Induction of the immunoprotective coat of Yersinia pestis at body temperature is mediated by the Caf1R transcription factor
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Abstract

Background: Thermal regulation of gene expression occurs in many microorganisms, and is mediated via several typical mechanisms. Yersinia pestis is the causative agent of the plague and spreads by zoonotic transfer from fleas to mammalian blood with a concomitant rapid temperature change, from ambient to 37 °C, which induces the expression of capsular antigen (Caf1) that inhibits phagocytosis. Caf1 is formed into long polymeric fimbriae by a periplasmic chaperone (Caf1M) and outer membrane usher (Caf1A). All three are encoded on an operon regulated by an AraC-type transcription factor Caf1R. The aim of this study was to determine the role of Caf1R in the thermal control of caf1 operon gene expression.

Results: PCR analysis of cDNA demonstrated that the genes of the operon are transcribed as a single polycistronic mRNA. Bioinformatic analysis, supported by deletion mutagenesis, then revealed a region containing the promoter of this polycistronic transcript that was critical for Caf1 protein expression. Caf1R was found to be essential for Caf1 protein production. Finally, RT-PCR analysis and western blot experiments showed large, Caf1R dependent increases in caf1 operon transcripts upon a shift in temperature from 25 °C to 35 °C.

Conclusions: The results show that thermal control of Caf1 polymer production is established at the transcriptional level, in a Caf1R dependent manner. This gives us new insights into how a virulent pathogen evades destruction by the immune system by detecting and responding to environmental changes.
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Background

Temperature is an important stimulus for pathogens infecting mammalian and avian hosts, allowing them to differentiate between host and non-host environments. Microorganisms can employ a suite of different mechanisms to sense and respond to changes in temperature by altering their patterns of gene expression. These mechanisms can act at either the transcriptional or translational levels and can be mediated by protein, RNA or DNA [1]. Typical mechanisms include changes in the degree of supercoiling in DNA, as well as elements of local structure such as promoter curvature, which can affect transcription efficiency, when temperature increases or falls [1]. Another common mechanism involves RNA “thermometers” that form temperature dependent mRNA secondary structures that can inhibit translation below a critical temperature [1, 2]. In addition, some proteins can undergo conformational changes in response to alterations in temperature, resulting in the post-translational modification of downstream transcription factors [3, 4], inhibition of DNA binding [5, 6], or protein degradation [7], with subsequent changes in gene expression.

The bacterium Yersinia pestis is the etiologic agent of the plague, responsible for approximately 200 million deaths across the course of three major epidemics throughout history [8], with modern outbreaks still occurring, most recently in Madagascar [9]. One of the factors responsible for the virulence of this organism is its ability to avoid phagocytosis by macrophages [10]. This ability is dependent upon a gel-like capsule, composed of polymers of the capsular antigen fraction 1
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(Caf1) protein, which coats the bacterium and is thought to act by preventing adhesin-receptor interactions. Deletion of the capsule causes an increase in the uptake of Y. pestis bacilli by macrophages [10] showing Caf1 to be an important protection against the host’s immune system.

Caf1 forms very long, thin polymers consisting of repeating ~15 kDa subunits with polymer lengths of up to 1.5 μm having been observed [11]. The polymers are highly flexible, appearing at high magnifications like beads on a string. Their biogenesis proceeds via the chaperone-usher (CU) pathway, which is employed for the production of a range of pilus structures in Gram negative bacteria [12]. Briefly, nascent, unfolded Caf1 subunits are exported to the periplasm, where they are bound by the chaperone protein Caf1M. This partially stabilises the Ig-like fold of the Caf1 subunit, before it is delivered to the usher protein, Caf1A, which resides in the outer membrane. Caf1A assembles the Caf1 subunits into a polymer that it exports to the cell surface [12] where it appears as a diffuse “flocculent” layer above the pellet in centrifuged cultures [13, 14]. The molecular basis for the polymerisation is the donation of the N-terminal β strand of one subunit into the acceptor cleft of the preceding subunit in the polymer, displacing the chaperone and stably completing the subunit fold [15]. This process, known as donor-strand complementation, results in a highly stable, non-covalent polymer [16].

In Y. pestis, Caf1 is expressed from the caf1 operon present on the pMT plasmid [17]. Upstream of the caf1M, caf1A and caf1 genes of the caf1 operon is a fourth gene, caf1R, which is encoded on the opposite DNA strand. The domain structure of the Caf1R protein is that of a Rob-like AraC family transcription factor [18], consisting of a recognisable N-terminal helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain but with a distinctive C-terminal domain of unknown function. Although a putative promoter site and regulatory elements have been predicted upstream of caf1M [19], their presence has yet to be empirically determined.

Y. pestis becomes vulnerable to macrophage attack when it is injected into the mammalian host via a flea bite. The bacterium senses this event through a range of molecular mechanisms that perceive the temperature of its environment and exploit the temperature difference between the flea (on the hosts surface ~ 25 °C) and blood (~ 37 °C) [8]. Caf1 protein expression is induced at 37 °C [8, 10, 20], allowing the bacteria to rapidly produce this protein when most at risk of being phagocytosed. Temperature dependent increases in caf1 operon gene transcription have been reported [20, 21] but whether Caf1R plays a role in coordinating the correct temperature dependent expression is not clear.

A previous report has described the Caf1R protein as a positive transcriptional regulator [22]. There are three arguments in favour of this designation: first, a plasmid containing only the 81 N-terminal residue helix-turn-helix DNA binding domain of Caf1R caused an increase in Caf1 production [22, 23]; second, inducing frame-shift mutations into Caf1R prevented Caf1 biogenesis; and finally, Caf1R displays homology with the AraC family of positive transcriptional regulators [22]. However, a link between Caf1R and the thermal switch has not been demonstrated.

Here, we determine the role of Caf1R in the thermosensitive control of gene expression from the caf1 operon. We show that the caf1M, caf1A and caf1 genes are transcribed as a single polycistronic transcript and identify the promoter region that is critical for their transcription. Deletion of caf1R completely abrogated Caf1 polymer expression, which was restored by complementing this deletion with caf1R on a second plasmid. Finally, RT-PCR and western blot experiments showed caf1 operon transcripts are substantially upregulated upon a switch from 25 °C to 35 °C in a Caf1R dependent manner. Therefore, we propose that the temperature induced expression of Caf1 polymers is governed at the transcriptional level in a Caf1R dependent manner, thus revealing Caf1R to be a key factor in the determination of Y. pestis virulence.

Results

The caf1 operon is transcribed as a polycistronic transcript

In the caf1 operon, the caf1R coding sequence is on the opposite strand to, and separated by a 328 nucleotide (nt) intergenic region I, from the remaining genes, which are arranged with a very short (24 nt) intergenic region II between caf1M and caf1A and a larger intergenic region III of 80 nt between caf1A and caf1 (Fig. 1a). To characterise the expression of caf1 genes within the caf1 operon, PCR analysis of the RNA transcripts was employed. Cultures of E. coli transformed with a plasmid containing the caf1 operon (pCaf1OPeron, pCOP, Additional file 1: Table S1) or the operon preceded by an upstream T7 promoter from the vector (pT7-COP, Additional file 1: Table S1), were grown from single colonies for 16 h at 35 °C to stimulate Caf1 production, following which total RNA was extracted and used to synthesise cDNA. To assess whether the caf1 genes are expressed as part of a polycistronic transcript, the cDNA was used as a template, with primers complementary to the coding regions either side of the intergenic regions (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Therefore, if the genes are expressed on a large
transcript, amplification of the intergenic regions should proceed when the cDNA is used as a template, whereas single transcripts will result in no amplification. Amplification products could be detected from intergenic regions II and III from pCOP, showing that caf1M and caf1A, as well as caf1A and caf1, are co-transcribed (Fig. 1b). The intergenic region between caf1M and caf1A is very small (24 nt), and unlikely to harbour a promoter. This suggests that in the natural system, caf1M, caf1A and caf1 are all transcribed together on one mRNA of approx. 3900 nt. For pT7-COP, all three intergenic regions could be detected, suggesting that the T7 promoter, which is upstream of caf1R, drives transcription that proceeds through all four genes. This was of interest since we had previously found by chance that leaky expression from this T7 promoter was able to drive significant Caf1 polymer production [13].
Identification of the long caf1 operon transcript promoter

To identify the promoter that drives transcription of this long mRNA, the DNA sequence between caf1R and caf1M (intergenic region I) was used as an input into the BPROM (Softberry Inc., Mount Kisco, NY, USA, http://www.softberry.com) [24] and Neural Network Promoter Prediction 2.2 (NNPP2.2) [25] webservers. These predictions led us to define three potential promoter regions of 41 bp (P1, P2 and P3, Additional file 1: Figure S2) upstream of caf1M that could potentially drive the transcription of the polycistronic caf1 transcript. Interestingly, the P2 region contains the putative promoter previously predicted by Galyov et al. [23]. Each region was then separately deleted from pCOPF (in which Caf1R, M and A have added C-terminal FLAG tags, Additional file 1: Table S1) and the resulting plasmids used to transform BL21(DE3) cells. To assess the effect of the deletions, protein levels after 16 h of growth at 37 °C were determined by western blot using anti-FLAG antibodies and levels of each transcript were measured by RT-PCR (Fig. 1c,d). Deletion of the P3 region showed little effect on the levels of protein or transcript. For P1, deletion had little effect on protein expression, but did appear to cause a small drop in transcript level. However, the greatest effect was seen upon deletion of the P2 region, where synthesis of all the Caf1 proteins was completely abrogated, accompanied by a substantial decrease in caf1A and caf1 transcript levels. The levels of caf1M transcript in the P1 and P2 deletions are both slightly reduced, but whereas Caf1M synthesis is unaffected by P1 deletion, no Caf1M protein was detected from the P2 deletion. The deleted P2 region thus contains or overlaps the promoter responsible for the large, polycistronic transcript observed by PCR analysis.

Caf1R regulates the caf1 operon

To further investigate the importance of Caf1R to Caf1 polymer production, the caf1R gene was deleted from the pCOPF plasmid (pCOPΔR, Additional file 1: Table S1). The pCOPF and pCOPΔR plasmids were transformed into BL21(DE3) E. coli cells and expression cultures were grown from single colonies at 35 °C. Expression of Caf1 polymers correlates with the appearance of a flocculent layer that sits on top of the cell pellet in centrifuged expression cultures [13, 14]. Expression cultures were assayed for flocculent layer production, which directly corresponded to the amount of Caf1 polymer secreted by the bacteria (Additional file 1: Figure S3). The caf1R deletion completely abolished Caf1 protein production from the pCOPΔR plasmid, with no flocculent layer observed and no Caf1 protein detectable in the pellet by western blot (Fig. 2), demonstrating that caf1R is necessary for Caf1 production.

pCOPFΔR was then co-transformed into BL21(DE3) cells with pBad-Caf1R (Additional file 1: Table S1), where caf1R is under the control of an arabinose inducible promoter. Complementing pCOPFΔR with pBad-Caf1R in the absence of arabinose rescued Caf1 protein expression (Fig. 2). Induction of Caf1R from the pBad Caf1R by the addition of arabinose also rescued Caf1 protein expression, although the height of the flocculent layer was lower in this case suggesting that only very low levels of Caf1R are needed to promote Caf1 protein expression, and that higher levels are inhibitory to Caf1 biogenesis. These results show that Caf1R is essential for Caf1 production.

Temperature induction of caf1 operon expression occurs at the transcriptional level

It is useful for Y. pestis to control the formation of the Caf1 polymer using temperature in order to provide
immediate protection from macrophages upon transfer to a warm blooded host. The increase in caf1 operon expression with temperature has been demonstrated previously [8, 20, 21], but the underlying molecular mechanism has not been investigated. Therefore, we tested the hypothesis that the Caf1R protein is the transcription factor that mediates the thermoregulation of Caf1 expression.

To assess this claim, E. coli were transformed with pCOPF or pCOPFΔR and grown for 16 h at 25 °C, such that caf1 is not expressed. The stationary phase cultures were then diluted to 0.5 OD600 and then grown for one further hour at either 25 °C or 35 °C. Transcriptional levels of the caf1 operon genes were then examined through RT-PCR. A large, significant increase in the relative transcript levels of caf1M, caf1A and caf1 could be seen at 35 °C compared to 25 °C for cells transformed with pCOP, with fold increases of 23.3, 33.4 and 26.7 respectively (Fig. 3a). An 8.8 fold increase in caf1R transcript levels was also observed, but this was not statistically significantly different from the levels observed at 25 °C. Crucially, in the absence of Caf1R, no temperature dependent increase was seen (Fig. 3b), showing that the increase in transcription levels was not a general effect of temperature change. Additionally, the overall level of transcript produced from pCOPFΔR was significantly lower in all cases when compared to the system containing all four genes, again highlighting the influence of Caf1R.

The effect of the temperature change was then analysed at the translational level by performing western blot experiments on the same cultures used for RT-PCR. The levels of protein detected matched the RT-PCR data, where levels of Caf1M and Caf1 protein could be seen to increase upon incubation at the higher temperature relative to the lower temperature, while being completely undetectable in the absence of Caf1R (Fig. 3). Caf1A, a large membrane protein, was not visualised by western blot. Similarly, although Caf1R is soluble and of a similar size to the easily detected Caf1M, it was also not detected by anti-FLAG western blot, presumably in this case because its concentration was consistently below the limit of detection. This is not surprising, as transcription factors are often present in very low amounts in bacterial cells [26, 27], and our data show that even the low levels of expression from the uninduced, tightly regulated PBAD promoter [28] are enough to rescue Caf1R deletion (Fig. 2).

When E. coli transformed with the pT7-COP plasmid were grown at 30 °C (where the natural Caf1R system is switched off and transcription is driven by the T7 promoter), high levels of Caf1 expression could still be detected (Fig. 4). The T7 promoter appears to be stronger than the natural caf1R promoter, since it generates a
greater amount of flocculent layer. Differences in flocculent height for pT7-COP containing cells are likely due to differences in the efficiency of T7 transcription between the two temperatures [29], and also the thermal activation of caf1 operon transcription from the native promoter at 35 °C. The relative thermal independence of the T7 driven expression implies that the temperature regulation of the caf1 operon takes place primarily at the transcriptional level, with post-transcriptional (translational and post-translational) regulation having very little, if any, role.

Discussion

caf1 operon genes are expressed from a polycistronic transcript

The results of this study show that the caf1 operon is expressed as a long, polycistronic transcript from a promoter that resides in the P2 region in a Caf1R dependent manner. Previously, Galyov et al. predicted the presence of regulatory regions and a putative promoter that would drive caf1 transcription, and identified a Shine-Dalgarno sequence 7 bases upstream of the caf1M start codon [23]. We used an independent software tool that identified potential promoter regions that could transcribe the polycistronic caf1 operon transcript, before confirming their activity through deletion mutagenesis and RT-PCR. The putative promoter predicted by Galyov et al. overlaps with the P2 region we identify here as being essential for the expression of the operon. Therefore, we have independently identified and experimentally confirmed the presence of the promoter responsible for transcription of the caf1 operon.

Considering caf1M, caf1A and caf1 are transcribed as a single polycistronic transcript, it was surprising that the RT-PCR results showed that each of the genes has a particular transcriptional level, where the levels of caf1M and caf1A transcripts were similar and caf1 transcript much higher. There are two potential explanations of this: either the caf1 gene can be transcribed by a second, Caf1R responsive promoter, or the translational units within the polycistronic RNA transcript have different levels of mRNA stability. We used 5′ RACE (Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends) to search for a second promoter upstream of the caf1 gene. If a promoter exists in this region, the majority of transcript sequences should terminate inside intergenic region III at the transcriptional start site (Additional file 1: Figure S4), but 7 out of 8 sequences obtained continued through the intergenic region into caf1A (Additional file 1: Figure S5), thus corresponding to the observed polycistronic transcript. These sequences terminated at different locations, implying the termination is due to dissociation of the polymerase enzyme rather than a transcriptional start site within this region of caf1A. Moreover, deletion of the P2 region, which lies upstream of caf1M and is therefore responsible for transcription of the polycistronic transcript, abolished the expression of all caf1 genes. If separate promoters were present for each gene, this deletion would have a substantially reduced effect on caf1A and caf1 expression. A previous study by Galyov et al. [19] predicted the presence of a putative promoter upstream of caf1, at the 3′ end of the caf1A gene. However, in isolation this region did not enable caf1 expression [19], suggesting that it does not contain a functional promoter, in concordance with our results.

As a second promoter could not be detected, the differential stability of the translational units within the mRNA, such as has been previously reported [30], is a more plausible explanation for the differing levels of transcript observed in the RT-PCR experiments. Upon a shift of the bacteria to 35 °C, such a system would allow Caf1R to activate the transcription of the caf1 operon polycistronic mRNA, which would then be degraded to different degrees resulting in differential levels of transcript for caf1M, caf1A and caf1. To produce long Caf1 polymers, a large number of subunits is required, with fewer chaperones and ushers necessary. Therefore, this
system appears to allow cells to produce the optimal levels of each protein from a single transcript.

Thermosensitive transcriptional regulation of the caf1 operon

The ability to regulate gene expression in response to changes in temperature is important for Y. pestis, which lives mainly in two environments with different ambient temperatures: the flea at ~ 25 °C [8], and mammals, with body temperatures of around 37 °C. This difference in temperature is therefore a simple signal that allows the bacterium to determine whether it is in the vector or the host where it behaves as a mammal pathogen, expressing factors related to virulence and immuno evasion. The results of the temperature switching experiment clearly show the rapid upregulation of the expression of caf1M, caf1A and caf1 upon an increase in temperature. The fold increase in transcript levels with temperature, that we observe, correlates well with those previously described [20] for caf1R and caf1M (8.8 vs 8.9 fold and 23.3 vs 19.7 fold respectively), but we detect greater increases in transcription for caf1A and caf1 (33.4 vs 9.7 fold and 26.7 vs 7.8 fold respectively). Crucially, this upregulation occurs at the transcriptional level, and is wholly Caf1R dependent.

The difference in transcriptional output is sufficient to describe the observed temperature sensitivity of Caf1 protein production. Not only did the levels of Caf1M and Caf1 observed by western blot correlate with the levels of transcript seen by RT-PCR but bypass of transcriptional regulation through the introduction of a T7 promoter permitted expression of Caf1 at 30 °C, when there was no expression from the native caf1 operon under these conditions. If a method of translational or post-translational regulation was present (e.g. a riboswitch), then it would be expected that these mechanisms would regulate T7 driven caf1 expression at lower temperatures. Therefore, these mechanisms are either not present, or have a very weak effect in the Caf1 system. Caf1R mediated transcriptional control is thus the main mechanism to express Caf1 polymers under the appropriate conditions.

Caf1R mediates thermal regulation

It is clear from our results that Caf1R is the element that transduces the temperature change into a transcriptional response. There are three models through which this could take place (Fig. 5). In model A (Fig. 5a), the Caf1R protein itself is the thermoresponsive element. At 25 °C it is unable to activate transcription of the caf1 operon, regardless of its DNA binding state. At 35 °C, a conformational change allows the protein to activate transcription. Inactive Caf1R is shown as a red circle and active Caf1R as a red arc. In (b), the Caf1R protein abundance is thermoresponsive. Transcription is not thermally regulated so either Caf1R is always transcribed but only translated at 35 °C or Caf1R is stabilised at 35 °C. The increased Caf1R protein level activates transcription of the operon. In (c), the DNA is the thermoresponsive element. At 25 °C, the DNA is not in an optimal conformation for Caf1R binding, and so transcription is not activated. At 35 °C, a change in the DNA facilitates optimal Caf1R binding, and so transcription of the operon is activated. Genes are shown as coloured rectangles (red, green, yellow and blue for caf1R, caf1M, caf1A and caf1 respectively), with the Caf1R DNA binding site shown in purple. mRNA transcripts are represented as wavy lines coloured according to the gene they are transcribed from.
element is the level of Caf1R, mediated either through temperature dependent increase in caf1R translation or decrease in Caf1R protein turnover. Finally, in model C (Fig. 5c), a temperature dependent structural change in a DNA regulatory region, e.g. supercoiling or promoter topology [1] is recognised by Caf1R, which binds and activates transcription.

Well studied examples found in Yersinia show how transcription factors can be thermally regulated in very different ways. In one case LcrF, which controls Type III secretion systems (TTSS) involved in phagocytosis evasion, undergoes translational control through the use of an RNA thermometer in which ribosome binding to the LcrF mRNA is inhibited by a temperature sensitive RNA secondary structure [2]. In contrast, the RovA transcription factor is itself a proteinaceous thermosensor. RovA functions as a “global regulator” of transcription in response to increases in temperature, resulting in the expression of the TTSS and Yop effector proteins in Y. pestis, and the internalization factor invasin in Y. pseudotuberculosis [31]. The mechanism through which RovA senses temperature involves a flexible loop situated between two alpha helices that have a role in dimer formation [7]. Upon temperature increase, the protein partially unfolds, lowering its affinity towards DNA and increasing its susceptibility to proteases, thus de-repressing the transcription of target genes.

The closest homologue of Caf1R is the Rob protein of E. coli [18], which consists of an N-terminal DNA binding domain coupled to a C-terminal GyrI-like domain [32]. Rob acts as a transcriptional activator that is induced by dipyridyl or decanoate [33]. In the uninduced state, the C-terminal domain causes sequestration of Rob into distinct cellular foci, preventing it from interacting with DNA and activating transcription. Addition of the inducer allows Rob to disperse through the cell and activate the transcription of its target genes [33] though direct interactions between its N-terminal domain and the σ70 subunit of RNA polymerase [34]. Truncated Rob proteins, where the GyrI domains were absent, were able to activate transcription in the absence of inducer [33, 35]. Additionally, MarA, which is homologous to the N-terminal DNA binding domain of Caf1R, contains no C-terminal domain, and so regulates transcription on the basis of its abundance rather than the presence of an inducer [33, 36]. A truncated Caf1R protein, containing only the first 82 amino acids of the DNA binding domain, was seen to allow expression of Caf1, whereas complete Caf1R knockout prevents Caf1 expression [22, 23]. The homology of Caf1R to these proteins therefore suggests that its N-terminal DNA binding domain is responsible for binding DNA and activating transcription, whilst the C-terminal GyrI-like domain is responsible for the characteristic Caf1R behaviour. Whether this is due to changes in Caf1R conformation (model A) or abundance (model B) we cannot resolve. Additionally, model C would also account for the results we describe here and Karlyshev et al. observed a series of repeated DNA sequences within intergenic region I which may interact with Caf1R and orient the DNA for optimal induction of gene expression [22]. It is also possible that a combination of these models is responsible. We made numerous attempts to purify sufficient amounts of Caf1R for such studies, but it appeared to be particularly unstable. Therefore, efforts to produce a soluble, functional construct will likely be necessary in order to answer these questions.

Thermosensitive transcriptional activators in Gram-negative bacterial pathogens

The function of Caf1R revealed in this study is of interest due to its role in the immunoevasive ability of a highly dangerous pathogen. However, it is possible that Caf1R also represents a class of transcription factors that has received little prior study. PSI-BLAST searches reveal that the most similar proteins to Caf1R are unannotated sequences from various Gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli and several Salmonella species. However, one similar protein sequence is LdaA from an enteropathogenic E. coli strain (EPEC). LdaA is a putative regulatory protein that exists as part of the lda locus, named locus of diffuse adherence for its apparent ability to attenuate the adherence of E. coli to HEp-2 cells [37]. The lda gene displays sequence similarity with other fimbrial proteins, particularly the K88 Fae proteins, but unlike these structured fimbriae, Lda fibres appear flexible when visualized by electron microscopy. These data, as well as the similarity of LdaE to known periplasmic chaperones [37], hint that the Lda proteins may assemble in a manner similar to Caf1, and form a similar structure. If this is the case, the sequence similarity of LdaA and Caf1R suggests it may potentially function in an analogous way. Additionally, homology between Caf1R and AfrR, a putative transcription factor in the AF/R1 operon, has been identified previously [38]. The AF/R1 pilus produced by the genes of this operon plays an important role in the adhesion and pathogenicity of a rabbit diarrheagenic E. coli (RDEC) strain. If these proteins indeed function in a similar way to Caf1R, it may be evidence of a conserved system of thermosensitive transcriptional activation present in Gram-negative pathogens.

Conclusion

Thermosensitive expression of the cafI operon is determined on the transcriptional level in a Caf1R
dependent manner. The caf1 operon genes caf1M, caf1A and caf1 are transcribed as a single polycistrionic mRNA, from a promoter within the P2 region upstream of caf1M. The transcription of this mRNA is substantially upregulated upon a temperature shift from 25°C to 35°C. This is sufficient to allow protein expression and the subsequent formation of Caf1 polymers. As Caf1 has a key role in allowing Y. pestis bacteria to evade phagocytosis, these results reveal a simple but important mechanism through which a dangerous pathogen can sense and respond to its environment.

**Methods**

**Plasmids and cell culture**

Plasmid constructs (Additional file 1: Table S1) were derived from pGEM-T Caf1 and pBad33SD Caf1, described previously [13]. pCOP was constructed by substitution of the T7 promoter with a random sequence of the same length using a Q5 Site-directed mutagenesis kit (New England Biolabs). All other plasmids were constructed using an In-Fusion HD cloning kit (Clontech). Deletions were made by omitting the relevant region of the plasmid from the amplified region, then ligating the amplified region back together. Primers sequences are shown in Additional file 1: Table S2.

Transformations were performed using E. coli BL21 (DE3) (New England Biolabs). Three different colonies were selected from each plate and grown in LB media overnight at 37°C, 180 rpm. Glycerol stocks were prepared using 500 μl of bacterial culture and 500 μl of 60% (v/v) glycerol solution. Expression cultures were prepared using 5 ml TB media containing 100 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin and/or 20 μg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol and were inoculated using a stab from one of the glycerol stocks. To induce expression from pBad plasmids, L-arabinose was added at the concentration described in the text at the same time as the cultures were inoculated. The flocculent layer heights were measured using a ruler after the centrifugation of capillary tubes containing Caf1 expression cultures at 2367 x g, 22°C for 15 min.

For analysis of caf1 operon transcript levels, bacterial cells were cultured in triplicate from glycerol stocks of E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells transformed with pCOPF (Additional file 1: Table S1) and grown at 25°C overnight. The OD_{600} was measured for all cultures and then 2 ml were taken from each culture to be analysed by RT-PCR and western blot. The remaining cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.5 and then each culture was split into two cultures: one to be incubated at 25°C and the other simultaneously at 35°C. After one hour incubation the OD_{600} was measured and 2 ml samples taken from each culture for RT-PCR and western blot analysis.

**RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis**

RNA was isolated using an EZ-10 Total RNA Mini-Preps Kit (Bio Basic Inc.) by transferring 1 ml from each culture (~ 2 × 10⁹ cells) separately to be centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 min. Supernatants were discarded and 100 μl of lysozyme solution (400 μg ml⁻¹ lysozyme in RNase-free water) added to each sample pellet. The mixtures were resuspended thoroughly and RNA extracted according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The concentration of RNA was measured using a Nanodrop UV spectrophotometer (Labtech). RNA samples were then used to perform cDNA synthesis using a Quanti-Tect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The resulting concentration of cDNA was measured using a Nanodrop UV spectrophotometer and the samples stored at -20°C.

**PCR and RT-PCR**

The master reaction mix for RT-PCR experiments was prepared using GoTaq Flexi DNA Polymerase (Promega) by adding 4 μl nuclease-free water, 4 μl 5X Colorless GoTaq Flexi Buffer, 3 μl of 2 mM dNTPs, 3.2 μl of 25 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 μl SYBR Green (Sigma, S9430) (diluted 200 times with 100% DMSO), 0.2 μl GoTaq Flexi DNA Polymerase, 0.8 μl 10 μM primer mix and 5 μl of 50 ng μl⁻¹ cDNA per reaction. Samples were loaded in a Rotor-Gene Q instrument (Qiagen) and critical threshold cycle values (CT values) were collected to measure the fold change in gene expression for each target gene, relative to β-lactamase transcription from the plasmid, with a threshold level of 0.5. Primer sequences are shown in Additional file 1: Table S2, with a schematic of the design shown in Additional file 1: Figure S1. Thermal cycling conditions are stated in Additional file 1: Table S3.

For transcript analysis, PCR was conducted using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase kit from (New England Biolabs) by adding 10 μl of 5X Phusion GC buffer, 1 μl of 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 μl each of 10 μM forward and reverse primers, 2 μl of 10 ng μl⁻¹ template DNA, 1.5 μl of DMSO, 0.5 μl of Phusion DNA polymerase and nuclease-free water up to 50 μl. Thermal cycling conditions are stated in Additional file 1: Table S4.

**Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE)**

5′ RACE experiments were performed as described previously [39] with minor modifications. Briefly, cDNA was prepared by performing the reverse transcriptase reaction using a 9 bp long gene specific primer (Additional file 1: Table S2) complementary to a 3′ region of the caf1 gene. cDNA samples were diluted two times with nuclease-free water and purified using 10 kDa molecular weight cut-off Vivaspin centrifugal concentrators.
Western blot

Bacterial cultures were centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 5 min. Supernatants and flocculent layers were discarded and cell pellets resuspended in 100 μl per OD_{600} of 100 mM DTT, 2% w/v SDS. The samples were heated at 95°C for 10 min and centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 10 min. 50 μl of this supernatant was mixed with 50 μl SDS loading buffer (2% w/v SDS, 0.1% w/v Bromophenol blue, 5 mM EDTA, 125 mM Tris pH 6.8, 15% v/v Glycerol and 1% v/v (β-mercaptoethanol), boiled for 5 min and centrifuged at 20,000 x g for 5 min. The samples (10 μl) were resolved on 12% SDS-PAGE. Nitrocellulose membranes and blotting papers were soaked in CAPS buffer (10 mM CAPS pH 11) containing 20% methanol for 10 min. SDS-PAGE gels were soaked in the same buffer for 2 min. The blotting paper, nitrocellulose membrane and gel were assembled in a semi-dry blotter (Trans-Blot SD semi-dry transfer cell, Biorad) and 18 V applied for 30 min. Blots were stained with Ponceau S solution (Sigma) for 10 min to view the efficiency of protein transfer and then rinsed with PBS buffer. The blots were blocked with TBS buffer (2.7 mM KCl, 38 mM Tris-HCl and 140 mM NaCl pH 8) containing 5% milk (from powder) at room temperature for 2 h and then rinsed with (1x) TBS buffer. The membranes were incubated for 4 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C with 2 ml 5% milk solution 1 μg ml⁻¹ anti-FLAG antibody (Sigma) in order to detect the FLAG-tagged proteins and 6.9 μg ml⁻¹ anti-Caf1 antibody (Abcam) for Caf1 protein detection. The blots were washed with (1x) TBS buffer two times for 5 min at room temperature then incubated for 4 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C with 2 ml TBS buffer containing 2.5–5 μg ml⁻¹ anti-mouse antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Sigma). The blots were washed twice for 15 min with TBS buffer at room temperature, covered with developing solution and incubated at room temperature for a few minutes with shaking. Developing solution was prepared by dissolving 50 mg 4-chloronaphthol in 10 mL methanol and mixed with 50 mL developing buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, 140 mM NaCl and 1 mM Na₂HPO₄ pH 7.2) containing 60 μl hydrogen peroxide. The blots were dried in air and the images were taken using a gel documentation system (Gel DocTM XR+, Biorad).

Bioinformatics

Promoter sequences were predicted using the BPRom (Softberry Inc., Mount Kisco, NY, USA, http://www.softberry.com) [24] and Neural Network Promoter Predictor 2.2 (NNPP2.2, 25] webservers. Sequence alignments were generated using the Clustal Omega [40] webserver and visualised using the ESPript [41] webserver (http://escript.ibcp.fr).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistically significant differences between groups were then identified using a Holm-Šidák post-hoc test.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1 List of constructs used in this study. Table S2 List of primers used in this study. Table S3 Thermal cycling conditions for RT-PCR. Table S4 Thermal cycling conditions for PCR. Table S5 Thermal cycling conditions for 5’ RACE. Figure S1 Schematic of primer design. A diagram of the caf1 operon present in the plasmids used in this study is shown, with arrows corresponding to the forward and reverse primers and placed in the approximate position where they bind. Forward primers are shown on top of the genes and reverse primers shown beneath. (A) Primers used for RT-PCR are shown in red for caf1R, green for caf1M, orange for caf1A and blue for caf1L. (B) Primers used for detecting the presence of intergenic regions in cDNA are shown: purple for intergenic region I, olive for intergenic region II and dark blue for intergenic region III. Figure S2 Schematic of the P1, P2 and P3 regions. The sequence of intergenic region I (INT1), located between caf1M and caf1A is shown, highlighted in green, with the P1, P2 and P3 regions aligned and highlighted in red, yellow and orange respectively. The ATG nucleotides corresponding to the start codon of caf1M are labelled with blue triangles. Figure S3 Analysis of Caf1 content in the flocculent layer (A) Image of E. coli cultures containing the pT7-COP plasmid grown at 35°C for the amounts of time stated, and centrifuged in capillary tubes to visualise the flocculent layer height. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis of the flocculent layers of the cultures from (A). (C) Graph of Caf1 band intensities in arbitrary units, obtained by densitometry of the gel shown in (B). Figure S4 Diagram depicting 5’RACE experimental design. Part of the caf1 operon is...
shown, with caf1A in green, intergenic region III in orange and caf1 in cyan. The location of the gene specific primer binding site at the 3′ end of caf1 is highlighted. Using this primer, cDNA was synthesised and sequenced using the 5′RACE method. Predicted individual transcript sequences are depicted as red lines, where the length of the line represents the length of the sequence read. Only the promoter in the P2 region (responsible for transcription of the polycistronic mRNA) is present, and so the polymerase synthesises cDNA until it dissociates from the DNA. This means the majority of sequence reads continue through intergenic region III into caf1A, terminating at different positions. Figure S5 Sequences obtained by 5′ RACE analysis of caf1 transcripts. The partial coding sequence of caf1A, followed by intergenic region III and the coding sequence of caf1 is shown (caf1 operon, COP) aligned to sequence data obtained from 8 separate 5′ RACE reactions. Regions of similarity are bounded by blue boxes with red text, and regions of complete conservation highlighted in red with white text. The regions corresponding to caf1A, intergenic region III and caf1 are underlined in green, orange and cyan respectively. (PDF 1619 kb)
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