‘Look out you rock’n’rollers, pretty soon now you’re gonna get older’: A unique study of ‘Boys to Men’ over half a century
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In September 1973, a second-year undergraduate social science student at Cardiff University, from a middle class background, moved to ‘Milltown’, a large and extremely disadvantaged working class estate on the edge of the city. He did so because it was the location of housing association accommodation he had just been granted. One day that autumn he was intercepted on the street by an ‘angel-faced boy’, aged 12 or 13, who said unashamedly: ‘Lend me a tenner’. The tenner was needed for a court fine and it was beyond the means of the boy’s mother. Even though he thought it must be a wind up, and the money might never be seen again, the student lent the boy the money. The boy duly paid it back at a pound a week, turning up at the student’s flat every Thursday evening to do so.

The student, Howard Williamson, got to know the boy ‘Marty’, and Marty’s mates, and soon began to volunteer at the ‘youth club’ they attended, a derelict former nursery school building on an adventure playground at the top of the council estate, bordering woods and farmland. It was known as the ‘Rec’ and they were the ‘Rec Boys’. Thus began what must be one of the most remarkable

The line ‘Look out you rock’n’rollers, pretty soon now you’re gonna get older’ in the title is from the song ‘Changes’ by David Bowie, whose music inspired the title and chapter headings of Five Years, as it inspired the Milltown Boys.
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journeys – intellectual and emotional - ever undertaken by a social scientist, resulting among other things in the three books under review here. And it is not over yet.

Williamson chose the pseudonym Milltown because at one time a paper mill bordered the estate. Often described as one of the largest housing estates in Europe, its construction began after World War 1. Even in the inter-war period, it had a reputation for ‘trouble’. By the time Williamson came to live there it had all the characteristics of the most disadvantaged estates: high unemployment (or unskilled and uncertain work), poverty, educational underachievement, pervasive social problems, poor transport links and few public amenities apart from what was then (but is no longer) a ‘flourishing network’ of social clubs and pubs.

**Five years (‘that’s all we’ve got?’)**

Williamson was not at first intending to embark on an ethnographic study, much less a research project lasting many years. He thought he was going to become a social worker. It was only a few years later, beginning a PhD, that he asked the Boys if they would be the subjects of his thesis (1981). By then he was able to draw on several years’ knowledge of their lives and experiences which, together with the ethnography for the PhD research, provided the content for *Five Years* (Williamson and Williamson, 1981).

Quite unlike a PhD thesis, however, *Five Years* began as a memoir and was aimed at a wide rather than scholarly readership.

This is not an academic book...It is a book about people – about their interests, their music, their crime and about the high spots and bad times of their adolescence. Above all, it is my attempt at a tribute to them all. (p. 5)

Five Years includes only a handful of academic references, including what were then two key recent youth studies texts: Parker (1974) and Willis (1978). It is essentially a detailed narrative account of the teenage years of five of the Boys, with a particular but not exclusive focus on their brushes with the law. The five were selected because the author ‘knew them well and also because each individual had characteristics that almost caricature the beliefs and way of life of others in the area’ (p. 4).

The five were (pseudonymously): *Danny* (the ‘coolest’, who progressed steadily through Detention Centre, Borstal and prison), *Marty* (the most intelligent and attractive, but also the meanest about money), *Jerry* (relatively cautious and ‘conventional’, eventually staying out of trouble long enough to be able to join the army), *Ted* (physically the toughest, from a huge family, ‘all either crooks or married to crooks’) and *Pete* (loud and opinionated, who after considerable agonising came out as gay – although ‘the term seemed ironic at the time’ – and left Milltown for London). All except Jerry were devoted David Bowie fans, as were most of their mates.
Full of incident (sometimes hair-raising, sometimes hilarious) and densely packed with detailed insight - into the youth and adult justice systems, the strategies and tactics of routine (mostly petty) criminal activity and also the humdrum realities of family and community life in a poor working class neighbourhood - *Five Years* is astonishing for the candour of the author’s commentary on the Boys’ behaviour and personalities. It is frequently positive and flattering but it is sometimes very much the contrary. Two Boys are described as ‘incurable skivers and pathological liars’. There was nothing underhand about this because the book was intended to be read by the Boys and it is clear from the later volumes that they did indeed read it, critically but enthusiastically. Moreover, they were well able to give as good as they got, often with added twists of humour.

One day I was saying to [Marty] that he had never been generous in his life. He replied, ‘what do you mean, I paid twenty-five pound off my fine today’. (p. 81)

The main purpose of *Five Years* is to explore and document how, despite having such similar backgrounds, the Boys went different ways between the ages of 13 and 18. It therefore challenges simplistic models and metaphors of youth ‘transitions’ or of the life course more generally, and it has a continued relevance for that reason, all the more so because of the follow-up studies of the Boys as middle-aged and then older men.

*Still crazy (about Bowie?) after all these years*

*The Milltown Boys Revisited* (Williamson, 2004) is a very different book, and not just because the participants and author were twenty five years older. It is an ‘unashamedly empirical study’ (p. 23) and much more of an academic text than *Five Years*, making more explicit references to relevant theory and with a detailed chapter on methodology. It goes far beyond the original five ‘case studies’. Williamson started with a list of 67 names of boys from the Rec and from Milltown, and with the help of a small number of those with whom he had stayed in closest contact over the years (including Danny and Marty), snowballed his way to a sample of 30 with whom he conducted in-depth interviews. The result was almost half a million words of transcribed data. Given that seven of the 67 Boys were dead, this meant there were formal interviews with half of the surviving cohort, remarkable for a follow-up study after such a long time, and this did not include a significant number of informal conversations with others (see Figure 1 for a summary of the sampling strategy; reproduced from Williamson, 2004).

The book describes and analyses the experiences of the Boys over the preceding quarter century, across all major life domains (employment or ‘ways of getting by’, involvement in crime, housing, health, leisure, families and relationships, and more). It is full of interesting ‘taxonomies’ and systematic comparisons. There is even a detailed analysis of the experiences (educational and otherwise) of the Boys’ children, sixty in all at that stage. The author concludes with a tentative suggestion
Figure 1. Making contact after twenty-five years.
of three ‘clusterings within the life course’ of these Boys, who were the first generation of ‘status zero’ or ‘NEET’ young people (not in education, employment or training; see Istance et al., 1994; Williamson, 1997). The clusters could be termed, loosely, the ‘successful’, the ‘unsuccessful’ and those ‘in the middle’ with regard to matters such as employment, housing, health, desistance from crime, stability of relationships, children’s education and so on. But even that categorisation leaves out a small number of cases and Williamson ends by urging:

Caution must be exercised in passing judgement on the Boys on the basis of some extraneous measures of success (or failure), for the most significant finding from this study is the complex interaction between the life-course trajectories in the public domain and those within more private spheres. (p. 237)

His careful teasing out of such interactions in individual cases, comparing and contrasting them with the experiences of others, is an enormous strength of this book and of the overall Milltown ‘project’.

To answer the question at the head of this section, the author found the middle-aged Boys ‘surprisingly quiet’ about the place of music in their lives, although Danny remained a specialist in trivia about both Bowie and the Beatles.

‘Will you still interview me when I’m (almost) 64?’

As 2020 approached, having had sporadic contact with the Boys in the ensuing years (but seeing most of the original ‘core’ group at least every Christmas), Williamson began to consider a follow-up study. His initial hesitance was dispelled by a few developments, including a call from Danny to say he had become a grandfather. ‘He said that he had given up drinking and that perhaps his life was turning a corner. Perhaps I should write another book, he suggested’ (Williamson, 2021: 33). Then Adrian, the son of another Milltown Boy Gary, died by suicide. At the funeral, despite the sadness, Gary said that it must be time for another book and the response from the other Boys was decisive for the author.

He was unsure whether semi-structured face-to-face interviews would work this time and was leaning towards ‘more opportunistic and spontaneous exchanges of experiences and perspectives’ (p. 57), when the Covid-19 crisis provided an unexpected opportunity to conduct the research online. The result is an absorbing study drawing on 12 online interviews, augmented by informal conversations with many more Boys and information from miscellaneous social media. While ethics had ‘hardly been an issue’ for the previous books, since, even around the turn of the century, ethical procedures and expectations were ‘light-touch’ (p. 58), this time it was necessary to get formal ethical approval from his university. Today, when ‘light-touch’ institutional ethics procedures seem ‘light years’ away, this seems quite an achievement, and it must have made a great difference that it was not
an *ab initio* study but a follow-up in the context of an established research relationship.

Once again the book teems with empirical material, covering themes similar to those in ‘Revisited’. There is much fuller engagement than before with relevant theory including a range of literature on youth transitions, living with ‘precarity’ and much broader sociological questions such as the nature of modernity/postmodernity and the relationship between structure and agency (e.g. Evans and Furlong, 1997; Giddens, 1991; Helve and Bynner, 1996; Standing, 2011; Swartz et al., 2021).

James Côté (2014: 62) has suggested that ‘the structure-agency debate often implicitly informs many topical areas in youth studies’. In the third Milltown volume it is dealt with very explicitly. Williamson cautions against over-emphasising either structure or agency and, in a nuanced reading, suggests:

> It might be preferable to consider life course decisions in terms of whether the Boys have been proactive or reactive, and – in relation to each decision – how much room for manoeuvre, of which they were aware, was available to them. Going to prison might suggest little scope for ‘agency’ of any kind, yet the collective knowledge (even wisdom) about custody amongst the Boys meant that most were well prepared for the experience and already had contacts and networks on the inside when they got there. That helped considerably in the balance of power within the prison system. Similar points can be made in relation to the social security system, with which many of the Boys have been dealing throughout their lives...

> It is invariably some combination of external circumstance and internal judgement that moved the Boys in particular directions (p. 193).

As before, it is the detailed scrutiny of the balance of proactivity and reactivity for individual Boys, and the way in which that balance can be tilted by a range of factors - home and family circumstances, poverty, the peer group, parental choice of school (if choice there is), the young person’s experience of attending or avoiding school, the quality of personal and intimate relationships, and sometimes entirely unanticipated ‘critical moments’ of diverse kinds - that makes for an exceptionally engaging and provocative read. But most fascinating about *The Milltown Boys at Sixty* is the reflective dimension, as the author looks back on the process of research for all three books and on his half-century relationship with the Boys, interweaving his and their own reflections and reminiscences.

**All about the Boys?**

The heavily gendered nature of youth cultural and sub-cultural studies was a matter of comment and controversy even before the publication of *Five Years.* The seminal collection *Resistance through Rituals* (Hall and Jefferson, 1976), which Williamson acknowledges as one of the main catalysts for his interest in youth studies, included in its almost 300 pages a single chapter on ‘Girls and
Subcultures’. In it, Angela McRobbie and Jenny Garber criticised and challenged the usual invisibility of girls or the way in which, if visible, they were ‘fleentially and marginally presented’ (p. 209), a fact ironically confirmed by their own experience. It prompted another important and ground-breaking collection a few years later, McRobbie and Nava’s *Gender and Generation* (1984).

It is not that studies of boys were not also ‘about’ girls. Even if not the main focus, even if ‘invisible’ or out-of-sight, and not the subject of direct observation or explicit analysis, girls and women were always ‘there’ within the discourse of youth studies, in the sense meant by Foucault when he referred to the ‘repressive presence...of the not-said’ (Foucault, 1972: 35).

But the ‘said’ was often all too clear. The attitudes to girls and women of the Boys in *Five Years*, some in particular, were breathtakingly sexist and demeaning, and Williamson does not spare the reader from them. In today’s climate of (somewhat?) heightened sensitivity to gender inequality in all its guises - blatant, subtle and insidious – it might be difficult to print some of the content. Presenting it explicitly was in keeping with the author’s purpose of conveying the reality of the Boys’ lives as authentically as possible, and as we have seen his relationship with them was one in which he could robustly challenge their attitudes and behaviour without losing their trust. Today, while giving the reader a jolt it also prompts the question of how much things have really changed.

Not surprisingly, girls and women become much more visible and vocal in later volumes, particularly *The Milltown Boys at Sixty*. Even in the earlier years, Williamson was close to Marty’s grandmother and some other Boys’ female family members (and knew that if they didn’t approve he mightn’t have had the access that he did), but as time passed he got to know many wives, female partners and children, and they feature frequently (at the 60th birthday party of Kelvin’s wife Julie, he breaks one of the Boys’ ‘golden rules’ by spending time in the women’s company). There is also an amusing account of an exchange on Facebook in 2017 between a number of people from Milltown after one of the Boys posted the cover of ‘Revisited’ with the comment ‘Just read this book...great read...£10 on e bay...It’s a book about the rec boys’. A woman replies ‘Not about the rec girls then xx’! After a number of other contributions, some witty and ribald, the author joins in and explains why he had not ‘included the stories of the girls’. The reasons are implicit throughout the books, but including the explanation explicitly here in the published volume would have been of interest and benefit to the reader and the field.

**Patterns of difference**

Apart from gender, and of course class (they all grew up ‘within a stone’s throw of each other’ in social housing but the Boys ‘destinations’ are far from uniform), the contemporary reader is also much more likely than the reader of the early 1980s to ask whether diversity is otherwise reflected in the lives of the Boys.
All of the ‘original’ five Boys were white and only one of the follow-up study participants comes from wholly BAME heritage: both Matt’s biological parents were from Barbados. Vic’s father was from Nigeria, his mother from Ireland. Looking back, Matt says that it is now clear in his mind that in his youth he was often ‘picked out’, including by the police, but he had hardly considered that possibility at the time. The existence of anything other than a ‘white British (or Welsh)’ ethnicity only features in *Five Years* through the disparaging remarks of the Boys (not unlike gender). Marty, as we hear for ourselves, was ‘a staunch racist and although he was clever enough to see the irrationality of his prejudice he was not willing to accept it’ (p. 78). By contrast, in *The Milltown Boys at Sixty* there is a thoughtful series of observations by the Boys, Black and white, both on the past and the present (the murder of George Floyd by a police officer in Minneapolis and *Black Lives Matter* street protests in the UK formed part of the recent context for the interviews).

As mentioned above, Pete, one of the *Five Years* Boys, is gay. After coming out, he left Milltown, a place where the culture ‘suppressed difference, distinction and achievement’ (2021: 19–20). Despite openly homophobic attitudes from the other Boys, a few (in particular Jerry and Marty) continued to show great personal loyalty and visit him in London, while Vic would always continue to see him as a ‘top mate’ and in later years would threaten (with his commanding physical presence) anyone who made derisory comments. Having suffered close bereavement and tragedy in his personal life more than once, Pete suffers from profound depression and was not up to a formal interview for the third volume. One of the most poignant contributions in the second (‘Revisited’) is when he comments on returning to Milltown after some years: ‘I didn’t realise I had any friends until I came back. But there’s all the Boys in Milltown – I’m not such an embarrassment after all! I’d missed them desperately for all those years. I cared about them but I never thought they cared for me’ (2004: 192).

Disability does not feature in *Five Years* but it increasingly becomes an explicit part of the Boys’ lives as described in the later books. This is either because they have become the parents of children with a disability (Jerry and his wife Sam have a profoundly disabled daughter Rachel, and we learn a lot about her life in the family and community and the terrible impact on her and them of public sector austerity measures) or because of the onset for some of the Boys of chronic physical or mental health problems.

Marty’s story is a heart-breaking one. In *Five Years* he rues the frequency with which he gets caught and arrested because he was too drunk to plan his burgling carefully enough: ‘I must be mad. I don’t know why I do it. I think I need to see a psychiatrist. There must be something wrong with me’. These words take on a terrible irony when we later learn of his diagnosis of paranoid schizophrenia and read about him being taunted and ridiculed by local youngsters (as an ‘old man’, in his thirties). A deeply moving account of his funeral in 2014 at the age of 52 is the subject of the first chapter of *The Milltown Boys at Sixty*. (The funeral of Ted, another one of the ‘five’, took place just before the book was completed.) Later,
the chapter on beliefs concludes with a typically droll quip from ‘Spaceman’ that when it came to religion, because of his schizophrenia Marty sometimes thought he was God (2021: 140).

Positioning and relationships – ‘half-in, half-out’

The Introduction of The Milltown Boys at Sixty quotes the late Peter Lauritzen of the Council of Europe who praised the second Milltown volume for being an example of the ‘distant intimacy’ that is required for good participant observation. As so often happens in this trilogy, one of the Boys (Tony) is later quoted capturing a similarly sophisticated idea in the most uncomplicated of terms: ‘You were half in, half out – that’s how I would see it’ (2021: 176).

Howard Parker’s study of car radio thieves in Liverpool (1974) was an important influence on Williamson’s research interests and orientation although it hadn’t been published when he moved to Milltown. He learned from Parker that after the book came out its author lost touch with the ‘Roundhouse boys’ because they resented him for – as they saw it - becoming wealthy by using them. ‘He couldn’t go back... I was adamant that I would not end up like Parker’ (p. 171). He set out to ensure this in various ways: sharing with the Boys the proceeds of newspaper articles or radio interviews, offering advice and information (and, more than once, loans), accompanying them to court, visiting them in custody and at home long after the initial ethnography was formally completed, taking photographs at weddings and parties, and in other ways. The ‘norm of reciprocity’ that he learned about as a social science student was the touchstone, and the existence of two detailed follow-up studies, many years apart, is persuasive evidence that it has been successfully observed on both sides. But the Boys can be forgiven for extracting dry humour from the relationship, as they do from so much else. One of them gives the following response to a newspaper article featuring the author:

You’re pretty clever really, aren’t you, How. We tell you stuff in simple language. You put it into posh words and you get paid a fucking fortune for it. And then you talk to the paper about it, and get paid for that too, and then they write it in simple words, so that we can read what we told you in the first place! (2021: 171–172)

Conclusion

Towards the end of The Milltown Boys at Sixty there is a passage in which Paul talks about his feelings and about the fact that he doesn’t do so with the other Boys: ‘How can I say to them what I say to you, some of the things I’ve told you...? Well you’re not going to talk about them...’ (p. 173). The author tells us that even though the Boys have pseudonyms, there are many things they have revealed to him that he has not published. Reflecting on why they are as open with him as they are, Williamson says: ‘I am a useful repository for some of their
deeper thoughts...precisely because – paradoxically - I don’t really count and don’t really matter. It is precisely because I am not one of them that makes them more comfortable in sharing certain things with me’ (p. 174).

It’s not hard to grant the validity of this observation, and appreciate the paradox of it. But it is not the whole truth. The power of the Milltown trilogy lies in the fact that, quite palpably, and increasingly as we move through the volumes, the author does count in the lives of the Boys, as they do in his. The relationship is an unusually complex one between researcher and researched, because that is precisely what it takes for a study of such depth and duration to be possible. It is probably unique: in the scope of participants’ lives that it covers, and in how long it has been sustained, by the same individual researcher throughout (as Williamson notes in comparing it with Laub and Sampson, 2003). The trilogy documents many things, including all those mentioned above and many others that deserve attention if space allowed, like Danny’s way with words, or Spaceman’s artwork and analytical skill. But it also documents a history of relationships, both among the Boys and between them and the author.

This latter relationship, while not being one between ‘friends’ in the conventional sense (although there are many degrees and types of friends, as the Boys themselves attest), certainly has some of the key qualities of friendship. The mix at play in the Milltown Boys trilogy has many striking parts, including a truly prodigious research effort, robust scholarship, insight, imagination and humour. When the warmth, care and affection of long friendship are added, it becomes an extraordinarily special combination, and a life-affirming as well as enlightening experience for the reader.

Views from the boys...  

1981. This copper asks me where I’m going...he says he’ll give me a lift...I think they wanted me to tell them who was [pinching cars]...So we pulls up outside my house and I says ‘You know I wouldn’t say anything anyway, but seen as you gave me a lift home, I’ll tell you it was none of the boys I know’. (Danny)

I’m shit scared of going to Borstal. All the boys will probably think I’m cool [but] I don’t know what it’s like in Borstal. It’s murder, that is, when you don’t know nothing about a place... (Marty)

[Magistrates] got no idea what it’s like being skint. I know they probably says that they’re skint, but they can always get money from somewhere - you know, the fucking banks’ll always lend ‘em money because they’re respectable. Being skint is having fuck all. (Marty)

2004. I just lost interest in it [the apprenticeship]... and then I found prison. (Nathan)

I didn’t care [about going to prison]. I knew what it was going to be like and I knew everybody. No big deal. All of them was helping me. Home from home really. All you miss is the beer. (Ryan)
I don’t see many of the Boys any more. I sees Gary. He pops in here. He is a very, very good friend of mine. He has been superb, honestly. He took me to the hospital the other day. Apart from him, I’m really isolated. I’m desperately lonely. (Marty)

I’ve got the rest of my life to live now so I’d better start living it and having something to think about, because I realize now I’ve never had much of a life. I’ve done jack shit with my life, all these last ten or twenty years. It’s quite sad. (Matt)

In Milltown... I’m sitting in a bar and 70 per cent of them I’ve known since I was 6 years old. It’s amazing... You know, it made me leave and I suppose in a way it made me come back. (Gordon)

[My son David] was about 6 years old and he used to come up and kiss me and cuddle me and I remember saying you’re a man now David, you don’t kiss now, we shake hands. That was the biggest mistake I ever made. (Shaun)

I am proud of the stability I have achieved [with Angie]. I have definitely worked at it. I don’t like the idea of failure. That’s a key thing about me and I have to say that my whole family is, in many ways, a history of failures... And families have histories, don’t they? (Tony)

I never felt I was fucking normal... I was always interested in books... But you can’t imagine talking to [the Boys] about nature or fucking existentialism, stuff like that, the great poets – you just can’t... So basically I was too rough to be with the toffs and I was too poncy to be really part of the Boys. (Spaceman)

2021. H, I Grew up with those boys. The difference was that they didn’t want to work... they’ve all ended up in prison... so, so sad... they say they’re happy, and they seem happy, but I’m not so sure. But, H, I’m still comfortable with them... they’re bad boys, I’m not. But there’s mutual respect. We go back a long, long way. (Gary)

I feel sorry for the kids today. I feel sorry for anyone who’s in their 40s, 30s, 20s and that. We were lucky. We were the last ones who lived on the street. We had our freedom... We were mad, we were crazy, but we were free... We were wild and free. (Matt)

I go to sleep knowing there’s not going to be a knock on the door in the middle of the night and I spend the day knowing which bed I will be sleeping in that evening. It’s only then, when I feel so relaxed, that I realise that I’ve lived my life living on my nerves. No wonder some of the Boys are wrecks. (Danny)
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