Comparative Evaluation of Calcium, Magnesium, Copper and Zinc Removal by Wood Ash, Sodium Carbonate and Sodium Hydrogen Phosphate

Lovell Agwaramgbo*, Jaien Doyle, Corneisja Harrison, Honour Williams

Chemistry Department, Dillard University, New Orleans, USA
Email: *lagwaramgbo@dillard.edu

Abstract

Remediation via adsorption process has been proven to be one of the best water treatment technologies globally. Many adsorbents, including agricultural wastes, have been considered for the removal of a variety of pollutants from water. However, most of the studies reported in the literature used metal concentrations below 1000 ppm. It is also known that initial metal concentrations in polluted aqueous solutions, as well as metal and adsorbent type, are some of the factors that affect metal removal. Therefore, this project examined the remediation of water contaminated by 1000 ppm of lead, zinc, copper, magnesium, and calcium ions using wood ash, sodium hydrogen phosphate (dibasic), and sodium carbonate (dibasic). Comparative analysis of the results showed the order of order of metal removal by the adsorbents as: dibasic phosphate (Ca > Cu > Pb > Zn > Mg); dibasic Carbonate (Pb ~ Ca > Zn > Cu > Mg); Wood ash (Mg > Cu > Zn > Pb > Ca). These results suggest that metal and adsorbent type as well as the inherent chemical properties of these metal cations may contribute to the varying binding affinity of the metals to the adsorbent ligand(s) and thus, affect the extent of metal removal. It is equally worthy to note that wood ash more effectively removed hardness metals (Mg and Ca) from water than the inorganic phosphate and carbonate ions.
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1. Background

Metals, especially heavy metals are distributed in the environment through natural, industrialization and anthropogenic activities. They are not degradable; thus, they persist in the environment [1]. Methods for metal removal from contaminated water include phytoremediation [2] [3] [4], electrodeposition and extraction [5]. However, phytoremediation has some drawbacks such as root length and accumulation capacity while electrodeposition is expensive and not meant for average citizens. Thus, researchers became interested in the use of agricultural waste as a potential method to remove heavy metals from contaminated water [6] [7] [8] [9]. We have previously reported the use of inorganic salts to remove lead from contaminated water [10]. However, such salts have not been used for Zinc, Copper, Calcium, and Magnesium removal from contaminated aqueous solutions. Although zinc, copper, calcium and magnesium are micro-nutrients required in small concentrations for human body, however, in higher concentrations they are toxic for living organisms and also for the environment [11]. The exposure to and contamination by these metals emanate from natural, industrial, and anthropogenic activities. Excess calcium in the body causes hypercalcemia, a condition in which the calcium level in the blood is above normal. Too much calcium in the blood can weaken the bones, create kidney stones, and interfere with how the heart and brain work. Hard water interferes with almost every cleaning task from laundering and dishwashing to bathing and personal grooming. Excess copper in the body can cause neurotoxicity, liver toxicity, and jaundice, liver failure, lung cancer and many other adverse ailments [12]-[18]. Excess zinc can have harmful and fatal effects on human health. [19] [20] [21]. Lead contamination has been a major global problem perpetuated by human activities. Historically, lead pollution results from a variety of human activities such as past practices of lead-related industrial activities by battery, paint, mining, ammunition, plumbing pipe, oil and petrochemical industries, agricultural fertilizer, insecticide, and pesticide. Lead contamination of water above permissible levels can cause elevated blood lead levels [22] [23] [24], spontaneous abortion [25], infant mortality [26], fetal death and reduction in birth rates [27]. The potential exposure of hazardous lead to citizens is widely exacerbated in rural areas due to the lack of water regulations and treatment [28] [29]. The lack of clean water for human consumption and activities will pose a major challenge globally if water management, pollution control, and regulations are not enacted and enforced. Therefore, this project reported here in this paper examines comparatively the removal ability of Ca, Mg, Cu, Zn, and Pb by wood ash and inorganic sodium carbonate and sodium hydrogen phosphate. Additionally, the efficiency of metal removal by $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ and $\text{HPO}_4^{2-}$ was compared.

2. Materials and Methods

A standard solution of 1000 ppm of Ca (II), Mg (II), Cu (II), Zn (II) and Pb (II) ions were prepared using the corresponding salts, respectively by dissolving
equivalent amounts in 1000 ml of solution. Triplicate samples of 40 ml of the metal solutions prepared above were placed in separate centrifuge tubes. Then, each of the triplicate samples were treated with 5 grams of each of the adsorbent substrates (wood ash, Na$_2$HPO$_4$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$). Each sample was vortexed to mix, and agitated for 24 hours at room temperature. The samples were centrifuged, and the supernatant from each treated sample was analyzed for residual metal ion concentration.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows residual calcium, copper, zinc, lead, and magnesium ion concentrations after treatment with the adsorbents compared to the control samples. There is a varying amount of residual metal across all adsorbents. The results in Table 1 showed the effectiveness of wood ash in removing metals, especially magnesium from their respective contaminated water samples. Phosphate and carbonate adsorbents were less effective in removing magnesium from the contaminated water but they interestingly removed almost all the calcium, zinc, copper, and lead ions in the solutions.

The results showed that on average, each of the adsorbents removed more than 90% of each metal from the solution except magnesium. The order of percent metal removal by the adsorbents is: for phosphate (calcium > copper >...
lead > zinc > magnesium); for carbonate (calcium > lead > zinc > copper > magnesium); for wood ash (magnesium > copper > zinc > lead > calcium).

4. Discussion

**Figure 1** and **Table 1** clearly demonstrate a variation in metal removal. This variation could in part be due to differences in the properties of the metals and adsorbents. The Carbonate adsorbent was less effective in removing magnesium due to moderate molar solubility of the magnesium carbonate complex formed (ksp $6.82 \times 10^{-6}$). The complex formed by sodium hydrogen phosphate with magnesium undergoes hydrogen bonding with the contaminated aqueous solution, thereby increasing the solubility of the complex formed. Wood ash effectively removed 100% of the magnesium in solution because the adsorbent may contain multiple functional groups that have strong binding affinity to the metal [30].

**Figure 2** below from a separate experiment illustrates the comparison of metal removal by agricultural waste and carbonate ion. The data showed that agricultural waste (wood ash) was more efficient in removing lead, zinc and copper but not calcium. This may be due to the possible presence of calcium in wood ash [30] as reported by Risse and Gaskin [31]. It is also worthy to note that both adsorbents removed at least 96% of the metal pollutants from the contaminated aqueous solutions.

In another set of experiments, the efficiency of metal removal by tribasic and dibasic phosphate ions was compared as shown in **Figure 3** below. The results suggest that both sodium phosphate tribasic (Na$_3$PO$_4$) and sodium hydrogen phosphate dibasic (Na$_2$HPO$_4$) were equally efficient in removing all the calcium
Figure 3. Comparison of calcium and zinc removal by Na$_3$PO$_4$ and Na$_2$HPO$_4$.

from the contaminated aqueous solution. However, the tribasic phosphate ion was more efficient in removing zinc than the dibasic phosphate ion. This could be attributed to the possibility of acidic hydrogen in hydrogen phosphate participates in hydrogen bonding with the aqueous solution. Such hydrogen bonding can reduce the binding affinity of the phosphate to the zinc and increase the solubility of the formed Metal-HPO$_4$ complex. Furthermore, tribasic phosphate has a greater number of binding sites (ligands) than dibasic phosphate. Additionally, the ionic radius of calcium is larger than that of zinc, therefore, the zinc can undergo intra particular diffusion into the pores of the adsorbent but could be forced out into the solution during centrifugation.

5. Conclusion

Although there exist a variety of research studies on the use of agricultural waste in removing metals from contaminated aqueous solutions, many of them were based on very dilute metal concentrations and high adsorbent/contaminated water ratio [32]. The research reported in this paper examined the use of wood ash to remove metals from 1000 ppm contaminated aqueous solutions. Furthermore, very few studies have examined calcium and magnesium removal from contaminated water. The data on the comparison of metal removal by agricultural waste (wood ash) and inorganic chemical carbonate demonstrated that wood ash is equally if not more effective in removing metals than chemical carbonate. It is also worthy to note that for the metals studied, both the tribasic and dibasic phosphate ions remove the metals with nearly equal efficiency. Finally, the overall result showed that metal and adsorbent types affected the extent of metal removal. The order of metal removal by the adsorbents is: dibasic phosphate (Ca > Cu > Pb > Zn > Mg); Dibasic Carbonate (Pb ~ Ca > Zn > Cu > Mg); Wood Ash
(Mg > Cu > Zn > Pb > Ca). These results suggest that the inherent chemical properties of these metal cations may also contribute to their varying binding affinity to the ligand(s) in the adsorbents. On average across all metals, the order of metal removal by adsorbents is dibasic phosphate > wood ash > dibasic carbonate.
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