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Evaluation of PGPR Isolates for the Management of Beans Wilt Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*
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A B S T R A C T

Fungal pathogen *F. oxysporum* (Schlecht.) f. sp. *phaseoli* Kendrick and Synder was isolated from the infected roots of bean plants. Microscopic examination revealed that the mycelium was septate which produced microconidia and macroconidia. The pathogen was accordingly identified after comparing with that of the standard texts. A total number of 24 rhizobacterial were isolated from the rhizosphere of different crops. Morphological studies revealed that out of 24 rhizobacterial isolates 9 showed light green, 2 green, 8 cream, 4 white and 1 red colour, 12 were round, non-spreading type whereas, 12 were irregular and spreading type colonies. Among all the rhizobacterial strains, 14 strains showed Gram -ve reaction, whereas, 10 rhizobacterial strains showed Gram +ve reaction. Out of the 24 isolates, 17 were small rods, whereas, 7 isolates were large rods. Under *in vitro* conditions, among 24 isolates 5 exhibited potential of acting as biocontrol agents in which isolate I-5 exhibited minimum radial growth (28.00 mm), resulting in inhibition of by 68.89 % over control followed by isolate I-21 recorded radial growth of 29.63 mm, thereby inhibiting the growth of pathogen by 67.08 % over control. Among all the five selected PGPR isolates and carbendazim treated with beans seed showed minimum disease incidence and disease intensity compared to control. The seed treatment with carbendazim recorded minimum disease incidence (3.12%) and disease intensity (0.83%), followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* recorded minimum disease incidence (4.86 %) and disease intensity (1.39 %) respectively.
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Introduction

Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) belongs to the family *Leguminosae* and occupies a premier place among grain legumes in the world including India, where it is locally called as *Rajmash* (Sharma et al., 1994). The crop originated from Central and South America, where it was cultivated as early as 6000 BC in Peru and 5000 BC in Mexico and is now being cultivated as a major food crop in many tropical, subtropical and temperate areas of the Americas, Europe, Africa and Asia (Wortmann, 2006). It is produced for both domestic as well as for commercial purpose. The beans contain a
higher percentage of protein as compared to staple foods such as maize, rice or cassava (Mwale et al., 2008). Dry bean is a good source of protein, essential vitamins and minerals, soluble-fiber starch, and a low fat food (Messina, 1999).

A large number of soil borne and foliar diseases limit the productivity of beans, which affect quality as well as the yield. Fusarium wilt (Fusarium oxysporum (Schlecht.) f. sp. Phaseoli Kendrick and Synder) is one of the most important diseases of common bean worldwide (Schwartz et al., 2005). Root infections cause yellowing and wilt in plants at phonological stages of the blooming and pod-filling. The mild temperatures and high soil moisture and can lead to yield reduction of 80 % in common bean cultivars (Sartorato and Rava 1994; Salgado et al.,. 1996). The destructive nature of this pathogen is prompt to different methods for management of the soil borne diseases of beans including fungicidal treatment of seed and soil. However, fungicide drenches are expensive, impractical and harmful to the environment (Kannan and Jayaraj, 1998). On the other hand, biological control of plant diseases through antagonistic rhizobacteria is an efficient, effective and eco-friendly alternative to the use of synthetic chemicals (Emmert and Handelsman, 1999).

**Materials and Methods**

Plant samples showing typical symptoms of yellowing and wilting were collected and bagged separately in perforated paper bags and brought to the laboratory for isolation. From the infected roots of beans bits of approximately 2 to 3 mm in size were cut from the junction of the diseased and healthy portion of the infected tissue with the help of sterilized blade. These bits were surface sterilized with 0.1 per cent mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) solution for 30 seconds followed by 3 consecutive washings in sterilized distilled water and then dried on a sterilized blotter paper. Sterilized bits were aseptically transferred onto PDA petriplates and incubated at 25+2°C (Baudoin et al., 1990), and the fungal growth were purified using hyphal tip method (Hansen, 1926). Morphological examinations and cultural characteristics of the isolated fungi were recorded and the observations compared with the standard text (Booth, 1971).

**Pathogenicity test**

In order to prove the Koch’s postulates, pathogenicity tests were performed on beans cultivar Shalimar French bean-1. The garden soil was sterilized by autoclaving at 15lb psi for one hour consequently for two days. Pots of 15 inches dia, filled with sterilized soil were inoculated separately with the most commonly associated pathogen i.e. Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. phaseoli @ 5 g kg⁻¹ soil. The bean seeds were sown after inoculation of pathogen. The plants were regularly observed for the development of disease symptoms.

**Isolation, purification and mass multiplication of rhizobacterial isolates**

For the isolation of rhizobacteria, the soil samples were collected from the rhizosphere of healthy plants and then air dried. Soil thus collected was processed for isolation of bacterial isolates by serial dilution method (Dhingra and Sinclair, 1995). Serial dilutions were prepared using sterilized distilled water. One gm of dried soil was suspended in 9 ml of sterile distilled water and mixed by rolling the tube back and forth between hands to obtain uniform suspension. Subsequently, from the first dilution, 1 ml of the suspension was transferred with the help of a pipette in a test tube containing 9 ml of sterilized distilled water to get the dilution of 10⁻² from which 1 ml was transferred to another test tube.
containing 9 ml of sterilized distilled water to get a suspension of dilution $10^{-3}$. This process was repeated till the original sample was diluted up to $10^{-7}$. From $10^{-7}$ dilution of 0.1 ml was placed in the centre of pre-poured petriplate containing Nutrient Agar. The suspension was spread uniformly on plates using sterilized L-shaped glass rod and allowed to be absorbed by the medium. The inoculated plates were then incubated in inverted position for 24 hours in BOD incubator at 25+2°C. Single colonies formed were picked and streaked on pre-poured petriplates containing Nutrient Agar Medium and purified by streak plate method (Koch, 1881). A loop full of bacterial growth was picked from bacterial colonies and continuously streaked on six petriplates containing 20 ml solidified Nutrient agar medium and the petriplates were incubated at 25+2°C for 48 hours. The isolated colonies were subsequently transferred to Nutrient agar medium slants in culture tubes. Pure cultures were taken up for further studies and mass multiplied on Nutrient Agar medium.

**Evaluation of rhizobacterial isolates against the test pathogens**

The rhizobacterial isolates were tested against the isolated fungal pathogen (*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *phaseoli*) by using dual culture technique (Morton and Stroube, 1955). In the dual culture technique 05 mm mycelial disc of seven days old culture of pathogen was placed separately 01 cm away from the periphery of the petriplate. On the opposite end a single streak of the bacterial isolate was drawn near the peripheral margin of the plate.

The plates were incubated for 7 days at 28+2°C and the pathogen inhibition was calculated by measuring the radial growth of the pathogen (Wang *et al.*, 2003) and per cent inhibition of mycelial growth of pathogen was accordingly calculated. The isolates which are showing higher biocontrol potential were selected for further studies.

**Evaluation of Rhizobacterial strains for disease management and growth promoting properties in vivo**

A field experiment was conducted to access the biocontrol of selected bacterial isolates (I-1, I-4, I-5, I-20 and I-21) and fungicide (Carbendazim). Bean seeds var, Shalimar French Bean-1 were surface sterilized with 0.1 % HgCl₂ and treated with the suspension of PGPR isolates (Weller and Cook, 1983).

The selected isolates viz., I-1, I-4, I-5, I-20 and I-21 were grown on nutrient agar medium for 48 hours and harvested with sterile distilled water and adjusted to the concentration of $1 \times 10^8$ cfu ml⁻¹ were used for seed treatment and seed biopriming. The bean seed treated with 05 selected PGPR isolates were then observed for disease incidence and disease intensity. Disease intensity was calculated on the basis of percentage of root rot area affected as per the scale described by Bharat and Bhardwaj (2001).

**Results and Discussion**

The pathogens was isolated from the infected roots of bean plants. Identification of pathogen was done on the basis of its morphological and cultural characteristics and compared with the standard literature (Table 1). Mycelium was initially colourless and became creamy with age, covering almost entire surface of the petri plates. Fungus was inoculated for further 10 days till sporulation.

Microscopic examination revealed that the mycelium was septate and produced both micro- and macroconidia. Microconidia were single celled, hyline, ovoid and measured 2.5-3.5(3.2) × 7.0-13.0 (9.5) μm in size. Macroconidia were sickle shaped with 2-5
septate, hyaline measuring 3.0-4.0(3.5) × 28-40(33.5) µm in size.

Pathogenicity test

Pathogenicity test was conducted in order to satisfy the Koch’s postulates.

Surface sterilized bean seeds were sown in pots inoculated with the pathogen whereas, uninoculated pots served as control.

Pots were kept in green house and observation were continuously recorded on appearance of wilt symptoms. It was observed that plants in inoculated pots showed typical wilt symptom whereas, no such symptoms were recorded in case of uninoculated pots.

Pathogen was re-isolated from the artificially inoculated plants and its morphocultural characteristic resembled the one which was used for inoculation. On the basis of these cultural, morphological and pathogenic characteristics the pathogen was identified as *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*.

Isolation and identification of rhizoacterial strains

A total number of 24 rhizobacterial strains were isolated from the rhizosphere of different crops from the experimental field of Faculty of Agriculture SKUAST-K, Wadura.

These rhizobacterial strains were purified by streak plate method. Morphological and cultural characteristics of all isolates were accordingly observed and recorded.

Colony and microscopic characterization

The colony characteristics of rhizobacterial isolates reveal that, out of 24 rhizobacterial isolates 09 showed light green, 02 green, 08 cream, 04 white and 01 red colour, 12 were round, non-spreading type whereas, 12 were irregular and spreading type colonies (Table 2).

Among all the rhizobacterial strains, 14 strains showed Gram -ve reaction, whereas, 10 rhizobacterial strains showed Gram +ve reaction. Out of the 24 isolates, 17 were small rods, whereas, 7 isolates were large rods.

Evaluation of rhizobacterial isolates against the pathogen

The 24 rhizobacterial isolates were tested for their biocontrol potential *in vitro* against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* by using dual culture technique. The data presented in Table 3 reveal that under dual culture with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* isolate I-5 exhibited minimum radial growth (28.00 mm), resulting in inhibition of pathogen by 68.89 % over control. Another isolate I-21 recorded radial growth of 29.63 mm, thereby inhibiting the growth of pathogen by 67.08 per cent over control, followed by the isolate I-1, I-4 and I-20 with radial growth of 29.9, 32.57 and 35.37 mm showing an inhibition of 66.78, 63.81 and 60.7 per cent over control, respectively.

Biochemical and Molecular identification reveals that Isolate I-4 and I-20 is *Bacillus cereus*, I-5 is *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, isolate I-21 is *Pseudomonas* sp. Isolate I-1 is identified on the basis of morphocultural and biochemical characterization and identified as *Pseudomonas fluorescens*.

Effect of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria on incidence of Fusarium wilt of beans *in vivo*

The data on effect of selected PGPR and fungicide carbendazim reveals that all the treatment was statistically superior over the control (Table 4).
**Table.1** Morphological characteristics of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*

| Morphological stage | Colour  | Type  | Shape  | Size (µm)          | Septation |
|---------------------|---------|-------|--------|--------------------|-----------|
| Mycelium            | Hyaline | ....  | ....   | Hyphal width 1.5-2.0 | Septate   |
| Conidia             | Hyaline | Micro | Ovoid  | 2.5-3.5 (3.2) × 7.0-13.0 (9.5) | Aseptate  |
|                     | Macro   | Sickle|        | 3.0-4.0 (3.5) × 28-40 (33.5) | Septate (2-5) |

**Table.2** Colony and microscopic characteristics of the rhizobacterial isolates

| Isolates | Colony characters | Microscopic characteristics |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
|          | Colour            | Shape                        | Nature               | Cell shape | Gram reaction |
| I-1      | Light green       | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-2      | Cream             | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Small Rod  | +ve           |
| I-3      | Light Green       | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Large Rod  | -ve           |
| I-4      | Cream             | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Small Rod  | +ve           |
| I-5      | White             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-6      | White             | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Small Rod  | +ve           |
| I-7      | Light Green       | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Large Rod  | -ve           |
| I-8      | Green             | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-9      | Light green       | Round                        | Non Spreading        | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-10     | Cream             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Large Rod  | +ve           |
| I-11     | Light green       | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Large Rod  | -ve           |
| I-12     | White             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Large Rod  | -ve           |
| I-13     | Cream             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | +ve           |
| I-14     | Cream             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | +ve           |
| I-15     | Green             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-16     | White             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | +ve           |
| I-17     | Cream             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | +ve           |
| I-18     | Light green       | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-19     | Light green       | Round                        | Non spreading        | Large Rod  | -ve           |
| I-20     | Cream             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Large Rod  | +ve           |
| I-21     | Red               | Round                        | Non spreading        | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-22     | Light green       | Round                        | Non spreading        | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-23     | Light green       | Round                        | Non spreading        | Small Rod  | -ve           |
| I-24     | Cream             | Irregular                    | Spreading            | Small Rod  | +ve           |
Table 3 *In vitro* evaluation of the rhizobacterial isolates for growth inhibition of *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp phaseoli*

| Isolate | Radial growth (mm) | Inhibition over control (%) |
|---------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| I-1     | 29.9               | 66.78                      |
| I-2     | 65.40              | 27.33                      |
| I-3     | 63.20              | 29.78                      |
| I-4     | 32.57              | 63.81                      |
| I-5     | 28.00              | 68.89                      |
| I-6     | 64.90              | 27.89                      |
| I-7     | 62.93              | 30.08                      |
| I-8     | 66.57              | 26.03                      |
| I-9     | 54.70              | 39.22                      |
| I-10    | 63.93              | 28.97                      |
| I-11    | 54.80              | 39.11                      |
| I-12    | 57.87              | 35.70                      |
| I-13    | 50.27              | 44.14                      |
| I-14    | 49.83              | 44.63                      |
| I-15    | 57.00              | 36.67                      |
| I-16    | 54.93              | 38.97                      |
| I-17    | 47.33              | 47.41                      |
| I-18    | 63.67              | 29.26                      |
| I-19    | 51.47              | 42.81                      |
| I-20    | 35.37              | 60.7                       |
| I-21    | 29.63              | 67.08                      |
| I-22    | 46.97              | 47.81                      |
| I-23    | 59.47              | 33.92                      |
| I-24    | 57.43              | 36.19                      |
| C       | 90.00              |                            |
| C.D.    | 7.147              |                            |
| SE(m)   | 2.509              |                            |
**Table 4** Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on incidence of fusarium wilt of beans *in vivo*

| Treatment                                   | Disease incidence (%) |           |           | Pooled |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
|                                             | 2016                  | 2017      |           |        |
| *Pseudomonas fluorescens*(st)               | 6.17 (2.78)           | 7.71 (2.84)| 6.94     |
| *Bacillus cereus* 1 (st)                    | 21.94 (4.79)          | 17.36 (4.28)| 19.65   |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (st)         | 4.43 (2.38)           | 5.29 (2.44)| 4.86     |
| *Bacillus cereus* 2 (st)                    | 29.16 (5.49)          | 25.69 (5.16)| 27.43   |
| *Pseudomonas sp.* (st)                      | 16.67 (4.20)          | 13.19 (3.77)| 14.93   |
| *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (bp)              | 13.89 (3.86)          | 10.41 (3.38)| 12.15   |
| *Bacillus cereus* 1(bp)                     | 31.25 (5.68)          | 28.47 (5.42)| 29.86   |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (bp)         | 10.41 (3.37)          | 9.02 (3.16)| 9.72     |
| *Bacillus cereus* 2 (bp)                    | 37.50 (6.20)          | 33.33 (5.85)| 35.42   |
| *Pseudomonas sp.* (bp)                      | 25.69 (5.15)          | 21.53 (4.73)| 23.61   |
| Carbendazim                                 | 2.77 (1.93)           | 3.47 (2.10)| 3.12     |
| Control                                     | 45.83 (6.84)          | 42.36 (6.58)| 44.10   |
| C.D.                                        | 0.451                 | 0.50      |          |
| SE(m)                                       | 0.153                 | 0.17      |          |

St- Seed treatment; bp- biopriming
Data is means of three replication
Values in parenthesis are square root transformed values

**Table 5** Effect of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria on intensity of Fusarium wilt of beans *in vivo*

| Treatment                                   | Disease intensity (%) |           |           | Pooled |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
|                                             | 2016                  | 2017      |           |        |
| *Pseudomonas fluorescens*(st)               | 1.66 (1.63)           | 1.95 (1.71)| 1.81     |
| *Bacillus cereus* 1 (st)                    | 6.53 (2.74)           | 5.28 (2.50)| 5.91     |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (st)         | 1.17 (1.52)           | 1.4 (1.58)| 1.39     |
| *Bacillus cereus* 2 (st)                    | 8.89 (3.14)           | 8.06 (3.00)| 8.48     |
| *Pseudomonas sp.* (st)                      | 4.86 (2.42)           | 3.89 (2.21)| 4.38     |
| *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (bp)              | 3.75 (2.18)           | 2.92 (1.98)| 3.34     |
| *Bacillus cereus* 1(bp)                     | 9.86 (3.29)           | 9.58 (3.24)| 9.72     |
| *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (bp)         | 2.64 (1.90)           | 2.50 (1.87)| 2.57     |
| *Bacillus cereus* 2 (bp)                    | 11.66 (3.56)          | 10.83 (3.43)| 11.25   |
| *Pseudomonas sp.* (bp)                      | 7.61 (2.93)           | 6.67 (2.76)| 7.14     |
| Carbendazim                                 | 0.69 (1.29)           | 0.97 (1.40)| 0.83     |
| Control                                     | 21.11 (4.69)          | 16.11 (4.13)| 18.61   |
| C.D.                                        | 0.30                  | 0.34      |          |
| SE(m)                                       | 0.10                  | 0.12      |          |

St- Seed treatment; bp- biopriming
Data is means of three replication
Values in parenthesis are square root transformed values
Seed treatment with carbendazim (2.77%) recorded minimum disease incidence, followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (4.43%), *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (6.17%) biopriming with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (10.41%) and biopriming with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (13.89%) respectively. Maximum was recorded in control with 45.83 per cent.

Data on disease incidence during the year 2017 reveals that all the treatment was superior over the control. Seed treatment with carbendazim (3.47%) recorded minimum disease incidence. It is followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (5.29%), seed treatment by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (7.71%), biopriming by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (9.02%) and biopriming by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (10.41%) respectively. Maximum disease incidence (42.36%) was recorded in case of control.

Pooled data on disease incidence reveals that the seed treatment with carbendazim (3.12%) recorded minimum disease incidence, followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (4.86%), seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (6.94%), biopriming by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (9.72%) and biopriming by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (12.15%) respectively. Maximum disease incidence (44.10%) was recorded in control.

Data on disease intensity for the year 2017 reveals that the selected PGPR and carbendazim treatment were significantly superior over the control. Seed treatment with carbendazim recorded minimum disease intensity (0.97%), followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (1.4%), seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (1.95%), biopriming with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (2.5%) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (2.92%) respectively. Highest disease intensity of 16.11 per cent was recorded in case of control.

Pooled data of disease intensity 2016 and 2017 reveals that all treatment recorded significantly over the control. Seed treatment with carbendazim recorded minimum disease intensity (0.83%), followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (1.39%), seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (1.81%), biopriming with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (2.57%) and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (3.34%) respectively. Highest disease intensity of 18.61 per cent was recorded in case of control.

The use of beneficial microorganisms as biocontrol to reduce diseases on various important crops is considered one of the most promising methods in crop management practices. In the present study biological control potential of selected bacterial isolates were studied on bean plants. Pathogen that is found associated with the soil borne diseases of beans is *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* was
isolated from the infected roots of bean plants. *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* produced microconidia and macroconidia. Microconidia were single celled, hyline, ovoid and measured 2.5-3.5(3.2) × 7.0-13.0 (9.5) μm in size. Macroconidia were sickle shaped with 2-5 septate, hyaline measuring 3.0-4.0(3.5) × 28-40(33.5) μm in size. Maina, (2017) studied cultural, morphological and pathogenic variability among *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Phaseoli*, where it revealed that isolates showed diverse in terms of cultural, morphological and pathogenic characteristics. The isolates differed in their micro and macroconidia in terms of size and number of septa. The length × breadth of the microconidia ranged from 8 × 3.0 to 10 × 3.4 μm with a mean size of 9 × 3.4 μm. Macroconidia varied between 28 × 3.8 to 42 × 4.2 μm with a mean of 37 × 3.3 μm. All the isolates had 3 septa macroconidia. The macroconidia of all the isolates were slightly sickle-shaped.

Of the total 24 rhizobacterial isolates, 9 rhizobacterial showed light green, 2 green, 8 cream, 4 white and 1 red colour, whereas, 12 round and non-spreading and 12 irregular and spreading colonies. However, 14 strains showing Gram negative reaction, 10 rhizobacterial strains showing Gram positive reaction. Out of 24 isolates 17 isolates were small rods, whereas, 7 isolates were large rods. The fluorescence under UV light is one of the key characters and the direct detection of fluorescence around the colonies is helpful for the identification of fluorescent pseudomonads (Brown and Lowbury, 1968; Sivasankari and Anandharaj, 2014).

The selected biocontrol isolates (I-1, I-4, I-5, I-20 and I-21) and carbendazim were tested in vivo for disease incidence and intensity. The data on effect of selected PGPR and carbendazim during the year 2016 reveals that Seed treatment with carbendazim (2.77 %) recorded minimum disease incidence, followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (4.43%). Disease incidence during the year 2017 reveals that seed treatment with carbendazim (3.47%) recorded minimum disease incidence, followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (5.29%), seed treatment by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (7.71%). Pooled data on disease incidence reveals that the seed treatment with carbendazim (3.12%) recorded minimum disease incidence, followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (4.86%), seed treatment with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (6.94%). Perusal of the data reveals that during the year 2016, minimum disease intensity was recorded in case of seed treatment with carbendazim (0.69%), which is followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (1.17%). During the year 2017 seed treatment with carbendazim recorded minimum disease intensity (0.97%), followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (1.4%). Pooled data of disease intensity 2016-17 reveals that seed treatment with carbendazim recorded minimum disease intensity (0.97%), followed by seed treatment with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (1.4%). Ramamoorthy *et al.* (2002) found that *P. fluorescens* isolates Pf1 increased plant vigour and consistently reduced the disease incidence under greenhouse conditions and the disease protection was comparable with fungicide carbendazim. Islam *et al.*, 2015 found that PGPR strains applied as a seed treatment significantly reduced disease severity of Phytophthora crown rot on cucumber plants. The fungal antagonists *Pseudomonas stutzeri*, *B. subtilis*, *B. amyloliquifaciens*, and *S. maltophilia* were have been shown to be effective biocontrol agents in prior studies (Dunne *et al.*, 2000; Zhang and Yuen, 2000; Dal Bello *et al.*, 2002; Berg *et al.*, 2005; Islam and Hossain, 2013; Erlacher *et
al., 2014). Competitive root tip colonization by PGPR strains might play an important role in the efficient control of soil-borne diseases.
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