The Possibility of Minimizing Rutting Distress in Asphalt Concrete Wearing Course
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Abstract - The excessive permanent deformation (rutting) in asphalt-concrete pavements resulting from frequent repetitions of heavy axle loads is studied in this paper. Rutting gradually develops with additional load applications and appears as longitudinal depressions in the wheel path. There are many causes of the rutting of asphalt roads, such as poor asphalt mixing and poor continuous aggregate gradation. All factors affecting the mixture resistance to permanent deformation must be discussed, and all must be properly considered to reduce the rutting propensity of asphalt-aggregate mixtures. In this study, several mixtures were produced with the most common techniques in rutting resistance (using the most effective additives for each mixture), and their performance was compared with the (conventional) mixture currently used in Iraq. The tests focused on the asphalt-concrete mixture for wearing courses. Different mixtures types were tried, namely, dense hot asphalt mixture (HMA) with two different asphalt contents (4.7% and 5.3%), Open-Grade Friction Course (OGFC) mixture, Stone Mastic Asphalt (SMA) mixture, and Beton Bitumineux a Module Eleve (BBME). The modifiers included natural Sisal Fibers (SFs), Carbon Fibers (CFS), and mineral filler (hydrated lime, HL). Marshall test was carried out to find stability and flow values. Rutting was evaluated by the repeated load test for cylindrical specimens under two temperatures (40°C and 60°C) to obtain the permanent deformation parameters. The parameters were used as input to the VESYS 5W software to evaluate the rut depth during different times of design life under $7 \times 10^6$ Equivalent Single Axle Loads (ESALs). The results of the selected mixtures were compared with the mixture designed in the laboratory dense gradation mix Job-Mix Formula (JMF)) within the limits of the Iraqi specification (SCRB, 2003). Manipulation of the aggregate gradation that is customary in the implementation of the local mixture showed that the best performance regarding rutting resistance was exhibited by JMF, which decreased the rut depth at 40°C and 60°C by 21.63 mm and 44.304 mm respectively, in comparison with the conventional mixture. Changing the aggregate gradation of the local mixture gives better performance in rutting resistance without additives or changing the percentage of asphalt, at the same cost.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The term "permanent deformation" has been used to describe any distortion of a pavement surface, including shoving and pushing due to mix instability [1]. Today, this form of distress refers to the longitudinal depression or "ruts" that form in the wheel paths due to the consolidation and/or lateral movement in one or more of the component pavement layers due to repeated, transient load applications [1]. Because rutting appears only as a change in the transverse surface profile, it is often erroneously blamed on surface instability [2].

The rutting of asphalt roads has many causes, e.g. poor asphalt mix and poor aggregate gradations. All the factors affecting mixture resistance to permanent deformation must be properly considered in order to reduce the rutting propensity of asphalt-aggregate mixtures. At the same time, it must be emphasized that the states of stress and strain caused by traffic loading also significantly influence pavement rutting [2]. In this study, different approaches are used to minimize the rutting distress in local asphalt concrete pavement structures, all of which concentrate on asphalt concrete mixtures for wearing courses. Different types of dense hot asphalt mixtures are used, which are considered the workhorse of HMA since they may be used effectively in all pavement layers for all traffic conditions [1, 2]. Dense-graded mixes are designed to resist all types of distresses developed from the applied stresses. These distresses include fatigue cracking, rutting, moisture damage, and thermal cracking. They are expected to minimize the service life and increase the maintenance costs [3].

Rutting is considered to be a major distress that frequently occurs in flexible pavements due to the nonlinear, viscous, and plastic behaviors of asphalt mixes [4]. Rutting on high volume roadways can be prevented if angular coarse and fine aggregates are used and if the air voids in the mixture do not fall below approximately 3.0% [5]. A Stone-Mastic Asphalt (SMA) mixture is a gap-graded HMA that maximizes rutting resistance and durability with a stable stone-on-stone skeleton held together by a rich mixture of Asphalt Cement (AC), filler, and stabilizing agents, such as fibers and/or asphalt modifiers. The primary purpose of SMA mixes improves rut resistance and durability. Therefore, these mixes are almost exclusively used for surface courses on high volume interstates, and U.S.
the rutting behavior of asphalt pavements [6, 7]. Open Graded Friction Course (OGFC) mixtures are designed to be permeable to water, which differentiates them from dense-graded and SMA mixtures that are relatively impermeable. These mixtures use only crushed stone or, in some cases, crushed gravel with a small percentage of manufactured sand. OGFC mixtures reduce splash/spray from tires in wet weather and typically result in a smoother surface than dense-graded HMA [6]. Authors in [7] found that the result of permanent deformation of OGFC with Carbon Fibers (CFs) under repeated pressure levels of 0.068, 0.138, and 0.206MPa at 25°C decreased by 16.9, 17.8, and 10.1% when using CFs by 0.3% and a length of 2cm at pressure levels of 0.068, 0.138, 0.206MPa, respectively. With modified asphalt pavement, some ACs require modification to meet specifications. Modified AC usually has a higher initial cost than the unmodified AC, but it provides a longer service life with less maintenance [8, 9]. The present research focuses on additives with effective performance on rutting resistance that are suitable for the selected mixtures. Fibers are currently being used extensively in porous asphalt friction courses more than polymers and other additives, with the primary purpose of increasing asphalt content without any drain down problems [10]. The fiber reinforces the binder system, thus causing an increase in its viscosity. The resulting mix has greater stability and possibly higher resistance to rutting and other distress than similar mixes without the addition of fibers. Mineral and natural fibers were used and their rutting behaviors were compared. Hydrated Lime (HL) is also used to improve HMA as mineral filler. It is an additive that increases pavement life and performance through multiple mechanisms [11].

Two types of fibers are used, man-made CF and Sisal (Agave Sisalana) Fibers (SFs). CF is a very strong lightweight synthetic fiber typically made by carbonizing acrylic fibers at high temperatures. CFs can be used as an additive to asphalt to make electrically conductive AC [12]. SFs are obtained by the removal of fiber bundles from dried sisal plant leaves growing in tropical environments. SFs have high strength and rigidity because of their high cellulose rate (≈578-88). In addition, SFs have good elasticity due to their high moisture absorption capability because of their hollow structure and the large amount of hydroxyl groups in their chemical bonds. This eco-friendly fiber is also cheaper than synthetic fibers, which are used for technical textiles while it is a useable material for reinforcing dense graded pavement [13]. Additionally, mineral filler HL can be considered an alternative modifier to improve the properties of asphalt binders and mixtures. HL can significantly improve both moisture damage and rutting resistance. The application of Hin asphalt binders is an effective and economical method to improve the performance of asphalt mixtures to resist moisture damage and rutting. Several studies have shown the beneficial application of HL in asphalt mixtures to improve moisture susceptibility either by adding dry HL to wet aggregates or by adding lime slurry to dry aggregates [14, 15].

The road construction industry faces the challenge of designing and constructing high-performance asphalt materials to meet the ever-growing demand of increasing traffic volumes and axle loadings. EME (Enrobés à Module Elevé) or simply high-modulus asphalt was developed in France in the mid-seventies. EME is a high-performance material for use in heavy-duty pavements. EME for base courses and Beton Bitumineux a Module Eleve (BBME) for binders and wearing courses are called High-Modulus Asphalts (HiMAs). Two classes of EME and 3 classes of BBME are defined. EME class 2 and BBME class 3 are specified for the most heavily trafficked roads. They require higher binder contents according to aggregate gradation [16]. The Job Mix Formula (JMF) or dense gradation mix submittal is the mechanism to confirm that the produced mix is in accordance with the project specifications. The end result of a successful mix design is a recommended mixture of aggregates and asphalt binder. This recommended mixture also includes aggregate gradation and asphalt binder type asphalt binder content are specified based on the JMF along with the allowable specification bands for inherent material and production variability. These target values and specifications are based on the JMF and not any general HMA gradation requirements. Thus, the mix designer is free to choose a particular gradation for the JMF, and then the manufacturer is expected to adhere quite closely to this JMF gradation during production. The Iraq standard specifications for roads and bridges, SCRB R.9, 2003, allowed the prepared JMF for asphalt mixtures to accommodate some tolerances with regard to the following properties: coarse aggregate gradation, fine aggregate gradation, filler content, AC content, and mixing temperature [17].

### II. MATERIALS

Locally available materials were utilized in this study. AC 40-50 and AC 20-30 (for the BBME mixture only), aggregates (coarse, fine), and filler were used. SF, CF and HL were used as additives, and 6 AC mixtures were prepared for wearing a course layer with 3 different asphalt contents, i.e. 4.7%, 5.3%, and 6% depending on the type of mix, by the weight of the total mixture as shown in Tables I and II.

| Types of Mixture                  | Selected AC% | Ref. |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----|
| Conventional HMA without additives|              |     |
| High-stiffness asphalt (EME)      | 4.7          | [37]|
| SMA                               | 5.3          | [42]|
| Hot mix asphalt (HMA)             |              |     |
| OGFC                              | 6.0          | [40]|

**TABLE I. SELECTED AC OF THE MIXTURES**

| Modifier Types        | Modifier content (%) | Modifier's length (cm) | Ref. |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------|
| Carbon fiber (CF)     | 0.3%                 | 1.5                    | [38] |
| Sisal fiber (SF)      | 0.3%                 | 0.5                    | [20] |
| Hydrated lime (HL)    | 1.5%                 |                         | [41] |

**TABLE II. SELECTED MODIFIER CONTENT (%)**

### A. Ashphalt Cement

In this research project, the experimental work was carried out by using AC 40–50 and AC 20–30 penetration grade...
bitumen from the Daurah refinery. Table III shows the AC physical properties.

### Table III. Physical Properties of AC

| Test condition | Units | Asphalt binder (20-30) | Asphalt binder (20-30) | EME specification |
|----------------|-------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Penetration    | mm    | 24                     | 24                     | 20-30             |
| Ductility      | cm    | 18                     | 18                     | -                 |
| Flash point    | °C    | 300                    | 300                    | 240 Min.          |
| Softening point| (4±1)C/min | 61.5                   | 61.5                   | 55-63             |
| Specific gravity| g/cm³ | 1.03                   | 1.053                  | -                 |
| Penetration index|       | -0.27                  | -0.27                  | +0.7 Max. -1.5 Min. |
| Retained penetration | %    | 75                     | 75                     | 55 Min.           |
| Change of mass | %     | -0.074                 | -0.074                 | 0.5 Max.          |
| Retained ductility |      | 13                     | 13                     | -                 |
| Softening point| (4±1)C/min | 68.4                   | 68.4                   | -                 |
| Increased softening point | °C  | 6.9                    | 6.9                    | 8 Max.            |

**TABLE IV. Physical Properties of the Aggregates**

| Laboratory test | ASTM designation | Test results | SCR B specification |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Course aggregates |                  |              |                     |
| Apparent specific gravity | C-127          | 2.687        | -                   |
| Bulk specific gravity | C-127          | 2.61         | -                   |
| Water absorption,% | C-127          | 0.21         | -                   |
| Fractured pieces (angularity), % | D-5821       | 96           | 90 Min              |
| Percent wear (Los Angeles, abrasion), % | C-131       | 17.8         | 30 Max              |
| Fine aggregates |                  |              |                     |
| Apparent specific gravity | C-128         | 2.683        | -                   |
| Bulk specific gravity | C-128         | 2.62         | -                   |
| Water absorption,% | C-128         | 0.4          | -                   |

**B. Aggregates**

Coarse crushed aggregates were obtained from the Al-Nibaie quarry. The coarse aggregate size ranged between 12.5mm and the No. 4 sieve (4.75mm). Fine aggregates were bought from a local source. Laboratory evaluation described the basic properties of the aggregates. The results are presented in Table IV according to the specification limit (SCR B, 2003) [17]. For HMA and JMF, aggregate gradation was selected according to [17], with a nominal maximum size of 12.5mm, (wearing course type IIIA). OGFC gradation was selected according to the ASTM7064 specification [18]. The nominal maximum size of the aggregates is 12.5mm. The SMA gradation selected in this study follows the AASHTO [19]. Table V and Figures 1-3 show the selected aggregate gradation. EME samples shall be designated as EME 0/10, EME 0/14, and EME 0/20, and BBME samples shall be designated as BBME 0/10 or BBME 0/14 according to their aggregate size [16]. The target grading should fall within the appropriate limits explained in Table IX [20]. For the purposes of this work, the appropriate grading for the wearing course based on [17] is BBME 0/14. The selected gradations with the specification limits of BBME 0/14 are tabulated in Table VI and Figure 4.

**TABLE V. Selected Aggregate Gradation**

| Sieve size | HMA | JMF | OGFC | SMA | SCRB | OGFC | SMA |
|------------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| 3/4"       | 100 | 100 | 100  | 100 | 100  | 100  | 100 |
| 5/8"       | 85  | *90| 47.5 | 64  | 76-90| 35-60| 30-80|
| No. 4      | 59  | *63| 17.5 | 28  | 44-74| 10-25| 20-55|
| No. 8      | 43  | *58| 7.3  | 17.5| 26-58| 5-10 | 16-24|
| No. 50     | 13  | 13  | -    | -   | 5-21 | -    | -   |
| No. 200    | 7   | 5   | 3    | 10.5| 4-10 | 2-4  | 8-11|

**Fig. 1.** Specification limits and selected gradation for HMA and JMF.

**Fig. 2.** Specification limits and selected gradation for OGFC.

**C. Mineral Filler**

Limestone dust from the lime factory in Karbala Governorate was used as the mineral filler.
D. Additives

CFs were used in this work. They were added at 0.3% by weight of mix and had 1.5cm length. These fibers were obtained by using a paper shredder machine, as shown in Table VII [18].

| Test properties          | Typical value       |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Nominal thickness (mm)   | 0.167               |
| Fiber length (mm)        | Can be produced at any length |
| Color                    | Black               |
| Density (gm/cm³)         | 1.82                |
| Tensile strength (N/mm²) | 40000               |
| Elongation-at-break (%)  | 1.7                 |
| Tensile modulus of elasticity (kN/mm²) | 225 |
| Base                     | Polyacrylonitrile   |
| Temperature of carbonization | 1400 °C            |

The second additive, SF is a performance leader among the most comprehensively used common fibers. Approximately 3.8 million tons of SFs are developed each year worldwide. They were added at 0.3% by weight of mix and had 0.5cm length [21]. These fibers were obtained by using a paper shredder machine, as shown in Table VIII. Finally, HL is a promising material to be used in pavements due to its unique physical, chemical, and mechanical characteristics. It was used as an additive for asphalt mixtures (dry mix with aggregate) at a percentage of 1.5% by weight of aggregates [17]. The chemical and physical properties of HL (Figure 7) are listed in Table IX.

| Test properties          | Typical value       |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Diameter (mm)            | 5                   |
| Fiber length (cm)        | 90-110              |
| Color                    | Natural white cream |
| Density (gm/cm³)         | 1.58                |
| Tensile strength (N/mm²) | 385 to 728          |
| Elongation-at-break (%)  | 2.75                |
| Young’s modulus (GPa)    | 9-22                |
| Moisture                 | 6.55                |
| Fire resistance          | Good                |

| Property                  | Result    |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Specific gravity           | 2.43      |
| Passing sieve No. 200 (0.075mm) (%) | 99       |
| Specific surface area (m²/kg) | 395      |

Repeated Load - Permanent Deformation testing was applied to the Marshall specimens and the resilient vertical strain was computed under load repetitions via a Pneumatic Repeated Load System (PRLS). Diometric loading was conducted to a steady loading rate of 60 cycles per minute, and the loading system for every cycle consisted of a 0.1s load duration and 0.9s rest period to simulate the testing conditions clarified in [23]. The mix and testing variables were:

- Test temperature: Two levels of temperature, 40 and 60°C, are used.
- Stress: 137.9kPa (20 psi) stress was selected as target.
Asphalt Grade: AC type 40-50 and type 20-30 were used. The procedure of the test can be summarized as follows:

- Place the specimens in the room of testing for two hours at 40°C and 60°C to achieve the test temperature and permit uniform temperature spreading in the specimens.
- Position the LVDT on the specimen and connect it to a PC, as shown in Figure 10.
- The pressure actuator is set. The control of both the rest and loading ports is also set to the preferred load and the duration of rest after the end of the sample "setup".
- Start the repeated load test until 10,000 cycles are reached or the specimen fails.

The results of rutting tests in terms of permanent strain (\(\varepsilon_p\)) are plotted against the number of repetitions by (1) for each specimen to find plastic parameters, the intercept (a) and the slope (b). These parameters can be estimated from (1) [5]:

\[
\varepsilon_p = aN^b \quad (1)
\]

The intercept (a) represents the permanent strain at a number of load repetitions (N) equal to one, while slope (b) represents the rate of change in the permanent strain as a function of the change in loading cycles (N) on the log-log scale. Once the regression coefficients have been calculated, the permanent deformation coefficients alpha (\(\alpha\)) and mu (\(\mu\)) are computed using the relationship given in (2) and (3) [5]:

\[
\alpha = 1 - b \quad (2)
\]
\[
\mu = (a - b) / \varepsilon_r \quad (3)
\]

where \(\varepsilon_r\) is the resilient microstrain, \(\varepsilon_p\) the plastic microstrain, mu (\(\mu\)) is the permanent deformation parameter representing the constant proportionally between permanent strain and resilient strain (i.e. plastic strain at N =1), and alpha (\(\alpha\)) is a permanent deformation parameter indicating the rate of decrease in incremental permanent deformation as the number of load applications increases.

Fig. 7. Repeated load system.

For each mixture, 6 samples were used (3 samples for each temperature) to evaluate the permanent deformation. The analysis of the results is based on the temperature and mixture type under constant stress and constant time of loading (0.1s loading, 0.9s unloading) as showing in Figure 7.

IV. PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION
To reduce the risk of unsatisfactory pavement performance to an acceptable level, engineers must be able to reliably predict pavement behavior over time. In this study, VESYS 5W software is used to predict the pavement performance. VESYS 5W has been successfully used to analyze the asphalt pavement performance under field traffic and under accelerated pavement testing loads. A period of 15 years is considered in the analysis. VESYS 5W needs to be provided with parameters in form of input data to run the analysis. These input parameters include the material properties of the layers, thickness, traffic data, and environmental conditions. The VESYS analysis procedure uses advanced mechanistic concepts to predict the behavior and performance of flexible pavements. Strain and deflection responses are computed and then used in conjunction with the failure criteria to predict pavement distress in terms of cracking, rutting, and roughness. Distress is used to define pavement performance in terms of the life history of the Present Serviceability Index (PSI). All the components of the design procedure have been formulated to take into account the inherent variability in traffic estimates, material properties, environmental conditions, and in the many construction practices used (VESYS 5W user Manual 2003) [24].

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
A. Marshall Stability and Flow
The results show that in the case of HMA, a greater increase in stability is observed by adding filler than by adding fibers (of both types) for the same asphalt content. An increase in stability of up to 12.5% is observed when using natural fibers (SF) compared to using CF, but the increase reaches 25% in the case of using HL compared to SF with an increase in asphalt content. The mineral filler effect on the asphalt indicates that lime substantially increases the viscosity (stiffness) of AC binders. The increase in viscosity of the asphalt binder also increases the resistance to water as shown in Figure 8.

![HMA stability by changing the asphalt content for each type of additive.](image)

In the case of SMA, as shown in Figure 9, the opposite is observed: the stability increases by adding fibers (of both types) more than by adding fillers (HL). An increase in stability of up to 16% occurred when using CFs compared to using HL, which reaches 24.2% when using SFs compared to CF. Because the strength of SMA relies mostly on the stone-on-stone aggregate skeleton, the fibers must be designed to mix and be placed with a strong coarse aggregate skeleton that provides the desired strength and stability to the mix more than fillers.

![HMA stability by changing the asphalt content for each type of additive.](image)
The Marshall stability test results are listed in Table X. The results indicate that the increase and decrease in the Marshall flow is related to the type and content of the additive, as well as to the type of mixture. When flow values are examined, it is observed that high flow values are usually related to plastic mixtures subject to permanent deformations. However, flow values are measured between the required specification ranges of 2 to 4 mm.

B. Suggested Performance Tests for OGFC

In the case of an OGFC mixture, two tests instead of the Marshall test are suggested to evaluate its performance. As shown in Figures 11 and 12, for both tests, the highest value of air voids (%AV) appears for the mixture containing the filler HL (30%) and the worst result in the draindown test compared to the mixture with CF, while the mixture containing SF gives the desired result in the draindown test and acceptable air voids (10%) compared to the mixture with CF because the natural fiber gives the best stability and the most homogeneous distribution inside the OGFC mixture.

VI. PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

All the components of the design procedure were formulated to take into account the inherent variability in traffic estimates, material properties, environmental conditions and the many forms of construction practices used.

- Pavement structure: The geometry of the pavement structure is shown in Figure 13. The structure is a conventional flexible pavement section with 5 layers: wearing course, binder (leveling) course, base course, subbase course, and subgrade.
Temperature: The assumed Mean Air Pavement Temperature (MAPT) is 40°C. Such a temperature is expected to occur in the middle of the AC pavement layer during the hot summer seasons in Iraq.

Material Properties: When the pavement structure is treated as a multilayer elastic system, it is necessary to quantify the material stiffness properties. These properties are needed for the calculation of the stresses, strains and deflection response in the pavement system under the application of traffic loading. The parameters (α and µ) shown in Tables XI-XIII are calculated from the repeated load test that was performed on the asphalt mixtures, and are used as input into the VESYS 5W to estimate the rut depth of a selected pavement structure using the properties of different asphalt mixtures. Alpha (α) and mu (µ) parameters for binder, base and subbase courses are taken as default values, while the corresponding value for the wearing course varies according to the laboratory test results.

### VIII. RESULTS OF THE VESYS 5W RUN

By using VESYS 5W, the permanent deformation result was estimated as a rut depth in mm plus the PSI. Rut depth less than 12.5 mm is the desired criteria that be maintained over a service life of 15 years, as suggested in [27].

#### A. HMA (4.7%)

As shown in Figures 15 and 16, additives may improve the performance of the mixture, but only if they are suitable for grading the mixture and containing the appropriate asphalt content, e.g. the mixture with the HL reduces rut depth more than the mixture with SF and CF. At 7 million ESALs and 40°C, the rut depth for mixtures with HL, SF, and CF is 7.8, 9.56, and 18.64mm respectively, which shows that the addition of HL improves the mechanical behavior by increasing the stability of the mixture at relatively high temperatures (40°C and 60°C). The HL content displays an effective influence, particularly at 40°C. The HL filler actually stiffens the asphalt film and reinforces it. Furthermore, lime makes HMA less sensitive to moisture by improving the aggregate-asphalt bond. This synergistically improves rut resistance [28].
Fig. 16. Rut depth of HMA (4.7%) at 60°C

B. HMA (5.3%)

Figures 17 and 18 show that increasing the asphalt content leads to a reduction in the rut depth under the same ESALs at two test temperatures, for every mixture and every type of modifier. The rut depth for the HL, SF, and CF mixtures are 3.18, 8.72 and 17.45mm respectively at 7 million ESALs and 40°C. This improvement is probably due to the well-distributed additives, in different directions of the bituminous matrix, which are highly resistant to shear displacement and strongly prevent any movement of the aggregate particles, therefore increasing the rutting resistance of the mixture [29, 43]. From the above, the best option for the HMA-type mixture with the additive for both asphalt contents is HMA (5.3%) with HL.

Fig. 17. Rut depth of HMA (5.3%) at 40°C.

Fig. 18. Rut depth of HMA (5.3%) at 60°C.

C. SMA

Figures 19 and 20 show the effect of different mixture types (changing gradation) on the rut depth. Such mixtures need to be modified for draindown.

Fig. 19. Rut depth of SMA (5.3%) at 60°C.

Fig. 20. Rut depth of SMA (5.3%) at 60°C.

It is noted that the mixture of SMA with fibers gives a better result compared with HL filler. On the other hand, the natural SF performs better inside the SMA mixture than the CF. For example, at 7000000 ESALs and 40°C, it is noted that the rut depth in mixtures with SF, CF, and HL is 3.33, 12.72, and 17.45mm respectively.

D. OGFC

Similar to the previous mixture, the mixture containing fibers (SF and CF) gives a better result compared to the mixture with filler (HL). However, this mixture has less resistance than SMA under high temperatures and heavy ESAL. For example, it is noted that the mixture with SF exceeds the permissible limit of rutting as for 3.7 million ESALs under the temperature of 40°C it was 12.63mm and with CF 16.39mm, as shown in Figures 21 and 2. It is noted that both stone matrix asphalt (SMA) and OGFC with fibers are used as stabilizers. Fibers decrease the drainage of the mix and increase the resistance more than hydrated lime.

Fig. 21. Rut depth of OGFC 40°C.
Fig. 22. Rut depth of OGFC 40°C.

E. Conventional (Control) Mixture

As seen from Figures 23-26, maximum rut depth occurs in conventional mixtures when higher temperatures and higher stresses are applied. The asphalt mixture becomes softer with increasing temperature, which leads to more permanent deformation at the same stress. Increasing stress has a negative effect on the pavement structure and increases the movement of pavement particles, leading to large rutting at the same temperature [30]. After selecting the mixtures with the highest rutting resistance from the above, they are compared with the control mixture (Figures 23-26). At 7,000,000 ESALs and 40°C, it is noted that the rut depth decreases by 20.48mm when using HMA 5.3% (HL) and by 20.33mm when using SMA (SF).

Fig. 23. Rutting depth for HMA 5.3% (HL) and conventional mix at 40°C.

Fig. 24. Rutting depth for HMA 5.3% (HL) and conventional mix at 60°C.

Fig. 25. Rut depth for SMA with SF and conventional mix at 40°C.

Fig. 26. Rut depth for SMA with SF and conventional mix at 60°C.

Fig. 27. Rutting depth for BBME and conventional mix at 40°C.

Fig. 28. Rutting depth for BBME and conventional mix at 60°C.

F. BBME

Figures 27 and 28 illustrate the effect of high modulus and conventional mixture on the rutting depth of the pavement geometry. It can be seen that at the 15th year after 7,000,0000 ESALs at 40°C and 60°C, the BBME mixture exhibits lower rut depths of 21.14 and 25.33mm respectively, than the conventional mixture. Therefore, the stiff binder improves the pavement performance susceptibility to permanent deformation failures during hot summers and repeated loads [31, 36].

G. Dense Gradation Mix (JMF)

Finally, the JMF gives the best rutting resistance results. It can be seen that after 15 years and 7,000,0000 ESALs at 40°C and 60°C, the JMF mixture exhibits lower rut depths by 21.63 and 30.4mm than the control mixture, as shown in Figures 29 and 30, because the addition of fine aggregates strongly increases the friction within the mixture. In addition, angular aggregates are known to have more resistance to rutting than other configurations [32]. Additionally, the aggregates form the
internal structure of the asphalt mixture and are responsible for its strength and durability in carrying loads and mixing the internal bonds that help resist deformations [33].

Based on the previous results, it is concluded that the strongest and most resistant to rusting under high load and high temperatures are the JMF and the BBME mixtures. However, attention should be given to an important point regarding the BBME mixture, as it may not be used in practice as a surface layer except in rare cases, due to the fact that it may be prone to thermal cracking and fatigue cracking and it may not provide a surface texture with sufficient skid resistance.

When multiple layers of EME are constructed, it is crucially important that good bonding between the two courses is achieved [33, 35]. Therefore, the choice of type depends on the conditions and specifications determined by the requirements, its efficiency in solving the desired problem, and its effectiveness over the years, which altogether achieve the lowest cost and good performance. In this study, the change in aggregate gradations within the permissible limits of the local mixture used currently in Iraq without additives or changing the content of asphalt is the most efficient in resisting the high temperatures prevailing in Iraq and the least expensive with a high rutting resistance (which is the common deformation mode in the country) and other deformation performance, as shown by the results.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the test results, the optimal mixture for this study is JMF with 4.7% binder content. When flow values were examined, it was observed that high flow values are usually related to plastic mixtures subject to permanent deformations. The performance of the HMA mixture can be improved by using additives, and it was found that the use of HL as a filler gives better results than the use of fibers, and by increasing the asphalt content from 4.7% to 5.3% for the same mixture gradation, stability and rutting resistance increase. The main outcomes of this study are:

- The addition of HL improves the mechanical behavior by increasing the stability of the mixture at relatively high temperatures.
- Marshall stability increased by 10% in HMA (4.7%) with HL and by 51% in HMA (5.3%) with HL in comparison with the control mixture, but regarding rutting resistance, the HMA (5.3%) mixture with HL was more resistant than the HMA (4.7%) mixture with HL under high temperatures and heavy load.
- It was concluded that the best additive is usually the fibers, especially the natural SFs. Fibers have been used in a global scale for many decades to reinforce paving materials. A very common use of fibers is to add them into open graded mixtures or porous asphalt to avoid draining down bitumen from aggregates. However, the use of fibers in dense graded mixtures to increase stability or improve cracking resistance is less common.
- SF has good elasticity due to its high moisture absorption capability and because it acts as a reinforcement inside both mixtures. The stability was 12.83kN and the flow was 2.9mm in the case of SMA (SF), while the draindown was 0.25% and the percentage of air voids was 20.8% in the case of OGFC (SF). Regarding rutting resistance, the SMA mixture was more resistant than the OGFC mixture under high temperatures and heavy load.
- It was noted that BBME gave good Marshall stability and flow values that were greater than those of the conventional mixture by 58.6% and 3.1% respectively. It also exhibited and high resistance to permanent deformation. It was noted that the BBME gave good resistance to deformation values because a stiffer asphalt binder plays a role in rutting resistance at high temperatures.
- Finally, the JMF gave the best and highest results in Marshall stability and flow, which were increased by 90.7%
and 3.1%, respectively, and also high rutting resistance by decreasing the rut depth by 21.63mm in comparison with the conventional mix under 7000000 ESALs and 40°C after 15 years of operation because the addition of fine aggregates increases the friction within the mixture. The permanent deformation parameters alpha and mu are more sensitive to temperature and stress level than the other parameters considered in this research. The test results show the difference in shape between conventional (40-50) and stiff (20-30) binders at 40°C and 60°C. This means that the BBME mixture has excellent resistance to permanent deformation (rutting) and a high effect on alpha and mu.

• The effect of the gradient on the plastic parameters (alpha and mu) is very high and clear by comparing the mixtures, where the aggregates are the main element in the strength of the mixture and the appropriate gradation in the extent of its durability and resistance to deformation. The JMF mixture gave the highest values of alpha and mu.
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