Bubble rise in a Hele-Shaw cell: bridging the gap between viscous and inertial regimes
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The rise of a single bubble confined between two vertical plates is investigated over a wide range of Reynolds numbers. In particular, we focus on the evolution of the bubble speed, aspect ratio and drag coefficient during the transition from the viscous to the inertial regime. For sufficiently large bubbles, a simple model based on power balance captures the transition for the bubble velocity and matches all the experimental data despite strong time variations of bubble aspect ratio at large Reynolds numbers. Surprisingly, bubbles in the viscous regime systematically exhibit an ellipse elongated along its direction of motion while bubbles in the inertia-dominated regime are always flattened perpendicularly to it.
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1. Introduction

Main characteristics such as the rise speed $v_b$ and shape for isolated bubbles in a liquid of infinite extent are now well-established (Davies & Taylor 1950; Harper 1972; Clift, Grace & Weber 1978; Maxworthy et al. 1996; Tripathi, Sahu & Govindarajan 2015). In a confined environment, the question of the transition between the viscous and the inertial regime is still open and will be tackled in this paper. Conventionally, such cases are studied by considering bubbles in a liquid contained in a Hele-Shaw cell, consisting of two plates separated by a very small distance, $h$ (Maxworthy 1986; Filella, Ern & Roig 2015; Gaillard et al. 2021) or cylindrical tubes (Danov, Lyutskanova-Zhekova & Smoukov 2021). In the case of a bubble confined in a Hele-Shaw cell, the appropriate Reynolds number that compares the inertial and viscous effects is $Re_{2h} = (\rho v_b d_2 / \eta) (h/d_2)^2$ (Batchelor 2000) where $\rho$ is the liquid density, $\eta$ the liquid dynamical viscosity and $d_2 = 2(A/\pi)^{1/2}$ the
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equivalent bubble diameter computed from $A$, the area occupied by the bubble in the plane of the plates.

As first demonstrated by Taylor & Saffman (1959) and later by Eck & Siekmann (1978), Maxworthy (1986) and Tanveer (1987), when surface tension effects are neglected, large elliptical bubbles ($d_2 \gg h$) in the viscous regime ($Re_{2h} \ll 1$) rise at the characteristic speed

$$v_M = \frac{\Delta \rho g h^2}{12 \eta},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

where $\Delta \rho = \rho - \rho_g$ with $\rho_g$ the gas density, $g$ the gravity, $a$ and $b$ respectively the bubble length in the direction (longitudinal) and perpendicular (transverse) to its movement. In the limit of an almost horizontal cell ($g$ here is the effective gravity), Eck & Siekmann (1978) and Maxworthy (1986) observed that $a \simeq b$ and $v_b \simeq v_M^*$. By including surface tension effects, Tanveer (1987) demonstrated that the analysis of Taylor & Saffman (1959) should lead to a wide variety of solutions for the bubble shape which cannot be simply determined. Much later, using the generalised Onsager’s principle (Doi 2011) along with the Park & Homsy (1984) boundary condition at an elliptical bubble perimeter, Xu et al. (2020) emphasised that a single rising bubble is either circular ($a = b$) or flattened ($a < b$) due to viscous Bretherton dissipation at the moving bubble boundary, which accounts for the energy loss in the lubrication films between the bubble and the walls. While this result is in accordance with the experimental observations of Eck & Siekmann (1978) for an inclined Hele-Shaw cell, all bubbles in Maxworthy (1986) are elongated in the longitudinal direction ($a > b$). The latter result is also seen in more recent investigations conducted by Madec et al. (2020) in a vertical Hele-Shaw cell. Therefore, the parameters which govern the bubble shape, in particular, its aspect ratio and, more generally, its intricate relation to its proper rise speed (1.1), are still not well-established.

In the inertial regime ($Re_{2h} > 1$), Roig et al. (2012) found experimentally that, in distilled water, the time-averaged bubble speed $v_b$ follows $v_b \simeq v_i = \xi \sqrt{gd_2}$ where $\xi$, a dimensionless prefactor, was later found to depend on the cell gap (Filella et al. 2015) so that

$$v_i = \alpha (3/2)^{1/6} (h/d_2)^{1/6} \sqrt{gd_2} = \alpha \sqrt{gd_3}. \hspace{1cm} (1.2)$$

Here, $d_3 = (6V/\pi)^{1/3}$ is the diameter of an equivalent spherical bubble of same volume $V$ and the empirical coefficient $\alpha = 0.71$ is now independent of $h$ (Filella et al. 2015; Pavlov et al. 2021b). While this result is analogous to isolated spherical cap bubbles in an unbounded liquid (Davies & Taylor 1950), the physical origin of the constant $\alpha = 0.71$ is still not clear. By studying air bubbles in various glycerol/water solutions and colloidal suspensions of fine silica particles in water, respectively, Hashida, Hayashi & Tomiyama (2019, 2020) proposed that the factor $\alpha$ should depend on liquid characteristics such as $\rho, \eta$ and $\gamma$ (its surface tension). Regarding the bubble shape, Roig et al. (2012) and Filella et al. (2015) observed that all bubbles are flattened with respect to the longitudinal direction ($\chi = a/b < 1$). Note that those results concern the time-averaged properties of the bubble since for high enough Reynolds numbers, the bubble wake undergoes destabilisation whereby path instabilities and shape oscillations occur during bubble rise in a Hele-Shaw cell (Kelley & Wu 1997; Roig et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2014).

In this context, we focus on the time-averaged bubble rise speed and shape in a vertical Hele-Shaw cell of different gaps for a wide variety of liquids (see table 1). First, we quantify the bubble speed transition from the viscous (1.1) to the inertial (1.2) limit by systematically varying the bubble Reynolds number $Re_{2h}$ from $10^{-4}$ to $10^2$. Then, we investigate how the bubble shape changes from an elongated ellipse ($a > b$) to a flattened...
bubble \((a < b)\). Finally, we provide a scaling law for the bubble drag coefficient in both viscous and inertial regimes.

2. Experimental set-up

We investigate the rising motion of a single bubble in a Newtonian liquid initially at rest confined between two vertical plates (Hele-Shaw cell \(L_c = 20\) cm large, \(H_c = 30\) cm high, see figure 1a). Experiments are performed using different cell gaps \((h = [2.0, 2.3, 5.2]\) mm). The recent study of Pavlov et al. (2021b) on the role of lateral confinement on bubble motion shows that there is very little effect of the width-to-length ratio in our set-up. A wide variety of liquids are considered with different viscosity, density and surface tension (table 1). The viscosity is taken from handbooks for water and ethanol while for the other solutions it is measured by a Malvern Kinexus Ultra+ rheometer at shear rates close to the experimental conditions and at room temperature (from \(20^{\circ}\)C to \(25^{\circ}\)C). The surface tension and liquid density are quantified using the pendant drop method in an Attension Theta tensiometer and an Anton Paar DMA 35 densimeter, respectively. The Morton number \(Mo = g\eta^4/(\rho\gamma^3)\), which characterises the liquid physical properties, varies over 15 orders of magnitude.

Bubbles are generated at the centre of the cell’s bottom with the help of a millimetric-sized pipe attached to a manually controlled 50 ml syringe. The cell is backlit uniformly with an LED panel while a computer-controlled camera (Basler AC-0.400, 2048 \(\times\) 2048 pixels) records, for each run, the rising motion of the bubble at 10–60 f.p.s. (depending on the bubble velocity). Note that although we are able to visualise the whole cell, the bubble motion is analysed in a region of interest far from the cell boundaries (\(\approx 4\) cm from the top and bottom, and \(8\) cm from the sides as the bubble roughly rises vertically). Images are then binarised (the threshold of binarisation induces an error of less than 1 % on the bubble’s characteristics) and standard techniques in Matlab are performed to identify the bubble contour, define the equivalent ellipse and compute the bubble speed \(v_b\) and aspect ratio \(\chi = a/b\). We remind the reader that \(a\) and \(b\) are the bubble semi-axes parallel (longitudinal) and perpendicular (transverse) to its motion, respectively. The equivalent planar bubble diameter is therefore \(d_2 = 2\sqrt{ab}\). In the following, only bubbles with \(d_2 > h\) are considered.

3. Experimental results

3.1. General observations

A chronophotograph of two rising bubbles of almost identical apparent diameter \(d_2\) is displayed in figure 1(b). The bubbles rise in water/Ucon mixtures whose viscosity differs by an order of magnitude. As expected, the bubble in the more viscous liquid rises slower. The bubble shape is clearly different: an oval-shaped bubble elongated vertically is observed in the viscous liquid (WU140) while a oblate, flattened bubble is seen in the less viscous liquid (WU17). In the former case, the bubble shape resembles very much an ellipse differing only by a small cusp at the rear (see insert). In the latter case, the bubble does not display an ideal elliptic shape.

The temporal evolution of the bubble velocity \(v_b\) and its aspect ratio \(\chi = a/b\) are given in figures 1(c) and 1(d), respectively. The vertical velocity \((v_z, \text{ black})\) is at least two orders of magnitude larger than the horizontal speed \((v_x, \text{ grey})\) for both bubbles. No zigzag motion is reported. Neither \(v_z(t)\), \(v_x(t)\) nor the aspect ratio \(\chi(t)\) vary significantly during the bubble rise. This is the case for most bubbles under study since the time scale...
| Solution   | Viscosity $\eta$ (mPas) | Density $\rho$ (kg m$^{-3}$) | Surface Tension $\gamma$ (mN m$^{-1}$) | gap $h$ (mm) | Symbol | Morton number $Mo = g \eta^4/(\rho \gamma^3)$ | Time ratio $\tau/T$ |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Water      | 0.94                    | 997                         | 72                             | 2.3         | ▲      | $2.9 \times 10^{-11}$               | 1.0                |
| Ethanol (95%) | 1.2                    | 789                         | 22                             | 2.3         | ▼      | $1.9 \times 10^{-9}$               | 0.87               |
| WG3        | 3.0                     | 1100                        | 67 ± 3                         | 2.3         | ●      | $2.1 \times 10^{-9}$               | 0.37               |
| WG13       | 13                      | 1187                        | 67 ± 3                         | 2.3         | ●      | $6.9 \times 10^{-7}$               | 0.07               |
| WG24       | 24                      | 1208                        | 67 ± 3                         | 2.3         | ●      | $4.6 \times 10^{-6}$               | 0.03               |
| WU8        | 8                       | 1011                        | 53 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $3.2 \times 10^{-7}$               | 0.10               |
| WU17       | 17                      | 1020                        | 52 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $6.4 \times 10^{-6}$               | 0.03               |
| WU42       | 42                      | 1032                        | 52 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $2.1 \times 10^{-4}$               | 0.01               |
| WU80       | 80                      | 1041                        | 52 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $3.1 \times 10^{-3}$               | 4.9 $\times 10^{-3}$ |
| WU140      | 140                     | 1048                        | 51 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $2.9 \times 10^{-2}$               | 1.4 $\times 10^{-3}$ |
| WU152      | 152                     | 1051                        | 51 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $4.8 \times 10^{-2}$               | 1.1 $\times 10^{-3}$ |
| WU210      | 210                     | 1057                        | 50 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $1.7 \times 10^{-1}$               | 5.8 $\times 10^{-4}$ |
| WU260      | 260                     | 1058                        | 49 ± 1                         | 5.2         | ●      | $3.2 \times 10^{-1}$               | 9.0 $\times 10^{-3}$ |
| WU620      | 620                     | 1066                        | 47 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $1.3 \times 10^{1}$                | 9.6 $\times 10^{-5}$ |
| WU930      | 930                     | 1074                        | 47 ± 1                         | 2.0         | ●      | $6.5 \times 10^{1}$                | 1.8 $\times 10^{-5}$ |
| WU1120     | 1120                    | 1075                        | 46 ± 1                         | 2.3         | ●      | $1.1 \times 10^{2}$                | 3.0 $\times 10^{-5}$ |
| WU2890     | 2890                    | 1085                        | 45 ± 1                         | 2.0         | ●      | $7.4 \times 10^{3}$                | 1.7 $\times 10^{-6}$ |
| WT2700     | 2700                    | 1187                        | 32 ± 1                         | 5.2         | ■      | $1.6 \times 10^{4}$                | 1.3 $\times 10^{-4}$ |

Table 1. Liquid properties and cell gap $h$. Here WU$xx$, WG$xx$ and WT$xx$ stand for water mixtures with Ucon, glucose and Triton/ZnCl, respectively, where $xx$ is the corresponding viscosity in mPas. The uncertainty is 1 kg m$^{-3}$ for density, 0.1 mm for $h$ and for viscosity 10% when $\eta < 20$ mPas and 1% otherwise; $T$ is the time required to rise up to the surface for the fastest bubble.
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\[ \tau = \frac{h^2 \rho}{4\eta} \]

required to establish a steady rising motion (Filella et al. 2015) is much smaller than the time required to rise up to the surface (the ratio between these two characteristic times is given in the last column of table 1, where \( T \) is the time required to rise up to the surface for the fastest bubble). The condition is, however, not attained in water and ethanol. Unless specified, all quantities, namely, the bubble speed, aspect ratio and diameter, provided in the following sections are time-averaged (\( \langle \cdot \rangle \) ) which corresponds to a rise of 20 cm at most. The error bars indicate the standard deviation from these average quantities and are present on every graph; they are often smaller than the size of the symbols.

In summary, for given liquid mixtures that differ only by their viscosity, these observations clearly indicate that the bubbles are either elongated or flattened as the associated Hele-Shaw Reynolds number changes from \( 7 \times 10^{-2} \) to 2. We therefore further investigate the bubble speed in WU-mixtures by properly controlling the liquid viscosity so that \( Re_{2h} \) varies while keeping the surface tension approximately constant.

### 3.2. Bubble rising speed

As proposed by Taylor & Saffman (1959) and Maxworthy (1986), for \( Re_{2h} \ll 1 \), the time-averaged bubble speed should be given by (1.1) when \( d_2 \gg h \). We compute the time-averaged normalised bubble velocity \( \bar{v}_b = \langle v_z(t)/v_M(t) \rangle \), where \( v_M(t) = v_M^* \chi(t) \) is a function of time as the bubble aspect ratio is free to evolve during bubble rise.
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Figure 2. Evolution of $\tilde{v}_b = \langle v_z(t) / (v_M^2(t)) \rangle$ with $d_2/h$ ($h = 2.0$ or $2.3$ mm, see table 1) for WU-mixtures with different liquid viscosity (see panel (b) and table 1). The dark line on the images corresponds to $1$ cm. Images correspond to four typical bubbles of almost the same size along with their respective speed and Reynolds number.

(see figure 1c). This quantity is displayed in figure 2 as a function of the time-averaged normalised bubble diameter, $d_2/h$.

For viscous water/UCON mixtures ($\eta \gtrsim 100$ mPa.s), the normalised bubble speed $\tilde{v}_b$ increases monotonically from zero and plateaus at approximately unity at $d_2/h > 8$. For less viscous liquids, the trend is similar but the normalised bubble speed is smaller for comparable $d_2/h$. In addition, the plateau value of $\tilde{v}_b$ is smaller than unity. Also in figure 2, typical Reynolds numbers $Re_{2h}$ are given. A very good agreement between the experimental data and the theoretical viscous bubble speed (1.1) is observed for large bubbles at $Re_{2h} \ll 1$ without any adjustable parameter. Equation (1.1) even provides a reasonable estimation when $Re_{2h} \simeq 1$. Nonetheless, as $Re_{2h}$ increases, inertia becomes important and the bubble speed deviates from the viscous limit, $v_M$. This is due to secondary flows around the bubble as $Re = (d_2/h)^2Re_{2h} = \rho v_b d_2/\eta$ becomes large (Bush & Eames 1998; Pavlov et al. 2021a).

Typical bubble shapes at different Reynolds numbers $Re_{2h}$ are displayed for a fixed normalised diameter $d_2/h \simeq 10$. Note that bubbles B and C correspond to the examples shown in figure 1. As the liquid viscosity is decreased while keeping the surface tension unchanged, the bubble shape continuously evolves from a longitudinally elongated quasi-elliptic contour to a flattened oblate bubble. This indicates a decrease in the bubble aspect ratio as $Re_{2h}$ increases.

These results strongly suggest that inertia modifies not only the maximum bubble speed but also the bubble aspect ratio. An appropriate model to improve the theoretical result of Taylor & Saffman (1959) (1.1) should therefore include inertial effects. For large bubbles at $Re_{2h} \ll 1$ at dynamic equilibrium, Maxworthy (1986) derived this expression by balancing the injected power $P_b = \Delta P (\pi abh) v_b$ with the viscous dissipation rate $\Phi_v = 12\eta v_b^2 \pi b^2 / h$ due to the viscous flow generated by the rising bubble. Building upon this analysis, we propose that in addition to the viscous dissipation, the injected power $P_b$ should also contribute to overcome inertial effects. The latter, in general, are proportional to the kinetic energy of an equivalent liquid volume that is set in motion by the bubble, $\rho v_b^2 (\pi abh) / 2$, and the characteristic time scale during which the bubble volume exchanges energy with the liquid, i.e., $d_3/v_b$ where $d_3$ is the volume-based diameter as in (1.2). Thereby, the
modified power balance leads to

\[ \rho g (\pi a bh) v_b = \frac{12 \pi \eta v_b^2 b^2}{h} + \frac{1}{2} \rho v_b^2 (\pi a bh) \left( \frac{v_b}{d_3^2} \right), \]  

(3.1)

where \( \beta \) is an arbitrary constant. Note that the ratio between the two terms on the right-hand side is \((\beta/24) \chi Re_{3h}\), where \( Re_{3h} = (\rho v_b d_3/\eta) (h/d_3)^2 = Re_{2h} (d_2/d_3) \) is a volume-based Hele-Shaw Reynolds number. For \( Re_{3h} \ll 1 \), the last term is negligible so (3.1) gives \( v_b = v_M \) whereas, for \( Re_{3h} \gg 1 \), the viscous dissipation can be ignored with respect to inertia-added power, which leads to \( v_b = \sqrt{2/\beta \sqrt{gd_3}} \), regardless of the aspect ratio. Furthermore, rewriting (3.1),

\[ v_b = \frac{2v_M}{1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\beta \left( \frac{v_M}{\sqrt{gd_3}} \right)^2}}, \]  

(3.2)

where the ratio \( v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} \) is the parameter that distinguishes the viscous and inertial regimes for bubbles in a Hele-Shaw cell. In (3.2), the only parameter depending on the aspect ratio is \( v_M = v_M^* \chi \). In figure 3, this new expression is now compared with experimental data for all liquids given in table 1 and also for data from Filella et al. (2015) and Pavlov et al. (2021b) for a different gap \((h = 3.1 \text{ mm})\).

The dashed line is the prediction from (3.2) with \( \beta = 3.9 \pm 0.1 \) (best fit on large bubbles). When \( v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} \gg 1 \) and \( \beta = 3.9 \), (3.2) leads to \( v_b \approx 0.72 \sqrt{gd_3} \), which corresponds within the error bars to the inertial limit obtained by Filella et al. (2015) (1.2). All data for the large bubbles \((d_2 > 4h)\) are in very good agreement with (3.2). Smaller bubbles fall below the dashed curve as long as \( v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} < 10 \), indicating that (3.2) provides probably an upper boundary for the bubble speed at \( Re_{3h} \ll 1 \). This is probably due to the fact that the viscous dissipation for small bubbles is overestimated.

Figure 3. Experimental velocity ratio \( v_b/\sqrt{gd_3} \) as a function of \( v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} \). Small (large) symbols indicate bubbles with \( d_2/h < 4 \) \((d_2/h > 4)\). Colours are those defined in table 1. Data with dark and white edges correspond to \( h = 2.3 \text{ mm} \) and \( h = 5.2 \text{ mm} \), respectively. Stars at high \( v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} \) are data from Filella et al. (2015) \((\ast, \text{ green})\) and Pavlov et al. (2021b) \((\ast, \text{ orange})\). Insert: zoom on the transition. The dashed line is the prediction from (3.2) with \( \beta = 3.9 \pm 0.1 \).
Figure 4. Bubble aspect ratio $\chi$ as a function of $Re_{3h}$. Small (large) symbols indicate bubbles with $d_2/h < 4$ ($d_2/h > 4$). Data with dark and white edges correspond to $h = 2.3$ mm and $h = 5.2$ mm, respectively. The grey area corresponds to $\chi < 1$. The solid vertical grey line indicates $Re_{3h} = 1$. Stars are data from Filella et al. (2015) ($\star$, green) and Pavlov et al. (2021b) ($\star$, orange). Inset: $\chi$ as a function of $d_2/h$. The dashed line is a guideline. The solid black segment with $-1/4$ indicates the slope of the dashed line.

in (3.1). Note that the expression for the bubble volume $V = Ah$ is not exact since, especially for small bubbles, the rounding of the edges gives a lower volume (for a bubble with $d_2 = 2h$, this gives an error of 5% on $\sqrt{d_2}$). Also, $A = ab$ is not exact as bubbles are not perfectly elliptical but this estimation is really satisfactory (less than 2% of error in the worst case).

In conclusion, the rise speed of large bubbles ($d_2 \gg h$) in a Hele-Shaw cell is uniquely determined by the ratio $v_M/\sqrt{gd_3}$ for all Morton numbers in our study. This is all the more surprising that bubbles at very large Reynolds numbers exhibit shape and path oscillations, as already observed in previous works (Filella et al. 2015; Pavlov et al. 2021b). Finally, these results suggest that the dissipation in the film between the bubble and the walls does not significantly influence the bubble speed when $d_2 \gg h$. This is consistent with previous works (Keiser et al. 2018; Toupoint, Joubaud & Sutherland 2021) since in our case the gas/liquid viscosity ratio is very small.

3.3. Bubble aspect ratio

Figure 4 displays the time-averaged bubble aspect ratio $\chi = a/b$ as a function of $Re_{3h}$. All bubbles in the viscous regime ($Re_{3h} < 1$) are elongated in the direction of the bubble motion ($\chi \geq 1$). In contrast, bubbles in the inertial regime are flattened ($\chi < 1$).

First, for Reynolds numbers $Re_{3h}$ above unity, the aspect ratio of large bubbles seems to decrease as $Re_{3h}^{-1/4}$. As the Reynolds number is further increased ($Re_{3h} \gtrsim 100$), significant variations are reported, in particular for bubbles in ethanol (◀, violet) and water (▶, red). Interestingly, no such deviations occur for the corresponding bubble velocity (figure 3) since at sufficiently large velocity ratio ($v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} > 10$), the bubble speed depends only on its sphere-equivalent diameter $d_3$, irrespective of the aspect ratio. Filella et al. (2015) accounted for the bubble aspect ratio variations by using the Weber number.
We = ρv_b^2d_2/γ, so that \( \chi \approx We^{-1/2} \) for \( 1 < We < 10 \). In our experiments, this scaling holds for water only but failed for all other liquids (not shown here).

Second, figure 4 (inset) presents the data corresponding to the viscosity-dominated regime (\( Re_{3h} < 1 \)). As long as \( d_2/h < 15 \), the aspect ratio \( \chi \approx 1 \), while for larger \( d_2/h \), it increases with the normalised bubble diameter \( d_2/h \). Finally, since the surface tension of WU and WT mixtures are not very different, it is not conclusive if this trend in aspect ratio for the low-Reynolds-number regime is universal. Indeed, the scaling of the aspect ratio for large bubbles seems not to depend on the surface tension and thus on any dimensionless number involving it, such as the Bond number, the capillary number or the Weber number.

3.4. Drag coefficient

From a dynamical point of view, the bubble’s motion is characterised by its drag force \( F_D \). It can be computed from the drag coefficient \( C_D = F_D/(\rho v_b^2 S/2) \), where \( S = \pi d_3^2/4 \) the equivalent spherical surface and not the true projected area \( 2bh \) as often considered (Filella et al. 2015; Hashida et al. 2019, 2020). At dynamic equilibrium, the drag force \( F_D \) equals the driving force due to buoyancy \( F_B = \rho g(\pi abh) \) and so, the model developed in (3.1) gives

\[
C_D = \frac{2\beta}{3} + \frac{16}{Re_{3h} \chi},
\]

where the second term resembles the expression of the drag coefficient for an isolated spherical bubble in three dimensions, i.e. \( 24/Re_3 \), where \( Re_3 = \rho v_b d_3/\eta \). However, for a large isolated bubble confined between two plates, \( C_D \) is inversely proportional not only to a sphere-equivalent Hele-Shaw Reynolds number \( Re_{3h} \) but also to its aspect ratio \( \chi = a/b \).

Unlike the classical expression for the drag coefficient, it is necessary to either measure or model the bubble aspect ratio \( \chi \) to estimate \( C_D \) in (3.3). Since a general expression of \( \chi \) is beyond the scope of our work, we admit as inferred from § 3.3 that \( \chi \approx 1 \) for \( Re_{3h} < 1 \) and \( \chi = 0.85Re_{3h}^{-1/4} \) for \( Re_{3h} > 1 \), so that the drag coefficient becomes

\[
C_D = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2\beta}{3} + \frac{16}{Re_{3h}}, & Re_{3h} < 1, \\
\frac{2\beta}{3} + \frac{16}{0.85Re_{3h}^{3/4}}, & Re_{3h} > 1, 
\end{cases}
\]

with \( \beta = 3.9 \pm 0.1 \) (see § 3.2). We now compare this expression (dashed line) with the experimental data (see figure 5). Once again, for sufficiently large bubbles, all data collapse on the model, regardless of the cell gap \( h \) and the liquid surface tension \( \gamma \).

4. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we investigated the time-averaged bubble speed and bubble aspect ratio for large single bubbles rising in a vertical Hele-Shaw cell by properly controlling the bubble Reynolds number (\( 10^{-4} < Re_{3h} < 300 \)) and the liquid Morton number \( 10^{-11} < Mo < 10^4 \). For sufficiently large bubbles, we extended the classical power balance argument of Maxworthy (1986) by accounting for inertial effects to deduce \( v_b = 2v_M/(1 + \sqrt{1 + 2\beta(v_M/\sqrt{gd_3})^2}) \), where \( v_M = (\Delta \rho gh^2/(12\eta)) \chi \) with \( \chi \) the bubble aspect ratio, \( d_3 \) the volume-based bubble diameter. The model fits well the experimental data with \( \beta = 3.9 \pm 0.1 \). When \( v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} \ll 1 \), the bubble speed is given by the viscous bubble speed limit \( v_b = v_M \) and conversely, when \( v_M/\sqrt{gd_3} \gg 1 \), it tends towards the inertial
limit $v_b = 0.7\sqrt{gd_3}$, as already inferred by Filella et al. (2015) and Hashida et al. (2019). The former corresponds to the viscous regime ($Re_{3h} \ll 1$) and the latter to the inertial regime ($Re_{3h} \gg 1$) wherein the bubble speed is proportional to $V^{1/6}$ (Davies & Taylor 1950; Collins 1965). Unlike in 3-D (Maxworthy et al. 1996), the transition between these two limits is independent of the Morton number in the range given above. Our experimental data comprising a wide variety of liquids and cell gaps, along with data from previous studies, agree very well with our model as long as $d_2/h > 4$.

In the viscous regime and also during the transition to the inertial regime, the aspect ratio is a necessary ingredient to correctly predict the bubble speed. In addition, at low Reynolds number ($Re_{3h} \lesssim 1$), only longitudinally elongated bubbles are reported in our experiments using water/U CON and water/Triton solutions. Here, the bubble aspect ratio $\chi \approx 1$ for $d_2 < 15h$ and then linearly increases with $d_2/h$. No dependence on the liquid surface tension was observed but more experiments with other liquids should provide a conclusive answer. On the contrary, in the inertial regime, we reported flattened bubbles for all liquids, including water/U CON mixtures such that $\chi \approx 0.85Re_{3h}^{-1/4}$. These results strongly suggest that the bubble aspect ratio is Reynolds number dependent and it could be the signature of liquid inertia as it flows past the bubble (Bush & Eames 1998; Filella et al. 2015). Also, when the Reynolds number is sufficiently large, the time-averaged bubble aspect ratio shows strong deviations from the above scaling. This is probably related to the unsteady flow in the bubble wake along with surface tension and confinement effects, which are left for future investigations. Also, the influence of surface tension on the bubble speed in the viscous regime needs to be further understood for smaller bubbles ($d_2 < 4h$).

**Supplementary movies.** Supplementary movies are available at https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2022.361.
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