Graphene is a new generation material, which finds potential and practical applications in a vast range of research areas. It has unrivalled characteristics, chiefly in terms of electronic conductivity, mechanical robustness and large surface area, which allow the attainment of outstanding performances in the material science field. Some unneglectable issues, such as the high cost of production at high quality and corresponding scarce availability in large amounts necessary for mass scale distribution, slow down graphene widespread utilization; however, in the last decade both basic academic and applied industrial materials research have achieved remarkable breakthroughs thanks to the implementation of graphene and related 1D derivatives. In this work, after briefly recalling the main characteristics of graphene, we present an extensive overview of the most recent advances in the development of the Li-ion battery anodes granted by the use of neat and engineered graphene and related 1D materials. Being far from totally exhaustive, due to the immense scientific production in the field yearly, we chiefly focus here on the role of graphene in materials modification for performance enhancement in both half and full lithium-based cells and give some insights on related promising perspectives.
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heat-spreading solutions, which include heat sinks or films used for dissipating heat [9]. This finds interesting applications in both microelectronics (e.g., to make LED lighting more efficient and longer lasting) and in larger applications, such as thermal foils for mobile devices. Graphene has a lot of other promising applications, such as anti-corrosion coatings [10] and paints [11], efficient and precise sensors [12], faster and efficient electronics [13], flexible displays, efficient solar panels, faster DNA sequencing [14], and drug delivery [15]. Due to its peculiar structural-morphological characteristics and due to the highest surface-area to volume ratio, graphene holds highly-promising prospects for use in energy storage devices, viz batteries and supercapacitors [16].

Energy storage systems are the new frontiers in energy research. Among all of the available battery systems and, in particular, lithium-based ones are the most representative ones. Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are at the core of a strong research interest due to their excellent performances of excellent energy-to-weight ratio, high voltage at open circuit, limited self-discharge rate, no memory effect and long charge/discharge life [17]. Firstly commercialized by Sony in 1990 [18], LIBs rapidly have become the energy storage device of choice in the worldwide market of power supply for portable electronic devices [19]. Nowadays, the best commercial LIBs are able to deliver capacities up to thousands of mAh at high 2–3C current rate, with an elevated energy density of up to hundreds of Wh kg\(^{-1}\) [20,21]. The international tendency of original equipment manufacturers (OEM) is to move towards solid state systems coupled with advanced electrode materials as a solution for replacing the current liquid electrolyte-based LIBs. The main reason is the necessity to achieve enhanced energy density while employing inherently safer energy storage devices. In particular, based on the “Strategic Energy Technology Plan (SET Plan) Implementation Plan for Action 7 (‘Batteries’)” [22], for the so-called generation 4a (standard NMC/Si based LIBs with solid-state electrolyte), an energy density >350 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) and >1000 Wh L\(^{-1}\) is expected in the very next future, while for generation 4b (solid-state Li-metal batteries) an even higher energy density >400 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) and >1200 Wh L\(^{-1}\); in addition, fast charge rates above 10C allowing power density values >10,000 W kg\(^{-1}\) are foreseen as 2030 target.

The rapid technological advancements in the energy storage field have led to a fast-growing interest in the use of graphene and related 1D materials in secondary batteries, as the smart exploitation of the overall potential of graphene can greatly enhance many characteristics of common LIBs and provide improved chemical stability, enhanced electrical conductivity and higher specific capacity output. In this respect, after an initial overview on graphene properties, also focusing on the main achievements and issues, here we extensively review some recent advancements in the use of graphene and related 1D materials as smart additives in the production of advanced lithium battery electrodes, finally highlighting some future ideas and prospects.

2. Graphene and Graphene Related Materials Properties

2.1. Inside Mechanical Properties of Graphene

“Graphene is the strongest material ever tested”; with this sentence Lee et al. [23] declared the extraordinary properties of this material with an intrinsic tensile strength of 130.5 GPa, a Young’s modulus of 1 TPa, a specific surface area of up to 2630 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) [24], and a thermal conductivity of up to 3000 Wm\(^{-1}\)K\(^{-1}\) [25,26]. The impressive mechanical properties are amongst the reasons that make graphene one of the most investigated materials as a reinforcing agent in composites. Its exceptional mechanical properties are due to the stability of the sp\(^2\) bonds that forms the hexagonal structure and does not permit a variety of in-plane deformations [27]. The maximum stress was obtained using the equation:

\[
\sigma_{m}^{2D} = \left( \frac{FE_{2D}}{4\pi R} \right)^{1/2}
\]

(1)

where \(E_{2D}\) is the second order elastic stiffness, \(R\) is the tip radius and \(F\) is the applied force. However, the breaking strength of 55 N m\(^{-1}\), which can be obtained by this equation, cannot be considered
accurate, because the model used ignores non-linear behavior. Both from experimental works and from simulations, the breaking force was almost identical. The elastic stiffness value for the second order is equal to $E_{2D} = 340 \pm 50 \text{ N m}^{-1}$. Assuming an effective thickness of 0.335 nm, this value corresponds to a Young’s modulus of $E = 1.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ TPa}$ [23], as summarized in Figure 1.

Zandiatashbar et al. [28] have studied the effect of defects on the intrinsic strength and stiffness of graphene. Interestingly, both properties in graphene are maintained also with a defective structure. The breaking strength, in fact, is only 14% lower than that of pristine graphene dropping significantly.

The toughness of graphene is similar to most commercially available fibers [29,30] and carbon membranes used for gas filtration [31] and depends upon their weakest link where failure initiates [32]. Furthermore, the attempts to scale up the production of large graphene sheets lead to the formation of a material that contains numerous defects, and thus possesses inferior mechanical properties [27]. The outstanding mechanical properties of graphene allow for its use for the fabrication of highly flexible self-standing electrodes [33,34].

2.2. The Electrical Properties of Graphene

Graphene elementary cell is composed by three $\sigma$ bonds, the $p$ orbitals are perpendicular to the $sp^2$ plane and this allow the delocalized $\pi$ bond. Thus the electrons presents in the $\pi$ bond are free to move in all the plane [35–37]. As consequence, graphene has extremely high electronic conductivity, and it exhibits semi-integer quantum Hall effect, bipolar electric field effect, superconductivity, high carrier rate, and excellent electrical properties [26]. At room temperature, its carrier mobility can reach 15,000 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ thousands of times higher than carbon black that can reach 5.4 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ [38]. The electrons and holes are free to move as free carriers, as summarized in Figure 2. Altogether, they create an electrical conduction under the action of an external electric field to form macroscopic currents.
Graphene oxide (GO) is a graphene derivative rich in oxygenic functional groups. It has epoxide and hydroxyl groups on its basal lattice, and aldehyde, carboxylic and ketone groups on its layer edge. Hence, GO is a material structurally rich in oxygen, carbon, and hydrogen. Due to the fact that different methods are used to synthesize GO, its composition and non-stoichiometric structure are highly based on the production details [45]. Consequently, numerous studies have proposed several structures of GO, namely the Hofmann, Ruess, Scholz–Boehm, Nakajima–Matsuo, Lerf–Klinowski and Szabo models [46], as shown in Figure 3b–g.

Figure 2. Band structure near the Fermi level of graphene. (A) 2D schematic diagram [39], (B) 3D schematic diagram, and (C) Dirac cone of K and K', which corresponds to the Fermi level of (B). Reproduced, adapted and reprinted with permission from Reference [40].
2.4. Graphene and Related Materials: Productive Processes

The synthesis of graphene can be performed by two main approaches: “destruction” and “construction” methods [51,52]. The destruction methods consist of isolation and delamination of the layers of graphite into single-, bi- and few-layered graphene. These methods usually tend to use a larger precursor like graphite or other carbon-based materials and destroy them to form nano-sized graphene. Generally, the “destruction” methods are highly industrially up-scalable and produce high quality graphene. Nevertheless, some issues remain like the difficulties in forming products with consistent properties, low yield and reliability on the finite graphite precursor—the “construction” methods. In addition to graphite, other carbon sources are also used for the synthesis of graphene and its derivatives. With these methods, graphene is constructed from atomic-sized precursors. While these methods make it possible to produce nearly defect-free materials, production costs are high and the equipment required is often sophisticated.

Generally, both the bottom-up and the top-down methodologies are repetition of the four classical methods that are classified in function of the methodology, namely micromechanical cleavage, liquid-phase mechanical exfoliation, chemical cleavage and exfoliation, and chemical vapor deposition (CVD). A brief description of each method is given below.

2.4.1. Micromechanical Cleavage

In 2004, the Nobel prize winner Novoselov and coworkers published a research article detailing electronic measurements made on single- and few-layered graphene [53]. They obtained graphene by
successively removing layers of graphite from a pencil using an adhesive tape. This technique was
called micromechanical cleavage.

However, the method was not new, the real novelty of this methodology was to realize that the
thin flakes obtained could be further cut into subsequently thinner samples. With this breakthrough,
they were able to perform experiments that demonstrated the electronic structure of the graphene
monolayer, thus allowing the observation of the anomalous quantum Hall effect, confirming the
Dirac-fermionic behavior of charge carriers in graphene.

2.4.2. Liquid-Phase Mechanical Exfoliation

One of the main problems with carbon is that it is insoluble in the most common polar solvents.
This has always created major problems in the study, for example, of carbon nanotubes, since the
tubes tend to aggregate in bundles and cannot be solvated by most commonly available solvents.
The problem was solved by pursuing two strategies. In the first, the nanotubes are mixed with a
solution of water and surfactant and subsequently sonicated. The hydrophobic part of the surfactant
solvates the surface of the nanotube, while the hydrophilic part stabilizes the dispersion in the
solution. In the second strategy, the nanotubes are sonicated directly without the use of the surfactant.
The solvent used, in this case, must have a surface tension close to the surface energy of the
carbonaceous material. This reduces the energy gap between the nanotubes and the solvent by
allowing the nanotubes to separate from their bundles and allows a fraction of the weakly dispersed
nanotubes to persist in solution. To obtain exfoliated graphene in large quantities, the same methods
explained above can be used. The sonication of graphite powder in N-methylpyrrolidone and
subsequent centrifugation creates a dispersion of non-exfoliated graphite plates with few-layered
graphene concentrations below 1% by weight. This dispersion has shown remarkable stability over long
periods [54]. Surfactant-assisted graphene exfoliation is another well-established technique, with many
surfactants being employed [55–60]. The choice of the surfactant and the effect of physicochemical
parameters (e.g., critical micellar concentration, chemical functionalities) were studied by Wang et al. [61].
The authors clearly showed the different behavior between ionic and non-ionic surfactants due to the
different colloidal phases assembled.

2.4.3. Chemical Cleavage and Exfoliation

The chemical reactivity of graphite was first studied in 1859 by Benjamin Brodie during some
attempts to measure the atomic weight of carbon [62]. In his experiment, graphite can be oxidized
using a mixture of nitric acid and potassium chlorate for several days. At the end of this experiments,
he obtained “a substance having a light-yellow color, consisting of minute transparent and brilliant
plates.” He went on evaluating many properties of what he called graphitic acid, nowadays known as
GO. In 1898, Staudenmaier described another method based on the use of an acidic solution of KClO3
for the production of graphitic acid [63]. Nearly a half century later, the Hummers and Offeman method
was used to obtain GO in a “significantly” safer way of synthesis. In this method, GO is obtained
from graphite using a solution of sodium nitrate, sulfuric acid, and potassium permanganate [64].
This method is mostly used to produce graphite oxide in the laboratory. These three methods represent
the early history of GO, but they are still used leading to different kind of GO Poh et al. [65] deeply
described the different properties of rGO produced from GO obtained by using the methods above
mentioned enlightening the effect of different oxygen-containing functionalities on the final properties
of rGO itself. This study proved that the one proposed by Hummers is the most effective method for
the production electroactive materials.

Recently, more sustainable methods are developed to overcome the use of heavy acids and sodium
that is an impurity in the graphite lattice and it is difficult to remove from GO after its production [66].
2.4.4. Chemical Vapor Deposition

The synthesis of graphene using thermal chemical vapor deposition is a recent technique. The first studies date back to 2006 [60] when camphor was used as a precursor to synthesize graphene on Ni sheets. This study has opened up a new processing path for graphene synthesis, however many problems remain to be solved. Currently there is still a lack of control over the number of layers and the reduction of the number of folds of the graphite surface. Despite these problems, CVD allows good reproducibility of good quality graphene. Furthermore, this technique is quite versatile and can be applied to different substrates of different sizes. In fact, new paths are being developed over time for the application of graphene in photovoltaic and flexible electronics. We will soon see the possibility of growing graphene even on wafer-sized substrates, thus increasing the use of graphene in real applications [61].

2.5. Consideration on Cost-Effectiveness of Graphene and Related Materials

In a very optimistic report, Segal [67] dreamed that the world was ready for the industrial-scale production of graphene, but after a decade, single-layer graphene is still sold at GBP 200 cm$^{-2}$, while graphene oxide costs GBP 100,000 kg$^{-1}$ [68,69].

Undoubtedly, top-down synthetic routes have a lower cost than bottom-up ones. As for the overall cost evaluation of the synthesis pathways, chemical reagents that are used (e.g., NaNO$_3$, H$_3$PO$_4$, etc.) comprise both synthesis costs and the purification costs of graphene and its waste water due to the presence of soluble ions, such as NO$_3^−$. Currently, more environmentally friendly and low-cost routes for the production of GO have also been reported [66], although still at the experimental stage and without an industrial up-scale [70].

Considering the thermal production of rGO through reduction of graphene oxide, an average temperature of at least 300 °C is necessary, the use of vacuum is much cheaper than the use of reducing gases (such as N$_2$ or Ar) [34]. On the other hand, other techniques are also used to produce rGO such as “wet” chemical reduction. However, this requires use of reagents (HCl), heat and further purification of rGO from heteroatomic species [71].

A rigorous comparison of costs between literature-based synthesis routes (top-down or bottom-up) could be very complex. In particular, the production cost of graphene and related materials depends on the type of raw graphite used. As an example, different sizes/meshes of graphite require different treatments/conditions for complete oxidation (reduction and exfoliation). Raw graphite with large flake size is cheap compared to the one which is mostly used in the majority of studies, which generally has smaller dimensions.

3. Graphene and Graphene Related Materials in Secondary Batteries

Lithium-ion batteries are made of cells, connected in series or parallel, which in their typical configuration contain lithium insertion/intercalation compounds as active materials at the electrodes [72]. The advantageous characteristics of LIBs allowed them to rapidly become the secondary batteries of choice in recent years; actually, they are now largely employed in smartphones, notebooks and other portable/wearable electronic devices, with a rapid intrusion in the electric transportation sector and large-scale storage from renewables. In a standard LIB configuration, both electrodes have a structure able to store lithium ions. Differently from the traditional redox galvanic reaction, the chemistry of rechargeable (secondary) Li-ion cells is based on a “intercalation/de-intercalation” mechanism (to intercalate = process of inserting a substance between the graphene layers of graphite [73]). Other mechanisms not exactly involving intercalation are defined as “insertion/de-insertion” processes. In general, “intercalation” is chiefly employed for host substances having a layered structure (e.g., graphite, TiS$_2$, MoS$_2$ or LiCoO$_2$); more generally, “insertion” is used for those materials having three-dimensional framework with cavities connected by narrow pores/channels. LIB active materials must be electronic as well as ionic conductors, which allows the proper battery operation; actually,
low electronic conduction or limited Li$^+$ ion motion negatively affect the flow of current through the cell and, as a result, the power output of the device.

In the processes of charge and discharge, lithium ions move through the electrolytes across the separator and are reversibly exchanged between the cathode and the anode. The cathode generally is composed by lithiated transition metal oxides with a layered structure, while the anode is typically made of graphitic carbon. Upon charge, lithium ions are released from the cathode, with relative oxidation of the active material; they move through the electrolyte and are intercalated in the layered active material structure at the anode, which gets reduced. The compensating electrons concurrently move through the external circuit and are accepted by the host to balance the reaction. Upon discharge the reverse process occurs, as summarized in the following reactions Scheme 1.
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The above detailed process is reversible, with Li$^+$ ions that travel back and forth between the two electrodes upon charge and discharge. This has given rise to the names “rocking chair” [74], “swing” or “shuttlecock” [75] to describe the Li-ion cells.

Electrode materials in LIBs have a series of drawbacks that often limit their practical use depending on the application. Actually, most often carbonaceous materials demonstrate good cycling performance, but limited initial Coulombic efficiency; and rate capability; similarly, silicon and conversion oxides demonstrate enhanced specific capacity, and operation at high current regimes, but highly irreversible capacity loss upon initial cycling. Inventing and properly developing novel active electrode materials with advanced characteristics is amongst the possible solutions to these issues. Graphene, the “wonder material” due to the myriad of astonishing attributes it holds, is considered the suitable electrode alternative also for application in batteries, chiefly due to its chemical stability and high electrical conductivity. The use of graphene as carbon source for the realization of LIB is a widely investigated field of research nowadays, with thousands of new papers every year. For sure, its widespread application is somewhat restricted by the limited sustainability in terms of large-scale production and handling. However, conventional battery electrode materials and prospective ones are significantly enhanced in terms of cycling performances by the use of graphene on lab scale. Actually, the resulting electrode can be lighter, thus more suitable for higher energy and power densities, as well as more durable due to the extended battery life, often affected by the relatively high amount of carbon added to the active materials in the electrodes to allow sufficient electronic conductivity. Graphene may enhance the energy density and many other battery attributes in various ways when included as an additive to the battery electrodes, chiefly by capitalizing on its high electronic conductivity and
large surface area, which allow achieving morphological optimization structural stability and, in turn, greater performances.

The electrode, most chiefly, anode materials based on graphene presented in this review have shown outstanding performances. A non-exhaustive list of the most recent and representative ones, to our knowledge, is summarized in Table 1 and will be briefly detailed and discussed in the following paragraphs.

**Table 1.** Overview of the most representative anodes of lithium-based batteries based on graphene reported in the literature so far.

| Electrode Material                                      | Capacity [mAhg$^{-1}$] | Reference |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Graphene watery slurry                                  | 1279                    | [76]      |
| Multilayers graphene from anthracite                    | 404                     | [77]      |
| Hierarchical graphene                                   | 1178                    | [78]      |
| Graphene foam from metal template approach              | 844                     | [79]      |
| Graphene foam from anthracite                           | 770                     | [80]      |
| Channeled few layer graphene                            | 142                     | [81]      |
| Hard carbon/graphene hybrid                             | 623                     | [82]      |
| Nitrogen doped graphene                                 | 907                     | [83]      |
| Nitrogen doped graphene through magnesiothermic reduction of melamine | 1753                    | [84]      |
| Phosphorene-graphene hybrid                             | 974                     | [85]      |
| 3D-structured nitrogen doped GO                         | 830                     | [86]      |
| Nitrogen doped rGO                                      | 409                     | [87]      |
| Mn$_3$O$_4$ doped graphene                              | 474                     | [88]      |
| Mn$_3$O$_4$ nanotubes doped graphene                     | 770                     | [89]      |
| MnO/Mn$_3$O$_4$/nitrogen doped graphene hybrid           | 365                     | [90]      |
| Graphene tailored with carbon coated ZnO nanoparticles  | 736                     | [91]      |
| GO tailored with MnO$_2$ nanotubes                      | 1290                    | [92]      |
| Graphene tailored with Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles        | 721                     | [93]      |
| Graphene foam tailored with porous Fe$_2$O$_3$/Fe$_3$O$_4$ | 1210                    | [94]      |
| Fe$_3$O$_4$-pilled onto SiO$_x$ microsphere and wrapped by graphene | 833                     | [95]      |
| Carbon encapsulated Fe$_3$O$_4$ doped rGO               | 844                     | [96]      |
| Iron phosphide/rGO                                      | 950                     | [97]      |
| Cobalt nanoparticles tailored nitrogen doped graphene   | 1009                    | [98]      |
| CoO tailored rGO                                        | 1167                    | [99]      |
| Ni/Ni$_3$S$_2$ doped GO                                 | 742                     | [100]     |
| Copper oxalate/graphene composite                        | 1043                    | [101]     |
| TiO$_2$/TiN/graphene                                     | 221                     | [102]     |
| Graphene decorated with NaTi$_2$(PO$_4$)$_3$            | 108                     | [103]     |
| rGO tailored with TiO$_2$ nanorods                      | 354                     | [104]     |
| Sn nanoparticles supported onto graphene                 | 584                     | [105]     |
| SnO$_2$/graphene aerogel                                 | 620                     | [106]     |
| rGO tailored with SnO$_2$                               | 833                     | [107]     |
| Graphene tailored with SnS                               | 790                     | [108]     |
| Nano silicon supported onto soft carbon embedded in graphene | 2600                    | [109]     |
| Silicon/graphene hybrid                                  | 1298                    | [110]     |
| Graphene tailored with Co/ZnO                           | 1494                    | [111]     |
| Al-MOF/graphene composite                               | 400                     | [112]     |

* Lithium–sulfur batteries: graphene and graphene related materials were used for enhancing cathode performances.
* LIBs in aqueous solvent.
3.1. Pristine Graphene and Related Materials

The possibility of fabrication of safe and powerful LIBs using costly, yet simple approaches such as liquid-phase exfoliation [112] was explored by Yong-Jian et al. [76]. The authors used a mechanical and ultrasonic dispersion approach combined with several dispersants (i.e., poly (vinyl pyrrolidone), sodium lignin sulfonate, sodium carboxymethylcellulose) for the production of a graphene-based anode slurry. This system showed initial specific capacity values of 1279 mAh g$^{-1}$ upon charge/discharge and a Coulombic efficiency up to 74% at a constant current of 100 mA g$^{-1}$ with associate corresponding capacity retention of the cell of 84% after 100 cycles up to 200 mA g$^{-1}$.

Another interesting approach is represented by the use of 3D graphene structures [113]. Wang et al. [78] used a hierarchical porous carbon black/graphene hybrid designed as sulfur host for lithium–sulfur batteries achieving a specific capacity of 1178 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 70 cycles at 200 mA g$^{-1}$ with a retention of 80% after 600 cycles.

Lu et al. [79] produced a 3D micron-porous graphene foam used as lightweight binder-free current collector for sulfur cathodes of lithium–sulfur batteries. The authors produced this innovative material by using a porous metal template for CVD growth of graphene layers. After metal etching, the micron-sized porous structures showed both tubular and nontubular pores with average sizes ranging from nanometers to several microns. After sulfur loading (2.5 mg cm$^{-2}$), the material exhibited an ultrahigh initial capacity up to 844 mAh g$^{-1}$ with a retention of around 50% after 50 cycles at 0.1 C (“battery people” use the C-rate to indicate the rate at which a battery is being charged or discharged, viz the specific capacity of a LIB is normally rated at 1 C. A C-rate of 1 C means a one-hour discharge to deliver full capacity, which in turn means that a fully-charged battery rated at 1 Ah is able to provide 1 A for 1 h; 0.5 C or C/2 means a discharge of 2 h, while 0.2 C or C/5 a discharge of 5 h, etc.). Graphene foams could be also produced by conversion of anthracite [114] as reported by Xing et al. [80]. Authors described a cost effective and environmentally friendly strategy for the preparation of porous graphene through graphitization coupled with liquid oxidation and rapid thermal reduction of anthracite. The 3D porous graphene showed microstructure made by nanosheets with multiscale hierarchical porous structure and a specific surface area of up to 640 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The large pore volume together with massively structural defects and nanopores provided the active sites for the storage of lithium ions offering attractive pathways for the fast transportation of both lithium ions and electrons. When used as anode this materials showed a high reversible capacity of 770 mAh g$^{-1}$ at current density of 0.1 C with remarkably capacities of 274 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 224 mAh g$^{-1}$ at high current densities of 10 and 20 C. Additionally, the authors claimed a superior capacity retention up to 98% of the initial reversible capacity retention after 110 cycles.

Zhou et al. [81] developed millimetric sized few-layered graphene sheets with aligned channels having fast lithium-ion charging kinetics. The authors reported a self-catalytic bottom-up route using L-glutamic acid and iron chloride as starting materials for the fabrication of the millimeter-sized graphene sheets through pyrolysis mediated by in-situ generated iron nanoparticles. The produced materials were composed of a few graphene sheets with aligned channels having an average diameter up to 85 nm. These materials were used as anodes in LIBs, and showed good cycling performance, with specific capacities up to 142 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 2 A g$^{-1}$ and high capacity retention of 93% at 2 Ag$^{-1}$ after 1200 cycles. The authors enlightened that the storage of lithium ions in terms of both diffusion and capacitive behavior was accelerated in the channel thanks to improved electron transfer and shortened lithium ions transport pathway.

Zhong et al. [77] converted anthracite and semi-coke into multilayer graphene spheres using nickel as catalyst at relatively low temperature. The related anode materials for LIBs showed good reversible capacity of 401 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 Ag$^{-1}$ as clearly evidenced from Figure 4.
Figure 4. (a) Galvanostatic lithiation/delithiation curves, (b) Lithiation/delithiation voltage profiles and (c) cyclic voltammetry curves of semi-coke derived graphene anode measured at a scanning rate of 0.5 mV s⁻¹. Reproduced, adapted and reprinted with permission from Reference [77].

Even if the materials are far from the astonishing performances of a few graphene layers, the authors reported an easily scalable production route for graphene, which is a remarkable achievement. Additionally, Yan et al. [115] converted anthracite in the presence of molten iron for the production of multilayered graphene sheets used as anode for lithium ion batteries achieving reversible capacity close to 400 mAh g⁻¹.

Li et al. [82] combined a hard carbon from pyrolysis of rapeseed shuck with graphene producing a hybrid sandwich-like porous material. The advantages of highly ordered graphene layers were represented by the conductive multi-dimensional paths for fast electronic transport able to supply sufficient electrons for redox reactions, while concurrently providing enhanced mechanical support. The highly defected and porous hard carbon provided more adsorption sites for lithium storage. This material reached a capacity of 623 mAh g⁻¹ when cycled at a current density of 100 mA g⁻¹ after 500 cycles, exhibiting a superior rate performance with 381 and 308 mAh g⁻¹ even at very high current regimes of 2000 and 5000 mA g⁻¹, respectively.

3.2. Doped Graphene and Related Materials

As reported by many authors, defects in the graphene sheet could provide additional pathways for the lithium ions to attach to the anode substrate. This feature could be the solution of the great challenge of developing LIBs which can concurrently provide high power and energy density outputs at fast charge and discharge rates from several minutes down to seconds. One of the most consolidate process for the introduction of defects in the graphene structure is represented by the doping with nitrogen atoms that reduce the carbon-lithium interaction energy.

Zhang et al. [83] produced a bidimensional hierarchical structure of ultra-highly nitrogen-doped porous graphene nanosheets used as high-efficiency lithium-ion battery anodes. The authors used GO mixed with 2-methylimidazole and poly (vinyl pyrrolidone) as nitrogen precursor pyrolyzing them for the production of nitrogen doped graphene. This material provided a high capacity of up to 907 mAh g⁻¹ after 100 cycles at 0.5 A g⁻¹ and an average capacity of up to 378.2 mAh g⁻¹ after over 1000 cycles at high 5 A g⁻¹ current regime. Exploiting the remarkable mechanical properties of graphene, Zhao et al. [116] produced a self-standing nitrogen doped porous carbon–graphene coated cable. The authors used the graphene as self-standing host for both the sulfur cathode and lithium anode, producing a full cell able to deliver of up to 525 mAh g⁻¹ with a high capacity retention of 98% after 100 cycles at 0.5 C. Furthermore, in this research the use of additional additives such as urea and cellulose fibers activation was used to increase the level of nitrogen doping up to 7.58 atom% with resulting improvements of electrocatalytic polysulfide redox kinetics and lithium dendrite inhibition.

An innovative approach for production of nitrogen self-doped graphene nanosheets was reported recently by Wan et al. [84]. The authors described a new strategy based on the magnesiothermic reduction of melamine producing a graphene material that, as an LIB anode, exhibited a reversible specific capacity of 1753 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.1 A g⁻¹ after 200 cycles. The reversible capacity was well retained.
at 1322 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 500 cycles at 2 A g\(^{-1}\) and was positively affected by the increment of process temperature as shown in Figure 5.
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**Figure 5.** Cyclic performance of self-doped graphene nanosheets produced through magnesiothermic reduction of melamine at 650 °C (black curve), 750 °C (blue curve) and 850 °C (red curve). Reproduced, adapted and reprinted with permission from Reference [84].

Hybrid graphene materials gained a lot of interest, and a combination of graphene with phosphorene monolayers as an anode material for high-performance lithium-ion batteries was investigated for the first time by Javadian et al. [85]. This preliminary study was limited to only computational approaches and estimated a theoretical capacity for a monolayer phosphorene of 865 mAh g\(^{-1}\) while after the combination with graphene it reached of 974 mAh g\(^{-1}\).

Ershadi et al. [86] employed an efficient and straightforward synthesis method for the functionalization and stitching of GO sheets with ethylenediamine producing a 3D-structured nitrogen doped GO, as illustrated in Figure 6. The 3D-structured nitrogen doped GO exhibited a layered structure resembling graphite, with an enhanced d-spacing of 0.373 nm showing that the porous channels may facilitate the efficient transport of lithium ions through the electrolyte-filled channels. Upon first discharge and charge, the lab-scale cell showed specific capacities of 830 and 664 mAh g\(^{-1}\), respectively, at the current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\), corresponding to an initial Coulombic efficiency of ca. ~80%, superior to the GO reference. Furthermore, the 3D-structured nitrogen doped GO displayed enhanced cycling stability, as it maintained a reversible capacity of up to 300 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 200 mA g\(^{-1}\) after 100 cycles. This was likely ascribed to enhanced diffusion of lithium ions within the graphitic layers by the increased d-spacing obtained by N-based functional group doping.
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**Figure 6.** SEM image of 3D-structured nitrogen doped GO as reported by Ershadi et al. [86]. Reproduced, adapted and reprinted with permission from Reference [86].
Meng et al. [87] reported a doped rGO, which was obtained by using ionic liquids and GO nanosheets and subsequently heat-treating of the composite. The resulting material exhibited a high specific capacity of 409 mAh g\(^{-1}\) with a Coulombic efficiency approaching 100% after 50 cycles at a charge/discharge rate of 0.5C, and a specific capacity of 223 mAh g\(^{-1}\) with almost no capacity fading after 1200 cycles at high charge/discharge rate of 10C. This behavior was ascribed to the reduction of superficial oxygen-containing functional groups that limited the side reactions during battery cycling.

3.3. Tailoring Graphene with Inorganic Nanoparticles for the Production of Nanocomposite Materials

Another route to introduce defects without compromising the electronic properties of graphene is represented by decoration with nanosized inorganic species [117]. Actually, as reported by Petnikota et al. [118], the presence of nanoparticles onto the graphene surface may be a promising solution to hinder the clustering or electroplating and subsequent dendritic growth of Li metal at the anode of lithium metal batteries.

Among all transition metals, manganese is one of the more widely used. Varghese et al. [88] described a surfactant free single-step hydrothermal method for developing Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)-decorated graphene. Manganese oxide particles were wrapped into graphene showing a nanosized octahedron structure. This composite showed excellent performance as Li-ion battery anode, with a reversible specific capacity of 474 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) upon 200 cycles. Compared with the pristine counterpart, pristine Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)/graphene decorated electrode exhibited superior electrochemical properties due to the higher porous graphene matrix that improved electronic conductivity and facilitated a fast lithium ion transport, thus enhanced rate capability. Furthermore, the graphene matrix provided a conductive 3D network for Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\), while Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) nano-octahedrons prevented the restacking of graphene. This synergistic effect was also observed by Wang et al. [89], who improved the Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)/graphene composites by tailoring graphene sheets with Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanotubes. These bidimensional structures improved the specific capacity of the Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)/graphene composites as LIB anode up to 770 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 200 cycles. Furthermore, the anode showed a charge capacity of 233 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 55 cycles for sodium storage.

Zhou et al. [90] further improved Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)/graphene by using a laser scribing method to produce MnO/Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\)/nitrogen doped graphene hybrids used as binder-free anode for lithium ion batteries with a high reversible capacity of 992 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 0.2 A g\(^{-1}\) and excellent capacity retention (365 mA g\(^{-1}\) delivered at 2.0 A g\(^{-1}\)), as well as a high cycling stability of up to 699 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after 400 cycles at 0.2 A g\(^{-1}\). The authors suggested that the homogeneous surface distribution of MnO/Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles onto nitrogen doped graphene produced a dense architecture that allowed for the excellent electrochemical performances of as-designed framework electrodes. The laser scribing method enabled quick fabrication of graphene-based hybrid frameworks directly anchored onto the current collectors, which can also be easily scaled up, thus holding promising real application prospects. Park et al. [119] simply used graphene as intercalating agent for the realization of free-standing carbon paper coated with MnO\(_2\). The resulting electrode was used as anode without any current collector, binder and conductor. This free-standing electrode showed a discharge capacity of 945 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) and a high discharge capacity of 545 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 1000 mA g\(^{-1}\) even after 1000 cycles. The authors concluded that the cycling stability was due to the mesoporosity of MnO\(_2\) and the intercalation of graphene, which enhanced the kinetics of the redox reaction and mitigated the electrochemical isolation of MnO\(_2\) from the carbon nanofibers. Li et al. [120] fabricated a free-standing anode composed of tubular graphene tailored with manganese carbodiimide nanoparticles. These nanoparticles provided abundant active sites for electrochemical reaction and the high conductivity of graphene facilitated the intrinsic electron pathways and efficient ion transport channels. Furthermore, the π-π orbital interaction between manganese carbodiimide nanoparticles and graphene improved the interfacial charge transport. The LIB anode produced with this methodology showed a high areal capacity of up to 9.8 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) at 0.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\), with high capacity retention (3.5 mAh cm\(^{-2}\) delivered at 50 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) even at a high mass loading of 10 mg cm\(^{-2}\). When coupled in a lithium ion full cell arrangement
with the LiCoO2 cathode, this anode allowed the attainment of outstanding energy density values of 15 mWh cm−2 and 343 Wh kg−1.

Muhammad et al. [92] decorated with MnO2 nanotubes by adopting a simple sonication technique allowing the integration of the components driven by electrostatic interaction between GO functional groups and MnO2 nanotubes. As a result, strong chemical bonding was established by virtue of oxygen bridges, such as Mn-O-C linkage due to the hydroxyl/epoxy groups pinning from GO onto the manganese atoms. This integration of graphene oxide was found to be beneficial to reduce the volume expansion as well as the charge transfer resistance and, in addition, to enhance the interfacial properties and structural stability during charge/discharge cycling. Consequently, the resulting anode showed a lithium storage capacity up to 1290 mAh g−1 at 0.1 A g−1, with a substantial preservation of the nanotube structure even after 800 cycles. The interaction between manganese and GO surface groups was also used by Weng et al. [121] for the production of anodes based on Mn3O4/rGO with a capacity of 677 mAh g−1 at 123 mA g−1, with a retention equal to 85% after 150 charge/discharge cycles and appreciable rate capability, as for the delivery of 640 mAh g−1 even when using a high current density of 1.2 A g−1. The authors explained the good performances by the enhanced lithium ion diffusion coefficient in the composites than in the neat precursors.

Zinc oxide is another widely used transition metal oxide anode in LIBs. Li et al. [91] tailored electrochemical exfoliated graphene with porous carbon-coated polyhedral zinc oxide nanoparticles. As in the case of manganese oxides, graphene prevented the aggregation of ZnO nanoparticles opening at the same time increased number of channels for lithium-ion diffusion. As an anode material for LIBs, this graphene-based hybrid material showed a reversible capacity of 736 mAh g−1 at 0.1 C after 100 cycles. Yang et al. [122] prepared a graphene coated with ZnO nanoparticles through the ball milling technique. The resulting LIB anode exhibited an excellent reversible capacity of 720 mAh g−1 at 200 mA g−1 and 480 mAh g−1 even at 1600 mA g−1. The authors also reported a systematic investigation in lab-scale full cells with commercial cathodes (LiCoO2, LiNi0.8Co0.1Mn0.1O2). Under different pre-lithiation times used to tune the appropriate compensating amount of initial irreversible capacity, the highest performing full cell delivered a reversible capacity around 400 mAh g−1 at 100 mA g−1 at an average working potential of about 3.8 V, which resulted in a high energy density of 1478 Wh kg−1. The authors also described the ability of graphene layers to improve both conductivity and mechanical strength by forming a 3D network with ZnO nanoparticles suppressing their volumetric expansion/contraction effect. Furthermore, the graphene surface cracks and defects produced during ball milling improved the ion-diffusion coefficient and electronic mobility.

Iron oxide has found several applications combined with graphene for the realization of lithium ion battery anodes. Liu et al. [93] combined graphene with iron oxide showing remarkable mitigation of the pulverization of iron-oxide anode upon fast charge/discharge in lithium ion batteries. j reported a new process based on the polymerization of pyrrole that wrapped the colloidal iron hydroxide nanoparticles onto graphene oxide surface. This composite was further annealed to give carbonized poly (pyrrole) wrapped with Fe3O4 supported onto graphene. As an anode material, the composite exhibited a highly reversible capacity of 721 mAh g−1 over 320 cycles at a current density of 0.2 Ag−1. Even at a high current density of 2 A g−1, the anode still delivered a high capacity of 406 mAh g−1. Li et al. [123] decorated a graphene foam with porous Fe2O3/Fe3O4 deposited onto octahedral carbon for the production of highly conductive composites. This material was prepared by combustion of iron precursors with GO and triethylamine in air atmosphere. During the combustion process, GO flakes were reduced forming a nitrogen doped graphene foam. Meanwhile, iron species were transformed into porous mixed oxides arrayed onto the graphene foam. During this process, the porous structure composed by porous carbon and graphene foam relieved the volume expansion of Fe2O3/Fe3O4 nanoparticles enhancing the electrical conductivity of the resulting nanocomposites. The composites showed a high discharge capacity of 1210 mAh g−1 after 200 cycles and long cycle life. Similarly, Liao et al. [94] produced a Fe3O4-pillared onto SiO2 microsphere and wrapped by graphene with a simply ball milling approach, as shown in Figure 7. The ball milling process led to the formation of
egg-like SiO$_x$-cored composites possessing a strong capability in accommodating the volume changes of micro-sized SiO$_x$ particles during lithium cycling. The related anode was stable at high-rate, even upon long-term cycling, as demonstrated by the high capacity of 833 mAh g$^{-1}$ delivered at 0.5 A g$^{-1}$ after 500 cycles with 82% capacity retention.

Chen et al. [124] integrated Fe$_2$O$_3$ into holey graphene frameworks by using GO as precursor. Residual defects of GO were first etched by a certain volume of hydrogen peroxide and afterwards multi-dimensionally nanosized Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles were generated and anchored on the defective graphene layers. This methodology granted an exquisite tuning of Fe$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles with highly controllable nanostructures and desirable properties ranging from zero-dimensional quantum dots with an average size of 4.42 nm to one-dimensional nanorods, and, eventually, to two-dimensional nanosheets. When tested as LIB anodes, these hybrid graphene framework electrodes exhibited excellent cyclic stability at 1 A g$^{-1}$ after 500 cycles up to 806 mAh g$^{-1}$ for nanorods with a high specific capacity retention even at the current density of 4 A g$^{-1}$. A more traditional synthetic approach was reported by Gu et al. [125], who tailored graphene sheets with Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles via freeze-drying of graphene and iron ion suspension and following solvent thermal synthesis method. Even if the production procedure was rather simple, the authors achieved remarkably high reversible capacity values of 1145 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 120 cycles at 100 mA g$^{-1}$, also coupled with excellent rate capability (650 and 530 mAh g$^{-1}$ delivered at 0.5 and 1 Ag$^{-1}$, respectively). Wu et al. [95] tailored rGO with carbon encapsulated Fe$_3$O$_4$. The double carbon layer represented by rGO and coating material not only suppressed the volume expansion of Fe$_3$O$_4$ during reversible cycling, but also provided an electron transport path for high rate applications. The composite showed a capacity of up to 844 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 0.2 Ag$^{-1}$ even after 300 cycles and high-rate cycling performance of 363 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 4 Ag$^{-1}$ after 300 cycles. Nonetheless, an obvious pseudocapacitance of 77% of the total capacity was observed at the scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ by using cyclic voltammetry. Li et al. [126] used the same approach to realize rGO coated with monodisperse Fe$_3$O$_4$ achieving a reversible discharge specific capacity of 1139 mAh g$^{-1}$ and 85% capacity retention after 100 cycles at a current density of 400 mA g$^{-1}$. Furthermore, the materials showed a high lithium storage capacity of 665 mAh g$^{-1}$ even at very high 1000 mA g$^{-1}$ after 200 cycles. Huang et al. [96] firstly synthetized iron phosphide supported on polyhedral carbon in-situ coated with rGO and used as LIB anode, achieving discharge capacity values up to 415 mAh g$^{-1}$ at the current density of 8 Ag$^{-1}$, as well as 950 mAh g$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles at 0.1 Ag$^{-1}$.

Cobalt was also used by Li et al. [97] for the production of cobalt nanoparticles tailored with nitrogen doped graphene. The authors assembled a 2D sandwiched structure of Co$_9$S$_8$ supported onto nitrogen doped graphene with a multilayered structure. Electrochemical tests revealed that nanosheets...
possessed a highly reversible capacity up to 1009 mAhg$^{-1}$ at 0.1 Ag$^{-1}$, an excellent capacity retention (422 mAhg$^{-1}$ provided at 10 Ag$^{-1}$), and a long cycle life exceeding 500 cycles. Experimental studies revealed that capacitive storage contributes to the high reversible capacity. Furthermore, the potential of cobalt nanoparticles tailored nitrogen doped graphene anode was tested in a full cell using LiCoO$_2$ as the cathode, exhibiting a highly reversible capacity of 300 mAhg$^{-1}$ cycles at 0.1 Ag$^{-1}$. Wan et al. [98] tailored rGO with a layered mesoporous CoO achieving a reversible capacity of 1167 mAhg$^{-1}$ at 5 Ag$^{-1}$ with an excellent reversible capacity retention of 76% over 40 cycles. The authors suggested this behavior to be due to the interphase interaction of nanosheets via Co-O-C bonds leading to enhanced performance. Despite some interesting results and the fact that cobalt is strategically important in many fields, it has been identified as critical raw material (CRM) by EU, as for its concentration of in politically sensitive region of Central Africa, thus its massive use should be better avoided.

Xu et al. [99] used a nickel salt precursor to grow Ni/Ni$_3$S$_2$ sheets on graphene oxide by a simple two-step solvothermal reaction. The nanoflakes exhibited excellent reversible capacities of 742 and 598 mAhg$^{-1}$ at current densities of 200 mAg$^{-1}$ and 500 mAg$^{-1}$, respectively, which can be actually be well retained after 120 cycles. Additionally, the discharge capacity remained as high as 497 mAg$^{-1}$ even at a very high current density of 1000 mAg$^{-1}$.

Zhang et al. [100] reported on an innovative synthesis of a novel copper oxalate/graphene composite by a facile microwave-assisted hydrothermal process at low temperature. An increased surface area with large porosity is obtained by using 5.42 wt % of graphene with a uniform distribution of copper species. Excellent electrochemical properties were achieved with a reversible discharge/charge capacity of 1043/1013 mAhg$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles. Additionally, high discharge/charge capacities of 952/949, 719/720, and 535/533 mAhg$^{-1}$ were provided at increasingly high current densities of 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 Ag$^{-1}$, respectively.

Several authors tried to combine multimetallic nanoparticles with graphene and related materials for the production of new high performances anodes. As an example, Joshi et al. [127] described the decoration of an electrosprayed graphene film with multicore zinc–iron oxide reaching specific capacity values up to 1601 mAhg$^{-1}$ at 100 mAg$^{-1}$, retaining the original particles morphology. Nickel and cobalt were also used together for tailoring nitrogen-doped graphene reaching specific capacities ranging from 813 mAhg$^{-1}$ upon 200 cycles at 0.2 Ag$^{-1}$ [128] up to 1320 mAhg$^{-1}$ at 120 mAg$^{-1}$ [129], superior rate capability (227 mAh g$^{-1}$ at a current density of 3000 mA g$^{-1}$) and excellent cycling stability (350 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1200 mA g$^{-1}$ after 1000 cycles). This work could be extended to develop a variety of advanced electrode materials for next-generation energy storage systems. Furthermore, nickel was combined with tin [130] or copper [131] achieving quite remarkable results. Similarly, cobalt was combined with ZnO forming nanoclusters, which, coupled with graphene [110], reached specific capacity of 1494 mAhg$^{-1}$ at 0.1 Ag$^{-1}$, with a very good capacity retention even after 1000 cycles (600 mAhg$^{-1}$ at 2.0 Ag$^{-1}$).

Perreault et al. [131], recently developed a straightforward engineering nanostructuring strategy for the preparation of mesoporous Cu–Ni oxide-nanocast composites with exfoliated graphene. Actually, an efficient spray drying technique was exploited to prepare a composite in which mesoporous mixed copper/nickel oxide nanoparticles are intimately enwrapped by exfoliated graphene layers. It resulted in 3D porous microspheres where mesoporous transition metal oxide nanostructures are wrapped by graphene that confines them also forming a continuous conductive network, as shown in Figure 8.

The new proposed method effectively helped in preserving the structure of the electroactive species against drastic volume changes often occurring as a result of reversible cycling, achieving unprecedented electrochemical behavior, such as highly stable reversible specific capacity of 850/730 mAhg$^{-1}$ at 1/5 mAg$^{-1}$ after 800/1300 cycles, respectively, and higher than 400 mAhg$^{-1}$ at current density as high as 10 mAg$^{-1}$ after >2000 cycles, remarkable Coulombic efficiency even upon long-term cycling (>3000 cycles with >55% capacity retention). As compared to other existing approaches, the proposed engineering strategy was demonstrated to be facile and efficient; in addition, it is a water-based process, thus environmentally benign and ready to be scaled up at an industrial level.
Considering data briefly summarized in this paragraph, composites of bimetallic oxides with graphene likely represent a novel and sound idea for new generation of lithium ion battery anode providing enhanced energy density output at high current upon long-term cycling.

Ma et al. [132] presented a computational study based on DFT modelling of MoO$_2$/graphene heterostructures showing a high theoretical specific capacity of up to 1411 mAh$^{-1}$ and small diffusion barriers close to 77 meV. The authors suggested the characteristics of these MoO$_2$/graphene heterostructures to be compatible with a stable and efficient lithium ion storage performance.

Titanium was widely used coupled with graphene for the production and tailoring of LIB anodes. Li et al. [101] produced a reliable alternative to graphite anodes for LIBs based on graphene decorated with nitrogen doped TiO$_2$ nanotubes mixed with TiN. The resulting composites showed excellent performance, chiefly at low temperature, with highly reversible specific capacity of 211 mAh$^{-1}$ at 0.1 Ag$^{-1}$ at −20 °C, excellent rate performance up to 1 Ag$^{-1}$ and good cycling stability close to 93% upon prolonged cycling up to 500 cycles. Jiang et al. [102] tailored graphene with NaTi$_2$ (PO$_4$)$_3$/C by sol-gel technique, which was then applied as a high-performance anode in aqueous lithium-ion battery. The discharge capacity of the resulting full cell assembled in a NaTi$_2$ (PO$_4$)$_3$/C/LiMn$_2$O$_4$ configuration reached 108, 86, and 77 mAh$^{-1}$ at 0.5, 10, and 15C, respectively. Furthermore, the full cell demonstrated an excellent capacity retention of 92% at 6C after 1000 cycles. Cheng al. [133] designed a template-free synthesis of mesoporous a TiO$_2$/graphene aerogel with an unique mesostructured, which facilitated active material wetting by the electrolyte, thus improving the lithium ion diffusion kinetics without noticeably affecting the tap density. When used as LIB anode, the aerogels exhibited a reversible capacity of 663 mAh$^{-1}$ at a current density of 100 mAg$^{-1}$ after 250 cycles and a reversible capacity of 216 mAh g$^{-1}$ even at a high current regime of 5 Ag$^{-1}$ after 4000 cycles. Ma et al. [103] synthetized uniformly distributed TiO$_2$ nanorods on rGO through a facile sol-static self-assembled method followed by an alkali-heat treatment. The resulting flexible rGO nanosheets could serve not only as an electronic conductivity enhancer matrix for the composite material, but also offer large active area for the electrolyte to enhance active material wetting and shorten the paths for lithium ion.
diffusion and electron transfer. As a result, the resulting composites showed interesting electrochemical performance in terms of high cyclic stability at above 354 mAh g⁻¹ after 100 cycles at a current density of 0.1 Ag⁻¹ and even 205 mAh g⁻¹ at high current density of 2 Ag⁻¹.

Another transition metal that has been extensively reported as lithium ion battery anode is tin. Zhan et al. [104] decorated nitrogen doped graphene with tin metal nanoparticles, which resulted in a high capacity of 584 mAh g⁻¹ at the end of 1000 cycles at 1 Ag⁻¹ when tested in lab-scale lithium cell. SnO₂ was also widely employed for the decoration of graphene and production of a variety of anode materials with capacity ranging from 569 [134] to 620 mAh g⁻¹ [105]. Wu et al. [106] enhanced the synergistic effect of tin and graphene by producing a rGO tailored with SnOₓ (x = 0,1,2) nanoparticles through a facile and low cost synthesis at room temperature mediated by NaBH₄. The resulting nanocomposite showed a stable specific capacity values exceeding 830 mAh g⁻¹ or 765 mAh g⁻¹ after 50 or 100 cycles at 100 mA g⁻¹ with capacity retention even at high 1 Ag⁻¹. Mei et al. [107] described the production of SnS monolayers combined with nitrogen doped graphene lamellar nanosheets for the realization of an advanced LIB anode. This approach led to a high initial coulombic efficiency of 88.2%, remarkably stable cycling at a high capacity of 790 mAh g⁻¹ after 900 cycles at moderate 0.5 C rate.

Due to its specific capacity that is an order of magnitude higher than that of conventional graphite, silicon was one of the first proposed alternatives as anode material in the early stages of LIB development, and it is actually extensively investigated at the research stage as well as already introduced in commercial negative electrodes in small amount combined with graphite [135]. Lin et al. [136] studied graphene coated with both amorphous and nanocrystalline silicon grown by plasma-enhanced deposition. It resulted in the production of a nanocomposite material have the morphology shown in Figure 9. Thanks to the buffering ability of graphene and enhanced electronic conductivity, this material outperformed the pure silicon anode counterpart in terms of delivered specific capacity and, in particular, capacity retention: 79% retention in specific discharge capacity from 4th to 200th cycle, by showing as compared to 50% for pure silicon.

![SEM image of graphene coated with both amorphous and nanocrystalline silicon](image-url)

**Figure 9.** SEM image of graphene coated with both amorphous and nanocrystalline silicon, Reproduced, adapted and reprinted with permission from Reference [136].

Carbon coating was exploited by Hsieh et al. [137] to produce carbon-coated silicon particles bound with few-layered graphene via a liquid exfoliation process. The dense carbon coating on silicon particles was proved as a valuable solution for enhancing the material performances in terms of rate capability, reversible capacity and cycle life. A 3D free-standing anode based nano silicon supported onto soft carbon embedded in graphene was reported by Wang et al. [108]. The authors achieved a superior specific capacity (2600 mAh g⁻¹ of specific capacity at a current density of 0.2 Ag⁻¹), cycling performance and rate capability and almost no capacity fading after 100 cycles. Another interesting approach was reported by Su and co-workers [109]. Similarly to the approach of Perreault et al. [131], authors produced a hybrid material by a spray–drying method combined with
a low temperature reduction. As a result, silicon powders with particles having micrometer-sized spherical shape were effectively enwrapped with graphene sheets. This composite delivered a high initial charge capacity of 1298 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 100 mAg\(^{-1}\).

Another approach was reported by Han et al. [138] who grew flexible and porous graphene sheets vertically on the surface of the silicon of for accommodating the huge volume changes occurring locally. The authors claimed for a highly reversible capacity of 2696 mAhg\(^{-1}\), a long cycling life up to 500 cycles with capacity retention of 80.1% at 2 Ag\(^{-1}\) and an outstanding rate capability (458 mAhg\(^{-1}\) still delivered at 20 Ag\(^{-1}\)). The authors also assembled a laboratory-scale full cell using LiCoO\(_2\) as cathode, which showed high gravimetric energy density of 515 Wh kg\(^{-1}\) and volumetric energy density of 1127 Wh L\(^{-1}\).

Cheaper metals such aluminum showed lower performances but an enhanced cost-effectiveness as reported by Gao et al. [111]. The authors synthesized Al-metal organic frameworks (MOF) particles, and then covered them by using graphene to form the composite as shown in Figure 10. It was found that the lithiation/delithiation induced relevant structural modifications in the Al-MOF particles, with a disorder increment. The authors achieved an open channeled structure, thereby enhancing the diffusion and storage of lithium ions. Compared with pure Al-MOF, the Al-MOF/graphene materials demonstrated enhanced the capacity, which increased upon cycling from 60 to 400 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at the constant current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\).

![Figure 10. Production scheme of an Al-MOF/graphene composite, Reproduced, adapted and reprinted with permission from Reference [111].](image)

4. A Brief Perspective on the Future of Graphene and Related Materials for Battery Applications

Li-ion batteries have been at the forefront of the research for many years and lead to the widespread diffusion and application of new materials and concepts in energy storage creating a bridge between industry and academia. In the near future, the challenge is likely represented by the transition to a near-zero carbon footprint society, which may find in LiBs and post-Li batteries an astonishing tool to improve the consolidation of electric vehicles and large-scale energy storage from renewables. Actually, LiBs have enormous potential to boost the global transition towards a full renewable energy future society Nonetheless, the transition needs to be carried out responsibly. Already in 2010, Prof. Tarascon referred to lithium as “the new gold” [139]. A significant shortage of lithium is unlikely in the near future, but rising prices can be even more problematic, the cost of supply and processing cobalt in positive electrodes being the major contributing factor. In addition, the spreading of LIBs in the last decade rose unavoidable problems due to limited availability and distribution of lithium resources on the Earth’s crust [140]. At present, the demand is foreseen to triple in 2025 compared to today’s level; moreover, supply, mostly mining, has major environmental impact in terms of significant CO\(_2\) emissions and pollution. Thus, it is important to minimize our dependence of cobalt and critical raw materials (CRMs), but it is also fundamental to focus on introducing effective battery recycling procedures, exploit some smart concepts of second-use of exhaust batteries before they...
are discarded and reach the recycling plant, and speed up the transition to new, advanced and safe, high performing materials. Computational studies, at materials level by ab initio and/or multiscale modelling [141] as well as at device level with battery management tools [142,143] are becoming always more important and complementary to drive the experimental research. Academics and industrial researchers are trying to solve this issue following two main routes [144]. The first is represented by the optimization of actual lithium-based materials and technologies. Research and development must focus on new electrode materials and their thorough optimization to push the limits of cost, energy/power density, operational life, and safety. General strategies for performance enhancement may include: (i) innovative syntheses to reduce the size of the active materials to the nanolevel, (ii) doping and functionalization with conductivity enhancers, (iii) development of new nano-composites with tunable particle morphology or coating of active material surface to improve the interfacial properties, (iv) novel, safe solutions for solid-state electrolytes with self-healing features [145]. In this field, the use of high performances materials, such as tailored/functionalized graphene, or even neat graphene, could play a relevant role. The second path is more relevant and it is based on the transition from lithium-based technology to other chemistries based on cheap, more abundant, thus sustainable materials. Among monovalent cation, sodium [146] and potassium [147] have gained the greatest attention as possible lithium replacement while calcium [148] and magnesium [149] played the main role among the bivalent cations. Those elements are largely available and far cheaper than lithium even if the related energy storage technologies are not up to mark, at present, considering energy density and long term stability [150]. A ground-breaking event could be represented in the near future by the combination of advanced 1D materials with cheap elements, which may allow high energy and power densities, as very recently reported by some preliminary studies [151–164] enlightening the potential bright new future of a modern battery-based society. Hopefully, the best material and/or solution for LIBs is already somewhere in a lab today, just waiting to be unraveled or optimized.

5. Conclusions

Graphene, the atomic-scale single layer of carbon atoms bound together in a honeycomb lattice arrangement, might become one of the world’s most useful materials. Graphene and related 1D materials have exciting potential and unlimited possibilities for numerous applications; while they are not fully commercially available yet, research and development are intensive both in academia and industry, and will hopefully bring a new era in the energy storage field. The extensively enhanced performance and life cycle advantages when fabricating graphene-based batteries over traditional LIBs are surely worth the huge resource investments of last decade.

As emerged by the results of the scientific studies herein reviewed, we firmly believe that the real breakthroughs in graphene-based batteries will arise from the development of graphene-lithium-ion hybrid chemistries, where graphene and/or related functionalized/doped/modified materials are smartly incorporated into the electrodes of lithium-based cells (e.g., in the anodes of Li-ion batteries, or in combination with sulfur cathodes in Li-S batteries), to allow for high charge and discharge rates, stable long-term cycling and even economical affordability. Actually, there are no opportunities for pure graphene electrodes in LIBs, while graphene is chiefly exploited to enhance many of the benefits already present with traditional materials, also helping in avoiding common materials limitations, eventually leading to increased capacity output or cycle life. Graphene works in electrodes in two general ways, either as a support to enable for improved efficiency, or in the form of composite/hybrid, where its electronic conductivity and well-ordered structure enhance the charge/discharge performance itself. The amount of graphene in the composite electrodes normally varies based on the envisaged application, and generally depends upon the performance requirements in terms of energy/power density and is based upon the existing efficiencies and/or weaknesses of the solid-state precursor material.

Even if such type of technology is still years away from commercialization, pending the amount of issues still to be solved (e.g., cost effectiveness, scalability, sustainability), graphene-based materials and
related technologies are the most promising candidate for reaching new ground-breaking achievements in the field of lithium ion batteries and, more in general, in next-generation energy storage devices.
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