Divergent Evolution of a Protein–Protein Interaction Revealed through Ancestral Sequence Reconstruction and Resurrection
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Abstract

The postsynaptic density extends across the postsynaptic dendritic spine with discs large (DLG) as the most abundant scaffolding protein. DLG dynamically alters the structure of the postsynaptic density, thus controlling the function and distribution of specific receptors at the synapse. DLG contains three PDZ domains and one important interaction governing postsynaptic architecture is that between the PDZ3 domain from DLG and a protein called cysteine-rich interactor of PDZ3 (CRIP1). However, little is known regarding functional evolution of the PDZ3:CRIP1 interaction. Here, we subjected PDZ3 and CRIP1 to ancestral sequence reconstruction, resurrection, and biophysical experiments. We show that the PDZ3:CRIP1 interaction is an ancient interaction, which was likely present in the last common ancestor of Eukaryotes, and that high affinity is maintained in most extant animal phyla. However, affinity is low in nematodes and that the PDZ3:CRIPT interaction is an ancient interaction, which was likely present in the last common ancestor of bilaterians can suddenly be subject to dynamic evolution including possible loss of function.
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Introduction

The postsynaptic density extends across the postsynaptic dendritic spine and is composed of receptors, signaling enzymes, cytoskeletal structural elements, and cytoplasmic scaffolding proteins. A major role of the postsynaptic density is to stabilize and anchor glutamate receptors such as AMPA and NMDA. Proteins from the discs large (DLG) family such as postsynaptic density protein-95 (PSD-95), also called DLG4, are involved in different protein–protein interactions in the postsynaptic density. Here, they control molecular organization and regulate synaptic strength by altering the function and distribution of AMPA receptors at the synapse (Chen et al. 2011). DLG4 contains five folded domains: Postsynaptic density-95/discs large/Zonula occludens (PDZ)1, PDZ2, PDZ3, Src homology 3 (SH3), and guanylate kinase like (GK). DLG4 is one of four paralogs in vertebrates together with SAP97 (DLG1), PSD-93 (DLG2), and SAP102 (DLG3), respectively. These four proteins arose as a result of two consecutive whole-genome duplications in the vertebrate lineage ~440 Ma (McLysaght et al. 2002; Putnam et al. 2008). The amino acid sequences of the three PDZ domains found in each of these proteins are well conserved. In fact, the identity and similarity are high also for PDZ domains in the corresponding orthologous proteins in evolutionarily distantly related animals such as Drosophila melanogaster and the fresh-water polyp Hydra vulgaris (fig. 1A).

The PDZ domain fold is ancient and based on sequence similarity it can be traced in animals as well as in fungi and plants (Emes and Grant 2011), which contain a permuted variant (Ivarsson et al. 2008). Within the animal kingdom, there are examples of genomes containing hundreds of distinct PDZ domains, showing that its function as a protein–protein interaction module has been under positive selection following gene or genome duplications. This abundance of PDZ domains in the animal proteome is likely facilitated by its structural architecture allowing easy integration into other proteins (Harris and Lim 2001). PDZ domains consist of 80–100 residues and have a compact globular fold usually containing five to six antiparallel β strands and two α helices. However, DLG PDZ3 contains a third α helix at the C-terminus (α3) (fig. 1C).

Protein ligands of PDZ domains usually bind via their respective intrinsically disordered C-terminus to a binding groove in the PDZ domain. This groove is shaped by a conserved motif in the β1,β2 loop (GLGF or variants of it), the β2 strand and the α2 helix. In most cases, the C-terminus of the protein ligand arranges as an antiparallel β strand in the groove together with the β1 strand (fig. 1C). The part of the protein ligand that binds to the PDZ domain is called the PDZ-binding motif (PBM). Affinity is primarily dictated by the protein ligand residues denoted P−2 and P−6, where P−6 is the C-terminal residue and P−2 the third residue from the C-terminus (fig. 1D). Early studies (Songyang et al. 1997;
Stricker et al. 1997) led to classification of PBMs as type I (T/S-X-Φ-COOH), type II (Φ-X-Φ-COOH), or type III (D/E-X-Φ-COOH), based on the nature of the residues at P$_2$ and P$_0$. However, the classification of PDZ domains only depending on two residues of the binding partner is an over simplification. Not only is the specificity of PDZ–ligand interactions usually dependent on more than P$_2$ and P$_0$ residues of the ligand (Ernst et al. 2014) but also several properties of the PDZ domains themselves modulate binding, for example, residues outside of the binding groove (Ye et al. 2018) and extensions to the canonical PDZ domain such as α in DLG PDZ3 (Zeng et al. 2016).

One protein ligand for DLG PDZ3 is cysteine-rich interactor of PDZ3 (CRIPT) (Niethammer et al. 1998). This is an interaction that is well studied both from a structural and biophysical point of view, since it was the first structure solved of a PDZ domain with peptide ligand (Doyle et al. 1996). Full affinity of CRIPT ($K_d$ in the low μM range for the Homo sapiens CRIP-DLG4 PDZ3 interaction) is obtained with the six last amino acid residues (Saro et al. 2007; Gianni et al. 2011; Toto et al. 2016). CRIPT has a neurological function and is required for DLG to promote dendrite growth by AMPA activation (Beique et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2008, 2017). However, certain animals including the model organisms Dr. melanogaster (where DLG was first identified) and Caenorhabditis elegans have CRIPT proteins that lack the classical PBM (fig. 1B and supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online). In Dr. melanogaster, DLG is involved in a range of processes, including synaptic clustering of Shaker potassium channels (Tejedor et al. 1997), junction structure, cell polarity, and localization of membrane proteins. Recent work shows that CRIPT clusters next to DLG in the synapse, thereby promoting dendrite growth (Zhang et al. 2017). Furthermore, it was reported from knock down experiments that CRIPT is essential for DLG dependent dendrite growth (Zhang et al. 2017). Thus, there is some ambiguity whether CRIPT from, for example, Dr. melanogaster, binds PDZ3 domains when it lacks the classical PBM and whether the biological action of DLG and CRIPT is partially independent of the PDZ3:CRIP interaction (Zhang et al. 2017).
Evolutionary biochemistry, that is, the combination of phylogenetic reconstruction of ancestral sequences with expression and experimental characterization of the ancient proteins with different methods is a powerful approach for understanding protein function (Hochberg and Thornton 2017), including protein–protein interactions (Hultqvist et al. 2017; Jemth et al. 2018; Wheeler et al. 2018). To better understand the evolution and hence function of the PDZ3:CRIP interaction, we reconstructed sequences of ancestral phylogenetic tree-matched variants of PDZ3 and CRIP and compared their binding affinity and stability to PDZ3 and CRIP from seven extant species (fig. 2). We show that the PDZ3:CRIP interaction has evolved such that the affinity has been maintained or slightly increased in most extant animal phyla (chordates, hemichordates, mollusks, and cnidarians), whereas affinity seems to be lost among most nematodes and insects. Our findings raise two questions: Is CRIP the natural ligand for DLG PDZ3, and is control of AMPA and NMDA receptors independent of the PDZ3:CRIP interaction, at least in nematodes and insects?

Results

Reconstruction of Ancestral Sequences

Protein sequences for DLG family members (including DLG4 and its vertebrate paralogs DLG1, DLG2, and DLG3) and CRIP proteins were recovered from the NCBI database (NCBI Resource Coordinators) (NCBI Resource Coordinators). The final set of sequences for ancestral reconstruction consisted of 309 unique sequences of CRIP from 498 different species and 249 unique sequences of DLG family PDZ3 domains from 324 different species (supplementary figs. 1 and 2, Supplementary Material online). The number of different species exceeded the number of unique sequences due to 100% sequence identity between several closely related species. Although all of the PDZ3 sequences were from Metazoan (animal) species, CRIP sequences also included other kingdoms since homologous proteins with high sequence similarity were found in both fungi and plants. Within the animal kingdom, the similarity between homologous sequences of both DLG PDZ3 and CRIP was very high (supplementary figs. 1 and 2, Supplementary Material online). Availability and quality of sequences were variable so the widest most representative data set was constructed using search methods, sequence alignment, filtering, and sorting using Python programing and manual curation. A good multiple sequence alignment is key for a reliable ancestral sequence reconstruction (Viale et al. 2018). The high sequence identity and similarity for both PDZ3 (86.2% and 93.6%, respectively) and CRIP (82% and 73%, respectively, for the C-terminal six residues) and, importantly, absence of insertions and deletions provided a high-quality alignment. Moreover, the high sequence similarity yielded high posterior probabilities (>0.8) for the maximum likelihood estimate (ML) of reconstructed ancestral sequences for most reconstructed positions: 94–97% in PDZ3 (except Hexapoda ancestor, 83%) and five or six out of six residues in the PBM of CRIP (except Deuterostomia CRIP, four out of six) (supplementary data excel files 1 and 2, Supplementary Material online). We were able to reconstruct PDZ3 from five historical time points, namely from the common ancestors of all extant bilaterians, deuterostomes, protostomes, and hexapods (insects), respectively, as well as from the common ancestor of all extant vertebrates containing four DLG paralogs (figs. 1A and 2). The PDZ3 from the latter ancestor is denoted 1R PDZ3, since the four DLG paralogs arose as a result of two consecutive whole-genome duplications called 1R and 2R, respectively (McLysaght et al. 2002; Putnam et al. 2008). The C-terminus, that is, the PBM of CRIP was reconstructed at six historical time points, namely from the common ancestors of all extant euakaryotes, bilaterians, deuterostomes, protostomes, vertebrates (1R), and hexapods, respectively (figs. 1B and 2 and supplementary fig. 3, Supplementary Material online). In addition to the ancestral ones, we expressed and characterized DLG PDZ3 and CRIP variants from the following extant species representing different distantly related animal groups: The nonbilaterian animal Hy. vulgaris (a cnidarian), Octopus bimaculoides (California two-spot octopus, a mollusk, and protostome), Loa loa (eye worm—an nematode or roundworm causing the disease loiasis, protostome), Dendroctonus ponderosae (mountain pine beetle, an insect, protostome), Dr. melanogaster (fruit fly, insect, protostome), Saccoglossus kowalevskii (acorn worm, a hemichordate, deuterostome), and Ho. sapiens (representing chordates, deuterostome) (figs. 1A and B and supplementary fig. 3, Supplementary Material online). We use “native interaction” to denote interactions between tree-matched or species-matched PDZ3:CRIP interactions. Based on sequence alignment and structure prediction, it appears that all ancestral and extant DLG PDZ3 domains have a similar structure with the usual PDZ fold consisting of six β strands and two α helices, but also including a third C-terminal α helix (z3), present in the crystal structures of human DLG4 PDZ3 (Doyle et al. 1996) (supplementary fig. 4, Supplementary Material online). During revision, we received comments on our preprint that RAXML is not optimized for ancestral sequence reconstruction. We therefore repeated the reconstruction of both PDZ3 and CRIP with two other software, RAxML-NG and PAML, respectively, and with two different trees (CommonTree and OpenTree). Overall, the results were consistent (supplementary data excel file 2, Supplementary Material online), but in particular one reconstructed PDZ3 variant (the deuterostome ancestor) differed from that predicted by RAxML. The new ML variant predicted by PAML was therefore expressed, purified, and subjected to the same experiments as the other PDZ3 variants described below. The PAML variant of deuterostome PDZ3 was found to exhibit close to identical properties as that predicted by RAxML (supplementary fig. 5, Supplementary Material online).

Evolution of PDZ3:CRIP Interaction

From the sequence alignment and phylogeny of the C-terminal residues in CRIP (supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online), we can follow the evolution of the PBM (fig. 2 and supplementary fig. 3, Supplementary Material online).
Among viridiplantae, plants and mosses show a striking and complete conservation of a type 1 motif (YKQSNV), whereas green algae have a polar residue at position $P_0$. The majority of animal phyla including vertebrates, annelids, and mollusks (except Euthyneura, i.e., snails and slugs) have CRIPT with a classical type 1 PBM. However, fungi and arthropods (including insects, spiders, and crustaceans) appear to lack a PBM, although there are a few exceptions. Interestingly, CRIPT from the cnidarian *Hy. vulgaris* ends with a Cys (fig. 1B).

In accordance with the alignment and phylogeny, CRIPT from the last common ancestor of all extant Eukaryotes probably contained a classical type 1 PBM (YKQSSV) (fig. 2 and supplementary fig. 3, Supplementary Material online). A type 1 PBM was likely also present in the ancestor of all animals but has since mutated in distinct animal phyla and orders.

Clearly, the ancestor of bilaterian animals contained a type 1 PBM and so did the ancestors of the two major bilaterian groups, protostomes and deuterostomes. However, the last common ancestor of all extant Eukaryotes probably contained a classical type 1 PBM.

---

**Fig. 2.** Evolution of DLG PDZ3 and the PDZ-binding motif in CRIPT. Simplified tree of organismal groups used for ancestral sequence reconstructions of CRIPT and PDZ3. The nodes in the phylogenetic tree are highlighted in the color code used throughout the article for extant and reconstructed ancestral CRIPT and PDZ3 domain variants. Evolution of CRIPT C-terminal-binding motif is highlighted by red (type I: T/S–X–Φ) to gray (undefined motif). Ancestral sequence reconstructions of CRIPT and PDZ3, respectively, were performed based on multiple sequence alignments and a species tree (CommonTree and OpenTree). In the reconstruction, 309 unique sequences of CRIPT from 498 different species and 249 unique sequences of PDZ3 from the DLG protein family from 324 different species were included.

---
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common ancestor of today’s arthropods likely carried a mutated PBM in CRIPT, ending with Ala or Thr, instead of the canonical Val residue.

One intriguing notion is that the C-terminal residues Pₘ₋₅ to Pₚ₋₅ are highly conserved even among CRIPTs with Ala or Thr at position P₀. This raises the question whether CRIPTs without a canonical type 1 PBM still retain binding to their native DLG PDZ3? To address this question, PDZ3 and CRIPT from seven extant and five ancestral species were subjected to binding experiments using ITC and stopped-flow spectroscopy (figs. 3 and 4).
The binding of *H. sapiens* CRIP to *H. sapiens* DLG4 PDZ3 is well characterized (Doyle et al. 1996; Niethammer et al. 1998; Saro et al. 2007; Gianni et al. 2011; Toto et al. 2016), and we report a similar binding affinity (0.40 μM) as previous studies (figs. 3 and 5; tables 1 and 2). Furthermore, PDZ3 from *Hy. vulgaris* (with a C-terminal Cys residue), *O. bimaculoides*, *S. kowalevski*, and the common ancestors of bilaterian animals, protostomes, deuterostomes, and vertebrates (1R), respectively, were all found to bind to their tree-matched native CRIP with low μM affinity (figs. 3–5; tables 1 and 2; and supplementary fig. 6, Supplementary Material online). On the other hand, PDZ3 domains from *D. melanogaster*, *D. pondersae*, and the ancestor of hexapods bind poorly to their respective native CRIP, which lacks a type 1 PBM. Although the interactions were too weak for stopped-flow spectroscopy, ITC experiments provided a rough estimate of the affinities (K<sub>d</sub> ≥ 10–30 μM) (table 2; figs. 4 and 5). More surprisingly, PDZ3 from the nematode *L. loa* was also found to bind poorly to its native CRIP even though it contains a type 1 PBM with a C-terminal Val (fig. 3).

To directly compare each PDZ3 domain with regard to binding of CRIP with a type 1 PBM, the affinity of CRIP from the ancestor of all eukaryotes (*YKQSSV*) was measured with all PDZ3 domains included in the study. The ancestral Eukaryota CRIP was found to bind to all resurrected and present-day PDZ3 domains, including insect PDZ3 domains, in the low μM range (figs. 3–5; table 1; and supplementary fig. 6, Supplementary Material online). However, the binding of Eukaryota CRIP to the nematode *L. loa* PDZ3 was somewhat weaker than for other PDZ3 domains (17 μM), suggesting that *L. loa* PDZ3 has a binding groove with a slightly different character than PDZ3 domains from the other investigated extant and ancestral species. Thus, although nematodes, the

### Table 1. Binding Constants for Extant and Reconstructed Ancestral PDZ3:CRIP Interactions from Kinetic Experiments.

| CRIP  | PDZ3   | k<sub>on</sub> (μM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) | k<sub>off</sub> (s<sup>-1</sup>) | K<sub>d</sub> (μM) |
|-------|--------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| YKQSSV | *H. sapiens* | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.4 ± 0.01 |
| YKQTSV | *H. sapiens* | 6.3 ± 0.1 | 0.7 | 2.9 ± 0.01 |

**Note.**—Rate and equilibrium constants were determined for the PDZ3:CRIP interaction for extant and ancestral PDZ3 domains binding its respective native CRIP (*YKQTSV*, *YKQSSV*, or *YQTSC*). In addition, binding experiments were performed for all PDZ3 domains to the ancestral Eukaryota CRIP (*YKQSSV*) and for all CRIPs to *Homo sapiens* PDZ3. Native interactions are underlined. Stopped-flow experiments were used to determine the association rate constant (k<sub>on</sub>) from binding experiments and the dissociation rate constant (k<sub>off</sub>) from displacement experiments. K<sub>d</sub> values were calculated from the ratio of k<sub>off</sub> and k<sub>on</sub>. All data were obtained at 10 °C in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.45, 21 mM KCl (I = 150).

### Table 2. Binding Constants for Extant and Reconstructed Ancestral PDZ3:CRIP Interactions Monitored by Isothermal Titration Calorimetry (ITC).

| CRIP  | PDZ3   | ΔH (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) | TΔS (kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) | K<sub>d</sub> (μM) |
|-------|--------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| YKQSSV | *D. pondersae* | −0.8 ± 0.2 | 5.4 | 31 ± 11 |
| YKQSSV | *Hexapoda* | −2.3 ± 2.0 | 3.5 | 56 ± 25 |
| YKQSSV | *D. pondersae* | −1.0 ± 0.02 | 5.5 | 15 ± 4 |
| YKQSSV | *Hexapoda* | −1.1 ± 0.1 | 5.3 | 27 ± 20 |
| YKQSSV | *D. melanogaster* | −1.6 ± 0.01 | 4.4 | 40 ± 9 |
| YKQSSV | *Hexapoda* | −1.1 ± 0.2 | 5.7 | 12 ± 7 |
| YKQSSV | *D. melanogaster* | −0.8 ± 0.02 | 6.3 | 68 ± 2 |
| YKQSSV | *H. sapiens* | −7.5 ± 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 ± 0.1 |
| YKQSSV | 1R | −7.6 ± 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.5 ± 0.1 |
| YKQSSV | *Deuterostomia* | −8.8 ± 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 ± 0.1 |
| YKQSSV | *S. kowalevski* | −7.8 ± 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 ± 0.1 |
| YKQSSV | *Protostomia* | −6.8 ± 0.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 ± 0.2 |
| YKQSSV | *Bilateria* | −6.8 ± 0.2 | 1.3 | 2.6 ± 0.3 |
| YKQSSV | *O. bimaculoides* | −6.6 ± 0.2 | 1.0 | 2.5 ± 0.4 |
| YKQSSV | *H. vulgaris* | −8.3 ± 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.4 ± 0.1 |

**Note.**—Thermodynamic parameters were determined at 25 °C in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.45, 21 mM KCl (I = 150). A longer *Loa loa* CRIP peptide was used (eight residues) due to low solubility of the hexamer.

*AltAll interaction for the Deuterostomia PDZ3:CRIP interaction.
Fig. 4. Characterization of the PDZ3:CRIPTE interaction from extant species without a type 1 PBM in CRIPTE. (A–C) Examples of ITC experiments for native PDZ3:CRIPTE interactions for three extant species (Hydra vulgaris, Drosophila melanogaster, and Dendroctonus ponderosae, respectively). (D–I) Binding kinetics from stopped-flow experiments for the native Hy. vulgaris interaction and also experiments with the respective PDZ3 and a reconstructed CRIPTE from the ancestor of all eukaryotes (CRIPTE_Eukaryota). (D–F) Observed rate constants were obtained from kinetic-binding traces (examples shown in panels G–I) and plotted as function of CRIPTE concentration at a constant concentration of PDZ3 (1 μM) to estimate the association rate constant $k_{on}$. (G–I) Examples of kinetic-binding traces from stopped-flow experiments using 4 μM CRIPTE and 1 μM PDZ3. The fitted line (red) is a single exponential from which the observed rate constant $k_{obs}$ was obtained. $k_{off}$ was determined in a separate experiment as explained in Materials and Methods section, and $K_d$ calculated as $k_{off}/k_{on}$. Experiments were performed at 25 °C for ITC and at 10 °C for stopped-flow.
sister phylum of arthropods, has retained the type 1 PBM in CRIP'T the high affinity of the PDZ3:CRIP'T interaction is lost, suggesting that it may not be functional in either group of animals. Another option is that the PDZ3:CRIP'T interaction is functional but interactions outside the canonical groove are essential for full affinity among Ecdysozoa as observed for some other PDZ:ligand complexes (Pan et al. 2011; Li et al. 2014; Zeng et al. 2016; Ye et al. 2018) or that the PDZ3:CRIP'T interaction is posttranslationally regulated (Sundell et al. 2018). Obviously, lower affinity could have evolved as a response to a certain course of events leading to for example high local cellular concentrations of DLG and CRIP'T. Finally, a trivial explanation is that the PDZ3 domains used in the experiments were not properly folded. Although it is challenging to test intracellular expression levels, we attempted to partially address the other issues.

Mutational Analysis to Resolve the Low CRIP’T Affinity of PDZ3 from L. loa

The L. loa PDZ3:CRIP’T interaction is particularly interesting since it appears as if the PDZ3 domain has lost affinity for CRIP’T with type 1 PBM. Sequence alignment (fig. 1A) and structural prediction of the PDZ3 variants show that L. loa PDZ3 differs in several amino acid positions as compared with other PDZ3 domains, which inspired us to check whether interactions outside the canonical groove could be essential for the PDZ3:CRIP’T interaction. L. loa PDZ3 has several unique residues at positions that previously have been reported to be important for binding affinity (supplementary fig. 7, Supplementary Material online), for example, Gly!Pro at position 335 in the $\beta_2\beta_3$ loop next to the canonical-binding groove and Arg!Gln at position 399 in $\alpha_3$. The presence of Pro335 and/or Gln399 is rare; they are only found in 12 sequences among the 253 sequences used for the ancestral sequence reconstruction. Furthermore, a previous study showed an increased $\beta_2\beta_3$ loop flexibility if the salt-bridge between Arg 399 in $\alpha_3$ and Glu 334 in the $\beta_2\beta_3$ loop in Ho. sapiens DLG4 PDZ3 is missing (Mostarda et al. 2012). The interaction is very stable and formed both in apo- and in peptide-bound states. Thus, two single point mutations, P335G and Q399R, were introduced into L. loa PDZ3, to test if the amino acid substitutions can explain the weak binding to
CR IPT. Indeed, the affinity increased 2- and 3-fold, respectively, toward ancestral Eukaryota CR IPT (table 1), supporting the notion that the derived substitutions in *L. loa* PDZ3 indeed weakened the interaction with CR IPT.

**Global Stability of Ancestral and Extant PDZ3 Domains**

Because of the high general interest in evolution of stability (Williams et al. 2006; Gaucher et al. 2008; Risso et al. 2014; Trudeau et al. 2016; Wheeler et al. 2016; Sternke et al. 2019), we addressed this question using our reconstructed PDZ3 variants. Thus, we determined the thermodynamic stability of extant and resurrected ancient PDZ3 variants using urea denaturation experiments monitored by far UV circular dichroism, which detects secondary structure and is a robust probe of global folding (fig. 6A–D, table 3, and supplementary fig. 8F–J, Supplementary Material online). Thermodynamic stability generally correlates with the thermal midpoint of unfolding, which could not be well determined due to precipitation. All PDZ3 domains in the study unfolded by an apparent two-state mechanism in equilibrium urea denaturation experiments. However, because of uncertainties in curve fitting, as described below, we were careful in the interpretation regarding how the thermodynamic stability has changed during evolution. All resurrected PDZ3 domains (without an extended α3 helix) were found to be more stable in comparison to extant PDZ3 domains, except *H. sapiens* PDZ3. Generally, we observed a slight increase in the urea midpoint for the deuterostome lineage from bilaterian to *H. sapiens* DLG4 PDZ3. However, there is some ambiguity in the actual stability of the PDZ3 variants due to uncertainty in determination of the $m_{D-N}$ value, which reflects the change in solvent-accessible hydrophobic surface area upon denaturation and which is directly related to the stability, that is, the difference in Gibbs free energy between the denatured and the native state: $\Delta G_{D-N} = [\text{Urea}]_{50\%} \times m_{D-N}$. It is fair to assume that all PDZ3 variants of similar sequence length also have a similar $m_{D-N}$ value. Therefore, we fitted the data with a shared $m_{D-N}$ value, which gives a more robust estimate of the differences in $\Delta G_{D-N}$ between PDZ3 variants. In addition, some PDZ3 variants did not show complete unfolding at the highest urea concentration, resulting in a lower accuracy of the fitted parameters. Nevertheless, it is clear that the extant and ancient PDZ3 domains included in the study display unfolding free energies spanning from 2.6 to 7.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (Hexapoda ancestor likely higher). This wide range suggests that for this particular PDZ domain there is no overall evolutionary trend regarding stability, in the time window from the most recent...
Table 3. Global Stability of PDZ3 Domains.

| PDZ3          | $\text{[Urea]}_{50\%}^a$ (M) | $\Delta G_{D-N}^a$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | $\text{[Urea]}_{50\%}^b$ (M) | $\Delta G_{D-N}^b$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$) | $m_{D-N}^b$ (kcal mol$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$) |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| H. sapiens    | 6.2 ± 0.4                   | 7.6 ± 1.3                         | 6.5 ± 0.4                   | 6.3 ± 1.3                         | 1.0 ± 0.2                         |
| S. kowalevskii| 2.7 ± 0.1                   | 3.3 ± 0.9                         | 2.7 ± 0.1                   | 4.3 ± 0.9                         | 1.6 ± 0.3                         |
| D. melanogaster| 2.1 ± 0.1                   | 2.6 ± 0.3                         | 2.1 ± 0.1                   | 2.4 ± 0.3                         | 1.2 ± 0.1                         |
| D. melanogaster $x_3$ | 3.0 ± 0.1                   | 4.0 ± 0.4$^c$                     | 3.0 ± 0.04                  | 4.4 ± 0.3                         | 1.5 ± 0.1                         |
| D. ponderosae | 3.7 ± 0.1                   | 4.5 ± 0.8                         | 3.7 ± 0.1                   | 5.1 ± 0.8                         | 1.4 ± 0.2                         |
| D. ponderosae $x_3$ | 4.5 ± 0.1                   | 6.0 ± 0.6$^e$                     | 4.4 ± 0.05                  | 7.2 ± 0.7                         | 1.6 ± 0.2                         |
| L. loa       | 4.1 ± 0.1                   | 5.0 ± 1.4                         | 4.0 ± 0.1                   | 7.0 ± 1.4                         | 1.7 ± 0.3                         |
| L. loa $x_3$ | 5.7 ± 0.1                   | 7.7 ± 0.7$^c$                     | 5.8 ± 0.2                   | 6.6 ± 1.4                         | 1.1 ± 0.2                         |
| O. bimaculoides | 3.1 ± 0.1                   | 3.8 ± 0.8                         | 3.2 ± 0.1                   | 4.6 ± 0.8                         | 1.5 ± 0.3                         |
| H. vulgaris  | 2.9 ± 0.1                   | 3.6 ± 0.8                         | 2.9 ± 0.1                   | 3.9 ± 0.8                         | 1.3 ± 0.3                         |
| Bilateria    | 5.2 ± 0.2                   | 6.4 ± 0.6                         | 5.4 ± 0.2                   | 4.1 ± 0.6                         | 0.8 ± 0.1                         |
| Bilateria AltAll | 5.75 ± 0.03                 | 7.1 ± 0.3                         | 5.78 ± 0.04                 | 6.8 ± 0.3                         | 1.17 ± 0.05                       |
| Protostomia  | 5.1 ± 0.1                   | 6.3 ± 1.2                         | 5.1 ± 0.1                   | 6.4 ± 1.2                         | 1.2 ± 0.2                         |
| Protostomia AltAll | 5.3 ± 0.2                   | 6.5 ± 1.4                         | 5.3 ± 0.2                   | 5.9 ± 1.4                         | 1.1 ± 0.3                         |
| Deuterostomia | 6.0 ± 0.1                   | 7.4 ± 0.6                         | 6.1 ± 0.1                   | 7.1 ± 0.6                         | 1.2 ± 0.1                         |
| Deuterostomia AltAll | 4.7 ± 0.1                   | 5.8 ± 0.9                         | 4.7 ± 0.1                   | 5.1 ± 0.9                         | 1.1 ± 0.2                         |
| Hexapoda     | 7.0 ± 0.7                   | 10 ± 4.0$^b$                      | 6.7 ± 0.4                   | 17 ± 13                           | 2.5 ± 2.0                         |
| Hexapoda AltAll | 5.2 ± 0.3                   | 7.4 ± 2.8$^d$                     | 5.3 ± 0.4                   | 5.8 ± 3.0                         | 1.1 ± 0.5                         |
| 1R           | 6.1 ± 0.2                   | 7.5 ± 2.4                         | 5.9 ± 0.2                   | 9.4 ± 2.3                         | 1.6 ± 0.4                         |
| 1R AltAll    | *                          | 6.6 ± 0.5                         | 13 ± 7.2                   | 1.9 ± 1.1                         |

Note.—Global stability of PDZ3 domains was determined by urea denaturation experiments monitored by circular dichroism at 222 nm (see fig. 6). Experimental data were fitted to a two-state model for denaturation to obtain the concentration of urea, where 50% of the protein is denatured ([Urea]$_{50\%}$), the cooperativity of the unfolding ($m_{D-N}$) and the stability ($\Delta G_{D-N}$) as the product of [Urea]$_{50\%}$ and $m_{D-N}$.

*a*The $m_{D-N}$ value was shared among the data sets in the curve fitting; $m_{D-N}=1.23$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$.

*b*Free fitting of both [Urea]$_{50\%}$ and $m_{D-N}$.

Exceptions: $^c$PDZ3 $m_{D-N}=1.35$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$; $^d$Hexapoda and Hexapoda AltAll $m_{D-N}=1.43$ kcal mol$^{-1}$ M$^{-1}$; and $^e$1R AltAll.

common ancestor of bilaterian animals (~550–600 My) until today. However, in specific lineages, stability has been maintained (human, 7.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$), whereas in other lineages such as the one leading to Dr. melanogaster, stability has decreased (2.6 kcal mol$^{-1}$).

The $x_3$ Extension Increases the Stability of PDZ3

Most studies of DLG4 PDZ3 have been performed on a construct based on the original crystal structure (Doyle et al. 1996). However, in DLG4, PDZ3 is part of the supramodule PDZ3–SH3–GK in which an extended α helix ($x_3$) connects PDZ3 and SH3 (fig. 7A), but only 7 of the 19 amino acid residues of the linker are present in the constructs investigated in the present work and in previous publications. Recent studies have reported that the entire $x_3$ and a peptide ligand longer than six residues are required for specific PDZ-ligand interactions (Zeng et al. 2016, 2018; Ye et al. 2018). The $x_3$ extension does not significantly affect the affinity between Ho. sapiens PDZ3–SH3–GK and a 6-mer or 15-mer CRIPPT peptide (Laursen et al. 2020). However, as compared with the ancestral bilaterian PDZ3, the $x_3$ region is highly conserved in Ho. sapiens PDZ3 with only two substitutions, whereas De. ponderosae, Dr. melanogaster, and L. loa PDZ3 have four, eight, and nine substitutions, respectively, in the 19 residue long primary structure of $x_3$ (fig. 7A). We calculated the α helix propensity of isolated $x_3$ (residues 395–413) for all PDZ3 variants in the present study using the AGADIR software (Munoz and Serrano 1994). The helical propensity varied from 2% to 17% (fig. 7A), suggesting that the stability of $x_3$ may have changed during evolution. (The helical propensity refers to an isolated peptide but it could correlate with stability in the context of the folded protein.) Interestingly, L. loa, Dr. melanogaster, and De. ponderosae PDZ3 have the highest helix propensity in $x_3$. Therefore, we decided to express, purify, and analyze PDZ3 from these three species with a full-length 19 residue $x_3$ to assess the effect on binding and stability. We observed a slightly higher thermodynamic stability for the longer variants of PDZ3 in comparison to PDZ3 domains without an extended $x_3$ such that they approach the stability of human PDZ3 (fig. 7B and table 3).

Like the PDZ3 variants without $x_3$ extension, the affinities between the extended $x_3$ PDZ3 variants from L. loa, Dr. melanogaster, and De. ponderosae and their respective native CRIPPT ligands (15-mer) were too low to be measured by stopped-flow spectroscopy. But, with ITC, it was again possible to obtain a rough estimate of the $K_d$ values. The affinity between Dr. melanogaster PDZ3 and CRIPPT was not affected by either the $x_3$ extension or a longer CRIPPT peptide (table 2). Similarly, although the affinity seems to increase 2-fold for the respective native De. ponderosae and L. loa PDZ3:CRIPPT interactions, this effect is within error of the experiment (table 2). However, the $x_3$ extension increased the affinity of L. loa PDZ3 for the Eukaryota CRIPPT significantly, by 10-fold (table 1), suggesting that the $x_3$ extension of PDZ3, perhaps even in the context of a supramodule, needs to be considered if a weak interaction is analyzed.

Negative Regulation by Phosphorylation

Reversible phosphorylation results in positive or negative regulation of protein–protein interactions and therefore plays an important role for cellular signaling. Protein phosphorylation mainly occurs at Ser, Thr, and Tyr residues. Thus, with regard to the PDZ3:CRIPPT interaction, phosphorylation at P–Thr (Thr) in CRIPPT disables binding to PDZ3 (Sundell et al. 2018).
However, *Dr. melanogaster* CRIPT lacks the type 1 motif but has three possible phosphorylation sites, ending with SST. Therefore, to rule out that the weak interaction between *Dr. melanogaster* PDZ3 and its native CRIPT could be rescued by phosphorylation of CRIPT, we tested phosphorylation at all three potential sites at the C-terminus of CRIPT. However, phosphorylation of *Dr. melanogaster* CRIPT at Ser$_{1}$ did not affect the binding (table 2), whereas phosphorylation of Ser$_{2}$ and Thr$_{0}$ seems to weaken the affinity further since no binding could be detected by either stopped-flow or ITC. Thus, if anything, the PDZ3:CRYPT interaction is negatively regulated by phosphorylation in *Dr. melanogaster*.

The Conclusions Based on Resurrected Maximum Likelihood Variants of PDZ3 Are Robust to Sequence Uncertainty

Finally, our historical description of the PDZ3:CRYPT evolution is contingent on accurate native affinities and stabilities for the resurrected proteins. Thus, even if the reconstructed sequences are not correct, what about the experimentally determined properties of the proteins? Different approaches can be applied to check the robustness of results based on the ML variant, for example generating a library of variants of the ML ancestral sequence by point mutations (Yokoyama and Radlwimmer 2001) or using Bayesian sampling to reconstruct a set of sequences by sampling amino acids over the posterior probability distribution (Yang and Rannala 1997). However, an easier approach is to reconstruct a “worst-case scenario” variant. Here, one sequence is reconstructed in which every amino acid residue with a posterior probability below a certain threshold, for example, 0.8, is replaced by the residue with the second-highest probability at that position. Such a variant, denoted AltAll (alternative residue at all positions), represents a very unlikely worst-case scenario that can be used to assess how robust conclusions are to errors in the reconstructed ML sequences (Anderson et al. 2016b; Eick et al. 2017) simply by comparing the ML and AltAll proteins. If they display the same affinity for a ligand, that affinity is very likely to be the true ancestral affinity (fig. 1A and supplementary figs. 3 and 6, Supplementary Material online).

Thus, we used the AltAll approach as a relatively conservative test of robustness with a single protein variant as control. Worst-case scenario AltAll sequences from CRIPT and PDZ3 were reconstructed, expressed, and subjected to the
same experiments as the ML variants to validate the conclusions based on the resurrected ML proteins. The C-termini of ancestral CRIPT could be reconstructed with high confidence due to the strong conservation observed in extant species. Therefore, AltAll CRIPT variants were only tested in two cases, for the ancestor of hexapods and ancestor of deuterostomes, respectively, both of which had one ambiguous position (fig. 1B and supplementary figs. 3 and 6, Supplementary Material online). AltAll variants of PDZ3 contained three to five substitutions for 1R, Deuterostomia, Protostomia, and Bilateria PDZ3, at positions outside the canonical-binding groove (fig. 1A). The primary structure of AltAll for the ancestral Hexapoda PDZ3 contained 16 substitutions in comparison to the ML sequence. This is because PDZ3 at the Hexapoda node is resurrected from relatively few sequences and from species with a large number of amino acid substitutions. However, all substitutions were situated outside of the canonical-binding groove.

Overall, the AltAll and ML PDZ3 domains showed a similar binding affinity for Eukarya and native CRIPT (supplementary figs. 6 and tables 1 and 2, Supplementary Material online). Furthermore, secondary structure monitored by circular dichroism was similar for ML and AltAll variants, showing that the folding is robust (supplementary fig. 8A–E, Supplementary Material online). We determined the global stabilities by urea denaturation for all AltAll variants (supplementary fig. 8F–J, Supplementary Material online and table 3) and found that they were similar to the corresponding ML variants for 1R, bilaterians, and protostomes. The AltAll PDZ3 variant for the ancestor of deuterostomes displayed slightly lower stability compared with the ML variant (supplementary fig. 8J, Supplementary Material online and table 3). The curve fitting of AltAll and ML PDZ3 from the ancestor of hexapods suffered from sloping baselines and a short denatured baseline resulting in poorly defined $m_{D-N}$ values (supplementary fig. 8H, Supplementary Material online). However, qualitatively, it is clear that the AltAll variant is less stable than ML, but still well folded. Thus, all AltAll variants were properly folded as judged by the circular dichroism spectra (supplementary fig. 8A–E, Supplementary Material online) and shape of the urea denaturation curves (as reflected in the $m_{D-N}$ values) (supplementary fig. 8F–J, Supplementary Material online and table 3).

In conclusion, the AltAll and ML proteins displayed similar properties with regard to stability and binding, and therefore, other proteins in the ensemble of likely variants will most probably do that too, including the true ancestral PDZ3 variants (Eick et al. 2017).

Neuroligin with Type 1 PBM Is Common among Deuterostome but Not Protostome Animals

The low affinity of the PDZ3:RIPT interaction in nematodes and insects inspired us to look at another proposed biological ligand of DLG4 PDZ3, namely neuroligin. Like CRIPT, neuroligin interacts with PDZ3 via its C-terminus at synaptic junctions (Irie et al. 1997; Jeong et al. 2019). To compare the PBMs of neuroligin to those of CRIPT, the NBC1 protein sequence database was searched for homologs of Ho. sapiens (UniProt: Q8N2Q7) and C. elegans (UniProt: Q9XTG1) neuroligin-1, as described for CRIPT in Materials and Methods section. Ho. sapiens and C. elegans neuroligins sequences were used for the search, because they were both of high quality and represented neuroligin sequences from deuterostomes and protostomes, respectively. The search resulted in 1,725 sequences in 437 species—419 metazoan species and 18 fungal species. Among the neuroligin sequences, type 1 PBM, like the one of CRIPT, was found to be predominant in deuterostomes, whereas type 2 PBM ($\phi$-$X$-$\phi$-COOH) was predominant in protostomes, especially hexapods (supplementary fig. 9, Supplementary Material online). Thus, we observe an even more dynamic evolution of the PBM in neuroligin as compared with CRIPT. It appears likely that bilaterian neuroligins recognize different PDZ domains in different species, whereas most of the neuroligin sequences from fungi and cnidarians—the only species outside of bilaterians that have neuroligin homologs—lack a PBM. However, the lower number of high-quality neuroligin sequences makes these results less conclusive than for CRIPT.

Discussion

We have used ancestral sequence reconstruction and resurrection to better understand the connection between structure, function, and evolution of the PDZ3:RIPT interaction and its biological function. Already at the time of the last common ancestor of all extant metazoa, CRIPT contained a type 1 PBM with high affinity (2–3 μM) to most present-day DLG PDZ3 domains, and likely to many other PDZ domains with type 1 PBM specificity. This affinity has remained constant or slightly increased (0.4–3 μM) among diverging lineages until today. But, at the beginning of the evolutionary lineage leading to present-day arthropods (~550–600 My) a point mutation in the C-terminal residue of CRIPT resulted in substantially decreased affinity for DLG PDZ3, and again, likely all PDZ domains with type 1 specificity. What is the role of CRIPT and how would this mutation influence function? Large regions of CRIPT are highly conserved among extant species (supplementary fig. 10, Supplementary Material online) and mutation in CRIPT is very uncommon among humans, and associated with rare Mendelian disorders (Leduc et al. 2016). A recent study including in vivo experiments indicated an essential role for CRIPT in dendritic growth (Zhang et al. 2017). Experiments were performed in the nematode C. elegans, which, like Dr. melanogaster, has a Thr at the C-terminus of CRIPT. A severely mutated CRIPT (deletion of around 130 residues) resulted in abnormalities in dendritic growth, which could be rescued by expression of Ho. sapiens CRIPT. It was not possible to knock down CRIPT in mouse, suggesting a nonredundant and critical cell biological function in vertebrate species (Zhang et al. 2017). On the other hand, it has been possible to knock down one or several of the four DLG family members because these proteins can compensate for each other (Howard et al. 2010; Bonnet et al. 2013). In light of their experiments, and the lack of a type I PBM in the C-terminus of CRIPT from C. elegans and Dr. melanogaster, Zhang et al. (2017) suggested that the
critical biological action of CRIP3 could be partially independent of the PDZ3:CRIP3 interaction in these animals. In the present article, we corroborate this idea by showing that PDZ3 domains from extant species of nematode and insect phyla bind their native CRIP3 with significantly lower affinities than ancestral PDZ3:CRIP3 pairs and those from extant vertebrates, even when CRIP3 contains a large hydrophobic residue at the C-terminus, such as Leu in L. loa CRIP3. (There are also no obvious noncanonical internal PBMs in CRIP3.) The fact that PDZ3 domains from nematodes and insects have retained the affinity for type I PBM (K_D = 0.7–1.6 μM) reinforces the notion that the PDZ3:CRIP3 interaction is nonfunctional in these species.

By necessity, many protein interaction studies are performed with domains cut out from highly modular, often large proteins, which are hard to work with in pure form. However, effects from regions outside the binding surface or domain are increasingly considered in protein–protein interaction studies. Indeed, extensions of PDZ domains are associated with several potential functions: 1) protein dynamics-based modulation of target-binding affinity, 2) provision of binding sites for macromolecular assembly, 3) structural integration of multidomain modules, and 4) expansion of the ligand-binding pocket (Wang et al. 2010). We analyzed the z_3 extension in PDZ3 that possibly extends the ligand-binding surface beyond the canonical-binding groove. Interestingly, although this z_3 extension had only minor effects on the low-affinity native PDZ3:CRIP3 interactions (L. loa, Dr. melanogaster, and De. ponderosae), it indeed had a significant effect on binding to the high-affinity ancestral eukaryote CRIP3 peptide to L. loa PDZ3, demonstrating high sequence dependence in the interaction, upon addition of z_3. The sequence dependence was also reflected in the thermodynamic stabilities of PDZ3 with and without z_3, where only L. loa PDZ3 displayed a clear stabilization in presence of the helix. With this in mind, we note that PDZ3 stabilities vary across present-day animals and that ancestral versions of PDZ3 were likely a little more stable. It may be assumed that random mutations, neutral for function, generally lead to a decrease in stability until a functional threshold is reached. It is therefore tempting to speculate that the relatively high stability (>6 kcal mol⁻¹) of several ancestral and modern DLG4 PDZ3 domains is the result of present-day affinities for other protein ligands). However, at the time point where we could reconstruct contemporary NCBD and CID (440 My), the interaction had the same affinity as modern complexes. These findings corroborate the hypothesis that protein interactions evolve toward higher specificity (Wheeler et al. 2016), but it is clear that “functional affinity” likely evolves relatively fast, in particular when it involves short disordered interaction motifs of three to ten residues, like for PDZ3:CRIP3. The affinity is then maintained by positive selection as long as it provides a benefit, or is lost by purifying selection if it is disadvantageous.

Evolutionary change of affinity and specificity is expected when new interactions arise and mutational equilibrium is likely established on a relatively short evolutionary time scale. For PDZ3, we do not observe the initial optimization of the affinity since the interaction is likely older than our analysis. The most ancient contemporary PDZ3:CRIP3 pair we could reconstruct (Bilateria, 550–600 My) displays a 1.3–1.6 μM affinity. The K_D values of modern PDZ3:CRIP3 pairs with a derived Thr at position —2 are slightly lower (0.4–0.5 μM) and might reflect adaptation toward increased specificity for Thr over Ser at position —2 (fig. 5). Although any functional advantage of the increase in specificity from the bilaterian ancestor is speculative, it is clear that affinity for the CRIP3 PBM was lost in several protostome lineages by substitution of a Val for Ala or Thr at position 0 in CRIP3 in an ancestral arthropod. Or even more curiously, by adaptations in PDZ3 as observed in the nematode lineage for L. loa (fig. 5 and supplementary fig. 1, Supplementary Material online).

Finally, an interesting notion from a structure-function point of view is that not only Hy. vulgaris (native interaction) but also human PDZ3 binds CRIP3 with a C-terminal Cys residue equally well as CRIP3 with the native Val. Clearly, affinity is not everything: A Cys residue can be easily modified and therefore might impose a disadvantage at this position and be subjected to purifying selection.

Materials and Methods
Phylogenetic Reconstruction
To collect protein sequences, the NCBI database (NCBI Resource Coordinators) was searched for CRIP3 and DLG sequences using search terms and the BLAST tool (Altschul et al. 1990) with the Ho. sapiens DLG4 PDZ3 sequence. Sequences were then aligned using Clustal Omega software (Sievers et al. 2011) and filtered. Nonredundant sequences with information on species of origin, homologous to Ho. sapiens DLG4 PDZ3 or Ho. sapiens CRIP3, respectively, were kept. Sequences were filtered for redundancy, and, in the case of identical sequences among related species, they were combined into one sequence identifier. The final sets of sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega and manually curated. The resulting alignments were used to infer the ancestral sequences of DLG family PDZ3 domains and of CRIP3. For this sequence reconstruction, species trees were downloaded using the CommonTree tool of the Taxonomy Browser in the NCBI database. The taxonomy trees were modified before the ancestral reconstruction due to software
requirements—the software could only read a tree that has exactly two branches per node, and the downloaded taxonomic trees sometimes had several branches per node, usually among species of the same genus. CommonTree does not provide branch lengths, but these are calculated by RAxML for the supplied tree during ancestral reconstruction. The PDZ3 tree was adjusted according to DLG family homology; due to evidence of genome duplication in early chordates, vertebrate sequences were split into DLG1, DLG2, DLG3, and DLG4 clades (McLysaght et al. 2002; Putnam et al. 2008). In the resulting CRIPT and PDZ3 trees, species names were replaced with sequence names to match the identifiers in the alignment. The RAxML software (Stamatakis 2014) was used to infer ancestral sequences for PDZ3 and CRIPT. Because of low posterior probabilities for some positions in the reconstructed ML sequences (supplementary data excel file 1, Supplementary Material online), we reconstructed AltAll sequences for each ML sequence. In the AltAll sequences, the amino acid residues with the second-highest probabilities were included for each position where the probability of the ML residue was <0.8.

Subsequently, we learned that RAxML version 8.0.0 implemented ancestral sequence reconstruction methods differently to other phylogeny software (Stamatakis A, Kozlov A, personal communication). Therefore, the ancestral sequence reconstruction was repeated using the newer RAxML-NG (Kozlov et al. 2019) and also with PAML (Yang 2007) software. Due to possible phylogenetic inaccuracies in the taxonomic tree, these programs were also tested with a second tree from OpenTree tree of life (Redelings and Holder 2017).

Overall, CRIPT ancestors reconstructed by RAxML-NG and PAML and using both trees were consistent with the RAxML-inferred ancestors, with some minor deviations (supplementary data excel file 2, Supplementary Material online). There was a variation between K/R in P...4 and S/T in P...2, and these conservative substitutions are unlikely to modulate binding, so the RAxML-inferred sequences were deemed valid for the analysis. The same analysis was carried out with PDZ sequences. For most PDZ sequences, amino acids in all structural and functional positions matched the originally used sequences. However, the ancestral deuterosomal PDZ3 sequence reconstructed using PAML and RAxML-NG differed from the RAxML reconstruction in potentially crucial residues. This sequence was therefore expressed, purified, and subjected to experiments, where we demonstrated that binding and stability of the PAML- and RAxML-predicted variants were similar (supplementary fig. 5, Supplementary Material online).

For sequence similarity calculations, we used a matrix developed by Stephenson and Freeland (2013).

**Protein Expression and Purification**
cDNA encoding DLG PDZ3 from the following extant species were cloned into a modified pSET vector (Invitrogen) and transformed into *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) pLys cells (Invitrogen) for expression: *H. sapiens* (DLG4), *S. kowalevskii*, *D. melanogaster*, *D. ponderosa*, *L. loa*, *O. bimaculoides*, and *H. vulgaris*. Similarly, reconstructed cDNA encoding the following ancestral DLG PDZ3 (both ML and AltAll variants) were expressed: 1R, Deuterostomia, Bilateria, Hexapoda, and Protostomia. The expression construct contained an N-terminal 5xHis-tag. Cells were grown in LB medium at 37°C and overexpression of protein was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside overnight at 18°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4°C and the pellet suspended in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 10% glycerol, and stored at 20°C. Pellets were thawed and sonicated 2×4 min followed by centrifugation. The supernatant was filtered and added to a pre-equilibrated (50 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 10% glycerol) Nickel Sepharose Fast Flow column (GE Healthcare). Proteins were eluted with 250 mM imidazole and dialyzed into 50 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 10% glycerol buffer overnight. Proteins were then loaded onto a Q sepharose column for further purification and eluted with a 500 mM NaCl gradient in 50 mM Tris, pH 7.8, 10% glycerol. Protein purity and identity were analyzed by SDS–PAGE and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.

**Circular Dichroism and Urea Denaturation Experiments**
Experiments were performed in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.45, 21 mM (l = 150) at 10°C on a JASCO J-1500 spectropolarimeter. Far-UV spectra for all PDZ3 domains were recorded from 260 to 200 nm with 10°C scans. Thermodynamic stability of PDZ3 domains was determined by urea denaturation (0–8.1 M with 0.3 M steps) and monitored by molar ellipticity at 222 nm with 22°C urea PDZ3. Data were fitted to a two-state unfolding process using both free fitting of each parameter or a shared mDN, N to obtain the midpoint (denoted [Urea]50%, where 50% of the protein is folded).

\[
F = \left( \frac{a_N + b_N \cdot [\text{Urea}]}{1 + e^{\frac{-m_D - m_N}{[\text{Urea}]_D - [\text{Urea}]_N}}} \right),
\]

where F is the observed spectroscopic signal; aN is the signal of the native state in 0 M urea; bN is the slope of the native baseline; and aD and bD are the corresponding parameters for the denatured state (Clarke et al. 1993).

**Kinetic Experiments**
All kinetic experiments were performed in 50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.45, 21 mM KCl (l = 150) at 10°C. Binding and dissociation experiments were performed at SX-17 MV stopped-flow spectrometer (Applied Photophysics) and carried out as described previously (Chi et al. 2010). Briefly, the association rate constant (kobs) was obtained from binding experiments. PDZ3 (1 μM) was mixed rapidly with dansyl labeled (D) CRIPT peptide (concentration range: 2–20 μM). Excitation was done at 345 nm and emission was recorded >420 nm using a long-pass filter. The change in fluorescence signal obtained from D-CRIPT was monitored over time and fitted to a single exponential function to deduce the observed rate constant (kobs). Observed rate constants were plotted against the corresponding D-CRIPT concentration. Data were fitted to an equation for a reversible bimolecular association
reaction (Malatesta 2005) to extract the association rate constant without considering pseudo-first-order conditions.

\[ k_{\text{obs}} = \{k_{\text{on}}(\mid \text{PDZ}\mid_0 - \mid \text{RIPT}\mid_0)^2 + k_{\text{off}}^2 + 2k_{\text{on}}k_{\text{off}}(\mid \text{PDZ}\mid_0 + \mid \text{RIPT}\mid_0)\}^{0.5} \]

The dissociation rate constant \(k_{\text{off}}\) was obtained from the separate displacement experiment. An equilibrium complex was preformed between PDZ3 and D-RIPT (2:10 μM) and mixed rapidly with high excess of unlabeled CRIPIT (100, 150, and 200 μM, respectively). The change in fluorescence signal obtained from dansyl-labeled CRIPIT was monitored over time and fitted to a single exponential function to deduce the observed rate constant \(k_{\text{obs}}\). The average of the three \(k_{\text{obs}}\) values is reported as the dissociation rate constant \(k_{\text{off}}\).

**Isothermal Titration Calorimetry Experiments**

All isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)-binding experiments were performed in 50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.45, 21 mM KCl \((l = 150)\) at 25 °C on an ITC200 microcalorimeter (Malvern Instruments). PDZ3 and CRIPIT peptide were dialyzed against the same buffer to minimize artifacts from buffer mismatch in the titrations. CRIPIT peptide was titrated 16 times into the cell containing PDZ3. For every titration, the heat release decreased from the binding of CRIPIT to PDZ3, due to complex formation and PDZ3 saturation. Change in heat over time was integrated to kcal mol\(^{-1}\) and fitted to a one-site binding model to obtain binding stoichiometry \((n)\), equilibrium binding constant \(K_a\), and the enthalpy change upon binding \((\Delta H)\).

**Data Availability**

The authors declare that data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary information file, Supplementary Material online, including probability tables for reconstruction of CRIPIT and PDZ3 sequences. Additional raw data are available from corresponding authors upon request.
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