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Abstract

Étale groupoids arise naturally as models for leaf spaces of foliations, for orbifolds, and for orbit spaces of discrete group actions. In this paper we introduce a sheaf homology theory for étale groupoids. We prove its invariance under Morita equivalence, as well as Verdier duality between Haefliger cohomology and this homology. We also discuss the relation to the cyclic and Hochschild homologies of Connes’ convolution algebra of the groupoid, and derive some spectral sequences which serve as a tool for the computation of these homologies.
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Introduction

In this paper we introduce a homology theory for étale groupoids. Étale groupoids serve as model for structures like leaf spaces of foliations, orbifolds, and orbit spaces of actions by discrete groups. In this sense, étale groupoids should be viewed as generalized spaces.

In the literature one finds, roughly speaking, two different approaches to the study of étale groupoids. One approach is based on the construction of the convolution algebras associated to an étale groupoid, in the spirit of Connes’ non-commutative geometry ([10, 13]), and involves the study of cyclic and Hochschild homology and cohomology of these algebras ([7, 13]). The other approach uses methods of algebraic topology such as the construction of the classifying space of an étale groupoid and its (sheaf) cohomology groups ([4, 20, 30]).

Our motivation in this paper is twofold. First, we want to give a more complete picture of the second approach, by constructing a suitable homology theory which complements the existing cohomology theory. Secondly, we use this homology theory as the main tool to relate the two approaches.

Let us be more explicit: In the second approach, one defines for any étale groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \) natural cohomology groups with coefficients in an arbitrary \( \mathcal{G} \)-equivariant sheaf. These were introduced in a direct way by Haefliger ([19]). As explained in [31], they can be viewed as a special instance of the Grothendieck theory of cohomology of sites ([2]), and agree with the cohomology groups of the classifying space of \( \mathcal{G} ([31]) \). Moreover, these cohomology groups are invariant under Morita equivalences of étale groupoids. (This invariance is of crucial importance, because the construction of the étale groupoid modelling the leaf space of a given foliation involves some choices which determine the groupoid only up to Morita equivalence.) We complete this picture by constructing a homology theory for étale groupoids, again invariant under Morita equivalence, which is dual (in the sense of Verdier duality) to the existing cohomology theory. Thus, one result of our work is the extension of “the six operations of Grothendieck” ([8]) from spaces to leaf spaces of foliations.

Our homology theory of the leaf space of a foliation reflects some geometric properties of the
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foliation. For example, by integration along the fibers (leaves) it is related to the leafwise cohomology theory studied by Alvarez Lopez, Hector and others (see [1] and the references cited there). It also shows that the Ruelle-Sullivan current of a measured foliation (see [10]) lives in Hafliger’s (closed) cohomology. The results in [7, 14] (see also Proposition 6.10) imply that our homology is also the natural target for the (localized) Chern character. (We plan to describe some of these connections more explicitly in a future paper.)

The homology theory also plays a central role in explaining the relation between the sheaf theoretic and the convolution algebra approaches to étale groupoids, already referred to above. Indeed, the various cyclic homologies of étale groupoids can be shown to be isomorphic to the homology of certain associated étale groupoids; it extends the previous results of Burghelea, Connes, Feigin, Karoubi, Nistor, Tsygan. This connection explains several basic properties of the cyclic and periodic homology groups, and leads to explicit calculations ([14]). The previous work on the Baum-Connes conjecture for discrete groups, or for proper actions of discrete groups on manifolds, suggest that this homology will play a role in the Baum-Connes conjecture for étale groupoids.

From an algebraic point of view, our homology theory is an extension of the homology of groups, while from a topological point of view it extends compactly supported cohomology of spaces. In this context, we should emphasize that even in the simplest examples, the étale groupoids which model leaf spaces of foliations involve manifolds which are neither separated nor paracompact. Thus, an important technical ingredient of our work is a suitable extension of the notions related to compactly supported section of sheaves to non-separated (non-paracompact) manifolds. For example, as a special case of our results one obtains the Verdier (and Poincaré) duality for non-separated manifolds. Our notion of compactly supported sections is also used in the construction of the convolution algebra of a (non-separated) étale groupoid. We believe that this extension to non-separated spaces has a much wider use that the one in this paper, and we have tried to give an accessible presentation of it in the appendix. The results in the appendix also play a central role in the calculation concerning the cyclic homology of étale groupoids in [14], and make it possible to extend the results of [7] for separated groupoids to the non-separated case.

We conclude this introduction with a brief outline of the paper.

In the first section we review the basic definitions and examples related to étale groupoids, and in the second section we summarize the sheaf cohomology of étale groupoids. These two sections serve as background, and do not contain any new results. Readers familiar with this background should immediately go to section 3, and consult the earlier sections for notational conventions.

In section 3, we present the definition of our homology theory and mention some of its immediate properties.

In section 4, a covariant operation $\varphi_!$ for any map $\varphi$ between étale groupoids is introduced, which can intuitively be thought of as a kind of “integration along the fiber” at the level of derived categories. We then prove a Leray spectral sequence for this operation. This spectral sequence is extremely useful. For example, we will use it to prove the Morita invariance of homology. It also plays a crucial role in many calculations in [14].

In section 5, we prove that the operation $\mathcal{L}\varphi_!$ has a right adjoint $\varphi^!$ at the level of derived categories, thus establishing Verdier duality. The Poincaré duality between (Haefliger) cohomology and (our) homology of étale groupoids is an immediate consequence.

In section 6, we summarize the main aspects of the relation to cyclic homology. This section is based on [14], to which we refer the reader for detailed proofs and further calculations.

In an appendix, we show how to adapt the definition of the functor $\Gamma_c(X; A)$ (assigning to a space $X$ and a sheaf $A$ the group of compactly supported sections) in such a way that all the properties (as expressed in [1], say) can be proved without using Hausdorffness and paracompactness of the space $X$. This appendix can be read independently from the rest of the paper.
1 Étale groupoids

In this section we review the definition of topological groupoids, fix the notations, and mention some of the main examples.

Recall first that a groupoid $G$ is a (small) category in which every arrow is invertible. We will write $G(0)$ and $G(1)$ for the set of objects and the set of arrows in $G$, respectively, and denote the structure maps by:

\[ G(1) \times_{G(0)} G(1) \xrightarrow{m} G(1) \xrightarrow{i} G(1) \xrightarrow{s} G(0) \xrightarrow{u} G(1), \]

Here $s$ and $t$ are the source and target, $m$ denotes composition ($m(g,h) = g \circ h$), $i$ is the inverse ($i(g) = g^{-1}$) and for any $x \in G(0)$, $u(x) = 1_x$ is the unit at $x$. We write $g : x \rightarrow y$ or $x \xrightarrow{g} y$ to indicate that $g \in G(1)$ is an arrow with $s(g) = x$ and $t(g) = y$.

A topological groupoid $G$ is similarly given by topological spaces $G(0)$ and $G(1)$ and by continuous structure maps as in (1). For a smooth groupoid, $G(0)$ and $G(1)$ are smooth manifolds, and these structure maps are smooth; moreover, one requires $s$ and $t$ to be submersions, so that the fibered product $G(1) \times_{G(0)} G(1)$ in (1) is also a manifold.

1.1 Definition. A topological (smooth) groupoid $G$ as above is called étale if the source map $s : G(1) \rightarrow G(0)$ is a local homeomorphism (local diffeomorphism). This implies that all other structure maps in (1) are also local homeomorphisms (local diffeomorphisms).

1.2 Germs. Any arrow $g : x \rightarrow y$ in an étale groupoid induces a germ $\tilde{g} : (U,x) \rightarrow (V,y)$ from a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ in $G(0)$ to a neighborhood $V$ of $y$. Indeed, we can define $\tilde{g} = t \circ \sigma$, where $x \in U \subset G(0)$ is so small that $s : G(1) \rightarrow G(0)$ has a section $\sigma : U \rightarrow G(1)$ with $\sigma(x) = g$. If $U$ is so small that $t \circ \sigma(U)$ is also a homeomorphism (resp. diffeomorphism), then $\tilde{g} : U \rightarrow V$ is also a homeomorphism (resp. diffeomorphism). We will also write $\tilde{g}$ for the germ at $x$ of this map $\tilde{g} : U \rightarrow V$. Note that $\tilde{1}_x$ is the identity germ, and that $\tilde{(hg)} = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{g}$ if $g : x \rightarrow y$ and $h : y \rightarrow z$.

1.3 Examples of étale groupoids. (Note that in examples 3 and 4, the space $G(1)$ is in general not Hausdorff.)

1. Any topological space (manifold) $X$ can be viewed as an étale groupoid $\underline{X}$ with identity arrows only $(\underline{X}(0)) = X = \underline{X}(1)$, etc.). We will often simply denote this groupoid by $X$ again.

2. The category of sheaves and cohomology

3. Homology

4. Leray spectral sequence; Morita invariance

5. Verdier duality

6. Relation to cyclic homology

7. Appendix: Compact supports in non-Hausdorff spaces
2. If a (discrete) group $\Gamma$ acts from the right on a space $X$, one can form a groupoid $X \rtimes \Gamma$ with $(X \rtimes \Gamma)(0) = X$ and $(X \rtimes \Gamma)(1) = X \times \Gamma$, by taking as arrows $x \leftarrow y$ those $\gamma \in \Gamma$ with $y = x\gamma$. This groupoid is called the translation groupoid of the action.

3. (24) The Haefliger groupoid $\Gamma^q$ has $\mathbb{R}^q$ for its space of objects. An arrow $x \rightarrow y$ in $\Gamma^q$ is a germ of a diffeomorphism $(\mathbb{R}^q, x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^q, y)$. This groupoid and its classifying space $B\Gamma^q$ (cf. 1.7 below) play a central role in foliation theory.

4. (see, for example, 38, 10, 30) For a foliation $(M, \mathcal{F})$ of codimension $q$, its holonomy groupoid $\text{Hol}(M, \mathcal{F})$ can be represented by an étale groupoid $\text{Hol}_T(M, \mathcal{F})$, depending on the choice of a “complete transversal” $T$, i.e. a submanifold $T \subset M$ of dimension $q$ which is transversal to the leaves and which meets every leaf at least once. Two different such transversals $T$ and $T'$ give Morita equivalent (see 1.5 below) étale groupoids $\text{Hol}_T(M, \mathcal{F})$ and $\text{Hol}_{T'}(M, \mathcal{F})$.

5. Any orbifold gives rise to a smooth étale groupoid. These groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ coming from orbifolds have the special property that $(s, t) : \mathcal{G}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(0) \times \mathcal{G}(0)$ is a proper map (see 24). Groupoids with this property are called proper. For a proper groupoid, $\mathcal{G}(1)$ is Hausdorff whenever $\mathcal{G}(0)$ is.

6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an étale groupoid. A right $\mathcal{G}$-space is a space $X$ equipped with a map $p : X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(0)$ and an action $X \times_{\mathcal{G}(0)} \mathcal{G}(1) \rightarrow X$, $(x, g) \mapsto xg$ satisfying the usual identities. If $X$ is a right $\mathcal{G}$-space, one can construct a groupoid $X \rtimes \mathcal{G}$, with $(X \rtimes \mathcal{G})_0 = X$ and $(X \rtimes \mathcal{G})_1 = X \times_{\mathcal{G}(0)} \mathcal{G}(1)$: an arrow $x \leftarrow y$ in $X \rtimes \mathcal{G}$ is an arrow $p(x) \leftarrow p(y)$ with $y = xg$. (A similar construction applies of course to left $\mathcal{G}$-spaces.)

1.4 Homomorphisms. Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be étale groupoids. A homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is given by two continuous (or smooth) maps $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{K}(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(0)$ and $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{K}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(1)$ which commute with all the structure maps in $\mathcal{K}$ (i.e. : $\varphi_0 s(g) = s\varphi_1(g), \varphi_1 g h = \varphi_1(g) \varphi_1(h)$, etc.)

1.5 Morita equivalence. A homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is called a Morita (or weak, or essential) equivalence if:

1. The map $s\pi_2 : \mathcal{K}(0) \times_{\mathcal{G}(0)} \mathcal{G}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(0)$, defined on the space of pairs $(y, g) \in \mathcal{K}(0) \times \mathcal{G}(1)$ with $t(g) = \varphi(y)$, is an étale surjection;

2. The square:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K}(1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & \mathcal{G}(1) \\
\downarrow{s,t} & & \downarrow{s,t} \\
\mathcal{K}(0) \times \mathcal{K}(0) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0 \times \varphi_0} & \mathcal{G}(0) \times \mathcal{G}(0)
\end{array}
$$

is a fibered product.

We often write $\varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ to indicate that $\varphi$ is such a Morita equivalence. Two groupoids $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are said to be Morita equivalent if there are Morita equivalences $\mathcal{H} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{K} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{G}$. This is a transitive relation. One generally considers the category of étale groupoids obtained by formally inverting the Morita equivalences. In this category, an arrow $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is represented by two homomorphisms, as in:

$$
\mathcal{H} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}
$$

(see 30 for more details).

1.6 Bundles. (see, for example, 4, 8, 22, 30) Let $B$ be a “base” space, and $\mathcal{G}$ an étale groupoid. A left $\mathcal{G}$-bundle over $B$ consists of a space $P$, a map $\pi : P \rightarrow B$, and a left action of $\mathcal{G}$.
on \( P \) (see 1.3.6) which respects \( \pi \) in the sense that \( \pi(ge) = \pi(e) \). The action is called principal if the canonical map between fibered products:

\[
G^{(1)} \times_{g^{(0)}} P \longrightarrow P \times_B P, \quad (g, e) \mapsto (ge, e)
\]
is a homeomorphism.

If \( B = K^{(0)} \) is the space of objects of another groupoid \( K \), the bundle \( P \) is said to be \( K \)-equivariant if \( P \) is also equipped with a right \( K \)-action, which commutes with the left action by \( G \): \( (ge)h = g(eh) \); in this case the maps \( P \longrightarrow K^{(0)} \) and \( P \longrightarrow G^{(0)} \) are denoted by \( s_P \) (“source”) and \( t_P \) (“target”), respectively. For instance, any homomorphism \( \varphi : K \longrightarrow G \) induces a \( K \)-equivariant principal \( G \)-bundle:

\[
P(\varphi) = K^{(0)} \rtimes_{g^{(0)}} G^{(1)}
\]
(the space considered also in 1.3.1), with \( s_P(\varphi)(y, g) = y, t_P(\varphi)(y, g) = s(g) \). The isomorphism classes of \( K \)-equivariant principal \( G \)-bundles \( P \) can be viewed as “generalized” or “Hilsum-Skandalis” morphisms:

\[
P : K \longrightarrow G
\]

The category so obtained is equivalent to the category obtained by inverting the Morita equivalences (see 1.5). Thus, showing that a certain construction is invariant under Morita equivalence is the same as showing that it is functorial on generalized morphisms.

1.7 Nerve and classifying space. For an étale groupoid \( G \), we write \( G^{(n)} \) for the space of composable strings of arrows in \( G \):

\[
x_0 \overset{g_1}{\leftarrow} x_1 \overset{g_2}{\leftarrow} \ldots \overset{g_n}{\leftarrow} x_n
\]

For \( n = 0, 1 \), this agrees with the notation for the space of objects and arrows of \( G \), already introduced. The spaces \( G^{(n)} (n \geq 0) \) together form a simplicial space:

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow G^{(2)} \longrightarrow G^{(1)} \longrightarrow G^{(0)}, \quad (2)
\]

with the face maps \( d_i : G^{(n)} \longrightarrow G^{(n-1)} \) defined in the usual way:

\[
d_i(\ g_1, \ldots, g_n\ ) = \begin{cases} 
(\ g_2, \ldots, g_n\ ) & \text{if } i = 0 \\
(\ g_1, \ldots, g_i, g_{i+1}, \ldots, g_n\ ) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \\
(\ g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}\ ) & \text{if } i = n 
\end{cases}
\]

Its (thick [35]) geometric realization is the classifying space of \( G \), denoted \( BG \). This space \( BG \) classifies homotopy classes of principal \( G \)-bundles (\([8, 30]\)). A Morita equivalence \( \varphi : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow G \) induces a weak homotopy equivalence \( BH \longrightarrow BG \).

1.8 Overall assumptions. It is important to observe that in many relevant examples, the space \( G^{(1)} \) of arrows of an étale groupoid \( G \) is not Hausdorff, (cf. 3, 4 in 1.3). However, for any space \( X \) in this paper we do assume that \( X \) has an open cover by subsets \( U \subset X \) which are each paracompact, Hausdorff, locally compact, and of cohomological dimension bounded by a number \( d \) (depending on \( X \) but not on \( U \)). These assumptions hold for any (non-separated) manifold of dimension \( d \), and in particular for each of the spaces \( G^{(n)} \) associated to a smooth étale groupoid.
2 Sheaves and cohomology

In this section we review the definition and main properties of the cohomology groups $H^n(G; A)$ of an étale groupoid $G$ with coefficients in a $G$-sheaf $A$. These groups have been studied by Haefliger ([13, 21]). They can also be viewed as cohomology groups of the topos of $G$-sheaves (Grothendieck-Verdier) and were discussed from this point of view in [31].

2.1 $G$-sheaves. Let $G$ be an étale groupoid. A $G$-sheaf is a sheaf $S$ on the space $G^{(0)}$, on which $G^{(1)}$ acts continuously from the right. In other words, $S$ is a right $G$-space ($1.3.6$) for which the map $S \rightarrow G$ is étale (a local homeomorphism). A morphism of $G$-sheaves $S \rightarrow S'$ is a morphism of sheaves which commutes with the action. We will write $Sh(G)$ for the category of all $G$-sheaves of sets, and $Ab(G)$ for the category of abelian $G$-sheaves. These categories have convenient exactness properties: it is well known that $Sh(G)$ is a topos, and (hence) that $Ab(G)$ is an abelian category with enough injectives. If $R$ is a ring, we write $Mod_R(G)$ for the category of $G$-sheaves of $R$-modules. Thus $Ab(G) = Mod_R(G)$. Later, we will mostly work with the category $Mod_R(G)$ of $G$-sheaves of real vector spaces.

2.2 Examples.

1. For any set or abelian group $A$ the corresponding constant sheaf on $G^{(0)}$ can be equipped with the trivial $G$-action. We will refer to $G$-sheaves of this form as constant $G$-sheaves; they are simply denoted by $A$ again.

2. The sheaf $A = C_{G^{(0)}}$ of germs of continuous real-valued functions on $G^{(0)}$ has the natural structure of a $G$-sheaf: if $g : x \rightarrow y$ in $G^{(1)}$ and $\alpha \in A_y$ is a germ at $y$, then $\alpha \circ g$ is defined as the composition $\alpha \circ y$ (cf. [12]). Similarly if $G$ is a smooth étale groupoid, the sheaf $\Omega_{G^{(0)}}$ of differential $n$-forms on $G^{(0)}$ has a structure of a $G$-sheaf ($n \geq 0$).

3. Let $E$ be a sheaf on $G^{(0)}$ (no action). To $E$ we can associate a $G$-sheaf $E[G] = E \times_{G^{(0)}} G^{(1)} = \{(e, g) : g : x \rightarrow y, e \in E_y\}$. The sheaf projection is the map $E[G] \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ given by $(e, g) \mapsto s(g)$, while the $G$-action is given by composition, $(e, g \cdot h) = (e, g \cdot h)$. Sheaves (isomorphic to ones) of this form are said to be free $G$-sheaves. The freeness is expressed by the adjunction property:

$$Hom_G(E[G], S) = Hom_{G^{(0)}}(E, S)$$

for any $G$-sheaf $S$.

4. Each of the spaces $G^{(n+1)}$ in the nerve of $G$ (cf. [23]) has the structure of a $G$-sheaf, with sheaf projection:

$$\varepsilon_n : G^{(n+1)} \rightarrow G^{(n)}$$,

and the $G$-action given by composition, $(g_1, \ldots, g_n \cdot h) = (g_1, \ldots, g_n h)$. This $G$-sheaf is denoted $F_{n+1}(G)$. For $n \geq 1$ these sheaves are free, in fact $G^{n+1} = G^{(n)}[G]$. The system of $G$-sheaves:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} F_2(G) \xrightarrow{\delta} F_1(G) \xrightarrow{\delta} F_0(G)$$

has the structure of a simplicial $G$-sheaf, whose stalk at $x \in G^{(0)}$ is the nerve of the comma category $x/G$. This stalk is a contractible simplicial set.

5. For any $G$-sheaf of sets $S$, one can form the free abelian $G$-sheaf $ZS$ : the stalk of $ZS$ at $x \in G^{(0)}$ is the free abelian group on the stalk $S_x$. In particular, from (3) we obtain a resolution:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{\delta} ZF_1(G) \xrightarrow{\delta} ZF_0(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of the constant $G$-sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$, where $\delta$ is defined by the alternating sums of the face maps in (3).

6. If $G^{(0)}$ is a topological manifold of dimension $d$, recall that its orientation sheaf or is given by
or\( (U) = H^n_d(U; \mathbb{R})^\vee \), (see e.g. [1, 23], and the Appendix for compactly supported cohomology in the case where \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is non-Hausdorff). It has a natural \( \mathcal{G} \)-action: for any arrow \( g : x \to y \in \mathcal{G} \), let \( U_x \) and \( U_y \) be neighborhoods of \( x \) and \( y \), so small that \( s : \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \to \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) has a section \( \sigma \) through \( g \) with \( t \cdot \sigma : U_x \to U_y \). Then \( t \cdot \sigma \) induces a map \( H^n_d(U_x) \to H^n_d(U_y) \), so also a map \( H^n_c(U_x)^\vee \to H^n_c(U_y)^\vee \). Hence by taking germs, it gives an action \( \sigma_y \to \sigma_x \).

Note that if \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is oriented (i.e. as a sheaf on \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \), or is isomorphic to the constant sheaf \( \mathbb{R} \)), it is not necessarily constant as a \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf. When it is (i.e. when \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is orientable and any arrow \( g : x \to y \) gives an orientation-preserving germ \( \tilde{g} \), cf. [2] we say that \( \mathcal{G} \) is orientable.

2.3 Morphisms. A morphism of étale groupoids \( \varphi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{G} \) induces an evident functor:

\[
\varphi^* : \text{Sh}(\mathcal{G}) \to \text{Sh}(\mathcal{K})
\]

by pullback (and similarly an exact functor \( \varphi^* : \text{Ab}(\mathcal{G}) \to \text{Ab}(\mathcal{K}) \)). This functor has a right adjoint:

\[
\varphi_* : \text{Sh}(\mathcal{G}) \to \text{Sh}(\mathcal{K})
\]

For an \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{S} \), the sheaf \( \varphi_*(\mathcal{S}) \) on \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is defined for any open set \( U \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) by:

\[
\varphi_*(\mathcal{S})(U) = \text{Hom}_\mathcal{K}(\varphi(U), \mathcal{S}).
\]

Here \( \varphi/U = \{ (y, g) : y \in \mathcal{K}^{(0)}, g : \varphi(y) \to x, x \in U \} \), with \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf structure given by \((y, g)h = (y, g \varphi(h)) \). The \( \mathcal{G} \)-action on this sheaf \( \varphi_*(\mathcal{G}) \) is defined as follows: for \( \xi \in \varphi_*(\mathcal{S})_x \) and \( g : x' \to x \), let \( U_x \) be a neighborhood of \( x \) so that \( \xi \) is represented by an element \( \xi \in \varphi_*(\mathcal{S})_x \), and let \( U_{x'} \) be so small that \( s : \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \to \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) has a section \( \sigma : U_{x'} \to \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \) through \( g \) with \( t \cdot \sigma(U_{x'}) \subset U_x \). Then define \( \xi g \in \varphi_*(\mathcal{S})_{x'} \) to be the element represented by the morphism:

\[
\tau : \varphi/U_{x'} \to \mathcal{S}, \ (y, f : \varphi(y) \to z) \mapsto \xi(\varphi(z), f).
\]

These adjoint functors \( \varphi^* \) and \( \varphi_* \) together constitute a topos morphism:

\[
\varphi : \text{Sh}(\mathcal{K}) \to \text{Sh}(\mathcal{G}).
\]

If \( \varphi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{G} \) is a Morita equivalence, then this morphism is an equivalence of categories \( \text{Sh}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{Sh}(\mathcal{G}) \). In fact, topos morphisms \( \text{Sh}(\mathcal{K}) \to \text{Sh}(\mathcal{G}) \) correspond exactly to generalized morphisms \( \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{G} \), or equivalently, to pairs of homomorphisms \( \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{G} \) (cf. [3, 1.4]).

2.4 Invariant sections. Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf. A section \( \sigma : \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \to \mathcal{S} \) is called invariant if \( \sigma(y) = \sigma(x) \) for any arrow \( g : x \to y \) in \( \mathcal{G} \). We write:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S})
\]

for the set of invariant sections; it is an abelian group if \( \mathcal{S} \) is an abelian sheaf. (In fact \( \Gamma_{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{S}) = \varphi_*(\mathcal{S}) \) where \( \varphi : \mathcal{G} \to 1 \) is the morphism into the trivial groupoid).

2.5 Cohomology. For an abelian \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \), the cohomology groups \( H^n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) \) are defined as the cohomology groups of the complex:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{T}^0) \to \Gamma_{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{T}^1) \to \ldots
\]

where \( \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{T}^0 \to \mathcal{T}^1 \to \ldots \) is any resolution of \( \mathcal{A} \) by injective \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaves. In other words:

\[
H^n(\mathcal{G}; -) = R^n\Gamma_{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{G}, -).
\]
where $H^n(G; A)$ is simply the cohomology of the topos $Sh(G)$ with coefficients in $A$.) It is obvious that a homomorphism $\varphi: K \to G$ induces homomorphisms in cohomology:

$$\varphi^*: H^n(G; A) \to H^n(K; \varphi^* A) \quad (n \geq 0).$$

If $\varphi$ is a Morita equivalence, these are isomorphisms, since $Sh(G) = Sh(K)$.

**2.6 Leray spectral sequence.** For any morphism $\varphi: K \to G$ and any $K$-sheaf $A$, there is a Leray spectral sequence

$$E^{p,q}_2 = H^p(G; R^q \varphi_* A) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(K; A).$$

(The $G$-sheaf $R^q \varphi_* A$ can be explicitly described as the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto H^q(\varphi/U; A)$ where $\varphi/U$ is the groupoid associated to the (right) action of $K$ on the space $(\varphi/U)_0$ used in 2.3 (cf. 1.3.6). See [2].

**2.7 Basic spectral sequence.** Let $G$ be an étale groupoid, and let $A$ be a $G$-sheaf. By pull-back along $\varepsilon_n: G^{(n)} \to G^{(0)}$ (see 2.2.4), $A$ induces a sheaf $\varepsilon^*_n(A)$ on $G^{(n)}$ which we often simply denote by $A$ again. Consider for each $p$ and $q$ the sheaf cohomology $H^q(G^{(p)}; A)$ of the space $G^{(p)}$. For a fixed $q$, these form a cosimplicial abelian group, and there is a basic spectral sequence:

$$H^p H^q(G^{(*)}; A) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G; A).$$

(It arises from the double complex $\Gamma(G^{(p)}; \varepsilon^*_p A^{(q)})$ where $A \to T^*$ is an injective resolution.)

It follows that if $0 \to A \to A^0 \to A^1 \to \ldots$ is any resolution by $G$-sheaves $A^q$ with the property that $\varepsilon^*_p (A^q)$ is an acyclic sheaf on $G^{(p)}$, then $H^*(G; A)$ can be computed by the double complex

$$\Gamma(G^{(p)}; \varepsilon^*_p (A^q)).$$

**2.8 Čech spectral sequence.** An open set $U \subset G^{(0)}$ is called saturated if for any arrow $g : x \to y$ in $G$, one has $s(g) \in U$ if $t(g) \in U$. For such a $U$ there is an evident “full” subgroupoid $G[U] \subset G$, with $U$ as space of objects. If $U$ is an open cover of $G^{(0)}$ by saturated opens, there is a spectral sequence:

$$\hat{H}^p(U; H^q(A)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G; A)$$

where $H^q(A)$ is the presheaf $U \mapsto H^q(G[U]; A|_U)$.

**2.9 Hypercohomology.** For a cochain complex $\mathcal{A}^*$ of abelian $G$-sheaves the hypercohomology groups $\mathbb{H}^n(G; \mathcal{A}^*)$ are defined in the usual way, as the cohomology groups of the double complex $\Gamma_{inv}(G; T^*)$ where $\mathcal{A}^* \to T^*$ is a quasi-isomorphism into a cochain complex of injectives. (If $\mathcal{A}^*$ is concentrated in degree 0 one recovers the ordinary cohomology defined in 2.3.) For each $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by $H^q(\mathcal{A}^*)$ the $q$-th cohomology $G$-sheaf of $\mathcal{A}^*$. If $\mathcal{A}^*$ is bounded below, there is a spectral sequence for hypercohomology analogous to the one in 2.7.

$$H^p \mathbb{H}^q(G^{(*)}; \mathcal{A}^*) \Rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{p+q}(G; \mathcal{A}^*).$$
2.10 Ext functor. Recall that for any \( G \)-sheaf \( B \), the functor \( \text{Ext}^p(B; -) \) is defined as the \( p \)-th right derived functor of the functor \( \text{Hom}_G(B; -) \). Thus \( H^p(G; A) = \text{Ext}^p(Z, A) \). For later purposes we recall Yoneda’s description of \( \text{Ext} \)

The same applies of course to the category \( \text{Mod} \).

\( G \) is the group of action preserving homomorphisms, i.e. morphisms in the category \( \text{derived functor of} \ R \)

will be denoted by \( \text{Ext} \).

By composition of exact sequences, one defines a cap product:

\[
\text{Hom}(\_ , \_ ) \otimes \text{Hom}(\_ , \_ ) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\_ , \_ )
\]

The same applies of course to the category \( \text{Mod}_R(G) \) of \( G \)-sheaves of real vector spaces. We use the notation \( \text{Ext}_{R}^{p}(B, A) \) here. Recall also that, over \( R \), the tensor product defines a functor \( \text{Ext}_{R}^{p}(B, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R}^{p}(C \otimes B, C \otimes A) \). This gives an easy description of the cap product in cohomology:

\[
H^p(G; A) \otimes H^q(G; B) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(G; A \otimes_R B)
\]

as:

\[
\text{Ext}^q(Z, A) \otimes \text{Ext}^p(Z, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^q(Z, A) \otimes \text{Ext}^p(A, A \otimes_R B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{p+q}(Z, A \otimes_R B).
\]

2.11 Internal hom. For two \( G \)-sheaves \( A \) and \( B \) the sheaf \( \text{Hom}(A, B) \) on \( G^{(0)} \) carries a natural \( G \)-action, hence gives a \( G \)-sheaf \( \text{Hom}_G(A, B) \) (or simply \( \text{Hom}(A, B) \) again). We recall that:

\[
\Gamma_{\text{inv}}(G, \text{Hom}_G(A, B)) = \text{Hom}(A, B)
\]

is the group of action preserving homomorphisms, i.e. morphisms in the category \( \text{Ab}(G) \). The derived functor of:

\[
\text{Hom}(A, -) : \text{Ab}(G) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(G)
\]

will be denoted by \( \text{R}^p \text{Hom}(A, -) \) or by \( \text{Ext}^p(A, -) \).

3 Homology

In this section we will introduce the homology groups \( H_n(G; A) \) for any étale groupoid \( G \) and any \( G \)-sheaf \( A \). Among the main properties to be proved will be the invariance of homology under Morita equivalence.

For any Hausdorff space \( X \), the standard properties of the functor which assigns to a sheaf \( S \) its group of compactly supported sections \( \Gamma_c(X; S) \) are well known and can be found in any book on sheaf theory. In the appendix, we show how to extend this functor to the case where \( X \) is not necessarily Hausdorff, while retaining all the standard properties. We emphasize that throughout this paper, \( \Gamma_c \) will denote this extended functor.

Let us fix an étale groupoid \( G \). The spaces \( G^{(0)} \) and \( G^{(1)} \) (and hence the spaces \( G^{(n)} \) for \( n \geq 0 \)) are assumed to satisfy the general conditions of \( [1,8] \) but we will not assume that \( G \) is Hausdorff.

We write \( d = \text{cdim}(G^{(0)}) \) for the cohomological dimension of \( G^{(0)} \). Thus, for any \( n \geq 0 \) and any Hausdorff open set \( U \subset G^{(n)} \), the (usual) cohomological dimension of \( U \) is at most \( d \).

3.1 Bar complex. Let \( A \) be a \( G \)-sheaf, and assume that \( A \) is c-soft as a sheaf on \( G^{(0)} \) (we will briefly say that \( A \) is a “c-soft \( G \)-sheaf”). For each \( n \geq 0 \), consider the sheaf \( A_n = \tau_n(A) \) on \( G^{(n)} \) constructed by pull-back along \( \tau_n : G^{(n)} \rightarrow G^{(0)} \), \( \tau_n(x) \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow x_n = x_0 \). It is again a c-soft sheaf because \( \tau_n \) is étale. The groups \( \Gamma_c(G^{(n)}; A_n) \) of compactly supported sections, introduced in the Appendix, together form a simplicial abelian group:

\[
B_\ast(G; A) : \ldots \xrightarrow{\Gamma_c(G^{(2)}; A_2)} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_c(G^{(1)}; A_1)} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_c(G^{(0)}; A_0)}
\]
with face maps:
\[ d_i : \Gamma_c(G^{(n)}; A_n) \longrightarrow \Gamma_c(G^{(n-1)}; A_{n-1}) \]  
(6)
defined as follows. First, for the face map \( d_i : G^{(n)} \longrightarrow G^{(n-1)} \) (cf. [15]) there is an evident map (isomorphism in fact) \( A_n \longrightarrow d_i^*(A_{n-1}) \), whose stalk at \( \bar{y} = (x_0, \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n, x_n) \) is the identity map for \( i \neq 0 \) and the action by \( g_0 : (A_n)_\bar{y} = A_{x_0} \longrightarrow A_{x_1} = d_i^*(A_{n-1})_\bar{y} \) if \( i = 0 \). The map \( d_i \) in (6) is now obtained from this by summation along the fibres (see [7, 12]):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_c(G^{(n)}; A_n) & \xrightarrow{d_i} & \Gamma_c(G^{(n-1)}; A_{n-1}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_c(G^{(n)}; d_i^*(A_{n-1})) & \xrightarrow{\sum d_i} & \Gamma_c(G^{(n-1)}; (d_i)_*d_i^*(A_{n-1}))
\end{array}
\]

The homology groups \( H_n(G; A) \) are defined as the homology groups of the simplicial abelian groups \( \oplus \), or equivalently, as those of the associated chain complex given by the alternating sum \( \delta = \sum 1 - 1^i d_i \).

Similarly, any bounded below chain complex \( S_* \) of c-soft sheaves gives rise to a double complex:
\[ B_*(G; S_*) \]  
(7)
and we define \( \mathbb{H}_n(G; S_*) \) to be the homology of the associated total complex.

3.2 Lemma. Any quasi-isomorphism \( S_* \longrightarrow T_* \) between bounded below chain complexes of c-soft \( G \)-sheaves induces an isomorphism
\[ \mathbb{H}_n(G; S_*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_n(G; T_*) . \]

Proof: The spectral sequence of the double complex (3) takes the form \( E^2_{p,q} = H_pH_q(G_*, S_*) \longrightarrow H_{p+q}(G; S_*) \), where the \( E^3 \)-term is the homology \( \mathbb{H}_p(G^{(p)}; S^*) \) of the complex \( \Gamma_c(G^{(p)}; S_*) \). The lemma thus follows from [17].

3.3 c-soft resolutions. Let \( A \) be an arbitrary \( G \)-sheaf. There always exists a resolution:
\[ 0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow S^0 \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow S^d \longrightarrow 0 \]  
(8)
by c-soft \( G \)-sheaves. For example, since the category of \( G \)-sheaves has enough injectives, one can take any injective resolution \( 0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow T^* \) and take \( S^* \) to be the truncation \( \tau_{<d}(T^*) \) (softness of \( S^d \) then follows as in \( 3, \text{p.55} \)). Or, one can use for \( T^* \) the flabby Godement resolution of \( A \) on the space \( G^{(0)} \) with its natural \( G \)-action, and truncate it. In the case of a smooth étale groupoid and working over \( \mathbb{R} \), one also has the standard resolution:
\[ 0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A \otimes \Omega^0 \longrightarrow A \otimes \Omega^1 \longrightarrow \ldots \]
obtained from the \( G \)-sheaves \( \Omega^* \) of differential forms on \( G^{(0)} \). (Note that the last two resolutions are functorial in \( A \).)

Any resolution (3) maps into the truncated injective one. And, similarly, given two resolutions \( 0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow S^* \) and \( 0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow T^* \), there is a resolution \( R^* \) (e.g. the truncated injective one) and a diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \longrightarrow & T^* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^* & \longrightarrow & R^*
\end{array}
\]
(9)
which commutes up to homotopy.
3.4 Definition of homology. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be an arbitrary \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf, and let \( 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^0 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^d \rightarrow 0 \) be a c-soft resolution. Then \( \mathcal{S}^{-\bullet} \) is a bounded chain complex (non-zero in degrees between \(-d\) and 0) and we define \( H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) \) to be \( H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{S}^{-\bullet}) \). By 3.3 ((9)) and lemma 3.2 this definition is independent of the choice of the resolution. Observe that

\[
H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad n < -d .
\]

These groups can be viewed also as compactly supported cohomology groups (see 3.5.3 and 4.9 below).

3.5 Extreme cases. 1. If \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is a point, i.e. if \( \mathcal{G} \) is a discrete group, then \( H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) \) is the usual group homology of \( \mathcal{G} \).

2. If \( \mathcal{G} \) is a discrete groupoid, \( \mathcal{G}^{(*)} \) is a simplicial set, and \( H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) \) is the usual simplicial homology of \( \mathcal{G}^{(*)} \) with twisted coefficients.

3. If \( \mathcal{G} \) is a Hausdorff space \( X \) (viewed as a “trivial” groupoid, 1.3.1) then \( H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) = H^{-n}_c(X; \mathcal{A}) \) is the usual cohomology with compact supports (although graded differently). So the spectral sequence occurring in the proof of lemma 3.2 could be written as \( H_pH^{-q}_c(\mathcal{G}^{(*)}; \mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) \).

3.6 Long exact sequence. Any short exact sequence:

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0
\]

of \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaves induces a long exact sequence in homology:

\[
\ldots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \ldots
\]

The proof is standard. (The truncated Godement resolutions give a short exact sequence of resolutions \( 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 0 \).)

3.7 Functoriality. Compactly supported cohomology of spaces (3.5.3) is covariant along local homeomorphisms and contravariant along proper maps. Analogous properties hold for homology of étale groupoids. Consider a homomorphism \( \varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \) between étale groupoids.

1. Suppose that \( \varphi \) is proper, in the sense that each \( \varphi_n : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(n)} \) is a proper map (cf. 7.13). Then for any \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) one obtains homomorphisms:

\[
\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}^{(n)}; \mathcal{A}_n) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(\mathcal{K}^{(n)}; \varphi^*(\mathcal{A}_n))
\]

by pullback, and hence a homomorphism:

\[
\varphi^* : H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{K}; \varphi^* \mathcal{A}) .
\]

In other words, homology is contravariant along proper maps.

2. Suppose \( \varphi \) is étale, in the sense that each \( \varphi_n : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(n)} \) is a local homeomorphism (it is not difficult to see that the assumption is only about \( \varphi_0 \)). Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a c-soft \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf. For the sheaf \( \mathcal{S}_n = \tau_\ast^n(\mathcal{S}) \) on \( \mathcal{G}^{(n)} \) summation along the fibers defines a homomorphism:

\[
(\varphi_n)!\tau_\ast^n(\varphi^*(\mathcal{S})) = (\varphi_n)!\varphi_\ast^n(\tau_\ast^n \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \tau_\ast^n(\mathcal{S}) ,
\]
and hence a homomorphism:

\[ \Gamma_c(K^{(n)}; \varphi^*(S)_n) \longrightarrow \Gamma_c(G^{(n)}; S_n). \]

These homomorphisms, for each \( n \geq 0 \), commute with the face operators (8). Since the functor \( \varphi^* \) is (always) exact and preserves c-softness (because \( \varphi \) is étale), this gives for each \( G \)-sheaf \( A \) a homomorphism:

\[ H_n(K; \varphi^* A) \longrightarrow H_n(G; A). \]

3. Suppose that \( \varphi \) is étale, and moreover suppose that for each \( n \) the square:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
K^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\tau_n} & K^{(0)} \\
\varphi^{(n)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{(0)} \\
G^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\tau_n} & G^{(0)}
\end{array} \tag{10} \]

is a pullback. (Morphisms of this kind are exactly the projections \( X \times G \longrightarrow G \) associated to étale \( G \)-spaces \( X \).) For such a \( \varphi \), there is an exact functor:

\[ \varphi_! : \text{Ab}(K) \longrightarrow \text{Ab}(G) \]

which preserves c-softness. (at the level of underlying sheaves, it is simply the functor \( (\varphi_0)_! : \text{Ab}(K^{(0)}) \longrightarrow \text{Ab}(G^{(0)}) \) of 7.9). For any c-soft \( K \)-sheaf \( B \), there is a natural isomorphism:

\[ \Gamma_c(K_n; \varphi^{*}(\tau_n^* B)) = \Gamma_c(K_n; \tau_n^*(\varphi_0)_! B) = \Gamma_c(G^{(n)}; \varphi_! (\tau_n^* B)) = \Gamma_c(G^{(n)}; \varphi_! (\tau_n^* (\varphi_0)_! B)), \]

for any \( n \geq 0 \). These yield an isomorphism

\[ H_n(K; \varphi^* B) = H_n(G; \varphi_! B), \]

for any \( K \)-sheaf \( B \).

Note that even if \( \varphi \) is not étale, a functor \( \varphi_! \) can be defined in this way (but it is no longer exact). See also 4.5.4.

**Proposition 3.8** Let \( \varphi, \psi : K \longrightarrow G \) be two étale homomorphisms, \( A \in \text{Ab}(G) \) and \( \theta : \psi \longrightarrow \varphi \) a continuous transformation of functors. Denote by \( \theta^* : H_*(K; \varphi^* A) \longrightarrow H_*(K; \psi^* A) \) the map induced by the sheaf map \( \varphi^* A \longrightarrow \psi^* A, a \mapsto a\theta \), and by \( \varphi_* \), \( \psi_* \) the maps induced by \( \varphi, \psi \) in homology (cf. 7.3.2). Then:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
H_*(K; \varphi^* A) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & H_*(G; A) \\
\theta^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_* \\
H_*(K; \psi^* A)
\end{array} \]

Moreover, the construction of \( \theta^* \) is functorial with respect to \( \theta \).

**Proof:** We may assume that \( A \) is c-soft. Then a homotopy between the maps:

\[ B_*(K; \varphi^* A) \longrightarrow B_*(G; A) \]
inducing $\varphi_*$ and $\psi_*\theta^*$ in homology is given by:

$$H = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i H_i : B_n(\mathcal{K}; \varphi^*\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow B_{n+1}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}),$$

where the $H_i$'s are defined as follows. Consider:

$$h_i \colon \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(n+1)},$$

$$h_i(k_1, \ldots, k_n) = \begin{cases} (\varphi(k_1), \ldots, \psi(k_n)) & \text{if } i = 0 \\ (\varphi(k_1), \ldots, \varphi(k_i), \theta(s(k_i)), \psi(k_{i+1}), \ldots, \psi(k_n)) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Using the obvious (identity) isomorphisms $h_i^* (\mathcal{A}_{n+1}) \cong (\varphi^*\mathcal{A})_n$, and summation along the fiber of the (étale) $h_i$'s (see 7.12 in Appendix), we get the homomorphisms:

$$H_i : B_* (\mathcal{K}; \varphi^*\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow B_{*+1}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}).$$

The naturality with respect to $\theta$ is obvious. \qed

### 3.9 Hyperhomology.

Consider any bounded below chain complex $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ of $\mathcal{G}$-sheaves. Let $\mathcal{A}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_\bullet$ be a q.i. into a bounded below chain complex of c-soft $\mathcal{G}$-sheaves. (Such an $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ can be constructed for example by considering a resolution $\mathcal{A}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0^d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d^0 \rightarrow 0$ as in \[3\] and then taking the total complex of the double complex $\mathcal{S}_q^p$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, -d \leq p \leq 0$). Define the hyperhomology $\mathbb{H}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}_\bullet)$ to be the homology of the total complex associated to the double complex $\mathcal{B}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{R}_\bullet)$. This definition of $\mathbb{H}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}_\bullet)$ does not depend on the choice of the resolution $\mathcal{R}_\bullet$ (cf. lemma 3.2).

**Proposition 3.10** (Hyperhomology spectral sequence) Let $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ be a bounded below chain complex of $\mathcal{G}$-sheaves as above, and consider for each $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ the homology $\mathcal{G}$-sheaf $\mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{A}_\bullet)$. There is a spectral sequence:

$$H_p(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{A}_\bullet)) \Longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}_\bullet).$$

**Proof:** Consider the truncated Godement resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_0^d \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_d^0 \rightarrow 0$. It has the property that for each $q$, it also yields c-soft resolutions of the cycles $\mathcal{Z}_q$, the boundaries $\mathcal{B}_q$ and the homology $\mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{A}_\bullet)$. Write $\mathcal{C}$ for the triple complex:

$$\mathcal{C}_{p,q,r} = \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}^{(p)}; \mathcal{S}_q^{-r}),$$

and let $\mathcal{D}$ be the double complex:

$$\mathcal{D}_{n,q} = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \mathcal{C}_{p,q,r}.$$

The total complex of $\mathcal{C}$, and hence also that of $\mathcal{D}$, compute $\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{A}_\bullet)$. Furthermore, by the property of the resolution just mentioned (and the fact that $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}^{(p)}; -)$ preserves exact sequences of c-soft sheaves) we have for fixed $p$ and $r$ that:

$$H_q(\mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet,r}) = \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}^{(p)}; \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{S}^{-r}_\bullet)).$$

Hence, for a fixed $n$,

$$H_q(\mathcal{D}_{n,\bullet}) = \bigoplus_{p+r=n} \Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}^{(p)}; \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{S}^{-r}_\bullet)).$$

But $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{A}_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{S}_0^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{S}_1^d) \rightarrow \cdots$ is a resolution of $\mathcal{H}^q(\mathcal{A}_\bullet)$, so for a fixed $q$ the double complex $\Gamma_c(\mathcal{G}^{\bullet}; \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{S}^{-\bullet}))$ computes $H_q(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{A}_\bullet))$. Thus:

$$H_n H_q(\mathcal{D}_{\bullet,\bullet}) = H_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{H}_q(\mathcal{A}_\bullet)),$$

and the desired spectral sequence is simply the spectral sequence $H_n H_q(\mathcal{D}) \Longrightarrow H_{n+q}(\text{Tot}(\mathcal{D}))$ for the double complex $\mathcal{D}$. \qed
3.11 Cap product. For an étale groupoid \( G \), the \( Ext \)-groups act on the homology by a cap product:

\[
H_n(G; B) \otimes \ Ext^p(B, A) \xrightarrow{\cap} H_{n-p}(G; A) .
\]

For example, for \( p = 1 \) an element of \( Ext^1(B, A) \) can be represented by an exact sequence

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \xleftarrow{\cdot} & B & \xleftarrow{\cdot} \ E & \xleftarrow{\cdot} & A & \xleftarrow{\cdot} 0 ,
\end{array}
\]

which yields a boundary map \( H_n(G; B) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(G; A) \) for the long exact sequence of \( B \). For \( p > 1 \), the cap product can be constructed in the same way (by decomposing a longer extension \( 0 \leftarrow B \leftarrow E_1 \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow E_n \leftarrow A \leftarrow 0 \) into short exact sequences).

In particular, when working over \( \mathbb{R} \), this yields a simple description of the cap product relating homology and cohomology of étale groupoids:

\[
H_n(G; B) \otimes H^p(G; A) \xrightarrow{\cap} H_{n-p}(B \otimes \mathbb{R} A) .
\]

The cap product satisfies the usual “projection formula” for a morphism \( \alpha : C \rightarrow A \). Explicitly, \( \alpha \) induces \( \alpha_* : H_*(G; C) \rightarrow H_*(G; A) \) and \( \alpha^* : Ext^p(B; C) \rightarrow Ext^p(B; A) \), and we have for any \( u \in H_n(G; B) \) and \( \xi \in Ext^p(B; C) \) that:

\[
\alpha_*(u \cap \xi) = u \cap \alpha^*(\xi) .
\]

(For \( p = 1 \) this is just the naturality of the exact sequence \( 3.6 \).)

3.12 Remark. The \( d^2 \) boundary of the hyperhomology spectral sequence \( 3.10 \):

\[
\alpha_{p,q}^2 : H^q(G; \mathcal{H}_q(A_\ast)) \rightarrow H_{p-2}(G; \mathcal{H}_{q+1}(A_\ast))
\]

is given by the cap product with an element \( u_q(A_\ast) \in Ext^2(\mathcal{H}_q(A_\ast), \mathcal{H}_{q+1}(A_\ast)) \). Let \( \pi_q : \mathcal{Z}_q(A_\ast) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_q(A_\ast) \) be the quotient map from the sheaf of cycles \( \mathcal{Z}_q(A_\ast) \). Then the extension

\[
0 \leftarrow \mathcal{H}_q(A_\ast) \xleftarrow{\pi_q} \mathcal{Z}_q(A_\ast) \xleftarrow{d} A_{q+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{Z}_{q+1}(A_\ast) \leftarrow 0
\]

defines an element \( v \in Ext^2(\mathcal{H}_q(A_\ast), \mathcal{Z}_{q+1}(A_\ast)) \), and \( u_q(A_\ast) \) is \( (\pi_{q+1})_* A_\ast \). This is immediate from the construction of the spectral sequence (proof of \( 3.10 \)), and the general description of the boundaries of the spectral sequence induced by a double complex.

3.13 Remark. Recall that a topological category \( G \) is said to be étale if all its structure maps are local homeomorphisms. Thus, such a category is given by maps as in \( 4 \), except for the absence of an inverse \( i : G^{(1)} \rightarrow G^{(1)} \). The definitions and the results of this section hold equally well for the more general context of such étale categories, and for this reason we have tried to write the proofs in such a way that they apply verbatim to this general context. The same is true for the next section, provided one takes sufficient care to define Morita equivalence for categories in the appropriate way. (In this paper we will only use the homology for étale categories in Proposition \( 6.7 \)).

4 Leray spectral sequence; Morita invariance

In this section we construct for each morphism \( \varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \) between étale groupoids a functor \( \varphi_1 \) from c-soft \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaves to c-soft \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaves. We derive a Leray spectral sequence for this functor \( \mathcal{L} \), of which the invariance of homology under Morita equivalences will be an immediate consequence \( 4.6 \).
4.1 Comma groupoids of a homomorphism. Let \( \varphi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{G} \) be a homomorphism of étale groupoids. For each point \( x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) consider the “comma groupoid” \( x/\varphi \), whose objects are the pairs \( (y, g : x \to \varphi (y)) \) where \( y \in \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \) and \( g \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \). An arrow \( k : (y, g) \to (y', g') \) in \( x/\varphi \) is an arrow \( k : y \to y' \) in \( \mathcal{K} \) such that \( \varphi (k) \circ g = g' \). When equipped with the obvious fibered product topology, \( x/\varphi \) is again an étale groupoid. It should be viewed as the fiber of \( \varphi \) above \( x \); more exactly, there is a commutative diagram (see also \( 4.7 \)):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{\pi_x} & \mathcal{G} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
x/\varphi & \xrightarrow{g} & x
\end{array}
\]

Note that an arrow \( g : x \to x' \) in \( \mathcal{G} \) induces a homomorphism:

\[
g^* : x'/\varphi \to x/\varphi
\]

by composition. Thus the groupoids \( x/\varphi \) together form a right \( \mathcal{G} \)-bundle of groupoids. (If \( \varphi_0 : \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \to \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is a local homeomorphism, then it is a \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf of groupoids.)

More generally, for any \( A \subset \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) the comma groupoid \( A/\varphi \) is defined by:

\[
(A/\varphi)^{(i)} = \bigcup_{x \in A} (x/\varphi)^{(i)} \subset \mathcal{K}^{(i)} \times_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}^{(1)} , \ i \in \{0,1\}
\]

(with the induced topology). The nerve of \( A/\varphi \) consists of the spaces:

\[
(A/\varphi)^{(n)} = \{(y_0 \xleftarrow{k_1} \ldots \xleftarrow{k_n} y_n, \varphi (y_n) \xleftarrow{g} x) : k_i \in \mathcal{K}^{(1)} , g \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)} , x \in A \} .
\]

When \( \varphi = \text{id} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G} \), these are simply denoted by \( x/\mathcal{G} \), \( A/\mathcal{G} \). Dually one defines the comma groupoids \( \varphi/\mathcal{G} , A/\mathcal{G} , \mathcal{G}/x , \mathcal{G}/A \) (consisting on arrows “going into \( x \)).

4.2 The functors \( \varphi_! , \mathcal{L}_n \varphi_!, \mathcal{L} \varphi_! \). Let \( \varphi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{G} \) be as above, and let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf. We define a simplicial \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf \( B_\varphi (\mathcal{A}) \) in analogy with the definition of the bar-complex \( 3.1 \). On the spaces \( \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \times_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \) (which form the nerve of \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)}/\varphi \), cf. \( 4.1 \)) of strings of the form:

\[
\varphi (y_0) \xleftarrow{\varphi (k_1)} \ldots \xleftarrow{\varphi (k_n)} \varphi (y_n) \xleftarrow{g} x
\]

we define the maps:

\[
\alpha_n : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \times_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \to \mathcal{K}^{(0)} , \ (k_1 , \ldots , k_n , g) \mapsto t(k_1) ,
\]

\[
\beta_n : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \times_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}^{(1)} \to \mathcal{G}^{(0)} , \ (k_1 , \ldots , k_n , g) \mapsto s(g) .
\]

Notice that any \( \alpha_n \) is étale. For any \( n \geq 0 \) we set:

\[
B_n (\varphi ; \mathcal{A}) = (\beta_n)_* \alpha_n^* \mathcal{A} .
\]

By \( 7.9 \), the stalk at \( x \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is described by:

\[
B_n (\varphi ; \mathcal{A})_x = \Gamma_c (\beta_n^{-1} (x) ; \alpha_n^* \mathcal{A}) = B_n (x/\varphi ; \pi_x^* \mathcal{A}) . \tag{13}
\]

This gives us the (stalk-wise) definition of the simplicial structure on \( B_n (\varphi ; \mathcal{A}) \). To check the continuity, let us just remark that the boundaries can be described globally. Indeed, using the maps:

\[
d_i : \mathcal{K}^{(n)} \times_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}^{(1)} = (\mathcal{G}^{(1)}/\varphi)^{(n)} \to \mathcal{K}^{(n-1)} \times_{\mathcal{G}^{(0)}} \mathcal{G}^{(1)} = (\mathcal{G}^{(1)}/\varphi)^{(n-1)}
\]
coming from the nerve of \(G^{(0)}/\varphi\) (see \([1.7, 4.1]\)), we have \(\beta_n = \beta_{n-1}d_i\) (for all \(0 \leq i \leq n\)) and there are evident maps \(\alpha_n^*A \rightarrow d_i^*\alpha_{n-1}^*A\) (compare to the definition of \((\oplus)\)); the boundaries of \(B_*(\varphi; A)\) are in fact:

\[
(\beta_n)\alpha_n^*A = (\beta_{n-1})_1(d_i)_1\alpha_n^*A \rightarrow (\beta_{n-1})_1(d_i)_1d_i^*\alpha_{n-1}^*A \rightarrow (\beta_{n-1})_1\alpha_{n-1}^*A.
\]

To describe the action of \(\varphi\) on \(B_*(\varphi; A)\), let \(g : x \rightarrow x'\) be an arrow in \(G\). The homomorphism \((\underline{1.2})\) induces an obvious map \(B_*(x'/\varphi; \pi_x^*A) \rightarrow B_*(x/\varphi; \pi_x^*A)\) which, via \((\underline{1.3})\), is the action by \(g : B_*(\varphi; A)_{x'} \rightarrow B_*(\varphi; A)_x\).

If \(S\) is a c-soft \(K\)-sheaf, \(\mathcal{L}\varphi_1S\) is defined as the chain complex of \(G\)-sheaves (associated to the simplicial complex) \(B_*(\varphi; S)\). If \(S_\bullet\) is a bounded below chain complex of c-soft \(K\)-sheaves, define \(\mathcal{L}\varphi_1S_\bullet\) as the total complex of \(B_*(\varphi; S_\bullet)\). For an arbitrary \(K\)-sheaf \(A\), \(\mathcal{L}\varphi_1A\) is defined to be \(B_*(\varphi; S^{-*})\) where \(S^*\) is a resolution of \(A\) as in \([3.3]\). More generally, we define \(\mathcal{L}\varphi_1A_\bullet\) for any bounded below chain complex of \(K\)-sheaves using a resolution \(A_\bullet \rightarrow R_\bullet\) as in \([3.3]\). As in the case of homology (cf. \([3.4]\)), we see that \(\mathcal{L}\varphi_1\) is well defined up to quasi-isomorphism; in particular, the “derived functors”:

\[
L_n\varphi_1(-) = H_n(\mathcal{L}\varphi_1(-)) : Ab(K) \rightarrow Ab(G)
\]

are well defined up to isomorphism. For \(n = 0\) we simply denote \(L_0\varphi_1 : Ab(K) \rightarrow Ab(G)\) by \(\varphi_1\).

**Proposition 4.3** For any \(x \in G^{(0)}\), there are isomorphisms:

\[
(L_n\varphi_1(A))_x \cong H_n(x/\varphi; \pi_x^*A) \quad \text{for all } x \in G^{(0)}.
\]

**Proof:** This is an immediate consequence of relation \((\underline{1.3})\), and the fact that \(\alpha_n^*\)’s preserve c-softness since they are induced by étale maps. \(\square\)

**Theorem 4.4** (“Leray-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence”) For any homomorphism \(\varphi : K \rightarrow G\) between étale groupoids and any \(K\)-sheaf \(A\) there is a natural spectral sequence:

\[
E^2_{p,q} = H_p(G; L_q\varphi^*A) \Longrightarrow H_{p+q}(K; A).
\]

**Proof:** The spectral sequence follows from an isomorphism:

\[
\mathfrak{H}^*(G; \mathcal{L}\varphi_1A) \cong H_*(K; A)
\]

and \((\underline{3.10})\) applied to \(\mathcal{L}\varphi_1A\).

To prove \((\underline{15})\) we consider the double complex \(C_{p,q}(A) = B_p(G; B_q(\varphi; A))\) and we show that there are maps \(C_{0,q}(A) \rightarrow B_q(K; A)\), functorial in \(A\), such that the augmented complex

\[
\ldots \rightarrow C_{2,q}(A) \rightarrow C_{1,q}(A) \rightarrow C_{0,q}(A) \rightarrow B_q(K; A) \rightarrow 0
\]

is acyclic for any c-soft \(K\)-sheaf \(A\).

Using the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{K}'(q) \times G^{(q)} & \mathcal{G}'^{(q+1)} & \mathcal{G}'^{(p)} \\
\downarrow \alpha_q & \downarrow \beta_q & \downarrow \tau_p \\
\mathcal{K}'(q) & \mathcal{G}'^{(1)} & \mathcal{G}'^{(0)} \\
\downarrow \tau_q & \downarrow \tau_q & \\
\mathcal{K}'(q) & \mathcal{K}'^{(0)} & \mathcal{K}'^{(0)}
\end{array}
\]


where \(\alpha_q, \beta_q, \tau_q, \tau_p\) are those defined before, \(v, w\) are the projections into the first components, \(u\) is the projection into the last components and \(\gamma_q = wv\), we have by the general properties of the Appendix:

\[
C_{p,q}(A) = \Gamma_c(G^{(p)}; \tau_q^*(\beta_q)\alpha_q^*A) = \Gamma_c(G^{(p)}; u; v^*\alpha_q^*A) = \Gamma_c(K^{(q)} \times G^{(0)}; G^{(p+1)}; v^*\alpha_q^*A) = \Gamma_c(K^{(q)}; (\gamma_q)\gamma_q^*\alpha_q^*A) = \Gamma_c(K^{(q)}; (\gamma_q)\gamma_q^*\tau_q^*A),
\]

\[
B_q(K; A) = \Gamma_c(K^{(q)}; \tau_q^*A).
\]

Via these equalities, the augmented chain complex \([10]\) commes from an augmented simplicial sheaf on \(K^{(q)}\) whose stalk at \(x \in k_1, \ldots, k_e \in y\) has the form:

\[
\ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \leftarrow y} A_x \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \leftarrow y} A_x \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \leftarrow y} A_x \rightarrow A_x.
\]

This is in fact the augmented bar complex computing the homology of the (contractible, discrete) category \(G/\varphi(y)\) with constant coefficients \(A_x\). In particular it is acyclic (with the usual contraction \((f, g_1, \ldots, g_n; a) \mapsto (1, f, g_1, \ldots, g_n; a))\).

\[\square\]

### 4.5 Remarks and examples.

1. The isomorphism \([3]\) is actually a consequence of the quasi-isomorphism \(L\varphi_1 pt_1 = pt_1\) (where \(pt\) is the map into the trivial groupoid); this is a particular case of the naturality property \(L\varphi_1 L\psi_1 = L(\varphi_2 \psi)_1\) (“up to quasi-isomorphism”), which can be proved in an analogous way. Compare to \([3]\).

2. If \(\varphi : K \rightarrow G\) is étale, \(S \in Ab(K)\), then there is no need of c-soft resolutions to define \(L\varphi_1 S\). Indeed, the condition on \(\varphi\) implies that the maps \(\beta_n\) defined in \([2]\) are étale, so there is a quasi-isomorphism \(L\varphi_1 S \simeq B_1(\varphi; S)\).

3. Let \(\varphi : H \rightarrow G\) be a morphism for which all the squares in \([10]\) are pullbacks. Recall that in this case, the functor \((\varphi_0)_1 : Ab(K^{(0)}) \rightarrow Ab(G^{(0)})\) “extends” to a functor \(\varphi_1 : Ab(K) \rightarrow Ab(G)\), making the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Ab(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & Ab(K^{(0)}) \\
\varphi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\varphi_0)_1 \\
Ab(G) & \xrightarrow{\text{forget}} & Ab(G^{(0)})
\end{array}
\]

commute. This simple minded functor of \([3]\) agrees (up to quasi-isomorphism) with the functor \(L\varphi_1\), described in \([2]\). Indeed, for such a morphism \(\varphi\) and a point \(x \in G^{(0)}\) the comma groupoid \(x/\varphi\) is a space (or more precisely, equivalent to the groupoid corresponding to a space, cf. \([3, 1]\)). In this case, the spectral sequence \([4]\) degenerates for c-soft sheaves \(B\) (but not for arbitrary sheaves). If \(\varphi\) is moreover étale, it does always degenerate, and yields the isomorphism already proved in \([3, 7, 3]\).

### Corollary 4.6 ("Morita invariance")

For any Morita equivalence \(\varphi : K \rightarrow G\) and any \(G\)-sheaf \(A\) there is a natural isomorphism

\[H_p(G; A) \simeq H_p(K; \varphi^* A).\]
Proof: Theorem 4.4 gives a spectral sequence \( H_p(G; L_q\varphi^*A) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(K; \varphi^*A) \). By (14) the stalk of \( L_q\varphi^*A \) at a point \( x \in G^{(0)} \) computes the homology of the nerve of \( x/\varphi \). If \( \varphi \) is a Morita equivalence, this nerve is a contractible simplicial set. Thus, the spectral sequence degenerates to give an isomorphism:

\[
H_p(G; L_q\varphi^*A) \cong H_p(K; \varphi^*A).
\]

It thus suffices to observe that the \( G \)-sheaf \( L_q\varphi^*A \) is isomorphic to \( A \) itself. \(\square\)

4.7 Fibered products of groupoids. For homomorphisms \( \varphi: H \to G \) and \( \psi: K \to G \), their fibered product \( H \times_G K \):

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H \times_G K & \xrightarrow{q} & K \\
\downarrow{\varphi} & & \downarrow{\psi} \\
H & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G
\end{array}
\]

is constructed as follows. The space of objects is the space \( H^{(0)} \times_G K^{(0)} \) of triples \((y, g, z)\) where \( y \in H^{(0)}, z \in K^{(0)} \) and \( g : \varphi(y) \to \psi(z) \) in \( G \). An arrow \((y, g, z) \to (y', g', z')\) is a pair of arrows \( h : y \to y' \) in \( H \) and \( k : z \to z' \) in \( K \) such that \( g' \circ \varphi(h) = \psi(k) \circ g \). The groupoid \( H \times_G K \) is again étale if \( G, H, K \) are étale groupoids. This notion of fibered product is the appropriate one for groupoids and (generalized) morphisms described in 1.6 and 1.5. In particular, if \( \psi: K \to G \) is a Morita equivalence, then so is \( p: H \times_G K \to H \).

Proposition 4.8 (Change-of-base formula) Consider a fibered product of étale groupoids as in 4.7. For any \((c\text{-}soft)\) \( K \)-sheaf \( S \), there is a canonical quasi-isomorphism:

\[
\varphi^* L\varphi_!(S) \cong L\varphi^!q^*(S).
\]

Proof: For \( y \in H^{(0)} \), the comma groupoid \( y_0/p \) is Morita equivalent to the comma groupoid \( \varphi_!y_0/\psi \), (by a Morita equivalence \( y_0/p \sim \varphi_!y_0/\psi \) which is continuous in \( y_0 \) and which respects the action by \( H \)). Using this observation, the proposition follows in a straightforward way from 4.6 and 7.1. \(\square\)

4.9 Compactly supported cohomology. It is sometimes more convenient to re-index the homology groups and to see them as compactly supported cohomology groups. Because of this, we define:

\[
H^c_*(G; -) = H_{-n}(G; -)
\]

(which give a precise meaning to “\( H^c_*(BG; A) \)”)

The same applies to the functors \( L_n\varphi_1 \) introduced in this paragraph: if \( \varphi: K \to G \) is a homomorphism, we define \( R^n\varphi_1 := L_{-n}\varphi_1 : Ab(K) \to Ab(G) \).

With these notations, Leray spectral sequence becomes a (cohomological) spectral sequence with \( E_2 \)-term \( H^p(G; R^q\varphi_1A) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(K; A) \). If the fibers \( x/\varphi \) are oriented \( k \)-dimensional manifolds, the transgression of this spectral sequence will give “the integration along the fibers” map:

\[
\int_{\text{fiber}} : H^*_c(G; \mathbb{R}) \to H^*_{c-k}(K; \mathbb{R}).
\]

4.10 Orbifolds. As we have already mentioned in 1.3,5, orbifolds are characterized by étale groupoids which are proper. Let \( G \) be such a groupoid. The “leaf space” \( M \) of \( G \) (i.e. the space obtained from \( G^{(0)} \) dividing out by the equivalence relation \( x \sim y \) iff there is an arrow in \( G \) from \( x \) to \( y \))
into y), will be a Hausdorff space; it is the underlying space of the orbifold induced by \( \mathcal{G} \) (see 29). The obvious projection \( \pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M \) induces a spectral sequence:

\[
H_p(M; L_q\pi_! A) \Longrightarrow H_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}; A),
\]

for any \( A \in Ab(\mathcal{G}) \). The stalk of \( L_q\pi_! \) at \( x \in M \) is:

\[
(L_q\pi_!)_x \cong H_q(G_{\tilde{x}}; A_{\tilde{x}}),
\]

where \( \tilde{x} \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) is any lift of \( x \), and \( G_{\tilde{x}} \) is the (finite) group \( \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)} : s(\gamma) = t(\gamma) = \tilde{x} \} \) (this follows from 1.3 and the Morita equivalence \( x/\pi \sim G_{\tilde{x}} \).

In particular, for \( A \in Mod_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{G}) \), the spectral sequence degenerates and gives an isomorphism:

\[
H_*(\mathcal{G}; A) \cong H_{-*}(M; \pi_! A).
\]

This also shows that the “co-invariants functor”:

\[
\Gamma \mathcal{G}(-) := H_0(\mathcal{G}; -) : Mod_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow Mod_\mathbb{R}
\]

is left exact and that \( H_*(\mathcal{G}; -) \) (see 4.9) are the right derived functors of \( \Gamma \mathcal{G} \).

4.11 Basic cohomology. Let \( \mathcal{G} \) be a smooth étale groupoid. The space \( \Omega^*_c,\text{basic}(\mathcal{G}) \) of compactly supported basic forms is defined as the Cokernel of:

\[
\Omega^*_c(\mathcal{G}^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{d_0 - d_1} \Omega^*_c(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}),
\]

where \( d_0, d_1 \) are the maps coming from the nerve of \( \mathcal{G} \). In other words, \( \Omega^*_c,\text{basic}(\mathcal{G}) = \Gamma \mathcal{G}(\Omega^*) \). The basic compactly supported cohomology of \( \mathcal{G} \), denoted \( H^*_c,\text{basic}(\mathcal{G}) \), is defined as the cohomology of the complex \( \Omega^*_c,\text{basic}(\mathcal{G}) \) (with the differential induced by DeRham differential on \( \Omega^*_c(\mathcal{G}) \)). There is an obvious projection from the reindexed homology (see 4.9):

\[
H_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*_c,\text{basic}(\mathcal{G}),
\]

which is an isomorphism if \( \mathcal{G} \) is proper (cf. 4.10). In this case we also have:

\[
\Omega^*_c,\text{basic}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \{ \omega \in \Omega^*(\mathcal{G}^{(0)}) : \omega \text{ is } \mathcal{G} \text{-invariant, and } \pi(\text{supp } \omega) \text{ is compact in } M \}
\]

(where \( \pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M \) is the projection considered in 1.10). This map associates to \( \omega \in \Omega^*_c,\text{basic}(\mathcal{G}) \) the \( \mathcal{G} \)-invariant form \( \tilde{\omega} \) on \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \), given by:

\[
\tilde{\omega}(x) = \sum_{x \xrightarrow{g} y} \omega(y)g.
\]

5 Verdier duality

In this section all sheaves are sheaves of \( \mathbb{R} \)-modules, i.e. real vector spaces (we can actually use any field of characteristic 0), and \( Hom \) and \( \otimes \) are all over \( \mathbb{R} \). We will establish a Verdier type duality for the functor \( \mathcal{L}\varphi^! \) (i.e. \( \varphi^! \) viewed at the level of the derived categories) and an associated functor \( \varphi^* \) to be described, by extending one of the standard treatments [23] to étale groupoids. (But our presentation is self-contained.) As a special case, we will obtain a Poincaré duality between the (Haefliger) cohomology of étale groupoids described in Section 2 and the homology theory (Section 4).
5.1 Tensor products. As a preliminary remark, we observe the following properties of tensor products over \( \mathbb{R} \). First, if \( \mathcal{A} \) is a c-soft sheaf on a space \( Y \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) is any other sheaf, the tensor product \( \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \) is again c-soft. Moreover, for the constant sheaf associated to a vector space \( V \) we have \( \Gamma_c(Y; \mathcal{A} \otimes V) = \Gamma_c(Y; \mathcal{A}) \otimes V \) (cf. (76)). It follows by comparing the stalks that for a map \( f : Y \to X \), also:

\[
f_!(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) = f_!(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}
\]

for any sheaf \( \mathcal{B} \) on \( X \) (see \ref{def:tensor}). These properties extend to a morphism \( \varphi : \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{G} \) of \( \acute{e}tale \) groupoids: for a c-soft \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) and any \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{B} \), there is an isomorphism:

\[
\varphi_!(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) = \varphi_!(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}.
\]

5.2 The sheaves \( \mathbb{R}[V] \). Let us fix an \( \acute{e}tale \) groupoid \( \mathcal{K} \). Any open set \( V \subset \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \) gives a free \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf (see \ref{def: étale sheaf}) of sets \( \tilde{V} \), given by the \( \acute{e}tale \) map \( s : t^{-1}(V) \to \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \) and the \( \mathcal{K} \)-action defined by composition. Let \( \mathbb{R}[V] \) be the free \( \mathbb{R} \)-module on this \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf \( \tilde{V} \). So \( \mathbb{R}[V] \) is a \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf of vector spaces, and for any other such \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf \( \mathcal{B} \) we have:

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}\text{-s}}(\mathbb{R}[V], \mathcal{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}\text{-s}}(\tilde{V}; \mathcal{B}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}^{(0)}\text{-s}}(V, \mathcal{B}) = \Gamma(V; \mathcal{B})
\]

(These four occurrences of \( \mathcal{B} \) denote \( \mathcal{B} \) as a \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf of vector spaces, as a \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf of sets, and (twice) as a sheaf on \( \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \), respectively.)

There is a natural morphism:

\[
e = e_V : \mathcal{K}/V \to \mathcal{K}
\]

of \( \acute{e}tale \) groupoids (of the kind described in \ref{def: étale groupoid}), and \( \mathbb{R}[V] \) can also be obtained from the constant sheaf \( \mathbb{R} \) on \( \mathcal{K}/V \) as:

\[
\mathbb{R}[V] = e_!(\mathbb{R}).
\]

From this point of view, the mapping properties \( \ref{def: tensor} \) follow by the adjunction between \( e_! \) and \( e^* \), together with the Morita equivalence \( \mathcal{K}/V \cong V \) (where \( V \) is viewed as a trivial groupoid, \ref{def: trivial groupoid}).

If \( V, W \subset \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \) are open sets and \( \sigma : V \to \mathcal{K}^{(1)} \) is a section of \( s : \mathcal{K}^{(1)} \to \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \) such that \( t \sigma(V) \subset W \), then composition with \( \sigma \) gives a morphism \( \mathbb{R}[V] \to \mathbb{R}[W] \). In this sense, the construction is functorial in \( V \).

**Lemma 5.3** For any \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf of vector spaces \( \mathcal{A} \) there is an exact sequence of the form:

\[
\bigoplus_j \mathbb{R} [V_j] \to \bigoplus_i \mathbb{R} [V_i] \to \mathcal{A} \to 0.
\]

**Proof:** It suffices to prove that any \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf can be covered by \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaves of the form \( \mathbb{R}[V] \), and this is clear from \( \ref{def: tensor} \). \( \square \)

5.4 The sheaves \( \mathcal{S}_V \). Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be any c-soft \( \mathcal{K} \)-sheaf. We write \( \mathcal{S}_V \) for the sheaf \( \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathbb{R}[V] \). Note that:

\[
\mathcal{S}_V = \mathcal{S} \otimes e_!(\mathbb{R}) = e_!(e^*(\mathcal{S}) \otimes \mathbb{R}) = e_!e^*(\mathcal{S})
\]

(see \( \ref{def: tensor} \)). In particular, \( \mathcal{S}_V \) is again c-soft, and has the following mapping properties:

\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{S}_V, \mathcal{A}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{R}[V], \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})) = \Gamma(V, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})) = \text{Hom}_V(\mathcal{S}|_V, \mathcal{A}|_V).
\]

(21)
Now suppose $V = \bigcup V_i$ is an open cover. We claim that the associated sequence

$$\ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus S_{V_{i_1}} \rightarrow \bigoplus S_{V_i} \rightarrow S_V \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. To see this, it suffices to prove that the sequence obtained by homming into any injective $K$-sheaf $T$,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(S_V, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(\bigoplus S_{V_i}, T) \rightarrow \ldots$$

is exact. This is clear from the mapping properties \[\text{(21)}\].

5.5 The sheaves $\varphi_!(S_V)$. From now on let $\varphi : K \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism between étale groupoids. For an open set $V \subset K^{(0)}$, $\varphi$ induces a map $\varphi_V : V \rightarrow G$, which fits into a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
V & \xrightarrow{i} & K/V \\
\varphi_V \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\
G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K,
\end{array}$$

(where $i$ is the canonical Morita equivalence). Thus, for any c-soft $K$-sheaf $S$, we have:

$$\varphi_!(S_V) = \varphi_! e!*S = (\varphi_V)_!(S_V).$$

(23)

Notice that the groupoid $x/\varphi_V$ is a space [1.3.3] for any object $x \in G^{(0)}$; this and the general description of $\varphi_!$ (see [4]) give a simple description of the stalks of $\varphi_!(S_V)$. It follows from this description and the corresponding fact for spaces that $\varphi_!$ maps the exact sequence (22) into an exact sequence:

$$\ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus \varphi_!(S_{V_{i_1}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus \varphi_!(S_{V_i}) \rightarrow \varphi_!(S_V) \rightarrow 0.$$  

(24)

5.6 The $K$-sheaves $\varphi^!(S, T)$. Again, let $\varphi : K \rightarrow G$ be any homomorphism between étale groupoids, let $S$ be a c-soft $K$-sheaf, and let $T$ be an injective $G$-sheaf. Define for each open set $V \subset K^{(0)}$:

$$\varphi^!(S, T)(V) = \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(S_V), T).$$

We claim that this defines a sheaf $\varphi^!(S, T)$ on $K^{(0)}$. Indeed, for an inclusion $V \subset W$ there is an evident map $\varphi^!(S, T)(W) \rightarrow \varphi^!(S, T)(V)$ induced by the map $S_V \rightarrow S_W$. And for a covering $V = \bigcup V_i$, the sheaf property follows from the injectivity of $T$ together with the exact sequence \[\text{(24)}\]. Furthermore, this sheaf $\varphi^!(S, T)$ carries a natural $K$-action: for any arrow $k : y \rightarrow z$ in $K$, let $W_y$ and $W_z$ be neighborhoods of $y$ and $z$ so small that $s : K^{(1)} \rightarrow K^{(0)}$ has a section $\sigma$ through $k$ with $t \sigma : W_y \rightarrow W_z$. Then $\sigma$ gives a map $R[W_y] \rightarrow R[W_z]$ and hence $S_{W_y} \rightarrow S_{W_z}$. By composition, one obtains a map $\varphi^!(S, T)(W_z) \rightarrow \varphi^!(S, T)(W_y)$, and hence by taking germs an action $(-) \cdot k : \varphi^!(S, T)_z \rightarrow \varphi^!(S, T)_y$.

Proposition 5.7 (Duality formula) Let $\varphi : K \rightarrow G$ be a morphism of étale groupoids. For any injective $G$-sheaf $T$, any c-soft $K$-sheaf $S$ and any other $K$-sheaf $A$, there is a natural isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$\text{Hom}_K(A, \varphi^!(S, T)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(A \otimes S), T).$$

In particular, $\varphi^!(S, T)$ is again injective.
Proof: By [5.3] and the fact that $\varphi_!$ is right exact on sequences of c-soft sheaves, it suffices to define a natural isomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}_K(\mathbb{R}[V], \varphi_!(S, T)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(\mathbb{R}[V] \otimes S), T).$$

But, using (18) and the definitions:

$$\text{Hom}_K(\mathbb{R}[V], \varphi_!(S, T)) \cong \Gamma(V, \varphi_!(S, T)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(S_V), T) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(\mathbb{R}[V] \otimes S), T).$$

As for spaces [23], one can state and prove a somewhat stronger version of [5.7] using the “internal hom” (see 2.11):

**Proposition 5.8** (Duality formula, strong form) For any $\varphi, A, S$ and $T$ as in 5.4 there is a natural isomorphism of $G$-sheaves

$$\varphi_! \text{Hom}_K(A, \varphi_!(S, T)) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(A \otimes S), T).$$

Proof: It suffices to prove that for any $G$-sheaf $B$ there is an isomorphism:

$$\text{Hom}_G(B, \text{Hom}_K(A, \varphi_!(S, T))) \cong \text{Hom}_G(B, \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(A \otimes S), T)),$$

natural in $B$. This is immediate from 5.3 and 6.1.

$$\text{Hom}_G(B, \varphi_! \text{Hom}_K(A, \varphi_!(S, T))) = \text{Hom}_K(\varphi^*B, \text{Hom}_K(A, \varphi_!(S, T)))$$

$$= \text{Hom}_K(\varphi^*(B) \otimes A, \varphi_!(S, T))$$

$$= \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(\varphi^*(B) \otimes A \otimes S), T)$$

$$= \text{Hom}_G(B \otimes \varphi_!(A \otimes S), T).$$

**5.9 Remark.** Let $\varphi : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an étale morphism such that each of the squares in [10] is a pull-back. Thus $\mathcal{K} = E \times \mathcal{G}$ for some étale $G$-space $E$. Then $\varphi_!$ has a simple description as in (5.7), and is left adjoint to $\varphi^*$. Thus:

$$\text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!(A \otimes S), T) = \text{Hom}_K(A \otimes S, \varphi^*T) = \text{Hom}_K(A, \text{Hom}_K(S, \varphi^*T)).$$

Since this holds for any $A$, proposition 5.7 implies that for such a $\varphi$,

$$\varphi_!(S, T) = \text{Hom}_K(S, \varphi^*T) \quad ( = \text{Hom}_G(\varphi_!S, T).$$

**5.10 Duality for complexes.** We now extend these isomorphisms to (co-) chain complexes. It will be convenient to work with chain complexes for $A$ and $S$ and cochain complexes for $T$ in [5.4] [7.8]. Thus, we will use the following convention: if $A$ is a chain complex and $B$ is a cochain complex, $\text{Hom}(A, B)$ is the cochain complex defined by:

$$\text{Hom}(A, B)^n = \prod_{p+q=n} \text{Hom}(A_p, B^q).$$

Recall for later use that if $B^*$ is injective and bounded below, then for any quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes $A_* \rightarrow C_*$ the map $\text{Hom}(A_*, B^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C_*, B^*)$ is again a quasi-isomorphism (by a standard “mapping cone” argument it is enough to prove the assertion for $C_* = 0$; in this case remark that $\text{Hom}(A_*, B^*)$ is the total complex of a double cochain complex whose rows $\text{Hom}(A_*, B^p)$
are acyclic by the injectivity of $B'$. 

Similarly, for a bounded below chain complex $S_\bullet$ of sheaves as in 5.7 we define the cochain complex $\varphi^! (S_\bullet, T^\bullet)$ by:

$$\varphi^! (S_\bullet, T^\bullet) = \prod_{p+q=n} \varphi^! (S_p, T^q).$$

With these conventions, 5.7 gives an isomorphism of cochain complexes

$$Hom_K(A_\bullet, \varphi^! (S_\bullet, T^\bullet)) \cong Hom_G(\varphi_1 (A_\bullet \otimes S_\bullet), T^\bullet),$$

for any cochain complex $T^\bullet$ of injective $G$-sheaves, and any bounded below chain complexes $A_\bullet$ and $S_\bullet$ of $K$-sheaves with $S_\bullet$ c-soft. There is also an obvious “strong” version of (25):

$$\varphi_2 Hom_K(A_\bullet, \varphi^! (S_\bullet, T^\bullet)) \cong Hom_G(\varphi_1 (A_\bullet \otimes S_\bullet), T^\bullet).$$

5.11 The functor $\varphi^! (T^\bullet)$. Now let $d = \text{cohdim} (K^{(0)})$, and fix a resolution:

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to S^0 \to \ldots \to S^d \to 0$$

of the constant sheaf $\mathbb{R}$ by c-soft $K$-sheaves (cf. 3.3). For a cochain complex $T^\bullet$ of injective $G$-sheaves define:

$$\varphi^1 (T^\bullet) = \varphi^! (S^{-\bullet}, T^\bullet).$$

Then $\varphi^1$ is adjoint to $\varphi_1$ in the derived category:

Theorem 5.12 (Adjointness) Let $\varphi : K \to G$ be a morphism between étale groupoids. For any bounded below chain complex $A_\bullet$ of c-soft $K$-sheaves and any bounded below cochain complex $T^\bullet$ of injective $G$-sheaves there is a natural quasi-isomorphism:

$$Hom_K(A_\bullet, \varphi^1 (T^\bullet)) \simeq Hom_G(L\varphi_1 (A_\bullet), T^\bullet).$$

(there is also a “strong” version derived from 5.8).

Proof: Denote by $F_\bullet$ the free resolution of the constant sheaf obtained by tensoring $K$ (for $K$ instead of $G$) by $\mathbb{R}$. Since $A_\bullet \otimes F_\bullet \to A_\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism, using (25) with $A_\bullet \otimes F_\bullet$ instead of $A_\bullet$ and $S_\bullet = S^{-\bullet}$, the fact that $\varphi^1 (T^\bullet)$ are injective (cf. 5.7) and the general remark in 5.10 we get a quasi-isomorphism:

$$Hom_K(A_\bullet, \varphi^! (S_\bullet, T^\bullet)) \simeq Hom_G(\varphi_1 (A_\bullet \otimes F_\bullet \otimes S_\bullet), T^\bullet).$$

Remark that for any bounded below chain complex $B_\bullet$ of c-soft $K$-sheaves we have a quasi-isomorphism followed by an isomorphism: $L\varphi_1 B_\bullet \simeq L\varphi_1 (B_\bullet \otimes F_\bullet) = \varphi_1 (B_\bullet \otimes F_\bullet)$. This for $B_\bullet = A_\bullet \otimes S_\bullet$ and the quasi-isomorphism $A_\bullet \simeq A_\bullet \otimes S_\bullet$ give $L\varphi_1 A_\bullet \simeq \varphi_1 (A_\bullet \otimes F_\bullet \otimes S_\bullet)$. Using this, the fact that $T^\bullet$'s are injective and (26), the statement of the theorem follows easily.

Poincaré duality follows in the usual way:

Corollary 5.13 (Poincaré duality) Let $K$ be an étale groupoid, and suppose that $K^{(0)}$ is a topological manifold of dimension $d$. Let $or$ be the orientation $K$-sheaf (2.4, 6). There is a natural isomorphism:

$$H^{d+p}(K; or) \cong H_p(K; \mathbb{R})^\vee \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
Proof: Let $\mathcal{G} = 1$ be the trivial groupoid. In 5.12, let $T^\bullet$ be the complex $\mathbb{R}$ concentrated in degree $-p$, and let $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ be the complex $A_i = S^{-i}$ (as in 5.11). As $\mathcal{A}_\bullet$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, the complex on the right of the quasi-isomorphism in 5.12 has:

$$H^0(\text{Hom}(\varphi^!(A_\bullet), T^\bullet)) = H_p(K; \mathbb{R})^\vee.$$ 

Now consider the left hand side of the quasi-isomorphism of 5.12, $\text{Hom}(A_\bullet, \varphi^!(T^\bullet))$ in this special case. Note first that $\varphi^!(\mathbb{R})$ is quasi-isomorphic to the orientation sheaf concentrated in degree $-d$:

$$\varphi^!(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathcal{O} [d]. \quad (27)$$

Indeed, for any open set $V \subset \mathcal{K}^{(0)}$, $\varphi^!(\mathbb{R})(V) = \text{Hom}(\Gamma_c(V; S^{-\bullet}); \mathbb{R})$ (see 23) is the complex which computes $H_{c,-}^{-\bullet}(V; \mathbb{R})^\vee$, and the argument for (27) is just like the one for spaces. Thus, for $T^\bullet = \mathbb{R}[p]$ and $A_i = S^{-i} \simeq \mathbb{R}[0]$, we have:

$$H^0(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(A_\bullet, \varphi^!(T^\bullet))) = H^0(\Gamma_{\text{inv}}(\mathcal{K}, \varphi^!(T^\bullet))) = H^{p+d}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}). \quad \Box$$

6 Relation to cyclic homology

In 14 the homology of étale groupoids and the Leray spectral sequence (paragraph 5) are the main tools in dealing with cyclic homology of étale groupoids. We shall give here an overview of the main results in 14 expressing the cyclic homology of (the convolution algebra of) an étale groupoid in terms of the homology of étale categories. For instance 6.10 generalizes the previous results of Connes (for $\mathcal{G}$ a space, 13), Burghelea and Karoubi (for $\mathcal{G}$ a group), Brylinski and Nistor (for $\mathcal{G}$ a separated étale groupoid, 7), Feigin and Tsygan (15), Nistor (16). In this section, all sheaves are sheaves of vector spaces, and we work in the category of smooth étale groupoids.

6.1 Mixed complexes of sheaves. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an étale groupoid. By a mixed complex of $\mathcal{G}$-sheaves we mean a mixed complex $(A_\bullet, b, B)$ in the category $\underline{Ab}(\mathcal{G})$. This means a family $\{A_n : n \geq 0\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$-sheaves equipped with maps of degree $-1$, $b : A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1}$ and maps of degree $+1$, $B : A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$, such that $b^2 = B^2 = (b + B)^2 = 0$. For the general notions and constructions concerning mixed complexes in any abelian category see 22. Recall that any such mixed complex $(A_\bullet, b, B)$ gives rise to a double complex $B(A)$ in $\underline{Ab}(\mathcal{G})$, hence the Hochschild and cyclic homology sheaves are defined (see also 3.6 in 14):

$$\widehat{HH}_*(A_\bullet), \widehat{HC}_*(A_\bullet) \in \underline{Ab}(\mathcal{G}).$$

The Hochschild and cyclic (hyper-) homology of the mixed complex $(A_\bullet, b, B)$, denoted $HH_*(\mathcal{G}; A)$, $HC_*(\mathcal{G}; A)$ are defined as the hyperhomology of the complexes of $\mathcal{G}$-sheaves $(A_\bullet, b)$ and $Tot(B(A))$, respectively (compare to 24, 25). From 3.10 we get two spectral sequences with $E^2$-terms:

$$HH_p(\mathcal{G}; \widehat{HH}_q(A_\bullet)) \Rightarrow HH_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad HC_p(\mathcal{G}; \widehat{HC}_q(A_\bullet)) \Rightarrow HC_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet).$$

The spectral sequences of the double complex $B_\bullet(\mathcal{G}; T_\bullet)$ where $T_\bullet = (A_\bullet, b)$ or $Tot(B(A))$ give two spectral sequences with $E^2$-terms:

$$HH_p(H_q(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet)) \Rightarrow HH_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet) \quad \text{and} \quad HC_p(H_q(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet)) \Rightarrow HC_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet).$$

Also from 6.6 we get the SBI sequence relating $HH_*(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet)$ and $HC_*(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet)$. The periodic cyclic homology is defined (as “usual”) as $\lim_S HC_*(\mathcal{G}; A_\bullet)$. 


6.2 Cyclic \(\mathcal{G}\)-sheaves. It is well known (and it is a motivating example) that any cyclic object in an abelian category gives rise to a mixed complex (\cite{20}). In particular, any cyclic \(\mathcal{G}\)-sheaf \(A_c\), (i.e. a contravariant functor \(A \to \text{Ab}(\mathcal{G})\) from Connes’ category \(A\) (\cite{11}), induces a mixed complex of \(\mathcal{G}\)-sheaves. The corresponding homologies are still denoted \(\widetilde{HH}_*(A_c), \widetilde{HC}_*(A_c), HH_*(\mathcal{G}; A_c), HC_*(\mathcal{G}; A_c)\).

A basic example of a cyclic \(\mathcal{G}\)-sheaf for a smooth étale groupoid is \(C^\infty\) defined by \(C^\infty(\mathcal{G})\) (the pullback of the sheaf of smooth functions on \((\mathcal{G}^{(0)})^{n+1}\) along the diagonal embedding \(\delta_n : \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \to (\mathcal{G}^{(0)})^{n+1}\), with the cyclic structure described as follow. At \(c \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\), the stalk of \(C^\infty(\mathcal{G})\) is the vector space of germs \(f(x_0, \ldots, x_n)\) of smooth functions defined for \(x_0, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\) around \(c\), and:

\[
(d_i f)(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} f(x_0, \ldots, x_i, x_i, \ldots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n - 1, \\ f(x_{n-1}, x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = n \\ \end{cases}
\]

\[
(t_n f)(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_0, x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}).
\]

Using the quasi-isomorphism \((C^\infty, b) \simeq (\Omega^*, 0)\) which appears in the work of Connes (see also lemma 3.5 in \cite{14}), we get:

\[
\widetilde{HH}_n(\mathcal{G}; C^\infty) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(\mathcal{G}; \Omega^q),
\]

\[
\widetilde{HP}_i(\mathcal{G}; C^\infty) = \prod_k H_{i+2k}(\mathcal{G}), \quad i \in \{0, 1\}.
\]

6.3 Cyclic homology of the convolution algebra. The convolution algebra of a smooth étale groupoid \(\mathcal{G}\) was used by Connes as a non-commutative model for the “leaf space” of \(\mathcal{G}\). When \(\mathcal{G}\) is Hausdorff, \(C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G})\) is the (locally convex) algebra of compactly supported smooth functions on \(\mathcal{G}^{(1)}\), with the convolution product \((u \ast v)(g) = \sum_{g_1, g_2 = g} u(g_1) v(g_2)\). Its (continuous) Hochschild and cyclic homology are computed by the cyclic vector space \(C^\infty(\mathcal{G})^\natural\) with \(C^\infty(\mathcal{G})^\natural = C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G})^\natural(n+1) \cong C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}^{(n+1)})\) (here \(\natural\) denotes the projective tensor product and the last isomorphism is an algebraic one, see \cite{17}).

Using the functor \(\Gamma_c\) described in the Appendix, the definition of the convolution algebra \(C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G})\) extends to the non-Hausdorff case (see 3.31 and 3.32.2 in \cite{14}). It also becomes clear that the (continuous version of the) Hochschild, cyclic and periodic cyclic homology of this algebra should be defined using the cyclic vector space \(C^\infty(\mathcal{G})^\natural\) with \(C^\infty(\mathcal{G})^\natural = C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G}^{(n+1)})\) (and the usual cyclic structure). In this way, the Chern-Connes-Karoubi character \(Ch : K_i(C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G})) \to \widetilde{HP}_i(C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G})), \quad i \in \{0, 1\}\), extends to the non-Hausdorff case.

6.4 The Hochschild homology of \(C_c^\infty(\mathcal{G})\). For \(\mathcal{G}\) as before (not necessarily Hausdorff), we introduce the groupoid of loops \(\Omega(\mathcal{G}) = B^{(0)} \to \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\) (see \cite{14} 6), where \(B^{(0)} = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}^{(1)} : s(\gamma) = t(\gamma)\}\) is the space of loops with the \(\mathcal{G}\)-action given by conjugation \((\gamma, g) \to g^{-1}\gamma g\).

There is a (simplicial) complex \(C^\infty_{tw}(\mathcal{G})\) of \(\mathcal{G}\)-soft \(\Omega(\mathcal{G})\)-sheaves, which is obtained by twisting \(C^\infty\) (see \cite{12}) by loops. More precisely, \(C^\infty_{tw}(n) = s^*C^\infty(n)\) (where \(s : B^{(0)} \to \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\) denotes the restriction to \(B^{(0)}\) of the source map), with the twisted boundaries \(d_i^{tw}\) defined as follows. At \(\gamma \in B^{(0)}\), the stalk of \(C^\infty_{tw}(n)\) is the vector space of germs \(f(x_0, \ldots, x_n)\) of smooth functions defined for \(x_0, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{G}^{(0)}\) around \(s(\gamma)\), and:

\[
(d_i^{tw} f)(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} f(x_0, \ldots, x_i, x_i, \ldots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq n - 1, \\ f(x_{n-1}, x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) & \text{if } i = n \\ \end{cases}
\]

The following is a reformulation of 4.1, 3.36 in \cite{14}.
Proposition 6.5 For any smooth étale groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \), there is a natural isomorphism:
\[
\text{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{G})) = \mathbb{H}_*(\Omega(\mathcal{G}); \mathcal{C}^\infty_{tw}).
\]

Recall that \( \Lambda_\infty \) is the category defined in the same way as \( \Lambda \), except that the cyclic relation \( (t_n)^{n+1} = 1 \) is omitted ([15]). Remark that \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_{tw} \) actually has a \( \Lambda_\infty \) structure given by the boundaries \( d_{tw} \) just described and the cyclic action:
\[
(t_n f)(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = f(\gamma(x_n), x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}).
\]
In other words, \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_{tw} \) can be viewed as a contravariant functor \( \Lambda_\infty \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\Omega(\mathcal{G})) \), or, equivalently, as a \( \Lambda_\infty \times \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \)-sheaf. The previous proposition becomes:
\[
\text{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{G})) = \mathbb{H}_*(\Lambda_\infty \times \Omega(\mathcal{G}); \mathcal{C}^\infty_{tw}).
\]

(28)

6.6 The cyclic homology of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{G}) \). We relate now \( HC_*(\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{G})) \) to the homology of an étale category. Remark that:
\[
((t_n)^{n+1} f)(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = f(\gamma(x_n), \gamma(x_0), \ldots, \gamma(x_{n-1}))
\]
which shows that \( \mathcal{C}^\infty_{tw} \) is in fact a \( \Lambda_\infty \Lambda \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \)-sheaf, where \( \Lambda_\infty \Lambda \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \) is the étale category obtained from \( \Lambda_\infty \times \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \) by imposing the relations \( (t_n^{n+1}, id_\gamma) = (id[n], \gamma) \), for all \( \gamma \in B^0, n \geq 0 \). A reformulation of 4.1, 3.36 and 3.20 in [14] is:

Proposition 6.7 For any smooth étale groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \), there is a natural isomorphism:
\[
HC_*(\mathcal{C}^\infty_c(\mathcal{G})) = H_*(\Lambda_\infty \Lambda \Omega(\mathcal{G}); \mathcal{C}^\infty_{tw}).
\]

We remark that the SBI sequence can be described (via the isomorphisms (28), and the one of \( \mathcal{L}_G \) as the Gysin-type long exact sequence arising from the Leray spectral sequence applied to the obvious projection map \( \Lambda_\infty \Lambda \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty \Lambda \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \). Note that we have tacitly made use of the extension of homology for étale groupoids to étale categories (cf. [11]).

6.8 Remark. A Morita equivalence \( \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \) induces Morita a equivalence \( \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{K}) \), hence the Morita invariance for homology [14] implies the Morita invariance of the Hochschild and cyclic homology of the smooth convolution algebras.

6.9 Localization. Remark that \( \mathcal{G} \subset \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \) as units, so we recover \( \text{HH}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{C}^\infty) \) as “localization at units”:
\[
\text{HH}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathcal{C}^\infty) = \text{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))[1].
\]
The isomorphisms described in 6.3 will give:
\[
\text{HH}_n(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))[1] = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(\mathcal{G}; \Omega^q)
\]
where \( \Omega^q \) is the \( \mathcal{G} \)-sheaf of \( q \)-forms) and also (Theorem 4.3 in [14]):

Proposition 6.10 For any smooth étale groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \), there is a natural isomorphism:
\[
HP_i(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G}))[1] = \prod_k H_{i+2k}(\mathcal{G}) , i \in \{0, 1\}.
\]
More generally, any \( G \)-invariant subspace \( \mathcal{O} \subset B^{(0)} \) defines a groupoid \( \Omega_\mathcal{O}(G) = \mathcal{O} \rtimes G^{(0)} \subset \Omega(G) \) and the localized Hochschild and cyclic homology (indicated by the subscript \( \mathcal{O} \)). When \( \mathcal{O} \) is elliptic (i.e. \( \text{ord}(\gamma) < \infty \), for all \( \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \)), it is shown in \( \text{[24]} \) (Theorem 4.4) that:

\[
HP_i(C^\infty_c(\mathcal{O})) = \prod_k H_{i+2k}(\Omega_\mathcal{O}(G)) , \quad i \in \{0,1\}.
\]

6.11 The case of orbifolds. Let \( \mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{U}) \) be an orbifold (\( M \) is the underlying topological space, \( \mathcal{U} \) an orbifold atlas). Due to remark 6.8, the Hochschild, cyclic and periodic cyclic homologies do not depend on the representation of the orbifold \( \mathcal{M} \) by a smooth proper étale groupoid. We simply denote these homologies by \( HH_*(\mathcal{M}), HC_*(\mathcal{M}), HP_*(\mathcal{M}) \).

Note that for any representation of \( \mathcal{M} \) by a proper étale groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \), the loop groupoid \( \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \) is again a proper étale groupoid. Denote by \( \Omega(\mathcal{M}) \) the underlying space of the orbifold induced by \( \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \) (i.e. \( \Omega(\mathcal{M}) \) is the leaf space of \( \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \)). This space can be constructed directly by using an orbifold atlas for \( \mathcal{M} \); it was introduced in this way in \( \text{[24]} \) it serves there for the definition of a geometric Chern character, needed in the formulation of the index theorem for orbifold (from this point of view, the next proposition explains this choice). Alternatively, representing \( \mathcal{M} \) as a quotient \( N/L \), where \( L \) is a compact Lie group acting on \( M \), with finite stabilizers (see \( \text{[20]} \)), then:

\[
\Omega(\mathcal{M}) = \tilde{N}/L,
\]

where \( \tilde{N} = \{ (x, \gamma) \in M \times L : x\gamma = x \} \) is Brylinski’s space, with the \( L \)-action \( x, \gamma g = (xg, g^{-1}\gamma g) \).

Then \( \text{[17]} \) applied to \( \Omega(\mathcal{G}) \), and \( \text{[10]} \), give the following result which also makes the connection with Kawasaki’s definition of the Chern character for orbifolds \( \text{[27]} \):

**Proposition 6.12** For any orbifold \( \mathcal{M} \):

\[
HP_*(\mathcal{M}) = \prod_k H_{i+2k}(\Omega(\mathcal{M})) , \quad i \in \{0,1\}.
\]

7 Appendix: Compact supports in non-Hausdorff spaces

In this appendix we explain how the usual notions concerning compactness and sheaves on Hausdorff spaces extend to our more general context (see \( \text{[18]} \)). For basic definitions and facts for sheaves on Hausdorff spaces, we refer the reader to any of the standard sources \( \text{[16, 23, 5]} \).

7.1 c-soft sheaves. Let \( X \) be a space satisfying the general assumptions in \( \text{[18]} \). An abelian sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) on \( X \) is said to be c-soft if for any Hausdorff open \( U \subset X \) its restriction \( \mathcal{A}|_U \) is a c-soft sheaf on \( U \) in the usual sense. By the same property for Hausdorff spaces, it follows that c-softness is a local property, i.e., a sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) is c-soft iff there is an open cover \( X = \bigcup U_i \) such that each \( \mathcal{A}|_{U_i} \) is a c-soft sheaf on \( \mathcal{A} \).

7.2 The functor \( \Gamma_c \). Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a c-soft sheaf on \( X \) and let \( \mathcal{A}' \) be its Godement resolution (i.e. \( \mathcal{A}'(U) = \Gamma(U_{\text{disc}}, \mathcal{A}) \) is the set of all (not necessarily continuous) sections, for any open \( U \subset X \)). For any Hausdorff open set \( W \subset X \), let \( \Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{A}) \) be the usual set of compactly supported sections. If \( W \subset U \), there is an evident homomorphism, “extension by 0” \( \Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{A}) \to \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{A}) \subset \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}') \).

For any (not necessarily Hausdorff) open set \( U \subset X \), we define \( \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{A}) \) to be the image of the map:

\[
\bigoplus_W \Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{A}) \to \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}'),
\]
where $W$ ranges over all Hausdorff open subsets $W \subset U$.

Observe that $\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{A})$ so defined is evidently functorial in $\mathcal{A}$, and that for any inclusion $U \subset U'$ we have an "extension by zero" monomorphism:

$$\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(U', \mathcal{A}).$$

The following lemma shows that in the definition of $\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{A})$ it is enough to let $W$ range over a Hausdorff open cover of $U$; in particular, it shows that the definition agrees with the usual one if $U$ itself is Hausdorff.

**Lemma 7.3** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $c$-soft sheaf on $X$. For any open cover $U = \bigcup W_i$ where each $W_i$ is Hausdorff, the sequence:

$$\bigoplus_i \Gamma_c(W_i, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

**Proof:** It suffices to show that for any Hausdorff open $W \subset U$, the map $\bigoplus_i \Gamma_c(W \cap W_i, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{A})$ is surjective. This is well known (see e.g. [16]). □

This lemma is in fact a special case of the following Proposition ("Mayer-Vietoris"):

**Proposition 7.4** Let $X = \bigcup U_i$ be an open cover indexed by an ordered set $I$, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $c$-soft sheaf on $X$. Then there is a long exact sequence:

$$\ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1} \Gamma_c(U_{i_0 i_1}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0} \Gamma_c(U_{i_0}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (31)$$

Here $U_{i_0 \ldots i_n} = U_{i_0} \cap \ldots \cap U_{i_n}$, as usual. (There is of course a similar exact sequence if $I$ is not ordered.)

**Proof:** The proposition is of course well known in the case where $X$ is a paracompact Hausdorff space. We first reduce the proof to the case where each of the $U_i$ is Hausdorff, as follows. Let $X = \bigcup_{j \in J} W_j$ be a cover by Hausdorff open sets, and consider the double complex:

$$C_{p,q} = \bigoplus \Gamma_c(W_{j_0 \ldots j_p} \cap U_{i_0 \ldots i_q}, \mathcal{A}),$$

where the sum is over all $j_0 < \ldots < j_p$, $i_0 < \ldots < i_q$. For a fixed $p \geq 0$, the column $C_{p,*}$ is a sum of exact Mayer-Vietoris sequences for the Hausdorff open sets $W_{j_0 \ldots j_p}$, augmented by $C_{p,-1} = \bigoplus_{j_0 < \ldots < j_p} \Gamma_c(W_{j_0 \ldots j_p}, \mathcal{A})$. Keeping the notation $U_{i_0 \ldots i_q} = X = W_{j_0 \ldots j_p}$ if $q = -1 = p$, we observe that for a fixed $q \geq -1$, the row $C_{*,q}$ is a sum of Mayer-Vietoris sequences for the spaces $U_{i_0 \ldots i_q}$ with respect to the open covers $\{W_j \cap U_{i_0 \ldots i_q}\}$. So, if the proposition would hold for covers by Hausdorff sets, each row $C_{*,q}$ $(q \geq -1)$ is also exact. By a standard double complex argument it follows that the augmentation column $C_{-1,*}$ is also exact, and this column is precisely the sequence in the statement of the proposition. This shows that it suffices to show the proposition in the special case where each $U_i$ is Hausdorff.

So assume each $U_i \subset X$ is Hausdorff. Observe first that exactness of the sequence (31) at $\Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{A})$ now follows by Lemma 7.3. To show exactness elsewhere, consider for each finite subset $I_0 \subset I$ the space $U^{I_0} = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} U_i$ and the subsequence:

$$\ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1 \text{ in } I_0} \Gamma_c(U_{i_0 i_1}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 \text{ in } I_0} \Gamma_c(U_{i_0}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(U^{I_0}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (32)$$
of (31). Clearly (31) is the directed union of the sequences of the form (32), where \( I_0 \subset I \) ranges over all finite subsets of \( I \). So exactness of (31) follows from exactness of each such sequence of the form (32). Thus, it remains to prove the proposition in the special case of a finite cover \( \{ U_i \} \) of \( X \) by Hausdorff open sets.

So assume \( X = U_1 \cup \ldots \cup U_n \) where each \( U_i \) is Hausdorff. For \( n = 1 \), there is nothing to prove. For \( n = 2 \), the sequence has the form

\[
0 \to \Gamma_c(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathcal{A}) \to \Gamma_c(U_1, \mathcal{A}) \oplus \Gamma_c(U_2, \mathcal{A}) \to \Gamma_c(U_1 \cup U_2, \mathcal{A}) \to 0.
\]

This sequence is exact at \( \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{A}) \) by (3.3) and evidently exact at other places. Exactness for \( n = 3 \) can be proved using exactness for \( n = 2 \). Indeed, consider the following diagram, whose upper two rows are the sequences for \( n = 2, 3 \) and whose third row is constructed by taking vertical cokernels, so that all columns are exact (we delete the sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) from the notation)(compare to pp. 187 in [3]):

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & \\
0 & \Gamma_c(U_{12}) & \Gamma_c(U_1) \oplus \Gamma_c(U_2) & \Gamma_c(U_1 \cup U_2) & \to 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & \\
\Gamma_c(U_{123}) & \oplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \Gamma_c(U_{ij}) & \Gamma_c(U_1) \oplus \Gamma_c(U_2) \oplus \Gamma_c(U_3) & \Gamma_c(U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3) & \to 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & \\
\Gamma_c(U_{123}) & \Gamma_c(U_{13}) \oplus \Gamma_c(U_{23}) & \Gamma_c(U_3) & \pi & C & \to 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
\]

To show that the middle row is exact, it thus suffices to prove that the lower row is exact. This row can be decomposed into a Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the case \( n = 2 \), already shown to be exact,

\[
0 \to \Gamma_c(U_{123}) \to \Gamma_c(U_{13}) \oplus \Gamma_c(U_{23}) \to \Gamma_c(U_3 \cap (U_1 \cup U_2)) \to 0
\]

and the sequence:

\[
0 \to \Gamma_c(U_3 \cap (U_1 \cup U_2)) \to \Gamma_c(U_3) \to C \to 0.
\]

The exactness of the latter sequence is easily proved by a diagram chase, using exactness of the right-hand column.

An identical argument will show that the exactness for a cover by \( n + 1 \) opens follows from exactness for one by \( n \) opens, so the proof is completed by induction. \( \square \)

Proposition 7.4 is our main tool for transferring standard facts from sheaf theory on Hausdorff spaces to the non-Hausdorff case, as illustrated by the following corollaries.

**Corollary 7.5** Let \( Y \subset X \) be a closed subspace, and let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a c-soft sheaf on \( X \). There is an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \Gamma_c(X - Y, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{r} \Gamma_c(Y, \mathcal{A}) \to 0
\]

(i is extension by zero, \( r \) is the restriction).

*Proof:* This (including the fact that the map \( r \) is well defined) follows by elementary homological algebra from the fact that the Corollary holds for Hausdorff spaces, by using 7.4 for a cover of \( X \) by Hausdorff open sets \( U_i \) and for the induced covers of \( Y \) by \( \{ U_i \cap Y \} \) and \( X - Y \) by \( \{ U_i - Y \} \). \( \square \)
Corollary 7.6 For a family $A_i$ of c-soft sheaves on $X$ the direct sum $\oplus A_i$ is again c-soft, and:
\[
\Gamma_c(X, \oplus A_i) \cong \oplus \Gamma_c(X, A_i).
\]
In particular, when working over $\mathbb{R}$, we have for any c-soft sheaf $S$ of $\mathbb{R}$-vector spaces and any vector space $V$ that the tensor product $S \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$ (here $V$ is the constant sheaf) is again c-soft, and the familiar formula:
\[
\Gamma_c(X, S \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V) \cong \Gamma_c(X, S) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V.
\] (33)

Corollary 7.7 Let $A_* \rightarrow B_*$ be a quasi-isomorphism between chain complexes of c-soft sheaves on $X$. Then:
\[
\Gamma_c(X, A_*) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(X, B_*)
\]
is again a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof: By a “mapping cone argument” we may assume that $B_* = 0$. In other words, we have to show that $\Gamma_c(X, A_*)$ is acyclic whenever $A_*$ is. This follows from the Mayer-Vietoris sequence 7.4 together with the Hausdorff case.

(We remark that it is necessary to assume that the chain complexes are bounded below if $X$ does not have locally finite cohomological dimension, as in [4,3]). □

The following Corollary is included for application in [14].

Corollary 7.8 Let $Y \subset X$ be a closed subspace, and let $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous map such that $\theta^{-1}(0) = Y$. Let $A$ be a c-soft sheaf on $X$. Then for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(X, A)$,
\[
\alpha|_Y = 0 \text{ iff } \exists \varepsilon > 0 : \alpha|_{\theta^{-1}(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)} = 0
\]
(here $\alpha|_Y$ is the restriction $r(\alpha)$ as in 7.3).

Proof: For $\varepsilon \geq 0$, write $Y_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : |\theta(x)| \leq \varepsilon\}$, and for each open $U \subset X$ write
\[
\Gamma^c_\varepsilon(U, A) = \{\alpha \in \Gamma_c(U, A) : \alpha|_{U \cap Y_\varepsilon} = 0\}.
\]

It suffices to show that:
\[
\bigoplus_{\varepsilon > 0} \Gamma^c_\varepsilon(X, A) \rightarrow \Gamma^0_c(X, A)
\]
is epi. Let $\{U_i\}$ be a cover of $X$ by Hausdorff open sets, and consider the diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bigoplus_{i, \varepsilon > 0} \Gamma^c_\varepsilon(U_i, A) & \xrightarrow{u} & \bigoplus_i \Gamma^0_\varepsilon(U_i, A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi
\\
\bigoplus_{\varepsilon > 0} \Gamma^c_\varepsilon(X, A) & \xrightarrow{v} & \Gamma^0_c(X, A) \\
\end{array}
\]

where the isomorphisms on the right come from [7.3]. We wish to show that $v$ is epi. Since $u$ is epi by the Hausdorff case, it suffices to show that $\pi$ is epi, or, equivalently, that $\pi'$ is epi. This is indeed the case by [7.4]. □

It is quite clear that using c-soft resolutions one can define compactly supported cohomology $H^*_c(X, A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$. In particular, we get an extension $H^0_c(X, -)$ of $\Gamma_c(X, -)$ to all sheaves; this extension is still denoted by $\Gamma_c(X, -)$.
Proposition 7.9 Let $f : Y \to X$ be a continuous map. There is a functor $f_1 : \mathcal{Ab}(Y) \to \mathcal{Ab}(X)$ with the following properties:

i) For any open $U \subset X$ and any $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Ab}(Y)$, $\Gamma_c(U, f_1 \mathcal{B}) = \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{B})$.

ii) For any point $x \in X$ and any $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Ab}(Y)$, $f_1(\mathcal{B})_x = \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(x), \mathcal{B})$.

iii) $f_1$ is left exact and maps c-soft sheaves into c-soft sheaves.

iv) For any fibered product

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Z \times_X Y & \xrightarrow{p} & Y \\
\downarrow q & & \downarrow f \\
Z & \xrightarrow{e} & X
\end{array}
\]

along an étale map $e$ and for any c-soft $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Ab}(Y)$, there is a canonical isomorphism

\[q_! p^* \mathcal{B} \cong e^* f_1 \mathcal{B} .\]

(see 7.11 below for the case where $e$ is not étale).

Proof: Of course the proposition is well known in the Hausdorff case. For the more general case, recall first from [6] the correspondence for any Hausdorff space $Z$ between c-soft sheaves $\mathcal{S}$ on $Z$ and flabby cosheaves $\mathcal{C}$ on $Z$, given by:

\[\Gamma_c(W, \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{C}(W)\]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

(natural with respect to the opens $W \subset Z$). Given the cosheaf $\mathcal{C}$, the stalk of the corresponding sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ at a point $z \in Z$ is given by the exact sequence:

\[0 \to \mathcal{C}(Z-z) \to \mathcal{C}(Z) \to \mathcal{S}_z \to 0 .\]  \hspace{1cm} (35)

We use this correspondence in the construction of $f_1$. (However, see remark 7.10 below for a description of $f_1$ which doesn’t use this correspondence).

We discuss first the construction of $f_1$ on c-soft sheaves. Let $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Ab}(Y)$ be c-soft. First, assume $X$ is Hausdorff. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a c-soft sheaf on $Y$, and define a cosheaf $\mathcal{C} = c(\mathcal{B})$ by $\mathcal{C}(U) = \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{B})$. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is indeed a flabby cosheaf, by (34). By the correspondence (34), there is a c-soft sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ on $X$, uniquely determined up to isomorphism by the identity $\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{C}(U)$ for any open $U \subset X$. Thus, if $X$ is Hausdorff, we can define $f_1 \mathcal{B}$ to be this sheaf $\mathcal{S}$.

In the general case, cover $X$ by Hausdorff opens $U_i$, and define in this way for each $i$ a c-soft sheaf $\mathcal{S}_i$ on $U_i$ by:

\[\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{S}_i) = \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{B}) .\]  \hspace{1cm} (36)

Then (again by the equivalence between sheaves and cosheaves) there is a canonical isomorphism $\theta_{i,j} : \mathcal{S}_j|_{U_{i,j}} \to \mathcal{S}_i|_{U_{i,j}}$ satisfying the cocycle condition. Therefore the sheaves $\mathcal{S}_i$ patch together to a sheaf $\mathcal{S}$ on $X$, uniquely determined up to isomorphism by the condition that $\mathcal{S}|_{U_i} = \mathcal{S}_i$ (by an isomorphism compatible with $\theta_{i,j}$). Thus we can define $f_1 \mathcal{B}$ to be this sheaf $\mathcal{S}$.

We prove the properties (i) – (iv) in the statement of the proposition for $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Ab}(Y)$ c-soft. Property (i) clearly holds for an open set $U$ contained in some $U_i$, by (34). For general $U$, property (i) then follows by the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. Next, identity (35) yields for any point $x \in X$ an exact sequence:

\[0 \to \Gamma_c(Y - f^{-1}(x), \mathcal{B}) \to \Gamma_c(Y, \mathcal{B}) \to f_1(\mathcal{B})_x \to 0 ,\]

and hence, by (34) the isomorphism (ii) of the Proposition. Finally, (iv) is clear from the local nature of the construction of $f_1$.

For general $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{Ab}(Y)$ we define $f_1(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathcal{Ab}(X)$ as the kernel of the map $f_1(\mathcal{S}^0) \to f_1(\mathcal{S}^1)$ where $0 \to \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{S}^0 \to \mathcal{S}^1 \to \ldots$ is a c-soft resolution of $\mathcal{A}$ (from the first part it follows that it
is well defined up to isomorphisms). The properties (i) and (ii) are now immediate consequences of the definition and of the previous case. Using 7.7 and (ii) it easily follows that \( f_1 \) transforms acyclic complexes of c-soft sheaves on \( \mathcal{A}(Y) \) into acyclic complexes on \( \mathcal{A}(X) \). This immediately implies that \( \varphi_1 \) is left exact.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 7.11**  
For any pullback diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
Z \times_X Y \\
\downarrow q \\
Z \\
\downarrow e \\
X
\end{array}
\]

and any sheaf \( \mathcal{B} \) on \( Y \), there is a canonical quasi-isomorphism:

\[(Rq_!)(p^* \mathcal{B}) \simeq e^*(Rf_1 \mathcal{B}).\]

**Proof:** Using Mayer-Vietoris for covers of \( X \) and \( Z \) by Hausdorff open sets, it suffices to consider the case where \( X \) and \( Z \) are both Hausdorff. Clearly it also suffices to prove the lemma in the special
Now let \( p \) be known that the pullback functor \( \text{Ab} \) one obtains a long exact sequence of the form:

\[
\ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1} q_1 p^*(\mathcal{B}|_{W_{i_0 i_1}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0} q_1 p^*(\mathcal{B}|_{W_{i_0}}) \rightarrow e^* f_!(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow 0 .
\] (38)

Now let \( p^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^* \) be a c-soft resolution over the pullback \( Z \times_X Y \). Then for any open \( U \subset Y \), \( \mathcal{S}^*_{p^{-1}(W)} \) is a c-soft resolution of \( p^*(\mathcal{B}|_W) \), so \( q_1(\mathcal{S}^*_{p^{-1}(W)}) \) is a c-soft resolution of \( q_1 p^*(\mathcal{B}) \). The lemma now follows by comparing the sequence (38) to the defining sequence

\[
\ldots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0 < i_1} q_1(\mathcal{S}^*_{p^{-1}W_{i_0 i_1}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i_0} q_1(\mathcal{S}^*_{p^{-1}W_{i_0}}) \rightarrow q_1(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow 0
\]

for \( q_1(p^*(\mathcal{B})) \overset{\text{def}}{=} q_1(\mathcal{S}) \). □

### 7.12 \( f_! \) on étale maps

Let \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) be an étale map, i.e. a local homeomorphism. It is well known that the pullback functor \( f^* : \text{Ab}(X) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(Y) \) has an exact left-adjoint \( f_! : \text{Ab}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Ab}(X) \), described on the stalks by \( f_!(\mathcal{B}_y) = \bigoplus_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} \mathcal{B}_y \). This construction agrees with the one in Section 1.3. In particular, for étale \( f \), the counit of the adjunction defines a map:

\[
\Sigma_f : f_! f^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} ,
\]

“summation along the fiber”, for any sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) on \( X \).

### 7.13 \( f_! \) on proper maps

Define a map \( f : Y \rightarrow X \) between (non-necessarily Hausdorff) spaces to be proper if:

(i) the diagonal \( Y \rightarrow Y \times_X Y \) is closed.

(ii) for any Hausdorff open \( U \subset X \) and any compact \( K \subset U \), the set \( f^{-1}(K) \) is compact.

It is easy to see that if \( f \) is proper then \( f_! = f_* \), as in the Hausdorff case. Furthermore, for any c-soft sheaf \( \mathcal{A} \) on \( X \), there is a natural map \( \Gamma_c(X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma_c(Y, f^* \mathcal{A}) \) defined by pullback, as in the Hausdorff case.

### 7.14 Remark

Although this does not simplify matters, one could theoretically interpret some of the constructions and results of this Appendix as follows. First, observe that for Hausdorff groupoids, the results in Sections 1-6 of the paper can be based on the usual definition of \( \Gamma_c \) and are independent of the Appendix. Now, any non-separated manifold (or sufficiently nice space, cf. L8) \( X \) can be viewed as a trivial groupoid \((\mathcal{L}X, 1)\), which is Morita equivalent to the Hausdorff étale groupoid \( \mathcal{G} \) defined from an open cover \( \{U_i\} \) of \( X \) by Hausdorff open sets, by taking \( \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \) to be the disjoint sum of the \( U_i \), and \( \mathcal{G}^{(1)} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times_X \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \).
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