AIM
To determine whether it is possible to identify different immune phenotypic subpopulations of cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) in pancreatic cancer (PC).

METHODS
We defined four different stromal compartments in surgical specimens with PC: The juxtatumoural, peripheral, lobular and septal stroma. Tissue microarrays were produced containing all pre-defined PC compartments, and the expression of 37 fibroblast (FB) and 8 extracellular matrix (ECM) markers was evaluated by immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence (IF), double-IF, and/or in situ hybridization. The compartment-specific mean labelling score was determined for each marker using a four-tiered scoring system. DOG1 gene expression was examined by
quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR).

**RESULTS**

CD10, CD271, cytoglobin, DOG1, miR-21, nestin, and tenascin C exhibited significant differences in expression profiles between the juxtatumoural and peripheral compartments. The expression of CD10, cytoglobin, DOG1, nestin, and miR-21 was moderate/strong in juxtatumoural CAFs (j-CAFs) and barely perceptible/weak in peripheral CAFs (p-CAFs). The upregulation of DOG1 gene expression in PC compared to normal pancreas was verified by qPCR. Tenascin C expression was strong in the juxtatumoural ECM and barely perceptible/weak in the peripheral ECM. CD271 expression was barely perceptible in j-CAFs but moderate in the other compartments. Galectin-1 was stronger expressed in j-CAFs vs septic fibroblasts, PDGF-Rβ, tissue transglutaminase 2, and hyaluronic acid were stronger expressed in lobular fibroblasts vs p-CAFs, and plectin-1 was stronger expressed in j-CAFs vs l-FBs. The expression of the remaining 33 markers did not differ significantly when related to the quantity of CAFs/FBs or the amount of ECM in the respective compartments.

**CONCLUSION**

Different immune phenotypic CAF subpopulations can be identified in PC, using markers such as cytoglobin, CD271, and miR-21. Future studies should determine whether CAF subpopulations have different functional properties.
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Core tip: Pancreatic cancer (PC) has a poor prognosis, which may partially be attributed to the abundant desmoplastic stroma, produced by cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). The exact role of CAFs in PC is currently unclear, as these cells exhibited stimulation of cancer cell proliferation in vitro, but depletion of these cells promoted cancer progression in animal models. In this study, using immunohistochemistry, immunofluorescence (IF), double-IF, and/or in situ hybridization, we identified different immune phenotypic subpopulations of CAFs in PC, which may, at least in part, explain the previously published, partly contradictory data on the role of CAFs in PC. Further studies are needed to elucidate whether certain CAF subpopulations in PC have different functional properties.
Three TMAs were constructed for the IHC and IF analyses with tissue from eight human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) specimens (Table 1). In this study, the term PC is used for PDAC. Normal pancreatic tissue and cores with autoimmune pancreatitis and alcoholic chronic pancreatitis served as controls. Normal pancreatic tissue was obtained from one patient who underwent an operation for a haemangioma in the caudal region of the pancreas, and one patient who underwent a splenectomy. Three additional TMAs were produced for the ISH analyses, resulting in a total of 21 PC tissues (Table 1). The database of the Department of Pathology, Odense University Hospital (OUH), was searched for pancreatic surgical specimens, obtained from January 1, 2016, onwards. Cases that fulfilled the following criteria were included in the study: Specimens were excluded if they contained neuroendocrine neoplasms, cystic tumours, benign lesions or intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs). Only ductal adenocarcinomas of the pancreas were included after re-evaluation by a pathologist, ensuring that no ampullary or duodenal adenocarcinomas secondarily involving the pancreas were included.

Table 1 Patient and tumour characteristics

| Characteristics | IHC and IF cohort | ISH cohort |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Number          | n                 | 8         | 21†     |
| Age             | Mean (yr) (range) | 67.0 (46.7-75.9) | 67.9 (46.7-80.9) |
| Sex             | Female/male       | 5/3       | 13/8    |
| Tumour differentiation grade |               |           |         |
| Well differentiated (G1) | n          | 0         | 0       |
| Moderately differentiated (G2) | n          | 8         | 19      |
| Poorly differentiated (G3) | n          | 0         | 2       |
| T Stage* | T1/T2/T3/T4 | 0/0/8/0 | 0/0/20/1 |
| N stage* | N0/N1 | 2/6 | 5/16 |
| Surgical procedure |           |           |         |
| Whipple resection | n          | 5         | 16      |
| Left-sided pancreatectomy | n          | 3         | 5       |

*The 21 patient tumours in the in situ hybridization cohort also included the 8 tumours from the immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence cohort; †According to the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) pTNM classification version 7th. ISH: In situ hybridization; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; IF: Immunofluorescence.

tissue in the Danish registry for the use of tissue in research (Vævsanvendelsesregisteret). The automated protocols, including deparaffinization, epitope retrieval and blocking of endogenous peroxidase activity, were performed using either a BenchMark Ultra Immunostainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, United States), with the OptiView Detection Kit (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, United States); a Dako Omnis instrument (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States), with the Dako EnVision FLEX visualization system (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States); a Dako Autostainer Link 48 instrument (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) with PowerVision (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany), CSA II (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States), and Dako EnVision (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) detection systems. Heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) and non-HIER protocols were tested for antigen retrieval to obtain the highest signal-to-noise ratio. Nuclear counterstaining

Tissue specimens for quantitative reverse transcription PCR analyses: This study was approved by the

Ethical Committee of the Region of Southern Denmark (project ID: S-20150130) and the Danish Data Protection Agency (project ID: 15/51867). All the included tissue samples were stored in the Danish Cancer Biobank. Nine PC specimens (3 females and 6 males with a mean age of 65.2 years) and three normal pancreatic specimens (2 females and 1 male with a mean age of 61.2 years) were obtained from patients who underwent surgery for PC at OUH in the period from January 10, 2014 to January 10, 2015. Benign pancreatic tissue was obtained from representative areas with no trace of malignancy. Gastrointestinal stromal tumour (GIST) tissue served as a positive control. Fresh tissue specimens from surgical resections were transferred directly to a -80 °C freezer upon arrival at the Department of Pathology, OUH.

IHC

Four-micrometre sections were cut on a microtome and mounted on FLEX IHC slides (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). The IHC staining procedures for some antigens were automated, and some were stained manually. Supplementary Table 1 presents details regarding primary antibodies, dilutions, incubation times and epitope retrieval procedures for each antigen.

The automated protocols, including deparaffinization, epitope retrieval and blocking of endogenous peroxidase activity, were performed using either a BenchMark Ultra Immunostainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, United States), with the OptiView Detection Kit (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, United States); a Dako Omnis instrument (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States), with the Dako EnVision FLEX visualization system (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States); a Dako Autostainer Link 48 instrument (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) with PowerVision (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany), CSA II (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States), and Dako EnVision (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) detection systems. Heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) and non-HIER protocols were tested for antigen retrieval to obtain the highest signal-to-noise ratio. Nuclear counterstaining
We defined two compartments within the tumour (the juxtatumoural and the peripheral stroma) and two compartments in the surrounding pancreatic parenchyma (the lobular and the septal stroma). The juxtatumoural stromal compartment, containing juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts and juxtatumoural extracellular matrix, is defined as the stroma at a distance of \( \leq 100 \, \mu m \) away from the cancer cells. The peripheral stromal compartment, containing peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts and peripheral extracellular matrix (p-ECM), is located \( > 100 \, \mu m \) away from the cancer cells. The lobular stromal compartment, containing lobular fibroblasts and lobular ECM, is defined as the stroma located in the intralobular areas, surrounding acinar cells and islets of Langerhans. The septal stromal compartment, containing septal fibroblasts and septal ECM, is defined as the stroma in the perilobular areas, surrounding the pancreatic lobuli.

ECM: Extracellular matrix.

was performed with a BenchMark Ultra instrument, using haematoxylin II (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, United States), and with the Dako Omnis and Dako Autostainer instruments, using EnVision FLEX haematoxylin (Agilent, Santa Clara, United States). Slides were washed, dehydrated and mounted with coverslips using a Tissue-Tek film coverslipper (Sakura, Alphen aan den Rijn, The Netherlands).

For the manual IHC staining procedures, the tissue sections were dewaxed with xylene and rehydrated with an ethanol gradient in water. A 10-min incubation in 1.5% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was performed to block endogenous peroxidase activity. Tissue sections were placed in HIER buffers and exposed to three successive steps using a microwave oven (NN-SD450W, Panasonic, Osaka, Japan): (1) 9 min at 900 W; (2) 15 min at 440 W; and (3) 15 min at room temperature (RT). Nonspecific binding was blocked by incubation for 30 min in 2% BSA. The sections were incubated with primary antibody diluted in antibody diluent S2022 (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for 60 min at RT or overnight (O/N) at 4 °C. Unbound primary antibodies were washed away, and the EnVision+ peroxidase/DAB detection system (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) was used for detection of antigen-bound antibodies. Nuclear counterstaining was performed with Movers haematoxylin (Fagron Nordic, Copenhagen, Denmark). Slides were washed, dried and mounted with coverslips using Pertex (Histolab, Gothenburg, Sweden).

The following markers were used as IHC and d-IF reference markers for the different stromal compartments (Supplementary Table 1): \( \alpha\)-SMA (myofibroblasts), CD3 (T cells), CD20 (B cells), CD68 (macrophages), CD117 (mast cells and stem/progenitor cells), CD163 (macrophages and monocytes), ETS-related gene (ERG, endothelial cells), ionized calcium-binding adapter molecule 1 (IBA-1, macrophages), maspin (adenocarcinoma cells), myeloperoxidase (MPO, neutrophils), synaptophysin (axons and neuroendocrine cells), tryptase (mast cells), and von Willebrand Factor (vWF, endothelial cells).

**Definition of four stromal compartments in pancreatic resection specimens with PC:** Four different stromal compartments in pancreatic resection specimens with PC were defined: two compartments within the tumour and two in the surrounding pancreatic parenchyma (Figure 1). The stroma inside the tumours was divided into juxtatumoural and peripheral stroma. The juxtatumoural stroma was defined as the stroma located between 0 and 100 \( \mu m \) from the cancer cells (Figure 1)\(^{[30]}\). The peripheral stroma was defined as the stroma located \( > 100 \, \mu m \) away from the cancer cells. The stroma in the peritumoural pancreatic tissue was divided into lobular and septal stroma. The lobular stroma was defined as the stroma located in intralobular areas, and the septal stroma was defined as the stroma located in perilobular (septal) areas surrounding the pancreatic lobuli (Figure 1).

In each of the four stromal compartments, CAFs and ECM were evaluated separately: Juxtatumoural CAFs (j-CAFs) and juxtatumoural ECM (j-ECM) in the juxtatumoural stroma; peripheral CAFs (p-CAFs) and peripheral ECM (p-ECM) in the peripheral stroma; lobular fibroblasts (l-FBs) and lobular ECM (l-ECM) in the lobular stroma; and septal fibroblasts (s-FBs) and septal ECM (s-ECM) in the septal stroma.

**Semi-quantitative immunohistochemical scoring:** Stained slides were scanned using a 40x objective on a NanoZoomer 2.0HT whole-slide scanner (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan). All quantitative evaluation was performed on digitalized slides using NanoZoomer Digital Pathology (NDP).view2 software (Hamamatsu Photonics).

IHC, ISH and histochemical staining were assessed using a semi-quantitative scoring system on TMA. The expression of each individual marker was assessed in CAFs/FBs and ECM in the different stromal compartments using a labelling score (LS) from 0 to 4. In this LS scale, 0 indicated no expression, 1 indicated barely perceptible expression, 2 indicated weak expression, 3 indicated moderate expression, and 4 indicated strong expression. Each score was based on the intensity as well as distribution of the expression of the respective markers. The CAF/FB markers were scored in relation to the quantity of activated CAFs/FBs and the ECM markers were scored in relation to the quantity of collagen and reticulin in the respective compartment. \( \alpha\)-SMA was used as a reference marker for myofibroblasts (MFBs), and Sirius Red and reticulin were used as reference stains for ECM.
The expression of these markers in the juxtatumoural, peripheral, lobular and septal stroma is shown, as well as that in adenocarcinoma cells, axons, endothelial cells, histiocytes, mast cells, media myocytes, neutrophilic granulocytes, and lymphocytes. Symbol key: (+) Not expressed in the cell type; (+) barely perceptible expression; (+++) weak expression; (++++) moderate expression; (++++) strong expression.

D-IF microscopy
Four-micrometre sections were cut on a microtome and placed on FLEX IHC slides. Tissue sections were dewaxed with xylene and rehydrated with an ethanol gradient in water. HIER protocols were performed for all IF stains. Tissue sections were placed in HIER buffer and exposed to three successive steps using a microwave oven: (1) 9 min at 900 W; (2) 15 min at 440 W; and (3) 15 min at RT. Nonspecific binding was blocked by incubation for 30 min in 2% BSA. The sections were incubated with primary antibody (mouse or rabbit, Supplementary Table 2) diluted in antibody diluent S2022 for 60 min at RT or O/N at 4 °C. Unbound primary antibodies were washed away, and the specimens were incubated with secondary anti-mouse/rabbit Alexa Fluor-conjugated antibodies (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, United States). Unbound secondary antibodies were washed away, and the slides were mounted with DAPI using Vectashield (Vector Laboratories Inc., Burlingame, CA, United States). The following combinations of markers were examined with d-IF: CD10/α-SMA, CD10/ERG, CD10/IBA1, CD271/α-SMA, CD271/ERG, CD271/IBA1, cytoglobin/α-SMA, cytoglobin/CD163, cytoglobin/von Willebrand Factor, DOG1/α-SMA, nestin/α-SMA, and nestin/ERG.

Histochemistry
The sectioning, mounting and deparaffinization steps for the histochemical staining were similar to those for the IHC analyses. The following histochemical stains were used:

**Hyaluronic acid**: Deparaffinized sections were incubated with 10 μg/mL biotinylated hyaluronic-acid-binding protein (Merck Millipore, Burlington, United States) O/N at 4 °C. Excess reagent was washed away before incubation with 1:100 HRP-conjugated streptavidin (Dako) for 1 h at RT. DAB substrate (Dako) was applied for detection of hyaluronic-acid-binding protein. Nuclear counterstaining was performed with Mayer's haematoxylin. Slides were washed, dried and mounted with coverslips using Pertex.

**Reticulin**: This staining was performed by consecutively incubating deparaffinized sections in solutions of 0.5% potassium permanganate (pH 1.5) (3 min, Region Hovedstads Apotek, Herlev, Denmark), 1% oxalic acid (1 min, Region Hovedstads Apotek), 2.5% ferric ammonium sulfate (30 s, Region Hovedstads Apotek), silver solution (30 s, 10% silver nitrate (PanReac AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) and 3% sodium hydroxide (Region Hovedstads Apotek)), 3.6% formaldehyde (30 s, Region Hovedstads Apotek), and 3% sodium thiosulfate (5 min, Region Hovedstads Apotek) with intermediate washes in water. Nuclear counterstaining was performed with Mayer's haematoxylin. Slides were washed, dried and mounted with coverslips using Pertex.

**Sirius Red**: The deparaffinized sections were first stained in Weigert’s iron haematoxylin (15 min, Fagron Nordic). Following a washing step, the sections were stained with 0.1% Sirius Red (Ampliqon, Odense, Denmark) in picric acid (15 min, WVR, Søborg, Denmark). The slides were dehydrated and mounted with coverslips using Pertex.

**Quantitative reverse transcription PCR**

**RNA isolation and cDNA preparation**: A maximum of 30 mg tissue sample was prepared for RNA isolation from each specimen (nine PC specimens and three normal pancreatic specimens). The tissue was lysed in Buffer RLT (Qiagen, Valencia, California, United States) and homogenized on a T10 Ultra-Turrax disperser (Ika, Staufen, Germany). RNA isolation was performed with the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration, purity and integrity were estimated using a NanoDrop.
1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, United States) and a BioAnalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, United States). cDNA synthesis was performed independently on RNA isolated from each specimen according to the manufacturer’s instructions from 500 ng of isolated RNA with the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Reverse transcriptase quantitative PCR:** Discovered on gastrointestinal stromal tumours 1 (DOG1) gene expression was determined by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR). The PCR reactions were carried out with the TaqMan gene expression assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and run on a QuantStudio 12K Flex real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the following PCR conditions: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C and 50 thermal cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. Reactions performed with cDNA from independent specimens were run in triplicate. 18S ribosomal RNA (18S rRNA) and glutaminyl tRNA synthetase (QARS) were used as reference genes for normalization of gene expression because these genes have been previously demonstrated to be the most stable housekeeping genes when comparing normal and PC tissues. Primers and probes were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific: Hs00216121_m1 (DOG1), Hs99999901_s1 (18S rRNA) and Hs00192530_m1 (QARS). Relative mRNA expression was calculated using the qBase+ software program (Biogazelle, Gent, Belgium).

**ISH**

**ISH for microRNAs with locked nucleic acid probes:** The probe sequences for potential CAF sub-type miR markers (miR-21, miR-199a, miR-214 and miR-221), positive control (miR-126, endothelial marker), and negative control (scramble) are listed in Supplementary Table 3. The listed probes were double-labelled with digoxigenin (DIG), and ISH was performed on 5-μm thick paraffin sections essentially as described elsewhere. The ISH analyses were performed in collaboration with Boye Schnack Nielsen, Bioneer A/S, Hørsholm, Denmark. In brief, the DIG-labelled locked nucleic acid (LNA) probes were detected with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-DIG antibodies followed by incubation with 4-nitro-blue tetrazolium and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyolphosphate (NBT-BCIP) as substrate (Supplementary Table 3). All slides were counterstained with nuclear fast red, dehydrated and mounted using Entellan mounting medium (Fisher Scientific).

**COL1A1 mRNA ISH using RNAscope:** Detection of COL1A1 mRNA was performed in collaboration with Boye Schnack Nielsen, Bioneer A/S, Hørsholm, Denmark, on the Ventana Discovery platform (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, United States) using RNAscope probes as described elsewhere. Briefly, 5-μm paraffin sections baked (32 min at 37°C) and deparaffinized on the instrument, followed by target retrieval (24 min at 97°C) and protease treatment (16 min at 37°C). Hybridization of probes for 2 h at 43°C was followed by RNAscope amplification (32 min) and detection using the Red (AP) Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Hvidovre, Denmark). The following RNAscope probes (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, Newark, United States) were used: Collagen-1 (COL1A1) (cat. No. 401899, target RNA), dihydrodipicolinate reductase, bacterial dapB (cat. No. 312039, negative control), and peptidylprolyl isomerase B (PPIB) (cat. No. 313909, positive control).

**Statistical analysis**

The mean labelling scores (MLS) for the FB and ECM markers were calculated from the average LS. Column bar graphs were created in GraphPad Prism, ver. 5.01 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, United States), illustrating the MLS with standard errors of the mean. Ordinal data were compared using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s multiple comparison test. A non-parametric test was selected after evaluating the data with the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Statistical analysis were performed in GraphPad Prism, ver. 5.01. In the graphs, *P < 0.05, *P < 0.01, and *P < 0.001. Statistical analyses of the qPCR data were performed with qBase+ software (Biogazelle, Gent, Belgium). The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the gene expression of DOG1 in normal pancreatic tissue with that in PC tissue. The level of statistical significance was set at *P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Evaluation of FB and ECM markers in PC**

A total of 45 markers (32 FB IHC markers, 5 FB ISH markers, 7 ECM IHC markers, and one histochemical ECM stain) were used for semi-quantitative examination with the four-tiered scoring system described above. Most markers were expressed in FBs or ECM. The MLS in the different stromal compartments are shown in Supplementary Table 4. CD10, CD271, cytoglobin, DOG1, miR-21, nestin, and tenasin C exhibited significant differences in expression profiles when comparing j-CAFs/j-ECM with p-CAFs/p-ECM. The expression of these proteins is therefore described in detail below, and the main findings regarding these markers are summarized in Table 2. Regarding the other compartments, galectin-1 (*P < 0.05) was stronger expressed in j-CAFs vs s-FBs, PDGF-Rβ (*P < 0.05), tissue transglutaminase 2 (*P < 0.05), and hyaluronic acid (*P < 0.01) were stronger expressed in l-FBs vs p-CAFs, and plectin-1 (*P < 0.05) was stronger expressed in j-CAFs vs l-FBs. The expression of the remaining 33 markers did not differ significantly between compartments. Illustrations regarding the expression of the remaining examined markers in the juxtatumoural and peripheral stroma are presented in Supplementary Figure 1.
Cytoglobin is predominantly expressed in j-CAFs and l-FBs

In normal pancreas, distinct cytoglobin expression was observed in periacinar quiescent pancreatic stellate cells (cytoglobin immunostaining; scale bars, 50 μm). No co-expression of cytoglobin with: B: The endothelial marker von Willebrand factor (vWF) [double-immunofluorescence (d-IF) of vWF (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 10 μm]; C: With the histiocyte marker CD163 [d-IF of CD163 (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar 10 μm] was observed in the normal pancreas; D: Semi-quantitative mean labelling scores of cytoglobin expression in juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts (j-CAFs) = 2.5, peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts (p-CAFs) = 1.1, lobular fibroblasts (l-FBs) = 2.8, and septal fibroblasts = 1.9. Cytoglobin was expressed at significantly higher levels in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs and in l-FBs than in p-CAFs. bP < 0.01, cP < 0.001; E: Moderate cytoglobin expression in j-CAFs (scale bar, 100 μm); F: In p-CAFs, only barely perceptible cytoglobin expression was observed (scale bar, 250 μm); G: Strong maspin expression in cancer cells surrounded by juxtatumoural stroma (scale bar, 100 μm); H: Peripheral stroma is shown, lacking maspin-positive cancer cells (scale bar, 250 μm). Strong α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) expression in I: j-CAFs (scale bar, 100 μm); and J: p-CAFs (scale bar, 250 μm); K: Co-expression of cytoglobin and α-SMA in j-CAFs [d-IF of α-SMA (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 10 μm]; L: No co-expression of cytoglobin with the endothelial marker vWF in PC [d-IF of vWF (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 20 μm]; M: No co-expression of cytoglobin with the histiocyte marker CD163 in PC [d-IF of CD163 (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 20 μm]. j-CAFs: Juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts; p-CAFs: Peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts; l-FBs: Lobular fibroblasts; s-FBs: Septal fibroblasts.

CD10 is expressed mainly in j-CAFs

Semi-quantitative evaluation demonstrated significantly higher expression of CD10 in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs and s-FBs in PC (Figure 3A-G). Additionally, CD10 expression was observed in lymphocytes, neutrophils and the epineurium (data not shown). D-IF analyses demonstrated co-expression of CD10 and α-SMA in j-CAFs (Figure 3H), whereas no co-expression was observed with the endothelial marker ERG (Figure 3I) or the macrophage marker IBA-1 (Figure 3J). In normal

Figure 2  Cytoglobin expression in the normal pancreas and in pancreatic cancer. In the normal pancreas, cytoglobin expression was observed. A: In periacinar quiescent pancreatic stellate cells (cytoglobin immunostaining; scale bars, 50 μm). No co-expression of cytoglobin with: B: The endothelial marker von Willebrand factor (vWF) [double-immunofluorescence (d-IF) of vWF (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 10 μm]; C: With the histiocyte marker CD163 [d-IF of CD163 (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar 10 μm] was observed in the normal pancreas; D: Semi-quantitative mean labelling scores of cytoglobin expression in juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts (j-CAFs) = 2.5, peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts (p-CAFs) = 1.1, lobular fibroblasts (l-FBs) = 2.8, and septal fibroblasts = 1.9. Cytoglobin was expressed at significantly higher levels in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs and in l-FBs than in p-CAFs. bP < 0.01, cP < 0.001; E: Moderate cytoglobin expression in j-CAFs (scale bar, 100 μm); F: In p-CAFs, only barely perceptible cytoglobin expression was observed (scale bar, 250 μm); G: Strong maspin expression in cancer cells surrounded by juxtatumoural stroma (scale bar, 100 μm); H: Peripheral stroma is shown, lacking maspin-positive cancer cells (scale bar, 250 μm). Strong α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) expression in I: j-CAFs (scale bar, 100 μm); and J: p-CAFs (scale bar, 250 μm); K: Co-expression of cytoglobin and α-SMA in j-CAFs [d-IF of α-SMA (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 10 μm]; L: No co-expression of cytoglobin with the endothelial marker vWF in PC [d-IF of vWF (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 20 μm]; M: No co-expression of cytoglobin with the histiocyte marker CD163 in PC [d-IF of CD163 (green) and cytoglobin (red); scale bar, 20 μm]. j-CAFs: Juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts; p-CAFs: Peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts; l-FBs: Lobular fibroblasts; s-FBs: Septal fibroblasts.
pancreas, CD10 expression was observed in lymphocytes, neutrophils, and at the luminal side of the ductal epithelium (data not shown).

**CD271 expression is higher in p-CAFs than in j-CAFs**

CD271 expression was significantly lower in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs, l-FBs and s-FBs (Figure 4A-G). Additional CD271 expression was observed in large nerves and media myocytes (data not shown). D-IF analyses demonstrated co-expression of CD271 with $\alpha$-SMA in p-CAFs (Figure 4H) but not with ERG in endothelial cells (Figure 4I) or with IBA1 in macrophages (Figure 4J). CD271 expression was observed in media myocytes and large nerves of the normal pancreas (data not shown).

**Tenascin C expression is higher in j-ECM than in p-ECM**

The expression of tenascin C was significantly higher in j-ECM than in p-ECM and s-ECM (Figure 5A-G). Additional tenascin C expression was observed around large peripheral nerves (Figure 5C). In normal pancreas, tenascin C expression was generally low, but some concentrated expression was observed in areas of remodelling and in the tunica media of large blood vessels (data not shown).

**DOG1 is expressed in some j-CAFs but not in p-CAFs**

Gene expression of *DOG1* was significantly higher in PC than in normal pancreas (Figure 6A). Semi-quantitative evaluation of DOG1 expression revealed relatively low expression in j-CAFs, which, however, was significantly higher than that in p-CAFs, which were DOG1-negative (Figure 6B-H). Some cancer cells also expressed DOG1 (data not shown). D-IF analyses of DOG1 in combination with $\alpha$-smooth muscle actin ($\alpha$-SMA) indicated a co-expression in j-CAFs (Figure 6I). IHC for DOG1 in normal pancreas showed no expression (data not shown).

**Nestin expression is higher in j-CAFs and l-FBs than in p-CAFs**

Nestin expression was higher in j-CAFs and l-FBs than in p-CAFs (Figure 7A-E). Nestin was also detected in endothelia and in large nerves (data not shown). The expression of nestin was relatively weak and observed in only a fraction of the $\alpha$-SMA-positive CAFs (Figure 7F). In addition, nestin expression was observed in stromal ERG-positive endothelial cells (Figure 7G). In normal
pancreas, nestin expression was observed exclusively in endothelia and large nerves (data not shown).

**miR-21 is expressed mainly in j-CAFs**

In PC, miR-21 was expressed at significantly higher levels in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs, l-FBs and s-FBs (Figure 8A-G). Expression of miR-21 was additionally observed in cancer cells (Figure 8B) and large nerves (Figure 8C). Weak miR-21 expression was observed in the ductal epithelia of the normal pancreas (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we examined a panel of immunohistochemical and miR markers to identify subpopulations of CAFs in PC. We defined four different stromal compartments in resection specimens of PC, two of which constituted the tumour stroma (the juxtatumoural and peripheral compartments), whereas the other two constituted the stroma of the peritumoural pancreatic parenchyma (the lobular and septal stroma). We found that the expression of cytoglobin, CD10, DOG1, nestin, and miR-21 was moderate to strong in j-CAFs but only barely perceptible to weak in p-CAFs. The expression of cytoglobin and nestin was additionally significantly higher in l-FBs than in p-CAFs. CD271 exhibited significantly lower expression in j-CAFs than in all other FB/CAF subtypes. Tenascin C was expressed at higher levels in j-ECM than in p-ECM and s-ECM. Hence, our data indicate that the expression pattern cytoglobin/high/miR-21/high/tenascin C/high/CD271/low characterizes the juxtatumoural stroma, whereas the opposite pattern is characteristic of the peripheral stroma.

Expression of cytoglobin in qPSCs has been previously demonstrated in mice, rats and humans [35-37]. In the present study, we confirmed cytoglobin as a marker of qPSCs in normal human pancreas and found that it is strongly expressed in j-CAFs. The upregulation of cytoglobin in activated PSCs (aPSCs) has been demonstrated in mice with cerulein-induced pancreatic fibrosis [36,38], but to the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of cytoglobin expression in CAFs in human PC. The expression pattern of nestin was similar to that of cytoglobin, with both markers being expressed at
significantly higher levels in j-CAFs and l-FBs than in p-CAFs. Nestin, however, is a less useful CAF marker than cytoglobin because a substantial proportion of nestin expression was observed in endothelial cells. Therefore, nestin has been considered to be a marker for angiogenesis in PC with no prognostic significance\cite{39,40}.

Ikenaga et al\cite{41} were the first to demonstrate CD10 expression in aPSCs/CAFs isolated from human PC, both in vitro and by IHC. They found that only a fraction of aPSCs expressed CD10 and that high expression of CD10 was associated with a poor prognosis\cite{41}. Consistent with our data, the CD10-positive CAFs were predominantly located in the juxtatumoural stroma.

CD271 expression has previously been described in CAFs of human PC, which is consistent with our findings\cite{42}. High stromal CD271 expression was associated with a favourable prognosis, which was consistent with another study that showed that high CD271 mRNA levels were associated with a poor prognosis\cite{41}. Interestingly, CD271-positive CAFs were specifically located "on the edge rather than the centre of the tumours", which is consistent with our data that demonstrated that CD271 expression was significantly higher in all other compartments than in the juxtatumoural compartment\cite{42}. Recently, a diffuse pattern of CD271 expression was reported in PC, predominantly in the "perilesional compartment"\cite{29}. Notably, the perilesional compartment seems to include the juxtatumoural as well as peripheral stroma, indicating that the expression pattern of CD271 was in accord with our data.

The expression of DOG1 in PC has not been fully elucidated, but one study reported the absence of DOG1 expression in a majority of PC cases\cite{44}. By IHC, we observed no DOG-1 expression in normal pancreas but weak expression in cancer cells and in j-CAFs. Upregulation of DOG1 in PC compared to normal pancreas was confirmed by qPCR for DOG1 mRNA. In contrast, it is well known that miR-21 is upregulated in CAFs in different types of human cancer, including breast and PC\cite{45-48}, which was also observed in this study. We found that miR-21 was predominantly expressed in j-CAFs compared to other FB/CAF subtypes. miR-21 expression was significantly higher in aPSCs/CAFs than in qPSCs isolated from normal human pancreas, and inhibition of miR-21 with antisense oligonucleotides decreased PSC migration and invasive capacity\cite{49}. Furthermore, high stromal miR-21 levels were associated with a poor prognosis of PC\cite{48}. These findings, taken together with our data, support the view that j-CAFs, in particular, may promote PC growth.

Tenascin C is upregulated in PC and chronic pancreatitis at the mRNA and protein levels\cite{50-52}. Esposito reported that tenascin C was expressed exclusively around neoplastic glands in PC and the expression increased from low-grade precursor lesions to invasive PC\cite{51}, which is consistent with our findings. However, the prognostic significance of tenascin C in PC is questionable, as one study showed tenascin C to be a prognostic factor in PC\cite{53}, whereas another did not\cite{50}. Administration of tenascin C promoted cancer cell growth.
and migration in vitro\textsuperscript{[54]}, and it could be speculated that a tenascin C-rich stroma is characteristic of a tumour-promoting niche. Hayasaki et al\textsuperscript{[55]} divided surgical PC specimens after neoadjuvant therapy (NAT) in high and low NAT responders. Expression of tenascin C was significantly higher in low responders than in high responders, indicating that tenasin C may be a marker of poor response to NAT, supporting the view that j-CAFs and the ECM produced by these cells promote cancer cell growth by being involved in an “unholy alliance” with the cancer cells\textsuperscript{[55]}.

Reports on whether CAFs act in a tumour-promoting or tumour-inhibiting manner are conflicting\textsuperscript{[56]}. Our data may partly explain these contradictions, as these data indicate that CAFs do not represent a homogeneous but rather a heterogeneous population. In 2002, Iacobuzio-Donahue et al\textsuperscript{[26]} examined the compartmentalized expression of 12 genes in PC using ISH. Three genes (\textit{MMP11}, \textit{Apolipoprotein C-1} and \textit{Apolipoprotein D}) were exclusively expressed in the juxtatumoural stroma\textsuperscript{[26]}. A recent study demonstrated CAF heterogeneity in PC using IF and ISH, which was consistent with our data\textsuperscript{[27]}. CAFs in the juxtatumoural stroma expressed fibroblast activation protein (FAP) and exhibited elevated levels of \textit{\textalpha-SMA}, whereas the remaining CAFs expressed FAP and exhibited low levels of \textit{\textalpha-SMA}\textsuperscript{[27]}. In mouse models of PC and breast cancer, heterogeneous expression of S100A4, \textit{\textalpha-SMA}, platelet-derived growth factor receptor-beta (PDGF-RB) and neuron-glial antigen 2 (NG2) chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan in CAFs was reported, but without description of the precise localization in the tissue\textsuperscript{[28]}. Another recent study defined different pancreatic stromal compartments (periacinar, periductal, inter-/perilobular, and perilesional) and observed stromal heterogeneity in PC and pancreatitis\textsuperscript{[29]}. In particular, \textit{\textalpha-SMA}, tenasin C, osteonectin and NT-3 were highly expressed in the perilesional compartment, which is consistent with the results of the present study.

The use of TMAs in this study allowed us to examine numerous markers in many PC cores simultaneously under the same laboratory conditions. However, important information could potentially have been missed if certain important stromal areas were not included in the TMAs, which is a possible limitation of this study. We addressed this challenge by selecting three tissue cores representing different stromal areas from each

\begin{figure*}
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\caption{DOG1 gene and DOG1 protein expression in pancreatic cancer. A: Expression of the DOG1 gene was significantly upregulated in pancreatic cancer compared to normal pancreatic specimens. \textit{P} < 0.01; B: Semi-quantitative mean labelling scores of DOG1 in juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts (j-CAFs) = 1.8, peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts (p-CAFs) = 0.1, lobular fibroblasts = 0.6, and septal fibroblasts = 0.6. DOG1 is expressed at significantly higher levels in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs. \textit{P} < 0.001; C: Weak DOG1 expression in spindle-shaped cells in the juxtatumoural stroma. Some adenocarcinoma cells also expressed DOG1 (scale bar, 50 \textmu m); D: No DOG1 expression was observed in the peripheral stroma (scale bar 100\textmu m). Maspin-positive cancer cells are E: present in the juxtatumoural stroma (scale bar, 50 \textmu m); but F: not in the peripheral stroma (scale bar, 100 \textmu m). Strong \textit{\textalpha-SMA} muscle actin (\textit{\textalpha-SMA}) expression in G: j-CAFs (scale bar, 100 \textmu m); I: j-CAFs co-express DOG1 and \textit{\textalpha-SMA} (double-immunofluorescence of \textit{\textalpha-SMA} (green) and DOG1 (red); scale bar, 20 \textmu m); j-CAFs: Juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts; p-CAFs: Peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts; l-FBs: Lobular fibroblasts; s-FBs: Septal fibroblasts; PC: Pancreatic cancer.}
\end{figure*}
Figure 7 Nestin expression in pancreatic cancer. A: Semi-quantitative mean labelling scores of nestin in juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts (j-CAFs) = 2.1, peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts (p-CAFs) = 1.1, lobular fibroblasts (l-FBs) = 2.4, and septal fibroblasts (s-FBs) = 1.5. Nestin is expressed at significantly higher levels in j-CAFs and l-FBs than in p-CAFs. \( p < 0.01, \ p < 0.001 \); B: Weak nestin expression in a small fraction of spindle-shaped cells in the juxtatumoural stroma; C: Barely perceptible nestin expression in the peripheral stroma. Maspin-positive cancer cells are D: present in the juxtatumoural stroma but; E: not in the peripheral stroma (scale bars, 50 \( \mu m \)). F: Some j-CAFs co-express nestin and \( \alpha \)-smooth muscle actin (\( \alpha \)-SMA) (closed arrows). Additional expression was observed in \( \alpha \)-SMA-negative endothelia (dotted arrow) [double-immunofluorescence (d-IF) of \( \alpha \)-SMA (green) and nestin (red); scale bar, 20 \( \mu m \)]; G: Nestin and ETS-related gene (ERG) are co-expressed in endothelial cells [d-IF of ERG (green) and nestin (red); scale bar, 10 \( \mu m \)]. j-CAFs: Juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts; p-CAFs: Peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts; l-FBs: Lobular fibroblasts; s-FBs: Septal fibroblasts.

Figure 8 miR-21 expression in pancreatic cancer. A: Semi-quantitative mean labelling scores of miR-21 in juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts (j-CAFs) = 2.2, peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts (p-CAFs) = 0.8, lobular fibroblasts (l-FBs) = 1.0, and septal fibroblasts (s-FBs) = 1.1. miR-21 is expressed at significantly higher levels in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs, l-FBs and s-FBs. \( p < 0.01, \ p < 0.001 \); B: Moderate miR-21 expression in spindle-shaped cells in the juxtatumoural stroma (insert). Adenocarcinoma cells also expressed miR-21; C: Expression of miR-21 in the peripheral stroma was barely perceptible. Large nerves expressed miR-21 (arrow). Maspin-positive cancer cells are D: present in the juxtatumoural stroma; but E: not in the peripheral stroma. Strong \( \alpha \)-smooth muscle actin expression in F: j-CAFs; and G: p-CAFs (scale bars, 500 \( \mu m \)). j-CAFs: Juxtatumoural cancer-associated fibroblasts; p-CAFs: Peripheral cancer-associated fibroblasts; l-FBs: Lobular fibroblasts; s-FBs: Septal fibroblasts.
tumour. We defined four different stromal compartments in resection specimens of PC. It could be argued that such a strategy is somewhat simplistic. However, this strategy provided a framework, enabling us to score the expression of the biomarkers in these stromal compartments. It may also be argued that the cut-off distance of 100 μm from the tumour cells to distinguish the juxtatumoural from the peripheral stroma was arbitrary. However, the same definition was previously applied in other studies of the PC stroma, enabling direct comparison between our data and those of these previous studies.[18]

Several studies have demonstrated that the stroma plays an important role in PC progression and influences the effect of conventional CRT.[19,20] Hence, modulation of the stroma, particularly of CAFs responsible for ECM synthesis, may hold promise for new treatment strategies for PC[21-23]. Thus far, these efforts have proven unsuccessful. Based on data from the present study, it is tempting to speculate that j-CAFs, located in close vicinity to cancer cells and characterized by strong expression of markers such as CD10, cytoglobin, DOG-1, and miR-21, may promote the proliferation and invasion of cancer cells, whereas p-CAFs, located at a greater distance from the cancer cells than j-CAFs and strongly expressing CD271, may inhibit the growth of cancer cells. This view is supported by published data that indicate a negative prognostic value for some of our j-CAF markers, namely, CD10 and miR-21,[41,48] whereas CD271, a p-CAF marker, held positive prognostic value for PC.[42,43] To our knowledge, this is the first report of cytoglobin expression in human PC, and future studies should examine whether this marker holds prognostic value for PC. Future studies should also examine whether j-CAFs and p-CAFs differ in their effects on cancer cell growth in vitro. Finally, it is tempting to speculate that future therapies may aim to specifically modulate the CAF activity by targeting j-CAFs and p-CAFs selectively.

In conclusion, our data show that different immune phenotypic subpopulations of CAFs can be identified in PC by using a panel of markers such as cytoglobin, CD271, and miR-21. Further studies are needed to elucidate whether certain CAF subpopulations in PC hold prognostic value or have different functional properties.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

The prognosis of pancreatic cancer (PC) patients remains extremely poor, and unlike other major forms of cancer, there has been no significant improvement in survival rates in recent years. This poor prognosis is mainly due to late-stage diagnosis and limited response to treatment. Hence, continued research into this devastating disease is urgently needed.

PC is characterized by abundant desmplasia in the stroma surrounding the cancer cells. The desmoplastic stroma consists predominantly of the extracellular matrix (ECM) produced by cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). The exact role of the desmoplastic stroma in PC progression remains unclear. Some studies have indicated that high stromal activity had a negative prognostic impact in resected PC patients, whereas stromal depletion of the entire fibroblast (FB) population promoted tumour growth in genetically engineered mouse models. We hypothesize that these conflicting studies could be explained by CAF heterogeneity in the desmoplasic stroma of PC, with some CAFs promoting and other CAFs hampering tumour growth.

Research motivation

CAFs are the main effector cells in the desmoplasic reaction in PC. However, it is currently unclear whether CAFs are promoters or inhibitors of tumour growth. Extensive effort has been made to design therapies that target the stromal compartments, including CAFs in PC, but to date, these efforts have had limited success. This limited success is highlighted by the continually high mortality rate among PC patients. Identification of a panel of markers that could distinguish CAF subtypes would allow researchers to perform subsequent studies to determine the prognostic significance and precise functional properties of these subtypes in PC. Further, it could be speculated that future targeted therapies should be designed to specifically modulate the activity of certain CAF subtypes in PC.

Research objectives

The present study aimed to determine whether it is possible to identify markers that can distinguish different immune phenotypic subpopulations of CAFs in PC. After examining 45 CAF and ECM markers, we found that CD10, CD271, cytoglobin, DOG1, mirR-21, nestin, and tenasin C are significantly differentially expressed in the juxtatumoural stroma versus the peripheral stroma in PC. Furthermore, a panel of the markers cytoglobin, CD271, and mirR-21 allows the distinction of juxtatumoural and peripheral CAFs (j-CAFs and p-CAFs) in PC. Future studies should examine whether j-CAFs and p-CAFs hold prognostic value and/or have different functional properties in PC.

Research methods

The present study was predominantly based on immunohistochemistry (IHC), immunofluorescence (IF), double-IF (d-IF), histochemistry, quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR) and in situ hybridization (ISH). We defined four different stromal compartments in surgical specimens of PC: the juxtatumoural, peripheral, lobular and septal stroma. Tissue microarrays were produced that contained all of the pre-defined compartments. Using a semi-quantitative 4-tiered scoring system, we evaluated the expression of 37 FB markers and 8 ECM markers to evaluate the compartment-specific expression of each individual marker.

Research results

In this study, we found that CD10, CD271, cytoglobin, DOG1, mirR-21, nestin, and tenasin C exhibited significant differences in expression profiles between the juxtatumoural and peripheral compartments of the PC stroma. CD10, cytoglobin, DOG1, mirR-21, and nestin were all expressed at significantly higher levels in j-CAFs than in p-CAFs. Similarly, tenasin C was more abundantly expressed in juxtatumoural ECM than in peripheral ECM. CD271 was the only of the examined markers to be expressed at higher levels in p-CAFs than in j-CAFs. A combination of the markers cytoglobin, CD271, and mirR-21 can be used to identify the different immune phenotypic subpopulations of CAFs in PC.

Research conclusions

In the present study, by using d-IF for multiple combinations of markers as well as conventional IHC, IF, and ISH, we were able to identify different immune phenotypic subpopulations of CAFs in the PC stroma. Using a panel of immunohistochemical biomarkers, we could distinguish two immunophenotypically different populations of CAFs: Juxtatumoural CAFs (j-CAFs), which were in very close vicinity to the cancer cells, and peripheral CAFs (p-CAFs), which were located > 100 μm away from the cancer cells. Interestingly, some of the markers that we identified to be predominantly expressed in j-CAFs (CD10, mirR-21) have previously been demonstrated to have negative prognostic value in PC, whereas CD271, a marker that we found to be expressed mainly in p-CAFs, has been shown to hold positive prognostic value in PC. These findings may indicate that j-CAFs may be involved in an “unholy alliance” with the cancer cells, whereas p-CAFs may promote reduction of tumour growth. Hence, CAF heterogeneity in PC may explain some of the previously published, seemingly conflicting data regarding the role of CAFs in PC progression. The findings from this study could indicate that the composition of CAF subtypes in the desmoplastic stroma of PC could affect the outcomes
of individual patients. Furthermore, in the future, the stromal CAF composition may possibly be used as a marker to evaluate whether a specific PC patient might benefit from stroma-modulating therapies.

**Research perspectives**

This study indicates that it is too simplistic to view the CAF population in PC as a homogeneous cell population. Instead, at least two immune phenotypic subpopulations of CAFs can be characterized by different biomarker profiles. Future studies should determine whether different CAF subpopulations in PC hold prognostic value or have different functional properties. Furthermore, CAF heterogeneity could provide an opportunity for the development of therapies aiming at the modulation of only one CAF subpopulation instead of targeting the entire CAF population in PC.
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