Measurement of crude protein requirement of cockerel finishers by two empirical methods
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ABSTRACT

Two empirical methods, namely the orthodox or traditional and diet dilution technique, were used to measure the responses of 96 14-week-old Black Olympian cockerel finishers to graded dietary crude protein (CP) levels of 16, 18, 20 and 22 per cent on air-dry basis in a 6-week trial. The diets were isocaloric (ca 2600 kcal kg\(^{-1}\) metabolisable energy). Both methods indicated that weight gains, feed conversion ratio, and protein efficiency ratio were optimized by diets containing 18 per cent CP level at minimal cost of feed per unit gain. The results, thus, confirm that both methods are equally good in predicting the CP requirements of finishing cockerels.
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Introduction

The crude protein (CP) requirement of monogastric animals, such as poultry birds, is really required for the constituent amino acids in their diets, especially the essential ones. Unlike ruminant animals, monogastrics cannot manufacture enough essential amino acids to satisfy their needs for maintenance and production processes. Hence, it becomes imperative to measure accurately, the essential amino acid or CP requirements or both of the monogastrics without interfering unduly with the methodology-related factor(s).

The orthodox or traditional method most commonly used for formulating experimental diets in the CP or energy requirement studies involves adjusting dietary proportions of protein or energy concentrates or both to produce graded levels of protein or energy or both (Olomu, 1977; Njike, 1981; Ojewola & Longe, 1999). A variant of this approach, commonly used in amino acid requirement studies, is the “progressive supplementation technique” (D’Mello, 1982). It entails formulating a ‘basal diet’ (purified or conventional) in which the test amino acid is deficient in order to allow for graded additions of the feed-graded ‘synthetic form’ of the amino acid under assay (Dean & Scott, 1965; Hewitt & Lewis, 1972). According to Fisher & Morris (1970) and Gous (1980), test diets produced by these traditional procedures do not have similar amino acid balance or energy content or both, and this
might also interfere with the dose-related responses of the recipient animals. To avoid this and other limitations inherent in the orthodox procedures (Fisher & Morris, 1970; Gous, 1980) for formulating diets in requirement studies, another method, the diet dilution technique, was developed and described by Fisher & Morris (1970). It has largely been used in the study of amino acid requirements (Fisher & Morris, 1970; Gous, 1980). It involves the dilution of a high-protein “summit diet” with an isoenergetic low-protein or protein-free mixture to produce the test diets for estimating ‘requirements’. In his review, which compared both procedures, D’Mello (1982) concluded that both methods would yield substantially similar results, and they are equally acceptable for measuring amino acid requirements of poultry birds. Similarly, Salami (1985) reported that the traditional method and diet dilution technique are equally good in estimating the tryptophan requirements of young broiler chickens. On the strength of this evidence, the diet dilution technique has also been applied to study the CP requirements of growing cockerels (Salami & Boorman, 1999) and cockerel starters (Salami, Akindoye & Hamzat, 2002), crude protein and energy requirements of cockerel starters (Salami, Akindoye & Akanni, 2003) and cockerel finishers (Salami, personal communication). However, no study has to date been reported that compared both methods for measuring CP requirement. It is against this background information that this study compares both methods to determine the CP requirements of cockerel finishers.

Materials and methods
The experimental diets were formulated either by the orthodox or diet dilution method. Diets A, B, C and D (Table 1) were formulated using the orthodox method and contained 16, 18, 20 and 22 per cent CP, respectively (air-dry basis). Diets E, F, G and H, which also contained 16, 18, 20 and 22 per cent CP respectively, were formulated using the diet dilution method by mixing a 28 per cent CP summit diet and a 2.51 per cent CP dilution mixture (whose compositions are shown in Table 2) in the proportions shown in Table 3. All diets were isocaloric (ca 2600 kcal kg\(^{-1}\) ME).

Ninety-six, 14-week-old Black Olympian cockerel finishers were put into eight experimental groups of the same mean initial live weight. The treatment diets coded A to H were randomly applied to the groups in a trial that lasted for 6 weeks. Each diet was fed to three replicates of four birds each. The birds per replicate were housed in twos per cage compartment measuring 30 cm × 38 cm × 43 cm for breadth, length and height respectively. The two-tier battery cage was placed inside an open-sided poultry house. Feeding and watering were administered ad libitum. Vaccinations (against Newcastle, Gumboro and fowl pox diseases) and medications (against coccidiosis and worm infestation) for the birds were administered as and when due as described by Salami & Oyewole (1997).

The initial and final body weights of individual birds at 14th and 20th weeks of age respectively were measured to compute the average daily weight gain. Feed intake was also measured weekly to calculate the average daily feed intake. From the records of live weight gain and feed intake, feed conversion ratio (FCR) was determined. Protein efficiency ratio (PER) was also computed as weight gain per unit of protein consumed by the bird (i.e. weight gain divided by protein intake). Protein intake was derived as dietary crude protein content multiplied by feed intake.

The costs of various treatment diets were also computed using market prices of the feed ingredients at the time of experiment (Tables 1 and 2).

Response data were analysed using ANOVA (Steel & Torrie, 1980) and significant differences in the treatment means were evaluated using the Duncan’s Multiple Range Test.

Results and discussion
This trial sets out to compare the orthodox and
diet dilution methods for determining the CP requirement of finishing cockerels. Table 4 shows the effects of the graded CP levels, as per the two methods, on the response criteria measured. As observed in the earlier studies (Salami & Boorman, 1999; Salami, personal communication), the response criteria were improved significantly as the CP level increased up to 18 per cent CP level. Beyond this level, performance in weight gain, feed conversion ratio and protein efficiency ratio tended to deteriorate, indicating that protein in excess of requirement was harmful to the birds. Thus, Diets B and F, which contained 18 per cent CP, satisfied the cockerel finishers’ requirement. This dietary CP level also minimizes the feed cost per unit of weight gain as in antecedent reports; for example, Salami & Boorman (1999).

The similarity in the response pattern of cockerels to treatment diets produced by both methods confirms that they are equally good in predicting the CP requirements of the finishing cockerels. This finding, therefore, agrees with that of Salami (1985) and disagrees with the claims of some authors (e.g. Fisher & Morris, 1970; Gous, 1980) that the diet dilution technique is an improved method over the traditional one. Thus, the methods used to formulate test diets in requirement studies do not seem to contribute [unlike environmental, animal and dietary factors as per literature review of Salami (1985)] to the disparities noticed in the quoted requirement values for nutrients such as CP and amino acids. This is also in line with the conclusion of D’Mello (1982) in his review.
TABLE 2
Percentage Composition of Summit Diet (SD) and Dilution Mixture (DM)

| Feed ingredient               | SD  | DM  |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Maize (CP = 9%)               | 44.00 | -   |
| Palm kernel cake (CP = 18%)   | 6.50  | -   |
| Groundnut cake (CP = 45%)     | 40.00 | -   |
| Fish meal (CP = 65%)          | 170.00 | -   |
| Blood meal (CP = 80%)         | 28.00  | -   |
| Lafun (CRM) (CP = 2%)         | 27.50  | -   |
| Rice offal (CP = 4%)          | 3.50  | 10.00 |
| Oyster shell                  | 7.00  | 1.50 |
| Bone meal                     | 30.00 | 2.00 |
| Salt                          | 18.00 | 0.25 |
| Premix                        | 450.00 | 0.25 |
| Total                         | -    | 100.00 | 100.00 |

\[ \text{N kg}^{-1} \]

Calculated fractions

|                     | SD  | DM  |
|---------------------|-----|-----|
| Crude protein (%)   | 28.01 | 2.51 |
| Metabolizable energy (kcal kg\(^{-1}\)) | 2602.95 | 2601.0 |
| Crude fibre (%)     | 6.76  | 11.64 |
| Lysine (%)          | 1.35  | 0.04 |
| Methionine (%)      | 0.43  | 0.02 |

TABLE 3
Composition of Experimental Diets Produced from Summit Diet and Dilution Mixture

| Treatment diet | Dietary levels of CP(%) | Proportion of Summit diet (g kg\(^{-1}\)) | Proportion of Dilution mixture(g kg\(^{-1}\)) | \text{N kg}^{-1} |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|
| E              | 16.02                   | 26.02.03                                 | 530.0                                     | 470.00 | 31.73 |
| F              | 18.00                   | 2602.18                                  | 607.50                                    | 392.50 | 33.17 |
| G              | 20.00                   | 2602.34                                  | 685.90                                    | 314.10 | 34.65 |
| H              | 22.00                   | 2602.49                                  | 764.40                                    | 235.60 | 36.08 |

\[^a\]Feed cost kg\(^{-1}\) is computed from Table 2.

Conclusively, the two methods used now to devise treatment diets had about the same effects, indicating that both are equally good in estimating CP requirements of finishing cockerels, which is 18 per cent in diets containing 2600 kcal kg\(^{-1}\) metabolizable energy.
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