5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor stimulation and blockade: a therapeutic paradox about memory formation and amnesia
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Overview

Mammalian memory involves multiple brain areas and neurotransmitter systems; both at the receptor and post-receptor level (Meneses, 2014a,b). Investigations of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) involvement in memory (Meneses, 2013) have been significantly enhanced by the identification, classification, and cloning of multiple receptors (Hoyer et al., 1994, 2002) and studies at the post-receptor level (Raymond et al., 2001). Emergent investigation of 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor is growing but contradictory. Hence, major current questions include (1) the paradox that 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor agonists and antagonists in behavioral memory tasks are revealing promnesic and antiamnesic effects; (2) 5-HT<sub>7</sub> and 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptors interaction (and other 5-HT receptors), and neural markers associated to these cognitive processes and individual differences. In this work recent data are briefly revised.

5-HT<sub>7</sub> Receptor Stimulation

Freret et al. (2014) reported that with a 2-h delay, (post-training) administration of the 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor antagonist SB-269970 (3 and 10 mg/kg, sc) impaired the discrimination of the novel object; but with a 4-h delay, while control mice were not able to discriminate the novel object, mice treated with the agonist 5-carboxamidotryptamine (5-CT; displaying affinity for several receptors including 5-HT<sub>7</sub>; Hoyer et al., 1994) showed a significant discrimination. This promnesic effect was blocked by SB-269970, but not by WAY-100135 or GR-127935 (5-HT<sub>1A</sub> or 5-HT<sub>1B/1D</sub> receptor antagonists, respectively; Hoyer et al., 1994). Freret et al. (2014) conclude that 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor tonically modulates cognitive processes involved in consolidation performances in object recognition and therefore, it could be a promising target to treat memory dysfunctions (especially episodically related deficits) or pathological aging. Notably, Stahl (2010) suggests that 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor (blockade) as a novel therapeutic target for antidepressant and pro-cognitive effects. Nikiforuk et al. (2013) also conclude that antagonism of 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor may represent a useful pharmacological approach in the treatment of cognitive deficits and some negative schizophrenia symptoms. Also, Tajiri et al. (2012) and Gasbarri and Pompili (2014) propose that the 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor is a rational target for the treatment of psychiatric disorders. Certainly, more research is necessary about 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor, its functional complexity in memory formation and abnormal memory as well timing of drug administration (McGaugh, 1989; Monleón et al., 2008).

Pre-training elevation of 5-HT by the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) fluoxetine had no effect by itself, but facilitated passive avoidance when combined with the 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor antagonist NAD-299 and this facilitation was blocked by SB-269970 (Eriksson et al., 2012). Likewise Eriksson et al. (2012) reported that a reduced activation of the 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor was resulting in enhanced stimulation of the 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor but 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor agonists LP-44 or AS19 failed to facilitate passive avoidance performance; and according with these authors LP-44 and AS19 have low efficacy to stimulate protein phosphorylation of 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor-activated signaling cascades. Notably, in the mutant mouse brain (lacking pituitary adenylate cyclase, an experimental mouse model for psychiatric disorders) 5-HT<sub>7</sub> protein expression did not differ from wild-type mice but in primary embryonic hippocampal neurons AS-19 increased neurite length and number (Tajiri et al., 2012). Likewise, LP-211 and 8-OHDPAT affect neurites in embryonic cultures (Speranza et al., 2013). Certainly, Eriksson et al. (2012) propose that retention (in passive avoidance) is mediated through hippocampal 5-HT<sub>1A</sub> receptor activation, while the 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor appears to facilitate memory processes in a broader cortico-limbic network and not the hippocampus alone. Notably, LP-211 rescued diverse defective performances, including memory in the novelty preference task as well as the abnormal activation of PAK and coflin (key regulators of actin cytoskeleton dynamics) and of the ribosomal protein (rp) S6, whose reduced activation in MECP2 mutant neurons is responsible for the altered protein translational control (De Filippis et al., 2014). De Filippis et al. (2014) indicate that pharmacological targeting of 5-HT<sub>7</sub> receptor improves specific behavioral and molecular manifestations and these data are a first step toward the validation of an innovative systemic treatment to disorders associated with intellectual disability.

Importantly, either AS-19 or LP-211 or the dual 5-HT<sub>1A/7</sub> receptor agonist, 8-OHDPAT, facilitated memory consolidation in an associative memory task and increased cAMP production (Pérez-Garcia et al., 2006; Meneses, 2013; Meneses et al., 2014) which were reversed...
by SB-269970. Certainly, an association between memory and cAMP production exists (Kandel, 2001; Izquierdo et al., 2006). It should be noted however that memory formation in an autoshaping learning (Pérez-Garcia and Meneses, 2008) showed that cAMP production was decreased by 8-OHDPAT if memory was improving but the opposite occurred in absence of memory. In autoshaping task, trained animals are food-restricted (at 85% of ad-libitum), receiving one autoshaping training and are tested at 1.5h for short-term memory (STM) and at 24 and 48h for consolidation of long-term memory (LTM) (Meneses, 2013). Why 8-OHDPAT improved memory (consolidation, 48h) and increased cAMP in cortex and hippocampus (Manuel-Apolinar and Meneses, 2004) or decremented (Pérez-Garcia and Meneses, 2008) hippocampal cAMP production? Importantly, the new 5-HT7 receptor antagonist LP-211 did not affect STM; nonetheless, at 0.5 and 1.0 mg/kg it improved LTM. The 5-HT7 receptor antagonist SB-269970 (10.0 mg/kg) alone had not effect but it reversed the LP-211 (1.0 mg/kg) LTM facilitation. The scopolamine (0.2 mg/kg) induced-decrement in CR was accompanied by significant increased cAMP production. Scopolamine-induced amnesia and increments in cAMP are significantly but not completely reversed by LP-211 (Meneses et al., 2014). Hence, prefrontal cortex, cAMP production and improved memory formation seem to be associated; however, this association is complex and dependent on the basal level (e.g., prevalent expression of either of 5-HT1A or 5-HT7 receptors). For instance, the time-course (0–120 h) of autoshaped responses revealed progressive performance and mRNA 5-HT1A or 5-HT7 receptors are monotonically augmented or declined in prefrontal cortex, hippocampus and raphe nuclei, respectively (Pérez-Garcia and Meneses, 2009). Moreover, 5-HT1A receptor is increased, whereas 5-HT7 receptor levels are decreased by aging (Saroja et al., 2014). Also, they showed significant correlation with the time spent in target quadrant; hence according with Saroja et al. (2014) these are two key parameter of memory retrieval which in turn unambiguously links the serotonergic receptor system to spatial memory performance.

5-HT7 RECEPTOR BLOCKADE: COGNITIVE DEMAND OR MEMORY IMPAIRED

Gasharri et al. (2008) showed that SB-269970 improved memory, decreasing the number of errors in test phase and, thus, affecting reference memory, while no effects in working memory; postulating that 5-HT7 receptor blockade had pro-cognitive effect, when the learning task implicated a high degree of difficulty. In addition, the 5-HT7 receptor antagonists, SB-269970 or DR 4004 alone had no effect but reversed amnesia induced by scopolamine and dizocilpine (Meneses, 2004). Hence, 5-HT7 receptor antagonism plays an important role under poor memory or when the learning or memory is complex.

5-HT7 BLOCKADE AND PROMISCUOUS AFFINITY

In recent time, it has become evident that 5-HT7 blockade and drugs displaying promiscuous affinity have interesting effects. For instance, lurasidone (affinity for several receptors including 5-HT7) and the selective 5-HT7 receptor antagonist, SB-656104-A improved learning and memory deficits by dizocilpine (or MK-801), in the rat passive avoidance test, and AS-19 (3 mg/kg) completely blocked the attenuating effects of lurasidone (3 mg/kg); AS-19 (1–10 mg/kg) pre-training administration had no effects (Horisawa et al., 2013). SB-269970 (30 but not 10 mg/kg) pre-training administration produces both anti-psychotic-like (amphetamine- or phencyclidine-induced hyperactivity tests) and pro-cognitive (novel object discrimination test) activity in preclinical animal models (Waters et al., 2012); these authors conclude that SB-269970 is more a potent inverse agonist than SB-258741, which might be a potential explanation for the conflicting profiles in vivo (for references see Waters et al., 2012). Importantly, Huang et al. (2014) reported that 5-HT1A and 5-HT7 receptors contribute to lurasidone-induced dopamine efflux, concluding that, at least partially, 5-HT1A agonist and 5-HT7 antagonist properties may contribute to reversing schizophrenia-like effects. Chronic stress impaired performance on the extra-dimensional (ED) set-shifting stage of the frontal-dependent attentional set-shifting task and amisulpride (3 mg/kg) before testing reversed this restraint-induced cognitive inflexibility and improved ED performance of the unstressed control group (Nikiforuk and Popik, 2013). AS-19 (10 mg/kg) pre-training alone had no effect but abolished the pro-cognitive efficacy of amisulpride (Nikiforuk and Popik, 2013). Nikiforuk et al. (2013) also reported that acute administration of SB-269970 (1 mg/kg) or amisulpride (3 mg/kg) ameliorated ketamine-induced cognitive inflexibility and novel object recognition deficit in rats; both compounds were also effective in attenuating ketamine-evoked disruption of social interactions. In contrast, neither SB-269970 nor amisulpride affected ketamine-disrupted prepulse inhibition; ketamine is a glutamatergic antagonist (Neill et al., 2010). Importantly, in contrast to the negative regulatory effects of long-term activation of 5-HT7 receptors on NMDA receptor signaling (in vitro) acute activation of 5-HT7 receptors promotes NMDA receptor activity (Vasefi et al., 2013). Hence, these findings highlight the potential for temporally differential regulation of NMDA receptors by the 5-HT7 receptor. While some inconsistencies might be related to the opposite action exerted by 5-HT1A and 5-HT7 receptors over cAMP production (Renner et al., 2012; Meneses, 2014a,b); certainly, in different protocols of training/testing (memory consolidation vs. STM and LTM), various brain areas and neurotransmission systems interaction might be also implicated.

5-HT1A AND 5-HT7 RECEPTORS

The functional significance of 5-HT1A and 5-HT7 receptors dimerization has been revised (Matthys et al., 2011; Gellynck et al., 2013; Herrick-Davis, 2013); indicating that it differentially regulates receptor signaling and trafficking (Renner et al., 2012). But still we do not know the implications of this in memory formation and amnesic conditions; hence, the study of signaling associated to 5-HT7 receptor in memory formation, amnesia and forgetting might provide significant insights (Meneses, 2014a,b). For instance, while the association of 5-HT7...
receptor stimulation, improved memory and increased cAMP seems to be reliable findings; certainly factors such as differential regulation of hippocampal expression as well as individual differences might be important (see Meneses, 2013). In addition, a biphasic and brain-region selective down-regulation of cAMP concentrations is observed supporting object recognition in the rat (Hotte et al., 2012). Wang et al. (2013) have noted that while it is relatively well established that cAMP signaling is involved in the mediation of memory, the reports on its role to date are inconsistent. One hypothesis is that overactive cAMP signaling impairs working memory in the aged prefrontal cortex (PFC) or activation of the cAMP signaling in the frontal cortex is necessary for working memory; the explanations for this discrepancy may include: (i) activation of cAMP signaling within the PFC and an inverted U-shape dose-response on working memory and memory optimum range of cAMP rather than an overmuch or scanty production; (ii) the continuous and dynamic updating of cAMP levels occurs at the different time-course of memory formation; (iii) cAMP activation might be beneficial for working memory under conditions that require hippocampal–PFC interactions (Wang et al., 2013). Given the complexity of cAMP-dependent responses, hence studies of brain areas and individual differences remain to be reported at both behavioral and cellular levels (see Wang et al., 2013).

Evidence exists of individual differences regarding memory (Ballaz et al., 2007a,b; Fitzpatrick et al., 2013; Flagelet et al., 2014) and forgetting (Tellez et al., 2012); hence, it seems reasonable to suggest that in autoshaping (or sign-tracking; see Meneses, 2003) the increment (Manuel-Apolinar and Meneses, 2004) or decrement (Pérez-Garcia and Meneses, 2008) CAMP production might be involving individual differences (e.g., Meneses, 2014a,b). Notably, individual variation in the magnitude and influence of cue reactivity over behavior in humans and animals suggest that cue-reactive individuals may be at greater risk for the progression to addiction and/or relapse (Anastasio et al., 2014).

Also, an important implication is that 5-HT$_7$ (and/or 5-HT$_{1A}$) receptors stimulation increased or decreased cAMP production (e.g., Hoyer et al., 1994) and improved memory (Meneses et al., 2014). Likewise, evidence indicates that 5-HT$_7$ splice variants constitutively activate adenylyl cyclase (Leopoldo et al., 2011). It should be crucial to confirm if memory formation, amnesia, or forgetting by themselves and/or plus drugs modify adenylyl cyclase.

Hence, (1) memory requires restricted or selective cAMP production (Pérez-Garcia and Meneses, 2008; Meneses, 2013); (2) a major and consistent emerging finding is that 5-HT$_7$ receptor stimulation seems to facilitate memory formation and reverse memory impairment; (3) expression of 5-HT$_7$ (and 5-HT$_{1A}$) receptors are accompanying memory; (4) the 5-HT$_7$ antagonism alone had no effect but reversed memory deficits. Notably, a combination of neural and cognitive processes may contribute an early and specific marker of disorders associated to dysfunctional cognitive skills or memory in psychiatric disorders (Millan et al., 2012), including Alzheimer’s disease progression (Ibanez and Parra, 2014).

CONCLUSIONS

Behavioral and molecular studies may be particularly insightful and timely in view of the apparently contradictory notion that either 5-HT$_{1A}$ or 5-HT$_7$ receptor agonists or antagonists are useful in the treatment of learning and memory disorders. Also, the distinction about normal memory or impaired memory, timing of drug administration and individual differences are providing important insights about 5-HT$_{1A}$ or 5-HT$_7$ receptors stimulation and blockade.
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