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Abstract

*Desulfovibrio gigas* is a model organism of sulfate-reducing bacteria of which energy metabolism and stress response have been extensively studied. The complete genomic context of this organism was however, not yet available. The sequencing of the *D. gigas* genome provides insights into the integrated network of energy conserving complexes and structures present in this bacterium. Comparison with genomes of other *Desulfovibrio* spp. reveals the presence of two different CRISPR/Cas systems in *D. gigas*. Phylogenetic analysis using conserved protein sequences (encoded by *rpoB* and *gyrB*) indicates two main groups of *Desulfovibrio* spp, being *D. gigas* more closely related to *D. vulgaris* and *D. desulfuricans* strains. Gene duplications were found such as those encoding fumarate reductase, formate dehydrogenase, and superoxide dismutase. Complexes not yet described within *Desulfovibrio* genus were identified: Mnh complex, a v-type ATP-synthase as well as genes encoding the MinCDE system that could be responsible for the larger size of *D. gigas* when compared to other members of the genus. A low number of hydrogenases and the absence of the *codh/acs* and *pfl* genes, both present in *D. vulgaris* strains, indicate that intermediate cycling mechanisms may contribute substantially less to the energy gain in *D. gigas* compared to other *Desulfovibrio* spp. This might be compensated by the presence of other unique genomic arrangements of complexes such as the Rnf and the Hdr/Flox, or by the presence of NAD(P)H related complexes, like the Nuo, NfnAB or Mnh.
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Introduction

Sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) are probably one of the most ancient forms of life on Earth. This group of anaerobic microorganisms, widespread in anoxic habitats, uses sulfate as main terminal electron acceptor to degrade organic compounds, with the consequent production of sulfide (Muyzer and Stams 2008). This process is extremely important in the sulfur and carbon cycles, since ~50% of the organic carbon mineralization in marine sediments is due to sulfate reduction (Jorgensen 1982). SRB are metabolically very versatile microorganisms, being able to use organic and inorganic substrates, as well as other short-chain fatty acids or ethanol for sulfate reduction. In recent years, new species were found to be able to grow on more diverse and less degradable substrates such as hydrocarbons or aromatic compounds (Rabus et al. 2006). Furthermore, due to the fact that many SRB use H₂ as an important substrate for sulfate reduction, they are able to participate in interspecies hydrogen transfer processes in synthropic communities with archaea (Walker et al. 2009; Plugge et al. 2010; Li et al. 2011). As a result of their metabolic flexibility, SRB can be found in almost all ecological environments on the planet. Moreover, these bacteria possess a wide biotechnological potential, especially in bioremediation of sulfate and heavy metals from natural environments and in removal of industrial waste liquids and sewage (Janssen et al. 2001; Lenz et al. 2008). On the other hand, due to the production of high amounts of hydrogen sulfide, SRB have large negative economic impact mainly as causative agents of microbial corrosion processes in anaerobic environments like those occurring in offshore oil production or waterlogged clay soils, resulting in economic losses (Hamilton 1985). Furthermore, they can create problems through a change in oil composition and souring of petroleum reservoirs (Huang and Larter 2005; Vance and Thrasher 2005).

Recent advances in genomics, biochemistry, and genetics of the SRB have greatly helped to identify the essential enzymes and complexes that participate in
sulfate respiration. The reduction of sulfate to sulfide during the respiratory process occurs in the cytoplasm. As such, electron transport chains and carriers must provide a link for the flow of the reducing equivalents ([H+] and electrons) between dehydrogenases and the terminal reductases (Rabus et al. 2006). Despite many efforts to understand the sites and mechanisms of energy conservation in sulfate respiration, the electron-transfer pathways that generate ATP from oxidative phosphorylation and create a proton gradient are not yet fully understood (Pereira et al. 2011). Most of the studies are focused on understanding the principles of sulfate reduction using Desulfovibrio genus. Among the various members of this genus, Desulfovibrio gigas, a curved rod bacterium, whose name was inspired by its unusual size (up to 11 μm) was for the first time isolated in 1963 by Jean LeGall from a water pond (LeGall 1963). After its isolation, this bacterium was used by many different groups to elucidate the structure of enzymes participating in energy transfer reactions such as hydrogenases, formate dehydrogenases, ferredoxins, cytochromes, and the xantine oxidase-related aldehyde oxido-reductase (molybdenum-containing aldehyde oxido-reductase; MOP) (Ambler et al. 1969; Romao et al. 1995; Volbeda et al. 1995; Matias et al. 1996; Frazao et al. 2000; Raaijmakers et al. 2002; Hsieh et al. 2005). Mechanistic and functional processes related to the energy metabolism and stress response have been also well studied in D. gigas (Silva et al. 2001; Broco et al. 2005; Rodrigues et al. 2006a; Morais-Silva et al. 2013). However, despite the accumulated information about this bacterium, a clear whole-genome context of the genes and metabolic complexes is not yet available for D. gigas. Previous analyses and comparison between the different species of SRB revealed that the composition of energy metabolism proteins, as well as stress-related proteins can vary quite significantly (Rabus et al. 2006; Pereira et al. 2008, 2011). D. gigas may, therefore, react to environmental cues and adapt to different environments by using different metabolic and structural components. Genome sequencing analysis is an important tool in order to fully understand which components may be involved in these adaptation and survival mechanisms. In this article, we examine the whole-genome sequence of this organism and perform a comparative genomic analysis with other Desulfovibrionaceae.

Materials and Methods

DNA sequencing, assembly, and annotation

DNA was isolated with the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Mannheim, Germany). Sequencing was performed using a combination of several approaches: Sanger sequencing, using small fragment (2–6 kb) libraries; High throughput Roche Diagnostics 454 GS20 sequencing (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) (Keygene) and Illumina’s Solexa sequencing technology. Final gap closure was obtained either by primer walking or resequencing in the Personal Genome Machine (PGM) platform set up in STAB VIDA. The global coverage was 159.68-fold sequences. Ab initio assembly was performed using Velvet version 0.7.55 software (Zerbino and Birney 2008), and the consensus genomic sequence was obtained with Phrap (http://www.phrap.org/phre dphrapconsed.html).

Structural annotation was performed using FgenesB (www.softberry.com), RNASemmer (Lagesen et al. 2007), tRNA-scan-SE (Lowe and Eddy 1997) and Tandem Repeat Finder (tandem.bu.edu/trf/trf.html). Functional annotation was performed by similarity, using public databases and InterProScan analysis (Zdobnov and Apweiler 2001). Protein-coding sequences were manually curated using Artemis (Rutherford et al. 2000). Comparative analyses for Desulfovibrio spp. were performed using the BLAST–NCBI (Altschul et al. 1990) and InterProScan databases. The genomic and plasmidic sequences of D. gigas ATCC19364 were submitted to GenBank under the Acession No. CP006585 and CP006586, respectively.

Phylogenetic analysis

Evolutionary relationship between Desulfovibrio species was constructed using RpoB and Gyrb concatenated sequences downloaded from GenBank (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Sequence alignment was done using MAFFT software (Katoh et al. 2002) and LG evolutionary model (Le and Gascuel 2008) was selected for analysis using the ProtTest version 2. (Abascal et al. 2005). PhyML version 3.0 algorithm and the Maximum Likelihood method (Guindon et al. 2010) were used to create the phylogenetic tree. The evolutionary history of Cas1 proteins was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model (Jones et al. 1992).

In order to assess the number of genes shared between D. gigas and other Desulfovibrio species, a Venn diagram was built using the EDGAR database (https://edgar.computational.bio.uni-giessen.de/).

Codon usage analysis

The D. gigas codon usage was determined by using an EMBOSS tool called cusp (Rice et al. 2000). This program calculates a codon usage table for one or more nucleotide coding sequences (Table S2). The codon usage table and D. gigas coding sequences were next used in another EMBOSS program called ai, which calculates the Codon Adaptation Index (CAI) (Sharp and Li 1987).

Protein interaction network

A list of D. gigas proteins related to chemotaxis (Table S6) and oxygen response (Table S7) were used as query in the search
against the EDGAR database. The number of *D. gigas* orthologs found in 13 *Desulfovibrio* strains is depicted in radar graphs.

**Results and Discussion**

**General genome features**

The genome of *D. gigas* (CP006585) consists of one circular chromosome of 3,693,899 base-pairs (bp) having 3370 genes of which 3273 are protein-coding (see Table 1 and Fig 1A), classified according to its predicted COG function (Table S1). The genome has a G+C content of 63.4% that reflects a biased codon usage. Indeed, *D. gigas* prefers high G+C codons (66.87%), with a clear preference for cytosine (C) in the 3rd position (82.03% and Table S2). Interestingly, we could also identify an operon of 12 ORFs encoding acetyl, methyl, and glycosyl transferases. Interestingly, we could also identify an operon of 12 ORFs encoding acetyl, methyl, and glycosyl transferases. These features may indicate a mechanism used by *D. gigas* to secret and transport folded exoproteins. Another remarkable feature of *D. gigas* is larger than the one of other *Desulfovibrio* spp. Its length is of 5–10 μm and the width of 1.2–1.5 μm, whereas the other species have a cell size of 3–5 μm by 0.5–1 μm (Postgate and Campbell 1966). The bacterial morphogenesis and cell size are determined by the two major types of proteins, FtsZ, the
tubulin homolog responsible for cell division, and MreB, related to actin, which is involved in cell elongation of rod-shaped bacteria (Marshall et al. 2012).

*D. gigas* genome contains the inhibitor of the FtsZ assembly, the minCDE system similar to the one described for *E. coli* (DGI_3156, 3157 and 3158) (Fig. S1A) (de Boer et al. 1989), which is not detected in any other *Desulfovibrio* spp genomes so far sequenced. The Min system was described as participating in the accurate placement of the division site, allowing septum formation in the middle of the cell by inhibiting FtsZ polymerization. In fact, it was shown that the defects in the Min system components lead to a high frequency of aberrant FtsZ assembly at sites immediately adjacent to the cells poles (Rothfield et al. 2005; Marshall et al. 2012).

As *D. gigas* contains the minCDE genes, in contrast to other *Desulfovibrio* spp, this may suggest the involvement of the encoded polypeptides in the different size of this bacterium. Indeed, the presence of these genes may originate an inhibition of FtsZ assembly, leading to an increase in cell size. In addition to the Min system, a homolog of the nucleoid occlusion SmlA protein (DGI_2692), that prevents the polymerization of FtsZ and thus cell division, was also found (Bernhardt and de Boer 2005). We further detected a homolog of a third FtsZ assembly inhibitor that was described for *B. subtilis*, the pgcA gene (DGI_0235), which couples cell division to cell mass (Weart et al. 2007).

---

**Table 2.** General plasmid features of *Desulfovibrio gigas*.

| Features                           | Value   |
|------------------------------------|---------|
| Size (bp)                          | 101,949 |
| G + C content (bp)                 | 64,081  |
| DNA coding region (bp)             | 79,425  |
| Pseudogenes                        | 3       |
| Protein-coding genes               | 72      |
| Gene density (bp/gene)             | 1415    |
| Average length of a gene (bp)      | 1103    |

---

![Figure 1. Structural representation of the circular chromosome (A) and plasmid (B) of *Desulfovibrio gigas*. Circular representations, from inside to the outside represent: (i) GC skew, richness of guanine over cytosine in the positive strand represented in green and cytosine over guanine represented in red; (ii) GC content, below average in purple, above average in gold; (iii) positive strand coding regions (below) and negative strand coding regions (above) colored according to COG functional terms of the best hit obtained from Blastp program; (iv) nucleotide position indicated in circular scale.](image-url)
Regarding the MreB, considered as an organizer of cell wall synthesis, three genes encoding similar proteins appear in the *D. gigas* genome (DGI_0336, 0660 and 2254), whereas other *Desulfovibrio* spp. contain only two genes. Although the pathway by which MreB controls the cell width is not yet established, the presence of an extra *mreB* gene could as well contribute to the big size of *D. gigas*. As such, a putative interaction network of *D. gigas* proteins involved in the cell size, built based on the data obtained from *D. vulgaris* protein interactions using the STRING database (http://string-db.org/) and the data available in the literature (Bi and Lutkenhaus 1990; Weart et al. 2007; Fischer-Friedrich et al. 2010; Chien et al. 2012; Hill et al. 2012), can be drawn (Fig. S2).

**Phylogenetic analysis of Desulfovibrio genus**

A phylogenetic tree was built based on protein sequences coded by the conserved RpoB and GyrB protein sequences from 21 isolates of *Desulfovibrio* spp. whose genomic sequences are available and annotated.

The analysis revealed two well-supported deep-branching main clades (Fig. 2). Within the upper clade, two groups emerge: one group contains *D. gigas* clustering with *D. alaskensis* G20, *D. piger* ATCC29098, *D. desulfuricans* ATCC27774, and *D. vulgaris* spp; the other group embraces *D. magneticus* RS-1, two *D. africanus* strains, and two not yet assigned *Desulfovibrio* species (Fig. 2). The lower clade contains a single group of *Desulfovibrio* species with many of them found in larger depths (piezophilic environment). The tree topology suggests a more divergent evolutionary history of the species included in the lower clade. In fact, gene structures associated with oxygen resistance and detoxification, such as the superoxide dismutase (SOD) genes (DGI_1536 and DGI_3082, Table S7), are present not only in *D. gigas* and in the closely related *D. vulgaris* species, but also in the subgroup containing *D. magneticus* RS-1. However, species observed in the lower clade, such as *D. piezophilus* and *D. hydrothermalis*, do not contain any homologous sequences for SOD genes. This different oxygen resistance gene structures could be the reflex of a different evolutionary process of this later group of *Desulfovibrio* spp since these species are found in environments where O$_2$ is present at very low levels (Ji et al. 2013).

Remarkably, according to this phylogenetic analysis, the isolates within *Desulfovibrio* genus not yet classified, namely *Desulfovibrio* sp. 3_1_syn3 together with *Desulfovibrio* sp. 6_1_46AFAA, *Desulfovibrio* sp. U5L along with *Desulfovibrio* sp. 1012B and *Desulfovibrio* sp. A2, are clustered with *D. desulfuricans*, *D. magneticus*, and *D. vulgaris*, respectively. Corroborating our data with respect to the *Desulfovibrio* sp. A2, using 16S rRNA gene sequence, a 99.1% overall sequence similarity with *D. vulgaris* Miya-mi was shown (Mancini et al. 2011). These findings indicate that they are closely related species and merit further investigation, in order to clarify their classification within the *Desulfovibrio* genus.

Another interesting aspect of this analysis relies in the positioning of *D. desulfuricans* ND132 within the lower clade of the phylogenetic tree, rather than in the upper clade, where *D. desulfuricans* appears (Fig. 2). This finding has already been observed by others and strongly
indicates that its classification should be reconsidered (Brown et al. 2011; Gilmour et al. 2011).

**CRISPR/Cas systems in the *D. gigas* genome**

CRISPRs are loci encompassing several short repeats functioning as an adaptive microbial immune system, that have also been shown to limit horizontal gene transfer (HGT) by preventing conjugation and plasmid transformation (Marraffini and Sontheimer 2008). Several types of CRISPR-associated proteins (Cas) are encoded by cas genes located in the vicinity of CRISPRs. Cas proteins are required for the multistep defense against intruder genetic elements. Their number, identity, and the corresponding operon organization appear to be extremely variable. Makarova et al. (2011) have proposed a classification of CRISPR/Cas systems in which the *cas1* and *cas2* genes constitute the core of three distinct types of system. Each system was further divided into different subtypes, on the basis of the gene composition and architecture of the respective operons.

In the particular case of *Desulfovibrio* spp., little is known about the presence of CRISPR sequences and Cas-associated genes. *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough appears to have a plasmidic CRISPR/Cas locus that falls into the subtype I-C system, according to the above mentioned classification criteria (see Fig. 3A and Makarova et al. 2011). Using a dedicated database (http://crispi.genouest.org/) (Rousseau et al. 2009), we searched for CRISPR sequences that have adjacent cas genes among the different species of *Desulfovibrio* genus. We have focused on CRISPR/Cas arrays that possess the ubiquitous core protein Cas1, which is involved in new spacer acquisition. We then used the conserved Cas1 protein as a scaffold to investigate the evolution of the CRISPR/Cas system in the *Desulfovibrio* genus (Fig. 3B). Remarkably, CRISPR/Cas systems are absent from the genome of *D. aespoeensis Aspo-2, D. africanus Walvis Bay, D. piezophilus C1TLV30, and D. salexigens DSM2638.

The phylogenetic tree of *Desulfovibrio* genus was used in order to explore the evolutionary bases of the CRISPR/cas loci (Fig 2). In the particular case of group I, the topology of Cas1 phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3B) together with the RpoB and GyrB based phylogeny of the genus *Desulfovibrio* (Fig 2), strongly suggests the divergence after specialization of an ancestor gene common to *D. gigas* genotypes.
ATCC19364, *D. hydrotermalis* AM13, and *D. magneticus* RS-1. Furthermore, the Cas1 phylogeny shows *D. desulfuricans* ATCC27774 and *D. alaskensis* G20 grouping separately from the other *Desulfovibrio* spp. and of *E. coli* DH1 (Fig. 3B). These phylogenetic relationships together with the RpoB_GyrB phylogenetic tree indicate that CRISPR/Cas system I-E (group II) might have been acquired from HGT during prokaryotic evolution. Indeed, a comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of CRISPR/cas loci points toward their propagation via HGT events (Godde and Bickerton 2006). Regarding group III, it seems that the CRISPR/Cas subtype I-C is scattered across several *Desulfovibrio* spp. (Figs. 2, 3B). The absence of additional *Desulfovibrio* orthologues suggests that the acquisition of this CRISPR/Cas subtype may rely as well in HGT occurrences throughout evolution. Notably, *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough contains the CRISPR/cas locus in its megaplasmid, whereas the closely related *D. vulgaris* Miyazaki (Fig. 2) possesses a similar CRISPR/cas array in the chromosome. Godde and Bickerton have proposed that most megaplasmids should not be stably maintained in their host cells (Godde and Bickerton 2006). Consistently, the lack of a megaplasmid in *D. vulgaris* Miyazaki indicates that a recent HGT event might have been responsible for the appearance of CRISPR locus in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough.

**Strategies to survive oxygen and nitric oxide**

SRB, in the diverse environmental niches they occupy, can come across with reactive oxygen or nitrogen species that cause oxidative damage to the cells. Formerly classified as strict anaerobes there is, however, growing evidence that they are able to cope with oxygen and to use it to produce ATP even if they are unable to grow in its presence. As such, the organisms have developed several strategies to avoid such damage.

The response to different oxygen concentrations in microorganisms, aerotaxis, is often initiated by the transmembrane chemoreceptors, the methyl-accepting chemotaxis proteins, and involves many other proteins organized in a cascade of reactions activating the flagellar motor, allowing the cells to move to an optimal oxygen gradient (Armitage 1997). SRB within the microbial mats and oxic environments are motile, and active movements are observed in response to change in oxygen gradients which were interpreted as a strategy to survive in these environments (Krekeler et al. 1989; Canfield and Des Marais 1991; Teske et al. 1998; Eschemann et al. 1999). The sensing of extra and/or intracellular signals is followed by their transduction to the transcriptional and post-transcriptional machineries. As it was previously demonstrated, *D. gigas* contains an operon encoding the chemotaxis proteins CheB, CheR, CheW, CheY, and CheA, that are co-transcribed as an 11 kb mRNA whose expression is not altered either by O2 or nitric oxide (NO, Felix et al. 2006). By searching *D. gigas* genome, many other chemotaxis coding regions were found scattered throughout the genome (Table S6). A comparison of the newly identified genes coding for chemotaxis proteins against other sequenced *Desulfovibrio* spp., indicate that few of these operons have orthologous in closely related species such as *D. vulgaris* or *D. desulfuricans* strains (Fig. 4A). As such, it is clear that the genes without orthologs represent specific mechanisms that *D. gigas* uses to sense and avoid unfavorable aerobic conditions. Strikingly, the closely related *D. vulgaris* DP4 and RCH1 as well as *D. desulfuricans* are those among the *Desulfovibrio* spp. that have fewer orthologs genes encoding chemo-

---

**Figure 4.** Radar Graphs comparing orthologs of *D. gigas* within the genome of sequenced *Desulfovibrio* spp. (A) Genes involved in chemotaxis response; (B) genes involved in O2 sensing. Orthologs search was conducted using the EDGAR database.

---
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taxis polypeptides when compared to *D. gigas* (See Fig. 4A).

Besides sensing, these microorganisms have developed a network of defense mechanisms against reactive oxygen species (ROS), being the toxic O$_2$ eliminated by dismutation to H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$, a reaction catalyzed by the SOD (dos Santos et al. 2000). The accumulation of toxic H$_2$O$_2$ is further eliminated by the catalase which is found in *D. gigas* genome as a single gene (DGI_2858) (dos Santos et al. 2000). *D. gigas* contains in its genome two SOD genes, one named neelaredoxin and another one (DGI_1536) here described for the first time (see Table S7). Neelaredoxin from *D. gigas* was shown to be a bifunctional protein that has both superoxide reductase and SOD activities. (Silva et al. 1999; Abreu et al. 2002).

*D. gigas* genome also contains genes encoding three ruberythrins, one peroxiredoxin, one rubredoxin-like protein, and three F390 synthetase proteins (Table S7), which have been shown to be related to defense mechanisms against oxidative stress.

As illustrated in the radar chart, 17 genes are involved in O$_2$ metabolism of *D. gigas* some of which have orthologs in other species of *Desulfovibrio*. As such, *D. gigas* shares 12 genes with *D. magneticus* RS-1 and 6 genes with *D. hydrothermalis* AM13, a more distant species (Fig. 4B). Interestingly, when observed in more detail, the species grouped together with *D. hydrothermalis* AM-13 in the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2), such as *D. aespoeensis* and *D. salexigens* showed an increased number of superoxide reductases (two genes) when compared to *D. gigas* or *D. vulgaris*, that only possesses one gene, according to the SORGOdb database (Lucchetti-Miganeh et al. 2011).

Furthermore, it is also interesting to notice that *D. gigas* presents a higher number of orthologous proteins regarding O$_2$ sensing and detoxification with *D. magneticus* RS-1 and *D. africanus* Walvis Bays species than with the more closely related *D. alaskensis* G20 and *D. vulgaris* (Fig. 4A and B). A whole-genome orthology analysis using the EDGAR database confirms this fact, as in general, a higher number of orthologous groups are observed between *D. gigas* and *D. magneticus* RS-1 than with *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough (Fig 5). This result was not expected on the basis of the phylogenetic results obtained, since *D.gigas* is more closely related to *D.vulgaris* Hildenborough than to *D.magneticus* RS-1. It is possible that only specific groups of genes included in the category of chemotaxis and detoxification show similarity to *D. magneticus* RS-1.

Another mechanism of O$_2$ detoxification involves the participation of the flavodiiron protein, rubredoxin:oxygen reductase (ROO) (Chen et al. 1993), which was also shown to protect *D. gigas* against nitrosative stress by acting as a NO reductase (Rodrigues et al. 2006b). Under nitrosative stress, *D. gigas* genome also includes one copy of ‘hybrid cluster protein’ (HCP), a protein with an unusual structure (Cooper et al. 2000) proposed to have a function in nitrogen cycle due to its hydroxylamine reductase activity (Wolfe et al. 2002; Cabello et al. 2004; Overejinder et al. 2009). A role in defense against oxidative stress has also been suggested for HCP on the basis of its peroxidase activity (Almeida et al. 2006). While in other *Desulfovibrio* spp., HCP is co-expressed with a hypothetical ferredoxin (*frdx*) gene (Rodionov et al. 2004) in *D. gigas* it is encoded by a monocistronic gene (Fig. S1B). It is also important to mention that *hcpR*, a gene encoding a transcriptional regulator of *hcp* expression identified in other *Desulfovibrio* spp., was also observed in *D. gigas* upstream of *hcp* although localized in opposite direction (Table S9, Fig. S1B) (Cadby et al. 2011). *D. gigas* genome encodes also the membrane complex cytochrome c nitrite reductase (NrfHA), which is suggested to play a role in nitrite detoxification since no growth on nitrite or nitrate is reported for *D. gigas*, as well as for *D. vulgaris*. (Greene et al. 2003; He et al. 2006). Other nitrate reductases as well as nitroreductases encoded in *D. gigas* genome (Table S8) might be involved in NO detoxification mechanisms.

**Central carbon metabolism**

*D. gigas* accumulates large amounts of polyglucose as an endogenous carbon and energy reserve, utilizing these
sugar compounds for growth (Fareleira et al. 1997). We have conducted a broad analysis in its genome to identify the elements of the central carbon metabolism involved in many different pathways (Table S11 to S17). Biochemical studies have shown (Fareleira et al. 1997), that *D. gigas* contains all the genes encoding proteins of the Embden-Meyerhof pathway (Table S13), whereas the genes coding for the hexokinase and the 2-keto-3-deoxygluconate 6-phosphate (KDGp) aldolase of the Entner-Doudoroff pathway are lacking (Table S14). *D. gigas* belongs to SRB group of incomplete-oxidizers, producing acetate and CO₂ as its main end-products from substrate oxidation. Inspection of the genome reveals that the genes corresponding to 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase, 2-oxoglutarate succinyl-CoA synthase (CODH/ACS) enzyme, are absent (Wood-Ljungdahl pathway (Table S17)). The genes coding for this pathway are lacking (Table S14). These results indicate that both oxidative and reductive TCA cycle pathways are not fully functional and are likely to have a biosynthetic function, as suggested for *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, formate cycling could contribute to energy conservation in a mechanism similar to CO or hydrogen cycling (Voordouw 2002; Heidelberg et al. 2004). The apparent absence of this gene in *D. gigas* suggests that formate cycling is not occurring although this bacterium is able to grow using formate as the main electron donor (our unpublished results), since it presents two genes encoding formate dehydrogenases (Table S20). One of these enzymes, a tungsten seleno-protein, was already described (Almendra et al. 1999), whereas the second has not been reported to our knowledge (DGI_3334 and DGI_3335).

As other *Desulfovibrio* spp., *D. gigas* grows chemolithotrophically deriving energy from hydrogen oxidized in the periplasm by hydrogenases, coupled to sulfate reduction in the cytoplasm, creating a proton gradient ultimately used to generate ATP through F₁F₀-ATP synthase (Table S22). The electrons generated in the periplasm, by periplasmic hydrogenase activity, are transferred through the membrane for the sulfate reduction, in the cytoplasm, by multitheme c₃-type cytochromes (at the periplasmic side) and membrane-bound electron transport complexes.

The presence of at least three c₃-type cytochromes was found in *D. gigas* genome (Table S23). The full set of genes necessary for the dissimilatory sulfate reduction to sulfide were also detected, as well as specific sulfate permeases (Table S10). Interestingly enough, in the case of the ATP-synthase, not only the genes encoding the F₁F₀-ATP synthase were identified (Table S22) but another ATP-synthase, which apparently is not present in other *Desulfovibrio* spp, was found (Fig. S1A). This enzyme is similar to the Vacuolar-type ATPases (V₅pV₅) and in some anaerobic bacteria, such as *Enteroctococcus hirae*, it functions as a sodium pump (Kakinuma et al. 1999). In *D. gigas*, this second ATPase could enhance ATP production derived from transmembrane electrochemical proton gradient.

In contrast to other *Desulfovibrio* spp, genomes so far sequenced (Pereira et al. 2011), only two [NiFe] type hydrogenase are present in *D. gigas*; the periplasmic HynAB (Volbeda et al. 1995) and the energy conserving Ech hydrogenase (Rodrigues et al. 2003) (Table S24). Recent work performed using mutant strains for these genes indicates that, although it is possible that the hydrogen cycling model of energy conservation (Odom

---

**Energy metabolism**

A survey of *D. gigas* genome revealed several genes encoding dehydrogenases that oxidize organic acids and alcohols, as well as putative transporters and permeases for these substrates (Tables S18 to S20). Pyruvate, the main metabolic intermediate of organic carbon oxidation can be oxidized by the two pyruvate dehydrogenases (DGI_0996 and DGI_1712/DGI_1713) as well as by other oxo-organic acid ferredoxin: dehydrogenases enzymes present (Table S21). Although *D. gigas* genome reveals many genes encoding such complexes, the pyruvate:formate lyase (pfl), a gene involved in fermentative metabolism, was not identified. This enzyme produces acetyl-CoA and formate when pyruvate is the main carbon and energy source. As suggested for *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, formate cycling could contribute to energy conservation in a mechanism similar to CO or hydrogen cycling (Voordouw 2002; Heidelberg et al. 2004). The apparent absence of this gene in *D. gigas* suggests that formate cycling is not occurring although this bacterium is able to grow using formate as the main electron donor (our unpublished results), since it presents two genes encoding formate dehydrogenases (Table S20). One of these enzymes, a tungsten seleno-protein, was already described (Almendra et al. 1999), whereas the second has not been reported to our knowledge (DGI_3334 and DGI_3335).

As other *Desulfovibrio* spp., *D. gigas* grows chemolithotrophically deriving energy from hydrogen oxidized in the periplasm by hydrogenases, coupled to sulfate reduction in the cytoplasm, creating a proton gradient ultimately used to generate ATP through F₁F₀-ATP synthase (Table S22). The electrons generated in the periplasm, by periplasmic hydrogenase activity, are transferred through the membrane for the sulfate reduction, in the cytoplasm, by multitheme c₃-type cytochromes (at the periplasmic side) and membrane-bound electron transport complexes.

The presence of at least three c₃-type cytochromes was found in *D. gigas* genome (Table S23). The full set of genes necessary for the dissimilatory sulfate reduction to sulfide were also detected, as well as specific sulfate permeases (Table S10). Interestingly enough, in the case of the ATP-synthase, not only the genes encoding the F₁F₀-ATP synthase were identified (Table S22) but another ATP-synthase, which apparently is not present in other *Desulfovibrio* spp, was found (Fig. S1A). This enzyme is similar to the Vacuolar-type ATPases (V₅pV₅) and in some anaerobic bacteria, such as *Enteroctococcus hirae*, it functions as a sodium pump (Kakinuma et al. 1999). In *D. gigas*, this second ATPase could enhance ATP production derived from transmembrane electrochemical proton gradient.

In contrast to other *Desulfovibrio* spp, genomes so far sequenced (Pereira et al. 2011), only two [NiFe] type hydrogenase are present in *D. gigas*; the periplasmic HynAB (Volbeda et al. 1995) and the energy conserving Ech hydrogenase (Rodrigues et al. 2003) (Table S24). Recent work performed using mutant strains for these genes indicates that, although it is possible that the hydrogen cycling model of energy conservation (Odom
et al. 1981) is effective, it appears to contribute substantially less to the final energy yield of Desulfovibrio gigas as proposed for other Desulfovibrio spp. (Morais-Silva et al. 2013). This could be a reflex of the unusual low number of these enzymes in Desulfovibrio gigas. An attempt to generate a double mutant strain in both hydrogenases (unpublished data) indicated that at least one of these hydrogenases might be essential for cell viability. Indeed, the double mutant was unable to grow in diverse respiratory and fermentative conditions.

**Energy conservation**

Sulfate reducers contain several transmembrane redox complexes involved in energy metabolism and conservation (Pereira et al. 2011) (Fig 6 and Table S25). The genome of Desulfovibrio gigas encodes two transmembrane multiheme cytochrome c complexes, Tmc and Hmc, described as participating in electron transfer from periplasmic hydrogen oxidation to sulfite reduction as transmembrane electron circuits (Rossi et al. 1993; Pereira et al. 2006). An octa-haem cytochrome c complex (Ohc), proposed to transfer electrons from the periplasm to the quinone pool, due to the absence of the cytoplasmic CCG protein, was also observed. Furthermore, we identified the quinone interacting membrane-bound oxidoreductase complex (qmoABC) and the transmembrane electron transfer DsrMKJOP complex, both related to sulfate reduction and suggested to act in the electron transfer to the final reductases, Apr (aprAB) and Dsr (dsrABC), respectively (Pires et al. 2003; Dahl et al. 2005). The presence of the Qrc (qrcABCD) quinone reduction complex, which was shown to transfer electrons from the Tpl-c3 cytochrome to the menaquinone during sulfate respiration in a quinone:menaquinone loop together with the Qmo complex (Venceslau et al. 2010), suggests the existence in Desulfovibrio gigas of a mechanism of energy conservation linking periplasmic hydrogen or formate oxidation to cytoplasmic sulfate.

---

**Figure 6.** Schematic representation of membrane-bound electron-transfer complexes present in Desulfovibrio gigas genome. The complexes were identified in the genome according to their predicted function: quinone reduction, Ohc and Qrc; quinol oxidation, Qmo; transmembrane electron transfer/sulfite reduction DsrMKJOP, Hmc and Tmc; and NADH/Fd oxidation, Rnf and Nuo. Symbols represent: †, heme; ‡, iron sulfur center; †, FMN cofactor; †, flavin cofactor, and ◊, FAD cofactor. Dashed lines represent hypothetical pathways for electron/proton flow.
reduction. In addition, complexes involved in NAD(P)H and ferredoxin oxidation were identified (Table S25). An operon coding for the NADH:quinone oxidoreductase (nuo), firstly reported in D. magneticus RS-1 (Nakazawa et al. 2009) was also detected. This enzyme complex is proposed to couple NADH oxidation to proton translocation (Spring et al. 2012). However, the genes encoding the NADH dehydrogenase module (nuoEFG) are absent, suggesting a different electron donor, such as ferredoxin (Fd), instead of NADH (Pereira et al. 2011). Notably, a complex with high similarity to the nuo complex, the Mnh Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter, that was not detected in other Desulfovibrion spp. genomes, is present in D. gigas (Fig. S1A). This complex is suggested to function as a transmembrane electron potential-generating NADH dehydrogenase rather than as a secondary transmembrane electron potential-consuming antiporter, directly accounting for the great transmembrane electron potential in Staphylococcus aureus (Bayer et al. 2006). The presence of a similar mechanism in D. gigas might compensate for the apparent lack of energy conservation through metabolite cycling mechanisms, such as CO, formate or hydrogen cycling, deduced from its genome.

A search of the D. gigas genome also revealed the presence of the Rnf complex (rnfCDGEABF), proposed to function as a Na⁺-translocating, ferredoxin:NAD⁺ oxidoreductase (Biegel and Muller 2010) and a multiheme cytochrome c in the same operon (Fig 7A–w), hypothesized to mediate the electron transfer between the periplasmic cytochrome c pool and the cytoplasmic NAD (P)H/Fd (Li et al. 2006; Pereira et al. 2011). Another gene with similarity to cytochrome c is found adjacent to the Rnf complex in D. gigas, corresponding to cytochrome c subunit of D-lactate dehydrogenase (Fig. 7A–a).
ingly, the *rnf* operon is not present in the genomic context of this dehydrogenase in other *Desulfovibrio* spp., being replaced by the pyruvate:oxidoreductase (*poR*). This fact may indicate that the Rnf complex in *D. gigas* could be directly involved in the electron transport from lactate to Fd/NADH or between these two elements.

Another group of energy-conserving enzymes and complexes are those related to electron bifurcation processes. *D. gigas* genome encodes two paralogous (*Table S26*) heterodimeric transhydrogenase (*NfnAB*), responsible for the reversible NADH-dependent reduction of NADP⁺ by Fd (*Wang et al. 2010*).

Only one cytoplasmic hydrogenase was observed in *D. gigas* genome. We, however observed a sequence of an electron bifurcating complex: the HdrABC/FloxABCD (Fig 7B). Flox gene products are likely to oxidize NAD(P)H and transfer electrons to the HdrABC proteins (*Pereira et al. 2011*) (*Table S27*). These genes are found in other *Desulfovibrio* spp., such as *D. vulgaris* and *D. alaskensis*, between two alcohol dehydrogenases (Fig 7B–a), suggesting that they might be involved in the electron transfer from alcohol substrates. The presence of an aldehyde dehydrogenase (Fig 7B–b), found downstream of this operon in *D. gigas*, as well as *D. africanus* Walvis Bay, might indicate that this complex could also use aldehydes as another electron source to this complex. This genomic arrangement suggests that not only alcohol but also aldehyde oxidation could participate in mechanisms of energy conservation in *D. gigas*.

**Conclusions**

The observations reported for the genome of *D. gigas* ATCC19364 highlight the differences found within several species of the *Desulfovibrio* genus. The larger size of *D. gigas* cells when compared to other *Desulfovibrio* spp. might be a reflex of the presence of FtsZ inhibitors, such as the MinCDE system, which was not described for any members of this genus. In accordance, the presence of a single rRNA operon and multiple CRISPR/Cas elements specific for this species might be involved in the phylogenetic separation of *D. gigas*, placing it more closely related to *D. vulgaris* and *D. desulfuricans* strains. However, the presence of a different composition of genes involved in certain metabolic aspects, like sensing and response to oxygen and NO stress, highlighted by the presence of a new SOD, a second *norR* transcriptional factor (*NorRL2*), several putative nitrate reductases and an aerobic-type CODH, reveal a greater number of orthologous groups with more distant related species like *D. magneticus*. This also indicates a highly developed and flexible enzymatic machinery to overcome the deleterious effects of an aerobic environment. This flexibility can be further detected in the genes involved in the energy metabolism and conservation, as new proteins (Fdr and Fdh) and complexes, such as a secondary vacuolar-type ATPase and two complexes linking NAD(P)H and ferredoxins with electron transfer (*Nuo and Mnh*) were identified. On the other hand, a low number of hydrogenases and the absence of *codh/*acs and *pfl* genes indicate that the intermediate compounds (H₂, CO, and formate) do not contribute to mechanisms of energy conservation in *D. gigas* as much as they do in other *Desulfovibrio* spp. Despite that, recent experimental analysis performed using mutants for genes encoding hydrogenases demonstrates that at least one hydrogenase is required for cell viability. Interestingly, specific genomic elements, like the presence of a cytochrome *c* in the Rnf complex and an aldehyde dehydrogenase in the vicinity of the Hdr/Flox operon may provide alternative routes for energy conservation processes, that could compensate the absence of the above mentioned genes or multiple hydrogenases. This might indicate that different substrates (alcohols and aldehydes) and coenzymes (NAD⁺/NADP⁺) could play a more important role in redox reactions of *D. gigas* than previously thought.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Teresa Barata and Mario Vicente for their support in the first part of this work. We also would like to thank Edson Luiz Folado, Leilane Oliveira Gonçalves, and Elvira C. A. Horácio for their help in processing the genomic data. This work was supported by Fundação para Ciência e Tecnologia (FCT) through grants PTDC/BIA-IC/104030/2008 given to C. R. P., Pest-OE/EQB/LA0004/2011 given to ITQB. Agência de Inovação (ADI) also supported our research through the grant ADI/2006/M2.3/003 given to C. R. P. and O. F. We are also greatly indebted to STAB Vida and BIOCANT for their financial support. F.M.S (SFRH/BD/45211/2008), C. P. (SFRH/BPD/90823/2012) S. S. (grant SFRH/BPD/80244/2011), were supported by FCT fellowships. The work conducted in CPqRR – FIOCRUZ, was supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Ensino Superior (CAPES); Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais, National Council of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq), and Rede Integrada de Estudos Genômicos e Proteômicos (GENOPROT) through grants APQ-02382-10, PRI-00197-12, APQ-01085-12, and grants 476539/2010-2, 301652/2012-0, and 560943/2010-5.

**Conflict of Interest**

None declared.
References

Abascal, F., R. Zardoya, and D. Posada. 2005. ProtTest: selection of best-fit models of protein evolution. Bioinformatics 21:2104–2105.

Abreu, I. A., A. V. Xavier, J. Le Gall, D. E. Cabelli, and M. Teixeira. 2002. Superoxide scavenging by neelaredoxin: dismutation and reduction activities in anaerobes. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 7:668–674.

Almeida, C. C., C. V. Romao, P. F. Lindley, M. Teixeira, and L. M. Saraiva. 2006. The role of the hybrid cluster protein in oxidative stress defense. J. Biol. Chem. 281:32445–32450.

Almendra, M. J., C. D. Brondino, O. Gavel, A. S. Pereira, P. Tavares, S. Bursakov, et al. 1999. Purification and characterization of a tungsten-containing formate dehydrogenase from Desulfovibrio gigas. Biochemistry 38:16366–16372.

Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215:403–410.

Ambler, R. P., M. Bruschi, and J. le Gall. 1969. The structure of cytochrome c(3) from Desulfovibrio gigas (NCIB 9332). FEBS Lett. 5:115–117.

Armitage, J. P. 1997. Behavioural responses of bacteria to light and oxygen. Arch. Microbiol. 168:249–254.

Arnvig, K. B., P. M. Bennett, P. O. Morais-Silva, et al. 2005. Deletion of flavoredoxin gene in Desulfovibrio gigas reveals its participation in thiosulfate reduction. FEBS Lett. 579:4803–4807.

Brown, S. D., C. C. Gilmour, A. M. Kucken, J. D. Wall, D. A. Elias, C. C. Brandt, et al. 2011. Genome sequence of the mercury-methylating strain Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ND132. J. Bacteriol. 193:2078–2079.

Cabello, P., C. Pino, M. F. Olmo-Mira, F. Castillo, M. D. Roldan, and C. Moreno-Vivian. 2004. Hydroxylamine assimilation by Rhodobacter capsulatus E1Fl, requirement of the hcp gene (hybrid cluster protein) located in the nitrate assimilation nas gene region for hydroxylamine reduction. J. Biol. Chem. 279:45485–45494.

Caddy, I. T., S. J. Busby, and J. A. Cole. 2011. An HcpR homologue from Desulfovibrio desulfuricans and its possible role in nitrate reduction and nitrosative stress. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 39:224–229.

Canfield, D. E., and D. J. Des Marais. 1991. Aerobic sulfate reduction in microbial mats. Science 251:1471–1473.

Chen, L., M. Y. Liu, J. Legall, P. Fareleira, H. Santos, and A. V. Xavier. 1993. Purification and characterization of an NADH-rubredoxin oxidoreductase involved in the utilization of oxygen by Desulfovibrio gigas. Eur. J. Biochem. 216:443–448.

Chien, A. C., N. S. Hill, and P. A. Levin. 2012. Cell size control in bacteria. Curr. Biol. 22:R340–R349.

Cooper, S. J., C. D. Garner, W. R. Hagen, P. F. Lindley, and S. Bailey. 2000. Hybrid-cluster protein (HCP) from Desulfovibrio vulgaris (Hildenborough) at 1.6 A Resolution. Biochemistry 39:15044–15054.

Dahl, C., S. Engels, A. S. Pott-Sperling, A. Schulte, J. Sander, Y. Lubbe, et al. 2005. Novel genes of the dsr gene cluster of Desulfovibrio desulfuricans and its possible role in sulfur oxidation and nitrosative stress. J. Bacteriol. 188:211–222.

Bennett, R. M. 2004. Genome plasticity: insertion sequence elements, transposons and integrons, and DNA rearrangement. Methods Mol. Biol. 266:71–113.

Bennhardt, T. G., and P. A. de Boer. 2005. SLmA, a nucleoid-associated, FtsZ binding protein required for blocking septal ring assembly over chromosomes in E. coli. Mol. Cell. 18:555–564.

Bi, E., and J. Lutkenhaus. 1990. Interaction between the min locus and ftsZ. J. Bacteriol. 172:5610–5616.

Biegel, E., and V. Muller. 2010. Bacterial Na+-translocating ferredoxin:NAD+ oxidoreductase. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107:18138–18142.

de Boer, P. A., R. E. Crossley, and L. I. Rothfield. 1989. A division inhibitor and a topological specificity factor coded for by the minicell locus determine proper placement of the division septum in E. coli. Cell 56:641–649.

Broco, M., M. Rouset, S. Oliveira, and C. Rodrigues-Pousada. 2005. Deletion of flavoredoxin gene in Desulfovibrio gigas reveals its participation in thiosulfate reduction. FEBS Lett. 579:4803–4807.

Brown, S. D., C. C. Gilmour, A. M. Kucken, J. D. Wall, D. A. Elias, C. C. Brandt, et al. 2011. Genome sequence of the mercury-methylating strain Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ND132. J. Bacteriol. 193:2078–2079.

Cabello, P., C. Pino, M. F. Olmo-Mira, F. Castillo, M. D. Roldan, and C. Moreno-Vivian. 2004. Hydroxylamine assimilation by Rhodobacter capsulatus E1Fl, requirement of the hcp gene (hybrid cluster protein) located in the nitrate assimilation nas gene region for hydroxylamine reduction. J. Biol. Chem. 279:45485–45494.

Caddy, I. T., S. J. Busby, and J. A. Cole. 2011. An HcpR homologue from Desulfovibrio desulfuricans and its possible role in nitrate reduction and nitrosative stress. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 39:224–229.

Canfield, D. E., and D. J. Des Marais. 1991. Aerobic sulfate reduction in microbial mats. Science 251:1471–1473.

Chen, L., M. Y. Liu, J. Legall, P. Fareleira, H. Santos, and A. V. Xavier. 1993. Purification and characterization of an NADH-rubredoxin oxidoreductase involved in the utilization of oxygen by Desulfovibrio gigas. Eur. J. Biochem. 216:443–448.

Chien, A. C., N. S. Hill, and P. A. Levin. 2012. Cell size control in bacteria. Curr. Biol. 22:R340–R349.

Cooper, S. J., C. D. Garner, W. R. Hagen, P. F. Lindley, and S. Bailey. 2000. Hybrid-cluster protein (HCP) from Desulfovibrio vulgaris (Hildenborough) at 1.6 A Resolution. Biochemistry 39:15044–15054.

Dahl, C., S. Engels, A. S. Pott-Sperling, A. Schulte, J. Sander, Y. Lubbe, et al. 2005. Novel genes of the dsr gene cluster and evidence for close interaction of Dar proteins during sulfur oxidation in the phototrophic sulfur bacterium Allochromatium vinosum. J. Bacteriol. 187:1392–1404.

Dobek, H., L. Gremer, O. Meyer, and R. Huber. 1999. Crystal structure and mechanism of CO dehydrogenase, a molybdenum-iron-sulfur flavoprotein containing S-selanycysteine. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 96:8884–8889.

Dominova, I. N., D. Y. Sorokin, I. V. Kublanov, M. V. Patrushev, and S. V. Toshchakov. 2013. Complete genome sequence of Salinarchaeum sp. Strain Harcht-Bsk1T, isolated from hypersaline lake Baskunchak, Russia. Genome Announc. 1: pii: e00505–13.

Douzi, B., A. Filloux, and R. Voulhoux. 2012. On the path to uncover the bacterial type II secretion system. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 367:1059–1072.

Eschemann, A., M. Kuhl, and H. Cyponka. 1999. Aerotaxis in Desulfovibrio. Environ. Microbiol. 1:489–494.

Fareleira, P., J. Legall, A. V. Xavier, and H. Santos. 1997. Pathways for utilization of carbon reserves in Desulfovibrio gigas under fermentative and respiratory conditions. J. Bacteriol. 179:3972–3980.
Felix, R., R. Rodrigues, P. Machado, S. Oliveira, and C. Rodrigues-Pousada. 2006. A chemotaxis operon in the bacterium Desulfovibrio gigas is induced under several growth conditions. DNA Seq. 17:56–64.

Fischer-Friedrich, E., G. Meacci, J. Lutkenhaus, H. Chate, and K. Kruse. 2010. Intra- and intercellular fluctuations in Min-protein dynamics decrease with cell length. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107:6134–6139.

Frazao, C., G. Silva, C. M. Gomes, P. Matias, R. Coelho, L. Sieker, et al. 2000. Structure of a dioxygen reduction enzyme from Desulfovibrio gigas. Nat. Struct. Biol. 7:1041–1045.

Gilmour, C. C., D. A. Elias, A. M. Kucken, S. D. Brown, A. V. Palumbo, C. W. Schadt, et al. 2011. Sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ND132 as a model for understanding bacterial mercury methylation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:3938–3951.

Godde, J. S., and A. Bickerton. 2006. The repetitive DNA elements called CRISPRs and their associated genes: evidence of horizontal transfer among prokaryotes. J. Mol. Evol. 62:718–729.

Greene, E. A., C. Hubert, M. Nemati, G. E. Jenneman, and G. Voordouw. 2003. Nitrite reductase activity of sulphate-reducing bacteria prevents their inhibition by nitrate-reducing, sulphide-oxidizing bacteria. Environ. Microbiol. 5:607–617.

Guindon, S., J. F. Dufayard, V. Lefort, M. Anisimova, W. Hordijk, and O. Gascuel. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst. Biol. 59:307–321.

Haft, D. H., J. Selengut, E. F. Mongodin, and K. E. Nelson. 2005. A guild of 45 CRISPR-associated (Cas) protein families and multiple CRISPR/Cas subtypes exist in prokaryotic genomes. PLoS Comput. Biol. 1:e60.

Hamilton, W. A. 1985. Sulphate-reducing bacteria and anaerobic corrosion. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 39:195–217.

He, Q., K. H. Huang, Z. He, E. J. Alm, M. W. Fields, T. C. Hazen, et al. 2006. Enigmatic consequences of nitrite stress in Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough, inferred from global transcriptional analysis. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:4370–4381.

Heidelberg, J. F., R. Seshadri, S. A. Haveman, C. L. Hemme, I. T. Paulsen, J. F. Kolonay, et al. 2004. The genome sequence of the anaerobic, sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough. Nat. Biotechnol. 22:554–559.

Helm, R. A., A. G. Lee, H. D. Christman, and S. Maloy. 2003. Genomic rearrangements at rRNA operons in Salmonella. Genetics 165:951–959.

Hill, N. S., R. Kadoya, D. K. Chattoraj, and P. A. Levin. 2012. Cell size and the initiation of DNA replication in bacteria. PLoS Genet. 8:e1002549.

Hsieh, Y. C., M. Y. Liu, J. le Gall, and C. J. Chen. 2005. Anaerobic purification and crystallization to improve the crystal quality: ferredoxin II from Desulfovibrio gigas. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 61:780–783.

Huang, H., and S. Larter. 2005. Biodegradation of petroleum in subsurface geological reservoirs. Pp. 91–121 in B. Olliver and M. Magot, eds. Petroleum microbiology. ASM Press, Washington, DC.

Janssen, A. J., R. Ruitenberg, and C. J. Buisman. 2001. Industrial applications of new sulphur biotechnology. Water Sci. Technol. 44:85–90.

Ji, B., G. Gimenez, V. Barbe, B. Vacherie, Z. Rouy, A. Amrani, et al. 2013. Complete genome sequence of the piezophilic, mesophilic, sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio hydrothermalis AM13(T.). Genome Announc. 1: pii: e00226–12.

Jones, D. T., W. R. Taylor, and J. M. Thornton. 1992. The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 8:275–282.

Jorgensen, B. B. 1982. Ecology of the bacteria of the sulphur cycle with special reference to anoxic-oxic interface environments. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 298:543–561.

Kakinuma, Y., I. Yamato, and T. Murata. 1999. Structure and function of vacuolar Na+-translocating ATPase in Enterococcus hirae. J. Bioenerg. Biomembr. 31:7–14.

Katoh, K., K. Misawa, K. Kuma, and T. Miyata. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res. 30:3059–3066.

Krekeler, C., H. Ziehr, and J. Klein. 1989. Physical methods for characterization of microbial surfaces. Experientia 45:1047–1055.

Lagesen, K., P. Hallin, E. A. Rodland, H. H. Staerfeldt, T. Rognes, and D. W. Ussery. 2007. RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 35:3100–3108.

Le, S. Q., and O. Gascuel. 2008. An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25:1307–1320.

LeGALL, J. 1963. A new species of Desulfovibrio. J. Bacteriol. 86:1120.

Lenz, M., E. D. Hullebusch, G. Hommes, P. F. Corvini, and P. N. Lens. 2008. Selenate removal in methanogenic and sulfate-reducing upflow anaerobic sludge bed reactors. Water Res. 42:2184–2194.

Li, Q., L. Li, T. Rejtar, D. J. Lessner, B. L. Karger, and J. G. Ferry. 2006. Electron transport in the pathway of acetate conversion to methane in the marine archaeon Methanosarcina acetivorans. J. Bacteriol. 188:702–710.

Li, X., M. J. Mclnerney, D. A. Stahl, and L. R. Krumholz. 2011. Metabolism of H2 by Desulfovibrio alaskensis G20 during syntrophic growth on lactate. Microbiology 157:2912–2921.
Lowe, T. M., and S. R. Eddy. 1997. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res. 25:955–964.

Lucchetti-Mignane, C., D. Goudeneghe, D. Thibert, G. Salbert, and F. Barloy-Hubler. 2011. SORGObb: Superoxide reductase gene ontology curated DataBase. BMC Microbiol. 11:105.

Makarova, K. S., D. H. Haft, R. Barrangou, S. J. Brouns, E. Charpentier, P. Horvath, et al. 2011. Evolution and classification of the CRISPR-Cas systems. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 9:467–477.

Mancini, S., H. K. Abicht, O. V. Karnachuk, and M. Solioz. 2011. Genome sequence of Desulfovibrio sp. A2, a highly copper resistant, sulfate-reducing bacterium isolated from effluents of a zinc smelter at the Urals. J. Bacteriol. 193:6793–6794.

Marchler-Bauer, A., C. Zheng, F. Chitsaz, M. K. Derbyshire, L. Y. Geer, R. C. Geer, et al. 2013. CDD: conserved domains and protein three-dimensional structure. Nucleic Acids Res. 41:D348–D352.

Marraffini, L. A., and E. J. Sontheimer. 2008. CRISPR interference limits horizontal gene transfer in staphylococci by targeting DNA. Nat. Biotech. 32:1843–1845.

Marshall, W. F., K. D. Young, M. Swaffer, E. Wood, P. Nurse, A. Kimura, et al. 2012. What determines cell size? BMC Biol. 10:101.

Matias, P. M., J. Morais, R. Coelho, M. A. Carrondo, K. Wilson, Z. Dauter, et al. 1996. Cytochrome c3 from Desulfovibrio gigas: crystal structure at 1.8 A resolution and evidence for a specific calcium-binding site. Protein Sci. 5:1342–1354.

Moraes-Silva, F. O., C. I. Santos, R. Rodrigues, I. A. Pereira, and C. Rodrigues-Pousada. 2013. Roles of HynAB and Ech, the only two hydrogenases found in the model sulfate reducer Desulfovibrio gigas. J. Bacteriol. 195:4753–4760.

Muyzer, G., and A. Stams. 2000. The ecology and biotechnology of sulphate-reducing bacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6:441–454.

Nakazawa, H., A. Arakaki, S. Narita-Yamada, I. Yashiro, K. Jinno, N. Aoki, et al. 2009. Whole genome sequence of Desulfovibrio magnetisicus strain RS-1 revealed common gene clusters in magnetotactic bacteria. Genome Res. 19:1801–1808.

Odom, J. M., H. D. Peck, and JR. 1981. Localization of dehydrogenases, reductases, and electron transfer components in the sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio gigas. J. Bacteriol. 147:161–169.

Overeijnder, M. L., W. R. Hagen, and P. L. Hagedoorn. 2009. A thermostable hybrid cluster protein from Pyrococcus furiosus: effects of the loss of a three helix bundle subdomain. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 14:703–710.

Pereira, P. M., M. Teixeira, A. V. Xavier, R. O. Louro, and I. A. Pereira. 2006. The Tmc complex from Desulfovibrio vulgaris hildenborough is involved in transmembrane electron transfer from periplasmic hydrogen oxidation. Biochemistry 45:10359–10367.

Pereira, P. M., Q. He, F. M. Valente, A. V. Xavier, J. Zhou, I. A. Pereira, et al. 2008. Energy metabolism in Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough: insights from transcriptome analysis. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 93:347–362.

Pereira, I. A., A. R. Ramos, F. Grein, M. C. Marques, S. M. da Silva, and S. S. Venceslau. 2011. A comparative genomic analysis of energy metabolism in sulfate reducing bacteria and archaea. Front Microbiol. 2:69.

Pires, R., A. Lourenço, F. Morais, M. Teixeira, A. Xavier, L. Saraiva, et al. 2003. A novel membrane-bound respiratory complex from Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ATCC 27774. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1605:67–82.

Plugge, C. M., J. C. Scholten, D. E. Culluy, L. Nie, F. J. Brockman, and W. Zhang. 2010. Global transcriptomics analysis of the Desulfovibrio vulgaris change from syntrophic growth with Methanosarcina barkeri to sulfidogenic metabolism. Microbiology 156:2746–2756.

Postgate, J. R., and L. L. Campbell. 1966. Classification of Desulfovibrio species, the nonsporulating sulfate-reducing bacteria. Bacteriol Rev 30:732–738.

Raaijmakers, H., S. Maceira, J. M. Dias, S. Teixeira, S. Bursakov, R. Huber, et al. 2002. Gene sequence and the 1.8 A crystal structure of the tungsten-containing formate dehydrogenase from Desulfovibrio gigas. Structure 10:1261–1272.

Rabus, R., T. A. Hansen, and F. Widdel. 2006. Dissimilatory sulfate- and sulfur-reducing prokaryotes. Pp. 659–768 in M. Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K. H. Schleifer, E. Stackebrandt, eds. Prokaryotes. 3rd ed. Springer New York, New York, USA.

Ragsdale, S. W., and E. Pierce. 2008. Acetogenesis and the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway of CO2 fixation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1784:1873–1898.

Rice, P., I. Longden, and A. Bleasby. 2000. EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite. Trends Genet. 16:276–277.

Rodionov, D. A., I. Dubchak, A. Arkin, E. Alm, and M. S. Gelfand. 2004. Reconstruction of regulatory and metabolic pathways in metal-reducing delta-proteobacteria. Genome Biol. 5:R90.

Rodrigues, R., F. M. Valente, I. A. Pereira, S. Oliveira, and C. Rodrigues-Pousada. 2003. A novel membrane-bound Ech [NiFe] hydrogenase in Desulfovibrio gigas. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 306:366–375.

Rodrigues, P. M., A. L. Macedo, B. J. Goodfellow, I. Moura, and J. J. Moura. 2006a. Desulfovibrio gigas ferredoxin II: redox structural modulation of the [3Fe-4S] cluster. J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 11:307–315.

Rodrigues, R., J. B. Vicente, R. Felix, S. Oliveira, M. Teixeira, and C. Rodrigues-Pousada. 2006b. Desulfovibrio gigas flavodiiron protein affords protection against nitrosative stress in vivo. J. Bacteriol. 188:2745–2751.
Romao, M. J., M. Archer, I. Moura, J. J. Moura, J. LeGALL, and ENGH, R., SCHNEIDER, M., HOF, P. & HUBER, R.. 1995. Crystal structure of the xanthine oxidase-related aldehyde oxido-reductase from Desulfovibrio gigas. Science 270:1170–1176.

Rossi, M., W. B. Pollock, M. W. Reij, R. G. Keon, R. Fu, and G. Voordouw. 1993. The hmc operon of Desulfovibrio vulgaris subsp. vulgaris Hildenborough encodes a potential transmembrane redox protein complex. J. Bacteriol. 175:4699–4711.

Rothfield, L., A. Taghbabalout, and Y. L. Shih. 2005. Spatial control of bacterial division-site placement. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3:959–968.

Rousseau, C., M. Gonnet, M. le Romancer, and J. Nicolas. 2009. CRISPI: a CRISPR interactive database. Bioinformatics 25:3317–3318.

Rutherford, K., J. Parkhill, J. Crook, T. Horsnell, P. Rice, M. A. Rajandream, et al. 2000. Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation. Bioinformatics 16: 944–945.

dos Santos, W. G., I. Pacheco, M. Y. Liu, M. Teixeira, A. V. Xavier, and J. Legall. 2000. Purification and characterization of an iron superoxide dismutase and a catalase from the sulfate-reducing bacterium Desulfovibrio gigas. J. Bacteriol. 182:796–804.

Sharp, P. M., and W. H. Li. 1987. The codon Adaptation Index- a measure of directional synonymous codon usage bias, and its potential applications. Nucleic Acids Res. 15:1281–1295.

Silva, G., S. Oliveira, C. M. Gomes, I. Pacheco, M. Y. Liu, A. V. Xavier, et al. 1999. Desulfovibrio gigas neelaredoxin. A novel superoxide dismutase integrated in a putative oxygen sensory operon of an anaerobe. Eur. J. Biochem. 259:235–243.

Silva, G., J. LeGALL, and XAVIER, A. V., TEIXEIRA, M. & RODRIGUES-POUSADA, C.. 2001. Molecular characterization of Desulfovibrio gigas neelaredoxin, a protein involved in oxygen detoxification in anaerobes. J. Bacteriol. 183:4413–4420.

Spring, S., M. Visser, M. Lu, A. Copeland, A. Lapidus, S. Lucas, et al. 2012. Complete genome sequence of the sulfate-reducing firmicute Desulfotomaculum ruminis type strain (DL(T)). Stand Genomic Sci 7:304–319.

Teske, A., N. B. Ramsing, K. Habicht, M. Fukui, J. Kuver, B. B. Jorgensen, et al. 1998. Sulfate-reducing bacteria and their activities in cyanobacterial mats of solar lake (Sinai, Egypt). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:2943–2951.

Vance, L., and D. R. Thrasher. 2005. Reservoir souring: mechanisms and prevention. Pp. 123–150 in B. Olliver, B., and M. Magot, eds. Petroleum Microbiology. ASM Press, Washington, DC, USA.

Varela-Raposo, A., C. Pimentel, F. Morais-Silva, A. Rezende, J. C. Ruíz, and C. Rodrigues-Pousada. 2013. Role of NorR-like transcriptional regulators under nitrosative stress of the delta-proteobacterium, Desulfovibrio gigas. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 431:590–596.

Venceslau, S. S., R. R. Lino, and I. A. Pereira. 2010. The Qrc membrane complex, related to the alternative complex III, is a menaquinone reductase involved in sulfate respiration. J. Biol. Chem. 285:22774–22783.

Volbeda, A., M. H. Charon, C. Piras, E. C. Hatchikian, M. Frey, and J. C. Fontecilla-Camps. 1995. Crystal structure of the nickel-iron hydrogenase from Desulfovibrio gigas. Nature 373:580–587.

Voordouw, G. 2002. Carbon monoxide cycling by Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough. J. Bacteriol. 184:5903–5911.

Walker, C. B., Z. L. He, Z. K. Yang, I. A. Ringbauer, Q. He, J. H. Zhou, et al. 2009. The Electron Transfer System of Syntrophically Grown Desulfovibrio vulgaris J. Bacteriol. 191:5793–5801.

Wang, S., H. Huang, J. Moll, and R. K. Thauer. 2010. NADP+ reduction with reduced ferredoxin and NADP+ reduction with NADH are coupled via an electron-bifurcating enzyme complex in Clostridium kluveri. J. Bacteriol. 192:5115–5123.

Weart, R. B., A. H. Lee, A. C. Chien, D. P. Haeusser, N. S. Hill, and P. A. Levin. 2007. A metabolic sensor governing cell size in bacteria. Cell 130:335–347.

Wolfe, M. T., J. Heo, J. S. Garavelli, and P. W. Ludden. 2002. Hydroxylamine reductase activity of the hybrid cluster protein from Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 184:5989–5902.

Zaumuller, T., D. J. Kelly, F. O. Glockner, and G. Unden. 2006. Succinate dehydrogenase functioning by a reverse redox loop mechanism and fumarate reductase in sulphate-reducing bacteria. Microbiology 152:2443–2453.

Zdobnov, E. M., and R. Apweiler. 2001. InterProScan—an integration platform for the signature-recognition methods in InterPro. Bioinformatics 17:847–848.

Zerbino, D. R., and E. Birney. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res. 18:821–829.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Specific genomic organization of Desulfovibrio gigas. (A) Organization of the hcp and hcpR monocistronic operons in D.gigas in comparison with other Desulfovibrio species, where: frdx, ferredoxin; a, Upsa-like protein; b, alcohol dehydrogenase; c, sensory box histidine kinase; d, acpD:acyl carrier protein phospho-diesterase; e, putative lipoprotein; f, polysaccharide export protein; g, cupin 2 conserved barrel domain protein. Gene cluster organization from D. vulgaris Hildenborough, D. alaskensis G20, and D. desulfuricans ATCC27774 were obtained at the DOE Joint Genome Institute.
Institute (http://www.jgi.doe.gov/). (B) Organization of operons exclusively present in \textit{D. gigas} genome as compared to other \textit{Desulfovibrio} spp.: (i) aerobic-type carbon monoxide dehydrogenase complex; (ii) vacuolar-type ATP-synthase complex; and (iii) multisubunit Na\(^+\)/H\(^+\) antiporter complex. Genes were assigned according to the predicted protein function. The unnamed coding regions are either hypothetical proteins or proteins of unknown function.
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