Phylogenomics of *Xanthomonas* field strains infecting pepper and tomato reveals diversity in effector repertoires and identifies determinants of host specificity
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Bacterial spot disease of pepper and tomato is caused by four distinct *Xanthomonas* species and is a severely limiting factor on fruit yield in these crops. The genetic diversity and the type III effector repertoires of a large sampling of field strains for this disease have yet to be explored on a genomic scale, limiting our understanding of pathogen evolution in an agricultural setting. Genomes of 67 *Xanthomonas euvesicatoria* (Xe), *Xanthomonas perforans* (Xp), and *Xanthomonas gardneri* (Xg) strains isolated from diseased pepper and tomato fields in the southeastern and midwestern United States were sequenced in order to determine the genetic diversity in field strains. Type III effector repertoires were computationally predicted for each strain, and multiple methods of constructing phylogenies were employed to understand better the genetic relationship of strains in the collection. A division in the Xp population was detected based on core genome phylogeny, supporting a model whereby the host-range expansion of Xp field strains on pepper is due, in part, to a loss of the effector AvrBsT. Xp-host compatibility was further studied with the observation that a double deletion of AvrBsT and XopQ allows a host range expansion for *Nicotiana benthamiana*. Extensive sampling of field strains and an improved understanding of effector content will aid in efforts to design disease resistance strategies targeted against highly conserved core effectors.

**Keywords:** *Xanthomonas*, type III effector repertoire, phylogenomics, host specificity, bacterial spot disease, AvrBsT, XopQ
Introduction

Species of *Xanthomonas* cause bacterial spot disease on cultivated pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) and tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) and are the most devastating to crops grown in warm, humid climates such as in the southeastern and midwestern United States (Obradovic et al., 2008). Once considered a single species, *Xanthomonas vesicatoria* infecting pepper and tomato has been reclassified several times (Stall et al., 1994; Vauterin et al., 1995; Jones and Stall, 2000), but was most recently separated into four distinct species: *X. euvesicatoria* (Xe), *X. vesicatoria* (Xv), *X. perforans* (Xp), and *X. gardneri* (Xg) (Jones et al., 2004). While Xe, Xg, and Xv infect both pepper and tomato, Xp has only been reported on tomato. Although the four pathogens are present and destructive on a global scale (Jones et al., 1998a; Timilsina et al., 2015), the history and distribution of Xe, Xp, and Xg has changed dramatically in the United States, particularly with the emergence of Xp as the dominant tomato pathogen over Xe in Florida beginning in the early 1990’s (Jones et al., 1998b; Tudor-Nelson et al., 2003; Hert et al., 2005; Stall et al., 2009; Horvath et al., 2012) and Xg as a major tomato pathogen in Ohio and Michigan beginning in 2009 (Ma et al., 2011). Outbreaks of Xv have not been reported in the United States (Timilsina et al., 2015).

Different phylogenetic analyses found a close evolutionary relationship between Xe and Xp in comparison to Xg and Xv (Young et al., 2008; Parkison et al., 2009; Almeida et al., 2010; Hamza et al., 2010; Midha and Patil, 2014). Comparative genomics of reference strains Xe85-10 (Thieme et al., 2005) with Xp91-118, Xg101, and Xv1111 (Potnis et al., 2011) provided the first insights into the shared and unique virulence factors of these pepper and tomato pathogens. A major factor contributing to the virulence and host specificity of these pathogens is the repertoire of effectors secreted into the host plant cell via the type III secretion system (Grant et al., 2006). Xanthomonads have evolved effectors with diverse mechanisms to promote virulence, even adopting processes specific to eukaryotes (Kay and Bonas, 2009). The recognition of specific effector proteins by specific cognate resistance (*R*) proteins lead to defensive responses that have been termed Effector Triggered Immunity (ETI), which is accompanied by localized cell death, associated tissue collapse known as the hypersensitive response (HR) at the site of infection, and limited spread of the pathogen (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Several type III effectors are conserved across multiple species and referred to here as core effectors. An additional variable set of effectors may provide specialization to specific hosts and cultivars (Hajri et al., 2009).

The deployment of *R* proteins in crops that can recognize and respond to core effectors is a potentially durable disease resistance strategy, depending on the evolutionary stability of the targeted cognate effector (Boyd et al., 2013). Because xanthomonads display relatively high genome plasticity, a more comprehensive understanding of the genetic diversity of pepper and tomato pathogens, with specific emphasis on effectors, is necessary for designing informed disease resistance strategies for agricultural areas afflicted by bacterial spot disease (Thieme et al., 2005; Potnis et al., 2011; Timilsina et al., 2015). A comparative genomic analysis considering many strains from a given geographic region over time will provide a representative view of the effectors present in the regional bacterial population and add insight into the evolutionary trends of effectors, and thus their potential usefulness as targets for *R*-gene mediated resistance strategies.

To this end we sequenced the genomes of 32 Xp, 25 Xe, and 10 Xg field strains that were collected from diseased peppers and tomatoes in the southeastern and midwestern United States. Here we describe the genetic diversity within and between species using core protein-coding genome phylogeny and whole genome single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis and present the computationally predicted type III effector repertoires of strains in our collection. The role played by the effectors AvrBsT and XopQ as host specificity determinants for Xp infecting peppers and *Nicotiana benthamiana* was also characterized.

Materials and Methods

**Xanthomonas Strain Collection**

XE, Xp, and Xg strains were collected from diseased tomatoes and peppers in the United States (Table 1). Xp strains were collected between 1998 and 2013 in Florida and Georgia. Xg strains were collected in Ohio and Michigan between 2010 and 2012. Xe strains were collected between 1994 and 2012 in Florida, North Carolina, Georgia, and Kentucky.

**Genome Sequencing and Effector Predictions**

Bacterial genome sequencing and effector prediction were completed as previously described (Bart et al., 2012). Briefly, genomic DNA was isolated with a modified CTAB protocol and prepared for library construction and sequencing on the Illumina platforms. Ten Xg libraries were pooled into a single lane of MiSeq (PE250). Xe and the Xp strains from 2006 were sequenced by multiplexing 48 libraries per lane on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer (PE100). The Xp strains from 2012 were sequenced by multiplexing 20 libraries per lane on an Illumina MiSeq (PE150). Genomic *de novo* assemblies were constructed using CLC Genomics Workbench using a length fraction of 0.9 and a similarity of 1.0. Potential effectors were identified by an in-house Python script utilizing BLAST against a database of known effectors, using a filter of greater than 45% amino acid similarity over 80% of the length of the target sequence (Bart et al., 2012).

**Phylogenomic Inference Using Core Protein-coding Genes**

All genomes sequenced in this study were annotated using the National Center for Biotechnology Information Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK174280). Ortholog families were determined using the GET_HOMOLOGUES package (Contreras-Moreira and Vinuesa, 2013), which includes a step of all-against-all BlastP (Altschul et al., 1997) followed by clustering based on OrthoMCL to yield homologous gene clusters (Li et al., 2003). This result was filtered using compare_cluster.pl (a script in the GET_HOMOLOGUES package) with option “-t n,” where *n* is the number of genomes, keeping only the gene families that have
## TABLE 1 | Summary of *Xanthomonas* field strains sequenced in this paper.

| Species          | Strain name    | Origin in US | Host isolated | Year isolated | Isolation ID | Collector |
|------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| *X. euvesicatoria* | Xe073          | North Carolina | P             | 1994          | 181          | DR        |
|                  | Xe074          | Raleigh, NC   | P             | 1994          | 199          | DR        |
|                  | Xe075          | Southwest FL  | P             | 1995          | 206          | DR        |
|                  | Xe076          | Naples, FL    | P             | 1995          | 259          | DR        |
|                  | Xe077          | Kentucky      | P             | 1996          | 315          | DR        |
|                  | Xe078          | Clewiston, FL | P             | 1997          | 329          | DR        |
|                  | Xe079          | Jupiter, FL   | P             | 1998          | 354          | DR        |
|                  | Xe081          | Ft. Pierce, FL| P             | 1995          | 376          | DR        |
|                  | Xe082          | Southeast FL  | P             | 1998          | 455          | DR        |
|                  | Xe083          | Belle Glade, FL| P             | 1999          | 490          | DR        |
|                  | Xe085          | Boynton Beach, FL | P        | 1999          | 515          | DR        |
|                  | Xe086          | Delray Beach, FL | P         | 2000          | 526          | DR        |
|                  | Xe091          | Boca Raton, FL| P             | 2003          | 586          | DR        |
|                  | Xe101          | Sampson Co., NC| P              | 2008          | 678          | DR        |
|                  | Xe102          | Manatee, FL   | P             | 2008          | 679          | DR        |
|                  | Xe103          | Pender Co., NC| P             | 2009          | 681          | DR        |
|                  | Xe104          | Sampson Co., NC| P           | 2010          | 683          | DR        |
|                  | Xe105          | Granville, NC | P             | 2010          | 684          | DR        |
|                  | Xe106          | Granville, NC | P             | 2010          | 685          | DR        |
|                  | Xe107          | Granville, NC | P             | 2011          | 689          | DR        |
|                  | Xe108          | Pender Co., NC| P             | 2012          | 695          | DR        |
|                  | Xe109          | Cook Co., GA  | P             | 2004          | F4-2         | DR        |
|                  | Xe110          | Tift Co., GA  | P             | 2004          | G4-1         | DR        |
|                  | Xe111          | Colquittie Co., GA | P        | 2004          | H3-2         | DR        |
|                  | Xe112          | Brooks Co., GA| P             | 2004          | L3-2         | DR        |
| *X. perforans*    | Xp4B           | Citra, FL     | T             | 1998          | Xp4B         | JJ        |
|                  | Xp2010         | Hendry County, FL | P        | 2010          | Xp2010       | JJ        |
|                  | TB6            | Hillsborough, FL| T             | 2013          | TB6          | JJ        |
|                  | TB9            | Hillsborough, FL| T             | 2013          | TB9          | JJ        |
|                  | TB15           | Hillsborough, FL| T             | 2013          | TB15         | JJ        |
|                  | Xp3-15         | Decatur Co., GA| T             | 2006          | Xp3-15       | JJ        |
|                  | Xp4-20         | Decatur Co., GA| T             | 2006          | Xp4-20       | JJ        |
|                  | Xp5-6          | Decatur Co., GA| T             | 2006          | Xp5-6        | JJ        |
|                  | Xp7-12         | Manatee Co., FL| T             | 2006          | Xp7-12       | JJ        |
|                  | Xp8-16         | Manatee Co., FL| T             | 2006          | Xp8-16       | JJ        |
|                  | Xp9-5          | Manatee Co., FL| T             | 2006          | Xp9-5        | JJ        |
|                  | Xp10-13        | Manatee Co., FL| T             | 2006          | Xp10-13      | JJ        |
|                  | Xp11-2         | Palm Beach Co., FL| T        | 2006          | Xp11-2       | JJ        |
|                  | Xp15-11        | Miami-Dade Co., FL| T        | 2006          | Xp15-11      | JJ        |
|                  | Xp17-12        | Collier Co., FL| T             | 2006          | Xp17-12      | JJ        |
|                  | Xp18-15        | Collier Co., FL| T             | 2006          | Xp18-15      | JJ        |
|                  | GEV839         | Hardee Co., FL| T             | 2012          | GEV839       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV872         | Immokalee, FL | T             | 2012          | GEV872       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV893         | Collier Co.   | T             | 2012          | GEV893       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV904         | Hillsborough, FL| T             | 2012          | GEV904       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV909         | Collier Co.   | T             | 2012          | GEV909       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV915         | Hillsborough, FL| T             | 2012          | GEV915       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV917         | Hillsborough, FL| T             | 2012          | GEV917       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV936         | Lee, FL       | T             | 2012          | GEV936       | JJ        |
|                  | GEV940         | GCREC, FL     | T             | 2012          | GEV940       | JJ        |
TABLE 1 | Continued

| Species | Strain name | Origin in US | Host isolated | Year isolated | Isolation ID | Collector |
|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| GEV968  | Manatee Co., FL | T | 2012 | GEV968 | JJ |
| GEV993  | Hendry Co., FL | T | 2012 | GEV993 | JJ |
| GEV1001 | Quincy, FL | T | 2012 | GEV1001 | JJ |
| GEV1026 | West Coast, FL | T | 2012 | GEV1026 | JJ |
| GEV1044 | Collier Co., FL | T | 2012 | GEV1044 | JJ |
| GEV1054 | Manatee Co., FL | T | 2012 | GEV1054 | JJ |
| GEV1063 | Collier Co., FL | T | 2012 | GEV1063 | JJ |
| X. gardneri | Xg153 | Gibsonburg, OH | T | 2010 | SM194-10 | SM |
| Xg156   | Blissfield, MI | T | 2010 | SM177-10 | SM |
| Xg157   | Blissfield, MI | T | 2010 | SM182-10 | SM |
| Xg159   | Blissfield, MI | T | 2010 | SM220-10 | SM |
| Xg160   | Ottawa, OH | T | 2011 | SM234-10 | SM |
| Xg164   | Ottawa, OH | T | 2011 | SM406-11 | SM |
| Xg165   | Ottawa, OH | T | 2011 | SM413-11 | SM |
| Xg173   | Unknown, OH | T | 2011 | SM605-11 | SM |
| Xg174   | Wayne Co., OH | T | 2012 | SM775-12 | SM |
| Xg177   | Sandusky Co., OH | T | 2012 | SM795-12 | SM |

Accuracy checking of each individual gene alignment (using nucleotide sequences) was performed by Guidance (Penn et al., 2010) using the Mafft algorithm (Katoh et al., 2002) anchored by codons with default options, followed by the removal of low-accuracy alignment sites. All edited alignments were concatenated by FASconCAT yielding a nucleotide supermatrix (Kück and Meusemann, 2010). The best partitioning scheme and evolutionary model for each partition were calculated by PartitionFinder (Lanfear et al., 2012), which tests all available models under the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) selection procedure (Lanfear et al., 2014). Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis for phylogeny construction was performed using IQTree v.1.1.5 assuming the best partitioning and respective models according to the previous step (Nguyen et al., 2015). A total of 1000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates were performed to assess clade support. Additional taxa included to strengthen the confidence in the phylogenetic relationships are as follows: Xanthomonas fragariae (XfrLMG25863, RefSeq PRJNA80793: Vanderoemme et al., 2013), Xanthomonas arboricola pv. corylina (XacNCCB100457, RefSeq PRJNA193452: Ibarra Caballero et al., 2013), Xanthomonas campestris pv. musacearum (XcmNCPBP4384, RefSeq PRJNA73881: Wasukira et al., 2012), Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citruloe F1 (Xac306, NC_003919: da Silva et al., 2002) using bowtie2 version 2.1.0 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). The Best Practices guidelines of the Broad Institute for variant calling were followed (https://www.broadinstitute.org/gatk/guide/best-practices). MarkDuplicates from Picard Tools version 1.118 was used to mark duplicate reads. RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner from GenomeAnalysisToolKit (GATK) version 3.3-0 were used to verify reads were aligned properly (McKenna et al., 2010). HaplotypeCaller from GATK was used to discover variants. SNPs were concatenated as previously described (Bart et al., 2012). A ML phylogenetic tree with bootstrap values was created using RAxML version 8.0 (Stamatakis, 2014).

Whole Genome SNP Analysis
Illumina reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic version 0.32 (Bolger et al., 2014) and were then mapped to the reference genome Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri strain 306 (Xac306, NC_003919: da Silva et al., 2002) using bowtie2 version 2.1.0 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). The Best Practices guidelines of the Broad Institute for variant calling were followed (https://www.broadinstitute.org/gatk/guide/best-practices). MarkDuplicates from Picard Tools version 1.118 was used to mark duplicate reads. RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner from GenomeAnalysisToolKit (GATK) version 3.3-0 were used to verify reads were aligned properly (McKenna et al., 2010). HaplotypeCaller from GATK was used to discover variants. SNPs were concatenated as previously described (Bart et al., 2012). A ML phylogenetic tree with bootstrap values was created using RAxML version 8.0 (Stamatakis, 2014).

Effector Allele Analysis
Effectors were compared within each species at the amino acid sequence level for Xp and the nucleotide level for Xe and Xg, and each distinct allele was assigned a number. Neighbor-joining trees were constructed to visualize differences in effector profiles among strains in each species. Simple genetic distances among strains in their effector profiles were calculated for all pairwise comparisons within each species, such that a difference at one effector between two strains equaled a distance of 1.0 and a difference at five effectors equaled a distance of 5.0. Xp calculations included an outgroup profile from Xe85-10. Distance was calculated using GenAlEx 6.501. Distance matrices were exported to MEGA format and trees were constructed in MEGA 6.06 (Tamura et al., 2013).
Confirmation of the TAL Effector AvrHah1 in Xg

Xg strains were infiltrated into pepper cv. ECW30R at OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.3 in order to determine if activation of the Bs3 resistance gene occurs in response to AvrHah1. Negative and positive controls for AvrHah1 in Xg are strain 1782 and 047T5, respectively (Schornack et al., 2008). Pictures were taken 48 h post-infiltration (hpi). For Southern blot analysis, 5 µg of Xg DNA (extracted as described above) was restriction digested for 2 h with BamHI and run on a 0.7% agarose gel. DNA was transferred overnight to a Hybond-N+ membrane and hybridized overnight with a P<sup>32</sup>-labeled probe for the first 705bp of AvrHah1. The size of the predicted BamHI-digested AvrHah1 fragment is 2964bp.

Effectors Deletion

Insertion mutants in Xp strains (ΔavrBsT) were constructed using site-directed homologous recombination of a partial fragment linked to a gene for antibiotic resistance. Intragenetic partial fragments (approximately 500 bp) of each targeted gene were PCR amplified and cloned into the pCR2.1 TOPO-vector using the TA cloning method (Invitrogen). Positive clones were confirmed by Sanger sequencing. The plasmids were introduced into competent cells of Xp recipient strains by electroporation, and transformed cells were selected for kanamycin resistance (kan<sup>R</sup>). Single homologous recombination events (due to the integration of the TOPO plasmid containing a portion of the respective gene) disrupted the gene of interest (Sugio et al., 2005). Mutations were confirmed by PCR using a primer flanking the upstream region of the targeted gene and the M13 Forward primer (pCR2.1 TOPO internal primer), followed by Sanger sequencing.

Whole gene knockout strains Xe85-10 ΔXopQ, Xg153 ΔhrcV, Xp4B ΔAvrBsT, and Xp4B ΔXopQ ΔAvrBsT were constructed using the suicide vector pLVC18 containing the contiguous 1kb upstream and 1kb downstream fragments flanking the targeted gene (Lindgren et al., 1986). Double homologous recombination events resulting in markerless deletions were confirmed by PCR or Southern blot. Gene deletions were complemented back by events resulting in markerless deletions were confirmed by PCR and Sanger sequencing.

Results

Genome Submission

Draft genome sequences of 32 Xp, 25 Xe and 10 Xg field strains, respectively, from diseased peppers and tomatoes in the United States were obtained by Illumina sequencing (Table 1). Genome assembly statistics for each strain and average de novo assembly statistics for Xe, Xp, and Xg are presented in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Draft genome sequences have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology (Supplemental Table 1).

Core Genome Phylogenetic Analysis Identifies a Division in the Xp Population

The core genome for all three species was identified by sequence similarity, yielding 1152 protein-coding gene families, of which 1017 were considered bona fide orthologs; 135 families were discarded as spurious alignments by the program Guidance. The 1017 families were concatenated, yielding a supermatrix of 916,326 sites. The best partitioning scheme chosen was by codon position in which first, second and third positions are set as separated partitions. The best evolutionary models for each partition were respectively GTR+I+G for the first and second partitions, and TVM+I+G for the third partition.

The Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogeny based on core genome orthologs displays Xe, Xp, and Xg behaving as separate monophyletic groups (Figure 1). Our results mirrored previous studies, Xe and Xp being closely related, and Xg more distant phylogenetically, with all three species forming monophyletic groups. For Xp strains, this analysis showed a division, which we define here as Group 1—further divided into Group 1A and 1B—and Group 2. Group 1A comprises 11 strains (out of 16) from 2012 that form a monophyletic clade (branches in purple). Other strains belonging to Group 1 are defined here as Group 1B (branches in orange), which includes the reference strain Xp91-118, Xp4B (isolated in 1998), and six strains isolated in 2006. Group 1B does not contain any strains isolated in 2012. We define 14 strains as Group 2 (branches in green) which includes five strains from 2006, the single strain from 2010, five strains from 2012, and all three 2013 strains.

Whole Genome SNP Analysis Resolves Genetic Differences among Closely Related Strains

A total of 225,284 SNPs were identified between the Xe, Xg and Xp genomes compared to the reference Xac306, ranging from 22,105 (Xg164) to 142,272 (GEV1063) (Supplemental Table 3). Average SNPs (± standard deviations) between Xac306 and Xe, Xp and Xg field strains are 128,376 ± 3024, 136,673 ± 3402, and 30,462 ± 8015, respectively. Although the majority of Xp strains carry more SNPs between Xac306 than Xe strains, two Xp field strains (TB6 and TB9) show a number of SNPs within the Xe range. SNPs were concatenated and used to build a combined species ML tree (Figure 2). We note that differences in sequencing technology used, genome coverage and large deletions or insertions could potentially skew this analysis and therefore conclusions about branch length between the different species should be avoided. The Xp Group 1A clade is retained in

Inoculation Conditions

Xanthomonas strains were grown on nutrient yeast glycerol agar (NYGA) supplemented, as appropriate, with 100 µg/ml rifampicin (wild type and deletion strains) and 25 µg/ml kanamycin. Strains were incubated at 28°C for 48–72 h. Cells were washed from agar plates with 10mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and the concentration was adjusted as necessary. For growth assays, leaves were syringe-infiltrated with bacterial suspensions of 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml. For virulence scoring, leaves were syringe infiltrated at 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/mL and pictures were taken 48 h post-infiltration (hpi) after submerging leaves in water for 10 min to enhance any water-soaked phenotypes. For lesions assays, leaves were syringe infiltrated at 10<sup>4</sup> CFU/mL and pictures were taken 8–10 days post-infiltration (dpi) after submerging leaves in water for 10 min.

Whole Genome SNP Analysis Resolves Genetic Differences among Closely Related Strains

A total of 225,284 SNPs were identified between the Xe, Xg and Xp genomes compared to the reference Xac306, ranging from 22,105 (Xg164) to 142,272 (GEV1063) (Supplemental Table 3). Average SNPs (± standard deviations) between Xac306 and Xe, Xp and Xg field strains are 128,376 ± 3024, 136,673 ± 3402, and 30,462 ± 8015, respectively. Although the majority of Xp strains carry more SNPs between Xac306 than Xe strains, two Xp field strains (TB6 and TB9) show a number of SNPs within the Xe range. SNPs were concatenated and used to build a combined species ML tree (Figure 2). We note that differences in sequencing technology used, genome coverage and large deletions or insertions could potentially skew this analysis and therefore conclusions about branch length between the different species should be avoided. The Xp Group 1A clade is retained in
FIGURE 1 | Core genome phylogenetic analysis. Phylogenetic trees obtained by ML (IQTree) analysis, based on partitioned analysis (by codon position) of a total of 916,326 sites (1017 genes families). Branch support values are shown for each tree, consisting of relative bootstrap proportions. Brackets to indicate Xp group designations are colored as follows: Group 1A, purple; Group 1B, orange; Group 2, green.
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the ML SNP phylogeny (branches marked in purple). However, Group 2 (green branches) is interrupted by Group 1B strains (orange branches).

Effector Predictions For Xanthomonas Field Strains Identifies Differences in Effector Content Compared to Reference Genomes

Type III effector repertoires from Xe, Xp, and Xg field strains were compared to the appropriate reference strains Xe85-10, Xp91-118, and Xg101 in order to determine if effector repertoires differed between strains with respect to the presence or absence of whole effectors, mutations rendering effectors inactive, or alternate alleles of effectors (Thieme et al., 2005; Potnis et al., 2011).

**Xe**

Several differences were found in the effector content of Xe field strains compared to the reference Xe85-10 (Supplemental Table 4). Firstly, Xe85-10 does not have the effector XopAE, which is a translational fusion of the hrp cluster members hpg and hpaf as seen in Xp91-118 (Potnis et al., 2011). Similar to Xe85-10, field strains isolated before 1997 have separate hpg and hpaf genes, whereas Xe field strains isolated after 1997 possess the predicted hpghpaf translational fusion XopAE. Secondly, strains collected after 1997 possess a XopAF-like effector. The effector has 31% amino acid identity to XopAF of Xp91-118, 80% amino acid identity to X. fuscans XopAF (WP_022560489.1) and is identical to an effector of X. citri pv. citri (WP_015472934.1) except for an in-frame internal 12 amino acid deletion. Similarly,
Sequencing reads were mapped to Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. citri (Xac) reference number NC_003919 and bootstrap values are displayed. Scale bar corresponds to the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. Branches for Xp strains are colored to indicate group designations as in Figure 1: Group 1A, purple; Group 1B, orange; Group 2, green.

The Xe strains isolated after 1997 possess XopE3, which shares 97% amino acid identity with XopE3 from X. arboricola pv. pruni (WP_014125894.1). All field strains of Xe but one lack XopG, which is carried by the reference strain Xe85-10. A predicted protein-tyrosine phosphatase (abbreviated PTP) was detected in Xe075 that is not present in any other Xe strains. Twelve effectors present in all Xe strains isolated between 1985 and 2012 have no nucleotide polymorphisms (Table 2). Xe field strains in our collection isolated after 1997 did not contain polymorphisms in xopAA, xopF1, xopN, and xopO. Except for Xe85-10 and Xe075, all Xe strains have identical sequences for effectors xopAl, xopQ and xopV.

The neighbor-joining tree of the effector alleles displays a grouping of the seven Xe strains isolated before 1997, and a clade of 11 strains with nearly identical allele profiles isolated from 2004 to 2012 (Figure 3B). Although Xe111 and Xe112 group with the clade of 11 strains and were isolated in Georgia in 2004, two other Georgia 2004 strains, Xe109 and Xe110, are separated from this clade due to differences in avrBs2, xopE2, and xopO. Interestingly, Xe082 was isolated in 1998 but has an effector allele
### TABLE 2 | Xanthomonas euvesicatoria nucleotide type III effector alleles.

| Type III Effector | Year | 1985 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2003 | 2004 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                  | State |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|                  |       | NC 10| NC 73| Xe974| Xe975| Xe976| Xe977| Xe978| Xe979| Xe980| Xe981| Xe982| Xe983| Xe984| Xe985| Xe986| Xe987|
| A v r B s 2      | 4     | 7    | 7    | 7    | 7    | 4    | 7    | 3    | 1    | 2    | 6    | 5    | 6    | 5    | 1    | 2    | 2    |
| XopA            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopAA           | 1     | 2    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |
| XopAD           | 1     | 2    | 2    | 1    | 3    | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopAE           | 0     | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopAF-like      | 0     | 1    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopAl           | 1     | 2    | 2    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |
| XopAK           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopB            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopC1           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopD            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopE1           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopE2           | 1     | 4    | 3    | 1    | 1    | 3    | 1    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |
| XopE3           | 0     | 1    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopF1           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |
| XopF2           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopF3           | 0     | 1    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopG            | 1     | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |
| XopI            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopJ1           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopJ3           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopK            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopL            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopN            | 1     | 2    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopO            | 1     | 2    | 2    | zCTG | 1T   | 1T   | 1T   | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |
| XopP            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopQ            | 1     | 2    | 2    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 3    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |
| XopR            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopV            | 1     | 2    | 2    | 1    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 3    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    | 2    |
| XopX            | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| XopZ1           | 1     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| PTP             | 0     | 0    | 0    | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0    |

Each distinct nucleotide allele was assigned an arbitrary number. The number 0 indicates the effector is missing from genomic assemblies. Effector PTP, protein tyrosine phosphatase. Superscripts are as follows: T, truncation; CTG, contig break in assembly unable to be confirmed via Sanger Sequencing.
FIGURE 3 | Neighbor-joining trees of effector allele profiles.

Neighbor-joining trees for Xp (A), Xe (B), and Xg (C) field strains were constructed using nucleotide (Xe and Xg) and amino acid (Xp) pairwise allele differences between strains. Effector allele designations can be found in Tables 2–4. A difference at one effector between two strains equals a distance of 1.0.

Profile similar to the 11-member clade made up of strains isolated between 2004 and 2012.

**Xp**

A shift in pathogen populations from tomato race 3 to tomato race 4 has been observed in Florida (Horvath et al., 2012). All the strains sequenced here (with isolation years spanning from 1998 to 2013 in Florida) are tomato race 4 strains and contain null mutations in the xopAF/avrXv3 gene of the reference strain Xp91-118 (Supplemental Table 5). All strains possess XopJ4/AvrXv4 with the exception of the pepper strain Xp2010. Another effector, AvrBsT, which has been associated with hypersensitive response (HR) on pepper (Minsavage et al., 1990), has not been previously reported in Xp. Xp4B, which was isolated in 1998, has AvrBst and is non-pathogenic on pepper (Supplemental Figures 2, 3). AvrBst is also present in nine strains (out of 11) that were isolated in 2006, in all 16 strains collected in 2012, and in one of the three strains collected in 2013. Interestingly, strain Xp17-12 (isolated in 2006) contains two effectors, XopF2 and XopV, that have sequences identical to the corresponding Xe85-10 effector sequence (Table 3). Effectors XopD and XopAD exhibit different alleles in the strains isolated in 2012. All strains have XopE2, which was absent in the reference strain Xp91-118. XopE2 is also present in all Xe and Xg field and reference strains. A subset of the Xp 2006 population have XopE4, which had been reported only in X. fuscans pv. aurantifolii (Moreira et al., 2010). However, XopE4 is not present in any strains from 2010, 2012, or 2013. Interestingly, strains belonging to Xp Group 2 possess a XopQ identical to the allele from Xe85-10. The neighbor-joining tree based on effector alleles shows the conservation of Group 1A, but Group 1B and Group 2 strains were intertwined (Figure 3A).

**Xg**

The collection of Xg field isolates spans 3 years and covers two states (Ohio and Michigan). Effector predictions in Xg field strains from this period revealed the presence of four potential effectors that are not present in the reference strain Xg101, which was isolated in the southeastern Europe in 1953 (Supplemental Table 6). Xg field strains possess a XopJ1 that is identical to...
TABLE 3 | Xanthomonas perforans amino acid type III effector alleles.

| Type III effector | Year | 1991 | 1998 | 2006 | 2010 | 2012 | 2013 | 1985 |
|-------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| State             | F    | F    | G    | G    | F    | F    | F    | F    |
| Strain            | Xp91-118 | Xp4B-15 | Xp4-20 | Xp7-16 | Xp10-13 | Xp11-2 | Xp17-12 | Xp18-15 |
| AvrBs2            | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopA              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopAP             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopAR             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopC2             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopE1             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopF1             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopF2             | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Xopi              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopJ              | 1 1 | 2 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 0 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopK              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopL              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopN              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopQ              | 1 1 | 2 1 | 2 2 | 2 1 | 1 1 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 |
| XopR              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopV              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopX              | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 2 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopAK             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopD              | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 |
| XopAD             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopE2             | 0 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 |
| XopE4             | 0 0 | 0 1 | 0 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| AvrBsT            | 0 1 | 0 1 | 0 2 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| XopP1             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 0 3 | 4 0 | 0 5 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopP2             | 2 5 | 17 | 2 4 | 8 6 | 9 0 | 3 1 | 1 1 | 18 |
| XopP3             | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 1 | 1 1 | 0 2 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |
| XopZ1             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopAE             | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 |
| XopAF             | 1 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 |

Each distinct amino acid allele was assigned an arbitrary number. The number 0 indicates the effector is missing from genomic assemblies. AvrBsT and XopP were not used to make the Neighbor-joining effector allele trees for Xp in Figure 3A. Asterisk (*) indicates newly identified Xp pepper pathogens.
the allele in Xe85-10 and a type III effector protein (T3EP) that has 78% amino acid identity to a predicted *Ralstonia* peptidase effector (WP_014619440.1). A predicted effector of the Xg strains shares 65% amino acid similarity to a *X. campesstris pv. campesstris* PTP type III effector (WP_011345706.1). Two copies of XopE2 are present in 7 out of 10 Xg field strains, while the remaining three and the reference strain Xg101 have only one XopE2. Two field strains carry the effector AvrBs7 (Potnis et al., 2012). Because the repetitive nature of TAL effector genes renders them difficult to assemble from short reads, Southern blot analysis was used to identify potential family members (Supplemental Figure 3A).

In addition, the ability of each strain to induce a HR on pepper cv. ECW30R, which contains the cognate R gene Bs3 to the TAL effector AvrHah1 was tested (Supplemental Figure 3B). All field strains of Xg contained a single TAL effector, an apparent AvrHah1, on the basis of band size and activity.

Although the Xg strains were isolated within a 3-year period, only three Xg field strains (Xg164, Xg165, and Xg167) have identical effector allele profiles at the nucleotide level (Figure 3C). Three effectors are highly polymorphic: the *avrBs1*-class effector, of which three alleles were detected, and the two *xopE2* effectors, of which five and three alleles were detected (Table 4). Two alleles of *xopAD* are present at equal frequencies in the Xg field strains, with both alleles present in field strains isolated in the same year in the same state (e.g., Xg165 and Xg173, Ohio 2011) and in the same year in different states (e.g., Xg153 and Xg156, Ohio and Michigan, respectively, 2010).

**Common Effectors between Species**

Effector predictions of the field strains has identified two new common putative effectors to add to the previously described list of 11 effectors shared between *Xc*, *Xp*, and *Xg* (Potnis et al., 2011). XopE2 was identified in all Xp field strains and, while not in the reference Xp91-118, should, therefore, be considered a commonly shared effector with *Xc* and *Xg*. The identification of AvrBsT in the majority of Xp field strains and an identical copy of Xc XopJ1 in Xg field strains indicates the presence of a more broadly defined YopJ-family effector to the commonly shared effector list.

**Association of AvrBsT presence or Absence in Host Range Expansion of Xp on Pepper**

*Xp* has previously been considered restricted to tomato as a host. In 2010, we isolated a strain from a greenhouse-grown diseased pepper plant. This strain was confirmed as *X. perforans* based on 16S rRNA sequencing and multi locus sequence analysis (MLSA) (Timilsina et al., 2015), and is designated here as strain Xp2010. Xp2010 does not induce a hypersensitive response (HR) on pepper cv. Early CalWonder (ECW) and is able to create foliar disease lesions (Supplemental Figure 1). Effector predictions for Xp2010 indicated that the absence of AvrBsT, which induces HR on pepper (Kim et al., 2010), may be responsible for its pepper host expansion. We were curious to see if other *Xp* strains in our collection displayed host expansions to pepper similar to Xp2010 and if this could be explained solely by the absence of AvrBsT. We used PCR to confirm the effector prediction results for the presence or absence of AvrBsT in the Xp field strains and inoculated pepper cv. ECW with a high inoculum (10^8 CFU/ml) to determine which strains induce HR (Supplemental Table 7). We confirmed that four additional field strains, Xp5-6, Xp17-12, TB9, and TB15 do not possess AvrBsT and also fail to induce HR. Xp17-12, TB9, and TB15 but not Xp5-6 are able to cause disease lesions on pepper cv. ECW when infiltrated at a low inoculum (10^4 CFU/ml) (Supplemental Figure 7), indicating that additional factors restrict the host range of Xp5-6 on pepper.

Three of the newly identified pepper pathogens (Xp2010, TB9, and TB15) belong to Group 2. We observed no HR but differences in pathogenicity and lesion development for the two Group 1B strains that lack AvrBsT (Xp5-6 and Xp17-12). Strain Xp5-6 showed a phenotype similar to Xp91-118ΔavrXv3, which is unable to cause lesions on pepper (Supplemental Figure 1). We hypothesized that Group 2 strains carrying mutations in AvrBsT would exhibit *in planta* growth and virulence similar to that of virulent strains from pepper in our collection. At the same time, strains belonging to Group 1 and carrying mutations in AvrBsT would be non-pathogenic on pepper, similar to Xp91-118ΔavrXv3 (Astua-Monge et al., 2000). To test this hypothesis, AvrBsT insertion mutants were introduced into two Group 2 strains, GEV839 and GEV1001, and two Group 1A strains, GEV872 and GEV909. Indeed, XpGEV839ΔavrBsT and XpGEV1001ΔavrBsT from Group 2 lose ability to elicit HR in pepper and are virulent similar to TB15 (Figure 4). *In planta* population levels for these two mutants were not significantly different from TB15 at Days 4 and 8 post-infiltration, indicating that AvrBsT is the lone factor restricting these two strains on pepper. Also as predicted, insertion mutants of *avrBsT* in Group 1A strains GEV872 and GEV909 lose the ability to induce HR on pepper but do not grow to the same extent as TB15. *In planta* populations of XpGEV872ΔavrBsT and XpGEV909ΔavrBsT were 100-fold higher compared to 91-118ΔavrXv3 but 20–50-fold lower compared to pepper pathogens XpGEV839ΔavrBsT, XpGEV1001ΔavrBsT and TB15, indicating the existence of additional factors restricting the virulence of Group 1A strains on pepper.

**Loss of XopQ and AvrBsT Expands the Host Range of Xp to Nicotiana benthamiana**

Members of both the XopQ and AvrBsT effector families are known to induce a HR in *N. benthamiana* (Wei et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2010). Family members of XopQ occur in *Xc*, *Xp*, and *Xg*. It has previously been shown that a *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* DC3000 mutant deficient for the XopQ homolog HopQ1-1 causes disease in *N. benthamiana* (Wei et al., 2007). Xe85-10 is not pathogenic on *N. benthamiana*, causing a weak HR (Figure 5, Xe85-10ΔXopQ). A deletion of XopQ in strain Xe85-10 results in a strain that causes water soaking, disease lesions, and grows to a higher titer after 6 days on *N. benthamiana* (Figure 5, Xe85-10ΔXopQ). Complementation of the deletion with plasmid pVSP61 carrying the Xe85-10 allele of XopQ restored the original phenotype of low virulence and enhanced the HR phenotype of the complemented strain (Figure 5, Xe85-10ΔXopQ cXopQ).
### TABLE 4 | Xanthomonas gardneri nucleotide type III effector alleles.

| Strain  | 1953 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|---------|------|------|------|------|
| Xg101   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg153   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg156   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg157   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg159   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg160   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg164   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg165   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg173   | O    | O    | O    | O    |
| Xg174   | O    | O    | O    | O    |

Each distinct nucleotide allele was assigned an arbitrary number. The number 0 indicates the effector is missing from genomic assemblies. PTP, protein tyrosine phosphatase; T3EP, type III effector protein. The TAL effector AvrHah1 could not be assembled (na, not assembled). Superscripts are as follows: CTG, contig break in assembly unable to be confirmed via Sanger Sequencing; FS, a frame shift mutation.

All Xp field strains contain XopQ and the majority of Xp strains contain AvrBsT. Therefore, Xp derivative strains in Xp4B were constructed with single gene deletions of XopQ and AvrBsT, and deletions of both XopQ and AvrBsT. Single knockouts for XopQ and AvrBsT in Xp4B remained incompatible on N. benthamiana (HR, low growth, no lesions, Figure 5), although Xp4BΔAvrBsT experienced reduced growth compared to Xp4B and Xp4BΔXopQ that was complemented back by the addition of AvrBsT. The double effector deletion mutant Xp4BΔXopQΔAvrBsT gave disease lesions at a low inoculum, showed water soaking at a high inoculum, and grew to levels comparable with Xe85-10ΔXopQ and Xg153 after 6 days on N. benthamiana (Figure 5). Consistent with the low virulence gain on pepper by Group 1A AvrBsT mutants, Xp4BΔAvrBsT was able to induce weak lesions on pepper cv. ECW (Supplemental Figure 1), but did not grow to comparable population levels of pepper pathogens Xe85-10 or Xg153 (Supplemental Figure 2).

### Discussion

The population dynamics of Xanthomonas-infecting pepper and tomato has shifted in the United States over the past 25 years. Prior to 1991, Xe was the prevalent species and the only species in tomato fields in Florida. Xp tomato race 3 was identified first in 1991 and eventually replaced the Xe population in tomato fields, a process attributed to the ability of Xp race 3 to produce bacteriocins against Xe strains (Tudor-Nelson et al., 2003; Hert et al., 2005). Xp4B, a tomato race 4 strain identified in 1998, carries a mutation in the avrXv3 gene. Field surveys thereafter in 2006 and 2012 recovered a majority of race 4 strains carrying either frameshift mutations or transposon insertions in avrXv3 (Horvath et al., 2012). The first reports of Xg in the United States occurred in Ohio and Michigan during a bacterial spot outbreak on tomato in 2009 (Ma et al., 2011).

Here, we sequenced Xe, Xp, and Xg strains isolated in different years, from different fields/transplant houses.
FIGURE 4 | Role of avrBsT as host range determinant on pepper cv. Early CalWonder. In planta growth of *X. perforans* strains and avrBsT insertion mutants was measured at different time points (days 0, 4, and 8) after infiltration of leaves of pepper cv. Early CalWonder (ECW) using an inoculum concentration of $10^5$ CFU/ml. Group designations are marked in white over Day 8 growth.

throughout southeastern and midwestern United States. We have also sequenced strains collected during the same season from the same field. Following typical population genomic studies, we have taken three components into consideration; location, time and niche (Monteil et al., 2013). Combining genomic data with metadata such as plant host source, year and location of isolation provides inference of population structure and clues to host adaptation. We have computationally predicted the type III
effector repertoires for each strain, and have used two different methods in order to infer evolutionary relationships of strains based on whole genome data. Phylogeny based on the core genome considers orthologues genes among the set of genomes considered. Phylogeny based on whole genome SNPs included core as well as variable regions of the genome, and thus provides an additional method to describe the genetic diversity within field strains. Phenotypic data, in particular, host range, was then correlated with the whole genome phylogenies.

MLSA studies showed the presence of two distinct groups of Xp populations that appeared to be clonal within the lineage (Timilsina et al., 2015). However, these studies were based on 6 genes out of 5000 genes. In our study, core orthologous gene phylogeny also revealed two distinct groups among Xp populations (Groups 1 and 2), although we were able to further separate Group 1 into Group 1A and 1B. Group 1A contains 11 strains isolated in 2012, whereas Group 1B contains six strains isolated in 2006 in addition to Xp4B and the reference strain Xp91-118, isolated in 1998 and 1991, respectively. Group 2 comprises five strains from 2006, the single strain from 2010, five strains from 2012, and all three 2013 strains. Additionally, we detected genetic diversity among strains that appeared to be clonal from MLSA in previous work (Timilsina et al., 2015), particularly evident in Xp Group 1A. In our study, the Xp 2006 population was more diverse than the 2012 population, possibly due to the fact that sampling in 2006 was carried out in a broader geographic range in Florida and Georgia. The diversity within the 2006 population is evident from the core genome and SNP phylogenies.

This study re-emphasizes the role of population genomics for identification of elements involved in host-pathogen arms race. The data revealed the emergence of tomato race 4 strains of Xp carrying mutations (either frameshift/transposon insertion) in avrXv3. Strain Xp91-118 isolated in 1991 was non-pathogenic on pepper even when mutated in avrXv3 (Astua-Monge et al., 2000), indicating the existence of other factors that restrict its host range on pepper. The majority of Xp strains in our collection, isolated after 1998, have acquired AvrBsT, an avirulence protein responsible for restricting host range on pepper. AvrBsT has been shown to be a virulence factor by suppressing defense responses in tomato (Kim et al., 2010), possibly conferring a competitive advantage to pathogens in tomato fields. Four of the five Xp strains isolated after 1998 that do not possess AvrBsT are pathogenic on pepper. Interestingly, mutation in avrBsT results in differences in the in planta populations in pepper when compared between Group 1A and Group 2. avrBsT mutants in Group 2 experience a full virulence gain on pepper, whereas avrBsT mutants in Group 1A acquire only a partial growth benefit, indicating that additional factors restrict the host expansion of Group 1A strains onto pepper. Phenotypic characterization, including pepper pathogenicity tests of avrBsT mutants, will need to be conducted on other strains in Groups 1 and 2 to support more definitive conclusions.

At the whole genome level, horizontal gene transfer (HGT) of genes that determine phenotypic differences might have occurred frequently enough during evolution to explain the differing degree of pepper pathogenicity between strains belonging to Group 1B. Two Xp strains in Group 1B, Xp17-12, and Xp5-6, do not have AvrBsT and do not induce HR on pepper cv. ECW. However, Xp17-12 is able to induce water-soaked disease lesions on pepper when infiltrated into pepper leaves at a low inoculum (10^4 CFU/mL) whereas Xp5-6 induces only weak lesions. Similar to Xp5-6, an avrBsT deletion in the Group 1B strain Xp4B (Xp4BΔavrBsT) induces weak disease lesions on pepper and acquires only a partial in planta growth increase. Incongruence in degree of pathogenicity and clade could partly be due to the loss or gain of effectors through HGT. Xp17-12 contains effector alleles for XopF2 and XopAD that match those found in Xe85-10 but not those of any other Xp strain analyzed here, suggesting the occurrence of HGT events that may have contributed to its ability to infect pepper. Xp5-6 does not share any common effector alleles with Xe85-10. Interestingly, all Group 2 strains contain a XopQ allele identical to that found in Xe85-10, while Xp strains in Group 1 have a different allele. Previous MLSA analysis also showed evidence for recombination events resulting in haplotypes for two housekeeping genes (gapA and gyrB) in Xp Group 2 strains identical to that found in Xe85-10 (Timilsina et al., 2015). Because mutation in avrBsT in the tested Group 2 strains results in complete virulence on pepper, Group 2 strains may have emerged from populations that underwent recombination with an Xe85-10-related strain, acquiring new virulence genes for pepper pathogenicity. Homologous recombination between chromosomal DNA of different Xanthomonas species by conjugation in planta has been previously observed (Basim et al., 1999), while HGT of virulence-associated genes between different lineages within X. axonopodis strains has contributed to host range (Mhedbi-Hajri et al., 2013).

Field strain genomic analysis presents an efficient method for deriving the diversity of type III effector repertoires. Knowledge of the effector load in the population will inform strategies for achieving broad durable resistance strategies based on R gene deployment. Within each species, we identified several differences in the effector repertoires of Xe, Xp, and Xg field strains, including the gain or loss of effector genes, null mutations, and the presence of alternate alleles. We predicted three effector additions to the overall Xe field strain repertoire (XopE3, XopAF-like, and XopAE) and one removal (XopG) in comparison to the reference strain Xe85-10. The most polymorphic effector in Xe is avrBs2, a phenomenon perhaps explained by the selective pressures of the pepper Bs2 resistance gene deployed in the early 1990s. Several of the previously reported mutations in avrBs2 are represented here, with no novel polymorphisms detected (Swords et al., 1996; Wichmann et al., 2005). Generally, the effector predictions for Xe field strains isolated between 1994 and 2004 show increased effector polymorphisms compared to strains isolated between 2004 and 2012, indicating that the effector repertoires have stabilized over time in our sampling population. Xp field strains have evolved their repertoires by losing/gaining effectors (XopE2, XopE4, AvrBsT), through allelic exchange (as seen with XopQ in Group 2 strains) and by frameshift mutations/transposon insertions (in avrXv3). Diversity in effector repertoires is seen even in strains collected from the same field during a single growing season.
Strains TB6 and TB15 possess identical type III effector profiles and appear clonal based on core genome phylogeny except for the absence of AvrBsT in TB15. However, this difference has expanded the host range of TB15 to include pepper while TB6 is restricted to tomato. Similar to TB15, TB9 does not possess AvrBsT but has different alleles of XopD and XopE1 compared to TB6 and TB15. We predicted four additions to the Xg field strain effector repertoire including a second copy of XopE2 and a XopJ1 identical to Xe strains. We also detected allele differences in an AvrBs1-like effector, XopAD, and XopE2. Through this analysis two additional effectors can be added to the previous list of 11 commonly shared effectors between Xc, Xp, and Xg (Potnis et al., 2011): XopE2 and a YopJ-family member (AvrBsT in Xp, XopJ1 in Xg and Xe).

In addition to strain-level variation, allelic diversification in type III effectors was observed at the species level across Xc, Xp, and Xg. Because type III effector repertoires are proposed to be a major factor determining host range (Hajri et al., 2009), it is important to understand the diversity of effectors present in different species that infect common hosts. Although Xc, Xp, and Xg share thirteen core effectors, effector alleles between these three species may be considerably different. For example, the effector AvrBs2 protein sequence shares 99% identity between reference strains Xp91-118 and Xe85-10, but 77% identity to the AvrBs2 in Xg101. Similarly, the XopQ alleles of Xp91-118 and Xe85-10 share 99% identity at the amino acid level, but 58% identity to XopQ from Xg101. Sampling of a genetically diverse population can be informative to reveal the dominant effector alleles in a specific geographical region, which would be the most appropriate alleles to screen for R protein resistance strategies.

Curiously, we discovered a spectrum of host expansion for Nicotiana benthamiana involving the effectors XopQ and AvrBsT. While wild type Xg is virulent on N. benthamiana, a XopQ deletion in Xe85-10 (Xe85-10ΔXopQ) and a double deletion of XopQ and AvrBsT in Xp4B (Xp4BΔXopQΔAvrBsT) results in a N. benthamiana host gain. Reduced in planta growth of Xp4BΔAvrBsT compared to Xp4B and Xp4BΔXopQ indicates that AvrBsT may play an important virulence role in N. benthamiana. Because the XopQ alleles in Xc and Xp are relatively similar and stable over time in field strains, the potential R protein “R-XopQ” in N. benthamiana would be a promising candidate as a resistance tool against Xc and Xp in pepper and tomato.

The increased speed and dropping cost of DNA sequencing technology combined with the use of genome editing techniques are providing new opportunities for designing resistance strategies against specific pathogens in various crop species. The spread of agricultural pathogens into new niches, either by increasing global movement of food or the emergence of new niches from climate change, makes the continued genomic surveillance of agricultural pathogens a top priority for food security and resistance strategies. Of particular importance are tracking shifts in dominant species and changes in effector repertoires and alleles. Effector maintenance and stability is a key consideration for the future design of durable resistance strategies using R-gene employment into crops.
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