Introduction

As modern city dwellers show an increasing trend to spending most of their daily lives indoors, Son et al. [1] expressed deep concern that indoor air quality (IAQ) was one of the most influential factors on indoor residents’ health. Similar descriptions have frequently been reported by many researchers, with the observation that indoor residents obtained substantial benefits from indoor air with good quality [2] and experienced serious problems in the presence of indoor air with poor quality [3,4].

According to former studies [5-7], indoor air was easily contaminated by certain air-borne substances, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted from building materials or household goods [8,9] reported that indoor air contained about seven to ten times the amount of VOCs as outdoor air. It was firmly accepted that the VOCs causing the serious problems on indoor
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residents’ health were chiefly constituted of formaldehyde and other chemical substances including benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX). These serious health problems could be asthma, dizziness, physical fatigue, and some irritations to the eyes, nose, and throat [9]. Among the problems identified, asthma was one of the most serious because it restricts patients’ socially as well as their physical activities [10], due to the fact that it is a chronic inflamed disease of the respiratory tract with symptoms of dyspnea and the feeling of chest suppression [11]. Abbey et al. [12] asserted that VOCs were the limiting factor of asthma. Other researchers supported the assertion with their reports that the symptom severity of asthma was closely related to the amount of VOCs in indoor air [13,14].

With the awareness of the problem by IAQ, indoor residents conducted various trials to reduce the amount of VOCs in indoor air using frequent ventilation by opening windows, bake-out by raising indoor air temperature, pollution-source removal by exchanging building materials and household goods with environmentally-friendly goods, and air purification by applying an air purifier [2,15,16]. However, these trials required careful attention with much material outlay. For that reason, many researchers recommended indoor plant placement in indoor place as another possible method for improving IAQ [17]. It was widely accepted that indoor plants reduced the physical fatigue of indoor residents with environmentally-friendly methods [18-21], as well as providing some positive effects on their mental health [22]. Regarding the procedure of air purification by plants, many former researchers have confirmed that plants decompose air-borne substances during the course of respiration and photosynthesis by absorbing the substances through leaf surface, transporting to rhizosphere, and converting them into their energy with the help of microbes [23-27]. The present study evaluated the health condition of asthmatics by using the quality of life questionnaire for adult Korean asthmatics (QLQAKA). The QLQAKA was a recently devised asthma-specific questionnaire as a valid and reproducible clinical tool for monitoring and demonstrating the health state of asthmatics by the Korean Academy of Asthma, Allergy, and Clinical Immunology [13,28,29]. Additionally, this observation on the symptom changes of asthmatics followed the experimental procedure by quasi experimental design, which was recently conceived as a procedure to evaluate the symptom changes of a certain patient by analyzing the changes of environmental factors [30,31].

Materials and Methods

Indoor plants were placed in the households of asthmatics to evaluate the IAQ of the places and the symptom condition of the residents by the experimental design of case crossover in Seoul, South Korea for two experimental seasons in 2006 and 2007 [31]. The particulars of experimental procedure were as follows (Figure 1).

Participant Organization and Indoor Plant Placement

The Medical College of Yonsei University provided 17 participants who had been definitely-diagnosed as asthmatic by the Division of Allergy-Immunology, Department of Internal Medicine; these were selected for the present study from the outpatients in each experimental season. The participants were mainly constituted of housewives (1st experimental season, 16 individuals; 2nd experimental season, 14 individuals), who spend...
most of their daily lives indoors in their own households; this was to prevent any confounders due to occupations. The individual characteristics were varied ages from 30s to 60s, with the mean value of 47.1 years for the first experimental season and 46.5 years for the second experimental season. Also, their residential area ranged from below 70 m² to above 130 m², with the mean value of 98.5 m² for the first experimental season and 100.3 m² for the second experimental season. Former results indicated that indoor residents perceived little difference in the symptom degree of sick building syndrome (SBS) by building ages [32] because the VOCs responsible for SBS of indoor residents were constantly emitted from various sources in indoor places [8] (Table 1).

The placement of indoor plants was performed in the households of participants, chiefly using foliage plants following the demonstration of previous studies [1]. Then, the present study provided the households the information on indoor plant management with the recommendations of the National Institute of Horticultural & Herbal Science, such as proper conditions for air temperature, relative humidity, and irrigation interval. In each household, indoor plants were placed as three couples of large pots (15 L) in the living room, one couple of small pots (7 L) in the kitchen, and two couples of small pots (7 L) in the bedroom. The indoor plants were asplenium, Satsuma mandarins, and gardenia in the living-room, pothos in the kitchen, and rosemary and gardenia in the bedroom during the first experimental season in 2006 and parlour palm, money trees, and peace lily in the living-room, pothos in the kitchen, and dumb cane and lady palm in the bedroom during the second experimental season in 2007 (Table 2).

In the present study, using a case crossover design, one experimental season was comprised of three terms: the preparatory term, the former observation term, and the latter observation term. The duration of each term was three months: the preparatory term was from January to March, the former observation term was from April to June, and the latter observation term was from July to September. The households of participants were divided into two groups, with the households of continuation including nine households and the households of withdrawal including eight households; this was according to the methods of indoor plant placement. The placement of indoor plants was practiced in all of the households during the entire preparatory term (from January to March). The households of continuation spent the two observation terms with indoor plant placement,

### Table 1. Demographic information of the participants in this study

| Item            | Classification | 1st experimental season ('06) | 2nd experimental season ('07) |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Gender          |                | Continuation (n=9) | Withdrawal (n=8) | Continuation (n=9) | Withdrawal (n=8) |
| Female          | 8              | 8                            | 8                            | 6               |
| Male            | 1              | N/A                          | 1                            | 2               |
| Age             |                | 30s                          | 5                            | N/A             |
| 30s             | 5              |                               | 5                            | 2               |
| 40s             | 1              | 2                            | 1                            | 2               |
| 50s             | 2              | 6                            | 5                            | 2               |
| 60s             | 1              | N/A                          | N/A                          | 1               |
| Mean (yr)       | 43.7           | 51.0                         | 47.1                         | 46.6            |
| Resident area (m²) |                | 2.5                          | 2.5                          | 2.5             |
| <70             | 2              | 2                            | 2                            | 3               |
| ≥70             | 2              | 4                            | 1                            | 1               |
| >100            | 4              | 1                            | 3                            | 4               |
| >130            | 1              | 1                            | 2                            | N/A             |
| Year of building completion |                | 2.5                          | 2.5                          | 2.5             |
| 1980s           | 2              | 3                            | 2                            | 2               |
| 1990s           | 5              | 3                            | 3                            | 4               |
| 2000s           | 2              | 2                            | 2                            | 2               |

Continuation, indoor plant placement during the entire season (April to September); Withdrawal, placement of indoor plants during the former observation term (April to June) and withdrawal during the latter observation term (July to September). N/A, not applicable.

### Table 2. Status of indoor plant placement for the present study

| Site            | 1st experimental season ('06) | 2nd experimental season ('07) |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Kinds of plants | Quantity (n) | Size (L) | Kinds of plants | Quantity (n) | Size (L) |
| Living-room     | Asplenium (Asplenium nidus)   | 2 | 15 | Parlour palm (Chamaedorea elegans) | 2 | 15 |
|                 | Satsuma mandarins (Citrus unshiu) | 2 | 15 | Money tree (Zamioculcas spp.) | 2 | 15 |
|                 | Gardenia (Gardenia jasminoides) | 2 | 15 | Peace lily (Spathiphyllum spp.) | 2 | 15 |
| Kitchen         | Pothos (Epipremnum aureum)    | 2 | 7  | Pothos (Epipremnum aureum)    | 2 | 7  |
| Bedroom         | Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis) | 2 | 7  | Dumb cane (Dieffenbachia camilla) | 2 | 7  |
|                 | Gardenia (Gardenia jasminoides) | 2 | 7  | Lady palm (Rhapis Excelsa) | 2 | 7  |
and the households of withdrawal passed the former observation term with indoor plant placement and spent the latter observation term without indoor plant placement.

**Measurement of Indoor Air Quality**

IAQ was evaluated just after each term as the early days of April, July, and October in the first experimental season in 2006. For IAQ evaluation, certain VOCs were captured from the indoor air of participants’ households and then quantitatively analyzed for formaldehyde and BTEX at the analytical laboratory of the Medical College in Yonsei University. Air capture was conducted for all of the households using airtight conditions after 30 minutes of ventilation with the official analysis method of the Act for IAQ Control in Public Use Facilities by the guide of Environmental Protection Agency in the US. A personal air sampler (MP-Σ30; Sibata Scientific Technology Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was set up at a height of 1.5 m above floor level in the living room. After a low-volume vacuum pump in the personal air sampler adsorbed formaldehyde into 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) cartridge (LpDNPH S10; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) and ozone scrubber (Sep-Pak W3018LL; Waters, Milford, MA, USA) for 60 minutes at the flow rate of 0.1 L/min, the amount of formaldehyde was analyzed with the use of high-performance liquid chromatography (Alliance Separation Module 2690 & Dual Absorbance Detector 2487; Waters) with a 60-m long capillary column with a 0.32-mm id and 1-µm thickness (HP-1; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Another low-volume vacuum pump adsorbed BTEX into adsorbent tubes (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) for 60 minutes at a flow rate of 0.2 L/min. BTEX was detached using a coupling thermal desorption system (TDS) (Aerotrap 6016; Tekmar, Mason, OH, USA) and quantitatively analyzed using gas chromatography (GC) (G-14-B; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a 25-m long column with a 0.53-mm id and 0.32-µm thickness (19095W-123; Agilent Technologies) and a flame ionization detector. After the trap in TDS was thermally desorbed at 240°C for 3 minutes, the target substances were cryo-focused at -110°C on the internal trap (0.1-mm glass bead). The cold trap was rapidly heated up to 225°C to flush into the cryo-focusing module in TDS. The module transferred the target substances into GC. The initial oven temperature in GC was set to 50°C for 10 minutes and warmed up by 5°C every minute up to 200°C; the target substances were injected with the carrier gas of helium at a flow rate of 1 mL/min at 150°C.

This procedure was replicated five times to obtain good reliability. The calibration curve was established at 0.5% level for formaldehyde and BTEX. The desorbing efficiency for target substances was maintained at the range of 85 to 115%.

**Clinical Examination**

Health evaluation was practiced for all of the participants with the measurement of vital capacity by peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) and the diagnosis of the symptom degree of asthma by QLQAKA before and after the observation terms as the early days of April and October in the first and the second experimental seasons in 2006 and 2007 (Figure 1).

All participants took a measurement of the PEFR using a peak flow meter (Clement Clarke Int., London, UK) twice a day (in the morning and in the evening) for seven days. PEFR could be applied to diagnose a person with an ordinary health condition as showing above 300 L/min or having asthma symptom as recording more than 20% decrease [35]. For a detailed diagnosis of the degree of asthma, QLQAKA, an asthma-specific questionnaire was applied to all of the participants as a regular form of a questionnaire with the advice of Korean Academy of Asthma, Allergy, and Clinical Immunology. The QLQAKA is constituted of 17 items in four domains dealing with activity, symptoms, emotion, and exposure to environmental stimuli. Participants answered each item with a five-point scale from the lowest degree (the severest symptom) being given one point to the best condition (the lightest or no symptom) with five points. An ordinary person showed a QLQAKA score of above 50 points and did not experience a decrease of more than six to nine points over six months [13,31].

**Statistical Analysis**

For all comparisons between groups in the present study, the Mann-Whitney test was applied with the probability level of 0.05 for significance and 0.01 for high significance.

**Results**

Although formaldehyde failed in performing various tendencies in its indoor concentration by indoor plant placement, BTEX succeeded in showing significant differences in their indoor concentrations according to placement. Formaldehyde followed a continual decrease in indoor concentrations with passing time during the entire experimental duration, regardless of indoor plant placement. The indoor concentration of formaldehyde decreased from 24.2 to 15.5 µg/m³ in the households of continuation and decreased from 29.7 to 13.6 µg/m³ in the households of withdrawal. On the other hand, the indoor concentrations of BTEX exhibited various tendencies by the meth-
ods of indoor plant placement. During the former observation term, all of the households showed significant decreases in the indoor concentrations of BTEX with passing time. During the latter observation term, the households of continuation maintained decreases in the indoor concentrations from 2.24 to 1.61 µg/m³ for benzene, from 62.02 to 19.27 µg/m³ for toluene, from 1.56 to 0.27 µg/m³ for ethylbenzene, and from 2.52 to 0.20 µg/m³ for xylene, but the households of withdrawal experienced various results in the indoor concentrations with increases from 2.03 to 9.76 µg/m³ for benzene, from 2.49 to 3.91 µg/m³ for ethylbenzene, and from 1.15 to 20.80 µg/m³ for xylene and a decrease from 59.28 to 43.64 µg/m³ for toluene (Table 3).

Although all the participants hardly recorded their PEFR as being above 500 L/min, which is the index for a healthy person, they kept their PEFR above 300 L/min, which is an index for a person with severe symptoms of asthma, regardless of the measurement time (in the morning or in the evening) during the entire experimental duration in both the first and the second experimental seasons. The variation in PEFR by measurement time indicated that the participants failed to show a certain tendency in April but succeeded in performing a regular trend in October, with higher values recorded in the evening than in the morning. In October, the participants recorded their PEFR as 405 L/min (1st) and 428 L/min (2nd) in the evening, and marked 416 L/min (1st) and 406 L/min (2nd) in the morning, and little ventilation without indoor plant placement and managed their indoor air temperature high during the preparatory term (from June to March). Former studies reported that VOCs were constantly emitted from the abundant pollution sources in indoor places [8], and that emission was facilitated by high air temperature [16]. Therefore, the high VOC concentrations at the measurement time just after the preparatory term (in April) seemed to be caused by the co-working of the various indoor factors, chiefly including little ventilation and high indoor air temperature.

After three months’ placement of indoor plants during the former observation term (from April to June), all of the households experienced a decrease in their VOC concentrations. This ten-

Table 3. Changes of chemical substance concentration in indoor air according to indoor plant placement (µg/m³)

| Indoor plant placement | Measurement time | Form-aldehyde | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylene |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------|---------|--------------|--------|
| Continuation           | April            | 24.2          | 6.35    | 79.05   | 3.56         | 13.43  |
|                        | July             | 21.2          | 2.24    | 62.02   | 1.56         | 2.52   |
|                        | October          | 15.5          | 1.61    | 19.27   | 0.27         | 0.20   |
| Withdrawal             | April            | 29.7          | 6.14    | 90.26   | 3.62         | 13.50  |
|                        | July             | 20.8          | 2.03    | 59.28   | 2.49         | 1.15   |
|                        | October          | 13.6          | 9.76    | 43.64   | 3.91         | 20.80  |

The -values were calculated by Mann-Whitney test.

Discussion

The present study used partially different plant species for installation in the houses. However, the National Institute of Horticultural & Herbal Science in South Korea recommends the kinds of houseplants in a list and their use in the 1st and 2nd experimental season. Some previous studies [24,34,35] have positively demonstrated that there was an induction of the metabolic VOC removal response in the potted-plant microcosm at TVOC levels to 100 ppb.

All of the households went through the indoor condition of little ventilation without indoor plant placement and managed their indoor air temperature high during the preparatory term (from June to March). Former studies reported that VOCs were constantly emitted from the abundant pollution sources in indoor places [8], and that emission was facilitated by high air temperature [16]. Therefore, the high VOC concentrations at the measurement time just after the preparatory term (in April) seemed to be caused by the co-working of the various indoor factors, chiefly including little ventilation and high indoor air temperature.

After three months’ placement of indoor plants during the former observation term (from April to June), all of the households experienced a decrease in their VOC concentrations. This ten-
dency continued in the latter observation term (from July to September) for the households of continuation. There were many reports that recommended indoor plant placement as an efficient method to decrease VOC concentrations in indoor place [2,17,23]. Additionally, many researchers proved that plants could facilitate the decomposition of VOC particles [24, 26]. Considering the above, it could be stated that indoor plant placement was largely responsible for the decrease of VOC con-
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**Figure 2.** Clinical examination (A) 1st experimental season ('06) and (B) 2nd experimental season ('07) on peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) and changes of PEFR according to indoor plant placement. Continuation, indoor plant placement during the entire season (April to September); Withdrawal, placement of indoor plants during the former term (April to June) and withdrawal during the latter term (July to September). NS, non-significance. *p*-value by Mann-Whitney test.
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**Figure 3.** Clinical examination (A) 1st experimental season ('06) and (B) 2nd experimental season ('07) by disease specific quality of life questionnaire for adult Korean asthmatics (QLQAKA). Continuation, indoor plant placement during the entire season (April to September); Withdrawal, placement of indoor plants during the former term (April to June) and withdrawal during the latter term (July to September). NS, non-significance.
centrations indoors.

In the latter observation term, the households of withdrawal performed increase again in the indoor concentrations of some VOC particles, but showed a continual decrease in those of other VOC particles. Park & Seong [26] observed that the indoor concentrations of air pollutants increased within two days of the removal of indoor plants. This observation could be applied to explain the change of indoor concentrations for benzene, ethylbenzene, and xylene, but could not be used to account for the variation in those for formaldehyde and toluene. Certain reports asserted that the indoor concentrations of some air pollutants were affected by various indoor factors especially by ventilation [24,36]. Hence, the continual decrease in indoor concentrations for certain VOC particles might be accepted as the result of ventilation.

Considering all of the above results, the indoor concentrations of VOCs might be controlled by various indoor conditions such as ventilation, air temperature, and indoor plant placement. Although indoor plant placement seemed to make little difference in the indoor concentrations for some particles of VOCs, the placement seemed to successfully control the indoor concentrations for certain VOC particles such as benzene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (Table 1).

Bringslimark et al. [37] suggested that the indoor environment is often not distinctly separate from the outdoor environment. The two most important issues are natural reduction and the presence of plants for VOCs. However, the occurrence and concentrations of HCHO and VOCs in homes can be affected by indoor sources, and HCHO and VOC levels indoor air were higher than those reported in previous studies [38,39], although the facilities, seasons and point of the studies were not the same. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the removal of HCHO and VOC by plants along in an indoor environment.

The PEFR value of asthmatics increased in the households of continuation but showed a decrease in the households of withdrawal in the first experimental season. This trend did not follow in the second experimental season. Concerning the results, Abbey et al. [12] reported that air pollution worsened the PEFR value and other researchers accounted that plants could help to improve air quality [40]. However, the present study found little significance in comparing the variations of QLQAKA scores by indoor plant placement. As seen in Figures 2 and 3, the present study recruited the participants as the asthmatics not with severe symptom but with slight symptoms. Therefore, the health condition of participants seemed to play a role in the result to a certain degree.

In this study, the potential limitations to the generalizability of findings can be identified with regard to asthma-patient persons in a real-world [38]. In addition, limits on generalizability may arise from other forms of inter-individual variability confounders (gender, outdoor activity and ventilation etc.). Also, since asthma-patients do not stay in one place while at home, this study could not perform individual exposure assessments using home, workplace and outdoor exposure.

The presence of indoor plants for asthma is very important in the scale of this study. However, such a limitation was significant, with inverse associations reported between asthma symptoms and house-plants. It thus seems impossible to avoid the psychological benefits of house-plants [38]. This study cannot determine causal relationships because it was cross-sectional with different participants over two years and multiple confounding variables (climate, diet, outdoor environment and different plant varieties).

Considering all the above results, it could be stated that indoor plant placement decreased the indoor concentrations of VOCs and changed the health condition of asthmatics. However, the health condition of asthmatics could additionally be affected by other environmental conditions such as the kinds of or amount of indoor plants being placed, the indoor air temperature, and the symptom degree of participants.
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