The rnb Gene of Synechocystis PCC6803 Encodes a RNA Hydrolase Displaying RNase II and Not RNase R Enzymatic Properties
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Abstract

Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic prokaryotic organisms that share characteristics with bacteria and chloroplasts regarding mRNA degradation. Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 is a model organism for cyanobacteria, but not much is known about the mechanism of RNA degradation. Only one member of the RNase II-family is present in the genome of Synechocystis sp PCC6803. This protein was shown to be essential for its viability, which indicates that it may have a crucial role in the metabolism of Synechocystis RNA. The aim of this work was to characterize the activity of the RNase II/R homologue present in Synechocystis sp. PCC6803. The results showed that as expected, it displayed hydrolytic activity and released nucleoside monophosphates. When compared to two E. coli counterparts, the activity assays showed that the Synechocystis protein displays RNase II, and not RNase R characteristics. This is the first reported case where when only one member of the RNase II/R family exists it displays RNase II and not RNase R characteristics.

Introduction

Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic prokaryotic organisms comprising the major biomass of living organisms in earth oceans, and are believed to be related to the ancestor of higher plant chloroplasts. They share characteristics from bacteria and chloroplasts regarding mRNA degradation. Synechocystis PCC6803 is a model organism for cyanobacteria, but not much is known about the mechanism of RNA degradation in this class of organisms.

The Synechocystis genome contains genes that have a high homology to RNase E, RNase J, PNPase, RNase II/R and nucleotidyldtransferase/poly(A)-polymerase (PAP), the proteins involved in mRNA degradation [1]. The polyadenylation pathway was already studied and it was shown that the product of the putative PAP gene has nucleotidyldtransferase and not polyadenylation activity. Instead, the reaction of polyadenylation in putative PAP gene has nucleotidyltransferase/poly(A)-polymerase (PAP), the proteins involved in mRNA degradation. Only one member of the RNase II/R family is present in the genome of Synechocystis sp PCC6803. This protein was shown to be essential for its viability, which indicates that it may have a crucial role in the metabolism of Synechocystis RNA. The aim of this work was to characterize the activity of the RNase II/R homologue present in Synechocystis sp. PCC6803. The results showed that as expected, it displayed hydrolytic activity and released nucleoside monophosphates. When compared to two E. coli counterparts, the activity assays showed that the Synechocystis protein displays RNase II, and not RNase R characteristics. This is the first reported case where when only one member of the RNase II/R family exists it displays RNase II and not RNase R characteristics.
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Enzymatic Properties

Hydrolase Displaying RNase II and Not RNase R
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Cyanobacteria are photosynthetic prokaryotic organisms that share characteristics with bacteria and chloroplasts regarding mRNA degradation. Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 is a model organism for cyanobacteria, but not much is known about the mechanism of RNA degradation. Only one member of the RNase II-family is present in the genome of Synechocystis sp PCC6803. This protein was shown to be essential for its viability, which indicates that it may have a crucial role in the metabolism of Synechocystis RNA. The aim of this work was to characterize the activity of the RNase II/R homologue present in Synechocystis sp. PCC6803. The results showed that as expected, it displayed hydrolytic activity and released nucleoside monophosphates. When compared to two E. coli counterparts, the activity assays showed that the Synechocystis protein displays RNase II, and not RNase R characteristics. This is the first reported case where when only one member of the RNase II/R family exists it displays RNase II and not RNase R characteristics.
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The nucleotides of the RNA molecule (counting from the 3’ end) are stacked between the two aromatic residues, Tyr253 and Phe358. After the last cleavage event, the 4 nt fragment is no longer “clamped” by those residues, and then the 4 nt is the end-product released by RNase II [11]. Mutational analysis showed that the Tyr253 is crucial for setting the final end product, not only in RNase II [15] but also in RNase R [13], indicating that its role may be conserved in all members of this family of enzymes. The active site is formed by four highly conserved aspartates (Asp201, Asp207, Asp209 and Asp210) and an arginine (Arg500), which were shown to be important for the activity of the protein and proper RNA binding/orientation [15–17]. From these residues, Asp209 was the most critical for the activity of RNase II, since its substitution for an asparagine totally abolished its activity without affecting the RNA binding ability [16]. The same results were obtained for other members of this family [8,13,18,19]. It was postulated that Glu542 was involved in nucleotide elimination. However, when Glu542 was changed into an alanine, the resultant

![Figure 1. RNA degradation activity of synRNB. A. 33.3 ng/μl of radiolabelled petD3 RNA was incubated with 10 nM of protein at 37°C. Samples were taken during the reaction at the time points indicated in the figure. A control reaction with no enzyme added (Ctrl) was incubated at the maximum reaction time. B. TLC (Thin Layer Chromatography) analysis of the degradation products of Synechocystis recombinant protein. The RNA degradation products were chromatographed on a TLC screen. Unlabeled standards were loaded on the same plate and visualized with UV-light and their position is indicated by arrows. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032690.g001](image1)

![Figure 2. Salt dependence of synRNB. A. 5 nM of recombinant protein were incubated with 10 nM of Poly(A) at 37°C for 5 minutes in a reaction buffer with different salt concentrations (lanes 1 = 10 mM, lanes 2 = 50 mM, lanes 3 = 100 mM, lanes 4 = 150 mM, and lanes 5 = 200 mM). B. Determination of the protein activity in the presence of NaCl and KCl in different concentrations. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032690.g002](image2)
protein had more affinity for RNA and it was more than 100 times more active than the wild type protein. Therefore, the E542A mutant was called a “super-enzyme” [17].

*E. coli* RNase II and RNase R belong to the same family, but act differently on RNA substrates and have different specificities. RNase R was shown to have an intrinsic ability to unwind double-stranded RNAs [18,20], while RNase II is sensitive to these structures and stalls a few nucleotides before reaching the double-stranded region [7]. RNase II is responsible for 90% of the hydrolytic activity in *E. coli* [21]; however, in stationary phase and stress conditions (like cold-shock induction), RNase R levels increase in the cell [22]. This can be related to the crucial role that RNase R has in RNA quality control, namely in the degradation of defective tRNA and rRNA [23], and also in protein quality control [22]. In contrast, RNase II is mainly involved in the terminal stages of mRNA degradation and its activity can be replaced by PNPase. It was also suggested that the main function of RNase II is the protection of some mRNA transcripts by rapidly removing the short poly(A) tail [24].

In cyanobacteria nothing is known about this family of enzymes, except that SynRNB protein is essential for the viability of these organisms [1]. This indicates that RNase II/R homologue may have a crucial role in *Synechocystis* metabolism. The aim of this work was to characterize the activity of synRNB as a first approach for the study of the role of this enzyme in RNA metabolism in cyanobacteria. The results showed that the *Synechocystis* protein behaves like an RNase II-like protein: the final product released is a 4 nt fragment and the protein is not able to degrade structured substrates. This is the first case reported where the only member of the RNase II family of enzymes present in an organism behaves like an RNase II and not like RNase R. However, while RNase II prefers polyadenylated substrates [15,17], RNase II from *Synechocystis* does not demonstrate such preference. This may happen because in this genus there is no PAP and the tails produced by PNPase are heterogeneous [1].

**Results and Discussion**

**RNB protein from *Synechocystis sp. PCC6803* is a hydrolytic exoribonuclease**

SynRNB, purified by immobilised metal affinity chromatography, was incubated with petD3 RNA to test the activity of the protein after purification. After only 5 minutes of incubation we were already able to see the formation of degradation products, which increased in abundance with time (Figure 1A). To confirm that this activity was due to RNB from *Synechocystis* and did not result from a contamination with the *E. coli* PNPase, we analysed the reaction products by TLC (Thin Layer Chromatography) (Figure 1B) [25]. As shown in Figure 1B, the products detected were exclusively nucleotide monophosphates. In this case, since the RNA was [32P] UTP labelled, the obtained radioactive product co-migrated with the UMP marker. With the results obtained we were able to confirm that the recombinant RNB from *Synechocystis* has hydrolytic activity.

**Salt and pH preference of the synRNB protein**

In order to characterize the activity of synRNB protein we first determined the optimal conditions for the catalysis. We empirically determined the effects of changing the salt, its concentration and the pH of the reaction buffer.

To assess the effect of salt type and concentration, we performed activity assays with 10, 50, 100, 150 and 200 mM of NaCl and KCl as described in the Materials and Methods. The activity of synRNB was measured by quantifying the disappearance of the
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substrate with time. The conditions used for this and the following determinations were adjusted such that less than 25% of the substrate was degraded. The results obtained are presented in figure 2. It should be noted that the gels showed in this figure and the ones that follow were not used to quantify the degradation of the substrate. In the ones that are shown, the reactions have been allowed to proceed further to enable detection of the final products. The assays quantified were performed in different conditions (lower protein concentration and reaction time) to ensure that less than 25% of the substrate was degraded. When the salt used was NaCl, the protein seemed inactive for all the concentrations tested, while in the presence of KCl we were able to detect activity with all the concentrations used, although the proteins preferred 50 mM KCl (Figure 2A). However, following the reactions at different time points, we were able to see that, in fact, synRNBP was active in NaCl, but the cleavage efficiency was 10 times lower when compared to its activity in KCl (Figure 2B). We were also able to confirm that the preferred KCl concentration was 50 mM. For higher salt concentrations, the activity of synRNBP starts to decrease (Figure 2B). Taking these results into consideration, we used an activity buffer with a salt concentration of 50 mM of KCl for the remaining experiments.

After establishing the optimal salt concentration for the activity of the protein, we analysed the effect of pH on catalysis. For this purpose, we tested the activity of synRNBP using pH ranging from 6.5 to 9 in the presence of 50 mM of KCl. The results obtained showed that the activity of synRNBP peaked at a pH of 8.0 (Figure 3). However, the peak is relatively broad and the activity at pH 7.5 and 8.5 was not substantially lower (Figure 3B).

**RNB protein from Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 prefers Mg^{2+} for its activity**

Exonucleases from the RNase II-family of enzymes need a divalent ion in order to proceed with catalysis. For *E. coli* RNase II and RNase R, the presence of Mg^{2+} is crucial for the activity of the proteins [11], however, catalysis can also occur in the presence of other divalent ions (Matos et al., manuscript submitted). We tested the activity of the *Synechocystis* homologue with different divalent metal ions: Mg^{2+}, Mn^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, Co^{2+}, and Ni^{2+}. As shown in figure 4A, incubating the RNA with 10 nM of protein during 5 minutes we can only detect strong activity in the presence of Mg^{2+}. In the presence of Ca^{2+}, it seems that the protein has some residual activity. To confirm this, we increased the time of the reaction, and saw that, after one hour of incubation in a buffer with Ca^{2+}, the protein was also able to cleave the substrate (Figure 4A). Under the same conditions no activity was detected for any of the other divalent ions that were tested (Figure 4A). From these experiments we conclude that the synRNBP needs an Mg^{2+} ion for the catalysis, but is also able to cleave RNA in the presence of Ca^{2+}, although with less efficiency. We then tested the effect of changing the Mg^{2+} concentrations (1, 2.5, 5 and 10 mM). As shown in figure 4B and C, we found that synRNBP is most active in the presence of 1 mM of Mg^{2+}. At higher Mg^{2+} concentrations, the activity of synRNBP decreases (Figure 4B and C).

For the following experiments, we used reaction conditions that were optimised as described above; 50 mM KCl and 1 mM MgCl_2 in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer with a pH of 8.0. To prevent degradation of substrates during SPR experiments, Mg^{2+} was omitted and EDTA added to the buffer.

**RNB protein from Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 displays RNase II-like properties**

RNase II and RNase R of *E. coli* differ with regard to the final product released and their ability to degrade double-stranded RNAs [15,17]. While the *E. coli* RNase II releases an end-product of 4 nt and is sensitive to secondary structures, stalling 5 to 7 nt before it reaches the double-stranded region, RNase R degrades RNA releasing fragments of 2 nt of length and is able to overcome structured RNAs [13,17]. We tested how the *Synechocystis* protein behaved regarding the degradation of two single-stranded substrates, poly(A) and 16 ss, and also using the double-stranded substrate 16–30 ds (Figure 5). In order to compare synRNBP with *E. coli* RNase II and RNase R, the three proteins were assayed at the same time. The activity of RNase II and RNase R was assayed using the conditions described previously [16,26,27]. For the *Synechocystis* protein, the conditions used were those described above. The results obtained are represented in Figure 5. Different conditions were used for the three proteins (salt and/or Mg^{2+} concentrations) to ensure that all were acting in their optimal conditions.

It was already shown that *E. coli* RNase II and RNase R prefer poly(A) substrates [15,17]. Given that this is one of the substrates that we used to test the activity of the *Synechocystis* protein from this family. We also decided to use the 16-mer RNA, which had a mixed composition of all ribonucleotides, in order to analyse if, like its *E. coli* homologues, synRNBP had some preference for poly(A) substrates. As already described, and as observed for both single-stranded substrates tested in this work, RNase II is able to degrade ssRNA substrates releasing a 4 nt fragment, while RNase R is able to proceed with catalysis until it reaches the 2 nt of length (Figure 5) [7]. When we tested the recombinant protein from *Synechocystis* with the poly(A) substrate, we were able to see that, with 50 nM of protein, the final product released was a 5 nt fragment (Figure 5). However, when we used a higher protein concentration, 250 nM, the protein was now able to release a 4 nt end-product (Figure 5). We also assayed this substrate with higher protein concentrations but no more cleavage events were observed (data not shown), confirming that the final product released is 4 nt, as produced by *E. coli* RNase II. However, 50 nM of the *Synechocystis* protein were sufficient to degrade the 16 ss substrate until the 4 nt of length. Together, these results show that by leaving a 4 nt degradation fragment, the *Synechocystis* enzymes react like the RNase II of *E. coli*.

We then asked if the synRNBP degrades poly(A) better than randomized sequenced RNA. To this end, we compared the activity of the *Synechocystis* protein with poly(A) and 16 ss substrates. The results showed that the protein had similar activities for both substrates (Figure 6). This indicates that, in contrast to the *E. coli* RNase II, which prefers poly(A) substrates [15,17], the synRNBP does not have such preference. Interestingly, while in *E. coli* the polyadenylation is performed mainly by PAP (which generates homopolymeric tails), and to some extent also by PNPase, in cyanobacteria those tails are exclusively synthesized by PNPase and are heteropolymeric [1]. Therefore, the substrate preference of
Activity Assays at 37°C

30-mer Poly(A)

| Ctrl | Syn RNB 50nM | Syn RNB 250nM | RNase II 1nM | RNase R 1nM |
|------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| 0 30 | 1 10 20 30  | 1 10 20 30    | 1 10 20 30   | 1 10 20 30  |

16-mer

| Ctrl | Syn RNB 50nM | RNase II 10nM | RNase R 1nM |
|------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| 0 16 | 1 10 20 30   | 1 10 20 30    | 1 10 20 30  |

16-30ds

| Ctrl | Syn RNB 50nM | RNase II 10nM | RNase R 1nM |
|------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| 0 16 | 1 10 20 30   | 1 10 20 30    | 1 10 20 30  |
members of the RNase II family may reflect the composition of 3’ tails in their organism of origin. To further examine the preference for 3’ poly(A) tails, we performed a competitive degradation assay. In this experiment, petD3 RNA was incubated with synRNase in the presence of poly(N) oligomers. The aim was to verify if the addition of unlabelled poly(N) oligomers would compete for petD3 degradation. The results confirmed that, in fact, poly(A) is not a preferred substrate for synRNase, since the inhibition of the degradation by both poly(A) and poly(U) was similar. However, poly(G) was able to strongly inhibit the degradation activity (Figure 7). These results confirmed that synRNase does not prefer poly(A) substrates and had higher preference for poly(G), in contrast to what was shown for the E. coli counterparts [15,17]. In fact, for E. coli RNase R, poly(G) was practically inactive as substrate [28]. Also, the activity of both E. coli RNase II and RNase R is higher for poly(A), then poly(U) and finally poly(C) [28,29].

To verify that the binding affinity of the enzyme to poly(A) and other RNA is similar, we determined the dissociation constants by SPR using different single-stranded substrates. One of the substrates was constituted only by adenosines and the second with a random sequence. The results presented in Table 1 showed that the KD values obtained for synRNase II with both single-stranded substrates are similar (3.9±0.3 nM for the poly(A) and 3.3±0.5 nM for the 25 ss), and the same was observed regarding the association (k+a) and dissociation (k+d) rates. In contrast, the KD values previously obtained for E. coli RNase II and RNase R showed that these proteins have an increased affinity for poly(A) substrates when compared to a random sequence [13,15,17].

The activity results presented above were obtained at 37°C, which is the optimal activity for the E. coli enzymes. However, the Synechocystis PCC6803 cyanobacteria lives in freshwater and its optimal temperature for growth is around the 30°C. For this reason, we compared the activity of synRNase with the synthetic oligomers at 30°C and 37°C. As shown in Figure 6, the specific activity of the protein is very similar at both temperatures with all substrates tested. However, the degradation of the poly(A) substrate is different at 30°C (Figure S1). While at 37°C we needed 250 nM of protein to reach the end-product of 4 nt, at 30°C we were able to detect the 4 nt fragment with 50 nM of protein (Figure 5 and S1). Moreover, while at 37°C we can observe the presence of high amounts of the intermediary fragment of 6 nt in the degradation of the 16-mer substrate, similarly to what is observed for RNase II (Figure 5), in the same conditions but at 30°C the 6 nt fragment is no longer visible after 20 min of reaction (Figure S1). These results indicate that the synRNase may have a higher affinity for small fragments at 30°C, which can explain why it is able to reach the final product more rapidly when compared to the activity at 37°C.

We also tested the activity of the protein against the synthetic double-stranded substrate, 16-30 ds. E. coli RNase II is sensitive to secondary structures, and is not able to degrade this RNA, stalling 7 nt before it reaches the double-stranded region, releasing a 23 nt fragment (Figure 5) [30,31]. In contrast, E. coli RNase R is able to overcome the secondary structures, releasing the typical 2 nt fragments (Figure 5) [28]. When we tested the synRNase we could observe that it was not able to overcome secondary structures. It stalled 4 nt before it reached the double-stranded region, releasing a fragment of 20 nt, which is shorter when compared to the 23 nt fragment released by E. coli RNase II (Figure 5). The resolution of the crystal structure from E. coli RNase II showed us that the catalytic cavity of the protein is only accessible to single stranded RNA due to the steric hindrance at its entrance caused by the RNA-binding domains [11]. The synRNase showed to be able to move closer to the double-stranded junction when compared to the E. coli protein, since that the final product released is shorter (20 nt vs. 23 nt, respectively). This may indicate that the RNA-binding domains may have a different rearrangement in this protein. In order to address this question, we modelled synRNase and compared

Figure 5. Exoribonucleolytic activity at 37°C of Synechocystis protein: comparison with E. coli RNase II and RNase R. Activity assays were performed using the three synthetic substrates: 30-mer poly(A), the 16-mer and the double-stranded substrate 16–30 ds. The concentration of proteins used is indicated in the figure. Samples were taken during the reaction at the time points indicated. Control reactions with no enzyme added (Ctrl) were incubated at the maximum reaction time for each protein. Length of substrates and degradation products are labelled. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032690.g005

Figure 6. Determination of the activity of synRNase at two different temperatures. The activity of the protein was determined at 30°C and 37°C using three different synthetic substrates: 30-mer poly(A), 16-mer and the double-stranded 16–30 ds. All the activity assays were performed in triplicate. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032690.g006
it with *E. coli* RNase II 3D structure (Figure 8). Both proteins seemed to have a similar overall structure arrangement, with the important residues for catalysis located in the same spatial position (Figure 8D). The active site of RNase II is composed by four highly conserved aspartates and an arginine, which are important for catalysis [13,15,17]. Tyrosine is a residue responsible for setting the final product in the RNases from this family [13,15,17] (Figure 8D). In synRNB, these residues can also be found and are located in an equivalent position (Figure 8D). If we look closer to the RNA binding domains, it is possible to see that the CSD1 of synRNB (Figure 8A) is quite different from the one from *E. coli* RNase II (Figure 8B). When we superposed both structures, that difference is more noticeable (Figure 8C). Moreover, the superposition of both structures also showed that the S1 domain from SynRNB (red) lacks at least two β-sheets when compared to the one from RNase II (green) (Figure 8C). Therefore, the CSD1 from *Synechocystis* protein is more distant from the S1 domain, which could result in a wider anchoring region which in turn might allow the substrate to move nearer to the catalytic cavity, explaining why this protein is able to get closer to the double-stranded junction (Figure 8C). The activity of this protein was also determined with the 16–30 ds at both temperatures, 30°C and 37°C. Similarly to what was observed to the single-stranded substrates, the activity of the protein for the 16–30 ds is the same as observed for the other substrates and does not change with temperature (Figure 6). At 30°C, the degradation pattern of the protein remained altered, and the protein was still not able to overcome secondary structures, releasing a final product of 20 nt similarly to what was observed at 37°C (Figures 3 and S1). It is known that, at lower temperatures, the RNA molecules form more stable secondary structures. In *Synechocystis* PCC6803, low temperatures highly induce the expression of an RNA helicase, CihR [32], which may be involved in the degradation of the transcripts at these temperatures by helping to unwind the secondary structures. In a strain defective in this helicase, the PNPase levels are increased up to ∼2-fold. This would help to eliminate the transcripts with cold-induced excessive secondary structures [33]. No changes were observed for synRNB protein. Together with the results described here, these findings indicate that this protein may not be involved in the degradation of double-stranded substrates at environmental temperatures. Moreover, when we determined the dissociation constants for this substrate, the value is very similar to the ones obtained for the single-stranded substrates (Table 1). However, the protein associates and dissociates more rapidly to the double-stranded substrate when compared to the other two (Table 1, \( k_a \) and \( k_d \) values).

Considering that we were using a synthetic substrate, we also decided to test the activity of the recombinant protein using an mRNA and a tRNA as substrates (Figure 9). The results obtained with these substrates confirm the ones that we obtained with the synthetic 16–30 ds substrate (Figure 5). For the petD3 RNA, which has a stem loop structure near the 3’ end, synRNB protein was only able to cleave a few nucleotides, stalling when approached the stem loop region (Figure 9). When the substrate used was the tRNA-Glu, which is a highly structured RNA molecule, the protein was not able to cleave it (Figure 9). Together, these results showed us that the *Synechocystis* homologue behaved like an RNase II protein, since that the final product released was a 4 nt fragment for the single-stranded substrates and that the protein was shown to be sensitive to double-stranded substrates (Figures 5 and 9). However, in contrast to what happens with *E. coli* RNase family members, in *Synechocystis* RNase II does not prefer poly(A) substrates. This may be related to the fact that there is no polyadenylation by a PAP enzyme and the tails are synthesized by PNPase and are heteropolymeric [1]. This protein is the only member of this family that is described in an organism, it was shown to behave like RNase R. This was the case of *Mycoplasma genitalium* [34], *Legionella pneumophila* [19], and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* [35]. *Synechocystis* sp is, the first organism where such observation was now shown not to be the case. In order to clarify why *Synechocystis* evolved to have an RNase II and not an RNase R, we decided to perform a phylogenetic analysis.

To analyze the phylogenetic relationship between cyanobacteria RNase II/R homologues and defined proteobacteria RNase II and RNase R enzymes, we first created a multiple sequence alignment with MUSCLE [36]. Amino acid identities between cyanobacteria RNase II/R homologues and RNase II or RNase R enzymes are restricted to the central RNB catalytic domain of these proteins. The unrooted phylogenetic tree prepared with the 57 RNase II/R homologues reveals the existence of three clusters with different evolutionary lineages, consisting of the cyanobacteria RNase II/R homologous and the proteobacteria RNase II and RNase R groups (Figure 10). Interestingly, the cyanobacterial RNase II/R group is subdivided into three subclusters indicating considerable diversity between the species. It may represent an ancestral condition for the phylum with a subsequent convergence into two

---

**Table 1.** Kinetic parameters of RNase II-like protein from *Synechocystis* sp.

| Substrate Type | \( k_a \) (1/ Ms) | \( k_d \) (1/ s) | \( K_o \) (nM) |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| PolyA ss      | 3.0 ± 0.4 E03   | 1.9 ± 0.1 E-05 | 3.9 ± 0.3   |
| 25 ss         | 3.7 ± 0.4 E03   | 1.1 ± 0.1 E-05 | 3.3 ± 0.5   |
| 16–25 ds      | 2.4 ± 0.2 E05   | 1.4 ± 0.2 E-04 | 2.1 ± 0.1   |

The kinetic constants were determined by Surface Plasmon Resonance using Biacore 2000 with a 25-nt RNA oligomer (‘S-Biotin-CCCAGACACCAACCUAA-AAAA-3’) and 30 nts PolyA as substrates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032690.t001

---

Figure 7. Competitive inhibition assays of synRNB by poly(A), poly(U) and poly(G) substrates. 25 nM of protein was incubated with 22 ng/μl of radiolabeled petD3 RNA. Unlabelled competitors were added in a 7-fold molar excess over the labelled substrate. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032690.g007
specialized family of exoribonucleases, namely RNase II and RNase R (Figure 10). Moreover, the phylogenetic analysis revealed that the RNase II and RNase R members are approximately equally distant from the RNase II/R homologue present in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 (Figure 10). In this work, we proved that biochemically the RNase from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 behaves as an RNase II and not like RNase R, although it is equally distant from both. It is possible that the ancestor would have both homologues and one of the enzymes was lost during evolution (some organisms maintained only an RNase R-like member, while for others, like *Synechocystis*, it was more propitious and favourable to maintain the RNase II-like protein). Other hypothesis was the presence of a unique enzyme, which evolved according to the environmental conditions.

**Materials and Methods**

Overexpression and purification of recombinant RNase II from *Synechocystis* sp.

The plasmid used for expression of *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803 histidine-tagged RNase II protein was pQE31synRNB. The *rib
gene of *Synechocystis* sp. was amplified by PCR from genomic DNA using the primers synrnb1 (5′-GGCGAATTCAATGGAAAAAG-GACAACTAAT-3′) and synrnb2 (5′-GGCAGATCTAGGCYY-CATTGGCCAAACA-3′). This fragment was then digested and ligated into a pQE31 expression vector using PstI and SphI restriction sites. This allows the expression of the (His)_6-tagged Syn RNase II fusion protein.

The plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* M15 (REP4) strain to allow the expression of the recombinant protein. Cells were grown at 37°C in 100 mL LB medium supplemented with 150 μg/ml ampicillin to an OD600 of 0.5 and induced by addition of 0.5 mM IPTG for 2 h. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation and stored at −80°C. *E. coli* RNase II and RNase R overexpression were performed as described previously [16,26,27].

Purification was performed by histidine affinity chromatography using HiTrap Chelating HP columns (GE Healthcare) and AKTA FPLC system (GE Healthcare) following the protocol described previously [16,27]. The fractions containing the purified *Synechocystis* protein were pooled and loaded to an anion exchange monoQ column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in buffer B composed of 20 mM Tris pH 8, 60 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂ and 0.2 mM EDTA. Protein elution was achieved by a continuous KCl gradient (from 60 mM to 1 M) in buffer B. Protein concentration was determined by spectrophotometry by using the ND100 Spectrophotometer from Nanodrop and 50% (v/v) glycerol was added to the final fractions prior storage at −20°C.

0.5 μg of the purified protein was applied to 8% SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie blue staining (data not shown).

**Exoribonucleolytic activity assays**

The exoribonucleolytic activity was determined using different synthetic substrates: a poly(A) oligomer of 30 nts, a 16-mer oligoribonucleotide (5′-CCCGACACCAACCACU-3′), and a 30-mer oligoribonucleotide (5′-CCCGACACCAACCACUAUUAAA-AAAAAAA-3′). The 30-mer was hybridized to the complementary unlabelled 16-mer oligodeoxiribonucleotide (5′-AGTG-
GTGGTGTTCGGG-3'), in order to obtain the double-stranded substrate 16–30 ds. The hybridization was performed in a 1:1 (mol:mol) ratio by 5 min incubation at 100°C followed by 45 min at 37°C. These RNA molecules were labelled at 5'-end with [γ-32P]ATP and T4 polynucleotide kinase. The RNA oligomers were then purified using Microcon YM-3 Centrifugal Filter Devices (Millipore) to remove the unincorporated nucleotides. In vitro transcribed substrates were also used for the degradation assays. petD3 and tRNA-Glu were transcribed from pBlueScript KS-psbA3 [37] or from a PCR product of the coding sequence of tRNA-glu [25] using T7 RNA polymerase RIBOMAX kit from Promega (following the instructions given by manufacturers) in a 20 μl volume, containing 20 μCi of [γ-32P] UTP. Radioactively labelled RNA transcripts were purified on a 6% PAA/7M urea gel as previously described [38].

The exoribonucleolytic reactions were carried out in a final volume of 12.5 μl containing 5 nM of substrate, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH tested from 6.5 to 9), KCl or NaCl (from 10 to 200 mM), MgCl2 (from 1 to 10 mM), and 1 mM DTT. The amount of each enzyme added to the reaction was adjusted to obtain linear conditions and is indicated in the figures and respective legends. Reactions were started by the addition of the enzyme and incubated at 30°C or 37°C. Samples were withdrawn at the time points indicated and the reaction was stopped by adding formamide-containing dye supplement with 10 mM EDTA. Reaction products were resolved in a 20% polyacrylamide/7 M urea, or TLC chromatography [25] and detected by using the Fuji Phosphorimagerr Analyzer TLA-5100 from GE Healthcare. The exoribonucleolytic activity of the enzyme was determined by measuring and quantifying the disappearance of the substrate in several distinct experiments. In the quantifications, the protein concentration was adjusted and less than 25% of substrate was degraded. Each value obtained represents the mean for these independent assays.

Surface plasmon resonance analysis - BIACORE

Biacore SA chips were obtained from Biacore Inc. (GE Healthcare). The Flow cells of the SA streptavidin sensor chip were coated with a low concentration of the following substrates. On flow cell 1, no substrate was added so this cell could be used as the control blank cell. On flow cell 2, a 5' biotinylated 25-nucleotide RNA oligomer (5'-CCCCGACACACCAACACUAAUAA-3') was added to allow the study of the protein interaction with a single-stranded RNA molecule. On flow cell 3, a 5' biotinylated 30-mer PolyA substrate. On flow cell 4, the biotinylated 25-mer hybridized with the complementary 16-mer oligodeoxribonucleotide (5'-AGTGGTGGTGGTGCAGGG-3') was immobilized, originating the double-stranded substrate 16–25 ds. The target substrates were captured on flow cells 2 to 4 by manually injecting 20 μl of a 500 nM solution of the substrates in the reaction buffer at a 20 μl/min flow rate. The biosensor assay was run at 4 °C in the buffer with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH7.5, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT and 25 mM EDTA. The proteins were injected over flow cells 1, 2, 3 and 4 for 2.5 min at concentrations of 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 nM using a flow rate of 20 μl/min. All experiments included triple injections of each protein concentration to determine the reproducibility of the signal and control injections to assess the stability of the RNA surface during the experiment. Bound protein was removed with a 30 s wash with 2 M NaCl. Data from flow cell 1 were used to correct for refractive index changes and non-specific binding. Rate and equilibrium constants were calculated using the BIA EVALUATION 3.0 software package, according to the fitting model 1:1 Languimir Binding.

Phylogenetic analysis

Based on sequence similarity to the Escherichia coli RNase II (Accession number NP_413802.1), we have identified 47 non-redundant representative homologues among cyanobacteria. Sequence similarity searches were performed using BLASTP 2.0 against cyanobacterial genomes database of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Next, we selected from the NCBI database five representative members from RNase II and RNase R family of enzymes, respectively. A multiple sequence alignment of the 57 RNase II/R homologues was generated by MUSCLE [36] and curated with default parameters of GBlocks [39]. Subsequently, a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the default option of the “advanced mode” implemented in the Phylogeny.fr platform (http://www.phylogeny.fr/) [40]. A bootstrap analysis of 500 replicates was used to provide confidence of the constructed tree.

Protein modelling

The model structures were built using the Swiss Model web server (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/) [41–43]. 3D model for the synRNBP protein was based on the crystal structures of wild-type RNase II and the RNase II D209N mutant complexed with a 13-nucleotide poly(A) RNA (Protein Data Bank entries 2IX1 and 2IX0 [11]). Figures of the structure and models were generated with Pymol [44].

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Exoribonucleolytic activity at 30°C of Synechocystis protein: comparison with E. coli RNase II and RNase R. Activity assays were performed using the three synthetic substrates: 30-mer poly(A), the 16-mer and the double-stranded substrate 16–30 ds. The concentration of proteins used is indicated in the figure. Samples were taken during the reaction at the time points indicated. Control reactions with no enzyme added (Ctrl) were incubated at the maximum reaction time for each protein. Length of substrates and degradation products are labelled. (JPG)

Acknowledgments

We thank Andreia Aires for technical support in the lab.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RGM AMF MG GS CMA. Performed the experiments: RGM AMF MG. Analyzed the data: RGM AMF MG GS CMA. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RGM AMF MG GS CMA. Wrote the paper: RGM AMF MG GS CMA.
5. Even S, Pellegrini O, Ziz L, Labas V, Vinh J, et al. (2005) Ribonucleases J1 and J2: two novel endoribonucleases in *B. subtilis* with functional homology to *E.coli* RNase E. Nucleic Acids Res 33: 2141–2152.

6. Mathy N, Benard L, Pellegrini O, Daou R, Wen T, et al. (2007) 5′-to-3′ exoribonuclease activity in bacteria: role of RNase J1 in RNA maturation and 5′ stability of mRNA. Cell 129: 681–692.

7. Arraiano CM, Andrade JM, Domingues S, Guinote IB, Malecki M, et al. (2010) The critical role of RNA processing and degradation in the control of gene expression. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34: 803–923.

8. Dziembowski A, Lorentzen E, Conti E, Scraphin B (2007) A single subunit, Dis3, is essentially responsible for yeast exosome core activity. Nat Struct Mol Biol 14: 15–22.

9. Arraiano CM, Matos RG, Barbas A (2010) RNase II: The finer details of the Modus operandi of a molecular killer. RNA Biol 7(3): 276–281.

10. Cannistraro VJ, Kreunen D (1994) The progressive reaction mechanism of ribonuclease II. J Mol Biol 243: 930–943.

11. Frazão C, McVey CE, Amblar M, Barbas A, Vorheim C, et al. (2006) Unravelling the dynamics of RNA degradation by ribonuclease II and its RNA-bound complex. Nature 443: 110–114.

12. Amblar M, Barbas A, Fialho AM, Arraiano CM (2006) Characterization of the functional domains of Escherichia coli RNase II. J Mol Biol 360: 921–933.

13. Matos RG, Barbas A, Arraiano CM (2009) RNase R mutants elucidate the catalysis of structured RNA. RNA-binding domains select the RNAs targeted for degradation. Biochem J 423: 291–301.

14. Jain IS (1997) Comparative sequence analysis of ribonucleases HI, III, II PH and D. Nucleic Acids Res 25: 3167–3195.

15. Barbas A, Matos RG, Amblar M, Lopez-Vitas E, Gomez-Puertas P, et al. (2008) New insights into the mechanism of RNA degradation by ribonuclease II: identification of the residue responsible for setting the RNase II end product. J Biol Chem 283: 13070–13076.

16. Amblar M, Arraiano CM (2005) A single mutation in *Escherichia coli* ribonuclease II inactivates the enzyme without affecting RNA binding. FEBS J 272: 363–374.

17. Barbas A, Matos RG, Amblar M, Lopez-Vitas E, Gomez-Puertas P, et al. (2009) Determination of Key Residues for Catalysis and RNA Cleavage Specificity: one mutation turns RNase II into a “super-enzyme”. J Biol Chem 284: 20486–20498.

18. Awano N, Rajagopal V, Arbing M, Patel S, Hunt J, et al. (2010) Exoribonuclease R in *Mycoplasma genitalium* can carry out both RNA processing and degradative functions and is sensitive to RNA ribose methylation. RNA 13: 1957–1968.

19. Domingues S, Matos RG, Reis FP, Fialho AM, Barbas A, et al. (2009) Characterization of the RNase II family of exoribonucleases from the human pathogens *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Biochemistry 48: 11048–11057.

20. Rowland GD, Simon NJ, Prakash JS, Slabas AR (2011) Proteomics Reveals a Role for the RNA Helicase chpR in the Modulation of Multiple Metabolic Pathways during Cold Acclimation of *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803. J Proteome Res 10(5): 3674–3689.

21. Lalonde MS, Zuo Y, Zhang J, Gong X, Wu S, et al. (2007) Exoribonuclease R in *Myxobacteria* can carry out both RNA processing and degradative functions and is sensitive to RNA ribose methylation. RNA 13: 1957–1968.

22. Castresana J (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for phylogenetic analysis. Mol Biol Ecol 17: 540–552.

23. Lalonde MS, Reis FP, Fialho AM, Barbas A, et al. (2009) 100RNP/polynucleotide phosphorylase displays high binding affinity for poly(A) sequences. J Biol Chem 282: 17648–17655.

24. Conrad C, Rauhut R, Klug G (1998) Different cleavage specificities of RNases H and polynucleotide phosphorylase against RNAs containing stem-loops of defined structure. J Biol Chem 272: 17648–17655.

25. Portnoy V, Schuster G (2006) RNA polyadenylation and degradation in different Archaea: roles of the exosome and RNase R. Nucleic Acids Res 34: 5923–5931.

26. Amblar M, Barbas A, Gomez-Puertas P, Arraiano CM (2007) The role of the S1 domain in exoribonucleolytic activity: substrate specificity and multimerization. RNA 13: 317–327.

27. Cannistraro VJ, Kreunen D (1999) The reaction mechanism of ribonuclease II and its interaction with nucleic acid secondary structures. Biochim Biophys Acta 1435: 170–187.

28. Spicicker C, Mackie GA (2000) Action of RNase II and polynucleotide phosphorylase against RNAs containing stem-loops of defined structure. J Bacteriol 182: 2422–2427.

29. Suzuki I, Kanesaki Y, Mikami K, Kanehisa M, Murata N (2001) Cold-regulated 5′-carbon-specific mRNA degradation. Biochem J 363: 5923–5931.

30. Portnoy V, Schuster G (2006) RNA polyadenylation and degradation in different Archaea: roles of the exosome and RNase R. Nucleic Acids Res 34: 5923–5931.

31. Spicicker C, Mackie GA (2000) Action of RNase II and polynucleotide phosphorylase against RNAs containing stem-loops of defined structure. J Bacteriol 182: 2422–2427.

32. Suzuki I, Kanesaki Y, Mikami K, Kanehisa M, Murata N (2001) Cold-regulated 5′-carbon-specific mRNA degradation. Biochem J 363: 5923–5931.