Adaptive sequence evolution is driven by biotic stress in a pair of orchid species (*Dactylorhiza*) with distinct ecological optima

Francisco Balao¹,² | Emiliano Trucchi¹,³ | Thomas M. Wolfe¹,⁴ | Bao-Hai Hao¹
Maria Teresa Lorenzo¹,² | Juliane Baar¹ | Laura Sedman⁵ | Carolin Kosiol⁶,⁷
Fabian Amman⁸ | Mark W. Chase⁹,¹⁰ | Mikael Hedrén¹¹ | Ovidiu Paun¹

¹Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
²Departamento de Biología Vegetal y Ecología, University of Seville, Sevilla, Spain
³Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnologies, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
⁴Vienna Graduate School of Population Genetics, Vienna, Austria
⁵Gregor Mendel Institute for Plant Molecular Biology, Vienna, Austria
⁶Institut für Populationsgenetik, Vetmeduni Vienna, Vienna, Austria
⁷Centre of Biological Diversity, School of Biology, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK
⁸Department of Chromosome Biology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
⁹Royal Botanic Gardens Kew, Richmond, UK
¹⁰School of Plant Biology, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Perth, WA, Australia
¹¹Department of Biology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

Correspondence
Ovidiu Paun, Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
Email: ovidiu.paun@univie.ac.at

Abstract
The orchid family is the largest in the angiosperms, but little is known about the molecular basis of the significant variation they exhibit. We investigate here the transcriptomic divergence between two European terrestrial orchids, *Dactylorhiza incarnata* and *Dactylorhiza fuchsii*, and integrate these results in the context of their distinct ecologies that we also document. Clear signals of lineage-specific adaptive evolution of protein-coding sequences are identified, notably targeting elements of biotic defence, including both physical and chemical adaptations in the context of divergent pools of pathogens and herbivores. In turn, a substantial regulatory divergence between the two species appears linked to adaptation/acclimation to abiotic conditions. Several of the pathways affected by differential expression are also targeted by deviating post-transcriptional regulation via sRNAs. Finally, *D. incarnata* appears to suffer from insufficient sRNA control over the activity of RNA-dependent DNA polymerase, resulting in increased activity of class I transposable elements and, over time, in larger genome size than that of *D. fuchsii*. The extensive molecular divergence between the two species suggests significant genomic and transcriptomic shock in their hybrids and offers insights into the difficulty of coexistence at the homoploid level. Altogether, biological response to selection, accumulated during the history of these orchids, appears governed by their microenvironmental context, in which biotic and abiotic pressures act synergistically to shape transcriptome structure, expression and regulation.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The orchid family represents an extraordinary biological diversification, containing over 8% of all flowering plant species, making Orchidaceae the largest family of angiosperms (Chase et al., 2015; Givnish et al., 2015). They are distributed from equatorial lowlands to arctic and alpine locations, through a remarkable variability, affecting their morphology, ecology, genomes and life history strategies (Benzing, 1986). Orchids are particularly renowned for their specialized and diverse pollination systems (Darwin, 1877), and pollinator-driven isolation is often discussed as a main factor driving their diversification (Benzing, 1986; Cozzolino & Widmer, 2005). However, a third of all orchids are estimated to be pollinated on a deceptive basis (i.e., they offer no reward), Tremblay, Ackerman, Zimmerman, & Caño, 2005), often with the help of unspecific pollinators. Indeed, pollinator-mediated reproductive isolation has been shown to play little or no role in food-deceptive orchids (Moccia, Widmer, & Cozzolino, 2007).

Other factors put forward to explain orchid diversity range from epiphytism (Gravendeel, Smithson, Slik, & Schulteim, 2004; Silvera, Santiago, Cushman, & Winter, 2009); low fruiting success setting the stage for a dynamic interplay between drift and natural selection (Tremblay et al., 2005); obligate orchid–mycorrhiza interactions (Tupac Otero & Flanagan, 2006), CAM photosynthesis and tropical distribution (Silvera et al., 2009) or a combination of these factors (Givnish et al., 2015). In general, orchid species diversity has been reported to correlate to area size and, even more strongly, with habitat heterogeneity (e.g., with maximum island elevation as a surrogate for habitat diversity in the Caribbean, Ackerman, Trejo-Torres, & Crespo-Chuy, 2007), indicating that ecological conditions may be a major driver of diversity in the family. However, only little is known about the molecular factors underlying divergence between orchid species, mainly due to disproportionately limited genomic data in public databases despite the recent availability of a reference genome for Phalaenopsis equestris (Cai et al., 2015).

The molecular basis of biodiversity can reside in both amino acid sequence variation (Nielsen, 2005) and regulatory divergence that triggers protein abundance shifts (Wray, 2007), and these molecular components may evolve at different rates. For example, accumulating evidence suggests a role for divergent small RNAs (sRNAs) and other regulatory elements in postzygotic reproductive isolation, and the hybrid incompatibility they trigger is predicted to evolve relatively early in the speciation process (Ha, Pang, Agarwal, & Chen, 2008; Hollister et al., 2011; Kitano, Yoshida, & Suzuki, 2013; McManus et al., 2010; Michalak, 2008). Small RNAs, including plant microRNAs (miRNAs), secondary small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and heterochromatic small interfering RNAs (het-siRNAs, Borges & Martienssen, 2015), are involved in (post)transcriptional gene regulation, RNA-directed DNA methylation and chromatin remodelling, playing major roles in the maintenance of genome stability and function (Zhang, 2008). Importantly, regulatory divergence is generally dynamic, potentially exposing phenotypes to natural selection differentially across time and environmental conditions. Biological responses to selection depend heavily on the environmental context, with regard to biotic and abiotic conditions that can act individually or synergistically to either accelerate or constrain trait evolution (Anderson, Wagner, Rushworth, Prasad, & Mitchell-Olds, 2014). Understanding the extent and timescale of the interactions between different molecular levels in response to the plethora of characteristics of complex environments is a primary goal of evolutionary biology.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the molecular basis of adaptation to specific microenvironments in Dactylorrhiza fuchsii and D. incarnata, two terrestrial, food-deceptive orchid species (Sletvold, Grindeland, & Agren, 2010) that provide a suitable model to trace effects of natural selection. The two orchid species broadly share geographical distribution (northern and central Europe and Western Asia, Tutín et al., 1980) and often grow in proximity. However, they have clearly distinct morphologies and also differ in their ecological preference (Paun et al., 2011). Dactylorrhiza fuchsii inhabits grasslands, open woods and disturbed habitats such as road margins, whereas D. incarnata prefers marshes, fens and bog habitats. The genetic structure of the two species has most likely been shaped by different histories as, in contrast to D. fuchsii, D. incarnata appears to have experienced a dramatic reduction in population size that homogenized its genetic variation at neutral markers (Balao, Tannhäuser, Lorenzo, Hedren, & Paun, 2016; Hedrén, 1996; Pilon et al., 2007), despite its current wide distribution and its significant morphological heterogeneity. The two species have hybridized on multiple occasions, producing an array of ecologically divergent allotetraploid sibling species (Devis, Raspe, Oh, Tyteta, & Jacquemart, 2006; Hedrén, 1996; Hedrén, Fay, & Chase, 2001; Pilon et al., 2007), several of which are also broadly distributed in Europe.

With RNaseq across several replicates originating from different European regions, we searched for genes with lineage-specific evolutionary patterns shaped by positive selection, and tested for differential expression (DE) that correlate to phenotypic divergence between the two species (De Wit, Pespeni, & Palumbi, 2015). We further aimed to time the divergence between the two species and tested whether the coding single nucleotide polymorphism (cSNP) patterns obtained follow the low neutral genetic diversity in D. incarnata. Finally, we sequenced sRNAs to track divergent post-transcriptional gene regulation (Ehrenreich & Purugganan, 2008). One specific aim within the latter analysis was to search for a potential deviating negative control of transposable elements (TEs) that may have resulted in the difference in genome size between the two species (1C values: 3.55 pg for D. incarnata vs. 2.98 pg for D. fuchsii, Aagaard, Sastad, Greilhuber, & Moen, 2005). Besides understanding in detail the molecular divergence between the diploid orchid species, this work is also setting a foundation for further studies of the molecular principles underlying widespread allopolyploidy in this orchid group and its impact on evolutionary novelty and ecological diversification.
2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | RNAseq and de novo transcriptome assemblies

We sequenced leaf RNAseq libraries (see Appendix S1) of five wild individuals each of *D. fuchsii* and *D. incarnata* from different European regions (Table 1, Fig. S1) after they had been transplanted and grown in a common garden in Vienna, Austria, for at least one growth cycle in order to remove temporary environmental effects. Every year, *Dactylorhiza* plants produce a new tuber that carries them through to the next year. We focused on leaves as an ecologically relevant tissue, which also avoids potential contamination by mycorrhizal fungi associated with orchid roots. Across the individuals analysed, the directional RNA sequencing generated almost 1.5 billion pairs of 100-bp reads (Table 1).

As the closest assembled genome (*P. equestris*, Cai et al., 2015) is highly divergent from *Dactylorhiza* (their most recent common ancestor lived 55–70 Ma, Givnish et al., 2015), we have assembled de novo with Trinity (Haas et al., 2013) a combined reference transcriptome for both *Dactylorhiza* species (for details see Appendix S1 and Fig. S2). The individual transcriptomes are available from GenBank Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly (TSA) Database (Accession nos.: GFHX00000000 and GFJV00000000 for *D. fuchsii* and *D. incarnata*, respectively). The combined final transcriptome is available from https://plantgenomics.univie.ac.at/downloads/. Due to its low number of assembled contigs pointing to a technical depletion of complexity, and due to its outlier position in ordination analyses, the individual fA6 was excluded from all further RNAseq analyses.

2.2 | Variant discovery and assessment of genetic diversity

In order to conduct evolutionary analyses, the filtered RNAseq reads were mapped to an available, closely related outgroup reference, the transcriptome of *Orchis italica* (De Paolo, Salvemini, Gaudio, & Aceto, 2014), which is a member of the same orchid subtribe (Chase et al., 2015). The mapping has been performed with the second-pass approach (Engström et al., 2013) of star v2.4.1d (Dobin et al., 2013), by lowering the maximum allowed ratio of mismatches to read length to 0.11. The best practices recommendations (DePristo et al., 2011; Van Auwera et al., 2013) for gatk version 3 (McKenna et al., 2010) have been followed, but with a hybrid approach between RNA and DNA sequencing as the analyses have been performed on a reference transcriptome, not a full genome. After processing the BAM files by adding read groups and removing duplicates with Picard tools (v.1.119, http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), we split the reads into exon segments and reassigned STAR mapping qualities. The IndelRealigner module from gatk v3.5.0-g36282e4 was used to improve local alignments around indels, downsampling to a maximum of 100× coverage. Variants were further called for each sample in the GVCF mode of the gatk HaplotypeCaller to generate an intermediate gVCF, by ignoring any soft clipped bases in the reads to minimize false positives and negative calls. Next, we processed all samples in the cohort in a joint genotyping analysis with GenotypeGVCFs with the minimum Phred-scaled confidence threshold of 20 at which variants should be called and emitted, following gatk best practices recommendations for RNAseq. After retaining only SNPs with the SelectVariants module of GATK to avoid later uncertainties in alignments, variants have been further filtered out if any of the following criteria were fulfilled: the quality normalized by the coverage (QD) was <2.0, the Phred-scaled p-value for Fisher’s exact test to detect strand bias (FS) was >60.0, or the root mean square of mapping quality across all samples (MQ) was <40. Diversity measures were calculated with vcftools v0.1.14 (Danecek et al., 2011) with the options –heter (for the per-individual heterozygosity and inbreeding coefficient F), –site-pi (for per site nucleotide divergence π) and –singleton (for private alleles).

Table 1 Details of the leaf samples analysed in this study

| Region      | No. | Acronym | Collectors | Latitude/Longitude | Raw pairs of RNAseq reads (M) | Raw sRNAseq reads (M) |
|-------------|-----|---------|------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| *D. fuchsii* |     |         |            |                    |                                |                       |
| Pannonian   | 1566| fA6     | GS, OP     | 47°25’41”N 19°52’01”E | 226.8                          | 16.7                  |
| Pyrenees    | 1001| fP1     | MC, MF, OP | 43°12’43”N 0°49’50”E | 79.4                           | 23.8                  |
| Pyrenees    | 1707| fP7     | OP         | 42°53’40”N 1°55’46”E | 166.0*                         | 21.8                  |
| Britain     | 1804| fB4     | FB, OP     | 54°15’10”N 0°41’06”W | 107.1                          | 18.6                  |
| Britain     | 1855| fB5     | FB, OP     | 57°40’03”N 7°14’32”W | 224.3                          | 10.4                  |

| *D. incarnata* |     |         |            |                    |                                |                       |
| Alps         | 1586| iA6     | OP         | 47°16’54”N 11°11’04”E | 199.8                          | 5.3                   |
| Scandinavia  | 1904| iS4     | MH         | 57°20’26”N 18°19’16”E | 82.0                           | 13.1                  |
| Scandinavia  | 1908| iS8     | MH         | 57°49’01”N 18°53’43”E | 201.1                          | 8.9                   |
| Britain      | 1176| iB6     | RB         | 57°40’19”N 7°14’17”W | 101.0                          | -                     |
| Britain      | 1870| iB0     | FB, OP     | 57°40’14”N 7°14’22”W | 75.4                           | 7.7                   |

Collector abbreviations are FB, Francisco Balao; GS, Gabor Szramko; MC, Mark Chase; MF, Michael Fay; MH, Mikael Hedrén; OP, Ovidiu Paun and RB, Richard Bateman.

*Summed over two half lanes.*
For visualizing genetic relationships between individuals, we filtered out with `vcftools` the variants with data in less than six (of the nine) *Dactylorhiza* individuals. The 449,518 retained SNPs were further concatenated to a Phylip file with `PGDSPIDER v.2.0.9.2` (Lischer & Excoffier, 2012), summarizing heterozygosities as IUPAC ambiguities. A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree with 1,000 rapid bootstrap inferences and a GTRCAT substitution model were inferred with `RAXML v.7.2.8` (Stamatakis, 2014) and the results were graphically visualized with `FIGTREE v.1.4.2` (available from http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

The genetic similarity among individuals of the two *Dactylorhiza* species was also visualized with a principal component analysis (PCA). The data were first filtered with `vcftools` by retaining only SNPs with the minor allele present in at least two individuals. The retained 129,511 biallelic SNPs were imported in the `BIOCONECTOR` (Huber et al., 2015) with `GDSFMT`, and the PCA was finally drawn with the `R` package `SNPRELATE` (Zheng et al., 2012).

### 2.3 Rates of sequence evolution and targets of selection

Synthetic transcripts of each sample have been generated from the `GATK`-filtered vcf file with the `GATK FASTAALTERNATEREFERENCEMAKER`, using IUPAC encodings for heterozygote states. We further used for each sample the `GATK CALLABLELOCI` with default settings to filter only the callable transcriptomic intervals. These have been intersected with `BEDTOOLS v.2.25.0` (Quinlan & Hall, 2010) with exonic regions of the *O. italica* reference predicted with `TRANSDECODER` (v.2.0.1, https://transdecoder.github.io/). `BEDTOOLS GETFASTA` has been used to retain from each individual only the target intervals. Finally, indel-free coding DNA sequence (CDS) alignments of at least 150-bp coding regions have been retained for positive selection analyses.

Nonsynonymous (*K*<sub>s</sub>) and synonymous (*K*<sub>s</sub>) substitution rates for CDS alignments of pairwise combinations of sequences of *D. fuchsii*, *D. incarnata* and *O. italica* were first calculated using an approximate method (MYN) modified after Yang and Nielsen (2000) and implemented in the software package `KAKS_CALCULATOR v.2.0` (Zhang, Li, & Inoko, 2006). The level of significance of Fisher’s exact tests was adjusted with the false discovery rate (FDR) approach with the `FADJUST` function in `R`. The contigs shown to be under positive selection (i.e., *K*<sub>s</sub>/K<sub>s</sub> > 1) between *D. incarnata* and *D. fuchsii* within an FDR level <.01 have been confirmed by estimating pairwise dN/dS (*ω*) with a maximum-likelihood method implemented in `CODEML` of the `PAML` package v.4.8a (Danecek et al., 2011). To test for statistical significance (*p* < .05), a likelihood ratio test was applied against a model with fixed *ω* = 1 (Wong, Yang, Goldman, & Nielsen, 2004). We further aimed to detect the particular branch on which positive selection acted by performing branch-site model tests M1a versus M2a (Zhang, Nielsen, & Yang, 2005) in `CODEML`, comparing models with estimated *ω* versus the corresponding null models with fixed *ω* = 1 along the *D. fuchsii* branch, and the *D. incarnata* branch, respectively. A simple phylogenetic tree has been used for the inference as ((*D. fuchsii*, *D. incarnata*), *O. italica*). Using the initial list of tested contigs as reference set and the genes under positive selection on each branch as the test sets, GO term enrichments were determined with Fisher’s exact tests and a *p*-value <.01 in `BLAST2GO` to place the genes in a meaningful context. The GO terms were summarized with `REVIGO` as explained above.

### 2.4 Abundance estimation

For analyses of DE, the filtered RNAseq reads were mapped to the *Dactylorhiza* reference using `STAR v.2.4.1b` (Dobin et al., 2013) with default settings allowing for up to 20 multiple mapping reads. A unigene-level table of counts was obtained with `CORSET` v.1.0.4 (Davidsson & Oshlack, 2014), by filtering out any transcripts with fewer than 18 reads aligning over the nine samples. `CORSET` uses multimapping reads to hierarchically cluster similar transcripts based on their expression patterns and proportion of shared reads. Three different methods within `BIOCONECTOR` were used for DE analyses, `DESEQ2 v. 1.6.3` (Anders & Huber, 2010), `BAYSeq v. 2.0.50` (Hardcastle & Kelly, 2010) and `EDGE v. 3.8.6` (Robinson, McCarthy, & Smyth, 2010). Clusters were considered DE if they were detected in each of the three methods used at an FDR <.05, in order to reduce the rate of false positives. Using a custom python script, the longest transcript from each `CORSET` cluster was extracted and used for further GO enrichment analyses in `BLAST2GO v.3.2.7` (Conesa & Götz, 2008). Fisher’s exact tests were implemented at a threshold *p*-value of .01, using as the reference set the list of the longest contigs for each cluster in the data. Overrepresented GO terms were then summarized using `REVIGO` (http://revigo.irb.hr, Supek, Bošnjak, Škunca, & Šmuc, 2011), applying thinning based on semantic similarity with the `SimRel` algorithm (Schlicker, Domungues, Rahnenführer, & Lengauer, 2006).

### 2.5 Small RNA analysis

For the same *Dactylorhiza* individuals used in the RNA sequencing experiment (i.e., including individual A6b, but excluding iB6, for which not enough leaf material was still available at this stage), we also sequenced leaf sRNAseq libraries as multiplexed 50-bp single-end Illumina libraries (see Appendix S1 and Table 1). After demultiplexing and adapter removal, we selected for each accession the reads with lengths from 20 to 22 nucleotides (hereafter "mi/tasiRNA") and, separately, of 24 nucleotides (hereafter "siRNA") and analysed them as “siRNA” and “miRNA” (Yang et al., 2009), analysing them as two classes. Length-selected sRNA reads were mapped to the *Dactylorhiza* reference transcriptome using `STAR v2.5.1b` (Dobin et al., 2013) not allowing for any mismatch (−outFilterMismatchNmax 0) and discarding any read mapping to more than one contig (−outFilterMultimapNmax 0). These settings for mapping on the *Dactylorhiza* reference transcriptome were chosen to include only unambiguous signals in further analyses. A replicated analysis allowing for one mismatch produced consistent results. Read counts per contig were further summarized in `CORSET` with default settings and the resulting tables of counts were then imported into R. Those contigs showing less than 10 reads in at least three individuals in one of the two species were filtered out. The function `betweenLaneNormalization` in the
A further normalization step based on a factor analysis of putative nondifferentially regulated genes was performed. First, a set of non-differentially regulated empirical control genes was produced in silico by running a preliminary analysis in EDGER (Robinson et al., 2010) and selecting all genes scored as undifferentiated (FDR > 0.95). This list of empirical genes was then used as negative control in the RUVg normalizing function in the R package RUVseq (Risso, Ngai, Speed, & Dudoit, 2014) removing \( k = 2 \) factors of unwanted variation \((k = 1\) or \(3\) were also tested). Results of the applied normalization were checked by plotting the relative log expression (plotRLE function) and the PCA (plotPCA function) across samples using the R package edaseq. The model resulting from the RUVg analysis was then used in EDGER, together with the group assignation, to implement the design of the differential regulation analysis between \( D. fuchsii \) and \( D. incarnata \). The glmLRT function was used in EDGER and a FDR \(<0.05\) was applied as a threshold for identifying differentially regulated transcripts. Differentially overregulated contigs were used in GO enrichment analyses in REVIGO. All transcriptome contigs were set as a reference in Fisher’s exact tests and a \( p\)-value of \(.01\) was applied as a threshold. Overrepresented GOs were then visualized in REVIGO as explained above.

2.6 | Assessment of ecological divergence

We finally aimed to characterize interspecific ecological divergence and place our transcriptomic results in this context. Locality information was collected from Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) using the R package rgbif (available from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages(rgbif)). For robustness, we restricted the data set to localities with known herbarium vouchers. A few additional localities from our personal observations were also added. The geographical information was converted into decimal degrees and cleaned by removing exact duplicate localities. Locally dense sampling was finally reduced by thinning the records to one per 10-km² grid cell. Based on occurrence information at 298 localities for \( D. fuchsii \) and 393 for \( D. incarnata \), spatial environmental data were extracted with RASTER (available from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/raster) from Bioclim (www.worldclim.org/bioclim) and Landsat Tree Cover (http://glcf.umich.edu/data/landsatTreecover/). We removed highly correlated bioclimatic variables in the obtained data set using a threshold of \(0.80\) using the package caret (available from https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/caret). This retained only seven of the 19 Bioclim variables for further analyses: annual mean temperature (Bio1), mean diurnal temperature range (Bio2), isothermality (Bio3), mean temperature of warmest quarter (Bio5), annual precipitation (Bio12), precipitation seasonality (Bio15) and precipitation of warmest quarter (Bio18). Ecological niche overlap between the two species was calculated using the package CARET (available from https://cran.r-project.org/ packages/caret). This method calculates the kernel smoothing densities of species occurrences and climatic variables along environmental axes from a PCA. Then, niche overlap along these axes was assessed with three statistical tests: niche similarity/equivalency (Broennimann et al., 2012), Schoener’s D metric (Schoener, 1970) and a niche divergence test (McCormack, Zellmer, & Knowles, 2010) based on the differences in PCA scores between species in comparison with the differences in scores for distinct “background regions” for each species. For these tests, we extracted climate data from 2,000 randomly selected points (background region). These points were randomly selected from polygons around the projected coordinate occurrences with a 10-km buffer. For the null distribution of D statistics, we used 1,000 replicates and a grid environmental value of 100. For the differences in PCA scores in the niche divergence test, to calculate the 95% confidence interval, we used a resampling approach based on 1,000 replicates of 500 samples for each species’ background region.

Finally, soil pH at ca. 7 cm depth near 45 \( D. incarnata \) and 19 \( D. fuchsii \) plants has been measured with a Direct Soil pH Meter HI99121 (Hanna Instruments) across nine and, respectively, five populations from Britain, Scandinavia, Alps and Pyrenees between 2010 and 2015. The data have been summarized with box plots, and significance of the differences between the pH preferences of the two species has been tested with a \( t\) test in R.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Variation analyses and rates of sequence evolution

Mapping efficiency on the Orchis reference transcriptome ranged from 77% (FP1) to 87% (FB5) in \( D. fuchsii \) and from 82% (IB0) to 87% (IA6) for \( D. incarnata \) individuals. Initially, the gatk (McKenna et al., 2010) variant calling pipeline identified 23,185 indels and 727,350 SNPs in the data. After retaining only SNPs and implementing a set of variant filters in gatk, we retained 682,118 high-quality SNPs, of which 11,583 were multiallelic across the Dactylorhiza–Orchis group. The average transition/transversion rate found was 1.61. Heterozygosity was higher in \( D. fuchsii \) individuals than in \( D. incarnata \) (Figure 1), resulting in increased inbreeding coefficient \( F\) in \( D. incarnata \) (Table 2).

![FIGURE 1 Violin plot of the proportions of heterozygous SNPs per individual among the 682,118 high-quality, filtered variant positions [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]](image)
Between individuals, diversity measures and the number of private alleles were also higher in *D. fuchsii* individuals than those in *D. incarnata* (Table 2). A maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree (Fig. S3) and a PCA based on cSNPs (Fig. S4a) separated clearly the two species. Less significant, but clear geographical patterns were also visible.

The positive selection analyses included 5,082, 3,290 and 2,923 indel-free pairwise CDS alignments longer than 150 bp for *D. fuchsii*, *D. incarnata* and *D. fuchsii-D. incarnata*, respectively (Table 3). The average values of \( K_s \) and \( K_a \), as indicated by \( \text{KAKS}_{\text{CALCULATOR}} \) (Zhang et al., 2006) analyses, were similar for both *Dactylorhiza*–*Orchis* comparisons (i.e., \( K_s = 0.1 \) and, respectively, \( K_a = 0.02 \)), whereas for the *D. fuchsii-D. incarnata* comparison lower values have been obtained as expected because of their more recent divergence (\( K_s = 0.062 \), \( K_a = 0.014 \); Fig. S5). Based on the \( K_a \) values and the divergence time of ca. 16.75 Ma previously estimated for the split *Dactylorhiza*–*Orchis* (Givnish et al., 2015; Ina, Pimentel, & Chase, 2012), the ancestral lineages of the two *Dactylorhiza* species split around 10.4 Ma. Between 61% and 67% of transcripts with alignments showed signs of purifying selection (i.e., \( K_s/K_a < 1 \)), FDR < 0.1; Table 3). For the pair *D. fuchsii-D. incarnata*, 41 putative CDS were inferred to be under positive selection by the approximate MYN method implemented in \( \text{KAKS}_{\text{CALCULATOR}} \) (i.e., \( K_s/K_a > 1 \), FDR < 0.1), of which 35 (Appendix S2) were confirmed (i.e., \( \alpha > 1 \), \( p < .05 \)) with the maximum-likelihood approach implemented in \( \text{PAML} \) (Yang, 2007). Among them, \( \text{CODEML} \) branch-site model tests have indicated 18 CDS to be under positive selection on the *D. fuchsii* branch and 14 on the *D. incarnata* branch. Enrichment tests found for the *D. fuchsii* and *D. incarnata* branches 27 and 56 enriched GO terms, respectively (Appendix S2), related mostly to response to stress, primarily biotic, but also abiotic (Figure 2, Fig. S6).

### Table 2 Summary of cSNP diversity measures in the *Dactylorhiza* transcriptions

| Species       | \( H_0 \) | \( F \) | \( P \) | \( \pi \) |
|---------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|
| *D. fuchsii*  | 0.12      | 0.73   | 0.40   |        |
| *D. incarnata*| 0.09      | 0.79   | 0.24   |        |
| *D. fuchsii*  | 0.08      | 0.81   | 0.16   |        |
| *D. incarnata*| 0.12      | 0.72   | 0.25   |        |

\( H_0 \), observed proportion of heterozygous SNPs; \( F \), per-individual inbreeding coefficient; \( P \), ratio of private variants (i.e., singlets or doubletons) to total number of variant sites; \( \pi \), nucleotide diversity per species averaged over all biallelic SNP positions with more than two genotypes present per group. Species values are given in bold.

### Table 3 Summary of the \( \text{KAKS}_{\text{CALCULATOR}} \) analysis of rates of sequence evolution in pairwise alignments over 150 bp of the *Dactylorhiza* species and *O. italica*

| Species     | Alignments | \( \text{AvKs} \) | \( \text{AvKa} \) | Purifying | Positive |
|-------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|
| *D. fuchsii*–*O. italica* | 5,082 | 0.096 | 0.022 | 3,416 | 79 |
| *D. incarnata*–*O. italica* | 3,290 | 0.096 | 0.021 | 2,059 | 66 |
| *D. fuchsii*–*D. incarnata* | 2,923 | 0.062 | 0.014 | 1,790 | 41 |

\( \text{AvKs} \), average synonymous substitution rate; \( \text{AvKa} \), average nonsynonymous substitution rate; purifying and positive – the number of putative CDS showing \( K_s/K_a < 1 \) and, respectively, \( > 1 \) at an FDR < 0.1.

### 3.2 Abundance estimation and DE analyses

The table of counts included 41,851 retained clusters (i.e., unigenes). A PCA (Fig. S4b) drawn with \( \text{edger} \) confirmed that gene expression variation between the two *Dactylorhiza* species explained the largest principal component (70.5% of the total variation), but some geographical structure was also evident, in particular in *D. incarnata*. Reflecting the substantial interspecific expression variation, DE tests indicated a significant difference in expression between the two *Dactylorhiza* species (Fig. S7), ranging from 14,165 clusters (\( \text{edger} \) to 14,866 clusters (\( \text{BAYSEQ} \)). A total of 13,157 DE clusters were present in each of the three tests at an FDR < 0.05; of these, 47% were found to be overexpressed in *D. fuchsii*. Some of these genes have not yet been characterized, but Fisher’s exact tests on annotated genes identified 184 enriched GO terms with overexpressed elements in *D. fuchsii* and 133 in *D. incarnata* (Appendix S3). The GO terms that had elements affected by DE were of a broad spectrum (Figure 3, Fig. S8): photosynthesis, chlorophyll and pigment binding, ribosome biogenesis, generation of precursor metabolites and energy, protein–chromophore linkage, structural molecule activity and tetrapyrrole binding were some of the significantly enriched GO terms in upregulation of both *D. fuchsii* and *D. incarnata*, but representing different transcripts. Enriched GO terms specifically overexpressed in *D. fuchsii* included, for example, response to temperature, carbohydrate storage, systemic acquired resistance, organonitrogen metabolism and cysteine biosynthetic process (Figure 3a, Fig. S8a). For *D. incarnata*, specific enriched overexpressed GO terms included a variety of fluid transmembrane transport-related GO terms, light harvesting in photosystem I, carbohydrate catabolic processes, DNA integration, RNA-dependent DNA polymerase activity, but also, perhaps importantly, the induced systemic resistance, detection of stimulus and nitric oxide signal transduction (Figure 3b, Fig. S4b).

### 3.3 Small RNA analysis

After selection by length, we retained an average of 3.1 million 20- to 22-nt-long reads per sample and an average of 1.5 million 24-nt-long reads per sample, respectively. Average mapping efficiency of these two categories of reads to the *Dactylorhiza* reference transcriptome was 30.1% (SD = 2.8%) and 21.3% (SD = 6.7%), respectively. This is in line with the expectation that an important part of
sRNAs target genomic regions outside of the transcriptome (e.g., introns, repetitive elements and promoters), albeit some of the active repetitive elements and parts of introns can be represented in the reference transcriptome constructed from a total RNA library.

Clustering of the samples (Fig. S4c,d), based on the siRNAs and, respectively, the mi/taisRNAs confirmed a significant divergence in regulation between the two species. The differential regulation tests resulted in 1,232 contigs overregulated by mi/taisRNAs in D. fuchsii and 1,449 contigs in D. incarnata over a total of 9,848 transcripts passing initial filters. Enrichment tests identified 48 enriched GO terms with mi/taisRNAs overregulated in D. fuchsii and 38 in D. incarnata. DNA integration, DNA metabolism, nitrogen metabolism, RNA-directed DNA polymerase (RdDp) activity, nucleic acid binding, heterocyclic and organic cyclic compound binding are some of the significantly enriched GO terms in the set of contigs overregulated in D. fuchsii (Fig. S9). In D. incarnata, several of the enriched GO terms were related to organelle function (e.g., light harvesting in photosystem I, protein-chromophore linkage, chlorophyll and pigment binding) and some to iron transmembrane transport. Concerning the siRNA, 6,001 contigs passed initial filters and 855 and 1,045 contigs were overregulated in D. fuchsii and D. incarnata, respectively. Fisher’s exact tests gave evidence for 35 GO terms (Fig. S10) with elements overregulated by siRNAs in D. fuchsii and 30 in D. incarnata. In this case, the most significant GO terms enriched in both species appeared related to DNA integration, DNA metabolism, nucleic acid metabolism, binding, nucleic acid binding, zinc ion binding, cation binding and heterocyclic and organic cyclic compound binding (Fig. S10). Several GO terms specifically overregulated in D. fuchsii included metabolism and modifications of small nuclear (snRNA), small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNA) and pseudouridine synthesis.

3.4 | Ecological differentiation

As Bioclim parameters have a resolution of 30 arc seconds (ca. 1 km²), they are considered representative for the macrohabitat preference of each species. A test of climatic niche overlap (Broennimann et al., 2012) indicated that overall, the (macro)habitats of the two species were similar (D = 0.75; similarity tests in both directions with p < .01), but an equivalency test (p = .002) indicated that the climatic niches of the two species were not completely identical. Accordingly, a divergence test of the climatic niches (McCormack et al., 2010) showed a significant differentiation on the PC2 niche axis (mean divergence = −0.36, null hypothesis −0.21 to 0.13; paired samples Student’s t = −3.650, df = 624.5, p < .001), summarizing annual mean temperature (BIO1), isothermality (BIO3), mean temperature of wettest quarter (BIO8), annual precipitation (BIO12) and precipitation seasonality (BIO15), but not on PC1 axis (mean divergence = 0.23, null hypothesis = 0.15–0.55; paired samples Student’s t = 2.437, df = 632.84, p = .01) including mean diurnal temperature range (BIO2) and precipitation of warmest quarter (BIO18).

The tree cover data of 30-m resolution are considered here a microhabitat descriptor. Significant differences in tree cover were apparent between the localities occupied by the two species (Student’s t = 6.776, df = 641.11, p < .001; Figure 4). Another microhabitat descriptor soil pH directly measured in the immediate vicinity of plants indicated a significantly divergent preference for soil acidity (Student’s t = −3.535, df = 62, p < .001, Figure 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

Orchids make up the largest angiosperm family, but the molecular variation shaping this important biodiversity is still little understood (Givnish et al., 2015). Here, we have identified thousands of transcripts with distinct alleles, expression patterns and/or post-transcriptional regulation between two food-deceptive, terrestrial orchid species that are currently parapatric across Europe. We show that despite their generally shared distribution area, the two Dactylorhiza species inhabit distinct niches, as the microenvironmental conditions they prefer differ significantly with regard to soil acidity and tree cover (Figure 4), but also with respect to temperature evenness over...
the year and annual precipitation. If maintained over generations, such deviating ecological preferences likely triggered distinct selection that in combination to specific demographic histories have moulded different genomic landscapes between the two species (Slotte, Foxe, Hazzouri, & Wright, 2010).

The current prevalence of *D. incarnata* within small, localized populations (i.e., subhabitat specialization) over a highly fragmented distribution resulting in a Wahlund effect (Hedren & Nordstrom, 2009) is corroborated here with considerable levels of inbreeding of this taxon. In turn, *D. fuchsii*, which currently grows in larger, diffuse populations (Kull & Hutchings, 2006), exhibits higher levels of heterozygosity and, in general, higher genetic diversity (Table 2). We estimate the lineages leading to *D. fuchsii* and *D. incarnata* to have diverged in the second half of Miocene, most probably within the first million years after *Dactylorhiza* split from its sister clade (Givnish et al., 2015). An early split of the clades of the two species has previously been demonstrated in phylogenetic (Bateman et al., 2003) and population genetic studies (Balao et al., 2016; Hedren, 1996) that described significant genetic divergence between them. The two *Dactylorhiza* species studied here can therefore be considered to be representative for the depth of molecular variation in the genus, retaining effects of natural selection throughout their evolutionary histories.

4.1 | Protein sequence evolution, positive selection and biotic pressure

Nonsynonymous mutations may trigger novel functions and new phenotypes and are hence expected to be under strong selective
Our results further bring evidence that positive selection elevated the interspecific $K_a/K_s$ ratios across at least 1.2% of orthologous genes. This estimate is, however, limited to a cohort of protein-coding sequences that were expressed in both Dactylorhiza species at the time of tissue sampling in the common garden and also had an ortholog in the O. italica reference. Hence, our inferences may be mainly representative for constitutively expressed genes/exons, rather than those transcribed only as direct response to stimuli or stressors. In addition, given the long history of the two species and therefore the substantial amount of synonymous substitutions that could have accumulated, our test for positive selection requires a fairly large number of codons per gene to be affected by positive selection in order for $K_a/K_s$ to significantly exceed one. Therefore, the stringent approach we used likely uncovers rather extreme cases where positive selection is operating (Roth & Liberles, 2006).

Consistent with a dynamic evolution of protein sequences shaped by exposure to habitat-specific pathogens and herbivores (Brown & Tellier, 2011), the most frequent and overrepresented functions among the selected genes along the phylogenetic branches of the two Dactylorhiza species are linked to biotic responses. The type and strength of biotic stress imposed on plants depends heavily on both geography and environmental context, but also on host genotype and ability of plants to resist particular stressors (Tiffin & Moeller, 2006). For example, given the open versus more forested habitats specific for D. incarnata and D. fuchsii, respectively, each of these species had most probably to adapt to distinct herbivores. Plant responses to herbivores and pathogens (i.e., host-pathogens interactions, leading to pathogen recognition and host defence systems; Chisholm, Coaker, Day, & Staskawicz, 2006) are generally context dependent, moulded by the surrounding plant communities, local nutrient conditions and the plant metapopulation dynamics and structure, likely distinct in the long-term between the two species investigated here (Hedrén & Nordström, 2009; Pillon et al., 2007). Aiming to minimize frequency and impact of attack, the diverse defences that plants specifically evolved appear to include both physical and chemical adaptations. The specific genes that have been found to be under lineage-specific positive selection with our approach (see Section “2”) and their GO term enriched in the respective test are discussed below.

On the D. fuchsii branch (Figure 2a, Fig. S6a), evidence for adaptive evolution is found for a homolog of DEFENSIN J1-2, known to inhibit the growth of pathogenic fungi by modifying their morphology or physiology, disrupting or killing exogenous cells (Thomma, Cammue, & Thevissen, 2002). Another candidate gene for adaptive evolution on the D. fuchsii branch is a homolog of HA1 PHOSPHATASE 2C 78, which is involved in defence response mechanisms by deposition of callose, a polysaccharide produced as a response to wounding, but also in response to drought and salt stress (Zhang et al., 2013). HA1 is further involved in the pathway of the endoplasmic reticulum response to an accumulation of unfolded or misfolded proteins on stress (Wahyu Indra Duwi, Lee, & Lee, 2013). A D. fuchsii homolog of TETRAKTIDE $\alpha$-PYRONE REDUCTASE 2 also shows a significantly elevated $K_a/K_s$ ratio. This is part of the DIHYDROKAMPFEROL 4-REDUCTASE activity with a role in flavonoid biosynthesis, again potentially linked to plant defence. Finally, a homolog of 3-KETOACYL-SYNTHASE 10, with a role in developing cuticular wax, is also evidenced as a target of positive selection on the D. fuchsii branch, potentially in response to viral pressure (Lolle et al., 1997).

On the other hand, along the history of D. incarnata (Figure 2b, Fig. S6b), positive selection acted on a POLYPHENOL OXIDASE homolog with a putative role in the activity of CATECHOL OXIDASE as a response to plant tissue damage, producing ortho-benzoquinones that further polymerize to form melanins, protecting plant wounds against further microbial attack (Queiroz, Mendes Lopes, Fialho, & Valente-Mesquita, 2008). Adaptive evolution also appears to have affected a PRIMARY AMINE OXIDASE homolog potentially involved in cell wall maturation and lignification during development, as well as wound healing and cell wall reinforcement during pathogen invasion (Cona, Rea, Angelini, Federico, & Tavladoraki, 2006). The S-ADENOSYLMETHIONINE SYNTHETASE orthologs appear to have undergone specific adaptive evolution, independently during both D. fuchsii and D. incarnata histories. S-adenosylmethionine acts as a universal methyl group donor in transmethylation reactions, but also serves as a precursor to the phytohormone ethylene, implicated in the control of numerous developmental processes, and regulating resistance to bacterial and fungal pathogens, nematodes and lepidopteran herbivores (Wang, Li, & Ecker, 2002).
Further adaptive evolution specific to the *D. incarnata* history has affected elements of phenylpropanoid biosynthesis, namely a LACCASE homolog with a putative role in formation of lignin and a PHENYLALANINE AMMONIA-LYASE (PAL) homolog potentially involved in alkaloid biosynthesis. Apart their role in defence mechanisms against herbivores and pathogens, phenylpropanoids have been shown, for example in the orchid *Phalaenopsis*, to enhance protection to UV light (Ali, Khatun, Hahn, & Paek, 2006), potentially relevant for *D. incarnata* given its preference for more open habitats compared to *D. fuchsii*. In this context, we also detected adaptive evolution along the *D. incarnata* branch of a homolog of TRANS-MEMBRANE 9 SUPERFAMILY MEMBER 4 with a putative role in base excision repair, a cellular DNA repair mechanism.

Elevated evolution of further protein-coding sequences potentially related to abiotic-imposed selection in *D. incarnata* includes a SUGAR CARRIER C homolog, potentially involved in hexose transmembrane transport likely linked to hypoxia (Gharbi, Ricard, Smiti, Bizid, & Brouquisse, 2009) that the roots of *D. incarnata* may suffer, given the high soil moisture to which this species adapted (Paun et al., 2011). Preferences for distinct soil chemistry (i.e., organic vs. mineral soil typically preferred by *D. incarnata* and *D. fuchsii*, respectively) and soil pH may be linked to elevated rates of evolution of an INORGANIC PHOSPHATE TRANSPORTER 1-8 homolog in *D. incarnata* and of POTASSIUM TRANSPORTER 9 in *D. fuchsii*. Finally, a MOLYBDENUM TRANSPORTER 2 homolog underwent adaptive evolution along the *D. fuchsii* history. Molybdate is an essential plant micronutrient that regulates, for example, nitrate reduction to nitrite and plays a role in redox reactions, and its availability and efficiency of its uptake from soil are highly dependent on soil pH (Tomatsu et al., 2007). Altogether, these candidates open up the ground for further research to identify the specific functional impact of codon evolution, its physiological implications and potential transferability of defence gene variants to cultivated orchids.

### 4.2 Divergent regulation of expression and abiotic preference

We further explored differentiation in gene expression rates between the two *Dactylorhiza* species in a common garden setting. Our results estimate around a third of the identified orthologous genes show significant DE. This extensive expression divergence could be the result of both adaptation and drift and appears mid-range compared to other pairs of plant species that diverged within a similar time frame (*Brassica rapa* and *B. oleracea* diverged ca. 13 Ma and show ca. 22% DE, Ji et al., 2013; e.g., *Gossypium* species diverged 5–10 Ma and show up to 53% DE, Rapp, Udall, & Wendel, 2009).

The uncovered DE between the two orchid species affected genes involved in various biosynthetic and metabolic processes—with several GO term enrichments that may be consistent with adaptation (i.e., long-term constitutive expression divergence) and/or acclimation to multiple abiotic (and biotic) stresses, some of them likely specific for the conditions of the common garden. We further exemplify gene ontology terms of a potential ecological relevance, which have been found to be enriched within the group of DE genes.

The shaded common garden mimicked more closely the light preferences of *D. fuchsii*. Light is one of the most important environmental factors for plants, providing an energy source, but also governing their developmental programme (Neff, Fankhauser, & Chory, 2000). Our tree cover analysis shows a significant difference in preference for the openness of the habitats for *D. incarnata* and *D. fuchsii* (Figure 4b). The need to enhance efficiency of light absorption corroborates an observed overexpression in *D. incarnata* of 14 chlorophyll binding transcripts, part of the light harvesting pathway in photosystem I, which is the most significantly enriched biological process (p = 6.2 × 10⁻⁶) for upregulation in this species (Figure 3b). This further correlates to a significant increase in mi/tasiRNAs targeting of this pathway in *D. incarnata* (i.e., affecting five chlorophyll binding transcripts; enrichment p = 3.1 × 10⁻²). This may indicate a dynamic regulation of acclimation to shaded conditions in *D. incarnata*. Further gene expression differences potentially increasing photosynthetic efficiency, this time in the way light is used to reduce molecules in light reaction, are visible in 63 transcripts overexpressed in *D. fuchsii* (or with decreased expression in *D. incarnata*, corresponding also to increased targeting by mi/tasiRNAs of 15 transcripts in this pathway, enriched at p = 1.1 × 10⁻⁶). Broadly, transcripts with a putative role in photosynthesis appear to have higher expression (Figure 3a; enriched at p = 1.6 × 10⁻¹⁰) and to be less targeted by mi/tasiRNAs (Fig. 5b; enriched at p = 6.1 × 10⁻⁷) in *D. fuchsii* in comparison with *D. incarnata*—a pattern that may mirror the long-term preference of the former for more shaded environments.

In turn, the location of the common garden has likely featured rather alien temperature conditions for *D. fuchsii* (i.e., higher temperature in summer, limited snow cover in winter), giving that no population of this species grows within at least 50 km radius around the city, whereas some *D. incarnata* stands are present even within the city boundary (e.g., compare distributions on www.gbif.at). Indeed, for *D. fuchsii* we identified a significant overexpression of 55 annotated transcripts putatively involved in a response to temperature (enriched at p = .0011). This abiotic stress can trigger misfolding of newly synthesized proteins and denaturation of existing proteins (Barnabas, Jäger, & Feher, 2008). Genes known to be upregulated as response to high temperature stress (e.g., Altenbach, 2012) and having a homolog found to be overexpressed in *D. fuchsii* include, for example, heat-shock proteins (i.e., *HSP70* kDa17 and *DNAJ HSP40* homologs), a GLYCERALDEHYDE-3-PHOSPHATE DEHYDROGENASE (GADPH A), a GALACTINOL SYNTHASE and a TRIOSEPHOSPHATE ISOMERASE homolog involved in glycolysis. The uncovered ecological divergence in bioclimatic variables such as annual mean temperature (BIO1) is congruent with a significant divergence in expression of genes related to a temperature response.

### 4.3 Genome stability and small RNA regulation

Gene expression is generally governed by a complex combination of regulators, including among others transcription factors, splicing
factors and miRNAs (Warnefors & Eyre-Walker, 2011). The comparative analysis of sRNA profiles confirms that the extensive differences in gene expression between the Dactylorhiza species are complemented by divergent post-transcriptional regulations. miRNAs function as negative regulators of gene expression via translational repression or mRNA cleavage (Borges & Martienssen, 2015) and are known to mediate response to genomic or environmental stresses ( Ding, Tao, & Zhu, 2013; Iwasnuta et al., 2011). From the transcripts that were targeted by miRNAs and/or tasiRNAs, 27.2% were found to be differentially regulated between the two orchid species, with deviating post-transcriptional regulation of metabolism (e.g., nucleic acids, aromatic compounds, tetrpyrrole and alkaloid metabolism targeted in D. fuchsi, and DNA and tetrpyrrole metabolism targeted in D. incarnata) and binding (Fig. S9). As discussed above, we observed an increased targeting by mi/tasiRNA of photosynthetic pathways in D. incarnata, potentially as an acclimation response to the shaded conditions in the common garden. Likely in connection to its photosynthetic activity, the starch transitory breakdown including maltose metabolism is also overregulated in D. incarnata. Starch represents an overflow of photosynthetically fixed carbon that is exported from chloroplasts at night, predominantly as maltose (Lu & Sharkey, 2006). Inhibition of maltose metabolism slows down starch degradation, which has been reported to be a response to changes in photoperiod length, circadian clock and temperature (Lu & Sharkey, 2006).

Importantly, our results point to distinct strategies and efficiency in the maintenance of genome stability between the two orchid species. In the RNAseq data, RdDp activity (enriched at \( p = .0016 \); with 60 transcripts overexpressed, representing in particular Ty3-gypsy subclass), mainly associated with retrotransposons and retroviruses, together with the process of DNA integration (193 transcripts, enriched at \( p = 9.1 \times 10^{-4} \)) appear to be overexpressed in D. incarnata (Figure 3, Fig. S8). Moreover, the RdDp activity shows increased control by mi/tasiRNAs in D. fuchsi (20 transcripts, enriched at \( p = .003 \); Fig. S9c). These suggest a significant increase in activity of class I TEs in D. incarnata compared to D. fuchsi, which over time may have triggered their difference in genome size. In this context, it is notable that the two species exhibit different effective population sizes (Balao et al., 2016), reflected in higher inbreeding in D. incarnata, potentially as an increased targeting by miRNAs in the two Dactylorhiza species (Warnefors & Eyre-Walker, 2011). GO enrichment analyses of overregulated sRNAs for each species revealed that the most meaningful biological processes appear related to DNA integration, DNA metabolism and other processes related to nucleic acid binding (Fig. S10). These biological processes are congruent with the presumed defence function of sRNAs in plants against the proliferation of endogenous TEs or invading viruses through transcriptional or post-transcriptional gene silencing (Carrington & Ambros, 2003). However, sRNAs could also indirectly affect gene expression of adjacent genes in the proximity of TEs (Hollister et al., 2011). Thus, changes in expression in sRNAs could ultimately be adaptive and evolve quickly under natural selection (Chapman & Carrington, 2007).

### 4.4 Implications

Altogether, the distinct responses to selection accumulated during the history of the two parapatric Dactylorhiza species investigated here appear governed by their divergent microenvironmental context, in which biotic and abiotic pressures act synergistically to shape transcriptome structure, expression and regulation. Apart from adaptation to divergent abiotic conditions, distinct habitat affiliation of nascent species requires divergent adaptation to abiotic conditions, if they exceed possibilities granted by phenotypic plasticity. However, distinct microhabitat preferences readily require divergent defence strategies in order to detect and respond to specific pathogens and herbivores (e.g., Turcotte, Davies, Thomsen, & Johnson, 2014). The divergent mechanisms of biotic responses apparent between the two Dactylorhiza species both at the level of coding sequence evolution, but also in the rates of expression across the systemic acquired resistance (upregulated in D. fuchsi, enriched at \( p < .005 \)) and the induced systemic resistance (overexpressed in D. incarnata, enriched at \( p < .01 \)), are remarkable given their obligate orchid-mycorrhiza interactions (Tupac Otero & Flanagan, 2006). Modulation of plant defence responses could have detrimental secondary effects on their symbiotic relationship. On the other hand, the plant mechanism of mycorrhiza recognition may constitutively activate systemic plant immune responses (Pozo, Verhage, García-Andrade, García, & Azcón-Aguilar, 2009). Such activation can lead to a primed state of the plant that allows for a more efficient defence mechanisms in response to attack by potential enemies. Although Dactylorhiza species associate with a wide range of common and generalist mycorrhizal fungi, these two species show an incipient differentiation in mycorrhizal spectra (Jacquemyn, Deja, De hert, Cachapa Bailarote, & Lievens, 2012).

The detailed transcriptome knowledge for Dactylorhiza gathered in this study provides critical resources to understand evolution after hybridization and whole-genome duplication, phenomena especially frequent in this genus. In particular, the hybridization of the two species analysed here iteratively formed a myriad of morphologically and ecologically distinct sibling allopolyploids (Pillon et al., 2007). We demonstrate here an extensive molecular divergence between the two diploids, which suggests an extensive genomic and transcriptomic shock in their hybrids (McClintock, 1984) and offers potential insights into the difficulties of coexistence for these two genomes at the homoploid level (Paun, Forest, Fay, & Chase, 2009). In turn, whole-genome duplication was proposed to alleviate the transcriptomic shock of hybridization between divergent progenitors (Hegarty et al., 2006). The present study hence contributes useful resources and background information to further explore allelic interactions between parental genomes when combined in a single duplicated nucleus. This notably leads to altered reprogramming of gene expression, including
dominance and transgressive patterns that may promote metabolic and developmental changes, stress tolerance and increased fitness in allopolyploids (Chen, 2013).
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