Infection Versus Hematoma Following Surgical Treatment of Proximal Femoral Fractures in Geriatric Patients

Franz Müller, MD¹, Michael Galler, MD¹, Christina Roll, MD², and Bernd Füchtmeier, MD¹

Abstract

Introduction: The surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures predominantly involves geriatric patients and is associated with high morbidity and mortality. However, analyses on postoperative infections or hematoma are rare. Methods: Patients requiring surgical revision due to infection (n = 90) or hematoma (n = 77) in the postoperative phase were identified from an electronic database of 2000 consecutive patients surgically treated for proximal femoral fractures between 2006 and 2014. Demographic and clinical data were retrieved, including information on the pathogens in patients with infection. A follow-up on morbidity and mortality was conducted via telephone for at least 2 years postsurgery. Results: The follow-up rate was 100%, and the mean age was 81.9 years. The incidence rate of infection was 4.1% (90/2000), and women were commonly affected. Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis were the most commonly detected pathogens (35.5% and 25.5%, respectively). Mixed infections were observed in 15 patients, and Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infections were observed in only 4 patients. A total of 77 (85.6%) infections occurred within 30 days postsurgery. The implant was preserved in 76 (84.4%) patients, and resection arthroplasty was required in 14 patients. Dementia and pertrochanteric fractures were significantly more common in the infection than in the hematoma group. Although infections were associated with high mortality rates for up to 2 years postsurgery, the rates did not significantly differ from those in the hematoma control group. Conclusion: One of every 2 patients who developed an infection following the surgical treatment of a proximal femoral fracture died within 2 years postsurgery. In addition, infections were significantly associated with dementia. Avoiding postoperative infection should be a high priority in the surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures.
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Introduction

The surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures is predominantly performed in geriatric patients; thus, it is associated with high morbidity and mortality.¹⁻⁴ A variety of surgical techniques are available, including intramedullary nails, dynamic hip screws, and alloarthroplasty, the use of which depends on the patient’s age and fracture morphology.¹⁻⁴ Studies involving a high numbers of cases or national registries have reported revision rates of 6% to 15% and a 1-year mortality of up to 30%.¹⁻⁴,⁶ However, studies regarding infections as a postoperative complication are limited, which are characterized by small case numbers and considerable “lost to follow-up.”⁷ Furthermore, based on clinical experience, the reported incidence rates of 1% to 2% appear to be too low and must be challenged.⁵,⁷ Therefore, we conducted a retrospective study evaluating the incidence rate and pathogen spectrum of infections following the surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures. In addition, we evaluated potential influencing variables using a control group of patients who underwent surgical revision due
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to hematoma. Finally, mortality rates were evaluated for up to 2 years postsurgery.

Methods
A retrospective study was conducted. Patients requiring surgical revision due to infection or hematoma in the postoperative phase were identified from an electronic database of 2000 consecutive patients surgically treated for proximal femoral fractures between 2006 and 2014. A total of 90 patients who underwent surgical revision at least once due to postoperative infections were identified. Definition of infection was from the workgroup of the Musculoskeletal Infection Society.

In addition, 77 consecutive patients who underwent surgical revision due to hematoma were identified (control group). All patients with hematoma presented negative cultures at the time of revision, showed no sinus tract, and not fulfilled the criteria for an infection in any case.

The database included 2 years of postoperative follow-up, covering any surgical revision and mortality. Missing data were retrieved by telephoning patients, relatives, or general practitioners. For the infection group, information regarding the pathogens was collected.

Statistics
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows, version 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Metric variables were presented as mean values, while the ranges were specified as standard deviations. Categorized and/or nominal data are specified as absolute and relative frequencies, respectively. Metric variables were assessed for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Categorical data were analyzed using the Chi-square test or Fisher exact test. A Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was conducted to evaluate group differences in mortality; the log-rank test was used to compare survival probabilities. For all analyses, a 2-sided P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Demographic and clinical data are shown in Table 1. The follow-up rate was 100%, and the incidence rate of infection was 4.1% (90/2000). The pathogen spectrum of the infection group was dominated by gram-positive cocci (n = 65; 72.2%; Table 2). Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) infections were observed in only 4 (4.4%) patients. Overall, significant group differences (infection vs hematoma) were observed in 3 of the 13 evaluated variables. Pertrochanteric fractures and dementia were significantly more common in the infection group than in the hematoma group. In addition, the first surgical revision was delayed by an average of 1 week in the infection group compared to that in the hematoma group. A total of 32 (35.6%) of 90 patients with infection required at least 2 (up to 6) surgical revisions (irrigation and debridement) and calculated antibiotic treatment to control the infection. In contrast, only 7 (9.1%) of 77 patients with hematoma required 2 or 3 revisions. A total of 77 (85.6%) infections were treated initially within 30 days postsurgery. Girdlestone resection was necessary in 14 (15.6%) of 90 patients in the infection group, but was not required in the hematoma group. Nevertheless, it was possible to preserve the implant in 76 (84.4%) of 90 patients with infection.

Mortality rates at 30 days, 6 months, 1 year, and 2 years postsurgery are shown in Table 3. The infection group had a
Femoral fractures are commonly multimorbid. A sufficient number of patients undergoing surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures with moderately large case numbers have reported infection rates of 0.7% up to 3.4%. In contrast, the present study had an infection rate of 4.1%. It must be mentioned that all patients received an intravenous single-shot antibiotic (cefuroxime 1.5 g) prior to surgery. Our higher infection rate may be related to the lack of any “lost to follow-up” in the analysis. In addition, no patients were excluded, including those with dementia. Furthermore, previous studies may have underestimated infection rates by including only “deep wound infections” with putrid secretions. From our point of view, the differences between superficial and deep wounds are unclear, and the transition is fluent. Therefore, infection was not differentiated in the present study. The demographic data (eg, age, gender) are generally consistent with that in similar previous studies.

Surprisingly, for 10 of the 13 evaluated variables, there were no significant differences between the infection and hematoma groups. However, infections were significantly associated with dementia, with more than half of the patients affected. The impact of dementia has not been previously considered.

The pathogen spectrum was dominated by gram-positive cocci, and *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified as one of the major pathogens, consistent with previous studies. However, we observed only 4 cases of MRSA infections, which is clearly less than that observed in other studies. For example, a recent bicenter study (Leeds and Oxford) reported an MRSA rate of 47%. Although the mortality rate was clearly higher in the infection group compared to those for hematoma at all investigated time points, the differences failed to reach statistical significance. High mortality rates have been previously shown; however, the data were limited to a maximum period of 1 year postsurgery.

The present study has several limitations. As this is a retrospective study, the evidence level (level 3) is low. In addition, the small number of patients with detected gram-negative bacterial pathogens precluded a statistical analysis of the pathogen spectrum. Furthermore, no additional clinical and radiological examinations regarding the outcomes were conducted. Given the multimorbid nature of the patient population, such additional examinations could not have been conducted in a representative manner.

**Table 3. Postoperative Mortality.**

| Mortality | Infection | Hematoma | P Value |
|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| 30 days   | 8/90 (8.9%) | 5/77 (6.5%) | .773 |
| 6 months  | 29/90 (32.2%) | 17/77 (22.1%) | .166 |
| 1 year    | 39/90 (43.3%) | 22/77 (28.6%) | .054 |
| 2 years   | 46/90 (51.1%) | 32/77 (41.6%) | .276 |

![Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of infection versus hematoma without significant differences.](image)

In the present study, we examined the incidence, mortality, and variables associated with infection and hematoma following the surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures. Unlike previous studies, the present study used patients who underwent surgical revision for postoperative hematoma as a control group. From the authors’ point of view, this is clinically more appropriate compared to a control group without complications or any revision.

It must be clarified that all patients with revision for hematoma had also negative bacteriological findings. Another strength of the present study is that all patients in the total population (n = 2000) completed the 2-year follow-up; thus, we achieved a follow-up rate of 100% for any revision and mortality. In contrast, several previous studies on postoperative infections relied solely on existing registries without actual control mechanisms or follow-ups. Previous studies on infection following the surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures with moderately large case numbers have reported infection rates of 0.7% up to 3.4%. In contrast, the present study had an infection rate of 4.1%. It must be mentioned that all patients received an intravenous single-shot antibiotic (cefuroxime 1.5 g) prior to surgery. Our higher infection rate may be related to the lack of any “lost to follow-up” in the analysis. In addition, no patients were excluded, including those with dementia. Furthermore, previous studies may have underestimated infection rates by including only “deep wound infections” with putrid secretions. From our point of view, the differences between superficial and deep wounds are unclear, and the transition is fluent. Therefore, infection was not differentiated in the present study. The demographic data (eg, age, gender) are generally consistent with that in similar previous studies.

Surprisingly, for 10 of the 13 evaluated variables, there were no significant differences between the infection and hematoma groups. However, infections were significantly associated with dementia, with more than half of the patients affected. The impact of dementia has not been previously considered.

The pathogen spectrum was dominated by gram-positive cocci, and *Staphylococcus aureus* was identified as one of the major pathogens, consistent with previous studies. However, we observed only 4 cases of MRSA infections, which is clearly less than that observed in other studies. For example, a recent bicenter study (Leeds and Oxford) reported an MRSA rate of 47%. Although the mortality rate was clearly higher in the infection group compared to those for hematoma at all investigated time points, the differences failed to reach statistical significance. High mortality rates have been previously shown; however, the data were limited to a maximum period of 1 year postsurgery.

The present study has several limitations. As this is a retrospective study, the evidence level (level 3) is low. In addition, the small number of patients with detected gram-negative bacterial pathogens precluded a statistical analysis of the pathogen spectrum. Furthermore, no additional clinical and radiological examinations regarding the outcomes were conducted. Given the multimorbid nature of the patient population, such additional examinations could not have been conducted in a representative manner.

**Conclusion**

One of every 2 patients who developed an infection following the surgical treatment of a proximal femoral fracture died within 2 years postsurgery. In addition, infections were significantly associated with dementia. Therefore, avoiding post-operative infection should be a high priority in the surgical treatment of proximal femoral fractures.
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