Circulating sex hormone levels in relation to male sperm quality
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Abstract
Background Although sex hormones play critical roles in spermatogenesis and sperm maturation, it remains inconclusive whether circulating sex hormones can serve as non-invasive biomarkers to determine sperm quality.

Methods We systematically evaluated the association of various sex hormones in serum with sperm quality among 338 subfertile males. Concentrations of luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), total testosterone (TT), total estradiol (E2), and sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG) were detected by chemiluminescent immunoassay. Free testosterone and estradiol were calculated using a validated algorithm. A generalized linear regression model controlling for lifestyle factors was used to assess the associations with sperm count, concentration, motility, and morphology.

Results After adjusting for age, body mass index, current smoking and alcohol drinking, LH, FSH, and TT levels were all inversely associated with sperm motility (all P for trend < 0.05); however, in mutual adjustment analysis, only LH remained an inverse association with sperm motility after adjusting for FSH and TT levels ( P for trend = 0.04 ). Higher concentrations of LH were also associated with lower sperm progressive motility ( P for trend = 0.04 ). Moreover, LH and FSH levels were both inversely associated with normal sperm morphology ( P for trend = 0.04 and 0.02, respectively ).

Conclusions Increased levels of LH are associated with poor sperm motility and morphology. Our findings suggest that LH might play a central role in sperm maturation, which represents a potential biomarker to improve clinical evaluation of male infertility.

Background
Over the past decades, infertility has become a serious health problem worldwide. Nearly 10–15% of couples at optimal reproductive age suffer from infertility [1], and 50% of cases are attributable to impaired sperm quality [2, 3]. In 2010, the WHO proposed a new manual for the laboratory examination of human semen, in which new reference values for semen characteristics were markedly lower than those reported in previous versions [4]. In addition to semen analysis, a blood test is commonly conducted to examine sex hormone concentrations for the males seeking fertility evaluation or treatment. Nevertheless, due to limited and inconsistent results from population-based
studies, it is not well understood how variability in the levels of circulating sex hormones impact semen quality.

Sex hormones, such as luteinizing hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), estradiol (E2), testosterone, and sex hormone-binding hormone (SHBG), are demonstrated to play vital roles in spermatogenesis and sperm maturation [5, 6]. Several population-based studies, but not others [7-9], reported that circulating levels of sex hormones were associated with sperm concentration, motility, or morphology [10-12]. The exact reasons for this inconsistency remain unknown, but most of the studies were limited by small sample sizes and inadequate control for potential confounding (e.g., age, body mass index, smoking, and alcohol consumption). Moreover, because various sex hormones tend to be correlated with each other, statistical analysis is required to separate their independent effects. Therefore, current data are far from conclusive to guide clinical evaluation of semen quality.

Further investigations are warranted to address the relationship between circulating sex hormones and sperm quality, which may provide a non-invasive tool to improve early detection and treatment of male infertility.

In this study, we systematically evaluated the association of various sex hormones in serum with sperm quality among 338 subfertile men. We not only adjusted for the factors including age, body mass index (BMI), smoking, and alcohol consumption, but also performed mutual adjustment for sex hormones. The results could reveal the independent association of aforementioned hormones, and provide the clues about the specific effect of each hormone on semen quality.

Method

Study population

This study included subfertile men who attended infertility outpatient clinic at Nanjing Jinling Hospital between August 2012 and June 2015. They were aged 18 to 50 years, and their partners had not conceived within 12 months after stopping use of contraception. All participants were asked to complete a questionnaire about occupation, lifestyle factors (e.g., alcohol consumption and smoking history), and medical and reproductive history. After undergoing physical examination, they provided semen specimens and fasting venous blood. This study was approved by the Human Subject
Committees of Nanjing Jinling Hospital, and informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Analysis of semen parameters

Semen specimens were collected through masturbation after 2–7 days of sexual abstinence. After liquefaction at 37 °C, total sperm count, sperm concentration, total motility, and progressive motility were analyzed using a computer-aided sperm analysis system (CFT-9201; Jiangsu Rich Life Science Instrument Co., Ltd., Nanjing, China). Sperm morphology was evaluated using Diff-Quik staining according to the manufacture’s protocol (Nanjing Xindi Biological Pharmaceutical Engineering Co., Ltd. Nanjing, China). At least 200 spermatozoa were counted for each specimen, and the experiments were performed at least twice.

Determinations of serum sex hormones

Serum was isolated from blood samples by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 5 min. Concentrations of LH, FSH, TT, E2, and SHBG were determined by chemiluminescent immunoassay with commercially available kits (Beckman Coulter, Inc., USA) and an automated Unicel Dxl 800 Access Immunoassay System (Beckman Coulter, Inc., USA). The lower detection limits were 0.2 IU/L for LH, 0.2 IU/L for FSH, 0.35 nmol/L for TT, 18 pmol/L for E2, and 0.33 nmol/L for SHBG. The intra-assay coefficients of variation (CV) for LH, FSH, TT, E2 and SHBG were all less than 5%, and the inter-assay CVs were all less than 8%. Free testosterone and estradiol were calculated using a validated method based on total testosterone or estradiol, SHBG, an assumed constant representing the normal albumin concentration, and the association constants for the binding of testosterone and estradiol to SHBG and albumin [13]. Calculated values for free testosterone and estradiol was shown to be highly correlated (r ≥ 0.85) with direct measurements of free hormone levels [14], and this method was commonly used in previous studies [15, 16].

Statistical analysis

We calculated the partial Spearman correlation coefficients between each two of the hormones after adjusting for age at blood collection. Adjusted least-squares means (LSM) of semen parameters by tertiles of hormone concentrations were calculated using a generalized liner regression model controlling for age, BMI, current smoking and alcohol drinking status. In mutual adjustment analysis, all hormones associated with sperm quality were included in the multivariate models. We tested for
linear trend across hormone categories by treating them as ordinal prediction in multivariate linear regression models. All data analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Result

Study population

Table 1 shows the characteristics of 338 male participants and the concentrations of serum sex hormones. The mean age of participants was 28.8 years, and most of them had been married (81%). The proportions of current smoking and alcohol drinking were 48% and 63%, respectively.

Correlation between serum sex hormone

Before analyzing the association between sex hormones and sperm parameters, we evaluated the correlation between sex hormones (Table 2). According to age-adjusted Spearman correlation coefficients, moderate positive correlations ($r = 0.22 \sim 0.55$, all $P < 0.001$) were observed for LH and FSH, LH and total testosterone, total/free testosterone and total estradiol, total testosterone and SHBG. We also found moderate inverse associations of SHBG with free testosterone ($r = -0.32$, $P < 0.001$) and free estradiol ($r = -0.24$, $P < 0.001$), supporting the known role of SHBG in lowering free testosterone and estradiol.

LH and FSH are associated with sperm motility and morphology

Table 3 shows the association between sex hormone levels and sperm total motility. The adjusted LSM (95% CI) of sperm motility was calculated according to the tertiles of each sex hormone. We found that LH, FSH, and TT levels were all inversely associated with sperm motility (all $P$ for trend < 0.05). In mutual adjustment analysis, only LH remained a statistically significant association with sperm total motility after further adjusting for FSH and TT ($P$ for trend = 0.01). Compared to the lowest tertile of LH (adjusted LSM = 47.1%), the highest tertile group had decreased sperm total motility (adjusted LSM = 40.5%, $P = 0.04$).

We further analyzed the association between sex hormone levels and sperm progressive motility, i.e. swimming in a straight line or in a large circle, which is necessary for fertilization. In Table 4, serum LH levels was found to be inversely associated with sperm progressive motility. Compared to the lowest tertile of LH (adjusted LSM = 30.0%), the highest tertile group showed decreased progressive
motility (adjusted LSM = 26.5%, P = 0.04).

In Table 5, we observed that LH and FSH were both inversely associated with the proportion of normal sperm morphology. Compared with the lowest tertile of LH (adjusted LSM = 6.8%), the highest tertile group had a lower proportion of normal sperm morphology (adjusted LSM = 6.0%, P = 0.01). Moreover, the highest tertile of FSH showed a lower proportion of normal sperm morphology (adjusted LSM = 6.0%, P = 0.01) than the lowest tertile (adjusted LSM = 7.0%). The associations for LH and FSH remained statistically significant in mutual adjustment analysis.

We also analyzed the association between sex hormone levels and total sperm count and sperm concentrations. We found that none of sex hormones was associated with total sperm count (All P for trend > 0.05) (Supplementary Table 1). Higher levels of LH and FSH appeared to be associated with lower sperm concentrations, but the trend tests were statistically non-significant (Supplementary Table 2).

Discussion

This study showed that serum concentrations of LH were inversely associated with sperm motility and normal morphology, after adjusting for various lifestyle factors and other sex hormone levels. In addition, higher levels of FSH showed an independent association with a lower proportion of normal sperm morphology. No statistically significant association was observed between sex hormones and total sperm count or sperm concentrations. Our findings suggested that LH might play a central role in the sperm motility and morphology, supporting the utility of circulating LH levels as a biomarker for assessing sperm quality.

A few studies have investigated the relationship between circulating sex hormones and sperm parameters. Kumanov et al. found that serum concentrations of LH and FSH were inversely correlated with sperm count, motility, and morphology, while testosterone was not correlated [10]. Similarly, another study by Meeker et al. reported significant negative correlations of LH and FSH with sperm concentration, motility, and morphology; however, testosterone levels were significantly positively correlated with motility [11]. In contrast, two small studies reported that only FSH levels had a negative correlation with semen parameters, while LH and testosterone levels did not [7, 8].
Compared with those studies, the current study had relatively large sample size, included various sex hormones, adjusted for lifestyle factors, and performed mutual adjustment analysis. We found that LH, FSH, and TT were all inversely associated with sperm total motility; however, only LH had an independent association after adjusting for FSH and TT, supporting the central role of LH in sperm motility. Consistently, only LH levels was found to be inversely associated with sperm progressive motility. We also observed that LH and FSH were inversely associated with sperm morphology after mutual adjustment, suggesting that both of them are important for sperm to maintain normal morphology. To our knowledge, the current study represents a first attempt to disentangle independent effects of various sex hormones on sperm quality.

The primary role of LH in the male is to stimulate the production of testosterone by the Leydig cells which then, together with FSH, control spermatogonial cell formation and spermatogenesis in the Sertoli cells [17]. Early studies indicated that gonadal failure, a cause of infertility, was characterized by increased levels of LH and FSH [18]. Male patients with idiopathic oligozoospermia were also found to have a higher mean LH pulse frequency than the controls [19]. The increase in LH or FSH concentrations may reflect that the testicles have insufficient capacity for normal spermatogenesis. In the current study, we observed a suggestive association between LH/FSH and sperm concentration, although not statistically significant. On the other hand, LH may affect fructose utilization, glucose oxidation, and adenyl cyclase activity in sperm, which are important means by which spermatozoa derive energy for motility [20]. The acquisition of sperm motility occurs during sperm maturation in the epididymis, and LH receptors have been detected in epididymal epithelium [21, 22]. Moreover, in the absence of LH, addition of T and FSH can only partly rescue the phenotype of abnormal sperm [23]. These evidences are in support of our findings, suggesting a critical role of LH in sperm motility.

Although recent studies support that LH may be also implicated in sperm morphology [24, 25], specific mechanisms remain unknown. More functional research is warranted to clarify the current results.

This study has several strengths, including relatively large sample size, inclusion of various sex hormones, adjustment for potential confounding, and mutual adjustment analysis to determine
independent effects; however, our analysis was cross-sectional, which limited the ability to make causal inference. Additionally, only a single measurement of circulating hormones was available that may not represent long-term levels. Therefore, prospective studies with repeated assessment of circulating hormones are necessary to validate our findings.

Conclusions
In summary, our study demonstrates that circulating LH is inversely associated with sperm motility and morphology, suggesting that LH might act as a major regulator in sperm maturation. Our findings also support the potential of circulating LH as a non-invasive biomarker to improve early detection and treatment of male infertility.

List Of Abbreviations
LH, luteinizing hormone
FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone
TT, total testosterone
E2, estradiol
SHBG, sex hormone-binding hormone
BMI, body mass index
LSM, least-squares means
CV, coefficients of variation

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee (ICE) by Nanjing Medical University. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects before research and publishing of the results of the study.

Consent for publication
Not applicable

Availability of data and materials
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Competing interests
None declared.

**Funding**

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (811502873) and the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC0908300).

**Authors’ contributions**

WZ and JJ performed statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. YS, RZ, and CW were involved in the acquisition, analysis, and interpretations of data. DH and BY were responsible for study design. All authors critically assessed, edited, and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

Not applicable.

**Reference**

1. Sharlip ID, Jarow JP, Belker AM, Lipshultz LI, Sigman M, Thomas AJ, Schlegel PN, Howards SS, Nehra A, Damewood MD *et al*: **Best practice policies for male infertility**. *Fertility and sterility* 2002, 77(5):873-882.

2. Ross C, Morriss A, Khairy M, Khalaf Y, Braude P, Coomarasamy A, El-Toukhy T: **A systematic review of the effect of oral antioxidants on male infertility**. *Reproductive biomedicine online* 2010, 20(6):711-723.

3. Skakkebaek NE, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Buck Louis GM, Toppari J, Andersson AM, Eisenberg ML, Jensen TK, Jorgensen N, Swan SH, Sapra KJ *et al*: **Male Reproductive Disorders and Fertility Trends: Influences of Environment and Genetic Susceptibility**. *Physiological reviews* 2016, 96(1):55-97.

4. Organization WH: **WHO Laboratory Manual for the Examination and Processing of Human Semen, 5th edn.**; 2010.

5. Weinbauer GF, Nieschlag E: **Gonadotrophin control of testicular germ cell development**. *Advances in experimental medicine and biology* 1995, 377:55-65.

6. Patel DP, Chandrapal JC, Hotaling JM: **Hormone-Based Treatments in Subfertile**
Males. *Current urology reports* 2016, **17**(8):56.

7. Subhan F, Tahir F, Ahmad R, Khan ZD: **Oligospermia and its relation with hormonal profile.** *JPMA The Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association* 1995, **45**(9):246-247.

8. Uhler ML, Zinaman MJ, Brown CC, Clegg ED: **Relationship between sperm characteristics and hormonal parameters in normal couples.** *Fertility and sterility* 2003, **79** Suppl 3:1535-1542.

9. Keskin MZ, Budak S, Zeyrek T, Celik O, Mertoglu O, Yoldas M, Ilbey YO: **The relationship between serum hormone levels (follicle-stimulating hormone, luteinizing hormone, total testosterone) and semen parameters.** *Archivio italiano di urologia, andrologia : organo ufficiale [di] Societa italiana di ecografia urologica e nefrologica* 2015, **87**(3):194-197.

10. Kumanov P, Nandipati K, Tomova A, Agarwal A: **Inhibin B is a better marker of spermatogenesis than other hormones in the evaluation of male factor infertility.** *Fertility and sterility* 2006, **86**(2):332-338.

11. Meeker JD, Godfrey-Bailey L, Hauser R: **Relationships between serum hormone levels and semen quality among men from an infertility clinic.** *Journal of andrology* 2007, **28**(3):397-406.

12. Bhangade MB, Prasad S, Jiloha RC, Ray PC, Mohapatra S, Koner BC: **Effect of psychological stress on fertility hormones and seminal quality in male partners of infertile couples.** *Andrologia* 2015, **47**(3):336-342.

13. Sodergard R, Backstrom T, Shanbhag V, Carstensen H: **Calculation of free and bound fractions of testosterone and estradiol-17 beta to human plasma proteins at body temperature.** *Journal of steroid biochemistry* 1982, **16**(6):801-810.
14. Rinaldi S, Geay A, Dechaud H, Biessy C, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Akhmedkhanov A, Shore RE, Riboli E, Toniolo P, Kaaks R: **Validity of free testosterone and free estradiol determinations in serum samples from postmenopausal women by theoretical calculations.** *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology* 2002, **11**(Pt 1):1065-1071.

15. Farhat GN, Cummings SR, Chlebowski RT, Parimi N, Cauley JA, Rohan TE, Huang AJ, Vitolins M, Hubbell FA, Manson JE et al: **Sex hormone levels and risks of estrogen receptor-negative and estrogen receptor-positive breast cancers.** *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 2011, **103**(7):562-570.

16. Lin JH, Zhang SM, Rexrode KM, Manson JE, Chan AT, Wu K, Tworoger SS, Hankinson SE, Fuchs C, Gaziano JM et al: **Association between sex hormones and colorectal cancer risk in men and women.** *Clinical gastroenterology and hepatology : the official clinical practice journal of the American Gastroenterological Association* 2013, **11**(4):419-424 e411.

17. Jarow JP: **Endocrine causes of male infertility.** *The Urologic clinics of North America* 2003, **30**(1):83-90.

18. Beastall GH, Ferguson KM, O’Reilly DS, Seth J, Sheridan B: **Assays for follicle stimulating hormone and luteinising hormone: guidelines for the provision of a clinical biochemistry service.** *Annals of clinical biochemistry* 1987, **24**(Pt 3):246-262.

19. Bennet A, Bujan L, Plantavid M, Barbe P, Caron P, Louvet JP: **Luteinizing hormone pulse frequency and in vitro bioactivity in male idiopathic infertility.** *Fertility and sterility* 1991, **55**(3):612-618.

20. Sheth AR, Shah GV, Mugatwala PP: **Levels of luteinizing hormone in semen of**
fertile and infertile men and possible significance of luteinizing hormone in sperm metabolism. *Fertility and sterility* 1976, **27**(8):933-936.

21. Sun LP, Du QZ, Song YP, Yu JN, Wang SJ, Sang L, Song LW, Yue YM, Lian YZ, Zhang SL et al: Polymorphisms in luteinizing hormone receptor and hypothalamic gonadotropin-releasing hormone genes and their effects on sperm quality traits in Chinese Holstein bulls. *Molecular biology reports* 2012, **39**(6):7117-7123.

22. Sun LP, Song YP, Liu JJ, Liu XR, Guo AZ, Yang LG: Differential expression of luteinizing hormone receptor, androgen receptor and heat-shock protein 70 in the testis of long-distance transported mice. *Genetics and molecular research : GMR* 2015, **14**(3):9985-9993.

23. Pakarainen T, Zhang FP, Makela S, Poutanen M, Huhtaniemi I: Testosterone replacement therapy induces spermatogenesis and partially restores fertility in luteinizing hormone receptor knockout mice. *Endocrinology* 2005, **146**(2):596-606.

24. Liu Z, Shi X, Wang L, Yang Y, Fu Q, Tao M: Associations between male reproductive characteristics and the outcome of assisted reproductive technology (ART). *Bioscience reports* 2017, **37**(3).

25. Kovac JR, Smith RP, Cajipe M, Lamb DJ, Lipshultz LI: Men with a complete absence of normal sperm morphology exhibit high rates of success without assisted reproduction. *Asian journal of andrology* 2017, **19**(1):39-42.

Tables
Table 1
Basic characteristics of study participants¹.
| Variable                              | N=338        |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Age at blood draw (year)             | 28.8 ± 5.1  |
| BMI (kg/m²)                          | 24.0 ± 3.1  |
| Married, %                           | 81.1        |
| Current smoking, %                   | 47.9        |
| Current alcohol drinking, %          | 63.3        |

**Serum sex hormone**

- Luteinizing hormone (IU/L) 4.6(2.8)
- Follicle-stimulating hormone (IU/L) 4.5(2.3)
- Total testosterone (nmol/L) 14.0(6.1)
- Free testosterone (nmol/L) 0.3(0.1)
- Total estradiol (pmol/L) 98.5(57.0)
- Free estradiol (pmol/L) 1.7(1.1)
- Sex hormone-binding hormone (nmol/L) 27.9(17.8)

Footnote: Mean ± SD is presented for continuous variables, percentage for categorical variables, and median value (interquartile range) for sex hormones.

**Table 2**

Age-adjusted Spearman correlation coefficient between serum sex hormones.
| Sex hormone          | Luteinizing hormone | Follicle-stimulating hormone | Total testosterone | Free testosterone | Total estradiol | Free estradiol |
|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
|          | 0.28<sup>c</sup>    | -                           | 0.24<sup>c</sup>    | 0.08<sup>b</sup>  | -              |               |
| Follicle-stimulating hormone | -                  | 0.18<sup>b</sup>            |                    |                   |                |               |
| Total testosterone  | 0.16<sup>b</sup>    | 0.08                        | 0.55<sup>c</sup>    | -                 | 0.22<sup>c</sup> |               |
| Free testosterone   | -0.03<sup>a</sup>   | -0.13<sup>a</sup>           | 0.09               | 0.32<sup>c</sup>  | 0.94<sup>c</sup> | -             |
| Total estradiol      | 0.12<sup>a</sup>    | 0.11<sup>a</sup>            | 0.55<sup>c</sup>    | -0.32<sup>c</sup> | 0.08           | -0.24         |
| Free estradiol       | -                   | -                           |                    |                   |                |               |

<sup>a</sup>P < 0.05; <sup>b</sup>P < 0.01; <sup>c</sup>P < 0.001.

Table 3
Association between serum sex hormone levels and sperm total motility<sup>1</sup>.

| Sex hormone          | n   | Sperm total motility (%) | Least-squares mean (95% CI) | P     | P for trend |
|----------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| Luteinizing hormone  |     |                          |                             |       |             |
| Tertile 1            | 112 | 48.4(44.4, 52.3)         | Ref                         | 0.002 |             |
| Tertile 2            | 114 | 43.9(40.1, 47.8)         | 0.12                        |       |             |
| Tertile 3            | 112 | 39.4(35.4, 43.3)         | 0.002                       |       |             |

Luteinizing hormone<sup>2</sup>
| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 101   | 47.1(42.9, 51.3) | Ref  | 0.04    |
| 2       | 98    | 44.3(40.2, 48.5) |      | 0.36    |
| 3       | 91    | 40.5(36.0, 44.9) |      | 0.04    |

**Follicle-stimulating hormone**

| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 112   | 46.6(42.6, 50.5) | Ref  | 0.01    |
| 2       | 114   | 45.5(41.6, 49.4) |      | 0.71    |
| 3       | 112   | 39.6(35.6, 43.5) |      | 0.01    |

**Follicle-stimulating hormone^2**

| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 93    | 44.3(39.9, 48.6) | Ref  | 0.54    |
| 2       | 97    | 45.7(41.5, 49.9) |      | 0.65    |
| 3       | 100   | 42.4(38.1, 46.6) |      | 0.54    |

**Total testosterone**

| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 96    | 48.2(43.8, 52.6) | Ref  | 0.03    |
| 2       | 97    | 43.0(38.8, 47.2) |      | 0.09    |
| 3       | 97    | 41.1(367, 45.5)  |      | 0.03    |

**Total testosterone^2**

| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 96    | 47.3(42.9, 51.7) | Ref  | 0.14    |
| 2       | 97    | 42.7(38.5, 46.9) |      | 0.14    |
| 3       | 97    | 42.3(37.9, 46.8) |      | 0.14    |

**Free testosterone**

| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 96    | 46.7(42.3, 51.0) | Ref  | 0.06    |
| 2       | 97    | 44.9(40.7, 49.1) |      | 0.56    |
| 3       | 97    | 40.7(36.5, 45.0) |      | 0.06    |

**Total estradiol**

| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 113   | 44.2(40.3, 48.2) | Ref  | 0.60    |
| 2       | 115   | 44.7(40.8, 48.6) |      | 0.87    |
| 3       | 110   | 42.7(38.7, 46.7) |      | 0.59    |

**Free estradiol**

| Tertile | Value | Range       | Ref  | p-value |
|---------|-------|-------------|------|---------|
| 1       | 96    | 43.5(39.3, 47.7) | Ref  | 0.339   |
| 2       | 97    | 48.3(44.0, 52.5) |      | 0.12    |
| 3       | 97    | 40.5(36.3, 44.7) |      | 0.32    |
Sex hormone-binding hormone

| Tertile   | N  | Mean (Min, Max) | Ref | p     |
|-----------|----|----------------|-----|-------|
| Tertile 1 | 112| 45.4(41.2, 49.6)| Ref | 0.95  |
| Tertile 2 | 113| 41.3(37.4, 45.3)| 0.18|       |
| Tertile 3 | 113| 44.9(40.9, 49.0)| 0.88|       |

1 General linear models were adjusted for age at sample collection, BMI (continuous), current smoking (yes or no), and current alcohol consumption (yes or no). P for trend was calculated by treating hormone categories as ordinal predictors in multivariate linear regression models.

2 Mutual adjustment for hormones associated with sperm motility in multivariate linear regression models.

Table 4
Association between serum sex hormone levels and sperm progressive motility.

---

16
| Sex hormone                  | n   | Progressive motility (%) | Least-squares mean (95% CI) | P   | P for trend |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-------------|
|                             |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| **Luteinizing hormone**     |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| Tertile 1                   | 112 | 30.0 (27.6, 32.4)        | Ref                         | 0.04|             |
| Tertile 2                   | 114 | 27.8 (25.5, 30.2)        | 0.20                        |     |             |
| Tertile 3                   | 112 | 26.5 (24.1, 28.9)        | 0.04                        |     |             |
| **Follicle-stimulating hormone** |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| Tertile 1                   | 112 | 28.9 (26.5, 31.3)        | Ref                         | 0.14|             |
| Tertile 2                   | 114 | 29.1 (26.7, 31.4)        | 0.94                        |     |             |
| Tertile 3                   | 112 | 26.4 (24.0, 28.8)        | 0.14                        |     |             |
| **Total testosterone**      |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| Tertile 1                   | 96  | 29.6 (26.9, 32.3)        | Ref                         | 0.22|             |
| Tertile 2                   | 97  | 28.3 (25.7, 30.8)        | 0.48                        |     |             |
| Tertile 3                   | 97  | 27.1 (24.4, 29.9)        | 0.23                        |     |             |
| **Free testosterone**       |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| Tertile 1                   | 96  | 30.3 (27.6, 32.9)        | Ref                         | 0.05|             |
| Tertile 2                   | 97  | 28.2 (25.7, 30.8)        | 0.28                        |     |             |
| Tertile 3                   | 97  | 26.5 (23.9, 29.2)        | 0.06                        |     |             |
| **Total estradiol**         |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| Tertile 1                   | 113 | 28.4 (26.0, 30.7)        | Ref                         | 0.69|             |
| Tertile 2                   | 115 | 28.3 (26.0, 30.7)        | 0.98                        |     |             |
| Tertile 3                   | 110 | 27.7 (25.2, 30.1)        | 0.69                        |     |             |
| **Free estradiol**          |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| Tertile 1                   | 96  | 28.16 (25.6, 30.7)       | Ref                         | 0.35|             |
| Tertile 2                   | 97  | 30.5 (27.9, 33.1)        | 0.21                        |     |             |
| Tertile 3                   | 97  | 26.4 (23.8, 28.9)        | 0.34                        |     |             |
| **Sex hormone-binding hormone** |     |                          |                             |     |             |
| Tertile 1                   | 112 | 27.6 (25.0, 30.1)        | Ref                         | 0.26|             |
| Tertile 2                   | 113 | 27.2 (24.8, 29.6)        | 0.85                        |     |             |
| Tertile 3                   | 113 | 29.6 (27.1, 32.0)        | 0.29                        |     |             |
General linear models were adjusted for age at sample collection, BMI (continuous), current smoking (yes or no), and current alcohol consumption (yes or no). \( P \) for trend was calculated by treating hormone categories as ordinal predictors in multivariate linear regression models.

Table 5
Association between serum sex hormone levels and the proportion of normal sperm morphology\(^1\).

| Sperm parameters | \( n \) | Normal sperm morphology (%) | Least-squares mean (95% CI) | \( P \) | \( P \) for trend |
|-----------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Luteinizing hormone |       |                             |                             |       |                |
| Tertile 1       | 112   | 6.8(6.5, 7.5)               | Ref                         | 0.01  |                |
| Tertile 2       | 114   | 6.9(6.4, 7.3)               | 0.74                        |       |                |
| Tertile 3       | 112   | 6.0(5.5, 6.5)               | 0.01                        |       |                |
| Luteinizing hormone\(^2\) |       |                             |                             |       |                |
| Tertile 1       | 111   | 6.9(6.4, 7.4)               | Ref                         | 0.04  |                |
| Tertile 2       | 111   | 6.8(6.4, 7.3)               | 0.87                        |       |                |
| Tertile 3       | 109   | 6.1(5.6, 6.6)               | 0.04                        |       |                |
| Follicle-stimulating hormone |       |                             |                             |       |                |
| Tertile 1       | 112   | 7.0(6.5, 7.5)               | Ref                         | 0.01  |                |
| Tertile 2       | 114   | 6.8(6.3, 7.3)               | 0.46                        |       |                |
| Tertile 3       | 112   | 6.0(5.5, 6.5)               | 0.01                        |       |                |
| Follicle-stimulating hormone\(^2\) |       |                             |                             |       |                |
| Tertile 1       | 109   | 7.0(6.5, 7.5)               | Ref                         | 0.02  |                |
| Tertile 2       | 114   | 6.8(6.3, 7.2)               | 0.54                        |       |                |
| Tertile 3       | 108   | 6.1(5.6, 6.6)               | 0.02                        |       |                |
| Total testosterone |     |                             |                             |       |                |
| Tertile 1       | 96    | 7.1(6.5, 7.6)               | Ref                         | 0.06  |                |
| Tertile 2       | 97    | 6.8(6.2, 7.3)               | 0.41                        |       |                |
| Tertile 3       | 97    | 6.3(5.7, 6.9)               | 0.06                        |       |                |
| Free testosterone |     |                             |                             |       |                |
| Tertile 1       | 96    | 6.7(6.1, 7.2)               | Ref                         | 0.94  |                |
| Tertile  | n  | Median (IQR) | Ref | P for trend |
|---------|----|--------------|-----|------------|
| Tertile 1 | 97 | 6.7(6.2, 7.2) |     | 0.99       |
| Tertile 2 | 97 | 6.7(6.2, 7.3) |     | 0.94       |
| Tertile 3 | 97 | 6.7(6.2, 7.3) |     | 0.94       |
| **Total estradiol** | | | | |
| Tertile 1 | 113 | 6.5(6.0, 7.0) | Ref | 0.91       |
| Tertile 2 | 115 | 6.8(6.3, 7.3) |     | 0.50       |
| Tertile 3 | 110 | 6.6(6.1, 7.1) |     | 0.92       |
| **Free estradiol** | | | | |
| Tertile 1 | 96 | 6.5(5.9, 7.0) | Ref | 0.49       |
| Tertile 2 | 97 | 6.9(6.4, 7.4) |     | 0.27       |
| Tertile 3 | 97 | 6.7(6.2, 7.3) |     | 0.50       |
| **Sex hormone-binding hormone** | | | | |
| Tertile 1 | 112 | 7.0(6.5, 7.6) | Ref | 0.06       |
| Tertile 2 | 113 | 6.5(6.0, 7.0) |     | 0.14       |
| Tertile 3 | 113 | 6.3(5.8, 6.8) |     | 0.06       |

1 General linear models were adjusted for age at sample collection, BMI (continuous), current smoking (yes or no), and current alcohol consumption (yes or no). P for trend was calculated by treating hormone categories as ordinal predictors in multivariate linear regression models.

2 Mutual adjustment for hormones associated with the proportion of normal sperm morphology in multivariate linear regression models.