A possible nuclear effect on the NuTeV $\sin^2 \theta_W$ anomaly
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Abstract. We investigate a possible explanation for the NuTeV anomaly in terms of a nuclear correction difference between $u_v$ and $d_v$ distributions. Analyzing deep elastic scattering and Drell-Yan data for nuclear targets, we try to determine the correction difference and its effect on the anomaly. We find that the difference cannot be precisely determined at this stage due to the lack of data which are sensitive to the difference. Therefore, it is difficult to draw a solid conclusion about its effect although the anomaly could be explained, at least partially, by this kind of nuclear correction.

INTRODUCTION

Weak-mixing angle is one of the fundamental constants in the standard model. Using neutrino and antineutrino deep inelastic scattering data, the NuTeV collaboration found that their angle $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2277 \pm 0.0013 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.0009 \text{(syst)}$ [1] in the on-shell scheme is significantly larger than the average of other measurements, $\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2227 \pm 0.0004$. This difference is called “NuTeV anomaly”. Before discussing any exotic explanations, we need to investigate possible sources from nucleonic and nuclear structure. For example, the strange asymmetry $\bar{s} - \bar{\bar{s}}$ and QED effect contribute to the difference. In addition, appropriate nuclear corrections should be taken into account because the NuTeV target is the iron. Among the nuclear corrections, it was pointed out that the nuclear modification difference between $u_v$ and $d_v$ distributions could affect the $\sin^2 \theta_W$ determination [2]. Then, this nuclear modification is determined by using world nuclear-correction data on the structure function $F_2$ and the Drell-Yan cross section in order to find the possible origin of the NuTeV anomaly [3]. This analysis was done by using a $\chi^2$ analysis technique developed for determining nuclear parton distribution functions [4]. The following discussions are based mainly on the works in Ref. [3].

GLOBAL ANALYSIS FOR DETERMINING NUCLEAR CORRECTION DIFFERENCE BETWEEN $U_V$ AND $D_V$

From the neutrino and antineutrino deep inelastic scattering data, it is possible to obtain the weak mixing angle by the Paschos-Wolfenstein relation, $R^- = (\sigma^{\nu N}_{NC} - \sigma^{\bar{\nu} N}_{NC}) / (\sigma^{\nu N}_{CC} - (\sigma^{\bar{\nu} N}_{CC} - \lambda \sigma^{\nu N}_{NC}))$. 
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obtained at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$, where $\sigma_{CC}^{\bar{v}N}$ and $\sigma_{NC}^{\bar{v}N}$ are charged-current (CC) and neutral-current (NC) cross sections, respectively. Various correction factors to this relation are discussed in Ref. [2]. Among them, we investigate a possible nuclear correction difference between $u_{\bar{v}}$ and $d_{\bar{v}}$. These valence-quark distributions should satisfy the baryon-number and charge conservations so that they have certain restrictions [2]. In principle, they could be determined by a global analysis of world data on nuclear structure functions.

Valence-quark distributions are defined in nuclei as [4]

$$u_{\bar{v}}(x, Q^2) = w_{u_{\bar{v}}}(x, Q^2, A, Z) \frac{Zu_{\bar{v}}(x, Q^2) + Nu_{\bar{v}}(x, Q^2)}{A},$$

$$d_{\bar{v}}(x, Q^2) = w_{d_{\bar{v}}}(x, Q^2, A, Z) \frac{Zd_{\bar{v}}(x, Q^2) + Nu_{\bar{v}}(x, Q^2)}{A},$$

where $w_{u_{\bar{v}}}$ and $w_{d_{\bar{v}}}$ indicate nuclear modification factors. It should be noted that the modification factors $w_i$ are used at any $Q^2$ points in this work [2,3] although they are defined only at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$ in Ref. [4]. The difference is defined $\Delta w_{\bar{v}} = w_{u_{\bar{v}}} - w_{d_{\bar{v}}}$, and it is expressed by four parameters, $a_{\bar{v}}$, $b_{\bar{v}}$, $c_{\bar{v}}$, and $d_{\bar{v}}$ at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$ (\equiv Q_0^2):

$$\Delta w_{\bar{v}}(x, Q_0^2, A, Z) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{A^{1/3}}\right) \frac{a_{\bar{v}}(A, Z) + b_{\bar{v}}x + c_{\bar{v}}x^2 + d_{\bar{v}}x^3}{(1-x)\beta_{\bar{v}}},$$

where the value in Ref. [4] is used for $\beta_{\bar{v}}$. The parameters are determined by a global analysis by minimizing the total $\chi^2$: $\chi^2 = \sum_j (R_{j data} - R_{j theo})^2 / (\sigma_{j data}^2)$, where $R_j$ indicates the structure-function and Drell-Yan cross-section ratios, $F_2^A / F_2^{A'}$ and $\sigma_{DY}^{PA} / \sigma_{DY}^{PA'}$. The experimental error $(\sigma_{j data}^2)$ is given by the quadratic summation of statistical and systematic errors. Uncertainty of the obtained $\Delta w_{\bar{v}}$ is calculated by the Hessian method.

RESULTS

The nuclear valence-quark distributions are defined at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$ with the parameters in Eq. (1). We use the antiquark and gluon distributions which are determined in [4]. The nucleonic distributions on the right-hand sides of Eq. (1) are taken from the MRST01 distributions. The distributions are evolved to the experimental points of $F_2^A / F_2^{A'}$ and $\sigma_{DY}^{PA} / \sigma_{DY}^{PA'}$ by the DGLAP evolution equations. Then, the parameters are determined in comparison with the data so as to minimize the total $\chi^2$. The obtained optimum distribution at $Q^2 = 1$ GeV$^2$ is shown by the solid curve in Fig. 1, and the uncertainty of $\Delta w_{\bar{v}}$ is shown by the band. We find that the difference $\Delta w_{\bar{v}}$ is a small quantity. In fact, the nuclear modifications for $u_{\bar{v}}$ and $d_{\bar{v}}$ are assumed to be the same in most theoretical calculations of nuclear structure functions. The difference
could be related to QED effects on the nuclear parton distributions. However, its precise determination is not possible from experimental data because the uncertainty is an order of magnitude larger than the obtained distribution in Fig. 1.

Because the Paschos-Wolfenstein relation was not directly used in the NuTeV analysis, we need to take a weighted average over the NuTeV kinematics for discussing the anomaly. For example, there are few data in the large-\(x\) region. Fortunately, such a calculation method is provided in Ref. [1]. Because the NuTeV definition of the nuclear parton distributions is slightly different from ours, their relations should be found. According to the NuTeV convention, the nuclear distributions are defined as

\[
 xu_A^v = \frac{Z u_p^v + N u_n^v}{A}
\]

and

\[
 xd_A^v = \frac{Z d_p^v + N d_n^v}{A}
\]

where the NuTeV distributions are denoted with the asterisk (*). Comparing these expressions with Eq. (1), we find that the modification difference \(\Delta_w^v\) corresponds to isospin-violating distributions of the NuTeV collaboration:

\[
 \delta u^v = u_p^v - d_n^v = +\Delta_w^v x u_v, \quad \delta d^v = d_p^v - u_n^v = -\Delta_w^v x d_v. \tag{3}
\]

Information is given in Ref. [1] for calculating these isospin-violating distributions on the weak-mixing angle:

\[
 \Delta(\sin^2 \theta_W) = -\int dx \left\{ F[\delta u^v, x] \delta u_v^v(x) + F[\delta d^v, x] \delta d_v^v(x) \right\}, \tag{4}
\]

by using the provided functionals \(F[\delta u^v, x]\) and \(F[\delta d^v, x]\).

Using the distribution \(\Delta_w^v\) together with Eqs. (3) and (4), we obtain \(\Delta(\sin^2 \theta_W) = 0.0004 \pm 0.0015\). It should be noted that the distribution \(\Delta_w^v\) is calculated at \(Q^2=20\) GeV\(^2\), which is approximately the average \(Q^2\) value of the NuTeV experiment. The magnitude is not large enough to explain the anomaly (0.0050). However, it does not mean that the considered nuclear modification mechanism should be ruled out. There is a possibility that the error is underestimated because the error correlation effects with antiquark and gluon distributions are not taken into account. In any case, it is obvious from Fig. 1 that \(\Delta_w^v\) cannot be determined at this stage, so that a precise numerical estimation is not possible. Future efforts are needed for clarifying the nuclear modification difference \(\Delta_w^v\) and its effect on the weak-mixing angle measurement with a nuclear target.
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