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Unified energy law for fluctuating density wave orders in cuprate pseudogap phase

Rong Li and Zhen-Su She*

State Key Laboratory for Turbulence and Complex Systems, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China.

The origin of the pseudogap and its relationship to symmetry-broken orders in cuprates have been extensively debated. Here, we report a unified energy law underlying the pseudogap, which determines the scattering rate, pseudogap energy, and its onset temperature, with a quadratic scaling of the wavevector of density wave order (DWO). The law is validated by data from over one hundred samples, and a further prediction that the master order of pseudogap transforms from fluctuating spin to charge DWO is also confirmed by independent measurements. Furthermore, the energy law enables our derivation of the well-known linear scalings for the resistivity of the strange metal phase and the transition temperature of the superconducting phase, shedding light on the universal origin of various phases. Finally, it is concluded that fluctuating orders provide a critical bridge linking microscopic spectra to macroscopic transport in cuprates, showing promise for the quantification of other strongly correlated materials.

Introduction

A central puzzle of high-temperature cuprate superconductors is the pseudogap $\Delta^*$ that occurs below a phase transition at $T^*$, characterized by a suppression of the electronic density of states around the Fermi level [1, 2]. The critical question that remains unanswered is, what is the underlying quantum order that determines the pseudogap? Experimentally, in the pseudogap phase, two distinct classes of symmetry-breaking orders are widely reported [1, 3–5], namely, zero wavevector $Q = 0$ states (e.g., nematicity and loop current) and the finite wavevector $Q \neq 0$ density wave order (DWO).

From a mean-field viewpoint, the DWO breaks translational symmetry to produce an anisotropic gap, and small Fermi surface (FS) pockets [1, 6, 7]. However, experimentally observed static (charge and spin) DWOs exhibit an onset temperature significantly lower than $T^*$ and no further gap opening [1, 2], apparently ruling out the static DWO as the origin of the pseudogap [3, 8]. On the other hand, the nematic and loop current orders are widely observed to emerge coincidentally during the pseudogap opening at $T^*$[4, 5]. However, within the mean-field theory, these intra-unit-cell orders are known to be unable to break the lattice translation symmetry to open a pseudogap [9, 10]. Thus, the controversy of whether the pseudogap opening can be related to the conventional form of these two classes [1] remains to be solved.

Recent theoretical advances suggest that the pseudogap phase can be understood with intertwined orders, including the $Q \neq 0$ DWO and the $Q = 0$ nematic, loop-current or superconducting states [8, 9, 11–13]. For instance, it was demonstrated that a partially melted unidirectional DWO (either spin or charge) could generate a vestigial nematic phase [13]. In this context, using elaborate data analysis, various experiments were performed to achieve precise measurements of energy scales and wavevectors associated with a charge density wave (CDW), revealing an intimate link among CDWs, the nematic order, and the pseudogap. Specifically, recent Raman measurements of the spectral gap associated with CDWs ($\Delta_{\text{CDW}}$) in Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ (Bi-2212), HgBa$_2$CuO$_{4+\delta}$ (Hg-2201), HgBa$_2$Ca$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{8+\delta}$ (Hg-1223) and YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6+\delta}$ (Y-123), display the same doping dependence as the pseudogap energy [14, 15], indicating that the pseudogap and the CDW energy scale may have a common microscopic origin. Furthermore, by analysing tunnelling conductance from distinct regions of momentum space, Mukhopadhyay et al. identified energies characterizing the CDW, nematicity, and pseudogap in Bi-2212 and found that they are identical [16], which suggested that the pseudogap may originate from highly disordered unidirectional DWO. This viewpoint was further supported by more recent observations from scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR): the CDW phase is locally unidirectional (with significant phase fluctuations globally) for underdoped Bi-2212 [17–19] and Y-123 [20], and the local spectral gap in (Bi,Pb)$_2$(Sr,La)$_2$CuO$_{6+\delta}$ (Pb-Bi2201) is positively correlated with fluctuating CDW wavevector [21].

While the abovementioned theoretical and experimental progress indicates a mainly qualitative link between the CDW and the pseudogap and highlights the crucial role of phase fluctuations (either thermal fluctuations or spatial disorders) in this link, we are devoted to investigating whether there exists a universal quantitative relationship between energy scales of the pseudogap and the fluctuating DWO. In this context, three critical challenges remain to be addressed [15, 22]. First, one needs to define the energy scales linked to the intrinsic nature of DWO to determine whether the measured gap is from the CDW rather than other orders. Second, one needs to have a clear explanation for the origin of the universal doping dependence of $\Delta^*$ and $T^*$. Finally, one needs to explain the transition from a CDW to a spin density wave (SDW) and its influence on the pseudo-
gap [1, 23, 24]. To answer these questions, we naturally introduce the wavevector and amplitude as the two fundamental quantities to characterize DWO and to investigate their relationships with two critical energy scales in the single-particle self-energy (see section 1 in the “Methods”), namely, the gap energy and the scattering rate.

To derive these relationships, we use an innovative symmetry-breaking analysis inspired by a recent successful wall turbulence theory [25]. Similar to eddies exposed to strongly wall-constrained shear turbulence, the fluctuating DWO near $T^*$ has a complex spatio-temporal pattern, which is generally difficult to model by an order parameter. However, the structural ensemble dynamics (SED) theory of wall turbulence [25, 26] states that eddy motions can be effectively self-organized into several statistical ensembles. This self-organization comes from the constraint of symmetry (e.g., dilation associated with wall turbulence) and is expressed by the fact that eddy lengths satisfy generalized power laws, which determine the crucial energy distribution [26] and transport coefficient [25]. Therefore, we assume that the pseudogap energy scales should satisfy a power-law relation with the wavevector (or wavelength) of the fluctuating DWO. Specifically, as ubiquitously observed in cuprates [3, 8, 23, 27], the mesoscopic fluctuating DWO emerges as a consequence of translational symmetry-breaking. Its wavelength $\lambda = 2\pi/Q_o$ corresponds to a small wavevector $Q_o$ (smaller than the reciprocal lattice vector, where $o$ represents the order type), which connects momentum states on the FS, favouring the Umklapp scattering associated with $Q_o$ to determine the pseudogap energy scales in the single-particle self-energy.

In this article, we first introduce an Umklapp scattering mechanism to derive a quadratic scaling with the DWO wavevector for the scattering rate and further extend it to the pseudogap energy $\Delta^*$ and the onset temperature $T^*$. The scalings are then validated by the spectral gap and the onset temperature data obtained for over one hundred samples (see section 3 in the “Methods”), indicating that the universal monotonous decrease with increasing doping of $\Delta^*$ and $T^*$ in the intermediate doping regime originates from the variation in the CDW wavevector $Q_{CDW}$. Furthermore, by using resistivity data of high-quality single crystals, we demonstrate the validity of the quadratic scaling, with a universal scaling coefficient for both the CDW and SDW. Finally, by using the energy law, we can derive a length, from the resistivity and pseudogap energy data (with the so-called length mapping method), of the master order, which displays a universal transition in the pseudogap phase from the SDW (at light doping) to the CDW (at intermediate doping), as confirmed by independent measurements.

Furthermore, the new energy law offers a straightforward explanation for both the strange metal resistivity and the linear scaling between the superconducting transition temperature and superfluid density, indicating that the law is universal for all three phases of hole-doped cuprates. These findings let us conclude that mesoscopic (fluctuating) orders provide a crucial bridge (in universal energy scaling) linking microscopic spectra to macroscopic transport in cuprates. This discovery offers a potential breakthrough towards a comprehensive theoretical description of strongly correlated materials.

**Results**

**Universal energy law for fluctuating DWO.** The fluctuating DWO generates phason modes to induce carrier scattering, which requires conservation of momentum as $k^* = k + q + nQ_o$, where $k$ and $k^*$ are the initial and final states, $q$ is the phason wavevector, and $n$ is a positive integer. Ignoring the anisotropy of the scattering rate $\Gamma_k$, we consider only the mean scattering rate $\Gamma$, which is independent of $k$, $k^*$, and $q$ but closely related to $Q_o$ in an Umklapp scattering process. This yields an energy law for the mean scattering rate as follows:

$$\Gamma = \gamma_\Gamma \frac{\hbar^2 Q_o^2}{m^*} = \gamma_\Gamma \frac{\hbar^2}{m^*T_o^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\gamma_\Gamma$ is a dimensionless coefficient describing the mean scattering strength and $m^*$ is the effective mass of a carrier.

Eq. (1) is not only the most straightforward explicit function of $\Gamma(Q_o)$ with correct dimensionality and inversion symmetry but also a natural result of the Umklapp scattering theory under the small momentum difference and large sound velocity approximation (see section 2 in the “Methods”). The scattering theory also predicts that $\gamma_\Gamma$ is proportional to the module square of the carrier-DWO coupling. Based on the $t - J$ model [28], we assume that this coupling is proportional to the ratio between the superexchange energy $J$ and the hopping energy $t$, which yields:

$$\gamma_\Gamma = A_\Gamma \frac{J^2}{t^2} \approx 0.11 A_\Gamma, \quad (2)$$

where the dimensionless coefficient $A_\Gamma$ is proportional to the phason amplitude. The second equality originates from the approximation $J/t \approx 1/3$, supported by ab initio calculations and Raman scattering data [29, 30]. Generally, $A_\Gamma$ depends on temperature and doping. However, these contributions may be renormalized in the intermediate temperature and doping regime discussed below (see also the Discussion section). Therefore, $\gamma_\Gamma$ and the energy law may be approximately universal.

Furthermore, as for the scattering rate, we propose that the characteristic gap amplitude $\Delta$ originates from
the Unklapp scattering by the fluctuating DWO. Therefore, \( \Delta \) can be predicted from Eq. (1) by extending the scattering source from order fluctuations to its mean field, which involves only the substitution of the amplitude of phason modes at \( q \neq 0 \) in Eq. (2) for the amplitude of modes at \( q = 0 \) without further calculations. Thus, we obtain an energy law similar to Eq. (1):

\[
\Delta = \gamma \Delta \frac{\hbar^2 Q^2}{m^*} = \gamma \Delta \frac{\hbar^2}{m^* a_o^2},
\]

(3)

Here, \( \gamma_\Delta = J^2/\ell^2 A_\Delta \approx 0.11 A_\Delta \) is the dimensionless coefficient describing the scattering strength, where \( A_\Delta \) is a dimensionless parameter proportional to the amplitude of the mean-field.

From a pairing perspective, the DWO is equivalent to pairing in the particle-hole channel [31]. In this context, we define the onset temperature \( T^* \) of the pseudogap opening with the emergence of this particle-hole pairing, which implies that the thermal-fluctuation energy \( k_B T^* \) is linearly proportional to the pseudogap energy, as widely observed in spectroscopic measurements [15, 32]. This naturally yields a relationship for determining \( T^* \), as follows:

\[
T^* = \gamma_T \frac{\hbar^2 Q^2}{m^*} = \gamma_T \frac{\hbar^2}{m^* a_o^2},
\]

(4)

where \( \gamma_T \propto \gamma_\Delta \) is a dimensionless coefficient. In addition, in the following, we express \( Q_o \) in units of \( 2\pi/a_o \), where \( a_o \) is the in-plane lattice constant.

**Gap energy scales associated with the CDW.** The CDW has been identified as a leading competitor of superconductivity in cuprates [3]. Therefore, it would be intriguing to examine Eq. (3) and Eq. (4) to evaluate whether the CDW is indeed related to the pseudogap and satisfies these simple energy laws. Recently, using STM, Webb et al. carried out simultaneous measurements of the spectral gap and \( Q_{CDW} \) for local charge modulations in \((\text{Bi,Pb})_2(\text{Sr,La})_2\text{CuO}_{6+\delta} \) (Pb-Bi2201) at a superconducting state (at 6 K) [21], providing an appropriate sample for this evaluation. \( m^* = 2.7m_c \) for Bi-2201 based on the optical conductivity measurement in Ref. [33] and \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.135 \), Eq. (3) were used to predict a quadratic scaling, \( \Delta = h^2 Q^2_{CDW}/20m_c \), that is quantitatively consistent with the majority of the reported data shown in Fig. 1. This agreement confirms the quadratic scaling between \( \Delta \) and \( Q_{CDW} \).

It is interesting to compare the present prediction with previous theories. In the past, the connection between the pseudogap and \( Q_{CDW} \) was attributed to fermiology, which explains the decrease in \( Q_{CDW} \) with increasing doping as a result of a growing hole pocket [34, 35]. The predictions of two models based on fermiology [21] are presented in Fig. 1. In the antinodal (AN) case, \( Q_{CDW} \) connects the nested antinodal segments of the FS, and in the antiferromagnetic zone boundary (AFZB) case, \( Q_{CDW} \) connects the points at which the FS crosses the AFZB. Both models show apparent overestimation for the optimal and underdoped regimes. Therefore, the present proposal that the spectral gap associated with CDW originates from scattering by CDW rather than the simple FS instability is well confirmed. In addition, one may wonder whether the global incommensurability is compatible with recent STM and NMR observations that the CDW is locally commensurate for underdoped Bi-2212 and Y-123 [17–20]. Assuming phase fluctuations to be of Gaussian type, we derive from Eq. (3) a gap distribution that is quite similar to the experimental observations (see Supplementary Note 2), implying that the present energy law applies to the locally commensurate CDW and the accompanying phase fluctuations.

![FIG. 1. Scaling between the pseudogap and the CDW vector. The symbols represent the Pb-Bi2201 data determined from STM measurements in Ref. [21]. The solid blue line represents the prediction from Eq. (3) with the fitting parameter \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.135 \) and \( m^* = 2.7m_c \). The dashed and dash-dotted lines extracted from Ref. [21] represent the Fermiology-driven wavevectors.](image-url)
parameters are \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.1 \), \( m^* = 2.45m_e \) (determined from the quantum oscillation experiment of Hg-2201 at \( p = 0.09 \) \( \text{[36]} \)) and \( Q_{\text{CDW}} = 0.343 - 0.699p \) and the in-plane lattice constant \( a_0 = 3.89\text{Å} \) for Hg-2201 \( \text{[40]} \). The dashed blue line includes a quick decay of the CDW amplitude near the pseudogap QCP.

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 contain three types (STM, Raman, and ARPES) of data for over fifty samples of three compounds; thus, our theory is firmly validated. More importantly, we uncover the relationship that the spectral gaps associated with the pseudogap and the antinodal superconductivity have a universal quadratic scaling with the CDW wavevector, i.e., \( \Delta_{\text{H}}^* \sim \Delta_{\text{L}}^* \sim \Delta_{\text{CDW}} \sim Q_{\text{CDW}}^2 \) and \( \Delta_{\text{AN}} \sim \Delta_{\text{CDW}} \). This finding reveals that the pseudogap and antinodal superconductivity in the intermediate doping regime are both governed by the scattering by the CDW. In addition, since the pseudogap onset temperature is the gap opening temperature, \( T^* \) should also satisfy the same quadratic scaling. As shown in Fig. 3, the predictions from Eq. (4) are indeed consistent with experimental data over a wide doping range of Bi-2201, Bi-2212 and Hg-2201 \( \text{[15, 41]} \), confirming the universality of the energy law. Note that in the fitting of Bi-2212, a power-law model \( Q_{\text{CDW}} = 0.269[1 - (p/0.261)^{3.79}] \) fitted from the experimental data in Refs. \( \text{[24, 42]} \) has been used (see Supplementary Note 1).

Our findings reveal that, in the CDW-dominated regime, the well-known monotonic decreases in \( \Delta \) and \( T^* \) for three compounds with short-range DWOs mainly result from the reduction of the CDW wavevector with increasing doping. Note also that the experimental data begin to deviate from the prediction at \( p \sim 0.2 \), likely because the CDW amplitude begins to decrease noticeably near the pseudogap quantum critical point (QCP), which might be described by the defect power law of \( \gamma_\Delta \) (the dashed blue lines in Figs. 2 and 3). Furthermore, near \( p \sim 1/8 \), \( T^* \) for Bi-2212 and Hg-2201 is lower than our prediction, which may reveal an anomaly in the CDW gap opening. Its relevance to the temperature and doping variations of the CDW amplitude and the effective mass (assumed to be doping independent here) should be investigated in the future.

**Figure 2.** Various gap energy scales. The symbols represent the low- and high-energy scales of the pseudogap, the CDW gap and the antinodal superconducting gap of Hg-2201 (holo- low symbols) and Bi-2212 (solid symbols) determined from the Raman response in Ref. \( \text{[15]} \) and the ARPES data in Ref. \( \text{[32, 39]} \). The solid blue line represents the predictions from Eq. (3) with the fitting parameter \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.1 \) and \( m^* = 2.45m_e \), a linear model \( Q_{\text{CDW}} = 0.343 - 0.699p \) and the in-plane lattice constant \( a_0 = 3.89\text{Å} \) for Hg-2201 \( \text{[40]} \). The dashed blue lines indicate the quick decay of the CDW amplitude near the pseudogap QCP.

**Figure 3.** Doping dependence of the pseudogap onset temperature \( T^* \). (a) The symbols represent Bi-2201 data determined from Ref. \( \text{[41]} \). The solid blue line represents the predictions from Eq. (4) with \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.11/3 \), the same \( m^* \) used in Fig. 1, and a power-law model \( Q_{\text{CDW}} = 0.269[1 - (p/0.261)^{3.79}] \). (b) The symbols represent Bi-2212 and Hg-2201 data determined from Ref. \( \text{[15]} \). The solid blue line represents the prediction from Eq. (4) with \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.11/2.5 \) and the same \( m^* \) and \( Q_{\text{CDW}} \) used in Fig. 2. The dashed blue lines indicate the quick decay of the CDW amplitude near the pseudogap QCP.
processes [45]. Thus, we assume that the (macroscopic) transport scattering rate is proportional to but smaller than the (microscopic) single-particle scattering rate, \( h/2\tau = C_\tau T \), where \( \tau \) is the relaxation time and \( C_\tau \) is a dimensionless coefficient less than 1. By substituting \( \tau \) and Eq. (1) into the Drude model, we obtain the in-plane resistivity:

\[
\rho_0 = \gamma^*_n \frac{R_Q}{n_c l_0^2}
\]  

where \( \gamma^*_n = 4\pi C_\tau \gamma_n \), \( R_Q = h/e^2 \) is the quantum resistance, \( n_c = pK/a_0b_0c_0 \) is the carrier density, \( a_0 \) and \( b_0 \) are the in-plane lattice constants, \( c_0 \) is the c-axis lattice constant, \( K \) is the number of Cu or Fe ions in one unit cell, and \( p \) is the carrier concentration per ion.

Eq. (5) quantifies the characteristic resistivity determined by DWO fluctuations. A good candidate for the verification is the underdoped Bi-2201 because its short CDW correlation length fluctuates between 0.75 and 1.5 times the CDW period length \( l_{\text{CDW}} \) [42], which may efficiently induce scattering. We extract the characteristic resistivity of CDW scattering from previously reported data obtained from high-quality single crystals [46, 48], which have very small residual resistivity at optimum doping. During the extraction, we cautiously avoided the influence of superconductivity (SC) by selecting the “knee” data \( \rho(T_{sd}) \) at the onset temperature \( T_{sd} \) of the SC fluctuations, as presented in Fig. 4 (a).

In Fig. 4 (b), we fit \( \rho(T_{sd}) \) with Eq. (5) and the CDW period length \( l_{\text{CDW}} = 2\pi/Q_{\text{CDW}} \), where \( Q_{\text{CDW}} \) is estimated with the same power law, 0.269[1 – \( p/0.261 \)]^{3.79}, used in Fig. 3(a). We find that a constant scattering coefficient \( \gamma^*_n = 0.11 \approx J^2/l^2 \) makes the predictions agree well with the data from ten samples between \( p = 0.12 \) and 0.18 [46, 48]. This outcome is consistent with the presence of CDW ordering in the \( p = 0.11 - 0.16 \) region observed by resonant inelastic X-ray scattering [42]. Quantitatively, when the doping increases from 0.12 to 0.18, \( l_{\text{CDW}}^2 \) increases by 80%, which is higher than the 50% increase in the carrier density, revealing that the decrease in \( \rho(T_{sd}) \) is dominated by the variation in the CDW period length.

It would be helpful to compare the present analysis with other theoretical approaches. In Planckian dissipation theory [49, 50], the scattering rate solely determined by temperature is \( h/\tau = k_B T \), which predicts the resistivity at \( T_{sd} \) to be \( \rho(T_{sd}) = (m^*/n_e e^2)/(k_BT_{sd}/h) \). Taking \( m^* = 2.7m_e \) from optical conductivity measurements [33], this prediction (Fig. 4 (b), purple squares) underestimates the data by nearly 50%. One way to remedy this discrepancy is to attribute it to the “residual resistivity” induced by impurities. However, the stochastic nature of impurities makes the minimum residual resistivity of optimally doped cuprates difficult to explain [51, 52]. Therefore, the above results reveal that the “knee” resistivity at \( T_{sd} \) in the pseudogap phase has a simple scaling of \( \rho(T_{sd})n_c \propto l_{\text{CDW}}^2 \propto Q_{\text{CDW}}^2 \) and thus satisfies the energy law of Eq. (1).

**Universal sheet resistance for an antiferromagnetic SDW.** An antiferromagnetic (AF) SDW is another widespread DWO in the underdoped regime of HTSCs. Thus, it would be intriguing to examine Eq. (5) to determine whether the AF SDW satisfies the energy law of Eq. (1). In both cuprate- and iron-based HTSCs, the phase transition between AF and SC is characterized by a low-temperature plateau for the resistivity [48, 53], as shown in Fig. 5 (a). In a superconductor-insulator (SI) transition scenario, the corresponding sheet resistance is predicted to be a universal value, namely, \( h/4e^2 = 6450 \Omega \) in the Boson localization theory [54]. However, experimental observations revealed that the critical resistance is sample-dependent within a factor of 0.5-2 of the predicted values, which motivated Goldman’s question: “What different physical models govern the various SI transitions which have different critical resistances?” [54]. We now provide a quantitative explanation for this sample-dependent resistance, using Eq. (5).

In our theory, the plateau is attributed to the Umklapp scattering by characteristic fluctuations of the AF SDW with \( l_o \approx 2a_0 \). By substituting this \( l_o \) into Eq. (5), we predict the critical sheet resistance as follows:

\[
R_{\square} = \frac{\rho}{c_0} = \frac{\gamma^*_n h}{p_c 4e^2}
\]

where \( \gamma^*_n \) is the corresponding scattering coefficient and \( p_c \) is the critical carrier concentration. If \( \gamma^*_n \) is universal, then Eq. (6) predicts that \( R_{\square} \) is inversely propor-
solid blue line represents the prediction from Eq. (6) with \[ \gamma_\text{SDW} = 0.11 \] and carrier densities and lattice constants consistent with Refs. [46, 53, 55–59] (see Supplementary Note 5), and the error bars indicate the plateau determination uncertainty. The solid blue line represents the prediction from Eq. (6) with \( \gamma_\text{SDW} = 0.11 \) and carrier densities and lattice constants consistent with Refs. [46, 53, 55–59] (see Supplementary Note 5), and the error bars indicate the plateau determination uncertainty.

The dashed and the dash-dotted lines represent the Boson and Fermion localization theories for the SI transition (SIT) [54].

As shown in Fig. 5 (b), the prediction with a constant \( \gamma_\text{T} = 0.11 \) is quantitatively consistent with the reported data for both hole-doped cuprates and iron pnictides within a wide doping range.

The validation of Eq. (6) yields a surprising prediction that the critical sheet resistance per carrier is a universal value, \( R_\square p_c = \gamma_\text{T} h/e a^2 \approx 710 \Omega \), which represents the true universal feature behind observed critical resistances. This universal sheet resistance of 710\( \Omega \) per carrier is not present in the total resistance description \( (R_\square) \) by the localization theory [54], and we have achieved a good answer to Goldman’s question.

Furthermore, since the parents of iron pnictides are metals but not insulators, the validity of Eq. (6) above implies that the energy law is applicable for AF fluctuations in both AF insulator and metal states.

Transition from a CDW to an SDW. It is well known that decreasing doping in cuprates induces an order transition from charge to spin sectors [1, 63]. Remarkably, the present theory fully confirms this transition from a fluctuating CDW at intermediate doping to an SDW at light doping in the validations of Eqs. (5) to (6) in Fig. 4 and 5. Specifically, Eq. (5) enables us to quantify DWO’s period length from resistivity data: \( l_o = \sqrt{\gamma_\text{T} R_\square p}/\rho(T_{cdw}) a_c \), where \( \rho(T_{cdw}) \) is observational data. As shown in Fig. 4(b) for Bi-2201, as the doping decreases, \( \rho(T_{cdw}) \) increases sharply from 236 \( \mu \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \) at \( p = 0.12 \) to 871 \( \mu \Omega \cdot \text{cm} \) at \( p = 0.10 \). Taking \( \gamma_\text{T} = 0.11 \), the sharp increases in \( \rho(T_{cdw}) \) is explained by an order’s period change from \( l_{\text{CDW}} \approx 4a_0 \) to \( l_{\text{SDW}} \approx 2a_0 \), which indicates a possible transition from a CDW-dominated regime to an AF SDW-dominated regime. This assertion is remarkably consistent with an observation from NMR measurements [64] that suggests that the CDW supersedes AF near \( p = 0.11 \) in Bi-2201.

In addition to the consistency for the above-predicted order transition from a CDW to an AF SDW, let us further investigate whether it can also describe the transition in the pseudogap energy scale. Recently, STM measurements of Bi-2201 have shown a monotonic increase in the gap energy from \( p = 0.12 \) to \( p = 0.03 \), and the absence of a CDW at \( p = 0.03 \) [24]. The latter suggests that there must be another order dominating the gap in the lightly doped AF regime. According to our theory, SDWs have a larger wavevector (near 0.5) than CDWs (near 0.25), resulting in a larger energy gap, and this finding is qualitatively consistent with the STM observation. Quantitatively, taking \( l_o = 2a_0 \) (the AF period), \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.135 \) (the same value as Pb-Bi2201), and \( m^* = 2.2 \pm 1 m_e \) (from optical conductivity measurements at \( p = 0.03 \) [33]), we predict that \( \Delta^* = 170 - 470 \) meV, which is close to the gap energy scale (i.e., 400-600 meV) measured by STM at \( p = 0.03 \). Note that this estimation is much higher than that measured by STM for the CDW (\( \lesssim 100\text{meV} \)), which concludes that the pseudogap in the lightly doped regime is indeed determined by the AF SDW.

A further question that our theory should address is whether the CDW extends into the overdoped regime or the energy law is valid across the whole doping range of cuprates [3]. Eq. (3) enables the derivation of DWO length \( l_o = h\sqrt{\gamma_\Delta/\Delta^* m^*} \) from the pseudogap energy scale using spectroscopic data. While the pseudogaps in Bi-2201, Bi-2212, and LSCO are experimentally shown to persist up to a high doping level of \( p = 0.20-0.22 \) [2, 15, 21, 65], our length mapping formula predicts the existence of CDWs in overdoped cuprates accompanying the pseudogap, consistent with recent STM and RXS measurements of Bi-2201 (up to \( p = 0.23 \)) and LSCO (up to \( p = 0.21 \)). On the other hand, Eq. (5) enables us to confirm CDW’s dominance from resistivity data for overdoped cuprates, such as Y-123 [66], \( \text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{Cu}_6\text{O}_{6+\delta} \) [67] and \( \text{La}_{2-x}\text{Ce}_x\text{Cu}_4 \) [68], for which the predicted \( l_o \) in \( p = 0.16-0.22 \) increases from 3a_0 to 5.5a_0. The latter coincides with the characteristic CDW period \( l_{\text{CDW}} \approx 4a_0 \), indicating the possible presence of a dynamic CDW in the overdoped regime. Note that recent observation of Arpaia et al. indicates that a dynamic CDW pervades the cuprate phase diagrams [69], which is consistent with our assertion.

Then, it is of interest to verify these predictions in other strongly correlated materials using the length mapping formula. A preliminary examination has already yielded consistent outcomes. For instance, from Eq. (3) with \( \gamma_\Delta = 0.135 \) and \( m^* = 4m_e \) (from Ref. [70]), we predict the charge order length for iridate from...
the pseudogap amplitude data (i.e., 70-300 meV) to be \( l_o = 1.7 - 3.6 a_0 \), consistent with the STM measurement [71].

Discussion

In summary, complementary to current theories focusing on peculiar intertwined mechanisms of various orders [8, 9, 11–13], we here uncover a universal energy law linking the pseudogap and the DWO, namely, all three pseudogap energy scales (the scattering rate, the pseudogap energy, and its onset temperature) have a quadratic scaling with the DWO wavevector. All (more than one hundred) single-crystal sample data fully support the present energy law, revealing that the pseudogap originates from the fluctuating DWO, i.e., an SDW at light doping and a CDW at intermediate doping. In our opinion, the universal energy law (and scattering coefficients) represents the zeroth-order relationship between pseudogap energy scales and the DWO wavevector for doping dependence, which provides an important reference point to resolve several conundrums associated with the pseudogap origin.

First, the onset temperature of the pseudogap, if defined as the emergence for the particle-hole pairing of fluctuating SDWs or CDWs, would be naturally higher than the onset temperature of static (coherent) SDWs or CDWs. Second, the universal monotonic decrease in \( \Delta^* \) and \( T^* \) with increasing doping stems from the variation in the amplitude and the wavevector of the SDW and CDW. At light doping, the decreases in \( \Delta^* \) and \( T^* \) are due to a reduction in the amplitude of the SDW, supported by the observation that the antiferromagnetic spectral weight decreases with doping [23]. On the other hand, at intermediate doping, the decreases are due to reductions in the \( Q_{\text{CDW}} \) and the CDW amplitude, which is supported by spectroscopic measurements [27, 42], as shown in Figs. 2–3 for Bi-2201, Bi-2212 and Hg-1201. In contrast, the La-based cuprates exhibit an increase in \( Q_{\text{CDW}} \) with doping (observed in \( p = 0.115-0.21 \)) [27, 63, 72–74] as a consequence of mutual locking of long-range spin and charge orders at low temperatures [72, 75, 76], a situation that never occurs in other cuprates. The decreases in \( \Delta^* \) and \( T^* \) for this exceptional class might be due to the reduction of the CDW amplitude, which is preliminarily observed by the decreasing peak height intensity of X-ray diffraction with doping [74] and will be investigated further in the future.

The present work reports two universal scattering coefficients for the low-energy pseudogap and resistivity, i.e., \( \gamma_{\Delta} \approx \gamma_{T} \approx J^2 / t^2 \approx 0.11 \). It is essential to discuss the doping range for the universality of these two scattering coefficients. Our preliminary understanding is that the universality is preserved for compounds with short-range DWO in the intermediate doping regime away from both the AF insulating phase and the pseudogap QCP. The reason is that the AF insulator contains long-range AF correlations, leading to stronger scattering behaviour than short-range fluctuations. In contrast, the pseudogap QCP yields a significant decrease in the DWO amplitude, resulting in a weakening of the scattering. As explained in the Methods, we presently use data from high-quality single crystals with the least degree of impurity among all reported data associated with the same compound. However, our theory may be extended to discuss data obtained for less pure samples [77, 78] to quantify the additional impurity effect (see Supplemental Note 3). Note that long-range ordering effects in Y-123 and La-based cuprates may significantly affect the magnitudes of the mean-field and fluctuation intensity of DWO (i.e., \( \gamma_{\Delta} \) and \( \gamma_{T} \)), which is an intriguing issue to be explored in the future.

A further interesting outcome is that the present energy law extends to the strange metal and superconductivity phases. The former comprises fluctuating vortices as an emergent dynamic order, characterized by the thermal de Broglie wavelength (\( l_T \propto T^{-1/2} \)) or magnetic length (\( l_B \propto B^{-1/2} \)), which scatters carriers with a scattering rate inversely proportional to the square of these lengths [79]. The scattering rate also satisfies the energy law Eq. (1) with a linear dependence on temperature or magnetic field, consistent with recent experimental observations [52, 79–81]. On the other hand, comprehensive measurements have demonstrated a universal linear relation between \( T_c \) and the superfluid density \( \rho_s \) in most doping regimes of hole-doped cuprates [82–84]. This indicates that the phase coherence energy \( k_B T_c \) is inversely proportional to the square of the Cooper-pair distance (\( l_p = \sqrt{\rho_s} \)); thus, Eq. (4) is satisfied. Furthermore, Raman response measurements show that the nodal superconducting gap \( \Delta_{SC}^N \) has the same dome-like doping dependence on \( T_c \) [15], indicating \( \Delta_{SC}^N \propto T_c \propto l_p^{-2} \), again satisfying Eq. (3).

In summary, although originating from different microscopic mechanisms (e.g., the Umklapp scattering by DWO for pseudogap and strong phase fluctuations for superconductivity and strange metal), the characteristic energies (i.e., gap, transition temperature, and scattering rate) of the strange metal, pseudogap, and superconducting phases all satisfy an inverse square scaling on the characteristic lengths of mesoscopic orders (e.g., vortex, DWO, and Cooper pairs). This unified energy law reveals that, regardless of how complex the symmetry-broken forms are, a common invariance constrains the mesoscopic collective electronic motions in cuprates, providing a unified cross-scale link between the microscopic spectrum and macroscopic transport. We speculate that this invariance exhibits an intrinsic quantum nature of strongly correlated electrons and is worthy of further experimental verification and theoretical research in three directions:
First, it is interesting to verify the energy law for other strongly correlated materials, such as iron-based HTSC, iridate, organic, and heavy Fermion superconductors. Second, we suggest further explorations of the physical origin of the energy law to determine whether there is a local quantum wave state whose single-particle excitation is constrained by the period of a mesoscopic order and thus has quantum kinetic energy determined by the order’s period, i.e., $E \propto h^2/m^*$. It is highly plausible that the local quantum wave state and the unified energy law can be derived from an action of mesoscopic ordering (e.g., quantum XY model [5]) or the microscopic Hamiltonian (e.g., t-J or Hubbard model) of correlated electrons through some renormalization calculations. Finally, the present universality provides a way to quantify the intertwined behaviours of various forms of collective fluctuations by considering the quantum coupling of multiple scattering channels. This will yield a comprehensive explanation for the preliminary observations that the total scattering rate can be expressed as a coupling formalism of multiple energy laws in recent experiments, e.g., $\sqrt{(k_B T)^2 + (\mu_B B)^2}$ [81, 85, 86], where $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton. The progress in these directions will significantly advance the understanding of non-Fermi liquids in strongly correlated electronic systems [87].

### Methods

#### Characteristic energy scales and the DWO order parameter.

In mean-field theory, the characteristic energies that determine the anomalous electronic spectrum and charge transport in the pseudogap phase are described by the single-particle self-energy, which, following Ref. [6], takes the following form:

$$
\Sigma(k, \omega) = \frac{\Delta_k^2}{\omega \pm \epsilon_k + Q + i\Gamma_k} - i\Gamma_k,
$$

where $k$, $\omega$, $\epsilon$, and $Q$ are the wavevector, frequency, and dispersion of a single-particle excitation and the DWO wavevector, respectively. Here, the anisotropic gap $\Delta_k$ and the anisotropic scattering rate $\Gamma_k$ are two critical energy scales describing the electron scattering by the mean-field effective potential and bosonic excitations of the fluctuating DWO (or impurities), respectively.

On the other hand, following Mesaros et al. [17], the low-temperature unidirectional DWO can be written as an order parameter associated with a phase-averaged wavevector $Q$ and the residual phase fluctuations $\phi$ (average to zero):

$$
\Psi(x) = A \exp[i(Qx + \phi(x))].
$$

This paper aims to uncover a universal energy law linking $\Delta_k$ and $\Gamma_k$ to the wavevector $Q$ and the amplitude $A$ of the fluctuating DWO.

### Umklapp scattering rate associated with DWO.

The energy law for the scattering rate (i.e., Eq. (1)) can be derived from a Umklapp scattering theory for phason modes of DWO [88], assuming a small momentum difference and a large sound velocity. This scattering obeys the conservation of momentum as $k' = k + q + nQ_\|^\bot$. Following Lee and Rice [88], we obtain the characteristic energy for the mean scattering rate:

$$
\Gamma = 4\pi m^* \sum_{k,k'} (v_k - v_{k'})^2 W_{k,k'} [\delta(E_k - E_{k'} - \omega_q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} (1 + n_q - f_k)]
$$

where $v$ and $E$ are the velocity and energy of a carrier, respectively; $W_{k,k'}$ is the module square of the transition matrix element describing the carrier-order coupling, $\omega_q$ is the frequency of the phason; and $f$ and $n_q$ are the distribution functions of the carrier and phason modes, respectively.

For a small momentum difference, it is reasonable to assume a linear relation, i.e., $(v_k - v_{k'})^2 \approx \hbar^2 (k-k')^2/m^*$, where $s$ is a dimensionless constant. Furthermore, we assume that, compared to $Q_o$, the distance of low-lying excitations is small, yielding $(v_k - v_{k'})^2 \approx n^2 s^2 \hbar^2 Q_\|^2/(m^*)^2$. By substituting this expression into Eq. (9), we obtain

$$
\gamma_T = \frac{4}{\pi} n^2 s^2 \sum_{k,k'} W_{k,k'} [\delta(E_k - E_{k'} - \omega_q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} (1 + n_q - f_k)]
$$

+ $\delta(E_k - E_{k'} + \omega_q) \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} (1 + n_q - f_k)]$.

### Model the doping dependence of $Q_{CDW}$.

To make continuous predictions of the gap energy, the scattering rate, and the resistivity on doping, we propose a least-squares fit for the doping-dependent phase-averaged $Q_{CDW}$ data. During the fitting procedure, we assume that the amplitude of the fluctuating CDW is nonzero in the doping range considered in this work. Under the constraints of simplicity and physical consistency, we found that the linear fit and the defect power law are the two most suitable fitting functions for Hg-2201, Bi-2212, and Bi-2201, as shown in Fig. S1 (see Supplementary Note 1). For instance, a polynomial provides a more accurate fit for $Q_{CDW}$ of Bi-2201, it is inconsistent with the observation that the CDW is nearly commensurate in the lightly doped Bi-2201 [21, 24]. In contrast, a defect power law, i.e., $Q_{CDW} = 0.269[1 - (p/0.261)^{3.79}]$, is the simplest function consistent with this scenario.

### The choice of validating samples.

To date, there have been thousands of reported experimental measurements devoted to the pseudogap, DWO, and...
resistivity, involving considerable diversity, compound series, doping regimes, and sample qualities. To identify a universal energy law, we restrict ourselves mainly to high-quality single crystals with short-range DWO to remove various higher-order effects, e.g., excessive impurities and the long-range ordering effect. Therefore, in this paper, the samples selected for validation are high-quality single-crystal series of hole-doped Bi-2201, Bi-2212, and Hg-1201 prepared by highly respected experimental groups for their strong two-dimensional nature and systematic observations of short-range DWO ([14, 15, 27, 37, 42]), as well as lowest reported resistivity values among all reported data associated with the same compound (e.g., Bi-2201 prepared by Ando’s group [46, 51]). Therefore, the choice of our validating samples is not arbitrary but consistent with our strict theoretical requirements. Please refer to Supplementary Notes 4 and 5 for the corresponding list of the data sources used in this work.
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