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Abstract
For Chinese word segmentation, the large-scale annotated corpora mainly focus on newswire and only a handful of annotated data is available in other domains such as patents and literature. Considering the limited amount of annotated target domain data, it is a challenge for segmenters to learn domain-specific information while avoid getting over-fitted at the same time. In this paper, we propose a neural regularized domain adaptation method for Chinese word segmentation. The teacher networks trained in source domain are employed to regularize the training process of the student network by preserving the general knowledge. In the experiments, our neural regularized domain adaptation method achieves a better performance comparing to previous methods.

1 Introduction
As the Chinese text comes without word delimiters, the Chinese word segmentation becomes a necessary step towards further syntactic analysis. With the evolving of statistical word segmentation techniques (Peng et al., 2004; Kiat Low et al., 2005; Zhang and Clark, 2008), some of the state-of-the-art systems (Sun, 2011; Hatori et al., 2012) reported high accuracy in large-scale annotated dataset (Xue et al., 2005; Emerson, 2005). However, as large-scale annotated corpora mainly focus on domains like newswire, it often brings a significant decrease in performance when we directly apply models trained on these corpora to other domains (Liu and Zhang, 2012; Li and Xue, 2014; Qiu and Zhang, 2015). Such a problem is mainly due to the differences in distributions between the training (source domain) and testing (target domain) data, and well-known as domain adaptation. In this paper, we focus on the fully-supervised domain adaptation (Daume, 2007) where large-scale annotated corpora of source domain and only a handful of annotated data of target domain are available. As the annotated data in target domain is often insufficient to train a effective model, the key problem is how to fully explore the information contained in the target domain data and avoid getting over-fitted at the same time.

Regularization is often employed in previous domain adaptation methods to escape the trap of over-fitting. Blitzer et al. (2007); Rozantsev et al. (2016) introduced loss functions that prevent corresponding weights from deviating significantly from the source model parameters. Kullback-Leibler divergence was added to force the feature distribution from adapted model to be close to that from the unadapted model (Yu et al., 2013). Ganin et al. (2016) adopted adversarial training to ensure that the feature distributions over the different domains are close to each other. In this paper, we employ a neural regularized domain adaption method based on Knowledge Distillation (Bucilu et al., 2006; Hinton et al., 2015) for Chinese word segmentation.

Knowledge distillation is first designed and proposed to do model compression (Bucilu et al., 2006; Hinton et al., 2015), where a teacher model and a student model is involved. The teacher model is a complex model and trained on large-scale annotated data. The student model is a small model and trained by mimicking the output of the teacher model. Because knowledge distillation is able to transfer knowledge between models, this method is extended and applied to other tasks. Li and Hoiem (2016) adopted this method to gradually add new capabilities to a multi-task system. Hu et al. (2016) transferred the knowledge of first-
order logic rules to enhance neural networks.

Domain adaptation is also explored by using knowledge distillation. Ao et al. (2017) utilized the unlabeled data to transfer the knowledge from the source models. Support Vector Machine is used as base classifier to efficiently solve the imitation parameter. Ruder et al. (2017) employed a measure for obtaining the trustworthiness of a teacher model. However, previous work mainly focus on semi-supervised domain adaptation of sentiment analysis, while we explore the fully-supervised domain adaptation of Chinese word segmentation.

In the domain adaptation for Chinese word segmentation, two kinds of domain adaptation tasks have been explored. One is annotation standard adaptation (Jiang et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2017), which explores the common underlying knowledge between the corpora with different annotation standards. The other is document type adaptation (Liu and Zhang, 2012; Liu et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014; Qiu and Zhang, 2015; Li and Xue, 2016), such as using newswire document to label novel (Liu et al., 2014).

In this paper, we focus on the document type adaptation which is a challenging problem in many real-world applications. As shown in Fig. 1, the model trained on publicly available newswire data outputs incorrect segmentation for patents.

In the previous work of this task, lexicons were proved effective for improving cross-domain performance (Zhang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014). Cross-domain features were explored to capture the characteristics of distributions utilizing unlabelled data in both source and target domain (Liu and Zhang, 2012; Li and Xue, 2016). However, previous methods mainly focus on feature-based methods utilizing unlabelled data or external resources such as lexicons. How to utilize a handful of annotated target domain data is still under exploration.

In this paper, we propose a neural regularized domain adaption method for Chinese word segmentation. A neural segmenter trained with source domain data is employed as the teacher model. A student model is then trained with target domain data under the regularization from the teacher model. The regularization retains the general information from source domain and prevents the student model from over-fitting during the target domain-specific training. Our contributions are as follows:

1. we propose a neural method for fully-supervised domain adaptation of Chinese word segmentation and show its effectiveness in the experiments.
2. we perform our neural domain adaptation method with different hyper-parameters and show it works as an neural regularization.
3. we analyse the results showing that our method explores the domain-specific information and preserves the general knowledge at the same time.
4. we propose a split of CTB9 data and perform domain adaptation experiments on the CTB9.

2 Method

2.1 Fully-supervised Domain Adaptaion

In the fully-supervised domain adaptation of Chinese word segmentation, one or multiple source domains $\{D_{s_1}, \ldots, D_{s_i}\}$ are provided with one target domain $D_t$. In each source domain, a trained model $T_i$ or a large-scale of annotated sentences $\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_{n_i}, y_{n_i})\}$ are available. While only a handful of annotated target domain sentences $\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_{n_t}, y_{n_t})\}$ are provided, where we have $n_i >> n_t$. In the domain adaptation, we aim at training a model that works well on the target domain. As the amount of target domain annotated data is limited, we are forced to explore both the general information of the source domain and the domain-specific information of the target domain.

2.2 Baseline Segmenter

In this paper, we take the convolutional neural segmenter as our baseline model because that (1) same as previous baseline models, convolutional neural segmenters take Chinese word segmentation as sequence labelling task (Xue, 2003); and (2) previous baseline segmenters (Liu and Zhang, 2012; Zhang et al., 2014; Li and Xue, 2016) are limited with local features. Therefore, it may be unfair to take recurrent networks with long-range
dependence as rival; (3) the performance of convolutional neural segmenter is comparable with previous baseline segmenters.

The architecture of our baseline model is simplified from (Chen et al., 2016), we remove the highway, recurrent and k-max pooling layer. And it is equivalent to a feed-forward neural network (Collobert et al., 2011) with multiple window sizes. We take the convolutional neural segmenter as an example, but our method is not limited by the architecture of neural segmenters.

The basic unit of convolutional neural networks (CNN) is filters (Kim, 2014), a filter of window size $w$ is represented as $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times d}$ where $d$ is the size of embeddings. Let $\mathbf{x}$ refers to the concatenate of $w$ character embeddings. Then features $c_i$ from a filter $i$ is generated by:

$$c_i = f(\mathbf{m} \otimes \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\otimes$ is convolution operator, $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are the weight matrix of filter and bias, $f$ is the non-linear function such as ReLU in our network. And for each window size, multiple filters are applied to generate multiple feature maps which are concatenated together. Then a softmax layer is appended for predicting the label of each character. Our neural word segmenter regards Chinese word segmentation as a sequence labelling task. The segmenter adopts BIES (Begin, Inside, End, Single) four labels scheme which represents the position of character inside a word. During the training phase, the cross-entropy cost function is used. And during the testing phase, the label sequences are constructed through beam search.

2.3 Neural Regularization

As shown in Fig. 2, the architecture of our neural regularization strategy consists of a teacher network and a student network. Both of them can be arbitrary neural network structures, and we take our baseline segmenter as an example. The teacher network can be obtained in two ways: (1) a provided source domain segmenter; or (2) a segmenter trained by provided annotated source domain data. And we aim at utilizing the teacher network $softmax(f_T(x))$ with a handful of target domain data $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ to train a student network $softmax(f_S(x))$ that works well in target domain.

The process of training is as following: (1) a sentence is feeded into the teacher network and the soft label distribution of each character $s^T$ is predicted by the teacher network as:

$$s^T_{ij} = softmax(f_T(x_{ij})/T),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $x_{ij}$ is the $j$-th character of $i$-th sentence, $T$ is a hyper-parameter named temperature to control the smoothness of the soft label distribution and smooth the regularization. (2) similar with step 1, the sentence is also feeded into the student network. The label distribution $p^S$ and a smoothed version $s^S$ are predicted for each character by the student network as:

$$p^S_{ij} = softmax(f_S(x_{ij})), \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

$$s^S_{ij} = softmax(f_S(x_{ij}/T)), \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

(3) train the student network with the annotated target domain data using the loss function as:

$$\ell_{seg} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} -y_{ij} \log p^S_{ij},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

$$\ell_{re} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} -s^S_{ij} \log s^S_{ij},$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\arg \min_{\theta} \ell = \alpha \ell_{seg} + (1 - \alpha) \ell_{re},$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\ell_{seg}$ is the supervised loss, $\ell_{re}$ is the regularization loss from the teacher network, $\theta$ is the parameters in the student network, $\alpha$ is a hyper-parameter balancing the supervised loss and regularization. Our neural regularization for Chinese word segmentation can be easily applied to multiple source domain scenario as:

$$\ell_{seg} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} -y_{ij} \log p^S_{ij},$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

$$\ell_{re_m} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i,j} -s^T_{ij} \log s^S_{ij},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\arg \min_{\theta} \ell = \alpha_1 \ell_{seg} + \sum_{m} \alpha_m \ell_{re_m},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

$$s.t. \hspace{0.5cm} \alpha_1 + \sum_{m} \alpha_m = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $\ell_{re_m}$ is the regularization loss from the $m$-th teacher network. The amount of target domain data is insufficient to train a model that generalizes well directly. In our neural regularized method, the neural regularization loss from the teacher network prevents the student network from overfitting in the target domain and protects the general information from the domain-specific training.
Our neural regularization is different from the traditional regularization used in the domain adaptation such as weights regularization (Blitzer et al., 2007; Rozantsev et al., 2016). The weights regularization works as a global setting that prevents any weights deviating from source domain models. Our neural regularization is more meticulous and tunes the loss of each sample respectively.

3 Experiments

3.1 Dataset

Following previous Chinese word segmentation domain adaptation methods, we employ the Chinese Treebank (CTB) (Xue et al., 2005) as the source domain data. The Patent (Li and Xue, 2014) and Zhuxian (Zhang et al., 2014) are used as the target domain data. The patent is often a description of a specifically designed system, which contains a high concentration of technical terms. Zhuxian is an Internet novel and has a different writing style comparing to CTB. Zhuxian also contains many novel specific named entity. The statistics of the data is shown in Table 1. It is obvious that the amount of source domain data is much larger than target domain data.

We also perform our method between different genres of CTB9. The Newswire (nw) in CTB9 is chosen as the source domain data. The Weblogs (wb), SMS/Chat messages (sc) and conversational speech (cs) are employed as the target domain data. We split each genre into train, development, test set, and the filelist is shown in Table 3. The statistics of the data is shown in Table 2. Note that in the CTB9, the source domain nw is not significantly larger than target domain such as wb, cs. The nw is even smaller comparing to sc.

| Type     | Sec. | Source     | Target    |
|----------|------|------------|-----------|
|          |      | CTB5       | CTB7      | Patent    | Zhuxian   |
| sent.    | train| 18k        | 36k       | 11k       | 2.4k      |
| words.   |      | 641k       | 839k      | 345k      | 67.6k     |
| sent.    | dev. | 0.35k      | 4.8k      | 1.5k      | 0.79k     |
| words.   |      | 6.8k       | 120k      | 46.2k     | 20.4k     |
| sent.    | test | 0.35k      | 11k       | 1.5k      | 1.4k      |
| words.   |      | 8.0k       | 241k      | 48.4k     | 34.4k     |

Table 1: Statistics of source and target datasets

| Type     | Sec. | CTB9     |
|----------|------|----------|
|          |      | nw       | wb       | sc   | cs   |
| sent.    | train| 8.1k     | 8.3k     | 35.2k| 12.7k|
| words.   |      | 197k     | 167k     | 242k | 124k |
| sent.    | dev. | 1.1k     | 0.80k    | 4.3k | 1.9k |
| words.   |      | 26.5k    | 21.3k    | 30.6k| 17.6k|
| sent.    | test | 1.1k     | 1.1k     | 4.5k | 2.1k |
| words.   |      | 26.7k    | 21.7k    | 30.6k| 18.9k|

Table 2: Statistics of genres used in our experiments. nw refers to Newswire. wb, sc and cs refer to Weblogs, SMS/Chat messages and conversational speech.

3.2 Hyper-Parameter Settings

In the experiments, the hyper parameters are chosen through grid search. The filters are set to 300 feature maps for each window size ranging from 2 to 5 characters. A dropout of 50% is adopted. The size of unigram and bigram character embeddings is 200 with a 20% dropout. The training is done through stochastic gradient descent with Adadelta (Zeiler, 2012). The hyper-parameter \( T \) is set to 2. The \( \alpha \) is set to 0.4 for Zhuxian 300s and CTB9 Weblogs, 0.5 for Patent 10, 0.6 for CTB9 conversational speech, Zhuxian 600s and Patent 20, 0.7 for Patent 100, 0.8 for CTB9 SMS/Chat messages.

1We use the bigram embedding following the implements of (Zhang et al., 2016).
Table 3: The split filelist of each genre. We only list the filelist of development and test data. The rest of data in each genre is used as training data.

| Genres | Sec. | ID list |
|--------|------|---------|
| nw     | dev. | 4041-4045, 0924-0927, 0830-0857, 0531-0535, 0443-0448, 0254-0288. |
|        | test | 4046-4050, 0928-0931, 0858-0885, 0536-0540, 0449-0454, 0289-0325. |
| wb     | dev. | 4332-4336. |
|        | test | 4337-4411. |
| sc     | dev. | 6548-6623. |
|        | test | 6624-6700. |
| cs     | dev. | 7014-7015. |
|        | test | 7016-7017. |

Figure 3: The results of our neural regularized method under different hyper-parameter $\alpha$ in Zhuxian development data.

Figure 4: The results of our neural regularized method under different hyper-parameter $\alpha$ in Patent development data.

3.3 Regularization Weights

For the traditional weight regularization, a hyper-parameter is often included to control the degree of regularization. When the network is regularized heavily, it often leads to under-fitting. While slight regularization may lead to over-fitting. In this section, we employ experiments to explore the effectiveness of the balancing hyper-parameter $\alpha$ used in our neural regularization. We want to know how the hyper-parameter $\alpha$ influences the performance of our method.

We perform our method in experiments between both CTB5 to Zhuxian and CTB7 to Patent. The hyper-parameter settings of the segmenter is same as mentioned in Sec. 3.2. The hyper-parameter $\alpha$ is searched ranging from 0.1 to 0.9 with a step size of 0.1. The results of CTB5 to Zhuxian and CTB7 to Patent are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4.

Take CTB5 to Zhuxian as an example, we train our teacher network with training data from CTB5 and perform our neural domain adaptation method to a student network using Zhuxian 300s and Zhuxian 600s. For both Zhuxian 300s and Zhuxian 600s data, the performance of our student network first improves and then decreases with the increasing of hyper-parameter $\alpha$. The decrease of performance is similar to traditional regularization with heavy or insufficient regularization.

And the best performance on the development is achieved in $\alpha = 0.6$ for Zhuxian 600s, $\alpha = 0.4$ for Zhuxian 300s. Note that a higher $\alpha$ makes the student network more focus on the target domain.

---

2 Patent 10, Patent 20 and Patent 100 refer to the 10%, 20% and 100% of the Patent training data. Zhuxian 300s and Zhuxian 600s refer to the 300 and 600 sentences of the Zhuxian training data.
The best development performance is achieved with different $\alpha$ is quite reasonable, because when the target domain data is becoming more and more sufficient, we can rely more on target domain data. And when the target domain data is sufficient to train a effective model by itself, we can use $\alpha = 1.0$ to turn off the regularization finally.

The similar results can also be found in the experiments between CTB7 to Patent 10 and Patent 20. The best performance of the student network is achieved when $\alpha = 0.5$ for Patent 10, $\alpha = 0.6$ for Patent 20.

### 3.4 Main Results

#### From CTB7 to Patent

We compare our neural regularized method with models from (Li and Xue, 2016) for the adaptation from CTB7 to Patent. The results are shown in Table 4. The performance of Baseline refers to the target domain performance of a baseline segmenter trained on source domain without any domain adaptation method. Li and Xue (2016) use a CRFs model as baseline model and improve the model from (Li and Xue, 2014). As shown in Table 4, the performance of our baseline model is comparable with their baseline model.

Li and Xue (Li and Xue, 2016) propose manually-crafted features to explore the domain-specific information in the patents and improve the accuracy of Chinese patent word segmentation. The manually-crafted features can be divided into In-domain features and Out-of-domain features. These features are used to model both the domain-specific characters combination and common cross-domain characteristics. They use the train set of Patent to train their model and the result is shown as Patent 100.

We employ the baseline model trained on the source domain as the teacher network and apply our neural regularized domain adaptation method to the student network with target domain data. Our method achieves a comparable performance with their model using only 20% of the Patent train set. We also list the performance of our method with 10%, 100% Patent train set as +Patent 10 and +Patent 100. As the target domain data is often considered much 'expensive' comparing to publicly available source domain data, it is better to use less target domain data as possible.

#### From CTB5 to Zhuxian

We also compare our methods with methods from (Zhang et al., 2014) for the adaptation from CTB5 to Zhuxian. The results are shown in Table 5. For (Zhang et al., 2014), manual annotated lexicon 3K, self-training and two train set with 300/600 sentences are adopted. The annotated lexicon is used as plugins to the model for different domains through feature templates. The self-training method uses the model with lexicon features to label target domain sentences. Then the automatically labelled sentences are combined with source domain data to extend the training data. The annotated target domain sentences are directly mixed with source domain data as training data.

We train our teacher network with CTB5 training data and apply the teacher network to regularize the target domain specific training of the student network with our neural regularized domain adaptation method. Although Zhang et al. (2014) employ a joint model of word segmentation and POS tagging as baseline model, which

| Methods | P     | R     | F1    |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Baseline | 86.10 | 86.30 | 86.20 |
| Patent 100 | 94.96 | 95.19 | 95.08 |
| Ours Baseline | 86.31 | 86.30 | 86.31 |
| Mix Patent 100 | 94.56 | 94.39 | 94.47 |
| Patent 100 | 95.13 | 95.26 | 95.20 |
| +Patent 10 | 94.57 | 94.54 | 94.56 |
| +Patent 20 | 94.95 | 95.09 | 95.02 |
| +Patent 100 | 95.57 | 95.81 | 95.69 |

Table 4: The results between CTB7 and Patent. Patent 10, Patent 20, Patent 100 refers to 10%, 20%, 100% of Patent train set. Mix refers to the method of training the model with mixed training data from Source and Target.

| Methods | P     | R     | F1    |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Baseline |       |       | 87.71 |
| +Self-Training |       |       | 88.62 |
| +300 |       |       | 92.44 |
| +300 +Self-Training |       |       | 93.24 |
| +3K +300 |       |       | 93.27 |
| +3K +300 +Self-Training |       |       | 93.98 |
| +600 |       |       | 93.09 |
| +600 +Self-Training |       |       | 93.77 |
| Ours Baseline | 85.91 | 85.05 | 85.48 |
| Mix 300 | 92.08 | 91.42 | 91.75 |
| Mix 600 | 93.14 | 92.69 | 92.92 |
| +300 | 93.61 | 93.30 | 93.45 |
| +600 | 94.43 | 94.11 | 94.27 |

Table 5: The results between CTB5 and Zhuxian
is stronger than our single-task baseline model. Our neural regularized domain adaptation method still achieves a better result under the same target domain resources. It shows the effectiveness of our neural regularization method on exploring target domain information and preserving general knowledge.

3.5 Result Analysis

In this section, we show and analyse the results of different model on the target domain test data. We take the Patent as an example and pick three sentences from the test set of Patent as shown in Fig. 5. The Baseline in the figure refers to a baseline segmenter trained on source domain without any domain adaptation method. The Patent20 in the figure refers to a baseline segmenter trained on target domain data Patent 20 without any regularization from source domain. Our method refers to the model trained with our neural regularized domain adaptation method utilizing both the source domain teacher network and target domain data.

Take the third sentence as an example, the meaning of this sentence is “after the blank rod is sent,”. This sentence contains both domain-specific words like “blank”, “rod” and general words such as “after”, “is sent”. The Baseline is trained on source domain lacking the target domain-specific information, and therefore, makes mistakes when handling the domain-specific words. For example, the Baseline did not segment the “blank” and “rod” correctly in the third sentence.

The Patent20 is trained on target domain data, but the training data is insufficient and leads to the lack of general knowledge. As shown in the figure, the Patent20 segments the domain-specific words correctly while makes mistakes when facing the general words. The Patent20 did not segment the general word “is sent” correctly.

Finally, with our neural regularized domain adaptation method, the neural model segments both domain-specific and general words correctly. It shows that our method explores the domain-specific information and preserves the general knowledge at the same time. The similar results can also be observed in other two sentences.

3.6 Experiments on the CTB9

We also perform our method between different genres of CTB9 as shown in Table 6. As mentioned in Sec. 3.1, in the CTB9, the source domain data is not significantly larger than target domain data. The nw, wb, sc, cs refer to Newswire, Weblogs, SMS/Chat messages, conversational speech respectively. The nw is chosen as the source domain data and the others are employed as the target domain data.

The Baseline refers to the target domain performance of a baseline segmenter trained with the Newswire data. The Target only refers to the target domain performance of a baseline segmenter trained with the target domain data only. The Our method refers to the performance of our neural regularized domain adaptation method.

Because few previous methods are adopted in CTB9, we only compare our method with a baseline model trained on source domain and a baseline model trained on target domain providing the performance of our method for further comparison of domain adaptation methods in the future. Our method achieves improvement over both Baseline and Target only.

4 Related Work

Domain adaptation can be roughly divided into the fully-supervised and the semi-supervised domain adaptation (Daume III, 2007). Much work has been done in this area. For example, in the fully-supervised scenario, the well-known method Easy Adaptation is proposed to augment the feature space of both source and target data and then the combined feature space is used to train cross-domain model(Daume III, 2007). Daumé III et al. (2010) then proposed a semi-supervised extension
of the Easy Adaptation, which harnesses unlabeled target domain data to ameliorate the transfer of information from source to target.

Knowledge Distillation is first proposed to compress the knowledge of a source model (Bucilu et al., 2006) into a smaller target model. Hinton et al. (2015) developed this approach using a different compression technique. (Lopez-Paz et al., 2015) proposed a framework unifying Knowledge Distillation (Hinton et al., 2015) and privileged information (Vapnik and Izmailov, 2015). As Knowledge Distillation is able to transfer knowledge, it has been extended to other tasks. Li and Hoiem (2016) adopted a method to gradually add new capabilities to a multi-task system while preserve the original capabilities. Hu et al. (2016) employed Knowledge Distillation to enhance various types of neural networks with declarative first-order logic rules. Ao et al. (2017) utilized the unlabeled data to transfer the knowledge from the source models and SVM was used as base classifier to efficiently solve the imitation parameter.

For Chinese word segmentation, previous works mainly focused on semi-supervised domain adaptation methods. Unsupervised character clustering feature and self-training method were explored (Liu and Zhang, 2012). The partially-annotated data was found to be more effective than lexicons based features (Liu et al., 2014). The effectiveness of manually annotated lexicons and sentences were explored and compared (Zhang et al., 2014). Li and Xue (2014) designed In-domain and Out-of-domain features to capture the distributional characteristics in patents and annotated a significant amount of Chinese patent data (Li and Xue, 2016). Qiu and Zhang (2015) reduced the burden of the manually annotated lexicons by mining entities in Chinese novel with information extraction techniques.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we focus on the fully-supervised domain adaptation for Chinese word segmentation and propose a neural regularized domain adaptation method. As the amount of annotated data in target domain is limited, it is insufficient to directly train an effective model and avoid overfitting. In our method, teacher networks trained in source domain are employed as general background knowledge to regularize the training process of the student network.

We investigate that the effect of hyperparameter $\alpha$ is similar to the hyper-parameter of traditional weights regularization. Then we evaluate our method in the adaptation of two target domain datasets, from CTB5 to Zhuxian and from CTB7 to Patent. Experiments show that our neural regularized domain adaptation method can achieve improved performance with previous domain adaptation methods. We also analyse the results and display some examples, which shows that our method explores the domain-specific information and preserves the general knowledge at the same time. Finally, we apply our method to different genres of CTB9 and provide the results for further comparison in the future.
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