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1. Introduction

Several analytical methods like X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) [1, 2], Particle Induced X-ray Emission (PIXE) [3], Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) [4], Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) [5], Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-AES) [6], Inductively Coupled Plasma Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-MS) [7], have been employed to identify and quantify trace elements in a wide variety of samples including biological samples. Among these analytical methods, AAS, ICP-AES, ICP-MS are routinely used for the analysis of samples in a destructive way for which the analyte should be in the form of liquid. In order to overcome the loss of volatile elements and minimize the contamination during the digestion procedure, non-destructive analytical techniques such as XRF, PIXE, NAA etc. are preferred for the biological samples. PIXE is a multi-elemental nuclear analytical technique and it permits analysis of minute samples lesser than a milligram without any complex sample preparation. It permits simultaneous analysis of elements from Na to U in a short time and facilitates detection of elements at ppm to sub-ppb level. In view of these advantages, PIXE is universally accepted as a reliable method for elemental analysis in several fields [8-11].

Comparison of values determined by PIXE with certified reference values is important in order to know the uncertainty associated with instrumental parameters and to validate the technique. In the present study, elemental concentrations determined by PIXE in National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standard reference material (SRM)-Bovine Liver (1577c) and International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) reference material (RM)-Animal Blood (A-13) were compared with the certified values.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Samples

Freeze-dried and powdered samples of SRM Bovine Liver (1577c) and RM Animal Blood (A-13) were procured from NIST and IAEA respectively.

2.2 Sample preparation

The NIST SRM-Bovine Liver (1577c) and IAEA RM-Animal Blood (A-13) were carefully weighed using a sensitive analytical balance. Sample weighing 90 mg was taken and mixed with 60 mg of high purity graphite powder in an agate mortar homogeneously. The resulting sample weighing 150 mg was pressed as a pellet with dimensions of 12 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness using KBr Hydraulic Press. Five such pellets were prepared for each reference material. These pellets were used as targets for PIXE experiments.

2.3 Experimental details

2.3.1 Particle Induced X-ray Emission (PIXE)

Particle Induced X-ray emission (PIXE) was first demonstrated by Johansson et al. in 1970 at the Lund Institute of Technology [12]. PIXE analytic technique consists of two parts. The first is to identify the elements present in the sample target from the characteristic peaks in the X-ray emission spectrum and second part is to determine the quantity of the elements present in the target from the intensity of their characteristic peaks. The detailed PIXE instrumentation used in the present study is as follows.

2.3.2 Tandem Pelletron Accelerator

The accelerator facility used at IBL, IOP, Bhubaneswar consists of a 3 MV Tandem Pelletron Accelerator (National Electrostatic Corporation, U.S.A., model 9SDH-2). The SNICS ion source, i.e., the source of negative ions from cesium sputtering, was used for generating protons. The cesium vapour stream formed by heating solid/liquid cesium was ionised, forming positively charged cesium ions which were then accelerated to the tip of the cathode. Cesium ions sputtered the cold cathode material made of pressed TiH2 powder. The sputtered hydrogen atoms were ionised and then accelerated through an aperture in the ioniser. On entering the accelerator the beam of negative ions was accelerated towards a positively charged terminal at the centre of the accelerator tube. The accelerator column surrounding the tube maintained an even
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2.3.3 Scattering chamber
A scattering chamber is one of the essential parts in
accelerator based experiments. The scattering chamber is
octagonal in shape with 20° diameter and 15° height and
consists of several ports for X-ray and γ-ray detectors. In order
to detect X-rays, a Si (Li) detector (ORTEC, Model No. SLP-06165-OPT-0-5) was kept in one of the ports in such a way that
it was close enough to the target to provide a large solid angle. A viewing window provided visual indication of the size and
location of the beam spot on target and thereby facilitated the
focussing of the beam exactly onto the target. The target was
placed at an angle of 45° to the beam direction and the Si(Li)
detector was placed at an angle of 45° to the target position. The chamber was usually maintained at low vacuum
(~10⁻⁶ torr) during the measurements by using rotary and
diffusion pumps. Since the thick targets were electrically
conductive, the beam current was measured and integrated
directly on the target. In the present work, the charge integrator
was coupled directly to the target holder in order to monitor the
beam current.

2.3.4 Electronics and Data Acquisition Systems
The characteristic X-rays emitted from various samples as a
consequence of the ion-atom collision processes were detected
by the Si(Li) detector. The X-rays before reaching the active
volume of the detector passed through a 95 μm metallized
Mylar chamber window, a 30 mm air gap and a 12.7 μm Be
window of detector. The total distance from the target to Si(Li)
detector (active area is 12.5 mm²) was 62 mm. A negative bias
of 450 V was applied to the detector from the ORTEC power
supply (Model 459). After passing through the Mylar window of
the scattering chamber, the characteristic X-rays were
detected. An aluminium aperture of known dimensions was
placed in front of the Si(Li) detector for an accurate
determination of the solid angle subtended by the detector at
the beam position on the sample surface. The signals from the
detector preamplifier were processed with an ORTEC
spectroscopic amplifier (Model 572), enabling pile-up rejection,
and then fed into an Analog to Digital Converter. Data
manipulation and storage were performed with a Canberra
S100 counting system, based on an IBM personal computer.
Spectral data was then transferred to a computer where further
data analyses were carried out. An ORTEC-448 research pulsar
was connected to the counting system for monitoring the
stability of the spectrum.

2.4 Si (Li) Detector
The detector system consists of a cooled lithium drifted silicon crystal, cooled field effect transistor (FET), high gain and
low noise preamplifier, cryostat with a Be window, liquid
nitrogen (LN₂) dewar, and cable pack. The detector has 12.5
mm² active area and 12.7 μm thick Be window. The SLP series
preamplifier is capable of an energy rate performance in excess
of 750 MHz. If the LN₂ supply is exhausted and the detector
begins to warm up while high voltage is applied, the high
voltage is automatically shut off, thus protecting the FET from
damage.

2.5 Si (Li) Role in PIXE Experiments
K X-rays of high 'Z' elements would be properly detected by
using HPGe or Ge(Li) detectors because they continue to have
the maximum efficiency up to 100 keV. However, K X-ray cross-
section for protons rapidly falls as 'Z' increases. This renders
the use of K X-rays of high Z elements rare in PIXE by using Ge
detectors. Instead of K X-rays of the high Z elements, L X-rays
are used, since they are well matched to the range of maximum
efficiency of Si(Li) detector which is typically 2 to 20 keV. This
is why lower atomic number elements (Z<50) are identified by
their corresponding K X-ray energies while the higher atomic
number elements are identified by their L X-ray energies in a
typical PIXE spectrum obtained from a
muti-element specimen. Further, the Si(Li) detector records the spectrum of
all detectable elements at a single setting of the instrument and
in a single measurement.

2.5.1 Energy Calibration
PIXE spectrum is very complex having fairly crowded mixture of K X-ray lines and L X-ray lines. Identification of each
element present in the PIXE spectrum depends on the accurate
energy calibration of the detector. Present Si(Li) detector was
 calibrated by using radioactive sources ²⁴¹Am and ⁵⁵Fe supplied
by International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The K X-ray and
L X-ray components of above mentioned isotopes were well
resolved and the centroid of each photo peak was determined by
the following relationship

\[ X_\gamma = \sum (X_i \cdot Y_i) / \sum Y_i \]

\[ Y_\gamma = \sum (X_i \cdot Y_i) / \sum X_i \]

where \( X_\gamma \) and \( Y_\gamma \) are the coordinates of the centroid, \( X \) is the
channel number and \( Y \) is the corresponding count rate. After
subtracting the background, the net count rate was obtained.
After getting the centroid of each X-ray line, the channel number
of each photo peak centroid was plotted against the energy of
the photo peak. The operations like background subtraction and
2.5.2 Resolution Characteristics of the Detector System

Resolution of a detector is an important parameter in the analysis of X-ray spectra particularly L X-ray spectra. The resolution of solid state detector is expressed in terms of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of a standard line. The resolution of the present Si (Li) detector was measured at 5.9 keV K X-ray energy of $^{55}$Mn. The standard Fe-55 source was kept at a distance of 5 cm from the detector window, so as to ensure that the dead time was of the order of 1%. The K X-ray spectrum with Fe-55 source was recorded over a long time to obtain good statistics. From the resulting spectrum the FWHM was determined at an optimum time constant of the amplifier. The resolution of the Si(Li) detector employed in the present work was found to be 160 eV at 5.9 keV energy.

2.5.3 Efficiency of the Si(Li) Detector

An accurate efficiency calibration of a Si(Li) detector is necessary for both fundamental and applied research. The present trace elemental analysis was mainly concerned with the measurement of low energy X-rays (1-20 keV) emitted from the sample due to proton bombardment and hence for this purpose, a high resolution Si(Li) detector was employed. The counting efficiency of a detector is a fundamental quantity in the detection process. The intrinsic efficiency of a detector is defined as the number of pulses recorded out of the number of radiation quanta incident on detector. Because of the presence an aperture in front of the detector it subtends a solid angle $\Omega$ at the beam spot on the surface of the sample which can be estimated with greater accuracy. A factor, $\Omega/4\pi$, of total photons emitted from the process is seen by the detector. In addition to the intrinsic efficiency of the detector, geometrical factor $\Omega/4\pi$ is included. Thus, the intrinsic efficiency of the detector is given by $\epsilon_{int} = \epsilon_{geo}$, where $\epsilon$ is the total efficiency of detection of the X-rays and $\epsilon_{geo}$ is the geometrical efficiency which depends on the geometrical arrangement of the experiment. The total efficiency of the detector is the product of the intrinsic efficiency and geometrical efficiency. Theoretically, the intrinsic efficiency of Si(Li) detector is estimated from the detector parameters as supplied by the manufacturer. The following expression is used to calculate the intrinsic efficiency $\epsilon d$, as illustrated by Padhi [12].

$$\epsilon d(E) = \epsilon - (\mu_{Be} \cdot X_{Be} + \mu_{Au} \cdot X_{Au} + \mu_{Si} \cdot \Delta X_{Si}) \cdot (1 - e^{-\mu X})$$

where $\mu$’s represent the absorption coefficients due to Be window, Au layer on the Silicon crystal and Si (Li) crystal at the X-ray energy E, $\Delta X_{Si}$ is the thickness of the insensitive region of the Si(Li) crystal. The values of X were specified by the manufacturer. The absorption coefficients were calculated by using the computer code XCOM [13].

The total efficiency of the spectrometer, consisting of the intrinsic efficiency of the Si(Li) detector, the detector solid angle and the X-ray attenuation factor for absorption between the target and the intrinsic region of the detector, was determined by the method described in detail by Pajek et al. [14]. The literature abounds with data on K-shell ionization cross-sections by protons [15-17], which generally show good agreement (i.e., within the claimed experimental uncertainties) with the K-shell ionization data of the ECPSSR theory. Efficiency of the detector in the present work was calculated by substituting the K-shell ionization cross-sections instead of K X-ray production cross-sections for the elements Ca, V, Fe, Cu and Zn by using 2.5 MeV protons. Theoretical ionization cross sections were taken from the ISICS code [18]. The experimental detector efficiency was calculated using the formula

$$\epsilon_d = \frac{4\pi \sigma_s(\theta) \Delta \Omega}{\epsilon_s \cdot \epsilon_R \cdot \Delta \Omega \cdot \sigma_x} \left( \frac{t_X}{t_R} \right)$$

where,

- $\sigma_s(\theta) = K\ x\ ray\ theoretical\ production\ ionizations\ from\ ISICS\ code$,
- $Y_s(\theta) = Measured\ \ K\ X-ray\ yield$,
- $Y_R(\theta) = Measured\ \ Rutherford\ yield$,
- $\sigma_x(\theta) = Differential\ Rutherford\ scattering\ cross - section$,
- $\epsilon_s = Si(Li)\ detector\ intrinsic\ efficiency$,
- $\epsilon_R = Absorption\ correction\ for\ Mylar\ chamber\ wall\ and\ air\ path$,
- $\epsilon_x = Correlation\ due\ to\ self\ absorption\ of\ the\ target\ thickness$,
- $\Delta \Omega_s = Solid\ angle\ subtended\ by\ the\ charged\ particle\ silicon$,
- $\Delta \Omega = Solid\ angle\ subtended\ by\ the\ Si(Li)\ X - ray\ detector$,
- $t_X = Dead\ time\ correction\ for\ X - ray\ counting$,
- $t_R = Dead\ time\ correction\ for\ charged\ particle\ counting$.

2.6 Data Analysis

The spectrum recorded in a PIXE measurement with 2-3 MeV protons is a sum of contributions of the different elements present in the target irradiated: K X-rays from the lighter elements, K and L X-rays for the medium-Z elements, M and L X-rays for the higher-Z ones. Each of the elements of low and medium atomic number contributes about six distinct K X-ray lines and those of high atomic number contribute about twenty L X-ray lines. The peaks, approximately Gaussian in shape, are superimposed on a continuous background originating from secondary bremsstrahlung and Compton scattering of γ-rays also produced in the interactions. The resulting Si(Li) spectrum is therefore complex and peak overlaps occur frequently [19]. The K$_{x}$ X-ray component of an element with atomic number Z may overlap with the K$_{x}$ X-ray component of the element with atomic number $Z+1$. Similarly the L$_{x}$ X-ray component of a high Z element may overlap with the K$_{x}$ X-ray component of a low Z element. In order to compute the intensities of different X-ray lines from overlapped PIXE spectra a computer programming approach was adopted, which fits the entire spectrum relying on a database of the relative intensities that can contribute to PIXE spectra.

The analysis of PIXE spectra with computer program usually comprises two steps. The first step involves determination of the peak area for the principal line of each element present and then, through some standardization technique, the conversion of that area to an elemental concentration. The first step is usually achieved from a least-squares fit of a model to the measured spectrum and this demands knowledge of the detector response or line shape, the background spectrum shape and the calibration of the detector / electronic system. It also requires a data base of relative X-ray line intensities together with other physical information pertaining to the
modification of these by matrix effects. The second step includes many options, ranging from an absolute reliance on the database records to stored data obtained from standards.

2.6.1 The Guelph PIXE (GUPIXWIN) software

Several software packages for PIXE spectral analysis have been developed, but the one which is considered to be the most reliable is GUPIX [20]. GUPIXWIN software package has been used to analyze PIXE spectra in the present study. GUPIX is an interactive and versatile software package used for fitting PIXE spectra and deriving elemental concentrations for thin, thick and intermediate layered specimens. GUPIX utilizes the most sophisticated and updated databases for cross sections, stopping powers, attenuation coefficients, etc, and can deal with the analysis of samples of any thickness. The main advantage of this software is that the elemental concentration is extracted from the peak intensities via “fundamental parameters” approach.

2.6.2 Features of GUPIX Software Package

Atomic data base: The necessary atomic data of X-ray lines are provided by several subroutine programs in this package. The stopping power coefficients are obtained from the “The Stopping and Ranges of Ions in Matter” Pergamon Press, New York by Ziegler, Littmark and Biersack and the X-ray attenuation coefficient values are obtained from the NBS computer code XCOM. The production cross-sections are calculated from the theoretical ECPSSR ionization cross sections. For K X-rays, relative K X-ray intensities are taken from Scheckfield’s data tables, using Bambeynek formula the K shell fluorescence yields calculated and production cross-sections are calculated by using Chen and Crasemann theoretical ECPSSR ionization cross sections. The L X-ray subshell ionization cross-sections, fluorescence and Coster-Kronig yields and relative intensity ratios used in this software are described by Campbell [20].

Fit elements: The elements whose peaks are in the fit region are referred to as ‘fit elements’. The list of elements (including trace elements, matrix elements and sometimes mixture of trace and matrix elements) identified by the user is described as K X-ray elements in the range Z=6 to Z=60, L X-ray elements in the range Z=22 to Z=92 and M X-ray elements in the range Z=72 to Z=83.

Fit Procedure: The standard Marquardt non-linear least-square fitting procedure is used to prevent premature elimination of weak peaks. This is followed by an iterated linear square procedure which allows fitting PIXE spectrum containing the X-ray peaks of maximum channel number elements. The line shape of a given X-ray peak is described analytically in terms of channel number. The theoretical spectrum is generated according to the principal component of the peak as a Gaussian or a Voigtian (which is a Gaussian convoluted with the intrinsic X-ray line shape which is Lorentzian) for each of the main X-ray lines (including escape peaks, radiative auger peaks, double ionization satellite peaks and pile-up peaks) of the elements. The constructed spectrum is then filtered by a top-hat digital filter and is compared with the digitally filtered data. The goodness of fit is tested via the chi-square test on the basis of the channel weighing.

Removal of the continuum background: Biological samples subjected to X-ray irradiation exhibit a background continuum. This background is the result of primary charged particles and secondary electron interactions in the sample, and to a lesser extent in the Si(Li) detector, with the production of bremsstrahlung, Rayleigh, and Compton scatter emissions. Therefore, prior to the quantitative analysis of trace elements in the sample, this background interference must first be removed. This is accomplished with the aid of GUPIXWIN software. In order to remove background “top-hat” digital filter is used. The filtered model spectrum is then fit to the filtered raw data spectrum by using a least-squares procedure. The program decides filter parameters and optimizes them versus energy calibration.

Matrix correction: This software has extensive options for the more difficult problem of determining matrix composition i.e., major element concentrations in thick or intermediate targets and also layer thickness or their concentrations in multi-layer targets. Using this software package matrix correction can be applied in two ways, depending on whether the matrix is initially defined or undefined. Matrix corrections are applied according to the relative X-ray intensities provided the matrix is defined. In the case of initially undefined matrix, iterative matrix composition and / or layer thickness procedures are used. These procedures include providing information on several aspects such as, the number of layers, beam-target-detector geometry, the incident ion type and energy, etc. A further essential feature is that one can take care of the contributions to matrix effects of the “invisible” elements.

Standardization technique: The elemental concentration can be obtained from peak intensities basing on the good quality PIXE data base and other known aspects of the system (e.g., solid angle, detector thickness etc.), in an absolute fashion i.e., without standards (fundamental parameters approach). Alternatively, another approach can be followed that includes analyses which can be conducted relative to single-element standards or to standard matrices containing various trace elements. The approach followed in GUPIXWIN, called the standardization technique, includes aspects of both the aforementioned approaches, and is based on a single quantity “H” (solid angle subtended by the front face of the Si(Li) crystal) that describes the system. In addition, the relative charge collected for each sample is required to convert peak areas into elemental concentrations.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1 PIXE analysis of two biological reference materials

Peaks of characteristic X-rays from elements K, Ca, V, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Sr and Pb present in NIST SRM Bovine Liver (1577c) and elements K, Ca, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Se, Br, Rb, Sr and Pb present in IAEA RM Anima I Blood (A-13) were identified and their concentrations were determined using GUPIXWIN software package. The typical PIXE spectrum of the analyzed NIST SRM and IAEA RM are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 respectively. The certified and measured concentrations of elements present in both reference materials are shown in
Table 1. From Table 1, it is noticed that for the number of samples analyzed for NIST Bovine Liver (1577c), elements with a coefficient of variance (CV) less than 5% were Fe, Cu and Zn, elements with a CV of 5–10% were K, Ca, Mn, As and Se, element with a CV of 10–20% was Sr and elements with a CV of 20-25% were V, Ni and Pb. In IAEA Animal Blood, Fe was found to be with a CV less than 1%, elements with a CV of less than 10% were K, Cu, Zn and Br, elements with CV of 10-20% were Ca and Pb and elements with CV of 20-30% were Mn, Se, Rb and Sr.

3.2 Comparison of values determined by PIXE with certified values

Values determined by PIXE were compared with the certified values of NIST and IAEA as shown in Table 1. The determined elemental concentrations were 88–125% relative to certified values except for Mn in Animal Blood samples. In particular, the elemental content of K, Ca, Fe, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Sr were 95–108% relative to certified values for SRM Bovine Liver. Agaja and Obiajunwa [21] validated PIXE technique by analysing the SRM Bovine Liver (1577a) and observed 60–100% of recovery for the elements K, Ca, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Br and Rb whereas in the study of Biswas et al.[22], 90–110% of recovery was reported for the same elements. Campbell [23] reported that the elements Fe, Cu, Mn, Zn, Se, Br and Rb were within 91–127 % relative to certified values. In this study for the case of IAEA Animal Blood, elements K, Ca, Fe, Cu, Zn, Br and Rb were obtained with 91–108% relative to certified values. However, for the same IAEA RM Animal Blood, 87–108% of recovery was obtained by Kwietek et al [24] for the elements P, K, Ca and Fe and 80–110% of recovery was reported by Rihway and Halloum [25] for the elements K, Ca, Fe and Zn relative to certified values.

4. Conclusion

The results obtained in the present study reveal that PIXE is a promising analytical tool for analysis of trace elements in different samples particularly those of biological origin. On
comparing the determined trace elemental content in NIST SRM Bovine Liver (1577c) and IAEA RM Animal Blood (A-13) using PIXE with the certified values, it is found that the reproducibility of PIXE is consistent with NIST and IAEA certified values. It is concluded that PIXE can be used precisely and efficiently in a non-destructive way for simultaneous analysis of several elements.
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