C for 2 h, simulating the gastric phase of human digestion. After 2 h, a cylindrical insert, with a piece of dialysis membrane fastened to one end with an elastic band was placed in each well plate. Each ring was filled with 2 ml 0.1 M PIPES buffer pH 6.5 (piperazine-1,4-bis (2-ethane-sulfonic acid) disodium salt, simulating the gradual increase of pH in the human small intestine. The plates were incubated for another 30 min, shaking at 37 °C.

The second phase of the in vitro digestion started after the end of this incubation period and lasted 120 min. An aliquot (0.2 ml) from the dialysate was taken (t = 0 min). Subsequently, the insert was carefully removed and 10 μL of amyloglucosidase (3260 U/mL amyloglucosidase) and 0.5 ml of a pancreatin–bile salt mixture (0.2 g porcine pancreatin from porcine pancreas, and 1.2 g bile extract, suspended in 100 mL 0.1 M NaHCO₃) was added on to each digested sample. The cylindrical insert was placed back and the incubation continued in a shaking incubator for 2 h, taking aliquots (0.2 ml) every 30 min from the dialysate for the determination of glucose (t = 30 min, t = 60 min, t = 90 min, t = 120 min, where t = 0 min is set at the start of the second phase of the in vitro digestion procedure). The digested samples (0.2 ml aliquots) were mixed immediately with 0.8 ml ethanol in a microcentrifuge tube and 30 min later the tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 rpm at 20 °C to clarify the ethanol supernatant fraction before analysis of sugars. Dialyzable glucose, i.e., the concentration of glucose in the soluble and low molecular weight fraction of the digest, was tested as an index for the
prediction of glycemic response. Glucose determination was performed spectrophotometrically using the dinitrosalicylic method at 562 nm.

**DNS Method**

Dinitrosalicylic method was given by Miller, G L 1959 (7). This method involves the use of spectrophotometer.

Add 3ml of DNS reagent to 3 ml of glucose sample in a tightly capped test tube. (To avoid the loss of liquid due to evaporation cover, the test tube with a piece of paraffin film if plain test tube is used.)

Heat the mixture at 90 °C for 5-15 minutes to develop the red brown color

Add 1ml of a 40% potassium sodium tartrate (Rochelle salt) solution to stabilize the color.

After cooling to room temperature in a cold water bath, record the absorbance with a spectrophotometer at 575nm.

Then GI was calculated following the procedure of Wolever et al., 1986 (8). These obtained values were compared with the GI of the ingredients from the literature. Glycemic index was calculated using the equations below:

$$GI = \frac{\text{IAUC of Test Food}}{\text{IAUC of Reference Food}} \times 100$$

**Data Analysis of Glycemic Index**

The data obtained upon determination of quality parameter of maize grains had been analyze for statistical implication by using standard deviation and paired ’t’ test to find out the effect of processing methods on the glycemic index of maize flour before and after incorporating with pulse (Snedecor and Cochran, 1989) (9).

**Results and Discussion**

The glycemic index of maize grains(before and after processing) with and without whole bengal gram was determined. The data obtained on glycemic index in flour and changes in glycemic index after application of different processing methods have been presented in Table 1 and illustrated through Fig. 1.

The freshly harvested maize grains i.e. raw maize grains had been taken as control sample. The samples were boiled, roasted and alkali treated maize grains.

It was revealed from table that the value of GI in control sample was 89.4 GI. In boiled maize grain sample was 78.09 GI and the roasted and alkali treated maize sample was 82.13 and 77.84 GI.

It can observed in Table 1 that the value of glycemic index in control maize grain sample was highest (89.04) followed by boiled maize sample (78.09), roasted maize sample (82.13) and alkali treated maize sample (77.84).

The statistical analysis clearly showed that the GI of control maize sample was significantly higher than boiled maize sample (’t’ value 38.69), normal roasted maize sample (’t’ value 25.88), normal alkali treated maize sample (’t’ value 55.33) at 1% level of probability. The normal boiled maize sample was found to be significantly lower than the normal roasted maize sample (’t’ value -15.85) at 1% level of probability.

The difference between GI of boiled and alkali treated maize samples (’t’ value 1.21) was found to be non-significant. In roasted maize sample was significantly higher than the alkali treated maize sample (’t’ value 17.39) at 1% level of probability.
Table 1 Level of \textit{in vitro} glycemic index in maize based flour under various processes

| Maize flour sample       | Glycemic index of maize (mean±S.D) | Glycemic index on maize grain + Bengal gram (mean±S.D) |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Control (A)             | 89.4±0.33                          | 75.67±0.27                                            |
| Boiled (B)              | 78.09±0.53                          | 69.29±0.21                                            |
| Roasted (R)             | 82.13±0.53                          | 72.46±0.33                                            |
| Alkali treated (D)      | 77.84±0.23                          | 69.48±0.41                                            |

\textbf{'t'} value among maize samples

|          | Control (A) vs Boiled (B) | Control (A) vs Roasted (R) | Control (A) vs Alkali treated (D) | Boiled (B) vs Roasted (R) | Boiled (B) vs Alkali treated (D) | Roasted (R) vs Alkali treated (D) |
|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| A×B      | 38.69*                   |                           | 39.59*                            |                         |                                  |                                   |
| A×C      | 25.88*                   |                           | 4.25*                             |                         |                                  |                                   |
| A×D      | 55.33*                   |                           | 29.68*                            |                         |                                  |                                   |
| B×C      | (-) 15.85*               |                           | (-) 22.21*                        |                         |                                  |                                   |
| B×D      | 1.21 NS                  |                           | (-) 0.93 NS                       |                         |                                  |                                   |
| C×D      | 17.39*                   |                           | 10.90*                            |                         |                                  |                                   |

Each value is the mean of six observations.

NS Not significant

*Significant at 5% level of probability

± mean and standard deviation of the samples (p<0.01)

Table 2 Percent changes in glycemic index in maize based product as compared to maize under different processes

| Percentage change in maize grains | Glycemic index on maize grain (%) | Glycemic index on Maize grain with whole bengal gram (%) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Boiled (B)                       | 12.65↓                           | 8.43↓                                                  |
| Roasted (C)                      | 8.13↓                            | 4.24↓                                                  |
| Alkali treated (D)               | 12.93↓                           | 8.18↓                                                  |

Indicates decreasing trend

Fig 1 Level of \textit{in vitro} glycemic index in maize based flour under various processes
The statistical analysis clearly showed that, the GI of control maize sample with pulse was significantly higher than the boiled maize sample with pulse (‘t’ value 39.59), roasted maize sample with pulse (‘t’ value 4.25), normal alkali treated maize sample (‘t’ value 29.68) at 1% level of probability. The boiled maize sample with pulse was found to be significantly lower than the roasted maize sample with pulse (‘t’ value -22.21) at 1% level of probability. The difference between GI of normal boiled and alkali treated maize sample with pulse (‘t’ value 0.93) was found to be non-significant and roasted maize sample with pulse was significantly higher than the alkali treated maize sample with pulse (‘t’ value 10.90) at 1% level of probability.

**Percent changes in glycemic index in maize based product as compared to maize under different processes**

The percent change in glycemic index in maize flour after application of different processing method as compared to control sample can be observed in Table 2 and illustrated through Fig. 2. The GI in boiled maize sample was decreased by 12.65% percent whereas it was decreased in roasted and alkali treated samples by 8.13% percent and 12.93% percent respectively. Percent change in glycemic index in processed maize flour after incorporating whole Bengal gram can be observed in Table 2 and illustrated through Fig. 2. The GI in boiled maize sample was decreased by 8.43% percent whereas it was decreased in roasted and alkali treated sample 4.24% percent and 8.18% percent respectively.

It can be concluded from Table 2 and Fig. 2 that after processing, the value of glycemic index decreased. The value of GI of alkali treated maize grain was lowered as compared to boiled and roasted maize grain. After incorporating whole bengal gram in maize flour sample, it was found that the value of GI was decreased as compared to without incorporating maize grain sample.

The GI found in control maize flour sample was highest (89.4) followed by boiled maize sample (78.09), roasted maize sample (82.13) and alkali maize treated sample (77.84). The GI in control maize flour sample with pulse was highest (75.15) followed by boiled maize sample (69.29), roasted maize sample with pulse (72.46) and alkali treated maize sample with pulse (69.48).

Hence, low glycemic index maize based food mixes is recommended in stress condition such as obesity, diabetes, heart diseases etc.
and also high glycemic index maize based food mixes is recommended in case of malnutrition, given after exercise for extra energy, sports person, lactating and pregnant women for extra energy to fulfill their requirement.
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