GALAPAGOS: from pixels to parameters
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ABSTRACT

To automate source detection, two-dimensional light profile Sérsic modelling and catalogue compilation in large survey applications, we introduce a new code Galaxy Analysis over Large Areas: Parameter Assessment by GALAPering Objects from SExtractor (GALAPAGOS). Based on a single set-up, GALAPAGOS can process a complete set of survey images. It detects sources in the data, estimates a local sky background, cuts postage stamp images for all sources, prepares object masks, performs Sérsic fitting including neighbours and compiles all objects in a final output catalogue. For the initial source detection, GALAPAGOS applies SExtractor, while GALFIT is incorporated for modelling Sérsic profiles. It measures the background sky involved in the Sérsic fitting by means of a flux growth curve. GALAPAGOS determines postage stamp sizes based on SExtractor shape parameters. In order to obtain precise model parameters, GALAPAGOS incorporates a complex sorting mechanism and makes use of modern CPU’s multiprocessing capabilities. It combines SExtractor and GALFIT data in a single output table. When incorporating information from overlapping tiles, GALAPAGOS automatically removes multiple entries from identical sources. GALAPAGOS is programmed in the Interactive Data Language (IDL). We test the stability and the ability to properly recover structural parameters extensively with artificial image simulations. Moreover, we apply GALAPAGOS successfully to the STAGES data set. For one-orbit Hubble Space Telescope data, a single 2.2-GHz CPU processes about 1000 primary sources per 24 h. Note that GALAPAGOS results depend critically on the user-defined parameter set-up. This paper provides useful guidelines to help the user make sensible choices.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Imaging surveys provide a general tool to access the average properties of galaxy populations. A survey data set usually consists of an arrangement of primary images in one or several filters. These data are often accompanied by various supplementary data. Examples for such surveys are COMBO-17 (Wolf et al. 2004), DEEP1/DEEP2 (Vogt et al. 2005), GOODS (Giavalisco et al. 2004), COSMOS (Scoville et al. 2007) or the Hubble Ultra-Deep Field (Beckwith et al. 2006).

Common to all imaging surveys are the specific reduction methods involved in the data analysis. After reducing the imaging data, which normally consist of a mosaic of many potentially (partly) overlapping tiles, scientific sources are detected and compiled in a source catalogue. Depending on the scientific goals, more sophisticated methods are then applied to analyse the morphology of the sources, i.e. quantify the structure of their light profiles. Finally, the resulting additional structural parameters are added to the source catalogue. Somewhere in this process, the source catalogue might (optionally) get cleaned from duplicate source entries or other artefacts.

For the main task, source detection and extraction, the code SExtractor by Bertin & Arnouts (1996) has been widely used in astronomy. Based on a simple set-up script, SExtractor detects sources, estimates a background sky level, measures primary shape information, like position, position angle and axis ratio, and even performs aperture photometry. A key feature is the ability to properly deblend close companion sources, while at the same time avoid breaking single large sources up into several pieces. Other features
include a neural network to separate stars and galaxies or the option to associate the detected objects with a given list of input positions. SExtractor is designed with minimum user interaction, support for large images and high execution speeds in mind.

In order to analyse galaxy light profiles quantitatively, many codes have been developed. The ones that are most widely used employ a two-dimensional fitting method to model ellipsoidal radial profiles, and include convolution with a point spread function (PSF).

One of these codes is GIM2d, which was first employed as part of an IRAF pipeline to analyse survey imaging data (Simard et al. 2002). Based on a Metropolis algorithm to find the minimum in χ-space, GIM2d mainly uses the Sérsic profile (Sersic 1968), which is a general expression that includes both the de Vaucouleurs and exponential forms (see Section 3.5 and equation 1). The minimization method performs a global parameter space search. As a result, GIM2d is robust; however, it requires large amounts of CPU time compared to other codes (e.g. Häussler et al. 2007).

Another application for modelling light profiles is BUDDA (de Souza, Gadotti & dos Anjos 2004). BUDDA was initially developed to perform bulge/disc decomposition. However, it has recently been updated to include also bar and central point source modelling. Moreover, it now also features a double exponential profile for discs.

Finally, a rather versatile and effective method was presented by Peng et al. (2002, 2010): GALFIT. Like the aforementioned programmes, it is a two-dimensional fitting code to extract structural components from galaxy images. It is designed to model galaxies in as flexible a manner as possible, by allowing the user to fit any number of components and functional forms. GALFIT therefore allows for the possibility not only to fit simple situations, but also for fitting more complicated set-ups including bulge, disc, bar, halo, etc. This freedom has the major advantage that not only may the object of prime interest be fitted, but so may the neighbouring sources – at the same time, as some situation may demand. Various light profile models are built into the code, including the ‘Nuker’ law (Lauer et al. 1995), the Sérsic profile (Sersic 1968), an exponential disc, Gaussian or Moffat functions and even a pure PSF for modelling stars. GALFIT convolves all model profiles, except for the PSF itself by the PSF to simulate image smearing by Earth’s atmosphere and telescope optics.

Although a scientist has a multitude of options to choose from for fitting and detecting objects, analysing a complete survey to the end of obtaining a source catalogue with galaxy parameters requires many intermediate steps. For example, duplicate sources from tile overlaps have to be differentiated; the detection and fitting codes have to be set up; a proper local background sky value has to be estimated; resulting source parameters have to be compiled in a catalogue. As these steps are fairly general, we have built a code that simplifies all these steps and largely automates the entire process. Our code, GALAPAGOS, performs all the required steps from a single set-up and with minimal manual interaction provides a fitting catalogue. It runs SExtractor to detect sources and performs an automated Sérsic fit using GALFIT. Amongst the various codes introduced above, we opted to use GALFIT because it outperforms GIM2d both in speed and reliability (Häussler et al. 2007) and allows a much wider range of light profile models than BUDDA. Upcoming versions will include additional features like automated component fitting. The code is available freely for public download from our website at http://astro-staff.uibk.ac.at/~m.barden/galapagos/.

The layout of the paper is as follows. We start by giving an overview of the structure of the code (Section 2). Then we elaborate on the methods involved in the individual components (Section 3). Next, we present some fitting results based on simulated data and provide details concerning the reliability of the code (Section 4). Subsequently, we give estimates on the performance of GALAPAGOS (Section 5), followed by a summary (Section 6). Upon first reading this paper, we suggest to skip Section 3, which addresses mainly the frequent GALAPAGOS user. In the course of the paper, we assume a working knowledge of SExtractor and GALFIT and refer the reader to the publications by Bertin & Arnouts (1996) and Peng et al. (2002).

2 OVERVIEW OF CODE STRUCTURE

GALAPAGOS is divided into four main blocks, each of which is executable independently from the others. This allows flexibility of repeating or optimizing certain segments of the analysis without rerunning the entire pipeline. These blocks are

(i) detect sources by running SExtractor (B),
(ii) cut out postage stamps for all detected objects (C),
(iii) estimate sky background, prepare and run GALFIT (D),
(iv) compile catalogue of all galaxies (F).

Note that letters in brackets correspond to the respective sections in the GALAPAGOS set-up file (see Section A1). We visualize this structure in Fig. 1.

Also note that GALAPAGOS does not create the PSF image, which is required by GALFIT in the fitting process. The user is responsible for providing such an image. A proper PSF should have a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio, i.e. better than the brightest objects in the survey, in order not to degrade the science data. Furthermore, it should contain all features of the PSF down to the noise and it should not be truncated at the edges. Also, it has to be background subtracted and normalized to a total flux of 1.

2.1 Source detection

In the first block (B), SExtractor is run to detect sources on the individual survey images. Optionally, GALAPAGOS features a high dynamic range (HDR) mode for source extraction (Section 3.1), which is ideally suited for wide-area and/or space-based, e.g. Hubble Space Telescope (HST), data. After a first pass, the user may refine this catalogue by identifying ‘bad’ detections followed by re-running SExtractor. This may be required to fix overly deblended sources or to remove spurious detections (see Section 3.6.3). Once all tiles are analysed, GALAPAGOS combines the individual output catalogues, rejecting duplicate sources (see Section 3.1) and optionally bad detections like cosmic rays, etc. (see Section 3.6).

2.2 Postage stamp cutting

To reduce the amount of time needed to ingest an image into GALFIT, it is worthwhile to first extract each galaxy from the survey mosaic. Therefore, in the second block (C), GALAPAGOS estimates a size for each object based on its SExtractor parameters. With this information, it computes the extent of a postage stamp. From the original survey images, GALAPAGOS then creates such a cut-out for every object. It performs the subsequent fitting with GALFIT on these postage stamps (see Section 3.3). At this stage, GALAPAGOS creates for every survey image a ‘sky map’ containing information about the nature of the pixel flux (either ‘no flux’, ‘sky’ or ‘source’). It uses this map later on to identify blank sky pixels (see Section 3.4).
2.3 Sky estimation and fitting

The third block (D) performs the major fitting work. For every object in the source catalogue, it prepares and runs Galfit (see Section 3.5). Accurate fitting analysis by Galfit requires careful consideration, which includes identifying the proper sky background, identifying neighbours and providing initial parameter guesses to start the fitting.

Galapagos measures the sky using a flux growth curve including pixel rejection based on the ‘sky map’, which was calculated in the previous step (see Section 3.4). It uses the full survey image and not the small postage stamp to compute the sky. Note that even though Galfit can fit the sky, Galapagos does not use this option to avoid instances when neighbouring contamination makes accurate determination infeasible, and to reduce the degree of freedom in the fit. We provide further justification for and details on this approach in Sections 3.3 and 3.4.

2.4 Catalogue creation

In the last block (F), Galapagos reads the results of the fitting from the headers of the Galfit output images and puts them into the source catalogue (see Section 3.2). Here, it removes a second set of ‘bad’ detections from the catalogue, namely those that were required in the fitting process to allow optimal results for neighbouring objects. Usually, these are bright artefacts in close proximity to relatively
3 COMPONENTS

Subsequently, we describe in detail the methods involved in the individual components of GALAPAGOS. These include SExtractor and HDR source extraction (Section 3.1), compiling a combined source catalogue (Section 3.2), the cutting of postage stamps (Section 3.3), estimating a background sky level robustly (Section 3.4) and fitting with GALFIT (Section 3.5). In the last part of this section, we introduce some technical mechanisms to optimize the code for robustness and speed (Section 3.6).

3.1 SExtractor

GALAPAGOS incorporates SExtractor to detect astronomical sources on individual survey tiles. Details on how to operate SExtractor can be found in Bertin & Arnouts (1996). SExtractor uses the Kron radius to estimate the extent of a galaxy (Kron 1980; Infante 1987). For both stars and galaxies, when convolved with a Gaussian seeing, it encircles 90 per cent of their flux. GALAPAGOS applies the Kron radius, e.g., to estimate sizes of postage stamps or to judge which pixels in an image are affected by light from sources.

SExtractor has been used successfully with both ground- and space-based data. Yet, recent large CCD arrays put the code to its limits due to the wide range of object sizes and luminosities that are being observed simultaneously. In classic pencil beam surveys, the objects of interest are mostly faint and small. SExtractor is then fine-tuned to pick up such sources properly at the cost of splitting up the occasional big bright spiral galaxy into many pieces. On the other hand, wide-area surveys traditionally do not reach very deep. When fine-tuning SExtractor for these surveys, emphasis is put on correctly deblending the larger and brighter objects, while losing some depth. In both applications, one reaches the dynamic range limit (in terms of object size and brightness) and has to make a compromise of depth and proper deblending.

3.1.1 HDR SExtraction

Fortunately, there is a rather simple two-step approach using SExtractor to overcome this problem. First, one runs SExtractor in the so-called ‘cold’ mode in which only the brightest sources are picked up and properly deblended. As this will miss many faint sources, in a second set-up, emphasis is put on depth. We term the second run as ‘hot’ mode.

Then one needs to combine the ‘hot’ and the ‘cold’ runs. First, all ‘cold’ sources are imported into the output catalogue. Then the Kron ellipses as provided by SExtractor of ‘hot’ and ‘cold’ sources are analysed. Every source position in the ‘hot’ catalogue is checked whether it falls inside a Kron ellipse of a ‘cold’ source. If it lies inside a Kron ellipse, it is discarded and does not enter the output catalogue; if its central position lies ‘sufficiently’ outside of all ‘cold’ Kron ellipses, it does enter the output catalogue. ‘Sufficiently’ here refers to the possibility in GALAPAGOS to artificially enlarge the Kron ellipses slightly for this purpose; parameter B09 provides a scaling factor. Setting B09 to, e.g., 1.1 results in enlarging each Kron ellipse by 10 per cent.

In summary, it is important that the ‘cold’ run properly deblends all brighter objects, while the ‘hot’ run is tuned to pick up fainter sources. We term this mode ‘HDR SExtraction’.

To illustrate the process of including hot sources outside the Kron radius of cold sources into a combined catalogue, see Fig. 2. In the upper left-hand panel, we show a ‘cold’ run. The big central spiral galaxy is deblended correctly with the fainter galaxy below it. Also, the clumpy low surface brightness spiral in the upper left corner is detected as a single source. All three sources are taken over into the combined catalogue. Requiring a proper deblending of the bright objects results in missing the faintest sources, though. The ‘hot’ run (upper right-hand panel) picks those up. However, it breaks the brighter galaxies up into many sources. In the example, an off-centre knot of the upper left galaxy was detected as a separate object. Moreover, the outer regions of the central (and upper left) galaxy are assigned separate source IDs. These ‘spurious’ detections change the effective size of the central galaxy (compare diameters of the Kron ellipses in the left and right figures). Interestingly, the relatively bright galaxy below the central object is not deblended properly in the hot run. Furthermore, the size and position angle of the upper left detection demonstrate the lower detection threshold of the hot set-up. In the hot set-up, a larger fraction of the low surface brightness flux is included in the calculation of the position angle, thus providing a much better estimate than the cold set-up, which is more heavily weighted towards the inner regions of the sources. Yet, the values from the cold run enter the combined catalogue as deblending is the more important source of error. Also, GALFIT calculates structural parameters like the position angle much more reliably. The lower panels in Fig. 2 show another example. Again, the deblending in the hot run is bad, while in the cold run it is correct. The faintest sources are only detected in the hot run. Bad deblending in the hot run strongly affects the calculation of the position angle of the brightest source, while in the cold run it is acceptable.

We developed and tested this method for the GEMS survey (Rix et al. 2004; Caldwell et al. 2008; for tests see Häussler et al. 2007). Subsequently, other major surveys have adopted it as well, including COSMOS (Koekemoer et al. 2007; Leauthaud et al. 2007) and STAGES (Gray et al. 2009). GALAPAGOS provides the option for running SExtractor in two-stage HDR or normal single-stage SExtractor configuration.

3.2 Catalogue compilation

Compiling the output source catalogue is a two-stage process. GALAPAGOS creates a first combined catalogue from the SExtractor output tables. In the subsequent model fitting process, GALAPAGOS fills this catalogue with the GALFIT output parameters.

When putting together catalogues from potentially overlapping images, GALAPAGOS has to take care of removing detections of the same source on multiple images. To this end, it uses the world coordinate system of the images to translate pixel coordinates from one to another image. Next, GALAPAGOS calculates the distance to the image border for each source (not only those in the overlap area) in the corresponding image catalogues. The area containing flux (pixels with non-zero values) defines the image border. This is crucial in particular for non-rectangular images (e.g. from HST). Now, GALAPAGOS sorts the two catalogues by border distance. It starts with the source farthest from the edge, which we assume to be on image A (source 1a; see Fig. 3). Then it checks whether there are sources inside the Kron ellipse of the current object in the neighbouring image B (sources 1b, 2b and 3). If it finds any such targets, GALAPAGOS removes them from the list. Note that GALAPAGOS does not remove objects overlapping with the source from image A from the list (sources 2a and 4). Following this scheme,
it works through the complete list, from the farthest to the closest objects to the boundary, and constantly updates the list in the process.

A problem arises for sources, say in image A (source 1a), extending over a radius larger than the size of the overlap area and having overlapping detections on image B, which are not covered by image A (source 3 in Fig. 3). Or put differently, if source 1 is, e.g., deblended differently in image A than in image B, sources might get lost in the combination process. In such a case, GALAPAGOS includes the main source from image A (source 1a) in the catalogue and all overlapping sources from image A (sources 2a and 4). It removes overlapping sources from image B, though (sources 2b and 3). However, in cases where source 1 was overdeblended in image B, but not in image A, this would result in a welcome clean-up of the catalogue by removing the spurious source 3. Although this problem cannot be unambiguously solved, in practice it rarely occurs. It can be avoided completely if the largest source in the survey is smaller than the overlap between survey images.

Fig. 4 shows an example for this procedure to remove duplicate detections. The bright galaxy (a) is just on the edge of the red image. As only half its flux is visible on that image, the calculated centre is far off from the real position. The blue image fully contains this galaxy. The two central positions (in red and blue) being so different, a normal nearest neighbour matching algorithm with a maximum matching radius would not have been able to identify the two detections as the same source. In the proposed scheme, though, the red detection is not put into the combined catalogue, for being inside the Kron ellipse of a source that is further from the image edge in the blue image. Similarly, the red source (b) is further from the image edge than the blue source and, thus, we reject the blue object. Objects without counterpart in the other image, as in (c), are kept in the combined catalogue.

As GALAPAGOS performs duplicate removal before running Galfit, it does not fit sources twice. Note that for fitting sources at the edge of an image, GALAPAGOS takes objects on neighbouring survey images into account as well (see Section 3.6).
3.3 Postage stamps

To optimize galaxy fitting with \textsc{GALFIT}, \textsc{GALAPAGOS} cuts the science images into smaller sections centred on individual sources. The advantage of using such postage stamps is that the total fitting time and the demand on main memory can be reduced. Even rather deep optical surveys contain large fractions of empty sky, which can mostly be excluded from the fit once the information from the sky pixels is effectively used to estimate the background (see Section 3.4; even masking cannot totally diminish this advantage). In a typical one-orbit \textit{HST} survey, around a factor of 2 in the total number of pixels can be saved. Moreover, although \textsc{GALFIT} allows simultaneous fitting of multiple sources, modelling more than a handful of objects at the same time quickly becomes rather impractical. Thus, to optimize automated fitting of large numbers of sources, \textsc{GALAPAGOS} incorporates a postage stamp cutting facility.

To determine the size of the postage stamps, \textsc{GALAPAGOS} uses the Kron radius. The user specifies a scale factor $C_03$ by which the Kron radius is enlarged. The decision for this scaling should be guided by trying to find a compromise between maximal area, to include as much flux of the central source (and maybe the closest neighbours) as possible, and minimal area, to speed up computation time of \textsc{GALFIT}. Finding a good compromise is important as elliptical galaxies require a larger area than spiral galaxies, owing to their extended and slowly dimming, low surface brightness wings. For the one-orbit \textit{HST} surveys GEMS and STAGES, we found a factor of 2.5 to work well. \textsc{GALAPAGOS} does not enlarge the size of the postage stamps in the presence of close neighbouring galaxies. However, they are properly taken into account in the fitting process (see Section 3.5).

We note that a disadvantage of using postage stamps for fitting \textit{with the background sky as a free fit parameter} (which we discourage the user from in the context of \textsc{GALAPAGOS}) is that the fit results will be biased if the postage stamp does not contain enough empty sky pixels. In such a case, the $\chi^2$ of the fit might indicate a good fit, yet the result would be flawed by attributing too much or too little flux to the object. This could potentially also have a strong impact on other structural parameters. Therefore, \textsc{GALAPAGOS} does not allow a free fit of the sky background within \textsc{GALFIT}, but estimates a value before the fitting. We give details on the background estimation in the following section.

3.4 Sky estimation

Obtaining a precise sky level is the most critical systematic in galaxy surface brightness profile fitting (see e.g. de Jong 1996; Häussler et al. 2007). To obtain a precise background measurement, \textsc{GALFIT} is capable of including the sky as a free parameter when fitting a celestial source. However, using the sky as a free parameter requires an appropriate size of the input image, i.e. it has to contain \textit{all} the flux of the primary source and most of the flux of neighbouring sources that are to be fitted simultaneously and ample sky. For estimating a proper sky background, the image should be as large as possible. However, as detailed above, large postage stamps become impractical once too many neighbouring sources are included. Only

\textbf{Figure 3.} Combining SExtractor catalogues from neighbouring tiles. Tile A contains sources 1a, 2a and 4, while objects 1b, 2b and 3 were detected on tile B. Ellipses show the corresponding sizes from SExtractor. For a description of what source ends up in the resulting table, see Section 3.2.

\textbf{Figure 4.} Combining SExtractor catalogues from neighbouring tiles. The left image (blue area) extends out to the right (blue) diagonal line; the right image (red area) extends out to the left (red) line. Shaded areas outside of the lines corresponding to the respective image did not receive sky flux. Pluses (blue) indicate source detections (hot and cold already combined) from the left (blue) image; crosses (red) mark detections from the right (red) image. Diamonds (blue) highlight objects that are contained in the combined catalogue if they originated from the left (blue) image; boxes (red) highlight those that were taken from the right (red) image. Catalogue combination is based on the SExtractor ellipses (see Section 3.2). Such an ellipse is shown in case (a). The source from the right image (red) is rejected as it lies inside an ellipse of a detection in the left image (blue), which is further away from the respective image boundary (blue and red lines). For the same reason, in case (b) the red source is kept. The detection in case (c) does not even have a counterpart in the other catalogue.
a manual set-up may allow using the sky as a free model parameter. To enable automated processing of large numbers of objects, \textsc{GALAPAGOS} incorporates its own subroutine to obtain an optimal sky measurement before running \textsc{GALFIT} and hence uses a fixed value during fitting. With the proper set-up, the resulting \textsc{GALAPAGOS} estimate improves significantly over values obtained from \textsc{SExtractor}.

We use a flux growth method to estimate the local sky around an object. Calculating the average flux in elliptical annuli centred on the object of interest while excluding sources or image defects, we obtain the background flux as a function of radius. Once the slope over the last few measurements levels off, \textsc{GALAPAGOS} stops and determines the sky from those last few annuli (see Fig. 5).

For this procedure to work, we create a ‘sky map’, i.e. a copy of the input images where the pixel values indicate the nature of the contained flux. In the sky map, a pixel value of 0 stands for blank background sky, while positive numbers indicate the presence of a source. A value of $-1$ indicates no flux at all, as happens with \textit{HST} images that are geometrically distorted (see Fig. 6). One might think that to make the decision between source and sky, the \textsc{SExtractor} segmentation map (for a definition, see Bertin & Arnouts 1996) might suffice. Unfortunately, the level out to which \textsc{SExtractor} detects objects is rather limited. In particular with elliptical galaxies, \textsc{SExtractor} underestimates the flux belonging to the object significantly. Changing the \textsc{SExtractor} set-up parameters cannot totally remedy this. Therefore, a significant number of pixels still containing some source flux would be assigned as ‘sky’. To circumvent this problem, we instead use Kron ellipses to determine the extent of an object. \textsc{GALAPAGOS} regards any pixel inside $D_0^3 \times R_{Kron} + D_0^5$ as containing source flux (for STAGES: $D_0^3 = 3$; $D_0^5 = 20$ pixel). Note that this scaling factor $D_0^3$ does not have to coincide with the scale for the size of the postage stamps $C_0^3$. Note also that \textsc{GALAPAGOS} records the total number of objects that might contribute to a certain pixel, i.e. when, e.g., two sources overlap, the value in the intersection of the two Kron ellipses is also 2. A weight map

![Figure 5](image1.png)

**Figure 5.** Sky estimation. Left: the average flux $f$ measured in elliptical annuli (blue) centred on an object (here $a$) determines the background level. In each annulus, we exclude regions surrounding other sources from the calculation (shaded area). For the indicated annulus, we exclude dark blue shaded regions $b$ – only light blue shaded regions $c$ define the average background flux. Right: flux $f$ measured in an elliptical annulus as a function of radius $r$. $a$: starting radius. $b$: slope (indicated by the diagonal lines) turns positive for the first time, e.g. due to galactic structure at large radii. $c$: slope turns positive for the second time.

Here, we stop the iteration. $d$: we compute slope measurements from the last $n$ sky estimates (here $n = 5$; $n$ is a user parameter). $e$: the adopted background sky level. See Section 3.4 for details.

![Figure 6](image2.png)

**Figure 6.** The ‘sky map’ (left): for each object that was detected in the image (right), we calculate the Kron ellipse and scale it up. Pixels inside a Kron ellipse get the value 1. Pixel values stack, e.g., where two Kron ellipses overlap, the pixel value is 2. Blank sky has a value of zero; pixels without astronomical flux, as occurring after removing image distortions, e.g., in \textit{HST} images, have a value of $-1$. Some pixel values are indicated.
galaxis; the effective or half-light radius,
\( r_\alpha \)
takes special care to minimize the impact of large
nearby sources on the background estimation process for the current
object. To that end, GALAPAGOS relies on the SEXTRACTOR output
catalogue to provide shape information. Under the assumption
that all sources have a Sérsic index \( n = 4 \) and a half-light radius
\( r_e = (\text{flux}_\text{radius})^\alpha \), with the SEXTRACTOR catalogue parameter
\( \text{flux}_\text{radius} \) and a user-specified power \( \alpha \)(we chose \( \alpha = 1.4\);
\( \text{D11} \)) to convert the SEXTRACTOR \( \text{flux}_\text{radius} \) to a ‘true’ half-light
radius, GALAPAGOS calculates the flux of all catalogue objects at the
position of the current source. GALAPAGOS regards as an important
flux contributor for the current object any source exceeding a user-
specified limit \( \text{D09} \). Subsequently, we will term the sources that are
selected that way ‘contributors’. Note that \( \text{D09} \) has the units of a
magnitude, i.e. ’exceeding’ the given limit implies a number smaller
than this value. As the SEXTRACTOR \( \text{flux}_\text{radius} \) is a rather poor
proxy for the true half-light radius and without proper estimate for the
Sérsic index, we opt for a rather conservative limit of this flux cut.

If a proper GALFIT fit exists for the contributors, GALAPAGOS sub-
tracts their model profile from the input image temporarily, i.e. for the
time of the current background estimation. Note that removal of a
profile model includes convolution with the telescope PSF before
subtraction. In order to optimize the profile subtraction, GALAPAGOS
processes the SEXTRACTOR source catalogue in order of increasing
magnitude. As the very few brightest sources have a significant
impact on both the sky estimation and fitting of a large number of
fainter sources, starting the fitting process with the brightest galaxies
is essential. We give further details about the sorting process in
Section 3.6.

Normally, the Kron ellipse of the current object defines the start-
ing radius for the iterative measurement of the sky background in
increasing annuli. In the case of the presence of potentially dominant
flux contributors, for which no GALFIT model exists yet, and hence
were not subtracted from the input image, GALAPAGOS increases the
starting radius to the maximal distance of all such sources from the
current, as they might potentially influence the fitting. For each
sky annulus, it estimates an average flux value excluding any pixels
that were flagged as containing an object (or that were flagged as
having a defect or no flux) in the sky map. First, of the distribution of
the remaining pixels, GALAPAGOS symmetrically clips all \( 3\sigma \)
outliers. Then it fits a Gaussian function to the leftover distribution,
producing a mean value for the current annulus. After each new sky
annulus measurement, GALAPAGOS calculates a robust linear fit to the
last few estimates (\( \text{D13} \); in the case of STAGES 15 measurements).
As long as source flux is still measurable, this slope is negative.
Once this process reaches the true background, the estimated slope
should start to randomly change its sign. When this happens for the
second time, GALAPAGOS stops the loop and obtains the final back-
ground value from the last \( \text{D13} \) measurements. Stopping the process
at the first positive slope measurement often results in suboptimal
estimates as galactic inhomogeneities (like spiral arms) might pro-
duce dips sufficient to produce a slope sign change. However, using
a much later slope change (than two) in practice is not necessary.
Note that neighbouring sources are not a problem for the termina-
tion of this iteration as the method takes special care to take their
influence into account (as shown above). This whole process is
fully user configurable, including options for the width of the sky
annuli \( \text{D07} \), their spacing \( \text{D06} \), the initial starting radius \( \text{D08} \) and the
magnitude cut \( \text{D09} \).

3.5 GALFIT

Of the various light profiles built into GALFIT, the most general one
galaxy fitting is the Sérsic model. It is also used by GALAPAGOS:
\[
\Sigma(R) = \Sigma_e \exp \left\{-\kappa \left[ \left(\frac{R}{R_e}\right)^{1/n} - 1 \right] \right\},
\]
where \( R_e \) is the effective or half-light radius, \( \Sigma_e \) is the effective
surface brightness, \( \Sigma(R) \) is the surface brightness as a function of
radius \( R \), \( n \) is the Sérsic index and \( \kappa = \kappa(n) \) is a normalization
constant. The Sérsic profile is a generalization of a de Vaucouleurs
profile with variable Sérsic index \( n \). An exponential profile has \( n = 1 \),
while a de Vaucouleurs profile has \( n = 4 \).

A simple set-up script controls profile modelling with GALFIT. It
contains information about input and output file locations, PSF
image, bad pixel mask, etc. A list of starting guesses defines what
light profiles are to be fitted. Although the downhill gradient method
incorporated in GALFIT is often speculated to be prone to converging
to a ‘true’ half-light radius for the iterative measurement of the sky background in order of increasing magnitude. As the very few brightest sources have a significant influence into account (as shown above). This whole process is fully user configurable, including options for the width of the sky annuli D07, their spacing D06, the initial starting radius D08 and the magnitude cut D09.
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Figure 7. Fitting Sérsic profiles with GALFIT. From left to right, the panels show the original galaxy image, the Sérsic model and the residual of image and model, respectively. GALAPAGOS excludes (masks) areas shaded in red from the fit. In this example, no bright secondary sources were detected. The next brightest object after the primary source is too far away to become a secondary (for details on the definition of primary and secondary sources, see Section 3.5). Note that the masked region at the right edge of the image results from the irregular shape of the HST images. This area has not received any flux and thus GALAPAGOS masks it as well.

Figure 8. Optimization of the GALFIT set-up. Circles indicate the Kron ellipses used for classifying the detected objects (as secondaries or tertiaries). This example was taken from real data. However, for clarity we do not mark the faintest detections in this image. For details, see Section 3.5.

connected to A and therefore following our prescription we mask it. As a tertiary we exclude it from the fit. The resulting fit for B thus is not optimal, as it neglects the presence of C, which is important for fitting B, but not for fitting A. To obtain the optimal fit for B, we have to fit B as a primary. In this case, A and C are secondaries as their Kron ellipses both overlap with B, and are fitted simultaneously. To speed up the fitting of B, we can now insert the known parameters for object A, thus effectively removing one component from the fit. This example highlights the importance of fitting all objects once as primaries, while secondaries may be made static if a fit already exists.

Normally, secondary sources are fitted simultaneously with the current primary object (see above). Using a pre-existing fit (as in the example) as static parameters for a secondary source, thus, is an exception to this rule. A further complication is that the existing fit for the secondary may have been obtained from a different survey image as the current primary. In that case, the central position of the secondary has to be converted via the world coordinate system information from the original pixel coordinates to the current system of the primary. Therefore, to allow optimal centring after such a conversion, GALAPAGOS fixes all parameters for the secondary but its central coordinates. If in the previous example, when fitting object B with a pre-existing fit of source A, the fit for A was performed on a different survey image than B, then the pixel centre of A would not be static. However, a free pixel centre is only required if the centre of the secondary A is also inside the postage stamp of the current primary B. If the centre is off the postage stamp, subpixel accuracy is not required any more for an optimal fit, and all components of A are made static. We visualize this situation in Fig. 9 (case 1 and 2).

Furthermore, if no fit exists for a secondary, a free fit for that source is not always the best solution. In the case that the centre of the secondary is not on the postage stamp, a free fit results in too many degrees of freedom. In GALAPAGOS, we opt to then fix the position, axis ratio and position angle to the values provided by SExtractor (while leaving the Sérsic index $n$ and the half-light radius $R_e$ as free parameters; see Fig. 9 case 3 and 4). This is justified because, on the one hand, more than half the flux of the secondary cannot be seen by GALFIT, thus making it increasingly difficult to come up with precise estimates for these parameters. On the other hand, the values given by SExtractor usually have high enough accuracy not to bias the fit of the primary significantly.

In addition to the ‘normal’ sources (secondaries and tertiaries) in the immediate surroundings of the current object, GALAPAGOS has to take bright and large contributors as defined in Section 3.4 into account as well, although these sources may be off the current survey image. It treats them as secondaries without the requirement of their Kron ellipse to overlap with the Kron ellipse of the primary. In terms of the parameter set-up, GALAPAGOS handles them exactly like other secondaries.

3.5.2 Bad pixel masks

GALFIT supports the so-called bad pixel masks (see Peng et al. 2002) to exclude image regions from fitting and thus speed up the fitting process. As tertiary sources may overlap with secondaries, we take the following approach to define the area to be masked. In general, GALAPAGOS masks the full Kron ellipse, enlarged by a user-specified factor $D_04$ (which may have a different value as the one used for
computing the sky map D03) and an additional offset D05, for the fitting. If the Kron ellipse of the tertiary overlaps with the Kron ellipse of the secondary, GALAPAGOS includes the intersection in the fit. However, as the included area might contain significant flux (maybe even the nucleus) of the tertiary, it excludes any pixel marked in the SExtractor segmentation map as belonging to the tertiary. Thus, the resulting shape of the mask may look complicated, yet this procedure ensures having the fit of the secondary targets only mildly affected. The primary source should not be significantly affected at all.

To speed up the fitting process by reducing the number of simultaneous fits, GALAPAGOS masks secondary objects based on a magnitude criterion D16 (for extended; D17 for point sources) in comparison to the primary source. In the case that they are too faint compared to the primary, GALAPAGOS 'downgrades' them to tertiary status and treats them as such, i.e. it masks their Kron ellipses completely but for parts which overlap with other secondaries or the primary that are not covered by the SExtractor segmentation map. GALAPAGOS also masks pixels that have a value of zero in the weight map, i.e. an exposure time of zero. Obeying these rules results in masks as shown in Fig. 10.

### 3.5.3 Parameter constraints

GALFIT not only applies a bad pixel mask, but also allows fit parameters to be constrained in various ways. Examples are keeping a parameter within an acceptable absolute range (e.g. Sérsic indices should satisfy $0.5 < n < 8$) or a relative range depending on the given input values. Parameters might even be constrained with respect to each other or other components. For more details, see the GALFIT homepage http://users.obs.carnegiescience.edu/peng/work/galfit/galfit.html.

With respect to Sérsic fitting in GALAPAGOS, providing a suitable range for the Sérsic index $n$ and the half-light radius $R_e$ has a stabilizing effect on the procedure. To this end, in GALAPAGOS a limit on the relative difference between GALFIT and SExtractor magnitude is imposed as well. GALAPAGOS incorporates global constraints on the Sérsic index $n$ (0.2 < $n$ < 8.0), the half-light radius $R_e$ (0.3 < $R_e$ < E11) and the fit magnitude $m$ (E12 < $m_{\text{GALFIT}} - m_{\text{SEXTTRACTOR}}$ < E13).

### 3.6 Computational optimization

In the following section, we will describe additional characteristics of GALAPAGOS that increase the efficiency and robustness of the code.

#### 3.6.1 Sorting and parallel computation

After running SExtractor and cutting postage stamps, GALFIT fits the individual catalogue sources. Because efficient removal of brighter sources is needed for accurate estimation of the sky background, an ordered processing is required. This is extremely inefficient in terms of total CPU time; we have developed methods to speed up this sequential process. In the next paragraphs, we will describe the mechanisms that are incorporated into GALAPAGOS to switch from sequential to parallel processing and to increase the overall efficiency and robustness of the code.

To optimize the execution time, GALAPAGOS performs fitting in a rank-ordered sequence starting with the brightest source in the survey and progressing to the fainter ones. The advantage of this procedure is twofold.

(i) Faint neighbours of bright sources do not have to be included in a simultaneous fit (as a second component), as they do not influence the resulting fit parameters of the bright object significantly. The magnitude difference between faint and bright neighbours is a free user parameter (D16 or D17 if the primary is a galaxy or a star, respectively).

(ii) When a faint source has a brighter neighbour, which has to be included in the fit as well, parameters for that object will already exist from a previous fit. Hence, the variables for that component can safely be held fixed to the best values. This reduces the total number of degrees of freedom and increases the computation speed for a large number of sources tremendously. Another reason in favour of

---

**Figure 9.** GALFIT parameter set-up scheme for secondaries and contributors. Depending on the relative position to the primary target, i.e. on the same postage stamp or not, with a pre-existing fit from the same or another survey image or without a fit, we show the set-up for the GALFIT parameters (left-hand panel): static implies all parameters are fixed to their initial guess (i.e. the SExtractor estimates), while free means that they are variable throughout the fit. In some cases, the position pos, the axis ratio $q$ or the position angle $\theta$ takes a different state than the remaining fit parameters. We visualize the situation in the right-hand panel: the current primary P is located on the red survey image A, which has some overlap (purple) with the blue image B. The solid black outline indicates the postage stamp corresponding to P. Potential secondaries or contributors are numbered. For sources with a black background (3 and 4), no prior fit exists (SExtractor values are used as static/fixed profile parameters), while for targets shown either in red (1) or blue colour (1 and 2) a fit from the respective survey image is available. For further details, see Section 3.5.
Figure 10. Mask creation. The left-hand panels show galaxy images, while the right-hand panels the corresponding bad pixel masks. In the bad pixel masks, white and red represent good and bad pixels, respectively. Upper panels: (a) and (b) indicate primary and secondary sources, respectively. (c) and (d) mark examples of tertiary sources: (c) is only partly masked, as it overlaps with a secondary source; (d) is masked completely for not having any overlap with the primary or a secondary. Lower panels: the plotted area shows a postage stamp (indicated by the solid rectangles) and some of its surroundings. Note that the postage stamp is tilted (representation in world and not pixel coordinates) and that the blue area is actually not part of the postage stamp. (1) is a source that might potentially contribute to the fit of the primary, due to its brightness, and is included as a secondary source (with parameters fixed from a previous fit) although its centre is off the current postage stamp. (2) is a tertiary source without overlap with the primary and being too faint to contribute significantly, and is therefore masked completely (red pixels inside the postage stamp).

sorting objects by magnitude is that the efficiency with which bright contributors are included in the current fit is greatly enhanced.

The weakness of the sequential approach is that it voids the speed benefits of parallel processing. To alleviate this problem, we devise two methods.

(a) Consecutive sources in a rank-ordered list are usually sufficiently far apart to not affect one another (the average object size is much smaller than their typical distance). Therefore, GALAPAGOS starts the next object in the sequence as a new process on another CPU (core), given that its distance from other sources in the queue is large enough (D20). The extent of the brightest object in the survey determines this distance and it should exceed the limit out to which this object might have an influence on the fitting of neighbours. If the next source in the queue is too close to objects currently being executed, the code waits for these objects to finish.

(b) Generally, it is possible to parallelize the analysis by running the code on one survey image only, at a time, by encapsulating the sky fitting and GALFIT processing. This will then enable the user to run several instances of the code simultaneously on n different computers, thus reducing total computation time by a factor of n. This is realized in GALAPAGOS by specifying which tiles are to be processed in the so-called ‘batch file’ (E01). The problem with this approach is that sources may extend from one survey image on to the next. Therefore, one might run into the situation where tile A is fitted before tile B, with the brightest source in the two tiles being on B and reaching into A. In this case, a fit for the brightest object is not available for estimating the optimal sky background for a number of galaxies on tile A. The underlying idea of this method is that the average object size is much smaller than the size of a survey image.

These two approaches (a) and (b) are implemented in the code as follows. Sérsic fitting with GALAPAGOS is divided into two parts.

In the first part (see Fig. 1, upper section of block D), GALAPAGOS treats a fraction of all sources on all tiles in a sorted order as laid out in method (a). This assures that the brightest galaxy from tile B is fitted before GALAPAGOS treats tile A or B. This part still requires sequential processing without the possibility to run other instances of GALAPAGOS at the same time. Also, it produces a rather large computational overhead, as potentially with every new source a number of large images (the complete science image, weight image, segmentation map, etc. – not the postage stamps) are to be loaded into memory and processed (for fitting the sky background). A possible working definition for the fraction of sources that have to be fitted sequentially might encompass all sources that span an area larger than the size of the overlaps resulting from the survey’s tiling scheme (D12). This stage requires that all CPUs must be able to see the whole data set, i.e. they have to have access to the same hard discs, because several threads are interacting with each other and working on the same data.
The second part (see Fig. 1, lower section of block D) is kept as detailed above in method (b). GALAPAGOS processes all objects within a tile in order of decreasing brightness. Several instances of GALAPAGOS may be run simultaneously on different tiles. With the sources that potentially reach into neighbouring tiles already processed in the first part, now survey images may be treated as individual entities, which can be processed out of order and simultaneously. At this stage, one might think that, as the tiles are decoupled, only a single tile is accessed at a time. However, in the presence of big, bright sources that affect neighbouring tiles, this is not the case anymore. Therefore, even when fitting individual tiles in parallel, the whole data set must be accessible. As now only the information for the current tile is changed, the fitting may be distributed to different hard discs, though (by creating identical copies).

3.6.2 Neighbouring tiles

During the sky background estimation, GALAPAGOS calculates the influence of all objects on the currently processed source. Depending on the size of the survey, this check for contributors takes up a significant fraction of the complete source loop computation time. However, the sources immediately required for processing the current object are only the ones that may have an impact on the fitting or background estimation. Therefore, specifying the ‘reach’ of the brightest sources allows us to restrict the computation to a much smaller fraction of all sources. This is done by providing the total number of closest tiles \( n \) that are to be included in the calculation (D18). If the tiles are taken on a regular grid, \( n = 8 \) defines a ring surrounding the tile of the current object (see Fig. 11). Note that, in the case of a tile at the edge of the survey, this ‘ring’ is not cut in half, but all tiles are selected on just one side. GALAPAGOS always selects the nearest \( n \) neighbours. It calculates the distance between tiles from the centres of the images.

3.6.3 Detection flags

A perfect set-up for SExtractor never exists. In a small fraction of all detections, one or the other failure occurs, e.g. (over) deblending, non-detection, spurious detection, etc. In particular, in the surroundings of bright stars (or even galaxies) these errors accumulate. With respect to setting up GALFIT properly, there are two classes of failures: the ‘critical’ and the ‘catalogue’ failures. Depending on their relative brightness compared to nearby ‘real’ objects, they have to be removed either before the fitting (faint sources; ‘critical’) or after (bright sources; ‘catalogue’).

A critical failure is a detection error that should be corrected before running GALFIT. Critical detections do not affect the fitting of neighbouring real sources. Examples are overdeblends, cosmic rays or a bad detection at the image edge. Critical failures include any unwanted detection that might erroneously include additional unnecessary components in the fitting of real objects. We give an example for an overdeblended source in Fig. 12(c) and indicate several spurious detections in Fig. 12(d).

In contrast, catalogue failures are detections that one has to remove after running GALFIT. They are bright in relation to neighbouring sources and they might affect the fitting of nearby objects if not included as separate components. Typically, they are connected to cosmetic ‘defects’ of the image. A common example for these are diffraction spikes of stars, which may not be included in the PSF model. Therefore, GALFIT may not properly fit a galaxy close to such a spike, as too much flux is in the spike compared to the source. Common are also satellite trails or pixel column bleeding of saturated stars. We show some examples in Figs 12(a) and (b).

Figure 12. Correction of detection errors. Red crosses indicate ‘critical’ detections; blue boxes mark ‘catalogue’ detections; green circles show ‘good’ source detections. For a definition of these terms, see Section 3.6. (a) The diffraction spike of the star was picked up as multiple individual sources. Most of them are critical failures. Yet, one detection in each spike was kept as a catalogue source, in order to guarantee that the fitting of nearby objects is not biased. (b) Pixel bleeding from the star. Again, some detections were flagged critical, others as catalogue sources. (c) An overdeblended object. The excess detections are critical errors. (d) Spurious detections in the vicinity of the bright star. All are critical failures. Note that the categorization of sources is an optional, subjective process, which is not performed automatically by GALAPAGOS.
GALAPAGOS can optionally take care of both these failures. If the user provides a (manually created) list of positions for critical and/or catalogue failures (one file each), GALAPAGOS will remove any source found within a specified radius B16 around these positions from the catalogues at the proper stage in the process. Otherwise, GALAPAGOS treats them simply as normal sources and provides Sérsic fits for them. A cleaner – although potentially somewhat more time consuming – approach would be to remove problematic regions from the data altogether (e.g. by replacing with white noise).

To classify unwanted detections (into one of the two categories), the user should decide whether an object is required for obtaining a proper fit with GALFIT for neighbouring ‘real’ sources, or not. In principle, it is safe to put any detection error into the catalogue failure list. This might lead to prolonged fitting times, though. In practice, most detection errors are faint enough to not influence neighbours and should therefore be put into the list of critical failures.

Note that the definition of whether an object is a critical or catalogue failure is subjective and depends on the user. However, the correction of these errors is an option. GALAPAGOS will run perfectly well without any manual treatment. In that case, the user will have to live with the fact that some (small) fraction of sources might be affected by this.

### 3.6.4 Treatment of stars

A problem related to fitting bright saturated stars is that they are often much brighter than the stars that one can use as a PSF model. Because there is a limited dynamic range, the PSF cannot adequately capture the tails seen around brighter stars, which may then contaminate neighbouring galaxies. To deal with this situation, we fit Sérsic models to stars instead of the usual PSF model, because a high Sérsic index produces a model with extended tails. However, in so doing, it may cause GALFIT to not converge within a reasonable amount of time. As the focus of GALAPAGOS is on modelling the properties of galaxies, no further attempt was made to apply a different, more elaborate model (instead of the Sérsic profile).

To resolve the resulting problem with the convergence of GALFIT, GALAPAGOS identifies saturated stars in the magnitude-size plane, which is represented by the SExtractor parameters mag_best and log(fwhm_image) (see Fig. 13). The user specifies the zero-point D15 and slope D14 of a line below which GALAPAGOS treats objects as saturated stars (i.e. on the bright and compact/small side). The reason for many of the brighter stars to fail in the fitting is the detection of a large number of neighbouring secondary sources (including stellar diffraction spikes), which have to be modelled simultaneously. To reduce the number of these secondary sources, the user specifies a relative magnitude cut D17, below which secondary sources are not fitted any more and treated as tertiaries. For the STAGES data, all objects more than 2 mag fainter than the primary star (m_{star} - m_{object} > 2) were subject to this. Note that for galaxies the same limitation applies, but at a much weaker level. Again a magnitude limit D16 may be specified (e.g. m_{galaxy} - m_{object} > 5). Restricting the number of secondaries to those objects bright enough to influence the fit and removing the fainter ones resolves the issue.

### 4 DATA QUALITY

We have tested GALAPAGOS thoroughly using simulated data as described in more detail in Häußler et al. (2007) and Gray et al. (2009). For the simulations applied here, we use the same set-up as for fitting the STAGES survey. Analytical Sérsic profiles are randomly placed on a background image composed from patches of blank sky from real data. The galaxy models are convolved with the same PSF as the original STAGES data (before placing them on the background). Also Poisson noise was added to each pixel of the galaxy models. The galaxy model parameters randomly cover the same parameter space as the original STAGES data with an extension towards low fluxes and surface brightnesses, such as to cover the full completeness space.

All in all, the simulated data sets contain around 7 million galaxies. Excluding the ones that are not recovered by GALAPAGOS for being below the detection threshold (3 million) and the ones that ran into any given fitting constraint (∼280 000; the following constraints for the Sérsic index n, the half-light radius R_e and the magnitude m were applied: 0.2 < n < 8, 0.3 < R_e (pixel) < 750, |m_{GALFIT} - m_{SExtractor}| < 5) or where the fit crashed (293), we are left with around 3.7 million successfully fitted galaxies.

The left-hand panel of Fig. 14 shows the deviations of the three most important fitting parameters: magnitude m, effective radius R_e and Sérsic index n as a function of simulated mean surface brightness μ_{sim} within R_e for two different regimes of Sérsic index. We choose the samples such that the completeness as a function of magnitude is roughly 90 per cent for all galaxies. The low Sérsic index sample (μ_{sim} < 24.5) contains ∼1.1 million galaxies, the high Sérsic sample (μ_{sim} < 25.25) contains ∼470 000 galaxies. Obviously, GALAPAGOS’ performance decreases at faint magnitudes and high Sérsic indices. The right-hand panel of Fig. 14 shows the same plot, but as a function of simulated magnitude μ_{sim} rather than surface brightness to illustrate the same effects in another commonly used parameter space. Again, we choose a cut to select only galaxies with a surface brightness completeness exceeding 90 per cent. The low Sérsic index sample (μ_{sim} < 22.25) contains ∼780 000 galaxies, the high Sérsic sample (μ_{sim} < 23) contains ∼295 000 galaxies. At the faint end, quite expectedly, the recoverability of parameters gets

![Figure 13. Treatment of saturated stars. Here we show source detections from the STAGES survey in the log(fwhm_image) versus mag_best plane. Red pluses mark objects identified as stars (see Gray et al. 2009). The line indicates the cut used to identify saturated stars (left of the line). Black dots show other (extragalactic) sources.](http://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/422/1/449/1022511)
Figure 14. Parameter recovery as a function of simulated mean surface brightness $\mu_{\text{sim}}$ within $R_e$ (left-hand panel) and simulated magnitude $m_{\text{sim}}$ (right-hand panel) for two different Sérsic index ranges (disc-like galaxies with $n \approx 1$ on the left-hand side, early-type galaxies with $n \approx 4$ on the right-hand side). Grey levels show galaxy density, with each bin being normalized to its own peak value. As a result, grey levels roughly resemble a mean value and a measure for the scatter of the distribution. Due to an asymmetric distribution and different binning, the true mean value (black line) deviates slightly from the peak values for fainter galaxies. The $1\sigma$ scatter of the distributions is shown as well (dashed lines). The light grey line indicates the ideal zero-level. Fainter galaxies (both as function of magnitude and surface brightness) and galaxies with higher $n$ are fitted less accurately. Also, for the brightest galaxies in the sample, the deviation increases. Most likely their brightness (and size) makes them the most difficult objects to set up for fitting, because of a large number of simultaneously fitted neighbours and because of having the highest uncertainty in their background sky estimate. Worse. In both panels of Fig. 14, we see no significant systematic trends apart from the faintest levels.

The left-hand panel in Fig. 15 shows the deviation of the sky value (as recovered by GALAPAGOS) from the true sky value (as derived from the empty noise image used for the galaxy simulations) as a function of the simulated Sérsic index $n$ of the primary object. Obviously, the recovery of the sky in GALAPAGOS is completely independent of $n$. Compared to the SExtractor value for the local sky, which shows both a much bigger offset and a larger standard deviation, the recovery is close to ideal with very small offset and scatter. We derive the true sky value for this plot from simple statistics on an empty noise image used for the simulations.

Furthermore, we investigate the magnitude dependence of the sky recoverability (see the right-hand panel of Fig. 15). Here we select

![Figure 15](https://example.com/figure15.png)

Figure 15. Sky recovery (flux difference in counts) as a function of simulated galaxy Sérsic index (left-hand panel) and simulated magnitude (right-hand panel). Contours and black lines show the distribution, mean and $\sigma$ of the estimated sky as recovered by GALAPAGOS, white/grey dashed lines indicate mean and $\sigma$ as provided by SExtractor. GALAPAGOS recovers a very accurate sky value independent of galaxy structure, whereas SExtractor overall exhibits a much larger offset, scatter and dependence on galaxy morphology. At the brightest magnitudes, a slight trend is seen in GALAPAGOS, while SExtractor performs worse by a factor of $\sim 50$. Note that the right-hand panel shows only objects with $3 < n < 5$, and thus portrays a rather conservative scenario.
only objects with a Sérsic index $3 < n < 5$ ($\sim 300,000$ objects), which due to their extended low surface brightness wings are hardest to fit and estimate a background value. Thus, we portray a conservative worst case scenario. While for the large majority of all objects there is no trend to be seen at all, at the bright end the estimates provided by GALAPAGOS do diverge slightly: at $m = 17$ and 18, the mean sky moves off by $\sim 0.04$ and 0.03 with a scatter of $\sim 0.02$, respectively. For comparison, the values recovered by SExtractor are $\sim 2.3$ and $1.3$ with a scatter of $\sim 0.4$ at the same brightness.

To examine the influence of neighbouring galaxies in a similar fashion as was shown in Häussler et al. (2007), we plot parameter deviations over both magnitude of and distance from the next neighbour. We here define the next neighbour as the closest simulated galaxy that was found by SExtractor. This does not necessarily imply that this galaxy had to be properly deblended and simultaneously fitted when running GALFIT (i.e. assuming a rather conservative definition resulting in a worst case scenario). We show these deviations in Fig. 16. In contrast to the analysis in Häussler et al. (2007), we now have enough statistical significance to separate both effects. We only show neighbours with $21 < m_{\text{sim}} < 23$ ($\sim 330,000$ galaxies) in the left-hand panel and neighbours with a distance $1 < d$ (arcsec) $< 1.6$ ($\sim 280,000$ galaxies) in the right-hand panel of Fig. 16 to not confuse the two distinct effects: contamination by bright neighbours and contamination by close neighbours. As one can see from these plots, GALAPAGOS results do not show any dependence on either of these parameters. From this plot, we conclude that the deblending and fitting scheme applied in GALAPAGOS works well, and successful deblending of clustered fields (as e.g. STAGES) is possible.

5 PERFORMANCE

We measure the performance of GALAPAGOS when applying it to the single-orbit HST survey STAGES (see Gray et al. 2009). STAGES is a mosaic composed of 80 tiles in the F606W filter containing $\sim 75,000$ sources. The survey, being centred on a nearby galaxy cluster system at redshift $z \sim 0.16$, provides the ideal test case including a high fraction of large and also peculiar objects. Large objects serve as a test for the deblending process during the source extraction, while peculiar objects like mergers or saturated stars with diffraction spikes pose a challenge for the modelling with GALFIT. The total wall-clock time for processing this survey is $\sim 430$ h. Details are given below.

The fitting process with GALFIT is the main limitation for GALAPAGOS’ performance. The largest amount of time was spent on fitting the fainter 95 per cent of all sources in the parallel mode [second part of block (D) in Fig. 1]. Using eight 2.2-GHz CPU cores in parallel, this process (i.e. the slowest of the eight) takes $\sim 260$ h. There is potential for further improvement by increasing the total number of CPU cores. This would also reduce the overhead resulting from individual pipelines not finishing at the same time (i.e. pipelines with fewer sources finish sooner), resulting normally in much less than the total number of available CPUs running simultaneously at the end of the fitting.

For the first part of block (D), we used four 2.4-GHz CPU cores for the fitting of the brightest 5 per cent of all sources. This part of the fitting takes $\sim 150$ h. Note that moving from four CPU cores to eight does not necessarily imply halving the required computation time. The performance increase at this stage depends on the survey geometry. A wide-area survey has a higher efficiency than a smaller survey of the same depth, because of the higher probability that the brightest objects in the survey are further apart from each other, thus allowing a higher multiplicity. Fig. 17 shows a cumulative histogram of the fitting time per object. Note that the brightest objects take considerably longer to fit than the rest, thus explaining the necessity to find a good compromise between the time spent in the two stages.

![Figure 16. Parameter deviations as a function of both distance (left-hand panel) and magnitude (right-hand panel) of the nearest neighbouring galaxy. The thick grey/white dashed lines indicate the zero-level; black solid and dashed lines show the mean and $\sigma$ of the recovered parameter, respectively. Grey contours represent the normalized distribution of recovered parameter values.](image1)

![Figure 17. Performance of the galaxy modelling with GALFIT. Cumulative histogram of the fitting time per object as a fraction of the total fitting time. The two histograms show all galaxies (black/left) and the brightest 5 per cent (green/right). 50 per cent of all sources take less than 1.25 min to fit and more than 90 per cent of all objects are done within 10 min (red lines). The brightest 5 per cent take about a factor of 5 longer.](image2)
The remaining blocks take up an almost negligible fraction of the total processing time. Block (B), the SExtractor stage, takes \( \sim 13.5 \) h, including HDR mode. Cutting the postage stamps in block (C) requires \( \sim 2.5 \) h and the last block (F), compilation of the output catalogue, finishes within \( \sim 0.7 \) h.

Note that overheads for adjusting the set-up and preparing the parallel fitting are not taken into account in the numbers cited above. Also, for varying survey layouts/configurations, relative fractions of the total processing times between the various stages might vary significantly.

6 SUMMARY

We present GALAPAGOS, a software for automating the process of detecting sources and modelling them with single Sérsic profiles. GALAPAGOS incorporates SExtractor and GALFIT to perform these two tasks. In addition, it provides HDR source extraction, a postage stamp cutting facility and a robust means of estimating a local sky background. It stores results in a combined FITS table, excluding duplicates resulting from detections in overlapping tiles. We optimized the code for speed and stability, making use of modern multicore CPUs and allowing a high degree of multiplicity. Another aim was to present the user with a simple set-up, yet enabling control over all features of the code. As a result, GALAPAGOS can be used on a wide variety of survey applications, from single tile deep observations to wide-area shallow surveys. GALFIT’s ability to work with any given PSF enables application of GALAPAGOS to both space- and ground-based data. The PSF has to be prepared by the user before running GALAPAGOS, though. This procedure is not part of the code.

We tested GALAPAGOS on an extensive set of simulations and find it to be extremely robust in terms of parameter recoverability. Note that the results of the fitting depend on the choice of the input parameters. For example, a bad SExtractor set-up will have a significant impact on the fitting procedure and thus lower the quality of the output catalogue.

The main feature that will be implemented in GALAPAGOS in the near future is the option for a consistent two-component bulge-disc fitting. This will also include an estimator providing information about whether the increased amount of data potentially allows further insight into the structural composition of the object or not. Based on this idea, we will also investigate the automated fitting of bars and the application of Fourier mode fitting, built into the most recent version of GALFIT.

Another potential aspect for increasing the versatility of GALAPAGOS could be the implementation of a variable PSF. Currently, just one PSF is used for convolving the GALFIT model profiles for the whole survey. Instead, one could allow using a different PSF depending on the position on the tile or even varying tile by tile. GALAPAGOS is freely available for download from our webpage at http://astro.uibk.ac.at/~m.barden/GALAPAGOS/
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APPENDIX A: CODE SET-UP AND CONTROL

In the following section, we provide detailed information about how GALAPAGOS is run. This includes a description of the structure of set-up files and the execution sequence.

A1 The set-up script

GALAPAGOS is controlled by a set of scripts. We show an example for the main start-up script in Fig. A1. It contains all references for file locations and manages the program execution. The start-up script is divided into six parts, (A) through (F), closely related to the four blocks described in Section 2. The first set of parameters (A) defines the input and output file locations. Section (E) contains options that help setting up GALFIT. These two parameter sets are ‘static’; the remaining four are ‘dynamic’ in the sense that these can be activated or skipped when executing GALAPAGOS. They correspond to the four program blocks that were previously defined in Section 2. Parameter set (B) starts SExtractor; (C) is responsible for defining and cutting the postage stamps; (D) performs the estimation of the sky background, prepares GALFIT and starts the fitting; finally, parameter set (F) reads out the fit results and creates the output catalogue. The difference between the ‘static’ and ‘dynamic’ parameter sets is that the latter control code execution, while the former only define file locations and set-up parameters. Note that the set-up files for SExtractor B03 (and optionally B06 in HDR mode) require additional files to be accessible. These are the neural network file ‘default.nnw’ and an optional convolution filter, e.g. ‘tophat_3.0_3x3.conv’. For details on how to set up SExtractor, see Bertin & Arnouts (1996).

A2 The file list

A file location list, or short ‘file list’ A00, provides the information required for defining the organization of the survey. It is an ASCII file providing for each survey pointing it the file location of the actual tile, the corresponding weight image (which is an exposure...
time map), a path for storing the fitting output of the individual tiles and a prefix, which is attached to all output files. We give an example of such a file list in Fig. A2 for a hypothetical survey with 10 science tiles.

A3 Catalogue fine-tuning
In order to refine the output of SExtractor, the user has the option to remove sources. After an initial run with the optimal SExtractor output, the user can modify the catalogue by excluding certain sources, such as those with low signal-to-noise ratios or objects that are suspected to be contaminants. This process can be automated using scripts that adjust the parameters of SExtractor to exclude sources based on certain criteria, such as magnitude or position.

Figure A1. Example of a start-up script for GALAPAGOS.
Figure A2. Example of a file location list for galapagos. The middle section is left out. In this example, a survey with 10 tiles is defined. The four columns represent science image, corresponding weight image (exposure time map), output directory and output file preposition, respectively.

set-up, the user may create a list that contains the file name of the respective file together with an x/y-pixel position, e.g.

```
/path/to/survey/tile01.fits /path/to/survey/wht01.fits /path/to/survey/t01 t01.
/path/to/survey/tile02.fits /path/to/survey/wht02.fits /path/to/survey/t02 t02.
/path/to/survey/tile03.fits /path/to/survey/wht03.fits /path/to/survey/t03 t03.
... 
/path/to/survey/tile10.fits /path/to/survey/wht10.fits /path/to/survey/t10 t10.
```

galapagos rejects any detection within a certain radius B16 automatically from the sextractor catalogue on a subsequent run of the code. Thus, if one wants to refine the catalogue, the sextractor section of the code has to be run twice, i.e. galapagos needs to be started first with only the sextractor section activated and then run a second time with the sextractor section and optionally others enabled as well. The first execution is required for identifying bad detections; the second run then treats them. For details on what sources should be removed and how the code deals with them, see Section 3.6.3.

### A4 Batch processing

In Section 3.6, we explain in some depth the mechanisms to optimize the total program execution time. According to this scheme, after cutting the postage stamps and fitting a subset of all sources, namely the brightest objects, galapagos may be run in parallel on several computers, each working on a section of the survey. In order to specify the region that the respective pipeline should work on, the user must provide a list E01 that contains the file names of the individual tiles in question. If one were to fit the survey from Fig. A2 in parallel on three CPU cores, one could set up the first computer with a batch list containing tiles 1 to 3, the second one with 4 to 6 and the third one with 7 to 10. As an example, the batch list for the first CPU core would look like

```
/path/to/survey/tile01.fits 234 567
/path/to/survey/tile02.fits 765 432
/path/to/survey/tile02.fits 453 678
...
```

To summarize, the process to run galapagos on a complete survey requires the following steps:

1. Set up start-up script and file list (including sextractor).
2. Run first block (B) (optionally in HDR mode).
3. Optionally identify ‘bad’ detections.
4. Manually create the respective ‘bad detection lists’.
5. If ‘bad detection lists’ were created, rerun block (B).
6. Run block (C) to prepare and cut postage stamps.
7. Run block (D) on brightest galaxies.
8. Create batch lists for parallel processing.
9. Create start-up scripts for batch lists.
10. Rerun block (D) in parallel on several machines.
11. When parallel processing is finished, run block (F).

Note that if the survey is small enough, steps 6 to 8 may be combined, either by setting the brightest galaxies fraction D12 to 100 per cent, thus taking full advantage of the available CPU cores on the machine, or by simply providing only one batch file containing all tiles. The latter option does not provide advantages over the first one and is best used only for testing purposes.

### APPENDIX B: STARTING PARAMETERS

In this appendix, we give a detailed description of the starting parameters in the galapagos start-up file. Lines starting with ‘#’ are treated as comments and are ignored by the code. Examples for sextractor related set-up files (items B02, B03, B06 in the table below) can be found in the corresponding documentation (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). In order not to execute the blocks B00, C00, D00 or F00, the user should either replace ‘execute’ with something else or simply comment out the respective line, e.g. ‘#B00) execute’. Files in the table below without a directory descriptor can be found in the output directory defined for each survey image in the file list unless otherwise noted.

#### File locations

| Item  | Description |
|-------|-------------|
| A00   | /path/to/survey/setup/gala_files | Set-up of the survey tiling (path and filename). For an example, see Fig. A2. |
| A01   | /path/to/survey/cat | Output directory for catalogues. In this directory, the combined sextractor catalogue (item B19; in ASCII format) and the final output catalogue (item F01; in FITS format) are placed. |
| B00   | execute | Execute the sextractor block. |
| B01   | /path/to/sextractor-binary/sex | Path and filename of sextractor executable. |
| B02   | /path/to/survey/setup/gala.param | Path and filename of sextractor output parameters in .param-format. |
| B03   | /path/to/survey/setup/coldsex | Path and filename of sextractor set-up file (cold). |
| B04   | coldcat | Filename of sextractor output catalogue (cold). |
| B05   | coldseg.fits | Filename of sextractor output segmentation map (cold). |
| B06   | /path/to/survey/setup/hotsex | Path and filename of sextractor set-up file (hot). |
| B07   | hotcat | Filename of sextractor output catalogue (hot). |
| B08   | hotseg.fits | Filename of sextractor output segmentation map (hot). |
| B09   | 1.1 | Factor by which the cold isophotes are enlarged when combining hot/cold catalogues. |
| B10   | outcat | Filename of combined sextractor output catalogue. |
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B11) outseg.fits Filename of combined SExtractor output segmentation map.
B12) outparam Filename of SExtractor output parameter file.
B13) check.fits Filename of SExtractor check image.
B14) apertures Type of SExtractor check image.
B15) /path/to/survey/setup/gala_exclude Path and filename of list of ‘critical’ detections (removed before the fitting). Set to non-existing file if not required.
B16) 1.5 Radius in pix used to exclude ‘bad’ detections.
B17) all If set ‘outonly’: hot/cold catalogues/segmaps are deleted, else all files are kept.
B18) /path/to/survey/setup/gala_bad Path and filename of list of ‘catalogue’ detections (removed after the fitting). Set to non-existing file if not required.
B19) sexcomb Filename of combined SExtractor catalogue. Output directory is A01).

Stamp set-up

C00) execute Execute the postage stamps creation block.
C01) stamps Output descriptor file for postage stamps. Per line, this ASCII file contains SExtractor number, x/y source centre, x-range, y-range.
C02) v Filename preposition for postage stamps. E.g. for C02) = ‘v’, a global file preposition ‘im1.’ (from file list) and SExtractor detection number ‘234’, the output filename would be ‘im1.v234.fits’.
C03) 2.5 Scale factor by which the SExtractor isophotes (Kron ellipses) are enlarged to calculate postage stamp size.

Sky preparation

D00) execute Execute the sky preparation block.
D01) sky map Filename of output object/sky-mapfile.
D02) outsky Filename of output list with sky values.
D03) 3 Scale factor by which SExtractor isophote is enlarged (for calculating the sky map).
D04) 1.5 Scale factor by which SExtractor isophote is enlarged (for neighbouring source treatment).
D05) 20 Definition of sky isophotes: additional offset to scale factor (in pix), for sky measurement.
D06) 30 Definition of sky isophotes: distance between individual sky isophotes.
D07) 60 Definition of sky isophotes: width of individual sky isophotes.
D08) 30 Definition of sky isophotes: gap between SExtractor isophote and inner sky isophote.
D09) 3 Cut below which objects are considered as contributing to the actual primary source.
D10) 2 Number of allowed contributing sources per primary source
D11) 1.4 power by which the flux_radius is raised to be converted to a half-light radius.
D12) 5 Fraction of sources to be treated first (in per cent; ‘5’ = 5 per cent), using multiple CPUs.
D13) 15 Calculate the slope of the sky from the x last determinations.
D14) −0.3 Slope in FWHM_IMAGE versus MAG_BEST below which an object is considered a star. Used for treating secondary sources.
D15) 6.8 Zero-point in FWHM_IMAGE versus MAG_BEST below which an object is considered a star. Used for treating secondary sources.
D16) 5 Minimum distance (in arcsecond) between all simultaneously fitted sources for D19). If current source in fitting queue is closer, fitting is delayed until other sources are done and the criterium is fulfilled.

GALFIT set-up

E00) /path/to/galfit-binary/galfit Path and filename of GALFIT executable.
E01) /path/to/survey/setup/batchlist.XX Path and filename of a batch list, used for parallel processing of several tiles. For an example, see Section A4.
E02) obj Object file preposition. E.g. for E02) = ‘obj’, a global file preposition ‘im1.’ (from file list) and SExtractor detection number ‘234’, the output filename would be ‘im1.obj234’.
E03) v_gf Preposition for GALFIT output files. E.g. for E03) = ‘v_gf’, a global file preposition ‘im1.’ (from file list) and SExtractor detection number ‘234’, the output filename would be ‘im1.v_gf234.fits’. Note, GALFIT output files contain three FITS extensions: the original image, the model (including fit parameters in the header) and the residual image.
E04) /path/to/survey/setup/psf.fits PSF-filename including path.
E05) mask Mask file preposition used in GALFIT. E.g. for E05) = ‘mask’, a global file preposition ‘im1.’ (from file list) and SExtractor detection number ‘234’, the output filename would be ‘im1.mask234.fits’.
E06) constr Constraint file preposition. E.g. for E06) = ‘constr’, a global file preposition ‘im1.’ (from file list) and SExtractor detection number ‘234’, the output filename would be ‘im1.constr234’.
| Code | Value | Description |
|------|-------|-------------|
| E07  | 257   | Size of PSF convolution box. |
| E08  | 26.486| Magnitude zero-point. |
| E09  | 0.03  | Plate scale of the images (arcsec pixel$^{-1}$). |
| E10  | 706   | Effective exposure time (after image reduction, multidrizzling, etc.). |
| E11  | 750   | Constraint: maximum allowed half-light radius. |
| E12  | $-5$  | Constraint: minimum magnitude deviation (minus) from SExtractor measurement, i.e. the fit magnitude is constrained to not more than E12) mag brighter than the SExtractor value. |
| E13  | 5     | Constraint: maximum magnitude deviation (plus) from SExtractor measurement. See also E12). |
| E14  | notnice | Use the UNIX facility ‘nice’ when starting the fitting with GALFIT. Set E14) to ‘nice’ to activate ‘nicing’. |
| E15  | 2.1c  | GALFIT version string. E.g. 2.0.3c. |

Output catalogue set-up

| Code | Value | Description |
|------|-------|-------------|
| F00  | execute | Execute catalogue combination block. |
| F01  | combcat.fits | Filename of combined FITS output catalogue. Output directory is A01). |
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