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Abstract

Litter chemistry is one of the most studied controls on decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems. Solar radiation has been shown to increase litter decomposition rates in arid ecosystems through the process of photodegradation. However, it remains unclear how photodegradation affects litter chemistry, especially the abundance and composition of lignin, which is thought to play a key role in photodegradation. Using two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance (2D NMR) spectroscopic methods, we quantified the molecular-level changes in litter chemistry associated with photodegradation. Litter of *Bromus diandrus* was exposed in the field to two levels of radiation (with and without ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths) and two durations of exposure (2.5 months during summer, and one year). Through fiber analysis by sequential digestion, we found that the litter hemicellulose fraction decreased significantly from 31.6% to 24.9% after one year of decomposition. In litter exposed for one year, the hemicellulose fraction was significantly lower in litter with UV exposure compared to litter without UV exposure (23.8% vs. 25.9%). These results indicate that UV photodegradation has a small but significant effect on litter chemistry compared to other decomposition processes. Even though fiber analysis showed no loss of total lignin, 2D NMR analysis demonstrated that UV exposure reduced the major lignin structural units containing β-aryl ether inter-unit linkages by 9% and decreased the relative abundance of lignin *p*-hydroxyphenyl units by 20%. The 2D NMR analysis also revealed that lignin guaiacyl units were preferentially lost after one year of decomposition relative to the reference material, but no effects of UV exposure on guaiacyl were observed. These results suggest that photodegradation causes partial degradation, not necessarily complete breakdown, of lignin structures. Our data also
demonstrate that applications of 2D NMR methods are valuable for acquiring detailed
information on lignin and polysaccharide chemistry during both biotic and abiotic
decomposition processes.
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Introduction

Litter chemistry is perhaps the most studied control on litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems (e.g. Amin et al. 2014; Bertrand et al. 2006; Melillo et al. 1982; Talbot et al. 2011). Together with climatic variables (i.e. temperature, precipitation, and actual evapotranspiration), litter chemistry has been shown to reasonably predict litter decomposition rates (Aerts 1997; Moore et al. 1999). However, models based on litter chemistry and climate tend to under-estimate decomposition rates in arid ecosystems (Adair et al. 2008; Parton et al. 2007; Schaefer et al. 1985). This discrepancy may be explained in part by photodegradation, the process through which solar radiation contributes to organic matter decomposition (reviewed by King et al. 2012).

Photodegradation _directly_ breaks down plant litter through photochemical oxidation and releases gases such as CO$_2$, CO, and CH$_4$ (Brandt et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2012; Schade et al. 1999). Photodegradation also _indirectly_ contributes to litter decomposition by affecting litter chemistry, because solar radiation can partially degrade litter and make it more vulnerable to microbial decomposition (Foereid et al. 2010; Frouz et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2015). Recently, photodegradation has been suggested to influence organic matter turnover in the surface soil (Mayer et al. 2012). For example, Feng et al. (2011) found that photodegradation increased the solubility of soil organic matter and potentially contributed to soil C loss through leaching. However, our understanding of the chemical mechanisms underlying photodegradation is still incomplete.

Photodegradation is generally assumed to increase the breakdown of lignin (Austin and Ballaré 2010; King et al. 2012; Song et al. 2013), which exhibits strong absorption of both ultraviolet (UV) and shortwave visible radiation (George et al. 2005). However,
contradictory results have been reported with regard to changes in lignin content during
photodegradation of plant litter. Using the acid-detergent method (Van Soest 1963), Song
et al. (2014) found that exposure to UV radiation increased loss of lignin, whereas
Kirschbaum et al. (2011) and Lin and King (2014) found no significant change in lignin
content following UV exposure. Focusing on lignin content alone does not advance our
mechanistic understanding of the role of lignin during litter photodegradation. This
knowledge gap further hinders our ability to predict the contribution of photodegradation
to litter decomposition.

Recent studies have started to explore how lignin chemical composition and structure
change during litter photodegradation. Feng et al. (2011) found that UV photodegradation
increased the breakdown of aliphatic substances in corn (Zea mays) and loblolly pine
(Pinus taeda) litter, but photodegradation did not affect lignin-derived phenols in water-
extractable fractions. Frouz et al. (2011), on the other hand, found that photodegradation
enhanced loss of lignin syringyl units of bushgrass (Calamagrostis epigejos) litter. These
inconsistent changes in lignin chemistry in response to litter photodegradation emphasize
the need for more in-depth investigation of the chemical mechanisms behind
photodegradation.

New methods in solution-state nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy have
been developed in recent years to enable rapid evaluation of lignin and polysaccharide
structures, even on (unfractionated) whole cell walls or whole plant material (Kim and
Ralph 2010; Kim et al. 2008; Mansfield et al. 2012). The swelling of ball-milled cell wall
material in organic solvent produces a gel that allows the use of two-dimensional (2D)
$^1$H–$^{13}$C heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) NMR spectroscopy for
relatively detailed characterization of lignin and polysaccharide (Kim and Ralph 2010; Mansfield et al. 2012). In principle, this 2D NMR method provides compositional information on whole lignin, not the lignin components released by degradative methods, such as thioacidolysis, cupric oxidation, tetramethylammonium hydroxide thermochemolysis, and hydrolysis. The 2D NMR method does not degrade or alter cell wall chemistry beyond sonication and ball-milling (Kim et al. 2008). Furthermore, it characterizes many key features of plant cell walls, including lignin units, lignin inter-unit linkages, and hemicellulose, most of which cannot be inferred from one-dimensional solid-state $^{13}$C NMR experiments. Integration of 2D NMR contours generates highly reproducible measurements (within 5%) of cell wall components and has been used in comparative studies on genetic modification of cell walls and litter decomposition (e.g., Petrik et al. 2014; Talbot et al. 2011; Wilkerson et al. 2014; Yelle et al. 2013). This method also provides estimates of lignin units that are comparable to other conventional methods, including thioacidolysis, nitrobenzene oxidation, and derivatization followed by reductive cleavage (Mansfield et al. 2012). The method tends to overestimate the absolute abundance of the terminal end units (e.g., $p$-coumarate units) because of their long relaxation times compared to those of the bulk polymers. In addition, cellulose abundances are underestimated in the cell wall gels because the crystalline cellulose does not swell in solvent. Nevertheless, the 2D NMR method is accurate in providing comparative information between samples (Mansfield et al. 2012). It has been reported to offer better resolution of hemicelluloses and provides information on natural acetylation that is not available in a cell wall dissolution method based on acetylation (Kim et al. 2008). Therefore we chose to employ the 2D NMR method to examine changes in lignin
and hemicellulose for litter subjected to photodegradation and other decomposition processes.

The aim of this study was to quantify the molecular-level changes in litter chemistry with photodegradation. Samples of a common grassland litter were treated with either ambient or reduced UV radiation under field conditions for two durations, 2.5 months or one year. Changes in lignin units, lignin inter-unit linkages, and hemicelluloses were studied using 2D NMR spectroscopy. Differences in litter chemistry between the degraded samples and the reference samples were attributed to decomposition over time. We interpreted the differences in litter chemistry between UV treatments as the result of UV photodegradation, which includes both direct (abiotic photo-oxidation) and indirect (enhancement of microbial decomposition) effects of UV exposure.

Materials and methods
Litter samples of Bromus diandrus were exposed to two levels of UV radiation at the University of California’s Sedgwick Reserve in Santa Ynez, California, USA (43°42'N, 120°2'W, approximately 35 km northwest of Santa Barbara, California). The site is dominated by European annual grasses, including B. diandrus. The site experiences a Mediterranean climate with alternating hot, dry summers from May to October and cool, rainy winters from November through April. Bromus species are commonly found in temperate climates across the world, and many of them are considered to be invasive in North America (D'Antonio and Vitousek 1992). Steel frames with plastic louvers that either pass or block UV radiation (UV-pass or UV-block treatments) were used to manipulate UV radiation received by grass litter. These frames were effective in
manipulating UV radiation and allowed penetration of rainfall. There was no difference in air temperature or relative humidity between UV-pass and UV-block treatments. A detailed description of the frames, including dimensions, placement, and optical characteristics, is provided by Lin and King (2014). Litter samples (leaves and stems) were exposed to UV treatments in the field for two durations (2.5 months and one year). For samples with 2.5 months of UV exposure, UV-pass and UV-block screens \( (n = 10) \) were placed above naturally senesced *B. diandrus* litter from mid August to late October, 2011 to capture short-term UV effects during the dry season. Only litter from the very top of the litter layer was collected because this litter was consistently exposed to solar radiation. Approximately 5 g of litter were collected from underneath each screen. For litter with one year of UV exposure, recently senesced litter was collected from the field site in July 2011, placed in aluminum mesh bags, and suspended 5 cm under the UV-pass and UV-block screens (above the litter layer; \( n = 10 \)) from late August 2011 to early September 2012 to capture longer-term UV effects. Mass loss data of this set of litter samples were reported in Lin and King (2014). There were 5 g of litter in each mesh bag before the field exposure, and approximately 4 g remained after one year of field exposure. Although aluminum mesh bags were only used for litter with one year of UV treatment and not for the litter exposed for 2.5 months, one year of UV-pass treatment still resulted in 2.5-fold higher UV exposure than 2.5 months of UV-pass treatment (183 MJ/m\(^2\) vs. 49 MJ/m\(^2\)). We use these two sets of litter to represent two different dosages of UV radiation. For the reference material (time 0), approximately 5 g of recently senesced litter was collected in July 2011 from each of 10 randomly-selected plots in an open area,
adjacent to the UV treatment site. The reference material was stored in the dark under laboratory conditions until further analysis.

After UV treatments, litter samples were collected from the field, sorted to remove green plants, arthropods, and visible dust, oven-dried for two days at 55 °C, and ground using a mini Wiley mill with US standard #20 mesh (Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, New Jersey, USA). To quantify litter fiber fractions, ground subsamples (~0.5 g) were analyzed by a sequential digestion procedure (Van Soest 1963; n = 10; Type 200 Fiber Analyzer, ANKOM Technology, Macedon, New York, USA), hereafter referred to as “fiber analysis.” The fiber fractions include the “cell solubles” (soluble carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids), hemicelluloses, cellulose, and lignin fractions. Because no mass loss data were available for litter with 2.5-months of exposure, we can not report changes in fiber fractions on a mass basis; instead, we report the proportions of fiber fractions in percentages.

The 2D $^1$H–$^{13}$C HSQC NMR spectroscopy was used to characterize the lignin composition and structure of litter cell walls following the protocol described in Kim and Ralph (2010) and Mansfield et al. (2012). In short, for a subset of samples (n = 3), 1 g of ground litter tissue was sequentially extracted with water, 80% (vol/vol) ethanol, and acetone. Each solvent extraction was repeated three times for a total of nine extractions. These extractions remove soluble compounds (e.g. starch, protein, and polyphenols) that may distort the examination of cell wall material. During our extraction procedure for the 2D NMR analysis, some soluble lignin and hemicellulose-derived compounds were removed, and their responses to time and UV treatments were not examined here. A subsample of the extracted cell wall material (~250 mg) was ground again using a ball
mill (Planetary Micro Mill Pulverisette 7 premium line, Fritsch, Idar-Oberstein, Germany) with 20 ml zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂) grinding jars and ten 10-mm ZrO₂ ball bearings in each jar for 45 min (5 min pause with every 5 min grinding; actual grinding time, 25 min). Then, 30 mg of ball-milled isolated cell wall material was transferred to a 5-mm NMR tube, followed by 500 µl of pre-mixed 4:1 dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO-
\textit{d}_6)/pyridine-\textit{d}_5 (vol/vol). The NMR tubes were sonicated until cell wall material and solvent formed a gel. The 2D $^1$H–$^{13}$C HSQC NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE 500 Spectrometer (500 MHz; Rheinstetten, Germany) with a cryogenically-cooled triple-resonance inverse NMR probe. The detailed set-up of NMR experiments can be found in Mansfield et al. (2012). NMR spectral were processed using Bruker’s Topspin 3.1 software.

Resonance assignments were confirmed with the “NMR database of lignin and cell wall model compounds” (Ralph et al. 2004) and additional references (Kim and Ralph 2010; Talbot et al. 2011; Yelle et al. 2013). Relative abundances of lignin syringyl (S), guaiacyl (G), and $p$-hydroxyphenyl (H) units were determined by integrating S-2/6, G-2, and H-2/6 C–H correlations in the aromatic region of the 2D NMR spectra, respectively (Fig. 1\textit{d-f}; 7.0/100-8.3/150 ppm). Lignin methoxyl (OMe), the $\alpha$-position of the lignin $\beta$-aryl-ether ($\text{L}_{\alpha\alpha}$), and acetylated xylan units (2-O-Ac-$\beta$-D-Xylp and 3-O-Ac-$\beta$-D-Xylp) were also integrated in the aliphatic region of the 2D NMR spectra (Fig. 1\textit{a-c}; 2.7/50-6.0/95 ppm). Integration regions for the above features can be found in Supplementary Table 1. Abundances of $\text{L}_{\alpha\alpha}$, 2-O-Ac-$\beta$-D-Xylp, and 3-O-Ac-$\beta$-D-Xylp were evaluated by dividing their integrals by the integral of OMe, as OMe was found to be relatively stable during acid and enzymatic degradation (Lundquist and Lundgren 1972; Yelle et al. 2013).
A student’s T-test was used to compare effects of UV treatments on fiber fractions and cell wall chemical features at each exposure duration (SPSS 20, IBM Corporation). Before using the T-test, the data were checked for equality of variances using Levene’s test. If equal variances could not be assumed, the degrees of freedom of the T-statistic were adjusted using the Welch-Satterthwaite method.

Results and Discussion

Litter fiber composition was significantly altered over time (Table 1). The fraction of litter hemicellulose, averaged across the two UV treatments, decreased from 31.6% in the reference material to 28.6% and 24.9% after 2.5 months (T-test, $P < 0.001$, $df = 28$) and one year of decomposition (T-test, $P < 0.001$, $df = 28$), respectively. Across the two UV treatments, the fraction of cell solubles increased from 25.5% in the reference material to 28.6% and 33.1% after 2.5 months (T-test, $P < 0.001$, $df = 28$) and one year of decomposition (T-test, $P < 0.001$, $df = 28$), respectively. These changes over time were larger in magnitude than changes induced by UV exposure. For litter exposed for 2.5 months, there were no significant effects of UV treatment except for a marginally significant effect of UV exposure on the cellulose fraction (T-test, $P = 0.086$, $df = 18$). For litter exposed for one year, all four fiber fractions were affected by UV treatments, but the change in hemicellulose fraction was the greatest in magnitude. The hemicellulose fraction was smaller in the UV pass compared to the UV block treatment (23.8% vs. 25.9%; T-test, $P < 0.001$, $df = 18$); cell solubles, cellulose, and lignin fractions were all higher in the UV pass treatment.
Compared to the reference sample, degraded samples had broadened contours along the proton dimension of the 2D NMR spectra (Figure 1). This phenomenon could be induced by inclusion of dust and soil particles or association with metals; however, it is commonly indicative of degradation of plant samples caused by enzymes, hydrothermal treatments, and acids (Samuel et al. 2011; Yelle et al. 2013). Therefore, it is likely that the chemical complexity of litter cell wall material increased after field decomposition relative to the already complex but nevertheless well-defined and limited structural types in the native cell wall. Further studies are needed to verify these hypotheses.

Aromatic regions of the NMR spectra showed that lignin syringyl (S) and guaiacyl (G) units were much more abundant than p-hydroxyphenyl (H) units in this grass material (Fig. 1d). Integration of lignin units showed that the abundance of G units decreased from 60% in the reference material to 52% across the two UV treatments after one year (Table 1, Fig. 1e and f; $T$-test, $P = 0.005$, $df = 7$), which corresponded to increases in S units ($T$-test, $P = 0.005$, $df = 7$) and to marginal increases in H units ($T$-test, $P = 0.075$, $df = 7$).

The UV treatments did not affect levels of S and G units; however, it marginally decreased abundance of H units after 2.5 months ($T$-test, $P = 0.058$, $df = 4$) and one year ($T$-test, $P = 0.066$, $df = 4$). These results suggest that lignin structure underwent significant changes during one year of decomposition, and effects of UV photodegradation were small relative to those that occurred over time.

Aliphatic regions of the NMR spectra showed that β-aryl ethers ($L_{\alpha\alpha}$) were the dominant linkage among lignin units (Fig. 1a-c). A small phenylcoumaran ($L_B$) signal was present in the reference sample, but not in degraded samples, suggesting that $L_B$ linkages were vulnerable to decomposition. Integration results showed that levels of $L_{\alpha\alpha}$
did not change over time, but one year of UV-pass treatment had 9% lower L_{Ad} linkages than the UV-block treatment (Fig. 2a: T-test, $P = 0.047$, $df = 4$). This result suggests that the β-aryl ether linkages are degraded upon exposure to UV radiation, which is consistent with previous studies on photodegradation of wood lignin (Argyropoulos and Sun 1996; Lanzalunga and Bietti 2000). It is unclear why the levels of 3-O-Ac-β-D-Xy1p were higher in degraded samples than in the reference material, but one year of the UV-pass treatment had less 2-O-Ac-β-D-Xy1p (Fig. 2b: T-test, $P = 0.014$, $df = 4$) and marginally fewer 3-O-Ac-β-D-Xy1p units (Fig. 2c: T-test, $P = 0.083$, $df = 4$) than the UV-block treatment. These reductions in xylan features were consistent with the fiber analysis result showing that UV exposure reduced the hemicellulose fraction (Table 1). These results indicate that exposure to UV radiation induced degradation of lignin and hemicelluloses.

Both fiber analysis and 2D NMR spectroscopy showed that changes in litter chemistry over time were more prominent than those induced by UV treatments (Table 1). This result is not surprising given that many previous field experiments have shown a significant but small contribution of photodegradation to overall litter mass loss (reviewed by King et al. 2012). In our study, microbial decomposition was likely responsible for the majority of changes in litter chemistry over time, despite the fact that litter samples were not in direct contact with soil. For example, microorganisms may have come in contact with the litter through aeolian transport, and endophytes that live within the litter could also have contributed to decomposition. Microbial decomposition of litter could have been sustained by atmospheric water vapor in our Mediterranean climate, without water input from soil (Dirks et al. 2010). Therefore, our results indicate...
that UV photodegradation has a small effect on litter chemistry compared to microbial decomposition.

The 2D NMR spectroscopy data demonstrate that UV exposure degraded the dominant inter-unit linkages in β-aryl ethers and lignin H units (Table 1 and Fig. 2a). Although the fiber analysis suggests that the lignin fraction was higher with UV pass compared to UV block after one year (Table 1), this increase in lignin fraction could simply be a reflection of the loss of hemicellulose or accumulation of microbial by-products (Couțeaux et al. 1995). Together, these data suggest that photodegradation weakens the lignin structure but may not completely break down lignin molecules. This pattern of partial degradation of lignin structure might explain results in previous studies that reported no responses of lignin content to UV treatments (Baker and Allison 2015; Brandt et al. 2010; Kirschbaum et al. 2011). More importantly, this pattern represents an important mechanism through which solar radiation may increase exposure of cell wall compounds to extracellular enzymes and consequently increase litter biodegradability (i.e. photo-priming, Bornman et al. 2015; Foereid et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2015). Photo-priming may occur during radiation exposure (e.g. this study; Baker and Allison 2015) or after the incorporation of litter into the soil (Foereid et al. 2010). Overall, our 2D NMR spectroscopy data offer novel empirical evidence to support the photo-priming hypothesis (Bornman et al. 2015).

In addition to effects on lignin structure, both fiber analysis and 2D NMR spectroscopy results support the idea that UV exposure degraded hemicellulose structure (Table 1 and Fig. 2). This result falls in line with findings from several field experiments (Baker and Allison 2015; Brandt et al. 2010; Brandt et al. 2007). However, mechanisms
behind abiotic photo-oxidation of hemicellulose, such as acetylated xylan, are less studied (except Yamagishi et al. 1970). Previous studies of cellulose acetate offer key insights into the photodegradation processes of acetylated xylan, because these two compounds are structurally analogous. Both compounds can be degraded by microbial carbohydrate esterases (Biely 2012; Puls et al. 2011), and UV exposure has been found to increase enzymatic degradation of cellulose acetate (Ishigaki et al. 2002; Jang et al. 2007).

Therefore, it is likely that UV radiation facilitated microbial degradation of acetylated xylan (Fig. 2). Thus, photo-oxidation of acetylated xylan would be a second mechanism through which UV radiation may increase litter biodegradability.

Results from 2D NMR spectroscopy demonstrate that the relative abundance of lignin G units decreased significantly after one year of field decomposition, which is consistent with several studies that reported preferential loss of G units during early stages of litter decomposition (Christmas and Oglesby 1971; Kögel 1986; Quideau et al. 2005).

However, other studies have found slower loss of G units relative to other lignin units, especially during later stages in litter decomposition (Bahri et al. 2006; Bertrand et al. 2006; Talbot et al. 2011), suggesting that changes in lignin G units during decomposition likely depend on the stage of decomposition and also on the species of litter material.

In conclusion, we found significant loss of hemicelluloses and lignin G units of *B. diandrus* litter over one year of field decomposition, driven primarily by microbial decomposition. Exposure to UV radiation induced partial lignin degradation that was evidenced by loss of β-aryl ethers and lignin H units, but was not apparent as a change in lignin fraction. Our results indicate that UV photodegradation has a small but significant effect on litter chemistry compared to microbial decomposition. These data suggest that
degradation of lignin and hemicelluloses are important pathways through which UV
radiation increases litter degradability. Our study also demonstrates the effectiveness of
2D NMR spectroscopy in obtaining detailed comparative information about litter
chemical composition that can lead to a better understanding of decomposition processes
and C cycling in general.
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Table 1. Effects of UV radiation exposure and time of exposure on litter fiber fractions ($n = 10$, percentages by mass, fiber analysis) and lignin units ($n = 3$, percentages by mass, 2D NMR spectroscopy).

| Exposure time | Reference litter* | 2.5 months | 1 year |  |
|---------------|-------------------|------------|--------|---|
|                | UV-pass | UV-block | $P$ | UV-pass | UV-block | $P$ |
| Fiber fractions|         |           |     |         |           |     |
| % Cell solubles | 25.5 (0.6) | 28.5 (0.7) | 28.7 (0.7) | n.s. | 33.4 (0.3) | 32.7 (0.3) | 0.099 |
| % Hemicelluloses | 31.6 (0.3) | 28.5 (0.5) | 28.7 (0.5) | n.s. | **23.8 (0.3)** | **25.9 (0.3)** | < **0.001** |
| % Cellulose | 39.7 (0.5) | 39.8 (0.3) | 39.0 (0.3) | 0.086 | **38.8 (0.2)** | **37.9 (0.3)** | **0.018** |
| % Lignin | 3.2 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.2) | 3.6 (0.2) | n.s. | 4.0 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.2) | 0.068 |
| Lignin units‡ |         |           |     |         |           |     |
| % Syringyl (S) | 34.5 (1.2) | 33.2 (2.0) | 33.4 (1.6) | n.s. | 39.9 (1.6) | 41.4 (0.6) | n.s. |
| % Guaiacyl (G) | 60.0 (0.8) | 60.8 (2.4) | 59.4 (1.7) | n.s. | 53.8 (2.0) | 50.7 (1.0) | n.s. |
| % $p$-Hydroxyphenyl (H) | 5.5 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.4) | 7.2 (0.1) | 0.058 | 6.3 (0.4) | 7.9 (0.5) | 0.066 |

Notes: Values are means with S.E. in parentheses. Bold results indicate significant differences at $\alpha = 0.05$ level.
* See Methods for the definitions of reference litter.
† P values of T-tests between UV treatments in a given exposure time.
‡ Refer to Supplementary Table 1 for the integration areas of the lignin units.
Figure Captions:

Figure 1. 2D $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC NMR spectra of cell wall gel of *Bromus diandrus* litter in 4:1 DMSO-$d_6$/pyridine-$d_5$ (vol:vol) in the aliphatic region (a-c) and the aromatic region (d-f). Spectra are aligned vertically to represent samples from the following treatments: reference, one year of UV-block, and one year of UV-pass. Contours in the aromatic region are integrated to estimate S/G/H ratios. Contours in the aliphatic region are integrated to estimate lignin methoxyl, lignin inter-unit linkage types, and acetylated xylan.

Figure 2. Effects of UV treatments (UV-pass, UV-block) and exposure duration on the ratios to lignin methoxyl (OMe) of (a) lignin β-aryl ether ($L_{A\alpha}$), (b) acetylated xylan (2-O- Ac-β-D-Xylp), and (e) acetylated xylan (3-O-Ac-β-D-Xylp) in *Bromus diandrus* litter. Error bars indicate standard errors ($n = 3$). ** and * indicate statistical differences between UV-pass and UV-block in a given exposure time at $\alpha = 0.05$ and 0.10, respectively. Dotted line indicates the value of measured variable in reference samples that were not exposed to UV treatments ($n = 3$).
Figure 1
Figure 2
Supplementary Table 1. 2D NMR contour integration regions for lignin methoxyl (OMe), β-aryl-ether (L_{Aα}), acetylated xylan units (2-O-Ac-β-D-Xylp and 3-O-Ac-β-D-Xylp), and syringyl (S_{2/6} and S'_{2/6}), guaiacyl (G_2), and p-hydroxyphenyl (H_{2/6}) lignin units.

| Structure       | $^{13}$C ppm | $^1$H ppm |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------|
| OMe             | 57.2-54.3    | 4.02-3.36 |
| L_{Aα}          | 73.4-70.4    | 5.15-4.79 |
| 2-O-Ac-β-D-Xylp | 74.8-72.4    | 4.78-4.50 |
| 3-O-Ac-β-D-Xylp | 76.1-74.0    | 5.08-4.84 |
| S_{2/6}         | 105.6-102.0  | 7.03-6.56 |
| S'_{2/6}        | 107.6-105.7  | 7.41-7.04 |
| G_2             | 112.6-108.8  | 7.25-6.80 |
| H_{2/6}         | 129.1-126.9  | 7.35-7.10 |