Multi-pathway network analysis of mammalian epithelial cell responses in inflammatory environments
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Abstract

Inflammation is a key physiological response to infection and injury and while usually beneficial it can also be damaging to the host. The liver is a prototypical example in this regard because inflammation helps resolve liver injury but it also underlies the etiology of pathologies such as fibrosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Cells sense their environment, including the inflammatory environment, through the activities of receptor-mediated signal transduction pathways. These pathways are organized in a complex interconnected network, and it is becoming increasingly recognized that cellular adaptations result from the quantitative integration of multi-pathway network activities, rather than isolated pathways causing particular phenotypes. Therefore, comprehending liver cell signalling in inflammation requires a scientific approach that is appropriate for studying complex networks. Here we review our application of systems analyses of liver cell signalling in response to inflammatory environments. Our studies feature broad measurements of cell signalling and phenotypes in response to numerous experimental perturbations reflective of inflammatory environments, the data from which are analyzed using Boolean and fuzzy logic models and regression-based methods in order to quantitatively relate the phenotypic responses to cell signalling network states. Our principal biological insight from these studies is that hepatocellular carcinoma cells feature uncoupled inflammatory and growth factor signalling, which may underlie their immune evasion and hyperproliferative properties.
Introduction

Inflammation is a mechanism for preserving homeostasis in response to noxious stimuli such as infection and injury. Cells of the innate immune system drive the inflammatory response, which typically begins when proinflammatory stimuli activate macrophages residing in the affected tissue to produce chemoattractants that recruit neutrophils to the site of inflammation. Neutrophils are white blood cells that sense and eliminate pathogens. They carry out their functions in part by release of oxygen radicals and degradative enzymes whose leakage can cause collateral tissue damage [1]. Once the inflammatory stimulus is cleared, the inflammatory response is damped by a process called resolution, which is marked by the transition from neutrophil to monocyte recruitment. Monocytes are blood-borne macrophages that differentiate into macrophages once inside tissue. They serve to clear debris and promote tissue repair. The inflammatory response is therefore characterized by processes that cause both damage and repair. The damaging processes must be sufficiently strong to eliminate the inflammatory stimulus but not too strong so as to cause excess tissue damage. A maladapted inflammatory response can lead to chronic inflammation, which is a hallmark of many complex diseases such as cancer, fibrosis, cardiovascular disease and diabetes. A better understanding of inflammation could therefore improve therapeutic approaches to acute and chronic diseases.

The liver plays a central role in maintaining homeostasis through its functions in metabolism, detoxification and inflammation. The liver is a key participant in the initial systemic response to inflammation, called the acute phase response, because it synthesizes acute phase proteins such as C-reactive protein, serum amyloid A and fibrinogen [2]. Conversely, components of the inflammatory response are important in liver physiology and pathophysiology. The cytokine interleukin-6, for example, serves to protect the liver when it is injured and promotes liver regeneration [3]. Inflammation can contribute to pathological states of the liver, perhaps best exemplified by chronic inflammation due to viral infection (e.g., hepatitis B and C), toxic substance exposure (e.g., aflatoxin-B1), or steatosis serving as a precursor to hepatocellular carcinoma [4]. Hepatocellular carcinoma is the fifth most prevalent cancer worldwide and is notoriously difficult to treat, which underlies its status as the third most lethal type of cancer [5]. The considerable burdens of liver disease and diseases linked to chronic inflammation emphasize the need for investigating the interplay between the liver and inflammation.

Cells adapt to their environments by the activities of receptor-mediated signalling pathways. The biochemical activities of these signalling pathways regulate gene expression, metabolism and/or cell structure in order to modify cell physiology. For example, in the case of hepatocytes during the acute phase response, IL-6 released by macrophages and stromal fibroblasts at the site of inflammation acts hormonally on hepatocytes by binding and activating a receptor complex leading to phosphorylation and dimerization of the signal transducers and activators of transcription 3 (STAT3) [6, 7]. STAT3 is a transcription factor that regulates the transcription of a number of genes including many involved in the
acute-phase response. The communication between cells acting at the site of inflammation and those of
the liver exemplify how the cells of multi-cellular organisms communicate in order to maintain
homeostasis.

The activities of individual pathways such as IL-6-STAT3 have been well studied in liver cells. However,
studies of isolated pathways have limited applicability to the in vivo situation in which cells are continually
exposed to multiple extracellular molecules leading to the simultaneous activity of many signalling
pathways. In inflammation, for example, cells are exposed to multiple pro- and anti-inflammatory
cytokines (e.g., tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-4, IL-10, etc.), growth factors,
hormones and other molecules. Intracellular signalling pathways crosstalk with each other, effectively
forming a network, but it is poorly understood how intracellular signalling networks processes the
combinatorial action of multiple environmental cues. Making progress in this area will improve our
understanding of complex physiological responses such as inflammation and help guide the development
of better therapeutics. Here we review our studies of the intracellular signalling systems of hepatocytes
and hepatoma cells in response to inflammatory environments using a systems approach. We begin by
explaining what a systems approach to biology means followed by reviewing three studies in which we
used this approach to investigate liver cell signalling and physiology in inflammatory contexts.

**Scientific approach: Cue-signal-response experiments and mathematical modelling**

A hallmark of engineering practice is applying a systems approach to the design process. In this context,
a “systems approach” denotes studying a system by applying diverse inputs to the system and measuring
the outputs. Mathematical models are then used to model the relationship between input and output. The
parameters of the mathematical model are tuned such that the desired outputs are obtained from the
inputs expected under operating conditions. The engineer then modifies the design to reflect these
optimal parameter values.

We adopt a similar engineering approach in investigating biological systems, with a difference being that
we seek to “reverse engineer” the system by using input-output relationships and selected measurements
of the system to constrain a model of the intracellular signalling network. We implement an experimental
paradigm called “cue-signal-response” that reflects this input-system-output relationship [8]. The input
consists of molecules in the cell’s environment, the system is the cell signalling network and the output is
the behaviour that the cell executes to adapt to the input. In practice, a cue-signal-response paradigm
applied to hepatocyte physiology during inflammation involves applying inflammatory cytokines and
growth factors (cues) to cultured hepatocytes or hepatoma cells, perturbing intracellular signalling by
inhibiting kinases with small-molecule inhibitors, assessing intracellular signalling by multiplexed
measurement of phospho-protein levels (signals), and measuring the secretion of cytokines (responses).
Specific cues include inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-1 and inhibitors target kinases such as
mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) kinase (MEK), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) and p38 MAPK. The cells are lysed at specific time points and multiplexed bead-based flow cytometric immunoassays based on Luminex xMAP technology are used to measure the levels of ~15 phosphorylated proteins including Akt, ERK and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3). Similar assays are also used to measure the levels of ~50 secreted proteins in the cell culture media.

The resulting dataset features thousands of data points, which makes it challenging to interpret. Mathematical tools are therefore used for downstream analyses. Classically, ordinary-differential-equations have been the method of choice for analyzing cell signalling systems because they make direct use of biochemical rate equations that describe the kinetics of enzyme-catalyzed reactions, protein-protein interactions and transport processes. Disadvantages of ODE models include their critical requirement for firm specification of network topological interactions, and their reliance on adjustable parameters that must be robustly estimated in order to effectively represent the system. As the size of the model grows, so does the uncertainty in the topology and the corresponding number of parameters, which in turn increases the demand for more comprehensive biological knowledge and intensive experimental data (as well as computational power, although that is a lesser challenge at this point).

While studying signalling from one or two pathways with ODEs is feasible, the networks that we study are too uncertain and large for ODEs to be practically useful. We therefore use modelling techniques that represent the system in a coarser grained fashion. In doing so, we require less data to obtain quantitative insight into the system, albeit less than could be obtained with ODEs.

Our studies feature two types of mathematical frameworks, regression-based methods and logic-based methods. Our implementation of these techniques has been reviewed in detail elsewhere [9-11]. Briefly, regression models, such as multiple linear regression and partial-least-squares regression (PLSR), are useful for quantifying the correlation between variables in context of one another. Regression models do not incorporate information beyond the data itself, except that the variables included in the model are specified by the modeller. This prior specification makes the models supervised but they are also strictly empirical. Logic modelling, by contrast, involves translating prior knowledge or hypotheses about the system structure or function into computable language. In this way, logic models are capable of bringing a network diagram to operational function. We investigate cell signalling networks using logic modelling by first constructing a diagram of the network based on published data (which we call a “prior knowledge network”, or PKN), then collecting a cue-signal-response dataset devoted to perturbing and measuring aspects of the network, followed by using optimization algorithms to identify and quantify the connections in the hypothetical network that are most important for explaining the data (Figure). The resulting fitted models can then be used for simulation or analysis purposes. Irrespective of the modelling approach, model predictions are experimentally validated. We have used this workflow to obtain considerable
insights into the systems-level operation of cell signalling networks in effecting phenotypic responses in diverse contexts, which we discuss in the following section.

Liver cell information processing during inflammation

We applied our interdisciplinary approach to the issue of epithelial cell signalling in inflammation by devising logical and statistical modelling methods and applying them to data from cultured liver cells exposed to inflammatory conditions. In the first paper from these studies, Saez-Rodriguez et al. extended Boolean logic methods previously used to study biological networks from a theoretical standpoint to allow the model to directly interface with experimental data [12]. Specifically, their algorithm translates a prior knowledge network, in this specific example a database-derived PSN map, into a Boolean logic model and optimizes the model topology to best fit experimental data. In this example, the data comprised phospho-protein levels of intracellular signalling intermediates in HepG2 cells exposed to inflammatory cytokines and inhibitors of several kinases. Interestingly, they found the resulting optimal models consisted of substantially fewer connections than found in the PKN. Remarkably, an empty model, that contained nodes but no edges connecting them, fit the data better than the PKN [12]. This result implies that the comprehensive protein-protein interaction network maps and PSNs commonly used to depict biological networks do not necessarily reflect networks operating in a specific cell type under specific conditions. This lack of predictivity stems from two apparently paradoxical sources: 1) The networks include too many interactions, presumably because they are typically curated from multiple sources, cell types, time points and experimental conditions and 2) The networks lack interactions that are present and functional in the network under study due to imperfect databases or incomplete understanding of the biology. Indeed, by examining the data points that the original optimal model failed to adequately fit, Saez-Rodriguez et al. tested new interactions to see which ones best improved the fit [12]. The existence of two candidate interactions, one linking TNF-receptor associated factor 6 and MEK and another linking ERK and insulin receptor substrate-1, was supported by published evidence.

An alternative modelling approach was used by Alexopoulos et al., who performed a comparative analysis of the intracellular signalling networks of healthy and cancerous liver cells [13]. A cue-signal-response dataset was generated in which inflammatory cytokines and growth factors were applied to primary human hepatocytes and HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma cells in concert with small-molecule inhibitors targeting seven kinases from different signalling pathways. Multiple linear regression analysis was used to estimate the strength of relationships between the cytokines and signals, the inhibitors and signals, and the signals and secreted cytokines. The networks were defined by the relationships featuring the highest regression coefficient magnitudes. The networks for the primary hepatocytes and the HepG2 cells were then compared, revealing that HepG2 cells displayed reduced responsiveness to inflammatory stimuli but increased responsiveness to progrowth stimuli, relative to the primary hepatocytes. In particular, alterations of NF-κB signalling in HCC cells had profound phenotypic consequences because
primary hepatocytes secreted a number of cytokines that the HCC cells did not. These cytokines are thought to be involved in recruiting cells of the innate immune system, which implies that HCC cells modify the secretion patterns in order to avoid detection and elimination by the immune system.

The above studies clearly demonstrate the utility of Boolean logic and regression methods in exploring how normal and healthy liver cells differentially process environmental information. However, both modelling methods have important limitations. Specifically, Boolean logic describes the activity of each node as either "on" or "off", which ignores potentially important graded activity, and regression models do not incorporate potentially valuable prior knowledge. Morris et al. addressed these limitations by developing a novel logic-based modelling method called "constrained fuzzy logic" (cFL), which incorporates prior knowledge in the same manner as Boolean logic but also models quantitative behaviour [14]. Specifically, this method converts an input value from an upstream node into a continuous value between 0 and 1 for the downstream node through a sigmoidal transfer function [14]. This capability facilitates the ability of the resulting trained models to fit weak responses. The quantitative relationships between proteins are also estimated, allowing for modelling of dose-response data, which could prove valuable for pharmacological applications.

CFL was applied to the same dataset to which the BL approach had been previously applied [12]. CFL was able to capture interactions that were missed by BL [14]. This included the moderate levels of phosphorylation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and c-Jun by TGF-α stimulation. This interaction was the only instance of growth factor pathway crosstalk with inflammatory pathways observed in measurements of HepG2 cells, which the previous studies failed to detect [12, 13]. Furthermore, stimulating HepG2 cells with IL-6 led to moderately increased phosphorylation of several species, including Akt, MEK and p70 S6 kinase, in addition to strong phosphorylation of its canonical downstream STAT3 pathway [14]. The PKN did not include links from the IL-6 receptor that allowed for the observed moderate phosphorylation levels [14]. In the case of BL, the resulting lack of fit of these data points did not adversely affect the overall fit, presumably because a similar absolute deviation resulted between the intermediate levels of the measured phosphorylations and the model outputs of 0 or 1. In contrast, the cFL model was sufficiently sensitive to this error that the model was deemed to inadequately fit this data [14]. Morris et al. followed up this result by seeking to distinguish the pathway that most likely caused the phosphorylations. To do so, they tested PKNs with new interactions either between the IL-6 receptor (IL6R) and PI3K or IL6R and Ras. Most of the resulting fitted models contained the IL6R-Ras link, thus indicating that the Ras-Raf-MEK pathway and not a PI3K-downstream pathway likely mediated the phosphorylations [14]. This result was validated with dedicated experiments.

Conclusions and future directions
We have performed a series of studies in which mathematical models of proteomic data revealed important insights into the signal transduction networks of healthy and cancerous liver cells in inflammatory environments. Our principal biological insight is that hepatocellular carcinoma cells feature both decreased responsiveness to inflammatory stimuli and increased responsiveness to growth factors relative to normal hepatocytes, which could promote immune evasion and increased proliferation. Our principal mathematical advances include devising methods for formally fitting Boolean logic models to data and creating a fuzzy logic method useful for making quantitative models. For relatively small networks such as the one studied here (i.e., downstream of ~5-7 receptors), cFL is a powerful approach. Given the higher computational burden of cFL, Boolean logic will still be needed to model larger networks until more efficient algorithms are developed. We note, however, that larger networks do not necessarily provide additional predictive power because maximal predictivity was observed with models featuring substantially reduced numbers of edges from the initial prior knowledge network. This finding supports the use of our functional biochemistry approach because it provides data on components of the system that actually carry out the cellular response. A distinctive feature of our approach is that it requires broad sampling of network states, which is achieved by applying diverse experimental conditions (in our case, cytokines and inhibitors, but could also include other treatments such as small interfering RNAs).

Attempting to process samples from hundreds of independent experiments with other proteomic techniques such as mass spectrometry is currently unfeasible owing to technical limitations and time and fiscal costs. Our approach therefore represents a rational, efficient and informative means to elucidating epithelial cellular signalling and physiology in inflammatory contexts.

Going forward, we emphasize that systems-level approaches can be effectively used in vivo. A recent study from our lab successfully extended previous systems-level analyses of data collected from colon cancer cells in vitro [15-17] by applying PLSR modelling to signalling measurements taken from the intestines of mice treated systemically with TNF-α [18]. Determining the biological effects of TNF-α is not straightforward because stimulation of TNF-α receptors increases the activity of multiple downstream signalling pathways, the quantitative integration of which determines the ultimate biological outcome. In the case of mouse intestinal epithelial cells in vivo, for example, TNF-α was found to promote apoptosis in cells of the proximal part of the small intestine, but not in the distal part, with the timing of apoptosis being dose-dependent [18]. TNF-α administration also affected cell proliferation in a region-specific manner.

PLSR modelling of signalling and phenotype data revealed that the differential sensitivity of apoptosis was due to quantitative differences in MAPK signalling kinetics between the two intestinal regions and that growth arrest was related to c-Jun and activating transcription factor activation as well as MAPK signalling kinetics [18]. Subsequent experiments validated the hypotheses generated from the original dataset and model. This study demonstrates that our systems-level approach can be successfully applied to in vivo contexts, despite their added complexity compared to in-vitro-cell-culture-based experiments.
We contend that our approach works irrespective of the experimental system because cells integrate complex contextual information into biochemical activities of signalling pathways that form the basis for phenotypic decisions. The cell signalling network is complex but manageable such that by measuring selected nodes across this network and using mathematical models to infer the network output, we are able to predict the ultimate biological outcome. We therefore anticipate systems-level approaches becoming broadly applicable to the study of cellular signalling.
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Figure legend

Logic modelling workflow. A prior knowledge network is derived from literature, databases and/or existing data. Experiments are conducted to systematically perturb and/or measure nodes distributed throughout the network. The data is then used by a model optimization (i.e., data fitting) algorithm to tune the model topology and if applicable, the model parameters, to minimize the discrepancy between the model output and data. The resulting model is then analyzed to derive insight into the biology of the system. The figure is adapted from figures contained in reference [14].
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