High-output heart failure due to subclavian vessel fistula as a late complication following implantation of a biventricular pacemaker
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Introduction

Biventricular pacing for cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) is a well-established treatment option for patients with inter- and/or intraventricular conduction delay with QRS width of more than 120 ms, systolic heart failure with ejection fraction (EF) <35%, and persistent symptoms of NYHA class II and higher despite optimal medical treatment. While some patients experience a large benefit from CRT, others (so-called “nonresponders”) do not. Factors that favor a beneficial response to CRT include QRS width of >150 ms and left bundle branch block morphology, resulting in a class IA recommendation for CRT in these patients according to current guidelines.1

We present the case of a patient who met all the above criteria for a class IA indication to CRT but, following an initial improvement of NYHA class, deteriorated owing to an uncommon late complication of CRT implantation.

Case report

We report the case of a 74-year-old patient with ischemic cardiomyopathy due to extended 3-vessel disease with repetitive coronary interventions. Left ventricular function remained moderately impaired with EF 30%–35% despite optimal heart failure medication. As electrocardiography demonstrated left bundle branch block morphology, a decision was made to implantation of a biventricular pacemaker/defibrillator device was taken in 2011. However, implantation of the coronary sinus lead via the left subclavian vein initially failed, leaving the patient with right-sided atrial and ventricular leads only. Because daily symptoms remained severe with exertional dyspnea NYHA class III, a second attempt for coronary sinus lead implantation was conducted in 2013 and succeeded without apparent complications. Two months later, both exercise tolerance and left ventricular function had significantly improved to NYHA II and EF 44%, respectively.

In 2015, the patient reported a drastic decrease in exercise tolerance within a week, with dyspnea after a few steps of walking. Pacemaker control at that time revealed 99% biventricular pacing. Echocardiography demonstrated a diffuse deterioration of left ventricular function with an EF of 26%, and physical examination revealed a new left-sided continuous bruit, as well as a thrill in the middle subclavian region. Computed tomography demonstrated a large fistula between the left subclavian artery and the subclavian vein with a connecting orifice of 6 mm (Video 1, available online, and Figure 1). The shunt volume was estimated by duplex sonography to approximately 2 L/min (Figure 2). We hypothesized that the deterioration of left ventricular function and exercise tolerance was attributable to the volume overload associated with the shunt. Implantation of a covered stent graft (Be-Graft 8/27 mm) via the femoral artery was performed (Video 2, available online, and Figure 3). Both postinterventional angiography and duplex sonography demonstrated no residual shunting. The patient experienced a significant improvement of subjective functional capacity and was able to climb a flight of stairs within a few days of the intervention.

A regular follow-up for reevaluation of left ventricular function was scheduled at 3 months. Unfortunately, the patient experienced non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction requiring stenting of the right coronary artery 2 weeks before scheduled follow-up. Echocardiography was
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Discussion

Arteriovenous fistulas (AVF) are infrequent complications in patients requiring cardiac pacemakers and are reported mostly following pacemaker lead extraction, rather than implantation. In this setting, Cronin et al\textsuperscript{2} reported AVFs in only 8 out of 2471 patients (0.3\%) that underwent pacemaker lead extraction. In only 1 of these cases, the AVF was located at the subclavian vessels. Surprisingly, most cases of AVF in this study were recognized not during the procedure, but only up to 587 days later. As in our case, the presence of a continuous bruit over the anterior chest was a consistent finding.

The etiology of AVF development following lead extraction or, as in our case, lead implantation remains poorly understood. Direct trauma to the artery owing to inadvertent puncture and subsequent local arteriovenous shunting preventing closure of the puncture site might play an important role in the development of AVF early following the intervention. Because Cronin et al reported a delayed diagnosis of AVF following lead explantation in most cases, we presume that AVF size increases over time until the shunt volume is large enough to produce a significant bruit or hemodynamic symptoms. Following lead implantation, local compression to the vessel wall might contribute to late \textit{de novo} formation of AVF, but clinical data to support this hypothesis are unavailable.

The hemodynamic consequences of AVF are mostly studied in the context of AVF that were placed for hemodialysis. In these patients, central upper arm AVF were associated with almost 2-fold higher flow rates compared with peripheral forearm fistulas.\textsuperscript{3} As a consequence, invasive hemodynamic measurement revealed increased cardiac output in patients with upper arm fistulas.\textsuperscript{3}

Key Teaching Points

- Procedure-related complications can lead to deterioration of otherwise stable heart failure in cardiac resynchronization therapy patients and should be actively sought for.
- High-flow arteriovenous fistulas can present as a late complication several years following pacemaker implantation.
- A thorough physical examination including auscultation of the pacemaker-sided periclavicular area can help to diagnose arteriovenous fistulas.
Although the general concept of high-output heart failure owing to increased AVF shunt volumes is well recognized, large controlled studies on this topic are scarce. Basile et al\(^4\) found that a cutoff shunt volume of 2 L/min was predictive for the development of high-output heart failure. Although their calculations were based on a very limited number of patients in a subgroup with NYHA class III symptoms, it was considered a landmark study when published in 2008. More recently, an independent group also reported a higher risk for heart failure with shunt volumes of 2 L/min or more.\(^5\) As a consequence, a shunt volume of 2 L/min or more is recommended for diagnosis of high-output heart failure.\(^6\)

Of note, these recommendations refer to patients without a history of heart failure for other reasons.

Our patient presented with a calculated shunt flow of 2.1 L/min. Taking into account his underlying heart disease and severe symptoms despite otherwise optimal medical treatment, the decision was taken for an interventional approach to close the AVF by covered stenting. His functional status improved significantly over the following days, demonstrating that closure of high-flow AVF should be evaluated in this patient subset.

**Appendix**

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary data are available in the online version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hrcr.2016.06.005
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