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Summary
The field of “circadian medicine” is a recent addition to chronobiology and sleep research efforts. It represents a logical step arising from the increasing insights into the circadian system and its interactions with life in urbanised societies; applying these insights to the health/disease balance at home and in the medical practice (outpatient) and clinic (inpatient). Despite its fast expansion and proliferating research efforts, circadian medicine lacks a formal framework to categorise the many observations describing interactions among the circadian system, sleep, and the health/disease balance. A good framework allows us to categorise observations and then assign them to one or more components with hypothesised interactions. Such assignments can lead to experiments that document causal (rather than correlational) relationships and move from describing observations to discovering mechanisms. This review details such a proposed formal framework for circadian medicine and will hopefully trigger discussion among our colleagues, so that the framework can be improved and expanded. As the basis of the framework for circadian medicine, we define “circadian health” and how it links to general health. We then define interactions among the circadian system, sleep, and the health/disease balance and put the framework into the context of the literature with examples from six domains of health/disease balance: fertility, cancer, immune system, mental health, cardiovascular, and metabolism.
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INTRODUCTION
The new field of “circadian medicine” is gaining importance with great momentum (Abbott et al., 2018; Allada & Bass, 2021; Cederoth et al., 2019; Fishbein et al., 2021). Circadian medicine addresses the interactions among the circadian system, sleep, and the health/disease balance (Hurrelmann 2002). We define the “circadian system” here as a network that includes the pacemaker in the mammalian brain (i.e., the suprachiasmatic nucleus, SCN) and all peripheral tissue and organ clocks. The literature of this new field is growing rapidly, and includes studies on infections (Borrmann et al., 2021), metabolic syndrome (Roenneberg et al., 2012; Stenvers et al., 2019),
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cardiovascular disease (CVD) (Xu et al., 2021), cancer (Patel & Kondratov, 2021; Sahar & Sassone-Corsi, 2009; Tsuchiya et al., 2020), and psychiatry (Kling & Landgraf, 2021).

The conceptual framework for the circadian system was defined by the field’s pioneers Aschoff and Pittendrigh and was instrumental for the elucidation of circadian mechanisms (Daan, 2000). Yet, despite the rapid growth of circadian medicine, a conceptual framework and formal guidelines for circadian medicine are missing. The aims of this review are to provide such a framework by specifically defining these complex interactions among the circadian system, sleep, and the health/disease balance, and to apply this framework in a, by far non-exhaustive, review of the current literature.

Approaching a conceptual framework of circadian medicine

When trying to understand a complex problem, it is helpful to find its core – an issue, a definition, statement, or hypothesis that is central to the problem and that links most of its components. Once found, this core can be used as a foundation to systematically build a framework: producing a manageable taxonomy in which all components are well defined with hypothesised specific descriptions of their interactions. Only then can frameworks, including their components and interactions, be validated and extended. Many of the reported relationships between the circadian system, sleep and the health/disease balance are to date only observations and associations. With a good framework, we can categorise observations and then assign them to one or more components with hypothesised interactions. Such assignments can lead to experiments that test causalities where we previously only had correlation.

We propose that the hypothesis “circadian health is associated with general health” can be used as a core to a framework for circadian medicine. The World Health Organisation (WHO) has already defined “general health” as “a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease and infirmity”; “circadian health” still needs a clear definition. We continue this paper with deriving a definition of circadian health, and with sections on links between circadian and general health, on how sleep and the circadian system interact, and examples from six central domains: fertility, cancer, immune system, mental health, cardiovascular, and metabolism.

Defining circadian health

The definition of “circadian health” can be derived from circadian principles. By evolutionary logic, circadian clocks support fitness in a rhythmic environment, which is only possible if they synchronise (entrain) with an appropriate phase (time) relative to the rhythmic environment. Note that “phase of entrainment” can show variations, which are often referred to as “chronotypes”, within a species. This appropriate phase partially depends on the species-specific temporal niche (Roenneberg, 1992); the most obvious are day-active (diurnal) versus night-active (nocturnal) species. When resources or other challenges (e.g., food, predators) also vary as part of the rhythmic environment, the tolerance (without negative survival consequences) is lower for deviations from an appropriate entrained phase. Hence, circadian health must be based on appropriate entrainment.

Light (and darkness) is the predominant zeitgeber (“time-giver” or entraining stimulus) for the circadian clocks in practically all organisms on earth, most probably because it is the most reliable predictor for all other rhythmic resources (e.g., temperature, humidity, food, predators). Humans (as other animals and plants) may have circadian clocks in each of their cells, thus these clocks must entrain to both the external and the internal environment and, in some cases, to each other. Because all resources in the pre-industrialised world were tightly coupled to the light–dark cycle (Eikirch, 2001), the central clock in the SCN only needed to follow light. This central clock provides an internal rhythmic environment to which peripheral clocks can entrain. After perturbations (e.g., shift in light exposure by trans-meridian travel or shift-work), recovery is based on resynchronisation, both to the external environment and within the internal environment; it may require multiple days until the circadian system resumes a coordinated steady state (e.g., recovering from jetlag). As such perturbations are associated with health deficits, one can argue that a stable entrainment (i.e., adopting a stable phase relationship to the rhythmic environment) supports health.

The ability of the peripheral clock in the liver to entrain to food (Mistlberger, 2020) may have adaptive value in reflecting a need for flexibility when a food source is only available at specific times within the light–dark cycle in nature. However, for most humans in industrialised societies food is available “24/7,” which may change phase relationships between different components of the circadian system and challenge circadian health. Such internal desynchrony prevents the circadian system from optimally co-ordinating functions across tissues. To understand circadian health, we need to know more about the ranges and tolerances of phase relationships between components (Roenneberg & Merrow, 2016), which, by oscillator principles, will also affect the amplitudes of organ and tissue clocks.

For industrialised humans, the availability of most resources is barely rhythmic and there appears to be a higher tolerance for a broad variance in entrained phase, especially for timing of both sleep and melatonin: the difference in sleep and in melatonin onset timing between the “extreme larks” (very “early” people) and the “extreme owls” (very “late” people) is 12 h, half a 24-h cycle (McHill et al., 2017; Roenneberg et al., 2019). This large variance can be explained by profound changes in light environment and self-selected light exposure (Roenneberg et al., 2012; Wright et al., 2013); humans have drastically reduced the strength of the light–dark cycle by hardly being outdoors during the day – even in sunny, warm locations (Cole et al., 1995; Okudaira et al., 1983; Scheuermair et al., 2010) and rarely experiencing full darkness during the night, except when asleep. In addition, industrialised humans eat at day and night (Gill & Panda, 2015) and have little fear of predators.

According to circadian formalisms (the framework established by the pioneers of circadian biology), such weak zeitgebers advance the
phase of extreme larks even earlier and delay the phase of all other chronotypes, with owls being delayed more than intermediate chronotypes (Porcheret et al., 2018). Because the physiology underlying entrainment has not changed since industrialisation began (the time span is much too short for genetic adaptation), exposing *homo urbanicus* to naturally strong light–dark cycles should reverse these predictable consequences of weak zeitgebers and narrow the width of the statistical distribution of circadian phases. This was indeed observed in “camping” studies (Wright et al., 2013). Thus, the “24/7” resource availability indirectly causes the wide chronotype distribution, allowing the consequences of the weak zeitgebers to be expressed in the industrialised population without negative evolutionary outcomes (e.g., starving or being killed).

Even in industrialised societies, not all resources are available “24/7.” Note that ecology regards not only food, but all items and conditions (including places to sleep, avoidance of predation, or access to breeding partners) as resources that can alter fitness. Money has become so dominant as a resource because it can be used as a “resource joker” (or wild card) that can be exchanged into many other resources. Thus, our direct access to obtaining the most important modern resource – money – is limited to work times, even for shift-workers, and our indirect access to money via education is limited to school times. These temporal limitations are defined by local clock time and they may be early for those who are late chronotypes (e.g., some adults and almost all adolescents) (Biller et al., 2022; van der Vinne et al., 2014; Winnebeck et al., 2019; Zerbini et al., 2017) or may even force people to work during their circadian sleep phases (e.g., night or shift-work). The discrepancy between social (i.e., local clock time set by the society) and biological time is addressed by concepts like “social jetlag” (SJL; Roenneberg et al., 2019), sleep and circadian rhythm disruption (SCRD), circadian misalignment, or circadian disruption (Zee & Abbott, 2020) and is strongly associated with worse health.

In summary, we define circadian health as the condition in which circadian clocks are allowed to stably entrain to zeitgebers and thereby establish an appropriate, stable phase relationships to its cyclic environment. This pertains both to organisms entraining to an external cyclic environment and to the organism’s tissues entraining to their respective internal environments, thereby forming appropriate phase relationships to the clocks of other tissue. We note that the distribution of “healthy” phase relationships of this internal entrainment still needs to be determined. While the first part of this definition predominantly applies to people whose clocks are stably entrained to external zeitgebers, the second part still applies if people are not entrained to external zeitgebers, as are many people who suffer from total blindness or who have a circadian rhythm sleep disorder (Bridge et al., 2021; Czeisler et al., 1995; Saeed et al., 2019).

Because the circadian system modulates practically all aspects of physiology, from gene expression to sleep, one could argue that circadian health is equal to general health, but the two can be formally separated. In mutant animals without circadian clocks, environmental exposures (e.g., bacteria, diets, toxins) participate in the aetiology of a disease and therapies for recovery can still be still effective. Although these animals may be more vulnerable without a healthy circadian system, their general health can still be protected or restored.

### Defining the potential interactions among the circadian system, sleep, and the health/disease balance

Now that we have defined circadian health, we define the potential interactions between circadian health and the health/disease balance. Health is constantly challenged by exposures (aetiology component in Figure 1), which are either environmental or systemic (e.g., diabetes, CVD, cancer, genetic variations) (Ayres, 2020). The health/disease balance includes resilience to such exposures and thereby reduces the possibility that the exposure leads to disease and becomes clinically relevant (centre of the health/disease pathway in Figure 1). Once a clinically relevant disease process has begun, the balance depends both on the severity of the disease and on the efficacy (both systemic and medical) in fighting the disease (recovery component in Figure 1). Note that the health/disease balance is present throughout the pathway; e.g., resilience can be considered a type of recovery (e.g., reducing the effect of the exposure, preventing clinical disease) in the aetiology component. Preventive medicine supports this resilience.

We hypothesise that, in most cases, the circadian system is not directly causal in the aetiology of and the recovery from a disease. It can, instead, significantly modify the health/disease balance by: (i) preventing or reducing its aetiology, e.g., by anticipating exposures; (ii) attenuating its severity, e.g., by ensuring the co-ordination of disease-defence mechanisms over the course of a 24-h day; and (iii) facilitating recovery, e.g., by modifying the effectiveness of the therapy and intensity of potential side-effects.

We further hypothesise that a healthy circadian system will be more effective in keeping a positive health/disease balance than a...
challenged circadian system, which we demonstrate with four examples: (i) if the internal biological day is misaligned with the external light phase, the skin is less protected against UV radiation (Plikus & Andersen, 2018); (ii) if we eat at biologically “wrong” times of day and thereby perturb the temporal balance between different metabolic components (e.g., secretion, sensitivity and absorption), we exhibit type-2 diabetes-like metabolic responses (Scheer et al., 2009; Wefers et al., 2018); (iii) obstructive sleep apnea induces hypoxia, which can cause internal misalignment and thereby increase the severity of the damage from obstructive sleep apnea (Manella et al., 2020); and (iv) chrono-pharmacology in cancer treatments may improve survival (Cederroth et al., 2019). In our review of selected pathologies below, we cover more examples of the circadian system, sleep, and the health/disease balance.

A more functional approach to defining the interactions of circadian rhythms in the health/disease balance is using a conditional process model (Jackson et al., 2015; James & Brett, 1984) (Figure 2). These models describe how components in a network act on each other: an independent variable (exposure variable, E) acts on a dependent variable (outcome variable, O) either directly (with or without a moderator variable, Mo) or indirectly via a mediator variable (Me). The advantage this model framework is that there are statistical methods to quantify the strength of relationships between network components (Bolin, 2014).

The circadian effects in Figure 1 have all been drawn as moderators (Mo), but the interactions between the circadian system and the health/disease balance involve many feedback loops and include sleep as an additional active component (see next section and Figure 3).

Note that the “independent variable” in the clock-sleep-health network is rarely strictly independent (exposure variable, E) and the “dependent variable” is rarely strictly dependent (outcome variable, O).

The most obvious independent variable (E) in this network is light, which directly affects the clock (O) during the entrainment process (Roenneberg et al., 2003). Light (E) can also directly affect sleep (O), e.g., by inducing sleep in nocturnal rodents (Lupi et al., 2008) or inducing wakefulness in humans (Avery et al., 2002). Light (E) can also indirectly affect sleep (O) via phase-shifting the circadian system, in which case the clock adopts the role of mediator (Me).

If functions are moderated by the circadian system or sleep (Mo), they may also operate at other circadian phases or during wake, albeit with different efficiency; if they are restricted to sleep or specific circadian phases, sleep and the circadian system become mediators (Me). Only if sleep or the circadian system cause an outcome, can they be exposure (E). Thus, some night-specific functions may rely on us actually sleeping (sleep as Me), others may simply be segregated to the biological night as determined by the circadian system (although even then they may be more efficient if we are asleep: sleep as Mo). Note that sleep can be an exposure variable (E) for the circadian system (O), either directly (Deboer et al., 2003) or via light (via darkness by switching off lights, closing eye lids and rolling up the eyeballs), which adopts the mediator role of (Me) in this case.

As indicated in Figure 1, the circadian system acts as moderator (Mo) in all stages of the health/disease balance; one example is moderating responses to vaccines or medications. Sleep can also be a moderator (Mo) for the immune system's response to vaccines.

FIGURE 2  Formal aspects of the roles of elements in a network and how they can affect each other

FIGURE 3  An example of application of the conceptual framework with the addition of specific components, including light, activity-rest (or wake–sleep) and the clinic (where diseases are diagnosed and treated). Most of the components of the framework interact not only directly but also via feedbacks (see text for details)
Clarify why so many diseases, such as brain maintenance (Bushey et al., 2011), are segregated to the circadian/active (diurnal) or dark-active (nocturnal) animals. The more-or-less consolidated sleep of humans is a consequence of this specialisation. Over the course of evolution, more and more functions that we now consider to be sleep-specific were segregated to the circadian/biological “night.” Sleep is commonly regarded as a brain function, mainly because it is associated with decreased responsiveness, but many physiological functions aligned with this temporal segregation, such as brain maintenance (Bushey et al., 2011; Xie et al., 2013) and metabolic and immune functions (Foster, 2018).

Note that “sleep” and “wake” are placeholders for a wide variety of functions that occur during these states. Before research provided us with insights into sleep’s rich collection of functions, sleep’s depiction was restricted to that of being unconscious and having dreams. Wakefulness can be defined as the opposite of sleep, a state of consciously interacting with reality, without needing the details of its rich collection of functions to define it. These simplistic definitions are used like the modern term “phone;”: Mobile phones are used far beyond for making calls; we use them also for sending texts and emails, querying the internet, listening to podcasts or music, looking up a location on a map, or renewing an address book.

Despite their tight coupling, the circadian system and sleep can easily be differentiated on a formal level: the circadian system is a continuous process and sleep is a state within this process. If the circadian system were a Ferris wheel, sleep would be one or more cabins that travel(s) within the Ferris wheel. Within the “sleep” cabin, there may be additional different temporal functions and structures (e.g., ultradian rhythms of non-rapid eye movement [NREM] sleep and REM sleep). Note that, due to the wide range of chronotypes, the biological night may differ substantially from the actual environmental night. Although we can potentially sleep at any time of the day if we are tired enough, falling asleep is easier at some circadian phases than at others (clock as Mo). The structure (and underlying functions) of sleep also depends on the circadian phases at which we are asleep (Dijk et al., 1999; Weitzman et al., 1980) that is, the functions within the cabin depend on where the cabin hangs on the Ferris wheel (again, clock as Mo). In addition, sleep/wake state can directly (sleep as Mo) or indirectly (sleep as Me) affect circadian phase responses to light (Jagannath et al., 2021).

Specific experimental conditions are required for distinguishing between circadian and sleep effects on physiology (Czeisler & Klerman, 1999); these two effects cannot be separated in observational study design because humans overwhelmingly sleep during their biological night and not at all possible circadian times.

Feedback loops among the circadian system, sleep and health/disease

How the circadian system and sleep are associated with the health/disease balance is complex due to a network of feedback interactions. The feedbacks schematised in Figure 3 clarify why so many diseases are hypothesised to have reciprocal interactions with the circadian system and sleep (Foster et al., 2013). Many of the examples described above in the context of a conditional process model (Figure 2) are also represented in the network shown in Figure 3. For light, the circadian system and sleep/wake behaviour form a loop; each of the elements can be regarded as either (E), (Me) or (O). A similar loop can be drawn among the circadian system, clinic (representing both diagnostics and therapeutics) and sleep/wake behaviour. Almost all diseases, from infections to psychiatric pathologies, affect our behaviour. Some enforce bedrest (often accompanied by retreating from bright light) others reduce our outdoor activities because we lose the motivation to leave our dwellings or we have difficulties in moving around (e.g., due to pain or reduced mobility). Similarly, the moderation of the circadian clock on immune responses is well established (Keller et al., 2009), but growing evidence indicates that the circadian system is also under the influence of the immune system (Coogan & Wyse, 2008).

EXAMPLES OF CIRCADIAN SYSTEM, SLEEP, AND HEALTH/DISEASE BALANCE IN CIRCADIAN MEDICINE

By examining the results from rodent models and studies in humans, the probable impact of a challenged circadian system on human health can be assessed with varying degrees of confidence. Such an examination within our proposed framework will also identify deficits in our knowledge and suggest future observational and experimental approaches. It is difficult to separate sleep loss from time-of-day or circadian factors; this is not surprising in view of the interdependent loops as shown in Figure 3. Therefore, we use the broader term “sleep and circadian rhythm disruption” (SCRD) to describe such disruptive conditions or agents. For example, circadian misalignment is
associated with SCRD and, according to the formal framework introduced above, circadian misalignment challenges circadian health. SCRD conditions are epidemiologically associated with the increased prevalence of practically all diseases (Madeira et al., 2021; Rutten et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2015).

One well-known example of SCRD is shift-work, which is associated with circadian misalignment as workers try to sleep during the biological day and work during the biological night. Such behaviours are associated with disrupted sleep, eating at inappropriate circadian phases, light at night (LAN), altered sleep timing, and reduced sleep duration. SJL is another example of SCRD. SJL is defined as the difference in temporal behaviour between workdays and work-free days and is almost always associated with sleep loss (Ronneberg et al., 2012).

Note, some “continuous” correlations among the circadian system, sleep and the health/disease balance have to be carefully examined, as for example, the U-shaped association between sleep duration and health (Choi et al., 2008). While the association between short sleep and worse health is supported by proposed biological mechanisms, the risk of long sleep duration for health is still disputed and may be a result of measuring sleep duration with one-question surveys (Lauderdale et al., 2016) or the result of a causality reversal (i.e., people with acute or chronic illnesses, including sleep disorders, sleep longer).

We now discuss six areas of medicine in which our framework can be applied.

**Infertility**

The circadian system is involved at every stage of the menstrual cycle, from the timing of the release of all the key hormones to the responses of the various tissues to those hormones (Kerdelhué et al., 2002; Sellix & Menaker, 2010). The timing of ovulation and release of eggs for fertilisation is a good example of how circadian health (i.e., adequate internal entrainment) ensures general health. The circadian clocks in the SCN, in the hypothalamic gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neurons, in the pituitary (luteinising hormone [LH] and follicle-stimulating hormone [FSH] release), and in the ovaria (oestrogen and progesterone release) need to be internally entrained for ovulation; disruption or lack of internal entrainment in these tissues contribute to reproductive problems and infertility. One of the key hormones, LH, is affected by sleep/wake state during specific phases of the menstrual cycle (Hall et al., 2005). Evidence for SCRD being associated with reduced fertility comes from animal experimentation and from human epidemiology. “Clock mutant mice” have disrupted ovulation and reproductive cycles, along with reduced fertility and fewer births (Miller & Takahashi, 2013). Women who experience SCRD due to night shift-work or repeated jetlag have a small but significant increase of irregular and extended menstrual cycles, abnormal levels of reproductive hormones, and a reduction in fertility (Baker & Driver, 2007; Lawson et al., 2011; Nurminen, 1998). Websites and clinical practitioners advise women not to undertake shift-work or multiple trans-meridian flights if they are undergoing in vitro fertilisation, although no systematic trials have been undertaken to test this advice.

Sleep duration is associated with sperm count and semen quality in men (Chen et al., 2016). This association is U-shaped and, as noted above, needs careful examination.

Therefore, the circadian system and sleep are involved in the aetiology and probably disease components of this disorder. Restored fertility (recovery component) is expected to occur with reduced circadian misalignment.

**Cancer**

Shift-work studies provide the strongest support for SCRD being involved in the aetiology of cancer. There is increased frequency of breast cancer in women working shift-work (Megdal et al., 2005). Based on a review of multiple studies, the WHO has declared shift-work a carcinogen (Straif et al., 2007). The mechanisms are unknown. One hypothesis concerns LAN in which LAN suppresses melatonin, which has been reported to have anti-cancer properties; the evidence is stronger for the circadian effects of shift-work rather than for LAN per se (Kantermann & Ronneberg, 2009; Lunn et al., 2021).

Additional support for the involvement of SCRD in the aetiology of cancer is that risk of cancer varies within a time-zone, with risks increasing from the eastern to the western edge of a time zone. While local (social) clock time remains constant within a time zone, the sun clock (dawn and dusk), which sets the time of the circadian system, gets later by 4 min per degree longitude. Thus, the discrepancy between the circadian time and social time (SJL) increases towards the west, equivalent to increasingly earlier “shift” times, even for 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. schedules.

It is not known how SCRD affects cancer once the disease progress has begun. It is very likely that the circadian clock is both involved in cancer aetiology and in the disease itself, as the circadian molecular feedback loops are involved in all characteristics features of tumour development and progression: cell cycle, DNA damage/repair, apoptosis, and cancer-specific metabolism (Shafi & Knudsen, 2019).

Time-of-day rhythmicity in response to medications is involved in the recovery from cancer (Mo and Me in Figure 2). For some cancers, administering medication at specific circadian times is associated with increased progression-free and overall survival rates and/or decreased side-effects, with a sex-effect in some studies (Innominato et al., 2020; Karaboué et al., 2022; Printez et al., 2022).

**Challenges to the immune system**

Circadian modulation is clearly present in the immune system and its responses to antigens. As the body’s line of defence against pathogens, the immune system is a major player in the aetiology component of the health/disease balance. Multiple studies have shown time-of-day differences in immunity and inflammation related to cellular (e.g., T cells) and other immune responses, some of which are involved...
in asthma, rheumatoid arthritis and autoimmune diseases (Gray & Gibbs, 2022; Haspel et al., 2020). The complexity of immune responses, involving the interaction of systemic, SCN-mediated circadian rhythms with the cellular clocks of several different cell types, demands a healthy circadian system to ensure co-ordination (Keller et al., 2009) and avoid inappropriate (e.g., autoimmune) responses. The strong circadian dynamics within the immune system clearly bear on the fact that its defences are dealing with a highly rhythmic world, both externally and internally.

The importance of dealing with the circadian rhythms and sleep of the internal (i.e., host) world are especially evident in viral-host interactions (i.e., the disease component of the framework) since the “aggressor” reproduces by using the hosts replication machinery that itself is highly rhythmic (Borrmann et al., 2021; Ehlers et al., 2017; Zhuang et al., 2021). If the circadian system is compromised, as in arrhythmic mice mutants, influenza A produces a higher viral burden and increased inflammatory responses (Edgar et al., 2016; Sengupta et al., 2019). A time-of-day variation in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus load is also observed (McNaughton et al., 2021). The host’s circadian system regulates virus progression and thereby symptoms (Edgar et al., 2016; Scheiermann et al., 2018), and herpes virus has been shown to differentially target molecular clock components (Baxter & Ray, 2020). Inflammation-related diseases also demonstrate these rhythms: asthma has a circadian variation in symptoms (Scheer et al., 2021), independent of sleep/wake behaviour; and rheumatoid arthritis also has a circadian variation in symptoms (Gray & Gibbs, 2022). Mice with mutations in circadian clock components have altered timing and severity of a disease related to multiple sclerosis (Gray & Gibbs, 2022). Sleep is a “container” (as E, Mo, Me) for many immune functions and decreased sleep is also associated with reduced immune response (Besedovsky et al., 2019; Dimitrov et al., 2004; Everson, 1993; Haspel et al., 2020; Irwin et al., 1996; Lange et al., 2003; Lange et al., 2011; Langlois et al., 1995; Long et al., 2016).

Circadian rhythms of the external (i.e., pathogen) world affect disease processes for some parasite–host interactions. For example, the malaria parasite has an intrinsic circadian clock gating the daily bursting of red blood in mice (Rijo-Ferreira et al., 2020), which may account for the daily variation in malaria symptoms.

In view of the strong rhythmicity within the immune system, it is not surprising that responses to vaccinations (i.e., the recovery component of the framework) also show time of day effects (Kirby, 2016; Kurupatil et al., 2017; Phillips et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2021). The benefit of specific timing of medications for asthma, arthritis, and general allergy (e.g., skin, rhinitis) has also been documented in multiple studies (Scheer et al., 2021).

Psychiatric disorders

For issues related to mental health, it is especially difficult to separate sleep loss from time-of-day or circadian factors. Many psychiatric disorders have associated sleep complaints (Freeman et al., 2020) and there is major overlap of symptoms between mood disorders and sleep problems: both have symptoms of fatigue, sleep disturbance/loss, loss of interest, decreased motivation, problems with attention/concentration, decreased libido, and or mood variability.

For aetiology and disease components, sleep loss is a known trigger for mood changes in bipolar disorder (Lewis et al., 2017). Insomnia and/or being awake at night is a known risk factor relapse for substance use disorders and for new or recurrent major depressive disorder (Buyse et al., 2008; Dew et al., 1997; Perlis et al., 1997; Pigeon et al., 2008). Suicides are more common at night after adjustment for percentage of people awake (Perlis et al., 2016). Multiple components of behaviours associated with mental health, including mood and addiction-type disorders are worse at night and or after sleep loss (Tubbs et al., 2022).

Multiple time-of-day factors are involved in the aetiology and disease components of psychiatric disorders. There are different time-of-day rhythms in positive versus negative affect (Emens et al., 2020). For Seasonal Affective Disorder, a circadian-based hypothesis for its aetiology is supported by the fact that the efficacy of lighting and melatonin interventions depend on the time of day of intervention (Lewy et al., 2007). Variation in some circadian clock genes is also associated with increased risk of winter depression (Partonen et al., 2007) and with other psychiatric disorders (Charrier et al., 2017; Sato et al., 2017; Schuch et al., 2017). Late chronotypes and individuals with SJL also are at higher risk of psychiatric disorders (Levandovski et al., 2011).

For recovery, reduction in sleep complaints, including reducing sleep timing variability, which would be expected to affect the amplitude and phase of circadian rhythms, is associated with improvement. For example, treatment of insomnia reduces the risk of relapse of substance use disorder and major depressive disorder (Gebara et al., 2018; Manber et al., 2016; McCall et al., 2019).

Cardiovascular disease

The blockage or rupture of a blood vessel in the brain (e.g., ischaemic or haemorrhagic stroke, or transient ischaemic attack [TIA]) or in the heart (e.g., myocardial infarction or “heart attack”) deprives these highly metabolically active organs of glucose, oxygen, and other key nutrients. All these events vary in their likelihood by time of day. All subtypes (ischaemic, haemorrhagic, TIA) of strokes have a significantly higher chance of occurring between 6:00 a.m. and noon compared to the rest of the day (all types, 49%; ischaemic strokes, 55%; haemorrhagic strokes, 34%; TIA, 50%; Elliott, 1998). Similar findings have been documented repeatedly for myocardial infarction, ventricular arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac arrest (Butt et al., 2009; Manfredi et al., 2005; Thosar et al., 2018).

A SRCD is implied (aetiology component) in the increased likelihood of strokes, heart attacks and coronary events that are more likely in rotating night shift-workers (Brown et al., 2009; Vetter et al., 2016). (Vyas et al., 2012). Some risk factors for CVD are
increased in experiments in which circadian misalignment is induced (Morris et al., 2016).

In this scenario, the circadian system acts as moderator (Mo). While it is not the cause of strokes and heart attacks, normal morning physiology makes them more likely if the cardiovascular system is weakened. Between 6:00 a.m. and noon, heart rate and blood pressure rise in anticipation of being awake, switching from sleep to consciousness, from rest to activity, thereby increasing the supply of oxygen and nutrients. The circadian system regulates blood pressure and heart rate via the sympathetic branch of the autonomic nervous system. Major changes in activity and posture following wake contribute to this cardiovascular activation (Stubblefield & Lechleiter, 2019). Increased physical activity is accompanied by additional circadian changes including increased cortisol, testosterone, insulin, and glucose release, all of which help drive a higher metabolic rate. Concurrently to this morning-specific cardiovascular activation, there is a circadian increase in pro-clotting factors in the blood, including the activation of platelets (Scheer et al., 2011) and in blood clotting (McLoughlin et al., 2014). Importantly, individuals who have had a myocardial infarction during the morning experience more heart damage and a poorer chance of recovery compared with heart attacks at other times of the day (Suárez-Barrientos et al., 2011), implying circadian effects in the disease and recovery components of Figure 1 also.

The circadian system could act as a moderator (Mo) on the aetiology of CVD in two ways, which are described by the following analogies.

Interactions 1: if one would pump up a tire regularly every morning between 6:00 a.m. and noon (keeping the pressure in the recommended range), the chances of the tire bursting would be increased during that temporal window. The medical outcome of this interaction would be short-term, in form of a stroke or heart attack.

Interaction 2: the chance of the tire bursting depends on weaknesses in its wall. The outcome of interaction 2 would be long-term, concerning all the factors that lead to this, including higher cholesterol, stiffer vessel walls, higher blood pressure. While interaction 1 has mechanic reasons, interaction 2 has metabolic and inflammatory reasons (see respective sections).

In Figure 1, we argue that preventive medicine supports resilience in the aetiology component, which is under circadian moderation and therefore medication should take circadian phase into account. For example, when antihypertensives are taken before bedtime, rather than in the morning, they significantly improve overall blood pressure levels (Bowles et al., 2018) and reduce the risk of cardiovascular death, including heart failure and stroke (Peirson & Foster, 2011). Similarly, low-dose aspirin reduces platelet activation much more efficiently when taken before bedtime rather than in the morning (Bonten et al., 2015; Buurma et al., 2019). In the most extensive trial to date, antihypertensive medicine at bedtime was associated with both improved blood pressure control (i.e., recovery component for blood pressure) and a near halving of cardiovascular deaths and cardiovascular problems when compared with morning medication (Peirson & Foster, 2011).

As shown in Figure 1, circadian moderation also extends to the recovery component. Reducing SCRD after a stroke or heart attack aids recovery (Fleming et al., 2020; Hodor et al., 2014; Zunzunegui et al., 2011). Of note, many treatments for stroke have been developed in nocturnal rodents. However, nocturnal rodents have different sleep and activity rhythms relative to circadian phase than humans (Peirson & Foster, 2011), which may explain why many treatments that were found to be successful in mice subsequently failed in humans (Esposito et al., 2020).

### Metabolic syndrome

The circadian system influences every aspect of metabolism, from hunger and digestion to the regulation of the metabolic hormones (Thie et al., 2002). The human stomach empties faster after identical meals in the morning than in the evening (Duboc et al., 2020). Gastric acid secretion varies depending on when we eat, and there is an underlying daily rhythm with increased production towards the evening (Vaughn et al., 2014). Daily rhythms in glucose, insulin and feeding behaviour are abolished when circadian co-ordination by the pacemaker is absent in SCN-lesioned animals (Pol & Powley, 1979; Yamamoto et al., 1987).

The liver is an excellent example for entrainment (in this case, intra-organisal) being essential for circadian health and thereby for general health. When the SCN provides an internal cyclic environment, the liver produces a daily rhythm in blood glucose, which in humans, peaks in the middle/late part of the day. However, circadian rhythms in liver cell clocks, although still rhythmic, lose synchrony within the tissue when the SCN stops providing a systemic circadian environment thereby losing daily rhythms in blood glucose (Pol & Powley, 1979). Abnormalities in clock genes have also been linked to altered glucose metabolism, type 2 diabetes, and obesity in both humans and mice (Kalsbeek et al., 2014). Mice with defective circadian rhythms due to mutations in key clock genes fail to show a clear night/day feeding rhythm, eat excessively, are obese and develop metabolic abnormalities including fatty liver disease and insulin resistance (Turek et al., 2005). Metabolic abnormalities in conjunction with eating during the biological night (Dashti et al., 2020) may work via similar desynchrony mechanisms (i.e., misalignment between peripheral circadian clocks and the SCN) (Hara et al., 2001; Stokkan et al., 2001).

In summary, while individual liver cell clocks may contribute to a rhythmic glucose output, this output can only be co-ordinated when the internal environment is rhythmic, and a lack of liver clock synchrony leads to metabolic problems on the aetiology component of the health/disease balance, including obesity and insulin resistance (Chaix et al., 2019). Note that internal desynchrony formally always leads to a loss of amplitude in the circadian system. It is therefore not surprising that night shift-work is also associated with a flattened circadian rhythm in leptin, along with an overall increase in appetite (Boot et al., 2011; van Drongelen et al., 2011). Regular and longer duration of food restriction, especially if eating only occurs in the
morning and afternoon, positively affects metabolism and increases weight loss (recovery component) (Allison et al., 2021; Garaulet et al., 2013), possibly via a stronger synchrony of circadian rhythms across the tissues involved in food processing.

Sleep restriction is also associated with decreased metabolic health: (i) reduced levels of leptin (satiation hormone) and increased levels ghrelin (hunger hormone), and (ii) people with self-selected short sleep duration (and therefore sleep restriction) show increased hunger and the consumption of food (Cauter et al., 2008; Froy, 2009; Schmid et al., 2008; Spiegel et al., 2004). Sleep loss and sleep disruption in in shift workers is also associated with a higher risk of metabolic syndrome (Beihl et al., 2009; Gangwisch et al., 2007; Meisinger et al., 2005).

The circadian system may be also involved in metabolic diseases themselves due to feedbacks between the circadian system and metabolism; while the former moderates key metabolic processes, the latter can alter the circadian molecular machinery (Lamia et al., 2009) and these feedbacks could contribute to the severity of the disease. We know more about the negative effects of sleep restriction/debt on metabolic health; we still know too little about how recovery sleep can recover metabolic health (Killick et al., 2012).

**CONCLUSION**

Here we propose a conceptual framework for circadian medicine that can be used to understand and clearly document the roles of the circadian system and sleep in the three components of the health/disease balance (aetiology, disease, recovery). The conceptual process model of relationships among variables allows more specific questions about these associations and interactions, which in turn should guide experiments, analyses, and their interpretation. Our examples and literature review have been limited on purpose: we encourage others to (re)analyse in consideration of this framework, and to use the framework when planning new work. Most studies in our literature review document relationships among the circadian system, sleep, and the aetiology component of the health/disease balance, a few in the recovery component, and fewest in the disease component. We therefore encourage more studies on disease and recovery. This attempt of a framework will only be useful if considered broadly in circadian medicine and will flourish with a dynamic process of revisions, expansions, and reductions.
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