Accreting coral reefs in a highly urbanized environment
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Abstract Globally, many coral reefs have fallen into negative carbonate budget states, where biological erosion exceeds carbonate production. The compounding effects of urbanization and climate change have caused reductions in coral cover and shifts in community composition that may limit the ability of reefs to maintain rates of vertical accretion in line with rising sea levels. Here we report on coral reef carbonate budget surveys across seven coral reefs in Singapore, which persist under chronic turbidity and in highly disturbed environmental conditions, with less than 20% light penetration to 2 m depth. Results show that mean net carbonate budgets across Singapore’s reefs were relatively low, at $0.63 \pm 0.27 \text{ kg CaCO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (mean $\pm 1 \text{ SE}$) with a range from $-1.56$ to 1.97, compared with the mean carbonate budgets across the Indo-Pacific of $1.4 \pm 0.15 \text{ kg CaCO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, and isolated Indian Ocean reefs pre-2016 bleaching ($\sim 3.7 \text{ kg CaCO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$). Of the seven reefs surveyed, only one reef had a net negative, or erosional budget, due to near total loss of coral cover ($<5\%$ remaining coral). Mean gross carbonate production on Singapore’s reefs was dominated by stress-tolerant and generalist species, with low-profile morphologies, and was $\sim 3 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ lower than on reefs with equivalent coral cover elsewhere in the Indo-Pacific. While overall these reefs are maintaining and adding carbonate structure, their mean vertical accretion potential is below both current rates of sea level rise (1993–2010), and future predictions under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 scenarios. This is likely to result in an increase of 0.2–0.6 m of water above Singapore’s reefs in the next 80 yr, further narrowing the depth range over which these reefs can persist.

Keywords Bioerosion • Carbonate budget • Reef growth • Singapore • Urbanization

Introduction

Coral reefs and their associated biodiversity are heavily dependent on the sustained maintenance of complex three-dimensional reef framework through coral growth (Perry et al. 2008; Graham and Nash 2013). The net balance between the biological production of calcium carbonate by reef communities and biological erosion of the reef framework—the coral reef carbonate budget (Perry et al. 2008)—underpins the accretionary potential of reef ecosystems. While the continuing loss of live coral cover on reefs is a major threat to future reef growth (Manzello et al. 2008; Kennedy et al. 2013; Perry and Morgan 2017), changes in coral species composition and increasing abundance of bioeroding groups (e.g. Perry et al. 2014; Januchowski-Hartley et al. 2017) can also negatively
influence carbonate budgets. For example, on Caribbean reefs, shifts in coral communities to dominance by non-framework building genera, such as Siderastrea and plating Agaricia from massive Orbicella and branching Acropora species, have dramatically reduced gross carbonate production (Perry et al. 2015a). Within the Indian Ocean, similar ecological transitions have also been observed due to the post-bleaching loss of Acropora (Perry and Morgan 2017; Lange and Perry 2019), and increased biomass of eroding parrotfishes that may have subsequently tied these reefs into negative budgetary states (Januchowski-Hartley et al. 2017; Perry and Morgan 2017).

Globally, coastal zones are experiencing rapid urbanization (Dzikowitzky et al. 2016; Todd et al. 2019) and human population growth (Small and Nicholls 2003) which has degraded many nearshore ecosystems, including coral reefs (Heery et al. 2018). Urbanized reef systems are characterized by altered coral communities (Guest et al. 2016; Heery et al. 2018) in response to the extreme abiotic conditions (e.g., elevated turbidity, high sedimentation), with shifts to sediment-tolerant morphologies (e.g., domed growth forms and mushroom corals) (Bongaerts et al. 2012), and a dominance of stress-tolerant and generalist coral taxa (Chow et al. 2019). Although there is evidence that high turbidity may reduce the impacts of prolonged heat stress (Cacciapaglia and van Woesik 2016; Morgan et al. 2017; Sully and van Woesik 2020), and that some reefs can thrive under such conditions (Browne et al. 2013; Morgan et al. 2016a), very high levels of suspended particulate matter can restrict the maximum depth range of reefs in urbanized environments (i.e., reef compression—the decline in bathymetric range to a narrow depth band, Morgan et al. 2016; Heery et al. 2018). Much of our recent knowledge of how Indo-Pacific carbonate budgets respond to disturbance comes from investigations of remote oceanic reef systems with low human population densities, such as Chagos (Perry et al. 2015b; Lange and Perry 2019), Seychelles (Januchowski-Hartley et al. 2017), the Maldives (Perry and Morgan 2017; Ryan et al. 2019), and Micronesia (van Woesik and Cacciapaglia 2018, 2019). In contrast, few studies on contemporary reef growth rates have been conducted on Indo-Pacific reefs associated with high levels of terrestrial influences (but see Edinger et al. 2000; Browne et al. 2013). Therefore, the implications of shifts in reef communities to slower-growing and stress-tolerant species on carbonate production and reef construction have not been directly addressed. Urbanized reefs have become dominated by slower-growing and stress-tolerant species, which may confer some resilience to future impacts (Guest et al. 2016; Heery et al. 2018; Todd et al. 2019). Because similar trends in community composition may be occurring on non-urbanized reefs (e.g. Perry et al. 2015a; Darling et al. 2019), urbanized reef carbonate budgets may be the forerunners of carbonate budget states of coastal reefs, even non-urbanized reefs, in the future.

Coral reefs in Singapore provide a unique opportunity for investigating reef carbonate budgets in a highly disturbed, urbanized equatorial reef environment. Situated on the western edge of the Indo-Pacific Coral Triangle, coral communities in Singapore have experienced decades of chronic anthropogenic disturbance. Extensive coastal development, land reclamation and large-scale shipping activities have resulted in high levels of sedimentation, turbidity and eutrophication that exceed conditions on most tropical reefs (Erftemeijer et al. 2012; Browne et al. 2015; Heery et al. 2018). Combined, these local stressors have reduced the total area of living reefs in Singapore by over 60% between 1953 and 2012, from 40 to 13.25 km² (Tun 2012). Moreover, Singapore’s reefs have been impacted by multiple severe bleaching events due to climate change (Guest et al. 2012; Chou et al. 2016). Despite these adverse conditions, diverse coral communities still exist, and overall mean live coral cover remains relatively high (Guest et al. 2016; Wong et al. 2018). However, most coral communities in Singapore are dominated by slow-growing stress-tolerant taxa (e.g., massive Porites and Platypoora) or generalist taxa (e.g., foliose or submassive Merulina, Pachyseris and Echinopora) that tend to provide lower structural complexity (Guest et al. 2016), while fast growing, structurally complex tabular or branching corals are uncommon (e.g., Acropora; Huang et al. 2009; Guest et al. 2016). Although there are many indicators of the negative effects of urbanization on Singapore’s coral communities, no work has examined whether these reefs are accreting or eroding. Here we estimated the first coral reef carbonate budget for this highly disturbed urbanized reef environment. Our objectives were to: (1) estimate reef carbonate budgets in Singapore and compare them to coral reefs in non-urbanized reef locations where conditions for coral growth are more favourable; (2) investigate the relationships between coral cover, life histories and morphology for reef carbonate production; and (3) estimate reef accretion potential for Singapore reefs, and how this may influence reef compression (Heery et al. 2018) under different sea level rise scenarios.

Materials and methods

Study area

We studied seven offshore coral reefs (Terumbu Pempang Tengah, Pulau Satumu, Pulau Hantu, Pulau Semekau, Pulau Jong, Sisters’ Island, and Kusu Island) located among the southern islands of Singapore (Fig. 1).
Singapore is a city state of approximately 5.7 million people, which consists of one main island and ~45 smaller offshore islands (Tun 2012) (Fig. 1). Most of these offshore islands have well-developed fringing reefs characterized by a shore-adjacent reef flat leading seaward to the reef crest and down the reef slope to ~8 m depth, with the exception of Terumbu Pempang Tengah which is a patch reef and not associated with an island. Most reef growth in Singapore is limited to a relatively narrow zone between the reef crest and upper reef slope from 3 to 6 m depth (Guest et al. 2016). This depth restriction (i.e. ‘reef compression’ sensu Heery et al. 2018) is primarily attributed to domination of the upper reef flats (0–2 m) by fleshy macroalgae (e.g. Sargassum Low et al. 2019), and extreme light attenuation with increasing depth (> 8 m) due to high levels of suspended sediments (Chow et al. 2019). Within each reef, we conducted benthic, parrotfish and urchin surveys, and deployed plastic settlement substrates to measure rates of crustose coralline algae (CCA) production. Additionally, we deployed bioerosion assays (7 cm diameter × ~ 12 cm length cores of massive Porites skeletal structure) to estimate internal bioerosion on five reefs (Pulau Satumu, Pulau Hantu, Pulau Semakau, Pulau Jong and Kusu Island). Survey efforts were confined to the leeward side of all reefs, with the exception of Kusu Island, which was conducted on the semi-exposed north-eastern side of the island, and conducted during October and November 2017.

**Carbonate budget surveys**

We conducted benthic surveys on each reef using six replicate 10 m line-intercept transects spaced ~ 5 m apart following Indo-Pacific ReefBudget methods (Perry et al. 2018a). This method measures the size and morphology of each individual colony along the transect, and uses colony size, simple geometric relationships and published genera specific mean extension rates (cm yr$^{-1}$) and skeletal density (g cm$^{-3}$) to calculate carbonate production rates in kg CaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (all rates available at http://www.geography.exeter.ac.uk/reefbudget; Perry et al. 2018a). While there are published growth rates for some coral genera from Singapore, including Merulina ampliata (Dikou 2009) and Porites spp. (Tanzil et al. 2013), and skeletal density for several species (Ng et al. 2019) there is a paucity of data for most coral genera. Here we used the published ReefBudget rates for the Indo-Pacific in lieu of Singapore-specific rates for the majority of genera, with the
exception of *Merulina* and *Porites* growth. Similarly, although we have used the intra-species range of skeletal densities in Ng et al. (2019) where possible (Table S1), we note the median density for most species was comparable to ReefBudget reported densities. To estimate rates of CCA carbonate production, we deployed settlement cards at each reef from August 2017–March 2018 and March 2018–September 2018, following methods from Perry et al. (2018a). See electronic supplementary material for full methodological details (Fig. S1; Table S2).

Within each reef, we surveyed transects at 3–4 m depth, parallel to the upper reef slope, and benthic groups across the entire 3-dimensional surface underneath the tape, including overhangs, vertical and horizontal surfaces, and crevices were measured to the nearest centimetre. Benthic groups measured were: scleractinian (hard) corals to genera and morphology, crustose coralline algae (CCA), epilithic algal matrix (EAM sensu Wilson et al. 2003), soft corals, sponges, fleshy and calcareous macroalgae, sediment, algal matrix (EAM sensu Wilson et al. 2003), soft corals, sponges, fleshy and calcareous macroalgae, sediment, and morphology, crustose coralline algae (CCA), epilithic organisms (e.g. ascidians, zooanthids, etc.). Rugosity was calculated for each transect by dividing the total 3-dimensional reef surface by the linear distance (i.e. the rugosity of a flat surface equals 1).

To estimate external bioerosion rates, we conducted separate parrotfish and urchin surveys within each reef in the same area as the benthic surveys. We estimated parrotfish species-size abundance using a series of timed swims in June 2017. We conducted three censuses on each reef, with adjacent censuses separated by a minimum of 10 m following methods by Hoey and Bellwood (2009). Each census consisted of a diver (AGB) swimming at a constant speed and depth (3–4 m) parallel to the upper reef slope for 5-min and recording all parrotfish species and sizes greater than 10 cm total length (TL) within a 2.5-m-wide transect that extended from the reef substratum to the surface of the water. Care was taken not to double count parrotfish that left and subsequently re-entered the survey area. We selected timed swims and transect width because of the generally poor diving conditions (visibility < 3 m) in Singapore (Bauman et al. 2017), and to minimize observer effects (Hoey and Bellwood 2009). Urchin abundance, test diameter (in 2 cm increments) and species composition were recorded within 10 m × 2 m belt transects along the same ReefBudget transects on each reef. Urchin test diameter and species are directly related to erosional capacity of urchins from the family Diadematidae (three species of which are common on Singapore reefs—*Diadema setosum*, *Diadema savignyi* and *Echinothrix diadema*), with larger individuals having exponentially higher erosion rates (Perry et al. 2018a). We combined census data with published carbonate ingestion rates from the literature and adjusted transect area to account for rugosity and substratum cover, to yield a measure of erosion rates. Rates of internal erosion were estimated across five reefs in Singapore (Pulau Hantu, Pulau Semakau, Pulau Jong and Kusu Island; Fig. 1) using standardized *Porites* coral cores deployed from April 2018 to April 2019. See electronic supplementary material for full details (Table S3).

**Reef accretion potential**

Vertical reef accretion potential (RAP) was calculated following Perry et al. (2015b, 2018b), which converts transect specific net carbonate production rates to a vertical reef accretion potential (RAP). This approach accounts for variation in reef framework porosity based on dominant coral community morphologies, and reef-derived sediment reincorporation rates based on levels of bioerosion (Smith and Kinsey 1976). A porosity value of 70% void space was used for branching coral assemblages because most branching corals observed in this study on Singapore reefs have closely branched morphologies, while 20% porosity values were used for massive and encrusting coral dominated communities, and 50% for mixed coral assemblages (see Perry et al. 2018b). Reef-derived sediment reincorporation into the reef framework was calculated based off the assumption that 50% of the parrotfish-derived sediment, and all sediment produced by urchins and internal bioeroders was re-incorporated into void space and accumulated in the reef structure (Perry et al. 2018b). However, these estimates are likely conservative because they do not consider the high levels of suspended non-reef sediment typical of Singapore’s waters (e.g. Browne et al. 2015). To account for these sediment inputs, we use existing reef sedimentation rates from four sites—Kusu (39.13 kg m⁻² yr⁻¹; Browne et al. 2015), Pulau Hantu, Pulau Semakau and Pulau Satumu (18.01, 11.44 and 1.70 kg m⁻² yr⁻¹, respectively; Dikou and van Woesik 2006). We assume actual deposition of 20% of the trap measured rate because sediment traps are known to overestimate accumulation (Field et al. 2013; Browne pers. comm), and high tidal flows between Singapore Islands (> 1 m s⁻¹, Chen et al. 2005) result in significant resuspension of benthic reef sediment.

To estimate differences between calculated RAP and rates of local sea level rise (SLR), we used Singapore-specific altimetry data from Tkalich et al. (2013). Their data estimate rates of SLR for Singapore’s offshore islands of 3.0 ± 1.3 mm yr⁻¹ between 1993 and 2010, and estimates of future SLR under representative concentration pathways (RCP) 4.5 (0.52 m, range: 0.29–0.73) and 8.5 (0.74 m, range: 0.45–1.02) to represent lower and higher SLR estimates according to IPCC calibrated language (that there is a ≥ 66% chance that the observed sea level rise...
would fall within these bounds for a given RCP, Cannaby et al. 2016).

**Data analysis**

Differences between reef carbonate budgets, including carbonate production, bioerosion, net carbonate budget, and benthic cover and characteristics were investigated using one-way ANOVAs and Tukey HSD post hoc tests to identify differences among reefs using the aov and TukeyHSD functions from the ‘stats’ package in R (R Core Team 2018). Net carbonate budget was log + (1-minimum(budget)) transformed, erosion was log transformed, and EAM cover and rugosity square root transformed to meet assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances. CCA carbonate production did not meet assumptions for ANOVA, so we used a Kruskal–Wallis test and post hoc pairwise Wilcox tests to identify differences using the kruskal.test and pairwise.wilcox.test functions in the ‘stats’ package in R (R Core Team 2018). We tested the relationship between coral morphology (branching, encrusting, massive and other—a combination of foliose, plating, submassive and free-living), life-history strategy (competitive, generalist, stress-tolerant and weedy—Darling et al. 2012, Table S1) and total coral cover with net carbonate production using separate linear and mixed effect models using the lmer function from the ‘lme4’ package (Bates et al. 2015). Rugosity, number of coral genera, and CCA, macroalgal and EAM cover were covariates in each model. The vif function from the ‘car’ package (Fox and Weisberg 2018) was used to test for collinearity and found all covariates in every model had a vif of < 2.5. All linear models had a higher level of support than the mixed models, confirmed by Aikake Information Criterion adjusted for small sample sizes (AICc). We used the dredge function from the ‘MuMIn’ package (Barton 2019) to run all possible combinations of the linear model for each coral grouping schema. All models within 2 AICc of the best fitting model were averaged, and the null model had an AICc at least 40 greater than the full model (Table 1). All analyses were conducted in R 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2018).

**Results**

Mean live coral cover varied significantly among reefs (ANOVA; \( F_{6,35} = 7.52, \ p < 0.001 \)) and was strikingly lower on Pulau Jong (7.5 ± 2.2%; mean ± 1 SE unless otherwise stated) than all other reefs (\( p < 0.001 \) for Pulau Satumu, Pulau Hantu and Terumbu Pempang Tengah and \( p < 0.05 \) for Pulau Semakau) with the exception of Kusu (20.6 ± 2.9%, \( p = 0.115 \)) and Sisters’ Island (19.2 ± 2.7%, \( p = 0.210 \)). Terumbu Pempang Tengah and Pulau Satumu were the only two reefs with coral cover greater than 30% (33.5 ± 2.8%; and 34.5 ± 1.8% respectively, Fig. S2a). We recorded 41 coral genera (Table S4), with coral generic diversity highest at Pulau Satumu (30 genera) and lowest at Pulau Jong (18 genera). Of these 41 genera, 23 were considered stress-tolerant, 13 generalist, two weedy and two competitive life-history strategies (Table S4). Four genera were present at all reefs Goniodora, Merulina, Pachyseris (all generalists), and Pectinia (weedy), while seven genera were present at only one reef: Acropora, Alveopora, Diplostoa, Fimbriaphyllia, Herpolitha and Leptastrea, all of which are stress-tolerant with the exception of Acropora (competitive). Encrusting growth forms were the majority morphology across reefs (mean 8.1 ± 1.0%) except Pulau Hantu and Pulau Semakau where large stands of columnar Goniodora were present. Branching cover was low across all sites (Table 1) and consisted primarily of Hydophora, Porites and Pocillopora, with Acropora only present at low abundances at Pulau Satumu.

Crustose coralline algae (CCA) cover was highest at Pulau Jong (18.7 ± 1.5%) and lowest at Pulau Hantu (0.7 ± 0.3%), with an overall mean of 8.5 ± 1.0% (Fig. S2b). Mean carbonate production by CCA was relatively low (0.07 ± 0.01 kg CaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and differed between reefs (Kruskal–Wallis; \( \text{Chi}^{2} \text{d} = 35.27, \ p < 0.01 \)). Pulau Jong was the only reef with carbonate production by CCA of higher than 10% of production by corals (0.11 ± 0.01 kg CaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), although there were similar levels of production on Pulau Satumu (0.10 ± 0.01 kg CaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), Sisters’ (0.09 ± 0.01 kg CaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) and Kusu Islands (0.15 ± 0.02 kg CaCO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)), despite their lower levels of CCA cover (Fig. S2b, Table S2). Pulau Hantu and Pulau Semakau showed the lowest CCA production compared to all other reefs (\( p < 0.005 \)), with both reefs having < 0.01 kg Ca CO\(_3\) m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) carbonate production from CCA (Fig. S3).

There were marked differences in rugosity among reefs (ANOVA; \( F_{6,35} = 4.24, \ p < 0.005 \)), with Kusu Island having higher reef complexity than Pulau Satumu (\( p = 0.032 \)), Sisters’ Island (\( p = 0.032 \)), and Pulau Jong (\( p < 0.001 \)). All other reefs apart from Pulau Jong had moderate (> 2) rugosity (Fig. S2c). EAM cover was greatest at Pulau Semakau (39.0 ± 2.0%) and lowest at Pulau Jong (21.8 ± 1.9%) (ANOVA; \( F_{6,35} = 9.43, \ p < 0.005 \); Fig S2d). EAM cover at Pulau Jong was significantly lower than at all other reefs except Kusu Island (Tukey HSD: Pulau Semakau and Terumbu Pempang Tengah \( p < 0.001 \), Pulau Hantu and Pulau Satumu \( p < 0.01 \), Sisters’ Island \( p < 0.05 \)). Kusu Island also showed significantly lower EAM cover than Pulau
Semakau (p < 0.01). Macroalgae cover averaged 9.5 ± 1.1% across all reefs surveyed and was primarily dominated by Sargassum, and varied among reefs (ANOVA; F6,35 = 10.33, p < 0.001), with Pulau Satumu (9.9 ± 0.5%) having significantly lower cover (Tukey HSD, p < 0.005 in all cases) than any other reef apart from Pulau Semakau (4.9 ± 2.1%), which only had significantly (Tukey HSD, p < 0.005) lower cover than Pulau Jong (16.5 ± 2.8%) in (Fig S1e).

Gross coral reef carbonate production and bioerosion differed significantly among the seven reefs (Fig. 2a, b). Mean hard coral carbonate production across reefs was 3.67 ± 0.31 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹, and was lowest at Pulau Jong (0.96 ± 0.31 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹) and highest at Pulau Satumu (4.82 ± 0.72 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹). Hard coral carbonate production at Pulau Jong was significantly lower than Pulau Hantu (p = 0.031), Terumbu Pempang Tengah (p = 0.011), Pulau Semakau (p = 0.007) and Pulau Satumu (p = 0.005), but not at Sisters’ (p = 0.254) or Kusu Islands (p = 0.231) (ANOVA; F6,35 = 4.016, p < 0.005; Fig. 2b). Total mean bioerosion across reefs was 3.1 ± 0.1 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹ and varied significantly from 2.3 ± 0.1 (Pulau Hantu) to 4.6 ± 0.3 (Pulau Satumu) kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹ (ANOVA: F6,35 = 13.23, p < 0.001; Fig. 2b). Tukey post hoc tests indicated that Pulau Satumu and Kusu Island did not differ from each other (p = 0.630), but Pulau Satumu had significantly higher bioerosion than all other reefs (p < 0.001), as did Kusu Island (Hantu: p < 0.001; Pulau Semakau and Pulau Jong: p < 0.0, Terumbu Pempang Tengah and Sisters’ Island: p < 0.05). Internal bioerosion accounted for nearly 70% of total bioerosion across reefs (Fig. S4). Parrotfish bioerosion exceeded 30% of total bioerosion at Pulau Satumu and Kusu, while at Pulau Satumu and Terumbu Pempang Tengah, bioerosion by urchins comprised 20–25% of the total bierosion (Fig. S4).

Of the seven reefs surveyed, three were accretional (Pulau Hantu, Pulau Semakau and Terumbu Pempang Tengah, three were in stasis (i.e. between +1 and −1 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹)—Pulau Satumu, Sister’s Island and Kusu Island) and one reef was erosional (i.e. negative carbonate budget—Pulau Jong). Overall, mean net carbonate production was 0.63 ± 0.27 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹, highest at Pulau Semakau (1.97 ± 0.41 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹), and lowest at Pulau Jong (−1.56 ± 0.29 kg CaCO3 m⁻² yr⁻¹). Pulau Jong was the only reef with a net negative carbonate production, and had significantly lower net production than all other reefs except Kusu Island (ANOVA; F6,35 = 7.168, p < 0.001; TukeyHSD p < 0.001 for all comparisons apart from Pulau Satumu, p = 0.03, and Kusu, p = 0.308) (Fig. 2c).

The models that estimated net carbonate budget from coral morphologies were the best performing models (highest mean R² across all models within 2 AICc of the best model) (Table 2). Cover of other (foliose, columnar, submassive and plating forms) and massive coral morphologies had a significant positive relationship with net budget (Figs. 3a and 4b–d). Only the weedy life-history
category had a significantly positive relationship with net budget (Fig. 3b), with a negative effect of CCA cover on net carbonate budget, which was also seen for the total coral cover only averaged model (Fig. 3c). On transects where encrusting corals were the most dominant, budgets became negative at approximately 20% coral cover, compared to ~15% for all transects, or transects where other morphologies were dominant (Fig. 4a). Despite having the second highest cover of any category (Table 1), encrusting coral cover was not associated with any increase in net budget up to 25% of total benthic cover, in contrast to the positive relationships of coral cover of massive and other morphologies with net budget (Fig. 4b–d). Competitive taxa, and branched morphologies were rare across Singapore reefs, with the proportional contribution to coral production by branched morphologies under 10% at all but Kusu Island (Fig. 5a), and production by stress-tolerant and generalist corals contributing over 60% of coral carbonate production at all reefs except the coral depauperate Pulau Jong (Fig. 5b).

Reef accretion potential (RAP) varied considerably across reefs in Singapore ranging from 1.84 ± 0.28 mm yr⁻¹ at Pulau Semakau, to 0.09 ± 0.14 mm yr⁻¹ at Pulau Jong (mean 1.09 ± 0.14 mm yr⁻¹), well below contemporary rates of SLR in Singapore of 3.0 ± 1.3 mm yr⁻¹ (Fig. 6). When the potential influence of non-reef sediment from anthropogenic activities is taken into account for Kusu Island, Pulau Hantu, Pulau Semakau and Pulau Satumu, the mean RAP for these four reefs was 2.76 ± 0.35 mm yr⁻¹ compared with 1.29 ± 0.20 mm yr⁻¹ when additional non-reefal sediment supply is not considered. The capacity of Singapore reefs to sustain accretion rates from reef derived production alone is lower than sea level rise (SLR) predicted under both RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 (Fig. 6), and this is likely the case for those reefs where non-reef sediment input is considered (Fig. S5). The mean deficit to contemporary SLR across Singapore, when considering only reef derived sediment contributions, was 1.9 mm yr⁻¹, with the greatest deficit at Pulau Jong (−2.9 ± 0.1 mm yr⁻¹), and the lowest at Pulau Semakau.
1. Under RCP 4.5 the mean deficit at the reef level across Singapore is 4.4 mm yr$^{-1}$ (range 3.6 to 5.4), and under RCP 8.5 the mean deficit is 6.7 ± 0.9 mm yr$^{-1}$ (range −5.9 to −7.6). When considering total sediment contribution (reef-derived and non-reef), the mean deficit for the four reefs (Pulau Satumu, Pulau Semakau, Pulau Hantu, Kusu Island) was 0.2 mm yr$^{-1}$ (range 1.2 to 1.9) for recent historical rates (Fig. S4), 2.7 mm yr$^{-1}$ (range 1.3 to 4.3) for RCP 4.5 and 5.0 mm yr$^{-1}$ (range 3.6 to 6.6) for RCP 8.5.

### Discussion

Reef carbonate budgets across reefs in Singapore showed a high degree of variation over relatively small spatial scales (< 10 km), consistent with patterns reported from other Indo-Pacific reef systems (Lange and Perry 2019). Overall, mean net carbonate budgets in Singapore were low compared to other Indo-Pacific reefs at 0.68 kg CaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, although some individual reefs (e.g., Pulau Semakau) were comparable with recent estimates (2013–2017) of Indian Ocean mean net carbonate budget of ~ 1.5 kg CaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Perry et al. 2018b). This is considerably below net carbonate budgets reported from some isolated Indian Ocean atolls (pre-bleaching) and Micronesia in the Western Pacific (7–14 kg CaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, Perry et al. 2015b; Perry and Morgan 2017; van Woesik and Cacciapaglia 2018, 2019). However, many of these reefs have since shown dramatic declines in budgets associated with > 60% post-bleaching reductions in live coral cover (Januchowski-Hartley et al. 2017; Perry and Morgan 2017; Lange and Perry 2019). Previous estimates of coral cover at Pulau Semakau and Pulau Hantu do not show major coral loss over the past three decades (Guest et al. 2016), and in 2012 were only slightly higher than we report here. Mean coral cover was 24.8 ± 1.8%, and greater than 15% at all but Pulau Jong, following the 2016 mass coral bleaching event (Toh et al. 2018), compared to ~ 34.5% mean coral cover across the same reefs in 2012 (Guest et al. 2016). Further, gross hard coral carbonate production rates at ~ 3.7 kg CaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ were not dissimilar to pre-bleaching means across the Indian Ocean of ~ 4 kg CaCO$_3$ m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Perry et al. 2018b).

Perry and Alvarez-Filip (2019) suggest that reefs exposed to chronic stressors show a reassembly towards corals tolerant to thermal and physical stressors, associated with lower complexity, slower-growing forms with decreases in carbonate budget outpacing declines in coral cover. Our results, in concert with longer-term evidence of

| Model | Variables | Df | AICc | w | $R^2$ |
|-------|-----------|----|-----|---|------|
| Morphology | Massive coral | 0.508 | 0.592 | 0.193 | 5 | 26.7 | 0.33 | 0.71 |
| | Other morphology | 0.453 | 0.523 | 0.175 | 6 | 27.2 | 0.25 | 0.72 |
| | Genera (#) | 0.490 | 0.589 | 0.158 | 6 | 28.1 | 0.16 | 0.72 |
| | CCA cover | 0.489 | 0.615 | 0.156 | 5 | 28.4 | 0.14 | 0.70 |
| | Weedy | 0.453 | 0.545 | 0.184 | 5 | 28.6 | 0.13 | 0.70 |

Table 2 Model statistics for best fitting (within AICc of 2 of the best model) models of net carbonate budget

LHS | Generalist Stress-tolerant Weedy Rugosity CCA cover Turf cover |
---|---|---|---|---|---|
1 | 0.227 | 0.461 | 0.242 | −0.349 | 6 | 38.4 | 0.30 | 0.64 |
2 | 0.247 | 0.418 | 0.193 | −0.298 | 7 | 38.5 | 0.28 | 0.66 |
3 | 0.323 | 0.372 | −0.299 | 6 | 39.4 | 0.18 | 0.63 |
4 | 0.221 | 0.461 | 0.259 | −0.267 | 0.127 | 7 | 40.2 | 0.12 | 0.65 |
5 | 0.461 | 0.278 | −0.438 | 5 | 40.2 | 0.12 | 0.60 |

All coral | Total coral | Genera (#) Rugosity Macoralgal cover CCA cover |
---|---|---|---|---|
1 | 0.541 | 0.186 | −0.171 | −0.242 | 6 | 41.0 | 0.71 | 0.56 |
2 | 0.584 | 0.159 | −0.279 | 5 | 42.8 | 0.29 | 0.57 |

Null model | 71.1 |

(−1.2 ± 0.3 mm yr$^{-1}$).
Heery et al. (2018), suggests this is occurring in Singapore. Despite encrusting coral colonies accounting for 20–55% of live coral cover across reefs, these taxa only produce between 5 and 30% of the hard coral carbonate in this study. Additionally, the stress-tolerant and generalist species abundant in Singapore usually have slower growth rates (Darling et al. 2012; Tanzil et al. 2013), and the lack of fast-growing, competitive species such as Acropora (which only occurred on one out of 42 transects) further constrain carbonate production rates. Overall, carbonate production rates for the level of hard coral cover in Singapore more closely resemble those of Caribbean reefs, which are dominated by Agaricia, Porites and Siderastrea, where 20–25% coral cover is associated with net carbonate production of < 2 kg CaCO₃ m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Perry et al. 2015b). This contrasts with most Indo-Pacific reefs where similar levels of coral cover (20–25%) are associated with 2–6 kg CaCO₃ m⁻² yr⁻¹ net carbonate production, despite having large eroding parrotfish populations (summarized in Perry et al. 2018b). The long-term trend of coral composition on Singapore reefs is a decline in faster growing corals such as Montipora, paucity of competitive branching corals such as Acropora and Pocillopora (Guest et al. 2016; this study) and carbonate production contributions dominated by lower complexity, stress-tolerant and generalist or weedy slower-growing genera such as Pachyseris, Merulina, and Pectinia. This contrasts to reefs elsewhere in the Indo-Pacific, where Acropora, Porites (including branching forms) and Pocillopora dominate carbonate production (Perry et al. 2015b; Perry and Morgan 2017; van Woesik and Cacciapaglia 2018).

Unlike other Indo-Pacific reefs (Januchowski-Hartley et al. 2017; Perry et al. 2015b, 2018a), where erosion by parrotfish can exceed 4 kg CaCO₃ m⁻² yr⁻¹, parrotfish bioerosion was not the major contributor to net rates of bioerosion on Singapore reefs, with maximum recorded rates of < 1.5 kg CaCO₃ m⁻² yr⁻¹. Shipping activity, coastal development and land reclamation (with over 60% of reef area lost in Singapore) has resulted in elevated levels of sedimentation and turbidity, which negatively affects herbivorous reef fishes (Cheal et al. 2013). In Singapore, the parrotfish are represented by only a few species, none of which are major bioeroders (Bauman et al. 2017). Scarus ghobban and Scarus rivulatus, the two most abundant parrotfishes in this study, both have low erosional potential (Perry et al. 2018b). Similarly, bioerosion by urchins was locally important on only two reefs (Pulau Satumu and Terumbu Pempang Tengah). The majority of bioerosion on Singapore’s reefs is due to combination of internal erosion by macroborers (e.g. sponges and
polychaete worms) or by microborers (e.g. cyanobacteria). Rates of internal bioerosion in this study at \( \sim 1 \text{ kg Ca CO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1} \) were 2 to 4 times higher than reefs elsewhere higher than those reported elsewhere in the Indo-Pacific (Chazottes et al. 2002; Tribollet and Golubic 2005; Morgan 2014; Enochs et al. 2016), but similar to macrobioerosion reported on reefs in the Gulf of Panama, which experience seasonal upwelling with high nutrients and low pH (\( \sim 0.65 \text{ kg m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1} \), DeCarlo et al. 2015), and nearshore reefs in Maui, Hawaii, which are exposed to waste-water and run-off from agriculture (0.2–1.0 kg m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), Prouty et al. 2017). Interestingly, microbioerosion in clear oligotrophic waters of the outer Great Barrier Reefs in the Coral Sea has also been reported in similar range (\( \sim 1 \text{ kg Ca CO}_3 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1} \)—Tribollet et al. 2002), suggesting that both high light and nutrient availability may drive internal erosion. The bioerosion assays used to provide internal bioerosion rates in this manuscript are part of a multi-year study of bioerosion in Singapore to be presented in a future paper.

While the lack of large framework bioeroders such as parrotfish can be expected to slow the breakdown and flattening of reef structure, reefs in Singapore appear to be highly friable, potentially due to the aforementioned high internal bioerosions. This will increase physical framework erosion by ship wakes, storm waves and tidal currents. There is some evidence of this at Pulau Jong, where coral cover in 2017 (this study) declined to 7.5%, compared with over 30% in 2011 (Bauman et al. 2015), rugosity is low and the cover of rubble is the highest amongst all reefs. On reefs where bioerosion and physical erosion is high, cementation of reef structure by CCA is vital (Manzello et al. 2008; Perry et al. 2008). However, the mean CCA production across Singapore is approximately half the rate observed at most Indo-Pacific reefs (Perry et al. 2018b). Consequently, there is considerable potential for greater declines of complexity with further coral loss, resulting in 

---

**Fig. 4** Relationship between net carbonate budget and **a** total coral cover, **b** massive coral cover, **c** cover of other coral morphologies, **d** encrusting coral cover, and **e** branching coral cover. In **a** regression lines are for the different colours represent dominant morphologies within each transect and dotted line is for all transects combined.
...and concomitant declines in diversity (Graham et al. 2013). While transects with similar levels of coral cover (Perry et al. 2015a, 2018a; Perry and Morgan 2017; van Woesik and Cacciapaglia 2013), and East Africa (Perry et al. 2018b). Overall, despite Singapore’s low carbonate budgets, high internal bioerosion and low complexity are reflected in reef accretion potential (RAP) of 1.1 mm yr$^{-1}$ (2.8 mm yr$^{-1}$ with sediment) substantially lower than recent (~ 3 mm yr$^{-1}$) and future (~ 4–6 mm yr$^{-1}$) sea level rise. Despite our results revealing generally positive rates of ecologically driven vertical accretion of reefs, these rates were considerably lower than observed elsewhere in the Indian Ocean for similar levels of coral cover (Perry et al. 2015a, 2018a; Perry and Morgan 2017; van Woesik and Cacciapaglia 2018; Ryan et al. 2019). While transects with ~ 50% coral cover here equated to 4.5 mm yr$^{-1}$ RAP, this rate of reef building was achieved at 25–30% coral cover in the Maldives (pre-bleaching, Perry and Morgan 2017), and East Africa (Perry et al. 2018b). Overall, despite Singapore’s reefs having similar mean coral cover to reefs in the Indo-Pacific in Perry et al. (2018b) pre-bleaching (~ 22% and 20% respectively), mean RAP in Singapore was only half that across the Indian Ocean (1.2 vs 2.4 mm yr$^{-1}$). When compared to reefs with coral communities also dominated by stress-tolerant and generalist species (Mombasa in Kenya, and Pemba in Mozambique; Darling et al. 2013; McClanahan et al. 2014), a similar mean RAP (1.4 mm yr$^{-1}$) was found across East African reefs, despite these reefs having coral cover only 75% of that of Singapore reefs, but similar levels of bioerosion (Perry et al. 2018b). This suggests that while there may be similarities between Singapore and East African reefs in dominance of different components of the coral community, within these components there is substantial variability, and morphological as well as life-history variability needs to be considered.

Due to current plans to reclaim more land around offshore islands in Singapore (Singapore MND 2012), coupled with projections of increased shipping, it is likely that turbidity will increase, and corals will become even more light-limited. The deficit in RAP means Singapore reefs are expected to experience increased water depths over the next 80 yr (up to 80 cm under RCP 8.5). Without action to reduce sedimentation in Singapore, current light levels on these reefs will be further reduced, below that required for coral growth (Morgan et al. 2016). Currently, coral reefs in Singapore occupy a narrow depth range of approximately 4 m (from 2 to 6 m). If levels of turbidity remain the same, increases in sea level rise will see a loss of up to the deepest 80 cm of these reefs, which will not be compensated by vertical growth. While individual colonies of depth-generalist corals may persist (Chow et al. 2019), the entire reef structure will likely compress to occupy a depth range of 3 m or less. However, while Singapore reefs appear to be unable to keep up with the rate of SLR through purely biological processes, it is possible that incorporation of sediment of non-reef origin substantially increase RAP. Cores of turbid reefs in Australia have indicated that across the Holocene terrestrial derived sediment can add several mm yr$^{-1}$ to reef accretion on inshore reefs (Browne et al. 2013; Perry et al. 2013; Morgan et al. 2016b). Similar rates of framework infill may occur in Singapore, which also has high levels of turbidity and suspended sediments (Dikou and van Woesik 2006; Browne et al. 2015; KM unpubl. data). However, much of this sediment is likely mobilized from dredging and land reclamation activities, and the amount of remobilization and temporal variance in sediment is uncertain due to the paucity of appropriate sedimentation data (Browne et al. 2015; Field et al. 2013). When these sources of sediment were considered, we saw RAP roughly doubled across four
reefs of our study, but notably this still results in a deficit compared to rates of sea level rise.

Our work represents the first carbonate budgets that use genera specific growth rates and account for different bioeroding groups for highly urbanized and chronically degraded reefs in the Indo-Pacific. Our results support those of Edinger et al. (2000), which were the first carbonate production and bioerosion rates calculated on chronically polluted reefs, and suggested that these reefs were highly erosional, with low cover of fast-growing Acropora and high internal bioerosion. Extensive land reclamation, dredging of shipping channels and urbanization and transformation of the coastline has led to significant increases in turbidity, and reductions in water quality in Singapore (Heery et al. 2018). Singapore’s reefs have undergone a shift in dominant coral types towards stress-tolerant, generalist species with slow-growing and low-complexity reef structures (Guest et al. 2016). The resulting coral community, while potentially not providing a full suite of coral reef ecosystem functions (Perry and Alvarez-Filip 2019), has still resulted in persistent, though potentially senescent (sensu Perry et al. 2008) reef system. More broadly, while Singapore reefs have not necessarily suffered to the same degree as many Indo-Pacific reefs from the acute recent bleaching events, the chronic stressors they have experienced are reflected in coral community composition and carbonate budgets that are consistent with expectations of the future state of resilient reefs (Perry and Alvarez-Filip 2019).
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