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ABSTRACT
The quasi-Newton equation is the very foundation of an assortment of the quasi-Newton methods for optimization minimization problem. In this paper, we deriving a new quasi-Newton equation based on the second-order Taylor’s series expansion. The global convergence is established underneath suitable conditions and numerical results are reported to show that the given algorithm is more effective than those of the normal BFGS method.

Keywords:
Global convergence
Quasi-newton equation
Quasi-newton method

1. INTRODUCTION
The quasi-Newton algorithm is one of the more successful algorithms for unconstrained nonlinear programming [1]. These methods, which use the updating formulas for approximation of the Hessian. To minimize a multi-variable nonlinear function this our objective :

\[ \text{Min } f(x) , x \in \mathbb{R}^n \]  

(1)

where \( f \) is twice differentiable. Throughout the paper, we define by \( B_k \) is a nonnegative definite matrix that estimates the Hessian matrix \( Q_k = \nabla^2 f(x_k) \) of \( f(x_k) \) and \( g_k = \nabla f(x_k) \) is the gradient of \( f(x_k) \). More details can be found in [2]. For most optimization algorithms, the search for the minimizer of (1) is carried out by using :

\[ x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \]  

(2)

where \( \alpha_k \) is the step size, and \( d_k \) is the search direction. Moreover, the search direction \( d_k \) of the quasi-Newton methods often has the form :

\[ B_k d_k + g_k = 0 \]  

(3)
It estimates $B_k$ update formula, we will focus on the BFGS method which has proved to be the most effective of all quasi-Newton methods:

$$B_{k+1}^{\text{BFGS}} = B_k - \frac{B_k s_k s_k^T B_k}{s_k^T B_k s_k} + \frac{y_k y_k^T}{s_k^T y_k}$$ (4)

It's also well known that the matrix $B_{k+1}$ is generated by (4) to satisfy the secant equation:

$$B_{k+1} s_k = y_k$$ (5)

where $s_k = x_{k+1} - x_k = \alpha_k d_k$ and $y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$, for more details see [3, 4].

It is easy to take advantage of the following relationships: $B_{k+1} s_k \leftrightarrow y_k, B_k \leftrightarrow H_k$ where $B_k^{-1} = H_k$, then the famous BFGS formula is designed by:

$$H_{k+1}^{\text{BFGS}} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k s_k^T + s_k y_k^T H_k}{s_k^T y_k} + \left[1 + \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{s_k^T y_k}\right] s_k s_k^T$$ (6)

For details see [5]. When the general function no one has proved the convergence property of the BFGS method. To acquire improved quasi-Newton methods, many modified quasi-Newton equations have been proposed ([6-10], among others) and established its convergence property. Using second-order Taylor’s series approximation of the function to derivation the a new quasi-Newton equation and we study convergence property and numerical results.

2. DERIVING QUASI-NEWTON EQUATIONS

We deriving new quasi-Newton equations based on the second-order Taylor’s series expansion and is defined by:

$$f(x_k) = f(x_{k+1}) - g_{k+1}^T s_k + \frac{1}{2} s_k^T Q s_k$$ (7)

Using exact line search, we get:

$$f(x_k) = f(x_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{2} s_k^T Q s_k$$ (8)

which implies that:

$$s_k^T Q s_k = 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}))$$ (9)

Add and subtract from the right tip the $s_k^T y_k$, we get:

$$s_k^T Q s_k = 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) + s_k^T y_k - s_k^T y_k$$ (10)

The choice $B_{k+1}$ is key to the approximate effect of the Hessian matrix $Q$:

$$s_k^T B_{k+1} s_k = 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) + s_k^T y_k - s_k^T y_k$$ (11)

which implies a new QN equation and as follows:
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\[ B_{k+1}s_k = \overline{v}_k, \quad \overline{v}_k = y_k + 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) - s^T_k y_k v_k \]  \hfill (12)

where \( v_k \) is any vector such that \( s^T_k v_k \neq 0 \). Now we Applying the a new quasi-Newton equation in the two cases:

**Case i:** If \( v_k = y_k \), we get:

\[ \overline{v}_k = y_k + 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) - s^T_k y_k v_k \]  \hfill (13)

**Case ii:** If \( v_k = g_{k+1} \), we get:

\[ \overline{v}_k = y_k + 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) - s^T_k y_k g_{k+1} \]  \hfill (14)

The new algorithm can be staged formally as follows.

**New Algorithm:**

**Stage 1:** Select \( x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( H_0 = I \). Set \( k = 0 \).

**Stage 2:** Stop if \( \|g_k\| = 0 \) i.e. \( s_k^T y_k > 0 \).

**Stage 3:** Generate \( d_k \) by \( d_k = -H_k g_k \).

**Stage 4:** Find a \( \alpha_k \) based on the Wolfe condition satisfies:

\[ f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \leq f(x_k) + \delta \alpha_k g^T_k d_k \]  \hfill (15)

\[ d^T_k g(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) \geq \sigma d^T_k g_k \]  \hfill (16)

**Stage 5:** Variable update, \( x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k \).

**Stage 6:** If \( s_k^T y_k > 0 \), find update \( H_{k+1} \) by the formula (6) and (12), otherwise let \( H_{k+1} = H_k \).

**Stage 7:** Let \( k = k + 1 \). Go to stage 2.

We verify the positive definite property of the update formula for the quasi-Newton method in the next theorem.

**Theorem 1.**

If and if only \( s_k^T y_k > 0 \), then the update \( H_{k+1} \) is positive definite.

**Proof:**

The gradient-difference vector \( y_k \), we define by:

\[ y_k = y_k + 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) - s^T_k y_k v_k \]  \hfill (17)

Multiplying above equation by \( s_k^T \), we obtain:
\[ s_k^T \tilde{y}_k = s_k^T y_k + 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) - s_k^T y_k \]  
(18)

From above equation we get:
\[ s_k^T \tilde{y}_k = 2(f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1})) \]  
(19)

Using first Wolfe condition in above equation, we obtain:
\[ s_k^T \tilde{y}_k \geq -2\delta \alpha_k g_k^T d_k \]  
(20)

In fact, \[ s_k^T g_k = \alpha_k g_k^T g_k < 0, \] such that:
\[ s_k^T \tilde{y}_k \geq -2\delta \alpha_k g_k^T g_k > 0 \]  
(21)

The proof is complete.

3. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Now by using under the following assumption, we twist to the convergence result of the new methods.

**Assumptions:**

a) \( f \) is bounded on the set \( S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) \leq f(x_0) \} \) and is bounded below on \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

b) If there exits a nonnegative constant \( L \) such that:
\[ \| g(z) - g(w) \| \leq L \| z - w \|, \quad \forall \ z, w \in \mathbb{R} \]  
(22)

and \( g \) is called Lipschitz continuous and we get:
\[ \| g(x) \| \leq \gamma, \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R} \]  
(23)

If \( \{ f(x_k) \} \) is a decreasing, then \( \{ x_k \} \) is contained in \( \mathbb{R} \) and the existence of \( x^* \) we get:
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} f(x_k) = f(x^*) \]  
(24)

In veracity, that sequence \( x_k \) is restricted, there exist some positive constant \( \mu \) such that:
\[ \| s_k \| = \| x - x_k \| \leq \| x \| + \| s \| \leq \mu. \]  
(25)

For more details see [11].

**Theorem 2.**

If the following inequality holds:
\[ \| B_k s_k \| \leq a_1 \| s_k \| \quad \text{and} \quad s_k^T B_k s_k \geq a_2 \| s_k \|^2, \]  
(26)

where \( a_1 > 0 \) and \( a_2 > 0 \) are constants and the \( \{ x_k \} \) be generated by new methods. For infinitely \( k \), then we get:
\[ \lim \inf_{k \to \infty} \| g_k \| = 0 \] 
\hspace{1cm} (27)

**Proof:**
Using (26) and adding with \( g_k = -B_k d_k \), we gives :
\[ a_2 \| d_k \| \leq g_k \| \leq a_1 \| d_k \| \quad \text{and} \quad d_k^T B_k d_k \geq a_2 \| d_k \|^2 \] 
\hspace{1cm} (28)

The two conditions form Wolfe rule (15), (16) and (28) to obtain :
\[ -(1-\sigma) g_k^T d_k \leq (g_{k+1} - g_k)^T d_k \leq L \alpha_k \| d_k \|^2 \] 
\hspace{1cm} (29)

Then (29) implies that :
\[ \alpha_k \geq \frac{-(1-\sigma) g_k^T d_k}{L \| d_k \|^2} = \frac{(1-\sigma) d_k^T B_k d_k}{L \| d_k \|^2} \geq \frac{(1-\sigma) a_2}{L} \] 
\hspace{1cm} (30)

Accordingly (24), we have :
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k - f_{k+1}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k - f_{k+1}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} (f_1 - f_{k+1}) = f_1 - f^* \] 
\hspace{1cm} (31)

from which it follows that :
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_k - f_{k+1}) \leq + \infty, \] 
\hspace{1cm} (32)

merge them with Wolfe law (15) leads :
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} -\alpha_k g_k^T d_k \leq + \infty \] 
\hspace{1cm} (33)

We obtained :
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_k g_k^T d_k = 0 \] 
\hspace{1cm} (34)

above equally with (34) give that :
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} d_k^T B_k d_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} -g_k^T d_k = 0 \] 
\hspace{1cm} (35)

Merge (35) with (28) we get the finale (27). If \( f^* \) is non-convex function, we need some assumptions on the update, may can lose the positive definiteness. For every \( k \), we define the index set \( K \)
\[ K = \left\{ k : \frac{s_k^T y_k}{\| s_k \|^2} \geq \beta \| g_k \|^2 \right\}, \] 
\hspace{1cm} (36)

where \( \beta > 0 \) is constant and \( \delta > 0 \) is bounded. The next lemma quoted in [12] is very significant to study the convergence property.
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Lemma 1.
If BFGS method with Wolfe condition is applied to a continuously differentiable function $f$ that is bounded below, and if there exists a constant $M$ such that the inequality holds:

$$\frac{\|y_k\|^2}{s_k^T y_k} \leq M$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

then:

$$\lim \inf_{k \to \infty} \|g_k\| = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

A cautious update rule similar to the above lemma.

Theorem 3.
Suppose $\{x_k\}$ be generated by the new method. Then we get:

$$\lim \inf_{k \to \infty} \|g_k\| = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

Proof:
In view of Theorem 2., sufficiently show that (26) holds for infinitely $k$. If $K$ is a finite set, then $B_k$ is a constant-matrix, obviously, (26) satisfies. Now, if $K$ is a infinite we will deduce a contradiction with there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that:

$$\|g_k\| > \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

It follows from (36), we obtain:

$$s_k^T y_k \geq \beta \sigma \|s_k\|$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

Using definition of $\tilde{y}_k$, we have:

$$\|\tilde{y}_k\| = \left\|y_k + \frac{2(f_k - f_{k+1}) - s_k^T y_k}{s_k^T v_k} v_k \right\| \leq \|y_k\|$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

It follows from (22) and (42) we obtain:

$$\|\tilde{y}_k\| \leq \|y_k\| \leq L\|s_k\|$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

This, together with (41), lead to:

$$\frac{\|\tilde{y}_k\|^2}{s_k^T \tilde{y}_k} \leq M$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)
Applying lemma 1, to the \( \{B_k\}_{k=1}^n \), there exist, \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \), we obtain (21) for infinitely many \( k \).
Then, proof is finished.

**4. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS**

It has been programmed subroutine Matlab to test the modified BFGS algorithm presented in the previous section. We tested the algorithm on the following problems that have been taken from [13]. Different test functions have been used in different research such as [14-24].

All the problems are being resolved successfully, and numbers are given duplicates and job evaluation in Table 1. We have solved these problems through the BFGS algorithm, as shown in Table 1 the numerical results of the new algorithm. The Himmelblau [25], stop rule is used: If \( |f(x_i)| > 10^{-5} \), let \( stop_1 = \left( f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \right) / f(x_k) \); Otherwise, let \( stop_1 = \left( f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \right) / f(x_k) \); For every problem, if \( \|g_k\| < \varepsilon \) or \( stop_1 < 10^{-5} \) is satisfied, the program will be stopped. To compare the efficiency of roads in Table 1, we adopt the number of iterations (NI) and the number of evaluations of jobs (NF). Numerically results show that the new algorithm is a little better than the usual BFGS algorithm in this group of test problems.

| Problems | n | BFGS algorithm | BFGS with \( v_i = y_k \) | BFGS with \( v_i = g_{k+1} \) |
|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Froth   | 2 | 9, 26          | 9, 26          | 11, 32         |
| Badscp  | 2 | 43, 166        | 34, 125        | 3, 31          |
| Badsb   | 2 | 3, 30          | 3, 30          | 3, 30          |
| Beale   | 2 | 15, 50         | 13, 43         | 13, 38         |
| Jensam  | 2 | 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Helix   | 2 | 3, 113         | 24, 80         | 17, 49         |
| Bard    | 2 | 16, 54         | 17, 56         | 12, 36         |
| Gauss   | 2 | 4, 2            | 4, 2           | 2, 4           |
| Gulf    | 2 | 27             | 27             | 27             |
| Box     | 2 | 27             | 27             | 27             |
| Sing    | 4 | 20, 60         | 14, 44         | 11, 35         |
| Wood    | 4 | 19, 61         | 19, 61         | 7, 22          |
| Kowosh  | 4 | 21, 65         | 23, 117        | 10, 28         |
| Bd      | 4 | 17, 54         | 16, 50         | 8, 27          |
| Osb1    | 5 | 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Biggs   | 6 | 25, 72         | 8, 50          | 9, 27          |
| Osb2    | 11| 3, 31          | 3, 31          | 3, 31          |
| Watson  | 20 | 31, 102        | 34, 108        | 6, 20          |
| Rosex   | 100| 231, 806       | 46, 197        | 33, 765        |
| Singx   | 400| 64, 209        | 126, 397       | 10, 32         |
| Pen1    | 400| 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Pen2    | 200| 2, 5           | 2, 5           | 2, 5           |
| Vardi   | 100| 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Trig    | 500| 9, 33          | 8, 28          | 14, 42         |
| Bv      | 500| 2, 4           | 2, 4           | 2, 4           |
| Ie      | 500| 6, 16          | 7, 19          | 13, 37         |
| Band    | 500| 57, 281        | 15, 81         | 5, 16          |
| Lin     | 500| 2, 4           | 2, 4           | 2, 4           |
| Lin0    | 500| 3, 7           | 3, 7           | 3, 7           |
| Total   | 649| 2422, 445      | 1736, 214      | 1491           |

Computational results show that a reduction of (31-67)% and (28-38)% in terms of the total number of iterations and function evaluations respectively. Relative efficiency of the new algorithms as shown in Table 2.

| Problems | n | BFGS algorithm | BFGS with \( v_i = y_k \) | BFGS with \( v_i = g_{k+1} \) |
|----------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Froth   | 2 | 9, 26          | 9, 26          | 11, 32         |
| Badscp  | 2 | 43, 166        | 34, 125        | 3, 31          |
| Badsb   | 2 | 3, 30          | 3, 30          | 3, 30          |
| Beale   | 2 | 15, 50         | 13, 43         | 13, 38         |
| Jensam  | 2 | 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Helix   | 2 | 3, 113         | 24, 80         | 17, 49         |
| Bard    | 2 | 16, 54         | 17, 56         | 12, 36         |
| Gauss   | 2 | 4, 2            | 4, 2           | 2, 4           |
| Gulf    | 2 | 27             | 27             | 27             |
| Box     | 2 | 27             | 27             | 27             |
| Sing    | 4 | 20, 60         | 14, 44         | 11, 35         |
| Wood    | 4 | 19, 61         | 19, 61         | 7, 22          |
| Kowosh  | 4 | 21, 65         | 23, 117        | 10, 28         |
| Bd      | 4 | 17, 54         | 16, 50         | 8, 27          |
| Osb1    | 5 | 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Biggs   | 6 | 25, 72         | 8, 50          | 9, 27          |
| Osb2    | 11| 3, 31          | 3, 31          | 3, 31          |
| Watson  | 20 | 31, 102        | 34, 108        | 6, 20          |
| Rosex   | 100| 231, 806       | 46, 197        | 33, 765        |
| Singx   | 400| 64, 209        | 126, 397       | 10, 32         |
| Pen1    | 400| 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Pen2    | 200| 2, 5           | 2, 5           | 2, 5           |
| Vardi   | 100| 2, 27          | 2, 27          | 2, 27          |
| Trig    | 500| 9, 33          | 8, 28          | 14, 42         |
| Bv      | 500| 2, 4           | 2, 4           | 2, 4           |
| Ie      | 500| 6, 16          | 7, 19          | 13, 37         |
| Band    | 500| 57, 281        | 15, 81         | 5, 16          |
| Lin     | 500| 2, 4           | 2, 4           | 2, 4           |
| Lin0    | 500| 3, 7           | 3, 7           | 3, 7           |
| Total   | 649| 2422, 445      | 1736, 214      | 1491           |
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5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we derive a new quasi-Newton equation based on the second-order Taylor's series approximation of the function. We conclude by affirming that the arithmetical findings in this work was effective to solving optimization problems.
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