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We explore the interspecies interaction quench dynamics of ultracold spin-polarized few-body mass balanced Fermi-Fermi mixtures confined in a double-well with an emphasis on the beyond Hartree-Fock correlation effects. It is shown that the ground state of particle imbalanced mixtures exhibits a symmetry breaking of the single-particle density for strong interactions in the Hartree-Fock limit, which is altered within the many-body approach. Quenching the interspecies repulsion towards the strongly interacting regime the two species phase separate within the Hartree-Fock approximation while remaining miscible in the many-body treatment. Despite their miscible character on the one-body level the two species are found to be strongly correlated and exhibit a phase separation on the two-body level that suggests the anti-ferromagnetic like behavior of the few-body mixture. For particle balanced mixtures we show that an intrawell fragmentation (filamentation) of the density occurs both for the ground state as well as upon quenching from weak to strong interactions, a result that is exclusively caused by the presence of strong correlations. Inspecting the two-body correlations a phase separation of the two species is unveiled being a precursor towards an anti-ferromagnetic state. Finally, we simulate in-situ single-shot measurements and showcase how our findings can be retrieved by averaging over a sample of single-shot images.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ultracold Fermi gases offer an excellent testbed for simulating and exploring exotic quantum phases of matter. Recent experimental advances constitute a valuable resource for disclosing the intricate complexity of condensed matter systems. Indeed several key quantities can be adjusted in the laboratory including the inter-particle interaction strength via Feshbach resonances, the particle number and the external potential landscape. Besides single species also mixtures of fermions can nowadays be experimentally prepared with neutral fermionic atoms e.g. in different hyperfine states such as $^{40}$K, $^{6}$Li, $^{7}$Li, $^{87}$Sr.

In this context, impressive features have been revealed evincing for instance superfluidity, quantum magnetism, insulating phases, phase separation, fermi polarons and Josephson junctions. A major focus has been the phase diagram of Fermi-Fermi (FF) mixtures ranging from the strongly attractive to the strongly repulsive regime of interactions. For instance, referring to attractive particle imbalanced FF mixtures it has been shown that beyond a critical polarization the mixture forms a superfluid paired core being surrounded by a shell of unpaired fermions. Turning to the repulsive regime of interactions magnetization effects emerge. For increasing repulsion, a first order phase transition between paramagnetism and itinerant ferromagnetism has been revealed. It has been argued that this transition can be described by the mean-field model of Stoner for strongly short-range repulsively interacting fermions.

The majority of the above-mentioned studies has been focussed on the static properties of FF mixtures within a Hartree-Fock (HF) i.e. mean-field description in higher dimensions. Most importantly, the dynamical properties of FF mixtures are largely unexplored and especially the role of many-body (MB) effects is much less understood. An intriguing prospect here is whether magnetization or phase separation effects emerge during the nonequilibrium dynamics of FF mixtures. A widely used technique to induce the nonequilibrium dynamics is the so-called quantum quench, where the quantum evolution is generated following a sudden change of an intrinsic system's parameter such as the interaction strength. For instance, it has been recently shown that the interaction quench dynamics of a Bose-Bose mixture crossing the miscibility-immiscibility threshold leads to the dynamical phase separation of the two clouds which exhibit domain-wall structures. Turning to FF mixtures a natural question that arises is whether such a phase separation can be observed and what is its dependence on the particle number of each species. Another interesting aspect here is whether any instabilities occurring in the HF approximation are altered due to the presence of correlations as well as the crucial role of the latter in the course of the evolution. Motivated also by the experimental capability to prepare few-fermion mixtures in one-dimension, we study here the interaction quench dynamics of a spin-polarized FF mixture confined in a double-well. To simulate the correlated quantum dynamics of the FF mixture we employ the Multi-Layer Multi-Configurational Time-Dependent Hartree Method for Atomic Mixtures (MLMCTDHX), which is a variational method capturing all the important particle correlations.
We find that the ground state of particle imbalanced species exhibits a symmetry breaking, for strong interactions, on the single-particle density level within the HF approximation. This behavior is a manifestation of the Stoner instability \[40, 41\] and renders the mixture immiscible. The presence of higher-order quantum correlations alters this instability and an intrawell fragmentation of the one-body density arises, i.e. the density profile breaks into several density branches (filaments) \[53\], while the two species remain miscible. Performing an interspecies interaction quench from weak-to-strong coupling we find that within the HF approximation the \(\sigma\)-species (with \(\sigma = A, B\) denoting each species) single-particle density filamentizes and subsequently the two species phase separate. In sharp contrast, in the presence of quantum correlations the filamentation of the one-body density becomes suppressed and the fermionic components show a miscible behavior on the one-body level. Remarkably enough, Mott-like one-body correlations \[45, 50, 58\] between the filaments formed are revealed, indicating their tendency for localization. Most importantly, both the intra- and interspecies two-body correlation functions show that two fermions of the same or different species cannot populate the same filament but only distinct ones. The latter, which is arguably one of our main results, unveils that a phase separation process occurs only on the two-body level suggesting the formation of few-body anti-ferromagnetic like order \[14, 53\].

Turning to particle balanced FF mixtures we find that the single-particle density of the ground state exhibits a miscible behavior at weak and strong interactions in both the HF and MB approaches. Moreover, an intrawell fragmentation occurs only within the MB approach. Quenching the interspecies interaction from weak-to-strong coupling we observe that in the HF approximation the FF mixture remains miscible throughout the evolution, while performing an overall breathing motion. Within the MB approach the two species besides undergoing a breathing mode while remaining miscible, further exhibit an intrawell fragmentation (filamentation) of their single-particle density. Also in this case Mott-like one-body correlations appear between the distinct filaments formed. Moreover, two fermions of the same or different species exhibit an anti-correlated behavior in a single filament, whilst they are strongly correlated when residing in distinct filaments indicating the tendency towards an anti-ferromagnetic state. Finally, we simulate single-shot absorption measurements and showcase that by averaging a sample of in-situ images we can adequately reproduce the MB fermionic quench dynamics on the single-particle density level.

This work is structured as follows. Section \[IV\] presents our setup and the basic observables of interest. The nonequilibrium dynamics induced by an interspecies interaction quench for particle imbalanced and balanced species within a double-well is analyzed in Secs. \[III\] and \[V\] respectively. We summarize our findings and provide an outlook in Section \[V\]. In Appendix \[A\] we provide a brief discussion regarding our numerical implementation of the single-shot procedure. Finally, in Appendix \[B\] we present further details of our numerical simulations and demonstrate the convergence of the results discussed in the main text.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. Setup

We consider a FF mixture consisting of \(N_A\) and \(N_B\) spin polarized fermions with equal masses \(M_A = M_B = M\) for the \(A\) and \(B\) species respectively. Such a mass balanced fermionic mixture can be experimentally realized by two different hyperfine states e.g. of \(^{40}K\) or \(^6Li\) \[55, 60\]. These internal states could refer, for instance, to the \(|F = 9/2, m_F = 9/2\rangle\) and \(|F = 9/2, m_F = -7/2\rangle\) of \(^{40}K\) \[61\]. The mixture is confined in an one-dimensional double-well external potential \[62\] which is composed by a harmonic oscillator with frequency \(\omega\) and a centered Gaussian with height \(V_0\) and width \(w\). The resulting MB Hamiltonian reads

\[
\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\sigma = A, B} \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left( \frac{d^2}{dx_i^\sigma} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} M \omega_i^2 (x_i^\sigma)^2 \right] + \frac{V_0}{w \sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{\omega_i^2}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_A} \sum_{j=1}^{N_B} g_{AB} \delta(x_i^A - x_j^B). \tag{1}
\]

We operate in the ultracold regime, hence \(s\)-wave scattering is the dominant interaction process. Consequently the interspecies interactions can be adequately modeled by contact interactions, which scale with the effective one-dimensional coupling strength \(g_{AB}\) for the different fermionic species. Since \(s\)-wave scattering is forbidden for spin-polarized fermions \[63, 64\], due to the antisymmetry of the fermionic wavefunction, fermions of the same species are considered to be non-interacting. Therefore, only interspecies interactions are relevant in the MB Hamiltonian. The effective interspecies one-dimensional coupling strength \[65\] is given by

\[
g_{AB} = \frac{2\hbar^2 a_{AB}^s}{\mu a_{\perp}^2} (1 - |\zeta(1/2)| a_{AB}^s/\sqrt{2} a_{\perp})^{-1}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(\zeta\) refers to the Riemann zeta function and \(\mu = \frac{M}{M}\) is the corresponding reduced mass. \(a_{\perp} = \sqrt{\hbar/\mu \omega_{\perp}}\) is the transversal length scale with transversal confinement frequency \(\omega_{\perp}\) and \(a_{AB}^s\) is the three-dimensional \(s\)-wave scattering length between the two distinct species. We note that \(g_{AB}\) can be experimentally adjusted either by means of \(a_{AB}^s\) with the aid of Feshbach resonances \[5, 60\] or by manipulating \(\omega_{\perp}\) via confinement-induced resonances \[55, 67\].

In the following our Hamiltonian is rescaled in units of \(\hbar \omega_{\perp}\). This, the corresponding length, time, and interaction strength scales are expressed in terms of

\[
\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{M \omega_{\perp}}},
\]
\( \omega^{-1} \) and \( \sqrt{\frac{K_a}{M}} \) respectively. Moreover, the amplitude of the Gaussian barrier \( V_0 \), its width \( w \) and the frequency of the harmonic oscillator \( \omega \) are given in units of \( \sqrt{\frac{K_a}{M}} \), \( \sqrt{\frac{K_b}{M}} \), and \( \omega \). To limit the spatial extension of our system we impose hard-wall boundary conditions at \( x_{\pm} = \pm 40 \).

Throughout this work, our system is initially prepared in the MB ground state of the Hamiltonian \([1]\) within the weak interspecies interaction regime, namely \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \). The corresponding double-well potential is characterized by the harmonic oscillator frequency \( \omega = 0.1 \), barrier height \( V_0 = 2 \) and width \( w = 1 \). Thus, in a non-interacting single-particle picture four doublets are included below the maximum of the barrier. To induce the nonequilibrium dynamics of the FF mixture in the double-well we quench at \( t = 0 \) the interspecies interaction strength towards the strongly correlated regime, e.g. \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \), and let the system evolve in time. Quenching the interspecies repulsion towards the strongly interacting regime favors the occurrence of a breathing mode \([17]\) and the appearance of strong intra- and interspecies correlations \([see Secs. [III] and [IV]](\) due to the quench imported interaction energy into the system \([62]\).

Below, we first analyze the dynamics of a particle imbalanced mixture with \( N_A = 3 \) (\( N_A = 5 \)) and \( N_B = 1 \) fermions respectively, and subsequently examine the corresponding particle balanced case with \( N_A = N_B = 2 \) and \( N_A = N_B = 5 \).

### B. Many-Body Approach

To solve the underlying MB Schrödinger equation that governs the quench-induced dynamics of the FF mixture we utilize ML-MCTDHX \([52]\). It is based on an expansion of the MB wavefunction with respect to a time-dependent and variationally optimized MB basis. Such a treatment enables us to take into account both the intra- and interspecies correlations inherent in the system. In order to include the inter- and intraspecies correlations, we first introduce \( M \) distinct species functions, \( \Psi^\sigma_1(\vec{x};t) \). Here, \( \vec{x}^\sigma = (x_1^\sigma, \ldots, x_{N^\sigma}^\sigma) \) refer to the spatial \( \sigma = A, B \) species coordinates of each component consisting of \( N^\sigma \) fermions. Then the MB wavefunction, \( \Psi_{MB} \), is expressed as a truncated Schmidt decomposition \([69]\) of rank \( D \)

\[
\Psi_{MB}(\vec{x}^A, \vec{x}^B; t) = \sum_{k=1}^{D} \sqrt{\lambda_k(t)} \Psi_k^A(\vec{x}^A; t) \Psi_k^B(\vec{x}^B; t). \tag{2}
\]

In this expression \( D \leq \min(\text{dim}(H^A), \text{dim}(H^B)) \) and \( H^\sigma \) is the Hilbert space of the \( \sigma \)-species (see also the discussion below). The Schmidt coefficients \( \lambda_k(t) \) in decreasing order are denoted as the natural species populations of the \( k \)-th species function \( \Psi_k^\sigma \) of the \( \sigma \)-species. They serve as a measure of the system’s entanglement or interspecies correlations. Specifically, the system is called entangled or interspecies correlated \([71]\) when at least two distinct \( \lambda_k(t) \) are nonzero, since in this latter case the total MB state \([Eq. (2)]\) cannot be expressed as a direct product of two states.

To explicitly incorporate the interparticle correlations each of the species functions \( \Psi^\sigma_k(\vec{x}^\sigma; t) \) is expanded using the determinants of \( m^\sigma \) distinct time-dependent fermionic single-particle functions (SPFs), \( \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_{m^\sigma} \). In particular

\[
\Psi^\sigma_k(\vec{x}^\sigma; t) = \sum_{l_1, \ldots, l_{m^\sigma} \atop \sum l_i = N^\sigma} C_{k,(l_1, \ldots, l_{m^\sigma})}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{N^\sigma} \text{sign}(\mathcal{P}_i) \mathcal{P}_i \left[ \prod_{j \in \{1, \ldots, m^\sigma\} \atop l_j = 1} \varphi_j(x_{K(j)}; t) \right]. \tag{3}
\]

Here, \( \mathcal{P} \) refers to the permutation operator which exchanges the particle positions \( x_{\mu}, \mu = 1, \ldots, N^\sigma \) within the SPFs. Also \( K(j) \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} l_{\nu} \) with \( l_{\nu} \) denoting the occupation of the \( \nu \)-th SPF and \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m^\sigma\} \). The symbol \( \text{sign}(\mathcal{P}_i) \) denotes the sign of the corresponding permutation and \( C_{k,(l_1, \ldots, l_{m^\sigma})}(t) \) are the time-dependent expansion coefficients of a certain determinant. The eigenfunctions of the one-body reduced density matrix of the \( \sigma \)-species \( \rho^\sigma_\sigma(x, x'; t) = \langle \Psi_{MB}(t)| \Psi^\sigma_\sigma(x)| \Psi^\sigma_\sigma(x') |\Psi_{MB}(t) \rangle \) are termed natural orbitals \( \phi^\sigma_\sigma(x; t) \), where \( \Psi^\sigma_\sigma(x) \) refers to the fermionic field operator of the \( \sigma \)-species. The eigenvalues of \( \phi^\sigma_\sigma(x; t) \) are the so-called natural populations \( \rho^\sigma_\sigma(t) \). If more than \( N^\sigma \) natural populations, \( n^\sigma_i(t) \), possess a non-negligible occupation \( 0 < n^\sigma_i(t) < 1 \) with \( N^\sigma < i < m^\sigma \), the fermionic \( \sigma \)-species is termed intraspecies correlated, otherwise the MB state reduces to the HF ansatz \([1, 63, 71]\). Indeed ML-MCTDHX enables us to operate within different approximation orders \([53]\), and we e.g. retrieve the HF ansatz \([63]\) in the limit of \( D = 1 \) and \( m^\sigma = N^\sigma \)

\[
\Psi_{HF}(\vec{x}^A, \vec{x}^B; t) = \prod_{\sigma=A,B}^{N^\sigma-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N^\sigma} \text{sign}(\mathcal{P}_i) \mathcal{P}_i \left[ \prod_{\nu=1}^{N^\sigma} \varphi_\nu(x_{K(\nu)}; t) \right]. \tag{4}
\]

Furthermore, employing the Dirac-Frenkel variational principle \([72, 73]\) for the MB ansatz [see Eqs. (2), (3)] we obtain the ML-MCTDHX equations of motion \([52]\) for the fermionic mixture. These equations correspond to \( D^2 \) linear differential equations of motion for the coefficients \( \lambda_i(t) \) coupled to a set of \( (N_N^A + e(N_N^B)) \) non-linear integro-differential equations for the species functions and \( m_A^A + m_B^B \) integro-differential equations for the SPFs.

### C. Correlation Functions

To unveil the degree of intraspecies correlations at the one-body level during the quench dynamics we employ
the normalized spatial first order correlation function \[ g^{(1)}(x, x'; t) = \frac{\rho^{(1)}(x, x'; t)}{\sqrt{\rho^{(1)}(x; t)\rho^{(1)}(x'; t)}}. \] (5)

Here, \( \rho^{(1)}(x, x'; t) = \langle \Psi(t)|\hat{\Psi}^{\sigma \dagger}(x)|\hat{\Psi}^{\sigma}(x')\rangle \langle \Psi(t)| \) refers to the one-body reduced density matrix of the \( \sigma \)-species and \( \rho^{(1)}(x; t) \equiv \rho^{(1)}(x, x = x; t) \) is the one-body density. \( \hat{\Psi}^{\sigma}(x) \) is the fermionic field operator that creates [annihilates] a \( \sigma \)-species fermion at position \( x \).

\( g^{(1)}(x, x'; t) \) is bounded within the interval \([0,1]\) and measures the proximity of the MB state to a product state for a fixed set of coordinates \( x, x' \). Two different spatial regions \( R, R' \), with \( R \cap R' = \emptyset \), possessing \( g^{(1)}(x, x'; t) = 0 \) with \( x \in R \) and \( x' \in R' \) are referred to as perfectly incoherent, whilst for \( g^{(1)}(x, x'; t) = 1 \), \( x \in R \), \( x' \in R' \) the regions are said to be fully coherent. When at least two distinct spatial regions are partially incoherent, i.e. \( |g^{(1)}(x, x'; t)| < 1 \) this signifies the emergence of one-body interspecies correlations, while their absence is designated by \( |g^{(1)}(x, x'; t)| = 1 \) for every \( x, x' \). Most importantly, the situation where a certain spatial region \( R \) is fully coherent, i.e. \( g^{(1)}(\sigma, \sigma, x, x'; t)^2 \approx 1 \) \( x, x' \in R \), and perfect incoherence occurs between different spatial regions \( R, R' \), i.e. \( |g^{(1)}(\sigma, x, x'; t)|^2 \approx 0 \), \( x \in R \), \( x' \in R' \) with \( R \cap R' = \emptyset \), indicates the appearance of Mott-like correlations [47, 56–58].

To estimate the degree of second order intra- and interspecies correlations in the course of the dynamics, we inspect the normalized two-body correlation function \[ g^{(2)}(x, x'; t) = \frac{\rho^{(2)}(x, x'; t)}{\rho^{(1)}(x; t)\rho^{(1)}(x'; t)}. \] (6)

In Eq. (6), \( \rho^{(2)}(x, x'; t) = \langle \Psi(t)|\hat{\Psi}^{\sigma \dagger}(x')\hat{\Psi}^{\sigma}(x)\hat{\Psi}^{\sigma \dagger}(x')\hat{\Psi}^{\sigma}(x)|\Psi(t)\rangle \) denotes the diagonal two-body reduced density matrix which provides the probability of measuring two particles of species \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) located at \( x \) and \( x' \) respectively at time \( t \). Referring to the same (different) species, i.e. \( \sigma = \sigma' \) (\( \sigma \neq \sigma' \)), \( g^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma, x, x'; t) \) accounts for the intraspecies (interspecies) two-body correlations. We remark that if \( g^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma, x, x'; t) = 1 \) holds, the state is termed fully second order coherent, while in case that \( g^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma, x, x'; t) > 1 \) \( g^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma, x, x'; t) < 1 \) it is termed correlated (anti-correlated). \( g^{(2)}(\sigma, \sigma, x, x'; t) \) is experimentally accessible by in-situ density-density fluctuation measurements [76, 78].

FIG. 1. One-body density \( \rho^{(1)}(x) \) of the ground state of the \( \sigma \)-species of a FF mixture for different interspecies repulsions \( g_{AB} \) (see legend) within (a) the MB approach and (b) the HF approximation. The mixture consists of \( N_A = 3 \) and \( N_B = 1 \) fermions and it is trapped in a double-well potential. The rectangles in (a) indicate the intrawell fragmentation (filamentation) of \( \rho^{(1)}(x) \) occurring for strong interspecies interactions. The inset in (b) shows the corresponding energetically degenerate configuration of \( \rho^{(1)}(x) \) with the \( \rho^{(1)}(x) \) of the main panel for \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \) within the HF approximation.

III. INTERACTION QUENCH DYNAMICS OF A PARTICLE IMBALANCED MIXTURE

A. Initial State

We consider an interspecies repulsively interacting \( g_{AB} \) mass balanced \( (M_A = M_B) \) FF mixture with spin-polarized and particle imbalanced components consisting of \( N_A = 3 \) and \( N_B = 1 \) fermions. The mixture is confined within a double-well and it is initialized in its corresponding interspecies interacting ground state as described by the Hamiltonian of Eq. (4), using either imaginary time propagation or improved relaxation [54] within ML-MCTDH. The double-well is characterized by frequency \( \omega = 0.1 \), barrier height \( V_0 = 2 \) and width \( w = 1 \).

To inspect the ground state of the FF mixture we invoke the \( \sigma \)-species single-particle density \( \rho^{(1)}(x; t) \) [see also Eq. (5)]. Within the weakly interacting regime, \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \), we observe that each \( \rho^{(1)}(x; t) \) shows an equal population in the two wells of the double-well and it is distributed in a symmetric manner both in the HF approximation as well as on the MB level, see Figs. 1 (a) and (b). Also, \( \rho^{(1)}(A; t) \) and \( \rho^{(1)}(B; t) \) feature a miscible behavior in both approaches. Note that due to the particle imbalance the \( A \)-species which contains the higher particle number exhibits a broader single-particle density distribution within each well when compared to the \( B \)-species. Turning to the strong interaction regime, \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \), an intrawell fragmentation of \( \rho^{(1)}(x; t) \) occurs on the MB level, see Fig. 1 (a), while \( \rho^{(1)}(A; t) \) and \( \rho^{(1)}(B; t) \) show again a miscible behavior. Intrawell fragmentation refers to the filamentation tendency of the
one-body density, i.e. to the appearance of several local maxima occurring in $\rho_σ^{(1)}(x)$ within each well, see the dashed rectangle in Fig. 1 (a) where two sub maxima are present. Interestingly enough, within the HF approximation the mixture becomes immiscible with $\rho_A^{(1)}(x)$ and $\rho_B^{(1)}(x)$ being phase separated as it can be observed by their asymmetric distribution with respect to $x = 0$ illustrated in Fig. 1 (b). This latter behavior can be thought of as the few-body analog of the Stoner’s instability [40, 41] which is a well-known phenomenon in solid state physics being responsible for magnetization effects emerging in itinerant systems. Indeed, within the HF approximation in the strongly interacting regime the energy of a phase separated (immiscible) one due to the strong impact of the interaction energy $\epsilon_{AB}$ is larger when compared to the energy of a miscible state is larger when compared to the approximation in the strongly interacting regime the emerging in itinerant systems. Indeed, within the HF approximation physics being responsible for magnetization effects thought of as the few-body analog of the Stoner’s instability ceases to exist due to the involvement of higher-order quantum superpositions [19, 82].

Next, we examine the quantum dynamics of the above-mentioned weakly interacting, $g_{AB} = 0.1$, FF mixture by quenching the interspecies repulsion at $t = 0$ towards the strongly correlated regime of interactions, $g_{AB} = 4.0$.

B. Single-Particle Density Evolution

To visualize the nonequilibrium dynamics of the particle imbalanced FF mixture on the one-body level we employ the single-particle density evolution $\rho_σ^{(1)}(x; t)$ for each of the species after the quench. Focusing on the HF approximation, see Figs. 2 (a) and (b), we observe that an overall breathing mode [33] of both fermionic clouds takes place manifested as a contraction and expansion dynamics of $\rho_σ^{(1)}(x; t)$. The frequency of this breathing mode is $\omega_{\nu} = 0.2 = 2\omega$ which is in accordance with the corresponding theoretical prediction [34]. Most importantly, a phase separation process between the two species occurs and each $\rho_σ^{(1)}(x; t)$ exhibits an intrawell fragmentation. This phase separation is a consequence of the Stoner instability that exists in this strongly interacting regime even in the ground state of the system [see also our discussion in Sec. III.A]. Regarding the intrawell fragmentation we observe that $\rho_A^{(1)}(x; t)$ forms two filaments in each well, while $\rho_B^{(1)}(x; t)$ exhibits one filament in each well and one (of lower amplitude) located at the position of the barrier of the double-well [see also the dashed rectangles in Figs. 2 (a) and (b)]. In sharp contrast to the above, utilizing the correlated approach the single-particle density evolution shows a completely different behavior, see Figs. 2 (c) and (d). The two components remain miscible throughout the evolution in accordance to the ground state properties discussed in Sec. III.A. Moreover, an intrawell fragmentation emerges with the two filaments formed in $\rho_σ^{(1)}(x; t)$ within each well being more pronounced for the $B$-species, while the filamentary structure of the $A$-species is suppressed and hardly discernible [see also the rectangles in Figs. 2 (c) and (d)]. Finally, both clouds undergo a breathing motion with approximately the same frequency as the one observed in the HF approximation.

To infer about the effect of the majority species particle number on the nonequilibrium dynamics, we next consider a mass balanced FF mixture with $N_A = 5$ and $N_B = 1$. The corresponding single-particle density evolution following an interspecies interaction quench from $g_{AB} = 0.1$ to $g_{AB} = 4.0$ is shown in Figs. 2 (e) and (f)
within the MB approach. As it can be deduced, a larger particle number of the majority component leads to an increased number of filaments within each well for each of the species as compared to the case of a smaller particle number [compare Figs. 2 (c), (d) and (e), (f)]. It is also worth mentioning that the filament formation of both species is washed out for higher particle numbers [see also the rectangles in Figs. 2 (e) and (f)]. However, the particle number does not significantly alter the breathing frequency of each species and their miscible character.

To expose the degree of spatial phase separation, namely the degree of miscibility or immiscibility of the mixture, occurring on the one-body level during the quench dynamics, we employ the overlap integral function \( \Lambda(t) \) [47, 55, 56] between the two species

\[
\Lambda(t) = \frac{\left[ \int dx \rho_A^{(1)}(x; t) \rho_B^{(1)}(x; t) \right]^2}{\left[ \int dx (\rho_A^{(1)}(x; t))^2 \right] \left[ \int dx (\rho_B^{(1)}(x; t))^2 \right]}.
\] (7)

This quantity being normalized to unity takes values between \( \Lambda = 0 \) and \( \Lambda = 1 \) corresponding to zero and complete spatial overlap of the two species on the single-particle level. Figures 2 (g) and (h) present \( \Lambda(t) \) for the setups \( N_A = 3, N_B = 1 \) and \( N_A = 5, N_B = 1 \) respectively for different interaction quench amplitudes. Regarding the evolution in the HF approximation, \( \Lambda(t) \) drops close to zero at short time scales (\( t > 6 \)) for both systems. After this initial drop the overlap remains almost constant exhibiting small amplitude oscillations which reflect the breathing motion of each cloud. Notice that the maxima of these small amplitude oscillations appear at time intervals of the contraction of the cloud, see e.g. Figs. 2 (a) and (g) at \( t \approx 40 \). In sharp contrast to the above behavior, \( \Lambda(t) \) shows small fluctuations around 0.9 within the MB approach during the entire evolution. The aforementioned evolution of \( \Lambda(t) \) reflects the miscible character of the dynamics on the single-particle level. The same overall phenomenology in terms of \( \Lambda(t) \) holds equally, in both approaches, for other postquench interaction strengths, see e.g. Figs. 2 (g) and (h) for \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \). We further remark that for postquench interaction strengths \( g_{AB} > 2.0 \) the overlap function features a similar dynamics, while for quenches to \( g_{AB} < 2.0 \) the mixture remains miscible in both the HF and the MB approach (results not shown here for brevity).

### C. Correlation Dynamics

To unveil the underlying correlation mechanisms [39, 52] that lead to the intrawell fragmentation during the MB quench dynamics, we investigate the one-body \( g_A^{(1)}(x, x', t) \) [Eq. (5)] and the two-body \( g_{\sigma\sigma}^{(2)}(x, x', t) \) [Eq. (6)] intra- and interspecies correlation functions during evolution, see Fig. 5. As it is expected the intraspecies two-body correlation function for the \( B \)-species is zero, since this species contains only a single particle. Below we examine \( g_A^{(1)}(x, x', t) \) and \( g_{\sigma\sigma}^{(2)}(x, x', t) \) following an interaction quench of the FF mixture for \( (a_1)-(a_4) \) the \( A \)-species and \( (b_1)-(b_4) \) the \( B \)-species. \((c_1)-(c_4)\) Snapshots of the two-body intraspecies correlation function \( g_{A\sigma}^{(2)}(x, x'; t) \) and \( (d_1)-(d_4) \) the interspecies two-body correlation function \( g_{AB}^{(2)}(x, x'; t) \). In all cases, the FF mixture consists of \( N_A = 3, N_B = 1 \) particles and it is initialized in the weakly interacting ground state, \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \), of the double-well. To induce the dynamics we perform an interaction quench from \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \) to \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \).

![FIG. 3. One-body correlation function \( g_A^{(1)}(x, x'; t) \) shown for different time instants (see legends) during the interaction quench dynamics of a FF mixture for \((a_1)-(a_4)\) the \( A \)-species and \((b_1)-(b_4)\) the \( B \)-species. \((c_1)-(c_4)\) Snapshots of the two-body intraspecies correlation function \( g_{A\sigma}^{(2)}(x, x'; t) \) and \((d_1)-(d_4)\) the interspecies two-body correlation function \( g_{AB}^{(2)}(x, x'; t) \). In all cases, the FF mixture consists of \( N_A = 3, N_B = 1 \) particles and it is initialized in the weakly interacting ground state, \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \), of the double-well. To induce the dynamics we perform an interaction quench from \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \) to \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \).](image-url)
ones, is more likely to be localized in one filament and do not reside in two or more filaments.

We next study the two-body intraspecies correlation function \( g_{AA}^{(2)}(x, x'; t) \), see Figs. 3(c1)-(c4). A strongly anti-correlated behavior within each filament, see the depleted diagonal behavior, occurs for every time instant \([e.g. \ g_{AA}^{(2)}(x = -2.5, x' = -2.5; t = 24) \approx 0 \ in \ Fig. \ 3(c1)]\), while two different filaments appear to be correlated \([e.g. \ g_{AA}^{(2)}(x = -2.5, x' = 2.5; t = 24) \approx 1.3 \ in \ Fig. \ 3(c2)]\). As a consequence, two particles of the \( A \)-species cannot reside in the same filament but they are more likely to be found in any pair of distinct filaments. The corresponding interspecies correlation function \( g_{AB}^{(2)}(x, x') \), shown in Figs. 3(d1)-(d4), displays similar characteristics to \( g_{AA}^{(2)}(x, x'; t) \). Namely, a correlation hole exists \([e.g. \ g_{AB}^{(2)}(x = -2.5, x' = -2.5; t = 24) \approx 0 \ in \ Fig. \ 3(d1)]\) which excludes the possibility of an \( A \) and a \( B \) particle to be in the same filament. However, the off-diagonal elements of \( g_{AB}^{(2)}(x, x'; t) \) exhibit a correlated behavior \([e.g. \ g_{AB}^{(2)}(x = -2.5, x' = 2.5; t = 24) \approx 2.2 \ in \ Fig. \ 3(d1)]\) providing the possibility for an \( A \) and a \( B \)-species fermion to be located at different filaments. We remark, that similar correlation structures have also been observed for the \( N_A = 5, N_B = 1 \) case (not shown here for brevity).

An important conclusion that can be extracted from the above analysis is that on the MB level phase separation between the species can be inferred only on the two-body level and not by simply observing the corresponding single-particle densities. Recall that the single-particle density evolution does not exhibit any phase separation within the MB approach, see Figs. 2(c), (d), which is in sharp contrast to the HF approximation where the fermionic components are evidently immiscible, see Figs. 2(a), (b). Combining also the results of \( g_{\sigma \sigma}^{(1)}(x, x', t) \) and \( g_{\sigma \sigma}^{(2)}(x, x', t) \), it becomes apparent that all \( N_A + N_B \) fermions reside in distinct filaments. Therefore regarding the spatially resolved distribution of the system, a superposition state consisting of all permutations of possible fermionic configurations concerning the four filaments formed, i.e. \((B - A - A - A), (A - B - A - A), (A - A - B - A)\) and \((A - A - A - B)\), is permitted. This latter behavior suggests the tendency towards an anti-ferromagnetic like state of the few-body system 19, 53.

D. Single-Shot Images

In order to offer further possible experimental evidences of the correlated quantum quench dynamics of the FF mixture we simulate in-situ single-shot absorption measurements 47, 57, 88. These measurements essentially probe the spatial configuration of the atoms and they are based on the MB probability distribution which is available within ML-MCTDHX 54. To simulate the corresponding experimental procedure we perform a convolution of the spatial particle configuration with a point spread function being determined by the corresponding experimental resolution. For more details regarding the numerical implementation of this procedure in binary systems we refer the interested reader to Appendix A while more elaborated discussions are provided in Refs. 33, 47. The point spread function used here possesses a Gaussian shape with width \( w_{PSF} \equiv 1 \ll l \approx 3.2 \), where \( l = \sqrt{\hbar/\omega} \) denotes the corresponding harmonic oscillator length. We note that in few-body experiments 2, 8, fluorescence imaging is another promising technique to probe the state of the system since it eliminates unavoidable noise sources that might destroy the experimental signal 4. However, the simulation of this experimental technique lies beyond our current scope. Here, by simulating single-shot measurements we aim to show how in-situ imaging can be used to adequately monitor the nonequilibrium quantum dynamics of the aforementioned few-body particle imbalanced FF mixture.

Utilizing the MB wavefunction of the system, obtained within ML-MCTDHX, we simulate in-situ single-shot images at each time instant \( t \) of the MB evolution. Consecutively imaging first the \( A \) and then the \( B \) species at time \( t \equiv t_{im} \), these images are designated by \( A^A(\tilde{x}; t_{im}) \) and \( A^B(\tilde{x}'|A^A(\tilde{x}); t_{im}) \) for the \( A \) and \( B \) species respectively. In the following, we focus on the dynamics of a FF mixture with \( N_A = 3 \) and \( N_B = 1 \) within the double-well upon quenching the interspecies interaction strength from \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \) to \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \). Figures 3(a), (e) show the first simulated in-situ single-shot images for each species, \( A^A(\tilde{x}; t) \) and \( A^B(\tilde{x}'|A^A(\tilde{x}); t) \), at two distinct time instants during evolution, namely at \( t_1 = 8 \)
and $t_2 = 25$. As it can be seen the images for both species, and especially the $A^A(x; t)$, exhibit a filamentized structure resembling this way the overall tendency observed in the one-body density in the one-body density evolution, see also Figs. 2 (c), (d). Moreover, let us comment that the spatial position of these images is in accordance with our previous discussion, regarding the spatial distribution of the particles of each species, based on the correlation functions [Fig. 5]. For instance, $A^B(x^B | A^A(x); t_2)$ shows a population of a right well filament [Fig. 4 (e)] which does not contradict the analysis obtained from the correlation function that a possible particle configuration is the $(A−A−A−B)$. Furthermore, we should emphasize that a direct correspondence between the one-body density and one single-shot image is not possible due to the small particle number of the considered FF mixture, $N_A = 3$ and $N_B = 1$, and the presence of multiple orbitals in the system. In particular, the MB state is a superposition of multiple orbitals [see Eqs. (2) and (3)] and thus imaging an atom alters the MB state of the remaining atoms and consequently the relevant one-body density. For a more elaborated discussion on this topic see [47, 52, 90].

To obtain the one-body density of the system we average over several single-shot images for each of the species, namely $A^A(x; t) = \frac{1}{N_{shots}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{shots}} A^A_k(x; t)$ and $A^B(x^B | A^A(x); t) = \frac{1}{N_{shots}} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{shots}} B^B_k(x^B | A^A(x); t)$, see also Eq. (A3) in Appendix A. In particular, Figs. 3 (b)-(c) and (f)-(g) present $A^A(x; t)$ and $A^B(x^B | A^A(x); t)$ at time instants $t = t_1$ and $t = t_2$ for an increasing number of single-shots $N_{shots}$. It becomes evident that upon increasing $N_{shots}$ the averaged images, $A^A(x; t)$ and $A^B(x^B | A^A(x); t)$, display progressively the actual profile of the one-body density $\rho^A(x)$ and $\rho^B(x)$ obtained within ML-MCTDHX [Figs. 4 (d) and (h)].

IV. INTERACTION QUENCH DYNAMICS OF A PARTICLE BALANCED MIXTURE

A. Ground state

To further elaborate on the interaction quench dynamics of FF mixtures trapped in a double-well potential we next examine particle balanced states. In particular, we study a FF mixture with $N_A = N_B = 2$ fermions and follow the same quench scenario as in the above Section III. To this end, we first obtain the ground state of the system described by the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1) with interspecies interaction $g_{AB} = 0.1$. The dynamics is subsequently induced by performing an interaction quench to the strongly interacting regime $g_{AB} = 4.0$. The double-well possesses a frequency $\omega = 0.1$, barrier height $V_0 = 2$ and width $w = 1$.

The corresponding single-particle density $\rho^A(x; t)$ of the $\sigma$-species ground state [see also Eq. (5)] of the FF mixture is shown in Figs. 5 (a) and (b) for different interspecies repulsions, $g_{AB}$, for both the MB and the HF approach. Note that since the FF mixture is particle balanced both equal mass species exhibit exactly the same one-body density, i.e. $\rho^A(x; t) = \rho^B(x; t)$, for both approaches. For weak interactions, $\rho^A(x; t)$ populate the two wells in a symmetric manner (with respect to reflections at $x = 0$), while $\rho^A(x; t)$ and $\rho^B(x; t)$ are miscible in both approaches, see Figs. 5 (a) and (b). Turning to the strong interspecies interaction regime we observe that a broadening of $\rho^A(x; t)$ occurs in the HF approximation as a result of the enhanced repulsion. In contrast within the MB approach $\rho^A(x; t)$ besides being broadened shows an intrawell fragmentation, indicated by the dashed rectangle in Fig. 5 (a). Let us also mention at this point that a symmetry breaking of $\rho^A(x; t)$ by means of the Stoner instability does not take place in the current setup, since both species, besides being mass balanced, contain the same number of particles $N_A = N_B$ and therefore exhibit exactly the same behavior. As a consequence phase separation between the species is not favored on the one-body level. To induce the nonequilibrium dynamics, in the following, we quench the interspecies repulsion from $g_{AB} = 0.1$ to $g_{AB} = 4.0$.

B. Evolution on the Single-Particle level

The spatially resolved quench dynamics of the FF mixture can be investigated via the $\sigma$-species one-body density $\rho^A(x; t)$, see Figs. 5 (a)-(d). Within the HF approximation the quenched one-body density evolution [Figs. 6 (a) and (b)] exhibits an overall breathing motion comprising both wells. This breathing motion of the fermionic cloud is, of course, characterized by an expansion and contraction of the symmetric density branches.
located in each well. Notice that during the contraction process these density branches collide on top of the barrier, i.e. at $x = 0$, giving rise to several interference patterns [see the dashed rectangles in Figs. 4 (a) and (b)]. These interference patterns become even more pronounced for stronger interactions (not shown here). Inspecting $\rho^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,t)$ with the MB approach, Figs. 4 (c) and (d), we observe that both species undergo a breathing mode comprising the double-well but most importantly an intrawell fragmentation of the fermionic cloud takes place within each well. In particular, for the $N_A = N_B = 2$ case two filaments appear in each well, see here the dashed rectangles in Figs. 4 (c) and (d). It is worth mentioning at this point that the existence of these filaments is a consequence of beyond HF correlations that built in the system. To conclude upon the dependence of the above-described MB dynamics on the number of fermions in particle balanced FF mixtures, Figs. 4 (e) and (f) present $\rho^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,t)$ for the same quench amplitude as before (i.e. from $g_{AB} = 0.1$ to $g_{AB} = 4.0$) but for a system containing $N_A = N_B = 5$ fermions. $\rho^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,t)$ possesses a broader distribution when compared to the $N_A = N_B = 2$ case and performs an overall breathing motion with the same frequency as in the case $N_A = N_B = 2$. Strikingly enough, the emergent intrawell fragmentation results in five distinct filaments [see the rectangles in Figs. 4 (e), (f)] of the $\sigma$-species fermionic cloud within each well. Therefore, we can infer that the number of filaments formed $N_f$ is proportional to the particle number $N_f = N_\sigma$. We note that we have checked this conclusion also for other particle numbers, e.g. $N_\sigma = 3, 6$ (results not shown here).

C. Correlation Properties

In order to explore the role of correlations in the above-discussed interaction quench dynamics of particle balanced FF mixtures we resort to the corresponding $g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,x';t)$ [Eq. (1)] and the $g^{(2)}_{\sigma\sigma}(x,x';t)$ [Eq. (1)] correlation functions, see Fig. 5. Since the considered FF mixture is particle balanced it holds that $g^{(1)}_{AB}(x,x';t) = g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,x';t)$ and $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x,x';t) = g^{(2)}_{\sigma\sigma}(x,x';t).$ To this end, below we discuss only $g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,x';t)$, $g^{(2)}_{\sigma\sigma}(x,x';t)$ and $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x,x';t)$ following an interaction quench of the $N_A = N_B = 2$ FF mixture from $g_{AB} = 0.1$ to $g_{AB} = 4.0$.

Inspecting $g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,x';t)$ we deduce that each filament is fully coherent with itself throughout the evolution, since $g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x,x' \approx x,t) \approx 1$ (with $x$ varying on the spatial scale of each filament) as shown in Figs. 6 (a1)-(a4). According to the coherence of two distinct filaments we discern between the cases of expansion [e.g. at $t_1 = 34$, $t_2 = 98$ in Figs. 6 (c), (d)] and contraction [e.g. at $t_3 = 56$, $t_4 = 73$ in Figs. 6 (c), (d)] of the fermionic cloud. Referring to contraction events, see Figs. 7 (a1) and (a2), we observe that two filaments residing in distinct wells are fully incoherent between each other [see e.g. $g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x = -5.5, x' = 2.5; t = 34) \approx 0$ in Fig. 7 (a1)]. However, two filaments located within the same well are partially coherent, see for instance $g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x = -5.5, x' = -2.5; t = 34) \approx 0.5$ in Fig. 7 (a1). In contrast, during the expansion of the cloud, see Figs. 7 (a3) - (a4), every two filaments independently of their location appear to be fully incoherent among each other [e.g. $g^{(1)}_{\sigma}(x = -7.0, x' = -2.0; t = 56) \approx 0.1$ in Fig. 7 (a3)]. Concluding we can infer that during the particle balanced FF quench dynamics Mott-like one-body correlations [53] emerge either for filaments located at distinct wells (contraction events of the fermionic cloud) or for all filaments formed (expansion events of the fermionic cloud).

To gain insight into the two-body character of the dynamics we first study the second order intraspecies correlation function $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x,x';t)$ depicted in Figs. 7 (b1)-(b4). Overall, strong anti-correlations occur within each well [e.g. $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x = -5.5, x' = -5.5; t = 34) \approx 0$ in Fig. 7 (b1)], whilst between the different wells a correlated behavior takes place [e.g. $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x = -5.5, x' = 2.5; t = 34) \approx 1.3$ in Fig. 7 (b1)] in the course of the
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To obtain a further understanding of the FF mixture dynamics, we finally inspect the interspecies two-body correlation function $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x, x'; t)$, see Figs. \textbf{c} in Appendix A and \textbf{d} in Appendix A. A correlation hole emerges on the diagonal elements of $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x, x'; t)$ [see e.g. $g_{AB}(x = -2.5, x' = -2.5; t = 50) \approx 0.1$ in Fig. \textbf{c} in Appendix A] indicating that fermions of different species cannot populate the same filament. Furthermore, two filaments within the same well are found to be strongly correlated [e.g. $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x = -7.5, x' = -2.5; t = 50) \approx 2.0$ in Fig. \textbf{c} in Appendix A] which means that it is likely to be occupied by fermions of $A$ and $B$-species. On the other hand, regarding filaments located at different wells it is more preferable for two fermions of different species to reside in symmetric (with respect to $x = 0$) filaments $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x = -2.5, x' = 2.5; t = 50) \approx 1.2$ in Fig. \textbf{c} in Appendix A] rather than non-symmetric ones $g^{(2)}_{AB}(x = -7.5, x' = 2.5; t = 50) \approx 0.8$ in Fig. \textbf{c} in Appendix A]. Combining the knowledge gained from the one- and two-body correlations we can conclude that the spatial distribution of the system in terms of the $A$- and $B$-species fermions in the four emerging filaments is either $A - B - A - B$ or $B - A - B - A$. The above is a few-body precursor of anti-ferromagnetic order.
and for both particle imbalanced and balanced mixtures. Comparing the dynamics within the HF approximation and the MB level enables us to infer about the crucial role of correlations on both the one- and two-body level in the course of the dynamics. In particular, we reveal a variety of interesting phenomena with MB origin such as phase separation processes, alteration of the Stoner instability and filamentation of the single-particle density.

Regarding the ground state of particle imbalanced species a symmetry breaking of the single-particle density occurs for strong interspecies interactions within the HF approximation being related to the Stoner’s instability that renders the two fermionic clouds immiscible. Alteration of this instability is observed at the MB level due to the existence of higher-order correlations rendering the two components miscible and leading to a prominent intrawell fragmentation of the one-density. To induce the dynamics we suddenly change the interspecies interaction from weak-to-strong values. It is found that within the HF approximation the $\sigma$-species single-particle density filamentizes, i.e. the initial Gaussian-like density profile breaks into several localized density branches called filaments while the two species exhibit a dynamical phase separation. In sharp contrast, when correlations are included the filamentation of the one-body density becomes more faint and the two species show a miscible behavior on the one-body level. To provide further insights into the MB character of the dynamics we utilize the one- and two-body correlation functions. On the one-body level Mott-like correlations between the filaments are revealed, indicating their tendency for localization. Most importantly, both the intra- and interspecies correlation functions show a correlation hole in their diagonal elements suggesting that two fermions of the same or different species can not populate the same filament. However, the occurrence of strong correlations between two distinct filaments indicates that two fermions of the same or different species can reside in distinct filaments. It is these observations that unveil the phase separated character of the MB dynamics on the two-body level while consisting a precursor of anti-ferromagnetic order.

Turning our attention to particle balanced FF mixtures and their relevant ground state properties, we are able to showcase that while intrawell fragmentation occurs at the MB level within the HF approach only a broadening is present. In this case the species remain miscible both for weak and strong interspecies interactions independently of the considered approach. Performing an interspecies interaction quench from weak-to-strong coupling we observe that in the HF approximation the two fermionic clouds remain miscible throughout the evolution. Furthermore, they undergo an overall breathing motion over the double-well while in the course of the contraction events of this motion prominent interference patterns appear. Within the MB approach the two species are miscible and perform an overall breathing mode. Most importantly and in sharp contrast to the HF approximation, the clouds exhibit an intrawell fragmentation (filamentation) visible in their single-particle density with the number of filaments formed being proportional to the number of fermions of each species. Inspecting the one-body correlation function in the course of the evolution we deduce that Mott-like one-body correlations appear either for filaments located at distinct wells (contraction events) or for all filaments (expansion events of the fermionic cloud). Referring to the two-body correlations we find that two fermions of the same or different species exhibit an anti-correlated behavior in a single filament while they are strongly correlated when residing in distinct filaments with the non-symmetric ones (with respect to the center) being more favorable. The above indicate that the two species phase separate suggesting the formation of anti-ferromagnetic like order in the few-body system.

Finally, we provide possible experimental realizations for both the particle imbalanced as well as the particle balanced cases by simulating single-shot measurements. In particular, we show how an averaging process of the obtained in-situ images can be used to adequately retrieve the MB fermionic quench dynamics.

There are several promising research directions that are of interest for future investigations along the lines of the current effort. An imperative prospect is to simulate the corresponding radiofrequency spectrum in the case of particle imbalanced FF mixtures in order to reveal possibly emerging polaronic states and subsequently examine their properties. Another straightforward direction in particle imbalanced setups would be to consider a larger particle number for the minority species, e.g. $N_A = 5$, $N_B = 3$, and unveil whether phase separation processes and magnetization effects occur in such systems. Certainly the study of the interspecies interaction quench dynamics of mass imbalanced FF mixtures in order to induce a dynamical phase separation of the two species and showcase the role of correlations is an intriguing perspective.

Appendix A: The Single-Shot Algorithm

The numerical simulation of the single-shot procedure relies on a sampling of the MB probability distribution [47, 57, 89, 90]. We remark that the implementation of this experimental measurement process has already been reported for single-component bosons and fermions [19, 33, 57, 89, 90] as well as for binary bosonic and fermionic mixtures [47]. Below we provide a brief sketch of the corresponding numerical procedure but for more details we refer the interested reader to [19, 33, 57, 89, 90].

The single-shots for binary mixtures depend strongly on the system specific inter- and intraspecies correlations [47]. For a MB system the presence of entanglement [see Eq. (2)] between the species is very important for the image ordering. In the following, we analyze the corresponding numerical process when the imaging is performed first on the $A$ and then to the $B$ species providing this way the absorption images $A^3(\tilde{z})$ and
$A^B(\vec{x}'|A^A(\vec{x}))$. An important remark here is that in order to image first the $B$ and then the $A$ species we need to follow the same procedure, obtaining the corresponding images $A^B(\vec{x})$ and $A^A(\vec{x}')$. 

To achieve the imaging of the $A$ and subsequently of the $B$ species we sequentially annihilate all $A$-species fermions. In particular at a certain time instant of the imaging, for instance $t_{im}$, a random position is drawn satisfying $\rho^{(1)}_{N_{A}}(x'_1) > q_1$ with $q_1$ being a random number belonging to the interval $[0, \max\{\rho^{(1)}_{N_{A}}(x'; t_{im})\}]$. To proceed, the $(N_{A} + N_{B})$-body wavefunction is projected onto the $(N_{A} - 1 + N_{B})$-body one by the virtue of the projection operator $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{B}}}(\Psi_{A}(x') \otimes I_{B})$. Here $\Psi_{A}(x'_1)$ denotes the fermionic field operator annihilating an $A$ species fermion at position $x'_1$, while $N$ is the normalization constant. As it can be easily deduced, this process directly affects the Schmidt weights, $\lambda_i$. In this way both $\rho^{(1)}_{N_{A} - 1}(t_{im})$ and $\rho^{(1)}_{N_{B}}(t_{im})$ are changed. Recall that the $B$ species have not been imaged yet. Indeed, the Schmidt decomposition of the MB wavefunction after this first measurement reads

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}^{N_{B} - 1, N_{A} - 1}_{MB}(t_{im}) \rangle = \sum_{i} \tilde{\lambda}_{i,N_{A}-1}(t_{im}) \langle \tilde{\psi}^{A}_{i,N_{A}-1}(t_{im}) | \psi^{B}_{i}(t_{im}) \rangle.$$

The $N_{A} - 1$ species wavefunction is $|\tilde{\psi}^{A}_{i,N_{A}-1}\rangle = \sqrt{\lambda_{i}} \Psi_{A}(x'_1) |\psi^{A}_{i}\rangle$, and the normalization factor $\lambda_{i} = \sqrt{\langle \Psi^{A}|\tilde{\psi}^{A}_{i}(x'_1) \tilde{\psi}^{A}_{i}(x'_1)|\psi^{A}\rangle}$. Also the Schmidt coefficients of the $(N_{A} - 1 + N_{B})$-body wavefunction read $\lambda_{i,N_{A}-1} = \lambda_{i}/\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} N_{i}^2$. To complete the imaging process we repeat the above steps $N_{A} - 1$ times and then obtain the distribution of positions $(x'_1, x'_2,...,x'_{N_{A}-1})$. The latter is subsequently convoluted with a point spread function resulting in the single-shot image of the $A$-species $A^A(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{\pi} w_{PSF}}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{A}} e^{-\frac{(i-\tilde{x})^2}{2(w_{PSF})^2}}$. In this expression $\tilde{x}$ denote the spatial coordinates within the image and $w_{PSF}$ is the width of the employed point spread function.

The MB wavefunction after annihilating all $N_{A}$ fermions reads

$$\langle \tilde{\Psi}^{0,N_{B}}_{MB}(t_{im}) \rangle = \langle 0^{A} \otimes \sum_{i} \tilde{\lambda}_{i,1}(t_{im}) (x'_1 | \tilde{\psi}^{A}_{i,1}(t_{im}) |^{2} \rangle \langle \tilde{\psi}^{B}_{i}(t_{im}) | \psi^{B}_{i} \rangle.$$

In this expression $(x'_1 | \tilde{\psi}^{A}_{i,1}(t_{im}) |^{2} \rangle \langle \tilde{\psi}^{B}_{i}(t_{im}) | \psi^{B}_{i} \rangle$ is the single-particle orbital of the $j$-th mode, while the $B$-species wavefunction, i.e. $|\tilde{\Psi}^{N_{B}}_{MB}(t_{im}) \rangle$, is the second term in the cross product of the right-hand side. As it can be seen, $|\tilde{\Psi}^{N_{B}}_{MB}(t_{im}) \rangle$ refers to a non-entangled $N_{B}$-particle wavefunction. Therefore the subsequent single-shot procedure of the $B$ species is the same as for a single-species ensemble [87, 93, 90]. This procedure has been extensively tested for different single-component setups, see for more details [87, 89, 90] and references therein. Therefore we only brief discuss it below. Referring to $t = t_{im}$ i.e. the imaging time, we compute $\rho^{(1)}_{N_{B}}(x'; t_{im})$ from $|\tilde{\Psi}^{N_{B}}_{MB}(t_{im}) \rangle \equiv |\tilde{\Psi}(t_{im}) \rangle$ and a random position $x''_1$ is drawn obeying $\rho^{(1)}_{N_{B}}(x''_1|t_{im}) > q_2$, where $q_2$ is a random number in the interval $[0, \rho^{(1)}_{N_{B}}(x'; t_{im})]$. Consequently, one particle is annihilated at $x''_1$ and we calculate $\rho^{(1)}_{N_{B}-1}(x'; t_{im})$ from $|\tilde{\Psi}^{N_{B}-1}_{MB}(t_{im}) \rangle$. Then, a new random position $x''_2$ is drawn from $\rho^{(1)}_{N_{B}-1}(x'; t_{im})$. Repeating the above procedure $N_{B} - 1$ times we obtain the distribution of positions $(x''_1, x''_2,...,x''_{N_{B}-1})$. This distribution is finally convoluted with a point spread function providing a single-shot image $A^B(\vec{x}'|A^A(\vec{x}))$.

Finally, it can be shown that the average image of the $\sigma$ species, i.e. $A^\sigma(\vec{x})$, over several $(N_{shots})$ single-shot images $[A^\sigma(\vec{x})]$ is directly related to the $\sigma$ species one-body density, $\rho^\sigma(x')$, since

$$\tilde{A}^\sigma(\vec{x}) = \frac{N_{\sigma}}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{\pi} w_{PSF}}^{2}} \int dx' e^{-\frac{(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')^2}{2(w_{PSF})^2}} \rho^\sigma(x'). \ (A3)$$

Here, $\vec{x}$ denote the spatial coordinates within the image and $x'$ is the spatial coordinate of the $\sigma$ species. Also $w_{PSF}$ is the width of the employed point spread function and $N_{\sigma}$ refers to the particle number of the $\sigma$ species.

Appendix B: Convergence and Further Details of the Many-Body Simulations

Let us briefly discuss the ingredients of our MB simulations and showcase their numerical convergence. As it has been already argued in Sec. 113 ML-MCTDHF [54] is a variational method for solving the time-dependent MB Schrödinger equation for atomic mixtures consisting either of bosonic [47, 58, 92] or fermionic [19, 29, 33, 93] species. Within this approach, the MB wavefunction is expanded in terms of a time-dependent variationally optimized MB basis. Such a treatment, allows us to take into account the relevant intra- and interspecies correlation effects utilizing a computationally feasible basis size. In this way, the number of basis states can be significantly reduced as compared to methods which rely on a time-independent basis. The latter is achieved by choosing the relevant subspace of the Hilbert space at each time instant of the evolution in a more efficient manner.

The Hilbert space truncation refers to the employed numerical configuration space designated by $C = D - (m^A, m^B)$. In this notation $D = D^A = D^B$ and $m^A, m^B$ correspond to the number of species and single-particle functions respectively for each of the species [see also Eqs. (2) and (3)]. For our simulations we invoke a primitive basis based on a sine discrete variable representation including 400 grid points. To conclude upon the convergence of our MB simulations we assure that variations of the numerical configuration space $C = D - (m^A, m^B)$
do not essentially affect the observables of interest. Note that all MB calculations presented in the main text are based on the numerical configuration space \( C = 6 - (6,6) \) for \( N_A = 3, N_B = 1 \), on the \( C = 10 - (10;10) \) in the case of \( N_A = 5, N_B = 1 \) and \( N_A = N_B = 5 \) and on the \( C = 10 - (8,8) \) when \( N_A = N_B = 2 \). Therefore, the available Hilbert space for the corresponding simulation includes 4992 (10720) and 13140 (7060) coefficients for the \( N_A = 3, N_B = 1 \) (\( N_A = 5, N_B = 1 \) and the \( N_A = N_B = 5 \) (\( N_A = N_B = 2 \) cases respectively. This is in sharp contrast to an exact diagonalization procedure which should take into account \( 4.2 \times 10^9 \) (3.3 \( 10^{13} \)) and \( 6.9 \times 10^{24} \) (6.3 \( 10^{24} \)) coefficients for the \( N_A = 3, N_B = 1 \) (\( N_A = 5, N_B = 1 \) and the \( N_A = N_B = 5 \) (\( N_A = N_B = 2 \) cases respectively, rendering these simulations infeasible.

Finally, let us briefly showcase the convergence of our results for a varying number of species and single-particle functions. For this investigation we resort to the \( \sigma \)-species one-body density, \( \rho^{(1)}_{\sigma C}(x; t) \), during the nonequilibrium dynamics and calculate its spatially integrated absolute deviation for each of the species between the \( C^\prime = 10 - (8,8) \) and other numerical configurations \( C = D - (m^A, m^B) \). Namely

\[
\Delta \rho^{(1)}_{\sigma C, C^\prime}(t) = \frac{1}{2N_\sigma} \int dx |\rho^{(1)}_C(x; t) - \rho^{(1)}_{C^\prime}(x; t)| \quad (B1)
\]

Figure 9 presents \( \Delta \rho^{(1)}_{A,C,C^\prime}(t) \) for a FF mixture with \( N_A = N_B = 2 \) fermions following an interspecies interaction quench from \( g_{AB} = 0.1 \) to \( g_{AB} = 4.0 \). We remark, that \( \Delta \rho^{(1)}_{B,C,C^\prime}(t) = \Delta \rho^{(1)}_{A,C,C^\prime}(t) \) at all times and for all configurations due to the particle balanced mixture. Therefore the results of the \( A \)-species are representative for both species. Inspecting Fig. 9, it becomes evident that a systematic convergence of \( \Delta \rho^{(1)}_{\sigma C, C^\prime}(t) \) can be achieved. More specifically, comparing \( \Delta \rho^{(1)}_{A,C,C^\prime}(t) \) between the \( C = 8 - (8,8) \) and \( C^\prime = 10 - (8,8) \) approximations we observe that the corresponding relative difference is below 0.15% throughout the evolution. Finally, we remark that a similar analysis has been performed for all other particle configurations, i.e., particle number imbalanced systems as well as higher particle numbers, discussed within the main text and found to be adequately converged (not shown here for brevity).
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