The path to impact of operational research on tuberculosis control policies and practices in Indonesia
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Background: Operational research is currently one of the pillars of the global strategy to control tuberculosis. Indonesia initiated capacity building for operational research on tuberculosis over the last decade. Although publication of the research in peer-reviewed journals is an important indicator for measuring the success of this endeavor, the influence of operational research on policy and practices is considered even more important. However, little is known about the process by which operational research influences tuberculosis control policy and practices.

Objective: We aimed to investigate the influence of operational research on tuberculosis control policy and practice in Indonesia between 2004 and 2014.

Design: Using a qualitative study design, we conducted in-depth interviews of 50 researchers and 30 policy makers/program managers and performed document reviews. Transcripts of these interviews were evaluated while applying content analysis.

Results: Operational research contributed to tuberculosis control policy and practice improvements, including development of new policies, introduction of new practices, and reinforcement of current program policies and practices. However, most of these developments had limited sustainability. The path from the dissemination of research results and recommendations to policy and practice changes was long and complex. The skills, interests, and political power of researchers and policy makers, as well as health system response, could influence the process.

Conclusions: Operational research contributed to improving tuberculosis control policy and practices. A systematic approach to improve the sustainability of the impact of operational research should be explored.
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Introduction

Operational research (OR) can be defined as ‘the search for knowledge on interventions, strategies, or tools that can enhance the quality, effectiveness, or coverage of programs in which the research is being done’ (1). For the past 10 years, OR has been recognized as an important pillar of the control strategy for tuberculosis (TB) (2, 3).

Indonesia, a country with a high TB burden, has made efforts to promote the implementation of OR in the TB control program. The Tuberculosis Operational Research Group (TORG), formed by the National Tuberculosis Control Program (NTP) in collaboration with partners (e.g. KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation, the United States Agency for International Development [USAID], the Global Fund to fight AIDS, TB and Malaria), has been conducting OR capacity-building trainings in Indonesia since 2004. Details on the TORG’s OR capacity-building activities and outputs have been published previously (4).

Dissemination of OR findings in peer-reviewed journals is only a single indicator of the success of OR; however, the ability of OR to contribute to improving policy and practices is even more important (5). This study aimed to explore how OR influenced TB control policies and practices in Indonesia between 2004 and 2014.

Materials and methods

Research settings

Indonesia is a middle-income archipelago in Southeast Asia consisting of 34 provinces. Since 2001, the government has applied a decentralized policy system. For TB control, this decentralization implies the sharing of responsibilities among the central, provincial, and district governments. The district government conducts basic management of TB control, including distribution of anti-TB drugs and laboratory reagents, training, supervision, monitoring, and evaluation of health facilities. The provincial government trains, supervises, monitors, and evaluates the districts. The central government is responsible for the development and supervision of standards related to TB, as well as the provision of quality TB drugs and laboratory consumables. The TB control program is integrated into the health care system, including primary health centers, lung clinics, and hospitals (2).

Since medical schools and/or public health schools commonly exist in the capital of each province, the TORG selected provincial OR groups for capacity-building purposes. Each OR group consisted of two to three researchers from academic institutions (‘academic-based researchers’) and one to two TB program staff (‘program-based researchers’). The process of capacity building has been described previously (4).

Research design

This was a qualitative study conducted between March 2014 and December 2014. Data were collected by thorough in-depth interviews and document reviews.

Informants and sampling

By February 2014, 33 provincial OR groups had participated in the TORG proposal development workshop. The newest province, which was established in late 2012, was not involved in the TORG when this study was conducted. Only 31 groups conducted a field study. Two OR groups experienced teamwork problems and did not continue with the field study. Four OR groups were still in the data analysis phase and had not completed the project. Therefore, we included 27 OR groups in this study (Fig. 1).

We obtained a list of researchers who had participated in any of the TORG proposal development workshops held between 2004 and 2014 from the NTP. We selected the principal researcher and/or another co-researcher from each OR group. Relevant policy makers or program managers (i.e. hospital managers, heads of Communicable Disease Control [CDC] at the provincial health offices, district level TB program staff, and NTP focal points) were selected by snowball sampling based on information from the researchers.

Data collection and analysis

We developed the guidelines of in-depth interviews according to the framework of Hanney et al. (6). The interview guidelines extracted information regarding the following:

- Results and recommendations of the OR
- Follow-up actions after results were disseminated

33 OR groups registered in the National TB Program Unit MoH databases, which participated TORG courses

31 OR groups conducted and completed field studies

2 OR groups did not conduct field studies

27 OR groups conducted results dissemination activities

4 OR groups had not reported their results at the time of our study

Invitations issued to at least 1 researcher and 1 policy maker of each OR group for interviews

Interviews with a total of 70 informants (28 researchers from academic institutions, 22 researchers from health institutions, and 30 policymakers/program managers) related to 25 OR groups were included in the data analysis

2 policy makers/program managers related to 2 OR groups declined the invitation for interviews

Fig. 1. Selection of operational research projects and informants in this study. OR: operational research; MoH: Ministry of Health; TB: tuberculosis; TORG: Tuberculosis Operational Research Group.
We collected OR project reports and harvested information on the recommendations from the study projects. This information was used for triangulation of data from interviews to assess the implementation of the OR project recommendations.

We excluded the OR projects of two provinces from the analysis since we were unable to obtain information from the policy makers’ perspective. Ultimately, we included interview data from 50 researchers and 30 policy makers in the analysis. The researchers consisted of 28 academics, 17 provincial health office staff members, 2 district health office staff members, and 3 hospital staff members. Policy makers included 4 national TB programmers, 21 provincial CDC/TB programmers, 3 district CDC/TB programmers, and 2 TB health service coordinators.

**Trustworthiness**

To improve accuracy (7), this study applied triangulation of data sources (interviews and document reviews) and peer debriefing strategies to TORG members. AP and HS performed most of the interviews, whereas SN and AC were responsible for writing verbatim transcripts within 48 h. AP and SN checked the accuracy of verbatim transcripts before analysis. The preliminary results of the analysis were discussed among all the authors.

**Research ethics**

This study received ethical clearance from the Committee of Research Ethics of the Faculty of Medicine of Universitas Sebelas Maret, Indonesia. The interviewers provided information about the study via emails and phone calls before conducting the interviews, and the informants provided verbal informed consent before the interviews. The interviews were taped, and informed consent was recorded. Transcripts of the interviews were anonymized, as were analyses and data interpretation/presentation.

**Data analysis**

Content analysis (8, 9) was used for data interpretation. The authors read the verbatim transcripts several times in order to explore the response codes, which contained manifest meanings (i.e. obvious content, or meanings that are self-evident) or latent meaning (i.e. the indirect underlying message of the text). Codes sharing a commonality were grouped into a single category. A theme represented the link between categories. We used Open Code 4.02 software (10) to facilitate analyses, the results of which are illustrated in Tables 1 and 2.

**Results**

The 25 OR projects included in the analysis varied in topics and study designs (Table 3). Twenty-two OR projects were oriented to the improvement of TB program implementation at the provincial, district, or health service center level, while three OR projects targeted national level TB program policy improvement.

The analysis revealed a ‘path to impact’ theme that consisted of three categories: the impact, path, and keys. The impact described issues pertaining to the contribution of OR projects to relevant TB policies and practices. The path revealed the processes from the end of the OR field study to the success/failure of OR projects in contributing to TB policies and practices. The keys represented relevant factors that supported or hindered the implementation of the OR projects’ recommendations.

**The impact**

**Spectrum of impact**

Descriptions of OR impact are presented in Table 3. Our analysis found that most OR projects (22 of 25) contributed to TB policy and practices in a spectrum of domains and scopes (Table 4). The spectrum showed that OR project contributions to TB policy and practices could be divided into two domains: 1) the impact of the OR project on the development of new TB program policies or practices and 2) actual evidence that the OR project improved or reinforced existing policies or practices. The impact of the OR project was observed on the national, provincial, district, and health facility center levels.

Thirteen OR projects related to the first domain of impact. For example, the OR project in Bali Province led to the development of reward systems for private practitioners’ contributions to TB suspect referrals. Additionally, the OR project on TB–HIV collaboration at a teaching hospital in West Java Province triggered the development of standard operating procedures for TB–HIV collaboration at the hospital level.

Nine OR projects involved strengthening current TB control policies and practices upon evaluating the strengths and weaknesses of the activities of TB control programs. Some OR projects produced recommendations that the TB control program had already implemented, although the studies were not yet completed. For instance, the OR project on pediatric TB was initiated concurrently with the development of the national pediatric TB guideline. However, the guideline was finalized while the OR project was still ongoing. The OR duration and the dynamics of the TB control program activities were important factors, as expressed in the following quotation:

The multidrug-resistant TB program was very dynamic. Because our OR project had a long duration, the recommendations from our OR could not keep up with the continuously shifting dynamics of the program. (Academic-based researcher, Jakarta Province)
| Topic | Meaning unit | Code | Sub-category | Category | Theme |
|-------|--------------|------|--------------|----------|-------|
| How the findings of the study results were disseminated | We disseminated the results by inviting all heads of district health offices in Banda Aceh Province. | Result dissemination | The milestones | The path | The path to impact |
| Follow-up actions after results of OR were disseminated | There was an order [to implement the OR project recommendation] from the provincial health office, i.e. a letter circulated to private clinics and hospitals. | Policy development | | | |
| | We presented the OR results and recommendations in meetings, both national and district level. | | | | |
| | We were invited to the provincial Monev [monitoring evaluation] meeting in 2010 to discuss strategies of accommodating the OR results that were disseminated in 2008. The draft [of the policy document] was completed in 2011. | Advocacy meetings | | | |
| | I think it [the implementation of the OR recommendation] took approximately 1 year in the study district. | Time of policy document development | Time | | |
| Influence of the ORs on TB service, program practice, and/or policy | We have ideas on what we should do. The OR results strengthened them [the ideas of the policy makers]. | Strengthening current policy/practice | Spectrum of impact | Spectrum | |
| Factors perceived as important to the process of knowledge translation of the ORs | There was a change in leadership at the health office [in the district]. I foresee that innovations [from the OR results] will not be sustained. | Changes of policy makers | | Policy makers | The keys |
| | I am a bit frustrated … Yes, really frustrated. It [the OR recommendations] should be supported. I am just a staff member, I can only grumble. We could do these … because we have national and provincial policy support. | Power of program-based researcher | Support of policy | Researchers | Health systems |

OR: operational research; TB: tuberculosis.
| Theme            | The path to impact | The keys                          | Health system response |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Category         | The path           | The impact                        |                         |
| Sub-category     | The path           | Spectrum of impact                | Sustainability          |
|                  |                    | Domain of impact                  | Researchers             |
|                  |                    | Innovation                        | Policy makers           |
|                  | Milestones         | New policy/practice               |                         |
|                  | Time               | Evidence-informed policy          |                         |
|                  |                    | Improvement of existing policy/  |                         |
|                  |                    | practice                          |                         |
|                  |                    | Scope of impact                   |                         |
|                  |                    | Time of policy document development|                         |
|                  |                    | Time of advocacy                  |                         |
| Code             | Preliminary        | Collaboration of OR group         | Budget                  |
|                  | advocacy;          | Cohesiveness of OR group          | Financial support       |
|                  | dissemination      | Communication skills of researcher| Logistic matter         |
|                  | seminar            | Intensive contacts with policy     | Support of policy and   |
|                  | Policy-brief       | maker                             | regulation              |
|                  | documents          | Confidence of researcher to       | Relevant guideline       |
|                  | Preliminary policy | approach                          |                         |
|                  | document development|                                 |                         |
|                  | Letter of          | Confidence of researcher to       |                         |
|                  | commitment         | persuade                          |                         |
|                  | Letter of          | Power/authority of program-based  |                         |
|                  | recommendation     | researcher                         |                         |
|                  | Follow-up          | Change of job position of         |                         |
|                  | Formal advocacy    | program-based researcher          |                         |
|                  | meetings           | Researcher’s skills in preparing   |                         |
|                  | Citation of OR     | contingency                       |                         |
|                  | results            | Researcher’s advocacy skills      |                         |
|                  | Enhanced policy    |                                 |                         |
|                  | document           |                                 |                         |
|                  | Hospital regulation|                                 |                         |
|                  | Memorandum of      |                                 |                         |
|                  | understanding      |                                 |                         |
| No | Title of study (year of study)                                                                 | Study design | Province       | Recommendations*                                                                 | Implementation of recommendations** |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1  | The effect of health promotion to informal community groups in detecting TB suspects at Gowa District (2007) | An experimental study | South Sulawesi | To involve informal community champions to find presumptive TB cases and to refer to primary health centers. | Provision of financial incentives for health community cadres for every TB case that was identified by the cadres. |
| 2  | The involvement of the Acehnese local community champion in TB control (2009)                 | An experimental study | Aceh          | To involve the Acehnese community champions in the education of the community on TB and presumptive TB case identification. | A pilot project of the involvement of the community champions in TB education and TB presumptive case identification in a district for 1 year. |
| 3  | The role of religious leaders in increasing pulmonary TB case notification (2010)           | An experimental study | East Nusa Tenggara | - Training of religious leaders in educating the community about TB.  
|    |                                                                                             |              |                | - Provision of TB information materials.                                          | Provision of TB educational materials. |
| 4  | Involving the traditional market community in identification of suspected TB cases (2010)   | An experimental study | Southeast Sulawesi | The provincial health office should involve the traditional market community in identification of suspected TB cases. | None. |
| 5  | Treatment-seeking behavior of TB patients (2005)                                            | A qualitative study | Yogyakarta     | - The national TB control program should collaborate with hospitals and private medical practitioners.  
|    |                                                                                             |              |                | - TB education in the community to increase access to DOTS health facility.  
|    |                                                                                             |              |                | - Active TB case findings within community.                                        | Stepwise training for hospitals on standardized TB case management including recording/reporting the cases to the district health office. |
| 6  | Treatment-seeking behavior of community and TB patients (2007)                              | A cross-sectional study | Lampung       | Training of midwives and nurses in presumptive TB case identification.            | Training of cadres on presumptive TB case identification in a sub-district (2007). |
| 7  | TB control program performance in primary health centers (2007)                             | A cross-sectional study | North Sumatera | Improvement of lab facilities, including the lab waste system.                   | None. |
| 8  | Treatment compliance of TB patients treated under the DOTS strategy in primary health centers (2008) | A case-control study | Papua         | - Education for patients and drug administration observers.  
|    |                                                                                             |              |                | - Development of IEC materials in the Papuan language.                            | The production of IEC materials/media in the Papuan language (2011).  

Citation: Glob Health Action 2016, 9: 29866 - http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/gha.v9.29866
| No | Title of study (year of study) | Study design | Province       | Recommendations* | Implementation of recommendations** |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 9. | The effectiveness of contact tracing in increasing TB suspect identification (2009-2010) | An experimental study | Bengkulu        | To conduct contact tracing, as an additional strategy to passive case discovery of TB. | Implementation of contact tracing as an additional strategy to passive case discovery of TB (2010). |
|    |                                 |              |                | A cost-effectiveness evaluation of contact tracing strategy. | A letter was circulated from the provincial health office to district health offices in Bengkulu Province and primary health centers regarding the recommendation to conduct contact tracing (2010). |
|    |                                 |              |                | Development of district level policy to support contact tracing. | Training of trainers on the development of networks between satellite health centers and main health centers (2010). |
| 10. | TB suspect identification and TB case notification by enhancing the satellite public health center network on Haruku Island (2010) | An experimental study | Central Maluku | The development of networks between satellite health centers and main health centers. | Benchmarking visit to provincial health office and a hospital of West Sumatra, on the implementation of DOTS strategy. |
| 11. | Knowledge, attitude, and commitment of primary health center staff on TB program (2011) | A cross-sectional study | East Kalimantan | Dissemination of the DOTS strategy to hospitals. | Hospitals should prepare for human resources responsible for the implementation of DOTS strategy at hospitals. |
|    |                                 |              |                | Hospitals should prepare for human resources responsible for the implementation of DOTS strategy at hospitals. | The development of SOPs for DOTS strategy implementation in hospitals. |
|    |                                 |              |                | Routine coordination. | Routine coordination. |

**Topic:** Public–private mix for TB control

| No | Title of study (year of study) | Study design | Province | Recommendations | Implementation of recommendations |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| 12. | Implementation of DOTS strategy in hospitals (2005) | A mixed methods study | Central Java | To develop collaboration between specialist and other medical staff at hospital. | Guidelines and SOPs of DOTS strategy implementation in hospital. |
| 13. | Implementation of directly observed treatment short-course strategy in hospitals (2007) | A qualitative study | South Kalimantan | The hospital should appoint specific (senior) TB staff to monitor the implementation of the DOTS strategy. | None. |
| 14. | The contribution of private medical practitioners to presumptive TB case identification and referral (2007–2008) | A case-control study | Bali | Private practitioners should be involved in TB control, mainly referral of TB suspects to primary health centers. | - A pilot study examining the involvement of private medical practices and non-governmental organizations in TB control (2009). |
|    |                                 |              |          |                 | - A simplified TB recording and reporting system for private medical practices. |
|    |                                 |              |          |                 | - A reward system from the Indonesian Medical Association for private medical practices who refer TB patients to primary health centers. |
| No | Title of study (year of study) | Study design | Province | Recommendations* | Implementation of recommendations** |
|----|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 15. | Readiness of DOTS strategy implementation at hospitals (2009) | A qualitative study | Banten | To increase the commitment of hospitals in the implementation of the DOTS strategy, e.g. through the production of decision letters, job descriptions, and SOP and infrastructure support. | Production of letters of decision to establish a DOTS strategy implementation team at one of three hospitals in the study. |
| | | | | Routine supervision by district health office. | | |
| | | | | Coordination with district health office to intensify referral of cases from hospitals to primary health centers. | | |
| | | | | Production of letters of decision to establish a DOTS strategy implementation team at one of three hospitals in the study. | | |
| 16. | The implementation of the ISTC in hospitals (2009) | A cross-sectional study | DKI Jakarta | To prepare human resources, supplies, and instruments for sputum tests at hospitals. | The provincial health office conducted trainings on the DOTS strategy in hospitals (2010). |
| | | | | To improve joint supervision between the provincial health office, hospital association, and professional organizations. | Dissemination of the DOTS strategy by writing a letter to all private hospitals in the province (2010). |
| | | | | To develop a memorandum of understanding between the provincial health office, hospital association, and professional organizations on ISTC implementation. | Dissemination of the DOTS strategy in hospitals during three monthly monitoring evaluation meetings for all district TB program staff. |
| | | | | Dissemination of the DOTS strategy among staff in hospitals. | The inclusion of the DOTS strategy in the national hospital accreditation (2012). |
| | | | | Inclusion of DOTS strategy implementation in national hospital accreditation. | | |
| 17. | Association between treatment observer characteristics and defaulting from TB treatment in Hasan Sadikin Hospital, Bandung (2009) | A case-control study | West Java | The presence of TB drug observers during patients’ visits to the hospital so that the provider can educate TB drug observers. | Education to TB patients and drug observers approximately once a week during the busiest day at the outpatient unit at Hasan Sadikin hospital. The education was conducted by residents of the pulmonology department. |
| | | | | Improvement of the pathway of TB services in hospitals by educating TB drug observers. | The Department of Pulmonology initiated the education without any changes to hospital SOPs regarding TB services. |
| 18. | Development of a network between private laboratory and private medical practices in implementing the DOTS strategy (2010) | A qualitative study | West Sumatra | Diagnostic tests for TB in private labs should use sputum tests. | Training in private labs with province budget. |
| | | | | The dissemination of information on proper suspect criteria, sputum specimen collection, and sputum tests. | A network of private labs and a system of reporting from private labs to Dinas Kesehatan was established and implemented. |
| | | | | Private labs should report TB cases every month or every 3 months. | | |
| No | Title of study (year of study)                                                                 | Study design          | Province        | Recommendations*                                                                 | Implementation of recommendations**                                           |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 19.| The effectiveness of TB education through SMS on treatment compliance among TB patients in hospitals (2011) | An experimental study | Central Java    | The use of SMS technology to provide education to TB patients during treatment. | The adoption of an SMS-based educational system by TB patients in a district. |
| 20. | Low quality of sputum specimens for TB diagnosis and its factors (2005)                         | A cross-sectional survey | Central Java    | Training for providers on TB sputum specimen collection.                        | Trainings for laboratory staff (2006-2014)                                    |
| 21. | Quality of sputum tests in public health centers (2010)                                         | A cross-sectional study | Jambi           | Collaboration between TB program staff and laboratory staff at primary health centers. | Continuation of the collaborative work between TB program staff and laboratory staff at primary health centers for some months in 2011. |
| 22. | The effectiveness of training on TB microscopy diagnosis among laboratory staff (2011)         | An experimental study | West Nusa Tenggara | Continue to conduct training of the laboratory staff at the primary health centers. | Training for lab staff at primary health centers.                             |
| 23. | Delay in treatment among MDR-TB patients (2011–2012)                                            | A cross-sectional study | DKI Jakarta      | Decentralized treatment from hospital to primary health care level.              | The MDR-TB program plan to decentralize MDR-TB treatment at primary health care centers (2013). |
| 24. | Assessment of TB pediatric scoring chart (2011–2012)                                             | An experimental study | DKI Jakarta      | Training and technical assistance to general practitioners at primary health centers on a pediatric TB diagnostic scoring system. | Training of medical doctors in primary health centers on pediatric-TB-scoring chart (2013). |

Topic: TB lab quality

Topic: TB in children, MDR-TB, TB-HIV
Table 3 (Continued)

| No | Title of study (year of study)                                                                 | Study design       | Province     | Recommendations*                                                                 | Implementation of recommendations**                                                                 |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 25. | The improvement of TB-HIV collaboration in Hasan Sadikin Hospital, Bandung (2011)             | Action research    | West Java    | Training for hospital staff on TB-HIV collaboration.                             | The sub-directorate TB MoH planned and implemented the following: (1) Supervision and technical assistance to provinces with under-achievement of TB-HIV program target indicators (2) Workshops on recording, reporting, and strengthening anti-TB-HIV collaboration efforts (3) Routine meetings of the national TB-HIV team These three activities were not perceived to directly impact the operational research. |
|    |                                                                                               |                    |              | Supervision of TB-HIV collaboration.                                              | Intensified communication between the TB and HIV units at the hospital (2013–2014).                           |
|    |                                                                                               |                    |              | Improving the reporting system.                                                   | A modified model of integrated TB-HIV services at the hospital (2013–2014).                                   |
|    |                                                                                               |                    |              |                                                                                 | A TB-HIV team at the hospital level, which is responsible for the management of services for TB-HIV patients (2014). |
|    |                                                                                               |                    |              |                                                                                 | Improved reporting system of the TB and HIV units (2013–2014).                                              |
|    |                                                                                               |                    |              |                                                                                 | Improved indicators of the TB-HIV collaboration program (2013–2014).                                         |

*Information was collected from the OR study reports; ** Information was collected from the interviews with policy makers/program managers and researchers. DOTS: directly observed treatment short-course; HIV: human immunodeficiency virus; IEC: information, education, and counseling; ISTC: International Standard for Tuberculosis Care; MDR-TB: multidrug-resistant TB; MoH: Ministry of Health; SMS: short message service; SOP: standard operating procedure; TB: tuberculosis.
The recommendations of most OR projects included in our study resulted in changes to policies or practices that lasted for various lengths of time. For example, the recommendation from the OR project in Jambi Province that the work of the TB program staff and laboratory staff be integrated at the primary care level was only maintained for less than a year after the results dissemination seminar. The recommendation of involving community members in active TB case detection in Aceh Province was maintained for approximately 1 year. The recommendation to award accreditation points to private practitioners involved in TB case detection in Bali Province was maintained for a longer period. OR recommendations were less sustainable when they concerned innovations of policies or practices instead of recommendations to strengthen existing policies and practices.

The overall process of translating knowledge obtained through OR into influence on TB program policy and practice is presented in Fig. 2. Milestones include the following: 1) preliminary advocacy; 2) the dissemination seminar; 3) development of policy documents; 4) advocacy meetings; and 5) new policies and practices, or reinforcement of current ones.

Some OR groups informally shared preliminary findings before the official dissemination seminar to raise interest among relevant policy makers. Some OR groups also created policy-brief documents. Approximately 1 year after commencing field work, all OR groups conducted an official dissemination seminar for stakeholders from multiple disciplines who may have had the capacity to implement, or catalyze implementation of, the study recommendations. There were three types of policy makers invited: health facility level program managers (i.e. heads of hospitals, heads of lung clinics, and TB service providers); provincial or district level managers (i.e. heads of health offices, heads of CDC units, TB program staff, provincial/district health planning and budgeting bureau staff, and members of the provincial house of representatives); and national level managers (i.e. national TB program staff). During the dissemination seminars, the OR group typically presented the results and recommendations of the study; discussions with stakeholders then followed.

In general, the policy makers accepted the OR results and recommendations, since these were regarded as relevant, practical, and having the potential to improve TB program performance.

I think the results [of OR] are useful. Other [district] TB program coordinators could accept them [the results and recommendations]. Yes, these [the recommendations] are feasible … (TB program officer, Bengkulu Province)
It was common for policy makers to develop preliminary policy documents (e.g. a letter of commitment to support the OR recommendations) as a follow-up to the dissemination seminar. However, the preliminary policy documents did not guarantee that the policy makers would deploy the recommendations of the OR projects (Fig. 2). Only a few OR projects led to the development of enhanced policy documents, such as a signed memorandum of understanding between institutions or a change in hospital regulations. Usually, development of the enhanced policy documents was preceded by a series of advocacy meetings.

For some projects, researchers or/and policy makers conducted additional advocacy activities to obtain supporting policy papers and funding, thereby ensuring the application of the OR recommendations. In general, the policy makers/program managers requested that the researchers conduct additional presentations about the OR results and recommendations in a formal meeting (such as the provincial TB surveillance meeting). Otherwise, the policy makers/program managers promoted the recommendations of the OR projects to all relevant facilities under their authority. Some researchers highlighted evidence from the OR project in their presentations at various forums.

**Time**
The time between the dissemination seminars and the deployment of the recommendations ranged from months to years (Table 3). Complex actions related to policy (e.g. reward systems and national accreditation) took longer than those related to practices (e.g. training, modified recording/reporting forms, and education of TB patients).

**The keys**
Researchers, policy makers/program managers, and health system personnel form the keys to translating knowledge
from OR projects into changes to TB program policy or practices. The roles of these individuals in OR contributions and achievement of milestones in TB policy or practices are presented in Fig. 2.

The researchers

Good collaboration and cohesion existed in the OR groups that impacted TB program policy or practices. In contrast, a group member leaving for a new job could impede follow-up on the OR recommendations (Fig. 2). One academic-based researcher mentioned, ‘We could not follow up [on the recommendations] intensively because of the move of the program-based researcher to another position’ (Banten Province).

OR projects that led to program or policy changes tended to have program-based researchers with sufficient power and confidence to approach and persuade the policy makers and/or program managers. Conversely, program-based researchers who had no relevant authority felt less capable of persuading TB program policy makers.

Communication and advocacy skills, as well as contingency planning, were also key to the successful influence of an OR project on policy and practice (Fig. 2) as exemplified in the following quotation:

We coordinated and tried to work with the local Indonesian Medical Association. We had conducted activities [to involve private medical practitioners] together [with the Indonesian Medical Association]. However, the results did not satisfy our expectations, as most private practitioners did not attend the meeting. Therefore, we changed our strategy the following year. (Program-based researcher, Bali Province)

The policy makers

A combination of enthusiasm and political power on the part of the policy makers was shown to be an important facilitator of achieving impact (Fig. 2). Those policy makers/program managers who took a personal interest in the OR findings and recommendations encouraged their peers to pursue the implementation of the recommendations. Such advocacy was successful if the policy maker/program manager had sufficient power to push for the deployment of the OR project recommendations.

Changes of high level policy makers/program managers (e.g. head of district or head of provincial/district health office) or middle level policy makers/program managers (e.g. TB program staff at provincial or district levels, or heads of primary health centers) were often mentioned as obstacles to implementing recommendations for policy or practice changes. After a change of policy maker, it was more difficult for the researchers to ensure that the OR recommendations were implemented. Hence, such changes were also barriers to the sustainability of the impact of the OR.

Health system response

Availability of a relevant national policy document or guideline was another key factor regarding implementation of innovative recommendations from the OR projects (Fig. 2). Some of these recommendations were not supported by existing regulations. For instance, the recommendation to apply enhanced case detection involving community members (such as religious leaders, women, or the traditional market community) could not be implemented in the TB control programs of some provinces due to the lack of a relevant national policy on an active case detection approach, which is required to formally promote such strategy. At the time that these recommendations were launched, the NTP still prioritized the passive case detection approach; the district/province level policy makers were reluctant to deploy innovations that were not supported by any existing higher level policy:

When we disseminated our study results to other districts, they [the district TB program staff] were questioning how they could implement the recommendations [of community-based active case finding] ... Until now, the national TB control guideline [has] only [included] the passive case detection approach ... (Program-based researcher, Aceh Province)

Availability of financial support was another key to facilitating the impact of OR projects (Fig. 2). Some study recommendations could not be deployed due to the lack of funding. However, a few policy makers were able to resolve financial barriers. In such cases, the enthusiasm of policy makers for the OR results motivated them to locate alternative sources of funding.

The preparedness of the Ministry of Health to respond to logistical provisions related to enabling application of OR project recommendations was another challenge. For example, the recommendation to implement active TB case detection by so-called community champions in Aceh was followed, and the numbers of TB cases reported by primary health centers increased. This consequently required additional drugs and laboratory supplies, something the logistics planners had not anticipated.

Finally, staffing changes hampered the sustainability of the OR-recommended actions that involved TB program field staff. For example, the impact of the integrative work of the staff from the TB program and the laboratory in Jambi Province was diluted mostly due to the turnover of the TB program staff at the primary health centers.

Discussion

Our study provides empirical evidence regarding the impact of OR projects on changes of policies and practices; similar impacts have been described previously for other areas of focus (11, 12). The OR projects produced
a range of influences on TB programs (Table 4), which was expected given the wide spectrum of possible OR projects (13). Our findings showed that the OR projects contributed chiefly to the improvement of TB program practices at the provincial and district levels. OR can vary because of the heterogeneous settings of Indonesian provinces. Therefore, the TORG implemented a local context approach when devising the research questions (4), especially as OR is a form of research investigating problems in the health program up close. Hence, OR potentially avoids the problem of unnecessary wasteful research (14).

The mechanisms of the influences of OR projects on TB control policies and practices are complex and nonlinear (Fig. 2), as has been argued by others (15, 16). Our study showed that the TORG’s approach of combining academics and health staff into a single OR group helped the OR projects to achieve greater impact. As stated by Grimshaw et al. (17), the inclusion of health staff as program-based researchers has helped the OR projects to contextualize the research problems, increase the applicability of the recommendations, and facilitate the communication of research findings to the relevant policy makers and program managers. However, careful selection of the health staff is important, as those with excellent communication and advocacy skills as well as sufficient influence are critical assets. Our study shows that the time elapsed between the OR projects’ duration and TB program development is a challenge. In particular, shorter study durations are needed for the development of new policies and practices based on innovative ideas emanating from OR projects. In our study, some policy makers or program managers perceived that the OR recommendations only came after the introduction of new policies or practices. Although this was true for a number of the studies included here, such studies still yielded evidence useful to policy makers and program managers regarding whether their policy changes were in fact improving the program. Prompt communication of the OR results to policy makers and managers is essential (12). Khotari et al. (18) also suggested that interaction between producers (i.e. researchers) and users (i.e. program managers) increases the users’ understanding of the research and enhances the likelihood that the research findings will be valued.

The recommendations from some OR projects in our study could not be maintained. This was sometimes due to the irrelevance of the recommendations to existing policies; at the time study results were disseminated, there would already be a new and better policy. Therefore, process dynamics and policy relevance should be taken into account when the researchers plan for OR; as Ioannidis argued, fine-tuning between research and existing policy should be considered (19).

Our study found that the implementation of OR project recommendations may require a broader health system response, such as the availability of overarching policies and additional resources. Others have also shown that financial and human resource constraints impede the use of research evidence in decision-making (20). Again, prompt communication between researchers and policy makers/program managers should be encouraged to improve the preparedness of the health system to implement OR project recommendations (6).

Our study evaluated OR projects facilitated by the TORG in the context of decentralized health systems; this limits the generalization of our findings. Nevertheless, we believe that our study provides evidence for the impact of the OR projects on policies and practices. Moreover, the lessons shared in this paper could be of use to ongoing initiatives involving OR capacity building in other countries.

Conclusions and recommendations

Our study concluded that OR contributed to the development of new policies, introduction of new practices, and strengthening of current TB control policies and practices in Indonesia. However, the sustainability of these changes was often limited. The process of translating OR outcomes was complex. Even though OR findings are useful for TB control program improvement, the deployment of recommendations can be influenced by other factors. The skills and political power of researchers, interests and political power of policy makers, and health system response could all influence the process.

Therefore, we recommend the following. First, the OR initiative should be intensified and promoted. The curriculum of OR capacity building should include skills of knowledge translation and communication for advocacy. Second, the OR group should include health staff with sufficient power to achieve changes in the TB control program. Researchers with sufficient influence will contribute heavily to translating knowledge into policy. Third, the timing of advocacy actions should be carefully planned in order to increase the possibility of sustainable deployment of the recommendations. Fourth, short communication lines between the OR groups and policy makers or program managers during and after executing the OR projects ought to be established to ensure that the results and recommendations of the research are properly implemented. Finally, ‘OR on OR’ studies are required, particularly to explore potential mechanisms to sustain the impact of OR on policies and practices.
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