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We present the upper critical fields $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ and Hall effect in $\beta$-FeSe single crystals. The $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ increases as the temperature is lowered for field applied parallel and perpendicular to (101), the natural growth facet of the crystal. The $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ for both field directions and the anisotropy at low temperature increase under pressure. Hole carriers are dominant at high magnetic fields. However, the contribution of electron-type carriers is significant at low fields and low temperature. Our results show that multiband effects dominate $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ and electronic transport in the normal state.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of iron-based superconductors has generated a great deal of interests because of rather high transition temperature $T_c$ and high upper critical fields $\mu_0 H_{c2}$. Crystal structures of iron-based superconductors can be mainly categorized into several types: FePn-1111 type (REOFePn, RE = rare earth; Pn = P or As), FePn-122 type (AFe$_2$As$_2$, A = alkaline or alkaline-earth metals), FePn111 type (AFeAs), FeCh-11 type (FeCh, Ch = S, Se, Te), FeCh-122 type ($A_x$Fe$_{2-y}$Ch$_y$), and other structures with more complex oxide layers. 1–6 Despite structural similarity, i.e., shared FePn or FeCh tetrahedron layers, iron-based superconductors exhibit diverse physical properties. These include possible differences in pairing symmetry, 7–10 relation to competing or coexisting order states (spin density wave vs. superconductivity), 11–13 and diverse normal state properties. 1, 6 FeCh-11 type materials are of special interest because their crystal structure has no blocking layers in between FeCh layers, yet they have similar calculated Fermi surface topology when compared to other iron-based superconductors. 14 Furthermore, they also exhibit some exotic features, such as significant pressure effect, 15, 16 and excess Fe with local moment according to theoretical calculation. 17

The $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ gives some important information on fundamental superconducting properties: coherence length, anisotropy, details of underlying electronic structures and dimensionality of superconductivity as well as insights into the pair-breaking mechanism. Previous studies on FeTe$_{1-x}$Se$_x$ and FeTe$_{1-x}$S$_x$ single crystals indicate that the spin-paramagnetic effect is the main pair-breaking mechanism. 18–20 However, for FePn-1111 and FePn-122 type superconductors the two-band effect with high diffusivity ratio between different bands dominates $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$. 21–23

On the other hand, magnetic penetration depth study of $\beta$-FeSe polycrystal indicates that $\beta$-FeSe is a two-band superconductor. 24 Therefore, it is of interest to investigate multiband and spin paramagnetic effects on the $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ of $\beta$-FeSe. An extremely complex binary alloy phase diagram and associated difficulties in single crystal preparation impeded the growth of pure $\beta$-FeSe single crystals. 25 Hence, systematic studies of anisotropy in $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ and pair breaking mechanism in high magnetic field are still lacking.

In this work, we report on the upper critical fields of pure $\beta$-FeSe single crystals in dc high magnetic fields up to 35 T at ambient and high pressures. The results shows that two-band features dominate the pair breaking with additional influence of spin paramagnetic effect.

II. EXPERIMENT

Details of crystal synthesis and characterization are explained elsewhere. 25 The $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ is determined by measuring the magnetic field dependence of radio frequency (rf) contactless penetration depth in a static magnet up to 35 T at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) in Tallahassee, Florida. The rf technique is very sensitive to small changes in the rf penetration depth (about 1-5 nm) in the mixed state and thus is an accurate method for determining the $\mu_0 H_{c2}$ of superconductors. 26 At certain magnetic field, the probe detects the transition to the normal state by tracking the shift in resonant frequency, which is proportional to the change in penetration depth $\Delta \lambda \propto \Delta F$. Small single crystals were chosen and the sample was placed in a circular detection coil. More details can be found in Refs. 29 and 30. For measurement under pressure, the sample was placed in a 15 turn coil within the gasket hole of a turnbuckle diamond anvil cell (DAC) made of beryllium copper and containing diamonds with 1.2 mm culets. 27 The pressure was calibrated at $\sim$ 4 K by comparing the fluorescence of a small chip of ruby within the DAC with an ambient ruby at the same temperature. 28 The small dimensions of the DAC allow for angular rotation with respect to the applied magnetic field so H||(101)
and $H \perp (101)$ orientations can be explored in situ. Using four-probe configuration of Hall measurement, the Hall resistivity was extracted from the difference of transverse resistance measured at the positive and negative fields, i.e., $\beta_{xy}(H) = [\rho(+H) - \rho(-H)]/2$, which can effectively eliminate the longitudinal resistivity component due to voltage probe misalignment.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown in the main panel of Fig. 1(a) and (b), the rf shift ($\Delta F$) at 10 K (above $T_c$) shows a smooth and almost linear magnetic-field dependence without any steep changes for both field directions. In the normal state the rf shift is sensitive to the magnetoresistance of the sample and detection coil. However, when the temperature is below $T_c$, there is a sudden increase of $\Delta F(H)$ which deviates from the background signal. This corresponds to entry to the mixed state. Moreover, with decreasing temperature, the inflexion points of the $\Delta F(H)$ curves shift to higher field for both field directions, consistent with the higher $\mu_0H_{c2}(T)$ at lower temperature. The temperature dependence of $\mu_0H_{c2}(T)$ for $H\|(101)$ and $H\perp(101)$ is determined from the intersections of $\Delta F(H)$ curves between the extrapolated slopes of the rf signals below inflexion points and the normal-state backgrounds ($T = 10$ K) (insets in Fig. 1(a) and (b)). The difference between this and other criterion, e.g. the intersection of extrapolated slopes below and above inflexion points in each $\Delta F(H)$ curve, is taken as the error bar of $\mu_0H_{c2}(T)$.

In order to compare the upper critical fields determined from different measurement methods, the $\mu_0H_{c2}(T)$ obtained from $\Delta F(T, H)$ curves and $\rho(T, H)$ data with different criteria are plotted together (Fig. 2(a)). In the low field region, the temperature dependence of $\mu_0H_{c2}(T)$ determined from the rf shift is almost linear with slight upturn near $T_c (H = 0$ T). This is close to the $\mu_0H_{c2,zero}(T)$ determined from 10% $\rho_0(T, H)$. It is consistent with the results reported in the literature. Assuming $\mu_0H_{c2}(T = 0.35$ K) $\approx \mu_0H_{c2}(0)$, the zero temperature limit of upper critical fields are 17.4(2) and 19.7(4) T for $H\|(101)$ and $H\perp(101)$, respectively.

According to the two-band BCS model in the dirty limit with orbital pair breaking and negligible interband scattering, $\mu_0H_{c2}$ is given by

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
a_0[\ln + U(h)] + a_2[\ln + U(\eta h)] + a_1[\ln + U(h)] = 0 \quad (1)
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

where $t = T/T_c$, $U(x) = (1/2 + x) - \psi(x)$, $\psi(x)$ the digamma function, $\eta = D_2/D_1$, $D_1$ and $D_2$ are intraband diffusivities of the bands 1 and 2, $h = H_{c2}D_2/(2\phi_0T)$, $\phi_0$ the magnetic flux quantum. $a_0$, $a_1$, and $a_2$ are constants described with intraband- and interband coupling strength, $a_0 = 2\pi/\lambda_0$, $a_1 = 1 + \lambda_0/\lambda$, and $a_2 = 1 - \lambda_0/\lambda$, where $\lambda = \lambda_1\lambda_2 - \lambda_2\lambda_1$, $\lambda_0 = (\lambda_1^2 + 4\lambda_2\lambda_2)^{1/2}$, and $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$. Terms $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the interband couplings in the bands 1 and 2 and $\lambda_{12}$ describe the interband couplings between bands 1 and 2. It should be noted that if $\eta = 1$, eq. (1) will reduce to the simplified WHH equation for single-band dirty superconductors. By using the coupling constants determined from an $\mu SR$ experiment with very small interband coupling, the combined $\mu_0H_{c2,H\|(101)}(T)$ data from both rf and resistivity measurements can be very well explained (Fig. 2(a) fit lines). The ratio of band diffusivities is $\eta = 0.40$, which is similar to the value of FeAs-122 but much larger than that of other two-band iron-based superconductors, such as FeAs-1111. With current coupling constants, it leads to the similar shape of $\mu_0H_{c2,H\|(101)}(T)$ when compared to the FeAs-122, but significantly different from FeAs-1111 where there is an obvious upturn at low temperature. We have also performed fits for different values of coupling constants: (1) dominant intraband coupling, $\sigma > 0$ and (2) dominant interband coupling, $\sigma < 0$. The different sets of fitting parameters result in almost identical result, fitting the experimental data well (Fig. 2(b)). The derived $\eta$ is in the range of 0.32-0.44, suggesting that the fitting results are insensitive to the choice of coupling constants. Thus, either interband and intraband coupling strength are comparable or their difference is below the resolution of our experiment.

In order to further investigate multiband characteristics in $\beta$-FeSe, we studied the Hall effect of $\beta$-FeSe (Fig. 3). According to the band calculations, at least four bands originated from Fe 3d orbitals cross the Fermi level. Two bands are hole type and the other two are...
We use a simplified two-carrier model including one electron type with electron density \(n_e\) and mobility \(\mu_e\) and one hole type with hole density \(n_h\) and mobility \(\mu_h\). According to the classical expression for the Hall coefficient including both electron and hole type carriers,\(^{38}\)

\[
\rho_{xy}/\mu_0 H = \frac{1}{e} \left( \frac{\mu_e^2 n_h - \mu_h^2 n_e}{\mu_e n_h + \mu_h n_e} + \frac{\mu_e \mu_h}{(\mu_e n_h + \mu_h n_e)^2} \right) \left( 2 n_h - n_e \right)^2
\]

Once there are two carrier types present, the field dependence of \(\rho_{xy}(H)\) will become nonlinear. Moreover, eq. (2) gives \(R_H = e^{-1} \cdot \left( \frac{\mu_e^2 n_h - \mu_h^2 n_e}{\mu_e n_h + \mu_h n_e} \right)^2\) when \(\mu_0 H \to 0\), and \(R_H = e^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{(n_h - n_e)}\) when \(\mu_0 H \to \infty\). As shown in inset (a) of Fig. 3, \(\rho_{xy}(H)\) is positive and almost linear in \(\mu_0 H\) at \(T = 60\) K, indicating the hole type carrier is dominant. However, \(\rho_{xy}(H)\) exhibits obvious nonlinear behavior below \(50\) K and even changes sign in low fields at \(15\) K (inset (b) in Fig. 3). This is a signature of coexistence of electron and hole type carriers. The \(\rho_{xy}(H)\) can be described very well using a linear relation for \(T = 60\) K and eq. (2) for \(T \leq 50\) K as shown with the solid fit lines in the inset (a) and (b) of Fig. 3. The obtained carrier density \(n(= n_h - n_e)\) changes from \(1.93 \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-3}\) (15 K) to \(4.7 \times 10^{21}\) cm\(^{-3}\) (60 K) gradually. The change of sign of \(\rho_{xy}(H)\) in the low field region at \(15\) K indicates \((\mu_e^2 n_h - \mu_h^2 n_e) < 0\). Because \(n_h - n_e > 0\) at higher field, it indicates that the \(\mu_e > \mu_h\) at low temperature, consistent with the band structure calculation results.\(^{14}\) Moreover, the negative Seebeck coefficients in \(\beta\)-FeSe below \(\sim 250\) K also confirm that the electron band is dominant at low temperature.\(^{41}\)

Since there is remarkable pressure effect on \(T_c\) for \(\beta\)-FeSe,\(^{15,16}\) it is instructive to study the pressure dependence of upper critical fields. As shown in the inset of Fig. 4, under pressure \((P = 0.51\) GPa\)), the inflexion point of \(\Delta F(H)\) curve shifts to higher field when compared to the ambient pressure curve, suggesting that the \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)\) is enhanced with pressure. It is consistent with the significant positive pressure effect of \(T_c\) for \(\beta\)-FeSe.\(^{15,16}\) The temperature dependence of \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)\) for \(H||\langle101\rangle\) and \(H.L(101)\) shows that the upper critical fields for both field directions are enhanced in the whole measured temperature region under pressure. The \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(T = 0.45\) K) for \(H.L(101)\) is about \(24.6\) T, close to the estimated value at \(1.48\) GPa using linear extrapolation.\(^{15}\) It suggests that \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)\) at \(0.51\) GPa should be larger than linear-extrapolated value. This could originate from the difference in sample purity between our single crystals and polycrystals or intrinsic multiband effect. As shown in the inset (b) of Fig. 4, at ambient pressure, the anisotropy of \(\gamma(P = 0\) GPa, \(T) = \mu_0 H_{c2,H.L(101)}(P = 0\) GPa, \(T)/\mu_0 H_{c2,H.L(101)}(P = 0\) GPa, \(T)\), is smaller than in other iron based superconductors, especially at high temperature. Moreover, the temperature dependence of \((\gamma(P, T)\) increases at high temperature and decreases when \(T < T_c\) which is different from other iron based superconductors in which the \(\gamma(T)\) usually decreases with temperature. The increase of \((\gamma(P, T)\) with temperature has also been observed in two-band superconductor MgB\(_2\). This may be due to the higher contribution of the band with lower band anisotropy at low temperature. The decrease of \((\gamma(P, T)\) with temperature when temperature is far from \(T_c\) may be related to the possible spin-paramagnetic effect. On the other hand, under pressure, the \((\gamma(P = 0.51\) GPa, \(T)\) increases when compared to the value at ambient pressure. This could originate from the pressure-induced Fermi surface changes that increase the anisotropy of Fermi velocity (dissipativity) of dominant band. It should be noted that in order to study the anisotropy and pressure evolution of \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)\) more clearly, the pressure dependence of \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)\) along crystallographic axes should be measured in the future.

### IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we studied the upper critical field of \(\beta\)-FeSe crystals. The results indicate that the two band effects dominate the \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)\), with possible influence of spin-paramagnetic effect. A nonlinear field dependence of \(\rho_{xy}(H)\) at low temperature also confirms the existence of multiple bands in electronic transport. The dominant carriers are hole-type in high field but electron type carriers become important in low field due to either increased carrier density or enhanced mobility. The \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)\) is enhanced for both field directions and the anisotropy of \(\mu_0 H_{c2}(0)\) is also increased under pressure.
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FIGURES
FIG. 1. Field dependence of frequency shift ($\Delta F$) for (a) $H \parallel (101)$ and (b) $H \perp (101)$ at various temperatures. $\Delta F(T = 10 \, \text{K})$ is set as a normal-state background signal. Inset (a) and (b): enlarged parts near the points that deviate from background signals. $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ are determined from the interceptions between extrapolated straight lines below inflexion points and the curves of normal-state backgrounds for $H \parallel (101)$ and $H \perp (101)$, respectively. In the inset (a), the intersection of two slopes in $\Delta F(T = 6 \, \text{K})$ curve exhibits another criterion to determine the $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ and the difference between two criterions is taken as the error bar of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$.

FIG. 2. (a) Temperature dependence of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ of $\beta$-FeSe single crystal for $H \parallel (101)$ (closed symbols) and $H \perp (101)$ (open symbols) obtained from $\rho(T)$ and $\Delta F$ curves. (b) Fits of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ for $H \parallel (101)$ using eq. (1) for different pairing scenarios: (1) WHH; (2) $\varpi > 0$, $\lambda_{11} = 0.241$, $\lambda_{22} = 0.195$, $\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{21} = 0.01$, $\eta = D_2/D_1 = 0.40$; (3) $\varpi > 0$, $\lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = 0.5$, $\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{21} = 0.25$, $\eta = D_2/D_1 = 0.44$; (4) $\varpi > 0$, $\lambda_{11} = 0.8$, $\lambda_{22} = 0.34$, $\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{21} = 0.18$, $\eta = D_2/D_1 = 0.32$; and (5) $\varpi < 0$, $\lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = 0.49$, $\lambda_{12} = \lambda_{21} = 0.5$, $\eta = D_2/D_1 = 0.35$.

FIG. 3. (a) Field dependence of $\rho_{xy}(H)$ at various temperatures. Solid lines are the fitting results using eq. (2) for $T < 60 \, \text{K}$ and single-band model for $T = 60 \, \text{K}$. In order to exhibit data clearly, the $\rho_{xy}(H)$ at different temperatures are shifted along vertical axis with certain values. (b) Temperature dependence of carrier density $n(= n_h - n_e)$ of $\beta$-FeSe crystal.

FIG. 4. (a) Temperature dependence of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(T)$ for $H \parallel (101)$ (closed symbols) and $H \perp (101)$ (open symbols) at ambient pressure and $P = 0.51 \, \text{GPa}$ obtained from $\Delta F$ curves. Inset (a) field dependence of $\Delta F$ at 0 and $0.51 \, \text{GPa}$ for $H \parallel (101)$ at $T = 0.35 \, \text{K}$. Inset (b) The temperature dependence of the anisotropy of $\mu_0 H_{c2}(P, T)$ at $P = 0$ and 0.51 Gpa.
Figure 1

BM11912  14Feb2012
\[ \Delta F \]

\[ 10\% \rho_n \]

\[ 50\% \rho_n \]

\[ 90\% \rho_n \]

\[ H_{\parallel}(101) \]

\[ H_{\perp}(101) \]

\[ \mu_0 H_c(T) \]

\[ T (K) \]

(a)

(b)

Figure 2
Figure 4