Enhanced cortical neural stem cell identity through short SMAD and WNT inhibition in human cerebral organoids facilitates emergence of outer radial glial cells
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Cerebral organoids exhibit broad regional heterogeneity accompanied by limited cortical cellular diversity despite the tremendous upsurge in derivation methods, suggesting inadequate patterning of early neural stem cells (NSCs). Here we show that a short and early Dual SMAD and WNT inhibition course is necessary and sufficient to establish robust and lasting cortical organoid NSC identity, efficiently suppressing non-cortical NSC fates, while other widely used methods are inconsistent in their cortical NSC-specification capacity. Accordingly, this method selectively enriches for outer radial glia NSCs, which cyto-architecturally demarcate well-defined outer sub-ventricular-like regions propagating from superiorly radially organized, apical cortical rosette NSCs. Finally, this method culminates in the emergence of molecularly distinct deep and upper cortical layer neurons, and reliably uncovers cortex-specific microcephaly defects. Thus, a short SMAD and WNT inhibition is critical for establishing a rich cortical cell repertoire that enables mirroring of fundamental molecular and cyto-architectural features of cortical development and meaningful disease modelling.

Correct development and expansion of diverse neural cell types in the cerebral cortex relies on the ability of early cortical neuroepithelial cells and radial glial cells—the starting neural stem cell (NSC) population of cortical development—to maintain adequate levels of self-renewal and differentiation capacities. Deviations from this highly ordered process, often associated with pathological conditions or evolutionary changes, entail inherent changes in cortical progenitor cell biology. Therefore, the development of gold-standard in vitro strategies for generating precise, stage-matched, homogeneous cortical cell types across various pluripotent stem cell (PSC) sources is fundamental for comparative studies of development, disease and evolution.

The advent of PSCs led to the establishment of various methods for deriving cortical fates. However, protocols are highly diverse and rapidly expanding. Pioneering work on two-dimensional (2D) systems launched by the Sasai group utilized NODAL- and WNT-pathway antagonists for derivation of general telencephalic fates from mouse PSCs. We later recapitulated this default neural induction mechanism in human PSCs and using the BMP antagonist NOG (Noggin), we isolated neural rosette-forming NSCs corresponding to early anterior radial glial-like NSCs. This method was substantially improved by adding TGFβ inhibition to BMP inhibition, becoming the widely accepted ‘Dual SMAD inhibition protocol’ (Dual SMAD-i) in human PSCs. We previously utilized this method to derive consecutive cortical NSC stages from human PSCs, but added a purification step by isolating NOTCH-active rosettes as a readout for cortical NSC identity. Additional studies employed combinations of TGFβ-, BMP- and WNT-pathway inhibition with or without FGF- or SHH-pathway modulation. Some of these studies combined WNT inhibition (WNT-i) with Dual SMAD-i. One study for example implicated the type of WNT-inhibitor in cortical neuronal subtype outcome, whereas another study combined WNT, FGF and NOTCH inhibition to induce rapid production of early cortical neurons. A third study suggested the addition of WNT-i on top of Dual SMAD-i only as an optional step. These studies exemplify the heterogeneity across approaches in recapitulating cortical development.

Parallel to 2D differentiation systems, there has been a rapid expansion in the development and utilization of cerebral organoid models, enabling three-dimensional (3D) in vivo-like views of fundamental neurodevelopmental features of corticogenesis in health and disease. Nonetheless, the methods used for generating cortical organoid fates are also highly variable, ranging from inhibitor-free conditions to Dual SMAD-i, TGFβ and WNT inhibition, and combined Dual SMAD-i and WNT-i (triple inhibition, Triple-i).
One striking landmark of the transition from 2D to 3D systems is the collective agreement that organoids self-form, express general neural/cortical marker genes and exhibit cyto-architectural features regardless of the derivation method used. However, different methods using diverse inhibitory arms could also lead to differential neural patterning trajectories\(^{46}\) and consequently to differential cellular identity composition. Furthermore, the lack of specific markers that unequivocally distinguish cortical from non-cortical cell populations, the use of late-appearing cortical markers as gold-standard probes to assess differentiation success and the fact that various methods are not run in parallel in the same study all further confound the validity of measured phenotypes. One recent study by Kriegstein and colleagues compared organoids derived by commonly used methods with in vivo cortical tissue datasets to highlight imperfections in recapitulating distinct developmental cellular identities in vitro regardless of the derivation method\(^{11}\). Together, these ideas raise the fundamental question of whether the general lack of standardization in the field adversely affects the interpretation of disease-model phenotypes and their implication in regenerative medicine.

We reasoned that heterogeneity within and among organoids reflects inefficient patterning of early organoid NSCs. We generated organoids and compared standard and more directed derivation methods side by side at the transcriptional, cellular and cyto-architectural level, with particular focus on the regional composition of NSCs. Through integration of bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) and single-cell RNA-Seq (scRNA-Seq) datasets of organoids derived using these methods together with published datasets obtained from human brain samples, we pinpointed major differences between NSC regional compositions across methods. Strikingly, we revealed that a short and early exposure to Triple-i inhibition both enriches for cortical NSC identity and suppresses non-cortical NSC fates. We further identified enrichment for outer radial glia (oRG) cells in these organoids. Finally, we show that this method facilitates a robust radial organization of NSCs—the cyto-architectural grounds for the formation of well-defined cortical germinal zones, that is, the ventricular, inner sub-ventricular and outer sub-ventricular zones (VZ, iSVZ and oSVZ, respectively)—and enables a more meaningful modeling of microcephaly model. These findings underscore the indispensable role of our method in establishing a solid molecular and cyto-architectural foundation of cortical NSCs that is required for building a rich cortical organoid cellular diversity and uncovering unique cortex-specific disease aetiologies.

**Results**

**Short Triple-i enriches organoid cortical identity.** To dissect the necessity of different inhibition variants used in currently published protocols for achieving cortical fates, we compared organoids generated using the standard inhibition-free protocol\(^ {22}\) (denoted as Inhibitor-free) with those generated by the WNT inhibitor XAV-939 alone (WNT-i) or the TGFβ and BMP inhibitors SB-431542 and NOG combined (Dual SMAD-i)\(^ {32}\) as controls as well as with organoids made by Triple-i inhibition as the most directed cortical differentiation paradigm\(^ {24,31}\) (see Extended Data Fig. 1a,b for a detailed schematic).

We employed bulk RNA-Seq of individual day 30 human embryonic stem cell (hESC)-derived organoids generated using these conditions as well as several organoids pooled and analysed together on days 17 and 30. Correspondence analysis confirmed that undifferentiated PSCs and day 17 and day 30 organoids segregated as separate clusters, reflecting transition from pluripotency to early and then later neural stages, whereas the day 30 samples segregated further according to the different inhibition paradigms (Fig. 1a).

We then correlated the transcriptional differences among organoids made using the various methods to regional biases using a comparative analysis with in vivo human brain development. We integrated our organoid transcriptional datasets with those of 16 fetal brain regions obtained from the Allen Human Brain Atlas study\(^ {35}\). To remain unbiased, we included the entire developmental range of 8–37 gestational weeks (Fig. 1b,c). This analysis showed that day 17 organoids co-clustered with week 8 brain tissues regardless of method, although regional specification was already present (Extended Data Fig. 1c). On the other hand, day 30 organoids clustered with forebrain/cortical embryonic samples, particularly of weeks 12–21, only if derived by Triple-i (Fig. 1b,c), whereas Dual SMAD-i organoids appeared proximal to the cerebellar embryonic samples and Inhibitor-free organoids were less associated with any of the in vivo developmental stages. This provided a strong indication that early exposure (days 2–11 in our protocol) to combined Dual SMAD-i and WNT-i is sufficient to promote forebrain/cortical specification, whereas methods lacking WNT-i are more compatible with posteriorization.

We extracted region-specific genes derived from Allen Human Brain Atlas samples across developmental weeks that had the strongest overlap with day 30 organoids and performed pairwise differential gene expression comparisons among these inhibition paradigms. This analysis confirmed that Triple-i significantly enriched for cortical markers when compared with Dual SMAD-i.
expressed in embryonic cortical samples and mid–hindbrain-specific genes upregulated in Dual SMAD-i organoids (15/185) were highly expressed in embryonic cerebellar samples (Fig. 1g).

We then investigated whether heterogeneity was in part due to differences between individual organoids generated under the same method. Individual Triple-i organoids exhibited a strong and
homogeneous cortical cell signature alongside an inconsistent subpallial signature (Fig. 1h and Extended Data Fig. 1d), whereas Dual SMAD-i organoids exhibited a weak cortical signature alongside a consistent non-cortical signature. Interestingly, the Inhibitor-free organoids exhibited sporadic expression of neocortical and posterior markers, providing an argument for the inconsistency of this method. Finally, the expression levels of medial pallium-fate marker genes were comparable under all methods, suggesting that the patterning of this conserved hippocampal originator originating in the cortical hem region is inherently resilient to pathway modulation.

Transcriptomic analysis of day 30 organoids derived from ZIP8K8 induced PSCs (iPSCs) revealed similar treatment-dependent regional signature patterns (Extended Data Fig. 1e). Furthermore, we also generated organoids derived under TGFβ and WNT inhibition alone. These organoids enriched well for cortical fates similar to Triple-i organoids, although they did not restrict posterior identity as firmly as those under Triple-i. These findings manifest the established instrumental role of BMP inhibition in the acquisition of anterior fates.

**NOTCH activity and radial organization hallmark cortical NSCs.** Our bulk RNA-Seq analyses suggest that organoids with cortical fates are highly variable between and within methods unless Triple-i is employed. We looked into the cyto-architectural dynamics of NSGs in growing organoids in search of differential readouts across methods. By employing the HESS::eGFP NOTCH activation hESC line that reports for NSC activity, it was highly evident that NSCs marked by NOTCH activation exhibit superior radial organization (rosette formation) capacity under Triple-i in 2D monolayer cultures (Extended Data Fig. 2a).

In organoids, radially organized regions reminiscent of VZ-like structures were observed under all treatments (Fig. 2a,b), in line with other studies. However, collective NOTCH activation signals throughout the entire organoid volume in multiple organoids revealed that organoids derived under Inhibitor-free and WNT-i conditions displayed low NOTCH activation signals (Fig. 2b) and low numbers of NOTCH-active rosettes were observed (Fig. 2c). On the other hand, although both Dual SMAD-i and Triple-i organoids yielded regions with enhanced NOTCH activation (Fig. 2a,b), NOTCH activation was more restricted to radially organized structures in the Triple-i organoids (Fig. 2a,c and Extended Data Fig. 2b). These results demonstrate that early pathway inhibition had a robust effect on shaping radial organization—an important cyto-architectural feature of cortical NSCs.

To verify that NSCs in radially organized regions are of cortical identity, we performed a series of immunostainings of FOXG1, PAX6 and EMX2 in HESS::eGFP hESC organoids. FOXG1 was only partially expressed under Inhibitor-free conditions and it was completely absent in Dual SMAD-i organoids within rosettes, reflecting the non-cortical bias induced by these methods, whereas Triple-i organoids exhibited widespread FOXG1 expression in radially organized regions (vesicle areas) together with NOTCH activation (Fig. 2d). PAX6 and EMX2 are expressed in the cortex rostrally and caudally with shared regions dorsally but they are also expressed in non-cortical regions in the forebrain. Accordingly, PAX6 and EMX2 expression was observed under all treatments (Fig. 2d), regardless of FOXG1 expression, implying both cortical and non-cortical identity. Only in Triple-i organoids and 2D monolayer cultures (Extended Data Fig. 2c) did both markers overlap to a large extent and coincide with the radial organization of NOTCH-active cells, linking rosette formation and dorsal cortical NSC identity.

The formation of these telencephalic VZ-like regions under Triple-i in hESC-derived organoids was further shown in human iPSCs. Rosette cells abundantly expressed FOXG1 in Triple-i iPSC organoids, whereas organoids generated through the other methods had few rosettes and lacked FOXG1 expression (Fig. 2e). The dorsal cortical marker EMX1 was observed throughout organoid vesicles in Triple-i organoids and co-localized with PAX6, together demarcating cortical VZ regions (Extended Data Fig. 2d). PAX6 and EMX2 as well as PAX6 and SP8, a rostrocaudal cortical marker, were widely co-expressed within rosettes only in Triple-i organoids (Fig. 2e).

Finally, using immunostaining we validated some of the region-specific genes enriched in each derivation method based on the bulk RNA-Seq analysis. Whereas the Triple-i-enriched cortical marker MEF2C was detected in both radially organized and neuronal regions, non-cortical markers enriched in methods other than Triple-i—that is, OLIG3, TCF7L2, LMX1A and TTR—were found to be more widely expressed in organoids generated by the Inhibitor-free and Dual SMAD-i methods (Fig. 2f).

**Derivation methods evoke distinct NSC regional signatures.** To further assess regional specification within NSCs, we employed scRNA-Seq on organoids from four iPSC lines. We analysed day 50 organoids to allow for the accumulation of diverse differentiated cell types (compared with day 30) and in particular to investigate whether cortical NSC identity was preserved long after inhibitor withdrawal. The single-cell transcriptomes exhibited a considerable overlap across all four iPSC lines (Fig. 3a,b), indicating the capability of all lines to give rise to similar cell types. However, whereas Triple-i cells distributed similarly irrespective of cell line, Dual SMAD-i and Inhibitor-free cells differentially partitioned depending
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![Fig. 3](https://example.com/fig3.png) **Fig. 3** | Triple-i treatment induces robust cortical identity and suppresses non-cortical fates across four iPSC lines. a, Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) generated from scRNA-Seq data of day 50 Triple-i organoids derived from FOK1, KUCG2, ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5 iPSC lines; Dual SMAD-i organoids derived from FOK1, KUCG2, ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5 iPSC lines; and Inhibitor-free organoids derived from ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5 iPSC lines (n = 4) (FOK1 and KUCG2) and 5 (ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5) organoids, pooled, from one replicate). The cells are coloured according to the respective iPSC line across all treatments. b, Cells from the individual iPSC lines were then plotted separately using the same UMAP embedding used in a. c, All day 50 organoid cells were plotted in the same UMAP embedding used in a and coloured according to the derivation protocol. d, Cells derived from Triple-i, Dual SMAD-i and Inhibitor-free derivation protocols were plotted using the same UMAP embedding used in a, separated according to the iPSC line as in b. e, Regional and cell-type annotations were then assigned to individual clusters (see Methods) and plotted on the same UMAP embedding. f, Heatmap of the relative expression values after z-score normalization of the average log-normalized expression values for each gene across annotated cell types after doublet removal for selected gene categories across all cells from a-d (bottom). The percentage of cells from each derivation protocol from all four iPSC lines are provided (top; pie charts). The pie charts are coloured in grey if fewer than ten cells from that iPSC line were assigned to the given cell type. The bar plots (top) display the total number of cells in each iPSC line assigned to the given cell type. g, Regional composition of all cells separated by cell line and derivation protocol. h, Regional composition of all NSCs separated by cell line and derivation protocol. i, Composition of all cortical cells separated by cell line and derivation protocol.
Fig. 4 | Triple-i organoids specifically enrich for oRG cells across four iPSC lines. a, UMAP of cortical cells derived from scRNA-Seq data of day 50 Triple-i, Dual SMAD-i, and Inhibitor-free organoids derived from FOK1, KUCG2, ZIP8K8, and ZIP13K5 cell lines. See the Fig. 3a caption for a detailed description of the samples. Each cell is coloured by its respective cluster and annotated according to cell type. b, Cells derived from the Triple-i, Dual SMAD-i, and Inhibitor-free protocols were plotted using the same UMAP embedding in a. c, Heatmap of the Pearson’s correlation coefficients (measured using average normalized expression levels of highly variable genes across all cells within a cluster) between the cortical clusters found in this study and the in vivo clusters found in the Bhaduri et al. study. RG, radial glia; vRG, ventral radial glia; tRG, truncated radial glia; oRG, outer radial glia; IPC, intermediate progenitor cells; OPC, oligodendrocyte progenitor cells; MGE, medial ganglionic eminence. d, Results of a differential gene expression analysis comparing all cortical NSCs from the Triple-i and Dual SMAD-i organoids from a. The log2-transformed fold-changes and adjusted P values from a t-test with overestimation of variance after Benjamini-Hochberg correction are shown (see Methods). Significantly differentially expressed oRG-specific marker genes derived from the Pollen et al. study are annotated and highlighted in blue. P = 0.0594 for gene-set enrichment analysis using a two-sided Fisher’s exact test of oRG genes within upregulated genes in Triple-i cortical NSCs. e, Heatmap showing the relative expression levels of all oRG-specific markers across cortical clusters in Triple-i organoids (middle). Significantly upregulated genes within a cluster are indicated with an asterisk. The percentage of cortical cells from Triple-i organoids in each cluster (left) and number of significantly upregulated genes per cluster (right) are shown. f, Heatmap and bar graph as in d after subsetting to cortical cells from Dual SMAD-i organoids. Sig. DE, significantly differentially expressed.

on cell line, indicating that Triple-i enforced a robust effect on endogenous signalling (Fig. 3c,d).

We then performed unsupervised clustering of all single-cell transcriptomes and identified a total of 45 clusters (Extended Data Fig. 3), which were then assigned to 18 cell types based on enrichments of known marker-gene expression (see Methods; Fig. 3e,f).

We found that the organoids generated by Triple-i exhibited consistent and robust cortical specification across all four cell lines (median, 60%) accompanied by a repression of posterior and PNS fates (median, 23%). In stark contrast, three of the four cell lines differentiated under Dual SMAD-i exhibited an overwhelming posterior central nervous system (CNS)/peripheral nervous system
Pollen and colleagues were enriched in the Triple-i-derived oRG-specific marker genes extracted from a study conducted by all cortical NSC populations derived from all methods showed that 29, 4 and 27 (Fig. 4c). A global differential expression analysis of highlighted several potential oRG populations, including clusters comparison of our cortical clusters (Fig. 4a,b) with the in vivo exhibited strong similarities with in vivo oRG populations. A next investigated whether oRG cells were preferentially enriched in Triple-i organoids. We first sought to identify clusters that strong enrichment of oRG-specific marker genes in the cortical (Fig. 4d). Strikingly, among all Triple-i cortical cells, we found the FOXG1 expression and consisted of NSCs expressing oRG markers (Fig. 6h). These dense regions strictly co-localized with bona fide oRG cells based on these markers. To conclude, these findings validate the enhanced oRG gene signature in Triple-i organoids detected in scRNA-Seq at the cyto-architectural level and further demonstrate the marked increase in the presence of oRG cells specifically within the iSVZ–oSVZ regions across four cell lines. Together, these findings highlight the ability of the Triple-i method to reproducibly generate an enriched NSC cortical identity that corresponds well with a marked diversification of germinal zone cells across different cell lines. Triple-i organoids reproduce cortical cellular diversity. We further investigated whether the oRG signature in Triple-i organoids persisted at a later stage of organoid development across three different cell lines. We first identified discrete regions of dense nuclei separated by areas consisting of low nuclei density (Fig. 6a; illustrated in Fig. 6b). These dense regions strictly co-localized with FOXG1 expression and consisted of NSCs expressing oRG markers (Fig. 6b,c and Extended Data Figs. 7,8). SOX2+ cells were present in these regions, both luminally within rosettes as well as basally interspersed along with TBR2+ IP cells and neurons, but were rarely present in Triple-i-derived organoids across all cell lines, as shown by scRNA-Seq. We next examined regions outside the VZ and found that across all four lines, Triple-i organoids had a higher number of TBR2+ intermediate progenitor (IP) cells that also formed sizeable SVZ-like structures (Fig. 5a,b,i). We evaluated the contribution of the derivation methods to oRG cells by looking at SOX2+ cells surrounding the VZ regions. We found that SOX2 and HOPX-expressing cells, potentially marking oRG NSCs, occurred at higher proportions in Triple-i organoids (Fig. 5b,d,f and Extended Data Fig. 6). Moreover, these oRG cells were enriched within the VZ regions demarcated by PTPRZ1—possibly signifying an oSVZ region (Fig. 5c,e)—whereas this region was poorly defined in Dual SMAD-i organoids. Similarly, we found a higher proportion of SOX2+ cells co-localizing with either LIFR or PTPRZ1, or LIFR and PTPRZ1 combined in these iSVZ–oSVZ regions in the Triple-i organoids compared with the Dual SMAD-i organoids (Fig. 5g). Notably, HOPX, PTPRZ1 and LIFR were also widely expressed in the VZ regions, further underscoring the necessity to include both spatial and molecular information to identify bona fide oRG cells based on these markers.

Finally, we utilized some of the established Pollen oRG markers to assess the spatial expression pattern of oRG cells surrounding radially organized VZ regions in day 50 Dual SMAD-i and Triple-i organoids across the four cell lines analysed by scRNA-Seq. We first assessed the cortical identity of the organoids by FOXG1 staining. For the Triple-i-derived organoids, all four cell lines expressed FOXG1 within and surrounding radially organized PAx6 and SOX2-expressing VZ regions (Extended Data Figs. 5 and 6). In contrast, FOXG1 expression in Dual SMAD-i organoids could only be observed for two cell lines (FOK1 and ZIP8K8; Extended Data Figs. 5 and 6). These findings validate the consistent cortical NSC identity in Triple-i organoids across all cell lines, as shown by scRNA-Seq. We next compared regional specification in organoids from this study with those obtained in a study conducted by Bhaduri and colleagues comparing stage-matched organoids generated side by side by various protocols and analysed them at the single-cell level. Merging of the datasets revealed a strong overlap of our Triple-i organoids with FOXG1+ populations across both studies (Extended Data Fig. 4a,b). We then annotated clusters with brain regions from the Bhaduri study and observed a strong correlation between corresponding cell types across studies (Extended Data Fig. 4c). Importantly, based on these annotations we revealed that across all protocols and lines in both studies, our Triple-i organoids exhibited both the highest and most consistent levels of cortical-fate induction, accompanied by the strongest repression of posterior CNS/PNS fates (Extended Data Fig. 4d,e), further emphasizing the superiority of the Triple-i method in generating robust cortical organoids.

Triple-i enriches for oRG cells demarcating oSVZ regions. We next investigated whether oRG cells were preferentially enriched in Triple-i organoids. We first sought to identify clusters that exhibited strong similarities with in vivo oRG populations. A comparison of our cortical clusters (Fig. 4a,b) with the in vivo populations derived from the scRNA-Seq data of Bhaduri et al. highlighted several potential oRG populations, including clusters 29, 4 and 27 (Fig. 4c). A global differential expression analysis of all cortical NSC populations derived from all methods showed that oRG-specific marker genes extracted from a study conducted by Pollen and colleagues were enriched in the Triple-i-derived cortical NSCs when compared with those derived from Dual SMAD-i (Fig. 4d). Strikingly, among all Triple-i cortical cells, we found the strongest enrichment of oRG-specific marker genes in the cortical NSC cluster 29 (Fig. 4c). This enrichment was not present in Dual SMAD-i cells (Fig. 4f). Together, these findings indicate the ability of the Triple-i protocol to specifically enable the emergence of oRG cells.
detected beyond these dense areas. This was in contrast to neurons, which were also found beyond these regions (Extended Data Figs. 7 and 8; see also Fig. 7a). This suggested that these dense-nuclei regions, which we termed cortical units, represented distinctive in vitro counterparts to the VZ and potential iSVZ–oSVZ germinal zones, whereas areas beyond these regions mirrored more cortical plate-like regions. We found that Triple-i-derived organoids across all three cell lines (ZIP13K5, ZIP8K8 and H9) assessed on day 80 were predominantly comprised of these cortical units. In contrast, only ZIP8K8 organoids produced cortical units under Dual SMAD-i (Extended Data Fig. 5b), whereas ZIP13K5 and H9 Dual SMAD-i organoids completely lacked or showed sporadic FOXG1 expression (Extended Data Figs. 7 and 8). These results show that cortical identity at later stages is associated with higher cyto-architectural organization of multiple autonomic cerebral structures developing from early rosettes.

We next assessed the added impact of WNT-i on Dual SMAD-i in reproducing oRG cells in late-stage organoids, particularly in ZIP8K8 organoids—the only cell line that could form cortical units under Dual SMAD-i. SOX2+ rosettes in Dual SMAD-i cortical units were variable in size, whereas they were predominantly uniform in size and thin in Triple-i cortical units (Fig. 6d), suggesting more developmental synchrony under Triple-i. Concordantly, whereas the iSVZ–oSVZ regions contained similar levels of SOX2+ cells across both treatments (Fig. 6e), Triple-i-derived cortical units contained higher levels of SOX2+ cells co-expressing PTPRZ1 and LIFR (Fig. 6f–g), suggesting an enhanced oRG specification. Together, these findings elucidate the ability of the Triple-i method to reproduce and maintain cortical identity and cortical NSC diversification across different cell lines at later stages of development.

The robust effect of Triple-i on the potency of NSCs within cortical units was further manifested by the widespread enrichment of upper- and deep-layer neurons. In ZIP8K8 organoids derived by Triple-i, the deep- and upper-layer neuronal markers CTIP2 and SATB2 were expressed at higher levels both separately and together, and more uniformly within and outside the cortical units, marking newly born migrating neurons in germinal zones as well as accumulated neurons in cortical plate-like regions (Fig. 7a). Co-expression of deep- and upper-layer markers has been observed in vivo in the maturing prefrontal cortex and thus may replicate in vivo development42. The expression patterns of these markers were also recapitulated in ZIP13K5 and H9 Triple-i organoids, whereas only sporadic expression of these markers was detected in ZIP13K5 and H9 Dual SMAD-i organoids, which also lacked FOXG1 expression.
Fig. 7 | Later-stage Triple-i organoids exhibit molecularly distinct upper- and deep-layer neurons. a. Immunostaining for TBR1 and CUX1, and CTIP2 and SATB2 in day 80 ZIP8K8 organoids (top left). Magnified views of the boxed regions in the main images are shown (top right). Immunostaining counts for TBR1 and CUX1 (bottom; Dual SMAD-i, n = 21 cortical units; and Triple-i, n = 34 cortical units; one replicate for both groups), and CTIP2 and SATB2 (Dual SMAD-i, n = 21 cortical units; and Triple-i, n = 29 cortical units; one replicate for both groups). The bars represent the mean. Scale bars, 200 μm. b. UMAP derived from scRNA-Seq data of day 80 ZIP13K5 Triple-i pooled organoids (n = 3 organoids pooled from one experiment), and ZIP8K8 individual Triple-i (n = 3) and Dual SMAD-i (n = 3) organoids. Cells are coloured according to the sample. c. Cell-type annotations using the same UMAP embedding in b. d. Cell-type compositions from each scRNA-Seq experiment. Experiments and cell-types are colour-coded as in b,c, respectively. e. Comparison of the proportion of cortical IP (top) and upper-layer neurons (bottom) in the scRNA-Seq experiments shown in b for Dual SMAD-i (n = 3 replicates) and Triple-i (n = 4 replicates) day 80 organoids. Boxes display the median and interquartile range (box boundaries) with whiskers extending to 1.5x the interquartile range. Statistical test, two-sided t-test; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.001. f. Heatmap displaying the relative expression values after z-score normalization of the average log-normalized expression values for each gene across cell types derived from all samples in b-d. g. RNA velocity stream plot for day 80 Dual SMAD-i and Triple-i organoids (top left) along with the RNA velocity estimates for CUX2 (bottom left). CUX2 RNA velocity estimates per cell type (right). h. Differential gene expression analysis comparing all cortical NSCs from all Triple-i ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5 organoids described in b (n = 4 replicates in total) with all ZIP8K8 Dual SMAD-i organoids described in b (n = 3 replicates in total), with selected oRG genes highlighted in blue. The log2-transformed fold-change and adjusted P values from a t-test with overestimation of variance after Benjamini–Hochberg correction are shown (see Methods). P = 1.2 × 10−10 for gene-set enrichment analysis using a two-sided Fisher’s exact test of oRG genes in Triple-i cortical NSCs. Sig., significant; DE, differential gene expression. Immunostaining counts for a are provided.

(Extended Data Figs. 7 and 8). The upper-layer neuronal marker CUX1 was present in Triple-i organoids within and outside cortical units among all lines (Fig. 7a and Extended Data Figs. 7,8), in agreement with its expression within the VZ and SVZ regions in the developing brain before its expression in the upper neuronal layers44. Although this marker was also expressed across all Dual SMAD-i organoids, it was not associated with the presence of cortical units or FOXG1 expression under this treatment. Interestingly, ZIP8K8 cortical units showed minimal difference in the expression of TBR1 across the two methods, reflecting a comparable accumulation of this early neuronal marker. Conversely, ZIP13K5 and H9 Dual SMAD-i-derived organoids lacked TBR1 expression in comparison to their Triple-i counterparts (Extended Data Figs. 7 and 8), in correlation with the lack of cortical units in these Dual SMAD-i organoids. Together, these findings show that the Triple-i method is capable of generating a more enhanced cortical neuronal diversification in comparison to Dual SMAD-i.

To further investigate the enhanced cortical neuronal diversification and oRG specification in Triple-i organoids, we performed scRNA-Seq of day 80 organoids in ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5 cell lines...
As determined through immunostaining, these organoids contained predominantly cortical cell populations (Fig. 7c). Both the Dual SMAD-i and Triple-i organoids exhibited a remarkable reproducibility with respect to their cellular composition across protocols and cell lines (Fig. 7d). In addition, Triple-i-derived organoids contained a significantly higher number of upper-layer neurons and significantly lower number of IP cells than their Dual SMAD-i counterparts (Fig. 7e), confirming our findings derived from immunostaining and suggesting a less differentiated stage in Dual SMAD-i organoids. Deep and upper cortical neuronal-layer-specific markers were enriched in distinct subpopulations, with NEUROD6, a marker for newly born cortical neurons, being more highly expressed in the upper-layer neurons and IP cells, suggesting a bias towards upper-layer neurogenesis (Fig. 7f). This was further supported by the expression of upper-layer neuronal markers in NSCs and IPs (Fig. 7f). Moreover, the spliced form of CUX2 was most abundant in the upper-layer neurons, whereas the rate of CUX2 gene transcription was similarly abundant in IP cells and upper-layer neurons, in line with its expression in the SVZ regions (Fig. 7g), suggesting a direct IP-to-upper-layer neuron transition. Finally, Triple-i cortical NSCs exhibited a pronounced upregulation of ORG-specific marker genes derived from Pollen et al. 10 when compared with Dual SMAD-i cortical NSCs (two-sided Fisher's exact test, \( P = 1.2 \times 10^{-18} \); Fig. 7h). When combined with immunostaining, these results argue that Triple-i organoids at this later stage of development not only exhibit a more pronounced upper-layer neurogenesis but also continue to enrich for ORG cell populations.

**Triple-i microcephaly organoids model cortex-specific phenotypes.** Our findings predict that iPSC-based cortical-disease modelling systems relying on diverse methods are projected to yield distinct disease phenotypes. To provide a proof-of-concept for this idea, we generated a homozygous microcephaly mutation in the same isoecnic HESS::eGFP reporter line used in this study. This mutation was generated by a guanine deletion at amino-acid position 1218 of the centriolar gene STIL, resulting in a truncated protein (Extended Data Fig. 9a,b) known to eventually cause autosomal recessive microcephaly in humans 96. We found that day 17 microcephaly organoids were significantly smaller than wild-type (WT) organoids when derived using the Triple-i method (Fig. 8a,b). In agreement with this, Triple-i microcephaly organoids showed substantial expression of the apoptotic marker activated CASP3 mainly surrounding NOTCH-active radially organized regions; this marker was nearly absent in Inhibitor-free and only sparsely present in Dual SMAD-i microcephaly organoids (Fig. 8c). These results together suggest that the cell loss potentially causing the smaller organoid size under Triple-i was of cortical identity. Integration of bulk RNA-Seq data of day 17 microcephaly organoids into the correspondence analysis plot in Fig. 1a shows that microcephaly samples cluster together regardless of method, similar to WT organoids, indicating that early disease phenotypes could not be well reflected at the global transcriptome level. On the other hand, day 30 microcephaly organoids clustered according to method (Fig. 1a), suggesting that regional specification dictated by protocols occurred in the presence of the STIL mutation. Interestingly, however, day 30 Triple-i microcephaly organoids clustered most distally, adjacent to Dual SMAD-i organoids, implying that microcephaly organoids derived by Triple-i exhibit reduced cortical identity and elevated posterior-fate acquisition. This was further substantiated by a decrease in the expression of cortex-specific genes, accompanied by an increase in the expression of mid–hindbrain genes in Triple-i microcephaly organoids (Fig. 8d). Moreover, this phenotype only occurred under Triple-i conditions, demonstrating that Triple-i is the preferential method to reveal specific loss of cortical identity in STIL-mutated microcephaly organoids.

In contrast, some phenotypes in microcephaly organoids were detected irrespective of the method, such as a decline in ventricular versus neuronal area ratios judged by SOX2 and DCX, respectively (Extended Data Fig. 9c), as well as the accumulation of dividing cells within apical ventricular linings (Extended Data Fig. 9d), implying increased neurogenesis and cell-cycle defects.

We further characterized apoptotic cells with respect to the derivation method in day 30 microcephaly organoids. Immunostaining for CASP3 on day 30 revealed that similar to day 20 organoids, cell death was predominant in Triple-i organoids (Fig. 8f,h). The Triple-i organoids showed cell death (Fig. 8h) mainly at vesicle peripheries, basally to SOX2+ NOTCH-active cells, with compromised radial organization, suggesting that cell death encompassed SVZ and neuronal areas. In contrast, cell death in Dual SMAD-i organoids occurred irrespective of NOTCH activation or radial organization (Fig. 8e,g). Immunostaining with DCX showed that basally located CASP3+ cells in Triple-i organoids overlapped with neuronal cells (Fig. 8h). Interestingly, these CASP3 areas in the Triple-i organoids strictly overlapped with a punctate DAPI pattern, indicating nuclear fragmentation, suggesting late-stage apoptosis of neuronal cells (Fig. 8h). Thus, together with our data on cortical specification under Triple-i, we conclude that combined inhibition is indispensable for meaningful modelling of microcephaly development.

**Discussion**

Methods for deriving cerebral organoids are highly diverse and give rise to immensely heterogeneous populations with respect to cortical identity. Despite this fact, comparative studies measuring the homogeneity of cortical fates are still exceptionally sparse. In this

---

**Fig. 8 | Differential phenotypic modelling of microcephaly organoids in the H9-derived HESS::eGFP hESC line by diverse pathway inhibition paradigms.** **a,** Merged bright-field images and their matched HESS::eGFP confocal images obtained from representative day 17 organoids derived from WT and microcephaly (MC)-mutant H9 hESCs (Inhibitor-free, \( n = 25 \) (WT) and 15 (MC) organoids); Dual SMAD-i and Triple-i, \( n = 24 \) (WT) and 16 (MC) organoids). Maximum intensity projections of HESS::eGFP confocal images obtained at 6-µm intervals across each organoid are shown. **b,** Organoid sizes of day 17 WT and MC organoids, obtained by measuring the areas of the collapsed maximum intensity projection images from a. Boxplots display the median and interquartile range (box boundaries) with whiskers extending to 1.5× the interquartile range (see a for the number of replicates). Statistical test, two-sided t-test; \( ^{*} P < 0.05 \) and NS, not significant. **c,** Combined HESS::eGFP expression and immunostaining images of the apoptotic marker CASP3 in day 20 WT and MC organoids (\( n = 1 \) biological replicate per protocol). Magnified views (×3) of the regions in the white boxes (Triple-i-derived organoids) are shown (last column of images). Number of CASP3+ in WT and MC organoids (right). **d,** Heatmap showing log2-transformed fold changes in the expression levels of regional genes, derived from Allen Human Brain Atlas samples, measured between pooled homozygous MC and WT organoids (\( n = 1 \) biological replicate per protocol). Genes are ordered according to the log2-transformed fold-change value of each gene set, obtained from bulk RNA-Seq, and the line displays the midpoint. **e,** Combined HESS::eGFP expression and immunostaining images of the apoptotic marker CASP3 together with SOX2 (middle) and DCX (right) from an adjacent slice in Dual SMAD-i WT and MC day 30 organoids (\( n = 1 \) biological replicate). **f,** Combined HESS::eGFP expression and immunostaining images of the apoptotic marker CASP3 together with SOX2 (middle) and DCX (right) from an adjacent slice in Triple-i WT and MC day 30 organoids (\( n = 1 \) biological replicate). **g,** The DAPI immunostaining corresponds to SOX2. **h,** Magnified (×4) views of the regions in the white boxes in e,f, respectively. Dashed lines encircle VZ areas in WT and MC organoids. **a,c,e-h,** Scale bars, 100 µm (a,c) and 200 µm (e-h). The organoid sizes for b and immunostaining counts for c are provided.
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study we postulate that such cell-type heterogeneity may arise due to inherent non-cortical fate contamination present in the starting population and have therefore placed particular emphasis to reveal differences among NSCs derived using the different methods.

By systematically comparing methods side by side with constant reference to human in vivo databases, probing a number of developmental stages and generalizing our findings in hESCs and human iPSCs, we demonstrated differences between derivation methods and their respective regional biases. By employing bulk RNA-Seq, scRNA-Seq, NSC reporter line and immunostaining, we provided evidence that a short and early pathway modulation in NSC starting populations through combined Dual SMAD and WNT inhibition is critical for establishing long-lasting NSC cortical specification. This careful analysis has revealed that organoids generated by combined inhibition exhibit a highly consistent cortical NSC identity independent of the iPSC line.

We further provided evidence that early establishment of cortical NSC identity in organoids is pivotal for the generation of a richer cellular diversity at later stages of development. Specifically, using scRNA-Seq and immunostaining, we showed that day 50 organoids derived by combined inhibition selectively enrich for oRG cells populating well-defined oSVZ regions surrounding VZ areas. These VZ and iSVZ–oSVZ regions further develop (day 80) into discrete cortical units, which under Triple-i are enriched with oRG cells as well as deep and upper-layer neurons.

Our work also couples early cortical NSC homogeneity with robust radial organization within organoids. We demonstrate that only when derived by combined inhibition, NSCs marked by NOTCH activation (HES5::eGFP expression) and co-localized with cortical markers exhibit a strong capacity to radially organize (form rosettes). Rosettes have been documented for more than two decades, previously considered as an early intermediate of neural induction from PSCs. In previous work, we isolated neural rosette-forming NSCs corresponding to early anterior neuroepithelial/radial glial-like cells from PSCs and in subsequent studies utilized NOTCH activation to prospectively isolate and characterize such neural rosettes as cortical VZ regions. This study further advances this idea, showing that robustly forming NOTCH-active cortical rosettes are the primary mechanical groundwork critical for the establishment of cortical cell diversity through the generation of cortical units mirroring cortical germinal zone and neuronal layer development.

Finally, our study shows that the meaningfulness of disease phenotypes in organoid models is highly dependent on the derivation method. This study reveals that only when derived by combined inhibition, microcephaly organoids exhibit a significant reduction in size, dramatic loss in cortical gene expression and massive apoptosis within rosette regions. The overwhelming cortical identity of these rosettes suggests that the phenotypes recorded are cortex-specific. Given that developing human brains affected by microcephaly are inaccessible, it is nearly impossible to determine whether the laminar occurrence of CASP3 is an in vivo phenotype. However, case report studies investigating more than 100 cases of post-natal microcephaly identified a disproportionally large cerebellum compared with the cerebral cortex in 45% of the cases, suggesting involvement of genes that affect cerebral growth more than that of the cerebellum. This is in agreement with the reduction of cortical and enrichment of hindbrain gene expression signatures in Triple-i organoids. Nonetheless, our data also show that other potential microcephaly phenotypes, such as increased differentiation and cell-cycle defects, can be observed in organoids generated by more than one method, implying that these phenotypes are either not cortex-specific or severe enough to be revealed in organoids with a lower cortical identity. Thus, a variety of derivation methods may be essential for assessing regionally specific pathophysiological aspects of microcephaly.

To conclude, the systematic comparison between different methods with respect to transcriptional profiles, cyto-architectural features and cell-fate acquisition has led to the identification of a short and early Dual SMAD-i and WNT-i method that substantially improves the limited cortical diversity in human organoids, thus recapitulating fundamental features of cortical development and offering a basis for organoid-based disease modelling.
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Methods

Ethics declaration. For all data acquired using H9 hESCs, the entire experimental part was conducted and performed at Tel Aviv University, Israel, where research with commercially available hESCs such as H9 is not defined as human research and thus does not require approval from the ethics committee. For all data acquired using ZIPk8 iPSCs, this work was overseen and approved by the ethics commission of the medical faculty of the Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel (project approval number A145/11).

Generation and use of pluripotent cell lines. The BAC transgenic HES::eGFP NOTCH-activation reporter line was derived from the WA-09, XX (H9) hESC line (Wieser). The microcephaly STIL HES::eGFP NOTCH-activation reporter line was generated in our laboratory for this study as follows. We used clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat–Cas9 genome editing to introduce a nonsense mutation at the STIL locus in the H9-derived HES::eGFP hESC reporter line. This microcephaly STIL mutation was introduced at amino acid position 1218 (designated in this study as MC) in which deletion reporter line. This nonsense mutation mimics the microcephaly mutation.

Matrigel-coated (0.5 mg ml−1) plastic dishes (Corning) six-well plates. The fibroblast medium was replaced with TeSR-E7 (Stemcell Technologies) 2 d post transfection and the cells were fed every other day with 2 ml TeSR-E7 per well. On day 7, the medium was changed to 100% N2/NB medium supplemented with 1% B27 without RA and further supplemented by daily addition of 10 ng ml−1 FGF2 (R&D). The cells were passaged weekly using dispase (as described earlier in the ‘Culturing undifferentiated PSCs’ section) until colonies detached from feeder cells (MEFs). Human ESC colonies were transferred in 1 ml dispase (4 U ml−1 dispase (Worthington) and then dissociated using accutase (Innovative Biotech Solutions, Inc.) 1:20 dilution (as described earlier in the ‘Culturing undifferentiated PSCs’ section) and subsequently dissociated and replated at high density (5 × 105 cells cm−2) on Matrigel-coated dishes (1:20; Corning) containing 10% fetal calf serum and the HDFs (2.5 × 104 cells cm−2) on Matrigel-coated dishes (1:20). On days 12, following which the cells were fixed, harvested for analysis or subjected to neural differentiation treatment and, on day 10–12, neuroepithelial colonies were first incubated with 1 ml dispase (4 U ml−1 dispase (Worthington)) and then dissociated using accutase enzyme was neutralized by washing twice with hESC medium (as described earlier in the ‘Culturing undifferentiated PSCs’ section) and for 2 d. On day 2, the medium was changed to 25% KSR medium plus 75% N2/ NB medium supplemented with 872 without RA and 10 µM ROCK inhibitor. In addition, the sEBs were either untreated (Inhibitor-free) or treated with 10 µM SB-431542 (Tocris) plus 250 ng ml−1 NOG (R&D; Dual SMAD-i), 3.3 µM XAV-939 (1:6,000 from a 20 mM stock; WNT-i) or all three in combination (Triple-i). The sEBs were plated on day 3 and plated on culture dishes pre-coated with 15 µg ml−1 polyornithine (Sigma), 1 µg ml−1 laminin and 1 µg ml−1 fibronectin (BD Biosciences). The medium and factors were supplemented as required, with the same composition as that for day 2, except for ROCK inhibitor, and the medium was left unchanged for the next 4 d. On day 7, the medium was changed to 100% N2/NB medium supplemented with 1% B27 without RA and further supplemented with 5 µM SB-431542, 125 ng ml−1 NOG and 3.3 µM WNT-i. On day 9, the medium was replaced, and the inhibitors were withdrawn and replaced by 100 ng ml−1 FGFR and 5 ng ml−1 BDNF (R&D). Rosettes were allowed to form until day 12, following which the cells were fixed, harvested for analysis or subjected to terminal differentiation. Neural induction and direct rosette formation could also be obtained by adherence on Matrigel-coated dishes as described in Edri et al., with the modifications defined for this study (such as addition of XAV-939 and so on). Briefly, hESC colonies were removed from MEFS with 6U ml−1 dispase and dissociated with accutase. The cells were then plated at subconfluent cell density (400–500 cells cm−2) on Matrigel-coated dishes (1:20) on Matrigel-coated dishes containing MEF-conditioned medium with 10 µM ROCK inhibitor and further supplemented by daily addition of 10 ng ml−1 FGF2 (R&D). Confluent cultures were subjected to the appropriate neural differentiation treatment and, on day 10–12, neuroepithelial (NE) cells were incubated with Ca2+ and Mg2+ free HBSS solution, followed by 2.5 mg ml−1 collagenase II, 2.5 mg ml−1 collagenase IV and 0.5 mg ml−1 DNase (all from Worthington) solution for 20 min at 37 °C. After incubation, the cells were scrapped from the plates and subsequently dissociated and replated at high density (5 × 105 cells cm−2) on Matrigel drops. Long-term propagation of cortical neural progenitors was performed weekly by manually picking rosettes, followed by re-plating on polyornithine, laminin and fibronectin-coated dishes and adding 100% N2/NB medium containing 1% B27 without RA plus either FGF8 and BDNF (until day 28) or 20 ng ml−1 of FGFR2, FGF8 and BDNF (R&D; from day 28 onwards). The same protocol was used for the human ZIPk8 iPSC line, except that undifferentiated cells were first treated with EDTA or trypLE (instead of dispase used for hESCs) and then dissociated using accutase. Single cells were then plated under the same differentiation conditions used for H9 hESCs.

Derivation and analysis of cerebral organoids. On day 0, HES::eGFP hESC colonies were first incubated with 1 ml dispase (4 U ml−1) for 7–10 min at 37 °C in an incubator until colonies detached from feeder cells (MEFS). Human ESC medium (as described earlier in the ‘Culturing undifferentiated PSCs’ section) was used to neutralize the dispase; the colonies were washed twice with hESC medium (as described earlier in the ‘Culturing undifferentiated PSCs’ section) and then dissociated using accutase. Single cells were obtained. The accutase enzyme was neutralized by washing twice with hESC medium and centrifugation at 270g for 5 min. Single cells were resuspended in 1 ml hESC medium containing maintaining FGF2 and ROCK inhibitor in a concentration of 9,000 cells per 150 µl for the

Culture of unspecialized PSCs. The H9-derived HES::eGFP hESC colonies were first incubated with 1 ml dispase (4 U ml−1) for 7–10 min at 37 °C in an incubator until colonies detached from feeder cells (MEFS). Human ESC medium (as described earlier in the ‘Culturing undifferentiated PSCs’ section) was used to neutralize the dispase; the colonies were washed twice with hESC medium (as described earlier in the ‘Culturing undifferentiated PSCs’ section) and then dissociated using accutase. Single cells were obtained. The accutase enzyme was neutralized by washing twice with hESC medium and centrifugation at 270g for 5 min. Single cells were resuspended in 1 ml hESC medium containing maintaining FGF2 and ROCK inhibitor in a concentration of 9,000 cells per 150 µl for the...
heatmaps, a pseudocount of one was added to the RPKM values, which were then normalized by bringing the corresponding inhibitor molecules. On day 6, the organoids were transferred into a low-attachment 24-well plate along with N2 neural induction medium (composition as described in the ‘Neural induction and rosette formation from hESCs’ section). Every alternate day, 300 µl medium was aspirated and replaced by an equal volume of fresh N2 medium along with factors until day 11. On day 11, the organoids (500–600 µm in size) were embedded in 30 µl Matrigel droplets and incubated for 30 min in the incubator, after which they were transferred into a six-well low-attachment plate containing N2/NB medium along with 1% B27 with RA using a sterile 1 ml pipette. On day 15, a change was made using the same medium from day 11. On day 15, the entire supernatant medium was removed and replaced with fresh medium containing N2/NB medium along with 1% B27 with RA; the organoid dishes were transferred onto an orbital shaker and the medium was changed daily. For long-term organoid culture, Matrigel (1%) was added directly to the culture medium and the medium was changed every 2 d. Organoids were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20–40 min (room temperature) depending on their culture age, and then cryoprotected and processed as described under the ‘Immunostaining and confocal imaging’ section.

A step-by-step protocol for the generation of cerebral organoids with enriched cortical cellular diversity is available at Protocol Exchange.

Preparation and sequencing of bulk RNA-seq libraries. For all H9- and ZIP8K8-derived organoids, RNA was purified using an miRNeasy RNA MiniPrep kit (Qiagen). RNA-seq libraries were generated for H9- and ZIP8K8-derived organoids (Dual SMAD-i (n = 1; five organoids, pooled), Inhibitor-free (n = 1; five organoids, pooled), WNT-i (n = 1; four organoids, pooled), TGFB and WNT-i (n = 1; four organoids, pooled) and Triple-i (n = 1; five organoids, pooled)) using Illumina TruSeq RNA library preparation kits and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer as 100-bp and 76-bp paired-end reads, respectively. For Triple-i ZIP8K8-derived organoids (n = 5; four organoids, pooled), RNA-seq libraries were generated using a NEBnext UltraDirectional RNA library preparation kit after ribosomal RNA depletion using a NEBNext rRNA depletion kit and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencer using 50 cycles of single-end sequencing.

Description of processed RNA-seq datasets of human brain transcriptomes. Gene expression data for different brain regions were retrieved from the BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain (http://human.brain-map.org/) based on an extensive RNA-seq study conducted by Sestan and colleagues. Of the samples collected for that study, for our analysis we utilized datasets obtained from 16 brain regions (dissected at weeks 8–37 of gestation), 11 of which were obtained from different neocortical regions and the remaining five were collected from the hippocampal primordia (future hippocampus), sub-cerebral regions including the diencephalon (future thalamic structures) and the sub-pallium (future striatum) as well as posterior brain regions (cerebellum). The file ‘RNA-seq Gencode v10 summarized to genes containing reads per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (RPKM) values (available at http://www.brainspan.org/static/download.html)’ was downloaded on 3 August 2017. A detailed description of the data processing procedures for generating the above file by the authors is available at http://help.brain-map.org/display/devhumanbrain/Documentation.

RNA-seq data processing and normalization for single and pooled organoids. For H9-derived organoids, raw RNA-seq reads were mapped to the human reference genome hg19 using STAR mapper version 2.6.1d and Gencode v19 gene annotations (https://www.gencodegenes.org/human/release_19.html). Read counts and FPKM values were then estimated using RSEM version 1.3.1 (ref. 57) with ‘fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads (FPKM) analysis’. The log-transformed fold-change values were then calculated from the averaged log-, transformed FPKM values after adding a pseudocount of one for each protocol. The fold changes of Allen brain regional genes (see the ‘Differential gene expression and gene-set enrichment analysis between organoids’ section for Allen brain regional gene set estimation) are shown in Extended Data Fig. 1e. The FPKM values are included in Supplementary Table 3.

Combined RNA-seq data analysis for organoid and human brain datasets. As raw RNA-seq datasets for human brain regions were accessible, comparative analysis of BrainSpan and cerebral organoid samples together was reprocessed to minimize the processing differences between both datasets. This was achieved first by reprocessing organoid datasets as described in the previous section for processing organoid datasets alone but with the HTSeq parameter -s changed to ‘no’. In addition, all values generated by merging both RPKM matrices were quantile normalized. To remove non-biological variations revealed by the correspondence analysis (see the next section), the function ComBat3 from the sva package was applied. ComBat uses an empirical Bayesian framework to adjust data for batch effects and other unmeasured sources of variation. ComBat-transformed RPKM values are shown in Supplementary Table 4.

Correspondence analysis. Correspondence analysis is a projection method that represents variables such as expression values of genes as vectors in a multidimensional space. Similar to principal component analysis (PCA), correspondence analysis also reveals principal axes of the investigated space. This allows projection of the data matrix into a low-dimensional subspace and hence visualization of the main variance in the data. Moreover, in contrast to PCA, correspondence analysis can simultaneously account for samples in a gene-dimensional space and genes in a sample-dimensional space, showing the information in a so-called biplot. The interpretation of correspondence analysis begins with the fact that one finds the principal axes (or group(s) in the direction of this sample (group)). The further away from the centre the genes lie, the more characteristic they are of the respective sample(s).

Both correspondence analyses from our study were conducted using the 10,000 genes with the highest expression variance across the investigated samples. In the combined analysis of brain and organoid samples, we first merged both RPKM matrices and projected the new matrix into the 3D subspace. The resulting correspondence analysis plot shows very clearly that the first principal axis accounts for the technical variation between both datasets (data not shown). Hence, to remove the observed bias we applied ComBat; the result of the final correspondence analysis of the ComBat-transformed data is shown in Fig. 1b.c.

Differential gene expression and gene-set enrichment analysis between organoids. From the Allen Human Brain Atlas dataset, we estimated markers for different brain regions during weeks 12–21 by comparing the log-, transformed fold change of the RPKM expression value for each regional sample compared with all other regions across weeks 12–21. Genes were defined as regionally specific if they had a log-transformed fold-change value of at least two when compared with the samples from all other regions, excluding striatal and amygdala samples, across all weeks. We furthermore filtered striatal- and amygdala-specific genes by removing genes with a log-transformed fold-change value of two in amygdala or striatal samples compared with all other regions across weeks 12–21. To determine differential gene expression across brain organoids derived using different protocols, we ran DeSeq2 (ref. 58) on the count data across three pairwise treatment comparisons—Triple-i versus Dual SMAD-i, Triple-i versus Inhibitor-free and Dual SMAD-i versus Inhibitor-free—using eight biological replicates of individual organoids from each protocol. A gene-set enrichment analysis59 was then run to determine the significance of enrichment of the regional specific gene sets in each of the three comparisons. Finally, we estimated the in vivo relative expression levels of protocol-specific and shared regional genes. First, the summed expression of genes from each regional gene set across region-specific BrainSpan samples (that is, for cortical genes, expression level across all cortical samples) from weeks 12–21 was estimated. Next, the average of these summed expression values was calculated for regional genes forming each category of protocol comparison (that is, protocol-specific regional genes that were consistently upregulated in each pairwise comparison and shared genes that were not significantly up- or downregulated in any pairwise comparison). Finally, a z-score was estimated from these averages across categories with a minimum of five genes and plotted in the Venn diagrams in Fig. 1d.

The DeSeq2 Correlation plot depicts a negative Correlation (Pearson coefficient = −0.5) under the accession number GSE189981. Regional gene sets are included in Supplementary Table 5.
Differential gene expression and pathway enrichment across microcephaly organoids. A differential gene expression analysis was conducted using DESeq2 on the raw-count data to compare day 17 and day 30 heterozygous and homozygous microcephaly pooled organoids versus wild-type organoids in the Triple-i, Dual SMAD-i and Inhibitor-free organoid groups. The log-transformed fold changes of Allen Human Brain Atlas regional genes in day 30 organoids derived from DESeq2 are shown in Fig. 4d. The DESeq2 analyses have been deposited at Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession number GSE189981.

**scRNA-Seq procedures.** Organoid dissociation. Day 50 organoids (n = 4 or 5 pooled organoids per sample) derived by Triple-i and Dual SMAD-i (from ZIP8K8, ZIP13K5, KUCG2 and FOK1 IPSC cell lines), and Inhibitor-free (from ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5 cell lines) treatments; individual day 80 organoids derived by Triple-i (ZIP8K8 cell line; n = 3 organoids) and Dual SMAD-i (ZIP8K8 cell line; n = 3 organoids) as well as pooled Triple-i organoids (ZIP13K5 cell line; one pooled experiment across n = 3 organoids) were dissociated into single cells using a papain dissociation kit (Worthington). The organoids were dissected into small pieces, incubated with papain and DNase I solution for 35–45 min, triturated and the cell suspension was filtered twice through 40-µm filter to obtain a single-cell suspension. The cells were centrifuged at 300g for 5 min, resuspended in Dulbecco’s phosphate buffer solution containing 0.4% BSA and counted for viability (≥80%).

Single-cell library preparation. Roughly 17,400 single live cells (1,000 cells µl−1) in Dulbecco’s phosphate buffer solution containing 0.4% BSA were used for gel bead-in-well (GBW) generation of a single cell library preparation according to the manufacturer’s recommendations for the 10X Chromium single cell 3’ reagent kit v3.1. For the day 50 organoids, nine cycles were used for complementary DNA amplification, whereas 12 cycles were performed for library construction. For the day 80 organoids, 11 cycles were used for cDNA amplification and library construction. The resulting libraries were sequenced using Illumina short read sequencing.

Processing and analysis of day 50 scRNA-Seq data. The scRNA-Seq data from day 50 organoids were processed using the Cell Ranger software version 3.1.0 (ref. 1). Reference genome hg38 and ensemble reference transcriptome version 93 (http://ftp.ensembl.org/pub/release-93/gtf/homo_sapiens/Homo_sapiens.GRCh38.93.gtf.gz). Cell barcodes that had at least 10,000 unique molecular identifiers (UMIs) or at least 40% mitochondrial UMIs were filtered from the downstream analyses. The raw-count matrices from single cells across all day 50 organoids were then loaded into scancer version 1.5.1. The count data were normalized such that each cell had a total count equal to the median of the total counts before normalization using scancer’s ppnormalize_total function. The natural logarithm of these normalized counts was then calculated after adding a pseudocount of one using the log1p function in numpy. The top 2,000 highly variable genes with a mean normalized expression value between 0.005 and 1.5 were then calculated using the pp.highly_variable_genes function in scanpy. The top 2,000 highly variable genes with a mean normalized expression value of at least 0.0125 were then estimated from the log-normalized expression data in our organoids and separately across the organoids in 1. We then subset to the union of highly variable genes across both datasets and applied a PCA to the data. A neighbourhood graph was constructed from the top 50 principal components using a batch-balanced k-nearest neighbour graph approach26 to account for batch differences across the studies. Finally, a UMAP embedding was estimated from the batch-corrected neighbourhood graph with the parameters min_dist = 0.1 and spread = 1. The UMAP is shown in Extended Data Fig. 4a, with cells coloured according to the derivation protocol, and the scaled expression values of FOXG1 are shown in Extended Data Fig. 4b. We then measured Pearson’s correlation between the average scaled expression levels across all cells within each of our organoid clusters and the Bhaduri organoid clusters after subsetting to the union of highly variable genes across both datasets. The Pearson’s correlation values are in Extended Data Fig. 4c. Individual clusters from the Bhaduri organoids were then assigned to specific regions based on the differential expression of well-established brain-region gene sets. Pearson’s correlations between our cortical organoid clusters and the Bhaduri in vitro clusters and are shown in Fig. 4c.

Differential gene expression of day 50 cortical cell types. We ran a differential gene expression analysis comparing dividing and non-dividing cortical NSCs (clusters 29, 4, 15, 19, 27 and 12) in Triple-i and Dual SMAD-i organoids. Genes were filtered if they were expressed in ≥ 1% of all cells or if they were expressed in ≤ 1% of cells. Differential expression was estimated using a t-test with scancy’s rank_genes_group function and the method t-test_overestim_var. Genes were annotated as significantly differentially expressed within a protocol if they had an absolute log2-transformed fold-change value of at least one and a q-value less than 0.05 after applying a Benjamini–Hochberg multiple hypothesis correction to the estimated P values. We then highlighted one RGC-specific genes from Pollen and Valenacci’s (66 genes in total) that were significantly differentially expressed in Fig. 4d.

Next, we ran a differential gene expression analysis comparing cortical clusters within Triple-i and Dual SMAD-i organoids separately. Differential expression was again estimated using a t-test with scancy’s rank_genes_group function and the method t-test_overestim_var. Only genes expressed in at least 2% of cells within at least one cluster were tested, and they were labelled as significantly upregulated if they had a log2-transformed fold-change of at least one and a q-value less than 0.05 after applying a Benjamini–Hochberg multiple hypothesis correction to the estimated P values.

Processing and analysis of day 80 scRNA-Seq data. The scRNA-Seq data from day 80 Triple-i and Dual SMAD-i organoids were processed using the Cell Ranger software (version 6.0.1) using the same reference genome as day 50 organoids. Cell barcodes that had at least 10,000 UMIs, at least 20% mitochondrial UMIs or at least 15% ribosomal UMIs were filtered from downstream analyses, which were conducted in scancer version 1.5.1. Similar to day 50 organoids, doublets were detected by running scrublet version 0.2.3 (ref. 1) on each sample separately with the input parameter expected_doublet_rate = 0.05 and applying a doublet score threshold of 0.2. All estimated doublets were removed from further downstream analyses. The count data were then normalised using the pp.normalize_total function in scancer. Only genes expressed in at least 15% ribosomal UMIs for each gene in each cell. We next used the UMAP method for community detection27 in scancer with resolution = 4. Doublets were detected by running scrublet version 0.2.3 (ref. 1) on each sample separately with the input parameter expected_doublet_rate = 0.05 and applying a doublet score threshold of 0.2. More than 40% of the cells in Cluster 40 were annotated as doublets by scrublet, whereas all other clusters contained 1–12 doublets. Therefore, all cells assigned as doublets using scrublet, along with all cells from cluster 40, were annotated as doublets and subsequently removed from further analyses and plotting. The remaining clusters were manually assigned to a cell type and region based on the differential expression of well-established marker genes. Metadata for all 96,454 cells is in Supplementary Table 6.

Differential expression of genes per cluster was estimated using a t-test with scancer’s rank_genes_group function and the method t-test_overestim_var. Genes were annotated as significantly differentially expressed within a cluster if they had a log2-transformed fold-change value of at least one and a q-value less than 0.05 after applying a Benjamini–Hochberg multiple hypothesis correction to the estimated P values. Clusters 24 and 31 were annotated as ‘unknown’ due to the lack of known differentially expressed marker genes in these clusters. Differential gene expression analysis for each cluster is in Supplementary Table 7.

Merging the day 50 organoid and Bhaduri scRNA-Seq datasets. We first subset cells collected at weeks 8 and 10 of in vitro development from Bhaduri et al.28 and then processed the scRNA-Seq data in a similar manner as described in their paper. The log-normalized count data were loaded into scancer. Batch, indicated in the metadata, was regressed out using scancy’s regress_out function. The expression was then scaled to have unit variance and zero mean, and values were truncated to a maximum value of ten. The log-transformed expression values for cells from our organoids were similarly scaled and truncated to a maximum value of ten. Genes with fewer than 30 total spliced counts and fewer than 30 total unspliced counts across all cells in the dataset were filtered, leaving 8,982 genes. The spliced and unspliced counts were normalized separately such that each cell had a total count equal to the median of total counts before normalization, after which a log1p transformation was performed. After this, the spliced and unspliced counts were merged into Python using the scvelo package version 0.1.24 (ref. 1). We then reprocessed these ensembled datasets as described in the main paper.
were averaged over the 30 nearest neighbours of each cell using the p.p.moments function in scvelo, in effect smoothing the data across the local neighbourhood of each cell. Finally, RNA velocity was estimated using the ‘deterministic’ mode with the scvelo.tl.velocity_graph and tl.velocity_embedding_stream functions in scvelo.

**Differential gene expression of day 80 cortical NSCs.** We ran a differential gene expression analysis comparing cortical NSCs in ZIP8K8 and ZIP13K5 Triple-i and ZIP8K8 Dual SMAD-i organoids. Genes were tested if they were expressed in at least 1% of all cortical NSCs from either protocol. Differential expression was estimated using a t-test with scannpy.rank_genes_group-function and the method t-test_overestim_var. Genes were annotated as significantly differentially expressed within a protocol if they had an absolute log2-transformed fold change of at least 0.1 and q-value less than 0.1 after applying a Benjamini–Hochberg multiple hypothesis correction to the estimated P values. We then ran a two-sided Fisher’s exact test to estimate the enrichment of oRG-specific genes derived from Pollen et al. among the upregulated genes in Triple-i (P = 0.2) and separately among the upregulated genes in Dual SMAD-i (P = 0.1).

**Immunostaining and confocal imaging.** Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.15% picric acid, and permeabilized and blocked with PBS containing 1% BSA, 1% PBS and 0.1% Triton X-100 solution. Organoids were rinsed, cryoprotected with 30% sucrose overnight and then submerged in optimal cutting temperature compound. Fixed cells or sectioned organoids (10-µm slices) were stained with the indicated primary antibodies (see the next section), followed by Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). Following staining, the cells were imaged in PBS and the organoid sections were mounted on Moviol (Sigma). Fluorescence images were obtained using an LSM710 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Micro Imaging). The confocal images were captured using x10 and x20 objectives (numerical aperture = 0.3 and 0.8, Plan-Apochromat, respectively). Fluorescence emissions resulting from Ar 488-, 543- and 633-nm laser lines for EGFP, CY3 and CY5, respectively, were detected using the laser scanning settings and filter sets supplied by the manufacturer. For DAPI detection in all images as well as GFP detection for organoid images, we used our mode-locked Ti-Sapphire, femto second pulsed, multimission laser (Chameleon Ultra II, Coherent, Inc.) at a wavelength of 720 and 920 nm, respectively. Epifluorescence and phase-contrast images were obtained using a Nikon Eclipse Ti-E microscope. Fluorescence emissions results from mercury arc lamp. Images were taken using x10 and x20 objectives. Images were generated and analysed using either the Zeiss ZEN 2011 (Carl Zeiss, Inc.) or NIS-elements (Nikon) software. All images were exported in TIF format and their colour levels were identically adjusted for each staining procedure.

**Antibody list.** The antibodies to EMX2 (ab94713; 1:30, FOXG1 (ab18259; 1:400), p-VIM (ab22651; 1:120), SOX2 (ab79351; 1:500), SATB2 (ab51502; 1:50), CTIP2 (ab18461; 1:100), PAX6 (ab458; 1:200) were from Abcam. The antibodies to OCT3/4 (sc5279; 1:22) and LIFR (sc-1533; 1:100) were from Santa Cruz. The antibody to Pax6 (supernatant, 1:22) was from DSHB. The antibodies to SOX1 (AF3369; 1:20), SOX2 (AF2018; 1:100) and OLIG3 (MAB3246; 1:450) were from R&D. The antibodies to NR2F1 (AEB1425; 1:500), DCR2 (AB2235; 1:500) and LMX1A (AB10533; 1:1000) were from Millipore. The antibodies to SP8 (HPA02742; 1:500), TTR (HPA054006; 1:50) and MEF2C (HPA005533; 1:100) were from Atlas Antibodies. The antibodies to ASC (cat. no. 9661; 1:500) and TCF7L2 (cat. no. 2569; 1:500) were from Cell Signaling. The antibody to TTR (AHP1837; 1:500) was from Bio-Rad. The antibodies to HPOX (HPA030180; 1:500), PTPRZ1 (HPA015103; 1:500) and EMX1 (HPA006421; 1:50) were from Sigma-Aldrich. Secondary Alexa Fluor antibodies 488, 546 and 647 (1:700) were obtained from Invitrogen.

**Quantitative PCR analysis.** RNA was extracted using an miNeasy kit (Qiagen), followed by transcription using a cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). The cDNA (4-6 ng) was subjected to quantitative PCR using our homemade designed primers (listed in ‘Primer set list’), FastStart universal SYBR Green (Roche) and ViiA-7cycler (ABI). Threshold cycle values were determined followed by transcription using a cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Differentiation Schematics, regional transcriptomic characterization of organoids derived by various methods, and iPSC line characterization. a. Schematic representation of 2D monolayer neural rosette differentiation protocol from human PSCs. b. Schematic showing generation of 3D cerebral organoids from human PSCs. Note that the factors were added to the culture from day 2 until day 10 of differentiation protocol. c. A heatmap representing expression values for selected genes categorized according to neural stem cell markers and additional groups of regional markers (sub-pallium, neocortex, medial pallium, diencephalon and midbrain-hindbrain) in pooled day 17 H9 hESC-derived organoids derived under indicated treatments (4 organoids pooled in each bulk RnA-Seq sample). Colour-coded scale represents relative expression levels of each gene (row) across treatments. d. A heatmap representing expression values for selected genes categorized according to neural stem cell markers and additional groups of regional markers (sub-pallium neocortex, medial pallium, diencephalon and midbrain-hindbrain) in individual day 30 H9 hESC-derived organoids derived under indicated treatments and separated by batch (n=8 individual organoids for each protocol collected in groups of four organoids per protocol in 2 batches). Colour-coded scale represents relative expression levels of each gene (row) across treatments. e. Violin plot of differentially expressed genes (|log2 fold change| ≥ 1) among ZIP8K8 iPSC derived pooled day 30 organoids (N=1 Dual SMAD-i, 5 organoids pooled; N=1 Inhibitor-free, 5 organoids pooled; N=1 WNT-i, 4 organoids pooled; N=1 TGFbeta/WNT-i, 4 organoids pooled; N=6 Triple-i, of which 5 organoids pooled (N=1) and 4 organoids pooled (N=5)) compared under indicated treatments.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Enhanced Notch activation and efficient radial organization co-localize with cortical markers in Triple-i derived monolayer cultures. 

a. Phase contrast (top) and HES5::eGFP (bottom) images of representative day 12 neural monolayer progenitors derived from small EBs (sEBs) subjected to neural induction under Inhibitor-free conditions (Inhibitor-free), WNT inhibition using XAV-939 (WNT-i), Dual SMAD inhibition using SB-431542 and NOG (Dual SMAD-i) and combined Dual SMAD and WNT inhibition (Triple-i) (representative images from N=3 biological replicates for each protocol). Scale bar: 50 µm. 

b. Merged bright field images and matched H9 HES5::eGFP confocal image taken from a representative day 17 organoid derived under Triple-i protocol (representative image from N=9 biological replicates). Shown is an individual image from the z-stack of the representative organoid shown in Fig. 2a. A radially organized Notch active region is outlined in the dashed line. Scale bar: 100 µm. 

c. Immunostaining of FOXG1 (top) and PAX6 and EMX2 (bottom) with respect to notch activation (HES5::eGFP) and radial organization in representative day 12 monolayer sEB protocol neural progenitors derived by indicated treatments (representative images from N=3 biological replicates for each protocol). The right image represents a magnified rosette from Triple-i derived progenitors. Scale bar: 50 µm. Cell counts and co-localization analysis of markers are presented in the right panel. See Source Data Extended Data Fig. 2 for immunostaining counts.

d. Immunostaining for cortical markers PAX6 and EMX1 in Triple-i derived organoids generated using ZIP8K8 hiPSC line (representative images from N=2 biological replicates). Bars represent mean. Cell counts were generated from 26 rosettes across 2 organoids. Scale bar: 200 µm. See Source Data Extended Data Fig. 2 for immunostaining counts.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Clustering of scRNA-Seq data obtained from day 50 organoids across four cell lines and three protocols. a. A UMAP derived from scRNA-Seq data of day 50 Triple-i organoids derived from FOK1 (N=1 experiment, 4 organoids pooled), KUCG2 (N=1 experiment, 4 organoids pooled), ZIP8K8 (N=1 experiment, 5 organoids pooled) and ZIP13K5 (N=1 experiment, 5 organoids pooled) iPSC lines, Dual SMAD-i organoids derived from FOK1 (N=1 experiment, 4 organoids pooled), KUCG2 (N=1 experiment, 4 organoids pooled), ZIP8K8 (N=1 experiment, 5 organoids pooled) and ZIP13K5 (N=1 experiment, 5 organoids pooled) iPSC lines, and Inhibitor-free organoids derived from ZIP8K8 (N=1 experiment, 5 organoids pooled) and ZIP13K5 (N=1 experiment, 5 organoids pooled) iPSC lines. Each cell is coloured by its corresponding cluster estimated using Louvain clustering approach (see Methods). b. The relative percent of estimated doublets from Scrublet\(^{\text{67}}\) is plotted for each cluster. c. Expression levels in each cell for selected marker genes is plotted using the same UMAP embedding from (a). d. Heatmap displays relative expression values after z-score normalization of average log-normalized expression values for each gene across clusters after doublet removal (see Methods) for selected genes categorized according to neural stem, neuronal, cycling, regional, and other cell type markers. Pie charts above each cell type display percentage of cells from each derivation protocol across all 4 iPSC lines. Pie charts are coloured with a grey colour if less than 10 cells from that iPSC line were assigned to the given cluster. Bar plots display the total number of cells within each iPSC line assigned to the given cluster.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Integrated analysis with public datasets highlights consistent production of cortical cell types and repression of posterior cell types in Triple-i organoids. 

**a.** A UMAP derived from scRNA-Seq data of day 50 Triple-i, Dual SMAD-i and Inhibitor-free organoids from this study after doublet removal (see Extended Data Fig. 3a for description of all scRNA-Seq samples) after integrating with all week 8 and 10 in-vitro cells across 3 derivation methods from Bhaduri et al. Each cell is coloured by its respective derivation method. 

**b.** The z-score normalized expression levels of FOXG1 across all cells was plotted using the same UMAP embedding from (a). 

**c.** Pearson correlation coefficients measured using average normalized expression levels of highly variable genes across all cells within a cluster between clusters found in this study and organoid clusters from weeks 8 and 10 found in Bhaduri et al. The bar plots above each column display the average expression levels across all cells within each Bhaduri et al. cluster of regional specific marker genes FOXG1 (cortical/subpallium), NEUROD6 (cortical neuron), DLX2 (subpallium), RSPO2 (hippocampal), TTR (choroid plexus), TCF7L2 (diencephalon), PAX3 (midbrain/hindbrain). 

**d.** The bar plot shows the median percentage of cells annotated as cortical across cell lines within each derivation protocol in both our scRNA-Seq dataset (Triple-i: N=4 experiments across 4 cell lines, Dual SMAD-i: N=4 experiments across 4 cell lines, Inhibitor-free: N=2 experiments across 2 cell lines) and Bhaduri et al. datasets (Pasca: N=3 experiments across 3 cell lines, Xiang: N=2 experiments across 2 cell lines, Sasai: N=4 experiments across 4 cell lines). Each dot shows the percentage of cortical cells within each cell line, and the intervals display the full range (minimum to maximum) of cortical percentages. Whiskers extend to minimum and maximum values when at least 3 samples present. 

**e.** The bar plot is the same as in (d) except shows the percentage of cells annotated as posterior/PNS across both datasets. Whiskers extend to minimum and maximum values when at least 3 samples present.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | FOXG1 expression in mid-stage and late-stage ZIP8K8-derived Dual SMAD-i and Triple-i organoids. a. Immunostainings of cortical markers FOXG1 and PAX6 along with DAPI in representative day 50 ZIP8K8 iPSC organoids (N=2 Triple-i; N=2 Dual SMAD-i). Scale bar:200 µm. b. Immunostainings of cortical markers FOXG1 and PAX6 along with DAPI in representative day 80 ZIP8K8 iPSC organoids (N=2 Triple-i; N=2 Dual SMAD-i). Scale bar:200 µm.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Immunostainings of day 50 organoids reveal robust generation of cortical cell types across multiple iPSC lines under Triple-i protocol. **a.** Immunostaining for cortical markers PAX6 and FOXG1, iSVZ/oSVZ markers TBR2 and HOPX along with SOX2, and oRG markers LIFR and PTPRZ1 along with SOX2 in day 50 Dual SMAD-i organoids (N=2) and day 50 Triple-i organoids (N=2) derived from the KUCG2 iPSC line. Scale bar: 200 μm. Note the lack of FOXG1 expression in both Dual SMAD-i organoids and prominent FOXG1+ rosettes in Triple-i organoids. **b.** Immunostaining for cortical markers PAX6 and FOXG1, iSVZ/oSVZ markers TBR2 and HOPX along with SOX2, and oRG markers LIFR and PTPRZ1 along with SOX2 in day 50 Dual SMAD-i organoids (N=2) and day 50 Triple-i organoids (N=2) derived from the ZIP13KS iPSC line. Scale bar: 200 μm. Note the lack of FOXG1 expression in both Dual SMAD-i organoids and widespread FOXG1+ rosettes in Triple-i organoids. **c.** Immunostaining for cortical markers PAX6 and FOXG1, iSVZ/oSVZ markers TBR2 and HOPX along with SOX2, and oRG markers LIFR and PTPRZ1 along with SOX2 in day 50 Dual SMAD-i organoids (N=2) and day 50 Triple-i organoids (N=2) derived from the FOK1 iPSC line. Scale bar: 200 μm. Note the presence of FOXG1 expression in both Dual SMAD-i and Triple-i organoids co-localizing with rosette structures.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Immunostainings of later stage ZIP13K5 iPSC derived organoids highlight the pervasive presence of cortical units in Triple-i organoids. Immunostaining for cortical markers PAX6 and FOXG1, iSVZ/oSVZ markers TBR2 and HOPX along with SOX2, oRG markers LIFR and PTPRZ1 along with SOX2, upper layer neuronal marker CUX1 along with deep layer neuronal marker TBR1, and upper layer neuronal marker SATB2 along with deep layer neuronal marker CTIP2 in day 80 Dual SMAD-i organoids (N=3) and day 80 Triple-i organoids (N=2) derived from the ZIP13K5 iPSC line. Scale bar: 200 µm. Zoom-ins of the bottom Triple-i organoid are shown in the bottom panel. Note the lack of FOXG1 expression across all three Dual SMAD-i organoids and the presence of cortical units covering both Triple-i organoids, with co-localization of SOX2 and oRG markers, as well as interspersed upper and deep layer neuronal gene expression.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Immunostainings of later stage H9 hESC derived organoids highlight the pervasive presence of cortical units in Triple-i organoids. Immunostaining for cortical markers PAX6 and FOXG1, NSC marker SOX2 along with IP marker TBR2, NSC marker SOX2 along with oRG markers LIFR and PTPRZ1, upper layer neuronal marker CUX1 along with deep layer neuronal marker TBR1, and upper layer neuronal marker SATB2 along with deep layer neuronal marker CTIP2 in day 75 Dual SMAD-i organoids (N=3) and day 75 Triple-i organoids (N=3) derived from the H9 hESC line. Scale bar: 200 µm. Zoom-ins of the top Triple-i organoid are shown in the bottom panel. Note the lack of FOXG1 expression in the Dual SMAD-i organoid and the presence of cortical units covering the entire Triple-i organoid, with co-localization of SOX2 and oRG markers, as well as interspersed upper and deep layer neuronal gene expression.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Microcephaly transcriptomic and cellular analyses. a. Description of the STIL protein including the STAn and KEn domains, as well as Microcephaly mutations. b. Normal and planned mutated nucleotide sequence adjacent to the region 183 corresponding to the 1218a.a (left). Genome edited sequencing results (right). The generated mutation is homozygous. c. Combined HESS:eGFP expression and immunostaining images for the neural progenitor marker SOX2 and the early neuronal marker DCX in representative day 20 WT and MC mutant organoids (representative images from N=3 biological replicates for WT and MC organoids) derived under Triple-i conditions. Four-fold magnifications of regions in left images are shown on the right. Quantification of SOX2 and DCX area proportions within vesicles obtained WT or MC organoids derived by indicated treatments and derived from N=3 organoids in each treatment. Scale bar:100 µm. Statistics: Stacked columns represent relative SOX2 (red) and DCX (blue) expression within selected areas from WT and mutant vesicles. Statistical test: two-sided t-test; *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001. See Source Data Extended Data Fig. 9 for immunostaining counts. d. Combined HESS:eGFP expression and immunostaining images for the dividing RG marker P-VIM along with their corresponding DAPI images in representative day 20 WT and MC mutant organoids (representative images from N=3 biological replicates for WT and MC organoids) derived under Triple-i conditions. Right: cell counts of luminal P-VIM in WT and MC organoids derived by indicated treatments are derived from N=3 organoids in each treatment. Whiskers extend to minimum and maximum values. Statistical test: two-sided t-test; *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; ****P<0.0001. See Source Data Extended Data Fig. 9 for immunostaining counts.
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**Sample size**

No sample-size calculations were performed a priori. Replicates of 8 individual organoids (larger than the recommended 3 replicates for differential expression analysis) across 3 protocols for bulk RNA sequencing provided a large sample size to detect significantly up and down regulated genes across each protocol. Analyses of scRNA-seq data involved thousands of cells per organoid derivation protocol, providing a robust sample size.

**Data exclusions**

Common scRNA-seq quality control metrics were applied to identify true cells (exclude potential doublets and low-quality cells). The exact thresholds were determined empirically using the density of all single cells to determine appropriate, dataset-specific thresholds. Estimated doublets using scrublet v0.2.3 were removed from downstream analyses of day 50 and day 80 organoids. No bulk RNA-Seq samples were excluded. Exclusion criteria were not pre-established.

**Replication**

Number of independent experiments are reported in figure legends.

**Randomization**

All samples were randomly picked in each experiment.

**Blinding**

Blinding was not relevant to this study, and thus not performed.
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### Antibodies

**Antibodies used**:

- Rabbit Cleaved Caspase 3 (Asp 175) [Cell Signaling, #9661, 1:500]
- Rabbit COUP-TF1 [Millipore, AB14125, 1:500]
- Rat CTIP2 [Abcam, ab18465, 1:250]
- Mouse [IgG1] CUX1/CASF [Abcam, ab54583, 1:200]
- Guinea Pig DCC [Millipore, AB2553, 1:500]
- Rabbit EMX2 (Sigma-Aldrich, HPA006421, 1:50)
- Rabbit FOXG1 [Abcam, ab94713, 1:50]
- Rabbit HOX1 [Abcam, ab18259, 1:400]
- Rabbit HOX5 [Sigma-Aldrich, HPA030180, 1:500]
- Mouse [IgG1] LIFR [Santa Cruz, sc-515337, 1:100]
- Rabbit LMX1A [Millipore, AB10533, 1:1000]
- Rabbit MIF2C [Atlas Antibodies, HPA005533, 1:100]
- Mouse OCT3/4 [Santa Cruz, sc5279, 1:22]
- Mouse [IgG1] OLG3 (R&D, MAB2456, 1:450)
- Mouse [IgG1] Pax6 (DSHB, supernatant, 1:22)
- Rabbit PTP921 (Sigma-Aldrich, HPA015103, 1:500)
- Mouse [IgG1] SATB2 [Abcam, ab51502, 1:50]
- Goat SOX1 (R&D, AF3369, 1:40)
Goat SOX2 (R&D, AF2018, 1:100)
Mouse SOX2 (Abcam, ab79351, 1:500)
Rabbit SP8 (Atlas Antibodies, HPA054006, 1:50)
Rabbit STMN2 (Novus Biologicals, NB149461, 1:1000)
Rabbit TRB1 (Abcam, ab31940, 1:500)
Rabbit TCF7L2 (Cell Signaling, #2569, 1:500)
Sheep TTR (Biorad, AHP1837, 1:500)
Mouse IgG2b VIMENTIN (phospho S55) (Abcam, ab22651, 1:120)

Secondary Antibodies:
All secondary Antibodies were diluted 1:700
Alexa Fluor donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) 488 (Invitrogen, A32790)
Alexa Fluor donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L) 546 (Invitrogen, A10506)
Alexa Fluor donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L) 546 (Invitrogen, A10206)
Alexa Fluor goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) 488 (Invitrogen, A21123)
Alexa Fluor goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) 546 (Invitrogen, A11010)
Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse IgG2b 546 (Invitrogen, A21143)
Alexa Fluor chicken anti-rat IgG (H+L) 647 (Invitrogen, A21472)
Alexa Fluor donkey anti-mouse IgG (H+L) 647 (Invitrogen, A132787)
Alexa Fluor donkey anti-goat IgG (H+L) 647 (Invitrogen, A21447)
Alexa Fluor donkey anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) 647 (Invitrogen, A31573)
Alexa Fluor donkey anti-sheep IgG (H+L) 647 (Invitrogen, A21448)
Alexa Fluor goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) 647 (Invitrogen, A21244)
Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse IgG2b 647 (Invitrogen, A21242)
Alexa Fluor goat anti-mouse IgG (H+L) 647 (Invitrogen, A21235)
Goat anti-guinea pig IgG (H+L) DyLight 650 (Abcam, ab103777)

Validation:
According to the manufacturer’s website and/or CiteAb (https://www.citeab.com/antibodies):
The rabbit Cleaved Caspase 3 (Asp 175) antibody [Cell Signaling, #9661, 1:500] is reactive to human rat, and mouse, and has been cited in 5672 publications.
The rabbit CUPP:TF1 antibody (Millipore, ABE1425, 1:500) is reactive to human and mouse, and has been cited in 4 publications.
The rat CTIP2 antibody (Abcam, ab18465, 1:250) is reactive to human and mouse, and has been cited in 567 publications.
The mouse IgG1 CUX1/CASP antibody (Abcam, ab35483, 1:200) is reactive to human, and has been cited in 19 publications.
The guinea Pig DCK antibody (Millipore, AB2253, 1:500) is reactive to human, rat, and mouse, and has been cited in 16 publications.
The rabbit EMX1 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, HPA060421, 1:50) is reactive to human and mouse, and has been cited in 9 publications.
The rabbit EMX2 antibody (Abcam, ab54733, 1:50) is reactive to human, rat and mouse, and has been cited in 57 publications.
The rabbit FOXG1 antibody (Abcam, ab17839, 1:400) is reactive to human, rat, and mouse, and has been cited in 88 publications.
The rabbit HOX5 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, HPA030180, 1:500) is reactive to human, and has been cited in 18 publications.
The mouse IgG1 LIF receptor antibody (Santa Cruz, sc-515337, 1:100) is reactive to human, rat, hamster, and mouse, and has been cited in 12 publications.
The rabbit LMX1A antibody (Millipore, AB10533, 1:1000) is reactive to human, rat, hamster and mouse, and has been cited in 46 publications.
The rabbit MEF2C antibody (Atlas Antibodies, HPA005533, 1:100) is reactive to human, and has been cited in 5 publications.
The mouse OCT3/4 antibody (Santa Cruz, sc5279, 1:22) is reactive to human, rat, and mouse, and has been cited in 2,167 publications.
The mouse IgG1 OLG3 antibody (R&D, MA92456, 1:500) is reactive to human and mouse, and has been cited in 3 publications.
The mouse IgG1 PAX6 antibody (255H, supernatant, 1:22) is reactive to human, rat, mouse, and has been cited in 67 publications.
The rabbit PTTP21 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, HPA015103, 1:500) is reactive to human, and has been cited in 16 publications.
The mouse IgG1 SATB2 antibody (Abcam, ab51502, 1:50) is reactive to human and mouse, and has been cited in 206 publications.
The goat SOX1 antibody (R&D, AF3369, 1:40) is reactive to human, rat, and mouse, and has been cited in 44 publications.
The goat SOX2 antibody (R&D, AF3218, 1:100) is reactive to human, rat, and mouse, and has been cited in 130 publications.
The mouse SOX2 antibody (Abcam, ab79351, 1:500) is reactive to human and mouse, and has been cited in 52 publications.
The rabbit SP8 antibody (Atlas Antibodies, HPA054006, 1:500) is reactive to human, and has been cited in 1 publication.
The rabbit STMN2 antibody (Novus Biologicals, NB149461, 1:1000) is reactive to human, rat and mouse, and has been cited in 41 publications.
The rabbit TRB1 antibody (Abcam, ab31940, 1:500) is reactive to human, rat, and mouse, and has been cited in 342 publications.
The rabbit TCF7L2 antibody (Cell Signaling, #2569, 1:500) is reactive to human, and has been cited in 105 publications.
The sheep TTR antibody (Biorad, AHP1837, 1:500) is reactive to human, and has been cited in 5 publications.
The mouse IgG2b VIMENTIN antibody (phospho S55) (Abcam, ab22651, 1:120) is reactive to human, mouse and rat, and has been cited in 44 publications.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines

Cell line source(s) The BAC transgenic HESS::xGFP Notch activation human ES cell reporter line has been derived from the WA-09, XX [H9] human ES cell (hESC) line (Wicel). The ZIP8K8 iPSC line (ZIP gGmbH) was derived from Human dermal fibroblast (HDF) cells and obtained from Franz-Josef Muller at University Hospital Schleswig Holstein, Kiel. The human fibroblast derived iPSC line ZIP13K5 was obtained from Franz-Josef Muller at University Hospital Schleswig Holstein, Kiel. The human PBMC derived iPSC line FOK1 was received from Michael Ziller from the Max Planck Institute for Psychiatry in Munich. The human fibroblast derived iPSC line KUG2 was ordered from EBI_SC.

Authentication The pluripotency of ZIP8K8 iPSC line was assessed by a five-day differentiation in to mesoderm and endoderm lineages using
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Methodology

Sample preparation
Single cells were generated by treating the hPSC line with accutase enzyme, then the cells were blocked using blocking reagent (similar reagent used in immunofluorescence staining), then cell suspension was incubated with respective antibody (concentration were used as mentioned by the provider) and the cell suspension was analyzed with respectively unstained population.

Instrument
FACS Aria II

Software
FlowJo

Cell population abundance
No cell sorting was performed.

Gating strategy
Cells were first gated by Forward and Side Scatter to exclude debris and doublets. Then, target cell population were gated by SSEA4 and TRA 1-60. Isotype controls were used to define background and non-specific signal.
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