VOLUME ESTIMATES AND THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF EXPANDING GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS
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Abstract. We study the asymptotic volume ratio of non-steady gradient Ricci solitons. Moreover, a local estimate of the volume ratio is obtained for expanding solitons which satisfy \( \lim_{\text{dist}(O,x) \to \infty} |\text{Sect}| \cdot \text{dist}(O, x)^2 = 0 \). Therefore, for such a soliton, we can show that it must have \( \mathbb{R}^n \) as one of its tangent cone at infinity. (Here we assume that the soliton is simply connected at infinity, has only one end and \( n \geq 3 \)).

1. Introduction

The Ricci solitons, which are generalizations of the Einstein manifolds, are important solutions to the Ricci flow. Besides the advantage of having explicit equations, they occur in the analysis of blow-up limits near singularities. In this article, we only discuss the complete non-compact solitons, which are much more complicated than the compact ones. In the three-dimensional case, the classification of shrinking solitons under some reasonable conditions leads to the performance of surgery. For higher dimensional cases, some results about the classification of solitons were obtained in the last four years, e.g. [8, 23, 25, 28, 5, 33]. These results were derived under various curvature assumptions such as locally conformally flat, constant scalar curvature, nonnegative Ricci curvature (for expanding solitons) or bounded nonnegative curvature operator (for shrinking solitons when \( n = 4 \)). In this article, we try to understand the geometry of solitons which are not Ricci-nonnegative.

Besides the studies on the classification, there are some results and conjectures about the non-existence. We recall some classical non-existence theorems about general complete Riemannian manifolds. In [2], S. Bando, A. Kasue and H. Nakajima proved that there exists no manifold with \( \text{Ric} \geq 0, \lim_{\text{dist}(O,x) \to \infty} |\text{Sect}| \cdot \text{dist}(O, x)^2 = 0 \) and \( \text{Vol}(B_s) \geq C s^n \) for all geodesic balls \( B_s \) with radius \( s \) and center \( O \), where we use \( C \) to denote various constants. Another non-existence result due to R. E. Greene and H. Wu [17] and G. Drees [15] states that there exists no manifold with positive sectional curvature and \( \lim_{\text{dist}(O,x) \to \infty} R \cdot \text{dist}(O, x)^2 = 0 \) except for \( n = 4 \) or 8. Here, and afterwards, \( R \) always stands for the scalar curvature. An approach to achieve these non-existence results is to study the tangent cones at infinity of such manifolds. Indeed, we prove that if a non-flat non-steady Ricci soliton \( M \) satisfies \( \lim_{\text{dist}(O,x) \to \infty} |\text{Sect}| \cdot \text{dist}(O, x)^2 = 0 \) and is simply connected at infinity, then each tangent cone at infinity of \( M \) is the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \). (Here we assume that the soliton has only one end and has dimension \( n \geq 3 \).) For the case of gradient expanding solitons, B.-L. Chen and X.-P. Zhu [9] proved that they cannot have \( \text{Sect} \geq 0 \) and positively \( \epsilon \)-pinched Ricci curvature, i.e. \( \text{Ric} \geq \epsilon \text{Rg} \) and \( R > 0 \), when \( n \geq 3 \). It is still unknown that whether the condition on the sectional curvature can be discarded or not. (See also [21, 20] and [24], section 3, for some discussions about this.)
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2. The growth of \(f\) on Ricci solitons with certain curvature decay

Let \((M, g, f)\) be a complete non-compact expanding gradient Ricci soliton, which satisfies the following equation:

\[
R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = -g_{ij},
\]

and \(R\) be the scalar curvature of \((M, g, f)\). The following three lemmas are well-known.

**Lemma 1.** (R. S. Hamilton, [18]) We have \(R + |\nabla f|^2 + 2f = C_1\) for some constant \(C_1\) which can be absorbed by \(f\).

**Lemma 2.** (R. S. Hamilton, [19]) The time-independent Harnack quantity \(\Delta R - (\nabla R, \nabla f) + 2(R + |\text{Ric}|^2)\) vanishes on \((M, g, f)\).

**Lemma 3.** (B.-L. Chen, [8]) We have \(R \geq -C_2\) for some constant \(C_2 > 0\).

As we can see in Lemma 1, there is a normalization on the function \(f\) which is usually used to simplify proofs in many cases. Considering the derivative of \(f\), we have the following a priori relation between \(\nabla f\) and \(\nabla R\).

**Theorem 1.** If \(\nabla f(p) = 0\) for some \(p \in M\), then \(\nabla R(p) = 0\). On the other hand, if \(\nabla R(p) = 0\) and \(R(p) < -\frac{n-1+|\text{Ric}|^2}{2}\), then \(\nabla f(p) = 0\).

**Proof.** If \(\nabla f(p) = 0\), then we have \(dR = 2\text{Ric}(\nabla f, \cdot) = 0\) at \(p\).
On the other hand, suppose $\nabla R(p) = 0$ and $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$, we claim that $R(p) \geq -\frac{n-1+|\text{Ric}|^2}{2}$. Since $|\nabla f|$ is locally Lipschitz, by Lemma 1, we have

$$-2\nabla f = \nabla |\nabla f|^2 = 2|\nabla f| \cdot \nabla |\nabla f|.$$ 

By using the assumption $\nabla f(p) \neq 0$ and Kato’s inequality $|\nabla |\nabla f|| \leq |\text{Hess} f|$, we can divide both sides by $|\nabla f|$ and get

$$|g + \text{Ric}|^2 = |\text{Hess} f|^2 \geq |\nabla |\nabla f||^2 = \left| \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} \right|^2 = 1,$$

i.e. $n + 2R + |\text{Ric}|^2 \geq 1$. Hence $R \geq -\frac{n-1+|\text{Ric}|^2}{2}$ at $p$. The statement of theorem follows by reduction to the absurd.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 1.** Similar computation holds for shrinking solitons.

Given a fixed point $O \in M$, we set $s = \text{dist}(O, x)$ and $\gamma(s)$ be a unit-speed minimizing geodesic connecting $O$ and $x$, where $x \in M$ is chosen arbitrarily. We use the notation $'$ to denote the differentiation with respect to $s$ along $\gamma(s)$. The following proposition, which seems to appear first time in the literature in [32], is an easy consequence of Lemmas 1 and 2.

**Proposition 1.** For every expanding soliton $M$, we have $|f'(x)| \leq |\nabla f(x)| \leq s + L(O)$, where $L(x) = \sqrt{C_1 + C_2 - 2f(x)} = \sqrt{C_2 + R(x) + |\nabla f(x)|^2}$. Moreover, when $\text{Ric} \geq 0$, we have $f'(x) \leq -s + f(O)$.

**Proof.** Since $-C_2 + |\nabla f|^2 + 2f \leq R + |\nabla f|^2 + 2f = C_1$, we have $|\nabla f| \leq \sqrt{C_1 + C_2 - 2f} = L$. Combining with $\nabla L = \frac{-\nabla f}{\sqrt{C_1 + C_2 - 2f}}$, we have $|\nabla L| \leq 1$.

Integrating it from the point $O$ to some point $x = \gamma(s)$ along $\gamma$, we have

$$L(x) - L(O) = \int_0^s L' \leq \int_0^s |\nabla L| \leq s.$$

Hence, $|f'(x)| \leq |\nabla f(x)| \leq L(x) \leq s + L(O)$.

When $\text{Ric} \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^s f'' \leq \int_0^s \text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') + \int_0^s f'' = -s$$

implies that $f'(x) \leq -s + f'(O)$.

\[ \square \]

From this proposition, it is easy to see that for every expanding gradient Ricci soliton which has nonnegative Ricci curvature, the potential function $f(x)$ must decrease quadratically in $s$. The following theorem shows that this property holds for expanding solitons whose Ricci curvatures may be negative.

**Theorem 2.** If $|\text{Ric}| \leq C s^{-\varepsilon}$, $s \equiv \text{dist}(O, x)$, for some constant $\varepsilon < 1$ and some point $O \in M$, then there exists a point $p \in M$ and $C_3, C_4 > 0$ such that $|\text{Ric}| \leq C_3 \cdot \text{dist}(p, x)^{-\varepsilon}$ and $f$ satisfies

$$-r \left( 1 + \frac{C_4}{r^\varepsilon} \right) \leq f'(x) \leq -r \left( 1 - \frac{C_4}{r^\varepsilon} \right),$$

where $r$ is the distance from $O$ to $x$. 

where \( r = \text{dist}(p, x) \). As a consequence, we have

\[
-\frac{1}{2} r^2 \left(1 + \frac{C_5}{r^2}\right) + f(p) \leq f(x) \leq -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \left(1 - \frac{C_5}{r^2}\right) + f(p).
\]

Proof. From

\[
-C \int_0^s \epsilon^{-\epsilon} + \int_0^s f'' \leq \int_0^s \text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma') + \int_0^s f'' = \int_0^s -1 \leq C \int_0^s \epsilon^{-\epsilon} + \int_0^s f'',
\]

we have

\[
-s - C \int_0^s \epsilon^{-\epsilon} \leq \int_0^s f'' \leq -s + C \int_0^s \epsilon^{-\epsilon}
\]

and hence

\[
-s \left(1 + \frac{C_4}{s^\epsilon}\right) + f'(O) \leq f'(x) \leq -s \left(1 - \frac{C_4}{s^\epsilon}\right) + f'(O).
\]

In order to achieve the conclusion, it is enough to show that \( f \) has a critical point \( p \) (and then repeat the calculation above.) This can be observed by considering the geodesic sphere \( \partial B_s(O) \) with \( s \) very large. Since \( \nabla f \cdot \nabla \epsilon \) is negative on such sphere, \( \nabla f \) must point inwards. So \( \nabla f = 0 \) at some point \( p \) inside the ball \( B_s(O) \).

\[\square\]

We recall that the potential function grows quadratically on every shrinking gradient Ricci soliton. This was proved by H.-D. Cao and D.T. Zhou in [3]. Moreover, by using the same proof in Theorem 1, we have \( r \left(1 - \frac{C}{r^2}\right) \leq f'(x) \leq r \left(1 + \frac{C}{r^2}\right) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} r^2 \left(1 - \frac{C_5}{r^2}\right) + f(p) \leq f(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} r^2 \left(1 + \frac{C_5}{r^2}\right) + f(p) \) for shrinking solitons which satisfy \( R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = g_{ij} \) and \( |\text{Ric}| \leq C \cdot \text{dist}(O, x)^{-\epsilon} \).

Remark 2. The condition \(|\text{Ric}| \leq C \epsilon^{-\epsilon}\) in Theorem 1 can be replaced by \(|\text{Ric}(\gamma', \gamma')| \leq C \epsilon^{-\epsilon}\) for all \( \gamma \) starting from \( O \). It is worthy to distinguish these two conditions because a cigar-like manifold may satisfy the second condition while breaks the first one.

### 3. Volume estimates of non-steady gradient Ricci solitons

It was mentioned in [11] that a complete non-compact expanding gradient Ricci soliton with \( \text{Ric} > 0 \) must have positive asymptotic volume ratio, which was proved by Hamilton. That is, the limit \( \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{s^n} \) exists and is positive. Indeed, one only need to show \( \liminf_{s \to \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{s^n} > 0 \) because the upper bound comes from Bishop-Gromov comparison. However, when the soliton is not Ricci-nonnegative, the limit may not exist. In [3], J. A. Carrillo and L. Ni proved that \( \liminf_{s \to \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{s^n} > 0 \) by only assuming that the scalar curvature is nonnegative. We now can weaken the curvature condition to be \( \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R \geq -C \epsilon^{-\epsilon} \), where \( B_s \subset M \) always denotes the geodesic ball with central point \( O \) and radius \( s \). We also derive an upper bound estimate for expanding solitons with \( \text{Ric} \geq -C \epsilon^{-\epsilon} g \) and \( \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R \leq C \epsilon^{-\epsilon} \) by using the same method.

**Theorem 3.** Let \((M, g, f)\) be a complete non-compact expanding gradient Ricci soliton with scalar curvature \( R \). If there exists \( O \in M \) such that \( \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R \geq -C \epsilon^{-\epsilon} \), where
\( \varepsilon > 0 \) is a constant, then \( \liminf_{s \to \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{s^n} \geq \eta \). Moreover, if we have \( \text{Ric} \geq -Cs^{-\varepsilon}g \) and \( \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R \leq Cs^{-\varepsilon} \), then

\[
C^{-1}s^n \leq \text{Vol}(B_s) \leq Cs^n
\]

holds for all \( s \geq A \), where \( A \) is a large constant.

**Proof.** Taking the trace of the soliton equation \( R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = -g_{ij} \) and integrating it on \( B_s \), we have

\[
-n\text{Vol}(B_s) = \int_{B_s} R + \int_{B_s} \Delta f = \int_{B_s} R + \int_{\partial B_s} \nabla f \cdot \nabla s \geq \int_{B_s} R - \int_{\partial B_s} (s + L(O))
\]

\[
= \int_{B_s} R - (s + L(O))\text{Area}(\partial B_s) = \int_{B_s} R - (s + L(O)) \frac{d}{ds} \text{Vol}(B_s).
\]

Therefore,

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \log \text{Vol}(B_s) \geq \frac{1}{(s + L(O))\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R + \frac{n}{s + L(O)}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(s + L(O))\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R + \frac{d}{ds} \log (s + L(O))^n
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{d}{ds} \log \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{(s + L(O))^n} \right) \geq \frac{1}{(s + L(O))\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R - \frac{C}{(s + L(O))s^{\varepsilon}} \geq \frac{-C}{s^{1+\varepsilon}}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \log \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{(s + L(O))^n} \right) \geq \int_{\rho}^{s} - \frac{C}{s^{1+\varepsilon}} + \log \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{\rho})}{(\rho + L(O))^n} \right) = \frac{C}{\varepsilon} s^{-\varepsilon} - \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \rho^{-\varepsilon} + \log \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{\rho})}{(\rho + L(O))^n} \right)
\]

for any positive constant \( \rho < s \).

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{(s + L(O))^n} \geq \left( e^{-\frac{C}{\varepsilon} s^{-\varepsilon} - \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \rho^{-\varepsilon}} \right) \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{\rho})}{(\rho + L(O))^n} \geq e^{-\frac{C}{\varepsilon} \rho^{-\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{\rho})}{(\rho + L(O))^n}
\]

Hence,

\[
\liminf_{s \to \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{s^n} \geq e^{-\frac{C}{\varepsilon} \rho^{-\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{\text{Vol}(B_{\rho})}{(\rho + L(O))^n} \equiv \eta > 0.
\]

For the reader’s convenience, we write down the proof of the upper bound estimate although it is almost the same to the above one.

From the lower bound of the Ricci curvature and Theorem 2, we have \( f'(x) \leq -s + C \) for \( s \) large enough. Together with the lower bound of the averaged scalar curvature, we have

\[
-n\text{Vol}(B_s) = \int_{B_s} R + \int_{\partial B_s} \nabla f \cdot \nabla s \leq Cs^{-\varepsilon} \text{Vol}(B_s) - (s - C) \frac{d}{ds} \text{Vol}(B_s)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{-n}{s - C} \leq \frac{C}{(s - C)s^{\varepsilon}} - \frac{d}{ds} \log \text{Vol}(B_s) \leq \frac{C}{s^{1+\varepsilon}} - \frac{d}{ds} \log \text{Vol}(B_s).
\]

Hence we get a similar inequality \( \frac{d}{ds} \log \left( \frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{(s + C)^n} \right) \leq \frac{C}{s^{1+\varepsilon}} \). The rest of the proof is easy to work out.

\[ \square \]

For shrinking gradient Ricci solitons, the same calculation gives the following theorem.
Theorem 4. Let \((M, g, f)\) be a complete non-compact shrinking gradient Ricci soliton which satisfies \(R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = g_{ij}\). If there exists \(O \in M\) such that \(\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R \leq Cs^a\), where \(a\) is a nonzero constant, then its volume ratio \(\frac{\text{Vol}(B_s)}{s^n}\) is bounded from below by \(C \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{4} s^a}\) for \(s\) large enough. When \(\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R \leq \delta_1 < n\) (for \(s\) large enough), we have \(\text{Vol}(B_s) \geq C \cdot s^{n-\delta_1}\) for \(s\) large enough. Similarly, \(\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(B_s)} \int_{B_s} R \geq \delta_2 > 0\) implies \(\text{Vol}(B_s) \leq C \cdot s^{n-\delta_2}\).

A similar result to the case \(a = 0\) in Theorem 4 was proved by Cao and Zhou in [3] (the case of volume lower bound). The last statement concerning the sharp upper volume bound was proved before by S. Zhang in [31]. Moreover, Cao and Zhou [3] and O. Munteanu [22] proved that the upper bound \(\text{Vol}(B_s) \leq C \cdot s^n\) always holds for all shrinking gradient Ricci solitons.

Remark 3. After the first version of this article has been posed on the website ArXiv.org, B. Chow, P. Lu and B. Yang [12], based on the ideas and techniques of certain former works, concluded a criterion for a shrinking soliton to have positive asymptotic volume ratio. It generalizes a well-known result of G. Perelman [26].

From now on, we consider geodesic balls \(B_r(x)\) whose center \(x\) varies on \(M\). In general, or even for manifolds with fast decay curvature, it is impossible to obtain a uniform volume bound of all \(B_r(x)\) only from the information of the asymptotic volume ratio. However, the following theorem shows that such bound does exist if the manifold is an expanding soliton.

Theorem 5. If a complete non-compact expanding gradient Ricci soliton \((M, g, f)\) satisfies \(\lim_{s \to \infty} s^2 \cdot |\text{Sect}| = 0\), then we have
\[\text{Vol}(B_r(x)) \geq Cr^n\]
for all \(r > 0\) and \(x \in M\). We also have \(\text{Vol}(B_r(x)) \leq Cr^n\) for all \(r \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\) and for all \(x \neq O\). Moreover, if its asymptotic volume ratio exists, then we have
\[C^{-1} r^n \leq \text{Vol}(B_r(x)) \leq Cr^n\]
for all \(r > 0\) and \(x \in M\).

The following calculation is the crucial ingredient to achieve this theorem. Given an expanding soliton \((M, g, f)\) with \(\lim_{s \to \infty} s^2 \cdot |\text{Sect}| = 0\), we consider a level set \(\Sigma_a := \{ f = a \}\) of \(f\). The unit outer normal vector of \(\Sigma_a\) shall be \(-\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}\) and the second fundamental form of \(\Sigma_a\) is
\[H_{ij} = \langle \nabla_{e_i} -\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|}, e_j \rangle = \langle -\frac{\nabla e_i \nabla f}{|\nabla f|^2} + (e_i |\nabla f|) \frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|^2}, e_j \rangle = \frac{\text{Hess}(-f)_{ij}}{|\nabla f|},\]
for all \(i, j = 1, \cdots, n-1\). Hence by Gauss equation, one obtain a curvature estimate of \(\Sigma_a\). We will use this to control the volume of a portion of \(\Sigma_a\) in the following proof of Theorem 5. We learned how to prove this theorem from Gilles Carron, who has known it in mind and kindly shared it with us.

Proof of Theorem 5. Step 1. We prove first that the lower bound estimate holds for all \(x \neq O\) and \(r = \frac{\pi}{2} := \frac{1}{2} \text{dist}(O, x)\). It suffices to show that, for \(s\) large enough,
Let $f(x) = a$, $\Sigma_a := \{ f = a \}$ and $II = Hess(f)/|\nabla f|$ be the second fundamental form of $\Sigma_a$. Since $\|Hess(-f) - g\| \leq |Ric| \in o(s^{-2})$ implies $\|II - g\| \in o(s^{-3})$, by Gauss equation and the fast decay of the curvature of $M$, we have $|\text{Sect}^\Sigma - \frac{1}{s^2}| \in o(s^{-2})$. Hence for $s$ large enough, there exists an intrinsic ball $B^\Sigma_{\delta s}(x) \subset \Sigma_a$ such that $\text{Vol}^\Sigma(B^\Sigma_{\delta s}(x)) \geq C s^{n-1}$.

Furthermore, by using the one parameter family of diffeomorphisms $\{ \varphi_t : \Sigma_a \to \Sigma_{a+t} \}_{t \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}$, which is generated by $\nabla \varphi_t$, we know the cube $\text{Cube} := \{ y \in M | y = \varphi_t(B^\Sigma_{\delta s}(x)), t \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \}$ is contained in $B^\Sigma_\delta(x)$ and $\text{Vol}(\text{Cube}) \geq \delta s^n$ for some constant $\delta$ which is independent of $x$ whenever $s$ is large enough.

Step 2. For $r \leq \frac{s}{2}$, by using the Bishop-Gromov’s comparison, we have

\[
\text{Vol}(B_r(x)) \geq \left( \frac{\text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(B_r) / \text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(B^\Sigma_{\delta s}(x))}{\text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(B_r) / \text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(B^\Sigma_{\delta s}(x))} \right) \cdot \text{Vol}(B^\Sigma_\delta(x)) \\
\geq C r^n.
\]

The last inequality comes from

\[
\text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(B_r) = C \int_0^s \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{C}} \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{C}}{s} t \right) \right)^{n-1} dt \leq C s^n,
\]

where $\text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ is the volume functional of the hyperbolic space with $\text{Ric} = -\frac{C}{s^2}$.

Step 3. For every ball $B_r(x)$ with $r > \frac{s}{2}$ and $x \in M$, it must contain a ball $B_{r+\delta}(y)$ for some $y$ satisfying that $\text{dist}(O, y) = \frac{r + \frac{s}{2}}{2}$. By Step 1, we know that $\text{Vol}(B_{r+\delta}(y)) \geq C(\frac{s}{r})^n \geq C(\frac{s}{r})^n$. Hence $\text{Vol}(B_r(x)) \geq C r^n$.

Step 4. The upper bound can be derived by by Bishop-Gromov’s comparison. Since $\text{Ric} \geq -C \cdot s^{-2}$ on $B_r(x)$ with $r \leq \frac{s}{2}$, as in the last inequality of Step 2, we have

\[
\text{Vol}(B_r(x)) \leq \text{Vol}_{\mathbb{H}^n}(B_r) = C \int_0^r \left( \frac{r}{\sqrt{C}} \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{C}}{r} t \right) \right)^{n-1} dt \leq C r^n.
\]

Therefore we have proved the first statement of the theorem. Now suppose that we can control the upper bound of the volume ratio at infinity, i.e., there exist two constants $C$ and $A$ such that $\text{Vol}(B_r(O)) \leq C r^n$ for all $s \geq A$. It is easy to see that, for all $r > \frac{s}{2}$, $B_r(x)$ is contained in $B_{3r}(O)$ and hence has an upper bound on its volume ratio.

It was known that a Ricci-nonnegative expanding soliton must be diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ because $-f$ is proper and strictly convex. On the other hand, by using the same argument of W. Wylie in [30] and our method developed in section 1, one can prove that an expanding soliton with $\text{Ric} \geq -C s^{-\varepsilon}$ must have finite fundamental group. From Step 1 in the above proof of Theorem 5, we have the following topological information of the ends of an expanding soliton.

**Theorem 6.** Let $(M, g, f)$ be a complete non-compact expanding gradient soliton with $\lim_{s \to \infty} s^2 \cdot |\text{Sect}| = 0$. Then each end of $M$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times N^{n-1}$, where $N = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}/\Gamma$ is a metric quotient of the spherical space form.
4. TANDEM CONES AT INFINITY OF EXPANDING GRADIENT RICCI SOLITIONS

A tangent cone at infinity is a Cheeger-Gromov limit of a sequence of blow-down metrics with a fixing marked point. Since we have a uniform estimate of volume lower bound from Theorem 5, we can derive a lower bound of injectivity radius from the controlled sectional curvature (see [10] and [7]). In this section, we prove that every tangent cone at infinity of $M$ is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ under some admissible conditions.

**Theorem 7.** Let $(M, g, f)$ be a complete non-compact expanding gradient Ricci soliton which satisfies $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = -g_{ij}$ and $\lim_{s \to \infty} s^2 \cdot |\text{Sec}| = 0$. If $M$ is simply connected at infinity, has only one end and has dimension $n \geq 3$, then every tangent cone at infinity of $M$ is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Proof.** Consider a tangent cone at infinity $M^\infty$, which is a Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence $(M, O, \tilde{g}_k) := (M, O, \frac{1}{k^2}g)$ with vertex $O$, where $\lambda_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Here we use a tilde to emphasize that the metric is rescaled. Any arbitrary point $q \in M^\infty$, $q \neq O$ and $\text{dist}_\infty(O, q) = r_0$, is associated with a sequence $q_k \to q$, where $\text{dist}_\infty(O, q_k) = \lambda_k r_0 \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. By using our volume estimate in the previous section, Hamilton’s compactness theorem and Shi’s estimate, the convergence is in fact in $C^0_{loc}$-topology.

Noting that $\left| \tilde{\nabla}_i \tilde{\nabla}_j f_k \right| = \left| (\tilde{g}_k)_{ij} + \frac{1}{k^2}(\tilde{R}_{ij} \tilde{k})_{ij} \right|$, together with the estimates of the growth of $f$ and $\nabla f$ which are stated in Section 2, we know that $f_k := \frac{1}{k^2}$ converges in $C^0_{loc}$-topology to a function $f^\infty$ with $|\nabla f| = r$ on $M^\infty \setminus \{O\}$. Moreover, $\nabla^\infty \nabla^\infty f^\infty = g^\infty$ and $f^\infty(q) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k^2}(q_k) = \frac{1}{2}r^2_0$. Since $q$ was chosen arbitrarily, we have

$$f^\infty(x) = \frac{1}{2}r^2$$

and $g^\infty = \text{Hess} \left( \frac{1}{2}r^2 \right)$

where $r(x) := \text{dist}_\infty(O, x)$ and $x \in M^\infty \setminus \{O\}$.

In [9], J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding have proven that $M^\infty \setminus \{O\}$ with $g^\infty = \text{Hess} \left( \frac{1}{2}r^2 \right)$ must be a warped product manifold and $g^\infty = dr^2 + kr^2\tilde{g}$ for some $k > 0$, where $\tilde{g}$ is the metric of $N := \{ x \in M^\infty | r(x) = 1 \}$. In order to prove that $M^\infty$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n$, we only need to show that $N$ is the standard sphere with sectional curvature $k$. (Because the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as $g_{\text{Eucl}} = dr^2 + Cr^2 g_{S^{n-1}(C)}$ for any given $C > 0$ and $g_{S^{n-1}(C)}$ denotes the standard metric on sphere with constant sectional curvature $C$.)

Since $|\nabla r| \neq 0$, we can extend the normal coordinate $\{x^i\}_{i=2, \ldots, n}$ around $p \in N$ to be a local coordinate $\{r, x^i\}_{i=2, \ldots, n}$ in $M$ such that

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & g_{n2} & \cdots & g_{nn} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & kr^2\tilde{g}_{22} & \cdots & kr^2\tilde{g}_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & kr^2\tilde{g}_{n2} & \cdots & kr^2\tilde{g}_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, for all $i, j = 2, \ldots, n$ and $i \neq j$, we have $\Gamma^r_{ij}(p) = -k$ and $\Gamma^r_{ij}(p) = 0$. Moreover, $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(g(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial r})) = 0$ implies that $\Gamma^r_{ij}(p) = -\frac{1}{k} \Gamma^r_{ij}(p) = 1$. When $n \geq 3$, we can compute the curvature of $N$ at $p$ by using

$$0 = R^i_{jj} = \tilde{R}^i_{jj} + \Gamma^i_{jr} \Gamma^r_{jj} = \tilde{R}^i_{jj} - k.$$
By the assumption that $M$ is simply connected at infinity, we know that $N$ must be the standard sphere with all its sectional curvatures equal $k$.

For the shrinking case, B. Chow, P. Lu and B. Yang \cite{13} (by using a result of L. Ni and B. Wilking) proved that a non-compact non-flat shrinking gradient Ricci soliton has at most quadratic scalar curvature decay. Hence our theorem trivially holds for the shrinking case. On the other hand, there exists a two-dimensional counter-example for the expanding case, i.e. an expanding soliton which has faster-than-quadratic-decay curvature and a tangent cone at infinity which is not an Euclidean plane. Such soliton was constructed in \cite{11} by smoothly extending a cone manifold which had been conceived in \cite{16}.
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