Octahedral gold-silver nanoframes with rich crystalline defects for efficient methanol oxidation manifesting a CO-promoting effect
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Three-dimensional bimetallic nanoframes with high spatial diffusivity and surface heterogeneity possess remarkable catalytic activities owing to their highly exposed active surfaces and tunable electronic structure. Here we report a general one-pot strategy to prepare ultrathin octahedral Au\(_3\)Ag nanoframes, with the formation mechanism explicitly elucidated through well-monitored temporal nanostructure evolution. Rich crystalline defects lead to lowered atomic coordination and varied electronic states of the metal atoms as evidenced by extensive structural characterizations. When used for electrocatalytic methanol oxidation, the Au\(_3\)Ag nanoframes demonstrate superior performance with a high specific activity of 3.38 mA cm\(^{-2}\), 3.9 times that of the commercial Pt/C. More intriguingly, the kinetics of methanol oxidation on the Au\(_3\)Ag nanoframes is counter-intuitively promoted by carbon monoxide. The enhancement is ascribed to the altered reaction pathway and enhanced OH\(^{-}\) co-adsorption on the defect-rich surfaces, which can be well understood from the d-band model and comprehensive density functional theory simulations.
Direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) is one of the promising clean and sustainable energy solutions for efficiently converting solar-converted and crop-based chemical energy into electric power\textsuperscript{12}. As the basic anode reaction of DMFCs, electrochemical methanol oxidation (MOR) has thus received tremendous attentions\textsuperscript{3–5}. As of today, platinum (Pt) based nanomaterials are still considered as the most efficient and widely implemented catalyst for MOR owing to their high affinity to methanol molecules and low activation barriers, both leading to enhanced kinetics of methanol oxidation. However, apart from its high cost, Pt also has very strong binding affinity to carbon monoxide (CO), one of the dissociation products from methanol oxidation, and even the exposure to a very small quantity of CO can severely poison the catalyst and thus drastically lower its MOR activity\textsuperscript{6}. More recently, intense efforts have been devoted to advance the Pt-based MOR catalysts either by further elevating the specific catalytic activity, or by minimizing the Pt usage, through sophisticated structural and compositional manipulations\textsuperscript{7–10}. However, the production cost and the inherent poisoning issue of Pt remain as challenges to be solved. Other researches have focused on Pd-based catalysts which also manifest fair MOR activities in alkaline electrolytes, but their oxidation to form PdO at similar potentials to MOR, together with the same issue of CO poisoning, limit practical implementation\textsuperscript{11–14}.

While gold electrodes have long been studied for electrochemical oxidation of carbon monoxide\textsuperscript{15–17}, more studies have been seen recently employing Au and Au-based nanomaterials as the catalysts for the oxidation of carbonaceous species such as alcohols and carboxylates\textsuperscript{18–22}. MOR on these Au-based catalysts has been notoriously plagued with high electrochemical over-potentials and low redox kinetics. In fact, gold is the only metal that has an endothermic oxygen adsorption energy and hence is inert toward most oxidation reactions\textsuperscript{23}. To overcome these issues, one important strategy coming to the forefront of Au catalyst innovations is the design and fabrication of multivariate nanocrystals with tailored geometries and tunable electronic structure, including mesoporous gold–silver networks, nanoporous gold, and hollow gold nanoparticles, etc\textsuperscript{24–28}. In such context, Au nanoframes comprising only vertices and edges offer an appealing option to substitute Pt and Pd-based MOR catalysts by maximizing the utilization of active atoms, electrolyte-accessible surfaces, and surface electronic states\textsuperscript{29,30}.

Among the limited reports in synthesizing Au-based nanoframes, a two-step template directed protocol has been typically employed involving the deposition of Au on the Ag templates followed by selective Ag etching by oxidants\textsuperscript{31–33}. This additional etching step, however, greatly adds to the processing complexity and structural uncertainty, resulting in unsatisfactory material utilization and geometrical control. To circumvent this problem, herein we present a quick one-pot method for the preparation of ultrathin octahedral Au–Ag nanoframes with the edge diameters on the order of 5–10 nm and good geometrical uniformity. The reaction intermediates are closely monitored by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) to unveil the underlying formation mechanism. Spherical-aberration-corrected TEM (Cs-TEM) is used to perceive lattice (EDX) to unveil the underlying formation mechanism. Spherical-aberration-corrected TEM (Cs-TEM) is used to perceive lattice
retained in the soluble form by complexing with ODA and CTAB. In addition, the dissolution of Ag\(^{+}\) ions promotes the local concentration of Cl\(^{-}\) and Br\(^{-}\), further in favor of the pinhole growth via an autocatalytic process\(^{39}\). As the cavities inside the silver octahedra continuously grow, hollow Au–Ag cages with surface pinholes were formed as evidenced by both TEM and SEM images taken at the 42nd minute (Fig. 1e). Meanwhile, the edges of the Au–Ag nanocages increased to 71.3 ± 4.4 nm (Supplementary Fig. 1c).

Concurrent with the galvanic replacement reactions, the deposited Au and the underlying Ag atoms on the edges and vertices alloy with each other, driven by the high interdiffusion rate of Au and Ag at 125 °C and the formation of energetically stabler alloyed phases. As the reaction continues, the inner Ag atoms are gradually etched away until the final collapse of the crystal surface, which is passivated from outside by ODA and halide ions. Instead, the edges and vertices of the nanocrystals are capable of surviving through the galvanic reactions, thanks to the stabilized Au–Ag alloy layer. As a result, well-defined Au–Ag nanoframes with ultrathin edges were obtained at the 46th min when the reaction was promptly stopped (Fig. 1f). The average size of the Au–Ag nanoframes obtained from this stage is 71.8 ± 4.1 nm (Supplementary Fig. 1d), similar to that of the nanocages from the third stage. We note that further extending the reaction time might lead to undesired structural damage through dealloying (Supplementary Fig. 4).

As an outcome of the above temporal study, bimetallic Au–Ag nanostructures with morphologies of nanoparticles, nanocages and nanoframes can be obtained from the same one-pot reaction by terminating it at different stage. The atomic ratios of Au and Ag in each of these nanostructures were quantified by EDX, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES), showing similar values to each other (Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 5). Specifically, the Au–Ag nanoparticles have an Au/Ag ratio of approximately 1:12, whereas that of the nanocages is about 2:1 due to the removal of Ag atoms inside the nanoparticles. As for the Au–Ag nanoframes, the atomic ratio of Au/Ag is further increased to about 3:1 as a result of the loss of surface atoms. Consequently, based on the composition analysis, the Au–Ag nanostructures obtained from different reaction stages are respectively designated as Ag NPs, Au\(_{12}\) NPs, Au\(_{2}\) Ag NCS and Au\(_{3}\) Ag NFs, which will be used throughout the rest of discussions.

**Lattice details of Au\(_{3}\)Ag nanoframes.** As the physiochemical properties of the alloyed nanoframes are highly correlated to their atomic arrangement and surface orientation, Cs-TEM was employed to characterize the detailed lattice configuration of Au\(_{3}\)Ag NFs in high spatial resolution. Various sections chosen at the edges and vertices were elaborately inspected (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 6). Figure 2a shows a thin edge of a nanoframe viewed from the (111) direction, expressing lattice fringes comprising the (100) and (110) planes. On its curved contours, the surfaces are full of zigzag atomic steps with the high-index facets denoted by different colors using the Microfacet notation developed by Somorjal et al.\(^{42,43}\). Beneath the surface, defects of edge dislocation, caused by the insertion of additional planes into the otherwise self-contained lattice, can be found as the one highlighted by the red dotted box shown in the upper inset of Fig. 2a. Further inside the edge dislocation, point defects of missing atoms are clearly discernable. The formation of edge dislocations further gives rise to lattice distortion, resulting in the development of low-angle tilt grain boundaries (yellow dotted box in Fig. 2a).

On the vertex of the nanoframe shown in Fig. 2b, a typical screw dislocation is present, probably resulted from local asymmetrical heating during Au deposition\(^{44}\). Upon intersecting
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**Fig. 1** Morphological evolution of Ag nanoparticles to Au\(_{3}\)Ag nanoframes. **a** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and **b** transmission electron microscopy (TEM) overview of Au\(_{3}\)Ag NFs showing high structural uniformity. The schematic diagram, SEM, TEM, and energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping images of **c** Ag NPs collected at the 36th min before HAuCl\(_{4}\) addition, **d** Au\(_{12}\) NPs collected at the 38th min after HAuCl\(_{4}\) addition, **e** Au\(_{2}\)Ag NCs collected at the 42th min, and **f** Au\(_{3}\)Ag NFs collected at the 46th min.
with the crystal surface, the screw dislocation creates multiple step edges, propagating into self-perpetuating spirals. Stacking fault is another commonly observed defect with some in parallel with the Au (111) surface shown in Supplementary Fig. 6c. Besides, numerous grain boundaries are scattered throughout the vertices of the nanoframe. In general, the high-resolution analysis made here by CS-TEM unveiled abundant structural defects on vertices of the nanoframe. In general, the high-resolution analysis with the Au (111) surface shown in Supplementary Fig. 6c.

The high-resolution EDX mapping images acquired under the HAADF-STEM mode indicate on the Au–Ag NFs Au atoms are preferentially located atop the Ag core (Fig. 2d). By comparing the Au and Ag elemental mapping images (where a vertex connecting four edges is displayed), we note not only the intensity of the Au signal is higher, but also is the area it covers. This becomes even more obvious by overlaying the Au and Ag images together, affirming the surfaces are majorly constituted by Au atoms. It is well-known in order to minimize the overall Gibbs free energy, the inter-diffusion and segregation of alloyed elements can occur in most multi-component materials under suitable environmental bias, such as the high temperature synthetic conditions employed here. Therefore, the atomic inter-diffusion and thermal motion serve as the driving force for creating vacancies, steps and dislocations on the Au3Ag NFs.

Spectroscopic analysis on structural and surface states. XRD analysis was carried out to characterize the evolution of crystallographic structure for the above Au–Ag nanostructures collected from different reaction stages (Fig. 3a, b). Since Au and Ag have very similar face-centered cubic (fcc) crystal structure, their four main diffraction peaks indexed to the (111), (200), (220), and (311) planes are very close to each other. However, a careful examination will find there still exist subtle differences between Au and Ag in the peak positions of (111) and (200) planes (2θ_{Au} (111) = 38.10° vs. 2θ_{Ag} (111) = 37.93°, 2θ_{Au} (200) = 44.37° vs. 2θ_{Ag} (200) = 44.142°). As shown in Fig. 3a, XRD patterns of the four samples collected at the 36th, 38th, 42nd, and 46th min of the reaction, namely Ag NPs, AuAg12 NPs, Au2Ag NCs, and Au3Ag NFs, present decreased crystallinity with increasing reaction time, which is in accordance with the alloying process. A closer inspection on the (111) and (200) peaks clearly reveals their shift to higher 2θ angles as the Au content increases in the nanostructures (Fig. 3b), corroborating the above elemental analysis by EDX, XPS, and ICP-OES.

To probe the surface electronic states and local coordination of Au and Ag atoms, XPS and X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) analyses were performed on the samples of AuAg_{12} NPs, Au_{2}Ag NCs, and Au_{3}Ag NFs. As shown in Fig. 3c, the XPS Au 4f peaks shift to higher binding energies as the Au–Ag nanostructures transform from nanoparticles to nanocages and further to nanoframes, with a total displacement of 0.5 eV. This upshift of binding energies indicates that in comparison to Au_{2}Ag NCs and AuAg_{12} NPs, the Au d orbitals of Au_{3}Ag NFs are more depleted since the binding–energy shift strongly correlates to the Coulomb integrals of \( F_{4f,5d}^{\text{AuAg_{12}}} \). On the other side, the Ag 3d peaks shift slightly to lower binding energies with a total displacement of 0.3 eV from AuAg_{12} NPs to Au_{3}Ag NFs (Fig. 3d). These observations suggest that Au in the Au_{3}Ag NFs are positively polarized (Au^{2+}) and their d-band center shifts up toward the Fermi level (E_F), whereas the Ag d bands shift down relative to E_F. Interestingly, this observation is opposite to the charge transfer between Au and Ag induced by the ligand effect in respond to the higher work function of Au, inferring that compared to the structural attributes the ligand effect is negligible here. To further resolve this inconsistency, all samples were then subjected to analyses of the Au L_{3}-edge X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES).
Figure 3e shows that all Au–Ag nanostructures display similar resonance patterns in the normalized XANES spectra as that of the Au foil with four characteristic peaks, indicating they all have Au-like coordination environments. Importantly, the leftmost adsorption peak (peak $\alpha$) refers to the intensity of resonance at the threshold (white-line peak) associated with the dipole transition from 2p $3/2$ to 5d $5/2,3/2$, reflecting the unoccupied density of d states at the Fermi level. As magnified in Fig. 3f, the white-line peak intensity follows the order of Au foil < AuAg$_{12}$ NPs < Au$_2$Ag NCs < Au$_3$Ag NFs, suggesting a corresponding increase in d orbital depletion, which is in good agreement with the above XPS results. Previous studies have shown the ligand effect has negligible impact on the surface reactivity beyond a few atomic layers$^{52,53}$, it is therefore, surmised the surface structure, lattice distortion and defects play a dominating role in increasing the observed d-hole population of Au. Furthermore, both the FT-EXAFS of the Au foil and Au$_3$Ag NFs display doublets in the region of 1.8–3.4 Å, comprising a low-R peak (2.0–2.2 Å) and a high-R peak (2.4–2.9 Å) that can be ascribed to the nearest Au–Au bonding (Supplementary Fig. 7)$^{54,55}$. This enables us to further deduce quantitative structural parameters shown in Supplementary Table 2 regarding the local Au bonding environment in Au foil and Au$_3$Ag NFs. Compared to the bulk Au foil, Au$_3$Ag NFs exhibit significant reduction in the coordination number of nearest Au neighbors from 12 to 9.4, confirming most Au atoms in Au$_3$Ag NFs are in surface or defect states. Further analysis on the resulted bond lengths suggests a lattice contraction in the Au$_3$Ag NFs (2.86 Å for Au foil vs. 2.84 Å for Au$_3$Ag NFs), owing again to the existence of abundant defects in the alloyed structure. This argument is further evidenced by the relatively large Debye–Waller factor ($\sigma^2$) obtained for Au$_3$Ag NFs, corroborating the increased disorder in the Au–Au shell as a result of the defective lattice structure, echoing previous observations made by Cs-TEM.

Complementary to the above spectroscopy analyses, cyclic voltammetry (CV) was taken to further correlate the microstructure with surface redox potentials for Au$_3$Ag NFs, Au$_2$Ag
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**Fig. 3** Spectroscopy analysis on the structural evolution for all AuAg nanostructures. a) X-ray diffraction (XRD) and b) zoom-in spectra of Ag NPs, AuAg$_{12}$ NPs, Au$_2$Ag NCs, and Au$_3$Ag NFs. c) X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) Au 4f and d) Ag 3d spectra of AuAg$_{12}$ NPs, Au$_2$Ag NCs, and Au$_3$Ag NFs. e) X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and f) zoom-in spectra obtained from the Au L$_3$-edge absorption of AuAg$_{12}$ NPs, Au$_2$Ag NCs, and Au$_3$Ag NFs in comparison to the gold foil.
NCs, and AuAg12 NPs. All CVs acquired in 0.1 M HClO4 exhibit two major anodic peaks, attributed to the oxidation of surface Ag and Au atoms, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 8). The sample of Au3Ag NFs exhibits the largest Au oxidation peak located at 1.43 V (vs. RHE), lower than those of Au2Ag NCs (1.59 V) and AuAg12 NPs (1.80 V). It also shows a smaller Ag oxidation peak at the lowest potential (0.56 V) with respect to AuAg NCs (0.58 V) and AuAg12 NPs (0.68 V), corroborating that Au3Ag NFs possess more unsaturated and active surface atoms that are easier to oxidize, in good agreement with the EXAFS results.

Structure-dependent MOR performance. The electrocatalytic activities of Au3Ag NFs, Au2Ag NCs, and AuAg12 NPs toward MOR were evaluated against the benchmark of commercial 20% Pt/C. Figure 4a shows the CVs of all tested samples in N2-purged 0.5 M KOH solution with 2 M methanol, exhibiting characteristic MOR behaviors with both forward and backward oxidation peaks. For Au3Ag NFs, the forward scan reveals a MOR onset potential at 0.30 V and peak oxidation current at 0.92 V. In the backward sweep, a second oxidation peak is observed at 0.65 V, owing to the removal of residual carbonaceous species formed in the forward scan. For comparison, the commercial Pt/C exhibits a higher onset potential at 0.4 V but lower potential of peak current at 0.78 V. Nevertheless, the peak current density of Pt/C (591.9 mA mgAu⁻¹) is still lower than the current density of Au3Ag NFs at the same potential (814.5 mA mgAu⁻¹), not to mention its peak current density of 950 mA mgAu⁻¹. By contrast, Au3Ag NCs and AuAg12 NPs present much higher peak-current potentials at 1.15 and 1.21 V, respectively, with the corresponding peak current densities of 141.4 and 20.9 mA mgAu⁻¹. Consequently, the mass activity (MA) of Au3Ag NFs is 1.6, 6.7, and 45.5 times that of commercial Pt/C, Au2Ag NCs, and AuAg12 NPs, respectively. After normalized to the specific surface area (quantified by CO stripping in Supplementary Fig. 9), the SA of Au3Ag NFs is 3.38 mA cm⁻², which is 3.9, 4.6, and 8.0 times that of the commercial Pt/C, Au2Ag NCs, and AuAg12 NPs, respectively (Fig. 4b). In addition, the catalytic MOR performance of the octahedral Ag NPs obtained at the 36th min before the addition of HAuCl4 was also examined, and the results show no obvious difference between the CV curves obtained with and without methanol (Supplementary Fig. 10), suggesting that the Au phase in the bimetallic nanostructures plays a dominant role in catalyzing the methanol oxidation.

To confirm the observed high catalytic activities are indeed due to the oxidation of methanol, rather than any residual organics, we performed concentration-dependent studies on the Au3Ag NFs catalyst by varying the concentration of methanol in 0.5 M KOH (Supplementary Fig. 11). The measured current increases strongly with the methanol addition until a concentration of 2 M, beyond which the MOR kinetics start to decrease. This observation strongly suggests a trade-off between the reactant concentration and the permittivity of the electrolyte exerting on the reaction rate. Therefore, the optimal methanol concentration of 2 M was used throughout this work. What’s more, CVs acquired at different scan rates on Au3Ag NFs show that the anodic peak current is linearly proportional to the square root of scan rate, indicating the electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol is governed by a diffusion-controlled process (Fig. 4c), which is greatly facilitated by the highly exposed active sites of Au3Ag NFs. To further identify the activity origin, we deliberately destroy the framework of the nanoframes through ball milling, and found out the MOR MA declined only slightly from 950 to 914 mA mgAu⁻¹ at similar voltages (Supplementary Fig. 12). CS-TEM images indicate after ball milling the crystalline defects were mostly unaffected (Supplementary Fig. 13), but the frameworks were turned into thin wires (Supplementary Fig. 14). This offers extra evidence to support the enhanced MOR activity is indeed majorly originated from the abundant crystalline defects.
To assess the catalytic stability, chronoamperometric i–t curves were taken for all Au–Ag nanostructures, in comparison with the commercial Pt/C, at their peak–current potentials (Fig. 4d). The Au3Ag NFs exhibited a gradual decrease in mass activity, retaining 502.1 mA mg⁻¹ after 2 h and 32.2 mA mg⁻¹ after 20 h. By contrast, the Au2Ag NCs and AuAg12 NPs showed a much faster activity decay, with only 34.2 and 3.2 mA mg⁻¹ retained after 2 h, respectively. As for the Pt/C, the mass activity was quickly lost and almost diminished to 0 mA mg⁻¹ after just 1 h, likely due to the heavy accumulation of carbonaceous intermediates poisoning the active sites on catalyst surface. This point of view can be further understood from the comparison of \( I_f/I_b \), the ratio of the forward anodic peak current \( (I_f) \) to the backward anodic peak current \( (I_b) \), which is used to assess the tolerance of the catalyst to the poisoning carbonaceous intermediates such as carbon monoxide and formate. A lower \( I_f/I_b \) value signifies the capability of the catalyst to eliminate residual carbonaceous species from surface, whereas a high \( I_f/I_b \) value suggests its incompetence on this matter. Specifically, the AuAg NFs has the lowest \( I_f/I_b \) of 2.5, in comparison to 9.2, 2.9, and 5.6 for the commercial Pt/C, Au2Ag NCs, and AuAg12 NPs, respectively. As a result, among all tested catalysts the Au3Ag NFs demonstrated the best catalytic stability of MOR.

Further to meet the requirements for practical fuel cell applications, all catalysts were cycled between 0 and 1.6 V at a sweeping rate of 20 mVs⁻¹ in 2 M methanol and 0.5 M KOH for a duration of 500 cycles to evaluate the long-term MOR performance (Supplementary Fig. 15). The mass activities of the Au3Ag NFs and AuAg NCs, respectively increased from 894.5 to 950.0 mA mg⁻¹ and 136.1 to 141.4 mA mg⁻¹ after 50 cycles, reflecting an initial activation process. After 100 cycles, the corresponding MA values dropped to 79 and 72%, and further to 12 and 15% after 300 cycles. By contrast, the mass activity of the commercial Pt/C decreased substantially from 590.9 to 271.1 mA mg⁻¹ (54% loss) after only 50 cycles, and further to 58.4 mA mg⁻¹ (90% loss) after 100 cycles. As for the AuAg12 NPs, the MOR performance is very poor with a negligible current density after 300 cycles. Noteworthy, there was no apparent change in the structure of AuAg NFs after the first 100 cycles, but some fractured and congregated species start to occur after the extended 500 cycles (Supplementary Fig. 16). More importantly, the initial stepped surfaces tend to be flattened out showing less defect sites due to the rearrangement of surface atoms, which might account for the observed decay in MOR performance (Supplementary Fig. 17). Collectively, the above CV and chronoamperometry tests clearly demonstrate the superior MOR activity and stability of Au3Ag NFs against Au2Ag NCs and AuAg12 NPs, as well as the commercial Pt/C.

CO-promoted MOR activities. Given that CO poisoning is one of the vital issues in devastating the MOR stability, both CO stripping and purging experiments were carried out to interrogate the impact of CO adsorption on the catalytic behavior of all catalysts. Supplementary Fig. 9 shows the CO stripping curves of all Au–Ag nanostructures in 0.1 M HClO₄, where both the CO oxidation potentials of Au3Ag NFs and AuAg NCs at 0.59 and 0.73 V are far below their MOR peak–current potentials at 0.92 and 1.15 V, respectively. No much difference between the CV curves before and after CO stripping was observed for AuAg12 NPs, indicating Au are the main CO adsorption site. The shoulder peak at 0.5 V overlapped with CO oxidation on Au3Ag NFs and diminished after CO stripping is likely due to the CO-induced OH⁻ adsorption on Au. By contrast, Pt/C exhibits a CO oxidation peak at 0.92 V, much higher than its methanol oxidation potential at 0.78 V (Supplementary Fig. 9d). This result provides direct evidence for the poor MOR stability of Pt/C by CO poisoning, corroborating the previous \( I_f/I_b \) comparison.

Furthermore, by integrating the area of CO stripping and subtracting the area of Ag oxidation, the electroactive surface area can be obtained for Au3Ag NFs, AuAg NCs, AuAg12 NPs, and Pt/C being 27.8, 19.2, 4.9, and 68.89 m² g⁻¹, respectively. These values were used in calculating the specific activities due to the similar oxidation nature of MOR and CO stripping.

CO was purged into the electrolyte containing 2 M methanol to examine the evolution of MOR kinetics on the catalysts (Fig. 4e, f). Surprisingly, upon CO bubbling the peak current density of MOR on Au3Ag NFs increased abruptly from 950.0 to 1339.8 mA mg⁻¹, followed by further gradual increment to 1436.6 mA mg⁻¹ in the next 10 cycles. After cutting off the CO supply, the peak current density dropped back to 1113.5 mA mg⁻¹ by the end of subsequent 10 cycles, but still higher than the original value without CO purge (Fig. 4e). As a comparison, the peak current of MOR catalyzed by Pt/C decreased from 530.1 to 239.4 mA mg⁻¹ after purging CO for 10 CV cycles, and kept declining even when CO was shut off (Fig. 4f). This set of experiments clearly illustrate while CO is poisonous for Pt, it actually promotes the MOR on Au3Ag NFs. Similar CO-promoting behavior on the Au (111) surface was also observed by Rodriguez et al., who attributed the enhanced reactivity to the enhancement in electrostatic OH⁻ bonding, as a result of the change in electrostatic surface potential (work function) when CO is adsorbed atop the gold surface. We note that these observations are conceptually analogous to the homogeneous electrocatalysis catalyzed by organometallics, of which the catalytic properties of the active metal center can be tuned by tailoring its coordination with organic ligands, such as the Monsanto and Cativa processes using CO as the ligand.

In our case of Au3Ag NFs we also surmise the adsorption of CO promotes the anionic OH⁻ binding to the alloyed surface, which in turn effectively catalyzes the deprotonation of methanol. This argument is further supported by the lowered potentials of OH⁻ adsorption and Au oxidation when comparing the Au3Ag NFs with other catalysts in CV measurements under alkaline conditions (Supplementary Fig. 18).

To further elucidate the defect-mediated activity enhancement on Au3Ag NFs and the CO-promoting effect, we applied the first-principles method in conjunction with the computational hydrogen electrode model to investigate the reaction free energy and activation barrier of all elementary steps along the reaction coordinate (see Supporting information for calculation details). As the structure of AuAg NFs is highly complex comprising a myriad of defects, in view of a reasonable computational cost we mainly considered two of the most abundant defect types representing the highly unsaturated surface, namely the high-index facets and atomic vacancies. Figure 5a and Supplementary Fig. 19a illustrate the constructed Au3Ag (410) and AuAg–Au vac surface models, in comparison to the AuAg (111), Au (111), and Pt (111) references. The methanol adsorption on these surfaces takes similar configuration with the CH3OH molecule residing atop the Au (Pt) atom via the O bonding (Supplementary Fig. 20).

Notably, the surfaces of AuAg (410) and AuAg–Au vac possess more negative adsorption energies (−0.18 and −0.13 eV, respectively) than those of the AuAg (111) (−0.09 eV), Au (111) (−0.10 eV), and Pt (111) (−0.05 eV), implying the defect-mediated high-methanol affinity of the AuAg NFs surfaces (Supplementary Table 3).

Then, on the surfaces of AuAg (410), AuAg–Au vac, AuAg (111), Au (111), and Pt (111) all possible elementary steps of MOR were considered involving both deprotonation and hydroxyl addition. The various routes of reaction cascade are shown in Supplementary Fig. 21 and the optimized intermediates are displayed in Supplementary Fig. 20. The AuAg (111) and Au
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vacancies, dislocations, and grain boundaries, which should further promote its intrinsic activity. In fact, according to the Brønsted–Evans–Polanyi correlation, the lower reaction free energy change of the elementary steps on Au3Ag (410) (Fig. 5b) might result in lower activation barriers than those observed on the Au3Ag–Au45 surface. Lastly, to further interrogate the CO-promoted OH− adsorption for the facilitation of subsequent deprotonation, we calculated the charge distribution of adjacent Au atoms after the adsorption of oxygen-containing species, which on the surface defects, and modulated electronic structure, effectively mediating the reaction pathway, intermediates binding, and rate-determining steps for improved MOR electrokinetics. What is more, MOR catalyzed by the Au3Ag NFs has been shown counter-intuitively promoted by CO owing to the much lowered CO-stripping potential and synergistic CO–OH− interaction on the catalyst surface. Through the tailoring of Au nanostructure into defect-rich frameworks, this study signifies the approach by mediating surface electronic states to impart unprecedented catalytic activities.

Discussion

Taken together, the superior MOR activity of Au3Ag NFs can be mainly ascribed to the highly exposed active sites on the open 3D framework, and the bimetallic Au–Ag alloying for generating abundant defects on the ultrathin edges and vertices, including low-coordination atoms, vacancies, dislocations, stacking faults, and lattice strains, etc. These structural defects enable to actively interact with the absorbents (both the methanol molecules and reaction intermediates) owing to the enriched surface electronic states and shifted d bands, which is evident from the XPS and XAFS analysis. In the d-band model proposed by Nørskov and co-workers, the adsorption of rate-limiting intermediates is related to the electronic structure of the catalyst, where the valence p orbitals of the absorbents and intermediates form bonding and antibonding states with the metal d-bands. When the metal coordination number is low, the d-band width becomes narrower and the d-band center shifts up toward the Fermi level, pushing more of the antibonding states above E₀ resulting in decreased occupation and more active interaction with the absorbents. The shift of d bands is further enhanced by the adsorption of oxygen-containing species, which on the surface of Au3Ag NFs is synergistically promoted by lowered oxidation potentials and CO co-adsorption. It has been reported that CO-promoted OH− adsorption on gold efficiently catalyzes the beta-hydrogen elimination from CH3OH, which is the rate-limiting step in typical Au-catalyzed MOR. We also note that not only the Au defects but also the Ag atoms on Au3Ag NFs might conjugately facilitate the OH− adsorption. Moreover, the positively polarized Au5+ sites have been reported shown enhanced Au oxidation activities. As a synergy of all the above factors, the electrokinetics of MOR is greatly enhanced through the mediation of intermediate binding and corresponding activation barriers.

To summarize, in this work bimetallic Au3Ag nanoframes composed of ultrathin edges and vertices were synthesized using a facile one-pot method with high structural uniformity. By employing a comprehensive suite of structural and compositional characterization techniques, the morphological evolution and formation mechanism of these nanoframes were well elucidated. CS-TEM revealed rich crystalline defects on the nanoframes, resulting in modulated electronic structure with characteristic Au6+ polarization and prominent shift of d bands. Elaborative voltammetry measurements unveiled higher electrochemical activity of the defect-rich Au3Ag nanoframes in OH− adsorption, surface oxidation, as well as CO stripping. Consequently, Au3Ag NFs demonstrated extraordinary MOR activities with an onset potential of only 0.3 V and a high 5A of 3.38 mA cm−2, 3.9 times that of the commercial Pt/C. The superior MOR of Au3Ag NFs is mainly attributed to the highly exposed active sites, abundant surface defects, and modulated electronic structure, effectively mediating the reaction pathway, intermediates binding, and rate-determining steps for improved MOR electrokinetics. What is more, MOR catalyzed by the Au3Ag NFs has been shown counter-intuitively promoted by CO owing to the much lowered CO-stripping potential and synergistic CO–OH− interaction on the catalyst surface. Through the tailoring of Au nanostructure into defect-rich frameworks, this study signifies the approach by mediating surface electronic states to impart unprecedented catalytic activities.

Methods

Chemicals. Silver nitrate (AgNO3, ≥ 99.0%), cuprous chloride (CuCl, ≥ 98.0%), octadecylamine (ODA, ≥ 90%), and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, ≥ 99.0%) are purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd. Chloroauric acid tetrahydrate (HAuCl4·4H2O, ≥ 97.5%) and ethylene glycol (EG, C2H6O2·H2O, ≥ 99.7%), tetrahydrofuran (THF, ≥ 99.5%), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, ≥ 99.9%), methanol (CH3OH, ≥ 99.5%), and potassium hydroxide (KOH, ≥ 99.0%) are purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. All chemicals were used without further purification. Milli-Q water (>18.0 MΩ cm) was purified with a Milli-Q water system.

Synthesis of Au3Ag nanoframes. Totally, 0.073 g CTAB was dissolved in 10 mL ODA which kept at 125 °C under nitrogen (N2) for 1 h. Then 0.02 g AgNO3 and 0.01 g CuCl were added into this system. After 5 min of magnetic stirring, 0.01 g HAuCl4·4H2O were quickly injected, and the solution was kept for another 10 min. When the reaction was finished, the reaction flask was carefully transferred into a water bath of 60 °C in order to quench the growth process, followed by addition of 40 mL ethanol and kept for 6 h without magnetic stirring. The produced Au3Ag nanoframe was further washed 15 times with ethanol and dichloromethane mixed solution, and dispersed in cyclohexane.

Characterization. The crystal structure was characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker AXS D8 Advance diffractometer with Cu Ka source). The surface morphology and microstructure were observed using a dual-beam electron microscope (SEMI, FEI Scios) and a field-emission transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F20 200kV) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray analyzer (EDX). Spherical-aberration-corrected TEM (Cs-corrected TEM, FEI Titan Themis Cubed G2 300) was used to inspect atomic orientation of edges and vertices in Au3Ag nanoframe. Surface elements were probed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Fisher, Escalab 250Xi) using a monochromatic Al Kα (1486.6 eV) X-ray source, with all binding-energy values calibrated with C 1s at 284.6 eV. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) was utilized for total composition analysis with PerkinElmer Optima 8000. The Au L3-edge XAFS spectra were recorded by QEXAFS at Beamline 44A at the Taiwan Photon Source (TPS) and transmission mode were applied to all of the samples. The raw data and the fittings were carried out by using IFEFFIT software package according to the standard analysis procedures.

Electrochemical characterization. In all, 0.1 mg Au3Ag nanostructures was suspended in a solution containing 0.5 ml ethanol, 40 ul of 5 wt% Nafion solution and 5 ug Ketjen Black by ultrasonication for 30–40 min to complete the loading process. To fabricate working electrode, a quantity of 50 ul of the suspension was drop-casted on glassy carbon (GC) electrode surface (loading amount was about 0.5 mg cm−2). The c was air dried for 1 h at room temperature before use. All the electrochemical measurements were performed in a standard three-electrode cell at room temperature using an electrochemical workstation (CH660E). The Pt wire was used as the counter electrode, with the Ag/AgCl electrode (filled with saturated KCl) as the reference electrode. Prior to catalyst loading, the GC electrode was carefully polished with 1.0, 0.3 and 0.05 μm alumina powder in sequence, and cleaned by sonication in ethanol and deionized water. The electrolyte was 0.5 M KOH and 1 M methanol (PH = 13.3) bubbled with nitrogen for 30 min prior to MOR measurements. All the potentials were converted to the potentials referring to the RHE, according to E (vs. RHE) = E (vs. Ag/AgCl) + 0.059 pH + 0.198.
Estimation of electrochemical surface area. CO stripping was used for determination of the electrochemical surface area. First, the catalyst was subjected to an electrochemical cleaning procedure by cycling the electrode in N₂-purged 0.1 M HClO₄ for 30 cycles in a potential window of −0.2 to 1.5 V at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹. Then CO (>99.9% purity) gas was bubbled in the electrolyte for 30 min while holding the electrode potential at −0.1 V to allow for the adsorption of a monolayer of CO molecules. Afterward, dissolved CO was removed by bubbling the electrolyte for 20 min with N₂ gas. Finally, the voltammogram for CO stripping was recorded in a potential window of −0.2 to 1.5 V for two consecutive cycles at a scan rate of 20 mV s⁻¹. The first cycle is to record the CO₃ads stripping and the next cycle to ensure the complete stripping of CO₃ads during the first cycle.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the authors upon request.
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