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Abstract

For a family of smooth curves, we have the associated family of moduli spaces of stable bundles with fixed determinant on the curves. There exists a so-called theta line bundle on the family of moduli spaces. When the Kodaira–Spencer map of the family of curves is an isomorphism, we prove in this paper an identification theorem between sheaves of differential operators on the theta line bundle and higher direct images of vector bundles on curves. As an application, the so-called Hitchin’s connection on the direct image of (powers of) theta line bundle is derived naturally from the identification theorem. A logarithmic extension of Hitchin’s connection to certain singular stable curves is also presented in this paper.

1. Introduction

Let \( \mathcal{M} \) be a smooth variety over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and \( S \to \mathcal{M} \) be a smooth, flat morphism. For a smooth family \( \pi : X \to S \) of curves and a vector bundle \( E \) on \( X \), A. Beilinson and V. Schechtman defined in [3] (see also [4]) the so-called trace complexes \( \text{tr} \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet/M \), \( \text{tr} \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet \) on \( X \), together with algebra structures on them, such that \( R^0\pi_*(\text{tr} \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet/M) \), \( R^0\pi_*(\text{tr} \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet) \) are canonically isomorphic to the Atiyah algebras \( \mathcal{A}_\lambda E/M \), \( \mathcal{A}_\lambda E \) of the determinant bundle \( \lambda E = \det R\pi_*E \) of the family (see Proposition 3.3 for details), where \( \mathcal{A}_\lambda E \), as a sheaf on \( S \), is just \( \mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1}(\lambda E) \), the sheaf of differential operators...
of order $\leq 1$ with values in $\lambda_E$, and similarly $A_{\lambda_E/M} \subset A_{\lambda_E}$ corresponds to relative differential operators. It is generalized by Y.-L. Tong and the second author ([19]) to the families $\pi : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{S}$ of stable curves. In this paper, we prove firstly, under the assumption that Kodaira–Spencer maps of the families are isomorphisms, one can canonically produce locally free sheaves $G_E$ on $X$ (resp. on $\tilde{X}$) instead of the above trace complexes such that one has identification theorem

**Theorem 1.1.**

(i) If $E$ is fiberwise stable and the Kodaira–Spencer map $KS : T_{S/M} \to R^1\pi_*(E)$ is an isomorphism, where $E := \text{End}^0(E)$ is the trace free part of $\text{End}(E)$, then there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\phi : R^1\pi_*(G_E) \cong D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_E).$$

(ii) If $KS : T_S \to R^1\pi_*A^0_{E/S}$ is an isomorphism, then (after shrinking $M$ enough) $\phi$ extends to an isomorphism (cf. Theorem 2.13 for details)

$$\phi = \phi_{-\lambda} : R^1\pi_*S(G_E) \cong D_S^{\leq 1}(\lambda_E).$$

The most technical part of this paper is devoted to proof of the identification theorem and its generalization to stable curves $\pi : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{S}$ (cf. Theorem 2.13). There is an interesting example of the families $S \to M$, $\pi : X \to S$ which satisfy the assumptions in our theorem. Let $C \to M$ be a family of smooth curves of genus $g \geq 2$ and $f : S \to M$ be the associated family of moduli spaces of stable bundles of rank $r \geq 2$ with a fixed determinant. Let $\pi : X = C \times_M S \to S$, $E$ a universal bundle on $X$. Then, $KS : T_{S/M} \to R^1\pi_*(E)$ is an isomorphism. If, furthermore, for any $b \in M$, $T_{M,b} \cong H^1(C_b, T_{C_b})$, then $KS : T_S \to R^1\pi_*A^0_{E/S}$ is an isomorphism (cf. Proposition 4.5). A family $C \to M$ as such (called good family for convenience) does exist in algebraic category of characteristic zero (in fact, $M$ is a moduli space of curves with level structures, which is a finite Galois cover of the moduli space $M_g$ of curves). We will fix such a family in (the most part of) this paper. Let $\Theta$ be the theta line bundle on $S$ and, for any $k$, write $\Theta^k = \lambda^k_\Theta$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then, our identification theorem gives immediately a new construction of Hitchin’s connection on $\mathcal{V}_k = f_*(\Theta^k)$. 
Corollary 1.2. There exists a unique projective heat operator,

\[ H : T_M \rightarrow f_*D_S^{<2}(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_M, \]

which lifts Hitchin’s symbol map \( f_*\delta_H : T_M \rightarrow f_*S^2T_{S/M} \).

The construction of the above heat operator is purely algebraic by playing diagram game. The map \( \delta_H : f^*T_M \rightarrow S^2T_{S/M} \) is a connecting map in a long exact sequence of cohomologies, which coincides with Hitchin’s symbol map over complex number field. The reason we restrict ourselves in characteristic zero is: the theory of Beilinson–Schechtman (thus our identification theorem) is in characteristic zero. On the other hand, Tsuchiya–Ueno–Yamada construct a conformal block space associated to each pointed-stable curve, these spaces form a vector bundle on the moduli space of stable curves. They also construct a logarithmic projective connection (WZW connection) on this vector bundle of conformal blocks. It is proved that the algebro-geometric analogues of conformal block spaces for smooth curves are the spaces of global sections of \( \Theta^k \). Laszlo proves that on the moduli space \( M_{g,1} \) of smooth curves with a point Hitchin’s connection coincides with the WZW connection (note that \( M_{g,1} \) is of dimension larger than our \( M \)). However, for singular stable curves, the algebro-geometric analogues of conformal block spaces are still unknown (by our knowledge). One reason, among others, is simply that degeneration of moduli spaces of stable \( SL(r) \)-bundles is still an open problem (cf. for comments on this problem). Thus, it seems to us that a geometrically meaningful extension of \( V_k = f_*\Theta^k \) to the boundary with logarithmic connection would be interesting. Indeed, the question of studying the behaviour of spaces of generalized theta functions under degeneration of the curves was already mentioned in \( 10 \), p. 350, and the question of studying the (logarithmic) extension of the connection was asked in an unpublished manuscript of Beilinson and Kazhdan, p. 27, where they produced the connection for the case of smooth curves.

Let \( \tilde{S}, \tilde{M} \) be smooth varieties and \( B = \tilde{M} \setminus M \) be a normal crossing divisor. Let \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{M} \) be a smooth flat morphism, \( \pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{S} \) be a family of stable curves and \( E \) a fiberwise stable vector bundle on \( \tilde{X} \). Let \( W = \tilde{f}^{-1}(B) \subset \tilde{S} \). Then, the logarithmic identification theorem can be proved similarly as in the smooth case.
Theorem 1.3.

(i) If $KS : T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{M}} \to R^1\pi_*(E)$ is an isomorphism, where $E := \text{End}^0(E)$, then there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\phi : R^1\pi_*(G_E) \cong D_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{M}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\tilde{E}}).$$

(ii) If $KS : T_{\tilde{S}}(\log W) \to R^1\pi_*\mathcal{A}^0_{E/\tilde{S}}(\log D)$ is an isomorphism, then (after shrinking $\tilde{M}$ enough) $\phi$ extends to an isomorphism (cf. Theorem 2.13 for details)

$$\phi = \phi_{-\lambda} : R^1\pi_*S(G_E) \cong D_{\tilde{S}}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_{\tilde{E}})(\log W).$$

If $\tilde{C} \to \tilde{M}$ is a family of stable curves, let $U(r, d) \to \tilde{M}$ be the family of moduli spaces of semistable (for canonical polarization) torsion free sheaves of rank $r$ and degree $d$. Fix a line bundle $N$ on $\tilde{C}$ of relative degree $d$, let $f : S \to M$ be the family of moduli spaces of stable bundles of rank $r$ with fixed determinant $N|_{\tilde{C}}$ ($[\tilde{C}] \in M$). Let $Z \subset U(r, d)$ be the Zariski closure of $S$ in $U(r, d)$ and $f_Z : Z \to \tilde{M}$ the induced morphism. Let $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \subset Z \to \tilde{M}$ be the open set of $Z$ consisting of stable bundles. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} = \tilde{C} \times_M \tilde{S} \to \tilde{S}$ and $E$ the universal bundle on $\tilde{X}$. Note that for general reducible stable curves $\tilde{C}_b$ ($b \in B$), the (open) moduli spaces $\tilde{f}^{-1}(b)$ has not been well understood yet, and in particular, we do not know if the Kodaira–Spencer map in Theorem 1.3, (i) is an isomorphism. On the other hand, it is better understood for those irreducible stable curves and reducible stable curves whose dual diagrams are trees. Thus, we will assume in this paper that the singular fibers of $\tilde{C} \to \tilde{M}$ are (irreducible or reducible) stable curves with only one node. Then $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \to \tilde{M}$ is smooth and the Kodaira–Spencer map in Theorem 1.3, (i) is an isomorphism (cf. Lemma 1.1). Let $\tilde{M}_g$ be the moduli space of stable curves with level structures as in Looijenga’s paper [14], with the universal family $\Pi : \tilde{C} \to \tilde{M}_g$. On a neighbourhood of $m \in \tilde{M}_g$, the family is the versal deformation of $\tilde{C}_m$. In particular, $KS : T_{\tilde{M}_g}(B) \to R^1\Pi_*\omega_{\tilde{C}/\tilde{M}}^{-1}$ is an isomorphism, which implies that the Kodaira–Spencer map in Theorem 1.3, (ii) is an isomorphism (cf. Proposition 1.5). We will fix $\tilde{M} \subset \tilde{M}_g$ consisting of stable curves with at most one double point and also fix the family $\tilde{C} \to \tilde{M}$ in this paper. It is known that $B = \tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{M}$ is a normal crossing divisor (cf. [14]).
Corollary 1.4. There exists a unique projective heat operator
\[ \tilde{H} : T_{\tilde{M}}(B) \to \tilde{f}_* D_S^{\leq 2}(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}} \]
that lifts Hitchin’s symbol map (in the extended sense) \( \tilde{f}_* \delta_H : T_{\tilde{M}}(B) \to \tilde{f}_* S^2 T_{\tilde{S}}/\tilde{M} \). Moreover, \( \tilde{f}_*(\Theta^k) \) is a coherent sheaf on \( \tilde{M} \).

After defining the extended Hitchin’s symbol map, the proof goes through by using the logarithmic version of identification theorem. It is important that the vanishing of \( R^1 f_* \mathcal{O}_S \) is not needed in our proof both for smooth case and singular case (so that our method can be extended to some stable curves, where such a vanishing may not hold). The non-trivial part is the proof of coherence of \( \tilde{f}_*(\Theta^k) \) on \( M \) since \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \to \tilde{M} \) is not proper. To prove it, we prove (cf. Proposition 4.9) that the fibres of \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \to \tilde{M} \) is dense in the fibres of \( f_Z : Z \to \tilde{M} \), which partially confirms a conjecture of Nagaraj and Seshadri (cf. Conjecture (a) at page 136 of [15]). We believe that such a coherent extension remains true on \( \tilde{M}_g \) of all stable curves; this is not, however, proved in the present paper. On the other hand, if it can be proved that the compactification \( Z \) is Cohen–Macaulay with vanishing \( H^1(f_Z^{-1}(b), \Theta^k) \) \((b \in B)\), then the coherent extension is a vector bundle whose fibers are spaces of generalized theta functions on certain moduli spaces. This seems to be true for rank two case.

Basically, our approach works equally well for both the general case \([10]\) \((g \geq 3)\) and special cases (e.g., \( g = 2 \) and \( r = 2 \)) \([9]\), with the difference arising from the fact that in \( g = r = 2 \) case, our approach shall work with a Quot scheme \( R^{ss} \) which has a good quotient \( R^{ss} \to S \) where \( S \) is the family of moduli spaces of \( S \)-equivalence classes of semistable vector bundles. As this will introduce additional details, we leave the case \( g = r = 2 \) to future discussions.

Hitchin remarked in \([10]\), p. 350, “Many aspects of the connection have so far resisted attack by the methods presented here...”. Besides the degeneration problem treated here, it seems that two major problems remain poorly understood (i) the unitary structure of the connection, (ii) (local) monodromy representation of the connection, although there are discussions on these problems in the literature. For (ii), we refer to \([9]\) for interesting results for \( g = r = 2 \) case along the line of Hitchin’s approach; for comments on more general cases, see \([9]\), Section 4.5 and references therein. We content ourselves by remarking that the
methods for studying the monodromy representation (of the mapping class group) have largely been confined to those of topology and conformal field theory. An algebro-geometric picture is still lacking for the understanding (note that merely found local monodromy representation; “local” means at ∞). In the spirit of the work 10, 11, and 9, we feel it worthy of being presented, among other things, the present proof of the existence of the logarithmic Hitchin’s connection (beyond the explicit g = r = 2 case of 9), as a further step towards algebro-geometric aspects of these development. In our opinion, it seems plausible that some explicit information about the (local) monodromy representation could be available via our approach. Indeed, in the first version of this paper, we produced a more explicit formula of the connection, at the expense that a lot more technical computations would be performed, while the present approach simplified considerably via use of Proposition 2.3 which we later discovered to be useful (in fact we came to pay more attention to this proposition via discussions with Hélène Esnault when we finished the first version including the case g = r = 2). For the sake of conceptual simplicity, we decided to take the present approach, and leave the more technical treatment (including the case g = r = 2) to future discussions. We remark that there has been a speculation about whether monodromy representations factor through a finite group; see 2 where this speculation was attributed to Kontsevich. We had also met with mathematicians who asked about (and/or suggested the study of) the characteristic p > 0 situation, including the related issues such as the notion of p-curvature of the connection, in connection to the highly speculative relation between the above Kontsevich conjecture and some conjectures of Grothendieck (cf. 12). Unfortunately, at this time of writing, we are not feeling competent enough to comment on any one of these issues, based on results of the present work. Perhaps, some further studies along these directions might be interesting. Besides generalizing Beilinson–Schechtman theory (thus our construction) to characteristic p > 0, the logarithmic extension suggests that residue of the connection at the boundary gives an operator on the (projective) space of generalized theta functions on certain moduli space, which should be (direct sum of) spaces of generalized theta functions on moduli spaces of parabolic bundles over a smooth curve of lower genus (normalization of the singular curve ˜Cb). It would be interesting to understand the relationship between the residue operator and the above factorization of generalized theta functions.
Those who want to know some historical accounts of Hitchin’s connection and its relation to other branches of mathematics, are referred to [10], [9] and references therein.

In Section 2, we construct Hitchin’s connection and its logarithmic extension under assumption of Theorem 2.5, Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.13. It is enough to read this section for a reader who is only interested in Hitchin’s connection. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.4. In Section 4, we indicate the modifications of arguments in Section 3 to prove Theorem 2.13. Most of Section 4 is devoted to proof of the coherence of $\tilde{f}_* (\Theta^k)$ on $\mathcal{M}$.

Remark that some ideas closely related to Section 3 of this paper were briefly discussed in Sections 9, 10 of [8] where the subject matter is considered for moduli space of (stable) $G$-bundles, with $G$ being complex semisimple, connected and simply-connected.

2. Heat operators and cohomology of sheaves

As in the introduction, $\mathcal{M} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ denote the smooth moduli spaces of curves with level structures [14]. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ be the open set consisting of stable curves with at most one double point (thus codim ($\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$) $\geq 2$). We will fix the families of curves $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ which satisfy the assumptions on Kodaira–Spencer maps. Fix a line bundle $\mathcal{N}$ of relative degree $d$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, let $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the family of moduli spaces of stable bundles of rank $r$ with fixed determinant $\mathcal{N}_b := \mathcal{N}|_{\mathcal{C}_b}$ ($b \in \mathcal{M}$) on $\mathcal{C}_b$. Let $\pi: X = \mathcal{C} \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} S \rightarrow S$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ via $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

**Remark 2.1.** If $(d,r) = 1$, there exists a universal bundle $E$ on $X$. In general, $S$ is a good quotient of a Hilbert quotient scheme, denoted by $\mathcal{R}^a$, such that there is a universal bundle $E$ on $X_{\mathcal{R}^a} := \mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{R}^a$. $E$ may not descend to $X$, but objects such as $\text{End}^0(E)$, $\mathcal{G}_E$, $\text{tr} \mathcal{M}_E^*$ (and other relevant constructions that will be discussed later in this section) do descend. Recall that a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $X_{\mathcal{R}^a}$ descends to $X$ if the action, of scalar automorphisms of $E$ (relative to $\mathcal{R}^a$), on $\mathcal{F}$ is trivial, e.g., [11].

*Without the danger of confusion, we will henceforth be working as if a universal bundle $E$ existed on $X$.*
Let \( \Theta \) be the theta line bundle on \( S \). For a sheaf \( \mathcal{F} \) on \( X \),
\[
\lambda_\mathcal{F} = \bigotimes_{q \geq 0} \det R^q \pi_* \mathcal{F}(-1)^q
\]
denotes the Knudsen–Mumford determinant bundle on \( S \) (cf. [2]). As usual, \( T_S, K_S \) denote tangent bundle, canonical bundle; \( T_{S/M}, K_{S/M} \) denote the relative counterparts. Assume that \( K_{S/M} = \Theta^{-\lambda} \), where \( \lambda = 2(r, d) \) is the same notation used by Hitchin (cf. (2.8) in [10]). Let \( D^{\leq i}_S(\Theta^k) \) be the sheaf of differential operators on \( \Theta^k \) of order \( \leq i \), and by \( \sigma \) the symbol map of differential operators. Let \( W(\Theta^k) := D^{\leq 1}_S(\Theta^k) + D^{\leq 2}_{S/M}(\Theta^k) \) (cf. [9], [10]), one has an exact sequence
\[
0 \to D^{\leq 2}_{S/M}(\Theta^k) \to W(\Theta^k) \to f^* T_M \to 0.
\]

**Definition 2.2** (cf. [9], 2.3.2). A **heat operator** \( H \) on \( \Theta^k \) is an \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-map \( f^* T_M \xrightarrow{H} W(\Theta^k) \) which, while composed with \( \sigma \) above, is the identity. A **projective heat operator** \( H : T_M \to f^* W(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_M \) is an \( \mathcal{O}_M \)-map such that any local lifting is a heat operator. The **symbol map** of \( H \) is \( \epsilon \circ H : f^* T_M \to S^2 T_{S/M} \). A heat operator \( H \) induces an \( \mathcal{O}_M \)-map (denoted by the same \( H \)) \( H : T_M \to f^* W(\Theta^k) \). The heat operator and the preceding induced map will be used interchangeably throughout. A (projective) heat operator on \( \Theta^k \) determines a (projective) connection \( \nabla^H \) on \( f^* \Theta^k \) in a natural way [9].

We recall, firstly, a general result (forget moduli) of G. Faltings (cf. Proposition IV.5 of [5]). Let \( Z \to S \) be smooth and \( K = K_{Z/S} \). For any line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \), consider
\[
0 \to D^{\leq 1}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L}) \to D^{\leq 2}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^2} S^2 T_{Z/S} \to 0,
\]
\[
0 \to D^{\leq 1}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L}) \to S^2 D^{\leq 2}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{S^2 \epsilon_1} S^2 T_{Z/S} \to 0.
\]
For any \( \rho \in H^0(Z, S^2 T_{Z/S}) \), let \( a(\mathcal{L}, \rho) \), \( b(\mathcal{L}, \rho) \) be the obstruction classes to lift \( \rho \) to \( H^0(Z, D^{\leq 2}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L})) \) and to \( H^0(Z, S^2 D^{\leq 1}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L})) \), respectively. Then,

**Proposition 2.3.** Under \( D^{\leq 1}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L}^k) \cong D^{\leq 1}_{Z/S}(\mathcal{L}) \), one has

(i) \( b(\mathcal{L}^k, \rho) = k b(\mathcal{L}, \rho) \) for any \( k \in \mathbb{Q} \).
(ii) \( a(\mathcal{O}_Z, \rho) \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) \oplus H^1(T_{Z/S}) \) has zero projection in \( H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) \).
(iii) There is a class \( c(K, \rho) \in H^1(O_Z) \), independent of \( L \), such that
\[
2a(L, \rho) = b(L, \rho) + t^i b(L^{-1} \otimes K, \rho) + c(K, \rho).
\]

We come back to construct the projective connection. Recall that
\[
X = C \times_M S \xrightarrow{\pi} S
\]
\[
p \downarrow \quad \quad \quad f \downarrow
\]
\[
C \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad M.
\]
For simplicity, we assume that there exists a universal bundle \( E \) on \( X \), let \( E = \text{End}^0(E) \). We will arrive at a subsheaf \( G_E \subset \text{tr}A_{E}^{-1} \) (see [3] for details of \( \text{tr}A_{E}^{-1} \)), fitting into an exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow \omega_{X/S} \rightarrow G_E \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0,
\]
which induces, by taking 2nd symmetric tensor, the exact sequence
\[
0 \rightarrow G_E \rightarrow S^2(G_E) \otimes T_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\text{Sym}^2(\text{res}) \otimes \text{id}} S^2(E) \otimes T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0.
\]
Define \( S(G_E) := (\text{Sym}^2(\text{res}) \otimes \text{id})^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes T_{X/S}) \), which fits into
\[
0 \rightarrow G_E \xrightarrow{\iota} S(G_E) \xrightarrow{q} T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0,
\]
where \( q = \text{Sym}^2(\text{res}) \otimes \text{id} \), \( \iota(\alpha) = \text{Sym}^2(\alpha \otimes dt) \otimes \partial_t \) locally. Let
\[
0 \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(\omega_{X/S}) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(G_E) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} R^1\pi_*(E) \rightarrow 0,
\]
\[
0 \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(G_E) \xrightarrow{=} R^1\pi_*(S(G_E)) \xrightarrow{q} R^1\pi_*(T_{X/S}) \rightarrow 0
\]
be the exact sequences induced by (2.2), (2.3). Let \( \Delta \subset X \times_S X \) be the diagonal, consider the induced diagram
\[
0 \rightarrow G_E \boxtimes G_E \rightarrow G_E \boxtimes G_E(\Delta) \rightarrow G_E \boxtimes G_E(\Delta)|_\Delta \rightarrow 0
\]
\[
0 \rightarrow E \boxtimes E \rightarrow E \boxtimes E(\Delta) \rightarrow E \boxtimes E(\Delta)|_\Delta \rightarrow 0
\]
on \( X \times_S X \) (\( E \boxtimes E \) denotes \( p_1^*E \otimes p_2^*E \)). All vertical maps are induced by \( G_E \xrightarrow{\text{res}} E \rightarrow 0 \). Thus, (2.2) is a sub-sequence of the rightmost vertical
map above. Let \( \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E(\Delta) \), \( \mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}(\Delta) \) be subsheaves satisfying

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \boxtimes \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & T_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
\]

Taking direct images, considering the connecting maps, we have

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & S^2R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) \\
\downarrow q & & \downarrow S^2(res) \\
R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S^2R^1\pi_*\mathcal{E},
\end{array}
\]

which induces the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} & R^1\pi_*\mathcal{G}_E \\
\downarrow i & & \downarrow \text{Sym}^2 \\
R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S^2R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) \\
\downarrow q & & \downarrow S^2(res) \\
R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & S^2R^1\pi_*\mathcal{E},
\end{array}
\]

where \( \delta_1 \) is defined such that the diagram is commutative, the first vertical exact sequence is (2.5), the second vertical exact sequence is induced by taking 2nd symmetric tensor of (2.4) (note that \( \mathcal{O}_S \cong R^1\pi_*(\omega_{X/S}) \)). For \( \text{Sym}^2 \), see Remark 2.6.

**Lemma 2.4.** The map \( \delta_1 : R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E) \) is the identity map.

**Proof.** See Lemma 3.18. q.e.d.
**Theorem 2.5.**

(i) There is an isomorphism \( \phi : R^1\pi_* (G_E) \cong D_{S/M}^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) \) such that the following diagram is commutative

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S = R^1\pi_* \omega_{X/S} & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_* (G_E) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_* (E) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{(2r) \cdot \text{id}} & & \downarrow{\phi} & & \downarrow{\phi} & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S = R^0\pi_* \omega_{X/S} [1] & \rightarrow & D_{S/M}^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) & \rightarrow & T_{S/M} & \rightarrow & 0,
\end{array}
\]

where \( \vartheta \) is Kodaira-Spencer type identification.

(ii) For any affine covering \( \{M_i \}_{i \in I} \) of \( M \), one at each \( S_i := f^{-1}(M_i) \), there is an isomorphism \( \phi_i : R^1\pi_* S (G_E) \cong D_S^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) \) such that

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_* (G_E) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_* S (G_E) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_* (T_{X/S}) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\phi} & & \downarrow{\phi_i} & & \downarrow{\phi_i} & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & D_{S/M}^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) & \rightarrow & D_S^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) & \rightarrow & f^* T_M & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

is commutative on \( S_i \). Moreover, \( \{\phi_i - \phi_j\} \) define a class in \( H^1 (\Omega^1_M) \).

**Proof.** See Theorem 3.7, Corollary 3.12 and Remark 3.17. q.e.d.

By Theorem 2.5, on each \( S_i \), we get commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\mathcal{D}_{S/M}^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) & \phi^{-1} & R^1\pi_* (G_E) & \delta & R^1\pi_* (G_E) & 2r \cdot \phi & \mathcal{D}_{S/M}^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) \\
\downarrow{\phi_i^{-1}} & 2r \cdot \downarrow{\text{Sym}^2} & \downarrow{\phi_i} & \downarrow{\text{Sym}^2} & \downarrow{\phi_i} & \\
\mathcal{D}_S^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) & \phi^{-1} & R^1\pi_* S (G_E) & \delta & S^2 R^1\pi_* (G_E) & \delta \cdot \phi & S^2 \mathcal{D}_{S/M}^{\leq 1} (\lambda_E) \\
\downarrow{\sigma} & \downarrow{\text{Sym}^2} & \downarrow{q} & \downarrow{S^2 \epsilon_1} & & \downarrow{S^2 \epsilon_1} \\
f^* T_M & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_* (T_{X/S}) & \delta & S^2 R^1\pi_* (E) & \rightarrow & S^2 T_{S/M}.
\end{array}
\]

**Remark 2.6.**

(i) For a precise definition of \( \text{Sym}^2 \) above, we refer to proof of Lemma 3.18.

(ii) The insertion of \( 2r \) in \( 2r \cdot \phi \) in the 1st row is due to Theorem 2.5 (i) combined with the definition of \( \text{Sym}^2 \).
The above diagram gives the following commutative diagram on $S_i$

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & D_S^{\leq 1}(\lambda \mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & f^*T_M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \delta_H & \downarrow & \delta_H & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & S^2D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{S^2\epsilon_1} & S^2T_{S/M} & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}
$$

where $\delta_H$, $\tilde{\delta}_H$ are defined in a clear way such that each $\tilde{\delta}_H$ induces an identity map on $D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\lambda \mathcal{E})$.

**Proposition 2.7.** Replace $\mathcal{M}$ by an affine open set. For any $\rho = \delta_H(v) \in H^0(S, S^2T_{S/M})$, where $0 \neq v \in H^0(S, f^*T_M)$, one has

(i) $2\alpha(\mathcal{L}, \rho) = b(\mathcal{L}, \rho) + t\beta(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K_{S/M}, \rho)$.

(ii) When $\mathcal{L} = K_{S/M}^{\mu}$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mu \neq 1$, one has

$$a(K_{S/M}^{\mu}, \rho) = \frac{2\mu - 1}{2\mu} b(K_{S/M}^{\mu}, \rho).$$

**Proof.** To prove (i), by Proposition 2.3 (iii), it is enough to show that $c(K, \rho) = 0$. The class is independent of $\mathcal{L}$. Thus, by taking $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_S$ and using Proposition 2.3 (ii), it is enough to show that $t\beta(K, \rho) \in H^1(D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{O}_S)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_S) \oplus H^1(T_{S/M})$ has trivial projection in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S)$ where $K = K_{S/M}$. Using Theorem 2.3 (we need here that $\mathcal{M}$ be replaced by an affine open set), we have (noting $K = \lambda \mathcal{E}$)

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(K) & & \xrightarrow{} & D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(K) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
D_{S}^{\leq 1}(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & S^2D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(K) & \xrightarrow{S^2\epsilon_1} & S^2T_{S/M},
\end{array}
$$

Let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine covering of $S$ and $v_i \in T_S(\mathcal{U}_i)$ be local liftings of $v \in f^*T_M(S)$. Let $\{d_i \in D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(K)(\mathcal{U}_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be such that $\epsilon_1(d_i) = v_i$ ($i \in I$). Then, by the above diagram, $b(K, \rho) = \{d_i - d_j \in D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(K)(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j)\}$. Thus, the class $t\beta(K, \rho) \in H^1(D_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{O}_S)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_S) \oplus H^1(T_{S/M})$ is
defined by the cocycle \( \{ t^j - t^i \} \), where \( t^i := A_i - v_i \in D_{S}^{\leq 1}(O_S)(U_i) \). Thus, the projection of \( t^i b(K, \rho) \) in \( H^1(O_S) \) is defined by \( \{ A_i - A_j \} \), which is a trivial class.

To show (iii), we remark that for any non-zero \( \mu \in \mathbb{Q} \), through canonical isomorphisms \( \psi_\mu : D^{\leq 1}(K) \cong D^{\leq 1}(K^\mu) \), the above diagram induces

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(K^\mu) & \xrightarrow{\mu} & D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(K^\mu) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
D_S^{\leq 1}(K^\mu) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_\mu} & S^2 D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(K^\mu) \\
\sigma & & S^2 \epsilon_1 \\
f^* T_\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\delta_H} & S^2 T_{S/\mathcal{M}}.
\end{array}
\]

where \( \tilde{\psi}_\mu = S^2 \psi_\mu \circ \tilde{\psi} \circ \psi_{\mu^{-1}} \). Using the above diagram, we can compute \( t^i b(K^{1-\mu}, \rho) \). Let \( \{ d_i \in D_{S}^{\leq 1}(K^{1-\mu})(U_i) \}_{i \in I} \) be such that \( \epsilon_i(d_i) = v_i \) \( (i \in I) \). Then, \( b(K^{1-\mu}, \rho) = (1 - \mu) \{ d_i - d_j \} \), which implies that

\( t^i b(K^{1-\mu}, \rho) = (1 - \mu) \{ t^i d_i - t^i d_j \} \).

On the other hand, \( \{ -t^i d_i \in D_{S}^{\leq 1}(K^\mu)(U_i) \}_{i \in I} \) are local liftings of \( v \), which means that

\( b(K^\mu, \rho) = -\mu \{ t^i d_i - t^i d_j \} = \frac{\mu}{(\mu - 1)} t^i b(K^{1-\mu}, \rho) \).

Thus

\( a(K^\mu, \rho) = \frac{2\mu - 1}{2\mu} b(K^\mu, \rho) \).

q.e.d.

**Theorem 2.8.** Replace \( \mathcal{M} \) by an affine open set, and let \( \{ U_i \}_{i \in I} \) be an affine open covering of \( S \). Then, for any \( 0 \neq v \in f^* T_\mathcal{M}(S) \), there are

\( d_S^i \in D_{S}^{\leq 1}(K^\mu)(U_i), \ d_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 1}(K^\mu)(U_i), \ D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^{\leq 2}(K^\mu)(U_i), \)

where \( K := K_{S/\mathcal{M}} \), such that

\[
\left\{ H(v)_i := d_S^i - d_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i + \frac{2}{1 - 2\mu} D_{S/\mathcal{M}}^i \in D_{S}^{\leq 2}(K^\mu)(U_i) \right\}_{i \in I}
\]

form a global section \( H(v) \in H^0(S, D_{S}^{\leq 2}(K^\mu)) \) with

\( \sigma(H(v)) = v, \ \epsilon_2(H(v)) = \frac{2}{1 - 2\mu} \delta_H(v) \).
Proof. Let \( \{d_S^i \in D_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(U_i)\}_{i \in I} \) be such that \( \sigma(d_S^i) = v|_{U_i} \). Then,
\[
\{\mu(d_S^i - d_S^j) \in D_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(U_i \cap U_j)\}
\]
defines the class \( b(\mathcal{K}^\mu, \delta_H(v)) \in H^1(S, D_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)) \), which is the obstruction for lifting \( \delta_H(v) \in H^0(S, S^2T_{S/M}) \) to \( H^0(S, S^2D_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)) \). Let
\[
\{D_S^{i/M} \in D_S^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(U_i)\}_{i \in I}
\]
be local liftings of \( \delta_H(v) \). Then, by Proposition 2.7,
\[
\{d_S^i - d_S^j\} = \frac{2}{2\mu - 1}\{D_S^{i/M} - D_S^{j/M}\}
\]
as cohomology classes. Thus, there are \( \{d_S^{i/M} \in D_S^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(U_i)\}_{i \in I} \) satisfying the requirements in the theorem. q.e.d.

**Corollary 2.9.** There exists a unique projective heat operator,

\[
H : T_M \to f_*W(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_M
\]

(where \( \mathcal{M} \) is global) such that \( (f_\epsilon_2)^*H : T_M \to f_*S^2T_{S/M} \) coincides with \( f_\epsilon \delta_H \).

Proof. For any open set \( U \subset \mathcal{M} \) and \( v \in T_M(U) \), by Theorem 2.8, we can construct a \( H(v) \in f_*W(\Theta^k)(U) \). If \( H(v)' \) is another such operator, \( H(v) - H(v)' \) must have symbol in \( H^0(f^{-1}(U), T_{S/M}) = 0 \), so

\[
H(v) - H(v)' \in H^0(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{O}_S) = f_*\mathcal{O}_S(U) = \mathcal{O}_M(U).
\]

Hence, a unique map \( T_M \to f_*W(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_M \). q.e.d.

Now, we construct the logarithmic extension of above operator. Let \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) be the family in Introduction. Let \( \mathcal{U}(r, d) \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) be the family of moduli spaces of semistable (for canonical polarization) torsion free sheaves of rank \( r \) and degree \( d \). Fix a line bundle \( \mathcal{N} \) on \( \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \) of relative degree \( d \), let \( f : S \to \mathcal{M} \) be the family of moduli spaces of stable bundles of rank \( r \) with fixed determinant \( \mathcal{N}|_C \ (|C| \in \mathcal{M}) \).

**Notation 2.10.** Let \( f_Z : Z \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) be defined as the Zariski closure of \( S \) in \( \mathcal{U}(r, d) \) and \( f_T : T \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) be the open set of \( Z \) consisting of locally free sheaves. Let \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \subset T \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) be the open set of stable bundles. Then, \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) is smooth (cf. Lemma 2.6).
Let $E$ be the universal bundle on $\bar{X}$, where $\bar{X}$ is defined by

$$\bar{X} = \tilde{C} \times \tilde{M} \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{S}$$

$$\downarrow \quad \downarrow f$$

$$\tilde{C} \quad \longrightarrow \tilde{M}.$$

Let $D \subset \bar{X}$ be the divisor of singular curves. Then, we have

$$G_E \subseteq \text{tr} A^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\log D)$$

fitting into the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}} \rightarrow G_E \xrightarrow{\text{res}} E \xrightarrow{} 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

Similarly, there is a sheaf $S(G_E) \subset \text{Sym}^2(G_E) \otimes \omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}}$ fitting into

$$0 \rightarrow G_E \xrightarrow{i} S(G_E) \xrightarrow{q} \omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}} \rightarrow 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

They induce the following exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(\omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}}) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(G_E) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

$$0 \rightarrow R^1\pi_*(G_E) \xrightarrow{i} R^1\pi_*S(G_E) \xrightarrow{q} R^1\pi_*(\omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}}) \rightarrow 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

Let $\tilde{\Delta} \subset \tilde{X} \times_{\tilde{S}} \tilde{X} := P$ be the diagonal and $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta})$ be the dual of its ideal sheaf. Then, $0 \rightarrow \omega_{P/\tilde{S}} \rightarrow \omega_{P/\tilde{S}}(\tilde{\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt^1_P(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}},\omega_{P/\tilde{S}}) \rightarrow 0$, one checks that the relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{P/\tilde{S}}$ is $\omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}} \boxtimes \omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}}$ and $\mathcal{E}xt^1_P(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Delta}},\omega_{P/\tilde{S}})$ is the relative dualizing sheaf of $\tilde{\Delta}/\tilde{S}$. Thus, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\Delta}) \rightarrow \omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}}^{-1} \rightarrow 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

which similarly induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c}
R^1\pi_*(G_E) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} R^1\pi_*(G_E) \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \text{Sym}^2 \downarrow \\
R^1\pi_*S(G_E) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} S^2R^1\pi_*(G_E) \\
\downarrow q \quad \downarrow S^2(\text{res}) \downarrow \\
R^1\pi_*(\omega_{\bar{X}/\tilde{S}}) \xrightarrow{\delta} S^2R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{E}),
\end{array}$$
where \( \delta_1 \) denote the map induced by \( \tilde{\delta} \), the first vertical exact sequence is (2.11), the second vertical exact sequence is induced by taking 2nd symmetric tensor of (2.11) (note that \( \mathcal{O}_S \cong R^1\pi_\ast(\omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}) \)).

Let \( B = \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \mathcal{M} \) and \( W = \tilde{f}^{-1}(B) \subset \tilde{S} \). Consider
\[
0 \to T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \to T_{\tilde{S}} \xrightarrow{df} \tilde{f}^*T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \to 0,
\]
\[
0 \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} (\mathcal{L}) \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}} (\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \tilde{f}^*T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \to 0.
\]

**Notation 2.11.** Let \( T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(B) \subset T_{\mathcal{M}} \) be the subsheaf of vector fields that preserve \( B \). Let \( T_{\tilde{S}}(\log W) \subset T_{\tilde{S}} \), \( \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}} (\mathcal{L})(\log W) \subset \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}} (\mathcal{L}) \) be the subsheaves such that the following are exact sequences
\[
0 \to T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \to T_{\tilde{S}}(\log W) \xrightarrow{df} \tilde{f}^*T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(B) \to 0,
\]
\[
0 \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} (\mathcal{L}) \to \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}} (\mathcal{L})(\log W) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \tilde{f}^*T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(B) \to 0.
\]

**Lemma 2.12.** The map \( \delta_1 : R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{G}_E) \to R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{G}_E) \) is identity.

**Proof.** Since \( S \subset \tilde{S} \) is dense in \( \tilde{S} \) by definition (cf. Notation 2.11), the lemma follows from Lemma 2.13.

**Theorem 2.13.**
(i) There is an isomorphism \( \phi : R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{G}_E) \cong \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(\lambda_\tilde{E}) \) such that the following diagram is commutative
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S = R^1\pi_\ast(\omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}) & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow{\phi} & & \downarrow{\phi} & & \downarrow{\phi} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S = R^0\pi_\ast(\omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(\lambda_\tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_1} & T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
\]

(ii) For any affine covering \( \{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i\}_{i \in I} \) of \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \), on each \( \tilde{S}_i := \tilde{f}^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_i) \), there is an isomorphism \( \phi_i : R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{G}_E) \cong \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}_i}(\lambda_{\tilde{E}}) \) such that
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{2\cdot} & R^1\pi_\ast(\mathcal{G}_E) & \xrightarrow{q} & R^1\pi_\ast(\omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \phi & & \phi & & \phi & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(\lambda_\tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{\tilde{S}_i}(\lambda_{\tilde{E}})(\log W) & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \tilde{f}^*T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
\]
is commutative on $\widetilde{S}_i$, and $\{\phi_i - \phi_j\}$ define a class in $H^1(\Omega^1_{\widetilde{M}}(\log B))$.

**Proof.** See Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 4.8. q.e.d.

Similarly, we have the commutative diagram on each $\widetilde{S}_i$

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}}(\lambda_E) & \longrightarrow & D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\lambda_E)(\log W) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{f}^*T_{\widetilde{M}}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_h & & \downarrow \delta_h & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}}(\lambda_E) & \longrightarrow & S^2D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}}(\lambda_E) & \longrightarrow & S^2T^*_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
$$

**Proposition 2.14.** For any $\rho = \delta_H(v) \in H^0(\widetilde{S}, S^2T_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}})$, where $\widetilde{M}$ is replaced by an affine open set and $v \in \widetilde{H}^0(\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{f}^*T_{\widetilde{M}}(B))$, one has

(i) $2a(\mathcal{L}, \rho) = b(\mathcal{L}, \rho) + t_\rho(b(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes K_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}}, \rho)$.

(ii) When $\mathcal{L} = K^\mu_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}}$, where $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mu \neq 1$, one has

$$a(K^\mu_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}}, \rho) = \frac{2\mu - 1}{2\mu} b(K^\mu_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}}, \rho).$$

**Proof.** Note that we still have $\mathcal{K} = K_{\widetilde{S}/\widetilde{M}} = \lambda_E$, the proof is the same as that of Proposition 2.13 by using Theorem 2.15. We just remark two points:

(1) For any operator $d$ of $D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{L})(\log W) \subset D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{L})$, its adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}^d$ is still in $D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{K})(\log W)$.

(2) For any non-zero $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$, the canonical isomorphism $\psi_\mu : D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{K}) \cong D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)$ induces an isomorphism $D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{K})(\log W) \cong D^\leq_{\widetilde{S}}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\log W)$.

q.e.d.

**Theorem 2.15.** Replace $\widetilde{M}$ by an affine open set, and let $\{\mathcal{U}_i\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ be an affine open covering of $\mathcal{S}$. Then, for any $\mathcal{V} \in \widetilde{f}^*T_{\widetilde{M}}(B)(\mathcal{S})$, there are

$$d^i_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}} \in D^\leq_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i), \quad d^i_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}} \in D^\leq_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i),$$

and $D^i_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}} \in D^\leq_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)$ such that

$$H(v)_i := d^i_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}} - d^i_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}} + \frac{2}{1 - 2\mu} D^i_{\mathcal{S}/\mathcal{M}} \in D^\leq_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i)$$

for $i \in I$. 

form a global section \( H(v) \in H^0(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)) \) with
\[
\sigma(H(v)) = v, \quad \epsilon_2(H(v)) = \frac{2}{1 - 2\mu} \delta_H(v).
\]

Proof. Let \( \{d^i_S \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\log W)(\mathcal{U}_i) \}_{i \in I} \) be such that \( \sigma(d^i_S) = v|_{\mathcal{U}_i} \). Then,
\[
\left\{ \mu \left( d^i_S - d^j_S \right) \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j) \right\}
\]
defines the class \( b(\mathcal{K}^\mu, \delta_H(v)) \in H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)) \), which is the obstruction for lifting \( \delta_H(v) \in H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{S}^2T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^\infty) \) to \( H^1(\tilde{S}, \mathcal{S}^2 \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)) \). Let
\[
\left\{ D^i_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i) \right\}_{i \in I}
\]
be local liftings of \( \delta_H(v) \). Then, by Proposition 2.5,
\[
\left\{ d^i_S - d^j_S \right\} = \frac{2}{2\mu - 1} \left\{ D^i_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} - D^j_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \right\}
\]
as cohomology classes. Thus, there are \( \{d^i_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{K}^\mu)(\mathcal{U}_i) \}_{i \in I} \) satisfying the requirements in the theorem. q.e.d.

**Corollary 2.16.** There exists a unique projective heat operator
\[
\tilde{H} : T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(B) \to \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{S}}^{\leq 2}(\Theta^k)/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}
\]
such that \( (\tilde{f}_*\epsilon_2) \cdot \tilde{H} : T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(B) \to \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{S}^2T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}^\infty \) coincides with \( \tilde{f}_*\delta_H \). Moreover, \( \tilde{f}_*(\Theta^k) \) is a coherent sheaf on \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \).

Proof. The coherence of \( \tilde{f}_*(\Theta^k) \) follows from Theorem 4.10. Since \( \tilde{f}_*T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} = 0 \) is still true, the rest follows the same proof of Corollary 2.11 if \( \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \). We will prove (cf. Proposition 4.12) that the fibres of \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) is dense in the fibres of \( f_Z : Z \to \mathcal{M} \). Thus, \( Z \setminus \tilde{S} \) has codimension at least 2. By passing to the normalization \( \iota : \tilde{Z} \to Z \), \( \iota^{-1}(\tilde{S}) \cong \tilde{S} \) and \( \text{codim}(\tilde{Z} \setminus \iota^{-1}(\tilde{S})) \geq 2 \) since \( \tilde{S} \) is the open set of smooth points of \( Z \) and \( \iota \) is finite. We have \( \tilde{f}_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{S}} = (f_Z \cdot \iota)_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Z}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \). q.e.d.
3. First order differential operators of the determinant bundle

We give at first a short review of what we need from [3]. Let $\pi : X \to S$ be a smooth proper morphism of relative dimension 1 between smooth varieties in characteristic 0. We write $K_{X/S}$ or $\omega_{X/S}$ interchangeably for the dualizing sheaf. One has an exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{X/S} \to T_X \xrightarrow{d\pi} \pi^* T_S \to 0.$$  

(3.1)

As in [3], one defines the subsheaf $\pi^{-1} T_S \subset \pi^* T_S$ and its preimage $T_\pi = d\pi^{-1} T_\pi \subset T_X$, defining the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{X/S} \to T_\pi \xrightarrow{d\pi} \pi^{-1} T_S \to 0.$$  

(3.2)

Let $E$ be a vector bundle on $X$, and $\lambda_E = \det R\pi_* E$ be its determinant bundle. The Atiyah algebra $A_E$ is the subalgebra of the sheaf of first order differential operators on $E$ with symbolic part in $(\text{id} \otimes T_X) \cong T_X$.

The relative Atiyah algebra $A_{E/S} \subset A_E$ consists of those differential operators with symbolic part in $T_{X/S}$, and $A_{E,\pi} \subset A_E$ with symbolic part in $T_\pi$. Let $\text{tr} A_E^{-1}$ be the subquotient of the sheaf defined in [3]

$$E \boxtimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (E^* \otimes \omega_{X/S})(2\Delta)/E \boxtimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} (E^* \otimes \omega_{X/S})(-\Delta),$$

where $\Delta \subset X \times_S X$ denotes the diagonal, which fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega_{X/S} \xrightarrow{\text{tr} A_E^{-1}} A_{E/S} \to 0.$$  

(3.3)

The trace complex is defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \text{tr} A_E^\bullet : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d_{X/S}} \text{tr} A_E^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{res}} A_{E,\pi}$$

with $A_{E,\pi}$ in degree 0. One has

**Proposition 3.1.** $\text{tr} A_E^\bullet$ carries an algebra structure for which $R^0 \pi_* (\text{tr} A_E^\bullet)$ is canonically isomorphic to $A_{\lambda_E}$ ([3], 2.3.1, see also [4]).

For the purpose of this paper, it is more convenient to define the trace complex concentrated only on $i = -1$ and $i = 0$ of the original trace complex. This modified trace complex is still denoted by $\text{tr} A_E^\bullet$ whose 0-th direct image is easily seen to be the same as that of the original one. With the modified trace complex, one has now an exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega_{X/S}[1] \to \text{tr} A_E^\bullet \to A_{E,\pi}^\bullet \to 0,$$  

(3.5)
where the complex \( A_{E,\pi}^* \) is defined by
\[
A_{E,\pi}^*: A_{E/S} \to A_{E,\pi}
\]
and thus is quasi-isomorphic to \( \pi^{-1}T_S \).

**Notation 3.2.** Let \( \pi: X \to S \) be as before, and \( f: S \to M \) be a smooth morphism where \( M \) is a smooth variety. Denote by
\[
A_{E,\pi/M} \subset A_{E,\pi}, \quad T_{\pi/M} \subset T_{X/M} \subset T_{\pi}
\]
the pullback of \( \pi^{-1}T_S/M \subset \pi^{-1}T_S \).

Let
\[
\text{tr} A_{E/M} := (\text{tr} A^{-1}_{E} \to A_{E,\pi/M}), \quad A_{E,\pi/M} := (A_{E/S} \to A_{E,\pi/M}).
\]

**Proposition 3.3.** The exact sequences
\[
0 \to \omega_{X/S}[1] \to \text{tr} A_{E}^* \to A_{E,\pi}^* \to 0
\]
\[
0 \to \omega_{X/S}[1] \to \text{tr} A_{E/M}^* \to A_{E,\pi/M}^* \to 0
\]
have 0-th direct images (via \( \pi \)) isomorphic to
\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{D}_{S}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_E) \to T_S \to 0
\]
\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to \mathcal{D}_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_E) \to T_{S/M} \to 0.
\]

Furthermore, we need to review the description of \( \text{tr} A_{E}^* \) in terms of local coordinates, \( [3] \), p. 660. Let \( t \) be a local coordinate (along the fiber), and a trivialization \( I: \mathcal{O}_X^r \cong E; s \) a local coordinate on \( S \). Note \( t \) naturally induces local coordinates \((t_1, t_2)\) around the diagonal of \( X \times_S X \). One has isomorphisms via \( t \) and \( I \),
\[
(t, I)_1: \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{O}_X) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X \\
\cong \text{tr} A_{E}^{-1}, \quad (t, I)_1^{-1} = (\chi, B, \nu)(t, I) \\
= I \left[ \frac{\chi(t_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} + \frac{B(t_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)} + \nu(t_1) \right] dt_2;
\]
\[
(t, I)_0: T_{\pi} \oplus \text{Mat}_n(\mathcal{O}_X) \\
\cong \text{tr} A_{E}^0 = A_{E,\pi}, \quad (t, I)_0(\tau, A) \\
= (\tau, A)_I = (t, I)_0 [\tau(t, s) \partial_t + \mu(s) \partial_s + A].
\]

For different choices of coordinates and trivializations, one has the gauge change and coordinate change formulas: with \( g \in \text{GL}_r(\mathcal{O}_X) \) and \( y = y(t) \) (the notation below being slightly different from that of \( [3] \))
\[
(\tau, A) := \alpha \xrightarrow{g} (\tau, -\tau(g)g^{-1} + gAg^{-1}) := \beta(\Leftrightarrow \alpha_I g = \beta_I),
\]
\[
t(\tau, B, \nu)(t, s) = \frac{\chi(t_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} + \frac{B(t_1)}{(t_2 - t_1)} + \nu(t_1) dt_2;
\]
\[
(\tau, A)_I = (t, I)_0 [\tau(t, s) \partial_t + \mu(s) \partial_s + A].
\]
The main result of this section is Theorem 3.7 which enables us to take care of Theorem 2.5. Follow the notation of Section 2, let

\[ X = \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \]

be the projection.

**Definition 3.4.** \( \text{End}(E)^{-1} := \text{res}^{-1}(\text{End}(E)) \subset \text{tr}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_E^{1} \), and

\[ \mathcal{G}_E := \text{res}^{-1}(E) \subset \text{End}(E)^{-1}, \]

where \( \text{End}(E) = E \oplus O_X \) with its trace free part \( E := \text{End}^0(E) \) and the trivial bundle \( O_X \). There are exact sequences

\[ 0 \rightarrow \omega_{X/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_E \rightarrow \text{End}(E)^{-1} \rightarrow 0. \]

Consider the natural morphism

\[ S^2(\mathcal{G}_E) \otimes_{O_X} T_{X/S} \xrightarrow{q} S^2(E) \otimes_{O_X} T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0 \]

induced by \( \mathcal{G}_E \rightarrow E \). Denote the kernel of \( q \) by \( \mathcal{K} \). There is a canonical isomorphism \( \iota : \mathcal{G}_E \cong \mathcal{K} \), that is \( \iota(s) = \text{Sym}^2(dt \otimes s) \otimes \partial_t \) locally.

**Definition 3.5.** \( q^{-1}(\text{id} \otimes T_{X/S}) := S(\mathcal{G}_E) \) where \( \text{id} \in S^2(E) \) is the identity element. It follows that we have the exact sequence

\[ (3.9) \]

\[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_E \xrightarrow{\iota} S(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0. \]

Locally, for chosen coordinate and trivialization (cf. \( (\mathcal{G}_E) \)), any local section \( s \in S(\mathcal{G}_E) \) is of the form

\[ s = \left( \chi \sum_a (0, J_a, 0) \otimes (0, J_a, 0) + \sum_a \mu_a (0, J_a, 0) \otimes (0, 0, 1) + \sum_a \nu_a (0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, J_a, 0) + w(0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, 0, 1) \right) \otimes \partial_t, \]
where \( J_a \) is a (local) basis of \( E \) (which we assume to be orthonormal under \( \text{Trace}(\cdot) \)) such that \( \sum_a J_a \otimes J_a = \text{id} \) (such a canonical choice of \( J_a \) will simplify computations later).

We will need the Kodaira–Spencer maps
\[
(3.10) \quad K_{S} : T_S \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* A^0_{E/S}, \quad A^0_{E/S} = A_{E/S}/\mathcal{O}_X,
\]
\[
K_{M} : f^* T_M \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* T_{X/S}.
\]
They fit into the following commutative diagram
\[
0 \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* (E) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* A^0_{E/S} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* T_{X/S} \rightarrow 0
\]
\[
K_{S} \uparrow \quad K_{S} \uparrow \quad K_{M} \uparrow
\]
\[
0 \rightarrow T_{S/M} \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow f^* T_{M} \rightarrow 0.
\]

**Remark 3.6.** One way to see \( K_{S} \) of (3.10) is via the natural map (with cohomology) \( A^•_{E,\pi} \rightarrow A^0_{E/S}[1] \) from (3.6); similarly \( K_{M} \) is via \( (T_C/M \rightarrow T_{\pi}) \rightarrow T_{C/M}[1] \) (with cohomology) combined with its pullback via \( f : S \rightarrow M \). The diagram (3.10) via natural maps \( T_S \rightarrow f^* T_M \) and \( R^1 \pi_* A^0_{E/S} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* T_{X/S} \); for Kodaira-Spencer maps commute.

**Theorem 3.7.**

(i) If the Kodaira–Spencer map \( K_{S} : T_{S/M} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* (E) \) is an isomorphism, then there exists a canonical isomorphism
\[
\phi : R^1 \pi_* (G_E) \cong R^0 \pi_* (\text{End}(E)^{-1} \rightarrow A_{E,\pi/M}) \cong R^0 \pi_* (\text{tr} A_{E,\pi}).
\]

(ii) If \( K_{S} \) is an isomorphism and shrink \( M \) enough, then the above \( \phi \) extends to an isomorphism
\[
\phi = \phi_{-\lambda} : R^1 \pi_* (G_E) \cong R^0 \pi_* (\text{tr} A_{E,\pi}^{-1} \rightarrow A_{E,\pi}).
\]

(The RHS of (i), (ii) are canonically identified with \( D^1_{S/M}(\lambda_E) \), \( D^1_{S}(\lambda_E) \) respectively, cf. Proposition 4.5)

**Remark 3.8.** \( R^0 \pi_* (\text{End}(E)^{-1} \rightarrow A_{E,\pi/M}) \cong R^0 \pi_* (\text{End}(E)^{-1} \rightarrow A_{E,\pi}) \) holds under \( A_{E,\pi/M} \rightarrow A_{E,\pi} \), cf. the proof of (i) of Proposition 4.5

**Corollary 3.9.** Assumptions being as in (ii) of Theorem 4.5, suppose \( \lambda_E \cong \Theta^{-\lambda} (\lambda = 2(r, d)) \). For \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \), one has an isomorphism denoted by
\[
\phi_k : R^1 \pi_* (G_E) \cong D^1_{S}(\Theta^k),
\]

extending Theorem 4.5 (If \( k = -\lambda \), write \( \phi \) for \( \phi_{-\lambda} \)).
Proposition 3.10.

(i) The morphism $\mathbb{E} \to \mathcal{E}nd^0(\mathbb{E})$ induced by the adjoint representation extends naturally to a canonical morphism $\text{ad} : A_{\mathbb{E}} \to A_{\mathbb{E}}$ (preserving algebra structures).

(ii) The morphism $\text{ad}$ has a natural lifting $\tilde{\text{ad}} : G_{\mathbb{E}} \to G_{\mathbb{E}}$, which induces $(2r) \cdot \text{id}$ on $\omega_{X/S}$.

Proof.

(i) For any $D \in A_{\mathbb{E}}$, $L \in \mathbb{E}$, $\text{ad} (D)(L) := D \circ L - L \circ D$ is a section of $\mathbb{E}$ (note that $\text{Tr}(D \circ L - L \circ D) = \epsilon_1 (D) \text{Tr}(L)$). Thus, $\text{ad}(D)$ defines a map $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{E}$, which is a differential operator since $\text{ad}(D)(\lambda \cdot L) = \lambda \cdot \text{ad}(D)(L) + \epsilon_1 (D)(\lambda) \cdot L$.

(ii) This can be proved via the local formulas given in (3.7), namely a local element of $G_{\mathbb{E}}$ is expressed as $(0, B, \nu)$ (with $B \in \text{Mat}_r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{O}_X$). Define a lifting by sending $(0, B, \nu)$ to $(0, \text{ad}B, 2r \nu)$. We will show by using formulæ in (3.8) that the above lifting is in fact globally defined. Using $\chi = 0$, $\text{Tr}B = 0$ and $\text{Tr} \text{ad}(B) = 0$ in (3.8), we have

$$
(0, B, \nu) \xrightarrow{g} (0, gBg^{-1}, \text{Tr}(Bg^{-1}g') + \nu),
$$

$$
(0, B, \nu) \xrightarrow{y(t)} (0, B, \nu y'),
$$

$$
(0, \text{ad}B, \nu) \xrightarrow{g} \left(0, \text{ad}(gBg^{-1}), \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(g^{-1}g')) + \nu\right),
$$

$$
(0, \text{ad}B, \nu) \xrightarrow{y(t)} (0, \text{ad}B, \nu y').
$$

If the trivialization of $E$ is changed by $g$, the induced trivialization of $\mathcal{E}nd(E)$ will be changed by $e_g : \mathcal{M}_r(\mathcal{O}_X) \to \mathcal{M}_r(\mathcal{O}_X)$, where $e_g(B) = gBg^{-1}$. It is easy to check that $e^{-1}g' = \text{ad}(g^{-1}g')$, thus we obtain the term $\text{Tr}(\text{ad}(g^{-1}g'))$ in the 3rd row above. One knows that

$$
\text{Tr}(\text{ad}M \text{ad}N) = 2r \text{Tr}(MN)
$$

for traceless matrices $M$, $N$ of rank $r$. Let $(g^{-1}g')_0$ be the traceless component of $g^{-1}g'$. Note $\text{Tr}B = 0$, $\text{ad}(g^{-1}g') = \text{ad}((g^{-1}g')_0)$, and $\text{Tr}(Bg^{-1}g') = \text{Tr}(B(g^{-1}g')_0)$ etc. It follows that the morphism $(0, B, \nu) \to (0, \text{ad}B, 2r \nu)$ as given is well-defined (globally).

Lemma 3.11. $R^0\pi_\ast A_{E,\pi} \cong O_S$ and $R^0\pi_\ast A^0_{E,\pi} = 0$ provided $KS_S$ being injective, where $A^0_{E,\pi} := A_{E,\pi}/\mathcal{O}_X$. 
Proof. It suffices to prove, by using an exact sequence similar to (3.2) (with $T^?$ replaced by $A^?$), that (i) $\pi_*\pi^{-1}T_S \to R^1\pi_*A_{E/S}$ is injective and (ii) $\pi_*A_{E/S} \cong O_S$. But the map in (i) composed with $R^1\pi_*A_{E/S} \to R^1\pi_*A^0_{E/S}$ is nothing but $\text{KS}_S$, hence (i). (ii) is from $\pi_*T_{X/S} = 0$ (genus $\geq 2$) and $\pi_*\text{End}(E) = O_S$ ($E$ is fiberwise stable). q.e.d.

We are ready to give a proof of (i) of Theorem 3.7.

Proof. First, we remark that morphisms in Proposition 3.10 make the following diagram of complexes commutative

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A^0_E & \to & A^0_{E,S} \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
G_E & \to & A^0_{E,\pi/M}
\end{array}
$$

Second, we observe that the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \to & E \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
A^0_{E,S} & \to & T_{X/S}
\end{array}
$$

induces a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{S/M} & \to & R^1\pi_*E \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
0 & \to & R^1\pi_*A^0_{E,S}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $R^1\pi_*E$ vanishes in $R^1\pi_*A^0_{E,\pi/M}$ since KS is an isomorphism.

We construct $\phi$ for any affine open set $U^i \subset S$. Let $\{U^i, X_i\}$ be an affine covering of $\pi^{-1}(U^i)$, let $\hat{U}_i = U_i \cap \hat{X}_i$. For any Čech cocycle $r_{\hat{U}_i} \in G_E(\hat{U}_i)$ in $C^1(G_E)$, the class $[\text{res}(r_{\hat{U}_i})] \in R^1\pi_*E(U^i)$ vanishes in $R^1\pi_*A^0_{E,\pi/M}(U^i)$. Thus, there exists $\tau_{\hat{X}_i} \in A^0_{E,\pi/M}(\hat{X}_i)$, $\tau_{U_i} \in A^0_{E,\pi/M}(U_i)$ such that $\tau_{\hat{X}_i} - \tau_{U_i} = \text{res}(r_{\hat{U}_i})$ on $\hat{U}_i$. For given $r_{\hat{U}_i}$, the choice of $\tau_{\hat{X}_i}$ and $\tau_{U_i}$ is unique (by Lemma 3.11). Then

$$
(3.13) \quad \{\text{ad}(\tau_{\hat{X}_i}), \text{ad}(\tau_{U_i}); \tilde{\text{ad}}(r_{\hat{U}_i})\}
$$
is a Čech cocycle in $C^0(G_E \to A_{E,\pi/M})$ (cf. [8], p. 673). It is easily checked that the assignment
\[
\tilde{\phi}: r_{U_i} \to \{\text{ad}(\tau_{\chi_i}), \text{ad}(\tau_{\nu_i}); \text{ad}(r_{U_i})\} \in C^0(\text{tr} A_{E,\pi/M}^\bullet)
\]
preserves the respective coboundaries. Hence, it descends to a map
\[
\phi: R^1\pi_*(G_E) \to R^0\pi_* \text{tr} A_{E,\pi/M}^\bullet.
\]
By the same way, we construct $\vartheta: R^1\pi_*(E) \to R^0\pi_* A_{E,\pi/M}^\bullet$ such that
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_* \omega_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_*(G_E) & \rightarrow & R^1\pi_*(E) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \phi & & \vartheta & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & R^0\pi_* \omega_{X/S}[1] & \longrightarrow & R^0\pi_* \text{tr} A_{E,\pi/M}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & R^0\pi_* A_{E,\pi/M}^\bullet & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]
is commutative. The map $\vartheta$ is the composition of
\[
\text{KS}^{-1}: R^1\pi_*(E) \to T_{S/M} = R^0\pi_*(A_{E/S}^0) \to A_{E,\pi/M}^0
\]
and the map $R^0\pi_*(A_{E/S}^0) \to A_{E,\pi/M}^0$, which is induced by the quasi-isomorphism $\text{ad}$ of complexes at the beginning of our proof (it is a quasi-isomorphism since $\text{ad}(D)$ has the same symbol with $D \in A_E$). Thus $\vartheta$ is an isomorphism, then $\phi$ has to be an isomorphism.

q.e.d.

Both $R^1\pi_*(G_E)$ and $R^0\pi_*(\text{End}(E))^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi/M}$ define extension classes in $H^1(S, \Omega_{S/M})$. One has (by the preceding proof combined with proof of Proposition 3.10 for the constant $2r$)

\textbf{Corollary 3.12.} The extension classes (e.c. $[\bullet]$ for short) satisfy
\[
e.c.[R^1\pi_*(G_E)] = \frac{1}{2r} e.c. [\mathcal{D}_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_E)]
\]
in $H^1(S, \Omega_{S/M})$, where $\mathcal{D}_{S/M}^{\leq 1}(\lambda_E) = R^0\pi_*(\text{End}(E))^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi/M}$.

For (ii) of the theorem, our proof will need the following result.

\textbf{Proposition 3.13.} There exists $\overline{\text{res}}: S(G_E) \to A_{E/S}^0$ such that
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & S(G_E) & \longrightarrow & A_{E/S}^0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & & & \Downarrow & & \Downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G_E & \longrightarrow & S(G_E) & \longrightarrow & T_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]
is commutative. If $\text{KS}_S$ in (3.10) is an isomorphism, then
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{O}_S \to R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E) \to T_S \to 0. \]

**Proof.** For any local section $s \in S(\mathcal{G}_E)$ in Definition 3.5, we define
\[ \tilde{\text{res}}(s) = (\chi \partial_t, \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a) J_a), \]
which is independent of the choice of $\{J_a\}$, thus well-defined locally. To show that it is well-defined globally, we need to check the invariance under gauge and coordinate changes. The invariance under local coordinate changes is straightforward. Under the gauge change $g \in \text{GL}_r(\mathcal{O}_X)$, the section $s \in S(\mathcal{G}_E)$ in Definition 3.5 becomes $s_g \otimes \partial_t$, where $s_g$ is
\[ \chi \sum_a (0, gJ_ag^{-1}, 0)^{\otimes 2} + \sum_a (\mu_a - \chi \text{Tr}(J_ag^{-1}g'))(0, gJ_ag^{-1}, 0) \otimes (0, 0, 1) \]
\[ + \sum_a (\nu_a - \chi \text{Tr}(J_ag^{-1}g'))(0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, gJ_ag^{-1}, 0) \]
\[ + \left( \chi \sum_a \text{Tr}(J_ag^{-1}g') - \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a) \text{Tr}(J_ag^{-1}g') + w \right) (0, 0, 1)^{\otimes 2}. \]

Then, $\tilde{\text{res}}(s_g \otimes \partial_t) = (\chi \partial_t, \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a - 2\chi \text{Tr}(J_ag^{-1}g'))gJ_ag^{-1})$ coincides with $(\chi \partial_t, -\chi \partial_t(g)g^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a)gJ_ag^{-1})$ in $A^{E/S} = \mathcal{A}_{E/S}/\mathcal{O}_X$ since $\chi \partial_t(g)g^{-1} = \chi g'g^{-1} = \sum_a \chi \text{Tr}(J_ag^{-1}g'))gJ_ag^{-1}$ modulo $\mathcal{O}_X$. Thus, res is gauge invariant and defined globally. Then, the rest of this proposition is obvious.

**Remark 3.14.**

(i) $\text{Sym}^2(a \otimes b) = \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b + b \otimes a)$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{G}_E$.

(ii) Using Proposition 3.13 and assuming $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_S = 0$, one has a quick interpretation of (ii) of Theorem 3.7.

Note both $R^1\pi_*S(\mathcal{G}_E)$ and $R^0\pi_* \text{tr} \mathcal{A}^{E} \cong \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_S(\lambda_E)$ contain a subsheaf $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{G}_E \cong \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}_{S/M}(\lambda_E)$. Given two extensions $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}'$ of $T_S$ by $\mathcal{O}_S$ suppose their subsheaves with symbolic part in $T_{S/M}$ are isomorphic, then $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}'$ (non-canonically) provided $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_S = 0$ (since $H^1(S, \Omega_S) \to H^1(S, \Omega_S/M)$ is injective if $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_S = 0$ (and $\mathcal{M}$ is affine)). The (ii) of Theorem 3.7 just proves an isomorphism of this kind without reference to $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_S = 0$. 

\[ 360 \text{X. SUN & I-H. TSAI} \]
In what follows, we will choose an open covering of $C$ (shrink $\mathcal{M}$ if necessary), fix a local coordinate (along the fiber) on each open set of the covering and use the local description (3.7). Recall that $X = C \times \mathcal{M} S \overset{\pi}{\longrightarrow} S$.

To simplify notation, we will cover $C$ by two affine open sets $V$ and $\hat{C}$. Let $V \subset C$ be an affine open set and $t$ be a local coordinate (along the fiber) on $V$. Let $\hat{C} \subset C$ be an affine open set containing $C \setminus V$. Then, we choose and fix the local coordinates (along the fiber) on $V$ and $\hat{C}$. Let $U = p^{-1}(V)$, $\hat{X} = p^{-1}(\hat{C})$ (they are not affine but affine over $S$). We start with the construction of $\gamma_{E,U}$:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \omega_{X/S} \longrightarrow \text{tr} A_{E}^{-1}|_{U} \overset{\text{res}}{\longrightarrow} A_{E/S} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\text{ad} : A_{E/S} \to A_{E/S}$ is induced by the morphism in Proposition 3.10 (i) that maps $O_X$ to zero. Note that, except $\gamma_{E,U}$ (resp. $\gamma_{E,\hat{X}}$), other morphisms in the diagram are well defined over the global $\mathcal{M}$ (i.e., need not shrink $\mathcal{M}$).

Let $\{U_i\}_i$ be an affine covering of $S$. Then, $\{U_i := U \cap \pi^{-1}(U_i)\}_i$ (resp. $\{\hat{X}_i = \hat{X} \cap \pi^{-1}(U_i)\}_i$) is an affine covering of $U$ (resp. $\hat{X}$). We will define $\gamma_{E,U}$ (resp. $\gamma_{E,\hat{X}}$) on each $U_i$ (resp. $\hat{X}_i$) using the local description (3.7), then prove it is defined globally on $U$ (resp. $\hat{X}$). It is important to remark that on each $U_i$ (resp. $\hat{X}_i$), we use the local coordinate pulling back from $V$ (resp. $\hat{C}$). Thus, to prove it is well-defined on $U$ (resp. $\hat{X}$), we only need to check the independence of trivialization of $E$ over $U_i$ (resp. $\hat{X}_i$). On each $U_i$, fix a trivialization $I$ of $E$ on $U_i$ and use the pullback coordinate (say, $t$) of $V$, we define
the morphism by using the local description (3.7). For any local section \( \alpha \in S(\mathcal{G}_E(U_i)) \), with respect to \( I \) and \( t \)

\[
\alpha = \left( \chi \sum_a (0, J_a, 0) \otimes (0, J_a, 0) + \sum_a \mu_a (0, J_a, 0) \otimes (0, 0, 1) \right) \otimes \partial_t
\]

(see the expression in Definition 3.5), one defines, where \( r = \text{rank}(E) \),

\[
\gamma_{E,U}(\alpha) = (\chi, \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a) \text{ad} J_a, r w)_{(t, I)} \in \Omega^1_{E}(U_i),
\]

where one uses the natural trivialization \( I \) of \( E \) induced from that of \( E \).

**Lemma 3.15.** The assignment \( \alpha \to \gamma_{E,U}(\alpha) \) constructed above is bundle gauge-invariant; More precisely,

(i) under another choice of trivialization of \( E \) (on \( U_i \)), such that \( J_a \to g J_a g^{-1} \) so that \( \alpha \to \alpha_g \), the assignment to \( \alpha_g \) becomes equal to the \( e_g \)-transformation of \( \gamma_{E,U}(\alpha) \), where \( e_g \) is the gauge of \( E \) induced by \( g \) (cf. Proposition 3.10).

(ii) Under a coordinate change \( y = y(t) \), the difference of \( \gamma_{E,U}(\alpha) \) is

\[
\left( 0, 0, r \chi \left( \frac{1}{6} y'' - \frac{1}{4} y' \right) \right)_{(t, I)} \in \Omega^1_{X/S}(U).
\]

If \( \beta \in \mathcal{G}_E(U_i) \), then \( \gamma_{E,U}(\iota(\beta)) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\text{ad}}(\beta) \), where \( \tilde{\text{ad}} : \mathcal{G}_E \to \mathcal{G}_E \) is the morphism in (ii) of Proposition 3.13.

**Proof.** The proof is to check a straightforward commutativity relation caused by the gauge change, hence we simplify the notation if there is no danger of confusion. As in the proof of Proposition 3.13, use the explicit expression of \( \alpha_g = s_g \otimes \partial_t \), one has

\[
\gamma_{E,U}(\alpha_g) = \left( \chi, \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a - 2 \chi \text{Tr}(J_a g^{-1} g') \text{ad}(g J_a g^{-1})),
\right. \\
\left. r \chi \sum_a \text{Tr}(J_a g^{-1} g')^2 - r \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a) \text{Tr}(J_a g^{-1} g') + rw\right).
\]
The goal is to show $\gamma_{E,U}(\alpha_g)$ equals the $e_g$-transformation $\gamma_{E,U}(\alpha)^g$ of $\gamma_{E,U}(\alpha)$, which is

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\chi, -\chi e'_g e_g^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a)e_g \text{ad}(J_a)e_g^{-1}, & rw + \\
\text{Tr} \left( -\frac{1}{2} e'_g e_g^{-1} + \chi (e'_g e_g^{-1})^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a)\text{ad}(J_a)e_g^{-1} e'_g \right) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that $e_g : M_r(\mathcal{O}_X) \to M_r(\mathcal{O}_X)$ means $e_g(B) = gBg^{-1}$, we have $e_g \text{ad}(J_a)e_g^{-1} = \text{ad}(gJ_aga^{-1})$. Thus, the second components of $\gamma_{E,U}(\alpha_g)$ and $\gamma_{E,U}(\alpha)^g$ will coincide if $e'_g e_g^{-1} = \sum_a \text{Tr}(J_a g^{-1} g') \text{ad}(gJ_aga^{-1})$, which is true since $e'_g e_g^{-1} = \text{ad}(g' g^{-1})$, $e_g^{-1} e'_g = \text{ad}(g' g^{-1})$. To finish the proof, we will show that their third components coincide. Since

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a) \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(J_a)e_g^{-1} e'_g) = r \sum_a (\mu_a + \nu_a) \text{Tr}(J_a g^{-1} g'),$$

it will be done if one can show the following identity

$$(3.16) \quad r \sum_a \text{Tr}(J_a g^{-1} g')^2 = \text{Tr} \left( (e'_g e_g^{-1})^2 - \frac{1}{2} e''_g e_g^{-1} \right).$$

Write $e''_g e_g^{-1} = (e'_g e_g^{-1})' - e'_g (e_g^{-1})' = (e'_g e_g^{-1})' + e'_g e_g^{-1} e'_g e_g^{-1}$, then

$$\text{Tr}(e''_g e_g^{-1}) = \text{Tr}((e'_g e_g^{-1})') = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(g' g^{-1}) \text{ad}(g' g^{-1}))$$

(using $(e'_g e_g^{-1})' = 0$ and $\text{Tr}((e'_g e_g^{-1})') = \text{Tr}(e'_g e_g^{-1})' = 0$ here). Let $(g' g^{-1})_0$ be the traceless part of $g' g^{-1}$. Then RHS of (3.16) equals

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(g' g^{-1}) \text{ad}(g' g^{-1})) = r \text{Tr}((g' g^{-1})_0 (g' g^{-1})_0).$$

By the choice of $\{J_a\}_a$, we have $(g^{-1} g')_0 = \sum_a \text{Tr}(J_a g^{-1} g') J_a$. Then, LHS of (3.16) equals $r \text{Tr}((g^{-1} g')_0 (g^{-1} g')_0) = r \text{Tr}((g^{-1} g')_0 (g^{-1} g')_0)$. Thus, (3.16) is true since $g(g^{-1} g')_0 g^{-1} = (g' g^{-1})_0$. We are done with (i). The assertion (ii) is a straightforward calculation using the coordinate change formula $\tilde{h}_\gamma$ and $\partial_y = y'(t)^{-1} \partial_t$. For $\beta = (0, \sum_a \xi_a J_a, w) \in G_E(U_1)$, $\iota(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}((0, \sum_a \xi_a J_a, w) \otimes (0, 0, 1) + (0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, \sum_a \xi_a J_a, w)) \otimes \partial_t$

$$= \left( \sum_a \frac{1}{2} \xi_a (0, J_a, 0) \otimes (0, 0, 1) + \sum_a \frac{1}{2} \xi_a (0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, J_a, 0) \right) \otimes \partial_t.
$$

Thus, $\gamma_{E,U}(\iota(\beta)) = \frac{1}{2}(0, \text{ad}(\sum_a \xi_a J_a), 2rw) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\text{ad}}(\beta)$. q.e.d.
We have constructed $\gamma_{E,U}$ (the construction of $\gamma_{E,X}$ is similar) such that the above diagram (⋆) is commutative over $U$ (resp. over $X$). However, on $\check{U} := U \cap \check{X}$, the difference (caused by the difference of coordinates on $U$ and $\check{X}$), $\gamma_{\check{U}} := \gamma_{E,U} - \gamma_{E,\check{X}}$ vanishes on $G_E|_{\check{U}}$, but may not vanish on $S(G_E)|_{\check{U}}$ (cf. (ii) of Lemma 3.15). It defines a morphism $\gamma_{\check{U}} : S(G_E)|_{\check{U}} \to \omega_{X/S}|_{\check{U}}$ that induces $\check{\gamma}_{\check{U}} : T_{X/S}|_{\check{U}} \to \omega_{X/S}|_{\check{U}}$, i.e., a section $\check{\gamma}_{\check{U}} \in \text{Hom}(T_{X/S}, \omega_{X/S})(\check{U}) = \omega^2_{X/S}(p^{-1}(V))$, where $V := V \cap \check{C}$. The $[\check{\gamma}_{\check{U}}]$ defines a class of $H^1(C, p_\ast \omega^2_{X/S}) = H^1(C, \omega^2_C/M)$, which vanishes when $M$ is replaced by an affine open set. Thus, there exist $\check{\psi}_{\check{U}} \in \text{Hom}(T_{X/S}, \omega_{X/S})(U)$, $\check{\psi}_X \in \text{Hom}(T_{X/S}, \omega_{X/S})(X)$ such that $\check{\gamma}_{\check{U}} = \check{\psi}_{\check{U}} - \check{\psi}_X$. Let $\psi_U, \psi_X$ denote the induced morphisms

$$\psi_U : S(G_E)|_U \to S(G_E)/G_E|_U \cong T_{X/S}|_U \xrightarrow{\check{\psi}_U} \omega_{X/S}|_U$$

$$\psi_X : S(G_E)|_{\check{X}} \to S(G_E)/G_E|_{\check{X}} \cong T_{X/S}|_{\check{X}} \xrightarrow{\check{\psi}_X} \omega_{X/S}|_{\check{X}}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that $\gamma_{\check{U}} = \gamma_{E,U} - \gamma_{E,\check{X}} = \psi_U - \psi_X$. Let

$$\beta_U := \gamma_{E,U} - \psi_U, \quad \beta_X := \gamma_{E,\check{X}} - \psi_X.$$

Thus, by shrinking $M$, we have proved the following:

**Proposition 3.16.** The $\beta_U$ and $\beta_X$ define a morphism

$$\beta : S(G_E) \to \text{tr} A_{E}^{-1},$$

which induces (through $\iota$) $\frac{1}{2}\text{ad}$ on $G_E$, such that the following diagram is commutative

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & \text{tr} A_{E}^{-1} & \longrightarrow & A_{E/S} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow_{\text{id}} & \swarrow_{\beta} & \downarrow_{\text{res}} & \swarrow_{\text{res}} & \downarrow & \swarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & S(G_E) & \longrightarrow & A_{E/S}^0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
0 & \longrightarrow & G_E & \longrightarrow & S(G_E) & \longrightarrow & T_{X/S} & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}
$$

We shall now prove (ii) of Theorem 3.7.
Proof. The proof is similar to that of (i) of the theorem. By
(3.17) \[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0_{E/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0_{E,\pi} \rightarrow \pi^{-1} T_S \rightarrow 0 \]
and by identifying its connecting map \( T_S \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^0_{E/S} \) with the Kodaira–Spencer map \( KS_S \) (which will be treated more generally for log \( D \) in Proposition 4.5), we see that \( R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^0_{E,\pi} \) vanishes if \( KS_S \) is an isomorphism. Thus, for any \( \alpha \in S(\mathcal{G}_E)(\mathcal{U}_i) \), there exist \( \tau_{\chi_i} \in \mathcal{A}^0_{E,\pi}(\mathcal{X}_i) \) and \( \tau_{\mu_i} \in \mathcal{A}^0_{E,\pi}(\mathcal{U}_i) \) such that \( \tau_{\chi_i} - \tau_{\mu_i} = \tilde{\text{res}}(\alpha) \). Then, by Proposition 3.16, we see that \( \text{res}(\beta(\alpha)) = \text{ad}(\tilde{\text{res}}(\alpha)) \). Thus,
\[
\tilde{\phi}(\alpha) := \{\text{ad}(\tau_{\chi_i}), \text{ad}(\tau_{\mu_i}); \beta(\alpha)\}
\]
is a cocycle in \( C^0(\text{tr} \mathcal{A}^-_E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*_{E,\pi}) \). It is clear that \( \tilde{\phi} \) induces a morphism
\[
\phi : R^1 \pi_* S(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow R^0 \pi_* (\text{tr} \mathcal{A}^-_E \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*_{E,\pi}) = R^0 \pi_* \text{tr} \mathcal{A}^*_{E,\pi}.
\]
Similarly, we construct \( \vartheta : R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^0_{E/S} \rightarrow R^0 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^*_{E,\pi} \), which is the composition of
\[
KS^{-1}_S : R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^0_{E/S} \rightarrow T_S = R^0 \pi_* (\mathcal{A}^0_{E/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0_{E,\pi})
\]
and the isomorphism \( R^0 \pi_* (\mathcal{A}^0_{E/S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^0_{E,\pi}) \rightarrow R^0 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^*_{E,\pi} \) (induced by \( \text{ad} \)). The \( \vartheta \) is an isomorphism such that the following diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & R^1 \pi_* \omega_{X/S} \\
\downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \phi & \downarrow \vartheta \\
0 & \rightarrow & R^0 \pi_* \omega_{X/S}[1]
\end{array}
\quad \begin{array}{ccc}
& \rightarrow & R^1 \pi_* S(\mathcal{G}_E) & \rightarrow & R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^0_{E/S} & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\text{res} & \rightarrow & \text{res} & \rightarrow & \text{res} \\
& \rightarrow & R^0 \pi_* \text{tr} \mathcal{A}^*_E & \rightarrow & R^0 \pi_* \mathcal{A}^*_{E,\pi} & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]
is commutative. Thus \( \phi \) must be an isomorphism. q.e.d.

Remark 3.17. The \( \phi \) in (i) of Theorem 3.17 is defined globally over \( \mathcal{M} \), but the one in (ii) of Theorem 3.17 is defined only over an open set of \( \mathcal{M} \). More precisely, there is an affine covering \( \{\mathcal{M}_i\}_{i \in I} \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) such that on each \( C \times \mathcal{M} f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_i) \), we can choose a \( \beta_i : S(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow \text{tr} \mathcal{A}^-_{E,\pi} \) as the \( \beta \) in Proposition 3.16. Then, by using \( \beta_i \) and (ii) of Theorem 3.17, we get the isomorphism \( \phi_i : R^1 \pi_* S(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow R^0 \pi_* \text{tr} \mathcal{A}^*_E = D^{\leq 1}_S (\lambda_E) \) on \( f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_i) \). For another choice \( \{\beta'_i\}_{i \in I} \), the map \( \beta_i - \beta'_i : S(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow \omega_{X/S} \) induces
\[
\phi_i - \phi'_i : R^1 \pi_* S(\mathcal{G}_E) \rightarrow R^0 \pi_* \omega_{X/S}[1] = \mathcal{O}_S
\]
on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_i)$, which vanishes on $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E)$ (cf. i) of Theorem $3.17$, which is global on $\mathcal{M}$, thus $(\phi_i - \phi_i') \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}_i)$. Similarly, on $f^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_i \cap \mathcal{M}_j)$, $\phi_{ij} := \phi_i - \phi_j$ induces $\tilde{\phi}_{ij} \in \Omega^1_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{M}_i \cap \mathcal{M}_j)$. Thus, $\{\tilde{\phi}_{ij}\}$ defines a class in $H^1(\mathcal{M}, \Omega^1_{\mathcal{M}})$, which is the obstruction of extending the isomorphism in (ii) of Theorem $3.17$ to the global $\mathcal{M}$.

To conclude this section, we describe the connecting maps $\tilde{\delta}$ and prove Lemma $3.18$ (cf. Lemma $3.18$).

**Lemma 3.18.** The map $\tilde{\delta}$ induces the identity map on $R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E)$. More precisely, $\tilde{\delta} \circ \iota(\bullet) = \text{Sym}^2(\bullet \otimes 1)$.

**Proof.** It is known (see Proposition 4.2 of [6]) that the connecting map

$$ \tilde{\delta} : R^1\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E(\Delta)|_\Delta) \to R^2(\pi \times \pi)_*(\mathcal{G}_E \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E) $$

is dual (under Serre duality) to the restriction map

$$ r : (\pi \times \pi)_*(\mathcal{G}_E^* \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E^* \otimes \omega_{X/S} \boxtimes \omega_{X/S}) \to \pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E^* \otimes \mathcal{G}_E^* \otimes \omega^2_{\Delta/S}). $$

Working locally on $S$, we can assume that $X$ is covered by affine open sets $U$ and $\bar{U}$. Let $w \in \omega_{X/S}(\bar{U})$ be a base of $\omega_{X/S}$ on $\bar{U} := U \cap \bar{X}$ and $w^* \in T_{X/S}(\bar{U})$ be its dual base. Then, for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}_E(\bar{U})$,

$$ \iota([\alpha]) = [\text{Sym}^2(\alpha \otimes w) \otimes w^*] = \frac{1}{2}([\alpha \otimes w + w \otimes \alpha] \otimes w^*]. $$

We use the following identification

$$ (\pi \times \pi)_*(\mathcal{G}_E^* \boxtimes \mathcal{G}_E^* \otimes \omega_{X/S} \boxtimes \omega_{X/S}) \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E^* \otimes \omega_{X/S}) \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E^* \otimes \omega_{X/S}). $$

For any $\beta_i \in \pi_*(\mathcal{G}_E^* \otimes \omega_{X/S})$ ($i = 1, 2$), let $\beta_i|_{\bar{U}} = s_i \otimes w$, where $s_i \in \mathcal{G}_E^*(\bar{U})$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then, $r(\beta_1 \otimes \beta_2)|_{\bar{U}} = s_1 \otimes s_2 \otimes w \otimes w$. Thus,

$$ (3.18) \quad \langle \tilde{\delta}(\iota([\alpha])), \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2}([s_1(\alpha)s_2(w) + s_1(w)s_2(\alpha)] \cdot w]. $$

By $R^1\pi_*\omega_{X/S} = \mathcal{O}_S$, let $[f \cdot w] = 1 \in \mathcal{O}_S$ ($f \in \mathcal{O}_X(\bar{U})$), we have

$$ (3.19) \quad \langle \text{Sym}^2([\alpha] \otimes [f \cdot w]), \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2 \rangle $$$$ = \frac{1}{2}([s_1(\alpha) \cdot w][fs_2(w) \cdot w] + [fs_1(w) \cdot w][s_2(\alpha) \cdot w]). $$

Note that $s_i(w) = \beta_i|_{\bar{U}}(w \otimes w^*)$ ($i = 1, 2$), we can see that $s_i(w) = \beta_i(id)|_{\bar{U}}$, where the (global) section $id$ is the image of 1 under $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{G}_E \otimes \omega_{X/S}^*$. Thus, $s_i(w) \in \mathcal{O}_S$ since $\beta_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) are global sections.
of $(G \otimes \omega_X)$. Then, $[s_1(\alpha)s_2(w) \cdot w] = [s_1(\alpha) \cdot w][f s_2(w) \cdot w]$ and $[s_2(\alpha)s_1(w) \cdot w] = [s_2(\alpha) \cdot w][f s_1(w) \cdot w]$, which means that

$$\langle \delta(\mu([\alpha])), \bullet \rangle = \langle \text{Sym}^2([\alpha] \otimes 1), \bullet \rangle.$$  

q.e.d.

4. Generalization to the singular case

Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ be a proper morphism of relative dimension 1 between smooth varieties in characteristic 0 such that each fiber has at most ordinary double points as singularities. Let $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be a smooth morphism where $\tilde{M}$ is a smooth variety. Let $B = \tilde{M} \setminus \tilde{M}$ and $W = \tilde{f}^{-1}(B)$ such that $D = \pi^{-1}(W)$ consists precisely of singular fibres. As before let $\omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}$ be the relative dualizing sheaf (which is locally free as is well known). Let $T_{\tilde{M}}(B) \subset T_{\tilde{M}}$ be the subalgebra of vector fields that preserve $B$ (cf. [2], Section 6).

**Notation 4.1.** In the notation of Section 3, define the following

$$T_{\tilde{f}}(\log D) = d(\tilde{f} \circ \pi)^{-1}((\tilde{f} \circ \pi)^{-1}T_{\tilde{M}}(B)) \subset T_{\tilde{X}},$$

$$T_{\pi}(\log D) = T_{\tilde{f}}(\log D) \cap d\pi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}T_{\tilde{S}}) \subset T_{\tilde{X}},$$

$$T_{\tilde{S}}(\log W) = d\pi(T_{\pi}(\log D)) \subset T_{\tilde{S}},$$

$$T_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}(\log D) = T_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}} \cap T_{\pi}(\log D).$$

**Notation 4.2.** Let $E$ be a vector bundle on $\tilde{X}$. Define the following:

$$A_{E,\pi}(\log D) = \epsilon^{-1}T_{\pi}(\log D) \subset A_E,$$

$$A_{E/\tilde{S}}(\log D) = \epsilon^{-1}T_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}}(\log D) \subset A_E,$$

$$A_{E}^{\lambda}(\log W) = \epsilon^{-1}T_{\tilde{S}}(\log W) \subset A_{E},$$

where “$\epsilon$” denotes symbol maps.

The $\text{tr} A_{E}^{-1}$ in Section 3 admits a generalization $\text{tr} A_{E}^{-1}(\log D)$ (cf. [19], p. 593) such that

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_{\tilde{X}/\tilde{S}} \rightarrow \text{tr} A_{E}^{-1}(\log D) \rightarrow A_{E/\tilde{S}}(\log D) \rightarrow 0,$$

is exact. Furthermore, with $\text{tr} A_{E}$ in Section 3 replaced by $\text{tr} A_{E}^{\bullet}(\log D)$ (with $A_{E,\pi}(\log D)$ in degree 0), one has...
**Proposition 4.3** (cf. [138]). There is a canonical isomorphism
\[ R^0 \pi_* A_E^i (\log D) \cong A_{\lambda_E} (\log W) \]
that extends Proposition 3.1.

Now, go back to moduli situation, note \( T_{\widetilde{X}/S}(\log D) = \omega^{-1}_{\widetilde{X}/S} \). Recall Notation 2.10 and Kodaira–Spencer map (cf. [20], Remark 3.2.7)
\[ KS : \tilde{f}^* T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}}(B) \to R^1 \pi_* T_{\tilde{X}/S}(\log D). \]

As the same is the situation of smooth curves, we have

**Lemma 4.4.** The morphism \( \tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) is smooth and
\[ T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} = R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{E}nd^0(E). \]

Proof. When \( C \) is irreducible, its fibre \( \tilde{f}^{-1}([C]) \) is the moduli space of stable bundles with fixed determinant \( \mathcal{N}|_C \). When \( C \) is reducible, \( \tilde{f}^{-1}([C]) \) have a few disjoint irreducible components and each component consists of bundles with a fixed determinant that coincides with \( \mathcal{N}|_C \) outside the node of \( C \) (cf. [18]). q.e.d.

**Proposition 4.5.**
(i) Assume the Kodaira–Spencer map KS (4.2) is injective. Then,
\[ R^0 \pi_* (\mathcal{E}nd(E)^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \to R^0 \pi_* (\mathcal{E}nd(E) \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \to 0 \]
is canonically isomorphic to
\[ D^\leq_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} (\lambda_E) \to T_{\tilde{S}/\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} \to 0. \]
(ii) Assume that the KS (4.2) is an isomorphism. Then
\[ R^1 \pi_* A^0_{E/S}(\log D) \cong T_{\tilde{S}}(\log W) \]
canonicaly, where \( A^0_{E/S}(\log D) = A_{E/S}(\log D)/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \).

The following is left to the reader.

**Lemma 4.6.**
\[ (A_{E/S}(\log D) \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \cong_{q.i.} (T_{\tilde{X}/S}(\log D) \to T_{\pi}(\log D)) \cong_{q.i.} \pi^{-1} T_{\tilde{S}}(\log W) \]
as quasi-isomorphisms.
We prove now Proposition 4.5.

Proof.
(i) From the exact sequence
\[ 0 \to (\text{End}(E)^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \to 1^{tr} A_{E}(\log D) \to T_{X/S}(\log D)[1] \to 0, \]
and passage to cohomology
\[ 0 \to R^0\pi_*(\text{End}(E)^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \to A_{\lambda_E}(\log W) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} R^0\pi_* T_{X/S}(\log D)[1] \to \cdots, \]
one has, via the injectivity of KS, that \( \epsilon^{-1}(0) \) has symbolic part in \( T_{\hat{S}/\hat{\mathcal{M}}} \).
This gives one of the isomorphisms in (i) (the one with \( D \leq \lambda_E \)).

Further, by
\[ 0 \to \omega_{\hat{X}/\hat{S}}[1] \to (\text{End}(E)^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \to (\text{End}(E) \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \to 0 \]
and \( R^0\pi_* \omega_{\hat{X}/\hat{S}}[1] \cong O_{\hat{S}} \) it follows
\[ R^0\pi_*(\text{End}(E)^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \to R^0\pi_*(\text{End}(E) \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \]
is nothing but the symbol map, completing the asserted isomorphisms.

(ii) Write \( B^\bullet(\log D) \) for \((\text{End}(E) \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D))\) and \( A_{E,\pi}^\bullet(\log D) \) for LHS of Lemma 4.6. The following exact sequence
\[ 0 \to B^\bullet(\log D) \to A_{E,\pi}^\bullet(\log D) \to T_{\hat{X}/\hat{S}}(\log D)[1] \to 0 \tag{4.3}. \]
projects to
\[ 0 \to \text{End}^0(E)[1] \to A^0_{E/\hat{S}}(\log D)[1] \to T_{\hat{X}/\hat{S}}(\log D)[1] \to 0 \tag{4.4}. \]
Computing direct images of (4.3) and (4.4), by (i) just proved and Lemma 4.6, yields that \( R^1\pi_* \) of (4.4) should be isomorphic to
\[ 0 \to T_{\hat{S}/\hat{\mathcal{M}}} \to T_{\hat{S}}(\log W) \to f^*T_{\hat{\mathcal{M}}}(B) \to 0, \]
implicating the assertion. q.e.d.

Remark 4.7.
(i) \( R^1\pi_*(G_E) \cong R^0\pi_*(\text{End}(E)^{-1} \to A_{E,\pi}(\log D)) \cong D_{\lambda_E}^{\leq 1} \) holds,
cf. Theorem 3.7 and Remark 3.8.
(ii) For the family $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ there is a Kodaira–Spencer map (cf. \[20\], 3.1-2)

\[(4.5) \quad \rho_b : T_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, b} \to \text{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b}}(\Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b}), \quad b \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}.\]

The family $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a local universal family if $\rho_b$ is an isomorphism at each $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a local universal family (cf. \[20\], Theorem 3.1.5 for the existence of such a family), then KS (4.2) is an isomorphism (cf. \[20\], Theorem 3.2.6).

Combining the above with the 2nd half of Section 2, we are now ready to generalize Theorem 3.7 in the context of log geometry.

**Theorem 4.8.** Suppose KS in (4.2) is an isomorphism (cf. ii) of Remark 4.7. Then, over any affine open set $U_i$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, there is an isomorphism

$$\phi_i : R^1\pi_* S(G_E) \cong D^{\leq 1}_S(\lambda_E)(\log W).$$

**Proof.** Note the above assumption for KS is via (ii) of Proposition 4.5, in correspondence to KS_S in Theorem 3.7. It follows that all key ingredients in proof of Theorem 3.7 admit corresponding counterparts for log geometry, such as Propositions 4.3 and 4.6. Thus, the generalization of Theorem 3.7 in log context is immediate. q.e.d.

To complete this paper, we prove the coherence of $f_T^* \Theta^k$ (for definitions of $f_T$ and $f_Z$, see Notation 2.11), for which our proof need a result on the density of locally free sheaves.

**Proposition 4.9.** The fibre of $f_Z : Z \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ at any point of $B = \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \setminus \mathcal{M}$ has a dense open set of locally free sheaves.

**Proof.** Let $X_0$ be a fibre of $\mathcal{C} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ at $0 \in B$. If $X_0$ is reducible, the lemma is known (see Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 2.2 of \[18\]). Thus, we assume that $X_0$ is irreducible. Let $\mathcal{U}_0$ be the moduli space of semistable sheaves of rank $r$ and degree $d$ (without fixed determinant) on $X_0$. We need to show that $Z_0 := f_Z^{-1}(0) (\subset \mathcal{U})$ contains a dense set of locally free sheaves with the fixed determinant $\mathcal{N}_0$. Let $J(X_0)$ be the Jacobian of $X_0$, which consists of line bundles of degree 0 (thus non-compact for the singular curve $X_0$). Then, we have a morphism

$$\phi_0 : Z_0 \times J(X_0) \to \mathcal{U}_0, \quad \text{where } \phi_0(E, L) = E \otimes L.$$
Now, we prove that \( \phi_0 \) has fibre dimension at most 1, namely, for any \([F_0] \in U_0\), the fibre

\[
\phi_0^{-1}([F_0]) = \{(F,L) | F \otimes L = F_0\} \subset Z_0 \times J(X_0)
\]

has at most dimension 1 (for simplicity, we assume that \( X_0 \) has only one node \( x_0 \)). One can check that for any \([F] \in Z_0\), it satisfies

\[
\wedge^r F \subset N_0 \quad \text{and} \quad m_{x_0}^{r(F)} N_0 \subset \wedge^r F \text{torsion},
\]

where \( m_{x_0} \) is the ideal sheaf of the node \( x_0 \in X_0 \) and \( r(F) = \deg(\wedge^r F \text{torsion}) \). Let \( \rho : \tilde{X}_0 \to X_0 \) be the normalization and \( \rho^{-1}(x_0) = \{x_1, x_2\} \). Then, the above condition implies that

\[
\rho^*(\wedge^r F) \text{torsion} = \rho^* N_0(-n_1 x_1 - n_2 x_2), \quad n_1 + n_2 \leq 2r(F), \; n_1 \geq 0, n_2 \geq 0,
\]

where \( r(F) = d - \deg(\wedge^r F_0 \text{torsion}) = r(F_0) \) since \( F \otimes L = F_0 \) and thus

\[
\wedge^r F_0 \text{torsion} = \wedge^r F \text{torsion} \otimes L^r.
\]

Therefore for any \((F,L) \in \phi_0^{-1}(F_0)\), \( L \) has to satisfy

\[
(\rho^* L)^r = (\rho^* N_0)^{-1}(n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2) \otimes \rho^* \wedge^r F_0 \text{torsion},
\]

which is a finite set since there are only finitely many choices of \((n_1, n_2)\). The pullback map \( \rho^* : J(X_0) \to J(\tilde{X}_0) \) has 1-dimensional kernel. Thus, \( \dim(\phi_0^{-1}([F_0])) \leq 1 \). We shall now prove the density of locally free sheaves.

Let \( Z_i \) be an irreducible component of \( Z_0 \), then

\[
(4.6) \quad \dim(Z_i \times J(X_0)) \geq \dim(Z_0 \times J(X_0)) = \dim(U_0).
\]

If \( Z_i \) contains no locally free sheaf, then \( \phi(Z_i \times J(X_0)) \) falls into the subvariety \( U_0^0 \subset U_0 \) of non-locally free sheaves. \( U_0^0 \) has a dense open set \( U_0^0(1) \) consisting of torsion free sheaves \( F \) of the following type, said to be type 1. Namely,

\[
F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_0,x_0} = \mathcal{O}_{X_0,x_0}^{(r-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_0,x_0} \mathcal{O}_{x_0}.
\]

If \( \phi((F,L)) = F_0 \in U_0^0(1) \), then \( F \) is also of type 1 (tensoring a line bundle does not change its type). By Remark 8.1 of [15],

\[
L_0 = \frac{\wedge^r F \text{torsion}}{\text{torsion}}
\]
is a torsion free (but non-locally free) sheaf of degree \(d\). But \(L_0 \subset N_0\), thus \(L_0 = N_0\) since they have the same degree, a contradiction with that \(L_0\) is not locally free. Thus, \(\phi(Z_0 \times J(X_0))\) falls into a subvariety of codimension at least two, which contradicts the dimension of fibres and (4.6).

q.e.d.

**Theorem 4.10.**

(i) \(f_{T*} \Theta^k\) is coherent,

(ii) \(f_{T*} \Theta^k = \tilde{f}_* \Theta^k\) if either \(g \geq 3\) or \(r \geq 3\).

**Proof.** Let \(\iota: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z\) be the normalisation of \(Z\) and \(\tilde{\Theta} = \iota^*(\Theta)\).

Write \(f_{\tilde{Z}}: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}\) and \(f_{\iota^{-1}(T)}: \iota^{-1}(T) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}\). Then, \(\iota^{-1}(T) \cong T\) since \(T\) is normal, and \(\mathcal{F} := f_{T*} \Theta^k \cong f_{\iota^{-1}(T)*}(\tilde{\Theta}^k)\). On the other hand, since each fibre of \(f_Z: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\) contains a dense open set of locally free sheaves, we have \(\text{codim}(Z \setminus T) \geq 2\). Thus, \(\tilde{Z} \setminus \iota^{-1}(T) = \iota^{-1}(Z \setminus T)\) has codimension at least 2 since \(\iota: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z\) is a finite map. By Hartogs type extension theorem,

\[
\mathcal{F} \cong f_{\iota^{-1}(T)*}(\tilde{\Theta}^k) \cong f_{\tilde{Z}*}(\tilde{\Theta}^k),
\]

which is coherent, hence (i). The claim (ii) that \(f_{T*} \Theta^k = \tilde{f}_* \Theta^k\) follows also from the Hartogs type theorem because \(T\) is normal and \(T \setminus S\) is of codimension at least 2 when \(g > 2\) or \(r > 2\) (cf. [10]). q.e.d.

Finally, we prove the following lemma, though not strictly needed for this paper, which gives the relationships of \(S(\mathcal{G}_E), \mathcal{G}_{E*}\) and \(\mathcal{A}_{E^*/S}\). We remark that the morphism \(\tilde{\text{res}}\) in Proposition 3.13 can be induced by pairings in this lemma.

**Lemma 4.11.** There are canonical isomorphisms:

(i) \(S(\mathcal{G}_E)/\omega_X/S \cong (\mathcal{G}_{E*})^*\),

(ii) \((\mathcal{G}_E)^* \cong \mathcal{A}_{E^*/S}^0\) where \(\mathcal{A}_{E^*/S}^0 = \mathcal{A}_{E^*/S}/\mathcal{O}_X\).

**Proof.**

(i) One constructs a non-degenerate pairing \(\mathcal{G}_{E*} \times S(\mathcal{G}_E)/\omega_X/S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\) using local description (3.7) (also cf. [2]). We define

\[
\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle := \chi \nu + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (\nu_a + \mu_a) \text{Trace}(J_a \cdot \ell B)
\]
for \( s_1 = (0, B, \nu) \) and
\[
\begin{aligned}
s_2 &= \left( \chi \sum_a (0, J_a, 0) \otimes (0, J_a, 0) + \sum_a \mu_a(0, J_a, 0) \otimes (0, 0, 1) \\
&\quad + \sum_a \nu_a(0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, J_a, 0) + w(0, 0, 1) \otimes (0, 0, 1) \right) \otimes \partial_t.
\end{aligned}
\]

One sees that \( \omega_{Y/S} \) is contained in the kernel of the pairing \( (4.7) \). The pairing is obviously invariant under coordinates change \( y(t) \). If the trivialization of \( E \) is changed by a gauge \( g \), then \( E^* \) is changed by a gauge \( (t^g)^{-1} \). Thus, the verification of \( (4.7) \) being \( g \)-invariant is easily reduced to an identity
\[
(4.8) \quad \sum_a \text{Tr}(-J_2 g^{-1} g') \cdot \text{Tr}(J_a \cdot t B) = \text{Tr}(B(t^g)((t^g)^{-1})').
\]

The LHS of \( (4.8) \) equals \( \text{Tr}(-g^{-1} g' \cdot t B) \) (\( B \) being traceless). The RHS of \( (4.8) \), after transposition, is
\[
\text{Tr}((g^{-1} g' \cdot t B) = \text{Tr}((-g^{-1} g' g^{-1}) g \cdot t B).
\]

(ii) Define a non-degenerate pairing
\[
(4.9) \quad \mathcal{G}_E \times \mathcal{A}^0_{E^*/S} \to \mathcal{O}_X
\]
by \( \langle (0, B_1, \nu), (\chi, B_2) \rangle = \nu \chi + \text{Trace}(B_1 \cdot t B_2) \). We check that it is independent of choices of coordinates and gauges.
\[
(4.10) \quad (0, B_1, \nu) := s_1 \xrightarrow{g} (0, gB_1 g^{-1}, \text{Tr}(-B_1 g^{-1} g') + \nu), \\
(\chi, B_2) := s_2 \xrightarrow{g^{-1}} \left( \chi, \text{Tr}((t^g)^{-1} \cdot t B_2) \right),
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
s_1 y(t) &\rightarrow (0, B_1, \nu y'), \\
s_2 y(t) &\rightarrow (\chi y^{-1}, B_2).
\end{aligned}
\]
The \( y(t) \)-change is obvious. For \( g \)-change, we have
\[
(4.11) \quad \chi \nu + \text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot t B_2) \rightarrow \chi \nu + \chi \text{Tr}(-B_1 g^{-1} g') \\
+ \text{Tr}\left(gB_1 g^{-1} \cdot t (\chi((t^g)^{-1} \cdot t g)) \right) \\
+ \text{Tr}\left(gB_1 g^{-1} \cdot t (g^{-1} B_2 \cdot t g) \right).
\]
The 1st (resp. 2nd) term in the last line equals
\[
(4.12) \quad -\chi \text{Tr}(gB_1 g^{-1} \cdot g(g^{-1})') = -\chi \text{Tr}(gB_1 g^{-1} \cdot g(-g^{-1} g' g^{-1})) = \chi \text{Tr}(gB_1 g^{-1} g' g^{-1}) = \chi \text{Tr}(B_1 g^{-1} g')
\]
(resp. \( \text{Tr}(gB_1 \cdot t B_2 g^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(B_1 \cdot t B_2) \)).

It follows from (4.11) and (4.12) that the pairing \( \chi \nu + \text{Tr}(B_1 t B_2) \) in (4.9) is globally defined. q.e.d.
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