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• Premise of the study: Usnea antarctica and U. aurantiacoatra (Parmeliaceae) are common lichens in the maritime Antarctic. These species share the same habitats on King George Island (South Shetland Islands, Antarctica) and are distinguishable based on reproductive strategies.

• Methods and Results: We developed 23 fungus-specific simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers that cross-amplify between the two species. We used a low-coverage genome-skimming approach on one sample of each species to identify SSR repeats in the two species. Primers were designed for 3–4-bp repeats, and only the loci common to both species were selected for further analyses. Seventy-seven samples of the two species were selected to assess fungal specificity, genetic variability, and linkage of the markers. In addition, we tested cross-amplification in other Usnea species.

• Conclusions: The 23 newly designed SSR markers are suitable for population genetic and phylogeographic studies of Usnea species.

Key words: Antarctic lichens; microsatellites; Parmeliaceae; Usnea antarctica; Usnea aurantiacoatra.

Lichens constitute an important component of Antarctic terrestrial biota. The most common lichen species in the maritime Antarctic are Usnea aurantiacoatra (Jacq.) Bory and U. antarctica Du Rietz (Lecanoromycetes, Parmeliaceae). The two species occupy similar habitats but show different dispersal strategies, morphology, and distribution. Usnea antarctica usually propagates asexually by so-called soredia, while U. aurantiacoatra has apothecia and its thalli are usually larger. Usnea antarctica is reported to be circumpolar and to have the widest ecological amplitude and distribution of any Antarctic macrolichen (Øvstedal & Lewis Smith, 2001), while U. aurantiacoatra is absent from the continental Antarctic. Phylogenetic analysis, performed on several Southern Hemisphere Usnea Dill. ex Adans. species, showed that the two species are closely related, and they are considered a single group. Some authors have suggested that the two species might constitute a species pair, in which U. aurantiacoatra represents the fertile and U. antarctica the sterile counterpart, but phylogenetic studies indicated that they could be conspecific (Wirtz et al., 2012). Here, we developed highly variable microsatellite loci to better understand the genetic differences between the two lichen species and to investigate gene flow between maritime Antarctica and South America.

METHODS AND RESULTS

Total genomic DNA was extracted from a thallus of U. antarctica from population C1 and from one thallus of U. aurantiacoatra from a population that was not included in the later primer design (Appendix 1) from King George Island, Antarctica, in the austral summer of 2015/2016. Twenty milligrams of thallus were pretreated with acetone to remove secondary metabolites and ground with liquid nitrogen using a sterilized mortar and pestle. DNA was extracted using a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol (Cubero and Crespo, 2002). Paired-end libraries (300 bp) were prepared and sequenced on a MiSeq version 3 (2 × 300-bp) Illumina sequencer (LGC Genomics GmbH, Berlin, Germany).

We obtained 3,098,758 raw reads for U. antarctica and 1,755,882 for U. aurantiacoatra. Raw data were adapter-, quality- (PHREAD = 26), and length- (>150 bp) filtered using Trimmomatic version 0.35 (Bolger et al., 2014). Forward and reverse reads were assembled with Paired-End read merger (PEAR) software (Zhang et al., 2014). The resulting overlapping, paired, and singleton reads were then assembled with SPAdes version 3.9 (Nurk et al., 2013). Scaffolds were taxonomically binned using Metawatt (Strous et al., 2012). Scaffolds assigned to the phylum Ascomycota were used to search for mycobiont-specific microsatellite motifs. Simple sequence repeat (SSR) motifs repeated at least six times were searched in both genomes with the MicroSATellite Identification Tool (MISA) (Thiel et al., 2005), and primers were designed for 3–4-bp repeats using the Primer3 plugin in Geneious 10 (Kearse et al., 2012). A total of 150 SSRs were identified in the two species. Each repeat from one genome was BLASTed against the other genome. Due to the partial coverage of both Genomes, we performed the genome-skimming approach on one sample of each species to identify SSR repeats in the two species. Primers were designed for 3–4-bp repeats, and only the loci common to both species were selected for further analyses. Seventy-seven samples of the two species were selected to assess fungal specificity, genetic variability, and linkage of the markers. In addition, we tested cross-amplification in other Usnea species.

Conclusions: The 23 newly designed SSR markers are suitable for population genetic and phylogeographic studies of Usnea species.
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genomes, only 30 SSRs were found to share the same flanking regions in silico. We designed primers for these 30 markers and tested them on four samples of *U. antarctica* and *U. aurantiacoatra* in single touchdown PCR reactions. After sequencing we selected 23 markers (Table 1) with perfectly matching flanking regions to be tested in multiplex reactions and on algal pure cultures to confirm that they were fungal specific. The remaining seven markers were excluded because of insertions and/or deletions in the flanking regions.

A fluorescent dye–associated tag was attached to the forward primers. Four different tails were selected to multiplex the reactions (FAM: GCCCTCCCTCGCGCCA, VIC: GCCTTGCCAGCCCGC, NED: CAGGACCAGGCTACCGTG, PET: CGGAGGACCGAGAGGTG) (Blacket et al., 2012). PCRs were performed in 25-μL reactions using illustra PuReTaq Ready-To-Go PCR Beads (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom) according to the manufacturer’s protocol with the following touchdown conditions: initial hot start at 94°C for 15 min; five cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 65°C for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s; five cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 60°C for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s; 10 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 57°C for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s; 20 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 55°C for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s; and final elongation at 72°C for 15 min. The PCR products were sent to Macrogen Europe (Amsterdam, The Netherlands) for sequencing to check the stability of the flanking regions in both species. To confirm that the chosen SSRs are indeed fungal loci, the primers were also tested on four axenic cultures of *Trebouxia jamesii* (Hildreth and Ahmadjian) Gärnter isolated from *U. antarctica*, *U. aurantiacoatra*, *U. lambii* (Inschag) Wirtz & Lumbsch, and *U. trachycarpa* (Sirt.) Müll. Arg. (Appendix 1). The PCRs were performed under the same conditions as above, and no amplifications were observed.

After fungal specificity of the primers was confirmed, 77 samples from two populations of *U. antarctica* and *U. aurantiacoatra* (sampled in the same areas

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of 23 fungus-specific SSR primers developed for *Usnea antarctica* and *U. aurantiacoatra*.**

| Locus | Primer sequences (5′–3′) | Repeat motif | Tailb | Fluorescent dye | Allele size range (bp)c | No. of SSR repeats | A | Multiplex | GenBank accession no. |
|-------|-------------------------|--------------|-------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------|----------------------|
| Ua1   | GCATCTGGGCTCTTGAGAATTT | (CTT)ₙ        | A     | FAM             | 235–271                | 3–15              | 9 | 1         | KY940723             |
| Ua2   | GGGAATTATGCGACGGAGGACA  | (CTT)ₙ        | B     | VIC             | 256–283                | 6–15              | 9 | 1         | KY940724             |
| Ua3   | AAGGCAAGAAAATCCCTCAG    | (CTG)₂        | C     | NED             | 248–275                | 4–13              | 6 | 1         | KY940725             |
| Ua4   | CTCCATCTTGGGCTCTGCCGAG  | (CTT)ₚ        | D     | PET             | 277–304                | 2–13              | 10| 1         | KY940726             |
| Ua5   | GGAGGACGACGGAGGGAGGTA   | (CTT)ₚ        | C     | A               | 555–573                | 8–14              | 4 | 1         | KY940727             |
| Ua6   | TTGGAGGCGCACAAGAGATT   | (AAG)₂        | B     | VIC             | 337–349                | 4–8               | 5 | 1         | KY940728             |
| Ua7   | AAGCCAGGATCCACCAACACC  | (GGA)₂        | D     | PET             | 552–573                | 2–9               | 5 | 1         | KY940729             |
| Ua8   | GACGCTGTCGCTGGCAAGGTA  | (TGT)ₙ        | A     | FAM             | 393–402                | 6–9               | 4 | 1         | KY940730             |
| Ua9   | GACTTTAGGACGAAAGGAAAGTA| (CGA)ₙ        | D     | PET             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua10  | AGCTTTAGGACGAAAGGAAAGTA| (CGG)₌        | D     | PET             | 252–256                | 3–9               | 6 | 2         | KY940734             |
| Ua11  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (AGA)ₙ        | B     | VIC             | 284–314                | 4–14              | 8 | 2         | KY940732             |
| Ua12  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua13  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua14  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua15  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua16  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua17  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua18  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua19  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua20  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua21  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua22  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |
| Ua23  | GCATCTAGGGCACTACCAAG    | (TATG)ₙ       | C     | NED             | 254–274                | 5–10              | 6 | 2         | KY940733             |

*Note: A = number of alleles.

*Melting temperature (Tₘ) for all primers ranged from 59.1°C to 60.3°C.

*Tails attached to the forward primers: A = GCCCTCCCTCGCGCCA, B = GCCCTGGCCAGCCCGC, C = CAGGACCAGGCTACCGTG, D = CGGAGGACCGAGAGGTG.

The range includes the size of the tail.
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on King George Island) were chosen to evaluate the variability of the markers. All samples were tested with multiplexed PCRs of seven to eight markers with the Type-it Multiplex Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). PCR reactions were conducted in 10-μL volumes containing 1.5 μg of genomic DNA, 5 μL of PCR Master Mix, and 3 μL of primer multiplex (0.25 μM of each forward primer and fluorescent dye, 0.125 μM of each reverse primer). PCR products were amplified using the same touchdown program as above. PCR amplicons were electrophoresed using an Applied Biosystems 3730 platform, with the LIZ 600 Size Standard (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA), and allele sizes were read using an Applied Biosystems 3730 platform, with the LIZ 600 Size Standard (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA), and allele sizes were scored using the Geneious 10 microsatellite tool (Kearse et al., 2012).

The variability of each microsatellite locus was measured by counting the number of alleles and calculating the Nei’s unbiased gene diversity using GenAlEx 6.5 (Peakall and Smouse, 2012). All of the 23 markers amplified in both species. In Usnea antarctica, all of the SSR markers were polymorphic, while five were monomorphic in Usnea aurantiacoatra (Table 2).

Linkage disequilibrium was tested with GENEPOP (Raymond and Rousset, 1995; Rousset, 2008) twice: separately for each species to estimate genetic diversity of SSR loci in four populations of Usnea spp. collected on King George Island.

| Locus | n | mean A | H_e | A | H_e | A | H_e | A | H_e | A | H_e |
|-------|---|--------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|
| Ua1   | 76 | 5.3    | 0.66| 20| 3    | 0.36| 19| 4    | 0.61| 18| 6    | 0.61|
| Ua2   | 77 | 5.3    | 0.75| 20| 4    | 0.71| 19| 5    | 0.76| 20| 6    | 0.76|
| Ua3   | 77 | 2.5    | 0.38| 20| 2    | 0.10| 19| 3    | 0.37| 20| 3    | 0.37|
| Ua4   | 74 | 5.5    | 0.73| 20| 5    | 0.72| 19| 5    | 0.78| 17| 5    | 0.78|
| Ua5   | 77 | 1.8    | 0.08| 20| 1    | 0.00| 19| 2    | 0.11| 20| 1    | 0.11|
| Ua6   | 77 | 2.5    | 0.22| 20| 2    | 0.19| 19| 2    | 0.28| 20| 3    | 0.28|
| Ua7   | 70 | 2.3    | 0.19| 20| 2    | 0.19| 19| 3    | 0.20| 14| 2    | 0.20|
| Ua8   | 77 | 2.3    | 0.16| 20| 1    | 0.00| 19| 1    | 0.00| 20| 4    | 0.00|
| Ua9   | 76 | 2.5    | 0.53| 20| 2    | 0.44| 19| 2    | 0.46| 19| 3    | 0.46|
| Ua10  | 76 | 4.5    | 0.45| 19| 4    | 0.30| 19| 2    | 0.11| 20| 5    | 0.11|
| Ua11  | 77 | 4.3    | 0.68| 20| 6    | 0.79| 19| 5    | 0.75| 20| 3    | 0.75|
| Ua12  | 76 | 2.8    | 0.32| 19| 1    | 0.00| 19| 1    | 0.00| 20| 4    | 0.00|
| Ua13  | 76 | 2.8    | 0.31| 20| 1    | 0.00| 19| 1    | 0.00| 18| 5    | 0.00|
| Ua14  | 76 | 4.8    | 0.73| 19| 4    | 0.73| 19| 6    | 0.82| 20| 5    | 0.82|
| Ua15  | 77 | 1.5    | 0.05| 20| 1    | 0.00| 19| 1    | 0.00| 20| 1    | 0.00|
| Ua16  | 77 | 2.5    | 0.32| 20| 2    | 0.10| 19| 1    | 0.00| 20| 4    | 0.00|
| Ua17  | 75 | 3.0    | 0.54| 19| 3    | 0.29| 19| 3    | 0.65| 18| 3    | 0.65|
| Ua18  | 77 | 3.0    | 0.57| 20| 4    | 0.57| 19| 2    | 0.49| 20| 3    | 0.49|
| Ua19  | 77 | 1.5    | 0.05| 20| 2    | 0.10| 19| 1    | 0.00| 20| 1    | 0.00|
| Ua20  | 77 | 2.5    | 0.32| 20| 3    | 0.59| 19| 3    | 0.37| 20| 2    | 0.37|
| Ua21  | 77 | 3.8    | 0.63| 20| 4    | 0.59| 19| 4    | 0.59| 20| 3    | 0.59|
| Ua22  | 77 | 2.0    | 0.22| 20| 2    | 0.19| 19| 2    | 0.20| 20| 1    | 0.20|
| Ua23  | 77 | 1.8    | 0.21| 20| 1    | 0.00| 19| 1    | 0.00| 20| 2    | 0.00|
| Mean  | 70 | 3.05   | 0.40| 2.61| 0.30| 2.61| 0.33| 3.22| 0.33| 3.78| 0.51|

Note: A = number of alleles; H_e = Nei’s unbiased gene diversity; n = total number of samples.

*Locality data for populations can be found in Appendix 1.

The markers shared the same flanking regions in all three species, five markers had deletions and/or insertions in the flanking regions in Usnea sphacelata and Usnea trachycarpa, and for four markers the PCRs failed. Marker Ua18 shared the flanking region only with U. sphacelata, while it had a deletion in U. trachycarpa (Table 3).

CONCLUSIONS

The markers developed here are suitable to study population structure and gene flow in Usnea antarctica and Usnea aurantiacoatra. The markers shared the same flanking regions in the two species and showed high variability even within a small geographic area. Nineteen of these microsatellite primers also cross-amplify in related species from Usnea subgenus Neuropogon; however, the flanking regions of some markers are less stable. The usability of the newly developed SSR markers for cross-amplification in other Usnea species therefore requires further validation using a broader taxon sampling and a higher number of replicates per species. We conclude that the newly developed SSR markers presented here can be used to infer gene flow within Southern Hemisphere lichen populations and resolve connectivity patterns among populations of Usnea antarctica and Usnea aurantiacoatra.

Table 3. Cross-amplification of SSR markers developed for Usnea antarctica and Usnea aurantiacoatra with related species of Usnea subgenus Neuropogon.

| Species | Ua1 | Ua2 | Ua3 | Ua4 | Ua5 | Ua6 | Ua7 | Ua8 | Ua9 | Ua10 | Ua11 | Ua12 | Ua13 | Ua14 | Ua15 | Ua16 | Ua17 | Ua18 | Ua19 | Ua20 | Ua21 | Ua22 | Ua23 |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| U. sphacelata | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   |
| U. trachycarpa | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   | +   |

Note: + = SSRs present; — = PCR failed; D = deletion/insertion within the flanking region.
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Appendix I. Locality and collection data for *Usnea* samples used in this study.

| Species (Population) | n  | Geographic coordinates     | Locality data                  | Collector (Collection year) | Voucher no. (Herbarium) |
|----------------------|----|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| *U. antarctica* Du Rietz (C1) | 20 | 62°14.220' S, 58°39.492’ W | King George Island, Antarctica | Lagostina, Kanz (2015)     | FR-0264579 (FR)         |
| *U. antarctica* (P3) | 19 | 62°15.174’ S, 58°38.971’ W | King George Island, Antarctica | Lagostina, Kanz (2015)     | FR-0264581 (FR)         |
| *U. aurantiacoatra* (Jacq.) Bory (C1) | 20 | 62°14.220’ S, 58°39.492’ W | King George Island, Antarctica | Lagostina, Kanz (2015)     | FR-0264584 (FR)         |
| *U. aurantiacoatra* (P3) | 18 | 62°15.174’ S, 58°38.971’ W | King George Island, Antarctica | Lagostina, Kanz (2015)     | FR-0264586 (FR)         |
| *U. aurantiacoatra* | 1  | 62°14.363’ S, 58°39.202’ W | King George Island, Antarctica | Lagostina, Kanz (2015)     | FR-0264585 (FR)         |
| *U. sphacelata* R. Br. | 4  | 79°17’ N, 16°04’ W         | Spitsbergen, Svalbard         | Tønsberg (2002)            | BG-L94105 (BG)          |
| *U. sphacelata*     | 4  | 64°45’ N, 18°15’ W         | Central Highland, Iceland     | Heidmarsson (2002)         | Heidmarsson 28916 (F)   |
| *U. trachycarpa* (Sürt.) Müll. Arg. | 2  | 54°58.257’ S, 67°38.004’ W | Isla Navarino, Chile          | Printzen (2017)            | FR-0264590 (FR)         |

Note: n = number of individuals tested.

*Vouchers are deposited in the Herbarium Senckenbergianum Frankfurt (FR), Frankfurt am Main, Germany; University Museum of Bergen (BG), Bergen, Norway; and the Field Museum of Natural History (F), Chicago, Illinois, USA.*