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ABSTRACT

Objective To identify risk factors for haemorrhagic transformation in Chinese patients with acute ischaemic stroke treated with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator.

Methods We searched electronic databases including PubMed, EMBASE, CNKI and WanFang Data for studies reporting risk factors of haemorrhagic transformation after intravenous thrombolysis. Pooled OR, weighted mean difference (WMD) and 95% CI were estimated. Meta-analysis was performed by using Stata V.14.0 software.

Results A total of 14 studies were included. The results indicated that older age (WMD=3.46, 95% CI 2.26 to 4.66, I²=47), atrial fibrillation (OR 2.66, 95% CI 1.85 to 3.81, I²=28), previous stroke (OR 1.68, 95% CI 1.08 to 2.60, I²=14), previous antplatelet treatment (OR 1.67, 95% CI 1.17 to 2.38, I²=0), higher National Institute of Health stroke scale scores (OR 1.10, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.15, I²=36), systolic (WMD=4.75, 95% CI 2.50 to 7.00, I²=42) or diastolic (WMD=2.67, 95% CI 1.08 to 4.26, I²=35) pressure, and serum glucose level (WMD=1.44, 95% CI 0.62 to 2.26, I²=66) were associated with increased risk of post-thrombolysis haemorrhagic transformation.

Conclusion The current meta-analysis identified eight risk factors for post-thrombolysis haemorrhagic transformation in Chinese patients with acute ischaemic stroke. Given the risk of bias, these results should be explained with caution and do not justify withholding intravenous thrombolysis.

INTRODUCTION

Intravenous recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) treatment is an effective therapy for acute ischaemic stroke. However, the data from the Chinese National Stroke Registry indicated that there were only 1.6% patients who received rt-PA treatment in China. One of the main reasons for withholding rt-PA therapy is fear of haemorrhagic transformation (HT), which may increase the risk of poor and fatal outcome. According to the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) definition, the incidence rate of symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage is 2.2% to 8% across the world and 4.87% to 7.3% in China. Compared with patients from Western population, Asian patients may have a higher risk of intracranial haemorrhage, but the present evidence mostly comes from Japanese patients. In this study, we systematically reviewed the thrombolysis implementation in Chinese patients with acute ischaemic stroke and perform a meta-analysis to identify risk factors associated with HT.

METHODS

Search strategy

The common evidence medicine framework PICO (Patient population, Intervention/Exposure, Control, Outcome) was used to specify our research question: Did Chinese patients with acute ischaemic stroke receiving intravenous thrombolysis (patient population) accompanied with any risk factors (exposure) have a greater risk of HT (outcome) than those patients without (control)? The systematic review and meta-analysis was prepared following the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA). Because no prior review protocol specifically exists to address this question, a search of titles and abstracts of published journal articles in PubMed, EMBASE, CNKI and Wanfang Data database (from 1 February 2010 to 1 November 2017) was conducted without language restriction. Search terms included ‘ischaemic stroke or cerebral infarction or brain infarction’ and ‘thrombolysis or thrombolytic or tissue plasminogen activator’ and their variations.
Eligibility criteria
Included studies met the following criteria: (1) retrospective or prospective design, and cohort or case-control studies; (2) thrombolysis treatment within 4.5 hours of stroke onset to conform to Chinese acute ischaemic stroke diagnosis and treatment guideline and the study protocol specifies the dosage of 0.9 mg rt-PA per kilogram; (3) risk factors for haemorrhagic transformation in patients following rt-PA. Exclusion studies were (1) stroke onset to needle time >4.5 hours or unknown, (2) using urokinase thrombolysis, (3) measure outcome including extracranial haemorrhage events, (4) bridging endovascular therapy, (5) reviews and abstracts, and (6) data could not extracted from the studies.

Data extraction
A standardised data collection sheet was used to extract all data. Disagreements were solved by consensus. Two authors independently went through each eligible study and extracted the following information: first author, year of publication, study design, study location, sample size, patients’ baseline characteristics and risk factors. The definition of haemorrhagic transformation is according to the NINDS criteria.5 The risk of bias was assessed by the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS).11

Statistical analysis
Risk factors of interest reported in at least five studies12 were extracted for meta-analysis. Pooled ORs for categorical data, weighted mean differences (WMDs) for continuous data and 95% CI were estimated. Heterogeneity measured by I² test. A fixed-effects model was applied when I² <50%. When existing statistical heterogeneity measured by I² >50%, a random-effects model was performed. Funnel plots and Begg’s linear regression test were used to evaluate publication bias. A prespecified sensitivity analysis was performed by omitting one single study in each turn. Meta-regression was used to estimate the impact of sample size on the statistical results. All analyses were conducted using the Stata software package (V.14.0; Stata, College Station, Texas, USA). Statistical significance was set as p value <0.05.

RESULTS
Study selection and characteristic
The literature search and screening process are shown in the flow diagrams (figure 1). A total of 504 citations were identified. Of these, 450 citations were eliminated by reviewing title or abstract, and the remaining 54 studies to be reviewed in full-text article. Of the 54 studies, 40 were excluded for not fulfilling the eligibility criteria. Finally, 14 studies6–25 including a total of 2548 participants were pooled into meta-analysis. Table 1 depicts the study characteristics and quality assessment.

Meta-analysis for risk factors
Demographic factors
Age and gender have been reported as potential risk factors for HT in included studies. A total of 14 studies evaluated age and gender as possible risk factors. The results of meta-analysis found older age (WMD=3.46, 95% CI 2.26 to 4.66, I²=47) was associated with an increased risk of HT, and gender (OR 0.95, 95% CI 0.76 to 1.18, I²=0) was not associated with HT.

Vascular risk factors
Six potential risk factors including hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipaemia, atrial fibrillation, previous stroke and smoking were evaluated in included studies. The meta-analysis demonstrated that atrial fibrillation (OR 2.66, 95% CI 1.85 to 3.81, I²=28) and previous stroke (OR 1.68, 95% CI 1.08 to 2.60, I²=14) were significantly associated with HT.

Previous antiplatelet treatment
A total of nine studies investigated the association between previous antiplatelet drugs and the risk of HT. Meta-analysis indicated that previous antiplatelet treatment (OR 1.67, 95% CI 1.17 to 2.38, I²=0) was associated with an increased risk of HT.

Stroke severity
A total of eight studies reported adjusted OR of initial National Institute of Health stroke scale (NIHSS). The result of meta-analysis suggesting higher NIHSS scores (OR 1.10, 95% CI 1.05 to 1.15, I²=36) was associated with an increased risk of HT.

Blood pressure and serum glucose level on admission
Systolic pressure, diastolic pressure and serum glucose level were investigated in several included studies. Meta-analysis showed higher systolic pressure (WMD=4.75, 95% CI 2.50 to 7.00, I²=42), diastolic pressure (WMD=2.67, 95% CI 1.08 to 4.26, I²=35) and serum glucose level (WMD=1.11, 95% CI 0.07 to 2.16, I²=83) were significantly associated with HT.

Sensitivity analysis and meta-regression
We conducted a sensitivity analysis by excluding every single study to explore the stability of the combined results. The range of the combined ORs or WMDs for potential risk factors is shown in table 2. To explore the origin of heterogeneity between studies that investigated serum glucose, we pooled the effect size using random-effects model after excluding Li’s study,18 with a reduction of heterogeneity (I²=66%). The association between identified risk factors and HT is shown in figure 2. Meta-regression (table 3) was performed to detect the impact of sample size on combined ORs or WMDs, and the findings demonstrated no statistical significance (all p>0.05).
Figure 1  Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses diagram and study identification.

Publication bias
The funnel plot was performed to assess the publication bias for the gender that had been investigated in 14 studies. The visual inspection of the funnel plot and Begg’s test (p=0.155) indicated no evidence of publication bias.

DISCUSSION
The systematic review and meta-analysis demonstrated that eight risk factors were significantly associated with HT in Chinese patients with acute ischaemic stroke treated with rt-PA. We used NOS for quality assessment of case-control or cohort studies in the current meta-analysis. As we could see in table 1, the scores of all included studies were no less than 6 in the quality assessment, which would help judging the reliability of the results.

HT following intravenous thrombolysis in patients with stroke is one of the complications that clinicians were reluctant to witness. For identifying patients with high risk of HT, several prognostic scores have been proposed to apply in clinical setting, including Multicenter Stroke Survey (MSS) score, Hemorrhage After Thrombolysis (HAT) score, baseline blood Sugar, Early infarct signs, (hyper) Dense cerebral artery sign, Age, NIH Stroke Scale (SEDA) score, Glu, Race, Age, Sex, systolic blood Pressure, stroke Severity (GRAPS) score, Safe Implementation of Treatments in Stroke score, and Stroke Prognostication using Age and NIH Stroke Scale (SPAN)-100. In these models developed based on Western population, age, NIHSS score, blood glucose or diabetes, demographic characteristics (race, gender, weight), hypertension or systolic blood pressure, platelet account, previous anti-platelet medication, onset to treatment time and early CT signs are identified items with favourable prediction for HT. In the present study based on Chinese population, the results of meta-analysis and included studies demonstrated risk factors for HT after rt-PA containing age, NIHSS score, systolic or diastolic pressure, serum glucose level and previous anti-platelet treatment. In addition, the present study also detected the risk factor of atrial fibrillation or previous stroke history was associated with increased risk of HT. The I² test indicated that most pooled effect sizes were with favourable heterogeneity except serum glucose level. After excluding Li’s study, a moderate heterogeneity (I²=66%) was still found when estimating the association between HT and glucose level on admission. We speculated that the heterogeneity originated from the variability within studies. A systemic review reported that the prevalence of...
### Table 1 Characteristics of included studies and quality assessment in the meta-analysis

| Author     | Publication year | Study location   | Sample size | Included risk factors                                                                 | NOS scores |
|------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Liu et al  | 2017             | Multicentre      | 1128        | (1), (2), (3), (4), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14)                                | 8          |
| Xu et al   | 2017             | Shanghai         | 162         | (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10)                                         | 7          |
| Shang et al| 2017             | Beijing          | 124         | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14)           | 6          |
| Wu et al   | 2017             | Hebei            | 87          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (10), (11)                                  | 6          |
| Li          | 2017             | Hunan            | 69          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14)                    | 6          |
| Wang et al | 2017             | Jiangsu          | 294         | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14)              | 6          |
| Li          | 2016             | Hebei            | 176         | (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (8), (10), (11), (12), (14)                         | 8          |
| Shang et al| 2016             | Beijing          | 124         | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14, (14) | 8          |
| Wu et al   | 2016             | Hebei            | 87          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (10), (11), (12), (14)                    | 6          |
| Li          | 2015             | Hubei            | 60          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (11), (12), (13), (14)                        | 6          |
| Xu et al   | 2015             | Jiangsu          | 55          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (8), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14)                  | 7          |
| Zhao et al | 2015             | Guangdong        | 36          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), (11), (12), (13), (14)             | 7          |
| Shen et al | 2013             | Shanghai         | 103         | (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), (8), (11), (12), (13)                                  | 6          |
| You        | 2013             | Zhejiang         | 65          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (6), (7), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14)                 | 8          |
| Su et al   | 2013             | Zhejiang         | 44          | (1), (2), (3), (4), (7), (9), (10), (11), (12), (13), (14)                   | 6          |

Included risk factors: (1) age, (2) gender, (3) hypertension, (4) diabetes, (5) hyperlipaemia, (6) atrial fibrillation, (7) previous stroke, (8) smoking, (9) previous antiplatelet treatment, (10) onset to needle time, (11) National Institute of Health stroke scale, (12) systolic pressure, (13) diastolic pressure, (14) serum glucose.

NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

### Table 2 Heterogeneity and sensitivity analysis analysis of risk factors among included studies

| Risk factors          | Number of studies | HT | Non-HT | Statistic method | I² | Pooled effect size 95%CI | Sensitivity analysis |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----|--------|------------------|----|-------------------------|---------------------|
|                       |                   |    |        |                  |    | Lower limit             | Upper limit         |
| **Demographic factors** |                   |    |        |                  |    |                         |                     |
| Age                   | 14                | 248| 2300   | I-V, fixed, WMD  | 47 | 3.46 (2.26 to 4.66)     | 2.86 (1.60 to 4.12) | 3.98 (2.68 to 5.28) |
| Male                  | 14                | 248| 2300   | M-H, fixed, COR  | 0  | 0.95 (0.76 to 1.18)     | 0.78 (0.29 to 2.13) | 1.43 (0.45 to 5.88) |
| **Vascular risk factors** |                 |    |        |                  |    |                         |                     |
| Hypertension          | 14                | 248| 2300   | M-H, fixed, COR  | 0  | 1.05 (0.85 to 1.30)     | 0.71 (0.22 to 2.30) | 1.57 (0.69 to 3.57) |
| Diabtes               | 14                | 248| 2300   | M-H, fixed, COR  | 13 | 1.18 (0.87 to 1.61)     | 0.59 (0.07 to 5.20) | 2.79 (1.08 to 7.22) |
| Hyperlipaemia         | 6                 | 96 | 650    | M-H, fixed, COR  | 0  | 1.10 (0.63 to 1.90)     | 1.03 (0.43 to 2.48) | 1.36 (0.29 to 6.42) |
| Atrial fibrillation   | 12                | 176| 1200   | M-H, fixed, MOR  | 28 | 2.66 (1.85 to 3.81)     | 2.39 (1.65 to 3.46) | 3.02 (2.05 to 4.45) |
| Previous stroke       | 11                | 142| 790    | M-H, fixed, COR  | 14 | 1.68 (1.08 to 2.60)     | 1.49 (0.92 to 2.41) | 1.97 (1.21 to 3.23) |
| Smoking               | 9                 | 142| 1040   | M-H, fixed, COR  | 0  | 1.09 (0.80 to 1.50)     | 0.46 (0.05 to 4.21) | 1.43 (0.59 to 3.48) |
| **Other risk factors** |                   |    |        |                  |    |                         |                     |
| Previous antiplatelet | 9                 | 188| 1879   | M-H, fixed, MOR  | 0  | 1.67 (1.17 to 2.38)     | 1.52 (1.04 to 2.22) | 1.91 (1.24 to 2.97) |
| NIHSS                 | 8                 | 139| 1040   | I-V, random, AOR | 36 | 1.10 (1.05 to 1.15)     | 1.09 (1.04 to 1.14) | 1.12 (1.06 to 1.18) |
| Systolic pressure     | 12                | 220| 2079   | I-V, fixed, WMD  | 42 | 4.75 (2.50 to 7.00)     | 3.28 (0.77 to 5.78) | 6.10 (3.55 to 8.66) |
| Diastolic pressure    | 11                | 207| 1916   | I-V, fixed, WMD  | 35 | 2.67 (1.08 to 4.26)     | 2.17 (0.51 to 3.82) | 3.34 (1.43 to 5.26) |
| Serum glucose         | 10                | 204| 2058   | I-V, random, WMD  | 83 | 1.11 (0.07 to 2.16)     | 0.77 (~0.19 to 1.73) | 1.43 (0.62 to 2.26) |
| Serum glucose*        | 9                 | 192| 2001   | I-V, random, WMD  | 66 | 1.44 (0.62 to 2.26)     | 1.01 (0.38 to 1.61) | 1.66 (0.81 to 2.51) |

*Effect size was calculated by excluding one single study.

AOR, adjusted OR; COR, crude OR; HT, haemorrhagic transformation; I-V, inverse variance; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; MOR, mixed OR; NIHSS, National Institute of Health stroke scale; WMD, weighted mean difference.
hyperglycaemia ranged from 8% to 63% in patients with acute stroke, and the measurement method used in individual patients contains random or fasting serum glucose, which was not specified in the original literature. The individual difference between patients and measurement bias within studies may explain the original of heterogeneity.

Prior study identified higher risk of intracranial haemorrhage in Asian patients with acute ischaemic stroke treated with intravenous tissue-type PA. Although the mechanism is not fully understood, racial difference in intracranial atherosclerotic diseases or blood coagulation–fibrinolysis factors may account for the observational result. However, due to the limited data extracted by included studies in this secondary analysis, we failed to explore the association between other risk factors and the risk for HT. Recently, a meta-analysis performed by Charidimou et al provided evidence between leukoaraiosis and increased risk of HT after intravenous thrombolysis, which may direct early CT or MRI signs and risk for HT for future researches.

Several limitations should be considered in our study. First, the present meta-analysis included 14 cohort or case–control studies. Despite all study protocols that stated the implementation of 0.9 mg rt-PA per kilogram recommended by Chinese guideline, selection bias within studies still exists due to the nature of observational design. Second, there were three different definitions of HT that have been proposed on the basis of established clinical trials in intravenous thrombolysis. To make a consistency in outcome measure of included studies, we used conservative NINDS criteria in the study. However, compared with other more strict criteria, the NINDS definition may overestimate the odds of HT and increase the risk of measurement bias. Third, we have to note that most studies included in the meta-analysis fail to distinguish the symptomatic intracranial

Table 3  Meta-regression for the impact of sample size on pooled results

| Risk factors      | Exp(b) | SE   | t     | p>t   | 95% CI           | Adjusted R² (%) |
|-------------------|--------|------|-------|-------|------------------|-----------------|
| Age               | 1.07   | 0.21 | 0.36  | 0.723 | 0.71 to 1.61     | −28.75          |
| Atrial fibrillation | 1.22   | 0.59 | 0.41  | 0.691 | 0.42 to 3.56     | −53.25          |
| Previous stroke   | 1.40   | 0.76 | 0.63  | 0.547 | 0.41 to 4.76     | −50.87          |
| Previous antiplatelet | 1.86   | 0.88 | 1.30  | 0.234 | 0.60 to 5.71     | 0               |
| NIHSS             | 1.12   | 0.11 | 1.20  | 0.277 | 0.89 to 1.39     | 0               |
| Systolic pressure | 0.93   | 0.24 | −0.29 | 0.780 | 0.52 to 1.64     | −15.01          |
| Diastolic pressure | 0.99   | 0.25 | −0.05 | 0.959 | 0.56 to 1.75     | −25.21          |
| Serum glucose     | 5.64   | 6.12 | 1.59  | 0.155 | 0.43 to 73.43    | 17.55           |

NIHSS, National Institute of Health stroke scale.
haemorrhage and non-symptomatic intracranial haemorrhage in patients with HT, and a subgroup analysis for future study is needed to confirm these findings. Because of limitations mentioned above, the results of the current study should be explained with caution.

**CONCLUSIONS**
The systematic review and meta-analysis identified eight risk factors associated with a higher risk of HT, including age, atrial fibrillation, previous stroke, previous antiplatelet treatment, stroke severity, systolic or diastolic pressure, and serum glucose level. Given the risk of bias, these results should not justify withholding intravenous thrombolysis.
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