Short Time Ion Nitriding of AISI 420 Martensitic Stainless Steel to Improve Wear and Corrosion Resistance
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Plasma nitriding has become a powerful tool to improve wear resistance of stainless steels and sustain or improve corrosion resistance with an adequate selection of process parameters. In this work, the short treatment route with temperatures above 400°C was selected for AISI 420 stainless steel. After the nitriding process, a wide nitrided layer, with good wear and corrosion properties was simultaneously obtained with selected parameters. A 5 hours treatment at 420 °C resulted in a 10 µm nitrided layer. XRD revealed an expanded Fe-α layer with Fe nitrides but no signal of CrN was found. Wear resistance was improved three and four times in erosion and sliding tests compared to the non-nitrided material. Corrosion resistance was tested in anodic polarization experiments in a NaCl solution. In this test, the samples treated in the short time process presented the best results.
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1. Introduction

Martensitic stainless steels are widely used for the manufacturing of components that require good mechanical properties and moderate corrosion resistance. For these applications, plasma nitriding can be used to increase surface hardness and wear resistance and consequently improve their performance and lifespan 1-4. Several studies about the wear behaviour of nitrided martensitic stainless steel have been carried out and many authors have reported that the plasma nitriding process allows the improvement of tribological properties 2,5-7. In this kind of steels, a nitried layer with both a high nitrogen content zone and a diffusion zone is formed 6,7. The nitried layer retains its martensitic structure with a lattice parameter larger than the bulk. This phase is called “expanded martensite” and sometimes, also γ'-Fe₃N and ε - Fe₂₃N nitrides precipitate in the nitried layer 8-11. This nitried layer increases the surface hardness and improves the tribological properties as mentioned above; however, the corrosion resistance can be affected depending on the process parameters. This is due to the chromium nitrides precipitation which reduces the free chromium in solid solution and as a consequence, the ability of the material to build the passive film for corrosion protection 1,2,12.

There are publications about nitried martensitic stainless steel corrosion behaviour at different temperature and long treatments (15 and 20 hours). It was reported that it is possible to obtain a good corrosion resistance (in the AISI 420 and AISI 410 stainless steels) when the expanded martensite without chromium nitrides precipitation is formed at low temperature 11,13.

On the other hand, some authors found a good corrosion resistance in plasma nitried AISI 410 martensitic stainless steel for 20 hours at high temperatures, 460 °C and 500 °C. In this case, the authors claimed that the dense iron nitride layer exerted more influence over the corrosion protection than the passive oxide layer 13. Regarding the short nitriding treatments, Scheuer et.al performed nitriding treatments at different temperatures for 4 and 8 hours. They made a detailed study of microstructure and detected chromium nitrides precipitation when the process was carried out at 450 °C for 4 hours 14-16; however, they did not evaluate the corrosion behaviour. Li et al. analyzed the wear and corrosion behaviour of AISI 420 martensitic stainless steels that were nitried at different temperatures for 6 hours using the anodic potential active screen plasma nitriding technique. They found that the nitried samples at 480 °C showed the best wear and corrosion resistance. Under these conditions, it was verified that the nitried layer was composed of nitrogen expanded martensite and iron nitrides 1. It could be stated that there is no agreement regarding the nitriding process conditions to obtain a nitried layer with a good corrosion behaviour, therefore, it might be necessary to propose a new combination of parameters.

In this work, the route of short time-high temperature process was selected, and it was then compared with the traditional long time-low temperature process. The aim was to find a set of plasma process parameters to nitride AISI 420 stainless steel and at the same time obtain a good wear and corrosion resistance nitried layer. The technological importance of using short time treatments was considered since they allow an increase in efficiency and energy saving.
2. Experimental

Discs-shaped samples, 2 mm high and 25 mm in diameter, were cut from an AISI 420 martensitic stainless steel plate, with a chemical composition of 0.38 wt% C, 13 wt% Cr, 0.44 wt% Mn, 0.42 wt% Si, 0.07 wt% Mo, 0.02 wt% P and Fe as balance. They were subjected to a standard thermal treatment recommended for this steel in order to obtain a martensitic structure. First, they were subjected to preheating at 800 °C for 15 minutes. Secondly, they were heated at 1030 °C for 30 minutes. Then, they were quenched in agitated air, and finally tempered at 260 °C for 2 hours. They were prepared with SiC grit paper from #120 to #1000 and later polished with 1 μm diamond powder. The surface roughness Ra was (0.0310 ± 0.0006) μm

Plasma nitriding treatments were performed in an industrial equipment at IONAR S.A. (Buenos Aires, Argentina) using a DC pulsed plasma discharge and in a 20%N₂-80%H₂ atmosphere. The nitriding processes were carried out at different temperatures and durations as it is shown in Table 1.

| Conditions | Parameters |
|------------|------------|
| N1         | 390 °C, 10 h |
| N2         | 390 °C, 5 h  |
| N3         | 420 °C, 5 h  |
| N4         | 420 °C, 4 h  |

It could be stated that the first condition N1 (low temperature and medium/long duration) is the one corresponding to control, because it belongs to the group of parameters which is convenient for both wear and corrosion resistance in the cited literature.²,³,¹³,¹⁴

The surface hardness and the hardness depth profile of the nitrided samples were measured using a Vickers Indenter with a 25 g load. The microstructure was analyzed by XRD, OM and SEM (FEI Quanta 250 model). All SEM images were obtained in SE mode. The XRD measurements were carried out in a PANalytical diffractometer with Bragg Brentano geometry using CuKα radiation. The chemical composition of the nitrided layer was measured in depth by GDOES (Glow-Discharge Optical Emission Spectroscopy). The GDOES equipment was previously calibrated for the nitrogen detection in nitrided samples. The measurements were performed using a type RF1000 Horiba Jobin Yvon equipment. The gradual ablation of surface layers was achieved by using Argon ions bombardment from radio-frequency plasma with 30 W power.

The tribological behaviour was evaluated using pin on disks tests following the ASTM G99-95 standard, using 5 N load and an alumina ball 6 mm in diameter acting as counterpart. The track radius was 7 mm, the tangential velocity was 10 cm/s and the wear length, 500 m. The volume loss was calculated from the cross sectional profile of the wear scar and measured by a mechanical profilometer. The friction coefficient was also measured in the same tests, calculating the average value among one thousand seconds of the tests, once the steady state was reached. The erosion resistance was evaluated in a tailor-made machine in a water and sand mixture for eight hours. The impact angle was 30° and the flux was 5.8 mm³/h. The sand granulometry was AFS 50. The samples were weighed before and after the tests. The tested surfaces were examined by SEM.

In order to evaluate the corrosion behaviour, polarization tests were carried out in an electrochemical cell with a testing area of 0.28 cm² over the sample, and sealed with an o-ring. Three experiments were performed on each sample and only one curve is shown, which represents the corrosion behavior of the above experiments. A deaired 3.5% NaCl solution (pH 6.5) at room temperature was used as electrolyte; the reference electrode was a standard Calomel, the counter electrode was a Pt wire and the working electrode was the sample. The sweeping rate was 1 mV/s. The surface was observed by SEM after the corrosion tests.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Microstructure

A modified layer close to the surface can be distinguished from the substrate by means of optical and electronic microscopy. This layer looked white after the Vilella reagent attack but the SEM pictures chosen for the nitrided layer analysis and the OM micrograph are not shown in this work. From previous works and from the literature, it is known that this layer corresponds to a nitrogen supersaturated solution in the martensitic structure called “expanded martensite”⁸,¹⁰. It is also well known, that below this layer, there is a nitrogen diffusion zone with a lower nitrogen concentration which it not observed in the metallographic analysis. However, the existence and extent of this diffusion zone can be inferred from the hardness and nitrogen concentration profiles, because hardness increases with nitrogen concentration. This is due to the lattice expansion and subsequent stresses, which will be shown in the next section.

SEM micrographs were registered to measure this white layer accurately and to observe some features within them with higher magnification. In Fig. 1, the SEM pictures show the nitrided layer with an irregular interphase which not as distinguished as in the S phase in nitrided austenitic stainless steel.¹⁷ The mean thickness of this layer was 10 mm in all cases except in the N2 condition, 390 °C-5 h, where the layer width was only 8 mm.

The thickness of the nitrided layer was similar for N1, N3 and N4 samples. On the contrary, the N2 samples which were nitrided at low temperature and short time presented a lower thickness. As in all diffusion process, the combination of time and temperature determined the results.
It is worth mentioning that with the combination of high temperature and short time, it is possible to get a nitrided layer with similar thickness to that obtained with a traditional N1 treatment.

In a closer analysis, the classical acicular martensitic structure can be observed in the nitrided layers, especially of N1 and N3 samples (first row). This could be due to a higher nitrogen content in the zone close to the surface, which encourages martensite structure formation, as it was reported in the literature. This structure was also detected in the substrate below the white layer, for all samples.

The nitrided layers structures were analyzed by XRD. In the untreated sample, tempered martensite ($\alpha$-Fe) and retained austenite ($\gamma$) peaks were detected. In all nitrided samples, nitrogen “expanded martensite” ($\alpha_N$) peaks were observed in the diffractograms (Fig. 2).
This phase corresponds to a nitrogen supersaturated solid solution which has been already mentioned in the literature. The \( \alpha_N \) peaks are broadened and shifted towards lower diffraction angles in relation to the \( \alpha-Fe \) peaks of the blank (untreated) sample. In the N4 sample, the \( \alpha_N \) content was higher because the \( \alpha_N \) (110) peak at 42.6º was clearly higher. In all nitrided samples, the lattice expansion lattice was similar. Moreover, \( \varepsilon-Fe_{2-3}N \) iron nitrides reflections were detected and \( \gamma'-Fe_N \) in a lower proportion (only two peaks corresponding to these nitrides were clearly detected). Regarding the \( \varepsilon-Fe_{2-3}N \) iron nitrides, it can be stated that \( Fe_3N \) was present in N1, N2 and N3 samples. In the N4 sample, one of the reflections of this phase was not observed but the pattern corresponded to \( Fe_2N \). The \( \alpha_N \) content was higher for the N4 than for the other samples considering the relative intensities of the peaks. The N1 and N3 samples had less \( \alpha_N \) content and in general, the diffractograms were similar. If there was a time-temperature threshold curve (that separate N in solution (\( \alpha_N \)) from Fe nitrides) similar to that of the austenitic stainless steel 20, the process conditions of N1 and N3 might be crossing the curve in different places (high temperature-short time (N3) and low temperature-long time (N1)). On the contrary, the N4 sample with less process time would be under the curve. Consequently, in the last case the sample had less iron nitrides. The expanded austenite phase peaks were not detected in any cases in the nitrided samples. This could be due to the background noise and the superposition with other phases in the diffractograms. These results coincide with those from other authors who have reported that the nitrided layer is composed of \( \alpha_N \) and \( \varepsilon-Fe_{2-3}N \) when the nitriding process is performed at temperatures lower than 450 °C. The \( \varepsilon-Fe_{2-3}N \) could be \( \varepsilon \)-carbonitrides (\( Fe_{2-3}(C,N) \)) formed as a consequence of the carbon redistribution, as reported in reference 18. It is worth noticing that CrN reflections were absent in all the analyzed nitrided samples, such as the (111) reflection at 37.4º and the (220) at 63.3º. Probably, the time-temperature combination was not enough for the massive precipitation of CrN, as other authors observed in treatments at higher temperatures and longer durations.

The chemical composition of the nitrided layers was further analyzed by GDOES and their nitrogen profiles are shown in Fig. 3. The nitrogen profiles were similar for N1 and N3 samples and reached a depth of about 18 µm; however, in the N3 sample the nitrogen concentration was higher near the surface. The penetration depth of the nitriding treatment (calculated according to DIN 50190-3 standard) was the lowest in N2 samples, which is in agreement with the previous SEM and hardness depth profile results. The penetration depth was equivalent between the N1 and N3 samples. This could be due to the fact that the nitrogen diffusion coefficient increases with temperature and so the same penetration can be obtained with less time.

The white layer is not only the nitrided layer since lower concentrations of N can be found deeper in the surface which form the diffusion zone. The GDOES results correspond to what was observed in the SEM micrograph, that is, three thick layers and a thin white layer in case N3 (Fig. 1). The nitrogen concentration was about 30 % at. near the surface in all cases, as it can be seen in Fig. 3(b). This quantity was enough to form different kinds of nitrides and these were detected in all the XRD diffractograms at least in the depth analyzed by the X rays. Moreover, the presence of \( Fe_{2-3}N \) was probably prevalent over \( Fe_2N \) in a zone close to the surface, taking into account that the N concentration was higher as mentioned before. According to the results, if the N concentration near the surface was higher in the N3 sample than in the N1 sample, the amount of Fe nitrides should also be greater.

![Figure 3](image-url) GDOES Nitrogen profiles of nitrided samples (a) until a depth of 22 µm (b) closer to the surface, until a depth of 2.5 µm.
3.2 Hardness

Hardness was measured in two ways: using a Vickers indenter with 25 g (245 mN) called “microhardness” (Table 2). Besides, the indentation depth was calculated and the values were also shown. In addition, it is usually considered that the total depth affected by the indentation stress field is about 10 times deeper than the actual depth.

The microhardness for the untreated sample was of 
(500 ± 20) HV. After the nitriding process, the hardness increased in all samples and it is shown in Table 2. The N2 sample presented the lowest hardness and the total penetration indentation depth exceeded the nitrided layer thickness. Consequently, the hardness measurement was influenced by the substrate and the hardness value did not correspond only to the nitrided layer.

In relation to the hardness profiles, the penetration or nitriding depth was approximately 25 µm for both N1 and N3, as can be seen in Fig. 4. The penetration depth was about 15 µm for the N4 sample. In this sample, the profile near the surface is similar to that corresponding to N1 and N3 samples; however, at 15 µm depth N4 profile had an abrupt fall until it reached the base material hardness.

Figure 4. Hardness profiles of nitrided samples.

Table 2. Microhardness measurements results and calculated mechanical properties

| Parameters          | N1  | N2  | N3  | N4  |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Microhardness (HV<sub>0.025</sub>) | 1310 ± 30 | 1060 ± 50 | 1320 ± 50 | 1250 ± 40 |
| Depth penetration (µm) | 0.85 | 0.94 | 0.84 | 0.87 |

3.3 Wear behaviour

From now onwards, in wear and corrosion experiments the N2 samples (which had the thinnest nitried layer and the lowest nitrogen in composition profile) were not tested. This is due to the fact there is no sense in making comparison with the other sample groups.

As expected, all nitried samples had better wear behaviour than the untreated sample (Table 3) in the pin on disk tests, because the nitrided layer increases the surface hardness and improves the wear resistance. Moreover, the nitrogen concentration and microstructure have influence on the wear behaviour. The lowest wear volume loss occurred for the N3 sample. The high wear resistance of these samples could be related to the high surface hardness, the hardness depth profile and the phases present in the nitrided layer such as iron nitrides which impart resistance to plastic deformation.

The third column shows the stationary value of the coefficient of friction (CoF) which takes place at the end of the experiment. The friction coefficient was similar before and after the nitriding process for every sample as it can be observed in Table 3. This treatment did not reduce the friction coefficient in these experiments, contrary to what has been reported by other authors in the literature.

Table 3. Wear results (Pin on disk)

| Samples          | Volume loss (.10<sup>-3</sup> mm<sup>3</sup>) | CoF | Depth (µm) |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----|------------|
| N1 (390 °C, 10 h) | 6.7 ± 0.7                                   | 0.80| 1.7 ± 0.1  |
| N3 (420 °C, 5 h)  | 4.7 ± 0.4                                   | 0.80| 1 ± 0.1    |
| N4 (420 °C, 4 h)  | 14.4 ± 1.2                                  | 0.87| 2.2 ± 0.1  |
| Untreated        | 35 ± 3.5                                    | 0.80| 3.4 ± 0.3  |

3.4 Erosion behaviour

All nitried samples presented better wear resistance than the untreated samples (Fig. 5) in the severe erosion wear tests. The mass loss was lower in the N3 sample than in the other samples. The good wear behaviour in this kind of test is related to the microstructure, to the high surface hardness and to the high compressive residual stresses, usually found in the nitrided layer. The better behaviour of N3 can be derived from the fact that the nitrided layer of this sample contained more iron nitrides. These phases confer a better resistance to plastic deformation and they can also stand severe wear, as it was informed in the literature.
In addition, the nitrided layer formation introduced high compressive residual stresses, which avoid certain kinds of damage such as ploughing, cutting or microcracks formation caused by the erosive particle impact during the test.\textsuperscript{21,23}

### 3.5 Corrosion behaviour

In the polarization test in NaCl solution, only the N1 and N3 samples had better corrosion behaviour than the untreated sample (Fig. 6). The corrosion potential value was similar in the untreated sample and in both N1 and N3, but it was nobler than N4. However, and what should be highlighted is that the breakdown potential value and the difference between both corrosion and breakdown potential were much higher in N3 than in N1. The N4 sample showed the worst behavior because it did not have any passive region; only active dissolution and current densities (J) were much higher for similar potential values. A quantitative analysis is shown in Table 4.

Regarding corrosion attack morphology, the untreated sample showed severe crevice corrosion signs where the o-ring was placed, as well as pitting corrosion, as it can be observed in Fig. 7(a) and 7(b).
Table 4. Corrosion results (Anodic polarization)

| Samples         | $E_{corr}$ (mV) | $E_{i0}$ (mV) | $E_{an}-E_{corr}$ (mV) | J-350mV (mA/cm²) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------|
| N1 (390 °C, 10 h) | -390            | 170           | 560                    | 0.0044           |
| N3 (420 °C, 5 h)  | -365            | 535           | 900                    | 0.01             |
| N4 (420 °C, 4 h)  | -545            | -             | -                      | >> 1             |
| Untreated        | -418            | -208          | 210                    | 1.00             |

On the contrary, in the N3 sample, there was a mild attack over the region in contact with the solution (Fig. 7(c)) and some small pits between 1 and 2 μm in diameter were detected (Fig. 7(d)).

It was reported that the corrosion behaviour may be related to the iron nitrides, as it has been reported by others authors. A dense nitried layer which is formed by iron nitrides can act as a barrier to avoid the corrosion substrate, as it occurs for medium alloyed steels.

4. Conclusions

The nitriding process on AISI 420 martensitic stainless steel increased its hardness and improved its wear resistance with all the parameters used. In this work, both an increase in the nitriding process temperature and a reduction of the process duration were tried in order to obtain a hard, wear and corrosion resistant layer instead of reducing temperature as it has been reported in the literature. Moreover, this layer consisted of expanded martensite and iron nitrides, but no massive chromium nitrides precipitation was detected by XRD.

Following the results, it can be stated that the combination of time and temperature determines the structure and the properties of the nitried layer. The increase in temperature increases the nitrogen diffusion coefficient allowing the treatment time to be reduced. A similar layer depth and hardness were obtained for 390 °C, 10 h and 420 °C, 5 h treatments. However, the best wear resistance was achieved for the latter treatment. The other short time conditions, with lower temperature or shorter duration did not yield good results. The bigger difference was observed in the corrosion behaviour where the short treatment (420 °C, 5 h) showed the largest passive zone.

Moreover, the use of short nitriding treatments is technologically more convenient due to the fact that it allows energy and money saving.
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