Comparative transcriptomic analysis of roots of contrasting Gossypium herbaceum genotypes revealing adaptation to drought
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Abstract

Background: Root length and its architecture govern the adaptability of plants to various stress conditions, including drought stress. Genetic variations in root growth, length, and architecture are genotype-dependent. In this study, we compared the drought-induced transcriptome of four genotypes of Gossypium herbaceum that differed in their drought tolerance adaptability. Three different methodologies, namely, microarray, pyrosequencing, and qRT-PCR, were used for transcriptome analysis and validation.

Results: The variations in root length and growth were found among four genotypes of G. herbaceum when exposed to mannitol-induced osmotic stress. Under osmotic stress, the drought tolerant genotypes Vagad and GujCot-21 showed a longer root length than did by drought sensitive RAHS-14 and RAHS-IPS-187. Further, the gene expression patterns in the root tissue of all genotypes were analyzed. We obtained a total of 794 differentially expressed genes by microarray and 104928 high-quality reads representing 53195 unigenes from the root transcriptome. The Vagad and GujCot-21 respond to water stress by inducing various genes and pathways such as response to stresses, response to water deprivation, and flavonoid pathways. Some key regulatory genes involved in abiotic stress such as AP2 EREBP, MYB, WRKY, ERF, ERD9, and LEA were highly expressed in Vagad and GujCot-21. The genes RHD3, NAP1, LBD, and transcription factor WRKY75, known for root development under various stress conditions, were expressed specifically in Vagad and GujCot-21. The genes related to peroxidases, transporters, cell wall-modifying enzymes, and compatible solutes (amino acids, amino sugars, betaine, sugars, or sugar alcohols) were also highly expressed in Vagad and GujCot-21.

Conclusion: Our analysis highlights changes in the expression pattern of genes and depicts a small but highly specific set of drought responsive genes induced in response to drought stress. Some of these genes were very likely to be involved in drought stress signaling and adaptation, such as transmembrane nitrate transporter, alcohol dehydrogenase, pyruvate decarboxylase, sucrose synthase, and LEA. These results might serve as the basis for an in-depth genomics study of Gossypium herbaceum, including a comparative transcriptome analysis and the selection of genes for root traits and drought tolerance.
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Background

During this century, water limitations are likely to increase in agriculture, and hence, the development of crop varieties with better water use efficiency (WUE) is of prime importance in agriculture. The root significantly contributes to the management of water stress and the adaptability of plants to stress [1]. Thus, recently, a significant number of studies focusing on genetic variation in root development and its response to drought and other abiotic stress were reported in wheat [1], soybean [2], and maize [3,4]. Root length depicts the adaptability for drought stress in Oryza sativa [5,6]. Larger root systems provide the ability for plants to compete for nutrients, give them support for water uptake, and enable them to survive in water deficiency [7,8]. Root system development and its
architectures is determined by intrinsic genetic properties; modulated by various environmental factors, nutrients, and water availability; and presumably governed by many genes [9]. Several genes that control root architecture and development have been identified in Arabidopsis thaliana, Zea mays, and Oryza sativa in recent genetic studies [10-12]. The list of genes includes LBD16/ASL18, LBD29/ASL16, and CRL1/ARL1, which are reported to regulate lateral root formation and help in root gravitropism [10]. Further, RHD3 was reported to be responsible for the formation and elongation of root hairs [13]. The transcription factors HD-ZIP and PLETHORA (PLT) were primarily responsible for the molecular origin of the root and cell-type differentiation [14,15]. Similarly, other transcription factors, namely WRKY75, ZAT6 [16-18], and bHLH32 [19], have been reported to play a critical role in root development and growth under phosphate deficiency. The root plays a vital role in maintaining the physiological condition of plants during water stress. The transpiration rate and stomatal conductance of plants are reduced during drought stress, and they are stimulated by chemical and hormonal signaling before hydraulic signaling in the roots [20]. Various signaling molecules such as auxin and cytokinin are produced in the roots and play a crucial role in shoots during the drought stress in plants [21]. The auxin helps in cell division, tropisms, vascular differentiation, and maintenance of the root meristem [22]. Simultaneously, in response to external stimuli such as drought, it changes the transcriptional programs of specific cells types and transmits the spatial information in different plant organs [23]. Cytokinin signaling is mediated through histidine kinase pathways in root tissues under the drought condition [24]. Cytokinin regulates the pattern formation and differentiation of the vascular tissue of roots during root development [25]. Various signal transduction cascades mediating hormonal signaling in response to nutrient deprivation and drought stress and their transcriptional responses have been elucidated from microarray and transcriptome sequencing studies [26]. Drought stress creates a redox imbalance in plant cells, resulting in a cascade of changes in various signaling pathways, cell wall-membrane integrity, and produces various antioxidant enzymes [27]. Many of these enzymes, including superoxide dismutases (SODs), ascorbate peroxidases (APXs), catalases (CATs), glutathione peroxidases, and peroxiredoxins, help in the maintenance of the redox balance of cells under the stress condition [28]. The roles of various membrane transporters have been characterized under drought and salt stress, which provide osmotic balance and ionic homeostasis in plant cells [29].

The diploid (2n = 26) species of cotton, namely G. herbaceum and G.arboreum, are indigenous in Asia and Africa and are commonly referred to as desi cottons in India [30,31]. The Gossypium herbaceum (A1-genome) and Gossypium arboreum (A2-genome) have an inherent ability to sustain drought stress [32]. In drought-prone areas in Asia, these two species are preferentially cultivated due to their ability to withstand drought [32]. We recently published a detailed physiological investigation and conducted genome-wide expression studies on leaves of drought-tolerant Vagad and drought-sensitive RAHS-14 genotypes [31]. We identified the various genes and inherent biochemical pathways that interact in drought-tolerant genotypes to provide them with an adaptive advantage in the stress condition [31]. Here, we report the expression profiling of the root of four genotypes of Gossypium herbaceum, namely Vagad, GujCot-21 (drought tolerant), RAHS-14, and RAHS-IPS-187 (drought sensitive) using Affymetrix cotton expression array and GS-FLX pyrosequencing in response to drought stress. We identified many stress-responsive genes and biochemical pathways that were differentially expressed in roots during the drought condition in the selected genotypes. Further, we could assign these root-specific genes to different root zones based on the Genevestigator tool. These differentially expressed, tissue-specific genes in the contrasting genotypes reflect the probable mechanistic details of the adaptability of tolerant genotypes to water stress.

Methods
Measurement of root length
Cotton (G.herbaceum) seeds of Vagad, GujCot-21, RAHS-IPS-187, and RAHS-14 were sterilized, aseptically immersed in water for a day at 30°C, and then placed for germination in a moist petri dish under the following condition: 28°C/25°C as day and night temperature, 12 h of light and dark period alternatively, and a relative humidity of 80%. After 3 days, the properly germinated seeds were transferred to paper rafts, which were submerged (3/4th) in Hoagland’s media containing a different percentage of mannitol (4%, 6%, and 8%), and only Hoagland’s media was considered as a control [31]. The seedlings were then allowed to grow until they displayed healthy growth in the control condition; next, the root lengths of the grown seedlings of all genotypes were photographed and measured.

Drought treatments and growth condition
Cotton (G.herbaceum) seeds of four genotypes, namely Vagad, RAHS-14, GujCot-21, and RAHS-IPS-187, were germinated with six seedlings each in an earthen pot in the soil (one plant/pot) and grown in a greenhouse (16-h-light/8-h-dark cycles) at 28°C to 30°C with a relative humidity of 50-60% and a photosynthetically active radiation of 900 μmol m-2 s-1. After 4 weeks, plants
containing six leaves were selected for the experiments and drought stress was imposed by withholding water for seven days (soil moisture below 30%), and control plants were watered regularly [31]. After 7 days of drought treatment, drooping effects on plant leaves became prominent; the plants were uprooted, and the roots of both control and drought treated plants were homogenized in liquid nitrogen before proceeding with RNA isolation.

Isolation of RNA and real-time PCR
Total RNA was isolated in three independent biological replicates of each genotype using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and eluted with nuclease-free water. After DNaseI (Ambion) treatment, they were quantified, and checked for integrity using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). For the analysis of target genes by RT-PCR, cDNA was synthesized from the total RNA of Vagad and RAHS-14 by reverse transcriptase using the cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RT-PCR was performed in replicates with 1 μl cDNA using the SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with PCR was performed in replicates with 1 μl cDNA using primer3/input.htm). The primer details are listed in Additional file 1. The relative quantification method (ΔΔCT) was used for the quantitative evaluation of the gene expression.

Affymetrix gene chip experiment and microarray data analysis
Three sets of biological replicates of Vagad and RAHS-14 under control and drought conditions (3x2x2) were taken independently, constituting a total of twelve cotton chips for analysis. For Affymetrix gene chip experiment (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA), 1 μg total RNA of root tissue was used for making biotin-labeled cRNA targets, hybridization. Synthesis of cDNA, cRNA, and its fragmentation, hybridization, washing, staining, and scanning were conducted according to the gene chip standard protocol (Eukaryotic Target Preparation; Affymetrix). The signal intensity of each probe set on the cotton gene chip was analyzed using Affymetrix GCOS software, and the target mean value (TGT) was scaled as being 500 for each chip. Student’s t-test analysis and log2-transformed signal ratio of each probe set were carried out by the Array Assist Software 5.2.2 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Differentially expressed genes with a detection p value ≤ 0.05 were considered present in three biological replicate experiments. Gene expression data analyses were completed using a filtered RNA expression value. The annotation of the probe set in the Affymetrix cotton genome array was mapped with the locus ID of Arabidopsis TAIR10 version by BLAST. Based on the annotation, the expressed genes were analyzed. Gene ontology analysis was performed for the functional categorization of differentially expressed genes using agriGO tool (http://bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agriGO/), and the p-values were corrected by applying the false discovery rate correction to control falsely rejected hypothesis during the analysis. The FDR corrected p-value of ≤ 0.05 was assumed as the cutoff value. Microarray gene expression data used in this study were MIAME compliant and deposited in NCBI-GEO with accession number GSE36249.

Double strand cDNA library construction and GS-FLX pyrosequencing
Total RNA (3 μg) from the root tissue of GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 was reverse transcribed using a T7-Oligo (dT) Promoter Primer in the first-strand cDNA synthesis (Affymetrix). After RNase H-mediated second-strand cDNA synthesis, the double-stranded cDNA was enriched and served as a template in the subsequent in vitro transcription (IVT) reaction (Affymetrix). The IVT reaction was carried out in the presence of T7 RNA Polymerase (Affymetrix). The cRNA (3 μg) was reverse transcribed in the first-strand cDNA synthesis step by using a random hexamer primer, followed by RNase H-mediated second-strand cDNA synthesis in replicates. The replicate samples were pooled and purified by a column (QIAquick PCR purification kit) and further used for GS-FLX pyrosequencing.

Emulsion based clonal amplification
Double-strand cDNAs obtained from GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 were used for GS FLX library preparation. Approximately 5 μg of double-strand cDNA was sheared by nebulization at 206 kPa for 2–4 min. The fragmented cDNA were amplified in aqueous droplets that were made through the creation of a PCR reaction mixture in emulsion oil (Roche Diagnostics). The droplets acted as separate microreactors in which parallel DNA amplifications were performed, yielding approximately 10⁷ copies of a template per bead. After PCR, the emulsion was broken to release the beads containing the amplified DNA template. The beads carrying the templates were enriched and deposited by centrifugation into the open wells of a 70 x 70 mm² optical picotiter plate for sequencing.

Assembly and annotation of transcriptome
All sequence analyses were conducted using a publicly available software, R package (www.R.project.org). The
pyrosequencing reads were assembled after quality control using software version 2.5 newbler, and the assembly was performed using a 40 bp overlap length and a 90% identity. Transcripts annotation was performed by BLASTX using the Basic Local Alignment Tool against the NR database from NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/); from TAIR (http://www.arabidopsis.org/) and the BLASTN for EST cotton database available at NCBI. The GS-FLX sequence reads discussed in this article can be found in the Genebank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank) of the National Center for Biotechnology with accession number SRA029162.1.

Digital expression analysis
For the digital expression analysis, the reads for both libraries (GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187) were tagged and pooled to form a large dataset of 104928 reads. These reads were assembled using the newbler assembler at an overlapping of 40 bp and a 90% identity. These reads were assembled into 2080 contigs. We calculated TPM value and R value using the R statistics for supercontigs and those with R value ≥ 3 and Fold change ≥ 2 were considered significantly differentially expressed contigs [33]. These filtered contigs were annotated using BLASTX against the NCBI-NR database, the TAIR, and BLASTN was used for the cotton EST public database. The Genevestigator (https://www.genevestigator.com) software was used for the tissue-specific expression analysis of differentially expressed genes.

GO and KOBAS analysis
The functional classification of unigenes was performed by assigning gene ontology annotation codes. Only those unigenes that expressed a significant Blast result against the TAIR database were used for GO annotation. The GO annotation for level three was extracted for each library and used for further analysis. The biochemical pathway assignments were carried out according to kobas (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/home.do).

Results
Root growth determined drought tolerance properties in cotton
Different genotypes of *G. herbaceum* were screened for apparent differences in the root growth under control and osmotic stress conditions. The four genotypes, namely Vagad, GujCot-21, RAHS-14, and RAHS-IPS-187, exhibited contrasting differences in their root structure under control and osmotic stress conditions (Figure 1). Vagad and GujCot-21 have a longer root length as compared with RAHS-14 and RAHS-IPS-187 in the control condition. The difference was most pronounced in osmotic stress treatment by different concentrations of mannitol, which showed a significantly longer root length in Vagad and GujCot-21 at 6% of mannitol concentration as compared with a root length of RAHS-14 and RAHS-IPS-187. Further, at 8% of mannitol concentration, Vagad and GujCot-21 showed stunted growth of the root, but in RAHS-14 and RAHS-IPS-187, the development of the root was completely abolished (Figure 1). For further molecular analysis of the root of these genotypes, Vagad and RAHS-14 were analyzed by microarray, and GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 were analyzed by pyrosequencing.

Comparative gene expression analysis of root tissue of Vagad and RAHS-14 by Affymetrix cotton gene chip
Expression profiling experiments conducted on cotton root samples from drought-tolerant (Vagad) and sensitive (RAHS-14) genotypes were compared by a commercial Affymetrix cotton gene chip, which is represented by 21,854 transcripts of cotton. The differentially expressed transcripts of the root from Vagad and RAHS-14 under drought stress and control conditions were determined. The genes that expressed equal or greater than two fold at a p-value ≤ 0.05 were assigned as differentially expressing genes for further analysis.

Differentially expressed (DE) gene analysis
Our analysis identified 156 and 538 transcripts as being differentially up-regulated at the FC ≥ 2, p ≤ 0.05 significance level in RAHS-14 under water and drought conditions, respectively (Additional file 2 and Additional file 3). Similarly, 165 and 256 transcripts were identified as being differentially up-regulated at the FC ≥ 2, p ≤ 0.05 significance level in Vagad under watered and drought conditions, respectively (Additional file 4 and Additional file 5). Out of 538 differentially expressed genes in RAHS-14 under the drought condition, 80 genes exhibited an expression more than five fold as compared with Vagad root in the drought condition. These genes include cyclopropane fatty acid synthase, transcription regulator NOT family transcription factor, curculin-like lectin proteins, pectin esterase, mitochondrial transporter, cytochrome p450, brassinosteroid insensitive 1- associated receptor kinase, and heat-shock protein family (Additional file 3). Many of the genes in RAHS-14 (probe sets 538) represent stress-responsive genes as per their GO annotation and were previously shown to be involved in abiotic stress response. Similarly, 156 genes that expressed more than two fold in RAHS-14 under the water condition include senescence-associated protein (FC > 50), zinc finger protein, dehydration-associated proteins, AP2 domains containing transcription factor, and NAC domain transcription factor observed a higher expression in RAHS-14 (Additional file 2). The 165 genes uniquely up-regulated in Vagad under the water condition include metal...
binding proteins (FC > 200), osmotin-like protein, cytochrome, and various other genes and exhibited more than two fold expression (Additional file 4). While in drought stress, the 256 up-regulated genes include xyloglucan endotransglycosylase, serine proteases, lipid-binding protein, glycine-rich protein, sodium/potassium proton exchanger, and aquaporins proteins (Additional file 5).

Gene ontology enrichment and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes

To identify the possible biological pathways that govern the responses of differentially expressed genes, gene ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway analysis was carried out for Vagad and RAHS-14 under water and drought stress. The GO annotation mapping of RAHS-14 revealed that the genes assigned to GO terms for the thiamine metabolic process (6 genes) and response to auxin (5 genes) were exclusively enriched in the water condition (Figure 2). However, under drought stress, the genes cover a broad range of GO categories that represents response to abiotic stimulus, response to biotic stimulus, sucrose metabolic process, response to heat, cell death, and peptidase activity were enriched only under the drought condition in RAHS-14 (Figure 2). Various other stress-responsive GO terms significantly present under the drought condition were transferase activity, cellular ketone metabolic process, oxidoreductase activity, response to stress, transition metal ion binding, amine metabolic process, kinase activity, ATP binding, macromolecular complex, and integral to membrane (Figure 2). A small set of GO terms were over-represented under the water condition, such as ligase.

Figure 1 Effect of osmotic stress induced by different percentages of mannitol in the hydroponics condition on the development of the root of Vagad, GujCot21, RAHS-14, and RAHS-IPS187.
activity, protein binding, transcription factor activity, and chloroplast related genes in RAHS-14 (Figure 2). Other GO terms with a nearly similar expression level under both water and drought conditions in RAHS-14 were response to hormone stimulus, transcription regulator activity, and DNA binding activity in RAHS-14 (Figure 2).

Similarly, GO annotation mapping in Vagad under the drought condition showed that various differentially expressed genes assigned to GO terms were involved in nucleic acid binding, response to abiotic stimulus, cellular carbohydrate metabolic process, and ATP binding (Figure 3). Likewise, the GO terms covered a broad range of stress and membrane integrity-related categories that were significantly enriched under drought as compared with the water, which includes the response to stress, hydrolase activity, macromolecular complex, regulation of the biological process, integral to membrane, intrinsic to membrane, transporter activity, cellular metabolic process, and so on (Figure 3). A single GO term response to biotic stimulus was present differentially under the water condition (Figure 3). Under the water condition, some interesting GO terms were over-represented in Vagad, which include oxidoreductase activity, ion binding, metal ion binding, catabolic process, cellular amine metabolic process, cellular nitrogen compound metabolic process, and so on (Figure 3). When subjected to drought stress, as an adaptive mechanism, Vagad underwent re-programming of a large number of genes; hence, almost all GO terms exhibited vast differences at the expression level.
Microarray validation: quantitative RT-PCR analysis
To verify the microarray results, quantitative PCR assays were carried out for the 12 selected genes. Three genes, namely Ghi.6528.1.S1_at, GraAffx.8742.1.S1_at, and GraAffx.33038.1.S1_s_at, were identified as being up-regulated in response to drought stress, and three genes, namely squalene monooxygenase (Ghi.482.1.A1_s_at), GhiAffx.46297.1.S1_s_at, and GhiAffx.43814.1.S1_at, were identified as being down-regulated in Vagad in microarray data analysis and were selected for further validation (Figure 4A). Similarly, three genes, namely GhiAffx.60321.1.S1_x_at, Ghi.6435.1.A1_at, and GhiAffx.31372.1.S1_at, were identified as being down-regulated in Vagad in microarray data analysis and were selected for further validation (Figure 4A). Similarly, three genes, namely GhiAffx.60321.1.S1_x_at, Ghi.6435.1.A1_at, and GhiAffx.31372.1.S1_at, were identified as being down-regulated in response to drought stress, and three genes, namely senescence-associated protein (Ghi.743.1.S1_at), Ghi.1092.3.S1_s_at, and Ghi.3284.1.S1_s_at, were identified as being down-regulated in RAHS-14 and were selected for further validation by using quantitative RT-PCR (Figure 4B). The quantitative RT-PCR analysis demonstrated that the up-regulated genes in Vagad showed 5- to 20-fold higher expressions in response to drought stress and that the down-regulated genes showed 5- to 30-fold repression in response to drought. The results were similar in case of the genes selected for RAHS-14. Thus, quantitative RT-PCR results agree with microarray analysis and, hence, validate the microarray data.

Gene expression analysis of tolerant (GujCot-21) and sensitive genotype (RAHS-IPS-187) under drought stress by pyrosequencing of transcriptomes
Our initial analysis suggested that GujCot-21 was yet another drought-tolerant genotype, and RAHS-IPS-187 was a drought-sensitive genotype in our studies (Figure 1). Thus, the genotypes GujCot-21 (drought tolerant) and RAHS-IPS-187 (drought sensitive) of G. herba-ceum were taken for further analysis by transcriptome sequencing of their root tissue to investigate gene expression patterns under drought stress. The 55620 and 49308 sequencing reads were obtained from GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187, respectively (Table 1a). The average
lengths of the assembled contigs and singletons were nearly 481 bp and 237 bp, respectively (Table 1a). The average depth of the contigs in GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 was about five reads per contig. For differential expression analysis of the genes in GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187, the reads from both genotypes were tagged and pooled to form one large dataset that was assembled into contigs by using Roche’s GS-assembler (overlap length ≥40 bp and 90% identity). The 104,928 reads were clustered into 2,664 contigs and 50,531 singletons with an average length of 508 bp and 231 bp, respectively, for the expression analysis (Table 1a). The significant changes in gene expression as transcript per million (TPM) were calculated using the R statistics (R value ≥ 3) (Additional file 6).

**Annotation of root transcriptome of *Gossypium herbaceum***

The resulting contigs and singletons were queried against the NBCI NR, TAIR database for annotation using the BLASTX program at a stringency of e-value of 10E-5 and greater than 50% overlap. Each library was annotated with these databases separately. Out of the total contigs and singletons in GujCot-21, 1,011 contigs and 17,081 singletons were annotated with the TAIR database (Table 1b, Additional file 7), 1,056 contigs and

---

**Table 1** Summary of transcriptome sequencing data

|               | GujCot-21 | RAHS-IPS-187 | Supercontigs |
|---------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|
|Reads          | 55,620    | 49,308       | 1,04,928     |
|Bases          | 13,020,140| 11,199,207   | 24,219,347   |
|Contigs        | 1,281     | 858          | 2,664        |
|Singleton      | 30,501    | 50,531       | 50,531       |
|Av. Contig length | 481.7 bp         | 532.9 bp     | 508.7 bp     |
|Av. S. length  | 237.8 bp  | 228.6 bp     | 231.7 bp     |

---

**(b)**

|                  | Contigs | Singleton | Contigs | Singleton | Contigs | Singleton |
|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|
|TAIR              | 1,011   | 17,081    | 1,056   | 9,885     | 779     | 14,476    |
|NR                | 682     | 16,856    | 713     | 9,885     | 488     | 12,383    |
|Cotton EST       | 2,080   | 26,838    | 2,163   | 17,204    | 1,537   | 20,552    |

---

**(c)**

|                | TAIR | NR | Cotton_EST | Total hits | No- hits |
|----------------|------|----|------------|------------|----------|
|GujCot-21      | 1,011| 1,056| 779 | 1266         | 15       |
|RAHS-IPS-187   | 682  | 713  | 488 | 855          | 2        |
|Supercontigs   | 2,080| 2,163| 1,537| 2432         | 232      |

(a) Sequencing and assembly output (b) Annotation of contigs against NCBI-NR,TAIR and cotton EST (c) total number of annotated and novel contigs (no hit).
18392 singletons with the NR database, respectively (Additional file 8). In RAHS-IPS 187, 682 contigs and 16856 singletons were annotated with TAIR, 713 contigs and 9885 singletons with the NR database, respectively (Table 1b). For the digital expression analysis, the assembled data of GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 were also annotated with these databases. Approximately 81.25% of the assembled data produced significant hits with the TAIR and NR database. A total of 2080 supercontigs and 26838 singletons were annotated with the TAIR, 2163 supercontigs and 17204 singletons with the NR, respectively. To find the common sequences between already reported cotton ESTs and our unigenes, we queried the our dataset against all publicly available types, sensitive genotypes, and supercontigs, respectively. uniquely present in all the 3 database of tolerant genotypes, sensitive genotypes, and supercontigs, respectively. RAHS-IPS-187, respectively, matched with cotton ESTs (Additional file 9). Only 15 sequences from GujCot-21 and 2 fromRAHS-IPS-187 did not have any match with NR, TAIR and cotton EST database and hence were considered unique genes (Table 1c). The number of contigs was assigned as unique and common in NCBI; the TAIR and cotton EST databases and presented in Additional file 10. A total of 15, 2, and 232 contigs were uniquely present in all the 3 database of tolerant genotypes, sensitive genotypes, and supercontigs, respectively.

Transcriptome analysis of cotton under drought stress reveals large number of drought induced genes and novel transcripts

Differentially expressed genes between GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS187 were calculated using the R statistics (R value ≥3), and 2026 genes were differentially expressed in both the genotypes. For each of the contigs, the counts were converted to transcripts per million (TPM), which were transformed [log2 (fold change values)], and their differences were calculated for the fold change between GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 (Additional file 6). The quantitative profiling of the transcriptome reveals that 1503 and 1160 genes were differentially up-regulated in GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 genotypes, respectively, as compared with each other. Interestingly, 135 (17.95%) and 96 (18.17%) genes were up-regulated in GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 genotypes, respectively, and showed no hit in any database. Further, to obtain a global view of the cotton transcriptome and gene activity in two contrasting genotypes GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187, differentially expressed genes were compared on the basis of their functional annotation. We found that the over-representation of the transcripts related to oxidoreductases, ribosomal proteins, membrane transporter, calcium ion binding proteins, ATPases, dehydrogenases, heat shock protein, and various enzymatic process related to other activities in GujCot21, where as in case of RAHS-IPS-187 transcripts related to hydrodases, nuclear proteins and structural proteins like structural constituent of cytoskeleton were over-represented (Additional file 6). There are several possible implications for the enrichment of these functional classes of genes in specific genotypes. The relative abundance of oxidoreductases, membrane transporter, cell wall-related proteins, and heat shock protein in GujCot-21 may be directly related to their drought-tolerant behavior.

Functional annotation of differentially expressed genes by GO and KOBAS

In order to assess the differentially expressed genes with their associated biological pathways and to study the plant adaptations to limited water condition in the drought-contrasting genotype of cotton, several unique and common pathways affected by drought stress in GujCot-21 (1504 genes involved) and RAHS-IPS-187 (1161 genes involved) were identified. The significant GO terms (p-value ≤ 0.05) were characterized into biological processes and molecular functions by agriGO (http://bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agriGO/). The most significant categories, namely response to water deprivation (24%), response to jasmonic acid stimulus (21%), ethylene-mediated signaling pathway (10%), hyperosmotic response (7%), hyperosmotic salinity response (6%), water transmembrane transporter activity (6%), and so on, were the major abiotic stress-related GO categories exclusively present in GujCot-21 and, hence, gained attention. Other GO terms exclusively present in GujCot-21 include response to inorganic substance (30%), methionine metabolic process (9%), anatomical structure arrangement (8%), response to brassinosteroid stimulus (6%), negative regulation of signal transduction (5%), and so on. Also noteworthy were the categories of response to heat (22%), water-soluble vitamin biosynthetic process (8%), response to sucrose stimulus (8%), starch biosynthetic process (5%), regulation of stomatal movement (5%), and so on, which were exclusively present in RAHS-IPS-187 with interesting GO terms. The genes associated with 4 common metabolic pathways include response to reactive oxygen species (12% and 9% of associated genes), response to hydrogen peroxide (8% and 6% of genes), response to high light intensity (7% and 9% of genes), and lipid localization (5% and 7% of genes) in GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187, respectively (Figure 5 and additional file 6). In addition, the KOBAS pathway analysis was also performed to determine the various specific pathways involved in the differential up-regulation of genes. Several biochemical pathways were mapped from gene sets of GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187; among them, significant KOBAS-terms were filtered with a stringent criterion of a p-value ≤ 0.05.
Except one pathway, all the other KOBAS terms filtered were exclusively present in either of the genotypes. Significantly, nine KOBAS pathways were specifically modulated in GujCot-21 and six in RAHS-IPS-187 (Additional file 6). A large number of genes from GujCot-21 (91 genes) were mapped to the ribosome; ath03010, making highest a p-value of 6.10E-03, and 33 genes form RAHS-IPS-187 with a p-value of 9.52E-05. Spliceosome; ath03040 is the second-most significant in GujCot-21 and includes 17 associated genes (p-value - 0.006). Several other interesting and important pathways such as Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis (ath00010; P-value - 0.024017), Flavonoid biosynthesis (ath00941; P-value - 0.038), Fatty acid metabolism (ath0071; P-value – 0.043), Circadian rhythm - plant (ath04712; P-value - 0.044), Terpenoid backbone biosynthesis (ath00900, P-value – 0.045), Histidine metabolism (ath00340; P-value – 0.052), and beta-alanine metabolism (ath00410; P-value – 0.054) were involved and synthesized in response to drought stress in GujCot-21 (Figure 6A). A pathway analysis of the genes present in RAHS-IPS-187 revealed plant hormone signal transduction (ath04075; P-value - 5.81E-05) to be the most significantly represented. It was followed by Phagosome (ath04145; P-value - 0.001), Endocytosis (ath04144; P-value - 0.005), Ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis (ath04120; P-value - 0.029), and Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum (ath04141; P-value – 0.033), which were biochemical pathways affected by drought stress in RAHS-IPS-187 (Figure 6B). These biochemical pathways present in GujCot-21 and RAHS-IPS-187 indicate the complexity of the metabolic changes involved in plant responses to drought stress.

Higher expression of antioxidant enzymes may contribute to drought tolerance in cotton

We identified the transcripts that encode various antioxidant enzymes which were predominantly expressed in GujCot-21, and, hence, these genes could be considered probable components of the complex gene networks involved in the drought condition. Hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and superoxide are the major reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are produced in plant cells under biotic or abiotic stresses [34]. Plants have
developed several strategies to minimize the oxidative damage of ROS [28]. For instance, hydrogen peroxide is eliminated by catalases (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidases (APX), and superoxide is eliminated by superoxide dismutase (SOD). Cytosolic glutathione reductases (GR) also play a role in the detoxification of H$_2$O$_2$ [35]. Microarray differentially expressed genes (FC ≥ 2) of Vagad and RAHS-14 fetched 13 antioxidants genes, and GujCot-21 contigs from transcriptomes contributed by way of 23 antioxidant-related transcripts. The specific expression of these 36 antioxidants was further classified in three categories, namely, superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, and Glutathione S-transferase. GujCot21 had 7 contigs encoding superoxide dismutase, 12 contigs of...
peroxidase, and 6 contigs related to Glutathione S-transferase. The Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase activity showed a significant 6.75-fold higher expression in GujCot-21 (contig 01875) (Table 2). Among several Glutathione S-transferases, the gene encoding GST group 8 was predominantly expressed in GujCot-21, and its activity was reported to play a major role in oxidative stress metabolism [36]. Out of 13 probe sets, 7 from RAHS-14 were distinguished into glutamate (6 probe sets) and peroxidase (1 probe set), and the remaining 6 probe sets from Vagad were grouped into peroxidase (4 probe sets) and glutathione transferase (2 probe sets). The probe set id GhiAffx.53252.1.S1_s_at encoded glutamate synthase with highest 5.7-fold expression in RAHS-14. The 5.4-fold induction of glutathione transferase (GhiAffx.36353.1.S1_at) was highest in Vagad (Table 2). We found that most of the antioxidant enzymes were highly expressed by drought stress in GujCot-21, which implies that these antioxidant enzymes might have played important roles in plant tolerance to drought stress, whereas RAHS-IPS-187 was susceptible to drought stress.

Different regulations of transporter and cell wall-related genes between the drought-tolerant and sensitive genotypes

Previous findings report that the membrane transporters, which function in cellular transport processes for the maintenance and re-establishment of homeostasis in the plant cytoplasm, were induced with various forms of abiotic stress [37]. Both RAHS-14 and Vagad showed that a large number of genes belonging to the membrane transporter family significantly increased in response to drought stress (Table 3a). However, the most contrasting difference between Vagad and RAHS-14 was that in Vagad, many membrane transporters belonging to the ABC transporter family were expressed in response to drought stress in roots. Similarly, in case of RAHS-14, the transporters belonging to the ATPase super family were predominantly expressed in response to the drought stress (Table 3a). Further, a previous study revealed that the expression of the genes involved in cell wall metabolism was generally repressed during osmotic stress in Arabidopsis [38]. We notably observed that 22 out of 28 genes belonging to cell wall biogenesis were repressed in both the genotypes; however, the expression of these genes was significantly higher in RAHS-14 (Table 3b). For example, the expressions of two genes (Ghi.10072.3.A1_at and GhiAffx.60321.1.S1_x_at) that putatively encode glycine-rich protein and pectinesterase were largely unaffected during drought stress in Vagad, but they were significantly down-regulated in RAHS-14 (Additional files 3 and Additional file 5). A comparative transcriptome analysis of drought-tolerant genotype (GujCot-21) and sensitive genotype (RAHS-IPS-187) also showed a similar expression pattern of the membrane transporter-related genes as had been obtained in a microarray analysis of Vagad (tolerant) and RAHS-14 (sensitive). Transcriptomic analysis showed approximately 3.2% of differentially expressed genes in GujCot-21 encoding membrane transporter-related proteins. This result indicates that a comparatively less perturbation in the expression of the genes involved in cell wall biology will likely lead to better cell wall protection during drought stress in Vagad and GujCot-21 than in RAHS-14 and RAHS-IPS-187, thereby resulting in the better drought tolerance of Vagad and GujCot-21. Cell wall biogenesis plays an important role in root cell expansion and, in turn, the root architecture; therefore, we next examined in our data the genes belonging to cell wall biogenesis. We found that the number of genes responsible for cell wall biogenesis significantly increased in RAHS-14 and Vagad in response to drought stress (Table 3b). The genes representing the enzymes for cell wall biogenesis, namely cellulose synthase, glucosyl synthase, and epimerase, were found to be expressed in both Vagad and RAHS-14 in drought stress (Table 3b). However, in case of Vagad, we identified that xyloglucan: xyloglucan transferase, pectinesterase, lyases, and expansin genes were uniquely expressed in Vagad under drought stress as compared with RAHS-14. A higher expression of these genes further helps in cell wall biogenesis and, hence, probably provides better drought tolerance in Vagad.

Transcription factors in the drought stress response in roots of G.herbaceum

To identify the putative transcription factors in the differentially expressed transcripts of all 4 genotypes, the cotton Affymetrix probe ID and pyrosequencing contigs were annotated with the corresponding homologous locus IDs of Arabidopsis and queried to the AGRIS database (http://arabidopsis.med.ohio-state.edu/AtTFDB/). A total of 138 genes encoding various related transcription factors belonging to 30 different families were affected under the drought condition. The genes encoding WRKY (2 genes; At2g40750; At2g23320), C2C2-CO-like (4 genes; At1g24930; At3g50410; At1g30970; and At1g43860), ARID (1 gene; At1g76510), MADS (2 gene; At3g54340; At5g48670), EIL (1 gene; At3g20770), BZR (1 gene; At1g75080), and RAV (1 gene; At1g68840) TFs were exclusively affected in sensitive genotypes (RAHS-14 and/or RAHS-IPS-187) (Figure 7). The most attention gainers were MADS, ARID, and CO-like TFs, whose related genes are associated with plant development and periodic flowering. Other TFs whose encoding genes were exclusively present in Vagad/or GujCot-21 include ZF-HD (1 gene; At1g74660), CPP (1 gene; At4g14770), TCP (1 gene;
Table 2 Expression level changes of various antioxidant genes under drought stress in cotton root

| *Contigs represents antioxidant genes | *TPM Count/Fold change | Discription |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| contig01875                         | 6.753                  | Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase |
| contig00529                         | 2.906                  | superoxide dismutase-like protein [Oryza sativa Japonica Group] |
| contig00696                         | 2.942                  | superoxide dismutase |
| contig01057                         | 2.826                  | Superoxide dismutase [Mn]; Flags: Precursor |
| contig01529                         | 2.454                  | copper chaperone for superoxide dismutase [Brachypodium distachyon] |
| contig01075                         | 2.411                  | Superoxide dismutase [Mn]; Flags: Precursor |
| contig01149                         | 2.411                  | Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase |
| contig00854                         | 2.826                  | cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase [Gossypium hirsutum] |
| contig00876                         | 2.106                  | cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase 1 [Gossypium hirsutum] |
| contig00801                         | 2.904                  | cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase 1 [Gossypium hirsutum] |
| contig01716                         | 3.148                  | Glutathione S-transferase 8 |
| contig02159                         | 2.826                  | Glutathione S-transferase 8 |
| contig01551                         | 2.826                  | Glutathione S-transferase 8 [Populus trichocarpa] |
| contig00449                         | 2.367                  | Glutathione S-transferase 8 |
| contig00523                         | 2.205                  | Glutathione S-transferase 8 |
| contig01789                         | 2.826                  | cytochrome P450 H2O2-dependent urate-degrading peroxidase [Glycine max] |
| contig020191                        | 2.826                  | peroxidase [Ectocarpus siliculosus] |
| contig00287                         | 2.744                  | PEROXIDASE 11 |
| contig00850                         | 2.563                  | cytochrome P450 H2O2-dependent urate-degrading peroxidase [Glycine max] |
| contig00752                         | 2.563                  | cytochrome P450 H2O2-dependent urate-degrading peroxidase [Glycine max] |
| contig00393                         | 2.411                  | putative peroxidase [Oryza sativa Japonica Group] |
| contig01220                         | 2.411                  | cytochrome P450 H2O2-dependent urate-degrading peroxidase [Glycine max] |
| contig01737                         | 2.411                  | PEROXIDASE 11 |
| contig01277                         | 2.241                  | cytochrome P450 H2O2-dependent urate-degrading peroxidase [Glycine max] |

| *Probe set represents antioxidant genes | Vagad | RAHS-14 |
|----------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| GhiAffx.53252.1.S1_s_at                | -     | 5.7884912 glutamate synthase |
| GhiAffx.1589.38.A1_at                  | -     | 4.280462 SGT1A |
| GhiAffx.3996.1.S1_s_at                 | -     | 3.7647517 glutamate decarboxylase |
| GhiAffx.4043.6.S1_at                   | -     | 3.3622708 glutamate decarboxylase 5 |
| GhiAffx.40354.1.S1_at                  | -     | 3.1575089 glutamate decarboxylase 5 |
| GhiAffx.30835.1.S1_s_at                | -     | 2.156465 Peroxidase 1 |
| GhiAffx.22115.1.S1_x_at                | -     | 2.1306682 Glutathione S-transferase |
| GhiAffx.36353.1.S1_at                  | 5.4907985 - | glutathione transferase |
| GhiAffx.62078.1.S1_at                  | 3.2424738 - | Glutaredoxin C9 |
| GhiAffx.21573.1.S1_at                  | 2.9193442 - | Peroxidase 10 |
| Ghi.6564.1.S1_at                      | 2.6120005 - | Peroxidase |
| Ghi.6559.1.S1_at                      | 2.31927 - | Peroxidase 73 |
| Ghi.6746.1.A1_s_at                    | 2.008061 - | Peroxidase |
Table 3 Differentially expressed genes involved in membrane transport channels and cell wall synthesis under drought stress in Vagad and RAHS-14

(a) Membrane transporter genes family

| Genotypes | Cotton probe set ID | TAIR 10 hit | Fold change | p-value  | Descriptions |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| RAHS-14D  | Ghi.1932.1.S1_at     | AT3G46740   | 6.862507    | 0.0339456 | outer membrane translocase |
|           | Ghi.3760.1.S1_s_at   | AT1G19910   | 2.9521239   | 0.0266875 | ATPase, F0/V0 complex, subunit C protein |
|           | Ghi.4450.1.A1_at     | AT3G01390   | 2.2963412   | 0.01776607 | V-type proton ATPase subunit G1 |
|           | Ghi.5565.6.S1_s_at   | AT1G15520   | 2.359463    | 0.0279233 | ABC transporter G family member 40 |
|           | Ghi.9771.1.S1_x_at   | AT2G33040   | 3.4228158   | 0.02484926 | Mitochondrial H+ or Na+ – translocating F-type |
|           | GhiAffx.5294.2.S1_x_at | AT2G42210 | 3.0888186   | 0.03420474 | inner membrane translocas |
|           | Gra.3081.1.A1_s_at   | AT4G32530   | 8.158294    | 0.04811877 | V-type and A-type ATPase (F-ATPase) Superfamily |
|           | Gra.700.1.A1_s_at    | AT1G15690   | 3.146656    | 0.0362699 | vacuolar membrane proton pump |
| RAHS-14C  | Ghi.3763.1.A1_s_at   | AT3G63380   | 5.7281384   | 0.00042  | The P-type ATPase (P-ATPase) Superfamily |
| VagadD    | Ghi.8876.1.A1_at     | AT1G51650   | 2.1752877   | 0.0222851 | Mitochondrial H+ or Na+ – translocating F-type |
|           | Ghi.9195.1.A1_s_at   | AT1G78720   | 3.998194    | 0.0452709 | preprotein translocase Sec61 alpha subunit |
|           | GhiAffx.13955.1.A1_at | AT3G47730 | 2.8928177   | 0.0265864 | The ATP-binding Cassette (ABC) Superfamily |
|           | GraAffx.10962.1.A1_at | AT3G47780 | 2.3352501   | 0.04103383 | ABC transporter A family member 7 |
|           | GraAffx.18588.1.A1_at | AT3G59140 | 2.7955472   | 0.04233996 | The ATP-binding Cassette (ABC) Superfamily |
| VagadC    | GraAffx.29143.1.S1_s_at | AT5G13080 | 2.0128014   | 0.0003014 | The ATP-binding Cassette (ABC) Superfamily |

(b) Cell wall synthesis related gene family

| Genotypes | Cotton probe set ID | TAIR 10 hit | Fold change | p-value  | Descriptions |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| RAHS-14D  | Ghi.3798.1.A1_s_at  | AT2G21770   | 5.2988324   | 0.02936104 | cellulose synthase |
|           | Ghi.6435.1.A1_at    | AT5G24090   | 12.502564   | 0.0289352 | acidic endochitinase (CHIB1) |
|           | Ghi.653.1.S1_s_at   | AT5G05170   | 2.3362005   | 0.04479192 | cellulose synthase (Ath-B) |
|           | Ghi.825.2.S1_x_at   | AT2G40610   | 7.838081    | 0.00009335 | expansin, putative (EXP8) |
|           | GhiAffx.1589.84.S1_x_at | AT5G17310 | 2.6791603   | 0.02671632 | UTP–glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase |
|           | GhiAffx.18156.1.S1_at | AT3G52550 | 2.8398817   | 0.0466388 | expressed protein |
|           | GhiAffx.36018.1.S1_at | AT4G39290 | 2.1763752   | 0.0182883 | UDP-glucose 4-epimerase |
|           | GhiAffx.4097.1.S1_s_at | AT4G17030 | 3.4009947   | 0.02354693 | UTP–glucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase |
|           | GhiAffx.49705.1.A1_s_at | AT1G26810 | 3.7181776   | 0.048232 | galactosyltransferase family protein |
|           | GhiAffx.52955.1.A1_s_at | AT4G26940 | 2.0691934   | 0.0305665 | galactosyltransferase family protein |
|           | GhiAffx.5996.1.S1_s_at | AT3G29090 | 3.9073471   | 0.02458842 | galactosyltransferase family protein |
|           | GraAffx.29883.2.S1_s_at | AT4G39210 | 10.707062   | 0.0072394 | ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase |
| RAHS-14C  | Ghi.10493.1.S1_s_at  | AT5G57560   | 8.634004    | 0.0000114 | xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase (TCH4) |
|           | Ghi.11272.1.A1_x_at  | AT4G23810   | 7.3133554   | 0.00000863 | xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase (XTR6) |
|           | Ghi.1272.1.A1_s_at   | AT2G28470   | 2.0890183   | 0.0000454 | beta-galactosidase |
|           | Ghi.4531.1.A1_at     | AT4G20460   | 2.1103513   | 0.00137449 | NAD-dependent epimerase |
|           | GhiAffx.7421.1.S1_s_at | AT5G4030 | 50.340942   | 0.0000394 | cellulose synthase (IRX5) |
|           | Gra.1335.1.A1_s_at   | AT4G22740   | 2.0989435   | 0.0019563 | glycosyl transferase family |
| VagadD    | Ghi.11272.4.S1_x_at  | AT4G23810   | 2.0596108   | 0.02497086 | xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase |
|           | Ghi.5287.1.S1_s_at   | AT4G34150   | 3.1211743   | 0.03486373 | pectinesterase family protein |
|           | Ghi.6619.1.S1_at     | AT2G39700   | 2.451212    | 0.04148584 | expansin, putative (EXP4) |
|           | Ghi.6749.1.S1_s_at   | AT4G38400   | 2.9026875   | 0.04047799 | expansin family protein (EXP2) |
At3g27010), REM (1 gene; At4g33280), G2-like (1 gene; At4g13640), CAMTA (1 gene; At5g64220), and ABI3VP1 (1 gene; At3g18990). The ABI3VP1 has been designated as being heat and drought responsive. The CAMTA functions as a negative regulator under biotic stress and is described as being a cold responsive gene. Similarly, the induction of G2-like TF is said to confer thermo-tolerance to the plants. The bZIP (6 genes; At1g77920; At3g19290; At4g34590; At2g40950; At2g42380; and At5g28770) and ARF (3 genes; At4g23980; At5g60450; and At5g62000) were predominant in the Vagad/or GujCot-21, which are reported to be regulated by phytohormones [39,40].

Tissue-specific expression of genes in cotton root may contribute to drought tolerance
In order to identify the tissue-specific genes in roots that respond to drought stress, differentially expressed genes in transcriptome analysis were carefully curated for their corresponding homologues in Arabidopsis, and these Arabidopsis IDs were queried against Genevestigator (Figure 8A and B) [43]. The differentially expressed genes showed specific expression in different root zones, including root hair, lateral root, elongation zone, meristematic zone, stele, and pericycle as well as in the root tip (Figure 9, Figure 8A and B). Genevestigator results showed that the expressions of genes, such as ERD (early-responsive to dehydration stress), family protein

Table 3 Differentially expressed genes involved in membrane transport channels and cell wall synthesis under drought stress in Vagad and RAHS-14 (Continued)

| GenBank Accession | Arabidopsis ID | Log2 Ratio | p-value | Description |
|-------------------|----------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Ghi.7660.1.51_s_at| AT3G43270      | 2.7740989  | 0.0411485| pectate lyase family protein |
| Ghi.9637.2.51_s_at| AT3G62830      | 3.7921665  | 0.0339477| NAD-dependent epimerase/dehydratase family protein |
| Ghi.1335.1.51_s_at| AT5G22740      | 2.9214976  | 0.0365640| glycyltransferase family 2 protein |
| Ghi.1563.1.51_s_at| AT2G28950      | 3.9881666  | 0.0143098| expansin, putative (EXP6) |
| Ghi.1984.1.51_at  | AT1G04680      | 4.803666   | 0.0080657| glycose hydrolase family |
| Ghi.2243.1.51_s_at| AT5G09760      | 2.1072824  | 0.0462896| pectate lyase family protein |
| Ghi.2265.1.51_at  | AT5G13870      | 2.4477193  | 0.0435882| xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase (EXGT-A4) |
| Ghi.2300.1.51_at  | AT2G45470      | 4.5964513  | 0.0334959| pectinesterase family protein |
| Ghi.2380.1.51_s_at| AT1G67750      | 2.7641332  | 0.0119829| glycose hydrolase family |
| Ghi.2812.1.51_s_at| AT4G03210      | 2.506235   | 0.0225066| xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase |
| Ghi.377.1.51_s_at | AT2G06850      | 3.0282483  | 0.0248205| xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase (EXGT-A1) |
| GhiAffx.8742.1.51_at| AT1G32170    | 15.896784  | 0.0097886| xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase (XTR4) |
| VagadC             |                |            |         |             |
| Ghi.5772.1.51_s_at | AT4G17030      | 5.8524     | 6.0179E-07| expansin-related |
| Ghi.6435.1.51_at  | AT5G24090      | 2.62142    | 1.7468E-06| acidic endochitinase (CHIB1) |
| GhiAffx.8742.1.51_at| AT1G32170    | 3.4125     | 0.00012776| xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase (XTR4) |
| VagadC             |                |            |         |             |
| Ghi.10826.1.51_at  | AT5G57270      | 28.2959    | 2.4257E-07| glycosyl hydrolase family |

RAHS-14P and VagadP shows probe sets analysis under drought stress and RAHS-14C and VagadC under control condition. Cotton Probe converted unto Arabidopis homologous ID at criteria 50 % query match and e-value cutoff 1e-10.

Figure 7 Differentially expressed TFs in all genotypes (Vagad, GujCot-21, RAHS-IPS-187 and RAHS-14).
teins (AT3G06720) were present. Similarly, in sensitive genotype, many genes were reported to help in osmoregulation and, therefore, protect plants in stress management [4,10,29,38,48]. Many of the differentially expressed genes identified after the water stress condition [44]. The adaptive advantage of increased root length and more number of root hairs that facilitate access to water under drought stress has been reported earlier [45]. Vagad and GujCot-21 displayed hydrotrophism at variable concentrations of mannitol, in which the root detects a stress gradient and directs its growth [46]. In Gujcot21 and Vagad, longer primary roots at 6% and 8% mannitol reflected an interesting plant adaptation for better survivability (Figure 1). At moderate stress of 4% mannitol, Vagad and GujCot-21 had improved anatomical adaptation by smaller leaf size and inward rolling of the leaves in order to restrict the exposed leaf surface, thereby limiting water loss by evaporation (Figure 1) [47]. The expression profiling by microarray analysis and transcriptome sequencing of the root revealed that a large number of genes such as osmotin, serine, aldo-keto reductase, LEA, chalcone synthase, chitinase, RD29, RD19, proline oxidase, ERD9, sodium hydrogen exchanger, glycin, alcohol dehydrogenase, brassinosteroids, HSP 70, and metallothionin were up-regulated in Vagad and GujCot-21 (Additional file 4, Additional file 5, and Additional file 6). Many of these genes were reported to help in osmoregulation and, therefore, protect plants in stress management [4,10,29,38,48]. Many of the differentially expressed genes identified were found to represent diverse metabolic pathways (Additional file 4, Additional file 5 and Additional file 6). It was interesting to note that sensitive and tolerant gene expression was not only affected by stress but also by the plant genotype. In tolerant genotype, many genes were expressed in the meristematic tissue of tolerant and sensitive genotypes, respectively. In tolerant genotype, many genes were found to represent diverse metabolic pathways. This indicates that the plant's ability to adapt to stress depends on the plant genotype and its expression profile. Further studies are needed to understand the molecular mechanisms underlying plant adaptation to stress.
Figure 8 DEGs are mapped by Genevestigator for tissue-specific expression analysis (A) tolerant and (B) sensitive genotype. On the basis of percentage expression potential of genes in different root zones obtained from heat map were given numbers and indicated in parenthesis with their description.
genotypes underwent a larger degree of transcriptional modulation representing the various metabolic processes involved during drought stress (Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 5). This transcriptome modulation may eventually result in the synthesis of the secondary metabolic compounds that provide adaptive advantages to genotypes [48]. The gene ontology analysis of the differentially expressed genes obtained in microarray and transcriptome sequencing expression indicates a cross talk among various pathways that helps the tolerant genotypes to survive under drought stress. Many GO terms were exclusively present in Vagad/or GujCot-21, and most of them were related to categories of abiotic stress, such as cellular response to stimulus, response to hormone stimulus, ion transporter, kinase activity (Figure 3), response to inorganic substances, response to water deprivation, response to jasmonic acid, response to salinity, response to hyperosmotic stress, and response to salinity (Figure 5). The enrichment of these stress-related GO terms are indicative of better management of drought stress in tolerant genotypes [26]. The GO terms such as reactive oxygen species, lipid localization, lignin, sucrose, glucan, and starch biosynthesis processes were enriched in RAHS-14/or RAHS-IPS-187, but the percentages of stress-inducible GO terms and genes were less as compared with Vagad/or GujCot-21 (Figure 2 and 5). The result probably indicates that sensitive genotypes had a slow response and, hence, gradually prepared themselves to adapt to drought stress; whereas drought-tolerant genotypes were already equipped with these processes, therefore had a prompt response toward stress, and, hence, adapted better to stress. The KOBAS analysis showed significant enrichment of beta-alanine and histidine metabolism and flavonoid metabolism in GujCot-21 (Figure 6A), which indicates the formation of osmoprotective molecules under the drought condition. During drought stress, beta-alanine and histidine have been shown to increase in several different plant species, including Arabidopsis, maize, and wheat [49-51], and are considered as having an osmoprotective function. The secondary metabolites, such as lignin...
precursors, and flavonoid increase in plants under stress [52]. The presence of ABA phytohormonal pathways in RAHS-IPS-187 (Figure 6B) could be concurrent with their response to drought stress by mediating and channeling many stress-responsive genes that help the plants in their survival over stress [53]. In contrast, Vagad and GujCot-21 adjust osmotic balance under drought stress by an increase in osmoticum deposition such as beta-alanine and catabolism, import, and utilization of various other secondary metabolites (Figure 6A, Additional file 5 and Additional file 6). The extent of osmotic adjustment in the root, although substantial, is insufficient to maintain turgor wall pressure under drought stress [48,54,55]. The transporter proteins and ion channels also play an important role in osmoregulation or drought tolerance [50]. Various membrane transporters, including ion (ABC transporters, ion channels) or sugar transporter, were enriched in Vagad under drought stress (Table 3). These transporters could both maintain the homeostasis of intracellular ion concentrations of cells and stabilize the physiological balance of plants under drought stress [29]. The higher expression of more number of genes related to membrane transporters under drought stress in tolerant genotypes (Vagad and GujCot-21) showed their plastic or adaptive behavior toward drought stress (Additional file 6, Table 3). Cell wall-loosening proteins are believed to play key roles in controlling cell wall extension [56]. The expression of expansins and xylolignan endotransglycosylase (XET) genes were found to be higher in Vagad when drought was imposed (Additional file 5). It was reported earlier that expansin activity and extractable expansin protein increased in the root when plants were exposed to drought stress [57]. The higher expression of expansin under drought stress indicates changes in cell wall structure or the chemistry of tolerant genotypes that probably facilitate turgor pressure and the maintenance of ionic balance [56]. Genes encoding for peroxidases showed a significantly higher expression of ascorbate peroxidase, SOD in Vagad and GujCot-21 (Table 2). The expression of these oxidative radical scavenging enzymes indicates the better management of oxidative radicals in tolerant genotypes during drought stress [34], Drought resulted in elevated expression levels of several genes in RAHS-14 and RAHS-IPS-187 like 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (Ghi.714.1.S1_s_at; in Additional file 3 and Additional file 6), which catalyze the final step of ethylene biosynthesis [58]. Ethylene is elicited and acts as a signal mediator under almost all biotic and abiotic stress conditions, including drought [58]. The expression of ERF-related genes in sensitive genotypes under the drought condition indicates that sensitive genotypes respond to drought mainly by the ethylene pathway, leading to senescence [59]. The results were in agreement with our previous results on leaf transcriptomes for these genotypes [31]. Among TFs, the expression of the transcription factors containing the AP2-EREBP domain were higher in tolerant genotypes under drought stress (Figure 7); similarly, bHLH (basic helix loop helix) and bZIP (basic leucine zipper domain) transcription factor in Vagad and GujCot-21 were higher. TFs bHLH and bZIP belongs to a family of proteins that are specific to plants and are found to play a role in a diverse set of biological processes under biotic and abiotic stress. In case of Oryza sativa, the over-expression of bHLH and bZIP transcription factors resulted in the expression of several stress-responsive genes in the transgenic plants, thereby conferring the drought tolerance [53,60]. The transcription factors WRKY 75 (GhiAffx.6177.1.S1_at, GhiAffx.61976.1.S1_at) and ZAT 10 (contig00573) were exclusively expressed in Vagad/or Gujcot-21 and are known to regulate root hair development and play a role in stress conditions [17]. It was reported that RHD3 (Root hair defective 3) and LBD (Lateral organ Boundaries Domain) genes play important roles in root development and the formation of root hairs in Arabidopsis [10,60,61]. The higher expression of RHD3 and LBD genes in tolerant Vagad and GujCot-21 could be considered the key regulatory factors for better root length development and for maintaining the plasticity of roots under drought stress conditions (e.g. osmotic adjustments in roots) (Additional file 5 and Additional file 6). There was a preferential expression of genes, such as ERD (early responsive to dehydration stress) family protein, tonoplast intrinsic protein, ribosomal protein, and methyltransferase in the root hair; oxidoreductases in the lateral root region; and cellulose synthase, tonoplast intrinsic protein, and cytosolic phosphoglucomutase in the elongation region of the root in Vagad (Figure 8 and 8A). Cell division-related genes encoding NAP1 proteins, ribosomal proteins, and transcription factors were over-represented in the meristem. It should be noted that preferentially expressed genes in the pericycle and stele regions of the Vagad were related to nitrate transporter, coumarate-CoA ligase, and aldo/keto reductases (Figure 9 and 8A). Nitrate is the major nitrogen source for the synthesis of amino acids and nucleic acids in plants. In addition to being assimilated in the cytoplasm, it has been proposed that nitrate may be an important osmotic solute [62]. The preferential expression of the nitrate transporter in Vagad may help in the cellular homeostatic maintenance of plants under drought stress. We also observed that many genes which encode cell wall modification enzymes, such as cellulose synthase and cell expansin in the lateral root region of Vagad, are considered as...
playing a key role in border cell separation and the elongation of the root [55-57]. Our results showed that a significant number of genes encoding ribosomal proteins were highly expressed (fold change ≥2) in tolerant genotypes (Figure 9 and Additional file 6). Plants expressed a high number of ribosomal proteins to balance cellular protein synthesis in response to environmental variations and, at the same time, to adapt to the environment [63]. Earlier studies showed that genes encoding ribosomal proteins play an important role in the development of root meristem and contribute to the growth and development of the root system [63-65]. A higher expression of ribosomal protein could be considered important during cell growth and proliferation under drought stress in the case of tolerant genotypes. Differentially expressed genes were analyzed using the Genevestigator tool (https://www.genevestigator.com/gv/plant.jsp) (Figure 8A and B) for their specific expression in different root zones [43]. Interestingly, a large number of these genes belonged to ribosomal coding proteins and were expressed in either the meristematic zone or the root tip region, which could maintain the homeostatic balance of protein synthesis of the plant under the stress condition [66]. This comprehensive analysis of the transcriptional profile during drought stress will advance our fundamental understanding of the various genes and major metabolic pathways that provide direction for the future genetic engineering of drought-stress tolerance in cotton.

**Conclusion**

The present study highlights the impact of drought on the stress-responsive signaling pathways in roots, which regulates the plant adaptation to limited water condition as well as other environmental stresses. The stress-induced transcripts had a variety of membrane transporters, such as peroxidase, catalase, WRKY, AP2 transcription factor, stress-responsive genes, and metabolic and regulatory pathways. The root transcriptome analysis revealed several important genes regulating drought tolerance and that could be considered probable potential targets for candidate gene selection in improving the tolerance of plants.
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