Sex-Specific Mediating Role of Insulin Resistance and Inflammation in the Effect of Adiposity on Blood Pressure of Prepubertal Children
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Abstract

Objective

To evaluate the association between obesity indices and blood pressure (BP) at 4 years of age, in each sex, and to quantify to which extent this association is mediated by inflammation and insulin resistance (IR).

Materials and Methods

We studied 1250 4-year-old children selected from the population-based birth cohort Generation XXI. Associations between body mass index (BMI) z-score and waist-to-height ratio (WHtR), office BP, inflammation (high sensitivity C-reactive protein) and IR (HOMA-IR index) were assessed. Path Analysis, a modified multivariate regression approach, was applied to test causal models and quantify direct and indirect effects of predictors of systolic (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP).

Results

SBP and DBP increased significantly with BMI and WHtR in both sexes. There was a strong direct association (explaining 74.1-93.2% of the total association) of both measures of adiposity with SBP, in both sexes. This association was additionally indirectly mediated by IR, particularly regarding WHtR (20.5% in girls and 9.4% in boys). Mediation by inflammation did not reach statistical significance in either sex. Regarding DBP, the direct effect of adiposity was strong (>95% for BMI and WHtR in boys) and the mediation by IR was much smaller in boys than in girls.
Discussion

The direct association between adiposity and BP in healthy 4-year-old children is strong and IR plays an important mediating role. The strength of effects of IR and inflammation suggests sex differences in the complex interplay between BP, adiposity and inflammation.

Introduction

High blood pressure (BP) in childhood and adolescence tracks into adult life and is an important risk factor for early cardiovascular disease [1]. The increasing incidence of hypertension in children has become a major concern in the context of the pandemic of overweight and obesity that occurred in the past few decades. Numerous reports have documented higher BP to parallel the rise in obesity in children and adolescents [2].

Obesity is a low-grade inflammatory state [3]. Adipose tissue is a major source of endocrine bioactive proinflammatory compounds, whereas the levels of anti-inflammatory adipokines such as adiponectin are reduced in obesity [4]. Moreover, resistance to insulin (IR), a hormone with anti-inflammatory action, is a hallmark of obesity-initiated metabolic syndrome, while inflammatory mediators additionally contribute to the IR state recognized in obesity [5].

A strong association between essential hypertension and inflammation has been demonstrated and significantly higher BP levels have also been found in subjects with highest IR indexes [6–8]. Studies in children have related high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), one of the most extensively studied inflammatory markers, to increased intima-media thickness [9] and left ventricular hypertrophy [10] and cardiovascular risk [11].

In adults, sex differences have been described when associating inflammation and cardiovascular risk. Women have higher levels of hsCRP [12] and its association with BP tends to be stronger, which is only partly explained by different fat mass [13]. A recent study reported marked sexual dimorphism in the relationship of visceral and peripheral fat with BP variation in adolescence [14] but the differences in fat distribution are evident even earlier in childhood, with differences in total body fat starting before puberty [15, 16]. Actually, there is evidence that even before major hormonal changes occur during puberty, sex differences in hormonal levels might already exist and influence CV risk factors expression [17] but few studies explored these differences [18]. More evidence is needed to understand the operating mechanisms underlying the relations between overweight, IR, inflammation and BP in early childhood.

We hypothesized that low-grade inflammation and IR may play a role in the modulation of arterial BP during childhood, mediating at least part of the association between obesity and hypertension, and that these associations may differ between sexes. The aim of the present study was to assess the effect of indices of obesity on BP in 4-year-old boys and girls, and to which extent inflammation and IR mediate this association.

Methods

Study design and sample

The present study is based on the previously established cohort Generation XXI, a population-based birth cohort from northern Portugal (n = 8647) [19, 20]. At 4 years of the children’s age, the cohort was re-evaluated and 7458 (67.3%) children attended a face-to-face interview and physical examination at the study site, among whom 1524 (who had a cord blood sample stored) were invited to provide a fasting venous blood sample. From these we excluded: 18
children who had renal, cardiac or metabolic chronic diseases that were considered likely to interfere with BP, body composition or hsCRP values (no child had a history of usage of medication considered likely to interfere with the key study variables); 63 children with hsCRP values exceeding 10 mg/L, the accepted threshold for low-grade inflammation [21]; 159 children whose blood collection was not performed after an overnight fast of at least 8 hours; 22 children without valid BP measurements and 12 with only one isolated determination of BP were also excluded. The final sample for the current analysis included 1250 children, 609 girls and 641 boys.

When compared to the excluded children, those included in the final analysis had older mothers at the index child’s birth [mean (standard deviation (SD)): 29.3 (5.5) vs. 28.0 (6.1) years, p = 0.002] and were from families with a higher socioeconomic status (schooling of the parents >12 years: 29.5% vs. 19.9%, p = 0.002 and household income >1500€/month: 41.6% vs. 28.6%, p<0.001). The prevalence of preeclampsia during the index child’s gestation was lower in the included group (0.4% vs. 2.7%, p<0.001). No differences were found in the proportion of other gestational disorders, preterm delivery, presence of malformations at birth, sex of the child, birth weight or body mass index (BMI) at the 4 years old evaluation.

Data collection and variables definition

The participants were evaluated at the study site, including face-to-face interviews of the caregivers and a physical examination of the children comprising anthropometric data measurements (namely height, weight and waist circumference) and BP evaluation.

Overweight and obesity of the parents was considered when at least one of the parents was affected; the World Health Organization criteria were considered for classification (overweight if BMI ≥ 25 kg/m2 and obesity if BMI ≥ 30 kg/m2), based on self-reported weight and height. If one of the child’s parents or siblings self-reported to have a previous diagnosis of hypertension or to be medicated with an anti-hypertensive drug at the moment of child’s evaluation, the child would be considered to have family history of hypertension. Gestational hypertensive disorders were defined by the presence of gestational hypertension or preeclampsia/eclampsia, as recorded on obstetrical records of the child’s mother during the index pregnancy and in the absence of a previous diagnosis of chronic hypertension. Classes of the sex-specific adequate birth weight for gestational age were defined according to the population-based Canadian reference curves (below the 10th percentile, small for gestational age; equal to or above the 10th percentile and below the 90th percentile, adequate for gestational age; equal to or above the 90th percentile, large for gestational age) [22].

At 4 years of age, body weight was determined to the nearest 0.1 kg in a digital scale (Seca) and height was determined in the upright position to the nearest 0.1 cm with a wall stadiometer (Seca). BMI was calculated as weight (in kg) divided by height (in m) squared. Waist circumference was measured at the umbilicus’ line to the nearest millimeter, with a tape measure. Waist circumference was indexed to height (WHtR in cm/m). BMI-for-age values were classified according to the World Health Organization growth reference data for BMI z-score for children below 5 years old, into the following categories: underweight (<2 standard deviations (SD)); normal weight (-2SD to +1SD); at risk of overweight (+1SD to +2SD); overweight (+2SD) and obesity (+3SD) [23].

BP was evaluated with an aneroid sphygmomanometer (Erka Vario DeskModel) with an adequately sized cuff, by a trained examiner, twice with a 5-minute interval between measurements, with the subject in a seated position and the antecubital fossa supported at heart level, after at least a 5-minute rest. When the difference between the two determinations was larger than 5 mmHg for systolic (SBP) or diastolic BP (DBP) a third measurement was taken and the
mean of the 2 closest values was considered. No significant differences were found between the average BP of the group with two measurements and the average of the 2nd and 3rd measurement in the group with three measurements (SBP: 106.8 vs. 106.7, p = 0.934; DBP: 73.5 vs. 74.0, p = 0.617 respectively). Systolic and diastolic BP were classified according to the American Academy of Pediatrics criteria and hypertension was considered as SBP and/or DBP equal or above to the 95th percentile for sex, age and height [24].

Venous blood samples were obtained after 8 to 12 hours of overnight fast, for hsCRP, glucose and insulin measurement. All determinations were performed in the Clinical Pathology Department of Centro Hospitalar São João, Porto, Portugal. hsCRP was tested by immunonephelometric assays with CardioPhase hsCRP (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Marburg, Germany), which holds a minimum threshold for detection of 0.16mg/L. The basal IR was assessed using the homeostasis model assessment of IR (HOMA-IR) [25] and logarithmized for the final analysis (log-HOMA-IR).

Ethics Statement
The Generation XXI study and the present study protocol were approved by the Ethics Committee of Centro Hospitalar São João and Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto and by the National Data Protection Commission. They comply with the Helsinki Declaration and the current national legislation. Written informed consent was obtained from caretakers on behalf of the children enrolled in our study and the children provided verbal assent to participate. The informed consent procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee.

Statistical analysis
Associations between the continuous variables included in the Path models were quantified using Spearman correlation ($\rho$). The effect of the presence of obesity in the parents, family history of hypertension and birth weight classes for gestational age on SBP and DBP was evaluated using multiple linear regression models (adjusted for age (in months) and additionally for BMI z-score, when duly signed). Separate analysis was performed for boys and girls. Standard statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0 (Armonk, NY).

Path Analysis, an extension of multivariate regression analysis which allows for the simultaneous estimation of interrelations between variables in a set, is being increasingly used to decompose and compare the magnitude of effects between variables with complex interrelations or to test the plausibility of mediation effects [26]. We conducted a Path Analysis assuming 2 possible causal models with hypothesized mechanisms linking obesity indices, either BMI z-score or WHtR, with SBP and DBP directly and the possible indirect effects mediated by hsCRP and log-HOMA-IR, adjusting for family history of hypertension (and additionally for age in months in the WHtR model). Models were fitted with Mplus software (Muthén and Muthén, Los Angeles, California); 95% confidence intervals were calculated by bootstrapping; and goodness of fit was evaluated using Qui-square test (degrees of freedom considered are indicated for each model), Confirmatory Fit Index (CFI; good fit $\geq$0.95; acceptable fit $\geq$0.90) and Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA; good fit: <0.06; acceptable fit <0.08). For all models, we present effect estimates as non-standardized coefficients to facilitate the interpretation of the results. Dataset is provided as S1 Dataset.

Results
Our sample included 1250 children with a mean (± SD) age of 53.0 ± 4.6 months, 51% males; their baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. The overall prevalence of overweight and
Obesity was 6.2% and 2.6%, respectively, and 19.6% of children were at risk of overweight. Girls had a higher WHtR (49.6 vs. 49.0, p = 0.007) but BMI was not significantly different (16.2 vs. 16.0, p = 0.064). The prevalence of hypertension was 6.1% among normal weight children (5.0% in girls and 7.2% in boys) and 34.4% (46.7% in girls and 23.5% in boys) among obese children. Mean SBP was 97.5 ± 7.8 and 98.3 ± 8.3 mmHg, and mean DBP was 56.4 ± 7.6 and 56.3 ± 7.9 mmHg in girls and boys, respectively.

The regression of child’s systolic and diastolic BP on obesity of the parents, family history of hypertension and child’s birth weight classes according to gestational age are shown in Table 2. The effect of these variables on BP after adjustment to child’s BMI was evaluated in order to decide if they should be included in the final Path analysis models. The presence of obesity in the parents had a significant effect only on girls’ SBP but this effect was no longer significant after adjustment for child’s BMI z-score. The existence of family history of hypertension also presented a positive effect on girl’s SBP and this effect persisted after adjustment for child’s BMI z-score. The class of birth weight according to the gestational age at birth was not significantly associated with BP, neither in girls nor in boys (Table 2). Considering these results, all

Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample (n = 1250).

|                                | All n = 1250 | Girls n = 609 | Boys n = 641 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Age (months), mean (SD)        | 53.0 (4.6)  | 53.0 (4.5)    | 53.0 (4.7)  |
| Mother’s scholar years, mean (SD) | 10.7 (4.3) | 10.6 (4.3)    | 10.7 (4.2)  |
| Monthly household income, n (%) |             |               |             |
| ≤1500 €                        | 712 (57.0)  | 350 (57.5)    | 362 (56.5)  |
| >1500 €                        | 538 (43.0)  | 259 (42.5)    | 279 (43.5)  |
| Overweight and obesity of the parents, n (%) | | | |
| Overweight                     | 431 (30.6)  | 210 (30.4)    | 221 (30.8)  |
| Obesity                        | 299 (21.2)  | 157 (22.8)    | 142 (19.8)  |
| Family history of hypertension, n (%) | 629 (51.8)| 291 (49.7)    | 338 (53.8)  |
| Hypertensive gestational disorders*, n (%) | 27 (2.2)    | 13 (2.1)      | 14 (2.2)    |
| Birth weight for gestational age, n (%)† | | | |
| Small (<10th percentile)       | 173 (13.9)  | 91 (15.0)     | 82 (13.0)   |
| Adequate (10th-90th percentile) | 1024 (82.4)| 490 (80.9)    | 534 (83.8)  |
| Large (>90th percentile)       | 46 (3.7)    | 25 (4.1)      | 21 (3.3)    |
| BMI (kg/m²), mean (SD)         | 16.1 (1.8)  | 16.2 (1.9)    | 16.0 (1.7)  |
| Waist-to-height ratio (cm/m), mean (SD) | 49.3 (3.5) | 49.6 (3.8)    | 49.0 (3.3)  |
| Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), median (IQR) | 0.71 (0.46–1.03) | 0.74 (0.49–1.11) | 0.66 (0.44–0.98) |
| hsCRP (mg/L), median (IQR)     | 0.4 (0.2–1.0) | 0.5 (0.2–1.2) | 0.3 (0.2–0.8) |
| SBP (mmHg), mean (SD)          | 97.9 (8.1)  | 97.5 (7.8)    | 98.3 (8.3)  |
| DBP (mmHg), mean (SD)          | 56.4 (7.7)  | 56.4 (7.6)    | 56.3 (7.9)  |
| Hypertension, n (%)‡           | 120 (9.6)   | 56 (9.2)      | 64 (10.0)   |

SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; HOMA-IR—homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IQR, interquartile range; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.

* Gestational hypertension and/or preeclampsia/eclampsia
† According to Canadian fetal growth standard [22]
‡ Hypertension was defined as systolic and/or diastolic blood pressure equal or above to the 95th percentile for sex, age, and height, according to American Academy of Pediatrics criteria and reference values [21].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0132097.t001

Obesity was 6.2% and 2.6%, respectively, and 19.6% of children were at risk of overweight. Girls had a higher WHtR (49.6 vs. 49.0, p = 0.007) but BMI was not significantly different (16.2 vs. 16.0, p = 0.064). The prevalence of hypertension was 6.1% among normal weight children (5.0% in girls and 7.2% in boys) and 34.4% (46.7% in girls and 23.5% in boys) among obese children. Mean SBP was 97.5 ± 7.8 and 98.3 ± 8.3 mmHg, and mean DBP was 56.4 ± 7.6 and 56.3 ± 7.9 mmHg in girls and boys, respectively.

The regression of child’s systolic and diastolic BP on obesity of the parents, family history of hypertension and child’s birth weight classes according to gestational age are shown in Table 2. The effect of these variables on BP after adjustment to child’s BMI was evaluated in order to decide if they should be included in the final Path analysis models. The presence of obesity in the parents had a significant effect only on girls’ SBP but this effect was no longer significant after adjustment for child’s BMI z-score. The existence of family history of hypertension also presented a positive effect on girl’s SBP and this effect persisted after adjustment for child’s BMI z-score. The class of birth weight according to the gestational age at birth was not significantly associated with BP, neither in girls nor in boys (Table 2). Considering these results, all
The Path Models presented below were adjusted for family history of hypertension, a potential confounder of the association tested.

**BMI model**

At 4 years of age, SBP increased significantly with BMI, by 3.2 mmHg and 2.6 mmHg per SD of BMI z-score (p < 0.001), in girls and boys, respectively (1 SD BMI z-score is approximately 1 kg/m² for girls and boys at this age). DBP also increased significantly with BMI z-score, by 1.9 mmHg and 2.0 mmHg per SD, in girls and boys (p < 0.001) (Table 3).

In both sexes, SBP was positively correlated with log-HOMA-IR ($\rho = 0.308$, p < 0.001 in girls and $\rho = 0.193$, p < 0.001) and the same was verified for DBP ($\rho = 0.181$, p < 0.001 in girls and $\rho = 0.149$, p < 0.001 in boys). Both SBP and DBP were positively correlated with hsCRP levels but only in girls (SBP: $\rho = 0.151$, p < 0.001 in girls and $\rho = 0.031$, p = 0.433 in boys; DBP: $\rho = 0.164$, p < 0.001 in girls and $\rho = -0.012$, p = 0.760 in boys).

BMI z-score was significantly correlated with log-HOMA-IR in both sexes ($\rho = 0.350$, p < 0.001 and $\rho = 0.222$, p < 0.001, in girls and boys, respectively), whereas it was significantly correlated with hsCRP only in girls ($\rho = 0.165$, p < 0.001 compared to $\rho = 0.044$, p = 0.235 in boys).

**Table 2.** Mean changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure according to pre- and perinatal variables, independently of current child’s BMI z-score.

|                      | Girls Systolic BP $\beta$ (95%CI) | Girls Diastolic BP $\beta$ (95%CI) | Boys Systolic BP $\beta$ (95%CI) | Boys Diastolic BP $\beta$ (95%CI) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                      | p value                          | p value                          | p value                          | p value                          |
| Obesity of the parents | Model 1                           | Model 2                           |                                  |                                  |
|                      | 2.06 (0.62 to 3.50)              | 1.07 (-0.31 to 2.45)             | 1.39 (-0.14 to 2.92)             | 0.36 (-1.12 to 1.83)             |
|                      | p = 0.005                        | p = 0.127                        | p = 0.075                        | p = 0.637                        |
|                      | 0.71 (-0.62 to 2.05)             | 0.27 (-1.08 to 1.63)             | 0.83 (-0.62 to 2.28)             | -0.10 (-1.52 to 1.33)            |
|                      | p = 0.293                        | p = 0.691                        | p = 0.263                        | p = 0.894                        |
| Family history of hypertension | Model 1                           | Model 2                           |                                  |                                  |
|                      | 1.36 (0.13 to 2.60)              | 0.30 (-0.87 to 1.48)             | 0.92 (-0.32 to 2.16)             | -0.09 (-1.28 to 1.10)            |
|                      | p = 0.030                        | p = 0.613                        | p = 0.144                        | p = 0.887                        |
|                      | 1.88 (0.77 to 2.99)              | 0.61 (-0.53 to 1.74)             | 1.09 (-0.07 to 2.26)             | 0.05 (-1.10 to 1.19)             |
|                      | p = 0.001                        | p = 0.296                        | p = 0.066                        | p = 0.936                        |
| Birth weight class  | Small for gestational age | Model 1                           | Model 2                           |                                  |
|                      | -1.65 (-3.33 to 0.03)            | -0.90 (-2.51 to 0.71)            | 0.27 (-1.55 to 2.10)             | -0.06 (-1.81 to 1.69)            |
|                      | p = 0.054                        | p = 0.275                        | p = 0.770                        | p = 0.944                        |
|                      | -0.30 (-1.84 to 1.24)            | -0.07 (-1.64 to 1.50)            | 0.88 (-0.85 to 2.60)             | 0.42 (-1.27 to 2.11)             |
|                      | p = 0.699                        | p = 0.926                        | p = 0.318                        | p = 0.625                        |
|                      | Large for gestational age | Model 1                           | Model 2                           |                                  |
|                      | -0.09 (-3.19 to 3.01)            | -1.94 (-4.92 to 1.03)            | 1.12 (-2.29 to 4.53)             | -0.59 (-3.86 to 2.68)            |
|                      | p = 0.955                        | p = 0.200                        | p = 0.519                        | p = 0.722                        |
|                      | -2.24 (-5.08 to 0.60)            | -3.25 (-6.14 to -0.36)           | 0.04 (-3.18 to 3.25)             | -1.46 (-4.61 to 1.69)            |
|                      | p = 0.121                        | p = 0.027                        | p = 0.983                        | p = 0.364                        |

The values presented are $\beta$ regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (shown in parenthesis). Model 1 is adjusted to each variable in the table and additionally for age (in months). Model 2 is similar to Model 1 but additionally adjusted for child’s BMI z-score.

$\beta$, regression coefficient; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval; BP, blood pressure.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0132097.t002
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The goodness-of-fit of the BMI z-score models was tested and confirmed by CFI (1.000) and RMSEA (< 0.01) and these values suggest a good global fitness (Table 3). When considering the path analysis model for BMI z-score on SBP, in both sexes, the association of adiposity and SBP was mainly explained by a significant direct effect, responsible for 84.5% and 93.2% of the association, in girls and boys, respectively. Nevertheless, in both sexes, a significant part of the effect of adiposity on SBP was indirectly mediated by IR, which explained 12.4% and 6.3% of the association, in girls and boys, respectively. In girls, the association of adiposity and DBP was mainly explained by a significant direct effect responsible for 81.7% of the association; however, a significant part of the association was indirectly mediated by IR (13.5%). In boys, the association of z-score BMI and DBP was uniquely explained by a strong direct effect, responsible for 95.4% of the total association (Table 3).

WHtR model

SBP increased significantly with WHtR, by +0.68 per cm/m, in girls and in boys (p < 0.001). DBP also increased significantly with WHtR, by +0.42 mmHg and +0.72 mmHg per cm/m, in girls and boys (p < 0.001), respectively (Table 4).

WHtR significantly correlated with log-HOMA-IR in both sexes, with Spearman coefficients of 0.224 and 0.165 (both p < 0.001), in girls and boys, respectively. As described above for BMI, WHtR significantly correlated with hsCRP only in girls, with a Spearman coefficient of 0.168 (p < 0.001).

Table 3. Magnitude of standardized direct and indirect effects of z-score BMI on SBP and DBP, calculated by path analysis.

|                | Systolic BP |          | Diastolic BP |          | Systolic BP |          | Diastolic BP |          |
|----------------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|-------------|----------|--------------|----------|
|                | β (95%CI)   | % of effect | β (95%CI)   | % of effect | β (95%CI)   | % of effect | β (95%CI)   | % of effect |
| Total BMI z-score | 3.17 (2.69 to 3.65) | - | 1.86 (1.36 to 2.36) | - | 2.01 (1.62 to 2.40) | - | 2.01 (1.62 to 2.40) | - |
| p<0.001 | p<0.001 | p<0.001 | p<0.001 | p<0.001 |
| Direct | 2.68 (2.14 to 3.21) | 84.5 | 1.52 (0.96 to 2.08) | 81.7 | 1.92 (1.51 to 2.32) | 93.1 | 1.92 (1.51 to 2.32) | 95.5 |
| p<0.001 | p<0.001 | p<0.001 | p<0.001 | p<0.001 |
| Indirect hsCRP | 0.10 (-0.02 to 0.22) | 3.1 | 0.09 (-0.03 to 0.21) | 4.8 | -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.03) | 0.5 | -0.01 (-0.05 to 0.03) | 0.5 |
| p = 0.106 | p = 0.133 | p = 0.600 | p = 0.509 | p = 0.509 |
| Indirect log-HOMA-IR | 0.40 (0.19 to 0.60) | 12.6 | 0.25 (0.02 to 0.48) | 13.5 | 0.11 (-0.03 to 0.24) | 5.5 | 0.11 (-0.03 to 0.24) | 5.5 |
| p<0.001 | p = 0.033 | p = 0.027 | p = 0.129 | p = 0.129 |

The values presented are β regression coefficients and 95% confidence intervals (shown in parenthesis) and the models are additionally adjusted for family history of hypertension.

β, regression coefficient; 95%CI, 95% confidence interval; BMI z-score, body mass index z-score; BP, blood pressure; log-HOMA-IR, logarithmized basal IR assessed by Homeostasis model assessment; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; d.f., degrees of freedom; CFI, Confirmatory Fit Index; RMSEA, Root Mean Square Error of Approximation.
The goodness-of-fit of the WHtR models was tested and confirmed by CFI (values from 0.972 to 0.986) and RMSEA (values from 0.030 to 0.044) and these values suggest a good global fitness (Table 4). In boys, the direct effect of WHtR on SBP explained 90.2% of the total association and 9.4% of the association was mediated by log-HOMA-IR. In girls, we found that the association of WHtR with SBP was mainly explained by a significant direct effect (74.1%) and an indirect effect mediated by IR (20.5%), whereas the effect of hsCRP was only borderline significant (Table 4). For DBP, the same was found in girls, with the majority of the effect being explained by the direct effect (72.8%) of WHtR and by an indirect effect mediated by IR (20.1%), and the effect of hsCRP mediating the association of adiposity on BP was not significant. The model for WHtR was additionally adjusted for age and family history of hypertension.

### Discussion

The results of our study confirm a strong association between obesity indices, both BMI and WHtR, and BP at 4 years of age. Our Path Analysis suggests that, regardless of the measure of obesity considered, most of the association of adiposity with SBP is apparently a direct effect in both sexes, explaining 74.1 to 93.2% of the total association. In addition, significant mediation by IR was observed particularly for WHtR. A similar pattern was observed for DBP, with most of the effect being direct, especially in boys, and some impact of IR particularly on WHtR and in girls (20% vs. 5% in boys). Mediation by inflammation did not reach statistical significance in either sex.
In our sample of 4-year-old children, the finding of a strong crude linear association between measures of obesity and both SBP and DBP is consistent with recent literature reporting a significant impact of obesity on the prevalence of childhood hypertension [2]. The magnitude of the association between obesity and BP is difficult to compare between studies, for methodological reasons. Still, our findings are well in line with previous studies of preschool children, with approximately 20% of hypertension prevalence in obese children [27, 28].

In this study, we used a novel biostatistical approach to determine the quantitative impact of physiopathological mechanisms established in adolescents and adults, i.e. low-grade inflammation and IR, on the development of obesity-related hypertension in early life. Path Analysis was applied to examine the comparative strength of direct and indirect associations between adiposity and BP. While the cross-sectional nature of our study requires careful interpretation with regard to cause-effect relationships, the results obtained by Path Analysis are consistent with previously reported results, with both SBP and DBP showing strong independent associations with both BMI z-score and WHtR, which were partially mediated by IR.

We decided to analyze both BMI z-score and WHtR since it is still controversial which adiposity measure is most closely correlated with BP and cardiovascular risk in children. In adults, central adiposity appears to be more strongly associated with adult cardiovascular disease and diabetes risk [29], and, in children, waist circumference was also suggested as being a better indicator of a range of cardiovascular risk factors, including BP [30]. Still, the vast majority of existing pediatric literature has used BMI to correlate BP and obesity, and a recent cohort study as well as a systematic review found no evidence for superior identification of children with increased cardiometabolic risk by use of waist circumference relative to BMI [31, 32]. The need for adjustment of waist circumference to height is equally controversial as studies in children yielded conflicting results [33, 34]. Adjustment for height appears to alter associations with BP; one study showed that when obesity measures were height-indexed only waist remained significantly positively associated with hypertension risk [34].

In this study, HOMA-IR was significantly correlated with SBP and DBP in both sexes, in keeping with previous findings in children [8]. Path Analysis suggested that the impact of adiposity on SBP is, to a significant part, indirectly mediated by IR in both sexes, but more markedly in girls. In accordance with previous observations, the effect attributable to IR was stronger with respect to WHtR than to BMI [8]. IR is not only the crucial disease mechanism underlying type 2 diabetes but also constitutes an important cardiovascular risk factor operative from childhood age. In the Bogalusa Heart study, significant positive correlations between fasting insulin and BP in children and adolescents were found and childhood IR was followed by higher BP at young adult age [35]. The authors examined the relationship between fasting insulin and glucose at baseline and longitudinal changes in BP, thus allowing the suggestion of a causation pattern, with IR as a possible determinant of BP levels in children [35].

Obesity is a state of glucose dysregulation, high levels of circulating insulin and reduced sensitivity to the metabolic actions of insulin, and some authors point that the higher BP values in obese individuals might result from persistent sympathetic overactivation and volume overload that are characteristic of IR, and that hyperinsulinemia might further increase sodium reabsorption, additionally contributing to additional volume overload independently of obesity [8].

Some studies in prepubertal children showed that girls are intrinsically more insulin resistant than boys [36] and that some differences between sexes in body composition and fat distribution can occur even before puberty [16]. Thus, the stronger effect of IR on BP seen in girls might be dependent on those differences but can also associate with other pathophysiological mechanisms not addressed in the current analysis, such as hyperleptinemia and resistance to leptin. In a recent study, a significant association between HOMA-IR and serum leptin was found, independently of adiposity levels [37] and it was hypothesized that serum leptin
concentration might be an important predictor of IR. In children, leptin levels are known to differ between sexes, even before puberty, with marked rises in serum leptin concentrations in young girls [38]. Thus, leptin differences between sexes could account for some of the sex differences found in the current study.

In girls, both SBP and DBP correlated significantly with hsCRP levels but in the Path Analysis, inflammation did not contribute significantly to the association of BP and adiposity measures. The correlation found between hsCRP and BP level is in line with the results of previous studies focusing on the relation between obesity, low-grade inflammation and BP in healthy children. In 137 Bulgarian children aged 6 to 10 years, hsCRP concentrations increased with the degree of central obesity and were correlated with SBP even after controlling for adiposity [39]. Other studies in older children noted an association between metabolic syndrome components and hsCRP which however did not hold after adjustment for confounders [11, 40]. In a representative sample of 16,335 American children aged 1 to 19 years a consistent association between inflammation and obesity was demonstrated, which was significant from age 3 years onward and became much stronger with increasing age [41]. The authors hypothesized that inflammatory markers may not be immediately elevated with obesity onset in early childhood. Also in our sample, the correlation of hsCRP with BP was weak and of borderline statistical significance in multivariate models including measures of obesity, possibly implying that longer periods of exposure to excessive fat mass environment might be required for the intrinsic effect of inflammation on BP to become apparent. Brown et al. reinforced this hypothesis by comparing two cohorts of healthy children with a mean age of 5.5 and 8.5 years respectively [42]. In the younger group, hsCRP was not significantly related to either adiposity measures or BP, whereas highly significant correlations between hsCRP and adiposity measures as well as BP were evident in the older group which, as in our study, were attenuated when adjusted for covariates [41]. As the follow-up of our birth cohort is ongoing, we hope to contribute valuable longitudinal information to further unravel these intricate associations.

The mediation by hsCRP was not significant in either sex. Nonetheless, despite non-significant, the point estimate was higher in girls than in boys and further studies should try to address this difference. A previous longitudinal study in 118 children showed consistently higher serum IL-6 levels in girls at 15 years but not at 8 years of age [43]. In pubertal adolescents and adults, the sexual dimorphism of adipose tissue mass and inflammatory activity could be explained by differential effects of sex steroids on the regulation of the production and secretion of inflammatory substances [12]. Such overt endocrine effects obviously could not explain the gender differences in our sample of 4-year-old children. However, previous studies in children showed that sex differences in body composition and fat distribution [16] and in sex steroid levels exist before puberty, with girls presenting higher levels of estrogens than boys [17, 44]. Without measuring sexual hormones the application of these explanations require caution and no definite conclusions can be drawn. Another potential explanation for sex differences might be given by gender-related differences in the antenatal programming of nephron endowment and blood pressure [45]. It has been hypothesized that male fetuses grow more rapidly, with less relative placental growth, rendering them more vulnerable to the effects of maternal malnutrition on nephron development and more prone to developing essential hypertension independent of postnatal obesity- and inflammation-related mechanisms [46].

Our comprehensive assessment of different anthropometric measures of obesity, blood pressure and markers of inflammation and insulin resistance in conjunction with an advanced multivariate biostatistical approach allowed us to establish a consistent mechanistic network linking obesity to blood pressure in a large sample of young pre-pubertal children. Our findings support the usefulness of WHtR as an age- and sex-independent measure of central obesity in very young children [47]. The observed subtle gender differences observed in this prepubertal
cohort should stimulate further research into sex-hormone independent sexually dimorphic cardiovascular phenotypes.

While BP measurements in this study were performed by the auscultation method, using aneroid devices as recommended by current guidelines [24], our analysis was limited in several ways by the cross-sectional study design: the lacking opportunity to confirm elevated BP values by repeat measurements may have caused some overestimation of the calculated prevalence of hypertension in the study cohort [48]. Moreover, even though BP measurements were undertaken in a research environment, the white coat effect cannot be ignored. Furthermore, the cross-sectional character of our analysis precludes firm causal inferences regarding the interplay of obesity, inflammation, IR and BP. We hope to prospectively overcome this limitation by continued follow-up of the children, ideally through adulthood, in order to achieve a better understanding of the long-term implications and consequences of excess weight in early childhood.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our results provide further evidence that obesity is strongly associated with high BP early in life. We demonstrate an important role of IR in mediating the association between adiposity and BP. The strength of effects of IR and inflammation suggests a sexual dimorphism in the complex interplay between BP, adiposity and inflammation.
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