Scattering-produced (sub)millimetre polarization in inclined discs: optical depth effects, near–far side asymmetry and dust settling
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ABSTRACT
Disc polarization at (sub)millimetre wavelengths is being revolutionized by ALMA observationally, but its origin remains uncertain. Dust scattering was recently recognized as a potential contributor to polarization, although its basic properties have yet to be thoroughly explored. Here, we quantify the effects of optical depth on the scattering-induced polarization in inclined discs through a combination of analytical illustration, approximate semi-analytical modelling using formal solution to the radiative transfer equation, and Monte Carlo simulations. We find that the near-side of the disc is significantly brighter in polarized intensity than the far-side, provided that the disc is optically thick and that the scattering grains have yet to settle to the mid-plane. This asymmetry is the consequence of a simple geometric effect: the near-side of the disc surface is viewed more edge-on than the far-side. It is a robust signature that may be used to distinguish the scattering-induced polarization from that by other mechanisms, such as aligned grains. The asymmetry is weaker for a geometrically thinner dust disc. As such, it opens an exciting new window on dust settling. We find anecdotal evidence from dust continuum imaging of edge-on discs that large grains are not yet settled in the youngest (Class 0) discs, but become more so in older discs. This trend is corroborated by the polarization data in inclined discs showing that younger discs have more pronounced near–far side asymmetry and thus less grain settling. If confirmed, the trend would have far-reaching implications for grain evolution and, ultimately, the formation of planetesimals and planets.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Polarized (sub)millimetre emission has been observed in an increasing number of discs around young stellar objects. The original motivation for such observations is to detect magnetic fields through linear dichroism of magnetically aligned grains (Cho & Lazarian 2007; Andersson, Lazarian & Vaillancourt 2015; Bertrang & Wolf 2017); the fields are widely believed to play a crucial role in the disc dynamics and evolution, through magnetorotational instability (Balbus & Hawley 1991) and magnetocentrifugal disc wind (Blandford & Payne 1982; see Turner et al. 2014 and Armitage 2015 for recent reviews). The initial searches for disc polarization yielded only upper limits (Hughes et al. 2009, 2013). These were soon followed by detections in IRAS 16293B (Rao et al. 2014) using the Submillimeter Array, in HL Tau (Stephens et al. 2014), L1527 (Segura-Cox et al. 2015) and Cepheus A HW2 (Fernández-López et al. 2016) using the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA), and in NGC 1333 IRAS 4A at 8 mm and 1 cm (Cox et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2016) using the Karl G. Jansky Very Large Array (VLA). Most excitingly, there are a large number of approved disc polarization programmes using Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA), with some results already published (Kataoka et al. 2016b) and several more in preparation (e.g. Girart, in preparation). With its unique combination of high resolution and sensitivity, ALMA ushers a new era of rapid growth in the observational study of disc polarization.

Theoretical interpretation of the disc polarization at (sub)millimetre remains uncertain, however. The conventional interpretation is that the disc polarization comes from magnetically aligned non-spherical grains, as on the larger scales of molecular clouds and dense cores (Andersson et al. 2015). Initial calculations...
of disc polarization from aligned grains assume a purely toroidal magnetic field (Cho & Lazarian 2007; Bertrang & Wolf 2017), as expected in a weakly magnetized disc (Fromang 2013). Such a configuration would produce a polarization pattern that appears inconsistent with the pattern observed in HL Tau (Stephens et al. 2014). The apparent inconsistency led Yang et al. (2016a) to propose that the disc polarization in HL Tau comes from dust scattering, based on Kataoka et al. (2015) theory of scattering-induced millimetre polarization (see also Kataoka et al. 2016a). Specifically, they show that dust scattering in a disc inclined to the line of sight can naturally explain why the observed polarization vectors are roughly parallel to the minor axis and the distribution of polarized intensity is elongated along the major axis. This interpretation will be tested further in the near future with higher resolution ALMA observations at 3 and 0.87 mm, where the data have already been taken (PIs: A. Kataoka and I. Stephens, respectively).

There is evidence that scattering may also play a role in producing polarization in other sources, including Cepheus A HW2 (Fernández-López et al. 2016) and HD 145257 (Kataoka et al. 2016b) at (sub)millimetre, NGC 1333 IRAS 4A at centimetre (Cox et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2016b), and AB Aur in mid-IR (Li et al. 2016). If dust scattering really contributes significantly to the observed disc polarization at (sub)millimetre and perhaps even centimetre wavelengths, the implication would be far-reaching: it would provide direct evidence for grain growth, to sizes of order 100 μm or larger (Kataoka et al. 2015; see also Pohl et al. 2016), which is the first step towards the formation of planetesimals and ultimately planets. However, although the physics of dust scattering-induced polarization appears sound, whether it indeed contributes significantly to the observed disc polarization is still not completely certain. For example, in the case of HL Tau, Matsakos, Tzeferacos & Königl (2016) shows that magnetically aligned grains may still be able to explain the observation if the disc magnetic field is not dominated by a toroidal magnetic field, but rather has a substantial radial component from, e.g. centrifugal disc winds. The situation is further complicated by the possibility that large (non-spherical) grains may be aligned with respect to the direction of the anisotropy in the disc radiation field rather than the magnetic field (Tazaki, Lazarian & Nomura 2017). These uncertainties highlight the need for finding additional distinguishing features of scattering-induced disc polarization. Such features are required in order to use the disc polarization to probe the grain growth and/or magnetic field with confidence.

In this paper, we explore how the optical depth affects the disc polarization, focusing on dust scattering. The primary motivation comes from the fact that circumstellar discs tend to be optically thick at relatively short (sub)millimetre wavelengths. For example, the famous HL Tau disc, for which multiwavelength polarization data have been taken by ALMA, is known to be optically thick at ALMA Band 7 (0.87 mm), especially within a radius of ~50 au (Carrasco-González et al. 2016). This is likely true for the disc around massive protostar HH 80-81 as well, for which polarization is also detected by ALMA (Girart, in preparation). Such optically thick discs were not treated in our previous semi-analytical work on dust scattering, which was focused on the effects of disc inclination in the optically and geometrically thin limit. Here, we extend the treatment to include both a finite optical depth and a finite thickness for the emitting and scattering dust grains in the disc. We find that, in an optically thick disc of a finite angular thickness in (vertical) dust distribution that is inclined significantly to the line of sight, the polarization pattern becomes asymmetric, with the near-side of the disc significantly brighter than the far-side in polarized intensity. As we will show later, the near–far side asymmetry is a simple consequence of the fact that, in an optically thick disc, the light detected by an observer comes mostly from the surface layer of the disc, which is inclined to the line of sight by a larger angle on the near-side than on the far-side (see Fig. 5 below for a cartoon illustration). This generic asymmetry, if detected, would not only add weight to the dust scattering interpretation of disc polarization, but also provide evidence that the large grains (∼100 μm) responsible for the scattering are not completely settled to the mid-plane.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We will start with an analytical illustration of the optical depth effects in a one-dimensional (1D) plane-parallel slab in Section 2, highlighting the difference between the polarization from scattering and from direct emission from aligned grains. The analytical results lay the foundation for interpreting the results obtained numerically under more complicated geometries. This is followed by radiative transfer calculations to quantify the optical depth effects on the scattering-induced polarization, especially the near–far side asymmetry in an inclined disc, in Section 3. We discuss the dependence of the near–far side asymmetry on the dust settling and observational evidence that large grains are less settled in younger discs in Section 4, and the use of the near–far side asymmetry to distinguish the scattering-produced disc polarization from those from either magnetically or radiatively aligned grains in Section 5. We conclude in Section 6 with our main results.

2 ANALYTICAL ILLUSTRATION OF OPTICAL DEPTH EFFECTS

In order to illustrate how the optical depth affects the polarization analytically, we consider a slab of dust grains that is infinite in the x- and y-direction but has a finite thickness in the z-direction. The grains are assumed to be isothermal and uniformly distributed within the slab. Although our emphasis is on the scattering-produced polarization, we will discuss in this section the polarization produced by direct emission from aligned (non-spherical) grains as well, in order to contrast the optical depth effects in these two competing mechanisms.

2.1 Polarization from scattering

For simplicity, we will consider Rayleigh scattering by spherical grains in this subsection. We denote the total optical depth of the slab along the z-direction by τ_{max}. The optical depth τ is defined as dτ = nC_{ext}ds, where s is the distance along the light path, n the number density of the grains and C_{ext} the extinction cross-section. The radiative transfer equation for the Stokes parameters vector S = (I, Q, U, V) can be written as (see e.g. Tsang, Kong & Shin 1985, Chapter 3)

\[
\frac{dS}{ds} = -nC_{ext}S + nC_{abs}\begin{pmatrix} R_{0}(T) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + n \int dΩ\mathbf{M}(Ω)\hat{Z}(Θ)S_{in},
\]

where C_{abs} is the absorption cross-section, and \(\hat{Z}(Θ)\) is the scattering phase matrix, which takes only one argument, the scattering angle \(Θ\) between the incident and scattered light, because of the assumed spherical shape for the grains. The rotation matrix \(\mathbf{M}(φ)\) transforms Stokes parameters from the scattering plane coordinate – the coordinate system used for Rayleigh scattering – to the lab frame. \(S_{in}\) is the Stokes parameters vector for the incident light,
the second term. We will first consider an optically thick slab with the radiation coming from a single scattering particle located at a vertical optical depth having \( \tau < 1 \). Roughly speaking, the incident radiation as seen by this particle will reside within a sphere of radius corresponding to an optical depth of unity (represented by the circle in Fig. 1), and if this sphere is centred on a particle within the optically thin region of the slab then a portion of the sphere will stick out above the upper surface. The net polarization of the scattered light from this particle will then be determined by the net polarization of the incident radiation field it sees from this partially filled sphere of material.

To determine what this incident polarization will be, let us first imagine that this sphere were completely filled with thermally emitting dust grains. If this were the case then the radiation field incident on the central scattering particle would be isotropic, and it would thus retain no net polarization after being scattered by that particle. However, in the actual setup shown in Fig. 1, a portion of the sphere (a ‘cap’, represented by the white unshaded segment) lies above the surface of the slab and does not contain any emitting dust grains. The net polarization from this partially filled sphere will then be equal to what we would expect from a completely filled sphere (i.e. zero) minus whatever would have been produced by the missing cap of material. The radiation from the latter would primarily be directed along the \(-z\) direction. Because the polarization direction in Rayleigh scattering is perpendicular to both the incident radiation direction and the scattered radiation direction, the radiation from the missing material in the cap would be preferentially polarized along the \(y\)-axis (i.e. the major axis direction as seen in the plane of the sky) after being scattered by the particle at the centre of the coordinate system. Thus, after subtracting this component from the otherwise unpolarized scattered light that we would have from a completely filled sphere, the net result is a net polarization (for the setup shown in Fig. 1) that is along the minor axis direction. This is a key feature that we will use to interpret the numerical results presented in Section 3 below.

To calculate this degree of polarization along the minor axis direction in an inclined slab in a quantitative way, we need to solve the integro-differential equation (1) iteratively. For illustrative purposes, we will make the standard single scattering approximation, and we will often refer to the setup shown in Fig. 1) that is along the minor axis direction. This is a key feature that we will use to interpret the numerical results presented in Section 3 below.

To determine what this incident polarization will be, let us first imagine that this sphere were completely filled with thermally emitting dust grains. If this were the case then the radiation field incident on the central scattering particle would be isotropic, and it would thus retain no net polarization after being scattered by that particle. However, in the actual setup shown in Fig. 1, a portion of the sphere (a ‘cap’, represented by the white unshaded segment) lies above the surface of the slab and does not contain any emitting dust grains. The net polarization from this partially filled sphere will then be equal to what we would expect from a completely filled sphere (i.e. zero) minus whatever would have been produced by the missing cap of material. The radiation from the latter would primarily be directed along the \(-z\) direction. Because the polarization direction in Rayleigh scattering is perpendicular to both the incident radiation direction and the scattered radiation direction, the radiation from the missing material in the cap would be preferentially polarized along the \(y\)-axis (i.e. the major axis direction as seen in the plane of the sky) after being scattered by the particle at the centre of the coordinate system. Thus, after subtracting this component from the otherwise unpolarized scattered light that we would have from a completely filled sphere, the net result is a net polarization (for the setup shown in Fig. 1) that is along the minor axis direction. This is a key feature that we will use to interpret the numerical results presented in Section 3 below.

To calculate this degree of polarization along the minor axis direction in an inclined slab in a quantitative way, we need to solve the integro-differential equation (1) iteratively. For illustrative purposes, we will make the standard single scattering approximation, which is also assumed below in Section 3.1 but will be checked in Section 3.2 using Monte Carlo simulations that include multiple scattering. Under this approximation, the incident radiation \( S_{\text{in}} \) on a scattering particle located at a vertical optical depth \( \tau \) below the surface is unpolarized and is given by the incident unpolarized intensity \( I_{\text{in}} \):

\[
\frac{I_{\text{in}}(\theta, \tau)}{(C_{\text{abs}}/C_{\text{ext}})B_\nu(T)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1 - \exp\left( -\frac{\tau}{\cos\theta} \right) & 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
1 & \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \pi
\end{array} \right.
\]  \tag{2}

where \( \theta \) is the polar angle measured from the \(z\)-axis. All other components of Stokes parameters of the incident light are 0. With \( S_{\text{in}} = (I_{\text{in}}, 0, 0, 0) \), we can solve equation (1) numerically. For a semi-infinite slab (\( \tau_{\text{max}} \to \infty \)) inclined by a representative angle \( \theta = 45^\circ \) to the line of sight, we have the Stokes \( Q \):

\[
Q_\infty = 0.012 \times \frac{C_{\text{abs}}}{C_{\text{ext}}} \times \frac{C_{\text{abs}}}{C_{\text{ext}}} B_\nu(T),
\]  \tag{3}

where \( Q \) is positive along the minor axis direction in the plane of the sky, and

\[
I_{\infty} = \left( 1 + 0.81 \times \frac{C_{\text{abs}}}{C_{\text{ext}}} \right) \frac{C_{\text{abs}}}{C_{\text{ext}}} B_\nu(T).
\]  \tag{4}

In the total intensity shown in equation (4), the first term comes from direct thermal emission. It differs from the Planck function...
The polarization degree depends only on the ratio of $Q_{\infty}$ shown in equation (3). The Stokes $U$ and $V$ are expected to be zero. If we take a ratio of $Q_{\infty}$ and the second term of $I_{\infty}$, we get 1.47 per cent. This is the polarization degree of the purely scattered light. It is much smaller than that in the geometrically and optically thin disc cases, which can be as high as $\sim$20 per cent (Yang et al. 2016a). The actual polarization degree (relative to the total intensity, not just the scattered intensity) can be expressed as

$$p_{sc,\infty} = \frac{0.012 C_{\text{ext}}}{C_{\text{ext}} + 0.81 C_{\text{sc}}}. \quad (5)$$

We can see that, for a given inclination angle $i$, the polarization degree depends only on the ratio of $C_{\text{ext}}/C_{\text{sc}}$, and that it reaches a maximum value when $C_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow C_{\text{sc}}$, i.e. the extinction is dominated by scattering rather than absorption. In this case, we have $p_{sc,\infty} = 0.66$ per cent for $i = 45^\circ$. In Fig. 2, we plot the maximum degree of polarization for a semi-infinite slab as a function of the inclination angle under the single scattering approximation. It is clear that the degree of polarization increases with the inclination angle $i$ except when the line of sight becomes so inclined that it is nearly parallel to the slab. The increase comes about because the light coming from the $y$-axis direction in the slab is always scattered by $90^\circ$ by a particle located in the optically thin surface layer (where $\tau < 1$) into the line of sight (and it is thus full polarized for Rayleigh scattering) while that coming from the $x$-axis direction is scattered by an angle that becomes closer to 0 or 180$^\circ$ (and thus less polarized) as the inclination angle $i$ increases. This is the same argument that we used in Yang et al. (2016a) to explain the increase of polarization degree with inclination angle in a geometrically and optically thin disc. The difference is that this trend continues all the way to $i = 90^\circ$ in Yang et al. (2016a) but not here, because of optical depth effects: the scattered light takes an increasingly longer path out of the slab and thus gets more attenuated as the line of sight becomes more parallel to the surface of the slab.

We can generalize equation (2) to the case of a finite slab of (vertical) optical depth $\tau_{\text{max}}$ to

$$I_{\text{abs}}(\theta, \phi, \tau, \tau_{\text{max}}) = \begin{cases} 1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{\tau}{\cos(\theta)} \right] & 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{\tau_{\text{max}}}{\cos(\theta)} \right] & \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \pi \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

With the incident radiation field specified, it is again straightforward to integrate the radiative transfer equation (1) to determine the degree of polarization viewed from any inclination angle $i$. As an example, we show in Fig. 3 the degree of polarization as a function of the slab optical depth $\tau_{\text{max}}$ for a representative angle $i = 45^\circ$. For an optically thin slab with $\tau_{\text{max}} \ll 1$, the degree of polarization increases with the optical depth of the slab for a fixed inclination angle. This is expected because, as already pointed out in Yang et al. (2016a), a higher slab optical depth $\tau_{\text{max}}$ means more particles emitting more photons to be scattered and more scattering particles, the combination of which yields a larger increase in the intensity of the scattered light compared to that of the thermally emitted (non-polarized) light. In the opposite limit of a highly opaque slab ($\tau_{\text{max}} \gg 1$), the polarization degree asymptotes to a constant value, which is 0.66 per cent for the inclination angle adopted here ($i = 45^\circ$). Such opaque slabs are effectively semi-infinite, with a polarization degree approaching that shown in Fig. 2. Note that the polarization degree peaks for a translucent slab with $\tau_{\text{max}} \approx 1$, at a value of 1.44 per cent, which is significantly above the asymptotic value. One can understand this result qualitatively with the help of a sphere of unit optical depth as before: most of the polarization observed outside the slab (from above, say) comes from the scattering particles located in the optically thin layer below the surface, and the unit optical depth sphere centred on such a particle would stick out of both the top and bottom surfaces of the translucent slab, leading to two missing caps (instead of just one) and thus a more anisotropic incident radiation field and a more polarized scattered light compared to the semi-infinite case.
2.2 Polarization from direct emission

So far we have only considered spherical dust grains. Light emitted by such dust grains is not polarized, which is also true for randomly oriented non-spherical dust grains. Direct emission from aligned non-spherical grains produces polarization that depends on the optical depth very differently from that from scattering. Non-spherical grains tend to rotate with their shortest principle axis parallel to the external ‘alignment axis’, which is usually taken to be the magnetic field direction, although other possibilities exist (e.g. Tazaki et al. 2017). Because of their linear dichroism, such grains have different optical depths for the light polarized parallel and perpendicular to the alignment axis, which are denoted by $\tau_\parallel$ and $\tau_\perp$, respectively. In the simplest case of uniform grain alignment orientation and isothermal condition, the expected polarization is (Hildebrand et al. 2000; Andersson et al. 2015)

$$p_{\text{emit}} = -\frac{e^{-\tau} \sinh(p_0 \tau)}{1 - e^{-\tau} \cosh(p_0 \tau)},$$

(7)

where $\tau = (\tau_\perp + \tau_\parallel)/2$ is the (averaged) optical depth along line of sight, and the sign of the polarization degree is defined such that positive means polarization parallel to the alignment axis. Note that $p_{\text{emit}}$ is always negative, which means that the polarization from direct emission in this isothermal case is, as expected, perpendicular to the alignment axis. The parameter $p_0$ is defined as

$$p_0 = \frac{\tau_\perp - \tau_\parallel}{\tau_\perp + \tau_\parallel},$$

(8)

whose value depends on the intrinsic properties of the grain. In the slab model of a finite thickness that we discussed above, the total optical depth along line of sight is $\tau_{\text{max}} / \cos(i)$, where $i$ is the inclination angle. So we have

$$p_{\text{emit}} = -\frac{e^{-\tau_{\text{max}} / \cos(i)} \sinh(p_0 \tau_{\text{max}} / \cos(i))}{1 - e^{-\tau_{\text{max}} / \cos(i)} \cosh(p_0 \tau_{\text{max}} / \cos(i))}.$$  

(9)

It is clear that the polarization from direct emission is $p_0$ when $\tau_{\text{max}} \ll 1$ and it decreases monotonically as the optical depth increases, approaching 0 exponentially for $\tau_{\text{max}} \gg 1$. This behaviour is very different from the polarization produced by scattering, which increases with the optical depth $\tau_{\text{max}}$ of the slab initially, peaking around $\tau_{\text{max}} \sim 1$, before asymptoting to a finite value. The polarization fraction for emission from aligned grains in the optically thin limit, $p_0$, depends on many factors, including grain shape, composition and alignment efficiency. It can be quite high on parsec scales or larger (up to $\sim 20$ per cent; Planck Collaboration XIX 2015), although the (sub)millimetre polarization fraction detected on the scale of protoplanetary discs is typically of the order of a few percent or less (e.g. Stephens et al. 2014). For illustration purposes, we adopt $p_0 = 3$ per cent in Fig. 3, where the polarization from direct emission by an isothermal slab is plotted as a function of $\tau_{\text{max}}$ together with that produced by scattering for the same slab inclination of $i = 45^\circ$. Clearly, the polarization is more likely dominated by scattering than direct emission if the optical depth of the slab is large and the temperature is spatially constant.1

For an optically thick slab with a vertical temperature gradient, the above result no longer holds. As an illustration, we adopt a temperature structure $T(\xi) = T_0 + a \xi$, where both $T_0$ and $a$ are constant

1 The optical depth at which the polarization fractions from emission by aligned grains and scattering become equal depends on the choice of $p_0$. For example, its value would change from $\tau_{\text{max}} \approx 1$ to about 4 when $p_0$ is increased from 3 per cent to 20 per cent.

and $\xi$ is the distance along line of sight with $\xi = 0$ corresponding to the surface of the slab facing the observer. For a semi-infinite slab (with $\xi$ going from 0 to $\infty$), the standard Eddington–Barbier relation in radiative transfer yields the intensity at the surface along a given direction, which is equal to the source function at an optical depth of 1 along that direction. However, since the opacity is different for light polarized parallel and perpendicular to the axis of grain alignment, differently polarized light reaches optical depth of 1 at different physical depths. In this non-isothermal case, the polarization degree is given by

$$p_{\text{emit}} = -\frac{\frac{1}{2} a(\alpha_\parallel - \alpha_\perp)}{T_0 \alpha_\parallel + \frac{1}{2} a(\alpha_\perp + \alpha_\parallel)},$$

(10)

where we have assumed Rayleigh–Jeans limit for the thermal emission and $\alpha(\parallel) \equiv \tau_{\parallel} / \xi$ is the absorption coefficient for light with polarization parallel (perpendicular) to the alignment axis. In general, dust grains are aligned with their long axis perpendicular to the alignment axis, so that $\alpha_\perp > \alpha_\parallel$. As a result, the above expression gives a positive polarization degree, which means that the polarization is parallel to the alignment axis for a positive temperature gradient $a$. This is the opposite of the isothermal case, where the polarization is perpendicular to the alignment axis (see equation (7)). In the case of grains aligned by a magnetic field, the alignment axis will be the field direction. Although the general expectation is that the thermal dust emission is polarized perpendicular to the field direction, equation (10) demonstrates that this may not be true in the presence of a temperature gradient along the line of sight. This simple exercise drives home the point that, in optically thick regions, the interpretation of polarization from aligned grains is not as straightforward as in the familiar optically thin limit, and can depend strongly on temperature distribution. We will postpone a more detailed exploration of this important point to a future investigation.

3 NEAR–FAR SIDE ASYMMETRY IN THE POLARIZATION OF INCLINED DISCS

In this section, we aim to quantify the effects of optical depth on the scattering-induced polarization in an inclined disc of young stellar object, focusing on the difference between the near and far side of the disc. For simplicity, we will assume spherical grains as in Section 2.1, and postpone a treatment of scattering by aligned non-spherical grains to a future investigation. We will solve the transfer equation (1) for the polarized light in two complementary ways: using formal solution under the approximation of single scattering (Section 3.1) and through Monte Carlo simulations (Section 3.2). The former is conceptually straightforward and mathematically simple, involving only direct integration along straight lines. It is well suited for illustrating the basic effects of optical depth. The latter is more general, with multiple scattering taken into account self-consistently, but produces noisier results that are harder to interpret physically.

3.1 Formal solution under single scattering approximation

In the presence of scattering, the radiative transfer equation (1) is an integro-differential equation that is difficult to solve in general. The solution is simplified by the single scattering approximation, where the incident radiation $S_{\text{in}}$ to be scattered by dust grains at any location is assumed to come solely from direct thermal emission. Since the dust grains are assumed to be spherical and thus emit...
only unpolarized light, $S_m$ can be written as $(I_m, 0, 0, 0)$, with $I_m$ determined by

$$\frac{dI_m}{d\nu} = -nC_{ext}I_m + nC_{abs}B_\nu(T),$$

(11)

which has the following formal solution:

$$I_m = \int_0^\infty e^{-\int_\nu^\infty nC_{ext}d\nu'} n(s')C_{abs}B_\nu(T(s')) \, ds'.$$

(12)

In the above expression, we have explicitly written the dependence of number density $n$ and temperature $T$ on the location, described by the distance $s'$ from the scatterer along a given direction $n'$. The cross-sections $C_{ext}$ and $C_{abs}$ are taken to be constant for simplicity, although they could vary spatially in general, due to, e.g. variation in grain size distribution. Such additional complications will be explored in future investigations.

Once the incident radiation $S_m$ is determined, we can compute through straightforward integration the Stokes parameters for the scattered light $S_{sca}$ (the third term on the right-hand side of equation 1) which, together with the (non-polarized) thermal dust emission, serves as the source for the formal solution that determines the Stokes parameters along any line of sight through the disc.

### 3.1.1 Disc model

For illustration purposes, we will adopt the disc model used by Kwon, Looney & Mundy (2011) to fit the millimetre observations of the HL Tau disc. It is a standard viscous accretion disc model with a density profile for dust grains only (assuming a dust-to-mass ratio of 100):

$$\rho(R, z) = \rho_0 \left( \frac{R}{R_s} \right)^{-p} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{R}{R_s} \right)^{3.5-p/q/2} \right] \times \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{z}{H(R)} \right)^2 \right],$$

(13)

where $R$ and $z$ are the coordinates for a cylindrical coordinate system, $R_s$ a characteristic radius of the disc (dust) density distribution and $H(R)$ the (dust) scaleheight at a radius $R$, determined by hydrostatic equilibrium in the vertical direction in the case of no settling (the effects of dust settling will be discussed in Section 4 below). The scaleheight scales with radius as $H(R) = H_0 (R/R_s)^{1.5-q/2}$.

The $q$ parameter is the temperature power law index $T \propto R_q$. To account for the surface heating by stellar irradiation approximately, a two-component temperature distribution is adopted, with $T(R, z) = WT_m(R) + (1 - W)T_e(r)$, where $T_m(R) = T_0(R_0/R)^q$ and $T_e(r) = T_0(T_{abs}/r)^q$ are the mid-plane and surface temperature distribution, respectively.

### Table 1. Parameters for the disc model.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| $R_s$     | 79 au |
| $p$       | 1.064 |
| $q$       | 0.43  |
| $T_0$     | 70 K  |
| $r_0$     | 10 au |
| $T_{abs}$ | 400 K |

### Table 2. Different models used in this paper.

| Model name | $a$ ($\mu$m) | $\rho_0$ (g cm$^{-3}$) | $H_0$ (au) |
|------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|
| A          | 100          | $1.124 \times 10^{-16}$| 16.8       |
| B          | 100          | $1.124 \times 10^{-15}$| 16.8       |
| C          | 100          | $1.124 \times 10^{-14}$| 16.8       |
| D          | 37.5         | $1.124 \times 10^{-14}$| 16.8       |
| E          | 10           | $1.124 \times 10^{-14}$| 16.8       |
| F          | 100          | $1.124 \times 10^{-13}$| 1.68       |

consider a range of values for $\rho_0$, including an extreme case with $\rho_0 = 1.124 \times 10^{-14}$ g cm$^{-3}$, corresponding to a disc mass of about 1 solar mass (motivated by the ALMA polarimetric observations of the HH80-81/IRAS 18162–2048 massive protostar, Girart, in preparation, see discussions in Section 4.2.2), where the optical depth effects are most apparent.

For grain properties, we adopt the spherical dust grain model used in Kataoka et al. (2015) and Yang et al. (2016a). It is a composite grain model with abundances consistent with Pollack et al. (1994), which are composed of 8 per cent silicate, 62 per cent water ice and 30 per cent organic by volume. For illustration purposes, we will first consider single-sized spherical dust grains with radius 100 $\mu$m to maximize the effects of scattering at 1 mm; smaller grains that scatter millimetre light less efficiently are considered in Section 3.2. At 1 mm wavelength, the scattering and absorption opacities are $\kappa_{sca} = 6.35 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ and $\kappa_{abs} = 0.738 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, respectively, based on Mie theory (Bohren & Huffman 1983).

#### 3.1.2 Results

In this subsection, we present and discuss the results of integrating the formal solution numerically, focusing on the effects of the optical depth in a disc inclined to the line of sight by 45$^\circ$, similar to the value obtained for the HL Tau disc (Kwon et al. 2011; ALMA Partnership et al. 2015). To make connection with previous (semi-analytical) work, we start with an optically thin case, with the density scale $\rho_0$ set to $1.13 \times 10^{-16}$ g cm$^{-3}$ (Model A in Table 2). This corresponds to a characteristic absorption optical depth vertically through the disc at the characteristic radius $R_s = 79$ au of $\tau_{abs} = 0.0136$ at 1 mm wavelength; the scattering optical depth is larger ($\tau_{sca} = 0.117$), but still much less than unity. In this case, the total intensity, shown in Fig. 4(a), appears symmetric between the near- and far-side (to the left and right of the major axis, respectively), as expected for an optically thin disc. The polarization pattern, shown in Figs 4(b) and (c), is very similar to that obtained by Yang et al. (2016a) semi-analytically based on the simplification that the disc is both optically and geometrically thin (see their fig. 7). Specifically, the distribution of the polarized intensity is elongated along the major axis, and the polarization vectors in the central region are oriented roughly along the minor axis. As discussed in depth in Yang et al. (2016a), both of these features are the consequences of a simple geometric effect: the incident light along the major axis is scattered by 90$^\circ$ into the line of sight and is thus maximally polarized.

The polarization degree (about 1.5 per cent here) is also quantitatively consistent with that in Yang et al. (2016a) (slightly bigger than 1 per cent) for the following reason. The grain size $a$ adopted here is about three times larger (100 $\mu$m versus 36 $\mu$m), which makes

We only consider single-sized grains in this work. In the limit of Rayleigh scattering under consideration, grains of a range of sizes can be represented by single-sized grains of a certain (equivalent) radius.
the scattering opacity ($\propto a^3$ for Rayleigh scattering) and thus the polarization degree a factor of about $3^3 = 27$ larger. On the other hand, the density scale $\rho_0$ for this model is about 5 per cent of that in Yang et al. (2016a), which reduces the scattering opacity by a factor of about 20. As a result, we expect the polarization here to be $\sim 27/20 = 1.35$ times that in Yang et al., which is close to our result. The polarization pattern starts to deviate more from that of the optically and geometrically thin case as the optical depth increases, as we show next.

The optical depth can be varied in several ways, including through the disc density, grain properties and the observing wavelength.

Here, we will focus on the effects of varying the optical depth through the density scale $\rho_0$, increasing it by a factor of 10 (Model B in Table 2) and $10^2$ (Model C), respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 3, which contrasts the total intensity distributions and polarization patterns for the three cases of different densities. In Model B where the density is increased by a factor of 10 over that of Model A, the characteristic absorption optical depth remains well below unity ($\tau_{c,\text{abs}} = 0.136$), although this is no longer true for the scattering optical depth ($\tau_{c,\text{sca}} = 1.17$). The higher optical depth leads to a drastic asymmetry in the distribution of the polarized intensity, with the near side (to the right of the major axis) much brighter than...
the far side (to the left of the major axis; see Fig. 4e). This happens despite the fact that the total intensity $I$ is slightly higher on the far side than the near side (Fig. 4d), because of the disc geometry, especially the finite angular thickness of the disc (see Fig. 6 and associated discussion below). It follows immediately that the light from the near side is much more polarized than that from the far side, as shown in Fig. 4(f), where the polarization vectors are plotted with the vector length proportional to the polarization degree. Note that most polarization vectors in the central region are no longer parallel to the minor axis as in the optically and geometrically thin case (Yang et al. 2016a): they become significantly rotated with respect to the minor axis direction. The rotation is especially evident at locations along the major axis where the polarization orientation rotates counterclockwise from the minor axis in one direction in the top hemisphere and in the opposite (clockwise) direction in the bottom. This bifurcation in polarization orientation is a major consequence of the higher optical depth in a disc of significant angular thickness. It becomes even more apparent in Model C, where the disc density is increased by another factor of 10 (see Fig. 4i), so that both the absorption and scattering optical depths at the characteristic radius exceed unity ($\tau_{\text{c,abs}} = 1.36$ and $\tau_{\text{c,sca}} = 11.7$). In this densest disc that is optically thick to both absorption and scattering, the polarized intensity becomes ‘kidney’ shaped (Fig. 4h), showing extreme near–far side asymmetry. The asymmetry in total intensity also becomes more prominent (Fig. 4g).

The near–far side asymmetry is further quantified in Fig. 5, where the polarization degree along the minor axis is plotted against the distance from the centre for all three models in the top panel. A negative value for the polarization degree means that the polarization is along the major axis rather than the minor axis direction. It is clear that the polarization degree is very symmetric in the most optically thin Model A. As the optical depth increases, the polarization degree on the near side of the disc (the part with positive distance from the centre) stays high; indeed, it becomes larger than the optically thin case at some locations. The polarization degree on the far side (the part with negative distance from the centre), on the other hand, is significantly reduced, by a factor of $\sim 2.5$ for the moderately optically thick Model B and $\sim 4$ for the most optically thick Model C. This increase in asymmetry with optical depth is shown even more explicitly in the bottom panel of Fig. 5, where we plot the difference between the polarization degrees at pairs of symmetric points on the minor axis against the distance from the centre. Note that the difference is smaller at larger distances, especially beyond the characteristic radius $R_c = 79$ au, where the density (and thus column density) drops precipitously. This trend is consistent with the expectation that the asymmetry is controlled mainly by the optical depth for a given (dust) disc geometry.

We can understand the role of the optical depth in breaking the near–far side symmetry using the semi-infinite analytical model presented in Section 2.1 in the following way. In the case of a highly optically thick disc, the light observed along any line of sight comes from a thin layer near the disc surface, making the emitting region effectively plane-parallel and semi-infinite locally. In this case, the degree of polarization produced by scattering is sensitive to the inclination of the local disc surface to the line of sight, as illustrated in Fig. 2. This local inclination angle is different for the near and far side for any disc of a finite angular thickness, as illustrated in Fig. 6. Specifically, for a pair of points located symmetrically on either side of the centre along the minor axis, the inclination angle for the point on the near side ($\theta$' in the cartoon) is larger than that on the far side ($\theta$'). The larger inclination angle leads to a higher degree of polarization, unless the angle is close to 90°. In the latter case, the situation is more complicated, because the light on the near side would come increasingly from the outer (radial) edge of the disc rather than its (top) surface. Note that for the same symmetric pair of points, the one on the far side of the disc surface is closer to the star (see Fig. 6), and is thus brighter in total intensity $I$ because of a higher temperature. This naturally explains the near–far asymmetry in the total intensity, which is most prominent in the most optically thick case and is in a sense opposite to that of the asymmetry in the polarized intensity (see Fig. 4). In other words, the near side of an optically thick disc has a higher polarized intensity despite the fact that its total intensity is lower. These gradients in intensity and polarized intensity together result in much higher polarization fractions on the near side of the disc than the far side of the disc.

Besides the near–far side asymmetry in polarized intensity, another important optical depth effect is the bifurcation in polarization orientation along the major axis. In the geometrically and optically thin case discussed in Yang et al. (2016a), the polarization vectors at locations on the major axis are roughly uni-directional (parallel

**Figure 5.** Top panel shows the polarization degree along the minor axis for the three models with different density scales, with the near side to the right of the central vertical line and the far side to the left. Positive polarization degree indicates a polarization along minor axis, whereas a negative value corresponds to a polarization along major axis. Bottom panel shows the difference in polarization degree for pairs of points along minor axis plotted against distance from the centre of the disc for the same three models. Note the increasing near–far side asymmetry as the density (and thus optical depth) increases.
Scattering-produced polarization

Figure 6. Illustration of the ‘local inclination angle’ of the disc surface to the line of sight. The grey shaded region represents the disc. The line of sight makes a larger angle to the normal of the disc surface on the near side (denoted by $i$) than that on the far side ($i'$). This difference in local inclination angle is the main reason for the near–far side asymmetry in the polarized intensity for optically thick discs.

Figure 7. Bifurcation in polarization orientation along the major axis. Plotted are the offset angle $\theta_{\text{offset}}$ between the polarization vectors and the direction of the minor axis as a function of distance from the centre along the major axis for the three models with different density scales (Models A–C). The blue dashed line shows the expectation based on the shape of the disc surface at one scaleheight.

at the intersection of the plane of the sky and the plane containing the normal of the local disc surface and the line of sight. For a disc of finite angular thickness, this local minor axis is different from the global minor axis, which is defined by the normal of the disc mid-plane (or the rotation axis of the disc). This is especially true for a flared disc, where the normal of the local disc surface becomes increasingly misaligned with respect to the global (rotation) axis as the radius increases. The increasing misalignment between the local disc normal and the global axis leads to an increasingly large offset angle $\theta_{\text{offset}}$ between the local minor axis and the global minor axis in the plane of the sky, especially at locations along the global major axis. This is illustrated in Fig. 7, where the variation of the offset angle $\theta_{\text{offset}}$ is plotted as a function of radius (the dashed blue line) as one moves away from the centre along the global major axis on a surface one scaleheight $H(R)$ above the disc mid-plane. The curve agrees remarkably well with that of the most optically thick case inside the characteristic radius $R_c = 79$ au, which supports our geometric interpretation of the offset. Beyond $R_c$, the column density drops quickly, making the disc increasingly optically thin and the surface of one scaleheight increasingly less representative of the $\tau = 1$ surface. The disagreement beyond $R_c$ is therefore to be expected; it strengthens (rather than weakens) our interpretation.

Note that, in Fig. 7, we chose to plot the offset angle $\theta_{\text{offset}}$ only along the major axis, even though the effect is not limited to these locations. There are two reasons for this choice. First, the polarization orientations there are all along the minor axis (i.e. $\theta_{\text{offset}} = 0$) in optically thin limit, which makes it easier to highlight the optical depth effects. Just as importantly, it is easier to check the results with simple geometric disc surface models on the major axis than elsewhere, as we have done in Fig. 7 (the dashed blue line). We reiterate that the polarization orientations on the major axis have mirror symmetry with respect to the minor axis (assuming an intrinsically axisymmetric disc), with the polarization line segments rotating away from the direction of the minor axis by an acute angle counterclockwise in one hemisphere and clockwise in the other (see Figs. 4f and i). If such a unique pattern of bifurcation in polarization orientation is observed, we can potentially infer the dependence of

...
3.2 Monte Carlo radiative transfer and the effects of multiple scattering

So far, we have determined the disc polarization by solving the radiative transfer equation for polarized light using the formal solution under the single scattering approximation. Strictly speaking, the approximation is only valid when the disc is optically thin to scattering. Otherwise, multiple scattering may be important. In this subsection, we gauge the potential effects of multiple scattering on the disc polarization, especially the near–far side asymmetry, through Monte Carlo simulation using the publicly available code RADMC-3D. For the three models presented in this subsection, we allow photons to be polarized between scattering with the scattering phase matrix calculated with Mie theory (Bohren & Huffman 1983). Each model uses 1.28 billion photon packages.

To facilitate comparison with the calculations discussed above, we will adopt the same physical model for the disc as before, focusing in particular on the highest density case of \( \rho_0 = 1.13 \times 10^{-14} \) g cm\(^{-3} \), where the potential effects of multiple scattering are expected to be the largest. We will consider a set of three models with three different (spherical) grain sizes, 10 \( \mu m \) (Model E in Table 2), 37.5 \( \mu m \) (Model D) and also the 100 \( \mu m \) (Model C) as before. The grain sizes are chosen so that the scattering opacities span a large range in value (three orders of magnitude), although the absorption opacities remain rather constant (they differ by \( \sim 40 \) per cent or less). In other words, these models have similar absorption optical depths but vastly different scattering optical depths, with values at the characteristic radius \( R_c \) of \( \tau_{c,sca} = 1.04 \times 10^{-2}, 0.56 \) and 11.7, respectively. The smallest grain size is chosen to provide an independent check on the results of the Monte Carlo simulations, which should be close to those obtained through the formal solution under the single scattering approximation since the scattering optical depth is much smaller than unity throughout the disc. In the top panels of Fig. 8, we plot the distributions of the polarized intensity of the 10 \( \mu m \) grain case (Model E) computed from these two methods side by side. We can see that they do look very similar qualitatively (and quantitatively, see below), which adds confidence to the results from both methods. Interestingly, there is a significant near–far side asymmetry even in this case of very small scattering optical depth. The implication is that a high absorption optical depth is sufficient by itself to generate a strong near–far side asymmetry. This is not surprising since a large absorption optical depth is enough to limit the source region of the polarized (scattered) light to the surface of the disc facing the observer, which has a near–far side asymmetry as viewed by the observer (see illustration in Fig. 6). However, in this particular case, the degree of polarization in the region of most interest (within the disc characteristic radius \( R_c \)) is of the order of \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \), which is well below the detection limit of even ALMA.

To obtain a higher degree of polarization, we first increase the grain size to 37.5 \( \mu m \) (Model D), which corresponds to an increase of the scattering optical depth by a factor of \( \sim 50 \). An increase of the polarization degree by a similar factor is expected (to about \( \sim 1.0 \) per cent). The actual polarization degree is somewhat less, reaching a value about half of the expected one. The discrepancy is most likely due to the fact that the disc is starting to become optically thick to scattering at the characteristic radius (with \( \tau_{c,sca} = 0.56 \)) and more so at small radii. As the grain size increases further to 100 \( \mu m \) (Model C), the scattering opacity depth increases by another factor of \( \sim 20 \), to \( \tau_{c,sca} = 11.7 \). Even in this most extreme case where the disc is optically thick to both absorption and scattering, the spatial structure of the polarization patterns from the two methods (the formal solution and RADMC-3D) remains qualitatively similar, as shown in the bottom panels in Fig. 8. In particular, the inclusion of multiple scattering in the RADMC-3D case does not erase the near–far asymmetry in the distribution of the polarized intensity; the ‘kidney’-shaped distribution broadly resembles that obtained with formal solution without multiple scattering.

To compare the results from the two methods more quantitatively, we plot in Fig. 9 the distributions of the total intensity \( I \) along both the major and minor axis (top panels), the polarization degree \( p \) along the minor axis (middle) and the offset angle \( \theta_{offset} \) between the polarization orientation and minor axis along the major axis (bottom). The three columns show models with grain sizes of 10 \( \mu m \) (Model E), 37.5 \( \mu m \) (Model D) and 100 \( \mu m \) (Model C), respectively. Red curves are from RADMC-3D and blue curves from the formal solution. It is clear that there is good agreement in the case of the smallest grain (the left-hand panels; optically thin to scattering) for all three plotted quantities (\( I, p \) and \( \theta_{offset} \)). Multiple scattering does have some effects on the polarization degree \( p \) for the case of intermediate grain size (middle column), increasing it by a factor of \( \sim 30–50 \) per cent (see the middle panel of the figure). As expected, it affects the case of the largest grain (and the highest scattering optical depth) the most. In particular, multiple scattering increases the total intensity along both the major and minor axes by up to a factor of \( \sim 4 \) compared to that obtained under the assumption of single scattering. This is because photons are heavily extincted due to high scattering optical depth, and the bulk of such extincted photons would reappear through the disc surface and be observed as (more polarized) scattered photons when multiple scattering is taken into account but not in the single scattering limit. The larger number of (polarized) scattered photons towards the observer naturally explains why the polarization degree is significantly higher with multiple scattering than without, especially on the near side of the inclined disc (see the middle-right panel). In any case, multiple scattering does not erase the near–far asymmetry; if anything, the asymmetry is enhanced by multiple scattering, especially in the case of larger grains. Moreover, multiple scattering events have little effect on the optical depth effect of bifurcation in polarization orientation along the major axis, as measured by the offset angle \( \theta_{offset} \), as shown in the bottom panels of Fig. 9. This is not too surprising because the orientation of the polarization is expected to be determined mainly by the projected normal direction of the local disc surface in the plane of the sky in optically thick regime (see Section 3.1.2 and Fig. 7), which is relatively unaffected by multiple scattering.

4 DISC POLARIZATION AS A PROBE OF DUST SETTLING

One of the most striking features of the scattering-induced polarization in an inclined, optically and geometrically thick dust disc is the near–far side asymmetry in polarized intensity. It comes about because (1) the observed photons come from the surface layers of the optically thick disc, and (2) the surface on the near-side is viewed more edge-on than that on the far-side because of the finite angular thickness of the (dust) disc (see Fig. 6). Here, we first demonstrate explicitly that the near–far side asymmetry disappears

\[ \text{RADMC-3D is available at http://www.ita.uni-heidelberg.de/~dullemond/software/radmc-3d}. \]
Figure 8. Comparison of the results from formal solution under single scattering approximation (left-hand panels) and Monte Carlo simulations including multiple scattering (right-hand panels). Plotted are the polarized intensity for a representative disc inclined by an angle $i = 45^\circ$ to the line of sight, with three different grain sizes: 10 $\mu$m (Model E; top panels), 37.5 $\mu$m (Model D; middle) and 100 $\mu$m (Model C; bottom). From top to bottom, the scattering optical depth at the characteristic radius $R_c$ are $1.04 \times 10^{-2}$, 0.56 and 11.7, respectively. Intensities are in unit of erg s$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Hz$^{-1}$. The colour scales were adjusted to best show the morphology of the polarized intensity.
in a geometrically thin dust disc (Section 4.1), and then discuss the potential of using the near–far side asymmetry as a probe of the thickness of the dust layer responsible for the scattering (i.e. dust settling; Section 4.2) and as a way to differentiate dust scattering from other mechanisms for producing disc polarization in (sub)millimetre (such as direct emission from magnetically or radiatively aligned grains, Section 5).

### 4.1 Dependence of near–far side asymmetry on dust settling

To illustrate the dependence of the near–far side asymmetry on the thickness of the layer of (large) grains responsible for the scattering, we repeat the most optically thick model discussed in Section 3.1 (Model C in Table 2) but with the (dust) scaleheight reduced by a factor of 10. The (dust) density is increased by a factor of 10 correspondingly, to keep the column density and thus the optical depth the same (Model F). The results are shown in Fig. 10, where the total intensity, polarized intensity and polarization vectors are plotted. They can be directly compared with the results shown in Figs 4(g), (h) and (i) for the corresponding thicker (dust) disc case (Model C). Several features are immediately apparent from the comparison. First, the two cases have similar scales for the total intensity, which is expected since they have the same distributions of column density and temperature. A major difference is that the disc is nearly symmetric in total intensity and polarized intensity in the geometrically thinner case (Model F) but significantly brighter in total intensity on the far-side and in polarized intensity on the near-side in the geometrically thicker case (Model C). This is not surprising because, as the (angular) thickness of the dust disc shrinks, the surfaces of the near and far sides of the disc become more...
symmetric with respect to the line of sight (see Fig. 6). Furthermore, both surfaces are closer to the disc mid-plane, reducing the difference between the global minor axis (defined by the disc axis or mid-plane) and the local minor axis on the disc surface facing the observer, which makes polarization vectors more aligned with the (global) minor axis, as shown in the right-hand panel of Fig. 10 (see Fig. 4h for comparison). In other words, the degree of bifurcation in polarization orientation along the major axis is also reduced for a geometrically thin disc. These differences can in principle provide a way to determine whether the large grains responsible for the scattering-induced polarization are settled to the disc mid-plane or not.

4.2 Evidence for evolution of dust settling over time

Dust settling is an important process to study because it tends to increase the local concentration of the dust-to-gas ratio near the mid-plane and may play a crucial role in the formation of planetesimals and ultimately planets. Physically, whether grains would settle towards the disc mid-plane or not depends on their sizes, the level of turbulence and the distribution of gas density in the disc, as well as the age of the system. It is expected to occur preferentially for large grains in relatively quiescent, evolved discs. We will first review anecdotal evidence from (unpolarized) dust continuum imaging of edge-on discs that large grains are not yet settled in Class 0 discs, but become more so at later (Class I and II) stages (Section 4.2.1). This is followed by complementary evidence for a similar trend from the currently available disc polarization observations of inclined discs (Section 4.2.2).

4.2.1 Evolution of dust settling in edge-on discs from dust imaging

High-resolution observations of (unpolarized) dust continuum, especially with ALMA, have shown that the grains in the two best-studied edge-on Class 0 discs, HH212 and L1527, are vertically distributed. In the case of HH212, the evidence comes from long-baseline ALMA continuum observation in Band 7 (0.85 mm) that resolved the disc vertical structure with a 0.02 arcsec resolution (Lee et al. 2017). It reveals a dark lane near the disc mid-plane sandwiched between two brighter regions. Preliminary modelling of this ‘hamburger-shaped’ continuum indicates that the dust layer responsible for the detected emission at 0.85 μm has a scaleheight of ~10 au at a radius of ~40 au, making the dust disc rather thick geometrically. The dust opacity index β was estimated at 0.6 between 0.85 and 1.4 mm wavelengths, which, taken at the face value, would indicate significant grain growth, possibly to millimetre sizes or larger (Testi et al. 2014). These observations appear to indicate that the grains in the HH212 Class 0 disc have already grown significantly but not yet settled towards the mid-plane. The same picture appears to hold for the edge-on Class 0 disc L1527 as well, which has an estimated (total) thickness (full width at half-maximum) of ~30 au at a radius of 50 au based on ALMA Band 7 continuum observations at 0.8 mm (Sakai et al. 2017; see also Aso et al. 2017, submitted). These two examples provide a strong motivation to study the scattering-induced polarization from dust grains that are yet to settle to the mid-plane, as we have done in Section 3.

The situation appears different for more evolved discs. For example, for the iconic disc of the Class I/II object HL Tau, Pinte et al. (2016) was able to infer that the large grains responsible for the (sub)millimetre continuum emission are settled to the mid-plane, based on the lack of azimuthal variation of the width of the gaps in the significantly inclined disc (ALMA Partnership et al. 2015). Other possible examples include the disc of the well-studied Class II object HH30, which remains unresolved in the vertical direction by ALMA observations at 1.3 mm (F. Menard et al. 2017, submitted), and the edge-on disc of the so-called ‘Flying Saucer’, where large grains appear to be settled to the mid-plane (Guilloteau et al. 2016). Although the number of edge-on discs with high-resolution ALMA data remains small, the available evidence is suggestive of a picture where grains responsible for (sub)millimetre continuum emission remain vertically distributed in the youngest (Class 0) discs, but become more settled at later times.

The tentative trend for the evolution of dust settling is perhaps to be expected, for two reasons. First, it takes time for the grains to grow and settle, which tends to favour dust settling in older sources. Perhaps more importantly, the youngest discs are inferred to accrete at much higher rates than older discs (by one to two orders of magnitude or more, e.g. Yen et al. 2017). If the accretion is driven by turbulence (induced by magneto-rotational instability or some other means), the level of turbulence in the youngest discs must be much higher, which could plausibly stir up the grains enough to prevent them from rapid settling towards the mid-plane (e.g. Fromang & Nelson 2009). If this is indeed true, it would have far-reaching implications for the timing of planet formation: if planetesimals are

---

Figure 10. Total intensity (left), polarized intensity (middle) and polarization vectors (right) for geometrically thin but optically thick disc model (Model F). Intensities are in unit of erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) Hz\(^{-1}\).
not formed in earnest until grains have settled, it would be difficult to form planets from planetesimals during the Class 0 phase. A corollary would be that there should not be any planet-induced rings and gaps on Class 0 discs.\footnote{Rings and gaps would be smoothed out quickly by a high level of turbulence in Class 0 discs, potentially making this supposition difficult to test.} Given its significance, it is important to probe dust settling using an independent method. We believe that disc polarization has the potential of being developed into one such method.

### 4.2.2 Evolution of dust settling from polarization of inclined discs

Although the field of disc polarization is poised for rapid growth in the ALMA era, the number of discs with resolved polarization detection remains small. Nevertheless, there is already some indication for the near–far side asymmetry in polarized intensity expected in an optically and geometrically thick (dust) disc. The best example to date is the inclined, optically thick disc of the famous massive protostar HH80-81 (Girart, in preparation). ALMA observations revealed a well-resolved polarization pattern that is roughly parallel to the minor axis close to the centre and more azimuthal further out, broadly consistent with the pattern from scattering (Kataoka et al. 2016a; Yang et al. 2016a,b; see Fig. 4). Interestingly, the near side of the disc, as inferred from its projection in the plane of the sky on the redshifted jet, is much brighter than the far side in polarized intensity, as expected from scattering-induced polarization with the (large) grains responsible for the scattering not yet settled to the mid-plane.

A similar asymmetry was also observed with ALMA in one of the youngest intermediate mass protostars, OMC3 MM6, with the polarized intensity much brighter on the near side (projected against the redshifted outflow) than the far side (S. Takahashi et al. 2017, submitted). In addition, high-resolution VLA observations revealed that the well-studied low-mass Class 0 protostar NGC 1333 IRAS 4A is significantly polarized at 8 mm, again with the near side brighter than the far side in polarized intensity (Cox et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2016). The source is so bright that it is believed to be optically thick out to 7 mm (Liu et al. 2016), which is consistent with the interpretation that the polarization comes from scattering, at least near the centre (see also Yang et al. 2016b). Although it is conceivable that in each of the sources the asymmetry could be produced by an intrinsic feature on the disc, such a dust trap, it is statistically unlikely for such a trap to occur on the near side for all three cases. We conclude that the relatively sparse high-resolution polarization data currently available are consistent with the picture painted by the edge-on discs above, that, during the earliest phase of star formation, grains have already grown significantly to enable detectable polarization from scattering but have yet to settle towards the mid-plane, for both high-mass and low-mass protostars.

For more evolved objects, the situation can be quite different. For example, there is no clear indication of a near–far side asymmetry in the polarized intensity of the HL Tau (Class I/II) disc from the CARMA observations at 1.3 mm (Stephens et al. 2014), although the spatial resolution is rather limited. The lack of asymmetry is to be expected if the large grains are indeed settled to the mid-plane, as inferred from the shape of the gaps on the disc (Pinte et al. 2016). If the grains in the HL Tau disc have indeed settled, a prediction would be that there would not be any significant near–far side asymmetry even in ALMA Band 7 (the currently shortest wavelength available for polarization observations) where the disc is known to be optically thick. This prediction can readily be tested with ALMA observations. ALMA polarization data is available for the transition disc HD 142527 (Kataoka et al. 2016a), although its interpretation is complicated by the intrinsic asymmetry in the dust distribution. In any case, the observed pattern does not contradict any obvious way the expectation that the large millimetre-emitting grains have settled in this evolved disc, although more detailed modelling is required to draw a firmer conclusion.

We therefore have two complementary methods of probing dust settling: vertically resolved (non-polarized) continuum imaging of edge-on discs and scattering-produced polarization in inclined discs. The first method is direct and can be interpreted easily with few assumptions but requires nearly edge-on systems (which are rare) and very high spatial resolution. The second method is less demanding in disc inclination (and hence is potentially applicable to more sources) and spatial resolution, but the polarized intensity tends to be much weaker than the non-polarized intensity. It does have the advantage of providing a tighter constraint on the grain size than direct imaging, since the scattering coefficient is much more sensitive to the grain size than the emission coefficient.

### 5 DISTINGUISHING MECHANISMS OF DISC POLARIZATION

#### 5.1 Scattering versus emission by aligned grains

Besides scattering, it is well known that aligned (non-spherical) grains can also produce polarization through direct emission. Whether large grains responsible for (sub)millimetre emission can be aligned or not inside young star discs remains debated. Hoang (2017) pointed out that, if there are enough iron atoms per cluster inside a grain (i.e. if the grain material is superparamagnetic enough), magnetic fields may align grains with sizes up to a few millimetres. In the case that the number of iron atoms is too small for magnetic alignment, it may still be possible for the grain to be aligned with respect to the direction of anisotropy in the radiation field through radiative torques (Tazaki et al. 2017). The contrast between the polarization patterns from scattering and magnetically aligned grains was already discussed in some depth in Yang et al. (2016b). In this subsection, we will focus on the similarities and differences between those from scattering and radiatively aligned grains.

Both polarization mechanisms depend strongly on the degree of anisotropy in the radiation field: scattering of isotropic radiation does not produce any polarization at all, and radiative torques are far weaker for isotropic radiation field than for anisotropic ones (Lazarian 2007). Radiatively aligned (non-spherical) grains precess around the direction of the radiation flux, with their long axis perpendicular to the flux direction (Tazaki et al. 2017). In the simplest case of a face-on, axisymmetric disc, the radiative flux would be in the radial direction, which would force the grains to align their long axes along the azimuthal direction, producing an azimuthal pattern that is similar to the pattern produced by scattering in a face-on disc (see the upper-left panel of fig. 2 of Yang et al. 2016a). However, there is substantial difference between the two mechanisms for significantly inclined (axisymmetric) discs: the radiatively aligned grains would produce a radial polarization pattern, which is similar to the pattern produced by scattering at large distances from the centre but not near the centre. For scattering, polarization vectors towards the centre are more or less parallel to the minor axis, especially for locations on the minor axis. This is an inclination effect caused by the dependence of polarization degree on the scattering...
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5.2 Near–far side asymmetry as signpost for scattering-induced polarization

The presence (or absence) and sense of near–far side asymmetry in polarized intensity in inclined, optically and geometrically thick (dust) discs may be the key to distinguish the disc polarization induced by scattering from those by magnetically or radiatively aligned grains. In the simplest case of (rapidly spinning, effectively) oblate grains radially aligned with their shortest axes along a purely toroidal magnetic field, the near–far side symmetry along the minor axis is not expected because the grains there are always viewed edge-on (and thus emit maximally polarized light) independent of the disc inclination angle. In the simplest case of oblate grains radially aligned with their shortest axes along a purely (spherically) radial direction, the observer would see the oblate grains located on the minor axis more face-on on the disc surface on the near side than on the far side (see Fig. 6 where the dashed lines on the near and far sides mark the mid-plane of the oblate grains). This would make the intensity of the polarized light emitted by the radiatively aligned grains weaker on the near-side than on the far-side, exactly the opposite of the scattering case. As discussed in Section 4.2.2 above, there is already evidence that the polarized intensity is higher on the near side than on the far side in some discs, especially HH80-81. For these sources, the polarization is more likely dominated by scattering than by either magnetically or radiatively aligned grains. This tentative conclusion needs to be strengthened (or refuted) through higher resolution observations of youngest discs (where the dust grains have grown, so that scattering is efficient, but have yet to settle to the mid-plane), especially at a range of wavelengths where the disc goes from being optically thin to optically thick, with the corresponding increase in the expected degree of near–far side asymmetry.

6 CONCLUSION

Observational progress in disc polarization at (sub)millimetre wavelengths has been rapid in the last few years and is expected to accelerate in the ALMA era. However, the origin of the observed polarization remains uncertain. Part of the reason is that (sub)millimetre polarization mechanisms such as dust scattering only became widely appreciated very recently and exploration of their basic properties is still at an early stage. In this paper, we seek to quantify the effects of optical depth on the scattering-induced polarization through a combination of analytical illustration, approximate semi-analytical modelling using formal solution to the radiative transfer equation, and Monte Carlo simulations, and to evaluate their potential for probing vertical dust settling in the disc and for distinguishing the polarization from scattering that is emitted by aligned grains. The main results are summarized as follows.

(i) Our analytic, 1D (plane-parallel) slab model demonstrates that scattering can produce a detectable level of polarization, along the direction of the normal to the slab surface projected on to the plane of the sky (i.e. the direction of the ‘local minor axis’ if the slab represents a patch of the disc surface; see Fig. 1), even when the optical thickness goes to infinity. The degree of polarization from such a semi-infinite slab increases with the inclination angle \( \phi \) of the slab with respect to the line of sight \( (i = 0 \text{ means face-on view}) \) until the slab is viewed nearly edge-on (see Fig. 2). For a given inclination angle, as the total optical depth of the slab \( \tau_{\text{max}} \) increases from zero to infinity, the degree of polarization first increases from zero to a maximum value near \( \tau_{\text{max}} \sim 1 \), before asymptoting to a finite value (see Fig. 3). This behaviour is very different from that of the aligned grain case, where the polarization degree decreases monotonically with the optical depth \( \tau_{\text{max}} \), asymptoting to zero for a semi-infinite, isothermal slab. In addition, in optically thick regions, the degree and orientation of the polarization from direct emission by aligned grains depend strongly on the temperature distribution.

(ii) In an optically thick disc, the observed light comes mainly from the disc surface facing the observer. The shape of that surface has a strong imprint on both the degree and orientation of the scattering-induced polarization in an inclined disc. Specifically, if the scattering dust grains are vertically distributed with a significant angular thickness, the near side of the disc surface would be viewed...
more edge-on than the far-side (see Fig. 6), leading to a higher polarized intensity on the near-side than the far-side, especially along the minor axis. Another consequence of the finite (dust) thickness is that the polarization orientations close to the centre are no longer parallel to the minor axis, which is a hallmark of scattering-induced polarization in an optically and/or geometrically thin disc. The deviation is especially clear on the major axis, where the polarization orientations rotate away from the minor axis in one direction on one side of the disc and in the opposite direction on the other side (see Fig. 4, right column). The near–far side asymmetry in polarized intensity and bifurcation in polarization orientation are quantified through an approximate semi-analytic solution to the radiative transfer equation under the single scattering approximation (see Fig. 4) and Monte Carlo simulations that include multiple scattering (see Fig. 8, right column).

(iii) Both the near–far side asymmetry in polarized intensity and bifurcation in polarization orientation are unique to the scattering-induced polarization in an optically and geometrically thick (dust) disc. They are produced by simple geometric effects that are not shared by other mechanisms such as direct emission from (non-spherical) grains aligned with either magnetic fields or the direction of radiative flux. As such, they are robust signatures of the scattering-induced polarization, provided that the (dust) disc is both optically and geometrically thick. Both of these signatures disappear for an optically and/or geometrically thin disc.

(iv) We find anecdotal evidence from high-resolution (unpolarized) dust continuum imaging of edge-on discs that large grains are not yet settled in the youngest, Class 0 discs, but become more so in older (Class I and II) discs (Section 4.2.1). This trend is corroborated by the polarization data in inclined discs that, although still rather limited, appear to indicate that younger sources tend to have brighter polarized emission on the near-side than the far-side and thus less grain settling if scattering is responsible for the polarization (Section 4.2.2). If confirmed, the trend would have far-reaching implications for disc grain evolution, which lies at the heart of the formation of planetesimals and ultimately planets.
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