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Abstract: In this paper, we propose a novel design of a two-element array antenna on an electrical thick substrate with an extremely narrow array distance. The proposed array consists of a rectangular ring patch printed on a thick substrate and a monopole wire in the center of the substrate. Each element has a modal difference in the radiation pattern, causing high isolation and low correlation between the array elements. From the measurement results, the monopole and patch elements exhibit reflection coefficients of $-10\,\text{dB}$ and $-10.7\,\text{dB}$ with peak gains of 3.8 dBi and 6.1 dBi, respectively, at 1.6 GHz. The mutual coupling between the two elements is $-20.7\,\text{dB}$. For modal analysis of the antenna pattern, spherical mode decomposition is performed on the radiation patterns of the two elements, and low envelope correlation coefficient levels below 16% are maintained. We also investigate the antijamming performance using a power inversion algorithm in a practical pattern nulling application; a null depth of $-47.7\,\text{dB}$ and a null width of 33.2° are obtained when the interference signal arrives at the elevation angle of 45°.
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1. Introduction

Recent dramatic developments in wireless communications have resulted in an environment where many signals from various communication systems, such as 4G, 5G, Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, global positioning system (GPS), and Zigbee, exist simultaneously in a limited space [1–7]. Such signals from the antennas of various wireless devices can cause significant signal interference internally and externally. To mitigate interference, pattern nulling and forming techniques have been extensively studied and used in practical applications with array antennas by adjusting the weight of each antenna element [8–16]. However, the pattern nulling performance is significantly degraded when multiple antennas in the array are placed in a limited platform size. This nulling performance degradation is caused in part by increased mutual coupling of the array, where the distance between adjacent elements is too close. The spatial diversity of the array antenna is also reduced, since the radiation patterns of individual elements have a high pattern correlation, resulting in inferior pattern nulling or forming performance. To overcome these drawbacks, many studies have been carried out to minimize the mutual couplings and pattern correlations for compact array antennas, which include using parasitic elements [17–19], defected or extended ground planes [20–22], electromagnetic band-gap structures [23–25], and ferrite materials [26–28]. Although these previous studies can improve the isolation and maintain the low
pattern correlations between adjacent array elements, these techniques are sometimes not feasible in small devices with limited spaces. Thus, research on mounting the array antennas in such compact spaces has been conducted by incorporating multiple radiating modes of each array element [29–31] or employing dissimilar antenna types such as a patch antenna with a monopole antenna [32–35] and a patch type antenna with a dielectric resonating antenna [36,37]. Furthermore, there is a study on using different antenna types (a circular patch antenna and a cylindrical monopole antenna) to operate in the higher order mode at multiband frequencies [38,39]. However, in these studies, the electrical antenna size is still large because it is necessary to achieve higher order modes. In addition, it is difficult to control the mutual coupling and the pattern correlation due to the shape of the patch antenna when the array elements are close to each other with sharing a common ground [40,41].

In this paper, we propose a novel design of a two-element array antenna on an electrically thick substrate with an extremely narrow array distance, where each element has a modal difference in the radiation pattern, causing a high isolation and a low correlation between the array elements. The proposed array consists of a rectangular ring patch printed on a thick substrate and a monopole wire in the center of the substrate. These two types of antennas share the ground plate, and the antenna ports are very close to each other while minimizing distortion in antenna performances. To demonstrate the feasibility of the proposed structure, the antenna is fabricated to measure the characteristics of the reflection coefficients, mutual couplings, and radiation patterns. For modal analysis of the antenna pattern, spherical mode decomposition is performed on the radiation patterns of the two elements to ensure that each antenna pattern has a significant modal difference with respect to spherical modes. Then, we define a modal difference metric (MDM) to indicate a quantitative value of the modal difference for the two-array elements. In addition, the envelope correlation coefficients (ECCs) of the proposed array in terms of the interelement spacing are observed and compared to the conventional patch and monopole arrays. Finally, to verify the proposed array in a practical pattern nulling application, we investigate the anti-jamming or anti-interference performance using a conventional power inversion (PI) algorithm. The jamming signal is modeled by a chirp signal with a specific bandwidth, and white Gaussian noise is added to model a typical communication environment. Then, the receiving jamming signal is mitigated by changing the weights of the array elements using the PI algorithm. Nulling performances such as the null direction of angle (DOA) errors, null depth, and null width for the proposed array are compared to the conventional patch and monopole arrays. The results demonstrate that the proposed array antenna can provide high nulling performance, while having an extremely narrow interelement spacing.

2. Proposed Array Antenna

Figure 1 shows our design approach and geometry of the proposed array antenna with two radiators consisting of a patch and a monopole wire, where the two radiators share a common square ground plate with a width of \( l_g \). The first array element, the patch radiator, is designed to form a rectangular ring shape with inner and outer widths of \( w \) and \( l \), which is printed on a thick FR-4 cuboid substrate (\( \varepsilon_r = 4.5, \tan\delta = 0.018 \)) with a thickness of \( t \). Another array element, the monopole wire antenna of a length of \( h \), is located in the center of the ground plate, and the two ports of the array elements fed by SMA connectors have an extremely narrow distance \( d \) from each other. This proposed array geometry can control the mutual coupling between two elements by adjusting the antenna parameters \( t \) and \( w \), while maintaining good matching characteristics for both elements. Notice that in terms of the antenna geometry, this proposed array is different from the previous research [32–39] due to its distinct feeding mechanism and radiating modes.
Figure 1. Design approach and geometry of the proposed array antenna: (a) design approach of the proposed array antenna; (b) side view of the proposed array antenna.

To observe the electromagnetic coupling between the two elements according to the tuning parameters of \( t \) and \( w \), we design an equivalent circuit model using a two-port network for the proposed array, as illustrated in Figure 2. In this circuit model, two ports of 50-\( \Omega \) impedance are connected directly to both elements, which are fed via pins represented by inductances of \( L_{f1} \) and \( L_{f2} \). For the elements, the monopole is expressed by a series circuit of \( R_m, L_m, \) and \( C_m \), and the patch is composed of the parallel lumped elements of \( R_p, L_p, \) and \( C_p \). The monopole and patch circuits are coupled through the series coupling capacitance \( C_c \) and the inductive coupling coefficient \( k \). The mutual couplings of \( C_c \) and \( k \) can be adjusted by the array geometry parameters of \( h \) and \( w \). Detailed values of the lumped elements are \( L_{f1} = 13.8 \text{ nH}, L_{f2} = 0.36 \text{ nH}, L_m = 6.6 \text{ nH}, L_p = 0.38 \text{ nH}, R_m = 5.1 \text{ \( \Omega \)}, R_p = 11 \text{ \( \Omega \)}, C_m = 30.8 \text{ pF}, C_p = 16.9 \text{ pF}, C_c = 2.1 \text{ pF}, \) and \( k = 0.28 \). Figure 3 presents a comparison of mutual coupling effects of the proposed arrays according to the electric and magnetic coupling strengths. The solid line indicates the simulation result of the mutual coupling for the proposed array, and the dashed line is calculated from the designed circuit model using both electric and magnetic couplings. The mutual couplings after removing either the inductive coupling coefficient \( k \) or the capacitive coupling \( C_c \) are specified by dotted and dash-dotted lines, respectively. For a more precise observation of the electromagnetic coupling effects, we calculate Equation (1) of the mutual couplings at the target frequency (1.6 GHz) in accordance with the variations of \( C_c \) and \( k \) from the circuit model, and the result is shown in Figure 4:

\[
S_{12}(C_c, k) = \frac{(-1.5 + j3.8) \cdot 10^{11} C_c + (-1.2 - j2.4)k + C_c^2 (2.0 - j3.9) \cdot 10^{11}}{(j(-3.7 + j3.2) \cdot 10^{12} C_c + (-7.6 + j4) \cdot 10^{12} C_c k + (2.5 + j8.9) \cdot 10^{13} C_c k^2 + (2.0 - j5.5) \cdot 10^{14} C_c k^3 + (-0.1 - j4.4)k^2 + 8.6 - j4.2)}.
\]  

Figure 2. An equivalent circuit model with a two-port network for the proposed array.
Figure 3. Comparison of mutual coupling performance effects of the proposed arrays according to the electric and magnetic coupling strengths.

Figure 4. Mutual couplings in accordance with the variations of $C_c$ and $k$.

In addition, we examine the relationships among the coupling elements and the antenna geometry. Figure 5a,b illustrate the coupling capacitance $C_c$ and coefficient $k$, respectively, according to the adjustment of the width $w$ for the inner patch and the thickness $t$ for the thick substrate. These two parameters can easily control the coupling capacitance from 0.4 pF to 2 pF and the coupling coefficient from 0.35 to 0.85. Furthermore, to derive the experimental Formulas (2) and (3) of $C_c$ and $k$, the resulting curves are fitted by the summation of the exponential and polynomial equations using the nonlinear least square solutions. The detailed coefficients of the fitted curves are $a_0 = 2.5, a_1 = 0.05, a_2 = 0.2, a_3 = -0.02, b_0 = 1.6, b_1 = 0.01, b_2 = 0.2, b_3 = -0.002, b_4 = 0.4, b_5 = -0.05,$ and $b_6 = -0.02$.

$$C_c(w,t) = a_0 + a_1 \exp(a_2 w) + a_3 w t,$$  
$$k(w,t) = b_0 + b_1 \exp(b_2 w) + b_3 \exp(b_4 t) + b_5 w + b_6 t.$$  

The results demonstrate that such adjustments of the proposed antenna geometry enable simultaneous achievement of the low mutual coupling and good impedance matching. Besides, each element with perpendicular current directions can provide near orthogonal radiation patterns with a low pattern correlation due to the apparent modal difference between them. These low pattern correlations can also be maintained since the active element patterns for individual elements are similar to the single element patterns of the monopole and patch antennas. To further enhance the array
performance, we optimize the detailed array antenna parameters using the full-wave electromagnetic (EM) simulation software of WIPL-D [42] at the target frequency of 1.6 GHz, where the optimized antenna can be applied to signal reception applications such as GPS, global navigation satellite system (GNSS), and satellite digital audio radio service (SDARS). The detailed optimized parameters are $l = 41.2$ mm, $w = 14.2$ mm, $l_s = 60$ mm, $t = 10.5$ mm, $l_g = 200$ mm, $h = 43.7$ mm, and $d = 14.4$ mm. Figure 6 shows the photographs of the fabricated array antenna.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Photographs of the fabricated array antenna: (a) isometric view; (b) bottom view.

To confirm the feasibility of the proposed structure, the antenna characteristics, such as the reflection coefficients, radiation patterns, and gains, are measured in a full anechoic chamber. Figure 7 represents the measured and simulated reflection coefficients, where the blue and red lines indicate the array elements of the monopole and patch, respectively. Both array elements show low reflection coefficients of $-10$ dB and $-10.7$ dB for the monopole and patch elements at 1.6 GHz, respectively, where the measured results are in good agreement with the simulations. Figure 8 provides magnitude and phase response of the mutual coupling between the two feeding ports as a function of frequency. At 1.6 GHz, the measured magnitude and phase of the mutual coupling are $-20.7$ dB and $37^\circ$, respectively, and those by simulation are $-21.1$ dB and $31.4^\circ$, which maintains low mutual coupling values even with an extremely close interport spacing. Figure 9 represents the maximum gains obtained in the upper hemisphere, and the measured peak gains of the monopole and patch elements are 3.8 dBi and 6.1 dBi. Figure 10a,d present measured and simulated 2D active element patterns of the monopole and patch elements. They illustrate co- and cross-polarization in $zx$- and $zy$-planes (H- and E-planes) at 1.6 GHz. Note that the shapes of the active element patterns are noticeably similar to the radiation patterns of the standalone monopole and patch antennas, even though the two antennas are very close to each other. The patch element shows half power beam widths of $76.1^\circ$ and $85.2^\circ$ in $zx$- and
zy-planes, respectively. The maximum gain of the patch element is obtained by slightly steering toward \( \theta = 5^\circ \), while the monopole element has the peak radiation gain at \( \theta = -45^\circ \). The resulting antenna characteristics demonstrate that the proposed two-element array antenna can maintain independent radiation patterns with high isolation and low correlation between array elements, even with the narrow array spacing. Figure 11 illustrates the measured and simulated results of the array radiation patterns for the proposed array in zx- and zy-planes. The total radiation pattern is calculated by the summation of the monopole and patch element patterns having the same weights. From these results, the peak gains of the measurement and simulation in zx-plane are 4.6 dBi and 5.3 dBi, respectively, and those in zy-plane are 2.9 dBi and 2.7 dBi, respectively. Minimum measured cross-polarization levels of \(-31.62\) dBi and \(-19.3\) dBi in zx- and zy-planes are also observed. Though the total array radiation pattern of the proposed array is different from the conventional regular element array, the proposed array can provide sufficient nulling performances in a practical nulling application, which will be discussed in Section 3.2.
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**Figure 7.** Simulated and measured reflection coefficients of the proposed array.

![Figure 8](image2.png)

**Figure 8.** Simulated and measured mutual coupling (\( S_{21} \)) of the proposed array: (a) magnitude of mutual coupling; (b) phase of mutual coupling.
Figure 9. Simulated and measured maximum gains in the upper hemisphere of the proposed array.

(a) Co-pol. gain (mea.)  
(b) Co-pol. gain (sim.)  
(c) Co-pol. gain (mea.)  
(d) Co-pol. gain (sim.)  
(e) X-pol. gain (mea.)  
(f) X-pol. gain (sim.)

Figure 10. Measured and simulated 2D co- and cross-polarization radiation patterns of the proposed array in the zy- and zx-planes at 1.6 GHz: (a) monopole element in the zy-plane (E-plane); (b) monopole element in the zx-plane (H-plane); (c) patch element in the zy-plane (E-plane); (d) patch element in the zx-plane (H-plane).
Figure 11. Array radiation patterns of the proposed array antenna: (a) zx-plane (H-plane); (b) zy-plane (E-plane).

3. Analysis

3.1. Spherical Mode Decomposition

To examine the radiating principle of the proposed array with low pattern correlation, we conduct spherical mode decomposition for the radiation patterns of the two antenna elements using a data-fitting method with the following steps. The first step obtains each active element pattern in the directions of $\theta$ and $\phi$ ($361 \times 30$ points). Then, in the far-field region, each active pattern is expanded using the orthonormal spherical harmonics with even and odd modal coefficients [43].

$$Y_{nm}^e(\theta, \phi) = \left[ \varepsilon_m \frac{(2n + 1)(n - m)!}{2\pi(n + m)!} \right]^{1/2} P_n^m(\cos \theta) \cos(m\phi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$Y_{nm}^o(\theta, \phi) = \left[ \varepsilon_m \frac{(2n + 1)(n - m)!}{2\pi(n + m)!} \right]^{1/2} P_n^m(\cos \theta) \sin(m\phi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{n = 0}^{N} \sum_{m = 0}^{n} [a_{nm} Y_{nm}^e(\theta, \phi) + b_{nm} Y_{nm}^o(\theta, \phi)].$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The even and odd orthonormal harmonics of $Y_{nm}^e$ and $Y_{nm}^o$ contain the associated Legendre function according to the angles of $\theta$ and $\phi$, where $\varepsilon_m$ is Neumann’s number (1 for $m = 0$, and 2 for $m > 0$). The total electric field $E_{\text{tot}}$ is defined by the combinations of the even and odd coefficients of $a_{nm}$ and $b_{nm}$ with the harmonics ($n = 0, 1, 2 \ldots N$ and $m = 0, 1, 2 \ldots n$). The maximum mode number $N$ is set to 12, and spherical mode decomposition for each active element pattern is conducted to obtain the unknown coefficients $a_{nm}$ and $b_{nm}$ using the nonlinear least square method. In both element patterns of the proposed array, the coefficient $a_{nm}$ is observed as much larger than $b_{nm}$; therefore, the even mode coefficients should be examined more carefully to observe the modal characteristics of the active element patterns. In particular, among the resulting coefficients ($a_{nm}$) for both elements, the coefficients with an index of $m = 0$ predominantly determine the shape of the radiation patterns. Figure 12 shows the coefficient values of $a_{n0}$ versus the mode index $n$ for the monopole and patch elements. The monopole element has a zero mode as the dominant mode, while a null pattern on the z-axis can be generated with negative coefficients in the second or higher modes. By contrast, most modal coefficients for the patch element are positive and significantly different from those of the monopole element. We propose the MDM to observe the modal difference between two antennas in a quantitative value. Herein, all mode coefficients of each antenna are normalized by the maximum
coefficient value of the two antennas as written in Equation (7). Then, we calculate the MDM based on the average deviation of the normalized mode coefficients between the two antennas, as shown in Equation (8).

\[
M_{1nm} = \frac{|a_{1nm}|}{\max(|a_{1nm}|, |a_{2nm}|)}, \\
M_{2nm} = \frac{|a_{2nm}|}{\max(|a_{1nm}|, |a_{2nm}|)},
\]

(7)

\[
MDM = \frac{2}{(N+1)(N+2)} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{n} |M_{1nm} - M_{2nm}|,
\]

(8)

where the superscript 1 and 2 indicate the number of the antenna ports. The MDMs of 0.704, 0.307, and 0.219 are obtained for the proposed array, the patch array, and the monopole array, respectively. Note that, the high MDM means an obvious difference exists in the radiating pattern modes of the two antennas. Therefore, the results demonstrate that the low pattern correlation can be maintained for the proposed two-element array, even with an extremely narrow interelement spacing.

Figure 12. The even mode coefficient \(a_{n0}\) according to the mode \(n\) for monopole and patch element radiation patterns.

To confirm the pattern correlation between the two elements, we examine the ECCs of the proposed array compared to the conventional two-element patches and monopoles in terms of the interelement spacing. The interelement spacing of the proposed antenna is adjusted in the way of increasing the distance between two ports, as shown in Figure 13. Then, we calculate ECCs by S-parameters and radiation efficiencies applying Equation (9) introduced in [44] as follows:

\[
\rho_{\text{ECC}} = -\frac{S_{11}S_{12}^* + S_{21}S_{22}^*}{\sqrt{(1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{21}|^2)(1 - |S_{21}|^2 - |S_{12}|^2)}\eta_1\eta_2},
\]

(9)

where \(S_{ij}\) \((i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 1, 2)\) is the complex S-parameter for each array port, and \(\eta_k\) \((k = 1, 2)\) is the radiation efficiency of the observing antenna. Figure 14 illustrates the ECCs depending on the interelement spacing in wavelength for the three types of the two-element arrays. The blue, red, and green lines with circular markers designate the proposed, monopole, and patch arrays, respectively. We obtain the ECC values by simply increasing the interelement spacing of \(d\) between the two ports of the proposed array. In particular, when the distance becomes larger than half the size of the patch element, the two ports are separated by some distance and share a common ground. The proposed array can maintain the low ECC levels below 16% despite decreasing the port spacing between the elements. However, the patch and monopole array antennas require minimum separation distances
due to the physical antenna size, and the ECC levels increase drastically as the distance between the array antennas narrows.

![Figure 13](image1.png)

**Figure 13.** Conceptual figures for the interelement spacing $d$: (a) $d = 0.08\lambda$; (b) $d = 0.25\lambda$; (c) $d = 0.5\lambda$.

![Figure 14](image2.png)

**Figure 14.** Comparisons of the ECCs for three types of array antennas.

### 3.2. Adaptive Null Steering Performance

In general, the radiation patterns of the array antenna elements are constructively and destructively added with adequate weights to construct a beam-forming pattern at the wanted signal direction and a beam-nulling pattern at the interference signal direction. From this common principle of a null pattern formation, we build a simulation model for an antijamming system using a conventional PI algorithm in order to estimate a practical pattern nulling performance. In the algorithm, the signal received by the proposed two-element array can modeled as

$$\bar{X} = \bar{A}_S\bar{S} + \bar{A}_J\bar{J} + \bar{N}, \tag{10}$$

where $\bar{X}$ is a column vector of the received signal, while $\bar{S}$ and $\bar{J}$ are column vectors of the wanted and jamming signals. $\bar{A}_S$ and $\bar{A}_J$ are the steering vector matrices of the wanted and jamming signals. $\bar{N}$ is the vector of the additive white Gaussian noise to model a typical communication environment. We then apply the conventional nulling technique of the PI algorithm to adjust the weight vector $\bar{W}$ with the received signals in order to suppress the interference. The output signal is $y = \bar{W}^H\bar{X}$, which is weighted
and summed of all the received signals, and we use the linearly constrained minimum variance (LCMV) method as a regular criterion to obtain the optimum weight vector of $\mathbf{W}_{\text{opt}}$ as follows [45,46]:

$$\mathbf{W}_{\text{opt}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{h}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{h}},$$

where $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^H\}$ is the covariance matrix of the received signal, and $\mathbf{h} = [1, 0, \ldots, 0]^T$ is the common constraint matrix. For the interference mitigation scenario, we first suppose a scenario that the average powers of the wanted, interference, and noise signals are set to $-83$ dBm, $-3$ dBm, and $-43$ dBm, respectively. It is also assumed that the interference of the chirp signal arrives at an elevation angle $\theta_{\text{jam}} = 45^\circ$ at the frequencies ranging from 1.45 GHz to 1.65 GHz. This interference signal is then mitigated by the nulling process using the optimum weight $\mathbf{W}_{\text{opt}}$ to examine the null depth, width, and DOA error.

$$D_{\text{null}} = |G_{\text{opt}} - G_{\text{ini}}|,$$

$$\Omega_{\text{null}} = |\Omega_{\text{high}} - \Omega_{\text{low}}|_{\text{gain}} = -10 \text{ dBi},$$

$$\Delta \theta_{\text{null}} = |\theta_{\text{opt}} - \theta_{\text{jam}}|.$$

The null depth $D_{\text{null}}$ is obtained by the difference between the initial array gain $G_{\text{ini}}$ before the nulling process and the final array gain $G_{\text{opt}}$ after the PI algorithm when the system is exposed to the same chirp interference signals. The null width $\Omega_{\text{null}}$ is achieved by the angular difference between two points ($\Omega_{\text{high}}, \Omega_{\text{low}}$) in the optimized nulling pattern where the gain is $-10$ dBi. We calculate the null DOA error $\Delta \theta_{\text{null}}$ from the difference between the optimal null angle $\theta_{\text{opt}}$ and the actual jamming angle $\theta_{\text{jam}}$. Figure 15a shows the optimized null pattern of the proposed array in the UV domain when the interference signal is at 45°. Figure 15b illustrates the initial and final power spectrums, confirming that the chirp interference signal can be suppressed significantly by applying the optimum null weights for the proposed antenna. The optimum null pattern of the proposed array has the $D_{\text{null}}$ of $-47.7$ dB with a null width $\Omega_{\text{null}}$ of 33.2°, as presented in Figure 15c. We also obtain the simulated cross-polarization levels of the proposed antenna in $zx$- and $zy$-planes compared to those of the conventional two-element patch array antenna. The average cross-polarization levels over all elevation angles of both array antennas were less than $-108$ dBi, and the cross-polarization level of the proposed array is maximally $14.7$ dB higher than that of the conventional patch array. From these results, although the proposed array shows higher cross-polarization levels and slightly lower array gains than the conventional patch array, the interelement spacing of the proposed array can be miniaturized to 0.08λ.

Such nulling performances of the proposed array are observed in comparisons with the conventional monopole and patch arrays. The interelement spacing for the monopole array is 0.1λ, and for the patch array is 0.4λ. They are the narrowest possible interelement spacings for the two array elements. For the simulations, the direction of the interference angle is varied from $-60^\circ$ to 60° with an interval of 1°, and the jammer power to noise power ratio (JNR) is changed from 10 dB to 40 dB with the independent gaussian random noise created by 50 iterations. Figure 16 represents the comparisons of the root-mean-square (RMS) null depths in terms of JNR for the proposed, conventional monopole, and patch arrays. The proposed array with the very close interport spacing of 0.08λ can maintain the deepest null depth compared to those of the conventional monopole and patch arrays, and the null depth of $-54.3$ dB is observed at a JNR of 40 dB. Note that, in this interference mitigation, it is essential to not only have a deep null depth but also to consider other important factors such as low blind region for receiving wanted signals [47] and fast iteration time to form a null pattern [11,47]. Figure 17 shows the RMS null widths versus JNR; the narrowest null width of 27.6° is obtained when the JNR increases above 15 dB. Figure 18 presents the RMSE comparisons of the null DOA. Although the proposed array has the higher RMSE under a JNR of 20 dB, the RMSE of less than 0.8° can be sustained above a JNR of 20 dB. To observe the null steering capability in a wide scan angle,
the simulations of the null depths in accordance with $\theta_{jam}$ varied from $0^\circ$ to $90^\circ$ at a JNR of 15 dB are also conducted, and the results of the proposed array are compared to the conventional patch arrays. The average null depth of the proposed array is 3.1 dB higher than that of the patch array in high elevation angle ($0^\circ \leq \theta_{jam} \leq 12^\circ$). On the other hand, in the low elevation angle ($13^\circ \leq \theta_{jam} \leq 90^\circ$), the proposed antenna has an 8.7 dB deeper average null depth than that of the patch array. The results demonstrate that the proposed two-element array is suitable for achieving the required null pattern characteristics with an extremely narrow array distance due to the modal difference, the low pattern correlation, and the high isolation between the array elements.

![Figure 15](image-url)

**Figure 15.** 3D and 2D null steering patterns of the proposed array with the power spectrum: (a) 3D radiation pattern in UV domain; (b) power spectrum with initial and final weights; (c) null performance parameters.

![Figure 16](image-url)

**Figure 16.** Root-mean-square (RM) S null depth in terms of jammer power to noise power ratio (JNR) of the proposed array compared to the conventional patch and monopole arrays.
4. Conclusions

We proposed a novel design of a two-element array antenna on an electrical thick substrate with an extremely narrow array distance, where the patch and monopole radiators had a modal difference in the radiation pattern, causing high isolation and a low correlation between the array elements. The proposed array was fabricated to measure the characteristics of each element. Both array elements provided low reflection coefficients of $-10\,\text{dB}$ and $-10.7\,\text{dB}$ for the monopole and patch elements, respectively, at $1.6\,\text{GHz}$; the measured mutual coupling between the two feeding ports was $-20.7\,\text{dB}$, and that of the simulation was $-21.1\,\text{dB}$. The measured maximum gains obtained in the upper hemisphere were $3.8\,\text{dBi}$ and $6.1\,\text{dBi}$ for the monopole and patch elements, respectively. The array radiation pattern was calculated by superposing the monopole and patch element patterns with the same weight. By measurement, the peak gains in $zx$- and $zy$- planes were 4.6 dBi and 2.9 dBi, respectively, while minimum cross-polarization levels of $-31.62\,\text{dBi}$ and $-19.3\,\text{dBi}$ in $zx$- and $zy$-planes were observed. In the spherical mode decomposition of the antenna pattern, the monopole element had the zero mode as the dominant mode, with the negative coefficient values after the second or higher order modes compared to the patch element mostly having the positive coefficients of the spherical harmonic modes. We also proposed the MDM to observe the modal difference between two antennas. MDMs of 0.704, 0.307, and 0.219 were obtained for the proposed array, the patch array,
and the monopole array, respectively. In addition, the proposed array could maintain the low ECC levels under 16% despite decreasing the port spacing between the elements. To verify the proposed array in a practical pattern nulling application, we investigated the antijamming performance using a PI algorithm, and the null depth of $−47.7$ dB with the null width of $33.2^\circ$ was obtained when the interference signal arrived at the elevation angle of $45^\circ$. In comparison with the conventional monopole and patch arrays, the proposed array also obtained the RMS null depth of $−54.3$ dB, the RMS null width of $27.6^\circ$, and the RMSE of $0.8^\circ$. The results demonstrate that the proposed array antenna provided high nulling performances and maintained an extremely narrow array distance.
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