Use of Indoor Tanning Diagnosis Codes in Claims Data
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The International Classification of Diseases: 10th Revision (effective from October 2015) included indoor tanning diagnosis codes for the first time. The majority of data on indoor tanning is self-reported. We used a large claims dataset to investigate the patients and settings in which indoor tanning International Classification of Diseases: 10th Revision codes are being used. We included encounters with the International Classification of Diseases: 10th Revision indoor tanning codes in Truven Health MarketScan data 2016–2018, which contain deidentified commercial insurance claims data for approximately 43 million patients. We used descriptive statistics to evaluate patient and encounter characteristics and normalized results using outpatient dermatology encounters. A total of 4,550 encounters were identified, 99.0% of which were outpatient, and 72.3% were with dermatology. Patients were majority female (85.0%) with ages ranging from 7 to 93. The Midwest region had the most indoor tanning encounters. Destruction of a premalignant lesion was performed in 15.1%, and biopsies were performed in 18.4% of encounters, suggesting that encounters may have been for skin cancer surveillance. Increased usage of indoor tanning International Classification of Diseases: 10th Revision codes in the coming years may strengthen the indoor tanning literature. Claims data are a potential tool to better understand patients who have a history of exposure to indoor tanning and their associated risk factors, comorbidities, behaviors, and healthcare utilization.

INTRODUCTION

There is significant evidence supporting the association of indoor tanning with melanoma and keratinocyte carcinomas, and the rates of these malignancies continue to rise in the United States (Colantonio et al., 2014; Wehner et al., 2012). Efforts to reduce indoor tanning require knowledge of the population at risk. The ability to recognize and provide counseling to at-risk patients has been shown to improve sun-protective behaviors and reduce indoor tanning (Henriksson et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2011). Whereas the majority of indoor tanning data are self-reported, insurance claims databases provide information on patient encounters, and the International Classification of Diseases: 10th Revision (ICD-10) (effective from October 2015) included diagnosis codes for indoor tanning exposure for the first time. To our knowledge, no previous studies have examined indoor tanning ICD-10 codes. In this study, we use a large claims database to evaluate the patients and settings in which ICD-10 codes are being used.

RESULTS

From 2016–2018, a total of 4,550 patient encounters with indoor tanning claims were recorded in 4,101 patients (3,755 [91.6%] patients with a single encounter with an indoor tanning ICD-10 code, 346 [8.4%] with more than one encounter). Provider information (National Provider Identifier number) was available in 2,707 encounters (59.5%). In these 2,707 encounters, there were 1,118 unique providers (mean of 2.4 encounters with indoor tanning claims per unique provider). Patient demographics and encounter characteristics are shown in Table 1. The most common provider specialty was dermatology (72.3% of encounters; 86.0% of encounters with a known specialty), and 99.0% of encounters were outpatient. There were 29 encounters with indoor tanning claims per 100,000 dermatology encounters. The majority of patients were female (85.0%). Ages ranged from 7 to 93 years, with the majority of encounters in patients aged 18–54 years, relative to the number of dermatology visits in these age groups. Encounters with indoor tanning ICD-10 codes were most common in the spring and least common in the fall (in absolute numbers and relative to dermatology encounters). The Midwest region had the highest number of indoor tanning encounters per 100,000 dermatology encounters, nearly double that of the next highest region. Table 2 shows other ICD-10 codes and procedural Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes recorded in at least 10% of encounters with the indoor tanning ICD-10 codes. Other ICD-10 codes were all dermatology codes.
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related (e.g., melanin hyperpigmentation, actinic keratosis). CPT codes were also all dermatology related. Notably, destruction of at least one premalignant lesion (CPTs 17000 or 17004) was performed in 689 encounters (15.1%), and at least one biopsy (CPTs 11100) was performed in 817 encounters (18.0%).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we report that encounters with indoor tanning ICD-10 codes are uncommon, relative to how common indoor tanning exposure is in the population (Wehner et al., 2014), and occur primarily in outpatient dermatology. Patient demographics were in line with the known demographics of individuals who indoor tan and who tend to be younger females. However, encounters occurred across the age spectrum. The Midwest had nearly double the number of relative encounters, compared with those in other regions. Whether dermatologists in the Midwest are more likely to use these codes or whether patients in the Midwest are more likely to indoor tan is unknown. In addition, a substantial number of encounters with indoor tanning ICD-10 codes included premalignant lesion destruction or skin biopsy. This suggests that many of these encounters may have been for skin cancer surveillance and that indoor tanning exposure may have been coded as part of a patient’s skin cancer risk profile.

This study provides insight into how and when indoor tanning ICD-10 codes are being used. The prevalence of indoor tanning ever exposure has previously been found to be 35.7% in adults, 55.0% in university students, and 19.3% in adolescents (Wehner et al., 2014). Given that this study observed 29 encounters with indoor tanning claims per 100,000 dermatology encounters (0.029% of dermatology encounters), we suspect significant underutilization of these codes. Because indoor tanning ICD-10 codes were only recently universally implemented in 2015 and because providers may be using other codes that cover similar services, it is likely that our data underestimate the number of encounters and sequelae associated with indoor tanning. We hope that this study increases the awareness and encourages the adoption of these codes among providers. The use of indoor tanning exposure codes could have several potential positive impacts on indoor tanning research, patient care, and outcomes. Increased documentation of indoor tanning exposure would allow researchers to identify patients with this skin cancer risk factor and investigate other risk factors, patterns of care, and outcomes associated with indoor tanning. Furthermore, increased documentation of indoor tanning exposure in electronic health records could better equip clinicians to identify patients’ associated risk factors and to provide counseling and/or preventative services for their patients, potentially improving outcomes.

Insurance claims data can provide patient and encounter information across provider settings and can help identify healthcare utilization patterns. Future research could consider claims databases as a valuable tool to better understand patients who have been exposed to indoor tanning and their associated risk factors, comorbidities, behaviors, and healthcare utilization.

| Table 1. Characteristics of 4,550 Indoor Tanning ICD-10 Encounters |
| --- |
| **Encounter Characteristics** | **Number (%)** | **Per 100,000 Dermatology Encounters** |
| Indoor tanning ICD-10 used |  |  |
| Initial encounter (W89.1XXA) | 3,846 (84.5) | NA |
| Subsequent encounter (W89.1XXD) | 189 (4.2) | NA |
| Sequel (W891.XXS) | 516 (11.3) | NA |
| Setting of encounter |  |  |
| Outpatient | 4,503 (99.0) | NA |
| Emergency room | 12 (0.3) | NA |
| Urgent care | 10 (0.2) | NA |
| Other | 25 (0.5) | NA |
| Provider specialty at encounter |  |  |
| Dermatology | 3,293 (72.3) | NA |
| Internal medicine | 63 (1.4) | NA |
| Emergency medicine | 9 (0.2) | NA |
| Family medicine | 135 (3.0) | NA |
| Pediatrics | 58 (1.3) | NA |
| Other | 273 (6.0) | NA |
| Unknown | 719 (15.8) | NA |
| Patient sex |  |  |
| Female | 3,867 (85.0) | 42 |
| Male | 683 (15.0) | 10 |
| Patient age (y) |  |  |
| <18 | 72 (1.6) | 4 |
| 18–24 | 532 (11.7) | 40 |
| 25–34 | 884 (19.4) | 56 |
| 35–44 | 938 (20.6) | 47 |
| 45–54 | 1,033 (22.7) | 37 |
| 55–64 | 868 (19.1) | 22 |
| 65+ | 223 (4.9) | 11 |
| Year of encounter |  |  |
| 2016 | 1,418 (31.2) | 25 |
| 2017 | 1,428 (31.4) | 28 |
| 2018 | 1,704 (37.5) | 34 |
| Season of encounter |  |  |
| Spring (March–May) | 1,304 (28.7) | 32 |
| Summer (June–August) | 1,115 (24.5) | 27 |
| Fall (September–November) | 1,043 (22.9) | 26 |
| Winter (December–February) | 1,088 (23.9) | 29 |
| Geography of encounter |  |  |
| South | 1,892 (41.6) | 28 |
| Midwest | 1,560 (34.3) | 55 |
| Northeast | 610 (13.4) | 16 |
| West | 472 (10.4) | 21 |
| Other/unknown | 16 (0.4) | 42 |

Abbreviations: ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases: 10th Revision; NA, not applicable.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We utilized Truven Health MarketScan data (2016–2018) (IBM, Armonk, NY), which include deidentified commercial insurance claims data for approximately 43 million patients. We included patient encounters with ICD-10 indoor tanning codes W89.1, W89.1XXA, W89.1XXD, and W89.1XXS. These codes are included in the ICD-10 Clinical Modification chapter on external causes of morbidity and represent exposure to a tanning bed (W89.1), with seventh digit specifiers indicating initial...
### Table 2. ICD and CPT Codes Present in at least 10% of Encounters

#### ICD Codes

| Count | ICD-10 Code | Code Description |
|-------|-------------|------------------|
| 931   | L81.4       | Other melanin hyperpigmentation |
| 860   | D22.5       | Melanocytic nevi of trunk |
| 855   | L57.0       | Actinic keratosis |
| 808   | D48.5       | Neoplasm of uncertain behavior of skin |
| 743   | L57.8       | Other skin changes due to chronic exposure to nonionizing radiation |
| 689   | L82.1       | Other seborrheic keratosis |
| 530   | X32.XXXA    | Exposure to sunlight, initial encounter |
| 526   | D22.9       | Melanocytic nevi, unspecified |
| 387   | L70.0       | Acne vulgaris |
| 269   | D18.01      | Hemangioma of skin and subcutaneous tissue |
| 250   | Z12.83      | Encounter for screening for malignant neoplasm of skin |
| 148   | Z80.8       | Family history of malignant neoplasm of other organs or systems |
| 127   | D23.9       | Other benign neoplasms of the skin, unspecified |
| 127   | D49.2       | Neoplasm of unspecified behavior of bone, soft tissue, and skin |
| 111   | L57.9       | Skin changes due to chronic exposure to nonionizing radiation, unspecified |
| 97    | Z87.2       | Personal history of diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue |
| 93    | Z85.828     | Personal history of other malignant neoplasms of the skin |
| 87    | D23.5       | Other benign neoplasms of the skin of the trunk |
| 86    | L30.9       | Dermatitis, unspecified |
| 83    | D22.71      | Melanocytic nevi of the right lower limb, including the hip |
| 80    | 191.8       | Other hypertrophic disorders of the skin |
| 79    | Z71.89      | Other specified counseling |
| 69    | D22.61      | Melanocytic nevi of the right upper limb, including the shoulder |
| 69    | D22.39      | Melanocytic nevi of other parts of the face |
| 69    | B07.8       | Other viral warts |
| 68    | D23.71      | Other benign neoplasms of the skin of the right lower limb, including the hip |
| 66    | D22.72      | Melanocytic nevi of the left lower limb, including the hip |
| 65    | Z08         | Encounter for follow-up examination after completed treatment for malignant neoplasm |
| 64    | L56.8       | Other specified acute skin changes due to UVR |
| 64    | D23.72      | Other benign neoplasms of the skin of the left lower limb, including the hip |
| 62    | L90.5       | Scar conditions and fibrosis of the skin |
| 62    | D22.62      | Melanocytic nevi of the left upper limb, including the shoulder |
| 60    | L72.0       | Epidermal cyst |
| 59    | L71.8       | Other rosacea |
| 59    | J78.1       | Nevus, non-neoplastic |
| 56    | L21.8       | Other seborrheic dermatitis |
| 56    | L40.0       | Psoriasis vulgaris |
| 55    | D22.4       | Melanocytic nevi of scalp and neck |
| 54    | X32.XXXD    | Exposure to sunlight, subsequent encounter |
| 50    | B36.0       | Pityriasis versicolor |
| 48    | L85.3       | Xerosis cutis |
| 46    | L72.8       | Other follicular cysts of the skin and subcutaneous tissue |

#### CPT Codes

| Count | Procedure Code | Code Description |
|-------|----------------|------------------|
| 817   | 11100          | Skin biopsy, first lesion |
| 631   | 17000          | Destruction of premalignant lesions, first lesion |
| 383   | 17003          | Destruction of premalignant lesions, 2–14 lesions |
| 267   | 11101          | Skin biopsy, each additional lesion |
| 214   | 17110          | Destruction of benign lesions, 1–14 lesions |
| 87    | 86305          | Surgical pathology, gross and microscopic examination |
| 77    | 11301          | Shave removal, lesion diameter of 0.6–1.0 cm |
| 59    | 11300          | Shave removal, lesion diameter of 0.5 cm or less |
| 58    | 17004          | Destruction of premalignant lesions, 15 or more lesions |

Abbreviations: CPT, Current Procedural Terminology; ICD, International Classification of Diseases; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision.
encounter (W89.1XXA), subsequent encounter (W891.XXD), and sequela (W891.XXS). We used descriptive statistics to evaluate patient and encounter characteristics, including sex, age, location, season, provider specialty, setting, and other ICD-10 codes and procedural CPT codes entered in at least 10% of encounters. Results were also presented per 100,000 encounters with dermatologists in the dataset, which were identified by specialty taxonomy code.
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