Validated Stability-Indicating Assay UHPLC Method for Simultaneous Analysis of Saxagliptin and Metformin in Fixed-Dose Combinations
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Abstract: A Saxagliptin (SAX) and Metformin (MET) fixed-dose combination (FDC) can improve the chances of achieving optimal glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus subjects. Accordingly, the scientific novelty of design work was to develop a specific and precise stability-indicating UHPLC assay method for simultaneous quantification of cited drugs in extended-release FDC's. The reversed-phase UHPLC resolution was analyzed with the assistance of UPLC BEH C18 (150 mm × 2.1 mm) with 1.7 μm particle size column at ambient temperature using a solvent system in a proportion of (85:15 % v/v) potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer and acetonitrile, with 0.4 mL/min rate of flow of a solvent system. The analytes were supervised at 220 nm by employing photodiode array recognition. The retention times of analytes SAX and MET were 2.687 ± 0.022 min and 1.856 ± 0.03 min, respectively. The SAX and MET have confirmed the linearity ranges of 10 – 60 μg/mL and 100 – 600 μg/mL, with 0.9989 and 0.9979 determination coefficients. The UHPLC method was effectually validated concerning the accuracy, precision, sensitivity, robustness, ruggedness, selectivity, and specificity. Moreover, the anticipated UHPLC method’s capability to analyzed the SAX and MET with no obstruction from degradation products.
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1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes (T2DM) is a highly complicated and emerging disease characterized by various pathophysiological factors: impaired insulin secretion, a lessened incretin effect, elevated insulin sensitivity, and enhanced hepatic glucose production [1]. Metformin hydrochloride (MET) is the first-line therapy for pharmacological management for subjects with T2DM. Its favorable overall profile includes glucose-lowering potential and minimal risk of hypoglycemia. Its main action is a reduction in hepatic glucose outflow [2,3]. Chemically, MET is 1-carbamimidamido-N,N-dimethylmethanimidamide depicted in Figure 1(a). Meanwhile, combination pharmacological therapies are often needed to address the numerous underlying pathophysiological mechanisms that cause hyperglycemia. So, if MET struggles to maintain glycaemic regulation, various options can be considered, but there is no solid unanimity [4,5].
Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 therapeutic's, namely Saxagliptin (SAX), is the recent oral combination pharmacological activity choice. Chemically SAX is, \((1S,3S,5S)-2-[(2S)-2-amino-2-(3-hydroxy-1-adamantyl)acetyl]-2-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-3-carbonitrile\) depicted in Figure 1(b). Compared to adding a sulfonylurea or a thiazolidinedione to MET, DPP-4 therapeutics provide a remarkably similar enhancement in glucose regulation. However, they are consistent with an improved tolerance balance combining neutral influences on body weight and the absence of hypoglycaemic threat. Hence, a fixed-dose combination (FDC's) of SAX and MET combines these mechanisms of action in a single tablet, thereby reducing the tablet burden and the potential for increased patient compliance with the convenience of once-daily dosing [6,7].

![Chemical structure of MET](a) and Chemical structure of SAX](b)

Figure 1. (a) Chemical structure of MET; (b) Chemical structure of SAX.

According to its therapeutic benefits, SAX and MET have seemed to have many potential efficiencies in managing various pathophysiological failings.

Designing a specific and precise analytical assay method is highly advantageous for simultaneous quantification of SAX and MET in pharmaceutical FDC’s. Numerous analytical literature search reports have been addressed for the analysis of cited drugs alone or in combined FDC’s with other therapeutic agents by exploring LC-MS/MS [8–15], HPLC [16–25], and HPTLC [26–34] in pharmaceutical matrices as well in bioanalytical samples. Consequently, none of these approaches have been deemed highly acceptable due to higher retention times of analytes, excessive consumption of polar organic solvents, more waste generation, higher rate of flows, and unproductive analysis due to an operational cost. However, in order to overcome disadvantage associated with these analytical reports, the ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) technique have been deemed extremely useful for enabling rapid determination of analytes, requiring lowers process cycle time ensures end-product efficiency by reducing operating costs and shortening run times, faster-resolving power making it more selective and sensitive. Moreover, it uses a novel column material with a minimum particle size to improve sensitivity and reduce polar organic solvent’s excessive consumption.

Therefore, the present work was accordingly undertaken by employing the merits mentioned earlier to design a cost-effective, rapid, and precise UHPLC assay method for quantifying SAX and MET in the pharmaceutical FDC’s. Moreover, the application of proposed work to assessed intrinsic stability behavior of the SAX and MET under distinct conditions of stressors.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Pharmaceutical standards.

Glenmark Pharmaceuticals LTD., India, generously supported saxagliptin (SAX). Its purity was labeled to be 99.95%. Umedica Laboratories PVT. LTD., India generously supported metformin hydrochloride (MET). Its purity was labeled to be 99.93%.

2.2. Pharmaceutical FDC's.

Kombiglyze XR 5 mg/1000 mg and Kombiglyze XR 5 mg/500 mg tablets are manufactured by AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP., Mount Vernon, Indiana, USA, and Imported and Marketed by AstraZeneca Pharm. LTD., India.

2.3. Chemicals and reagents.

Methanol HPLC grade was purchased from Merck, LTD., India, and potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer and orthophosphoric acid (OPA) analytical grade were supplied from Loba Chemie PVT. LTD., India.

2.4. Selection of solvent.

The solubility of SAX and MET were tested in various specified solvents; methanol was selected to be the best solvent for both analytes.

2.5. Stock standard solution preparation.

Stock standard solutions were prepared by the precise quantity of 5 mg of SAX, and 1000 mg of MET were effectively solubilized into two distinct 100 mL of calibrated flask consisting of 50 mL of methanol, manually shaken for 10 min. Finally, the volume was diluted to the point of the calibrated flask to obtained 50 μg/mL and 10000 μg/mL of SAX and MET concentrations.

2.6. Working solution of analysis.

A working solution of SAX and MET was prepared using moving accurate volume of 0.1 mL into 10 mL of the calibrated flask from standard stock solutions. The volume was diluted to the mark with the same to get the 5 μg/mL and 100 μg/mL concentrations of SAX and MET.

2.7. Condition for resolution of SAX and MET.

The identification and quantification of a compound of interest (SAX and MET) from non-interest components with acceptable selectivity, specificity, and sensitivity with rapid analysis were verified on UPLC BEH C<sub>18</sub> (150 mm × 2.1 mm) with 1.7 μm particle size column at ambient temperature using a solvent system in a proportion of (85:15 % v/v) potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer and acetonitrile; pH 4.5 was adjusted with 0.1 % OPA. Before executing chromatographic analysis, the solvent system was filtered through a 0.2 μm membrane (Ultipor N<sub>66</sub> Nylon 6, 6) and sonication for 20 min. A 10 μL of fixed volume (working solution) was injected. The chromatogram was studied at a detection wavelength of
220 nm. Ahead of the injection of the working solution, UPLC BEH C18 column was saturated for at least 20 minutes with the solvent system flowing through the column.

2.8. Development of plots for linearity experiment.

The calibration plots for SAX and MET were assessed using the six working solutions. The same was prepared using the precise aliquots (2 – 12 mL of SAX) and (0.1 – 0.6 mL of MET) from standard stock solutions were accurately moved into the 10 mL series of a calibrated flask, and the volume was diluted to the mark of a calibrated flask with a solvent system to get the 10 – 60 μg/mL and 100 – 600 μg/mL concentrations of SAX and MET, respectively.

2.9. Assay of marketed FDC's of SAX and MET.

The assay of SAX and MET in the marketed FDC's were performed for two different pharmaceutical matrices. To estimate the SAX and MET in tablet matrix, twenty tablets of Kombiglyze XR (label claim SAX- 5 mg and MET- 1000 mg and label claim SAX- 5 mg and MET- 500 mg) were evaluated to estimate the average weight of the tablets and then ground and mixed through pestle and mortar. A portion of tablet powder corresponding to one tablet's weight was precisely solubilized separately into 50 mL of methanol and sonicated for 15 min to obtain the complete dissolution of SAX and MET and before made the volume to mark with same; were filtered through a 0.45 μm membrane. The suitable volume was diluted with methanol to get the concentrations of 20 μg/mL and 200 μg/mL of SAX and MET analyzed according to the section 2.7 condition of resolution for SAX and MET.

2.10. Stress degradation studies for SAX and MET.

The present UHPLC method was used to address the intrinsic stability behavior of the SAX and MET under distinct conditions of stressors. It was investigated according to Q1A (R2) guidelines of ICH references for hydrolysis, oxidation, thermal (dry heat and wet heat stress), and photolysis as per the references of Q1B. The stressors, the preference of their concentration, and sample’s processing were predicated on a pre-developed research experiment. Subsequently, the SAX and MET were practically insoluble in water; thus, the stress studies were initiated by dissolving the stressor in methanol. The slight changes in mobile phase composition and flow rate were made to resolve all the potential degradants [35-37].

2.10.1. Acidic hydrolysis.

Acidic hydrolytic stress was stimulated by separately solubilizing a precisely weighted quantity of SAX and MET equal to 10 mg in 1 M methanolic HCl and refluxing the resulting solution at 80°C for SAX and 60°C for MET in a thermostatic water bath for 2 h and 45 min, respectively. The adequate aliquots of samples (1 mL) were removed and neutralized with equal strength of methanolic NaOH solution before being UHPLC analyzed.

2.10.2. Alkaline hydrolysis.

Alkaline hydrolytic stress was stimulated by separately solubilizing a precisely weighted quantity of SAX and MET equal to 10 mg in 2 M methanolic NaOH and refluxing the resulting solution at 80°C for SAX in a thermostatic water bath for 2 h. The appearing solution of MET was preserved in the dark at room temperature for 3 days. The adequate
aliquots of samples (1 mL) were removed and neutralized with equal strength of methanolic HCl solution before being UHPLC analyzed.

2.10.3. Neutral hydrolysis.

To address hydrolysis influence in a neutral setting, it was stimulated by separately solubilizing a precisely weighted quantity of SAX and MET equal to 10 mg in 10 mL of methanol as a stressor and preserved in the dark at room temperature for 7 days. The adequate aliquots of samples (0.1 mL) were removed and diluted with the same before being UHPLC analyzed.

2.10.4. Oxidative degradation.

Oxidative stress was stimulated by separately solubilizing a precisely weighted quantity of SAX and MET equal to 10 mg in 6 % H₂O₂ and the calibrated flask volume was made to point with methanol. The appearing solution of MET was preserved in the dark at room temperature for 3 days. The adequate aliquots of samples (0.1 mL) were removed and marked with the same before being UHPLC analyzed.

2.10.5. Photodegradation.

The photolysis was initiated by disclosing the samples of SAX and MET (spreading as a thin layer on a petri dish) to the illumination of ≥360Wh/m² at 30°C with UV radiation, i.e., for short UV-254 nm and long UV-360 nm for 6 consecutive days. The samples were withdrawn adequately, diluted before being UHPLC analyzed.

2.10.6. Thermal degradation (dry and wet heat).

The thermal (dry heat) stress was initiated by around acquainting with 50 mg of SAX and 100 mg of MET separately into a sealed ampoule and placing it into the digital controlled thermostatic hot air oven at 80 °C for 10 h. From the same, 10 mg of drugs were measured, solubilized, and diluted with a solvent system in calibrated flasks of 10 mL.

The wet heat stress was initiated by SAX and MET (1mg/mL) into the digital controlled thermostatic hot air oven at 80 °C for 5 h. From it, 10 mg of drugs were measured, solubilized, and diluted with a solvent system in calibrated flasks of 10 mL.

2.11. Validation of designed UHPLC method.

The Q2(R1) (confirmation), Q1A(R2) (stress studies), and Q1B (photolysis) procedures of the International Council for Harmonization (ICH) of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use present were employed for confirmation of the designed stability-indicating UHPLC assay method for simultaneous quantification for SAX and MET in FDC's [34–36].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Optimization of a solvent system.

With the view to resolved and quantified the SAX and MET from the degradation products and as on after from tablet matrices; are mostly meticulous based upon several variables, main polarities of SAX and MET, the solubility of drugs into specific and
combination of solvents and also on reported data on the literature. Therefore, the choice of solvent system composition for resolution and quantification of SAX and MET were carried out with different compositions of solvent systems. Principally, acetonitrile (100 % v/v) was confirmed, but both drug candidates’ early elution and splitting were observed with poor resolution. Accordingly, efforts were taken to use different proportions of acetonitrile: water extending from 90:10 % v/v to 20:80 % v/v were employed, and it was noticed that deprived separation was observed; therefore, in order to improve the resolution between the SAX and MET, potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer was tested in different proportion with acetonitrile. Consequently, an excellent resolution was noticed in the case of (85:15 % v/v) potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer: acetonitrile with extensive tailing of both peaks was sawed. So, the extensive tailing of both analytes was minimized by adjusting the pH of the buffer to 4.5 with 0.1 % OPA.

Moreover, to reduce the influence of the solvent system, the samples were prepared using the solvent system. In conclusion, the solvent system comprises a proportion of acetonitrile: potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate buffer (15:85 % v/v, pH- 4.5 adjusted with 0.1 % OPA) was demonstrated good symmetrical peaks shape, and excellent resolution of both eluents was also appropriate due to acceptable system suitability tests. The total analysis time for quantification of SAX and MET was below 4 min. The retention times (Rt) of SAX and MET were 2.687 ± 0.022 min and 1.856 ± 0.03 min; respectively, the chromatogram is depicted in Figure 2.

![Chromatogram of MET and SAX.](https://biointerfaceresearch.com/)

3.2. Validation of UHPLC method.

The optimized conditions for the resolution of SAX and MET were further explored to validate the designed UHPLC method.

3.2.1. System suitability evaluation.

To assess the quality assurance of the designed chromatographic method, the metrics of system suitability were confirmed. The tests like theoretical plates, tailing factor, and resolution were assessed with concentrations 5 μg/mL and 100 μg/mL of SAX and MET (six 100 % determinations). The standard deviation and relative standard deviation (%) for peak area and Rt were determined. The findings revealed in Table 1 are within statistically acceptable limits; in all determinations, the theoretical plates were more than 2000, demonstrating excellent column efficiency throughout the chromatographic investigation. The tailing factor was recorded to be less than 2 %, signifying the outstanding SAX and MET peak symmetries.
Table 1. System suitability testing.

| Parameters          | SAX (Rt) | MET (Rt) | SAX (TP) | MET (TP) | SAX (Tf) | MET (Tf) | Resolution |
|---------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| Results             | 2.687 ± 0.022 | 1.856 ± 0.03 | 2321.68 ± 0.12 | 6257.15 ± 0.26 | 1.42 ± 0.02 | 1.45 ± 0.04 | 4.89 |

Table 2. % Recovery and precision investigation for SAX and MET.

| Initial Amount [µg/mL, n=3] | Level of recovery study | Total Amount found [µg/mL] | % Recovery | % RSD |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------|
|                             |                         | SAX | MET | % Amount found | SAX | MET | % RSD |
| 20                          | 80 %                    | 36.03 | 359.68 | 100.19 | 99.80 | 0.83 | 0.30 |
| 20                          | 100 %                   | 40.03 | 399.31 | 100.16 | 99.65 | 0.11 | 0.19 |
| 20                          | 120 %                   | 44.15 | 441.75 | 100.66 | 100.73 | 0.92 | 0.05 |
| Concentration [µg/mL, n=3] | Precision analysis      | Total Amount found [µg/mL] | % Amount found | % RSD |
|                             |                         | SAX | MET | % Amount found | SAX | MET | % RSD |
| 20                          | 300                     | 20.03 | 298.35 | 100.20 | 99.45 | 0.65 | 0.45 |
| 30                          | 400                     | 29.97 | 397.74 | 99.92 | 99.43 | 0.36 | 0.20 |
| 40                          | 500                     | 39.91 | 500.20 | 99.78 | 100.04 | 0.88 | 0.66 |
| 20                          | 300                     | 19.89 | 299.39 | 99.47 | 99.79 | 0.95 | 0.34 |
| 30                          | 400                     | 29.85 | 400.30 | 99.51 | 99.82 | 0.49 | 0.47 |
| 40                          | 500                     | 39.64 | 503.03 | 99.11 | 100.60 | 0.51 | 0.36 |
| 30                          | 400                     | 30.00 | 397.24 | 100.02 | 99.31 | 0.22 | 0.24 |

3.2.2. Calibration plots.

The calibration plots were established using the six working solutions in the 10 – 60 µg/mL and 100 – 600 µg/mL concentrations range of SAX and MET, respectively. The calibration plots of peak area against the µg/mL concentrations for SAX and MET were plotted and analyzed using the linear regression equation to develop a relationship as a calibration curve. The equation of the calibration plots (i.e., linear regression) were \( y = 5717.8x + 12076 \) with determination coefficient \( r^2 = 0.9989 \) for SAX and \( y = 6746.8x + 131809 \) with determination coefficient \( r^2 = 0.9979 \), revealed an excellent response relationship against the concentrations in µg/mL.

3.2.3. Accuracy.

The accuracy of the designed UHPLC method was addressed with % recovery. It was investigated at 80, 100, and 120% levels. For % recovery analysis, the SAX and MET standards, three distinct concentrations were prepared and spiked with pre-analyzed tablet solution (SAX- 20 µg/mL and MET- 200 µg/mL). Every determination is injected three times and was analyzed as per design protocol. The findings % recoveries were 100.16 – 100.66 % for SAX and 99.65 – 100.73 % for MET, and RSD % levels were 0.11 – 0.92 % for SAX and 0.05 – 0.30 % for MET, respectively. The findings of % recovery studies for SAX and MET are presented in Table 2.

Table 2.

3.2.4. Precision.

The precision of the designed UHPLC method was addressed for intra-day and inter-day precision and repeatability assay variability. The outcomes of it were addressed as RSD.
The 20, 30, and 40 μg/mL of SAX and 300, 400, and 500 μg/mL of MET (three distinct concentrations of calibration of plots) were addressed using assay at different time frame on vary same day for intra-day precision and as a result of continuous for three successive days of analysis as per guideline of ICH. Likewise, repeatability variability was assessed using six determinations of 30 μg/mL of SAX and 400 μg/mL of MET concentrations. The findings of precision of assay variability for SAX and MET are presented in Table 2.

3.2.5. Sensitivity.

To calculate the sensitivity of the designed UHPLC method, LOD and LOQ were epitomized. The LOD and LOQ were calculated using standard deviation (N) of outcomes of the SAX and MET (n=3) and calibration curve slope (B). The formulae exploited were LOD = 3.3 × N/B and LOQ = 10 × N/B. Serial working dilutions of 10 - 30 μg/mL of SAX and 100 – 300 μg/mL of MET ranges have been confirmed and examined. The planned method recorded LOD and LOQ values of 0.21 μg/mL and 0.66 μg/mL for SAX and 1.16 μg/mL and 3.53 μg/mL for MET, respectively. Hence, it was concluded that the design UHPLC method had ultimate sensitivity to the solvent system.

3.2.6. Robustness.

Robustness analysis of the designed UHPLC method was carried out by attempting to make significant changes in % proportion of acetonitrile in a solvent system, the temperature of the column oven compartment, and flow rate. The influence of each of the independent variables was determined for the peak areas of SAX and MET. The selected independent variables for this analysis were varied as % proportion of acetonitrile (10 – 20 % v/v), the temperature of column oven compartment (25 – 35 ℃), and flow rate (0.3 – 0.5 mL/min).

| Parameters | UHPLC Method |
|------------|--------------|
|            | SAX          | MET          |
| **Linearity** |              |              |
| Range (µg/mL) | 10 – 60     | 100 – 600    |
| Determination coefficient (r²) | 0.9989 | 0.9979 |
| **Accuracy** |              |              |
| Average recovery (% ± SD¹) | 100.33 ± 0.62 | 100.06 ± 0.18 |
| RSD % | 0.62 | 0.18 |
| **Precision** |              |              |
| Intra-day precision (RSD² %) | 0.36 – 0.88 | 0.20 – 0.66 |
| Inter-day precision (RSD %) | 0.49 – 0.95 | 0.34 – 0.47 |
| Repeatability (RSD %) | 0.22 | 0.24 |
| **Sensitivity** |              |              |
| LOD (µg) | 0.21 | 1.16 |
| LOQ (µg) | 0.66 | 3.53 |
| **Robustness** |              | Robust |
| **Specificity** |              | Specific |

¹SD= standard deviation, ²RSD %= percent relative standard deviation.

It was recognized that selected independent variables did not positively influence the analysis of SAX and MET. Analysis of robustness experiment has been addressed with 40 μg/mL of SAX and 400 μg/mL of MET concentrations. The results of robustness experiments are presented in Table 3.
3.2.7. Specificity and selectivity.

Specificity is the process for experimentally determining the interest of the analyte in the context of components that can also be supposed to present in the sample matrix; thus, selectivity is the process for qualitatively defining the interest of the analyte in the context of components likely to be present in the sample matrix. The designed UHPLC method is quite well selective and specific. It was noticed that there was no other specific intervention was recorded around the Rt of SAX and MET; neither the baseline exhibits a substantial unavoidable noise. The summary of validation parameters is present in Table 3.

3.2.8. Application of marketed FDC's of SAX and MET.

The designed UHPLC method was successfully explored for the quantification of SAX and MET in FDC’s. The assay of SAX and MET in Kombiglyze XR (label claim SAX- 5 mg and MET- 1000 mg and label claim SAX- 5 mg and MET- 500 mg) were recorded Table 4. A higher percentage of an analyte assay is preferred when developing an analytical procedure because it allows other researchers to examine similar drug candidates or various pharmaceutical FDC types regularly.

### Table 4. Assay of marketed FDC’s of SAX and MET.

| Analytes | Marketed FDC’s | Kombiglyze XR [label claim SAX- 5 mg and MET- 1000 mg] | Kombiglyze XR [label claim SAX- 5 mg and MET- 500 mg] |
|----------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|          | Taken [µg/mL, n=6] | Mean % ± SD<sup>1</sup> | RSD<sup>2</sup> % | Taken [µg/mL, n=6] | Mean ± SD | RSD % |
| SAX      | 20              | 99.92 ± 0.62 | 0.62 | 20              | 99.92 ± 0.62 | 0.25 |
| MET      | 200             | 99.18 ± 0.21 | 0.21 | 200             | 99.33 ± 0.10 | 0.10 |

<sup>1</sup>SD= standard deviation, <sup>2</sup>RSD %= percent relative standard deviation.

3.3. Stress degradation studies of SAX and MET.

The investigating stress conditions and retention time of SAX and MET degradation products are depicted in Table 5 and Table 6. The UHPLC chromatogram of SAX and MET standard (Figure 2) represented single symmetrical peaks at 2.687 ± 0.022 min and 1.856 ± 0.03 min. Acidic hydrolysis caused one degradation product for SAX and four degradation products for MET as shown in Figure 3, three degradation products for SAX, and two degradation products for MET were formed through alkaline hydrolysis as shown in Figure 4. While at neutral conditions, SAX and MET were found to be stable. The oxidative stress testings in 6 % H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formed one degradation product for MET; no significant degradation was noticed for SAX as shown in Figure 5. At the same time, photolysis on the introduction of solid standards of analytes produced two degradants of SAX and four degradants for MET as shown in Figure 6. The thermal stress (dry and wet heat) produced four degradation products for SAX and six degradation products for MET, as shown in Figure 7.

In all cases, the anticipated UHPLC method’s capability to analyzed the SAX and MET with no obstruction from degradation products. Indicating the stability-indicating potential of the anticipated investigation addresses the specificity of the method.
### Table 5. Findings of stress studies for SAX.

| Stressor conditions         | Number of Degradants | Rt of degradants (min) | % Degradation |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| **Acidic hydrolysis**      |                      |                        |               |
| 1 M HCL reflux for 80 for 2 h | 01                  | 1.986                  | 8.73          |
| **Alkaline hydrolysis**    |                      |                        |               |
| 2 M NaOH at 80°C for 2 h   | 03                  | 2.375                  | 7.64          |
|                           |                      | 2.700                  | 9.83          |
|                           |                      | 3.155                  | 9.77          |
| **Neutral hydrolysis**     |                      |                        |               |
| At room temperature for 7 days | 0                   | Stable                 |               |
| **Oxidation**              |                      |                        |               |
| 6 % H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} at room temperature for 2 days | 0                   | Stable                 |               |
| **Photolysis**             |                      |                        |               |
| \(\geq360\text{Wh/m}^2\) at 30°C with UV radiation i.e., for short UV-254 nm and long UV-360 nm for 6 consecutive days | 02                 | 1.751                  | 3.64          |
|                           |                      | 2.946                  | 1.63          |
| **Thermal degradation**    |                      |                        |               |
| Dry heat                  | 0                    | Stable                 |               |
| Sealed ampoule consisting of 50 mg of SAX at 80 °C for 10 h | 04                | 0.788                  | 4.76          |
| Wet heat                  | 04                  | 1.286                  | 2.58          |
| Digital controlled thermostatic hot air oven at 80 °C for 5 h |                      | 2.268                  | 8.73          |
|                           |                      | 2.562                  | 12.80         |

### Table 6. Findings of stress studies for MET.

| Stressor conditions         | Number of Degradants | Rt of degradants (min) | % Degradation |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| **Acidic hydrolysis**      |                      |                        |               |
| 1 M HCL reflux for 60 for 45 min | 04                  | 1.686                  | 12.81         |
|                           |                      | 1.813                  | 19.69         |
|                           |                      | 2.157                  | 9.89          |
|                           |                      | 2.334                  | 0.99          |
| **Alkaline hydrolysis**    |                      |                        |               |
| 2 M NaOH at room temperature for 3 days | 02                 | 2.106                  | 27.39         |
|                           |                      | 2.358                  | 13.28         |
| **Neutral hydrolysis**     |                      |                        |               |
| At room temperature for 7 days | 0                   | Stable                 |               |
| **Oxidation**              |                      |                        |               |
| 6 % H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} at room temperature for 2 days | 01                 | 2.402                  | 12.53         |
| **Photolysis**             |                      |                        |               |
| \(\geq360\text{Wh/m}^2\) at 30°C with UV radiation i.e., for short UV-254 nm and long UV-360 nm for 6 consecutive days | 04                 | 1.198                  | 3.91          |
|                           |                      | 1.301                  | 10.34         |
|                           |                      | 1.668                  | 0.87          |
|                           |                      | 2.179                  | 0.91          |
| **Thermal degradation**    |                      |                        |               |
| Dry heat                  | 03                  | 0.867                  | 1.12          |
|                           |                      | 1.189                  | 2.25          |
| Stressor conditions                                                                 | Number of Degradants | Rt of degradants (min) | % Degradation |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Sealed ampoule consisting of 100 mg of MET at 80 °C for 10 h                      |                      | 1.478                  | 4.62          |
| Wet heat                                                                          | 03                   | 1.088                  | 1.67          |
| Digital controlled thermostatic hot air oven at 80 °C for 5 h                      |                      | 1.489                  | 3.81          |

Figure 3. (a) Chromatogram of acidic hydrolysis of SAX; (b) Chromatogram of acidic hydrolysis of MET.

Figure 4. (a) Chromatogram of alkaline hydrolysis of SAX; (b) Chromatogram of alkaline hydrolysis of MET.
Figure 5. (a) Chromatogram of oxidative degradation of SAX; (b) Chromatogram of oxidative degradation of MET.

Figure 6. (a) Chromatogram of photolysis degradation of SAX; (b) Chromatogram of photolysis degradation of MET.
Figure 7. (a) Chromatogram of thermal degradation (dry and wet heat) of SAX; (b) Chromatogram of thermal degradation (wet heat) of MET; (c) Chromatogram of thermal degradation (dry heat) of MET.

4. Conclusion

A novel and rapid stability-indicating UHPLC assay method was developed and successfully validated for the simultaneous quantification of SAX and MET in pharmaceutical FDCs. Complete forced degradation analysis of SAX and MET behavior was carried out under acidic, basic, neutral, oxidation, photolysis, and thermal conditions. SAX was found to be degraded in acidic, basic, photolysis, and thermal stress conditions but was stable in oxidation and neutral conditions; MET, on the other hand, was found to be degraded in all stressed conditions except neutral hydrolysis. The developed UHPLC method was cost-effective, efficient, and specific. It can be used for the quality control laboratories to quantify SAX and MET in FDC’s.
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