Crawling Motility on the Host Tissue Surfaces Is Associated With the Pathogenicity of the Zoonotic Spirochete *Leptospira*
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Bacterial motility is crucial for many pathogenic species in the process of invasion and/or dissemination. The spirochete bacteria *Leptospira* spp. cause symptoms, such as hemorrhage, jaundice, and nephritis, in diverse mammals including humans. Although loss-of-motility attenuate the spirochete’s virulence, the mechanism of the motility-dependent pathogenicity is unknown. Here, focusing on that *Leptospira* spp. swim in liquid and crawl on solid surfaces, we investigated the spirochetal dynamics on the host tissues by infecting cultured kidney cells from various species with pathogenic and non-pathogenic leptospires. We found that, in the case of the pathogenic leptospires, a larger fraction of bacteria attached to the host cells and persistently traveled long distances using the crawling mechanism. Our results associate the kinetics and kinematic features of the spirochetal pathogens with their virulence.
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INTRODUCTION

Many bacteria utilize motility to explore environments for survival and prosperity. For some pathogenic species, the motility is a virulence factor (Josenhans and Suerbaum, 2002). For example, *Helicobacter pylori* requires motility to migrate toward the epithelial tissue in the stomach (Clyne et al., 2000), and motility and chemotaxis are key factors that guide host invasion in different *Salmonella* serovars (Siitonen and Nurminen, 1992; Olsen et al., 2013). Movement and adhesion of the Lyme disease spirochete *Borrelia burgdorferi* in blood vessels are thought to be important during the process of host cell invasion (Ebady et al., 2016). In the enteric pathogens, such as the enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (EPEC), the motility machinery flagella are also important for adhesion to the host intestinal epithelium (Cheney et al., 1980).

In this study, we address the association of bacterial motility with pathogenicity in the worldwide zoonosis leptospirosis. The causative agent of leptospirosis *Leptospira* spp. are Gram-negative bacteria belonging to the phylum Spirochaetes. The genus *Leptospira* is comprised of two major
Leptospira divided into four subclades called P1, P2, S1, and S2 (Vincent clades, “Saprophytes (S)” and “Pathogens (P)” and is further divided into four subclades called P1, P2, S1, and S2 (Vincent et al., 2019). Leptospira spp. are classified into over 300 serovars defined based on the structural diversity of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Cerqueira and Picardeau, 2009; Picardeau, 2017). The pathogenic species affect various mammalian hosts such as livestock (cattle, pigs, horses, and others), companion animals (dogs and others), and humans, causing severe symptoms, such as hemorrhage, jaundice, and nephritis in some host-serovar pairs (Bharti et al., 2003; Adler and de la Peña Moctezuma, 2010; Picardeau, 2017). The leptospires can be maintained in the renal tubules of recovered animals or reservoir hosts, and the urinary shedding of leptospires to the environment leads to infection in humans and other animals through contact with contaminated soil or water. Although the pathogenic mechanism of leptospirosis is not well elucidated, their motility is known to be somehow involved in pathogenicity using loss-of-motility mutants in animal models (Lambert et al., 2012; Wunder et al., 2016).

Leptospira spp. possess two periplasmic flagella (PFs) beneath the outer cell membrane (Figure 1A). The rotation of the PFs gyrates both ends of the cell body and rotates the coiled cell body (protoplasmic cylinder), allowing the spirochete to swim in fluids (Goldstein and Charon, 1990; Nakamura et al., 2014). Leptospira cells have high adhesivity to solid surfaces and show diverse behaviors over surfaces: some of the adhered leptospires stay at the same position (so-called adhesion state), whereas the others retain mobility on surfaces (Cox and Twigg, 1974). The surface motility designated as “crawling” is a slip-less movement given by rotation of the protoplasmic cylinder and unidentified adhesins that are freely move on the outer membrane (Tahara et al., 2018). Adherence and entry of pathogenic leptospires in the conjunctival epithelium (Bharti et al., 2003) and in the paracellular routes of hepatocytes (Miyahara et al., 2014) were previously observed using scanning electron microscopy, suggesting adhesion to the host tissue surfaces and subsequent crawling of pathogenic leptospires. To verify this hypothesis, assuming the transition of leptospires between swimming and adhesion states and between adhesion and crawling states in the equilibrium (Figure 1B), we investigated the adhesion and crawling motility of Leptospira on the cultured kidney cells of various mammalian species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Leptospira Strains and Growth Conditions
Pathogenic serovars of L. interrogans serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae (strain WFA135), an isolate from Rattus norvegicus in Tokyo, Japan, serovar Manilae (strain UP-MMC-NIID) (Koizumi and Watanabe, 2004; Fujita et al., 2015; Tomizawa et al., 1977) and a saprophytic L. biflexa serovar Patoc (strain Patoc 1) were used in this study. The serovar of WFA135 was determined by multiple loci variable number of tandem repeats analysis (MLVA) and DNA sequencing of the lic12008 gene (Koizumi et al., 2015; Santos et al., 2018). Bacteria were cultured in enriched Ellinghausen-McCullough-Johnson-Harris (EMJH) liquid medium (BD Difco, NJ, United States) containing 25 μg/mL spectinomycin at 30°C for 2 (L. biflexa) or 4 (L. interrogans) days until log phase. To track Leptospira cells when in co-culture with mammalian kidney cells, a green fluorescent protein (GFP) was constitutively expressed in each strain (Supplementary Movie S1).

Mammalian Cells and Media
Mammalian kidney epithelial cell lines used included MDCK-NBL2 (dog), NRK-52E (rat), Vero (monkey), MDBC-NBL1 (cow), TCMK-1 (mouse), and HK-2 (human). MDCK, Vero, TCMK, and MDBK cells were maintained in Eagle’s minimum essential medium (MEM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) containing 10% fetal bovine serum or 10% horse serum (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) at 37°C and 5% CO2. NRK cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, United States) with 4 mM L-glutamine, adjusted to contain 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate and 4.5 g/L glucose, and with 5% bovine calf serum (Nacalai Tesque) at 37°C and 5% CO2. HK-2 cells were maintained in keratinocyte serum-free (KSF) medium with 0.05 mg/mL bovine pituitary extract and 5 ng/mL human recombinant epidermal growth factor (Gibco – Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). All culture conditions contained a 5% antibiotic/antimycotic mixed solution (Nacalai Tesque). Cells were treated with a 0.1% trypsin – EDTA solution (Nacalai Tesque) to dislodge the cells during each passage process.

FIGURE 1 | Structure of Leptospira and working model. (A) Schematic diagram of the Leptospira cell structure. (B) A three-state kinetic model assuming a transition between “swimming” (floating above cell layers without physical contact to the cells) and “adhesion” (attachment to the cell layer without migration), and between “adhesion” and “crawling” (attachment to the cell layer and movement over surfaces), with K_a,A and K_a,C represent the equilibrium constants of each transition, respectively.
GFP Expression in *L. interrogans* and *L. biflexa*

For the construction of a replicable plasmid in *L. interrogans*, the corresponding rep-parB-parA region of the plasmid pG11 from the *L. interrogans* serovar Canicola strain Gui44 plasmid pG11 (Zhu et al., 2014) was amplified from a *L. interrogans* serogroup Canicola isolate, and the amplified product was cloned into the PCR-generated pCjSpLe94 (Picardeau, 2008) by NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly cloning (New England BioLabs), generating pNKLiG1. The flgB promoter region (Bono et al., 2000; Bauby et al., 2003) and gfp were amplified from pCjSpLe94 and pAcGFP1 (Clontech), respectively, and the amplified products were cloned into the Sall-digested pNKLiG1 for *L. interrogans* or the Sall-digested pCjSpLe94 for *L. biflexa*. The plasmids were transformed into strains WFA135, UP-MMC-NIID or Patoc I by conjugation with *E. coli* 2163 harboring the plasmid (Slamti and Picardeau, 2012). We used the Leptospira strains stored at −80°C when created the GFP-expressing transformant. After the strains were recovered from −80°C, we passaged them once or twice prior to the conjugation experiment. The colonies of the transformant were cultured in EMJH and passaged twice, or twice prior to the conjugation experiment. The amplified products were cloned into the Sall-digested pNKLiG1 for *L. interrogans* or the Sall-digested pCjSpLe94 for *L. biflexa*. The plasmids were transformed into strains WFA135, UP-MMC-NIID or Patoc I by conjugation with *E. coli* 2163 harboring the plasmid (Slamti and Picardeau, 2012). We used the Leptospira strains stored at −80°C when created the GFP-expressing transformant. After the strains were recovered from −80°C, we passaged them once or twice prior to the conjugation experiment. The colonies of the transformant were cultured in EMJH and passaged twice, and then log phase cultures were stored at −80°C. The GFP-expressing strains were passaged less than four times for the motility assays, that is, less than eight times of passage after recovered from −80°C for the experiments. Primer sequences used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Expression of GFP did not affect motility in the Leptospira serovars (Supplementary Figure S1).

Preparation of Kidney Cells and *Leptospira* Cells in a Chamber Slide

Kidney cells were harvested with 0.1% trypsin and 0.02% EDTA in a balanced salt solution (Nacalai Tesque) and plated onto a chamber slide (Iwaki, Tokyo, Japan) using their corresponding media without antibiotics. The slides were incubated for 48 h until a monolayer was formed and washed twice with media to remove non-adherent cells. The cells were incubated for a further 2 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Approximately 500 µL of stationary phase *Leptospira* cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1,000 x g for 10 min at room temperature, washed twice in PBS, then resuspended in the corresponding kidney cell culture media without antibiotics at 37°C to a concentration of 10⁷ cells/mL. These suspensions (1 mL) were then added into the corresponding chamber slides containing the kidney cell layer, and the chamber slides were incubated at 37°C for 1 h.

Microscopy Observation and Adhesion-Crawling Assay

The movement behaviors, swimming, adhesion and crawling of the *Leptospira* cells on the kidney cells were observed using a dark-field microscope (BX53, Splan 40×, NA 0.75, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) with an epi-fluorescent system (U-FBNA narrow filter, Olympus) and recorded by a CCD-camera (WAT-910HX, Watec Co., Yamagata, Japan) at 30 frames per second. *Leptospira* cells were tracked using an ImageJ (NIH, MD, United States)-based tracking system and the motion parameters such as motile fraction, velocity and the mean square displacement (MSD) were analyzed using Excel-based VBA (Microsoft, WA, United States). The two-dimensional MSD of individual leptospiral cells during a period Δt was calculated by the following equation: \[ MSD(\Delta t) = (x_{i+\Delta t} - x_i)^2 + (y_{i+\Delta t} - y_i)^2 \], where \((x_i, y_i)\) is the bacterial position at \(i\) (see also Supplementary Figure S2). Swimming cells were distinguished from adhesion or crawling cells by the difference in a focus (see Figure 2C), and adhesion was discriminated from crawling based on criteria of both crawling speed (<1 µm/s) and MSD slope (<0.5).

RESULTS

Steady-State Analysis of *Leptospira* on the Kidney Cells

We infected cultured kidney cells from six different host species (rat, dog, monkey, mouse, cow, and human) with three *Leptospira* strains (the pathogenic *L. interrogans* serovars Icterohaemorrhagiae and Manilae, and the non-pathogenic *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc) expressing GFP within a chamber slide (Figure 2A). We observed the *Leptospira* cells by epi-fluorescent microscopy (Figure 2B) and measured the fractions of swimming [S], adhered [A], and crawling [C] bacteria on the kidney cells (Figure 2C). Figures 2D,E show that almost half of the pathogenic population transited from the swimming state to the adhesion and crawling states, whereas >75% non-pathogenic leptospires remained in the swimming state. We calculated the equilibrium constant between swimming and adhesion states (\(K_{S-A}\)) and between adhesion and crawling states (\(K_{A-C}\)) from the cell fractions using \(K_{S-A} = [A]/[S]\) and \(K_{A-C} = [C]/[A]\), respectively. The pathogenic leptospires had a significantly larger \(K_{A-C}\) in comparison with the non-pathogenic strain (\(P < 0.05\)); \(K_{S-A}\) did not seem to correlate with virulence (Figure 2F). These thermodynamic parameters suggest that the biased transition from adhesion to crawling would be responsible for the virulence of *Leptospira* (Figure 2G).

Crawling Motility

Taking the results of the steady-state analysis, we focused on the crawling motility of individual *Leptospira* cells on the kidney cells. Although the crawling speed varied among the measured host-bacterium pairs, *L. interrogans* serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae showed significantly faster speed than the others, indicating the species/serovar dependence of the crawling ability (Figure 3A). On the other hand, there is no difference in crawling speed between *L. interrogans* serovar Manilae and *L. biflexa* serovar Patoc, suggesting that the crawling speed itself is not related to leptospiral virulence. Meanwhile, we observed that some leptospiral cells attached to the kidney cells and moved smoothly for periods, migrating over long-distances (upper panels of Figures 3B,C and Supplementary Movie S2), whereas others frequently reversed the crawling direction (lower panels of Figures 3B,C and Supplementary Movie S3). Cell movements with reversals are considered to be diffusive and thus can be evaluated by plotting MSD against time, a general methodology for diffusion (Brownian motion) analysis (Kusumi et al., 1993). The MSD of simple diffusion without directivity is proportional.
FIGURE 2 | Steady-state motility and adhesion of Leptospira cells on kidney cells. (A) Schematic of the motility assay on the cultured kidney cells by epi-fluorescent microscopy. Fully confluent kidney cells from either animals or humans were cultured in an observation chamber, and Leptospira cells that constitutively expressed GFP were added to the cultures. (B) Example of an epi-fluorescence image of the L. interrogans serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae, as indicated by the yellow triangles, on the rat kidney cell line, NRK-52E. The nucleus of the kidney cell is shown by the white arrow (Supplementary Movie S1). (C) Image sequences of swimming (top), adhered (middle), and crawling (bottom) leptospiral cells. Yellow and white arrows indicate Leptospira cells and kidney cells, respectively. No kidney cells were observed due to out of focus during the measurement of Leptospira cells swimming in liquid media. (D) Ternary plot of the cell fractions in a state of swimming, adhesion, or crawling. The inset schematically explains how to read the ternary plot using an example plot with 30% for I, 45% for II, and 25% for III. Legend is shown to the right of the ternary plot, and M, I, and P indicate the L. interrogans serovar Manilae, L. interrogans serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae, and L. biflexa serovar Patoc, respectively. Each data point represents the mean of triplicate experiments and ∼2,400 leptospiral cells were measured per host-serovar pair. (E) Average values of the cell fractions. Error bars are the standard deviation. (F) The host–bacterium dependence of the equilibrium constants $K_{SA}$ and $K_{AC}$, calculated from the data shown in panel (D); rat (R), dog (D), monkey (Mn), mouse (Mu), cow (C), and human (H). The averaged values determined for each bacterial strain are shown by horizontal lines (left) and are plotted with the standard deviation (right); L. interrogans Manilae (M), L. interrogans Icterohaemorrhagiae (I), and L. biflexa Patoc I (P). The statistical analysis was performed by Mann–Whitney U test ($*P < 0.05$). (G) Schematic explanation of the kinetic difference between pathogenic and non-pathogenic leptospires.
to time, and therefore double-logarithmic MSD plots from such non-directional diffusion represent slopes of $\sim 1$, whereas those from directive movements show MSD slopes of $\sim 2$, representing the relatively long distance traveled by the cells (Supplementary Figure S2). Double-logarithmic MSD plots obtained from each individual leptospires showed a wide range of MSD slopes (example data are shown in Figure 3D) and differed for each host–Leptospira pair (Figure 3E left and Supplementary Figure S3). The non-pathogenic strain showed the slope of $\sim 1$, while the pathogenic strains had significantly larger slopes that denote directive motion (Figure 3E, right). Thus, concerning the crawling motility, directivity and persistency rather than speed could be crucial for virulence.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results suggested the importance of adhesion to and persistent crawling on the host tissue for the pathogenicity of *Leptospira*. The thermodynamic and kinematic parameters are associated in Figure 4A, showing the tendency that pathogenic species are biased to the crawling state and can migrate longer distance on the host tissue surfaces. The crawling motility of *Leptospira* is caused by the attachment of the spirochete cell body to surfaces via adhesive cell surface components (Charon et al., 1981; Tahara et al., 2018). The successive alternation in the attachment and detachment of adhesins allows for this progressive movement by the spirochetes, however, an excessively strong adhesion can inhibit crawling (Tahara et al., 2018). LPS, the molecular basis for the identification of the different *Leptospira* serovars (Cerqueira and Picardeau, 2009; Picardeau, 2017), is thought to be a crucial adhesin important for this crawling motion (Tahara et al., 2018). Thus, it is possible that compatibility of the serological characteristics of leptospires and the surface properties of the host tissue might affect the crawling behavior over the tissue surfaces and the subsequent clinical consequences. The results of our biophysical experiments outline a plausible framework for the adhesion and crawling-dependent pathogenicity of *Leptospira* (Figure 4B). The biased transition from the adhesion to the crawling state and the long-distance, persistent crawling allows leptospires to explore the host's cell surfaces, increasing the probability of encountering routes for invasion through their intracellular tight junctions (Figure 4B, left). In contrast, the swimming or weakly attached leptospires
can be swept by external forces, such as intermittent urination. Furthermore, since leptospires cannot be disseminated over host tissues by diffusive crawling, such strains have less chance to discover routes for invasion (Figure 4B, right).

Other than LPS, abundant leptospiral proteins that are exposed to the cell exterior are known to possess the binding ability against extracellular matrix (ECM) components, such as fibronectin, laminin, and collagens (Haake and Matsunaga, 2010; Picardeau, 2017). For example, Lig (leptospiral immunoglobulin-like) proteins (Matsunaga et al., 2003) mediate the Leptospira adhesion via binding to fibronectin, laminin, collagen I, and collagen IV (Choy et al., 2007). LenA (leptospiral endostatin-like protein A) (Stevenson et al., 2007) that was formally called LfhA (leptospiral factor H-binding protein A)/Lsa24 (leptospiral surface adhesin 24) have binding property to the complement regulatory protein factor H (Verma et al., 2006) and laminin (Barbosa et al., 2006). OmpL1 serves as an adhesin through binding to laminin and plasma fibronectin (Fernandes et al., 2012; Robbins et al., 2015). Some of the adhesins are expressed only in pathogenic strains, suggesting that their combination could be involved in directive and persistent crawling observed in the pathogenic strains. Further study using other saprophytic and pathogenic Leptospira spp. is required for defining the detailed roles of these adhesive molecules for crawling. Also, in vivo experiments demonstrating direct correlation between crawling and virulence of Leptospira should be conducted.

Some bacterial pathogens are specialized to invade a very limited array of hosts, whereas others can infect multiple host species. The host range differs for each pathogen and the clinical symptoms depend on each host–pathogen combination. The same applies for leptospirosis, the outcome of Leptospira infection depends on the host-serovar association, and some animal species can become an asymptomatic reservoir for particular Leptospira serovars. The present experiments also provided data allowing us to discuss the host dependence of the leptospiral dynamics. Among the investigated materials, serovar Manilae vs. rats and serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae vs. rats are typically asymptomatic pairs, and Figure 4A shows that the pairs with reservoirs have lower scores in comparison with those causing severe symptoms, such as Manilae vs. humans, Icterohaemorrhagiae vs. dogs, and others. This implies that the surface dynamics of the spirochete could be related to their host-dependent pathogenicity. Understanding the mechanism of the host preferences by pathogens is important for prevention of
the infection spread. There still remain gaps in our knowledge on the host–pathogen interaction in leptospirosis, but crucial insights into the issue have been provided by several research groups. Microarray analyses have revealed that regulation of gene expression in *Leptospira* is affected by its interaction with host cells (Toma et al., 2014; Satou et al., 2015). Although leptospiral LPS that is believed to be an adhesin for crawling induces the expression of proinflammatory cytokine genes through the recognition by the host TLR, the host-dependent difference in the TLR recognition was shown between human and murine cells: TLR1 and TLR2 predominantly mediate the activation in human cells, whereas TLR2 and TLR4 act for the recognition in mouse cells (Werts et al., 2001; Nahori et al., 2005). Pathogenic leptospires evade the host immunity through the interaction with the complement system (Barbosa and Isaac, 2020). Also, the binding of *L. interrogans* to thrombin inhibits fibrin coagulation, which results in hemorrhage (Fernandes et al., 2015). In relation to hemorrhage by *L. interrogans*, the pathogens acquire iron, that is believed to be essential during infection, from host erythrocyte through hemolytic activity of sphingomyelinases-like proteins (Sph) (Narayanavari et al., 2015). Thus, various *Leptospira*-host interactions such as immune evasion and nutrition acquisition could inclusively determine the host preference of *Leptospira*. Such abundant factors in both bacteria and hosts should be investigated for a deeper understanding of the host-dependent pathogenicity.
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