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ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is one of the main complications of diabetes mellitus associated with major morbidity and mortality. DFU is the major cause of infection and lower extremity amputations in diabetic patients. Despite this, there was a scanty finding on associated factors of foot ulcer among diabetes mellitus.

Methods: Facility-based cross-sectional study was conducted among diabetes mellitus patients at BGH from August 1, 2021 - 30, 2021. The validated tool of the Nottingham Assessment of Functional Footcare (NAFF) was used to assess the diabetic foot self-care practice. Multivariate logistic regression was used to analyze the associations between the dependent variables and independent variables. Data were analyzed using a statistical package for social science (SPSS version 23).

Results: A total of 162 respondents with a response rate of 100% have participated in the study. Of the respondents, 88 (54.3%) were females and the mean and SD of the age were 35.8 and 12.70. The prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers in our study area was 24(14.81%). The results of the multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that being a male (AOR = 2.143; 95% CI: 0.691 – 6.65), poor diabetic foot care practice (AOR = 3.761; 95CI: 1.188 – 11.90), and having a co-morbidity (AOR = 2.507; 95CI: 3.270 – 5.95)were more likely to experience a diabetic foot ulcer than their counterparts.

Conclusion: The prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers among diabetic patients in BGH was found to be high. The presence of comorbidity, being a male, and foot care practice were factors that predict the occurrences of diabetic foot ulcers. Therefore, the ongoing medical education on the foot care practices should be given to diabetes mellitus patients.

1. Background

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic and life-threatening metabolic disorder characterized by multiple long-term complications affecting almost every system in the body [1,2]. It is also classified under one of the metabolic diseases and one of four priority of non-communicable diseases that had given biggest impact on the health, social and economic status worldwide [2].

Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) is one of the main complications in diabetes mellitus (DM) with a lifetime risk of 15% in all diabetic patients and is associated with major morbidity, mortality, costs, and reduced quality of life [3-5]. As the incidence of diabetes mellitus is increasing globally, the increase in complications is also unquestionable [5,6].

Diabetic foot is defined as the presence of infection, ulceration and/or destruction of deep tissues associated with neurological abnormalities and various degrees of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) in the lower limb in patients with diabetes [7]. The pathogens involved in these infections vary from aerobic to anaerobic species, which may include Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp., Proteobacteria, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and coliform bacteria [8,9].

DFU is a major cause of infection and lower extremity amputations in diabetic patients [10]. Every 30 s a lower limb or part of a lower limb is...
lost to amputation somewhere in the world as a consequence of diabetes [11]. In addition, 28%–51% of amputated diabetics will have a second amputation of the lower limb within five years of the first amputation [12]. Patients who were prescribed inappropriate antibiotics and advanced foot ulcer grade were unlikely to heal [13]. Besides to this about 85% are preceded by foot ulceration which subsequently deteriorates to severe gangrene or infection [6].

DFU is preventable, and the frequency of lower limb amputations can be lowered by 49–87% by preventing the development of DFU. Evidence in the literature suggests that the early detection and treatment of diabetic foot complications could reduce the prevalence of ulceration by 44%–85% [4].

It is a significant cause of morbidity and can lead to prolonged hospital stays, which is evidenced by the fact that ~20% of diabetes-are critically ill and mentally incompetent who were unable to provide ulcerations and its associated morbidity [4, 17].

– amputation of the lower limb within five years of the first amputation [11]. In addition, 28% of amputated diabetics will have a second amputation somewhere in the world as a consequence of diabetes [11].

2. Patients and methods

2.2. Study participants and eligibility criteria

All diabetic clients above or equal to18 years at follow-up and who had at least one visit at an out-patient clinic and those who were willing to participate in the study were included whereas diabetic clients who are critically ill and mentally incompetent who were unable to provide the required information by themselves were excluded.

2.3. Study variables and outcome endpoints

The dependent variable includes the prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers whereas independent variables include socio-demographic characteristics like age, sex, educational level, marital status, income, place of residence, occupation and clinical factors like diabetic complications, presence of comorbidity, duration of diabetes, types of diabetes. The Wagner classification of diabetic foot ulcers was used to assess the severity of foot ulcers.

2.4. Sample size and sampling technique

Single population proportion formula was used to calculate the sample size. The sample size was determined based on “P” value which were taken from JMC, P = 11.6%(0.116)[4].

\[ N = \frac{Z_{\alpha/2}^2 \cdot \hat{P} \cdot (1-\hat{P})}{\omega^2} \]

Where \( n = \) sample size.

\[ Z_{\alpha/2} = \text{with 95% confidence interval is 1.96} \]

\[ \hat{P} = 11.6\% \]

\[ n = \text{i.e., } (1.96)^2 \cdot (1-0.116) \cdot 0.116 / (0.05)^2 = 158 \]

Since the total source population from September 2020 E C to December 2020E.C is less than 10,000, which is 2123, a reduction formula was applied as follow;

\[ n_f = n/1+(\omega n) \]

\[ n_f = 158/1+(158/2123) = 147 \]

By adding a 10% nonresponse rate final sample size was 162. To select the study participants average numbers of patients within one month who have follow-up in a diabetes mellitus clinic were taken and then the list of diabetic patients were obtained from the follow-up registration book and study participants was selected using a simple random sampling technique and interviewed.

2.5. Data collection process and management

One medical doctor; one nurse and one pharmacist were recruited as data collectors; one medical doctor was assigned to supervise the data collection process. The supervisor and principal investigator closely followed the data collection process on the spot. Data was collected by using a questionnaire. The questionnaire was distributed by the interviewer administered by face-to-face contact with the patients in the clinic during their follow-up visit. Data collectors collect all relevant information on the presence/absence of co-morbidity and diabetic complications from the case records and interviews. They record the presence of co-morbidity and diabetic complications when the patient was previously diagnosed with co-morbidity and any diabetic complications and receiving medication to treat those diseases. To maintain the quality of the data structured and validated English versions of the questionnaire were adapted and translated to Afaan Oromo. Data collector training was given for half a day on how to collect the data and the completeness of the questionnaire. All the collected data were checked daily for the completeness, accuracy and consistency by the principal investigator. Five percent of the sample was pre-tested to check the acceptability and consistency of the data collection tool two weeks before the actual data collection. The work has been reported in line with the strengthening of the reporting of cohort studies in surgery (STROCSS) criteria [20].

2.6. Data processing and analysis

The data were entered into the computer using EPI-manager 4.0.2 software. Data checking and cleaning were done by the principal investigator on the daily basis during collection before actual analysis. The analysis was done using statistical software for social sciences (SPSS) 24. IBM (International Business Machines). Descriptive data was
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generated and placed in terms of frequency and percentage. The results were expressed as proportions and as means ± Standard Deviations (SD). Multivariable logistic regression was used to analyze the associations between dependent variable and independent variables by using crude odds ratio (COR) and adjusted odds ratio (AOR) at 95% confidence level. Each variable was evaluated independently in a bivariate analysis and the association was determined using cross-tabulation and COR with 95% CI. All variables associated with the prevalence of foot ulcers at a probability level of less than or equal to 0.25 on the bivariate analysis were entered into a multivariable logistic regression analysis to control for confounders. A p-value of less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

2.7. Ethics approval and consent-to-participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Review Committee(RERC) of Mekelle University with the reference number of MEU/CHS/RERC89/2021. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the participants. The study was registered researchregistry7865 with a unique reference number of “researchregistry7865”.

2.8. Operational definitions

Foot ulcer: This phrase refers to persons with Diabetes mellitus who had a history of treatment at the leg/foot area and the wound is existed because of diabetes mellitus.

Neuropathy: It was diagnosed if the patient had at least one manifestation from the following list of manifestations: burning pain, vibration from the skin, gradual numbness, freezing, extreme sensitive to touch, muscle weakness, and lack of coordination.

Glycemic control: For purpose of this study we categorized patients based on American Diabetics. Association (ADA) recommendations into two groups:

- Good glycemic control: Fasting blood glucose of 70–130 mg/dl.
- Poor glycemic control: Fasting blood glucose of <70 mg/dl and >130 mg/dl

Peripheral Vascular Disease: It was diagnosed if the diabetic patient had at least one of the following manifestations: painful cramping in their hip, muscle cramping after movement, leg numbness, change in the color of the legs, shiny skin on the leg, sores on the toes, feet or legs that will not heal, and erectile dysfunction.

3. Result

3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics

A total of 162 respondents with a response rate of 100% have participated in the study. Of the respondents, 88 (54.3%) were females and the mean and SD of the age were 35.8 and 12.70. Regarding their area of residency about half of them, 82(50.6) were from urban. About two-thirds 56(34.6%) of the participants were completed their second year of residency.

3.2. Lifestyle approach of diabetic patients

Of the total of our participants 43(26.5%) were currently chewers. Out of 162 respondents, 143(88.3%) were performing physical exercises which could be walking jogging or running three times per week that lasts 30 minutes or more.(Table 2).

3.3. Diabetic foot care practice of diabetic mellitus patients

In our study, a total of 22(13.6%) and 86(53.1) patients with DM inspect their feet and washed their feet more than once a day respectively. Concerning the use of moisturizing cream on feet, the majority, 127(78.4%) of them had never used cream. About three-thirds of them, 109(67.3%) had rarely practiced wearing shoes without socks. Overall,

| Table 1 | Socio-demographic characteristics of diabetes mellitus patients at BGH. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables          | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| Age               |          |           |           |
| 18–27 years       | 27       | 16.7      |
| 28–37 years       | 64       | 39.5      |
| 38–47 years       | 39       | 24.1      |
| ≥48 years         | 32       | 19.8      |
| Sex               |          |           |           |
| Male              | 74       | 45.7      |
| Female            | 88       | 54.3      |
| Residence         |          |           |           |
| Urban             | 82       | 50.6      |
| Rural             | 80       | 49.4      |
| Marital status    |          |           |           |
| Married           | 53       | 32.72     |
| Single            | 55       | 33.95     |
| Divorced          | 30       | 18.52     |
| Widowed           | 24       | 14.81     |
| Occupation        |          |           |           |
| Farmer            | 30       | 18.52     |
| Merchant          | 28       | 17.28     |
| Govt employee     | 46       | 28.39     |
| Housewife         | 25       | 15.43     |
| Student           | 20       | 12.35     |
| Daily labour      | 5        | 3.09      |
| Private           | 8        | 4.94      |
| Level of education|          |           |           |
| No formal education| 28    | 17.3      |
| Primary           | 51       | 31.5      |
| Secondary         | 56       | 34.6      |
| College and above | 27       | 16.7      |

| Table 2 | Life style approach of diabetic patients at BGH. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable                          | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| Do you ever drink alcohol regularly| Yes     | 19        | 11.7       |
|                                  | No      | 143       | 88.3       |
| Do you ever smoke cigarettes?    | Yes     | 5         | 3.1        |
|                                  | No      | 157       | 96.9       |
| Do you ever chew a chat?         | Yes     | 43        | 26.5       |
|                                  | No      | 119       | 73.5       |
| Do you perform physical exercise | Yes     | 143       | 88.3       |
|                                  | No      | 19        | 11.7       |

| Table 3 | The practice of patients with DM on diabetic foot self-care at BGH, 2021. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable                          | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| Frequency of foot inspection      | More than once a day | 22 | 13.6 |
|                                  | Once a day | 99 | 61.1 |
|                                  | Weekly | 41 | 25.3 |
| Frequency of feet washing         | More than once a day | 86 | 53.1 |
|                                  | Once a day | 71 | 43.8 |
|                                  | Weekly | 5 | 3.1 |
| Frequency of checking feet drying after washing | Often | 9 | 5.6 |
|                                  | Rarely | 98 | 60.5 |
|                                  | Never | 55 | 34.0 |
| Use of moisturizing cream on feet | Yes | 35 | 21.6 |
|                                  | No | 127 | 78.4 |
| Frequency of putting moisturizing cream between toes | Daily | 22 | 13.6 |
|                                  | Weekly | 10 | 6.2 |
|                                  | Monthly | 4 | 2.5 |
| Frequency of using sandals/slippers | Most of the time | 92 | 56.8 |
|                                  | Rarely | 68 | 42.0 |
|                                  | Never | 2 | 1.2 |
| Frequency of wearing shoes without socks/stockings/tights | Often | 37 | 22.8 |
|                                  | Rarely | 109 | 67.3 |
|                                  | Never | 16 | 9.9 |
97(59.88) of patients with DM had a poor practice of diabetic foot self-care (Table 3).

3.4. Clinical characteristics of DM patients

Over the study period, a total of 166(65.43) patients had DM type two and the duration of diabetes were >10 years almost in half of 80 (49.38) of the patients. According to ADA about 93(57.41) of the patients had poor blood glucose control. Regarding the anti-diabetic medications, metformin was the most commonly prescribed that accounts 60(37.04) (Table 4).

3.5. Factors associated with the prevalence of DFU

The prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers in our study area was 24 (14.81%). Bivariate and multivariable analysis was performed between diabetic foot ulcer and independent variable. The results of the multivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that male patients with diabetes mellitus were 2 times (AOR = 2.143; 95% CI: 0.691–6.65) more likely to develop foot ulcers compared to females. Patients who had poor diabetic foot care practice had 3.8(AOR = 3.761; 95% CI: 1.188–11.90) more likely to develop diabetic foot ulcers than their counterparts. Similarly Diabetic mellitus patients having a co-morbidity had 2.5 (AOR = 2.507; 95% CI: 3.270–5.95) more likely to experience a diabetic foot ulcer than the patients who have a single disease (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The increase in the prevalence of diabetes is accompanied by an increase in its complications such as foot ulcers and lower extremity amputations [1]. This study assessed the magnitude and associated factors of diabetic foot ulcers at MKCH, south western Ethiopia. The study found that the incidence of diabetic foot ulcers amongst diabetic patients at the NRH was 24(14.81%). This is lower than the study of TASH 20.7% [1].Eastern Ethiopian hospital 21.1% [3]. The difference may be due to variation patient flows, settings and lifestyle variations of the study participants. Studies in the Gondar and public hospitals found in Gamo and Gofa zones, Ethiopia found prevalence of 13.6% and 15.5% [21, 22]. The figures are comparable, but if the differences were significant, this may be a reflection of regional variations in the prevalence of diabetes mellitus and the local operating risk factors of diabetic foot ulcer disease.

The study revealed that male patients with diabetes mellitus were 2 times (AOR = 2.143; 95% CI: 0.691–6.65) more likely to develop foot ulcers compared to females. This is consistent with the study of public hospitals found in Gamo and Gofa zones, Ethiopia [22], and Bangladesh [7]. This might be in our area males are invested their daily life outside home and farming by their bare foot that can increase the risk of trauma to their foot.

Diabetic mellitus patients having a co-morbidity had 2.5 (AOR = 2.507; 95% CI: 3.270–5.95) more likely to experience a diabetic foot ulcer than their counterparts. This was similar to the study of Eastern Ethiopian hospitals [3]. This was inconsistent with the study of Arbaminch [5]. The presence of comorbidity may increase the burden of Diabetic mellitus complications that result in foot ulcers.

Patients who had poor diabetic foot care practice had 3.8(AOR = 3.761; 95% CI: 1.188–11.90) more likely to develop diabetic foot ulcers than their counterparts. This is consistent with the study of Tolossa T et al., 2020(10). Similar reports were obtained from Gondar referral hospital [21] and public hospitals found in Gamo and Gofa zones, Ethiopia [22]. Poor self-care practice could increase the development of diabetic foot ulcers because they did not wash their own feet regularly, and did not evaluate their feet. We found a high magnitude of diabetic foot ulcers among males. As result, we expect the impact of the disease on the family’s economy since the economy of the family depends on the productivity of the family in our set-up. Therefore, the patients should be educated regarding diabetic foot ulcer care practices to reduce the prevalence of foot ulcer. Besides this, the high clinical burden of diabetic foot ulcer-like amputations could happen if the preventive mechanism was not taken for diabetic foot ulcer patients.

4.1. Strength and limitation of the study

As strength, glycemic control was assessed and as limitation, the study was a single-center and a retrospective nature. Fasting plasma glucose was used to assess the adequacy of glycemic control instead of glycosylated hemoglobin(HbA1c). Therefore, the future researchers should conduct a multicenter prospective study by using glycosylated hemoglobin(HbA1c).

5. Conclusion

The prevalence of diabetic foot ulcers among diabetic patients in BGH was found to be high. The presence of comorbidity, being a male, and foot care practice were factors that predict the occurrences of diabetic foot ulcers. Therefore, the ongoing medical education of health professional who care for diabetic foot ulcers should include information on the foot care practices and special attention should be given to patients having comorbidity.
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