Urban and global populism: An analysis of Jakarta as Resilient City
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Abstract. One of the important elements of friendly city is its cordiality towards diversity. Cities will naturally attract people from different nationalities to live, study and work. The rapid development of cities has long been regarded as major drive of urbanization from other areas which contributed to the high level of ethnic and race diversities. However diversity is currently seen under threat due to the rise of global populism that is mainly fuelled by anxiety over economic condition. The growth of nationalist movement, anti-immigrant parties and xenophobia is gaining foothold across the planet. Against this backdrop, this paper aims at investigating the nexus between diversity and inequality in Jakarta. The current local election provides example of these two competing concepts. Jakarta as emerging global city with diverse communities had been marred by public protest and narration of identity issues. On the other hands, populism has deep root in severe economic inequality among the residents. A robust development in Jakarta has been marked by concentration of wealth in the hands of few. This paper presents case study on the root causes of growing populism and its relations with inequality in Jakarta.

1. Introduction
The world has seen unprecedented rise of populism. Across the Europe the candidate from ultra-right parties attracted voter with nationalist agenda, restriction to immigration, border closure (or tighten). In the US, the arrival of populism has officially started by the election of Donald Trump. He walked his talk by launching several policies including US withdrawal from Free Trade (TPP) and travel ban to six countries with large muslim population.

This trend has been seen as contrary with the nature of city. The anti-trade, anti-immigration and populist movement is at odds with openness and diversity that define the global metropolis [1]. Furthermore, Muggah and Gleny (2017), argue that city is the most powerful antidote of pluralism [1]. Cities are constructing positive, plural and inclusive visions albeit the populist, exclusive policies carried out by their nationalist leader. Cities act as bridge between global world and populist nation state. It plays important role in global politics and economics. According to World Bank reports, cities are the world’s engines for economic growth that are generating more than 80 percent of global GDP [2].

Cities are also a laboratory of democratic politics which experienced tension and conflict but also where virtually all modern progressive social movements have originated [1]. The architect of the Global Parliament of Mayors, Benjamin Barber, sees “nations as parochial and narrow-minded – they are adversaries of change and progress – while cities are conservators of multiculturalism, tolerance and open society”.[1]

Jakarta as one of prominent world cities has been a part of this discourse. Despite its robust development as important business, trade and service hub in Asia Pacific, Jakarta has latent problem as plural cities. In 1998, following the leadership transition from President Suharto to his predecessor,
Jakarta experienced a violent riot that took hundreds of lives. The riot was racial, as the unknown crowd targeted some areas in Western and Northern Jakarta that were dominated by Indonesian-Chinese. Most of shops in other areas were closed and with “native owned” (milik pribumi) to distinguish them from the shops that were owned by Indonesian-Chinese.

After a relatively calm and peaceful period, in 2016, the political landscape in Jakarta and Indonesia at large swung into identity politics due to Jakarta gubernatorial election. It occurred due to the nomination of Basuki Tjahaja Purnama or Ahok, as candidate of Jakarta governor 2017-2022. Winning the election would have been great challenge for the Ahok due to religious reason. Muslim groups such as the Islamic Defenders’ Front (FPI) have voiced their opposition against having a non-Muslim leader since as early as 2012 [3]. It affirmed by polling organization Indikator Politik conducted in May and June 2016 revealed that 27.1 percent of voters surveyed said that they were satisfied with Ahok’s performance as governor, but would not vote for him [3] The vast majority in this group are Muslims, and 72 percent of this segment stated that they either moderately or strongly agreed with the statement that “a leader has to be of the same religion as me.”[3]

While the political tension gradually heated, Ahok, accused of blasphemy by insulting Al Quran. It quickly created mass mobilization that demanded trial of Ahok. Ahok opponent created a group named Aksi Bela Islam (Islam Defender Act). They conducted two big protests in Jakarta on November 4, and December 2, 2016. While the mass protests held in relatively peaceful manner, in the evening of December 2 after mass protest known as 212 movement, police and some protesters involved in clash. In northern Jakarta areas there were reported shop robbery. Despite its minor scale and successfully being localized and deescalated by the police, this unrest reminded people to dark memory of racial 1998 racial riot and alarming sign of the real condition of diversity in Jakarta. The sharp distinction between supporter and opponent of Ahok has created a gap between communities in Jakarta.

This current development has provoked question about the resilience of Jakarta in the midst of global populism that are heavily attributed with identity elements. Table 1 displays the category of urban hazard which comprises of natural, technological and socioeconomics. Two mass protests in Jakarta that led to divisiveness among community in Jakarta can be categorized as (potential) social and political conflict. It could be a threat to cohesive community that is paramount to resilient city.

**Table 1.** Category of urban hazard which comprises of natural, technological and socioeconomics.

| Natural | Technological | Socioeconomic |
|---------|---------------|---------------|
| Drought | Building collapse | Business discontinuity |
| Earthquake | Chemical spills | Corruption |
| Epidemic/pandemic | Cyber threats | Demographic shifts |
| Extreme temperature | Explosion | Economic crisis |
| Flooding | Fire | High unemployment |
| Insect infestation | Gas leak | Labor strike/unrest |
| Severe storm | Industrial accident | Massacre |
| Tsunami | Oil spill | Political conflict |
| Volcanic eruption | Pollution event | Social conflict |
| Wildfire | Poisoning | Supply crises (e.g., food, water, housing, energy, etc.) |

Source: Adapted from UN-Habitat’s City Resilience Profiling Tool and based on classification of hazards by EM-DAT and PreventionWeb

This paper will analyze the nexus between the rise of global populism and the position of the cities by taking Jakarta as case study. It has two objectives: 1) Applying concept of urban resilience to examine the programs of Jakarta local government 2) Assessing the type of urban resilience that has been developed in Jakarta by the local government. The analysis will employ existing literature urban resilience as main
framework. The subsequent part of this paper would present existing literature review on urban resilience. The method section will elaborate research design, data collection and data analysis. It is followed by discussion of finding and result. The paper will be concluded by conclusion and direction of future research.

2. Literature Review
Concept of Resilience has flourished in the past few years. Most of the literatures link this concept with ecological system, sustainable development and climate change [4] as can be seen from Folke (2006), Pickett, Cadenasso, & McGrath, (2013), Leichenko, (2011), and Zimmerman & Faris (2011).[5][6][7][8]. In a broader perspective, urban resilience has various definitions. USAID defines resilience as “the ability of people, households, communities, countries and systems to mitigate, adapt to and recover from shocks and stresses in a manner that reduces chronic vulnerability and facilitates inclusive” [9]. Resilience Alliance uses definition from Holling (1973), Gunderson & Holling (2002), Walker et al (2004) “Resilience is the capacity of a social-ecological system to absorb or withstand perturbations and other stressors such that the system remains within the same regime, essentially maintaining its structure and functions. It describes the degree to which the system is capable of self-organization learning and adaptation”[10]. ICLEI has similar definition “The capacity of a social or ecological system and its component parts to cope with hazardous shocks and stresses in a timely and efficient manner by responding, adapting, and transforming in ways that restore, maintain, and even improve its essential functions, structures, and identity while retaining the capacity for growth and change.[11]. Meerow, Newell, Stults (2015) defines it more specifically in the urban context “Urban resilience refers to the ability of an urban system-and all its constituent socio-ecological and socio-technical networks across temporal and spatial scales-to maintain or rapidly return to desired functions in the face of a disturbance, to adapt to change, and to quickly transform systems that limit current or future adaptive capacity [12].

In 2016, The Rockefeller Foundation elaborated the broad concept of resilience as a part of their program “100 Resilient Cities”. It adopted the general definition of urban resilience as the capacity of cities to function, so that the people living and working in cities – particularly the poor and vulnerable – survive and thrive no matter what stresses or shocks they encounter [13]. However, they break down the concept into several sub concepts : (1) Infrastructure and Ecosystem (2) Leadership and Strategy (3) Economy and Society (4) Health and Wellbeing.[13].

This paper will utilize this framework as tool of analysis in examine Jakarta’s resilience especially in facing new challenge of global populism.

3. Method
This paper is a preliminary study of the Indonesia and Global Populism Research . The study employs qualitative methods with strong focus on policy analysis. Thus, it mainly uses primary source of official publication from Rockefeller Foundation, World Bank, World Economic Forum and related institution that works on Urban Resilience. Rockefeller Foundation commenced their particular project on urban studies as early as 1958. In 2016, it launched 100 City Resilience Challenge to underpin City Resilience Index[13]. It also supplemented by secondary sources from various journal and books. Since the case study of this paper is relatively new, it heavily relies on article in online publication written by credible authors.

4. Results and Discussion
Jakarta is the vibrant megalopolis and a home for more than 32 million people. Its history has endowed Jakarta with great ethnic, architectural and cultural diversity whilst making it dynamic and ever-changing [14]. Jakarta is a home for people from Chinese, Arabic, Portugal and Indian descendants. Apart from Betawi, as native ethnic groups, Jakarta has attracted migrants from various ethnic groups in Indonesia : Javanese, Sundanese, Balinese, Ambonese, Makasar and many others. Kampung Ambon (Ambon Village) or Kampung Bali (Bali Village), Glodok (Chinatown), Pekojan are the evidence of the diversity
of Jakarta in terms of ethnic groups and race. In recent times, Jakarta is even more diverse by the arrival of expatriate from the US or European Countries that works in MNC or international organizations. With this diversity background, the rise of identity politics following the local election and Ahok allegation of religious blasphemy in Jakarta is surprising yet explainable.

There are two competing argument to explain the rise of populism and identity politics in Jakarta. The first camp perceives that the root cause of the current unrest in Jakarta was merely related to identity, diversity, and multiculturalism. Jakarta’s rich diversity has not been well managed, especially when it comes to inter-religious and inter race relations. Setijadi (2017) believes that identity politics was pivotal in Jakarta local election.[3] On the other side, scholars such as Ian Wilson (2017) believes that economic inequality is the underlying problem of growing populism and identity politics in Jakarta [14]. Citing data from Oxfam, Wilson argues that inequality has been driven by a combination of ‘market fundamentalism’, high concentrations of land ownership, and the second lowest rate of tax collection in Southeast Asia[14]. The poor and precarious bear the most drastic and damaging impacts of economic inequality [14]. Ahok’s policies on slum and squatter area by relocating them to vertical housing has triggered grievance among the poor people in Jakarta.

However, Setijadi (2017) argues that class could not solely explain the defeat of Ahok and growing populism in Jakarta [3]. Setijadi refers to round two exit polls from Indikator Politik revealing that up until the time of Ahok’s defeat, 72 percent of surveyed voters were happy with his performance [3]. However, 39 percent of this satisfied segment said that they did not vote for him because of religious reasons [3]. This opinion strengthens by Mietzner (2017) as he reveals that the politicization of identity has started in 2012 Jakarta local election when Jokowi and Ahok ran as candidate [15] The Quran verses on the obligation to choose leader from the same religion has already used. However, the attempt to politicize it was not successful since Ahok only ran as Jokowi’s vice governor. Mietzner also affirmed the result of survey that showed high level of satisfaction of Ahok’s performance[15]. He surprised that the incumbent who gained high satisfaction could be defeated. Combing these two camps, This paper argues that the both economic inequality and the rise of primordial sentiment that is occurring globally are contributing to the strengthening of identity politics and populism in Jakarta. After the identification of these two causes, the subsequent sub section will examine the nexus between economic inequality, identity and urban resilience in Jakarta.

As a bustling metropolis, Jakarta is struggling with urban problems such as traffic congestion, pollution, and exacerbating rainfall flooding [16]. Jakarta is also facing economic-social and environmental challenges resulting from climate change and globalization. The challenges are well responded by Jakarta local government through its provincial prominent programs. These Nine Program of Jakarta Provincial Government was launched in line with Local Government Medium Term Development Plan (Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Menengah Daerah/ RPJMD) 2013-2018 [17]:

- Development of Mass Rapid Transportation;
- Flooding Control;
- Slum and Squatter Area;
- Green Open Space;
- Management of Petty Traders;
- The Development of Public Health;
- Development of Public Education;
- The Development of Public Service.

The local government translated these nine programs into several sub programs. In the domain of public transportation, there are such programs such as revitalization and addition of Transjakarta Bus, construction of LRT and MRT. In controlling flood, the local government planned to build several public reservoirs, artificial lake and sea dykes. The provincial government focused on building RPTRA (Ruang
Public Terbuka Ramah Anak (Child Friendly Integrated Public Space) by utilizing CSR funds from participating corporates. Management of petty traders was carried out by placing them in shopping malls which rent fee is affordable. In the realms of public health and education, the backbone of the program are the distribution of Kartu Jakarta Sehat (Jakarta Health Card) and Kartu Jakarta Pintar (Jakarta Smart Card). These cards targeted on low income people to afford basic health service and school utilities such as uniform, books and stationery. The basic education in elementary school and junior high school are free in Jakarta. Public Service in Jakarta is supported by One Stop Service (Pelayanan Satu Pintu) and Qlue, downloadable apps to report any problem in public infrastructure.[17]

In May 2016, 100 Resilient Cities, pioneered by The Rockefeller Foundation, selected Jakarta to be part of their global network [18]. Momentum from 100RC’s two earlier challenges made this year highly competitive, spanning more than 80 countries across six continents [18]. Jakarta was chosen from more 100 Resilient Cities than 325 applicants on the basis of their willingness, ability, and need to become resilient in the face of future challenges[18]. Jakarta local government responded this engagement with Resilience Cities program by proposing four programs. Deputy Governor of Spatial Planning and Environment, Oswald Angkasa presented four programs which actually derived from nine RPJM programs: Public Management, Slum and Squatter Areas, Waste Management and Flooding [19].

After Jokowi was elected as the president of the Republic of Indonesia in October 2014, Ahok as his vice governor replaced him as the governor of Jakarta after a series of controversy over the support from Gerindra, his political party. Ahok is well known of his stubbornness and outspoken style. His personality somehow made him difficult to match with most of Indonesians working in bureaucracy and the people. Soon after his inauguration, Ahok announced new prominent program of Jakarta Provincial Government for 2015 budget year. The previous nine programs remains with four additional subjects: 1) Environmental protection and management 2) Energy and mineral resources 3) Reduction of economic inequality and job creation 4) Multiculturalism.[20]

5. Discussion

Based on the urban resilience framework from Rockefeller Foundation. The eminent programs of Jakarta provincial government, including the one that submitted for 100 Resilience Cities Program, mostly fall into category of Infrastructure and Environment and Health and Wellbeing. There are no adequate programs that fill leadership and strategy and economy and society categories.
During the incomplete term of Jokowi and Ahok (2012-2014) and Ahok-Djarot (2014-2016) Jakarta has been busy developing transportation system, such as MRT and LRT and revitalizing Transjakarta. They built more platforms for Transjakarta to enable the integration with LRT and MRT. Jakarta was vision as modern city with integrated public transportation like Singapore to reduce traffic jam and enhance mobility.

The government also attempted to integrate slum and squatter area management with flood control by normalize the function of river and relocating people living in the river basin. The people were relocated to vertical housing with low rent fee. The city infrastructure also completed by the the difabel friendly pedestrian pavement. Child friendly public spaces were massively opened in many areas in Jakarta in cooperation with several companies by utilizing their CSR Fund [21]. One of the most controversial yet highly praised programs under Ahok administration is the closure of Kalijiodo, the oldest prostitution in Jakarta. The place was transformed into another RPTRA where public can use it for their community activity. In keeping Jakarta clean and livable, the government established PPSU (Public Facility Maintenance Agency) which main task are cleaning up the environment of the garbage in the street, drainage or river.

In sum, these programs were created to transform Jakarta as clean, free from flood, orderly with high mobility like other big cities in the world. It echoes Wilson opinion that Jakarta is seemingly built to fulfill middle class desire of a modern, secure and convenient within gated estates, apartment towers and private vehicles [15].

However, the development that heavily relies on physical infrastructure created another problem. The relocation of people living in river basin has led to forced eviction due to lack of dialogue between people and the government. It also exacerbated by Ahok communication style which considered harsh to some people. On the other hand, according to Ian Wilson, Indonesia’s Bureau of Statistics has recorded constant economic inequality rate in Jakarta, reflecting the national trend of the past decade [15]. Indonesia economic growth has been marked by the concentration of wealth in few people while the vast majority of Indonesian experience stagnation of standard of living [15].

The addition of the reduction of economic inequality and multiculturalism in Jakarta provincial program did not help narrowing this gap. Djarot only gives example how PPSU program can help solving unemployment problem [22]. PPSU personnel are paid by the government to clean up neighborhood or river. While it indeed fell into the category of job creation, it was criticized as “outsourcing” program and not participatory. It was not stimulating entrepreneurship and long term income generation Program of multiculturalism is even more lagged behind and simply interpreted by the introduction of Betawi culture or making Betawi traditional attire as one of compulsory uniform for school pupils [23].

| Category of Resilience         | Jakarta Provincial Government Programs                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Leadership and Strategy        | While Ahok is partially praised for his clean, decisive leadership, many other complain about his outspoken and uncompromising manner |
| Health and Wellbeing           | 1. Jakarta Health Card                                                                                 |
|                                | 2. Jakarta Smart Card                                                                                 |
| Infrastructure and Environment | 1. Revitalization and Improvement of Transjakarta Bus                                                  |
|                                | 2. Construction of MRT and LRT                                                                         |
|                                | 3. Flood Control                                                                                      |
|                                | 4. Slum and Squatter Area (relocation to vertical housing)                                             |
|                                | 5. Pedestrian Pavement                                                                                |
|                                | 6. Child Friendly Public Space (RPTRA)                                                                |
|                                | 7. Energy and Mineral Resources                                                                        |
|                                | 8. Establishment of Public Facility and                                                               |

Table 2. Category of resilience and local government program.
1. Multiculturalism (example of the program is not clear)
2. Reduction of Economic Inequality and Job Creation (example of the program is not clear)

From the Table 2, it is clearly seen that from the four indicator of urban resilience, programs related to infrastructure and environment are dominating the program of Jakarta local government. While physical development is deemed necessary for a modern busy city, it is not sufficient to prevent the rise of identity politics and populism.

6. Conclusion
Departing from the global phenomenon of the rise of populism and identity politics, this paper attempts to analyze the nexus between urban resilience and populism by taking Jakarta as a case study. City with its diversity and progressive vision are perceived as antidote to populist nation with ultra right leaders. However, city is not free from the influence of identity politics as shown by the situation in Jakarta during gubernatorial election and after the allegation of Ahok for religious blasphemy. Using urban resilience as a lens, this paper utilizes Urban Resilience Framework developed by Rockefeller Foundation. While there is no single definitions, urban resilience could be described as “the ability of an urban system-and all its constituent socio-ecological and socio-technical networks across temporal and spatial scales-to maintain or rapidly return to desired functions in the face of a disturbance, to adapt to change, and to quickly transform systems that limit current or future adaptive capacity”[6]. It comprises of four sections: Leadership and Strategy, Health and Wellbeing, Infrastructure and Environment, and Economy and Society. Resilience is comprehensive concept as it incorporates psychical and non psychical, tangible and intangible development.

As one of the most vibrant cities in the world with high level of diversity, Jakarta faces many challenges in staying resilient in the midst of growing global populism and identity politics. Under the leadership of Jokowi and Ahok in 2012, followed by Ahok and Jarot in 2014 after Jokowi was elected as the seventh president, the situation in Jakarta has been marred by the dynamics between identity politics and the robust physical development. Departing from the analysis from Urban Resilience Framework, this paper found that during 2012-2016 period, Jakarta local government has allocated more resources to the physical development in infrastructure for urban transport, flood control, slum and squatter area management. There are lack of programs to support economic inequality and social cohesiveness among people in Jakarta. Some programs in flood control and slum and squatter area have created new problem of forced eviction among the poor people. It added the grievance that already felt by some people in Jakarta due Ahok direct leadership style. This accumulated grievance found momentum when Ahok was alleged that he did religious blasphemy. The series of mass protest demanded his trial were combined with the rejection of non muslim leader of the leader from the same religion. Economic grievance that mixed with identity politics has created the onset of populism in Jakarta.

Based on local election in April 2017, people in Jakarta has elected new leader. Ahok has been sentenced to two years in jail after being found guilty of blasphemy. Mass protests had resumed, but the seeds of identity politics have been sowed. People of Jakarta, local government and civil society must work hand in hand to prevent multicultural Jakarta from being dragged into divisive identity politics and populism. Continuous research on urban populism should be carried out by the academic community to give insights to related stakeholders and educate people.
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