"I totally didn’t need to be there in person": New York women’s preferences for telehealth consultations for sexual and reproductive healthcare in primary care
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Background: Expanding telehealth in the United States during the COVID-19 pandemic supported patients with needed sexual and reproductive healthcare (SRH) for continuity of care and reproductive autonomy. While telehealth for SRH is feasible and acceptable, studies have not explored patient preferences towards telehealth SRH from primary care settings.

Objective: We explore New York women’s preferences for telehealth SRH in primary care.

Methods: In 2021, we conducted 5 focus groups and 8 interviews with New York women of reproductive age who had a consultation with a primary care provider in the last year as part of a larger study on assessing SRH quality in primary care. We queried on experiences with telehealth for SRH and perceptions of measuring SRH quality in primary care telehealth consultations. We employed reflexive thematic analysis.

Results: We recruited 30 participants. They preferred telehealth for “basic” SRH conversations, such as contraceptive counselling, and desired in-person consultations for “complex” topics, like pregnancy and preconception, especially if nulliparous. Telehealth benefits included convenience, simplicity of some SRH needs, and alleviating power dynamics in patient–provider relationships. Challenges included lack of one-on-one connection, seriousness of pregnancy discussions, privacy, and internet access. Measuring quality of telehealth SRH should include fostering positive and engaging environments.

Conclusion: Participants find telehealth SRH in primary care preferable, underscoring the importance of offering and expanding this care. As telehealth SRH expands, providers should strengthen quality by building rapport to facilitate conversations on “serious” topics and their ability to help patients remotely.

Lay summary

The expansion of phone- and video-based consultations in the United States for sexual and reproductive healthcare (SRH) during the COVID-19 pandemic supported patients with needed continuity of care, while minimizing virus exposure. As COVID-19 becomes endemic, medical organizations and providers recommend sustaining and expanding telehealth for SRH and other primary care needs. No studies to date have explored patient acceptability of telehealth for SRH services broadly in primary care settings. This brief report explores preferences for telehealth for SRH in primary care among New York women of reproductive age through focus groups and interviews. Overall, participants preferred telehealth for “basic” SRH conversations, such as contraceptive options, and in-person consultations for more “complex” topics, like pregnancy and preconception. Benefits of telehealth services included convenience, simplicity of some SRH needs, and being able to minimize uncomfortable power dynamics in the patient–provider relationship. Challenges included the lack of one-on-one connection with a provider, the perceived seriousness of pregnancy-related conversations, privacy, and internet access concerns. Patients find telehealth for SRH in primary care preferable, especially for simple SRH conversations, which suggests the importance of continuing to offer services in this manner.

Key words: primary care, qualitative, quality, reproductive health, sexual health, telehealth

Background

In 2020, during the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States, health, financial, and logistical barriers delayed access to sexual and reproductive healthcare (SRH), affecting patients’ abilities to exercise their reproductive autonomy, controlling when, if, and under what circumstances to have or avoid a pregnancy.1,2 In response, health centres rapidly adopted telehealth for SRH, and other primary healthcare, to provide needed and safe continuity of care.3

As the public health emergency waxes and wanes, professional medical organizations recommend expanding telehealth.4 Before the pandemic, numerous telehealth phone applications and online services existed, using videoconferencing, chatboxes, and calls to provide SRH; however patient utilization was low, and services were often unavailable at hospitals and health centres.5 Telehealth is well suited to providing SRH that focuses on counselling, prescribing, and self-testing such as contraceptive care, medication abortion, and
sexually transmitted infection testing. Moreover, primary care practices are crucial settings for comprehensive and patient-centred SRH, particularly for communities with barriers to reaching specialists, like obstetrician–gynaecologists.6

Patients find telehealth in primary care convenient, comfortable, and accessible5,6; with similar perceptions for telehealth SRH.5-11 However, to date, no studies have explored patient preferences for SRH services broadly via telehealth in primary care. This brief report explores New York women’s preferences for telehealth SRH consultations in primary care.

Methods
Study design and data collection
In October to December 2021, MM and SS conducted semistructured online video-based focus groups and in-depth interviews with a purposive sample of English-speaking women of reproductive age (18–45) currently living in New York who had a consultation with a primary care provider in the past year. Prior experience with SRH in primary care settings, in-person or telehealth, was not required. A third-party research firm recruited 80 respondents within each age group (18–25, 26–35, and 36–45 years) from online panels. We emailed and texted all respondents to join prescheduled focus groups. Interested respondents received an informed consent document with study information.

Due to recruitment challenges and not reaching saturation on themes related to younger ages, we transitioned to interviews with a purposive sample of English-speaking women of reproductive age (18–45) currently living in New York who had a consultation with a primary care provider in the past year. Prior experience with SRH in primary care settings, in-person or telehealth, was not required. A third-party research firm recruited 80 respondents within each age group (18–25, 26–35, and 36–45 years) from online panels. We emailed and texted all respondents to join prescheduled focus groups. Interested respondents received an informed consent document with study information.

Analysis
We employed reflexive thematic analysis12 MM and SS independently read and open-coded all transcripts to familiarize themselves with the data. Both identify as cis women and are reproductive health researchers with expertise in qualitative methods. MM is PhD-trained and SS is a PhD candidate. They developed an initial codebook and independently coded 1 focus group transcript from each age group. Together, they reviewed coding, explored and sense-checked discrepancies, and refined the codebook. This collaborative, reflexive engagement deepened interpretations of the data, which were captured through memos. SS coded remaining transcripts; MM served as second coder on interviews she did not conduct. They reviewed coding issues together. SS sorted and reviewed excerpts, memos, and field notes, deriving themes inductively from the data, though some deductive analysis was employed to ensure themes aligned with the research questions. The Institutional Review Board of the City University of New York approved this study.

Results
Thirty women participated (22 from 5 focus groups and 8 interviews). The majority lived in New York City (60%), identified as White (40%) or Black/African American (30%), and reported having a regular healthcare provider (83%). Overall, participants preferred having discussions related to contraception and other “basic” SRH concerns via telehealth, but desired in-person consultations for topics they viewed as more complex and unknown, like pregnancy and preconception (Table 1).

Benefits of telehealth for SRH
Participants found telehealth from primary care preferable for basic SRH due to the simplicity of conversation content, convenience, and alleviating interpersonal power dynamics that may emerge in-person. They felt basic SRH conversations were highly conducive for telehealth (Excerpt 1). They felt comfortable in simple situations, “if I was looking for information, or...trying to learn more about something I was experiencing” (focus group, FG, 2; age 25–34).

They commented on the convenience of telehealth. They appreciated taking consultations from “the comfort of your own home” (in-depth interview, IDI, 7; age 18–25), not having “to worry about catching the bus” (FG 6; age 35–44), saving time spent in the waiting room, and staying safe from COVID-19 and other communicable diseases. The conveniences made telehealth preferable (Excerpt 2).

Telehealth also allowed participants more access to their providers, as health centres began to offer video consultations, phone calls, and improved chat functions for easy communication without requiring in-person appointments. For those

---

**Key messages**
- Primary care telehealth sexual and reproductive health is preferable to women.
- Telehealth may minimize power dynamics between patients and providers.
- More complex sexual and reproductive health conversations may be better suited to in-person consultations.
- Providers should foster positive, warm environments via telehealth.
- Telehealth sexual and reproductive health should continue expanding in primary care.

---
who considered themselves shy and nervous around medical professionals, telehealth alleviated the patient–provider power dynamic participants perceived when in-person. They found it easier to talk openly to their provider via telehealth (Excerpt 3).

Challenges of telehealth for SRH
Several shared that telehealth consultations lack a “one-on-one connection, that in-person connection to just be in front of somebody and them to be looking at you. I don’t feel like they would be able sometimes to understand the full scope of what’s going on” (FG 4; age 18–24). This indicated that patient–provider communication via telehealth may not be as strong or clear as it could be in-person, resulting in both parties potentially missing essential information for the patient’s health. Participants also feared a lack of sincerity from their provider (Excerpt 4).

The youngest group emphasized pregnancy conversations as challenging for telehealth (Excerpt 5). Due to the perceived seriousness of pregnancy planning for nulliparous young participants, they preferred in-person pregnancy and preconception conversations.

Some briefly discussed logistical concerns with telehealth, like internet quality delaying the process, and privacy concerns at home and work, especially around SRH. A younger participant noted concerns about parents/guardians overhearing telehealth consultations around contraception (Excerpt 6).

Quality of SRH in telehealth consultations
Overall, participants believed measuring SRH quality should not differ between in-person and telehealth primary care consultations. However, they highlighted that providers should spend extra attention engaging patients and fostering a positive environment. They should engage with their patient completely by asking relevant questions, demonstrating that they are listening, and fostering an environment that makes patients feel “connected”: “I feel like the provider should provide so much care that you don’t even notice that it’s virtual. And you actually prefer, and you feel comfortable” (IDI 4; age 18–25). Participants emphasized the importance of creating a warm environment and having a positive, kind demeanor (Excerpt 7).
Discussion
This exploratory study finds that New York women of reproductive age prefer telehealth for SRH in primary care, especially for simple conversations and questions. They highlighted convenience, improved access, and minimized power dynamics that impact patient–provider interactions, underscoring the importance of continuing and expanding primary care telehealth services.1,13-15 Providers can strengthen telehealth SRH quality by building trust and rapport with patients. They should acknowledge the seriousness of pregnancy-related conversations and discuss ways to provide this care remotely. Efforts to expand telehealth may enhance access and reproductive autonomy by offering opportunities to discuss comprehensive SRH needs in ways that work best for patients.

Telehealth alone will not address all barriers to accessing SRH. As indicated in this study, not everyone will feel comfortable with telehealth, nor will every SRH need be amenable to telehealth SRH. Privacy concerns may inhibit some from receiving SRH via telehealth.16,17 Those unfamiliar or uncomfortable with telehealth technology may also feel discouraged from using it for SRH. Moreover, the geographic and racial digital divide may make telehealth consultations logistically challenging, even impossible, in rural and urban underserved areas.18,19

Further study is needed to investigate other gendered individuals’ preferences towards telehealth SRH in primary care, as their experiences may differ. Online panels have sampling limitations, as well.20 As recruitment and data collection took place online, participants represented a group of women familiar with the technology used in telehealth consultations. Our study excluded non-English speakers, whose telehealth SRH experiences may differ. Transitioning from focus groups to interviews may have resulted in different findings.

Overall, women in New York preferred telehealth for basic SRH in primary care settings. Incorporating patient considerations for high-quality telehealth SRH and addressing barriers may expand access and promote reproductive autonomy. Future studies should explore strategies to integrate patients’ perceptions of metrics for high-quality telehealth for SRH in primary care.
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