Saturation at low $x$ and nonlinear evolution
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Abstract

In this talk the results of the analytical and numerical analysis of the nonlinear Balitsky-Kovchegov equation are presented. The characteristic BFKL diffusion into infrared regime is suppressed by the generation of the saturation scale $Q_s$. We identify the scaling and linear regimes for the solution. We also study the impact of subleading corrections onto the nonlinear evolution.

1 Introduction

One of the major challenges in QCD is the description of high energy scattering phenomena. In the high center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ and in the perturbative domain when $\alpha_s \ll 1$ the scattering amplitude is obtained by the summation of diagrams leading in log $s$. At this level, when $\alpha_s$ is frozen, the dependence of the resulting cross section on the energy is governed by the power law $x^{-\omega_{BFKL}}$ where $x$ is the Bjorken variable. The critical exponent $\omega_{BFKL} = 4\ln 2\bar{\alpha}_s \bar{\alpha}_s = \alpha_s N_c/\pi$ is provided by the minimum of the eigenvalue function $\chi(\gamma)$ of the BFKL evolution kernel.

The conceptual problem in this approach is the fact that at sufficiently high center-of-mass energies the BFKL amplitude violates the Froissart unitarity bound. This means that the validity of this approach is strongly limited and it has to be modified at very small $x$ in order to guarantee the unitarity of the resulting cross section.

\footnote{Talk given at the Epiphany Conference, Cracow, January 3-6, 2002.}
The solution to this problem can be provided by including the recombination effects, which are likely to occur at very small values of $x$. By decreasing the value of $x$ at fixed gluon virtuality $k_t^2$, the density of partons becomes so large that they start to overlap. In this case the gluon splitting process must be supplemented by a competing gluon recombination. In terms of evolution the master equations become nonlinear with an additional quadratic term which suppress the growth of the amplitude with energy and restore the unitarity.

There have been extensive studies on this problem, see [2], [4]-[14], which result in the nonlinear evolution. One of the important outcomes of these studies is the existence of the saturation scale $Q_s(x)$ which is a characteristic scale at which the parton recombination effects become important. In particular case of the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation [14] the existence of such scale has yet another important impact on the picture of the BFKL evolution. The diffusion into the infra-red, which is the characteristic property of BFKL evolution is strongly limited due to the existence of the saturation scale [1, 23]. In fact, in the regime when the gluon transverse momenta $k < Q_s(x)$, the solution to the nonlinear equation [14] becomes a function of only one combined variable $k/Q_s(x)$ [16]. In the regime of high momenta, $k > Q_s(x)$ the parton density is small and the evolution is governed by a normal linear equation.

In this talk we present the analytical and numerical analysis of the Balitsky-Kovchegov [14] equation which is a nonlinear evolution equation in the leading log $s$ limit. We illustrate the emergence of the saturation scale and scaling and show that it leads to the suppression of the infra-red diffusion. We also consider the case with additional NLL effects such as kinematical constraint and running coupling.

The results presented in this talk have been obtained in the collaboration with K. Golec-Biernat and L. Motyka. For the details of the calculation the reader is referred to [23].

2 Nonlinear evolution equation

The Balitsky-Kovchegov equation [14] has been derived as an evolution equation for the dipole-nucleus amplitude in the dipole picture by a summation of multiple Pomeron exchanges in the leading log $s$ level and in the large $N_c$ limit. The resulting evolution equation reads

$$\frac{\partial N(r, b, Y)}{\partial Y} = \bar{\alpha}_s (K \otimes N)(r, b, Y) -$$

$$- \bar{\alpha}_s \int \frac{d^2 r'}{2\pi} \frac{r'^2}{r'^2 (r + r')^2} N(r + r', b + \frac{r'}{2}, Y) N(r', b + \frac{r + r'}{2}, Y), \quad (1)$$

\(^{2}\)Recently [3] it has been pointed out that the situation can be actually more complicated in a sense that the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation [14] could lead to the local saturation but not to the unitarisation due to the fact that the target radius in impact parameter space could grow as fast as a power with energy.
where $\pi_s = N_c\alpha_s/\pi$, and the linear term is determined by the BFKL kernel

$$(K \otimes N)(r, b, Y) =$$

$$\int \frac{d^2r'}{\pi r'^2} \left\{ \frac{r'^2}{(r + r')^2} N(r + r', b + \frac{r'}{2}, Y) - \frac{r'^2}{r'^2 + (r + r')^2} N(r, b, Y) \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The function $N(r, b, Y)$ is the dipole-nucleus amplitude for the scattering of the dipole with transverse size $r$ at impact parameter $b$ and at rapidity $Y$.

In the linear approximation, when each dipole scatters only once off the nucleus, the BFKL equation in the dipole picture is obtained. The non-linear term in (1) takes into account multiple scatterings and is essentially determined by the triple pomeron vertex [13] in the large $N_c$ limit. Eq. (1) unitarizes the BFKL pomeron in the sense that at $x \to 0$ and $Q^2$ fixed,

$$F_2 \sim Q^2 \ln(1/x). \quad (3)$$

Thus the power-like rise with energy for the BFKL pomeron is tamed [15].

For the subsequent analysis we shall assume the approximation of the big nucleus, i.e. $r \ll b$ which allows us to factorize the impact parameter dependence in Eq. (1). We also consider the spherical symmetric solutions in $r$ and transform the equation (1) into the momentum space by performing the Fourier transform

$$\phi(k, Y) = \int \frac{d^2r}{2\pi} \exp(-ik \cdot r) \frac{N(r, Y)}{r^2} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{r} J_0(kr) N(r, Y), \quad (4)$$

where $J_0$ is the Bessel function. In this case the following equation is obtained

$$\frac{\partial \phi(k, Y)}{\partial Y} = \pi_s (K' \otimes \phi)(k, Y) - \pi_s \phi^2(k, Y), \quad (5)$$

and the action of the BFKL kernel is given by

$$(K' \otimes \phi)(k, Y) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk'^2}{k'^2} \left\{ \frac{k'^2 \phi(k', Y) - k^2 \phi(k, Y)}{|k^2 - k'^2|} + \frac{k^2 \phi(k, Y)}{\sqrt{4k'^2 + k^4}} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where now $k$ and $k'$ are the transverse momenta of the exchanged gluons in the BFKL ladder.

3 Saturation scale and geometric scaling

In order to study the diffusion and scaling properties of the solution we shall consider the function $k\phi(k, Y)$. In the case of the linear BFKL equation this function is a Gaussian concentrated around some initial scale $k_0$ and with width increasing with rapidity, leading to a diffusion. In Fig. 1 we illustrate this distribution for the case of the solution to the linear BFKL and in the nonlinear
Figure 1: The functions $k\phi(k,Y)$ constructed from solutions to the BFKL and the Balitsky-Kovchegov equations with the delta-like input for different values of the evolution parameter $Y = \ln(1/x)$ ranging from 1 to 10. The coupling constant $\alpha_s = 0.2$.

Balitsky-Kovchegov equation for different choices of rapidity. As an initial condition we have chosen a delta function $\delta(k-k_0)$. In the case of the linear BFKL evolution the solution is always peaked at $k = k_0$ and exhibits the well known diffusion pattern, in which the momentum distribution has an increasing width with growing rapidity. In the nonlinear case however the solution behaves quite differently. The peak of the distribution $k_{\text{max}}$ moves towards higher $k$ values as the rapidity increases and the solution becomes washed out from the $k < k_0$ regime. Only in the region $k \gg k_{\text{max}}$ it coincides with the linear evolution.

The impact of unitarization of the BFKL pomeron on the infra-red behaviour can be also visualised by studying the properties of the following normalised distribution

$$\Psi(k,Y) = \frac{k \phi(k,Y)}{k_{\text{max}}(Y) \phi(k_{\text{max}}(Y),Y)},$$

and by performing the projection of this function onto the $(\ln k/k_0, Y)$ plane, Fig. 2.
Again, for small \( Y \), when the non-linearity in the BK equation is negligible, the re-normalized solutions (7) of the BFKL and the BK equations coincide. With increasing \( Y \), when the non-linear effects become important, the difference between them in the region of small \( k \) becomes fully visible. Note that in certain region of \((\ln k/k_0, Y)\) in Fig. 2 the contours become parallel straight lines. This means that \( \Psi(k, Y) \) in this region is a function of the combination

\[
\xi = \ln(k/k_0) - \lambda Y = \ln \left( \frac{k}{k_0 \exp(\lambda Y)} \right) \quad \lambda > 0 ,
\]

instead of \( k \) and \( Y \) separately. This is referred as the geometric scaling property. We note, that this scaling holds in the regime where \( k < k_{\text{max}} \) and becomes violated when \( k > k_{\text{max}} \). This suggests that we can identify the saturation scale \( Q_s(Y) \) with \( k_{\text{max}} \)

\[
Q_s(Y) \equiv k_{\text{max}}(Y) = Q_0 \exp(\lambda Y) ,
\]

with the exponential dependence on rapidity governed by the value of the scaling parameter \( \lambda \).
The solution to Eq. (5) has the same scaling property as the function \( \psi(k,Y) \) namely
\[
\phi(k,Y) = \phi(k/Q_s(Y)) ,
\]
provided that \( \phi(k_{\text{max}},Y) = \text{const} \). We have checked that this condition is satisfied.

We have checked that the scaling coefficient \( \lambda \) (9) is a universal quantity and it does not depend on the type of the input distribution whereas the normalisation \( Q_0 \) is determined by the initial conditions.

The transition between the scaling and linear regime can be perhaps best seen in Fig. 3 where the function \( k/Q_s(Y) \phi(k,Y) \) is plotted as a function of the scaling variable \( k/Q_s(Y) \) for different values of the rapidity \( Y \). The scaling behaviour is represented by a common line to the left of the point \( k/Q_s(Y) = 1 \). The slow departure from scaling for \( k > Q_s(Y) \) is clearly visible. In this sense the line \( k = Q_s(Y) \) is only an approximation characterizing the position of the transition region in the (\( k, Y \))-plane. However, the choice based on the position in \( k \) of the maximum of \( k\phi(k,Y) \) as a function of \( Y \) is the most natural one.
From the numerical solution we have extracted the value of the scaling parameter and found $\lambda \simeq 2.05 \bar{\alpha}_s$ which is in agreement with the estimates of [18, 19] for the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation and also with the previous work [24].

The geometric scaling is also the property of the Golec-Biernat and Wüsthoff saturation model [25] which successfully described the data on inclusive and diffractive proton structure function. In this model it is assumed that the whole energy dependence of the dipole cross section $\sigma_d(r^2, Q^2_s(Y))$ is driven through the saturation scale $Q_s(Y)$ (or saturation radius $1/Q_s(Y)$). It then results in an approximate scaling property of the data for the total photon-proton cross section

$$\sigma_{\gamma p}(x, Q^2) = \sigma_{\gamma p}(Q^2/Q^2_s(Y)).$$

Such scaling law was found in the small-$x$ DIS data [26].

4 Analysis beyond LL level

The Balitsky-Kovchegov equation has been derived in the leading log $s$ level, therefore it is important to study the impact of the subleading corrections. Although it has been argued [27] that the unitarity corrections might become important before next-to-leading ones, the study of the latter ones is crucial due to the large numerical value of the subleading series. After the evaluation of the NLL contribution to the BFKL amplitude has been performed [28] it turned out that the correction is very large and need to be resummed [29] in order to stabilize the final result.

We have tested two important types of the NLL corrections: the inclusion of running of the coupling $\bar{\alpha}_s(k^2)$ and the so called kinematical constraint.

In the case of linear BFKL the running of the coupling poses serious problems due to the existence of the Landau pole and thus it is necessary to regularise $\bar{\alpha}_s(k^2)$ at small scales. This results in a strong dependence of the solution on the cut-off (or freezing ) parameter $k_0$. The intercept of the BFKL Pomeron turns out to be dominated by the values of $\bar{\alpha}_s(k^2_0)$ and instead of the typical diffusion pattern one has a factorized behaviour of the solution $k \phi(k, Y) \sim \exp(\lambda Y) \frac{1}{k} [\ln (k^2/k^2_0)]^{\nu}$ at large rapidities. The distribution of the gluon momenta is dominated by the virtualities in the infrared regime $\sim k_0$.

In the case of the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with the running coupling one has still problem of regularization around the Landau pole, however the solution is itself much more stable with respect to the details of the phenomenological regularisation. It turns out, that since the saturation effects are very strong in the regime of small values of $k$, they tend to decrease the rapid rise of the amplitude for the values of running coupling evaluated at scales around the cutoff scale $k_0$. The rapidity-dependent saturation scale, $Q_s(Y)$ shifts the momentum distribution out of the infrared regime into the perturbative domain. This phenomenon can be best visualized by means of similar contour plots as
Figure 4: The re-normalized solution $\Psi(k, Y)$ for the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with the running coupling constant and the infra-red cut-off $k_0^2 = 0.1$ GeV$^2$ as function of $\log_{10}(1/x)$ and $\log_{10}(k/1$ GeV$)$. 

before, Fig.4. In the linear BFKL case one observes that the solution initially undergoes the diffusion pattern, slightly asymmetric due to corrections coming from the running coupling, and then suddenly it drops into the small scales regime $k \sim k_0$ where it exhibits factorized (in $Y$ and $\ln k/k_0$) behaviour. On the contrary, the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation which initially coincides with BFKL, also undergoes some form of transition but then the distribution moves away from the infrared regime due to the generation of the saturation scale. We have estimated the saturation scale in the case of Balitsky-Kovchegov equation with running coupling. By taking the ansatz that the local exponent $\lambda(Y) = d \ln(Q_s(Y)/\Lambda) / dY$ takes the form $\lambda(Y) = 2\bar{\alpha}_s(Q_s^2(Y))$ where $\Lambda = \Lambda_{QCD}$ we have found that the saturation scale is given by the solution to the differential equation

$$\frac{d \ln(Q_s(Y)/\Lambda)}{dY} = \frac{12}{b_0 \ln(Q_s(Y)/\Lambda)},$$

(12)

with the initial condition $Q_s(Y_0) = Q_0$ and $Y_0$ chosen in the region where scaling
Figure 5: The function \((k/Q_s(Y)) \phi(k,Y)\) in the running coupling case, plotted versus \(k/Q_s(Y)\) for different values of rapidity \(Y\) ranging from 15 to 32. The saturation scale \(Q_s(Y)\) is taken from equation (13) with the initial condition \(Q_s(Y = 0) = 2.0 \text{ GeV}\).
sets in. The solution takes the form

\[ Q_s(Y) = \Lambda \exp \left( \sqrt{\frac{24}{b_0} (Y - Y_0) + L_0^2} \right), \quad Y > Y_0, \tag{13} \]

where \( L_0 = \ln(Q_0/\Lambda) \). It follows that the local exponent \( \lambda(Y) \) decreases with increasing rapidity, and \( \lambda(Y) \sim 1/\sqrt{Y} \) for very large \( Y \).

Such dependence is indeed seen in the numerical analysis.

In Fig. 5 we illustrate scaling in the case with running coupling by showing the function \((k/Q_s(Y)) \phi(k,Y)\) plotted versus \(k/Q_s(Y)\) for different values of rapidity. \( Q_s(Y) \) is given by formula (13) with the initial condition \( Q_s(Y = 0) = 2 \text{ GeV} \). The overlapping curves at low values of the scaling variable clearly indicate that for \( k < Q_s(Y) \) scaling is satisfied to a very good accuracy, thus justifying our ansatz (13) for the saturation scale. We have also tested the impact of the so called kinematical constraint onto the solution of Balitsky-Kovchegov equation and found that though qualitative features are the same (with generation of the saturation scale and scaling) the absolute numerical value of the solution is strongly decreased and also the saturation scale is shifted towards smaller value of gluon momenta.

To summarize, the diffusion into infrared in the case of the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation is strongly suppressed due to the emergence of the saturation scale which can be approximately identified with the maximum of the momentum distribution \( k \phi(k,Y) \). The solution to the nonlinear equation has the property of the geometric scaling in the regime where \( k < Q_s(Y) \) whereas in the case when \( k > Q_s(Y) \) the solution enters the linear regime. In the case of running coupling the nonlinear equation turns out to be more stable as compared with the linear BFKL evolution. The sensitivity to the treatment of the infra-red region is much smaller than in the linear case due to the appearance of the saturation scale. In contrast to the BFKL equation with the running coupling, the large \( Y \) asymptotics of the dipole scattering amplitude arising from the BK equation is governed by gluon momenta in the perturbative domain.
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