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In this paper, the impact of different metals (Ag, Pt and Au) on the ZnO/metal/ZnO samples, being coated on a Glass substrate via RF/DC magnetron sputtering system, is investigated. The structural, optical, and thermal properties of the as-prepared samples were systematically studied for the purpose of storage and production of energy in industry. Our results show that these layers can be used as suitable coatings on building windows for energy storage applications. In the same experimental conditions, the case of Au as the intermediate layer has shown to have better optical and electrical conditions. Then the Pt layer also led to further improvement of the properties of the samples rather than those of the Ag. Moreover, the ZnO/Au/ZnO sample has shown the highest transmittance at the visible region (68.95%) and the highest FOM (5.1 × 10⁻⁴ Ω⁻¹). Therefore, it can be considered as relatively the optimum sample in order for the building windows to save energy because of its low U-value (2.16 W/cm² K) and low emissivity (0.45). Finally, by applying the equivalent voltage of 12 V at the ends of the sample, the surface temperature of sample has risen from 24 to 120 °C.

Low emission (low-E) transparent conducting oxides are integral to transparent conductive electrodes in the new generation of low-E optical-electrical devices, which are potential candidates for various applications such as flat panel displays, plasma screens, touch screens, organic light-emitting diodes, and solar cells. Nowadays, using such structures as energy-saving windows coating is prevalent. Highly transparent low-E and heat reflective (TCOs) thin films have high transmission and reflection spectra in the visible and infrared ranges, respectively. These films can be used as coatings on building glass to save energy. Also, such samples are being applied as transparent conductive films in the industry, such as automotive glass, due to their remarkable low electrical resistance1,3. ITO has always been considered as a commonly used TCO in industry. Because of its fragility, toxicity, high cost, and the limited indium resources, researchers are looking for alternative materials4,5.

Due to the growing energy consumption worldwide, low-E materials are being extensively used. Glasses coated with low-E materials, for example, are applicable in buildings as windows or doors to decrease energy consumption. In summer, low-E films allow visible light to pass through and prevent IR waves from entering the building. In contrast, in winter, they avoid the infrared radiation emitted by heating devices in the building from passing to the outside. In other words, low-E films have a high transmittance in the visible region and high reflectance in the infrared region6.

Recent research has shown that three-layer conductive electrodes metal oxide/metal/metal oxide (O/M/O) have better electrical conductivity, optical resolution, and less emissivity than ITO films of the same thickness at room temperature. In those experiments, metal oxides such as ITO, ZnO, AZO, ZnS, WO₃, MoO₃, Nb₂O₅, and SnO₂, as top and bottom layers, as well as metals including Ag, Cu, Ni, Al, Pt have been suggested to be applied as the intermediate layer7–16. Research has led to the improved electrical and optical properties in three-layer electrodes by changing the deposition conditions such as their temperature, pressure, bias voltage, etc. The choice of dielectric in the upper and lower layers and metal in the middle layer will be crucial in changing the optical and electrical properties. Moreover, ZnO has been widely applied in various industrial applications, including flat monitors, gas sensors, photosensors, and touchscreens17. Also, ZnO is highly regarded as an abundant, low-cost, non-toxic material that is stable against hydrogen plasma and high-temperature processes. For example, in 2012, Girtan et al. showed that ZnO/Ag/ZnO electrodes had better photovoltaic performance than ITO/Ag/ITO electrodes in solar cells18.

So far, the optical, electrical, and thermal properties of three metals of Au, Pt, and Ag in three-layer structures of ZnO/metal/ZnO, for use in energy storage coatings on building windows, have not been compared. In this study, we examined and compared the optical, electrical, and thermal properties of ZnO/metal/ZnO transparent...
Conductive electrodes, considering the Ag, Au, and Pt metals in the middle layer, in the same deposition conditions for achieving the optimum condition. Also, these multilayer electrodes are compared with single ZnO electrodes. For this purpose, FESEM and RBS analyses are performed to evaluate the thickness and concentration of the elements of the samples. Besides, by measuring the UV–Vis–NIR spectrum of each sample, the energy gap and their optical properties are examined; and finally, using the Window7.8 simulation software, the thermal properties of each sample are studied. To evaluate and compare the as-prepared samples for use in industry, we calculated three important parameters: emissivity, figure of merit, and U-value. When the glass absorbs heat or light energy, it is either transferred to the outside by air current or reflected by the surface of the glass. In a material, the capability of radiating energy is known as emissivity. Mostly, fenestration products emit or radiate heat (long-wave far-infrared energy), which is of significance in energy saving. Therefore, improving their insulating properties would be possible by decreasing their heat emission.

In this regard, the U-value has been suggested to be a good measure for the insulating quantity, which shows the rate of heat transfer in a fenestration product (W/m² °C). This parameter includes conductive, convective, and radiative heat transfer of a system. As one can deduce, the smaller the U-value of a material, the lower the rate of heat flow would be. In addition, by calculating the total R-value, the thermal resistance of a sample can be represented, which is the reciprocal of the total U-value (\( R = 1/U \)).

**Experimental details**

The ZnO sample was coated on a glass substrate by RF magnetron sputtering instrument (Nanostructured coatings co. DST3-T) deposition technique with the ZnO target (99.995% purity) with the RF power of 80 W. The substrate was situated at 75 mm distance from the target. ZnO deposition time in the top and bottom layers is 200 s with RF power. In the case of the middle layer, Au, Ag, and Pt metals with pure metal targets (99.995% purity) have been deposited at zero angles, and time deposition has been 40 s with DC power; the samples are nominated S1, S2, and S3, respectively.

Before each deposition, the chamber pressure reached 5 × 10⁻³ Torr, and metals and ZnO target were pre-sputtered for 5 min.

It is worth noting that the chamber has been flushed with Ar gas (99.995% purity) for three times to remove any contaminants. After preparing the samples, the four-point probe technique and Ultraviolet–Visible–Near IR (UV–Vis–NIR) spectrophotometer (JASCO V-670) were used to determine sheet resistance and optical properties of the samples, respectively.

To evaluate the elemental concentration and thickness of each layer, Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS) analysis has been provided using a helium beam with 2 MeV energy. The experiment was performed on a 15° left lane line of Tehran Vandograph Laboratory in a conventional pixie chamber. A surface barrier detector at the angle of 165° was used to record the scattered particles. The reported data error related to fitting, cross-sectional data, beam energy, and incident load measurement is found to be 10%. The multilayer films’ total thickness was determined with field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) cross-section (Zeiss Sigma 300-HV).

**Results and discussion**

**Rutherford backscattering analysis.** Figure 1 depicts 2 MeV RBS spectra of ZnO/metal/ZnO samples for different metals (Ag, Au, Pt). According to the shape of the diagrams simulated by SIMNRA software, they are well fitted with experimental data. As a result, the thickness and concentration of the material can be appropriately determined. These curves provide the backscattered energies of the incident particles for all samples. The channel region at 500–600 and 600–700 nm, respectively, contains a peak related to Zn, Au, Ag, and Pt metals. The valley’s depth between the Zn–Au and Zn–Pt signals is equal, and the valley between the Zn–Ag signals is reduced, while interlayer penetration is increased. The thicknesses have been calculated in terms of monolayer (10¹⁹ atoms/cm²), corresponding to an areal atomic density and assuming uniform layer distribution. In the case that we consider the nominal stoichiometry of known atomic density (5.9 × 10²² atoms/cm² for Au, 5.8 × 10²³ atoms/cm² for Ag, and 6.6 × 10²³ atoms/cm² for Pt), the thickness can be readily obtained in nm scale.

The areal density of the Au, Ag, and Pt metals at the middle layer obtained from the RBS analysis are 25.5, 32.2 and 27.31 (× 10²² atoms/cm²), respectively. As a result, assuming the layer is uniform, the thickness of the Au, Ag, and Pt metal layers in the S1, S2 and S3 samples are 4.08, 5.44 and 4.09 nm, respectively. 21.

The FESEM cross-section. Figure 2 shows the cross-section FESEM images of all samples. In the same experimental conditions, S1, S2, and S3 samples’ thicknesses are found to be 77, 61 and 63 nm, respectively.

**Calculation of sheet resistance.** The sheet resistance of all the samples are measured using a four-point probe, which are shown in Table 1. The sheet resistance of the samples in the same conditions and duration of the coating indicates that the resistance of the ZnO single layer sample is very high. The presence of the middle layer of the metal reduces the electrical resistance. As shown in its strip structure, these structures can be considered connecting metal to a semiconductor. In the study of the structure of ZnO/metal, no barrier for transferring the electrons from the metal to the semiconductor is found after bonding; the electrons are readily transferred from the metal layer to the ZnO and conversely. In this case, the density of charge carriers is increased due to the injection of electrons from the metal into the semiconductor or conversely. The sheet resistance of sample with Ag metal is higher than those of the samples coated with Au and Pt metals; while in most articles on three-layer structures, Ag metal was used in the middle layer, and low resistance is mentioned. Ag grows in Volmer–Weber (island) mode on oxide substrates. The high resistance in the sample with Ag metal is probably as a result of the presence of separate islands on the surface of Ag metal, and for the disappearance and cohesion of these islands, more Ag coating layer is required. According to the results obtained in our previous article, at thicknesses
below 10 nm, Ag is deposited as a discontinuous island. This indicates that having a three-layer structure with different metals requires more Ag than Au and Pt, which is more cost-effective.

**Calculation of transmittance, reflectance, and average transmittance.** The transmission and reflection spectra of samples have been provided in the wavelengths of 190–2700 nm, shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 3a,
which is related to the transmittance diagram of the samples, the peaks of the sample with different metals are located at different wavelengths; so that the peaks of S1, S2, and S3 are at 626, 400 and 380 nm and have a transmittance of 71, 72 and 57%, respectively.

Due to the importance of the transmission in the range of 400–800 nm in industry and its better comparison for samples with different metals, the transmission coefficient (Tav) for each sample is calculated for visible (Tvis), solar (Tsolar), and NIR (TNIR) region, shown in Table 2.

The transmission coefficient is obtained in the following:

\[ T_{av} = \frac{\int T(\lambda) V(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int V(\lambda) d\lambda}, \]

where V(\lambda) and T(\lambda) are, respectively, the luminous spectral efficiency and the transmission of the samples.\textsuperscript{19,23}

The Tav values of the S1, S2, and S3 samples are 68.95, 58.76 and 47.54%, respectively; the highest value belongs to the S1 sample.

The comparison of the reflection in the IR region for three-layer ZnO/metal/ZnO electrodes considering different metals in the same fabrication process has not been investigated. Infrared reflectance is one of the most crucial parameters of electrodes for use in industry. In this study, the comparison of the reflectance of these electrodes in the IR region was investigated for the first time.

According to Fig. 3b, the reflection of the S1, S2, and S3 samples in the near-infrared region is equal to 19, 35 and 36% at a wavelength of 1700 nm, respectively. ZnO single layer has the lowest reflectance in this range. Table 2 shows the average reflection in the solar and near-infrared regions, which is calculated using Eq. (1), with

| Samples | T_{solar} (%) | R_{solar} (%) | T_{vis} (%) | R_{vis} (%) | T_{nir} (%) |
|---------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| S1      | 53.57          | 14.60          | 50.64       | 13.55       | 68.95       |
| S2      | 50.78          | 24.09          | 43.76       | 22.53       | 58.76       |
| S3      | 37.28          | 23.14          | 31.77       | 21.34       | 47.54       |

Table 2. Average transmittance and reflectance of samples in visible, solar, and NIR regions.
the difference that the amount of reflection in the solar and infrared regions has been replaced by the amount of transmission.

**Calculation of FOM parameter.** Both conductivity and transparency parameters are very critical in the industry. For a better comparison of the properties of metal-oxide/metal/metal-oxide three-layer electrodes, the figure of merit parameter is used, which can be calculated from the following equation

\[
FOM = \frac{T_{10}^{10}}{R_{sh}}
\]  

The larger the amount of FOM, the more transparent the conductive electrode will be. The FOM values of the samples are shown in Table 3. According to the mentioned values, the maximum amount of FOM is related to the S1 sample with the value of 5.1 × 10⁻⁴ Ω⁻¹.

**Calculation of emissivity.** Transparent conductive electrodes with low emissivity characteristics are a razor-thin, colorless, non-toxic coating that are used in window glass to enhance energy efficiency. Such windows are remarkably safe and are becoming standardized from the energy efficiency point of view in the modern home. Low-E windows prevent infrared light from penetrating into the glass from the outside. Moreover, these windows keep heating/cooling energy. The emissivity is dependent of the Rsh and is obtainable in the wavelength range of 780–2700 nm using the following equation

\[
\varepsilon_1 = 0.0129R_{sh} - 6.7 \times 10^{-5}R_{sh}^2.
\]  

Besides that, for samples having the equivalent wavelengths of λ > 3 µm and Rsh ≪ Z0 are also obtainable as follow:

\[
\varepsilon_2 = \frac{4R_{sh}}{Z_0},
\]  

where Z0 is the impedance of the vacuum (377 Ω). The acquired data of emissivity for all the samples are shown in Table 3. The minimum amount of emissivity is related to the S1 sample with the value of 0.45.

**Calculation of bandgap energy (Eg).** The absorption coefficient (α) has been obtained for the direct transition using the following equation:

\[
(\alpha h\nu)^{2} = A(h\nu - E_{g}),
\]  

where h and A represent the incident radiation energy and a constant, respectively. The direct Eg is acquired by extrapolating the linear parts of the plots to zero absorption (αhν = 0). The Eg changes for the ZnO/metal/ZnO multilayer system is provided in Fig. 4. According to the results obtained from the calculation of the bandgap energy, the energy gap of the ZnO sample is equal to 3.31 eV, which decreases for the ZnO/M/ZnO (M = Au, Ag, and Pt) thin-film samples. These bandgap changes in three-layer structures with different metals are consistent with the transmission changes in the visible region, so that the transmittance of ZnO single layer was maximum, and it can be seen that the band gap for this sample is also maximum. Also, the transmittance of the S1 sample is higher than that of the S2 sample, and the bandgap of the S3 sample is minimal.

**Heating results.** For studying the heating impacts of samples, their electro-thermal behavior is examined and shown in Fig. 5. For this purpose, silver contacts have been coated on both sides of the samples by an electron beam evaporator. Then, by applying a certain voltage for 300 s, the maximum temperature of produced heat between the contacts was measured by a thermal camera. According to Fig. 5, the temperature of the S1 sample, with a voltage change from 4 to 12 V, has a sharp increase in temperature from 35 to 120 °C, while this temperature increment is found to be less for the S2 sample (from 30 to 80 °C), and the S3 sample did not show any temperature change with increasing voltage.

Here, the heat loss as a result of the conduction and radiation from the back can be neglected since the glass substrate is not a good thermal conductor. Therefore, the prominent path of heat loss, which is air convection, is obtainable according to the following formula:

\[
\text{Heat loss} = \frac{1}{h_{con}} + \frac{1}{h_{rad}}
\]
Qg is heat generated at power P for a time duration of Δt, hconv is the convective heat transfer coefficient, Aconv is the surface area, and ts and ti are the saturation and initial temperatures, respectively. As one can infer, with the voltage increment and the resistance decrement, the saturation temperature increases. The S1 sample has less sheet resistance and more hconv than the S3 sample, and for this reason, it can be seen in Fig. 5 that the amount of heat production in the S1 sample at a specific voltage is significantly increased compared to that of the S3 sample. The temperature of the S2 sample, probably due to its high resistance, did not decrease below 4 to 12 V.

Thermal performance. To estimate the amount of heat passing through the material, the Tvis, Rvis, Tsolar, Rsolar, TNIR, RNIR and emissivity values of the samples must be calculated28. The Tvis and Rvis are the rate of transmission and reflection in the area of 400 < λ < 800 nm, Tsolar and Rsolar are the rate of transmittance and reflection in the area of 250 < λ < 2500 nm, and TNIR and RNIR are the rate of transmittance and reflection in the area of 780 < λ < 2500 nm; all of which are shown in Table 2. In this study, to calculate the U-value of each sample, using Window7.8 software, we simulated a double-glazed system comprised of two layers of glass with a thickness of 4 mm and a gap layer containing argon gas. The U-values of all samples are listed in Table 3. In the absence of the ZnO/metal/ZnO coating on double-glazed windows, the U-value is 2.730 W/m² K. However, after the deposition process of the transparent conductive electrode coating, the U-value decreased significantly. The S1 (ZnO/Au/ZnO) sample has the lowest, and the S2 (ZnO/Ag/ZnO) sample has the highest U-value due to its high sheet resistance and high emissivity.
Conclusion
In this work, the structural, optical, electrical, and thermal properties of ZnO/metal/ZnO three-layer films are studied, in which Au, Ag, and Pt metals are deposited in the middle layer on glass substrate using the magnetron sputtering technique. The structural properties of the samples have been studied using RBS and FESEM analyses. In addition, the optical properties of the material were investigated by measuring their transmission and reflection spectrum in the range of 190–2700 nm. The lowest amount of resistance before and after annealing is related to the ZnO/Au/ZnO sample, followed by the highest amount of heat production by applying voltage. The transmittance and reflectance spectra of the samples show that the highest values of the average transmittance in the visible region and the reflectance at the wavelength of 1700 nm are related to the ZnO/Au/ZnO and ZnO/Pt/ZnO samples, respectively. Also, the FOM values in the ZnO/Au/ZnO sample has a maximum value of 5.1 × 10⁻⁴ Ω⁻¹. The FOM values of ZnO/Ag/ZnO and ZnO/Pt/ZnO samples are 0.136 × 10⁻⁴ and 0.105 × 10⁻⁴ (Ω⁻¹), respectively. The amounts of emissivity, Tₚ₀, and R₁₀₇₀ are very effective in heat transfer rate through the material (U-value). For example, the ZnO/Au/ZnO sample has the lowest U-value of 2.16 W/m² K. According to the provided analyzes and calculations, ZnO/Au/ZnO and ZnO/Pt/ZnO samples have a better performance in heat production by applying voltage and reducing the transfer of heat through matter and emissivity than ZnO/Ag/ZnO.
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