Axonemal radial spokes
3D structure, function and assembly
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The radial spoke (RS) is a complex of at least 23 proteins that works as a mechanochemical transducer between the central-pair apparatus and the peripheral microtubule doublets in eukaryotic flagella and motile cilia. The RS contributes to the regulation of the activity of dynein motors, and thus to flagellar motility. Despite numerous biochemical, physiological and structural studies, the mechanism of the function of the radial spoke remains unclear. Detailed knowledge of the 3D structure of the RS protein complex is needed in order to understand how RS regulates dynein activity. Here we review the most important findings on the structure of the RS, including results of our recent cryo-electron tomographic analysis of the RS protein complex.

Introduction

Eukaryotic flagella and motile cilia share a common “9 + 2” structure, in which nine peripheral microtubule doublets (MTDs) surround the central-pair of microtubules (CP) (Fig. 1A). The MTDs and CP are connected by radial spokes (RSs). Genetic, biochemical, and structural analysis indicate that the mechano-chemical interaction between RSs and CP regulates the activity of dynein motors attached to the MTDs and thus controls the bending motion of the flagellum.

Morphology

Basic shape and anchoring of the radial spoke. Björn Afzelius, in 1959, was the first to describe the presence of RSs in the axonemes of sea urchin sperm flagella.1 He drew the RSs as slender threads radiating between the CP and the 9 MTDs and revealed that the RS binds only to the A-microtubule of the MTD.1 In a recent cryo-electron tomography study of the detailed 3D structure of the RSs in Tetrahymena thermophila we have shown that the RS binds onto the protofilaments A12 and A13 of the A-microtubule2 (Fig. 1C).

An RS is a T-shaped structure, composed of (1) an elongated stalk that is anchored on the A-microtubule of a peripheral doublet, and (2) an orthogonal head, which is thought to have transient contacts with the inner sheath and the CP.3,5 The RS head was first recognized and described by Warner in the blowfly sperm flagella Sarcophaga bullata and in Chlamydomonas flagella.6 The same T-shaped structure was later observed in the flagella and cilia of many different species, ranging from protozoa to mammals.7,9

Characterization of the Radial Spoke Proteins (RSPs)

Initially, SDS-PAGE analysis of the WT axonemes and axonemes of paralyzed mutants of Chlamydomonas revealed 17 polypeptide chains that were ascribed to the RS complex.13,14 Following that, a procedure for purification of the RS complex from axonemes enabled identification of a total number of 23 proteins.15,16 These proteins are called RSP1 to RSP23. The RSPs 2–4, 6, 16, 20, 22 and 23 have been identified and sequenced.17-24 In addition to the 23 RSPs, another complex, known as calmodulin and spoke-associated complex (CSC), binds to the MTD and is essential for anchoring the RSs (Table 1).

To date there has been little structural or functional information to elucidate the mechanism of function of RSPs. Nevertheless, sequence analysis of RSPs suggests the roles of individual components of the complex. For example, several functional domains were found within the sequences of the RSPs 2 and 23 that suggest a role of signal transduction via cyclic nucleotides.
These two RSPs contain a cyclic GMP-binding domain, an adenylyl cyclase domain and a nucleotide diphosphate kinase domain. The RSPs 2 and 23 also contain an FHA domain, which mediates interactions with phosphorylated proteins. Indeed, the sliding velocity of axonemes has been shown to be dependent on the activity of phosphate kinases, such as PKA and CK1. Thus, phosphorylation likely plays an essential role in the RS function. Furthermore, RSPs 2 and 23 contain calmodulin-binding motifs. Additionally, sequences of RSPs 7 and 20 reveal a role of these RSPs in calcium signal transduction. Their sequences contain multiple EF-hand motifs, which are structural domains present in calcium-binding proteins. RSP20 belongs to the calmodulin family. Consequently, RSPs 2 and 23 are likely to interact with the RSP20. However, detailed structural information of the RS complex, along with functional studies of the individual components, will be needed to understand how RSs regulate axonemal dyneins.

**Function of Radial Spokes**

The role of RSs in the beating motion seems to be a signal transduction between the CP and the dyneins. For flagellar motility under physiological ATP concentration (0.2–1 mM ATP). Accordingly, Chlamydomonas mutants that lack RSs show no flagellar beating. Nevertheless, flagellar beating can be restored by low ATP concentrations (≤ 0.1 mM).
ATP) in these mutants.\textsuperscript{30,33} This shows that flagellar motility is not abolished by the absence of RSs. Rather, RSs act as a motility regulator. Thus, the interaction between CP and dynein motors, mediated by RSs, seems to be critical for motility. However, in the low concentration of ATP, dynein activity is independent of the regulation by RSs. The mechanism of this regulation remains to be elucidated.

The waveform of Chlamydomonas and sea urchin sperm flagella is dependent on the concentration of calcium ions.\textsuperscript{34,35} As mentioned in the previous chapter, RS proteins contain sequences of domains involved in calcium binding and signaling (Table 1).\textsuperscript{17} Thus, RS are likely to be involved in the control of flagellum waveform. However, Chlamydomonas mutants that lack RSs also show the calcium-dependent waveform inversion.\textsuperscript{33} This suggests a distinct or additional mechanism of calcium regulation to the one mediated by RSs. For example, the outer dynein arms contain calcium-binding proteins and thus can be involved in waveform regulation.\textsuperscript{36}

In the following chapters, we discuss the interactions between the RSs with central-pair and microtubule doublets, as well as the interaction between the RS proteins. Furthermore, we point out possible mechanisms of signal transduction by RSs from the CP to the MT doublets.

### Interaction between RS Head and Central-Pair

It is known that the RS head extends toward the CP, but the interaction between them has not yet been studied. It is not known whether all the RSs interact contemporaneously with the asymmetric structure of the CP complex, or if the interaction itself is asymmetric (although all nine RS-heads face the CP) and only some sets of RSs connect with the CP complex depending on the bending motion phase. The sequences of the RS head proteins (RSPs 1, 4, 6, 9 and 10) do not show any motifs of secondary messenger signal transduction.\textsuperscript{17} RSP1 and RSP10 are predicted to contain a series of MORN (membrane occupation and recognition nexus) domains.\textsuperscript{17} The presence of MORN sequences is puzzling. However, this seems to suggest that the interaction between CP and RS heads is likely different from the one in the stalk.

### Interaction between RS Head and Stalk

The activity of individual axonemal dyneins must be coordinated in response to the bending of flagella in order to maintain a regular motion (theoretically\textsuperscript{37-39} and experimentally\textsuperscript{40}). RSs are likely to participate in the differential dynein regulation during the bending of axoneme. In a hypothetical model, the RS base is strongly attached to the MT while the rest of the structure shifts upon bending, resulting in the tilt of RSs. As discussed in the previous paragraph, the tilt could result in the detachment of RSs from the CP followed by reattachment during the bending of the flagellum. Indeed, tilt of RSs was observed by electron microscopy and it was proposed to be the regulation mechanism of bending.\textsuperscript{341} This hypothesis, however, remains to be investigated.

### Interaction between RSs and Dyneins

RSs interact extensively with the inner arm dyneins (IDAs) (see Fig. 1B for IDAs isoforms identification).\textsuperscript{2} As mentioned in the beginning of this chapter, mutants lacking RSs are paralyzed under physiological concentration, but the motility is restored in low concentration of ATP.\textsuperscript{30} A possible explanation to this phenomenon would be intramolecular regulation of dynein activity, proposed by Inoue and Shingyoji.\textsuperscript{42} In such a model, the motor activity of certain dyneins would be inhibited by physiological concentration of ATP. RSs would suppress this inhibition, promoting the dynein activity even at physiological concentration of ATP. Thus, in mutants lacking RS, the flagellar motility would be impaired at high ATP concentrations due to the inhibition of dynein, but would be restored at low ATP concentrations. This hypothesis is strongly corroborated by the finding that in Chlamydomonas double mutants, lacking CP or RSs, as well as inner dynein arm components, the flagellar motility is recovered.\textsuperscript{43,44} Thus, suppression of ATP-inhibition of inner arm dyneins by RSs is a possible mechanism of regulation of flagellar motility.

### Table 1. Radial spoke proteins

| RSP   | Molecular weight (kDa) | Motif                  | Position |
|-------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| RSP1  | 78.6                   | MORN                   | head     |
| RSP2  | 77.4                   | GAF/calmodulin binding | neck     |
| RSP3  | 56.8                   | A-kinase anchoring      | stalk    |
| RSP4  | 49.8                   | unknown                | head     |
| RSP5  | 55.9                   | Aldo-keto reductase     | stalk    |
| RSP6  | 48.8                   | unknown                | head     |
| RSP7  | 55                     | RII α/EF hand           | stalk    |
| RSP8  | 40.5                   | Armadillo              | stalk    |
| RSP9  | 29.5                   | unknown                | head     |
| RSP10 | 23.5                   | MORN                   | head     |
| RSP11 | 21.5                   | RII α                  | stalk    |
| RSP12 | 19.7                   | peptidyl-prolyl isomerase | stalk |
| RSP13 | ~98                    |                        | stalk    |
| RSP14 | 28.3                   | Armadillo              | stalk    |
| RSP15 | ~38                    | leucine-rich repeat     | stalk    |
| RSP16 | 39                     | DnaJ/DnaJ-C            | neck     |
| RSP17 | 98.5                   | GAF                    | stalk    |
| RSP18 | ~210                   |                        | stalk    |
| RSP19 | ~140                   |                        | stalk    |
| RSP20 | 18.3                   | EF hand (calmodulin)   | stalk    |
| RSP21 | 16                     |                        | stalk    |
| RSP22 | 10.3                   | LC8                    | stalk    |
| RSP23 | 61                     | NDK/IQ motif           | neck     |
| CaM- IP2 | ~183                   | AKAP/AAT-I            | CSC      |
| CaM- IP3 | pyridine-disulfide oxidoreductase | CSC |
| CaM- IP4 | 97                     | WD repeat              | CSC      |

Based on the work of Yang et al.\textsuperscript{17} and Dymek and Smith.\textsuperscript{25}
Detailed Structure of Radial Spokes

All three radial spokes within one repeat have been shown by freeze-fracture deep-etch electron microscopy to have the same T-shaped structure.5 However, detailed analysis of Chlamydomonas and Tetrahymena RSs by cryo-electron tomography proved that the three RSs are structurally not identical.2 Our 3D reconstructions show that RS3 has a unique morphology, but that significant differences are also present between RS1 and RS2.

Localization of RS Proteins and Structural Differences between RS1 and RS2

Our 3D reconstructions of Chlamydomonas and Tetrahymena RSs show that RS1 and RS2 share the same overall architecture (Figs. 2 and 3). Their structure can be divided into 4 domains: (1) a very short base that is anchored to the MT, (2) an elongated stalk, (3) a bifurcated neck, and (4) an orthogonal head (Fig. 2).2

In Chlamydomonas, RS1 and RS2 were subdivided in domains not only on purely morphological grounds, but also based on the protein composition of those four domains (Fig. 4). We were able to locate groups of RS proteins by comparing the RS structure in Chlamydomonas mutants lacking specific subsets of RSPs and specific isoforms of the inner dynein arms (see Table 2 for details about mutants).2 The mutant ida4 lacks dyneins a, c and d, and retain the complete RS complex. The mutant pf14 is deficient in RSP3 and biochemical data show that all the 23 RSPs are missing in pf14 flagella.13 The comparative analysis of axonemes of WT, pf14, and ida4 mutants showed that the RS base might contain adaptor complexes (Fig. 4) such as the calmodulin spoke complex (CSC).25 The CSC, which was shown to be present in pf14 axonemes,25 is important for anchoring the RS stalk to the MT.

Figure 2. 3D structure of WT RSs in *C. reinhardtii*. (A–C) Surface renderings of tomographic reconstruction after 3D subtomogram averaging. (A) Longitudinal view showing the B- microtubule (foreground), radial spoke 1 (RS1) (purple), radial spoke 2 (RS2) (red), the RS3 stump (RS3S) (orange) (arrow), the IDAs (blue), the intermediate and light chains of IDAs (yellow), the DRC (green). The proximal end of the axoneme points toward the left. Arrowheads indicate densities specific to RS2. The boundaries between the head, neck, stalk, and base domains are shown. (B) Side view seen from the proximal end showing RS1, IDA a or d (a/d), ODA, and the microtubule doublet. A, A-microtubule; B, B-microtubule. The dashed line indicates the dynein a/d tail connecting to the RS1 base. The red lines show the position of section planes through the original density map used to generate subfigures (shown in D, 3–5). (C) Top view showing the two RS heads. The proximal end points to the left as in A. The pale red area identifies one of the symmetrical subdomains composing the RS head. Two such subdomains build one RS head. The red ellipse indicates the 2-fold rotational symmetry between these subdomains. The two RS heads are also symmetrical, also following a 2-fold rotational symmetry, denoted by a blue ellipse. (D) Sections through the density map of the model shown in A–C. (1) Same orientation as in A; (2) Same orientation as in B; (3–5) Same orientation as in C. The proximal end is pointing toward the left in all sections, except for section 2, where the proximal end is oriented toward the reader. Bar, 50 nm. (Modified from G Pigino et al., 2011. Originally published in JCB. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201106125)
and it is further known to be involved in the dynein regulatory pathway. Indeed, the 3D reconstructions show that the base of RS1 and the base of RS2 are connected to the tails of the inner dynein arm a/d and the inner dynein arm c, respectively (Figs. 1B and 2B).

Although similar, the morphology of RS1 and RS2 base differs in some detailed aspects. This is in agreement with the effects of inhibiting the expression of specific CSC proteins, which affects only the assembly of RS2. The CSC might therefore be associated with RS2, but not with RS1.26 The protein composition of the RS1 base is still unknown.

The RS stalk is the part of the spoke that carries the most evident morphological differences between RS1 and RS2. Two additional densities are visible at the base of the RS2 stalk (Figs. 2A and 3A and B). One of these occurs at the microtubule-anchoring area and connects the RS2 to the nexin-dynein regulatory complex (N-DRC) (Figs. 2A and 3A and B), which is a component of the dynein activity regulatory system.43 The additional density at the base of the RS2 stalk disappears in the mutant pf14, thereby confirming that it is a component of RS, but that it must not be confused with the CSC.2

The mutant pf24 is deficient in RSP2, and lacks RSP1–2, RSP4, RSP6, RSP9–10, RSP16 and RSP23. The differential analysis of the 3D structure of WT, pf24, and pf14 mutant flagella showed that the RS stalk is composed of RSP3, RSP5, RSP7-8, RSP11-15, and RSP17-22 (Fig. 4).2 With the exception of RSP3, which is a component of both RS1 and RS2, it is not yet known (1) which of the other RSP stalk proteins are contained in the additional RS2 densities, and (2) which of them are shared between RS1 and RS2. The morphological and structurally inferred biochemical heterogeneity between RS1 and RS2 was also studied in Tetrahymena2 (Fig. 3A), and the sea urchin.45 The morphology of the stalks is very similar in all those species.

3D reconstructions of Chlamydomonas and Tetrahymena RSs showed that the neck is a bifurcated area that connects the spoke stalk to the head (Figs. 2B–D2 and 4B).2 In the mutant pf7 the RSP1, RSP4, RSP6 and RSP9–10 are missing. The morphological analysis of Chlamydomonas WT, pf24 and pf7 flagella revealed that the radial spoke neck is composed of multiple copies (presumably two) of RSP2, RSP16 and RSP23 (Fig. 4).2 The head of the spoke contains more than two copies of RSP1, RSP4, RSP6, RSP9 and RSP10.2 In contrast to the stalk, the head and the neck of RS1 and RS2 appear identical.

Another important finding is that the heads of RS1 and RS2 are symmetrical structures that consist of two identical subdomains (Figs. 2C and 3C). In Chlamydomonas, each of them is 26 nm long and 9 nm wide, and in the head of the spoke exhibits a 2-fold rotational symmetry (Fig. 2C). Although the two head sub-domains are tightly interconnected, each one of them is independently attached to one of the branches of the bifurcated neck (Fig. 2B and C). Interestingly, RS1 and RS2
heads are also interconnected with a 2-fold translational symmetry. These findings, which were also found in Tetrahymena (Fig. 3C), allow a proposal of new theories about the interaction of RS with the CP and the RS assembly process at the flagellar tip.

RS Pairs and Triplets, and Peculiarities of RS3

As mentioned before, the 3D analysis of RSs in Tetrahymena and Chlamydomonas revealed significant structural differences between the three radial spokes in a triplet. The RS3 in Tetrahymena can still be seen as a T-shaped structure at low resolution. In our cryo-tomographic studies it is easy to see that the morphology and orientation of head, neck, and stalk are very different compared with RS1 and RS2 (Fig. 3B and C). Rather than extending perpendicularly from the MTD, as both RS1 and RS2 do, the RS3 is slanted toward RS2 and the B-microtubule. A similar orientation of RS3 is also seen in the sperm flagellum of Gallus domesticus. As RS1 and RS2, RS3 also binds to protofilaments A12 and A13, but its bifurcated base appears, with respect to the orientation of the RS1 and RS2 stalk bases, to be rotated by roughly 45°. The slanted base of RS3 is clearly visible (Fig. 3A and B). Further evident structural differences are that the RS3 neck is not bifurcated and the head doesn’t show any evidence of a symmetrical arrangement. The morphological peculiarities of RS3 suggest a substantially different protein composition compared with RS1 and RS2. It is therefore likely that the role of RS3 in regulating the flagellum bending motion is different than the roles of RS1 and RS2.

Maybe the most surprising discovery of our cryo-tomographic analysis of Chlamydomonas RSs was a short structure located at precisely the same place where RS3 is located in Tetrahymena (Figs. 2A, 3A and 5). The positioning and the morphological similarity between this short structure in Chlamydomonas and the reconstructed base of RS3 in Tetrahymena indicate that the Chlamydomonas repeat contains, in addition to the doublet of RS1 and RS2, a portion of the RS3. This finding was further confirmed by a comparison of the structure of Chlamydomonas with the structure of RS3 in sea urchin sperm flagella. We introduced the name RS3 stump (RS3S) for the portion of RS3 that is present in Chlamydomonas. RS3S is connected to dynein a/d (Fig. 5A). The comparative analysis in wild type (WT) and ida4 mutant flagella shows that the arc-like structure that is connected to RS3 is a portion of the dynein a/d tail and not a portion of the RS3 structure. In Tetrahymena the RS3 stalk is connected also to dynein g/b.

Further, we compared the 3D structure of RSs in Chlamydomonas mutants pf1, pf24 and pf14. These mutants lack specific RS proteins and can therefore be used to visualize the structural changes associated with these missing proteins. Although the structures of RS1 and RS2 in these mutants lack big portions of head and stalk, the RS3 stump maintained its wild-type morphology in all mutants (Fig. 5B). RS3S remains unaltered even in pf14, which lacks the RS1 and RS2 structures almost entirely. Our comparative analysis between Chlamydomonas mutants and
WT is the evidence that the RS3 has a very different protein composition.\textsuperscript{2}

The identity of the RS3 proteins, though, remains largely unknown. Extraction of spokes from Tetrahymena cilia by KI, purification, and molecular characterization of the RS proteins followed by a comparative analysis with the RS proteomics in Chlamydomonas might be a first step toward understanding of the composition of RS3 and its functional role.

**Assembly of the Radial Spokes: the Dimerization Hypothesis**

In Chlamydomonas, the head of the radial spoke is a symmetrical structure (Fig. 2C), with two identical, elongated domains positioned in a 2-fold rotational symmetry.\textsuperscript{2} This is consistent with the “hypothesis of dimerization” of the 12S intermediate complex during 20S RS assembly.\textsuperscript{47} The 12S RSs are “L”- or “L”-shaped structures, made of a long rod (~28 nm) and a head (the projection) (~20 nm).\textsuperscript{47} Their size and shape suggest that two of these L-shaped 12S intermediates combine in a 2-fold symmetrical way to form one RS. In the cryo-electron tomography structure, each of the two identical head domains binds to one of the two branches of the bifurcated neck (Fig. 2B). The RS stalk doesn’t appear symmetrical, although its base has a bifurcated structure that anchors on adjacent protofilaments (Fig. 1C). The stalk might contain a big coil-coiled-like structure and additional proteins might attach subsequently to an initial dimerization process, thereby masking its initially symmetric shape. RSPs 13-20 are not part of the 12S complex and their contribution to the final RS 20S axonemal complex is not yet resolved. They might create the asymmetry in the stalk structures of RS1 and RS2.

Each symmetrical domain of the head could contain two copies of the RSPs 1, 4, 6, 9 and 10, which have been identified to form the head. Whether these proteins form dimers remains to be elucidated, as well as the possibility of heterodimeric formation. Only RSP10, however, has been shown to form dimers in vitro.\textsuperscript{48} Kohno et al. also showed that RSP4 and RSP6 interact with RSP9 and RSP10, but not with each other.\textsuperscript{49} RSP1 was shown to interact with RSP4, but not with the other RS head proteins.\textsuperscript{49} Although the protein interactions within the head of RS have started to emerge, high-resolution structural studies and in vitro reconstitution are needed to understand the assembly, structure and function of the head.

The symmetrical arrangement of the head is a conserved feature. Thus, even though the shape of the head differs significantly between Tetrahymena and Chlamydomonas spokes, the symmetrical organization remains the same (Fig. 3).\textsuperscript{2} Homologs of RSP4 and RSP6 were identified in Tetrahymena,\textsuperscript{49} and sequence analysis shows that homologs of RSP1, RSP9, and RSP10 are also present in Tetrahymena. The structural difference between Chlamydomonas and Tetrahymena RS heads could be explained by a different organization of the five head proteins or by the presence of additional proteins.

Functional implications of symmetrical RS heads are not known. While MTs are known to be unidirectional, the RS head is symmetrical. Whether the signal transduction between the CP and the RS is performed by a specific protein-protein interaction remains obscure. The signal could also be propagated in another way, for example, by mechanical interaction.

The reconstruction of 3D models of RSs by cryo-electron tomography has revealed features of the structure of the individual RSs as never seen before. Important information about the organization of RSPs was also revealed. The new findings support the hypothesis of the RS assembly by dimerization of the 12S RS precursors.

Nevertheless, detailed structural and biochemical studies of the interaction between RSs and the CP are still needed in order to fully understand the role of RSs in dynein regulation. Investigations of the atomic structure of RSPs complexes combined with the analysis of conformational changes of the RS 3D structure during regulation of flagellum motility will hopefully reveal more about the structure and function of the radial spokes.
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