Surgical management of spinal tuberculosis via posterior approach: An early outcome
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Abstract

Background: Tuberculosis is a specific infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium Tuberculosis, affects all ages. Spinal tuberculosis is the most common form of skeletal tuberculosis. Treatment of skeletal tuberculosis include antitubercular drugs and surgery was confined to patients failing to respond to drugs or those with complications.

Material and Methods: A prospective study was done from June 2015 to July 2017 including 25 patients with thoracic and lumbar tuberculosis of spine admitted in Ruxmaniben Deepchand Gardi Medical College (RDGMC) Ujjain, fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All the patients were treated with antitubercular drugs followed by debridement, decompression and pedicle screw fixation via posterior approach. All the patients were assessed with Frankel grading and Visual analogue scale (VAS). All patients were followed up at monthly interval. Clinical assessment regarding functional outcome was done at the final follow-up.

Result and Conclusion: All the 25 selected patients were assessed clinically, radiologically and on the basis of functional outcome. Excellent result was obtained in 15 cases, Good in 9 cases and Poor in 1 case. All the collected data from this study were analysed statistically.
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Introduction

Tuberculosis is a specific infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium Tuberculosis [1]. The incidence of tuberculosis has been rising since 1980's and 1990's. Extrapulmonary tuberculosis accounts for about 15-20% of TB cases. Spinal tuberculosis is the most common form of skeletal tuberculosis, constituting approx 50% of all cases [2]. Sir Percival Pott first presented the classical description of spinal tuberculosis in 1779 [3, 4]. The evaluation of treatment of TB spine has passed through different phases of development. The development of antitubercular drugs (1948-51), an important milestone divides the treatment of tuberculosis into three eras: 1. The preantitubercular era, in which the patients were treated either by orthodox, non-operative regimens or by various distant surgical procedures [5]. 2. The postantitubercular era in which all patients were treated operatively in conjunction with antitubercular drugs [6-11]. 3. In 1970 Middle Path Regime was followed, in which all patients were treated with antitubercular drugs and surgery was confined to patients failing to respond to drugs or those with complications. Disappointing results of orthodoxy non operative treatment in the pre chemotherapy era induced surgeons to develop approaches for surgical excision of the diseased bone. Most of the earlier operations were for drainage of abscesses and sinuses, all such procedures resulted in persistent serious sinus and ulcer formation, secondary pyogenic infections, and death in many patients [12, 13, 14]. In post anti-tubercular drugs era spinal tuberculosis was treated with first and second line antitubercular drugs, but the response to absolute non operative treatment showed slow response and its efficacy was doubt full so surgical management was advocated mostly in cases of tubercular paraplegia with the advantage of speedy neural recovery. Several surgical methods were included like anterior approach, anterior decompression combined with posterior stabilization. The combined approach of anterior debrimement, interbody fusion combined with posterior instrumentation had some disadvantages like longer operation time, greater blood loss, longer hospitalization and economic burden over patients [15, 16].
In our study, single stage posterior debridement and pedicle screw fixation was done as it has merit over others as duration of hospital stay is significantly reduced and rehabilitation was also faster. Other advantages are reduced morbidity, less blood loss, reduced economic burden to the patient and reduction in surgical site infection.

Material and Methods
It was a prospective observational study done on 25 patients with thoracic and lumbar tuberculosis of spine, admitted at CRGH hospital associated with R.D. Gardi medical college, Ujjain, fulfilling inclusion and exclusion criteria between June 2015 to July 2017.

Inclusion criteria
1. Patients of all ages and both sexes.
2. Patients diagnosed clinically, radiologically and on the basis of laboratory findings as case of tuberculosis of spine.
3. Neurological complications which do not show signs of progressive recovery to a satisfactory level after a fair trial of conservative therapy.
4. Patient with spinal caries in whom neurological complications develop during the conservative treatment or become worse while they are undergoing conservative therapy.
5. The Potts spine of thoracic, thoraco-lumbar and lumbar regions.
6. Advanced cases of neurological involvement such as marked sensory and sphincter disturbances or flaccid paralysis.
7. Patient with paravertebral abscess, epidural abscess and intractable pain.
8. Spine disability due to Potts spine.

Exclusion criteria
1. Patient with other spine disorders.
2. Patients who are medically unfit for surgery.
3. Patient refusing for the procedure.
4. Patients with kyphotic angle more than 60 degree were not included in this study.

All the patients were assessed clinically. A detailed history was obtained and they are subjected to through clinical examination. All patients has symptoms of tuberculosis such as weight loss, evening rise of fever, and fatigue. The findings were noted in proforma. Haematological and radiological investigation were carried out to confirm the diagnosis and to know the level of the lesion. The patient were also assessed preoperatively and postoperatively with the Frankel grading for neurological deficit.

Observation and Result
Twenty five patients of thoracic and lumbar tuberculosis of spine that deemed fit into inclusion criteria were selected as subject for the present study. All the patients were subjected to debridement, decompression and pedicle screw fixation via posterior approach.
The age of the patients ranges from 18 to 65 years with an average of 37.8 years. 8 patients were below the age of 30 years, 9 patients between 30-40 years and 8 above 40 years. Male patients were predominant in our study with 20 males (80%) and 5 female (20%) patients out of 25. D12-L1 level was most commonly involved. The mean kyphotic angle preoperatively in our study was 18.88 degree which decreased significantly to an average of 4.96 degree postoperatively. Most of the patients were in grade D according to the Frankel grading system. Ten patients (40%) out of 25 were in grade D, 7(28%) in grade E, 6(24%) were in grade C and 2 patients (8%) were in grade B pre operatively. Postoperatively 20 patients (80%) were in grade D, 4(16%) improved to grade C and 1(4%) was in grade E.

Functional outcome
The functional outcome was assessed on the basis of postoperative Frankel grading, VAS scoring, kyphotic angle, ESR and CRP levels. Preoperatively only 7 patients were in grade E according to Frankel grading, which increased to 20 patients postoperatively. The mean VAS score preoperatively was 6.76 which dropped to 0.88 postoperatively. The preoperative mean ESR and CRP was 37.24mm/hr and 14.28mg/L respectively, which dropped to an average of
25.12mm/hr ESR and 9.79mg/L CRP postoperatively. The follow up of the patients was done at 3 months, 6 months, 9 months and 12 months. The surgical outcome in 15 patients (60%) was excellent, 9 patient (36%) had good result and 1 (4%) patient had poor result. In 2 patients surgical site infection was seen and 1 patient had implant failure. No neurological deterioration was found postoperatively.

Table 1: Age distribution

| Age | No. of Cases | %  |
|-----|--------------|----|
| <=30| 8            | 32.0|
| 31-40| 9           | 36.0|
| >40 | 8            | 32.0|
| Total| 25           | 100 |

Table 2: Sex Distribution

| Sex | No. of Cases | %  |
|-----|--------------|----|
| Female | 5       | 20.0|
| Male   | 20       | 80.0|
| Total  | 25       | 100 |

Table 3: Distribution of Level involved

| Levels involved | No. of Cases | %  |
|-----------------|--------------|----|
| D9-D10          | 1            | 4.0 |
| D10-D11         | 3            | 12.0|
| D10-D12         | 2            | 8.0 |
| D11-L1          | 4            | 16.0|
| D12-L1          | 5            | 20.0|
| D12-L5          | 1            | 4.0 |
| L1-L2           | 2            | 8.0 |
| L3-L4           | 3            | 12.0|
| L4-L5           | 2            | 8.0 |
| L5-S1           | 2            | 8.0 |
| Total           | 25           | 100 |
**Table 6: Distribution of Surgical Outcome**

| Outcome  | No. of Cases | %  |
|----------|--------------|----|
| Excellent | 15           | 60.0 |
| Good     | 9            | 36.0 |
| Poor     | 1            | 4.0 |
| Total    | 25           | 100 |

**Graph 6: Distribution of Surgical Outcome**

**Fig 1:** Preoperative MRI involving dorsal spine

**Fig 2:** Operative image showing Pedicle screw and Rod in position

**Fig 3:** Post-operative X-ray showing implant in position

**Discussion**

Spinal tuberculosis has been a global problem since ancient times and estimated that approx 6 billion people are infected with tuberculosis and over 9 million cases of active tuberculosis occur annually with 2-3 million deaths. The incidence of tuberculosis has increased recently because of large scale migration, emergence of antibiotic resistance strain and other reasons. As we are one of the developing country the disease is associated with poor socio economic status. WHO suggested that the human immunodeficiency virus pandemic is a risk factor for acquired tuberculosis and had little impact on epidemiology of spinal tuberculosis, although a large French study, none of the 82 cases of spinal tuberculosis were HIV infected same observation in our study [17, 18].

Several surgical approaches have been introduced including anterior spinal fusion, anterior-posterior spinal fusion, posterior spinal fusion alone and combined approach. Tuberculosis of spine almost always affect the anterior column of spine and hence anterior approach is considered the gold standard for debridement and decompression in Potts spine which was popularized by Hodgson [19].

Zhang et al. reported 1 stage posterior debridement and instrumentation for treating thoracic and lumbar tuberculosis of spine, the grafted bone was fused within 10 month in all patients and the kyphotic angle was significantly corrected [20]. The posterior approach has some advantages over the surgical procedures, the operative procedures of debridement, spinal cord decompression, deformity correction, bone grafting and internal fixation can also be completed via only one incision and in one position with better correction of deformity than anterior approach and also having fewer postoperative complications [20].

Md. Shah Alam et al. did study over 582 patients of spinal tuberculosis out of which 113 cases were treated with thoracotomy along with anterolateral decompression and autogenous strut bone grafting with simultaneous fixation by screws and rods, and in remaining 449 patients posterior decompression, posterior interbody and posterolateral fusion by bone graft with stabilization by transpedicular screws and rods were done, Revision surgery was performed in 6
patients, implant failure occurred in 4 patients while malposition of screws occurred in 12 patients. Neurological improvement occurred in all patients except in 2 patients. The advent of pedicle screw instrumentation at the development of the extended posterior approaches have allowed the surgeon to perform anterior debridement and reconstruction from behind and have led many surgeon to opt for posterior only surgery.

Hongqi Zhang et al. carried out a retrospective study of spinal tuberculosis of 28 patient treated surgically by debridement, internal fixation and reconstruction with specially formed titanium mesh cage via posterior only approach, the VAS score dropped from preoperative level of 6.31 to the final followup level of 0.57 postoperatively [23].

Anil kumar Jain et al. analyzed all articles in which instrumented stabilization was reported over the last 20 years [22]. There were 1097 patients stabilized by either anterior or posterior instrumentation in 123 analyzed series. In anterior instrumentation, mean preoperative kyphotic angle was 25.35 degree, immediate postoperative kyphotic angle was 9.8 degrees and final kyphosis was 12.97 degrees. In posterior instrumentation, mean kyphosis correction achieved was 19.03 degrees with initial kyphosis was 30-35 degree which reduced to 15-18 degrees [22].

Though the anterior approach is favoured method for surgical management of tuberculosis of spine, as the lesion is situated anteriorly. The debridement, decompression and posterior instrumentation is an effective and safe method. The rate of morbidity is less, lesser complications, the duration of surgery and blood loss is also less in comparison to other approaches. In our study we have also observed favourable results with posterior approach. According to Frankel grading there was improvement in neurological deficit. The kyphotic angle reduced from mean 18.88 prooperatively to 4.96 postoperatively. There was marked reduction in preoperative ESR and CRP level post-operatively.

Conclusion
From our study we conclude that the debridement, decompression and transpedicular screw fixation is safe and effective method for treating tuberculosis of spine via single posterior only approach with advantage of less operation time, good neurological recovery, less postoperative complications and adequate correction of kyphotic deformity. This study have certain limitation in term of small sample size, shorter duration of follow up and authors experience.
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