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INTRODUCTION

Adverse drug reactions (ADRs) of drugs are important public health problem and one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality. As per The World Health Organization (WHO) Adverse drug reaction is harmful and unintended effect which occurs at normal doses in humans for prevention, diagnosis or therapy of disease, or for the modification of physiological functions.¹ Pharmacovigilance is defined as “a multidisciplinary field which studies the science and activities relating to the detection, assessment, understanding, and prevention of adverse reactions of drugs.”² ADRs account for 0.2%-24% of hospital admissions and 3.7% of patients have fatal ADRs. Admissions due to ADRs in elderly patients have been reported to be higher (9%). ADRs lead to a number
of medical and economic consequences, like prolong hospital stay, increase the cost of treatment and risk of death. Agrawal et al has recorded, 8.2% are likely to develop adverse drug event out of every thousand prescription. The irrational practice of medicine leads to unnecessary financial cost to patient, health service system, increased side effects, resource constraint of patient.

After the thalidomide tragedy (1960s), many voluntary schemes for reporting ADR has been introduced in different countries and reporting systems have been developed. It was recognized that ADRs are one of the major causes of hospitalization. In the past decade the safety of medicinal products has become important to the manufacturers and regulatory agencies.

Some of the pharmaceutical companies were faced with high profile safety concerns resulted in withdrawals of their major drug products or even lawsuits that cost them millions of dollars and their good reputation. The incidences of ADRs about 95% are undocumented worldwide. However, there is a lack of information on ADR burden in developing countries due to lack of resources, infrastructure, and expertise. Physicians and healthcare professionals have a very important role in ADRs reporting, however the number of reports received is insufficient due to inadequate knowledge about Pharmacovigilance. To improve the Pharmacovigilance activities in India, the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare had initiated the National Pharmacovigilance Program (NPP) on November 2004. This program is overseen by Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO), New Delhi and National Coordination Centre, Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ghaziabad.

**Pharmacovigilance program of India**

In July 2010 the New Delhi has initiated this national wide pharmacovigilance programme initiated in July 2010 by CDCSCO central drug standard control organization with help of AIIMS to monitor adverse drug reactions in national level. It was launched with a broad objective in patient safety in India. The Pharmacovigilance program functioning under the Ministry of health and Family welfare. National Coordinating Center (NCC) initiated in All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), New Delhi. Recently NCC shifted to the Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh in April, 2011 under aegis of Uppsala Monitoring Center-World Health Organization (UMC-WHO). The (UMC, WHO), Sweden is maintaining the international database of ADR reports received ADRs report data from several national pharmacovigilance centers of different countries. However, still, it is estimated that only 6 to 10% of all ADRs are reported in all over the world. Although, India is one of participating in national pharmacovigilance programme.

Pharmacovigilance is one of the developing fields in India and there exists very limited knowledge about this discipline. The Indian National Pharmacovigilance Programme lacks continuity due to lack of awareness and inadequate training about drug safety monitoring among healthcare professionals in India. Several studies were conducted in different countries to assess the knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAP) of health care professionals and reported that 82% of prescribers were aware about AE reporting systems.

**METHODS**

A cross-sectional questionnaire-based study was conducted on 90 staff nurses 90interns and 90 postgraduates of our institution Aarupadai Veedu Medical College. The study period was April 2016 to September 2017. Prior approval was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee to conduct the study. The study included with staff nurses, interns and postgraduates. Participants were informed about the aims of the study and their consent was obtained before the study was conducted and distributed the validated questionnaire duly filled questionnaire the questionnaire contained items to check knowledge including 7 questions, 9 questions to analyze attitude and 5 for practices. In addition, space was provided to give suggestions and furnish any additional information. Participants would be explained the purpose of study and requested to complete and return the questionnaire immediately. Data were analyzed using SPSS 11 software. Comparison between KAP data obtained from resident doctors and nurses was performed using Chi square test.

**RESULTS**

**Knowledge**

All the postgraduate doctors, interns and staff nurses enrolled from different medical and surgical departments in hospital viz medicine, surgery, obstetrics, ophthalmology, and gynecology, paediatrics, oto-rhino-laryngology, and dermatology completed the questionnaire. Two-third of the respondents from all the categories were aware of the existing pharmacovigilance (PhV) program in the country, but 87% of the post graduate doctors and 80% of interns and 64% nurses chose the correct definition of ADR. The post graduates interns and staff nurse had significantly (p<0.05) better knowledge on the elements of PhV.

What is a serious adverse event regarding this question 85% of postgraduates selected correct answer whereas interns 88% and staff nurse 75%interns are comparatively well known regarding this (p<0.05).
Definition of side effect almost postgraduate and interns and staff nurse has adequate knowledge in definition of side effect comparatively staff nurse marked correct answer about 70% than postgraduate 80% and interns 78% with (p<0.05).

In our study staff nurse has least knowledge regarding national ADR reporting system in India but they are fully aware about the necessity to report of ADR. Postgraduate has good knowledge regarding reporting system of ADR 88% comparatively 85% Interns and staff nurse 65% (p<0.05). Regarding the question for whom to report ADR the correct answer given by the post graduate 86%, intern 78% and staff nurse 70%. The comparison of post graduate and staff nurse (p<0.05). Definition of side effects correctly mentioned by the postgraduate 94%, intern 87% and staff nurse 78%. Comparatively post graduate and staff nurse (p<0.05). The ADR reporting to be done for the allopathic medicines/medicinal devices the correct answer given by the post graduate and interns than staff nurse comparatively (p<0.05).

**Attitude**

The necessity for ADR reporting 75% of postgraduate has given correct answer and intern about 69% and staff nurse 64% comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). Benefit for ADR reporting, postgraduate has given correct answer 85% and intern about 80% and staff nurse 74%. Comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). Regarding the ADR reporting weather, the reporting damage professional image, postgraduate has given correct answer 85% and intern about 70% and staff nurse 74%. Comparatively the post graduate, intern and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). Is there need of information on drug causing ADRs and their risk management strategies, postgraduate has given correct answer 85% and intern about 84% and staff nurse 84% comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). The preferred ADR reporting system like voluntary, mandatory and need base postgraduate has given correct answer 85% and intern about 84% and staff nurse 84% comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). The preferred ADR reporting by the postgraduate 85% and intern about 72% and staff nurse 72% comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). The pharmaceutical products to be monitored postgraduate has given correct answer 75% and intern about 65% and staff nurse 59% comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p>0.05). The conference or workshop on Pharmacovigilance improve the reporting postgraduate has given correct answer 81% and intern about 72% and staff nurse 72% comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). Preferred mode to report ADR the interns has given the answer 70%, postgraduate 78% and staff nurse about 67% given the correct answer (p>0.05). For the question regarding the reporters has worry about the legal problems 90% of post graduate shows the answer no and 81% of intern and 78% of staff nurse mentioned the correct answer (p>0.05).

Figure 1: Attitude of staff nurses (n=90), interns (n=90) and postgraduates (n=90) toward monitoring and reporting ADRs.

Figure 2: Practices of staff nurses (n=90) interns (n=90) and postgraduates (n=90).
**Practice**

How to find ADR weather need to ask from patients or their relatives or case sheet the staff nurse and intern shows correct answer about 76% and postgraduate given 72% (p<0.05). Regarding the role of healthcare worker if noticed ADR, the postgraduate given the correct answer 78% and intern 70% and staff nurse 67% (p<0.05). Based on the severity of ADR, which category need to report the post graduate given the answer correct 72%, intern 70% and staff nurse 56% (p<0.05). The need of regular discussion regarding the ADR the postgraduate said correct answer about 67%, staff nurse 60% and intern 61%(p<0.05). The question regarding the ADR to be mentioned in the case sheet the postgraduate given the answer 73%, intern 66% and staff nurse 60% (p<0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

ADRs are one of the most serious worldwide health problems globally, rational drug use lays down an ethical burden on the health care workers like doctors, nurse or midwives, etc. Our study aimed to evaluate the knowledge, attitude and practices of the nurse’s interns and postgraduates the total participants are 270. 97% of the post graduate doctors and 90% of interns and 84 nurses chose the correct definition of ADR. The post graduates interns and staff nurse had significantly (p<0.05) better knowledge on the elements of PhV. Kumari et al concluded that compared to nurses and physician have a better understanding, on attitude towards PhV and compared to nurses physician attend more seminars, conferences and read various research letters. Comparatively in our institute the percentages of knowledge is better because PhV committee conducting the meeting among the faculties and staff nurse and motivating them regularly to report ADR. Postgraduate has good knowledge regarding reporting system of ADR comparatively Interns and staff nurse they are regularly follow up the patient and monitoring the treatment. Postgraduate are mentioned majority for whom to report ADR. Definition of side effects correctly mentioned by the postgraduate than intern and staff nurse. Overall the post graduate is good in knowledge of reporting the ADR. Vinoth et al concluded that reporting of ADR is a professional obligation was better known by the postgraduates than the other groups. Our research shows almost similar feedback on postgraduate in this study. The necessity of ADR reporting majority of postgraduate has mentioned the correct answer because have more knowledge of the disease and medicines, which helps understand and analyze the necessity of the ADRs. Regarding the benefit ADR reporting the postgraduate well known compared to intern and staff nurse (p<0.05). Among the healthcare professionals (HCP) the drawback of ADR reporting is weather damage professional image, in our study postgraduate has given correct answer 85% and intern and staff nurse are almost same Rehan et al concluded that resident doctors and nurses had adequate knowledge and awareness of ADR reporting and PhV.

The doctors given majority of positive answers regarding need of information on drug causing ADR and their risk management strategies, when compared to staff nurse that shows doctors are highly exposed to various conferences, workshop and continue medical education than staff nurse.

Reporting of ADR by HCP is to be mandatory in our study postgraduate has given correct answer 81% and intern about 72% and staff nurse 72% comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05). The question of pharmaceutical products to be monitored postgraduate has given correct answer 75% and intern about 65% and staff nurse 59%. Comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p>0.05). The conference or workshop on PhV plays important role in reporting of ADR. The question was asked related to this, 81% postgraduate answered conference and workshop will improve the and intern about 72% and staff nurse 72%. Comparatively the post graduate and staff nurse the result shows significant variations (p<0.05).

The study results on preferable method of reporting ADRs by consumers in future were found to be interesting. With the increasing trend of internet usage respondents preferred online reporting of ADRs as the most convenient method. Following which 81% of postgraduate mentioned the routine procedure of reporting and 19% mentioned other mode like sending e-mail, telephone but drop box is advisable in all the aspect of documentations. Interns mentioned 76% and staff nurse mentioned 67%, the question regarding the reporters has worry about the legal problems 90% of post graduate shows the answer no and 81% of intern and 78% of staff nurse mentioned the correct answer (p>0.05). How to find ADR weather need to ask from patients or their relatives or case sheet the staff nurse and intern shows correct answer about 76% and postgraduate given 72%. The communications among the HCPs is important for intimidating to higher officials once ADR noticed to be conveyed to next level the postgraduate given the correct answer 78% and intern 70% and staff nurse 67%. HCPs will ignore the mild reactions and focusing only on the moderate and severe ADR. In our survey concluded that post graduate given the answer all ADR 72%, intern 70% and staff nurse 56%. Need of regular discussion regarding the ADR the postgraduate said correct answer about 67%, staff nurse 60% and intern 61%. ADR to be mentioned in the case sheet the postgraduate given the answer 73%, intern 66% and staff nurse.

**CONCLUSION**

This study concluded that postgraduate, intern and staff nurse had good knowledge and positive attitude towards pharmacovigilance and ADR reporting, but unfortunately the actual practice of ADR reporting is to be improved more among staff nurse and intern. This can be improved by adequate training and motivation.
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