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Abstract
We study cyclic evolutionary games in a spatial diluted grid environment in which agents strategically interact locally but can also opportunistically move to other positions within a given migration radius. We find that opportunistic migration can inverse the cyclic prevalence between the strategies when the frequency of random imitation is large enough compared to the payoff-driven imitation. At the transition the average size of the patterns diverges and this threatens diversity of strategies.

Introduction
Cyclic behavior can be observed in evolutionary games when there are more than two strategies available to the players, a well-known case being the Rock-Scissors-Paper (RSP) class of games [1]. This behavior is not only of theoretical interest since it is partly responsible for the biodiversity on Earth, and has been actually observed in several biological situations such as the dynamic behavior of side-blotched lizards populations [2], coral reef invertebrates [3], and competition among different bacteria strands [4] among others. These games have been studied extensively both theoretically and by computer simulations. Rigorous results are available for well mixed populations in the infinite population size limit pointing to the fact that the system may converge toward a stable or Lyapunov stable interior rest point, or to an unstable rest point leading to a heteroclinic cycle, depending on the relative values of the payoffs [see, for example, [1,5,6]]. Cyclic behavior has also been found in studies of the public goods game type when players, besides being able to choose between cooperating or defecting behavior, also have the choice of not taking part in the game (so-called “loner” strategy) [7]. Interestingly, a little later this oscillating behavior was actually observed in an experiment with human subjects by D. Semman and coauthors [8]. Likewise, in a spatial setting such as two-dimensional grids or, more generally, on relational networks, several results have been obtained. Szabó and Hauert [9] and Szabó and Vukov [10] studied the Prisoner’s Dilemma on two-dimensional grids with three strategies: cooperate, defect, and loners and observed that the three strategies survive in a cyclic dominance way akin to the RSP game. A similar phenomenon manifests itself on random graphs but with different characteristics. In [11] Szabó et al. investigated the behavior of the RSP game on regular small-world networks. In more recent work A. Szolnoki and coworkers have further studied the evolutionary Prisoner’s Dilemma on spatial grids and random graphs showing that with a third tit-for-tat strategy the system can show a variety of interesting behaviors including stationary and oscillatory states [12]. When agents can only cooperate or defect but have time-dependent learning capabilities Szolnoki et al. [13] showed that cooperators and defectors can coexist and propagating waves appear in the spatially extended system.

In another strand of research players also have the possibility of moving around in space, a feature that is central in ecosystems. Spatial travelling waves and cyclic dominance are typical features of these more biologically realistic settings which are often based on stochastic partial differential equations discretized on a grid to model random diffusion [14,15]. Another recent paper employs a continuous time space/time formalism in the RSP game with a non-diffusive spatial component [16]. The spatial flux is based on local gradients of relative fitness. In this respect, this study is closer to our approach described below but if focuses on pattern formation and dynamics. Indeed, the strategies are distributed at the start and remain fixed. While the system shows the formation of spirals in space for some initial conditions, and of strategy domains for others, since strategy proportions do not change extinction phenomena are absent. Other important recent works dealing with migration in diluted grid systems are [17,18].

In this paper we present a new model based on RSP games in which agents enjoy mobility but their displacements are not random; rather, they change place in a purposeful manner. Contingent mobility has previously been used under various forms in two-strategies evolutionary games of the Prisoner’s Dilemma, Hawk-Dove, or Stag Hunt types [19–24]. The idea here is that the agents possess some basic reactive or elementary reasoning capability that allow them to sense the situation in their local spatial environment and to employ some simple heuristic to move accordingly. Heuristics range from very simple ones such as cooperators moving away from surrounding defectors when the latter are in the majority [19,23], to more elaborate ones such as “success-driven migration” where agents may try many destina-
tions in space and choose to jump to the most favorable one in terms of expected payoff [20,24]. Here agents use a simplified form of an heuristic introduced in [24] which consists in randomly trying one single free position in space within a given migration radius and to move there if it is empty and more profitable than the starting one. Our setting requires minimal rational capabilities on the part of the players but it is clearly not adequate for low-level biological organisms such as bacteria where it is likely that movements are almost random. On the other hand, the heuristics used are within the reach of many superior animal populations and certainly of humans. We show in the paper that the addition of opportunistic migration notably changes the dynamical behavior of species. In particular conditions, spatial traveling waves become much longer and tend to diverge with respect to the finite system size causing strategy extinction and thus threatening diversity. On the other hand, in different contexts this result could be seen as a positive one as it tends to stabilize an oscillating system.

Methods

We investigate a class of two-person, three-strategy, symmetric rock-scissors-paper game as a metaphor for cyclic behavior. These games have the generic payoff matrix $M$ (equation 1) which refers to the payoffs of the row player. The payoff matrix for the column player is simply the transpose $M^T$ since the game is symmetric.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -b_1 & -2 & -b_2 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -b_2 & -b_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Where $b_1$ and $b_2$ are positive. The set of strategies is $\Lambda = \{S1, S2, S3\}$.

The Euclidean two-dimensional space is modeled by a discrete square lattice of side $L$ with toroidal borders. Each vertex of the lattice can be occupied by one player or be empty. The density is $\rho$ and $N$ is the number of players. Players can interact with $k$ neighbours which lie at an Euclidean distance smaller or equal than a given constant $R_g$. They can also migrate to empty grid points at a distance smaller than $R_m$. We use three neighborhood sizes with radius 1.5, 3, and 5; they contain, respectively, 8, 28, and 80 neighbours around the central player.

Figure 1. Average diversity levels with random migration (first row) and opportunistic migration (second row) as a function of the game radius $R_g$ and the migration radius $R_m$. The size of the grid is $L = 50$ and the density $\rho$ is 0.5. In all cases the initial strategies of the players are attributed uniformly at random. Diversity is maximal for light tones and disappears for black tones as can be seen in the color code bar of Fig. 2. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098190.g001

Figure 2. Diversity phase space in a well-mixed population as a function of the game's payoffs $b_1$ and $b_2$ with $a = 0$. Diversity is maximal for light tones and disappears for black tones. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098190.g002
Each agent $i$ interacts locally with a set of neighbours $V_i$ lying closer than $R_p$. Let $\sigma(t)$ be a vector giving the strategy profile at time $t$ with $S_i=(1,0,0)$, $S_j=(0,1,0)$, and $S_k=(0,0,1)$, and let $M$ be the payoff matrix of the game (equation 1). The quantity

$$\Pi_i(t) = \sum_{j \in V_i} \sigma_j(t) \cdot M \cdot \sigma_j^T(t)$$

is the cumulated payoff collected by player $i$ at time step $t$.

We use the imitative strategy update called the Fermi rule [5] in which the focal player $i$ is given the opportunity to imitate a randomly chosen neighbour $j$ with probability:

$$p(\sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma_j) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta(\Pi_j - \Pi_i))}$$

where $\Pi_j - \Pi_i$ is the difference of the payoffs earned by $j$ and $i$ respectively and $\beta$ is a constant corresponding to the inverse temperature for the imitation update. When $\beta \rightarrow 0$ (high temperature) the probability of imitating $j$ tends to a constant value 0.5 and when $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ (low temperature) the rule becomes deterministic: $i$ imitates $j$ if $(\Pi_j - \Pi_i) > 0$, otherwise it doesn’t. In between these two extreme cases the probability of imitating neighbour $j$ is an increasing function of $\Pi_j - \Pi_i$.

We use an asynchronous Monte Carlo [5] scheme for strategy update and migration, i.e. players are updated one by one by choosing a random player in each step with uniform probability and with replacement. Then the player migrates with probability 1/2, otherwise it updates its strategy.

If the pseudo-random number drawn dictates that $i$ should migrate, then the player considers a randomly chosen position in the disc of radius $R_m$ around itself. If the position is already occupied the player does not migrate, otherwise the player computes the payoff that it would obtain in that place with its current strategy. Then player $i$ stays at its current position if it obtains higher payoff there, or migrates to the trial position in the opposite case. In order to introduce noise in the migration player $i$ can decide to migrate with probability:

$$p(x_i \rightarrow x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\beta_m(\Pi_i' - \Pi_i))}$$

where $\Pi_i' - \Pi_i$ is the difference of the payoffs earned by player $i$ in the positions $x_i$ and $x'$, where $x_i$ is the original position of player $i$ and $\beta_m$ is a constant corresponding to the inverse temperature for the migration. We call these migrations opportunistic or fitness-based.

We use two measures in order to assess diversity. The first one is called diversity and is simply the normalized product of the strategy frequencies: $(n_1 n_2 n_3)/(1/3)^3$. It is proportional to the probability that three randomly chosen players adopt different strategies. Here the highest value of the product is reached when the distribution of the strategies is homogeneous, and if one or more strategy has vanished diversity becomes zero. Indeed, when there are only two strategies remaining, dominance will cause one of the two to disappear afterwards.

The second measure is called the wavelength. It is a rough empirical approximation for the wavelength of a traveling wave or simply for the size of a domain where more than half of the players adopt locally the same strategy. We compute the width of a domain surrounding a player along the $x$ axis $d_x$, and $y$ axis $d_y$, and then choose the shortest width among $d_x$ and $d_y$ and take the average over all players $p$. Note that we could obtain similar results by taking the average over $d_x$ and $d_y$. In order to obtain the wavelength around a player $p$ with strategy $s$ we compute the distance to the border of the $s$ domain along the $x$ and $y$ axis in the positive and negative direction around the player $p$. In order to detect if a site $i$ is inside a domain of players adopting strategy $s$, we compute the frequency of players with that strategy inside the Moore neighborhood ($R_p = 1.5$) of $i$, including $i$. If the frequency is smaller than 0.5, $i$ is considered to be out of the domain. Practically we move gradually on the axis until we reach the end of the domain. The next steps take into account the case where the spatial distribution of the population contains empty regions, i.e. the frequencies of strategies cannot be computed. In that case, if there are no players in the neighborhood of $i$, the position of $i$ is incremented. Then, if the new place is in a domain with the same strategy we consider that it is still the same domain and continue to
increment the test position. Otherwise, the position is considered to be out of the domain and the width of the region without players is subtracted from the total width.

Next, we present here the measure for the invasion speed. We call this measure cyclicity and it takes values \( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \). The cyclicity measure for a player at a given time step \( t \) is 1 if the strategy has changed according to the natural cycling order \( S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_1 \) between \( t-1 \) and \( t \), 0 if the strategy has not changed and \(-1\) if the strategy changed in the opposite way. The global cyclicity is the average of this quantity over the players during a time interval \( \tau \) after the system has evolved for \( t \) time steps.

For the numerical simulations, the diversity phase-space generated by \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) has been sampled with a step of 0.1 and each value in the phase space reported in the figures is the average of \( n=50 \) independent runs. For the wavelength plots the number of independent runs is \( n=200 \). The evolution proceeds by first initializing the population by adding players on grid cells with probability \( r \). Then the players’ strategies are initialized uniformly at random such that each strategy has a fraction of approximately \( 1/3 \). We let the system evolve for a period of \( t=1000 \) time steps for phase-space diagrams and \( t=5000 \) for wavelength plots. In each time step \( N \) players are chosen for update. We then let the system evolve for \( \tau \) further steps and take the average measure
observed that diversity can thrive in adverse games (lower left comparing with the well-mixed case shown in Fig. 2, it can be migration case for all values of \( R_m \) for \( \beta \)). However this does not hold in the opportunistic migration case. However this does not hold in the opportunistic migration case for all values of \( \beta \) as can be seen in Fig. 1. For \( \beta = 0.1 \) and 0.01 a small game radius \( R_g \) creates the opposite effect for \( R_m = 1.5, 3, 5 \) : extinction extend in the upper right quadrant where diversity thrives in the ideal well-mixed case such that nearly all the games of the phase-space lose diversity. For higher game radius \( R_g = 5 \) the game space where full diversity thrives is similar to the one found in the random migration case. However this does not imply that the wavelength is similar in the extinction region. Although the small system size used for this exploratory analysis may cause finite-size effects i.e., extinction due to fluctuations, the results show that there is perhaps an interesting phenomenon occurring when \( \beta \) is tuned and thus we try to elucidate it further in the following.

We study the wavelength on larger lattices as a function of \( \beta \). However this does not hold in the opportunistic migration case for several values of \( \beta \). Game 1: \( b_1 = 1.5, b_2 = 0.5 \). Game 2: \( b_1 = 0.5, b_2 = 1.5 \). Game 3 depicts the wavelength as a function of \( R_m \) for game 1 and game 2, and \( R_g = 1.5 \), and a frequency of migration of 1/2. In the opportunistic migration case a marked peak appears for values of \( \beta \) between 0.01 and 0.1. Results for a frequency of migration of 1/4 and of 3/4 respectively are reported in Material S1. Fig. 4 displays some typical snapshots around the phase transition for random and opportunistic migration. In the central image of the lower row it is clearly visible how domains become larger and extinction sets in for \( \beta = 0.03 \) with opportunistic migration. In Fig. 5 the average cyclicity is plotted as a function of \( \beta \) for the opportunistic and random migration cases. It can be seen in the opportunistic migration case that the cyclicity vanishes at the peak and is slightly reversed on the left of the transition so that the position of the peak corresponds to the inversion of the cycling order. This effect can be explained in the extreme case \( \beta \to 0 \) where the imitation tends to be random but the migration is opportunistic. In that case, the players adopting a strategy \( s_i \) which is payoff-dominated by a strategy \( s_{i-1} \) form clusters at the border between the two strategy regions since they try to minimize the number of \( s_{i-1} \) players in their neighborhood. Meanwhile the players adopting the strategy \( s_{i-1} \) are attracted toward the \( s_i \) clusters and surround them with a smaller density. Since the strategy update rule is almost random imitation for very small \( \beta \) the more clustered players spread their strategy faster than the surrounding players. In fact this effect can be understood in a bipartite population with two degree homogeneous sub-populations \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) where players imitate randomly their neighbors. A quick calculation shows that the size of the sub-population which has the largest average degree spreads its strategy faster (see Material S1). Also in Material S1 it is explained how the effect works using the example of a specific

![Figure 6. Average wavelength for opportunistic migration after \( T = 5000 \) as a function of \( \beta \) for game 1: \( b_1 = 1.5, b_2 = 0.5, L = 400 \) and \( R_m = 1, 5, 3, 5 \). In all cases the density \( \rho \) is 0.5 and the initial strategies of the players are randomly attributed.](https://journal.pone.0098190.g006)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098190.g006

**Results**

In order to obtain an overview of the effect of opportunistic migration, the diversity measure is displayed as a function of the game parameters \( b_1 \) and \( b_2 \) for several values of \( \beta \). Fig. 1 depicts the diversity phase-space for a lattice of size \( L = 50 \) after time \( T = 1000 \) as a function of \( \beta, R_g \) and \( R_m \). The upper images refer to the random migration case, used here as a benchmark case, and the lower images refer to the opportunistic migration case. By comparing with the well-mixed case shown in Fig. 2, it can be observed that diversity can thrive in adverse games (lower left quadrant) when the interactions radius \( R_m \) and \( R_g \) are short \( (R_g, R_m < 5) \). However this does not hold in the opportunistic migration case for all values of \( \beta \) as can be seen in Fig. 1. For \( \beta = 0.1 \) and 0.01 a small game radius \( R_g \) creates the opposite effect for \( R_m = 1.5, 3, 5 \) : extinction extend in the upper right quadrant where diversity thrives in the ideal well-mixed case such that nearly all the games of the phase-space lose diversity. For higher game radius \( R_g = 5 \) the game space where full diversity thrives is similar to the one found in the random migration case. However this does not imply that the wavelength is similar in the extinction region. Although the small system size used for this exploratory analysis may cause finite-size effects i.e., extinction due to fluctuations, the results show that there is perhaps an interesting phenomenon occurring when \( \beta \) is tuned and thus we try to elucidate it further in the following.
spatial configuration consisting of two neighboring infinite regions with different strategies. In the random migration case it is more difficult to find an explanation since there is no clustering, but the phenomenon is weaker and the peak is less marked. The increase of the wavelength when the cyclicity vanishes is not new and has been studied in [25] in a cyclic voter model with three strategies and a probability to imitate the dominant (dominated) strategy \( P \) respectively \((1-P)\) but the phenomenon is not caused by migration, as in our case, since agents don’t move and only the \( P \) parameter varies. In [26] authors study a spatial five-species predator-prey model with site exchange and invasions between neighbors according to the Rock-Paper-Scissors-Lizard-Spock game. They study the invasion velocities and species density fluctuations as a function of the invasion rates. It is reported that the fluctuations of species frequency diverge and invasion velocities between associations of strategies vanish when tuning the invasion rates. Coming back to the opportunistic migration case, we have checked that the inversion is stable with growing system size. Using short simulation times, such that the system has not reached extinction which means that this data is about the (initial) transient period of the system and not yet at the stationary state, cyclicity can be measured we show that the inversion is similar for all system sizes studied (see figures in Material S1). In Fig. 6 we display the average wavelength for \( L = 400, R_m = 1.5,3,5 \) and for game 1: \( b_1 = 1.5, b_2 = 0.5 \). By comparing with the corresponding curve in fig. 3 where \( L = 200 \) we remark that the peak becomes sharper for \( L = 400 \) thanks to the larger system size. This is due to the fact that the system can reach extinction before the end of the simulation due to fluctuations of the wavelength even if the mean wavelength is smaller than the system size.

Finally, we study the effect of noise on the migration process using the Fermi rule with parameter \( \beta_m \) (see Methods section). We observe that as \( \beta_m \) is decreased, the system undergoes a transition inside an interval where the phenomenon gradually disappears. (See Fig. S3 in Material S1). Thus, the global effect of migration noise is to prevent extinction provided that it is high enough, i.e. \( \beta_m \) less than 0.2. Of course, as migration noise increases, the situation resembles more and more to random walk migration, as it should.

## Discussion

We studied the diversity of strategies in a RSP game in a spatial layout where players migrate opportunistically to more favorable places in their neighborhood. Differently from the many RSP-like systems that have been studied previously in which diffusion is either absent or is random, we found that the diversity is not maintained for large areas of the games’ phase space, leading to strategy extinction, when the exponent of the strategy update rule is such that the imitative update is sufficiently noisy. Furthermore, studying the size of the patterns for two representative games as a function of \( \beta \) we found that a transition occurs where the size of the patterns diverges and the prevalence of the strategies is reversed. Finally, we also introduced a migration noise and we found that if this noise is larger than a threshold the divergence of the wavelength disappears.
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