Relief of Pitcher’s Elbow by Denervation of Medial Humeral Epicondyle
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Introduction

Medial humeral epicondylitis (MHE) is a well-described, sports-limiting problem in baseball player and golfers, and increasing attention has been given recently to adolescent baseball pitchers [1-3]. MHE is to be distinguished from the classic Tommy John (ulnar collateral ligament injury) lesion and ulnar nerve entrapment at the elbow. For MHE, non-operative physical therapy approaches include steroid injection, cold laser therapy, and shock wave therapy [4-7]. The traditional operative approach requires release of the flexor/pronator muscles from the medial humeral epicondyle, is invasive and requires months of rehabilitation [8-11].

Lateral humeral epicondylitis, or tennis elbow, recently has been reported to be relieved successfully by denervation of the lateral humeral epicondyle [12,13]. This surgery has been shown to have a significantly less time required for rehabilitation than epicondylectomy [12]. The posterior cutaneous nerve to the forearm has branches to the lateral epicondyle that can be approached through an incision proximal to the elbow [13].

In 1998, the nerve to the medial humeral epicondyle was identified [14] and its resection to treat medial humeral epicondylar pain following ulnar nerve transposition reported in 2012 [15]. The present case report applies this concept, of denervation of the medial humeral epicondyle, for the first time, to the pain of thrower’s elbow in a teenaged pitcher.

Case Report

A 17 year old, right handed, baseball pitcher, began to experience pain at the right medial humeral epicondyle during the throwing motion of his fast ball. After 6 months of symptoms, and after trying physical modalities such as heat, guidance by his experience trainer in throwing motion technique, ultrasound treatments, he had an MRI of the elbow that documented no structural abnormalities. The concept of pain of neural origin was raised by a family friend and he was referred to me for evaluation.

Non-invasive neurosensory and motor testing with the Pressure-Specified Sensory Device™ demonstrated normal ulnar and median nerve function with normal pinch and grip strength. He had point tenderness at the medial humeral epicondyle during his pitching motion (Figure 1). A nerve block of the nerve to the medial humeral epicondyle directly at the medial intermuscular septum 2 cm proximal to the medial humeral epicondyle gave relief of the pain and he could do his usual throwing motion without pain. Based upon this nerve block’s improvement in function, a denervation procedure was done (Figures 2 and 3).

Immediately after the surgery, full use and range of motion of fingers and elbow was permitted, with him wearing a sling while walking just for the first week. At the 14th day he was allowed to begin carrying a...
baseball with that hand. At the 21st day he resumed gentle pitching with his trainer. At the 6th week he re-entered the pitching rotation for his high school team; “3 up, 3 down” (Figure 4). He has now entered college and will play baseball as a pitcher.

Operative technique

The surgery is done using no tourniquet, and with general anesthesia. Loupe magnification of 3.5 x power is utilized with a bipolar coagulator set at a low setting, such as 10 to 12. Local anesthetic is injected into the skin, but not the subcutaneous tissue, over the planned incision site, approximately 2 to 5 cm proximal to the medial humeral epicondyle. After incising the skin, the subcutaneous tissues are gently spread, taking care not to injure a posterior branch of the medial antebrachial cutaneous nerve. The dissection goes directly to the medial intermuscular septum. Then the ulnar nerve is identified posterior to the septum, and gently encircled with a vessel loop. The nerve to the medial epicondyle can be found either directly on the septum anteriorly, but is most often found within or posterior to the septum. The nerve is just 1 mm in diameter, requiring a patient and meticulous dissection. A segment of the septum can be removed to facilitate identification of this nerve. Once identified, the nerve can be gently pulled upon to demonstrate its distal innervation into the medial epicondyle, and stimulated electrically with a disposable stimulator to demonstrate there is no motor component. Then the nerve is infiltrated with the local anesthetic, then cauterized distally, divided distally, a segment submitted to pathology for identification, and the proximal end turned and implanted bluntly and without a suture into the medial head of the triceps muscle (Figures 2 and 3).

Figure 2: Intra-operative photos.
A: The marking on the right arm, showing the medial intermuscular septum (MIS) with the nerve to the medial humeral epicondyle (MHE) drawn on top of it.
B: The incision opened down to the medial intermuscular septum with the vessel loop identifying the ulnar nerve.

Discussion

Medial epicondylitis is a frequent problem in youthful baseball players. In a 2 year study of 298 youth pitchers, aged 9 to 14 years, conducted by telephone calls after baseball games, arm complaints were present in about 50% of those participating, with 26% having
elbow complaints and 32% having shoulder complaints [16]. When youth pitchers were evaluated during a one year pitching season, this same group of researchers found that throwing the slider caused elbow complaints in 52% and the curve ball caused shoulder complaints in 86% of pitchers [17]. This same group of doctors conducted an evaluation of 9 to 14 year olds, with a ten year follow-up period. Among 481 pitchers, the cumulative risk for injury was 5%, with those who threw more than 100 innings in a year having 3.5 times the risk of injury as those who threw less than 100 pitches per inning [18].

The surgical approach presented in this report, denervation of the medial humeral epicondyle, offers hope for those pitchers who have persistent MHE and who have not responded to the traditional non-operative approaches. Furthermore, the surgical technique reported here offers an approach that requires little post-operative rehabilitation, since the origins of the flexor/pronator muscle mass have not been released. This approach, as demonstrated by the youth pitcher described, permits early return to pitching.

Of course this is just the report of one patient, but it offers the possibility that those sports medicine physicians and surgeons involved with this type of problem in throwing and golfing sports can begin a more thorough evaluation of denervation in the treatment of MHE.
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