**Introduction**

1,1-Bismetallic crotylation reagents, such as A (Scheme 1), are an important class of molecules that have recently attracted considerable attention. In contrast to the traditional crotyl organometallics, addition of these 1,1-bismetallic crotylation reagents to carbonyl compounds (e.g., aldehydes) will produce homoallylic alcohol products (i.e., B or C) with a functionalized alkene group that can directly engage in a C–C bond-formation event, for example, a cross-coupling reaction. In the case of Met$_1 \neq$ Met$_2$, reagent A is chiral and reactions of carbonyl compounds with A typically proceed through chirality transfer. The enantiomERIC excess of the alcohol products will largely depend on the optical purity of the starting agent A. Additionally, depending on the different electronic properties and reactivities of the metal substituents, either δ-substituted homoallylic alcohol B or C can be produced selectively. Owing to their versatile reactivities, several types of 1,1-bismetallic crotylation reagents have been developed in the past three decades, including B/Si, B/Sn, Si/Si, and Sn/Sn-substituted crotylboronates. Importantly, many of these reagents have been successfully applied to the syntheses of bioactive natural products, which highlights the synthetic utilities of these reagents.

One subset of 1,1-bismetallic crotylation reagents is α-boryl substituted crotylboronates 2a and 2b (Scheme 2; Met$_1$, Met$_2$ = Bpin). An attractive feature of boronates 2 is that they are achiral, and their reactions with carbonyl compounds should proceed by way of the well-established, six-membered transition state to give δ-boryl-substituted homoallylic alcohols. In spite of their apparent synthetic potential, the synthesis of (E)-reagent 2a has only been disclosed recently. The Murakami and Cho groups independently showed that (E)-crotylboronate 2a can be generated via transition-metal catalyzed alkene transposition from the homoallylic bisboronate precursor 1 (Scheme 2). Addition of 2a to aldehydes provided δ-boryl-substituted (Z)-anti-homoallylic alcohols (anti-1,2-oxaborinan-3-enes D after intramolecular cyclization) with high selectivities. On the other hand, reactions of

**Scheme 1** 1,1-Bismetallic crotylation reagents.

**Scheme 2** Recent development of α-boryl substituted crotylboron reagents.
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(Z)-reagent 2b with aldehydes should form δ-boryl-substituted (E)-syn-homoallylic alcohols 3 (Scheme 2) that would be highly useful in the construction of polyketide natural products. However, methods that could efficiently produce reagent 2b are still not available. Therefore, the development of methods that can allow access to such a reagent and δ-boryl-substituted (E)-syn-homoallylic alcohols 3 would be desirable. With our continuing efforts in developing novel allylboron reagents, we have developed and reported herein stereoselective synthesis of (Z)-α-borylcrotylboronate 2b and studies on crotylation of aldehydes with reagent 2b.

Results and discussion

We envisaged a Z-selective alkenne isomerization approach to access (Z)-α-borylcrotylboronate 2b from homoallylic bisboronate precursor 1 given its ready availability (Scheme 2). It has been shown by Hilt and co-workers that terminal alkenes can undergo transition metal-catalyzed olefin isomerization to give (Z)-2-alkene isomers with moderate to high selectivity.\(^\text{10}\) Inspired by their studies, we decided to pursue a Ni-catalyzed isomerization of 1,1-di(boryl)but-3-ene 1\(^\text{2}\) to prepare (Z)-crotylboronate reagent 2b. As shown in Table 1, in the presence of 10 mol% of NiCl\(_2\) and dppp, 5 mol% Ph\(_2\)PH, and 20 mol% of Zn and ZnI\(_2\), isomerization of homoallylbisboronate 1 did not form any product in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at \(-20\) °C for 24 h (entry 1, Table 1). However, when NiCl\(_2\) was replaced by NiBr\(_2\), the isomerization reaction occurred to give a 5 : 1 inseparable mixture of 2b and 2a in 70% yield, favouring the Z-isomer 2b (entry 2). Encouraged by the initial success, reactions with several Ni catalysts were examined next. The reaction with Ni(OAc)\(_2\) as the catalyst gave a 2 : 1 mixture of 2b and 2a in low yield (entry 3). An improved Z/E ratio (6 : 1) was achieved when Ni(acac)\(_2\) was employed as the catalyst (entry 4). A similar Z/E ratio (7 : 1) was obtained with NiCl\(_2\)-glyme as the catalyst, albeit in a low yield (entry 5). Intriguingly, reactions with preformed Ni catalysts, Ni(dppp)Cl\(_2\) or Ni(dpe)Cl\(_2\), gave inferior results (entries 6 and 7). When NiBr\(_2\)-diglyme and dppp were used as the catalyst/ligand combination, a 7 : 1 mixture of 2b and 2a was obtained in 58% yield (entry 8). Gratifyingly, when 1,2-dichloroethane was used as the solvent, isomerization of homoallylic bisboronate 1 gave an excellent Z/E ratio (2b : 2a = 20 : 1) in the presence of NiBr\(_2\)-diglyme and dppp. Reagent 2b was isolated in 70% yield (entry 9). A 2 mmol-scale reaction produced (Z)-crotylboronate 2b in 74% yield (entry 10).

After obtaining (Z)-α-borylcrotylboronate 2b, we conducted subsequent studies on aldehyde crotylation with reagent 2b. In initial experiments, treatment of benzaldehyde with 1.3 equiv. of reagent 2b in toluene for 12 h provided (Z)-6-boryl-syn-homoallylic alcohol 3a in 90% yield. The olefin geometry in product 3a was assigned as syn based on \(^1\)H NMR analysis of the coupling constant of olefinic protons. The stereochemical relationship of 3a was assigned as syn after comparing to the literature data.\(^\text{24,36}\)

The scope of an aldehyde that participates in this reaction was explored, and the results are summarized in Scheme 3. In general, the reaction worked well with a broad spectrum of aldehydes, including aromatic, heteroaromatic and α,β-unsaturated aldehydes. Reactions of 2b with aromatic aldehydes at ambient temperature in toluene gave alcohol products 3a-h in 78–94% yields. Alkenyl or alkynyl aldehydes reacted with 2b to furnish homoallylic alcohols 3i-k in 58–91% yields. Importantly, a variety of heteroaromatic aldehydes also participated in the reaction to provide alcohols 3l-r in 67–91% yields. Formation of other isomeric products was not observed in any of these reactions.

Reactions of aliphatic aldehydes with boronate 2b were examined next. As shown in Scheme 4, aliphatic aldehydes including primary alkyl aldehydes, β-branched alkyl aldehydes, and secondary alkyl aldehydes all reacted with reagent 2b in toluene at ambient temperature to give homoallylic alcohols 3s-z in 51–92% yield with excellent diastereoselectivities and E/Z selectivities in all cases.

The alkene isomerization and crotylation reaction sequence can be conducted in one pot. As illustrated in Scheme 5, alkene isomerization in the presence of benzaldehyde at \(-20\) °C for 24 h gave product 3a in 64% yield as a single isomer. Detectable amounts of other isomers were not formed from this one-pot procedure.

The high E-selectivity of this reaction can be rationalized by the following transition state analysis. Among the two competing transition states (TS-1 and TS-2; Scheme 6) that lead to the formation of products 3 and 4, TS-2 suffers from a severe A-1,3 allylic strain\(^\text{21}\) between the pseudo-axially oriented –Bpin group and the methyl group (shown in red in TS-2). In contrast, the A-1,3 allylic strain in TS-1 is only between the methyl group and the H atom (shown in light blue in TS-1). Although a gauche interaction may also be involved in TS-1, it is apparent that...

---

**Table 1**  Evaluation of reaction conditions for the synthesis of (Z)-α-borylcrotylboronate 2b

| Entry | Conditions | 2b : 2a \(^a\) | Yield \(\%\) |
|-------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1     | NiCl\(_2\), dppp | N.D. \(^b\) | N.R. |
| 2     | NiBr\(_2\), dppp | 5 : 1       | 70          |
| 3     | Ni(OAc)\(_2\), dppp | 2 : 1       | 38          |
| 4     | Ni(acac)\(_2\), dppp | 6 : 1       | 76          |
| 5     | NiCl\(_2\)-glyme, dppp | 7 : 1       | 36          |
| 6     | Ni(dppp)Cl\(_2\) | 3 : 1       | 56          |
| 7     | Ni(dpe)Cl\(_2\) | 3 : 1       | 64          |
| 8     | NiBr\(_2\)-diglyme, dppp | 7 : 1      | 58          |
| 9     | NiBr\(_2\)-diglyme, dppp | \(>20 : 1\)  | 70          |
| 10    | NiBr\(_2\)-diglyme, dppp | \(>20 : 1\) | 74          |

\(^a\) Reaction conditions: boronate 1 (0.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), catalyst (10 mol%), ligand (10 mol%), Ph\(_2\)PH (5 mol%), Zn (20 mol%), ZnI\(_2\) (20 mol%), CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (0.5 mL), \(-20\) °C. \(^b\) The Z/E ratios were determined by \(^1\)H NMR analysis of the crude reaction products. \(^c\) Yields of isolated products are listed. \(^d\) DCE was used as the solvent. \(^e\) The reaction was conducted on a 2 mmol scale in DCE, dppp: 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane; dpe: 1,2 bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane.
the $\text{A}^{1,3}$ allylic strain between the $-\text{Bpin}$ and methyl groups is severe enough to overcome the gauche interactions. As a result, crotylboration of aldehydes with reagent 2b proceeded through the lower energy transition state TS-1 to give product 3 with high selectivity.

Studies on reactions of crotylboron reagent 2b with several chiral aldehydes (5–8) were also conducted. As illustrated in Scheme 7, the reaction of crotylboronate 2b with racemic 2-
phenylpropionaldehyde (5) gave product 9 in 78% yield with 4.5 : 1 diastereoselectivity. The enantioenriched, lactate-derived aldehyde 6 reacted with reagent 2b to provide an 18 : 1 mixture, with isomer 10 as the major product in 93% yield. Addition of reagent 2b to N-Boc-L-prolinal (7) generated alcohol 11 in 74% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity (dr > 20 : 1). Finally, the reaction of reagent 2b with a more advanced chiral, nonracemic aldehyde 8 delivered isomer 12 as the only product (dr > 20 : 1). Homoallylic alcohol 12 was obtained in 82% yield after purification.

Importantly, the mild reaction conditions and high diasterseoselectivities of these reactions with chiral aldehydes augur well for further application of reagent 2b in the syntheses of complex natural products and medicinally relevant agents.

A stereochemical model for the high diastereoselectivities in the reactions with enantioenriched aldehydes 6–8 is delineated in Scheme 8. The reaction of aldehyde 6 with reagent 2b could proceed through two potential transition states (TS-3 and TS-4; Scheme 8) to produce two alcohol products, 10 and 13. TS-3 operates under Felkin–Anh16 control to give homoallylic alcohol 10, while the competing transition state TS-4 is under anti-Felkin–Anh control to furnish the diastereomeric alcohol product 13. Upon close examination of the two transition states, it is apparent that TS-4 suffers from an unfavourable gauche-pentane interaction17 between the methyl group of aldehyde 6 and the methyl group of reagent 2b (shown in red in TS-4). In contrast, TS-3 operates under favourable Felkin–Anh control and only with minimal gauche-pentane interactions (shown in light blue in TS-3) between the methyl group of reagent 2b and the oxygen atom of aldehyde 6 (with the large TBDPS group pointing away from the methyl group of reagent 2b). Therefore, the reaction with aldehyde 6 proceeded through the favourable transition state, TS-3, to give product 10 with high diastereoselectivity. Based on this analysis, when the substituent of the aldehyde substrate is sterically much more demanding than a methyl group (e.g., aldehydes 7 and 8), TS-4 is more destabilized relative to TS-3 because of more severe gauche-pentane interactions. Consequently, reactions with these aldehydes should generate Felkin–Anh controlled products with higher selectivities. This prediction is fully consistent with the results obtained from the reactions of aldehydes 7 and 8.

The products (e.g., 3) generated from the reaction of reagent 2b with aldehydes contain a vinyl boronate group, which can be...
used directly for a variety of subsequent transformations. To further demonstrate the synthetic utility of this method, synthesis of the C1-7 fragment of the natural products nanocystin A and nanocystin Ax was carried out. As shown in Scheme 9, Pd-catalyzed Suzuki coupling of free alcohol 3a with vinyl bromide 14 provided compound 15, the C1-7 fragment of nanocystin A and nanocystin Ax, in 70% yield (prepared in two steps from commercially available benzaldehyde).

Conclusions
In summary, we developed a Ni-catalyzed, (Z)-selective olefin isomerization approach to synthesize a novel (Z)-α-borylcrotylboron reagent 2b. Under optimized conditions, boronate 2b was obtained in good yield with exclusive (Z)-selectivity. Subsequent allylboration of aldehydes with reagent 2b gave (E)-β-boryl-syn-homoallylic alcohols 3 in high yields with excellent diastereoselectivities. Reactions with several enantioenriched aldehydes proceeded under Felkin-Anh control to give homoallylic alcohol products with high diastereoselectivities. The vinyl boronate in products 3 can be directly used for subsequent C–C bond-forming transformations as illustrated in the synthesis of the C1-7 fragment of the natural products nanocystins A and Ax. Studies on asymmetric crotylation using reagent 2b are currently on-going.
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