Optimized single-shot laser ablation of concave mirror templates on optical fibers
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We realize mirror templates on the tips of optical fibers using a single-shot CO₂ laser ablation procedure and perform a systematic study of the influence of the pulse power, pulse duration, and laser spot size on their geometry. This investigation provides new insights into CO₂ laser ablation of optical fibers and should help improve current models. We notably find that the radius of curvature, depth, and diameter of the templates exhibit extrema as a function of the power and duration of the ablation pulse, and observe that compound convex–concave shapes can be obtained. We additionally identify regimes of ablation parameters that lead to mirror templates with favorable geometries for use in cavity quantum electrodynamics and optomechanics.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, CO₂ laser ablation has become a mature technique for the processing of optical glasses, uniquely suited to fabricating micrometer-scale structures with sub-nanometer surface roughness [1,2]. A wide range of shapes can be produced using this technique, including microspheres [3], microlenses [4,5], microtoroids [6], gratings [1], holographic structures [7], and concave mirror templates [8,9]. In particular, concave mirror templates can be realized on the tips of optical fibers [8] and can be used to define tunable open-access Fabry–Perot microcavities [10,11]. The combination of spectral tunability, high finesse, intrinsic fiber coupling, and the uniquely small dimensions offered by these optical cavities has led to their widespread adoption in cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED) [12–19], and to a lesser extent in optomechanics [20–23].

The main focus over the past few years has been developing multi-shot ablation procedures in order to improve control over the geometry of the concave shape [24–27]. These studies, alongside refined analytical and numerical cavity models [28–32], contributed to recent breakthroughs in trapped ion CQED [33], trapped atom CQED [34], solid-state QED [35], and optomechanics [36]. Nevertheless, few systematic studies of the effects of fabrication parameters on the geometry of structures created on the tip of an optical fiber by a single ablation pulse have been performed.

In this work, we focus on single-shot ablation, adding to the pioneering work from [8]. We realize mirror templates on the tips of a large number of optical fibers using varying pulse powers (0.5–3 W), pulse durations (10–50 ms), and spot sizes (32–67 μm). We characterize in detail the influence of each of those three ablation parameters on the shape of the resulting structures, and more specifically on their radius of curvature, depth, and diameter. We then study the relationships between those three geometrical characteristics and identify regimes of ablation parameters that lead to templates with favorable geometries for use in cavity quantum electrodynamics and optomechanics.

2. SETUP AND METHODS

Our CO₂ laser ablation setup is depicted in Fig. 1(a). Similar to the setup introduced in [25], it comprises both a CO₂ ablation arm and an imaging arm. An RF-pumped Synrad Firestar V30 10.6 μm CO₂ laser is driven by a 20 kHz pulse-width-modulated control signal using the dedicated UC-2000 controller. These conditions ensure a nearly continuous output and determine the maximum power that can be used for ablation. Here we use a 50% duty cycle, which corresponds to a maximum power of 2.1 W. The ablation pulses are shaped by imprinting a square temporal profile on the nearly continuous-wave CO₂ laser beam using a Brimrose GEM-40 acousto-optic modulator (AOM), to which we send square pulses of amplitude \( V_{\text{AOM}} \) between 0 V and 1 V and of duration \( \tau \) ranging from 10 ms to 50 ms. In order to provide optical isolation, the beam goes through a Brewster polarizer and a quarter-wave plate. This also serves to make the beam circularly polarized, which reduces the ellipticity of ablated structures [32]. The beam is then expanded before being focused by a 50 mm focal length ZnSe aspheric lens. A mirror can be placed before the focusing lens in order to measure the incident power using a power meter, providing a mapping between the amplitude...
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The mapping between the defocusing distance \( \Delta z \) from the focal point of the lens, ranging from 0 mm to 1 mm. The mapping between the defocusing distance \( \Delta z \) and the 1/\( e^2 \) beam radius (spot size) \( w \) is calibrated by performing a series of knife-edge measurements of the beam profile for different values of \( \Delta z \).

We use Thorlabs 780HP single-mode fibers, whose core and cladding diameters are 4.4 \( \mu \)m and 125 \( \mu \)m, respectively. Before ablation, each fiber is cut to length, stripped, cleaved using a Photon Kinetics PK11 ultrasonic cleaver, and positioned into a holder with about 2 mm of freestanding length.

The ablation procedure is then the following: (1) center the core of the fiber at the focal point of the imaging arm. The core appears as a bright spot on the microscope image due to the illumination light coupling into it and being reflected from the other end of the fiber. (2) Translate the holder by a calibrated distance so that the core of the fiber is located at the focal point of the CO\(_2\) laser beam. (3) Tune the spot size at the position of the fiber by performing an additional displacement along the beam axis. (4) Trigger the ablation pulse. (5) Translate the holder back to the imaging arm to confirm centering and to roughly estimate the geometrical characteristics of the resulting structure [Figs. 1(b) and 1(d)].

Next, the height profiles of the ablated fibers are measured with a Keyence VK-Y200K laser scanning confocal microscope with a vertical resolution of 0.5 nm and a lateral pixel size of 46.5 nm. Two examples of such profiles are shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(e). We performed AFM measurements on a few of the fibers and found that the roughness at the center of the ablated structures is typically smaller than 0.3 nm rms, in good agreement with previously reported values [8].

For height profiles exhibiting a concave part, we extract their characteristic dimensions (see Fig. 2). We first correct for plane tilt, find the center of the structure, and calculate its outer diameter \( D_{\text{out}} \) which we define as the diameter of the contour line at 5% of the depth of the structure. We then fit an elliptic paraboloid to the height profile cropped to a disk centered on the structure and whose radius is half the waist of a Gaussian fitted to a linecut through the structure. Next, we use the fit results to calculate the depth \( t \) of the structure and its radius of curvature \( \text{ROC} = (\text{ROC}_a + \text{ROC}_b) / 2 \), where \( \text{ROC}_a \) and \( \text{ROC}_b \) are the radii of curvature along the major and minor axes of the elliptic paraboloid, respectively. Finally, we evaluate the residuals of the fit and calculate the spherical diameter \( D_{\text{sph}} \) of the structure, which we define as the diameter of the disk centered on the structure for which fit residuals are smaller than 100 nm. \( D_{\text{sph}} \) is intended to be an estimate of the effective mirror diameter as used in [10].

Next, the height profiles of the ablated fibers are measured with a Keyence VK-Y200K laser scanning confocal microscope with a vertical resolution of 0.5 nm and a lateral pixel size of 46.5 nm. Two examples of such profiles are shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(e). We performed AFM measurements on a few of the fibers and found that the roughness at the center of the ablated structures is typically smaller than 0.3 nm rms, in good agreement with previously reported values [8].

For height profiles exhibiting a concave part, we extract their characteristic dimensions (see Fig. 2). We first correct for plane tilt, find the center of the structure, and calculate its outer diameter \( D_{\text{out}} \) which we define as the diameter of the contour line at 5% of the depth of the structure. We then fit an elliptic paraboloid to the height profile cropped to a disk centered on the structure and whose radius is half the waist of a Gaussian fitted to a linecut through the structure. Next, we use the fit results to calculate the depth \( t \) of the structure and its radius of curvature \( \text{ROC} = (\text{ROC}_a + \text{ROC}_b) / 2 \), where \( \text{ROC}_a \) and \( \text{ROC}_b \) are the radii of curvature along the major and minor axes of the elliptic paraboloid, respectively. Finally, we evaluate the residuals of the fit and calculate the spherical diameter \( D_{\text{sph}} \) of the structure, which we define as the diameter of the disk centered on the structure for which fit residuals are smaller than 100 nm. \( D_{\text{sph}} \) is intended to be an estimate of the effective mirror diameter as used in [10].

Next, the height profiles of the ablated fibers are measured with a Keyence VK-Y200K laser scanning confocal microscope with a vertical resolution of 0.5 nm and a lateral pixel size of 46.5 nm. Two examples of such profiles are shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(e). We performed AFM measurements on a few of the fibers and found that the roughness at the center of the ablated structures is typically smaller than 0.3 nm rms, in good agreement with previously reported values [8].

For height profiles exhibiting a concave part, we extract their characteristic dimensions (see Fig. 2). We first correct for plane tilt, find the center of the structure, and calculate its outer diameter \( D_{\text{out}} \) which we define as the diameter of the contour line at 5% of the depth of the structure. We then fit an elliptic paraboloid to the height profile cropped to a disk centered on the structure and whose radius is half the waist of a Gaussian fitted to a linecut through the structure. Next, we use the fit results to calculate the depth \( t \) of the structure and its radius of curvature \( \text{ROC} = (\text{ROC}_a + \text{ROC}_b) / 2 \), where \( \text{ROC}_a \) and \( \text{ROC}_b \) are the radii of curvature along the major and minor axes of the elliptic paraboloid, respectively. Finally, we evaluate the residuals of the fit and calculate the spherical diameter \( D_{\text{sph}} \) of the structure, which we define as the diameter of the disk centered on the structure for which fit residuals are smaller than 100 nm. \( D_{\text{sph}} \) is intended to be an estimate of the effective mirror diameter as used in [10].
3. EFFECTS OFABLATION PARAMETERS ON
STRUCTURE SHAPE

CO₂ laser ablation is a complex, multi-physical process in
which the dynamics of heat transfer, phase transitions, and
liquid flow all come into play. The dominant phenomena for
determining the shape created at the ablation site are strongly
material dependent and change even for small variations in
ablation parameters [37]. For instance, when the surface tem-
perature is not raised above the vaporization temperature,
material removal is minimal, and the ablation site undergoes
mainly smoothing [38]. When vaporization occurs, for certain
ablation parameters, a combination of vaporization and of melt
displacement driven by recoil pressure can result in the forma-
tion of a concave shape [39]. Finally, if solidification occurs
slowly enough, capillary forces can make the geometry evolve
further, eventually leading to a convex shape [40]. Due mainly
to a lack of quantitative understanding of the interplay between
those phenomena during the ablation process and to a lack of
data on material properties at high temperature, models have
yet to demonstrate the ability to accurately predict the shape
resulting from CO₂ laser ablation within an experimentally
relevant range of ablation parameters [37,40–42]. Modeling
is especially problematic for optical fibers, since radial boundary
effects come into play [8]. This motivates us to study in detail
the specific effect of each ablation parameter, aiming to extend
the range of geometries that can be achieved and to provide
guidelines for the fabrication of mirror templates for open
micro-cavities.

We characterize the geometry of 129 structures formed on
fiber facets following the single-shot CO₂ ablation procedure
described above. We use pulse durations τ of 10 ms, 30 ms,
and 50 ms and defocusing distances Δz of 0 mm, −0.1 mm,
−0.2 mm, −0.3 mm, and −0.4 mm, corresponding to spot
sizes ω of 32 μm, 36 μm, 45 μm, 56 μm, and 67 μm. For
each combination of those parameters, we perform a series of
ablations with varying pulse power P CO₂. We then measure the
height profile of the fiber facets, which can be flat, concave,
convex, or a mixture of convex and concave. For the 123 fibers
that are concave in their centers, we fit this concave part to
extract the geometrical characteristics of the structure.

In order to illustrate the effect of ablation pulse power on
the shape, linecuts through the height profiles of a selection of
fibers are plotted in Fig. 3(a). We distinguish phenomenologi-
cally five different regimes of pulse power, each of them leading
to a different type of modification of the surface of the fiber,
some of which might not be observed depending on the value
of the other ablation parameters. (1) For very low pulse powers,
no modification of the surface occurs. (2) For low pulse powers,
the overall geometry is not modified, but the area exposed to
the laser is smoothed. (3) For medium pulse powers, concave
structures are created, whose depth and outer diameter increase
with pulse power. (4) For high pulse powers, concave structures
whose depth and outer diameter decrease with pulse power are
created within an increasingly convex shape. Such a shape
prevents cleaving imperfections from limiting the minimum
length of optical cavities, and previously required additional
processing steps [43]. (5) For very high pulse power, a fully
convex shape is created. The change from a concave to convex–
concave geometry associated with the transition from regime 3
to regime 4 is highlighted in Fig. 3(b), where we plot the cur-
vature of a convex parabola fitted to the outer part of the fibers
whose linecuts are shown in Fig. 3(a). We now focus on the
concave structures that are obtained in regimes 3 and 4, and
discuss the effects of pulse power, pulse duration, and spot size
on their geometry.

The geometrical characteristics ROC, t, D out, and D sph
obtained by fitting the profiles of the fibers exhibiting con-
cave structures are plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of the abla-
tion parameters P CO₂, τ, and w. In the low-power regime
(regime 3), an increase in pulse power leads to a decrease in
ROC, and an increase in depth, outer diameter, and spherical
diameter. In the high-power regime (regime 4), an increase in
pulse power leads to an increase in ROC, a decrease in depth
and outer diameter, and an increase in spherical diameter.
In both power regimes, an increase in spot size leads to an
increase in ROC, a decrease in depth, and has no significant
effect on the outer and spherical diameters. Increasing the pulse
duration has a more complex effect: it generally shifts values
of the geometrical characteristics to lower pulse powers and
narrows their distribution. This results in a decrease in the
pulse power corresponding to the onset of regime 4, associated
with an increase in the sensitivity of the geometry to changes
in pulse power. As a consequence, shorter pulse durations give
finer control over the geometry of the structures, since devia-
tions in pulse power have a smaller effect. In addition, decreas-
ing the pulse duration decreases the minimum achievable
ROC, increases the maximum achievable depth, and decreases
the minimum achievable outer and spherical diameters. Note
that we do not observe the defocusing distance to have a sig-
nificant effect on crater asymmetry, which we measure to be
5% on average.

Fig. 3. (a) Linecuts taken through the measured height profiles of fibers ablated with increasing pulse power. The axis shows the direction along which P CO₂ increases and illustrates the power regimes defined in the main text. The values of P CO₂ used were 0.3 W, 0.9 W, 1.0 W, 1.2 W, 1.3 W, 1.4 W, 1.6 W, and 0.5 W for fibers from left to right. A spot size of 45 μm was used for all fibers. A pulse duration of 30 ms was used for all fibers but the rightmost, which was subjected to a 300 ms ablation pulse. (b) Plot as a function of P CO₂ of the curvature κ of a convex parabola fitted to the outer part of the fibers whose linecuts are shown in (a) and for which a pulse duration of 30 ms was used.
4. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE GEOMETRICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF CONCAVE STRUCTURES

Fiber-based Fabry–Perot optical microcavities are widely used in the fields of CQED [12–19,33,34] and optomechanics [20–23], with additional applications in sensing [44–46]. For most of these applications, it is desirable to minimize the waist $w_0$ of the cavity mode and to maximize its finesse $F$. To achieve this, it is necessary to optimize several geometrical characteristics simultaneously while complying with experimental requirements specific to each application. We now study the relationships between the geometrical characteristics of the concave structures, showing that they can be independently chosen to a larger extent than previously reported [47,48] by varying $\tau$, $w$, and $P_{CO_2}$. We then point toward strategies to fabricate mirror templates tailored for two commonly used cavity geometries and their associated applications.

The relationship between the radius of curvature and the depth of the structures is shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). It is most relevant to fiber-based cavity QED with solid-state emitters, or to other applications where an optical emitter is located on or near one of the mirrors. The optimal cavity geometry is the planar–concave geometry, for which the waist of the fundamental mode is given by $w_0^2 = \lambda L_{cav}/\pi \sqrt{1/\varepsilon - 1}$, with $\varepsilon = L_{cav}/ROC \in [0, 1]$. ROC is the radius of curvature of the concave mirror, and $L_{cav}$ is the cavity length. It is usually

\begin{align*}
\frac{w_0}{\varepsilon} = \frac{L_{cav}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1/\varepsilon - 1}},
\end{align*}

Fig. 4. Geometrical characteristics of ablated concave structures plotted as a function of ablation parameters. The different rows show plots of $ROC$, $t$, $D_{out}$, and $D_{sph}$ as a function of the pulse power $P_{CO_2}$. The pulse duration $\tau$ is varied across columns, and the spot size $w$ is encoded in the color of the points. Points corresponding to the fibers belonging to regimes 3 and 4, shown in Fig. 3(a), are outlined in black.

Fig. 5. Plots of the relationships between geometrical characteristics, with the direction of increasing pulse power shown by the gray arrows. (a), (b) Structure depth as a function of radius of curvature for various spot sizes and pulse durations, respectively. The dashed line follows $t = ROC/2$. (c), (d) Spherical diameter as a function of radius of curvature for various spot sizes and pulse durations, respectively. The shaded area shows the region where clipping losses are small in the case when $\varepsilon = 1$. 

\begin{align*}
\frac{w_0}{\varepsilon} = \frac{L_{cav}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1/\varepsilon - 1}},
\end{align*}
desirable to minimize both the cavity length and the radius of curvature in order to decrease the mode waist, the physical limit for $L_{\text{cav}}$, being the depth of the structure. One should additionally make sure that $L_{\text{cav}} < \text{ROC}/2$ in order to prevent finesse deterioration due to diffraction losses [29]. A rough guideline for the best cavity geometry is then $L_{\text{cav}} = \tau = \text{ROC}/2$ [shown as a gray dashed line in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)], with ROC and $\tau$ as small as possible. The ablation results plotted in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) show a strong nonlinear relationship between the radius of curvature and the depth of structures ablated with varying pulse power at constant spot size and pulse duration. However, we observe that this relationship depends strongly on the values of spot size and pulse duration. Structures with favorable geometries can be produced using short pulse durations, small spot sizes, and high pulse powers within regime 3. Smaller spot sizes can be achieved both by decreasing $\Delta \varepsilon$ and by using a focusing lens with a larger numerical aperture.

In contrast, another category of applications exists for which experimental constraints limit how short the cavity can be made. Fiber-based cavity QED with trapped atoms or ions, fiber-based cavity optomechanics, or other applications where the emitter or mechanical resonator is located in between the two mirrors belong to this category. The preferred cavity geometry is then the symmetric geometry. For these relatively long cavities, one of the main difficulties is to maintain a high finesse. Finesse is degraded by clipping losses, which arise when the spot size of the fundamental mode of the cavity on the end mirrors becomes large compared to the spherical diameter. The condition on $D_{\text{sph}}$ for clipping losses not to significantly degrade the finesse of a symmetric cavity is given by [10] $D_{\text{sph}}^2 \geq \ln(5\tau/\pi\lambda L_{\text{cav}}/\pi \sqrt{\varepsilon (2 - \varepsilon)})$, with $\varepsilon \in [0, 2]$. In order to minimize waist while maintaining a high finesse, one should choose structures with the smallest radii possible that satisfy both the above condition and $\text{ROC} > L_{\text{cav}}$. The relationship between the spherical diameter and the radius of curvature of the structures is plotted in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), with the small clipping losses region shown for $L_{\text{cav}} = \text{ROC}$. Craters fabricated with a high pulse power within regime 4 exhibit the largest spherical diameters, while pulse duration or spot size can be changed to adjust the radius of curvature.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we perform a systematic study of the effect of single-shot CO$_2$ laser ablation parameters on the geometry of fiber tips. We observe that ROC, $\tau$, and $D_{\text{out}}$ exhibit extrema as a function of $P_{\text{CO}_2}$ and $\tau$ (see Fig. 4). We speculate that these extrema arise from the combination of surface tension and boundary effects originating from the limited radial size of the fibers. Associated with this, we find that compound concave–convex shapes can be produced using a simple single-shot ablation procedure (regime 4 in Fig. 3). Such shapes are useful for small-mode-volume cavities and previously required tapering of the fiber in an additional processing step [43]. We further find that individually tuning the pulse power, pulse duration, and spot size extends the range of geometries that can be created. Based on these observations, we develop guidelines for the fabrication of fiber mirror templates optimized for experiments in optomechanics and CQED. Finally, we expect that using shorter laser pulses and smaller spot sizes than presented here will enable to simultaneously decrease ROC and crater depth further.
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