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**Note 1. Derivation of the complete CVF control**

Equation (2) in the main text can be written to

\[
T_c = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} \\
\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

(S1)

Then, we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} \\
\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} & 0
\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} A_L^n \ A_R^n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_L e^{\phi_L} \\
A_R e^{\phi_R} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

(S2)

in which,

\[
\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} \\
\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} & 0
\end{bmatrix} A_R^n e^{i\phi_R} = A_L e^{i\phi_L},
\]

(S3a)

and

\[
\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} \\
\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} + i \cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{-i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} & 0
\end{bmatrix} A_L^n = A_R e^{i\phi_R}.
\]

(S3b)

Afterward, by solving the above equations, we can get

\[
\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} = \left( \frac{A_L e^{\phi_L}}{A_R e^{\phi_R}} \right) + \left( \frac{A_R e^{\phi_L}}{A_L e^{\phi_R}} \right),
\]

(S4a)

\[
\cos(\theta_3 - \theta_4) e^{i(\theta_3 + \theta_4)} = i \left( \frac{A_L e^{\phi_L}}{A_R e^{\phi_R}} \right) - i \left( \frac{A_R e^{\phi_L}}{A_L e^{\phi_R}} \right).
\]

(S4b)

It is clear from Equation (S4) that

\[
\theta_1 - \theta_2 = \cos^{-1} \left\{ \text{abs} \left[ \left( \frac{A_L e^{\phi_L}}{A_R e^{\phi_R}} \right) + \left( \frac{A_R e^{\phi_L}}{A_L e^{\phi_R}} \right) \right] \right\},
\]

(S5a)

\[
\theta_1 + \theta_2 = \angle \left[ \left( \frac{A_L e^{\phi_L}}{A_R e^{\phi_R}} \right) + \left( \frac{A_R e^{\phi_L}}{A_L e^{\phi_R}} \right) \right],
\]

(S5b)
\[
\theta_1 - \theta_4 = \cos^{-1} \left\{ \text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \right\},
\]
\[
\theta_1 + \theta_4 = \frac{\pi}{2} + \text{angle} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m}. 
\]

At last, the four rotation angles \(\theta_1\) to \(\theta_4\) can be easily obtained

\[
\theta_1 = \frac{\text{angle} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} + \cos^{-1} \left\{ \text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \right\}}{2},
\]
\[
\theta_2 = \frac{\text{angle} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} - \cos^{-1} \left\{ \text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \right\}}{2},
\]
\[
\theta_3 = \frac{\text{angle} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} + \cos^{-1} \left\{ \text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \right\}}{2},
\]
\[
\theta_4 = \frac{\text{angle} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} - \cos^{-1} \left\{ \text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \right\}}{2}.
\]

Noticed that, the target amplitudes and phases of the transmitted LCP and RCP components need to satisfy the following conditions to get real-number solutions of \(\theta_1\) to \(\theta_4\),

\[
\text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \leq 1, 
\]
\[
\text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \leq 1,
\]

At the same time, the left terms of the above two equations should also satisfy

\[
\text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \leq \text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \text{abs} \left( \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \right) = A_k + A_\ell = A_k^m + A_\ell^m,
\]
\[
\text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] - \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \leq \text{abs} \left[ \frac{A_k e^{i\phi_k}}{A_k^m e^{i\phi_k}} \right] + \text{abs} \left( \frac{A_\ell e^{i\phi_\ell}}{A_\ell^m} \right) = A_k + A_\ell = A_k^m + A_\ell^m.
\]

In order to have equal control over the output LCP and RCP components, a maximum
amplitude $A_{\text{max}}$ is set so that their amplitudes are both no more than $A_{\text{max}}$. By combing Equation (S7) and (S8), we have

$$\frac{A_{\text{max}}^{\text{in}}}{A_R^{\text{in}}} + \frac{A_{\text{max}}^{\text{in}}}{A_L^{\text{in}}} = 1,$$

(S9)

where

$$A_{\text{max}} = \frac{A_L^{\text{in}} A_R^{\text{in}}}{A_L^{\text{in}} + A_R^{\text{in}}}. \quad \text{(S10)}$$

The above derivation shows that the complete CVF control here has an upper bound of the output amplitude of $A_{\text{max}}$. To use this complete database, the desired vectorial field distribution should be scaled into this range to get real-number solutions of $\theta_1$ to $\theta_4$.

**Note 2. Complete polarization generation**

To show the complete polarization generation ability of the proposed method, theoretical calculated polarization states are plotted in the Stokes parameter space to show the filling status. In the calculation, the four meta-atoms’ rotation angles ($\theta_1$ to $\theta_4$) in one meta-molecule are raster varied from 0° to 170° with a 10° interval, and the incident polarization is set as $x$ polarization ($[\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2]^T$ in the circular polarization basis) as an example. **Figure S1a** shows the corresponding calculated polarization states using Equation (S3). It is seen that the polarization states can stack into a sphere with a radius of 0.5. In terms of only polarization state without considering its overall amplitude and phase, every point on the Poincare sphere can clearly be encircled. Theoretically, the proposed method can generate arbitrary polarization state as long as the incident light contains both the LCP and RCP components according to Equation (S3). The difference is the fact the generated polarization states will stack into an ellipsoid rather than a sphere when the incident polarization is not linearly polarized. Nonetheless, without considering the overall amplitude, a sphere can always be selected inside the ellipsoid.

It is worth pointing out that the complete CVF control here requires not only polarization state control but also its overall amplitude and phase control, or in other words, complete amplitude and phase control over the LCP and RCP outputs. This can only be fully achieved in a certain range that the amplitudes of the overall outputs are no more than $A_{\text{max}}$, see Note 1. To visualize it, the polarization responses in the complete CVF range under $x$-polarized incidence are plotted in Figure S1b, as indicated by the red scatters. Owing to the complete
CVF control, the density in this range is very high. Each red scatter can represent a polarization state with an arbitrary overall phase. The maximum radii are 0.25 along $s_1$ and $s_2$ axes while 0.125 along $s_3$ axis.

**Note 3. Coupling influence on the meta-molecule responses**

To investigate this influence, a group of numerical simulations are carried out by raster varying the rotation angles of the four meta-atoms ($\theta_1$ to $\theta_4$) in the meta-molecule under $x$-polarized incidence, and compare the corresponding results at the working frequency of 1.0 THz with the calculated results using Equation (S3). The varying ranges are all from $0^\circ$ to $150^\circ$ with a $30^\circ$ interval, so there are total $6^4 = 1296$ meta-molecules. **Figure S5** shows the simulated (black lines) and calculated (red lines) results of all the meta-molecules in the forms of amplitudes and phases of the transmitted LCP and RCP components. It is seen that the overall simulated responses are consistent with the calculated responses. To quantitatively compare these two responses, the Pearson correlation coefficients between them are first calculated, which are 0.71, 0.53, 0.71 and 0.52 for the transmitted LCP amplitude $A_L$, RCP amplitude $A_R$, LCP phase $\phi_L$ and RCP phase $\phi_R$, respectively. These suggest a strong correlation between the simulation and calculation.\[^S1\] In addition, the deviation between the theoretical and simulated responses for each meta-molecule is also calculated, which is defined as $|A_{Ls}\exp(i\phi_{Ls}) - A_L\exp(i\phi_L)|, |A_{Rs}\exp(i\phi_{Rs}) - A_R\exp(i\phi_R)|_\text{max}$ with the variables with and without the subscript $s$ respectively representing the simulated and calculated responses. The average deviation of them is 0.23.
**Figure S1.** Calculated polarization distributions under x-polarized incidence. a) Polarization states (blue scatters) in Stokes parameter basis when $\theta_1$ to $\theta_4$ are raster varied from 0° to 170° with a 10° interval. b) Highlighted polarization states (red scatters) of the complete CVF control.
Figure S2. Numerical information processing on the holographic image. a-e) Simulated holographic images in the five channels. f) Generation of filter mask based on the image in $s_0$ channel. Each yellow spot in the filter mask circles a circular area centered at the maximum position of the corresponding spot in a) with 45-$\mu$m diameter. The values inside and outside the yellow spots are respectively set as 1 and 0. g-k) Calculated filtered images of a-e) by multiplying them with the generated filter mask. l-o) Post-processing results of h-k), where the image in $\Psi$ channel is obtained by subtracting the phase of the dark spot $\Psi_{ds}$ defined as the average phases of all the dark spots in g), and the images in $s_1, s_2$ and $s_3$ channels are obtained by taking sign function to i-k).
**Figure S3.** Schematic of the experimental setup. P1 and P2: metallic grid polarizers.
Figure S4. A series of exemplary custom reading sequences.
**Figure S5.** Comparison between simulated and calculated responses of meta-molecules with different $\theta_1$ to $\theta_4$. Simulated (black) and calculated (red) LCP and RCP transmission amplitudes a,b) and phases c,d) of different meta-molecules, respectively. The number of the horizontal axes are all from 1 to 1296. Each represents a combination of $\theta_1$ to $\theta_4$ whose varying ranges are all from $0^\circ$ to $150^\circ$ with a $30^\circ$ interval.
Figure S6. Simulation results of the five-channel imaging meta-hologram at different frequencies. Simulated distributions of the holographic image in the a-c) $s_0$, f-j) $\Psi$, k-o) $s_1$, p-t) $s_2$ and u-y) $s_3$ channels from 0.94 to 1.02 THz with a step of 0.02 THz, respectively. The working bandwidth is about 0.04 THz from 0.96 to 1.0 THz.
Figure S7. Simulation results of the information encryption meta-hologram at different frequencies. Simulated distributions of the holographic image in the a-e) $s_0$, f-j) $\psi$, k-o) $s_1$, p-t) $s_2$ and u-y) $s_3$ channels from 0.9 to 1.1 THz with 0.05 THz steps, respectively. The working bandwidth is about 0.1 THz from 0.95 to 1.05 THz.
Figure S8. Measured results of the five-channel imaging meta-hologram at different frequencies. Measured distributions of the holographic image in the a-e) $s_0$, f-j) $\Psi$, k-o) $s_1$, p-t) $s_2$ and u-y) $s_3$ channels from 1.01 to 1.09 THz with 0.02 THz steps, respectively. The working bandwidth is about 0.02 THz from 1.05 to 1.07 THz.
Figure S9. Measured results of the information encryption meta-hologram at different frequencies. Measured distributions of the holographic image in the a-e) $s_0$, f-j) $\psi$, k-o) $s_1$, p-t) $s_2$ and u-y) $s_3$ channels from 1.01 to 1.09 THz with 0.02 THz steps, respectively. The effective working bandwidth is about 0.02 THz from 1.05 to 1.07 THz.
Figure S10. Efficiencies of the meta-holograms. Simulated a,b) and measured c,d) efficiencies of the five-channel imaging a,c) and information encryption b,d) meta-holograms at different frequencies, respectively.
Table S1. Codified cipher book 1.

| BIN   | Char | BIN | Char | BIN | Char | BIN | Char |
|-------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|
| 000000| STX  | 010000| c   | 100000| s   | 110000| -   |
| 000001| NL   | 010001| d   | 100001| t   | 110001| *   |
| 000010| SPACE| 010010| e   | 100010| u   | 110010| /   |
| 000011| NLC  | 010111| f   | 100111| v   | 110111| (   |
| 000100| 0    | 010100| g   | 100100| w   | 110100| )   |
| 000101| 1    | 010101| h   | 100101| x   | 110101| @   |
| 000110| 2    | 010110| i   | 100110| y   | 110110| #   |
| 000111| 3    | 010111| j   | 100111| z   | 110111| ¥   |
| 001000| 4    | 011000| k   | 101000| :   | 111000| %   |
| 001001| 5    | 011001| l   | 101001| ;   | 111001| ^   |
| 001010| 6    | 011010| m   | 101010| "   | 111010| &   |
| 001011| 7    | 011101| n   | 101101| ,   | 111101| |   |
| 001100| 8    | 011100| o   | 101100| .   | 111100| ~   |
| 001101| 9    | 011101| p   | 101101| !   | 111101| >   |
| 001110| a    | 011110| q   | 101110| ?   | 111110| <   |
| 001111| b    | 011111| r   | 101111| +   | 111111| ETX |

Table S2. Codified cipher book 2.

| BIN   | Char | BIN | Char | BIN | Char | BIN | Char |
|-------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|
| 000000| 2    | 010000| x   | 100000| u   | 110000| w   |
| 000001| r    | 010001| s   | 100001| &   | 110001| 7   |
| 000010| d    | 010010| :   | 100010| (   | 110010| NL  |
| 000011| "    | 010011| i   | 100011| *   | 110011| #   |
| 000100| v    | 010100| l   | 100100| %   | 110100| -   |
| 000101| )    | 010101| SPACE| 100101| /   | 110101| +   |
| 000110| o    | 010110| @   | 100110| ;   | 110110| 4   |
| 000111| y    | 010111| 6   | 100111| e   | 110111| !   |
| 001000| ETX  | 011000| 3   | 101000| <   | 111000| b   |
| 001001| ^    | 011001| q   | 101001| ~   | 111001| f   |
| 001010| ?    | 011010| c   | 101010| ,   | 111010| n   |
| 001011| ¥    | 011101| >   | 101101| j   | 111101| NLC |
| 001100| p    | 011100| 8   | 101100| a   | 111100| z   |
| 001101| STX  | 011101| 0   | 101101| 5   | 111101| g   |
| 001110| l    | 011110| m   | 101110| 9   | 111110| t   |
| 001111| 1    | 011111| h   | 101111| .   | 111111| k   |
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