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In Brief
In animals, microRNAs and the miRNA biogenesis machinery are essential for correct organismal development. Bråte et al. demonstrate that the core of this machinery, the Microprocessor, is not an animal innovation but originated among their unicellular relatives. Several unicellular species harboring the Microprocessor also express bona fide miRNAs.

Bråte et al., 2018, Current Biology 28, 3288–3295
October 22, 2018 © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.018
Unicellular Origin of the Animal MicroRNA Machinery

Jon Bräte,1 Ralf S. Neumann,1 Bastian Fromm,2,8 Arthur A.B. Haraldsen,1 James E. Tarver,4 Hiroshi Suga,5 Philip C.J. Donoghue,2,8 Kevin J. Peterson,6 Iñaki Ruiz-Trillo,7,8 Paul E. Grini,1 and Kamran Shalchian-Tabrizi1,9,*

1Centre for Epigenetics, Development and Evolution (CEDE) and Centre for Integrative Microbial Evolution (CIME), Section for Genetics and Evolutionary Biology (EVOGENE), University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
2Department of Tumor Biology, Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
3Science for Life Laboratory, Department of Molecular Biosciences, The Wenner-Gren Institute, Stockholm University, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden
4School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TQ, UK
5Faculty of Life and Environmental Sciences, Prefectural University of Hiroshima, Nanatsuka 562, Shobara, Hiroshima 727-0023, Japan
6Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA
7Institut de Biologia Evolutiva (CSIC-Universitat Pompeu Fabra), 08003 Barcelona, Spain
8ICREA, 08010 Barcelona, Spain
9Lead Contact
*Correspondence: kamran@ibv.uio.no
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.018

SUMMARY

The emergence of multicellular animals was associated with an increase in phenotypic complexity and with the acquisition of spatial cell differentiation and embryonic development. Paradoxically, this phenotypic transition was not paralleled by major changes in the underlying developmental toolkit and regulatory networks. In fact, most of these systems are ancient, established already in the unicellular ancestors of animals [1–5]. In contrast, the Microprocessor protein machinery, which is essential for microRNA (miRNA) biogenesis in animals, as well as the miRNA genes themselves produced by this Microprocessor, have not been identified outside of the animal kingdom [6]. Hence, the Microprocessor, with the key proteins Pasha and Drosha, is regarded as an animal innovation [7–9]. Here, we challenge this evolutionary scenario by investigating unicellular sister lineages of animals through genomic and transcriptomic analyses. We identify in Ichthyosporea both Drosha and Pasha (DGCR8 in vertebrates), indicating that the Microprocessor complex evolved long before the last common ancestor of animals, consistent with a pre-metazoan origin of most of the animal developmental gene elements. Through small RNA sequencing, we also discovered expressed bona fide miRNA genes in several species of the ichthyosporeans harboring the Microprocessor. A deep, pre-metazoan origin of the Microprocessor and miRNAs comply with a view that the origin of multicellular animals was not directly linked to the innovation of these key regulatory components.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Recent genomic and molecular data have revealed that the unicellular ancestors of animals already had most of the complex genetic repertoire essential for multicellular development and cellular differentiation [2, 10, 11]. One striking exception is the animal microRNA (miRNA) pathway. This pathway is required for correct development of most animal lineages but has not been discovered outside of the animal kingdom [6] (among animals, only Ctenophora lack the miRNA pathway [12–14]). It consists of the Microprocessor protein machinery, which is essential for miRNA biogenesis, and the resulting miRNAs that post-translationally regulate mRNAs (Figure 1A) [15]. The view that the animal miRNA pathway is specific to animals is supported by the fact that the closest unicellular relatives to animals, the choanoflagellates (Figure 1B), lack the Drosha and Pasha (DGCR8 in vertebrates) genes that make up the Microprocessor, as well as other key components of the miRNA processing machinery [6]. This evolutionary scenario is compelling and could give insight into the genetic mechanisms underlying the origin of animals. However, as only a single unicellular holozoan (the clade that comprises Metazoa and their closest unicellular relatives) has been sampled thus far, the absence of the Microprocessor in choanoflagellates could reflect the loss of an ancient pathway invented prior to the animal-choanoflagellate divergence. Indeed, gene losses, especially within the choanoflagellates, are much more frequent in eukaryotic evolution than previously thought [16]. Thus, robust inferences of the timing and sequence of innovations of the animal miRNA processing machinery, and the origin of animal miRNAs, require analysis of other unicellular sister lineages to the animals. Filasterea and Ichthyosporea are particularly interesting because, with respect to animals, they are the deepest lineages within Holozoa (Figure 1B) and have proven especially influential in correctly resolving the origin of transcription factors and cell-signaling molecules [4, 17].

We searched for the presence of the enzymes responsible for miRNA processing and function in ten unicellular holozoan
species; two filastereans (Capsaspora owczarzaki and Ministeria vibrans) and eight ichthyosporeans (Abeoforma whisleri, Amoebobidium parasiticum, Creolimax fragrantissima, Ichthyophonus hoferi, Pirum gemmata, Sphaeroforma arctica, S. sirkka, and S. napiecek). In addition, we searched for expressed miRNAs in C. owczarzaki, C. fragrantissima, S. arctica, S. sirkka, and S. napiecek by small RNA sequencing.

The proteins Drosha (class 3 RNase III protein) and Pasha, which cleave newly transcribed RNA hairpins inside the nucleus (Figure 1A) [18–20], are unique to animal miRNA biogenesis. Export of these miRNAs from the nucleus to the cytoplasm is mediated by the protein Exportin 5 (Xpo5) [18], followed by a second cleavage of the miRNA hairpin by the Dicer protein, another RNase III protein [18]. After processing by RNases, miRNAs interface with the proteins of the Argonaute (Ago) family to affect mRNA translation and stability [21]. In plants, which lack both Drosha and Pasha, the entire processing of the RNA hairpins is performed by Dicer before the mature miRNA interacts with Ago [22].

We searched for these genes in transcriptomes of deeply branching holozoan taxa using reciprocal BLAST against animal genomes, BLAST against public databases, and domain annotation (including protein structure analysis). With these approaches, we were able to identify genes similar to Ago, Xpo5, Pasha, and several different RNases, including orthologs of both Drosha and Dicer in several ichthyosporean species across different genera (Figures 1C and 2; Table S2). The Dicer and Drosha genes contained two consecutive RNase III domains (i.e., RNase III-A and RNase III-B), which is the defining criterion for these two gene families [25]. Another diagnostic character we identified in the ichthyosporean Drosha genes was a unique insert in the RNase III-A, which forms the so-called “bump helix” [25]. Modeling the tertiary structure of these Drosha and Dicer gene sequences based on homologs with a known 3D structure consistently placed the insert and the bump helix of the ichthyosporean Drosha as in the folded human protein homolog (Figures 3A and S1), while these features were not present in the Dicer genes. Congruent with the structural data, all the double-RNase III-containing genes with the insertion and bump helix formed a clade in the phylogenetic analyses, excluding the genes annotated as Dicer (Figure 3B; the topology was also recovered independent of the inclusion of the bump helix insertion in the phylogenetic analysis). Hence, all data inferences, covering reciprocal BLAST, domain annotation, and phylogenetic analyses, strongly suggest two types of double-RNase III-containing genes in ichthyosporeans, where one is an ortholog of the Drosha component of the animal Microprocessor complex [20, 25].

The other Microprocessor gene, Pasha, was also identified in Ichthyosporea with largely the same domain composition as that of the human homolog, including two consecutive double-stranded RNA-binding domains (dsRBDs; Figures 2 and 3O). For P. gemmata, A. whisleri, and A. parasiticum, we also
the ichthyosporean genes as sister to animal "Pasha" strengthens by giving animal "Pasha" the ichthyosporean genes as sister to animal. In contrast, searches for these animal miRNA processing genes in the other holozoan lineages, Filasterea and Choanoflagellata, as well as in all available data from fungi and unicellular relatives (i.e., Holomycota), did not recover any strong candidates for Microprocessor genes (Figure 1C; Table S2).

Altogether, these data contradict earlier hypotheses that Drosha and Pasha are animal innovations [12, 25]. Rather, our results show that the entire Microprocessor complex originated long before animals, preceding even the last ancestor shared with their nearest unicellular holozoan relatives (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the phylogenies of Drosha and Pasha resolve animal and Ichthyosporea orthologs in monophyletic groups, suggesting that each of these genes originated once from a common precursor. Lack of Drosha and Pasha among Holomycota (fungi and their unicellular relatives) suggests that invention of Drosha from a Dicer precursor [12, 25] occurred early in holozoan evolution. An even earlier origin pre-dating Opisthokonta (i.e., Holozoa plus Holomycota) is possible but requires subsequent losses of Drosha and Pasha among Holomycota. Such a pre-holozoan origin would require the presence of the Microprocessor proteins among other eukaryote lineages, but so far, only the distantly related green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii has been reported to have an RNase III gene with possible Drosha-like functions (but no Pasha) [29].

In any case, the presence of homologous Microprocessor components in Ichthyosporea and animals suggests independent losses of Drosha and Pasha in choanoflagellates [6] and filasteres (Figures 1B and 1C; Table S2), as well as the only animal lineage that lacks these genes, the ctenophores [12–14] (Placozoa has long been thought to lack the Microprocessor precursor). Absence of the Microprocessor complex in Placozoa has long been thought to lack the Microprocessor complex [12, 25] occurred early in holozoan evolution. An even earlier origin pre-dating Opisthokonta (i.e., Holozoa plus Holomycota) is possible but requires subsequent losses of Drosha and Pasha among Holomycota. Such a pre-holozoan origin would require the presence of the Microprocessor proteins among other eukaryote lineages, but so far, only the distantly related green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii has been reported to have an RNase III gene with possible Drosha-like functions (but no Pasha) [29].

In any case, the presence of homologous Microprocessor components in Ichthyosporea and animals suggests independent losses of Drosha and Pasha in choanoflagellates [6] and filasteres (Figures 1B and 1C; Table S2), as well as the only animal lineage that lacks these genes, the ctenophores [12–14] (Placozoa has long been thought to lack the Microprocessor complex because of the absence of Pasha in Trichoplax adhaerens [6], but this gene was recently discovered in the strain Trichoplax sp. H2 [30]). Absence of the Microprocessor complex in
ctenophores must, therefore, be derived and not a primitive state as previously suggested [12].

In animals, the main function of the Microprocessor is to process the primary miRNA transcripts, but miRNA genes have not been reported from deeply diverging Holozoa. It is, therefore, uncertain whether the ichthyosporean Microprocessor components identified here have the same function as in animals. Thus, we explored the presence of miRNAs using a combination of deep sequencing of small RNAs (Table S1) with computational searches of the genomes of our species. Eight miRNAs were identified in three species of the genus *Sphaeroforma* (Figures 3 and S2; Data S1). These fulfilled the criteria for the annotation of miRNA genes and were all expressed in two 20- to 26-nt cRNA strands from a hairpin precursor with a 2-nt offset, reflecting the sequential activity of two RNase III enzymes (Drosha and Dicer) [31, 32]. All eight of these miRNA genes were highly conserved across two of the three species of *Sphaeroforma*, with six of them conserved across all three (Data S1), supporting their

---

**Figure 3. Identification of Ichthyosporean Drosha and Pasha Sequences**

(A) The modeled protein structure of the Drosha homolog identified in the ichthyosporean *Abeoforma whisleri*. Indicated in red is the unique Drosha insertion, including the so-called “Bump” helix [25]. Modeled structures of other identified ichthyosporean Drosha genes are shown in Figure S1.

(B) Phylogeny of Dicer and Drosha sequences. Drosha sequences are indicated in the orange box; all other sequences are Dicer. The topology with the highest likelihood in a maximum-likelihood (ML) framework is shown, with ML bootstrap and Bayesian posterior probability (BP) nodal support values drawn on to the branching points (ML/BP). Only support values above 50% ML and/or 0.75 BP are shown. Accession numbers are given in parentheses. For all taxa, accession numbers refer to the UniProt database, except for *Trichoplax adhaerens* and *Amphimedon queenslandica*, which are from NCBI RefSeq (the *A. queenslandica* Dicer D sequence is taken from [26]), and *Sycon ciliatum*, which is from http://www.compagen.org. Ichthyosporean species are indicated in bold font. A Drosha ortholog was also detected in *S. napiecek*, but this sequence was incompletely assembled and did not cover the RNase III domains and was, therefore, not included in the analysis.

(C) Ichthyosporean sequences identified as Pasha in the reciprocal BLAST searches (bold font) analyzed together with double-stranded RNA binding motif (DSRM)-containing sequences from the Pfam database (see STAR Methods for details). All Pasha sequences are indicated in a purple box. HYL1 homologs are marked with an asterisk. UniProt accession numbers are given in parentheses (except for *Amphimedon queenslandica*, for which the NCBI RefSeq accession number is given). Tree topology and support values were created in the same way as for the phylogeny in (B).

See also Figure S1 and Table S3.
identification as functional miRNAs [31, 32]. In addition to conserved genomic sequences of these miRNAs, their expression and subsequent processing were also highly conserved between the different species. For species of Sphaeroforma with available genomic data, we were able to establish that the miRNAs are located either in intergenic regions or in the introns and UTRs of protein-coding genes. Two of the miRNAs were consistently located within Ago and Dicer (Figure S3; Data S1). Such genomic co-localization of miRNAs and miRNA processing genes is not found in animals and likely reflects additional instances of the exaptation of the primitive intronic sequence into miRNA genes [33]. None of the miRNA genes have homologs outside Ichthyosporea.

Altogether, the conserved sequence features and genome localization across species are suggestive of functional miRNA genes that are processed by an enzymatic machinery similar to that in animals. This functional link between the Microprocessor and miRNA genes is further strengthened by the co-occurrence of these two components in all holozoan lineages investigated so far. C. fragrantissima is the only species deviating from this pattern; it contains homologs of the Microprocessor but apparently no miRNA genes. Although, it could be possible that miRNAs were not detected in C. fragrantissima because their expression is restricted to certain developmental time points not present under our culture conditions. The existence of such stages has been suggested for closely related Sphaeroforma species [34] and could as well exist in C. fragrantissima. Drosha has also been found to cleave other types of secondary RNA stem-loop structures in mouse cell lines [35], which could represent an alternative function for the Drosha homolog in C. fragrantissima. In any case, the role of the Microprocessor and miRNAs in Ichthyosporea needs to be confirmed by functional studies, but this is currently not possible due to lack of developed protocols and an experimental system.

A deep holozoan origin of both miRNAs and the biogenesis machinery confirms that the genetic innovations that underpin miRNA biogenesis in animals are not linked phylogenetically with the origin of animal multicellularity itself [36, 37]. Rather, our findings complement the view that the unicellular ancestor of animals already had most of the genes, gene pathways, and regulatory mechanisms necessary, but evidently insufficient, for animal-grade multicellularity [11]. This repertoire includes genes involved in cell adhesion and communication, extra- and intra-cellular receptors, and transcription factors previously thought to be specific to animals; e.g., [1, 5, 38]. Beyond genes, this unicellular ancestor of animals also had other genomic regulatory mechanisms, including regulation of chromatin states, complex cis-regulation by enhancers, and cell-type-specific alternative splicing [4, 17]. We add post-transcriptional regulation of mRNA translation via miRNAs to this gene regulatory repertoire. It remains unclear whether the Microprocessor in Ichthyosporea functions as it does in animals, by targeting mRNAs and miRNA genes is not found in animals and likely reflects additional in
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# STAR METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** | | |
| Marine Broth | Difco | Cat# 279110 |
| Trizol | Life-Technologies | Cat# 15996026 |
| Illumina Truseq small RNA seq kit | Illumina | NA |
| mirPremier microRNA Isolation Kit | Sigma-Aldrich | SNC50 |
| Terminator 5’-Phosphate-Dependent Exonuclease | Epicenter | NA |
| Tobacco Acid Pyrophosphatase | Epicenter | T19050 |

| **Deposited Data** | | |
| Unprocessed small RNA and mRNA reads, and novel gene sequences used in this study. | This paper | ENA: PRJEB21207 |

| **Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains** | | |
| Sphaeroforma arctica | Inaki Ruiz-Trillo’s lab. Original reference [40] | Strain JP610 |
| Sphaeroforma sirkka | Brandon Hassett [34] | Strain B5 |
| Sphaeroforma napiecek | Brandon Hassett [34] | Strain B4 |
| Capsaspora owczarzaki | ATCC nr. 30864 | N/A |
| Creolimax fragrantissima | Inaki Ruiz-Trillo’s lab (available from ATCC nr. PRA-284) | N/A |

| **Software and Algorithms** | | |
| Trimmomatic v0.35 | [41] | [http://www.usadellab.org/cms/?page=trimmomatic](http://www.usadellab.org/cms/?page=trimmomatic) |
| Trinity v2.0.6 | [42] | [http://trinitymaseq.github.io/](http://trinitymaseq.github.io/) |
| Transdecoder v3.0.0 | [43] | [http://transdecoder.github.io/](http://transdecoder.github.io/) |
| Cufflinks v2.1.1 | [44] | [http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/](http://cole-trapnell-lab.github.io/cufflinks/) |
| Blastp | [45] | [ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/blast+/LATEST/](ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/executables/blast+/LATEST/) |
| InterProScan | [23] | [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/interproscan.html](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/interproscan.html) |
| CD-search | [24] | [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi?](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi?) |
| Geneious R9 | [46] | [https://www.geneious.com/](https://www.geneious.com/) |
| Mafft v.7 | [47] | [https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/](https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/software/) |
| Phyre2 web server | [48] | [http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index](http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index) |
| PhyloBayes-MPI v1.5 | [49] | [http://megasun.bch.umontreal.ca/People/lartillot/www/old/](http://megasun.bch.umontreal.ca/People/lartillot/www/old/) |
| RAxML v8.0.26 | [50] | [https://sco.h-its.org/exelixis/web/software/raxml/index.html](https://sco.h-its.org/exelixis/web/software/raxml/index.html) |
| TopHat v2.0.14 | [51] | [https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml](https://ccb.jhu.edu/software/tophat/index.shtml) |
| Blat v3.5 | [52] | [https://genome.ucsc.edu/FAQ/FAQblat](https://genome.ucsc.edu/FAQ/FAQblat) |

| **Other** | | |
| Acropora digitifera genome assembly | NCBI Genome | Adig_1.1: ID: 10529 |
| Nematostella vectensis genome assembly | NCBI Genome | ASM20922v1, ID: 230 |
| Trichoplax adhaerens genome assembly | NCBI Genome | v1.0: ID: 354 |
| Amphimedon queenslandica genome assembly | NCBI Genome | v1.0: ID: 2698 |

(Continued on next page)
CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Kamran Shalchian-Tabrizi (kamran@ibv.uio.no).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Sphaeroforma arctica JP610, S. sirkka (strain B5), S. napiecek (strain B4) and Creolimax fragrantissima (CCCM101) were grown on Marine Broth (Difco BD, NJ, US; 37.4g/L) at 12°C and no light. S. arctica was also grown on ATCC MAP medium at 16°C with no light. Capsaspora owczarzaki (ATCC30864) was cultured on ATCC 803 M7 medium at 23°C with no light.

METHOD DETAILS

Identification of genes related to the miRNA processing machinery

In order to search for the presence of genes involved in miRNA processing and function across the supergroup Opisthokonta (Holozoa (i.e., animals, Choanoflagellata, Filasterida and Ichthyosporea) and Holomycota (i.e., fungi plus their unicellular relatives)) we searched available transcriptomes and proteomes from a wide range of deeply diverging opisthokont species covering basal Holozoa and Holomycota (Table S2). For species from which an assembled transcriptome was not available, raw reads were downloaded from the NCBI SRA database, quality trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.35 [41] (minimum phred score 20-28 depending on read quality) and assembled using Trinity v2.0.6 [42] (with the–normalize_reads option set, otherwise default settings) and Transdecoder.
Dicer and Drosha and incomplete sequences were added sequentially using the –addFragments option (all well as the A multiple sequence alignment containing known Dicer based on structural similarity. Pasha sequence model. The was then compared against known tertiary structures and the query sequences were modeled against the best fitting tertiary sequences and to create an evolutionary sequence profile to account for variation across sites. The resulting sequence profile was done by splitting the sequences into parts consisting of only the RNase III-A or B domain. For sequences without an annotated RNase III domain these putative domains were identified by aligning the sequence to the annotated domains of the genes confirmed that the Dicers and N. vectensis Dicer notated RNase III domain these putative domains were identified by aligning the sequence to the annotated domains of the genes. Likewise, for one of the Ago genes in S. arctica we also needed to map the mRNAs to the genome to confirm its expression as it was not completely assembled de novo. All blast searches and domain annotations were done using Geneious R9, except for the UniProt and GenBank blast searches which were performed on the UniProt and NCBI web sites. Additional domain annotations were also performed using the InterProScan and CD-search web interfaces (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/ and https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi?).

Detecting the RNase III-A domain in Sphaeroforma sp. and C. fragransissima

Only two gene families contain double RNase III domains and these comprise the Drosha and Dicer genes (i.e., class 3 and 4 of the RNase III gene family). For most of the ichthyosporean sequences obtained here the two RNase III domains were identified by conventional approaches described above, but for a few genes from Sphaeroforma sp. and C. fragransissima we identified only one of the two RNase III domains located in the C-terminal region (i.e., the B domain). We aligned these sequences to the RNase III-A and B domains of other animal and fungal Dicers and Drosha proteins, as well as the bacterial Aquifex aeolicus RNase III domain. The alignment was done by splitting the sequences into parts consisting of only the RNase III-A or B domain. For sequences without an annotated RNase III domain these putative domains were identified by aligning the sequence to the annotated domains of the H. sapiens and N. vectensis Dicer and Drosha sequences. Then all RNase III-A and B domains were aligned together. All alignments were done using Mafft v7.7 with the L-INS-i algorithm with the BLOSUM45 scoring matrix. Aligning the genes to known Dicer and Drosha genes confirmed that the Dicers from Sphaeroforma contain a divergent RNase III-A domain, similar to what has been found for other taxa, while C. fragransissima lack the same domain.

Tertiary structure analysis

We also used secondary and tertiary structure comparisons of the Dicer and Drosha candidates to see whether we could identify the other RNase III domain (i.e., the A-domain) and structures unique for Dicer or Drosha. For tertiary structure modeling we used the Phyre2 web server for template based modeling. Phyre2 was run in “Normal” modeling mode to first search for homologous sequences and to create an evolutionary sequence profile to account for variation across sites. The resulting sequence profile was then compared against known tertiary structures and the query sequences were modeled against the best fitting tertiary sequence model. The Pasha sequences were also analyzed in this way to test which sequence was identified as the most similar based on structural similarity.

Phylogenetic annotation of miRNA processing proteins

A multiple sequence alignment containing known Dicer and Drosha sequences from animals, fungi and Dictyostelium discoideum, as well as the Dicer and Drosha sequences of ichthyosporeans identified in this study was generated using Mafft v7.3. First, all full-length Dicer and Drosha sequences were globally aligned using the E-INS-i algorithm and the BLOSUM45 scoring matrix, then shorter and incomplete sequences were added sequentially using the–addFragments option (all Drosha sequences were trimmed from
the N-terminal to exclude unannotated regions where no conservation between sequences was detected. Obvious erroneously inserted end gaps (a common problem with Mafft alignments) were either manually realigned or removed. The *Sphaeroforma Dicer* and *Drosophila* structures were manually aligned according to domain annotations. All domains and inter-domain regions were subsequently realigned individually using Mafft L-INS-i algorithm. Finally, alignment columns containing ≥ 98% gaps were masked. See Table S3 for list of accession numbers used in the analysis. Bayesian analysis was performed with PhyloBayes-MPI v1.5 [49]. Two chains were run with the parameters -gt and -cat and stopped when the maxdiff was 0.078 and the meandiff 0.0007 with a 15% burnin. Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was run using RAxML v8.0.26 [50] with the LG protein substitution model determined by invoking the autoMRE option. The topology with the highest likelihood score out of 10 heuristic searches was selected as the final topology. Bootstrapping was carried out with 950 pseudo replicates under the same model. The values from the ML bootstrapping and the Bayesian posterior probabilities were added to the ML topology with the highest likelihood.

To investigate the evolutionary affiliation of the annotated *Pasha* sequences we created a multiple sequence alignment including full-length seed sequences from the double-stranded RNA binding motif (DSRM) family in the Pfam database (PF00035) [27] (DSRM is equivalent to the dsRBD notation used by InterPro). In addition, we included reference *Pasha* sequences from certain animal lineages. These included *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Nematostella vectensis*, *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Amphimedon queenslandica*. The *Pasha* and Pfam DSRM containing protein sequences were aligned together with the ichthyosporean *Pasha* candidates with Mafft (L-INS-i algorithm and BLOSUM45 scoring matrix) implemented in Geneious v11.0.3. Further, positions in the alignment containing > 95% gaps were masked. The alignment was analyzed using ML and Bayesian analyses as described above (except that the VT model and 550 pseudo-sequence replicates were used in the ML analysis). In the Bayesian analysis the two chains came close to convergence (burn-in 25%, maxdiff = 0.30, meandiff = 0.014). The values from the ML bootstrapping and the Bayesian posterior probabilities were added to the ML topology with the highest likelihood.

**Culturing and RNA sequencing**

We first cultured and sequenced small RNAs from *S. arctica* (cultured on Marine Broth), *C. fragrantissima* and *C. owczarzaki*. Total RNA was isolated from all cultures using Trizol (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Small RNA libraries were prepared using the Illumina Truseq small RNA seq kit (Illumina, San Diega, CA, USA). The samples were run on an GAIIx Illumina sequencer at the University of Bristol Transcriptomics facility with 36 bp single read sample.

In a second round of sequencing we analyzed *S. sirkka* and *S. napiecek* in addition to *S. arctica* (cultured on MAP medium (18.6g/l Difco marine broth 2216, 20 g/l Bacto peptone, 10 g/l NaCl)) and *C. fragrantissima*. Total RNA was isolated by lysing the cells on a FastPrep system (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA), following by small RNA and total RNA isolation using the mirPremiere RNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). For *S. arctica* we also performed transcription start site (TSS) sequencing by treating the total RNA with Terminator 5'-exonuclease (Epiceric, Madison, WI, USA) and resistant miRNAs (i.e., carrying a 5' CAP). The TSS samples were sequenced as two libraries; one treated with tobacco acid pyrophosphatase (TAP; Epicenter) and one untreated. All RNA samples of *S. arctica* were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq2000 machine. Library preparation and sequencing was performed by Vertis Biotechnologie AG (Freising, Germany). For *S. sirkka*, *S. napiecek* and *C. fragrantissima* miRNA libraries and mRNA libraries were prepared and sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq (miRNA: 50 nt single-end, mRNA: 300 nt paired-end) platform at the Norwegian Sequencing Centre.

**Mapping of RNA reads and miRNA detection**

For *S. arctica*, mapping of all RNA reads was done against the 2012 version of the *S. arctica* genome, downloaded from the Broad Institute (http://www.broadinstitute.org). Also, 100 bp poly(A)-selected RNA Illumina reads from the SRX099331 and SRX099330 *S. arctica* experiments were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA). The sequenced and downloaded RNA reads were trimmed for low quality nucleotides (phred score cutoff of 20) and sequencing adapters using Trimmomatic v.0.30 [41], and trimmed for ‘N’ characters and poly(A)-tails using PrinSeq-lite v.0.20.3 [54]. Additionally, only small RNAs reads between 18-26 nts were retained. TSS reads and poly(A)-selected reads were mapped to the *S. arctica* genome using TopHat v2.0.14 [51] with default settings. Small RNAs were mapped to the genome using Blat v3.5 [52] with the options -tileSize = 6 -stepSize = 5 -minScore = 18 -minIdentity = 85 -maxGap = 0 -fine.

For *S. sirkka*, *S. napiecek* and *C. fragrantissima*, small RNA reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic v.0.36 to remove adapters and nucleotides with a quality < 28. Only reads longer than 19 nts were retained. The *S. sirkka* reads were mapped to the genome downloaded from NCBI under accession LUCW01000000 and *C. fragrantissima* reads were mapped to the genome downloaded from https://figshare.com/articles/Creolimax_fragrantissima_genome_data/1403592 using Blat as described above. *S. sirkka* and *C. fragrantissima* mRNA reads were quality trimmed and mapped to their respective genomes as described *S. arctica* above.

For miRNA-detection, an adapted version of the MiRMiner pipeline [8] was used to allow for the detection of longer hairpins [Fromm et al. in prep]. For *S. napiecek* there is no genome available so we could not run the MiRMiner pipeline for novel miRNA detection. Instead we mapped the expressed small RNAs to the *de novo* assembled transcriptome (assembled using Trinity v2.0.6 [42] with the–normalize_reads option set, otherwise default settings) with Blat as described above.

The miRNA secondary structures were generated using the mfold web server (http://unafold.rna.albany.edu/?q=mfold/rna-folding-form) with default settings, but structures were constrained from baspairing in the flanking regions.
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Phylogenetic analyses
Details can be found in the “Phylogenetic annotation of miRNA processing proteins” section. Bayesian analysis was performed with PhyloBayes-MPI v1.5 [49]. Two chains were run with the parameters -gtr and -cat and stopped when the maxdiff was \( \leq 0.1-0.3 \) and meandiff < 0.015 with a 15% burnin. Maximum likelihood (ML) analysis was run using RAxML v8.0.26 [50] with the LG model. The ML topology with the highest likelihood score out of 10 heuristic searches was selected as the final topology. Bootstrapping was carried out until the support values had converged (using the AUTO_MRE option). Only support values over 50% for ML and/or over 0.75 for BP were shown on the phylogenies (Figure 3).

Blast searches
Details can be found in the “Reciprocal Blast” section. Reciprocal Blast was performed using Blastp [45] (BLOSUM45 scoring matrix, min e-value 0.01 and max target hits 30).

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

All sequence data generated in this study has been submitted to the EMBL-EBI European Nucleotide Archive (ENA); small RNA and mRNA transcriptome data, ENA: PRJEB21207; gene assemblies, ENA: LS991975–LS991998; miRNAs, ENA: LS992005–LS992065. In addition, sequence alignments used in the phylogenetic analyses are available at Mendeley Data: 10.17632/h96s28wcx9.1 and the Bioportal (www.bioportal.no).