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Abstract: A convenient protocol to selectively access various arylsulfur(VI) fluorides from commercially available aryl halides in a divergent fashion is presented. Firstly, a novel sulfenylation reaction with the electrophilic N-(chlorothio)phthalimide (Cl-S-Phth) and arylzinc reagents afforded the corresponding Ar-S-Phth compounds. Subsequently, the S(II) atom was selectively oxidized to distinct fluorinated sulfur(VI) compounds under mild conditions. Slight modifications on the oxidation protocol permit the chemoselective installation of 1, 3, or 4 fluorine atoms at the S(VI) center, affording the corresponding Ar-SOF₃, Ar-SO₂F, and Ar-SF₄Cl. Of notice, this strategy enables the effective introduction of the rare and underexplored -SOF₃ moiety into various (hetero)aryl groups. Reactivity studies demonstrate that such elusive Ar-SOF₃ can be utilized as a linchpin for the synthesis of highly coveted aryl sulfonylimidoyl fluorides (Ar-SO(NR)F).

Arylsulfur compounds with the S atom in the oxidation state (VI) represent a large portion of the molecular functionalities encountered in organic chemistry. From the venerable electróphilic properties of sulfonyl chlorides[1] to the antibacterial activity of sulfonamides[2] arylsulfur(VI) compounds have occupied a privileged place in the history of organic synthesis. Despite the wealth of literature on this topic,[3] arylsulfur(VI) compounds where the S atom is directly attached to a fluorine atom have only recently received attention owing to their potential application as electrophiles.[4] (Figure 1A). The most persuasive example deals with the use of aryl sulfonyl fluorides (Ar-SO₂F), which have been shown to be extremely powerful reagents in sulfur-fluoride exchange reactions (SuFEx).[5] Similarly, aryl sulfinimidoyl fluorides (Ar-S(O)(NR)F) have been explored due to their rapid S-F substitution by various incoming nucleophiles (amines, alcohols, organolithium reagents).[6] From the molecular point of view, both Ar-SO₂F and Ar-S(O)(NR)F represent the lowest level of fluorination for an aryl S(VI) compound, with only one fluorine attached to the S atom (level 1). Tetrafluoro-λ³-sulfonyl chlorides (Ar-SF₄Cl) represent a class of compounds of high fluorination level (level 4), with 4 fluorines at the same S(VI) atom.[7] These compounds have been demonstrated to be good precursors en route to the highest level of fluorination (level 5): Ar-SF₅ compounds.[8] Yet, compounds with mid-levels of fluorination (level 2 and 3) are much rarer.[9] A unique example of level 3 fluorination reported is the (trifluorosulfinyl)benzene (Ar-SOF₃).[10] In 1980, Ruppert disclosed the reaction of diphenyl sulfoxide with F₂ and NaF at -115 °C in CFCl₃, obtaining a remarkable 20% of Ph-SOF₃ (Figure 1B). This compound was observed to be an extremely good electrophile at S, which anticipates its tremendous potential for organic synthesis. Yet, the uncommon starting material, necessity of a dangerous gas, in
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Figure 1. A) Various fluorinated arylsulfur(VI) compounds with different levels of fluorination and their precursors for synthesis. B) Previous work for synthesis of Ph-SOF₃. C) Our unified strategy for selective synthesis of Ar-SO₂F, Ar-SOF₃, Ar-SF₄Cl, and Ar-S(O)(NR)F from aryl halides.
combination with the low yield of product, prevented diversity of Ar-SOF₃.

One of the main drawbacks associated to the synthesis of fluorinated arylsulfur(VI) compounds is the limited number of unified strategies using the same aryl synthon, that lead to different fluorination levels. For example, synthesis of aryl sulfonfluorides has mainly relied on the anion exchange from the parent arylsulfonfluorides. Recent examples also disclosed the possibility of obtaining sulfonfluorides from the corresponding thioephens (Ar-Sh). ary1 halides (Ar-X) or arylsulfonamides (Ar-SO₂NH₂). Access to aryl sulfonimidoyl fluorides is currently possible by halogen exchange from sulfonimidoyl chlorides. Oxidation of sulfonamide salts or aryl lithium addition to SO₂F₂. Finally, state-of-the-art synthesis of Ar-SF₄Cl mainly relies on the oxidation of the corresponding aryl disulfides.

With the aim of providing a unified approach to synthesize various fluorinated arylsulfur(VI) compounds from the same starting material, herein we present a strategy that enables access to Ar-SF₄Cl. Ar-SO₂F, Ar-SF₃Cl and Ar-S(O)(NR)F, from the corresponding commercially available aryl halides (Figure 1C). The methodology capitalizes in the formation of an arylsulfenyl phthalimide precursor by virtue of the reaction of the ArZnCl with the common Cl-S-Phth reagent. A subsequent selective oxidation-fluorination affords the corresponding Ar-SO₂F (path a), Ar-SF₃Cl (path b) and the underexplored Ar-SOF₃ (path c) in high chemoselectivity and yields. This protocol benefits from a high functional group tolerance, accommodating a variety of N-containing heterocycles. Furthermore, addition of a primary amine into the Ar-SOF₃ in the presence of base affords the corresponding Ar-S(O)(NR)F.

At the onset of this project, we envisaged that a divergent access to fluorinated arylsulfur(VI) compounds from aryl halides should rely on the construction of a common precursor: an “active” arylsulfur(II). Moreover, the method should be facile, robust and with a high degree of functional group tolerance. Inspired by previous work on electrophilic sulfur reagents and after a survey of various candidates (e.g. Bunte salts, Ar-S-S(O)₂Ph, or Ar-S-CN), N-(aryl sulfenyl)phthalimides (Ar-S-Phth) attracted our attention due to their thermal stability upon storage despite the weak S–N bond. Typically, Ph-S-Phth is prepared from the reaction of thiophenol with phthalimide in the presence of Br₂ or SO₂Cl₂. However, the synthesis of such compounds from the largely more available aryl halides is virtually unknown. After scanning different sulfur(II) electrophilic reagents and reaction conditions (see Supporting Information), we found that a protocol based upon simple ArZnX formation followed by nucleophilic addition to N-(chlorothio)phthalimide (Cl-S-Phth, Table 1A), led to a straightforward synthesis of Ar-S-Phth precursors (3) in good yields. It is interesting to note the chemoselectivity in favor of the displacement of the Cl over the good leaving group phthalimide. These compounds are indeed bench-stable, easy to handle and the S atom is attached to a good leaving group (Phth), which is crucial in the forthcoming modification (vide infra).

With the Ar-S-Phth precursors (3) in hand, we set out to explore the second step of the synthetic route. Inspired by the remarkable findings recently reported by Pitts, Santschi and Togni en route to fluorinated aryl-S(VI), -SeIV and -TeIV compounds from ArCh-ChAr, we attempted the oxyfluorination of Ph-S-Phth with a mixture of trichloroisonitrobenzene (TCICA) and potassium fluoride (KF), in the presence of additives. During our initial oxidation experiments, we were pleased to observe the formation of the rare Ph-SOF₃ (4a), accompanied by large amounts of PhSO₂F₂ (5a) and PhSF₄Cl (6a). The formation of 4a together with unselective formation of 5a and 6a, suggested that access to different levels of fluorination at S(VI) could be within reach. A short optimization revealed that TCICA (9 equiv), KF (16 equiv) and TFA (trifluoroacetic acid, 2 equiv) afforded 4a (Table 1B, entry 1) in excellent yield (95%) and chemoselectivity (4a:5a = 96:4). In the ¹⁹F NMR, a typical AX₂ pattern appears at 64.5 ppm (δ, J(Fa,Fb) = 157.9 Hz) and 100.6 ppm (δ, J(Fa,Fb) = 157.9 Hz) with an integral ratio of 1:2, which is in agreement with a trigonal bipyramidal geometry (TBP) of the S(VI) where two fluorine atoms are placed in the apical positions. The remaining fluorine, the phenyl and the O atom occupy the vertices of the trigonal plane perpendicular to the main axis. This result is in line with Ruppert’s analysis about the geometry of this functionality.

| Entry | TCICA [equiv] | KF [equiv] | Additive | Yield [%] | Ratio [4a:5a:6a] |
|-------|--------------|------------|----------|----------|--------------------|
| 1     | 9            | 16         | TFA (2)  | > 95     | 96:4:1              |
| 2     | 9            | 16         | TFA (1)  | 71%      | 65:28:10            |
| 3     | 9            | 16         | TFA (0.5)| 58%      | 34:28:38            |
| 4     | 9            | 16         | AcOH (2) | 64%      | ≤ 0:1:99:99:0.1     |
| 5     | 9            | 16         | H₂O (2)  | 56%      | ≤ 0:1:99:99:0.1     |
| 6     | 9            | 16         | MeOH (2)| > 95     | 2:98:1              |
| 7     | 9            | 16         | TFA (0.1)| 72%      | ≤ 0:1:9:1:30        |
| 8[a]  | 9            | 16         | TFA (0.1)| 82%      | ≤ 0:1:34:1          |
| 9[a]  | 18           | 32         | TFA (0.1)| > 95     | 12:8:25             |

[a] Yields of isolated products, the detailed procedure of preparation see Supporting Information. [b] Reaction conditions: 3a (0.1 mmol), TCICA, KF, and additives in 1 mL MeCN at room temperature for 24 h. [c] ¹⁹F NMR yields, a,a,a-trifluorotoluene as the internal standard. [d] Reaction time 48 h. e 0.2 mmol 3a as the starting material.
The differences in chemical shift for both F signals (axial vs. equatorial) resemble those in fluorinated S(VI) compounds containing axial and equatorial F atoms, such as the octahedral Ar-SF₄Cl. However, such differences are minimal compared to other TBP geometries of Ar–S compounds in lower oxidation state such as Ar-SF₄.[⁷]

The high yields obtained for 4a from a simple starting material represents a tremendous step forward for the chemistry of S(VI) and also provides a safer and synthetically useful platform to produce a variety of Ar-SOF₃ compounds (vide infra). Yet, such compounds exhibit extremely high reactivity and rapidly evolve into Ph-SO₂F upon exposure to traces of H₂O, which made isolation attempts and crystallographic analysis completely unsuccessful.

Interestingly, this methodology is significantly sensitive to the amount and type of additives. When the loading of TFA was decreased, both yield and selectivity of 4a significantly decreased (Table 1B, entries 2 and 3). Moreover, when TFA was replaced by AcOH, H₂O or MeOH, a complete switch of chemoselectivity was observed, favouring the formation of Ph-SO₂F (5a) as the main product (Table 1B, entries 4–6). Refinement of the reaction parameters led to the optimized conditions shown in entry 6: in the presence of 2 equiv. MeOH, Ph-SO₂F (5a) was obtained in almost quantitative yield (95% ) and selectivity (4a:5a = 2.98). When the amount of oxidant and fluoride was increased, (18 equiv TCICA, 32 equiv KF) and catalytic amount of TFA was used, the corresponding Ph-SF₄Cl (6a) was obtained in high yield (Table 1B, entry 9). This is in complete agreement with Togni’s results, and provides an alternative synthetic method which deports from the use of disulfides as starting materials. The results in Table 1 permit the practitioner to select between three levels of fluorination (Ar-SOF₃, Ar-SO₂F or Ar-SF₄Cl) commencing from the same starting material by simply tuning the oxidation conditions.

Under the optimized conditions in Table 1, the scope on the aryl moiety for the synthesis of various Ar-SOF₃ was explored (Scheme 1). Using aryl halides containing other halogen atoms (F, Cl and Br), oxidative fluorination to Ar-SOF₃ was observed in good yields (95% and selectivity (4a:5a = 2.98). When the amount of oxidant and fluoride was increased, (18 equiv TCICA, 32 equiv KF) and catalytic amount of TFA was used, the corresponding Ph-SF₄Cl (6a) was obtained in high yield (Table 1B, entry 9). This is in complete agreement with Togni’s results, and provides an alternative synthetic method which deports from the use of disulfides as starting materials. The results in Table 1 permit the practitioner to select between three levels of fluorination (Ar-SOF₃, Ar-SO₂F or Ar-SF₄Cl) commencing from the same starting material by simply tuning the oxidation conditions.

The high yields obtained for 4a from a simple starting material represents a tremendous step forward for the chemistry of S(VI) and also provides a safer and synthetically useful platform to produce a variety of Ar-SOF₃ compounds (vide infra). Yet, such compounds exhibit extremely high reactivity and rapidly evolve into Ph-SO₂F upon exposure to traces of H₂O, which made isolation attempts and crystallographic analysis completely unsuccessful.

Interestingly, this methodology is significantly sensitive to the amount and type of additives. When the loading of TFA was decreased, both yield and selectivity of 4a significantly decreased (Table 1B, entries 2 and 3). Moreover, when TFA was replaced by AcOH, H₂O or MeOH, a complete switch of chemoselectivity was observed, favouring the formation of Ph-SO₂F (5a) as the main product (Table 1B, entries 4–6). Refinement of the reaction parameters led to the optimized conditions shown in entry 6: in the presence of 2 equiv. MeOH, Ph-SO₂F (5a) was obtained in almost quantitative yield (95%) and selectivity (4a:5a = 2.98). When the amount of oxidant and fluoride was increased, (18 equiv TCICA, 32 equiv KF) and catalytic amount of TFA was used, the corresponding Ph-SF₄Cl (6a) was obtained in high yield (Table 1B, entry 9). This is in complete agreement with Togni’s results, and provides an alternative synthetic method which deports from the use of disulfides as starting materials. The results in Table 1 permit the practitioner to select between three levels of fluorination (Ar-SOF₃, Ar-SO₂F or Ar-SF₄Cl) commencing from the same starting material by simply tuning the oxidation conditions.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Ar-SOF₃ from aryl halides. Reaction conditions: The Ar-S-Phth 3 was firstly synthesized based on the general methods from corresponding aryl halides. After purification through column chromatography, 3 (0.1 mmol), TCICA (0.9 mmol), KF (0.16 mmol), TFA (0.2 mmol) were stirred in MeCN (1 mL), RT for 24 h. Yields in parentheses correspond to those from Ar-S-Phth (based on ¹⁹F NMR spectra, PhCF₃ as internal standard); yields outside the parenthesis correspond to those from 1. [a] Reaction conditions: 3 was prepared from the reaction of N-chlorophthalimide with 2-pyrimidinethiol.

As shown in Table 1B, replacement of TFA by MeOH afforded the corresponding (hetero)arylsulfonyl fluorides. Scheme 2 explores the generality of these conditions for the synthesis of various Ar-SO₂F compounds (5). Of importance is that either electron-withdrawing (5b–5c, 5f–5j) or electron-donating groups (5d–5e) presented similar reactivity, with yields ranging from 53% to 81%. Furthermore, aryl groups having the reactive site between two bulky methyl–groups did not affect the reactivity, as shown by the 58% yield of 5e.²⁹ It is important to mention that also in this case, the presence of some N-basic functionalities did not affect the outcome of the reaction as highlighted by the high yields obtained by pyridines 5k and 5l.

When increasing the loading of TCICA and KF in combination with catalytic amounts of TFA, Ar-SF₄Cl (6) was obtained selectively from (hetero)aryl halides (Table 1B,
entry 9). When exploring the generality of this protocol, similar electronic tendencies to the synthesis of Ar-SOF\(_3\) were obtained (Scheme 3). Electron-withdrawing substituents present in the aryl unit resulted in higher yields (6b, 6e–6h) than aryl halides bearing electron-donating groups (6c–d).

More importantly, heterocyclic substrates were smoothly converted, as exemplified by the good yields obtained using various pyridines (6i, 6k–6l) and pyrimidines (6j).

Based on the high electrophilicity exhibited by Ar-SOF\(_3\) compounds and their ability to rapidly engage with traces of H\(_2\)O to forge Ar-SO\(_2\)F, we envisaged that synthesis of the important sulfonimidoyl fluorides (ArS(O)(NR)F) should be within reach when primary amines are used. Indeed, when 4 was reacted with a primary amine (7) in the presence of Et\(_3\)N, smooth conversion to the corresponding sulfonimidoyl fluorides (8) was observed (Scheme 4). For example, the reaction of 4d with different amines led to 8a–8c in high yields (63–70%). Of particular importance is the tolerance of the styrenyl moiety in 8c. Benzylamines or aliphatic primary amines also boded well with this protocol, affording good yields of the corresponding sulfonimidoyl fluorides (8d–8f).

Additionally, the steric hindrance on the amine partner was also explored. Gratifyingly, di- or tri-substituted amines did not pose any difficulties, affording the desired compounds in good yields (8g–8j). Due to the chiral nature of the S(VI) center in 8, compounds 8h and 8i were obtained as a mixture of diastereoisomers, with a d.r. of approximately 1:1, judging by the two different \(^{19}\)F NMR signal for each isomer. Modification of the aryl moiety in 4 did not affect the reactivity dramatically, as judged by the good yields of 8 with different aryl (8k–8n) and heteroaryl (8o–8p) groups.
In conclusion, we have developed a protocol that enables access to four different fluorinated aryl S(VI) compounds from the same aryl precursor. The first step introduces the S atom selectively into the (hetero)aryl group by virtue of a novel reaction between an ArZnX and the electrophilic Cl-S-Pth. The second step consists in a chemoselective oxidation of the S(II) atom. Slig...
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