Evaluation of the efficacy of an attenuated live vaccine based on virulent porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus 2 in young pigs
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Abstract: The efficacy of the CA-2-MP120 vaccine, a cell culture-attenuated strain of virulent porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV), was assessed in pigs. Despite the persistence of viremia in all vaccinated animals during the immunization period, the virus was not detected in vaccinated pigs following challenge. Furthermore, no pigs in the vaccinated group shed PRRSV nasally, orally or rectally throughout the experiment. Moreover, histopathological lung and lymph node lesions in the immunized group were much milder than those in the unimmunized and challenged group. These results indicated that CA-2-MP120 can provide effective protection against virulent wild-type PRRSV-2.
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Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) is a globally notorious and widespread viral pathogen that causes major economic losses in the swine industry worldwide [5]. It is a small, enveloped, single-stranded, and positive-sense RNA virus that has been recently reclassified to the genus Porarterivirus within the family Arteriviridae of the order Nidovirales [1]. The most outstanding hallmark of PRRSV is its high genetic and antigenic diversity due to a swift mutation rate; accordingly, the current taxonomy divides the virus into two species: PRRSV-1 (European) and PRRSV-2 (North American) [1]. Furthermore, PRRSV continues to undergo extremely high degrees of genetic divergence not only among the two species but also within them, leading to significant phenotypic variations in the clinical presentation among field isolates [3, 12]. The genetic and clinical heterogeneity is considered a major obstacle for PRRSV vaccine development and ultimately making its control and elimination complicated.

Although the two species have been intermingled in Korean pig farms since 2006 [11], PRRSV-2 is more prevalent in South Korea [7]. Furthermore, at least 4 different lineages of PRRSV-2 circulate predominantly in the country, and heterologous PRRSV-2 strains can simultaneously exist in a single farm [2, 7]. In particular, virulent PRRSV-2 lineage 1 [4] has been epizootic in South Korea since the early 2010s [2].

We have previously reported the virulence, immunogenicity, and genetic characteristics of the CA-2-MP120 virus strain that was obtained by sequentially passing the virulent Korean PRRSV-2 lineage 1 strain CA-2 through the African green monkey kidney epithelial cell line MARC-145 (100 passages) and cultured porcine alveolar macrophage (PAM) (additional 20 passages) cells [8]. In this present study, we assessed the efficacy of CA-2-MP120 as a genetically stable, live-attenuated vaccine strain against PRRSV-2 lineage 2 in host animals. This vaccine was efficacious against a challenge with the virulent parental CA-2-P20 strain and can serve as an adequate vaccine in herds.

The in vivo swine experiments described herein were performed at the ChoongAng Vaccine Laboratory Animal Facility under the guidelines established by its Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC No. 150128-02). A total of 16 pigs (3 weeks old), cross breeds of the Great Yorkshire and Dutch Landrace, were obtained from a pig farm with a high health status and randomly divided into three groups. All animals were determined to be free of antibodies to PRRSV, swine influenza virus, and porcine circovirus 2. After one week of acclimatization, the pigs in
Group 1 (n = 6) were immunized intramuscularly with 2 mL of CA-2-MP120 at a 50% tissue culture infective dose per mL (TCID$_{50}$/mL) of $10^{6.0}$, whereas the challenge control (Group 2; n = 6) and strictly negative control (Group 3; n = 4) received only cell culture medium as a placebo. At 57 days post-immunization (dpi), each piglet (except the negative control pigs from Group 3) was challenged intramuscularly with 2 mL ($10^{6.0}$ TCID$_{50}$/mL) of the CA-2-P20 strain [2, 8]. Clinical parameters, such as appetite, activity level, and respiratory signs were monitored daily throughout the study. The body weight and rectal temperature were measured at 0, 3, 6, 8, 14, 21, 28, 35, 54, 61, and 71 dpi, and blood as well as oral, nasal, and fecal swabs were collected at the same time points. All the animals were euthanized at 14 days post-challenge (dpc) for post-mortem examination as described previously [8].

To determine if the animals were viremic during vaccination and challenge, PRRSV levels in the sera of pigs were determined by virus titration using MARC-145 or PAM-KNU cells as described previously [2, 8]. PRRSV-specific antibodies in serum samples collected from the infected and controls animals were determined using a commercial PRRSV antibody ELISA kit (HerdCheck PRRS X3; IDEXX Laboratories, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and a serum neutralization test on PAM-KNU cells using a homogeneous CA-2 strain as previously described [2, 8].

To evaluate the efficacy of the CA-2-MP120 vaccine in the natural host, pig vaccination and challenge experiments were conducted. None of the pigs in the vaccinated and strict negative control groups developed typical clinical signs throughout the immunization and challenge procedures (up to 71 dpi).
or 14 dpc). However, following the virus challenge, some unvaccinated pigs (Group 2) exhibited mild clinical symptoms (e.g., dyspnea and anorexia) intermittently at 3–10 dpc, but eventually returned to a healthy state. Although pigs in the unvaccinated and challenged group had higher febrile responses and less weight gains during the post-challenge period compared with the immunized (Group 1) or negative control (Group 3) animals, there were no statistically significant differences in the mean rectal temperatures and average daily weight gains among the three groups throughout the experiment (data not shown).

Whereas all pigs in the vaccinated group developed viremia by 3 dpi, those in the unvaccinated controls (Groups 2 and 3) remained viremia-negative (Fig. 1A). Study of the viremia kinetics indicated that the viral replication levels of the immunized group had primarily declined at 8 dpi but rebounded at 14 dpi and then gradually decreased following the second peak time points at 28 dpi. Half of the pigs in Group 1 experienced viremia until 35 dpi, whereas the remaining animals were viremic until 42 dpi. Strikingly, PRRSV was undetectable in the sera of all vaccinated and challenged animals (6/6) throughout the post-challenge period, indicating that the challenge virus had been eliminated by the vaccine in the immunized group. Furthermore, none of the pigs in Group 1 shed the virus in nasal, oral, or fecal secretions during the entire immunization and challenge period. In contrast, all animals in the unvaccinated and challenged group developed viremia by 4 dpc, which continued until the termination of the experiment. Consistently, nasal, oral, and fecal virus shedding was recorded in piglets in Group 2 at different time points post-challenge.

Antibody detection by ELISA revealed that seroconversion had occurred by 11 dpi in all pigs in the group immunized with CA-2-MP120 and that the mean sample to positive ratio of the antibody test had reached its highest level at 21 dpi, followed by a decrease at 42 dpi and ascending kinetics following challenge (Fig. 1B). Similarly, animals in the challenged control group had seroconverted by 7 (4/6) or 10 (2/6) dpi, and the antibody titers continued to rise thereafter. Serum samples from the strict negative control pigs (Group 3) remained seronegative to PRRSV throughout the trial, as determined by ELISA. Although a PRRSV-specific serum neutralizing (SN) antibody response was evident in all pigs in the vaccinated group at 14 dpc with a mean titer of 1:16 ranging from 1:8 to 1:36, the vaccinated animals were negative for (until 4 dpc) or insignificantly low levels (≤ 1:4) of SN antibodies against the challenge virus at 7 dpi. These results suggested that the SN antibody response may play a limited role in protective immunity against PRRSV infection, particularly regarding the clearance of viremia in the present study. In addition, PRRSV-specific SN antibodies were found to be absent in all animals in the challenge (Group 2) and negative (Group 3) controls until the end of the experiment (data not shown).

As shown in Figure 2A, post-mortem examination revealed typical pulmonary lesions, including interstitial pneumonia with red-tan, rubbery, and firm areas in pigs in the challenged control (Group 2). In the vaccinated and challenged animals (Group 1), no pathological damage was found macroscopically, which was comparable to the results seen in the strict negative control at necropsy (Group 3). Upon microscopic assessment, the lungs from all pigs in the challenged control (Group 2) showed general characteristics of acute PRRSV infection, including thickening of the alveolar walls by the infiltration and accumulation of inflammatory cells in
the interstitial tissue. In contrast, the lungs of the immunized pigs in Group 1 displayed mild alveolar wall thickening without typical interstitial pneumonia (Fig. 2B). Animals in Groups 1 and 2 equally developed minimal or no remarkable lesions in the lymph nodes (LNs) or tracheas (data not shown). Immunohistochemical staining exhibited that the PRRSV antigen was predominant in the lungs and LNs of the challenged pigs in Group 2, whereas it was undetectable in the immunized pigs in Group 1 (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, virus isolation analysis showed that only pigs in the challenged control (Group 2) were positive for PRRSV in their lungs, LNs, and tracheas (Fig. 3). None of the pigs in Group 3 experienced any histopathological lesions or showed virus positivity in the lungs, LNs, and tracheas. Therefore, our results indicated that the attenuated CA-2-MP120 strain provided protection against virulent PRRSV infection in pigs.

Currently, several modified live-attenuated vaccines (MLVs) against PRRSV-1 or -2 have been successfully employed. All of the PRRSV vaccines are generally effective against homologous strains but show varying and sometimes incomplete effectiveness against heterologous strains [6, 9]. Moreover, acute PRRS-like disease and atypical PRRS characterized by abortion and high mortality in pregnant gilts were reported in MLV-vaccinated pigs. Notably, the virulent PRRSV-2 lineage 1 strain emerged and rapidly became prevalent in South Korea and plagued the domestic pork industry economically [2, 10]. Therefore, it is imperative to develop safe and effective vaccines against the virulent field PRRSVs. The current study demonstrated that CA-2-MP120 is capable of providing effective protection against challenge with a virulent homologous virus. Although further challenge experiments will be needed to evaluate the protective efficacy of vaccines against a heterologous virus and to assess safety concerns with regard to reversion, our work provides valuable insights for pioneering the development of a next-generation MLV based on the attenuated CA-2-MP120 virus.
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