Differential Expression of HIF1A, EPAS1, and VEGF Genes in Benign and Malignant Ovarian Neoplasia
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Simple Summary: Ovarian cancer (OC) has the highest mortality rate of all gynecological malignancies. Moreover, at the time of the first clinical manifestation, most patients have an advanced stage of the disease. Our study examined differences in mRNA levels of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1A); endothelial PAS domain protein 1, also known as hypoxia-inducible factor 2-alpha (HIF2A/EPAS1); and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) between cancerous tissue, benign hyperplastic changes in the ovary, and normal tissue. We found that gene expression changes were visible not only in the case-control study, but also along with changes in severity. We observed disturbances in the expression levels of interdependent genes. Our findings suggest that mutual association in the expression of both HIF1A and HIF2A/EPAS1 with VEGFA has prognostic importance for patients with OC. Our observations may help identify patients for clinical trials aimed at inhibiting the hypoxia-induced neovascularization-dependent pathways.

Abstract: Ovarian cancer (OC) has the highest mortality rate of all gynecological malignancies. Moreover, at the time of the first clinical manifestation, most patients have an advanced stage of the disease. Our study examined differences in mRNA levels of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1A); endothelial PAS domain protein 1, also known as hypoxia-inducible factor 2-alpha (HIF2A/EPAS1); and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) between cancerous tissue, benign hyperplastic changes in the ovary, and normal tissue. Our cohorts consisted of 52 patients diagnosed with OC (n = 55), benign non-cancerous changes (n = 21), and normal tissue samples (n = 38). The mRNA expression level was evaluated using RT-qPCR. We found that gene expression changes were visible not only in the case-control study, but also along with changes in severity. Additionally, the gene expression was differentiated in age, BMI, menopausal status, and the number of comorbidity-related groups. Furthermore, our findings demonstrate that analyzing the correlation between genes is essential. In a case-to-case and case-to-control study, we observed disturbances in the expression levels of interdependent genes. Our findings suggest that mutual association in the expression of both HIF1A and HIF2A/EPAS1 with VEGFA has prognostic importance for patients with OC. Our observations may help identify patients for clinical trials aimed at inhibiting the hypoxia-induced neovascularization-dependent pathways.
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1. Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (OC) has the highest mortality rate of all gynecological malignancies [1], with most patients presenting with advanced disease. Furthermore,
it is common to find metastatic foci outside the pelvis to the peritoneum, reflecting the aggressive nature of the malignancy [2,3]. It brings added complications of malignant ascites and impaired bowel movements, severely impacting quality of life and survival [4,5]. This peritoneal invasion is characterized by cancer cells detaching from the primary tumor and colonizing and expanding within the peritoneum. At this point, cancer cells may be susceptible to hypoxia [6–8]. The ability of cancer cells to adapt to lower oxygen saturation is critical to their survival in both the original foci and new cavities. The occurrence of hypoxia is a well-known phenomenon that correlates in many tumors with poor prognosis [9–12]. These tumor cells that survive in adverse environmental conditions show gene expression and structural changes.

Interestingly, hypoxia may be a cause or effect of differential gene expression in pathologically altered tissue. Thus, elevated or depressed levels of glucose transporters, glycolytic pathway enzymes, oxygenases, and other genes have been observed [9,13]. On the other hand, neovascularization and angiogenesis are known attributes of cancer, including ovarian malignancies [14]. Angiogenesis is known as the formation of new blood vessels from the existing vasculature. It promotes cancer progression and has been demonstrated to strongly correlate with the risk of invasion and metastasis [15,16]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a known pro-angiogenic factor that stimulates angiogenesis [15]. An elevated VEGF level in serum and tissue has been reported in OC patients and was associated with a poor prognosis [17,18]. However, recent advances have been made in ovarian cancer therapies targeting anti-angiogenic genes and proteins in the cancer environment [19]. Additionally, the VEGFA presence was reported in human breast cancer specimens [20]. Moreover, its elevated level was also related to more aggressive mammary carcinoma subtypes in animal models. VEGFA and its receptors’ signaling pathways could be an alternative to the hypoxia mechanism leading to cancer progression. As a result, it suggests that VEGFA could be a suitable diagnostic biomarker for, e.g., mammary carcinoma [21].

The balance between the stimulating and inhibitory effects of angiogenic factors modulates angiogenesis. An imbalance favoring angiogenesis occurs during the initial formation of a tumor mass and accompanies the metastatic progression and spread of cancer cells [22]. Hypoxia is believed to be a key factor resulting in the increase of VEGF expression [23,24]. Numerous mechanisms regulate hypoxia-induced VEGF expression, one of which is hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1)-dependent transcriptional activation. HIF1 is a heterodimeric transcription factor composed of two subunits, namely the alpha and beta chains (HIF1A and HIF1B, respectively) [25,26]. The heterodimeric (alpha/beta) HIF1A complex binds DNA and induces genes relevant to tumor progression, e.g., those responsible for angiogenesis or metastasis control [6,27,28]. Three isoforms of HIF1 alpha have been characterized, and the best described are HIF1A and HIF2A (called endothelial PAS domain protein 1 (EPAS1)). It has been reported that both isoforms show different biological activity during embryogenesis [19,26], and a diverse hypoxia response depends on the expression of both isoforms in various tumor types [6,29–31]. Although sequence similarity of HIF1A and EPAS1 reaches approximately 50%, they regulate diverse targets due to their different transactivation domains [32–34]. In addition, HIF1A is widely expressed, while EPAS1 is only expressed in certain cell types [34–36]. In vitro findings suggest that nuclear HIF1A has prognostic importance in ovarian cancer, and EPAS1 may play a crucial role in the carcinogenesis and progression of ovarian malignancies [37].

Our study examined any potential differences in mRNA levels of HIF1A, HIF2A/EPAS1, and VEGFA mRNA within cancerous tissue, benign ovarian changes, and normal tissue. Furthermore, we analyzed relevant correlations between expression levels and pathological grade. We examined whether other factors, and not only ovarian tissue related factors (such as menopausal status, comorbidities presence, age, and BMI), influence changes in gene expression. Previous studies have demonstrated that HIF1A is a potential target for cancer therapies [27,38]. Our study’s results may help identify ovarian carcinoma patient
subgroups and whether they could be potential candidates for clinical trials examining HIF1 pathway-targeted therapies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ethics

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the IRB of Poznan University of Medical Sciences (PUMS protocol code Nos. 46/12; date of approval 1/5/2012, and 593/19, and 594/19; date of approval 6/19/2019). Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

2.2. Patient Demographic Data

Between January 2017 and December 2020, 114 women underwent surgery at the Surgical Gynecology Clinic of the Gynecological and Obstetrics Clinical Hospital, Poznan University of Medical Sciences. The study cohort consists of patients with diagnosed ovarian carcinoma (n = 55). Normal tissue samples that lack cancerous changes (examined as described before [39]) were obtained from patients who underwent a total hysterectomy (n = 59). The absence or presence of cancerous changes was confirmed by anatomico-pathologic macroscopic and intraoperative microscopic examinations. No patients received chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior the surgery. The CA125 and HE4 markers’ serum level was determined in all patients. Operative findings determine the precise histologic diagnosis and stage [39].

All women were of Caucasian descent, and patient characteristic is shown in Table 1. Tissue samples were immersed in an RNA-protective medium [40] and processed at the Chair and Department of Cell Biology, PUMS, or stored at −80°C until RNA isolation could be performed.

Expression levels of HIF1A, HIF2A/EPAS1, and VEGFA were analyzed in different groups. First, we compared controls to OC cases. Subsequently, the control group was divided into ovary samples without any pathological changes and those with a benign, non-cancerous lesions. We then compared those two subgroups with the results from the malignant tissue. Gene expression was also analyzed in subgroups related to menopausal status and the presence of comorbidities (regardless of the cancer manifestation). The last analysis covered the cut-off points based on receiver operating characteristics (ROC) and Youden’s J index for age, body mass index, and comorbidities (Figure 1).

Table 1. Table showing patient characteristics.

| Characteristics               | N (%) |
|------------------------------|-------|
| Total                        | 114   |
| Age (in years)               |       |
| Mean                         | 57    |
| Median                       | 58    |
| Range                        | 25-81 |
| BMI                          |       |
| Underweight                  | 2/2   |
| Normal                       | 27/21 |
| Overweight                   | 16/17 |
| Obese (classes I, II, and III)| 11/14 |
| Case-control                 | 55/59 |
| Control ovaries              | 59 (52)|
| Malignant tumors             | 55 (48)|
Table 1. Cont.

| Characteristics                                      | N (%)          |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| **Classification**                                   |                |
| Ovary without changes                                | 38 (33)        |
| Benign ovarian lesion                                | 21 (19)        |
| Malignant ovarian tumors                             | 55 (48)        |
| **Histopathological grades of ovarian tumors**       | Available in 45/55 patients |
| G1                                                   | 5 (9)          |
| G2                                                   | 2 (4)          |
| G3                                                   | 38 (69)        |
| N/A                                                  | 10 (18)        |
| **FIGO**                                             | Available in 48/55 patients |
| IA                                                   | 2 (4)          |
| IB                                                   | 2 (4)          |
| IC                                                   | 9 (16)         |
| II                                                   | 1 (2)          |
| IIIA                                                 | 5 (9)          |
| IIIB                                                 | 7 (13)         |
| IIIC                                                 | 17 (31)        |
| IV                                                   | 5 (9)          |
| N/A                                                  | 7 (13)         |
| **Histology**                                        | Available in 55/55 patients |
| Adenocarcinoma serosum                              | 38 (69)        |
| Adenocarcinoma mucinosum                            | 5 (11)         |
| Adenocarcinoma clarocellularae                      | 4 (7)          |
| Adenocarcinoma endometrioides                       | 3 (5)          |
| Other 1                                              | 5 (8)          |

OC—ovarian cancer, N/A—data not available, N—number of participants, BMI—body mass index, 1—cellulare carcinomatose, adenocarcinoma solidum, infiltratio carcinomatosa ovarii, foliculoma, undifferentiated.

2.3. Methods

2.3.1. Nucleic Acid Extraction and Validation

High molecular weight RNA, microRNA fraction-free, was extracted from tissue specimens using the microRNA and RNA isolation kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (A&A Biotechnology, Gdynia, Poland) as described previously [39]. The quality, quantity, and purity of obtained RNA were analyzed spectrophotometrically as described previously [41], and RNA integrity was evaluated by agarose gel electrophoresis in denaturing conditions [41].

2.3.2. Reverse Transcription and Quantitative PCR

Complementary DNA was synthesized following the Transcriptor Reverse Transcriptase manufacturer’s protocol (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) in a total volume of 20 µL [39,41]. Using the LightCycler 2.0 carousel glass capillary-based system (Roche, Manheim, Germany), the relative mRNA levels of HIF1A (NCBI GenBank Reference Sequence: NM_001530.4 and NM_001243084.2, transcript variants 1 and 3, respectively), EPAS1/HIF2A (NM_001430.5), and VEGFA (NM_001025366.3, NM_003376.6, NM_001025367.3, NM_001025368.3, NM_001287044.2, transcript variants 1–4 and 10, respectively) were established. Primer
sequences and TaqMan hydrolysis probe positions for the gene of interest (GOI) were determined using Universal ProbeLibrary (UPL) Assay Design Center algorithm (http://qpcr.probefinder.com, accessed on 28 September 2017). The TaqMan locked nucleic acid probes #71 (cat. no. 04688945001), #39 (cat. no. 04687973001), and #69 (cat. no. 04688686001) are commercially available (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The description and location of probes and primers (with sequences) for the self-designed assays are shown in Figure 2. The hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) gene assay (cat. no. 05532957001; Roche, Basel, Switzerland) served as an internal control [39,41].

Figure 1. Diagram showing groups of comparisons carried out in this study. Abbreviations: n—number of cases, J index—Youden’s J statistic, BMI—body mass index.

Figure 2. Amplicons of analyzed self-designed assays for HIF1A, EPAS1/HIF2A, and VEGFA with the primers, TaqMan® Probes, and introns positions.
Quantitative polymerase chain reactions’ cycling and acquisition steps, standardized for Roche UPL hydrolyzing probes, were conducted as described before in a total volume of 20 μL [39,41–43]. Each reaction was performed in duplicate on independently synthesized complementary DNA, and the mean values were used for statistical analyses. Reaction efficiencies were obtained from standard curves [41]. Threshold values raw data were analyzed by comparing them to appropriately selected standard curves and reference gene assay using LC 5.0.0.38 software and presented as concentration ratio (Cr).

2.3.3. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using Statistica® Version 13.5.0 software for Windows (TIBCO Software Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) and PQStat 1.8.0.414 software (PQStat software; Poznan, Poland). The ROC curve and Youden’s J statistic (Youden’s index) were used to analyze the discriminatory ability to distinguish between populations. ROC curve enabled selecting an optimal threshold value (cut-off point) for age, BMI, and the number of comorbidities for subgroup selection. Patients’ results were compared in groups. The GOIs concentration ratio values were rescaled for each gene separately, using the min-max formula.

\[
Cr_{\text{norm}} = \frac{Cr - \min(Cr)}{\max(Cr) - \min(Cr)}.
\]

where Cr is the concentration ratio, \(Cr_{\text{norm}}\) is the normalized Cr value, and \(\max(Cr)\) is the minimal and maximal Cr value.

The Shapiro–Wilks test was used to assess data normality. A two-sided Mann–Whitney U test and a Kruskal–Wallis test were used with Dunn’s post hoc test for normal data. A Bonferroni–Hochberg correction was used to test multiple comparisons. Spearman’s rank correlation tests determined the correlation coefficient (R) between parameters. Data were considered statistically significant at \(p < 0.05\).

3. Results

3.1. Case-Control Study

In control and malignant carcinoma patients, a significant age difference was observed, with patients presenting cancer being significantly older (\(p = 0.0072\); means ± standard deviations: 54 ± 12.4 yrs vs. 59 ± 10.4 yrs). However, both groups did not differ in BMI or the number of comorbidities present (\(p > 0.05\)).

In the case-control study, we noticed changes in the normalized expression of all analyzed genes. The normalized expression levels of HIF1A and EPAS1 were significantly higher in control samples (\(p = 0.04204\) and \(p = 0.0118\), respectively) and VEGFA was lower (\(p < 0.0001\)) compared to cancerous changed tissue (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Box-whiskers plot of HIF1A, EPAS1, and VEGFA normalized expression level in controls and ovarian cancer patients. Cr norm—normalized concentration ratio. * \(p < 0.05\); *** \(p < 0.001\).](image)

Subsequently, after examining differences in the expression level of genes of interest (GOI) between both analyzed groups, the Spearman rank correlation coefficient for the normalized concentration ratio was established. First, we analyzed whether the expres-
sion of the targeted genes was correlated within the whole group, irrelevant to the case-control study. We found that HIF1A and EPAS1 were positive and moderately correlated (R = 0.47, p < 0.0001). Expression of HIF1A was also significantly and positively but weakly correlated with VEGFA (R = 0.25, p = 0.0077). Subsequently, we analyzed the correlation in the case-control groups. In controls, all analyzed GOIs expression levels were significantly and positively correlated. HIF1A with VEGFA correlated moderately (R = 0.53, p < 0.0001) and EPAS1 with both HIF1A and VEGFA correlated weakly (R = 0.26, p = 0.437 and R = 0.36, p = 0.0056, respectively). On the other hand, in ovarian cancer tissue samples, VEGFA was correlated neither with HIF1A nor EPAS1, but HIF1A was positively and moderately correlated with EPAS1 (R = 0.57, p < 0.0001) (Supplementary Materials, Table S1).

3.2. Classification Due to Intensification of Pathological Changes

We assigned controls into two subgroups (cases without changes and benign non-cancerous lesions) and compared them to women with cancer. We observed age differences between the groups (p = 0.0173). Although there were no significant differences in age between controls without any changes and the other groups (p > 0.05), the cancerous group was significantly older than those with benign changes (p = 0.0269).

Analyzing the HIF1A, EPAS1, and VEGFA expression, we noticed changes in normalized expression in tissue samples assigned due to intensification of pathological changes into three groups (p = 0.0448, p = 0.0341, and p < 0.0001, respectively). The HIF1A and EPAS1 expression levels were higher in benign lesions compared to malignant tumors (p = 0.04067 and p = 0.0228, respectively). The normalized expression level of VEGFA was significantly lower in unchanged tissues when compared to cancerous tissue (p < 0.0001) and samples with milder changes (p = 0.0138) (Figure 4).

The significant Spearman rank correlation coefficients for the normalized concentration ratios of GOIs demonstrated a positive but weak correlation between HIF1A and EPAS1 (R = 0.32, p = 0.0474), a strong correlation in the case of HIF1A and VEGFA (R = 0.71, p < 0.0001), and a moderate correlation for EPAS1 and VEGFA (R = 0.45, p = 0.0045) in non-changed tissue samples. In tissue classified as benign ovarian changes, the GOIs were not significantly correlated (p > 0.05) (Supplementary Materials, Table S2). The cancer tissue correlations were described in the case-control study (Supplementary Materials, Table S1).

3.3. Menopausal Status

As expected, when considering comorbidities, the women suffered more diseases post-menopause (p = 0.0003), and the occurrence of comorbidities was higher (p = 0.0071; risk ratio = 3.32, 95% confidence interval [1.36–8.12]). There was no difference between the groups in BMI (p > 0.05).
Analyzing the expression of GOI based on menopausal status, we did not notice changes in HIF1A and VEGFA normalized expression between groups (p > 0.05). The EPAS1 mRNA level was elevated in tissues obtained from women before menopause (p = 0.0022; Figure 5). The Spearman rank correlation coefficient for normalized concentration ratio showed a positive and moderate or weak correlation of HIF1A and EPAS1 (R = 0.50, p < 0.0001) in the post-menopausal group and HIF1A and VEGFA in the women before menopause (R = 0.47, p = 0.0053). Neither HIF1A nor EPAS1 was significantly correlated with VEGFA in menopausal women nor EPAS1 with HIF1A and VEGFA in pre-menopausal women (p > 0.05) (Supplementary Materials, Table S3).

3.4. Comorbidities Presence

Comparing women with the presence or absence of comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, thyroid disease, varicose veins, stroke, heart disease, varicose veins, COPD, psoriasis, myasthenia gravis, ulcerative colitis, and asthma), there were significant age differences and BMI observed (p < 0.0001). The cases with comorbidities were older and had a higher body mass index.

Analyzing GOIs’ expression, we noticed changes in the normalized expression between groups with either the presence or absence of comorbidities for HIF1A (p = 0.0255) and EPAS1 (p = 0.0423). The expression level of these genes was significantly higher in the group without comorbidities (Figure 6). The Spearman rank correlation coefficient for normalized concentration ratio showed a positive and moderate or weak correlation between HIF1A and EPAS1 in cases with and without comorbidities (R = 0.40, p = 0.0007, and R = 0.34, p = 0.0404, respectively). Neither HIF1A nor EPAS1 significantly correlated with VEGFA (p > 0.05), independent of the presence of comorbidities (p < 0.05) (Supplementary Materials, Table S4). Comorbidities number was not significantly correlated with analyzed GOIs expression level (p > 0.05).

3.5. Cut-Off Points of Age, BMI, and Comorbidities Number

We used Youden’s J statistic to determine the division of the studied controls and cases using cut-off points for age, BMI, and the presence of comorbidities. The cut-off values were as follows: for patients age = 56 years, body mass index BMI = 29, and comorbidities = 1 (Figure 7).

Analyzing the GOI expression when subgroups were based on the Youden’s J statistic for age, we observed significantly elevated levels of both HIF1A (p = 0.0023) and EPAS1 (p = 0.0246) between controls and cancer patients in the group > 56 yrs. The VEGFA level was significantly reduced in both age groups, >56 yrs (p = 0.0299) and ≤56 yrs (p < 0.0001).
Additionally, significantly higher expression was observed between groups distinguished by Youden’s J cut-off points for age, in the cancer group, for HIF1A ($p = 0.0398$) and EPAS1 ($p = 0.0022$) (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1).

![Box-whiskers plot of HIF1A and EPAS1 normalized expression level in cases with comorbidities presence or absence. Cr norm—normalized concentration ratio; * $p < 0.05$.](image1)

Figure 6. Box-whiskers plot of HIF1A and EPAS1 normalized expression level in cases with comorbidities presence or absence. Cr norm—normalized concentration ratio; * $p < 0.05$.

![Receiver operating characteristics for age, BMI, and comorbidities in a case-control study. Based on Youden’s J Index, the cut-off points were estimated and showed as values in the brackets.](image2)

Figure 7. Receiver operating characteristics for age, BMI, and comorbidities in a case-control study. Based on Youden’s J Index, the cut-off points were estimated and showed as values in the brackets.

When analyzing GOIs’ expression between controls and cancer patients in the division based on the Youden’s index regarding BMI, the expression of VEGFA was significantly higher in malignant lesion samples in the group with a BMI $\leq 29$ ($p < 0.0001$) and EPAS1 in controls in the group BMI $> 29$ ($p = 0.0084$). Additionally, EPAS1 expression was elevated in cancer patients with $\leq 29$ BMI compared to BMI $> 29$ ($p = 0.0118$) (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1).

Analyzing GOI expression in divisions distinguished based on the Youden’s index regarding comorbidities number, the normalized expression level of EPAS1 and VEGFA differed significantly ($p = 0.0133$ and $p < 0.0001$, respectively) between controls and cancer patients, but only in group $\leq 1$ was there comorbid disease presence, and in cancerous tissue they were lower for EPAS1 and higher for VEGFA (Supplementary Materials, Figure S1).
4. Discussion

Peritoneal invasion of ovarian cancer is characterized by cancer cells detaching from the primary tumor foci, colonizing new habitats, and expanding in the peritoneum. Thus, while cancer cells may be susceptible to hypoxia [6,7], new blood vessels are formed from the existing vasculature to avoid oxygen deficiency [14]. It promotes cancer progression and strongly correlates with the risk of invasion and metastasis [15,16]. Changes in the expression level of different genes and their mutual control and linkage could help cancer cells survive in unfavorable environmental conditions [44].

Our investigations examined changes in expression levels of the main signaling pathway’s core components that enable cancer cells to adapt and survive in the poorly oxygenated microenvironment of solid tumors. The HIF1A and EPAS1 serve as an angiogenic master switch for VEGFA gene regulation, which is essential for physiological and pathological angiogenesis [45–47]. We found that expression of HIF1A and EPAS1 was higher in controls and VEGFA higher in patients with malignant tumors. Additionally, HIF1A and EPAS1 mRNA levels were significantly higher in benign non-cancerous lesions than in malignant lesions, but not in control samples. In turn, VEGFA expression was significantly higher in malignant tumors compared to control tissues, and there was a difference in VEGFA expression between benign lesions and normal ovarian tissue. The mRNA level was the lowest in the ovary without changes. Other authors described HIF1A elevated expression in stage III and IV ovarian cancer compared to controls. It was shown that stage III was characterized by the highest expression [48]. Other authors, in turn, have not observed differences in HIF1A expression and demonstrated similar cytoplasmic protein content in early and advanced tumor stages.

Conversely, the same team showed that nuclear expression of HIF1A and higher cytoplasmic levels of EPAS1 might be associated with OC progression [6]. In ovarian carcinoma, elevated expression of HIF1A alone is not considered a prognostic marker [49]. However, it has been reported that the level and cellular localization combined, which could be hypoxia dependent, may have prognostic and diagnostic potential in ovarian cancer [6]. Additionally, the activation of HIF1A and EPAS1 in cancer is connected with the vascularization processes, and ovarian carcinoma cells have been shown to express elevated VEGFA levels [50]. Moreover, an elevated level of VEGFA was found to be a key molecule in ovarian cancer [17,51,52]. Still, other hypoxia and angiogenic factors are needed to be found to clarify the mechanism [6]. Our results regarding increased VEGFA expression level in malignant tumors compared to controls and benign lesions are supported by other authors. They showed an increased level of the VEGFA protein associated with the ovarian tumor stage [48]. Finally, VEGFA expression in OC cells has been considered a poor prognostic factor as it exerts an influence on tumor immune evasion via the recruitment and activation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells [53,54]. We established that the expression level of all GOIs examined herein demonstrated mutual positive correlations in normal tissue.

Contrary to this, in cancerous tissue, only HIF1A level moderately correlated with EPAS1. The correlations were similar to the whole control group in tissue samples lacking any pathological changes. On the other hand, we did not observe any corresponding correlations in the benign lesion. Thus, it seems that along with the increasing level of tissue pathology, there is a greater disturbance in these genes’ control and mutual relations. Disturbed gene expression correlations could be explained by mechanisms other than hypoxia that influence the VEGFA expression, such as cytokines or growth factors [48]. As we also established, age, BMI and menopausal status, or comorbidities may influence the analyzed gene expression pattern.

In our research, menopausal status and the comorbidities’ presence affect the expression level of analyzed genes. The EPAS1 mRNA level was elevated in tissues obtained from women before menopause. Positive and moderate HIF1A with EPAS1 in the post-menopausal group and HIF1A with VEGFA correlation in the women before menopause were shown. Additionally, we noticed higher HIF1A and EPAS1 levels in the cases without comorbidities. We also observed a moderate HIF1A and EPAS1 correlation in women after
menopause and in both control groups with and without comorbidities. Thus, menopausal status could influence the genes examined herein, and other diseases affect the expression.

Nevertheless, data regarding HIF1A, EPAS1, and VEGFA expression in ovarian cancer are scant. A little more is known about their expression in other cancers. However, while hypoxia is generally associated with poor prognosis, the prognostic role of HIF1A and EPAS1 differs between tumor types. In some cancers, e.g., head and neck, lung, and neuroblastoma, a higher EPAS1 level was associated with a better prognosis [55–57]. It was also shown that the HIF1A and EPAS1 proteins’ cellular localization could be related to the further prognosis of the patients [46]. The VEGFA presence was reported in non-neoplastic breast specimens. The expression of different VEGFA isoforms was significantly higher in pre-menopausal than post-menopausal women and was negatively correlated with age.

On the contrary, it was shown that VEGFA mRNA expression levels decrease after menopause in normal breast tissue but not in breast cancer lesions [58]. Moreover, it has been described that VEGFA expression was not only affected by menopausal status and BMI, but among perimenopausal women with cardiometabolic diseases, combined with obesity, the polymorphic changes in the VEGFA influence its expression [59]. The differential gene associations could partly explain the varying expression level. Our results showed that in controls, expression levels of all GOIs correlated, but not in benign lesions.

This observation could be supported by further analyses performed in groups segregated by Youden’s index. The GOIs expression pattern differed in the case-control study. The HIF1A and EPAS1 mRNA levels were higher in controls aged >56 years, while VEGFA was higher in patients with malignant changes in both age groups. Moreover, between distinguished age groups, cancer cases differed in EPAS1 and HIF1A. The mRNA level of both genes was higher in younger cancer patients. Regarding BMI groups segregated by Youden’s index, there was no difference between the distinguished groups in HIF1A expression level. Considering malignant changes, we established lower EPAS1 mRNA levels compared to controls in the group with higher BMI values. Additionally, in BMI ≤ 29 group, the VEGFA mRNA level was higher in tumor samples. It was shown that inflammatory mediator levels increase in obesity and have been shown to regulate HIF1A levels in adipose tissue. This obese tissue is recognized as more hypoxic than healthy adipose tissue, a major driver of HIF1A stabilization. It is likely that obesity-associated factors, including leptin, converge to regulate metabolic pathways leading to a hormonal milieu conducive to tumor growth [60].

Expression differences were observed in the case of EPAS1 and VEGFA between groups distinguished based on comorbidities manifestation. We showed EPAS1 elevated level in controls and VEGFA in malignant tumors, but only in the group with a lower number of comorbidities. Our observations are consistent with other authors. Although other authors have analyzed the correlation of protein expression patterns, all of the observed correlations were positive, as in our case [6]. It has been shown that ovarian carcinoma cells presented higher VEGFA protein content, and HIF1A level was significantly correlated with VEGFA [50]. The age-related altered expression of VEGFA remains in line with observations in other malignancies and could also be associated with microRNA targeting of its transcripts [61,62].

Additionally, VEGFA upregulated by HIF1A and/or EPAS1 genes might be involved in some OC patients’ angiogenesis [63]. It was shown that, compared with benign ovarian tumor tissue, malignant cancer tissues demonstrated a significantly higher expression of VEGFA, which is considered an unfavorable prognostic factor [53,64]. It has been demonstrated that VEGFA isoforms positively correlated with tumor biology and were co-expressed in ovarian cancer as indicators of tumor activity [65]. The expression of analyzed genes could be related to many factors, such as age, obesity, or comorbidities, or be independent prognostic factors and therapeutic targets.
5. Conclusions

Our findings strongly suggest that mutual relationships exist in the expression of both HIF1A and EPAS1 with VEGFA, which has prognostic importance for malignant ovarian carcinoma. Upregulation of VEGFA plays an important role in the oncogenesis and progression of ovarian carcinoma. Over the past years, HIF1A [26,38], EPAS1 [37,66,67], and VEGFA [68–70] have been highlighted to be attractive potential targets for anti-cancer therapies. Anti-VEGFA therapy in ovarian cancer has already been confirmed to improve the effectiveness of standard treatment [71]. Our findings allow us to identify patients as potential candidates for clinical trials aimed at inhibiting the hypoxia-induced neovascularization-dependent pathways.
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