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Ischaemic heart disease (IHD) remains the leading cause of death and disability worldwide. As a result, novel therapies are still needed to protect the heart from the detrimental effects of acute ischaemia–reperfusion injury, in order to improve clinical outcomes in IHD patients. In this regard, although a large number of novel cardioprotective therapies discovered in the research laboratory have been investigated in the clinical setting, only a few of these have been demonstrated to improve clinical outcomes. One potential reason for this lack of success may have been the failure to thoroughly assess the cardioprotective efficacy of these novel therapies in suitably designed preclinical experimental animal models. Therefore, the aim of this Position Paper by the European Society of Cardiology Working Group Cellular Biology of the Heart is to provide recommendations for improving the preclinical assessment of novel cardioprotective therapies discovered in the research laboratory, with the aim of increasing the likelihood of success in translating these new treatments into improved clinical outcomes.
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1. Introduction

Ischaemic heart disease (IHD) is the leading cause of death and disability worldwide. Therefore, novel therapies are required to protect the myocardium against the detrimental effects of acute ischaemia–reperfusion injury (IR injury) in patients with IHD, so as to reduce lethal cardiac arrhythmias and cardiomyocyte death, preserve cardiac function and prevent the onset of heart failure, and improve patient survival.

Despite a large number of novel cardioprotective strategies (both pharmacological and non-pharmacological) being discovered in the research laboratory, their translation into the clinical setting to improve patient outcomes has been largely disappointing. The reasons for this failure are multiple and can be divided into two main categories:

(i) the failure to rigorously test the novel cardioprotective therapy in the preclinical setting before embarking into the clinical arena;
(ii) the topic of the current European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Working Group (WG) Cellular Biology of the Heart Position Paper; and

In brief, the major issues with the study design of previous clinical cardioprotection studies relate to following areas: the selection of the patient population; taking into account known confounders of cardioprotection (such as age, diabetes, and hypertension); the timing of the administration of the cardioprotective therapy; and choosing the relevant endpoints for assessing the efficacy of the cardioprotective therapy.
The National Heart and Lung Institute (NHLI) have convened a WG,7 to discuss the reasons for the failure to translate potential cardioprotective therapies into the clinic, and they made several recommendations, including the formation of an US network of research laboratories with expertise in small (mice, rat, and rabbit) and large (pig) acute I/R injury models. The remit of the NIH (National Institute of Health) CAESAR (Consortium for preclinical Assessment of cardioprotective therapies) Cardioprotection Consortium (http://www.nihcaesar.org) is to systematically test a particular novel cardioprotective therapy using a multicentre randomized controlled study approach before venturing into the clinical setting. Interestingly, of the agents tested so far by the CAESAR Cardioprotection Consortium, sodium nitrite and sildenafil citrate have both failed to limit myocardial infarction (MI) size, despite prior studies reporting beneficial effects with these therapies.8,9

In the present ESC WG Cellular Biology of the Heart Position Paper, we review the current approaches used for determining which novel cardioprotective therapies discovered in the research laboratory are investigated in the clinical setting. We recommend new strategies for optimizing this process, in order to limit the novel cardioprotective therapies selected for testing in the clinical setting to those most likely to succeed in improving patient outcomes.

2. Why has the translation of novel cardioprotective therapies into improved clinical outcomes been so difficult?

It takes a triad of: (i) creative and innovative basic scientists who generate a novel mechanistic insight into the signal transduction of cardioprotective strategies, and identify novel signalling molecules, which may eventually be suited for drug development; (ii) enthusiastic physician scientists with a personal background in basic science and thorough clinical experience in the care of patients subjected to acute I/R injury, who can eventually perform proof-of-concept trials; and finally (iii) a dedicated pharmaceutical company with sufficient financial and logistic capabilities to develop a molecule of interest into a drug for the market. With respect to the largely disappointing translation of cardioprotection into clinical practice over the last decades, we have seen failures at every single level of the above triad and even more so in their interaction.

The basic science in the field of cardioprotection is increasingly being performed by non-physicians, oriented towards molecular and (sub-) cellular approaches and using largely reductionist in vitro cell models or at best rodent preparations: the strength of this development is the generation of truly novel mechanistic insights on a basic level of molecular and cellular biology, and the disadvantage is its ever increasing distance from clinical reality. Of note, the use of less reductionist and a more integrative large mammal model of regional myocardial ischaemia/reperfusion. Also, pharmaceutical companies have prematurely embarked on novel signalling molecules for drug development, only to later find out that the experimental data were not so solid and non-controversial as initially assumed. An extremely important step in the translation of cardioprotection is the conduct of small proof-of-concept clinical trials. Such trials have more recently established proof-of-concept for protection by postconditioning and remote preconditioning in elective and emergency I/R settings;10–14 of note, this success was related to mechanical strategies or to established, no longer patent-protected drugs where there was no involvement of pharmaceutical industry.

3. Statistical considerations in research

A recurring observation in research is that the promise of impressive and significant results in preclinical studies tends to gradually evaporate during subsequent clinical trials. This frustrating phenomenon is hardly restricted to studies of cardioprotection. Indeed, a widely discussed publication of statistical simulations arrived at the disturbing conclusion that most current published research findings are, in fact, false.15 This is not due to fraud but is the expected outcome when many investigators in a large scientific field conduct numerous small studies, with a bias towards the publication of positive results and the presence of other biases such as flexibility in experimental design.15 While some of these problems can be difficult to overcome,15 a number of specific recommendations can be given for preclinical animal studies that should be completed before progressing to clinical trials.

First, of course, it is essential to adhere to the usual expectations of a well-designed experiment, including the use of contemporaneous controls, correct vehicle controls, and appropriate use of ANOVA for statistical analysis of multiple groups. It is important to be aware that while the arbitrarily chosen P-value of 0.05 is indicative of significance, it does not necessarily imply that reproducibility will occur at least 95% of the time.16

Wherever possible, the statistical power of an experiment should be calculated a priori, from previously existing data, and the required number of animals per group calculated before embarking on experiments. Not only are underpowered experiments less likely to detect significant effects, when they do, they are less likely to represent a true effect that will be reproducible. Despite the drive to reduce animal numbers for ethical reasons, it must be recognized that insufficiently powered experiments are themselves, wasteful, misleading, and hence unethical. The Animal Research: Reporting In Vivo Experiments (ARRIVE) guidelines17 specify the importance of calculating sample size and power, but such calculations assume an accurate measurement of the expected size of effects. A recent damning indictment of studies in the neuroscience field demonstrates that many studies are severely
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Table 1 Six points ‘checklist’ for evaluating published preclinical research studies

|   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. Were the experiments performed blinded? | ✓ |
| 2. Were basic experiments repeated? | ✓ |
| 3. Were all the results presented? | ✓ |
| 4. Were there positive and negative controls? | ✓ |
| 5. Were reagents validated? | ✓ |
| 6. Were statistical analysis tests appropriate? | ✓ |

underpowered. It cannot be assumed that studies in the cardioprotection field would fare much better.

Other important recommendations are that exclusion criteria be defined in advance, rigorously adhered to, and reported in publications. The animals and treatments should be randomized, with the researcher blinded as to whether an individual animal is receiving vehicle or drug. The analysis should also be performed blinded to the treatment group. Any conflicts of interest must be reported.

Finally, it is the responsibility of the researcher to ensure that results as reported are unbiased and as accurate a representation of data as possible. Recent events in cardiovascular research have highlighted the importance of vigilance in this regard.

Of note, a useful ‘checklist’ of six points for evaluating published preclinical research includes: blinding of experiments, repetition of experiments, selection of results presented, presence of positive and negative controls, validation of reagents such as antibodies, and the use of appropriate statistical tests (Table 1). Previous studies have shown that when such guidelines are not adhered to, the odds of obtaining significant effects in animal studies can be approximately three-fold higher. It has been proposed that animal trials should be pre-registered, similar to clinical trials. While this approach is currently rare in basic science, possibilities do exist (e.g. The Open Science Framework), and their expanded use might help to reduce a positive publication bias.

One effective means of avoiding many of the above pitfalls is to ensure experiments are replicated by several independent groups. In fact, such a multicentre design was first used in the cardioprotection field in 2000, in order to examine the efficacy of an adenosine A1 receptor agonist in a multicentre design was first used in the cardioprotection field in 2000, in order to examine the efficacy of an adenosine A1 receptor agonist in multiple experiments are replicated by several independent groups. In fact, such a multicentre design was first used in the cardioprotection field in 2000, in order to examine the efficacy of an adenosine A1 receptor agonist in non-clinical experiments. The results of this rigorous trial were negative. However, the disappointment of negative results must be weighed against the benefit of being able to refocus efforts on drugs, which are more likely to be clinically translatable. Subsequently, a workshop held in June 2003 by the NHLI recommended that an independent organization for the preclinical assessment of cardioprotective therapies be established. This has now been realized in a publically accessible infrastructure called CAESAR. The remit of this consortium is to test promising therapies in three species (in vivo acute I/R injury rodent, rabbit, and porcine models) across three centres.

A logical approach would be to develop an equivalent European facility for preclinical testing of cardioprotective therapies.

4. Improving the experimental models of acute I/R injury

Once a cardioprotective therapy has been proved to be safe and efficient in preclinical animal studies, it is estimated that only 20% of these are translated into the clinical setting for patient benefit. The translation from bench to bedside would certainly be improved if preclinical studies were more appropriately designed. A non-adapted experimental protocol, an inadequate choice of the animal model, the exclusion of confounding factors will all contribute to limiting the chance of a successful translation to humans.

4.1 Selecting the appropriate experimental model of acute I/R injury

When designing the experimental study for testing the novel cardioprotective therapy, careful selection of the appropriate model will be crucial. In vivo experiments models of acute I/R injury, and can assess the direct effect of the novel cardioprotective therapy on the cardiomyocyte itself. However, they do not accurately represent the organism as a whole, and do not include the complex interactions of different cell types and/or effector systems.

The primary cell of the heart, which is damaged by acute I/R injury, is the cardiomyocyte. The primary adult cardiomyocyte is the most closely related to the adult heart in terms of characteristics and is most often harvested from mice, rats, or rabbits, but can also be obtained from guinea pig, dog, pig, and human. A number of different cells have been used in place of adult primary cardiomyocytes in experimental models of acute I/R injury, including HL-1 cells (derived from murine atrial myoblasts), H9C2 cells (a rat cardiomyoblast cell line), C2C12 cells (a murine myoblast cell line), (embryonic) stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes, and neonatal cardiomyocytes. Although these cells may possess some of the key features of adult cardiomyocytes such as an organized internal structure, cell–cell contacts, high oxygen consumption, and spontaneous beating, several key differences such as the ability to proliferate and signal transduction pathways might affect their use as surrogates for primary adult cardiomyocytes. Adult progenitor cell-derived cardiomyocytes are electrophysiologically more mature cardiomyocytes than those derived from young (foetal) progenitor cells, indicating that several stages of maturation exist in cardiomyocytes, and this could potentially affect the response to cardioprotection.

The simulated acute I/R injury model itself can vary, with the use of a variety of different insults to simulate acute I/R injury including metabolic inhibition (using cyanide), hypoxic pelleting of cells (using surface mineral oil), and oxidative stress (using hydrogen peroxide). However, these experimental models are unable to recapitulate the complex conditions of acute I/R injury.

For a cell model of simulated acute I/R injury, we recommend that primary adult cardiomyocytes should be used with ischaemia simulated by incubating the cells in ischaemic buffer (de-oxygenated and substrate-free) in an air-tight hypoxic chamber. Reperfusion can then be simulated by replacing the ischaemic buffer with normal oxygenated buffer and removing the cells from the hypoxic chamber. The simulated ischaemic and reperfusion times should be characterized for the cell type with the overall aim to induce 50% of cardiomyocyte death.

4.1.2 Ex vivo models of acute myocardial I/R injury

Ex vivo models of myocardial acute I/R injury using the isolated perfused heart are often used to assess the cardioprotective efficacy of a novel...
therapy, as they can be carried out relatively rapidly, and they often produce reproducible results in the absence of systematic factors in the blood. The Langendorff-perfused heart was first used at the end of the 19th century, and comprises mounting the isolated heart on the aortic cannula of a Langendorff perfusion apparatus and retrogradely perfusing the heart at a constant flow or constant pressure, using blood or oxygenated buffer (typically Krebs–Henseleit solution). This model is most often used for perfusing hearts from small animals such as mice, rats, rabbits, and guinea pigs, but it can also be used for larger animal hearts from pig and even human hearts. The ischaemic insult is induced regionally by coronary ligation (thereby mimicking a clinical AMI) or globally via partial or complete reduction of the flow (thereby mimicking the ischaemic conditions of cardiac bypass surgery).

The isolated working heart model of acute I/R injury allows one to perfuse the heart in the anterograde manner via the left atrium, filling the left ventricle and ejected via the aorta against a variable afterload. The advantages of this model over Langendorff perfusion include the ability to modulate both preload and afterload on the heart, and this model allows low-flow ischaemia.

Although the ex vivo Langendorff and isolated working heart models are useful for assessing the cardioprotective efficacy of novel therapies, the complexity of the in vivo setting is not recapitulated by these models and, for this reason, they should only be used as an initial step in the preclinical assessment of novel cardioprotective therapies.

### 4.1.3 In vivo heart models of acute I/R injury

In the majority of preclinical in vivo animal models of acute I/R injury, the main left coronary is occluded to induce complete regional ischaemia for 30–90 min depending on the animal species, followed by coronary reflow to induce myocardial reperfusion. The duration of reperfusion can vary depending on the particular animal model of acute I/R injury (see later). This experimental model of acute I/R injury best represents the clinical setting of a patient presenting with an acute ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), who is reperfused by primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI).

A variety of methods have been employed to induce AMI. Left anterior descending coronary artery ligation following left thoracotomy is by far the most commonly used technique, although the model can be time-consuming and the recovery model is associated with a high mortality rate, varying from 20 to 50% according to the species.

Closed-chest models of AMI, in which occlusion is performed by intracoronary devices (most frequently by catheterization and inflation of an angioplasty balloon), can be performed in rodents and large animals. These models have the advantage of not being associated with the surgical trauma and inflammation associated with the open-chest approach.

Experimental coronary microembolization using intracoronary infusion of microparticles can serve as a model of an acute coronary syndrome simulating the microvascular obstruction and dysfunction, which occurs in these patients. Repetitive intracoronary infusion of microparticles induces severe myocardial dysfunction and has been used as an experimental model of ischaemic heart failure. Intracoronary infusion of inert microspheres induces embolization of the coronary microcirculation, where the level of vascular obstruction depends on microsphere diameter. The initial response to coronary microembolization is an immediate decrease in coronary blood flow, which is followed by a reactive hyperaemia response. Since coronary microembolization induces permanent coronary microvascular obstruction, patchy MI with a subsequent inflammatory reaction ensues. Infiltration of leucocytes goes along with increased expression of tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) and a signal transduction of nitric oxide, sphingosine, and reactive oxygen species formation with subsequent oxidative modification of the contractile machinery induces contractile dysfunction. On the other hand, the enhanced expression of TNFα following coronary microembolization can protect from subsequent MI and this may partially explain the protection observed clinically with pre-infarction angina.

In summary, if using a cell-based model of simulated acute I/R injury to assess the novel cardioprotective therapy, primary adult cardiomyocytes should be used where possible. Ex vivo isolated perfused heart models of acute I/R injury may be used to ‘screen’ the novel therapy where applicable, but it is essential to demonstrate cardioprotective efficacy in small and large in vivo animal models of acute I/R injury.

### 4.1.4 Specialist models of acute myocardial I/R injury

There are other clinical settings in which the heart is subjected to acute I/R injury such as cardiac bypass surgery, cardiac arrest (CA), and cardiac transplantation, which are not represented in the preclinical setting using the above acute I/R injury models. In this case, more specialized preclinical animal I/R injury models are required, which better reflect these clinical settings.

#### 4.1.4.1 Cardiopulmonary bypass surgery model

The clinical setting of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) surgery provides a controlled setting for investigating the efficacy of novel cardioprotective strategies against perioperative myocardial injury, the extent of which can be measured using serum cardiac enzymes. However, this model of acute I/R injury is complicated by several factors: (i) the presence of other cardioprotective strategies such as cardioplegia and hypothermia; (ii) the presence of concomitant medication which may potentially be cardioprotective such as inhaled anaesthetics, nitrates, and opioids; and (iii) the heart is subjected to a variety of different forms of injury including global I/R injury, direct injury from handling of the heart, coronary microembolization, and inflammatory injury from the CPB circuit.

Therefore, in order to simulate the clinical setting of cardiac bypass surgery, a specific I/R injury model of CPB may be better suited to test novel cardioprotective therapies. In this regard, there are rat models of CPB which are well-established and have the following advantages when compared with larger animal models: rats are relatively cheap, easy to survive, and do not require intensive care units (ICUs) after surgery. More realistic CPB models of global myocardial I/R injury can be achieved in large animals (such as dogs or pigs) with median sternotomy, aortic cross-clamping, and direct perfusion with cardioplegic solution leading to CA. However, such animal models are expensive and require the presence of ICU for recovery studies, and the evaluation of organ damage is difficult to standardize.

#### 4.1.4.2 Cardiac arrest models

Although timely cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) can result in 25–50% of patients achieving restoration of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), only 2–10% of patients survive without any neurological deficit. Given the poor outcome in this patient group, novel cardioprotective therapies are required to protect the heart against acute I/R injury, reduce arrhythmias and cardiomyocyte death, and preserve left ventricular (LV) systolic function. Apart from brain injury, one major reason for this poor outcome post-CPR is due to the post-CA
syndrome, which comprises in part systemic I/R injury and post-CPR myocardial dysfunction which can be present in 50% of successfully resuscitated patients. In patients presenting with a CA, the heart is subjected to global ischaemic injury and following timely CPR, and if restoration of ROSC is successful, the heart is subjected to global reperfusion injury, the effects of which are cardiomyocyte death, and post-CPR myocardial dysfunction.

There are both small (murine and rat) and large animal (rabbit and pig) models of acute I/R injury, which are able to simulate CA, successful CPR, and ROSC. In these models, CA is induced using either an electrode to cause ventricular fibrillation or anoxia (stopping ventilation) and is left untreated for several minutes, then CPR is started (ventilations and external cardiac compressions) for a period of time, followed by defibrillation to achieve ROSC. In these models, the most common endpoints of cardioprotection include death, cerebral function, myocardial injury, and LV systolic function. These animal CA models have been used to investigate a number of cardioprotective therapies, including inhaled anaesthetics, and cyclosporine A.

4.1.4.3 Cardiac transplantation models
When the heart is removed from the donor patient, it is subjected to acute global ischaemic injury, although this is prevented by cardioplegic solution and hypothermia. The heart remains ischaemic until it is implanted into the recipient heart where it is subjected to acute global reperfusion injury. Acute myocardial I/R injury sustained during cardiac transplantation can contribute to graft vasculopathy and failure. Therefore, novel cardioprotective strategies are required to protect the transplanted heart from the detrimental effects of acute I/R injury in order to preserve graft function. In this regard, a variety of clinical studies have investigated a variety of cardioprotective interventions applied to the donor heart including pharmacological agents (i.e. sodium–hydrogen exchange inhibitors) and mechanical interventions (conditioning) [reviewed in ref. (61–63)].

4.1.4.4 Computational models

In silico (‘in silicon’) systems, in which mathematical models of a physiological or pharmacological system are developed and tested on a computer, are a hybrid of in vivo/ex vivo and in vitro techniques. Like in vivo techniques, in silico experiments are designed to mimic the behaviour of organisms in their entirety. However, like in vitro experiments, they do not require actual experimentation on animal subjects, and conditions can be better controlled. To date, several studies have reported a number of analyses and protocols in relation to myocardial ischaemia and reperfusion (reviewed in Ferrero et al.64). Future work should focus on broadening the applicability of these modelling techniques outside of the realm of pharmacology, and towards novel cardioprotective strategies.

In summary, there exist small and large animal models of CPB, CA, and cardiac transplantation for investigating novel cardioprotective therapies, which better represent the patient subjected to acute I/R injury in these clinical settings.

4.2 Selecting the appropriate experimental protocol
After selecting the appropriate model of ischaemia and reperfusion, careful consideration needs to be taken in the design of the experimental protocol.

4.2.1 Choice of anaesthetic
Although volatile anaesthetics are currently the preferred drug of choice to anaesthetize animals in preclinical experiments, their use in cardioprotective studies has been limited, due to their inherent cardioprotective properties. Ex vivo, the use of sodium pentobarbitone remains the anaesthetic of choice for isolated heart studies as isoflurane and sevoflurane reduce MI size and improve functional parameters, when administered prior to ischaemia. When conducting in vivo experiments, the choice of the anaesthetic agent is critical as it may directly affect the measurement of different endpoints. As an example, the use of some anaesthetics may modify the heart rate in such a way that it may compromise the capture of data by echocardiography.

4.2.2 Duration of ischaemia
In most experimental models of AMI, the duration of the index ischaemic episode is selected so as to generate 50% cell death or an MI size of 50% of the area-at-risk (AAR). This allows the experimental acute I/R injury model to determine whether the novel cardioprotective therapy is beneficial or detrimental. However, the response of the heart to I/R injury varies depending on the animal model used. In rodent models of AMI, 30–40 min of either regional or global myocardial ischaemia is sufficient to induce an MI size of 50% of the AAR, whereas in porcine and primate MI models, which are more representative of the human physiology in terms of ischaemic time, 50–90 min of myocardial ischaemia is required to achieve equivalent levels of infarction. Crucially, in patients presenting with an AMI who are treated by reperfusion therapy, the time from onset of symptoms to reperfusion can vary from 30 min to 12 h, with evidence of MI (troponin release) developing after 30 min. Even then, the MI size in patients is highly variable and in theory, it can range between 5 and 40% of the LV volume depending on the site of the acute coronary occlusion. However, in clinical cardioprotection studies in which both MI size and the AAR have been measured using cardiac MRI, mean MI size as a % LV volume has been reported as 15–25%, and the mean infarct size as a % of the AAR has been found to be 39–70%.

4.2.3 Duration and mode of reperfusion
Because this review focuses on novel cardioprotective therapies for preventing acute I/R injury, we do not review experimental models of permanent coronary artery ligation, which are most often used to investigate post-MI heart failure. The reperfusion time used in experimental models of acute I/R injury varies with the model. In some cases, short periods of reperfusion lasting only 2–3 h are used at the end of which the experiment is terminated and MI size determined. Although this particular model allows rapid assessment of the cardioprotective efficacy of the novel therapy, it does not adequately represent the clinical setting in which MI assessment may occur several days after reperfusion. Therefore, in order to accurately assess the cardioprotective efficacy of the novel therapy, extended periods of reperfusion should be used where possible, although for these prolonged periods of reperfusion, the animal has to be recovered from anaesthesia, making this approach technically more demanding. Long-term cardioprotective efficacy is often assessed at 2–4 weeks post-MI, an experimental approach that allows one to also determine the effect of the novel cardioprotective therapy on post-MI LV remodelling. The availability of echocardiography and small and large animal cardiac MRI (MRI) allow one to serially assess the cardioprotective efficacy of the novel therapy on MI size, cardiac function, and post-MI remodelling over time.
4.2.4 Drug administration

An important aspect to consider when testing the efficacy of a novel cardioprotective therapy is to ensure that the timing of the drug administration takes into account the clinical setting of the acute I/R injury. If myocardial reperfusion injury in PPCI-treated STEMI patients is the intended clinical target, then it would be essential to demonstrate that the novel cardioprotective therapy can limit MI size when administered just prior or at the onset of reperfusion. Conversely, if the therapy is intended for use in clinical settings in which the onset of acute I/R injury can be reliably anticipated such as in elective PCI or coronary artery bypass graft surgery, then it would be essential to show that the novel cardioprotective therapy is effective when administered prior to the index ischaemic event. Most importantly, the use of appropriate vehicle/sham control groups needs to be included in the study, especially when invasive mechanical therapeutic interventions are being considered.

4.2.5 Endpoints for assessing cardioprotection

A number of different endpoints have been measured to assess the cardioprotective efficacy of a novel therapy. The most robust and critical endpoint is to demonstrate a reduction in cardiomyocyte death induced by acute I/R injury. Following a sustained episode of lethal I/R, the major determinants of MI size are the AAR of infarction, the duration of ischaemia, and the presence of collateralization. Therefore, providing the index ischaemic time is fixed, significant reduction in cardiomyocyte death induced by acute I/R injury can be reliably anticipated such as in elective PCI or coronary artery bypass graft surgery, then it would be essential to show that the novel cardioprotective therapy is effective when administered prior to the index ischaemic event. Most importantly, the use of appropriate vehicle/sham control groups needs to be included in the study, especially when invasive mechanical therapeutic interventions are being considered.

4.2.5.1 Myocardial infarct size

It is essential to demonstrate that a novel cardioprotective therapy can reduce cardiomyocyte death induced by acute I/R injury. Following a sustained episode of lethal I/R, the major determinants of MI size are the AAR of infarction, the duration of ischaemia, and the presence of collaterals. Therefore, providing the index ischaemic time is fixed, significant collateralization has been excluded or accounted for, and MI size should be expressed as the percentage of the AAR. In most animal I/R injury studies, MI size and the AAR are delineated using dual staining with triphenyltetrazolium chloride (TTC) and Evan’s Blue [for more details on MI size measurement techniques, see review in ref. (35, 77)]. Although this technique is well established and widely used, it is important to keep in mind that it has some limitations as it relies on the wash-out of dehydrogenases, and therefore the histological determination of MI size may be a more reliable and robust technique. A complimentary approach to measuring MI size by either TTC or histology is to assess MI size using cardiac enzymes.

4.2.5.2 Cardiac enzyme release

The measurement of cardiac enzyme release either in the serum (in vivo studies) or perfusate (isolated heart studies) allows a dynamic assessment of cardiomyocyte death as reperfusion progresses, providing an insight into the potential mechanisms underlying I/R injury. It also provides an alternative assessment of cardioprotective efficacy for a novel therapy, which compliments MI size measured by TTC or histology. In the past, creatine kinase and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) have been used, but more recently troponins T and I have been used to measure myocardial necrosis in experimental I/R injury models. In the post-ischaemic reperfused isolated rat heart, there appear to be two distinct peaks of LDH release, one occurring in the first few minutes of reperfusion, and the second taking place later between 30 and 120 min reperfusion, potentially reflecting different phases of reperfusion injury—of note, these peaks were differentially attenuated by the cardioprotective therapy. The potential existence of this phenomenon in vivo and the mechanism underlying the two different peaks of reperfusion injury need to be investigated as the kinetic of cardiac enzyme release may differ between in vitro and in vivo conditions.

4.2.5.3 Functional endpoints

Functional endpoints such as LV development pressure and LV ejection fraction are sometimes used to assess the cardioprotective efficacy of novel therapies. However, reduced contractile function in the setting of myocardial I/R is difficult to interpret, as it may reflect adaptation to ischaemia as in hibernating myocardium, irreversible injury as in stunning, irreversible injury from infarction, or remodelling post-MI. Therefore, functional data should not be considered in isolation and should be used to complement measured MI size. In the isolated heart, the use of an intraventricular balloon connected to a transducer/chart recorder will give useful functional parameters including heart rate and LV developed pressure. Insertion of a catheter can capture real-time right or LV pressure—volume loops, and these can be used in vitro or in vivo to measure the beat-to-beat variations in cardiac systolic and diastolic function. An ECG can assess arrhythmias and echocardiography can monitor cardiac dimensions and contractile function.

4.2.5.4 Long-term cardioprotection

The long-term cardioprotective effects of novel therapies can be investigated in terms of final MI size and post-MI LV remodelling as measured by LV dimensions and function using either cardiac echocardiography or MRI. There is also an opportunity to assess the effects of a novel cardioprotective therapy on post-MI survival. In summary, MI size is the most reliable and robust endpoint for assessing the cardioprotective efficacy of novel therapies. The duration of the index ischaemia used should be sufficient to cause 50% cardiomyocyte death or an MI size of 50% of the AAR. Extended periods of reperfusion (24–72 h) are preferred as these allow one to evaluate the long-term cardioprotective efficacy of the novel therapy. Careful consideration of the intended clinical application of the new treatment should be given when timing the administration of the novel cardioprotective therapy in the preclinical setting.

4.3 Selecting the appropriate animal model

Preclinical animal AMI models are not always predictive, and in some cases they poorly represent the clinical setting. Inadequate selection of the animal species could importantly contribute to spurious or inconsistent results, as well as unnecessary animal use. The lack of prediction and conflicting findings are related, in some instances, to species-dependent sensitivity to myocardial I/R injury—that does not necessarily reflect disease in humans—which in turn, is modulated by anatomical factors, metabolism, idiosyncratic toxicity reactions, immunological responses, as well as co-morbidities and their routine medications.

4.3.1 Species, strain, and genetically modified animals

The common use of rat and murine AMI models in the research field of cardioprotection is driven more by practicality and cost, rather than by relevance to the clinical setting. However, some cardioprotective strategies that have proved to protect in experimental conditions using initially a rat/mouse model have been translated to humans in small proof-of-concept clinical studies, making the use of these models relevant to delineate concepts and cellular signalling events. It is important to keep in mind that reproducibility of cardioprotective strategies may also differ between strains in the same species. Genetic...
The use of mice is the preferred animal model for genetic manipulation. However, many knockout animals have not been fully characterized, and the manipulation of one gene may lead to multiple pathophysiological adaptations which are not controlled by the researchers. Therefore, the conclusions of these studies should be interpreted with caution.84 When studying novel cardioprotective therapies in genetically modified animals, the choice of the littermate wild-type control over the original strain mouse is also critical as a small genetic variation often incorrectly considered as irrelevant by the researchers, may affect the outcome of the study. Hence, six cycles of ischaemia and reperfusion as an ischaemic postconditioning cardioprotective strategy in the isolated mouse heart model subjected to ischaemia–reperfusion reduced the infarct size in C57BL/6 pure mice, but failed to protect in C57BL/6 floxed signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT-3) mice commonly used as littermate controls in studies with STAT-3 knockout mice.83

4.3.2 Small-to-large animals
Animal size is a critical determinant of the experimental strategy and may be a limiting factor in itself for certain procedures (i.e. technical challenge for the instrumentation of coronary vessels in small animals, unaffordable costs derived from the wider biodistribution of some engineered compounds in large animals). Small-sized animals, typically mice and rats, and to a lesser extent guinea pigs and rabbits, are extensively used in cardioprotection studies, mainly due to their lower cost, shorter gestation time, easier handling, and well-characterized native or manipulated genetic profile.84 This practice is facilitated by the availability of novel technological tools that allow the use of rodents for in vivo cardiac echocardiography and MRI.85 In contrast, the use of large animals (primarily pigs and dogs, and more exceptionally sheep and non-human primates) is limited by their high cost, difficult maintenance, requirement of skilled personnel, and increased individual and social ethical concerns. As a general rule, there is an inverse relationship between body weight and heart rate across animal species due to size-dependent differences in a metabolic demand.86,87 Consequently, oxygen consumption and cardiac contractile activity are higher in small animals, resulting in increased free radical production, reduced contraction-relaxation times, and differences in calcium handling and myofilament protein isoforms.87,88 In rodents, sarco/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase (SERCA) is responsible for the sequestration of 92% of cytosolic calcium and only the remaining 8% is extruded by the sarcolemmal sodium/calcium exchange (NCX),88 whereas in humans SERCA and NCX account for 76 and 24% of calcium normalization, respectively.89 These differences may be particularly relevant when testing pharmacological cardioprotective strategies during the first minutes of reperfusion, in which cell death develops mainly as a consequence of cytosolic calcium overload and rapid sarcoplasmic reticulum-driven calcium oscillations.90 However, large body size does not warrant anatomical resemblance with the human cardiovascular system. The extent of collateralization and atherosclerotic resistance/blood lipid profile confer non-comparable native cardioprotection after an ischaemic insult. A well-developed coronary collateral circulation is present in dogs and cats (and guinea pigs), whereas it is negligible in pigs and primates (and rodents).91 Similarly, most dog strains are relatively resistant to develop atherosclerotic disease.92 Although selective breeding for production purposes have distanced adult swine heart/body weight relationship from that present in humans, pigs are considered the better suited animals for cardioprotection studies,93 because they share with humans similar coronary circulation anatomy and aortic histology, as well as vulnerability to develop spontaneous or diet-induced vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques.93 Also, and unlike other animals, pigs may develop coronary restenosis after gradual occlusion.94 Primates are notoriously resistant to MI and develop only 17% infarct size of the AAR after 90 min coronary occlusion.95 Finally, it is important to take into account that some of the most powerful cardioprotective strategies like pre- and postconditioning have been proved to be more dependent on age, co-morbidities, and drugs than on animal size.96,97

4.3.3 Gender and age
Most of the experimental studies confirm the clinical observations that female hearts have an increased resistance to I/R injury compared with male hearts [for review, see ref. (96,98–100)]. Cardioprotective strategies such as ischaemic pre- and postconditioning also appear to be influenced by the gender of the animal, and menopause may affect resistance towards I/R injury during aging in females.98 Similarly, while pre- and postconditioning attenuate I/R injury in young animal hearts, most studies suggest a loss of protection in aged hearts [for review, see ref. (97,101,102)].

4.3.4 Co-morbidities
Hyperlipidaemia was the first cardiovascular risk factor or co-morbidity to be associated with the loss of cardioprotection by preconditioning in rabbits and rats.103,104 Since then, it has been well established that, in addition to hyperlipidaemia, most of the major co-morbidities of IHD as well as their co-medications may lead to the loss or attenuation of cardioprotection by ischaemic or pharmacological conditioning [see, for extensive review, ref. (96,97)]. However, most experimental studies on cardioprotection are still undertaken in healthy juvenile animal models, although IHD in humans is a complex disorder caused by or associated with cardiovascular risk factors and co-morbidities, including hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, diabetes, and aging. These risk factors induce fundamental alterations in cellular signalling cascades that affect the severity of I/R injury and the responses to cardioprotective interventions. In the hypertensive heart with or without LV hypertrophy, while cardioprotection by preconditioning is still present, reduction in MI size by ischaemic postconditioning appears to be lost.97,105,106 Most of the preclinical studies, together with some small scale clinical studies, have shown that hyperlipidaemia per se, but not the development of atherosclerosis, leads to a significant aggravation of myocardial I/R injury and to attenuation of the cardioprotective effect of both early and late preconditioning and postconditioning [reviewed in ref. (96,97)]. The majority of both preclinical and clinical data suggest that the chronically diabetic heart is more susceptible to I/R injury, and that the cardioprotective effect of ischaemic and pharmacological preconditioning and postconditioning is impaired [reviewed in ref. (97,107)]. Table 2 provides a summary of the effect of co-morbidities on the response to I/R injury and cardioprotection.

In summary, because co-morbidities can affect the cardioprotective efficacy of novel therapies, testing in co-morbid animal MI models should be a part of the preclinical assessment of novel cardioprotective therapies.
Table 2 Effect of different co-morbidities on the susceptibility to myocardial I/R injury and the response to cardioprotection elicited by ischaemic preconditioning, ischaemic postconditioning, and remote ischaemic conditioning in experimental animal MI models. Modified from Ref 96

| Co-morbidity       | I/R injury | Ischaemic preconditioning | Ischaemic postconditioning | Remote ischaemic conditioning |
|--------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Aging              | Increased  | Attenuated response       | Attenuated response         | Not tested                    |
| Hypertension       | No difference | No difference           | Attenuated response         | Not tested                    |
| Hyperlipidaemia    | Increased  | Attenuated response       | Attenuated response         | Not tested                    |
| Diabetes           | Increased  | Attenuated response       | Attenuated response         | Not tested                    |
| Kidney failure     | Increased  | No difference            | No difference               | No difference                 |

4.3.5 Concomitant medication

Many cardioprotective drugs—when administered alone prior to ischaemia and/or reperfusion—reduce irreversible myocardial injury; i.e. attenuate infarct size and potentially reduce patient morbidity and mortality (Table 3). However, in clinical reality, more than one drug is needed to adequately treat patients and therefore, drug–drug interactions must be taken into account. For example, the beneficial effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and/or angiotensin receptor (AT)1-receptor antagonists are attenuated when cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibitors are simultaneously applied,108 and the beneficial effects of metformin in diabetic patients is lost when co-administered with the potassium adenosine triphosphate channel blocker glyburide, as is the protection by remote ischaemic preconditioning in diabetic patients receiving sulfonylureas.109 Aspirin at low dose does not affect MI size, whereas at high dose—blocking COX—interferes with cardioprotective interventions.110 Platelet inhibition by clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor has been shown to reduce infarct size in animal models and some data exist to also prove clinical efficacy.111 The mechanisms involved have been suggested to involve nitric oxide (clopidogrel) or adenosine (ticagrelor). Potentially, many more interactions will occur in patients with multiple medications. In healthy hearts, most drugs when applied acutely or chronically will interfere with endogenous cardioprotection, except for ACE inhibitors and AT1-receptor antagonists, which lower the threshold to achieve endogenous cardioprotection. However, in hearts from animals or patients suffering co-morbidities, some drugs might restore the otherwise lost possibility to induce endogenous cardioprotection (for extensive review on cardioprotection and co-medication, see review in ref. (96,97)).

4.4 Other confounding factors

In addition to the effect modifiers outlined in previous sections, several other factors have now been identified that likely influence the success of cardioprotective strategies and should therefore be considered potential confounders in the translational process.

4.4.1 Circadian rhythms

The majority of preclinical experiments do not take into account the effect of the time of day on the efficacy of the novel cardioprotective therapy. Both cardiovascular physiology and pathophysiology are profoundly influenced by circadian rhythms, biorhythms that persist with a period of ~24 h, consistent with our regular day/night rhythm.112 A central ‘master’ clock is located in the brain and orchestrates time-of-day-dependent function of nearby and distant tissues via neurohumoral signals. Each adult mammalian cell also has its own ‘peripheral’ clock that can regulate circadian variations in cell function autonomously.113 In the heart this translates into, among others, a circadian variation in tolerance to I/R injury resulting in circadian variation in MI size and cardiac function at least in mice undergoing experimental AMI.114 MI size and LV function after reperfused STEMI in humans are also correlated with the time-of-day onset of symptoms even when corrected for confounders,115,116 although this notion is not unequivocal.117 Importantly, the amplitude of circadian variation but also the capacity of animals to adapt to externally induced changes in day–night rhythms are reduced with age.118 Thus, when considering the use of aged animals, one has to realize that the circadian pattern of young animals cannot simply be extrapolated to their older counterparts. Co-morbidities such as diabetes can further disturb circadian rhythms as has been demonstrated in patients.119,120 Notably, for practical reasons, most animal facilities follow a ‘lights on at daytime, lights off at night-time’ setting. Thus, preclinical experiments are typically performed at human daytime, which represents the subjective night for nocturnal animals such as rodents and may add an additional difference between preclinical and clinical success of a tested cardioprotective strategy. In addition, seasonal variation is present in humans120 but not in animals housed under standard conditions, although seasonal variation can be mimicked through light settings.121 Experimental design for preclinical cardioprotection studies should therefore at least report and ideally match for the circadian phase in which the injury and therapeutic intervention were initiated.

4.4.2 Depression and stress

Depression and psychological stress are commonly present in patients with IHD and are independently associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.122 In experimental models, depression alters cardioprotective strategies,123 and researchers usually avoid exposing their animals to stress prior to experiments as it often affects the beneficial outcome of pharmacological and mechanical cardioprotective strategies. Although this strategy leads to a higher sensitivity for the result of the tested intervention, it reduces its translational applicability in a manner similar to the somatic co-morbidities. Especially when testing strategies that interfere directly or indirectly with stress-inducible pathways, such as the adrenaline–cortisol axis, one should consider that the natural response in patients may mimic or counteract, respectively, the experimental intervention.

4.4.3 Environmental factors

Smoking, air pollution, oxidative stress, temperature, noise, and likely other yet unknown factors can induce or inhibit signalling pathways in the cardiomyocyte and other relevant cell types. Besides causing
failure to translate preclinical to clinical results, this issue may already present at an earlier stage as a reproducibility problem between laboratories. For example, Kaljusto et al.124 aimed to identify a robust postconditioning protocol in rat and mouse heart and found that postconditioning in rat hearts in vivo was protective in one laboratory, but not the other laboratory applying the same protocol. Some efforts have been made to characterize or even therapeutically translate the mechanisms by which these environmental aspects may influence the damage induced by I/R injury and the therapeutic effects of cardioprotection.125,126 The development of systems biology approaches may possibly in the future provide additional means of taking into account these environmental and psychological factors that are currently very hard to correct for. The problem with potential confounding effects in the laboratory environment may be overcome by multicentre testing or less STEMI undergoing PPCI.10

4.5 Looking towards the future

Having endured for many years the laments of being ‘lost in translation’,40 the field of cardioprotection appears, at long last, to be back on the map. There have been positive results reducing infarct size using both the beta-blocker metoprolol and the insulin secretagogue exenatide.74,127

The results of early preclinical studies investigating the ability of beta-blockers to protect the heart had been mixed.128 However, a more recent study in pigs was able to take advantage of delayed-enhancement cardiac MRI in order to accurately quantify the extent of myocardial necrosis in vivo, and used a clinically relevant study design with metoprolol administered after the onset of ischaemia but before the onset of reperfusion.129,130 The positive results of this study enabled the investigators to progress to the METOCARD-CNIC clinical study, which proved successful in reducing infarct size and increasing LV ejection fraction in patients with anterior Killip class II or less STEMI undergoing PPCI.10

Similarly, exenatide was first shown to be cardioprotective during reperfusion in isolated rat hearts.131 Importantly, this was subsequently confirmed in a pig model by an independent group,132 before progressing to an appropriately designed study,133 which demonstrated improved myocardial salvage in patients undergoing PPCI who received exenatide.74

Other cardioprotective drugs such as cyclosporine A,11 as well as other modalities such as remote ischaemic preconditioning,13,134,135 have undergone extensive preclinical testing and have now made the translation to small proof-of-concept studies and larger studies. With optimism re-instilled, the outcome of these studies is awaited with much interest.

However, it must be borne in mind that these positive data are from small proof-of-concept clinical studies, and large adequately powered multicentre studies are required to confirm their cardioprotective
effect, and to determine whether they can actually improve long-term relevant clinical outcomes such as cardiac death and hospitalization for heart failure.

5. Recommendations

In this ESC WG Position Paper, we have discussed several areas in which the current approach to the preclinical assessment of novel cardioprotective therapies can be improved. In Figure 1, we provide an illustrated overview of the different experimental approaches that exist in the preclinical assessment of novel cardioprotective therapies with an increase in complexity of the I/R injury model as one moves from cell-based studies, ex vivo isolated heart studies, to in vivo large animal recovery AMI models. Adding to this complexity is the need to take into account the effect of confounding factors such as co-morbidities and co-medication. Hopefully, rigorous testing of the cardioprotective strategy using this scheme as a guide should increase the likelihood of translating the therapy into the clinical setting (more detail is given in the text).

In summary, we would like to make the following recommendations when assessing the clinical potential of a novel cardioprotective therapy discovered in the research laboratory:

- The novel cardioprotective therapy has been demonstrated to offer unequivocal efficacy (in terms of MI size reduction) in all experimental I/R injury models tested, including a large animal I/R injury model.
- The novel cardioprotective therapy has been demonstrated to be effective in the presence of one or more co-morbidities (age, diabetes, and dyslipidaemia) and/or concomitant medication (such as morphine, nitrates, sulfonylureas, nicorandil, etc.) in the preclinical assessment.

To this translational pathway, we would like to propose the setting up of an European network of research centres of different animal AMI models to test one particular novel cardioprotective therapy using a multicentre randomized controlled study approach, in a similar manner to the proposed CAESAR network in the USA (http://www.nihcaesar.org).

6. Conclusions

The translation of novel cardioprotective therapies from the research laboratory into the clinical setting to improve clinical outcomes in IHD patients has been challenging. Despite the discovery of hundreds of novel cardioprotective therapies in the preclinical laboratory setting, none of these have been incorporated into routine clinical practice for patient benefit. This has been due, in part, to the failure to rigorously assess the novel cardioprotective therapy in the preclinical setting.
before embarking on patient studies. In this ESC WG Position Paper, we provide recommendations for optimizing this process in order to improve the translation of novel cardioprotective therapies discovered in the research laboratory into improved clinical outcomes for IHD patients.
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