Free convection boundary layer flow on a solid sphere in a nanofluid with viscous dissipation
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INTRODUCTION

Nanofluid is a based fluid which contains nanoparticles, for example TiO₂, Al₂O₃ and CuO. Nanofluid is well known and proven in enhancing the thermal conductivity, viscosity, thermal diffusivity and convective heat transfer compared to its based fluids such as water or oil (Wong and De Leon, 2010). Further, the small amount of nanoparticles that immersed in a nanofluid can reduce the chances of sedimentation and also minimize the rate of erosion onto component surface. Therefore, there will be less or no damaging which can prolong the life time onto component. Besides, less sedimentation means no clogging and this characteristic will specialize nanofluid to be employed in microchannel applications, for example in automotive turbocharger cooling system (Mohamed, 2017).

Nanofluid is employed in many applications, for example in industries as a coolant medium in tyre production, in automobiles as a coolant in car radiator, brake fluid and fuel catalyst to improve engine combustion, in medicines as a drug vehicle for cancer therapeutics and also acts to cool the microchip in electronic devices (Wong and De Leon, 2010). The widely contributions have attracted many researchers to investigate the convective flow in a nanofluid as done recently by Anwar et al. (2016), Khan (2017), Kho et al. (2017), Mohamed et al. (2016; 2018), Abro et al. (2018) and Gul et al. (2018).

The boundary layer flow on a solid sphere is applied in many industrial applications, such as the spherical storage tanks, turbocharged ball bearing in automobiles, the packed beds in a chemical reactor or distillation process and in many electronic components that nearly spherical. Chiang et al. (1964) are the first who analyzed the free convection on a sphere where the laminar flow is considered. Amato and Tien (1972) have done the experimental studies on isothermal spheres in water. The experimental results showed a very good agreement with predictions of Acrivos’ theory. Lien and Chen (1986) done the analysis on forced convection flow on a permeable sphere while Huang and Chen (1987) investigated this topic with the effects of suction and blowing. Next, Jafarpur and Yovanovich (1992) and Jia and Gogos (1996) solved the problem of laminar free convective from an isothermal sphere by using false transient algorithm and the new analytical method, respectively. This problem is then extended to other types of fluid like micropolar fluid by Nazar et al. (2002a; 2002b) and Alkasasbeh et al. (2014a; 2014b), Bingham plastic by Nalluri et al. (2015), while Kasim et al. (2013) and Abdul Gaffar et al. (2015) covered the viscoelastic fluid. Next, the mixed convection around a heated and cooled sphere is investigated by Gopmandal and Bhattacharyya (2011). It is found that the heated sphere delays the flow separation and enhances the drag coefficient as well as the rate of heat transfer. Further, the convective flow on a solid sphere with Newtonian heating is investigated by Salleh et al. (2010; 2012).

In all investigations mentioned above, the viscous dissipation effects are neglected. The viscous dissipation may be described as the induced kinetic energy from body that is converted into thermal energy. It is usually presented in free convection with large decelerations from high rotating speeds and also in highly viscous flow with moderate velocity (Gebhart, 1962). Recent investigations on viscous dissipation effects are including the works by Mabood et al. (2016), Ugur Akbulut et al. (2017) and Zokri et al. (2017; 2018).

Inspired by the given literatures, present study objective is to solve the free convective boundary layer flow on a solid sphere in a nanofluid with viscous dissipation effects. The effects of nanoparticle random
motion in nanofluid, temperature diffusivity and ratio between the temperature diffusivity over mass diffusivity are interest phenomena considered. Therefore, the nanofluid Buongiorno-Darcy model is suitable to be applied (Buongiorno, 2006). From the best of our knowledge, this problem especially related to viscous dissipation effect is never been discussed before, hence the reported results are new.

**MATHEMATICAL FORMULATIONS**

The solid sphere with radius \( a \), which is heated to a constant temperature \( T_c \) embedded in a nanofluid with ambient temperature \( T_0 \) is considered. The physical model is shown in Fig 1. The orthogonal coordinates of \( x \) are measured along the sphere surface, starting from the lower stagnation point \( \bar{x} = 0 \), and \( \bar{y} \) measures the distance normal from the surface. \( \bar{r}(\bar{x}) = \sin(\bar{x}/a) \) is the radial distance from the symmetrical axis to the sphere surface. The suggested dimensional governing equations according to Nazar et al. (2002a) and Salleh et al. (2010) are:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} (\bar{r} \bar{u}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} (\bar{r} \bar{v}) = 0, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \theta \sin x + \frac{\beta \bar{C}_r (\bar{C}_m - \bar{C}_c) a^2}{\nu^2 \tau} \sin x, \quad (2)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \frac{1}{\Pr \bar{C}^2} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}}, \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}}, \quad (4)
\]

subject to the boundary conditions

\[
\bar{u}(\bar{x}, 0) = \bar{v}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0, \quad T(\bar{x}, 0) = T_w, \quad C(\bar{x}, 0) = C_w, \quad (5)
\]

\[
\bar{u}(\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad T(\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow T_\infty, \quad C(\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow C_\infty,
\]

where \( \bar{u} \) and \( \bar{v} \) are the velocity components along the respectively, \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( g \) is the gravity acceleration, \( \beta \) and \( \beta_r \) are the thermal and concentration expansion coefficients, \( T \) is the local temperature, the fluid density and \( \bar{C}_r \) is the specific heat capacity at a constant pressure. Furthermore, \( C \), \( C_m \) and \( C_c \) are the surface and ambient nanoparticle volume fraction respectively.

The Eqs. (1)-(4) are in dimensional form and will transform to non-dimensional. Then, the following non-dimensional variables are introduced:

\[
x = \frac{\bar{x}}{a}, \quad y = \frac{\bar{y}}{a}, \quad u = \frac{\bar{u}}{\nu}, \quad v = \frac{\bar{v}}{\nu}, \quad \tau = \frac{\bar{x}}{a} \theta, \quad (6)
\]

\[
x = \frac{\bar{x}}{a}, \quad y = \frac{\bar{y}}{a}, \quad u = \frac{\bar{u}}{\nu}, \quad v = \frac{\bar{v}}{\nu}, \quad \tau = \frac{\bar{x}}{a} \theta, \quad (6)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} (\bar{r} \bar{u}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} (\bar{r} \bar{v}) = 0, \quad (7)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \theta \sin x + \frac{\beta \bar{C}_r (\bar{C}_m - \bar{C}_c) a^2}{\nu^2 \tau} \sin x, \quad (8)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \frac{1}{\Pr \bar{C}^2} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}}, \quad (9)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}}, \quad (10)
\]

where \( \Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha} \) is the Prandtl number, \( \bar{C}_r = \frac{\bar{C}_c (\bar{C}_m - \bar{C}_c)}{\nu^2} \) is the thermophoresis parameter and \( Ec = \frac{\nu \tau}{\alpha^2 \bar{C}_r (\tau T_\infty - T_w)} \) is the Eckert number. Notice that \( \mu = \rho \nu \), the boundary conditions (5) become

\[
\bar{u}(\bar{x}, 0) = \bar{v}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0, \quad T(\bar{x}, 0) = 1, \quad \bar{C}(\bar{x}, 0) = 1, \quad (11)
\]

\[
\bar{u}(\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad T(\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad \bar{C}(\bar{x}, \infty) \rightarrow 0.
\]

In order to solve the partial differential Eqs. (7)-(10), the following functions are introduced:

\[
\psi = \bar{r}(\bar{x}) f(x, y), \quad \phi = \phi(x, y), \quad \theta = \theta(x, y), \quad (12)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}} = \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \bar{y}}, \quad (10)
\]

the following partial differential equations are obtained:

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \bar{x}^2} + \left( 1 + \frac{x}{\sin x} \right) f \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \theta + \frac{x}{\sin x} \phi \sin x = 0, \quad (13)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \left( 1 + \frac{x}{\sin x} \right) f \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{y}} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{y}}, \quad (14)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \bar{y}^2} + \frac{N_b}{\tau} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{y}} \left( 1 + \frac{x}{\sin x} \right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{y}} = 0, \quad (15)
\]

where \( Le = \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{D}_B} \), \( \chi = \frac{\bar{r}}{\bar{D}_B} \) are the Lewis number and the buoyancy ratio parameter, respectively. Notice that \( Gr_r = \frac{\beta \bar{C}_r (\bar{C}_m - \bar{C}_c) a^2}{\nu^2} \) is the mass transfer Grashof number. The boundary conditions (11) become

\[
f(x, 0) = \frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}}(x, 0) = 0, \quad \theta(x, 0) = 1, \quad \phi(x, 0) = 1,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial \bar{y}}(x, \infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta(x, \infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad \phi(x, \infty) \rightarrow 0.
\]
The skin friction coefficient $C_f$, the local Nusselt number $Nu$, and the local Sherwood number $Sh$ are given by

$$C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho \overline{u}^2}, \quad Nu_u = \frac{a q_u}{k(T_e - T_0)}, \quad Sh = \frac{a j_x}{D_N (C_u - C_w)},$$

(17)

and the surface shear stress $\tau_w$, the surface heat flux $q_u$, and the surface mass flux $j_x$ are given by

$$\tau_w = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad q_u = -k \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad j_x = -D_n \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0},$$

(18)

Table 1 Comparison values of $Nu, Gr^{1/4}$ with previous published results for various values of $\chi$ when $Pr=0.7, N_b = N_l = \chi = Ec = Le = 0.$

| $\chi$ | Huang and Chen (1987) | Salleh et al. (2010) | Present |
|--------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|
| $\pi/18$ | 0.4574 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 | 0.4576 |
| $\pi/9$ | 0.4532 | 0.4533 | 0.4533 | 0.4533 |
| $\pi/6$ | 0.4470 | 0.4405 | 0.4406 | 0.4406 |
| $2\pi/9$ | 0.4312 | 0.4308 | 0.4310 | 0.4310 |
| $5\pi/18$ | 0.4195 | 0.4198 | 0.4195 | 0.4195 |
| $\pi/3$ | 0.4053 | 0.4046 | 0.4053 | 0.4053 |
| $7\pi/18$ | 0.3886 | 0.3879 | 0.3886 | 0.3886 |
| $17\pi/18$ | 0.3694 | 0.3684 | 0.3692 | 0.3692 |

**NUMERICAL METHOD**

The partial differential equations (13) to (15) subjected to boundary conditions (16) were solved numerically using the Keller-box method, which is an implicit finite difference method in conjunction with Newton’s method for linearization, making it suitable to solve parabolic partial differential equations at any order. As described in the books by Na (1979) and Mohamed (2018), this method started by transforming the system of Eqs. (13) to (15) to a first order system. The finite difference method was taken part and linearized by using Newtons method. The resulting algebraic equations were written in matrix vector form and finally solved the linear system by the block tridiagonal elimination technique.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The Keller-box algorithms were coded in MATLAB software and computed numerically, with variation values of six parameters, namely the Prandtl number $Pr$, the buoyancy ratio parameter $\chi$, the Brownian motion parameter $N_b$, the thermophoresis parameter $N_l$, the Lewis number $Le$ and the Eckert number $Ec$. The boundary layer thickness $\Delta y = 8$ and step size $\Delta x = 0.02, \Delta x = 0.005$ were used in obtaining the numerical results. From numerical calculation, it is understood that the numerical results obtained are rarely to be laminar until the end of sphere. The boundary layer flow will has separation usually after $x = 2\pi / 3$ as reported previously by Huang and Chen (1987), Nazar et al. (2002a) and Salleh et al. (2010). For comparison purposes, Table 1 shows the comparison values with previous published results. The numerical results are updated to the end of sphere ($x = \pi$). It is found that the results are in a good agreement and it is believed that Keller-box method is very efficient in solving the convective boundary layer problems involving the reduced partial differential equations.

Table 2 Values of $Nu, Gr^{1/4}$ for various values of $Ec$ and $x$ when $Pr=1, N_b = N_l = \chi = 0.1$ and $Le = 10.$

| $x / Ec$ | 0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1 |
|---------|---|-----|-----|---|
| $\pi/18$ | 0.5310 | 0.5310 | 0.5310 | 0.5310 |
| $\pi/9$ | 0.5297 | 0.5285 | 0.5274 | 0.5182 |
| $\pi/6$ | 0.5261 | 0.5215 | 0.5169 | 0.4799 |
| $2\pi/9$ | 0.5202 | 0.5100 | 0.4998 | 0.4171 |
| $5\pi/18$ | 0.5118 | 0.4942 | 0.4766 | 0.3316 |
| $\pi/3$ | 0.5009 | 0.4744 | 0.4478 | 0.2249 |
| $7\pi/18$ | 0.4874 | 0.4510 | 0.4151 | 0.1038 |
| $13\pi/18$ | 0.4723 | 0.4406 | 0.3774 | 0.2249 |
| $4\pi/9$ | 0.4531 | 0.3954 | 0.3364 | 0.2931 |
| $5\pi/9$ | 0.4313 | 0.3632 | 0.2931 | 0.2484 |
| $11\pi/18$ | 0.3780 | 0.2922 | 0.2032 | 0.1582 |
| $2\pi/3$ | 0.3457 | 0.2539 | 0.1582 | 0.1144 |
| $17\pi/18$ | 0.3090 | 0.2243 | 0.1144 | 0.0728 |
| $7\pi/18$ | 0.2668 | 0.1722 | 0.0728 | 0.0352 |
| $5\pi/6$ | 0.2180 | 0.1290 | 0.0352 | 0.0047 |
| $8\pi/9$ | 0.1605 | 0.0848 | 0.0047 | 0.0419 |
for various values of \( \chi \) and \( Le = 10 \).

| \( x / Ec \) | 0   | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1   |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( \pi / 6 \) | 1.1950 | 1.2043 | 1.2136 | 1.2895 |
| \( 2 \pi / 9 \) | 1.1756 | 1.1917 | 1.2079 | 1.3406 |
| \( 5 \pi / 18 \) | 1.1505 | 1.1748 | 1.1992 | 1.4027 |
| \( \pi / 3 \) | 1.1196 | 1.1530 | 1.1872 | 1.4708 |
| \( 7 \pi / 18 \) | 1.0848 | 1.1427 | 1.1701 |        |
| \( 4 \pi / 9 \) | 1.0404 | 1.0931 | 1.1468 |        |
| \( \pi / 2 \) | 0.9903 | 1.0525 | 1.1162 |        |
| \( 5 \pi / 9 \) | 0.9330 | 1.0037 | 1.0764 |        |
| \( 11 \pi / 18 \) | 0.8676 | 0.9454 | 1.0259 |        |
| \( 2 \pi / 3 \) | 0.7933 | 0.8761 | 0.9623 |        |
| \( 13 \pi / 18 \) | 0.7086 | 0.8165 | 0.8830 |        |
| \( 7 \pi / 9 \) | 0.6171 | 0.6960 | 0.7844 |        |
| \( 5 \pi / 6 \) | 0.4995 | 0.5793 | 0.6610 |        |
| \( 8 \pi / 9 \) | 0.3977 | 0.4340 | 0.5039 |        |
| \( 17 \pi / 18 \) | 0.2124 | 0.2564 |        |        |

Table 4 Values of \( C_j Gr_y^{1/4} \) for various values of \( Ec \) and \( x \) when \( Pr = 1, N_b = N_t = \chi = 0.1 \) and \( Le = 10 \).

| \( x / Ec \) | 0   | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1   |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( \pi / 18 \) | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| \( \pi / 9 \) | 0.1608 | 0.1068 | 0.1068 | 0.1068 |
| \( \pi / 6 \) | 0.2116 | 0.2117 | 0.2118 | 0.2118 |
| \( 2 \pi / 9 \) | 0.3127 | 0.3129 | 0.3132 | 0.3132 |
| \( 5 \pi / 18 \) | 0.4081 | 0.4087 | 0.4093 | 0.4093 |
| \( \pi / 3 \) | 0.4962 | 0.4973 | 0.4985 | 0.4985 |
| \( 7 \pi / 18 \) | 0.5753 | 0.5771 | 0.5768 | 0.5768 |
| \( 4 \pi / 9 \) | 0.6420 | 0.6462 | 0.6475 |        |
| \( \pi / 2 \) | 0.7427 | 0.7476 | 0.7526 |        |
| \( 5 \pi / 9 \) | 0.7720 | 0.7760 | 0.7842 |        |
| \( 11 \pi / 18 \) | 0.7855 | 0.7827 | 0.8000 |        |
| \( 2 \pi / 3 \) | 0.7919 | 0.7900 | 0.7982 |        |
| \( 13 \pi / 18 \) | 0.7953 | 0.7756 | 0.7769 |        |
| \( 7 \pi / 9 \) | 0.7147 | 0.7236 | 0.7327 |        |
| \( 5 \pi / 6 \) | 0.6426 | 0.6511 | 0.6599 |        |
| \( 8 \pi / 9 \) | 0.5317 | 0.5390 | 0.5465 |        |
| \( 17 \pi / 18 \) | 0.3068 | 0.3656 |        |        |

Table 2 to 4 present the values of \( Nu Gr_y^{1/4}, Sh Gr_y^{1/4} \) and \( C_j Gr_y^{1/4} \) against \( x \) with various values of \( Ec \). From Tables 2 and 3, it is found that the values of \( Nu Gr_y^{1/4} \) and \( Sh Gr_y^{1/4} \) decreased as \( x \) increased. This is physically the sign of reduction in convective heat and mass transfer capability which promotes the conduction of heat transfer. Further, the decreases in both quantities are small at the beginning, this situation turns more significantly as \( x \) increases to the end of the sphere. This may be explained as follow; at high value of \( x \), especially as \( x \) increases to the end of sphere, the influence of gravity acceleration onto the nanofluid comes in contact with sphere surface has promoted the conduction of heat and mass transfer to be dominant than the convection. This situation is contradicted at the beginning of the sphere where the gravity acceleration role on nanofluid and surface engagement is negligible, hence enhancing the convection heat and mass transfer process rather than conduction.

Meanwhile, the increase at the beginning of sphere gives rise on the value of \( C_j Gr_y^{1/4} \). This situation becomes contrast at the middle of the sphere where \( C_j Gr_y^{1/4} \) decreases marginally and turns drastically at the end of sphere. Further, by considering the effects of viscous dissipation effects on the quantities of interest, it is found that the increase of \( Ec \) results in the increase of \( C_j Gr_y^{1/4} \) and \( Sh Gr_y^{1/4} \) while \( Nu Gr_y^{1/4} \) decreases. In addition, it is noticed that the increase of \( Ec \) promotes the flow separation.

Figs. 2 and 4 show the temperature profile \( \theta(\eta) \) at a stagnation region \((x = 0)\) for various values of \( Pr, N_b, N_t, Le \) and \( \chi \) respectively. It was found that the increase of \( Pr \) in Figure 2 resulted in the decrease of thermal boundary layer thickness while \( Le \) did oppositely. It is due to a decrease in thermal diffusivity which leads to the reduction in energy ability that reduces the thermal boundary layer thickness as \( Pr \) increases. In Figs. 3 and 4, the changes in parameters \( N_b, N_t \) and \( \chi \) did not give much effect on the thermal boundary layer thickness. The temperature gradient was slightly increased as \( \chi \) was increased, while was decreased as \( N_b \) and \( N_t \) increased.

The velocity profiles \( f'(\eta) \) at a stagnation region \((x = 0)\) for various values of \( Pr, N_b, N_t, Le \) and \( Ec \) are illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6. It is suggested that the increase of \( Le \) and \( Pr \) results in a decrease of \( f'(\eta) \) and the velocity gradient. The increase of \( Pr \) physically increases the fluid viscosity and becomes sticky between fluid molecules which results in the decreasing in velocity. The trends were contradicted in Fig. 6 where the increase of \( N_b \) and \( N_t \) raised the \( f'(\eta) \) and the velocity gradient.

![Fig. 2 Temperature profiles \( \theta(\eta) \) against \( y \) for various values of \( Pr \) and \( Le \) when \( N_b = N_t = \chi = Ec = 0.1 \).](image)

![Fig. 3 Temperature profiles \( \theta(\eta) \) against \( y \) for various values of \( N_b \) and \( N_t \) when \( Pr = 7, Le = 10 \) and \( \chi = Ec = 0.1 \).](image)
Fig. 4 Temperature profiles $\theta(y)$ against $y$ for various values of $\chi$ when $Pr = 7, Le = 10$ and $N_b = N_t = Ec = 0.1$.

Fig. 5 Velocity profiles $f'(y)$ against $y$ for various values of $Pr$ and $Le$ when $N_b = N_t = \chi = Ec = 0.1$.

Fig. 6 Velocity profiles $f'(y)$ against $y$ for various values of values of $N_b$ and $N_t$ when $Pr = 7, Le = 10$ and $\chi = Ec = 0.1$.

Fig. 7 Concentration profiles $\phi(y)$ against $y$ for various values of $Pr$ and $Le$ when $N_b = N_t = \chi = Ec = 0.1$.

Next, in discussing the concentration profiles $\phi(y)$, Fig. 7 shows concentration profile $\phi(y)$ at a stagnation region $(x = 0)$ for various values of $Le$ and $Pr$. It was observed that the increase of $Le$ induced a reduction in $\phi(y)$. The increase in $Pr$ gave a small increment in concentration and $Pr$ effect was more pronounced with smaller value of $Le$. Further, the increase of $N_b$ promoted the reduction of concentration boundary layer thickness while $N_t$ did oppositely. According to Zaimi et al. (2014), the Brownian motion causes the nanoparticle deposition away from the fluid regime to the sphere surface which results in a decrease of the nanoparticle concentration boundary layer thickness. Meanwhile, $N_t$ warms the fluid in the boundary layer and hence transmits energy onto nanoparticle which results the nanoparticle spreading away from the sphere surface. The concentration profiles $\phi(y)$ for various values of $N_b$ and $N_t$ are shown in Fig. 8.

Fig. 8 Concentration profiles $\phi(y)$ against $y$ for various values of $N_b$ and $N_t$ when $Pr = 7$, $Le = 10$ and $\chi = Ec = 0.1$.

In order to understand the fluid flow behavior and the parameter characteristic across the cylinder, Figs. 9-15 are illustrated. From the numerical computation, it is found that the fluid flow faces a separation boundary layer after $x = 2\pi / 3$, therefore the discussion is limited until $x = 2\pi / 3$ only. Figs. 9 and 10 show the variation of the reduced
Nusselt number $N_{ux}Gr_x^{-1/4}$ for various values of $N_b, N_t, Ec$ and $Le$, respectively. Both figures show a decreasing manner where $N_{ux}Gr_x^{-1/4}$ decreased across the cylinder. Further, the increase of $N_b, N_t, Ec$ and $Le$ resulted in the decrease of $N_{ux}Gr_x^{-1/4}$. This is due to higher values of $N_b$ and $N_t$ that subsequently result into higher volume of nanoparticles migrating away from the vicinity of the wall, and thus, reducing the value of $N_{ux}Gr_x^{-1/4}$. Furthermore, from Fig. 9, the effect of $Ec$ on $N_{ux}Gr_x^{-1/4}$ was dominant as $x$ increased. Meanwhile, from Fig. 10, it was suggested that the influence of $N_b$ was more pronounced at the stagnation region ($x = 0$).

![Fig. 9 Variation of $N_{ux}Gr_x^{-1/4}$ against $x$ for various values of $Le$ and $Ec$: when $N_b = N_t = \chi = 0.1$ and $Pr = 7$.](image)

![Fig. 10 Variation of $N_{ux}Gr_x^{-1/4}$ against $x$ for various values of values of $N_b$ and $N_t$ when $Pr = 7, Le = 10$ and $\chi = Ec = 0.1$.](image)

Next, Figs. 11-13 present the variation of the reduced Sherwood number $Sh_xGr_x^{-1/4}$ for various values of $Ec, N_b, N_t, Le$ and $\chi$, respectively. It was found that the increase of parameters $Ec, N_b, N_t, Le$ and $\chi$ resulted in the increase of $Sh_xGr_x^{-1/4}$. Similar with Fig. 9, the changes in $Ec$ have large effects on $Sh_xGr_x^{-1/4}$ as $x$ increased. The variation of $Sh_xGr_x^{-1/4}$ across the cylinder in Figs. 12 and 13 was a decreasing function. This physically means that the mass transfer capability decreases as flow passes through sphere.

![Fig. 11 Variation of $Sh_xGr_x^{-1/4}$ against $x$ for various values of values of $Ec$ and $N_t$ when $Pr = 7, Le = 10$ and $N_b = \chi = 0.1$.](image)

![Fig. 12 Variation of $Sh_xGr_x^{-1/4}$ against $x$ for various values of values of $Le$ and $N_b$ when $Ec = N_t = \chi = 0.1$ and $Pr = 7$.](image)

![Fig. 13 Variation of $Sh_xGr_x^{-1/4}$ against $x$ for various values of $\chi$ when $Pr = 7, Le = 10$ and $N_b = N_t = Ec = 0.1$.](image)
Lastly, Figs. 14 and 15 present the variation of the reduced skin friction coefficient $C_f \frac{Gr^x}{x}$ for various values of $Ec$, $Le$ and $\chi$. It was suggested that the effects of parameter discussed were unique at the stagnation region and become pronouncedly as $X$ increased to the middle of the sphere. The increase of $Ec$ and $Le$ gave a small increment on $C_f \frac{Gr^x}{x}$.

\[ Ec = 0.1, Le = 5 \]
\[ Ec = 0.1, Le = 10 \]
\[ Ec = 0.2, Le = 5 \]
\[ Ec = 0.2, Le = 10 \]

Furthermore, the effects of parameter discussed on reduced skin friction coefficient are unique at the stagnation region and become pronouncedly as flow passes through the middle of the sphere. From the numerical computation, it is found that the fluid flow faces separation boundary layer after $x = 2\pi / 3$, which agrees with similar cases reported previously.
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