What is the taxonomic status of East Asian otter species based on molecular evidence?: focus on the position of the Japanese otter holotype specimen from museum
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ABSTRACT
The Japanese otter (Lutra nippon), once inhabited in most islands of Japan, is now considered as an extinct species. Although the Japanese otter is regarded as a distinct species from the Eurasian otter (L. lutra), its phylogeny and taxonomic status are based on limited information on morphological and genetic data, and thus further clarification is required. Here, we assessed the phylogenetic relationship among the genus Lutra and taxonomic status of L. nippon by using the complete sequences of cytochrome b gene of its holotype. The present phylogenetic trees supported that the genus Lutra specimens largely formed monophyletic group, with L. sumatrana as a basal to other Lutra species. Within Lutra species, L. nippon was distantly related with L. lutra. The European otter population of L. l. lutra were clustered together with its subspecies, L. l. chinensis rather than the same subspecies, Korean otter population. The discrepancy between the genetic data and traditional taxonomy justifies the necessity of reexamination of the current subspecific classification system of Eurasian otters. Level of genetic divergence between the holotype of L. nippon and L. lutra was two to three-fold lower than those among the other sister species of the Lutrinae. Based on the level of divergence between the L. nippon and L. lutra, and insufficient evidence of morphological difference between them, it is suggested that designation of Japanese otter as a separate species from L. lutra will be reconsidered.
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Introduction
The Japanese otter (Lutra nippon) once inhabited most of the main Japanese islands except Hokkaido (Sasaki 1995). However, due to a rapid decline in its population, it has not been sighted since the 1980s and was officially declared extinct by the Japanese government on 29 August 2012 (Kyodo News). Since the 1980s, there has been increased attention to this species (Yamamoto and Ando 2011) and it has become the subject of several morphological and genetic studies. These studies largely concluded that L. nippon is a distinct species separate from the Eurasian otter Lutra lutra (Imaizumi and Yoshiyuki 1989; Suzuki et al. 1996; Endo et al. 2000). However, the taxonomic status of L. nippon still remains uncertain because the conclusion is derived from limited morphological and genetic data.

According to Wozencraft (2005), the genus Lutra includes three species: the Eurasian otter, L. lutra; the hairy-nosed otter, L. sumatrana; and the Japanese otter, L. nippon. L. sumatrana and L. nippon have limited distributions in southeast Asia and the Japanese islands, respectively. On the contrary, L. lutra is widely distributed across the Eurasian continent including south of the tundra line and in north Africa, and is divided into seven subspecies (Pocock 1941; Roos et al. 2015): L. l. lutra is distributed in Eurasia from England to the Korean peninsula, excluding India, southeast Asia, and southern China; L. l. chinensis inhabits the southern part of China and Kimmen Island of Taiwan; and the
remaining five subspecies (L. l. barang, L. l. nair, L. l. monticola, L. l. kutab, and L. l. aurobrunnea) are distributed in the southern part of Asia. L. nippon was traditionally identified as a subspecies of L. lutra, L. l. whiteleyi, which is synonymous with L. l. lutra, based on morphological features of its skin and skull (Gray 1867; Imaizumi 1949). Conversely, Imaizumi and Yoshiyuki (1989) suggested that L. nippon was a distinct species, based on skulls from the Shikoku, Honshu, and Hokkaido areas, which were morphologically distinct from the skulls of L. lutra, including L. l. whiteleyi. Likewise, Suzuki et al. (1996) found L. nippon to be a distinct species from L. lutra based on the genetic difference of 3.6% in the partial sequences of its cytochrome b gene (224 bp). The length of analyzed sequence in their study was, however, too short to define a species. Furthermore, the sample size in their study was not sufficient to compare inter- and intraspecific variation among the genus Lutra. Therefore, Roos et al. (2015) concluded that the taxonomic position of L. nippon remains uncertain requiring further studies and cannot view it as a separate species from L. lutra. Regarding morphological differences, Lau et al. (2016) identified that L. lutra from South Korea is sexually dimorphic. Therefore, sexual dimorphism in L. nippon is a possible confounding effect and thus interpretation should be cautioned when using morphology to evaluate their taxonomy. Waku et al. (2016) analyzed the phylogenetic relationship among Lutra spp., including L. nippon, using mitochondrial genome sequences (14,740 bp) and consequently divided the Japanese populations into two lineages: L. lutra and another Lutra species or subspecies. Additionally, Waku et al. (2016) identified two lineages of Eurasian otter (L. lutra) in East Asia; one lineage comprising of Chinese otter (L. l. chinensis) and another comprising of Eurasian otter (L. l. lutra) from South Korea and Sakhalin, Russia. However, limited sampling of the Eurasian otter range in East Asia led to phylogenetic relationships among East Asian otter populations an uncertain state. Koh et al. (2004) concluded that partial mitochondrial DNA sequence of Korean otter was distinct from those of European otters, but authors provided limited information on relationships of Eurasian otter populations. Therefore, the phylogenetic relationship of Eurasian otters at species and subspecies level in East Asia still remains unclear and the taxonomic status of L. nippon remains controversial.

Genetic markers based on mitochondrial DNA, such as the cytochrome b gene, hypervariable portion of the control region (D-loop), and cytochrome c oxidase I, have been used for phylogenetic and population genetic analysis for most mammalian taxa. Specifically, the cytochrome b gene sequences have been used to investigate relationships among mammalian taxa at a family-subspecific level (Ledje and Arnason 1999; Johns and Avise 1998; Koepfl and Wayne 1998; Bradley and Baker 2001; Kurose et al. 2008; Koepfl, Deere, et al. 2008; Koepfl, Kanchanasaka, et al. 2008). Hence, the objective of this study is to determine the molecular phylogeny of L. nippon using the cytochrome b gene and to clarify its taxonomic status. Only few Japanese otter specimens have a reliable information on locality, and therefore we focused on the relationship among the holotypes of L. nippon (Imaizumi and Yoshiyuki 1989), L. lutra, and L. sumatrana. We also investigated the phylogenetic relationship of Eurasian otters at subspecific level.

### Materials and methods

Samples examined in this study and sequence data from GenBank are summarized in Table 1. The holotype of L. nippon (Imaizumi and Yoshiyuki 1989) was employed.

### Table 1. Sample and DNA sequence information used in this study.

| Species Scientific name | Common name | ID | Locality | GenBank sequence ID |
|------------------------|-------------|----|----------|-------------------|
| Lutra nippon            | Japanese otter | JP1 | Nenocubi seaside, Kochi, Japan | LC006975 |
| L. l. lutra             | Eurasian otter of South Korea | KO1 | Hatagun, Kochi, Japan | aKU953401 |
| L. l. lutra             | Eurasian otter of South Korea | KO2 | Busan, South Korea | KU953402 |
| L. l. chinensis         | Eurasian otter of Europe | KO3 | Gangeung, South Korea | KU953403 |
| L. l. spp               | Hairy-nosed otter | KO4 | Yeosu, South Korea | KU953404 |
| L. sumatrana            | Hairy-nosed otter | KO5 | South Korea | bKJ236015 |
| Aonyx capensis          | African clawless otter | KO6 | South Korea | eEF627696 |
| Aonyx cinereus          | Oriental small-clawed otter | EU1 | Norway | eAF057124 |
| Lutrogale perspicilata  | Smooth-coated otter | EU2 | Portugal | eAF0589067 |
| Lontra felina           | Marine otter | CH1 | China | eLC049952 |
| Lontra longicaudis      | Neotropical otter | CH2 | China | eLC049378 |
| Lontra canadensis       | North American river otter | CH3 | China | eLC049377 |
| Taxidea taxus           | American badger | KO6 | Vietnam | eEF722347 |

a: Waku et al. (2016).
b: Jang et al. (2009).
c: Ki et al. (2010).
d: Koepfl and Wayne (1998).
e: Fernandes et al. (2008).
f: Koepfl, Kanchanasaka, et al. (2008).
in this study. This holotype was collected in 1972 from the
Nenokubi seaside of Kochi Prefecture in Japan
where its skeleton and mounted skin are preserved in
the National Museum of Nature and Science, Tokyo,
Japan.

Six *L. l. lutra* specimens from the Korean peninsula
were also examined to assess the possible sequence
variation among *L. l. lutra* individuals. Korean otter
specimens were collected from several areas in South
Korea and they were obtained from a variety of
sources, including individuals that were road killed,
captured in a fishing net or illegal trap, or that had been
rescued as cubs but subsequently died. These tissue
samples had been preserved in the Conservation
Genome Resource Bank for Korean Wildlife (CGRB) and
Association of Korean Otter Conservation (AKOC) with
proper permits from the Cultural Heritage Adminis-
tration (CHA) of South Korea government because
Korean otter is designated as a natural monument
species by the CHA. The sequence data of complete
cytochrome *b* genes of *L. l. lutra* from Europe and
South Korea, *L. l. chinensis*, *L. nippon*, *L. sumatrana*,
*Aonyx capensis*, *A. cinereus*, *Lontra felina*, *Lontra longicau-
dis*, *Lontra canadensis* (all subfamily Lutrinae), and
*Taxidea taxus* (family Mustelidae) were cited from
GenBank as reference data.

Total DNA from the holotype of *L. nippon* was
extracted from dried costal cartilage that had been
preserved in the National Museum of Nature and Science,
Tokyo. The cartilage was washed in 99% ethanol after
treatment with a TE buffer. The cartilage pieces were
cut into 0.5–1.0 cm and then decalcified with EDTA (pH
8.0) at room temperature for 5 days. DNA was extracted
using an Ultra Clean™ Tissue DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO
Laboratories Inc.) following the manufacturer’s protocol.
All procedure of DNA extraction from the holotype speci-
men was performed in the clean bench for preventing
contamination.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the
cytochrome *b* gene of the holotype of *L. nippon* was
performed in a 10-µL reaction volume containing the follow-
ing reagents: 10× Ex Taq Buffer (Takara Bio Inc.), 0.5 mM
of each dNTP mix (Takara Bio Inc.), 2 µM forward and
reverse primers (Supplement A, B), 0.5 U Ex Taq (Takara
Bio Inc.), and 1.0 µL template DNA. Amplification was
conducted for a total of 46 cycles using the 14 primers
designed in the present study (Supplement A, B). The
conditions for the initial 10 cycles were as follows: 94°C
for 30 s, 45°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 s; and the con-
ditions for the remaining 36 cycles were: 94°C for 30 s,
55°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 s. Each of the partial cyto-
chrome *b* sequences of amplicons that were amplified by
a combination of 14 primers was analyzed using an IBM
3130 sequencer analyzer (Applied Biosystems™), and
those sequences were aligned and assembled using
Geneious Pro v5.3 to obtain the complete cytochrome
*b* sequence (1140 bp) from the holotype of *Lutra nippon*.

Genomic DNA of *L. l. lutra* from Korea was extracted
from the tissue using a DNA extraction kit (Blood &
Tissue Kit, QiagenTM) according to the manufacturer’s
manual. PCR amplification of the complete cytochrome
*b* gene from the Korean population of *L. l. lutra* was
conducted for a total of 46 cycles using the 14 primers
condition was 94°C for 4 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 40°C for 60 s,
72°C for 90 s, and a final cycle of 72°C for 5 min. Each
30-µL reaction volume contained 10× PCR buffer
(iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc.), 0.2 mM of each dNTP mix
(iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc.), 0.5 µM forward (L14724:
CGA AGC TTG ATA TGA AAA ACC ATC GTT G) and
reverse (H15915: AAC TGC AGT CAT CTC CGG TTT ACA
AGA C) primers (Collura et al. 1996), 1U i-StarTaq
(iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc.), and 1.5 µL template DNA
(30 ng). Six complete cytochrome *b* sequences
(1140 bp) were analyzed using an ABI3730 XL sequencer
analyzer (Applied Biosystems™). Sequences were
aligned using Geneious Pro v5.3 (Kearse et al. 2012).

The pairwise genetic distance among those
sequences was calculated by using PAUP4.0 based on
Kimura 2 Parameter (Kimura 1980). Jmodeltest2.1.8 was
used to find the best-fit substitution model of sequence
evolution for constructing phylogenetic trees (Posada
2008). A maximum likelihood (ML) tree was recon-
structed using PAUP4.0 (Swofford 2001), with an appli-
cation of 1000 pseudoreplicates of this ML tree to
obtain bootstrap support values. The Bayesian inference
(BI) tree was obtained using MrBayes 3.2.3 (Ronquist et al.
2012). BI employed four simultaneous Monte Carlo
Markov chains (one cold and three heated) with
1,000,000 generations and sampled every 500 gener-
ations. The first 25% of the data points were discarded
as burn-in. The consensus trees from both ML and BI
were illustrated using FigTree v 1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.
.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

Results and discussion

The phylogenetic relationship among Lutrinae species was
constructed using the ML and Bayesian methods under
GTR + G substitution model, which was selected from
Jmodeltest 2.1.8, based on their Akaike information cri-
terion (AIC = 8952.42) value (Figure 1). The phylogenic
tree supported that the genus *Lutra* specimens largely
formed a monophyletic group, with *L. sumatrana* as a
basal to other *Lutra* species (Figure 1, node 1). In
the Chinese otter group, CH2 and CH3 were clustered with
*L. l. chinensis* (CH1), so they were presumed to be the
same subspecies, *L. l. chinensis*. Within *Lutra* species, the European otter population of *L. l. lutra* were clustered together with its subspecies, *L. l. chinensis* (or *L. l. spp*) from China rather than the same subspecies, Korean otter population (Figure 1, node 2). The results of the molecular phylogenetic analysis in this study are not in agreement with the traditional subspecific taxonomic system of *L. lutra*, in which Korean otters and European otters are classified as the same subspecies (*L. l. lutra*), and otters in Southern part of China are regarded as a distinguished subspecies, *L. l. chinensis*. However, the results of this study identified haplotypes of Korean otter population as a monophyletic group distinct from the European and Chinese otter populations (Figure 1, node 2) implying that Korean otters had been branched out earlier than the divergence between *L. l. chinensis* and European otters of *L. l. lutra*. The discrepancy between the genetic data and traditional taxonomy justifies the necessity of reexamination of the current subspecific classification system of Eurasian otters.

It is notable that a clade clustering the *L. nippon* and *L. lutra* specimens was strongly supported by both phylogenetic trees, with a bootstrap value of 100% in ML trees and a posterior probability of 100% in BI tree (Figure 1, node 3). The phylogenetic relationship showed that the holotype of *L. nippon* (JP1) and another Japanese otter (JP2) identified by Waku et al. (2016) were a member of the genus *Lutra* (Figure 1, node 1, 4). Furthermore, the holotype of *L. nippon* formed a monophyletic group with *L. lutra* although it was reported that they were isolated from two other *Lutra* species, *L. lutra* and *L. sumatrana* (Imaizumi and Yoshiyuki 1989; Suzuki et al. 1996). The phylogenetic relationship shown in this study was consistent with that of Waku et al. (2016), who used part of the 14,740 bp mitochondrial genome sequences for comparison. Waku et al. (2016) identified two lineages that one belongs to *L. lutra* and the other is an old Japanese lineage, and thus they were regarded as either a new *Lutra* species or a subspecies of *L. lutra*. Since complete cytochrome b sequences of the old Japanese lineage (JP2) in Waku et al. (2016) is identical with that of the holotype of *L. nippon*, the lineage is considered to represent *L. nippon* (Figure 1, node 4). Pairwise genetic distances of the cytochrome b gene among the holotype of *L. nippon*, *L. lutra*, including *L. l. lutra* and *L. l. chinensis*, and the other seven species of Lutrinae are shown in Table 2. In spite of the small sample size, our findings based on genetic distance support the distinction of *L. nippon* from *L. lutra*. The holotype of *L. nippon* had genetic distances of 2.4–2.8% and 2.8–3.3% from *L. l. lutra* and *L. l. chinensis* (or *L. l. spp*) from China, respectively, whereas the distance between the two *Lutra* subspecies, *L. l. lutra* and *L. l. chinensis*, was only 0.8–1.4%. Korean and European populations of *L. l. lutra*, respectively located at the east and west extreme of Eurasian continent, had a genetic distance of 0.9–1.2% between them despite long geographic
distance. It is thus unlikely that *L. nippon* is part of the variation within *L. lutra*. Johns and Avise (1998) concluded that the genetic distance of the cytochrome *b* gene among mammalian sister species generally ranged from 2% to 24%. According to their index, the genetic distance between the holotype of *L. nippon* and *L. lutra* (*L. l. lutra* + *L. l. chinensis*, 2.4–3.3%) found in this study suggests that they differ at a marginal level. The genetic divergence between the holotype of *L. nippon* and *L. lutra* was two to three-fold smaller than those between the sister species in the subfamily Lutrinae (6.7–7.2% between *L. lutra* and *L. sumatrana*, 8.1–11.6% among *A. capensis*, *A. cinereus*, and *Lutrogale perspicillata* (Figure 1, node 5), and 5.8–11% among *L. felina*, *L. longicaudis*, and *L. canadensis* (Figure 1, node 6)). Therefore, it is suggested that the holotype of *L. nippon* diverged from *L. lutra* at a boundary point between a subspecies and a species of the genus *Lutra*.

Despite the geographic proximity of Korea to Japan than Europe, Korean otter populations are more closely related to those of Europe than to Japanese populations. A similar pattern has been reported in other mammalian species such as the Siberian flying squirrel (*Pteromys volans*), the Asiatic black bear (*Ursus thibetanus*), and the raccoon dog (*Nyctereutes procyonoides*) (Lee et al. 2008; Kim et al. 2011, 2013). The isolation and differentiation of *L. nippon* population are assumed owing to the geographic isolation of the Japanese islands from the Eurasian continent by the sea. Over time, vicariance such as the geographic isolation of *L. nippon* from *L. lutra* in the Eurasian continent may have led to its speciation. Indeed, *L. nippon* also exhibits a certain level of morphological divergence from *L. lutra*. Imaizumi and Yoshiyuki (1989) described *L. nippon* as being generally similar to *L. lutra* but with certain morphological differences, with, in the former species, a larger skull with a longer facial portion, a relatively small inner lobe of P4 (protocone), a longer tail, and a naked and larger rhinalium. Endo et al. (2000) also outlined some obvious differences in skull shape between *L. nippon* and the continental *L. lutra* populations using multivariate analyses. Whereas, Waku et al. (2016) identified two lineages of otters from Japan – *L. lutra* and another *Lutra* species or subspecies – the latter being considered as *L. nippon* (Imaizumi and Yoshiyuki 1989). If *L. nippon* and *L. lutra* had occurred sympatrically in Japan, the evidence of genetic difference between the holotype of *L. nippon* and *L. lutra* found in this study could be explained by the existence of reproductive barriers between them. However, there is no evidence of sympathy between *L. nippon* and *L. lutra*. Both the holotype of *L. nippon* in this study and the specimen examined by Waku et al. (2016), which was considered to be the *L. nippon*

---

**Table 2.** Pairwise genetic distance based on cytochrome *b* gene (1140 bp) sequence variance.

|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | P1 (Lutra lutra) | 0.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | P2 (L. l. lutra) | 0.02 | 0.00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | K1 (L. l. lutra) | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | K2 (L. l. lutra) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | K3 (L. l. lutra) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | K4 (L. l. lutra) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | K5 (L. l. lutra) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | K6 (L. l. lutra) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | K7 (L. l. chinensis(1)) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | K8 (L. l. chinensis(2)) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | K9 (L. l. chinensis(3)) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | | |
| 12 | K10 (L. l. chinensis(4)) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | | |
| 13 | K11 (L. l. chinensis(5)) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | | |
| 14 | K12 (L. l. chinensis(6)) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | | |
| 15 | K13 (L. l. chinensis(7)) | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| 16 | Aonyx cinereus | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | |
| 17 | Lutrogale perspicillata | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | |
| 18 | Lontra felina | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| 19 | Lontra longicaudis | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| 20 | Lontra canadensis | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
| 21 | Taxidea taxus | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | |
lineage, were obtained from the Kochi Prefecture, whereas the specimen regarded as *L. lutra* in Waku et al. (2016) was from Jogashima, Kanagawa Prefecture. Furthermore, Waku et al. (2016) stated that *L. lutra* from Jogashima may have been brought there artificially. Further clarification of the taxonomic status of *L. nippon* requires the inclusion of more specimens from the Jogashima region. Although *L. nippon* has diverged from *L. lutra* at certain genetic and morphological levels, there seems insufficient evidence yet to categorize it as a distinct species.

Based on the level of divergence between the *L. nippon* and *L. lutra*, and limited evidence of morphological difference between them, it is, therefore, suggested that designation of Japanese otter as a separate species from *L. lutra* will be reconsidered until comprehensive and robust evidence supporting independent specific status of *L. nippon* are discovered. In addition, taxonomic classification of a regionally extirpated population as a separate species without concrete scientific evidence would not be desirable because it may preclude the potential restoration or reintroduction planning or discussion of the species into the historical range in the future.
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