Symbiotic microbes help a myriad of insects acquire nutrients. Recent work suggests that insects also frequently associate with actinobacterial symbionts that produce molecules to help defend against parasites and predators. Here we explore a potential association between Actinobacteria and two species of fungus-farming ambrosia beetles, *Xyleborinus saxesenii* and *Xyleborus affinis*. We isolated and identified actinobacterial and fungal symbionts from laboratory reared nests, and characterized small molecules produced by the putative actinobacterial symbionts. One 16S rRNA phylotype of *Streptomyces* (XylebKG-1) was abundantly and consistently isolated from the galleries and adults of *X. saxesenii* and *X. affinis* nests. In addition to *Raffaelea sulphurea*, the symbiont that *X. saxesenii* cultivates, we also repeatedly isolated a strain of *Nectria* sp. that is an antagonist of this mutualism. Inhibition bioassays between *Streptomyces griseus* XylebKG-1 and the fungal symbionts from *X. saxesenii* revealed strong inhibitory activity of the actinobacterium toward the fungal antagonist *Nectria* sp. but not the fungal mutualist *R. sulphurea*. Bioassay guided HPLC fractionation of *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 culture extracts, followed by NMR and mass spectrometry, identified cycloheximide as the compound responsible for the observed growth inhibition. A biosynthetic gene cluster putatively encoding cycloheximide was also identified in *S. griseus* XylebKG-1. The consistent isolation of a single 16S phylotype of *Streptomyces* from two species of ambrosia beetles, and our finding that a representative isolate of this phylotype produces cycloheximide, which inhibits a parasite of the system but not the cultivated fungus, suggests that these actinobacteria may play defensive roles within these systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Ambrosia beetles are a diverse group of insects (~3,400 species) that cultivate fungi for food (Kirkendall et al., 1997; Farrell et al., 2001). Adult beetles generally bore into dead or dying trees, establishing a nest in the xylem. They actively inoculate the tunnel walls of the nest with spores of their mutualistic fungus, which grows and forms a layer of nutrient rich aleurioconidia ["ambrosial growth" (Beaver, 1989)] on the woody tissue of the host plant and serves as the sole source of nutrition for adults and developing beetle larvae. Ambrosia beetles vector the host plant and serves as the sole source of nutrition for ambrosial growth (Beaver, 1989) on the woody tissue of which grows and forms a layer of nutrient rich aleurioconidia. Nutritional symbioses with fungi evolved at least eleven times independently in bark- and ambrosia beetles (Scolytinae and Platypodinae: Coleoptera; Farrell et al., 2001; Kirkendall et al., 2015). Specific ambrosia beetle species associate with specific ambrosia fungi (Batra, 1985; Beaver, 1989; Biedermann et al., 2013; Mayers et al., 2015), although some beetles appear to rely on a community of cultivars. Fungal cultivars from the scolytine weevil genera *Xyleborus* and *Xyleborinus* are mostly in the Ascomycota genera *Ambrosiella* (Ceratoxyceidae: Microascales) and *Raffaelea* (Ophiostomatidae: Ophiostomatales), which convergently evolved as beetle cultivars 30–60 million years ago (Cassar and Blackwell, 1996). Whereas many phloem-boring bark beetles gain extra nutrition through associations with fungi (e.g., *Dendroctonus* sp.), the xylem-boring ambrosia beetles that we study here are true fungus-farmers and obligately rely on their cultivars for food (Six, 2012; Biedermann et al., 2013). *Nectria, Penicillium*, and *Aspergillus* species are common associates of these beetles, but are typically found at low abundances within nests. They are regarded as competitors, parasitoids, or pathogens of the ambrosia beetle mutualism (Beaver, 1989; Biedermann et al., 2013).

In addition to ambrosia beetles, active farming of fungi also occurs in attine ants, and macrotermite termites (Mueller et al., 2001, 2005; Aanen et al., 2002), and nutritional symbioses with fungi are widespread in insects (Dejean et al., 2005; Lauth et al., 2011; Leroy et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Toki et al., 2012; Hajek et al., 2013). Reliance on fungi by these insects exposes them to potential parasite pressure in the form of pathogens or competitors of their symbionts. For example, the fungal mutualist of attine ants is impacted by a specialized and potentially virulent fungal parasite (Currie et al., 1999; Currie, 2001). To help defend the cultivar from this parasite the ants use actinobacterial symbionts that produce antibiotics (Currie et al., 1999, 2006; Cafaro et al., 2011). A similar type of defensive symbiosis has been shown in the fungus-associated bark beetle *Dendroctonus frontalis* (Scott et al., 2008), and has been further suggested in the Mediterranean Pine Engraver bark beetle, *Orthotomicus eurus* (Human et al., 2017), as well as fungus-growing termites (Visser et al., 2012). Beyond defending fungal mutualists in agricultural associations, Actinobacteria are well adapted for insect dispersal [e.g., by desiccation-resistant, hydrophobic spores that stick to the surface of insects (Ruddick and Williams, 1972)] and fulfill different defensive capacities in other insect systems. Within antennal glands, Beewolves (*Philanthus* spp.) cultivate Actinobacteria that they transfer into brood cells and onto developing cocoons in order to prevent infection by a wide range of pathogens (Kaltenpoth et al., 2005). Actinobacteria and the antibiotic secondary metabolites they produce have been identified in several species of mud daubers (Oh et al., 2011; Poulsen et al., 2011). Furthermore, Actinobacteria have been isolated from several additional ant species (Seipke et al., 2012; Hanshew et al., 2015) and the gypsy moth (Hanshew et al., 2013).

The majority of insect defensive symbioses characterized have involved Actinobacteria, which is not surprising as Actinobacteria, especially *Streptomyces*, are well known producers of bioactive secondary metabolites (Florez et al., 2015). Over 10,000 biologically active compounds have been identified from Actinobacteria, accounting for ~45% of known microbial metabolites (Berdy, 2005). The phylum Actinobacteria is composed of Gram-positive bacteria and is one of the largest in the domain Bacteria. They are common soil microbes, and studies have also identified them as dominant community members in both freshwater (Sekar et al., 2003), and marine (Stach and Bull, 2005) habitats. As such, Actinobacteria are common microbiome constituents in many environments.

The fruit-tree pinhole borer *Xyleborinus saxesenii* Ratzeburg and the sugarcane shot-hole borer *Xyleborinus affinis* Eichhoff colonize a wide variety of dying or recently dead tree species and are two of the most widespread ambrosia beetles worldwide (Schedl, 1963; Wood, 1982). Both species are facultatively eusocial depending on the viability of the wood resource and may settle the same nest for multiple generations: Adult offspring of a single, sib-mated foundress typically delay dispersal from their mothers’ tunnel system and help her with nest-hygiene, brood-care, and fungus-farming (Biedermann et al., 2009, 2011, 2012). Unique for Holometabola, ambrosia-beetle larvae also help in these cooperative tasks (Biedermann and Taborsky, 2011). The beetles’ activity and presence is necessary to maintain the fruiting and monocultures of their fungal cultivars (Beaver, 1989; Kirkendall et al., 1997). Both species are obligately dependent on *Raffaelea* ambrosia fungi (Roep and French, 1981; Biedermann et al., 2013). Experiments in *X. saxesenii* showed that these cultures are protected against pathogenic fungi, such as *Paecilomyces variotii* and *Fusarium merismoides*, by larvae and adults in unknown ways (Biedermann and Taborsky, 2011) and it is possible that this defense involves “microbial helpers.”

Here we describe actinobacterial symbionts of *X. saxesenii* and *X. affinis* ambrosia beetles and explore their potential function in helping defend nests against an antagonistic fungus that was isolated from *X. saxesenii*. Using specific media, we isolated both Actinobacteria and fungi from laboratory reared nests. Actinobacterial isolates were characterized using 16S rRNA gene sequencing and tested for their ability to inhibit the growth of both mutualistic and parasitic fungal isolates from the same nests. Active compounds were isolated using bioassay-guided HPLC fractionation, chemically characterized using NMR spectroscopy and mass spectrometry, and further tested using bioassays to confirm growth inhibition activity. We sequenced the genome of one actinobacterial isolate (Grubbs et al., 2011) to confirm this strains’ phylogenetic identification, and identified a putative
biosynthetic gene cluster for one of the characterized active compounds. Based on these results, we propose a mutualism between two species of ambrosia beetle and Actinobacteria, in which the bacterial symbiont produces cycloheximide to inhibit the growth of fungal competitors of the mutualistic cultivar fungus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Beetle Collection and Rearing

Xyleborus affinis and X. saxesenii females (~20 each) were collected at the Southern Research Station in Pineville, LA (31°20′ N, 92°24′ W; 123 ft asl) with four ethanol (95%) baited Lindgren funnel traps in October 2007. Live beetles were placed in sterile plastic tubes with wet filter paper, stored at 4°C for up to 3 days, surface sterilized by immersing in 70% ethanol and deionized water for a few seconds, and then reared on artificial medium in glass tubes following Biedermann et al. (2009; Peer and Taborsky, 2004). Briefly, beetles were reared in sterile glass tubes (Belco culture tubes 18 × 150 mm) filled with the standard medium for rearing xyleborine ambrosia beetles. A single female per glass tube was put onto the medium and usually started boring tunnels as if in wood (N = 20 tubes/species). About one third of these beetle colonies successfully established brood and these were maintained in the lab at room temperature with indirect sunlight.

Isolation of Actinobacteria

We conducted targeted isolation of Actinobacteria from each of three X. saxesenii and X. affinis colonies in triplicate, aseptically sampling each tube three times in a biosafety cabinet. Briefly, the nest inside the solid rearing substrate was shaken out of the tube and tunnel-wall material, containing the layer of the mutualistic fungus (henceforth termed nest material), as well as individual beetles were collected with sterile metal probes/tweezers from the exposed tunnels. X. saxesenii nest material (0.05 g per sample), adults (2 pooled individuals per sample), and larvae (5 pooled individuals per sample) were sampled; only nest material (0.05 g per sample) and adults (2 pooled individuals per sample) were sampled from X. affinis. The amount of material was chosen such that all samples were of approximately equal volume. All samples were chosen at random and homogenized in 500 μL of autoclaved, 0.22 μm filtered, deionized water; 100 μL of each was evenly spread on dried chitin agar plates (15 g agar, 3 g chitin, 0.575 g K2HPO4, 0.375 g MgSO4 × 7H2O, 0.275 g KH2PO4, 0.0075 g FeSO4 × 7H2O, 0.00075 g MnCl2 × 4H2O, and 0.00075 g ZnSO4 × 7H2O dissolved in 750 mL deionized water) in duplicate and allowed to dry before wrapping with parafilm. Plates were incubated at 30°C for 3 weeks, after which colony forming units (CFUs) were counted and eight of each morphotype per plate were transferred to yeast malt extract agar (YMEA: 4 g yeast extract, 10 g malt extract, 4 g dextrose, and 15 g agar dissolved in 1 L). Colonies on YMEA plates were allowed to grow at 30°C for 2 weeks, visually inspected for morphological properties characteristic of Actinobacteria, and sub-cultured as necessary to obtain pure cultures. Three 0.05 g samples of artificial medium from tubes that were not inoculated with beetles were also plated in duplicate on YMEA without antibiotics to screen for contamination and possible presence of Actinobacteria in the beetle residue. All media used for actinobacterial isolation had filter-sterilized cycloheximide (0.05 g/L) and nystatin (10,000 units/mL) added after autoclaving and cooling to suppress fungal growth.

Fungal Isolations

Fungal symbionts were isolated from three X. saxesenii nests, sampled three times each. X. affinis were not sampled for fungi. Nest material was scraped using a sterile metal probe and inoculated on potato dextrose agar plates (PDA; Difco, Sparks, MD, United States) with penicillin (0.05 g/L) and streptomycin (0.05 g/L) added after autoclaving and cooling to suppress bacterial growth, and incubated at 30°C for 1 week. During incubation, fast growing fungi were sub-cultured onto fresh PDA plates and the agar on which they grew was fully removed to prevent overgrowth of the entire original isolation plate. Two different fungi were obtained in pure culture from the edge of each colony and then plating on individual PDA plates.

DNA Sequencing

The 16S rRNA gene was sequenced from eight Actinobacteria isolates obtained from both X. saxesenii and X. affinis for a total of 16. In an effort to maximize the possibility of capturing any phylogenetic diversity, and thereby discover if multiple species were present, the strains that were sequenced were chosen based on morphological differences rather than origin. Only two morphologies were observed with the only differences being that the spores of one morphology were slightly darker than the other. The 16S rRNA gene PCR primers used were the Actinobacteria-specific F243 (5′-GGATGAGCCCGCOCGCCTA-3′) and R1378 (5′-CGGTTGTTGACACCCCGGAAGGCGC-3′; Heuer et al., 1997), and in separate reactions the general bacterial primers pA (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and pB (5′-AAGAGGTGTAATCCAGCACC-CA-3′) to increase coverage length (Edwards et al., 1989). The cycle parameters used for each primer set was similar to those above except the annealing temperatures were 58°C and 54°C, respectively, and the elongation time was 95 s for primers pA and pB. Each PCR reaction was composed of 12.5 μL 2× GoTaq master mix (Promega), 1 μL of template DNA, and 40 μM of each primer in a final volume of 25 μL. The EF-α and 18S rRNA genes were sequenced for two each of the isolated Raffaelea sulphurea and the putative antagonistic fungus Nectria spp. DNA was extracted as previously described (Cafaro and Currie, 2005). PCR primers N5′S (5′-GTAGTCTATATGCTTGTCTC-3′) and N4S (5′-CTTCGCTAATTCCTTTAAG-3′) were used to amplify the 18S rRNA gene (White et al., 1990), using thermocycling parameters: 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles of 95°C for 45 s, 42°C for 45 s, 72°C for 90 s, 72°C for 5 min and hold at 4°C. EF-α gene PCR primers 983F (5′-GACACCGATCAGGTATTTAT-3′) and 2218R (5′-ATGAACCACGCACTCGAAGGGT-3′; Rehner and Buckley, 2005) were used with similar cycling parameters, except annealing temperature and elongation time were 55°C and 130 s.
PCR amplicons were purified by adding 0.8 µL ExoSap-IT (USB) to 2 µL of PCR product diluted in 5.25 µL of autoclaved deionized water and incubating this mixture at 37°C for 15 min and then at 80°C for 15 min. Sanger sequencing reactions contained: 1 µL BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 (Applied Biosystems), 1.5 µL Big Dye Buffer (Applied Biosystems) and 1 µL of 10 µM primer, and the entire cleaned amplicon solution. Sequencing PCR conditions were 95°C for 3 min, 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 45°C for 30, 60°C for 4 min, 72°C for 7 min, and hold at 4°C. Excess dye terminators were removed using CleanSeq beads (Agencourt Biosciences) and samples were resuspended in 40 µL of sterile ddH₂O and sequenced at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center using an ABI 377 instrument (Applied Biosystems).

**Actinobacteria Antifungal Bioassays**

Growth inhibition assays were conducted between one *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 like strain (see section "Results") isolated from each of the three *S. xaxenseni* nests and both isolated fungal species by first inoculating the Actinobacterium in the center of a PDA plate and allowing it to grow for 2 weeks. A small amount of test fungus was then inoculated at the edge of this Petri plate and allowing it to grow for 2 weeks. A small amount of the three *Nectria* nests and both isolated fungal species were tested for inhibition of *S. griseus* Pitrex and the fungus.

**Phylogenetic Analyses**

All sequences were assembled using Bionumerics v6.5 (Applied Maths), searched against the GenBank Nucleotide Sequence Database (Benson et al., 2012) using BLAST (Altschul et al., 1990) to determine a preliminary identity, and then aligned in MEGA5 (Tamura et al., 2011) using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). 18S rRNA and EF-α sequences were aligned and trimmed individually and subsequently concatenated to increase phylogenetic resolving power. To ensure codons were not split by gaps, alignments were inspected in MEGA5 for consistent reading frames. Substitution models were chosen using the model selection module of MEGA5. Maximum likelihood phylogenies were inferred using 500 bootstrap replicates using MEGA5.

**Genome Based Phylogeny**

The genome of *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 has previously been sequenced (Grubbs et al., 2011) allowing us to generate a genome based phylogeny for this isolate. Proteins from all complete *Streptomyces* genomes were predicted using prodigal (Hyatt et al., 2010) for consistency and annotated using HMMer (Finn et al., 2011) models generated from KEGG (Ogata et al., 1999) gene families, of which 1,364 KEGG gene families were conserved in all genomes. For these gene families, the proteins with the highest HMMer bitscore from each genome were aligned using MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) and then converted to a nucleotide alignment. These alignments were concatenated and a phylogeny generated using RAxML (Stamatakis, 2006) with 100 rapid bootstraps.

**Syntenic Map**

The genomes of *Streptomyces griseus* subsp. *griseus* NBRC13350 [NC_010572.1] and *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 were aligned using progressive Mauve (Darling et al., 2010) with default parameters.

**Analytical Chemistry Methods and Instrumentation**

One- and two-dimensional NMR spectra were acquired using a Varian Inova spectrometer with a frequency of 600 MHz for 1H and 150 MHz for 13C nuclei. All compounds were dissolved in CD3OD. HPLC/MS analysis was performed on an Agilent 1200 Series HPLC/6130 Series mass spectrometer. High resolution spectra were obtained on a Waters Micromass Q-TOF Ultima ESI-TOF mass spectrometer.

**Isolation and Elucidation of Bioactive Compounds**

*Streptomyces griseus* XylebKG-1 was cultivated on PDA plates for 5–10 days. Seed biomass for 1 L cultures was produced by adding 1 cm² of a single mature PDA culture to three 500 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 85 mL modified yeast peptone mallose medium (YPM: 2 g/L yeast extract, 2 g/L bactopeptone, and 4 g/L D-mannitol). These were incubated at 28°C with shaking at 250 rpm for 48 h. Twenty-five ml of each culture was added to eight 1 L of YPM in 4 L Erlenmeyer flasks and incubated for 72 h at 28°C with shaking at 250 rpm. Supernatants and mycelia were processed separately after cultures were centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 30 min. Culture supernatants were adjusted to pH 6 and extracted twice with an equal volume of ethyl acetate. After evaporation in vacuo, residues were resuspended in 2 mL MeOH/H₂O (8:2). Mycelia were lyophilized and each extracted with 50 mL aceton and 50 mL methanol. After evaporation in vacuo, crude extracts were resuspended in 2 mL methanol. Crude supernatant and mycelium extracts were tested for inhibition of *Nectria* sp.; only the extracts of the crude supernatant showed significant assay activity. Crude supernatant extracts were purified using a 2 g pre-packed C18 Sep-Pak resin and fractionated by eluting with a gradient of pure water to pure methanol. The pure water flow through and 10% methanol fractions exhibited the highest anti- *Nectria* activity. These fractions were therefore combined and fractionated by gel chromatography using Sephadex LH-20 with methanol as the mobile phase (column 60 × 2.5 cm). Active fractions were combined and subsequently purified by reversed-phase HPLC (Agilent 1100 Series HPLC system, Supelco Discovery HS C18 column, 250 × 10 mm, 2 mL/min). HPLC conditions used: 2 min 80% A, 20% B in 28 min to 100% B (A: water, B: methanol). The fraction most active against *Nectria* sp. was eluted from 17.5 and 18 min and contained 2.7 mg of cycloheximide (1).

**One Strain Many Compounds (OSMAC) Screening**

*Streptomyces griseus* XylebKG-1 strain was cultivated on agar plates (300 mL) of YPM, PDA, oat media (20 g/L oat meal, 2.5 mL/L trace element solution, 3 g/L CaCl₂·2 H₂O, 1 g/L Fe(III)-citrate, 0.2 g/L MnSO₄, 0.1 g/L ZnCl₂, 25 mg/L CuSO₄·5
H$_2$O, 20 mg/L Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$·10 H$_2$O, 4 mg/L CoCl$_2$, and 10 mg/L Na$_2$MoO$_4$·2 H$_2$O, soy maninitol media (20 g/L soy meal, 20 g/L maninitol), starch-glucose-glycerol media (10 g/L glucose, 10 g/L glycerol, 10 g/L starch, 2.5 mL/L cornsteep liquor, 5 g/L casein-peptone, 2 g/L yeast extract, 1 g/L NaCl, and 3 g/L CaCO$_3$), ISP1 media (5 g/L pancreatic digest of casein, yeast extract 3 g/L), ISP2 media (4 g/L yeast extract, 10 g/L malt extract, and 4 g/L dextrose), and 1187 media [10 g/L starch, 2 g/L (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$, 1 g K$_2$HPO$_4$, 1 g/L MgSO$_4$·7 H$_2$O, 1 g/L NaCl, 2 g CaCO$_3$, and 5 mL/L trace element solution] for 7 days at 30°C. All plates were extracted with ethyl acetate.

Naramycin B (0.7 mg, 2) was isolated from the crude extract of ISP1 cultivation by semipreparative HPLC (gradient 22% to 40% acetonitrile in 25 min, Supelco Discovery HS C18 column, 250 × 10 mm). Actiphenol (1.6 mg, 3) was isolated from the crude extract of 1187 cultivation by preparative HPLC (gradient 65% to 100% methanol in 25 min, column Phenomenex Luna C18 250 × 21 mm). Dihydromaltophilin (2.4 mg, 4) was isolated from extracts of PDA and 1187 cultivations by preparative HPLC (gradient 50% to 100% acetonitrile in 25 min, column Phenomenex Luna C18 250 × 21 mm), (gradient 80% to 100% acetonitrile in 25 min, column Phenomenex Luna C18 250 × 21 mm), and semi-preparative HPLC (gradient 35% to 50% acetonitrile in 25 min, column Supelco C18 250 × 8 mm).

Cycloheximide (1): white amorphous powder; $^1$H, $^{13}$C NMR data were identical to a commercial sample obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Jeffs and McWilliams, 1981), ESI-MS m/z [M + Na]$^+$ 304.1, [M + H]$^+$ 282.1, [M−H]$^−$ 280.1, HR-ESI-MS m/z 282.1718 [M + H]$^+$ (calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{24}$NO$_4$, 282.1700).

Naramycin B (2): white amorphous powder; $^1$H NMR data were consistent with those previously published for this metabolite (Berg et al., 1982); ESI-MS m/z [M + Na]$^+$ 304.1, [M + H]$^+$ 282.1, [M−H]$^−$ 280.1.

Actiphenol (3): white amorphous powder; $^1$H NMR data were consistent with those previously reported (Lakhvich et al., 1993); ESI-MS m/z [M + Na]$^+$ 298.0, [M + H]$^+$ 276.2, [M−H]$^−$ 274.1.

Dihydromaltophilin (4): white amorphous powder; $^1$H NMR and $^{13}$C NMR data were consistent with those previously reported (Graupner et al., 1997); ESI-MS m/z 513.3 [M + H]$^+$, 511.3 [M−H]$^−$; HR-ESI-MS 513.2964 [M + H]$^+$ (calculated for C$_{29}$H$_{41}$N$_2$O$_6$ 513.2965).

### Cycloheximide Antifungal Assays

Minimum inhibitory concentrations were determined using Nectria sp. and R. sulphurea grown in liquid YPM for 3 days. Cultures were diluted 1:1000 with fresh YPM and 200 µL per well transferred into 96-well plates containing various amounts of commercial cycloheximide (100, 50, 20, 10, 5, 2, and 1 µg) and dihydromaltophilin (5, 2, 0.5, and 0.2 µg). These 96-well plates were incubated for 72 h at 30°C, after which the optical density was measured at 600 nm using a SpectraMax M5® Plate Reader. Naramycin B (2) and actiphenol (3) were inactive against both fungi up to concentrations of 10 µg/200 µL.

To determine the antifungal activity of cycloheximide (1) and dihydromaltophilin (4) in an agar plate dilution assay, Nectria sp. and R. sulphurea were grown in 20 mL liquid potato dextrose media for 7 and 21 days, respectively, at 30°C while shaking at 250 rpm. 1 mL of each culture was used to inoculate PDA plates. Paper disks (6 mm diameter) were soaked with solutions of 30, 2, and 0.2 µL of 1 and 20 µL 2 µL of 4, both in methanol (concentration 1 mg/mL), dried, and applied to the surface of the agar plates. Plates were grown at 30°C for 5–7 days, when inhibition zones were recorded.

### Cycloheximide Biosynthetic Cluster Identification

The biosynthetic cluster in the high quality draft S. griseus XylebKG-1 genome sequence [NZ_ADFO0000000.2] was predicted using antiSMASH v2.0 (Blin et al., 2013) and specific comparison to the previously published cycloheximide biosynthesis gene cluster from Streptomyces sp. YIM56141 (Yin et al., 2014). Gene cluster functional annotations were derived from the antiSMASH output, homologous annotations in the Streptomyces sp. cycloheximide biosynthesis gene cluster, and retrobiosynthetic logic.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Isolation and Identification of Actinobacteria

At least one CFU having a morphology consistent with Actinobacteria was observed from 72% of adults, 33% of larvae, and 61% of nest samples from X. saxesenii (Table 1). On average, more Actinobacteria were cultured from nests than adults or larvae, with mean ± SEM CFUs/sample of 110 ± 56, 34 ± 1.4, and 1.1 ± 0.6, respectively (Figure 1). No growth of Actinobacteria was observed from media not inoculated with beetles (Table 1 and Figure 1). Thus, the medium serving as a possible source of bacterial isolates can be eliminated. All isolates had similar morphologies and growth patterns. Isolations from X. affinis nests and adults also resulted in CFUs of a single actinobacterial morphotype similar to that isolated from X. saxesenii.

Eight representative Actinobacteria from various samples of the X. saxesenii and X. affinis systems were identified using 16S rRNA gene sequencing. The 16S rRNA gene sequences (1,123 bp) from all 16 were 100% identical and were most similar to that of S. griseus subsp. griseus NBRC13350 [NC_010572.1] when queried against the NCBI nr database using BLASTN, a result confirmed by phylogenetic analysis (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 1). A phylogeny constructed using the genome sequence for one of these strains, S. griseus XylebKG-1

| TABLE 1 | Number of plates yielding actinobacterial growth. |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Xyleborus saxesenii | Adults | Larvae | Nest | Substrate |
| Nest 1 | 6/6 | 2/6 | 6/6 |
| Nest 2 | 3/6 | 3/6 | 2/6 |
| Nest 3 | 4/6 | 1/6 | 3/6 |
| Control | 0/6 | 0/6 | 0/6 |

Two samples were collected from each nest and plated in duplicate.
Ambrosia Beetle Actinobacteria Associations

(XylebKG-1) isolated from X. saxesenii [NZ_ADFC0000000002.2] confirmed the close relationship between this strain and S. griseus subsp. griseus NBRC13350 [NC_010572.1], generating a tight clade in all bootstrap replicates produced (Figure 3). This is consistent with their high genomic similarity suggested previously using average nucleotide identity (Grubbs et al., 2011). Note that although the progressive Mauve algorithm aligns both genomes as one homology block (except for the extreme 5′ and 3′ ends), this block contains some regions of negligible sequence homology. These regions typically represent secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene clusters of unknown function that are not conserved between these two genomes (data not shown).

Our work supports a symbiosis between the S. griseus XylebKG-1 clade and X. saxesenii ambrosia beetles. First, strains were consistently isolated having the same culture morphology from nests, larvae, and adults, and a random subset of these had 100% identical 16S rRNA sequences. Second, Actinobacteria were investigated X. saxesenii found to be very abundant within the nest material samples of the 100% identical 16S rRNA sequences. Third, or mud daubers [maximum average of 3.1 Streptomyces CFUs per individual (Poulsen et al., 2011)]. Fourth, the isolation of the XylebKG-1 Actinobacteria 16S phylotype from nests, larvae, and adults, and a random subset of these had the same culture morphology and were consistently isolated having the same culture morphology. These regions typically represent secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene clusters of unknown function that are not conserved between these two genomes (data not shown).

Our work supports a symbiosis between the S. griseus XylebKG-1 clade and X. saxesenii ambrosia beetles. First, strains were consistently isolated having the same culture morphology from nests, larvae, and adults, and a random subset of these had 100% identical 16S rRNA sequences. Second, Actinobacteria were found to be very abundant within the nest material samples of the investigated X. saxesenii strains (approximately 110 Streptomyces CFUs per sample). Their recovery rate of 3.4 Streptomyces CFUs per adult individual is comparable with other established symbioses, like the Dendroctonus bark beetle system [average of 7.7 Streptomyces CFUs per individual (Scott et al., 2008)] or mud daubers [maximum average of 3.1 Streptomyces CFUs per individual (Poulsen et al., 2011)]. Third, Streptomyces are vectored by the beetles, likely within their bodies, as artificial medium was sterile and beetles were surface sterilized before being allowed to initiate nests. Fourth, the isolation of the XylebKG-1 Actinobacteria 16S phylotype from X. affinis further supports an association with ambrosia beetles, and suggests its potentially wider phylogenetic distribution within these insects.

Fungal Symbionts

Two fungi were consistently isolated from X. saxesenii nests. One type was identified as R. sulphurea using a dichotomous key (Batra, 1967) and confirmed by 18S rRNA and EF-α gene sequencing. This fungus has been repeatedly isolated from X. saxesenii and is known as the main cultivar of this beetle (Batra, 1967; Francke-Grosmann, 1975; Biedermann et al., 2013). The second fungus we isolated was identified as a close relative of the ascomycetous genus Nectria based on 18S rRNA and EF-α gene sequences and both BLAST and phylogenetic analyses (Figure 4). The consistent isolation of this Nectria sp. suggests that it is vectored by the ambrosia beetles. Nectria species are frequently isolated in low numbers from Scolytine beetles (Persson et al., 2009), and unpublished 18S rRNA 454-pyrosequencing data from Biedermann et al suggest that they are commonly present in the nests of ambrosia beetles. Given that only Raffaelea and Ambrosiella species are producing nutritional fruiting structures for feeding ambrosia beetles and Nectria spp., are known pathogens of both insects (Mauchline et al., 2011) and trees (Ehrlich, 1934), it is likely a parasite of the system.

The Potential of S. griseus XylebKG-1 as a Defensive Mutualist

To explore the potential that S. griseus XylebKG-1 function as defensive symbionts of X. saxesenii, S. griseus XylebKG-1’s ability to inhibit the growth of R. sulphurea and Nectria sp. isolated from this host was examined. Whereas S. griseus XylebKG-1 only marginally inhibited the growth of R. sulphurea (average zone of inhibition = 0.52 mm; Figure 5), it significantly inhibited the growth of Nectria sp. (average zone of inhibition = 26.2 mm; Figure 5). The strength of inhibition significantly differed between these fungi (t-test, P = 1.03E-27, n = 29, and n = 30 for Nectria sp. and R. sulphurea bioassays, respectively).

Isolation of Secondary Metabolites From S. griseus XylebKG-1

Cultivation in liquid yeast peptone media led to bioactivity guided isolation of cycloheximide (1) using Nectria sp. as the indicator organism. HR-ESI-MS provided the molecular formula C_{15}H_{23}NO_{4}; ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR data solely matched to the known compound cycloheximide (1) (Figure 6). In addition, the isolated metabolite showed the same retention time, UV spectrum and ESI-MS pattern as a commercially acquired cycloheximide standard. Furthermore, 1 was produced by S. griseus XylebKG-1 cultivated on agar plates of 8 different media (yeast peptone maltose, potato dextrose, oat, soy manitol, starch glycerol glucose, ISP1, ISP2, and 1187 media), consistent with a robust synthesis of compound 1 under diverse growth conditions. In addition to 1, two byproducts were isolated by preparative HPLC from a culture of S. griseus XylebKG-1 in ISP1 media and identified as naramycin B (2) and actiphenol (3) (Jeffs and McWilliams, 1981; Berg et al., 1982; Lakhvich et al., 1993).

Because the alteration of growth media frequently results in a substantially changed metabolite pattern, a switch in growth media can be utilized to explore the metabolic potential of bacterial strains. In the case of S. griseus XylebKG-1, cultivation in PD and ISP4 resulted in the biosynthesis of an additional antifungal metabolite. After isolation by preparative HPLC, the
In plate bioassays, cycloheximide inhibited the isolated _Nectria_ sp. (zones of inhibition: 30 µl, 44 mm; 2 µl, 18 mm; and 0.2 µg, 9 mm) but not _R. sulphurea_ (no inhibition observed). Liquid molecular formula C_{29}H_{40}N_{2}O_{6} (determined by HR-ESI-MS) and NMR data identified the compound as dihydromaltophilin (4; Graupner et al., 1997).
culture assays confirmed this result, indicating a minimum inhibitory concentration of cycloheximide toward *Nectria* sp. of 0.02 mM. *R. sulphurea* grew in all test conditions (cycloheximide concentration up to 2.7 mM), although it did exhibit slower growth at higher concentrations of cycloheximide (data not shown). Dihydromaltophilin similarly inhibited both *Nectria* sp.
and *R. sulphurea* (zones of inhibition: 20 µl, 20/22 mm; 2 µl, 12/13 mm). Naramycin-B and actiphenol were non-inhibitory under all conditions tested.

A putative cycloheximide biosynthetic gene cluster was identified in the *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 genome using antiSMASH v2.0 (Blin et al., 2013) based on its homology to the cycloheximide biosynthetic cluster from *Streptomyces* sp. YIM56141 (GenBank accession [JX014302.1]; Yin et al., 2014), and its being consistent with retrobiosynthetic logic (data not shown). All proteins predicted in the gene cluster from *Streptomyces* sp. YIM56141 were present in the *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 genome, except that the polyketide synthase *cheE* homolog was annotated as two separate genes in *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 (labeled *chxE1* and *chxE2* in Figure 7). This entire genomic region is conserved in the genome of *S. griseus* subsp. *griseus* NBRC13350 [NC_010572.1].

BLAST searches using dihydromaltophilin biosynthetic cluster genes previously identified by Yu et al. (2007) [EF028635], revealed highly similar protein sequences with the top blast hits of the first six genes (expect values: 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, 0.0, and 2e-105) in the cluster being in the same relative positions. Similar to the pine beetle symbiont *Streptomyces* sp. SPB78 in which no homologs were found for ferredoxin-like and arginase proteins (Blodgett et al., 2010), BLAST indicated top hits for these genes in separate areas of the genome with much higher expect values (expect values: 8e-009 and 2e-010 respectively). Evolutionary conservation of these clusters from before the adaptation of *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 as a symbiont of ambrosia beetles suggests the potential for similar and/or complementary regulation and activity of the metabolites that they produce.

Our findings are consistent with *S. griseus* XylebKG-1 being a potential defensive symbiont of ambrosia beetles. Cycloheximide's specific inhibition of the antagonist *Nectria* sp., but not the mutualist *R. sulphurea*, supports a defensive role for its production by XylebKG. This parallels similar results obtained for fungus-growing ants and *D. frontalis*, where their associated Actinobacteria inhibited the growth of a fungal parasite and
Cycloheximide inhibits protein synthesis in eukaryotic cells and as such is toxic to most eukaryotes (Blodgett et al., 2010), including fungi. Interestingly, species in the fungal order Ophiostomatales (including Raffaelea spp.), are known to largely be resistant to cycloheximide (Harrington, 1981; Human et al., 2017). Although not determined here, X. affinis also cultivates a cycloheximide-insensitive Raffaelea sp. as food (Roeppe and French, 1981). The association of two cycloheximide-insensitive Raffaelea spp. mutualists with isolates closely related to S. griseus XylebKG-1 in two ambrosia beetle species further supports the role of XylebKG-1 isolates as defensive symbionts, as evolutionarily stable relationships are expected to promote such complementarity. In contrast, dihydromaltophilin production inhibits the growth of both Nectria sp. and R. sulphurea. The production of dihydromaltophilin under only a few growth conditions could suggest that it does not have an active role in the ambrosia beetle system, but rather is a remnant from before S. griseus XylebKG-1 became associated with these beetles. In this regard it is worth noting that dihydromaltophilin analogs were found at low production levels in the D. frontalis system (Blodgett et al., 2010). Alternatively, dihydromaltophilin production may be regulated to avoid inhibition of R. sulphurea, or selected for activity versus other organisms not considered in this study.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study we consistently isolated a single Streptomyces morphotype and phylotype from both X. saxesenii and X. affinis that inhibited the growth of the parasitic fungus Nectria sp., but not the mutualistic R. sulphurea, likely via the production of cycloheximide. Its ubiquity suggests that XylebKG-1 may be a defensive mutualist of these ambrosia beetles that inhibits the growth of all but a few fungi, including its mutualistic fungal food source. Future studies should include natural nests collected from a wider range of species and geographies to establish the breadth and prevalence of XylebKG-1 in bark and ambrosia beetle nests (Human et al., 2017). These studies should also include greater phylogenetic power as 16S rRNA gene analyses is not sufficient to resolve species within the S. griseus clade (Rong and Huang, 2010). Cycloheximide should be assayed in vivo to confirm the relevance of its in vitro activity, and any other compounds also produced determined in vivo (e.g., those produced by the biosynthetic gene cluster adjacent to the cycloheximide cluster), if they exist. Furthermore, the presence and activity of XylebKG-1 may also vary during beetle development, e.g., cycloheximide may be used to clear new nests of contaminating fungus in preparation for the agricultural symbiont. The antibiosis of cycloheximide includes a large non-specific range of fungi and as such other fungal symbionts, aside from Nectria sp., may also be inhibited. We have identified a putative defensive Actinobacterium and an antagonistic fungal symbiont in two ambrosia beetles, potentially expanding the interactions from bipartite to quadripartite.
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