The oncological outcomes of small cell carcinoma of the bladder
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Abstract

Introduction: Small cell carcinoma of the bladder (SmCC) is a rare and aggressive genitourinary malignancy. The paucity of clinical trials and outcome data provide no standard treatment guidelines. Accordingly, patient prognosis is poor. Our goal was to present the first comprehensive in-depth analysis of SmCC in a tertiary Canadian center.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed all patients diagnosed with primary SmCC at the London Regional Cancer Program between January 1990 and 2016. The primary outcome was overall survival (OS). We examined a number of secondary outcomes and baseline characteristics.

Results: We identified 15 men and six women (median age 72 years) with a SmCC diagnosis (median followup 11.33 months). Median Charlson comorbidity index score was 7 (interquartile range [IQR] 5–10) and 15 patients had a smoking history. Most common presentation was gross hematuria (18 patients, 86%), and pT2 stage at transurethral resection of the bladder tumor (TURBT) (n=7/21, 33%), although five patients had cT4 (24%). Pure SmCC was found in nine individuals (43%), whereas 12 had mixed differentiation (57%). From initial staging, 15 patients had extravesical disease (71%), 10 had positive pelvic lymphadenopathy (48%), and distant metastases occurred in six (29%). In our series, five individuals (24%) underwent cystectomy, 18 (86%) received radiation, and 14 (67%) received adjuvant chemotherapy. The median OS was 15 months (two-year OS was 19%).

Conclusions: SmCC is a rare and aggressive form of bladder cancer. Despite multimodal therapy, prognosis remains guarded, with little improvement seen over the study’s 25-year duration. An understanding of study limitations is warranted in the interpretation of results.

Introduction

Small cell carcinoma (SmCC) of the bladder is an extremely rare neoplasm, comprising less than 1% of all bladder malignancies.1 While uncommon, this neoplasm demonstrates aggressive behavior and often has delayed presentation with advanced disease states, and is therefore associated with poor prognosis.2 With a predilection for males in their seventh or eighth decade compared to females,1,3 clinical presentation most often includes painless gross hematuria or obstructive urinary symptoms. Occasionally, patient presentation can be accompanied by constitutional symptoms, such as weight loss and fatigue. Differentiation between small cell and urothelial bladder cancer can only be done through microscopic evaluation of tumor tissue.3 Furthermore, SmCC often presents in a mixed histological pattern (40–70%),3,4 most frequently with urothelial carcinoma (27%),4 when compared to adenocarcinoma (3%),4 or squamous cell (1%),4 and is rarely accompanied by sarcomatoid carcinoma.3

Unfortunately, since the first reported case of SmCC in 1981, very few reports from the English-language medical literature describe large series of patients.2,5 As a result of its rarity, the literature surrounding this neoplasm is sparse and treatment standards or guidelines have yet to be defined. Treatment typically involves a multimodal approach comprised of surgical or bladder-sparing intervention, as well as chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy in both the neo-adjuvant and adjuvant clinical settings.1,3 Limited studies have reported no survival improvement in individuals with non-metastatic disease when treatment includes radical surgery and adjuvant therapy as opposed to chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy alone.4,6,7

Therefore, the purpose of this retrospective cohort study was to analyze the patient population and review characteristics in order to examine clinical outcomes, treatment modalities, cancer-free, and overall survival of all individuals diagnosed with pathologically confirmed SmCC of the bladder in a large Canadian series. We hypothesize that the cancer-specific (CSS) and overall survival (OS) of patients diagnosed with SmCC of the bladder is dependent upon tumor stage at diagnosis. Our study aims to add to the relatively small body of current literature on SmCC of the bladder and we hope it will be of assistance in determining a better approach to the treatment of this malignancy. As the
rarity of this tumor often precludes prospective clinical trial investigations, a better understanding of treatment paradigms could allow for improvements in long-term survival rates.

**Methods**

Upon receiving approval from the Western University Health Science Research Ethics Board and Lawson Health Research Institute, retrospective data was collected on all patients treated for SmCC of the bladder at the London Regional Cancer Program from January 1, 1990 to December 31, 2016. Individuals were identified using the International Classification for Diseases, 10th Edition codes C67.0–C67.9, which provided all individuals with a malignant neoplasm of the bladder. In total, 21 patients with biopsy-proven SmCC of the bladder treated at our institution were identified using an institutional approved protocol to meet all inclusion criteria. We included all patients with complete data that were over the age of 18. Individuals found to have SmCC of pure or mixed pathology were included in the study and comprise some of the 21 identified patients.

Information was collected from patient electronic and paper medical health records, as well as London Regional Cancer Program’s cancer registry. All subjects were assigned a study identification code, which was documented in a secure and password-protected file. All data manipulation and analysis was done with de-identified study identification codes. Data on patient demographics, clinical presentation, surgical findings, pathological characteristics, and laboratory investigations were recorded from patient medical records. This information was manually reviewed in order to identify patients that met our inclusion criteria.

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the data. Disease-free and OS were reported using Kaplan-Meier curves as a function of time after diagnosis. Statistical analysis was completed using statistical software, Stata 14.1 (StataCorp LLC, Texas, U.S.). A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 21 patients were identified for analysis, 15 (71%) of which were male, with a median followup of 11.33 months (interquartile range [IQR] 7.73–14.8). Demographic data can be seen in Table 1. In terms of age at diagnosis, 11 individuals (52%) were diagnosed in the seventh and eighth decade of their life. Median age at diagnosis was 72 years old (IQR 63–82). Race was not reported consistently enough to accurately characterize the study population. A large portion of the cohort had a body mass index (BMI) above 25, with five patients (24%) considered obese and eight patients (38%) considered overweight. A history of smoking was also very prevalent in this cohort, with 12 patients being prior smokers and three patients being current smokers. The average pack-year history was 25.61 (IQR 1.25–43.75). In terms of presenting symptoms, 18 individuals presented with gross hematuria, two of whom also had dysuria, while two others had dysuria with no gross hematuria. Frequency and urgency symptoms were seen in six individuals. Weight loss was observed in six patients. Urinary retention was seen in three patients.

With respect to history of cancer, nine patients declared a personal history of cancer while 10 declared a family history of cancer. We also noted, quite interestingly, that five patients had a prior history of radiation therapy due to

| Table 1. Patient demographic and pathological characteristics |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                            |
| **n=21**                                                    |
| **%**                                                       |
| **Gender**                                                  |
| Male                                                        |
| 15                                                          |
| 71.4                                                       |
| Female                                                      |
| 6                                                           |
| 28.6                                                       |
| **BMI**                                                     |
| Median                                                      |
| 27.5                                                       |
| IQR                                                         |
| 24.9–30.4                                                  |
| **Charlson comorbidity index**                              |
| Median                                                      |
| 7                                                           |
| IQR                                                         |
| 5–0                                                        |
| **Smoking status**                                         |
| Never                                                      |
| 5                                                           |
| 25.0                                                       |
| Current                                                    |
| 3                                                           |
| 15.0                                                       |
| Prior                                                      |
| 12                                                          |
| 60.0                                                       |
| **Symptoms**                                               |
| Gross hematuria                                            |
| 18                                                          |
| 85.7                                                       |
| Frequency/urgency                                          |
| 6                                                           |
| 28.6                                                       |
| Weight loss                                                |
| 6                                                           |
| 28.6                                                       |
| Dysuria                                                    |
| 4                                                           |
| 19.0                                                       |
| Urinary retention                                          |
| 3                                                           |
| 14.3                                                       |
| Incontinence                                              |
| 2                                                           |
| 9.5                                                        |
| Pelvic pain                                                |
| 2                                                           |
| 9.5                                                        |
| Back pain                                                  |
| 2                                                           |
| 9.5                                                        |
| Fatigue                                                   |
| 2                                                           |
| 9.5                                                        |
| Recurrent UTI                                              |
| 1                                                           |
| 4.8                                                        |
| Flank pain                                                 |
| 1                                                           |
| 4.8                                                        |
| Left groin pressure                                        |
| 1                                                           |
| 4.8                                                        |
| Clot retention                                             |
| 1                                                           |
| 4.8                                                        |
| Intermittent chills                                        |
| 1                                                           |
| 4.8                                                        |
| Sterile pyuria                                             |
| 1                                                           |
| 4.8                                                        |
| **Histological finding**                                   |
| SmCC only                                                  |
| 9                                                           |
| 43.0                                                       |
| SmCC with TCC/squamous                                     |
| 12                                                          |
| 57.0                                                       |
| **Stage, no. (%)**                                         |
| **pTa**                                                     |
| 2                                                           |
| 10.0                                                       |
| **pT1**                                                    |
| 0                                                           |
| 0.0                                                        |
| **pT2**                                                    |
| 7                                                           |
| 33.0                                                       |
| **pT3**                                                    |
| 3                                                           |
| 14.0                                                       |
| **pT4**                                                    |
| 5                                                           |
| 24.0                                                       |
| Not available                                              |
| 4                                                           |
| 19.0                                                       |

BMI: body mass index; IQR: interquartile range; SmCC: small cell carcinoma; TCC: transitional cell carcinoma; UTI: urinary tract infection.
previous cancers. There was no clear pattern observed in
the type of cancer among those with either a personal or
family history of cancer. In terms of pathology, nine patients
had histologically pure SmCC, while 12 were found to have
mixed urothelial/squamous differentiation. The most com-
mon stage at diagnosis was pT2 (seven patients, 33%), whileive patients (24%) had the cT4 stage. Extravesical disease
was identified in 15 patients (71%), while positive pelvic
lymph nodes and distant metastases were identified in 10
patients (48%) and six patients (29%), respectively (Table 2).
In terms of treatment, five patients (24%) underwent cystec-
tomy, 18 patients (86%) received pelvic radiation (seven in
the palliative setting), and 14 patients (67%) had adjuvant
platinum-based chemotherapy. Eleven of the 18 patients
(61%) who received pelvic radiation also received adju-
vant platinum-based chemotherapy; three of those 11 (27%)
received their radiation in a palliative setting. Of the five
patients that underwent a cystectomy, multimodal treatment
was provided in three cases (60%). One received adjuvant
chemotherapy and two received radiotherapy (one in the
palliative setting).

At the end of the study period, 19 of the 21 patients
reviewed were deceased. The OS of patients with primary
SmCC of the urinary bladder is shown in Fig. 1. The median
OS was 1.25 years (15 months), with no patient surviving
longer than 41 months (3.4 years). The overall one-year,
two-year, and three-year survival rates were 57.9%, 19.0%,
and 10.5%, respectively. Stratification by tumor stage is seen
in Fig. 2 and revealed no significant difference. Survival
was further stratified by presence of distant metastasis, as
shown in Fig. 3. None of the patients with M1 disease sur-
vived longer than 40 months (3.3 years). Pure SmCC and
mixed SmCC were present in nine patients (43.0%) and 12
patients (57.0%), respectively. No survival difference was
observed between patients with pure SmCC and mixed
SmCC tumors (Fig. 4). Of the two patients alive at the end of
the study period, both were considered to have pT2 disease
at transurethral resection of the bladder tumour (TURBT)
and received adjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy with
etoposide. Additional agents included either cisplatin or
carboplatin. The patient who received etoposide and car-
boplatin also received radiotherapy and had no evidence of
disease at the last followup time point (251 days, 0.69 years
from chemotherapy end date). However, the patient who
received a regimen of etoposide and cisplatin had evidence

![Table 2. Initial sites of distance metastasis (n=6)](image)

| Site        | Frequency |
|-------------|-----------|
| Regional nodes | 4         |
| Liver       | 3         |
| Lungs       | 1         |
| Prostate    | 1         |

![Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier curve for overall survival for patients with primary small cell carcinoma of the urinary bladder.](image)

![Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for overall survival stratified by transurethral resection of the bladder tumor stage according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer 2009 TNM classification system at time of diagnosis.](image)

**Discussion**

Bladder cancer diagnoses constitute 4.6% of new cancer
cases annually and are the fifth leading cause of cancer-relat-
ed deaths in the U.S. each year. SmCC of the bladder, while
comprising less than 1% of all bladder tumors, has a more
aggressive behavior than typical genitourinary malignan-
cies and results in poorer patient prognosis and outcome. Infre-
cently found within the genitourinary tract, small cell
carcinomas have been reported within various other organ
systems, most commonly the lung. While the exact patho-
genesis of SmCC bladder cancer is yet to be determined,
SmCC is suspected to share the same histopathology as small
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cell carcinoma of the lungs (SCLC). There are currently no treatment guidelines for physicians that are considered best practice for this rare disease.

Current treatment involves a multimodal approach, which may include TURBT, chemotherapy, radiation, and/or radical cystectomy. The primary goal of our retrospective review was to analyze CSS and OS with respect to tumor stage, treatment prescribed, and tumor histology.

In previously reported series, SmCC most often presented itself in patients of advanced age, specifically among individuals in their seventh and eighth decade, which we similarly noted in our series. In addition to age, a higher risk of disease development is associated with male biological sex, white race when compared to other non-white races, and current or prior cigarette use. Our study was in accordance with this, as approximately 71% of our cohort was male, resulting in a male to female ratio of 3:1. Additionally, 71% of the cohort either had a history of smoking or were smoking at the time of diagnosis. The effect of secondhand smoke and toxic chemical exposure has yet to be determined; however, this variable was not assessed within our cohort.

Presenting symptoms are similar to those of urothelial carcinoma and include but are not limited to gross hematuria, dysuria, frequency, and pelvic/suprapubic pain. Gross hematuria was by far the most prevalent symptom in our cohort, seen in almost 86% of patients, followed by urinary frequency and urgency seen in 29% of patients. Interestingly, other studies have illustrated dysuria as the second most common presenting symptom of SmCC, which was only seen in four patients (19%) in our series. Furthermore, pain is often absent at the time of clinical presentation. In accordance with this, back, flank, and pelvic discomfort was only observed in five patients (24%), all of which had high-grade disease at diagnosis. Additionally, unexplained weight loss was present in 29% of the study cohort. Interestingly, among our cohort, the same percentage of patients presented with frequency/urgency and weight loss (six patients, 29%). Historically, in the literature, frequency/urgency is often identified in much greater proportions than weight loss.

Many reports also indicate a higher incidence of mixed SmCC rather than pure SmCC. In fact, one study showed that 70% of their cohort had a mixed pathology. This trend was observed in our cohort, with 57% of patients having mixed SmCC and urothelial carcinomas. While there is variability between the proportions of individuals presenting with mixed SmCC compared to Abrahams et al, there was no difference in OS between individuals with pure SmCC or SmCC of mixed histology. These results are consistent with other reports. Furthermore, tumor location was predominantly on the lateral wall of the bladder in our study, which is consistent with prior reviews.

Consensus exists within the literature that SmCC most often presents at advanced stages (T3–T4/N+/M+). In our series, the most common stage at diagnosis was pT2 (33%), however, 71% of patients had pT2 disease or higher, 53% of which had at least pT3 disease. At the time of diagnosis, distant metastases were present in only six patients. A greater proportion of patients had positive pelvic lymph nodes (48%) and extravasical disease (71%). All individuals with metastatic disease at presentation did not undergo radical cystectomy but did receive a platinum-based chemotherapy regimen. Although long-term survival is poor, others have confirmed the efficacy of platinum-based chemotherapy in the presence of stage IV disease. Additionally, radical cystectomy in the presence of metastatic disease is unlikely to provide a survival benefit unless it is preceded by neo-adjuvant chemotherapy. Given that the individuals in our cohort with distant metastases did not undergo radical cystectomy, we were unable to assess if neo-adjuvant platinum-
based chemotherapy regimens did, in fact, yield a survival benefit. Nevertheless, while treatment approach does ultimately depend on clinical staging and patient performance status, chemotherapy is a key component of many multimodal approaches across all stages of SmCC disease.\textsuperscript{10,26}

The use of radiotherapy alone in conjunction with bladder-sparing approaches, such as TURBT, has shown to be associated with higher relapse rates and reduced long-term and median survival.\textsuperscript{11} However, the combined use of radiation and chemotherapy has shown to improve survival among individuals with SCLC.\textsuperscript{27} Furthermore, retrospective analysis has shown that concurrent chemotherapy and radiotherapy may be an effective bladder-sparing treatment approach, specifically in those who wish to delay or forgo radical cystectomy.\textsuperscript{15} In our cohort, of the five who underwent a radical cystectomy, radiation therapy was used in one case in an adjuvant setting and two in a palliative setting. No survival benefit between those who received radiotherapy and those who did not was observed.

As previously mentioned, tumor stage at diagnosis has large implications on chosen treatment approach. In earlier stages, individuals may opt for surgical options, which include bladder-sparing approaches such as TURBT or radical or partial cystectomy.\textsuperscript{10} While our study cohort was restricted by size, it is concerning that for patients who presented with non-metastatic disease, no significant improvement in survival was observed when treatment involved radical surgery in conjunction with chemotherapy in both the neoadjuvant and adjuvant clinical settings. With high rates of tumor recurrence, it would have been expected that radical cystectomy would provide the best strategy against subsequent malignancies, regardless of the risk of morbidity associated with radical surgery. Furthermore, in a greater attempt to minimize the risk for disease recurrence, it would be expected that the administration of chemotherapy would provide an additive effect to OS rates. With disease presentation more common in later stages of life, it is imperative that the benefits of radical surgical options and chemotherapy, with respect to both OS and quality of life, outweigh the side effects of surgical- and/or drug-based intervention.

Although this study provides insight into the rarity of SmCC, it is important to point out its limitations. The retrospective nature of this study could have resulted in some level of selection bias. Additionally, minimal information was collected regarding the chemotherapy and radiation administered. Specifically, with respect to chemotherapy treatment, only duration and type was collected; modality, dose, frequency, and toxicity events were neither reported nor analyzed. The use of radiation therapy was only collected in a dichotomous nature; no information regarding dosage/fractionation, duration, location, and intent were recorded. Our analysis focused on whether or not these treatments were used in patient care, as variability and inconsistency existed among the data available. We believe no significant differences in survival were observed due to our extremely small sample size. We continue to believe that tumor stage at diagnosis not only dictates the course of future treatment, but also the duration of OS.

Despite these limitations, this study can be used to help generate future studies and hypotheses that aim to address important questions surrounding the treatment of SmCC. For instance, a better understanding of which factors dictate bladder-sparing approaches vs. surgical intervention is warranted. Further prospective trials should focus on addressing the major questions surrounding the roles of chemotherapy, radiation, and surgical intervention in the optimal treatment of this disease. With the transition to electronic health records, it is important that focus is placed not only on case review of local tertiary care centers, but also on multicenter studies, with the hopes of improving patient prognosis of this extremely rare genitourinary malignancy.
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Erratum

We wish to correct the omission of Ms. Emily Deegan’s contribution in the acknowledgement section of the article, “Canadian Urological Association guideline: Diagnosis, management, and surveillance of neurogenic lower urinary tract dysfunction,” published in the June 2019 issue of Canadian Urological Association Journal.

Updated versions of both the full text and executive summary of the guidelines are available at cuaj.ca.