Ecological Implications of Plant Secondary Metabolites - Phytochemical Diversity Can Enhance Agricultural Sustainability
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Conventional agriculture production, although proficient in feeding an expanding human population, is having negative environmental impacts that are diminishing the sustainability of natural resources. Producers and consumers are increasingly interested in understanding how land management practices can enhance agricultural sustainability and improve human health. This perspective article offers a new approach to enhancing agricultural sustainability by growing crops and forages with diverse plant secondary metabolites (PSMs). Plants produce tens of thousands of PSMs to mediate interactions with soil, other plants, and animals. Plants use these metabolites to communicate with organisms in their environment, both above and belowground, and to modify the rhizosphere and influence chemical, physical, and biological attributes of soil. In pastures and rangelands, animal health benefits and production increases when animals ingest forages with different PSMs, which has implications for enhancing the biochemical richness of meat and dairy products for human consumption. A deeper understanding of PSMs, and their functional roles in agroecology, may help producers better manage their lands, reduce inputs, and minimize negative environmental impacts.
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INTRODUCTION

The industrialization of conventional agriculture has enhanced the proficiency of food production to support an increasing global population. Conventional crop and forage (hay or silage) production uses synthetic pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers while conventional livestock production uses vaccines, antibiotics, medicated feeds, and growth hormones. The industrialization of conventional agriculture is the large-scale specialization of animals, crops, and forages for mass production (National Research Council, 2010). However, that has created a range of negative environmental impacts that are reducing the sustainability of agroecosystems. Conventional agriculture contributes to global greenhouse gas emissions, loss of plant biodiversity and soil organic matter, and degradation of natural resources, natural water bodies, and public health.
BENEFITS OF PLANT SECONDARY METABOLITES IN SOIL

Soil health or quality is defined as the ability of soil to sustain the life of plants and animals below and above ground while also supporting ecosystem health including air and water (Doran, 1994; Doran et al., 1996; Doran and Saufley, 1997; Johnson et al., 1997; Karlen et al., 1997). Soil physical, chemical, and biological properties are interdependent. Physical structure influences biological activity, which influences chemical composition and the soil microbiome. Physical properties encompass structure, texture, porosity, and bulk density; whereas chemical properties include cation-exchange capacity, pH, salinity, macro- and micronutrients (Schoenholz et al., 2000). Agricultural practices that diminish plant biodiversity also reduce plant biochemical diversity and degrade soil biological diversity (National Research Council, 2010; Ristok et al., 2019).

Through diverse PSMs, plants modify their environment in various ways including interactions that affect the soil microbiome in the rhizosphere, soil nutrient cycling, allelopathy, and defenses against herbivores (van Dam and Bouwmeester, 2016; Coskun et al., 2017). Plants exude certain PSMs to enhance their ability to acquire nutrients from the soil. For example, alfalfa (Medicago sativa) seeds and roots release flavonoids that promote the growth of Sinorhizobium meliloti, a N-fixing gram-negative bacterium (Hartwig et al., 1991). Under conditions of iron deficiency, some gramnegative plants (i.e., wheat, oats, barley, rye) exude mugineic acid which solubilizes iron, making it more readily available to plants (Ma and Nomoto, 1996).

Plant secondary metabolites influence soil decomposition. Tannins and terpenes affect cycling of C and N by increasing N immobilization in the soil (Bradley et al., 2000; Smolander et al., 2012). Pasture forages such as sainfoin (Onobrychis vicifolia Scop.), which contains condensed tannins, can inhibit soil N mineralization (Clemensen et al., 2020) and reduce N loss in pastures where N mineralization is relatively rapid. Tannins and terpenes in plant litter slow rates of nutrient cycling by supplying more recalcitrant C substrate, binding with proteins, and/or acting as toxins to soil microbes (Smolander et al., 2012), all of which inhibit N mineralization. Laboratory studies with forest soils show terpenes decrease nitrification potential and at low pH they precipitate proteins (Adamczyk et al., 2013).

While terpenes can be toxic to soil microorganisms, tannins form complexes with proteins and enzymes (Hättenschwiler and Vitousek, 2000; Kraus et al., 2003; Adamczyk et al., 2011, 2019), and also form complexes with fungal compounds (i.e., Dichomitus squalens) (Adamczyk et al., 2019), which slows microbial decomposition processes that affect C and N cycling (Northup et al., 1995; Hättenschwiler et al., 2019). The incredibly diverse polyphenol class of PSMs is reflected in their varied influences on the soil microbial community. For instance, greater soil respiration occurs with additions of the monomeric phenol methyl gallate compared with polyphenol epigallocatechin gallate and polyphenol oenothein B (Schmidt et al., 2013). To our knowledge, research evaluating the influence of specific PSMs on soil dynamics is largely limited to forest systems, while little is known regarding these dynamics in pasture and/or cropping systems.

Root exudates contain various PSMs that can attract, deter, or kill belowground insect herbivores, nematodes, and microbes, and inhibit competing plants. Plants that exude PSMs from their roots can more easily defend themselves from below-ground injury. Plants also use these exudates to establish their spatial presence among other plant species and to communicate with other plants and animals above and belowground. For instance, in response to the root-eating larvae, Diabrotica virgifera Teosinte, the ancestor of wild maize and other European lines of maize, produces the volatile sesquiterpene (E)-β-caryophyllene, which attracts entomopathogenic nematodes (Rasmann et al., 2005), indirectly defending the plant against the larvae. Interestingly, newer varieties of maize in North America do not release this volatile compound as a defense mechanism (Degen et al., 2004). Alfalfa contains various saponins (triterpenes), most of which are oleananes and steroids (Kregiel et al., 2017).
Oleoresin, which has antifungal properties against *Pestalotiopsis microspora* (Chen et al., 2018), is made up of triterpenes, some of which are oleanane saponins (Liang et al., 1988). The release of flavonoids from alfalfa seeds and roots slows growth of parasitic species of *Pythium* spp. (Hartwig et al., 1991). In forest soil, terpenes, common in conifer trees, increase bacterial growth but decrease fungal growth (Adamczyk et al., 2013), yet studies exploring these dynamics in other agricultural systems is limited.

Water availability is a growing concern in agriculture. Plants respond to water stress in various ways, including increasing or decreasing primary and secondary metabolites. For example, red poppy (*Papaver somniferum*) increases concentrations of alkaloids to enhance drought tolerance (Sarker and Oba, 2018; Yang et al., 2018). Depending on the species, saponin levels decrease as some species go into reproductive phase or increase as other species age (Pecetti et al., 2006). Saponin concentrations are greater in roots than in stems, leaves, and flowers in a variety of species (e.g., *Diascorea pseudojaponica*, *Polygala tenuifolia*, *Bupleurum chinense*, *Achyranthes bidentata*, *Gypsophila paniculata*) (Szakiel et al., 2011), including alfalfa (Tava et al., 1993). Saponins contain both a hydrophilic and lipophilic end; thus, they can form spherical structures called micelle with negatively charged surfaces that typically do not form aggregates. However, if water solutions contain Ca+ and Mg+ (“hard” water), the micelle can form cluster aggregates, increasing water holding capacity of soil. Saponins can also reduce surface tension in aqueous solutions (Böttger et al., 2012), and by acting as surfactants they can potentially increase soil water holding capacity, thus enhancing the ability of saponin-containing plants to withstand drought.

Arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi are estimated to have formed stable relationships with roughly 80% of plant families (Smith and Read, 1997). They play major roles in soil health including protecting plants from biotic and abiotic stresses and supporting plants by releasing glomalin. Glomalin enhances the stability and water retention of soil, subsequently increasing water and nutrient uptake by plants, thus reducing fertilizer requirements (Gianinazzi et al., 2010). Additionally, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increase specific PSMs in plants, from 6% (methyl chavicol, a phenylpropanoid) to 697% (α-pinene, a terpene), in both field and greenhouse experiments (Kapoor et al., 2002, 2004; Gianinazzi et al., 2010), enhancing the ability of plants to adapt to different environmental circumstances.

Knowledge of PSMs can be used in land management strategies to further enhance agroecological resiliency and agricultural sustainability. For instance, tannin-containing plants such as sainfoin or birdsfoot trefoil may inhibit soil N mineralization, thus reducing N loss, while saponin-containing plants such as alfalfa may enhance soil water holding capacity.

**BENEFITS OF PLANT SECONDARY METABOLITES TO PLANTS**

Plant secondary metabolites offer a broad range of benefits to plants, from attracting pollinators and seed dispersers (Knudsen et al., 1993; Pichersky and Gershenson, 2002; Bruce and Pickett, 2011; Pierik et al., 2014) to defending plants from pathogens and diseases by helping plants recover from injury (Savatin et al., 2014). Flavonoids protect plants from ultraviolet radiation (Agati and Tattini, 2010), while glycyrrhizin (a triterpene saponin) may also boost UV protection, as its production in roots increases with greater UV-B light exposure in *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* (Afreen et al., 2005). Other terpenes, the carotenoid group of tetraterpenes, more commonly known as the yellow, orange, and red pigments, likewise aid in photoprotection while also extending the range of light used in photosynthesis, regulating the effects of extreme temperatures, and protecting photosynthetic tissues from photodestruction (Strzalka et al., 2003). Phenolic compounds such as tannins may increase due to stress from UV light, heat, and/or drought (Yang et al., 2018). For example, red maple (*Acer rubrum*) L. doubles the amount of tannins in response to drought and warming (Tharayil et al., 2011), while red oak (*Quercus rubra*) L. varies both the concentration and molecular composition of tannins to better adapt to climatic stresses (Top et al., 2017).

Plant secondary metabolites are diverse in structure and function, and their production is influenced by interactions above and belowground that involve genetic, ontogenetic, morphogenetic, and biotic and abiotic factors (Verma and Shukla, 2015; Shamloo et al., 2017; Kessler and Kalske, 2018; Yang et al., 2018). Their production reflects the unique and dynamic environments plants encounter. They may increase when plants are stressed or grow in suboptimal conditions (Kamstrup et al., 2000; Yang et al., 2018). Saponins in some species peak during temperature extremes, such as hot summer and cold winter months, and decrease in spring and fall with milder temperatures, while saponins in other species may peak during the milder seasons of spring and fall (Szakiel et al., 2011). Saponin concentrations in individual soapbark trees (*Quillaja saponaria*) differ even under similar soil conditions, altitude, and age of trees suggesting genetics play a role in the production of PSMs (Kamstrup et al., 2000).

Chemical responses within plants are genetically derived and environmentally induced, and thus can differ between and within species, and among different tissues in a plant (Macel et al., 2010; Verma and Shukla, 2015). Depending on the plant species, and specific secondary metabolite, some PSMs are produced and then stored in tissues (e.g., tannins) while others are produced de novo in response to environmental perturbations (e.g., various monoterpenes and alkaloids). These metabolites are concentrated in particular plant cells or tissues, restricted to particular developmental stages of growth, and transferred “long distances” within plants via the xylem and/or phloem or “short distances” via translocation between cells (Hartmann, 1996).

Plants also release various volatile compounds to interact with their environment (Pichersky and Gershenson, 2002; Laathawornkitkul et al., 2009; Baldwin, 2010). Most of these compounds are terpenoids that may be emitted differently depending on circadian rhythms. Volatile compounds can attract or deter pollinators, and they also play vital roles in direct and indirect defenses for plants (Turlings et al., 1995; Kessler and Baldwin, 2001; Pichersky and Gershenson, 2002). For instance, when the tobacco plant (*Nicotina attenuata*) Torr. ex...
Wats.) is attacked by the tobacco hornworm (Manduca sexta L.), a nicotine-tolerant folivore, the plant suppresses its typical folivore-induced increase in nicotine production (Baldwin, 1988), and instead emits an assortment of volatile organic compounds [(E)-α-bergamotene] that attract the generalist predator Geocoris pallens as a defense against Manduca (Kessler and Baldwin, 2001; Halitschke et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2017). Plants naturally produce insecticides that may negate the need for synthetic insecticide applications if crop and forage varieties are selected and managed to increase production of these PSMs. For example, the alkaloid nicotine deters herbivores so effectively (Steppuhn et al., 2004) it has been used commercially as an insecticide (Soloway, 1976). Other insecticidal PSMs include pyrethrins (Xu et al., 2018), and the triterpene azadirachtin, found in citrus (limonoids), which is non-toxic to plants and animals yet is a strong insect deterrent (Aerts and Mordue Luntz, 1997). Natural biochemicals such as pyrethrins have been used to create synthetic insecticides due to their effectiveness at deterring insects, their evanescence in the environment, and their minimal impact to mammals.

Rarely does one secondary metabolite enable plants to cope with environmental challenges. Rather, plants rely on combinations of different metabolites (Gershenzon and Dudareva, 2007). Plant volatiles are typically emitted in blended “bouquets” (Baldwin, 2010) that have layered functions of attractants or deterrents. Badenes-Perez et al. (2014) found a positive correlation between the feeding deterrents glucosinolate (a sulfur-containing compound) and saponins (triterpenes) for insects consuming Brassicaceae species.

The production of PSMs is a crucial way that plants interact within their social and biophysical environments. In our view, strategic management and utilization of plant phytochemical diversity may improve agricultural sustainability and resiliency while reducing input requirements. As we discuss next, at appropriate doses PSMs add health benefits for consumption by herbivores and humans (Provenza et al., 2019).

**IMPACT OF PLANT SECONDARY METABOLITES ON FORAGING ANIMALS**

Some PSMs are well known for their poisonous potential to animals, and herbivores respond by reducing their intake of plants containing PSMs as a function of the concentration of the metabolites in plants (Provenza et al., 2002, 2003). As Paracelsus (1493–1541) wrote, “All substances are poisons; there is none which is not a poison. The right dose differentiates a poison from a remedy.”

Plant secondary metabolites, namely alkaloids, can be toxic to ruminants (Stidham et al., 1982; Rhodes et al., 1991; Aldrich et al., 1993; Thompson et al., 2001). However, by offering animals either supplements (Mantz et al., 2008; Bernard et al., 2013; Jensen et al., 2014), or diverse forages containing different PSMs (Lyman et al., 2008, 2011, 2012; Owens et al., 2012a,b), biochemical complementarities can reduce the negative effects of alkaloids in plants like endophyte-infected tall fescue (Schedonorus arundinaceus) and terpenes in plants like sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata), either by binding or through other mechanisms (Freeland et al., 1985; Charlton et al., 2000; Seefeldt, 2005; Mote et al., 2008; Catanese et al., 2014; Clemensen et al., 2017).

Phenolic compounds have antioxidative and anticarcinogenic benefits that also aid digestion (Waghorn et al., 1994; Waghorn, 2008). Condensed tannins reduce internal parasites and nematodes in ruminants and, due to their protein-binding characteristics, also enhance the absorption of amino acids in the small intestine, analogous to by-pass proteins popular in ruminant nutrition (Barry and McNabb, 1999; Villalba et al., 2013). Like tannins, saponins can precipitate proteins (Livingston et al., 1979), while lowering cholesterol in animals (Aazami et al., 2013). Saponins may improve growth and feed efficiency, reduce protozoa in the rumen, and increase efficiency of rumen-microbial protein synthesis (Francis et al., 2002).

The emphasis on planting monocultures, combined with the influence of PSMs on reducing intake of any one forage, is why these metabolites have historically been bred out of plants used for crops and forages (Wink, 1988; Jacobsen, 1998; Provenza et al., 2007). Foraging animals eat more and perform better when offered a variety of forages with different kinds and amounts of PSMs (Provenza, 1996; Provenza et al., 2007, 2009), which at appropriate doses offer numerous health benefits to foraging animals (Engel, 2002; Cheeke et al., 2006; Provenza and Villalba, 2010; Meuret and Provenza, 2015). Historically, researchers and producers have focused on the three to five species which contribute the most to intake of energy and protein for livestock, but animals will eat an additional 50–75 species in a meal. These 50–75 other plant species are equally, if not more important for the health of livestock and humans through the meat and dairy products we derive from them (Provenza et al., 2019).

**PLANT SECONDARY METABOLITES, HERBIVORES, AND HUMAN HEALTH**

In addition to improving the health of foraging animals, ingesting various PSMs enhances the phytochemical and biochemical richness, flavor, and quality of cheese, milk, and meat for human consumption (Vasta et al., 2008; Vasta and Luciano, 2011; Maughan et al., 2014; Provenza et al., 2019). Our health is thus linked with the diets of livestock through the chemical characteristics of the plant species they eat. Through their anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, antioxidant, anti-bacterial, and anti-parasitic properties, phytochemicals in plants protect livestock and humans against diseases and pathogens (Provenza, 2018). The benefits of eating meat to humans accrue as livestock convert rich arrays of phytochemicals into biochemicals that are incorporated into their meat and fat, which in turn become healthy biochemicals in human bodies, similar to the benefits attained by eating phytochemically rich herbs, spices, vegetables, and fruits (Provenza et al., 2019). Those compounds may confer the same benefits to us as to livestock, dampening oxidative stress and inflammation linked with cancer, cardiovascular disease, and metabolic syndrome.
Historically, plants were the source of medicine for all animals, including humans. Today, various drugs (antibiotics, pain killers, fever reducers, etc.) are derived from plants that produce these chemicals naturally. Several reviews describe the many health promoting properties of PSMs to animals, including humans (Verpoorte, 1998; Craig, 1999; Bourgaud et al., 2001; Maganha et al., 2010; Kabera et al., 2014). The opportunity is to reconsider the fundamentally important roles these compounds played in health before the advent of modern medicine (Provenza, 2018), while integrating plants with diverse PSMs back into our crops and forages.

PLANT DIVERSITY IN AGRICULTURAL SYSTEMS

Over the past 50 years, we have simultaneously come to better understand the roles of PSMs in protecting plants against herbivores, pathogens, and competition, while reducing their concentrations in crop and pasture plants to maximize yields. In their stead, cultivation and synthetic chemicals have been used to protect plants grown in monocultures. With good intentions to feed an exponentially growing human population, the simplification of agricultural systems has produced various negative impacts too numerous to overlook (Foley, 2005; Hendrickson et al., 2008; Hendrickson and Colazo, 2019), resulting in a need for change.

Increasing plant diversity in agricultural systems offers ecosystem benefits from the soil, to plants and animals, to the atmosphere, enhancing agroecological sustainability. Belowground, PSMs defend against root-eating larvae while also influencing nutrient cycling as carbonaceous metabolites such as tannins and terpenes slow mineralization in the soil, potentially increasing soil microbial biomass, thus increasing carbon sequestration potential in agriculture soil. Aboveground, PSMs aid plants and act as insecticides when defoliation pressure develops. Diverse plant species with differing PSMs enhance balanced eating habits while also offering health benefits to herbivores and humans (Provenza et al., 2007). Further, methane emissions are reduced when cattle graze forages containing tannins (Pinares-Patiño et al., 2003; Boadi et al., 2004; Woodward et al., 2004; Beauchemin et al., 2007; Jayanegara et al., 2009). Thus, planting forages containing different PSMs may reduce greenhouse gasses by influencing rumen fermentation and soil mineralization (Goel and Makkar, 2012; Provenza et al., 2019).

We have emphasized growth of crops and livestock, at the expense of phytochemical richness, through the varieties we have selected and the management practices we have used, including applications of water and fertilizer to enhance growth, and pesticides to prevent herbivory by insects. Alternatively, stressing plants by reducing inputs of fertilizers, insecticides, and water can increase production of PSMs, which typically increase with various environmental stressors (Shamloo et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2018; Roberts and Mattoo, 2019). However, research is needed to further explore how stress via reduced inputs may influence the biosynthesis of PSMs in crops and forages. A deeper understanding of PSMs, and their functional roles in agroecology, may help producers better manage their lands, reduce inputs, and minimize negative environmental impacts.

We have discussed qualitative aspects of PSMs in enhancing agricultural sustainability. We have not mentioned the quantification of these metabolites. Quantifying PSMs in any system is challenging, as each species differs in production between root and shoot tissues with varying circumstances. Thus, it is difficult to quantify how much of any specific PSM enters a system (i.e., Kraus et al., 2003). Recent results suggest that the concentration of tannins in cattle feces is proportional to the concentration of tannins in the forage consumed (Stewart et al., 2019). Models must consider concentrations of PSMs in plant tissues, as well as PSMs exuded from roots, and residual PSMs in decomposing plant matter. Further, the analytical procedures for extracting and quantifying PSMs is labor intensive and typically requires substantial quantities of laboratory chemicals. Thus, a need for developing more efficient methods of analysis is essential. Additional research opportunities exist in evaluating which crops and forages may contain optimal PSMs to reach land management objectives.

It is ironic that we have selected against PSMs in crop and pasture plants that we are now intent on genetically engineering back into plants (Provenza et al., 2007). Enhancing plant biodiversity and associated phytochemical diversity offers a logical progression to improve agricultural resilience while providing ecosystem services that also benefit the health of herbivores and humans.
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