Cooperative Effects between Hydrophilic Pores and Solvents: Catalytic Consequences of Hydrogen Bonding on Alkene Epoxidation in Zeolites
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ABSTRACT: Hydrophobic voids within titanium silicates have long been considered necessary to achieve high rates and selectivities for alkene epoxidations with H_2O_2. The catalytic consequences of silanol groups and their stabilization of hydrogen-bonded networks of water (H_2O), however, have not been demonstrated in ways that lead to a clear understanding of their importance. We compare turnover rates for 1-octene epoxidation and H_2O_2 decomposition over a series of Ti-substituted zeolite *BEA (Ti-BEA) that encompasses a wide range of densities of silanol nests ((SiOH)_4). The most hydrophilic Ti-BEA gives epoxidation turnover rates that are 100 times larger than those in defect-free Ti-BEA, yet rates of H_2O_2 decomposition are similar for all (SiOH)_4 densities. These differences cause the most hydrophilic Ti-BEA to also give the highest selectivities, which defies conventional wisdom. Spectroscopic, thermodynamic, and kinetic evidence indicate that these catalytic differences are not due to changes in the electronic affinity of the active site, the electronic structure of Ti−OOH intermediates, or the mechanism for epoxidation. Comparisons of apparent activation enthalpies and entropies show that differences in epoxidation rates and selectivities reflect favorable entropy gains produced when epoxidation transition states disrupt hydrogen-bonded H_2O clusters anchored to (SiOH)_4 near active sites. Transition states for H_2O_2 decomposition hydrogen bond with H_2O in ways similar to Ti−OOH reactive species, such that decomposition becomes insensitive to the presence of (SiOH)_4. Collectively, these findings clarify how molecular interactions between reactive species, hydrogen-bonded solvent networks, and polar surfaces can influence rates and selectivities for epoxidation (and other reactions) in zeolite catalysts.

1. INTRODUCTION

Microporous catalytic materials (e.g., zeolites and zeotype structures) are of great industrial and academic interest because these catalysts provide the means to discriminate between reactants via shape selectivity and size exclusion and to accelerate reactions and increase selectivities by stabilizing specific transition states. Dispersive interactions with pore walls are largely responsible for the solvation of transition states (and other reactive intermediates) when the surrounding voids are vacant, such as found using gaseous reactants at high temperatures. The number, variety, and complexity of interactions increase significantly when zeolite pores contain higher densities of reactive species or solvent molecules during catalysis in the liquid phase. Specific interactions (e.g., hydrogen bonding, dipole interactions) between transition states, solvent molecules, and surface functions within pores are individually much weaker than the covalent and ionic bonds formed between reactive intermediates and active sites; however, the collective effects of weak specific forces can create extended (>1 nm) structures that surround catalytically active sites and reactive species. These extended structures...
reorganize to accommodate intermediates and transition states as reactions proceed and correspondingly contribute to the free-energy change associated with each elementary step along the reaction coordinate via changes in excess free energies (G*).

Solvent reorganization influences reactions within liquids promoted by homogeneous, enzymatic, or heterogeneous catalysts; however, quantitative descriptions of these effects remain elusive, in particular, at the solid–liquid interface. Many catalytic reactions (e.g., alkene epoxidation, glucose isomerization, Baeyer–Villiger oxidation) at surfaces respond sensitively to the presence of water (H2O), proximate hydroxyl groups, and hydrogen bonding even when these species exist at trace levels. The effects of H2O and the associated hydrogen-bonded networks formed are particularly significant within the subnanometer pores of zeolite catalysts, which either preclude the formation of H2O clusters when surfaces are nonpolar and hydrophobic or nucleate these same clusters when pore walls contain polar, hydrogen-bonding functions. Within the context of zeolite and zeotype catalysts, the densities of isolated silanol groups (SiOH) and of silanol nests ((SiOH)4) within the material are known to have drastic effects on the adsorption properties and intrapore condensation of small molecules (e.g., H2O, CH3OH, C2H5OH). Once stabilized within the pores, these species influence the stability of coadsorbates, reactive intermediates, and transition states through specific intramolecular interactions, such as hydrogen bonds. Unfortunately, the catalytic contributions of silanol groups and the networks of H2O (or other solvents) they stabilize are not clear due to concomitant changes in other properties of the catalysts and reaction systems in previous investigations.

The role of hydrogen bonding within Ti-based zeolite catalysts on alkene epoxidation has been a topic of debate for decades. Seminal studies on epoxidation within titanium silicalite-1 (TS-1) reported that the hydrophobic nature of pristine TS-1 gives greater yields of epoxide products with respect to hydrophilic titanium silicates (e.g., Ti-BEA, TiO2−SiO2) which was interpreted to be a consequence of increasing the concentration of the alkene substrate near active Ti active sites. These foundational findings inspired a plethora of work on liquid-phase oxidations that sought to relate changes in the catalyst hydrophilicity to observed yields of oxidation products.

Recent studies that investigate the role of SiOH density in TS-1 specifically report epoxide yields that increase with expected densities of silanol groups, which directly contradict the conclusions described above. For example, rates for epoxidation of 1-hexene are greater in TS-1 samples (relative to conventionally synthesized TS-1) with increased numbers of SiOH groups regardless of the method used to introduce these defects: crystallization in the presence of dimethyldiethoxysilane to produce geminal disilanols, seeding with Al-doped TS-1 seeds to create a hierarchically structured material, or the introduction of ethylenediamine to nucleate the formation of TiOH and SiOH defects. In each of these studies, the authors conclude that the synthesis techniques changed either the electronic structure of the active sites or the local concentrations of reactants; however, these studies did not conclusively relate systematic differences in the catalyst structure to fundamental parameters for epoxidation catalysis.

Recently, Grosso-Giordano et al. reported that initial turnover rates for cyclohexene epoxidation by tert-butyl hydroperoxide were five times greater at Ti active site (contained within a surface-grafted calix[4]arene) complexes grafted onto UCB-4 in comparison with the same Ti-calix[4]arene complex bound to MCM-41, which was attributed to consequential interactions between a proximate SiOH group and the Ti active sites on UCB-4 that facilitate either the activation of hydroperoxides or oxygen transfer to the alkene substrate via outer-sphere interactions mediated by the solvent. Contradicting reports regarding hydrophobic effects in TS-1 and related Ti silicates, together with varied explanations for the origins of the differences in rates and yields, demonstrate the complexities of these systems and the difficulties in developing molecular interpretations of experimental results. Consequently, the catalysis community has not reached a consensus on the effects of SiOH groups and the associated hydrogen-bonded networks of solvent molecules on epoxidation catalysis, not to mention the fundamental origin of these effects and how they influence the stability of reactants and transition states.

Here we incorporate Ti atoms into the BEA framework through postsynthetic modification of dealuminated *BEA and by hydrothermal synthesis to create a series of Ti-BEA catalysts with a wide range of silanol nest ((SiOH)4) densities (0−5 (SiOH)4 (unit cell)−1) but with constant pore diameters and active Ti site densities. We use this series of Ti-BEA to investigate the catalytic consequences of differences in only the density of (SiOH)4 on turnover rates for 1-octene (C8H16) epoxidation and H2O2 decomposition, an undesirable parallel reaction pathway. Turnover rates of C8H16 epoxidation are 100 times greater on Ti-BEA that contain ~5 (SiOH)4 (unit cell)−1 than on defect-free materials. H2O2 decomposition rates, however, do not change with the density of (SiOH)4. These large differences in epoxidation rates and H2O2 selectivities do not reflect differences in the electron affinities of the active sites, the identity of the active intermediates, or changes in the mechanism for epoxidation, all of which remain constant among the Ti-BEA catalysts within this study. Rather, the differences in epoxidation rates and the productive use of H2O2 among the Ti-BEA catalysts result from the short-range interactions between transition states for epoxidation (H2O2 decomposition) with H2O clusters that nucleate and bind to (SiOH)4 in close proximity to the Ti active sites. These H2O clusters stabilized by (SiOH)4 exist in semioriented, hydrogen-bonded configurations within the pores of Ti-BEA. The formation of transition states for epoxidation requires that solvent molecules restructure to accommodate the activated complex. These changes disrupt stable hydrogen bonds and increase the entropy of the H2O clusters in ways that overwhelm the associated enthalpy penalty. In contrast, transition states for H2O2 decomposition readily hydrogen bond and stabilize H2O clusters, in ways reminiscent of the Ti−OOH species that saturate active sites, and thus show no discernable dependence on (SiOH)4 density. Collectively, the findings presented here show that the excess free energies of the transition states for epoxidation are influenced by the
density and proximity of (SiOH)₄ moieties and provide a quantitative relationship that describes the interaction of extended hydrogen-bonding structures with the transition states for epoxidation.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Catalyst Synthesis. Ti-BEA-X, where X refers to the initial Si/Al ratio of the parent Al-BEA (X = 12.5–250), catalysts were prepared by postsynthetic modification of commercial Al-BEA samples (see Table 1 for manufacturer and initial Si/Al ratio).14–16

| Sample name | Zeolite vendor | Initial Si/Al ratio | Ti loading (wt %) | Band gap (eV) | Catalytically active Ti atoms (%) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Ti-BEA-12.5 | Zeolyst        | 12.5                | 0.20              | 4.2          | 102 ± 7                           |
| Ti-BEA-14   | Tosoh          | 14                  | 0.30              | 4.3          | 94 ± 6                            |
| Ti-BEA-20   | ACS Material   | 20                  | 0.34              | 4.3          | 97 ± 10                           |
| Ti-BEA-75   | Zeolyst        | 75                  | 0.28              | 4.2          | 94 ± 7                            |
| Ti-BEA-150  | Tosoh          | 150                 | 0.33              | 4.2          | 89 ± 6                            |
| Ti-BEA-250  | Tosoh          | 250                 | 0.42              | 4.2          | 93 ± 7                            |
| Ti-BEA-F    | –              | ∞                   | 0.15              | 4.3          | 92 ± 6                            |

**Table 1. Initial Si/Al Ratios, Metal Loadings, Band Gaps, and Fraction of Active Metal Atoms in Ti-BEA**

Al-BEA was treated in refluxing HNO₃ (Macron Chemicals, 68–70 wt %, 20 cm³ g⁻¹). Caution: HNO₃ is extremely caustic and will readily cause a chemical burn and should be handled carefully) with the intent to remove framework Al atoms by forming soluble Al(NO₃)₃. The solids were then recovered by vacuum filtration and washed with H₂O (17.8 MΩ cm, 50 cm³ g⁻¹), followed by heating at 5 K min⁻¹ in flowing air (100 cm³ min⁻¹) and holding at 823 K for 6 h to remove residual volatile and organic species and to produce Si-BEA-X. Materials produced in this manner possessed Si/Al ratios >1400, as determined by energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy. Ti atoms were incorporated by combining a stirred suspension of Si-BEA-X in CH₃Cl₂ (Fisher Chemicals, certified ACS stabilized) with an appropriate amount of TiCl₄ (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.9%; Caution: TiCl₄ will violently react with moisture in the air to form HCl and should be handled carefully) at reflux. All volatile components were then removed by rotary evaporation. The recovered solids were light brown, and these materials were treated by heating in flowing air (100 cm³ min⁻¹) at 5 K min⁻¹ and holding at 823 K for 6 h, which produced bright-white solid powders.

Ti-BEA-F was synthesized in fluoride media using a previously published procedure.15–16 In brief, 4.89 g of tetraethylammonium fluoride (TEAF, Alfa Aesar, 97 wt %) was combined with 7.25 g of deionized H₂O (18.2 MΩ cm) in a perfluoroalkoxy alkane (PFA) container (Savillex) with 10 g of tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS, Sigma-Aldrich, >98 wt %) to form a gel. This gel was stirred for 1 h prior to the addition of 0.682 g of titanium(IV) isopropoxide (TIP0, Sigma-Aldrich, 99.999%), after which, the PFA container was sealed and the contents were stirred for 16 h at ambient temperature. The cover was then removed, and the excess ethanol, isopropanol, and water were allowed to evaporate at ambient temperature to yield a gel with a final molar composition of 1 SiO₂/0.008 TIP0/0.55 TEAF/7.15 H₂O. This gel was then loaded into a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave (Parr instruments, 45 cm³) and heated to 413 K while rotating (60 rpm) in a convection oven (Yamato, DKN-402C) for 25 days. The resultant solids were recovered, washed with H₂O and acetone (Sigma-Aldrich, >99.5 wt %) six times each (20 cm³ g⁻¹), and dried for 16 h at 373 K. The dried solids were then heated in flowing air (1.67 cm³ s⁻¹ (g solids⁻¹)) at 853 K (1 K min⁻¹) for 10 h to produce a bleached-white solid.

2.2. Catalyst Characterization. The metal contents of Ti-BEA-X were determined using energy-dispersive X-ray fluorescence. In short, ~30 mg of Ti-BEA was finely ground and loaded into a polypropylene sample holder (1 cm diameter) that was sealed with ultralene film. These were then loaded into a spectrometer (Shimadzu, EDX-7000), whose sample chamber compartment was purged with He (Airgas, ultragaro grade). Measurements were taken between 0 and 30 keV (100 scans), and the relative intensities of the fluorescence features for each element were used to calculate the mass of each element within the sample.

X-ray diffractograms of all Ti-BEA were obtained using a diffractometer (Siemens/Bruker, D5000) with Cu Ka radiation (0.15418 nm) under ambient conditions. Figure S1 shows the X-ray diffractograms of all Ti-BEA. The similarities between all diffractograms suggest that all Ti-BEA possess the BEA zeolite framework.

Band-edge energies (Eg, Table 1) for each Ti-BEA were determined from extrapolation of the linear portion of the corresponding Tauc plot calculated from diffuse reflectance UV–vis spectra (DRUV–vis) (Figure S2). Total reflectance spectra were measured under ambient conditions with a UV–vis–NIR spectrophotometer (Agilent, Cary 5) with magnesium oxide (MgO, Sigma-Aldrich, 99.999%) as a solid diluent and background.

Solid-state magic-angle spinning–nuclear magnetic resonance (MAS NMR) spectroscopy was performed on a spectrometer (Varian, Unity Inova 300 MHz) equipped with a 4 mm MAS probe (Varian-Chemagnetics, double-resonance APEX HX) under ambient conditions. Prior to measurement, Ti-BEA was dehydrated in flowing He (100 cm³ min⁻¹) at 573 K for 3 h. Ti-BEA was then loaded (∼35 mg) into a zirconia rotor that was spun at 15 kHz within the spectrometer. Powdered octakis(dimethylsilyloxy)silsesquioxane (Q₈M₈) was used for pulse calibration and ²⁹Si chemical shift referencing (Q₈M₈ has a chemical shift of 11.45 ppm).³⁹Si direct polarization MAS NMR was performed with a 10 s recycle delay with the averaging of 8000 scans.

Infrared (IR) spectra of adsorbed CD₃CN (Cambridge Laboratories, 99.8% D atom) were obtained using a custom-built transmission cell coupled to a Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer (Bruker, Tensor 37) with a liquid-N₂-cooled HgCdTe detector. Catalysts were pressed into self-supporting discs (~60 mg) and placed within the transmission cell, which was assembled using CaF₂ windows and connected to a gas manifold. All materials were first heated to 573 K at 10 K min⁻¹ and held for at least 3 h in flowing He (50 cm³ min⁻¹); Airgas, ultragaro grade) with the intent to desorb water and volatile organics. CD₃CN was introduced via a syringe pump (KD Scientific, Legato 100) and vaporized in the gas-transfer lines into a stream of flowing He (50 cm³ min⁻¹) Steady-state IR spectra (128 scans, 1 cm⁻¹ resolution) of CD₃CN adsorbed to the M-BEA were obtained while flowing the CD₃CN/He stream over the samples.

Vapor-phase H₂O adsorption isotherms were collected on a volumetric adsorption instrument (Micromeritics, 3Flex). Ti-BEA samples (50–70 mg) were pelletized and sieved to retain particles between 250 and 500 µm in diameter. These were degassed by heating under vacuum (<7 × 10⁻³ kPa, 673 K) for 6 h prior to adsorption measurements. H₂O (17.8 MΩ cm) was purified via one freeze–pump–thaw cycle prior to measurement.

The uptake of 1-ocene (C₈H₁₆) was measured by combining Ti-BEA (~10 mg) in a solution of C₈H₁₆ (10⁻⁴ M C₈H₁₆ 0.039 M H₂O, 10 cm³) with CH₂CN at 313 K. An initial (solids-free) aliquot was taken and analyzed via gas chromatography (GC; HP 5890, Series A) to determine the initial concentration of C₈H₁₆. Ti-BEA was then added to the stirring solution of C₈H₁₆ and allowed to equilibrate at a given temperature. An aliquot of solution was then filtered (polypropylene, 0.22 µm) to remove the solids and was analyzed via GC. The adsorbed quantity was determined from the difference in GC peak area between the aliquot after the introduction of Ti-BEA and the initial sample.

2.3. Measurement of Rates for Epoxide Formation and H₂O₂ Decomposition. Rates for C₈H₁₆ epoxidation and H₂O₂ decomposition are determined by monitoring the flow of epoxides (SiO₂-H₂O₂) formed with the aid of Ti-BEA.
decomposition were measured using batch reactors (100 cm³, three-necked round-bottomed flasks) equipped with reflux condensers to minimize evaporative losses. C₂H₅O₂ (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%), S-hexen-1-ol (C₆H₁₀O, Sigma-Aldrich, 98%), Z-stilbene (Alfa-Aesar, 97%, 75:1 Z/E ratio), and H₂O₂ (Fischer Chemicals, 30 wt % in H₂O) or tert-butyl hydroperoxide (t-BuO₂H; Sigma-Aldrich, 5.5 M in decane) were added to a solution of CD₃CN and benzene (internal standard for GC analysis; Sigma-Aldrich, thiophene-free, >99%) and heated to the desired temperature (303−348 K) while stirring at 700 rpm. The reactions were initiated by the addition of Ti-BEA, and small aliquots (∼500 µL) of the reaction solution were extracted as a function of time through a syringe filter (0.22 µm, polypropylene). The concentrations of the organic components within these aliquots were quantified via a GC equipped with a flame-ionization detector. All species were identified, and calibration factors were quantified using standards of known concentration. The concentration of H₂O₂ in each aliquot was measured by colorimetric titration using an aqueous solution of CuSO₄ (8.3 mM, Fisher Chemicals, >98.6%), neocuproine (12 mM, Sigma-Aldrich, >98%), and ethanol (25% v/v, Decon Laboratories, 100%). The concentration of H₂O₂ was calculated by comparison of the absorbance at 454 nm to calibrated standards, measured on a spectrophotometer (Spectronic, 20 Genesys). In all reported data, the carbon balance closed within 95%, and the standard uncertainty for measured reaction rates was <10%. Rates for the conversion of C₂H₅O₂ and H₂O₂ were measured as functions of [C₂H₅O₂] and [H₂O₂], and all reported results were obtained at differential conversion (i.e., <5% conversion of the limiting reagent). The identities of the products of S-hexen-1-ol oxidation were confirmed using mass spectrometry. In short, liquid samples were injected into a gas chromatograph (Agilent, 6890N), and the resolved chromatographic features were subsequently analyzed in a mass spectrometer (Agilent, 5975B) using electron ionization techniques to determine the relevant mass-to-charge ratios. The percent of active Ti atoms in each Ti-BEA was determined by in situ site titrations with methylphosphonic acid (MPA; Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) during the epoxidation of C₂H₅O₂ (0.01 M C₂H₅O₂, 0.01 M H₂O₂, 313 K). In short, a suspension containing Ti-BEA (∼30 mg), C₂H₅O₂, benzene (as an internal standard), and MPA in CH₃CN was stirred for at least 1 h at 313 K with the intent to irreversibly bind MPA to active sites and inhibit epoxidation catalysis. Then, H₂O₂ was added to the reactor to initiate catalysis. Epoxidation rates were measured for systems with ratios of MPA/Ti ranging from zero to unity, and the number of active sites was determined by linear extrapolation of these data to determine the MPA/Ti ratio that gives a rate equal to zero (Figure S3).

2.4. Detection of Reactive Intermediates via In Situ UV−vis Spectroscopy. UV−vis spectra were collected using a 45° diffuse reflection probe (Avantes, solarization-resistant fibers) coupled to a fiber-optic spectrometer (Avantes, AvaFast 2048) with a compact deuterium-halogen light source (Avantes, AvaLight-DHc). Samples were pressed into 7 mm diameter pellets (∼5 mg) and loaded into a liquid flow cell (∼100 µL) with temperature control. Reactant and solvent solutions were introduced using a high-performance liquid chromatography pump (Waters, S15). Background UV−vis spectra (average of 100 scans) were obtained for each material by exposing the sample to a flowing CH₃CN solution (0.039 M H₂O, 1 cm² min⁻¹) at 313 K for 1 h. Spectra were obtained while flowing solutions of H₂O₂ in CH₃CN (0.1 M H₂O₂, 0.4 M H₂O, 1 cm² min⁻¹) at 313 K and continuing until the UV−vis spectra became constant, implying that the system reached steady-state. Displayed UV−vis spectra represent the difference between the experimental spectra and background spectra.

2.5. Computational Methods To Probe Interactions between CD₃CN and Adsorption Sites in Ti-BEA. Periodic, self-consistent density functional theory (DFT) using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) was used to model the enthalpy and entropy of adsorption in zeolite BEA. The computational details and unit-cell specifications were similar to those used previously to model ethanol dehydration in Sn-BEA. In short, the Bayesian error estimation functional (BEEF-vdw) was used with projective augmented wave (PAW) pseudopotentials. A plane wave cutoff of 520 eV was used with a single gamma point and spin polarization. A force criterion of 20 meV/Å was used for geometric convergence. Optimized BEA unit-cell lattice constants were within 1.9% of those reported by the international zeolite database (IZA).

Ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations were performed with VASP at 350 K in an NVT ensemble using a Nose−Hoover thermostat and a time step of 1 fs. CD₃CN was modeled within these AIMD simulations. Each MD simulation was equilibrated for at least 5 ps, followed by a 25 ps production run. Enthalpy changes (ΔH_{CD₃CN}) were defined as an ensemble average of the AIMD energies (E) for gas-phase CD₃CN (E_{CD₃CN(g)}), the empty adsorption site (Eₗ), and CD₃CN interacting with adsorption site s (E_{CD₃CN(s), e.g., Ti atoms}):

\[ \Delta H_{CD₃CN} = \langle E_{CD₃CN(s)} \rangle - \langle E_{CD₃CN(g)} \rangle - \langle Eₗ \rangle \]

where brackets denote an arithmetic averaging of accessible energies from the ensemble sampled by the thermostat.

The entropy of adsorbates was calculated using a two-phase thermodynamic model, which decomposes molecular trajectories into their translational, rotational, and vibrational degrees of freedom, expressed as the vibrational density of states (VDOS). The VDOS was decomposed into its gaseous and solid components according to the original algorithm and integrated to obtain entropies accounting for the plasticity of the BEA framework, as previously applied in the BEA framework for ethanol dehydration. The TRAVIS molecular trajectory code was used to analyze the calculated trajectories. Estimates of the entropy loss upon physisorption involved calculating the principal root-mean-square fluctuations of CD₃CN from the AIMD trajectories. Thus, the entropy loss upon physisorption is predominantly caused by reduction in the translational degrees of freedom. The entropies of adsorption of CD₃CN on Ti and (SiOH)₄ defects were calculated as the differential changes in translational, rotational, and vibrational degrees of freedom relative to those calculated for the physisorbed state. This approach was necessary due to the difficulty in a direct AIMD method that describes absolute adsorption onto these sites from the gas phase. This, in part, may be due to the long time scales required to fully sample the relative translational and rotational modes available to gas-phase species. Thus, on Ti and (SiOH)₄ defects, the entropy change is modified by the nonrigid modes calculated by AIMD as compared with the nonrigid modes for physisorption, including the root-mean-square fluctuations.

The T8 crystallographic T-site of BEA was used to represent substitutional doping by Ti and (SiOH)₄. The T8 site was chosen based on previous reports of adsorbate stability at this site. The Si atom occupying the T8 site was removed, and either the resulting vacancy was replaced with a Ti atom or the four framework oxygen atoms were bound by hydrogen atoms to simulate a (SiOH)₄ site. Isolated SOH defects were not considered computationally because their formation at crystal grain boundaries and mesoscale defects precludes such sites from being constructed within a periodic unit cell. The simulated Si/Ti ratio within these calculations was 63:1, which corresponds to one Ti atom per unit cell.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Quantifying the Density of Distinct Silanol Species with ²⁹Si MAS NMR and FTIR Spectroscopy. Ti-BEA-X (X = initial Si/Al ratio or F for hydrothermally synthesized) was synthesized through the postsynthetic modification of commercially available Al-BEA (initial Si/Al ~12.5−250) and through hydrothermal synthesis in fluoride media to prepare a suite of Ti-BEA with varying densities of (SiOH)₄ groups (Table 1). All Ti-BEA possess a single prominent UV−vis absorbance edge at ∼300 nm that corresponds to the charge transfer from the 2p orbitals of oxygen to the 3d orbitals of Ti⁴⁺. This results in a band gap
for Ti-BEA equal to 4.2 to 4.3 eV (Table 1; Figure S2). This suggests that the Ti-BEA used within this study contains highly disperse Ti atoms and few TiO₂ oligomers or larger aggregates. The number of catalytically active sites was determined by in situ site titrations with MPA (Section 2.3). Titrations with MPA show that turnover rates decrease linearly as a function of the molecular ratio of MPA/Ti for all Ti-BEA (Figure S3) and that rates become immeasurable when the MPA/Ti ratio approaches unity. These data suggest that nearly all Ti atoms that rates become immeasurable when the MPA/Ti ratio approaches unity. These data suggest that nearly all Ti atoms bound to Ti atoms, determined from adsorption isobars using FTIR. (²⁹Si NMR spectra for all Ti-BEA are shown in Figure S4.) The feature at −102 ppm corresponds to Si atoms that possess a single pendant hydroxyl moiety (i.e., Si(OSi)₄(OH), denoted as Q³ sites), and peaks at −112, −113, and −116 ppm originate from Si atoms within the framework of *BEA coordinated to four siloxane functions (i.e., Si(OSi)₄, denoted as Q⁴ sites). The splitting among these Q³ sites reflects contributions from the nine crystallographically distinct tetrahedral sites of *BEA, which are clearly resolved in materials with the greatest degree of crystallinity (e.g., Ti-BEA-F). The ratio of the peak areas for the Q³ sites to the sum of those for the Q³ and Q⁴ sites (Φ_NMR) provides a quantitative measure of the fraction of Si atoms that reside as SiOH functions

\[
\Phi_{\text{NMR}} = \frac{A_{Q^3}}{A_{Q^3} + A_{Q^4}}
\]

where \(A_{Q^3}\) and \(A_{Q^4}\) represent the areas of the deconvoluted ²⁹Si NMR features for Q³ and Q⁴ sites, respectively. (Section S1 shows ²⁹Si NMR spectra for all Ti-BEA and representative peak fits used to calculate values of Φ_NMR (Figure S5). Table 2 shows that Φ_NMR decreases systematically as the initial ratio of Si/Al increases for postsynthetically modified Ti-BEA catalysts and is nearly zero for Ti-BEA-F. Notably, Φ_NMR does not represent the density of (SiOH)₄ groups (i.e., [(SiOH)₄]) because isolated silanol groups (e.g., on the external surface of Ti-BEA particles) also contribute to the Q³ feature. Consequently, clear relationships between the number of (SiOH)₄ and fundamental quantities that determine turnover rates and selectivities for epoxidations in these catalysts require a more specific measure of the [(SiOH)₄] for each material.

IR spectra of *BEA catalysts contain distinct ν(O−H) modes between 3300 and 3750 cm⁻¹ that can be deconvoluted into semi quantitative estimates for the number of isolated and hydrogen-bonded (e.g., (SOH)₄) silanol groups.²⁷,⁴⁷,⁶⁷ Figure 2 shows IR spectra of Ti-BEA-14, Ti-BEA-75, Ti-BEA-250, and Ti-BEA-F after treatments that desorb adventitious H₂O. (IR spectra for all Ti-BEA are shown in Figure S6.) These spectra show distinct features at 1990 and 1865 cm⁻¹ that reflect ν(Si−O−Si) overtones (i.e., contributions from the *BEA framework) and between 3300 and 3750 cm⁻¹, which correspond to ν(O−H) modes of distinct SiOH species.²⁷,⁶⁷,⁶⁸

Table 2. Fraction of Si Atoms Existing as SiOH (Φ_NMR), Relative Densities of Hydrogen-Bonded SiOH (Φ_H), Adsorption Enthalpies for CD₃CN Bound to Ti Atoms (ΔH_CD₃CN,Ti), and of Ti-(η²-O₂) and Ti−OOH, and Z/E Ratios for the Epoxidation of Z-Stilbene over Ti-BEA

| sample name | Φ_NMR ³ | Φ_H ³ | ΔH_CD₃CN,Ti (kJ mol⁻¹) ³ | Ti−OOH LMCT energy (eV) ³ | Ti-(η²-O₂) LMCT energy (eV) ³ | Z/E ratio Z-stilbene ³ |
|-------------|---------|-------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Ti-BEA-12.5 | 0.062   | 2.30  | −32 ± 1                  | 3.1                       | 3.6                       | 14 ± 2                 |
| Ti-BEA-14   | 0.055   | 2.52  | −29 ± 2                  | 3.1                       | 3.5                       | 10 ± 3                 |
| Ti-BEA-20   | 0.052   | 1.90  | −30 ± 1                  | 3.0                       | 3.6                       | 9 ± 2                  |
| Ti-BEA-75   | 0.056   | 2.21  | −31 ± 2                  | 3.0                       | 3.7                       | 11 ± 4                 |
| Ti-BEA-150  | 0.047   | 1.64  | −30 ± 2                  | 2.9                       | 3.6                       | 6 ± 2                  |
| Ti-BEA-250  | 0.041   | 1.26  | −28 ± 1                  | 3.0                       | 3.6                       | 5 ± 1                  |
| Ti-BEA-F    | ~0      | 0.08  | −32 ± 1                  | 3.1                       | 3.8                       | 7 ± 2                  |

²³Determined from ²⁹Si NMR spectra of dehydrated Ti-BEA. ³Determined from FTIR spectra of dehydrated Ti-BEA. ³Corresponds to CD₃CN bound to Ti atoms, determined from adsorption isobars using FTIR. ³Determined from in situ UV−vis (0.01 M H₂O₂ in CH₃CN, 313 K). ³Calculated from product selectivities of Z-stilbene epoxidation (1 mM Z-stilbene, 0.01 M H₂O₂ in CH₃CN, 313 K).
values for extinction coefficients because these likely vary for ν(Si–O–Si) modes across structures.

Figure 3 shows isotherms for the adsorption of H2O as functions of relative H2O pressures (P/P0) onto Ti-BEA-14, Ti-BEA-75, Ti-BEA-250, and Ti-BEA-F, and notably the uptake of H2O onto Ti-BEA-F is much smaller than that for Ti-BEA synthesized by postsynthetic modification at P/P0 values below 0.3. (Adsorption isotherms for all Ti-BEA are shown in Figure S8.) At low values of P/P0 (i.e., <0.05), H2O adsors to SiOH and (SiOH)4, which appear as nearly identical uptakes among Ti-BEA synthesized by postsynthetic modification. Ti-BEA-F, however, adsors significantly less H2O at all P/P0 as this material does not contain sufficient densities of SiOH or (SiOH)4 to nucleate the formation of stable H2O clusters. Notably, H2O cluster formation upon adsorption occurs via stabilizing hydrogen-bonding interactions with SiOH and (SiOH)4 as observed experimentally and computationally for zeolites with the MFI framework.88–90 H2O molecules bind exothermically to monomeric, dimeric, or oligomeric H2O clusters with adsorption enthalpies of approximately −33 kJ mol−1,89 which indicates that small H2O clusters form spontaneously within Ti-BEA following the stable coordination of a few molecules to silanol defects. This suggests that H2O adsorption cannot capture nuanced differences in the density of SiOH groups, whose quantification requires spectroscopic interrogation (see above).

3.2. Identifying Chemically Distinct Binding Sites within Ti-BEA by Adsorption of CD3CN. IR spectra of CD3CN adsorbed onto Ti-BEA were used to determine the adsorption enthalpies and entropies of distinguishable adsorption sites, including Ti atoms, SiOH or (SiOH)4 groups, and siloxane regions of the pore walls (denoted as Si–O–Si)25,71 and to compare electron affinities of Ti-active sites in Ti-BEA. Figure 4a,b shows IR spectra obtained during adsorption isotherms of CD3CN onto Ti-BEA-12.5 and Ti-BEA-F, respectively. The absorbance features at 2263, 2274, and 2302 cm−1 correspond to the ν(C≡N) of CD3CN molecules physisorbed to Si–O–Si, adsorbed to SiOH and (SiOH)4, and bound to Lewis acidic framework Ti atoms, respectively.42,71,72 Features at 2215 and 2115 cm−1 correspond to ν(C&) and ν(C9) of CD3CN, respectively.42 The areas of the ν(C≡N) absorbance bands for CD3CN (Aν(C≡N)0)
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Figure 4. Infrared spectra of equilibrium coverages of adsorbed CD3CN on (a) Ti-BEA-12.5 (0.46–6.95 kPa CD3CN, 346 K) and (b) Ti-BEA-F (0.46–5.03 kPa CD3CN, 387 K). The insets shows peak areas of the ν(C≡N) IR absorbance features for CD3CN bound to SiOH and (SiOH)4 groups (red ●), Ti atoms (■), and Si−O−Si (blue ▲). Dashed lines represent least-squares regression fits of eq 5.

Table 3. Adsorption Enthalpies and Entropies for CD3CN Bound to Lewis Acidic Ti Atoms, to (SiOH)4 Moieties, and to Si−O−Si on Ti-BEA-12.5 and Ti-BEA-F

| Sample          | ΔH_CD3CN,Ti (kJ mol⁻¹) | ΔH_CD3CN,(SiOH)₄ (kJ mol⁻¹)ᵇ | ΔH_CD3CN,O−Si (kJ mol⁻¹)ᵃ | ΔS_CD3CN,Ti (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)ᶜ | ΔS_CD3CN,(SiOH)₄ (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)ᵇ | ΔS_CD3CN,O−Si (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)ᵇ |
|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Ti-BEA-12.5     | −32 ± 1                | −55 ± 4                      | −12 ± 1                   | −83 ± 5                    | −150 ± 10                  | −75 ± 8                     |
| Ti-BEA-F        | −32 ± 1                | −19 ± 1                      | −12 ± 1                   | −83 ± 5                    | −150 ± 10                  | −75 ± 8                     |
| DFT model⁶      | −20                    | −41²⁻⁻³³                      | −22                       | −85                        | −117²⁻⁻¹³⁴                 | −67                         |

ᵃSubscript x is equal to either 1 (for Ti-BEA-F) or 4 (for Ti-BEA-12.5 and within the DFT model) to denote isolated SiOH or (SiOH)₄, respectively.ᵇCD3CN physisorbed to Ti-BEA-12.5 was not spectroscopically observable at the pressure (0.46 kPa) and temperatures (373–423 K) used for van’t Hoff analysis.ᶜEstimated by ab initio molecular dynamics at 350 K with one Ti or (SiOH)₄ per unit cell.⁶Corresponds to the adsorption of three CD3CN per (SiOH)₄ nest.

bound to SiOH moieties, Ti atoms, and Si−O−Si are assumed to be proportional to their fractional coverage (θ_CD3CNₓ).

\[ \theta_{CD3CN,x} = \frac{A_x(C≡N)_x}{A_x(C≡N)_{sat}} \]  

(4)

where \( A_x(C≡N)_{sat} \) is the area of the ν(C≡N) feature for CD3CN bound to a given adsorption site x (i.e., SiOH, Ti, or Si−O−Si) at saturation coverage.

The increases in \( \theta_{CD3CN,x} \) with the partial pressure of CD3CN (\( P_{CD3CN} \)) at each of the three chemically distinct locations (insets of Figure 4a,b) are each consistent with the functional form of a Langmuirian adsorption isotherm⁴⁰ represented by

\[ \theta_{CD3CN,x} = \frac{K_P^x P_{CD3CN}}{1 + K_P^x P_{CD3CN}} \]  

(5)

where \( K_P^x \) is the equilibrium coefficient for CD3CN adsorption onto adsorption site x. A representative peak-fitting procedure to determine these areas is given in Section S2.1. The close agreement between the Langmuirian model and the measured coverages suggests that all adsorption locations within each category of sites are chemically similar and that adsorbed CD3CN molecules do not interact significantly with one another under these conditions. Consequently, enthalpies (ΔH_CD3CNₓ) and entropies (ΔS_CD3CNₓ) for the adsorption of CD3CN to a given site x are determined from values of \( K_P^x \) following the van’t Hoff approach

\[ K_P^x = e^{(-\Delta H_{CD3CN,x}/RT)} \frac{e^{(\Delta S_{CD3CN,x}/R)}}{K_P^x} \]  

(6)

Table 3 shows values of ΔH_CD3CNₓ and ΔS_CD3CNₓ for CD3CN bound to Ti atoms, SiOH, and Si−O−Si on Ti-BEA-12.5 and Ti-BEA-F, measured experimentally (0.46 kPa CD3CN, 373–423 K, Figure S10) and calculated from AIMD simulations. Experimental values of ΔH_CD3CN,Ti and ΔS_CD3CN,Ti do not differ between Ti-BEA-12.5 and Ti-BEA-F within the measured uncertainty, which suggests that the density or proximity of SiOH and (SiOH)₄ groups does not influence the coordination and stability of CD3CN bound to framework Ti atoms. The value of ΔS_CD3CN,Ti calculated by AIMD agrees closely with the experimental values of ΔS_CD3CN,Ti (−85 versus −83 to −99 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹); however, values of ΔH_CD3CN,Ti estimated from AIMD are lower (−20 versus −32 kJ mol⁻¹) than those measured experimentally.

Molecules of CD3CN physisorbed to Si−O−Si pore walls more weakly than to Ti atoms (ΔH_CD3CN,Si−O−Si = −12 kJ mol⁻¹ versus ΔH_CD3CN,Ti = −32 kJ mol⁻¹), as expected because the adsorption of CD3CN to Ti atoms involves specific Lewis acid−base interactions. As a result, entropy losses upon
bonding to siloxane regions are also smaller than those for Ti atoms ($\Delta H_{\text{CD3CN,SnO}2-Si} = -75$; $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,SnO}2} = -83$ to $-99$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). The measured entropy lost upon adsorption to Si–O–Si is similar to estimates from AIMD simulations ($-75$ versus $-67$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). Yet calculated values of $\Delta H_{\text{CD3CN,SnO}2-Si} = -31$ kJ mol$^{-1}$). Moreover, CD3CN bound to (SiOH)$_4$ within Ti-BEA-12.5 possesses lower entropies than CD3CN adsorbed to SiO2 within Ti-BEA-F ($\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,SnO}2} = -150$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$; $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,SnO}2} = -87$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). Notably, values of $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,SnO}2}$ are similar to those of $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,Ti}}$ because CD3CN, when bound to isolated SiOH, is oriented into the pore of *BEA and likely possesses similar vibrational entropic modes (e.g., frustrated translation, hindered rotation). As mentioned above, we did not investigate AIMD simulations for the interaction of CD3CN with isolated SiOH because the exact structure of these isolated defects within *BEA is not known.

AIMD simulations for a single CD3CN adsorbed to (SiOH)$_4$ show similar enthalpic stabilities to those measured ($\Delta H_{\text{CD3CN,(SiOH)$_4}} = -41$ versus $-55$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) yet underestimate the entropy lost upon adsorption ($\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,(SiOH)$_4}} = -117$ versus $-150$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). It is possible, however, that silanol nests may stabilize the adsorption of multiple adsorbates, as the adsorption geometries of molecules onto (SiOH)$_4$ have not previously been elucidated. Indeed, the adsorption of three CD3CN molecules to (SiOH)$_4$ results in AIMD-derived $\Delta H_{\text{CD3CN,(SiOH)$_4}}$ values that are similar to those predicted for a single CD3CN molecule, suggesting that there is minimal enthalpic change (per molecule) for the adsorption of one or multiple CD3CN to (SiOH)$_4$. Furthermore, the adsorption of three CD3CN molecules onto (SiOH)$_4$ does, in fact, show average entropies lost upon adsorption that are similar to those measured ($-134$ versus $150$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$).

The representative geometries for CD3CN bound to (SiOH)$_4$ (Figure S12d) show that a molecule of CD3CN is projected perpendicularly to the 12-membered pore of *BEA in a vacancy produced from (SiOH)$_4$. The peak position of $\nu_{\text{C=O}}$ (CD) is blue-shifted with increasing $\theta_{\text{CD3CN,(SiOH)$_4$}}$ (Figure S11), which reflects the interaction between the $-C=O$ moiety and an electron-rich moiety (e.g., the $\text{OH}$ in (SiOH)$_4$). This shift in $\nu_{\text{C=O}}$ (CD) supports the results from AIMD, which suggests that CD3CN may adsorb into (SiOH)$_4$ perpendicular to the main pore of *BEA in the space afforded from (SiOH)$_4$. The adsorption of CD3CN perpendicular to the 12-membered ring of *BEA primarily results in a significant loss of rotational entropy. This interpretation (i.e., the loss in rotation entropy) is also supported by estimates for entropies of adsorption, calculated using the model of Campbell et al. (eq S1, Section S2.2).

The differences in $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,(SiOH)$_4$}}$ and $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,Ti}}$ primarily reflect the differences in the frustrated translational and hindered rotational entropy of CD3CN adsorbed to (SiOH)$_4$ or Ti atoms, respectively. Decomposition of the translational and rotational contributions to the adsorbate entropy within the AIMD simulations (Table S1) shows that the difference between $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,(SiOH)$_4$}}$ and $\Delta S_{\text{CD3CN,Ti}}$ is consistent with the difference in rotational entropy of CD3CN bound to Ti atoms versus (SiOH)$_4$. The entropy of CD3CN bound to Ti atoms and (SiOH)$_4$ was also estimated using the Sackur–Tetrode equation and the corresponding partition functions that describe frustrated translation and hindered rotation in Section S2.3 (Table 1). Estimates for the entropy of hindered rotations of CD3CN bound to Ti provide a value of $40$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, when the maximum degree of rotation is assumed to be $40^\circ$. This estimate compares favorably to the measured difference in adsorption entropies determined experimentally ($65 \pm 10$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) and predicted from AIMD simulations ($49$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$) for CD3CN bound to (SiOH)$_4$ in comparison with Ti sites, which further suggests that the adsorption of CD3CN onto (SiOH)$_4$ results in the strong interaction of CD3CN with the side channel afforded by (SiOH)$_4$.

Values of $\Delta H_{\text{CD3CN,Ti}}$ reflect the formation of a Lewis acid–base adduct and may seem small in comparison with $\Delta H_{\text{CD3CN,(SiOH)$_4$}}$ however, the strength of these interactions is consistent with measured heats of reaction for complexes of CH$_3$CN and homogeneous Lewis acids. For example, SbCl$_5$ is used for comparisons of Lewis acidity, and the heat of formation of the CH$_3$CN–SbCl$_5$ is $-59$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ (in dichloroethane). Moreover, SbCl$_5$ is a stronger Lewis acid than Ti alkoxides (e.g., Ti(OiPr)$_4$), which may possess electron affinities similar to framework Ti atoms in Ti-BEA. As such, the measured values of $\Delta H_{\text{CD3CN,Ti}}$ on Ti-BEA-12.5 and Ti-BEA-F seem reasonable, and differences between these zeolitic materials arise predominantly in the density and types of SiOH or (SiOH)$_4$ sites.

In summary, the principal differences between the Ti-BEA samples do not reflect differences between the electronic properties (i.e., electron affinity) of the active sites among these materials; therefore, any catalytic differences that may arise must relate to differences in the properties of the active intermediates (Section 3.3), mechanism for epoxidation (Section 3.4), or density of (SiOH)$_4$ (Section 3.5).

### 3.3. Identity and Electronic Properties of Reactive Species Formed Upon H$_2$O$_2$ Activation

Comparisons of steady-state UV–vis spectroscopies of Ti-BEA in contact with solutions of H$_2$O$_2$ were used to determine if differences in the [(SiOH)$_4$] lead to changes in the identity or electronic properties of the reactive surface species formed following H$_2$O$_2$ activation. Atomically disperse Ti atoms, on surfaces of amorphous silicas and within zeolites (e.g., TS-1, BEA, and Ti-BEA), activate H$_2$O$_2$ to form pools of titanium-hydroperoxo (Ti–OOH) and peroxy (Ti–(nO$_2$)) intermediates. The ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) energies of such species reflect the tendency of these intermediates to exchange electron density with the active site and, therefore, provide one quantitative measure of the electronic structure of these reactive surface species. Figure S
shows steady-state UV−vis spectra of Ti-BEA-14, Ti-BEA-75, Ti-BEA-250, and Ti-BEA-F obtained in situ (0.01 M H$_2$O$_2$, 0.04 M H$_2$O, in CH$_3$CN, 313 K). Dashed curves represent Gaussian fits to UV−vis absorbance features. The background for each spectrum was obtained in flowing CH$_3$CN at 313 K. Spectra are normalized by their maximum absorbance and vertically offset for clarity.

Figure 5. UV−vis spectra of Ti-(η$^2$-O$_2$)- (blue) and Ti−OOH- (orange) saturated surfaces of Ti-BEA-14, Ti-BEA-75, Ti-BEA-250, and Ti-BEA-F obtained in situ (0.01 M H$_2$O$_2$ in CH$_3$CN, 313 K). Dashed curves represent Gaussian fits to UV−vis absorbance features. The background for each spectrum was obtained in flowing CH$_3$CN at 313 K. Spectra are normalized by their maximum absorbance and vertically offset for clarity.

Figure 6. Turnover rates for the formation of C$_8$H$_{16}$O as a function of (a) [C$_8$H$_{16}$] (0.01 M H$_2$O$_2$, 0.039 M H$_2$O), (b) [H$_2$O$_2$] (3 × 10$^{-4}$ M C$_8$H$_{16}$), and (c) [H$_2$O] (3 × 10$^{-4}$ M C$_8$H$_{16}$, 0.01 M H$_2$O$_2$) on Ti-BEA-14 (blue ●), Ti-BEA-75 (■), Ti-BEA-250 (green ◆), and Ti-BEA-F (red ▲) in CH$_3$CN at 313 K. Dashed lines represent fits to eqs 8 (Figure 6a) and 9 (Figure 6b,c).

3.4. Reaction Pathways for Epoxidation and H$_2$O$_2$ Decomposition over Ti-BEA Catalysts. Turnover rates for epoxidation$^{8,42,43}$ and sulfoxidation$^{85}$ reactions depend on the concentrations of the organic substrate, the oxidant (H$_2$O$_2$), and the reaction products (e.g., epoxides and sulfoxides) because these concentrations determine the coverage of reactive surface species at Ti atoms and the availability of

OOH depend on [(SiOH)$_4$], which strongly suggests that the proximity and density of (SiOH)$_4$ have a negligible impact on the electronic properties of the active intermediates for alkene epoxidation on Ti-BEA.

The identity of the reactive intermediate was probed by examining the stereospecificity for the epoxidation of Z-stilbene over all Ti-BEA (1 mM Z-stilbene, 0.01 M H$_2$O$_2$ in CH$_3$CN, 313 K). Hydroperoxide species (i.e., M-OOH) epoxidize C=C through a “butterfly” transition state that results in a concerted O-atom transfer and retains the stereochernistry of the alkene.$^{87-89}$ Alternatively, peroxy complexes (i.e., Ti-(η$^2$-O$_2$)) may react through a stepwise mechanism that allows for C−C bond rotation and different stereochernistry for the product.$^{90,91}$ Table 2 shows that epoxidation of Z-stilbene over Ti-BEA-X predominantly produces Z-stilbene oxide in all cases, which suggests that Ti−OOH is the active species for epoxidation and does not vary significantly with [(SiOH)$_4$]. These observations agree with the general consensus that Ti−OOH species are responsible for epoxidation reactions on TS-1, Ti-SiO$_2$, and Ti-BEA materials.$^{8,43,84}$

These analyses show that variations in [(SiOH)$_4$] do not cause detectable changes in the identity or LMCT energies of the Ti−OOH species that participate in epoxidations of alkenes. Such conclusions are also consistent with the quantitative similarities in ΔH$_{CD3CN,Ti}$ (see above), which show that the electronic exchange between adsorbates and framework Ti atoms does not vary over the range of [(SiOH)$_4$] studied here. Collectively, these results suggest that any catalytic consequences related to changes in the value of [(SiOH)$_4$] are not likely caused by simple differences in charge transfer among only reactive species and framework Ti atoms.

3.4. Reaction Pathways for Epoxidation and H$_2$O$_2$ Decomposition over Ti-BEA Catalysts. Turnover rates for epoxidation$^{8,42,43}$ and sulfoxidation$^{85}$ reactions depend on the concentrations of the organic substrate, the oxidant (H$_2$O$_2$), and the reaction products (e.g., epoxides and sulfoxides) because these concentrations determine the coverage of reactive surface species at Ti atoms and the availability of

The identity of the reactive intermediate was probed by examining the stereospecificity for the epoxidation of Z-stilbene over all Ti-BEA (1 mM Z-stilbene, 0.01 M H$_2$O$_2$ in CH$_3$CN, 313 K). Hydroperoxide species (i.e., M-OOH) epoxidize C=C through a “butterfly” transition state that results in a concerted O-atom transfer and retains the stereochernistry of the alkene.$^{87-89}$ Alternatively, peroxy complexes (i.e., Ti-(η$^2$-O$_2$)) may react through a stepwise mechanism that allows for C−C bond rotation and different stereochernistry for the product.$^{90,91}$ Table 2 shows that epoxidation of Z-stilbene over Ti-BEA-X predominantly produces Z-stilbene oxide in all cases, which suggests that Ti−OOH is the active species for epoxidation and does not vary significantly with [(SiOH)$_4$]. These observations agree with the general consensus that Ti−OOH species are responsible for epoxidation reactions on TS-1, Ti-SiO$_2$, and Ti-BEA materials.$^{8,43,84}$

These analyses show that variations in [(SiOH)$_4$] do not cause detectable changes in the identity or LMCT energies of the Ti−OOH species that participate in epoxidations of alkenes. Such conclusions are also consistent with the quantitative similarities in ΔH$_{CD3CN,Ti}$ (see above), which show that the electronic exchange between adsorbates and framework Ti atoms does not vary over the range of [(SiOH)$_4$] studied here. Collectively, these results suggest that any catalytic consequences related to changes in the value of [(SiOH)$_4$] are not likely caused by simple differences in charge transfer among only reactive species and framework Ti atoms.

3.4. Reaction Pathways for Epoxidation and H$_2$O$_2$ Decomposition over Ti-BEA Catalysts. Turnover rates for epoxidation$^{8,42,43}$ and sulfoxidation$^{85}$ reactions depend on the concentrations of the organic substrate, the oxidant (H$_2$O$_2$), and the reaction products (e.g., epoxides and sulfoxides) because these concentrations determine the coverage of reactive surface species at Ti atoms and the availability of

Figure 5. UV−vis spectra of Ti-(η$^2$-O$_2$)- (blue) and Ti−OOH- (orange) saturated surfaces of Ti-BEA-14, Ti-BEA-75, Ti-BEA-250, and Ti-BEA-F obtained in situ (0.01 M H$_2$O$_2$ in CH$_3$CN, 313 K). Dashed curves represent Gaussian fits to UV−vis absorbance features. The background for each spectrum was obtained in flowing CH$_3$CN at 313 K. Spectra are normalized by their maximum absorbance and vertically offset for clarity.
Scheme 1. Proposed Elementary Steps for C8H16 Epoxidation and H2O2 Decomposition over Ti-BEA*

"Symbol $\longrightarrow$ represents a quasi-equilibrated step, whereas $\rightarrow$ represents a kinetically relevant step. The Ti−OOH intermediates drawn are intended to represent the pool of Ti−OOH and Ti-(η2-O2) species that is present, as shown by UV−vis (Figure 5).

coreactants. Figure 6 shows that all Ti-BEA give turnover rates for 1-octene epoxidation that exhibit nearly indistinguishable dependencies on the concentrations of 1-octene (C8H16) (Figure 6a), H2O2 (Figure 6b), or H2O (Figure 6c) despite significant differences in the [(SiOH)4] and in the turnover rates. Notably, Ti-BEA-14 (the material with the greatest density of silanol nests) gives epoxidation turnover rates that are two orders of magnitude greater than those for Ti-BEA-F (the sample with the fewest silanol groups), which indicates that the presence of (SiOH)4 results in a significant increase in epoxidation rates under these conditions. Specifically, turnover rates for 1-octene in Ti-BEA materials increase monotonically with the density of (SiOH)4 groups across the seven Ti-BEA structures (Figure 7a), for reasons described below. For clarity, turnover rates as a function of reactant and coproduct concentrations for materials with intermediate densities of (SiOH)4 groups (i.e., Ti-BEA-12.5, -20, and -150) are shown only in Figure S14a−c.

All Ti-BEA exhibit two regimes that differ in how turnover rates depend on the concentrations of reactants. At low $[C_8H_{16}]/[H_2O_2] \ll 0.5$, rates increase linearly with $[C_8H_{16}]$ and remain constant for different $[H_2O_2]$, which suggests that reactive intermediates derived from H2O2 (i.e., Ti−OOH) cover a majority of active sites. At greater values of $[C_8H_{16}]/[H_2O_2] \gg 0.5$, turnover rates do not depend on $[C_8H_{16}]$, which indicates that C8H16-derived intermediates saturate active sites. Notably, rates remain constant with changes in $[H_2O]$ (4−160 mM), presumably because the quantities of H2O in the pores of each BEA structure remain nearly constant within this range of [H2O]. The dependence of C8H16O formation on reactant and coproduct (i.e., H2O) concentrations agree closely with prior findings for group 4 and 5 metal atoms substituted into zeolite “BEA for the epoxidation of cyclohexene42,43 and styrene,8 and the sulfoxidation of 2,5-dimethylthiophene.88

Scheme 1 depicts a series of elementary steps that describe the measured effects of $[C_8H_{16}]$, $[H_2O_2]$, and $[H_2O]$ on the rates of C8H16O formation. This catalytic cycle involves the quasi-equilibrated adsorption of C8H16 (step 1) and H2O2 (step 2) and the irreversible activation of adsorbed H2O2 (step 3) to form a pool of intermediates. Within this pool of species, Ti−OOH (Section 3.3) reacts with proximate C8H16 (step 4) or H2O2 (step 6) molecules within kinetically relevant processes to form C8H16O or decomposition products (e.g., O2 and H2O), respectively. Molecules of C8H16O desorb in a quasi-equilibrated manner (step 5) after their formation.42,43 Following Scheme 1, rates for C8H16O formation ($r_E$) take the form

$$r_E = \frac{k_i[C_8H_{16}][Ti−OOH]}{[L]}$$

(7)

where [Ti−OOH] is the number of Ti−OOH intermediates and $k_i$ is the rate constant for step $i$ in Scheme 1. The application of the pseudo-steady-state hypothesis to the number of Ti−OOH and a site balance over all possible states of the Ti active site allows eq 7 to be restated as

$$r_E = \frac{k_i[C_8H_{16}][Ti−OOH]}{1 + K_k [C_8H_{16}] + K_k [H_2O_2] + K_k [C_8H_{16}][H_2O_2]}$$

(8)

where $[L]$ is the total number of active sites and $K_k$ is the equilibrium constant for step $i$. The five terms in the denominator correspond to active metal atoms occupied by CH3CN (i.e., the solvent), C8H16, H2O2, Ti−OOH, or C8H16O, respectively. The derivation of the analogous rate expression for H2O2 decomposition is shown in Section S4.2.

Reaction conditions that give turnover rates that are proportional to $[C_8H_{16}]$ and invariant with changes in $[H_2O_2]$ result in surfaces saturated with pools containing reactive Ti−OOH intermediates42,43,88 which reduces eq 8 to the form

$$r_E = k_d[C_8H_{16}]$$

(9)
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The form of eq 9 reproduces the observed dependencies of turnover rates on \([\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}], \text{[H}_2\text{O}_2], \text{ and [H}_2\text{O]}\) from Figure 6a–c, under those conditions where \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]\) is greater than \([\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}]\). When values of \([\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}]\) significantly exceed those for \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]\), the full rate expression (eq 8) only reproduces the observed dependence on \([\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}]\) under two limiting conditions. In one instance, eq 8 matches these data when adsorbed \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{O}\) is the most abundant reactive intermediate (MARI) and the rate of epoxidation is far greater than \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition (i.e., \(k_6[\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}] > k_6[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]\)), which agrees with results from the epoxidation of cyclohexene and the sulfoxidation of 2,5-dimethylthiophene on Ti-BEA. Then, eq 8 reduces to yield

\[
\frac{r_k}{[L]} = \frac{k_k K_k [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]}{[\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\text{O}]} \tag{10}
\]

which describes epoxidation rates that do not depend on \([\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}]\) (Figure 6a). This series of assumptions holds for hydrophobic Ti-BEA-X (\(X = 12.5, 14, 20, \text{ and 75}\)), where rates of adsorption are greater than rates of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition at high \([\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}]\)/[\(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)]. Yet these conditions are not met for hydrophobic Ti-BEA-X (\(X = 150, 250, \text{ and F}\)) samples, because rates for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition are greater than those for epoxidation (i.e., \(k_6[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] > k_6[\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}]\)). Under these conditions, the general rate expression recreates measured concentration dependencies when Ti active sites become saturated with \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\) which simplifies eq 8 to

\[
\frac{r_k}{[L]} = \frac{k_k K_2 K_1}{k_4 K_1} \tag{11}
\]

Equation 11 is consistent with the measured dependence of epoxidation rates on \([\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}]\) (Figure 6a). Equitable comparisons of turnover rates and apparent activation barriers across this series of Ti-BEA catalysts can only be made under reaction conditions that result in equivalent surface coverages of surface species (i.e., all Ti-BEA saturated with Ti–OOH) and equal concentrations of liquid-phase reagents. The next section describes a series of such measurements and the associated interpretations that reveal the molecular interactions responsible for a 100-fold increase in epoxidation turnover rates and selectivities introduced by increasing the density of (SiOH)\(_4\) groups.

3.5. Effects of Hydrogen-Bonding Interactions with Silanol Groups on Turnover Rates and Excess Free Energies of Activation. Turnover rates for \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\) epoxidation increase by a factor of 100 between the most hydrophobic and hydrophilic Ti-BEA. \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition rates, however, remain constant, with differences in \([\text{SiOH}])_4\) for this series of Ti-BEA (Figure 7a; 0.1 mM \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\), 10 mM \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), 39 mM \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), 313 K). These differences lead to significantly greater epoxidation turnover rates and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) selectivities on Ti-BEA with greater \([\text{SiOH}])_4\), where selectivity is defined here as the ratio of the rate of epoxide formation to that of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) consumption. These large changes in epoxidation turnover rates do not reflect differences in intraporous \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) or \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\) concentrations because turnover rates do not depend on \([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]\) under these conditions, and the measured uptake of \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\) is identical among all Ti-BEA (0.1 mM \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\), 0.039 M \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), 313 K; Figure S16). Moreover, the significant differences in epoxidation turnover rates and selectivities do not arise from differences in the electronic properties of the active site (Section 3.2) or active intermediates (Section 3.3), nor are they due to differences in the mechanism for epoxidation (Section 3.4), as all of these factors are indistinguishable among the Ti-BEA catalysts within this study.

Figure 7b shows that apparent activation enthalpies and entropies for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition (\(\Delta H_{\text{App}}\) and \(\Delta S_{\text{App}}\)) do not vary with \([\text{SiOH}])_4\) when measured at identical coverages of reactive species (i.e., Ti–OOH MARI), which suggests that the transition state for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition is not sensitive to the proximity and density of (SiOH)\(_4\). In contrast, Figure 7c indicates that apparent activation enthalpies and entropies for \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\) epoxidation (\(\Delta H_{\text{E,App}}\) and \(\Delta S_{\text{E,App}}\)) vary between the most hydrophobic and hydrophilic Ti-BEA by 12 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and 93 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\), respectively. These differences in the values for \(\Delta H_{\text{E,App}}\) and \(\Delta S_{\text{E,App}}\) account for the 100-fold increase in turnover rates across these materials. Notably, comparisons of these \(\Delta H_{\text{App}}\) and \(\Delta S_{\text{App}}\) values between the two reaction pathways strongly suggest that differences in the stability of transition states for epoxidation (and not the stability of the
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Figure 7. (a) Turnover rates for \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\) epoxidation (■) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition (▲) (0.1 mM \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\), 0.01 M \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), 0.039 M \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), 313 K). Apparent activation enthalpies (■) and entropies (▲) for (b) \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) decomposition and (c) \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\) epoxidation (0.1 mM \(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{16}\), 0.01 M \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), 0.039 M \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\)) as functions of \([\text{SiOH}])_4\) (Section 3.1). Dashed curves are intended to guide the eye. Polanyi plots used to determine \(\Delta H_{\text{App}}\) and \(\Delta S_{\text{App}}\) are shown in Figure S15.
Ti–OOH surface species) are primarily responsible for the catalytic differences among Ti–BEA caused by the presence of (SiOH)$_4$ groups.

Transition-state theory postulates that reaction rates reflect the stability of an activated complex (i.e., a transition state) relative to a known reference state (i.e., Ti–OOH and fluid-phase C$_8$H$_{16}$ or H$_2$O$_2$) within the catalytic cycle (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Proposed Sequence of Steps for the Formation of the Transition State for Epoxidation (Step 2.1) or Decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ (Step 2.2)

\[
\text{Ti} - (00H) + C_8H_{16} \rightleftharpoons K_E^f \text{Ti} - (00H) - C_8H_{16} \ (2.1) \\
\text{Ti} - (00H) + H_2O_2 \rightleftharpoons K_D^f \text{Ti} - (00H) - H_2O_2 \ (2.2)
\]

Here Ti–OOH–C$_8$H$_{16}$ and Ti–OOH–H$_2$O$_2$ are the transition states for C$_8$H$_{16}$ epoxidation and H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition, respectively, and $K_E^f$ is the corresponding transition-state equilibrium constant for epoxidation ($k_E^f$) or H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition ($k_D^f$).

These catalytic reactions occur at solid–liquid interfaces that introduce specific molecular interactions (e.g., hydrogen bonds) that introduce thermodynamic nonidealities and require rates to be described as functions of reactant activities and activity coefficients. Within this conceptual framework, and with Ti–OOH as the MARI, rates of epoxidation ($r_E$) and H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition ($r_D$) equal

\[
r_E = \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}/RT} \frac{[C_8H_{16}]}{[\text{Ti} - (00H)]} \tag{12a}
\]

\[
r_D = \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G_{D,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}/RT} \frac{[H_2O_2]}{[\text{Ti} - (00H)]} \tag{12b}
\]

Within each equation, $\Delta G_{j,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}$ is the Gibbs free energy of activation at the standard state, $\gamma_j$ is the thermodynamic activity of species $j$, and $\gamma_j$ is the activity coefficient for the relevant transition-state complex. For the comparisons made here, the standard state is defined as one that contains negligible hydrogen-bond donors present at the solid–liquid interface, which is best represented by the pore environment of hydrophobic Ti–BEA-F. The activity coefficients of Ti–OOH, C$_8$H$_{16}$, and H$_2$O$_2$ will depend on hydrogen-bonding interactions, which can be described as a function of the excess Gibbs energy ($\Delta G_j$) contribution

\[
\gamma_j = e^{\Delta G_j/RT} \tag{13}
\]

By combining free-energy terms, eq 12a is then restated as

\[
\frac{r_E}{[L]} = \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}/RT}[C_8H_{16}] \tag{14a}
\]

\[
\frac{r_D}{[L]} = \frac{k_B T}{h} e^{-\Delta G_{D,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}/RT}[H_2O_2] \tag{14b}
\]

which also recognizes that the number of Ti–OOH intermediates ([Ti–OOH]) equals that of the active sites ([L]) under the conditions used to measure $\Delta H_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}$ and $\Delta S_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}$ values.

The apparent free energies of activation ($\Delta G_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}$) are given by

\[
\Delta G_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger} = (G_E^{0,\ddagger} + G_E^{e,\ddagger}) - (G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{0} + G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{e}) - (G_{C_8H_{16}}^{0} + G_{C_8H_{16}}^{e}) \tag{15a}
\]

\[
\Delta G_{D,\text{App}}^{\ddagger} = (G_D^{0,\ddagger} + G_D^{e,\ddagger}) - (G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{0} + G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{e}) - (G_{H_2O_2}^{0} + G_{H_2O_2}^{e}) \tag{15b}
\]

where $G_j^{e}$, $G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{e}$, and $G_j^{e}$ are the free energies of the transition state, Ti–OOH, and fluid-phase coreactants, respectively. The excess free-energy terms of the fluid-phase coreactants (i.e., $G_{C_8H_{16}}^{e}$ and $G_{H_2O_2}^{e}$) do not vary with $[(\text{SiOH})_4]$ because these species are not contained within the pores of Ti-BEA. Consequently, differences in the apparent activation free energies ($\Delta \Delta G_f$) between a given Ti-BEA and Ti-BEA-F reflect changes only in the excess properties of the relevant transition states and Ti–OOH

\[
\Delta \Delta G_E^{\ddagger} = G_E^{e,\ddagger} - G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{e} \tag{16a}
\]

\[
\Delta \Delta G_D^{\ddagger} = G_D^{e,\ddagger} - G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{e} \tag{16b}
\]

Activation parameters for H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition do not vary with $[(\text{SiOH})_4]$ ($\Delta H_{D,\text{App}}^{\ddagger} = 37 \pm 8$ kJ mol$^{-1}$; $\Delta S_{D,\text{App}}^{\ddagger} = -120 \pm 45$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$), which indicates that $G_D^{e,\ddagger}$ and $G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{e}$ are either serendipitously equal or that the free energies of these complexes do not depend on the $[(\text{SiOH})_4]$ within these materials (i.e., $G_D^{e,\ddagger}$ and $G_{\text{Ti–OOH}}^{e}$ are equal zero). The invariance in these values may be explained in two ways. First, Ti–OOH and the transition states for H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition may nucleate small clusters of H$_2$O$_2$, as both species are capable of donating and accepting hydrogen bonds. The formation of H$_2$O$_2$ clusters at Ti–OOH and H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition transition states diminishes the effects of nearby H$_2$O clusters that form at proximate (SiOH)$_4$ groups. Second, the effective diameter of Ti–OOH and the transition state for H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition may be too small to interact with the pore walls of BEA$^\circ$ and thus may be insensitive to nearby (SiOH)$_4$ and H$_2$O clusters stabilized at these sites. In the context of either explanation, the strong dependence of turnover rates for C$_8$H$_{16}$ epoxidation on the number of proximate (SiOH)$_4$ groups must arise from differences in the excess free energies of transition states for epoxidation (i.e., $G_E^{e,\ddagger}$).

Values of $G_E^{e,\ddagger}$ and, by extension, $H_E^{e,\ddagger}$ and $S_E^{e,\ddagger}$, that recognize differences in the density of (SiOH)$_4$ groups seem likely to do so via interactions of the aliphatic tail of this transition state with the local environment. Values of $\Delta H_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}$ are lowest in Ti-BEA-F because the aliphatic tail of the transition state interacts weakly with siloxane pore walls through dispersive forces. The pores of Ti-BEA-F should contain acetonitrile and negligible concentrations of water molecules, primarily in loosely bound, disordered states. Consequently, the values of $\Delta S_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}$, which are most negative for Ti-BEA-F among all Ti-BEA, predominantly reflect the loss of entropy related to the coordination of 1-octene to Ti–OOH and changes in the structure of solvating acetonitrile. However, hydrogen-bonded clusters of water molecules near active sites within hydrophilic Ti-BEA materials (e.g., Ti-BEA-14) interact unfavorably with the alkyl chain of epoxidation transition states, which appear as greater values of $\Delta H_{E,\text{App}}^{\ddagger}$ (from 12 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for Ti-BEA-F to 25 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for Ti-BEA-14) as a result of increasing the $H_E^{e,\ddagger}$. The disruption of these hydrogen-bonded water clusters manifests as large positive $S_E^{e,\ddagger}$ values.
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that reflect the reorganization of these confined water clusters to accommodate the epoxidation transition states. Ultimately, differences among activation free energies for epoxidation (ΔG‡) are dominated by entropic contributions under these conditions, which agrees with the expectations that such changes are exacerbated within confining environments that can lead to significant reorganization of molecules.99–101

Values of H‡ and S‡ that increase with [(SiOH)₄] in Ti-BEA (Figure 7b,c) are semiquantitatively consistent with the expected enthalpic costs and entropic benefits of disrupting hydrogen-bonded water clusters, in this case, by the formation of the transition states. The rupture of a single hydrogen bond among water molecules at the interface between bulk liquid water and a nonpolar solute increases the enthalpy of the system by ~10 kJ mol⁻¹ and simultaneously increases the entropy by 25 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.102,103 Water confined within nanometer-scale voids (e.g., in a zeolite) possesses significantly lower entropies than bulk H₂O as a result of structuring and highly correlated molecular motion within pores <1 nm in diameter.100,101 Thus the interaction of transition states for epoxidation with H₂O clusters in these confined spaces results in an entropic gain much larger than anticipated from comparisons to bulk liquid water. The changes in G‡ do not, however, correspond entirely to restructuring of confined H₂O clusters because the value of G‡ reflects the net change in free energy that results from interactions among silanol nests, the H₂O clusters stabilized at these nests, the surrounding CH₃CN solvent molecules, and the epoxidation transition states. Deconvolution of these effects requires detailed kinetic and calorimetric measurements obtained over a range of conditions, which are in progress. Despite the difficulties in deconvoluting the contributions of each set of molecular interactions, these changes in G‡ can be attributed to water-mediated hydrogen-bond donation and acceptance among the species present about the active site. In the following section, we demonstrate that the hydrogen bonds between silanol nests, H₂O clusters, and transition states within the pores of Ti-BEA catalysts increase alkene epoxidation rates regardless of the identity of the oxidant by comparing rates obtained under hydrous and anhydrous conditions.28,29 These observations and interpretations further support the conclusion that the presence of structured H₂O clusters within pores leads to large changes in G‡ within the most hydrophilic Ti-BEA that reflect the complex network of hydrogen bonds formed between the transition states for epoxidation, nearby H₂O clusters, and (SiOH)₄ groups.

The chemical functionality of the reactant should also influence the dependence of G‡ on [(SiOH)₄], particularly if the transition state for epoxidation can donate or accept hydrogen bonds. Figure 8 shows that turnover rates for 5-hexene-1-ol (C₆H₁₁OH) epoxidation increase with silanol density by only a factor of 5 across this series of catalysts, which is much less than the 100-fold difference for epoxidation of C₈H₁₆ across the same materials. The transition state for C₆H₁₁OH epoxidation includes a terminal α-OH, which is less likely to perturb the structure of proximate H₂O clusters than C₈H₁₆. The comparison between the change in epoxidation rates for these two substrates (i.e., C₆H₁₁OH and C₈H₁₆) is consistent with rates that increase due to disruptive interactions between the epoxidation transition states and H₂O clusters and the associated entropy gains.

3.6. Differences in Epoxidation Rates of Ti-BEA Caused by Hydrogen Bonding among Reactants, Surfaces, and Solvents. Figure 8 shows that turnover rates for C₈H₁₆ epoxidation with aqueous H₂O₂ (0.1 mM C₈H₁₆, 39 mM H₂O, 10 mM H₂O₂) increase by a factor of 100 as the density of silanol groups increases from ~0 to 5 (unit cell)⁻¹ between the most hydrophobic and hydrophilic Ti-BEA. In contrast, epoxidation turnover rates using tert-butyl hydroperoxide (t-BuOOH) under anhydrous conditions (0.1 mM C₈H₁₆, 10 mM t-BuOOH, 313 K) give turnover rates that differ by less than a factor of 2. Under anhydrous reaction conditions, (SiOH)₄ groups cannot readily influence the stability of transition states for epoxidation due to the lack of water clusters to facilitate these interactions. Yet the turnover rates for C₈H₁₆ epoxidation with t-BuOOH increase by a factor of 20 when the density of (SiOH)₄ is increased from ~0 to 5 (unit cell)⁻¹ in the presence of small amounts of H₂O (39 mM H₂O). Presumably, these small concentrations of H₂O introduce similar differences in G‡ across the series of Ti-BEA, as observed in epoxidations with aqueous H₂O₂ (Section 3.5). Turnover rates for epoxidation within Ti-BEA-F are largely unaffected by the addition of H₂O to the solvent, which is consistent with the expectation that extended H₂O clusters cannot form within pores of Ti-BEA-F under these conditions.28,29 These observations and interpretations further support the conclusion that the presence of structured H₂O clusters within pores leads to large changes in G‡ within the most hydrophilic Ti-BEA that reflect the complex network of hydrogen bonds formed between the transition states for epoxidation, nearby H₂O clusters, and (SiOH)₄ groups.

3.7. Implications of Relative Hydrophilicity within Zeolite Catalysis. Collectively, these data show that the changes in the density of (SiOH)₄ can greatly influence the rates of a given reaction in the presence of H₂O. The analysis presented here, which describes how the excess free energies of the transition state for a given reaction may change with [(SiOH)₄], is extended to other catalytic chemistries within zeolites to yield new interpretations for the effects of hydrophobicity in liquid-phase catalysis.

Rates of Baeyer–Villiger (BV) oxidations are greater for Sn-BEA catalysts synthesized by postsynthetic modification relative to defect-free materials (i.e., synthesized in fluoride media), such that oxidation rates of cyclohexanone with aqueous H₂O₂ (0.33 M cyclohexanone, 0.5 M H₂O₂, 1.8 M H₂O, 353 K) and for 2-adamantanone with anhydrous t-BuOOH (2 M ketone, 4 M t-BuOOH, 363 K) differ by factors of 6 and 3, respectively, between postsynthetically modified Sn-BEA and defect-free Sn-BEA. The original authors proposed that silanol groups increase BV oxidation rates by

![Figure 8. Turnover rates for C₈H₁₆ epoxidation (●, 0.1 mM C₈H₁₆, 0.01 M H₂O, 0.039 M H₂O₂, 313 K), C₈H₁₆ epoxidation with t-BuOOH (0.1 mM C₈H₁₆, 0.01 M t-BuOOH, 313 K) under anhydrous conditions (blue ○) and with 0.039 M H₂O (blue ▲), and C₆H₁₁OH epoxidation (red △, 0.1 mM C₆H₁₁OH, 0.01 M H₂O₂, 0.039 M H₂O, 313 K) over Ti-BEA as a function of Φₘ (i.e., a measure of [(SiOH)₄]). Dashed curves are intended to guide the eye.](image)
facilitating the coordination of cyclohexanone to the Sn active sites.27 Differences in the rates of 2-adamantanone oxidation, on the contrary, were attributed to diffusion limitations within pores of defect-free Sn-BEA that reduced the availability of reactants at Sn active sites.104 The observations in these publications are consistent with the manner by which rates should change as a result of $G^\ddagger$ for BV oxidation (i.e., the Criegee adduct)105 that decreases due to disruption of the confined $H_2O$ or ROH clusters stabilized at nearby (SiOH)$_4$. Meerwin–Ponndorf–Verley (MPV) reduction rates are greater in defect-free Zr-BEA (synthesized in fluoride media) relative to those synthesized by postsynthetic incorporation of Zr atoms. Specifically, MPV rates were 1.5 times higher in the hydrophobic Zr-BEA (522 versus 350 h$^{-1}$, 1 M 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone in 2-propanol, 35S K).106 The authors do not discuss the origins of these differences within their manuscript.106 These MPV reactions were conducted under ostensibly anhydrous conditions, such that ROH clusters, rather than $H_2O$, are likely formed through hydrogen-bonding interactions with (SiOH)$_4$. On the basis of our analysis, values of $G^\ddagger$ for MPV reduction (i.e., the intermolecular hydride transfer between 2-propanol and ketone bound to the same Zr site)107 may increase with (SiOH)$_4$ because the bulky tert-butyl moieties of the ketone interact with the defect-free pore walls and are enthalpically stabilized (i.e., lower $H^\ddagger$). Clusters of 2-propanol clusters that form at nearby (SiOH)$_4$ are not stabilized by hydrogen bonds to the same extent as $H_2O$; consequently, these clusters do not provide large entropy gains when they accommodate transition states. Collectively, the $G^\ddagger$ for MPV reduction is dictated by the changes in the excess enthalpies that arise from interactions of the hydrophobic ketone with the pore walls of Zr-BEA.

The interpretation of the influence of hydrogen-bonding interactions among SiOH, $H_2O$, and alkene epoxidation transition states seems to also be consistent with reported comparisons among cyclohexene and 1-hexene.24,35 Epoxidation rates on Ti-containing zeolite catalysts. For example, the rates of cyclohexene epoxidation with aqueous $H_2O_2$ are 50% greater on Ti-MWW catalysts synthesized by postsynthetic modification than on defect-free [Ti,B]-MWW (27 h$^{-1}$ versus 18 h$^{-1}$; 5 M cyclohexene, 1 M $H_2O_2$, 3.9 M $H_2O$, 333 K).108 Here the authors proposed that differences in epoxidation rates result from decreases in the zeolite framework electronegativity that arise from Lewis acidic B atoms. Similarly, epoxidation rates of 1-hexene are 65–125% greater on hydrophilic TS-1 as compared with conventional hydrophobic TS-1 (1 M 1-hexene, 1 M $H_2O_2$, 3.9 M $H_2O$, 333 K).24,35 The authors in one study proposed that the increased rates reflected a greater concentration of $H_2O$ near active sites caused by favorable interactions with SiOH groups. Alternatively, in the other study, the large differences in epoxidation rates were attributed to differences in the electronic properties of the Ti active sites.35 It seems more likely, however, that the presence of $H_2O$ stabilized at SiOH within the Ti-zeolite catalysts in these studies results in large increases in the excess entropy of the epoxidation transition states (i.e., $S^\ddagger$) due to the disruption of these confined $H_2O$ clusters within the hydrophilic zeolite pores.

These studies represent only a portion of an extensive body of literature that seeks to explain differences in rates and selectivities among materials with varying hydrophilicity, which often can be thought of as differences in densities of various silanol features. Collectively, the analysis and interpretation of results (within this work and those cited above) show that the excess free energies of the transition states for a given reaction pathway depend strongly on the presence, density, and proximity of extended hydrogen-bonded networks within zeolite catalysts. These complex interactions encompass the formation and restructuring of these intraporous solvent networks, which depend intimately on the chemical functionality of the reactants.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Epoxidation of alkenes by $H_2O_2$ and $H_2O_2$ decomposition reactions occurs at framework Ti atoms within Ti-BEA catalysts, and turnover rates for epoxidation strongly depend on the presence, density, and proximity of the epoxidation transition states to (SiOH)$_4$ moieties. Turnover rates for 1-octene epoxidation increase monotonically with the density of (SiOH)$_4$ in Ti-BEA, where materials containing $\sim$5 (SiOH)$_4$ (unit cell)$^{-1}$ possess rates that are 100 times greater than Ti-BEA that are nearly defect-free. Rates of $H_2O_2$ decomposition, however, are insensitive to [(SiOH)$_4$] across the Ti-BEA tested here. These differences in epoxidation catalysis do not reflect variations in the electron affinity of the Ti active sites among the series of Ti-BEA because neither measured adsorption enthalpies for CD$_3$CN to Ti atoms nor ligand-to-metal charge-transfer energies for Ti–$O$OH and Ti–$(\eta^1$-$O)$ species differ with the density of (SiOH)$_4$. Mechanistic interpretations of epoxidation rates measured as a function of reactant concentrations, in combination with in situ UV–vis measurements and radical-clock reactions with cis-stilbene, show that the mechanism for epoxidation and the identity and electronic properties of reactive Ti–$O$OH intermediates do not vary with (SiOH)$_4$ density.

Apparent free energies of activation for 1-octene epoxidation decrease with increasing densities of (SiOH)$_4$ within reactant solutions that contain small concentrations of water (39 mM), which indicates that water molecules and their hydrogen-bonding interactions help stabilize epoxidation transition states. Specifically, apparent activation enthalpies and entropies for 1-octene epoxidation are 13 kJ mol$^{-1}$ lower and 93 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ more negative on defect-free Ti-BEA than Ti-BEA containing $\sim$5 (SiOH)$_4$ (unit cell)$^{-1}$, respectively. Yet these same quantities for the decomposition of $H_2O_2$ remain constant, within the uncertainty of the measurements for all Ti-BEA. These comparisons show that smaller apparent entropy losses for forming epoxidation transition states in Ti-BEA with the most (SiOH)$_4$ are responsible for the significantly greater epoxidation turnover rates and selectivities in these materials.

The differences in apparent activation enthalpies and entropies with the density of (SiOH)$_4$ arise from excess enthalpies and entropies of the transition states for epoxidation that reflect enthalpically unfavorable interactions between the aliphatic chain of the transition states and water clusters present near (SiOH)$_4$. Within hydrophilic Ti-BEA, clusters of water confined near (SiOH)$_4$ reorganize in response to the formation of transition states for epoxidation, which leads to the rupture of hydrogen bonds and increases in the entropy of these water clusters. However, the apparent activation enthalpies and entropies for $H_2O_2$ decomposition are not affected by the presence of water because the transition state for $H_2O_2$ decomposition interacts with these hydrogen-bonded networks in ways similar to Ti–$O$OH reactive species. These interpretations are consistent with the fact that turnover rates
for 1-octene epoxidation vary by less than a factor of 2 across the same series of Ti-BEA catalysts when reactions proceed in the absence of water (i.e., using tert-butyl hydroperoxide under anhydrous conditions). Epoxidation turnover rates recover their sensitivity to [(SiOH)4] groups and exhibit a 20-fold increase with increases in [(SiOH)4] when small amounts of H2O were added to the otherwise anhydrous solutions. These data support the conclusion that changes in excess free energies reflect dynamic changes in the structure of water clusters near active sites that result from changes in coverage and molecular structure of the species bound to framework Ti as the reaction proceeds.

Overall, the data and interpretations presented here suggest that the excess free energies of the transition states for liquid-phase reactions within zeolites will be influenced by the density and proximity of [(SiOH)4] moieties in the presence of hydrogen-bonding solvents or reactants. Small confined clusters of hydrogen-bond-donating or -accepting molecules (e.g., H2O or ROH) nucleate at [(SiOH)4] and must reorganize to accommodate the adsorption of reactants and the formation of transition states. This solvent reorganization will result in a change in the transition state’s excess free energy that is a complex function of the chemical and physical properties of the reactant (e.g., hydrogen-bonding character, and polarity) and of the catalyst (e.g., [(SiOH)4] density, pore diameter). The deconvolution of these individual types of interactions and the associated effects on liquid-phase catalysis remain challenging and require a combination of kinetic, spectroscopic, calorimetric, and computational methods; such work represents an important area of research that must be developed to increase understanding of catalysis at solid–liquid interfaces.
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