Condition Monitoring and Diagnosis of Faults in the Electric Induction Motor
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Abstract: Problem statement: The diagnosis of failures in the induction machine, when it is implemented effectively and whether it allows detecting early deterioration of the system, represents a means to help achieve better productivity. Approach: Primary purpose was to detect and locate a possible malfunction of equipments. In this study we developed a method using the three phase-biphase transformation (shape recognition), based on a two-dimensional representation of the three-phase components of the stator current and rotor flux. This approach was a static approach, considering the necessity to make several recordings of signals. Results: In this study we considered defect type in the induction machine with broken rotor bars. We presented signatures of the stator current and rotor flux for the healthy model complete and permanent regime, and then the signatures of the same quantities in continuous operation and complete regime for the model with short circuit and broken bars failure. Conclusion/Recommendation: Systems of diagnosis must be conceived to support many procedures of test starting ones the others and often functioning in parallel and different places.
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INTRODUCTION

With the development of the modern methods of the diagnosis in order, the state space modeling of the induction machine proves to be essential. The control sensorless makes it possible to improve the robustness, the reliability of the machine and to reduce the cost of the systems. The induction machines remains very appreciated in the industrial world because their applications requiring a higher dynamic performance.

Different works on the real time estimation of the sizes and the parameters have been accomplished. However, the techniques based on Luenberger and Kalman observers require a model of state of the induction machine.

In this study, we present a generalized state space of the induction machine in an arbitrary reference frame. The state variables are: the stator current and rotor flux. Due to the principle of field orientation,[1] this model can be reduced. A comparative study of the complete model and small-scale model are presented and the alternatives linear and nonlinear as well as the estimation possibility of the rotor resistance are also discussed.

Modeling of the asynchronous machine: The induction motor is a nonlinear and nonstationary system. The complexity of such a model can be simplified by using Park transformation and the field orientation techniques. The state space modeling of the induction motor in an arbitrary reference is given by[1]:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \Psi = \Omega \Psi - RI + I_0U
\]

\[
\Psi = L_M I
\]

Where:

\[
\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_s \\ \Psi_r \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
I = \begin{bmatrix} i_s \\ i_r \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
U = \begin{bmatrix} u_s \\ u_r \end{bmatrix}
\]

With:

- \(\Psi\): The vector of flux
- \(I\): The vector of current
- \(U\): The vector of voltage

Matrices \(\Omega\), \(R\), \(I_0\) and \(L_M\) are defined by:

\[
\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} \Omega_s & 0_s \\ 0_s & \Omega_r \end{bmatrix}
\]

With:
The lemma of matrix inversion is used to simplify calculations and facilitate the transformation of the various dynamic models of the asynchronous machine. Let's have the expression of the inversion of $L_M$:

$$L_M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (L_s - ML_s^{-1}M)^{-1} & -(L_s - ML_s^{-1}M)^{-1}ML_s^{-1} \\ -(L_s - ML_s^{-1}M)^{-1}M^T & (L_s - ML_s^{-1}M)^{-1}M^T L_s^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

(3)

and finally

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The parameters of the matrix of evolution are:

$$a_{11} = \Omega_s + (M - L_s M^{-1}L_m)^{-1} (R_s M^{-1}L_s + L_s M^{-1}R_s)$$

$$a_{12} = (M - L_s M^{-1}L_m)^{-1} (\Omega_s L_s - R_s) M^{-1} - L_s M^{-1} \Omega_s$$

et $b_{11} = -(M - L_s M^{-1}L_m)^{-1} L_s M^{-1}$

Note: The case of the nonlinear model can be obtained by regarding the number of revolutions as an additional variable of state.

The technique of estimate of state: Let us have a continuous system described by the equation of deterministic state as follows:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t)$$

(8)

(9)

where, $u(t)$, $y(t)$ and $x(t)$ are vectors of dimension $m$, $L$ and $n$ which respectively represent the control, the measured output and the state of the system. Matrices $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$ are constant matrices of suitable size.

As generally, the state is not accessible, the objective of an observer consists in estimating this state by a variable which we will note $\hat{x}(t)$. This estimate is carried out by a dynamic system whose output will be precisely $\hat{x}(t)$ and the input will be consisted of the whole of information available, i.e., $u(t)$ and $y(t)$.

The structure form of observer is:

$$\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = A\hat{x}(t) + Bu(t) + L(y(t) - \hat{y}(t))$$

(10)
\[
\hat{y}(t) = C\hat{x}(t) + Du(t)
\]

where, appears clearly on one hand the corrective term \(y(t) - \hat{y}(t)\), according to the error of rebuilding of the output, on the other hand, the profit of correction (L), called profit of the observer which one must determine.

This structure can be written in the following equivalent form:

\[
\hat{x}(t) = (A - LC)\hat{x}(t) + (B - LD)u(t) + Ly(t)
\]

If one considers the error in estimation:

\[
\hat{x}(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t),
\]

then one obtains:

\[
\hat{x}(t) = (A - LC)\hat{x}(t)
\]

In this case, a great freedom is left with the choice of the eigenvalues, but in practice one chooses a dynamics of error faster than that of the process in the case of an observation in open loop or than that desired in closed loop \[4\]. However, one cannot take them infinitely large for two essential reasons:

- One can use only realizable profits
- The increase in the band-width of the reconstructor does not make it possible any more to neglect the noises which become dominating in high frequency

The physical complexity of the asynchronous machines is related to the electromagnetic interactions between the stator and the rotor. The sizes of state or output used for the development of the order or the monitoring of the motorized systems are often difficult to reach for technical reasons or problems from cost problems\[5-7\]. Therefore, It is necessary to determine starting from the already measured sizes (current, tension…), without using dedicated sensors.

They can be reconstituted by traditional estimators used in open loop or observers correcting in closed loop the estimated variables.

The technique of the estimators rests on the use of a representation of the machine in the form of state defined in the reference mark of park. In steady operation (static estimator) or transient (dynamic estimator), they are obtained by direct resolutions of the equations associated with the model.

For using a system in a chain of control it is first of all necessary to study its conditions of observability and commandability. These two concepts use also the model of state of the asynchronous machine.

**Application of the observers of state with the monitoring:** In addition to the rebuilding of the state to work out an control by return of state, we will see here another significant application of the observers in control, detection and diagnosis of the failures\[6\] in the electric machines. In this optics, one uses the observer to generate residues allowing to working out a decision in a stage of monitoring of the system during the appearance of the disturbances or the defects\[8-10\]. The variables which act on the system cannot be measured; therefore the objective of the observer consists in building residues which, according to cases, must be sensitive to the defects and insensitive with the disturbances.

In all the methods of detection of the failures suggested in the literature\[11-14\], one must take one or more signals to treat them, analyze them and conclude, with certainty, if there is a failure or not.

With this intention, four elementary signals can be taken. It is about the stator current, of the radiating flux of the machine, the vibrations number of revolutions. But in our case, it is enough to measure the stator current, since it is a diagnosis without sensors or more exactly a diagnosis with a minimum of sensors.

The control and monitoring principle without sensors is shown by Fig. 1.

Figure 1 introduced the principle of operation of an observer Kalman, or \(U(t)\) presented the input signal and \(Y(t)\) signal output and \(W(t)\) and \(V(t)\) is the state noise and noise measurement. \(\hat{x}(t)\) and \(\hat{y}(t)\) are the status and estimated output respectively.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

To highlight the performances of the suggested technique of monitoring we consider an induction motor with parameters shown in Table 1. Simulation consists in studying the dynamic performances of the induction machine in the space of state by using MATLAB software to see the possibilities of detection without sensors.
Table 1: Machine parameters

| Sizes                  | Values | Units |
|------------------------|--------|-------|
| Frequency              | 50.000 | Hz    |
| Voltage                | 220.000| Volts |
| Resistance of the stator | 0.630 | Ohms  |
| Resistance of the rotor | 0.400 | Ohms  |
| Inductance of the stator | 0.097 | Henry |
| Inductance of the rotor | 0.091 | Henry |
| Mutual inductance      | 0.091  | Henry |
| Moment of inertia      | 0.220  | Kg m² |
| Rotor pulsation        | 146.600| Rd sec⁻¹|

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of simulation of the healthy model and the defective model are shown in Fig. 2-7. However, the symbols (a) and (b) in Fig. 2-7 represent a simulation of the complete and the steady system respectively.

Figure 2 and 3 respectively shows the evolution of current and rotor flux in healthy case, but the estimations of these quantities are also shown in Fig. 4 and 5. It is clearly seen that the homologous curves are indisputably identical, which validates the adopted estimating method.

Fig. 2: Variation of the stator currents

Fig. 3: Variation of rotor flux

Fig. 4: Variation of the currents of the stator estimated
Fig. 5: Variation of fluxes of the rotor estimated

Fig. 6: Variation of the estimated stator currents with default

Fig. 7: Variation of estimated rotor flux with default

Figure 6 and 7 show the signature of the phase shift on the Lissajou curve. This signature enables us to easily determine the nature of defect (broken bars) due to the curve’s shape.
To this end, it is enough to make recordings of the estimated variables of state of the motor and to make a comparison with the estimated results in the case of failure by using the analysis of Lissajou curves or the spectral analysis.

We notice that these tests represent only the results obtained by the estimated current and flux analysis, however, the alternative of analyzing other variables as the electromagnetic couple is also possible.

**CONCLUSION**

The industrial systems have become increasingly sophisticated with on one hand the numerical systems of control and on the other hand the technological solutions for distributed and hierarchical data processing. Because of these evolutions, the establishment of algorithms of diagnosis within industrial facilities became possible. So that the methods of diagnosis can apply to complex systems, it is crucial to conceive adapted methodologies and technologies. The systems of diagnosis must be conceived to support many procedures of test starting ones the others and often functioning in parallel and different places. On a higher level, the results of the test of detection must be analyzed to lead to a reliable holding diagnosis.
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