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1. Introduction

Functionally graded materials are a new smart type of composites that were introduced for the first time by Japanese researchers in 1984. The main difference between the new material and the classical composite layer is that the mechanical properties change continuously from one interface to the other. Thus, the stresses distribute smoothly in some directions, and the FGM structures become stronger as they mechanically deform. Nowadays, FGMs are applied widely in many engineering fields. For 1D-FGM structures (usually called FGM structures), they are made up of two different materials (ceramic and metal), in which the material properties vary smoothly from one surface to the other one by the thickness direction. For 2D-FGM structures, their formulations are based on three material components to take advantage of all three materials, where the mechanical properties change in two directions, which are the thickness direction and the longitudinal direction. Therefore, considering the mechanical behaviors of these types of structures is really important. It is very helpful in designing, manufacturing, and using them in engineering practice.

There are many works that have investigated the mechanical behaviors of one-direction [1–6] and two-direction FGM plates [7–17] (called FGM and 2D-FGM plates); the 2D-FGM plates with the volume fraction exponents are the power-law distributions in the longitudinal and thickness directions. Scientists also paid much attention to nonlinear static bending and free vibration analysis of FGM plates based on several plate theories. A nonpolynomial higher-order shear deformation theory with inverse hyperbolic shape function was adopted by Singh and Harsha [1] to research the free and forced nonlinear vibration characteristics of FGM plates. Ebrahimi and Rastgoo [2] investigated the nonlinear static problem of a circular FGM plate using an analytical method. Reddy [3] used the third-order shear deformation theory to solve the nonlinear static and dynamic bending problems of the FGM plate based on the finite element method and Navier’s solutions. Bui et al. [4] investigated the static bending of FGM plates in the thermal environment based on the finite element solution and the third-order shear deformation theory. Lan et al. [5] explored the nonlinear bending behaviors of the FGM plates based on a four-node element within the context of the first-order...
shear deformation theory. Kien and his coworkers [6] researched the nonlinear static behaviors of planar beam and frame structures made of functionally graded material based on the finite element method and Bernoulli beam theory (classical beam theory). Civalek [18] used the discrete singular convolution method to study free vibration analysis of conical and cylindrical shells and annular plates made of composite laminated and functionally graded materials (FGMs). Arefi et al. [19] employed the higher-order shear deformation theory of Reddy to carry out the static bending analysis of FG graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) reinforced composite microplates with porosity. Bendenia et al. [20] studied the static and free vibration behavior of FG-CNT reinforced sandwich plates resting on Pasternak elastic foundation based on the first shear deformation theory. In the works [21, 22], the authors presented the static and dynamic responses of FGM plates by using a refined shear deformation theory. Rabhi et al. [23] investigated buckling and free vibration of exponentially graded sandwich plates resting on elastic foundations using a new innovative 3-unknown HSDT. Chikr and his coworkers [24] used Galerkin’s approach and a refined trigonometric shear deformation theory to study the buckling response of FG sandwich plates resting on elastic foundations. Refrafi et al. [25] calculated the hygrothermal and mechanical buckling responses of a simply supported FG sandwich plate seated on the Winkler–Pasternak elastic foundation based on a novel shear deformation theory. Rahmani et al. [26] employed an original novel high order shear theory to examine the influence of boundary conditions on the bending and free vibration behavior of functionally graded sandwich plates resting on a two-parameter elastic foundation.

Besides, mechanical behaviors of 2D-FGM structures were also considered widely all over the world, and scientists obtained significant knowledge. Thom and his coworkers [7] studied static bending and buckling of 2D-FGM based on the finite element method and new third-order shear deformation theory. Nemat-Alla et al. [8] used a 3D finite element model to research the mechanical behavior of 2D-FGM plates in the thermal environment. Simsek [9] studied free vibration and dynamic responses of the 2D-FGM Timoshenko beam based on the Lagrange equations and simple polynomials. The free vibration of the 2D-FGM Timoshenko beam was also studied by Deng and Cheng [10] using a new dynamic stiffness matrix solution. By using a semianalytical and seminumerical method, Wang et al. [11] focused on the natural frequencies of a 2D-FG Euler–Bernoulli beam. Rad [12] used a semianalytical method in order to research the static problem of bidirectional FGM auxetic porous circular plate resting on the Pasternak foundation. Esmailzadeh and Kadkhodayan [13] investigated dynamic responses of the bidirectional FGM porous plate structure; the solution was based on a dynamic relaxation method and a structure with moving loads. Mahinzare et al. [14] calculated the free vibration of a bidimensional functionally graded microplate by using a numerical method, while the structure rested on a Winkler–Pasternak foundation under the thermal load, in which temperature-dependent mechanical properties varied gradually in the thickness and radial direction of the plate. Lieu and Lee [15] considered taking the material optimization method into the free vibration analysis of multidirectional FGM plates based on the IGA method. The buckling and vibrational behaviors of the inplane bidirectional FGM plate were also explored by Lieu et al. [16]. Wu and Yu [17] used the combination of the prism method and Reissner’s mixed variational method to investigate the free vibrational behavior of bidirectional FGM plates.

The functionally graded structures have been using widely in engineering practice; when they are subjected to strong loads, large deformations can be occupied. Therefore, linear problems cannot describe exactly the mechanical behavior of the structures. We need to use nonlinear problems to deal with these large deformations; this means that we have to consider the geometrical nonlinear factor in these cases. The explorations of mechanical responses of 2D-FGM plates taking into account nonlinear factors are still limited, especially problems related to nonlinear static bending and free vibration of structures in consideration of large deformation. These interesting issues require a higher complicated process than small deformation problems. In this paper, the nonlinear static bending and nonlinear free vibration of bidirectional functionally graded material plates are investigated based on the finite element model and the third-order shear deformation theory; 4-node plate elements are used, in which each node with 5 degrees of freedom can reduce the working load much while the accuracy still remains. Numerical results of nonlinear mechanical behaviors of 2D-FGM plates are presented, then compared with linear results to show that the nonlinear results are much different from linear results.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents shortly bidirectional functionally graded plates used in this work. Finite element formulations for nonlinear static bending and nonlinear free vibration analysis are introduced detail in Section 3. Section 4 presents the verification problems, numerical results, and discussions. Novel explorations are summed up in Section 5.

2. Bidirectional Functionally Graded Plates

A plate made of three kinds of materials with length $a$, width $b$, and thickness $h$ is considered as shown in Figure 1. Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio, and mass density change continuously in the longitudinal and thickness directions, and these properties can be calculated as [7]

\[
\begin{align*}
E(x,z) &= E_1 V_1 + E_2 V_2 + E_3 V_3, \\
\nu(x,z) &= \nu_1 V_1 + \nu_2 V_2 + \nu_3 V_3, \\
\rho(x,z) &= \rho_1 V_1 + \rho_2 V_2 + \rho_3 V_3,
\end{align*}
\]

where $E_i, \nu_i, \rho_i$, and $V_i (i = 1 – 3)$ are Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio, mass density, and the volume fraction of $i$-th material, respectively; $x$ and $y$ are lines of inplane of
The strains can be written clearly as
\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_\alpha &= \varepsilon_\alpha^0 + \varepsilon_\alpha^N + z \varepsilon_\alpha^1 + z^2 \varepsilon_\alpha^2, \\
\varepsilon_\gamma &= \varepsilon_\gamma^0 + \varepsilon_\gamma^N + z \varepsilon_\gamma^1 + z^2 \varepsilon_\gamma^2,
\end{align*}
\]
with
\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^0 &= \left\{ \varepsilon^0_x, \varepsilon^0_y, \varepsilon^0_{xy} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right\}, \\
\varepsilon^N &= \left\{ \varepsilon^N_x, \varepsilon^N_y, \varepsilon^N_{xy} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\}, \\
\varepsilon^1 &= \left\{ \varepsilon^1_x, \varepsilon^1_y, \varepsilon^1_{xy} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial x} \right\}, \\
\varepsilon^2 &= \left\{ \varepsilon^2_x, \varepsilon^2_y, \varepsilon^2_{xy} \right\} = \frac{4}{3h^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{3 \varphi_x}{h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right\} + \frac{3 \varphi_x}{h} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial y} \right\} + \frac{2 \varphi_y}{h} \left\{ \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial x} \right\},
\end{align*}
\]
(4)

According to Hooke’s law, the relationship between stresses and strains is written by
\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma &= D_h \left( \varepsilon^0 + \varepsilon^N + z \varepsilon^1 + z^2 \varepsilon^2 \right), \\
\tau &= D_s \left( \gamma^0 + z^2 \gamma^2 \right),
\end{align*}
\]
(6)
Figure 2: The change of $V_1$, $V_2$, and $V_3$ as a function of $z$ and $x$, $n = 0.5$, $q = 2$. 
where

\[
\sigma = \begin{cases} 
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\tau_{xx} \\
\tau_{yy} 
\end{cases}, \quad \tau = \begin{cases} 
\tau_{xz} \\
\tau_{yz} 
\end{cases},
\]

\[
\mathbf{D}_b = \frac{E(x, z)}{1 - \nu^2(x, z)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \nu(x, z) & 0 \\
\nu(x, z) & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 - \nu(x, z) \end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\mathbf{D}_s = \frac{E(x, z)}{2(1 + \nu(x, z))} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Thus, the stress-deformation relation depends on both \(x\) and \(z\) coordinates; this is different from the case of 1D-FGM; therefore, this also makes the calculation process more complicated. The four-node element with five degrees of freedom in each node is used in this study, which is expressed as

\[
u_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} N_j(\xi, \eta) \cdot u_j, \quad \nu_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} N_j(\xi, \eta) \cdot v_j, \quad w_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} N_j(\xi, \eta) \cdot w_{0j},
\]

\[
\varphi_x = \sum_{j=1}^{4} N_j(\xi, \eta) \cdot \varphi_{xj}, \quad \varphi_y = \sum_{j=1}^{4} N_j(\xi, \eta) \cdot \varphi_{yj},
\]

where \(N_j\) is the Lagrangian interpolation function of the four-node element and \(\xi, \eta\) are natural coordinates, so that the strains relate with element displacement vector \(\mathbf{q}_e\) as

\[
\begin{cases} 
\varepsilon_0 = \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{q}_e, \\
\varepsilon^N = \mathbf{B}_N \mathbf{q}_e, \\
\varepsilon^3 = \mathbf{B}_3 \mathbf{q}_e
\end{cases},
\]

with

\[
\mathbf{B}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{4} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial x}, \quad \mathbf{B}_N = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial x} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial y} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial \xi} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial \eta} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial w_{0j}}.
\]

After integrating from \(-h/2\) to \(h/2\), the normal force, bending moment, high order moment, shear force, and high order shear force can be expressed as

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 
N \\
M \\
\mathbf{P} \\
\mathbf{Q} \\
\mathbf{R} 
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 
A & B & E & 0 & 0 \\
B & D & F & 0 & 0 \\
E & F & H & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & A & B \\
0 & 0 & 0 & B & D 
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 
\varepsilon^0 + \varepsilon^N \\
\varepsilon^1 \\
\varepsilon^3 \\
\varphi_x \\
\varphi_y 
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 
A \\
B \\
D \\
E \\
F \\
H 
\end{bmatrix} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\
z \\
z^2 \\
z^3 \\
z^4 \\
z^5 
\end{array} \right] dz,
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} 
\tilde{A} \\
\tilde{B} \\
\tilde{D} \\
\tilde{E} \\
\tilde{F} \\
\tilde{H} 
\end{bmatrix} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \begin{bmatrix} 
1 \\
z \\
z^2 \\
z^3 \\
z^4 \\
z^5 
\end{bmatrix} dz.
\]

The total strain energy of the 2D-FGM plate can be given
where \( \tilde{Q} \) is the surface loading, and Equation (13) is expressed in the matrix form as

\[
\prod_e = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e} \left( K_e^L + K_e^N \right) q_e - q_e^T F_e,
\]

in which the linear matrix is calculated as follows:

\[
K_e^L = \int_{S_e} \left( B_e^{T} A B_0 + B_e^{T} E B_1 + B_e^{T} E B_2 + B_e^{T} E B_3 + B_e^{T} E B_4 \right) dS_e,
\]

and the nonlinear matrix is expressed as follows:

\[
K_e^N = \int_{S_e} \left( B_0^{T} A N + B_0^{T} A B_0 + B_0^{T} A B_1 + B_0^{T} A B_2 + B_0^{T} A B_3 \right) dS_e,
\]

where \( F_e \) is the element force vector. Hence, the governing equation for nonlinear bending analysis becomes

\[
\left( \sum_e K_e^L + \sum_e K_e^N \right) \sum_e q_e = \sum_e F_e.
\]

The kinetic energy of a plate element is determined by the following expression:

\[
T_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V_e} \dot{u}^T \rho(x,z) u dV = \frac{1}{2} q_e^T \left( \int_{V_e} N^T L_T \rho(x,z) L N dV \right) q_e
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q_e^T M_e q_e,
\]

where \( N \) is the shape function and matrix \( L \) and the element mass matrix \( M_e \) are expressed as follows:

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\frac{4x^3}{3h^2} & \frac{2x^2y}{h^2} & \frac{2xy^2}{h^2} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{4y^3}{3h^2} & \frac{2x^2y}{h^2} & \frac{2xy^2}{h^2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
M_e = \int_{V_e} N^T L_T \rho(x,z) L N dV.
\]

For free vibration analysis, in order to find fundamental frequencies, we need to solve the following equation:

\[
\left( \sum_e (K_e^L + K_e^N) - \omega^2 \sum_e M_e \right) \sum_e q_e = 0.
\]

Note that Equations (17) and (20) contain the element stiffness matrix, which depends on the displacement vector \( q_e \); therefore, to solve this equation, the Newton-Raphson method is used. Equation (17) can be written in short form as follows:

\[
K(q) \cdot q = F,
\]

where \( K(q) \) is the stiffness matrix, which depends on nodal displacement \( q \); \( q \) is an unknown displacement vector. Assuming that the value of the displacement is found in step \( i \), denoted by \( q^i \), to find displacements in the next step \( q^{i+1} \), the Taylor expansion to first-order is used as follows:

\[
K(q)^{(i+1)} \approx K(q)^{(i)} + K_i(q)^{(i)} \Delta q = F,
\]

in which \( K_i(q)^{(i)} = \frac{\partial K(q)}{\partial q} \Delta q \) is a Jacobian matrix, usually called the tangent stiffness matrix, and \( \Delta q \) is a displacement increment. From Equation (22), the displacement increment \( \Delta q \) can be found in the following equation:

\[
K_i(q)^{(i)} \Delta q^i = F - K(q)^{(i)}.
\]

Now, Equation (23) will give displacement increment \( \Delta q^i \); the displacement in the next step \( q^{i+1} \) is calculated as follows:

\[
q^{i+1} = q^i + \Delta q^i.
\]

The solution from Equation (24) does not satisfy the exact roots of nonlinear Equation (21). This time, there will exist an unbalanced force:

\[
R^{i+1} = F - K(q^{i+1}).
\]

This process is repeated until the unbalanced force is less than the given value; \( q^{i+1} \) will be accepted as solutions to nonlinear Equation (21). To determine this stationary time, a comparison of the convergence parameter is
carried out $\varepsilon^{\text{conv}} \leq \xi_0$, in which $\varepsilon^{\text{conv}}$ is defined as

$$\varepsilon^{\text{conv}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(R_{ij}^{(1)})^2}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (F_j)^2},$$

and $\xi_0 = 10^{-4}$ can be acceptable.

To solve the nonlinear eigenvalue problems (20), an iterative procedure is used. Firstly, neglecting the nonlinear stiffness matrix component of Equation (20), the linear fundamental frequency is calculated, and then it is normalized. Next, the normalized vector is amplified/scaled up so that the maximum displacement is equal to the desired amplitude, supposing that $w/h = 0.6$ ($w$ is the maximum lateral displacement, $h$ is the thickness of the plate). This gives the initial vector, denoted by $\tilde{\zeta}$. The iterative solution procedure for the nonlinear analysis starts with the initial vector, $\tilde{\zeta}$. Based on this initial mode shape ($\tilde{\zeta}$), the nonlinear stiffness matrix, which depends on displacement, is formed, and subsequently, the updated eigenvalue and its corresponding eigenvector are obtained. This eigenvector is further normalized and scaled up by the same amplitude ($w = h$), and the iterative procedure adopted here continues till the frequency values and mode shapes evaluated from the subsequent two iterations satisfy the prescribed convergence criteria [27] as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\omega_p' - \omega_p^{(r-1)})}{\omega_p^{(r)}} \leq 10^{-4},$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(\delta_j' - \delta_j^{(r-1)})}{\delta_j^{(r)}} \leq 10^{-4} \quad \text{for p-th mode},$$

in which $p, i, N,$ and $r$ represent the mode number, degree of freedom of the finite element model, total degree of freedom, and iteration number, respectively.

### 4. Numerical Results and Discussions

#### 4.1. Nonlinear Static Bending

**4.1.1. Convergence of Mesh.** Consider a CCCC square plate with the thickness $h = a/10$, Young’s modulus $E = 70$ GPa, Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.3$ (aluminum, Al), subjected to a transversal uniform load of intensity $q_0$. The comparative nondimensional deflection $w/h$ at the center point of the plate with different meshes is shown in Table 1. It can be seen that the mesh $14 \times 14$ ensures the required convergence. Hence, for all the succeeding investigations, this mesh will be used. Note that in Table 1, reference [28] used a seminumerical approach and [29] used an analytical solution.

**4.1.2. Accuracy Study for 1D-FGM Plate.** A square FGM plate has the dimensions $a = b$ and plate thickness $h = a / 10$. The material parameters of ceramic ($c$) and metal ($m$) are [3] $E_c = 151$ GPa, $\nu_c = 0.3$, $E_m = 70$ GPa, and $\nu_m = 0.3$. The plate is fully simple supported and subjected to a transversal uniform load of intensity $q_0$. The normal nonlinear displacements ($W_{\text{max}}/h$) of the plate solved by this work compared with Reddy’s results [3] are shown in Figure 3; we can see that the results obtained by this approach are in a good agreement with the reference results of Reddy [3], which used the mesh with $8 \times 8$ elements.

**4.1.3. Numerical Results of Nonlinear Static Bending for 2D-FGM Plate.** In this section, the nonlinear static mechanical displacements of 2D-FGM plates are analyzed using the proposed method. The fully simply supported plate is subjected to a uniform load $P_0$ and made from three materials with properties [7] $E_1 = 151$ GPa, $E_2 = 205.1$ GPa, $E_3 = 70$ GPa, and $\nu_1 = \nu_2 = \nu_3 = 0.3$. In this analysis, the deflection and load are normalized by the following formulas:

$$w_* = \frac{W_{\text{max}}}{h},$$

$$P_* = \frac{P_0 a^4}{E_1 h^4}.$$  

(1) **Effect of Volume Fraction.** To study the effect of the volume fraction on the nonlinear static behavior of 2D-FGM ($a/b = 1, a/h = 100$), the values of $n$ and $q$ are changed from 0 to 10, maximum deflections $w_*$ of this plate depending on $n$ and $q$ are plotted in Figure 4. The deflection changes differently with the increase in $n$ and $q$ when $n$ increases and deflection increases; however, it decreases when $q$ increases. The linear and nonlinear maximum deflection in two values of $q$ (0.5 and 10) are shown in Figures 5 and 6; these figures show that when increasing the applied load, the nondimensional deflection in the case of the linear problem changes much than that in the case of the nonlinear one, and the nonlinear curve of $w_*$ changes sharply when $P_*$ increases in a range of 0 to 40; for the other range of 40-100, the curve of $w_*$ changes gradually. And one can see that when the load applied to the structure has small intensity ($P_* < 5$), the numerical results of the linear problem are very close to those of the nonlinear problem. However, when $P_* > 5$, the results between the linear problem are much different from those of the nonlinear problem. This demonstrates that nonlinear results are much different from linear results when the load applied to the structure has great intensity, so that nonlinear analysis is more useful than the linear one.

| Source       | $q_0 = 20$ | $q_0 = 40$ | $q_0 = 200$ |
|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| [28]         | 0.3068     | 0.5506     | 1.4306      |
| [29]         | 0.3096     | 0.5525     | 1.4336      |
| 10 × 10 elements | 0.3090 | 0.5782     | 1.6689      |
| 12 × 12 elements | 0.3080 | 0.5632     | 1.5186      |
| 14 × 14 elements | 0.3079 | 0.5599     | 1.5097      |
| 16 × 16 elements | 0.3078 | 0.5598     | 1.5096      |
(2) Effect of the Thickness-to-Length Ratio $a/h$. In order to investigate the effect of the thickness-to-length ratio $a/h$ on the nonlinear mechanical bending response of 2D-FGM plate ($a/b = 1$), new normalization for this specified analysis is used as follows: $w = W_{\text{max}}/h_0$, $h_0 = a/100$, $P = P_0 a^4/E_3 h_0^4 = 50$. Thicknesses are considered as different values of the thickness-to-length ratio $a/h = 40 - 100$. The numerical results of maximum deflections in two cases of $q$ are plotted in Figure 6. One can see that by reducing the plate thickness, the higher nonlinear mechanical deflections of 2D-FGM plates are obtained. It is also explained that when the plate thickness is reduced, its stiffness will decrease, and the displacements will increase; therefore, it can be understood that the ratio $a/h$ has a great influence on the nonlinear bending responses of this plate. At the same time, the change of $w$ is sharper when $n$ increases from 0 to 2, and $w$ varies gradually when $n > 2$.

(3) Effect of Width-to-Length Ratio $b/a$. In this section, the effect of aspect ratio $b/a$ on the nonlinear mechanical deflection of the 2D-FGM plate is analyzed. Different values of the
aspect ratio such as $b/a = 0.5$, 1, and 4 are considered ($a/h = 100$); the volume fraction exponents $q = 0.5$ and 10 are used in this exploration. The numerical results of nonlinear deflections are depicted in Figure 7. It can be seen that the higher the aspect ratio $b/a$ is taken, the higher the nondimensional deflections of 2D-FGM plates are obtained. The reason is that when $b/a$ increases, the plate becomes softer; thus, the nondimensional deflection also increases.
4.2. Nonlinear Free Vibration

4.2.1. Accuracy Study for 1D-FGM Plate. The structure in this example is a simply supported square FGM plate ZrO₂/Ti-6Al-4V which is 0.2 m long, 0.2 m wide, and 0.025 m thick. The material properties, as given in Shen [30], are 

\[ E_m = 105 \text{ GPa}, \quad \nu_m = 0.3, \quad \rho_m = 4429 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ for Ti-6Al-4V} \text{ and } E_c = 168 \text{ GPa}, \quad \nu_c = 0.3, \quad \rho_c = 3000 \text{ kg/m}^3 \text{ for ZrO}_2. \] 

The nonlinear to linear frequency ratios \( \omega_{NL}/\omega_L \) presented in Table 2 are compared with Shen [30] (exact results) and Behjat and Khoshra-van [31] (finite element model with mesh of 6 x 6 elements); good agreement can be seen from this table when the number of elements is large enough. Besides, it also can be observed that the mesh 14 x 14 ensures the accuracy; therefore, for all succeeding investigations, this mesh will be employed.

4.2.2. Numerical Results of Nonlinear Free Vibration for 2D-FGM Plate. A simply supported square 2D-FGM plate with

![Graph showing maximum deflection in two cases of q.](image)

**Figure 6:** The maximum of deflection in two cases of \( q \).
$a = b = 0.2$ m and the thickness $h$ is considered. The material properties are $E_1 = 207.79$ GPa, $\nu_1 = 0.318$, and $\rho_1 = 8166$ kg/m$^3$ for stainless steel (SUS304); $E_2 = 70$ GPa, $\nu_1 = 0.3$, and $\rho_1 = 2700$ kg/m$^3$ for aluminum (Al); and $E_3 = 168.06$ GPa, $\nu_1 = 0.298$, and $\rho_1 = 3657$ kg/m$^3$ for zirconium oxide (ZrO$_2$). The nonlinear-to-linear frequency ratios $\omega_{NL}/\omega_L$ are reported in each following subsection, where $\omega_L = \omega_L(a/2, b/2)$.

(1) Effect of Volume Fraction. In this investigation, let the volume fraction index $n$ vary in a range of 0 to 10, and three
cases of $q$ ($q = 0.5, 1,$ and 10) are considered. The first fundamental frequencies of the plate are presented in Tables 3, 4, and 5 and Figures 8, 9, and 10. It can be seen that for the case of $q = 0.5$ and 1, when $n$ increases, the ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ also increases and obtains the peak point around the value of $n = 1$, then it goes down. For the case of $q = 10$, when $n$ increases, the ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ increases, too. Thus, depending on the specific case of material distribution, the case that corresponds to the maximum value of the ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ can be found. In addition, when the ratio $\omega/Lh = 0.2$, then the difference between $\omega_{NL}$ and $\omega_1$ is small (maximum 3.05% for $n = 1$). However, when $\omega/Lh$ increases, the difference between $\omega_{NL}$ and $\omega_1$ also increases; the largest one can be up to 44.84%. This also shows that when the deformation is small, the effect of the nonlinear factor is not much. The larger the deformation is, the larger the component $K^0$ in Equations (16) and (17) gets. The stiffness of the structure is increased, and as a result, the nonlinear specific frequency and the difference between $\omega_{NL}$ and $\omega_1$ are also increased. Figure 11 shows the first five fundamental vibration mode shapes of the 2D-FGM plate in the case of $n = 10$, $q = 1$, and $\omega/Lh = 0.8$.

(2) Effect of the Plate Thickness. Now in the last subsection, in order to explore the effect of the plate thickness on the free vibration of the 2D-FGM plate, the thickness changes in a range of $a/10$ to $a/100$, where other parameters are $n = 0-10$, $q = 0.5$, and $\omega/Lh = 0.8$. The ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ is shown in Figure 12.

### Table 2: Nonlinear-to-linear frequency ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ of FGM square plate, $n = 2$.

| Method          | 0.0  | 0.2  | 0.4  | $\frac{\omega_{NL}}{\omega_1}$ | 0.6  | 0.8  | 1    |
|-----------------|------|------|------|---------------------------------|------|------|------|
| 10 × 10 elements| 1.00 | 1.001| 1.004| 1.092                           | 1.100| 1.229|      |
| 12 × 12 elements| 1.00 | 1.009| 1.011| 1.150                           | 1.204| 1.382|      |
| 14 × 14 elements| 1.00 | 1.018| 1.077| 1.169                           | 1.283| 1.410|      |
| 16 × 16 elements| 1.00 | 1.019| 1.078| 1.168                           | 1.282| 1.409|      |
| [30]            | 1.00 | 1.021| 1.081| 1.174                           | 1.293| 1.436|      |
| [31]            | 1.00 | 1.027| 1.089| 1.189                           | 1.309|—     |      |

### Table 3: Nonlinear-to-linear frequency ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ of 2D-FGM square plate, $q = 0.5$, $a/h = 10$.

| $\frac{\omega}{w}$ | $n = 0$ | $n = 0.2$ | $n = 0.5$ | $n = 1$ | $n = 2$ | $n = 5$ | $n = 10$ |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| 0.2                 | 1.0193  | 1.0253    | 1.0291    | 1.0305  | 1.0294  | 1.0259  | 1.0235   |
| 0.4                 | 1.0748  | 1.0900    | 1.0971    | 1.0992  | 1.0964  | 1.0898  | 1.0858   |
| 0.6                 | 1.1780  | 1.1871    | 1.1964    | 1.1984  | 1.1936  | 1.1843  | 1.1794   |
| 0.8                 | 1.2858  | 1.3056    | 1.3161    | 1.3173  | 1.3101  | 1.2983  | 1.2931   |
| 1.0                 | 1.4145  | 1.4371    | 1.4484    | 1.4483  | 1.4385  | 1.4244  | 1.4191   |

### Table 4: Nonlinear-to-linear frequency ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ of 2D-FGM square plate, $q = 1$, $a/h = 10$.

| $\frac{\omega}{w}$ | $n = 0$ | $n = 0.2$ | $n = 0.5$ | $n = 1$ | $n = 2$ | $n = 5$ | $n = 10$ |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| 0.2                 | 1.0193  | 1.0235    | 1.0261    | 1.0270  | 1.0264  | 1.0243  | 1.0228   |
| 0.4                 | 1.0748  | 1.0865    | 1.0914    | 1.0930  | 1.0916  | 1.0879  | 1.0856   |
| 0.6                 | 1.1780  | 1.1822    | 1.1887    | 1.1904  | 1.1881  | 1.1833  | 1.1808   |
| 0.8                 | 1.2858  | 1.2997    | 1.3071    | 1.3085  | 1.3051  | 1.2994  | 1.2969   |
| 1.0                 | 1.4145  | 1.4304    | 1.4384    | 1.4392  | 1.4346  | 1.4281  | 1.4260   |

### Table 5: Nonlinear-to-linear frequency ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_1$ of 2D-FGM square plate, $q = 10$, $a/h = 10$.

| $\frac{\omega}{w}$ | $n = 0$ | $n = 0.2$ | $n = 0.5$ | $n = 1$ | $n = 2$ | $n = 5$ | $n = 10$ |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| 0.2                 | 1.0193  | 1.0193    | 1.0193    | 1.0193  | 1.0197  | 1.0203  | 1.0207   |
| 0.4                 | 1.0748  | 1.0785    | 1.0786    | 1.0794  | 1.0808  | 1.0827  | 1.0835   |
| 0.6                 | 1.1780  | 1.1781    | 1.1782    | 1.1784  | 1.1786  | 1.1800  | 1.1812   |
| 0.8                 | 1.2858  | 1.2860    | 1.2878    | 1.2909  | 1.2953  | 1.3003  | 1.3019   |
| 1.0                 | 1.4145  | 1.4152    | 1.4178    | 1.4222  | 1.4283  | 1.4348  | 1.4368   |
It can be obtained that the thinner the plate thickness is, the higher the ratio $\omega_{NL}/\omega_L$ gets. This phenomenon means that when the plate becomes thinner, the difference between the nonlinear frequency and the linear frequency is higher. Furthermore, when increasing the value of the volume fraction index $n$, the nonlinear frequency will reach the maximum value then drop down gradually. This means that there is one value of $n$ (around $n = 1$) in order that the nonlinear frequency obtains the maximum value. It also means that the difference between $\omega_{NL}$ and $\omega_L$ reaches its maximum value when $n$ is around 1; the difference between $\omega_{NL}$ and $\omega_L$ can be as close as 33%.
5. Conclusions

Nonlinear static bending and free vibration responses of 2D-FGM plates are investigated. The plate is made from three materials, which change continuously in longitudinal and thickness directions. The study is based on the finite element method and third-order shear deformation theory. The results obtained by this method are compared with those of other methods to show the accuracy of the proposed theory and mathematical model. The paper explored the effect of geometric and material parameters on nonlinear static behaviors of the 2D-FGM plates. It shows that there is much difference between linear and nonlinear deflections. When the plate has a large deformation, some highlighted results in specific problems are as follows:

(i) For the nonlinear static bending: when the load applied to the structure has small intensity ($P^* < 5$), the numerical results of the linear problem are very close to those of the nonlinear problem. However, when $P^* > 5$, the maximum deflection $u_{\text{max}}$ between the linear problem is much different from that of the nonlinear problem.

(ii) For the nonlinear vibration: when the plate has a small deformation ($w_c/h = 0.2$), the influence of strain $w_c$ on the nonlinear stiffness matrix composition is also small. Therefore, the difference between the nonlinear oscillation frequency and the linear oscillation frequency is also small (3.05%). As $w_c/h$ increases, the difference between the nonlinear oscillation
frequency and the linear one increases as well, and the maximum can reach up to 44.84% when \( \omega/,h = 1 \)

These results will give good information for the design and application of these structures in practice.
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