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Abstract: Mycotoxins are the toxic secondary metabolites naturally produced by fungi; their contamination in agricultural products and food severely threatens food safety and public health worldwide. The reliable, efficient, and sensitive quantification of mycotoxins in food has become increasingly challenging to tackle due to the complexity of food matrices and their low level. Visual detection has emerged as a popular trend toward miniaturization and simplification of mycotoxins assays yet is constrained with their limited sensitivity. This review mainly focuses on the various sensitive visual immunoassays for signal amplified detection of mycotoxins. These signal amplified immunoassays for the improved sensitivity of mycotoxins detection in food through nanomaterials for encapsulation enzyme, enzyme-mediated nanomaterials as the amplified signal readout, and nanozyme. Furthermore, the underlying principle and the advantages of visual immunoassays for mycotoxins have been proposed. And the challenges and perspectives have been proposed to develop improved efficient visual immunoassays for mycotoxins in food.
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1. Introduction

Mycotoxins are toxic secondary metabolites secreted by fungi under suitable temperature and humidity pre- and/or post-harvest [1–3]. Mycotoxins can affect the quality and safety of agriculture products, the associated processed foodstuffs, feedstuff, and animals. Over 400 mycotoxins have recently been identified, the worldwide occurrence of mycotoxins involving aflatoxin (AF), ochratoxin (OT), zearalenone (ZEN), deoxynivalenol (DON), fumonisins (FB), and T-2 toxin [4,5]. It is well known that aflatoxin is the representative mycotoxin, including AFB1, AFB2, AFG1, and AFG2, which has been confirmed to be immunosuppressive, teratogenic, and mutagenic [6,7]. Meanwhile, AFB1 could be metabolized into the toxic hydroxyl metabolite of AFM1, which is widespread presence of milk and dairy products.

Additionally, ZEN with a strong estrogenic effect and OTA with neurotoxicity and hepatotoxicity could adversely affect animals and humans. To protect humans from exposure mycotoxins, strict standards of limiting mycotoxin levels in food and the associated products have been regulated in many countries worldwide [8]. The monitoring of mycotoxins has been recognized as a significant way to safeguard food safety. However, mycotoxins detection in food matrices is challenging due to their low levels and complex food matrices.
Accordingly, it is highly desirable to conduct the effective, reliable and sensitive analytical strategy for screening mycotoxins in food matrices.

Nowadays, many efforts have been made to detect mycotoxins in food, involving instrumental analysis [9–13] and immunoassays [14–16]. The instrumental analysis requires expensive, sophisticated instruments, a time-consuming sample preparation process, and well-trained staff, which is not suitable for rapid screening numerous samples, and precludes their wide application in resource-constrained regions [17]. Immunoassays have been extensively identified as promising specific recognition for quantifying mycotoxins thanks to their sensitivity, on-site, as well as high-throughput screening capability. The specific recognition interaction between antibody and antigen has generally favored highly selective and reliable monitoring of mycotoxins. Various signal transduction techniques have currently been utilized to conduct mycotoxins immunoassays, such as fluorescence [18–20], electrochemistry [21–24], chemiluminescence [25], and colorimetry [26–28]. Attractively, visual detection, a popular trend toward miniaturization and simplification analysis, is capable of directly observing the results by the naked eye without other sophisticated instruments [29–31].

Currently, various immunoassays involving enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) [32,33], lateral flow immunoassay (LFI) [34–37] have been demonstrated as an excellent platform for discrimination of mycotoxins. Among them, ELISA and LFI served as the representative visual immunoassay, have attracted continuous interest due to their advantages of simple, and on-sites for rapid screening mycotoxins. Yet, the sensitivity of these conventional visual detection methods require improvement to monitor trace amounts of mycotoxins in complex food matrices. Thus, numerous studies have currently been devoted to the construction of the visualized immunoassays for enhancing the sensitivity of mycotoxins detection via signal amplification.

Recently, the robust enzyme catalytic amplification has been confirmed to enhance the sensitivity of immunoassays. Particularly, elaborate enzymatic strategies for improving the limited enzyme amount and the catalytic activity have been engineered as efficient and sensitive immunoassays for high-performance sensing targeted analytes. The emerging nanomaterials with unique optical, electrical, magnetic, and catalytic properties provide new opportunities for improving enzymatic immunoassays [38–42]. More evidence has revealed that the integration of novel nanomaterials promoted sensitivity improvements on mycotoxins detection [43–45]. For instance, Au nanoparticles (AuNPs) functionalized with antibodies, effectively discriminating the immune complex and enzyme to catalytic reaction substrate, significantly elevated their analytical performance [46–48]. Accordingly, the combination of nanomaterials and enzymatic immunoassays provides a potent signal amplified platform for highly sensitive and specific rapidly screening of mycotoxins. Herein, we summarize the improvements on visual immunoassays of mycotoxins by integrating nanomaterials and enzymatic signal amplification. The improvements in sensitivity of mycotoxin in food were emphasized with the assistance of nanomaterials for encapsulation enzyme, enzyme-mediated nanomaterials as the amplified signal readout, and nanomaterials for enzyme-mimics. Challenges and outlook of mycotoxin detection have been proposed to develop improved and efficient visual immunoassays in food.

2. The Signal Amplified Strategies

Natural enzymes, as potent biocatalysts have been widely used in countless laboratories, medical and food safety fields thanks to their high catalytic activities, substrate specificity, good biocompatibility, and wide range of biocatalysis [49,50]. ELISA is a classical enzyme-based visual immunoassay, which mainly includes the sorbent substrate, immunorecognition and enzyme labels. The antigen or antibody serves as sorbent substrate to immobilize onto the supporting material, enzyme-labeled molecule then immobilized to sorbent [51]. The sensing principle of ELISA mainly relies on the specific immune reaction between antibodies and antigens. Generally, after precoating the antibody or antigen on the sorbent substrate through physical absorption, the antigen or antibody were captured
via specific immuno-recognition, and further immobilized on the substrate. The enzyme-labeled antibody would bind to the antigens to form a bioconjugation. Significantly, the enzyme catalyzes the colorless chromogenic substrate to generate colorimetric output, and the resultant colorimetric signal is recorded by UV-vis spectrophotometer or microplate reader to quantify the analyte concentration \[52,53\]. The sensitivity of ELISA could be effectively enhanced by improving the absorbent substrate, the recognition element, enzyme label, or chromogenic reagent. Among them, natural enzymes represent robust signal amplification, which has been extensively utilized to develop the highly sensitive immunoassays for trace level mycotoxins because of the catalytically amplified signal.

The peroxidase activity of horseradish peroxidase (HRP) has been used in the traditional ELISA, where HRP served as signal amplification for catalysis \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) into hydroxyl radical (•OH) that can react with the colorless chromogenic substrate 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), 2,2′-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS) or o-phenylenediamine (OPD) into blue TMB\(_{\text{ox}}\), green ABTS\(_{\text{•+}}\), or yellow OPD\(_{\text{ox}}\) under acidic condition. The colorimetric signal intensity is associated with the anchored HRP-labeled antigen or antibody for catalysis chromogenic substrates \[54\]. Accordingly, the analytes can be quantified through a direct method or an enzyme-labeled secondary antibody. In the previous studies, HRP-labeled antibodies were the most commonly used in the traditional ELISA to realize the various mycotoxin detection in foods \[55–59\]. The aforementioned ELISA adopted enzyme-labeled secondary antibodies through chemical conjugation to generate a signal. Yet, the chemical conjugation of the enzyme might result in the loss of enzyme activity, low stability for reagents labeling, and decreased sensitivity and specificity of the ELISA \[60\]. More evidence was revealed that the fusion protein had been recognized as an immunological agent for mycotoxins detection since its good antigen binding and enzyme activity. A nanobody-alkaline phosphatase (ALP) fusion protein has been revealed to improve the sensitivity for \( \text{FB}_1 \) and OTA detection in argo-products \[61–63\].

Note that the enzyme-labeled antigen or antibody revealed the limited enzyme molecules. For instance, HRP-labeled conjugate always presented the limited HRP molecules with approximately 2–3 HRP per antibody \[64\], which remarkably weakened the enzymatic signal amplification and the sensitivity of immunoassays. Besides the limited enzyme molecules, the low economy of the conjugated enzyme might lead to an increase the production cost of the immunoassays \[65,66\]. Meanwhile, enzyme-label is susceptible to decreasing or even losing catalytic activity upon practical detection \[67\]. Thus, the efficient strategies of augmenting enzyme amounts contribute to amplifying the sensitivity of visual immunoassay. Various enzymatic signal amplification immunoassays using nanomaterials as a robust scaffold for enzyme immobilization, enzyme-mediated nanomaterials for amplified signal readout, and nanozyme as an alternative for natural enzyme have recently been used to improve the enzyme loading and catalytic activity.

### 2.1. Immobilized Natural Enzymes on Nanomaterials for Amplification

Increasing the enzyme amounts in the final antigen–antibody–enzyme complex facilitates the catalysis of the substrate and signal amplification in a single recognition reaction (Figure 1A). Attractively, nanomaterials can execute as excellent carriers for loading and immobilizing enzymes by virtue of their large surface area-to-volume ratio, high loading capacity, facile fabrication, ease of functionalization, and high chemical stability. The multienzymes and antibodies immobilized on the surface of a single nanomaterial to effectively amplify the detectable signal, and thus enhance the sensitivity \[68\]. The emerging nanomaterials of metal/metal oxides nanoparticles, silica nanoparticles \[69\], carbon nanomaterials, and metal-organic frameworks have been demonstrated as excellent carriers for immobilizing natural enzymes for sensitive analysis. For instance, Zhu et al. utilized botryoid-shaped Au/Ag nanoparticles (BSNPs) loading HRP–IgG to construct indirect competitive ELISA for amplified ochratoxin A (OTA) detection in four wheat samples. After precoating the OTA-OVA antigen, the analyte of OTA was introduced as a competing component, followed by the addition of an anti-OTA antibody. Thus HRP-IgG-BSNPs complex was used
as the enzyme-labeled secondary antibody for catalysis colorless TMB into blue oxidized TMB with the assistance of H$_2$O$_2$. And the colorimetric intensity was recorded by a microplate reader to examine the OTA level. The high loading amount of HRP–IgG onto the BSNPs contributed to improved sensitivity of OTA with the IC$_{50}$ of 0.05 ng/mL, which revealed a 30-fold improvement compared to the conventional ELISA [70].

Similarly, Li et al. [71] developed an indirect competitive ELISA for the total FB$_1$, FB$_2$, and FB$_3$ detection in maize samples based on AuNPs immobilized HRP-goat anti-mouse IgA. The enhanced sensitivity was approximately ten times compared to the conventional ELISA (Figure 1B). Liu et al. [72] developed metal-organic frameworks (MOFs)-loaded HRP and goat anti-mouse IgG for ZEN detection in argo-products. The LOD of this immunoassay achieved 0.5 ng/L for ZEN detection, which showed an approximately 126-fold enhancement relative to conventional HRP-based immunoassay (Figure 1C). Besides single nanomaterials, polymer-coated nanomaterials as enzyme containers have demonstrated to be the amplified strategies of conventional nanomaterials for further elevating the enzyme loading capacity of nanomaterials. Xiong’s group presented that SiO$_2$ NPs carrying poly (acrylic acid) (PAA) brushes as a “CAT container” were used to amplify the sensitivity of OTA in various argo-products [73]. In this case, the SiO$_2$@PAA@CAT could generate a signal amplification for plasmonic ELISA by using catalase (CAT)-catalyzed the changed plasmonic signal readout of AuNPs. The LOD by naked eye and microplate reader was $10^{-18}$ and $5 \times 10^{-20}$ g/mL, which was seven and eight orders of magnitude lower than that of CAT-based ELISA ($10^{-11}$ g/mL by the naked eye) and HRP-based conventional ELISA ($10^{-11}$ g/mL by the microplate reader) (Figure 1D).
2.2. Natural Enzyme-Mediated Nanomaterials for Amplified Signal Readout

In addition to the typical chromogenic substrate, natural enzyme-catalyzed products enable to regulate the color change of nanomaterials, especially for plasmonic property of AuNPs, achieving the visual detection of mycotoxins (Figure 2A). For instance, Xiong’s group [74] developed a direct competitive ELISA through CAT-mediated AuNPs aggregation using HRP + H₂O₂ + tyramine system. In this case, phenol polymerization of tyramine by •OH from HRP-catalyzed H₂O₂ triggered AuNPs aggregation. The competitive antigen of OTA-labeled CAT was employed to catalyze H₂O₂ into H₂O and O₂. AuNPs presented monodisperse (red) without OTA, while the AuNPs aggregation (blue) was observed with OTA, and the extinction spectra of AuNPs were used as the signal recorder. The combined advantages of ultrahigh CAT catalytic activity and color change of AuNPs contributed to sensitively detecting OTA in corn samples. The IC₅₀ and LOD (IC₅₀) of OTA were 84.75 and 17.8 pg/mL, which revealed a 2.9- and 2.7-fold enhancement compared with the conventional ELISA (Figure 2B).

Meanwhile, this group also utilized the glucose oxidase (GOx) -catalyzed glucose into H₂O₂, which reduces Au³⁺ into Au⁰ on the surface of Au seeds with an obvious color change for a direct competitive ELISA for FB₁ detection in maize samples. The IC₃₀ was 1.86 ng/mL, approximately 13-fold lower than that of HRP-based conventional ELISA [75]. Apart from AuNPs, enzyme-assisted etching of Au nanorods (NRs) triggered visual detection of mycotoxins. HRP-assisted AuNRs-etching direct competitive ELISA was developed to sensitively detect AFB₁ in corn samples. The competitive antigen of AFB₁-labeled GOx could catalyze glucose molecules into H₂O₂, and HRP simultaneously catalyze H₂O₂ to form •OH. The rod-like morphology AuNRs was chemically etched to spherical morphology by •OH, leading to visual signal output. The etching process of AuNRs efficiently occurred without AFB₁, yet the blocking of AuNRs etching was clearly presented in the presence of AFB₁. The decreased optical density and the apparent color change from bluish-green to red were collected by a microplate reader or the naked eye for qualitative AFB₁ detection. The method allowed sensitive determination of AFB₁ with IC₅₀ of 22.3 pg/mL, which enhanced 32 times compared to the traditional ELISA [76].

Although these approaches achieved superior sensitivity, most of them rely on traditional single-signal readout mode. And these strategies might encounter the limitation of inaccuracy for mycotoxins evaluation, which was partly ascribed to external interferences, such as nonstandard test processes, different operators, or diverse surrounding environments [77–79]. Recent development in mycotoxins immunoassays enable the integration of visual and various signal transduction techniques into dual-signal strategies, and thus offering multi models for mycotoxins detection because of their self-calibration. Typically, by using the changed multiple color and LSPR shifts of Au nanobipyramids etched by •OH generated from HRP-catalyzed H₂O₂, and the changed photocurrent of CdS etched by the oxidized HRP. Wei et al. [80] developed an improved colorimetric and photoelectrometric immunoassay for ochratoxins (Figure 2C). The nanoliposomes as the vehicle for carrying more secondary antibodies and encapsulating HRP significantly amplified the detection signal, realizing the simultaneous detection of three ochratoxins (OTA, OTB, and OTC). The dual-modality immunoassay showed high sensitivity with LOD of 0.7 and 1.7 ng/L for photoelectrometric and colorimetric readouts, respectively. Attractively, the dual-modality response immunoassays showed a more accurate and reliable outcome compared with the single modality.
Figure 2. (A) The enzymes-catalyzed products-mediated nanomaterials for signal readout. (B) CAT-mediated AuNPs aggregation-based ELISA for OTA. Reprinted from ref [74]. Copyright 2018 Elsevier. (C) HRP-mediated Au nanobipyramids etching process-based immunoassay for ochratoxins. Reprinted from ref. [80]. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society.

2.3. Nanozyme for Signal Amplification

Although natural enzymes are extensively used in various fields, their catalytic activities were still susceptible to the extreme environment, e.g., heat, pH, organic solvents, mechanical stress, heavy metal, etc. Meanwhile, they present many shortcomings, such as high expense, low recyclability, poor operational stability and limited practical applications, e.g., the preparation, reaction, and storage requirements [81–83]. Nanomaterials-based artificial enzymes (nanozyme) have been particularly attractive since the discovery of Fe₃O₄ NPs with peroxidase-like activity by Yan’s group in 2007 [84]. Nanozymes are ideal candidates for alternative natural enzymes due to their high catalytic activity, tunable catalytic activity and types, multienzyme mimetic activity, high stability, low cost, durability and ease of functionalization [62]. Nowadays, various nanozymes have been served as catalytic labels for multi-category signal amplification in newly developed immunoassays. Numerous studies revealed that metal NPs (Au, Ag, Pt, Pd) [85,86], metal oxide NPs (Fe₃O₄, CeO₂, MnO₂, CuO) [87–92], carbon-based (graphene oxide, carbon nitride, carbon dots) [93–96], and MOFs-based nanomaterials [97–99] with peroxidase-, catalase-, oxidase-, superoxide dismutase-mimicking properties.

These nanozymes have been designed to amplify the sensing of mycotoxins (Figure 3A). For example, Xu et al. [100] developed an indirect competitive MOFs-linked immunosorbent assay for the high throughput and sensitive detection of AFB₁ in grain drinks.
Peroxidase-like activity of MOFs (MIL-88) was conjugated to a secondary antibody to substitute natural HRP-labeled secondary antibody. The MOFs-based immunoassay allowed to sensitively detect AFB$_1$ with the LOD of 0.009 ng/L with 20 times improvement compared to the conventional ELISA. The enhanced sensitivity might arise from their good dispersity, more active sites, and pores of MOFs-labeled antibodies promoted the catalytic reaction between MOFs-labeled antibody nanozyme and substrate. Significantly, the immunoassay could successfully decrease the occurrence of false positives and false negatives during the detection of AFB$_1$ (Figure 3B).

Furthermore, Zhu et al. [101] developed a competitive ELISA that was constructed to sensitively monitor OTA in millet samples through octahedral Cu$_2$O nanoparticles etching of Au nanobipyramids. Peroxidase-mimicking activity of Cu$_2$O could oxidize TMB in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$, and the yellow product TMB$_{2+}$ could etch the Au nanobipyramids, triggering a significant longitudinal peak blue shift of local surface plasmon resonance. In this case, a dopamine-coated microplate was used to capture OTA antigens, and followed by the immunoreaction between OTA antibodies and the Cu$_2$O-labeled secondary antibody. The growing concentration of OTA resulted in a decrease of Cu$_2$O-labeled secondary antibody amount, further imposing adverse effects on the generation of catalytic product TMB$_{2+}$ and the etching process of AuNRs (Figure 3C). The method allowed to sensitively detect OTA with LOD of 0.47 ng/L.

Apart from the single nanozyme for signal amplification, multienzyme-based cascade catalysis is another important signal transduction and amplification strategy. In the catalytic cascade system, the decreased diffusion path of intermediates between the enzymes enables the improvement of unstable intermediates, facilitating their efficiency and specificity [102–104]. Meanwhile, the single substrate can be converted into more signal molecule through the multienzyme-associated continuous catalysis reaction and contributes to the signal amplification [68,83,105]. Lai et al. [105] proposed a competitive cascade amplified immunoassay for AFB$_1$ detection in peanut samples by a combination of ascorbate oxidase (AO$_x$/anti-AFB$_1$ antibody-labeled AuNPs and oxidase-mimics MnO$_2$ (Figure 3D). With the assistance of ascorbic acid (AA), a blue MnO$_2$-TMB system was converted into a colorless system because of the dissolution of MnO$_2$ into Mn$^{2+}$. Once introduced AO$_x$, the color change could be suppressed since AO$_x$ catalysis AA to dehydroascorbic acid. The cascade signal amplification remarkably improved the sensitivity of AFB$_1$ with LOD of 6.5 pg/mL, which approximately enhanced 15-, 7-, and 38-fold compared to the existing commercialized AFB$_1$ kits (e.g., QuickingBiotech:100 ppt; Max Signals: 50 pg/mL; MyBioSource: 250 pg/mL). Similarly, Lai further developed a competitive immunoassay for sensitive screening AFB$_1$ in a peanut sample (LOD: 0.1 ng/mL), based on the just-in-time generation of an oxidase, mimics MnO$_2$ through the reaction KMnO$_4$ and Mn$^{2+}$ with the assistance of AO$_x$ [106].

Similar to ELISA, LFI is another important visual immunoassay for nanomaterials-labeled one-step immunochromatographic paper-based point-of-care tests. LFI is widely used in food safety owing to its low cost, speed, and ease of use [107–109]. The components of LFI mainly include a sample pad, a nitrocellulose (NC) membrane containing the test and control zones, conjugate and absorbent pads from cellulose, and a polyvinyl chloride backing card for assembling the components [110]. Once the sample solution is dropped onto the sample pad, it can migrate along the strips driven by capillary forces. Then, the sample dissolves the detection reagent in the conjugation pad, followed by flows along the strip within the porous membrane, where the analyte and the signal reporter were captured on the test line, thereby leading to the generation of a detectable signal. The sensing principle of LFI for analytes mainly includes the competitive and sandwich types. Generally, the competitive LFI is utilized to analyze mycotoxins due to their low-molecular weight. For the competitive LFI, the analyte competes with the same molecule, or the analyte blocks the capture agent attached on reporter tags in conjugation [111,112]. The resultant detectable signal intensity of the test line decreased upon the growing concentration of mycotoxins.
Nowadays, numerous nanocatalyst [122], Pt-Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets [123], Prussian blue NPs (PBNPs) [124], have been used to construct LFI, and realized their widely application in food safety. For colorimetric LFI, AuNPs are the common signal labeled material for visual output through non-covalent electrostatic adsorption of antibodies or antigens [113]. Au-nanomaterials-based LFI have been extensively developed for analysis multiplex mycotoxins including FB\(_1\) [114], AFB\(_1\) [115], OTA [116], ZEN [117] etc. In addition, natural enzymes also provide signals through conjugating to mycotoxin-protein and are executed as the signal transducer to achieve visual detection, such as HRP-labeled antibodies or antigen for immunological recognition construction LFI [118,119]. Nowadays, numerous nanozymes have been used to label antibodies or antigens for rapid visual LFI. The evidence of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanozyme for enhanced detection Ebola virus with 100 times enhancement compared to the conventional AuNPs-based LFI, revealing the signal amplification ability of nanozyme [120]. Various fascinating nanozyme, such as AuPt nanoflowers [121], Pt nanocatalyst [122], Pt-Ni(OH)\(_2\) nanosheets [123], Prussian blue NPs (PBNPs) [124], have been used to construct LFI, and realized their widely application in food safety. For example, Tian et al. developed PBNPs as a marker signal LFI platform for OTA in soybeans samples. The new signal of PBNPs can be amplified via the TMB cascaded signal. The colorimetric signal of PBNPs accumuluated on the test line through specific immune interactions, triggering the formation of a visible blue line. Meanwhile, the colorimetric signal could be further amplified via the peroxidase-mimic property of PBNPs. The resultant colorimetric images and grey intensity for OTA concentration were collected and analyzed by a smartphone and software Image J, respectively. This proposed LFI significantly improved the sensitivity of OTA with 2–3 orders of magnitude relative to commercial AuNPs-based LFI [125]. Although nanozyme have been extensively applied in food analysis, their poor substrate specificity, unclear mechanism, lack of standards and reference materials, and potential toxicity remained the major challenges for their further application.

### 3. Conclusions and Outlook

Mycotoxin contamination is a continuous global concern for food safety. Visual immunoassays remain simple, rapid, on-site detection of mycotoxins contamination as an alternative to traditional sophisticated techniques. The combination between conventional
visual immunoassays and nanomaterials, novel visual immunoassays tend to be popular for mycotoxins by using the signal amplified strategies for tackling their inherent limited sensitivity. The representative immunoassays based on various nanomaterials could achieve the enhanced sensitive detection of mycotoxins using signal amplified strategies. Enzyme-immobilized onto nanomaterials, enzyme-mediated nanomaterials for amplified signal readout, nanozyme for amplifying the sensitivity of mycotoxins detection.

Although the aforementioned sensitive visual immunoassays for mycotoxins have revealed outstanding analytical performance and a fascinating prospect, many challenges still need to be tackled.

(1) The visual signal is obtained by the naked eye. Yet, the reliance on manual observation rather than instrumental measurement might cause large subjective uncertainty, as well as difficulty in quantitative data. The integration of digital technology [126] (e.g., machine vision) to simulate human visual ability and objective perception, the accurate and reliable results could be easily quantified, and thus reducing subjective errors in manual observations.

(2) Compared to the traditional immunoassays, the limited reproducibility and stability of nanomaterials-based immunoassays is the important obstacle for further application in food analysis due to their experimental and systemic factors. The standardization of nanomaterials preparation could effectively guarantee the reproducibility and stability of nanomaterials-based immunoassays.

(3) Most visual immunoassays are developed for single mycotoxin, while mycotoxins always co-occurred with the others in actual food samples. Thus, the simultaneous monitoring multi-mycotoxins by combing the multi-recognition elements in immunoassays facilitate to shorten the required time, save costs and alleviate the required labor.

(4) The integration of the visual analysis technology and multi-analysis technologies (e.g., magnetic, optical, and thermal properties, etc.), multi-signal immunoassays of mycotoxins contribute to minimum background signal and false-positive errors.

(5) Further exploiting the smart, automatic, miniaturized detector with the integration of smartphone, a portable and high-resolution device for the highly sensitive screening of mycotoxin contamination.
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