Unilateral Posterior Surgery for Severe Osteoporotic Vertebrae Fractures’ Sequelae in Geriatric Population: Minimum 5-Year Results of 109 Patients
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Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of modified posterior vertebral column resection (PVCR) combined with anterior column restoration in elderly patients presenting with thoracic or thoracolumbar osteoporotic fractures with spinal cord compression and severe pain.

Methods: One hundred nine patients with one level thoracolumbar osteoporotic fracture and at least 5 years of follow-up were included. They underwent posterior instrumentation performed with polymethymetachrylate augmented pedicle screws. A modified PVCR (unilateral costotransversectomy+hemilaminectomy) combined with the insertion of an expandable titanium cage for anterior column restoration was undertaken. Patients were evaluated clinically and radiographically.

Results: Patients had a mean age of 74.1 and a follow-up duration of 92.3 months. Mean duration of operations, hospital stays, and mean loss of blood were 172.3 minutes, 4.3 days, and 205.4 mL. All of the patients were mobilized immediately after surgery. The mean preoperative local kyphosis angle improved from 39.3° to 4.7° at the last follow-up (p = 0.003). Patients preoperative mean visual analogue score, Japanese Orthopaedic Association, and Oswestry Disability Index scores improved from 7.7/8.6/76.3 to 1.6/26.1/17.4 (p < 0.001 for all), respectively. The average 36-item Short-Form survey physical component summary/mental component summary scores at the last follow-up were 55.1/56.8. A dural tear was detected intraoperatively in 1 patient and repaired immediately.

Conclusion: Subtotal PVCR combined with the insertion of an expandable titanium cage was detected as a safe and effective method for osteoporotic vertebral fractures’ sequelae in the older population involving spinal cord compression by enabling the decompression of the spinal canal and reconstruction of the resected segment, resulting in significant improvement in clinical and radiographic outcomes.
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INTRODUCTION

Osteoporotic vertebrae fractures (OVF) were commonly associated with refractory low back pain and kyphotic deformity. At the same time, all of these clinical features could be complicated with the development of a sagittal imbalance due to progressive kyphosis and with any neurological deterioration as a result of spinal canal compromise.\(^1\)\(^{-3}\)

AO (Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Osteosynthesefragen) type A1 and A\(^{3}\) simple compression fractures without any neurological involvement can be managed with conservative treatment including pain-medications, brace and bed rest, or with minimal invasive surgery including percutaneous vertebroplasty (PVP) or balloon kyphoplasty.\(^4\)\(^{-6}\) However, for severe fractures associated with progressive kyphosis and neurological symptoms, these conservative or minimally invasive methods could neither yield a sufficient spinal cord decompression and clinical amelioration nor could they correct kyphotic deformity together with restoration of sagittal balance and reconstruction of spinal stability.\(^7\)\(^{-9}\)

For patients with OVF sequelae, besides the treatment of the underlying cause, open surgery is indicated in the presence of progressive kyphosis (\(>35^\circ\)), neurological deficit, and intractable pain, with the aims to eliminate the pain, reconstruct the sagittal balance and mobilize the patients as soon as possible to prevent any immobilization related complication and to provide sufficient quality of life.\(^9\)\(^{-11}\)

Dealing with the osteoporotic spine was frequently reported to be extremely challenging because of the poor bone quality, which could jeopardize the pedicle screw holding force leading to increased rates of loosening and pull-out of the screws.\(^2\)\(^{-12}\) Therefore the ideal treatment of severe OVF requiring open surgery is still under debate. We hypothesized if the modified PVCR was an acceptable treatment alternative for OVF sequel with hyperkyphosis and severe pain requiring open surgery, while presenting the long-term results, our modified posterior vertebral column resection (PVCR) method combined with restoration of anterior column applied that group of patients. We questioned whether this method in the geriatric population was able to provide adequate spinal decompression and successful restoration of the sagittal balance, which could be sustained in the long term.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Following the approval of the Institutional Review Board of EMSEY Hospital (Nr:1121052), within the framework of a retrospective study, analysis of patients with osteoporotic (T-score < -2.5 standard deviation [SD] measured with dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry performed in the same institution) thoracolumbar vertebral fractures between 2011–2014 was conducted. Four hundred twenty-eight consecutive patients were detected. Among them, 266 patients were noted to have AO type A1 and A3 simple compression fractures, that conservative treatment including brace-pain medication-bed rest (167 patients), PVP (85 patients), and percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty (14 patients) were applied.

The remaining 162 patients with severe OVF have been assessed according to the strict inclusion and exclusion criteria. Table 1.

As a result of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 53 patients (47 patients had a history of previous thoracolumbar spine surgery; 6 patients had a history of vertebral tuberculosis) were excluded from the study. The remaining 109 patients were enrolled in the study (Fig. 1).

All patients provided informed consent so that their opera-

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

| Inclusion criteria                                                                 | Exclusion criteria                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Diagnosis of osteoporosis (T-score < -2.5 SD)                                     | No documented diagnosis of osteoporosis                                           |
| Age > 65 years                                                                    | Age < 65 years                                                                     |
| Thoracolumbar vertebral fracture requiring open surgery (local kyphosis angle > 35°, presenting-deteriorating neurological deficit (any Frankel grade except E and/or nerve compression symptoms), unstable fracture, spinal canal compromise > 30%, anterior vertebral body height < 30% of the adjacent vertebra) | A thoracolumbar vertebral fracture, that did not require open surgery/managed conservatively or with percutaneous vertebroplasty or percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty |
| Modified PVCR (as we described) combined with anterior column restoration using a titanium mesh/expandable cage | A history of previous spinal surgery, tumor, infection (including tuberculosis) |
| A minimum follow-up duration of 60 months (5 years)                                | A minimum follow-up duration of less than 60 months (5 years)                      |
tive, intraoperative, and postoperative data, including the x-rays, computed tomography (CT), and MRI images, could be used for publication by hiding their identity.

1. Surgical Technique

Before the planning of surgeries, patients with poor bone density were placed on bone replacement medication by the endocrinology specialist. However, considering that most of these patients who were needed open surgery were referred to our clinic as a result of failed conservative treatment and worsening of clinical course regarding their neurological status and pain intensity, to prevent any further clinical-neurological deterioration, they were operated on right away after the admission with no additional loss of time. As a result of the consultation with an endocrinology specialist, patients were either started on biphosphonates before the surgery and continued on that medication postoperatively, or they were started with teriparatide postoperatively.

All surgeries were performed with the same technical guidelines under intraoperative neuromonitoring, while the preoperative preparation and postoperative treatment and rehabilitation protocol were also identical in all patients.

Under general anesthesia, patients were placed in a prone position on an operating table. After the confirming the fractured level with the C-arm, a posterior midline skin incision in the length of 2 vertebral levels above and 2 levels below was undertaken. After meticulous soft tissue dissection, pedicle screw entry points were marked. Fenestrated and cannulated pedicle screws were inserted 2/3 levels above and 2/3 levels below the fractured segment under fluoroscopic guidance with the free-hand technique bicortically. Polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) bone cement was prepared and injected into the pedicle screw channels using PVP catheters with 2 mL/screw in all patients.

After that, modified PVCR was undertaken to the fractured level. A modified PVCR was defined as: (1) unilateral hemilaminectomy combined with costotransversectomy; (2) discectomy of the upper and lower spinal level, together with curettage of the endplates; (3) adequate decompression from one side: At spinal levels above L1 the nerve root was ligated. But at levels below L1, not to cause any neurologic deficit regarding the lower extremity, the posterior ramus of the associated nerve root was ligated, leading to the mobilization of the nerve root. By protecting the nerve root with a root retractor, enough space was freed for the advancement of the expandable cage from

---

Fig. 1. Flowchart of the study population.

Table 2: Flowchart of the study population.

428 Osteoporotic thoracolumbar vertebral fractures between 2011–2014: (254 females, 174 males)

266 AO Type A1 and A3 simple compression fractures (excluded) (151 females, 115 males)
- 167 Patients: conservative treatment (pain medication-brace-bed rest)
- 85 Patients: percutaneous vertebroplasty
- 14 Patients: percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty

162 Unstable osteoporotic thoracolumbar vertebral fractures, that modified PVCR + anterior column restoration was applied. (103 females, 59 males)

53 Patients excluded from the study: (38 females, 15 males)
- 47 Patients: history of previous thoracolumbar spine surgery
- 6 Patients: history of tuberculosis

109 Patients included in the study: (65 females, 44 males)
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posterior; (4) unilateral corpectomy and decancellation of the vertebral body while leaving the anterior cortex and the lateral cortex on the contralateral side intact; (5) insertion of the expandable titanium cage into the vertebral body. During modified PVCR and cage insertion, the posterior construct was secured with one temporary rod placed on the contralateral side. Fig. 2.

After the placement of permanent rods and securing of the entire posterior construct, one-two adjacent uninstrumented level(s) above and below prophylactic vertebroplasty was undertaken in all patients as described formerly.

2. Evaluation of Clinical Outcomes
Clinical outcome parameters were determined using self-assessment questionnaires, including visual analogue score to evaluate the pain level, and Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) completed individually by all patients. Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) scoring system as a validated and reliable method was utilized to evaluate the neurological status and Frankel score. The quality of life of the study population was evaluated by using 36-item Short-Form survey (SF-36) scores.

3. Evaluation of Radiographic Outcomes
Radiographic evaluation was undertaken by 1 senior spine surgeon (TP) pre-, postoperatively and at the follow-up, comprised local kyphosis angle and sagittal vertical axis (SVA).

4. Statistical Analysis
For the statistical analysis IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 22.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used. A Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to evaluate preoperative to postoperative deformity correction. Data were expressed as mean ± SD. Chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used for the analysis of categorical variables where appropriate. One-way analysis of variance was used to determine a significant difference at various time points. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

1. Demographic Data
A total number of 109 patients (65 females, 44 males) were included. Their demographic data were summarized in Table 2. The average duration of operations was detected as 172.3 minutes (range, 161.4–221.9 minutes), while patients were detected to have an average loss of blood of 205.4 mL (range, 129.1–467.2 mL). The average duration of hospital stay was 4.3 days (range, 3–9 days). All patients were mobilized immediately after surgery.

2. Clinical Outcome Scores
All of the clinical outcome scores, including SF-36 scores in-
Values are presented as mean (range).

JOA, Japanese Orthopaedic Association; ODI, Oswestry Disability Index; VAS, visual analogue score; SF-36, 36-item Short-Form survey; MCS, mental component summary; PCS, physical component summary.

Values are presented as mean (range).

SVA, sagittal vertical axis.

Fig. 3. A 71-year-old female patient with an osteoporotic fracture (A) and canal compromise (B) at the level of L1. Postoperative 6th year (C). The local kyphosis angle of 51.2° improved to 4.1°, sagittal vertical axis improved from 63.4 mm to 9.2 mm.

Table 3. Clinical outcome scores

| Variable    | Preoperative | Last follow-up | p-value |
|-------------|--------------|----------------|---------|
| JOA score   | 8.6 (8–12)   | 26.1 (24–27)   | <0.001  |
| ODI score   | 76.3 (73–86) | 17.4 (15–21)   | <0.001  |
| VAS score   | 7.7 (5–9)    | 1.6 (0–3)      | <0.001  |
| SF-36 MCS   | 47.1 (46.4–49.4) | 55.1 (53.3–57.6) | <0.001  |
| SF-36 PCS   | 44.3 (44.2–46.8) | 56.8 (54.6–57.7) | <0.001  |

Table 4. Radiographic outcome measurements

| Variable                                      | Preoperative | At the last follow-up | p-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------|
| Preoperative local segmental kyphosis (°)     | 39.3 (31.7–47.4) | 4.7 (3.9–10.1)       | <0.001  |
| SVA (mm)                                      | 61.2 (43.1–82.4) | 10.2 (8.7–12.9)      | <0.001  |

4. Complications

Dural tear (1.8%) was detected intraoperatively in 2 patients and repaired immediately. Five patients (4.6%) developed distal junctional level fracture and underwent early vertebroplasty (postoperation 6th-8th month, 1st year). Two patients (1.8%) underwent revision due to cage subsidence (both: postoperation 1st year). Fusion was confirmed on the last follow-up visit using CT, while no pseudoarthrosis or implant failure was evident.

DISCUSSION

Severe OVF in geriatric population accompanied with kyphosis and neurologic deficit are difficult to treat besides causing high-intensity pain, diminished mobility, decreased quality of life, depression, worsening of daily activities of living and
progressive problems regarding pulmonary and gastrointestinal system.18-21

As a result of percutaneous kyphoplasty (PKP), a high incidence of recollapse of the treated vertebra in the long-term follow-up was reported,22,23 while balloon inflation was associated with bone rupture.24 PMMA augmentation, which was provided as the main goal during PVP and PKP, was reported to be associated with intervertebral cement leakage leading to the collapse of adjacent endplates and intervertebral disks, resulting in intervertebral instability and eventually new compression fractures.25,26

In severe, unstable OVFs, which comprise a progressive kyphosis, severe-intractable back pain, and associated neurologic deficits, PVP or PKP can neither provide adequate spinal decompression nor successful fracture reduction, together with anterior column restoration and sagittal balance correction.2,9 Therefore, open surgery is indicated for that particular group of geriatric patients. Meanwhile, open surgery was indicated for patients in the present study, while PVP and PKP were not suited to be applied as standalone treatment options.

Options regarding open surgery comprise anterior, posterior, or combined approaches, while the ideal approach for geriatric patients with severe OVFs’ sequela is controversial.1,28 Geriatric patients with severe OVFs were frequently reported to have an advanced age with a wide spectrum of comorbidities so that they might be unable to tolerate multiple surgical approaches, while a surgery performed in a single seating might be the best option.2,9 Beside this fact, the anterior or anterior-posterior combined approaches were carried out by opening the thoracic cavity in addition to retroperitoneal space, and was, therefore, associated with higher risks and complications as compared to posterior only approach.1,29 In the present study, posterior only approaches were applied to geriatric osteoporotic patients to prevent the risks associated with the combined anterior approach, which was in conjunction with the current literature stating that posterior approach could provide shortening of the operative time, reduction of the blood loss and accomplish adequate decompression and anterior column restoration.30,31

In terms of posterior approaches, pedicle subtraction osteotomy (PSO) was considered the widely accepted treatment option for vertebral compression pressures with progressive kyphosis and sagittal imbalance.1,32 However, to perform PSO, the anterior vertebral body was utilized as a hinge, but in OVFs, the anterior portion of the osteoporotic vertebral body might be devoid of adequate bone mass and cannot be used as a hinge, making PSO technically impossible.1,32 As a result of the aforementioned problems, PSO was preferred not to be applied to osteoporotic patients with severe OVFs.

PSO was defined as a procedure, which successfully provided adequate spinal cord decompression through the bilateral osteotomy approaches that were capable to completely remove the vertebral body of the fractured segment together with the adjacent cranial and caudal intervertebral discs.2,9 As combined with the anterior placement of a cage, this procedure was noted to be capable of successfully restoring the anterior column without changing the spinal length and causing any neural damage due to spinal wrinkling.2-9

There is very limited information regarding the application of PVCR combined with anterior column restoration to patients with OVFs’ sequela. The existing literature is mainly based on a small number of patients with relatively short term follow-up (Dreimann et al.2: 10 patients, 18.4 ± 8 months, Sehmisch et al.9: 10 patients, 14 months, Wei et al.27: 24 patients, 32.68 ± 8.72 months, Ma et al.1: 26 patients, 28.7 ± 3.2 months). Regarding the application of PVCR in geriatric patients with OVFs, this study has the largest patient number (109) and longest average follow-up duration (92.3 months).

Despite all of the advantages mentioned above, PVCR was considered to be associated with intraoperative risks, including bleeding and long duration of operations as applied to geriatric patients with severe OVFs.2,9,27 This is why we modified this procedure and reduced it to a unilaterally applicable type of osteotomy, which was shown to shorten the average duration of surgery together with average bleeding. Dreimann et al.,2 applied PVCR with 2 small titanium mesh cages to 10 patients and reported mean surgical time of 318 ± 62 minutes and an average blood loss of 1,540 ± 745 mL. Wei et al.27 used a single titanium mesh cage and reported an average surgical duration of 223.08 ± 28.78 minutes and 413.25 ± 84.50 mL of average bleeding. Ma et al.1 also used a single titanium mesh cage and reported an average surgical duration of 208 ± 49 minutes and an average of 756 ± 244 mL of blood loss. Sehmisch et al.9 used 2 small titanium mesh cages and reported an average surgical duration of 318 ± 62 minutes and an average blood loss of 1,540 ± 745 mL. The present study reported an average surgical time of 172.3 minutes and an average blood loss of 205.4 mL, which are lower than the reported data in the literature, indicating the less invasiveness of this modification. The limited amount of bleeding might be attributed to the less-invasive nature of the unilateral posterior surgery together with meticulous attempts to coagulate any intraoperative bleeding together with the usage of tranexamic acid.
While performing the PVCR procedure, correction of the kyphotic deformity and restoration of the anterior column was reported to be of high importance because the correct sagittal balance leading to improvements of the volumes of thoracic and abdominal cavities were highly correlated with patients’ quality of life. Ma et al. reported an average follow-up SVA of 18.3 ± 3.5 mm, while the other studies did not analyze regarding the SVA and sagittal balance. This study reported an average SVA of 10.2 mm at the latest follow-up showing the efficacy of the modified PVCR procedure in terms of the realignment and correction of sagittal balance.

Correction of kyphosis is considered one of the main goals of surgical treatment in geriatric patients with OVFs. It was reported that the magnitude of kyphosis—sagittal imbalance—was positively correlated with the worsening of quality of life. The average degree of kyphosis at the last follow-up was 8° ± 7° in the study of Sehmisch et al., 9.5° ± 3.8° in the study of Ma et al., 11.65° ± 7.51° in the study of Wei et al. The present study reported an average degree of local segmental kyphosis of 4.7°, underlining the correctional efficacy of this procedure, which would also explain the high scores regarding the quality of life.

Instrumentation of the osteoporotic spine frequently constituted a challenge because patients with low bone mineral density (as the ones in the present study) were noted to be associated with postoperative implant-related complications, including pedicle screw loosening as a result of the fact that screws were subjected to a high force during the correction phase of the PVCR. To overcome these problems, larger diameter and longer screws were recommended to increase the surface area and minimize screw toggle within the pedicle. Cement augmented pedicle screw technique was also highly advised in the osteoporotic spine because of enhancing the pull-out strength of the screws, providing a stable screw-bone cement-bone interface to distribute the stresses and assuring a strong fixation resulting in the reduction of the postoperative incidence of screw failure and loosening. We placed fenestrated pedicle screws 2 levels cranial and caudal of the OVF combined with application of 2-mL PMMA bone cement inside every screw, combined with prophylactic vertebroplasty at the adjacent cranial and caudal levels.

The present study reported excellent clinical results yielded by modified PVCR combined with anterior column restoration. Our results were in conjunction with the previous studies that also reported significant improvements in clinical scores, including VAS, JOA, and ODI. However, this study, for the first time in the literature, by reporting about SF-36 scores, also showed that as a result of modified PVCR combined with anterior column restoration, significant improvements regarding the quality of life could also be achieved.

Application of PVCR to the osteoporotic spine was associated with a wide spectrum of complications. Wei et al. reported 3 of 24 patients with intraoperative dural injury with cerebrospinal fluid leakage, Dreimann et al. reported 3 of 10 rates of complications (1 posterior ligamentous dislocation requiring revision, 1 wound infection requiring debridement, 1 serious clinical deterioration); Ma et al. reported 2 of 26 patients with dural injury and venous thrombosis, 2 of 26 recurrent lumbar back pain. Regarding the relatively short average follow-up duration of the studies mentioned above (18 to 32 months), it is expected that no implant-related complication was reported so far. In contrast, the present study reported 2 cases of cage subsidence resulting in revision and underlining that this system might also fail and should further be optimized. The present study with 5 years of minimal follow-up duration reported that distal junctional level fracture and cage subsidence could be encountered, and surgeons performing PVCR to the osteoporotic spine should be aware of that in the long term.

One of the limitations of the present study is its retrospective nature. Another limitation is the relatively limited number of patients, which is owed to the strict inclusion criteria defined to obtain a homogenous group of patients.

CONCLUSION

Application of modified PVCR together with anterior column restoration by using an expandable titanium cage to geriatric patients with severe OVFs’ sequela was detected to yield excellent clinical and functional outcomes, in addition to adequate correction of kyphosis together with successful sagittal balance. This approach was shown to provide significant improvement regarding the quality of life in geriatric patients.
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