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Abstract: In this study, we investigated the modelling and optimization of drinking water supply system reliability in the village of Zaben, Czech Republic. An in depth overview of the water supply network in the municipality, passport processing and accident and malfunction recording is provided based on data provided by the owner and operator of the water mains as well as the data collected by our own field survey. Using the data processed from accident and failure reports in addition to water main documentation, the water supply network in Zaben was evaluated according to the failure modes and effects analysis methods. Subsequently, individual water supply lines were classified based on their structural condition. In addition, a proposed plan for financing the reconstruction of the water supply mains in Zaben was created. As such, this study provides an overall assessment of the water supply network in Zaben alongside a proposed plan for the structural restoration of the water supply system, which accounts for the theoretical service life of the system and the financial resources of the owner.
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1. Introduction

Drinking water supply systems have significant impacts on the quality of human life, health, and hygiene. As such, a high quality, well managed and well maintained drinking water supply system is a foundational component of infrastructure for urbanized area. However, in order to effectively operate this infrastructure, it is necessary to understand its structural condition and perform maintenance and repairs accordingly to extend the functional life of system. It has been shown that careful coordination of planned, comprehensive maintenance and renewal of the system can prevent operational emergencies and reduce the need for unplanned interventions. This is important as the water supply system is essential for modern life and accordingly malfunctions, outages or deviations from the normal operating state can have significant negative effects. While some of these effects can be predicted and planned for through substitution or crisis solutions, many of these effects require an immediate emergency response when they occur, which cannot be effectively planned in advance [1,2].

In general, the whole drinking water supply system, together with the supply of electricity, is one of the most important elements of critical infrastructure, as a number of sectors, services and the population itself depend on their functionality and operability. Even the slightest disruption of these systems operation can then result in the deterioration of the quality of human life. A properly functioning supply of drinking water currently represents an important role for the functionality of
systems, functions and elements of the state and other areas of critical infrastructure. The interruption of the supply of drinking water therefore means not only a reduction of human life living standard, but also a reduction in the functionality of other state parts on which society is directly dependent. It is possible to mainly include emergency services in the form of an integrated rescue system, especially the fire safety of the area and health care, as well as food, chemical, industrial or agricultural production.

This issue is a severe issue in other countries around the world, which corresponds to the subject of many publications interest [3–10]. In some countries, the situation is addressed in the context of water supply systems failure, often with a link to the legislation of the country. For example, the author of [11,12] stated, using the example of a pipe route failure, that even a small-scale failure can cause the collapse of the entire system and affect the performance of the entire water network. Other approaches to the evaluation of water supply networks are also presented by the authors of the publication [13,14], who, in their work, evaluate individual components of the water supply network on the basis of graph theory and also deal into detail with the issue of risky urban water supply networks analysis, as well as other publications [15–19].

The village of Zaben is situated in the Moravian-Silesian Region of the district of Frydek-Mistek. In particular, Zaben can be considered a suburban part of the city of Frydek-Mistek. In Zaben, according to the Czech Statistical Office (CZSO), there have been 827 inhabitants as of 1 January 2017 and individual housing is dominant. The village lies on a flat plain at an altitude of 266 to 270 m above sea level and its total cadastral area is 3.35 km$^2$. The construction of the water supply system began in 1981 and was completed in 1984. A schema of the water mains in Zaben is presented in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** Division of individual water lines in Zaben (main and minor lines; lines A to G). Adapted by the authors from Mapy.cz. (a) Shows main and minor line water lines; (b) Shows division into water lines A–G.

Figure 1 shows the overall scheme of the water supply network in the village. The left part shows the main distribution order (*yellow color*) and the minor water supply order (*blue color*). The main water distribution lines contain dimensions DN 150 or DN 200, the minor water supply lines have smaller dimensions, DN 100, DN 80 and DN 50. The picture on the right side shows the division of the water
supply system into individual functional units, which are the subject of the solution and are solved separately. This division was created for the purpose of present study; a similar division is now also used by the administrator of the water supply system.

The whole water supply network is owned by the municipality of Zaben. The administrator of the water supply network (also sewerage network) and the entity responsible for the operation and functionality of the system is the joint-stock company Severomoravské vodovody a kanalizace Ostrava Plc (SmVaK Plc). SmVaK Plc is also entitled to collect money for water and sewage and regulate its price. The source of drinking water is the Ostrava Regional Water Supply, from which drinking water is supplied to the tower reservoir of Biocel Paskov. This reservoir has a total volume of 200 m$^3$, its minimum level is 306.50 m above sea level and the maximum water level in the reservoir is 311.80 m above sea level. Drinking water is supplied to the appliance by gravity due to sufficient elevation (the terrain level of the appliance is 266 to 270 m above sea level). The elevation between the lower level of the reservoir and the highest point of the terrain in the village is 36.50 m. The reservoir of Biocel Paskov, by the village of Zaben, also supplies the Biocel industrial complex itself, including the adjacent housing estate in the village of Paskov. Drinking water from the Biocel reservoir is supplied by the DN200 feeder to the north-eastern part of the village. From there, the water is distributed to the final consumers through the distribution network.

Approximately 97% of village inhabitants are connected to the water supply network [20]. The water supply system is a combined system; accordingly, most of the village’s supply system (central and north-western regions) has a circular layout, whereas the south and west components of the supply system were designed as a branch system. The total length of the water pipelines in the municipality is 9028.60 m and PVC pipes were used as the construction material with dimensions of DN 50, DN 80, DN 100 or DN 150. The water supply network is divided into seven lines labelled A to G. Lines A, B, C and D are the water lines located in the northern part of the village, and the E, F and G lines are located in the southern part of the village. Notably, lines E, F and G have a low operational reliability [20].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Water Supply Documentation

We conducted a field survey of Zaben to collect information and additionally obtained documents and data from the water main operator—SmVaK Ostrava Plc, the Village of Zaben, the CZSO, and the Czech Land Surveyor and Cadastral Office. Based on this information, a summary document of the water supply network—including a simplified record of failures—was created. The water supply system summary document was made from different data files in different formats which were collated following preliminary investigation. The existence of elements of the system where there were discrepancies between various records were verified by our field survey. For improved organization and additional insight, the water supply network was divided into sections which were each assessed individually and the individual water lines were color-coded (these colors are used throughout this study). It should be noted, however, that the failure reports provided by the system operator contained limited information [2,21].

By verifying the actual situation by means of a legwork, the authors supplemented, corrected and expanded the submitted database provided by the waterworks administrator and other institutions (e.g., the database shows the repair of a water supply hydrant that is not in the real environment, etc.). One of the key works was also the unification of documentation (mostly in tabular form) for further investigation (note: documents provided by the waterworks administrator and other institutions were processed in a free and very confused form—e.g., handwritten notes and sketches only in paper form, pasportization of network elements was processed in MS Word, etc.).
2.2. Evaluation of Structural Conditions of the Water Network

2.2.1. Evaluation of the Condition of the Water Supply System

The evaluation of the structural condition of the system is a qualitative analysis that identifies weaknesses in the system along with the causes and consequences of these weaknesses [22]. Similarly to the general Failure Methods and Effects Analysis (FMEA) method according to CSN IEC 812 (010675), structural condition evaluation considers the system as a whole as well as its individual elements [1]. Monitored elements are evaluated with regards to various risk areas, known as Technical Indicator (TI), and are then classified into the categories K1 to K5 based on condition or efficiency. This classification system has been previously defined and is defined by criteria and input data specific to each TI [22]. The definition of these categories is provided in Table 1. This classification scheme was used in conjunction with the summary document (compiled as described in Section 2.1) to evaluate the drinking water supply system [23].

| Category | Condition | Description |
|----------|-----------|-------------|
| K1       | Very good | Optimal condition of the relevant TI. No action is required to change this indicator. No significant change in the value of the indicator is expected in the long-term. |
| K2       | Good      | Low risk level of the relevant TI. No technical measures are expected to be required in the near future. |
| K3       | Satisfactory | Average value of the relevant TI. Immediate solutions are not required, but a change in the value of the indicator can be expected in the near future. |
| K4       | Critical  | Critical values of the relevant TI. Measures should be planned or implemented to resolve this state. |
| K5       | Emergency | Undesirable or non-functional condition. A solution is required as soon as possible to ensure proper functioning with regards to this TI. |

The water supply network was evaluated according to five TIs, specifically:

- TI1—Age of the pipeline,
- TI2—Failure rate,
- TI3—Water loss,
- TI4—Pressure ratio,
- TI5—Water quality.

These technical parameters were partially taken over from the publication [22] and suitably supplemented for their application using the FMEA method. Overall, this method can be applied to a wide range of other water supply networks. In the case of modification and addition of input parameters (TIs), the method can be applied to other types of urban networks (sewerage, gas, etc.).

2.2.2. TI1—Age of the Pipeline

The age of the pipeline provides information about the wear the water network has been subjected to. Accordingly, K1 to K5 (Table 1) are assigned based on the age and material of the pipe, as shown in Table 2.
Table 2. Classification TI1—Age of the pipe material [22].

| Pipe Material       | Age of Pipe Material [Years] |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | K1  | K2  | K3  | K4  | K5  |
| Steel               | 0–20| 20–30| 30–40| 40–50| >50 |
| Cast iron, ductile  | 0–50| 50–70| 70–90| 90–110| >110|
| Cast iron, grey     | 0–40| 40–60| 60–70| 90–80| >90 |
| PVC                 | 0–20| 20–40| 40–50| 50–60| >60 |
| PE                  | 0–30| 30–50| 50–60| 60–70| >70 |
| High Density PE     | 0–50| 50–70| 70–80| 90–100| >100|
| Other               | 0–20| 20–30| 30–40| 40–50| >50 |

Condition: Very good, Good, Satisfactory, Critical, Emergency

Notes: new or after renovation, minimum repairs, some frequent repairs, instant solution needed

2.2.3. TI2—Failure Rate

The failure rate indicator is one of the most important indicators. Based on the data available and the size of the region of interest, we broke down the disruptions and failures into groups including valve failures (gate valves, hydrants, etc.), faults in pipe material and connections, supply line failures and other categories. Sections of the water supply system were categorised with regards to each failure type and subsequently, a weighted average calculation was performed to determine the overall TI2 categorisation. Note that for basic cases, it was sufficient to weight all failure modes equally. Categorisation was performed in accordance with Table 3.

Table 3. Classification of TI2—failure rates (by failure mode)—adapted from [22].

| Category | Failure Rates [pp/km/Year *] |
|----------|------------------------------|
|          | from–to                      |
| K1       | 0.2                          |
| K2       | 0.2–0.3                      |
| K3       | 0.3–0.5                      |
| K4       | 0.5–0.8                      |
| K5       | >0.8                         |

* categories pp/km/year = number of failures/kilometre/year.

In addition, all failures were divided into four categories based on their type—cracked piping, pipe excavation accident, supply line failure and valve failure—for additional failure analysis.

2.2.4. TI3—Water Loss in the Network

The TI for water loss was calculated from the total Water Not Invoiced (WNI), which is most often expressed as a percentage or as unit water leakage which is expressed in units, such as m³/km/year. It was necessary to deduct the system’s own water needs, such as water pipe flushing or withdrawals from the mains conducted by the operator, from the WNI to ensure accuracy. Categorisation was performed by unit leakage or by WNI based on available data. The criteria for categorisation are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4. Classification of TI3—water losses in the network—adapted from [22].

| Category | Water not Invoiced [%] | Unit Leakage [m³/km/yr] |
|----------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| K1       | 0–10                   | 0–3000                   |
| K2       | 10–12                  | 3000–4500                |
| K3       | 12–16                  | 4500–6000                |
| K4       | 16–25                  | 6000–8000                |
| K5       | >25                    | >8000                    |
2.2.5. TI4—Pressure Ratios

The value of TI4 was calculated based on the maximum hydrostatic pressure in the water network. Given the needs of our case system, our assessment only included hydrostatic pressure; however, for enhanced calculation accuracy, the hydrodynamic pressure should be included. Hydrostatic pressure was determined from the minimum and maximum water levels in the reservoir and the minimum building height in the consumption area. For the case of classifying the complete network, we used the typical values for the vast majority of nodes in the network (e.g., >80% of nodes). Categorisation by hydrodynamic pressure was performed according to Table 5.

| Category | Hydrostatic Pressure [mH₂O] |
|----------|----------------------------|
| K1       | 0–45                       |
| K2       | 45–50                      |
| K3       | 50–60                      |
| K4       | 60–70                      |
| K5       | >70                        |

2.2.6. TI5 —Impact on Water Quality

For accurate evaluation of TI5, it is best to monitor water quality in the consumption areas. However, in this case, we used the monitoring data from the nearby regional water mains in Ostrava as Zaben is supplied with this water. We considered that water should not remain in the network for longer than 24 h, due to the partially circular design of the network. The classification criteria are summarised in Table 6.

| Relevant Categories | Effect on Water Quality |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                     | Material | Residence in the Network | Water Source | Water Treatment Method |
| K1                  | non-metallic materials | 24 h | underground | chlorine, UV |
|                     | ductile iron, non-metallic materials | 24 h | surface | UV or chloridioxide |
| K2                  | PE, PVC, fiberglass | 24 h | underground | chlorine, UV, chloridioxide |
| K3                  | grey cast iron and steel <50 years old | 48 h | surface | UV, chlorine gas |
|                     | grey cast iron and steel >50 years old | >48 h | surface | gaseous chlorine |

2.2.7. Overall Technical Condition

The overall technical condition was evaluated by a sum of all technical indicators TI1 to TI5. Overall technical condition was used to reflect both the entire water supply system and its individual components. Determination of the overall technical condition is given by the following Equation (1):

\[
CTS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} TUi \cdot Wi
\]
where $CTS$ is the overall technical condition, $n$ is the total number of TIs, $TI_i$ is the value of the technical indicator (TI1 to TI5) in the range of K1 to K5, where $K1 = 1$, $K2 = 2$, etc., and $W_i$ is the weight of the respective $TI_i$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$W_i$ was used to indicate the significance of each $TI_i$. The weighting was performed by dividing the total weight among the individual technical indicators, $TI_i$. Based on previous studies, we defined $W_i$ such that it was equal in each case of TI1 to TI5—i.e., $W_i = 0.2$ [22]. The $CTS$ of the system was classified according to Table 7.

### Table 7. Classification of CTS—overall technical condition—adapted from [22].

| Category | Overall Technical Condition [-] |
|----------|--------------------------------|
| K1       | 1–1.5                          |
| K2       | 1.5–2.5                        |
| K3       | 2.5–3.5                        |
| K4       | 3.5–4.5                        |
| K5       | >4.5                           |

#### 2.3. Plan of Funding for Water Main Renewal

We developed a plan for water main renewal based on the system wear, structural and technical condition, service life, total property value, total water supply value and total associated service value. This plan was prepared on the basis of Annex No. 18 to Decree No. 428/2001 Coll. “Plan for financing the renewal of water supply and sewerage” [CCC], which is part of the valid legislation of the Czech Republic. The equations used in this chapter were taken from this decree.

#### 2.3.1. Determining Theoretical Asset Service Life

To determine the time required to accumulate necessary funding for repairs, we began by assessing the theoretical life span of the water system based on previous studies. A general estimation of service life (shown in Table 8) was used as a starting point. Following this, information about the properties of various pipe materials was used to refine the lifespan estimate, as summarized in Table 9 [24].

### Table 8. Theoretical service life of the water systems—Annex No. 18 of Decree No. 428/2001 Coll.

| Pipe Material | Service Life [Years] |
|---------------|----------------------|
| Water lines of the feeding and supply network | 80 |
| Water treatment plant, or raw water source | 45 |

### Table 9. Expected service life of materials in water supply networks [25].

| Pipe Material | Service Life [Years] |
|---------------|----------------------|
| Steel         | 40–50                |
| Steel with cathodic protection | 50–70               |
| Grey cast iron | 60–90               |
| Ductile iron  | 100–110              |
| Asbestos cement | 35                  |
| PVC           | 60                   |
| fiberglass    | 60                   |
| PE            | 70                   |
| High-Density PE | 100                |
2.3.2. Calculation of the Basic Wear Percentage of Total Assets

The basic wear percentage was calculated for the water mains of interest. For this calculation, the age of the infrastructure assets is essential. As such, we determined the age of the system as a weighted average based on the length and material of the various pipe segments to ensure the accurate calculation of required funding. Calculation of the percentage of wear was based on the theoretical service life of the pipe material in the water supply network, which was a PVC pipeline with a 60-year life expectancy. The actual water supply in the village was built between 1981 and 1984, and it is therefore between 35 and 38 years old. Approximately 8% of the water main length has been repaired or replaced since construction. As such, the calculation of wear percentage considered the average overall age as 36.5 years \cite{24}. Percentage of Wear ($PO$) was calculated as follows:

$$PO = \frac{AS(\text{years}) \times 100}{TZ(\text{years})} \%$$

(3)

where $PO$ is the percentage of wear, $AS$ is the average age of the system and $TZ$ is the theoretical service life.

2.3.3. Theoretical Time for Accumulation of Funds (TDAP)

Theoretical time for accumulation of funds in years is the calculated average time remaining before implementation of the renewal process is required, as renewal is required prior to the end of service life. The restoration time is the time remaining until completion of the pipeline renewal. $TDAP$ is calculated as follows:

$$TDAP = \frac{TZ(\text{years}) \times (100 - PO)}{100} \text{[years]}$$

(4)

where $TZ$ is theoretical service life and $PO$ is the percentage of wear.

2.3.4. Annual Funds Needed for Reconstruction (RPPO)

Annual funds needed for reconstruction represents the amount of funding that should be spent on regular renewal of infrastructure assets to ensure their reliability, functionality and sustainability. $RPPO$ incorporates the total value of the infrastructure, $TDAP$ and the deadline by which the system must be reconstructed. $RPPO$ is calculated as follows:

$$RPPO = \frac{CHM(\text{mil.CZK})}{TDAP} \text{[mil.CZK]}$$

(5)

where $CHM$ is the total value of the assets and $TDAP$ is calculated as in Equation (4).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Water Supply Documentation

We created a water supply system summary document as described in Section 2.1. As previously mentioned, the system was segmented and each element of the system was recorded in this document. A sample excerpt of the summary document is provided in Table 10.
Table 10. An excerpt of the summary document for water supply line A.

| Mark | Section Color | Element Name—Function | Cadastral Territory | Coordinates X (JTSK) | Coordinates Y (JTSK) | Underground Altitude [m a.s.l.] | Altitude above Sea Level [m a.s.m.] | Line Designation | Owner of the Lot | Dimension [mm] | Year of Acquisition | Comparison to 2017 | Age [Years] | Remaining Age [Years] |
|------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| H1   |               | Hydrant closure Žaběň | −1,114,957.72       | −470,089.28          | 262.64               | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private                            | 150             | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| H2   |               | Hydrant closure Žaběň | −1,114,945.35       | −470,015.86          | 261.58               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public                             | 50              | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| O15  |               | Other Žaběň            | −1,114,957.72       | −470,089.01          | 262.28               | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Public                             | 150             | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| Š1   |               | Measuring shaft Žaběň  | −1,114,966.11       | −470,109.48          | 262.47               | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Public                             | 150             | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU17 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,115,040.34       | −470,042.47          | 262.8                | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private                            | 150             | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU16 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,115,018.58       | −470,055.32          | 261.28               | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private                            | 150             | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU15 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,115,013.43       | −470,058.29          | 262.61               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Private                            | 50              | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU13 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,114,946.34       | −470,017.17          | 261.57               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public                             | 50              | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU14 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,114,946.16       | −470,017.42          | 261.58               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public                             | 50              | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU11 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,114,955.16       | −470,030.35          | 261.5                | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public                             | 50              | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU12 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,114,956.12       | −470,031.14          | 261.42               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public                             | 50              | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
| PU10 |               | Connector cap Žaběň    | −1,114,972.19       | −470,055.22          | 261.38               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public                             | 50              | 1983            | 34              | 26                  |                   |             |                       |
### Table 10. Cont.

| Mark | Section Color | Element Name—Function | Cadastral Territory | Coordinates X (JTSK) | Coordinates Y (JTSK) | Underground Altitude [m a.s.l.] | Altitude above Sea Level [m a.s.m.] | Line Designation | Owner of the Lot | Dimension [mm] | Year of Acquisition | Comparison to 2017 | Age [Years] | Remaining Age [Years] |
|------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| PU9  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,972.19       | −470,055.26          | 261.35               | 262.38               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public           | 50              | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU7  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,973.19       | −470,056.39          | 261.54               | 262.63               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public           | 50              | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU8  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,973.19       | −470,056.39          | 261.54               | 262.63               | LINE A-5 DN 50 PVC, Lenght 74 m | Public           | 50              | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU6  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,986.98       | −470,072.96          | 262.7                |                      | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Public           | 150             | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU5  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,955.31       | −470,090.98          | 262.31               |                      | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Public           | 150             | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU4  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,920.85       | −470,110.56          | 262.03               |                      | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private          | 150             | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU3  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,908.84       | −470,117.22          | 261.92               |                      | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private          | 150             | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU2  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,902.85       | −470,120.22          | 261.91               |                      | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private          | 150             | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| PU1  | Connector cap | Žabeň                 | −1,114,866.12       | −470,141.46          | 261.79               |                      | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private          | 150             | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
| SU4  | Sectional closure | Žabeň          | −1,114,985.21       | −470,073.90          | 261.72               | 262.7                | LINE A-4 DN 150 PVC, Lenght 263 m | Private          | 150             | 1983           | 34                | 26               |              |                          |
The water lines in the municipality are made of PVC pipes in different dimensions and their total length is 9028.6 m. Figure 2 shows the length share of the individual water lines A to G in the context of the total system length.

![Figure 2. Length share of individual lines of the total length (far right) of the water supply system.](image)

Notably, the water supply network in Zaben includes a total of 250 water supply lines, 44 sectional closures, 10 mud pans, 15 air valves and 35 hydrant closures, along with other objects, valves and fittings. Furthermore, it should be noted that neither the owner nor the operator have accurate information about their assets. For example, according to the operator’s database, there is supposed to be a hydrant in the village in a specific location, while according to the data of the municipal authority, this element was supposed to be a valve. However, our field survey could not identify either of these elements at the specified location. Nevertheless, all the available data were summarised in a single, uniform record of all elements of the water supply system [26].

3.2. Assessment of Structural Conditions of Water System

In this study, individual TIs were addressed comprehensively within the entire system of drinking water supply in the municipality. The only exception here is TI2—Failure rate—where the system was evaluated according to the place of failure and thus in relation to individual water lines A to G. Other TIs were solved all over the village due to input data of individual TI, which are similar within the system, or differs in fractions of ratio.

3.2.1. Age of Pipelines—TI1

The water mains in Zaben were predominantly built between 1981 and 1984 using PVC pipes. Some pipeline sections were replaced since the initial construction and were either replaced with new PVC or with PE or high-density PE due to PVC defects. However, in relation to the total length of the network, the replaced segment is a very small component of the water supply system (approximately 8%). As such, the replaced segments did not have a significant impact on the evaluation of TI1. As the PVC water supply network in the municipality is predominantly 35 to 38 years old, TI1 was classified as K2 (for ages 20 to 40), sorted by Table 2. Since the expected theoretical service life of the PVC pipe material is 60 years, the water mains are approximately in the middle of their service life and should not require replacement in the near future.

As water mains are often made up of large-scale systems that were not built up at once, but rather gradually with the growth of settlements, large water supply systems are often comprised of different materials and segments with different ages. Our assessment is simplified in the case, as the water system is predominantly one material and age. However, in the case of large water pipelines with a
variety of different materials and of different ages, it would be advisable to divide this network into segments and deal with the segments individually. This approach is only possible if there is sufficient data available.

3.2.2. Failure Rate—T12

We summarised the failure rates and accident record for the different water lines from 2013 to 2015 in Table 11. This summary includes a description of the pipeline malfunction, the location and date of the problem and the method of its repair.

Table 11. Example of a failure report on water line in 2013 to 2015.

| Years | Date (dd/mm) | Line Marking | Description of the Failure | Note | Method of Repair |
|-------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|------|------------------|
| 2013  | 31/1         | B            | Cracked piping            | Extreme frosts | Cutting, new piece 4.5 m HDPE, HAWLE |
|       | 19/3         | A            | Cracked piping            | A hole approximately 20 × 60 mm, trapezoidal cracks, profile deformation | Pipe replacement approximately 41.5 m HDPE over HAWLE connectors + 6x drilling belt, connected to existing connections |
| 2014  | 21/4         | F            | Cracked pipe socket       | -                | HAWLE belt |
|       | 21/4         | F            | Valve not working         | Corrosion        | Exchange for new |
|       | 21/4         | A            | Valve not working         | Corrosion        | Exchange for new |
|       | 16/8         | G            | Cracked piping            | Fracture, sedimentation, poor placement at the crossing point with the new gas pipeline | Replacement of approximately 1 m HDPE piping |
| 2015  | 10/5         | C            | Cracked piping            | Longitudinal crack + profile deformation, Leakage of water into the energy channels, leakage to a supply line | Replacement of 3 m HDPE |
|       | 8/4          | B            | Cracked piping            | -                | Cut out, new T-piece over HAWLE |
|       | 7/7          | E            | Non-functioning hydrant   | Corroded         | Exchange for new |
|       | 7/7          | E            | Non-functional valve on the supply line | Corroded | Exchange for new |
|       | 21/1         | F            | Cracked piping            | Leaks, damage due to deformation, subsidence at the crossing point with repaired communications | Push through of 11.5 m HDPE RC100 |
|       | 2/11         | G            | Valve not working         | Idle Turning, leaking | New core, knife + seal |

Notes: Line Marking corresponds with color in Figure 1b.

We also investigated the frequency of system failures by year from 2005–2015. These data are presented in Figure 3. There was a maximum of seven failures in 2012, which was due in part to the extensive reconstruction and completion of the village gas pipeline. Three defects in the water pipeline were caused by mechanical damage during gas line construction. We also evaluated the trend in the frequency of failures and accidents on water mains over time and observed a small but steady increase in frequency. This trend line is also shown in Figure 3.
Figure 3. History of the number of failures and accidents in the water supply system during the monitored period from 2005 to 2015.

Figure 4 shows the frequency of failures and accidents in relation to individual water lines for the period from 2005 to 2015. There were 42 failures and accidents in the water supply network in the monitored period, which were not distributed equally between the water lines. In particular, Line F had the most failures with 12 events in the monitored period, while Line E had the fewest failures, with 3 events in the monitored period.

Figure 5 shows the number of failures categorized by type.

From Figure 5, it is evident that the most common cause of a malfunction or emergency was a cracked pipe ($n = 22$ cases). The cracked pipe category includes any disturbance of the line elements, and are a significant source of water loss in the network. It can be further assumed that across the network there are also other minor water leaks. From the list of failures in the monitored period, it was noted that many faults were repaired by replacing the pipe material for long (>10 m) sections of the pipeline. However, these changes were not reflected in the project documentation provided by the operator and thus, were not reflected in the data on the age of the pipe material or the list of components in the water supply network. It follows that these structural changes are addressed by a
reactive maintenance approach, so the problem is eliminated only after a failure occurs. According to the Civil Engineering Act No. 183/2006 Coll., as amended, this does not require any administrative construction proceedings, so the operator can improvise and carry out such repair even with no project documentation [27,28].

![Graph of frequency of failures and accidents in the water line](image)

**Figure 5.** Frequency of failures and accidents in the water line according to the type of faults for the period 2005 to 2015.

In Table 12, we present the summary of the number of failures in each line over time and for the system overall. In total, there were 42 failures and accidents in the water supply system of the village between 2005 and 2015. The total length of the waterline is 9.0286 km and the number of failures per kilometre of water line per year was 0.4229 pp/km/year. The table also shows that the most problematic section of the water system was the F line—which was categorised as K4 with 0.6413 pp/km/yr—whereas the failure rate was the lowest for line A—which was categorised as K1 with 0.2039 pp/km/yr. Thus, the most critical component of the drinking water supply system is Line F. Finally, Table 12 shows the categorisation of each line based on its individual failure rate while Table 13 shows a ranking of the lines by performance. Overall, the water supply system was categorised as K3 with regards to TI2, sorted by Table 3.

| Water line | Category |
|------------|----------|
| A          | K2       |
| B          | K3       |
| C          | K3       |
| D          | K3       |
| E          | K3       |
| F          | K4       |
| G          | K1       |
| Total      | K3       |

3.2.3. TI3—Water Losses

Data on water losses in Zaben were not provided by the owner or the operator of the water system. The volume of water losses, or the balance of WNI, was therefore obtained from the development plans of water supply and sewage systems in the region (PRVKUK). These plans state that ‘the proportion of water not invoiced is consistently higher, reaching up to 31% of the quantity of water supplied to the system’ [20]. Due to these enormous losses, the whole water system was categorised as K5
with regards to TI3 (sorted by Table 5). This categorisation indicates that water loss is an emergency situation that requires immediate solutions.

| Line | Number of Failures/km/Year | Number of Failures/km/Period |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1—Worst | F 0.64 | 7.05 |
| 2 | G 0.59 | 6.54 |
| 3 | B 0.48 | 5.32 |
| 4 | E 0.46 | 5.07 |
| 5 | D 0.40 | 4.36 |
| 6 | C 0.31 | 3.45 |
| 7—Best | A 0.20 | 2.24 |

3.2.4. TI4—Pressure Ratios

Pressure conditions in the water network in the village were broadly comparable across the whole system because the village lies on relatively flat plain where the altitude differences are minimal. Due to the altitude of the water tower reservoir which supplies drinking water to Zaben, among other villages, the network has compliant pressure conditions. The water level in the reservoir was between 306.5 and 311.8 m above sea level. The maximum hydrostatic pressure in the water supply system was thus 45.8 mH$_2$O, while the minimum was 36.5 mH$_2$O. These pressure conditions in the village water network can be considered as favourable, given Table 5. In particular, 45.8 mH$_2$O is on the border between categories K1 and K2. However, in normal operating mode the hydrostatic pressure will be lower and thus the resulting category for TI4—pressure conditions of the water supply network—was K1 (sorted by Table 5). Note that in certain cases, increased pressure can have adverse impact on network performance, especially in the case of a leak. Thus, water losses tend to increase in the system when pressure is high, interrelating TI3 and TI4.

3.2.5. TI5—Water Quality

Water quality is affected by many factors, including the age of the network, clogging, pipe material, quality of drinking water supplied, number of purification steps, and water residence time in the pipeline. Water quality categorisation was determined, as described in Table 6. Given that the village of Zaben is supplied with drinking water from the regional water mains of Ostrava—which contains predominantly surface water—the water in the mains has adequate quality. Furthermore, residence of water in the pipes should be less than 24 h, due in part to the circular design of the network. The weakest point of the system is where water loss indicates leakage may be occurring. Leakage may result in a deterioration of the quality of drinking water in the pipeline. Thus, the resulting category for TI5 was K3 (sorted by Table 6).

3.2.6. CTS—Overall Technical Condition

It was appropriate to determine the weight $W_i$ as an estimate, based on the significance of the indicators for the CTS of the water supply network. Usually, the significance of each TI$_i$ stems mainly from their classification. In this case, we used equal weighting because the presented study was implemented as an illustrative and idealized model. However, with a more detailed solution, it would clearly be appropriate to adjust the values of the weights for individual TIs according to their severity. From this point of view, it would be most effective to increase the values of the weights for TI2, TI3 and TI5, which, in this context, have the most significant effect on water quality and system functionality. TI1 and TI4 then have a negligible effect. However, the degree of weights needs to be
assessed separately for each area of interest, and it is therefore possible that in a different environment
the distribution of Wi weights could be different. Substituting Equation (1) resulted in

$$CTS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} TU_i \cdot W_i = 2 \cdot 0.2 + 3 \cdot 0.2 + 5 \cdot 0.2 + 1 \cdot 0.2 + 3 \cdot 0.2 = 2.8$$

(6)

Table 14 provides a summary of the categorisation for each TI and the overall condition (CTS).
Based on the evaluation, the entire water supply system was categorised as K3 (sorted by Table 7).

| Technical Indicators (TI)          | Relevant Category | Wi | TIi | TS |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----|-----|----|
| TI1—Age of the pipeline          | K2                | 0.2| 2   | 0.4|
| TI2—Failure rate                 | K3                | 0.2| 3   | 0.6|
| TI3—Water losses in the network  | K5                | 0.2| 5   | 1  |
| TI4—Pressure ratios              | K1                | 0.2| 1   | 0.2|
| TI5—Water quality                | K3                | 0.2| 3   | 0.6|
| CTS—Overall technical condition  | K3                | 1  |     | 2.8|

A categorisation of K3 indicates an average evaluation and, according to Table 1, is not expected
to require immediate rectification, though repairs and maintenance should be expected in the near
future. However, it should also be noted that some of the technical indicators were categorised as being
in very good condition (K1), while some were classified as emergency levels (K5). Considering this
perspective, the overall condition of the water network is likely unsatisfactory, especially due to the
enormously high water losses in the network. Thus, urgent action is likely required.

3.3. Funding and Planning for Water Main Renewal

To calculate the TDAP, the service life of the system is required along with the value of the
percentage of wear. It is thus recommended that a financial plan be determined by considering the
service life estimates presented in Table 12. The obligation to save for, and subsequently restore,
water systems was prescribed for owners of water supply systems by the Amendment to the Water
Supply and Sewage Systems Act (i.e., the Act No. 76/2006 Coll.) as of February 2006. Based on this act,
Zaben Municipality—as the owner of the water supply network in its region—should theoretically
have annual funding for the restoration of the water mains (RPPO) for at least the next 10 years.
Since no major reconstruction projects have been undertaken nor has other investment been made in
the water system of the village so far, it can be assumed that the village has been saving the money
to date.

Using Equation (3), we determined the percentage of wear as

$$PO = \frac{36.5 \times 100}{60} = \frac{3650}{60} = 60.8\%$$

(7)

which is consistent with the fact the system is past approximately half its service life. Subsequently,
Equation (4) was used to determine the TDAP by

$$TDAP = \frac{60 \times (100 - 60.8)}{100} = \frac{60 \times 39.2}{100} = \frac{2352}{100} = 23.5 \text{ years}$$

(8)

Based on these calculations, the total theoretical time required for accumulation of funds is
23.5 years, which means that the water supply system should be reconstructed in its entirety by 2042.
The total value of the water mains in the village (according to annual reports by SmVaK Plc) is approximately 26.823 million CZK (€1.03 million), RPPO was calculated using Equation (5) as follows:

\[
RPPO = \frac{26.823 \text{ million CZK}}{23.5} = 1.052 \text{ million CZK} \approx €40,462
\]  

which is equivalent to CZK 10.52 million (€404,615) over the 10 years since the establishment of the legal obligation to prepare a plan for financial renovation of water supply systems. These funds should therefore be either invested annually into water supply system renewal or the owner and operator should plan extensive reconstruction on the timescale of a few years, in which case the funds required are proportionally increased. If the municipality, as the owner of the water mains, obeyed the requirements of the law [28], we can assume it has sufficient funding to commence the first phase of the water supply network reconstruction now.

Based on the evaluation of the structural condition described in Section 3.2, we prepared a plan for the renovation of water mains in the village. As mentioned above, the significant water losses necessitate urgent solutions even though the overall system was generally evaluated as K3 (compliant). Draft plans for the reconstruction particularly considered the failure rate of individual water lines, since this factor has a significant influence on the reliability of the water system village. According to the failure rates of individual pipelines, we proposed a schedule of reconstruction for the water supply network. When preparing the schedule, there were distinguished preparatory and implementation phases of water supply reconstruction. The implementation phase involves the actual design of the infrastructural renewal, whereas the pre-realisation phase includes the preparation of the restoration project, its approval processes, building permit procedures, transport engineering measures during the reconstruction work and so on. The target end date of 2042 is due to the calculated TDAP. A summary of the proposed schedule is provided in Table 15.

| YEAR | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 | 2036 | 2037 | 2038 | 2039 | 2040 | 2041 | 2042 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| A    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    |
| B    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    |
| C    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    |
| D    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    |
| E    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    |
| F    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    |
| G    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    | P    |

Where: P Preparatory phase

The first phase of restoration will take place in the years 2019–2025, which cover the restoration of the water supply system in the southwestern part of the village (Lines F and G) as well as construction of a second water supply conduit from Paskov City. Water line F will be renewed first since it has the highest failure rate of the entire water supply system and is likely a significant source of water losses in the system. Following the renovation of Line F, a second drinking water supply conduit from Paskov of approximately 870 m in length is expected to be constructed in 2021 and 2022 and is expected by PRVKUK [20]. This conduit will connect to Line G, which will also be renewed during this process—specifically by replacing the current water mains with wider pipes (DN200 instead of DN50). Water supply lines F and G are currently designed as branch-type, but in the reconstruction, it would...
be advisable to interconnect them in a circular design so as to improve the operational certainty of both water lines. The second phase will take place between 2035 and 2042, when the remaining parts of water supply in the north-eastern area of the municipality (lines A through E) will be reconstructed. Specifically, water lines D and E will be reconstructed first, followed by lines B and C and finally line A. Thus, restoring the water supply system in the village is a large investment, for which the village must be well prepared.

Given the fees associated with lost water and that it is likely that part of this cost could be recovered by repairing the pipeline, system owners and operators may be incentivised to participate in the revitalisation process. Consider that the present annual water consumption is approximately 36,223 m³/yr. and that WNI may account for up to 11,229 m³/yr. Furthermore, consider that the price of water is CZK 34.40 (€ 1.32)/m³. As such, the financial loss is approximately CZK 386,278 (€ 14,856,85), which represents a significant annual financial loss to the operator of water supply network which may encourage operators to financially support and maintain the system [29].

In short, the result of our proposed financing plan was the annual need to set aside financial assets for the renovation of water supply systems. This is necessary to ensure the required technical equipment and stable operation of the water supply system, the financing for which could be incentivised to operators by addressing the cost of lost water in systems needing repair [28].

3.4. Final Recommendations and Discussions

As described above, the subject of the practical part of the work was modeling and subsequent optimization of the water supply network in Zaben village. Only the distribution network in the village was solved in the work. In the case of further development of the issue, not only should the distribution network itself should be addressed, as it is the most common place of failures and accidents, but so should other parts of drinking water supply system, which form a significant part of the entire system. These parts can include, in particular, raw water sources, drinking water treatment plants, water inlets and reservoirs. In this work, the basic risks of these and other buildings are only outlined, however, the evaluation of their construction and economic condition is not included here due to the scope of the topic. For further development of the issue, it would be appropriate to apply, for example, the methods used in this work, or some other methods of risk analysis, namely those parts of the water supply system that have a significant impact on the functionality and reliability of the system.

For further development of modeling and optimization of the reliability of buildings for drinking water supply, it also seems important to take into account other areas that have been revealed during the elaboration of this very comprehensive topic. Areas suitable for further solution of the issue include in particular:

- the effects of other infrastructures (transport, energy, sewerage, hot water, etc.) and other elements of public space (garden, furniture, space division) on the operability and failure rate of water supply networks,
- the effects of laying engineering networks or water mains on their service life, reliability and failure rate (simple installation in the field, trenchless technologies, pipeline material, common and combined routes, etc.),
- evaluation of other operational parts of drinking water supply system, such as raw water sources, drinking water treatment plants, water supply, water reservoirs, pumping stations, etc.,
- economic evaluation and balancing of individual water supply lines in connection with the financing of the renewal of water supply systems for public use,
- design of method of optimization (e.g., the possibility of using suitable trenchless technology, simple storage in the ground, material design, coordination with other elements of public space) based on the construction status of the water supply network using the FMEA method,
• prediction of failures and accidents in drinking water supply system using a suitable statistical method based on the evaluation of the failure rate of individual elements of the system and the determination of the resulting time to failure (e.g., FTA tree analysis),
• solution and design of a maintenance plan for inspections and revisions using modern technologies for monitoring water supply networks and related operating files (especially detection of water leakage from pipes, monitoring the course of hydrodynamic pressures in the water supply network, etc.)

4. Conclusions

Water supply networks in the Czech Republic are an extensive grid of 77.146 km of water mains that supply water to 9.93 million people—approximately 94% of the population. The water losses in the network have been slightly reduced in recent years, but may still reach an average of 16.8% of water intended for delivery. This amount corresponds to approximately 99.1 million \( \text{m}^3 \) of water per year, which is a huge amount of water that it is costly to produce and is subsequently lost due to leaks. This study presents the water supply network of a model territory in the village of Zaben in the Czech Republic. We applied the methodology for evaluating the technical condition of the water supply system, according to the general FMEA approach. Based on this methodology, the evaluation of individual sections of the water mains was conducted according to their structural condition. We also proposed a financial and logistical plan for the reconstruction of the water supply system in the village on the basis of our analysis. Notably, the proposed time schedule was designed such that the sequence of individual water lines being renewed reflects their structural condition. The result is a schedule for water main reconstruction, whereby the priority is the renewal of those lines that are in the worst technical condition. This schedule can serve as a basis for both the owner and the operator of the water supply network when preparing for the reconstruction or development of the water supply and sewer systems in the region.

The analysis shows that the most critical point of the drinking water supply system in the municipality is clearly the water supply line F, where there is an average of 0.64 failures per kilometer of water supply per year. The second most problematic section is the line G. On the contrary, the smallest number of failures and accidents for the monitored period is shown by the line A with 0.2 failures per one kilometer of water pipeline per year. According to the methodology for evaluating the technical condition of the water supply network in the village was generally evaluated as network belonging to the K3 category—satisfactory. However, due to the enormous losses of water in the network and the relatively high failure rate, especially of some water supply lines in the village, it is appropriate to start the renewal of the water supply system in the village now. The renewal proposal is mainly based on the failure rate of individual series, as this factor has a significant effect on the reliability of the whole drinking water supply system in the village. The procedure and data processing proposed in this work, which was presented on the water supply network in the model area of the village Zaben could be applied to other water supply systems in other municipalities and cities to create realistic conditions for efficient operation of water supply networks and significantly support sustainable development area.

As part of the management of water supply networks in the Czech Republic (in the case where the operator is a private company, as in this case, SmVaK Plc), the total operation is solved for the purpose of maximum profit of the operator. Private entities are not entitled to any state or other support and therefore all interventions in the system are handled as low-cost. In contrast, there are systems that are operated by the state sphere. Here, the main goal is to ensure the supply of water in the required quality and with maximum efficiency. However, there are few such systems in the Czech Republic, mainly due to historical political practices (especially in the 90s). Fortunately this situation has recently improved and an increasing number of drinking water supply systems are being taken over by the state sphere.
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