The multifunctional protein p54nrb/PSF recruits the exonuclease XRN2 to facilitate pre-mRNA 3′ processing and transcription termination
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Termination of RNA polymerase II transcription frequently requires a poly(A) signal and cleavage/polyadenylation factors. Recent work has shown that degradation of the downstream cleaved RNA by the exonuclease XRN2 promotes termination, but how XRN2 functions with 3′-processing factors to elicit termination remains unclear. Here we show that XRN2 physically associates with 3′-processing factors and accumulates at the 3′ end of a transcribed gene. In vitro 3′-processing assays show that XRN2 is necessary to degrade the downstream RNA, but is not required for 3′ cleavage. Significantly, degradation of the 3′-cleaved RNA was stimulated when coupled to cleavage. Unexpectedly, while investigating how XRN2 is recruited to the 3′-processing machinery, we found that XRN2 associates with p54nrb/NonO–protein-associated splicing factor (PSF), multifunctional proteins involved in several nuclear processes. Strikingly, p54 is also required for degradation of the 3′-cleaved RNA in vitro. p54 is present along the length of genes, and small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated knockdown leads to defects in XRN2 recruitment and termination. Together, our data indicate that p54nrb/PSF functions in recruitment of XRN2 to facilitate pre-mRNA 3′ processing and transcription termination.
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The RNA polymerase II (Pol II) transcription cycle involves a series of steps that include initiation, elongation, and termination. Pol II terminates transcription by releasing the DNA template and the nascent transcript. Despite its apparent simplicity, the mechanism of termination has proven elusive. It has been known for some time that an intact mRNA 3′-processing signal is necessary for transcription termination of protein coding genes [Whitelaw and Proudfoot 1986; Logan et al. 1987; Connelly and Manley 1988]. Consistent with this, a number of the factors required for 3′ processing are necessary for subsequent termination [e.g., see Birse et al. 1998; Dichtl et al. 2002]. Additionally, considerable evidence suggests that cleavage/polyadenylation occurs cotranscriptionally [e.g., see Yonaha and Proudfoot 1999, Rigo et al. 2005]. The C-terminal domain (CTD) of the Pol II largest subunit, which itself is necessary for efficient 3′ processing [Hirose and Manley 1998], is thought to mediate these interactions by association with several polyadenylation factors [McCranken et al. 1997; Barilla et al. 2001; Fong and Bentley 2001]. Thus, it appears that a functional coupling exists between 3′-end processing and termination, but how these events are linked has been a matter of some debate (for reviews, see Luo and Bentley 2004; Buratowski 2005; Rosonina et al. 2006).

Two basic models have been suggested to explain the role of 3′ processing in transcription termination. In one, it has been proposed that termination is triggered by a conformational change of the Pol II elongation complex (EC) that occurs after transcribing the poly(A) site [referred to as the “allosteric model”]. Consistent with this model, visualization of Drosophila chromosomes by electron microscopy revealed that a majority of full-length transcripts was released from template DNA in the apparent absence of 3′ cleavage, suggesting that prior endonucleolytic cleavage at the poly(A) site is not a prerequisite for termination [e.g., see Osheim et al. 1999].
Studies using a purified Pol II EC showed that the CTD-interacting domain (CID) of polyadenylation factor Pcf11 bridges the CTD to the nascent transcript, and this ternary complex can dismantle a paused Pol II EC [Zhang et al. 2005]. Subsequent studies showed that the CTD-binding surface of the Pcf11 CID overlaps the RNA-binding surface, and CTD phosphopeptides compete with RNA for the interaction with Pcf11 [Hollingworth et al. 2006]. Therefore, it is not clear whether this ternary complex stably exists and would be capable of inducing the putative conformational change required for termination.

A second model proposed that exonucleolytic degradation of the uncapped 3’ transcript created by endonucleolytic cleavage at the poly[A] site in some fashion signals to the downstream Pol II to terminate transcription [Connolly and Manley 1988]. This model requires prior endonucleolytic cleavage of the nascent RNA, and degradation of the downstream RNA then triggers template release by Pol II. This model has been supported by the recent demonstration that the 5’–3’ exonuclease XRN2 in human and Rat1 in yeast are required for efficient termination [Kim et al. 2004; West et al. 2004]. Previous studies in yeast showed that Rat1 is a nuclear 5’–3’ exoribonuclease that possesses multiple functions in mRNA nuclear export as well as maturation of rRNA and small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) [Petfalski et al. 1998]. XRN1 is an evolutionally conserved 5’–to-3’ exoribonuclease that mainly functions in the cytoplasm [Bashkirov et al. 1997], and loss of XRN1 results in defects in mRNA turnover [e.g., see Bousquet-Antonelli et al. 2000]. XRN1 can also function in nuclear rRNA and snoRNA processing [e.g., see Petfalski et al. 1998], but cannot normally substitute for XRN2/Rat1 in termination [Luo et al. 2006].

While RNA interference [RNAi] knockdown of XRN2 and genetic inactivation of Rat1 results in termination defects [Kim et al. 2004; West et al. 2004; Luo et al. 2006], the mechanism of XRN2/Rat1-dependent termination remains unclear. Given that Rat1 containing a point mutation that disrupts catalytic activity was termination defective, degradation of the cleaved downstream transcript appears crucial for termination [Kim et al. 2004]. However, recent studies performed by Luo et al. [2006] reported that the 5’–3’ exoribonuclease activity provided by XRN1, fused with a nuclear localization signal to enhance nuclear accumulation, did not rescue the termination defects in rat1 mutant cells, even though both Rat1 and XRN1 were able to induce cotranscriptional degradation of 3’ downstream products. It is thus unclear whether degradation of nascent transcripts directly triggers termination or whether a function of Rat1 in addition to, or instead of, its exoribonuclease activity is critical for termination. Indeed, Luo et al. [2006] suggested that XRN2/Rat1 associates with 3’-processing factors and plays a functional role in 3’ processing.

Rat1 copurifies with Rtt103, a Ser2 P–CTD-binding protein, and both were isolated in tandem affinity purification (TAP) of cleavage factor Pcf11 [Kim et al. 2004]. Rat1 and Rtt103 both localize throughout promoter and coding regions and accumulate at the 3’ ends of coding genes [Kim et al. 2004]. Rat1 also localizes to snoRNA genes, but is dispensable for termination at these genes [Kim et al. 2006]. How XRN2/Rat1 is localized to target genes is unknown, and it is therefore important to identify additional XRN2/Rat1-interacting protein(s), and determine whether they are required for recruitment of XRN2 to the Pol II elongation and/or polyadenylation complexes or for transcription termination.

In this study, we provide new insights into the function of XRN2 in 3’-end formation and termination. We show that recruitment of XRN2 to 3’-processing complexes is necessary for efficient degradation of the 3’ downstream RNA in vitro as well as termination in vivo. Unexpectedly, we found that XRN2 associates with p54nrb–protein-associated splicing factor [PSF], a multifunctional protein complex thought to be involved in transcription, splicing, and polyadenylation [e.g., see Paton et al. 1993; Gozani et al. 1994; Mathur et al. 2001; Rosonina et al. 2005; Liang and Lutz 2006]. We establish the functional significance of this interaction by showing that p54nrb/NonO [p54] is also required for degradation of the 3’-cleaved RNA in vitro and for XRN2 recruitment and for efficient termination in vivo.

**Results**

**XRN2 associates with 3’-processing factors**

Our recent work showed that human Cdc73, a subunit of the Pol II complex [Paf1C], a multisubunit protein complex associated with elongating Pol II [Rozenblatt-Rosen et al. 2005], interacts with the cleavage/polyadenylation factors CPSF, CstF, and symplekin [data not shown]. Intriguingly, analysis of additional proteins from the same immunopurification by mass spectrometry identified XRN2 [Fig. 1A]. To extend these results, and to confirm the association of XRN2 with 3’-processing factors, we generated anti-peptide polycyclonal antibodies directed against XRN2 and CstF-64 and performed reciprocal coimmunoprecipitations with HeLa nuclear extracts [NEs]. We found that an anti-CstF-64 antibody coimmunoprecipitated XRN2 in the absence but not presence of blocking peptide [Fig. 1B, lanes 2,3], while the anti-XRN2 antibody coimmunoprecipitated CstF-64 [Fig. 1C, lane 3].

We next wished to determine whether the above interaction might reflect a functional association to ensure that XRN2 is present at the 3’ end of transcribed genes, to function in 3’ processing and/or termination. To this end, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments utilizing cross-linked HeLa cell extracts and examined XRN2 cross-linking at sites along the length of the β-actin gene [Fig. 1D]. Results are presented as the ratio of signals observed in the presence or absence of blocking peptide during the immunoprecipitation [IP] [Fig. 1E; see Materials and Methods]. Consistent with similar studies of Rat1 in yeast [Kim et al. 2004; Luo et al. 2006], we found that XRN2 cross-linking was strongest immediately after the poly[A] site and then gradually decreased, consistent with a function in degradation of the 3’-cleaved RNA and/or termination. XRN2 was not detected upstream of or at the promoter,
XRN2 accumulates after the poly[<i>A</i>] site. ChIP analysis of XRN2 on the β-actin locus is shown. The Y-axis shows the signal-to-noise ratio [S/N] of XRN2 IP relative to control IP (anti-XRN2 antibody plus blocking peptide). Error bars show the standard deviation from three independent replicates.

**but cross-linking increased gradually along the length of the gene.**

**XRN2 is required for degradation of 3′ downstream RNA**

Both Rat1 and XRN1 are capable of cotranscriptional degradation of downstream cleavage products in yeast (Luo et al. 2006). Therefore, a probable function for XRN2 when associated with 3′-processing factors is to degrade the uncapped cleaved RNA following endonucleolytic cleavage at the poly[<i>A</i>] site, although a possible role in facilitating the actual cleavage reactions has also been suggested (Luo et al. 2006). To test these possibilities directly, we performed in vitro cleavage assays with an adenovirus L3 pre-mRNA substrate to monitor both 3′-end-processing efficiency as well as degradation of the 3′-cleaved RNA fragment. In an initial experiment, we found that addition of the anti-XRN2 antibody used above into reaction mixtures resulted in the accumulation of 3′-cleaved RNA, while cleavage activity (as judged by accumulation of the upstream 5′ cleavage product) was not affected [Fig. 2A]. Identical results were obtained with a poly[<i>A</i>] signal derived from a second RNA, the apolipoprotein CI pre-mRNA [Fig. 2B]. Control antibodies affected neither cleavage activity nor degradation of the 3′-cleaved RNA [data not shown].

The above results suggest that XRN2 is required for degradation of 3′-cleaved RNA in vitro but not for cleavage itself. To address this possibility more directly, we performed in vitro cleavage assays using XRN2 immunodepleted NEs, and as a control, mock-depleted NEs. Immunoblots confirmed that XRN2 was specifically depleted from the extracts [Fig. 2C, top panel], while under the IP conditions used, the amounts of CPSF-73 and CstF-77 were reduced at most only slightly [Fig. 2C, middle and bottom panels]. Consistent with the above data, we found that the 3′-cleaved RNA accumulated in the XRN2 depleted NEs [Fig. 2D, lanes 2,5], while this RNA was essentially undetectable in mock-depleted and untreated NEs [Fig. 2D, lanes 1,3,4,6]. Cleavage activity was only minimally reduced, likely reflecting the modest codepletion of CstF. These data indicate that XRN2 is required in vitro for degradation of the 3′ cleavage product but not for cleavage activity itself.

**Coupling XRN2 to 3′ processing stimulates exonuclease activity in NE**

We next wished to investigate whether the association of XRN2 with 3′-processing factors has a functional significance. For example, our data indicate that the presence or absence of XRN2 does not affect processing efficiency, but perhaps coupling 3′ cleavage to degradation of the downstream product enhances the efficiency of the latter reaction. To investigate this possibility, we monitored the kinetics of exonuclease activity both coupled and uncoupled with 3′-end cleavage. To this end, we isolated 3′-cleaved RNA [Fig. 3A, lane 3] and reincubated it in NE under conditions identical to those in typical cleavage assays [Fig. 3B; Kaneko and Manley 2005]. Degradation of the downstream RNA when coupled to cleavage was quantitated by determining the ratio of the amount of downstream RNA to the expected amount of this species, calculated from the amount of 5′ cleavage product. We found that only 10% – 15% of isolated 3′-cleaved RNA was degraded at time intervals of 5–10 and 10–15 min [Fig. 3B [lanes 5–8], C]. Significantly, time-course assays of authentic cleavage reactions showed 65% – 70% of 3′-cleaved RNA was degraded during these time intervals [Fig. 3B [lanes 1–4], C].

To confirm that the above results reflect the behavior of XRN2, we examined the activity of a purified, recombinant XRN2 derivative in in vitro assays. Using quantitative Western blotting, we first determined that NE contains ~10 ng/μL XRN2 [Fig. 3D]. As our reaction mix-
tates efficient degradation of 3’ RNA products. We therefore wished to investigate the interactions involved in recruitment of XRN2 to the 3’-processing machinery. To this end, we first set out to define the regions of XRN2 required for interactions with other proteins. An initial set of experiments was performed by employing a Far Western blotting assay. NEs were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane. To probe for interactions that may be indirect as well as direct, we generated a series of Flag-tagged XRN2 constructs [Fig. 4A] and transfected them into HeLa cells. Cell extracts were prepared to probe the membranes, and XRN2-interacting protein[s] were detected with anti-Flag antibodies. We found a number of XRN2-interacting proteins, including a major one of ~60 kDa, with wild-type XRN2 [Fig. 4B, lane 2]. Significantly, XRN2 deletions of the C-terminal region encompassing 786–950 amino acids [XRN2 786–950] and further deletions eliminated the interactions [Fig. 4B, lanes 3,4], while none of the N-terminal deletions affected them [Fig. 4B, lanes 5–7]. These experiments indicate that XRN2 residues 786–950 are necessary and sufficient for interactions with a number of HeLa nuclear proteins.

The C-terminal region of XRN2 is necessary and sufficient for protein interactions

The above experiments indicate that XRN2 associates with the 3’-processing machinery and that this facili-

Figure 3. Degradation of 3’ downstream RNA is coupled with 3’ processing. (A) Preparation of RNA substrates for degradation assays. The 3’-cleaved RNA [lane 3] was gel purified from cleaved Ad-L3 [Ad-L3] pre-mRNA [lane 1]. (B) Time-course analysis of in vitro cleavage reaction with NE and Ad-L3 pre-mRNA. (Lanes 5–8) The identical reaction was performed with XRN2-depleted NEs [lanes 2,5], mock-depleted (lanes 3,6), and untreated (lanes 1,4) NE.

Figure 2. Immunodepletion of XRN2 results in accumulation of 3’ downstream RNA. (A) Anti-XRN2 antibody prevents degradation of 3’ downstream RNA. In vitro cleavage assays were performed with NE and adenovirus L3 [Ad-L3] pre-mRNA. (Lane 2) Anti-XRN2 antibody was added to the reaction mixture. Ad-L3 pre-mRNA, 5’-cleaved RNA, and 3’ downstream RNA are indicated by arrows. DNA size markers are shown on the left side. (B) In vitro cleavage assay of Apolipoprotein CII (ApoCII) pre-mRNA. (Lane 2) Cleavage reaction with anti-XRN2 antibody was performed. (C) Immunodepletion of XRN2. (Lane 2) XRN2-depleted NE [XRN2] was resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted with the indicated antibodies. Immunoblots of depleted (lane 3) and untreated (lane 1) NE are shown. (D) Cleavage reactions of Ad-L3 [lanes 1–3] and ApoCII [lanes 4–6] pre-mRNAs were performed with XRN2-depleted [lanes 2,5], mock-depleted (lanes 3,6), and untreated [lanes 1,4] NE.
Identification of XRN2-associating proteins

We next wished to identify the proteins capable of interacting with the XRN2 CTD. To this end, an XRN2 (786–950)-GST fusion protein was expressed in Escherichia coli and purified from extracts on glutathione beads. The beads carrying GST-XRN2 (786–950) and beads bound to GST alone were then incubated with NE. After extensive washing, proteins were eluted with glutathione and separated by SDS-PAGE. We detected three major species with GST-XRN2 (786–950) that were not present in the GST alone beads [data not shown] or beads with GST-XRN2 (786–950) before incubating with NE [Fig. 5A]. Analyses by MALDI-TOF MS sequencing revealed that these proteins were heterogeneous ribonucleoprotein L (hnRNP L), polyypyrimidine tract-binding PSF and p54. PSF and p54 are related proteins, showing close homology within a central region that contains two RNA recognition motifs and a homono–heterodimerization domain [Dong et al. 1993; Yang et al. 1993]. The two proteins have been shown to bind each other and, as mentioned above, have been implicated in transcription, splicing, and polyadenylation. It thus seemed feasible that these proteins might also function in conjunction with XRN2, and we therefore investigated this interaction further.

p54/PSF associates with XRN2 and localizes throughout an active gene

We next set out to characterize the association between p54/PSF and XRN2 in more detail. We first wished to determine if p54 is one of the XRN2-interacting proteins identified by Far Western blotting (see Fig. 4B). To this end, we immunopurified p54 from NE and performed the Far Western blotting assay as above. We found an ~60-kDa protein was detected in the p54 IP [Fig. 5B, lane 2], while no proteins were detected in the control IPs [Fig. 5B, lanes 5,6]. These experiments indicate that p54 likely corresponds to the major XRN2-interacting protein detected in NE. To obtain evidence that p54/PSF associates with XRN2 in vivo, we performed coimmunoprecipitates with NEs. We found that anti-p54 antibodies coimmunoprecipitated XRN2 while IP with preimmune serum displayed no significant signal [Fig. 5C, lanes 2,3]. Furthermore, anti-XRN2 antibodies, but not preimmune serum, coimmunoprecipitated PSF [Fig. 5D, lanes 2,3].

To determine whether p54/PSF and XRN2 have the potential to associate on active genes, we performed ChIP assays along the length of the β-actin gene [see Fig. 1D for the position of primers pairs]. We found that p54 was cross-linked at the promoter and throughout the coding region [Fig. 5E], consistent with its proposed roles in transcription and RNA processing. Significantly, p54 remained cross-linked to regions downstream from the poly[A] site (regions D–F), while we did not detect significant signals upstream of the promoter or far downstream from the poly[A] site (regions A and H). Taken together, these experiments suggest that p54–PSF associates with XRN2, in a manner consistent with a role in coupling 3’ processing and transcription termination.

Immunodepletion of p54nrb–PSF results in accumulation of 3’-cleaved RNA in vitro

We next wished to investigate the functional significance of the p54–PSF association with XRN2. The data presented above provide evidence that XRN2 associates with the 3’-processing machinery and that this functions to facilitate efficient degradation of 3’ cleavage products. We therefore reasoned that p54–PSF might play a role in coupling 3’ cleavage to degradation of the downstream products, perhaps by recruiting XRN2 to the processing complex. To test this, we immunodepleted both p54 and PSF from NEs under stringent conditions to deplete p54–PSF but not XRN2 [see Materials and Methods], and used these NEs for in vitro cleavage assays. Immunoblots confirmed that p54–PSF was efficiently depleted from NEs, while the amount of XRN2 remained unchanged [Fig. 6A]. Strikingly, 3’-cleaved RNA accumulated in the p54–PSF-depleted NE but not in the mock-depleted NE [Fig. 6B]. Depletion of p54–PSF did not affect cleavage activi-
RNAi knockdown of p54 causes a termination-related defect in vivo

The above experiments indicate that p54–PSF associates with XRN2 and is required for efficient degradation of downstream cleavage products. Given the requirement of XRN2 for degradation of downstream RNA and efficient termination, we hypothesized that p54/PSF might play a role in coupling 3’ processing to termination. To test this idea, we performed ChIP assays to measure Pol II density along the β-actin gene using p54 small interfering RNA (siRNA)-depleted cells. To this end, HeLa cells were treated with a p54-specific siRNA or with a nontargeting siRNA as a negative control. Comparable experiments with PSF were not feasible because PSF RNAi resulted in cell death [results not shown]. Immunoblots confirmed that the amount of p54 was significantly decreased in the p54 siRNA-treated cells while the amount of XRN2 [and PSF] remained unchanged [Fig. 7A]. Cross-linked extracts were immunoprecipitated with N20, an antibody that recognizes an epitope in the body of the Pol II largest subunit. While the nontargeting siRNA did not affect distribution of Pol II compared with untreated cells [data not shown], significant effects were observed in the p54-depleted cells. Most notably, Pol II accumulation increased markedly immediately downstream from the poly[A] site (Fig. 7B, region D). A smaller increase was detected at the poly[A] site itself, but Pol II levels decreased normally further downstream [regions E and F]. Similar results were obtained with a second anti-Pol II antibody [8WG16, which recognizes an unphosphorylated CTD epitope] [data not shown]. Significantly, recent studies have suggested that Pol II pausing at a site within region D plays an important stimulatory role in XRN2-mediated termination in the β-actin gene (Gromak et al. 2006), and our results suggest that p54 also plays a role in this process.

The above data suggest that p54/PSF may be involved in recruitment of XRN2 to the Pol II EC. To test this, we again performed ChIP experiments using p54-depleted cells and examined the distribution of XRN2. XRN2 association was considerably reduced, most notably at a region encompassing the poly[A] site itself and just downstream from region D [Fig. 7C]. Given that the Pol II ChIP profile was altered by p54 depletion [Fig. 7B], an alternative means of presenting the XRN2 ChIP signals is to normalize them to the Pol II signals. When presented in this manner, the decrease in XRN2 cross-linking was considerably more striking, especially at regions downstream from the poly[A] site itself and just downstream from region D (Fig. 7D). We conclude that depletion of p54 reduced XRN2 recruitment while increasing Pol II termination-related pausing downstream from the poly[A] site. It is possible that these results may underestimate the role of p54 in these processes, due to incomplete depletion and/or possible redundancy with PSF. Our results are similar to but distinct from those observed when XRN2 itself was depleted by siRNA treatment (Gromak et al. 2006), and together with our other data support the idea that p54/PSF plays a role in enhancing XRN2-mediated exonuclease activity in 3’ processing and transcription termination.
Discussion

In this study, we demonstrated that XRN2 is recruited to the polyadenylation machinery to facilitate degradation of the 3' end of cleaved RNA. We also found that XRN2 associates with p54nrb/NonO (p54) – PSF, multifunctional factors implicated in transcription, splicing, and polyadenylation and other nuclear processes (e.g., see Zhang and Carmichael 2001). Significantly, p54/PSF is required for efficient degradation of the downstream RNA in vitro and for efficient XRN2 recruitment and proper Pol II pausing/termination in vivo. Together, our data extend previous results regarding the role of XRN2 in 3' processing and termination (Kim et al. 2004; West et al. 2004; Luo et al. 2006) by showing that p54/PSF plays an important role in coupling 3' processing and termination in mammalian cells. Below we discuss how XRN2 is recruited to 3' processing machinery in conjunction with p54–PSF, the mechanism for stimulation of exonuclease activity coupled to 3' end processing, and the new role(s) of p54–PSF in 3' processing and termination.

We initially identified XRN2 by virtue of its apparent association with the Paf1 complex. Paf1C was originally identified as an RNA Pol II-associated complex in yeast, and is required for transcription of several genes. It associates with the Spt4–Spt5 and yeast FACT chromatin elongation factors (e.g., see Krogan et al. 2002). More recent reports have demonstrated that Paf1C affects 3' end processing of both mRNAs and snoRNAs (Penheiter et al. 2005; Sheldon et al. 2005). Paf1C is therefore thought to coordinate interaction of 3' processing factors and nuclear factors that function in transcription and chromatin modification (for reviews, see Hampsey and Reinberg 2003; Rosonina and Manley 2005). Given our ChIP experiments indicating that XRN2 accumulates toward the 3' end of the β-actin gene, it is possible that Paf1C participates in cotranscriptional recruitment of XRN2. Consistent with this, PSF was also detected in the immunopurified Paf1C in which we detected XRN2 (our unpublished data).

Our data showed that XRN2 is not required for efficient 3' cleavage in vitro and functions only in degradation of the downstream RNA. These results are consistent with studies in yeast and human that found that loss of Rat1/XRN2 did not have a detectable effect on 3'-end formation in vivo (Kim et al. 2004; West et al. 2004). However, a recent study suggested that inactivation of Rat1 in yeast reduced recruitment of certain polyadenylation factors to 3' end of genes and altered usage of poly[A] sites in transcripts that undergo alternative polyadenylation (Luo et al. 2006). Our data suggest a parsimonious explanation for these disparate results. Specifically, we propose that XRN2 has no effects on interactions involving the core polyadenylation machinery. However, we suggest that it alters factor recruitment and/or interactions that occur in vivo during transcription-coupled 3' processing. This could affect the rate of processing of specific poly[A] sites and thus influence relative utilization of alternative sites, while not affecting accumulation of steady-state levels of most mRNAs in which the polyadenylation step is not rate limiting. The increased pausing of Pol II downstream from the

Figure 6. Immunodepletion of p54/PSF results in accumulation of 3'-cleaved RNA. (A) Immunodepletion of p54/PSF. (Lane 1) p54/PSF-depleted NE (Δp54–PSF) was resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted with the indicated antibodies. (Lane 2) Mock depletion (Mock) is also shown. (B) Cleavage reactions of Ad-L3 pre-mRNA were performed with p54–PSF-depleted (lane 1) and mock-depleted (lane 2) NE.

Figure 7. Knockdown of p54 leads to defective termination and XRN2 recruitment. (A) siRNA knockdown of p54. Whole-cell lysates of HeLa cells transfected with p54 (lane 1) and control (lane 1) siRNA were resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted with the indicated antibodies. (B) ChIP analysis of Pol II on the β-actin locus is shown (see Fig. 1D). The Y-axis shows the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of Pol II IP relative to control IP (Pol II antibody plus blocking peptide). (C) p54 is required for recruitment of XRN2 to Pol II EC. ChIP analysis of XRN2 was performed as described in Figure 1E. (D) The XRN2 ChIP signals are presented normalized to the Pol II ChIP signals with or without p54 depletion.
that allows a surface of p54

An interesting question is how the coupling of 3’ cleavage to degradation of the downstream RNA enhances the efficiency of exonuclease activity. It may simply be that recruitment of XRN2 to an RNA substrate promotes its degradation. Alternatively, RaI1 is known to enhance Rat1 exonuclease activity in yeast [Xue et al. 2000], and XRN2-interacting proteins, perhaps p54/PSF, might stimulate XRN2 activity. Still another possibility is that integration of 3’ processing and degradation could prevent the downstream RNA from binding nuclear hnRNP s, which might in turn prevent or slow degradation. In any event, our data suggest that XRN2 is recruited to the 3’-processing machinery to facilitate efficient degradation of 3’ downstream RNA and not to enhance assembly or efficiency of the basal polyadenylation machinery.

Our discovery that XRN2 functionally associates with p54 and PSF provides unexpected new insight into how termination and 3’ processing are coupled. Numerous studies have suggested that splicing and 3’-end formation are coupled to transcription (for reviews, see Hirose and Manley 2000; Proudfoot et al. 2002; Zorio and Bentley 2004). This function is at least partially mediated by the Pol II CTD, which appears to recruit specific spliceosome and polyadenylation components cotranscriptionally (e.g., see McCracken et al. 1997). Intriguingly, p54, and PSF were purified by both hypo- and hyperphosphorylated CTD [Emlit et al. 2002] and identified as part of a large transcription/splicing complex [Kameoka et al. 2004]. Furthermore, p54 but not XRN2 has been found to copurify with active cleavage/polyadenylation complexes bound to an RNA substrate [Y. Shi, D. DiGiammartino, and J.L. Manley, unpubl. data] and to associate with a complex capable of modulating polyadenylation in vitro [Liang and Lutz 2006].

Our data provided evidence that p54/PSF functions in 3’-end formation and transcription termination by recruiting XRN2 to the transcription/polyadenylation complex. But given that p54 (and presumably PSF) is present along the length of the gene, why is XRN2 only recruited to the 3’ end? One possibility is that a conformational change occurs in the Pol II EC—for example, at the downstream pause site—that allows a surface of p54/PSF that binds XRN2 to be exposed. Another not mutually exclusive possibility is that phosphorylation of one or both proteins facilitate binding of XRN2. Indeed, p54 and PSF are both known to be phosphorylated, and multiple kinases have been found to be capable of phosphorylating one or both and altering their protein interactions or functional properties [e.g., see Proteau et al. 2005; Huang et al. 2007]. An interesting candidate to influence 3’-proximal events such as XRN2 recruitment is Cdk9/cyclinT, or P-TEFb [Marshall and Price 1995]. P-TEFb is known to phosphorylate the CTD at the Ser2 position toward the 3’ end of transcribed genes and, importantly, to enhance transcription-coupled 3’-end formation [Ahn et al. 2004; Ni et al. 2004]. Although the possibility that p54 or PSF is a P-TEFb target has not been investigated, both proteins contain multiple potential cdk phosphorylation sites [S/T-P], and p54 is in fact known to be phosphorylated by at least two cdks during M phase [Proteau et al. 2005].

We also identified hnRNP L as an XRN2-associated protein. hnRNP L is an abundant nuclear protein and has been found to associate with both the mRNA export factor TAP and the exon junction complex protein Aly/REF (e.g., see Guang et al. 2005). Several studies have suggested that hnRNP L is multifunctional, with roles in splicing, export, mRNA stability, and translation [e.g., see Hahm et al. 1998; Shih and Claffey 1999; Hui et al. 2003]. Significantly, hnRNP L is also capable of stimulating polyadenylation (Guang et al. 2005). It will be intriguing to investigate how and how hnRNP L contributes to the function of XRN2.

The mechanism by which Pol II pausing promotes termination has not been clearly demonstrated. Pausing facilitates cleavage/polyadenylation [Yonaha and Proudfoot 1999, 2000], likely allowing CTD-mediated interactions between the RNA and polyadenylation factors, and thereby indirectly promoting termination. Pausing may also allow other changes in the Pol II EC that are important for termination, such as recruitment and activation of XRN2. Consistent with this, pausing was found to correlate with degradation of the downstream cleaved RNA by XRN2 [Gromak et al. 2006]. Our ChIP experiments demonstrated an accumulation of Pol II at or near the β-actin pause site in the absence of p54, and a concomitant decrease in XRN2, notably just upstream at the poly[A] site. We suggest that one function of Pol II pausing is to allow XRN2 to associate with the EC and to initiate exonuclease activity. When this process is defective, pausing is enhanced.

In summary, our data provided evidence that XRN2 recruitment to the 3’-processing machinery is required for efficient degradation of 3’-cleaved RNA and critical for proper Pol II termination. Surprisingly, p54/PSF was also found to be required for optimal XRN2 activity and termination, likely affecting a function in recruitment of XRN2 to the Pol II EC and/or polyadenylation machinery. While many questions remain regarding the function of p54/PSF in 3’ processing and transcription termination, our results provided new insight into the complex coupling between RNA processing and transcription.

Materials and methods

Antibodies, blocking peptides, and siRNA sequences

Antibodies were obtained from Bethyl Laboratories (anti-XRN2 [BL-2039, BL-2041], anti-CPSF-73 [BL-1906], anti-CstF-77 [BL-1894], CstF-64 [BL-1889], and anti-p54), Sigma (anti-actin), Santa Cruz Biotechnology (anti-Pol II #N-20), and Stratagene (anti-Flag M2). Anti-CstF-64 monoclonal antibody (αCstF-64 mAb) was described previously [Takagaki et al. 1990]. Rabbit polyclonal antibody specific for PSF was a gift from Dr. J. Patton [Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN]. Blocking peptides were obtained from Bethyl Laboratories (CstF-64, XRN2, and p54) and Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Pol II #N-20). The siRNA
for p54 mRNA targeted 5'-GCAUUCGAGAGUGCUAA-3'.
siCONTROL non-targeting siRNA #1 was from Dharmacon.

 Immunodepletion of XRN2 and p54/PSF

HeLa NE was prepared as described previously [Kaneko and Manley 2005]. To prepare ΔXRN2 NE, NE was first diluted into an equal volume of 1x dilution buffer [25 mM Tris at pH 7.9, 125 mM NaCl, 0.25% NP-40] and then mixed twice with protein A beads (GE Healthcare) coated with or without anti-XRN2 antibody for 2 h at 4°C. An identical procedure was carried out to prepare Δp54/PSF NE by using anti-p54 and PSF antibodies, except a 2x dilution buffer was used.

 In vitro 3’ cleavage assays

Cleavage assays were performed in 12.5-µL reaction mixtures containing NEs [2 µL], 1 ng labeled pre-mRNA substrates, 9.6 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.9), 9.6% glycerol, 24 mM [NH4]2SO4, 0.24 mM DTT, 4% polyvinyl alcohol, 0.24 mM PMSF, 0.12 mM EDTA, and 0.5 mM 3’ dATP at 30°C [Kaneko and Manley 2005]. To isolate 3’-cleaved RNA, the cleavage assay also contained 2 mM EDTA. 3’-Cleaved RNA was then purified from a 6% polyacrylamide gel.

 Ribonuclease assay

Exoribonuclease assays were performed in reaction mixtures containing 20 mM Tris [pH 7.9], 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM DTT, 2 µg BSA, purified 3’ Ad-L3 downstream RNA, and indicated amounts of recombinant XRN2 [gift of S. Xiang and L. Tong, Columbia University, New York, NY]. Reaction mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 15 min. RNA products were isolated and fractionated by 6% PAGE in 8.3 M urea.

 Plasmids

Human XNR2 cDNA, obtained by RT–PCR of oligo(dT)-selected HeLa cell RNA, was inserted into p3xFlag-CMV-7 (Sigma). XRN2 truncation mutants were generated by PCR. For bacterial expression, XRN2-truncated cDNA was inserted into pGEX-2T (GE Healthcare).

 Far Western blot analyses

Flag-tagged XRN2 expression vectors were transferred into HeLa cells. After 24 h, cell extracts were prepared and used as bait proteins. HeLa NE [~50 µg] was loaded onto 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. Filters were blocked in PBST containing 5% nonfat dry milk for 2-12 h at 4°C. Filters were then washed in PBST and in binding buffer (20 mM Tris at pH 7.9, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20, 10% glycerol, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM ZnSO4, 1 mM PMSF), then incubated with bait proteins in binding buffer for 2 h at 4°C. Blots were washed three times for 10 min in PBST and detected with anti-Flag M2 antibody.

 Affinity purifications

Undiluted HeLa NE [10 µL] was first diluted in 30 mL of AP buffer (20 mM Tris at pH 7.9, 0.5% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM ZnSO4, 1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitor) containing 100 mM NaCl. Diluted NE was incubated with bacterially expressed and purified GST-XRN2Δ6 [~50 µg] and glutathione beads [300 µL] for 2 h at 4°C. Beads were washed three times in AP buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl. Bound proteins were eluted with 25 mM reduced glutathione, and loaded onto a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. CBB-stained proteins were excised, digested by trypsin, then analyzed by MALDI-TOF.

 IP and immunoblot analysis

HeLa NE [50 µL] was incubated with indicated antibodies (~2 µg) or preimmune serum bound to protein A beads. IPs were carried out for 3 h at 4°C in 200 µL of Buffer D (Dignam et al. 1983). Beads were collected by centrifugation and washed three times with Buffer D. Aliquots of the beads were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with indicated antibodies. In Figure 1B, IPs with an anti-CPFS-64 antibody [Bethyl] with or without blocking peptide were analyzed. In Figure 1C, IPs with an anti-XRN2 antibody were analyzed by using an anti-CstF-64 mAb.

 ChIP assays

HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle’s Medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum. Transfections of siRNA were performed by using Lipofectamine 2000 [Invitrogen] in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines. After 48 h, transfected cells were analyzed by Western blotting and ChIP assays. ChIP analysis was carried out as described [Nelson et al. 2006] with minor modifications. Briefly, cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. When ChIP assays using anti-Pol II antibody were carried out, cells were cross-linked with 0.4% formaldehyde. Cross-linking was stopped by addition of glycine [pH 7.0] to 125 mM final concentration. Cells were washed three times with cold PBS and harvested in IP buffer [150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% NP-40, 50 mM Tris at pH 7.9, 1 mM PMSF, protease inhibitors]. Samples were sonicated to generate DNA fragments of ~500 base pairs. After adding each antibody preincubated with or without blocking peptide for 30 min at 4°C, the shared chromatin was incubated in an ultrasonic water bath for 30 min at 4°C. After centrifugation, supernatants were incubated with protein A beads for 45 min at 4°C. Beads were washed five times with IP buffer. Immunocomplexes were boiled for 10 min and incubated with Proteinase K for 30 min at 55°C. After boiling for 10 min, DNA was extracted by phenol/chloroform and precipitated with ethanol. Real-time PCR was carried out as described [Kaneko and Manley 2005]. Sequences of primers are given in Supplemental Material.
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