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The ligand of TCR-α/β consists of an antigenic peptide associated with self MHC
molecules (reviewed in reference 1). The rules governing the association of the two
components of the ligand have recently become increasingly clear (2–4). However,
the molecular basis of ligand recognition by the TCR is still poorly understood. In
the absence of information on the crystal structure of the TCR, one possible
approach to this problem is to correlate primary structural features of the receptor
with its fine specificity. Using this approach it has been shown that T cells responding
to the same antigen often exhibit a limited heterogeneity of rearranged V and J gene
segments (5–18). The use of certain gene segments, as well as of junctional sequences,
tends to correlate with the specificity of the receptor, although a simple assignment
of certain segments to peptide recognition and others to MHC recognition has not
been possible so far. Obviously, these results do not imply that one particular gene
segment can only be used in response to one particular antigen, and indeed, several
examples demonstrate the use of the same gene segment in different responses (19,
20). An important aspect of these studies is that unwanted immune responses, such
as those leading to autoimmune disease, could be selectively inhibited, if the responses
use a small number of TCR gene segments (14–16, 18), and the gene segment usage
remains constant in different individuals.

Among the immune responses studied so far, the response to bovine insulin (BI)
 appeared to be an exception, in that no correlation between fine specificity and TCR
gene segment usage could be established on the basis of seven characterized BI-specific
TCRs (21, 22). To assess the heterogeneity and possible genetically controlled differences
of receptor expression in the anti-BI response, we have studied a large number
of BI-specific class II MHC-restricted T cell clones from mouse strains of C57/BL,
BALB, 129, and DBA backgrounds. Our results demonstrate a predominant, although not exclusive, use of Vβ6 in response to insulin in C57/BL, BALB, and 129
mice. In contrast, in DBA mice where the great majority of T cells expressing Vβ6 and Vβ8.1 are deleted by self-tolerance (23, 24), the BI-specific clones express, preferentially, Vβ8.2 and Vβ8.3, instead of Vβ6. This shift of TCR usage is not accompanied by a change of either responsiveness or fine specificity.

Materials and Methods

Mice. 8-wk-old C57BL/6 (B6), BALB.B (B.B), 129/J (129), B10.D2 (D2) (Olac, Bicester, UK), (C57BL/6 x DBA/2) F1 (B6D2 F1) (Iffa Credo, L'Arbresle, France), and B6.C-H-2b2012 (bml2) (Institut für Biologisch-Medizinische Forschung AG, Füllinsdorf, Switzerland) were used.

Antigens and Immunizations. BI, equine insulin (EI), ovine insulin (OI) and porcine insulin (PI), as well as oxidized BI A chain (Aox) and B chain (Box), and hen egg white lysozyme (HEL) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (Brunschiwig AG, Basel, Switzerland). Rat insulin was from Novo Indus trie (Pharma Schweiz AG, Zürich, Switzerland). The "nonoxidized" BI A chain (Ano) was a generous gift from Dr. E. Rüde (Institut für Immunologie, Johannes Gutenberg Univ., Mainz, FRG). Ano corresponds to S-sulfonated A chain (A-SSO3), where the oxidation of S in Cys residues is reversible, in contrast to Aox (A-SO3), where this is irreversible. In terms of antigenicity, Ano is equivalent to disulfide-bonded A chains (25). Purified protein derivative (PPD) of tuberculin was from the Statens Serum Institut (Copenhagen, Denmark). Mice were injected at the tailbase with 100 µg antigen in CFA (Strain H37Ra; Difco Laboratories Inc., Detroit, MI).

Establishment, Cloning, and Assay of T Cell Lines. 9 d after immunization, single cell suspensions were prepared from the inguinal and paraaortic lymph nodes, and the cells were cultured at 2 x 10^6/ml in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 0.5% mouse serum, glutamin, 2-ME, antibiotics, and 100 µg/ml of the priming antigen. Antigen-specific T cell proliferation was measured by [3H]thymidine incorporation after 3 d of culture. Cell lines (5 x 10^6 cells/ml) were maintained by weekly restimulation with antigen, in culture medium with 10% FCS (otherwise as above), and 2.5 x 10^6 syngeneic irradiated (3,000 rad) spleen cells as feeders. The lines were cloned early (3 d) and late (2-3 mo) after the onset of culture by limit dilution (50, 5, 1, and 0.3 cell/well, respectively), in Terasaki plates with 2 x 10^4/well of feeder cells, in culture medium containing antigen and human rIL-2 (10 ng/ml; Sandoz Research Institute, Vienna, Austria). Clones were picked from wells with 1 and 0.3 cells, respectively, and expanded in antigen plus IL-2-containing medium. To test fine specificity, 2 x 10^4/well of cloned T cells were cultured in 96-well flat-bottomed microtiter plates with 5 x 10^4 syngeneic irradiated spleen cells as feeders. The cells were then washed and incubated with FITC-labeled (Fab')2 of goat anti-mouse IgG (1:20 final dilution; Tago Inc., Burlingame, CA) for 30 min. In some experiments, the cells were stained with phycoerythrin (PE)-labeled L3T4 mAb, or with PE-labeled anti-Thy-1.2 (both from Becton Dickinson & Co., Mountain View, CA). After washing, the cells were resuspended in 1 ml PBS supplemented as above, and analyzed for surface fluorescence using a FACSscan. Dot plots relating log fluorescence intensity (one or two color) to cell number were based on the analysis of 2,000 cells per sample.

Southern Blot Analysis. DNA was prepared from T cell clones (29) digested with Eco R1, Hind III, and Bam HI, and blotted onto nylon membrane filters (30). The DNA was then hybridized with 32P random-labeled probes in 1.5 x SSPE, 1% SDS, 0.5% Blotto, 10% dextran sulfate, and 0.5% mg/ml salmon sperm DNA. Filters were washed twice in 3 x SSC,
DNA Probes Specific for TCR \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) Gene Segments. V\(\beta\) probes (V\(\beta\)1 through V\(\beta\)16) were kindly provided by Dr. D. Loh (Washington University, St. Louis, MO). V\(\beta\)17 was a gift of Drs. J. Kappler and P. Marrack (National Jewish Center for Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Denver, CO). D\(\delta\)1 and D\(\delta\)2 probes were kindly provided by Dr. R. Haars (University of Ulm, FRG). The probe for C\(\delta\) is a 300-bp insert of a \(\beta\) chain cDNA clone (31). The J\(\beta\)1 probe is a 400-bp Bam HI–Eco RI fragment kindly provided by Dr. Karjalainen (Basel Institute for Immunology, Basel, Switzerland). The J\(\beta\)2 probe represents a 1-kb Cla I–Hind III fragment isolated from the clone pVBDFL\(\beta\)I-9 (32). V\(\alpha\)1, V\(\alpha\)2, V\(\alpha\)4, V\(\alpha\)5, V\(\alpha\)6, V\(\alpha\)7, V\(\alpha\)8, V\(\alpha\)9, and V\(\alpha\)11 probes were generously provided by Dr. L. Hood (California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA). V\(\alpha\)3 and V\(\alpha\)3 probes were a gift of Dr. E. Palmer (National Jewish Center for Immunology and Respiratory Medicine). Probe V\(\alpha\)12 is a 200-bp Rsa I–Eco RI fragment isolated from the \(\alpha\) chain cDNA clone pTBD 1,9 (32). Probe 1(D1) corresponds to the C\(\alpha\) gene and was isolated as a 300-bp Nco I– Ava II fragment from the constant portion of the \(\alpha\) chain cDNA clone T 1.2 (33; Fig. 1). The J\(\alpha\) probes are summarized in Fig. 1. Probes 2D1 and 3D1 were isolated from cosmids clone BDFL 2.5, and probes 4F1, 4F2, 6M1, 6F1, and 9F1 from cosmids clone BDFL 7.5 (32). Probes 11M1, 11M2, and 13F1 were isolated from cosmids clone TA 28.1 (34). Probe 10F1 is a 3.0-kb Bam HI fragment isolated from the genomic (EMBL) clone 4B2A1-\(\alpha\)-2 (35). J\(\alpha\) region probes 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, and 16(C\(\delta\)) were kindly provided by Drs. M. and B. Malissen (Centre d’Immunologie, Marseilles, France). Our J\(\alpha\)4 probe represents a 1.1-kb Sac I–Eco RI fragment that is smaller than the originally described 2.9-kb Sac I fragment (36).

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR). The sequences of oligonucleotide primers 5’ to 3’ were the following: V\(\beta\)1, AGCCGTGAGAAGCCGCCAG; V\(\beta\)4, TTCATGTTTTCCTACAGCTA; V\(\beta\)6, CATGTTGATGTCGCTATCAT; V\(\beta\)8, AACACATGCAGGCTGAGTC; V\(\beta\)14, CCAAGATAGAGTGGTGGTGC; V\(\beta\)15, TGTAAAGCTGGAACCTCCAT; J\(\beta\)1.7, CCATGTCATCCAAACAGG; and J\(\delta\), TCTTCTACTCATGTTTCCCTCCCC. The Taq polymerase and the Gene Amp Kit used were from Perkin Elmer (Cetus Corp., Norwalk, CT). 1 \(\mu\)g of genomic DNA was subjected to 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, annealing at 60-70°C for 2 min, and primer extension at 70°C for 6 min. 15 \(\mu\)l of amplified DNA was loaded onto a 2% agarose gel, blotted, and hybridized with the respective \(^{32}\)P-labeled V\(\beta\) probes as described above.

RNA Extraction and Dot Blot Analysis. RNA was isolated from frozen cell pellets (37) and applied to nylon filters using a 96-well blotting manifold. RNA from the B cell Hybridoma LK was applied to each filter and was used as a negative control for all probes. The probes were labeled by random priming and hybridized for 18 h at 65°C, as described by Church and Gilbert (38).

Results

Fine Specificities in the Bovine Insulin-specific T Cell Repertoire of H-2\(^{b}\) Mice. To assess the number and relative frequency of BI-specific T cell clonotypes in H-2\(^{b}\) mice, we isolated a large number of clones from C57/BL6 (B6), BALB.B (B.B), and 129 mice carrying the H-2\(^{b}\) haplotype on different genetic backgrounds, and for comparison, a few clones from B10.D2 (H-2\(^{d}\)) mice. This approach has allowed us to detect the possible influences of non-MHC as well as MHC genes on the BI-specific repertoire. To establish clonotypes according to fine specificity, we tested the proliferative response of each clone to BI, EI, OI, and PI, as well as to separated BI chains Ano, Aox, and Box. Three distinct reactivity patterns could be discerned that we designated as clonotypes I, II, and III (Table I). Based on the analysis of 86 clones, clonotype I reactive to BI and Ano, with or without crossreactivity to EI and OI, comprised the bulk (90-94%) of the repertoire. Clonotype II with additional cross-
FIGURE 1. Restriction map of the Jα region and location of DNA probes used to detect Jα rearrangements. The positions of Jα gene segments, and the restriction enzyme sites, except for Hind III, are according to Winoto et al. (34) and Malissen et al. (36). Horizontal lines represent probes from Malissen et al. (36), and open boxes are probes isolated in this laboratory (see Materials and Methods). The Hind III sites are as determined in this study. A plus between two restriction sites indicates possible unassigned sites in that region.
reaction to PI, and clonotype III without reactivity to separated A and B chain, represented a minority (6–10%). These or similar clones were also observed by others (25, 39–41). None of the clones tested reacted to rat insulin (data not shown). No qualitative or quantitative differences were detectable in the BI-specific repertoire of the three different H-2b strains tested (Table I).

A great majority of BI-specific clones (97%, clonotypes I and II) reacted to Ano of BI, demonstrating that the B chain of insulin is not required for the formation of this immunodominant epitope. Irreversible oxidation of Cys residues (to SO2 in Aox; reference 25) renders the A chain nonimmunogenic for these clones. The lack of immunogenicity is not due to a failure of Aox to bind to MHC molecules, since Aox can induce a T cell response in H-2b mice (data not shown). It seems, therefore, that in the dominant A chain epitope, the Cys residues either form disulfide bonds, or their sulphur is reduced to sulfhydryl after processing (25). Clonotype III, in contrast, appears to recognize a “conformational” determinant of A and B chain, as also proposed by others (40, 42).

The reactivity pattern of clones subjected to DNA analysis is shown in detail in Table II (one representative experiment per clone). The absence or presence of alloreactivity to bm12 cells resulted in the dissection of each clonotype into two subtypes (i.e., Ia, Ib, etc., see Table II). All clones recognized insulin together with the I-Ab, but not with the I-Abm12, molecule (data not shown).

Rearrangement and Expression of TCR Genes in BI-specific Clones. DNA prepared from 25 clones was digested with Hind III, Eco RI, and Barn HI, and Southern blots were tested for TCR rearrangements, using a series of α and β TCR probes. 5 of the 25 clones (11, 19, 14, 23, and 28) turned out to be repeated isolates, since they were identical in terms of strain of origin, immunization number, and all primary and secondary TCR rearrangements with another clone (Tables II and III). However, the remaining 20 clones could be unequivocally judged as unique by the same criteria.

A large proportion of the clones (8 of 20, 40%) rearranged the VB6 gene segment (Fig. 2, Table III). VB6+ clones were isolated from B6, B.B., 129, and B10.D2 mice. Three of these clones also rearranged a second Vβ segment (Vβ5, 12, and 14, respectively), however, the VB6 protein was expressed on the cell surface of these clones, as demonstrated by immunofluorescent staining with mAb 44-22-1 (anti-Vβ6; reference 28). We tested further 25 BI-specific clones of B6, B.B., and 129 origin with mAb 44-22-1, and found eight of them to express the VB6 protein (see Table IV).
EFFECT OF TOLERANCE ON T CELL RECEPTOR GENE USE

Table II
Origin and Fine Specificity of Anti-B1 T Cell Clones

| Clone no. | Immuni- | Proliferative response of clones to | Proliferative response of clones to | B6 APC | Clono- |
|-----------|---------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|
|           | Strain  | Medium | B6 | BI | El | O1 | PI | Ano | Aox | Box | Medium | Clono- |
| 6         | B6      | 1      | L   | 63 | 1,997 | 589 | 1,595 | 64 | 1,411 | 75 | 77 | -46 | Ia |
| 7         | B6      | 1      | L   | 76 | 2,814 | 1,497 | 1,912 | 111 | 1,916 | 50 | 52 | -67 | Ia |
| 8         | B6      | 1      | L   | 74 | 3,163 | 1,247 | 1,980 | 36 | 1,696 | 54 | 25 | -12 | Ia |
| 9         | B6      | 1      | L   | 32 | 2,261 | 688 | 1,450 | 31 | 1,457 | 37 | 33 | -67 | Ia |
| 11        | B6      | 1      | L   | 24 | 400 | 104 | 100 | 6 | 346 | 6 | 6 | -9 | Ia |
| 13        | B6      | 1      | E   | 5 | 23 | 13 | 7 | 4 | 25 | 6 | 9 | -6 | Ia |
| 14        | B6      | 1      | E   | 61 | 412 | 365 | 222 | 46 | 424 | 81 | 47 | -19 | Ia |
| 19        | B6      | 1      | E   | 13 | 1,006 | 147 | 166 | 9 | 736 | 11 | 13 | -31 | Ia |
| 24        | B6      | 6      | E   | 3 | 574 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 130 | IIIb |
| 27        | B6      | 6      | L   | 4 | 14 | 135 | 126 | 73 | 23 | 6 | 7 | 288 | IIb |
| 36        | B6      | 1      | L   | 3 | 567 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 41 | 5 | 8 | -96 | Ia |
| 12        | B.B     | 1      | E   | 5 | 241 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 186 | 9 | 9 | 3 | Ia |
| 17        | B.B     | 6      | E   | 8 | 340 | 62 | 17 | 14 | 280 | 15 | 15 | 103 | Ia |
| 20        | B.B     | 6      | E   | 5 | 284 | 90 | 95 | 4 | 239 | 4 | 5 | 140 | Ia |
| 25        | B.B     | 1      | L   | 359 | 4,327 | 1,147 | 2,182 | 338 | 3,533 | 421 | 395 | -20 | Ia |
| 28        | B.B     | 1      | L   | 43 | 541 | 138 | 77 | 24 | 552 | 53 | 27 | -14 | Ia |
| 1         | 129     | 5      | E   | 64 | 896 | 271 | 210 | 100 | 777 | 62 | 99 | -11 | Ia |
| 3         | 129     | 6      | E   | 3 | 26 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 24 | 7 | 8 | 103 | Ia |
| 4         | 129     | 6      | E   | 3 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 8 | -62 | Ia |
| 5         | 129     | 6      | E   | 3 | 45 | 564 | 535 | 545 | 51 | 4 | 3 | ND | II |
| 15        | 129     | 5      | L   | 4 | 103 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 68 | 4 | 9 | -59 | Ia |
| 18        | 129     | 6      | E   | 16 | 254 | 51 | 47 | 16 | 205 | 21 | 24 | 370 | Ia |
| 23        | 129     | 5      | L   | 4 | 63 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 25 | 6 | 9 | 16 | Ia |
| 26        | 129     | 5      | L   | 2 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 6 | -3 | Ia |
| 22        | D2      | 1      | L   | 17 | 1,411 | 212 | 341 | 16 | 1,524 | 17 | 16 | ND | I |

* Cell lines were cloned either 3 d after stimulation with antigen (E = early) or after 6–12 weekly restimulations (L = late).

1 The difference between proliferative responses to bm12 and B6 spleen cells in the absence of insulin (no additional responses were observed to bm12 cells in the presence of insulin; data not shown).

Thus, altogether, 16 of 45, that is, 36% of the BI-specific clones, tested expressed Vβ6. The Vβ6+ clones rearranged five different Jβ2 and one Jβ1 segment, as shown by PCR (Fig. 3, Table III).

Four clones, all isolated from B6 mice, rearranged Vβ15 to two different Jβ segments (Fig. 2, Table III). This may be an example of strain-specific TCR usage, although the possibility that this clonotype dominated only in one particular immunization could not be excluded. In addition, Vβ1 was rearranged in two clones, and Vβ4, 8.1, 8.2, and 14 in one clone each. In clone 14, two Vβ rearrangements (1 and 5.2) were found, of which only Vβ4 was transcribed as shown by RNA dot blot analysis (Table III). Finally, no Vβ rearrangement was found in two clones (15/23 and 27).

The use of Vα subfamily members was determined in 14 of the 20 clones by the combined use of Southern blot analysis and RNA dot blots (Table III). Five clones used a Vα8 subfamily member, and three clones used Vα1, Vα4, and Vα12 each.
### Table III

**TCR Gene Segment Usage by BI-specific Clones**

| No. | Clones | Strain Type | Vβ | Jβ | Vα | Jα | RNA dot blot | Vβ | Jβ | Vα | Jα |
|-----|--------|-------------|-----|----|----|----|-------------|-----|----|----|----|
| 1   | 129 Ia | 6†          | 2.2 | 4  | 11M2 | Vo4 | 5.2 (7.0) | 2 (7.0) | -  | -  | -  |
| 4   | 129 Ia | 6           | 2.7 | 4  | 11M2 | Vo4 | -         | 2 (4.3) | -  | -  | 10 |
| 17  | B.B Ib | 6           | 1†  | 12**| 10F1 | ND | -         | 2 (4.4, 3.6) | -  | -  | -  |
| 18  | 129 Ib | 6†          | 2.3 | 12 | 10F1 | Vo12, 10F1 | 12 (3.6) | 2 (3.6) | -  | -  | 11M1|
| 20  | B.B Ib | 6           | 2.5 | 12 | 10F1 | Vo12 | -         | 2 (3.7) | -  | -  | -  |
| 22  | D2 I   | 6†          | 2.3 | 7**| 5   | Vo7, Vo2 | -         | 2 (4.2) | -  | -  | 4F2|
| 26  | 129 Ia | 6†          | 2.4 | -  | 11M1 | ND | 14 (5.6) | 1 (5.6) | 2 (5.0) | -  | -  |
| 36  | B6 Ia  | 6†          | 2.7 | -  | 10  | ND | 2 (3.4)  | -         | -  | -  | -  |
| 6   | B6 Ia  | 15          | 2.3 | -  | 13F1 | ND | -         | 2 (4.3) | 1 (2 × del) | -  | -  |
| 7   | B6 Ia  | 15          | 2.3 | -  | 13F1 | -  | -         | 1 (7.0)  | -  | -  | -  |
| 8   | B6 Ia  | 15          | 2.4 | 8  | 11M2 | Vo8 | -         | 1 (3.7)  | -  | -  | 13F1|
| 9, 11, 19 | B6 Ia | 15          | 2.3 | 1  | 13F1 | Vo1 | -         | 1 (6.0)  | -  | -  | -  |
| 13, 14 | B6 Ia | 1           | 2.1 | 8  | 9   | Vβ1, Vα1, Vo8 | 5.1 (5.8) | 2 (5.8) | -  | -  | -  |
| 25, 28 | B.B Ia | 1           | 2.1 | 8**| 11M1 | Vo8, Vo1 | -         | 2 (5.2) | 1** | 4F2|
| 5   | 129 II | 8.1†        | 2.3 | -  | 13F1 | ND | -         | 2 (3.9)  | -  | -  | -  |
| 12  | B.B Ia | 8.2†        | 1.2 | 8  | 4F2  | Vo8 | -         | 2 (3.1)  | -  | -  | 6M1|
| 24  | B6 IIIb| 4           | 2†  | -  | 8   | 4F1  | Vo8 | -         | -         | -  | -  |
| 3   | 129 Ib | 14          | 2.7 | 4  | 5   | ND | -         | 2 (3.6)  | -  | -  | -  |
| 15, 23 | 129 Ia | -           | 1 (5.0) | 10 | ND | 2 (3.7) | -         | -         | -  | -  | -  |
| 27  | B6 Ib  | -           | 2 (3.1) | -  | ND | -         | -         | -         | -  | -  | -  |

* Length (kb) of the rearranged Hind III fragment is given in parentheses, unless stated otherwise.
† Cell surface expression of the corresponding protein was demonstrated by immuno-fluorescence, using mAbs 44-22-1 (anti-Vβ6), F23.1 (anti-Vβ8), KJ16 (anti-Vβ8.1, 8.2), and F23.2 (anti-Vβ8.2).
§ See Fig. 4 for mapping of the corresponding Jα gene segment.
\$ Not found.
\$ Not determined by PCR.
** It is uncertain which rearranged gene is expressed.
\# Length (kb) of rearranged Eco RI fragment is given in parentheses.
## Gene segment is deleted from both chromosomes.
These Vα genes were rearranged to 10 different Jα segments. A case of correlation between gene usage and fine specificity was also demonstrated, namely, three of the eight Vβ6+ clones that were alloreactive to bm12 cells (clonotype Ib) rearranged the same Vα12 subfamily member to Jα10F1, and at least two of these three clones also expressed Vα12 at the mRNA level (Vα4 in clone 18 was not expressed; see Table III). Three clones (17, 22, and 25/28) rearranged two Vα genes, and at least two of them (22 and 28) appeared also to translate both Vα genes.
FIGURE 3. Identification of the rearranged member of Jβ gene clusters by PCR. Numbers arranged horizontally indicate the clones, and numbers on the left show the size of bands in kilobases. The Jβ2 primer was a sequence 3' to Jβ2.7, and the Jβ1 primer (used only for clone no. 12) was a sequence from the Jβ1.7 pseudogene. DNA between the Jβ primer and the appropriate Vβ primer (see Materials and Methods) was amplified, blotted, and hybridized with the indicated Vβ probes. The rearranged member of the respective Jβ cluster was identified by the size of the amplified DNA. For example, clones 7 and 11 rearranged Vβ15 to Jβ2.3 (1.0 kb) and clone 8 to Jβ2.4 (0.8 kb, etc.; see Table V for more details).

In summary, the panel of 20 unique BI-specific clones expressed at least six different Vβ genes with a distinct preference for Vβ6. The use of Jβ segments was virtually random, and no predominant Vα or Jα gene usage could be observed.

Enhanced Vβ6 Expression in BI-specific Polyclonal T Cell Lines. To investigate whether

| Strain of origin | T cell clones | Number of T cell clones expressing: |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| T cell clones    | Vβ6 | Vβ8 | Vβ8.1 | Vβ8.2 | Vβ8.3 |
| B6, B.B, 129     | 45* | 16 (35)⁺ | 5 (11) | 5 | 5 |
| (B6 × DBA/2)F₁  | 24⁺ | 0 | 13 (54) | 5 | 5 |
| (B6 × DBA/2)F₁  | 35⁺ | 0 | 21 (60) | 5 | 5 |
| (B6 × DBA/2)F₁  | 16⁺ | 0 | 16 | 0 | 9 |

* Of these clones, 26 were tested by immunofluorescence using the appropriate mAbs (see footnotes to Table III), 19 by Southern analysis (see in Table III), and seven by both methods.
⁺ Numbers in parentheses are percent.
⁻ Not tested.
⁻⁷ Tested by immunofluorescence with the relevant mAbs.
EFFECT OF TOLERANCE ON T CELL RECEPTOR GENE USE

the preferential use of Vβ6 in anti-BI response results from antigen selection, we tested Vβ6 expression in uncloned T cell lines after five to six cycles of restimulation with antigen. As demonstrated by immunofluorescent staining using mAb 44-22-1 (Fig. 4), a two- to three-fold enrichment of Vβ6+ cells was detectable in BI-specific lines in comparison with the normal level of Vβ6 expression in unimmunized lymph node cells (23). Five of the eight BI-specific lines tested exhibited this enrichment. In contrast, Vβ6+ cells were depleted from T cell lines specific for Aox of BI, PPD of tuberculin, and HEL, suggesting that these responses use Vβ genes other than Vβ6.

Expression of Vb6 and Vβ8 Genes in BI-specific T Cell Clones from (B6 x DBA/2)F1 Mice. The Vβ6 protein is known to confer reactivity to the product of the Mls-1a gene (23). Thus, T cells expressing Vβ6 are clonally deleted during establishment of self tolerance to Mls-1a. However, a small proportion (<1%) of Vβ6+ T cells can be demonstrated in the lymph nodes of Mls-1a mice (23), suggesting that the deletion may not be complete. Since the functional consequences of Vβ6 deletion for immune responses other than the anti-Mls-1a response were unknown, it was of interest to see whether the residual Vβ6+ clones could be retrieved from Mls-1a mice by an antigen that induces such clones preferentially. To address this issue, we have isolated T cell clones from Mls-1a+ (B6 x DBA/2)F1 mice immunized with BI. Some of these clones were I-A\(^b\) restricted (recognized BI presented by B6 cells), and others I-A\(^d\) restricted (recognized BI presented by DBA/2 cells, and their response was inhibited by anti-I-A\(^b\) but not anti-I-A\(^d\) mAb; data not shown). Vβ expression in these clones was then studied by immunofluorescence using mAbs 44-22-1 (anti-Vβ6) and F23.1 (anti-Vβ8.1, 8.2, 8.3; reference 26). As shown in Table IV, none of the 24 I-A\(^b\)-restricted and 35 I-A\(^d\)-restricted F1 clones isolated expressed Vβ6 (in contrast to 36% Vβ6+ clones in non-Mls-1a strains). However, the majority (54 and 60%, respectively) of F1 clones expressed Vβ8, as compared with 11% of Vβ8+ clones in the other (non-Mls-1a) strains. We further tested eight I-A\(^b\)-restricted and 16 I-A\(^d\)-restricted Vβ8+ F1 clones with mAbs KJ16 (anti-Vβ8.1, 8.2, reference 27) and F23.2 (anti-Vβ8.2, reference 24), to determine the member of the Vβ8 subfamily they express. We found that, of the 24 Vβ8+ clones tested altogether, 16 clones expressed Vβ8.2, eight clones Vβ8.3, and none Vβ8.1 (Table IV). Thus, the deletion of Vβ6+ and Vβ8.1+ cells by Mls-1a caused a virtually complete lack of expression of these "forbidden" Vβ genes in the BI-specific T cell repertoire.

In view of the finding that the predominant TCR in the F1 clones used Vβ8 instead of Vβ6, we investigated whether this difference would also be reflected in differences of fine specificity. The reactivity pattern of several clones to different insulins is shown in Fig. 5. Among the F1 clones, two reactivity patterns were found that corresponded to clonotypes I and II, demonstrable also in C57/B1, BALB, and 129 mice (see also Tables I and II). The majority of F1 clones were of clonotype I, although the frequency of clonotype II was elevated in comparison with the other strains tested (data not shown). All clones exhibited individual variability in terms of fine specificity, and this variability could not be correlated with either the strains of origin or the Mls-1 allele expressed by them (Fig. 5). Thus, the shift of Vβ gene usage was not accompanied by a noticeable effect on the fine specificity, as detected with the available panel of antigens.
Figure 4. Expression of Vβ6 in polyclonal T cell lines. Enriched expression in BI-specific lines (top), and decreased expression in lines specific for PPD, Aox, and HEL, respectively (bottom). T cell lines were tested by indirect immunofluorescence using mAb 44-22-1. The percent of Vβ6+ cells, after subtraction of background staining with (Fab)2 goat anti-mouse IgG FITC, is indicated in each panel. The Vβ6 expression in lymph nodes of unimmunized mice (determined by double staining with 1,3T4 and 44-22-1 mAbs) was 2.5–6.2% in B6, and 8.1–8.6% in 129 (data not shown).
Discussion

The T cell response to insulin is directed almost exclusively against a small portion of the molecule extending from residue 4 to 11 of the A chain. This immunodominant region includes the intrachain loop formed by the Cys residues at positions 6 and 11. A unique feature of the A chain loop determinant is its apparent conformation dependence. Thus, irreversible oxidation of Cys residues renders the A chain nonimmunogenic for clones generated by immunization with native insulin or nonoxidized A chain (25; F. Falcioni, unpublished results). The implication of this finding is that the A chain determinant may be presented in an unchanged or slightly changed loop form. In fact, the A chain loop has been shown to remain intact even in the acidic late endosomes of rat liver cells (43). This determinant, in contrast to many others presented usually in linear or α helical form (6, 7, 14, 17), attracts a relatively large number of different T cell clones. We assume that the privileged status of this determinant is related to the loop structure, since our preliminary studies suggest that immunization with oxidized (extended) A chain

Figure 5. Fine specificity of BI-specific T cell clones. Clones were tested for response to different concentrations of BI ( ), EI ( ▲ ), OI ( ● ) and PI ( ◆ ). The strain of origin and serial number of clones is indicated in each panel. FIB refers to I-Aβ- and FID to I-Aδ-restricted (B6 x DBA/2)F1 clones. Clones 129/4, B6/42, BALB.B/20, and BALB.B/16 are Vβ6+; clones FIB/6, FID/2, 129/5, FIB/31, and FID/43 are Vβ8+. The results are expressed as percent of maximal response of which percent medium control was subtracted. Type I clones gave maximal response to BI, whereas type II clones gave frequently to another insulin ("heteroclicity"). Maximal responses/medium controls in cpm were: 129/4, 14,800/500; B6/42, 71,200/1,100; BALB.B/35, 79,100/1,100; BALB.B/20, 436,500/430; FIB/6, 23,200/1,300; FID/2, 416,300/2,000; 129/5, 26,100/4,400; B6/27, 13,900/900; BALB.B/16, 67,600/400; FIB/31, 63,100/2,500; FID/43, 64,900/4,200; FID/55, 451,400/1,300.
may induce a T cell response of narrow clonal spectrum (F. Falcioni, unpublished results). There are several possible explanations for the clonal heterogeneity of anti-insulin response. First, the loop determinant may fit in many different ways into the class II MHC groove after processing (41). Second, the loop may protrude from the groove, allowing interaction with many different TCRs. Third, the loop determinant may be presented at high density on the surface of APC, permitting the activation of clones recognizing the epitope at low affinity. Studies are underway to distinguish between these possibilities.

Despite the heterogeneity of anti-insulin response, a predominance of Vβ6-expressing clones has been demonstrated by testing a large sample of clones. This preferential gene usage is not influenced by non-MHC genes in C57BL, BALB, and 129 strains carrying the H-2b haplotype. The clones expressing Vβ6 appear to be selected by the antigen, since insulin-specific polyclonal T cell lines have high proportions of Vβ6+ cells (two to three times higher than background expression in unimmunized mice). However, less frequent clones using Vβ1, 8, 14, and 15, and exhibiting the same fine specificity as the Vβ6+ ones, have also been identified. Furthermore, the Jβ, Va, and Ja gene segments appear to be widely interchangeable, without causing noticeable difference in fine specificity. Interestingly, within the Vβ6+ group, the use of Vu12 and Ju10F1 seems to correlate with alloreactivity against bm12 cells. We therefore assume that more cases of correlation between gene usage and specificity could be identified, were more fine specificity markers available.

We have also investigated whether Mls-1+, known to cause deletion of T cells expressing Vβ6 and Vβ8.1 (23, 24), would influence the TCR repertoire in the anti-insulin response. In Mls-1+ (DBA/2 x B6)F1 mice, we could not isolate any BI-specific clone expressing either of these Vβ genes. Thus, in functional terms, the deletion of these two V genes appears to be complete, even though a small proportion of T cells expressing these "forbidden" V gene products were demonstrated in Mls-1+ mice (23, 24). Instead of the deleted V gene products, the majority of BI-specific F1 clones expressed Vβ8.2 and Vβ8.3. Despite this shift of gene usage, no difference in fine specificity could be demonstrated in comparison with the other strains tested. Also in quantitative terms, the response to BI was comparable with that of other strains. In a similar study, Fry and Matis (44) have shown that the deletion of Vβ3 by self tolerance fails to change the response to pigeon cytochrome c using this Vβ gene preferentially, although a minor shift in fine specificity could be observed. Thus, both studies have shown that the T cell repertoire is sufficiently flexible to compensate for clonal deletions caused by self tolerance. It should be pointed out, however, that in both studies the predominant Vβ gene was expressed in 30–40% of clones. It remains, therefore, to be established whether the deletion of a gene used more extensively for recognition of a particular antigen would lead to unresponsiveness.

Recently, several laboratories have reported on successful treatment of autoimmune diseases in animal models using mAbs directed against TCRs involved in the recognition of autoantigens (14, 15, 45). In these models, a short to medium term cure was achieved, when the majority (~80%) of disease-inducing clones expressed the TCR recognized by the antibody. In another study (46), where only 50% of pathogenic clones expressed the Vβ17a protein recognized by the antibody, the cells not expressing Vβ17a took over the perpetuation of disease after antibody treatment. It appears, therefore, that rare clones comprising <20% of the clonal spectrum cannot
readily take over the response in case of acute peripheral suppression of V gene expression. In contrast, when a deletion was caused by neonatal tolerance, the remaining T cell repertoire may be better adapted to compensate for the deletion, as illustrated in this study by the shift of dominant gene usage from Vβ6 to Vβ8. It remains to be established whether deletions caused artificially in the adult T cell repertoire would be compensated by minority clones in the long term.

Summary

Bovine insulin (BI)-specific I-A^b-restricted T cell clones have been characterized for fine specificity and TCR gene usage. We have demonstrated that mouse strains carrying H-2^d on three different genetic backgrounds (C57BL, BALB, and 129) rearrange and express the Vβ6 gene in a large proportion (36%) of insulin-specific clones. In these strains, the non-MHC background did not seem to influence TCR gene usage in response to BI. The Vβ6+ clones appeared to be selected by the antigen. In contrast, no Vβ6+ clones could be isolated from (B6 × DBA/2)F1 mice, where Vβ6+ (and Vβ8.1+) T cells are deleted by self tolerance to Mls-1a. Thus, although a small proportion of residual Vβ6+ cells had been demonstrated in Mls-1a mice (23), these cells could not be retrieved in a response that uses Vβ6 predominantly. In functional terms, therefore, the deletion of Vβ6 by self tolerance appears to be complete. Instead of Vβ6, the majority (up to 60%) of I-A^d- as well as I-A^d-restricted insulin-specific clones from the (B6 × DBA/2)F1 mice expressed Vβ8.2 and Vβ8.3. This shift of gene usage was not accompanied by any detectable change in the fine specificity pattern of response. Thus, in the insulin-specific response, the flexibility of T cell repertoire fully compensates for deletions caused by self tolerance.
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