Asymmetric Landau bands due to spin–orbit coupling
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Abstract
We show that the Landau bands obtained in a two-dimensional lateral semiconductor superlattice with spin–orbit coupling (SOC) of the Rashba/Dresselhaus type, linear in the electron momentum, placed in a tilted magnetic field, do not follow the symmetry of the spatial modulation. Moreover, this phenomenology is found to depend on the relative tilt of magnetic field and on the SOC type: (a) when only Rashba SOC exists and the magnetic field is tilted in the direction of the superlattice (b) Dresselhaus SOC exists and the magnetic field is tilted in the direction perpendicular to the superlattice. Consequently, measurable properties of the modulated system become anisotropic in a tilted magnetic field when the field is conically rotated around the z axis, at a fixed polar angle, as we demonstrate by calculating the resistivity and the magnetization.
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1. Introduction
For more than ten years, the complex phenomenology observed in two-dimensional semiconductor structures with spin–orbit coupling (SOC) has been at the forefront of numerous experimental and theoretical explorations [1]. Originating in the asymmetry of the quantum well or in the inversion asymmetry of the crystal structure, the spin–orbit interaction, of the Rashba [2] and Dresselhaus [3] type, respectively, describes the coupling of the electron spin to its momentum, generating the possibility of simultaneous spin and charge effects, as well as the possibility of controlling the spin through the application of electric fields [4, 5]. It is the latter reason that underlies the continuous interest, because of a potential realization of semiconductor based spintronic devices. From this perspective, the investigation of magnetotransport properties was focused from the very beginning on identifying the best set-up which, in the presence of electric or magnetic fields, can showcase spin-dependent macroscopic response functions, and in particular spin or spin-polarized currents.

The transport theory of Landau levels in the presence of electrostatic modulation goes already back a quarter of a century [6, 7]. Since then these systems have attracted continued attention. In the more recent years of spintronics the effects of SOC were added [8], modulation effects on the spin Hall conductance were studied [9], and the effects of a tilted magnetic field were considered [10]. As has been pointed out, the spin–orbit effect can be relatively enhanced in a tilted magnetic field, as observed both in transport [11] and magnetization measurements [12, 13]. The origin of this enhancement is that at Landau level crossing, where the orbital and Zeeman energies are equal, the Landau levels are linear with the SOC strength, and the spin mixing is maximized [12]. The suppression of orbital energy can also be achieved in one-dimensional systems with periodic modulation where it has been proposed that signature of SOC can be measured in two terminal transport [14].

The experimental detection of the SOC in a nanostructure is in general difficult. The response functions depend on the energy dispersion, which usually include some weak effects of the SOC. An interesting situation was described in [15] where the energy spectrum of a two-dimensional (2D), laterally symmetric quantum wire, was calculated. Due to the interplay of SOC and an in-plane magnetic field perpendicular to the length of a wire the energy dispersion becomes asymmetric.
with respect to the sign reversal of the momentum along the wire [16]. The authors of [15] predicted that this situation can be experimentally verified by phototransport measurements, where an asymmetric band structure is essential for obtaining photocurrents [17]. Nevertheless, to our knowledge such experiments are not reported for quantum wires or another nanostructure with lateral confinement, where the electrons are the current carriers. Photoconductivity had been studied in the two-dimensional electron gas with SOC, but based on a different mechanism, of an imbalanced population of electrons with different spin orientation under the action of light and an in-plane magnetic field [18]. Only in a recent study magnetophotocurrents with possible spin polarization have been generated by electron-hole excitations in asymmetric Rashba spin-split bands in layered semiconductor BiTeI [19]. More complicated asymmetries of the energy spectra, for example due to the simultaneous presence of Rashba and Dresselhaus SOC in thick quantum wells in magnetic field, can generate equilibrium spin currents which are still not observed in experiments [20].

In the present paper we propose alternative possibilities to detect the effect on the energy dispersion resulting from the combination of SOC and an in-plane magnetic field in a 2D electron system (2DES). We consider a tilted magnetic field with a vertical component that is strong enough to induce the quantization of the Landau levels in the electron plane. We also consider a lateral modulation in the plane obtained by applying a periodic electrostatic potential along one direction in the plane, as shown in figure 1. We show that in the presence of SOC the resulting Landau bands, and implicitly the density of states, depend on the orientation of the in-plane magnetic field relatively to the direction of the modulation. In particular, if the electrostatic modulation has an inversion symmetry, the Landau bands do not obey it. We calculate the conductivity tensor and the total energy of the modulated 2DES and show that the presence of the SOC could be observed in magnetotransport and magnetization experiments, in a tilted magnetic field rotating conically around the normal direction to the plane.

2. The weakly modulated 2DES in a tilted field

In the following discussion we consider the 2DES situated in the $\hat{x} - \hat{y}$ plane, and subjected to a lateral modulation along the $\hat{z}$ direction which is induced by applying a periodic potential, $V(x) = V_0 \cos Qx$. A magnetic field of magnitude $B$, tilted in respect with the $\hat{z}$ axis is also applied. Its direction is described by the usual angular representation, $\mathbf{B} = B(\cos \varphi \sin \theta, \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \cos \theta)$, as shown in figure 1. We assume that the perpendicular component of the magnetic field is strong enough such that the quantization of the single particle energies into Landau levels is induced. With $\sigma^z$ the usual destruction and creation operators of the harmonic oscillator, the single particle Hamiltonian is written in a spin basis provided by the Pauli matrices, $[\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z]$, as,

$$H_{2D} = \hbar \omega_c (a^\dagger a + 1/2) + \frac{g\mu B}{2} \hat{B} \cdot \hat{\sigma} + V(x + \xi),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

**Figure 1.** A 2DES situated in the $x - y$ plane exhibits a density modulation (stripes) when subjected to a periodic potential $V_0 \cos Qx$. The magnetic field, represented by the blue thick arrows, is oriented along a direction determined by the polar and azimuthal angles, $\theta$ and $\varphi$, respectively.

where $\xi = -\ell_z^2 p_x/\hbar$ is the so called center coordinate, $\ell_z = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ is the magnetic length, and a unitary transformation $e^{\mu \xi / \hbar}$ was used to displace the origin of the modulation potential. In the absence of SOC the orbital and spin states decouple, the spin states being either parallel or anti-parallel with the total magnetic field, $|\uparrow B\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\xi \hat{z}} |\uparrow \rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i \xi \hat{z}} |\downarrow \rangle,$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $|\uparrow \rangle$ and $|\downarrow \rangle$ are the usual eigenstates of $\sigma_z$. For a modulation amplitude much smaller than the cyclotron energy, i.e. $V_0 \ll \hbar \omega_c$, the orbital states are obtained in first-order perturbation theory to be,

$$|n, \xi \rangle \approx |n\rangle - V_0 \sin \frac{Q \xi}{\hbar \omega_c} (S_{n,n-1}|n-1\rangle - S_{n,n+1}|n+1\rangle) - \frac{V_0 \cos \frac{Q \xi}{\hbar \omega_c}}{2}\left(C_{n,n-2}|n-2\rangle - C_{n,n+2}|n+2\rangle\right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $S_{n,m} = \langle n | Q x | m \rangle$ and $C_{n,m} = \langle n | Q x | m \rangle$ are matrix elements in the basis of the usual harmonics oscillator states $|n\rangle$. By increasing the tilt of the magnetic field, such that the relative contribution of the in-plane component to the Zeeman splitting is enhanced, opposite-spin Landau bands cross [21].

In the presence of the Rashba interaction, of strength $\alpha$, the single-particle Hamiltonian acquires an additional term which reflects the coupling between the spin $\sigma$ and the electron momentum written in terms of the harmonic oscillator operators,

$$H_R = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\ell}} (\alpha \sigma_+ + \alpha^\dagger \sigma_-),$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\sigma_\pm = \sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y$ . In these conditions the particle spin is not a good quantum number and the energy bands couple in the spin space. They can be determined by projecting the full Hamiltonian $H_{2D} + H_R$ onto the subspace $|\uparrow B\rangle$ and $|\downarrow B\rangle$, followed by the diagonalization of the resulting $2 \times 2$ matrix. $H_{2D}$ is trivially diagonal, with diagonal elements

$$\varepsilon_{n+1,m}^0 = \hbar \omega_c (n + 1/2) - \frac{g\mu B}{2} + V_0 \cos \frac{Q \xi}{\hbar \omega_c},$$

$$\varepsilon_{n-1,m}^0 = \hbar \omega_c (n - 1/2) + \frac{g\mu B}{2} + V_0 \cos \frac{Q \xi}{\hbar \omega_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
which are the standard Landau bands in the first order of the modulation strength, where \( V_n = V_0 C_{n,n} \).

The Rashba term generates

\[
\frac{\hbar}{\hbar \omega_c} \left[ \frac{\alpha \cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} (e^{i \psi} \sigma_x + \text{h.c.})}{\sqrt{2} \hbar \omega_c} + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2} \hbar \omega_c} V_0 (S_n + S_{n-1}) \right] + \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2} \hbar \omega_c} V_0 (O_{\theta,n} \sigma_x + O_{\theta,-n} \sigma_x + O_{\theta,n} \sigma_-),
\]

where \( S_n = \sqrt{n} C_{n,n-1} - \sqrt{n} + 1 S_{n,n+1} \) and

\[
O_{\theta,n} = \frac{S_n - S_{n-1}}{2} \sin \theta \cos \varphi \sin \frac{\pi \xi}{Q},
\]

\[
O_{\theta,-n} = \frac{\sqrt{n} - 1 C_{n,n-2}}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\varphi}{2} \cos \frac{\pi \xi}{Q}.
\]

In the absence of the periodic potential (\( V_0 = 0 \)) the Rashba contribution reduces to the first term of equation (6) which, for a perpendicular magnetic field relatively to the modulation, depends on the strength of the SOC and on the orientation of the in-plane magnetic field. In particular, if the external potential is an even function of the in-plane magnetic field, the bands are symmetric relatively to the modulation potential. It is clear from equation (7) that the perpendicular component of the effective magnetic modulation leads to Landau bands that are asymmetric around \( Q_x = 0 \) or \( Q_x = \pi \), on account of the \( \sin \pi \xi \) term, although the modulation potential is an even function of \( x \). Symmetric bands, i.e., even functions of \( \xi \), are recovered only in the case where \( \cos \varphi = 0 \), i.e., for \( \varphi = \pi / 2 \).

In the case of Dresselhaus type of SOC, of strength \( \beta \), which can be written in a form equivalent to the Rashba coupling by using the spin rotation \( e^{i \varphi/2} e^{i \varphi/2} \), one obtains very similar results for the Landau bands. Due to this well known Rashba–Dresselhaus transformation, the Landau bands obtained with \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( (B_z, B_y, B_y) \) are identical to those obtained with \( \beta \), \( \alpha \), and \( (B_z, B_y, -B_y) \).

To conclude this section we notice that, according to equations (7) and (8), the amplitude of the Landau bands depends on the strength of the SOC and on the orientation of the in-plane magnetic field relatively to the modulation direction. In particular, if the external potential is an even function of \( x \), the expected even parity of the Landau bands in the Brillouin zone is broken by the Rashba SOC if \( B_z \neq 0 \), or by the Dresselhaus SOC if \( B_z = 0 \).

3. The strongly modulated 2DES

In order to increase the effect of the SOC on the energy dispersion we consider a modulation potential with an amplitude much larger that the cyclotron energy which can generate higher harmonics in the dispersion of the energy bands. To be more realistic we also include in the band structure calculations the Coulomb interaction between the electrons. We use the Hartree–Fock approximation where the Fock exchange is screened with the static polarizability. The long-range direct Coulomb term tends to screen the external potential and reduce the energy dispersion of the Landau bands, whereas the short-range exchange term tends to increase the dispersion by contributing with negative energy to the occupied states [24].

Examples of resulting Landau bands are shown in figure 2, for a modulation potential of period \( \lambda = 150 \) nm and amplitude \( V_0 = 40 \) meV. The material parameters are chosen as for InAs: effective mass \( m_{\text{eff}} = 0.023 m_0 \), effective g-factor \( g_{\text{eff}} = -14.9 \), Rashba SOC strength \( \alpha = 20 \) meV nm. The density of electrons is \( 2.4 \times 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-2} \). In order to maximize the effect of the SOC we tilt the magnetic field such that the Zeeman and cyclotron energies are equal in the absence of the modulation, which allows the strongest mixing of spin up and spin down states. The corresponding polar angle is \( \theta = 80.2^\circ \).

The total magnetic field is \( B = 9.02 \) T. The Brillouin zone corresponds to center coordinate interval \( (-\pi / Q, \pi / Q) \). As expected from the perturbative limit, if the magnetic field is tilted in the \( y \) direction only, the bands are symmetric relatively to the center of the Brillouin zone, i.e., they are even functions of \( \xi \). However, if the magnetic field is tilted along \( x \), the bands become asymmetric, due to the Rashba SOC.

Consequently, observables that depend on the energy structure should be anisotropic relatively to a rotation of the magnetic field around the \( z \) axis, i.e., with a fixed polar angle \( \theta \). In the following we describe such results for the electrical conductivity and for the magnetization of the modulated 2DES endowed with SOC.

4. Conductivity

We performed the calculations of the conductivity tensor, \( \sigma_{xx}, \sigma_{xy}, \sigma_{yx}, \) using the Kubo linear response formula. The longitudinal conductivity along the modulation, \( \sigma_{xx} \), depends on the collision-induced broadening of the Landau bands which is taken into account within the self-consistent Born approximation, assuming delta-scatterers [7]. Following the result for the uniform 2DES we assume a broadening \( \Gamma = \gamma \sqrt{B_z} \), with \( \gamma = 0.15 \) meV T\(^{-1/2} \). The longitudinal conductivity parallel to the modulation strips, \( \sigma_{xy} \), is dominated by the drift of the electrons along the strips, which is known as band conductivity, but also incorporates a weaker scattering component [7]. The scattering conductivity is (approximately) proportional to the density of states at the Fermi level and therefore its maxima correspond to the van Hove singularities of the energy bands. In contrast, the band conductivity is inversely proportional to the same quantity, and therefore has maxima in the middle of the Landau bands. In a similar manner the scattering conductivity is proportional to \( \Gamma^2 \), whereas the band conductivity is proportional to \( \Gamma^{-2} \) [24].

We treat the Hall conductivity in the simplest possible way, with a filling-factor \( (v) \) dependent formula, \( \sigma_{xy} = (e^2/\hbar) v \), which does not describe Hall plateaus, but it still is qualitatively reasonable result for a strong modulation.
Figure 2. Landau bands created by a modulation potential along the $x$ direction, with period $\lambda = 150$ nm and amplitude $V_0 = 40$ meV, versus the center coordinate $\xi$. The total magnetic field is $B = 9.02$ T and the polar angle $\theta = 80.2^\circ$. (a) The magnetic field is tilted in the $y$ direction, i.e. $B_x = 0$, or $\varphi = \pi/2$, and the Landau bands are symmetric within the Brillouin zone $-\pi < Q \xi < \pi$, where $Q = 2\pi/\lambda$. (b) The magnetic field is tilted in the $x$ direction, i.e. $B_y = 0$, or $\varphi = 0$, and the Landau bands become asymmetric. This asymmetry is due to the Rashba SOC. The horizontal dashed line indicates the Fermi energy. The material parameters correspond to InAs, with SOC $\alpha = 20$ meV nm and $\beta = 0$.

Since usually in transport experiments the resistivities are measured, rather than conductivities, we display in figure 3 the longitudinal resistivities

$$\rho_{xx,yy} = \frac{\sigma_{yy,xx}}{\sigma_{xx} \sigma_{yy} + \sigma_{xy}^2}. \quad (9)$$

In general $\sigma_{xx,yy} \ll \sigma_{xy}^2 [7, 24]$ and therefore $\rho_{xx} \sim \sigma_{yy}$ and $\rho_{yy} \sim \sigma_{xx}$. On account of the opposite relation between conductivities and density of states the two resistivities oscillate with the magnetic field in antiphase, as can be seen by comparing figures 3(a) and (b) or (c) and (d), respectively. For example, in figure 2 the $z$ component of the magnetic field is $B_z = B \cos \theta = 1.54$ T. Correspondingly, in figure 3 we notice a succession of a local maximum and a local minimum for $1.50$ T $< B_z < 1.55$ T for $\rho_{xx}$ (which is dominated by the band conductivity via $\sigma_{yy}$), since the density of states at the Fermi level increases by approaching the top of a Landau band, and the other order, first a minimum and then a maximum for $\rho_{yy}$ (which is dominated by the scattering conductivity $\sigma_{xx}$).

The large dispersion of the Landau bands and the relatively small disorder broadening determine a value for $\rho_{xy}$ that is three orders of magnitude smaller than $\rho_{xx}$.

In the transport calculations we included a Dresselhaus SOC with $\beta = 3$ meV nm, but that has no significant contribution in figure 3. More important, by increasing the Rashba SOC strength, from $\alpha = 20$ to $\alpha = 30$ meV nm, the resistivities change quite a lot. Both dispersion and asymmetry of the Landau bands increase with increasing SOC. The behavior of the resistivities is complex and rather difficult to interpret. Nevertheless, as expected from the Landau band structure, the message is that both longitudinal resistivities ($xx$ and $yy$) should depend on the orientation of the magnetic field projection in the plane of the 2DES, i.e. on the azimuthal angle $\varphi$.

5. Magnetization

The magnetization $M$ of the modulated 2DES is related to the total free energy of the electrons, $E - TS$, by the thermodynamic relation

$$d(E - TS) = -M dB \quad (10)$$

where $E$ is the total energy and $S$ the entropy of the 2DES. In the zero-temperature limit we can evaluate the measurable magnetization in the direction perpendicular to the 2DES in a thermodynamic manner [25]:

$$M_z = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial B_z}. \quad (11)$$

The total energy was calculated from the Landau bands as the sum—over all occupied effective single-particle states—of the single-particle energies obtained in the absence of the Coulomb interaction, plus one half of the Coulomb energy in order to exclude the double counting of electrons, like in equation (3) of [26]. Then, according to equation (10), the magnetization was obtained with a numerical derivative procedure, on a sufficiently dense grid of magnetic field values.

For a homogeneous 2DES, i.e. in the absence of the periodic modulation, the magnetization has saw-teeth-like de Haas–van Alphen oscillations versus the $z$ component of the magnetic field, corresponding to the motion of the Fermi
Figure 3. Resistivities of the modulated 2DES compared, by tilting the magnetic field along the y or x direction, i.e., when $\phi = \pi/2$ ($B_x = 0$) and $\phi = 0$ ($B_y = 0$), respectively. (a) The resistivity along the modulation, i.e., in the x direction. (b) The resistivity in the homogeneous direction y, magnified 1000 times. (c) and (d) The resistivities recalculated with the Rashba SOC parameter increased by 50%. In both cases the Dresselhaus SOC strength is $\beta = 3$ meV nm.

Figure 4. (a) The magnetization in the z direction, in units of Bohr magneton $\mu_B$, and per surface area A in nm$^2$, versus the z component of the magnetic field when it is tilted along the x or y directions, i.e., for $\phi = \pi/2$ ($B_x = 0$) and for $\phi = 0$ ($B_y = 0$). (b) The magnetization recalculated with the Rashba SOC parameter increased by 50%. In both cases the Dresselhaus SOC strength is $\beta = 3$ meV nm.

In figure 4 we show the magnetization calculated for the two present situations of interest: when the magnetic field is tilted in the x or in the y direction, i.e., when $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi/2$, respectively. The peaks indicate the van Hove singularities of the Landau bands. Comparing energy within a degenerated Landau level, followed by an abrupt jump to the adjacent level. For the modulated system, the magnetization has a positive or a negative peak when the Fermi level touches the bottom or the top of a Landau band, respectively [25].
to the resistivities, figure 3, our calculations predict that the van Hove singularities can be much better resolved in magnetization measurements, even if the Landau bands are partially overlapped, as in figure 2, which is the situation in all our calculations.

The oscillations of the magnetization in the modulated 2DES are also quite complex, being determined by the band structure, but indirectly influenced by the Coulomb many-body effects. The exchange interaction may lead to larger magnetization fluctuations than expected for noninteracting electrons [25, 26]. Consistently with the dispersion of the Landau bands, it is difficult to make reliable potential considerably larger than the cyclotron energy. Given the asymmetric energy dispersion due to the interplay of SOC we are also not aware of alternative experimental evidence of but, to the best of our knowledge, not for the modulated 2DES. Investigations are reported for the homogeneous 2DES [12], plane, i.e. to the azimuthal angle \( \alpha \), rotation of the field, conically, around the normal to the 2DES. In the absence of SOC, when the spin contributes only with the Zeeman coupling to the total magnetic field, the Landau bands may shift either up or down on increasing \( \alpha \), the magnetization peaks may shift either up or down on the magnetic field scale, irrespective of the orientation of the magnetic field.

6. Conclusions

We calculated the Landau bands produced in a 2DES by a periodic unidirectional potential in the presence of a tilted magnetic field, with a strong component perpendicular to the 2DES. In the absence of SOC, when the spin contributes only like electrical resistances or magnetization, in the quantum Hall regime, in a tilted magnetic field, should be sensitive to the rotation of the field, conically, around the normal to the 2DES plane, i.e. to the azimuthal angle \( \varphi \). Such experimental investigations are reported for the homogeneous 2DES [12], but, to the best of our knowledge, not for the modulated 2DES. We are also not aware of alternative experimental evidence of the asymmetric energy dispersion due to the interplay of SOC and an in-plane magnetic field.

The results that we have shown for the electrical resistance and magnetization are only qualitative. As long as the SOC effects are weak, they become significant only for Landau bands with strong dispersion, produced by a modulation potential considerably larger than the cyclotron energy. Given the complexity of the Landau bands, especially due to the inclusion of the Coulomb effects, it is difficult to make reliable quantitative predictions on the anisotropy of the magnetization or resistances (which are complex observables themselves) in order to relate some features with the SOC strength. The asymmetry under magnetic field orientation could become a diagnostic tool for an estimate of the SOC presence and intensity in the 2DES. Since the van Hove singularities at the band edges can be well resolved in the magnetization we expect that the SOC effects on the Landau bands could be better observable in magnetization, rather than in magnetotransport measurements.

Finally, the observation of this SOC effect in the magnetoresistance and magnetization experiments proposed in this paper, is likely to depend not only on the SOC strength itself, but also on the sample characteristics, such as disorder or electron concentration, details that can only be established in future experimental work.
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