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Abstract

Purpose – This study investigated the configuration of human and non-human actor networks that are formed in the process of specialized accounting inspection from the Actor-Network Theory perspective.

Design/methodology/approach – The population of the survey comprised 593 accounting experts registered in Expert Associations from the Brazilian states of Minas Gerais, Paraná, Rio de Janeiro, Rio Grande do Sul and São Paulo, and the sample is made up of 102 valid questionnaires. Five judges and five accounting experts from Curitiba (state of Paraná) were also interviewed. Through Structural Equation Modeling, the translation process indicated statistical significance, except in the relationship between human accounting actors, non-human actors and problematization.

Findings – Based on this research, we concluded that the establishment of networks in the field of specialized accounting inspection depends on numerous translations between agents, since they have an impact on the performance of activities and the stabilization of relationships. The quality of the expert report and technical report and the competence of accounting experts were pointed out as performance indicators. Accounting experts and accounting experts assistant’s experience, the period of experience and the relationship with judges were identified as drivers of stable and lasting relationships between specialized accounting inspection actors.

Originality/value – The study is relevant given the lack of research involving the Actor-Network Theory and accounting, particularly Accounting Inspection, both nationally and internationally. Therefore, contribute to investigate how the actors interact, create and modify relationships, through the perception of accountants and judges.

Keywords – Actor-Network Theory; specialized accounting inspection; human actors; non-human actors; translation.
1 Introduction

Specialized accounting inspection is made up of numerous factors that need to be considered if it is to be properly carried out. In the judicial sphere, inspection activities are driven by interactions between the parties involved, such as judges, lawyers, related parties and the accounting expert (Santos, Cunha, Tanquella, & Valentim, 2013). According to the authors, accounting experts, professional who are qualified to carry out inspection activities, depend on the interactions between the parties involved to develop activities in a qualitative and credible way.

The role of each actor within relationships in the field of inspection, of accounting experts, reports prepared and of the judicial sphere all provide and limit the quality of specialized accounting inspection services (Ferreira, Miranda, Meira, & Santos, 2012). Stable and trusting relationships between professionals involved in the field of inspection ease the solution of processes, when supported by quality inspection reports. On the other hand, unstable and unsuccessful interactions between reports, accounting experts, lawyers and judges can result in inconsistent decisions and affect the completion of the process (Nogueira, 2006).

The relationships among human and non-human actors in the process of specialized accounting inspection, capable of boosting the performance of inspection activities by accounting professionals, can be studied from the perspective of the Actor-Network Theory. This theoretical line, according to Pollack, Costello and Sankaran (2013), includes actors and networks in a constant process of creation, adaptation, stabilization and reformulation. This theory suggests a dynamic configuration, with heterogeneous characteristics between actors (Latour, 2005).

Based on the above, it is necessary to understand how networks are formed in the context of inspection. We assumed that the role of non-human actors is as essential as that of other human actors involved in the establishment of networks in inspection, since, through materiality, mainly represented by expert reports, decisions are made by the judges. Therefore, based on the Actor-Network Theory, it is necessary to demonstrate how heterogeneity and symmetry permeate the investigated context, and how the relationships created generate effects and impact on the organizing of reality (Law, 1992).

Based on the argument that relationships formed between human and non-human actors in the field of inspection activities interfere in activities performed by accounting professionals, this research is guided by the following question: What is the configuration of the networks of human and non-human actors that are formed in the process of specialized accounting inspection from the perspective of the Actor-Network Theory? Thus, the goal of this study is to identify the configuration of the networks of human and non-human actors that are formed in the process of specialized accounting inspection from the perspective of the Actor-Network Theory.

This research is justified because it expands, based on empirical research, the concepts proposed in the Actor-Network Theory, especially with regard to the heterogeneity of relationships. Considering only human actors is not enough to understand the reality of specialized accounting inspection. Thus, the study provides theoretical knowledge about an eminently pragmatic and technical field of activity. It is also relevant given the lack of research involving the Actor-Network Theory and accounting, particularly specialized accounting inspection, both nationally and internationally.

The Actor-Network Theory applied to accounting and related fields has been studied more in foreign literature, investigating aspects of information systems (Bloomfield & Best, 1992), economic markets (Callon, 1998) and organizational studies (White & Bradshaw, 2004; Czarniawska & Hernes, 2005; Woolgar, Coopmans, & Neyland, 2009; Steen, 2010; Pollack, Costello, & Sankaran, 2013), than in Brazil, which investigated as to information technology (Martins, 2011), process management (Albuquerque, 2012), governance and strategic outcomes (Montenegro & Bulgacov, 2014).

In addition, scientific literature on specialized accounting inspection is still incipient
in the Brazilian academic sphere (Oliveira, 2012; Neves Jr., Moreira Ribeiro & Silva, 2013). According to Peleias, Ornelas, Henrique and Welfort (2011), only a few studies exist involving the field of specialized accounting inspection when compared to other fields of research. Although there are significant contributions to the collection of research in the field, “the need for work in this particular field of knowledge is huge, because there were few who, at some point, made their contribution to those who begin in this career” (Santos, Schmidt & Gomes, 2006, p. 4).

Besides, no studies were found investigating the performance of human and non-human actors in building the reality of the inspection context at the same level, based on the Actor-Network Theory. According to Montenegro and Bulgacov (2014), the materiality in relationships is relevant to understanding networks among humans, because they involve objects that will act and generate reflections on the networks created. Thus, we sought to contribute to investigation as to how non-human actors (reports, opinions, questions) and other inspection actors are able to interact, create and modify relationships with other actors, corroborating the understanding of the construction of reality.

The field of specialized accounting inspection, though little investigated by academy, requires technical accounting improvement (Oliveira, 2012). Internationally, studies on accounting inspection highlight the growth of the profession and of the demand for specialized accounting inspection services (Koh, Arokiasamy, Suat & 2009; Okoye & Akenbor, 2009). Thus, this study is relevant because it offers an empirical and social contribution to accounting professionals willing to work or already working in inspection, because it reveals the interactions in the profession from the relationship between human and non-human actors of the inspection field, through the perception of accountants and judges.

2 Theoretical framework

2.1 Actor-Network Theory perspectives

According to the Actor-Network Theory, reality is entirely driven by mechanisms of action, in which any component, material or immaterial, may interact with other active or not components (Callon, 1999). In this perspective, any human and non-human aspect should be treated with equal circumstances, and the social dimension should be characterized as a simultaneous creation of collective practices involving interaction among people, mediated by objects (Christensen & Skaerbaek, 2007; McFall, 2009).

One of the basic and most important premises of the Actor-Network Theory is symmetry. Mattedi, Grisotti, Spiess and Bennertz (2009) consider it as one of the main contributions of this theory, which introduced a symmetrical approach of the relationship between society, technology and science. Another significant milestone refers to the construction of knowledge, which, according to Callon (1986) exceeds the human brain, while being distributed in material devices. One of the central elements of the Actor-Network Theory is the network of existing actors in a reality, that is, the combination of agent and structure or context, in which both are created through interdependency relationships and perceived among actors (Green, Hull McMeekin, & Walsh, 1999).

Thus, the translation of actors has to occur so as to understand human and non-human agents in a common base language, mediated by translation tools (Latour, 1999). Since the Actor-Network Theory treats human and non-human agents equivalently in terms of importance in a given reality and that they are possible actors in a network based on the principle of heterogeneity (Hardy & Williams, 2008), makes it especially attractive and relevant to verify relationships between actors in the field of specialized accounting inspection.

Therefore, an important aspect that involves the Actor-Network Theory refers to the fact that the construction of a reality or of
a social context depend on associations among agents, of establishing equivalences and of translations made by heterogeneous actors (Callon, 1986). According to Albuquerque (2012), the translation process denotes how human and non-human actors are configured and make alliances in a socio-technical network, i.e. allows to understand how the agents of a heterogeneous network are drawn into stable and unstable networks (Law, 1992).

The process of translation, according to Maia and Serafin (2012), binds to the planning and stabilization process of a set of elements that can be dissociated. Without the organizing power of the translation, the agents would walk in any direction. Thus, the process of translation – subdivided in four stages, called problematization, interessement, enrollment and mobilization – is a scaled interaction in which the agents construct equivalent definitions and meanings, list representatives and cooperate with each other to meet the proposed objectives (Feigenbaum, 2010).

Finding out how the networks are configured it is necessary to follow the actors in the establishment of relationships and interactions with other agents in order to identify how the alliances occur and the collectivity among the elements (Latour, 2005). On the other hand, it is also possible to investigate relationships following facts and artifacts based on their past history, when it is not possible to trace their characters in action, through interviews, reports, articles, quotes, documents, among others (Latour, 1996).

In order to elucidate the aspects of this theoretical model, in Table 1 the main concepts of the Actor-Network Theory are summarized.

| Concepts            | Description                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 Actor             | Any entity capable of generating effect or leaving traces, represented by humans and, through semiotics, by non-human (Latour, 2001). Law (1992, p. 380) suggests that “society, organizations, agents and machines are all effects generated in networks of various materials.” |
| 1.1 Actor-Network   | “A network with a particular pattern of heterogeneous and distinct relationships, or an effect caused by certain network.” (Law, 1992, p. 5). |
| 1.2 Black box       | Set of stable alliances around a single actant (Latour, 1988).                                                                                   |
| 1.3 Spokesmen       | Seen as representative of the actants, the spokesman is tasked to expand the events so as to include non-human actors in the relationships (Latour, 2001). |
| 1.4 Actor-focal     | Aims at balancing different interests and making actors become allies, towards a common target, supporting the establishment of the relationships of a given situation or context (Martins, 2011). To perform certain role, the actor starts to behave as a mediator of the relationships, interfering, mobilizing and directing other actors for a proper configuration of the networks (Martins, 2011). |
| 1.5 Obligatory passage point | Refers to the obligation of the actors to go through a given point in the network (Callon, 1986).                                                  |
| 2 Translation       | The translations allow the actants to relate and associate among themselves, generating strong or weak interactions, composed of discourse chains through which the agents are modified and translate their various interests (Latour, 2001) in an attempt to form a cohesive whole (Callon & Latour, 1981). |
| 2.1 Problematization| Refers to the determination of the actors of the networks and their identities, establishing an obligatory passage point in the establishment of networks (Callon, 1986). |
| 2.2 Intereessement  | Seeks to attract the interest of agents so that they can build robust alliances, through a series of artifacts and appealing mechanisms (Callon, 1986). |
| 2.3 Enrollment      | Refers to assignment and coordination of potential roles to actors listed in problematization (Callon, 1986). |
| 2.4 Mobilization of allies | Some methods are used so that the main interests of the focal actors are not separated from the initial purpose and do not seek new possibilities to solve a given issue (Callon, 1986). |
| 3 Hybrid relationships | Refers to alliances between human and non-human actors in a certain context (Latour, 1999).                                                   |
| 3.1 Action at a distance | To portray a fact, a reality or an event, actants need to act at a distance, in order to form alliances, causing effect relationships among the elements involved (Latour, 1988). |
| 3.2 Fait faire       | Enables investigation as to the causal relationships enabled by objects (Latour, 1999).                                                         |
| 3.3 Center of calculation | Locations where it is possible to quantitatively verify relevant information referring to networks, for example, laboratories, databases, research centers, organizations, government agencies, among others (Latour, 2005). |
Table 1 presents the main elements proposed by the Actor-Network Theory. According to Law (2009), they have as main core the formation of heterogeneous networks from various types of actors. In this study, the relationships in the process of specialized accounting inspection are contemplated, based on the perception of professional experts and judges.

2.2 Specialized accounting inspection and networks

One of the main types of specialized accounting is the one that happens in the Judiciary, called specialized accounting inspection. According to Pires (2007), it is through expert’s evidences that the truth about the disputed facts is sought. It is expected, therefore, to support the decision-making process of judges from inspections and examinations carried out by qualified expert professionals, who are appointed to investigate possible irregularities existing in a given fact (Oberherr & Nikolay, 2013).

In the field of specialized accounting inspection, many individuals act in the establishment process of the relationships, based on the demand of a judge, when seeking technical support of a professional to support his decision. Among the human actants of accounting inspection, the expert appointed by the judge and the technical assistant of the parties outstand. Neves Jr, Moreira, Ribeiro and Silva (2013) state that the quality of the services provided is linked to the quality of the professional appointed and to the trust in the relationship between the appointed expert and the judge. Expert accountants may adversely affect the network configuration in case they do not have the requirements to perform the inspection activities with quality and reliability (Oliveira, 2012).

Regarding the technical assistant, the accounting expert’s assistant, hired by one of the parties, has the task of advising the contractor for further clarification, in order to ensure the safety and accuracy of the information evaluated by the expert appointed by the judge (Miguel, 2009). It is also within the expert’s assistant’s competence to assist the parties when drafting the questions, monitoring the activities performed by the expert appointed by the judge and, ultimately, deliver an opinion about the expert’s report prepared (Santana, 1999).

Regarding the actors of the judicial sphere, specialized accounting inspection, when linked to the judiciary, occurs upon the request of a judge because of the need and interest in clarifications regarding certain process. The judge has the duty to request expert’s evidence when required, appointing the accounting expert – both in the discovery phase before the sentence is delivered, and after the final judgment of the sentence, if it is the case – to provide an expert’s report on the events that occurred between the parties (Carvalho & Marques, 2005). In this process, it is up to the judge to act with impartiality, transparency, integrity and dignity to seek the truth of the facts.

In the judicial units where judges are assigned there are relevant information to the experts qualified to perform specialized services, available to interested parties. This existing database in the courts instigates competition among expert accountants to be appointed by the judge (Peleias & Ornelas, 2013), generating potential impacts on the establishment of networks among human actors in the field of specialized accounting inspection.

Non-human actors of the inspection consist of the questions, expert’s report and technical opinion. Although there are other non-human actors of relevance in the process of formation of the actors networks in the field of inspection, it was conveniently chosen to investigate the questions and the expert’s reports, so as to enable a more specific analysis of their role in the formation of the networks. To Morais (2005), the questions are those made by judges and parties on uncertain facts of the case. Zanna (2007) points out that they are questions raised in the records with the intention that, from the answers to them given by the expert, the doubts, disagreements and calculus can be clarified. Therefore, represent boundaries of the work to be performed by the expert, that impact on the opinion of the professional, materialized in the expert’s report.
The accounting expert’s report, according to Oliveira (2012), should include all the information needed to convince the decision-making instance of the process of the legal certainty regarding the facts. It is a detail and planned report presenting conclusions of the inspection (Sá, 2010). Therefore, the expert’s report is one of the main parts of the specialized accounting inspection, as it represents one of the legal bases for the judge to decide the litigation in question (Neves Jr. et al., 2013).

The technical opinion is relevant object in the process of specialized accounting inspection, according to Moraes (2000), since it aims to detail the opinion of the accounting expert’s assistant on the steps taken and give an opinion about the report prepared by the expert. However, the expert’s assistant responsible for the opinion and the expert responsible for the report can act jointly in the inspection, planning the activities to be carried out in order to minimize and avoid potential disagreements over certain fact (Miguel, 2009).

We inferred that stable and trusting relationships between the professionals involved in the inspection field facilitate the processes completion, supported by expert’s reports prepared with quality. Unstable and unsuccessful interactions among the report prepared, the accounting expert, lawyers and judges, can hinder the completion of the process (Nogueira, 2006). Therefore, the relationships between human and non-human actors in the process of specialized accounting inspection can influence the performance of inspection activities and the configuration of networks actants.

3 Methodology

3.1 Population and sample

The population of the survey comprised professional accountant experts registered in the Experts Associations of the Brazilian states of Rio Grande do Sul, Paraná, Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo and Minas Gerais. According to the National Council of Justice Report of 2013, the Division of Justice of these states is considered to be larger. It can be assumed that in these states there is a greater capacity for judging cases, since the higher the number of cases, the greater the need for inspection evidence.

Data on expert accountants were obtained at the website of the Association of Financial Experts of each state. Thus, the population of the research included 593 expert accountants registered and their full contact information was available. The survey sample consists of 102 respondents, 18% of the total population, who responded to the survey instrument. We decided to send the questionnaire to this actor member of the networks in the field of specialized accounting inspection, characterized as an accounting expert, to investigate the main aspects of the networks formation process in the field of specialized accounting inspection.

For the interviews, five judges and five expert accountants who work in courts of the city of Curitiba, PR were conveniently selected. The judges interviewed are professionals who require accounting expert’s evidence. Regarding the interviews with experts, they were relevant professionals in the accounting inspection area of the city of Curitiba, owners of accounting services companies, specialized in accounting inspection, for the understanding of the network configuration in the field of specialized accounting inspection. It is noteworthy that the amount of inspection activities in Curitiba is certainly smaller than in larger centers, such as São Paulo, and, therefore, the availability of experts to work on inspections is lower. Due to these characteristics, it is not possible to generalize the findings of the study carried out.

3.2 Research tools

The questionnaire sent to the experts (Appendix A) and the script of interview applied to the experts and judges (Appendices B and C) were developed according to the objective proposed. According to Melo (2007), the application of questionnaire enables the trace actors in their networks. Therefore, it is necessary to do with the questionnaires “what is done to people, i.e., extract elements and put them in another stiller and more combinable form” (Latour & Woolgar, 2000, p. 380). The questionnaire presented for the survey with the accounting experts consists of
five questions, which include assertions regarding the network configuration process in the field of specialized accounting inspection, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2
Design of the applied questionnaire

| Constructs                          | Questions | Assertives | Description                                           |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Human accounting actors             | 1         | 1.1, 1.2 e 1.3 | Identify the key elements of the networks in the field of specialized accounting inspection. |
| Human inspection actors             | 1         | 1.4 e 1.5 |                                                        |
| Non-human actors                    | 1         | 1.6 a 1.8 |                                                        |
| Problematization of networks formation | 2         | 2.1 a 2.7 | Verify the relevant initial procedures for the formation of relationships. |
| Interessement of human actors       | 3         | 3.1 a 3.9 | Verify factors that drive the formation of robust alliances among the actors. |
| Interessement of non-human actors   | 3         | 3.10 a 3.14 |                                                        |
| Enrollment of human actors          | 4         | 4.1 a 4.9 | Investigate the competences and attributions of the roles of each actor in the field of specialized accounting inspection. |
| Enrollment of non-human actors      | 4         | 4.10 a 4.13 |                                                        |
| Mobilization of allies              | 5         | 5.1 a 5.9 | Find out about the consolidation and stabilization of the relationships and performance of the specialized accounting inspection activities. |
| Performance of activities           | 5         | 5.10 a 5.12 |                                                        |
| Stabilization                       | 5         | 5.13 a 5.15 |                                                        |

In Table 2, the assertions of Question 1 sought to identify the main human and non-human actors involved in the network establishment process in the field of specialized accounting inspection. The assertions of the translation process, contained in questions 2, 3, 4 and 5, identified the existing networks among the actors in the specialized accounting inspection. Finally, the final assertions of the questionnaire enabled understanding the process of consolidation and stabilization of the relationships between the identified elements and the performance of the activities carried out. The seven-point Likert scale (1 = extremely low; 7 = extremely high) was used because, according to Churchill and Peter (1984), the reliability of a scale becomes more certain with the increase in the number of responses categories in a questionnaire.

For the interviews with judges and experts, two interview scripts were created, each made up of 10 questions based on the questionnaire applied to accounting experts. Information about the procedures related to the performance of the inspection, from the process of appointment of the expert to the criteria used to evaluate the performance of the activities performed were collected from judges. Procedures regarding entry into the field of specialized accounting inspection and planning and execution of inspection activities, in the position of expert appointed by the judge or expert’s assistant hired by the parties were investigated with the experts.

3.3 Procedures for collecting and analyzing data

In the survey, sending the questionnaires by e-mail to the 593 professionals who made up the population took place from June to September, 2014, through Survey Monkey. Regarding the interviews, contact was made by email and by phone with the accounting experts and the judicial assistants, scheduling each interview. The interviews took place from August to November 2014, and all together lasted about 15 hours.

The data obtained in the survey were tabulated in Microsoft Excel® software, which later served as basis for SPSS® and Smart PLS programs. Descriptive statistics was used for the characterization of the respondents. In order to investigate the existing relationships in the network configuration process, the structural equation modeling technique was used, estimated from the Partial Least Squares, PLS. According to Hair Jr., Anderson, Tatham and Black (2005),
this statistical technique is not limited to analysis of simultaneous dependence of the data, but provides a transition from the exploratory analysis to a confirmatory perspective.

For the interviews, the technique of content analysis was used. According to Bardin (1977), this is a research technique that aims at objective, systematic and quantitative description of the manifest content of the communication. Recorded interviews were all listened to, and the sections considered relevant, and that at first could complement the quantitative analysis, were transcribed after the questions of the interview script. After that, through interpretive category analysis, each transcribed section was linked to specific aspects of the Actor-Network Theory and allocated to data analysis. Thus, the interviews enabled us to strengthen the quantitative analysis of the process of configuration of actor networks within the field of specialized accounting inspection.

4 Description and analysis of results

4.1 Validity and reliability of constructs

In this research, the latent variables are the accounting human actors (AHC), inspection human actors (AHJ) and non-human actors (ANH). In addition to these, there are the four stages of the translation process: (i) Questioning (Problem); (ii) Interessement of Human Actors (AI HC), Interessement of Inspection Human Actors (AI HJ) and Interessement of Inspection Non-Human Actors (AI NH); (iii) Enrollment of Human Actors (Recr AH) and Enrollment of Non-Human Actors (Recru ANH); and (iv) Mobilization of Allies (Mobili). The structural model also includes as latent variables the Inspection Performance (Desem) and Stabilization of relationships (Estab).

In the convergent validity of the model formed by the constructs (Table 3), it is possible to verify the reliability indicators of the model to be used in the formation process of actor networks, being them the analysis of the variance extracted (AVE) and the composite reliability. The objective of these indicators is to demonstrate that the assertions listed are sufficient to represent their respective latent variables (Hair Jr, Anderson, Tatham, & Black, 2005). The recommended value for AVE is 0.50 and 0.70 for composite reliability.

Table 3
Model adequacy indices

| Variables                                | AVE   | Composite Reliability | R Square | Commonality | Redundancy |
|------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Human accounting actors                  | 0.6168| 0.8262                | 0        | 0.6168      | 0          |
| Human inspection actors                  | 0.514 | 0.7587                | 0.1502   | 0.514       | 0.0794     |
| Non-human actors                         | 0.5594| 0.7823                | 0.3736   | 0.5594      | 0.1992     |
| Problematization                         | 0.5002| 0.8255                | 0.3502   | 0.5002      | 0.0374     |
| Interessement of accounting human actors | 0.5479| 0.7835                | 0.0303   | 0.5479      | 0.0157     |
| Interessement of inspection human actors | 0.5504| 0.7016                | 0.2208   | 0.5002      | 0.0616     |
| Interessement of non-human actors        | 0.5623| 0.7151                | 0.2479   | 0.5462      | 0.0591     |
| Enrollment of human actors               | 0.5629| 0.7255                | 0.2378   | 0.2629      | 0.0469     |
| Enrollment of non-human actors           | 0.6756| 0.8617                | 0.3197   | 0.6756      | 0.1426     |
| Mobilization of allies                   | 0.5032| 0.7399                | 0.2679   | 0.3032      | 0.0591     |
| Performance of inspection activities     | 0.5838| 0.8057                | 0.4109   | 0.5838      | 0.2374     |
| Stabilization of relationships           | 0.5708| 0.797                 | 0.1135   | 0.5708      | 0.0644     |
We can noticed in Table 3 that, for the Analysis of Variance Extracted (AVE), there are no values lower than 0.50, contributing to the acceptance of the model. Regarding the composite reliability coefficients, all indicators are above 0.70. Thus, besides representing 50% of the variance, considering the sample size of 102 respondents, values are significant at 5%, as recommended by Hair Jr. et al. (2005).

The criterion of Gaski and Nevin (1985) was used to test discriminant validity, which uses the correlation of the matrix and of the composite reliability values to check the validity or not of the model. We found that the model reveals discriminant validity. To finalize the adequacy of the model, the calculation of Goodness-of-Fit Index proposed by Tenenhaus, Chatelin and Lauro (2005) was used, which reached a rate of 0.37, therefore, above the minimum of 0.36 recommended by Wetzels, Odekerken-Schröder and Van Oppen (2009).

4.2 Model proposed for the study

The tested structural model, indicating the relationships estimated by path of coefficients, is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1.** Structural model of relationships in specialized accounting inspection.

The test of the structural model of the specialized accounting inspection relationships is evaluated according to the adjustment indicator and coefficients obtained by the PLS calculations. The obtained coefficients show significance based on the values corresponding to t test for the path (path), generating significant value for each variable. Bootstrapping was analyzed, generating N = 2000 different sub-samples, each with n = 102 observations, as recommended by Hair Jr. et al. (2005). After the generation of the path coefficients, the t distribution of the Student was calculated to verify the significance of the relationships between the variables of the structural model, as shown in Table 4.
Table 4
Coefficients of paths and significance of relationships

| Relationships                  | Original Sample | Sample Mean | Standard Deviation | Standard Error | T Statistics (|O/STERR|) | P Value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| AHC -> ANH                    | 0.6113          | 0.621       | 0.0687             | 0.0687         | 8.8978         | 0.0000* |
| ANH -> AHJ                    | 0.3876          | 0.3968      | 0.107              | 0.107          | 3.6241         | 0.0003* |
| AHC -> Problem                | 0.1317          | 0.123       | 0.1083             | 0.1083         | 1.216          | 0.2243  |
| ANH -> Problem                | 0.0486          | 0.0525      | 0.0931             | 0.0931         | 0.5217         | 0.6020  |
| AHJ -> Problem                | 0.5002          | 0.5155      | 0.0793             | 0.0793         | 6.3082         | 0.0000* |
| Problem -> AI HC              | 0.174           | 0.1901      | 0.093              | 0.093          | 1.8716         | 0.0616**|
| Problem -> AI HJ              | 0.2686          | 0.2587      | 0.1274             | 0.1274         | 2.1082         | 0.0353* |
| Problem -> AI NH              | 0.3519          | 0.35        | 0.1041             | 0.1041         | 3.3793         | 0.0008* |
| AI HC -> AI NH               | 0.2963          | 0.3073      | 0.0999             | 0.0999         | 2.9652         | 0.0031* |
| AI NH -> AI HJ               | 0.2921          | 0.3195      | 0.1138             | 0.1138         | 2.5674         | 0.0104* |
| AI NH -> Recr ANH            | 0.3198          | 0.3009      | 0.173              | 0.173          | 1.8481         | 0.0649**|
| AI HC -> Recr AH             | 0.3036          | 0.3087      | 0.1233             | 0.1233         | 2.4626         | 0.0140* |
| AI HJ -> Recr AH             | 0.289           | 0.3187      | 0.1093             | 0.1093         | 2.6448         | 0.0083* |
| Recr AH -> Recru ANH         | 0.3304          | 0.3591      | 0.1472             | 0.1472         | 2.2439         | 0.0251* |
| Recr AH -> Mobili            | 0.3484          | 0.3794      | 0.1005             | 0.1005         | 3.4677         | 0.0005* |
| Recru ANH -> Mobili          | 0.2475          | 0.2348      | 0.0957             | 0.0957         | 2.5877         | 0.0098* |
| Mobili -> Desem              | 0.641           | 0.6613      | 0.0631             | 0.0631         | 10.1526        | 0.0000* |
| Mobili -> Estab              | 0.3369          | 0.3721      | 0.0957             | 0.0957         | 3.5207         | 0.0004* |

Note: * Significant at 5% ** Significant at 10%

We can verify in Table 4 that, except for the relationships among the Accounting Human Actors, Non-human Actors and Problematization, all other relationships were statistically significant at the level of 5% or 10%. Non-significance was expect for these two relationships, as in the initial process of network formation the inspection human actors are mainly responsible for triggering the relationships, indicating the expert to be appointed, the questions formulated by the parties and responsibilities to be met within the expert’s activities (Carvalho & Marques 2005). Below, there is a description of the aspects of the direct relationships of the actants of specialized accounting inspection, with excerpts of the interviews.

4.3 Human and non-human actants in specialized accounting inspection

The human and non-human actors in relationships, according to Montenegro and Bulgacov (2014), are equally relevant, and should be considered in the process of social study and investigation. In the sphere of the specialized accounting inspection, the human actors, represented by the accounting expert, expert’s assistant, judge and courts, are the main actants of the relationships, acting in the design of networks. As for the non-human actors of the specialized accounting inspection, the expert’s report prepared by the expert appointed by the judge, the technical opinion of the expert’s assistant and the questions within the process are the main actants, capable of forming networks among themselves and with the human actors of the specialized accounting inspection.

Analyzing the structural model, we notice that there are significant relationships between the accounting and inspection human actors and the non-human actors in the field of specialized accounting inspection. The construct Accounting Human Actors (AHC) impacts, at the level of
significance of 5%, the construct Non-Human Actors (ANH), with p-value of 0.0000. Thus, there is the formation of hybrid alliances in the field of inspection, corroborating with the literature on the Actor-Network Theory (Latour, 1999). This author states that hybrid alliances do not make restrictions on the types and forms of agents involved in the interactions. Any actant may form relationships with other agents, whether human or non-human, in a particular context. This result is supported by an excerpt of the interview of one of the experts:

*Over the years, considering the expert's experience and continuous improvement in terms of technical qualifications, I believe that the expert's reports presented reflect the expert's profile and are positively or negatively impacted by the experience of the professional appointed by the judge [Expert 1].*

Accounting human actors act at a distance before non-human actors, given that when alliances and robust interactions are found among the actors, there is an action at a distance. According to Latour (1988), to depict a fact, a reality or an event, actants act at a distance to form alliances, causing relationships of effect between the elements involved. In the field of inspection, experts appointed by the judge generate effects on the expert’s report, as well as the assistants impact the technical opinion. There is a relevance of non-human actors in the network formation process, as they cease to be mere artifacts used by man and become active agents in everyday actions, able to incite changes and to influence other actants (Latour, 2005).

The relationship between constructs Non-Human Actors (ANH) and Inspection Human Actors (AHJ) was significant (p-value 0.0003), at a level of 5%. This relationship indicates that the materiality generates effects and impacts on the inspection human actors, especially on judges and on courts in which they work. In this sense, according to the respondents to the questionnaire, the structure of the court in which the process is represents a relevant inspection human actor, which generates impacts on the inspection relationships. This result is reinforced by answer of one of the judges interviewed:

*In each court there is a database containing the list of possible experts to be appointed. In accounting matters, the civil courts have considerably presented greater demand for specializes accounting inspection, which ultimately contributes to a more assiduous work of the accounting experts [Judge 1].*

Another judge interviewed about the role of the courts in the relationships reported that:

*The expert must not have any kind of privilege within the court or the registry. I believe that the expertise and technical skills should take precedence to any kind of prior relationship the expert might have with the court in which he is registered [Judge 3].*

According to the perspectives of the Actor-Network Theory, when analyzing the influences and effects caused by the non-human actors, the Theory proposes the notion of *fait faire*, which makes it possible to investigate the causality relationships established by objects (Latour, 1999). Therefore, the accounting expert’s report and the opinion of the expert’s assistant, for being part of the materiality of relationships, come with an attitude of fait faire before inspection human actors (judges and courts), generating effects and impacts of different magnitudes and contributing to the consolidation of networks between actants.

In the structural model, there is statistical significance at the level of 5% among the variables Inspection Human Actors (AHJ) and Problematization (Problem), with p-value of 0.0000. The human actors in the inspection sphere, especially the judges, are responsible for the beginning of the main relationships in the field of specialized investigation, since they determine the experts who will present the evidence, the questions that will be answered and the assessment of the work produced. The judge is a focal actor.
whose objective is to guide and direct the design and the action of the other actors within the specialized accounting inspection.

We can infer that, if the judge had no need for a technical depth on a particular matter, there would not be inspection and, consequently, the relationships among the actants. In this sense, a network among the inspection actors comes into existence, since there is need for expert’s evidence, based mainly on trusting relationships among the actors. The excerpt of the answer of a judge confirms this result:

A trusting relationship between the expert and the judge is essential. In the court where I act there is a list of registered experts who can be appointed. When the need to appoint an expert arises, I look for trust or recommendations of other judges, or I take into account the fact of already knowing the work of a particular expert. Trust is one of the key pillars in inspection [Judge 5].

After identifying the actors of the specialized accounting inspection, it is detailed below, as a sequence of the analysis of the structural model developed in the study, the establishment of the relationships from the four stages of the translation process, which begins with the Problematization. We emphasized that the determination of the actors described previously, according to Callon (1986), is also part of this first stage, however, in this study we decided to separate the identification of the actors in order to facilitate the understanding of the structural model.

4.4 Relationships in specialized accounting inspection from the translation process

In the perspectives of the Actor-Network Theory, according to Callon and Latour (1981), translations enable actants to promote interactions and associations among themselves, forming relationships and strong or weak connections, composed of discursive chains through which the actors change and translate its various interests to create a cohesive whole. In the first stage of the translation process, i.e., at the stage of questioning following the design of the actants, needs and objectives to be achieved arise.

According to the structural model, this variable showed significant relationships at the level of 5%, with the variables Interessement of Inspection Human Actors (AI HJ) (p-value 0.0353) and Interessement of Non-Human Actors (AI NH) (p-value 0.0008), and at the level of 10%, with the variable Interessement of Accounting Human Actors (AI HC) (p-value 0.0616). These relationships reveal that it is from the identification of the actors of specialized accounting inspection and from the exposure of the problem to be solved by the agents that the interests of each actor are drawn to form their respective networks.

After designating the inspection and its scope, defining the questions and the experts’ assistants, if it is the case, the expert is presented to the main problem to be solved and how his actions can help solve it. We noticed that the judge is an important actor in the initial phase of the establishment of the networks in the field of inspection, and there is no way forward without going through it, without his intervention as to whether there is need or not of an expert’s evidence or appointment of an accounting expert. The verification process of an expert’s evidence by the judge represents a compulsory passage point in relationships between actants.

According to the Actor-Network Theory, the need of actors going through a given point in the network is called obligatory passage point (Callon, 1986). For the author, in the initial phase of the translation process, the focal actor defines this mandatory context, through which the actors are required to pass so that objectives set can be met. Therefore, when there is the need for the appointment action of an accounting expert to provide an expert’s evidence of accounting matters, the networks among the inspection actors starts to be formed, between human and non-human actors.

Another aspect to be highlighted in the study, in the problematization, is the process of
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presenting the questions presented by the parties, which are represented by their respective lawyers, to the expert appointed by the judge. In this study, approximately 67% of the experts who answered to the questionnaire reported that the questions presented by the lawyers do not have the expected due clarity. This result is consistent with the study by Neves Jr. et al. (2013), in which the majority of the respondents of the sample investigated reported that objectivity, accuracy and clarity are aspects to be improved at the time of elaboration of the questions. This positioning is also mentioned by one of the judges interviewed, according to the following passage:

_There are questions submitted by lawyers which are not relevant. There are cases where, sometimes, the party attempts to prolong the process through impertinent questions. In some situations the expert himself, at the time of fee proposal, indicates that a particular question is not an accounting technical matter [Judge 3]._

Following the problematization stage, there is the second stage of the translation process, the interessement. In the structural model, we verified that the interessement variables showed significant direct relationships with each other and with the next variables of the translation process. In both causality relationships of the Interessement of Human Accounting Actors in the Interessement of Non-Human Actors (p-value 0.0031) and in Enrollment of Human Actors (p-value 0.0140), the interests of the material elements, such as the objectives to be met by the expert’s reports and technical opinions, are impacted by the interests of accounting human actors, whether they are experts appointed by the judge or assistants of the parties, especially in the process of appointing professionals.

In this situation, aspects related to the expected basis of the reports during the process are reflections, many times, of how the expert seeks to be appointed by the judge or how the expert’s assistant acts to be hired by one of the parties of the process, due to the existence of a competition scenario among the accountants to work in the field of investigation. These aspects referring to how the actants act to attract their interests were expressed by the research participants. On the establishment of possible networks and future robust alliances, 80% of the respondents said that to achieve their goals, especially regarding the appointment for inspections, experts use legal and ethical mechanisms to be appointed by the judges. This issue was discussed during the interviews and reflects what happens in expert practice, as the following excerpt:

_The appointment of an expert occurs in an ethical and legal way. In my opinion, an expert should not have facilities during the selection process of an expert to act in a given process. I have a list of experts who are of my trust, but I always alternate my appointment, even in pro bono cases [Judge 5]._

As for the impact of Interessement of Non-Human Actors (AI NH) on the Interessement of the Inspection Human Actors (AI HJ) (p-value 0.0104) and on the Enrollment of the Non-Human Actors (Recru ANH) (p-value 0.0649), it can be noticed that the materiality of the actants’ relationships also involves looking for ways to attract interest in order to form robust alliances among the agents. In this regard, especially the expert’s report and the opinion of the expert’s assistant need to be attractive enough to corroborate and meet the needs of the other human actants involved.

The last significant relationship in the second stage of the translation process, found in the structural model presented, is between the Interessement of the Inspection Human Actors (AI HJ) and the Enrollment of Human Actors (Recr AH) (p-value 0.0083), which was significant at the level of 5%. This relationship reveals that the form and the aspects linked to the interests, mainly of the judges, are reflected in the formation process of the networks among the actants. One of the main points to be highlighted in this respect refers to the appointment of the expert by the
judge. In order to meet their interests, the judges use criteria to appoint an accountant to act as an expert in a court case. For the majority of the survey participants (91%), the appointment of the expert by the judge depends on trust, quality of services and how well he knows the expert.

The judge’s trust in the expert is reflected on the report presented, giving credibility in the relationships among the actants, contributing to future appointments. Moreover, this trust in experts is disseminated through the networks formed among the judges of different courts, who often appoint professionals they consider to be of quality to each other. These results are in line with the report of one of the interviewees:

*It is very common for a judge to ask for another judge’s aid, especially for the recommendation of an expert for a specific case. [...] If there is an expert of my trust and confidence and I am transferred to another court or city, I will certainly continue to appoint him in other cases [Judge 1].*

In the third stage of the translation process, called enrollment, the roles of the elements that make up the network are highlighted. In the structural model, besides the significant relationships with the variables of Interessement, there was a significance level of 5%, directly among the variables Enrollment of Human Actors (Recr AH) and Mobilization of Allies (Mobili) (p-value of 0,0005), Enrollment of Non-Human actors (Recru ANH) and Mobilization of Allies (Mobili) (p-value 0.0098) and Enrollment of Human Actors (Recr AH) and Enrollment of Non-Human actors (Recru ANH) (p-value of 0.0251). These relationships denote that the allocation and coordination of the roles of the actors in the enrollment stage generate impacts on the mobilization of allies and, consequently, on the stabilization of the relationships and performance of the expert’s activities.

In the enrollment of the main expert accounting human actors, we found in this study that, among the roles of the experts and technical assistants, the professionals are responsible for delivering information in a clear and objective manner, and preparing the expert’s reports that meet the courts and interested parties’ needs. According to Dantas and Mendonça (2013), the expert is responsible for clarifying and elucidating the facts that the judge cannot interpret regarding the accounting matters. When these roles are not fulfilled as expected, the networks formed among the actors may face instabilities and even be discontinued.

Similar results were found by Neves Jr. et al. (2013), in which, in the opinion of the judges in the sample, experts respond adequately to the dispute questions, demonstrate confidence in the work performed, offer clear and objective answers to the questions presented, and demonstrate calculations clearly and of easy understanding. These authors point out that those who do work with low quality are excluded from its Court’s list of experts, hindering future appointments.

Regarding the main role of the inspection human actors, in the legal sphere the judge is responsible for determining the need for expert’s evidence, appointing an accounting expert and judging based on the report prepared by the expert (Santos et al., 2013). Among the main aspects regarding the roles of the inspection human actors, the influence of judges on the work to be performed by the expert can be observed, as in demands for further clarification on the contents of the report.

According to the survey, approximately 75% of the experts stated that the judge influences on the progress of the expert’s activities, and makes decisions based on the expert’s reports prepared. Ferreira, Miranda, Meira and Santos (2012) also noticed in their study that 75% of the judges who participated of the study believe that the report is key artifact for the judges’ decision making process.

The courts, places where the expert is registered in a professional registry database available to the judge for appointing experts, enable the judge to know the case to be judges, the parties involved and experts that may be appointed.
The courts are places of great circulation of actors in the field of specialized accounting inspection, called centers of calculation according to the Actor-Network Theory. There are certain courts or calculation centers with higher demand for expert financial services, so there is greater circulation of actors in the networks. In this sense, the excerpt of the comment of the judges interviewed is highlighted:

*The civil courts require specialized accounting inspection in a daily basis and believe it is one of the fields that need accounting expert's evidences the most. In a civil court, it is very rare a day in which less than five or six accounting experts are appointed to cases involving banking agreements, chattel mortgage, leasing, accountability, revision actions, among others. [...] Similarly, in labor justice the demand for the accounting expert's work is also intense [Judge 1].*

On the roles assigned to the Non-Human actors, special attention is given to the experts' reports, which need to meet the needs of the judges and have the quality expected for the judicial review. According to Santos, Cunha, Tanquella and Valentim (2013), the preparation of an expert's report should not be restricted to respond to the proposed questions, it should clarify the truth of the controversial facts. The fulfillment of the expert's report role is essential to create stable, consistent and sustainable networks among actants, mainly due to the negative impacts that may arise from poorly executed work, leading to the appointment of another expert to replace the one who did not meet the judge's needs.

After outlining the roles of each actor in the enrollment phase, in order to engage the actants and strengthen the networks, the main difficulties and obstacles are overcome and, because of this, allies can mobilize and stabilize certain relationships. Thus, in the fourth stage of the translation process, methods are applied so the interessement of the focal-actors do not distort the original purpose and not seek new solutions to the matter (Callon, 1986).

In the structural model presented, besides generating significant relationships with the variables Enrollment of Human Actors (Recr AH) and Enrollment of Non-Human (Recr ANH), the variable Mobilization of Allies (Mobili) generates direct impacts on the variables Performance (Desem) (p-value of 0.0000) and Stabilization (Estab) (p-value 0.0004), at a significance level of 5%. These relationships show that the last stage of the translation process and the previous ones, together, represent the establishment of the networks among the actors in the field of specialized accounting inspection, affect the performance of the expert's activities and enable the stabilization and consolidation of the relationships among the actants.

In the phase of mobilization of allies, through the assignment of spokesmen and mediators, the human and non-human actors can move and be gathered in one spot, making, if necessary, adjustments in the formulation of networks (Latour, 1988). Considering the aspects listed in each of the stages of the translation process, it can be inferred that the lawyers hired are the spokesmen for the parties involved and of the questions presented, the expert appointed by the judge is a spokesmen of the accounting matters and of the accounting report prepared, and the technical assistants spokesmen of the parties involved in the process from the technical opinion presented. However, according to Latour (1988), the role of the spokesmen is not perfect, given the simplifications in the role of representing what the actors assigned them to represent.

Due to the importance of the role of the accounting expert in networks as a spokesman, while judges' trusted professional, the work to be performed in the foreseen long term must meet the judge's requirements, to the point of enabling future appointments as a result of the quality of the report prepared. According to the study data, most experts stated that the quality of the expert's report affects the judge's decision of the case. These results reinforce the findings of Ferreira et al. (2012) that the expert's reports
require improvements, especially regarding clarity, objectivity and poorly done calculations, since the work performed by the expert with these characteristics will negatively impact on the understanding of the judge to reach a decision.

Another important aspect in the last stage of the translation process is the closeness of relationships among the judge, which acts as a focal actor in the networks, and the expert and technical assistants, who are spokesmen of the relationships. We found, based on the experts’ perception, that the interrelationship among these three main actants contributes to the progress and execution of the expert’s activities. This issue was also addressed and exemplified by one of the interviewed experts:

The appointment to a specialized accounting inspection depends on the relationship with the judge. The judge does not appoint an expert without knowing him and his work. I was appointed for a long time by a judge who is now retired and now his three children appoint me for accounting inspection. [...] On one occasion I prepared a report to a case that had seven technical assistants in the plaintiff party and were required in the process. We worked together in the preparation of the report for 30 days and, in the end, all agreed on the report prepared, without exception. This proximity in some cases can contribute to the execution of the activities [Expert 3].

Therefore, the results of this study, demonstrated by the structural model presented, indicate that the establishment of networks in the field of specialized accounting inspection depends on numerous translations and interactions among the agents involved, and impacts on the performance and stabilization of the relationships. The performance of the expert’s activities, as verified in the structural model presented, is impacted by the networks formed among the actants, depending on how these networks were formed. According to the opinion of the experts, the quality of the expert’s report and of the technical opinion, and the technical and theoretical competence of the accounting professionals outstand as performance indicators. Other expertise performance factors were reported by one of the judges interviewed:

*In order evaluate the performance of the investigation activity we should consider the expert’s technical expertise, meeting the deadline, quality of the report prepared – by reading two or three reports I can easily notice who has good knowledge on the matter in question and who doesn’t – impartiality and experience of the professional [Judge 4].*

These results are in line with the study of Neves Jr. et al. (2013), in which we found that, for most of the interviewed judges, clarity, objectivity, accuracy and arguments are attributes to be evaluated in the expert’s work. These authors point out that an argument poorly supported cause weakness to expert’s evidence, providing challenges to the calculations, and also delaying the process. It can be inferred that the strengthening of the networks between the focal actor of the investigation and the spokesmen indicated in the mobilization of allies stage positively contributes to the performance of activities in the field of expertise.

After the translations occurred in the formulation of the networks, certain relationships become somehow stable, and breaking them up depends on factors of impact. In the structural model, the process of translation, besides impacting on the performance of the activities, also causes a statistically significant effect in the stabilization of the network. In the opinion of the majority (95%) of the experts of this research, regarding the stabilization of the networks in the field of expertise, the experience of the expert and of the expert’s assistant is essential, as well as the time of experience and relationship with judges favors hiring new expert services. The durability of the relationship is associated with trust, technical qualifications, professional experience and quality of work, since the absence of such characteristics may create instabilities in the networks and drive new translations among the actors. This issue was also addressed by one of the interviewed experts.
Both the work of an expert for the same judge and the work of a technical assistant for a same party last for many years. There are judges who are transferred to other districts and take us with them. Many years ago, I was appointed to an inspection by a judge in the state of Paraná and after a while he was transferred to another district. When he left the countryside and came to Curitiba he continued to appoint me and, by recommendation, the countryside district kept me as an expert. It's your job going around, because of the quality and a trust created over time [Expert 5].

The credibility of accounting and legal human actors, through the quality and reliability of the work performed, enables the relationships to intensify over time and become lasting. Stable and lasting relationships among the same actors in inspection, such as among an expert and a judge and an assistant and a same party, represent black boxes (black boxes), created from an intense and continuous process of translation.

It can be inferred that the results of this study, especially detailed by the structural model presented, reinforce substantially one of the main concepts of the Actor-Network Theory, represented by the symmetry in relationships. We found that, through hybrid relationships of certain central actants with other human and non-human actors, networks and relationships are being created in an intense process of overcoming interest conflicts (Montenegro & Bulgacov, 2014). Through the four stages of the translation process, the problems to be faced and the objectives to be achieved are exposed; the main actors and their interests are identified; the attribution and roles of each element are outlined; and the allies are mobilized to then form networks among the actants in the field of specialized accounting inspection.

5 Conclusions

The objective of this research was to identify the configuration of the networks of human and non-human actors that are formed in the process of specialized accounting inspection from the perspective of the Actor-Network Theory. Among the human actors, we found that the accounting expert, the expert's assistant, the judge (focal actor of the relationships) and the courts are the main actants of the relationships, which act in a relevant way in the design of networks. As for the non-human actors of specialized accounting inspection, we found that the expert's report prepared by the judge's expert, the technical opinion of the technical assistant and the questions contained in the process are the main non-human actants capable of forming networks with each other and with the human actors of the specialized accounting inspection. These are, therefore, active actors in everyday actions, able to lead to changes and to influence other actants (Latour, 2005).

In the structural model developed at a significance level of 5%, we found that the construct Accounting Human Actors (AHC) impacts on the construct Non-Human Actors (ANH) (p-value 0.0000), which, in turn, impacts the variable Inspection Human Actors (p-value 0.0003), indicating the formation of hybrid alliances among the actors. We noticed that quality expert's reports and the technical opinion produce effects and contributions to the judge of a respective case, and thus, contemplate a fait faire attitude facing human inspection actors. In the research of Ferreira et al. (2012), most of the investigated judges answered that the accounting expert's report is supported, clear and precise, and is used as a key tool to reaching a judgment.

Regarding the variable of the structural model called Problematization, we found that all other relationships among latent variables in the model were statistically significant. At this stage, it was revealed that it is by identifying the actors of the specialized accounting inspection and the problem of exposure to be solved by the agents that the interests of each actor are drawn to form their networks. We also found that the judge is an important actor in the initial phase of the establishment of networks in the field of inspection, it not being possible to advance...
without going through it, especially without its intervention as to whether or not an expert’s evidence and the performance of an accounting expert, an obligatory passage point.

In the second stage of the translation process, called Interessement, we found that the competition among professionals to work in the specialized accounting inspection area is relevant and, therefore, generates impacts on the formation of the relationships, especially the on the entry of new professionals. Moreover, the trust and the pursuit for quality of the service provided by expert should be part of the means to attract the interests of judges. Regarding the objects of the relationships, reports and opinions must be supported and accurate, to support the judge’s decision making and enable new future appointments and relationships with the actants.

In the stage of Enrollment, which refers to the assignment of roles of actors in networks, we concluded that accounting human actors should convey clear and objective information, prepare inspection reports that meet the needs of the judge and of the parties. As for the inspection human actors, they have the responsibility to check whether the expert’s evidence is necessary or not, appoint experts and judge based on the reports prepared. Among the duties of the non-human actors, there is the expert’s report, which gathers the information necessary for the judge’s decision-making in the sentence. These results are consistent with the study of Ferreira et al. (2012), where 75% of the judges who participated of the research believe that the report is an essential artifact to the decision making process of the judges.

In the final stage of the translation process, called Mobilization of Allies, we found that lawyers act as spokesmen of the parties and questions, judge’s experts representing the accounting matters and the expert’s report prepared, and the technical assistants are spokesmen of the parties involved from the opinion presented. Another important aspect is the closeness of the relationship among the judge, the expert and the technical assistants. We found, based on the perception of experts, that the interrelationship among these three main actants contributes to the development of inspection activities.

As for the aspects linked to the performance of the expert’s activities and stabilization of the relationships, we found that the quality of the expert’s report and the technical opinion, besides the technical and theoretical competence of the accounting professionals, are important performance indicators. These results are in line with the study of Neves Jr. et al. (2013), which for most of the interviewed judges, clarity, objectivity, accuracy and arguments are attributes to be evaluated in the expert’s work.

We also found in the structural model that the process of translation, as well as impacting the performance of the activities, causes a statistically significant effect on the stabilization of the network. The experience of the expert and of the expert’s assistant, as well as the time of experience and relationship with judges, favor the hiring of new inspection services. We inferred that stable and lasting relationships among the same actors in inspection, such as among an expert and the same judge and an assistant and the same party, represent black boxes (black boxes), created from an intense and continuous translation.

We conclude, therefore, that the study is consistent with the perspectives of the Actor-Network Theory, because it reveals that it is through hybrid alliances formed among human and non-human actors, built from numerous translation processes, that networks in the field of specialized accounting inspection are established, contributing to the establishment of what is social and to organizing reality. For further research based on the Actor-Network Theory, we recommend the field of specialized accounting inspection is studied in a longer and deeper way, for example, with ethnographic studies, and to investigate how they form networks in other fields of specialized accounting inspection, such as extrajudicial and arbitration. We also recommend that analysis of other non-human actors in
the networks formation process in specialized accounting inspection be carried out, to verify how these actants influence the performance of the expert’s activities.
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Appendix A - Research questions

1) Check the level of importance of the issues below, concerning the identification of the components involved in the process of specialized accounting inspection.
Scale: 1 - Extremely low; 7 - Extremely high.

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1.1 Professional profile and characteristics of the accounting expert. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1.2 Professional profile and characteristics of the expert's assistant. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1.3 Contributions of the accounting offices and/or other accountants during the process. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1.4 Contributions of the lawyers during the process. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1.5 Professional profile and characteristics of the judge. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1.6 Structure of the court in which the process is. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1.7 Quality report presented by the expert. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1.8 Quality of assistant's opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

2) Check your level of agreement with the statements below, concerning the initial procedures relevant to the formation of relationships between actors in the field of specialized accounting inspection.
Scale: 1 - Extremely low; 7 - Extremely high.

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2.1 The judge clearly defines what is expected from the accounting expert. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2.2 The replacement of experts appointed by the judge is recurrent, whether by refusal or inability of the professional. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2.3 The scope of the inspection appointed to the expert and to the expert’s assistants is clear and objective. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2.4 The expert’s assistant receives appropriate guidance to perform the activities. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2.5 The courts and the judges usually appoint experts in their interest, hindering the access and participation of new professionals. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2.6 The deadline set by the judge for the elaboration of the reports is consistent with the complexity of the activities. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2.7 The lawyers clearly define the questions and what is expected from the expert’s assistants, when there are any in the process. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

3) Check your level of agreement with the statements below regarding the procedures for the formation of strong alliances between the actors.
Scale: 1 - Extremely low; 7 - Extremely high.

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3.1 The appointment of the expert by the judge depends on trust, quality of services and how well he knows the expert. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.2 A closer relationship with the court helps the new accounting professional entry in specialized accounting inspection. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.3 The judge often uses the opinion of the technical assistant to present the sentence. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.4 The expert seeks the assistance of professional from other fields to perform the activities. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.5 The expert seeks legal and ethical mechanisms to be hired by the judge. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.6 The expert seeks allying with lawyers and accountants to entry in the inspection field. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.7 The layers hires, whenever necessary assistants to help with the activities. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.8 There is competition among experts in the process of appointment by the judge. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.9 There is competition among experts to act as expert’s assistants. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.10 The information in the process to perform the calculations are easily available to experts. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.11 The expert’s report is properly grounded and meets with objectivity and accuracy to questions formulated. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.12 The opinion of the technical assistant contributes to the clarification of the judge, to a point that the judge can dispense the appointment of an expert. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.13 The dropouts of the expert activities by the expert’s assistants are recurrent. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 3.14 The experts deadline for the completion of the inspection activities is strictly met. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
4) Check your level of agreement with the statements below, which refer to the competences and duties of the roles of each actor in the process of specialized accounting inspection.

Scale: 1 - Extremely low; 7 - Extremely high.

| Statement                                                                 | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 4.1 The judge always makes the decisions based on the expert's reports.   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.2 The judge influences the deadlines and the progress of the inspection activity. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.3 The judge requires clarification on the content of the report, regardless of parties requests. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.4 The court impacts on the progress and deadlines of the inspection activities. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.5 The court contributes to the expert's approach to the judge.          |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.6 The expert delegates responsibilities to the team, in most complex cases. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.7 An important characteristic of the expert appointed is to know how to deliver information. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.8 The parties expert's assistant issues the technical opinion clearly and objectively so as to defend the interests of the parties related. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.9 Hiring expert's assistants to help with complex activities depend on the appointment of other experts. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.10 The expert's report always meets the judge's expectations.            |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.11 The expert's report gathers the necessary information for the judge's decision making in the sentence. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.12 The quality of the report presentation to the judge eases its examination. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4.13 The technical assistant's opinion gathers the necessary information to help the judge's decision making whenever this is the case. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

5) Check your level of agreement with the statements below, which refer to the consolidation and stabilization of relationships between the elements of the process of specialized accounting inspection.

Scale: 1 - Extremely low; 7 - Extremely high.

| Statement                                                                 | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 5.1 The judge is one of the main components of the relationships in the field of specialized accounting inspection. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.2 The closeness of the relationships between expert and judge affects the performance of the specialized accounting inspection activities. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.3 The expert is one of the main components of the relationships in the field of specialized accounting inspection. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.4 The closeness of the relationships between experts and expert's assistants affects the performance of the specialized accounting inspection activities. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.5 The expert's report is as relevant as the formulated questions for the judge's decision-making. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.6 The quality of the expert's report affects the judge's decision       |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.7 A structured workplace and appropriate technological instruments are motivators for the quality of the services provided by the experts. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.8 Accounting and legal standards guide the activities of experts and expert's assistants. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.9 Accounting and legal standards guide the activities, judges, courts and lawyers. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.10 The quality of the expert's report and the opinion of the technical assistant is an indicator of the performance of the specialized accounting inspection activities. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.11 The preparation time of the expert's report and opinions is an indicator of the performance of the expert's activities. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.12 The technical and theoretical competence of the experts contributes to the performance of expert's activities. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.13 Owning an accounting office favors the hiring of expert services.     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.14 The experience of the expert and expert's assistant favors the hiring of new expert services. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5.15 The time of experience and relationship with the judge favors the hiring of new services. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

Block 2 - Profile of respondents
Characteristics of survey respondents.

6.1 Gender: ( ) Male ( ) Female
6.2 Age:
6.3 Time of professional experience in specialized accounting inspection in years
6.4 Do you own an accounting office? ( ) Yes ( ) No. If yes, how many partners do you have?
6.5 How many people work in the accounting office?
6.6 How many of these people work specifically in specialized accounting inspection?
6.7 Professional Training:
( ) Full graduation. Which?
( ) Full Specialization. Which?
( ) Complete Master. Which?
( ) Complete Doctorate. Which?

Appendix B - Script of interview with the judges

1) How do the process of appointing an expert, the time for elaborating a report and the establishment of fees occur?
2) What are the main professional characteristics of accounting experts considered for the role in processes that require accounting expert evidence?
3) What professional aspects lead the judge to appoint certain expert?
4) Does the entry of new professionals in the field of specialized accounting inspection depend on a prior relationship with the judge and court? Are there other factors that contribute to the appointment?
5) Can the expert’s report be considered relevant to the judge’s decision? What are the impacts of the expert’s report on the process?
6) Are the questions developed in the process generally answered properly by the appointed expert? Comment.
7) How does the judge act in conflicts of interest between the parties involved in the process? Exemplify.
8) What does the judge do when specialized accounting inspection is not properly performed? Is a new report by another expert requested?
9) Does the closeness of the relationship between expert and judge influence the execution of the activities and the quality of the expert’s report?
10) What aspects are relevant to the assessment of the performance of the specialized accounting inspection activity?

Appendix C - Script of interview with experts

1) How does the planning of expert’s activities to be performed occur?
2) Are the questions and scope of the inspection clearly presented to the expert and technical assistants for the execution of the specialized accounting inspection activities? If not, what actions are taken to reverse a situation of uncertainty?
3) Does the entry of new professionals in the field of specialized accounting inspection depend on a prior relationship with the judge and court? Are there other factors that contribute to the appointment?
4) How does the process of appointment of expert’s assistants by the parties in the process occur?
5) What are the main differences in terms of responsibilities between the roles of the expert and the expert’s assistant? Is there a preference for working in one of the two positions? Why?
6) What are the main difficulties encountered during the preparation of the expert’s report (in the role of expert) and legal opinion (in the role of technical assistant)?
7) Is the provision of services of the same expert to the same judge and of the same expert’s assistant to the same party lasting? If so, does this fact contribute to future work appointments?
8) Is there a recurrent manifestation of the parties and of the judge about the report prepared, including additional information requested by the expert?
9) Does the closeness of the relationship among expert, technical assistant and judge influence the execution of the activities and the quality of the expert’s report? What favorable and unfavorable aspects arise from this relationship?
10) What aspects are relevant to the assessment of the performance of the specialized accounting activity?
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