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ABSTRACT

Introduction: The assessment of the risk of virus transmission through papers, such as postcards, is important. However, the stability of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and influenza A virus (IAV) on different types of papers is currently unknown. Investigation of the survival time of these viruses on different types of papers will provide insights into their risk of long-distance transport by postal items.

Methods: We evaluated the stability of SARS-CoV-2 and IAV, mixed with a culture medium, on the surface of postcards with various coatings, including plain paper (PP), inkjet paper (IP), and inkjet photo paper (IPP). The surface structure of each paper was microscopically assessed.

Results: The surface structures of PP, IP, and IPP varied greatly depending on the presence or absence, and type, of coat layer, regardless of the base material. IP and IPP surfaces were less conducive to virus survival than PP surfaces, because of the difference in surface shapes. The survival times of SARS-CoV-2 on each paper were approximately 59.8 (PP), 6.5 (IP), and 9.8 h (IPP), and significantly longer than those of IAV (10.3, 1.8, and 3.3 h, respectively).

Conclusions: The risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission via paper, such as postcards, is significantly higher than that of IAV transmission. While PP, IP, and IPP have the same base material, their surface structures differ, which affects viral stability. The IP and IPP surfaces are less suitable for virus survival. This study provides novel insights into the risks of viral transmission via paper.

1. Introduction

Recently, the stability of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) on various surfaces has been reported, providing information for infection control [1–3]. Moreover, several studies have reported that coronaviruses are relatively more stable than certain enveloped viruses, such as influenza A virus (IAV) [3–8].

The stability of SARS-CoV-2 on the surface of cardboard and printing paper is reportedly lower than that on the surface of stainless steel and plastic [1,2,9]. Specifically, SARS-CoV-2 is completely inactivated within 3 h on printing paper surface, but is not completely inactivated on the surface of banknote paper and cardboard, even after 24 h. Although the stability of SARS-CoV-2 on the surface of various papers can vary significantly, the stability of SARS-CoV-2 on paper with different surface structures remains to be investigated.

Investigation of the survival time of viruses on different paper types will provide insights into the risk of the long-distance transport of viruses by postal items, such as postcards. In this study, we evaluated and
compared the stability of SARS-CoV-2 and IAV, mixed with a culture medium, on the surface of postcards with various coatings, including plain paper (PP), inkjet paper (IP), and inkjet photo paper (IPP). The three-dimensional surface structure of each paper was microscopically assessed, and the rate of viral droplet drying was determined.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Viruses and cells

Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were purchased from the RIKEN BioResource Center Cell Bank (Ibaragi, Japan) and cultured in minimal essential medium (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and standard antibiotics (penicillin/streptomycin). IAV (clinical strain H3N2 isolated in 2012) was cultured in MDCK cells and stored at \(-80^\circ C\). Viral titers were determined in terms of focus-forming units (FFU) in MDCK cells [10]. VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells, expressing the transmembrane serine protease TMPRSS2, were purchased from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan) and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Sigma) supplemented with 5% FBS and G418 (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) [11]. SARS-CoV-2 (JPN/TK/15-2W-521) was generously provided by the National Institute of Infectious Diseases (Tokyo, Japan). The virus was cultured in VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells and stored at \(-80^\circ C\). Viral titers were measured in terms of 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID\(_{50}\)) in VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells.

Cellular debris, culture medium, FBS, and other chemical substances were removed from the solution containing the viruses cultured in the cells. Specifically, both viruses were concentrated and purified as follows: 96 h post infection, the culture medium was harvested and centrifuged for 10 min at 2500 \(\times \) g at 4 \(^\circ C\) to eliminate cellular debris. Virions in the supernatant were sedimented using 20% (w/w) sucrose cushion in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Nacalai Tesque) through ultracentrifugation at 27,000 rpm for 2.5 h at 4 \(^\circ C\) in a Beckman SW28 rotor, and the sedimented virions were recovered using PBS without additives [12].

2.2. Surface shape observation

The surfaces of PP, IP, and IPP were viewed with optical (VHX-7000, Keyence, Osaka, Japan) and laser scanning (VK-9510, Keyence) microscopes.

2.3. Complete drying time measurement

The time required for 2 \(\mu\)L of DMEM to completely dry and solidify on the surface of each paper type was measured [13]. In an indoor environment (temperature 25 \(^\circ C\), humidity 40%), 2 \(\mu\)L of each sample was placed on PP, IP, or IPP and weighed over time with an analytical balance (XFR-135, SHINKO DENSHI, Tokyo, Japan). The weight gradually decreased as the sample dried, and the time the weight ceased to decrease was defined as the “complete drying time.”

2.4. Evaluation of viral stability on various paper surfaces

The survival duration of the viruses was assessed on the surfaces of PP, IP, and IPP. IAV or SARS-CoV-2 was mixed with DMEM containing no additives and applied in 2- \(\mu\)L aliquots to each surface (amount of virus: 2.0 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) FFUs or 2.0 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) TCID\(_{50}\), respectively). Each sample was placed on the surfaces (PP, IP, and IPP) and weighed over time with an analytical balance (XFR-135, SHINKO DENSHI, Tokyo, Japan). The weight gradually decreased as the sample dried, and the time the weight ceased to decrease was defined as the “complete drying time.”
was incubated in a controlled environment (25°C and 40%–50% humidity) for 0–72 h; thereafter, the residual virus on the surface was recovered in 1 mL of DMEM and titrated [3, 6]. For each measurement, four independent experiments were performed, and the results are expressed as mean ± standard error. (C, D) Stability of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 on the surface of PP, IP, and IPP. Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The elapsed time was defined as an explanatory variable (X-axis), and the log virus titer of IAV or SARS-CoV-2 was defined as an explained variable (Y-axis); least-squares linear regression analysis with a logarithmic link function was performed for each virus, to generate a curve of regression. The upper and lower confidence limits are represented by dotted curves. The black dotted straight lines represent the detection limit titers of IAV and SARS-CoV-2. The black dotted straight lines represent the detection limit titers of IAV and SARS-CoV-2.

### Table 2

Survival time of viruses on each surface.

| Survival time, hour, median (95% CI) | IAV | SARS-CoV-2 |
|-------------------------------------|-----|------------|
| Plain paper (PP)                    | 10.29 (8.80–12.06) | 59.78 (53.99–65.57) |
| Inkjet paper (IP)                   | 1.75 (1.38–2.32) | 6.48 (5.30–7.88) |
| Inkjet photo paper (IPP)            | 3.32 (2.69–4.15) | 9.78 (7.88–11.92) |

The elapsed time was defined as an explanatory variable (X-axis), and the log virus titer of IAV or SARS-CoV-2 was defined as an explained variable (Y-axis). Least-squares linear regression analysis was performed for each virus to generate a curve of regression. The measurement limits of the titers of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 were 10^1 FFU and 10^{0.5} TCID_{50}, respectively; therefore, the survival times of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 were defined as the X values when the Y values of the regression curves were 1.0 and 0.5, respectively.

The virus remaining on the paper surface after incubation was eluted and collected by immersing the paper in DMEM for 15 min. Additionally, before the evaluation, we confirmed that the virus recovery efficiency on each paper was approximately comparable (Table 1).

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The elapsed time was defined as an explanatory variable (X-axis), and the log virus titer of IAV or SARS-CoV-2 was defined as an explained variable (Y-axis). Least-squares linear regression analysis was performed for each virus to generate a regression curve. The measurement limits of the titers of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 were 10^1 FFU and 10^{0.5} TCID_{50}, respectively; the survival times of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 were defined as the X values when the Y value of the regression curves were 1.0 and 0.5, respectively. The half-life of the virus changed depending on the elapsed time or the amount of virus remaining on each surface. The half-life of IAV and SARS-CoV-2 was calculated from the slope of each regression curve when the amount of virus remaining on the surface was 2, 3, and 4 Log_{10}FFU or Log_{10}TCID_{50}, respectively [3, 14]. Furthermore, Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used to assess the correlation between the survival time and the complete drying time.

### 3. Results

The surfaces of PP, IP, and IPP were viewed using microscopes. Paper surface analysis revealed that PP, IP, and IPP had very different surface structures (Fig. 1A–C). The time required for 2 μL of DMEM to
The elapsed time was defined as an explanatory variable (X-axis), and the log virus titer of IAV or SARS-CoV-2 was defined as an explained variable (Y-axis). A linear regression analysis with logarithmic link function was performed for each virus to create a curve of regression. The half-life of the virus changed depending on the elapsed time or the amount of virus remaining on each surface. Therefore, the half-life of each virus was calculated from the slope of each regression curve when the regression analysis with logarithmic link function was performed for each virus to create a curve of regression. The half-life of the virus changed depending on the surface.

The stability of SARS-CoV-2 and IAV, mixed with DMEM, was evaluated on the surface of PP, IP, and IPP. SARS-CoV-2 and IAV were more rapidly inactivated on IP and IPP than on PP (Fig. 2). IAV on the surface of PP, IP, and IPP was inactivated within 12, 2, and 4 h, respectively, and SARS-CoV-2 was inactivated within 72, 12, and 24 h, respectively.

The survival times of SARS-CoV-2 and IAV were significantly shorter on IP and IPP than on PP, and were significantly shorter on IP than on IPP. The survival time of SARS-CoV-2 on PP was approximately six-fold longer than that of IAV, and the survival time of SARS-CoV-2 on IP and IPP was approximately three-fold longer than that of IAV, demonstrating that SARS-CoV-2 was more stable than IAV (Fig. 2 and Table 2). The survival time of SARS-CoV-2 and IAV positively correlated with the survival time of SARS-CoV-2 and IAV on stainless steel and glass surfaces, respectively. Differences in porosity and ease of drying face liquid might reduce the stability of these viruses and contribute to future advances in infection control.

Here, the survival and half-life of SARS-CoV-2 were significantly longer than that of IAV, across different paper types. SARS-CoV-2 is more stable than IAV, which is generally consistent with our results [3–5]. Therefore, SARS-CoV-2 has a higher risk of transmission through paper, such as postcards, than IAV.

Viral titer in the upper respiratory tract-derived body fluid of patients infected with IAV and SARS-CoV-2 ranged from 1.0 × 10^5 to 2.0 × 10^6 FFUs/mL or TCID_{50}/mL [16–19]. When 10–100 μL of the infectious body fluid is deposited on a paper surface, the maximum absolute viral titer on the paper surface is approximately 2.0 × 10^5 FFUs or TCID_{50}. Therefore, in this study, we evaluated the viral stability considering that the virus adhered to the paper surface at 2.0 × 10^5 FFUs or TCID_{50}. Therefore, the survival times given in Table 2 are assumed to be close to the maximum values. If the amount of virus adhering to the paper surface is less, the survival time could be shorter than that obtained in this study. SARS-CoV-2 can survive on PP for up to 60 h; therefore, the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from the sender to the postal worker and from the postal worker to the recipient should be considered. In the case of express delivery, with a duration of approximately 1–2 days, it may be necessary to consider the direct transmission of SARS-CoV-2 from the sender to the recipient. However, thorough infection control within the post office can greatly reduce these concerns, and switching the paper used for postcards from PP to IP or IPP might also reduce the transmission risk.

However, substantial decrease in the amount of virus adhering to the postcards or significant deviations from the environmental conditions of this study (temperature 25 °C, humidity 40%) could change the above considerations/recommendations. Moreover, the mechanism by which surface structure affects virus stability remains unknown. In addition, the coat layer contains water-soluble resins, such as polyethylene oxide and inorganic pigments, such as silica. These chemical substances could shorten the survival time of the virus. Future investigations under various environmental conditions and investigations to elucidate the transmission mechanism are warranted.

### 5. Conclusions

The risk of SARS-CoV-2 transmission through paper, such as postcards, is significantly higher than that of IAV transmission. IP and IPP surfaces are less suitable for virus survival than PP surfaces; therefore, switching from PP to IP or IPP could reduce the viral transmission risks through paper. This study provides novel insights into viral transmission risks through paper.
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