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Abstract

The goal was to improve Alzheimer’s 2-deoxy-2-$^{18}$F-fluoro-D-glucose ($^{18}$F FDG)-positron emission tomography (PET)/computed tomography (CT) imaging through application of a novel, hybrid Fourier-wavelet windowed Fourier transform (WFT) restoration technique, in order to provide earlier and more accurate clinical results. General Electric Medical Systems downward-looking sonar PET/CT 16 slice system was used to acquire studies. Patient data were acquired according the Alzheimer’s disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) protocol. Here, we implemented Fourier-wavelet regularized restoration, with a Butterworth low-pass filter, order $n = 6$ and a cut-off frequency $f = 0.35$ cycles/pixel and wavelet (Daubechies, order 2) noise suppression. The original (PET-O) and restored (PET-R) ADNI subject PET images were compared using the Alzheimer’s discrimination analysis by dedicated software. Forty-two PET/CT scans were used in the study. They were performed on eleven ADNI subjects at intervals of approximately 6 months. The final clinical diagnosis was used as a gold standard. For three subjects, the final clinical diagnosis was mild cognitive impairment and those 13 PET/CT studies were not included in the final comparison, as the result was considered as inconclusive. Using the reminding 29 PET/CT studies (23 AD and 6 normal), the sensitivity and specificity of the PET-O and PET-R were calculated. The sensitivity was 0.65 and 0.96 for PET-O and PET-R, respectively, and the specificity was 0.67 and 0.50 for PET-O and PET-R. The accuracy was 0.66 and 0.86 for PET-O and PET-R, respectively. The results of the study demonstrated that the accuracy of three-dimensional brain $^{18}$F FDG PET images was significantly improved by Fourier-wavelet restoration filtering.
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Introduction

The incidence of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is growing rapidly due to the increase in life expectancy among the general population. Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging seems to be a very promising noninvasive tool in early diagnosis, in guiding effective treatment, and in defining and developing prevention strategies.[1-4] The advantage of PET imaging is its capability to detect biological changes in the brain that are attributable to AD earlier than any other diagnostic test. Early detection and confirmation of AD allows for early drug therapy, slowing the loss of functional ability, future planning before the loss of mental capacity, positive and accurate diagnosis of other dementing processes, as well as aiding in the discovery and development of new therapies. Not long ago, The National Institute of Aging published recommendations for studies on aging that utilized PET data[5] while at the same time acknowledged prior limitations of PET studies. The major limitation of PET studies is relatively poor resolution in comparison with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) imaging. However, it has been reported[6] that after PET image reconstruction, the spatial resolution variation in the central-field-of-view, used for brain imaging, is about 5%. Therefore, the stationary restoration approach[7-9] is a reasonable approximation. Our institution participates in the Alzheimer’s disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI),[10] and thus we
have been acquiring and processing\textsuperscript{[11]} phantom and patient data in a standardized way. Therefore, we have great incentive to improve the methodology of PET Alzheimer’s imaging. The benefits of the restoration approaches are more accurate radiotracer distribution in images and better absolute quantification of tracer uptake. The main objective of our work is to improve the 2-deoxy-2-\textsuperscript{18}F-fluoro-D-glucose (\textsuperscript{18}F FDG) three-dimensional (3D) PET Alzheimer’s imaging using a novel and hybrid Fourier-wavelet restoration technique.\textsuperscript{[12‑15]} The initial results of improving \textsuperscript{18}F FDG PET brain images by the Fourier-wavelets restoration technique are presented.

**Materials and Methods**

**Data acquisition**

The General Electric (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) downward-looking sonar PET-CT + 16 scanner was used in this study and all images were acquired in 3D mode. PET brain studies were acquired 40 min following intravenous administration of 370 MBq of \textsuperscript{18}F-FDG. PET scans were obtained as a 30 min dynamic emission scan (six 5 min/frames), but the summed 30 min reconstructed slices were used for analysis. Attenuation correction was performed using CT scans. The reconstruction matrix size was 128 × 128 and the pixel size was 4.3 mm. The images were reconstructed with the Kinahan-Rogers 3D filtered backprojection.\textsuperscript{[11]} Patient data were acquired in Health Insurance Portability and Accountability compliance at our institution, and according to ADNI rules, each patient was assigned a unique identifier. Also, following the ADNI rules, we have to put the following statement: “Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the ADNI database (adni.loni.ucla.edu). The ADNI was launched in 2003 by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, the Food and Drug Administration, private pharmaceutical companies and nonprofit organizations, as a $60 million, 5-year public-private partnership. The primary goal of ADNI has been to test whether serial MRI, PET, other biological markers, and clinical and neuropsychological assessment can be combined to measure the progression of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and early AD. Determination of sensitive and specific markers of very early AD progression is intended to aid researchers and clinicians to develop new treatments and monitor their effectiveness, as well as lessen the time and cost of clinical trials. The principal investigator of this initiative is Michael W. Weiner, MD, VA Medical Center and University of California – San Francisco. ADNI is the result of efforts of many co-investigators from a broad range of academic institutions and private corporations, and subjects have been recruited from over 50 sites across the U.S. and Canada. The initial goal of ADNI was to recruit 800 subjects, but ADNI has been followed by ADNI-GO and ADNI-2. To date, these three protocols have recruited over 1500 adults, ages 55–90, to participate in the research, consisting of cognitively normal older individuals, people with early or late MCI, and people with early AD. The follow-up duration of each group is specified in the protocols for ADNI-1, ADNI-2 and ADNI-GO. Subjects originally recruited for ADNI-1 and ADNI-GO had the option to be followed in ADNI-2. For up-to-date information, “see www.adni-info.org.”

Fourier restoration techniques have been successfully applied in nuclear medicine.\textsuperscript{[7,9]} However, not long ago, hybrid Fourier-wavelet restoration techniques\textsuperscript{[12‑15]} have been developed, which have better properties, especially in reducing the amount of noise in the restored images. New, successful restoration techniques can have a significant quantitative and qualitative impact on restored PET images. Quantitative improvement is achieved through reducing partial volume effect, thereby providing more accurate activity and standard uptake values.

**The restoration approach**

Here, we have implemented the Fourier-wavelet regularized deconvolution (ForWaRD).\textsuperscript{[12]} The specific filters were created and optimized using the Hoffman 3D brain phantom study as described in our previous phantom restoration study.\textsuperscript{[16,17]} Briefly, the fast Fourier transform (FFT) restoration was applied with the Butterworth low-pass filter, order \( n = 6 \) and cut-off frequency \( f = 0.35 \text{ cycles/pixel} \). In addition, wavelet (Daubechies, order 2) noise suppression was applied by “hard threshold”.\textsuperscript{[14]} Use of the threshold removes small coefficients, identified with the noise components, and the inverse operation restores the true signal. Different threshold filters were tested using interactive language Interactive Data Language V.6.1 (Research Systems, Inc., Boulder, CO). The filters were optimized using the 3D Hoffman brain study by evaluation of the true activity concentration in the phantom, by calculating the contrast and noise measured as a coefficient of variation (COV, \( 100 \times \text{SD/mean (％)} \)), using linear profiles, and by visual analysis.

**Discrimination between Alzheimer’s disease and normal subjects and clinical evaluation**

The PET brain images, original, FFT restored, and Fourier-wavelet windowed Fourier transform (WFT) restored images were compared using the Alzheimer’s discrimination analysis by dedicated software (PMOD.
2.8, PMOD Technologies, Zurich, Switzerland). The applied automated method for the discrimination between Alzheimer’s dementia and normal controls is based on the FDG data acquired in a large multi-center trial. The method is based on 110 normal controls and 395 patients with probable AD. Each subject’s brain scan is compared with the age-adjusted normal template using the Student’s t-test, by calculating the t-sums of those areas that are typical for AD that is, AD t-sums. The approach provided 93% sensitivity and specificity for distinction of mild to moderate probable AD from normals. Also, the readings of experienced nuclear medicine physicians were used for comparison, without discrepancy to date. The Alzheimer’s discrimination analysis diagnosed each subject as AD positive or AD negative.

As a part of the ADNI protocol, each subject in addition to the PET imaging was reviewed by the study clinician. The clinical diagnosis was made using neuropsychological and caregiver assessments, (the Mini Mental State Examination, AD Assessment Scale, Neuropsychiatric Inventory, Functional Assessment Questionnaire, AD Cooperative Study - Activities of Daily Living, Global Clinical Dementia Rating), as well as the clinical interview, PET imaging reports and MRI reports if available. Any conversion between previous and current diagnoses was supplemented with the physician’s notes to explain the change in diagnosis. The physician diagnosed each subject as having normal cognition, MCI, AD, or another type of dementia.

In our retrospective study of early diagnosis and prediction of AD, the final clinical diagnosis was used as the gold standard. For patients with MCI as the final diagnosis, results were classified as inconclusive because the Alzheimer’s discrimination analysis gives only positive or negative AD results.

**Results**

**Comparison between Fourier only (fast Fourier transform) and Fourier-wavelets (windowed Fourier transform) images**

The results of an Alzheimer’s subject are shown in Figure 1, which shows that FFT images were improved in terms of resolution and contrast, but suffered from relatively high levels of noise. However, the WFT images, as seen in the profiles, had the same number of counts and the same contrast as FFT images, but were significantly less noisy. The very same trend was shown in our previous 3D Hoffman brain phantom study in which FFT images were improved in terms of resolution, contrast and quantification, but suffered from relatively high levels of noise. However, the WFT phantom images, although almost identical in terms of resolution, contrast and quantification to FFT images, were significantly less noisy. This pattern was followed in our clinical studies and in further analysis FFT images were not used for comparison between original (PET-O) and restored (PET-R) PET images.

**Alzheimer’s disease neuroimaging initiative subject study results**

Forty-two PET/CT scans were used in the study, performed on eleven ADNI subjects at intervals of approximately 6 months for each subject. The final clinical diagnosis for three subjects was MCI and those 13 PET/CT studies were not included in the final comparison. Two subjects’ final clinical diagnoses were normal, and there were six PET/CT studies performed on them. Six patients with a total of 23 PET/CT studies were finally diagnosed as AD. Three of these subjects converted from MCI to AD, and these were the most interesting cases. 13 original PET studies (PET-O) and 16 restored PET studies (PET-R) were in agreement with corresponding clinical diagnoses, which were made at the same time as PET acquisitions. Based on the final clinical diagnoses, 4 PET-R studies and 10 PET-O studies did not perform as well as the corresponding clinical diagnoses. For example, for subject 013_S_0575, PET-O and PET-R in two studies yielded an AD result, but clinical diagnosis was normal in both cases. The 6 PET-O and 9 PET-R performed better than corresponding clinical diagnoses because they predicted AD earlier for the subjects with AD as final diagnosis. This shows that PET/CT imaging, and especially restored PET/CT, has potential for diagnosing and predicting AD earlier in life.
Table 1: Results of 11 subjects used in the longitudinal study. BL is a baseline study and other studies were done in 6 month intervals. PET-O and PET-R indicate results from original PET images and restored PET images, respectively. Dx denotes clinical diagnosis at each visit. AD denotes Alzheimer’s disease, N normal findings and MCI mild cognitive impairment findings.

| Subject | PET-O | PET-R | Dx  |
|---------|--------|--------|-----|
| 013_S_0240 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | N      | AD     | MCI |
| 6       | N      | AD     | MCI |
| 12      | N      | AD     | MCI |
| 18      | AD     | AD     | AD  |
| 24      | N      | AD     | AD  |
| 013_S_0325 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 6       | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 12      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 18      | AD     | AD     | AD  |
| 24      | AD     | AD     | AD  |
| 013_S_0502 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | N      | N      | N   |
| 6       | N      | N      | N   |
| 12      | N      | AD     | N   |
| 18      | N      | N      | N   |
| 013_S_0575 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | AD     | AD     | N   |
| 6       | AD     | AD     | N   |
| 013_S_0699 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | AD     | AD     | AD  |
| 013_S_0860 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 6       | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 6       | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 12      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 013_S_1120 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 6       | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 12      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 18      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 24      | AD     | AD     | MCI |
| 013_S_1161 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| 013_S_1186 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | N      | N      | MCI |
| 6       | N      | AD     | MCI |
| 12      | N      | AD     | MCI |
| 18      | N      | AD     | MCI |
| 24      | N      | AD     | MCI |
| 013_S_1205 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | N      | N      | AD  |
| 6       | N      | AD     | AD  |
| 12      | N      | AD     | AD  |
| 24      | N      | AD     | AD  |
| 013_S_1275 |        |        |     |
| Visit   |        |        |     |
| BL      | N      | N      | MCI |
| 6       | N      | N      | MCI |
| 12      | N      | N      | MCI |

Subject 013_S_0240 demonstrated the most interesting pattern. The subject underwent five studies at approximately 6 month intervals. Even in the first study, the PET-O suggested a normal scan and PET-R suggested AD [Figures 2 and 3], and this pattern was repeated in the next two studies. In these three early studies, the subject’s clinical diagnosis was MCI consistently. However, in the fourth study, both the original and restored data, as well as the clinical diagnosis suggested AD [Figures 4 and 5]. In the last, fifth study, again the PET-O suggested a normal scan, while PET-R suggested AD. The final clinical diagnosis was also AD. This shows that the PET-R images identified the correct diagnosis in the early AD stage a year and a half earlier than the original PET/CT study.

Subject 013_S_1205 underwent four PET/CT studies. Only in the first study the PET-O and the PET-R yielded normal results while, in the second, third and fourth studies, the PET-O continued to give normal results and the PET-R gave AD-positive results. The clinical diagnoses were AD for all four studies, showing that for this patient PET-R was significantly better than PET-O.

In patient 013_S_0502, four studies were performed at approximately 6 month intervals. In all four studies, clinical diagnosis as well as PET-O gave normal results.
Here, we do not compare PET imaging with the current clinical diagnosis but rather with the final clinical diagnosis. Sensitivity was 0.65 and 0.96 for PET-O and PET-R, respectively and specificity was 0.67 and 0.50 for PET-O and PET-R. The accuracy was 0.66 and 0.86 for PET-O and PET-R, respectively. Although PET-R had significantly higher sensitivity and accuracy than PET-O, it had lower specificity most probably due to relatively limited number of images in the study.

This preliminary data, although limited in number of subjects, suggest that our approach can improve 3D PET Alzheimer's imaging, allowing for an earlier diagnosis.
and providing overall better 3D brain ¹⁸F FDG PET images.

**Discussion**

The application of the Fourier-wavelet restoration in our previous Hoffman 3D brain phantom study[16,17] showed significant improvement in quantification, resolution, contrast and background subtraction in restored images compared to the original PET/CT image. In this study, the clinical images [Figure 1] followed the same pattern and the restored images had better contrast and resolution. Consequently, the restored images enabled earlier AD diagnosis and had significantly better sensitivity and accuracy. The limitations of the study are the relatively limited number of subjects, and using the clinical diagnosis as a gold standard instead of the postmortem diagnosis of AD.

In a recent review article,[20] it was stated that ¹⁸F-FDG PET is an effective and safe modality to identify the diagnostic patterns of glucose hypometabolism in neurodegenerative dementias and is an effective and useful adjunct to the other diagnostic information in the assessment of patients with progressive cognitive impairment. Even more, ¹⁸F-FDG PET has shown to be very useful to distinguish other neurodegeneration, such as dementia with Lewy bodies and frontotemporal dementia (FTD) from AD. ¹⁸F-FDG PET scans have received approval in the United States for Medicare reimbursement to aid in the distinction of AD from FTD.[21] However, there are certain limitations of the ¹⁸F-FDG PET in the evaluation of dementia. A meta-analysis of the literature from 1990 to 2000 found ¹⁸F-FDG PET to have a summary sensitivity of 86% and a summary specificity of 86% for AD diagnosis. The more recent analysis shows comparable or better values.[20] However, there is a need for improvement of PET imaging in evaluations of AD and other dementias, using ¹⁸F-FDG or other tracers. Recently, tracers specific for β-amyloid plaques, such as the Pittsburgh compound B (¹¹C-PiB) and ¹⁸F-labeled amyloid tracers, flurbetapir (previously known as atiroventricular [AV]-45), flutemetamol, and florbetaben (previously known as AV-1), have been developed and currently are in the late phase of clinical development.[22] The accuracy of amyloid PET imaging is still a subject of investigations, but it is expected to be over 90% for patients under the age of 70 years.[22] These developments strongly indicate that PET imaging seems to be the most promising tool in early noninvasive diagnosis, in guiding the effective treatment and in defining prevention strategies in patients with AD and other dementias. However, due to relatively poor spatial resolution, PET imaging often lacks anatomic information and position of the tracer concentration. This latter fact has led to the development of combined PET-CT scanners[23] and more recently, development of combined PET-MRI systems.[24] One approach to improve PET images is to use synergistically multimodal PET-CT or PET-MRI information.[25‑27] The second and more common approach is based on the deconvolution of PET images with the point-spread function (PSF) of the scanner.[28‑30] However, the main problem in applying the deconvolution approaches is that they increase noise in restored images. The newly developed restoration Fourier-wavelet[12‑15] techniques have significantly suppressed noise without loss in resolution recovery. The third approach is to apply resolution recovery as a part of the image reconstruction process. However, the postprocessing approach used in the study has several advantages: It is affordable, doesn’t require knowledge of the proprietary file structures of different PET/CT vendors and is fast and easily implemented on different PET/CT scanners, once the PSF has been obtained. The results in the study strongly indicate that the approach used is a step forward in improving PET AD imaging.

**Conclusions**

This study showed that the quality and quantification of 3D brain ¹⁸F-FDG PET images can be significantly improved by Fourier-wavelet (WFT) restoration filtering and hence a more accurate and earlier diagnosis of AD by PET imaging could be achieved.

The full potential value of PET imaging in the evaluation of AD and other dementias awaits the development of an effective therapy to slow, halt, or reverse the disease process. Such a therapy will be most beneficial when given early, before dementia has developed.

Development of new biomarkers such as amyloid imaging, improving PET technology, combining PET with CT and/or MRI and using better image processing techniques will hopefully make the development of these therapies feasible.
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