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Abstract: The temperature rise in the contact area of the sliding friction pair is an important factor that causes the sliding friction pairs to adhere and affect the movement, and the temperature of the sliding friction pair is affected by many factors. The influential trend of these factors on the temperature is analyzed by using the finite element software, the bolt and guide rail of a Gatling weapon is simulated under the condition of considering the surface roughness and bionics.

The results demonstrate that the stress of the result decreases a lot when the bolt is bionic, which is 41.1% lower than the normal condition. However, the displacement increases slightly, only 0.0016mm. Bionics has more benefits than roughness in reducing stress.

In the thermal situation analysis of the 10000 firing rate, the combination which comes from the general guide rail and the bionics bolt is 168.13°, but the combination which comes from the general guide rail and general bolt is 86.258°. This also explains why modern Gatling weapons do not use the bionics structure, because, with the friction, its temperature is high. For continuous firing weapons, too high a temperature is a problem. If the firing rate is lower, a bionics structure can be used.

Keywords: Coupling thermal-structural analysis; Surface roughness; Bionics; Reciprocating motion; Sliding Friction Pairs

1 Introduction

The friction between the bolt body and the guide rail of the Gatling weapon has not been seen in the literature, so this paper introduces the roughness and bionics point of view when studying the friction between the bolt body and the guide rail, hoping to attract everyone's attention.

The contact interface property is so crucial that it will affect macro-mechanical performance, such as friction, wear, assembly relation, tightness, fatigue strength, etc. To obtain the contact behaviors of bolt/guide rail accurately.

Tribology is the study of friction, lubrication, and wears on the surface of relative motion, and the marginal subject of the theory and application of interrelationships. For different conditions (such as materials, loading, speeding, etc.), the contact conditions, such as temperature field, stress distribution, contact deformation, and wear tendency of the friction pair, are analyzed. Han[1] explained this application range of static friction coefficient, Wang[2] explained the dynamic friction coefficient of metal materials, and Wang[3] explained the sliding friction and its physical explanation. Gong[4] explained the calculation method of the sliding friction coefficient. Liu[5] explained the measurement of cylinder rolling friction. Guo[6] explained that the rolling friction coefficient comes from the measurement.

Friction and wear[7-9] not only consume energy and materials, but also reduce the labor and material resources required for the replacement of parts, repairs, and stoppages due to friction and wear, as well as the reduction in production labor rate.

Real engineering surfaces are not completely smooth. When two objects are in contact with each other, the real surface is the contact between the micro-convex bodies.
Analyzing contact between real rough surfaces plays a key role in studying friction, wear and lubrication.

The generation of frictional heat is mainly related to the sliding friction coefficient, contact load, and sliding speed between the contact interfaces\[10-12\].

In engineering practice, the generation of frictional heat will cause serious damage to important engineering surfaces. The frictional sliding surfaces that are in contact with each other are rough, so it has important theoretical significance for the flash temperature and thermal-mechanical stress analysis of rough surfaces in micro-interval engineering.

The surface damage behavior of friction pair refers to the development process of damage mode and depth of damage layer of friction pair material under specific working conditions and environmental conditions. It is the result of multiple factors such as environmental working conditions and lubrication of friction pair material\[13-14\].

For a long period, the high-speed and high-speed motion of contacting friction pairs\[15\], due to the heat generated by friction, the temperature rise in the contact area, it will cause the adhesion and wear phenomenon, which seriously affects the normal work of the friction pair\[16\].

With the development of the economy, green manufacturing has become an important means to address resource shortages and environmental degradation. Research on the wear of bolt/rail mechanisms is also one of the specific issues facing green manufacturing.

2 Gatling Principle and Bolt/Guide Rail mechanism

2.1 Process interpretation

Gatling weapon\[15\] system loading system has always been very important. The bullets are pushed and the Gatling weapon maintains forward and backward motion. The simplified transmission diagram is shown in Figure 3.

The bolt assembly has three components, including the main roller axis, main roller, and bolt body, as Fig.4 shows. The force is like Fig.5, the outer cam gives the main roller $F_N$, the outer cam gives the main roller friction force $F$.

2.2 Bolt/Guide Rail Mechanism

2.2.1 Surface Roughness modeling

The wear surface morphology can be reflected by two-dimensional and three-dimensional surface profiles. The three-dimensional surface morphology focuses on the study of the changes in the overall structure of the work surface, which can reflect the changes of the worn surface in different regions. The collection method and calculation formula are relatively complex.

There are two methods to model rough surfaces. One is the Green-Williamson model based on Gaussian distribution\[18\]. This model assumes that the contact part consists of a plane with infinite stiffness and a surface composed of many hemispheres with the same radius. The other method is the fractal geometry method to model and analyzes rough surfaces\[19\].

In engineering, almost all machined surfaces have some sort of texture features. As a spatial feature, texture can hardly be described by a single parameter of surface...
topography. So the steps to generate a rough surface with a specified autocorrelation function are as follows[20-21]:

A 3D fractal surface topography can be generated using a modified (truncated) two-variable Weierstrass-Mandelbrot fractal function that can be written as[19]

\[
Z(x, y) = L \left( \frac{G}{L} \right)^{(D-2)} \left( \frac{\ln \gamma}{M} \right)^{1/2} \prod_{m=1}^{M} \prod_{n=0}^{N} \cos \phi_{m,n} \times
\left\{ \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{M} \right) - \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{M} \left( x^2 + y^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \right\} \times \cos \left( \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y}{x} \right) \right) \tag{2}
\]

Where: L is the sample length, G is the fractal roughness, D is the fractal dimension \(2 < D < 3\), γ \((γ > 1)\) is a scaling parameter, M is the number of superposed ridges used to construct the surfaces, n is a frequency index, with \(n_{\max} = \text{int} \left[ \log(L/L_s)/\log \gamma \right]\) representing the upper limit of n, where Ls is the cut-off length and \(\phi_{m,n}\) is a random phase. The scaling parameter γ controls the density of frequencies in the surface profile.

The fractal dimension D is larger, the surface morphology is smoother. G is a frequency-independent height scaling parameter, which can be determined by experiments (the larger the value of G, the rougher the profile of surface topography). The fractal roughness G is a height scaling parameter independent of frequency within the scale range where fractal power-law behavior is observable. Physically, higher G values correspond to rougher (less dense) surface topographies[20].

According to Xu Zhiqian’s papers[21], it is given the paper:

\[ D = 1.7759 \times R_s^{-0.2147} \tag{3} \]

Because the roughness Ra and the fractal roughness G satisfy the following relationship[22-23]:

\[ R_s \propto G^{D-1} \tag{4} \]

Therefore, another parameter G is obtained.

The topography of the rough surface has a fractal feature. The fractal characteristics of the rough surface are independent of the scale and can provide all the roughness information of all scale ranges existing on the fractal.

As a typical tribological system, the guide rail pair maintains its accuracy by wear. Rough surface features and frictional contact models are the first problems to understand in terms of friction and wear.

The traditional measurement methods and evaluation methods can not describe the surface morphology at several nm scales[23]. Therefore, a new evaluation parameter must be used to describe the surface topography at nm scale. Fractal geometry is used to analyze, evaluate and model the surface morphology, which can provide the characteristics of surface morphology at all scales. And the Ansys unit changes with our requirements.

Using reverse engineering technology to reconstruct the surface roughness in SolidWorks. The ScanTo3D from Solidworks can quickly generate 3D models from scanned data or Xyz data.

Figure 6 is the most Ra 3.2 \text{um} surface roughness of bolt and guide rail physical model, and Figure 7 shows the structure of the guide rail, and Figure 8 shows the guide rail with the elevation of 0 degrees and angle of view of 90 degrees.

Figure 6 3.2μm physical model

Figure 7 3.2μm the guide rail from the front

Figure 8 guide rail of elevation 0 degrees and angle of view 90 degrees

Thus the bolt and guide rail was enlarged by 1000 times. After the model is built, it is reduced by 0.001, thus returning to the original model. In this case, the pressure and deformation can be calculated.

2.2.2 Bionic and General Motion

There are four parameters in the bolt cam part[23-25]: 1) interval length \(x_2\); 2) interval width \(x_1\); 3) radius R; 4) angles \(θ\) are the most reliable in flatbed, as Figure 9.

![Figure 9](flatbed.png)
Figure 9 four parameters in bolt cam part
After studying the motion performance of high-speed sliding pair, we found that its friction and wear properties, friction between the two blocks of the same materials, and it is two kinds of friction between steel plates. There is some lubrication of the material like a lubricant that has its impact and wear. Then, the wear prediction model of the tribological system is put forward. It is expected that the number of columns, the size of the gap, and the size of the holes will be used. With Yang Z's[25] conclusion.

Discarded as useless with friction and wear of the contact surfaces, new ways are based on reconstructing surface morphology by laser texturing techniques. It used some round hole with a diameter of 150 μm and spacing of 600 μm. Some typical organisms surfaces, for example, seashell, tooth and dung bettle[23-25], similar to industry rollers wear. The force which acts on the rails changes very quickly, and the force acts in the positive direction, while the contact surface of the bottom surface is stressed. At another time, the force acts in the opposite direction, and the force is applied by the top surface. which is as Figure. 10-11. To make a comparison, the comparison between ordinary rails (AK630, as Figure.11(b)) and bionic rails as Figure 11(a).

Figure 10 Bolt cam part with two guides
A-Upper-lower rails  B- main roller guide

Figure 11 Bionic and General Motion

2.2.3 Different firing rates to different heavy loads
As Jian Xu[16] puts forward the relationship between the firing rates and the force of the main roller:
Table 1. Firing rate and Min or Max \( FN \)

| Fire ratio | Min \( FN \) (N) | Max \( FN \) (N) |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 3300       | 913.7           | 1086.5          |
| 6000       | 3654.9          | 4346.3          |
| 10000      | 8223.5          | 9779.3          |
| 12000      | 11193.1         | 13310.8         |

\( FX, FY \) is related to \( FN \) in this way:
\[
FX = FN \cos \theta \quad (5)
\]
\[
FY = FN \sin \theta \quad (6)
\]
The FX, FY of 10000 fire ratio are shown in Figure 12:

Figure 12 10000 FR FN to its respective force FX, FY
As Figure 12 shows the FX, FY direction, thus the maximum value FX is about 8200N at time of 0.05–0.06, the maximum value FY is about 8000N at time of 0.05–0.06.

2.4 Sliding friction-thermal modeling
This research on the heating analysis of the surface sliding friction process will be helpful to expose the mechanism of the surface damage. Thermal structural coupling analysis is used to calculate the stress, temperature distribution, and other of a system or component thermophysical parameters, such as heat gain or loss, thermal gradient, heat flux, etc. After the contact model is established, there are two main methods of analysis: analytical method and numerical method[26-27].

The contact surface of the guide rail pair is mechanically processed. It is a smooth surface in a macroscopic view, but when viewed under a microscope, it is covered with uneven peaks and valleys. When two rough surfaces are contacted by a load, the first contact is where the sum of the heights of the micro-convex bodies of the two surfaces is the highest. As the load increases, other new pairs of micro convex bodies will also come into contact. In this paper, finite element analysis (FEM) software was used to analyze and establish the contact prediction type, which made preliminary preparations for the friction and wear research of the guide rail pair.
In engineering, for long-term high-speed and high-speed sliding friction pairs that are in contact with each other, the temperature increase in the contact area will cause the adhesion and wear phenomenon, which seriously affects the normal movement of the friction pair. Due to its high relative sliding speed and high temperature, the wear problem is very serious[27-29]. Friction and wear analysis involves large elastoplastic deformation, so the material must be defined as plastic strengthening law, according to the characteristics of friction and wear, it selects bilinear isotropic strengthening in ANSYS BISO (bilinear isotropic stress-hardening option) option.

Total heat generated by friction[30]:

$$Q = \frac{\mu F v}{J}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Where: $\mu$ is the dynamic friction coefficient, $F$ is the pressure load applied on the sliding block; $v$ is the relative velocity of the slider; $J$ is the thermal work equivalent, and the value is 4.2J/cal. The unit of $Q$ is $W/m^2$.

Due to constant wear and tear, huge heat is generated between the body and the guide rail, the sliding distance is $L=1800$mm to simplify the calculation. The couple system analysis is these two systems: The influence of wear test parameters is investigated through finite element simulation model tests, to reveal the wear mechanism and law and provide a basis for the design of tribological systems and practical engineering applications.

The contact surface of the guide rail pair is mechanically processed. It is a smooth surface in a macroscopic view, but when viewed under a microscope, it is covered with uneven peaks and valleys. When two rough surfaces are contacted by a load, the first contact is at the position where the sum of the height of the micro-convex bodies of the two surfaces is the maximum. As the load increases, other new pairs of micro convex bodies will also come into contact. The temperature-dependent material parameters were as presented in table 3:

| $t$ | E   | $\mu$ | $\sigma_0$ | $\lambda$ | $C_p$ | $\alpha$ |
|-----|-----|-------|-----------|---------|------|--------|
| 2   | 210 | 0.286 | 600       | 52.34   | 4.3  | 4.115  |
| 100 | 207 | 0.289 | 600       | 48.85   | 490.7| 12.56  |
| 200 | 202 | 0.300 | 600       | 44.19   | 527.2| 13.47  |
| 300 | 192 | 0.319 | 600       | 41.87   | 565.9| 14.89  |

Where: $t$-temperature($^{\circ}$C); $E$-Young’s modulus(GPa); $\sigma_0$ -Yield stress(MPa); $\lambda$ - Thermal Conductivity(WK-1m-1); $C_p$ -Specific heat capacity($Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}$); $\alpha$ -Thermal expansion($\times 10^{-6}K^{-1}$).

According to the theory of heat transfer, the heat conduction equation of isotropic material without an internal heat source is[17]:

$$\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\rho C \partial T}{\partial t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Where: $T$ is temperature, $K$; $t$ is time, $s$; $\rho$ is material density, $kg/m^3$; $C$ is the material-specific heat capacity, $Jkg^{-1}K^{-1}$, $\lambda$ is the thermal conductivity, WK-1m-1.

When initial time $t=0$;

$$T(x, y, z) = T_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

On the interface involved in friction[31-33]:

$$-\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = -q + \sigma_0 (T - T_0) + \varepsilon_i \sigma (T^4 - T_i^4)$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

On all heat exchange interfaces:

$$-\lambda \frac{\partial T}{\partial n_i} = \sigma_i (T - T_0) + \varepsilon_i \sigma (T^4 - T_i^4)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In the Eq.(3)-Eq.(5), $T_0$ is the ambient temperature; $q$ is the heat flux absorbed, $W/m^2$; $\alpha_i$ is the convective heat transfer coefficient of friction interface, $W/(m^2 K)$, $n_i$ is the normal unit of each interface vector; $\sigma$ is Stephen-Boltzmann constant, $5.67032 \times 10^{-8} W/(m^2 K^4)$, $\alpha_i$ is the convective heat transfer at each interface thermal coefficient, $W/(m^2 K)$; $\varepsilon_i$ is the radiation heat transfer coefficient of each interface, $W/(m^2 K)$.

### 3 Results and Discussions

It is discussed in bionic motion associated with the general motion. The bolt and guide rail system is shown in Figure 13 which is used in 10000 firing rate:

![Image](image.png)

1-main roller 2- bolt 3- right rail 4-left rail

**Figure 13** Bolt and guide rail system

There are four pairs, the general or bionics rail, and the general or bionics bolt. Thus the results are as follows.

#### 3.1 the bolt and guide rail stress

**3.1.1 the general rail and the general bolt**

Used $F_s$ to the main roller as Figure 12, and used the standard earth gravity, the other rail is fixed. The general rail stress and displacement are analyzed as Figure.14-15:
3.1.2 the bionics rail and the general bolt
The bionics rail and the general bolt, the stress and displacement results are Figure 16-17:

3.1.3 the general rail and the bionics bolt
The general rail and the bionics bolt, the stress and displacement results are analyzed as Figure 18-19:

3.1.4 the bionics rail and the bionics bolt
The bionics rail and the bionics bolt, the stress and displacement results are analyzed as Figure 20-21.

3.1.5 The conclusions for stress and displacement
Thus it will work with 222.13Mpa contact stress as Figure 14, and with the additional width dimension of its rail, the rail works at 194.69Mpa as Figure 16:

There is table 2 which shows that the bionics rail and general rail and general bolt and bionics bolt:

| Table 2. Stress and Displacement of rail |
|----------------------------------------|
| Rail  | Bolt | Rail stress /Mpa | Rail displacement /mm | DX | Figure |
|-------|------|------------------|-----------------------|----|-------|
| g     | g    | 222.38           | 0.0010382             | 14,15 |      |
| b     | g    | 194.69           | 0.0017869             | 16,17 |      |
| g     | b    | 130.73           | 0.002675              | 18,19 |      |
| b     | b    | 250.11           | 0.0029061             | 20,21 |      |

Where: g- general b-bionics

From Figure 14 to Figure 18, the stress reduction is beneficial, thus the reduction rate $\delta$:

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{130.73}{222.13} = 41.1\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Displacement is big than the general guide rail, the rate of increase $\xi$:

$$\xi = 0.0016368 \text{mm}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)
For the bionic rail and bionic bolt component, the rail stress is 250.11MPa, the rail displacement is 0.0029061, here, the two components are bionic, and the stress is higher.

The rail displacement is always 0.001mm as Fig.21, thus the reduction rate $\delta$ illustrates the effect of the bionic hole, which reaches a position higher than 10% and amazing, and the displacement is unchanged. In this way, in order to reduce the driving force, the bionics bolt can be used.

The existence of the small hole[33-34] reduces the contact area, and then reduces the contact of the surface micro convex body, and reduces the adhesive wear between the bolt and the guide rail under heavy loading. The small hole structure can store the air, which is easy to exchange heat between scraper and middle plate and surrounding air, and easy to dissipate friction heat.

3.2 the thermal situation
Table 2 shows the bolt and guide rail stress which is used in the 10000 firing rate, and thus the thermal situation was done with it.

The bionics bolt and general guide rail thermal situation were from Table 2, the following situation was as figure 22 and figure 23. From figure 23, there is two figure about the thermal situation, because the front is viewed from the front, and the second is viewed from the back.

![Figure 22](image)

**Figure 22** the general guide rail and bionics bolt thermal situation

![Figure 23](image)

(b) the general guide rail thermal situation

**Figure 23** The bionics bolt and the general guide rail thermal situation

Then the thermal situation of the general bolt and general guide rail was Figure 24 and Figure 25:

![Figure 24](image)

**Figure 24** The general guide rail and the general bolt thermal situation

![Figure 25](image)

(a) Bionics bolt thermal situation

(b) The general guide rail thermal situation

**Figure 25** The general bolt and the general guide rail thermal situation

And there are Table.3 which shows the thermal situation:
Table 3. Thermal situation

| Rail | Bolt | Thermal Situation | Figure |
|------|------|-------------------|--------|
| g    | b    | 168.13            | 22,23  |
| g    | g    | 86.258            | 24,25  |

The situation is showing the thermal situation, the combination comes from the general guide rail and the bionics bolt is 168.130, but the combination comes from the general guide rail and the general bolt is 86.2580.

This temperature rise explains in fact that modern weapons don’t mimic themselves on guide rails. The bolt-guide rail system is shown which is used in 10000 firing rate, and if you slow down the firing rate, there’s still hope for bionic devices.

4 Test and Data

Every shooting period in 10000 firing rate, the electronic temperature wireless sensor meter will be placed in the rotating tube weapon case, and the oscilloscope will be placed outside. By starting the motor, the body will rotate in the guide rail to test the temperature.

Through the test, the DAT data is transformed as shown in figure 26 below:

**Figure 26** Thermal situation in 10000 firing rate

Because N barrels are rotating when the Gatling weapon is firing, the horizontal axis is recorded, and one head moves back and forth in the guide rail, so there are two values, so the step-by-step guide rail has a very high temperature. The number of consecutive shots is N times that of the red point of figure 26 in this one.

5 Conclusion and discussion

5.1 conclusion

(1) From the analysis of the Gatling weapon, the bionic theory is better than the surface roughness, especially for the bolt.

(2) The bionic bolt is good at super-high fire ratio. The bionic, as a small slot between them, show an effect and an advantage in heat dissipation. When the firing rate is 10000, the reduction rate of stress is up to 41.1%. This bionic bolt is suitable for FR more than 10,000.

(3) From Table 2, the best situation has been achieved by the bionic bolt and the general guide rail, but from Table 3 in the 10000 firing rate, the general guide rail and general bolt have the advantage of temperature.

5.2 Discussion

This temperature rise explains in fact that modern weapons don’t mimic themselves on guide rails. The bolt-guide rail system is shown which is used in 10000 firing rate, and if you slow down the firing rate, there’s still hope for bionic devices.
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