Development and Genetic Characterization of A Novel Herbicide (Imazethapyr) Tolerant Mutant in Rice (Oryza sativa L.)
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Abstract

Background: Increased water and labour scarcity in major rice growing areas warrants a shift towards direct seeded rice cultivation under which management of weeds is a major issue. Use of broad spectrum non-selective herbicides is an efficient means to manage weeds. Availability of rice genotypes with complete tolerance against broad-spectrum non-selective herbicides is a pre-requisite for advocating use of such herbicides. In the present study, we developed an EMS induced rice mutant, ‘HTM-N22’, exhibiting tolerance to a broad spectrum herbicide, ‘Imazethapyr’, and identified the mutations imparting tolerance to the herbicide.

Results: We identified a stable and true breeding rice mutant, HTM-N22 (HTM), tolerant to herbicide, Imazethapyr, from an EMS-mutagenized population of approximately 100,000 M₂ plants of an upland rice variety, Nagina 22 (N22). Analysis of inheritance of herbicide tolerance in a cross between Pusa 1656-10-61/HTM showed that this trait is governed by a single dominant gene. To identify the causal gene for Imazethapyr tolerance, bulked segregant analysis (BSA) was followed using microsatellite markers flanking the three putative candidate genes viz., an Acetolactate Synthase (ALS) on chromosome 6 and two Acetohydroxy Acid Synthase (AHAS) genes, one on chromosomes 2 and another on chromosome 4. RM 6844 on chromosome 2 located 0.16 Mbp upstream of AHAS (LOC_Os02g30630) was found to co-segregate with herbicide tolerance. Cloning and sequencing of AHAS (LOC_Os02g30630) from the wild type, N22 and the mutant HTM and their comparison with reference Nipponbare sequence revealed several Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) in the mutant, of which eight resulted in non-synonymous mutations. Three of the eight amino acid substitutions were identical to Nipponbare and hence were not considered as causal changes. Of the five putative candidate SNPs, four were novel (at positions 30, 50, 81 and 152) while the remaining one, S627D was a previously reported mutant, known to result in Imidazolinone tolerance in rice. Of the novel ones, G152E was found to alter the hydrophobicity and abolish an N myristoylation site in the HTM compared to the WT, from reference based modeling and motif prediction studies.

Conclusions: A novel mutant tolerant to the herbicide “Imazethapyr” was developed and characterized for genetic, sequence and protein level variations. This is a HTM in rice without any IPR (Intellectual Property Rights) infringements and hence can be used in rice breeding as a novel genetic stock by the public funded organizations in the country and elsewhere.
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Background
Weed management is labour intensive in irrigated rice, the most prevalent rice ecosystem. Owing to labour and water shortage, many South East Asian countries including Malaysia, Sri Lanka and Vietnam have shifted to direct seeded rice (DSR) from transplanted rice (Rao et al. 2007). In American and European countries, DSR accounts for 80–90% of total rice cultivated area (Hassan and Rao 1996). Due to predictions on increased frequency in the occurrence of water deficit/drought in Asia, DSR is expected to become popular in Bangladesh, Pakistan and India. In DSR, weed(s) are one of the major factors affecting rice production to an extent of 18–48% in Pakistan and India. In DSR, weed(s) are one of the major factors affecting rice production to an extent of 18–48% due to rice-weed competition for resources (Rao et al. 2007). This not only increases the cost of production due to increased labour cost for their management, but also affects productivity under situations of labour shortage. In India, the cost towards controlling the weeds accounts up to 30% of the total cost of cultivation (Rao et al. 2015). Moreover, the problem of weedy rice is being reported widely in DSR areas in India, for which herbicide tolerant rice varieties is one of the feasible and practical long term solutions (Rathore et al. 2013).

Herbicides primarily act by disrupting key enzymes/proteins involved in essential metabolic or physiological processes associated with growth and development of plants. Glyphosate, glufosinate, synthetic auxins, sulfonylurea, imidazolinones, triketones, isoxazoles, callistemone, cyclohexadienones, aryloxyphenoxypropionates and phenylpyrazolines are common herbicides for which herbicide tolerance (HT) mechanisms are well known and exploited for development of herbicide tolerant crops (Endo and Toki, 2013). Some of the herbicide tolerant crops have been developed by introducing mutations in the target site of herbicide action, whereas others have introduced genes detoxifying the herbicide molecule (Endo and Toki, 2013). Both the above mechanisms have been exploited in developing HT transgenic crops while the former approach has been achieved through mutagenesis (non-GM approach) as well (Green and Owen, 2010). Non-GM HT crops have the advantage of easier registration/release for commercial cultivation as well as wider public acceptance.

Of the various herbicides, imidazolinones are the most widely targeted ones for developing herbicide tolerant crops through non-GM approach. Imidazolinones act by disrupting Acetolactate Synthase (ALS), and/or Acetohydroxy Acid Synthase (AHAS), enzymes involved in branched chain amino acid (valine, leucine and isoleucine) biosynthesis (Singh and Shaner, 1995). Nucleotide and amino acid sequences of AHAS and mutations causing tolerance against imidazolinones are well characterized in Arabidopsis (Sathasivan et al. 1990 and 1991). Mutations in AHAS gene is reported to confer resistance to five groups of herbicides, namely, imidazolinones (Shaner et al. 1984), sulfonylurea (Chaleff and Mauvais, 1984), pyrimidinyl thiobenzoates (Stidham, 1991), triazolopyrimidine and sulfonylaminocarbonyltriazolinones (Gerwick et al. 1990). Although ALS/AHAS mutants tolerant to imidazolinone compounds have been developed in rice, wheat, sunflower, canola and maize (Tan et al. 2005), they are all protected by patents (Croughan 1998, 2002; Livore 2003; Livore et al. 2011). The present investigation was therefore undertaken with the objective of identifying novel Imazethapyr resistant rice lines through Ethyl Methane Sulphonate (EMS) induced mutagenesis which can be used without restriction in public funded rice breeding. We report here the identification and detailed characterization of one such stable herbicide tolerant mutant in rice for the first time in India.

Methods
Mutagenesis
One kilogram seeds of a drought tolerant upland rice variety, Nagina 22 (N22) was treated with 0.8% EMS to raise M1 (Mohapatra et al. 2014). N22 was chosen for mutagenesis for two reasons: 1. it is an upland variety sensitive to Imazethapyr spray; 2. EMS mutants in N22 have been developed in a national level consortium on rice functional genomics owing to its positive attributes such as drought tolerance and heat tolerance, etc. (Mohapatra et al. 2014; Amitha Mithra et al. 2016). The produce of all the M1 plants was bulked to generate a large M2 population of about 100,000 M2 plants, which was used for screening for herbicide tolerance.

Screening for Herbicide Tolerance
All the M2 seeds, giving rise to approximately 100,000 M2 plants were grown under field conditions by following recommended agronomic practices. Non-selective broad spectrum herbicide, Imazethapyr, available as Pursuit™ was sprayed @2.5 ml/lit on all plants on 30 days after sowing (DAS). Observation on survival of the mutants was made on 15th day after spraying. Putative resistant plants were identified based on retention of greenness of leaves and absence of drying symptoms. Particularly, green plants surrounded by dried plants were selected to ensure that the plants in the specific area had received adequate quantity of herbicide, thus minimizing the chances of escape (Fig. 1).

Generation Advancement
Putative resistant plants were rescued and replanted in pots, harvested separately and forwarded to M3 generation. M3 generation was raised under field conditions in progeny to row design along with the suitable controls viz., wild type (WT) N22 and IR 64 and, sprayed...
with Imazethapyr on 30th DAS for reconfirming the HT reaction. The HT plants were again harvested individually (single plants) and raised as progeny rows in M₄ generation along with N22 and IR 64. Herbicide spray was applied as in the M₂ and M₃ generation (Fig. 2) and individual progenies were harvested in bulk. The progeny rows were validated again for herbicide tolerance in M₅ generation under field conditions. One of the mutants, consistently breeding true over successive generations was finally selected and named “HTM-N22”. DUS (Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability) characterization of the mutant HTM-N22 (HTM) vis-à-vis the wild type N22 was carried out for 40 DUS traits to confirm the phenotypic similarity of the mutant to the wild type.

Genetic Analysis of Herbicide Tolerance
To analyze the inheritance pattern, the herbicide tolerant mutant, HTM-N22 was crossed with Pusa 1656, a wild type parent for the herbicide tolerance trait. The F₂ population comprising of 254 plants was raised in the field and screened for tolerance to Imazethapyr @ 2.5 ml/lit spray on 30th DAS. Data on number of plants dried and survived was recorded after 15 days of herbicide spray. The observed phenotypic data of the F₂ generation was analyzed for the goodness of fit with the expected Mendelian segregation ratio using chi-square (χ²) test (Fisher, 1936).

Confirmation of Genomic Similarity Between the Mutant and its Wild Type and Molecular Mapping of Herbicide Tolerance
Since EMS mutagenesis is known to induce multiple mutations in the genome (Abe et al. 2012), testing with a large number of microsatellite markers is recommended before proceeding with mapping and or other applications (Wu et al. 2005; Lima et al. 2015). Hence, the herbicide tolerant mutant identified was subjected to comparative microsatellite analysis with the WT, N22 following the earlier protocol (Lima et al. 2015). A total of 127 genome wide SSR (Simple Sequence Repeat) loci including nine HvSSRs (hyper variable SSRs), which...
covered all 12 rice chromosomes, were used to study their allelic constitution between the WT and the HTM. The SSR fragments were amplified and separated on 3.5% agarose gels, and stained with ethidium bromide. The gels were documented using VersaDoc Imaging System Model3000 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. USA) and allelic pattern was scored based on the amplicon size.

In order to map the gene(s) responsible for herbicide tolerance in the HTM, Bulked Segregant Analysis (BSA) proposed by Michelmore et al. (1991) was carried out using SSR markers in the vicinity of ALS gene which is well established as the target of the herbicide, Imazethapyr. BLAST search against rice Pseudomolecule ver. 7.0, revealed that rice has three candidate genes namely, LOC_Os06g51280, encoding ALS, LOC_Os02g30630 and LOC_Os04g32010, both encoding AHAS. Parental polymorphism survey was conducted between HTM and Pusa 1656, using SSR markers located within 0.5 Mb region of the above three loci.

DNA (isolated from leaves sampled before herbicide spray) from 10 tolerant and 10 sensitive plants from the F2 generation, which was used for inheritance studies and phenotyped based on mortality upon herbicide spray, were pooled in equi-molar concentration to constitute the resistant and susceptible bulks, respectively. Based on inheritance pattern observed, the markers, which were polymorphic between the parents, and showing heterozygous (co-dominant) pattern in tolerant bulk and homozygous pattern in susceptible bulk, were considered to be putatively linked with the herbicide tolerance trait. The putatively linked markers were then used for genotyping of the individual F2 plants to study linkage relationship.

The genotypic and the phenotypic data of the F2 population were analyzed for segregation distortion, if any, using chi-square ($\chi^2$) test for goodness of fit. Linkage between the putatively linked marker and the target gene was estimated using genotypic data and the phenotypic data with the help of MAPMAKER v3.0 software (Lander et al. 1987). Linkage was considered significant if the Logarithm of Odds (LOD) score was $\geq$3.0. The Kosambi mapping function (Kosambi, 1943) was used to convert recombination frequency into map distance between the marker and the target.

**Identification of Causal Gene and Validation in the Mapping Population**

The candidate gene which was linked to the microsatellite marker and co-segregated with herbicide resistance was amplified using the flanking markers in both the mutant and the wild type. The amplified fragments were purified, cloned in pGEM-T vector, propagated in E.coli and were sequenced (Eurofins Genomics India Pvt. Ltd., India). Obtained nucleotide sequences were compared with reference *japonica* genome by multiple sequence alignment and all the nucleotide sequences were translated into amino acid sequences. Comparisons were made between the amino acid sequences of mutant plants and wild type, and then compared with the reference *japonica* genome sequence in the NCBI/TIGR database.

All the non-synonymous substitutions identified between the WT and HTM, and barring those with Nipponbare, were chosen for validation in the mapping population. Two sets of primers were designed to amplify the genomic regions that covered all the five single nucleotide polymorphisms of the candidate gene. The PCR product from each of the 96 genotypes (two parents as well as a subset of 94 individuals from F2 population) was purified and sequenced directly using Sanger’s dideoxy method on an automated capillary-based DNA sequencer (ABI 3730x1, Applied Biosystem, USA) in both forward and reverse directions as per standard ABI sequencing protocol. The trace files were base called and checked for quality using the internal software of the sequencer (ABI sequencer software v5.4). Trimming option was used to edit the poor quality sequences and Quality value (QV) of 20 was fixed for base calling for 99% accuracy. Contig formation using forward and reverse sequences, and sequence alignment so as to call SNPs were performed using BioEdit software.

**Protein Modelling of the Causal Gene Between the WT and the HTM**

Since neither X-ray crystallographic nor NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) spectroscopy) structure of the protein encoded by the causal gene were available, reference based structural modeling of the protein from WT and HTM was carried out using Modeler 9.17 programme (Webb and Sali, 2014). The developed 3-D model structure was verified with verity3D. The motifs in the WT and HTM protein sequences were predicted using PROSITE programme (http://prosite.expasy.org/prosite.html; date of access 11th Nov. 2016).

**Results**

**Mutant Recovery**

A mutant population of about 100,000 single M2 plants generated by bulking the progeny of M1 plants raised from about 1 Kg of EMS treated N22 seeds were grown in the field and sprayed with herbicide, Imazethapyr. Three mutant plants putatively resistant to Imazethapyr were identified from the field in the M2 generation. However, only a single mutant plant was found to be tolerant to subsequent herbicide spray in the re-potting experiments which was named as, 'HTM-N22'. Tolerance against the herbicide imazethapyr exhibited by the mutant HTM-N22 (HTM) was confirmed across subsequent filial
generations up to M₅ and found to be true breeding (Figs. 1 and 2).

**Genetic Analysis of Herbicide Tolerance**

Phenotyping of F₂ progenies for their tolerance to the herbicide (Imazethapyr) could classify them into two distinct groups namely, herbicide tolerant and susceptible plants, based on survival after herbicide spray. Of the 254 individual F₂ plants, 197 were tolerant to Imazethapyr spray while 57 were sensitive. The frequency distribution of F₂ population with respect to herbicide tolerance suggested a dominant monogenic inheritance pattern. The observed data showed a goodness of fit to Mendelian segregation ratio of 3:1 ratio ($\chi^2 = 0.3463$), when tested by $\chi^2$ test, indicating that the herbicide tolerance trait in HTM is controlled by a single dominant gene (Table 1).

**Genomic Integrity of the HTM in Comparison With the WT**

Genotyping of the HTM and N22 with 127 SSR markers including 9 HvSSRs (Additional file 1: Table S1A and Additional file 2: Figure S1) revealed complete monomorphism, which confirmed the high degree of genetic similarity between the mutant and the WT. The mutant was also identical to the WT for all the 40 DUS characteristics as well as agro-morphological traits (Additional file 3: Table S1B), reiterating the results of marker analysis (Additional file 4: Table S1C and Additional file 5: Figure S2).

**Molecular Mapping of Causal Mutation**

Out of the 20 SSR markers selected from the genomic regions flanking the three putative candidate genes (Table 2), three markers namely RM20767 for ALS (LOC_Os06g51280), RM 6844 and RM5749 for AHAS in chromosomes 2 and 4 (LOC_Os02g30630 and LOC_Os06g51280), were found to be polymorphic between the parents, Pusa 1656 and HTM.

BSA was carried out using the three polymorphic markers between Pusa 1656 and HTM, with the herbicide tolerant and susceptible bulks, constituted from the plants of respective F₂ populations, based on their survival on Imazethapyr spray. In the BSA, only one SSR marker, RM6844, was able to differentiate the herbicide tolerant and susceptible bulks and also exhibit the expected pattern, similar to the parents, while the remaining two markers failed to differentiate the herbicide tolerant and susceptible bulks. In case of RM6844, the herbicide tolerant bulk was heterozygous and the susceptible bulk was homozygous indicating that it is putatively linked with the herbicide tolerance trait (Fig. 3). The genotyping of 254 F₂ individuals from the cross, Pusa 1656/HTM was undertaken with the putatively linked marker, RM6844 for molecular mapping of the gene governing herbicide tolerance (Fig. 4).

The goodness of fit for the genotypic segregation of the F₂ population for the marker loci RM6844 was tested using $\chi^2$ test against the expected ratio 1:2:1. The observed ratio fitted well with the expected ratio with respect to RM6844 marker with a p-value of 0.4392 (Table 3). Linkage analysis between phenotypic data on survival upon herbicide spray with genotypic data from RM6844 marker of 254 individuals in the F₂ population from the cross, Pusa 1656/HTM revealed a genetic distance of 1.2 cM (LOD score - 51.89) between the causal gene and the marker.

**Sequence Analysis of the Causal Gene in the HTM and WT**

The locus, AHAS (LOC_Os02g30630) was amplified from both the HTM and the WT by designing appropriate primers (Forward: TCGCCCAAAACCCAGAAA; Reverse: ACATCATAGGGCATACCTTT), cloned and sequenced. Since LOC_Os02g30630 encoding AHAS is an intronless gene, it was amplified and sequenced in a single reaction (both forward and reverse) using a 50 cm long capillary. Nucleotide sequences of HTM and WT were translated to amino acid sequence and compared with each other as well as Nipponbare (japonica genome) as reference (Fig. 5). The sequence analysis revealed a total of 16 point mutations which resulted in amino acids substitutions across the three genotypes (Table 4). Out of these, three amino acids substitutions (at positions 30, 50 and 627) were specific to the HTM when compared to WT and Nipponbare, while eight changes (at positions 11, 67, 71, 293, 318, 357, 400 and 643), could be ascribed to the differences in subspecies – japonica vs. aus genotypes (WT and HTM). Interestingly, at three positions (118, 146 and 569) the amino acids in HTM were identical to the Nipponbare but different from the WT. At positions 81 and 152, the amino acid substitutions in HTM were completely different from references, WT as well as japonica. Thus the non-synonymous mutations in positions 30, 50 and 627 which were exclusively different between HTM and WT as well as those different from both WT and Nipponbare (81 and 152) were considered as potential causal mutations.

**Table 1** Segregation pattern of herbicide tolerance in F₂ population from cross Pusa 1656/HTM-N22

| Cross                  | Total no. of plants | No. of F₂ plants | Expected Genetic ratio | $\chi^2$ value | P-value |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|
|                        |                     | Survived | Died | 3:1 | 0.887 | 0.3463 |
| Pusa 1656/HTM-N22      | 254                 | 197      | 57   |     |       |        |
Validation of Potential Causal Mutations in the Mapping Population

Since SSR marker, RM6844 found to be linked to the causal gene, LOC_Os02g30630, encoding AHAS was 1.2 cM away, sequencing of the causal gene was done in a subset of mapping population (94 F2 individuals) for further validation. Two pairs of primers, one for amplifying region comprising amino acids 30, 50, 81 and 152 (Forward: CATCACCCACCATGC; Reverse: CTA TGGCGTGCTCCTGGAG) and the other for the amino acid 627 (Forward: CATCACCGGTGAGACTCTCG; Reverse: CTA TGGCGTGCTCCTGGAG)

**Table 2** SSR markers identified in the vicinity of candidate genes for polymorphism survey between the HTM-N22 and Pusa 1656

| Candidate gene | SSR | Position (bp) | Primers (Forward/Reverse) | Polymorphism |
|----------------|-----|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Aceto-lactate synthase (LOC_Os06g51280 at 31049803 in chromosome 6) | RM20765 | 30593302 | CCACTCACCTCCGTTTCATG | monomorphic |
| | RM20767 | 30593847 | TGGATGACCTGGATCTCTCC | polymorphic |
| | RM20768 | 30611463 | CAGGAGAATACAGGAGGAAGG | monomorphic |
| | RM20769 | 30653429 | CAGATCGGGAGGATGAGACC | monomorphic |
| | RM20771 | 30665825 | CAAACCTCGGTCTGTCTCTC | monomorphic |
| | RM20773 | 30692412 | TGGCAATCTTCCCTCACTTG | monomorphic |
| | | | GATCCTCCACCATCCACCATGC | monomorphic |
| | | | GTCATCACCCACCTTCC | polymorphic |
| AcetoHydroxy Acid Synthase (LOC_Os02g30630 at 18236025 bp in chromosome 2) | RM6844 | 18169413 | AGTCCAAAGAAGGCGAGGAGG | polymorphic |
| | RM13263 | 18187191 | AAGATGACACTGGTTGCTCTCC | monomorphic |
| | RM13264 | 18192480 | ATCTCCATCGTCTCTCTCTCC | monomorphic |
| | RM13267 | 18220791 | TGGGCAAGACACCTTTCTG | monomorphic |
| | RM13268 | 18223511 | CCAAAATCACTTACGACC | monomorphic |
| | RM13269 | 18237768 | GCTCCTGGTACATTCTGTGTCC | monomorphic |
| | | | GACCGACGCTTCTCAAGACG | monomorphic |
| AcetoHydroxy Acid Synthase (LOC_Os04g32010 at 19169130 bp in chromosome 4) | RM16844 | 19095807 | CACCGACTGCTGCTGTCATAGG | monomorphic |
| | RM16850 | 19172772 | TGCTTTGAGAGGCAAGTACAGG | monomorphic |
| | RM16867 | 19452211 | GAGGATGACCTGAGTGCTATTACC | monomorphic |
| | RM16873 | 19485808 | GCATATGCGCAGGAATAGGCC | monomorphic |
| | RM1205 | 19643755 | CAATCACGGAGAACAGCTACC | monomorphic |
| | RM1359 | 20041155 | GCATTTGCAAGGTCTCAAGC | monomorphic |
| | RM3643 | 20128692 | GTCAAGCTACTCTGGCATGCTACAG | monomorphic |
| | RM5749 | 20131193 | GCTGTTTTTCTCTTGATCAGTC | polymorphic |

**Fig. 3** F2 population of HTM-N22/Pusa 1656 tested by BSA with polymorphic SSR marker, RM 6844 in the vicinity of candidate gene, AHAS on Chromosome 2.
Reverse: GGGTCATTCAGGTCAAACATAG) were designed and PCR products were purified and sequenced. The sequencing results of all the five putative mutations were in complete agreement with the expected phenotyping data. Though, we could confirm the causal gene, the causal mutation could not be ascertained as we could not identify any recombinants in the material tested among the five potential causal mutations, owing to small population size.

Reference Based AHAS Protein Modeling of WT and HTM
Three dimensional structure of AHAS protein in the WT was modeled based on four different templates, 3E9Y A (Arabidopsis thaliana ALS in complex with Monosulfuron), 1YBH A (A. thaliana ALS in complex with Sulfonylurea), 1JSC A (Yeast ALS as a target for herbicidal inhibitors), 1NOH A (Yeast ALS in complex with Sulfonylurea). BLASTP showed the corresponding identity with the A. thaliana templates to be 76%, while that of yeast to be 41%. The 3-D models of the AHAS from WT and HTM developed using Modeler had the 3D-1D score ≥ 0.2 score with the variation in WT and HTM in the range of 76.55 – 79.66% suggesting the models developed were appropriate. The DOPE (Discrete Optimized Protein Energy) score of the protein structure of WT and HTM was similar (-71451.57031 and -71297.08594 respectively) suggesting the high quality of the models. The superimposition of both the models did not reveal any major structural differences other than a coil generated in the HTM around position 627 which was not there in the WT (Fig. 6). Despite the major similarities observed between the WT and HTM, hydrophobicity chart showed a difference in hydrophobicity around 152nd amino acid (Additional file 6: Figure S3).

Motif Prediction in WT and HTM AHAS Proteins
Functional motif prediction of AHAS protein in WT and HTM identified four distinct patterns viz., protein kinase C phosphorylation, Amidation, N-myristoylation and Casein kinase II phosphorylation sites (Table 5). Comparison of WT and HTM for individual motifs predicted (within each of the four patterns) revealed abolition of a N-myristoylation site motif in HTM involving amino acids 152–157 which was present in the WT. Comparison of the amino acid sequences between WT and HTM (Fig. 5) revealed glutamic acid at 152nd position in the HTM while it was glycine in the WT. Thus in the HTM, a small non-polar aliphatic amino acid (glycine) was replaced by a polar (acidic) amino acid, glutamic acid abolishing an N-myristoylation site. In Nipponbare also the 152nd position was occupied by another small aliphatic and non-polar amino acid, alanine. Thus the motif prediction results were corroborated by the hydrophobicity chart (Additional file 6: Figure S3). Hence, the SNP/mutation in the 152nd amino acid could be the causal mutation conferring tolerance against imazethapyr in the HTM.

Table 3 Genotypic segregation of F2 population from the cross, Pusa1656/HTM-N22 for RM6844 marker

| Cross            | Total no. of plants | Allele (observed) | Expected Genetic ratio | $\chi^2$ value | P-value |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|
| Pusa 1656/HTM-N22 | 254                 | 55                | 135                    | 64             | 1:2:1   |
|                  |                     | Mutant type       | Heterozygote           |                | (63.5)  |
|                  |                     |                   |                        | 1.646          | 0.4392  |
Fig. 5 Amino acid sequence alignment of AcetoHydroxy Acid Synthase (LOC_Os02g30630) between wild type Nagina 22 and herbicide tolerant mutant along with Nipponbare.
Among various methods of weed management in rice cultivation, chemical control using broad spectrum herbicides is an effective and economic alternative management strategy. A few herbicide tolerant genotypes have been developed using non-transgenic approach, primarily through induced mutagenesis, in crops viz., maize, canola, wheat, rice and sunflower (Anderson and Georgeson, 1989; Swanson et al. 1989; Newhouse et al. 1992; Croughan 2002; Shaner et al. 1996; Al-Khatib et al. 1998) though transgenic approach is more prevalent (Green, 2009; Duke and Powles 2009). Imidazolinone herbicides, which include imazapyr, imazapic, imazethapyr, imazamox, imazamethabenz and imazaquin and belong to major class of Group B herbicides, are used commonly in soybean and pulse cultivation but not in rice owing to sensitivity of rice crop to this group of herbicides. In the present study, we have successfully identified an induced mutant in rice tolerant to a broad spectrum non-selective herbicide, imazethapyr, considering the ease in registering and commercializing the material developed through non-transgenic approaches.

The true breeding and monogenic nature of the herbicide tolerant mutant identified in the present study was evident from the results of progeny testing (Fig. 2), inheritance studies (Table 3), and SSR and DUS characterization (Additional file 2: Figure S1 and Additional file 1: Tables S1A and B). While genetic characterization of HTM using 127 markers, at an average distance of one marker per 3 Mb, revealed a very high degree of genetic similarity with the WT, Nagina 22, the causal gene sequencing results indicated multiple point mutations in HTM resulting in eight amino acid changes in a distance of just 1.88 kb (Fig. 5). EMS induced mutagenesis is known to induce multiple mutations and this is one of the reasons as to why DNA fingerprinting is recommended apriori to their genetic characterization (Wu et al. 2005; Lima et al. 2015). Since the causal gene for Imazethapyr tolerance has been identified in our study, it is possible to develop a CAPS marker using appropriate primers, (F: CATCACCCACCATGGCTAC; R: CTATGGGCGTCTCCTGGAA) and restriction enzyme, BstEII-HF. However, use of CAPS marker is tedious in marker assisted breeding (MAB). Hence, RM6844, a codominant SSR, located 0.16 Mb upstream of AHAS (LOC_Os02g30630) can serve as a robust marker for MAB of this trait.

AHAS in Arabidopsis comprises of 670 amino acids while in rice it is 644 amino acids long (Sathasivan et al. 1990; http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/). At least seventeen alleles of AHAS gene, harbouring mutations in

| Amino acid position | Amino acid substitutions in HTM-N22 | Amino acid substitutions in WT | Remarks on amino acid substitutions in the HTM-N22 with respect WT and Nipponbare |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 11                 | T                                   | T                            | N22 type                                                                          |
| 30                 | F                                   | V                            | Mutation                                                                          |
| 50                 | L                                   | V                            | Mutation                                                                          |
| 67                 | T                                   | P                            | N22 type                                                                          |
| 71                 | C                                   | R                            | N22 type                                                                          |
| 81                 | R                                   | Q                            | Different from both references                                                    |
| 118                | E                                   | D                            | Nipponbare type                                                                    |
| 146                | A                                   | P                            | Nipponbare type                                                                    |
| 152                | E                                   | G                            | Different from both references                                                    |
| 293                | R                                   | R                            | N22 type                                                                          |
| 318                | S                                   | S                            | N22 type                                                                          |
| 357                | D                                   | D                            | N22 type                                                                          |
| 400                | D                                   | Q                            | N22 type                                                                          |
| 569                | S                                   | G                            | Nipponbare type                                                                    |
| 627                | N                                   | S                            | Mutation                                                                          |
| 643                | M                                   | M                            | N22 type                                                                          |

Fig. 6 Line ribbon representation of the homology based protein model of WT (a) and HTM-N22 (b)
amino acids at single or multiple positions such as, 121, 122, 124, 197, 199, 205, 206, 376, 574, 653 and 654 (with respect to Arabisopsis) and conferring tolerance to imidazolinone, have been reported across crops (rice, maize, canola, sunflower and wheat) and 87 weed species including prickly lettuce, pigweed, livid amaranth and weedy rice (Shaner, 1999; Duggleby and Pang, 2000; Heap, 2016 from http://www.weedscience.org/). The occurrence of multiple alleles and all of them conferring tolerance to Imidazolinone and/or sulfonylurea treatment suggests that mutations across AHAS could render them unresponsive to these groups of herbicides. In weeds, Ala122, Pro197, Ala205, Asp376, Arg377, Trp574, Ser653 and Gly654 are the eight confirmed target sites reported across genera and countries so far (http://www.weedscience.com/Mutations/MutationDisplayAll.aspx; accessed on 21 Nov 2016). In weedy rice alone, three mutations, G654E, S653D and A122T are known (Roso et al. 2010). Among them, the change S653D (S627D in rice) is common across crops and weeds (Croughan 2002) whereas the rice Clearfield events released in USA had mutation G654E (G628E in rice) as known from the patent search (US 20070028318 A1). Thus, the HTM rice, identified in the current study with multiple amino acid substitutions (Table 4), all different from the rice Clearfield event, and is free from IPR issues.

As compared to the previous results, the causal mutations in the HTM identified in our study are novel with the presence of five possible candidates in 30, 50, 81, 152 and 627 amino acid positions. The non-synonymous substitution, V30F is part of the chloroplast transit peptide (US patent 57311810) and hence could not be the causal mutation for Imazethapyr tolerance. Using I-TASSER based protein modeling, an additional coil was

| Table 5 Functional motifs predicted in ALS proteins of WT and HTM-N22 |
|---|
| S. No. | Motif sites | WT Position of the amino acid | Features | HTM-N22 Position of the amino acid | Features |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | PS00005 PKC_PHOSPHO_SITE Protein kinase C phosphorylation site | 17 – 19 | TaK | 17 – 19 | TaK |
| 20 – 22 | TgR | 20 – 22 | TgR |
| 132 – 134 | SgR | 132 – 134 | SgR |
| 218 – 220 | SgR | 218 – 220 | SgR |
| 273 – 275 | SrR | 273 – 275 | SrR |
| 319 – 321 | SIR | 319 – 321 | SIR |
| 353 – 355 | TgK | 353 – 355 | TgK |
| 404 – 406 | TkK | 404 – 406 | TkK |
| 471 – 473 | TyK | 471 – 473 | TyK |
| 590 – 592 | TtK | 590 – 592 | TtK |
| 2 | PS00009 AMIDATION Amidation site | 20 – 23 | tGRK | 20 – 23 | tGRK |
| 3 | PS00008 MYRISTYL N-myristoylation site | 136 – 141 | GVcvAT | 136 – 141 | GVcvAT |
| 152 – 157 | GLadAL | 281 – 286 | GGGcSA | 281 – 286 | GGGcSA |
| 282 – 287 | GGCsAS | 282 – 287 | GGCsAS |
| 283 – 288 | GcsaSG | 283 – 288 | GcsaSG |
| 299 – 304 | GIpVT | 299 – 304 | GIpVT |
| 310 – 315 | GNfpSD | 310 – 315 | GNfpSD |
| 324 – 329 | GMhgTV | 324 – 329 | GMhgTV |
| 327 – 332 | GTvyAN | 327 – 332 | GTvyAN |
| 490 – 495 | GLpaAA | 490 – 495 | GLpaAA |
| 496 – 501 | GASvAN | 496 – 501 | GASvAN |
| 563 – 568 | GNpeCE | 563 – 568 | GNpeCE |
| 4 | PS00006 CK2_PHOSPHO_SITE Casein kinase II phosphorylation site | 287 – 290 | SgdE | 287 – 290 | SgdE |
| 407 – 410 | TssD | 407 – 410 | TssD |
| 431 – 434 | TfgE | 431 – 434 | TfgE |
| 449 – 452 | TkgE | 449 – 452 | TkgE |
| 505 – 508 | TvvD | 505 – 508 | TvvD |
found to be present in V50L in the HTM (data not shown) which however could not be validated by the rigorous reference based modeling. Similarly, our *in silico* analysis could not find any difference in protein structure due to Q81R. Thus, there are two potential causal mutations in HTM namely, S627D which has been established beyond doubt (Croughan 2002; Heap, 2016) and G152E as indicated by protein modeling and motif prediction analyses (Table 5 and Additional file 5: Figure S2). The N-myristoylation site abolished in the HTM owing to G152E substitution is known to be involved in signal transduction under the environmental stress condition in plants (Podell and Gribskov, 2004). This latter mutation is so far not reported in any of the crop plants and weeds (Heap 2016), thus making HTM-N22, a novel resource for herbicide tolerance. However, in order to conclusively demonstrate the role of this point mutation leading to substitution G152E, further detailed experimentation is needed either through transformation of the ALS gene with this point mutation/ targeted mutation through CRISPR/CAS9 or through isolation of intra-genic recombinants with only one mutation/ targeted mutation through iso-transformation of the ALS gene with this point mutation. Further experimentation is needed either through transformation of the ALS gene with this point mutation/ targeted mutation through CRISPR/CAS9 or through iso-transformation of the ALS gene with this point mutation.

Conclusions
In the present study, a novel herbicide (Imazethapyr) tolerant mutant resource was developed by EMS mutagenesis approach from a drought tolerant variety Nagina22. The genetic analysis established herbicide tolerance in the HTM as a monogenic trait. The tightly linked marker identified in our study has proven to be helpful in introgression of this trait in popular rice cultivars. Since this is a novel HTM in rice without any IPR infringements, it can be used in rice breeding by the public funded organizations in the country and elsewhere.
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