In Situ-Formed Fibrin Hydrogel Scaffold Loaded With Human Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells Promotes Skin Wound Healing
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Abstract
Healing of full-thickness skin wounds remains a major challenge. Recently, human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells (hUC-MSCs) were shown to possess an extraordinary potential to promote skin repair in clinical settings. However, their low survival rate after transplantation limits their therapeutic efficiency in treating full-thickness skin wounds. Hydrogels are considered an ideal cell transplantation vector owing to their three-dimensional mesh structure, good biosafety, and biodegradation. The objective of this study was to investigate the skin wound healing effect of a fibrin hydrogel scaffold loaded with hUC-MSCs. We found that the fibrin hydrogel had a three-dimensional mesh structure and low cytotoxicity and could prolong the time of cell survival in the peri-wound area. The number of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-labeled hUC-MSCs was higher in the full-thickness skin wound of mice treated with hydrogel–hUC-MSCs than those of mice treated with cell monotherapy. In addition, the combination therapy between the hydrogel and hUC-MSCs speed up wound closure, its wound healing rate was significantly higher than those of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) therapy, hydrogel monotherapy, and hUC-MSCs monotherapy. Furthermore, the results showed that the combination therapy between hydrogel and hUC-MSCs increased keratin 10 and keratin 14 immunofluorescence staining, and upregulated the relative gene expressions of epidermal growth factor (EGF), transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1), and vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA), promoting epithelial regeneration and angiogenesis. In conclusion, the fibrin hydrogel scaffold provides a relatively stable sterile environment for cell adhesion, proliferation, and migration, and prolongs cell survival at the wound site. The hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination therapy promotes wound closure, re-epithelialization, and neovascularization. It exhibits a remarkable therapeutic effect, being more effective than the monotherapy with hUC-MSCs or hydrogel.

Keywords
human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells, hydrogel, fibrin, skin wound healing

1 Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China
2 Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China
3 Suzhou Institute of Biomedical Engineering and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Suzhou, China
4 School of Life Science and Technology, Changchun University of Science and Technology, Changchun, China
5 Zhengzhou Institute of Engineering and Technology Affiliated to SIBET, Zhengzhou, China
6 Xuzhou Medical University, Xuzhou, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Submitted: April 5, 2022. Revised: January 15, 2023. Accepted: January 18, 2023.
Introduction

The skin is the largest organ of the human body and the first barrier against the external environment, preventing external damage and microbial invasion and maintaining the normal physiological environment\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\). Once the skin is damaged, the wound disables the barrier function and in severe cases, even threatens people’s lives. Full-thickness skin wound can result from all kinds of injuries, such as acute trauma, chronic ulcers, and deep burns, and cause many physiological and functional problems\(^4\).

In recent years, the advantages of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) transplantation for skin wound healing have attracted extensive attention in skin-related research. Researchers have proved that MSCs play important roles in all stages of wound healing\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^9\). However, for overcoming inconvenience, such as invasive collection, short survival time, and immunological rejection, further research is needed.

MSCs can be obtained from various sources, including the bone marrow, adipose tissue, umbilical cord, Wharton’s jelly, and placenta\(^10\). Among them, human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells (hUC-MSCs) have the advantages of painless collection, no associated ethical dispute, fast self-renewal, low immunogenicity, and more prospects of clinical applications than other types of MSCs\(^11\)\(^-\)\(^13\). hUC-MSCs can accelerate wound healing, inhibit the inflammatory response, promote re-epithelialization, and increase angiogenesis without serious complications or adverse reactions\(^14\)\(^-\)\(^19\).

At present, traditional cotton medical gauze is widely used as clinical routine dressing. However, it has some inevitable disadvantages, such as limited use, poor absorption properties, and the need for frequent replacement, which may cause secondary trauma. Biological dressings, such as pig skin, carry the risk of spreading bacteria and viruses and secondary damage during dressing change can be avoided. They are easy to use, especially suitable for irregular wounds, and owing to their biodegradability, secondary damage during dressing change can be avoided. Fibrin hydrogels have high biocompatibility, can prevent the loss of water and body fluid from wounds, and owing to their biodegradability, secondary damage during dressing change can be avoided.

With its excellent biocompatibility and safety, fibrin hydrogel is authorized for human use by the Food and Drug Administration\(^31\)\(^-\)\(^33\). In this study, we engineered a fibrin hydrogel scaffold, formed by the interaction of fibrinogen and thrombin. This scaffold was loaded with hUC-MSCs with the purpose of being implanted into the wound area for the treatment of full-thickness skin defects. Using a mouse model, we explored the wound healing effects of this new fibrin hydrogel scaffold loaded with hUC-MSCs.

Materials and Methods

Synthesis of the Fibrin Hydrogel Scaffold

Freeze-dried fibrinogen powder (F8051, Solarbio, China) was dissolved in sterilized water to prepare a fibrinogen solution 10 mg/ml. Freeze-dried thrombin powder (T8021, Solarbio, China) was dissolved in calcium ion solution (containing 300 mmol/l sodium chloride, 40 mmol/l calcium chloride) to prepare a thrombin solution 25 U/ml. Fibrinogen and thrombin solutions were mixed at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v) to synthesize fibrin hydrogel scaffolds.

Characterization of the Fibrin Hydrogel Scaffold

Characterization by field emission scanning electron microscopy. Fibrin hydrogel scaffolds were characterized using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM; S4800, Hitachi, Japan). The fibrin hydrogel was transformed into a lyophilized scaffold using a vacuum freeze dryer (LICHEN LC-10N-50C), fixed on a copper bar, and sprayed with gold. Then, the morphology of the hydrogel scaffold was observed via FESEM.

In vitro degradation of the fibrin hydrogel scaffold. Fibrin hydrogel scaffolds were immersed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and placed in a biosafety cabinet. Scaffolds were periodically taken out to drain off surface moisture, and the remaining mass was weighed. On days 0, 3, 7, 10, and 14 after scaffold formation, the degradation rate was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Degradation rate (\%)} = \left( \frac{W_i - W_r}{W_i} \right) \times 100\%,
\]

where \(W_i\) is the initial weight of the hydrogel and \(W_r\) is the remaining weight of the hydrogel.

Fibrin Hydrogel Scaffold Toxicity Analysis

The cytotoxicity of the fibrin hydrogel was determined using cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay. Hydrogels were pre-treated with culture media in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO\(_2\) for 24 h. The supernatant was collected and filter-sterilized resulting in the 100% hydrogel extract. Furthermore, the extract was used to culture hUC-MSCs for 24 or 48 h. Subsequently, 10 μl CCK-8 solution (Dojindo, Japan) was added to each well of a 96-well culture plate, and the plate was incubated for 4 h in the incubator. The absorbance was measured immediately at 450 nm, using a microplate reader.

Isolation and Culture of hUC-MSCs

Human umbilical cord tissues were obtained from cesarean sections performed at the Obstetrics and Gynecology Department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow
University (Suzhou, China). Informed consent was obtained from all patients. The human umbilical cord tissue sampling schemes were approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. Briefly, several segments of the fresh umbilical cord tissue (3 cm each) were collected under aseptic conditions in the operating room, rinsed several times with sterile saline to remove blood clots, placed in sterile boxes containing PBS supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin on ice, and transported to the laboratory immediately. The umbilical cords were washed again several times with PBS supplemented with 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin and cut into 1 mm³ fragments with a surgical scissor. The fragments were placed in a Petri dish and a small amount of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium and Ham’s Nutrient Mixture F-12 (DMEM/F12) (C11330500BT, Gibco, USA) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (10099-141, Gibco, USA) was added. The dish was incubated in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂, and medium was added every 3 days. The fragments were removed when many cells migrated out. The cells were passaged when they reached 80% confluence. Before transplantation, hUC-MSCs were infected with lentivirus carrying the enhanced GFP reporter gene. hUC-MSCs at passages 2–5 were used for the following experiments.

**Flow Cytometry**

hUC-MSCs surface antigens were detected between the second and fifth passages, using flow cytometry. In total, 1 × 10⁶ suspended cells were incubated with 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBS at 4°C for 30 min to block non-specific antigens. The cells were lucifugally incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled anti-mouse CD45 (Biolegend) and CD90 (Biolegend), as well as phycoerythrin (PE)-labeled anti-mouse CD34 (Biolegend) and CD105 (Biolegend), at 4°C for 60 min. The cells were washed twice with 0.5% BSA/PBS and resuspended in 200 μl of 0.5% BSA/PBS and analyzed using a flow cytometer (BD, LSRFortessa).

**Adipogenic and Osteoblastic Differentiation of hUC-MSCs**

Adipogenic and osteoblastic differentiation assays were performed to detect the multidirectional differentiation potential of the hUC-MSCs. Adipogenic differentiation was induced using adipogenic induction medium (Stemcell, Canada). The medium was changed every 3 days and oil red O staining was performed on day 14. Osteogenic differentiation was induced using osteogenic induction medium (Cyagen, USA). The medium was changed every 3 days, and alizarin red staining was performed on day 21.

**Wound Model**

All animal procedures were conducted following the provisions of the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The protocol was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. Briefly, 72 female-specific pathogen-free class BALB/c mice aged 8 weeks were randomly divided into four groups: PBS therapy (n = 18); hydrogel monotherapy group (n = 18); hUC-MSCs monotherapy group (n = 18); and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group (n = 18). Using an anesthesia machine (RWD, R540, China), mice were anesthetized with isoflurane at an initial high concentration (2% isoflurane, 2 l/min), which was further lowered (0.5%–1% isoflurane, 1 l/min). Mice were placed on a heating pad to keep them warm during the surgery. After removal of the dorsal hair with a shaver and depilatory cream, entoiodine was applied three times to disinfect the back skin. After disinfection, the back skin was covered with antibacterial film (Drape Antimicrobial 35 × 35 cm BX10 6640EZ, 3M, USA) to avoid skin retraction. Next, full-thickness skin wounds with a diameter of 8 mm were established at the midline of the back of each mouse using a skin perforator. Then, PBS, fibrin hydrogel, hUC-MSCs (resuspended with PBS) or fibrin hydrogel loaded with hUC-MSCs were injected into the center of the wound and its periphery, respectively. In the hydrogel monotherapy group, fibrinogen and thrombin solutions were injected into the wound successively to synthesize the fibrin hydrogel scaffold in situ. In the hydrogel–hUC-MSC combination group, hUC-MSCs were resuspended with fibrinogen solution, then the resuspended suspension and thrombin solution were injected into the wound successively to synthesize in situ the fibrin hydrogel loaded with hUC-MSCs. The total injection volume for each animal was 20 μl, and the cell number was 3 × 10⁵ per injection. After injection, all wounds were covered with another antibacterial film to avoid the dislocation of scaffolds or infection. There were six mice for each time point in each group, and a total of 12 wounds for each time point. According to our unpublished data, the cells’ ability to repair wounds lasts for about 7 days, so that, a second dose was administered on day 7. Mice were kept in separate cages with free access to food and water and observed daily throughout the experiment. On days 0, 3, 7, 10, and 14 after the surgery, wounds were photographed with a digital camera, and the healing was evaluated by calculating the area of the wound using ImageJ 1.47v software. The wound healing rate was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{wound healing rate (\%)} = \left(\frac{A_o - A_u}{A_o}\right) \times 100\% ,
\]

where \(A_o\) is the original wound area and \(A_u\) is the unhealed wound area.
Histological Analysis

On days 3, 7, and 14 after the surgery, the wound and surrounding tissues (diameter = 1 cm) were excised and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h. Samples were dehydrated in a graded ethanol series (70–100%) and cut into 6 μm-thick serial paraffin sections. According to the standard procedures, samples were stained with either hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or immunofluorescent staining, including GFP (Abcam), keratin 10 (K10) (Abcam), and keratin 14 (K14) (Abcam). Then, the ratio of wound re-epithelialization length was calculated according to H&E staining results using the following formula:

\[
\text{Re-epithelialization length ratio (\%) = \frac{\text{length of epidermis extending inward}}{\text{length between the wound edges on both sides}} \times 100\%.
\]

Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction

Total RNA was extracted from the lesioned tissue using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, America) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Next, the total extracted RNA was used to synthesize cDNA using the PrimeScript RT Master Mix (TaKaRa, RR036A, Japan). Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), using TB Green Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa, RR420A, Japan), cDNA, nuclease-free water, and the primers described below, was performed using an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, USA) with the following temperature profile: 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, and 60°C for 30 s. Primer sequences were as follows: mouse vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) forward 5′-GAACCAAGACCTCTCACCGGAA-3′, reverse 5′-GACCCAAAGTGCTCCTCGAAG-3′ (GENEWIZ); mouse epidermal growth factor (EGF) forward 5′-CATCA TGGTGGTGCTGTCTG-3′, reverse 5′-CACTTCCGC TTGGCTCATCA-3′ (GENEWIZ); mouse transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) forward 5′-CCCTATATTTG GAGCCTCGA-3′, reverse 5′-CTTGCGACCCACGTAGT AGA-3′ (GENEWIZ); mouse GAPDH forward 5′-AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG-3′, reverse 5′-TGTA GACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA-3′ (GENEWIZ).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software. All data were presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Differences among the groups were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc tests. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant (significance levels: \(* P < 0.05\), \(** P < 0.01\), and \(*** P < 0.001\)).

Results

Synthesis and Characterization of the Fibrin Hydrogel Scaffold

Fibrin hydrogel scaffolds are formed through combining fibrinogen and thrombin solutions at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v)33. Thrombin cuts fibrinopeptide A of the α chain N-terminal region and fibrinopeptide B of β chain N-terminal region on the E domain of fibrinogen to produce fibrin monomers. Fibrin monomers are then bound via non-covalent bonds to form soluble fibrin polymers. With the participation of calcium ions, the adjacent fibrins undergo rapid covalent cross-linking, forming an insoluble and stable fibrin hydrogel. The whole process completes within a few seconds (Fig. 1A)31–33. This process simulates hemostasis in the body. The morphology of the fibrin hydrogel scaffold with a porous three-dimensional mesh microstructure was demonstrated using FESEM (Fig. 1B). CCK-8 assay showed the viability of hUC-MSCs seeded in fibrin hydrogels (Fig. 1C). Compared with DMEM/F12 medium-containing control, the extraction medium of fibrin hydrogels did not show any obvious toxicity. The crosslinked fibrin degraded slowly into fibrin degradation products (Fig. 1D). The integrity of the fibrin hydrogel was maintained for at least 1 week, after which the hydrogel degraded gradually within 2 weeks (Fig. 1E, F). These results showed that the fibrin hydrogel scaffold had a relatively high level of biological safety, good biodegradability, and a porous three-dimensional mesh structure, which provided a suitable environment for cell adhesion and proliferation.

hUC-MSCs Possess the Characteristics of Stem Cells and Multidirectional Differentiation Potential

hUC-MSCs were evaluated in terms of plastic adherence, specific surface antigen expression, and multidirectional differentiation potential35. When maintained in standard culture conditions, hUC-MSCs were spindle-shaped and plastic-adherent (Fig. 2A). Oil red O (Fig. 2B) and alizarin red (Fig. 2C) stainings showed the formation of lipid droplets and mineralized nodules, respectively, which confirmed adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation abilities of hUC-MSCs. Moreover, flow cytometry demonstrated that these cells were positive for CD90 (> 99%) (Fig. 2D) and CD105 (> 97%) (Fig. 2E), whereas negative for CD34 (> 99%) (Fig. 2F) and CD45 (> 99%) (Fig. 2G). Thus, hUC-MSCs possessed the basic characteristics of MSCs, including the potential of multidirectional differentiation.
Fibrin Hydrogel Scaffold Prolongs the Survival of hUC-MSCs in the Peri-Wound Area

Skin wounds were treated with GFP-labeled hUC-MSCs (GFP-hUC-MSCs) or hydrogel-seeded GFP-labeled hUC-MSCs. Immunofluorescence results showed that many GFP-hUC-MSCs were observed in the lesioned tissue, in both the hUC-MSCs monotherapy (Fig. 3A) and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination (Fig. 3B) groups on day 3. However, on day 7, GFP-hUC-MSCs were hardly observed in the lesioned tissue of hUC-MSC monotherapy group (Fig. 3C), while many GFP-hUC-MSCs were still present in
Figure 2. hUC-MSCs possess the characteristics of stem cells and multidirectional differentiation potential. (A) Microscopic morphology of hUC-MSCs. (B) Oil red O staining showed formation of lipid droplets (blue arrowheads). (C) Alizarin red staining showed formation of mineralized nodules (green arrowheads). (D–G) Flow cytometric analysis of surface antigens in hUC-MSCs. The blue curves represent isotypic controls. Scale bar = 200 μm. hUC-MSCs: Human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells.

Figure 3. Fibrin hydrogel scaffold prolongs the survival time of hUC-MSCs at the wound site. Skin wounds were treated with GFP-labeled hUC-MSCs or hydrogel–GFP-labeled hUC-MSCs. In vivo testing—fluorescence tracer results on (A, B) day 3 after surgery (C, D) day 7 after surgery. (E) Comparison of GFP fluorescence intensity between the hUC-MSCs monotherapy and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination groups on days 3 and 7 after surgery. Scale bar = 100 μm. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. hUC-MSCs: human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; DAPI: 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; GFP: green fluorescent protein. ***P < 0.001.
the lesioned tissue of hydrogel–hUC-MSC combination group (Fig. 3D). Moreover, GFP fluorescence intensity of the lesioned tissue in the hydrogel–hUC-MSC combination group was higher than that of the lesioned tissue in the hUC-MSCs monotherapy group on days 3 and 7 (Fig. 3E). These results indicated that the fibrin hydrogel scaffold could provide a suitable microenvironment for hUC-MSCs and prolong the survival of hUC-MSCs in the peri-wound area.

Hydrogel–hUC-MSCs Combination Therapy Accelerates Wound Closure

All animal experiments and treatments were performed according to standard procedures (Fig. 4A). The efficacy of the different therapeutic strategies was assessed by observing the postoperative wound healing and calculating the wound healing rates. We found that on days 7, 10, and 14 after the treatment, wounds of mice in the hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group healed faster than those of mice in the other three groups. On day 14, the wounds of mice in the hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group were almost completely healed, earlier than those of mice in the other three groups (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, the wound healing rate of hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group was significantly higher than that of the other three groups from day 7 (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001) (Fig. 4C). On day 3, epidermal cells migrated from the edges of the wounds in the hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group, forming obvious epithelial tongues (Fig. 4D).
On day 7, epidermal cells in the lesioned tissue of hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group continued to proliferate and migrate to the wound (Fig. 4E). On day 14, the skin morphology in samples collected from the hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group was almost the same as that of the normal skin tissue (Fig. 4F). Meanwhile, the ratios of wound re-epithelialization length in the hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group were all higher than those of the other three groups on days 3, 7, and 14 (Fig. 4G–I). These results suggested that the combination therapy of fibrin hydrogel and hUC-MSCs could accelerate wound closure.

**Hydrogel–hUC-MSCs Combination Therapy Promotes Re-Epithelialization**

Immunofluorescence results showed that on days 3 and 7 after surgery, the levels of expression of K10 (Fig. 5A, B) and K14 (Fig. 5C, D), and their extension toward the wound center were higher in the lesioned tissue of hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group than those of the other three groups. On day 3, the levels of expression of EGF were higher in the lesioned tissue of hUC-MSC monotherapy and hydrogel–hUC-MSC combination groups than those of the other two groups ($P < 0.01$ and $P < 0.05$, respectively) (Fig. 5E). The level of expression of transforming growth factor β1 (TGF-β1) was higher in the lesioned tissue of hydrogel–hUC-MSC combination group than that of the other three groups ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 5H). On days 7 and 14, the levels of expression of EGF and TGF-β1 in the lesioned tissue of hydrogel–hUC-MSC combination group were both higher than those in the lesioned tissue of the other three groups ($P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.001$, respectively) (Fig. 5F, G, I, and J, respectively). These results indicated that the combination therapy between fibrin hydrogel and hUC-MSCs could upregulate the expression of EGF and TGF-β1 and promote re-epithelialization.

**Hydrogel–hUC-MSCs Combination Therapy Promotes Neovascularization**

On day 3 after treatment, we hardly saw any new blood vessels in the samples collected from all four groups (Fig. 6A), and we observed no difference between the levels of expression of VEGFA among them (Fig. 6D). On days 7 and 14, new blood vessels were found in the lesioned tissue of hUC-MSC monotherapy and hydrogel–hUC-MSC combination groups, and they were more abundant than those in the lesioned tissue of the other two groups (Fig. 6B, C, respectively). In addition, the levels of expression of VEGFA were higher in the lesioned tissue of hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group than those of the other three groups ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 6E, F, respectively). These results indicated that the combination therapy between fibrin hydrogel and hUC-MSCs could upregulate the expression of VEGFA and promote neovascularization.

**Discussion**

In this study, we describe a fibrin hydrogel scaffold consisting of fibrinogen and thrombin. The scaffold had a porous, three-dimensional mesh structure, and could establish perfectly fitting shapes in irregular wounds.

Full-thickness skin wounds caused by acute trauma, chronic ulcers, and deep burns cause many physiological and functional problems, and are often a therapeutic challenge. Currently, the main therapeutic methods used in clinical practice are functionally limited. For instance, traditional cotton medical gauze has some inevitable disadvantages, such as limited utility, poor absorption properties, and the need of frequent replacement, which may cause secondary trauma. Biological dressings, such as pig skin, carry the risk of spreading bacteria and viruses, and immunological rejection. MSCs play important roles in all stages of wound healing, but ensuring their survival and effective functioning at the wound site still raises difficulties.

Our previous work demonstrated that the fibrin hydrogel scaffold promotes sustained drug release in vitro and in vivo. This scaffold not only overcomes the limitations of traditional medical dressings but also provides a suitable microenvironment for hUC-MSCs growth and transplantation for the treatment of skin wounds.

The advantages of hUC-MSCs transplantation in healing skin wounds strongly encourage their use. hUC-MSCs secrete important growth factors necessary for re-epithelialization and angiogenesis through paracrine effect. Herein, we found that the fibrin hydrogel scaffold loaded with hUC-MSCs could promote the relative gene expression of growth factors (EGF and VEGFA) and migration-related genes (TGF-β1), which is beneficial for re-epithelialization, angiogenesis, and extracellular matrix secretion. EGF can stimulate the migration and proliferation of epidermal cells during re-epithelialization. VEGFA, also known as VEGF, is critical for angiogenesis during the formation of the granulation tissue. Newly formed blood vessels can provide regenerating tissues with enough oxygen and nutrition, which are essential to complete wound healing. TGF-β1 belongs to the superfamily of TGFβ, which regulates cell growth and differentiation. It can stimulate fibroblasts to synthesize large amounts of collagen, providing a temporary extracellular matrix for neovascularization, as well as proliferation and migration of basal cells. In addition, TGF-β1 can promote fibroblast transformation into myofibroblasts, achieving wound closure. In the present study, the expressions of these cytokines were higher in the lesioned tissue of hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination therapy group than those of the other treatment groups. Meanwhile, the fluorescence expressions of K10 and K14 were higher in the lesioned tissue of the combination therapy group than those of the other groups. Keratin intermediate filaments are major protein constituents in epithelial cells. They provide mechanical support and fulfill a variety of additional functions. We speculated that the
Figure 5. Hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination therapy promotes re-epithelialization. (A, B) Keratin 10 immunofluorescence results of the wounds in the control, hydrogel monotherapy, hUC-MSCs monotherapy, and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination groups on days 3 and 7 after surgery. (C, D) Keratin 14 immunofluorescence results of the wounds in the control, hydrogel monotherapy, hUC-MSCs monotherapy, and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination groups on days 3 and 7 after surgery. (E–G) Levels of expression of EGF in the in lesioned tissue of control, hydrogel monotherapy, hUC-MSCs monotherapy, and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination groups on days 3, 7, and 14 after surgery. (H–J) Levels of expression of TGF-β1 in the in lesioned tissue of control, hydrogel monotherapy, hUC–MSCs monotherapy and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination groups on days 3, 7, and 14 after surgery. White dotted line shows the edge of the wound. Scale bar = 500 μm. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. hUC-MSCs: human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; EGF: epidermal growth factor; TGF-β1: transforming growth factor-β1; W: wound area; N: normal area. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001.
formation of fibrin hydrogel provided a stable microenvironment for hUC-MSCs allowing them to function better, thus, inducing their paracrine effect and promoting cytokine secretion. With the slow degradation of the hydrogel, cytokines are released enhancing extracellular matrix production, increasing secretion of cytokines, and improving wound healing. This is a positive feedback regulation.

The ratio of wound re-epithelialization length and the intensity of K10 and K14 immunofluorescence were substantially higher in the lesioned tissue of the
hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination group than those of the hUC-MSCs monotherapy group. However, on day 3 after surgery, the levels of expression of EGF were similar in the hUC-MSCs monotherapy and hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination groups. We speculated that hUC-MSCs could not function well without the microenvironment provided by the hydrogel, and thus, the increased expression of EGF in the hUC-MSCs monotherapy group was not enough to recruit sufficient epidermal cells at the wound site. These results further confirm the positive effects of hydrogel on cell adhesion, proliferation, and migration.

These findings strongly support the clinical therapeutic potential of the fibrin hydrogel scaffold loaded with hUC-MSCs. However, this study also has some limitations; thus, the underlying mechanism of how fibrin hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination therapy promotes wound healing needs further investigation.

Conclusion

To conclude, we have demonstrated the therapeutic effect of fibrin hydrogel scaffold loaded with hUC-MSCs on full-thickness skin defects. The fibrin hydrogel scaffold can adapt to a variety of irregularly shaped skin wounds. It provides a relatively stable environment for cell adhesion, proliferation, and migration and prolongs cell survival at the wound site. The hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination therapy has positive effects on the wound closure, re-epithelialization, and neovascularization. It exhibits a remarkable therapeutic effect, being more effective than monotherapy with hUC-MSCs or the hydrogel. Our study provides support for using hydrogel–hUC-MSCs combination therapy in the clinical treatment of skin wounds offering a promising strategy for wound healing.
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