Electrochemical production of sustainable hydrocarbon fuels from CO₂ co-electrolysis in eutectic molten melts
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Abstract

Due to the heavy reliance of people on the limited fossil fuel as energy resources, global warming has increased to severe levels due to huge CO₂ emission into the atmosphere. To mitigate this situation, a green method is presented here for the conversion of CO₂/H₂O into sustainable hydrocarbon fuels via electrolysis in eutectic molten salts ((KCl-LiCl; 41:59 mol%), (LiOH-NaOH; 27:73 mol%), (KOH-NaOH; 50:50 mol%), (Li₂CO₃-Na₂CO₃-K₂CO₃; 43.5:31.5:25 mol%)) at the conditions of 1.5–2 V and 225–475 °C depending upon molten electrolyte used. Gas chromatography (GC) and GC-MS techniques were employed to analyse the content of gaseous products. The electrolysis results in hydrocarbon production with maximum 59.30, 87.70 and 99% faraday efficiency in case of molten chloride, molten hydroxide and molten carbonate electrolytes under the temperature of 375, 275 and 425 °C.
respectively. The Gas chromatography (GC) with FID and TCD detectors and GC-MS analysis confirmed that the H₂ and CH₄ were the main products in case of molten chlorides and hydroxides at 2 V applied voltage while longer hydrocarbons (>C₁) were obtained only in molten carbonates at 1.5 V. Through this manner, electricity is transformed into chemical energy. The heating values obtained from the produced hydrocarbon fuels are satisfactory for further application. The practice of molten salts could be a promising and encouraging technology for further fundamental investigation for sustainable hydrocarbon fuel formation with more product concentrations due to its fast-electrolytic conversion rate without the use of catalyst.
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**Introduction**

Over the past few decades, two major issues have captured the attention of scientists and policymakers: global warming due to the increasing levels of carbon dioxide gas (CO₂) in the atmosphere, and the rapid depletion of fossil fuels as an energy resource. To tackle these complications, two solutions were proposed. The first solution is the use of renewable energy resources such as wind, solar, nuclear or geothermal energy to minimize the greenhouse gases’ emission. While the second solution is the consumption of CO₂ to remove its excessive concentration from the atmosphere and to enhance energy resources by converting it into hydrocarbon fuels. Renewable energy resources do not involve CO₂ sequestration. So to tackle CO₂ emissions, it was considered preferable to introduce some of the renewable energy resources into an existing energy infrastructure as a “drop-in” form of energy. Examples of this can include the synthesis of fuels or fertilizers from CO₂ or biomass.
At present, technologies studied for transforming CO$_2$ include chemical, photochemical, electrochemical and biological transformation into hydrocarbons, nano-carbons, nanotubes, and alcohols (methanol and ethanol). However, these low-value hydrocarbons and methanol produced at low system efficiencies undermine the rationale of this approach. The process of CO$_2$ and water co-electrolysis at low temperatures (<100 °C) in aqueous media to convert CO$_2$ to CO or hydrocarbon species was employed by scientists. However, a suitable catalyst is necessary for this conversion process in order to reduce energy consumption, improve reaction kinetics and product selectivity. Which results in low hydrocarbon gas production due to the poor solubility of CO$_2$ in aqueous media and the proximity of the electro-reduction potential of both water and CO$_2$. Consequently, limiting the future use of this process.

Using high temperature electrolysis between 800 and 1000 °C, provided both thermodynamic and kinetic advantages throughout the reduction of both CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. One thing to mention here is that this process not only converts CO$_2$ into hydrocarbons but also able to produce hydrogen fuel by the splitting of water. Hydrogen gas has been produced by various methods such as plasma arc decomposition, bio-photolysis, coal gasification, dark fermentation, artificial photosynthesis, electrolysis etc. But the electrolysis has proved successful among all due to the good energy efficiency and low cost. Two types of cells were used for high temperature electrolysis: those with solid oxide electrolytes and with molten salts.

Recently the use of solid oxide electrolysis cells (SOEC) has gained much interest in the preparation of syngas (CO+H$_2$), hydrogen or methane gas from the CO$_2$–H$_2$O co-electrolysis. However, certain limitations such as low production rate, specific electrode materials,
higher production costs, lower durability and high energy utilization, became the reason for their rejection on industrial scale implementation \(^{22}\). Molten salts exhibit the same chemistry regarding CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O reduction as in SOEC except that CO\(_2\) can be also reduced to carbon \(^{23}\) in addition to carbon monoxide depending on the operating conditions. Which can thereby affect the products. Deposited carbon on cathode can facilitate the formation of different kinds of hydrocarbons (rather than CO) in case of molten salt electrolysis. Because as soon as the fresh carbon deposit on cathode it reacts immediately with hydrogen gas produced via water reduction on the metal cathode surface itself, resulting in the formation of hydrocarbons \(^{24}\).

Molten salts are preferred over solid oxides regarding CO\(_2\)-H\(_2\)O co-electrolysis for a variety of reasons. Besides a wide electrochemical window, high electric conductivity, relatively low cost, reactivity with CO\(_2\) and no need of specific electrode materials (Ni-YSZ, La\(_{1-x}\)Sr\(_x\)MnO\(_3\)/YSZ) make them suitable candidates for this process. Moreover, the possibility of carbon or CO hydrogenation after electrolysis in molten salts is much more significant \(^{25, 26}\).

Molten salts with some limitations such as slight corrosion activity particularly at high temperature and relatively high energy utilisation to maintain the heat for molten salt to avoid the solidification \(^{27}\), can still be employed to produce hydrocarbon gas or liquid fuels \(^{28, 29}\). Recently carbon nanotubes (CN) and carbon nano-fibrils (CNF) have been produced by using molten chlorides \(^{30}\), molten carbonates \(^{31, 32}\) and molten hydroxides with sufficient conditions of electrolyte combinations, electrode materials, current and temperature etc. \(^{33-35}\).

Moreover, recent investigations also showed the production of syngas (CO, H\(_2\)) and methane by employing molten carbonates (Li\(_2\)CO\(_3\)-Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\)-K\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) \(^{24, 36}\). There is lack of literature of finding suitable molten salt electrolyte for the co-electrolysis of CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O to produce hydrocarbon fuels (CH\(_4\) or longer chain). To the best of our knowledge, molten hydroxides
((LiOH-NaOH; 27:73 mol%), (KOH-NaOH; 50:50 mol%)) and molten chlorides (LiCl-KCl; 58.5:41.5 mol%) have never been evaluated for CO₂ to methane conversion. And molten carbonates (Li₂CO₃-Na₂CO₃-K₂CO₃; 43.5:31.5:25 mol%) have never been studied particularly for higher hydrocarbon fuel (>C₁) production via CO₂-H₂O co-electrolysis. So this study aims to fill the research gap in the literature.

This study systematically investigates the hydrocarbon fuel production by employing CO₂-H₂O co-electrolysis by using different types of molten electrolytes: molten chloride (LiCl-KCl; 58.5:41.5 mol%), molten hydroxide ((LiOH-NaOH; 27:73 mol%), (KOH-NaOH; 50:50 mol%)) and molten carbonate (Li₂CO₃-Na₂CO₃-K₂CO₃; 43.5:31.5:25 mol%) at variable conditions of temperature and voltage depending upon the molten salt. Two feed gas insertion methods are also employed; gas flowing over the electrolyte surface (GFOE) and gas flowing inside the electrolyte (GFIE). The effect of different variables including; faradays efficiency, energy consumption and heating values at variable conditions of temperature and voltage are studied. Moreover, the product formation by electrolysis is confirmed by GC (using FID and TCD detectors) and GC-MS.

**Experimental**

**Chemicals**

Lithium carbonate (Li₂CO₃; ≥99%), sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃; ≥99.5%), potassium carbonate (K₂CO₃; ≥99%), lithium chloride (LiCl; 99%), potassium chloride (KCl; >99.9%), lithium hydroxide (LiOH; ≥98% powder), sodium hydroxide (NaOH; ≥98% pellets), and potassium hydroxide (KOH; 90% flakes) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Carbon dioxide (CO₂; 99.99%) and argon (Ar; 99.99%) were procured from Air products. Labovac 10 mineral oil was got from Jencons.
Electrochemical performance measurement

The electrochemical processes were performed by using four combinations of molten salts as electrolytes. The key point of choosing these combinations of molten salts is due to their low melting points and the ability to work at operating temperatures, as low as possible, keeping them in liquid state to enable electrolysis and promote hydrocarbon formation. The composition selection of binary mixtures (LiCl-KCl, LiOH-NaOH and KOH-NaOH) was done on the basis of thermodynamic phase diagrams as illustrated from the Fig. S1. The ternary phase diagram (Fig. S2) is clearly indicating the composition selection of ternary molten salt mixture (Li$_2$CO$_3$-Na$_2$CO$_3$-K$_2$CO$_3$). Therefore, the salts selected for this study along with their compositions are: LiCl-KCl (58.5: 41.5 mol%), LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%), KOH-NaOH (50: 50 mol%) and Li$_2$CO$_3$-Na$_2$CO$_3$-K$_2$CO$_3$ (43.5: 31.5: 25 mol%), having eutectic melting temperatures of 361, 218, 170 and 397 °C respectively. The electrolyte salts were dried in an oven at 200 °C for 4 h at atmospheric pressure before their mixing to remove any sort of water impurity.

Then electrolytes were poured into a crucible present inside a corrosion resistant electrolyser’s retort. Which was built in house with a flange type cover using the 316-grade stainless steel to shape the reactor to provide and control the environment needed for the molten salt electrolysis. The dimensions of the retort were 130 mm internal diameter, 7.5 mm wall thickness and 800 mm vertical length. On the flange cover, there were some holes drilled for the insertion of ceramic tubes (for anode and cathode gas collection), observation purposes and sealing. The retort was inserted centrally in the furnace. In the retort, a stainless-steel stand was placed and a refractory brick mounted on it and above its alumina crucible containing pre-melted molten salt electrolyte (about 100 g) was placed. The two-electrode mode experimental set-up used here is a continuous system with small scale for electrolysis and hydrocarbon production. The
electrolysis is conducted by using titanium metal (Purity: 99.99%, Good fellow Cambridge Ltd) as cathode and graphite (Purity: 99.99%, Advent Research Materials) as anode \(^{37,38}\).

A small rate of gas (CO\(_2\); 48.4\%, + H\(_2\)O; 3.2\% + Ar; 48.4\%) flows continuously inside the reactor where hydrocarbons and O\(_2\) gases are produced inside the molten salts on the cathode and anode surfaces respectively during the electrolysis. And the products are collected at different time intervals. This process is done by employing the Agilent E3633A 20A/10V Auto-Ranging DC Power Supply and a laptop with an EXCEL add-in to collect the instrumentation data. Two electrode tube gas outlets were present, each connected to another Dreschel bottle containing the mineral oil to observe the outlet gases produced and reduce electrolyte contamination. Gas product samples were collected using a tedler 1 L (SKC Ltd.) gas bag via a connection from the cathodic gas tube. The electrolyser setup is a modified form of previously used setups \(^{39}\). The schematic representation of experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1.

To avoid their mixing, the argon gas was used which pushed the gaseous products into their respective bags. Moreover, the study is carried out with two modes of feed gas insertion inside the reactor for each electrolyte; gas flowing over the electrolyte surface (GFOE) and gas flowing inside the electrolyte (GFIE) for the comparison of hydrocarbon production in both cases. The first method (GFOE) has been used to minimize the chances of solid material’s production (carbon, carbon nanotubes, graphene, carbonates solidification) \(^{35,40}\) and to produce gaseous hydrocarbon products preferably. The current efficiency was calculated from the Eqs. (1-2):

\[
\text{Current efficiency} = \frac{Q_x}{Q_T} \times 100
\]  

(1)
\[ Q_x = nNF \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( Q_x \) is the charge required for the amount of individual product produced, \( n \) is the number of electrons required, \( F \) is the charge of one electron which equals 96485 col and \( Q_T \) is the total charge calculated from the area under the current vs time curve.

**Characterization**

Gas chromatography (GC) (PerkinElmer Clarus 580) was used to analyse the gas products generated from electrolysis with detectors such as the flame ionization detector (FID) and thermal conductivity detector (TCD) for organic compound analysis and a wide range of both organic and inorganic species respectively. The gaseous product species in the sample were identified and quantified by comparison with two different calibration gas standards. The first one is the permanent gas standard with composition of \( \text{H}_2 \) 10\%, \( \text{CO}_2 \) 10\% and \( \text{CO} \) 40\% for TCD detector and the second standard calibration gas contains ethene \((\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)\) 0.2\%, propylene \((\text{C}_3\text{H}_6)\) 0.2\%, 1-butene \((\text{C}_4\text{H}_8)\) 0.2\%, 1-pentene \((\text{C}_5\text{H}_{10})\) 0.2\%, methane \((\text{CH}_4)\) 20\%, ethane \((\text{C}_2\text{H}_6)\) 10\%, propane \((\text{C}_3\text{H}_8)\) 5\%, n-butane \((\text{C}_4\text{H}_{10})\) 2\%, n-pentane \((\text{C}_5\text{H}_{12})\) 1\% for the FID detector.

The remaining composition of both gas standards was balanced with helium gas. The GC graphs for different calibration gas standards are shown in **Fig. 2** for the comparison of electrolysis gaseous products. Furthermore, the samples were analysed by a different sophisticated GC instrument (Agilent 7890B) attached with a mass spectrometer (JEOL AccuTOF GCX) for longer chain hydrocarbons detection. Gas detecting tubes from GASTEC (ai-cbss Ltd.) were used to analyse the feed gas compositions for \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) contents. The feed gas composition with \( \text{CO}_2 \) (48.4\%) + \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) (3.2\%) + Ar (48.4\%) was kept same for all the experiments. GASTEC 2HH is characterised to detect the higher contents of \( \text{CO}_2 \) from 5 to 40\% of the feed gas, with the change in colour from orange to yellow.
The GASTEC30 tube can analyse water content in the range of 0–18 mg/L, and it contains Mg(ClO$_4$)$_2$. However, the colour here will change from yellowish green to purple. After the analysis of cathodic gas sample, the concentration of each gas compound (M$_{\text{gas}}$) was calculated as followed by the Eq. (3):

$$M_{\text{gas}} = \frac{A_{\text{gas}}/\bar{F}_i}{A_s/M_s}$$

where $M_{\text{gas}}$ is the concentration (%) of individual gas in the sample. $M_s$ is the concentration (%) of the specific standard gas in the sample. $A_{\text{gas}}$ is the area under the peak resulting from the FID analysis for the individual gas (C$_2$-C$_5$) in the sample. $A_s$ is the area under the peak resulting from the analysis of the specific gas standard (CH$_4$). $\bar{F}_i$ is the response factor for each gas.

**Results and discussion**

**Optimization of electrolytes**

The selection of the molten salt is done based on the ability to generate hydrocarbon fuels from the co-reduction of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O (Eq. (4)). The combination of a hydrocarbon molecule starts ideally from the two known element sources: carbon (C) and hydrogen (H). Both of these elements can be effectively formed from electrochemical conversion via an appropriate molten salt.

$$x\text{CO}_2 + y/2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{C}_x\text{H}_y + (x + y/4)\text{O}_2$$

Generally, the presence of moisture with CO$_2$ gas in molten salt experiments is the basis for generating H$_2$ and CH$_4$ during electrolysis in most cases and provide feasibility to the reactions 41, 42.
Molten chloride electrolyte

The attractive characteristic in the molten chloride case is the probability of producing CO or C directly from CO₂ reduction in the presence or absence of a carbonate ion. Carbonate ions (if added externally) are used as an important additive to molten chlorides to provide the oxide ions required for performing CO₂ reduction. For absorbing more CO₂ gas into the molten salt leading to increase in product yield from electrolysis, the addition of oxides or carbonate salts into the molten chloride is considered preferable. But one drawback exhibited by this process was the increase in applied voltage and working temperature of resulting molten salt mixture. Which is not the favourable condition for hydrocarbon production. So to tackle this problem, molten chloride electrolyte is used in this study for hydrocarbon production without any externally added oxide or carbonate salts. In the absence of H₂O and carbonate ions, the reduction of CO₂ to carbon or CO can be done in several steps as seen from the Eqs. (5-7).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2 + 2e^- & \rightarrow \text{CO}_2^{2-} \quad (5) \\
\text{CO}_2^{2-} & \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}^{2-} \quad (6) \\
\text{CO} + 2e^- & \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O}^{2-} \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]

In the presence of steam beside CO₂ in the feed gas, the reduction of CO₂ becomes more feasible as CO₂ can react with hydroxide ions released from the primary reduction of H₂O through to the one-electron transfer reaction. Moreover, carbonate ions can be generated even from molten chloride through the reaction of CO₂ with oxide ions emitted in turn after the direct reduction of H₂O to H₂ gas (Eq. (9)). The carbonate ions can then be electro-reduced in turn to carbon or CO and produce hydrocarbon by reacting with H₂. The overall reaction occurring at electrodes can be summarised from the Eqs. (11-13).

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{OH}^-
\]
\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}^{2-} \quad (9) \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (10) \]

Overall reaction

At cathode:
\[ \text{CO}_3^{2-} + 4\text{OH}^- + 8e^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 7\text{O}_2^{2-} \quad (11) \]
\[ 2\text{OH}^- + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + 2\text{O}_2^{2-} \quad (12) \]

At anode:
\[ 2\text{O}_2^{2-} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 2e^- \quad (13) \]

It is preferable to perform CO₂-H₂O co-electrolysis at temperatures even lower than the 400 °C to form the hydrocarbons feasibly. For that purpose, electrolysis was performed at 375 °C and 2V using molten chloride (LiCl-KCl; 41: 59 mol%) with two modes of gas insertion: GFOE and GFIE. The feed gas in GFOE mode containing H₂O, CO₂ and Ar, was kept flowing over the LiCl-KCl (41: 59 mol%) at 1.3 bar. The feed gas pressure was applied slightly over 1 atm to increase CO₂ activity and thus the opportunity of improving reduction inside the molten chloride.

The same experiment was performed at the above conditions using a feed gas containing H₂O with no CO₂. Both experiments in GFOE mode are performed to see the solubility of CO₂ and thus its activity inside molten chlorides. Carrying out electrolysis at 2 V and 375 °C, it can be seen from Fig. 3 that there is a small difference between the two current curves resulting from electrolysis in both cases. However, the current was still relatively high in both cases and gradually decreased with time. The decline in current can be imputed generally to the drop of oxidant concentration (H₂O, CO₂) that is reduced on the cathode surface due to the accumulation of new products (such as H or H₂ bubbles) as there is no renewal action on the cathode surface during electrolysis. Also, it can be noted that the current was slightly higher in the case where CO₂ gas was absent basically due to the obstruction of CO₂ gas against H₂O
reduction on the electrode, particularly at high pressures through the possible reduction of CO$_2$ to CO$_2^2$− (Eq. (5)).

Despite some spikes noticed in the red curve in Fig. 3, it can be seen that the drop of the curves in both cases was quite the same confirming the weak effect of CO$_2$ inside the molten chloride in GFOE mode. So due to CO$_2$ weak effect, the hydrocarbon could not be produced in this case (GFOE mode). The GFIE mode of gas feed introduction was chosen as an appropriate way to increase CO$_2$ concentration and solubility (and reactivity) inside the molten chloride and collect the maximum rates of hydrocarbon products at atmospheric pressure. The rates of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ production, collected from the cathodic tube, changed significantly after the first 30 and 60 min of electrolysis due to the process of carbonate ions formation as can be seen by the comparison of Fig. 4(a) and (b). Where the higher production rates of CH$_4$ (0.67 µmol/h cm$^2$) and H$_2$ (32.00 µmol/h cm$^2$) with higher faraday efficiency (59.30%) were found after the first 30 min of electrolysis (Fig. 4(a)). While the lower production rates of CH$_4$ (0.39 µmol/h cm$^2$) and H$_2$ (19.10 µmol/h cm$^2$) with faraday efficiency (30.50%) were obtained after 60 min of electrolysis (Fig. 4(b)) in molten chloride (LiCl-KCl; 41: 59 mol%).

The lower faraday efficiency (30.50%) was attributed due to the higher CO$_3^{2−}$ ion formation leading to the subsequent conversion to C or CO with more energy consumption. The formation of a carbonate ion can be justified due to the reaction of CO$_2$ with OH$^−$ generated in the molten chloride after the persistent reduction of H$_2$O as stated previously in Eq. (10) $^{46}$. It is interesting to note that there is a clear increasing trend of CH$_4$ production in both Fig. 4(a) and (b) at a lower current density of 20 mA/cm$^2$, which starts dropping off beyond this limit. The increase in current density affects the products content. With the current density increase, the CH$_4$ production reached to an optimal value. After that further rise in current density results in
adverse effects on CH₄ production, greatly exceeding the minimum energy requirement of H₂ production that keeps CH₄ production at a lower level. Deng et al. stated that LiCl-KCl electrolyte containing Li₂CO₃/CaCO₃ showed highest current efficiency of 80–85% at the current density of 25 mA/cm², which dropped off by increasing current density for the conversion of CO₂ to carbon.

Comparing results for the two occasions as two gas samples were taken after 30 and 60 min, it can be noted that the production rates of both gases (CH₄ and H₂) were higher in the first sample after first 30 min of electrolysis as the electro-reduction of the carbonate ions (to carbon for instance) had not commenced yet. Thus, the reduction of H₂O to H₂ was not significantly affected. The reaction of CO₂ with OH⁻ can be confirmed in the molten chloride for the second sample as the concentration of CO₂ reduced from 34.80 to 4.80% (Table 1). The hydrocarbon production confirmed through GC analysis (with FID and TCD detectors) is shown in Fig. 5 where the FID signals are showing the production of methane with the peak at 2.11 retention time while TCD signals are clearly representing the peaks of H₂, O₂ and CO₂. No CO can be detected in the molten chloride in both cases.

Thus, the best product concentrations are obtained in case of LiCl-KCl (41: 59 mol%) electrolyte from G2E mode at the first 30 min of electrolysis rather than prolonged electrolysis (60 min). This is because of the formation of carbonate ions in case of prolonged electrolysis, which are reduced to the C or CO gases with the consumption of more energy (Table 1). Ijije et al. reported the CO₂ conversion into carbon films or CO in LiCl-KCl-CaCl₂-CaCO₃ molten salt at 520 °C. Similarly, the absorption and conversion of CO₂ was also employed in molten chloride electrolytes (CaCl₂-CaO and LiCl-Li₂O) at 900 and 650 °C respectively. Jianbang et al. has converted CO₂ by electrolysis in LiCl molten salt at 650 °C.
Molten hydroxide electrolyte

The molten hydroxide salt is preferred in the case of hydrogen production leading to hydrocarbons formation. Hydrocarbon molecules can be formed basically through a $\text{H}_2$ reaction with either C or CO as the same mechanism for molten chlorides. In most experiments using molten hydroxides, the conversion of $\text{CO}_2$ was very high but the hydrocarbon yields were still low. This can be attributed generally to the reaction of $\text{CO}_2$ with hydroxide ions.

$$2\text{OH}^- + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (14)$$

Therefore, $\text{CO}_2$ must be diluted to lower concentrations by mixing with argon gas before introduction to the electrolyte, as this action can help to reduce the reactivity of $\text{CO}_2$ with the salt, driving reaction (Eq. (14)) to the left side. The formation of carbonate ions need to be reduced to provide enough time for the prospect of electro-reduction during electrolysis.

However, $\text{CH}_4$ gas can be formed by another way in case of molten hydroxide electrolysis (Eq. (15)).

$$\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (15)$$

Thus, the abundance of hydrogen gas from rapid $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ reduction in molten hydroxides can contribute towards driving reaction (Eq. (15)) to $\text{CH}_4$ formation. The hydrocarbon production in molten hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH: 27: 73 mol%) performed in two modes: GFOE and GFIE at the conditions of 2 V applied voltage and 275 °C, is shown in Fig. 6 (a) and (b). The results indicate a distinct variation in the production rates due to the variation in gas feed modes. This outcome can be attributed to the weak reduction of $\text{CO}_2$ in the salt in GFOE mode. The hydrocarbon production rate was significantly improved when the feed gas insertion method was changed from GFOE to GFIE. It can be seen from the Fig. 6 (a) to (b) that the $\text{CH}_4$ rate
increased largely from 1.02 to 6.12 μmol/h cm² by moving from GFOE to GFIE mode as CO₂ was promoted to dissolve in the salt.

Therefore, the prospect of direct reduction of CO₂ to CO₂⁻ and CO can occur in the LiOH-NaOH salt. At the same time, the H₂ rate decreased from 1142.80 μmol/h cm² to just 185.00 μmol/h cm², confirming the possible transformation of CO₂ or CO to hydrocarbons. Nevertheless, high faraday efficiency (87.70%) in the GFOE mode rather than (15.00%) in the GFIE mode was due to the higher H₂ production rate. On the other hand, low faraday efficiencies in GFIE mode were obviously because of their lower production values from the slow reduction of CO₂ to CO compared with rapid H₂ production. Moreover, the optimal current density range found for hydrocarbon production in case of LiOH-NaOH salt was 80–85 mA/cm².

Hydrocarbon production inside the molten hydroxide can be confirmed actually by the existence of CO fuel with the cathode gas product. CO can be formed from CO₂ reduction as in molten chloride experiments. But the scarcity of CO gas found in the cathodic products in both electrolytes can be interpreted due to (1) a lack of CO₂ direct reduction to CO but the formation of CH₄ occurs by the reaction of CO₂ with excess H₂ and (2) the produced amount of CO during electrolysis in all cases was too little as CO can rapidly react with excess H₂ to produce CH₄. The formation of gaseous product (CH₄) was confirmed from GC analysis with FID detector while H₂, O₂ and CO₂ were confirmed by TCD detectors for the GFIE mode (Fig. 7). And obtained values are presented in Table 2. The presence of very small peak of CO in Fig. 7 (b) is providing the indication of higher methane production rates than molten chloride case.
As the GFIE mode provided higher production values of methane in case of molten hydroxide so the experiment was repeated using KOH-NaOH (50:50 mol%) due to its low working temperature, under the conditions of 2V applied cell voltage and 225 °C with GFIE mode only. Although the temperature used here was slightly lower than 275 °C as used for LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%) molten salt but the production rates of H₂ (164.70 µmol/h cm²) and CH₄ (6.12 µmol/h cm²) with faradaic efficiencies (17.90%) were almost same (Fig. 6 (c)). Moreover, the composition and concentration (vol%) of other cathodic product gases were also same (Table 2). But one limiting factor was the lower resulting current in the case of KOH-NaOH (50:50 mol%) molten salt than LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%) (Fig. 8). Moreover, the potentials for carbon deposition or carbon monoxide evolution are more positive than the deposition potentials of Li metal for the case of LiOH.

In contrast, in the case of KOH, the potential for the formation of C or CO is more negative than the deposition potential of potassium. The comparison suggests that carbon/CO evolution leading to the formation of methane is the more preferential product in the presence of LiOH as also observed in the previous study 45. Therefore, the KOH-NaOH (50:50 mol%) electrolyte use was not preferred for hydrocarbon production. Consequently, the fuel production (H₂, CH₄) was achieved in all cases of molten hydroxide electrolytes with different product composition and concentration (vol%) as can be seen from Table 2 but the best results were provided by the LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%) molten salt with GFIE mode than the other cases.

**Molten carbonate electrolyte**

The third kind of electrolyte used for hydrocarbon production is a ternary molten carbonate mixture (Li₂CO₃-Na₂CO₃-K₂CO₃; 43.5: 31.5: 25.0 mol%) that is used in this research due to its relatively low melting point of 394 °C. The formation of hydrocarbons can occur directly or indirectly in a molten carbonate through the reaction of C with H₂ or CO with H₂ respectively
which are produced primarily from the independent reductions of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O \textsuperscript{28, 52}. Subsequently, experiments conducted on this salt at a range of 400–450 °C, can be perfect conditions for efficient hydrocarbon formation. In the case of electrolysis applied at conditions of 1.5 V cell voltage and 425 °C, the maximum CH\textsubscript{4} production rate was achieved. It can be seen from Fig. 9 that a significant amount of CH\textsubscript{4} (1.10 µmol/h cm\textsuperscript{2}), H\textsubscript{2} (4.40 µmol/h cm\textsuperscript{2}) and CO (11.70 µmol/h cm\textsuperscript{2}) were obtained at the lower current density range of 4–6 mA/cm\textsuperscript{2}.

The relevant faraday efficiency obtained was 56.20% for the production of CH\textsubscript{4}, CO and H\textsubscript{2}, which were confirmed through GC analysis using FID and TCD detectors (see Fig. 10) with production concentration values mention in Table 3. These production results are in agreement with previous studies \textsuperscript{24, 25}. Wu et al. \textsuperscript{10} provided support to the conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O to methane in case of molten carbonate electrolysis. It is worth mentioning that H\textsubscript{2} and CO were the predominant gases during the experiment. Moreover, the existence of CO as clearly noted from Fig. 9 and confirmed through GC analysis with TCD detector (see Fig. 10 (b)) in a relatively significant amount (in comparison to CH\textsubscript{4}), can be imputed to the individual reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} to CO. Previous studies stated that CO itself cannot be expected in molten carbonates at temperatures below 775 °C in cases where H\textsubscript{2}O is absent \textsuperscript{41}.

However, some other authors have claimed that the formation of CO molecules can occur on the cathode by CO\textsubscript{2} reduction at low temperatures (≤ 650 °C) \textsuperscript{53}. If the reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} to CO is preferred, then H\textsubscript{2} gas will also be formed according to the water gas shift reaction (WGSR) which occurs due to higher temperature (< 600 °C). In contrast, CO can be generated by the reverse water gas shift reaction (RWGS) \textsuperscript{50}. However, WGSR is more feasible at temperatures below 817 °C particularly in the event of high partial pressures of H\textsubscript{2}O (up to 16.1 mmHg) which is not the condition of present study case, so CO formation is preferred case than the H\textsubscript{2}
production leading to the hydrocarbon production. The only GFIE mode is presented here due to the same results obtained in both cases (GFOE and GFIE mode) because of the excessive \(\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) ions already present in \(\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3\)-\(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\)-\(\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\) (43.5: 31.5: 25.0 mol%).

The existence of \(\text{CO}_2\) gas in the cathodic gas products in all the molten electrolyte cases can be due to the reasons as (1) some of the absorbed \(\text{CO}_2\) from the molten carbonates can come out with the cathodic product gas (2) \(\text{CO}_2\) can be produced accompanying the various hydrocarbon species (3) The difference between the inlet and outlet amounts of \(\text{CO}_2\) cannot be ultimately accounted as the transferred \(\text{CO}_2\) to CO and hydrocarbon products. Some other amounts of \(\text{CO}_2\) can be absorbed chemically in the molten salts (4) The 100 % \(\text{CO}_2\) gas conversion cannot be done. However, in large scale applications, the cathodic product gas with accompanied amounts of \(\text{CO}_2\) can be recycled repeatedly with feed gas to increase the ultimate \(\text{CO}_2\) conversion rate. Ji et al.\(^{36}\) was able to convert \(\text{CO}_2\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) into \(\text{CO}\), \(\text{H}_2\) and \(\text{CH}_4\) products at 600 °C with the current efficiency of 51% in \(\text{Li}-\text{Na-KCO}_3\)-0.3\(\text{LiOH}\) electrolyte.

**Effect of temperature and voltage**

The optimum temperature used for the selected molten hydroxides was chosen on the basis of the maximum \(\text{CH}_4\) production obtained as can be seen from Fig. 11. The optimum temperatures obtained were 375, 275, 225 and 425 °C for \(\text{KCl-LiCl}\) (58.5: 41.5 mol%), \(\text{KOH-NaOH}\) (50: 50 mol%), \(\text{LiOH-NaOH}\) (27: 73 mol%) and \(\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3\)-\(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3\)-\(\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\) (43.5: 31.5 :25 mol%) electrolytes respectively. The yields of hydrocarbon products (vol%) increased with the rise in temperature up to an optimum temperature value while after that further rise in temperature showed inverse effects in case of molten hydroxide and chloride salts. This was because the \(\text{CO}_2\) could not be transferred significantly to CO or hydrocarbon species because of the prospects chemisorption of \(\text{CO}_2\) in molten electrolytes at higher temperature. Ji et al.\(^{36}\)
provided the support to the obtained results by reporting that the reduction of co-electrolysis of CO₂ and H₂O decreases by increasing the temperature.

While in the case of Li₂CO₃-Na₂CO₃-K₂CO₃, the highest CH₄ production increased up to 425 °C while after this temperature CH₄ production starts decreasing which might be due to the increase in production values of other longer chain hydrocarbons (C₂-C₄) rather than CH₄ only. This can be due to the increase in CO₂ gas solubility inside molten chloride at high temperature (475 °C) \textsuperscript{54}. The cell voltage is a key variable that can affect energy consumption or current efficiency but it can also improve the product properties at the same time \textsuperscript{17,55}. In case of molten chlorides and hydroxides, the average current density increased drastically (20 to 70 mA/cm²) and (70 to 120 mA/cm²) by increasing cell voltage from 2V to 3V as shown in Fig. 12(a) and (b). Likewise, CH₄ concentration (vol%) increased but with slower production rates.

However, the alkali metal electrodeposition starts occurring at a high cell voltage, consequently affecting the current efficiencies of the products. So, at higher voltage, there is more waste of energy due to the solid metal accumulation than the desired products \textsuperscript{56}. Therefore, the optimum voltage selected for molten chlorides and hydroxides was 2V rather than 3V. To show the effect of increasing cell voltage in molten carbonates, Fig. 12(c) illustrates the high difference between the average current (4 to 25 mA/cm²) resulting from electrolysis applied at 1.5 and 2 V. The hydrocarbon formation was confirmed only at 1.5 V while carbon deposition occurred due to the rise of voltage up to 2 V as also confirmed by previous studies \textsuperscript{54,57}. Performing both runs at 425 °C, hydrocarbon formation at 2 V was rare and not noticeable. Consequently, the optimum voltage selected for molten chloride and molten hydroxide was 2 V while 1.5 V for molten carbonates.
Formation of higher hydrocarbons

The GC analysis performed using FID detector (Fig. 10(a)) showed that along with methane production, various higher hydrocarbons were also detected in the case of molten carbonate electrolyte. Which is further confirmed by GC-MS analysis (Fig. 14). The formation of methane gas product can be justified due to the reaction of carbon or CO with H\textsubscript{2} as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
C + 2H_2 &\rightarrow CH_4 & \Delta G_{425C} = -49.5 \text{kJmol}^{-1} \quad (16) \\
CO + 3H_2 &\rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O \,(g) & \Delta G_{425C} = -49.5 \text{kJmol}^{-1} \quad (17) \\
2CO + 2H_2 &\rightarrow CH_4 + CO_2 \,(g) & \Delta G_{425C} = -68.4 \text{kJmol}^{-1} \quad (18)
\end{align*}
\]

The Gibbs Energy values were determined at 425 °C (HSC Chemistry software, version 6.12; Outokumpu Research) as this was the temperature of the experiment. It can be seen from the first mechanism that the production of general hydrocarbons occurs basically from reaction in Eq. (16) with the fresh deposit of carbon and adsorbed atomic hydrogen (H), produced in turn from the individual reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O respectively. On the other hand, the C\textsubscript{2}, C\textsubscript{3} and C\textsubscript{4} hydrocarbons, detected by GC analysis (with FID detector) are shown in Fig. 13 along with their production rate values (0.80, 0.50, 0.50 µmol/h cm\textsuperscript{2}) and faradays efficiency (total = 55.20\%). It is important to note that the accumulative faraday efficiency for all products (C\textsubscript{1}, C\textsubscript{2}, C\textsubscript{3}, C\textsubscript{4}, CO and H\textsubscript{2}) obtained in case of molten carbonates electrolysis reached to the 95% (Table 3).

The dominant peaks were of alkene products rather than alkanes in the GC analysis when detected with FID detector, such as for ethene, propene, butene and pentene at 2.73, 3.06, 7.71 and 18.11 of retention times respectively. However, GC-MS analysis are also showing the detection for some alkane products. The formation of alkene or alkanes can be justified due to
the (1) reaction of C or CO with hydrogen or (2) partial oxidation of methane in molten carbonate. Furthermore, in the first mechanism the CO produced in excess can react with H₂ gas to produce higher hydrocarbons (C₂, C₃, and C₄) through two different routes. The first set of reactions \((\text{Eqs. (19-20)})\) results in H₂O generation \(^{58,59}\) whereas the second set \((\text{Eqs. (21-22)})\) produces CO₂ instead \(^{60}\). The CO₂ by-product method is more feasible than the method with H₂O formation as shown in Table 4.

\[
\begin{align*}
n\text{CO} + (2n + 1)\text{H}_2 &\rightarrow C_n\text{H}_{2n+2} + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (19) \\
n\text{CO} + 2n\text{H}_2 &\rightarrow C_n\text{H}_{2n} + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (20) \\
2n\text{CO} + (n + 1)\text{H}_2 &\rightarrow C_n\text{H}_{2n+2} + n\text{CO}_2 \quad (21) \\
2n\text{CO} + n\text{H}_2 &\rightarrow C_n\text{H}_{2n} + n\text{CO}_2 \quad (22)
\end{align*}
\]

Alkane and alkene products in general are generated primarily through the CO₂ route particularly in media where CO₂ is highly absorbed (molten carbonates). The absorption of some amounts of generated CO₂ can be sustained in the molten salt, driving the reactions \((\text{Eqs. (21-22)})\) to the right side and increasing hydrocarbon formation. Moreover, due to the primary production of higher CO rates and in contrast lower H₂ rates, alkene hydrocarbons were found in a higher proportion than the corresponding alkanes in the final cathodic product. The \(\Delta G\) data values \((\text{Table 4})\) confirm that the formation of higher hydrocarbon molecules (C₂-C₄) was possible through the production of CO₂ for alkanes rather than alkenes by the process of Fischer Tropsch reaction.

Therefore, as far as adequate amounts of CO and H₂ gases are produced from electrolysis, there is sufficient availability for combining on the cathode surface producing alkanes. While the justification for the formation of alkenes such as C₂H₄, C₃H₆, C₄H₈, rather than alkanes can be
provided by the partial oxidation of CH$_4$ gas. These conditions hold true particularly at a lower CO$_2$ absorption level due to the feasible partial oxidation of CH$_4$ to C$_2$H$_4$ rather than C$_2$H$_6$.

$$2\text{CH}_4 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta \text{G}_{425\text{C}} = -297 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$2\text{CH}_4 + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_6 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta \text{G}_{425\text{C}} = -138 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The oxidation of CH$_4$ can be performed in two ways. Firstly, CH$_4$ gas can react directly with O$_2$ formed at the anode during the co-electrolysis of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O (Eqs. (23-24)) or also can react with O$_2$ absorbed inside the molten salt for a short time prior to passing through the anode ceramic tube or being eluted with the cathodic gas product by the draft of feed gas. Secondly, the absorbed O$_2$ can be transferred to a more reactive oxide anion like peroxydine (diatomic O$_2$$_2^-$ or monoatomic O$^-$), playing a significant role in the methane oxidation mechanism particularly in the case of low CO$_2$ concentration levels. It can also be seen from Table 4 that the formation of higher molecular weight hydrocarbons ($>$C$_2$) will be more feasible (resulting in a more negative $\Delta$G) by this mechanism with the priority on alkenes rather than alkanes.

The formation of C$_2$H$_6$, C$_3$H$_8$ and C$_4$H$_{10}$ was relatively small compared with the corresponding alkenes as also seen by GC-MS analysis (Fig. 14) as the peaks 57, 43 and 29 stands for the mass of fragments lost from C$_4$H$_{10}$ (CH$_3$CH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_3$), C$_3$H$_8$ (CH$_3$CH$_2$CH$_3$) and C$_2$H$_6$ (CH$_3$CH$_3$) respectively. The last peaks (43 and 29) are produced from the further fragmentation of C$_3$H$_8$ and C$_4$H$_{10}$. Peaks 55 and 41 stands for the mass of fragments lost from 1-C$_4$H$_8$ (for instance) and C$_3$H$_6$ respectively. Peak 15 is showing the mass fragment (methyl) lost from C$_4$H$_{10}$, C$_3$H$_8$ and C$_2$H$_6$. Branco et al. $^{61,62}$ also stated the higher hydrocarbon production (C$_2$-C$_4$) through partial oxidation of methane in molten salt electrolytes.
Energy consumption and heating values

The energy required for the conversion of CO$_2$ to carbon/hydrocarbons will be that needed to carry out the electrolysis and heating up of the molten salt. If the heating values or energy supplied from the produced fuels are able to compensate some or all the energy consumed while performing electrolysis, the process feasibility increases. This is because the yield of heat generated from the produced hydrocarbon fuel can compensate or substitute some of the normal electricity employed in large scale industrial applications. In the case of molten chloride (KCl-LiCl; 41–59 mol%) electrolyte, the heating value obtained is 162 J from the produced fuel (H$_2$ and CH$_4$) with the energy consumption of 278 J. While the heating values obtained are 136 and 170 J from the produced fuels (H$_2$ and CH$_4$) by using KOH-NaOH (50: 50 mol%) and LiOH-NaOH (27:73 mol%) respectively. And with the energy consumption of 1200 and 1000 J in KOH-NaOH (50: 50 mol%) and LiOH-NaOH (27:73 mol%) electrolysis respectively (see Table 2).

The greater the production of higher hydrocarbons (C$_1$-C$_4$), the greater the faraday efficiency and subsequent energy profit attained due to their ability to produce more heating energy (Table 3). It is very interesting to note that the energy obtained from the summation of heating values of cathodic products in Li$_2$CO$_3$-Na$_2$CO$_3$-K$_2$CO$_3$ (43.5 : 31.5 : 25 mol%) case was 94.6 J while the total consumed energy was 114.2 J with about 100% of faraday efficiency (Table 3). The higher total efficiency results in significantly lower energy consumption of 114 J for the total fuel produced or just 0.157 kWh per mole of fuel. This value is apparently less than the energy consumed for an optimum deposit carbon operation of 0.456 kWh per mole of carbon. As in all the cases, the produced hydrocarbon fuels are able to provide sufficient heating values so the CO$_2$-H$_2$O co-electrolysis processes are considered successful. Tang et al. has
optimized energy consumption for producing 1 kg of carbon from CO₂ as low as 35.59 kW h with a current efficiency of 87.86% under a constant cell voltage of 3.5 V in molten carbonates.

**Conclusions**

This study presents a new method of CO₂-H₂O conversion into hydrocarbon fuel via molten salts electrolys is at relatively low temperature that is a dire need of hydrocarbon production. The synthesis method generated methane and hydrogen gases by a direct simultaneous splitting of CO₂ and H₂O in LiCl-KCl (58.5: 41.5 mol%), LiOH-NaOH (27: 73 mol%), KOH-NaOH (50 : 50 mol%) and Li₂CO₃-Na₂CO₃-K₂CO₃ (43.5 : 31.5 : 25 mol%) electrolyte mixtures. The optimization of each electrolyte was done in the gas feed introduction method (GFOE and GFIE) for obtaining more fuel production. In the case of KCl-LiCl (41: 59 mol%), CH₄ (0.67 µmol/h.cm²) and H₂ (32 µmol/h.cm²) were produced with GFIE mode at atmospheric pressure. While in molten hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH; 27: 73 mol%), the H₂ was the predominant gas due to H₂O electrolys is which contributed majorly to the production of CH₄ by reacting with CO₂. The hydrocarbon production rate increased (CH₄: 1.02 to 6.12 µmol h/cm²) by changing the feed gas insertion mode from GFOE to GFIE by using a ceramic tube. In case of molten carbonate, the production rate of CO (11.70 µmol/h.cm²) was significantly higher than H₂ (4.40 µmol/h.cm²) in cathodic gas product. Along with H₂ and CO, other hydrocarbon species such as CH₄ and olefins were also produced in molten carbonate case with 99% of faraday efficiency while other being 59.30% and 87.70% in molten chloride and molten hydroxides respectively. Moreover, the suitable conditions at which the fuel production was achievable are 375 °C, 275 °C and 475 °C for molten chlorides, molten hydroxides and molten carbonates under the cell voltage of 2V, 2V and 1.5 V respectively. The proposed technique holds promise as a method for converting electrical energy produced from renewable power sources into conventional fuel, this should be used in future with increased production concentrations.
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**List of Tables**

**Table 1.** Specification of cathodic gas products in molten chloride salt with GFIE mode of electrolysis at 2V and 375 °C by using GC analysis.

| Products | Gas product composition (vol. %) | Uncertainty of gas composition | Faraday efficiency (%) | Energy consumption (J) |
|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
|          | (30 min) | (60 min) | (30 min) | (60 min) | (30 min) | (60 min) | (30 min) | (60 min) |
| H₂       | 2.40  | 2.48    | ±0.10   | ±0.10   | 54.80   | 28.20   | 278.00   | 311.00   |
| CH₄      | 0.05  | 0.05    | ±0.005  | ±0.005  | 4.50    | 2.30    |          |          |
| CO       | 0.00  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 278.00   | 311.00   |
| CO₂      | 34.80 | 4.84    | –       | –       | –       | –       |          |          |
| H₂O      | 2.00  | 2.00    | –       | –       | –       | –       |          |          |
| Ar       | 60.70 | 90.60   | –       | –       | –       | –       |          |          |
Table 2. Specification of cathodic gas products during electrolysis in molten hydroxide (LiOH-NaOH) at 275 °C and (KOH-NaOH) at 225 °C under 2V applied voltage using GC analysis.

| Products | Gas product composition (vol. %) | Uncertainty of gas composition | Faraday efficiency (%) | Heating values (J) | Energy consumption (J) |
|----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|          | *LiOH-NaOH | **LiOH-NaOH | **KOH-NaOH | *LiOH-NaOH | **LiOH-NaOH | **KOH-NaOH | *LiOH-NaOH | **LiOH-NaOH | **KOH-NaOH | **KOH-NaOH | **LiOH-NaOH | **KOH-NaOH |
| H₂       | 27.3       | 4.44        | 3.94        | ± 0.90       | ± 0.10        | ± 0.10        | 87.30       | 13.00        | 15.60        | 130.00      | 116.00 |
| CH₄      | 0.03       | 0.15        | 0.15        | ± 0.004      | ± 0.01        | ± 0.01        | 0.40        | 2.00         | 2.30         | 14.00       | 12.00 |
| CO       | 0.00       | 0.00        | 0.00        | 0.00         | 0.00          | 0.00          | 0.00        | 0.00         | 0.00         | 0.00        | 0.00 |
| CO₂      | 0.480      | 0.750       | 0.580       | –            | –              | –              | –           | –            | –            | –           | – |
| H₂O      | 0.00       | 0.00        | 0.00        | –            | –              | –              | –           | –            | –            | –           | – |
| Ar       | 72.20      | 94.70       | 95.30       | –            | –              | –              | –           | –            | –            | –           | – |

* After electrolysis with GFOE mode
** After electrolysis with GFIE mode
Table 3. Specification of cathodic gas products after electrolysis in molten carbonate at 1.5 V and 425 °C by using GC and mass spectrometric analysis.

| Product | Gas product composition (vol. %) | Uncertainty of gas composition | Faraday efficiency (%) | Heating value (J) | Energy consumption (J) |
|---------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| H₂      | 0.22 ±0.04                       |                                | 11.90                  | 11.40            |                        |
| CH₄     | 0.06 ±0.005                      |                                | 12.50                  | 10.40            |                        |
| C₂H₄    | 0.04 ±0.003                      |                                | 13.20                  | 12.00            |                        |
| C₃H₆    | 0.03 ±0.005                      |                                | 12.70                  | 11.00            | 114.20                 |
| C₄H₈    | 0.03 ±0.002                      |                                | 17.00                  | 14.50            |                        |
| CO      | 0.58 ±0.09                       |                                | 31.80                  | 35.30            |                        |
| CO₂     | 52.70                            | –                              | –                      | –                | –                      |
| H₂O     | 2.40                             | –                              | –                      | –                | –                      |
| Ar      | 44.00                            | –                              | –                      | –                | –                      |
Table 4. List of $\Delta G$ and $\Delta H$ for the generation of hydrocarbon products from the Fischer-Tropsch reaction (through CO$_2$ and water formation) and partial oxidation of methane at 425 °C.

| Products | Fischer-Tropsch Reaction | CH$_4$ partial oxidation |
|----------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
|          | $\Delta G$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta H$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta G$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta H$ (kJ/mol) |
|          | CO$_2$ | H$_2$O | CO$_2$ | H$_2$O |          |          |
| CH$_4$   | -61.41 | -48.37 | -257.70 | -220.10 | - | - |
| C$_2$H$_6$ | -52.03 | -25.94 | -445.90 | -369.90 | -138.20 | -175.90 |
| C$_2$H$_4$ | -2.10  | 23.98  | -303.40 | -227.40 | -297.20 | -278.90 |
| C$_3$H$_8$ | -39.60 | -0.47  | -549.30 | -435.30 | -273.30 | -267.10 |
| C$_3$H$_6$ | -5.69  | 33.44  | -423.70 | -309.70 | -448.30 | -387.00 |
| C$_4$H$_{10}$ | -43.31 | 8.86   | -722.60 | -570.60 | -424.50 | -428.10 |
| C$_4$H$_8$  | -18.62 | 33.55  | -710.60 | -558.70 | -608.80 | -661.70 |
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The co-electrolysis of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O in molten chloride, molten hydroxides and molten carbonates was performed at moderate temperatures for sustainable hydrocarbons formation.