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Abstract
The implementation of the Republic Act No. 11203 mandates the development of a just and appropriate agricultural mechanization strategy. Thus, this study is conceptualized to calculate the rice machinery requirement and the mechanization level of La Union, Philippines. The utilization rate of existing machinery considers 100% and 70% in case the calculation is surplus. Furthermore, this aims to validate if there is no machinery requirement despite the 30% decrease in utilization rate. Hence, the results have revealed a need for 674 units of riding-type transplanters, 31 units of a rice combine harvester, 422 units of recirculating dryers, and 61 units of multi-pass rice mills. The rice mechanization level is moderately mechanized. However, only tillage operation is moderately mechanized; the transplanting, harvesting, drying and milling are low mechanized. These findings could serve as bases in the formulation of policies and strategies prioritizing suitable areas for machinery intervention in the province.
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1.0 Introduction
Rice (Oryza sativa) is the main staple and most valuable crop in the Philippines ("FAO Regional Rice Initiative", n.d.; Casinillo, 2020). Its cultivation provides livelihood to 2.5 million households having 2.1 million farmers, 110,000 workers for postproduction activities, and 320,000 workers for supplementary activities. The country is known as the eighth-largest rice producer globally, producing 19.07 MT in 2018. However, despite this production volume, it is one of the largest importers, importing 0.89 MMT of rice or ₱18.03 billion in 2017 (Philippine Statistics Authority [PSA], 2018). From 2000 to 2015, the difference between total milled rice production and total milled rice consumption was -1,642,750 MT (Exconde, 2016). It is attributed to the growing population from 88,546,543 to 100,979,903 with a growth rate of 1.7% annually (PSA, 2020).

The increasing population demands an increase in production (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [FAO], 2017). With this, fast-tracking agricultural development through agricultural mechanization could be one intervention (Emami et al., 2018). However, the mechanization level in 2011 for rice and corn was 2.31 hp/ha, of which human labor is 0.39 hp/ha, draft animals are 0.39 hp/ha, and mechanical
power is 1.77 hp/ha. Adding all staple crops, the mechanization level decreases at 1.23 hp/ha (Dela Cruz & Bobier, 2016). This current mechanization level is low mechanized compared to other countries like India and China at 3.88 and 2.55 hp/ha (Hegazy & Okasha, 2020).

Postharvest losses also contribute to the problems of increasing rice sufficiency. From the research conducted by PHilMech, the postharvest losses could reach as high as 14.84% of the total production (Regalado & Ramos, 2018). These losses emanate from manual harvesting of 1.81%, piling of 0.54%, threshing of 2.17%, drying of 4.5%, and storage of 2.72%. Another is from the research collaborated by PhilRice and PHilMech from 2007 to 2009, postharvest losses from harvesting, piling, threshing, drying, and milling operations could reach as high as 14.42% during the dry season and higher during the wet season of 14.84%.

Aside from low mechanization levels, there is also an unstoppable trend in the shortage of manual labor and increasing labor costs. In 1995-1996, the number of agricultural laborers exceeded, however, declines in 1997. And since 2011, the number of laborers declines consistently in an average of 250,000 workers per year (Briones, 2017). Farmers educate their children and encourage them to work on non-farming jobs in urban areas or abroad (Palis, 2020). With this, the average age of rice farmers was 59 years old in 2012 from 46 years old in 1966 (Moya et al., 2015). This problem of aging farmers could later contribute to the shortage of labor in the coming years.

To mitigate these concerns, the government had formulated laws to increase productivity and sustainability in production. One of these laws is the Republic Act No. 11203, which established the Rice Competitiveness Enhancement Fund (RCEF), allocating financial support annually of ₱10-billion for the next six years beginning 2019 (National Economic and Development Authority [NEDA], 2019). Moreover, to provide a just and appropriate mechanization strategy, there is a need to calculate machinery requirements and mechanization levels. Hence, failure to recognize the actual needs of the farmers results in the non-adoption of machines. Also, unsuitability and adopting bigger size and capacity of the machinery are against the principles of economies of scale (Philippine Council for Agriculture, Forestry, and Natural Resources Research and Development [PCARRD], 2009).

The entry and backbone of machinery deployment is the selective use of mechanization technologies for better productivity (Amare & Endalew, 2016). Thus, a need to develop a strategy calculating the rice machinery requirement as a basis for machinery deployment is necessary. As such, this study aims to determine the rice machinery requirement in La Union, Philippines, as a basis for prioritizing machinery deployment. Specifically, it seeks to answer the following specific objectives: (1) to describe the agricultural profile in the province; (2) to determine the rice farming operations needing machinery deployment; and (3) to calculate the mechanization level in the Province of La Union.

The results of this study purposely aim to assist the policy implementers, the Department of Agriculture- Regional Field Office 1, as well as the Provincial and Municipal Agriculturist Offices in the La Union in formulating mechanization strategy of prioritizing areas needing machinery deployment that would later contribute to the increase in the volume of production, improving farmers’ lives, and achieving food security.

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of the study used the mechanization level as one of the quantifiable methods by which the mechanization degree of the different farming operations within a
limit can be evaluated (Hegazy & Okasha, 2020). The mechanization level is the total number of mechanized field operations and the mechanical power used in the unit of field operation expressed in hp/ha (Soni & Ou, 2010). The machinery requirement calculation was from Sharabiani and Ranjbar (2008), which calculates the number of tractors and other machinery using the inverse procedure of mechanization level calculation. The mechanization level equation in hp/ha adopted from Maheshwari and Tripathi (2019) is expressed as:

$$\text{Mechanization level} = \frac{\text{Total power, hp}}{\text{Total production area, ha}}$$

$$\text{Total power of existing tractors (hp)} = (\text{Average nominal power of one tractor} \times \text{Total number of existing tractors})$$

$$\text{Total real power of tractors} = (\text{Total power of existing tractors, hp} \times \text{conversion coefficient of 0.75}).$$

More recent models estimating mechanization indicators are the Mechanization Index (MI) and Mechanical Energy Ratio (MER). To use these models, the data from the different farming operations gathered from farmers, machinery suppliers, researchers, and government officials will be utilized (Ramirez et al., 2007).

2.0 Research Design and Methodology

The study utilized the descriptive research and employed the quantitative approach in identifying the agricultural profile, determining the rice machinery requirement, and the mechanization level in the province of La Union as a basis for prioritizing machinery deployment. Specifically, request letters were distributed to gather rice machinery inventory data and agricultural profiles in the Province of La Union. Correspondingly, the quantitative methods and descriptive statistics in the analysis and presentation of results were used.

Instrumentation and Data Collection

The data were gathered from the different agencies through forwarding of request letters with attached benchmarking data sheet to concerned agencies. The collection datasheet to the National Irrigation Administration (NIA) specified the 2019 rice production area in hectares (ha) for irrigated and non-irrigated/rainfed areas per municipality in the province. The Department of Agriculture - Regional Field Office 1 (DA-RFO1) specified the updated data for the 2019 annual rice production in metric tons/year for dry basis and wet basis production per municipality. The Office of the Department of Agriculture - Philippine Center for Postharvest Development and Mechanization (DA-PhilMech) specified the 2018 provincial machinery inventory per field operations per municipality; such as, tillage operation for the number of available units for four-wheel tractor and hand tractor; transplanting operation for walk-behind type transplanter and riding type transplanter; harvesting operation for combine harvester, thresher, and reaper; drying operation for the recirculating dryer, flatbed dryer, and Multi-Purpose Drying Pavement (MPDP); and milling operation for single-pass rice mill and multi-pass rice mill.

Data Analysis

The analysis was largely dependent on the secondary data collected, tabulated, and finalized for calculation. The calculation of farming operations needing mechanization used two (2) croppings per year. The available production area was projected to be planted all year round. Also, all the existing rice machineries were assumed to be
functional or utilized all year round. The utilization rate calculation of machinery was at 100%. This was done to calculate the number of deficit/needed and surplus agricultural machinery of the province at the maximum number of units. However, in the case of surplus machinery, it was also calculated at a 70% utilization rate. Purposely, this aimed to validate if there is no machinery deployment despite the 30% decrease in the utilization rate of existing machinery.

**Table 1. Parameters used in the calculation of rice machinery requirement and mechanization level in the province**

| Sources of Power          | S            | W            | TFC         | FE | Operating Time | Annual Operation | Average Available Power |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                           | km/hr & MT/day* | m& hr       | ha/hr & MT/hr* | %  | hr/day         | days/yr          | HP                     |
| Human                     |              |              |             |     |                |                    | 0.1                    |
| Four-Wheel Tractor        | 6.0          | 1.5          | 0.72        | 0.8 | 8              | 60                | 52.5                   |
| Hand Tractor              | 4.0          | 1.2          | 0.38        | 0.9 | 8              | 60                | 9.5                    |
| Walk-Behind Transplanter | 3.0          | 1.2          | 0.29        | 0.9 | 8              | 60                | 5.5                    |
| Riding-type Transplanter | 3.0          | 1.5          | 0.36        | 0.8 | 8              | 60                | 5.5                    |
| Thresher                  |              |              | 1.0*        | 0.74| 8              | 60                | 16.0                   |
| Reaper                    | 2.0          | 1.5          | 1.1*        | 0.65| 8              | 60                | 5.0                    |
| Combine Harvester         | 5.58         | 1.9          | 3.88*       | 0.75| 8              | 60                | 60.0                   |
| Recirculating Dryer       | 6.0*         | 6.0*         | 1.0*        | 0.75| 8              | 60                | 12.5                   |
| Flatbed Dryer             | 6.0*         | 12.7*        | 0.5*        | 0.75| 12             | 60                | 12.5                   |
| Multi-Purpose Drying Pavement | 4.0*     | 12.7*        | 0.3*        | 0.75| 6              | 60                | -                      |
| Single-Pass Rice Mill     |              |              | 0.7*        | 0.65| 12             | 120               | 20.0                   |
| Multi-Pass Rice Mill      |              |              | 1.7*        | 0.75| 12             | 120               | 70.0                   |

**Sources:**
- Human- Makange (2015)
- Field Efficiency- PAES 109: 2000 for walking-type agricultural tractor, PAES 118:2001 for four-wheel tractor; PAES 151:2015 for rice transplanter; PAES 212:2015 for rice reaper, PAES 204:2015 for rice thresher; PAES 224:2015 for combine harvester; PAES 201:2015 for dryers; and PAES 206:2015 for rice mills (University of the Philippines Los Banos. College of Engineering and Agro-Industrial Technology. Agricultural Machinery Testing and Evaluation Center, 2000; 2001; 2015).
- Average Available Power – Department of Agriculture (2019)
The parameters used in the calculation of rice machinery requirement are shown in Table 1. The operating speed (S) in km/hr, input capacity of none moving machinery in metric tons/day, and working width (W) of moving machinery expressed theoretically, assuming that the machine is working at 100% efficiency. However, due to time efficiency such as turning time on headlands, maintenance time, repair time (if any), operator’s personal time, the machine is not operating at 100% efficiency (American Society of Agricultural Engineers [ASAE], 2000). Thus, the field efficiency (FE) stated in PAES is adopted in the calculation. The operating time in hrs/day is assumed to be the maximum working time per day. The annual operation in days/year considers the practice of two (2) cropping's/year multiplied it to operation days per cropping. The drying time is the duration that rice dried from 28% moisture content (Fresh Weight) to 14% moisture content (Dry Weight) (Ramos et al., 2013). The moisture reduction of 14% MC is the desirable requirement for milling. The annual operating time was based on the rice planting calendar in the province and assumed to be the maximum duration for the harvesting, drying, and milling of the total production.

For the step-by-step mechanization level calculation expressed in hp/ha, the following utilized Equations are 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5. Equation 1, the Effective Field Capacity, EFC, is the actual rate of operation. It is usually lower than the Theoretical Field Capacity (TFC) due to delays during field operation (Hanna, 2016). The EFC considers the non-operating time of the machine. Equation 2 is the yearly machine capacity/annual machine capacity, expressed in ha is the total area operated for moving machinery (ha/yr.) and volume of rice dried and milled of non-moving machinery (MT/yr.). Equation 3, TEC in hectares, is the capacity of total existing machinery utilized in the province per field operation. The TEC computed per machine, then per operation considering the yearly machine capacity or Yc.

\[
EFC = \frac{SWEff}{C} \tag{1}
\]

where:
- \( EFC \) = Effective Field Capacity, ha/hr
- \( S \) = Speed of operation, km/hr
- \( W \) = Working width, m
- \( Eff \) = Efficiency (Efficiency of machinery based on PAES)
- \( C \) = Conversion factor, 10

Equation 4 is the deficit and surplus machinery (D/S) expressed in the number of units needed or surplus in the province. The calculated surplus machinery is the number of existing machinery that is not fully utilized considering the production area. If the calculation is surplus, it means that intervention of machinery is not encouraged. Equation 5 adopted from Sharabiani and Ranjbar (2008) is the mechanization level, expressed in hp/ha, which is the number of mechanized field operations and the power of machines employed in the unit of field operation.

The calculated mechanization level in hp/ha was expressed according to the three (3) classifications of mechanization adopted from Bermudez et al. (2004). The following are:

a. low mechanized if the calculated mechanization level is at 0.1 to 0.9 hp/ha,

b. moderate mechanized if the calculated mechanization level is 0.9 to 3.0 hp/ha, and;

c. high mechanized if the calculated mechanization level is 3.0 to 5.5 hp/ha.

The low mechanized level means operation with the use of non-mechanical power such as man and animal; moderate mechanized means operation with non-mechanical and mechanical sources; and high mechanized means operation solely with the mechanical sources or operation with limited human intervention such as computerized robots (Amongo et al., 2011).
\[ Y_c = EFC \times OP_s \times EFC_s \times S_y \]  
where:
- \( Y_c \) = Yearly Machine Capacity, ha
- \( EFC \) = Effective Field Capacity, ha/hr
- \( OP_s \) = Operating Days per Cropping, days/cropping
- \( EFC_s \) = Effective Field Capacity per cropping, ha/cropping
- \( S_y \) = Number of Cropping Season per Year, cropping/yr

\[ TEC = (N_1 \times Y_1) + \ldots + (N_n \times Y_n) \]  
where:
- \( TEC \) = Total Existing Capacity per Field Operation, ha
- \( N_i \) = Number Existing Units of Four-Wheel Tractor
- \( Y_1 \) = Yearly machine capacity of Four-Wheel Tractor, ha
- \( N_n \) = Nth number of existing units of machine
- \( Y_n \) = Nth number of yearly machine capacity, ha

\[ D/S = (TPA - TEC) / Y_c \]  
where:
- \( D/S \) = Total Number of Needed or Surplus Machinery, number of units
- \( TPA \) = Total Production Area, ha
- \( TEC \) = Total Existing Capacity per Field Operation, ha
- \( Y_c \) = Yearly Machine Capacity, ha

\[ M_L = \left( \frac{TPA + H}{TPA} \right) \]  
where:
- \( M_L \) = Mechanization Level, hp/ha
- \( TPA \) = Total Power of Available/Existing Machines, hp
- \( H \) = Total Human Power, hp
- \( TPA \) = Total Production Area, ha

### 3.0 Results

**Agricultural Profile of the Province of La Union**

The province of La Union is in the northeastern part of Ilocos Region comprising of 19 municipalities and one (1) city: Agoo, Aringay, Bacnotan, Bagulin, Balaoan, Bangar, Bauang, Burgos, Caba, Luna, Naguilian, Pugo, Rosario, City of San Fernando, San Gabriel, San Juan, Sto. Tomas, Santol, Sudipen, and Tubao. It has a total land area of 150,400 ha and a total population of 786,653. It belongs to Type 3 climate with two distinct seasons, dry and wet that is cooler from December to February and hottest from April to May. The provincial economy depends on agriculture planting crops such as rice, onion, tobacco, corn, sugarcane, fruits, and livestock raising of pigs, chicken, goats, and carabaos.

Rice is the major crop, with a total production area of 29,204 ha. The irrigated area is only 15,380 ha while the non-irrigated or rainfed is 13,824 ha. The number of farmers cultivating rice is 44,929 that is 5.71% of the population. The annual volume of production on a wet basis is 188,318.85 MT (162,050.47 MT dry basis), equivalent to 38.52% of the annual rice production in Region 1 of 488,855 MT.

The total number of existing rice machinery is 3,314 units of tillage operation, specifically 2,979 units of hand tractor and 335 units of the four-wheel tractor. The transplanting has a total of only 12 units of transplanters. The harvesting operation has 824 units of thresher, 55 units of the reaper, and 17 units of the combine harvester. For drying operations, the machinery utilized includes 111 units of Multi-Purpose Drying Pavement (MPDP), 52 units of the flatbed dryer, and one (1) unit of the recirculating dryer. For milling operation, it has a total of four (4) units single-pass rice mill.
Rice Farming Operation Needing Machinery Deployment

Tillage Operation Machinery Requirement

The tillage operation in the province is purely mechanically powered that no animal is involved. From the result, at a 100% utilization rate, as shown in Table 2, most of the municipalities have a surplus of hand tractors totaling 2,849 units. The nine (9) municipalities have surplus four-wheel tractors totaling 132 units, while San Gabriel and Santol have a deficit of 18 units of four-wheel tractors. The other eight (8) municipalities and the City of San Fernando have surpluses of four-wheel tractors. After decreasing the utilization rate at 70%, the number of tillage machinery is still surplus of 45 units of four-wheel tractors and 1,915 units of hand tractor. However, the three (3) municipalities, Aringay, San Gabriel, and Santol, have a total deficit of 23 units of four-wheel tractors.

Table 2. Tillage operation machinery requirement at 100% and 70% utilization rate

| Municipality          | Capacity of Existing Machinery (ha/yr) | Production Area (ha/yr) | Four Wheel Tractor | Hand Tractor | Capacity of Existing Machinery (ha) | Four Wheel Tractor | Hand Tractor |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Agoo                  | 40,193.28                             | 2,974                   | 0                  | 317          | 28,135.30                          | 0                  | 215          |
| Aringay               | 3,207.17                              | 2,820                   | 0                  | 4            | 2,245.02                           | /3/                | 0            |
| Bacnotan              | 46,642.18                             | 3,766                   | 46                 | 284          | 32,649.52                          | 27                 | 199          |
| Bagulin               | 14,805.50                             | 1492                    | 0                  | 114          | 10,363.85                          | 0                  | 76           |
| Balaoan               | 46,946.30                             | 3,744                   | 52                 | 276          | 32,862.41                          | 31                 | 194          |
| Bangar                | 15,455.23                             | 3,832                   | 1                  | 98           | 10,818.66                          | 0                  | 60           |
| Bauang                | 6,759.94                              | 3,444                   | 3                  | 24           | 4,731.96                           | 0                  | 11           |
| Burgos                | 3,055.10                              | 1538                    | 0                  | 13           | 2,138.57                           | 0                  | 6            |
| Caba                  | 7,658.50                              | 2,302                   | 1                  | 44           | 5,360.95                           | 0                  | 27           |
| Luna                  | 33,426.43                             | 3,620                   | 0                  | 254          | 23,398.50                          | 0                  | 169          |
| Naguilian             | 63,389.95                             | 4,380                   | 28                 | 453          | 44,372.97                          | 14                 | 318          |
| Pugo                  | 4,582.66                              | 1520                    | 0                  | 27           | 3,207.86                           | 0                  | 15           |
| Rosario               | 25,781.76                             | 4,112                   | 0                  | 185          | 18,047.23                          | 0                  | 119          |
| San Fernando City     | 6,324.48                              | 3,576                   | 0                  | 24           | 4,427.14                           | 0                  | 8            |
| San Gabriel           | 470.02                                | 2,136                   | /9/                | 0            | 329.01                             | /9/                | 0            |
| San Juan              | 10,139.90                             | 3,851                   | 2                  | 51           | 7,097.93                           | 0                  | 28           |
| Sto. Tomas            | 18,572.54                             | 2,154                   | 0                  | 141          | 13,000.78                          | 0                  | 93           |
| Santol                | 352.51                                | 2,074                   | /9/                | 0            | 246.76                             | /10/               | 0            |
| Sudipen               | 44,402.69                             | 2,848                   | 13                 | 332          | 31,081.88                          | 0                  | 233          |
| Tubao                 | 27,343.87                             | 2,260                   | 4                  | 208          | 19,140.71                          | 0                  | 144          |
| **Total**             | **419,510.02**                        | **58,408**              | **132 and /18/**   | **2,849**    | **293,657.01**                     | **45 and /23/**    | **1,915**    |
Transplanting Operation Machinery Requirement

The transplanting operation in the province is mostly done manually. From the result, at 100% utilization rate the province is in need of 674 units of riding-type transplanter as shown in Table 3. The transplanting machinery deficiency is high because the ten (10) municipalities do not have existing transplanting machinery. Even some of the municipalities have existing units of transplanters, still, all municipalities are deficit with planting machinery. The results imply deployment of planting machinery to all municipalities.

Table 3. Transplanting operation machinery requirement at 100% utilization rate

| Municipality       | Capacity of Existing Machinery (ha/yr) | Production Area (ha/yr) | Riding-type Transplanter |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Agoo               | 117.3                                  | 2,974                   | /34/                     |
| Aringay            | 0                                      | 2,820                   | /33/                     |
| Bacnotan           | 117.3                                  | 3,766                   | /43/                     |
| Bagulin            | 0                                      | 1492                    | /18/                     |
| Baloaan            | 138.24                                 | 3,744                   | /43/                     |
| Bangar             | 372.84                                 | 3,832                   | /42/                     |
| Bauang             | 0                                      | 3,444                   | /40/                     |
| Burgos             | 0                                      | 1538                    | /18/                     |
| Caba               | 0                                      | 2,302                   | /27/                     |
| Luna               | 0                                      | 3,620                   | /42/                     |
| Naguilian          | 138.24                                 | 4,380                   | /50/                     |
| Pugo               | 0                                      | 1520                    | /18/                     |
| Rosario            | 117.3                                  | 4,112                   | /47/                     |
| San Fernando City  | 117.3                                  | 3,576                   | /41/                     |
| San Gabriel        | 0                                      | 2,136                   | /25/                     |
| San Juan           | 0                                      | 3,816                   | /45/                     |
| Sto. Tomas         | 138.24                                 | 2,154                   | /24/                     |
| Santol             | 0                                      | 2,074                   | /25/                     |
| Sudipen            | 117.3                                  | 2,848                   | /33/                     |
| Tubao              | 117.3                                  | 2,260                   | /26/                     |
| **Total**          | **1,491.36**                           | **58,408**              | **/674/**                |

Harvesting Operation Machinery Requirement

From the result of 100% utilization rate, as shown in Table 4, the 14 municipalities were found to have 595 units surplus of threshers. On the other hand, for the City of San Fernando and Bauang, Burgos, San Gabriel, San Juan, and Sto. Tomas have a deficit of 20 units of rice combine harvesters. Furthermore, given the scenario of 70% utilization, the province is still surplus of 327 surplus units of threshers. However, 31 units of rice combine harvesters for the City of San Fernando, Aringay, Bagulin, Bauang, Burgos, Caba, San Gabriel, San Juan, and Sto. Tomas are needed. With these, only municipalities with deficit harvesting machinery are for deployment.
Table 4. Harvesting operation machinery requirement at 100% and 70% utilization rate

| Municipality | 100% Utilization Rate | 70% Utilization Rate |
|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|
|              | Capacity of Existing Machinery (MT) | Volume of Production (MT/year) | Combine harvester | Thresher | Capacity of Existing Machinery (MT) | Combine harvester | Thresher |
| Agoo         | 52,009.31              | 14,763.06            | 0                 | 78       | 36,406.52                         | 0                 | 46       |
| Aringay      | 10,226.77              | 10,979.80            | 0                 | 16       | 7,158.74                          | /3/               | 0        |
| Bacnotan     | 37,919.80              | 11,747.66            | 0                 | 55       | 26,543.86                         | 0                 | 31       |
| Bagulin      | 4,524.40               | 3,642.55             | 0                 | 2        | 3,167.08                          | /1/               | 0        |
| Balaon       | 36,146.77              | 9,826.14             | 0                 | 55       | 25,302.74                         | 0                 | 33       |
| Bangar       | 37,515.58              | 13,468.88            | 0                 | 51       | 26,260.91                         | 0                 | 27       |
| Bauang       | 1,920.00               | 7,317.90             | /4/               | 0        | 1,344.00                          | /5/               | 0        |
| Burgos       | 3,360.00               | 4,944.50             | /2/               | 0        | 2,352.00                          | /2/               | 0        |
| Caba         | 8,773.21               | 6,267.85             | 0                 | 6        | 6,141.25                          | /1/               | 0        |
| Luna         | 29,484.40              | 11,720.54            | 0                 | 38       | 20,639.08                         | 0                 | 19       |
| Naguilian    | 51,662.52              | 15,635.35            | 0                 | 76       | 36,163.76                         | 0                 | 43       |
| Pugo         | 22,080.00              | 6,768.45             | 0                 | 32       | 15,456.00                         | 0                 | 19       |
| Rosario      | 41,986.94              | 13,859.43            | 0                 | 59       | 29,390.86                         | 0                 | 33       |
| San Fernando City | 3,360.00      | 8,781.36             | /4/               | 0        | 2,352.00                          | /5/               | 0        |
| San Gabriel  | 6,133.71               | 7,458.57             | /1/               | 0        | 4,293.60                          | /3/               | 0        |
| San Juan     | 10,022.37              | 17,476.79            | /6/               | 0        | 7,015.66                          | /8/               | 0        |
| Sto. Tomas   | 25,920.00              | 3,651.28             | /3/               | 0        | 0                                 | /3/               | 0        |
| Santol       | 0                      | 6,093.68             | 0                 | 42       | 18,144.00                         | 0                 | 26       |
| Sudipen      | 19,688.97              | 7,676.90             | 0                 | 26       | 13,782.28                         | 0                 | 13       |
| Tubao        | 35,106.60              | 7,120.17             | 0                 | 59       | 24,574.62                         | 0                 | 46       |
| **Total**    | **437,841.36**         | **188,318.85**       | **/20/**          | **595**  | **306,488.95**                     | **/31/**          | **327** |

Drying and Milling Operations Machinery Requirement

The drying and milling operations in the province do not have sufficient machinery. The result shown in Table 5 reveals that the province is in need of 422 units of recirculating dryers and 61 units multi-pass rice mills. The need for machinery is high because some of the municipalities do not have existing drying and milling machinery. The results reveal that only 20% of the total rice production undergone machinery drying. The farmers immediately sell to the middlemen, traders, and private dryer operators.

Overall, the rice machinery requirement as a basis for prioritizing machinery deployment to be recommended for the policy implementer, the Agricultural Regional and Municipal Offices in the Province of La Union, is shown in Fig. 1. A total of 674 units of riding-type transplanters, 31 units of a rice combine harvester, 422 units of recirculating dryers, and 61 units of multi-pass rice mill is required for deployment.
**Table 5.** Drying and milling operations machinery requirement at 100% utilization rate

| Municipality | Capacity of Existing Machinery (MT) | Volume of Production (MT/year) | Recirculating Dryer | Capacity of Existing Machinery, MT | Volume of Production MT/year | Single-Pass Rice Mill | Multi-Pass Rice Mill |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Agoo         | 4,815.12                            | 14,763.06                      | 38/                 | 6,220.80                          | 11,695.36                   | 0                    | 4/                  |
| Aringay      | 255.12                              | 10,097.80                      | 28/                 | 0                                 | 11,089.75                   | 0                    | 5/                  |
| Bacnotan     | 5,355.59                            | 11,747.66                      | 18/                 | 3,888.00                          | 14,809.93                   | 0                    | 3/                  |
| Bagulin      | 0                                   | 3,642.55                       | 1/                  | 3,888.00                          | 5,867.34                    | 1                    | 0                   |
| Balaoan      | 8,715.59                            | 9,826.14                       | 4/                  | 2,332.80                          | 14,723.41                   | 0                    | 3/                  |
| Bangar       | 2,250.71                            | 13,468.88                      | 32/                 | 9,331.20                          | 15,069.48                   | 0                    | 2/                  |
| Bauang       | 255.12                              | 7,317.90                       | 20/                 | 0                                 | 13,543.65                   | 0                    | 4/                  |
| Burgos       | 0                                   | 4,944.50                       | 14/                 | 0                                 | 6,048.24                    | 0                    | 3/                  |
| Caba         | 735.12                              | 6,267.85                       | 16/                 | 777.6                             | 9,052.70                    | 0                    | 3/                  |
| Luna         | 5,400.94                            | 11,720.54                      | 18/                 | 5,443.20                          | 14,235.78                   | 0                    | 3/                  |
| Naguilian    | 2,220.47                            | 15,635.35                      | 38/                 | 2,332.80                          | 17,242.51                   | 0                    | 6/                  |
| Pugo         | 3,270.24                            | 6,768.45                       | 10/                 | 6,220.80                          | 5,977.45                    | 1                    | 0                   |
| Rosario      | 1,500.47                            | 13,859.43                      | 35/                 | 3,888.00                          | 16,170.59                   | 0                    | 4/                  |
| San Fernando City | 735.12                            | 8,781.36                       | 23/                 | 777.6                             | 14,062.75                   | 0                    | 4/                  |
| San Gabriel  | 750.24                              | 7,458.57                       | 19/                 | 0                                 | 8,399.90                    | 0                    | 4/                  |
| San Juan     | 750.24                              | 17,476.79                      | 47/                 | 1,555.20                          | 15,006.56                   | 0                    | 7/                  |
| Sto. Tomas   | 0                                   | 3,651.28                       | 9/                  | 777.6                             | 8,470.68                    | 0                    | 2/                  |
| Santol       | 735.12                              | 6,093.68                       | 17/                 | 0                                 | 8,156.08                    | 0                    | 3/                  |
| Sudipen      | 1,260.47                            | 7,676.90                       | 18/                 | 4,665.60                          | 11,199.86                   | 0                    | 1/                  |
| Tubao        | 4,815.12                            | 7,120.17                       | 7/                  | 6,998.40                          | 8,887.53                    | 2                    | 0                   |
| **Total**    | **40,266.14**                       | **188,318.85**                 | **422/**            | **59,097.60**                      | **229,691.56**              | **4**                | **61/**             |

**Mechanization Level in the Province of La Union**

The mechanization level of tillage operation per municipality is in Fig. 2. It reveals that it ranges from 0.18 hp/ha to 3.52 hp/ha classified as low to highly mechanized. The municipalities which are categorized as highly mechanized include Bacnotan, Balaoan, Sudipen, and Naguilian with 3.57 hp/ha, 3.52 hp/ha, 3.33 hp/ha, 3.29 hp/ha, respectively. The municipalities that are considered as moderately mechanized are Tubao (2.55 hp/ha), Luna (1.94 hp/ha), Bagulin (1.76 hp/ha), Sto. Tomas (1.68 hp/ha), Rosario (1.36 hp/ha), Bangar (1.16 hp/ha), and Caba (1.06 hp/ha). Lastly, the municipalities which are deemed as low mechanized are Pugo (0.64 hp/ha), City of San Fernando (0.54 hp/ha), Aringay (0.41 hp/ha), San Gabriel (0.19 hp/ha), and Santol (0.18 hp/ha). Although some municipalities are low mechanized, most rice farmers use mechanical power during tillage operation.

The planting operation mechanization
level ranging from 0 hp/ha to 0.1 hp/ha is low mechanized. It could show that almost all municipalities do not utilize mechanical power during planting and employ human power or manual hand transplanting instead.

The harvesting operation mechanization level ranging from 0 hp/ha to 1.19 hp/ha is low to moderately mechanized. The municipalities with moderate classification are Agoo, Tubao, and Pugo with 1.19 hp/ha, 1.02 hp/ha, and 0.97 hp/ha, respectively, and the rest are low mechanized. It could show that almost all rice farmers employ manual power during the reaping of the harvest.

The drying and milling operations mechanization level range from 0 hp/ha to 0.05 hp/ha and 0 hp/ha to 0.21 hp/h, respectively. Both operations are low mechanized. Farmers either practice sun drying or immediately sell harvest without drying and milling.

In general, the rice farming mechanization level in the Province of La Union is 2.30 hp/ha as shown in Fig. 3. It is moderately mechanized due to the tillage operation at 1.73 hp/ha is the highest of all the rice operations and it has a surplus of machinery. On the other hand, for planting, it is 0.0023 hp/ha, harvesting is 0.4958 hp/ha, drying is 0.0218 hp/ha, and milling is 0.0520 hp/ha. All the operations are low mechanized and are found to have a deficit in machinery.

Figure 1. Total rice machinery requirement in the Province of La Union
4.0 Discussion

The tillage operation in the province is found sufficient. Although some municipalities are deficit with tractors, it is encouraged that the tractors distributed to surplus municipalities be pulled out and be awarded to the deficit municipalities. Also, renting tractors to surplus municipalities could be implemented. Hence, the province will be able to maximize potentially and economically the available tractors and allocate deployment to other rice operations needing mechanization.

The manual hand transplanting has a duration of 20 to 30 man-days/ha and a labor cost of ₱6,000.00 to ₱8,000.00/ha while using a mechanical transplanter has 5 to 6 hrs/ha and a rental of ₱6,123.00 (4-row riding-type transplanter) (Baradi & Kang, 2018). Also, it maintains the correct spacing of seedlings that can increase yield by 25 to 40% over improper spacing associated with manual transplanting. These advantages could save money
on inputs, labor, and materials ("Transplanting", n.d.). These benefits from manual to mechanical transplanting could support the province in fast-tracking efficient and effective transplanting operation. The results reveal the immediate deployment of planting machinery. However, deployment must consider the topography and adaptability of designs of transplanters in the area. Thus, it is encouraged that walk-behind transplanters for highland municipalities and lowland municipalities with both walk-behind type and riding-type transplanters.

Manual harvesting has a total of 10.98% postharvest loss, of which harvesting is 4.85%, piling is 2.06%, and threshing is 4.07% (Dela Cruz & Calica, 2016). These losses can be reduced by adopting mechanical harvesters such as rice reaper, thresher, and combine harvester. However, rice reaper still involves manual hauling and piling, and thresher involves manual cutting, hauling, and piling. The harvesting capacities of reaper and thresher of 4.56MT/day and thresher of 6.0 MT/day. This is far lower than the capacity of a rice combine harvester of 18.43 MT/day. Thus, to eliminate manual handling, combat manual operations losses, and increase harvesting capacity, the rice combine harvester with minimum technical specification of postharvest loss of 3.5% (Department of Trade and Industry, Bureau of Product Standards, 2015) is encouraged. While nine (9) municipalities are sufficient with harvesting machinery, still, 11 municipalities are deficit with machinery. Thus, the province is encouraged for harvesting machinery deployment. It is further encouraged to implement machine pooling or renting threshers to surplus municipalities and distribute them to deficit highland municipalities.

Drying accounts for a postharvest loss of as high as 8.70% of the total production (Ramos et al., 2013). The drying operation is so critical that inefficiencies or not immediate drying upon harvest would affect the shorter storage life of rice and poor milling recoveries. The practice of drying rice is through sun drying. However, the efficiency is affected by the unpredictability of the weather. In recognition of these critical roles of drying operation, the government promotes the use of mechanical dryers. The recirculating dryers and Multi-Purpose Drying Pavement (MPDP) are 6 MT/day capacity and flatbed dryers of 4.25 MT/day. However, sun drying during the rainy season is not operational using Multi-Purpose Drying Pavement (MPDP). Also, it needs a wide area of installation. Sun-drying is cheaper on the road. However, it results in rapid quality reduction and poor milling quality (Gummert, 2010). These types of dryers are labor-intensive. With this, recirculating dryers with higher capacity and less labor requirement are encouraged in the province. The results reveal that farmers immediately sell the harvest to the middlemen, traders, and private dryer operators. However, the selling price of freshly harvested rice at ₱17.22/kg is usually lower than that of dried rice at ₱19.91/kg (Department of Agriculture, 2020). Also, mostly the middlemen, traders are benefited compared to rice farmers (Mitchell, 2011). For these reasons, it is recommended that the province deploy drying machinery that could increase farmers’ income, reduce drying losses, and prevent the mingling in rice prices.

The mechanization level for tillage operation is low to highly mechanized. This result agrees with Gavino et al. (2006) that farm operations in Regions 1, 2, and 3 are moderate to highly mechanized. The planting operation mechanization level is low mechanized. This result agrees with Manalon
and dela Cruz (2018) that planting operation is low mechanized or predominantly using manual power. The harvesting operation is low to moderately mechanized. This result contradicts with Suministrado (2013) that harvesting operation is moderate to high mechanize. It could attribute to the insufficient intervention of harvesting machinery and unavailability of agricultural mechanization strategy in the province of La Union. The drying and milling operations are both low mechanized. This result agrees with Suministrado (2013) that drying and milling operations in the country are low mechanized.

5.0 Conclusion & Recommendations

This study is conceptualized to formulate a scientific approach in determining the rice machinery requirement and the mechanization level in the province of La Union as the basis for prioritizing machinery deployment. The findings of moderately mechanized for tillage operation and low mechanized for transplanting, harvesting, drying, and milling operations signify a necessity for machinery intervention. Thus, there is a need of 674 units riding-type rice transplanter; 31 units combine harvester; 422 units recirculating dryer; and 61 units multi-pass rice mill. Accelerating the formulation of policy recommendations for budget programming and strengthening the gaps between the farmers and the concerned agencies are encouraged. Also, the crafting of Agricultural Mechanization Strategy (AMS) or Agricultural Mechanization Road Map to schedule the deployment, monitoring, and maintenance of acquired machinery is recommended.
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