Neonatal gastric perforation: a report of two cases and a systematic review

Tsung-Yen Chen, MD∗, Hsien-Kuan Liu, MD, Ming-Chun Yang, MD‡, Yung-Ning Yang, MD, Po-Jui Ko, MD, Yu-Tsun Su, MD, Ru-Yi Huang, MD, Ching-Chung Tsai, MD, PhD

Abstract
Rationale: Neonatal gastric perforation is a rare and life-threatening disorder in neonates and is associated with high morbidity and mortality. However, the exact mechanisms of neonatal gastric perforation remain unknown.

Patient concerns: In this study, we reported 2 cases of neonatal gastric perforation and conducted a systematic review to analyze the prognostic factors for mortality.

Diagnoses: Two neonates received a diagnosis of gastric perforation based on clinical presentation and imaging studies. The 2 patients underwent emergent surgery, which yielded favorable outcomes.

Interventions: We reviewed 168 cases from the literature as well as our 2 cases to analyze whether mortality in neonatal gastric perforation is associated with sex, gestational age, operation type, perforation location, or timing of perforation.

Outcomes: The results revealed that mortality was significantly higher in preterm neonates (n=80, P<.01) and the mortality group had a lower birth weight (n=73, P<.05). The timing of perforation in the preterm subgroup was significantly earlier than that in the full-term subgroup (n=90, P<.05). The outcomes about mortality of gastric perforation were significantly associated with preterm neonates (adjusted odds ratio: 4.21, 95% confidence interval: 1.28–13.88, P<.05).

Lessons: This study shows the prognostic factor of gastric perforation was significantly associated with preterm neonates. Furthermore, low-birth-weight full-term neonates had a relatively higher mortality rate than the normal-birth-weight full-term neonates. In addition, preterm neonates have an earlier timing of perforation.
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1. Introduction
Gastric perforation accounts for approximately 7% of all gastrointestinal perforations in neonates; it has poor outcomes and a high mortality rate.1,2 The probable causes of gastric perforation include asphyxia, vigorous respiratory resuscitative measures, ventilator use, increased intragastric pressure, gastric acidity changes, and anatomical abnormalities of the stomach (eg, congenital agenesis of the gastric musculature) secondary to iatrogenic traumas (eg, endotracheal and feeding tube insertion) and steroid use (possibly in combination with cyclooxygenase inhibitors for ductal closure).2–5 However, the exact mechanisms of neonatal gastric perforation remain unclear. In this report, we presented 2 cases of neonatal gastric perforation and conducted a systematic review on 168 cases to analyze the relationship of mortality with sex, gestational age, operation type, perforation location, and timing of perforation.

2. Case report no 1
A 4-day-old full-term male neonate was delivered through caesarean section, with a birth weight of 3320g and Apgar scores of 6 and 8 at 1- and 5-minute assessments, respectively, and without birth insult. Prenatal examination was uneventful. At 4 days after birth, he was admitted to our pediatric emergency department due to severe abdominal distention, progressive shortness of breath accompanied with grunting, and yellowish skin discoloration for 1 day (Fig. 1). His feeding condition was satisfactory during the 4 days, and he had defecated one time after feeding. Upon admission, tachycardia (approximately 200 beats/minute) and hypotension (systolic/diastolic blood pressure: 71/59 mm Hg) were observed. Prominent abdominal distension was visible, and bowel sounds were hypoactive. Laboratory results revealed leukocytosis with left shifting (white blood count: 25.8×10⁹/L, band: 2.5%, myelocytes: 3%, metamyelocytes: 3%, and neutrophils: 73%), hyperbilirubinemia (total bilirubin: 358 μmol/L), and serum sodium of 132 mmol/L (normal value: 135–148 mmol/L). Plain abdominal radiography showed football signs (Fig. 2), and the lateral decubitus abdominal...
radiograph revealed pneumoperitoneum. Because hollow organ perforation with sepsis was suspected, emergent surgery was arranged. Empiric antibiotics with ampicillin (YF Chemical Corp, Taiwan), cefotaxime (Zentiva Saglik Urenleri San, Turkey), and metronidazole (Taiwan Biotech, Taiwan) were administered. The surgical findings were as follows: perforation at the anterior wall of the stomach body, approximately 200mL of milk-like material within the peritoneum, and malrotation with a small bowel volvulus of approximately 360°. Gastrostomy and the Ladd procedure were performed. Meckel diverticulum was 30cm above the ileocecal valve but remained unresected to reduce operation time. The neonate was started on oral milk feeding with an uneventful hospitalized course, and the 2nd operation for wedge resection of Meckel diverticulum was arranged subsequently.

3. Case report no 2
A 2-day-old preterm male neonate was delivered through caesarean section at a gestational age of 35 weeks and 3 days, with a birth weight of 2240g and Apgar scores of 7 and 8 at 1- and 5-minute assessments, respectively. Progressive abdominal distension accompanied with periumbilical erythema, decreased activity, minimal amount meconium passage, and hypoactive bowel sounds were observed during the 2 days (Fig. 1). Therefore, he was transferred to our hospital. Blood examination showed leukopenia with left shifting (white blood count: 4.91×10^9/L, band: 1%, myelocytes: 3%, metamyelocytes: 7%, neutrophils: 10%), elevated C-reactive protein levels of 156.2 nmol/L (normal levels: 7.6–150.4nmol/L), coagulopathy (prothrombin time: 22.1 seconds; activated partial thromboplastin time: 104.1 seconds, international normalized ratio: 2.1), metabolic acidosis (pH: 7.3, PO2: 66.0mmHg, PCO2: 26.1 mmHg, HCO3⁻: 11.3mmol/L), acute kidney injury (creatinine: 167.9μmol/L; normal value: 2.65–44.2 μmol/L), blood urea nitrogen: 13.8mmol/L (normal value: 1.1–9.0 mmol/L), and serum sodium: 127mmol/L. Plain abdomen radiography
revealed pneumoperitoneum (Fig. 3). Under unstable hemodynamic conditions, septic shock caused by hollow organ perforation was suspected initially. Fluid resuscitation was performed, and an inotropic agent with dopamine was administered. Empirical antibiotics were prescribed similar to those in case no. 1. Emergent laparotomy showed gastric perforation, and gastrothoraphy was performed. Upon surgery, a 3-cm perforation over the anterior wall of the stomach with necrosis changes of the surrounding tissue and fibrin coating and approximately 50 mL of turbid whitish fluid inside the peritoneum were observed. We maintained his nutritional status with parenteral nutrition, which was gradually tapered after satisfactory feeding. He was discharged with uneventful hospitalization course afterwards.

4. Discussion

The clinical manifestation of neonatal gastric perforation includes abdominal distention, feeding intolerance, respiratory distress, poor activity, gastrointestinal bleeding, abdominal erythema, and hemodynamic changes as shock.

Some poor prognostic factors for survival, including male sex, hyponatremia (serum sodium <130 mmoL/L), and metabolic acidosis (pH < 7.3), have been reported.[1-5] To assess the prognostic factors for mortality, we searched PubMed for English language papers published during 1950 to 2017. We used the search terms “gastric perforation” and “neonate.” We then assessed the resulting 14 articles for relevance to our topic. The cases identified from the articles found during the initial search were reviewed for relevance. We obtained 168 cases from systematic review and enrolled 2 cases in our hospital for further analysis (Table 1).[1-6,9-20] The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of E-Da Hospital (approval number: EMRPS5106N). Statistical analysis of the results was performed by using Student t test, Pearson chi-squared test, Mann–Whitney U test, or logistic regression analysis. In all cases, differences were considered significant when P < .05. This review included 104 male (61.9%) and 64 female (38.1%); 72 preterm (57.1%) and 54 full-term (42.9%) neonates after 42 cases from the studies by Sato et al were excluded due to the unavailability of data on their gestational age.[17] The perforation locations included the greater curvature (n = 90; 73.8%), lesser curvature (n = 16; 13.1%), anterior wall

Table 1
Systematic review on neonatal gastric perforation.

| Authors          | Case no | Sex (no, male/female) | Gestational age (no, full-term/preterm) | Birth body weight (g, mean ± SD) | Timing of perforation (day after birth) | Location of perforation (no, greater curvature/lesser curvature/anterior wall/posterior wall) | Outcome (no, survival/death) |
|------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Lin, et al[1]    | 15      | 12/3                  | 9/6                                    | 2983 ± 505                       | 2–7                                    | 8/0/5/2                                                                           | 8/7                         |
| Byun, et al[3]   | 9       | 4/5                   | 6/3                                    | 2750 ± 1006                      | 2–5                                    | 4/3/1/1                                                                           | 7/2                         |
| Leone, et al[8]  | 7       | 3/4                   | 3/4                                    | 1942 ± 1033                      | 1–30                                   | 4/3/0/0                                                                           | 4/3                         |
| Wilton, et al[10] | 2     | 0/2                   | 1/1                                   | 2170 ± 260                       | 3–4                                    | 1/1/0/0                                                                           | 0/2                         |
| Shashikumar, et al[11] | 19 | 9/10                  | 14/5                                   | 2477 ± 684                       | 1–9                                    | 14/1/0/1                                                                         | 11/8                        |
| Jones, et al[12] | 4       | 3/1                   | 0/4                                    | 1317 ± 190                       | 1                                       | 0/0/0/0                                                                           | 1/3                         |
| Hock, et al[13]  | 3       | 1/2                   | 2/1                                   | 1701 ± 75                        | 4                                       | 1/0/0/0                                                                           | NA                          |
| Chung, et al[16] | 12      | 3/9                   | 8/4                                    | 2988 ± 565                       | 2–5                                    | 10/1/0/1                                                                         | 5/7                         |
| Kiesewetter, et al[14] | 4 | 3/1                   | 4/0                                   | NA                                | 2–5                                    | 2/1/0/0                                                                           | 0/4                         |
| Rossier, et al[15] | 15   | 13/2                  | 9/6                                   | NA                                | 1–6                                    | 15/0/0/0                                                                           | NA                          |
| Hwang, et al[16] | 3       | 2/1                   | 2/1                                   | NA                                | NA                                    | 3/0/0/0                                                                           | 3/0                         |
| Holgersen, et al[17] | 28 | 16/12                 | 12/16                                  | NA                                | 1–7                                    | 26/2/0/0                                                                           | 19/9                        |
| Amaddeo, et al[18] | 3   | 1/2                   | 1/2                                   | 2060 ± 407                       | 3                                       | 2/0/1/0                                                                           | 0/5                         |
| Sato, et al[19]  | 42      | 26/16                 | NA                                     | 1878 ± 887                       | NA                                    | NA                                                                                | 36/6                        |
| This article     | 2       | 2                     | 1/1                                   | 2789 ± 764                       | 2–4                                    | 0/0/2/0                                                                           | 2/0                         |
| Total cases      | 168     | 104/64                | 72/54                                  | 2310 ± 893                       | 1–30 (mean:3.5)                        | 90/61/15                                                                         | 96/54                       |

NA = no data available, no. = number.

1 No details on the perforation location of 3 cases; assumed to be missing data in the subgroup analysis.

2 This study only recorded one infant’s birth weight.

3 Article did not mention the perforation location of each specific case; assumed to be missing data in the subgroup analysis.

4 One case was recorded as “midst stomach wall” and was assumed as missing data.

5 Excluded from subgroup analysis due to incomplete details in the original data.
The relationship between mortality and various parameters (sex, operation type, or gestational age) was also analyzed using the Pearson chi-squared test (Table 2). The results revealed that the mortality rate was significantly higher in preterm neonates than in full-term neonates (of the total 80 cases, 22/31 preterm cases and 18/49 full-term cases died; \( P < .05 \)) after 18 cases from the studies by Houck et al and Rosser et al were excluded from survival analysis due to the lack of survival records, and 28 and 42 cases from the studies by Holgersen et al and Sato et al, respectively, were excluded from subgroup analysis due to incomplete details of the original data.\(^{[13,15,17,19]}\) The results were similar to those of a previous study.\(^{[1]}\) However, the mortality rate was not significantly different between the male and female subgroups. Additionally, the mortality rate was not significantly different between different types of operations after 3 cases from the studies by Hwang et al due to the lack of surgical detail and 2, 1, and 2 cases from the studies by Wilson et al, Shashikumar et al, and Kiesewetter et al, respectively, were excluded because of death before surgery.\(^{[16,10,11,14]}\) In this study, the higher mortality rate in preterm neonates and low birth weight neonates might be associated with the poor conditions of these subgroups that can easily develop sepsis and organ failure, eventually resulting in mortality. Furthermore, preterm and low birth weight neonates have a higher probability of ischemia episodes, such as hypotension and perinatal asphyxia, which may increase the risk of intestinal ischemia, thereby resulting in higher morbidity and mortality of gastric perforation.\(^{[4]}\)

Of all 168 cases, 28 and 42 and from the studies by Holgersen et al and Sato et al, respectively, were excluded from subgroup analysis due to the incomplete details of the original data.\(^{[17,19]}\) Furthermore, 2 cases each from the studies by Wilson et al and Shashikumar et al and one case from the study by Kiesewetter et al were excluded because the diagnosis of gastric perforation was assigned upon autopsy.\(^{[10,11,14]}\) In addition, 3 cases from the study by Hwang et al were excluded due to the unavailability of adequately detailed descriptions of the date of disease onset and body birth weights.\(^{[16]}\) On the basis of 90 cases after excluding aforementioned incomplete data, the mean timing of perforation was 3.5 days. We used the Mann–Whitney \(U\) test to analyze the timing of perforation for the preterm and full-term subgroups \((n = 37 and 53, separately)\). The 2 subgroups differed significantly \((t = 13.88, P < .05)\), indicating that gastric perforation occurred earlier in the preterm subgroup than in the full-term subgroup. The outcomes were further analyzed using logistic regression analysis. To control the potential confounding effects, gestational age, birth weight, and timing of perforation were used as independent variables for adjustment. The outcomes were significantly associated with gestational age (preterm or full term) when adjusted for birth weight, timing of perforation (adjusted odds ratio: 4.21, 95% confidence interval: 1.28–13.88, \( P < .05 \)). The mortality rates in the preterm and term subgroups were 72.4% and 33.3%, respectively. Therefore, preterm neonates with gastric perforations have 4.21 times higher risk of mortality than full-term neonates. Furthermore, because the birth weights of the subgroup of death in the full-term was significantly lower than the birth weights of those who survived \((2643 \pm 691 vs 3077 \pm 523 \text{g}, n = 14 and 28, respectively, } P < .05\).

The outcomes were further analyzed using logistic regression analysis. To control the potential confounding effects, gestational age, birth weight, and timing of perforation were used as independent variables for adjustment. The outcomes were significantly associated with gestational age (preterm or full term) when adjusted for birth weight, timing of perforation (adjusted odds ratio: 4.21, 95% confidence interval: 1.28–13.88, \( P < .05 \)). The mortality rates in the preterm and term subgroups were 72.4% and 33.3%, respectively. Therefore, preterm neonates with gastric perforations have 4.21 times higher risk of mortality than full-term neonates. Furthermore, because the birth weights of the subgroup of death in the full-term was significantly lower than the birth weights of those who survived \((2643 \pm 691 vs 3077 \pm 523 \text{g}, n = 14 and 28, respectively, } P < .05)\). Hence, the outcomes between birth weights of \(< 2500\text{g}\) and \(\geq 2500\text{g}\) in the full-term only subgroup were further analyzed using the Pearson chi-square test. The mortality rate was significantly higher in the full-term neonates with birth weights of \(< 2500\text{g}\).
According to previous studies, the peak incidence of gastric perforation is on the 2nd to 7th day of life, which is consistent with our analysis.[20–22] Miller et al found that gastric acidity in newborns is equal to that in adults, with a maximal acidity at 24 hours of age. The acidity decreased over the subsequent 9 days, approaching the normal level for a child.[3] Therefore, gastric acidity is exceptionally high during the first week of life, which is the peak incidence period of gastric perforation. In addition, poor nutritional support, gastric mucus secretion, or digestion ability, which are highly common in preterm neonates, may increase the risk of gastric perforation. However, further studies are required to elucidate the reasons for the earlier timing of perforation in preterm neonates.

5. Conclusions
Early diagnosis, hemodynamic monitoring, and appropriate management were achieved in our 2 cases, which may have contributed to the favorable outcomes. This systematic review showed that prognostic factor of gastric perforation was significantly associated with preterm neonates, who have 4.21 times higher risk of mortality than the full-term neonates. Furthermore, low-birth-weight full-term neonates had a relatively higher mortality rate than the normal-birth-weight full-term neonates. In addition, preterm neonates have an earlier timing of perforation.
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