Spin–orbit coupling in quantum gases
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Spin–orbit coupling links a particle’s velocity to its quantum–mechanical spin, and is essential in numerous condensed matter phenomena, including topological insulators and Majorana fermions. In solid–state materials, spin–orbit coupling originates from the movement of electrons in a crystal’s intrinsic electric field, which is uniquely prescribed in any given material. In contrast, for ultracold atomic systems, the engineered ‘material parameters’ are tunable: a variety of synthetic spin–orbit couplings can be engineered on demand using laser fields. Here we outline the current experimental and theoretical status of spin–orbit coupling in ultracold atomic systems, discussing unique features that enable physics impossible in any other known setting.

A particle’s spin is quantized. In contrast to the angular momentum of an ordinary (that is, classical) spinning top, which can take on any value, measurements of an electron’s spin angular momentum (or just ‘spin’) along some direction can result in only two discrete values: \(\pm \hbar/2\), commonly referred to as spin-up or spin-down. This internal degree of freedom has no classical counterpart; in contrast, a quantum particle’s velocity is directly analogous to a classical particle’s velocity. It is therefore no surprise that spin is a cornerstone for a variety of deeply quantum materials such as quantum magnets and topological insulators. Spin–orbit coupling (SOC) intimatelyunites a particle’s spin with its momentum, bringing quantum mechanics to the forefront; in materials, this often increases the energy scale at which quantum effects are paramount.

The practical utility of any material is determined, not only by its intrinsic functional behaviour, but also by the energy or temperature scale at which that behaviour is present. For example, the quantum Hall effects—rare examples of truly quantum physics where the spin is largely irrelevant—are confined to highly specialized laboratories because these phenomena manifest themselves only under extreme conditions: at liquid-helium temperatures and high magnetic fields. The integer quantum Hall effect (QHE) was the first observed topological insulator, and topological insulators unite a particle’s spin with its momentum, bringing quantum mechanics to the forefront; in materials, this often increases the energy scale at which quantum effects are paramount.

In fractional QHE systems, the charged excitations are essentially excitation with no analogue elsewhere in physics. Furthermore, even non-Abelian excitations are possible: a system can be in one of many states of equal energy in which ‘non-Abelian’ exist at the same location, and differ only by the sequence of events that created them. At zero magnetic field, strong interactions and strong SOC can also give rise to fractionalization in topological insulators: the emergence of excitations that are fundamentally different from the constituent particles. We currently know little about these fractional topological insulators, but we do know that they should exist and we also expect them to be stable at a much larger range of parameters and experimental temperatures than the fractional QHE: perhaps even up to room temperature in solids.

It is ironic then that we focus on the most fundamental behaviour of spin–orbit-coupled systems using ultracold atoms at nano-Kelvin temperatures. These nominally low temperatures are often deceiving, because what matters is not an absolute temperature scale, but rather the temperature relative to other energy scales in the system (for example, the Fermi energy), and from this perspective, ultracold atom systems are often not that cold. However, ultracold atomic systems are among the simplest and most controllable of quantum many-body systems. Although only one type of SOC has been experimentally realized to date, realistic theoretical proposals to create a range of SOCs abound, many of which have no counterpart in material systems. The laser-coupling technique first experimentally implemented by our team, and now implemented in laboratories around the world, is well suited to realize topological states with one-dimensional atomic systems. In contrast to solid-state systems, in which we do not control or even know with certainty all details of the complicated material structure, ultracold atoms are remarkable in that most aspects of their environment can be engineered in the laboratory. Also, their tunable interactions and their single-particle potentials are both well characterized: the full atomic Hamiltonian is indeed known. This provides a level of control unprecedented in condensed matter and allows one to address basic physics questions at the intersection of material science and many-body theory. To study material systems, theorists create ‘spherical-cow’ models of real materials, whereas in cold atom physics experimentalists can actually make spherical cows. Interactions—even the simple contact interactions present in cold atom systems—enrich the physics of quantum systems by engendering new phases and phenomena. For example, when combined with SOC, the celebrated superfluid–Mott-insulator transition gives rise to numerous magnetic phenomena in both the insulating and superfluid phases. Such interacting systems are often impossible to treat exactly with current theoretical techniques, but cold atom experiments can directly realize these systems and shed light on the complicated and often exotic physics mediated by the strong interactions. Likewise, by asking basic questions such as how strong interactions can destroy topological insulators—or create them—we can understand the mechanisms underlying fractional topological insulators. These exotic quantum states have not yet been observed, but are present in realistic theoretical descriptions of ultracold atoms with SOC.

Ultracold atoms with synthetic SOCs can not only shed light on the outstanding problems of condensed matter physics, but also yield completely...
**BOX 1**

**Topological matter**

Topological insulators\(^2\) are strongly spin–orbit-coupled materials that have seemingly mutually exclusive properties: they are both insulating and metallic at the same time. In their interior (bulk), electrons cannot propagate, whereas their surfaces are highly conducting. To get an insight into the complicated theory of these exotic materials, let us recall that electrons in an insulator fully occupy a certain number of allowed energies (bands) in such a way that the highest occupied state is separated from the lowest empty one by a gap of forbidden energies. Hence, a non-zero energy is required to excite an electron across the gap (that is, to make it move) and small perturbations have almost no effect on the insulator. From this perspective, it is as good as vacuum: nothing moves inside. It may seem that any two such insulators ("vacua") should be indistinguishable, but it is not so! If we ask whether one insulator can be smoothly deformed into another without breaking certain symmetries or turning it into a metal along the way, we find that it is not always possible. Insulators are divided into qualitatively different categories, including trivial insulators (which are much like vacuum) and topological insulators, characterized by a non-zero integer topological index, related to the momentum-dependent spin in spin–orbit-coupled materials such as occurs in HgTe/CdTe quantum wells\(^3\) (preserving time-reversal symmetry) or from the magnetic field in quantum Hall systems (breaking time-reversal symmetry). Integers cannot change smoothly one into another, but whenever we have a surface of a topological insulator—that is, a boundary with a true vacuum—we do effectively enforce a transition between the media characterized by different integers, say 1 and 0, and the only way to cross between them is either to break symmetries or to close the gap abruptly, that is to create a boundary metal. This is why the topological boundary states are so robust: they are squeezed in between the two vacua (the usual vacuum and the twisted one—the topological insulator) and have nowhere to go.

Although superconductors are very different from insulators in their electromagnetic properties, the characteristic features of their excitation spectra are closely related. A superconductor is a condensate of electron pairs (Cooper pairs) behaving like a superfluid. Because it is energetically favourable to form electron pairs in a superconductor, it takes energy to break a pair to create single electrons, just as it takes energy to move an electron across an energy gap in an insulator. So, a superconductor is an insulator for its fermionic excitations and as such can be characterized by topological integers with similar consequences, including boundary states. But the boundary states are unusual at the edges of a topological superconductor, which get filled by weird chargeless and spinless entities: linear combinations of an electron and a hole (an absentee electron). Under certain circumstances these can also become Majorana fermions (zero-energy particles that are their own antiparticle) which were predicted in spin–orbit-coupled systems and might have been observed there\(^23\).

**Basics of SOC**

In any context, SOC requires symmetry breaking because the coupling strength is related to velocity as measured in a preferred reference frame (such as an electron’s velocity with respect to its host crystalline lattice, or an atom’s velocity with respect to a reference frame defined by its illuminating laser beams). Conventional SOC thus results from relativistic quantum mechanics, where the spin is a fundamental and inseparable component of electrons as described by the Dirac equation. In the non-relativistic limit, the Dirac equation reduces to the familiar Schrödinger equation, with relativistic corrections including an important term coupling the electron’s spin to its momentum and to gradients of external potentials. This is the fundamental origin of SOC, which underlies both the L–S coupling—linking the electronic orbital angular momentum \(L\) to its spin angular momentum \(S\)—and the magnetic and molecular systems and all spin–orbit phenomena in solids. SOC can most simply be understood in terms of the familiar –\(\mu\)B Zeeman interaction between a particle’s magnetic moment \(\mu\) parallel to the spin, and a magnetic field \(B\) present in the frame moving with the particle.

SOC is most familiar in traditional atomic physics where it gives rise to atomic fine-structure splitting, and it is from this context that it acquires its name: a coupling between an electron’s spin and its orbital angular momentum about the nucleus. The electric field produced by the charged nucleus gives rise to a magnetic field in the reference frame moving with an orbiting electron (along with an anomalous factor of two resulting from the electron’s non-inertial trajectory encircling the atomic centre of mass), leading to a momentum-dependent effective Zeeman energy.

In materials, the connection to a momentum-dependent Zeeman energy is particularly clear. For example, the Lorentz-invariant Maxwell’s equations dictate that a static electric field \(E = E_0\hat{c}\) in the laboratory frame (at rest, where \(E_{x,y,z}\) are the three Cartesian unit vectors defining the \(x, y\) and \(z\) directions in space) gives a spin–orbit magnetic field \(B_{SO} = (E_0\hbar\text{mc})\times(k_0\hat{e}_y - k_0\hat{e}_x)\) in the frame of an object moving with momentum \(\hbar k\), where \(c\) is the speed of light in vacuum and \(m\) is the particle’s mass. The resulting momentum-dependent Zeeman interaction –\(\mu B\approx \sigma\hat{S}\) is known as Rashba SOC\(^23\). This often arises from the built-in electric field in two-dimensional semiconductor heterostructures resulting from asymmetries of the confining potential\(^24\), and is depicted in Fig. 1. Figure 1d plots a typical spin–orbit dispersion relation, where the minima for each spin state (red or blue) is displaced from zero; in the case of Rashba SOC, this dispersion is axially symmetric, meaning that this double-well structure is replicated for motion in any direction in the \(e_x–e_y\) plane. Because of the momentum-dependent Zeeman interaction, the equilibrium alignment of a particle’s magnetic moment depends on its velocity. Quantum-mechanically, this implies that the quantum-mechanical eigenstates are generally momentum-dependent superpositions of the initial |↑⟩ and |↓⟩ spin states.

In most condensed matter systems, electrons move in a crystal potential and when there is a potential gradient on the average, effective spin–orbit interactions arise. These usually originate either from a lack of mirror symmetry in two-dimensional systems leading to the Rashba SOC described above\(^25\), or from a lack of inversion symmetry in bulk crystals, leading to other forms of SOC such as the linear Dresselhaus SOC\(^25\), described by a Zeeman interaction –\(\mu B\approx \sigma\hat{k}\), reminiscent of that of Rashba SOC. SOC phenomena are ubiquitous in solids and have been known to exist since the early days of quantum mechanics and band theory. However, rapid developments in the field of spintronics\(^26,27,28\) have recently moved these phenomena back to the forefront of condensed matter research. This renewal of interest was stimulated by a number of exciting proposals for spintronic devices, whose functionality hinges on an electric-field-dependent coupling between the electron spin and its momentum. Apart from these potential useful applications, spin–orbit-coupled systems turned out to display an amazing variety of fundamentally new and fascinating phenomena: spin–Hall effects\(^27,28\), topological insulators\(^2\), Majorana\(^22\) and Weyl fermions\(^29\), exotic spin textures in disordered systems\(^30\), to name just a few.
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The problem of synthesizing Majorana fermions stands out as perhaps the most active and exciting area of research combining both profound fundamental physics and a potential for applications. Indeed, Kitaev noticed that a Majorana fermion, being a linear combination of the many available internal atomic states a pair of states, which we will associate with the pseudo-spin states\(|↑\rangle\) and\(|↓\rangle\) that together comprise the atomic ‘spin’. Two counterpropagating laser beams, which here define the x axis, couple this selected pair of atomic states to the atoms’ motion along\(ey\). Remeiniscient of the case for Rashba SOC shown in Fig. 1d, this coupling alters the atom’s energy–momentum dispersion, although here only motion along the x direction is affected (Fig. 2c).

In the standard language, both Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC are present, and have equal magnitude, giving the effective Zeeman shift\(-\mu_0 B = -\sigma_{xy}.\) In solids, this symmetric combination of the Rashba and Dresselhaus coupling is called the “persistent spin-helix symmetry point”, where it on the one hand allows spin control via SOC, but on the other minimizes the undesirable effect of spin memory loss30. Given that the effect of SOCs on a single particle is equivalent to that of a momentum-dependent Zeeman magnetic field, the particle’s dispersion relation (for example, the familiar kinetic energy\(mv^2/2 = p^2/2m\) for a free particle) is split into two sub-bands corresponding to two spin-split components, now behaving differently (measured in Fig. 2c). For the linear SOC on which we focus, the band splitting simply shifts the minimum of the dispersion relation by an amount depending on the particle’s internal state and the laser coupling strength. This effect, depicted in Fig. 2b, was first measured indirectly in ref. 12, where a BEC was prepared in a mixture of\(|↑\rangle\) and\(|↓\rangle\) in each of the two minima of the dispersion, and the momentum of the two spins was measured as a function of laser intensity. More recently, the full dispersion curve was measured spectroscopically38, clearly revealing the spin–orbit-coupled structure as a function of momentum (Fig. 2c).

A panoply of SOCs can be created, with additional lasers linking together additional internal states. Figure 3 shows a realistic example in which three internal atomic states can be coupled, producing a tunable combination of Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC59. In these cases, one of the three initial atomic states is shifted by a large energy, leaving behind two pseudo-spins comprising a two-level system6. A further extension can generate an exotic three-dimensional analogue either to the Rashba SOC, which we call Weyl SOC, that cannot exist in materials59, or to types of SOC with more than the usual two spin states9.

**Synthetic SOC in cold atomic gases**

As we have seen, SOC links a particle’s spin to its momentum, and in conventional systems it is a relativistic effect originating from electrons moving through a material’s intrinsic electric field. This physical mechanism for creating SOC—requiring electric fields at the level of trillions of volts per metre for significant SOC—is extremely inaccessible in the laboratory. Such fields exist inside atoms and materials, but not in laboratories. Instead, we engineer SOC in systems of ultracold atoms, using two-photon Raman transitions—which driven by a pair of laser beams with wavelength\(\lambda\)—that change the internal atomic ‘spin’.

Physically, this Raman process corresponds to the absorption of a single photon from one laser beam and its stimulated re-emission into the second. Each of these photons carries a tiny momentum with magnitude\(p_R = \hbar/\lambda\), called the photon recoil momentum (\(h\) is Planck’s constant). Conservation of momentum implies that the atom must acquire the difference of these two momenta equal to \(2p_R\) for counter-propagating laser beams. In most materials, the photon recoil is negligibly small; indeed, in conventional condensed matter systems, the ‘optical transitions’ are described as having no momentum change. Ultracold atoms, however, are at such low temperatures that the momentum of even a single optical photon is quite large. Thus, as first put forward by Higbie and Stamper-Kurn34, Raman transitions can provide the required velocity-dependent link between the spin and momentum: because the Raman lasers resonantly couple the spin states together when an atom is moving, its Doppler shift effectively tunes the lasers away from resonance, altering the coupling in a velocity-dependent way. Remarkably, nearly all SOC phenomena present in solids can potentially be engineered with cold atoms (and some already have), but in contrast to solids where SOC is an intrinsic material property, synthetic SOC in cold atomic gases can be controlled at will. Furthermore, unlike the common electron, laser-dressed atoms with their pseudo-spins are not constrained by fundamental symmetries; this leads to a remarkably broad array of “synthetically engineered” physical phenomena not encountered anywhere else in physics.

Figure 2 depicts the currently implemented technique for creating SOC in ultracold atoms22,35–38. The first step, shown in Fig. 2a, is to select from the many available internal atomic states a pair of states, which we will associate with the pseudo-spin states\(|↑\rangle\) and\(|↓\rangle\) that together comprise the atomic ‘spin’. Two counterpropagating laser beams, which here define the x axis, couple this selected pair of atomic states to the atoms’ motion along\(ey\). Remeiniscient of the case for Rashba SOC shown in Fig. 1d, this coupling alters the atom’s energy–momentum dispersion, although here only motion along the x direction is affected (Fig. 2c).

In the standard language, both Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC are present, and have equal magnitude, giving the effective Zeeman shift\(-\mu_0 B = -\sigma_{xy}.\) In solids, this symmetric combination of the Rashba and Dresselhaus coupling is called the “persistent spin-helix symmetry point”, where it on the one hand allows spin control via SOC, but on the other minimizes the undesirable effect of spin memory loss30. Given that the effect of SOCs on a single particle is equivalent to that of a momentum-dependent Zeeman magnetic field, the particle’s dispersion relation (for example, the familiar kinetic energy\(mv^2/2 = p^2/2m\) for a free particle) is split into two sub-bands corresponding to two spin-split components, now behaving differently (measured in Fig. 2c). For the linear SOC on which we focus, the band splitting simply shifts the minimum of the dispersion relation by an amount depending on the particle’s internal state and the laser coupling strength. This effect, depicted in Fig. 2b, was first measured indirectly in ref. 12, where a BEC was prepared in a mixture of\(|↑\rangle\) and\(|↓\rangle\) in each of the two minima of the dispersion, and the momentum of the two spins was measured as a function of laser intensity. More recently, the full dispersion curve was measured spectroscopically38, clearly revealing the spin–orbit-coupled structure as a function of momentum (Fig. 2c).

A panoply of SOCs can be created, with additional lasers linking together additional internal states. Figure 3 shows a realistic example in which three internal atomic states can be coupled, producing a tunable combination of Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC59. In these cases, one of the three initial atomic states is shifted by a large energy, leaving behind two pseudo-spins comprising a two-level system6. A further extension can generate an exotic three-dimensional analogue either to the Rashba SOC, which we call Weyl SOC, that cannot exist in materials59, or to types of SOC with more than the usual two spin states9.

**Many-body physics**

An example of a unique quantum phenomenon made possible in ultracold atomic systems is that of spin–orbit-coupled BECs. The main ingredient of these exotic many-body states are laser-dressed bosons with states\(|↑\rangle\) and\(|↓\rangle\) that create a synthetic spin-half system. Because the Pauli spin-statistics theorem prohibits the existence of bosons with real spin-half, this is already a weird and interesting
entity, but when many such entities are brought together in a spin-orbit-coupled system, the weirdness increases further. As the temperature is lowered, the bosons tend to condense, but in contrast to the conventional BEC, where the zero-momentum state is the unique state with lowest energy (the ground state is non-degenerate), spin-orbit bosons can have energy-momentum dispersion with several lowest-energy states (the ground state is degenerate). For example, for Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC (Fig. 2c) there are two such minima; for pure Rashba SOC there is a continuous ring of minima (Fig. 1d); for the Weyl-type SOC there is a sphere of minima. This is in contrast with the more conventional case of spinor BECs, which include two or more spin states, but do not alter the energy-momentum dispersion relation.

The bosons ‘indecisiveness’ about what state to condense into is partially resolved by their interactions, which limits the states with lowest energy. But unless the interactions break a ‘synthetic time-reversal’ (Kramers) symmetry, some degeneracy must remain, leading to the possibility of exotic states. For example, repulsive bosons with a non-equal combination of Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC are predicted to condense into a strongly entangled many-body ‘cat’ state, where the whole condensate is simultaneously in a superposition of states with equal and opposite momentum. Such many-body cat states have long been sought in various experiments, but have never been convincingly observed. The spin-orbit BECs, existing in a double-well ‘potential’ in momentum space (for example, Fig. 1d) are promising in this regard because robust arguments support the existence of many-body cat states: (1) the symmetry protection of the exact spin degeneracy from splitting and (2) an argument based on the Heisenberg uncertainty relation, which suggests that for the repulsive bosons to stay as far as possible from each other in real space, they should be as close as possible in dual momentum space. An experimental realization of such a many-body cat state would be a major scientific development.

On the experimental front, there are already exciting developments, which include the first realization of an Abelian SOC (corresponding to the persistent spin helix symmetry point, where Rashba and Dresselhaus SOCs are identical; see Box 2 for a discussion of the connection to Abelian and non-Abelian gauge fields) and observation of a spin-orbit-coupled BEC with rubidium atoms. Exactly as expected, the time-of-flight images of cold spin–orbit coupled bosons feature two peaks that correspond to left- and right-moving condensates flying apart in opposite directions. They however do not represent a cat state (where all the atoms are either in the left-moving or all in the right-moving condensate), but rather are either in a ‘striped’ state (where all the atoms are in the same state, which involves both positive and negative momenta), or in a phase-separated state of the right- and left-moving condensates in the Abelian spin–orbit system; see Fig. 2b.

Spin-orbit-coupled ultracold fermions are intriguing: even the behaviour of two interacting fermions is fundamentally altered with the addition of SOC. Without SOC and in one spatial dimension, any attraction between two fermions, no matter how weak, always gives

---

**Figure 2 | Laser coupling schemes.** a. Typical level diagram. In our experiments, a pair of lasers—often counter-propagating—couple together a selected pair of atomic states labelled by |1⟩ and |2⟩ that together comprise the atomic ‘spin’. These lasers are arranged in a two-photon Raman configuration that uses an off-resonant intermediate state (grey). These lasers link atomic motion along the x direction to the atom’s spin creating a characteristic spin-orbit-coupled energy-momentum dispersion relation. b. Minima location. Measured location of energy minimum or minima, where as a function of laser intensity the characteristic double minima of SOC dispersion move together and finally merge. The uncertainties reflect the standard deviation of about 10 measurements. Taken from figure 1 in ref. 12. c. Dispersion measured in 6Li. Complete dispersion before and after laser coupling measured in a 6Li Fermi gas (data reproduced with permission of M. Zwierlein, from figure 2 of ref. 38), compared with the predicted dispersion (white dashed curves), showing the typical spin–orbit dispersion relations depicted in Fig. 1d.

**Figure 3 | Generalized SOC.** Going beyond current experiments, more complicated forms of SOC may be created. These require both more laser beams and more internal states. a. Coupling scheme. Each state is coupled by a two-photon Raman transition, each produced by a pair of the beams shown in b. The configuration depicted in a and b could realize a tunable combination of Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC in the alkali atoms; the outcome is equivalent to that of the well-known tripod configuration with detuning, but practical in the alkali atoms. c. Resulting coupled dispersion relation.
rise to the formation of a molecule. In two dimensions, the resulting molecular pairing is suppressed but not absent, with an exponentially small binding energy, and in three dimensions there is a threshold below which there is no molecular state. However, in systems with many fermions, many-body effects guarantee the formation of Cooper pairs in any dimension, as long as attraction is present. The crossover between a BEC of molecules to a Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) condensate of pairs is a smooth transition between physics described in terms of simple ‘native’ molecules to the truly many-body physics of Cooper pairs. SOC provides a completely different avenue for enhancing the pairing between two fermions. The ground-state of the Rashba SOC Hamiltonian consists of a one-dimensional ring in momentum and that of the Weyl SOC is a two-dimensional sphere. This reduces the effective dimensionality and thereby strongly enhances molecular pairing. This ensures that there is no threshold for molecular formation in such spin–orbit systems and that the BEC–BCS crossover is strongly modified. The many-body physics of the BCS side is greatly affected as well. The main difficulty in realizing topological fermionic superfluids is the creation of the unconventional pairing mechanism between the atoms. Such topological pairing has proved difficult to achieve using $p$-wave Feshbach resonances owing to debilitating effects of three-body losses. SOC can create effective interactions too: for example, in analogy to the $d$-wave interactions recently demonstrated between colliding BECs, stable $p$-wave interactions generated by synthetic spin–orbit are expected and pave the way to atomic topological superfluids. Experimentally, SOC in atomic Fermi systems has been realized in two laboratories, where the basic physical phenomena at the single-particle level were confirmed.

**Outlook**

Spin–orbit-coupled cold atoms represent a fascinating and fast-developing area of research significantly overlapping with traditional condensed matter physics, but importantly containing completely new phenomena not realizable anywhere else in nature. There is great potential for new experimental and theoretical understanding.

Spin–orbit-coupled BECs and degenerate Fermi gases have now been realized in a handful of laboratories: the experimental study of these systems is just beginning. The immediate outlook centres on implementing the full range of SOCs that currently exist only in theoretical proposals: so far only one form of SOC has been engineered in the laboratory. To realize the true promise of these systems, a central experimental task is to engineer SOCs that link spin to momentum in two and three dimensions (non-Abelian, and without an analogue in material systems). An unfortunate reality of light-induced gauge fields, as currently envisioned, is the presence of off-resonant light scattering—spontaneous emission—that leads to atom loss, heating of the quantum gas, or both. In the alkali atoms, this heating cannot be fully mitigated by selecting different laser parameters (such as wavelength): as a result, an important direction of future research is finding schemes, or selecting different atomic species, in which this problem is mitigated or absent.

Another goal of research using synthetic spin–orbit-coupled fermions is to realize topological insulating states in optical lattices. A recent breakthrough in condensed matter physics is the understanding that the quantum Hall states represent only a small fraction of a zoo of topological states. A complete classification of those has by now been achieved for fermion systems in thermodynamic limit. There are nine symmetry classes in each spatial dimension, although not all of them have been realized in solids.

In materials, the symmetries are usually ‘non-negotiable’ while in ‘synthetic’ spin–orbit systems, the symmetries and lack thereof can be controlled at will, opening the possibility of creating and controlling topological states, including topological phases that are not realizable in solids. The ability to tune synthetic couplings suggests that a larger class of non-Abelian gauge structures is within immediate experimental reach. These structures do not have analogues, or even names in solid state physics, but are most appropriately characterized as SU(3)-SOCS. They can be created by focusing on a three-level manifold of dressed states, as opposed to two-level manifold corresponding to spin-up and spin-down states for the usual SOC. The general coupling of the three internal dressed degrees of freedom to particle motion cannot be spanned by three spin matrices, but requires $3 \times 3$ Gell–Mann matrices, which form generators of the SU(3) group that has been well studied in the context of elementary particle physics. The algebraic structure, geometry and topology of this complicated group are very different from the familiar spin case, and these differences will have profound observable manifestations.

A completely different way to create such topological matter is related to the non-equilibrium physics of spin–orbit-coupled systems. It is easy to experimentally engineer dynamic synthetic SOC and gauge fields with a prescribed time dependence, providing the opportunity to realize interesting dynamic structures, such as Floquet topological insulators and Floquet Majorana fermions.

We expect that the most exciting physics in atomic SOC systems will rely on interactions, and lie at the intersection of experiment and theory. What is the physics of spin–orbit–coupled Mott insulators and the corresponding superfluid-to-insulator phase transition? What is the ground state of the Rashba bosons, which were recently argued to undergo a statistical transmutation into fermions? How is the BEC–BCS transition altered by SOC? Each of these questions can only be answered in a partnership between experiment and theory: the underlying physics is so intricate that the correct answer is difficult to anticipate without direct measurement, and the meaning of these measurements can be inexplicable without theoretical guidance.
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