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Abstract

Background: Energy balance–related risk factors for colon cancer recurrence and mortality—type II diabetes, hyperinsulinemia, inflammation, and visceral obesity—are positively correlated with consumption of refined grains and negatively correlated with consumption of whole grains. We examined the relationship between the consumption of refined and whole grains with cancer recurrence and mortality in a cohort of patients with colon cancer.

Methods: We conducted a prospective observational study of 1024 patients with stage III colon cancer who participated in a randomized trial of postoperative chemotherapy. Patients reported consumption of refined and whole grains using a food frequency questionnaire during and six months after chemotherapy. The primary outcome was disease-free survival (DFS). Multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using Cox regression models. All P values are two-sided.

Results: During a median follow-up of 7.3 years, 394 patients experienced a DFS event. The hazard ratio for DFS was 1.56 (95% CI = 1.09 to 2.24) for patients consuming three or more servings per day of refined grains compared with patients consuming less than one serving per day (P trend = .005). The hazard ratio for DFS was 0.89 (95% CI = 0.66 to 1.20) for patients consuming three or more servings per day of whole grains compared with patients consuming less than one serving per day (P trend = .54). The hazard ratio for DFS of substituting one serving per day of refined grain with one serving per day of whole grain was 0.87 (95% CI = 0.79 to 0.96, P = .007).

Conclusions: The choice of grain consumed may be associated with cancer recurrence and mortality. Future studies are necessary to confirm our findings and to inform the design of randomized trials.

Each year, 83 000 people are diagnosed with stage I–III colon cancer in the United States (1). Despite surgical resection, either alone or in combination with adjuvant chemotherapy, 25%–30% of patents will experience recurrent and metastatic disease within three years of diagnosis, and 91% of those who recur within three years die by five years (2). Consequently, patients with colon cancer are motivated to understand how lifestyle behaviors, such as their dietary choices, may influence cancer recurrence and mortality (3). Consumption of a Western-style diet (4), high-glycemic carbohydrates (5), red and processed meats (6), and sugar-sweetened beverages are associated with a higher risk of recurrence and mortality (7,8). Conversely, coffee (9), milk (10), and modest alcohol consumption are associated with a lower risk of recurrence and mortality (8).
Evidence describing other dietary constituents will inform clinical recommendations for patients and guide the design of randomized trials of dietary modification (11).

Whole grains contain endosperm, germ, and bran, whereas refined grains have the germ and bran removed during the milling process. The consumption of refined grains is associated with an increased risk of developing type II diabetes (12–14). The consumption of refined grains is positively correlated with hyperinsulinemia (15), inflammation (16), and visceral obesity (17). This is relevant to patients with colon cancer because type II diabetes (18,19), hyperinsulinemia (20), inflammation (21), and visceral obesity are risk factors for cancer recurrence and mortality (22). Conversely, the consumption of whole grains is associated with a lower risk of developing type II diabetes (23). The consumption of whole grains is negatively correlated with hyperinsulinemia (24), inflammation (25), and visceral obesity (17). Randomized trials in healthy men and women demonstrate that substituting refined grains with whole grains reduces insulin resistance (26,27), inflammation (28,29), and body fat (29,30).

We prospectively examined the relationship between the consumption of refined grains and whole grains with cancer recurrence and mortality in a cohort of patients with stage III colon cancer enrolled in a National Cancer Institute–sponsored randomized clinical trial of postoperative chemotherapy. We hypothesized that 1) higher consumption of refined grains would be associated with an increased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality; 2) higher consumption of whole grains would be associated with a decreased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality; and 3) substitution of refined grains with whole grains would be associated with a decreased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality.

Methods

Study Population

Patients in this prospective cohort study participated in the National Cancer Institute (NCI)–sponsored Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB; now Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology) 89803 postoperative chemotherapty trial for stage III colon cancer (31). Patients were recruited from the United States and Canada. The primary aim of CALGB 89803 was to compare weekly 5-fluorouracil and leucovorin with weekly irinotecan, 5-fluorouracil, and leucovorin (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00038350). Between May 1999 and May 2001, the trial enrolled 1264 patients. After the enrollment of 87 patients, an amendment required patients to complete a self-administered questionnaire that quantified diet and lifestyle behaviors midway through chemotherapy (approximately four months after surgical resection, questionnaire 1 [Q1], and again six months after completion of chemotherapy [14 months after surgical resection; Q2]).

Eligible patients underwent a complete surgical resection of the primary tumor within 56 days of trial enrollment, had regional lymph node metastases but no evidence of distant metastases, had a baseline Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 to 2, and had adequate bone marrow, renal, and hepatic function. Patients were excluded from this analysis if they reported unreasonable energy intake (<600 or >4200 calories per day for men; <500 or >3500 calories per day for women) or left 70 or more food items blank (see Dietary Assessment below). To avoid bias in dietary assessment because of declining health, patients were excluded if they experienced cancer recurrence or death within 90 days of completing the questionnaire (Q1). Figure 1 describes the derivation of the final sample size of 1024 patients included in this study. There were no substantive differences in the baseline characteristics of patients included in the dietary analysis, and the remaining patients enrolled in CALGB 89803 (5).

All patients signed informed consent, which was approved by the National Cancer Institute Cancer Treatment Evaluation Program and each participating site’s institutional review board.

Dietary Assessment

The consumption of refined and whole grain foods was quantified using semiquantitative food frequency questionnaires (FFQ) that included 131 food items, vitamin and mineral supplements, and open-ended sections for other supplements and foods not specifically listed (32). For each food, a common unit or portion size (eg, slice of bread) was specified, and the patient was asked to report how often, on average over the previous three months, they consumed that portion size. Up to nine frequency responses were possible, which ranged from never to six or more times per day. Type and brand of cereal were also assessed. We computed nutrient intakes by multiplying the frequency of consumption of each food by the nutrient content of the specified portions using composition values from the Department of Agriculture. All nutrient values were energy-adjusted using the residuals method (33).

Foods were classified as refined or whole grains using the technique developed by Jacobs and colleagues (34). Refined grains included sweet rolls, cake deserts, white bread, pasta, English muffins, muffins, biscuits, refined grain cereals, white rice, pancakes, waffles, and pizza. Whole grains included dark bread, whole grain ready-to-eat cereals (>25% whole grain content by weight), popcorn, cooked oatmeal, wheat germ, brown rice, bran, and other grains. A full description of the FFQ, reproducibility, and validity statistics has been reported previously (32,35). The performance of the FFQ to quantify individual grain products is high; the correlation between the FFQ and detailed diet records is 0.75 for cereal, 0.71 for white bread, and 0.77 for dark bread (36). Variables including prudent and Western dietary patterns, the healthy eating index, and glycemic load were calculated using the dietary assessment following techniques described previously (8).

Patients who completed Q1 and whose cancer had not recurred prior to Q1 completion were included in these analyses. The median time from study entry to Q1 (range) was 3.5 (0.2–9.9) months. We updated dietary exposures based on the results of Q2 using cumulative averaging, with weighting that is proportional to times between Q1 and Q2 and then between Q2 and the event (or censoring) time (4). This technique accounts for changes in diet that may occur over time, thereby maximizing the information obtained from the available data.

Study End Points

The primary end point of this study was disease-free survival (DFS), and secondary end points included recurrence-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS). DFS was defined as the time from completion of Q1 to cancer recurrence, occurrence of a new primary colon cancer, or death from any cause, whichever occurred first. RFS was defined as the time from
completion of Q1 to cancer recurrence or occurrence of a new primary colon cancer; patients who died without known disease recurrence were censored at the last documented physician evaluation. OS was defined as the time from completion of Q1 to death from any cause.

**Statistical Analysis**

In the clinical trial, there were no statistical differences in DFS, RFS, or OS between treatment arms (31). Therefore, data for patients in both arms were combined and analyzed according to frequency categories of dietary intake. For the primary analysis, consumption of refined and whole grain foods was categorized into three groups (<1, 1–2, and ≥3 servings per day). Cox proportional hazards regression was used to determine the simultaneous influence of other potential confounding variables. Three models were built to incrementally examine the association between grain intake and the study end points. Model 1 was adjusted for age and energy intake; model 2 was adjusted for demographic, clinical, and behavioral variables; model 3 was adjusted for the covariates in model 2, plus the complementary grain measure: whole grain intake (for refined grain models) and refined grain intake (for whole grain models). We used time-varying covariates to adjust for body mass index (BMI), physical activity, total energy intake, whole grain intake, and refined grain intake. Other covariates, including age at Q1, sex, race, performance status (physician estimate of patient ability for self-care, daily activity, and physical ability), depth of invasion through bowel wall (T stage), number of positive lymph nodes (N stage), location of the primary tumor, and chemotherapy treatment arm, were entered into the model as fixed covariates.

We tested for linear trends across frequency categories of consumption by assigning each patient the median value for each category and modeling the value as a continuous variable. We graphically examined the frequency of grain consumption as a continuous variable using nonlinear restricted cubic splines. The proportionality of hazards assumption was examined by including time-dependent covariates in the regression models and visually inspecting log-log plots. To evaluate the effect of substituting refined grains with whole grains, we built substitution models by simultaneously entering whole and refined grain intakes into a model as continuous variables, then exponentiating the difference in coefficients to obtain an estimate that is on the hazard ratio scale (37). The hazard ratios

---

*Figure 1. Derivation of cohort. Caloric intake exclusion: <600 calories or >4200 calories per day for men and <500 calories and >3500 calories per day for women. Q1 = questionnaire 1 (midway through adjuvant therapy); Q2 = questionnaire 2 (six months after completion of adjuvant therapy).*
derived from these models are interpreted as the estimated effect of substituting one daily serving of refined grain with one daily serving of whole grain.

All analyses were performed with SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). A P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant. All P values are two-sided. Data

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of 1024 patients by servings of refined and whole grains

|                                | Refined grain intake, servings/d | Whole grain intake, servings/d |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                | <1 (n = 160) | 1–2 (n = 565) | ≥3 (n = 299) | P       | <1 (n = 294) | 1–2 (n = 481) | ≥3 (n = 249) | P       |
| Age, median (IQR), y           |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Sex, No. (%)                   |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Male                           |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Female                         |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Race, No. (%)                  |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| White                          |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Black                          |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Other                          |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Baseline performance status, No. (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Infection through bowel wall by T stage, No. (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Positive lymph nodes, No. (%)  |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Location of primary tumor, No. (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Treatment arm, No. (%)         |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Current use of aspirin, No. (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Dietary intake, median (IQR), g/d |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Alcohol consumption, g/d       |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Coffee intake, cups/wk         |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Sugar sweetened beverages, s/wk |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Cereal fiber, g/d              |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Western diet pattern, No. < median (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Prudent diet pattern, No. < median (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| AHEI dietary pattern, No. < median (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |
| Glycemic load, No. < median (%) |              |              |              |         |              |              |              |         |

*Baseline performance status: 0 indicates fully active; 1 indicates restricted in physically strenuous activity but ambulatory and able to perform light work; 2 indicates ambulatory and capable of all self-care but unable to perform any work activities, up to approximately 50% of waking hours. AHEI = Alternate Healthy Eating Index 2010; BMI = body mass index; FU/LV = fluorouracil and leucovorin; IFL = irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin; IQR = interquartile range; MET-h/w = metabolic equivalent task hours per week; s = servings.
collection and statistical analyses were conducted by the Alliance Statistics and Data Center at Duke University Medical Center. Data quality was ensured by review of data by the Alliance Statistics and Data Center and by the study chairperson following Alliance policies. All analyses were based on the study database frozen on November 9, 2009.

Results

Baseline Characteristics

Baseline characteristics of the 1024 patients by frequency of consumption of refined and whole grains are displayed in Table 1. Those in the highest category of refined grain intake were more likely to be male and report a higher consumption of coffee, sugar-sweetened beverages, and poorer overall dietary patterns, compared with patients in the lowest category of refined grain intake. Those in the highest category of whole grain intake were more likely to be male and of white race, to have fewer positive lymph nodes and better performance status, and to report higher physical activity, higher consumption of cereal fiber, and more favorable overall dietary patterns, compared with patients in the lowest category of whole grain intake.

Associations Between Cancer Recurrence and Mortality With Grain Intake

The median follow-up time from completion of Q1 was 7.3 years. During follow-up, we observed 394 DFS events, 350 RFS events, and 311 OS events.

In the adjusted cubic spline model, higher intake of refined grains was associated with an increased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality (P = .03) (Figure 2). The associations between cancer recurrence and mortality with refined and whole grain intake are displayed in Table 2. The multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio for DFS was 1.56 (95% CI = 1.09 to 2.24) for those consuming three or more servings per day of refined grains compared with those consuming less than one serving per day (Pr = .005). Results were similar for RFS and OS. Exclusion of patients who experienced a DFS event in the first 90 to 365 days after Q1 did not substantively alter the above-described results.

In the adjusted cubic spline model, higher intake of whole grains was associated with a reduced risk of cancer recurrence and mortality (P = .05) (Figure 3). The multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio for DFS was 0.89 (95% CI = 0.66 to 1.20) for those consuming three or more servings per day of whole grains compared with those consuming less than one serving per day (Pr = .54). Results were similar for RFS and OS. Exclusion of patients who experienced a DFS event in the first 90 to 365 days after Q1 did not substantively alter the above-described results.

Substitution Analysis

The consumption of refined grains was negatively correlated with the consumption of whole grains (r = −.19, P < .001). We therefore sought to estimate the influence of substituting one daily serving of refined grain with one daily serving of whole grain. In substitution analysis, the multivariable-adjusted hazard ratio for DFS by substituting one daily serving of refined grain with one daily serving of whole grain was 0.87 (95% CI = 0.79 to 0.96, P = .007). Results were similar for RFS (HR = 0.86, 95% CI = 0.78 to 0.97, P = .01).

Discussion

In this prospective cohort of patients with stage III colon cancer who participated in an NCI-sponsored randomized trial of post-operative chemotherapy, higher consumption of refined grains was associated with an increased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality, and the substitution of refined grains with whole grains was associated with a decreased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality. These data add to an evidence base that has documented the importance that dietary choices may have on clinical outcomes in this population.

Type II diabetes (18,19), hyperinsulinemia (20), inflammation (21), and visceral obesity are risk factors for cancer recurrence and mortality (22). Many of these risk factors have been proposed as biological mediators of the relationship between energy balance–related lifestyle factors (diet, physical activity, obesity) and clinical outcome in patients with cancer (38,39). The consumption of refined grains is associated with an increased risk of developing type II diabetes (12–14) and positively correlated with hyperinsulinemia (15), inflammation (16), and visceral obesity (17). Conversely, the consumption of whole grains is associated with a lower risk of developing type II diabetes (23) and negatively correlated with hyperinsulinemia (24), inflammation (25), and visceral obesity (17). The observed relationship between the consumption of refined grains with cancer recurrence and mortality is
therefore biologically possible. This mechanistic hypothesis in oncology parallels that of cardiology, where the consumption of refined grains is associated with an increased risk of incident cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular-specific mortality (40).

A novel aspect of our study was the observation that substituting one daily serving of refined grains with one daily serving of whole grains was associated with a 13% lower risk of cancer recurrence or mortality during the follow-up period. Clinical trials in healthy men and women demonstrate that substituting refined grains with whole grains reduces insulin resistance (27), inflammation (28), and body fat (30). For example, in a randomized crossover trial, six weeks of a whole grain diet reduced concentrations of fasting insulin compared with a refined grain diet (−15.0 ± 5.5 pmol/L, P = .03) (27). Similarly, in a 12-week randomized trial, a whole grain diet reduced body fat compared with a refined grain diet (−1.9%, P = .04) (30). These data suggest that randomized trials of dietary modification are feasible and induce physiologic changes that may be associated with clinical outcome. A decade ago, the initial observation was made that a Western-style diet was associated with cancer recurrence and mortality in patients with colon cancer (4). Since that time, the specificity of our understanding regarding what dietary constituents may influence clinical outcome has evolved, and now includes high-glycemic carbohydrates (5), red and processed meats (6), and sugar-sweetened beverages (7, 8), as well as coffee (9), milk (10), and modest alcohol consumption (8). These observational data will be useful to inform and tailor the design of a dietary modification intervention trial in patients with colon cancer, a trial that will eventually need to be tested with a disease end point to provide persuasive evidence to inform clinical practice.

The principal strength of this study was embedding the FFQ in a randomized clinical trial. Consequently, this study had a large sample size with a well-defined study population. Follow-up in this study was standardized, such that all patients underwent quarterly medical examinations to identify recurrent disease. Although the FFQ was self-reported, it was collected

| Table 2. Associations between colon cancer recurrence and mortality with refined and whole grain intake* |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Outcome and exposure | Grain intake, servings/d | <1 | 1–2 | ≥3 | P<sub>trend</sub> |
| Disease-free survival |
| Refined grain intake |
| No. of events/No. at risk | 53/160 | 204/565 | 137/299 |
| Model 1† | 1.00 (referent) | 1.18 (0.87 to 1.61) | 1.75 (1.24 to 2.46) | <.001 |
| Model 2‡ | 1.00 (referent) | 1.16 (0.85 to 1.58) | 1.58 (1.12 to 2.24) | .003 |
| Model 3§ | 1.00 (referent) | 1.15 (0.84 to 1.58) | 1.56 (1.09 to 2.24) | .005 |
| Whole grain intake |
| No. of events/No. at risk | 129/294 | 173/481 | 92/249 |
| Model 1† | 1.00 (referent) | 0.77 (0.61 to 0.97) | 0.81 (0.62 to 1.07) | .19 |
| Model 2‡ | 1.00 (referent) | 0.81 (0.64 to 1.03) | 0.85 (0.64 to 1.13) | .32 |
| Model 3§ | 1.00 (referent) | 0.84 (0.66 to 1.07) | 0.89 (0.66 to 1.20) | .54 |
| Recurrence-free survival |
| Refined grain intake |
| No. of events/No. at risk | 48/160 | 177/565 | 125/299 |
| Model 1† | 1.00 (referent) | 1.11 (0.80 to 1.54) | 1.73 (1.21 to 2.49) | <.001 |
| Model 2‡ | 1.00 (referent) | 1.08 (0.78 to 1.50) | 1.59 (1.10 to 2.29) | .001 |
| Model 3§ | 1.00 (referent) | 1.08 (0.77 to 1.50) | 1.57 (1.08 to 2.30) | .003 |
| Whole grain intake |
| No. of events/No. at risk | 111/294 | 155/481 | 84/249 |
| Model 1† | 1.00 (referent) | 0.81 (0.64 to 1.04) | 0.89 (0.67 to 1.20) | .53 |
| Model 2‡ | 1.00 (referent) | 0.82 (0.64 to 1.06) | 0.90 (0.67 to 1.21) | .58 |
| Model 3§ | 1.00 (referent) | 0.86 (0.67 to 1.12) | 0.97 (0.71 to 1.33) | .98 |
| Overall survival |
| Refined grain intake |
| No. of events/No. at risk | 38/160 | 158/565 | 115/299 |
| Model 1† | 1.00 (referent) | 1.33 (0.92 to 1.90) | 2.09 (1.40 to 3.10) | <.001 |
| Model 2‡ | 1.00 (referent) | 1.32 (0.92 to 1.90) | 1.89 (1.27 to 2.81) | <.001 |
| Model 3§ | 1.00 (referent) | 1.32 (0.91 to 1.90) | 1.88 (1.25 to 2.85) | .001 |
| Whole grain intake |
| No. of events/No. at risk | 108/294 | 130/481 | 73/249 |
| Model 1† | 1.00 (referent) | 0.69 (0.54 to 0.89) | 0.74 (0.55 to 1.01) | .09 |
| Model 2‡ | 1.00 (referent) | 0.78 (0.60 to 1.02) | 0.81 (0.59 to 1.11) | .24 |
| Model 3§ | 1.00 (referent) | 0.81 (0.62 to 1.06) | 0.86 (0.62 to 1.20) | .46 |

*Two-sided P values. Trend across quintiles. CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio.
†Model 1: adjusted for age and time-varying total energy.
‡Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, race, performance status, T stage, positive lymph nodes, location of primary tumor, treatment arm, time-varying body mass index, physical activity, and total energy.
§Model 3: adjusted for age, sex, race, performance status, T stage, positive lymph nodes, location of primary tumor, treatment arm, time-varying body mass index, physical activity, total energy, and whole grain intake (for refined grain models) or refined grain intake (for whole grain models).
that a higher consumption of refined grains was associated with an increased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality, and the substitution of refined grains with whole grains was associated with a decreased risk of cancer recurrence and mortality. Although this observational study does not provide definitive evidence for causality, these data may inform clinical recommendations for patients and inform hypotheses to guide the design of future observational studies and randomized trials of dietary modification in patients with colon cancer.
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