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Abstract

The MIEZE (Modulation of Intensity with Zero Effort) technique is a variant of neutron resonance spin echo (NRSE), which has proven to be a unique neutron scattering technique for measuring with high energy resolution in magnetic fields. Its limitations in terms of flight path differences have already been investigated analytically for neutron beams with vanishing divergence. In the present work Monte-Carlo simulations for quasi-elastic MIEZE experiments taking into account beam divergence as well as the sample dimensions are presented. One application of the MIEZE technique could be a dedicated NRSE-MIEZE instrument at the European Spallation Source (ESS) in Sweden. The optimisation of a particular design based on Montel mirror optics with the help of Monte Carlo simulations will be discussed here in detail.
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1. Introduction

One major field of current solid state physics is complex magnetic systems. Examples are the structure and dynamics of topological spin structures like skyrmions in metals, semiconductors and ferroelectric insulators [1, 2, 3, 4]. Others are the dynamics of spin waves in ferromagnets like EuO and Fe [5]. Further interest focuses on the slow magnetic dynamics of magnetic monopoles and Dirac strings in spin ice [6]. Generally, excitations with lifetimes from the picosecond to the microsecond range near quantum phase transitions are of utmost interest for the understanding of the magnetic dynamics in complex materials, especially with regard to possible applications in spintronics.

The standard technique to measure quasi-elastic dynamics in the higher ps and ns time range is Neutron Spin Echo (NSE) [7]. As a Larmor precession technique it is sensitive to magnetic fields and can only be used with magnetic fields at the sample position by applying rather complicated spin manipulation resulting in a loss of intensity [5]. The complementary Neutron Resonance Spin Echo (NRSE) method invented by Golub and Gähler [8, 9] shares the sensitivity to magnetic fields or depolarising samples, but can be evolved straightforwardly into the MIEZE technique [9, 10, 11], which is then independent of sample depolarisation effects, as all spin manipulations are performed before the sample.

As MIEZE is effectively a time-of-flight method it is sensitive to differences in the length of the neutron flight path. This drawback has been studied analytically in reference [12] for beams with zero divergence. But for analysing MIEZE data and designing MIEZE instruments the effect of divergence and the influence of the neutron path on the resolution is required.

In this study we will show that using the Monte Carlo simulation package McStas [13, 14] together with specifically written components for the MIEZE setup, both earlier analytical and experimental results can be reproduced and furthermore be used to assess the suitability of more elaborate instrument designs. MIEZE is an excellent technique for high energy resolution measurements: For small samples spin-echo times of the order of a microsecond are possible with currently available components such as RF spin flipper coils and TOF detectors.

2. MIEZE technique

As a variant of NRSE, the MIEZE technique omits both π-flipper coils after the sample [9]. The coils before the sample are separated by a distance $L_1$ and are driven at two different frequencies, $\omega_1 < \omega_2$. After passing the RF-flippers, which are working in resonant π-flip mode without bootstrap, the neutron spin phase depends on the flight time and the neutron velocity $v$. At a distance $L_2$ given by

$$\omega_1 \cdot L_1 = (\omega_2 - \omega_1) \cdot L_2$$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

the spin modulation of all velocity groups adds up in phase. Eq. (1) is called the MIEZE condition. The key point of MIEZE is now that the spin modulation can be converted to an intensity modulation by placing a polariser anywhere after the second coil, in particular before the sample. Then a time-resolved detector is required, which registers a sinusoidally modulated signal of the frequency $\omega_M$ $I(t) = B + A \cos(\omega_M t)$, where $\omega_M = 2(\omega_2 - \omega_1)$ is the MIEZE frequency.

If the phase at the detector is not uniform, which can be caused by inelastic scattering at the sample or by path length differences after the polariser, the modulation is damped and the signal can be described by $I(t) = B + A \cos(\omega_M t)$. For negligible path length differences the MIEZE contrast $C = A/B$...
is a measure of the inelasticity of the scattering, which is the MIEZE equivalent of the measured polarization in NSE/NRSE.

It can be shown [15] that with an ideal setup, the contrast is the cosine Fourier transform of the scattering law \( S(q, \omega) \) of the sample

\[
C(q, \tau_M) = \int d\omega S(q, \omega) \cos(\omega \tau_M),
\]

with the sample placed at the position \( L_S \) before the detector. With \( m \) being the mass of the neutron, the MIEZE time \( \tau_M \) is given by

\[
\tau_M = \frac{h \omega_M L_S}{mv^3}.
\]

This is the Fourier time of the instrument, equivalent to the spin-echo time of NSE/NRSE [15].

For a real MIEZE instrument, the contrast of the modulation is reduced by imperfections of polarisers, coils, detector and by path length differences. The reduction in contrast \( C \) due to different sample shapes and spin-echo times was studied theoretically in reference [12]. To benchmark the quality of the Monte-Carlo simulation of MIEZE, we reproduce and verify the previous work in the next section.

3. MIEZE and Monte-Carlo simulations

3.1. Reproduction of previous results

For the reproduction of the previous results from the reference [12] we used the Monte-Carlo simulation package McStas [13, 14] simulating a standard MIEZE setup without any additional neutron optics and the sample only.

The contrast of the MIEZE signal is reduced by several effects of which we discuss the most important ones, namely the thickness of the absorber in the detectors, the resolution of the sample and the geometry of the sample in more detail. The effect of imperfections in the NRSE coils on the spin and the sample only.

The thickness of the absorber in the detectors, the resolution of the sample calculated using an analytical method are very well reproduced by the McStas simulations using a standard MIEZE setup with no additional neutron optics (compare Figs. 1 and 2 in this paper to Figs. 6 and 7 in [12]).

3.2. Compensation for detector misalignment

In a real experiment with a total length in the order of several tens of metres a detector misalignment relative to the coils violating the MIEZE condition, will lead to a reduction of MIEZE contrast. We briefly show that this effect can easily be compensated by adjusting one of the RF frequencies.

A detector that is misaligned by an offset of \( \Delta l \) away from \( L_2 \) does not fulfill the MIEZE condition (Eq. 1) anymore. However, the signal can be recovered by adjusting the frequency of one of the \( \pi \)-flipper coils. This can immediately be seen if we substitute \( L_2 \) by \( L_2 + \Delta l \) and \( \omega_1 \) by \( \omega_1 + \Delta \omega_1 \) in eq. (1) and solve for \( \Delta \omega_1 \):

\[
\Delta \omega_1 = (\omega_2 - \omega_1) - \frac{L_1 \cdot \omega_2}{\Delta l + L_1 + L_2}.
\]

According to Eq. (5), the first spin flipper frequency \( \omega_1 \) has to be adjusted by \( \Delta \omega_1 \) to compensate for a detector misalignment of \( \Delta l \). The simulations (Fig. 3) show that the signal can be perfectly recovered.

4. A dedicated NRSE-MIEZE instrument at the ESS

In the following we show how the newly developed simulation tools can be used to demonstrate the feasibility of a focusing MIEZE beam line for various \( q \) and \( \tau_M \) parameters.
We will propose a combined MIEZE/NRSE instrument for measuring slow dynamics, in particular from depolarising samples and samples in extreme environments (magnetic fields, pressure) at the planned European Spallation Source (ESS) in Lund, Sweden. This source will provide neutron pulses with a repetition rate of 14 Hz, a pulse length of 2.8 ms and a total power of 5 MW. The peak intensity will reach 30 times the average intensity of the ILL. A sketch of the planned instrument configuration is shown in Fig. 4. It consists of a beam extraction and guide system based on Montel optics \cite{19, 20, 21, 22}, which allows a decoupling of the selection of the size and the divergence of the incident beam. The distance between the focal points of each Montel mirror is projected to be $L_1 = 16$ m. The reflecting mirrors are assumed to be 9.6 m long. The goal is to transport only those neutrons to the sample that will be useful for scattering from the sample, i.e. the beam size is equivalent to the sample size and the divergence is such that it is compatible with the requirements of the experiment. All other neutrons are absorbed before, therefore the background will be minimised at the sample position and the low radiation load on the transport system for the neutrons will yield a longer life time of the components.

The chopper system will be designed such that it provides neutron pulses with a repetition rate of $10^5$ Hz, a pulse length of 2.8 ms and a total power of 5 MW. The peak intensity will reach 30 times the average intensity of the ILL. A sketch of the planned instrument configuration is shown in Fig. 4. It consists of a beam extraction and guide system based on Montel optics \cite{19, 20, 21, 22}, which allows a decoupling of the selection of the size and the divergence of the incident beam. The distance between the focal points of each Montel mirror is projected to be $L_1 = 16$ m. The reflecting mirrors are assumed to be 9.6 m long. The goal is to transport only those neutrons to the sample that will be useful for scattering from the sample, i.e. the beam size is equivalent to the sample size and the divergence is such that it is compatible with the requirements of the experiment. All other neutrons are absorbed before, therefore the background will be minimised at the sample position and the low radiation load on the transport system for the neutrons will yield a longer life time of the components.

The chopper system will be designed such that it provides neutrons for wavelength bands $3 \leq \lambda \leq 9.5$ Å and $9 \leq \lambda \leq 15.5$ Å by changing the phase relation between the two choppers. They are located right after the biological shielding of the ESS (6 m downstream the moderator) and in front of the sample. Therefore, neutrons spanning the whole wavelength band without frame overlap and using all pulses from the moderator are used. The lower wavelength band around 6.25 Å defines the total length of the instrument ($L_{\text{tot}} = 45$ m) from the moderator to the sample position, which is located 26 m after the biological shielding (Fig. 4).

The coil system consists of two NRSE coils: one in front of the sample and one located between the two Montel mirrors of the guide system. The 16 m long precession region is shielded by Ni-metal for providing a zero field region. The first Montel mirror polarises the beam. In front of the sample will be a removable spin analyser. Running the two coils at different RF-frequencies one obtains a time modulated intensity at the detector. Two options are possible:

i. For the small angle scattering regime, a detector of 1 m$^2$ with a spatial resolution of 2 mm will be placed 10 m behind the sample allowing a time resolution of the order of $\mu$s (see Figs. 6 and 7). Such a detector can be realised for example by combining position-sensitive detectors such as the CASCADE system \cite{16, 17, 18}. It also conserves the MIEZE contrast as described above.

ii. For larger values of $q$, a set of NRSE coils behind the sample will correct for the path length differences in the beam. Using an analyser bank over an angular range of $90^\circ$ and a detector distance of 2 m (with a relaxed resolution of 1 cm), this setup will allow for a $q$-range up to 3 Å$^{-1}$ with a time resolution exceeding 100 ns. Note that this configuration has not yet been simulated as it needs a different simulation method.

The Monte-Carlo simulations as described in section 3.1...
were now used to simulate the signal for the small angle regime of the proposed instrument i.e. option (i) above. The simulation consists of the ESS source, the instrument including the Montel optics, the MIEZE coils and the detector. Perfect MIEZE coils, Montel mirrors and no gravity were assumed. Note, that the total contrast reduction is obtained by multiplying the individual contrast reductions due to the focusing optics (Fig. 6)) and the sample shape (Fig. 7).

An optimisation of the inclination of the NRSE coils due to the chosen mirror configuration (see Fig. 5) was performed. The influence of the flight path differences on the resolution was significantly reduced for an optimal inclination of the coils by 1.65 degrees. A misplacement of the detectors would degenerate the signal, but can be compensated by the adjustment of the MIEZE frequency as demonstrated in Fig. 3. Using a wavelength of 15 Å and the optimal MIEZE frequency, which allow for maximal MIEZE times of up to a microsecond, the simulation results show no relevant decrease of the MIEZE contrast at the optimal inclination of the coils (see Fig. 6). Taking into account the reduction factor due to the sample shape the accessible q−τM space for two typical sample geometries used in the field of magnetism is plotted in Fig. 7. The results demonstrate that the large time resolution up to one microsecond of the instrument can be preserved for selected sample geometries.

In conclusion, we succeeded to prove that Monte-Carlo simulations are a powerful means for the optimisation of spectrometers using the MIEZE technique. Choosing a favourable sample geometry can preserve the excellent time resolution of the instrument. Furthermore, the use of focusing techniques and Montel optics will lead to a low background and a tremendously reduced radiation load on the beam components. Moreover, no mirror optics and no choppers are required inside the biological shielding thus facilitating the maintenance of a MIEZE beam line. Adjusting the MIEZE frequency will compensate for possible detector misplacements. We have shown that path length differences can be effectively compensated by properly tilting the π-flippers in a focussing NRSE/MIEZE instrument.

We have demonstrated that a powerful, low background and low cost MIEZE/NRSE beam line at the ESS can be realised using beam components that are already available now and are in regular use at the beam line RESEDA at FRM II [23]. The time resolution of a MIEZE/NRSE at large angles will be of the same order as for NSE SPAN-like instruments [24]. However, going to small q will add the benefit of performing experiments from depolarising samples and in magnetic fields with a significantly improved time resolution.
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Figure 6: Contrast vs. MIEZE time $\tau_M$ for various wavelengths for the small angle regime without inclusion of the effects of sample shape and gravitation. The instrument parameters are: $L_1 = 16\,\text{m}$, $L_2 = 16\,\text{m}$, $L_3 = 13\,\text{m}$. The coating of the Montel optics is 8 times the critical angle of natural nickel.

Figure 7: Time resolution limitations from the sample shape, not including effects of the focusing mirrors. The $R = 50\%$ contour for a cylindrical sample in reflecting geometry with a thickness of 2 mm (typically used for soft matter) and a cylindrical sample with a radius of 3 mm (typically used for hard condensed matter and for experiments under extreme conditions) are shown for different wavelengths. Instrument parameters are: $L_1 = 16\,\text{m}$, $L_2 = 16\,\text{m}$, $L_3 = 13\,\text{m}$.
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