Control Exchange Points: Providing QoS-enabled End-to-End Services via SDN-based Inter-domain Routing Orchestration

Vasileios Kotronis\textsuperscript{1}, Xenofontas Dimitropoulos\textsuperscript{2,1}, Rowan Klöti\textsuperscript{1}, Bernhard Ager\textsuperscript{1}, Panagiotis Georgopoulos\textsuperscript{3}, Stefan Schmid\textsuperscript{1}  
\textsuperscript{1} ETH Zurich, Switzerland \hspace{1em} \textsuperscript{2} Foundation of Research and Technology Hellas (FORTH) \hspace{1em} \textsuperscript{3} T-Labs & TU Berlin, Germany

\textbf{Introduction}. This paper presents the vision of the Control Exchange Point (CXP) architectural model. The model is motivated by the inflexibility and ossification of today’s inter-domain routing system, which renders critical QoS-constrained end-to-end (e2e) network services difficult or simply impossible to provide. CXP manages slices of multiple ISPs and provides inter-domain routing coordination based on SDN APIs. A slice is defined by a flowspace (associated with a specific service) and a virtual topology (e.g., pathlets). An ISP abstracts its network as a set of services, such as telemedical applications, are usually out of the question. More advanced and mission-critical services, such as telemedical applications, are usually out of the question.

\textbf{Motivation and Challenges}. Complexity and ossification: The notorious complexity of the inter-domain routing system renders its management difficult and error-prone, leading to various inefficiencies such as suboptimal inter-domain paths. Indicatively, 60\% of all Internet paths today are suffering from triangle inequality violations\textsuperscript{[9]}. The current ossification of the system, hindering the introduction of new solutions, aggravates the problem further. Highly popular inter-domain services, such as high-definition e2e real-time video streaming, already test the limits of the status quo, or are simply impossible. This is because such services require tight coordination along entire chains of ISPs demanding QoS provisioning. More advanced and mission-critical services, such as telemedical applications, are usually out of the question.

\textbf{Challenges associated with ISP peering}: ISPs today peer with each other either directly over private peerings, or via the rich IXP ecosystem that interconnects thousands of ISPs and is currently morphing the Internet landscape into a dense mesh, greatly increasing path diversity. Here we highlight the limitations of the current peering ecosystem. Firstly, we argue that the economic model of bilateral business agreements is not suitable for services offered by multiple ISPs together. Secondly, peer ISPs exchange all their clients’ IP prefixes coarsely, without any differentiation specific to the cross-domain service that they want to provide to their clients. Fine-grained service-specific peering is a potential “nice-to-have” for ISPs, but BGP does not provide the needed mechanisms for implementing it with the appropriate granularity (e.g., flow-level). Thirdly, peering practices today disfavor small (and potentially innovative) ISPs, since tier-1 ISPs form restricted peering groups and have little incentive to offer peering, when they could simply charge for transit. This could change if, for example, a new type of business relationship for cross-domain services would jointly benefit all chained ISPs, despite their differences in size or their original business association (customer-provider, peer-peer).

\textbf{Benefits of the CXP model}: With this work, we are investigating how SDN principles can help deal with the inflexibility and suboptimality of the inter-domain routing system and the issues related to classic ISP peering. The CXP model enables dynamic service-specific relationships between ISPs to provide cross-domain e2e services that can be guaranteed over the Internet. Example services that can be provided via peering under the supervision of the CXP entities include high quality video conferencing, telemusic\textsuperscript{[3]} (teaching music or performing with remote participants over long distances by guaranteeing low-delay HD video streaming), or mission-critical real time information streaming for telemedicine purposes\textsuperscript{[8]}. The bandwidth and latency sensitive content in this case, could be the live video between an operating room in a hospital in Moscow and a doctor performing a remote robot-assisted surgery, located in Zurich. Such applications demand a user-transparent, QoS-enabled, multi-domain WAN.

\textbf{Control Exchange Points: A new notion of ISP peering based on Software Defined Networking}. A CXP is an external to the ISP entity that orchestrates the e2e stitching of slices that the ISPs provide, for the benefits of e2e service revenue. A CXP manages slices of multiple ISPs and provides inter-domain routing coordination based on SDN APIs. A slice is defined by a flowspace (associated with a specific service) and a virtual topology (e.g., pathlets). An ISP abstracts its network as a set of pathlets connecting the network edges and then advertises these to the CXP. More specifically, this abstraction could be realized with tunnels instantiated with e.g., OpenFlow or MPLS. Slices are connected via inter-domain links e.g., over IXPs, to other ISP domains to form an inter-domain virtual topology. The pathlet abstraction is bundled with properties that the ISP provides. For
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Considering the large number of IP addresses and the rich path diversity that even a small deployment of CXP anchors can combined with the smart routing that the CXP can perform end-to-end, is a strong basis for the deployment of such models.

CXP are elements that operate solely within the control plane. They interface with ISPs in order to receive pathlet advertisements and be able to switch (i.e., route) between the ISPs’ pathlets, so as to establish e2e paths dynamically. Dynamic e2e path control allows to adjust to changing network conditions and to migrate embedded paths to admit new ones. We propose that inter-domain connection between ISP slices takes place over IXPs. The data plane anchors that are used for switching are network elements deployed within the layer-2 infrastructure of the IXPs. The main reason for selecting IXPs as the CXP data plane anchors is that IXPs typically peer with many different IXPs in parallel for traffic offloading and transit cost reduction. This dense mesh of links provides path diversity which our model can exploit. All pathlets are attached to IXPs. The CXP anchors in the IXPs are controlled by the logically centralized OS platforms [10] of the CXP, based on SDN APIs. The introduction of the SDX [6] concept and the potential deployment of SDN-enabled infrastructure within IXPs, complement our model nicely. The CXP could for example interface with the IXP through the SDX controller APIs and use SDX-defined slices of the current IXP fabric, according to the service and IXP clientele with which the slices are associated.

Regarding pathlet provision, we propose two models depending on whether ISPs are willing to provide hard guarantees or not. In the first model, an ISP provides tunnels across its domain without any strict guarantees. The CXP cleverly stitches these pathlets together in order to satisfy the e2e requirements of the end-clients. To do that, active rerouting across unreliable ISP chains is required, based on real-time measurements taken from the substrate network. IXPs could ideally serve as monitoring anchors for such measurements. In the second model, an ISP provides tunnels with guaranteed performance parameters across its domain. The CXP is still responsible for stitching these advertised, locally guaranteed pathlets so as to provide e2e guarantees. In this case, monitoring is required for verifying that advertised guarantees are adhered to in practice. The adoption of the most suitable model for current markets relates heavily to political and financial factors. We believe though, that the simple fact that an ISP is proficient in providing intra-domain tunnels and handling the traffic matrix for his own network [9], combined with the smart routing that the CXP can perform end-to-end, is a strong basis for the deployment of such models.

Preliminary Feasibility Analysis. We claim that an architecture based on ISP-IXP-CXP collaboration can work in practice, considering the large number of IP addresses and the rich path diversity that even a small deployment of CXP anchors can provide. We illustrate these two properties in Fig. 1 and Table 1 respectively. We use IXP membership data from [2] and build a map of possible pathlets connected to IXPs. Each pair of IXPs is connected via multiple pathlets traversing the joint member ASes (a single pathlet per joint AS per IXP pair). Fig. 1 depicts the IP address coverage ([1]) by IXP members (plus their 1-hop customer cone) versus the number of participating IXPs, assuming an optimal strategy maximizing IP address coverage. We observe that we can serve over 1 billion IP addresses via a small number (~5-7) of CXP anchors in well-connected IXPs, if we take into account only IXP-adjacent prefixes, and over 2 billion IP addresses, if we also consider the 1-hop customer cone of the IXP members. This allows an initial deployment of just a few IXPs to serve large parts of the IPv4 address space and enables incremental adoption. Table 1 shows the number of disjoint paths between the 5 largest IXPs, pairwise, based on min-cuts performed on the full extracted pathlet map derived from [2]. The very high path diversity results in high path availability, a competitive marketplace for users, and many choices for selecting e2e paths tailored to the service requirements (QoS metrics).
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