USING FUV TO IR VARIABILITY TO PROBE THE STAR–DISK CONNECTION IN THE TRANSITIONAL DISK OF GM AUR
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ABSTRACT

We analyze three epochs of ultraviolet, optical, and near-infrared (NIR) observations of the Taurus transitional disk GM Aur using the Hubble Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) and the Infrared Telescope Facility SpeX spectrograph. Observations were separated by one week and three months in order to study variability over multiple timescales. We calculate accretion rates for each epoch of observations using the STIS spectra and find that those separated by one week had similar accretion rates (~1 x 10^-8 M⊙ yr^-1) while the epoch obtained three months later had a substantially lower accretion rate (~4 x 10^-9 M⊙ yr^-1). We find that the decline in accretion rate is caused by lower densities of material in the accretion flows, as opposed to a lower surface coverage of the accretion columns. During the low accretion rate epoch, we also observe lower fluxes at both far-ultraviolet (FUV) and IR wavelengths, which trace molecular gas and dust in the disk, respectively. We find that this can be explained by a lower dust and gas mass in the inner disk. We attribute the observed variability to inhomogeneities in the inner disk, near the corotation radius, where gas and dust may co-exist near the footprints of the magnetospheric flows. These FUV–NIR data offer a new perspective on the structure of the inner disk, the stellar magnetosphere, and their interaction.

Key words: accretion, accretion disks – circumstellar matter – stars: pre-main sequence

1. INTRODUCTION

The early picture of static, axisymmetric circumstellar disks irradiated by a constant luminosity T Tauri star has evolved to include disk warps, fluctuating accretion of material in the disk, and ever-changing emission properties of the central star, among other dynamic processes. Each of these manifest themselves in T Tauri light curves as variability. Warps block each other dynamic processes. Each of these manifest include disk warps, irradiated by a constant luminosity T Tauri star has evolved to

...
the disk of GM Aur (Ardila et al. 2013) and the emission produced in an accretion shock at the stellar surface (Ingleby et al. 2013).

In Section 2, we discuss the UV, optical, and NIR observations used in our analysis. In Section 3 we outline our modeling procedures for each epoch of GM Aur data, including accretion shock modeling, comparison of IR fluxes to models of the emission from dust in the disk, and a discussion of the molecular contribution to the FUV. Finally, in Section 4 we propose a scenario to explain the variability of these spectra.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS)

GM Aur was observed during three epochs, referred to as GM_1, GM_2, and GM_3 in the following analysis, between 2011 September and 2012 January in GO program 11608 (PI: N. Calvet) with the STIS. The observations were separated by one week (GM_1–GM_2) and three months (GM_1–GM_3) in order to study variability on short and long timescales. Spectra were obtained from the FUV to optical, approximately 1100 Å to 1 μm, utilizing a combination of STIS gratings. The UV gratings, G140L and G230L, used the MAMA detector and provided spectra between 1150 and 3180 Å with resolution, R, ranging between 500 and 1440. G430L and G750L used the CCD detector and covered 2900–10,270 Å with R ~ 530–1040. We used data products produced through the STScI calstis reduction pipeline for our analysis. A log of all STIS observations is provided in Table 1 and the spectra are plotted in Figure 1, combined with IR data obtained from SpeX, discussed in Section 2.2.

2.2. SpeX

GM Aur was observed using the SpeX spectrograph (Rayner et al. 2003) on NASA’s Infrared Telescope Facility. The SpeX observations were obtained using the cross-dispersed (hereafter XD) echelle gratings in both short-wavelength mode (SXD) covering 0.8–2.4 μm with a resolution of ~2000 and long-wavelength mode (LXD) covering 2.3–5.4 μm and resolution ~2500. The LXD data were obtained on five nights (2011 September 11, 18, and 29 (UT) and 2012 January 2 and 6 (UT)). On three of these nights (November 11 and 18 and January 6) SXD data were also obtained. For all XD observations, a 0.8 wide slit was used. The spectra were corrected for telluric extinction, and flux calibrated against the A0V star HD 25152 using the SpeXtool software (Vacca et al. 2003; Cushing et al. 2004) running under IDL. On nights when both SXD and LXD spectra were obtained, the LXD spectrum was merged to the SXD, by re-scaling in the LXD spectra in the wavelength region where they overlapped.

Due to the light loss introduced by the 0.8 slit used to obtain the XD spectra, changes in telescope tracking and seeing between the observations of GM Aur and a calibration star may result in merged XD spectrum with a net zero-point shift compared to their true absolute flux values. To correct for any zero-point shift that results from the 0.8 echelle observations, we also observed GM Aur on four of the nights (excluding only 2011 September 29, due to poor sky conditions) with the low dispersion prism in SpeX using a 3′ wide slit. On nights where the seeing is 1″ or better, this technique yields absolute fluxes that agree with aperture photometry to within 5% or better. The resulting scaling factors derived for the XD spectra were 10% or less on all four nights, and the scalings were applied to each spectrum prior to analysis. The three epochs closest in time to the STIS spectra were included in our analysis and details of the SpeX observations are listed in Table 1.

3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

3.1. Accretion Rates from STIS Spectra

It is generally accepted that the NUV and blue optical excess emission in classical T Tauri stars (CTTS) is produced in the accretion shock at the stellar surface, a result of magnetospheric accretion (Uchida & Shibata 1985; Koenigl 1991; Shu et al. 1994). In this scenario the circumstellar disk is truncated by strong stellar magnetic fields at a few stellar radii, inside of which material is channeled along the field lines to the star. Calvet & Gullbring (1998) described the emission from an accreting column of material which forms a shock at the stellar surface. The shock emits soft X-rays toward the star, heating the photosphere below, and along the column of accreting material where it is reprocessed producing a spectrum peaked in the NUV. Models which assume a single temperature and density slab for the accretion shock have also been successful in reproducing the shock emission (Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008; Rigliaco et al. 2011; Manara et al. 2014). As a second order approximation to the accretion shock model of Calvet & Gullbring (1998), Ingleby et al. (2013) allowed columns with a variety of energy fluxes, F, and surface coverage or filling factors, f, to co-exist. The presence of multiple accretion columns was motivated by models of the
magnetospheric geometry which include higher order moments of the stellar magnetic field producing a complex accretion pattern at the stellar surface (Donati et al. 2008; Long et al. 2008; Gregory & Donati 2011).

We fit the STIS NUV and optical spectra with the multi-column accretion shock model described in Ingleby et al. (2013). STIS spectra were first de-reddened using $A_V = 0.8$ from Espaillat et al. (2011) and the extinction law toward HD 29647 (Whittet et al. 2004). We account for the emission from a T Tauri chromosphere and photosphere by using a non-accreting T Tauri star or weak T Tauri star (WTTS) template, specifically a STIS spectrum of the WTTS RECX 1 from Ingleby et al. (2011). RECX 1 is in the nearby η Chamaeleon star forming region and has the same spectral type as GM Aur (K5).

To scale the spectrum of the WTTS RECX 1 to that of GM Aur we use the veiling value at the V band. Veiling refers to the degree by which photospheric absorption lines appear shallower due to the addition of a continuum excess (Hartigan et al. 1991), which we assume is accretion shock emission, or $F_{\nu,\text{WTTS}} = F_{\nu,\text{CTTS}}/(1 + r_V)$, where $F_{\nu,\text{WTTS}}$ and $F_{\nu,\text{CTTS}}$ are continuum fluxes for the WTTS and CTTS, respectively, and $r_V$ is the amount of veiling, defined as $r_V = F_{\nu,\text{Veil}}/F_{\nu,\text{WTTS}}$, where $F_{\nu,\text{Veil}}$ is the flux of the veiling continuum. Since we lack high resolution observations, we use the value $r_V = 0.2$, from Edwards et al. (2006). Veiling is variable and depends on the amount of excess emission produced in the shock, therefore assuming the value of Edwards et al. (2006) is a source of error. However, we expect this error to be small given that the low accretion rate of GM Aur produces a small amount of veiling. The optical spectrum of GM Aur is dominated by the stellar emission, with the shock emission becoming important in the UV.

As in Ingleby et al. (2013), each accretion column is characterized by an energy flux, $F$, and a filling factor, $f$. The energy flux, $F = 1/2 \rho v^2$, is a measure of the density of material in the accretion column, $\rho$, assuming that the magnetospheric truncation radius is not changing and therefore the infall velocity, $v$, is constant. Higher $F$ columns deposit more energy, producing hotter shock emission and shifting the shock spectrum toward shorter wavelengths (Ingleby et al. 2013). The filling factor $f$ gives the fraction of the stellar surface covered by the column. The stellar mass and radius are additional input parameters to the models. We estimate stellar properties using a synthetic $J$ band magnitude found by integrating the 2MASS $J$ band transmission curve over the de-reddened SpeX observations. The synthetic $J$ magnitude combined with the conversion to bolometric magnitude of Kenyon & Hartmann (1995) provides the stellar luminosity, $L_*=0.9L_\odot$. We assume a distance to GM Aur of 140 pc, and a temperature of 4350 K (Kenyon & Hartmann 1995) to get $R_*=1.7R_\odot$. Finally we estimate a mass $M_*=1.1M_\odot$ using the isochrones of Siess et al. (2000).

We calculated models of the emission from accretion columns with log $F = 10, 10.5, 11, 11.5$, and 12 erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, corresponding to heated photosphere temperatures between 4600 and 10,700 K. As $F$ increases, the shock spectrum gets bluer; therefore, a combination of columns provides the best fit to red and blue wavelengths. Following Ingleby et al. (2013), we found the best model of the STIS spectra by calculating the reduced chi squared fit ($\chi^2_{\text{red}}$) of combinations of accretion columns, each scaled by $f$, left as a free parameter. The accretion shock models do not attempt to reproduce line emission, therefore, the $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ fit omitted spectral regions with strong emission lines. The assessment of the fit also excluded the region between 3200 and 3400 A, where there is significant noise due to edges of the NUV and optical gratings. To produce the final fit, we added the emission from each contributing accretion column to the emission from the WTTS template, RECX 1. Figure 2 shows the best fits to each epoch of STIS observations.

The filling factor of the contributing columns at each epoch is given in Table 2. We list a characteristic value for the energy flux, $F_\nu$, which is an average of the energy flux of all columns, weighted by the filling factor of each column. The listed total filling factor, $f_{\text{total}}$, is the sum of the $f$ values for the contributing columns, providing the total percentage of the surface covered by accretion columns.

The mass accretion rate was found by by adding the contributions of the columns (Ingleby et al. 2013)

$$M = \frac{8\pi R^2}{v^2} \sum_i F_{\nu,i} = \frac{8\pi R^2}{v^2} F_{\nu,\text{total}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

We assume that $v_\infty$ is 0.89 of the free-fall velocity, which for the stellar parameters is 494 km s$^{-1}$, corresponding to infall from ~5 stellar radii. Values for $M$ in the three epochs are given in Table 2. The error in our estimates of the accretion rate is dominated by uncertainties in $A_V$. Existing estimates of extinction for GM Aur range from 0.1 (Kenyon & Hartmann 1995) to 0.8 (Espaillat et al. 2011) with a value of 0.3 derived from long wavelength optical spectra (Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2014). We adopted the value listed in Espaillat et al. (2011) in order to directly compare those results to our analysis. The range of measured $A_V$ values introduces a factor of 2 error in our $M$ estimate.

3.2. FUV–IR Variability

Here, we analyze the wavelength dependent variability in the STIS and SpeX data and discuss some of the common contributors to the emission in each spectral region. GM_1 and GM_2, separated by one week, have very similar spectra and in Section 3.1 we showed that these two epochs had minimal differences in the accretion properties. However, GM_3, which was observed three months after GM_1, is clearly dimmer, though the magnitude of the dimming across the spectrum is not uniform (see Figure 1). Namely, the biggest decrease in fluxes occurs in the NUV, with accompanying yet smaller dips in FUV, optical, and IR fluxes. In the following analysis we focus on the differences between GM_1 and GM_3.

3.2.1. NUV and Optical Excess

As shown in Table 2, while the total filling factors for all three epochs are approximately equal, the energy flux of the columns is higher in GM_1 and GM_2 than in GM_3. As a result, the columns in GM_3 emit less in the NUV, significantly lowering the total flux in this wavelength range. This can best be seen in Figure 3, which compares the normalized total flux due to the accretion columns in the three epochs.

Figure 4 shows the difference in flux between GM_1 and GM_3, with excesses observed in GM_1 at all wavelengths.
We fit the NUV and optical portion of this difference spectrum with the emission from an accretion column. We tried fits with columns characterized by $\log \dot{F} = 10$–12 and found that the best fit to the excess comes from an accretion column with $\dot{F} = 10 \times 10^{12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $f = 0.006$. The accretion rate of a column with these parameters is $\dot{M} = 0.1 \times 10^{-11}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. This $\dot{M}$ is roughly consistent with the difference in $\dot{M}$ between GM$_1$ and GM$_3$ listed in Table 2.

To summarize, we find that the difference between the accretion properties of GM$_1$ and GM$_3$ is in the energy flux, or density, of the accretion columns rather than the total surface coverage. The decrease of $\dot{M}$ in GM$_3$ is mainly due to the decreased density in the high density accretion columns.

### 3.2.2. IR Excess

GM Aur is known to be variable in the infrared. Using Spitzer IRS spectra, Espaillat et al. (2011) found a change in the strength of the 10 $\mu$m silicate emission and in infrared continuum emission from 5 to 10 $\mu$m. They interpreted this as due to changes in the amount of optically thin dust within the disk hole. Espaillat et al. (2011) found that the inner disk hole contained $2 \times 10^{-12}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and could reproduce the observed variability by decreasing the amount of dust in the optically thin region by a factor of two.

In Figure 4 we detect an excess in the IR in GM$_1$ as compared to GM$_3$. This excess is redder than the emission expected from accretion and therefore not associated with shock emission (Fischer et al. 2011; McClure et al. 2013). We calculated models of optically thin dust emission to fit the SpeX data for GM$_1$ and GM$_3$, following the procedure of Espaillat et al. (2010, 2011) to reproduce the excess. The dust is heated by stellar radiation and we change the mass in dust to fit the spectra. The silicate dust composition used in the optically thin region is the same as in Espaillat et al. (2011), which is based on the best fit to the 10 $\mu$m silicate feature. We

| Object | $f(10^{10})$ | $f(3 \times 10^{10})$ | $f(10^{11})$ | $f(3 \times 10^{11})$ | $f(10^{12})$ | $f_{\text{final}}$ | Weighted $\dot{F}$ | $\dot{M}$ ($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) |
|--------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| GM$_1$ | 0            | 0                    | 0.03         | 0                    | 0.001        | 0.031             | 1.3 $\times$ 10$^1$ | 1.1 $\times$ 10$^{-8}$ |
| GM$_2$ | 0            | 0                    | 0.02         | 0                    | 0.001        | 0.021             | 1.4 $\times$ 10$^1$ | 8.5 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ |
| GM$_3$ | 0            | 0.04                 | 0            | 0.0006               | 0            | 0.041             | 3.4 $\times$ 10$^0$ | 3.9 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ |

Note. The values in parenthesis represents the energy flux ($\dot{F}$) of each column in erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$.

We fit the NUV and optical portion of this difference spectrum with the emission from an accretion column. We tried fits with columns characterized by $\log \dot{F} = 10$–12 and found that the best fit to the excess comes from an accretion column with $\dot{F} = 3 \times 10^{12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $f = 0.006$. The accretion rate of a column with these parameters is $5.1 \times 10^{-9}$ M$_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. This $\dot{M}$ is roughly consistent with the difference in $\dot{M}$ between GM$_1$ and GM$_3$ listed in Table 2.
accretion shock emission characterized by higher density columns for GM\textsubscript{1} (solid) and GM\textsubscript{2} (dashed), or higher \( F \). GM\textsubscript{3} (dashed-dotted) has the lowest density of accreting material and therefore the coolest shock spectrum.

\[ f = 0.006 \text{ (dashed purple line)} \]

The solid red line is the total of the accretion shock emission and the optically thin dust emission. Here we are not attempting to explain the FUV excess.

Figure 4. Excess in GM\textsubscript{1} over GM\textsubscript{3}. The spectrum of GM\textsubscript{3} was subtracted from GM\textsubscript{1} to isolate the excess emission observed in the first two epochs (solid black line). The excess is fit in the NUV and optical with accretion shock emission characterized by \( F = 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( f = 0.006 \) (dashed purple line). The optically thin dust emission is also shown to contribute to the IR emission (dash dotted, magenta line). The solid red line is the total of the accretion shock emission and the optically thin dust emission.

use ISM-sized (0.005–0.25 \( \mu \text{m} \)) dust composed of 32% organics, 12% troilite, and 56% silicates. The silicates are 90% amorphous and 10% crystalline forsterite. We find that to fit the GM\textsubscript{1} spectra we need \( 1.9 \times 10^{12} M_\odot \) of dust in the optically thin region, while we need \( 1.4 \times 10^{12} M_\odot \) for GM\textsubscript{3}.

Figure 4 compares the GM\textsubscript{1}–GM\textsubscript{3} excess spectrum to the emission from the difference between the two optically thin dust models used to fit the SpeX data.

3.2.3. FUV Excess

Figure 4 shows that the observed FUV excess in GM\textsubscript{1} compared to GM\textsubscript{3} cannot be explained by emission from more energetic accretion columns alone. However, it is well known that in addition to shock emission, molecular gas emission contributes to the FUV spectrum, primarily \( \text{H}_2 \) with a contribution from CO (Herczeg et al. 2002, 2004; Bergin et al. 2002; Ingleby et al. 2009; France et al. 2011a, 2011b). This molecular gas was expected to be within a few AU of the central star, as \( \text{H}_2 \) was spatially unresolved by Herczeg et al. (2002) for TW Hya, and indeed Ingleby et al. (2011) showed that spectrally resolved \( \text{H}_2 \) lines originated between the magnetospheric truncation radius, out to \( \sim 3 \) AU for the case of RECX 11 in \( \eta \) Chameleons.

\( \text{H}_2 \) in the inner disk is excited via one of two mechanisms. The first is excitation by Ly\( \alpha \) photons populating the upper levels of \( \text{H}_2 \) which then creates a fluorescent spectrum as it de-excites. While Ly\( \alpha \) fluorescent lines were identified by Herczeg et al. (2002) in high resolution STIS spectra, the features are detectable at low resolution and are found in the GM Aur FUV spectra for all epochs. The second mechanism is collisional \( \text{H}_2 \) excitation by free hot electrons. Metals present in the inner disk are ionized by X-rays from the star and these electrons ionize the abundant H and He producing a large supply of hot electrons. Electrons collisionally excite \( \text{H}_2 \) and therefore different paths for de-excitation are allowed with one path producing continuum emission as the \( \text{H}_2 \) is dissociated. Therefore the two excitation methods produce unique and distinguishable \( \text{H}_2 \) emission spectra (Abgrall et al. 1997).

In Figure 5 we show the excess FUV emission after the subtraction of the accretion shock continuum emission (black minus red in Figure 4). The strong FUV atomic lines remain after the removal of the shock, since the accretion shock models do not attempt to produce line emission; however lines such as the Si\textsc{iv} doublet near 1400 Å, C\textsc{iv} at 1548 and 1551 Å, and He\textsc{ii} λ1640 Å are known to correlate with the mass accretion rate (Johns-Krull et al. 2000; Calvet et al. 2004; Ardila et al. 2013). In addition to the strong hot lines, we see a remaining continuum emission which cannot be explained by the accretion shock. The broad continuum feature at 1600 Å was identified as collisionally excited \( \text{H}_2 \) emission from the disk of CTTS in Bergin et al. (2004). Ingleby et al. (2009, 2012) showed that this feature is always observed in CTTS but absent in WTTS, when the disk has been dispersed. We observe this continuum feature in the FUV difference spectrum, revealing an excess of collisionally excited \( \text{H}_2 \) emission coming from the disk in GM\textsubscript{1}. Assuming that the difference...
reflects an increase in H₂ density, we estimate the necessary density difference assuming that the flux in the 1600 Å H₂ feature \( F_{1600} \) is proportional to the H₂ surface density \( \Sigma_{\text{H}_2} \), or \( F_{1600} \propto \Sigma_{\text{H}_2} \) (Ingleby et al. 2009). Using this relation, we find that the surface density of H₂ decreased from GM_1 to GM_3 by a factor of 1.4.

The difference spectrum does not support an excess in the Lyα excited H₂ emission. Fluorescent lines easily detected in the original spectra do not appear in Figure 5, indicating that there is little change in this type of H₂ emission when the accretion rate drops. In addition to the observations discussed here, GM Aur was observed with STIS in 2003 April, in GO Program 9374 (PI: E. Bergin) and during 2010 August, in GO Program 11616 (PI: G. Herczeg). The 2010 spectra were analyzed and accretion rates determined in Ingleby et al. (2013) while we calculate \( M \) for the 2003 data using the method described in Section 3.1. Accretion rates in 2003 and 2010 were \( 9.1 \times 10^{-9} \) and \( 9.6 \times 10^{-9} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \), respectively. We compared the fluxes in the strong Lyα fluoresced H₂ lines of all five STIS epochs and found that there is no evidence for a correlation with \( M \), based on a Pearson r coefficient between 0 and 0.5 for most lines. While Lyα is heavily absorbed by interstellar hydrogen and cannot be observed directly, these results suggest Lyα is not changing between GM_1 and GM_3, contrary to predictions that Lyα is formed in the accretion shock. If instead Lyα is formed in the broad accretion flows, then perhaps the magnetospheric truncation radius is larger when \( M \) drops, increasing the Lyα emitting region. While interesting, much more substantial work is needed to interpret Lyα in CTTs, for example with efforts to reconstruct the Lyα line profile by observing H₂ fluorescence (Herczeg et al. 2002; Schindhelm et al. 2012).

4. DISCUSSION

In the previous sections, we presented observations which displayed variability in the FUV–NIR emission of GM Aur. From these observations, we extracted four measurements of the properties of GM Aur. First, we measured a lower accretion rate in GM_3 than in GM_1 and GM_2. For GM_3, we also measured a lower dust mass and a lower H₂ density in the inner disk than the earlier GM_1 and GM_2 data. Lastly, the change in the FUV–NIR emission of GM Aur was observed to occur over a timescale of three months. In the following, we consider which physical properties of the system may have been different during GM_3 to explain our observations. In particular, we focus on how inhomogeneities in the inner disk may explain the four measured properties of GM Aur.

We can explain the decrease in the NUV emission of GM Aur with our accretion shock models, which measure a lower accretion rate in GM_3. It is important to define what a single measured accretion rate corresponds to. Accretion rates are derived by measuring the shock emission in excess above the stellar photosphere and using the accretion shock model (or a slab model) to account for the emission outside the observed wavelengths (i.e., <1000 and >1 µm in this work). Determining the accretion luminosity from the excess emission, and using the stellar mass and radius, the accretion rate then follows (e.g., Gullbring et al. 1998; Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008; Ingleby et al. 2013). And so, the reported accretion rate is a measure of the emission created from mass impacting a region of the stellar surface at a particular point in time, which in turn corresponds to a particular orientation of the star (and hence magnetosphere) and disk along our line of sight. Analysis of the spectral energy distribution of the excess emission in Section 3.1 indicates that in GM_3 we were observing a magnetospheric region characterized by low density accretion columns. This does not preclude that high density columns were present at other azimuthal regions that were not visible at that time.

Considering five epochs of existing STIS spectra (combining the current observations with those obtained in 2003 and 2010), four out of five times GM Aur was observed during a high state of accretion and in GM_3 we caught it in a low state. Compared to results from accretion shock fitting of our multi-epoch data, the accretion rates in 2003 and 2010 were similar to the higher accretion rates of GM_1 and GM_2 (see Section 3.2.3). Specifically, in the multi-column fitting of the spectra, all but the GM_3 epoch are characterized by high density accretion columns with small filling factors. As discussed in Ingleby et al. (2013), lower density columns could still be contributing, but their emission could not be extracted from the brighter stellar spectrum. Whereas during GM_3 the accretion columns had lower densities with larger stellar surface coverage. Although schematic, this evidence points to an inhomogeneous and variable magnetosphere. This is not surprising given the highly complex nature of the stellar magnetic fields determined by Zeeman Doppler techniques (Donati et al. 2008, 2011, 2013; Gregory & Donati 2011). However, in addition to the complexity of the magnetic fields, the structure of the inner disk from which the mass is coming from must play a role in the driving the full spectrum of variability.

Simultaneous with the NUV decrease in GM_3, there are decreases in the NIR spectrum and FUV H₂ emission feature at 1600 Å. We attribute these to lower dust masses and lower H₂ densities in the inner disk, respectively. In Section 3.2.2 we discussed the optically thin dust models used to fit the NIR excess and found that the dust mass was a factor of 1.4 lower in GM_3 than in GM_1. Since the dust is optically thin, this means the dust surface density decreased by a factor of 1.4. Interestingly, 1.4 is the same factor by which we estimate the H₂ density decreased between GM_1 and GM_3 in Section 3.2.3. This suggests that the H₂ 1600 Å feature is tracing the same location in the disk as the dust, and that the dust and gas are well-mixed in the inner disk. The decrease in the H₂ emission feature at 1600 Å is not accompanied by a change in H₂ fluorescent lines, which should also trace the gas; however, this may be due to different origins of the H₂ lines. As discussed earlier (Section 3.2.3), the H₂ emission feature at 1600 Å is a consequence of collisional excitation following X-ray ionization of heavy elements in the inner disk (Abgrall et al. 1997; Bergin et al. 2004) while fluorescent lines are due to excitation of electrons to high electronic levels of H₂ by UV Lyα emission (Herczeg et al. 2002). X-rays penetrate deeper into the disk than UV photons because UV radiation is absorbed by small dust grains in the uppermost layers of the disk (Nomura et al. 2007). We expect that the H₂ emission feature at 1600 Å is coming from deeper in the disk and is tracing the same disk regions as seen in the NIR dust emission.

Our analysis suggests a link between the mass in gas and dust in the disk and the mass being loaded onto the star at the magnetospheric truncation radius. However, the kinematic timescales where the gas and dust reside in the disk are problematic given the three months time difference between
GM _1 and GM _3. At the dust destruction radius, the viscous timescale is ~2–10 years using Equation (37) of Hartmann et al. (1998) with \( R_1 = 10 R_*, T = 5000–1000, \) and \( \alpha = 0.01. \) It is unlikely that the mass in the entire inner disk is decreasing within three months and that this is causing the lower accretion rate in GM _3 given that the dust and gas in the inner disk are fed by the outer disk on the viscous timescale. A period of three months requires a change in the disk to occur near the magnetospheric radius, \( \sim 5 R_* \). At this radius, the viscous timescale is no longer relevant as material is transported inward along magnetic field lines as opposed to viscously through the disk.

In order for a similar decline of mass in the dust and H_2, the two must be spatially related, but dust must reside outside the dust destruction radius (typically \( \sim 10 R_* \)) where the viscous timescale is much longer than three months. However, if we allow large dust grains, 1 mm, and a sublimation temperature of 1600 K (as opposed to the commonly used 1400 K), dust grains can survive down to 0.07 AU. Following D'Alessio et al. (2005), which is approximately the corotation radius, Stauffer et al. (2015) found that, for a sample of young stars, IR variability indicates that inner disk walls may be present near the corotation radius. In our simple model, we assume the magnetospheric truncation radius is 5 R_*. (Calvet & Gullbring 1998), or 0.04 AU. In reality, the truncation radius depends on the strength of the magnetic field and accretion rate. In addition, magnetospheres are complex, therefore the truncation radius varies and may extend to corotation at times (Donati et al. 2011). If some of the NIR emission is produced in the large grains near the midplane (allowing for small grains remaining in the upper layers), then the gas and dust may be co-spatial near the corotation radius and also linked to an extended magnetosphere. Blinova et al. (2015) showed that if the magnetospheric truncation radius \( (r_{\text{m}}) \) is near the corotation radius \( (r_{\text{cor}}) \), \( r_{\text{cor}} \sim 1.4 r_{\text{m}} \), then accretion is chaotic and variable, whereas for \( r_{\text{cor}} > 1.4 r_{\text{m}} \), accretion is stable. Unstable accretion occurs through short lived “tongues,” contributing to inhomogeneous accretion.

While the NUV emission is related to variable accretion, FUV and NIR variability is rooted in the disk. We cannot conclusively say what is leading to inhomogeneities in the inner disk with our low cadence data set. One theory is that disk material builds up near the corotation radius before being emptied quickly onto the star (D’Angelo & Spruit 2012). This would produce a period of lower density in the inner disk after the material is dumped onto the star. While this phenomenon is typically used to describe objects undergoing large accretion bursts (like EXors), D’Angelo & Spruit (2012) note that any object with a strong magnetic field and low accretion rate should undergo this affect at some level. As discussed, the magnetosphere may truncate the disk closer to corotation than typically assumed, and gas and large dust grains may coexist near corotation, linking the decline in disk mass observed with the lower accretion rate. Alternatively, it is possible that inner disk gas may have an emission component in the NIR. Tannirkulam et al. (2008) required the presence of NIR emission inside the dust destruction radius of Herbig Ae stars and suggested optically thick gas as the source. If the NIR does come from inner disk gas, then the inhomogeneities and magnetospheric truncation radius may reside inside corotation.

Another common cause of variability in CTTS stems from variable extinction by dust. GM Aur becomes redder as it dims during the GM _3 epoch and objects with that behavior may be undergoing such variable dust extinction, possibly from warps in the circumstellar disk (Cody et al. 2014; Günther et al. 2014). Variable obscuration requires that the disk be observed close to edge on, as in the well studied case of AA Tau (Bouvier et al. 2013). GM Aur has a well determined inclination of \( \sim 57^\circ \) (Dutrey et al. 1998), therefore dust obscuration requires disk structures at large scale heights, unlikely to exist for any sustained period of time. A dimming event in the CTTS RW Aur A (inclined between 45° and 60°) may be due to dust carried to large scale heights above the disk in an enhanced wind, producing the obscuration (Petrov et al. 2015); however, RW Aur A is known to have strong outflows as evidenced by existing jets, whereas GM Aur shows no sign of jets. In addition, Ellerbroek et al. (2014) showed that dust lifted to large scale heights above the disk, while producing dimming in the optical by obscuring the star, would increase the NIR emission as the surface area of dust is increased.

In conclusion, we propose that the observations presented here are tracing inhomogeneities in the inner disk and magnetosphere. Parsing out the detailed structure of these regions and how they interact is an extremely difficult task given the high complexity (e.g., Kulkarni & Romanova 2008; Romanova et al. 2008, 2012; Kurosawa & Romanova 2013). In fact, there are some observed properties of GM Aur that we cannot yet explain. We note that while the dust mass and H_2 density decrease by a factor of 1.4 in GM _3, the accretion rate decreases by a factor of 2.8 (although given the errors, these numbers may in fact be more similar). The surface density may not scale exactly with M as factors such as temperature and viscosity also play a role. It is puzzling what causes this difference, given that it is not clear exactly how accretion occurs onto the star. In any event, our data connect the disk, near the footprints of the magnetic field lines, to the material in the accretion shock at the stellar surface. Higher cadence observations of GM Aur would be ideal to test our proposal and to make further progress on the issue of variability of TTS.

5. SUMMARY

We analyzed variability in the FUV–NIR spectrum of GM Aur over three epochs of observation, separated by one week and three months. We found that GM _1 and GM _2 were nearly identical in fluxes. GM _3 was observed during an epoch of low fluxes across the entire spectrum. We drew the following conclusions.

1. The accretion rate of GM _3 was lower than the first two epochs by a factor of 2.8. While the surface coverage of the accretion columns remained approximately constant, the energy flux in the columns decreased in GM _3. Energy flux is related to the density, so GM _3 had low densities in the accretion columns compared to the earlier epochs.
2. We fit SpeX observations, obtained contemporaneously with the STIS spectra, with models of optically thin dust in the inner cavity of GM Aur. During the epoch of low accretion, the mass of dust in the disk decreased by a factor of 1.4.
3. The FUV spectrum indicates that the emission from H_2 molecules decreased during the epoch of low accretion and decreased dust mass. In particular, we found that the spectrum of H_2 collisionally excited by free electrons in
the disk described the shape of the excess in GM, 1 over GM, 3. Moreover, we find that the mass of H2 changed by the same factor as the dust.

4. GM Aur is seen here to vary within a three month period, placing the origin of variability near the magnetospheric truncation radius. If the disk is truncated near corotation and dust can survive near corotation in large grains, then inhomogeneities at that location in the disk can account for accretion variability. The build up and subsequent dumping of material onto the star is one possible explanation for the source of disk inhomogeneities.

We conclude that these FUV–NIR data are providing a glimpse at the complexity of the interaction between the stellar magnetic field and the inner disk. Future observational work is needed to test this conclusion as well as theoretical work on the observable properties of star–disk interactions.

L. I. and N. C. acknowledge support from HST grant No. GO-11608. The authors thank Hal Levinson, Andrew Youdin and Kevin Flaherty for insightful discussions. L. I. would like to thank the anonymous referee for useful comments and suggestions. The authors acknowledge Daryl Kim for his support.

REFERENCES

Abgrall, H., Roueff, E., Liu, X., & Shemansky, D. E. 1997, ApJ, 481, 557

Edwards, S., Fischer, W., Hillenbrand, L. A., & Johns-Krull, C. M., & Wood, B. E. 2002, ApJ, 572, 310

Fischer, G. J., Wood, B. E., Linsky, J. L., & Johns-Krull, C. M. 2004, ApJ, 607, 369

Hughes, A. M., Andrews, S. M., Espaillat, C., et al. 2009, ApJ, 698, 131

Ingleby, L., Calvet, N., Bergin, E., et al. 2009, ApJL, 703, L137

Ingleby, L., Calvet, N., Bergin, E., et al. 2011, ApJ, 743, 105

Ingleby, L., Calvet, N., Bergin, E., et al. 2012, ApJL, 752, L20

Ingleby, L., Calvet, N., Bergin, E., et al. 2013, ApJ, 767, 112

Kurosawa, R., & Romanova, M. M. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 2673

Manara, C. F., Testi, L., Natta, A., et al. 2014, A&A, 568, A18

Matthews, B. C., Krivov, A. V., Wyatt, M. C., Bryden, G., & Eiroa, C. 2014, Protostars and Planets VI, ed. H. Beuther et al. (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press)

Miguel, M. K., Calvet, N., Espaillat, C., et al. 2013, ApJ, 769, 73

Micela, G., & Marino, A. 2003, A&A, 404, 677

Morales-Calderón, M., Stauffer, J. R., Hillenbrand, L. A., et al. 2011, ApJ, 733, 50

Nomura, H., Aikawa, Y., Tsujimoto, M., Nakagawa, Y., & Millar, T. J. 2007, ApJ, 661, 334

Owen, J. E., Clarke, C. J., & Ercolano, B. 2012, MNRAS, 422, 1880

Owen, J. E., Ercolano, B., Clarke, C. J., & Alexander, R. D. 2010, MNRAS, 401, 1415

Petrov, P. P., Gaia, G. F., Djupvik, A. E., et al. 2013, arXiv:1304.3555

Rayner, J. T., Toomey, D. W., Onaka, P. M., et al. 2003, PASP, 115, 362

Rice, W. K. M., Wood, K., Armitage, P. J., Whitney, B. A., & Bjorkman, J. E. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 79

Rigliaco, E., Natta, A., Randich, S., Testi, L., & Bizzarro, K. 2011, A&A, 525, 447

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163

Siess, L., Dufour, E., & Forestini, M. 2000, A&A, 358, 593

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163