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ABSTRACT

Background: Technology use has shown an impact of users’ lifestyle. The use has been attributed to psychosocial reasons. This usage manifests as excessive to addictive use of technology. There is a need to explore its addictive potential on large sample study as well as its association with psychosocial variables. It is one of its kind study on wider age group. The present work assessed the magnitude, burden, and sociodemographic correlates of technology addiction in an urban community. Materials and Methods: A total of 2755 individuals (1392 males and 1363 females) in the age group of 18–65 years were approached for screening internet addiction and mobile overuse, using house-to-house survey methodology. Results: The survey indicated the presence of addiction for 1.3% for internet (2% males and 0.6% females) and mobile phone overuse (4.1%–2.5% males and 1.5% females). It was more common among males. Significant differences were observed in relation to family status for internet and mobile phone use more commonly among single/nuclear families. Technology addictions were found to be more common among single families and lesser in nuclear and joint families. Mobile phone users had psychiatric distress in comparison to users with internet addiction. The study showed negative correlation of age, years of marriage, and numbers of family members with internet addiction and mobile overuse. Conclusions: It has implication for raising awareness about addictive potential of technology and its impact on one’s lifestyle.
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INTRODUCTION

Uncertainty and instability are frequently the norm in today’s life. This unpredictability leads to an increased level of discomfort and distress among people as they try to accomplish their day-to-day objectives and achieve...
their professional goals. It has further contributed to the use of technological devices such as internet, video game, online chatting, exercise, sex, shopping, and gambling to manage day-to-day activities as well as their mood states. Technology addiction (also called process addiction or “nonsubstance-related addiction.”) is a recurring compulsion by an individual to engage in some specific activity, despite harmful consequences, as deemed by the user himself/herself to his/her individual health, mental state, or social life. About 1.5 million people, i.e., 3% of the German population, were believed to be at risk of internet addiction. The rate of problematic internet use in Italian adolescents was 5.4% and 18.3% in pathological internet users among British. Among teenagers aged 13–18 years, 10.2% used the internet moderately and 6% was severely addicted to internet. 5% were compulsive buyers in the U.S. 8% reported lifetime internet gambling, 3.6% reported weekly online gambling. 70% of all adult content traffic occurs during the 9-to-5 working day timing, and the adult sites were the fourth most visited category while at work, while 5% of the workforce struggles with problems related to sexual compulsivity. Among them, 80% were male. Nearly 20% of men and 12% of women reported using the internet at work for sexual pursuits. The prevalence of internet use at workplace reported to be as low as 1% and as high as 39%. Mood disorders (72%), anxiety disorders (38%), and substance abuse (40%) were most frequently observed in patients with sexual addictions.

In Indian context, 5% of youth in the age group of 18–25 years have addictive use of social networking sites and 24% have problematic usage of internet.

There is a need to assess the magnitude of technology addiction on a large sample with wider age group in Indian context. Since it was the first of its kind work in India, the present study had focused on the assessment of magnitude and sociodemographic correlates of technology addiction in an urban community.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Aim**
The study aimed to assess the magnitude of technology addiction and its relationship with psychosocial variables

**Sample**
A total of 2755 individuals (1392 males and 1363 females) in the age group of 18–65 years were approached for the house-to-house survey. The participants with an inability to read and write English or regional language and unwillingness to participate were excluded from the study.

**Tools**
- **Sociodemographic profile data sheet**: It was prepared by the researcher for collecting sociodemographic information on psychosocial variables related to technology addiction.
- **Internet addiction test**: It is a 20-item questionnaire-based test on 5-point Likert scale to assess addiction to internet. The test had moderate-to-good internal consistency. It was validated by personal and general internet usage.
- **Mobile involvement questionnaire**: It is an 8-item questionnaire to assess the pattern of mobile use. Each item was assessed using Likert scale, the maximum score was 56. Scoring suggests that higher the score, higher the use in the present study; based on this pilot work, score of 40 and above was taken as overusers.
- **General Health Questionnaire – 5**: This is a screening tool with validity of sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 89% with a cutting point of 2.

**Procedure**
A total of 2755 individuals (1392 males and 1363 females) in the age group of 18–65 years (18–20; 21–25; 26–30; 31–35; 36–40; 41–45; 46–50; 51–55; 56–60; and 61–65) were approached for administration of schedule in this house-to-house survey for screening internet addiction and use of Facebook from urban localities chosen based on the representative group of socioeconomic status in East Bengaluru, Karnataka, India. During the initial phase, there were many rejections from the participants. They were approached again and explained about the need of the study. At least three attempts were made to develop contact with the residents before they were considered as dropout. The present study had obtained NIMHANS Institute’s Ethic Committee’s approval.

**Statistical analysis**
All the nominal and ordinal measures were analyzed using the suitable statistical procedure such as frequency and percentage. Comparative analysis was carried out by Pearson’s correlation coefficient, subgroup analysis; ANOVA and Chi-square test were also carried out.

**RESULTS**
2755 individuals were enrolled for the exploration of technology addictions from the urban localities of East Bengaluru, India. The mean age of the sample was 36.48 years, with standard deviation (SD) of 12.999. The maximum percentage of sample was in the age range of 18–20 years. Nearly 50.5% of the participants were males and 49.5% were females.

Sample includes single (7.5%), married (66.7%), widowed (5.1%), and divorced or separated (0.6%) members. Among the married members, the mean
for years of marriage was 10.452, with SD of 11.67854; all members had education level above higher secondary/preuniversity education. Only 9.9% of the members had primary education. About 1051 participants reported that they are using Facebook as social network media with a mean of 20.48.

Table 1 shows the observed technology addictions with 1.3% for internet (2% males and 0.6% females) and cell phone (4.1%–2.5% males and 1.5% females).

Table 2 shows significant difference among males and females with respect to age, years of marriage, health aspects, mobile phone use, internet addiction, and Facebook usage with \( P = 0.001 \). Males had high mean scores than females for these addictions.

Table 3 reflects the pattern of behavioral addiction across family status: single > nuclear > single parenting > joint; mobile overuse: single > nuclear > joint.

Table 4 indicates the presence of psychiatric distress among mobile phone users.

Qualitative data indicated that 3.3% of internet users and 5% of mobile users of surveyed population showed motivation to change their pattern of use. Almost 6.8% of mobile overusers and 5.3% with internet addiction had psychiatric distress.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This study reports the presence of addiction for internet (1.3%–2% males and 0.6% females) and cell phone (4.1%–2.5% males and 1.5% females) [Table 1]. A total of 1051 participants reported that they are using Facebook as social network media with a mean of 20.48. It was more common among males [Table 2]. Significant differences were observed in relation to family status for internet and mobile phone use with more common among single/nuclear families. Technology addictions were found more common among single families and lesser in nuclear and joint families [Tables 3 and 4]. Mobile phone users had psychiatric distress in comparison to users with internet addiction [Table 5]. The study showed negative correlation of age, years of marriage and numbers of members in the family with internet addiction and mobile overuse.

Table 1: Frequency of technology addiction

| Items                  | Frequency | Percentage |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Internet addiction     | 36/2754   | 1.3 (2% males and 0.6% females) |
| Cell phone overuse     | 111/2754  | 4.1 (5% males and 3.1% females) |

Table 2: Pattern of behavioral addiction and gender

| Variables               | Female | Male | F  | Significance |
|-------------------------|--------|------|----|--------------|
|                        | Mean   | SD   | Mean | SD   | n |   |               |               |
| Age                    | 36.97  | 13.646 | 36.49 | 12.255 | 1392 | 3.717 | 0.054 |
| Years of marriage      | 11.8924 | 11.5701 | 10.4559 | 11.6186 | 1392 | 41.425 | 0.00 |
| GHQ-total              | 0.74   | 1.17  | 0.68  | 1.338  | 1392 | 6.046 | 0.014 |
| Mobile phone addiction | 1.25   | 3.757 | 1.74  | 2.96   | 1392 | 56.47 | 0.00  |
| Internet addiction     | 0.57   | 2.884 | 1.02  | 1.848  | 1392 | 91.669 | 0.00  |
| Monthly expense for mobile phone | 287.79 | 684.253 | 392.27 | 352.464 | 1392 | 79.098 | 0.00  |

Table 3: The percentages scores between family pattern and technology addictions

| Addictions   | Total, n (%) | Nuclear, n (%) | Single, n (%) | Joint, n (%) | Single parenting, n (%) |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Internet     | 36 (1.3)     | 25 (1.3)       | 3 (2.1)       | 7 (1.1)      | 1 (1.8)                |
| Mobile       | 110 (4)      | 81 (4.2)       | 6 (4.3)       | 23 (3.7)     | 0                      |

Table 4: The association between family pattern, psychiatric distress, and technology addictions

| Tests                | Nuclear | Single | Joint | Single parent | Total | F  | Significance |
|----------------------|---------|--------|-------|---------------|-------|----|--------------|
|                      | Mean    | SD     | Mean  | SD            | Mean  | SD | n  |               |               |
| GHQ total            | 0.66    | 1.215  | 0.8   | 1.364         | 1.63  | 1.959 | 0.68 | 1.258 | 2755 | 14.1 | 0.000 |
| Internet addiction   | 2.31    | 3.456  | 0.99  | 2.359         | 1.36  | 2.799 | 1.02 | 2.468 | 2755 | 14.2 | 0.000 |
| Mobile phone use     | 17.64   | 10.689 | 8.24  | 8.844         | 9.36  | 10.199 | 9.78 | 9.821 | 2755 | 36.43 | 0.000 |

SD – Standard deviation; GHQ – General Health Questionnaire
This study documents the presence of technology addiction in the Indian community. It has implications for studying the pattern of technology addictions and evolving program for enhancing community awareness at school/college/community level and preparation of resource materials on technology addiction.
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