Identification of unmet clinical needs in the field of nephrology – A modified bio-design approach
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Abstract

In India, the incidences and risks of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) have been rising alarmingly due to the rising prevalence of Hypertension and Diabetes patients. The treatment for CKD is unaffordable for most of the patients suffering from this condition. The lack of access to quality care in rural and suburban India further impacts the plight of CKD patients. These inconsistencies and gaps in effective treatment are equally present in most Nephrology related disorders. To address these issues, the authors used a modified version of the biosign innovation process, originally developed by Stanford University, to identify unmet clinical needs in the field of nephrology from the perspective of developing new innovative medical devices to fit the evolving MedTech ecosystem in India. A multidisciplinary team, involving a doctor, an engineer and a designer, identified 54 unmet needs with significant negative outcomes from a 1 month clinical immersion held at a tertiary referral hospital in Southern India. 4 rounds of pre-calibrated filters were applied on these needs to identify the ten most compelling clinically validated needs for the phase of invention. This article talks about the modified biosign process used, the top ten unmet clinical needs identified and the team’s findings during the study.

Introduction

India has an estimated population of 1.27 billion. Recent estimates peg the prevalence of stage 4-5 Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) to be 0.8% of the population, which comes up to over 10 million Indians. With an incidence of 151-163 per million population, End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) is definitely growing at a fast rate [1]. It is also known that hypertensive and diabetic patients are at a higher propensity to develop kidney diseases and these account for 29.8% and 4.8% of the Indian population respectively [2,3,4]. A significant portion of the population, therefore, is at a growing risk of developing CKD.

CKD patients, if not treated at the appropriate stage of the illness, suffer from irreversible organ damage and a life-long dependency on artificial dialysis and medical treatment. The problems faced by CKD patients are complex, chronic and multi-faceted. CKD is an outcome of poor or ineffective functioning of the kidneys. Patients with CKD stages 1-3 are generally asymptomatic. Typically, it is not until stages 4-5 that endocrine/metabolic derangements or disturbances in water or electrolyte balance become clinically manifest [5]. The lack of awareness and delay in treatment leads to a severity of complications associated with the disease. With increasing severity of the chronic condition, the treatment becomes more expensive. Specialized centres for treatment are few and concentrated in major urban areas in India, leading to an expenditure of time and money for patients coming from remote areas [6]. The medical devices and diagnostics used are expensive as almost 75% of them are imported. Most devices present in developing countries have been designed for use in developed countries, and there are very few local manufacturers capable of designing complex technologies such as the hemodialysis machines [7].

While most of the patients of kidney disease themselves have no medical insurance cover, insurance schemes do not cover life-long illnesses, and thus, almost all kidney disease patients have to pay from their pockets [8]. In India 80% of the population is not covered by any sort of insurance at all. Medical rehabilitation is also a long and arduous process, involving numerous tests and diagnostics before the appropriate treatment can be prescribed, thus leading to extensive morbidity and hospitalization costs in some cases.

The lack of affordable and accessible technology and the use of improvised solutions spurred the authors to work towards the development of innovative technology suited to fit the Indian healthcare system in the field of Nephrology. A prerequisite to developing the technology is identifying the right need. This article describes how the authors use a modified biosign process, originally developed by Stanford University, to identify unmet clinical needs in the field of Nephrology. This article also highlights the methods and materials used for the study, the results obtained thereafter, and a brief overview of the Indian Medtech ecosystem.

Materials and methods

The process employed is a part of the traditional bio-design process which involves three phases: identify, invent and implement [9,10].
In the “Identify” phase, the observers record negative or undesirable outcomes in the care process of a specific focus area, in our case, Nephrology. These negative outcomes are translated into structured need statements that highlight the desired change in the problem in a specific target population at a location where the problem is most significant. These need statements undergo a structured filtering process in order to arrive at a list of top needs in the space. In the “Invent” phase, the team brainstorms to find solutions that address the top need. This includes identification of stakeholders, a thorough Intellectual Property landscape search and development of preliminary prototypes. In the “Implement” phase, the team decides how to take forward the innovation so that it reaches the market. This involves creation of business plans and modelling, development strategies, competitive strategy, etc. This section describes the steps carried out and the results gathered thereof from the Identify phase or phase of identification only.

The phase of identification was used to find unmet clinical needs and validate them in a clinical setting. The aim was to identify inefficiencies, and hazards, with potential, or occurring negative outcomes in patient care. A multi-disciplinary team, including a designer, an engineer, a senior Nephrologist and a Stanford Biodesign trained clinician, performed clinical observations at a hospital in the Department of Nephrology for a period of 4 weeks. These observations were made by shadowing clinicians and patients on a routine basis, observing procedures, finding out the patient history, and following up with patients as their treatment progressed. The observations were recorded in the form of dockets, each of which carried patient details, such as age, gender, the patient history, observation, patient pathway, patient follow-up, and the time, date and place where the observation is made. From each observation the negative outcome was highlighted and converted into a clinical need for a solution.

The needs were then filtered and prioritized using some quantifiable metrics to obtain a more robust collection of high impact and context specific needs. The filtration process was conducted in four levels. The first round of filtration consisted of eliminating redundant needs, needs not in the field of the specialization and needs that will have no significant. These need statements undergo a structured filtering process in order to arrive at a list of top needs in the space. In the “Identify” phase, the observers record negative or undesirable outcomes in the care process of a specific focus area, in our case, Nephrology. These negative outcomes are translated into structured need statements that highlight the desired change in the problem in a specific target population at a location where the problem is most significant. These need statements undergo a structured filtering process in order to arrive at a list of top needs in the space. In the “Invent” phase, the team brainstorms to find solutions that address the top need. This includes identification of stakeholders, a thorough Intellectual Property landscape search and development of preliminary prototypes. In the “Implement” phase, the team decides how to take forward the innovation so that it reaches the market. This involves creation of business plans and modelling, development strategies, competitive strategy, etc. This section describes the steps carried out and the results gathered thereof from the Identify phase or phase of identification only.

The top need of “A way to detect early onset of chronic kidney disease in people from rural areas so as to start early treatment and increase chances of cure,” emerged after many rounds of through filtering. Literature data supported this top need. Chronic kidney disease has a prevalence of 0.79% in India and an estimated number of 163 people per million populations get afflicted with this illness every year.

The top need of “A way to detect early onset of chronic kidney disease in people from rural areas so as to start early treatment and increase chances of cure,” emerged after many rounds of through filtering. Literature data supported this top need. Chronic kidney disease has a prevalence of 0.79% in India and an estimated number of 163 people per million populations get afflicted with this illness every year.

Cost of each dialysis sitting was approximately INR 1200. Cost of a new dialyzer was approximately INR 5000. An AVF surgery costs approximately INR 7000. The neck catheter costs INR 4500. The AV graft surgery costs INR 30000, and the AV graft (made with tapered carbon coated material) costs around INR 33000. Balloon angioplasty surgery (to correct stenosis of veins) costs INR 25000. In many cases,
if the length of the stenosis is long, multiple stents may be required and hence this is not preferred. The cost of a stent starts at Rs. 50000 and can go up to Rs. 80000 depending on the material. The dialyzer reprocessing machine costs anywhere between INR 3-5 Lakh. The cost of an electron microscopy kidney biopsy procedure is approximately INR 6000. A transjugular renal biopsy costs INR 31000. These costs are the costs charged to the patient in the clinical setting where the authors carried out the immersion.

Per day 150 patients undergo a typical 4 hour haemodialysis session at the clinical setting. 35 hemodialysis machines operate in which 8 machines are reserved for HIV positive patients. 60-90 AVF surgeries are done each month. According to one interviewed clinician, 30 out of total 90 diabetic Stage 4-5 CKD patients per month present at the clinic for the first time. Every week around 1-2 CKD patients show symptoms of dehydration. Approximately 12-16 cases in one month suffered from severe swelling in the arm due to an unsuccessful angioplasty procedure to dilate stenosed veins. There were at least 2 cases a week in which patients suffered from cardiac complications due to renal disease.

Discussion

This article describes how a multi-disciplinary team studied a field of medicine from the clinical point of view in a structured manner to highlight 10 validated areas of clinical innovation to fit the Indian healthcare system. This improvised bio-design process can be used in other fields of medicine to identify unmet clinical needs, as has been done before in the field of emergency medicine by a multidisciplinary group under the guidance of the same clinical expert in the bio-design process [6]. If successful, the process can aid in the development of indigenous products well suited for patients and clinicians in India. There are multiple benefits of using this process to identify unmet clinical needs, as have been highlighted in the following points.

The involvement of a senior clinician

Identifying unmet needs in a highly-specialized space such as nephrology requires an extensive understanding of the epidemiology of various disease states and the various methods existing for diagnosis and treatment. Thus, in such processes, the clinician’s involvement is very important for the team to use their time efficiently and identify critical needs. The constant involvement of the senior nephrologist greatly influenced the team in finding valuable and extensive information to identify and validate clinical needs.

The involvement of a designer and an engineer

The process of a clinical immersion under the guidance of a senior nephrologist was highly beneficial for the designer and the engineer involved, in terms of understanding the field of nephrology in the Indian context. The two-month rigorous and intensive process of spending hours in the hospital, interacting with patients, nurses and doctors, and understanding the methods of diagnosis and treatment, helped the non-clinicians significantly enhance their understanding of nephrology, thus helping them extract meaningful information from the immersion to identify unmet needs (Figure 1).

The structured and scientific filtering process

Having a multi-disciplinary team helps in getting multiple points of view, and in discussing the observations extensively before making

Table 1. Ten most significant clinical needs identified in Nephrology.

| Need                                                                 | Cost                          | Frequency Source               | Existing Solutions                                      | Insights                                      |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| A way to detect early onset of chronic kidney disease in people from rural areas so as to start early treatment and prevent increases of cure | General Ward Costs (INR) = 1260 | Dr. Sajeesh, Senior Resident, Common Ward, SJMCH | Bypass Surgery, Stent, Balloon Angioplasty | An affordable and effective way to treat stenosed veins in ward patients so as to prevent associated morbidities. |
| A safer way to perform haemodialysis in patients with deranged bleeding parameters in order to prevent uncontrolled bleeding and shock. | Private Ward Costs (INR) = 1440 | | | |
| A safer and effective way to reprocess the dialyzer after it has been used so as to prevent infections and spread of blood-transmitted diseases. | AC Ward Costs (INR) = 2080 | | | |
| A better way to evaluate the patency of veins before insertion of IJV/ subclavian lines to prevent unnecessary costs and associated morbidities. | | | | |
| An effective way to monitor serum creatinine levels in chronic kidney disease patients who have been discharged after haemodialysis in order to identify worsening of kidney function early and prevent delay in management. | | | | |
| An accurate way to monitor potassium levels in patients with chronic kidney disease who have asymptomatic hyperkalemia in order to prevent cardiac complications. | | | | |
| A safer way to perform first time haemodialysis in patients with end stage renal disease in order to reduce the risk of hypertensive shock/ AV fistula collapse. | | | | |
| An effective way to maintain the patency of AV fistula for dialysis in chronic kidney disease patients in order to prevent thrombosis, stenosis and corrective surgeries for AV fistula. | | | | |
| A safer way to perform haemodialysis in end stage renal disease patients without a ready/ mature AVF in order to prevent multiple temporary accesses in the neck and femoral veins and resulting infections and vein thrombosis until the AVF matures. | | | | |

Table 3. Observation Docket of an Unsuccessful Angioplasty.

| Place          | Title                | Date                | Insights                                |
|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Nephrology Common Ward, St. John’s Medical College and Hospital, Bangalore | Unsuccessful Angioplasty | 23rd March 2015 10:30 a.m. | Balloon Angioplasty surgery costs Rs. 25,000. In many cases, if the length of the stenosis is too long, multiple stents may be required, which is not preferred. The cost of a stent starts at Rs. 50,000 and can go up to Rs. 80,000 depending on the material used. |
The clinicians, clinical staff and the patients had been ensured that the data collected was going to be used for the benefit of future patients, and that it will not be misused, and hence they felt obliged to provide whatever knowledge they had for the questions asked. Some of them were even interested to know how an engineer and designer were collecting data to identify potential products for the improvement of medical healthcare. Although there were clinicians who felt it was a waste of their time to answer the team’s questions, most of the staff and patients were very cooperative.

The need for clinical validation from other healthcare centres

The identification of needs over a period of two months at a super-speciality hospital was very effective and produced some pressing needs for the development of innovative solutions. But in order to study if the process followed indeed helped identify needs that the clinical experts in the field would agree with, it was important to validate the same at other hospitals. The clinical validation session conducted in Bangalore and Tumkur re-enforced the needs identified earlier, and provided wider points of view and potential ways of tackling the issues. It was also important to understand treatment in other hospitals and the clinical immersion held at St. John’s Hospital, as Peritoneal Dialysis is not performed there.

St. John’s hospital has one of the largest dialysis centres in India, with 40 dialysis units and over 150 dialysis sittings happening everyday. On the contrary, the Tumkur General Hospital has a 6 bedded dialysis unit, and around 15 sittings happening in a day. The two hospitals have very different requirements in terms of improvement of the infrastructure and clinical needs of the patients. CKD Patients in St. John’s hospital need efficient sterilization techniques for reprocessing the dialyzer as a significant population of the dialysis patients suffer from HIV AIDS, and a poorly reprocessed dialyzer may pass on the disease to a healthy patient. On the other hand, Tumkur General Hospital does not cater to HIV patients, and focusses more towards improvement of the nursing staff to take better care of existing patients in the dialysis unit.

The above two examples have been used to exemplify the need for an extended study of nephrology from other doctors and hospitals to get a wholesome, unbiased outcome in terms of needs identification and validation.

Interacting with patients and clinicians

From a non-clinician’s perspective, it is important to understand that innovation cannot take place without empathizing with the stakeholders in question. Conducting a clinical immersion in a hospital must involve the highest of regards for the patients’ and clinicians’ time and availability. One cannot disregard the fact that these patients are currently under some form of biological, social or occupational distress, and must be empathised with and not troubled by unnecessary prodding and probing. In India, the ratio of clinicians to patients is highly disproportionate, resulting in over-worked clinicians with barely enough time to tend to patients. In addition, a number of permission and trust issues surface in a clinical set-up where non-clinicians interact with patients. This is addressed in the Biodesign process which emphasises on appropriate training on communication skills and interpersonal skills. One must appreciate the effort of a clinician working towards bio-medical innovation, apart from continuing his or her daily practice, and complement the clinician’s clinical practice, rather than disturb it.

The importance of a thorough needs identification and validation process

When attempting to design solutions for clinical needs, it is important to know that these solutions can change the biological, social and/or occupational conditions of a large number of patients. Also, the development of a product for use in a clinical setting requires many years of testing and a proportional amount of financial investment before it can be launched in the market. Hence it was important for
us to thoroughly investigate the needs, understand the problems, and then concentrate on developing solutions. The two-month long immersion and the multiple rounds of filtering ensured that the most pressing matters came on top with enough information and validation to support any development of innovative products.

**Conclusion**

The modified structured process for unmet clinical needs identification takes into consideration the context of Indian Healthcare Systems and helps create a validated database of clinical needs for future innovation and invention of medical devices and diagnostics for the Indian Market. The process had to be altered significantly and structured to obtain an output of ten compelling medical needs in the field of nephrology.

The process allowed for identification of unmet clinical needs in the focus area of Nephrology. The negative outcomes were well understood through four rounds of rigorous filtering that successively eliminated redundant and low-impact needs. The filtering parameters also ensured better insights into the disease space, predicate device analysis using a technical feasibility and buyer capacity study, and literature data on incidence and prevalence of the negative outcomes.

A scientific and data driven approach allowed objective evaluation of the needs leading to the top need most compelling needs in the Nephrology space. Currently the team is continuing its work towards identifying a need and create affordable and innovative tools to make healthcare more accessible and suited for the Indian market.
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