AN APPROACH TO STUDYING QUASICONFORMAL MAPPINGS ON GENERALIZED GRUSHIN PLANES

COLLEEN ACKERMANN

Abstract. We demonstrate that the complex plane and a class of generalized Grushin planes \( G_r \), where \( r \) is a function satisfying specific requirements, are quasisymmetrically equivalent. Then using conjugation we are able to develop an analytic definition of quasisymmetry for homeomorphisms on \( G_r \) spaces. In the last section we show our analytic definition of quasisymmetry is consistent with earlier notions of conformal mappings on the Grushin plane. This leads to several characterizations of conformal mappings on the generalized Grushin planes.
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1. Introduction

The concept of a quasiconformal mapping in the complex plane was originally formulated by Grötzsch in 1928 [1]. First the theory was developed in the complex plane and then expanded to \( \mathbb{R}^n \) [11]. Later it was extended to the Heisenberg group [3], general Carnot groups [8] [9] and finally equiregular sub-Riemannian manifolds [6], and Ahlfors regular metric measure spaces [4]. However, the theory has been largely unexplored for metric spaces that are non-Ahlfors regular. The simplest example of such a space is the Grushin plane.

Definition 1. The (classical) Grushin plane \( G \) is \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) with the metric defined by the Carnot-Carathéodory distance

\[
d_{CC}(w, w') = \inf \ell(\gamma)
\]

where the infimum is taken over all absolutely continuous, horizontal paths \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : [0,1] \to G \) with \( \gamma(0) = w \) and \( \gamma(1) = w' \), and the length

\[
\ell(\gamma) = \ell(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{(\gamma_1'(s))^2 + (\gamma_2'(s))^2} ds.
\]

Paths on the Grushin plane are horizontal if they have a horizontal tangent at the vertical axis.

The Grushin plane is Riemannian everywhere except on the singular line \( u = 0 \). The metric for the Grushin plane is defined using the vector fields \( \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \) and \( |u| \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \) which span the entire tangent space except along the vertical axis which is sub-Riemannian by Chow’s condition [2]. In Section 2 we will see easily computable estimates for the Carnot-Carathéodory distance which will give the reader a better picture of the geometry of the Grushin plane.
William Meyerson showed the complex plane and the Grushin plane are quasisymmetrically equivalent via the map \((u, v) \to u|u| + iv\). In this paper we determine conditions on a homeomorphism \(r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) such that \(\Phi_r : (u, v) \to r(u) + iv\) is a quasisymmetry between the complex plane and the metric space \(G_r\) defined by the vector fields \(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\) and \(r'(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\). These quasisymmetries are of interest to us, because they can be used to translate the rich theory of quasiconformal mappings in the complex plane to the \(G_r\) spaces via conjugation. For example we can define the \(r\)-Grushin Beltrami equation as follows:

**Definition 2.** Suppose \(g = (g_1, g_2) : G_r \to G_r\) and define \(\tilde{g} = \Phi_r(g)\), \(W = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - ir'(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial v})\) and \(\overline{W} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + ir'(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial v})\). The \(r\)-Grushin Beltrami equation is

\[\overline{W}\tilde{g} = \nu W\tilde{g}\]

where \(\nu\) is some measurable function with \(||\nu||\_\infty < 1\).

Then we obtain an analytic characterization of quasisymmetry in \(G_r\).

**Theorem 1.** A map \(g : G_r \to G_r\) is quasisymmetric if and only if \(g\) is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism that is absolutely continuous on lines, and satisfies the \(r\)-Grushin Beltrami equation for all points at which it is defined.

In the last section we seek to reconcile this theorem with notions of conformal mappings. For example, we will generalize the definition of conformality on Riemannian manifolds to develop the following definition:

**Definition 3.** A homeomorphism \(g = (g_1, g_2) : A \to B\) where \(A\) and \(B\) are domains in \(G\), is conformal provided that

\[Dg = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial g_1}{\partial u} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial v} \\
\frac{1}{|g_1|} \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial u} & \frac{1}{|g_1|} \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial v}
\end{pmatrix}\]

is defined and is a scalar multiple of an orthogonal matrix for every point in \(A - \{u = 0\}\). If \(u = 0\) for some point \(w_0 \in A\) we require that

\[\lim\limits_{w \to w_0} Dg(w)\]

is a scalar multiple of an orthogonal matrix.

We will show with certain conditions this definition is equivalent to \(g\) being quasisymmetric and \(\nu\) being identically zero. Furthermore, our definition is satisfied by a class of conformal maps on the Grushin plane discovered by Payne [10].

2. **Basic Geometry of the \(G_r\) Spaces**

Before proving quasisymmetry we must develop a basic picture of the geometry of the \(G_r\) spaces. To the best of our knowledge the following definition is original.

**Definition 4.** Let \(r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be a differentiable homeomorphism satisfying the following properties:
1. \(r'\) is an even function and \(r'|_{[0, \infty)}\) is a homeomorphism.
2. There exists \(\beta > 1\) such that for all \(u \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}\)

\[\frac{r(u)}{u} \leq r'(u) \leq \beta \frac{r(u)}{u}.\]
The $r$-Grushin plane $G_r$ is $\mathbb{R}^2$ with the metric defined by the Carnot-Carathéodory distance

$$d_{CC}(w, w') = \inf \ell_r(\gamma)$$

where the infimum is taken over all absolutely continuous, horizontal paths $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) : [0, 1] \to G_r$ with $\gamma(0) = w$ and $\gamma(1) = w'$, and the length

$$\ell_r(\gamma) = \ell_r(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\left(\gamma_1'(s)\right)^2 + \frac{\left(\gamma_2'(s)\right)^2}{(r(\gamma_1(s)))^2}} ds.$$ 

Just as on the classical Grushin plane, paths on $G_r$ are horizontal if they have a horizontal tangent at the vertical axis.

The following lemma will be used throughout our proof of quasisymmetry.

**Lemma 1.** As defined above the function $r'$ is doubling when restricted to $[0, \infty)$. In other words, there exists a constant $m > 0$ such that for all $u \in [0, \infty)$ we have $r'(2u) \leq mr'(u)$.

**Proof.** First we show $r'_{|[0, \infty)}$ is doubling. Choose $\alpha > 1$ such that $\beta \ln \alpha < 1$. By our conditions on $r$ we have $r(\alpha u) \leq \int_u^{\alpha u} r'(t) dt + r(u) \leq \int_u^{\alpha u} \beta r'(t) dt + r(u) \leq \beta r(\alpha u) \int_u^{\alpha u} \frac{du}{r(u)} + r(u) = \beta \ln \alpha r(\alpha u) + r(u)$. Thus $r(\alpha u) \leq \frac{r(u)}{1 - \beta \ln \alpha}$ where $\frac{1}{1 - \beta \ln \alpha} > 0$. Since $\alpha > 1$ and $r'_{|[0, \infty)}$ is increasing, repeated iteration gives $r'_{|[0, \infty)}$ is doubling for some constant $m$. Then since

$$\frac{2u}{\beta} r'(2u) \leq r(2u) \leq mr(u) \leq m r'(u),$$

$r'$ restricted to $(0, \infty)$ is also doubling. The claim is trivial for $u = 0$. \qed

Since the Carnot-Carathéodory distance does not lend itself to proving quasisymmetry directly we will define a quasidistance $d_r$, and then show it suffices to only consider the quasidistance. More precisely, we will show there exists a constant $C$ such that if $w, a, b \in G_r$ and $d_{CC}(w, a) \leq d_{CC}(w, b)$, then $d_r(w, a) \leq C d_r(w, b)$. The definition below is a generalization of Meyerson’s quasidistance \[7\].

**Definition 5.** The $r$-Grushin quasidistance between two points $w, w' \in G_r$ is

$$d_r(w, w') = \max \left\{ |u - u'|, \min \left\{ M, \frac{|v - v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(v')\}} \right\} \right\}$$

where $M = M(v, v')$ is the unique solution to the equation $M = \frac{|v - v'|}{r'(M)}$. If $u = u' = 0$, and hence $\frac{|v - v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(v')\}}$ is undefined, we adopt the convention $d_r(w, w') = M$.

From now on we simplify our notation by writing $\ell$ for $\ell_r$, $d(w, w')$ for $d_r(w, w')$, $d_{CC}(w, w')$ for $d_{CC}(w, w')$, $\Phi$ for $\Phi_r$, and $G$ for $G_r$. Most of what follows is true for all $r$-Grushin planes. We will clearly state when this is not the case and a result or example applies only to the classical Grushin plane where $r(u) = \frac{u}{2} |u|$.

The next lemma demonstrates that the Carnot-Carathéodory metric and the quasidistance on the $r$-Grushin plane are comparable.

**Lemma 2.** There exists a positive constant $C$ such that for any two points $w, w' \in G$

$$\frac{1}{C} d_{CC}(w, w') \leq d(w, w') \leq C d_{CC}(w, w').$$

**Proof.** Let $w = (u, v)$ and $w' = (u', v')$ be points in $G$. We make use of the following facts which the reader can easily verify:
which gives us

\[ \ell | \frac{[v-v']}{r'(u)} |. \]

Thus

Case 2: \( M \leq \frac{|w-v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}} \)

By our convention we can assume either \( u \) or \( u' \) is nonzero. Without loss of generality we take \( |u| \geq |u'| \)

which gives us

\[
d_{CC}(w, w') \leq d_{CC}((u, v), (u, v')) + d_{CC}((u, v'), (u', v'))
\]

\[
\leq |u - u'| + \frac{|v - v'|}{r'(u)}
\]

\[
\leq |u - u'| + \frac{|v - v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}}
\]

\[
\leq 2 \max\{ |u - u'|, \frac{|v - v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}} \}
\]

\[
= 2 d(w, w').
\]

Case 1: \( M \geq \frac{|w-v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}} \)

By our convention we can assume either \( u \) or \( u' \) is nonzero. Without loss of generality we take \( |u| \geq |u'| \)

which gives us

\[
d_{CC}(w, w') \leq d_{CC}((u, v), (u, v')) + d_{CC}((u, v'), (u', v'))
\]

\[
\leq |u - u'| + \frac{|v - v'|}{r'(u)}
\]

\[
\leq |u - u'| + \frac{|v - v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}}
\]

\[
\leq 2 \max\{ |u - u'|, \frac{|v - v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}} \}
\]

\[
= 2 d(w, w').
\]

This proves \( \frac{1}{C} d_{CC}(w, w') \leq d(w, w') \) for some constant \( C \).

To prove \( d(w, w') \leq C d_{CC}(w, w') \) it suffices to show for an arbitrary path \( \gamma \) from \( w \) to \( w' \), \( \ell(\gamma) \geq \frac{1}{2m} d(w, w') \). Recall \( m \) is the doubling constant defined in Lemma \ref{lem:double}. We once again assume \( |u| \geq |u'| \). Fix \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \) and let \( s_0 \) be such that \( |\gamma_1(s) - u| \leq |\gamma_1(s_0) - u| \) for all \( s \). If \( |\gamma_1(s_0) - u| \geq d(w, w') \), then since \( \ell(\gamma) \geq |\gamma_1(s_0) - u| \), we have our desired inequality. Now we assume \( |\gamma_1(s_0) - u| < d(w, w') \). In other words

\[
|\gamma_1(s_0) - u| < \max\{ |u - u'|, \min\{ M, \frac{|v - v'|}{\max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}} \} \}
\]

By the definition of \( s_0 \), \( |\gamma_1(s_0) - u| \geq |u - u'| \) and thus \( |\gamma_1(s_0) - u| < M \). Also by the definition of \( s_0 \), \( |\gamma_1(s)| \leq |u| + |\gamma_1(s_0) - u| \) for all \( s \). Combining our inequalities gives \( |\gamma_1(s)| < M + |u| \) for all \( s \). Then
\[ \ell(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{(\gamma'_1(s))^2 + \frac{(\gamma'_2(s))^2}{(r'(\gamma_1(s)))^2}} \, ds \]
\[ \geq \int_0^1 \sqrt{(\gamma'_1(s))^2 + \frac{(\gamma'_2(s))^2}{(r(|u| + M))^2}} \, ds \]
\[ \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( |u - u'| + \frac{|v - v'|}{r'(2 \max\{M, |u|\})} \right) \]
\[ \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( |u - u'| + \frac{|v - v'|}{mv'(\max\{M, |u|\})} \right) \] by Lemma \[ \[ ] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left( |u - u'| + \frac{1}{m} \min \left\{ \frac{|v - v'|}{r'(M)}, \frac{|v - v'|}{r'(u)} \right\} \right) \]
\[ \geq \frac{1}{2m} d(w, w') \] by the definition of \( M \).

\[ \square \]

3. The Quasisymmetric Equivalence of the Complex Plane and Generalized Grushin Planes

First we show that when proving the \( G_r \) spaces and the complex plane are quasisymmetrically equivalent, it suffices to consider the quasidistance instead of the Carnot-Carathéodory metric on the generalized Grushin planes.

**Lemma 3.** If \( w, a, b \in G \) are such that \( d_{CC}(w, a) \leq d_{CC}(w, b) \), then \( d(w, a) \leq C^2 d(w, b) \).

**Proof.** By our previous lemma, \( d(w, a) \leq C d_{CC}(w, a) \leq C d_{CC}(w, b) \) \( \leq C^2 d(w, b) \).

Recall the map \( \Phi : G \to \mathbb{C} \) by

\[ \Phi(u, v) = r(u) + iv. \]

We will eventually show \( \Phi \) is a quasisymmetry. Throughout our proof we will use the sup norm on \( \mathbb{C} \) so

\[ |\Phi(w) - \Phi(w')| = |(r(u) - r(u'), v - v')| = \max\{|r(u) - r(u')|, |v - v'|\}. \]

The following two lemmas describe how \( d(w, w') \) compares to \( |\Phi(w) - \Phi(w')| \). Note the dependence on the relative magnitudes of \( d(w, w') \) and the maximum distance of \( w \) and \( w' \) from the v-axis. This is unsurprising since the amount by which the metric on the Grushin plane is distorted from the Euclidean metric depends on a comparison between the same two quantities.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose \( w, w' \in G \) and \( \max\{|u|, |u'|\} \geq d(w, w') \). Then for some constant \( C_1 \)

\[ \frac{1}{C_1} |\Phi(w) - \Phi(w')| \leq d(w, w') \max\{r'(u), r'(u')\} \leq C_1 |\Phi(w) - \Phi(w')|. \]

**Proof.** Fix \( w, w' \) such that \( \max\{|u|, |u'|\} \geq d(w, w') \). Then \( \max\{|u|, |u'|\} \geq |u - u'| \), and thus \( uu' \geq 0 \). By the Mean Value Theorem and our conditions on \( r \), for some \( c \) between \( u \) and \( u' \) we have

\[ |r(u) - r(u')| = |u - u'| r'(c) \leq |u - u'| \max\{r'(u), r'(u')\} \leq |u - u'| \beta \max\left\{ \frac{r(u)}{u}, \frac{r(u')}{u'} \right\} \leq \beta |r(u) - r(u')|. \]
The last inequality holds since our conditions on \( r \) imply the function \( \frac{r(u)}{u} \) is increasing.

Also if \( M \leq \frac{|w - v|}{\max\{r(u), r(u')\}} \), then \( r'(M) \leq r'(d(w, w')) \leq \max\{r'(u), r'(u')\} \leq r'(M) \) which implies \( r'(M) = \max\{r'(u), r'(u')\} \) and thus \( M = \frac{|w - v|}{\max\{r(u), r(u')\}} \). Therefore we may assume \( M \geq \frac{|w - v|}{\max\{r(u), r(u')\}} \) and the lemma follows.

**Lemma 5.** Suppose \( w, w' \in G \) and \( \max\{|u|, |u'\| \} \leq d(w, w') \). Then for some constant \( C_2 \),

\[
\frac{1}{C_2} |\Phi(w) - \Phi(w')| \leq r'(d(w, w'))d(w, w') \leq C_2 |\Phi(w) - \Phi(w')|.
\]

**Proof.** Fix \( w, w' \) such that \( \max\{|u|, |u'\| \} \leq d(w, w') \). If \( d(w, w') = \frac{|w - v|}{\max\{r(u), r(u')\}} \), then

\[
r'(M) \leq \max\{r'(u), r'(u')\} \leq r'(d(w, w')) = r'\left(\frac{|w - v|}{\max\{r(u), r(u')\}}\right) \leq r'(M)
\]

which implies \( M = \frac{|w - v|}{\max\{r(u), r(u')\}} \). Thus \( d(w, w') = \max\{|u - u'|, M\} \).

We also have

\[
\frac{1}{2} |r(u) - r(u')| \leq \max\{|r(u)|, |r(u')|\} \leq \max\{r'(u)|u|, r'(u')|u'|\} \leq r'(d(w, w'))d(w, w').
\]

Furthermore by our hypothesis, if \( d(w, w') = |u - u'| \), we must have \( uu' \leq 0 \) which implies

\[
\begin{align*}
r'(d(w, w'))d(w, w') &= r'(u - u')|u - u'| \\
&= r'\left(2 \max\{|u|, |u'|\}\right)|u - u'| \\
&\leq m \max\{r'(u), r'(u')\}|u - u'| \text{ by Lemma 4} \\
&\leq m(r'(u) + r'(u'))|u - u'| \\
&= m|ur'(u) - u'r'(u') + ur'(u') - u'r'(u)| \\
&\leq 2m|ur'(u) - u'r'(u')| \\
&\leq 2m\beta|r(u) - r(u')|
\end{align*}
\]

where the last inequality holds because \( uu' \leq 0 \). The result follows.

Now we are able to show \( \Phi \) is a quasisymmetry. We actually only prove weak quasisymmetry, but this is equivalent to quasisymmetry for the spaces we are considering. For a proof of this equivalence the reader is referred to theorem 10.15 in [3].

**Theorem 2.** Suppose \( a, b \) and \( w \) are points in the \( r \)-Grushin plane such that \( d_{CC}(w, a) \leq d_{CC}(w, b) \). Then for some constant \( C(r) \) we have

\[
|\Phi(w) - \Phi(a)| \leq C(r)|\Phi(w) - \Phi(b)|.
\]

**Proof.** Fix \( a, b, w \in G \) such that \( d_{CC}(w, a) \leq d_{CC}(w, b) \). Then \( d(w, a) \leq C^2 d(w, b) \) by Lemma 3. We divide the proof into the following four cases:

**Case 1:** \( \max\{|u|, |a_1|\} \leq d(w, a) \) and \( \max\{|u|, |b_1|\} \leq d(w, b) \)
By Lemma 5
\[ |\Phi(w) - \Phi(a)| \leq C_2 r'(d(w, a)) d(w, a) \leq C_2 C^2 r'(C^2 d(w, b)) d(w, b) \leq C' |\Phi(w) - \Phi(b)| \]
where \( C' \) is such that \( C_2 C^2 r'(C^2 t) \leq C' r'(t) \). Such a \( C' \) can be found since \( r \) is doubling.

**Case 2:** \( \max\{|u|, |a_1|\} \leq d(w, a) \) and \( \max\{|u|, |b_1|\} \geq d(w, b) \)
This case is the same as Case 1 except one should use Lemma 4 instead of Lemma 5 at the end of the chain of inequalities.

The last two cases are slightly more complicated since first we must find ways to compare \( \max\{|u|, |a_1|\} \) with \( \max\{|b_1|, |u|\} \) and \( d(w, b) \). After these inequalities are obtained, the proofs follow similarly to those of the first two cases.

**Case 3:** \( \max\{|u|, |a_1|\} \geq d(w, a) \) and \( \max\{|u|, |b_1|\} \geq d(w, b) \)
Since \( d(w, a) \leq C^2 d(w, b) \), we have \( |a_1 - u| \leq C^2 d(w, b) \) and therefore \( |a_1| \leq |u| + C^2 d(w, b) \). Then we can obtain our desired comparison:
\[ \max\{|u|, |a_1|\} \leq \max\{|u|, |b_1|\} + C^2 d(w, b) \leq (1 + C^2) \max\{|u|, |b_1|\}. \]
Finally we have
\[
|\Phi(w) - \Phi(a)| \leq C_1 d(w, a) \max\{r'(u), r'(a_1)\} \\
 \leq C_1 C^2 d(w, b) r'(1 + C^2) \max\{|b_1|, |u|\} \\
 \leq C''' |\Phi(w) - \Phi(b)|
\]
where \( C''' \) is such that \( C_2 C^2 r'(1 + C^2) t \leq C''' r'(t) \).

**Case 4:** \( \max\{|u|, |a_1|\} \geq d(w, a) \) and \( \max\{|u|, |b_1|\} \leq d(w, b) \)
Similarly to the previous case \( d(w, a) \leq C^2 d(w, b) \) implies
\[ \max\{|u|, |a_1|\} \leq \max\{|u|, |b_1|\} + C^2 d(w, b) \leq (1 + C^2) d(w, b). \]
Thus
\[
|\Phi(w) - \Phi(a)| \leq C_1 d(w, a) \max\{r'(u), r'(a_1)\} \\
 \leq C_1 C^2 r'(1 + C^2) d(w, b) d(w, b) \\
 \leq C''' |\Phi(w) - \Phi(b)|
\]
where \( C''' \) is such that \( C_1 C_2 C^2 r'(1 + C^2) t \leq C''' r'(t) \). \( \square \)

Since we have shown that the \( r \)-Grushin plane is quasisymmetrically equivalent to \( C \), we may ask whether all of our restrictions on the homeomorphism \( r \) were necessary. The requirement that \( r' \) is even can almost certainly be eliminated, since it is mostly used to simplify the proof when dealing with \( w \) and \( w' \) on opposite sides of the \( v \)-axis. The following theorem demonstrates that the other major constraint on \( r \) is a necessary condition.
Theorem 3. Let \( r : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be a differentiable homeomorphism such that \( r'|_{[0, \infty)} \) and \( r'|_{(-\infty, 0]} \) are homeomorphisms, \( r(0) = 0 \), and \( \Phi \), as defined in (1) is quasisymmetric. Then there exists \( \beta > 1 \) such that for all \( u \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \)

\[
\frac{r(u)}{u} \leq r'(u) \leq \beta \frac{r(u)}{u}.
\]

Proof. Since \( \Phi \) is quasisymmetric, we have \( |u - u'| \leq |u - u''| \) implies \( |r(u) - r(u')| \leq \beta |r(u) - r(u'')| \) for some \( \beta > 1 \). If \( u \) is positive, by the Mean Value Theorem there exists \( c \in (0, u) \) such that \( r'(c) = \frac{r(u)}{u} \). Then since \( r' \) is increasing on \([0, \infty)\), we have \( r'(u) \geq r'(c) \) which gives \( r'(u) \geq \frac{r(u)}{u} \). To achieve an upper bound we again use the Mean Value Theorem except this time on the interval \([u, 2u]\). This gives

\[
r'(u) \leq \frac{r(2u) - r(u)}{u} \leq \beta \frac{r(u) - r(0)}{u} = \beta \frac{r(u)}{u}.
\]

The inequalities for negative \( u \) are proved in a similar manner. \( \square \)

4. An Analytic Definition of Quasisymmetry

In this section we will use conjugation by our quasisymmetry \( \Phi \) to develop an analytic definition of quasisymmetry in the \( r \)-Grushin plane.

For the next several results let \( g = (g_1, g_2) : G \to G \) be a homeomorphism and \( \Phi = \Phi_1 + i\Phi_2 \). We define \( f = f_1 + i f_2 : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \) to be the conjugation of \( g \) by \( \Phi \). In other words \( f = \Phi \circ g \circ \Phi^{-1} \). Let \( U = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \) and \( V = r'(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \) be the vector fields corresponding to our metric on the \( r \)-Grushin plane. We set \( \mu = \frac{Z_f}{Z_f} \), and to parallel the roles of \( Z \) and \( \overline{Z} \) we let \( W = \frac{1}{2}(U - iV) \) and \( W = \frac{1}{2}(U + iV) \). The next lemma demonstrates a relationship between \( \overline{Z_f} \) and \( \overline{W_f} \) where \( \tilde{g} : G \to \mathbb{C} \) is defined by \( \tilde{g} = \Phi \circ g = r(g_1) + ig_2 \). The following theorem is an analytic definition of quasisymmetry on the \( r \)-Grushin plane.

Lemma 6. Assuming \( f \) and \( g \) are sufficiently smooth so the derivatives below exist, we then have

\[
\overline{Wg} = \mu \circ \Phi.
\]

Proof. By the chain rule:

1. \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}|_{\Phi(u)} = \frac{1}{r(u)}U(r(g_1))|_w \)
2. \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}|_{\Phi(u)} = \frac{1}{r(u)}V(r(g_1))|_w \)
3. \( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}|_{\Phi(u)} = \frac{1}{r(u)}U(g_2)|_w \)
4. \( \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}|_{\Phi(u)} = \frac{1}{r(u)}V(g_2)|_w \)

and therefore

\[
\mu \circ \Phi = \frac{\overline{Z_f}}{\overline{Z_f}} \circ \Phi = \frac{U(r(g_1)) - V(g_2) + i(U(g_2) + V(r(g_1)))}{U(r(g_1)) + V(g_2) + i(U(g_2) - V(r(g_1)))} = \overline{Wg}.
\]

We require a definition of absolute continuity on lines in the \( r \)-Grushin plane before giving our theorem.

Definition 6. We say a function \( g : G \to G \) is absolutely continuous on lines if for every rectangle \( R = \{(u, v) : a < u < b, c < v < d\} \), \( g \) is absolutely continuous on a.e. interval \( I_u = \{u, v) : c < v < d\} \) and a.e. interval \( I_v = \{(u, v) : a < u < b\} \) where a.e. is with respect to Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 4. Suppose \( g : G \to G \) and define \( \tilde{g} = \Phi(g) \), \( W = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} - ir'(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}) \) and \( W = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + ir'(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}) \).

The map \( g \) is quasisymmetric if and only if \( g \) is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism that is absolutely continuous on lines, and satisfies the Grushin Beltrami equation for some \( \nu \) with \( ||\nu||_{\infty} < 1 \).
Proof. Suppose \( g \) is quasisymmetric. Then since \( \Phi \) is quasisymmetric, it follows that \( f \) is quasisymmetric and hence quasiconformal. So by the analytic definition of quasiconformality, the partial derivatives of \( f \) exist a.e. and where they exist

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}
\]

for some measurable \( \mu \) with \( ||\mu||_{\infty} < 1 \). Since each component of \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi^{-1} \) is differentiable except at the vertical axis, the partial derivatives of \( g \) exist a.e. which implies \( g \) is absolutely continuous on lines. Therefore \( Wg \) and \( Wg \) exist a.e. and by our lemma

\[
Wg = (\mu \circ \Phi)Wg.
\]

Since \( ||\mu||_{\infty} < 1 \) we have \( ||\nu||_{\infty} = ||\mu \circ \Phi||_{\infty} < 1 \). The proof of the converse is similar. \( \square \)

One would like to be able to replace quasisymmetry with quasiconformality in this theorem. It is a well known result that quasisymmetry implies quasiconformality [3]. However, the converse does not always occur, and so far we have been unable to either prove or disprove it for the \( r \)-Grushin plane. A partial answer to our question is in Theorem 5, where we will show that on certain domains \( \nu \) being identically zero implies \( g \) is conformal. The limitations on the domain arise when \( g \) does not preserve the singular line. We will discuss this following Theorem 5.

5. Conformal Mappings on the \( r \)-Grushin Planes

Since conformal mappings play a vital role in the study of quasiconformal mappings, it is of interest to us to find a useful characterization of them on the \( r \)-Grushin plane. We will first develop a definition of conformality on the \( r \)-Grushin plane from the definition of conformal mappings on Riemannian manifolds. This is appropriate since the \( r \)-Grushin plane is Riemannian everywhere except on the singular line. Throughout the rest of the section we will provide further justification for our definition by looking at the classical Beltrami definition of conformality, and an earlier paper by Payne [10].

Let \( M \) be a Riemannian manifold and \( g \) be a homeomorphism from \( M \) to \( M \). Recall \( g \) is conformal if the pull back of the Riemannian metric by \( g \) is equal to the metric multiplied by some positive function. The length element for our metric on \( G - \{ u = 0 \} \) is

\[
du^2 + \frac{dv^2}{(r'(u))^2}
\]

and its pullback by a function \( g : G \rightarrow G \) is

\[
\left[ (U(g_1))^2 + \frac{(U(g_2))^2}{(r'(g_1))^2} \right] du^2 + \frac{1}{(r'(u))^2} \left[ (V(g_1))^2 + \frac{(V(g_2))^2}{(r'(g_1))^2} \right] dv^2 + \frac{2}{r'(u)} \left[ U(g_1)V(g_1) + \frac{U(g_2)V(g_2)}{(r'(g_1))^2} \right] dudv.
\]

Thus we define conformality on the \( r \)-Grushin plane as follows:

**Definition 7.** A homeomorphism \( g = (g_1, g_2) : A \rightarrow B \) where \( A \) and \( B \) are domains in \( G \), is conformal provided that

\[
D_r g = \begin{pmatrix}
U(g_1) & V(g_1) \\
U'(g_2) & V'(g_2)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is defined and is a scalar multiple of an orthogonal matrix for every point in \( A - \{ u = 0 \} \). If \( u = 0 \) for some point \( w_0 \in A \) we require that

\[
\lim_{w \rightarrow w_0} D_r g(w)
\]
Theorem 5. Suppose classical Beltrami differential definition of conformality.

Condition (1) corresponds to exactly when

Proof. \( Df \) hence is identically zero on \( \nu \) is conformal on the domain \( A \). Therefore, 

Thus since \( f \) satisfies

Notice \( V(g_1) = \frac{U(g_2)}{r(g_1)} = 0 \) and \( V(g_2) = U(g_1) = \frac{|u|}{\sqrt{|u|^2 + 2|a|^2}} \), and thus for the classical Grushin plane \( D_r g \) is singular exactly on the line \( u = 0 \), and the pre-image under \( g \) of the line \( u = 0 \). Therefore \( g \) is only conformal on the Grushin plane on a domain excluding the singular line and the pre-image of the singular line. We will discuss what must happen for a homeomorphism to be conformal on the entire Grushin plane after the next theorem.

The following result shows that for most domains in \( G \) our description of conformality matches with the classical Beltrami differential definition of conformality.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose \( A \) and \( B \) are domains in \( G \). An orientation-preserving homeomorphism \( g : A \to B \), is conformal on the domain \( A' = A - \{(u, v) : u = 0 \text{ or } g_1(u, v) = 0\} \) if and only if the Beltrami differential \( \nu \) is identically zero on \( A' \) and \( g \) is quasisymmetric.

Proof. \( D_r g \) is orthogonal precisely when

(1) \( U(r(g_1)) = V(g_2) \) and \( V(r(g_1)) = -U(g_2) \), and

(2) \( D_r g \) is non-singular.

Condition (1) corresponds to exactly when \( \nabla \tilde{g} = 0 \). Then \( \nu = 0 \) which implies \( \mu = 0 \) by Lemma \([8]\) and hence \( Df \) satisfies \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{2f_x}{f} \) and \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\frac{2f_y}{f} \). The Jacobians of \( D_r g \) and \( Df \) are

\[
J(D_r g) = \frac{1}{r'(g_1)} (U(g_1)V(g_2) - U(g_2)V(g_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad J(Df) = \frac{r'(g_1)}{(r'(u))^2} (U(g_1)V(g_2) - U(g_2)V(g_1))|\Phi^{-1}(x, y)|.
\]

Thus since \( r' \) takes the value zero only at \( u = 0 \), \( J(D_r g) \) and \( J(Df) \circ \Phi \) are zero for the exact same values on \( A' \). Therefore, \( D_r g \) is non-singular precisely where \( Df \) is non-singular which by Theorem \([4]\) completes the proof.

The situation is more complicated if we include the singular line and its pre-image in our domain. For \( g \) to be conformal in such a domain,

\[
J(D_r g) = \frac{r'(u)}{r'(g_1)} \left( \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial u} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial v} \right)
\]

must be non-singular. Since we assume \( g \) is orientation-preserving, this occurs exactly when

\[
\lim_{u \to 0} \frac{r'(u)}{r'(g_1)}
\]

is finite and non-zero. Thus the singular line must map to itself.

This theorem also justifies our earlier work and in particular our selection of a relationship between the
quasisymmetry $\Phi$ and the vector fields on $G$. With other choices we do not have that $\nabla W \tilde{g} = 0$ when $g$ is conformal. For example, if we use Meyerson’s quasisymmetry

$$\Phi_M(u, v) = r_M(u) + iv = u|u| + iv$$

for the classical Grushin plane with vector fields $U = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and $V = |u|\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$ we do not have that $|u|$ is equal $r'_M(u)$. We compute

$$\nabla W \tilde{g} = \frac{1}{2}(U(g_1|g_1|) - V(g_2) + i(U(g_2) + V(g_1|g_1|)))$$

Also we can use the same method as described at the beginning of this section to say, since $g$ is a homeomorphism on the classical Grushin plane, $g$ is conformal exactly when

$$\begin{pmatrix}
  U(g_1) \\
  U(g_2) \\
  V(g_1|g_1|) \\
  V(g_2|g_1|)
\end{pmatrix}$$

is equal to an orthogonal matrix multiplied by a positive function. (We make the same condition as in previous definitions of conformality for when $u = 0$.) Thus if $g$ is conformal, we must have $|g_1|U(g_1) = V(g_2)$ and $|g_1|V(g_1) = -U(g_2)$ which implies $U(g_1|g_1|) = 2V(g_2)$ and $V(g_1|g_1|) = -2U(g_2)$. Hence we are not guaranteed that $\nabla W \tilde{g} = 0$ for conformal mappings.

To the best of the author’s knowledge the only earlier discussion of conformal mappings on the Grushin plane is in a paper by Payne [17]. He defines a sequence of flows and states that the time-$s$ maps induced by the solutions to any of the flows are conformal maps on the Grushin plane. Here we will look at a generalization of Payne’s flows and show that their solutions induce conformal maps on the $r$-Grushin plane.

In the following calculations $x$ and $y$ will be formal variables and $u$ and $v$ will be the Grushin coordinates as before. First we define a sequence of functions of $x$ and $y$, $(\xi_k(x, y), \eta_k(x, y)), k \in \mathbb{N}$ by $(\xi_1, \eta_1) = (0, 1),

$$(\xi_2, \eta_2) = \left( \frac{r(x)}{r'(x)}, y \right),$$

and the functions given inductively by

$$(\xi_k, \eta_k) = (2\xi_{k-1}\eta_{k-1}, \eta_{k-1}^2 - (r'(x)\xi_{k-1})^2) \text{ for } k \geq 3.$$ 

The flows we will be solving are the autonomous differential equations:

$$\left( \frac{\partial x_k}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial y_k}{\partial s} \right) = (\xi_k(x_k, y_k), \eta_k(x_k, y_k))$$

where $x_k = x_k(s, u, v)$ and $y_k = y_k(s, u, v)$ are functions of $u, v$ and a time parameter $s$. We will let $g_k$ denote $(x_k, y_k)$. In other words $g_k = (x_k, y_k) : [0, \infty) \times G \to G$. When $r = \frac{1}{2}u|u|$, these flows agree with Payne’s flows up to a normalization. We will show that each time $s$ map associated with a solution with initial condition $x_k(0, u, v) = u$ and $y_k(0, u, v) = v$, is a conformal map on some domain in the $r$-Grushin plane.

One can easily compute the solutions to the first two flows $g_1 = (u, v + s)$, and $g_2 = (r^{-1}(r(u)e^s), ve^s)$, and check that the time-$s$ maps satisfy our definition of conformality. The first solution gives vertical shifts by $s$. In the classical Grushin plane ($r = \frac{1}{2}u|u|$) the second solution gives dilations by a factor of $e^{s/2}$.

To solve the remaining equations we will use the following auxiliary functions:

$$\Phi(x, y) = r(x) + iy \text{ and } b_k(x, y) = r'(x)\xi_k(x, y) + i\eta_k(x, y)$$.
Recall $x$ and $y$ are formal variables. We are interested in $b_k$ because

(2) \[ b_k \circ g_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\Phi \circ g_k). \]

We will then find a non-iterative way of expressing $b_k(x, y)$ for each $k$ value and finally integrate $b_k \circ g_k$ to solve for $\Phi \circ g_k$. We choose to solve for $\Phi \circ g_k$ instead of solving for $g_k$ directly, because this is a far easier task as will be evident when the reader sees the solutions in a moment. One can compute

\[ b_k(x, y) = -i(b_{k-1}(x, y))^2 \text{ for } k \geq 4 \]

and

\[ b_3(x, y) = -i\Phi(x, y)^2 \]

by applying the definitions of $b_k$, $\xi_k$ and $\eta_k$. Thus by induction we obtain

\[ b_k(x, y) = i(-i\Phi(x, y))^\alpha \text{ where } \alpha = 2^{k-2}. \]

Then by equation (2) we have the following differential equations

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\Phi \circ g_k) = i(-i(\Phi \circ g_k))^\alpha. \]

Recall our initial condition on $g_k = (x_k, y_k)$ was $x_k(0, u, v) = u$ and $y_k(0, u, v) = v$. So our initial condition is now $b(x_k(0, u, v), y_k(0, u, v)) = r(u) + iv$. Finally we obtain the solutions

\[ \Phi \circ g_k(s, u, v) = \frac{r(u) + iv}{(1 - \alpha)[i(r(u) + iv)]^{\alpha-1}s + 1}^{1/\alpha}. \]

Let $g_k^s : G \to G$ denote the map $g_k$ for some fixed time $s$. We will show for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $s \in [0, \infty)$, $g_k^s$ is conformal on some domain in the r-Grushin plane. For $k \in \{1, 2\}$, $g_k^s$ is conformal on the entire plane. For $k \geq 4$, $g_k^s$ is conformal on some domain limited by a branch cut. For example when $k = 4$ we can see that when $s \neq 0$ then we find that $g_4^s$ is conformal on the domain

\[ G - \left\{ \Phi^{-1}(z) : \arg(z) \in \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{7\pi}{6}, \frac{11\pi}{6} \right\}, \left| z \right| > \left( \frac{1}{3s} \right)^{1/3} \right\}. \]

In general $g_k^s$ will be conformal on a domain with $\alpha - 1$ cuts when $k \geq 4$. We will discuss the case of $k = 3$ after we prove conformality.

To prove each $g_k^s$ for $k \geq 3$ is conformal we look at the function

\[ f_k^s = \Phi \circ g_k^s \circ \Phi^{-1}(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - \alpha)[i\lambda^{\alpha-1}s + 1]^{\alpha-1}}. \]

Thus

\[ \frac{\partial f_k^s}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0, \]

and hence $f_k^s$ is conformal. Then by Lemma 6, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, $g_k^s$ is conformal.

Earlier we noted that in the classical Grushin plane $g_1^s$ and $g_2^s$ were the familiar Grushin translations and dilations. Now we can see that $g_3^s$ comes from a composition of translations, dilations and an inversion. We have

\[ f_3^s = \Phi \circ g_3^s \circ \Phi^{-1} = \frac{z}{1 + i\lambda s} = \lambda^{-1} \circ I_E \circ \lambda \]

where $\lambda(z) = zs - i$, $\Phi(u, v) = \frac{1}{2}u|u| + iv$ and $I_E$ is the Euclidean inversion $z \to 1/\bar{z}$.
The family of maps generated by \( f_3 \) is not entirely satisfactory since as \( s \) goes to infinity \( f_3^s \) degenerates to the zero map. The slightly different family of maps \( f_3^s(z) = \frac{1+is}{1+is} \), goes to an inversion map as \( s \) goes to infinity which is the behavior we would expect.

We now have several different descriptions of conformal mappings in the r-Grushin plane. We hope this will help us to develop a geometric definition of quasiconformal mappings. If this is possible, we plan to compare the geometric definition with the analytic and metric definitions, and determine when and in what ways they are equivalent. We would like to replicate as much as possible of the theory of quasiconformal mappings in the complex plane. Eventually we hope this work will provide insights into the theory of quasiconformal mappings on other non-equiregular spaces.
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