BEAM CONSTRUCTION IMPACT ANALYSIS BASED ON LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT (LCA) USING NETWORK FLOW DIAGRAM
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ABSTRACT

Global warming is a problem that impacts in many various sectors include construction. Emission of carbon dioxide (CO₂), one of causes of global warming, can be emitted from construction projects. The alternative method that able to calculate CO₂ emission is Life Cycle Assessment approach. The purpose of this study is to predict CO₂ emission from all beam types using network flow diagram of Life Cycle Assessment in real estate project of 216 m² type house. The analysis calculated by SimaPro 9.0 software. The results of this research indicated that from four types of beams used in real estate, beam-type 25/50 and beam-type 15/30 have the largest percentage of CO₂ emission in 41.9% (4,610 kg CO₂-eq) and 39.9% (4,380 kg CO₂-eq) of the total CO₂ emission of all beam types, respectively. A conventional method of beam construction resulted CO₂-eq emission that come from rebar of 31.20% (3,426 kg CO₂-eq) and cement 1.09% (120 kg CO₂-eq). For the ready mix method, the largest CO₂-eq emission are dominated by ready mix at 20.20% (2,200 kg CO₂-eq) and wooden blocks 46.90% (5,150 kg CO₂-eq).
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1. INTRODUCTION

Construction projects are considered to have a major role in environmental changes on the surface of the earth. In one cycle construction project, started from the pre-construction stage to the operational stage, the exploitation of limited natural resources cannot be avoided. According to Ervianto et.al (2013), based on result of many research data, it is declared that more than 50% of all waste generated comes from construction activities [1]. The construction materials have significant sources of carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions that derived from cement (30.3%), ceramics (20.3%) and steel (18.7%).

Two of three elements namely cement and steel are the main materials in beam production [2]. Beam is a structural load buffer of a building that physically distributed in the horizontal direction. The beam manufactures use many types of materials. The natural exploitation, transportation, production, and construction of beam indicated generate the CO₂ emission. Therefore, it requires an appropriate and fast analysis method in calculating its environmental impact.

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) is one of method to find out how the goods or services of a product are identified from beginning to end, to find out the effect caused, and to analyze the percentage of the impact. LCA is a method used to assess the environmental impacts associated with a product or service. By using the LCA of beam in network flow diagram, the CO₂ emission can be calculated and alternative solutions can be provided [3].

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Beam is a horizontal trunk of a structural frame that bears a perpendicular load along the trunk that consisting of walls, plates, or roofs of buildings and channel them on a pedestal or structure underneath [4]. In the life cycle of beam, the carbon footprint will be generated. Carbon footprint is the amount of greenhouse gas emissions and removals in a product system expressed as the emission CO₂ equation. The greenhouse gas (GHG) or the CO₂-equation usually in Life Cycle Assessment calculated using a single impact category of climate change. Carbon footprint usually calculated in units of tons of carbon dioxide equivalent or
CO₂ [5]. The basic for calculated GHG emission or CO₂ emissions is [6]:

GHG Emissions = \Sigma A_i \times E_{fi} \quad (1)

\begin{align*}
\Sigma A_i &= \text{Consumption of type fuel (TJ)/ Production Capacity of Industrial Activities} \\
E_{fi} &= \text{Emission factor (kg / TJ)}
\end{align*}

Life-Cycle Assessment (LCA) is a method used to evaluate the consumption of energy and raw materials, emissions released to the environment, and other waste related to the life cycle of a product or system. To determine the scope of the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) there are several approach of Life Cycle Assessment:

1. Cradle to grave, where in this section the scope starts from the raw material to the product operation process.
2. Cradle to gate, where in this section the scope starts from the raw material to the gate before the operation process.
3. Gate to gate, where the scope is the shortest cycle than other cycles, because this scope only reviews the closest activities.
4. Gate to grave, where the scope starts from the raw material to the recycling of the material [7].

SimaPro is one of software used to analyze Life Cycle Assessment with complete service facilities. Databases or commonly called libraries provided by SimaPro are a combination of clusters data from eco-invent, US Life Cycle Inventory Database (USLCI), The European Life Cycle Database (ELCD), agri-footprint, and many other databases. The results of the analysis of the software are divided into three categories namely emissions to the air, emissions to the water, and soil emissions [8].

3. METHOD

The first step of this research is data collection. The data that needed to collect are type of beam, kind of beam material, material collection sites, and the planning process of beam construction. The data was collected by interview with the parties: the Project Manager, the logistics department, and the manufacture/store.

Second step are data processing based on the Life Cycle Assessment using SimaPro 9.0 software. A detail sequences are:

a. Goal and Scope

In determining a goal, SimaPro 9.0 has two input methods, which are directly in the text field or in the libraries menu. While scope or limitation in SimaPro 9.0 is determined by the database namely Eco-invent database. Eco-invent database was chosen because it can determine the inputs and outputs. Inputs were material types and the distance of materials to the place of production. On the other hand, the output was the result of global warming emissions in kg CO₂ eq.

b. Inventory Analysis

Inventory Analysis is the most important part of LCA process. At this stage, all data that has the potential to cause emission include consumption energy in raw materials and transportation were collected.

c. Impact Assessment Analysis

The impact assessment method applied ReCiPe 2016 analysis. The use of the ReCiPe 2016 method is due to the commonly method and global analysis.

d. Interpretation

Interpretation is combination results of inventory analysis and impact assessment. This step functioned to evaluate result and to give solution based on the goals and scope that identified earlier.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The object in this study is a house with type 216 in 13.5 m x 16 m. There are 4 types of beams: beam-type 25/50, beam-type 15/30, beam-type 15/15, and lintel beam-type 15/15. The goal of this study is to calculate CO₂ emissions from each process and materials of beams. The scope of this research applied cradle to grave that starts from the raw material to the product operation process. Furthermore, Table 1 shows result of inventory analysis that consists of kinds of material and their quantities. Moreover, the distance of transportation is presented in Table 2.
### Table 1. Kind of Beam Material and The Quantities

| Kind of beam | Volume (m³) | Kind of material | Quantities | Unit |
|--------------|-------------|------------------|------------|------|
| Beam Type 25/50 | 3.85 | Multiplex | 198.562 | kg |
| | | Wood Bar | 12,134.266 | kg |
| | | Steel Wire Rod | 13.297 | kg |
| | | Steel Rebar | 604.100 | kg |
| | | Ready Mix Concrete | 8,970.500 | kg |
| Beam Type 15/30 | 4.43 | Multiplex | 188.462 | kg |
| | | Wood Bar | 10,886.021 | kg |
| | | Steel Wire Rod | 9.465 | kg |
| | | Steel Rebar | 538.460 | kg |
| | | Ready Mix Concrete | 10,317.240 | kg |
| Beam Type 15/15 | 0.11 | Multiplex | 52.645 | kg |
| | | Wood Bar | 3,040.925 | kg |
| | | Steel Wire Rod | 2.644 | kg |
| | | Steel Rebar | 467.420 | kg |
| | | Ready Mix Concrete | 264.746 | kg |
| Lintel Beam Type 15/15 | 0.3 | Multiplex | 17.376 | kg |
| | | Wood Bar | 48.870 | kg |
| | | Steel Wire Rod | 0.873 | kg |
| | | Steel Rebar | 144.900 | kg |
| | | Cement | 125.280 | kg |
| | | Sand | 363.032 | kg |
| | | Gravel | 423.538 | kg |

### Table 2. Material Retrieval Distance

| Kinds of material | Retrieval Location | Delivery Location | Distance (km) |
|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Cement            | PT. Janti Sarana Material Beton | Adong Property | 1.1 |
| Sand              | CV. Adhie Karya Tama | Adong Property | 17.0 |
| Gravel            | CV. Adhie Karya Tama | Adong Property | 17.0 |
| Steel Rebar       | Toko Besi Sinarmas | Adong Property | 6.6 |
| Wood              | UD. Wahyu Pratama | Adong Property | 8.8 |
| Ready Mix         | PT. Surya Beton Indonesia | Adong Property | 11.0 |
| Steel Wire Rod    | Toko Karya Agung | Adong Property | 5.3 |
The quality of concrete used in this project was K-250 which referred to SNI 7394:2008. The specific gravity of sand, gravel, and fresh concrete mix are 1800 kg/m$^3$, 1400 kg/m$^3$, and 2330 kg/m$^3$, respectively. The rebars were stirrup reinforcement and main reinforcement. The reinforcement was plain steel bars with diameter 8 mm, 10 mm and 12 mm, and threaded steel bars with diameter 13 mm. For wood, it consists of three materials such as wood planks, wood bar, and multiplex with a size of 9 mm thick, 1.2 m long, and 2.4 m wide and weighs 16 kg. The wooden beams that used in this project were meranti wood.

The third step is impact assessment analysis. The CO$_2$ emissions as impact of beam life cycle are presented in network flow of each type of beam in Figure 1-4 as follows:

**Figure 1.** The CO$_2$ Emission in Network Flow of Beam Type 25/50

**Figure 2.** The CO$_2$ Emission in Network Flow of Beam Type 15/30
The highest CO₂-eq emission from beam is produced by beam type 25/50 in 4,610 kg CO₂-eq (41.9% from total emissions of beam). The second highest CO₂-eq emission followed by beam type 15/30 and beam type 15/15 with 4,380 kg CO₂-eq (39.9%) and 1,550 kg CO₂-eq (14.1%), respectively (see Figure 5). The volume of beam type 15/15 are the lowest (0.11 m³) compare to beam type 25/50 (3.85 m³) and beam type 15/30 (4.43 m³). The lowest CO₂-eq emissions is lintel beam-type 15/15 in 454 kg CO₂-eq (4.13%). The construction methods of beam type 25/50, type 15/30 and type 15/15 are ready mix methods. This is the reason why the emissions from these three types of beam generate high emission. On the contrary, lintel beam type 15/15 was constructed by conventional method that produced lowest emission. It seem that quantities, volume/dimension, and construction methods affect the value of CO₂-eq emission.

Based on the kind of materials of beam, for the conventional method, CO₂-eq emission come from rebar of 3,426 kg CO₂-eq and cement 120 kg CO₂-eq. For the ready mix method, the largest CO₂-eq emission are dominated by ready mix at 2,200 kg CO₂-eq and wooden blocks 5,150 kg CO₂-eq.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In beam construction, the highest CO₂-eq emission from beam is produced by beam type 25/50 in 4,610 kg CO₂-eq. For the conventional method, CO₂-eq emission come from rebar of 31.20% and cement 1.09%. For the ready mix method, the largest CO₂-eq emission are dominated by ready mix at 20.20% and wooden blocks 46.90%.
Figure 5. Total CO₂ Emission for Each Type of Beam
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