Evaluation of the passage of *Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 and bifidobacteria from the stomach to intestines using a single reactor model
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Probiotic bacteria are thought to play an important role in the digestive system and therefore have to survive the passage from stomach to intestines. Recently, a novel approach to simulate the passage from stomach to intestines in a single bioreactor was developed. The advantage of this automated one reactor system was the ability to test the influence of acid, bile salts and pancreatin.

*Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 is a strain isolated from infant faeces with properties making the strain interesting for cheese production. In this study, a single reactor system was used to evaluate the survival of *L. gasseri* K7 and selected bifidobacteria from our collection through the stomach-intestine passage.

**Results:** Initial screening for acid resistance in acidified culture media showed a low tolerance of *Bifidobacterium dentium* for this condition indicating low survival in the passage. Similar results were achieved with *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* whereas *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* had a high survival.

These initial results were confirmed in the bioreactor model of the stomach-intestine passage. *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* had the highest survival rate (10%) attaining approximately $5 \times 10^6$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ compared to the other tested bifidobacteria strains which were reduced by a factor of up to $10^6$. *Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 was less resistant than *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* but survived at cell concentrations approximately 1000 times higher than other bifidobacteria.

**Conclusion:** In this study, we were able to show that *L. gasseri* K7 had a high survival rate in the stomach-intestine passage. By comparing the results with a previous study in piglets we could confirm the reliability of our simulation. Of the tested bifidobacteria strains, only *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* showed acceptable survival for a successful passage in the simulation system.

**Background**

Probiotics, especially lactic acid bacteria have beneficial effects on consumers health as suggested in 1907 [1]. It was believed that bacteria mainly controlled infections caused by enteric pathogens and regulated toxoaemia, thereby improving health and influencing mortality.

Meanwhile it has been known that some of the positive effects on consumers health are the improvement in the
microflora balance in the gut, the stimulation of the immune system, and aiding the organism to fight patho-
genic microorganisms [2]. A large part of interest was con-
centrated on the use of strains of the genera *Lactobacillus*
and *Bifidobacterium*, even if there are also other bacteria
with probiotic effects, e.g. some propionibacteria.

The above mentioned properties are also the basis for a
microorganism to be labelled probiotic. There are differ-
ent definitions worldwide but they are similar in content.
One of the criteria for a probiotic strain is its resistance to
acidity and gastric solutions in the human gastrointestinal
tract [3]. It is therefore important, to evaluate the resist-
ance of a potential probiotic strain to the acidic and gastric
environment in the intestine.

Because of high costs and ethical as well as safety regula-
tions for clinical studies, screening survival is easier to
simulate *in vitro*. A simple test is to incubate the bacterial
cells in acidic or bile salt solutions for a defined period
and count the number of surviving cells. In a further step,
the simulation is carried out in agitated flasks, combining
acidity and gastric solutions followed by an estimation of
surviving cells over the entire simulation. This is a more
realistic replication of the conditions in the intestine [4].
Another system, the Simulator of the Human Intestinal
Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME), consists of 5 to 6 serially
connected pH controlled bioreactors [5-7]. The setup is
quite complex and demands absolute anaerobic condi-
tions. Furthermore, the absorption of metabolites and
water is not simulated. This was overcome by using dialy-
sis membranes as described by Marteau et al. [8].

Recently, a new system using a single bioreactor was
developed to study the stomach-intestine passage [9]. The
system allowed the pH to be altered inside a single reactor
and was adapted to the retention times in the different
regions of the stomach-intestine passage.

*Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 was recently isolated from infant
faeces [10]. It produces a bacteriocin which is active
against *Clostridium* sp. and their spores. *L. gasseri* belongs
to the so called “acidophilus”-group and several inde-
pendent studies identified these strains as inhabitants of
the skin and intestine [11-13]. In previous experiments, it
has already been shown *in vitro* that *L. gasseri* K7 survived
in an acidic environment and with 0.3% bile salts [10].
These findings make the strain interesting as a possible
probiotic.

In this study, a single bioreactor system based on the work
of Sumeri et al. [9] was used to evaluate the survival of
*Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 and eight *Bifidobacterium* strains
from our collection. We were able to compare the results
for *L. gasseri* K7 with a study performed in piglets [14]
which allowed the assessment of a correlation between
the *in-vitro* study with results from *in-vivo* experiments.

The retention times and pH used in this study were based
on data from the literature. Several methods exist for
measuring the pH in the intestine [15]. Table 1 shows the
pH values in the different parts of the intestine as meas-
ured by the Heidelberg capsule [16,17]. Retention times
can be calculated either by using marker substances
(chemical) or by radio telemetry capsules such as the Hei-
delberg capsule [18]. However, capsules usually have
longer retention times than chemical markers. Table 2
lists some of the retention times found in the literature
[4,5,19-24].

Based on the data found in the literature and the work by
Sumeri et al. [9] the fermentation process was set up as
described in Material and Methods and is shown in Figure
1.

**Results**

**Acid resistance screening**

The aim of an initial series of tests was to obtain an over-
view of the acid resistance of eight bifidobacteria strains.
Figures 2, 3 and 4 show the survival of these strains using
contour plots made with Sigmaplot. *Bifidobacterium den-
tium* (Figure 3) showed the least acid resistance. Between
pH 4.0 and pH 2.0 there was no difference in survival and
the concentration of cells dropped by more than 7 log
within 40 minutes. *Bifidobacterium animalis* subsp. *lactis*
was more resistant up to 40 min at pH 2.0, but then
decreased by about 3 log when incubated for 120 minutes
(Figure 4). At a pH between 2.5 and 3.0 the decrease was
less than 1 log after 120 minutes.

All the other tested *Bifidobacterium* strains (B. longum, B.
breve, B. longum subsp. infantis and B. adolescentis)
showed a similar but different pattern from *B. animalis*
subsp. lactis (Figures 2, 3 and 4). They had a short survival
time below pH 2.5 and survived in higher numbers above
pH 3.5.

With the aim of developing a method to simulate the GI
in the bioreactor, a further test was done with one strain.
To observe the influence of a food matrix, concentrated B.
longum subsp. infantis was resuspended in skim milk

**Table 1**: pH values in the human intestinal tract, measured with the Heidelberg capsule.

|          | Stomach | Duodenum | Jejunum        | Ileum      |
|----------|---------|----------|----------------|------------|
| pH       | proximal| medial   | Distal         |            |
| 1.4**    | 6.22*   | 6.4**    | 7.1**          | 7.4**      |

* Fallingborg et al. 1994 [16]
** Fallingborg et al. 1998 [17]
before inoculating into acidic solutions. As shown in the right-hand column of Figure 5, milk had a direct effect on the survival of the strain. Between pH 3.0 and 3.5 the bacteria survived for 120 min with a reduction of log 2. Below pH 3.0 the survival rate decreased to about log 5. The decrease in survival below pH 3.0 was rapid but regular over time. At pH 3.5 and above, the strain was resistant for at least 120 minutes.

The left-hand column of Figure 5 shows the same strain without added skim milk. At a pH above 3.5, there was no influence on the survival of the bacteria. However, below pH 3.5 the survival decreased depending on the duration of incubation. Between pH 3.0 and 3.5 the strain had already decreased by about log 5. After 30 min incubation, there was almost a linear decrease in survival with decreasing pH from 3.0 to 2.5.

**Simulation in the bioreactor**

Most systems described in the literature consist of several reaction vessels, e.g. the SHIME [6]. Other studies used immobilized cells with three reactors [25] or a dialysis system [8]. Based on the work of Sumeri et al. [9] and the collected data of the conditions in the intestinal passage we were able to limit the simulation to one vessel. Together with the data from the acid resistance screening, the selection of a possible starting pH and broth composition in the simulator could be chosen. The resulting simulation parameters are shown in Figure 1 and described in the Material and Methods section. During the experimental stage of this study, Sumeri et al. [9] developed a similar system to evaluate *Lactobacillus* sp. in a stomach-intestine passage simulation.

The software package “Lucullus” was an excellent tool to control the pH and the process according to the developed simulation. Selecting the medium in the bioreactor was simplified by choosing the corresponding growth medium for the strains, supplemented with skim milk, functioning as a simulated food matrix. Afterwards, it was acidified to the starting pH and supplemented with enzyme solutions as described in Materials and Methods. The simulations were carried out serially, one per day. The results are shown in Figure 6. The strains used for the simulation are listed in Table 3 (only *Bifidobacterium dentium* was excluded) and were standardized to an OD 650 of 1.5 prior to inoculation.

*Bifidobacterium adolescentis* was inoculated as described above at an initial concentration of $10^7$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ and decreased almost linearly to below $10^4$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ after 5 hours. *B. breve* and *B. longum* strains had an initial concentration between $10^7$ and $10^8$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ and diminished to below $10^2$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ within the first 30 minutes. *B. animalis* subsp. *lactis* 14403 survived to approximately 15% of the initial average cfu of $5 \times 10^8$ cfu ml$^{-1}$. There was a rapid decrease in survival of *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* over the first 30 min. Afterwards the survival decreased only slowly from $10^5$ to $10^4$ cfu ml$^{-1}$.

In a later phase, *Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 was included in the study since several projects were running at this time at our institute with this strain. *Lactobacillus gasseri* K7 was inoculated at $2.2 \times 10^7$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ and after 7 h simulation a concentration of $10^5$ cfu ml$^{-1}$ living cells was still present in the culture media (Figure 7, curve for 250 ml pre-culture). The highest reduction in survival was within the first 2 hours and began immediately after the addition of gastric juice and bile salts. Within this time, there was a

| Retention time | Source | Remarks |
|---------------|--------|---------|
| 1–4 h         | Huang and Adams 2004 [21] | Stomach and small intestine |
| 4.25 h        | Van Den Driessche et al. 2000 [24] | |
| 4 h           | Mojaverian 1996 [22] | |
| 6 h           | Picot and Lacroix 2004 [4] | Selected maximum time of the simulation |
| 7.5 h         | Fallingborg et al. 1990 [20] | Children |
| 8 h           | Fallingborg et al. 1989 [19] | |
| 8 h           | Alander et al. 1998 [5] | Simulation in the SHIME Reactor |
| 6–10 h        | Thews et al. 1991 [23] | |

Figure 1
Parameters of the stomach-intestinal passage simulation over 7 h.
reduction of living cells by log 2. During the rest of the simulation time, there was only a log 1 reduction of living cells.

The preparation of the inoculum of *L. gasseri* K7 in a 100 ml culture volume was also evaluated. The results of the experiments are shown in Figure 7. With 250 ml culture the decrease in living cells was about log 2 whereas the decrease with a 100 ml culture was only log 1 over the whole incubation time. However, 2 h after addition of bile salts and pancreatic juice, the decrease in cell counts was similar for both volumes.

**Discussion**

When harvesting a culture after a given incubation time, the growth phase of each bacterial strain can be different since all have different growth dynamics. In order to obtain cells at approximately the same growth phase, preliminary experiments were performed (data not shown). An incubation time of 15 h for the pre-culture was suitable for all tested strains except *Bifidobacterium longum* subsp. *infantis* which needed to be incubated for only 12 h.

The acid tolerance screening (Figures 2, 3 and 4) was performed to evaluate the effect of pH independently of other conditions. *Bifidobacterium dentium* was highly sensitive to acid and therefore would possibly not survive the passage through the stomach. The strain was therefore not included in the simulation experiments. The *B. longum* strains (Figure 2) did not yield much better results than *B.
Acid resistance of *Bifidobacterium breve* and *B. animalis subsp. lactis*. X-axis: time (min); Y-axis: pH; log cfu are shown in colour (scale on the right of the graphs). Numbers in the bacterial names are the strain numbers in the FAM-database of ALP.

Comparison of acid resistance of *Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis* 14390 suspended in NaCl or skim milk. Left: Bifidobacteria resuspended in NaCl, right: Bifidobacteria resuspended in milk. X-axis: time (min); Y-axis: pH; log cfu are shown in colour (scale on the right of the graphs). Numbers in the bacterial names are the strain numbers in the FAM-database of ALP.
dentium (Figure 3). However, close to pH 4 they were more resistant than B. dentium.

B. longum subsp. infantis is one of the first species to populate the human intestine shortly after birth [26]. Based on the experiments in this study, however, the tested B. longum subsp. infantis strain would only be able to pass the infant stomach in high numbers if the transition time in the acidic stomach was very short. The survival of the selected strain in the tested environment was too low for successful passage in high numbers. When the strain was resuspended in skim milk, survival increased (Figure 5). This could be an indication that human milk helps B. longum subsp. infantis strains to pass the stomach-intestine passage with a higher survival rate.

The protective effects of milk proteins in the digestive system have already been described in the literature [27]. Protection with milk proteins has also been shown in this study (Figure 5). With the appropriate matrix or even a carrier, probiotic bacteria could safely pass through the stomach to the intestines to reach their site of action.

The most interesting strain was B. animalis subsp. lactis, which was the least sensitive strain in our study. This pH-resistant strain has a great potential for use in foods as a probiotic supplement since a higher number of bacterial cells would survive the passage. However, to use this strain as probiotic, more studies have to be performed in order to achieve the probiotic status according to the definition of Klaenhammer [3].

In our study, the ingestion of a food matrix was simulated in an initial environment of acidified milk and growth medium. The added simulated gastric solution and oxygen during the stomach phase increased the stress. During the simulated passage to the small intestine the oxygen was replaced by nitrogen and the medium was neutralized to pH 6.3. The addition of the pancreatic solution and bile salts completed the passage into the small intestine. This in-vitro system did not take into account that in vivo digestion, enzymes are activated and inactivated and other substances, e.g. bile salts are reabsorbed. Sumeri et al. [9] found a partial solution to bypass this problem. They diluted the content of the reactor with a specially designed dilution medium. Another possibility would be to precipitate the bile salts at the end of simulation of the small intestine to imitate the enterohepatic circuit. This could be performed with calcium ions [28-30]. Removing the bile salts would better simulate the environment of the colon and might even allow bifidobacteria to proliferate.

In our study, the remaining bile salts and pancreatic juice in the simulation led to an additional stress on bacteria which probably altered the true characteristics of the strains in vivo.

The starting cfu in the simulation varied within one log cfu even though the adjustment of OD650 of the inoculum

| Name                        | Identification number of ALP strain collection |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Bifidobacterium adolescentis| FAM-14377                                      |
| Bifidobacterium breve       | FAM-14398                                      |
| Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis | FAM-14390                                    |
| Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. Lactis | FAM-14403                                    |
| Bifidobacterium dentium | FAM-14396                                      |
| Bifidobacterium longum | FAM-14382, -14383, -14406                      |
| Lactobacillus gasseri K7     | FAM-14459                                      |
On the other hand, *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* 14390 decreased rapidly at the beginning of simulation but after the addition of pancreatic juice and bile salts and a change to an anaerobic environment, the reduction rate decreased. Our study suggests that this strain is well adapted to the conditions in the intestine but needs to be ingested in high numbers to survive the conditions in the stomach (oxygen, low pH). As mentioned above, *B. longum* subsp. *infantis* strains belong to the first group of bacteria populating the intestine of infants [26].

In contrast to *B. longum* subsp. *infantis*, *B. adolescentis* decreased almost linearly during the 7 h simulation. There was no detectable interruption when the conditions in the fermenter changed. Based on the experiments for the acid tolerance screening, this result was unexpected.

However, this might be related to the testing conditions where the bile salt and gastric juice concentrations remained at the initial level and were not diluted as they would be *in vivo*. In a future experiment, it should be evaluated whether the dilution method developed by Sumeri et al. [9] would stabilize the cell counts of *B. adolescentis* during the 6 h simulation period in the intestine.

In our study, we also evaluated the stomach-intestine passage of *Lactobacillus gasseri* K7. The strain has already been evaluated for survival *in vivo* in piglets [14]. Therefore, it was possible to compare our *in-vitro* results with data from *in vivo* experiments.

Bogovic *et al*. [14] fed piglets over a period of 14 days with 5*10^10* cfu day^-1 of *L. gasseri* K7. This resulted in approx. 7*10^4* cfu g^-1 in the faeces during the feeding period. It has to be taken into account that the concentration of bacteria was diluted before it finally arrived at the stomach-intestine passage. In a rough approximation, we estimated that about 1% arrived at the passage. This allowed us to compare the results of this piglet study with the end of our simulation.

As shown in Figure 5, *L. gasseri* K7 had a cell concentration of approximately 5*10^4* cfu ml^-1 after the 7 h simulation period (with a pre-culture of 250 ml) which is similar to the concentration in the faeces of the piglets. This suggests that the simulation model used in this study could be a helpful tool to estimate the effects of the passage in an *in-vitro* model prior using expensive *in vivo* models. The model could be further optimized by diluting the bile salts and pancreatic juice as described by Sumeri *et al*. [9]. To simulate the activation and deactivation of enzymes a suitable method has still to be found.

When only 100 ml medium was used for the inoculum of *L. gasseri* K7, the culture survived the simulation better.
(Figure 7). Both volumes had a similar initial cell count. Both volumes were inoculated by 1 ml. Therefore, the culture with 250 ml volume was in an earlier stage of growth than the 100 ml culture. These results were an indication of the growth phase dependency of the culture for during stress.

Conclusion
In this study, we were able to show that the system to simulate the stomach-intestine passage developed by Sumeri et al. [9] was suitable for the assessment of survival of 8 Bifidobacterium strains and Lactobacillus gasseri K7 even though we did not simulate the removal of gastric juice and bile salts. For L. gasseri K7 we were able to compare the results with an in-vivo study on piglets and obtained similar results.

The single reactor system presented here allows a more straightforward identification of the ideal growth phase for any possible probiotic strain which is required to pass the stomach-intestine passage than if it had to be performed with other systems with a difficult setup.

The study also showed that all tested Bifidobacterium strains, except for B. animalis subsp. lactis, would require protective agents to survive the passage through the stomach-intestine in high numbers. This could be done using an appropriate food matrix or encapsulation of the cells.

Methods
Bacterial strains
All bifidobacteria strains were selected from the strain collection of Agroscope Liebefeld-Posieux ALP Research Station Switzerland, isolated by ALP from human sources. Lactobacillus gasseri K7 originated from the ZIM Collection of Industrial Microorganisms of University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty (ZIM 105) [10] and was also deposited in the ALP strain collection. The tested strains and their identification numbers of the ALP strain collection are listed in table 3. All bifidobacteria strains are the property of ALP.

Media and growth conditions
For pre-cultures, 1 ml frozen conserves of the strains were inoculated in 250 ml Wilkins-Chalgren broth (WC CM0643, Oxoid, Hampshire, UK) supplemented with 9 g l⁻¹ additional lactose-monohydrate (Bifidobacteria) or De Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS; Biolife, Milano, Italy) medium (Lactobacillus gasseri K7) [32]. For L. gasseri K7, a trial with a 100 ml pre-culture was also performed. All strains, except Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis, were incubated at 37°C for 15 hours under anaerobic conditions. Bifidobacterium longum subsp. infantis was incubated for 12 h since it was very sensitive to extended incubation periods. The pre-cultures were centrifuged for 15 min at 3500 rpm and the pellets resuspended in 10 ml of phosphate-buffered physiological sodium chloride solution (PBS).

Determination of cell count
The cell count was determined by 10-fold serial dilution of the culture in physiological saline solution. The two highest dilutions were then plated on MRS agar (Biolife, Milano, Italy) using a spiral plater (IUL Instruments, Barcelona, Spain) and evaluated by an automated colony counter with the corresponding software (IUL Instruments, Barcelona, Spain).

Screening for acid resistance
For the acid resistance screening the concentrated cell suspension from the pre-culture was pipetted into 20 ml of PBS until an OD₆₅₀ of 1.0 was reached. 4 ml of this cell suspension were then inoculated in 16 ml of citrate-HCl buffer (tri-Na-Citratex2 H₂O 7.35 g and 250 ml distilled H₂O, adapted to the corresponding pH with 1 M HCl) at pHs of 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5 and 4.0. The incubation was done at 37°C and samples were taken every 30 min over 120 min. 1 ml of samples were mixed with 9 ml 0.25 M phosphate buffer at pH 7.0 at the first step of the dilution series. For the acid resistance test in a food matrix, the same amount of pre-culture as used above (adjusted to an OD₆₅₀ of 1.0) was pipetted into 20 ml of UHT skim milk. 4 ml of this cell suspension in milk were inoculated into 16 ml of citrate-HCl buffer. All chemicals were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). The data for the screening experiments was visualized in contour plots using the Sigmaplot 11.0 software (Systat Software Inc., Chicago IL, USA).

Simulation in the bioreactor
All solutions were freshly prepared for each experiment. Simulated stomach solution was made of 50 mg pepsin porcine gastric mucosa (Sigma-Aldrich P7012, Buchs, Switzerland) in 20 ml of 0.1 M HCl. For the simulated pancreatic juice 2 g pancreatin (Sigma-Aldrich P7545) were dissolved in 50 ml of 0.02 M phosphate buffer at a pH of 7.5. Simulated bile salt solution was made of 7.5 g bovine bile (Sigma-Aldrich B3883) made up to 50 ml with distilled H₂O. The broth for the simulation was either 1 l WC or MRS broth with 29.41 g tri-sodium citratex2 H₂O. During testing of survival in a food matrix, 500 ml of UHT skim milk were added and the pH adjusted to 3.0 with 5 M HCl shortly before the simulation. 1 l medium was added to the bioreactor (NewMBR Mini, NewMBR, Switzerland), previously sterilized with water (121°C, 20 min), and heated to 37°C. During the stomach simulation, aeration was implemented. The fermentation was controlled and recorded using the integrated process management software Lucullus (Biospectra, Schlieren, Switzerland). The concentrated cell suspension from the pre-culture was pipetted into 40 ml of PBS to an OD₆₅₀ of 1.5. Shortly before the inoculation
of 40 ml cell suspension, 20 ml of the simulated stomach solution was added to the medium (1:1) in the bioreactor. The pH was adjusted using 2 M NaOH.

Sixty minutes after the inoculation of the cells, the oxygen was replaced by nitrogen to obtain an anaerobic atmosphere. This was performed by flushing the headspace and making the system air-tight. After attaining a pH of 5.0 (after approx. 1 h fermentation time), 34 ml of the bile salt solution and 50 ml pancreatic juice were inoculated. Samples were taken every 20 minutes during the first hour and then only every 60 minutes. The total simulation time was set to 7 hours with an average stomach pH of 3.0. The time in the stomach was set to one hour, followed by rapid neutralization to 6.3 and a slow increase to 7.5 over the remaining 5 hours and 40 minutes (Figure 1).
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