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Abstract
Microarchitectural Data Sampling (MDS) is a set of hardware vulnerabilities in Intel CPUs that allows an attacker to leak bytes of data from memory loads and stores across various security boundaries. On affected CPUs, some of these vulnerabilities were patched via microcode updates. Additionally, Intel announced that the newest microarchitectures, namely Cascade Lake and Ice Lake, were not affected by MDS. While Cascade Lake turned out to be vulnerable to the ZombieLoad v2 MDS attack (also known as TAA), Ice Lake was not affected by this attack.

In this technical report, we show a variant of MSBDS (CVE-2018-12126), an MDS attack, also known as Fallout, that works on Ice Lake CPUs. This variant was automatically synthesized using Transynther, a tool to find new variants of Meltdown-type attacks. Based on the findings of Transynther, we analyze different microcodes regarding this issue, showing that only microcode versions after January 2020 prevent exploitation of the vulnerability. These results show that Transynther is a valuable tool to find new variants, and also to test for regressions possibly introduced with microcode updates.

1 Introduction
In May 2019, a class of CPU attacks, under the umbrella of microarchitectural data sampling (MDS), showed that Meltdown-style attacks are still possible on Intel Core microarchitecture [1, 12, 13, 15]. MDS fundamentally exploits the same root cause as Meltdown [10] and Foreshadow [13]. MDS attacks showed that Meltdown-type attacks are not limited to the L1 cache but that they can generally leak stale data from various internal CPU buffers [11]. In contrast to Meltdown [10] or Foreshadow [13], the attacker has only limited control of what data can be leaked, as these internal buffers only contain memory loads and stores from different execution contexts, regardless of any architectural security boundary.

Fallout [1], or microarchitectural store buffer data sampling (MSBDS), is an MDS variant leaking recent data stores from the store buffer. MSBDS has been shown in attacks on the kernel space as well as on SGX [1]. In addition to the leakage component, MSBDS can also be turned around easily to transiently inject values into a victim, an attack technique known as Load Value Injection (LVI) [14].

To mitigate these vulnerabilities, Intel released software workarounds and microcode updates for affected CPUs [4]. One of the most recent microarchitectures, Ice Lake, is reported to be unaffected by MDS attacks [6, 8]. Intel has also explicitly listed Ice Lake processors as not being vulnerable to LVI-SB [14], which exploits MSBDS for Load Value Injection [7].

To better analyze the MDS vulnerabilities, and potentially find new variants, Moghimi et al. [11] presented Transynther. Transynther mutates the basic primitives of existing Meltdown-type attacks to automatically generate and evaluate new subvariants. In the original publication [11], Transynther did not only reproduce existing attacks but also discovered a ZombieLoad [12] variant (Medusa) that targets the write-combining mechanisms. In this report, we show that Transynther also found a variant of MSBDS that works on the allegedly unaffected Ice Lake microarchitecture.

Responsible Disclosure. We have reported this finding to the Intel Product Security Incident Response Team (iPSIRT) on March, 27, 2020. On May 5 2020, iPSIRT completed the triage of our proof of concept, and they replied that the mitigation for MSBDS was not ported correctly to the Ice Lake microarchitecture. As a result, Ice Lake required a microcode patch, which they developed as part of their late November 2019 microcode version 0x5C. In the May 2020 update of Intel’s specification update for the 10th Generation Intel Core Processor Family, a new errata, 057, has been added. This errata mentions that the MDS_NO bit in IA32_ARCH_CAPABILITIES control registers were incorrectly set [9]. Intel requested an embargo until July 14, 2020, to allow enough time for OEMs and their customers to deploy these patches. Intel credited us by updating the advisory regarding Microarchitectural Data Sampling on July 14, 2020 [5]. The report was awarded a bug bounty.
2 Fallout (MSBDS) on Ice Lake

In this section, we present the MSBDS variant automatically synthesized by Transynther [11]. This MSBDS variant is the only known MDS attack that works on the Ice Lake microarchitecture. We analyze the leakage rates of this variant, and also the affected microcode versions.

Discovery. We ran Transynther on a Core i5-1035G1 CPU with the latest microcode that is shipped with Ubuntu 18.04, version 0x48. After running for about 5000 iterations, Transynther reported store-to-load-forwarding leakage due to 4K aliasing of store addresses with a faulty memory load. This behavior was initially exploited in Fallout to bypass KASLR and leak cryptographic keys from the kernel space [1].

Based on the generated proof-of-concept, we produced a minimal working example to analyze the auto-generated proof of concept that triggers MSBDS manually.

Classification. We noticed that MSBDS on Ice Lake only works with memory load operations that suffer a permission failure due to accessing privileged memory (cleared US bit), or accessing a memory page with wrong protection keys [2]. Based on the systematization of Canella et al. [2], and to the best of our knowledge, we conclude that Ice Lake is only vulnerable to Meltdown-US or Meltdown-MPK attacks.

Modified Cache State. One of the observations from Transynther is that the leakage rate increases significantly if the target store address is flushed from the cache. We observe the same behavior for other instructions that modify the cache state. Specifically, executing lock incl on the store address leads to an even higher leakage rate than flushing the store address using clflush. Listing 1 shows our simplified proof of concept that demonstrates MSBDS on the Ice Lake microarchitecture. Uncommenting Line 16 or 17 modifies the cache state of the store address, resulting in a faster leakage. If we do not modify the cache state, we observe a very slow leakage of approximately 1 B/s. As we can see in Table 1, with approximately 750 B/s, the leakage rate is significantly higher when using lock inc instruction (Line 19) to modify the cache state.

We also tested the proof-of-concept on various microcode versions on the Ice Lake CPU. As not all issued microcodes are officially available by CPU vendors, we used a crowdsourced repository of available microcodes [3]. For our analysis, we applied 10 different compatible microcode versions, i.e., microcodes that match the CPUID of our target CPU. As we can see in Table 1, all Intel microcodes until mid-November are vulnerable to MSBDS, although Ice Lake should fundamentally be resistant against MDS attacks.

3 Conclusion

Our report shows that Intel Ice Lake client processors with early firmware version are vulnerable to MDS attacks. In discussions with Intel engineers we were told that MSBDS mitigations are present in hardware, but where disabled in early versions of these processors. It is crucial for OEMs and users to apply these latest microcode updates to enable protection against MDS attacks.

More importantly, our analysis using Transynther highlights the importance of automated vulnerability testing and analysis for hardware and microarchitectural vulnerabilities. Although Transynther is an academic prototype, it stills proved to be a valuable tool for automated testing of hardware. The newly reported MSBDS vulnerability would not have gone unnoticed on Ice Lake, if the earlier prototypes of the hardware were tested using such tools. Furthermore, OEMs could have tested these vulnerabilities before shipping consumer laptop with a vulnerable microcode update.

Table 1: List of tested microcodes on a Core i5-1035G1 CPU. For vulnerable microcodes, the leakage rate is much higher if the target store is in a modified state, as it is shown by using cache flush and modification instructions. We ran each experiment for two minutes.

| MC Version | MC Date     | Vulnerable | Leakage (bytes/s) |
|------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|
| 0x32       | 2019-07-05  | ✔          | 577.8            |
| 0x36       | 2019-07-18  | ✔          | 148.24           |
| 0x46       | 2019-09-05  | ✔          | 130.15           |
| 0x48       | 2019-09-12  | ✔          | 271.69           |
| 0x50       | 2019-10-27  | ✔          | 96.54            |
| 0x56       | 2019-11-05  | ✔          | 145.46           |
| 0x5a       | 2019-11-19  | ✔          | 532.40           |
| 0x66       | 2020-01-09  |            | 0                |
| 0x70       | 2020-02-17  |            | 0                |
| 0x82       | 2020-04-22  |            | 0                |
| 0x86       | 2020-05-05  |            | 0                |

Listing 1: Proof of concept for MSBDS on IceLake.
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