Investigating the efficiency of the Beijing Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (BFOSC) of the Xinglong 2.16-m reflector
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Abstract The Beijing Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (BFOSC) is one of the most important instruments operating in conjunction with the 2.16-m telescope at Xinglong Observatory. Every year there are $\sim 20$ SCI-papers published based on observational data acquired with this telescope. In this work, we have systemically measured the total efficiency of the BFOSC that operates as part of the 2.16-m reflector, based on observations of two ESO flux standard stars. We have obtained the total efficiencies of the BFOSC instrument of different grisms with various slit widths in almost all ranges, and analyzed factors which effect the efficiency of this telescope and spectrograph. For astronomical observers, the result will be useful for them to select a suitable slit width, depending on their scientific goals and weather conditions during observations. For technicians, the result will help them to systemically identify the real efficiency of the telescope and spectrograph, and to further improve the total efficiency and observing capacity of the telescope technically.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The Xinglong 2.16-m reflector is an English equatorial mount telescope at Xinglong Observatory (XO), with an effective aperture of 2.16 meters, and a focal ratio of f/9 at the Cassegrain focus (Su et al. 1989). It is the first 2-meter class astronomical telescope in China. The 2.16-m reflector is equipped with three main instruments currently: (1) the Beijing Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (BFOSC), which is used for intermediate and low-resolution ($R \sim 500 - 2000$) spectroscopy; (2) the OMR spectrograph (an instrument made by Optomechanics Research Inc., based in Tucson, Arizona), which is used for low resolution spectroscopy, with similar spectral resolutions as the BFOSC; (3) the High Resolution Spectrograph (HRS), which is used for fiber-fed high-resolution ($R \sim 30\,000 - 65\,000$) spectroscopy. A detailed introduction for these three instruments that are used in conjunction with the Xinglong 2.16-m reflector can be found in Fan et al. (2016).

Many telescopes and spectrographs around the world have efficiency estimations which are listed on their websites. For example, the system efficiency of the High Efficiency and Resolution Multi-Element Spectrograph (HERMES) at the 3.9-m Anglo-Australian Telescope (Wampler & Morton 1977) is from 6% to 8% and is used for Galactic Archaeology ($V = 14$). This estimation includes the efficiency of telescope, fiber system, spectrograph, and detector (Sheinis et al. 2015). The system efficiencies of the High Resolution Echelle Spectrograph of the Lijiang 2.4-m telescope, administered by Yunnan Observatories, are 2% for fiber diameter...
of 1.2″ and 3% for fiber diameter of 2.0″. The European Southern Observatory (ESO) Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (EFOSC) that is part of the ESO 3.6-m telescope has different grisms\(^2\), most of which have system efficiencies around 30%. However, for all the telescopes mentioned above, the efficiencies of spectrographs with different slits and different grisms have not been measured systemically and detailedly. According to statistics compiled during the recent 10 years, the most frequently used instrument (almost up to half of the 2.16-m reflector’s observing time) is the BFOSC.

In this work, we investigate the total efficiency of the telescope on which BFOSC is mounted, and make some suggestions for the telescope users and technicians. This paper is organized as follows:

Section 2 is an introduction to XO; in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2, the observations and data reduction are presented, respectively; in Section 4, we discuss the impacts of slit widths, weather conditions, stellar brightness and mirror reflectivities on the efficiency estimations; finally a brief summary is given in Section 5.

## 2 THE XINGLONG OBSERVATORY OF NAOC

XO, administered by National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences (NAOC), has the coordinates: 40°23’39”N, 117°34’30”E, and was founded in 1968. Until now, it is the largest optical astronomical observatory in the Asian continent. There are nine telescopes, with effective aperture greater than 50-cm located at XO. The average altitude of XO is ∼960 m, and it is located at Xinglong county, Chengde city, Hebei province, which is ∼120 km northeast of Beijing. The mean and median seeing values of XO are around 1.9″ and 1.7″, respectively, calculated from over one year of statistics. For most of the time, the mean and median values of wind speed at XO range from 1 m s\(^{-1}\) to 3.5 m s\(^{-1}\), and the sky brightness at zenith is around 21.1 mag arcsec\(^{-2}\) (V-band). About 63% of the nights per year can be used for spectroscopic observations based on the statistics of observational data acquired in 2007 – 2014. A more detailed introduction to the observing conditions at XO can be found in Zhang et al. (2015).

### Table 2 Parameters of the Slits in BFOSC

| Name       | RA         | Dec        | V (mag) | Spectral type |
|------------|------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| HD 93521   | 10:48:23.51| +37:34:12.8| 7.04    | O             |
| Feige 34   | 10:39:36.71| +43:06:10.1| 11.18   | O             |

### Table 1 Information on the Two Observed Standard Stars, including the Coordinates, V Magnitudes and Spectral Types.

| Name  | RA         | Dec        | V (mag) | Spectral type |
|-------|------------|------------|---------|---------------|
| HD 93521 | 10:48:23.51| +37:34:12.8| 7.04    | O             |
| Feige 34   | 10:39:36.71| +43:06:10.1| 11.18   | O             |

\(^3\) http://www.eso.org/sci/observing/tools/standards/spectra.html

---

1. http://www.gmg.org.cn/v2/detail/instrument/24
2. http://www.ls.eso.org/sci/facilities/lasilla/instruments/efosc-3p6/docs/efosc2Grisms.html#grisms
3. http://www.eso.org/sci/observing/tools/standards/spectra.html
Table 3 Parameters of the Grisms/Prism/Echelles for the BFOSC

| Number | Name | Spec. Ord. | Recip. Disp. | Sp. Res. Per Pixel | Wav. Range |
|--------|------|------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|
| 1      | P1   | 1          | 573–2547     | 8.6–38.2           | 4000–5600  |
| 2      | G3   | 1          | 139          | 3.12               | 3300–6600  |
| 3      | G4   | 1          | 198          | 4.45               | 3600–8700  |
| 4      | G5   | 1          | 199          | 4.47               | 5200–10000 |
| 5      | G6   | 1          | 88           | 1.98               | 3300–5450  |
| 6      | G7   | 1          | 95           | 2.13               | 3780–6760  |
| 7      | G8   | 1          | 80           | 1.79               | 5800–8280  |
| 8      | G10  | 1          | 392          | 8.80               | 3300–10000 |
| 9      | G11  | 1          | 295          | 6.63               | 3600–9600  |
| 10     | G12  | 1          | 837          | 18.8               | 5200–10000 |
| 11     | E9+G10 | 22–10  | 16.8–38.4    | 0.38–0.86           | 3300–10000 |
| 12     | E9+G11 | 18–9   | 21.0–47.9    | 0.47–1.076          | 3900–9800  |
| 13     | E9+G12 | 12–6   | 29.0–73.2    | 0.65–1.64           | 5200–10000 |
| 14     | E13+V | 3       | 33.1         | 0.76               | 4980–5990  |

Table 4 Observation Dates for the Grisms

| Date      | Grism                          |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
| 2017–02–19| G3, G4, G5, G6, G7, G8         |
| 2017–03–07| G10, G11, G12, E13+V           |
| 2017–03–08| E9+G10, E9+G11, E9+G12        |

For the long slits in BFOSC, most users choose a slit width of 1.8″ or 2.3″, depending on real-time seeing during the observations. While for the short slits of BFOSC, most observers choose slit width of 1.6″ or 2.3″. In this work, we choose the slit widths of 1.8″, 2.3″, 7.0″ and 14.0″ for the long slits; and choose the slit widths of 1.6″ and 2.3″ for the short slits. Our observing strategy is to observe the two standard stars with all the grisms/prism/echelles of the BFOSC with these slit widths. For E13+V, we observe the two standard stars with slit widths of 1.8″, 7.0″ and 14.0″. However, due to limited time, for Feige 34, the slit width of 7.0″ for E13+V and E9+G12 was not observed this time. P1 is a straight prism, which is seldom used, and we do not observe with it.

The spectral quality of all the observations is high, with signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) ≥ 100. Table 4 presents the observation dates. We observed the two ESO standard stars on 2017 February 19, March 8 and March 9, with the long slits and short slits of BFOSC. The seeing was ~ 3.0″ during the observations. For the grisms G4 and G7, we also used the 385LP filter for removing the 2nd-order spectrum with wavelength ≥ 385 nm.

3.2 Data Reduction

The raw data were processed with the standard procedure for data reduction, with commands in Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF)\(^4\) and Interactive Data Language (IDL). For the CCD used by BFOSC, the dark current is \(<0.002 \text{ e}^− \text{ pixel} + 1 \text{ s} (−85^\circ\text{C})\). We applied the bias and flat-field corrections for object images with the IRAF task \texttt{cedproc}. The IRAF task \texttt{dispcor} was used for wavelength calibration, and we obtained the total number of Analog-to-Digital Units (ADUs) in different wavelengths, which is represented as \(F_{\text{adu}}\) in Equation (1). We downloaded the calibrated spectra of the two standard stars HD 93521 and Feige 34 from the ESO website. Since the wavelength interval from the ESO spectra does not match that of the BFOSC wavelength well, we use the IDL command \texttt{interpol} to interpolate the ESO wavelength to our system.

In order to calculate the total efficiency of the system, many factors need to be considered, including the atmospheric extinction, reflectivity of the primary and secondary mirrors, transmissions of grisms and quantum efficiency of the CCD. The total efficiency of the system can be estimated through observing standard stars (see Fan et al. 2016) as follows,

\[
\eta(\lambda) = \frac{F_{\text{adu}} \cdot \text{Gain}}{F_\lambda \cdot \delta \lambda \cdot S_{\text{Stel}}} \tag{1}
\]

\(^4\) IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
4 IMPACTS ON THE EFFICIENCY ESTIMATIONS

4.1 Impact of Slit Widths on the Efficiency Estimations

We used Equation (1) to calculate the total efficiency of the telescope with BFOSC, with the different slit widths combined with different grisms/prism/echelles. In fact, there are some absorption lines in the efficiency curves, including the gaseous atmosphere absorption lines (e.g. O$_2$, H$_2$O), the stellar atmosphere absorption lines (e.g. H$\alpha$, H$\beta$) and noise. In order to show the efficiency curves more clearly, we smooth all these atmosphere absorption lines.

Figures 1 – 4 show the total efficiencies of Feige 34 and HD 93521 in the wavelengths from $\sim$3000 Å to $\sim$10000 Å for the grisms G3/G4/G5/G6/G7/G8/G10/G11/G12/E13+V', with the slit widths of 1.8'', 2.3'', 7.0'', and 14.0'', respectively. For the slit width of 1.8'', the peaks of total efficiencies are $\sim$1.7%–4.5%. For the slit width of 2.3'', the peaks of total efficiencies are $\sim$2%–5%. For the slit width of 7.0'', the peaks of total efficiencies are $\sim$4.8%–11%. For the slit width of 14.0'', the peaks of total efficiencies are $\sim$6.6%–13%.

Figures 5 and 6 present the results of Feige 34 and HD 93521 at different wavelengths from $\sim$3000 Å to $\sim$10000 Å with the slit widths of 1.6'' and 2.3'', for E9+G10 and E9+G11, respectively.

Figure 7 displays the results for HD 93521 at different wavelengths from $\sim$5200 Å to $\sim$10000 Å with slit widths of 1.6'' and 2.3'', for E9+G12. For the slit width of 1.6'', in Figure 5, the peaks of total efficiencies are $\sim$0.5%–2.0%, for the wavelengths $\geq$ 4000 Å; in Figure 6, the peaks of the total efficiencies are $\sim$0.5%–1.4%, for the wavelengths $\geq$ 5000 Å; in Figure 7, the peaks of the total efficiencies are $\sim$0.4%–2.0%, for the wavelengths $\geq$ 5400 Å. For the slit width of 2.3'', in Figure 5, the peaks of the total efficiencies are $\sim$1.0%–3.8%, for the wavelengths $\geq$ 4000 Å; in Figure 6, the peaks of the total efficiencies are $\sim$0.6%–1.7%, for the wavelengths $\geq$ 5000 Å; in Figure 7, the peaks of the total efficiencies are $\sim$0.8%–5.4%, for the wavelengths $\geq$ 5400 Å.

4.2 Impact of Stellar Brightness on the Efficiency Estimations

Since the total efficiency estimations may depend on the brightness of the observed stars, we also compare the total efficiencies obtained from the observations of Feige 34 (the fainter one) and HD 93521 (the brighter one).

Figure 8 compares the results of the grisms G3/G4/G5/G6/G7/G8/G10/G11, when observing Feige
Fig. 2  Same as Fig. 1, but with a slit width of 2.3″.

Fig. 3  Same as Fig. 1, but with a slit width of 7.0″.

Fig. 4  Same as Fig. 1, but with a slit width of 14.0″.
Fig. 5 The total efficiencies of E9+G10, estimated from the observations of Feige 34 (left panel) and HD 93521 (right panel), with slit widths of 1.6" (blue lines) and 2.3" (red lines).

Fig. 6 Same as Fig. 5, but with E9+G11.

Fig. 7 The total efficiencies of E9+G12, estimated from the observations of HD 93521, with the slit widths of 1.6" (blue lines) and 2.3" (red lines).
Fig. 8 The results of observing Feige 34 (red) and HD 93521 (black) with grisms G3/G4/G5/G6/G7/G8/G10/G11 and slit widths of 1.8′′ (solid), 2.3′′ (dash-dotted), 7.0′′ (dotted) and 14.0′′ (dashed).

34 and HD 93521 with the slit widths of 1.8′′, 2.3′′, 7.0′′ and 14.0′′. As shown in Figure 8, we can clearly find a difference in the total efficiencies when choosing different slit widths. For the same grism, the total efficiencies rise with increasing slit widths. However, we do not find a clear relationship between different brightnesses of stars and efficiency estimations in this work.

4.3 Impact of Weather Conditions on the Efficiency Estimations

We investigate the influence of weather conditions (seeing) on the efficiency estimations of the telescope with BFOSC. In this work, we use the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the star imaging profile, obtained by Gaussian fitting, to estimate the influence of seeing. Figure 9 shows the relationship between the percentage of energy of a star within the slit and different seeings. At XO, the mean seeing value is 1.9′′ (Zhang et al. 2015), therefore, when we do the simulation, the seeing we adopt is 2.0′′. We numerically simulate the Gaussian FWHM with slit widths of 1.8′′ and 2.3′′, and obtain the energy within the slit widths: 73.70% for 1.8′′ and 82.64% for 2.3′′. With the same method, for the seeing of 3.0′′, we obtain the energy within the slit widths: 54.43% for 1.8′′ and 63.35% for 2.3′′. As displayed in Figure 9,
Fig. 9 The relationship between the percentage of energy of a star within the slit and different seeings. The solid line, dash-dotted line, dotted line and dashed line represent the slit widths of 1.8'', 2.3'', 7.0'' and 14.0'', respectively.

Fig. 10 The reflectivity curves of the primary and secondary mirrors of the 2.16-m reflector. The solid line, dash-dotted line and dotted line are the reflectivity curves obtained after aluminization, after cleaning (before aluminization) and before aluminization of the 2.16-m primary mirror, respectively. The dashed line is the reflectivity curve of the secondary mirror.

Fig. 11 The total efficiencies of grism G4 with different slit widths, after aluminization of the primary mirror of the 2.16-m reflector. The linetypes of solid, dash-dotted, dotted and dashed represent the slit widths of 1.8'', 2.3'', 7.0'' and 14.0'', respectively.
we can clearly find the seeing significantly affects the percentage of energy of a star within the slit, especially for slit widths of 1.8” and 2.3”. Thus, the weather conditions also influence the efficiency of the telescope and spectrograph, depending on the slit width chosen during observations.

### 4.4 Impact of Mirror Reflectivities on the Efficiency Estimations

It is easy to understand that the reflectivities of the primary and secondary mirrors play an important role in the total efficiency of the telescope with BFOSC. The primary mirror of the 2.16-m reflector is usually aluminized in August or September every year.

In 2017, time that the primary mirror was aluminized was during the period September 4th–11th. We used a CM-2600d spectrophotometer to measure the reflectivities of the primary and secondary mirrors, the results of which are shown in Figure 10. The solid line, dash-dotted line and dotted line represent the reflectivity curves after aluminization, after cleaning (before aluminization) and before aluminization of the 2.16-m primary mirror, respectively. The dashed line is the reflectivity curve of the secondary mirror. As displayed in Figure 10, we can see that the reflectivity of the primary mirror decreases from ∼5.99% (in ∼7400 Å) to ∼16.00% (in ∼3600 Å) in one year. However, after cleaning the primary mirror, the reflectivity usually improved in the whole wavelength coverage. Since the secondary mirror has been used for ten years, we plan to aluminize it in the next work.

After aluminization of the primary mirror, we measured the total efficiency of the telescope with BFOSC following the method mentioned above. Since the two standard stars HD 93521 and Feige 34 were not observable at this time, we chose another standard star HR8634 (V = 3.40 mag, spectral type B8V) from the ESO website. HR8634 was observed with the grism G4 with slit widths of 1.8”, 2.3”, 7.0” and 14.0” on 2017 September 16, which are illustrated in Figure 11 with solid, dotted, dashed, dotted and dashed lines respectively. The seeing was ∼2.0” during the observations. Compared with Figure 8 (the grism G4), we can see the peaks of total efficiencies have been improved to ∼3.1%, ∼5.0%, ∼2.9% and ∼0.8% with the slit widths of 1.8”, 2.3”, 7.0” and 14.0”, respectively. It can also be noted that in the blue-band (∼5549 Å), the total efficiencies have been improved to ∼3.6%, ∼5.4%, ∼3.7% and ∼2.0% with the slit widths of 1.8”, 2.3”, 7.0” and 14.0”, respectively. While in the red-band (∼7656 Å), the improvements are ∼2.9%, ∼4.9%, ∼2.0% and ∼0.5% with the slit widths of 1.8”, 2.3”, 7.0” and 14.0”, respectively. These cases demonstrate that aluminization is more important for the improvement of total efficiency in the blue-band (∼5549 Å) than in the red-band (∼7656 Å).

As the seeing was ∼2.0” during the observations of HR8634, which was better than during the observations of the HD 93521 and Feige 34 (∼3.0”), combined with Figure 9, this demonstrates the seeing significantly affects the total efficiency of the telescope with BFOSC, especially for the slit widths of 1.8” and 2.3”.

### 5 CONCLUSIONS

We systematically investigated the total efficiency (including atmospheric extinction, reflectivity of the primary and secondary mirrors, transmissions of grisms and quantum efficiency of the CCD) of different grisms used in the BFOSC with different slit widths, and analyzed the factors that possibly impact the efficiency of the telescope and spectrograph, which are important for observations and operations of the telescope. The grism G12 has the highest peak total efficiency (∼13.0%) among all the grisms used in BFOSC at the wavelength of ∼6876 Å. For echelles, E9+G12 has the highest peak of total efficiency (∼5.4% at the wavelength of ∼8160 Å). For wavelength range of 3300 – 7000 Å, the total efficiency of grism G7 is the highest (∼8.9% at the wavelength of ∼5861 Å); for the wavelength range of 5200 – 10 000 Å, the total efficiency of grism G12 is the highest (∼13.0% at the wavelength of ∼6876 Å); for wavelength range of 3600–8700 Å, the total efficiency of grism G4 is the highest (∼11.5 at the wavelength of ∼5371 Å). As the median and mean seeing values of XO are around 1.7” and 1.9”, respectively (Zhang et al. 2015), we recommend observers to use the slit width of 1.8” or 2.3”.

After investigating the reflectivities of the primary and secondary mirrors of the 2.16-m reflector, we suggest that the mirrors should be cleaned and aluminized annually, especially after the pollen season and dust storms in spring, at least once per year. Comparing the total efficiencies obtained before and after aluminization of the primary mirror of the 2.16-m reflector, we can see in the blue-band (∼5549 Å), the total efficiencies have

---

5 https://sensing.konicaminolta.us/products/cm-2600d-spectrophotometer/
been improved $\sim 3.6\%$, $\sim 5.4\%$, $\sim 3.7\%$ and $\sim 2.0\%$ with slit widths of 1.8", 2.3", 7.0" and 14.0", respectively. While in the red-band ($\sim 7656$ Å), the improvements are $\sim 2.9\%$, $\sim 4.9\%$, $\sim 2.0\%$ and $\sim 0.5\%$ with slit widths of 1.8", 2.3", 7.0" and 14.0", respectively. These results demonstrate that aluminization is more important for the improvement of total efficiency in the blue-band than in the red-band. The seeing also significantly affects the total efficiency of the telescope with BFOSC, especially for slit widths of 1.8" and 2.3".

From the total efficiencies of the 2.16-m telescope and BFOSC, we can see that the peak of the total efficiencies (including atmospheric extinction, reflectivity of the primary and secondary mirrors, transmissions of the grisms and quantum efficiency of the CCD) of the 2.16-m reflector and BFOSC are around 6.6\%–13.0\%. We will carry out more detailed investigations and improve the efficiency of the telescope and instrument in future work.
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