Case report on a unique case of intra-abdominal desmoid tumor

Chowdhury D*, Galea M, Malpani D and Alishahi SM
Department of Surgery, University Hospital Ayr, Ayr, Scotland, United Kingdom

Abstract
Desmoid tumours (DT) are benign tumours that arise from proliferation of well differentiated fibroblasts which have potential to be locally aggressive and recur post excision. DTs can present both intra-abdominal or extra-abdominal locations with worse prognosis intra-abdominally. We present the case of a 59-year-old gentleman with 4-week history of right abdominal mass with mild discomfort but no gastrointestinal symptoms. He underwent investigations following which underwent excision of 3 masses. Association of intra-abdominal DT with FAP is well documented. Intra-abdominal DTs are more prevalent in females with history of surgery. Our case is unique as our patient does not confer to the above categories.

Introduction
Symptoms from DTs may arise as the result of mass effect or compression on abdominal organs. This effect may be manifested by intestinal obstruction, urinary obstruction or rarely arterial or venous compression. FAP (Familial Adenomatous Polyposis), results from mutation of the APC gene and accounts for 1% cases of colorectal cancer and account for 0.03% of neoplasms [1]. DT account for less than 3% of all soft tissue tumours. They occur most often between 15-60 years of age with being rare in the young and in the elderly [2,3]. The incidence of DT is approximately 2-4 per million populations per year in United States. They have slightly greater preponderance in women than in men. DT occurs in 10-20% of patients with FAP [4]. A patient with FAP has an 852-fold increased risk of developing a DT [4].

Presentation of case
Mr P, a 59-year old gentleman previously fit and well presented with a 4-week history of right abdominal mass associated with mild discomfort in June 2015 to the surgical outpatient clinic. There was no reporting of any associated gastrointestinal symptoms. The mass was noted over the paramedian incisional scar through which he had undergone laparoscopic to open appendicectomy for a complicated appendicitis in 2013. There was no other related past medical history of relevance. Family history was limited from the maternal aspect as he had been adopted.

Investigation/Plan

| Investigation/Plan                  | Results/Outcome                                      |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| CT Abdomen+Pelvis                  | 6.5 cm soft tissue mass in the lower abdomen below  |
|                                    | level of umbilicus (See Image.1)                     |
| USS Abdomen                        | 67mm x 67mm x 65mm, with peripheral vascularity     |
|                                    | seen (See Image.2)                                  |
| Ultrasound guided biopsy of mass-  | Fibrous connective tissue,formed of fascicles and    |
| transcutaneous                     | bundles of spindled cells with spindle or comma      |
|                                    | shaped nuclei and wavy cytoplasm. There was no      |
|                                    | evidence of malignancy                               |
| Multidisciplinary Team discussion  | Decision for excision of lesion                      |

Laparotomy and excision of small bowel mesentery masses

Findings intra-operatively:- 10 cm mass was noted in the mesentery of the proximal ileum at juxta position to the serosa of the small bowel. Furthermore, there were a smaller (5 cm) similar mass in the distal jejunum and a 2 cm similar mass about 20 cm proximal to the largest lesion, all 3 lesions embedded in the mesentery and located at serosal juxta position. The smallest mesenteric mass was enucleated and sent for frozen section prior to formal resection

Histology

Frozen section- consistent with mesenteric fibromatosis (DT),

-formal Histology; The tumours have a similar appearance of very bland fibrosis with bundles of eosinophilic cells and small blood vessels with focal keloid fibres. No necrosis, abnormal mitoses or nuclear pleomorphism is seen throughout these masses. All lymph nodes were noted to be clear. Initial immunohistochemistry shows negative staining with CD117 and DOG1 as well as CD34. Cytokeratin, Desmin, S100 and SMA are all negative in the larger tumour while Vimentin is positive. There is some focal positivity for SMA in the smaller specimen but CD117 and DOG1 are universally negative. Beta catenin staining, carried out in Glasgow shows strong nuclear positivity. Both the light microscopic appearances and the immunohistochemistry are in keeping with mesenteric fibromatosis (desmoid tumour).

National Sarcoma MDT

Post-operative CT scan, colonoscopy and UGI endoscope

CT Thorax, Abdomen and Pelvis-Follow up scan

Low volume mesenteric lymph nodes but no DTs seen. (See Image. 3)
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He was subsequently assessed by the oncology team specialised in management of soft tissue tumours in the West of Scotland. The outcomes are in the section titled follow-up (Figures 1-3).

**Discussion**

The exact aetiology of DT is unclear. The vast majority of DT occurs extra-abdominally on the peripheral areas of the body for e.g. shoulders, gluteal regions. The vast majority (85%) of intra-abdominal DT occur in the mesentery [5]. Sturt et al. [6] studied for the evidence for genetic predisposition to desmoids tumours formation in FAP from patients from the polyposis registry at Middlesex Hospital in the UK. The results indicated that the influence of unknown genetic factors independent of germline APC mutations were associated with formation of desmoids tumours. Desmoid tumours of sporadic nature are associated with oestrogen [7] whilst the role of oestrogen in the aetiology of FAP related associated desmoids tumours are less well documented. Differential diagnoses for intra-abdominal DT would be gastrointestinal stromal tumour (GIST), solitary fibrous tumours (SFT), inflammatory myofibroblastic tumour. Histologically the differentiation between GIST and DT is noted to be straightforward, in GIST it is c-KIT positive whilst in DT this is negative.

Guidelines as proposed by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), suggested patients with small tumours to have regular observation of the tumour especially if any surgery would lead to significant morbidity [7]. Fiore et al. [8] retrospectively studied cases of intra-abdominal DT and found that regular observation benefited half of the patients being studied.

There are various guidelines for the management of soft tissue sarcoma including that of DTs. The two major recommendations that were looked at were from the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) (European) and the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) (Normal American). Both organisations suggest a Multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach with expertise in sarcoma.

According to the ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines [9] for soft tissue sarcomas, watchful waiting policy is applicable after shared decision has taken place to exclude of its presence in potentially life-threatening extra-abdominal locations and intra-abdominal locations. It goes on to state that treatment is reserved for progressing cases. The preferred imaging is with Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) although the tumour signal is not necessarily indicative of disease evolution.

Primary surgery with complete resection with negative margins is the treatment of choice for DTs. However positive margins post-surgery indicates a high risk of recurrence [10]. The role of radiation is well documented in the treatment of DTs including in patients not suitable for operative management. Use of neoadjuvant radiotherapy is not well established, largely due to unavailability of large trials. With regards to post-surgical radiation therapy the benefits are limited [11].

Pharmacologic agents result in variable rates of response approximately 40-50% [12] depending on the duration of treatment given. This includes use of an NSAID, anti-oestrogen therapy or a combination of both. The role of chemotherapy in treatment of DTs is well documented. Agents commonly used include doxorubicin, dacarbazine and carboplatin.

**Recomendations**

| Clinical State | NCCN Guidelines | ESMO Guidelines |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Asymptomatic patient, not in a life threatening location* | Careful watch and wait | Careful watch and wait |
| Progressive cases and patient suitable for surgery | Resection and/or RTX and/or systemic therapy | Wide excision following standard tx, high grade deep lesions> 5cm, RTX if tumour is within the resected compartment |
| Symptomatic patient | Surgery and/or radiation and/or systemic therapy | For progressing cases, surgery is indicated (without any adjuvant therapy), radiation therapy and observation |

---

**Figure 1.** CT scan of the Abdomen and Pelvis. There is well demarcated lesion measuring 6.5cm in maximal diameter.

**Figure 2.** US scan of the Abdomen.

**Figure 3.** CT scan of Abdomen and Pelvis. Post-operatively. Note no residual masses visible. Only residual mesenteric lymph nodes visible on this CT scan (Bright areas).
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**Systemic therapy**
- Sorafenib and NSAIDs, hormonal and biologic agents (tamoxifen, low-dose INF) chemotherapy

| Hormonal therapies | e.g. tamoxifen, GnRH analogues, NSAIDs, low-dose chemotherapy (e.g. methotrexate + vinblastine or methotrexate + vinorelbine, full dose chemotherapy) |

* potentially life threatening extra-abdominal locations (e.g. Head and neck region) and intra-abdominal desmoids (mesenteric fibromatosis).

**Follow-up**

ESMO Guidelines [9]
- Cases with surgical resection - intermediate/high grade cases: -
- Every 3-4 months for the first 2-3 years
- Twice a year - up to 5 years
- Thereafter once a year
- Low grade cases: -
  - Every 4-6 months with CXR/CT scan in the first 3-5 years
  - Thereafter once a year

NCCN Guidelines [13]
- Careful history, examination and imaging every 3-6 months for 2 years
- Thereafter once a year

It can be seen both the ESMO and the NCCN guidelines with regards to DTs highlight similar modalities of treatment. The Scottish Sarcoma Network has proposed clinical management guideline for fibromatosis (DT) for adults older than 16 yrs (Figures 4 and 5).

They proposed the clinical management guidelines [14] for fibromatosis (DT) from the data from the European Consensus Data. According to the guidelines Mr P should be under surveillance initially for periods of 1-2 years with imaging with contrast enhanced MRI. In discussion with the lead author for the proposed guideline, the proposed guidelines incorporate the findings from the recomendations

**Conclusion**

As seen from the literature DTs contribute to a small proportion of all neoplasms. There is an association of intra-abdominal DT with FAP. The other group where intra-abdominal DT are more prevalent are in females with history of previous surgery. Our case is unique as our patient does not fall into any of the above categories. The basic management of intra-abdominal DT is primary resection with any adjuvant therapy as deemed appropriate by the MDT specialising in soft tissue tumours.
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