Tumor-Derived Lactate Creates a Favorable Niche for Tumor via Supplying Energy Source for Tumor and Modulating the Tumor Microenvironment
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INTRODUCTION

Tumor evolution is influenced by events involving tumor cells and the environment in which they live, known as the tumor microenvironment (TME). TME is a functional and structural niche composed of tumor cells, endothelial cells (ECs), cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs), and a subset of immune cells (macrophages, dendritic cells, natural killer cells, T cells, B cells). Otto Warburg revealed the Warburg effect in 1923, a characteristic metabolic mechanism of tumor cells that performs high glucose uptake and excessive lactate formation even in abundant oxygen. Tumor tissues excrete a large amount of lactate into the extracellular microenvironment in response to TME’s hypoxic or semi-hypoxic state. High lactate concentrations in tumor biopsies have been linked to metastasis and poor clinical outcome. This indicates that the metabolite may play a role in carcinogenesis and lead to immune escape in TME. Lactate is now recognized as an essential carbon source for cellular metabolism and as a signaling molecule in TME, forming an active niche that influences tumor progression. This review summarized the advanced literature demonstrating the functional role of lactate in TME remodeling, elucidating how lactate shapes the behavior and the phenotype of both tumor cells and tumor-associated cells. We also concluded the intriguing interactions of multiple immune cells in TME. Additionally, we demonstrated how lactate functioned as a novel function factor by being used in a new histone modification, histone lysine lactylation, and to regulate gene expression in TME. Ultimately, because lactate created a favorable niche for tumor progression, we summarized potential anti-tumor strategies targeting lactate metabolism and signaling to investigate better cancer treatment.
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The tumor microenvironment (TME) is an intricate environment made up of tumor cells, blood vessels, stromal cells, endothelial cells (ECs), cellular metabolites, nutrients, and growth factors (Figure 1). Otto Warburg found in the 1920s that tumors consume massive amounts of glucose compared to surrounding tissue. Tumor cells obtain ATP through aerobic glycolysis and produce excessive lactate intracellularly even when oxygen is enough (Warburg et al., 1924; Warburg, 1925).
However, they observed that tumor viability could be maintained solely through respiration. Subsequently, in 1929, an English biochemist named Herbert Crabtree extended Warburg’s work and investigated the heterogeneity of glycolysis in various tumor types (Crabtree, 1929). He confirmed Warburg’s findings but added that the magnitude of respiration in tumors varied, with many tumors exhibiting significance (Crabtree, 1929). As a result, Crabtree inferred that tumor cells exhibit aerobic glycolysis and vary in fermentation, most likely due to environmental or genetic influences. Efraim Racker coined the term “Warburg Effect” in the early 1970s.

Compared to breaking down glucose via mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), Warburg-dependent cells use a “far less efficient” mechanism to obtain ATP. Indeed, the end-product lactate concentration was found to be significantly elevated in glycolytic tumors (1–40 mM) (Walenta et al., 2004), being the most strongly elevated metabolite in TME. Others have suggested that lactate levels are strongly linked to tumor aggressiveness and poor survival (Hui et al., 2017). Lactate is no longer just a byproduct; it is now the primary metabolite in the crosstalk of tumor and stromal cells.

**UNIQUE METABOLISM IN WARBURG-DEPENDENT TUMOR CELLS LEAD TO A REPROGRAMMED TME**

Rapid tumor cell progression leads to increased oxygen consumption and limited nutrients, resulting in a severe hypoxic and nutritionally urgent TME (Petrova et al., 2018). TME is also characterized by disorganized vascularization and extracellular acidosis (Vaupel and Mayer, 2016). TME has been remodeled by the characteristic metabolic pathways of malignant proliferating cells, which influence the multiple metabolic activities in TME. Notably, the metabolite lactate has been shown to form tight couplings with other components of TME, thereby promoting tumor progression.

**Hypoxia Contributes to Remolding an Acidified Immunosuppressive TME**

Under hypoxic conditions, the transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1) is stabilized and then shifted to the nucleus to bind to hypoxia-regulated genes responsible for facilitating anaerobic glycolysis and regulating vessel generation, inducing further hypoxic responses (Vaupel, 2008). Several downstream effects of hypoxia-dependent HIF-1α activation are linked to immune escape. The immunosuppressive effects can further be classified as accumulated lactate, acidified TME, and over-expressed VEGF.

Hypoxia stimulates anaerobic glycolysis, which increases lactate generation and shuttling. Accumulated lactate can be transported into cells and used as a metabolic substrate in the hypoxic TME. The acidification in TME ranges from 6.0 to 6.5 due to the newly generated lactate exported together with H+ by the tumor cells. The acidic TME formed by Warburg-dependent tumor cells has been shown to influence a subset of cells, including immune cells, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), endothelial cells, and stromal cells (Faubert et al., 2017).

Hypoxia/HIF-1α can induce VEGF expression and VEGF-R activation, further suppressing anti-tumor immune responses. Endothelial-cell activation and angiogenesis are aided by tumor-derived lactate via HIF-dependent and non-HIF-dependent pathways. Both pathways are involved in monocarboxylate...
transporters 1-mediated lactate transport and subsequent inhibition of prolyl hydroxylases (PHDs) (Sonveaux et al., 2012; Rivera and Bergetts, 2015; Rivera et al., 2015; Horikawa et al., 2017). Mechanistic studies showed that M2-like macrophages, Treg cells, and certain inhibitory molecules such as PD-L1 were involved in mediating the activities (Vaupel and Multhoff, 2016).

**Lactate Establishes Intricate Couplings Between Metabolic and Genetic Variations Within the Reprogrammed TME**

According to the existing literature, high lactate concentrations (median concentrations >8 mmol/L) were related to subsequent metastasis in malignant tumors (Marchiq and Pouyssegur, 2016). Even though regarded as a “metabolic waste product” for a long period, lactate has now been widely acknowledged as a source of metabolic energy and an oncometabolite with signaling properties.

Tumor cells are organized to meet increased glucose needs for multiplication in response to the excessive lactate produced by accelerated aerobic glycolysis. Tumor cells showed increased glucose uptake, decreased mitochondrial function, upregulated monocarboxylate transporters (MCT), and glycolytic enzyme expression. According to published research, lactate in TME can be utilized as a secondary energy source by tumor cells and then extruded to nearby endothelial and stromal cells (Lyssiotis and Kimmelman, 2017). Furthermore, lactate promotes tight metabolic connections between tumor cells, stromal cells, and immune cells. Lactate can facilitate immune evasion of lymphocyte identification and dampen the efficacy of anti-tumor therapy in the programmed TME. Lactate appears essential for tumor evolution by acting as a messenger between the producer and consumer cells in TME. Moreover, lactate is an essential metabolite in transcriptional regulation. Zhang et al. (2019) revealed a previously unknown histone modification in which lactate confers specific gene expression signatures in M1 macrophages through substrate provision, now known as lysine lactylation.

Lactate has been elucidated to participate in angiogenesis, energy supply, immunosuppression, and epigenetic alterations. These intricate couplings between metabolic and genetic variations in the reprogrammed TME have opened up new avenues for further treatment strategies. Thus, it is critical to explore the origin and location of lactate before determining its vital role in TME.

**LACTATE ACCUMULATION AND SHUTTLING IN TME**

**Characteristic Glycolysis and Glutaminolysis Metabolic Pathways Lead to Excessive Lactate**

Lactate is a hydroxycarboxylic acid broken down in the human body into D-lactate and L-lactate. L-lactate is the main physiological enantiomer of lactate, while D-lactate accounts for only 1%–5% of the latter (Connor et al., 2017). Glucose is partially oxidized into pyruvate, reduced to lactate, and extruded extracellular in Warburg-dependent tumors. Besides that, glutamine catabolism produces tumor-derived lactate. One of these routes is the conversion of glutamine-derived carbon to acetyl-CoA in the citrate cycle to malate, which then exits the mitochondria and is converted to NADPH and pyruvate in the cytoplasm by malate. The primary carbon source for lactate generation in tumor cells is glucose exploitation via glycolysis, with glutamine breakdown via glutaminolysis serving as a secondary but significant source. The characteristic glycolysis process results in an accumulation of lactate in the cytosol and an excess of H⁺. The main populations responsible for lactate production in TME were tumor cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). The inefficiency of glycolysis and the urgent need for ATP results in significantly increased glucose uptake, which results in accumulated intermediates substances such as lactate.

The solid tumors and cancer cells maintain extracellular acidity because of high lactate production. To sustain metabolism, the tumor cell must expel lactate from the cell. Excessive cytosolic lactate has been shown to effectively reduce the glycolytic rate by inhibiting the rate-limiting enzyme phosphofructokinase-1 (PFK-1). Accumulated lactate facilitates the conversion of lactate into pyruvate mediated by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), resulting high amount of NADH generation and subsequent inhibitory feedback on glycolysis (Trivedi and Danforth, 2016). To maintain high-rate glycolysis, eukaryotic cells must drive lactate and H⁺ efflux to extracellular space to avoid intracellular acidification (Pacino et al., 2019). Emerging evidence has shown that proton-coupled lactate efflux from tumor cells or stromal cells is important in preserving the acidic phenotype and promoting tumor dissemination by remodeling the TME, resulting in angiogenesis and cell invasion metastasis, and immune escape (Ippolito et al., 2019). Lactate shuttle in rat skeletal muscle is driven by a concentration and pH gradient or the cellular redox state.

Nonetheless, there is a remarkable increase in lactate levels (1–40 mM) in glycolytic tumors, closely related to tumor aggressiveness and poor prognosis. Rapid shuttle appears to be at odds with lactate accumulation in tumors. The net increase in lactate concentrations within the tumor, on the other hand, could be explained by the higher glucose-to-lactate flux (which characterizes the Warburg-dependent cells) versus the lactate-to-CO₂ flux in lactate-dependent cells. It could also be explained by the abundance of Warburg-dependent cells in a growing tumor, a feature that can be measured in clinical practice with fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)–positron emission tomography (PET) to monitor tumor progression.

**Lactate Dehydrogenase: A Tetrameric Enzyme Crucial for Lactate Synthesis**

Many studies have found that lactate metabolic coupling is based on the reversible reaction of the nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+)-oxidoreductase LDH enzyme. This tetrameric enzyme is composed of M and H protein subunits
encoded by the LDHA and LDHB genes. The genes can assemble in five different heterotetramers or homotetramers in a tissue-dependent manner. There are five isoenzyme formations: LDH-1 (4H), LDH-2 (3H1M), LDH-3 (2H2M), LDH-4 (1H3M), and LDH-5 (4M). LDH is typically found within the cell, and the isoenzyme composition varies between tissues. The LDH isoform is mainly expressed in skeletal muscle. It preferentially converts pyruvate to lactate, whereas the LDHB isoform is located commonly in the heart and brain and preferentially converts lactate to pyruvate (Markert et al., 1975). LDH is the primary metabolic enzyme responsible for converting pyruvate to lactate and vice versa. LDH is required to regulate nutrient exchange between tumors and the stroma.

Increased LDH expression and activity have been observed in various tumor types is related to chemoresistance and a low event-free survival rate. High LDH levels in serum can be regarded as a negative prognostic biomarker in malignancies (Zhang et al., 2019a), indicating that a large amount of lactate is secreted from tumor cells into the circulatory system. Inside tumor cells, LDHA can prevent pyruvate from entering into the mitochondrial tricarboxylic acid cycle (Zdralievic et al., 2018) and promote the rapid conversion of pyruvate to lactate (Jiang et al., 2016). High-level LDHA promotes tumor cell formation and progression by facilitating epithelial to mesenchymal transformation (Arseneault et al., 2013), angiogenesis, cytoskeletal remodeling (Valvona and Fillmore, 2018), cell invasion, and migration (Liu et al., 2015).

Similarly, LDHB expression may be used as a biomarker for therapy response in various cancers. For instance, LDHB expression could be used to assess the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in breast cancers. Cancer cells with glycolytic and base-like phenotypes were found to have high LDHB expression, whereas LDHB knockdown reduced glycolytic dependence. Patients with basal-like cancers had high levels of LDHB expression and had a complete pathological response (pCR) to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Dennisson et al., 2013). A study by Zdralievic elucidated that LDHA/LDHB double knockout (LDH/A/B-DKO) completely suppressed glycolysis, whereas LDHA or LDHB gene knockout alone failed to inhibit lactate production. LDHA/B-DKO completely stopped cell growth because they could not switch metabolism to oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) in a hypoxic environment (Zdralievic et al., 2018).

In conclusion, elevated LDH expression is linked to poor prognosis in tumor patients. LDH regulates lactate production, which is important in tumor progression (Certo et al., 2019). In various tumor entities, a positive correlation between LDH, high lactate levels, and tumor progression has been documented (Girgis et al., 2014) implying that targeting human LDH may be beneficial for treating advanced cancers.

**Monocarboxylate Transporter: A Plasma Membrane Transporter Vital for Lactate Shuttling in Bulk Tumors**

Under physiological pH, lactate can be completely dissociated into lactate anion, which cannot pass through the plasma membrane via free diffusion. The transport mechanism relies on proton-like MCTs (Garcia et al., 1994; Jones and Morris, 2016). MCTs comprise four reversible types (classical H+/lactate symporters) from the SLC16/MCT family of solute carriers, consisting of 14 members with conserved sequence motifs (Renner et al., 2017). MCT1 and MCT4 are present in monocytes, lymphocytes, and granulocytes (Merezhinskaya et al., 2004). Furthermore, MCT1 (SLC16A1) and MCT4 (SLC16A3) are ubiquitously expressed in the human body, with an obvious up-regulation observed in malignant tumors.

Over-expression of MCTs has been shown to validly moderate the stress caused by accelerated lactate generation in tumor cells via adjustable bidirectional transport depending on the TME and cellular context. MCTs first bind to a free proton, followed by lactate binding and a conformational change, which then mediates lactate extrusion to the opposite side of the membrane. At the end of the transport phase, the proton would be released. In normal tissues, high-affinity MCT1 is the primary transporter responsible for lactate homeostasis, as both input and output correlate with the lactate transmembrane gradient. However, in anaerobic glycolysis tumor cells, the accumulated lactate would quickly saturate MCT1 so that tumor cells always rely on the low-affinity MCT4 to accomplish lactate export instead. Lactate released by lactate biosynthetic addicted cells through MCTs can be utilized by several cells as an energy-rich byproduct, which MCT1 mainly uploads.

**LACTATE SERVES AS A SECONDARY ENERGY SOURCE IN TME: A METABOLIC SYMBIOSIS**

Rapid-growing solid tumors, characterized by rapid proliferation and high energy consumption, are generally nutrient-deficient, aggravated by insufficient vascular supply. As tumor proliferates faster than vascularization, only tumor cells near the vessels acquire oxygen and thus remain normoxic. In contrast, tumor cells have insufficient oxygen supply and thus remain hypoxic. To adapt to this complex, stressed environment, metabolic genes have remodeled the TME to meet the demand for proliferation (Baek et al., 2014). In the TME, there are normoxic and hypoxic cell populations, with the latter naturally lacking the ability to oxidize lactate. In the TME, a symbiotic relationship in lactate metabolism has been observed between normoxic and hypoxic cells. Several studies have illustrated that within the TME, a subset of cells undergoes Warburg-like metabolism, while another subset decomposes the lactate via OXPHOS-dependent metabolism (Faubert et al., 2017; Hui et al., 2017).

A favorable location with abundant nutrition substance and the ability to establish a metabolic symbiosis with hypoxic cancer cells maintains either oxygenated tumor cells or nearby vessels (Figure 2). Both metabolic characteristics and hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) expression were significantly different in the cell populations. Moreover, metabolic symbiosis occurs between different cancer cell populations within the tumor and between normoxic cancer cells and tumor-associated stromal cells, particularly fibroblasts. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and tumor cells are the major cell types with mesenchymal-like characteristics in solid tumors, supporting cancer cells by
providing additional paracrine factor nutrients and supplementing the nutrient reserves provided by local vessels (Brand et al., 2016). Stromal cells are activated and transformed into tumor-associated stromal cells (TASCs) in the reprogrammed TME, where they play a role in modulating the cancer phenotype. In the reprogrammed TME, tumor cells induce fibroblasts to switch to an “aerobic glycolysis” metabolic mode and produce lactate. The “instructed” fibroblasts lack caveolin-1 but are abundant in oxidant radicals, TGF-β and HIF-1α, possessing a suitable environment to program enzymatic pathways relevant to “aerobic glycolysis” and subsequent lactate production.

Essentially, tumor cells with abundant oxygen supply preferentially use lactate as an oxidative fuel, sparing glucose and making it available for glycolytic tumor cells and stromal cells via anaerobic and aerobic glycolysis, respectively. Hypoxic tumor cells and stromal cells preferentially generate lactate to fuel canonical lactate biosynthesis via LDH isoform A and export the lactate out of the cells via MCT4. Then the lactate can be transported into normoxic tumor cells via MCT1 and subsequently transformed into pyruvate via LDHB for ATP production.

Mechanistic studies demonstrate that lactate is produced by aerobic glycolysis, which is maintained by SIRT3/succinate-dependent-HIF-1α activation, eventually leading to a reaction catalyzed by LDHA. LDHB can decompose lactate into pyruvate, which can be diverted into the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle to provide energy. Furthermore, it directly binds to oxygen sensors such as N-Myc downstream-regulated gene 3 (NDRG3), thereby modulating redox state and lysosomal function via the LDH reaction. Furthermore, the binding process could stabilize HIF-1α, induce reactive oxygen species (ROS), and activate nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) signaling, thereby increasing transcriptions of genes encoding cytokines such as hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Lactate can be sensed extracellularly by G protein-coupled receptor 81 (GPR81), inducing signal transduction and facilitating intracellular lactate exploitation.

HISTONE LACTYLATION: A NOVEL POST-TRANSLATIONAL MODIFICATION ESTABLISHED BY LACTATE

Otto Warburg’s observations in the 1950s highlighted preferential production of lactate by glycolysis even in the absence of oxygen. Cancer cells rewire metabolism to promote...
glucose uptake and breakdown, allowing for the rapid synthesis of energy and biosynthetic precursors required to produce a progeny cell. According to new research, the end-product lactate is no longer a waste metabolite of proliferating tumor cells. Still, it acts as an energy source, a signaling molecule, and an immunoregulatory molecule. Although the end-product lactate has been extensively explored, with numerous reports on its role in TME restructuring, its precise contribution to cellular function remains unknown.

### Histone Modification

A dynamic balance between the enzymatic activities of writers and erasers modulates histones Kac. Non-enzymatic lysine acylation is also thought to occur, particularly in mitochondria, where relatively high concentrations of pH and acyl-CoA would benefit them (Moellering and Cravatt, 2013; Wagner and Payne, 2013; Gouiran et al., 2018). On the other hand, conditions in the nucleus are less favorable to these processes (Weinert et al., 2015). Instead, previously characterized histone acetyltransferases (HATs) were shown to have extended acetyltransferase activities. HATs consist of three families of sequence and structural features; GNAT (Gcn5-related N-acetyltransferase), the p300/CREB binding protein (p300/ CBP), and MYST (Moz, Ybf2, Sas2, and Tip60) (Lee and Workman, 2007; Weinert et al., 2014). All three families of HATs have been shown to use a variety of acyl-CoA as substrates for histone Lys acylation. HATp300 (also known as EP300), a well-studied transcriptional coactivator, has emerged as one of the most confounding acetyltransferase identified to date. Structural studies of p300 reveal a deep aliphatic pocket within the active site, a missing feature in GCN5 and other HATs like TIP60 and MOF (also known as KAT8) (Jing and Lin, 2015).

Zhang et al. (2019) from the University of Chicago established a novel function for lactate whereby it confers specific gene expression signatures in M1 macrophages by providing substrate for a previously unknown histone modification, now known as lysine lactylation (Zhang et al., 2019b).

### Histone Lactylation Reacts to Regulate Downstream Gene Expression

Histones are a type of protein that consists of a nucleosome core wrapped in DNA. Histones are influenced by several post-translational modifications (PTMs) that characterize and form functional chromatin states. A unique mass shift of 72 Da was detected on lysine residues of histone tails, extracted from a human cancer cell line using mass spectrometry (Huang et al., 2014). The authors hypothesize that the mass shift is caused by the addition of a lactyl group (la) to a lysine residue (K) (Figure 3). The hypothesis was confirmed when the spectrum of biochemically synthesized histone peptides with Kla modifications completely overlapped with the previously generated histone peptides. 

13C-labeled lactyl groups are found on histone lysine residues when isotopically 13C-labeled lactate is used to track glycolytic metabolite. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that histone lactylation occurs as a post-translational modification of histone in vivo (Zhang et al., 2019b). So far, 246 histone sites bearing these new Lys acylations have been identified.

Moreover, it has been demonstrated that histone lactylation is caused by glycolysis because tracing of isotopically labeled glucose causes the deposition of 13C-labeled atoms on histones. The majority of novel histone PTMs are now defined as short-chain Lys acylations. These modifications are similar to lysine acetylation (Kac), a well-studied lysine modification, but they differ in hydrocarbon chain length, hydrophobicity and charge.

Drug-mediated inhibition and promotion of glycolysis resulted in a decrease and increase in overall Kla numbers, respectively, reflecting the sensitivity of histone lactylation to the amount of lactate produced by glycolysis. Existing studies suggest that the functional and genetic targets of histone lactylation mainly lay on macrophages. Macrophages are immune cells that can be composed of two classes: a pro-inflammatory class (termed M1) and an anti-inflammatory, wound-healing class (termed M2). When there are infections in the human body, macrophages play a key role in host defense against infections through promoting tissue remodeling and clearance of cell debris. M1 macrophages rely primarily on aerobic glycolysis, which directly results in high lactate production, whereas M2 macrophages increase oxidative phosphorylation and fatty acid oxidation (Galván-Peña and O’Neill, 2014). Lactate production played a role in determining macrophage epigenetic phenotype. By conducting a genome-wide, unbiased approach, the authors observed that lysine lactylation is high in the promoter regions of genes which were responsible for wound healing, an M2-like phenotype. At the same time, chemically inhibition of lactate generation during M1 polarization led to reduced lactate and histone Kla levels but had no influence on the expression of pro-inflammatory genes. Thus, we deduce that histone modification is possibly acting to regulate the expression of genes.

According to emerging evidence, these modifications affect gene expression by altering the physical accessibility of the DNA molecule to proteins involved in DNA transcription. Post-translational modifications of histones are critical for maintaining homeostasis by regulating DNA-dependent processes such as transcription, replication, and DNA repair, altering nucleosome contact among themselves, and recruiting non-histone proteins (Kouzarides, 2007; Tessarz and Kouzarides, 2014). Lactate produced by glycolysis under hypoxic conditions or during a bacterial challenge has been shown to stimulate histone lactylation and thus activate downstream gene expression. In addition, histone lactylation functions act as an important epigenetic regulator during pathogenesis. When confronted with a bacterial infection, macrophages must rapidly switch to aerobic glycolysis to facilitate M1 polarization and pro-inflammatory cytokine production. Once the infection has been eradicated, macrophages must ensure that the inflammatory response is dampened to avoid collateral damage. Moreover, histone lactylation at pluripotency gene loci induced by Glis1 benefited somatic cell reprogramming. These findings highlighted the profound impacts of individual metabolites on cellular function (Pucino et al., 2017).
A Bridge Exists Between Histone Modifications and RNA Modifications

The balance between transcription activation and repression may be disrupted by dysregulation of histone modifications, which is linked to many diseases, including developmental and neurological disorders, as well as various cancer aetiologies (Lewis et al., 2013; Ronan et al., 2013; Pavlova and Thompson, 2016). For instance, an association study of the entire histone acetylation group identified 4,162 distinct H3K27ac peaks enriched in disease-associated biological pathways between Alzheimer’s disease cases and controls (Marzi et al., 2018). Furthermore, H3K9me3 demethylation mediated by KDM4A in oocytes is necessary for normal activation of the zygotic genome and preimplant development after fertilization, whereas absence of KDM4A leads to insufficient transcriptional activation of the genes (Sankar et al., 2020). Above all, histone modifications are multiple markers tightly correlated with the occurrence and development of disease, and exploring the role of histone modifications in disease pathogenesis, especially tumorigenesis has gradually become a research hotspot. Yu et al. (2021) firstly illustrated that histone lactylation was increased in tumors and is correlated with poor prognosis in ocular melanoma (Yu et al., 2021). Target correction of abnormal histone lactylation triggers therapeutic efficacy both in vitro and in vivo. Histone lactylation promotes the transcription of YTHN6-methyladenosine RNA-binding protein 2 (YTHDF2), which recognizes the m6A modification site on RNA of two tumor suppressor genes (PER1 and TP53) and accelerates their degradation.

The novel finding of short-chain acylations on histone lysines has validly increased the complexity of histone PTMs and their interplay with cellular metabolism. Zhang et al. have provided unique insights into how lactate functions as an essential metabolite in transcriptional regulation. A few theories aimed at the regulation and function of histone acylations have also emerged. Deeper explorations of the writers, erasers, and readers, and the influence of acyl-CoA metabolism on these proteins, will help us comprehend the regulation and function of differential histone acylation. At the moment, Yu et al. (2021) have revealed...
the oncogenic role of histone lactylation, providing novel therapeutic targets for ocular melanoma therapy. The link between histone modifications and RNA modifications has been established, furnishing a new sight for epigenetic regulation in carcinogenesis.

IMMUNOSUPPRESSIVE ROLE OF LACTATE IN CELLULAR METABOLISM

Extracellular lactate concentrations can be perceived and endocytosed by multiple cell types, including dendritic cells, T cells, macrophages, and NK cells, triggering intracellular signaling and modulating cell behavior, and strongly influence their function in the TME. Lactate provides immuno-metabolic coupling between tumor cells and other stromal cells, contributing to blunt immune-surveillance.

Lactate and Dendritic Cells

Dendritic cells (DCs) are important immune cells for initiating primary immune responses and have anti-tumor activity (Banchereau and Steinman, 1998; Bell et al., 1999). However, both circulating and tumor-infiltrating DCs of malignant tumor patients were phenotypically and functionally defective (Almand et al., 2000; Orsini et al., 2003). High lactate concentrations in TME have been certified to help dendritic cells mature, differentiate, and express antigens. Gottfried et al., in 2014 found that lactate altered antigen phenotype and functional activity of DCs modulated a specific tumor-associated DC phenotype by observing DCs differentiation in a 3-
dimensional tumor model (Sutherland, 1988). The differentiated DCs were observed to have low CD1a and IL-12 in vitro. In addition, lactate prevents monocytes from differentiating into DCs, implying that high lactate levels in TME may impede DCs maturation.

**Lactate and T Cell**

Lactate accumulation in TME is always accompanied by H⁺ accumulation, both of which can blunt T cell responses towards solid tumors. Immune checkpoint blockade (e.g., anti-PD-1, anti-PD-L1, and anti-CTLA-4) made significant progress in amplifying endogenous anti-tumor T cell responses. Besides, similar to tumor cells, naïve T cells are transformed from an OXPHOS-predominant metabolism to a Warburg-dependent glycolytic metabolism after activation to meet biosynthetic and energetic demands (Chang et al., 2015). Thus, tumor cells and activated T-cells compete for glucose, which is considered a driver of cancer progression (Maclver et al., 2008).

Both T cells and cancer cells extrude lactate via MCTs to avoid intracellular acidification. Excessive lactate alters the transmembrane concentration gradient, inhibiting lactate released from activated T cells. Studies revealed that the opposing lactate efflux inhibits pro-inflammatory cytokine production and T cell cytotoxic activity by inhibiting mTORC1 (Balgi et al., 2011). Extracellular acidosis impairs T cell-mediated immunity, and neutralization of tumor acidity has been shown to improve anti-tumor responses towards immunotherapy (El-Kenawi et al., 2015). Lactate hinders the activation of the nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) in NK, which is required for IFNγ transcription. Recent research has revealed that lactic acidosis suppresses JNK/c-Jun and P38 activation, impairing the function of CD8⁺ T lymphocytes (CTLs). The activation of P38 and JNK/c-JUN mediated by downstream phosphorylation of TCR-signaling is essential for IFN-γ production. Lactate has also been proven to inhibit the FAK family-interacting protein of 200 kDa (FIP200) for mediating T cell apoptosis without altering mRNA level.

Moreover, anti-tumor responses of T cells are severely compromised by complex mechanisms (Mah and Cooper, 2016), especially in tumors with a high glycolysis rate. We know that cytotoxic T cells and many effector T cells rely on glycolysis to sustain cell proliferation and cytokine production. They become inactive in insufficient glucose and excessive lactate (Bogunovic et al., 2009). Chang et al. (2015) demonstrated that increased glucose consumption inhibits T cell metabolism by lowering glycolytic capacity, mTOR activity, and IFNγ production. Evidence suggests that glucose deprivation restrains T cell’s anti-tumor effects (Cascone et al., 2018), and the competition in the TME interferes with antigen-specific responses of tumor-infiltrating T cells.

On the other hand, lactate treatment weakens effector T cell function without meddling with Treg cell function because Treg cells obtain energy via oxidative phosphorylation (Macintyre et al., 2014) instead of glycolysis. Lactate positively affects the metabolic profile of CD4⁺ CD25⁺ regulatory T (Treg) cells, allowing them to remain in the acidic TME and amplifying their immunosuppressive functions. As the Treg-specific transcription factor, FoxP3 inhibits cMyc signaling. Subsequently, it transfers Tregs to an OXPHOS metabolism, immune-tolerant T-regulatory cells (Tregs) may be able to remain active in the TME.

**Lactate and NK Cell**

Natural killer (NK) cells are a valuable target in tumor immunotherapy because they can effectively eliminate tumor cells through various mechanisms without prior sensitization. Tumor and other immune cells in the immune-suppressive TME create favorable conditions for tumor proliferation while preventing NK activation (Di Vito et al., 2019; Habif et al., 2019; Nayyar et al., 2019). The balance between activating and inhibitory signals influences NK activation. Cytokines secreted by tumor and tumor-associated cells within TME, such as transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), IL-6, IL-10, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), and so on can suppress NK cell activity (Konjević et al., 2019; Stojanovic et al., 2013). In addition, NK activity is regulated by signals from inhibitory receptors such as CD94/NKG2A (André et al., 2018).

It has been elucidated that the metabolite lactate and low pH can significantly dampen the cytotoxic activity of NK cells in the TME, contributing to modulate an immune-suppressed TME (Husain et al., 2013). The excessive lactate generated by glycolysis can be imported into NK through transporters (including SLC16A1 and SLC16A3), further impairing ATP production. In NK extracted from murine, Brand et al. observed that the increased uptake of lactate resulted in intracellular acidification and diminished ATP levels (Brand et al., 2016). It has been reported that lactate effectively blocks the IFNγ production following PMA/IONomycin activation in NK. Consistently, promoted apoptosis and decreased ATP were observed in liver-resident NK treated with lactate (Harmon et al., 2019). Moreover, the extracellular acidosis would present an inhibition on mTOR signaling pathway, interfering anti-tumor effects of natural NK. On the one hand, lactate directly dampens cytotoxic function and expression of perforin, granzyme, and NKP46. On the other hand, lactate recruits monocyte-derived dendritic cells to indirectly blunt NK function.

The accelerated glycolytic rate induced by multiple factors such as hypoxia and accumulated lactate poses an obvious barrier to NK in the metabolically reprogrammed TME (Harmon et al., 2019). Cong et al. observed a decrease in glycolytic rate in NK cells and impaired cytotoxic activity and cytokine production in the lung cancer microenvironment of a murine model. An inhibitory enzyme for glycolysis, fructose-1,6-biphosphatase (FBP1), was also found to be overexpressed. FBP1 inhibition could restore NK cell’s effector functions during tumor progression (Cong et al., 2018). Given that NK cells rely heavily on glucose metabolism to carry out effector functions, glucose restriction would completely negate their anti-tumor effects, most likely in conjunction with metabolic reprogramming.

**Lactate and Macrophage Cell**

Emerging evidence suggests that tumor-derived lactate regulates the pro-inflammatory response of monocyte and macrophage
The prominent heterogeneous immune cells in TME are macrophages, whose phenotypes are modulated by distinct signals in TME to exert significant effects on tumor dissemination (Colegio et al., 2014). Macrophages are composed primarily of classically activated (M1) and alternatively activated (M2) macrophages, with the latter frequently being displayed in pro-malignancy activity (Chen and Bonaldo, 2013). Indeed, there is growing evidence that acidification decreases the expression of CCL2, IL-6, and iNOS in M1 macrophages while increasing the expression of markers in M2 macrophages within the tumor milieu. It has been recommended that the metabolic lactate, rather than a decreased pH, causes macrophages to polarize in an M2-like manner (Su et al., 2014). Lactate-derived lactate has also been shown to induce M2-like polarization in THP1 and LPS-activated human monocytes (Ye et al., 2018). Growing evidence suggests that tumor-derived lactate educates macrophages to become functional tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), the largest population of stromal cells that inhibit immune responses and promote tumor evolution, leading to poor clinical outcomes (Chen et al., 2011).

Lactate also inhibits the production of TNFα and IL-6 in LPS-stimulated macrophages. According to research, lactate signals by binding to its receptor G protein-coupled receptor 81 (GPR81). K Yang et al. demonstrated that lactate suppressed LPS-stimulated NF-κB and YAP activation and nuclear translocation through its receptor GPR81-mediated AMPK/LATS activation (Yang et al., 2020). It also modulates macrophage phenotype by regulating the hypoxia-inducible factor 1α (HIF1α)–vascular endothelial cell growth factor (VEGF) signaling pathway (Colegio et al., 2014) and the secretion of ARG1. Furthermore, lactyl groups produced from lactate may contribute to post-translational modifications of histone proteins, resulting in increased expression of M2 marker genes such as ARG1 and IL-6.

**TARGETING LACTATE METABOLISM AND SIGNALING EFFECTIVELY INHIBITS TUMOR PROGRESSION**

**Regulation of Lactate Related Signaling Pathway**

Understanding the role of lactate tumor dissemination in dampening lactate homeostasis is a promising link for improving tumor treatments. Interventions aimed at the activity or expression of the molecules involved in the deregulated metabolic pathways of glycolysis and glutaminolysis will inevitably inhibit lactate production and release. For example, in preclinical cancer models, HIF1α-dependent signaling, aberrant MYC expression, and activated PI3K signaling are all favorable events for glutaminolysis that have been reported to be potentially targetable to achieve positive results (Rey et al., 2017; Whitfield et al., 2017; Janku et al., 2018). Nonetheless, since these molecular players control multiple signaling and metabolic events, clinicians face significant challenges in achieving the expected efficacy of impairing lactate homeostasis.

Considering the effects of extracellular acidification on tumor evolution, regulating the pH within TME is regarded as a valid measure to improve anti-tumor efficacy. pH regulators (MCTs, Na+/H+ exchangers and Na+/HCO3− co-transporters, carbonic anhydrases, and anion exchangers) were successfully targeted with antibodies and molecules. From another point of view, neutralizing the acidity of TME could restore immune responses to immunotherapy induced by checkpoint inhibitors such as antibodies against programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) and cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) (Balgi et al., 2011). We have a reasonable hypothesis that the metabolic couplings in the tumor milieu provide a unique opportunity to develop drugs that target tumor metabolism. Furthermore, this provides new sights for improving the anti-tumor efficacy of immunotherapy by restoring the metabolic fitness of the host immune system.

**Inhibitors Hindering the Function of the Lactate Transporter Effectively Contribute to Tumor Therapy**

Interfering with intercellular lactate transport by targeting MCTs has significant effects. MCT1-inhibitors impair lactate transport, resulting in a metabolic switch from lactate that fuels OXPHOS to glycolysis, indirectly eliminating tumor cells in the hypoxic region via glucose deprivation. On the one hand, MCT1 inhibitors may influence lactate influx, which initially benefits cancer cells by adapting to glucose depletion. On the other hand, targeting MCT1 alleviates resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy. For instance, AR-C155858 is an MCT inhibitor that targets MCT1 and MCT2, whereas SR13800 is an MCT inhibitor that only targets MCT1. Besides, promising results have been acquired with the AstraZeneca compound AZ3965 (Polanski et al., 2014), an inhibitor that targets both MCT1 and MCT2 but with a 6-fold stronger selectivity on MCT1. Moreover, a small molecule is known as α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamate (CHC) effectively inhibits tumor initiation, progression, and metastasis in glioblastoma (Mathupala et al., 2004). In murine cancer models treated with CHC, decreased tumor evolution and necrosis in the core region were consistently observed.

**Targeting Key Enzymes in the Lactate Decomposition and Synthesis Pathway Exerts Strong Anti-Tumor Effects**

According to growing evidence, targeting lactate oxidase (LOX) may supplement traditional treatment and improve therapeutic efficacy. Several studies have reported nanoparticles loaded with LOX in treating malignant diseases. The Warburg-dependent tumor cells require a lot of glucose and produce excessive lactate in TME. TME lactate and acidosis can impair immune surveillance by negatively modulating tumor-infiltrating immune cells (Colegio et al., 2014; Hinshaw and Shved, 2019; Pucino et al., 2019). LOX can alleviate acidification and consume lactate in the TME, activate tumor immune response and reshape TME by facilitating DC cell generation, M2 repolarization,
reducing immunosuppressive cells (Tregs) infiltration, and enhancing immune effector cells (NKs, CTLs) functions.

Meanwhile, excess H$_2$O$_2$ kills tumor cells due to its high oxidative capacity and has synergistic effects when combined with immunotherapy. In recent decades, an increasing number of nanomaterials have been synthesized to exert anti-tumor effects (Alexis et al., 2008; Phan et al., 2009). Multiple novel tumor treatments derived from nanomedicine have opened new avenues for treating malignant tumors. For example, methylcellulose (MC) hydrogel loaded with lactate oxidase (LOX) (MC-LOX) was observed to contribute to M2 to M1 macrophages repolarization by consuming lactate in TME (Liao et al., 2019). Dendritic mesoporous silica nanoparticles (ODMSNs) loaded with LOX have been shown to suppress tumor angiogenesis by consuming more than 99.9% lactate in TME (Tang et al., 2020).

Targeting LDHA activation has been shown to help inhibit the conversion of pyruvate to lactate. Given that LDHA is the predominant isoform found in tumors, a subset of compounds targeting LDHA has emerged and been validated in preclinical studies. Compounds such as gossypol derivative (AT-101), FX-11, N-hydroxy indole-based, and galloflavin successfully inhibit LDHA from performing anti-tumor effects in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and cervical cancer (Granchi et al., 2011; Dorneburg et al., 2018).

Even though current research has confirmed that highly produced and accumulated lactate in the TME could be regarded as highly potential anti-tumor targets, we face great challenges in translating this finding into clinical treatment. To improve patient prognosis by regulating tumor metabolism and exploring more effective novel combination therapies, we must first determine how lactate affects host immune responses and chemo-radiotherapy resistance.

### The Significance of Modulating Lactate in Improving Tumor Immunotherapy

Immunotherapy is broadly classified as immune checkpoint blockade (ICB), chimeric antigen receptor T cells therapy (CAR-T), and tumor vaccines, all of which effectively eliminate tumor cells by activating the host immune response. Immune checkpoints have been shown to have important effects on self-tolerance in the immune system, with inhibitory checkpoints being a possible target spot. ICB improves T lymphocyte function by intercepting co-inhibitory molecules and reactivating host immune responses (Xia et al., 2017). These two major checkpoints involve an interaction between the programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) and the cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA-4) on T cells and their ligands, PD-L1 and CD80/CD86, respectively, are detected on immune cells under physiological conditions. The use of fusion proteins and antibodies against CTLA-4, PD-1, and PD-L1 in the treatment of malignancies has been extremely successful. Additionally, T cells express chimeric antigen receptors (CAR), which specifically capture antigens on tumor surfaces to eliminate tumor cells (Pettitt et al., 2018). Furthermore, tumor vaccines are novel methods to elicit antigen-specific immune responses (Vansteenkiste et al., 2013; Milani et al., 2014; Newick et al., 2017).

Even though immunotherapy has demonstrated obvious efficacy, there is still a subset of defects that must be addressed, such as narrow anti-neoplastic spectrum, severe adverse effects, and limited efficacy, emphasizing the importance of modulating tumor metabolism to alter the immune state of TME. Determining the immunotherapy resistance mechanism is critical and exploring combined therapies to boost anti-tumor immunity and long-term responses. It has been reported that the resistance to tumor immunotherapy is possibly caused by ineffective T cell activation and infiltration in the immunosuppressive TME. Furthermore, numerous studies illustrated multiple elements in the reprogrammed TME that lead to immune tolerance, like accumulated lactate and highly-expressed co-inhibitory molecules in TME. We highlighted how the lactate concentration in TME reacted in tumor immunotherapy resistance and summarized the relevant pathways that could be targeted in the combined therapy.

Due to the Warburg effect, excess tumor-derived lactate accumulates in TME, along with CO$_2$ and many other metabolites. Studies have reported that high lactate concentrations dampen the functions of human CTLs to proliferate and produce cytokines (Garon et al., 2015). Also, lactate-induced acidosis could reduce arginine levels in the TME by facilitating ARG1 expression in macrophages, which ultimately suppressed the activation, proliferation, and activities of human CD8$^+$T cells (Mendler et al., 2012). Additionally, intracellular lactate inhibits T-cell glycolysis via inhibiting PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway (Pilon-Thomas et al., 2016). Na Li et al. observed that deleting the N6-methylation of adenosine (m$^6$A) demethylase Alkbh5 sensitized tumors to cancer immunotherapy in well-established ICB mouse cancer models (Lia et al., 2020). Notably, Alkbh5 modulates Mct4/Scl16a3 expression and lactate content of TME and the composition of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and tumor-infiltrating Tregs. Importantly, a small molecule Alkbh5 inhibitor improved the anti-tumor effects of immunotherapy. Above all, thoroughly analyzing the emerging co-activate components in TME (especially the tumor-derived lactate) is critical to overcoming the limitations of immunotherapy. Combining immunotherapy with lactate targeting in TME could be a promising strategy, and exploring them is undeniably important in improving the prognosis of cancer patients.

### SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

This review first discussed the Warburg effect, a characteristic way tumor cells survive. Simultaneously, we summarized the generation, transport, and shuttling of the end-product lactate, as well as the specific role lactate played in tumor progression. Furthermore, we explored how lactate facilitates angiogenesis, serves as an energy source, mediates epigenetic modification, modulates an immunosuppressive TME, and influences tumor metabolism.
therapy’s efficacy. We extensively complement recent advances in histone modification, focusing on the phenomenon that lactate modifies histones to regulate macrophage polarization and tumor immunity, which is one of the highlights of this manuscript. Ultimately, we summarized potential anti-tumor strategies that target lactate metabolism and signaling. We elucidated that applying lactate oxidase (LOX) in combination with nanomedicine to consume lactate in TME to exert anti-tumor effects has also demonstrated obvious potential, which is another high point of this manuscript.

Several studies have shown that the metabolite lactate may be a significant obstacle to tumor eradication. Comprehensive studies are expected to delineate further the detailed downstream signals triggered by lactate, potentially providing therapeutic targets for cancer treatments. Emerging evidence suggests that excessive lactate in TME may be a defining feature of various malignancies, providing a neoteric mode of epigenetic and metabolic aberration in oncogenesis. Based on these findings, the importance of modulating tumor metabolism in cancer treatment has renewed researcher’s interests in decades.

The rising incidence and mortality rates of malignant tumors pose a serious threat to public health worldwide, necessitating the development of safe and effective treatment. Current tumor treatments include surgical resection, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and gene-targeted therapy, which have failed to provide satisfactory efficacy for patient’s prognosis. These clinical discoveries inspire a thorough understanding of multiple components in TME and their complex functions, especially couplings associated with lactate, providing new possibilities for exploring broader and more effective combined therapies to compete to combat malignancies. Despite these significant obstacles in anti-tumor therapy, it has been proved that natural, induced, and engineered immune responses to tumors can effectively improve clinical efficacy, especially in certain malignancies.

Therapeutic strategies aimed at certain metabolic pathways are becoming more effective and convincing. Current tumor treatments in combination with compounds that target the lactate signal to achieve better anti-tumor effects also hold promise. More comprehensive studies focusing on tumor metabolic characteristics, lactate metabolism signaling pathway, and interactions between lactate and other components in TME are needed to complete our understanding of the environment required for tumor progression, which will contribute to the synthesis of novel drugs and therapeutic patterns with higher efficacy and fewer side effects.
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