The effect of pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position on respiratory mechanics during pelviscopic surgery
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Background: Conventional pelviscopic surgery requires pneumoperitoneum with CO₂ gas insufflation and lithotomy-Trendelenburg position. Pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position may influence intraoperative respiratory mechanics in anesthetic management. This study was conducted to investigate the influence of pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position on respiratory compliance and ventilation pressure.

Methods: Twenty-five patients scheduled for elective gynecologic laparoscopy were evaluated. The patients had no preexisting lung or heart disease or pathologic lung function. Conventional general anesthesia with thiopental sodium, lidocaine, rocuronium, and sevoflurane was administered. The peak inspiratory pressure, plateau pressure, and end-tidal CO₂ were measured before and after creation of pneumoperitoneum with an intraabdominal pressure of 12 mmHg, then after 10 minutes and 30 minutes in the 20° Trendelenburg position, and after deflation of pneumoperitoneum. The dynamic lung compliance was then calculated.

Results: Following creation of pneumoperitoneum, there was a significant increase in peak inspiratory pressure (6 cmH₂O), plateau pressure (7 cmH₂O), and end-tidal CO₂ (5 mmHg), while dynamic lung compliance decreased by 12 ml/cmH₂O. Overall, the Trendelenburg position induced no significant hemodynamic or pulmonary changes.

Conclusions: The effects of pneumoperitoneum significantly reduced dynamic lung compliance and increased peak inspiratory and plateau pressures. The Trendelenburg position did not change these parameters. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2010; 59: 329-334)

Key Words: Airway pressure, Head-down tilt, Lung compliance, Pelviscopy, Pneumoperitoneum.
Introduction

Laparoscopic surgery is a minimally invasive technique with a wide range of clinical applications that has been demonstrated to be safe [1]. Advantages of laparoscopic techniques include rapid recovery, short hospital stay, rapid recovery to normal life, reduced operation scars, postoperative pain, and pulmonary complications. However, the increase of intra-abdominal pressure due to pneumoperitoneum, changes in position, and mechanical ventilation during laparoscopy can have an adverse effect on cardiopulmonary function [2-5]. Thus, anesthesiologists are required to choose rapid and safe anesthetic methods and to have knowledge about the effects and complications of iatrogenic pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopy [6].

Pneumoperitoneum created by CO\textsubscript{2} insufflation during laparoscopic surgery elevates the diaphragm and intrathoracic pressure, which in turn affects lung compliance. Additionally, changes to the lithotomy, Trendelenburg, and reverse Trendelenburg positions reduce lung compliance by altering the location of intestinal contents and diaphragm [7]. This is especially true for the Trendelenburg position during gynecologic surgery, which potentiates the effects of abdominal pressure via gravity and relaxation of the diaphragm; thereby, increasing the airway pressure and reducing the functional residual capacity, which results in further changes in the respiratory system [7]. Conversely, it has been reported that Trendelenburg during pneumoperitoneum has no effect on pulmonary dynamics [6]. Moreover, fewer studies have been conducted to evaluate the effects of pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position than the effects of the reverse Trendelenburg position during laparoscopic surgery. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position on respiratory mechanics during pelviscopic surgery in patients with a normal body mass index (BMI) by determining the changes in peak inspiratory pressure, plateau pressure, lung compliance, and end-tidal carbon dioxide partial pressure.

Materials and Methods

This study included twenty-five patients who were scheduled to have elective gynecologic pelviscopic surgery under general anesthesia. All patients were classified as American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status class I or II and had no underlying cardiopulmonary diseases. All patients were fully informed of the study and signed formal consent and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board. The BMI was calculated after measuring the height and body weight of the patients. The mean age of the patients in our study was 40 years, and the BMI was 22. Patients with a BMI greater than 25 were excluded from our study.

All patients were premedicated by intramuscular injection of 2 mg of midazolam and 0.2 mg of glycopyrrolate 1 hour prior to induction. After the patients arrived at the operating room, routine patient monitoring devices were applied. Anesthesia was induced by intravenous thiopental sodium (5 mg/kg), and endotracheal intubation using direct laryngoscopy was conducted after the patients were paralyzed by intravenous injection of lidocaine (1.0 mg/kg) and rocuronium (0.6 mg/kg). The effect of muscle relaxants was monitored using peripheral nerve stimulator (DualStim\textsuperscript{®}, Life-Tech Inc., Houston, TX, USA), and the adductor pollicis longus twitch height was maintained below 25% in response to train of four stimuli to ulnar nerve stimulation. Additional rocuronium (0.15 mg/kg) was administered to maintain constant muscle paralysis at 30 minute intervals and as needed.

Conversely, the influence of airway secretion and unilateral one lung ventilation was maintained by chest auscultation with intermittent muscle relaxant injection and tracheal aspiration of secretion, if needed. Anesthesia was maintained by volume controlled ventilation with O\textsubscript{2} (2 L/min), N\textsubscript{2}O (2 L/min) and sevoflurane (1.5–2.0 vol%). A semi-closed anesthesia machine with a volume controlled ventilator was set at a tidal volume of 10 ml/kg and a respiratory rate of 10 cycles/min. The mean arterial pressure (MAP), heart rates, and end-tidal carbon dioxide pressure (EtCO\textsubscript{2}) were measured continuously using patient monitoring devices. The peak inspiratory pressure (PIP) and plateau pressure were measured using an anesthesia machine attached ventilator (Fabius\textsuperscript{®} GS, Drägger, Lubeck, Germany). The I : E ratio was 1 : 2 and the plateau time was 10%. Dynamic lung compliance was calculated using TV/PIP.

Pneumoperitoneum was established by insufflation of carbon dioxide gas through peviscopic insufflators, and an intraabdominal pressure less than 12 mmHg was maintained. Baseline measurements (MAP, PIP, plateau pressure, EtCO\textsubscript{2} and TV) in the supine position were obtained at 10 minutes after the induction of anesthesia. Each measurement was obtained at 10 minutes after establishing pneumoperitoneum in the supine-lithotomy position, 10 and 30 minutes after 20° in the Trendelenburg position, and 10 minutes after return to supine position and removal of carbon dioxide. Trendelenburg position was maintained at 20° by checking with a protractor (SLT-100\textsuperscript{®}, Tajima, Kasugai, Japan).

All values are expressed as the mean ± SD and were analyzed using the Friedman test and rank sum test with the SPSS (version 12.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). We considered a value of P < 0.05 to be statistically significant.
Results

Demographic data describing the patients are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 40 years and the median BMI was 22 kg/m².

| Table 1. Patient’s Demographic Data |
|-------------------------------------|
| Age (yr) | 40 ± 7  |
| Height (m) | 1.59 ± 5.77  |
| Weight (kg) | 57.2 ± 6.2 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 22 ± 2.7  |
| ASA class (I/II) | 23/2  |
| Op. duration (min) | 98 ± 37  |

All values except for ASA class are the mean ± SD. BMI: body mass index, ASA: American Society of Anesthesiologists, Op. duration: duration of surgical procedure.

PIP and plateau pressure showed statistically significant elevation by 6 cmH₂O (P < 0.05) and 7 cmH₂O (P < 0.05) from 15.1 ± 2.4 cmH₂O and 11.8 ± 2.2 cmH₂O after creation of pneumoperitoneum in the supine-lithotomy position. The airway pressures were not fully returned to baseline values after removal of pneumoperitoneum and return to supine position, but this was not statistically significant. The airway pressures before and after the Trendelenburg position during pneumoperitoneum also did not differ significantly (Fig. 1).

Dynamic lung compliance showed a statistically significant decrease of 12 ml/cmH₂O (P < 0.05) from 35.3 ± 5.0 ml/cmH₂O after creation of pneumoperitoneum in the supine-lithotomy position. Dynamic lung compliance before and after the Trendelenburg position during pneumoperitoneum did not
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show a statistically significant difference similar to the airway pressures. However, dynamic lung compliance following the removal of CO₂ gas from the peritoneal cavity and return to the supine position decreased significantly (Fig. 2).

In the case of end-tidal carbon dioxide, measurements showed a statistically significant increase of 5 mmHg (P < 0.05) from 27.7 ± 2.3 mmHg 10 minutes after creation of pneumoperitoneum, but changing to the Trendelenburg position did not induce further changes similar to the case of dynamic lung compliance. Moreover, end-tidal carbon dioxide following the removal of CO₂ gas from the peritoneal cavity and return to the supine position showed a significant increase similar to that observed in the case of dynamic lung compliance (Fig. 2).

Heart rate did not change significantly before and after creation of pneumoperitoneum and position changes from the baseline value (79.4 ± 9.0 beats/min). In the case of blood pressure, MAP was elevated by 20 mmHg from the baseline value (85 ± 10.4 mmHg) after creation of pneumoperitoneum and position change did not result in any further pressure changes. Finally, MAP after removal of CO₂ gas from the peritoneal cavity and return to the supine position was higher than the baseline value (Fig. 3).

Discussion

The results of this study indicated that iatrogenic pneumoperitoneum results in decreased dynamic lung compliance and elevation of peak inspiratory pressure, plateau pressure, and end-tidal carbon dioxide tension. Although the PIP and plateau pressures returned to similar baseline values after removal of CO₂ gas from the peritoneal cavity, dynamic lung compliance decreased and end-tidal carbon dioxide tension increased even after removal of the CO₂ gas. These findings indicate that the Trendelenburg position may affect the peak inspiratory pressure, plateau pressure, and end-tidal carbon dioxide tension. However, Trendelenburg position did not show any effects on the respiratory system in the present study.

During gynecologic pelviscopic surgery, use of the Trendelenburg position to push the bowel out of the pelvic cavity affects cardiovascular and pulmonary function by gravity through limitation of the diaphragm movement and decreased lung inflation [8]. Vital capacity, functional residual capacity, and lung compliance are also reduced by the Trendelenburg position, and this reduction is aggravated in elderly patients and those with pre-existing pulmonary diseases [9,10]. Kim and Park [11] reported that the 30° lithotomy-Trendelenburg position had a negative effect on hemodynamics and pulmonary function by increasing the PIP and decreasing the dynamic lung compliance significantly when compared with simple lithotomy position. In this study, the effects of position changes in patients with colorectal surgery without pneumoperitoneum were observed, but no effects of position before and after pneumoperitoneum on pulmonary function were noted. Salihoglu et al. [12] evaluated lung compliance and PIP changes after the creation of pneumoperitoneum and position change to the Trendelenburg position with pneumoperitoneum during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Lung compliance decreased and PIP increased after creation of pneumoperitoneum, and these changes were more potentiated after position change. They concluded that the duration of pneumoperitoneum and the patient’s position had significant adverse effects on pulmonary function. However, their study was conducted under pneumoperitoneum and 40° Trendelenburg position while maintaining the intraabdominal pressure at 12 mmHg. The slope

Fig. 3. Comparison of heart rates (A) and mean arterial pressure (B) at P₀, P₁₂, P₁₂T₁, P₁₂T₂, P₀E. Box and whisker plot showing median, 25th and 75th percentile, T = 10th to 90th percentile. P₀: 10 minutes after induction in the supine position, P₁₂: 10 minutes after pneumoperitoneum at 12 mmHg in the supine lithotomy position, P₁₂T₁: 10 minutes after pneumoperitoneum at 12 mmHg and 20° head-down position, P₁₂T₂: 30 minutes after pneumoperitoneum at 12 mmHg and 20° head-down position, P₀E: 10 minutes after deflation in the supine position. N.S; no significance, P > 0.05 compared with P₁₂. *P < 0.05 compared with P₀.
of the Trendelenburg position was greater in their study than in the present study. In our study, we maintained intraabdominal pressure at 12 mmHg after creation of pneumoperitoneum and 20° Trendelenburg position, which is a greater slope than is used in most gynecologic pelviscopic surgery. When compared to a study conducted by Salihoglu et al. [12], lung compliance decreased and PIP increased after creation of pneumoperitoneum. However, 20° Trendelenburg position after pneumoperitoneum did not induce further significant changes when compared to the values after pneumoperitoneum in the present study. Mäkinen and Yli-Hankala [13] reported that pneumoperitoneum with intraabdominal pressure maintained at 12 mmHg reduced lung compliance by 35%, while the Trendelenburg position reduced it by an additional 12%. Thus, they concluded that pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position all influenced pulmonary function. Lung compliance decreased after pneumoperitoneum and Trendelenburg position in our study; however, these decreases were not significant. Indeed, only the decrease in lung compliance in response to pneumoperitoneum was significant.

It has been reported that the BMI and creation of pneumoperitoneum affected lung compliance, but that 10° and 30° reverse Trendelenburg or Trendelenburg position did not show further statistically significant changes [14,15]. Moreover, it has been reported that pneumoperitoneum led to decrease of lung compliance and increase of PIP and also the degree of pneumoperitoneum gave influence to the degree of those changes, but that 20° reverse Trendelenburg or Trendelenburg position did not show further statistically significant changes [6]. Kendall et al. [16] also reported that lung compliance decreased to 49% of the baseline values after creation of 15 mmHg pneumoperitoneum. These findings confirmed the results of the present study, which indicated that PIP and plateau pressure increased in response to intraabdominal pressure rise according to pneumoperitoneum, as well as the findings that the changes in response to the Trendelenburg position were not significant; thus, position changes did not affect respiratory dynamics. The intraabdominal pressure increase was likely due to pneumoperitoneum pushing of the diaphragm to the extent that Trendelenburg position cannot further affect respiratory dynamics. Moreover, the pneumoperitoneum is likely more important to respiratory dynamics than position changes [6]. In our study, lung compliance decreased more after removal of pneumoperitoneum than prior to pneumoperitoneum, but other studies have reported that lung compliance recovered to baseline after removal of the pneumoperitoneum [6,14,15]. This is likely because there was insufficient time to allow recovery of lung compliance and end-tidal carbon dioxide tension to baseline values after return to the supine position and removal of CO₂ gas from the pelvic cavity. Moreover, pulmonary function changes associated with surgery cannot be excluded. Pulmonary function is affected by the types of operation, extent of intraabdominal pressure, and duration of pneumoperitoneum [6].

In conclusion, the primary factor that influences pulmonary function during gynecologic pelviscopic surgery is pneumoperitoneum, while generous Trendelenburg position change has little effect. However, overt Trendelenburg position following the creation of pneumoperitoneum can cause adverse effects on pulmonary function; therefore, more studies of this subject and other methods that can replace pneumoperitoneum are needed. Moreover, anesthesiologists should pay attention to changes in the respiratory dynamics according to pneumoperitoneum and position changes during pelviscopic surgery, and careful monitoring of cardiovascular and pulmonary function are needed to prevent postoperative pulmonary complications.
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