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Abstract: Brazing fillers for joining applications are essential for manufacturing and designing advanced materials. Several types of brazing fillers have been developed in recent decades to join similar or different engineering materials. Important parts of automotive and aircraft components, including steel, are often joined by brazing. In addition, ceramic components in microwave devices and circuits have been joined with a high level of integration in microelectronic devices. Similarly, in the medical field, metallic implants have been brazed to ceramic dental crowns. These advances have made human life more convenient. However, in brazing, there are certain issues with intermetallic compound (IMC) formation and residual stresses in joints at high temperatures. Nanoparticle-reinforced fillers have been proposed to control IMCs, but there are other dispersion and particle segregation issues at the joints. In this study, various types of brazing fillers, joint fabrication processes, and brazing technologies developed in recent decades are reviewed. Furthermore, new developments in brazing materials and their specific applications are presented. Finally, the emerging areas in brazing, including the recent entropy-modified brazing fillers for various structural and technological fields, are discussed.

Keywords: brazing; joining; dissimilar; laser; automotive; melting; joint

1. Introduction

Almost 5000 years old, brazing as a joining technology is still useful for joining advanced materials and devices. In this process, two materials are joined with a filler material and heated above the melting point of the filler, which then solidifies and joins the contacting materials. The importance of brazing lies in the fact that two different materials, such as metals and ceramics, can also be joined [1]. The final joint characteristics depend on the parent materials and filler wetting on the contact surfaces. The melting point of the brazing filler must be lower than the solidus of the contact materials. Brazing fillers have a higher liquidus temperature (>450 °C) than their low-temperature solder alloy counterparts. Low-temperature solder alloys for joining electronic materials and interconnections have a liquidus temperature of <450 °C [2].

The principal advantage of brazing in modern manufacturing is that it can form strong bonds with dissimilar and completely different parent materials with minimal modification of the contact materials. By contrast, high-temperature welding produces a stronger bond but requires two similar parent metals, and intensive local heating causes distortion and stresses, as well as the creation of heat-affected zones (HAZ) [2,3]. Mechanical fasteners using bolt–nut connections may face problems of loosening, decreased clamping force, and low fatigue strength due to the uneven load distribution and stress concentrations at the threads [4,5]. The increased use of fillers in automotive and aerospace applications, microwave devices, and machines exposed to harsh environments necessitates the development of brazing fillers. Several complex structures, ceramics, and metals are usually required for joining electronics, sensors, and transducers, microwave devices, and medical and energy storage applications [6–9].
This study focuses on the recent advances in brazing alloys for the joining of various similar and dissimilar material combinations with an emphasis on the brazing of metals and ceramics. We reviewed different filler materials for various applications, including the recently discovered high-entropy alloys (HEAs) in brazing. HEAs have shown desirable properties for brazing applications, such as good wetting and minimal reaction compounds, which have led to significant enhancements in the strength of brazed joints under high temperatures and harsh service conditions [10,11]. HEA-based fillers are unique because the resulting brazed joint is composed of solid solution phases, which accommodate the brazing seam and are beneficial for minimizing the coefficient of thermal expansion mismatch induced between the contact materials [12].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 discusses the various methods of brazing, wetting theory, thermodynamic considerations, and interfacial reactions in brazing technology. Section 3 describes the relevant criteria of brazing fillers in the literature and their recent applications in brazing. Section 4 presents the development trends of filler materials. Section 5 provides an overview of various trends in joining dissimilar materials. Section 6 highlights the emerging areas and applications of brazing. Section 7 focuses on HEAs in brazing, and, finally, Section 8 presents the summary and conclusions.

2. Brazing Methods and Trend

The steps involved in the brazing process include joint formation using different energy sources to melt the fillers and establish the bond. Table 1 summarizes the various brazing methods and their advantages and drawbacks, citing popular applications and requirements.

| Process                  | Detail                                           | Advantages                  | Drawbacks                               | Applications                                    |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Flame brazing            | The joint fixed in jigs is heated by a gas flame | Cheap and fast; limited damage | Needs a highly skilled operator         | Low volume; low heating temperatures           |
| Induction brazing        | Electromagnetic induction heating                | Highly efficient; localized heating; multiple parts can be completed | Multicomponent shapes are not possible     | Generally suitable for highly resistive metals and steels |
| Furnace/vacuum brazing   | Self-supported joint passed in the furnace with or without a controlled atmosphere | Automated; mass production; limited voiding under a controlled atmosphere | High heating and maintenance cost; batch process; clean parts required | Different geometries; suitable for stable oxide metals such as Ti and Al |
| Laser brazing            | The laser beam quickly melts the filler, with no need for flux | Automated; mass production; high joint strength | Tooling is rather expensive but can be compromised with faster and robust joints | Suitable for most metals and ceramics          |

Among the above methods, flame brazing is the cheapest, but a highly skilled operator is required. Flame brazing employs an oxyacetylene gas torch to melt and braze the filler metal inside the joint and attain bonding. Induction brazing is more suitable for similar materials. Furnace or vacuum brazing is the most popular method for automated joining of similar or dissimilar materials under a controlled environment and is suitable for mass production [1,2]. Currently, brazing industries are moving toward laser brazing owing to its sound and robust joint and brazing operation [3].

Figure 1 shows the various steps involved in the joining and forming of a sound metallurgical interface between dissimilar materials. There should be an appropriate gap between the joint materials, as indicated in step 1. Proper cleaning of the contact surfaces is necessary before brazing. The oxidized layers on the two surfaces are removed by applying a brazing flux (step 3). The brazing filler is melted above its liquidus temperature, which wets the contact surfaces and often flows between the components to be joined (step 4). In the final step, the filler cools, solidifies, and bonds the components (step 5) [2,13,14].
Figure 1. Various steps of the brazing process.

2.1. Wetting Theory

The wettability of brazing fillers is the most important property for brazing. It indicates the fluidity of the molten filler on the contact surfaces. The melt fluidity determines the penetration of the filler metal across and inside the joint surface. A free-flowing filler metal is necessary for most brazing applications, except where metal placement in the joint is difficult.

However, for a wide joint gap, the melt fluidity should not be extremely high; otherwise, it may fail to stay inside the joint and flow down the joint during brazing [15]. This may further cause the formation of cracks and pores in the final joint. Therefore, most brazing fillers have a single melting temperature or eutectic composition for optimal fluidity at the brazing temperature. In multicomponent alloys with multiple phases, it is difficult to have a single melting point, and some phases remain in a partially molten state, causing sluggish fluidity and poor wetting [15,16]. This is undesirable, except when the joint clearance is wide and filler metal retention is difficult.

2.2. Thermodynamic Considerations

Various types of forces are exerted by the surface tensions at the three interfaces, as shown in Figure 2. These force vectors are known as the liquid–surface interface tension \( \gamma_{SL} \), liquid–vapor interface tension \( \gamma_{LV} \), and solid–vapor interface tension \( \gamma_{SV} \). Therefore, the net wetting force can be given by the following equation [17]:

\[
F_{\text{net}} = \gamma_{SV} - \gamma_{SL}.
\]

In the equilibrium condition, the overall force is zero; therefore, \( F_{\text{net}} = 0 \). The surface tension of the molten filler metal is in equilibrium with its horizontal component, that is,

\[
\gamma_{LV} = \gamma_{LV} \cos \theta = F_{\text{net}}
\]

Equations (1) and (2),

\[
\gamma_{SV} = \gamma_{SL} + \gamma_{LV} \cos \theta.
\]

After rearranging Equation (3), we obtain the popular Young equation:

\[
\gamma_{SL} = \gamma_{SV} - \gamma_{LV} \cos \theta.
\]

The angle subtended by the molten metal drop at the substrate is denoted as the wetting or contact angle. As illustrated in Figure 2, the wetting angle decreases with an increase in the contact area, which indicates better wettability [18]. In general, if \( \theta < 90^\circ \), the molten metal will wet the substrate sufficiently. By contrast, if \( \theta > 90^\circ \), the molten filler has poor wetting or non-wetting ability. It can be concluded that adhesive forces are associated with a lower wetting angle [19].
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Dissolution of the base materials may cause erosion wear if it occurs vigorously during brazing, leading to weaker joints. As depicted in Figure 3, the addition of Ti and Sn in a Ag-Cu filler promotes the wettability of ZrO$_2$ ceramic because of the formation of IMCs between the brazing filler and contact surfaces [20]. However, Jung et al. reported that the overall joint strength depends on the thickness of the IMCs across the joint formed with an Al-based filler [21,22].

The reaction of the filler metal with the base materials is more prominent in active metal brazing. For example, in ceramic to metal brazing, Shin et al. showed that the overall joint strength depends on the thickness of the IMCs across the joint formed with an Al-based filler [21,22].

2.3. Interfacial Reactions

The joint formation during brazing requires a wettable filler metal to create a successful joint via the formation of different interface types during the brazing process. The reactions occurring at the joint interface can be classified into two major categories (Figure 3a,b).

An interfacial region forms as a result of the solubility of the filler elements within the joint or dissolution of the base substrate in the filler metal. Dissolution of the base materials may cause erosion wear if it occurs vigorously during brazing, leading to weaker joints. As depicted in Figure 3a, the filler penetrates the Cu base metal, while fine intermetallic compounds (IMCs) are produced when Cu is replaced with carbon steel (Figure 3b) [14].

By contrast, if $\theta > 90^\circ$, the molten filler has poor wetting ability. It can be concluded that adhesive forces are associated with a lower wetting angle [1]. In general, if $\theta < 90^\circ$, the molten filler has sufficient wetting; when $\theta < 90^\circ$, wetting is sufficient. The cross-section of lap joints between two substrates is also shown at the bottom.

**Figure 2.** Schematic of wettability of filler on contact substrates. When $\theta > 90^\circ$, wetting is insufficient; when $\theta < 90^\circ$, wetting is sufficient. The cross-section of lap joints between two substrates is also shown at the bottom.

**Figure 3.** SEM image showing the interface between Ag-155 filler metal and (a) copper and (b) carbon steel [14].

An interfacial region forms as a result of the solubility of the filler elements within the joint or dissolution of the base substrate in the filler metal. Dissolution of the base materials may cause erosion wear if it occurs vigorously during brazing, leading to weaker joints. As depicted in Figure 3a, the filler penetrates the Cu base metal, while fine intermetallic compounds (IMCs) are produced when Cu is replaced with carbon steel (Figure 3b) [14]. The reaction of the filler metal with the base materials is more prominent in active metal brazing. For example, in ceramic to metal brazing, Shin et al. showed that the addition of Ti and Sn in a Ag-Cu filler promotes the wettability of the ZrO$_2$ ceramic because of the formation of IMCs between the brazing filler and contact surfaces [20]. However, Jung et al. reported that the overall joint strength depends on the thickness of the IMCs across the joint formed with an Al-based filler [21,22].

The most popular process used in aerospace is the brazing of Ti alloys, particularly Ti6Al4V and Al alloy components such as 5A06 Al alloy [23], A6061-T6 Al alloy [24], and 5052 Al alloy [25]. Joining of a Ti skin to Al honeycomb structures in aviation industries...
is necessary to produce a balanced set of properties (lightweight Al and high stiffness Ti skin) [26]. Therefore, knowledge of interfaces is of paramount importance in airplanes for safety reasons [27]. Takemoto et al. [28] investigated the joining of Al to Ti using an Al-based brazing alloy. They reported that the inclusions of Si have a significant impact on interface growth. The interfacial region was reduced until 10 at.% Si was added, beyond which Ti₇Al₃Si₁₂ emerged at the interface. Similar results were observed by Chen et al., who used an Al-12Si alloy for brazing Al to Ti. In their study, Si diffused at the interface, but the formation of IMCs was affected by the applied heat input rather than the composition of the Al-12Si alloy [23].

As reported in several studies, the formation of interfaces and IMCs is of significant interest in brazing because these compounds and their geometries are crucial for the process. Other reports on interface studies involve ceramic systems in active metal brazing, such as SiC [29–31], diamond [32–34], WC [35,36], Al₂O₃ [37,38], and ZrO₂ [6,39]. It can be inferred from these investigations that studies related to the brazing joint interface in dissimilar systems include improvements in wetting and minimization of interfacial compounds by suitable filler metals on a case-by-case basis.

### 3. Desirable Properties of Brazing Fillers

The selection of brazing fillers is based on various factors such as the type of base material, service temperature, gap clearance, brazing method, filler shape and size, and regulations on the use of toxic materials in brazing. For strong bonding and metallurgical compatibility, similar properties of both parent contact materials and fillers are highly desirable [1,2]. The ability to wet and spread over contact surfaces for robust joining is the primary requirement for any brazing filler metal. Several active metal fillers containing Ti, Zr, Sn, Nb, Hf, etc., were developed in the past to improve the wettability of substrates. The minor alloying elements (Sn and Ti) in Al-Si alloys promote the wetting and fluidity of the brazing fillers [40,41]. However, these alloying elements work only when added at suitable amounts. The addition of a higher amount of active elements may cause pitting and degrade the joint characteristics [40]. Similarly, Mg has also been observed to promote the wettability of base metals in Al alloys. The presence of Mg in brazing fillers also assists in strengthening the mechanisms and improving the strain-hardening rate of various joining operations. Mg-containing Al alloys can be a replacement for lightweight and high-strength alloys and steels owing to their high ductility, toughness, and strain-hardening characteristics [42–44]. Such wetting promoters and modifiers can be successfully exploited to enhance the wettability of a ceramic surface during active metal brazing [45].

#### 3.1. Service Conditions

The service conditions of the finished part and the surrounding environment also affect the joint characteristics. For example, multi-component brazing fillers may form a galvanic couple in harsh chemical environments and deform quickly. Vacuum brazing is a relatively inexpensive and excellent technique to avoid oxidation and impurity inclusions in joined parts. The level of vacuum can reach ~10⁻³ to 10⁻⁵ mbar [46]. Other common inert gases used in brazing are N₂, H₂, and Ar. It has been observed that the amount of Nocoloc flux can be sufficiently reduced when brazing is performed in a N₂ atmosphere [47,48]. In addition, the use of reducing atmospheres causes the reduction of metal oxides to metal and hence prevents the build-up of an oxidizing layer on the parts [49].

#### 3.2. Brazing Method

The brazing method used also influences the joint quality and processing cost. For example, excessive use of filler metals can be avoided in flame brazing compared to induction or furnace brazing. Often, the cost and production volume of joints must be matched to the appropriate filler metal and compatible brazing process. It has been reported that a brazing filler containing Zn is not suitable for vacuum brazing because of its highly volatile nature. Various post-processing treatments after brazing may also save time and
energy. For instance, high-purity Ti can be successfully joined to Al$_2$O$_3$ by employing a hydride atmosphere (TiH$_2$); hence, the need for metallization, plating, and annealing can be eliminated [46]. In metal–ceramic brazing, the presence of interlayers affects the strength of the brazed joint. During active metal brazing, various interfacial layers containing CuTi and Cu$_2$Ti are formed across Ti-6Al-4V/ZrO$_2$ [50–52]. In general, Cu$_2$Ti is produced at a relatively low brazing temperature; its formation increases with an increase in temperature, resulting in the highest hardness [53]. The thickness of the IMC layers directly affects the brazed joint strength and follows a power law dependence. Therefore, controlling these reaction layers is important for achieving the best set of joint properties [54].

3.3. Brazeability

The brazing temperature also affects the extent of formation of reaction compounds and joint strength. A single melting temperature of brazing alloys is desirable for a free-flow melt. However, it also depends on the joint clearance and melting point of the base materials. The brazing temperature is also limited by the formation of undesirable microstructures, such as HAZ in base materials, which leads to a loss of functionality. Active metal brazing of Cu and Al$_2$O$_3$ with a Ag-Cu-Zr-Sn filler indicates that superior bonding strength is achieved at a low brazing temperature [55]. In the case of Si$_3$N$_4$/Si$_3$N$_4$ joints made with a Ag-Cu-Ti-Mo filler, the IMC thickness increases with temperature [56]. According to Yang et al., brazing of Al$_2$O$_3$/Ti-6Al-4V with a Ag-Cu-Ti-B filler shows the presence of different reaction products (i.e., TiCu, Ti$_2$Cu, Ti(Cu,Al), and Ti$_2$(Cu,Al)). The solidification speeds of all these reaction compounds depend on the temperature, time, and presence of alloying elements that affect the overall brazed joint strength [57]. Jiang et al. brazed AISI 304 stainless steel to fins using a Ni-based filler and found that the brazed strength increased with the brazing temperature. They correlated this change with the microstructural transformation of the brazed seam, where brittle phases were found to decline with the brazing temperature [58]. Recently, to control the IMC layer thickness, the authors also introduced ceramic nanoparticles (ZrO$_2$, SiC) in low-temperature Al brazing and improved the joint strength properties [21,22].

3.4. Joint Gap

In addition to the filler wettability and type of base material, a sufficient joint gap between the contact materials is required for the filler metal to freely flow and wet the surfaces. A wide joint clearance will require a sluggish flowability of the filler metal compared to the free flowability in a narrow joint clearance. The joint strength improves when the lap width is decreased. Elrefaey et al. performed vacuum brazing of a high-purity Ti plate and a low-carbon steel plate in a varying lap joint configuration using a Ag-based filler [53]. The authors suggested that a lap width of up to three times the base metal thickness is required for high-quality brazed joints [53,59]. There are various regulations on the use of certain elements in brazing fillers, such as Cd-containing brazing fillers, which are restricted for use in dairy equipment, food, and pharmaceuticals [60,61]. In certain cases, such as in the food, dairy, and biomedical sectors, Cu$^{2+}$ concentrations greater than 0.5 mM are known to cause toxicity to mesenchymal stem cells [62,63]. Similarly, Au nanoparticles have also shown some adverse effects on living cells beyond a certain level [64].

4. Current Status in Various Brazing Filler Materials

Filler metals are important in the brazing industry and differ in composition from the contact parent materials. Several specialized and miscellaneous alloys are being utilized commercially. Our study focused primarily on high-temperature solders or brazing fillers in general; however, understanding low-temperature solder fillers is useful. Therefore, they will be discussed briefly as well.
4.1. Low-Temperature Filler Metals

The existing low-temperature fillers are mostly Sn-based alloys, such as binary Sn-Ag, Sn-Cu, and Sn-Bi, or ternary Sn-Bi-Zn and Sn-Ag-Cu [65]. There is a range of melting temperatures of Sn-based solders that exist for low-temperature soldering of microelectronic packaging devices. Conventional Sn-Pb alloys are now avoided owing to their toxicity and the regulation of Pb in electronic devices [66]. The most popular alloy system substituted for the Sn-Pb solder is Sn-Ag-Cu, which is known as the SAC alloy, with a eutectic melting point of 217 °C. However, there are various challenges in the application of SAC solders to electronics, such as the formation of unwanted IMCs (Cu₆Sn₅, Cu₅Sn, and Ag₃Sn), nucleation of Sn whiskers from the solder finish, and evolution of Kirkendall voids after long storage [67]. Various strategies to improve the solder joint reliability, such as the addition of alloying elements (Ni, Ag, Cu, and Ti) or nanoparticles inside the solder matrix to control the IMC growth, have been consistently explored [66]. However, the relatively poor mechanical strength and high melting point are still a concern among flexible interconnections. All these issues have triggered the development of solders with complex compositions. Recently, quaternary medium-entropy solders Sn-Bi-In-Zn [68] and Bi-Sn-In-Ga and quinary alloys have been developed for microelectronics [69]. It can be concluded that entropy-driven solders can be good substitutes for SAC alloys because they can minimize the formation of IMCs with the base metals.

4.2. High-Temperature Brazing Fillers

As already discussed, brazing fillers are generally high-temperature solders, where the melting point is greater than 450 °C. During brazing, the filler metal melts and produces a joint between the contact surfaces. Several hundreds of brazing fillers have been studied over recent decades. The most popular brazing alloys according to their class in ISO 17672:2016 are presented in Figure 4 [70]. This classification involves seven subfamilies and is designated according to the major elements constituting the family (Figure 4).

![Brazing fillers: ISO 17672:2016](image)

Figure 4. Various classes of brazing fillers according to ISO 17672:2016.

The seven subfamilies in each brazing filler class (Al, Ag, CuP, Cu, Ni, Pd, and Au) are summarized in Table 2. The particular applications of these alloys are also indicated. The copper-based fillers used for joining several dissimilar materials are divided into three variants.

Active metal brazing is currently becoming more popular owing to its ability to wet ceramic surfaces and combine two different materials across various disciplines [18]. Brazed joints have several advantages over mechanically fastened joints, including a reduction
in the weight and size of the device, compactness, multiple-component brazing, and the use of high temperatures for thermal applications. In the past, many nanocomposite brazing alloys were also designed by some research groups where nanoparticles have shown enough potential to control the interface thickness and geometry and minimize thermal stresses [21,22]. However, the segregation of nanoparticles inside the joints is a critical issue that needs to be addressed via different material designs and procedures [71]. It is noted that each brazing alloy is different and contributes to the strength of brazed joints in particular applications. The effects of various brazing fillers on the mechanical properties of the joint strength are summarized in Table 3.

### Table 2. Various classes of brazing alloys [14,70].

| Filler Class | Components | Applications |
|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Class Al     | Al- and Mg-based alloys | Joining of Al, Mg, and Ti components |
|              |             | High Mg(001) for high-Mg alloys |
| Class Ag     | Ag alloys   | Steel and stainless steels |
|              |             | Copper, brass, and bronze alloys |
| Class CuP    | Cu- and P-based filler metals | WC and diamond brazing |
|              | High-Cu alloys | Reducing gas furnace brazing (steel/WC) |
| Class Cu     | Cu-Zn alloys | Cu, mild steel, and steel/WC |
|              | Inert gas brazing alloys | Galvanized steel joints for automotive |
| Class Ni     | Ni and Co alloys | Stainless steels |
|              |             | Ni- and Co-based superalloys |
| Class Pd     | Pd alloys | Requires vacuum for corrosion-resistant joints |
| Class Au     | Au alloys | Aerospace and electronics |
|              |             | Jewelry and vacuum tubes |
| Special Cu alloys | High-purity Cu alloys | Ag filler alternative to carbon steel and stainless steel brazing in reducing atmospheres |
|              | Cu-Mn-Ni | High-strength C steel/WC joint |
| Pt alloys    | Various Pt-containing fillers | Platinum jewelry |
|              |             | Mo and W for ultrahigh-temperature applications |
| Active metal fillers | Ag alloys with active elements such as Ti, Hf, and Zr | Metal ceramic brazing |
| Ti alloys    | Ti with Zr, Cu, and Ni elements | Joining Ti in submarine and medical applications |
| Refractory alloys | W (80Mo-20Ru; 65Pd-35Co) | High-temperature systems |
|              | Mo (Hf-7Mo; Hf-40Mo) | Heating elements |
|              | Nb | Spacecraft propulsion systems |
| Ta (Ta-V-Nb; Ta-V-Ti) | Capacitors |
| Nanoparticle-reinforced brazing alloys | Al-Si, Al-Si-Cu, and Ag-Cu-Ti reinforced with ZrO₂, CeO₂, Al₂O₃, and SiC nanoparticles | Low-temperature Al brazing; ceramic-to-metal brazing |
| Contact Materials | Brazing Filler | Brazing Condition | Strength (MPa) | Application | Ref. |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|-----|
| Al2O3 (2003)      | UMCr-50       |                    |                |             |     |
|                   | superalloys  | Sn10Ag6Ti (wt%)   | 900 °C for 10 min | Four-point bending test, strength: 57 MPa |     |
| Al2O3 (2003)      | Inconel 600, | Sn10Ag6Ti (wt%)   | 900 °C for 10 min | Four-point bending test, strength: 69 MPa |     |
| Al2O3 (2010)      | Cu            | Cu-72 wt%Ag + (0.8–4.8 wt%Ti) | Vacuum brazing, 750 °C, 40 min, 840 °C, 15 min | Tensile strength, 0.003 MPa at 3 wt%Ti, 840 °C, 15 min |     |
| C fiber-reinforced SiC (2011) | Nb | Ti39.4Ni39.5Nb31.2 (at%) | Vacuum brazing, 1160–1260 °C for 10 min | Shear strength: 149 MPa, 1220 °C |     |
| Al2O3 (2012)      | Al2O3         | Ag–26.4Cu–4.5Ti + (0.35–1.5J + (0–5)TiH2 (all in wt%) | 900 °C, 10 min | N/A |     |
| AI3003 (2012)     | Al3003        | Zn–(2–22) Al (wt%) | Flame brazing, 500 °C | Joint hardness 70–90 HV, tensile strength: 160 MPa (2–8 wt%Al) |     |
| Al2O3 (2013)      | Inconel 600   | 92.75Ag–5.0Cu–1.0Al–1.25Ti (wt%) | Vacuum brazing, 950 °C, 2 min | Tensile shear strength: 80 MPa, fracture occurred on alumina during tensile testing |     |
| Si3N4 (2014)      | 42CrMo steel  | Ag54.67-Cu35.96-Ti4.37-Pd5 (at%) | 870–1020 °C, 10–60 min | Three-point bending test. Strength: 352.3 MPa at 920 °C, 30 min | Solid oxide fuel cell sealing, nuclear reactors |
| C fiber-reinforced SiC (2014) | AISI 304 | 48Ti-48Zr-4Be (wt%) | Vacuum brazing, 920–1050 °C for 15–90 min | Shear strength: 109.13 ± 2.55 MPa, at 950 °C |     |
| Graphite (2014)   | Cu            | Ni44.4Cr12.2P3Cu0.9 (wt%) | 900–1000 °C, 10 min | Shear strength 60 MPa, 950 °C |     |
| 5mol%Y2O3-ZrO2 (2015) | AISI 420 | Ag/Ni nanopaste (1.08 wt%, particle size 80 nm) | 270–360 °C, 60–150 min | Shear strength: 23 ± 1 MPa at 270 °C, 120 min | Turbine blades |
| Si3Ni4 (2016)     | Invar (Fe-32Ni) wt% | Ag28Cu4Ti + TiN nanoparticles | 800–900 °C, 10–60 min | Shear strength: 229 MPa at 2vol%TiN, 900 °C, 10 min | Metal–ceramic brazing |
| Al4045 (2016)     | AI4045        | Al-12Si-20Cu–(0–0.1) ZrO2 (wt%) | Induction brazing, 550 °C, 30 min | Shear strength: 6–MPa, 0.05 wt% ZrO2 | Automotive vehicles |
| Cubic BN (2016)   | AISI 1045     | (Ag7Cu30)5Ti3/TiB2 (wt%) | 920 °C, 5 min | Shear strength: 50–78 MPa at 8 wt% | Cubic BN superabrasive wheels |
| Cubic BN (2016)   | AISI 1045     | (Ag4Cu30)5Ti3/TiN (wt%) | 920 °C, 5 min | Shear strength: 50 128 MPa at 8 wt% | Cubic BN superabrasive wheels |
| Cubic BN (2016)   | AISI 1045     | (Ag4Cu30)5Ti3/TiC (wt%) | 920 °C, 5 min | Shear strength: 48 MPa at 8 wt% | Cubic BN superabrasive wheels |
| WC-Co (2017)      | AISI 4145     | Cu-37.9Zn-9.5Ni (wt%) | Induction brazing, 960 °C, 1–12.5 min | Shear strength: 412 MPa, 5 min | Cutting tools |
| C-C composite (2017) | Nb | Graphene-reinforced Cu foam | 880 °C, 10 min | Shear strength: 43 MPa | Thermal insulation in aircrafts |
| Galvanized steel (2017) | Galvanized steel | Cu-3Si (wt%) | Laser arc brazing, 50–80 A, 60–90 cm/min | Transverse face bend test, strength: 17.9 MPa, 70A-80 cm/min | Automotive parts |
| Al2O3 (2018)      | TiAl          | Ag-21Cu-4.5Ti (wt%) | Vacuum brazing, 860–940 °C, 1–15 min | Strength: 49 MPa at 900 °C/5 min | High-temperature optical windows |
| ZrC-SiC (2018)    | Ti-6Al-4V     | Ti-12Cu-13Ni (at%) | 930–990 °C for 10 min | Shear strength = 47 MPa at 970 °C, 10 min | High-temperature shielding and barriers in nuclear reactors |
We can see from Table 3 that most of the brazing fillers have been applied to join ceramics to metals as well as ceramics to ceramics. The most popular ceramics include Al₂O₃, SiC, Si₃N₄, ZrO₂, and BN brazed by Ag- and Cu-based brazing alloys. Ceramic composites such as C fiber-reinforced SiC, Y-stabilized ZrO₂, ZrC-SiC, WC-Co, and C-C composite have also been joined using Cu-, Ti-, or Ni-based brazing alloys. Low-temperature brazing was used especially for aluminum-based brazing fillers using Al-, Zn-, or Ni-based brazing fillers [22,32,76]. However, Zn-based fillers had the issue of a low vapor pressure at high temperatures which causes cracks in joints. Later, Jung et al. [22] developed a low-melting Al-based brazing filler (Al-12Si-20Cu-0.05ZrO₂) for joining automotive components by induction brazing. Al components have been joined to cubic boron nitride ceramic wheels for abrasive applications by Miao et al. [83]. Zahid et al. brazed a sandwich structure made of Ag-SnO₂/Ag/Cu/steel using a Cu-15Ag-5P filler [92]. Different types of galvanized steel sheets have been joined together using Cu-based fillers by laser brazing or laser arc hybrid brazing as mentioned by Sharma et al. [86] and Andreazza et al. [94]. In recent years, some remarkable progress has been made in brazing fillers, such as brazing nanopastes [81], nanocomposite fillers [22,82,83], and graphene-reinforced Cu foam [85]. Although Ag-based alloys are still in demand [83,87] for their brazing activity and strength, novel alloys are now coming up to occupy the pool of brazing alloys. For example, multi-principal element alloys known as high-entropy alloys have shown their potential in the brazing area. High-entropy brazing fillers have been used to join superalloys and ceramics recently [89,90]. We will elaborate the discussion on these high-entropy brazing fillers in Section 7. In the following sections, we will review the various applications of the different brazing alloys in actual joining applications.

5. Joining Dissimilar Materials

The recent developments in brazing technology include joining dissimilar materials to combine the properties of both materials in a single device. Various examples of recent activities in the broad discipline of brazing are as follows.
5.1. Ceramic Brazing

Ceramic brazing is complex and requires the use of active metal fillers for joining. In the literature, most ceramics have been directly brazed using Ag-Cu eutectic fillers [18]. Among the various ceramics, sapphire-to-sapphire (Al$_2$O$_3$/Al$_2$O$_3$) bonding is most important for aircraft windows, microelectromechanical systems, and scratch-resistant surfaces. However, the joining of sapphires is cumbersome because of their small size. Sapphires have excellent thermodynamic stability and optical transparency, which are required for most lightweight devices and surface engineering components. The brazing of a sapphire/sapphire couple was performed using a novel bismuth–borate glass filler (50Bi$_2$O$_3$–40B$_2$O$_3$–10ZnO in molar%) [95]. Therefore, the use of such glass brazes can expand this ceramic-to-ceramic direct joining in applications such as scratch-resistant components, micromechanical devices, and aircraft windows. The joining of SiO$_2$/SiO$_2$ glass fibers to silica was achieved using an indium-containing filler metal. This filler helps reduce the required brazing temperature, which further alleviates the residual stresses in the joint to improve the service life [96].

5.2. Joining of Metallic Glasses and Steel

Since 2000, bulk metallic glasses (BMGs) have shown great potential in the materials research community because of their impressive mechanical performance and excellent corrosion resistance [97]. However, BMGs are often ignored in brazing because their attractive properties deteriorate significantly after they crystallize while joining. During brazing, the interfacial changes in chemical composition transform the supercooled structure to crystalline, which causes further embrittlement issues. Kim et al. used an active metal Zn-Ag-Al brazing filler and managed to achieve a robust joint composed of a novel Cu$_{54}$Ni$_6$Zr$_{22}$Ti$_{18}$ BMG and steel without the evolution of any detrimental phases [98]. The crystallized phases were absent across the brazed joint when using an active brazing filler compared with that using a pure Zn filler.

5.3. Joining of C (Graphite) and Superalloys

The brazing of graphite to superalloys is an important process for the nuclear and petrochemical industries. Carbon structures can provide high thermal shock and high-temperature wear resistance to hard counter-contact superalloys [99]. Various Si-doped Au-based brazing fillers have been utilized to join graphite with Ni-based superalloys [99].

5.4. Joining of Steel to Rocket Nozzles

In aircraft applications, boron-free fillers have been developed for the brazing of corrosion-resistant heat exchangers and rocket nozzles. The formation of borides in boron-containing brazing fillers at the interface results in increased brittleness and eventually leads to complete failure during application. Recent developments in boron-free brazing fillers include Ni-Si-Be alloys for joining austenitic-ferritic steels [100].

5.5. Joining of Plasma/Fusion Reactor Components

The development of brazing fillers free from radiation-sensitive components (Ni and Co) is essential in joining WC parts in nuclear reactors as hard components to divert plasma-facing components and minimize the contamination of plasma. Researchers have addressed these issues using new brazing fillers composed of pure metal powders and organic binders [101]. Thus, we can conclude that research concerning brazing fillers and joining-related technologies is widespread across different sectors. We have highlighted a small part, but it is important to emphasize the significance of brazing in the modern industry.

6. Emerging Areas in Brazing

To date, the progress in brazing technology has been limited by various challenges, such as low-melting fillers, residual stress, and cost. However, future requirements in brazing will push these issues beyond the conventional metallurgical principles toward
other research disciplines to develop complex and novel fillers. Modern portable micromechanical devices, miniaturized semiconductors, and electronic circuits have opened a new direction for nanoscale joining to have the ability to withstand elevated temperature operations. The development of nanoparticle-reinforced fillers for joining metal–ceramic parts is a unique challenge. The various areas for development are described in the following.

6.1. Joining of Nanostructures and Multilayer Coatings

Joining at the nanoscale is an interesting area for the next generation of miniaturized micromechanical devices composed of nanoparticles, nanowires, and nanotubes [102]. Current advances in this field are limited by brazing issues at the nanoscale, rather than by the fabrication process of these nanostructures. Weak bonding at the nanoscale level between these components leads to premature device failure, which is a major obstacle in the advancement of nanojoining technology [103]. Some notable developments in this area include vacuum brazing of a network of carbon nanotubes using a Ti-doped Ag-Cu active filler [56] and nanojoining of Ag nanowires using AuSn58 brazing [104].

Nanowires can be directly brazed or fusion bonded, while the direct fusion of nanoparticles is more difficult. Controlling the melting depth is very tough and often results in full melting of the nanoparticle and coalescence, which defeats the purpose of joining them. Nanoscale joining requires partial melting of nanoparticles and control of the melting depth associated with fusion methods. Previous research reports have shown that nanojoining of two different metal nanostructures can be made feasible using lasers of specific wavelengths [105]. The selection of the laser wavelength is carried out in such a way that the absorption edge of either of the two nanoparticles is matched. The heat distribution of one nanoparticle causes melting of the other while keeping the other nanoparticle in the solid phase. Recently, many studies have been conducted on nanomultilayered structures. Multilayer coating systems with thicknesses at the nanoscale have been used in microwave ceramic circuits. Hu et al. [106] investigated Ag/Ge/AlN and Ni/Al nanomultilayers for low-temperature joining of heat-sensitive materials (Figure 5).

Ag and Ge do not react as they are immiscible with each other. Ge was added into the multilayers to hinder the surface migration of Ag atoms while AlN acted as a thermal barrier at higher temperatures (Figure 5a). In contrast, the Ni/Al multilayer system is highly reactive and has a lower reactive exothermic temperature at the nanoscale level (Figure 5b). Therefore, it is used as a local heat source in the brazing of heat-sensitive materials. More recently, nanomultilayer coatings of Ag with ceramic functional layers (Ag-AlN, Cu-AlN, Cu-W, Al-AlN, AgCu-AlN, AlSi-AlN, etc.) have been developed for low-temperature nanojoining of heat-sensitive components at reduced temperatures [107–113]. Owing to the nanoscale condition, faster diffusion and mass transport were observed in these nanocoatings at temperatures far below the melting point compared to their bulk counterparts. More investigations are necessary for this nanojoining field to understand the basic principles behind the joining of dissimilar materials and ceramic brazing [102].
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**Figure 5.** Nanomultilayer filler materials: (a) non-reactive Ag/Ge/AlN nanomultilayer for low-temperature joining and (b) reactive Ni/Al nanomultilayer as a local heat source for brazing of heat-sensitive materials [106].
6.2. Joining of Energy Materials

Another emerging area in the field of brazing research is joining microdevices used as an alternative source of energy such as solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs). Energy production via SOFCs is a subject of great interest for material engineers because these fuel cells offer excellent improvement in energy efficiency, fewer environmental concerns, and cheaper options compared to other current technologies [114]. In SOFCs, the fuel is oxidized directly to produce electricity where the individual cells (cathode–electrolyte–anode) are stacked (Figure 6).

Each of these stacked small cells in combination produces a large voltage for external work. These cells are brazed using ceramic electrodes to metal interconnects for operating at higher service temperatures (~500–1000 °C). Therefore, active metal fillers are used for SOFC brazing [116]. Other developments include novel brazing methods, such as reactive air brazing (RAB), which was developed by Kim et al. [117]. RAB is preferred over other joining techniques because it is performed in air, and a controlled environment or vacuum is not a big issue [118]. RAB is often carried out above 900 °C and can sustain the higher operating temperatures required for SOFCs [119]. The active filler for RAB is composed of noble metals such as Ag and a reactive metal (Cu). The formation of CuO improves the wetting of Ag over the substrate ceramic. In other studies, porous Ni interlayers have been used as substitutes for Cu [114]. Joint failure caused by the mismatch of thermal properties between the interlayer and ceramic substrate has led to the development of brazing fillers with modified fractions of the Al2TiO5 ceramic to control the thermal mismatch [120].

6.3. Joining of Dissimilar Materials for Lightweight Automotive Applications

Using lightweight parts in automotive applications is desirable, e.g., replacing steel bodies in cars with Al alloys can reduce the weight and improve energy efficiency. However, it is a challenging task to join Al to steel in some areas because of safety concerns. Brazing of Al to steel is necessary for automotive industries, but this process is difficult [121]. This is mainly because of the poor solid solubility of Fe in Al, which leads to the generation of FexAly IMCs at the interface joint. These IMCs are harmful and deteriorate the mechanical properties of the joints [122]. Various research activities have been conducted to control these IMCs during Al brazing. The addition of Si and Cu in Al alloy fillers for the brazing of 5A05 AA to AISI 321 stainless steel [123] was investigated. Mg improves the hot cracking
sensitivity [122]. Zr was added to a Zn-15Al filler during the brazing of AA 6061 to 304 stainless steel [121].

6.4. Joining of Dissimilar Materials Using Nanomodified Fillers

While few studies have yielded considerable improvements in the joint strength and a reduced IMC layer, further research is necessary to produce an acceptable interface at elevated temperatures. To improve the high-temperature joint properties, researchers have used nanoparticles in the fillers to control the IMCs and relax the inherent thermal residual stresses in the joint. Jung et al. developed ceramic-reinforced brazing fillers to join Al at low temperatures. They reported that the addition of ceramic nanoparticles (ZrO$_2$, La$_2$O$_3$, and SiC) not only improved the joint strength and reduced the IMC growth but also decreased the melting point of the brazing filler [69]. This is suitable for saving energy and performing brazing at low temperatures. Other researchers have also used various ceramic reinforcements and metallic nanoparticles to refine the interface thickness. Similar reports have been published on nanocomposite brazing alloys by Miao et al., who recommended the use of TiX additives (TiB$_2$, TiN, and TiC) and graphite in the Ag-Cu-Ti and Cu-Sn-Ti filler matrix to enhance the brazed joint strength [83,124]. The popular nanoceramic additives used in brazing alloys over recent years are Al$_2$O$_3$, SiC, ZrO$_2$, CeO$_2$, La$_2$O$_3$, and ZrSiO$_4$ [125–130]. Despite the advantages of nanocomposite brazing alloys, their use in metal–ceramic brazing is still limited. This can be attributed to the poor dispersion of these additives in the filler melt during solidification, which induces cracks and pores in joints [131–133].

The developments in the area of nanocomposite braze fillers suggest that both the filler composition and control of process parameters such as heat input should also be studied to reduce the IMC fraction and improve the joint strength [134]. Finally, the addition of various alloying elements to the filler metal can sufficiently control the formation of brittle IMCs; however, the specific mechanism of IMC formation is still unclear and requires further investigation.

6.5. Finite Element Analysis and Simulation

Bonding between dissimilar materials, especially ceramic joints, has become an important brazing practice in high-temperature applications. Ceramics are known for their excellent mechanical stability at higher temperatures and high resistance to corrosion and wear. However, machining of ceramic joints is difficult due to their brittle nature. Therefore, the thermal stresses build up across the ceramic joints during cooling from brazing to room temperature. Examples in real situations include heat engine components, cutting tools, and thermal barrier coatings. Popular experimental and numerical simulation methods for evaluating thermal residual stresses in ceramic joints include finite element analysis (FEA) [135,136]. Experimental tests such as neutron diffraction methods are quite expensive, and narrow brazed joints are difficult to test. In view of these concerns, numerical simulation methods can overcome the shortcomings of experimental tests in analyzing the joint residual stresses. In FEA software, COMSOL Multiphysics is the most widely used software for brazed joint simulation [137,138].

There are various simulation studies that demonstrate that FEA is a potential tool for predicting the location of and measuring the residual stresses in ceramic joints. Zhou et al. [139] investigated a SiC/SiC joint brazed with a Zr-Cu filler, predicted the residual stresses by FEA, and experimentally verified this by brazing and X-ray diffraction measurements. Their results demonstrated that the residual stress of the joint was axial compressive stress that increased with the increase in the filler thickness and cooling rate. The location of the residual stress across the SiC/SiC joint coincided with the FEA simulation. Recently, authors have predicted the compressive stress in a simulated ZrO$_2$/Ti-6Al-4V joint brazed with an active metal filler (Ag-Cu-Sn-Ti) for dental applications. The authors found a compressive stress of 1477 MPa in the joint which was also confirmed from the FEA investigations. Further, the compressive strains in the joint were found to be located near the...
is believed that current numerical and simulation methods have a great role in the development and assessment of high-temperature ceramic joints.

7. High-Entropy Fillers

With the new generation of microdevices and portable automotive vehicles in harsh environments, advanced filler metals have become necessary. Various new metal foams, BMGs, nanocomposite solders, and nanostructures have been used as brazing filler metals [139,140]. Despite these developments, researchers in the brazing community have recently begun to divert their attention toward novel alloys called HEAs as filler metals [13,141].

HEAs offer great potential for developing and expanding the brazing sector across all disciplines. Recent investigations have shown promising results in brazing with high-entropy fillers [142,143]. Bridges et al. successfully brazed Inconel 718 at 180 °C using FeCoCuNiMn HEA, with good mechanical properties [142]. Recent works by Tillman et al. demonstrated that doping of CoCrCuFeNi HEA reduces its melting point to values similar to those of Ni-based fillers [141]. Hardwick et al. designed a novel NiCrFeGeB HEA filler to successfully join Inconel 718 at 1100 °C for 15 min [144]. The large number of emerging HEAs can be further investigated for the expansion of HEA fillers, which are still in their early stage of development.

7.1. High-Entropy Alloys

HEAs were discovered in 2004 independently by Brian Cantor in the UK and Yeh in Taiwan [145,146]. HEAs consist of five or more elements ranging from 5 to 35 at%, with a higher mixing entropy. The first HEA developed was an equiatomic FeCrMnNiCo face-centered cubic (FCC) solid solution-based alloy, commonly referred to as the Cantor alloy [145]. The phase order diagram of a multicomponent alloy system is depicted in Figure 7, where the locations of the conventional, unexplored, and unexplored HEA systems are highlighted.

7.2. Thermodynamic Parameters for HEA Effect

Various rules govern the high-entropy effect and predict the stability of high-entropy phases for almost all compositions between 10^{78} and 10^{177} distinct alloys [145]. In the literature, an initial guide for predicting solid solution formation is provided in the Hume-Rothery rules, as follows in Table 4.

![Figure 7. Quaternary phase diagram illustrating conventional (AlMgZnCu alloy) and HEA systems. The central region remains unexplored in most multicomponent element systems.](image-url)
Table 4. Thermodynamic parameters for predicting solid solution phases in HEAs [145–147].

| Thermodynamic Parameter | Formula | Notations | Phase Stability Condition |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------|--------------------------|
| Atomic size difference  | $\delta = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (1 - \frac{r_i}{r_j})^2} \times 100 \times r_i$ | $r_i =$ atomic size of the $i$th element | $\delta \leq 6.6\%$ |
| Enthalpy of mixing      | $\Delta H_{\text{mix}} = 4 \sum_{i=1,j\neq j}^{n} \Delta H_{i}^{\text{mix}} c_i c_j$ | $c_i$ and $c_j$ are at.% of the $i$th and $j$th elements | $-22 \leq \Delta H_{\text{mix}} \leq 7 \text{kJ/mol}$ |
| Entropy of mixing       | $\Delta S_{\text{mix}} = -R \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \ln c_i$ | $R =$ gas constant $(8.314 \text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1})$ | $0 \leq \Delta S_{\text{mix}} \leq 8.5, 11 \leq \Delta S_{\text{mix}} \leq 19.5 \text{J/(K mol)}$ |
| Electronegativity difference | $\Delta \chi = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (\chi_i - \chi)^2}$ | $\chi$: electronegativity | Body-centered cubic (BCC): VEC < 6.87 |
| Valence electron concentration | VEC = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i VEC_i$ | $(\text{VEC}_i)$: number of d-electrons in the valence shell of the $i$th element | Face-centered cubic (FCC): VEC > 8 |
| Interaction parameter   | $\Omega = \frac{T_m \Delta S_{\text{mix}}}{|\Delta H_{\text{mix}}|}$ | $T_m$ melting temperature of the alloy estimated from the rule of mixtures | $\Omega \geq 1.1$ |

Some researchers have used different parameters to predict the phase formation in HEAs (Figure 8a,b). Guo and Liu [148] used the phase stability criterion related to the valence electron concentration (VEC), while Zhang et al. [147] added two parameters, namely, $\Delta \chi$ and VEC. Figure 8b illustrates the two different values of $\delta$ and $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ parameters used by various researchers to predict the high-entropy effect. Guo et al. concluded that FCC structures are stable at VEC > 8, while body-centered cubic (BCC) phases are stable when VEC < 6.87 [149]. In 2012, Zhang introduced a new parameter in an attempt to differentiate the solid solution phase and IMC formation criteria known as the $\Omega$ parameter, which involves the melting temperature of the HEA calculated by the rule of mixtures. Zhang argued that when $\Omega > 1.1$, the effect of $\Delta S_{\text{mix}}$ is greater than that of $\Delta H_{\text{mix}}$ at $T_m$, which further raises the probability of forming a solid solution phase. Based on these criteria, Yang and Zhang proposed $\Omega > 1.1$ for $\delta \leq 6.6\%$ [150,151]. The regions of formation of a solid solution, a multiphase, and an amorphous phase are presented in Figure 8.
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**Figure 8.** (a) Plot of entropy of mixing of various phase alloy systems. (b) Solid solution phase formation at low atomic size differences and $\delta \leq 6.6\%, \Omega > 1.1$ [152].
Although none of the compositions and structures meet the standard definition of HEAs as highlighted above, some specific compositions warrant further exploration in brazing.

7.3. Applications of HEAs in Brazing

Recent studies on high-entropy fillers include the joining of ZrB$_2$-SiC to GH99 alloy by Zhang et al. using equiatomic CrFeCoNiCu HEA [153]. The superalloy GH99 was combined with a Ti foil to promote wetting without losing the high-entropy effect of the alloy. They inferred that the entropy of mixing of the Ti/CrFeCoNiCu filler retains the activity of Cr and Ti during brazing, and the joint contains a solid solution enriched with TiC and B particles, which can enhance the joint strength. Bridges et al. used the same alloy to join Inconel 718 by laser brazing. The authors reported a maximum joint shear strength of 220 MPa at an optimum brazing temperature of 1165 °C [153].

The doping of CrFeCoNiCu HEAs was performed by Tillman et al. [154], who added Ge, Sn, and Ga to CrFeCoNiCu and found a decreased melting point and reduced pasty range, which could allow robust joining of Ni superalloys. These authors also used a eutectic Ni$_{0.73}$Cr$_{0.27}$FeCoNi$_{1.1}$ HEA filler to braze Crofer 22 APU interconnecting plates of SOFC to Hf-YSZ ceramics [155]. Similarly, Wang et al. joined SiC to itself using CrFeCoNiCu HEA as a filler. They found that the wetting of the SiC ceramics was significantly promoted by the activity of Cr, which enhanced the joint strength [156]. Thus, multicomponent HEAs are being vigorously researched for the development of active HEA filler metals.

Several new HEAs have been explored to improve filler metal characteristics and brazing performance. Gao et al. [157,158] highlighted the use of Fe$_5$Co$_{20}$Ni$_{20}$Mn$_{35}$Cu$_{20}$ for the joining of Inconel 600 and obtained a high shear strength of 530 MPa when brazed at 1200 °C/90 min [158]. This is comparable to some of the strength values of conventional Al alloys. The use of HEAs as fillers has also been tested in the joining of additive-manufactured parts. Sharma et al. recently used an AlZnCuFeSi HEA filler to join an additively manufactured Ti-6Al-4V alloy [159]. The Ti-6Al-4V/Al$_2$O$_3$ joint microstructure brazed with an AlZnCuFeSi HEA filler is displayed in Figure 9. No defects are observed across the interface. The brazed seam is composed of different regions marked in the reaction layer (I), fusion zone (II), and HEA zone (III), as shown in the figure.

**Figure 9.** Brazed joint interface of 3D-printed Ti-6Al-4V/Al$_2$O$_3$ system and schematic model of joint formation [159].

The brazed seam was formed in various steps, such as melting of Ti/AlCuSiZnFe, dissolution of Ti-Si and Cu-Al, and solidification of Ti–Fe–Si, Ti–Al, and Cu–Al regions in...
the brazing seam. The authors reported that the use of the AlZnCuFeSi filler significantly controlled the microstructure of the Ti/Al$_2$O$_3$ joint owing to the high-entropy effect [159].

Recently, new HEA filler compositions involve Ag-based HEAs to reduce the cost of fillers containing Au and Pt [160] and low-temperature brazing applications [161]. Low-temperature joining was successfully achieved by Pu et al. using SnBiInZn HEA with a melting temperature of 80 °C [162]. The filler showed reasonable wetting and strength (19–28 MPa) for microelectronic applications. Hardwick et al. [144] further developed a NiCrFeGeB filler, which was not equiatomic; however, they modified the composition using the CALPHAD approach to improve the filler properties. Previous studies have highlighted the various merits of HEAs in ceramic brazing [12]. Nevertheless, the use of multicomponent HEAs as fillers is still limited and further research is required in this area.

According to the global market report of Technavio, brazing fillers are the cost-effective choice for the automotive and aerospace markets because of the development of novel alloys and manufacturing technology for vehicles [163]. Brazing fillers provide a better strength and toughness to auto-parts. As a result, brazing fillers are also attractive for aerospace industries in the manufacturing of components operating at high temperature, pressure, and corrosive atmospheres. Examples in aerospace applications include turbines, heat exchangers, and sub-assembly of sensor components. Therefore, rising demands in aerospace industries are expected to boost market growth in the near future. Recycling of Al alloys and development and implementation of economical manufacturing and process automation technologies are expected drive the market growth of brazing fillers. In addition to these, advances in metal–ceramic brazing are other major factors to boost the global brazing market. The global brazing market is expected to rise at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of over 5% during the period 2019–2023 according to the latest market research report by Technavio. The market of global brazing alloys is categorized by application segments into automotive, electrical and electronics, aerospace, and others according to the geographical regions in the Asia-Pacific, Europe, North America, South America, and Middle Eastern countries. The Asia-Pacific region is expected to maintain its dominance in the brazing market due to the rise in brazing applications in the automotive, aerospace, and construction industries. The brazing materials market is primarily split into the following: automobile, aviation, oil and gas, electrical industry, household appliances, power distribution. The end uses of this market cover the following segments: silver brazing alloys, copper brazing alloys, aluminum brazing alloys, and nickel brazing alloys, in the key regions covered in North America (the United States, Canada, and Mexico), Europe (Germany, the UK, France, Italy, Russia, Turkey, etc.), Asia-Pacific (China, Japan, Korea, India, Australia, Indonesia, Thailand, Philippines, Malaysia, and Vietnam), South America (Brazil, Argentina, Columbia, etc.), and the Middle East and Africa (Saudi Arabia, UAE, Egypt, Nigeria, and South Africa).

8. Summary and Conclusions

This study presented an overview of the current status and progress in dissimilar material joining and brazing fillers, including the recently discovered HEAs. Brazing is a versatile joining technology that covers an array of diverse industrial applications, particularly in high-temperature microelectronic packaging, energy material design, and biomedical applications. The major advances in this area are focused on the development of novel fillers, nanoparticle-reinforced brazing alloys, BMG fillers, active metal brazing fillers, nanomultilayers, and fusion brazing through laser radiation. The improvement in the brazing process and fillers for advanced joining applications is mostly in active metal brazing, especially for ceramic brazing. The use of nanoparticle additives has shown significant improvements in the wetting and refinement of reaction compounds, but their dispersion in the filler melt is a serious concern. The recently discovered HEAs have demonstrated impressive potential in brazing owing to their sluggish diffusion effects and the formation of solid solution phases rather than reaction compounds. Diffusion bonding is often not understood for HEAs, and the diffusion of constituent elements...
is still unclear, which can further be exploited to expand the scope of low-cost brazing materials for advanced manufacturing. A better understanding of the high-entropy effect and wettability of HEAs is required to effectively apply them as fillers for dissimilar material joining.
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