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OPEN ACCESS

The appetite suppressant D-norpseudoephedrine (NPE), also known as cathine, is found naturally in the shrub Catha edulis “Khat.” NPE has been widely used as an appetite suppressant for the treatment of obesity. Although it is known that NPE acts on α1-adrenergic receptors, there is little information about the role of dopamine receptors on NPE’s induced anorectic and weight loss effects. Equally untouched is the question of how NPE modulates neuronal activity in the nucleus accumbens shell (NAcSh), a brain reward center, and a pharmacological target for many appetite suppressants. To do this, in rats, we characterized the pharmacological effects induced by NPE on weight loss, food intake, and locomotion. We also determined the involvement of dopamine D1- and D2-like receptors using systemic and intra-NAcSh antagonists, and finally, we recorded single-unit activity in the NAcSh in freely moving rats. We found that NPE decreased 24-h food intake, induced weight loss, and as side effects increased locomotor activity and wakefulness. Also, intraperitoneal and intra-NAcSh administration of D1 and D2 dopamine antagonists partially reversed NPE’s induced weight loss and food intake suppression. Furthermore, the D1 antagonist, SCH-23390, eliminated NPE-induced locomotion, whereas the D2 antagonist, raclopride, only delayed its onset. We also found that NPE evoked a net activation imbalance in NAcSh that propelled the population activity trajectories into a dynamic pharmacological brain state, which correlated with the onset of NPE-induced wakefulness. Together, our data demonstrate that NPE modulates NAcSh spiking activity and that both dopamine D1 and D2 receptors are necessary for NPE’s induced food intake suppression and weight loss.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is currently a pandemic affecting more than 650 million people worldwide. Although obesity is primarily treated with exercise and diet, appetite suppressants can aid in weight loss (Wing and Hill, 2001; Joo and Lee, 2014; Brett, 2019). Amphetamine was the first appetite suppressant widely used in humans, but in the late 1960s, it was restricted because of its highly...
addictive properties (Harris et al., 1947; Stowe and Miller, 1957; Sharp et al., 1962; Stark and Totty, 1967; Drevets et al., 2001). Subsequent appetite suppressants were mainly amphetamine congeners but with less intense properties (Zelger and Carlini, 1980; Kalix and Khan, 1984; Balint et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2012). These drugs exert their pharmacological effects by stimulating the release of norepinephrine, serotonin, and dopamine (DA) via uptake inhibition (Baumann et al., 2000; Drevets et al., 2001; Rothman et al., 2001; Broening et al., 2005). The most commonly prescribed appetite suppressants since 1959, are phentermine and diethylpropion (Bray, 2000; Kushner, 2018). However, there is very little information about their mechanism of action, especially on their central effects. To fill this gap, recently, we found that mild stimulants, including diethylpropion, phentermine, and bupropion, suppressed food intake, induced weight loss, and modulated neural activity in the nucleus accumbens shell (NAcSh) (Kalyanasundar et al., 2015; Perez et al., 2019), a brain region with robust dopaminergic innervation involved in feeding, sleep, and locomotor behavior (Kelley et al., 2005; Palmeter, 2007; Tellez et al., 2012). In contrast to the idea that they mainly act via norepinephrine and serotonin neurotransmitters, we found that D1- and D2-like DA receptor antagonists greatly attenuated their anorectic and weight loss effects (Kalyanasundar et al., 2015). However, there is a scarcity of information about D-norpseudoephedrine (NPE), an appetite suppressant introduced in the 1970s, used for weight reduction. Thus, here we have extended our studies to NPE.

The leaves of “Khat” contain several active compounds. Still, the most potent is cathinone [S-(−)-cathinone], followed by two diastereoisomers: cathine [1S,2S-(+)-norpseudoephedrine, abbreviated as NPE] and norephedrine [1R,2S-(−)-norephedrine] (Kalix and Khan, 1984; Balint et al., 2009). Cathinone and its less potent metabolite NPE are referred to as natural amphetamines (Kalix, 1992). However, and despite that, they are structurally related to amphetamine; they exhibit fewer addictive properties (specially NPE), according to the World Health Organization (Eddy et al., 1965; Zelger and Carlini, 1980; Eisenberg et al., 1987; Kalix et al., 1990; Toennes et al., 2003). Eisenberg et al. (1987) showed that rats treated with NPE exhibited increased locomotor activity and reduced food intake at doses of 10–50 mg/kg. Moreover, NPE has diminished potency relative to cathinone but shown to have a longer duration of action (Peterson et al., 1980; Zelger and Carlini, 1980; Pehek et al., 1990). Nevertheless, over the past decades, NPE has received very little experimental attention; only a few clinical studies have examined NPE as an appetite suppressant for the short-term treatment of obesity (Greenway, 1992; Richert, 2011; Lemieux et al., 2015; Onakpoya et al., 2016). Recently, the long-term (24 weeks) efficacy and safety for NPE were studied in humans, with promising results (Hauner et al., 2017). Nonetheless, despite its widespread use, their evoked behavioral and neuronal effects remain poorly understood.

This study aimed to increase our knowledge about the mechanism of action of NPE and its effects evoked in the brain. We found that blockade of DA receptors partially reversed NPE-induced pharmacological effects. Our results confirm and further extend the idea that most, if not all, appetite suppressants of the phenylethylamine class exert their anorectic effects via NAcSh’s D1- and D2-like receptors (Kalyanasundar et al., 2015).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Animals

A total of 62 male Sprague–Dawley rats ~250–350 g were used for all experiments. Future studies should evaluate the effects of NPE in female rats. Animals were housed individually and had ad libitum access to food and water except during multichannel recordings or when locomotion was measured in the open field (see below). Room temperature was maintained at 21 ± 1°C, with 12/12 h light–dark cycle (0600–1,800 h). All procedures were approved by the CINVESTAV institutional animal care and use committee.

### Drugs and Chemicals

The NPE hydrochloride was kindly provided by Productos Medix (Mexico). R(+)-SCH-23390 hydrochloride (SCH) and S(−)-raclopride (+)-tartrate salt (RAC) were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (Mexico). These compounds were dissolved in physiological saline (Sal) (0.9% NaCl) and administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) in a volume of 1 ml/kg or 2.5 μg/0.5 μL per hemisphere in the intra-NAcSh infusion (see below).

### Dose–Response Effects of NPE on Weight Loss and Food Intake

To determine whether NPE influences the rats body weight and food intake, these variables were measured approximately at the same time once daily 20 min before the experiment’s start. Rats were injected with Sal (n = 3), 10 mg/kg NPE, 20 mg/kg NPE, 40 mg/kg NPE, or 80 mg/kg NPE, namely, NPE10, NPE20, NPE40, and NPE80, respectively (n = 4/group). Animals were individually housed and received 100 g allotment of standard rat chow (Purina Mexico) per day. Behavioral experiments were carried out between 1,600 and 1,800 h (i.e., 2 h prior to the commencement of the rat’s active phase). The daily changes in body weight and food intake were expressed in grams relative to the baseline (BL, i.e., the values recorded 20 min before the experiment’s start, in the first injection day).

### Locomotor Activity in the Open Field

All locomotor effects were measured using Ethovision XT10 (Noldus Information Technology, the Netherlands) (Perez et al., 2019). Our setup recorded up to six open field arenas simultaneously (40 L × 40 W × 30 H cm). The arenas were placed together in two rows (3 × 2) and a CCD camera (IDS camera, Germany) with a uEye Cockpit software recorded with a top view and 15-fps resolution. After 3 days of habituation to the open field, animals were injected with their corresponding treatment once daily and then were placed in an open field for 90 or 120 min. The videos were analyzed using the center body mass, tracking the position of the animal as “x” and “y” coordinates to compute the total distance traveled (cm). Two videos were lost and thus not included into the analysis. They corresponded to...
days 2 and 4 for the same animals; one rat for NPE10, NPE20, and NPE40 groups.

**Effects of Systemic Administration of DA D1- and D2-Like Receptor Antagonists on NPE-Induced Pharmacological Effects**

To determine the contribution of D1- and D2-like receptors on NPE-induced behavioral effects, we injected SCH or RAC antagonists i.p. over 7 consecutive days. The antagonist concentrations were selected based on previous studies showing that doses 1.5 mg/kg (SCH) and 0.5 mg/kg (RAC) antagonized the locomotor effects induced by amphetamine, methamphetamine, and diethylpropion (Broening et al., 2005; Wright et al., 2013; Kalyanasundar et al., 2015). Based on our dose–response experiment, the NPE’s effective dose (ED50) that leads to weight loss was 20 mg/kg. Thus, we used this dose for all subsequent experiments. Animals were divided into six groups: Sal + Sal (n = 4), SCH + Sal (n = 3), RAC + Sal (n = 3), Sal + NPE (n = 4), SCH + NPE (n = 4), and RAC + NPE (n = 4). The first name indicates the initial i.p. injection of the DA antagonist, and the following name represents the second i.p. injection received. Body weight and food intake (both in grams) were measured daily 20 min before each experiment’s start. Rats were habituated to the open field for 2 days (data not shown). During the treatment, the rats were daily introduced in the open field during 0–45 min to record the basal locomotor activity (with no injection), and then at 45 min, they received the first i.p. injection with either Sal or two antagonists (either SCH or RAC). Then at 60 min, they received the second i.p. injection (either Sal or NPE), and their locomotor activity was monitored for 1 additional hour.

**Intra-NAcSh Infusions of DA Antagonists Cannula Implantation**

To evaluate the role of the DA receptors located in the NAcSh on the NPE-induced changes in weight loss, feeding, and locomotion, we performed intra-NAcSh infusions of DA antagonists. Animals were anesthetized using a cocktail of ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (8 mg/kg), and implanted with an i.p. catheter following the protocol described by Perez et al. (2019). After the catheter’s surgery, we inserted an electrode array in the NAcSh following previously described methods (Tejeda et al., 2012). Briefly, a movable 4 × 4 microwire array (tungsten wires of 35 μm in diameter) was unilaterally implanted in the right NAcSh at the following coordinates: AP = 1.4, L = ± 1, and DV = 7.5 mm from bregma. One stainless-steel screw was soldered to a silver wire (203 μm) and implanted on the cerebellum’s surface served as ground. Finally, the electrode array was anchored to the skull using dental acrylic and two more screws. To maintain the patency, catheters were flushed daily with Sal (0.9%). Seven days after surgery, rats were habituated for 2 days to the operant box. A polyethylene tube, 30 cm in length, was connected to the catheter attached to a syringe outside the box and was manually operated to infuse the drug (either Sal or NPE) non-invasively during the neuronal recordings.

**Single-Unit Recordings in the NAcSh of Freely Moving Rats**

Recordings (19 sessions from three rats) were performed using a multichannel acquisition processor (Plexon, Dallas, TX). During recordings, rats were placed in an operant box enclosed in a sound-attenuating cubic equipped with a webcam. Each session lasted for 3 h and consisted of three epochs: BL (0–1 h), Sal (1–2 h), and treatment (NPE: 2–3 h; 20 mg/kg). Voltage signals were sampled at 40 kHz and digitalized at 12-bit resolution. The action potentials were band-pass filtered (154 Hz to 8.8 kHz). Only single neurons with action potentials with a signal-to-noise ratio larger than 3:1 were analyzed. Action potentials were identified online using a voltage–time threshold window and the three principal components contour template algorithm of neuron’s wave shape (Gutiérrez et al., 2010). Action potentials were then sorted using offline sorter software (Plexon Dallas, TX). No food or water was available during recordings to avoid unintended modulations induced by chewing or licking and those induced by satiety. Thus, the intention was primarily to record the pharmacological effects induced by NPE. The NAcSh’s local field potentials (LFPs) were amplified 1,000×, filtered 0.7–300 Hz, and digitized at 1 kHz using a digital acquisition card (National
Instruments, Austin, TX). They were used to compute the brain state hypnograms (see below).

**Statistical Analyses for Behavior**

All data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistical differences both within- and between-subjects’ factors were assessed by two-way repeated-measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA), followed by Tukey post hoc analysis using GraphPad Prism 7. Complete statistical analyses on body weight, food intake, and locomotor activity can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

**Calculation of NPE’s ED_{50} for Weight Loss**

We calculated the effective dose 50 (ED_{50}) on body weight loss using the equation \( f = \min + ((\max - \min)/(1 + (ED_{50}/X)^\gamma) \) Hill slope), where \( f \) is the expected response to a given dose (X), \( \min \) and \( \max \) are the lowest and highest weight loss, and the ED_{50} is the dose at which 50% of the subjects are expected to show the desired response using the program developed for Gadagkar and Call (2015).

**Neurons That Increase or Decrease Firing Rates After Administration of NPE**

All analyses were performed using MATLAB toolboxes and homemade custom scripts. After the injection of NPE, a significant change in firing rate was identified using a Kruskal-Wallis test, considering a significance level \( \alpha < 0.05 \). Neurons exhibiting an increased firing rate after treatment relative to BL epoch were classified as “increased,” whereas neurons with reduced activity were named “decreased.” We used a \( \chi^2 \)-test to assess differences in the percentage of neurons modulated.

**Principal Component Analysis for Population Activity Trajectories**

**Preprocessing**

All neuronal responses were concatenated in a single \( n \times m \) matrix \( D \), where rows were \( n = \) neurons, and \( m = \) columns were firing rates binned at 1-s size. The neuronal’s responses were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (\( \sigma = 100 \) ms) as follows:

\[
f(x|ts, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-ts)^2}{2\sigma^2}}
\]

where \( ts \) were timestamps for every spike per neuron, \( x \) was a vector containing values to construct each Gaussian kernel (e.g., \( ts - 3\sigma: \) 10 ms: \( ts + 3\sigma \)), and \( \sigma \) was kernel standard deviation. Then, all neural responses were downsampled in bins of 30-s size. The neural responses were \( z \) scored as follows:

\[
fr_{z-score} = \frac{fr - \mu}{\sigma}
\]

where \( fr \) was a vector containing the firing rate of a given neuron, \( \mu \) is the mean firing rate, and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of the firing rate of a given neuron. The standard deviation and mean firing rate were calculated from the BL (time 0–1 h).

**RESULTS**

**Behavioral Effects**

**NPE-Induced Weight Loss**

To determine the efficacy of NPE, we measured the body weight of rats over 7 consecutive days. Figure 1A, left panel, shows the change in body weight relative to initial BL weight after administering either control Sal or one of the following doses of NPE; 10, 20, 40, and 80 mg/kg. We found that Sal-treated rats gained body weight over the 7-day treatment period. Statistical
**FIGURE 1** | D-norpseudoephedrine (NPE) leads to weight loss, suppresses food intake, and NPE increases locomotor activity. (A) Left panel: Change in body weight (g) relative to baseline day (BL) over 7 consecutive injections of saline (Sal) or NPE at 10-, 20-, 40-, and 80-mg/kg doses (NPE10, NPE20, NPE40, and NPE80, respectively). The horizontal dotted line represents no change in body weight relative to BL. Right panel: Average body weight loss over 7 consecutive days of treatment. (B) Left panel: Change in chow intake (g) over 7 days. Right panel: Average change in chow intake. (C) Left panel: Locomotor activity induced by different doses of NPE. Right panel: Mean distance traveled follows inverted-U shape response. Bars represent the mean ± SEM. *p < 0.05 significantly different from saline-treated rats.

Analysis demonstrated a significant main effect of doses on body weight [RM ANOVA; $F_{(4,14)} = 13.1, p = 0.0001$; time (days): $F_{(6, 84)} = 21.32, p < 0.0001$, and doses × time interaction: $F_{(24, 84)} = 4.3, p < 0.0001$]. In contrast, NPE20, NPE40, and NPE80 treatments resulted in a significantly greater weight loss than the control Sal group across days (all $p_s < 0.05$). We also plotted the average change in body weight in **Figure 1A**, right panel.

A post hoc analysis unveiled that NPE20 and NPE40 induced a similar weight loss ($p > 0.9$), whereas NPE20, NPE40, and NPE80 induced more weight loss than the Sal group ($^*p < 0.05$).

**Administration of NPE Suppressed Food Intake**

To study the NPE-induced anorectic effects, we measured the chow food intake. **Figure 1B**, left panel, shows the daily change in food intake (in g) relative to BL (**Figure 1B**, left panel). As expected, the administration of Sal did not change food intake, because values remained around zero. In contrast, NPE10, NPE20, and NPE40 inhibited food intake with a similar magnitude ($-4.9 ± 0.8$, $-6.6 ± 0.4$, and $-6.6 ± 0.8$ g, respectively), whereas the highest NPE dose 80 mg/kg achieved the maximum reduction $-14.3 ± 0.7$ g (**Figure 1B**, right panel [RM ANOVA; main effect of doses: $F_{(4,14)} = 7.9, p = 0.0015$; time (days): $F_{(6, 84)} = 3.3, p = 0.005$, and no significant doses × time interaction: $F_{(24, 84)} = 0.7, p = 0.8$]. A Tukey post hoc analysis unveiled that NPE at doses of 80 mg/kg was significantly different to Sal-treated rats ($p < 0.05$); whereas the lowest doses exhibited a non-significant trend suppressing food intake.
FIGURE 2 | Intraperitoneal injection of either D1 (SCH23390- SCH) or D2-like receptor [raclopride (RAC)] antagonists partially reversed NPE-induced behavioral effects. (A) Scheme of i.p. injections. (B) Left panel: Change in body weight over 7 days relative to BL (first day of injection). NPE was given in a fixed dose of 20 mg/kg. Right panel: The average change in body weight. (C) Left panel shows the change in chow intake (g). Right panel: The average change in food intake. (D) Left panel: Distance traveled (cm/10 min) for a period with no injection (interval 0–45 min), and the evoked distance after NPE or Sal (>60 min). The first i.p. injection (Sal, SCH, or RAC) was given at 45 min, which is 15 min before the second injection at 60 min (Sal or NPE, note: the break-in x-axis at 45–60). Right panel: Average distance traveled. *p < 0.05 significantly different than in Sal + Sal. #p < 0.05 compared to Sal + NPE group.
NPE Increased Locomotor Activity

Previous studies reported that acute administration of appetite suppressants in rats also stimulates locomotor activity (Reimer et al., 1995; Rothman and Baumann, 2006; Kalyanasundar et al., 2015; Perez et al., 2019). We then asked whether NPE modulates this behavior (Figure 1C, left panel). As expected, Sal administration (control) did not change locomotor activity. In contrast, we observed that locomotor activity was significantly higher after injection of NPE compared to the control group [RM ANOVA; main effect of doses: $F_{(4,11)} = 6.4, p = 0.006$; time (days): $F_{(6, 66)} = 4.3, p = 0.0009$, and doses × time interaction: $F_{(24, 66)} = 1.9, p = 0.01$]. Note that rats treated with NPE10 and NPE20 exhibited greater locomotor activity than the Sal-treated rats ($p < 0.05$), whereas the highest doses NPE40 and NPE80 showed a reduced activity compared to the lowest doses (Figure 1C, right panel). NPE's increased locomotor activity tends to follow a dose-dependent curve of inverted-U shape, suggesting that normal locomotion was compromised in large doses, as shown in Thiel and Dressler (1994). In rats, our dose–response curve for the weight loss over 7 days of treatment with NPE yielded an effective dose (ED$_{50}$) of 20 mg/kg. Therefore, we used the ED$_{50}$ dose for all subsequent experiments.

NPE-Induced Weight Loss, Food Intake Suppression, and Locomotion Were Attenuated by Systemic Injection of DA D1 and D2 Receptor Antagonists

We then went to determine whether the NPE's induced pharmacological effects depend on DA D1 and D2 receptors. Thus, either D1 (SCH; SCH23390 1.5 mg/kg) or D2 receptor antagonist RAC (0.5 mg/kg) were administered systemically 15 min before the i.p. injection of NPE or Sal. Figure 2A depicts the drug administration protocol. We found a significant treatment effect [$F_{(5,16)} = 7.2, p = 0.001$], days [$F_{(6, 96)} = 24, p < 0.0001$], and a significant interaction between factors [$F_{(30,96)} = 4.9, p < 0.0001$]. Figure 2B, left panel, shows the change in body weight over 7 consecutive days. The control group continued to gain body weight over time (Sal + Sal: 8 ± 1.3 g, Figure 1B, right panel). Likewise, the DA D1 antagonists alone (i.e., SCH + Sal) did not affect the weight gain compared to the control group (Sal + Sal: 8 ± 1.3 g vs. SCH + Sal: 9.4 ± 1 g; $p = 0.99$, n.s.). Although RAC + Sal exhibited a slightly increase in body weight gain (13.7 ± 1.7 g) than rats treated with Sal, the difference did not achieve statistical significance ($p = 0.76$, n.s.). In contrast, Sal + NPE leads to a robust and significant weight loss ($-9.0 ± 0.9 g, p = 0.0063$). Most importantly, blockade of DA D1 receptors attenuated NPE-induced weight loss, because SCH + NPE (4.7 ± 1.7 g; black triangles) was significantly different than Sal + NPE group ($p = 0.03$). Though the RAC + NPE group (1.5 ± 0.7 g; black squares) did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.143$ n.s. for the full effect) (Figure 2B, right panel), the day-by-day analysis uncovered that RAC + NPE group attenuated NPE-induced weight loss until days 5–7 (see # in Figure 2B, left panel, black squares). Our data demonstrate that D1-like (and in less degree D2-like) receptors are critical players for NPE-induced weight loss.

We measured the 24 h food intake. Figure 2C, left panel, shows the change in food intake over 7 days of treatment. RM ANOVA found a treatment main effect: $F_{(5, 16)} = 9.0, p = 0.003$; no time effect (days): $F_{(6, 96)} = 1.5, p = 0.1$, and no significant treatment × time interaction: $F_{(30, 96)} = 1.2, p = 0.1$. The Sal + Sal, SCH + Sal, and RAC + Sal were not significantly different among the three control groups. In contrast, Sal + NPE group exhibited a significant reduction in chow intake compared to Sal + Sal (see * right panel). Note that on the first day DA antagonists did not eliminate the initial food intake suppression induced by Sal + NPE. However, after the second and subsequent days, blockade of DA receptors partially reversed Sal + NPE-induced food intake suppression. Accordingly, SCH + NPE and RAC + NPE were not statistically different from Sal + Sal group ($p = 0.35$ and $p = 0.6$). These results demonstrated that systemic administration of DA antagonists partially attenuated NPE-induced anorectic effects.

We also measured NPE's induced locomotion effects. Figure 2D, left panel, shows the daily locomotor activity. During the 0–45 min period (with no injection), all groups exhibited a similar distance traveled (≤70 cm/10 min: all $p = $ n.s.). Likewise, Sal + Sal group showed no increase in locomotor activity between BL and after Sal injection (0–45 min: 28.5 ± 5.5 cm/10 min; 60–120 min: 17.7 ± 2.4 cm/10 min). In contrast, from 60 to 120 min, the comparison among the six groups showed a significant effect of treatment on locomotion [$F_{(5, 16)} = 32.7, p < 0.0001$] and time [$F_{(6, 96)} = 5.2, p = 0.0001$] and significant interaction between factors [$F_{(30,96)} = 3.3, p < 0.0001$]. After systemic administration of DA antagonists alone, rats showed no increase in locomotion; in fact, they showed a rather decreased in locomotor activity compared to Sal + Sal group (SCH + Sal: 6.1 ± 2.4 cm/10 min; RAC + Sal: 8.5 ± 3 cm/10 min, and Sal + Sal: 17 ± 2.4 cm/10 min, all $p = $ n.s.). In contrast, Sal + NPE–treated rats exhibited increased locomotor activity in comparison to Sal + Sal. The D1 receptor antagonist SCH completely reversed the locomotion induced by NPE (SCH + NPE vs. Sal + NPE; $p < 0.0001$; Figure 2D right panel). The D2 antagonist RAC mainly delayed the NPE-induced locomotion (p = 0.04; RAC + NPE). Thus, these data suggest that the NPE-induced locomotion depends more on DA D1 than D2 receptors, suggesting an important role of D1 receptors on NPE-induced locomotor effects.

Blockade of D1- and D2-Like Receptors Directly in the NAcSh Attenuated the NPE-Induced Behavioral Effects

To evaluate the involvement of DA receptors located in the NAcSh for the NPE-mediated behavioral effects, we microinjected either D1 or D2 antagonists directly into the NAcSh, while rats received an i.p. injection of Sal or NPE (Figure 3A). Figure 3B, left panel, shows the change in body weight over 7 days. Statistical analysis found a significant effect of treatment [RM ANOVA; $F_{(5, 12)} = 26.4, p < 0.0001$; time (days): $F_{(6, 72)} = 122.7, p < 0.0001$; and interaction: $F_{(30, 72)} = 8.5, p < 0.0001$]. DA antagonists alone in the NAcSh did not affect body weight gain compared to the control group (Figure 3B, right panel: Sal + Sal: 8 ± 1.6; SCH + Sal: 9.4 ± 1 g; RAC + Sal: 13.7 ± 1.7 g). In contrast, the weight loss induced by NPE was significantly different than Sal + Sal (Sal + NPE; −5.8 ± 0.5 g; NAcSh for the Treatment of Overweight and Obesity.
FIGURE 3 | Role of intra-NAcSh dopamine D1- or D2-like receptors on NPE’s induced behavioral effects. (A) The protocol of drug administration: intra-NAcSh injection either Sal, or RAC, or SCH (at 45 min), followed by i.p. injection of either Sal or NPE (at 60 min, see panel D). (B) Left panel: Change in body weight during 7 consecutive days of treatment. Right panel averaged over 7 days. (C) Left panel: Change in chow intake (g) relative to BL. Right panel: The average change in chow intake. (D) Left panel: The distance traveled (cm/10 min) on a period with no injection (interval 0–45 min) and after injections (60–120 min). Right panel: Average distance. *p < 0.05 significantly different than in Sal + Sal. #p < 0.05 compared to Sal + NPE group.
FIGURE 4 | NPE modulates NAcSh spiking activity. (A) A brain state map (hypnogram) was computed from the local field potentials (LFPs) from the NAcSh, illustrating the three major behavioral states: slow-wave sleep (SWS), quiet awake (qW), and active awake (aW). Each dot represents the principal component (PC) ratio between two power intervals for each second of NAcSh's LFP activity. Each dot falling into the red ellipsoid corresponded to periods when the animal was in SWS, and in blue and green, ellipsoids represent qW and aW brain states, respectively. (B) Left panel: An example of one neuron exhibiting decreased firing rates (spikes/s, Sp/s) after NPE injection. The action potential waveform did not change across the session. The color bar on the x-axis represents the SWS, aW, and qW states. Right panel: A typical neuron with increasing firing rates after NPE injection. (C) A color-coded peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) of NAcSh neuronal responses of 49/60 neurons recorded during BL, Sal, and NPE epochs (vertical black lines). The horizontal white line split neurons with decreased from increased neural responses. (D) The average population PSTH activity of all 60 NAcSh neurons recorded. (E) Average locomotor activity (cm/min) obtained across 16 recording sessions.
The weight loss induced by NPE (Sal + NPE) was significantly attenuated by intra-NAcSh infusion of either SCH (SCH+ NPE: weight gain: 2.1 ± 0.8 g; p = 0.0063) or RAC (RAC + NPE: 4.9 ± 1.2 g; p = 0.0005). Our data demonstrate that D1- and D2-like receptors in the NAcSh are involved in the NPE-induced weight loss.

We then evaluate their effects on food intake (Figure 3C). Direct infusion of DA antagonists into the NAcSh significantly attenuated the NPE-induced food intake suppression (Figure 3C, left panel) (effect of treatment $[F(5,12) = 27.1, p < 0.0001]$, and days $[F(6, 72) = 22.1, p < 0.0001]$, and significant interaction $[F(30,72) = 3.9, p < 0.0001]$). Briefly, food intake in the three control groups (Sal + Sal, SCH + Sal, and RAC + Sal) showed that they consumed the same amount of chow (i.e., values remained at the same level than BL, p = n.s.; Figure 3C, right panel). In contrast, the administration of NPE inhibited food intake compared to Sal + Sal (Sal + NPE; p < 0.0001), and its anorectic effects were attenuated by the infusion of DA antagonists. That is, rats treated with D1 or D2 antagonists (SCH + NPE or RAC + NPE groups) consumed more chow food than rats treated with Sal + NPE (all p < 0.01). These data show that NPE’s anorectic effects were markedly reduced by D1 and D2 receptor antagonist in the NAcSh.

The analysis of the locomotor activity further uncovered the major involvement of D1 DA receptors. Figure 3D, left panel, shows the distance traveled for each group. During 0 to 45 min, there were no significant differences between the control groups, except that the SCH + Sal group showed lower locomotor activity during the period with no injection (open triangle perhaps as a result of a carryover effect). In the interval 60 to 120 min, RM-ANOVA demonstrated a main effect of treatment $[F(5,12) = 30.3, p < 0.0001]$, time $[F(6, 72) = 8.7, p < 0.0001]$ and interaction $[F(30,72) = 6.5, p < 0.0001]$. During this period, the antagonists administered alone, SCH + Sal (18.8 ± 2.6 cm/10 min), and RAC + Sal (39.9 ± 3.8 cm/10 min) failed to induce locomotor activity compared to Sal + Sal (38.5 ± 5.2 cm/10 min, all p = n.s. Figure 3D, right panel). In contrast, Sal + NPE group exhibited a robust locomotion (147.7 ± 12.4 cm/10 min) compared to Sal + Sal (p < 0.0001). We found that SCH + NPE (24.5 ± 4.9 cm/10 min) completely attenuated the locomotion induced by Sal + NPE (Figure 3D, left panel, p < 0.0001), whereas the RAC + NPE group only delayed the onset but did not reverse the locomotion induced by Sal + NPE (113.8 ± 10.6 cm/10 min; Figure 3D, right panel; p = 0.20). Again, these results demonstrated that DA D1-like receptors in the NAcSh are the major contributors for NPE-induced locomotion.

Electrophysiology
Characterization of NPE Induced Modulation of Neuronal Activity in the NAcSh

Given that the NAcSh receives strong dopaminergic input from dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area (Powell and Leman, 1976; Cauda et al., 2011), we demonstrated that the pharmacological effects of NPE were attenuated by both systemic and intra-NAcSh infusion of DA antagonists. Furthermore, to understand how NPE modulates NAcSh neural activity, we recorded single-unit activity after the injection of NPE. Figure 4A displays a brain state map obtained from NAcSh LFP’s oscillations. Each dot represents 1 s of LFP signal corresponding to a brain state mapping to a particular rat’s behavior. It clearly shows that animals exhibited three different behavioral states: (1) SWS, dots falling inside the red ellipsoid; (2) qW (blue); and (3) aW (green). Table 1 shows the time spent in each behavioral state as a function of epochs: BL period (BL, 0–1 h), Sal (1–2 h), and 20 mg/kg of NPE (2–3 h). Interestingly, after the injection of NPE, the predominant brain state changed from SWS to aW; animals spent most of the time on this active brain state (Figure 4B, see bottom for hypnograms of two different experiments). This is also evident in Table 1 in NPE’s epoch; animals rarely exhibited SWS (<1 min), because they stayed most of the time in the aW state reflecting insomnia [54.9 min; $F(3,54) = 113.7, p < 0.0001$]. At the single neuronal level, NPE evoked a tonic, and long-lasting, modulation in spiking activity. Figure 4B shows two representative modulatory responses induced by NPE and their corresponding brain state map (SWS, aW, and qW). The neuronal activity is depicted as a function of the following epochs: BL, Sal, and NPE, which are illustrated along with their waveform across the three epochs (see blue insets). Figure 4B, left panel, shows a representative neuron that reduced their firing rates after NPE administration relative to both BL and Sal period (BL: 2.17 ± 0.005 Sp/s; Sal: 2.28 ± 0.004 Sp/s; NPE: 0.44 ± 0.008 Sp/s). In contrast, the example shown in Figure 4B, right panel, comes from a neuron that increased activity after NPE. Specifically, the firing rate during the BL and Sal period was lower and similar (BL: 1.64 ± 0.008 Sp/s; Sal: 1.79 ± 0.007 Sp/s), but after NPE, it gradually increased (2–3 h: 5.78 ± 0.02 Sp/s).

Subsequently, we explored how NPE modulates NAcSh’s population activity. A total of 60 neurons were recorded from three rats in the NAcSh, while Sal and NPE were injected. Figure 4C displays the normalized NAcSh neuronal activity (only neurons significantly modulated by NPE are shown, n = 49/60) using a population color-coded PSTH (peristimulus time histogram), where yellow colors indicate responses above BL activity, and dark blue colors represent decreased responses. After NPE, 43.3% (26/60) of neurons were classified as decreased, while 38.3% (23/60) were increased ($\chi^2(1) = 0.64, p = 0.42, \text{n.s.}$), and the other 18.3% (11/60) were non-modulated (Table 2 shows the average firing rate as a function of epochs). Overall NPE

| Behavioral states | Time (min) |
|-------------------|------------|
| BL | Sal | NPE |
| SWS | 37.9 ± 0.9 | 34.9 ± 1.5 | 0.7 ± 0.2* |
| qW | 7.9 ± 0.6 | 9.9 ± 0.8 | 3.1 ± 1.5 |
| aW | 13.4 ± 1.0 | 14.3 ± 1.8 | 54.9 ± 1.7* |

Values are mean ± SEM, n = 19, *p < 0.05 significantly different from baseline (BL) and saline (Sal) epochs. SWS, slow wave sleep; qW, quiet wake; aW, active awake.
modulated 81.6% of NAcSh neurons. The average population activity of all recorded neurons exhibited an initial bias toward activation (Figure 4D). That is, the NAcSh population activity rapidly exhibited an activation imbalance reaching a maximum peak in about 1–2 min after NPE that returned to BL levels around 32 min (Z scores crossed 0 again), despite that these rats exhibited the highest locomotion level at this time (Figure 4E) and some individual neurons still exhibited a gradual ramp-up activity for at least 1 h or more (e.g., Figure 4B, right panel). Thus, to gain a better understanding of the population dynamics induced by NPE, we performed a PCA to describe their population trajectories (Figures 5A,B). We found that the first PC1 explains the most variance and captures the increasing neuronal responses, whereas the second PC2 reflects the decreasing responses. By plotting the scores of the PC1 against PC2, in 30 s bin size increments, we can now observe that, during BL, most black circles fell within the same PCA subspace for nearly 1 h (see BL overlapping black circles in the scatter plot). During the Sal epoch activity, trajectories slightly moved, however, this change seem not to be related to Sal since it occurred minutes before Sal injection and because it remained stable in the new PCA subspace for nearly 1 additional hour (see Sal labeled overlaid in green circles). More interestingly, 1 min after NPE injection, NAcSh’s population activity entered in a non-physiological pharmacological brain state. Further at 32 min (orange circle and big arrow), it jumped again into a different and dynamic PCA trajectory, at the same time the average population activity had appeared to be returned to BL levels (see Figure 4D, i.e., excitation and inhibition seem to be canceling each other). Nevertheless, NPE-induced modulation at the single-unit level was sustained for at least an hour (Figure 4C), while the animal was awake and moving (Figure 4E). Thus, from the PCA analysis, it is evident that NPE induces a pharmacological brain state that correlated with wakefulness (Figure 5).

DISSCUSSION

Obesity has reached epidemic proportions worldwide. The current recommendations for the treatment of obesity and overweight include physical activity and reduced caloric intake. When behavioral intervention is not sufficient, pharmacotherapy is recommended (Deroza and Maffioli, 2012; Kushner, 2018; Brett, 2019). In the present study, we found that rats treated with NPE decreased food intake showed greater weight loss and more locomotion than Sal-treated rats. Most importantly, antagonism of both DA D1- and D2-like receptors, either systemic or intra-NAcSh, partially reversed NPE-induced behavioral effects. Electrophysiological recordings further uncovered that NPE evoked a strong modulation on NAcShs single-unit and population activity that correlated with the onset of the active awake brain state, indicative of insomnia. Our data, in rats, give further support of NPE as a robust appetite suppressant.

**NPE as a Robust Appetite Suppressant**

NPE was originally used in the 1970s for the short-term treatment of obesity (Zelger and Carlini, 1980; Greenway, 1992; Richert, 2011). However, there is very little information about their behavioral and neuronal responses elicited in the NAcSh. We found that NPE has a significant weight-reducing effect for the doses tested, where intermediate doses (20 and

---

**TABLE 2 | Firing rate in each epoch.**

| Type of response | Firing rate (Sp/s) per 60-min epoch | BL | Sal | NPE |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-----|-----|
| Decreased (26/60)| 2.6 ± 0.004 2.4 ± 0.004 1.1 ± 0.009* |     |     |     |
| Increased (23/60)| 2.4 ± 0.004 2.8 ± 0.004 4.2 ± 0.004* |     |     |     |

Values are mean ± SEM. (*) *p < 0.0001 comparisons to BL and Sal epoch.

---

**FIGURE 5 | PCA population trajectory analysis unveil that NPE induced a dynamic pharmacological brain state.** (A) The two first principal components as a function of time computed from the normalized NAcSh population PSTH activity. The first PC1 contains the contribution of neurons exhibiting increasing responses (PC1), whereas the second PC2 reflects the activity of decreasing responses after the onset of NPE. (B) Population trajectories projected into two-dimensional spaces, along the PC1 (increasing responses) vs. PC2 (decreasing responses). Each circle represents the population activity (in a 30 s bin size), and the color represents different epochs; BL (black circles), Sal (green circles), and NPE (purple circles). Note that neuronal activity in BL epoch remained around an attractor state. Likewise, activity slightly changed in the Sal epoch reflecting a non-stationary activity of the NAcSh. However, they again stayed in a new attractor state. In contrast, 1 min after administration of NPE, the population trajectories entered a different position in the PCA space. In both panels, the orange circle indicates 32 min after the onset of the NPE administration, where population trajectories changed once more.
40 mg/kg) induced the same weight loss, but at 80 mg/kg, NPE was more effective than the other doses (Figure 1). The reason for this phenomenon is not clear, but the same results were found in humans (Hauner et al., 2017). Moreover, we observed that NPE induced less tolerance over 7 days on food intake (Nencini et al., 1996) than other appetite suppressants such as diethylpropion and phentermine (Figure 1B; see also Kalyanasundar et al., 2015). Our results confirm previous studies showing that NPE decreased the food intake and could lead to weight loss in both rodents and humans (Zelger and Carlini, 1980; Eisenberg et al., 1987; Kalix, 1992; Hauner et al., 2017). Furthermore, our results also agree with the findings of Schechter (1990a), who found that rats trained to discriminate against the interoceptive cues produced by catinone or amphetamine “generalized” to NPE. Likewise, acute tolerance, i.e., tolerance after a single dose, occurs when NPE is tested 24 h after catinone or amphetamine administration (Schechter, 1990b). The “generalization” effect depends on DA release because CGS10746B, an inhibitor of presynaptic DA release, blocked this effect. Altogether, these results raised the possibility of dopaminergic signaling nature of the NPE’s cue and/or its production of tolerance (Pehek et al., 1990; Schechter, 1990a). Our findings confirm that DA D1/D2 receptors mediate NPE induced food suppression, which is in line with the idea that DA plays a major role in regulating food intake and caloric energy balance (Fernandes et al., 2020). Moreover, a state of DA dysregulation has been observed in obese rats (Geiger et al., 2009; Alsiö et al., 2010). Thus, it is tempting to propose these appetite suppressants may help to restore the lower dopaminergic tone observed in obese rats (Axel et al., 2010; Hansen et al., 2013). Taking together, the pharmacological and behavioral effects induced by NPE reflect the importance of DA signaling on feeding behavior.

D1- and D2-Like DA Receptors Are Responsible for NPE’s Induced Locomotor Activity

Locomotion is a motor behavior often observed spontaneously in rodents and known to be induced by drugs such as DA agonists (Beninger, 1983; Liu et al., 1998; Schwienbacher et al., 2002). Here we demonstrated that NPE increased locomotor activity at 10 and 20 mg/kg doses, but at the high doses (40 and 80 mg/kg) reduced locomotor activity (Figure 1C). This inverted-U shape is a hallmark effect of amphetamine congeners on locomotion (Kalix, 1996; Perez et al., 2019). Our results are consistent with other studies demonstrating that drugs that enhance DA transmission increase locomotor activity or produce stereotypy depending on the dose (Daberkw et al., 2013). Likewise, amphetamine congeners increase the release of DA and stimulate locomotor activity at lower doses. However, at higher doses, locomotion is suppressed and replaced by stereotypy behavior in the form of head weavings (Segal and Mandell, 1974; Kalyanasundar et al., 2015; Perez et al., 2019). Behavioral studies, using systemic injections of drugs (amphetamine and cocaine), suggest that both DA D1- and D2-like receptors play an important role in locomotor activity (Dreher and Jackson, 1989; Baldo et al., 2002; Lecca et al., 2004; Knab et al., 2012; Moratalla et al., 2017). Moreover, the role of the NAc in motivated movement is well known (Meyer et al., 1993; O’Neill and Shaw, 1999; Baldo et al., 2002). For example, in rats, the administration of dopaminergic agonists promotes multiple behaviors, including locomotion, grooming, rearing, and stereotypy. Likewise, the infusion of DA or its agonists into the NAc enhances locomotor activity (Hoffman and Beninger, 1985; Dreher and Jackson, 1989; Meyer et al., 1993; O’Neill and Shaw, 1999; Baldo et al., 2002). Here we found that NPE increases locomotor activity, and the blockage of D1- and D2-like receptors, either systemic or into NAcSh, had a significant effect in NPE-induced locomotor activity (Figures 2D, 3D). Similar results were found by O’Neill and Shaw (1999) demonstrating that a systemic administration of D1 antagonist SCH 23390 reduced the locomotion induced by amphetamine, cocaine, and SKF82958 (a D1 agonist). In contrast, the D2 antagonist RAC only attenuated amphetamine hyperactivity. Moreover, Baldo et al. (2002) found that ambulatory effects are blocked by injecting DA D1 and D2 antagonists into NAcSh, with a more prominent effect of DA D1 receptors than D2 on locomotor activity. Likewise, our data demonstrate that NPE induces locomotor activity via activation of both D1 and D2 receptors, but DA D1 receptors are necessary for the NPE-induced locomotion.

The food intake inhibition and increased locomotion induced by systemic administration of NPE were comparable to that induced by other amphetamine congeners, which are known to increase brain DA levels in the striatum (including the NAcSh), resulting in decreased food intake by promoting arousal, locomotion, and stereotypy (Kelley et al., 2005). Thus, it has been proposed that DA can be a neurotransmitter that mediates most pharmacological effects induced by appetite suppressants. Recently, it has been suggested that DA is also involved in the control of body weight, feeding, wakefulness, locomotion, and stereotypy (Seiden et al., 1993; Costa, 2007; Nicola, 2010; Tellez et al., 2012). Our results also suggest these appetite suppressants inhibited food intake, perhaps by promoting locomotion, a behavior that could compete with feeding (Kalyanasundar et al., 2015). To dissect the role of DA receptors, we blocked them, either systemically or intra NAcSh, and both yielded comparable results. Despite the limitations of restricting the diffusion of drugs at the NAcSh, our study points out DA receptors as important contributors to the NPE-induced locomotion and food intake suppression. Of course, our data did not preclude the participation of other brain regions in NPE’s effects. In this regard, the dorsal striatum would be an interesting target to explore its participation in the stereotypy induced by these appetite suppressants (Girasole et al., 2018; Engeln et al., 2020).

NPE Evokes Neuronal Responses in the NAcSh

In the present study, we found that NPE modulated nearly 81.6% of NAcSh neurons recorded, either reducing (43.3%) or increasing (38.3%) their firing rates, with no differences in the
percentage of inactive/active neurons (Figure 4C, $p = \text{n.s.}$). Despite the similar proportion of neurons modulated with either a positive or negative sign, the NAcSh population activity exhibited a net firing rate imbalance toward activation after NPE injection (that lasted < 30 min). Likewise, previous studies have shown that amphetamine, a DA releaser (Daberkow et al., 2013), activates some NAc neurons (Sombers et al., 2009). However, we also observed neurons with reduced responses after NPE. The inactive responses could be important to maintain the net inactivation/activation balance because, after 32 min, the population activity returned to BL activity levels; this is despite individual neurons continued responding over the 1 h of recordings. Accordingly, PCA trajectory analysis uncovered that NAcSh population activity, in fact, went into a dynamic pharmacological brain state (Figure 5). Given that the majority of cell types composing the NAcSh are GABAergic medium spiny neurons (either MSN-D1 or MSN-D2), it is most likely that NPE modulates them. We speculate that NPE activates MSN-D1 neurons because activation of these neurons is responsible for most, if not all, motor side effects induced by increasing DA levels in the striatum (Girasole et al., 2018; Engeln et al., 2020). However, and because our extracellular recordings could not distinguish among cell types, we do not know the identity of the cells that were either excited or inhibited or whether NPE affected NAcShs interneurons (Nicola and Malenka, 1997). Future studies should uncover what cell type(s) NPE is directly targeting.

Comparison of NPE Versus Other Appetite Suppressants

Although NPE shares some similarities with other appetite suppressants, we also found major differences between them. A similarity was that all of them lead to weight loss, decreased food intake, and stimulated locomotor activity. Thus, NPE induces anorectic effects in the same manner as other phenethylamine derivatives such as diethylpropion, phentermine, bupropion, and cathinone; this is perhaps not surprising because chemically, these substances are all structurally related to amphetamine (Khan et al., 2012). Studies in humans and in rodents revealed that amphetamine congener produces weight loss and decreased food intake at different levels with the following strength (amphetamine > cathinone > diethylpropion > phentermine > NPE > bupropion) (Zelger and Carlini, 1980; Chen et al., 2001; Cercato et al., 2009; Hendricks et al., 2009; Kalyanasundar et al., 2015, 2016; Hauner et al., 2017; Lucchetta et al., 2017; Perez et al., 2019). In summary, what they all have in common is that their pharmacological effects on weight loss and food intake require DA signaling (Balcıoglu and Wurtman, 1998; Baumann et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2001; Kalyanasundar et al., 2015; Lemieux et al., 2015). Another similarity among phentermine, diethylpropion, bupropion, and NPE is that they all promote an active awake state (reflecting insomnia) and also stimulate locomotion and produce stereotypy (Eisenberg et al., 1987; Kalyanasundar et al., 2015, 2016; Perez et al., 2019). They also modulate population NAcSh’s activity (Kalyanasundar et al., 2015; Perez et al., 2019). Although they modulate NAcSh activity, they do not seem to do it in the same manner (or magnitude). For example, a major difference is that diethylpropion, > phentermine, and > bupropion evoked a net inhibitory imbalance, respectively (Kalyanasundar et al., 2015). In contrast, here we found that NPE elicits a unique net NAcSh’s activation imbalance and a rapid return to population BL activity levels, not seen in the other appetite suppressants. The reason for these differences is not clear, but it can be hypothesized that it reflects the different degrees with which each appetite suppressant releases DA (Baumann et al., 2000; Rothman et al., 2001; Santamaria and Arias, 2010), as well as their effects in other neurotransmitters (e.g., norepinephrine, serotonin, and acetylcholine). Thus, the different neurochemical profiles of each appetite suppressant should determine its final modulatory pattern observed in the NAcSh population activity. Nevertheless, all these appetite suppressants share a common DA signaling in the NAcSh as an important component of most, if not all, amphetamine congeners to exert their anorectic and weight loss effects.

In summary, our results, in rats, provide evidence supporting a dopaminergic mechanism of action underlying the suppression of feeding and locomotion induced by NPE, which depends on its potency to release DA that in turn stimulates D1- and D2-like DA receptors in the NAcSh.
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