Redefining the Practical Utility of Blood Transcriptome Biomarkers in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases
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Abstract

Background and Aims: The study investigates the practical utility of whole-blood gene expression profiling to diagnose inflammatory bowel diseases [IBDs].

Methods: The discovery cohorts included 102 and 51 paediatric IBD patients and controls, and 95 and 46 adult IBD patients and controls, respectively. The replication cohorts included 447 and 76 paediatric IBD patients and controls, and 271 and 108 adult IBD patients and controls, respectively. In the discovery phase, RNA samples extracted from whole peripheral blood were analysed using RNA-Seq, and the predictive values of selected biomarkers were validated using quantitative polymerase chain reaction [qPCR].

Results: In all, 15 differentially expressed transcripts [adjusted $p \leq 0.05$] were selected from the discovery sequencing datasets. The receiver operating characteristic curves and area under the curve [ROC-AUC] in replication analyses showed high discriminative power [AUC range, 0.91–0.98] for 11 mRNAs in paediatric patients with active IBD. By contrast, the AUC-ROC values ranged from...
0.63 to 0.75 in comparison among inactive paediatric IBDs and active/inactive adult IBDs, indicating a lack of discriminative power. The best multi-mRNA diagnostic classifier showed moderate discriminative power [AUC = 0.81] for paediatric inactive IBD, but was not able to discriminate active or inactive adult IBD patients from controls. The AUC-ROC values did not confirm an ability of the mRNAs abundances to discriminate between active ulcerative colitis and active Crohn’s disease in paediatric or adult populations.

**Conclusions:** This study identifies and validates blood transcriptional biomarkers that could be used in clinical settings as diagnostic predictors of IBD clinical activity in paediatric, but not adult, IBD patients.
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1. **Introduction**

Peripheral blood cells share >80% of their transcriptome with that of other tissues, and profiling of gene expression in these cells is employed in descriptive and comparative analyses of autoimmune and inflammatory diseases.1-3 Crohn’s disease [CD] and ulcerative colitis [UC] are chronic disorders that result from altered activation of intestinal immunopathological processes in response to the intestinal microbiota.4 Whereas the onset of both of these inflammatory bowel diseases [IBDs] can occur from early childhood to beyond the sixth decade of life, differences in the polygenic architecture of paediatric- and adult-onset IBD4 may indicate a relationship between genetically attributable risk and pathogenic variances in children and adults. Compared with adult-onset IBDs, paediatric IBDs are typically characterized by a more extensive disease course, a change in disease location over time, and a more frequent family history of IBD. Patients diagnosed between the ages of 20 and 30 years have a relatively less variable phenotype, and those diagnosed after the age of 60 years often have a mild disease severity.7

Previous studies revealed that gene expression profiles obtained from whole-blood cells may differentiate CD and UC from non-IBD conditions, and active from inactive CD.4 However, the practical utilities of measuring whole-blood expression levels in IBD patients have not been resolved. To date, only small populations of IBD patients have been examined,4,6-10 the results from discovery phases of the studies have not been replicated, and candidate biomarkers used in the studies have been selected from microarray-based datasets. In the current study, candidate mRNA biomarkers of IBD were screened using RNA sequencing, and the replication study was performed using polymerase chain reaction [PCR]-based testing. Both phases of the study used relatively large cohorts of paediatric and adult patients with defined clinical activities of IBD.

2. **Methods**

2.1. **Ethics statement**

All procedures involving human participants were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committees, and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments, or comparable ethical standards. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Center for Postgraduate Education, Warsaw, Poland [decision 69/PW/2011 granted on 16 May 2011]. Informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study.

2.2. **Subjects**

A total of 915 patients with IBD and 280 healthy control individuals were recruited at the gastroenterology departments of various Polish hospitals. Criteria to participate in the study included a confirmed CD or UC diagnosis. There were no exclusion criteria except indeterminate colitis or concomitant infections. Overall, 488 patients [303 children aged 17 years and 185 adults] and 427 patients [245 children and 182 adults] were diagnosed with CD and UC, respectively. Clinical characteristics and biochemical parameters available from the enrolled patients at the time of blood collection for gene expression profiling are summarized in Supplementary Table S1, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online. IBD was diagnosed by experienced gastroenterologists during a standard diagnostic work-up, using the Porto criteria modified in accordance with the recommendations of the European Crohn’s and Colitis Organisation [ECCO] in children, and according to ECCO guidelines in adults. Patients were recruited during a course of hospital treatment or during a scheduled visit at outpatient departments. The Crohn’s disease activity index [CDAI], the ulcerative colitis activity index [UCAI], and their paediatric versions [PCDAI/PUCAI] were determined to estimate disease severity.15-31 The patients were then assigned to two subgroups: patients in remission or with mild IBD were considered to have inactive disease, and those with moderate or severe IBD were considered to have active disease. Before inclusion most patients were given mesalazine, but for majority of them the blood samples were collected before additional medications [immunosuppressants, glucocorticoids, biological therapy] were ordered. The control group of children consisted of surgical, orthopaedic, or ophthalmological patients who did not suffer from inflammation or intestinal diseases. The control group of adults were healthy individuals who were mainly recruited at cancer screening programmes.

2.3. **RNA extraction**

Peripheral blood was collected using the Tempus RNA Isolation Kit [Thermo Fisher Scientific], and total RNA was isolated according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quality and quantity were analysed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer, and samples with A260/A280 ratios of 1.8–2.1 were assessed further using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer.

2.4. **RNA sequencing, reads processing, and statistical analysis**

Preparation and sequencing of the RNA-Seq libraries was performed as described previously.14 Signal processing and base calling were conducted with Torrent Suite version 5.0.4. Reads were mapped to
the hg19 AmpliSeq Transcriptome version 1 genome. Read counts per gene were obtained with HTSeq-count version 0.6.0 using default parameters. Normalization and differential gene expression estimations were performed using DESeq2, using default parameters and options.18 A gene was considered differentially expressed when the adjusted p-value was less than 0.05.

2.5. Quantitative RT-PCR
Nine genes that were statistically significantly differentially expressed and had absolute fold changes [FCs] greater than 2 [as estimated by DESeq2] between controls and patients with the active form of disease [regardless of age] were verified by quantitative RT-PCR [qRT-PCR]. Six additional genes were also chosen for verification based on the adult cohort data only. The qRT-PCR analyses were performed as described previously,17 using TaqMan chemistry. The geometrical mean expression level of the RPLP0 and UBC mRNAs was used as a normalization factor. Gene expression levels were calculated using the ∆ΔCt method, and the results were analysed using the Mann-Whitney U-test; p ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

2.6. Data accessibility statement
The RNA sequencing datasets generated during the study were deposited in European Nucleotide Archive under the PRJEB28822 accession number.

3. Results
3.1. The discovery step
To screen for candidate biomarkers, we used the Ion AmpliSeq Transcriptome Human Gene Expression Kit to sequence 293 RNA samples extracted from whole peripheral blood. Of these, 51 and 46 samples were from paediatric and adult patients with CD, 51 and 49 samples were from paediatric and adult patients with UC, and 50 and 46 samples were from control children and adults, respectively. In total, 31 patients in each paediatric IBD subgroup and seven patients in each adult IBD subgroup had active disease [with a score above 30] at blood collection. Table 1 shows a summary of the main epidemiological variables for the discovery cohorts.

On average, the RNA-Seq analyses generated 11 827 252 reads per sample, of which 88% were on target and mapped to the reference genome. The RNA-Seq data were combined according to patient age, diagnosis, and clinical activity [remission or mid-active versus active disease], and the pair-wise comparisons identified 148 and 111 differentially expressed genes [DEGs] [false discovery rate [FDR] ≤0.05; FC >2] between paediatric IBD patients and controls, and between adult IBD patients and controls, respectively [Table 2 and Supplementary Table S2, available as Supplementary data at ECCO-JCC online]. None of the mRNAs was able to differentiate between CD and UC in paediatric patients, and only 36 mRNAs [with FCs ranging from 0.7 to 1.3] were able to differentiate between these IBDs in adult patients.

To search for biomarkers with diagnostic utility, we selected 15 mRNAs that displayed the highest levels of differential expression between active IBD patients and healthy controls; all but one [KLRF1] were upregulated in IBD. This list included nine mRNAs [S100A12, OPLAH, ATP9A, ANOS1, FCGR1A, ITGGB4, UTS2R, MMP9, and COX6B2] that showed at least a 2-fold difference between the active IBD and control groups and adjusted p-values less than 0.05 in both the paediatric and adult populations, and six mRNAs [ANXA3, CACNA1E, GALNT14, IL18R1, KLRF1, and PFKFB3] that showed the highest FCs between the active IBD and control groups in the adult population. The expression levels of these mRNAs were confirmed by qRT-PCR using the same RNA samples as those used in the RNA-Seq analyses. The expression levels of all 15 genes were statistically significantly different [adjusted p ≤ 0.05] between paediatric patients with active IBD and the corresponding controls [Tables 3 and 4], and similar results were obtained for the active UC and CD paediatric subgroups [data not shown]. By contrast, none of these genes was able to differentiate between control children and paediatric patients with inactive IBD. In the adult population, the expression levels of all but one [ATP9A] from the set of nine genes, and three [GALNT14, KLRF1, and PFKFB3] from the set of six genes, were significantly different between the active IBD and healthy control groups. In addition, only one gene [KLRF1] was differentially expressed between healthy controls and adults with inactive IBD.

3.2. The replication study
Next, we assessed the diagnostic potential of the 15 genes described above using newly recruited IBD patients and controls. The replication cohorts included 391 CD patients [252 children and 139 adults], 327 UC patients [195 children and 132 adults], and 184 controls [76 children and 108 adults]. Of these, 53 and 25 of the paediatric patients and 34 and 27 of the adult patients had active CD and active UC, respectively, at blood collection. Table 5 shows a summary of the main epidemiological variables for the replication cohorts.

The transcript levels were determined by qRT-PCR, and the FCs between the IBD subgroups and control groups were compared. In the paediatric population, the levels of all 15 mRNAs were significantly different [adjusted p ≤ 0.05] between the control group and the active UC or active CD subgroups. Furthermore, the expression

Table 1. Summary of the main epidemiological variables for the discovery cohorts.

| Demographics          | Medication          |
|-----------------------|---------------------|
|                        | s-ASAs | Immunosuppressants | Glucocorticoids | Biological therapy |
| Paediatric CD          | 30/21   | 2–17 [13]          | 90.2%           | 45.1%           | 39.2% | 11.8% |
| Paediatric UC          | 32/19   | 1–17 [15]          | 96.1%           | 23.5%           | 0     | 29.4% |
| Paediatric controls    | 28/22   | 1–17 [8]           | 0               | 0               | 0     | 0     |
| Adult CD               | 23/23   | 19–69 [34]         | 86.9%           | 60.9%           | 26.1% | 32.6% |
| Adult UC               | 28/21   | 21–66 [36]         | 97.9%           | 22.4%           | 26.5% | 12.3% |
| Adult controls         | 26/20   | 38–62 [46]         | 0               | 0               | 0     | 0     |

ASA, aminosalicylic acid; UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn’s disease.
levels of 13 of the 15 mRNAs examined were significantly different between the paediatric control group and the paediatric inactive UC or inactive CD subgroup [Table 6]. In the adult population, the expression level of UTS2R differed significantly between the control group and the group comprising patients with either active or inactive UC, and the expression levels of 10 and nine mRNAs differed significantly between the healthy adults and those with active or inactive CD, respectively [Table 7]. Gene expression levels did not correlate with patients’ age, with a Kendall’s tau coefficient lower than 0.1.

Next, the diagnostic potentials of the 15 mRNAs described above were assessed using receiver operating characteristic [ROC] curves and area under the curve [AUC] analyses. ROC curves enable assessment of the relationship between the sensitivity and specificity of a biomarker over various cut-offs.\(^{19}\) AUC-ROC values greater than 0.8 were assumed to represent moderate [good] discriminative power, and those greater than 0.9 were assumed to represent high [excellent] discriminative power between the analysed groups. In the paediatric population, nine genes [ANOS1, ANXA3, CACNA1E, GALNT14, ITGB4, MMP9, OPLA, PFKFB3, and S100A12] showed high discriminative power [AUC range, 0.91–0.98] between the control group and each active IBD subgroup [active UC or active CD] [Figure 1]. In addition, ATP9A showed high discriminative power between the control and active UC groups, and FCGR1B showed high discriminative power between the control and active CD groups. By contrast, whereas several genes were significantly differentially expressed between paediatric patients with inactive IBDs and control children, the AUC-ROC values of these genes ranged from 0.63 to 0.75, and therefore did not confirm their discriminative properties. To determine whether the diagnostic ability of an mRNA signature is higher than those of the single mRNAs, we calculated AUC-ROC values for combinations of mRNAs with the best discriminatory powers. Using linear models of normalized expression values and a stepwise inclusion approach, we found that the best multi-mRNA diagnostic classifier that differentiated control children from those with inactive UC [ITGB4, MMP9, UTS2R, KLRF1, and GALANT14] and inactive CD [ANOS1, CACNA1E, MMP9, and UTS2R] had an AUC value of 0.81, and therefore displayed only moderate discriminative power. Furthermore, the AUC-ROC values did not confirm the ability of gene expression profiling to discriminate between active UC and active CD in paediatric patients, even though statistically significant differences in mRNA levels were observed between the two groups [Table 6].

Unexpectedly, none of the genes that were significantly differentially expressed between the adult IBD and healthy adults groups in pair-wise comparisons showed sufficient discriminatory power in the ROC-AUC analyses, when either single mRNAs [Table 7] or combinations of mRNAs were analysed [not shown]. In adults, the best multi-mRNA diagnostic classifiers for active UC [FCGR1B, KLRF1, CACNA1E, ANXA3, and GALANT14] and active CD [KLRF1, CACNA1E, ANXA3, and GALANT14] had AUC values of 0.78 and 0.77, respectively. As seen for the paediatric population, the AUC-ROC values did not confirm the ability of gene expression profiling to discriminate between active UC and active CD in adults [Table 7].

### 4. Discussion

Endoscopic/radiological examination followed by pathological evaluation, supported by laboratory testing of C-reactive protein [CRP] levels, the erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and faecal calprotectin [among others], is the ‘gold standard’ for diagnosis of IBD. The severity of IBD can vary from mild to severe, and its diagnosis can be delayed, especially for early-onset IBD when the clinical symptoms are ambiguous, non-specific, or indolent. Biomarkers have the potential to be useful for IBD diagnosis, identification of disease subtypes, and prognosis to therapeutic adjustment, and might therefore complement the use of clinical parameters. Candidate biomarkers are typically identified by high-throughput methods using either microarray or next-generation sequencing [NGS] technologies, and are subsequently validated by standard molecular methods. Microarrays represent a non-linear model of the relationship between readouts and actual amounts of mRNAs in the samples analysed. Due to the multiple steps involved in microarray hybridization and imaging, the results of such analyses are strictly dependent on the statistics included in the data processing. NGS can provide linear relationships between relative numbers of sequenced transcript fragments and true gene expression levels; however, this method is hampered by its bias for identifying particular subsets of transcripts and the unknown minimum size of the sequenced transcriptome required for sufficient coverage of low-abundance mRNAs. Although neither of these technologies is optimal for measuring gene expression at the global level, they are both commonly employed for discovery of candidate biomarkers.\(^{17}\)

### Table 2. Summary of numbers of differentially expressed genes in different comparisons.

| Comparison                                | DGE | FC >2.0 | % DEG |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|---------|-------|
| Paediatric active IBD vs control children | 5249| 148     | 30.35 |
| Paediatric inactive IBD vs control children| 2637| 11      | 18.19 |
| CD children vs UC children                | 0   | 0       | 0     |
| Adult active IBD vs control adults        | 1627| 101     | 11.08 |
| Adult inactive IBD vs control adults      | 4203| 0       | 30.28 |
| CD adults vs UC adults                    | 35  | 0       | 0.24  |

DGE, number of differentially expressed genes; FC, fold change; %DEG, percentage of DEGs among tested genes; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; CD, Crohn’s disease; UC, ulcerative colitis.

### Table 3. Differences in mRNAs levels from the nine gene sets selected by RNA sequencing and validated by qRT-PCR in the training cohorts.

| Comparisons                               | ITGB4 | MPP9 | COX6B2 | UTS2R | FCGR1B | OPLA | S100A12 | ATP9A | ANOS1 |
|-------------------------------------------|-------|------|--------|-------|--------|------|---------|-------|-------|
| Paediatric active IBD vs control children | 4.80E-06 | 1.40E-04 | 3.00E-04 | 4.10E-06 | 4.10E-06 | 4.70E-04 | 3.30E-06 | 5.90E-04 | 3.30E-06 |
| Paediatric inactive IBD vs control children| 8.80E-01 | 6.30E-01 | 7.30E-01 | 7.30E-01 | 7.40E-01 | 5.10E-01 | 6.30E-01 | 5.00E-01 |       |
| Adult active IBD vs control adults        | 1.50E-03 | 3.20E-03 | 6.00E-04 | 6.00E-04 | 1.80E-05 | 2.30E-04 | 1.70E-03 | 3.50E-01 | 5.50E-05 |
| Adult inactive IBD vs control adults      | 3.40E-01 | 4.30E-01 | 4.50E-01 | 5.60E-03 | 8.20E-01 | 4.30E-01 | 4.30E-01 | 5.90E-01 | 7.90E-01 |

In bold fonts are the statistically significant results with adjusted p-value <0.05.

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
Table 4. Differences in mRNAs levels from the six gene sets selected by RNA sequencing and validated by qRT-PCR in the training cohorts.

| Comparison                      | ANXA3 | CACNA1E | GALNT14 | IL18R1 | KLRF1 | PFKFB3 |
|---------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|--------|-------|--------|
| Paediatric active IBD vs control | 5.51E-05 | 1.46E-05 | 6.56E-06 | 0.003566 | 0.020675 | 0.000209 |
| Paediatric inactive IBD vs control | 0.996873 | 0.996873 | 0.996873 | 0.996873 | 0.996873 | 0.996873 |
| Adult active IBD vs control adults | 0.076794 | 0.195521 | 0.046505 | 0.878352 | 9.40E-06 | 0.046505 |
| Adult inactive IBD vs control adults | 0.529562 | 0.973081 | 0.529562 | 0.529562 | 1.49E-05 | 0.529562 |

In bold fonts are the statistically significant results with adjusted p-value <0.05.

IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

Table 5. Summary of the main epidemiological variables for the replication cohorts.

| Demographics | Medication |
|--------------|------------|
|              | Sex; [female/male] | Age; range [median, years] | 5-ASAs | Immunosuppressants | Glucocorticoids | Biological therapy |
| Paediatric CD | 102/150 | 2–17 [15] | 90.1% | 45.2% | 39.3% | 11.9% |
| Paediatric UC | 107/88 | 1–17 [15] | 97.4% | 22.6% | 0 | 30.3% |
| Paediatric controls | 32/44 | 1–17 [8] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adult CD | 86/53 | 18–70 [29] | 87.8% | 33.1% | 20.9% | 8.6% |
| Adult UC | 78/54 | 18–73 [35] | 95.4% | 23.5% | 24.2% | 18.2% |
| Adult controls | 64/44 | 43–64 [58] | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

ASA, aminosalicylic acid; UC, ulcerative colitis; CD, Crohn’s disease.

Blood cells suspended in a fluid matrix connect the entire biological system of an organism and constitute the first line of the immune defence system; hence, blood samples are often used as a surrogate for traditional tissue specimens in clinical diagnoses.7 Changes in the whole-blood transcriptome are associated with injuries and a wide range of diseases, including autoimmune, inflammatory, infectious, psychiatric, cardiovascular, neurological, and neoplastic disorders, as well as various environmental stresses.20

Microarray studies have led to the development of a noninvasive test for the diagnosis of IBD which is based on expression profiles of peripheral leukocytes.21 In 2008, Alsobrook et al. reported that the peripheral blood expression levels of a classifier set of six genes [BLCAP, UBE2G1, GPX1, RAP1A, CALM3, and NONO] are able to distinguish IBD patients from healthy controls with accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity rates of 84%, 89%, and 75%, respectively.22 To date, a few other studies have shown that expression profiling of blood samples provides a noninvasive method of distinguishing clinically active from inactive IBD;23 endoscopically active UC patients from patients in clinical remission,4 active CD from CD in remission,2 each and paediatric IBD patients in clinical remission from healthy controls.24 In sum, IBD-related blood gene expression in the previous studies has only been examined in small populations, and analyses of the DEGs generated results that could not unambiguously differentiate healthy from diseased samples. The only exception was the study of Burakoff et al.25 describing four separate gene panels which discriminated either CD or UC patients with either mild or moderate-to-severe severity from other categories with an ROC-AUC ranging between 0.89 and 0.99. Our RNA-Seq-based study performed on 293 RNA samples determined a detectable expression of 19 out of 22 of those genes, but only one, PGM1, showed a moderate [AUC = 0.81] discriminative power between the control and active CD groups.

To re-examine the practical utility of whole-blood gene expression profiling in IBD diagnosis, we identified 15 potential biomarker transcripts [S100A12, OPLAH, ATP9A, ANOS1, FCGR1A, ITGB4, UT52R, MMP9, COX6B2, ANXA3, CACNA1E, GALNT14, IL18R1, KLRF1, and PFKFB3] by sequencing RNA samples isolated from a total of 293 IBD patients and control individuals. These mRNAs were subsequently validated by qRT-PCR analyses of RNA samples from 902 newly recruited IBD patients and controls. Our findings confirmed that measurement of blood mRNA levels has a diagnostic potential for paediatric patients with active IBD, but not for paediatric patients with inactive IBD, or adults with active or inactive disease. Furthermore, although we found that analysing combinations of mRNAs has a moderate power to discriminate between paediatric inactive IBD patients and control children, we could not confirm that the accuracy of such multi-classifier mRNA signatures is higher than those of single mRNAs in adult patients. Furthermore, the blood mRNA signatures were unable to distinguish UC from CD in the adult or paediatric populations. Among 15 transcripts analysed, several encode proteins functionally connected with inflammatory processes and immune response. The S100A12, also known as calgranulin C, has recently emerged as marker of inflammation to predict IBD better than calprotectin from stool samples.2526 The FCGR1A encodes the neutrophils’ expressed CD64 receptor, and its abundance is positively correlated with immune inflammation syndromes including IBD.2728 MMP-9 is one of major metalloproteases activated in intestinal tissues of patients with active IBD,29 and serum MMP-9 levels could aid differentiation of active UC from active CD.30 IL18R1 encodes IL18 receptor, essential for IL18-mediated signal transduction. IL18 is instrumental for controlling the outgrowth of bowel microbiota; however during instances of severe inflammation, exacerbated IL-18 expression causes a loss of goblet cells, ultimately depleting mucosal barrier function leading to colitis in a mouse model.31 The KLRF1 encodes C-type lectin-like activating receptor Nkp80, which is expressed on all NK cells in the peripheral blood. It recognizes activation-induced C-type lectin [AILC] that is upregulated on activated monocytes and NK cells, and Nkp80–AILC interaction promotes NK cell-mediated control of monocytes.32 The KLRF1 was the only transcript with expression downregulated in IBD when compared with healthy controls.
IBDs are heterogeneous chronic disorders characterized by a succession of variable severity of relapses and remissions, which in turn relates to variable therapeutic decisions. In this study, the severity of IBD was assessed using the CDAI or UCASI and their paediatric equivalents [PCDAI/PUCAI]. However, although these indexing systems are commonly used due to the simplicity of collecting data for calculating the severity scores, they predominantly rely on symptomatology without consideration of other aspects of disease severity, such as inflammatory activity and structural damage. Patients with severe symptoms may have mild inflammation, and disease severity does not always reflect the true disease activity. Furthermore, IBD may co-exist with other non-inflammatory chronic intestinal conditions, such as irritable bowel syndrome. Consequently, our method of assigning the severity of IBD as mild, moderate, or severe may reflect current working practice rather than a clinically proven classification. Accordingly, the cut-off gene expression levels for distinguishing active from inactive IBD identified here should be considered with some caution. Similar problems apply to commonly used markers of intestinal inflammation, such as C-reactive protein [CRP] and faecal calprotectin. For example, up to 50% of patients with clinically active UC, and some patients with active CD, display normal CRP levels. In addition measurement of faecal calprotectin is relevant in follow-up examinations of IBD patients, but more studies are needed to establish accurate reference values for different ages, disease subtypes, disease localization/extension, and responses to therapy. The results presented here confirm

### Table 6. Comparisons of mRNA levels analysed by qRT-PCR in the paediatric replication cohorts.

| mRNA   | Ulcerative colitis vs control children | Crohn’s disease vs control children | Active ulcerative colitis vs active Crohn’s disease |
|--------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|        | AUC | Adj. p-value | AUC | Adj. p-value | AUC | Adj. p-value | AUC | Adj. p-value |
| ANOS1  | 0.96 | 1.9E-11 | 0.69 | 1.6E-05 | 0.97 | 2.47E-18 | 0.75 | 3.49E-08 |
| ANX3   | 0.98 | 1.13E-11 | 0.66 | 0.000316 | 0.95 | 2.21E-17 | 0.69 | 9.99E-06 |
| ATP9A  | 0.92 | 6.12E-10 | 0.55 | 0.223911 | 0.82 | 1.25E-09 | 0.58 | 0.074931 |
| CACNA1E | 0.96 | 1.9E-11 | 0.68 | 3.71E-05 | 0.96 | 3.95E-18 | 0.74 | 1.07E-07 |
| COX6B2 | 0.88 | 3.93E-08 | 0.63 | 0.030564 | 0.87 | 1.92E-12 | 0.62 | 0.007121 |
| FCGR1B | 0.90 | 9.3E-09 | 0.63 | 0.000313 | 0.95 | 2.2E-17 | 0.72 | 4.97E-07 |
| GALNT14 | 0.98 | 1.13E-11 | 0.66 | 0.000222 | 0.95 | 1.69E-17 | 0.68 | 2.94E-05 |
| ITGB4  | 0.83 | 1.86E-06 | 0.57 | 0.105793 | 0.83 | 4.49E-10 | 0.50 | 0.999028 |
| KLRF1  | 0.94 | 2.62E-10 | 0.71 | 5.14E-06 | 0.94 | 8.15E-17 | 0.69 | 1.23E-05 |
| MMP9   | 0.83 | 1.05E-06 | 0.69 | 1.9E-05 | 0.71 | 4.77E-05 | 0.68 | 3.01E-05 |
| OPLAH  | 0.97 | 1.13E-11 | 0.71 | 5.14E-06 | 0.97 | 2.47E-18 | 0.73 | 1.61E-07 |
| PFKFB3 | 0.98 | 2.03E-11 | 0.69 | 1.6E-05 | 0.96 | 5.68E-18 | 0.68 | 2.71E-05 |
| S100A12 | 0.97 | 1.69E-11 | 0.67 | 9.36E-05 | 0.96 | 5.56E-18 | 0.70 | 3.19E-06 |
| UTS2R  | 0.81 | 5.74E-06 | 0.70 | 1.26E-05 | 0.63 | 0.013751 | 0.70 | 8.87E-06 |

In bold fonts are the statistically significant results with adjusted p-value <0.05 and AUC values >0.8.

AUC, area under the curve; adj, adjusted.

### Table 7. Comparisons of mRNA levels analysed by qRT-PCR in the paediatric replication cohorts.

| mRNA   | Ulcerative colitis vs control adults | Crohn’s disease vs control adults | Active ulcerative colitis vs active Crohn’s disease |
|--------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
|        | AUC | Adj. p-value | AUC | Adj. p-value | AUC | Adj. p-value | AUC | Adj. p-value |
| ANOS1  | 0.58 | 0.11068 | 0.56 | 0.82618 | 0.71 | 0.001198 | 0.64 | 0.00072 |
| ANX3   | 0.54 | 0.51191 | 0.49 | 0.93717 | 0.69 | 0.003 | 0.60 | 0.00884 |
| ATP9A  | 0.58 | 0.11068 | 0.48 | 0.93717 | 0.54 | 0.571025 | 0.62 | 0.00373 |
| CACNA1E | 0.51 | 0.89914 | 0.54 | 0.93717 | 0.71 | 0.001198 | 0.61 | 0.00373 |
| COX6B2 | 0.51 | 0.89914 | 0.51 | 0.93717 | 0.64 | 0.024824 | 0.55 | 0.21516 |
| FCGR1B | 0.51 | 0.90343 | 0.56 | 0.82618 | 0.64 | 0.024729 | 0.60 | 0.01125 |
| GALNT14 | 0.53 | 0.58935 | 0.52 | 0.93717 | 0.53 | 0.653729 | 0.54 | 0.29748 |
| IL18R1 | 0.57 | 0.15341 | 0.53 | 0.93717 | 0.65 | 0.017966 | 0.50 | 0.99496 |
| ITGB4  | 0.50 | 0.94155 | 0.50 | 1.00000 | 0.71 | 0.001198 | 0.74 | 0.00000 |
| KLRF1  | 0.62 | 0.00507 | 0.60 | 0.58882 | 0.60 | 0.104351 | 0.57 | 0.06547 |
| MMP9   | 0.50 | 0.94155 | 0.48 | 0.93717 | 0.55 | 0.399874 | 0.50 | 0.99496 |
| OPLA   | 0.55 | 0.27203 | 0.55 | 0.82618 | 0.59 | 0.140337 | 0.54 | 0.32333 |
| PFKFB3 | 0.57 | 0.13534 | 0.62 | 0.46185 | 0.70 | 0.001519 | 0.61 | 0.00458 |
| S100A12 | 0.54 | 0.51191 | 0.56 | 0.82618 | 0.66 | 0.015584 | 0.65 | 0.00030 |
| UTS2R  | 0.69 | 1.7E-06 | 0.76 | 0.00079 | 0.66 | 0.015584 | 0.65 | 0.00030 |

In bold fonts are the statistically significant results with adjusted p-value <0.05.

AUC, area under the curve; adj, adjusted.
that whole-blood mRNA expression profiling may be an effective tool to monitor IBD severity in paediatric, but not adult, patients. Although this study included large groups of patients, the amounts of RNA obtained from the blood samples were only sufficient for confirmatory and replication studies on a limited number of selected transcripts. Therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility that, among the thousands of DEGs identified via high-throughput sequencing, we may have missed other potentially useful biomarkers due to methodological limitations.

In summary, this study identified and validated the use of whole-blood transcriptional biomarkers as predictors of IBD clinical activity in paediatric but not adult IBD patients. These promising results were derived from analyses of a large number of patients with a wide range of clinical activity, and were conducted simultaneously in paediatric and adult cohorts.
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