Slow relaxation to equipartition in spring-chain systems
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Abstract. In this study, one-dimensional systems of masses connected by springs, i.e., spring-chain systems, are investigated numerically. The average kinetic energy of chain-end particles of these systems is larger than that of other particles, which is similar to the behavior observed for systems made of masses connected by rigid links. The energetic motion of the end particles is, however, transient, and the system relaxes to thermal equilibrium after a while, where the average kinetic energy is the same for each particle, that is, equipartition of energy is achieved. This is in contrast to the case for systems made up of masses connected by rigid links, where the energetic motion of the end particles is observed in equilibrium. The timescale of relaxation estimated by simulation increases rapidly with increasing spring constant. The timescale is also estimated using the Boltzmann–Jeans theory and is found to be in quite good agreement with that obtained from the simulation.
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1. Introduction

Constrained systems are those having constraints on their degrees of freedom. When a constraint is imposed on spatial coordinates, the constraint is called a ‘holonomic constraint’ [1]. Constrained systems are useful and simple, and because of this they are widely used as model systems. An example of such a model system is a freely jointed chain [2]–[4], which is a model composed of one-dimensional chain (1D) of $N$ masses such that the distances between adjacent masses are constant. The freely jointed chain is known as a simplified model for polymers. In computational software packages such as CHARMM [5] and AMBER [6] for molecular dynamics calculations, the SHAKE and RATTLE algorithms enable one to treat model systems as constrained ones by setting distances between atoms as constant. As a result, the computational task can be made much easier, and then, physically important results can be obtained. In molecular dynamics calculations, water molecules are often treated as having a fixed shape, where the length of bonds between hydrogen and oxygen atoms is fixed [7]. In both cases, we replace the bond between atoms with a rigid link when the frequency of bond vibration is extremely high.

It is known that in a constrained system, the equipartition of energy occurs in a somewhat complicated way, and the average kinetic energies of particles $\langle (1/2)m_i v_i^2 \rangle$ can take different values for particles located at different places in the system [8]. In the generalized form of equipartition [9,10], what is equal among degrees of freedom is not $\langle (1/2)m_i v_i^2 \rangle$ but $\langle (1/2)p_i (\partial K/\partial p_i) \rangle$, where $p_i$ is the momentum conjugate to the generalized coordinate $q_i$ of the $i$th degree of freedom, and $K$ is the kinetic energy of the system. In constrained systems, $K$ depends on coordinates and $p_i$ is no longer equal to $m_i v_i$; therefore $\langle (1/2)p_i (\partial K/\partial p_i) \rangle$, whose average takes the same value for all $i$, is not equal to $\langle (1/2)m_i v_i^2 \rangle$.

Recently, we found that for a chain-type system, termed a planar chain model, the average kinetic energy of the particles differs systematically; that is, particles near both the ends of the chain have relatively large average kinetic energies [3]. This model consists of masses connected by rigid links; since the distances between adjacent masses are fixed in this model, it is a constrained system. It is the constraint that induces the nonuniformity of
average kinetic energies. The above-mentioned energetic motion of end particles observed in the model would be useful for understanding the dynamical behavior of chain-type systems such as polymers [11], DNA, proteins [12], and some artificial objects such as manipulator arms of spacecraft.

Thus far, we have described the behavior of constrained systems. However, strictly speaking, a constrained system or rigid link does not exist in the real world. When the potentials of a system are somewhat steep, i.e., spring constants are quite large and the frequency of bond vibration is reasonably high, we approximate the bonds with rigid links. A rigid link or holonomic constraint is an idealized limit of a stiff spring.

However, if we replace the rigid links with springs, in say a spring-chain model, the usual expression for equipartition of energy, i.e., \( \langle \frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2 \rangle = (D/2) k_B T \), holds regardless of the magnitude of the spring constant \( k \), where \( D \) denotes the spatial dimension. In other words, although a spring-chain system, made by replacing rigid links in the planar chain system with springs, appears to behave like the planar chain model when the spring constants are large, the behavior of the energetic motion of end particles cannot be reproduced by applying equilibrium statistical mechanics to the model. Indeed, there are several studies which discuss the difference between statistical behaviors of stiff spring systems (‘flexible constraint’) and rigid link systems (‘rigid constraint’) [13]–[16].

Then it would be interesting to know whether the large average kinetic energies of end particles observed in the planar chain model can also be found in the real world or whether it is an artifact observed only in mathematical models and is never observed in the real world. If the former is true, then we can expect to observe an interesting feature that the energy distribution of many-body systems shows nonuniform behavior. Since it is natural to consider the dynamical behavior of a stiff spring as similar to that of a rigid link at least for a finite time, the solution to the problem will be the knowledge of the properties of relaxation to equilibrium.

With this background, the aim of this study is to examine the property of relaxation to equipartition for the spring-chain system, particularly for a large value of the spring constant \( k \). We measure the relaxation time \( t_{\text{relax}} \) and investigate its relation with the spring constant \( k \). Further, we estimate \( t_{\text{relax}} \) by using the Boltzmann–Jeans theory and compare it with the value measured.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the model, the spring-chain system. In section 3 we briefly describe the method of numerical computation. The results are shown in section 4. Section 5 is devoted to a summary and discussion.

2. The model

We now introduce the spring-chain system. It is composed of \( N \) particles (masses) connected by \( N - 1 \) massless springs. The masses can rotate smoothly on the \( xy \) plane, as shown in figure 1. The system is defined by the following Lagrangian \( L \):

\[
L = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_i}{2}(x_i^2 + y_i^2) - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{k_i}{2}\{(\overrightarrow{r}_{i+1} - \overrightarrow{r}_i) - \ell_i\}^2 - U(\{\overrightarrow{r}_i\}),
\]

(1)

where \( m_i \) is the mass of the \( i \)th particle, \( \overrightarrow{r}_i \equiv (x_i, y_i) \) represents the position of the \( i \)th particle, \( k_i \) and \( \ell_i \) are the spring constant and natural length of the \( i \)th spring, respectively. \( U \) is an external potential. In this paper we consider the case of \( m_i = m, k_i = k \), and
\[ \ell_i = \ell \text{ for all } i. \] We set \( m = 1 \) and \( \ell = 1 \). The spring-chain model is a kind of bead-type model; such models are used as models of polymers and proteins \[17\]–\[22\].

3. The method of numerical integration

We integrate the equation of motion of the model by using a fourth-order symplectic integrator that is the composition of three successive second-order symplectic integrators. An external potential \[ U(\mathbf{r}) = 0.01 \sum_{j=1}^{N_{\text{wall}}} |(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_j| - a)|^6 \] is applied in order to break the rotational symmetry and thus prevent the conservation of angular momentum. Here \( N_{\text{wall}} = 4, a = 4N\ell, \mathbf{R}_j \equiv (R, 0), (0, R), (-R, 0), (0, -R), R \equiv N\ell + \sqrt{a^2 - N^2\ell^2}. \) This potential resembles the one used for dispersing billiards \[23\], where the system does not have any conserved quantities other than the total energy, and the orbit obtained from long-time simulation can be well approximated by a microcanonical distribution.

The reason that we need external potential \( U \) is explained as follows. If we set \( U \equiv 0 \) and observe the system in the center of mass frame, the angular momentum \( L_z \) of the system is conserved because the system is invariant under arbitrary rotation in the \( xy \) plane. Then the orbit of the system is constrained to the intersection of two hypersurfaces \( H = E \) and \( L_z = \text{const} \). Hence the distribution of states generated by time series differs from the microcanonical distribution defined by one hypersurface \( H = E \), because of the additional constraint \( L_z = \text{const} \). On the other hand, if we have an external potential \( U \) which is not axially symmetric, angular momentum is no longer conserved, and we can consider that the numerically obtained orbit can be approximated by a microcanonical distribution.

Throughout this paper, the following parameters and initial condition are set:

\[ m_i = m = 1, \quad \ell_i = \ell = 1 \quad \text{for all } i \] (2)
Figure 2. Power spectrum of $x_1(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1330720$, $N = 6$, $k = 100$. The blue line represents an original power spectrum from a single orbit. The power spectrum is computed from discrete time series of $x_1(t_i)$ where $t_i = i\Delta t$, $\Delta t = 10$. The black line represents moving-average data over 100 successive data points of the data of the blue line.

and

$$x_i = i - (N + 1)/2, \quad y_i = 0 \quad \text{for all } i,$$

respectively. The values of the system size and initial momentum for each simulation set will be defined in the subsequent sections.

In figure 2 we show a power spectrum of $x_1(t)$ for $k = 100$. Here we see a Lorentz-like broad spectrum, and we can say that the system shows strongly chaotic motion.

4. Results

Next, we briefly summarize the relation between our numerical simulation and thermal equilibrium. Under most of the initial conditions considered for the present simulations, the system undergoes chaotic motion. Since the model has no conserved quantities other than the total energy, one may think that the states of the system attained in the course of a long duration are well approximated by a microcanonical distribution. In that case, the distribution of the state of each particle in the chain can be approximated by a canonical distribution at a certain temperature, by considering the other particles in the chain as a heat bath. That is, the long-term average of kinetic energy of each particle, $\overline{K_i}(t)$, is equal to the thermal average $\langle K_i \rangle$. Then, according to the principle of equipartition of energy, the average kinetic energy is the same for each particle:

$$\overline{K_i}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{m_i}{2} (\dot{x}_i(t')^2 + \dot{y}_i(t')^2) \, dt' \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} \langle K_i \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{Z} \int K_i \exp(-\beta H) \, dp \, dq = k_B T,$$

where $Z \equiv \int \exp(-\beta H) \, dp \, dq$, $\beta \equiv 1/k_B T$, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $T$ is the temperature. Since our aim is to investigate the property of relaxation to equipartition, we
define the following quantity in order to measure how close the system is to equipartition:

\[
\Delta(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \overline{K}_i(t) - \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i'=1}^{N} K_{i'}(t) \right) \right]^2.
\] (5)

If \( \Delta(t) = 0 \), then \( \overline{K}_i(t) = K_0 \) for all \( i \). Similar quantities have been used to measure the degree of equipartition in studies on a supercooled liquid [24], self-gravitating systems [25]–[27], and proteins [28].

Here we take the initial condition as

\[
p_i^x = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq N), \quad p_i^y = p_0, \quad p_i^y = -p_0 \quad (2 \leq i \leq N)
\] (6)

and \( p_0 = 0.1 \). This initial condition is compatible with a rigid link system, as is explained below. For a rigid link system (planar chain), we have a constraint defined by the following relations:

\[
g_i(\{\vec{r}_i^j\}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} (|\vec{r}_{i+1}^j - \vec{r}_i^j| - \ell_i)^2 = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1.
\] (7)

Taking time derivative of the above equations induces relations between coordinates and velocities as follows:

\[
\text{grad} g_t \cdot \left( \frac{d}{dt} \vec{r}_{i+1}^j - \frac{d}{dt} \vec{r}_i^j \right) \propto (\vec{r}_{i+1}^j - \vec{r}_i^j) \cdot \left( \frac{d}{dt} \vec{r}_{i+1}^j - \frac{d}{dt} \vec{r}_i^j \right) = 0.
\] (8)

That is, the relative position and relative velocity of adjacent masses should be perpendicular to each other. It is clear that the initial position and momentum set of equations (3) and (6) imposed for the spring-chain system satisfy equation (8).

Figure 3 shows the time evolution of the profile of \( \{\overline{K}_i(t), i = 1, 2, \ldots, N = 8\} \). It is clearly observed that in the initial stage of the time evolution, the average kinetic energies are not equal for all particles; rather, particles near both ends of the chain have a larger average \( K_i \). The profile is similar to that of the rigid link, i.e., the planar chain model [3].
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Then, as time progresses, differences in average $K_i$ among particles gradually decrease and tend to zero, and equipartition is achieved. Figure 4 shows the relaxation of $\Delta(t)$ (equation (5)) for the data considered in figure 3. We observe that the system relaxes to equilibrium with the progress of time.

The physical process of relaxation can be understood by examining the kinetic energy in greater detail. We rewrite the Hamiltonian as

$$H = K_{\text{vib}}(\vec{\ell}) + K_{\text{rot}}(\vec{\varphi}) + K_{\text{int}}(\vec{\ell}, \vec{\varphi}) + U(\vec{r})$$

where

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i, \quad \mu_k \equiv \frac{m_k}{M}, \quad \mu_{\leq n}^k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k, \quad \mu_{> n}^k \equiv \sum_{k=n+1}^{N} \mu_k.$$  \hfill (13)

At the initial condition defined by equation (6), we see that all of the kinetic energy is given to $K_{\text{rot}}$, and $K_{\text{vib}} = 0$ because $\dot{\ell}_i = 0$ for all $i$ at $t = 0$.

Now we consider the time evolution. If equipartition is achieved, $\langle K_{\text{vib}} \rangle = \langle K_{\text{rot}} \rangle$, because the model has the same number of springs and angles. Figure 5 shows the temporal evolution of $\Delta^{(1)}(t; \tau)$ and $\overline{K_{\text{vib}}}(t; \tau)/\overline{K_{\text{rot}}}(t; \tau)$ for $k = 10000$. Here, the time
Figure 5. Time evolution of $\Delta^{(1)}(t; \tau)$ (blue circles) and $K_{\text{vib}}(t; \tau)/K_{\text{rot}}(t; \tau)$ (red squares). $N = 8$, $K = 10,000$, and $\delta t = 2 \times 10^{-3}$, $\tau = 2 \times 10^3$. The initial conditions are $x_i = i - (N + 1)/2$, $y_i = 0$, $p_{x_i}^f = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, $p_{y_1}^f = 0.1$, and $p_{y_i}^y = -0.1$ ($2 \leq i \leq 8$).

We find that the system relaxes to equipartition on a timescale similar to that on which the rotational energy $K_{\text{rot}}$ transforms to vibrational energy $K_{\text{vib}}$.

As mentioned earlier, the aim of this study is to examine the property of relaxation to equipartition of energy for the model expressed in equation (1). First, for each sample orbit starting from different initial condition, we define the relaxation time $t_{\text{relax}}(\text{sample})$ as the time required for $\Delta(t)$ to decay below a critical value $\Delta_0$. We define the average relaxation time over $N_{\text{sample}}$ orbits as

$$t_{\text{relax}} \equiv \frac{1}{N_{\text{sample}}} \sum_{\text{sample}} t_{\text{relax}}(\text{sample}).$$

This time is a measure of the time of relaxation to equipartition.

Figure 6 shows the plot of the dependence of $t_{\text{relax}}$ on the spring constant $k$. We observe that as the stiffness of the spring increases, the relaxation time increases rapidly. That is, systems with hard springs or a steep potential show rigid-like behavior of energetic particles near the chain ends for a very long time, as shown in figure 3, before relaxing to equipartition.

Here, we mention a technical detail concerning the numerical integration used for obtaining the plot in figure 6. With increasing spring constant $k$, the period of bond-stretching vibration decreases in proportion to $1/\sqrt{k}$. Therefore, for large values of $k$, the magnitude of the time step of numerical integration should be reduced. We confirmed
that \( t_{\text{relax}} \) converges at \( \delta t = 0.125 \) for \( k = 10 \). Thus, we used \( \delta t = 0.125 \times \sqrt{10}/\sqrt{k} \) for each \( k \).

On changing the initial conditions, the relaxation time \( t_{\text{relax}}^{(\text{sample})} \) changes, and we obtain a distribution of \( t_{\text{relax}}^{(\text{sample})} \), denoted as \( P(t_{\text{relax}}) \). Figure 7 shows the distribution of \( t_{\text{relax}} \) for \( k = 20 \) and 100. For both cases, the histograms show an exponential decay expressed as

\[
P(t_{\text{relax}}) \propto \exp(-\alpha t_{\text{relax}}),
\]

which suggests the existence of a characteristic timescale for the relaxation.

Next, we analyze the results of the above-mentioned calculation using the concept of the Boltzmann–Jeans theory (also known as the Boltzmann–Jeans conjecture) [29]–[34]. The essence of this theory is roughly described as follows. (For a detailed description of the theory please refer to [29].) Suppose we have a system described by a Hamiltonian, which has two subsystems \( H_f \) and \( H_s \), their typical timescales being \( \tau_f \) and \( \tau_s \), respectively. Here, subscripts \( f \) and \( s \) denote ‘fast’ and ‘slow’, respectively. Let us call \( H_f \) and \( H_s \) a ‘fast subsystem’ and a ‘slow subsystem’, respectively. If the timescales of the fast and slow subsystems differ greatly, i.e., \( \tau_s/\tau_f \gg 1 \), then the timescale for the occurrence of energy exchange between these two subsystems is on the order of

\[
t_{\text{exch}} \gtrsim \exp \left( \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_f} \right).
\]

An outline of the derivation of the relation (18) is sketched as follows (see [29] for details); let us write

\[
H = H_{\text{fast}} + H_{\text{slow}} + H_{\text{int}},
\]
where $H$ is the total Hamiltonian, $H_{\text{fast}}$, $H_{\text{slow}}$ represents fast and slow subsystems, and $H_{\text{int}}$ represents interaction between fast and slow subsystems. $H_{\text{fast}}$ is a set of harmonic oscillators with angular frequency $\omega$: $H_{\text{fast}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} P_i^2 + \omega^2 X_i^2$. Here, $X_i$ and $P_i$ are a coordinate and its conjugate momentum for the $i$th harmonic oscillator, respectively. In our case $H_{\text{fast}}$, $H_{\text{slow}}$ and $H_{\text{int}}$ is related to $K_{\text{vib}}$, $K_{\text{rot}}$ and $K_{\text{int}}$ in equations (10)–(12).

Exchange of energy is measured by the change of the value of $H_{\text{fast}}$ via the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} H_{\text{fast}} = \{H_{\text{fast}}, H\}_{\text{P.B.}},$$

where the symbol $\{,\}_{\text{P.B.}}$ represents the Poisson bracket. By a canonical change of variables one can separate terms which contribute to the right-hand side of equation (19). Evaluating the magnitude of the contributing terms, one obtains the estimate (18).

Now one can see from equation (18) that energy exchange occurs after a long time. In the case of the spring-chain system (equation (1)) with a large spring constant $k$, the fast and slow subsystems correspond to bond vibration and relative rotation, respectively. Since the typical timescale of bond vibration is on the order of $2\pi \sqrt{m/k}$ and that of rotation is assumed to be constant, we have

$$t_{\text{exch}} \sim \exp \left( c \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{m/k}} \right) = \exp(c' \sqrt{k}).$$

(20)

Since the relaxation to equipartition occurs by energy transfer from rotation to vibration (as we observed before), we can consider that $t_{\text{exch}}$ mentioned above is essentially the same as the relaxation time $t_{\text{relax}}$:  

$$t_{\text{relax}} \sim \exp(c' \sqrt{k}).$$

(21)
Figure 8. Plot of $\alpha$ versus $k$, obtained from 300 samples, $N = 6$. The initial conditions are $x_i = i - (N + 1)/2$, $y_i = 0$, $p_{i}^x = \xi_i^{(x)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$, and $p_{1}^y = 0.1 + \xi_1^{(y)}$, $p_{i}^y = \xi_i^{(y)}$ ($2 \leq i \leq N$), where $\xi_i^{(x)}$ and $\xi_i^{(y)}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, N$) are independent random numbers uniformly distributed over the interval $[0, 10^{-8}]$. The dashed line shows $\log(\alpha) = c_1 - c_2 \sqrt{k}$, where $c_1 = -3.93$ and $c_2 = 0.124$.

Now, we examine whether $t_{\text{relax}}$ obtained by the simulation obeys equation (21). The result is already shown in figure 6. We see that $\log(t_{\text{relax}})$ is proportional to $\sqrt{k}$; therefore the interpretation by using the Boltzmann–Jeans theory is appropriate.

This theory can also be used for interpreting the histogram of $t_{\text{relax}}^{(\text{sample})}$. Since $t_{\text{relax}}$ is defined from the average of a number of samples, we have

$$t_{\text{relax}} = \int_0^\infty t_{\text{relax}}' P(t_{\text{relax}}') \, dt_{\text{relax}}',$$

where $P(t)$ is the distribution of $t_{\text{relax}}^{(\text{sample})}$. If we adopt the exponential form for the distribution $P$ (equation (17)), then

$$t_{\text{relax}} = 1/\alpha,$$

where $\alpha$ is the coefficient that appears in equation (17).

Combining equations (21) and (23), the relation between the coefficient $\alpha$ and the spring constant should be

$$\alpha \propto \exp(-c'\sqrt{k}).$$

A comparison between the estimation (equation (24)) and data is shown in figure 8, from which we find that they are in good agreement. Thus, the fact that the relaxation to equilibrium takes quite a long time to occur can be interpreted as an outcome of the Boltzmann–Jeans theory.

5. Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have numerically shown the occurrence of energetic motion of end particles for a 1D chain of point masses connected by hard springs. The timescale at
which the energetic motion is observed depends on the spring constant, and this timescale
lengthens with increasing spring constant. Relaxation to equilibrium occurs as rotational
kinetic energy is converted into vibrational kinetic energy.

The timescale of relaxation is estimated using the Boltzmann–Jeans theory, which
describes the energy exchange rate in a system in which fast and slow motions coexist.
In the case of our model, fast motion corresponds to bond vibration by a hard spring
and slow motion corresponds to rotation and deformation of the chain. The result of our
numerics is that the timescale is estimated as an exponential of the square root of the
spring constant, which coincides well with simulation data.

The energetic motion of end particles is also observed in other systems, e.g., a planar
chain system [3] and a multiple pendulum [35]–[37]. These systems consist of masses
connected by rigid links, and therefore, they are constrained systems. If we consider a
rigid link as a limiting case of a hard spring when the spring constant \( k \) is large (\( k \to \infty \)),
then the spring-chain system examined in this study becomes a planar chain system when
\( k \to \infty \). Therefore, it is natural that the spatial energy distribution of the spring-chain
system considered in this study resembles those of systems with rigid links.

However, the equilibrium behaviors of the spring-chain system and the rigid-link-
chain system are quite different. In the spring-chain system, the equipartition achieved
is of the usual form; that is, the average kinetic energy is the same for each particle,
regardless of the magnitude of \( k \). Then, chain-end particles in thermal equilibrium should
not exhibit the energetic behavior. In contrast, in the rigid-link-chain system, chain-end
particles behave energetically even in thermal equilibrium [3]. The energetic behavior of
end particles in the spring-chain system is a transient behavior before the system relaxes
to thermal equilibrium. What is important here is that the relaxation time increases with
increasing spring constant \( k \) and that the relaxation time eventually diverges at \( k \to \infty \).
Therefore, a large \( k \) value provides a good opportunity to observe energetic behavior in
spring-chain systems.

The time of relaxation to equipartition changes if we change the initial conditions.
We found that the relaxation time is distributed according to the exponential form for
large relaxation time. This implies that relaxation occurs almost randomly. That is, the
system moves on the energy surface in a random way and happens to encounter points at
which the system can divert toward the equipartition state. This situation is in contrast
to the process of slow dynamics often observed in many Hamiltonian systems, where the
relaxation time is often distributed according to a power law.

Slow relaxation is often observed in Hamiltonian systems, and in most cases, it is
accompanied by strong temporal correlation caused by sticky or stagnant motion around
KAM tori (regular orbits) and their remnants, and 1/\( f \)-type fluctuations. Such slow
relaxation is observed in area-preserving mappings and some other high-dimensional
systems [38]–[46]. Such slow relaxation is explained by a hierarchical structure generally
found in nearly integrable Hamiltonian systems. In this sense, it is quite common to find
slow relaxation in Hamiltonian systems, if the Hamiltonian is nearly integrable.

The spring-chain model is composed of masses connected by springs; hence one may
think that the model is similar to the Fermi–Pasta–Ulam model, which is a well-known
nearly integrable Hamiltonian system [47]. However, the spring-chain model considered
in this study is not nearly integrable, as explained below. Figure 2 shows the power
spectrum of the coordinate variable \( x_1(t) \) of the spring-chain system. We see that the

\[ \text{doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2010/09/P09001} \]
power spectrum shows Lorentzian-type dependence on the frequency. This indicates that the system shows strongly chaotic motion. On the other hand, when the system is nearly integrable, the power spectrum often shows $1/f$-type behavior. Hence we see that the spring-chain system in this paper is not a nearly integrable system. Moreover we can say that the slow relaxation observed for the large value of $k$ is not caused by the near-integrability or long-time correlation of the system.

In addition, the systems which show slow relaxation in the real world are not always nearly integrable. In contrast, the Boltzmann–Jeans theory is still applicable to Hamiltonian systems that are not nearly integrable and is able to explain the slow relaxation. Like the findings of Shudo et al [48], the result of our study also implies that the Boltzmann–Jeans theory can describe slow relaxation in more general systems other than the nearly integrable ones.

We used the Boltzmann–Jeans theory [29]–[34] to estimate the relaxation time. Although the concept of the theory dates back to the 19th century [49]–[51], its importance is not very familiar and not many examples of the application of this theory have been demonstrated [48], [52]–[56]. Because the results of this study show that there is good agreement between numerical data and theoretical estimation, this study can be seen as a good example of the applicability of the Boltzmann–Jeans theory.

In this study, we showed that chain-end particles behave energetically even for systems with a finite but large spring constant. This implies that similar behavior can be observed for natural chain-type systems that are not made of rigid links but whose intrachain potential between elements is very steep. The results of this study are expected to have many useful applications to polymers, proteins and some artificial objects.
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