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Abstract:
BACKGROUND: Surgery is still the best way for treatment of esophageal cancer. The increase in life expectancy and the rising incidence of esophageal tumors have led to a great number of elderly candidates for complex surgery. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effects of advanced age (70 years or more) on the surgical outcome of esophagectomy for esophageal cancer at a single high-volume center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Between January 2000 and April 2006, 480 cases with esophageal cancer underwent esophagectomy in the referral cancer institute. One hundred sixty-five patients in the elderly group (70 years old or more) were compared with 315 patients in the younger group (<70 years). All in-hospital morbidity and mortality were studied.

RESULTS: The range of age was 38–84 years, with a mean of 58.7. The mean age of the elderly and younger groups was 74 and 53.2, respectively. In the younger group, 70 patients (22.2%) and in the elderly group, 39 patients (23.6%) were complicated (P = 0.72). The most common complications in the two groups were pulmonary complications (9.8% in younger and 10.3% in elderly) (P = 0.87). Rates of anastomotic leakage and cardiac complications were also similar between the two groups. Hospital mortality rates in younger and elderly patients were 2.8% and 3%, respectively. There was no significant difference between the two groups in morbidities and mortality (P-value > 0.05).

CONCLUSIONS: With increased experience and care, the outcomes of esophagectomy in elderly patients are comparable to young patients. Advanced age alone is not a contraindication for esophagectomy.
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Materials and Methods

Between January 2000 and April 2006, 480 cases with esophageal cancer underwent esophagectomy in the referral cancer institute. One hundred sixty-five patients in the elderly group (70 years old or more) were compared with 315 patients in the younger group (<70 years). Tumors located in the cervical esophagus and the subcardia as well as administration of neoadjuvant therapy were exclusion criteria of this study. Pre-operative workup included medical history, physical examination, routine laboratory tests, chest X-ray, pulmonary function tests, and electrocardiogram. In selected cases, more cardiac evaluation (echocardiogram, exercise test or radionuclide scan) were also performed. Barium swallow, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, and computed tomographic scans of chest and abdomen were routinely performed. All patients underwent the transthoracic Orringer technique for lower esophageal tumors or transthoracic esophagectomy (Ivor–Lewis or McKeown procedures) for the mid- and upper-third of the esophagus. Alimentary tract reconstruction
was performed preferably with the gastric pull-up technique. If stomach was unavailable, either a jejunal loop or the left colon was used. All patients were managed in the intensive care unit for the immediate postoperative period. Cervical anastomoses were monitored clinically, resuming oral diet on the fifth postoperative day. Contrast study was performed only for patients with intrathoracic anastomosis or in any cases with suspected leakage. All in-hospital morbidity and mortality were studied. Three categories of complications were considered in this study: Anastomotic leakage, pulmonary complications (pneumonia, aspiration, atelectasis, collapse, and respiratory failure), and cardiovascular complications (myocardial infarction, severe arrhythmia, heart failure, pulmonary edema, and pulmonary embolism). All data were collected retrospectively from patient's charts. Comparisons between two groups were performed using the χ² test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

The characteristics of 480 patients who underwent esophagectomy in the elderly and the younger groups are summarized in Table 1. The range of age was 38–84 years, with a mean of 58.7. The mean age of the elderly and the younger groups were 74 and 53.2, respectively. The male to female ratio was about 2:1. Squamous cell carcinoma constitutes 94% and adenocarcinoma constitutes 6% of the cases. Most of the patients were in stage III, followed by stage II. Most tumors were located in the lower part of the esophagus (55%). There were no significant differences in sex, histology, stage, location, and type of operation between the two groups.

Details of the postoperative complications in the two groups are given in Table 2. In the younger group, 70 patients (22.2%) and in the elderly group, 39 patients (23.6%) were complicated (P-value >0.05) [Table 2]. The most common complications in the two groups were pulmonary complications (9.8% in younger and 10.3% in elderly) (P-value >0.05). Rates of anastomotic leakage and cardiac complications were also similar between the two groups. Hospital mortality rates in the younger and elderly patients were 2.8% and 3%, respectively. There was no significant difference between the two groups in morbidities and mortality (P-value >0.05).

### Discussion

Increase in the elderly population in the recent decades has made surgeons face the dilemma of whether to perform complex surgery on an elderly patient. The need for surgery for old patients is becoming a serious and crucial health problem. There have been advances in the management of many tumors recently but, for many of them, surgery remains the mainstay of treatment. Undoubtedly, esophagectomy is a major surgical procedure with high postoperative morbidity and mortality rates.[3] Old papers reported disappointing outcomes of esophagectomy in the elderly [Table 3].[4-6] Abunasra et al. analyzed the predictors for death after esophageal resection. In that study, advanced age, impaired pre-operative pulmonary status, and a tumor located high in the esophagus are associated with a significantly increased risk of postoperative death. They showed that the risk of operative death almost doubles for each 10-year increase in the age of the patient.[10] However, several recent retrospective studies have shown comparable morbidity, mortality, and survival rates between the elderly and the younger patients.[1-3,7-9] The idea that older age itself contraindicates major surgery such as esophagectomy seems to be a misconception, especially because of acceptable survival benefit for selected old patients.[3]

Of course, careful pre-operative risk assessment is important in selecting patients for esophagectomy. Pulmonary complications were the frequent causes of morbidity among both younger and older patients, which emphasizes the importance of good pre-operative preparation, with aggressive chest physiotherapy before and after the operation, performed routinely by patients or preferably by a respiratory therapist. The rate of cardiovascular complications and leakage were also similar between the two groups in our study. We routinely performed hand-sewn cervical anastomosis, with an acceptable low risk of leakage. The main predictor of postoperative cardiovascular complications is the presence of pre-operative cardiac diseases. With precise pre-operative cardiac evaluation, the rate of

**Table 1: Characteristics of patients who underwent esophagectomy in the elderly and the younger groups**

| Age (<70 years) (%) | ≥70 years (%) | P value |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|
| No. of patients     | 315          | 165     |
| Mean age (years)    | 53.2         | 74      |
| Sex (male/female)   | 202/113 (64) | 102/63 (62) | 0.618 |
| Histology           |              | 0.696   |
| Squamous cell       | 295 (93.6)   | 156 (94.5) |
| carcinoma           |              |         |
| Adenocarcinoma      | 20 (6.34)    | 9 (5.5)  |
| Stage               |              | 0.529   |
| I                   | 16 (5)       | 7 (4.25) |
| II                  | 81 (25.7)    | 39 (23.6) |
| III                 | 210 (66.7)   | 115 (69.7) |
| IV                  | 8 (2.5)      | 4 (2.4)  |
| Location            |              | 0.709   |
| Upper thoracic      | 16 (5)       | 7 (4.2)  |
| Middle thoracic     | 122 (38.7)   | 70 (42.4) |
| Lower thoracic      | 177 (56.3)   | 88 (53.3) |
| Types of            |              | 0.741   |
| esophagectomy       |              |         |
| Transhiatal         | 129 (41)     | 65 (39.3) |
| Transhiatal         | 186 (59)     | 100 (60.7) |

**Table 2: Postoperative morbidity and mortality**

| Complications       | Younger group (% | Elderly group (%) | P value |
|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| Complications       | 70 (22.2)       | 39 (23.6)        | 0.72    |
| Leakage             | 25 (7.9)        | 12 (7.2)         | 0.79    |
| Pulmonary           | 31 (9.8)        | 17 (10.5)        | 0.87    |
| Pneumonia           | 10 (3.2)        | 5 (3)            |         |
| Aspiration          | 2 (0.6)         | 2 (1.2)          |         |
| Atelectasis         | 12 (3.8)        | 5 (3)            |         |
| Collapse            | 0               | 1 (0.6)          |         |
| Respiratory failure | 7 (2.2)         | 4 (2.4)          |         |
| Cardiovascular      | 14 (4.4)        | 10 (6)           | 0.59    |
| Myocardial          | 7 (2.2)         | 4 (2.4)          |         |
| Infarction          | 1 (0.3)         | 2 (1.2)          |         |
| Severe arrhythmia   | 1 (0.3)         | 0                |         |
| Heart failure       | 1 (0.3)         | 0                |         |
| Pulmonary edema     | 1 (0.3)         | 0                |         |
| Pulmonary embolism  | 4 (1.2)         | 4 (2.4)          |         |
| Mortality (in-hospital) | 9 (2.8)     | 5 (3)            | 0.70    |
operative morbidity and mortality are decreased.

The mortality rate in this study was about 3%, which is
comparable to that in other studies. The mortality did not
differ significantly between our two age groups and
there were several factors responsible for this result. First is
the great experience of the cancer surgeons in our tertiary cancer
institute. Advanced peri-operative care has also contributed
to reduce the related morbidity and mortality.

One alarming issue in our report, which was derived from a
developing country without any screening program, is the
presence of only about 30% of the tumors in stage I and II in
both groups, which may lead to more complications and lower
survival. In comparison, in other studies, 40–70% of the tumors
were diagnosed in low stages. Thus, generalization of these results
may not be valid in every center.

In conclusion, with increased experience and care, the outcome
of esophagectomy in elderly patients is comparable to young
patients. Advanced age alone is not a contraindication for
esophagectomy. For selected patients with good operative
risks, esophagectomy is indicated, regardless of age.
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