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Background: The headful nuclease is essential for genome processing during viral DNA packaging.

Results: The crystal structure of P22 nuclease reveals a seven-stranded \( \beta \)-sheet core with two magnesium ions poised for catalysis.

Conclusion: P22 headful nuclease is active only in the context of the large terminase.

Significance: The C-terminal headful nuclease is activated by intramolecular cross-talk with the N-terminal ATPase domain.

Packaging of viral genomes into preformed procapsids requires the controlled and synchronized activity of an ATPase and a genome-processing nuclease, both located in the large terminase (L-terminase) subunit. In this paper, we have characterized the structure and regulation of bacteriophage P22 L-terminase (gp2). Limited proteolysis reveals a bipartite organization consisting of an N-terminal ATPase core flexibly connected to a C-terminal nuclease domain. The 2.02 Å crystal structure of P22 headful nuclease obtained by in-drop proteolysis of full-length L-terminase (FL-L-terminase) reveals a central seven-stranded \( \beta \)-sheet core that harbors two magnesium ions. Modeling studies with DNA suggest that the two ions are poised for two-metal ion-dependent catalysis, but the nuclease DNA binding surface is sterically hindered by a loop-helix (L\(_1\)-\( \alpha \_2 \)) motif, which is incompatible with catalysis. Accordingly, the isolated nuclease is completely inactive in vitro, whereas it exhibits endonucleolytic activity in the context of FL-L-terminase. Deleting the autoinhibitory L\(_1\)-\( \alpha \_2 \) motif (or just the loop L\(_1\)) restores nuclease activity to a level comparable with FL-L-terminase. Together, these results suggest that the activity of P22 headful nuclease is regulated by intramolecular cross-talk with the N-terminal ATPase domain. This cross-talk allows for precise and controlled cleavage of DNA that is essential for genome packaging.

Packaging of viral genomes into preformed procapsids is a nonspontaneous reaction catalyzed in DNA bacteriophages and herpesviruses by a powerful genome-packaging motor (1–3). This machine consists of two packaging proteins known as large and small terminases (L- and S-terminases)\(^2\) that assemble onto a dodecamer of portal protein to form a complex of unknown stoichiometry. The packaging motor inserts DNA inside empty procapsids with high precision and efficiency, at translocation rates as high as \(~2,000\) bp/s (4). Structural studies on bacteriophage T4 L-terminase have revealed the organization of a prototypical L-terminase subunit (5, 6), thought to be conserved in other DNA viruses. The N terminus of T4 L-terminase contains an ATPase domain that is flexibly connected to a C-terminal nuclease domain. The ATPase burns ATP to power DNA translocation, whereas the nuclease domain introduces cuts in the viral genome to initiate and to end a packaging reaction. In contrast, the S-terminase subunit is thought to bind to a packaging initiation site in preparation for genome packaging and to regulate the ATPase activity associated with genome packaging (1–3).

P22 is a general transducing phage (7) and is often referred to as the representative member of the \textit{Podoviridae} family of short tailed bacteriophages (8). Genome packaging in P22 has been studied mainly by genetic analysis. In this phage, the substrate for DNA packaging is a repeating polymer (or concatemer) containing up to 10 copies of phage genome (9). Concatemer DNA is produced by rolling circle replication of P22 DNA circularized by homologous recombination (10). DNA packaging initiates at a particular site (\textit{pac}) located within gene 3 (11–16) that encodes S-terminase. Here the nuclease domain of L-terminase breaks the DNA backbone at several points (known as “series initiation cleavages”), generating a DNA end that is inserted into the procapsid, unidirectionally from the initiation cleavage point (10). The S-terminase subunit is thought to directly recognize the \textit{pac} site and present it to the packaging motor (12), which, in analogy to T4 (6), likely consists of an oligomer of L-terminase assembled onto the portal vertex. During packaging, an individual unit of P22 genome (\(~43\) kb) is encapsulated inside an empty procapsid by a “headful packaging” mechanism (10). This process is robust but not perfect, as, \textit{in vivo}, each round of infection results in \(~2\)% of newly replicated particles that carry host DNA instead of the viral chromosome (7). Headful packaging indicates a packaging strategy where the length of the DNA encapsulated inside the capsid shell is determined by the interior volume of the mature phage particle. Critical to this packaging mechanism is the headful nuclease of L-terminase that cleaves packaged DNA only when the phage head is full, releasing the remainder of the concatemer to be available for a new round of packaging (10). Consistent with their important role in DNA packaging, the genes encoding L- and S-terminase subunits are essential for P22 viability \textit{in vivo} (17).
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Although the basic principles of DNA packaging have been elucidated, viral genome-packaging motors are poorly characterized. Atomic level structural information is presently available for a catalytically inactive construct of bacteriophage T4 L-terminase (6) and for the isolated L-terminase nuclease domains of SPP1 (18) and human cytomegalovirus (human herpesvirus 5 or HHV-5) (19). While no high resolution structure is available for a L-terminase holoenzyme, a pseudoatomic model of T4 L-terminase was proposed based on a 32 Å asymmetric cryo-electron microscopy reconstruction of T4 procapsid bound to L-terminase (6). In this model, the nuclease domain of L-terminase points away from the portal protein, and its ATPase domain forms a pentameric ring bound directly to the portal vertex (6). Based on these structural data, Sun et al. (6) proposed a model for DNA packaging that postulates the existence of two distinct conformations of L-terminase alternating during packaging to promote DNA translocation. In analogy to a model previously proposed for the ATPase Rad50 (20), the driving force mediating interconversion between relaxed and tensed states is generated by the intramolecular electrostatic contacts between ATPase and nuclease domains of L-terminase, which are connected by a flexible linker (21).

In this paper, we report the structure of bacteriophage P22 headful nuclease refined to a nominal resolution of 2.02 Å. We complement this structure with a biochemical analysis of its activity for the pac-containing gene 3. This work expands the repertoire of viral nuclease structures solved to date and sheds light on several properties governing the activity and regulation of a prototypical headful nuclease.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Molecular Cloning and Recombinant Proteins**—The gene encoding L-terminase was PCR-amplified from P22 genomic DNA (22) and ligated between BamHI and HindIII restriction sites of expression vectors pMal-2cE (New England Biolabs) and pET-28a (Novagen). ΔC-L-terminase, which contains a stop codon at position 483 and does not express C-terminal residues 483–499, was generated by QuikChange site-directed mutagenesis, using the plasmid pMal-FL-L-terminase as a template. The full-length nuclease (FL-nuclease) domain of P22 L-terminase (residues 289–499) was PCR-amplified from gene encoding L-terminase was PCR-amplified from P22 genomic DNA (22) and ligated between BamHI and HindIII restriction sites of expression vectors pMal-2cE (New England Biolabs) and pET-28a (Novagen). FL-nuclease was expressed by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 16 h at 16 °C. Cell pellets were lysed by sonication in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 0.1% (v/v) CHAPS, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. L-terminase was purified by Ni²⁺ affinity chromatography followed by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 5% (v/v) glycerol, pH 8.0. ΔC-L-terminase and FL-nuclease were expressed in E. coli strain BL21 (DE3/pLysS) fused to an N-terminal maltose-binding protein (MBP). Protein expression was induced by adding 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 16 h at 16 °C. Deletion constructs nuclease-ΔL₁ and nuclease-ΔL₁-α₂ were expressed (also fused to MBP) in E. coli BL21-Al™ strain (Invitrogen). Protein expression was induced by adding 0.1% arabinose plus 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside for 2 h at 30 °C. All MBP-fused proteins were purified over amylose beads without the addition of detergent. After cleavage of MBP with Prescision Protease, the nuclease domain was separated from MBP by heparin chromatography, followed by gel filtration chromatography. Finally, expression, purification, and assembly of P22 nonameric S-terminase (23, 24) and dodecameric portal protein (25, 26) were carried out as described.

**Structural Stability**—Limited proteolysis was performed by adding chymotrypsin to FL-L-terminase in a ratio ~1:200 (w/w), as described previously (27). Thermal denaturation was measured by monitoring ellipticity variations at 222 nm while increasing the temperature by 1 °C/min from 4 to 95 °C, as described previously (28). The experiment was carried out in protein simple buffer (3.2 mM Na₂HPO₄, 0.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 135 mM NaCl, pH 7.4), using FL-L-terminase at ~5 μM final concentration. The data were acquired on a Jasco J-715 spectropolarimeter equipped with a temperature-controlling unit at the Kimmel Cancer Center resource facility for x-ray crystallography and molecular characterization.

**Crystallization, Data Collection, and Structure Determination**—To crystallize P22 nuclease domain, we set up crystallization droplets using ~10 mg/ml of purified ΔC-L-terminase in the absence of protease inhibitors. In-drop cleavage of ΔC-L-terminase resulted in formation of a stable nuclease core (ΔC-nuclease), which gave microcrystals of ~30 × 30 × 20 μm³ within 1–2 months, in the presence of 0.2 mM magnesium sulfate and 20% w/v PEG 3,350, at pH 6.0. The crystals were cryoprotected by adding ~27% ethylene glycol to the mother liquor. Several data sets were recorded at the National Synchrotron Light Source Beamline X6a on an ADSC Quantum-270 CCD detector. Diffraction data were integrated and scaled using the HKL-2000 suite (29) and analyzed using CCP4 programs (30) (see Table 1). Crystals belong to space group P2₁ with four nuclease domains per asymmetric unit (referred to as A, B, C, and D). Assessment of crystallographic data quality with Xtriage (31) revealed that crystals of P22 nuclease suffer from pseudomonomeroidal twinning, with twinning fraction between 0.32 and 0.47. The structure was determined by molecular replacement with PHASER (31) using the nuclease domain of bacteriophage SPP1 (Protein Data Bank code 2WBN) as a search model. Initial rigid body and positional refinement followed by manual rebuilding in Coot (32) resulted in a nonrandom Rmerge of 42% (calculated using ~2,000 reflections chosen in 20 thin resolution shells). This structure was then subjected to several cycles of manual rebuilding followed by positional and isotropic B-factor refinement in Phenix (31) using 4-fold noncrystallographic symmetry restraints and including a twinning operator (hᵣᵣ,kᵣ,lᵣ). Peaks above 3σ in the Fobs – Fcal difference electron density map were modeled as water molecules. The structure of P22 L-terminase nuclease domain has been refined to Rwork/|

**The Nuclease Domain of P22 L-terminase**
The Nuclease Domain of P22 L- terminase

RESULTS

Domain Organization—The L- terminase subunit of bacteriophage P22 consists of 499 residues and presents a bipartite organization. Analogous to T4 (6), the N terminus of L- terminase contains a Walker A motif, characteristic of an ATPase (36), followed at its C terminus by a nuclease domain (Fig. 1A). A linker rich in prolines and glycines connects the two domains (Fig. 1A). The first 38 residues of P22 L- terminase also contain a putative coiled coil motif, as predicted by the software Coils (37). In the test tube, purified P22 L- terminase quickly aggregates under physiological conditions, but it can be solubilized by addition of mild detergents (38). Removing the highly basic C-terminal tail spanning residues 483–499 (Fig. 1A) yielded a soluble and monodisperse protein (referred to as C-L- terminase) that could be purified without detergents from bacterial extracts. To probe the flexibility of P22 L- terminase, we subjected the protein to limited proteolysis in the presence of chymotrypsin (Fig. 1B). This treatment readily yielded two stable domains that subsequent mass spectrometry analysis and N-terminal sequencing mapped to the N-terminal ATPase and C-terminal nuclease domains, respectively (Fig. 1A). Thus, in analogy to T4 L- terminase (21), the L- terminase subunit of bacteriophage P22 also contains two folded domains linked by a flexible loop that is readily cleaved by proteases in solution.

To assess the structural stability of L- terminase, we studied its thermal unfolding using CD. Because purified L- terminase displays ~60–70% helical content in solution (data not shown), denaturation curves were measured by monitoring variation in
The Nuclease Domain of P22 L-terminase

Crystallographic data collection and refinement statistics

| Data collection statistics | P22 |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Space group               | P2_{1} |
| Unit cell dimensions (Å)  | a = 60.92, b = 139.76, c = 61.02 |
| β-Angle (°)               | β = 95.10 |
| Resolution range (Å)      | 15–2.02 |
| B value from Wilson plot (Å^2) | 27.0 |
| Observations (total/unique) | 258,985/60,520 |
| Completeness (%)          | 90.8 (55.0) |
| Redundancy                | 2.4 (1.9) |
| R_{sym}(%)                 | 7.8 (61.0) |
| ∆<I>/<I> (%)              | 18.4 (2.2) |

Table 1

| Refinement statistics | Number of reflections (30–2.02Å) | 60,494 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| R_{sym}/R_{free} (%)  | 16.6/19.7 (31.8/37.9)            |       |
| Number of water molecules | 1,112                          |       |
| B value (Å^2) nuclease/Mg/solvent | 39/35/36                    |       |
| Root mean square deviation from ideal bond length (Å) | 0.010 |
| Root mean square deviation from ideal bond angles (°) | 1.097 |
| Ramachandran plot (core/allowed/generously allowed/disallowed, %) | 86.8/12.1/1.2/0.0 |

Note:

- R_{sym} = \sum_{h} |I(h) - <I(h)>/\sum_{h} |I(h)|
- ∆<I>/<I> = mean measurement of intensity of reflection h.
- The R_{sym} value was calculated using 2,000 reflections chosen in 20 thin resolution shells.

Atomic Structure of P22 Headful Nuclease—Consistent with its structural flexibility, both FL- and AC-L-terminase (Fig. 1A) failed to crystallize as full-length proteins. In a search for crystallization conditions, we found that omitting protease inhibitors during crystallization resulted in slow degradation of L-terminase in the crystallization drop, with subsequent formation of a stable nuclease core that crystallized within ~1–2 months. Although these crystals were only 30 μm in the longest dimension, diffraction data to a nominal resolution of 2.02 Å were collected using a 50 × 50 μm^2 sized x-ray beam. We determined the crystal structure of P22 L-terminase nuclease domain by molecular replacement using the nuclease domain of bacteriophage SPP1 as a search model (18). Although the sequence identity between these two nuclease domains is only 16%, this model was sufficient to yield an initial set of phases that were dramatically improved using the 4-fold noncrystallographic symmetry present in crystal. The polypeptide chain of P22 L-terminase nuclease was unambiguously traced between residues 289 and 482, and the final model was refined to R_{work}/R_{free} of 16.6/19.7%, at a nominal resolution of 2.02 Å (Table 1). The three-dimensional structure of P22 L-terminase nuclease domain is illustrated in Fig. 2A. The proteolytic cleavage that frees the nuclease domain from the N-terminal ATPase occurs between residues 288 and 289 of the Gly/Pro-rich linker connecting the two domains (indicated by an arrow in Fig. 1A). This linker (residues 289–313) is clearly visible in the electron density and contains a short β-strand (β_{α_d}) and a 3/10 α-helix (α_{α_d}) (Fig. 2). It wraps around the other surface of the nuclease core that starts at position 313 (Fig. 2A). The headful nuclease folds into a roughly globular α/β structure, with average dimensions 65 × 45 × 40 Å, that resembles the classical nuclease domain of RNase H1 (41). The tertiary structure of P22 nuclese is built by a central seven-stranded β-sheet sandwiched between two clusters of α-helices (Fig. 2B). Three of the central β-strands, β_{1}–β_{5}, are highly bent and curve around helix α_{5}. Two additional sets of helices (α_{1}–α_{2} and α_{7}–α_{8}) surround the central core making contacts with the solvent. Overall, P22 headful nuclease is very similar to the nuclease domain of SPP1 (18), T4 (6), and HHV-5 (19). Despite the low sequence identity (16% with SPP1, 14% with T4, 12% with the T4-like phage RB49, and 12% with HHV-5), the four viral nucleases are structurally superimposable. P22 and SPP1 have the greatest structural similarities, with root mean square deviation of ~2.2 Å, whereas HHV-5 and T4 are more distantly related (root mean square deviation of 2.5 and 2.9 Å, respectively).

Organization of the Active Site—The active site of P22 headful nuclease lies within the discrete acidic pocket formed at the interface between strands β_{1}/β_{5} and helix α_{5} (Fig. 2). Two magnesium ions (named Mg_{A} and Mg_{B}) were identified in the electron density as ~10 σ peaks of positive density (Fig. 3A). The two ions have similar B-factors (~35 Å^2) but different chemistry of coordination to the nuclease active site. Mg_{A} is octahedrally coordinated to Asp^{520}Asp^{521} and four (clearly visible) water molecules (named H1–H4 in Fig. 3A). Mg_{B} lies in the active site of P22 nuclese at a position equivalent to the magnesium seen in the high resolution structure of T4/RB49 nuclease (6) or the manganese ion visualized in SPP1 (18) and HHV-5 nucleases (19). The second site, Mg_{B}, is surrounded by four less defined water molecules (of which only one was included in the final model), likely consistent with a tetrahedral coordination (42) (Fig. 3A). Mg_{A} sits nicely in a pocket formed by the main chain of Gly^{223}, Trp^{224}, Asn^{325}, His^{326}; water molecules coordinating Mg_{B} hydrogen bond main chain atoms as well as the side chains of Asn^{325} and His^{326} (Fig. 3A). In contrast to the first metal ion, Mg_{B} is missing in SPP1 (18) and T4/RB49 (6) and occupies a different position in HHV-5 nuclease (19). To account for such differences, we superimposed the four nuclease domains of P22, SPP1, T4/RB49, and HHV-5 and focused on five active site residues responsible for nuclease activity (indicated as sites I–V in Fig. 3B). This structural alignment revealed that Asp^{521} (site I in Fig. 3B) is the only residue universally conserved in all four nucleases, whereas the position
and frequency of occurrence of other residues vary in these viruses. For instance, P22 nuclease has a proline at position 374 (site V in Fig. 3B) that is occupied by an acidic residue (Glu/Asp) in all the other nucleases. The lack of an acidic residue at this position of P22 is likely compensated by Asp462 (site IV in Fig. 3B), which is replaced by Met545/Ile654 in T4 and HHV-5, respectively. Likewise, the position of the catalytic pair His400/Asp272 (sites II and III in Fig. 3B) in SPP1 (18) is inverted in P22, which contains Asp459/His326. Thus, the superimposable RNase H1 fold of viral headful nucleases defines a nonidentical arrangement of metal ions and active site residues, likely reflecting an evolutionary adaptation for recognizing different viral DNA sequences.

The DNA Binding Surface—The surface of P22 nuclease is mainly negatively charged, consistent with an isoelectric point of 5.7. To identify residues involved in DNA binding, we superimposed the structure of P22 nuclease on that of RNase H1 determined in complex with a DNA:RNA hybrid (41) (Fig. 4A). This structural alignment allowed us to predict the position of DNA bound to P22 nuclease and indicated three important aspects of this interaction. First, several basic residues in L-terminase such as Arg447, Lys452, and Arg450 in P22 are replaced by Gln383, Arg393, and Lys529 in SPP1 and...
Arg^{447} and Lys^{452} are replaced by Lys^{529} and Arg^{406} in T4. This suggests that DNA binds in the vicinity of the active site by a combination of shape complementarity and charge-charge interactions. Second, the structural alignment locates the DNA backbone exactly in between the two active site magnesium ions (Fig. 4B), providing strong structural evidence in support of the two-metal ion-dependent catalysis model (42). However, the distance between these two Mg atoms in our model is 7.9 Å, greater than the ~4 Å expected for two-metal ion catalysis (42). Third, a tryptophan residue in L-terminase nuclease domain, Trp^{324} protrudes on the surface of the turn connecting strands β_{1}–β_{2} (Figs. 3A and 4B). This residue, located in close proximity of the DNA minor groove, may engage in contacts with nucleobases.

The structural alignment also suggested that the positions of the Pro^{374}–Gly^{385} loop L_{1} and helix α_{2} (residues 386–395) (Fig. 2B) are incompatible with DNA binding, because the loop-helix (L_{1}–α_{2}) motif would sterically obstruct DNA binding (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, loop L_{1}, which links helix α_{2} to strand β_{1} (Fig. 2B) is dramatically longer in P22 than in other viral nucleases (12 versus 2–3 residues in SPP1/T4/HHV-5). Furthermore, this loop is poorly visible in our structure (with average B-factor of ~90 Å^{2} versus ~27 Å^{2} for the rest of the protein) and adopts slightly different conformations in all analyzed crystal forms of P22 L-terminase (data not shown). On the opposite side, helix α_{2} is connected to helix α_{3} by a long, mainly random coil linker, L_{2}, that spans residues Gly^{396}–Val^{412} (Figs. 2B and 4A). Given the loose association of helix α_{2} with the rest of the nuclease core, it is possible that a conformational change in this region allows for efficient binding to DNA and enhances nuclease activity.

The Nuclease Domain Is Active Only in the Context of FL-L-terminase—We used an in vitro nuclease assay to characterize the endonucleolytic activity of P22 L-terminase. As substrate for L-terminase, we used P22 gene 3 (gp3-DNA) that contains a pac site between nucleotides 265–286 (11). This is the site where most L-terminase cuts occur in vivo, usually concentrated in 20-bp intervals (12). The nuclease reaction was

FIGURE 4. Modeling the DNA binding surface of P22 headful nuclease. A, model of P22 nuclease domain (in gray) bound to a DNA-RNA hybrid (in orange). The nucleic acid model was obtained by superimposing the structure of the human RNase H1-DNA-RNA complex (Protein Data Bank code 2QKB) to that of P22 nuclease and removing the atoms for the RNase H1. In this model, helix α_{2} (in red) and the loop L1 (in green) adopt a conformation incompatible with DNA binding. B, magnified view of the active site highlighting the position and distance (~7.9 Å) of the two metal ions (purple sphere) symmetrically located in the vicinity of the DNA phosphate backbone.
carried out by incubating homogeneously purified FL-L-terminase or FL-nuclease with the gp3-DNA for up to 60 min. At time intervals, the samples were removed, the nuclease was inactivated, and samples were subjected to separation by electrophoresis on agarose gels (Fig. 5, A and B). Quantification of gp3-DNA bands suggested that FL-L-terminase is catalytically active and degrades (both in the presence and absence of ATP) 70–75% of gp3-DNA over the course of 60 min (Fig. 5 C). Instead, the isolated nuclease domain incubated with gp3-DNA under identical experimental conditions was essentially inactive (Fig. 5 D). This result is consistent with previous reports that the nuclease domain of SPP1 L-terminase is not active when expressed in isolation (18), and deletion of the ATPase domain of T4 L-terminase reduces nuclease activity by 13-fold (41). To test the putative inhibitory role of loop L1 and helix α2 (Fig. 4A) on nuclease activity, we generated two deletion constructs of FL-nuclease that lack either loop L1 (nuclease-ΔL1) or loop L1 plus helix α2 (nuclease-ΔL1-α2). Strikingly, both mutants efficiently digested gp3-DNA over 60 min of reaction (Fig. 5B), displaying comparable endonucleolytic activity as FL-L-terminase (Fig. 5, C and D). Interestingly, although nuclease-ΔL1-α2 had the highest activity (digesting >95% of substrate in 60 min), the construct lacking only loop L1, (nuclease-ΔL1) also displayed nearly fully restored nuclease activity, digesting ~90% of gp3-DNA within 60 min of reaction (Fig. 5D). Thus, the loop L1 is the main determinant for intramolecular autoinhibition of P22 headful nuclease.

Using the same in vitro assay, we also tested the effect of the nonameric S-terminase (23, 43) and dodecameric portal protein (26) on the nuclease activity of FL-L-terminase (Fig. 5A). In the presence and absence of ATP, a stoichiometric quantity of S-terminase significantly inhibited nuclease activity within the first 20 min of reaction, resulting in a 40% higher recovery of gp3-DNA (Fig. 5A and C). However, over 30–60 min in the presence of ATP this inhibitory effect was completely lost, whereas it was partially retained in the absence of ATP, where...
twice as much gp3-DNA remained on gel after 60 min (~50%), as compared with its counterpart with ATP (Fig. 5C). Finally, consistent with the observation that series initiation cleavages occur in the absence of procapsids in P22 extracts (10), the addition of a stoichiometric quantity of P22 dodecameric portal protein (which is known to bind to L-terminase (44)), did not dramatically affect nuclease activity (Fig. 5, A and C). Thus, the headful nuclease of bacteriophage P22 is inactive when in isolation and active in the context of the FL-L-terminase (or when the autoinhibitory L₁-α₂ motif is removed), and its activity is partially modulated by the S-terminase subunit and ATP.

**DISCUSSION**

Two endonucleolytic cuts are required to sustain efficient packaging of concatameric genomes in viruses that package DNA by a headful mechanism. A first initiation cut allows insertion of a linear end of the genome into the procapsid, and a final headful cut terminates packaging into a head, thereby releasing the remainder of the genome for new rounds of packaging. Both cuts are catalyzed by the nuclease domain of L-terminase, which represents the catalytic core of a viral genome-packaging motor (1–3).

**Conservation and Specialization of Viral Headful Nucleases**

The 2.02 Å crystal structure of P22 L-terminase nuclease domain reveals the detailed architecture of a headful nuclease, related in fold and catalytic mechanism to the RNase H1 family of retroviral integrase superfamily (45). Despite minimal sequence identity (below 16%), P22 nuclease domain is remarkably similar to those previously observed in SPP1 (18), T4 (6), and HHV-5 (19). The identification of two magnesium ions in the active site strengthens the idea that P22 headful packaging nuclease uses a two-metal ion-dependent catalysis to hydrolyze the phosphate ester backbone of DNA (42). By superimposing the active sites of the four viral nucleases solved crystallographically, we determined that Asp^{321} is the only invariant active site residue. The positions of other active site residues and the exact number and location of metal ions vary in different nucleases (Fig. 3B), possibly underscoring a different degree of DNA sequence specificity. For instance, in pac phages like P22 (10) and SPP1 (46, 47), the nuclease first cleaves within a pac site and then cleaves a second time after ~102–110% genome length is packaged. Because the first cut always occurs at a pac site, the headful nuclease is likely to have (weak) sequence specificity in vivo. In support of this hypothesis, Casjens et al. (12) observed that P22 headful nuclease cleavages are not random but are concentrated at 20-bp intervals across ~120 bp of the gp3-DNA. Intriguingly, the structure of P22 nuclease described in this paper reveals a tryptophan residue, Trp^{324} (Ty^{2699} in SPP1) in close proximity to the putative DNA binding surface (Fig. 4B), at a position where the tryptophan indole could make specific contacts with DNA nucleobases. Because a tryptophyl residue can intercalate specifically with indole could make specific contacts with DNA nucleobases.

**Regulation of Nuclease Activity by Interdomain Cross-talk**

Several lines of evidence presented in this paper support the idea that P22 L-terminase is regulated by interdomain cross-talk between its N-terminal ATPase and C-terminal nuclease domains. First, the two domains are linked together by a protease-sensitive linker (Fig. 1, A and B) but do not function as separate entities (e.g. “beads on a string”). The addition of ATP results in an overall stabilization of L-terminase by ~11 °C (Fig. 1C) and renders a protein that is unstable at physiological temperature (ap$^{T_m} = ~31 °C$ into a stable enzyme (ap$^{T_m} = ~42 °C$). The energy of stabilization is likely provided by intramolecular contacts between the two domains within the tertiary structure of L-terminase, as proposed by Sun et al. (6) for T4 packaging motor. Second, the nuclease domain of P22 L-terminase was inactive when activity was measured using the isolated nuclease domain. It is unlikely that protein misfolding explains the lack of enzymatic activity, because this domain could be readily crystallized, and most of its structure is well folded and visible in the electron density (Fig. 2A). Instead, modeling studies suggested the isolated nuclease adopts an inactive conformation, with the DNA binding surface autoinhibited by the flexible loop L₄ and helix α₂ (Fig. 4A). In this autoinhibited state, the two active site magnesium ions are ~7.9 Å far apart (Fig. 4B), approximately twice the distance required for two-metal ion-dependent catalysis (~4 Å) (42). The hypothesis of an inactive conformation of the headful nuclease was experimentally tested and successfully validated by deleting the L₁-α₂ motif or just the loop L₄, which yielded two gain of function mutants displaying wild type endonucleolytic activity. Based on these results, we hypothesize that binding of both ATPase and nuclease domains to a pac sequence induces a conformational change in the tertiary structure of FL-L-terminase that brings the two metal ions closer to each other (~4 Å) in a catalytically active conformation. This conformational change would move helix α₂ away from the crystallographic conformation by swinging it counter-clockwise onto the surface of the nuclease core, to which it is flexibly connected by loops L₁ and L₂ (Fig. 4A). A similar regulation by interdomain cross-talk was previously hypothesized for T4 (52) and SPP1 (18). However, in both of these cases, the authors identified a β-hairpin in the headful nuclease (β₁-β₈ in P22 nuclease; Fig. 4A), thought to mediate interdomain cross-talk. In P22, this β-hairpin does not appear to be a major determinant for autoinhibition, because unlike its 14 residue length in SPP1, in P22 the β-hairpin is only eight residues long and is predicted to clash minimally with DNA (indicated by an arrow in Fig. 4A). Finally, our results shed light on the role of P22 nonameric S-terminase in inhibiting nuclease activity. At a time scale comparable with that of DNA packaging (1–20 min), S-terminase inhibited nuclease activity, both in the presence and absence of ATP. However, at longer times of incubation, the inhibition was lost in the presence of ATP but per-
The Nuclease Domain of P22 L-terminase

sisted in the absence of ATP (Fig. 5C). The molecular basis for this time-dependent inhibition of nuclease activity is unclear. In P22, as in other viruses (3), S-terminase is also involved in stimulating the ATPase activity of L-terminase (24). The inhibitory effect of S-terminase on nuclease activity may reflect conformational changes in the ATPase active site induced by S-terminase that disrupt interdomain cross-talk with the nuclease domain, thereby preventing its activation. In summary, the structure of P22 headful nuclease that we present in this paper provides new clues to dissect the complex chemistry of P22 genome-packaging motor. Further structural analysis of the L-terminase in complex with the S-terminase will be instrumental to fully understand the molecular nature of the intramolecular cross-talk between ATPase and nuclease domains probed biochemically and predicted by our structure.

Acknowledgments—We are grateful to Vivian Stojanoff and staff at National Synchrotron Light Source Beamline X6A for beamline time and assistance.

REFERENCES

1. Casjens, S. R. (2011) The DNA packaging nanomotor of tailed bacteriophages. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 9, 647–657
2. Sun, S., Rao, V. B., and Rossmann, M. G. (2010) Genome packaging in viruses. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 20, 114–120
3. Rao, V. B., and Feiss, M. (2008) The bacteriophage DNA packaging motor. Annu. Rev. Genet. 42, 647–681
4. Fuller, D. N., Raymer, D. M., Kottadiel, V. I., Rao, V. B., and Smith, D. E. (2007) Single phage T4 DNA packaging motors exhibit large force generation, high velocity, and dynamic variability. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 16688–16673
5. Sun, S., Kondabagil, K., Gentz, P. M., Rossmann, M. G., and Rao, V. B. (2007) The structure of the ATnPase that powers DNA packaging into bacteriophage T4 procapsids. Mol. Cell 25, 943–949
6. Sun, S., Kondabagil, K., Draper, B., Alam, T. I., Bowman, V. D., Zhang, Z., Hegde, S., Fokine, A., Rossmann, M. G., and Rao, V. B. (2008) The structure of the phage T4 DNA packaging motor suggests a mechanism dependent on electrostatic forces. Cell 135, 1251–1262
7. Ebel-Tsipis, I., Botstein, D., and Fox, M. S. (1972) Generalized transduction by phage P22 in Salmonella typhimurium. I. Molecular origin of transducing DNA. J. Mol. Biol. 71, 433–448
8. Teschke, C. M., and Parent, K. N. (2010) “Let the phage do the work.” Using the phage P22 coat protein structures as a framework to understand its folding and assembly mutants. Virology 401, 119–130
9. Botstein, D., and Levine, M. (1968) Intermediates in the synthesis of phage P22 DNA. Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol. 33, 659–667
10. Casjens, S., and Weigle, P. (2005) In Viral Genome Packaging Machines: Genetics, Structure and Mechanism (Catulano, C., ed.) pp. 80–88, Landes Publishing, Georgetown, TX
11. Wu, H., Sampson, L., Parr, R., and Casjens, S. (2002) The DNA site utilized by bacteriophage P22 for initiation of DNA packaging. Mol. Microbiol. 45, 1631–1646
12. Casjens, S., Sampson, L., Randall, S., Eppler, K., Wu, H., Petri, J. B., and Schmierer, H. (1992) Molecular genetic analysis of bacteriophage P22 gene 3 product, a protein involved in the initiation of headful DNA packaging. J. Mol. Biol. 227, 1086–1099
13. Casjens, S., Huang, W. M., Hayden, M., and Parr, R. (1987) Initiation of bacteriophage P22 DNA packaging series. Analysis of a mutant that alters the DNA target specificity of the packaging apparatus. J. Mol. Biol. 194, 411–422
14. Laski, F., and Jackson, E. N. (1982) Maturation cleavage of bacteriophage P22 DNA in the absence of DNA packaging. J. Mol. Biol. 154, 565–579
15. Casjens, S., and King, J. (1974) P22 morphogenesis. I. Catalytic scaffolding protein in capsid assembly. J. Supramol. Struct. 2, 202–224
16. Raj, A. S., Raj, A. Y., and Schmierer, H. (1974) Phage genes involved in the formation generalized transducing particles in Salmonella. Phage P22. Mol. Gen. Genet. 135, 175–184
17. Botstein, D., Waddell, C. H., and King, J. (1973) Mechanism of head assembly and DNA encapsulation in Salmonella phage P22. I. Genes, proteins, structures and DNA maturation. J. Mol. Biol. 80, 669–695
18. Smits, C., Chechik, M., Kovalevskiy, O. V., Shevtsov, M. B., Foster, A. W., Alonso, J. C., and Antson, A. A. (2009) Structural basis for the nuclease activity of a bacteriophage large terminase. EMBO Rep. 10, 592–598
19. Nadal, M., Mas, P. J., Blanco, A. G., Arna, C., Solá, M., Hart, D. I., and Coll, M. (2010) Structure and inhibition of herpesvirus DNA packaging terminase domain. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 16078–16083
20. Hopfner, K. P., Karcher, A., Shin, D. S., Craig, L., Arthur, L. M., Carney, J. P., and Tainer, J. A. (2000) Structural biology of Rd50 ATPase. ATP-driven conformational control in DNA double-strand break repair and the ABC-ATPase superfamily. Cell 101, 789–800
21. Kamamuru, S., Kondabagil, K., Rossmann, M. G., and Rao, V. B. (2004) The functional domains of bacteriophage t4 terminase. J. Biol. Chem. 279, 40795–40801
22. Pedulla, M. L., Ford, M. E., Karthikyean, T., Houtz, J. M., Hendriz, R. W., Hatfull, G. F., Poteete, A. R., Gilcrease, E. B., Winn-Stapley, D. A., and Casjens, S. R. (2003) Corrected sequence of the bacteriophage p22 genome. J. Bacteriol. 185, 1475–1477
23. Roy, A., Bhvardwaj, A., and Cingolani, G. (2011) Crystallization of the non-symmetrical terminase subunit of bacteriophage P22. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. F Struct. Biol. Cryst. Commun. 67, 104–110
24. Roy, A., Bhvardwaj, A., Datta, P., Lander, G. C., and Cingolani, G. (2011) Small terminase couples viral DNA binding to genome-packaging ATPase activity. Structure http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2012.05.01
25. Lorenzen, K., Olia, A. S., Uetrecht, C., Cingolani, G., and Heck, A. J. (2008) Determination of stoichiometry and conformational changes in the first step of the P22 tail assembly. J. Mol. Biol. 379, 385–396
26. Olia, A. S., Prevelige, P. E., Jr., Johnson, E. J., and Cingolani, G. (2011) Three-dimensional structure of a viral genome-delivery portal vertex. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 18, 597–603
27. Cingolani, G., Lashuel, H. A., Gerace, L., and Müller, C. W. (2000) Nuclear import factors importin α and importin β undergo mutually induced conformational changes upon association. FEBS Lett. 484, 291–298
28. Bhardwaj, A., Olia, A. S., Walker-Kopp, N., and Cingolani, G. (2007) Domain organization and polarity of tail needle GP26 in the portal vertex structure of bacteriophage P22. J. Mol. Biol. 371, 374–387
29. Otwinowski, Z., and Minor, W. (1997) Methods Enzymol. 276, 307–326
30. Collaborative Computational Project, Number 4 (1994) The CCP4 suite. Programs for protein crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 50, 760–763
31. Adams, P. D., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Hung, L. W., Ioerger, T. R., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N. W., Read, R. J., Sacchettini, J. C., Sauter, N. K., and Terwilliger, T. C. (2002) PHENIX. Building new software for automated crystallographic structure determination. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 58, 1948–1954
32. Emsley, P., and Cowtan, K. (2004) Coot: Model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 60, 2126–2132
33. Bullough, P. A., Hughson, F. M., Skehel, J. J., and Wiley, D. C. (1994) Structure of influenza haemagglutinin at the pH of membrane fusion. Nature 371, 37–43
34. Laskowski, R. A. (2009) PDBsum new things. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, D355–D359
35. Abramov, M. D., Magdelhaes, P. I., and Ram, S. J. (2004) Image processing with ImageJ. Biophotonics Int. 11, 36–42
36. Mitchell, M. S., and Rao, V. B. (2004) Novel and deviant Walker A ATP-binding motif in bacteriophage large terminase-DNA packaging proteins. Virology 321, 217–221
39. Pace, C. N., and Scholtz, J. M. (1997) in Protein Structure: A Practical Approach (Creighton, T. E., ed) pp. 299–321, IRL Press, Oxford, U.K.
40. Poteete, A. R., and Botstein, D. (1979) Purification and properties of proteins essential to DNA encapsulation by phage P22. Virology 95, 565–573
41. Nowotny, M., Gaidamakov, S. A., Ghirlando, R., Cerritelli, S. M., Crouch, R. J., and Yang, W. (2007) Structure of human RNase H1 complexed with an RNA/DNA hybrid. Insight into HIV reverse transcription. Mol. Cell 28, 264–276
42. Yang, W. (2011) Nuclease. Diversity of structure, function and mechanism. Q. Rev. Biophys. 44, 1–93
43. Nemecek, D., Lander, G. C., Johnson, J. E., Casjens, S. R., and Thomas, G. J., Jr. (2008) Assembly architecture and DNA binding of the bacteriophage P22 terminase small subunit. J. Mol. Biol. 383, 494–501
44. Moore, S. D., and Prevelige, P. E., Jr. (2002) Bacteriophage p22 portal vertex formation in vivo. J. Mol. Biol. 315, 975–994
45. Nowotny, M. (2009) Retroviral integrase superfamily: The structural perspective. EMBO Rep. 10, 144–151
46. Bravo, A., Alonso, J. C., and Trautner, T. A. (1990) Functional analysis of the Bacillus subtilis bacteriophage SPP1 pac site. Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 2881–2886
47. Camacho, A. G., Gual, A., Lurz, R., Tavares, P., and Alonso, J. C. (2003) Bacillus subtilis bacteriophage SPP1 DNA packaging motor requires terminase and portal proteins. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 23251–23259
48. Rajeswari, M. R., Montenay-Garestier, T., and Hélène, C. (1987) Does tryptophan intercalate in DNA? A comparative study of peptide binding to alternating and nonalternating A.T sequences. Biochemistry 26, 6825–6831
49. Jain, A. A., and Rajeswari, M. R. (2003) Binding studies on peptide-oligonucleotide complex. Intercalation of tryptophan in GC-rich region of c-myc gene. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1622, 73–81
50. Lin, H., and Black, L. W. (1998) DNA requirements in vivo for phage T4 packaging. Virology 242, 118–127
51. Rao, V. B., and Black, L. W. (2005) in Viral Genome Packaging Machines: Genetics, Structure and Mechanism (Catalano, C., ed) pp. 40–58, Landes Publishing, Georgetown, TX
52. Ghosh-Kumar, M., Alam, T. I., Draper, B., Stack, J. D., and Rao, V. B. (2011) Regulation by interdomain communication of a headful packaging nuclease from bacteriophage T4. Nucleic Acids Res. 39, 2742–2755