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ABSTRACT

Using precise full-sky observations from Planck, and applying several methods of component separation, we identify and characterise the emission from the Galactic “haze” at microwave wavelengths. The haze is a distinct component of diffuse Galactic emission, roughly centered on the Galactic centre, and extends to |b| ~ 35–50° in Galactic latitude and |l| ~ 15–20° in longitude. By combining the Planck data with observations from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe, we were able to determine the spectrum of this emission to high accuracy, unhindered by the strong systematic biases present in previous analyses. The derived spectrum is consistent with power-law emission with a spectral index of ~−2.56 ± 0.05, thus excluding free-free emission as the source and instead favouring high-hard spectrum synchrotron radiation from an electron population with a spectrum (number density per energy) dN/dE ∝ E−2.5. At Galactic latitudes |b| < 30°, the microwave haze morphology is consistent with that of the Fermi gamma-ray “haze” or “bubbles”, while at b ~ 50° we have identified an edge in the microwave haze that is spatially coincident with the edge in the gamma-ray bubbles. Taken together, this indicates that we have a multi-wavelength view of a distinct component of our Galaxy. Given both the very hard spectrum and the extended nature of the emission, it is highly unlikely that the haze electrons result from supernova shocks in the Galactic disk. Instead, a new astrophysical mechanism for cosmic-ray acceleration in the inner Galaxy is implied.
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1. Introduction

The initial data release from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) revolutionised our understanding of both cosmology (Spergel et al. 2003) and the physical processes at work in the interstellar medium (ISM) of our own Galaxy (Bennett et al. 2003). Some of the processes observed were expected, such as the thermal emission from dust grains, free-free emission (or thermal bremsstrahlung) from electron/ion scattering, and synchrotron emission due to shock-accelerated electrons interacting with the Galactic magnetic field. Others, such as the anomalous microwave emission now identified as spinning dust emission from rapidly rotating tiny dust grains (Draine & Lazarian 1998a,b; de Oliveira-Costa et al. 2002; Finkbeiner et al. 2004; Hinshaw et al. 2007; Boughn & Pober 2007; Dobler & Finkbeiner 2008b; Dobler et al. 2009), were more surprising.

But perhaps most mysterious was a "haze" of emission discovered by Finkbeiner (2004) that was centred on the Galactic centre (GC), appeared to be almost spherically symmetric in profile, and was of unknown origin. This haze was originally characterised as free-free emission by Finkbeiner (2004) because of its apparently very hard spectrum, although it was not appreciated at the time how significant the systematic uncertainty in the measured spectrum was.

An analysis of the three-year WMAP data by Dobler & Finkbeiner (2008a, hereafter DF08) identified a source of systematic uncertainty in the determination of the haze spectrum that remains the key to determining the origin of the emission. This uncertainty is due to residual foregrounds contaminating the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation estimate used in the analysis, and arises as a consequence of chance morphological correlations between the CMB and the haze itself. Nevertheless, the spectrum was found to be significantly softer than free-free emission, and also significantly harder than the synchrotron emission observed elsewhere in the Galaxy as traced by the low-frequency synchrotron measurements of Haslam et al. (1982, see also Reich & Reich 1988; Davies et al. 1996; Kogut et al. 2007; Strong et al. 2011; Kogut 2012). Finally, it was noted by DF08 that this systematic uncertainty could be completely eliminated with data from the Planck1 mission, which would produce estimates of the CMB signal that were significantly less contaminated by Galactic foregrounds.

The synchrotron nature of the microwave haze was substantially supported by the discovery of a gamma-ray counterpart to this emission by Dobler et al. (2010) using data from the Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope. These observations were consistent with an inverse Compton (IC) signal generated by electrons with the same spectrum and amplitude as would yield the microwave haze at WMAP wavelengths. Further work by Su et al. (2010) showed that the Fermi haze appeared to have sharp edges and it was renamed the "Fermi bubbles". Subsequently, there has been significant theoretical interest in determining the origin of the very hard spectrum of progenitor electrons. Suggestions include enhanced supernova rates (Biermann et al. 2010), a Galactic wind (Crocker & Aharonian 2011), a jet generated by accretion onto the central black hole (Guo & Mathews 2012; Guo et al. 2012), and co-annihilation of dark matter (DM) particles in the Galactic halo (Hooper et al. 2007; Lin et al. 2010; Dobler et al. 2011). However, while each of these scenarios can reproduce some of the properties of the haze/bubbles well, none can completely match all of the observed characteristics (Dobler 2012a).

Moreover, despite the significant observational evidence, there have been suggestions in the literature that the microwave haze is either an artefact of the analysis procedure (Mertsch & Sarkar 2010) or not synchrotron emission (Gold et al. 2011). The former conclusion was initially supported by alternative analyses of the WMAP data that found no evidence of the haze (Eriksen et al. 2006; Dickinson et al. 2009). However, more recently Pietrobon et al. (2012) showed that these analyses, while extremely effective at cleaning the CMB of foregrounds and identifying likely contaminants of a known morphology (e.g., a low-level residual cosmological dipole), typically cannot separate the haze emission from a low-frequency combination of free-free, spinning dust, and softer synchrotron radiation. The argument of Gold et al. (2011) that the microwave haze is not synchrotron emission was based on the lack of detection of a polarised component. This criticism was addressed by Dobler (2012a), who showed that, even if the emission is not depolarised by turbulence in the magnetic field, such a polarised signal is not likely to be seen with WMAP given the noise in the data.

With the Planck data, we now have the ability not only to provide evidence for the existence of the microwave haze with an independent experiment, but also to eliminate the uncertainty in the spectrum of the emission, which has hindered observational and theoretical studies for nearly a decade. In Sect. 2 we describe the Planck data as well as some external templates we used in our analysis. In Sect. 3 we describe the two most effective component separation techniques for studying the haze emission in temperature. In Sect. 4 we discuss our results on the morphology and spectrum of the haze, before summarising in Sect. 5.

2. Planck data and templates

Planck (Tauber et al. 2010; Planck Collaboration 2011a) is the third-generation space mission to measure the anisotropy of the CMB. It observes the sky in nine frequency bands covering 30–857 GHz with high sensitivity and angular resolution from 31' to 5'. The Low Frequency Instrument (LFI; Mandolesi et al. 2010; Bersanelli et al. 2010; Mennella et al. 2011) covers the 30, 44, and 70 GHz bands with amplifiers cooled to 20 K. The High Frequency Instrument (HFI; Lamarre et al. 2010; Planck HFI Core Team 2011a) covers the 100, 143, 217, 353, 545, and 857 GHz bands with bolometers cooled to 0.1 K. Polarisation is measured in all but the highest two bands (Leahy et al. 2010; Rosset et al. 2010). A combination of radiative cooling and three mechanical coolers produces the temperatures needed for the detectors and optics (Planck Collaboration 2011b). Two data processing centres (DPCs) check and calibrate the data and make maps of the sky (Planck HFI Core Team 2011b; Zacchei et al. 2011). Planck's sensitivity, angular resolution, and frequency coverage make it a powerful instrument for galactic and extragalactic astrophysics as well as cosmology. Early astrophysics results are given in Planck Collaboration VIII–XXVI 2011, based on data taken between 13 August 2009 and 7 June 2010. Intermediate astrophysics results are now being presented in a series of papers based on data taken between 13 August 2009 and 27 November 2010.

We took both the WMAP and Planck bandpasses into account when defining our central frequencies. However,
throughout we refer to the bands by the conventional labels of 23, 33, 41, 61, and 94 GHz for WMAP and 30, 44, 70, 100, 143, 217, 353, 545, and 857 GHz for Planck; the central frequencies are 22.8, 33.2, 41.0, 61.4, and 94.0 GHz, and 28.5, 44.1, 70.3, 100.0, 143.0, 217.0, 353.0, 545.0, and 857.0 GHz. In each case, the central frequency represents the convolution of the bandpass response with a CMB spectrum and so corresponds to the effective frequency for emission with that spectrum. For emission with different spectra, the effective frequency is slightly shifted, but the effects are at the few percent level and do not significantly affect our conclusions.

Our analysis also requires the use of external templates to morphologically trace emission mechanisms within the Planck data. All the data are available in the HEALPix\(^2\) scheme (Górski et al. 2005). In each case, we used maps smoothed to \(1\degree\) angular resolution.

**Thermal and spinning dust.** For a template of the combined thermal and spinning dust emission, we used the 100 \(\mu\)m all-sky map from Schlegel et al. (1998) evaluated at the appropriate textitPlanck and WMAP frequencies using Model 8 from Finkbeiner et al. (1999, FDS99). This is a sufficiently good estimate of the thermal emission for our purposes, although it is important to note that the morphological correlation between thermal and spinning dust is not well known.

**Free-free.** The free-free template adopted in our analysis is the H\(\alpha\) map assembled by Finkbeiner (2003)\(^3\) from three surveys: the Wisconsin H\(\alpha\) Mapper (Haffner et al. 2003), the Southern H\(\alpha\) Sky Survey Atlas (Gaustad et al. 2001), and the Virginia Tech Spectral-Line Survey (Dennison et al. 1998). The map was corrected for line-of-sight dust absorption assuming uniform mixing between gas and dust, although we masked some regions based on the predicted total dust extinction where the correction to the H\(\alpha\) emission was deemed unreliable.

**Soft synchrotron.** Since synchrotron intensity rises with decreasing frequency, the 408 MHz full-sky radio continuum map (Haslam et al. 1982) provides a reasonable tracer of the soft synchrotron emission. While there is a very small contribution from free-free emission to the observed intensity, particularly in the Galactic plane, the bulk of the emission traces synchrotron radiation from supernova shock-accelerated electrons that have had sufficient time to diffuse from their source. In addition, as pointed out by Dobler (2012a), the propagation length for cosmic-ray electrons in the disk is energy-dependent and therefore the 408 MHz map (which is dominated by synchrotron emission from electrons with a lower energy than in the situation at 20–100 GHz) will be more spatially extended than the synchrotron at textitPlanck frequencies (see Mertsch & Sarkar 2010). This can result in a disk-like residual when using the 408 MHz map as a tracer of synchrotron at higher frequencies, which could be confused with the haze emission. We used an elliptical Gaussian disk template \((\sigma_1 = 20\degree \text{ and } \sigma_2 = 5\degree)\) for this residual, though in practice this results in only a very small correction to our results, which use a larger mask than (Dobler 2012a, see below).

The haze. Although a measurement of the precise morphology of the microwave haze is yet to be determined, an estimate of the morphology is necessary to reduce bias in template fits for the following reason: when using templates to separate foregrounds, the amplitudes of the other templates may be biased to compensate for the haze emission present in the data unless an appropriate haze template is used to approximate the emission. Following Dobler (2012a), we used an elliptical Gaussian template with \(\sigma_1 = 15\degree\) and \(\sigma_2 = 25\degree\). A map of the Fermi gamma-ray haze/bubbles cannot be used to trace the emission for two reasons. First, as pointed out by Dobler et al. (2013), the morphology of the gamma-ray emission is uncertain at low latitudes. Second, the synchrotron morphology depends sensitively on the magnetic field while the gamma-ray morphology depends on the interstellar radiation field. Therefore, while the same cosmic-ray population is clearly responsible for both, the detailed morphologies are not identical\(^4\).

**Mask.** As noted above, the effect of dust extinction requires careful treatment of the H\(\alpha\) map when using it as a tracer of free-free emission. Therefore, we masked out all regions where dust extinction at H\(\alpha\) wavelengths is greater than 1 mag. We also masked out all point sources in the WMAP and Planck ERCSC \((30–143 \text{ GHz})\) catalogues. Several larger-scale features where our templates are likely to fail were masked as well: the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds, M31, Orion-Barnard’s Loop, Cen A, and C Oph. Finally, since the H\(\alpha\)-to-free-free ratio is a function of gas temperature, we masked pixels whose H\(\alpha\) intensity was greater than 10 rayleigh to minimise the bias due to strong spatial fluctuations in gas temperatures. This mask covers 32\% of the sky and is shown in Fig. 2.

### 3. Component separation methods

We applied two methods for separating the Galactic emission components in the Planck data. The first one, used in the original WMAP haze analyses, is a simple regression technique in which the templates described in the previous section are directly fitted to the data. This “template fitting” method is relatively simple to implement and its results are easy to interpret. Furthermore, the noise characteristics are well understood and additional components not represented by the templates are readily identifiable in residual maps. The second technique, a powerful power-spectrum estimation and component-separation method based on Gibbs sampling, uses a Bayesian approach and combines pixel-by-pixel spectral fits with template amplitudes. One of the significant advantages of this approach is that, instead of assuming an estimate for the CMB anisotropy, a CMB map is generated via joint sampling of the foreground parameters and \(C_\ell\)s of cosmological anisotropies; this reduces the bias in the inferred foreground spectra.

#### 3.1. Template fitting

The rationale behind the simple template fitting technique is that there are only a few physical mechanisms in the ISM that generate emission at microwave wavelengths, and these emission mechanisms are morphologically traced by maps at other frequencies at which they dominate. We followed the linear

\(^2\) See http://healpix.jpl.nasa.gov

\(^3\) Our specific choice of the Finkbeiner (2003) H\(\alpha\) template does not have a strong impact on results. We repeated our analysis using the Dickinson et al. (2003) H\(\alpha\) map and found differences at the few percent level that are not spatially correlated with haze emission.

\(^4\) We performed our fits using the uniform “bubbles” template given in Su et al. (2010) and the morphology of the haze excess (see Sect. 4) is not significantly changed.
regression formalism of Finkbeiner (2004), Dobler & Finkbeiner (2008a), and Dobler (2012a) and solved the relation

$$d_v = a_v \cdot P,$$

(1)

where $d_v$ is a data map at frequency $v$, $P$ is a matrix of the templates defined in Sect. 2, and $a_v$ is the vector of scaling amplitudes for this set of templates. The least-squares solution to this equation is

$$a_v = \left( P^T N_v^{-1} P \right)^{-1} \left( P^T N_v^{-1} d_v \right),$$

(2)

where $N_v$ is the noise covariance matrix at frequency $v$. In practice, for our template fits we used the mean noise per band (i.e., we set $N_v = \langle N_i \rangle$ for all pixels), which is appropriate when the dominant uncertainty is the quality with which the templates trace the foregrounds, as is the case here. To the extent that the templates morphologically match the actual foregrounds, the solutions $a_v$ for template $i$ as a function of frequency represent a reasonable estimate of the spectrum over the fitted pixels.

There are two important features of this approach to template fitting that must be addressed. First, there is an implicit assumption that the spectrum of a given template-correlated emission mechanism does not vary across the region of interest, and second, an estimate for the CMB must be pre-subtracted from the data. The former can be validated by inspecting a map of the residuals, which can reveal where this assumption fails, and as a consequence of which the sky can easily be subdivided into regions that can be fitted independently. The latter involves the complication that no CMB estimate is completely clean of bias (with the same spectrum as the CMB) in the inferred foreground spectra. As shown by DF08, this bias becomes increasingly stronger with frequency and renders an exact measurement of the haze spectrum that is impossible with WMAP alone. This “CMB bias” is the dominant source of uncertainty in all foreground analyses. However, DF08 also pointed out that, because the haze spectrum becomes fainter with frequency, the high-frequency data from Planck can be used to generate a CMB estimate that is nearly completely free from haze emission. Thus, pre-subtraction of this estimate should result in an essentially unbiased estimate of the haze spectrum. The CMB estimate that we used consists of a “Planck HFI internal linear combination” (PILC) map, formed from a minimum-variance linear combination of the Planck HFI 143–545 GHz data after pre-subtracting the thermal dust model of FDS99 at each frequency.$^5$

Defining $g_v$ and $t_v$ to be the Planck maps and FDS99 prediction (respectively) at frequency $v$, the PILC in $\Delta T_{\text{CMB}}$ is given by

$$\text{PILC} = 1.39 \times (p_{143} - t_{143}) - 0.36 \times (p_{217} - t_{217}) - 0.025 \times (p_{353} - t_{353}) + 0.0013 \times (p_{545} - t_{545}).$$

(3)

The weights were determined by minimising the the variance over unmasked pixels of the PILC while maintaining a unity response to the CMB spectrum.

---

$^5$ Pre-subtracting the FDS99 prediction for the thermal dust is not meant to provide a perfect model for the thermal dust, but rather a reasonable model. The goal is to minimise variance in the PILC and it is more effective to do so by pre-subtracting the dust model. This allows the fit to manage the CO contamination present at various HFI frequency channels more effectively (although there is still some leakage, see Sect. 4.1). We tested a PILC that does not subtract the thermal dust, and the morphology and amplitude of the recovered haze signal are similar.

---

Table 1. Regions used for the multi-region (RG) template fits (see also Fig. 1).

| Region | Sky coverage |
|--------|--------------|
| 1      | $-125^\circ \leq l < -104^\circ$ | $-30^\circ \leq b < 0^\circ$ |
| 2      | $-104^\circ \leq l < -80^\circ$  | $-30^\circ \leq b < 0^\circ$ |
| 3      | $-125^\circ \leq l < -104^\circ$ | $0^\circ \leq b < 30^\circ$  |
| 4      | $-104^\circ \leq l < -80^\circ$  | $0^\circ \leq b < 30^\circ$  |
| 5      | $-37^\circ \leq l < 42^\circ$    | $0^\circ \leq b < 90^\circ$  |
| 6      | $-80^\circ \leq l < -25^\circ$   | $-30^\circ \leq b < 0^\circ$ |
| 7      | $70^\circ \leq l < 180^\circ$    | $-90^\circ \leq b < 0^\circ$ |
| 8      | $12^\circ \leq l < 70^\circ$     | $-90^\circ \leq b < 0^\circ$ |
| 9      | Unmasked pixels outside regions 1–8 and $b \leq 0$ |
| 10     | Unmasked pixels outside regions 1–8 and $b > 0$ |

---

Fig. 1. Regions used in our multi-region RG template fits (see Sect. 3.1 and Table 1).

Although no constraint is made on the spectral dependence of the template coefficients in Eq. (2), the fit does assume that the spectrum is constant across the sky. While this assumption is quite good outside our mask (as we show below), it is known to be incorrect in detail. As such, in addition to full (unmasked) sky fits, we also performed template fits on smaller sky regions and combined the results to form a full composite map. The subdivisions were defined by hand to separate the sky into regions with particularly strong residuals in a full-sky fit and are listed in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 1.

3.2. Gibbs sampling: commander

An alternative method for minimising the CMB bias is to generate a CMB estimate from the data while simultaneously solving for the parameters of a Galactic foreground model. Within the Bayesian framework it is possible to set stronger priors on the CMB parameterisation (i.e., $C_8$), taking advantage not only of the frequency spectrum of the CMB (a blackbody), but also of the angular power spectrum of the fluctuations. Even for relatively simple foreground models, the dimensionality of parameter space is quite large, which makes uniform sampling on a grid not feasible.

Jewell et al. (2004) and Wandelt et al. (2004) first discussed the application of Gibbs sampling algorithms (a variant of MCMC sampling) in this context. These algorithms have been further improved (Eriksen et al. 2004, 2007; O’Dwyer et al. 2004; Chu et al. 2005; Jewell et al. 2009; Rudjord et al. 2009; Larson et al. 2007) and packaged into the Commander code.

Gibbs sampling is particularly suitable for component separation since it samples from the conditional distribution along perpendicular directions in parameter space, updating the distribution with each sample. This approach has been advocated.
in Eriksen et al. (2007, 2008a) and Dickinson et al. (2009) and has been applied recently to the WMAP 7-year data in Pietrobon et al. (2012). A detailed description of the algorithm and its validation on simulated data was provided in Eriksen et al. (2008b, and references therein).

The outputs of the sampling are a map-based CMB estimate and the parameters of a foreground model, which can either be template-based, pixel-based, or a combination of the two. We performed the analysis at HEALPix resolution $N_{\text{side}} = 128$. The choice of the foreground model is limited by the number of frequency channels observed since it sets the number of constraints on the model when fitting spectra for each pixel. We separated our results in the following section into two categories, fits using Planck data only, and fits using Planck data plus ancillary data sets.

For the Planck-only fits, our model consists of a single power law $T \propto \nu^{\beta}$ describing the effective low-frequency emission (with a prior on spectral index, $\beta_2 = -3.05 \pm 0.3$), a grey-body for the thermal dust emission that dominates at high frequencies (with a temperature and emissivity prior given by the results of Planck Collaboration 2011c, where mean values of $T_D = 18$ K and $\epsilon_D = 1.8$ were measured), and a CO spectrum. The CO spectrum was assumed to be constant across the sky and normalised to 100 GHz. The relative strength of the $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$ ($\sim 217$ GHz) and $J = 3 \rightarrow 2$ ($\sim 353$ GHz) transition lines with respect to the $J = 1 \rightarrow 0$ transition were computed by taking into account the specifications of the HFI detectors and calibrated by means of the available survey (Dame et al. 2001). The relative ratios in the 100, 217, and 353 GHz bands are 1.0, 0.35, and 0.12 respectively. We checked the robustness of the result against a plausible variation of the line ratios of $\sim 10\%$. (A more detailed discussion of the CO analysis that we performed can be found in Planck Collaboration 2011c.) We normalised the thermal dust component at 353 GHz and the low-frequency power law at 33 GHz. Hence, we solved for two spectral indices to fit, CMD2, is unrestricted in $l$ and $b$ haze residual, defined as $R_h = d_h - a_h \cdot P + a_h^{11} \cdot h$.

\[ D_{\nu}(\nu) = \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right)^{1+\epsilon} \frac{B(\nu, T)}{B(\nu_0, T)} + e^{P} e^{-[(\nu - \nu_1)/\delta\nu]^2/2}. \] (4)

This is the sum of a grey-body spectrum for the thermal dust, and a Gaussian profile to mimic the spinning dust SED. The latter is a purely phenomenological model selected on the basis of its straightforward numerical implementation. However, we established its effectiveness in describing well-known spinning dust regions in the Gould Belt. The thermal dust pivot frequency $\nu_0$ was set to 353 GHz and the spinning dust peak frequency $\nu_1$ to 20 GHz. The remaining parameters (the amplitude of the joint spectrum, the relative amplitude of the spinning dust contribution, and the width of the spinning dust bump) were constrained by the Gibbs sampling procedure. As before, we also adopted a spectrum for the CO emission.

4. Results

As described above, we performed four different types of haze extraction:

1. A masked full-sky (FS) template fit for each input frequency band.
2. Template fits over subsections of the sky (RG) that were combined to give a full-sky haze map for each input frequency band.
3. A Commander fit (CMD1) with a simple two-component foreground model, using Planck 30–353 GHz data.
4. A comprehensive Commander fit (CMD2) including thermal and spinning dust models, a soft power-law component, and a hard power-law component, using Planck 30–353 GHz, WMAP 23–94 GHz, and Haslam 408 MHz data sets.

Below, we first discuss our results from the template fitting and Gibbs sampling analyses derived from the Planck data alone (using methods 1, 2, and 3), then proceed to include external data sets in the analysis (using methods 1, 2, and 4). A direct comparison of the results between the template fits and Commander haze extraction methods boosts our confidence that not only are the components appropriately separated, but the spectrum is relatively free from bias.

4.1. Planck-only results

4.1.1. Template fitting

Figure 2 presents the templates and mask used for the Planck analysis, together with the CMB-subtracted data and best-fit template model at 30 GHz. We also show the full-sky (i.e., unrestricted in $l$ and $b$) haze residual, defined as

\[ \delta R = R_{\text{cmd}} - a_R \cdot P + a_R^{11} \cdot h. \] (5)

\[ \delta \beta = 0.1 \]

This value represents the spectral index of the large Loop I feature that is a prominent supernova remnant visible at both 408 MHz and microwave frequencies in the northern Galactic hemisphere. We repeated our analysis varying this index by $\delta \beta = 0.1$ and found no significant difference in our results.
Fig. 2. Templates and full-sky template fitting model (see Sect. 4.1). Top left: the Haslam et al. (1982) 408 MHz map. Top middle: the Finkbeiner (2003) Hα map. Top right: the Finkbeiner et al. (1999) dust prediction at the Planck 30 GHz channel. Middle left: the elliptical Gaussian haze template. Center: the elliptical Gaussian disk template. Middle right: the mask used in the fit. Bottom left: the best-fit template linear combination model at Planck 30 GHz. Bottom middle: the CMB-subtracted Planck data at 30 GHz. Bottom right: the Planck 30 GHz data minus the 30 GHz model with the haze template component added back into the map.

where \( h \) is the haze template defined in Sect. 2. The haze is clearly present in the Planck data set and, as illustrated in Fig. 3 (left column), scaling each residual by \( \nu^{-2.5} \) yields an approximately equal brightness per frequency band, indicating that the spectrum is approximately \( T_{\nu}^{\text{H}} \propto \nu^{-2.5} \). A more detailed measurement of the spectrum is given in Sect. 4.3. It is also interesting to note that the morphology does not change significantly with frequency (although striping in the Planck HFI maps used to form the CMB estimate is a significant contaminant at frequencies above \( \sim 40 \) GHz) indicating that the spectrum of the haze emission is fairly constant with position.

The haze residual is most clearly visible in the southern GC region, but we note that our assumption of uniform spectra across the sky does leave some residuals around the edge of the mask and in a few particularly bright free-free regions. However, while our imperfect templates and assumptions about uniform spectra have isolated the haze emission very well (96% of the total variance is removed in the fit at Planck 30 GHz), we can more effectively isolate the haze by subdividing the sky into smaller regions as described in Sect. 3.1. The resulting full-sky haze residual is shown in Fig. 3. With this fit, the residuals near the mask are cleaner and we improved fitting the difficult Ophiuchus region in the northern GC, though striping again becomes a major contaminant for frequencies above \( \sim 40 \) GHz.

4.1.2. Commander

Figure 4 presents the results of our CMD1 Commander fit and the subsequent post-processing. As noted previously, this very simple model provides an adequate description of the data with a highest \( \chi^2 \) of 18.4 (7 d.o.f.) outside the mask, even though the low-frequency component is really an aggregate of several different emission mechanisms, as shown by Pietrobon et al. (2012). Clearly, the low-frequency amplitude is highly correlated with thermal dust emission in some regions, suggesting a dust origin for some of this emission (e.g., spinning dust). Finally, features that are well-known from low-frequency radio surveys, such as Loop I, are also visible, implying a synchrotron origin with a spectral index closer to \( \beta_S = -3 \). The coefficients of the post-processing template-based fit described in Sect. 3.2 are given in Table 2 and show a strong positive correlation with each template.

As with the template fitting case, we see from Fig. 4 that the post-processing residuals for the low-frequency CMD1
The overall morphology of the haze is the same, but the residuals near the mask and in the Ophiuchus complex in the HFI channels (which contaminates our CMB estimate) begins to dominate over the haze emission. Right column north GC are improved.

4.2.1. Template fitting

To further our understanding of the spectrum and morphology of the microwave haze component, we augmented the Planck data with the WMAP seven-year data set (covering the frequency range 23–94 GHz) and the 408 MHz data. Including the new data in the template-fitting method is trivial since Eq. (2) does not assume anything about the frequency dependence of the spectrum and each map is fitted independently. The results for the full sky and for smaller regional fits are shown in Figs. 5 and 6. The haze residual is present in both the WMAP and Planck data, and the morphology and spectrum appear to be consistent between data sets. As before, scaling each residual by $\nu^{-2.5}$ yields a roughly equal brightness per band from 23 GHz to 61 GHz. Including the WMAP data also confirms that the morphology does not change significantly with frequency, which implies a fairly constant haze spectrum with position.

\[ T_{\text{res}} \propto \nu^{-2.5} \]

The spectral-index map, a more flexible foreground model must be implemented to isolate the haze spectrum. However, the additional model parameters require the use of external data sets.

4.2.2. Commander

Comparing the low-frequency hard-spectral index Commander solution at 23 GHz obtained with this model with our previous (less flexible) parameterisation, we find that the residuals correlated with the Haslam 408 MHz map are significantly reduced, as shown in Fig. 4. Table 2 lists the fit coefficients in this case, and we now find no significant correlation with the Haslam map. As before, a template regression illustrates that the haze amplitude is slightly lower in the latter. However, due to the flexibility of the CMD2 model (specifically because the model allows for the unphysical case of non-zero spinning dust in regions of negligible thermal dust), it is very likely that some of the haze emission is included in the spinning dust component.

A close comparison between the CMD1 and CMD2 results suggests that the haze amplitude is slightly lower in the latter. However, due to the flexibility of the CMD2 model (specifically because the model allows for the unphysical case of non-zero spinning dust in regions of negligible thermal dust), it is very likely that some of the haze emission is included in the spinning dust component.

\[ \text{Table 2} \]

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Frequency & FL & HH \\
\hline
23 GHz & 0.10 & 0.10 \\
44 GHz & 0.10 & 0.10 \\
61 GHz & 0.10 & 0.10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\[ T_{\text{res}} \propto \nu^{-2.5} \]
Fig. 4. Left column, top: recovered amplitude of the low-frequency component at 23 GHz from our simplest Commander fit to the Planck data alone, CMD1. As shown in Pietrobon et al. (2012), while this model provides an excellent description of the data, this low-frequency component is a combination of free-free, spinning dust, and synchrotron emission (top). Left column, middle: four-component template model of this component (see Table 2). Left column, bottom: haze residual. The residuals are low outside the haze region, indicating that the templates are a reasonable morphological representation of the different components contained in the Commander solution. The haze residual is strikingly similar to that found for the template-only approach in Fig. 3 (though there does seem to be a residual dipole in the Commander solution). Right column: the same, but for the CMD2 low-frequency, hard spectrum component. While there is still some leakage of dust-correlated emission in the solution, the softer synchrotron emission (mostly correlated with the 408 MHz template see, Fig. 7) has been separated by Commander. The resulting map is dominated by free-free and the haze emission, and the regressed haze residual (bottom panel) shows a morphology very similar to both the template fitting and CMD1 results, indicating that the haze has been effectively isolated.

Table 2. Regression coefficients of the Commander foreground amplitude maps.

| Fit type | Data sets | Hz [mK/R] | FDS [mK/mK] | Haslam [mK/K] | Haze [mK/arb] |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| CMD1     | Planck 30–353 GHz | $2.8 \times 10^{-3} \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.9 \pm 4.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-6} \pm 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| CMD2     | Planck 30–353 GHz, WMAP, Haslam | $3.3 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.9 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.0 \pm 8.4 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{-9} \pm 8.8 \times 10^{-8}$ | $5.7 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.7 \times 10^{-3}$ |

spectral index of $-3.05$ across the entire sky (outside our mask) from 408 MHz to 60 GHz, but the haze region consists of both a soft and a hard component. That is, the haze is not a simple variation of spectral index from 408 MHz to $\sim 20$ GHz. The map of the harder spectral index would ideally be a direct measurement of the haze spectrum. However, the signal-to-noise ratio is only sufficient to accurately measure the spectrum in the very bright free-free regions (e.g., the Gum Nebula). In the fainter haze region, the spectral index is dominated by noise in the maps.

4.3. Spectrum and morphology

While a pixel-by-pixel determination of the haze spectrum is not possible given the relatively low signal-to-noise ratio per pixel of
the haze emission, we can obtain a reliable estimate of its mean behaviour from the template fitting residuals in Fig. 6. The majority of previous haze studies have estimated the haze spectrum via the template coefficients $a$, for the haze template. However, as noted in Dobler (2012a), such an estimate is not only affected by the CMB bias (which we have effectively minimised by using the PILC), but may also be biased by the effect of imperfect template morphologies. The argument is as follows: consider a perfectly CMB-subtracted map that consists of the true haze $h'$ plus another true foreground component $f'$, which we are approximating by templates $h$ and $f$. Our template fit approach can be written as

$$a_b h + a_f f = b_b h' + b_f f',$$

where we are solving for $a_b$ and $a_f$ while $b_b$ and $b_f$ are the true amplitudes. The $a_b$ solution to this equation is

$$a_b = b_b \times \frac{\Gamma_{hh'} - \Gamma_{fh'} \Gamma_{hf'}}{1 - \Gamma_{fh'} \Gamma_{hf'}} + b_f \times \frac{\Gamma_{hf'} - \Gamma_{ff'} \Gamma_{hf'}}{1 - \Gamma_{fh'} \Gamma_{hf'}},$$

where, for example, $\Gamma_{hh'} \equiv \langle h h' \rangle / \langle h^2 \rangle$, and the mean is over unmasked pixels. Thus, if $h = h'$ and $f = f'$ then $a_b = b_b$ and we recover the correct spectrum. However, if $h \neq h'$ the spectrum is biased, and if $f \neq f'$, it is biased and dependent upon the true spectrum of the other foreground, $b_f$.

We emphasise that this bias is dependent on the cross-correlation of the true foregrounds with the templates (which is unknown) and that we assumed a perfectly clean CMB estimate (which is not possible to create) and did not discuss the impact of striping or other survey artefacts (which Figs. 5 and 6 show are present). Given this, a much more straightforward estimate of the haze spectrum is to measure it directly from $R_H$ in a region that is relatively devoid of artefacts or other emission. We measured the spectrum in the GC south region $|l| < 35^\circ$ and $-35^\circ < b < -10^\circ$ by performing a linear fit (slope and offset) over unmasked pixels and converting the slope measurement to a power law given the central frequencies of the Planck and WMAP data (see Fig. 8). Specifically, we fitted

$$R_{H}^{23} = A_\nu \times R_{H}^{\nu} + B_\nu,$$

over unmasked pixels in this region for $A_\nu$ and $B_\nu$, and calculated the haze spectral index, $R_{H} = \log(A_\nu) / \log(\nu/23 \text{ GHz})$, for each $\nu$. This spectrum should now be very clean and – given our use of the PILC – reasonably unbiased.

A measurement of the spectrum of the haze emission is shown in Fig. 8. It is evident that the WMAP and Planck bands

Fig. 5. Microwave haze at WMAP and Planck frequencies using a full-sky template fit to the data. The morphology of the haze is remarkably consistent from band to band and between data sets, implying that the spectrum of the haze does not vary significantly with position. Furthermore, the $\nu^{-2.5}$ scaling again yields about equal-brightness residuals, indicating that the haze spectrum is roughly $T \propto \nu^{-2.5}$ through both the Planck and WMAP channels. In addition, while striping is negligible at low frequencies, above $\sim 40$ GHz it becomes comparable with, or brighter than, the haze emission (see text).
Fig. 5. Same as Fig. 3, but using the regions defined in DF08. Clearly, the residuals near the mask are significantly reduced, although, as with the full-sky fits, striping in the HFI channels (which leaks into the CMB estimate) becomes significant above \( \sim 40 \text{ GHz} \).

Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 5, but using the regions defined in DF08. Clearly, the residuals near the mask are significantly reduced, although, as with the full-sky fits, striping in the HFI channels (which leaks into the CMB estimate) becomes significant above \( \sim 40 \text{ GHz} \).

Fig. 7. Left: soft synchrotron component at 408 MHz from the Commander CMD2 analysis. The map is strikingly similar to the Haslam map (see Fig. 2), indicating that soft synchrotron emission has a very uniform spectrum from 408 MHz to 60 GHz through all data sets. Right: difference between the Haslam map and the Commander solution. This is consistent with noise across almost the entire sky with the exception of a few bright free-free clouds that are present in the Haslam data at the \( \sim 10\% \) level. The lack of significant haze emission in the difference map (particularly in the south) is a strong indication that the haze region consists of both a hard and a soft component, and does not have a simple spatially variable spectral index.

The close log-frequency spacing of the WMAP 94 GHz and Planck 100 GHz channels has the significant advantage that the CO \((J = 1 \rightarrow 0)\) line falls in the Planck 100 GHz band while it is outside the WMAP 94 GHz band. This provides an excellent estimate for the CO morphology.

The haze spectrum is measured to be \( T_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\beta_{H}} \) with \( \beta_{H} = -2.56 \pm 0.05 \). This spectrum is a nearly perfect power law from 23 to 41 GHz. Furthermore, if we form the total synchrotron residual, \( R_{S} = R_{H} + a_{S} \cdot s \),

where \( s \) is the Haslam map, and measure its spectrum in the south GC, we again recover a nearly perfect power law with
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\[ \beta_s \approx -3.1. \] Our conclusion is that the haze, which is not consistent with free-free emission, arises from synchrotron emission with a spectral index that is significantly harder than elsewhere in the Galaxy. Within the haze region, this component represents \( \sim 33\% \) of the total synchrotron and \( 23\% \) of the total Galactic emission at 23 GHz (WMAP K-band), while emissions correlated with Haslam, \( H_{\alpha} \), and FDS contribute 43\%, 4\%, and 30\%.

The \( \beta_H \approx -2.56 \) spectral index of the haze is strongly indicative of synchrotron emission from a population of electrons with a spectrum that is harder than elsewhere in the Galaxy. The other possible origins of the emission in this frequency range (free-free and spinning dust) are strongly disfavoured for several reasons. First, the spinning dust mechanism is very unlikely since there is no corresponding feature in thermal dust emission at HFI frequencies. While it is true that environment can have an impact on both the grain size distribution and relative ratio of spinning to thermal dust emission (thus making the FDS models an imperfect tracer of spinning dust, e.g., Ysard et al. 2011), to generate a strong spinning dust signal at LFI frequencies while not simultaneously producing a thermal signal a highly contrived grain population would be required, in which small grains survive but large grains are completely destroyed. Furthermore, the FDS thermal predictions yield very low dust-correlated residuals (see Fig. 6), indicating a close correspondence between thermal and spinning-dust morphology. Finally, this spectrum is significantly softer than free-free emission, which has a characteristic spectral index \( \approx -2.15 \). Since the \( H_{\alpha} \) to free-free ratio is temperature-dependent, the possibility exists that the haze emission represents some mixture of synchrotron and free-free without yielding a detectable \( H_{\alpha} \) signal. However, to obtain a measured spectral index of \( \beta_H \approx -2.5 \) from 23 to 41 GHz, free-free emission could only represent 50% of the emission if the synchrotron component had a spectral index \( \approx -3 \). Since such a steep spectral index is ruled out by the lack of a strong haze signal at 408 MHz, the synchrotron emission must have a harder spectrum and the free-free component (if it exists) must be subdominant\(^9\). These considerations, coupled with the claimed inverse-Compton signal with \( \text{Fermi} \) (see Dobler et al. 2010; Su et al. 2010), strongly indicate a separate component of synchrotron emission.

### 4.4. Spatial correspondence with the \( \text{Fermi} \) haze/bubbles

The gamma-ray emission from the \( \text{Fermi} \) haze/bubbles (Dobler et al. 2010; Su et al. 2010) is consistent with the inverse-Compton emission from a population of electrons with the energy spectrum required to reproduce the \( \beta_H \approx 2.56 \) haze emission measured in this paper. Furthermore, the \( \text{Fermi} \) haze has a very strong spatial coincidence with the \( \text{Planck} \) microwaves at low latitude (below \( |b| \sim 35^\circ \)), as we show in Fig. 9. This suggests a common physical origin for these two measurements, with the gamma-ray contribution extending to \( b \approx -50^\circ \), while the microwaves decrease quickly below \( b \approx -35^\circ \). As in Dobler (2012a), the interpretation is that the magnetic field within the haze/bubbles sharply decreases above \( \sim 5 \) kpc from the Galactic plane, while the cosmic-ray distribution extends to \( \sim 10 \) kpc and continues to generate gamma-ray emission (e.g., by inverse Compton scattering CMB photons).

Nevertheless, Dobler (2012b) has recently reported the detection of a sharp “edge” in the microwave haze using the WMAP seven-year data. This edge is spatially coincident with the edge in the \( \text{Fermi} \) bubbles for \( b \approx -50^\circ \). We repeated the analysis described in that paper by smoothing the \( \text{Planck} \) data to \( 2^\circ \) and binning the extreme high southern latitudes (\( b < -35^\circ \)) into polar bins centered on the southern \( \text{Fermi} \) bubble (\( (\ell, b_{\text{cen}}) = (-4.5^\circ, -35.0^\circ) \) Su et al. 2010). As shown in Fig. 10, the haze below \( b < -35^\circ \) is clearly detected and, visually, the emission appears to have a sharp edge at precisely the location of the \( \text{Fermi} \) bubble edge. To assess the statistical significance of this feature in the \( \text{Planck} \) data, we plotted the intensity of the \( \text{Planck} \) haze as a function of distance from the bubble center by integrating over angular bins (see Fig. 10) and found that both the \( \text{Planck} \) and \( \text{Fermi} \) data are consistent with an infinitely sharp edge at a distance of \( r \sim 17^\circ \) from the bubble center. Furthermore, by plotting as a function of polar angle for two different ranges of \( r \) (i.e., inside and outside the edge), we found an excess of haze emission inside compared to outside the bubble for all angles. As in Dobler (2012b), we found an “arm” of emission for polar angles less than \( \sim 20^\circ \) in the \( \text{Planck} \) data that is not present

---

\(^9\) In addition, the lack of a bremsstrahlung signal in X-rays requires a fine tuning of the gas temperature to \( \sim 10^5 \) K, a temperature at which the gas has a very short cooling time. This also argues against a free-free explanation as described in McQuinn & Zaldarriaga (2011).
Fig. 9. Left: southern Planck 30 GHz haze from Fig. 6. Right: the same but with contours of the Fermi gamma-ray haze/bubbles (Su et al. 2010) overlaid in white. Above $b = -35^\circ$ (orange dashed line), the morphological correspondence is very strong, suggesting that the two signals are generated by the same underlying phenomenon.

Fig. 10. Left: a high southern latitude ($b < -35^\circ$) view of the Galactic haze at Planck 30 GHz smoothed to 2°. There is a clear spatial correspondence between the haze and the Fermi bubbles shown in Dobler et al. (2010) and Su et al. (2010) including an edge at high latitudes (the green line arc roughly represents the southern Fermi bubble edge). Plotting the brightness in both the Fermi bubble and Planck haze as a function of the distance from the bubble center (taken to be $(\ell, b)_{cen} = (-4.5^\circ, -35.0^\circ)$) by integrating over angular bins as shown by the dotted lines reveals an unambiguous detection of an edge in the Planck haze (middle panel red and green lines) that is spatially coincident with the bubble edge in the Fermi data (middle panel blue line). This emission is consistent with an almost flat profile (purple line) at $r \sim 17^\circ$ from the bubble center. In addition, by integrating over radial bins and plotting as a function of angular bin for two ranges of $r$ (right panel), there is a clear excess of emission inside compared to outside of the bubble in both the Planck and Fermi data.

5. Summary

We have identified the presence of a microwave haze in the Planck LFI data and performed a joint analysis with the seven-year WMAP data. Our findings verify not only that the haze is real, but also that it is consistent in amplitude and spectrum in these two different experiments. Furthermore, we used Planck HFI maps to generate a CMB estimate that is nearly completely clean of haze emission, implying that we have reduced systematic biases in the inferred spectrum to a negligible level. We found that the unbiased haze spectrum is consistent with a power law of spectral index $\beta_H = -2.56 \pm 0.05$, ruling out free-free emission as a possible explanation, and strengthening the possibility of a hard synchrotron component origin. The spectrum of softer synchrotron emission found elsewhere in the Galaxy is $\beta_S = -3.1$, consistent with a cosmic-ray electron
population that has been accelerated in supernova shocks and diffused throughout the Galaxy. This spectrum is significantly softer than the haze emission, which is not consistent with supernova shock acceleration after taking into account energy losses from diffusion effects.

The microwave haze is detected in the Planck maps with both simple template regression against the data and a more sophisticated Gibbs sampling analysis. The former provides an excellent visualisation of the haze at each wavelength on large scales, while the latter allows a pixel-by-pixel analysis of the complete data set. While the template analysis allowed us to derive the $\beta_H = -2.56$ spectrum with high confidence, the spectral determination with the Gibbs approach is more difficult because noise must be added to the analysis to ensure convergence in the sampling method, and because a significantly more flexible model is used (specifically, one in which the spectrum of synchrotron is allowed to vary with each pixel). However, the spatial correspondence of the haze derived with the two methods is excellent, indicating that this component of the emission was successfully separated from other Galactic emissions.

Lastly, we showed that there is a strong morphological correspondence between the microwave haze and the recently discovered Fermi gamma-ray haze/bubbles, including a sharp edge in the microwave data at high southern galactic latitudes ($b \sim -50^\circ$) that is spatially coincident with the edge of the southern Fermi bubble. This last finding strongly supports the conclusion that the haze and the bubbles are a multi-wavelength view of the same structure, and that the microwave haze is a distinct component of Galactic synchrotron emission and not a spatial variation of the spectral index of synchrotron from the Galactic disk.

Although a detection of the haze in polarization with WMAP remains unlikely considering the noise level of the data (Dobler 2012a), future work with Planck will concentrate on using its enhanced sensitivity to search for this component.
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