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Abstract

This paper extends the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization of the spherical pendulum to a full quantum theory. This is the first application of geometric quantization to a classical system with monodromy.

1 Introduction

This paper is a part of a program to extend Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions to a full quantum theory of completely integrable systems. In earlier publications, we discussed several examples of systems with one and two degrees of freedom [8], [9]. In the present paper, we concentrate our attention on the spherical pendulum. Our aim is to understand quantum monodromy of this system [7]. More precisely, we want to understand how the classical monodromy emerges from the quantum theory of the spherical pendulum.

The spherical pendulum has global actions $A_1, A_2$, where $A_1$ is a continuous function of the energy $H$ and the angular momentum $L$, and $A_2 = L$. The Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions $A_1 = 2\pi nh$ and $A_2 = 2\pi mh$, where $n$ and $m$ are integers and $h$ is Planck’s constant divided by $2\pi$, give quantization of the action functions $A_1, A_2$, and determine the joint spectrum of the operators $Q_H$ and $Q_L$ corresponding to $H$ and $L$, respectively. Moreover, the pairs $(n, m)$ of integers, for which the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions are satisfied, label the basic states of a basis $\{\sigma_{n,m}\}$ of the space of quantum states $H$ of the system. In geometric quantization, each $\sigma_{nm}$ is a distribution section (a generalized section) of the prequantization line bundle with support satisfying Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions with integers $(n, m)$ [20]. This implies that the basis $\{\sigma_{n,m}\}$ of $H$ has the structure of a local lattice. This observation lead Cushman and Duistermaat to the notion of quantum monodromy [7]. In the following, we refer to the basis $\{\sigma_{n,m}\}$ as the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis.

The Bohr-Sommerfeld theory, as outlined above, does not lead to operators on the space $H$ of quantum states that are not diagonal in the basis $\{\sigma_{n,m}\}$. Though such operators exist, the theory does not relate them to...
classical functions. In his 1925 paper [13], Heisenberg emphasized the importance of operators that provide transitions between different quantum states. Since Bohr-Sommerfeld approach did not provide transition operators, it was abandoned in favour of the matrix mechanics of Born, Jordan and Heisnberg [4], [5] and the wave mechanics of Schrödinger [17]. Dirac incorporated both approaches in his Principles of Quantum Mechanics published in 1930 [11].

In [9], we showed that, if the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis of the space $H$ of quantum states of a completely integrable system has a structure of a global lattice with boundary and the action-angle variables are globally defined in the open dense set of regular points of the energy-momentum map $EM$, then the lowering operators that take $\sigma_{n,m}$ to $\sigma_{n-1,m}$ can be interpreted as quantization of $e^{-i\varphi_1}$. Similarly, the operators that take $\sigma_{n,m}$ to $\sigma_{n,m-1}$ can be interpreted as quantization of $e^{-i\varphi_2}$. In this paper, we show that the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis of the space of quantum states of the spherical pendulum has the structure of the global lattice with boundary so that shifting operators are well defined. However, due to the classical monodromy, our action-angle variables fail to satisfy the defining equation $\omega = dA_1 \wedge d\varphi_1 + dA_2 \wedge d\varphi_2$ on $L^{-1}(0)$. Thus, Dirac’s quantization conditions allow us to interpret the lowering operators as quantizations of $e^{-i\varphi_1}$ and $e^{-i\varphi_2}$ only in the complement of $L^{-1}(0)$ in $EM^{-1}(R)$, where $R$ is the set of regular values of the energy momentum map.

2 The classical spherical pendulum

In this section we describe the geometry of the classical spherical pendulum. More details can be found in [4] chpt V.

2.1 The basic system

We discuss the spherical pendulum as a constrained system. First we give the unconstrained system. Let $T^*\mathbb{R}^3 = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (\mathbb{R}^3)^*$ have coordinates $(q,p)$ and symplectic form $\tilde{\omega} = \sum_{i=1}^3 dp_i \wedge dq_i = d\theta$, where $\theta = \langle p, dq \rangle$. Here $\langle , \rangle$ is the Euclidean inner on $\mathbb{R}^3$, which we use to identify $T^*\mathbb{R}^3$ with $T\mathbb{R}^3$. The unconstrained Hamiltonian system $(H, T\mathbb{R}^3, \tilde{\omega})$ has unconstrained Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H} : T\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} : (q,p) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + \langle q, e_3 \rangle.$$ 

Here $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^3$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Now constrain the system $(\tilde{H}, T\mathbb{R}^3, \tilde{\omega})$ to the tangent bundle $TS^2 = \{(q,p) \in T\mathbb{R}^3 \mid \langle q, q \rangle = 1 \& \langle q, p \rangle = 0\}$ of the
2-sphere $S^2$ with symplectic form $\omega = \tilde{\omega}|_{TS^2}$. Again we use the Riemannian metric on $S^2$ induced from the Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^3$ to identify the cotangent bundle $T^*S^2$ with the tangent bundle $TS^2$. The constrained Hamiltonian is $H = H|_{TS^2}$, that is,

$$H : TS^2 \subseteq T\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} : (q,p) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + \langle q, e_3 \rangle. \quad (1)$$

The classical spherical pendulum is the Hamiltonian system $(H,TS^2,\omega)$. The integral curves of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_H$ of the Hamiltonian $H$ satisfy

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = p \quad (2a)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -e_3 + (\langle q, e_3 \rangle - \langle p, p \rangle)q \quad (2b)$$

on $T\mathbb{R}^3$. Since $TS^2$ is an invariant manifold of $(2a)-(2b)$, it follows that they define the integral curves of a vector field $X_H = X_{H|_{TS^2}}$, which governs the motion of the spherical pendulum. A calculation shows that $H = H|_{TS^2}$ and $L = L|_{TS^2}$ are constants of motion of the vector field $X_H$.

### 2.2 Reduction of symmetry

The angular momentum $L$ of the unconstrained system $(\tilde{H},T\mathbb{R}^3,\tilde{\omega})$ is a constant of motion, because the unconstrained Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ is invariant under the $S^1$-action

$$\tilde{\Phi} : S^1 \times T\mathbb{R}^3 \to T\mathbb{R}^3 : (s,(q,p)) \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}_s(q,p) = (R_s q, R_s p),$$

where $R_s = \begin{pmatrix} \cos s & \sin s & 0 \\ -\sin s & \cos s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $R_s$ is a rotation, $TS^2$ is invariant under $\tilde{\Phi}_s$. The infinitesimal generator of the $S^1$-action restricted to $TS^2$ is $X_L|_{TS^2}$, whose integral curves satisfy

$$\frac{dq}{ds} = -q \times e_3 \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{dp}{ds} = -p \times e_3. \quad (3b)$$

So the constrained Hamiltonian $H$ is invariant under the $S^1$-action

$$\Phi : S^1 \times TS^2 \to TS^2 : (s,(q,p)) \mapsto \Phi_s(q,p) = (R_s q, R_s p). \quad (4)$$
The invariance of the constrained angular momentum \( L = \bar{L}|TS^2 \) under the 
\( S^1 \)-symmetry \( \Phi \), shows that it is an integral of the spherical pendulum. Thus the spherical pendulum is an integrable system \((H, L, TS^2, \omega)\).

Using invariant theory we reduce the \( S^1 \)-symmetry of the spherical pendulum. First observe that the algebra of polynomials on \( T\mathbb{R}^3 \), which are invariant under the \( S^1 \)-action \( \Phi \), is generated by

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_1 &= q_3, & \pi_2 &= p_3, & \pi_3 &= p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2, \\
\pi_4 &= q_4^2 + q_5^2, & \pi_5 &= q_1p_1 + q_2p_2, & \pi_6 &= q_1p_2 - q_2p_1,
\end{align*}
\]

subject to the relation

\[
\pi_5^2 + \pi_6^2 = \pi_4(\pi_3 - \pi_2^2), \quad \text{where } (\pi_3 - \pi_2^2) \geq 0 \text{ and } \pi_4 \geq 0. \quad (5b)
\]
The algebra of polynomials invariant under the \( S^1 \)-action \( \Phi \) on \( TS^2 \) is generated by \((\pi_i)|_{TS^2}\) for \( 1 \leq i \leq 6 \), which we will denote by \( \pi_i \), subject to the additional relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_4 + \pi_1^2 &= 1, \\
\pi_5 + \pi_1\pi_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

These relations are just the defining equations of \( TS^2 \) expressed in terms of invariants. Eliminating \( \pi_4 \) and \( \pi_5 \) from the relation \((5b)\) using \((5c)\) gives

\[
\pi_2^2 + \pi_6^2 = \pi_3(1 - \pi_1^2), \quad \text{where } -1 \leq \pi_1 \leq 1 \text{ and } \pi_3 \geq 0, \quad (6)
\]

which defines \( W = TS^2/S^1 \), the space of \( S^1 \) orbits of the action \( \Phi \) on \( TS^2 \). In terms of invariants the space \( L^{-1}(\ell) \) is defined by \( \pi_6 = \ell \). Thus after removing the \( S^1 \) symmetry of the spherical pendulum, the reduced phase space \( P_\ell = L^{-1}(\ell)/S^1 \) is the subvariety of \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) with coordinates \((\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)\) given by

\[
\pi_3^2 + \ell^2 = \pi_3(1 - \pi_1^2), \quad \text{where } -1 \leq \pi_1 \leq 1 \text{ and } \pi_3 \geq 0. \quad (7)
\]

Since the Hamiltonian \( H \) of the spherical pendulum is invariant under the \( S^1 \)-action \( \Phi \), it induces the reduced Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H}_\ell : P_\ell \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} : (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \pi_3 + \pi_1. \quad (8)
\]

When \( \ell \neq 0 \), then \( -1 < \pi_1 < 1 \). So equation \((7)\) may be written as \( \pi_3 = \frac{\pi_2^2 + \ell^2}{1 - \pi_1^2} \), where \(|\pi_1| < 1 \). Thus \( P_\ell, \ell \neq 0 \), is diffeomorphic to \( \mathbb{R}^2 = (-1, 1) \times \mathbb{R} \) with coordinates \((\pi_1, \pi_2)\) via the diffeomorphism

\[
\psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \to P_\ell \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 : (\pi_1, \pi_2) \to (\pi_1, \pi_2, \frac{\pi_2^2 + \ell^2}{1 - \pi_1^2}). \quad (9)
\]
On $\mathbb{R}^2$ the reduced Hamiltonian becomes
\[
H_\ell = \psi^* \hat{H}_\ell : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} : (\pi_1, \pi_2) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ell^2}{1 - \pi_1^2} \pi_2^2 + V_\ell(\pi_1),
\]
(10)
where $V_\ell(\pi_1) = \pi_1 + \frac{\ell^2}{2(1 - \pi_1^2)}$, $\ell \neq 0$.

To complete the reduction process, we determine the symplectic structure on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and the reduced equations of motion. We begin with finding the symplectic form on the reduced phase space. The Poisson bracket $\{ , \}_{\mathbb{R}^6}$ has structure matrix $W_{\mathbb{R}^6}$ given in table 2.1.

| $\{A, B\}_{\mathbb{R}^6}$ | $\pi_1$ | $\pi_2$ | $\pi_3$ | $\pi_4$ | $\pi_5$ | $\pi_6$ | $B$ |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| $\pi_1$                 | 0      | 1      | $2\pi_2$ | 0      | 0      | 0      |      |
| $\pi_2$                 | -1     | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0      |      |
| $\pi_3$                 | $-2\pi_2$ | 0     | 0       | $-4\pi_5$ | 2($\pi_2^2 - \pi_3$) | 0     |      |
| $\pi_4$                 | 0      | 0      | $4\pi_5$ | 0      | $2\pi_4$ | 0     |      |
| $\pi_5$                 | 0      | 0      | $-2(\pi_2^2 - \pi_3$) | $-2\pi_4$ | 0      | 0      |      |
| $\pi_6$                 | 0      | 0      | 0       | 0      | 0      | 0      |      |

$A$

Table 2.1. Structure matrix $W_{\mathbb{R}^6}$ for $\{ , \}_{\mathbb{R}^6}$.

Using table 2.1 we find that $\{\pi_4 + \pi_2^2, \pi_5 + \pi_1\pi_2\}_{\mathbb{R}^6}|_W = 2$. So we may use Dirac brackets to compute the Poisson bracket $\{ , \}_{W}$ on the orbit space $W = TS^1/S^1$. We obtain the skew symmetric structure matrix $W_W$ for the Poisson bracket $\{ , \}_W$ is given in table 2.2.

| $\{A, B\}_W$ | $\pi_1$ | $\pi_2$ | $\pi_3$ | $\pi_6$ | $B$ |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| $\pi_1$      | 0      | $1 - \pi_1^2$ | $2\pi_2$ | 0      |      |
| $\pi_2$      | $-(1 - \pi_1^2)$ | 0     | $-2\pi_1\pi_3$ | 0     |      |
| $\pi_3$      | $-2\pi_2$ | $2\pi_1\pi_3$ | 0      | 0      |      |
| $\pi_6$      | 0      | 0      | 0      | 0      |      |

$A$

Table 2.2. Structure matrix $W_W$ for $\{ , \}_W$.

Because $\pi_6$ Poisson commutes with every smooth function on $W$, the structure matrix $W_{P_\ell}$ for the Poisson bracket $\{ , \}_{P_\ell}$ on $P_\ell$ is given in table 2.3.
In this section we determine the topology of the set of regular values, which lie in the image of the energy-momentum mapping

\[ \mathcal{E} \mathcal{M} : TS^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 : (q,p) \mapsto (H(q,p), L(q,p)) = \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + \langle q, e_3 \rangle, q_1 p_2 - q_2 p_1 \right) \]
of the spherical pendulum.

First we determine the set of critical values of the energy momentum map. The pair \((h, \ell)\) is a critical value of \(\mathcal{EM}\) if and only if the \(h\)-level set of the reduced Hamiltonian \(H_\ell\), that is, the \(2\)-plane \(\frac{1}{2} \pi_3 + \pi_1 = h\) in \(\mathbb{R}^3\) with coordinates \((\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)\), intersects the reduced space \(P_\ell \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3\), defined by \(\pi_2^2 + \ell^2 = \pi_3(1 - \pi_1^2)\) with \(|\pi_1| \leq 1\) and \(\pi_3 \geq 0\), at a point of multiplicity greater than 1. In other words, the polynomial

\[Q(\pi_1, \pi_2) = \pi_2^2 - (2(h - \pi_1)(1 - \pi_1^2) - \ell^2) = \pi_2^2 - P_{h,\ell}(\pi_1),\]

which is obtained by eliminating \(\pi_3\) from the defining equation of \(P_\ell\), has a multiple root \((\pi_1, \pi_2) \in [−1, 1] \times \mathbb{R}\), that is, \(0 = Q(\pi_1, \pi_2)\) and \((0, 0) = DQ(\pi_1, \pi_2) = (-P_{h,\ell}'(\pi_1), 2\pi_2)\). Clearly \(\pi_2 = 0\) and \(\pi_1\) is a multiple root of \(P_{h,\ell}\) in \([−1, 1]\). Let \(\Delta\) be the discriminant of \(P_{h,\ell}\), that is, \(\Delta = \gcd(P_{h,\ell}, P_{h,\ell}')\). The set of all \((h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R}^2\) such that \(P_{h,\ell}\) has a multiple root in \([−1, 1]\) is the discriminant locus \(\{\Delta = 0\}\) of \(P_{h,\ell}\). Suppose that \((h, \ell) \in \{\Delta = 0\}\), then for some \(s \in [−1, 1]\) and \(t \in \mathbb{R}\) we may write \(P_{h,\ell}(\pi_1) = 2(\pi_1 - s)^2(\pi_1 - t)\). Equating the coefficients of like powers of \(\pi_1\) in the preceding equality gives

\[
\begin{align*}
h &= 2s + t \\
-1 &= s^2 + 2st \\
2h - \ell^2 &= -2ts^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Eliminating \(t\) from (13) gives the following parametrization of the discriminant locus \(\{\Delta = 0\}\)

\[
(h(s), \ell(s)) = \left(\frac{3}{2}s - \frac{1}{2} s^2, \pm(1 - s^2)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - s^2}}\right), \text{ where } s \in [−1, 0) \cup \{1\},
\]

see figure 1. Thus \(\{\Delta = 0\}\) is the union of two curves \(B_\pm\), which go to \(+\infty\) as \(s \nearrow 0\), are reflections in the \(h\)-axis of each other, meet at a right angle and end when \(s = -1\), that is, when \((h, \ell) = (-1, 0)\). Otherwise they do not intersect. When \(s = 1\) we obtain the isolated point \((1, 0)\). The image of the energy momentum mapping \(\mathcal{EM}\) of the spherical pendulum is the closed subset of \(\mathbb{R}^2\) bounded by the curves \(B_\pm\), which contains the point \((1, 0)\). The set \(R\) of regular values in the image of \(\mathcal{EM}\) is the interior of the image of \(\mathcal{EM}\) with the point \((1, 0)\) removed. Thus \(R\) is diffeomorphic to an open 2-disk with its center deleted and so is not simply connected.
Figure 1. The set R regular values of the energy momentum map of the spherical pendulum.

Actually, the argument above gives the following statification of the range of the energy momentum map $\mathcal{EM}$, which is a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$.

1. The set of regular values $R$ is the top 2-dimensional stratum. Here $\mathcal{EM}^{-1}(r)$ for $r \in R$ is a smooth 2-dimensional torus.

2. The two curves $B_{\pm}$, excluding the point $(-1,0)$ are two 1-dimensional strata. Here $\mathcal{EM}^{-1}(b)$ for $b \in B_{\pm} \setminus \{(-1,0)\}$ is a smooth circle.

3. There are two 0-dimensional strata: the points $(-1,0)$ and $(1,0)$. Here $\mathcal{EM}^{-1}(-1)$ is a point; while $\mathcal{EM}^{-1}(1,0)$ is an immersed 2-sphere in $\mathbb{R}^4$ with one normal crossing, in other words, a once pinched 2-torus.

The orbit map of the $S^1$-action $\Phi$ on $L^{-1}(\ell)$ is given by

$$\tilde{\rho} : L^{-1}(\ell) \subseteq TS^2 \to P_\ell \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 : (q,p) \mapsto (\pi_1(q,p), \pi_2(q,p), \pi_3(q,p)).$$

Suppose that $(h,\ell) \in R$. Then the $h$-level set of the reduced Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_\ell : P_\ell \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R} : (\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \pi_3 + \pi_1$ is

$$\{ (\pi_1, \pi_2, 2(h - \pi_1)) \in P_\ell \mid \pi_3^2 = P_{h,\ell}(\pi_1), \text{ where } -1 < \pi^-_1 \leq \pi_1 \leq \pi^+_1 < 1 \}.$$

Here $\pi^{\pm}_1$ are consecutive roots of the polynomial $P_{h,\ell}$ in $[-1,1]$. Thus $(\hat{H}_\ell)^{-1}(h) = \tilde{\rho}(H^{-1}(h) \cap L^{-1}(\ell))$ is a smooth submanifold of $P_\ell$, which is diffeomorphic to a circle. From the construction of the $S^1$-orbit space $P_\ell$ it follows that $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}((\hat{H}_\ell)^{-1}(h))$ is the total space of an $S^1$-bundle $\Sigma$ with base $S^1 = (\hat{H}_\ell)^{-1}(h)$. Because $P_\ell$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$, we deduce that $(\hat{H}_\ell)^{-1}(h)$ is contractible to a point in $P_\ell$. Thus $\Sigma$ is a product bundle, which implies that $\tilde{\rho}^{-1}((\hat{H}_\ell)^{-1}(h))$ is diffeomorphic to a smooth 2-torus
\( T^2_{h,\ell} = H^{-1}(h) \cap L^{-1}(\ell) = EM^{-1}(h, \ell) \). Consequently, we have a smooth fibration

\[ \pi = EM|_{EM^{-1}(R)} : EM^{-1}(R) \to R \]

whose fiber over \((h, \ell) \in R\) is the smooth torus \( T^2_{h,\ell} \). Since every fiber of this mapping is compact, the fibration is locally trivial. Moreover, the bundle \( \pi|_{B_{\pm}} : EM^{-1}(B_{\pm}) \to B_{\pm} \) is a trivial \( S^1 \) bundle over \( R \) because each curve \( B_{\pm} \) is contactible to a point. An integral curve of \( X_L \) on \( EM^{-1}(B_{\pm}) \), which parametrizes a fiber of the bundle \( \pi|_{B_{\pm}} \) is noncontractible curve and is the limit of an integral curve of \( X_L \) on \( T^2_{h,\ell} \) when \((h, \ell) \in R \) converges to a point on \( B_{\pm} \).

### 2.4 Action-angle coordinates

In this section we construct local action-angle coordinates for the spherical pendulum.

The smooth locally trivial 2-torus fibration \( \pi : EM^{-1}(R) \to R \) satisfies the hypotheses of the action-angle coordinate theorem for the integrable Hamiltonian system \((H, L, TS^2, \omega)\) describing the spherical pendulum, see [6, chpt IX]. Thus about each \((h, \ell) \in R\) there is an open neighborhood \( V \) in \( R \) and an open neighborhood \( U = EM^{-1}(V) \) in \( TS^2 \) of the 2-torus \( T^2_{h,\ell} = EM^{-1}(h, \ell) \) and a diffeomorphism

\[ \varphi : U \subseteq TS^2 \to \varphi(U) = V \times \mathbb{T}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2 : (q, p) \mapsto (A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2), \]

where \( \mathbb{T}^2 \) is the affine 2-torus \( \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi \mathbb{Z})^2 \), such that

1. The symplectic form \( \omega \) on \( TS^2 \) when restricted to \( U \) is exact and \( \varphi_* (\omega|_U) = \sum_{i=1}^2 dA_i \wedge d\varphi_i > 0 \).

2. The actions \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) are smooth functions of \( H \) and \( L \) on \( U \).

3. The vector field \( \varphi_* (X_H|_U) \) is Hamiltonian on \((V \times \mathbb{T}^2, \sum_{i=1}^2 dA_1 \wedge d\varphi_i)\), corresponding to the Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} = \varphi_* (H|_U) \). Moreover, the integral curves of \( X_{\mathcal{H}} \) satisfy

\[
\frac{d\varphi_1}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial A_1} \quad \frac{d\varphi_2}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial A_2} \\
\frac{dA_1}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \varphi_1} = 0 \quad \frac{dA_2}{dt} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \varphi_2} = 0.
\]
Choose $\mathcal{V}$ sufficiently small so that the fibration $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is trivial. Then we have the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \\
\downarrow_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{U}}} & & \downarrow_{\pi} \\
\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{(A_1, A_2)} & \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2
\end{array}
$$

where $\pi: \mathcal{V} \times \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 : (A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) \mapsto (A_1, A_2)$. Thus the bundle $\pi$ is a local trivialization of the bundle $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}|_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})}$.

We now construct the action functions for the spherical pendulum. According to the proof of the action-angle coordinate theorem in [6], the action functions $A_i$ on $\mathcal{U}$ are constructed by finding linear combinations on $\mathcal{U}$ of the vector fields $X_{H_U}$ and $X_{L_u}$, whose coefficients are smooth functions on $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{V}$, which have periodic flow of period $2\pi$ when restricted to $T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}$. Here $(\hat{h}, \hat{\ell}) = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}(u)$ with $u \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $X_L$ has periodic flow of period $2\pi$ on $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq TS^2$, we may define the second action function on $\mathcal{U}$ as $A_2 = L$.

To construct the first action function $A_1$ we need to determine certain functions related to the flows $(\varphi^H_t)|_{T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}}$ and $(\varphi^L_s)|_{T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}}$ of the vector fields $X_H$ and $X_L$ on $T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}$. For $u \in T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}$ let $\Gamma_1 : [0, 2\pi] \to T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}} : s \mapsto \varphi^L_s(u)$. Because the flow of $X_L$ on $T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}$ is periodic of period $2\pi$, $\Gamma_1$ is a closed curve on $T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}$. Since $X_H(u)$ is nonzero and is transverse to $X_L(u)$ for every $u \in T^2_{\hat{h},\hat{\ell}}$ and because $(\hat{h}, \hat{\ell})$ is a regular value of $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}$, the integral curve $\Gamma_2 : t \mapsto \varphi^H_t(u)$, which starts at $u \in \Gamma_1([0, 2\pi])$, has a unique positive first time $T$ for which $\varphi^H_T(u) \in \Gamma_1([0, 2\pi])$. The time $T$ is a smooth function of $(\hat{h}, \hat{\ell})$ and does not depend on the choice of starting point $u$ in $\Gamma_1([0, 2\pi])$, because it is the period of the reduced vector field $X_{\hat{H}_{\ell}}$ on $(\hat{H}_{\ell})^{-1}(h) \subseteq \hat{P}_{\ell}$. Let $\Theta$ be the smallest positive time it takes the curve $\hat{\Gamma} : s \mapsto \varphi^L_s(\varphi^H_T(u))$ to return to $u$, that is, $\varphi^L_{-\Theta}(\varphi^H_T(u)) = u$. The function $\Theta$ does not depend on the choice of the point $u$ and is a smooth function of $(\hat{h}, \hat{\ell})$.

To find an explicit expression for the push forward $A_1$ of first action $A_1$ to the image of the energy momentum mapping, consider the formula

$$
A_1(h, \ell) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \langle p, dq \rangle|_{TS^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_1} \langle p, dq \rangle|_{TS^2} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_2} \langle p, dq \rangle|_{TS^2},
$$
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where $\Gamma : [0, 2\pi] \to TS^2$ is a closed path in $T^2_{h,\ell}$ starting at $(q, p)$, which is a sum of two paths $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ on $T^2_{h,\ell}$. Suppose that $(h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R}$. Choose the paths $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ to be

$$\Gamma_1 : [0, 2\pi] \to T^2_{h,\ell} \subseteq TS^2 : t' \mapsto \varphi^{\tilde{T}^1_{(h,\ell)}}(q, p) = (q(t'), p(t')),$$

and

$$\Gamma_2 : [0, 2\pi] \to T^2_{h,\ell} \subseteq TS^2 : s' \mapsto \varphi_{-\tilde{\Theta}^1_{(h,\ell)}}(q, p) = (q(s'), p(s')),$$

respectively. Here $\tilde{T}(h, \ell) = T(h, \ell)/(2\pi)$ and $\tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell) = \Theta(h, \ell)/(2\pi)$. Using the path parameters as integration variables, we get

$$2\pi A_1(h, \ell) = \int_0^{2\pi} \langle p, \frac{dq}{dt'} \rangle \, dt' + \int_0^{2\pi} \langle p, \frac{dq}{ds'} \rangle \, ds'$$

changing integration variable to the dynamical times $t = t' \tilde{T}(h, \ell)$ and $s = -s' \tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell)$. Here

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = X_H(q, p) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} q \\ p \end{pmatrix} = X_L(q, p).$$

Using (2a) and (3a) we obtain

$$2\pi A_1(h, \ell) = \int_0^{T(h, \ell)} \langle p, p \rangle \, dt + \int_0^{-\Theta(h, \ell)} \langle p, -q \times e_3 \rangle \, ds$$

$$= \int_0^{T(h, \ell)} \pi_3 \, dt - \Theta(h, \ell)\ell, \quad \text{since} \quad L = \langle q \times p, e_3 \rangle = \ell \quad \text{on} \quad T^2_{h,\ell}.$$ 

But $h = H_\ell = \frac{1}{2} \pi_3 + \pi_1$ and $d\ell = \frac{1}{\pi_2} d\pi_1$, using (11a). So

$$\int_0^{T(h, \ell)} \pi_3 \, dt = 2 \int_0^{T(h, \ell)} (h - \pi_1) \, dt$$

$$= 2hT(h, \ell) - 4 \int_{\pi_1^-}^{\pi_1^+} \frac{\pi_1}{\sqrt{2(h - \pi_1)(1 - \pi_1^2) - \ell^2}} \, d\pi_1.$$
Therefore, when \((h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R}\),
\[
2\pi A_1(h, \ell) = 2h T(h, \ell) - 2\pi I(h, \ell) - \ell \Theta(h, \ell), \tag{16}
\]
where
\[
2\pi I(h, \ell) = 4 \int_{\pi_1^-}^{\pi_1^+} \frac{\pi_1}{\sqrt{2(h - \pi_1^1)(1 - \pi_1^2)}} \, d\pi_1 \tag{17}
\]
Since
\[
2\pi \tilde{T}(h, \ell) = T(h, \ell) = 2 \int_{\pi_1^-}^{\pi_1^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(h - \pi_1^1)(1 - \pi_1^2)}} \, d\pi_1 \tag{18a}
\]
and
\[
2\pi \tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell) = \Theta(h, \ell) = 2 \ell \int_{\pi_1^-}^{\pi_1^+} \frac{1}{(1 - \pi_1^2)\sqrt{2(h - \pi_1^1)(1 - \pi_1^2)}} \, d\pi_1, \tag{18b}
\]
we may rewrite the right hand side of (16) as
\[
A_1(h, \ell) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi_1^-}^{\pi_1^+} \frac{2(h - \pi_1^1)(1 - \pi_1^2) - \ell^2}{(1 - \pi_1^2)\sqrt{2(h - \pi_1^1)(1 - \pi_1^2)}} \, d\pi_1
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi_1^-}^{\pi_1^+} \frac{\sqrt{2(h - \pi_1^1)(1 - \pi_1^2) - \ell^2}}{1 - \pi_1^2} \, d\pi_1. \tag{19}
\]
Using (19) straightforward calculation shows that
\[
\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h} = \tilde{T} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \ell} = -\tilde{\Theta} \tag{20}
\]
on \(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\ell = 0\}\). The reason for cutting the line \(\{\ell = 0\}\) out of \(\mathbb{R}\) is that the function \(\tilde{\Theta}\) has a jump discontinuity there, see fact 2.2. Consequently, \(\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \ell}\) is not defined on \(\mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell = 0\}\).

**Proposition 2.1** On \(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\) the function \(A_1 = (\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M})^* A_1\) is an action.

**Proof.** Suppose that \((h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\ell = 0\}\). We have to show that the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field \(X_{A_1}\) is periodic of period \(2\pi\). From (20) it follows that
\[
dA_1(h, \ell) = \tilde{T}(h, \ell) \, dh - \tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell) \, d\ell.
\]
Pulling back the preceding equation by the energy momentum mapping \(\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}\) gives
\[
dA_1(q, p) = \tilde{T}(h, \ell) \, dH(q, p) - \tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell) \, dL(q, p),
\]
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for every \((q,p) \in T^2_{h,\ell} \subseteq TS^2\). Applying the map \(\omega^p(q,p)\) yields

\[
X_{A_1}(q,p) = \tilde{T}(h,\ell)X_H(q,p) - \tilde{\Theta}(h,\ell)X_L(q,p)
\] (21)
on \(T^2_{h,\ell}\). On \(T^2_{h,\ell}\) the flow \(\varphi^H_t\) of \(X_H\) commutes with the flow \(\varphi^L_t\) of \(X_L\). Thus the flow of \(X_{A_1}\) on \(T^2_{h,\ell}\) is given by

\[
\varphi^{A_1}_t(q,p) = \varphi^L_{-\tilde{\Theta}(h,\ell)t} \circ \varphi^H_{\tilde{T}(h,\ell)t}(q,p).
\]

The preceding flow is periodic of period \(2\pi\) because

\[
\varphi^{A_1}_{2\pi}(q,p) = \varphi^L_{-2\pi\tilde{\Theta}(h,\ell)} \circ \varphi^H_{2\pi\tilde{T}(h,\ell)}(q,p) = \varphi^L_{-\tilde{\Theta}(h,\ell)} \circ \varphi^H_{\tilde{T}(h,\ell)}(q,p) = (q,p).
\]

The last equality above follows from the definition of the time \(T(h,\ell)\) of first return and the definition of the rotation number of the flow of \(X_H\) on \(T^2_{h,\ell}\). Therefore \(A_1\) is an action function on \(T^2_{h,\ell}\) with \((h,\ell) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\ell = 0\}\).

For \((h,0) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell = 0\}\) the action \(A_1\) (19) is well defined, but the Hamiltonian vector field \(X_{A_1}\) on \(T^2_{h,0}\) has a jump discontinuity along \(T^2_{h,0} \cap L^{-1}(0)\) because for \((q,p) \in T^2_{h,0}\)

\[
X_{A_1}(q,p) = \begin{cases} 
\tilde{T}(h,0)X_H(q,p) \pm \frac{1}{2} X_L(q,p), & \text{if } -1 < h < 1 \\
\tilde{T}(h,0)X_H(q,p) \pm X_L(q,p), & \text{if } h > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

see (21) and fact 2.2. However, the flow of \(X_{A_1}\) on \(T^2_{h,0}\) is

\[
\varphi^{A_1}_t = \begin{cases} 
\varphi^H_{\tilde{T}(h,0)t} \circ \varphi^L_{\frac{1}{2} t}, & \text{if } -1 < h < 1 \\
\varphi^H_{\tilde{T}(h,0)t} \circ \varphi^L_{-\frac{1}{2} t}, & \text{if } h > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Indeed on \(T^2_{h,0} \cap L^{-1}(0)\) we have

\[
\varphi^{A_1}_t = \begin{cases} 
\varphi^H_{\tilde{T}(h,0)t} \circ \varphi^L_{-\frac{1}{2} t}, & \text{if } -1 < h < 1 \\
\varphi^H_{\tilde{T}(h,0)t} \circ \varphi^L_{\frac{1}{2} t}, & \text{if } h > 1,
\end{cases}
\]

because

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi^L_{-\frac{1}{2} t} &= \varphi^{-L}_{\frac{1}{2} t} = \varphi^L_{\frac{1}{2} t}, & \text{when } -1 < h < 1 \\
\varphi^L_{-\frac{1}{2} t} &= \varphi^{-L}_{\frac{1}{2} t} = \varphi^L_{\frac{1}{2} t}, & \text{when } h > 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Thus the flow \(\varphi^{A_1}_t\) on \(T^2_{h,0}\) is well defined and continuous for every \(-1 < h < 1\) or \(h > 1\).
Now suppose that \((h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell = 0 \}\). Since the bundle \(\pi = \mathcal{E} M_{| \mathcal{E} M^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{E} M^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is locally trivial, for every \((h, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell = 0 \}\) there is an open neighborhood \(V\) such that \(\mathcal{E} M^{-1}(V)\) is a trivial bundle. Thus there is a smooth section \(s : V \rightarrow \mathcal{E} M^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\) such that \(\pi(s(h, \ell)) = (h, \ell)\) for every \((h, \ell) \in V\), that is, \((q(h, \ell), p(h, \ell)) \in T^2_{h, \ell}\).

From the definition of the first action function we have
\[
\varphi^L_{2\pi \Theta(h, \ell)} \circ \varphi^H_{2\pi \Theta(h, \ell)}(q(h, \ell), p(h, \ell)) = (q(h, \ell), p(h, \ell)).
\] (22)

Taking the limit as \(\pm \ell \nearrow 0\) gives
\[
\varphi^L_{\frac{1}{2}(2\pi)} \circ \varphi^H_{\Theta(h, 0)}(q(h, 0), p(h, 0)) = (q(h, 0), p(h, 0)),
\] (23a)

if \(-1 < h < 1\) and
\[
\varphi^L_{2\pi \Theta(h, 0)}(q(h, 0), p(h, 0)) = (q(h, 0), p(h, 0)),
\] (23b)

if \(h > 1\). Consequently, the flow \(\varphi^A_1\) is periodic of period \(2\pi\) on \(T^2_{h, 0}\). This completes the proof that \(A_1\) is an action function on \(\mathcal{E} M^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\). \(\square\)

### 2.5 Properties of the functions \(\tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{T}\) and \(A_1\)

We just state the principal analytic properties of the functions \(\tilde{\Theta}\) \(\square\) and \(\tilde{T}\) \(\square\). Their proofs may be found in \([6, \text{chpt V and exercises}]\).

**Fact 2.2**

1. On \(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \}\) the function \(\tilde{\Theta}\) is real analytic and odd in \(\ell\), that is, \(\tilde{\Theta}(h, -\ell) = -\tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell)\). Its principal value \(\tilde{\Theta}\) has range \((-1, -\frac{1}{2}) \cup (\frac{1}{2}, 1)\).

2. At \(\mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell = 0 \}\) the function \(\tilde{\Theta}\) has a jump discontinuity. Specifically, for \((h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell > 0 \}\)

\[
\lim_{\ell \searrow 0} \tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } -1 < h < 1 \\
1, & \text{if } h > 1.
\end{cases}
\] (24)

3. The function \(\tilde{\Theta} : \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is multivalued. Along any positively oriented closed curve, which generates the fundamental group of \(\mathbb{R}\), its value decreases by 1.

4. The function \(\tilde{T} : \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) is real analytic and even in \(\ell\), namely, \(\tilde{T}(h, -\ell) = \tilde{T}(h, \ell)\). Moreover, \(\tilde{T}(h, 0) \nearrow \infty\) as \(h \rightarrow 1\) and \(\tilde{T}(h, 0) \searrow 0\) as \(h \nearrow \infty\).
We now determine the limiting values of the functions $\tilde{T}$, $\tilde{\Theta}$, and $A_1$ as $(h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R}$ converges to $(h(s), \ell(s)) \in \partial \mathbb{R}$ for some $s \in [-1, 0)$.

**Proposition 2.3** Let $\tilde{T}(s) = \tilde{T}(h(s), \ell(s)), \tilde{\Theta}(s) = \tilde{\Theta}(h(s), \ell(s))$, and $A_1(s) = A_1(h(s), \ell(s))$. Then

\[
\tilde{T}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{-s}}{\sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}; \quad (25a)
\]

\[
\tilde{\Theta}(s) = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}, \text{ when } \pm \ell(s) \geq 0; \quad (25b)
\]

\[
A_1(s) = 0. \quad (25c)
\]

**Proof.** Consider $\mathbb{C}^\nu$, the extended complex plane, which is cut along the real axis between $x_-$ and $x_+$ and again between $x_0$ and $\infty$. Here $x_{\pm,0}$ are distinct roots of $P_{h,\ell}(x) = 2(h - x)(1 - x^2) - \ell^2$ with

\[
\begin{cases}
-1 < x_- < x_+ < h < 1 < x_0, & \text{if } -1 < h < 1 \\
-1 < x_- < x_+ < 1 < h < x_0, & \text{if } h > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Write $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} P_{h,\ell}(z)} = \sqrt{r_r r_0} e^{i(\theta_r + \theta_0)/2}$, where $z - x_{0,\pm} = r_{0,\pm} e^{i\theta_{0,\pm}}$ and $0 \leq \theta_{0,\pm} < 2\pi$. For $(h, \ell) = (h(s), \ell(s)) \in \partial \mathbb{R}$ with $s \in [-1, 0)$ the real polynomial $\frac{1}{2} P_{h,\ell}$ becomes

\[
(h(s) - x)(1 - x^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ell^2(s) = (x - s)^2(x - t),
\]

where $t = t(s) = -\frac{1}{2}(h(s) - \ell^2(s)) = -\frac{1}{2} s - \frac{1}{2\pi}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a positively oriented closed curve in $\mathbb{C}^\nu$, which crosses the $\text{Re } z$ axis twice: once in $(-1, x_-)$ and once in $(x_+, 1)$. Note that the complex square root is negative just above the cut $[x_-, x_+]$. In the limit as $x_+ \to s \in (-1, 0)$ we see that $z - x_+ \to z - x^*$, where $x^* = r^* e^{i\theta^*}$ with $0 \leq \theta^* < 2\pi$, because $r_+ \to r^*$ and $\theta_+ \to \theta^*$. So

\[
(z - s)\sqrt{z - \ell(s)} = \sqrt{r_0} e^{\frac{1}{2} i\theta_0} (r^* e^{i\theta^*}).
\]

For $s \in [-1, 0)$ we have

\[
2\pi \tilde{\Theta}(h(s), \ell(s)) = \frac{\ell(s)}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{(1 - z^2)\sqrt{(h(s) - z)(1 - z^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ell^2(s)}} \, dz
\]

\[
= \frac{\ell(s)}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{(1 - z^2)\sqrt{z - t}} \, dz - s
\]

\[
= \frac{\ell(s)}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2\pi i \frac{1}{(1 - s^2)\sqrt{s - t}} \right),
\]
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because \( \frac{1}{(1-z^2)\sqrt{z-t}} \) is complex analytic on \( \mathbb{C}^\ast \).

Thus we can use Cauchy’s integral formula.

\[
\frac{2\pi i}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \pm (1-s^2) \frac{1}{\sqrt{-s}} \right) \frac{1}{(1-s^2)\sqrt{\frac{3}{2} s + 1}} = \pm \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}.
\]

Also for \( s \in [-1, 0) \) we have

\[
2\pi \tilde{T}(h(s), \ell(s)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{C} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(h(s) - z)(1-z^2) - \frac{1}{2} \ell^2(s)}} \, dz
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{C} \frac{1}{\sqrt{z-t}} \frac{dz}{z-s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 2\pi i \frac{1}{\sqrt{s-t}} \right) = \frac{2\pi \sqrt{-s}}{\sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}.
\]

We can write the integral \( I(h, \ell) = 2\pi \int_{x_+}^{x_-} \frac{x}{\sqrt{P_{h,\ell}(x)}} \, dx \) as the complex integral

\[
I(h, \ell) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2}} \int_{C} \frac{z}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} P_{\ell,h}(z)}} \, dz.
\]

Thus when \( (h, \ell) \) converges to \( (h(s), \ell(s)) \) the integral [26] becomes

\[
I(s) = I(h(s), \ell(s)) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2}} \int_{C} \frac{z}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} P_{\ell,h}(z)}} \, dz,
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2}} \left( 2\pi i \frac{s}{\sqrt{s-t(s)}} \right), \text{ using Cauchy’s integral theorem}
= 2i \frac{s}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{t(s)-s}}}, \text{ by choice of complex square root}
= -2 \frac{(-s)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}.
\]

Therefore when \( (h(s), \ell(s)) \in \partial \overline{R} \cap \{ \ell \geq 0 \} \) using [16] we get

\[
A_1(s) = 2h(s) \tilde{T}(s) - \ell(s) \tilde{\Theta}(s) - I(s)
= 2(\frac{3}{2} s - \frac{1}{2s}) \sqrt{\frac{-s}{3s^2 + 1}} - \frac{(1-s^2)}{\sqrt{-s \sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}} + 2 \frac{(-s)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}
= \frac{1 - 3s^2 - (1-s^2) + 2s^2}{\sqrt{-s \sqrt{3s^2 + 1}}} = 0.
\]

A similar argument shows that \( A_1(s) = 0 \) when \( (h(s), \ell(s)) \in \partial \overline{R} \cap \{ \ell \leq 0 \} \). This confirms a result in [16].
2.6 Additional properties of $A_1$

The next proposition gives some addtional properties of the first action function $A_1$ \[19\].

**Proposition 2.4** The first action function $A_1$ \[19\] is a positive real analytic function on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\ell = 0\}$, which is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, but is not differentiable on $\mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell = 0\}$. Indeed, its partial derivative $\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \ell}$ has a jump discontinuity at $\mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell = 0\}$. Moreover,

1. $A_1$ has a nonnegative continuous extension to $\mathbb{R}$, which vanishes on $\partial \mathbb{R}$. Also $A_1(-1, 0) = 0$, $A_1(1, 0) = 4/\pi$, and $\lim_{h \to \infty} A_1(h, 0) = \infty$.
2. Let $(h(s_0), \pm \ell(s_0)) \in \partial \mathbb{R}$ for some $s_0 \in [-1, 0)$. Then the function $A_1|_{R \cap \{\ell = \pm \ell(s_0)\}} : [h(s_0), \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$ is strictly increasing.
3. Let $a > 0$. The $a$-level set of $A_1$ in $\mathbb{R}$ is the graph of a continuous, piecewise real analytic function $\hat{A}_a : [-\infty, \infty) \to [h^*(a), \infty) : \ell \mapsto \hat{A}_a(\ell)$, which is strictly decreasing when $\ell < 0$, is strictly increasing when $\ell > 0$, and has a positive minimum value $h^*(a)$ at $\ell = 0$.

**Proof.** First we show that $I(h, \ell) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{x_{-}}^{x_{+}} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(h-x)(1-x^2) - \ell^2}} \, dx$ is a locally real analytic function on $\mathbb{R}$. Write $I$ as the complex integral $I(h, \ell) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{C} \frac{z}{\sqrt{2(h-z)(1-z^2) - \ell^2}} \, dz$, where $C$ and the complex square root are chosen as in the proof of (25a)--(25c). Thinking of $h$ and $\ell$ as complex variables we obtain

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial h} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{C} \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{2(h-z)(1-z^2) - \ell^2}} \right) \, dz = 0$$

and similarly $\frac{\partial I}{\partial \ell} = 0$. Therefore locally $I$ is a complex analytic function. Restricting $h$ and $\ell$ to be real variables shows that locally $I$ is a real analytic function on $\mathbb{R}$. Clearly, $I(h, -\ell) = I(h, \ell)$. To show that $I$ is single-valued, consider the positively oriented rectangular path $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, which consecutively joins the vertices $(h, \varepsilon), (h + 1, \varepsilon), (h + 1, -\varepsilon), (h, -\varepsilon)$, and $(h, \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon$ is chosen sufficiently small and positive so that $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ lies in $\mathbb{R}$ and $-1 < h < 1$. 

Then
\[
\int_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} dI = \left( I(h + 1, \varepsilon) - I(h, \varepsilon) \right) + \left( I(h + 1, -\varepsilon) - I(h + 1, \varepsilon) \right) \\
+ \left( I(h, -\varepsilon) - I(h + 1, -\varepsilon) \right) + \left( I(h, \varepsilon) - I(h, -\varepsilon) \right) = 0.
\]

This shows that \( I \) is single valued on \( R \), because \( \Gamma_\varepsilon \) generates the fundamental group of \( R \).

That \( A_1 \) is locally a real analytic function on \( R \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \} \) follows from (17) and the fact that \( \tilde{T}, \tilde{\vartheta}, \) and \( I \) are locally real analytic functions on \( R \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \} \). To show that \( A_1 \) is continuous on \( R \cap \{ \ell = 0 \} \) it suffices to observe that \( \lim_{\ell \to 0} \tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell) = 0 \) for all \( (h, \ell) \in R \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \} \). Continuity on \( R \) follows from (17), because \( \tilde{T} \) and \( I \) are continuous there. From (20) we see that \( \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \ell} = -\tilde{\Theta} \). Thus the assertions about \( \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \ell} \) follow from the properties of the function \( \tilde{\Theta} \).

1. The fact that \( A_1 \) has a real analytic extension to \( \partial R \) follows from the proof in proposition 2.3. For \( (h(s), \pm \ell(s)) \in \partial R \) for some \( s \in [-1, 0) \) we have \( A_1(h(s), \pm \ell(s)) = 0 \). Therefore \( A_1 \) is nonnegative on \( \tilde{R} = R \cup \partial R \). Since \( (-1, 0) \in \partial R \), we get \( A_1(-1, 0) = 0 \). The next computation shows that \( A_1(1, 0) = 4/\pi \).

\[
A_1(1, 0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{2(1-x)(1-x^2)}}{1-x^2} \, dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} \, dx = \frac{4}{\pi}.
\]

Thus \( A_1 \) has a continuous extension to \( \tilde{R} \).

We now show that \( \lim_{h \to \infty} A_1(h, 0) = \infty \). First we demonstrate that \( \lim_{h \to \infty} h \tilde{T}(h, 0) = \infty \).

**Proof.** When \( h > 1 \) and \( x \in [-1, 1] \) we have \( 2(h-x)(1-x^2) < 4(h-x)(1-x) \). So
\[
\tilde{T}(h, 0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(h-x)(1-x^2)}} \, dx > \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(h-x)(1-x)}} \, dx = I.
\]

Making the successive changes of variables \( x = h - u^2, v = (h-1)^{-1/2}u \) and \( v = \sec s, \) we get
\[
I = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\sqrt{h-1}}^{\sqrt{h+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{u^2 - (h-1)}} \, du = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1}^{\sqrt{h+1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{v^2 - 1}} \, dv
\]
\begin{align*}
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\sec^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{1+1/h}{h-1}}} \sec s \, ds = K.
\end{align*}

Evaluating $K$ we get

\begin{align*}
K &= \frac{1}{\pi} \ln|\sec s + \sqrt{\sec^2 s - 1}|_{0}^{\sec^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{1+1/h}{h-1}}} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \sqrt{1-1/h} + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln (\sqrt{1+1/h} + \sqrt{2/h}) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1-1/h) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(1+1/h) + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln (1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{h}(1+1/h)^{-1}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi h} + \frac{1}{2\pi h} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} h^{-1/2} + O(h^{-3/2}), \quad \text{as } h \nearrow \infty.
\end{align*}

Thus $hT(h,0) > \frac{1}{\pi} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} h^{1/2} + O(h^{-1/2})$ as $h \nearrow \infty$. So $\lim_{h \nearrow \infty} hT(h,0) = \infty$.

Next we show that $\lim_{h \nearrow \infty} I(h,0) = 0$. Now

\begin{align*}
|I(h,0)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2(h-x)(1-x^2)}} \, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(h-x)(1-x^2)}} \, dx + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{0} \frac{|x|}{\sqrt{2(h-x)(1-x^2)}} \, dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(h-x)(1-x^2)}} \, dx + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{2(h+x)(1-x^2)}} \, dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h-1}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, dx + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, dx, \\
&\quad \text{since } h-x \geq h-1 \text{ and } h+x \geq h \text{ when } x \in [0,1] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{h-1}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \right), \quad \text{because } \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \, dx = 1.
\end{align*}

So as $h \nearrow \infty$ we see that $|I(h,0)| \searrow 0$. Thus $\lim_{h \nearrow \infty} I(h,0) = 0$.

Therefore as $h \nearrow \infty$ it follows that $A_1(h,0) = 2hT(h,0) - I(h,0)$ converges to $\infty$. \hfill \Box

2. Since $\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h} = \tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{T} > 0$ on $R$, it follows that $A_1|_{R \cap \{\ell = \ell(\theta_0)\}}$ is strictly increasing. Moreover, $\frac{\partial^2 A_1}{\partial h^2} = \frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial h} < 0$ on $R$. To see this we compute

\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{T}}{\partial h} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{x_-}^{x_+} \frac{\partial}{\partial h}\left((2(h-x)(1-x^2) - \ell^2)^{-1/2}\right) \, dx.
\end{align*}
\[ -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{x_-}^{x_+} (1 - x^2) \left(2(h - x)(1 - x^2) - \ell^2\right)^{-3/2} \, dx < 0, \]

since the integrand is positive. So the graph of \( A_1|_{\mathbb{R}\cap\{\ell=\pm\ell(s_0)\}} \) is strictly convex. Because of convexity, the function \( A_1|_{\mathbb{R}\cap\{\ell=\pm\ell(s_0)\}} \) is proper. Thus if its image were compact, then so would be its domain. But this is a contradiction, since its domain \([0, \infty)\) is unbounded. Because \( A_1(h(s_0), \pm\ell(s_0)) = 0 \), the image of \( A_1|_{\mathbb{R}\cap\{\ell=\pm\ell(s_0)\}} \) is \([0, \infty)\).

3. Let \( a > 0 \). From (20) we find that \( \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h}(h, 0) = \overline{T}(h, 0) > 0 \), when \(-1 < h < 1\) or \( h > 1 \). But, \( A_1(-1, 0) = 0 \), \( A_1(1, 0) = 4/\pi \), and \( \lim_{h \to \infty} A_1(h, 0) = \infty \). Thus \( A_1([-1, 1], 0) = [0, 4/\pi] \) and \( A_1([1, \infty), 0) = [4/\pi, \infty) \). Consequently, the \( a\)-level set of \( A_1 \) intersects the \( h\)-axis in \( \mathbb{R} \).

From (20) and the fact that \( \overline{T} > 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \), it follows that we have \( \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h} > 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell = 0\} \). Therefore by the implicit function theorem, near \((h_0, \ell_0) \in A_1^{-1}(a)\) there is a real analytic function \( \ell \mapsto \hat{A}_a(\ell) \) with \( h_0 = \hat{A}_a(\ell_0) \) such that

\[ a = A_1(\hat{A}_a(\ell), \ell). \quad (30) \]

Differentiating (30) with respect to \( \ell \) and then evaluating the result at \((h_0, \ell_0)\) gives

\[ \hat{A}_a'(\ell_0) = -\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h}(h_0, \ell_0) = \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h}(h_0, \ell_0) = \frac{\Theta(h_0, \ell_0)}{\overline{T}(h_0, \ell_0)}, \quad (31) \]

using (20). \( \hat{A}_a' \) has a jump discontinuity at \( \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell = 0\} \), because

\[ \lim_{\ell_0 \to 0} \hat{A}_a'(\ell_0) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\overline{T}(h_0, \ell_0)}, & \text{if } -1 < h_0 < 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\overline{T}(h_0, \ell_0)}, & \text{if } h_0 > 1; \end{cases} \]

whereas

\[ \lim_{\ell_0 \to 0} \hat{A}_a'(\ell_0) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\overline{T}(h_0, \ell_0)}, & \text{if } -1 < h_0 < 1 \\ \frac{1}{\overline{T}(h_0, \ell_0)}, & \text{if } h_0 > 1. \end{cases} \]

Since \( \overline{T} > 0 \) and \( \Theta(h, \ell) \notin \{(-1, \pm \frac{1}{2}), (\pm \frac{1}{2}, 1)\} \) if \((h, \ell) \not\in \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell < 0\}\), it follows that \( \hat{A}_a < 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell < 0\} \); whereas \( \hat{A}_a' > 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell > 0\} \). Note that \( \hat{A}_a'(\ell_0) = 0 \) if \((h_0, \ell_0) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell = 0\} \).

Thus a connected component of \( A_1^{-1}(a) \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) is the graph of a piecewise real analytic function of \( \ell \). Suppose that the domain of \( \hat{A}_a \) is the compact interval \([\ell_*, \ell^*]\). If \( \ell^* < 0 \), then the function \( \hat{A}_a \) would have a minimum value \( h^* \) at \( \ell^* \), since it is strictly decreasing in \( \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell < 0\} \). Since \((h^*, \ell^*) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \{\ell < 0\} \),
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we have $\tilde{A}'_a(\ell^*) < 0$. Therefore by the implicit function theorem, there is an $0 < \ell < \ell^*$ close to $\ell^*$ such that $\tilde{A}_a$ is defined. But this contradicts the hypothesis that $[\ell^*, \ell^*]$ is the domain of $\tilde{A}_a$. Therefore $\ell^* > 0$. Then the function $\tilde{A}_a$ would have a maximum value $h^*$ at $\ell^*$, since it is strictly increasing in $\mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell > 0 \}$. Since $(h^*, \ell^*) \in \mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell > 0 \}$, we have $\tilde{A}'_a(\ell^*) > 0$.

Therefore by the implicit function theorem, there is an $\ell > \ell^*$ close to $\ell^*$ such that $\tilde{A}_a$ is defined. But this contradicts the hypothesis that $[\ell^*, \ell^*]$ is the domain of $\tilde{A}_a$. Hence $\ell^*$ does not exist. A similar argument shows that $\ell_*$ does not exist. Thus the domain of $\tilde{A}_a$ is $(-\infty, \infty)$. This implies that the image of $\tilde{A}_a$ is $[h_*(a), \infty)$, where $h_*(a) = \min_{\ell \in (-\infty, \infty)} \tilde{A}_a(\ell) = \tilde{A}_a(0)$. To see that the image of $\tilde{A}_a$ is unbounded, suppose that $\tilde{h} = \sup_{\ell \in [-\ell(s_0), \infty)} \tilde{A}_a(\ell) < \infty$. Then there is an $\tilde{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{\ell}) \in \partial \mathbb{R}$. Thus the $a$-level set of $\tilde{A}_a$ lies in $\mathbb{R} \cap \{ h \leq \tilde{h} \}$, where $|\ell| \leq \tilde{\ell}$. But there is an $\ell^*$ in the domain of $\tilde{A}_a$ such that $\tilde{\ell} > \ell^*$. Then point $(\tilde{A}_a(\ell^*_1), \ell^*_1) \in A^{-1}_1(a)$ does not lie in $\mathbb{R} \cap \{ h \leq \tilde{h} \}$. This is a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{h} = \infty$.

Suppose that $a \neq 4/\pi$. Then the line segments $\{(h, -\ell(s)) \in \mathbb{R} \mid s \in [-1, 0)\}$ and $\{(h, \ell(s)) \in \mathbb{R} \mid s \in (-1, 0)\}$, which are parallel to the $h$-axis, each intersect the graph of $\tilde{A}_a : (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow [h_*(a), \infty)$ exactly once, since $A_1|_{\mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell = \pm s_0(s) \}}$ is strictly increasing and has range $(h(s), \infty)$. Thus the $a$-level set of $A_1$ with $a > 0$ and $\neq 4/\pi$ is connected and is the graph of a piecewise real analytic function, whose graph intersects the $h$-axis in $\mathbb{R}$ exactly once at $(h_*(a), 0)$.

We now look at the $4/\pi$-level set of $A_1$. Since $A_1(1, 0) = 4/\pi$, the $4/\pi$-level set of $A_1$ is nonempty. It is the graph of a piecewise real analytic function $\tilde{A}_{4/\pi}$ with $\tilde{A}''_{4/\pi}(0) = 0$, which is strictly decreasing on $\mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell < 0 \}$ and is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R} \cap \{ \ell > 0 \}$. The graph of $\tilde{A}_{4/\pi}$ intersects the $h$-axis at $(1, 0)$. The domain of $\tilde{A}_{4/\pi}$ is $(-\infty, \infty)$ and its range is $[1, \infty)$. □

**Fact 2.4** The action map of the spherical pendulum is

$$A : \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 : (h, \ell) \mapsto (A_1(h, \ell), A_2(h, \ell)) \quad (32)$$

is a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$ onto $(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$, which is a real analytic diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \}$. This homeomorphism extends to a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$ onto $(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{(1, 0)\}$, which is a real analytic diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \}$.

**Proof.** For every $(h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \}$ we have

$$DA(h, \ell) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h}(h, \ell) & \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \ell}(h, \ell) \\ \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial h}(h, \ell) & \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \ell}(h, \ell) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{T}(h, \ell) & 0 \\ -\tilde{\Theta}(h, \ell) & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
The second equality follows from (20). Since \( T > 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \), the action map \( \mathcal{A} \) is a local diffeomorphism on \( \mathbb{R} \setminus \{ \ell = 0 \} \). The map \( \mathcal{A} \) is one to one on \( \mathbb{R} \), because by point 3 of proposition 2.4 every \( a \)-level set of \( \mathcal{A}_1 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) is the graph of a continuous function of \( \ell \) on \((−\infty, \infty)\). Thus the \( a \)-level set of \( \mathcal{A}_1 \) intersects the \( b \)-level set of \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) at exactly one point for every \( a \geq 0 \) and every \( b \). From the fact that \( \mathcal{A}(1, 0) = (4/\pi, 0) \) we obtain that \( \partial \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{(1,0)\}) = (\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{(1,0)\} \). The statement about the extension follows because \( \mathcal{A} \) extends to a real analytic mapping on \( \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \) and because \( \mathcal{A}(h(s), ±\ell(s)) = (0, ±(-s)^{-1/2}(1 - s^2)) \) is a diffeomorphism of \( \partial \mathcal{A} \) onto \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \). \( \square \)

2.7 The period lattice and its degeneration

Here we discuss the period lattice of the 2-torus \( T^2_{h,\ell} = \mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(h, \ell) \) when \((h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \) and study its degeneration as \((h, \ell) \) converges to a boundary point of \( \mathcal{R} \).

For \((h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \) consider the \( \mathbb{R}^2 \)-action on the 2-torus \( T^2_{h,\ell} \) defined by

\[
\Psi : \mathbb{R}^2 \times T^2_{h,\ell} \to T^2_{h,\ell} : (\{(t_1, t_2), p\}) \mapsto \varphi^H_{\ell_1}(p) \circ \varphi^L_{\ell}(p).
\]

Then \( \Psi \) is locally transitive at \( p \in T^2_{h,\ell} \) because \( T_p T^2_{h,\ell} = \text{span}\{X_H(p), X_L(p)\} \). Since \( T^2_{h,\ell} \) is connected, it follows that the action \( \Psi \) is transitive on \( T^2_{h,\ell} \). Let \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} = \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \Psi(t_1, t_2)(p) = p\} \) be the isotropy group of the \( \mathbb{R}^2 \)-action \( \Psi \) at \( p \). Then \( T^2_{h,\ell} = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \). Because \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) is abelian, the isotropy group \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \) does not depend on the choice of \( p \). Since \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \) is closed subgroup of the Lie group \( \mathbb{R}^2 \), it is a Lie group. Suppose that \( \text{dim} \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \geq 1 \). Then \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \) has a one parameter subgroup, namely, \( s \mapsto (a \, s, b \, s) \) for some \( (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \). So \( p = \varphi^H_{a \, s} \circ \varphi^L_{b \, s}(p) \), which implies that

\[
0 = \frac{d}{ds}\bigg|_{s=0} \varphi^H_{a \, s} \circ \varphi^L_{b \, s} = a \, X_H(p) + b \, X_L(p).
\]

But \( X_H(p) \) and \( X_L(p) \) are linearly independent in \( T_p T^2_{h,\ell} \). Hence (34) implies that \( a = b = 0 \), which contradicts the definition of \( a \) and \( b \). Thus \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \) is a 0-dimensional Lie group and hence is discrete. This shows that \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-lattice, called the period lattice. By definition of the functions \( T \) and \( \Theta \), we see that the vectors \( \{(T(h, \ell), −\Theta(h, \ell))^T, (0, 2\pi)^T\} \) form a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis of the period lattice \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \). The following calculation serves as a check. Let \( (n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \). Then for \( p \in T^2_{h,\ell} \)

\[
\Psi^n(T, −\Theta)+(n, 0, 2\pi)(p) = \Psi^{(mT, 2\pi n−m\Theta)}(p) = (\varphi^H_T \circ \varphi^L_{−\Theta})^m \circ (\varphi^L_{2\pi})^n(p) = p,
\]
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since \( \varphi_t^L \) and \( \varphi_t^H \circ \varphi_t^L \) are periodic of period \( 2\pi \) on \( T^2_{h,\ell} \). Note that the map
\[
M : \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \to \text{Gl}(2, \mathbb{R}) : (h, \ell) \mapsto \left( \frac{T(h, \ell)}{-\Theta(h, \ell)} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right),
\]
which to each \( (h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \) assigns the basis of \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \), is locally a real analytic matrix valued function.

We now look at what happens to \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \) as \( (h, \ell) \in \mathbb{R} \) converges to a point \((h(s), \ell(s)) \in \partial \mathbb{R} \) for some \( s \in [-1, 0) \). Let \( p_{h,\ell} \in T^2_{h,\ell} \) and suppose that \( p_{h,\ell} \) converges to \( p_s \in \mathcal{E}M^{-1}((h(s), \ell(s)) = S^1_s \). In fact \( p_s \) is a relative equilibrium of the Hamiltonian vector field \( X_H \) on \((TS^2, \omega)\). In other words, the integral curve of \( X_H \) starting at \( p_s \) is a 1-parameter subgroup of the \( S^1 \) symmetry generated by the angular momentum Hamiltonian vector field \( X_L \). So \( X_H(p_s) \) and \( X_L(p_s) \) are linearly dependent. Thus the isotropy group \( \mathcal{P}_{h,\ell} \) at \( p_{h,\ell} \) of the \( \mathbb{R}^2 \)-action \((33)\) degenerates to the isotropy group \( \mathcal{P}_s = \mathcal{P}_{h(s),\ell(s)} \) of the \( \mathbb{R} \)-action
\[
\Psi|_{\{(0) \times \mathbb{R}\} \times S^1_s} : \{(0) \times \mathbb{R}\} \times S^1_s \to S^1_s : (0, t_2) \mapsto \varphi_0^H \circ \varphi_{t_2}^L(p_s) = \varphi_{t_2}^L(p_s),
\]
which is generated by \((0, 2\pi)\).

Another way to see that this degeneration is to use the action functions \( A_1 = \pi^*(A_1) \) and \( A_2 = \pi^*(A_2) \) on \( \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^*) \), where \( \mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{(1, 0)\} \). Here \( A_1 \) on \( R \) is the first component of the action map \((32)\) and on \( \partial \mathbb{R} \) is 0; while \( A_2 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^* \) is \( \ell \). Also \( \pi : \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^*) \to \mathbb{R}^* \) is the restriction of the energy momentum mapping \( \mathcal{E}M \) to the open set \( TS^2 \setminus \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(1, 0) = \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^*) \). Consider the \( \mathbb{R}^2 \)-action
\[
\overline{\Psi} : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^*) \to \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^*) : ((t_1, t_2), q) \mapsto \varphi_{t_1}^{A_1} \circ \varphi_{t_2}^{A_2}(q).
\]
The period lattice \( \mathcal{P}_q \), which is the isotropy group of the action \( \overline{\Psi} \) at \( q \), is generated by \( \{(2\pi, 0), (0, 2\pi)\} \), when \( q \in \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \), because the flows of the vector fields \( X_{A_1} \) and \( X_{A_2} \) are periodic of period \( 2\pi \). When \( q \in \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{R}) \), the period lattice \( \mathcal{P}_q \) is generated by \( \{(0, 2\pi)\} \), because \( X_{A_1}(q) = 0 \), while \( X_{A_2} \) has periodic flow of period \( 2\pi \) on \( \mathcal{E}M^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{R}) \).

### 2.8 Monodromy

In this subsection we show that the spherical pendulum has monodromy by looking at the variation of the period lattice along a homotopically nontrivial loop in \( \mathbb{R} \).
Let $\Gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} : t \mapsto \Gamma(t) = (h(t), \ell(t))$ be a smooth closed curve in $\mathbb{R}$, which encircles the point $(1, 0)$ and generates the fundamental group of $\mathbb{R}$. We transport the period lattice along $\Gamma$, namely we look at the curve

$$
\Gamma^* M : [0, 1] \to \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) : t \mapsto M(\Gamma(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} T(h(t), \ell(t)) & 0 \\ \Theta(h(t), \ell(t)) & 2\pi \end{pmatrix}.
$$

We note that

$$(\Gamma^* M)(1) = \begin{pmatrix} T(\Gamma(1)) & 0 \\ \Theta(\Gamma(1)) & 2\pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T(\Gamma(0)) & 0 \\ \Theta(\Gamma(0)) + 2\pi & 2\pi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} (\Gamma^* M)(0).$$

In other words, after transporting the period lattice $P_{\Gamma(0)}$ along $\Gamma$, its initial basis $\{(T(\Gamma(0)), -\Theta(\Gamma(0)))^T, (0, 2\pi)^T\}$ at $\Gamma(0)$ becomes the final basis $\{(T(\Gamma(0)), -\Theta(\Gamma(0)))^T + (0, 2\pi)^T, (0, 2\pi)^T\}$ at $\Gamma(1) = \Gamma(0)$. The matrix of this linear transformation with respect to the initial basis is $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\pi \end{pmatrix}$.

Thinking of the 2-torus $T^2_{\Gamma(t)}$ associated to the period lattice $P_{\Gamma(t)}$, we have a smooth bundle of 2-tori over $\Gamma$ whose fiber over $\Gamma(t)$ is $T^2_{\Gamma(t)}$. The gluing map of the fibers over the end points $\Gamma(0)$ and $\Gamma(1)$ is the linear map of $\mathbb{R}^2$ into itself with matrix $M$. Since $M \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, it maps the lattice $(2\pi \mathbb{Z})^2$ into itself. Thus $M$ is a diffeomorphism of the affine 2-torus $T^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/(2\pi \mathbb{Z})^2$. Recalling that action-angle coordinates identify $T^2_{h, \ell}$ with $T^2$, we see that $M$ is the monodromy map of the 2-torus bundle over $\Gamma$. Different choices of the action functions or of the closed curve in the homotopy class of $\Gamma$ lead to a 2-torus bundle, which is isomorphic to the one constructed above. The isomorphism class of the new bundle is determined by the conjugacy class of the monodromy map $M$ in $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Consequently, the spherical pendulum has no global action-angle coordinates.

### 3 Quantum spherical pendulum

We begin with a brief review of the elements of geometric quantization, which we use here. For details see [20]

#### 3.1 Elements of geometric quantization

##### 3.1.1 The prequantization line bundle

The first step in geometric quantization of the symplectic manifold $(P, \omega)$ is the construction of a complex line bundle $\lambda : \mathcal{L} \to P$ with connection $\nabla$ such that the curvature of $\nabla$ is $-\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\omega$, where $\hbar$ is Planck’s constant divided by $2\pi$. For the spherical pendulum $P = T^*S^2$, and $\omega = d\theta$, where $\theta$ is the

---

3 We use do not introduce an additional symbol for Planck’s constant.
Liouville form of the cotangent bundle of the sphere. Hence, $\mathcal{L}$ is a trivial bundle, and we can introduce a global trivializing section $\sigma_0 : P \to \mathcal{L}$ such that, for every vector field $X$ on $P$, the covariant derivative of $\sigma_0$ in direction $X$ is

$$\nabla_X \sigma_0 = -i\hbar^{-1} \theta(X) \otimes \sigma_0. \quad (35)$$

Moreover, we introduce a Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\langle \sigma_0, \sigma_0 \rangle = 1$. The choice of the trivializing section $\sigma_0 : P \to \mathcal{L}$ gives rise to an identification

$$\mathbb{C} \times P \to \mathcal{L} : (z, p) \mapsto z\sigma_0(p). \quad (36)$$

Under this identification, every section $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponds to a complex-valued function $\psi$ on $P$ such that $\sigma = \psi\sigma_0$.

### 3.1.2 Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions

Let $\Gamma : [a, b] \to P : t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ be a curve in $P$. A section $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is covariantly constant along $\Gamma$ if

$$\nabla_{\dot{\Gamma}(t)} \sigma = 0$$

for every $t \in [a, b]$, where $\dot{\Gamma}(t)$ denotes the tangent vector of $\Gamma$ at $t$. If $\sigma = \psi\sigma_0$, then

$$\nabla_{\dot{\Gamma}(t)} \sigma = \nabla_{\dot{\Gamma}(t)} (\psi \sigma) = \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \psi(\Gamma(t)) - i\hbar^{-1} \theta(\dot{\Gamma}(t)) \psi(\Gamma(t)) \right] \sigma_0(\Gamma(t)).$$

Thus, $\sigma$ is covariantly constant along $\Gamma$ if and only if $\psi(\Gamma(t))$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \psi(\Gamma(t)) - i\hbar^{-1} \theta(\dot{\Gamma}(t)) \psi(\Gamma(t)) = 0.$$ 

If $\psi(\Gamma(t)) \neq 0$, we divide by $\psi(\Gamma(t))$ and integrate to get

$$\ln \psi(\Gamma(b)) - \ln \psi(\Gamma(a)) = i\hbar^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \theta $$

which is equivalent to

$$\psi(\Gamma(b)) = \psi(\Gamma(a)) \exp \left[ i\hbar^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \theta \right].$$

If $\Gamma$ is a closed curve in $P$, that is if $\Gamma(b) = \Gamma(a)$, then $\psi(\Gamma(b)) = \psi(\Gamma(a)) \neq 0$ only if $\exp \left[ i\hbar^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \theta \right] = 1$. We have proved the following version of the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions.

**Theorem 3.1** If $\Gamma$ is a closed curve in $P$ and $\sigma$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}$ which is covariantly constant along $\Gamma$, then the pull-back of $\sigma$ to $\Gamma$ is identically zero unless

$$\hbar^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \theta = 2\pi n$$

for some integer $n$. 

3.1.3 Prequantization operators

For each \( f \in C^\infty(P) \), the Hamiltonian vector field \( X_f \) of \( f \) generates a local 1-parameter group \( \exp t X_f \) of local diffeomorphisms of \( P \) that preserve the symplectic form \( \omega \). There is a unique lift of \( X_f \) to a vector field \( \tilde{X}_f \) on the prequantization line bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) such that \( \exp t \tilde{X}_f \) preserves the connection on \( \mathcal{L} \) \(^{[20]}\). The prequantization operator \( P_f \) associated to \( f \) is given by

\[
P_f \sigma = i \hbar \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \exp t \tilde{X}_f \sigma \exp (-t X_f)
\]

for every \( \sigma \in S^\infty(\mathcal{L}) \). Direct computation gives

\[
P_f \sigma = (-i \hbar \nabla_{X_f} + f) \sigma.
\]

**Theorem 3.2** For every \( f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(P) \),

\[
[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] \sigma = i \hbar [f_1, f_2] \sigma,
\]

where \( \{f_1, f_2\} = X_{f_2}(f_1) = -X_{f_1}(f_2) = \omega(X_{f_2}, X_{f_1}) \) is the Poisson bracket of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \).

**Proof.**

\[
[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] \sigma = \left[(-i \hbar \nabla_{X_{f_1}} + f_1), (-i \hbar \nabla_{X_{f_2}} + f_2)\right] \sigma
\]

\[
= -\hbar^2 (\nabla_{X_{f_1}} \nabla_{X_{f_2}} - \nabla_{X_{f_2}} \nabla_{X_{f_1}}) \sigma - i \hbar \left(X_{f_1}(f_2) - X_{f_2}(f_1)\right) \sigma
\]

\[
= -\hbar^2 (\nabla_{[X_{f_1}, X_{f_2}]} - \frac{i}{\hbar} \omega (X_{f_1}, X_{f_2})) \sigma - i \hbar \left(X_{f_1}(f_2) - X_{f_2}(f_1)\right) \sigma
\]

\[
= \hbar^2 \nabla_{X_{\{f_1, f_2\}}} \sigma + i \hbar \omega (X_{f_1}, X_{f_2}) - X_{f_1}(f_2) + X_{f_2}(f_1) \sigma
\]

because \( [X_{f_1}, X_{f_2}] = -X_{\{f_1, f_2\}} \). Moreover,

\[
\omega(X_{f_1}, X_{f_2}) - X_{f_1}(f_2) + X_{f_2}(f_1) = \{f_1, f_2\}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
[P_{f_1}, P_{f_2}] = \hbar^2 \nabla_{X_{\{f_1, f_2\}}} \sigma + i \hbar \{f_1, f_2\} \sigma = i \hbar (-i \hbar \nabla_{X_{\{f_1, f_2\}}} + \{f_1, f_2\}) \sigma
\]

\[
= i \hbar P_{\{f_1, f_2\}} \sigma.
\]

We refer to the map

\[
P : C^\infty(P) \times S^\infty(\mathcal{L}) \to S^\infty(\mathcal{L}) : (f, \sigma) \mapsto P_f \sigma
\]

as the prequantization map. Equation \(^{[39]}\) implies that the map \( f \mapsto \frac{1}{i \hbar} P_f \) is a representation of the Poisson algebra of \( C^\infty(P) \) on the space \( S^\infty(\mathcal{L}) \), which we call the prequantization representation.
3.1.4 Polarization

In Dirac’s formulation of quantum mechanics, the space of quantum states of the spherical pendulum consists of functions on the spectrum of the complete set of commuting observables. This idea can be identified with the modern theory of representations of $\mathbb{C}^*$ algebras. In the framework of geometric quantization, it gave rise to the notion of polarization of $(P,\omega)$, which is given by a complex involutive Lagrangian distribution $F$ on the phase space $P$. For $P = T^*S^2$, the choice of $F$ determines the representation of the quantum theory of the spherical pendulum.

Let $F$ be a complex involutive Lagrangian distribution $F$ on $P$. In other words, $F$ is a complex distribution on $P$ such that $\dim_C F = 2n$, where $\dim P = 2n$. Moreover, $\omega(u,v) = 0$ for every pair of vectors $u,v \in F$ attached at the same point in $P$. In the following we also allow for polarizations with singularities, that is, smooth distributions that are Lagrangian on an open dense subset of $P$. Quantization in the $F$-representation leads to the space of quantum states

$$S_F(\mathcal{L}) = \{\sigma : P \to \mathcal{L} \mid \nabla_u\sigma = 0 \text{ for each } u \in F\}. \quad (40)$$

In other words, $S_F(\mathcal{L})$ is the space of sections of $\mathcal{L}$ that are covariantly constant along $F$.

**Definition 3.1** The space $C^\infty_F(P)$ of directly quantizable functions in terms of a polarization $F$ consists of functions $f \in C^\infty(P)$ such that, the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field $X_f$ of $f$ preserves the polarization $F$.

For each $f \in C^\infty(P)$, the Hamiltonian vector field $X_f$ of $f$ lifts to a unique vector field on the prequantization line bundle that preserves the connection. Hence, if $f \in C^\infty_F(P)$, it follows that $P_f$ action leaves $S_F(\mathcal{L})$ invariant.

**Definition 3.2** Direct quantization in the $F$-representation is given by restricting the domain of the prequantization map to $C^\infty_F(P) \times S_F(\mathcal{L})$ and its codomain to $S_F(\mathcal{L})$. In other words,

$$Q : C^\infty_F(P) \times S_F(\mathcal{L}) \to S_F(\mathcal{L}) : (f,\sigma) \mapsto Q_f\sigma \equiv P_f\sigma. \quad (41)$$

Quantization in the $F$-representation of functions that are not in $C^\infty_F(P)$ requires additional assumptions.

**Definition 3.3** A polarization of $(P,\omega)$ is real, if it is a complexification of a (real) involutive Lagrangian distribution. In other words,

$$F = D \otimes \mathbb{C}, \quad (42)$$
where $D$ is an involutive Lagrangian distribution on $P$.

In the following we assume

**Condition** $D$ is locally spanned by Hamiltonian vector fields.

This condition allows for a generalization to polarizations with singularity.

### 3.2 Schrödinger quantization

Schrödinger quantization of the spherical pendulum corresponds to the real polarization tangent to fibres of the cotangent bundle projection $\pi : T^*S^2 \to S^2$. In other words, the Schrödinger polarization is $\ker T\pi \otimes \mathbb{C}$, where

$$\ker T\pi = \{ u \in T(T^*S^2) \mid T\pi(u) = 0 \}.$$

For every $p \in T^*S^2$ and $w \in T_p(T^*S^2)$, the evaluation of the Liouville form $\theta$ on $w$ equals the evaluation of $p$ on $T\pi(w)$, that is, $\theta(w) = p(T\pi(w))$. Hence, $\theta$ vanishes on $\ker T\pi$, which implies that the extension of $\theta$ to the complexification of $\ker T\pi$ vanishes. Equation (35) implies that the trivializing section $\sigma_0$ of $L$ is covariantly constant along $\ker T\pi$. Thus, every section $\sigma$ of $L$ that is covariantly constant along $\ker T\pi$ is of the form $\sigma = \psi \sigma_0$, where $\psi$ is a complex-valued function on $T^*S^2$ that is constant along $\ker T\pi$. However, functions on $T^*S^2$ that are constant along $\ker T\pi$ are pull-backs by $\pi : T^*S^2 \to S^2$ of functions on $S^2$. Thus,

$$S_{\ker T\pi}(L) = \{ (\pi^*\Psi)\sigma_0 \mid \Psi \in C^\infty(S^2) \otimes \mathbb{C} \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

Equation (43) shows that we may identify the space $S_{\ker T\pi}(L)$ of sections of $L$ that are covariantly constant along $\ker T\pi$ with the space of smooth complex-valued functions on $S^2$.

The space $C^\infty_{\ker T\pi}(T^*S^2)$ of functions on $T^*S^2$ that are directly quantizable in terms of the Schrödinger polarization $\ker T\pi$ consists of functions such that their Hamiltonian vector fields preserve $\ker T\pi$. It contains pull-backs by $\pi$ of smooth functions on $S^2$. Moreover, for every vector field $X$ on $S^2$, its natural extension of $\tilde{X}$ to $T^*S^2$ is a Hamiltonian vector field with Hamiltonian $\theta(\tilde{X})$ and it preserves $\ker T\pi$. It can be easily verified that

$$C^\infty_{\ker T\pi}(T^*S^2) = \{ \pi^*\tilde{f} + \theta(\tilde{X}) \mid \tilde{f} \in C^\infty(S^2) \text{ and } X \in \mathfrak{X}(S^2) \},$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

where $\mathfrak{X}(S^2)$ denotes the space of smooth vector fields on $S^2$.

It follows from equations (41) and (38) that, for every $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(S^2)$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(S^2)$ and $\Psi \in C^\infty(S^2) \otimes \mathbb{C}$,

$$Q_{\pi^*\tilde{f}}(\pi^*\Psi)\sigma_0 = \pi^*(\tilde{f}\Psi)\sigma_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)
and
\[ Q_{\theta(X)}(\pi^*\Psi)\sigma_0 = -i\hbar \pi^*(X(\Psi))\sigma_0. \] (46)

Schrödinger quantization of functions on \( T^*S^2 \), which can be expressed as polynomials in \( \pi^*\dot{f} \) and \( \theta(\dot{X}) \), assigns the corresponding polynomial in \( Q_{\pi^*\dot{f}} \) and \( Q_{\theta(\dot{X})} \). In this case, the result depends on the ordering of the factors.

It is usually postulated that the quantum Hamiltonian is
\[ Q_H(\pi^*\Psi)\sigma_0 = \pi^* \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\Delta + \sin \vartheta \right) \Psi \sigma_0, \]
where \( \Delta \) denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \( S^2 \). There are several derivations of this result, but each of them requires additional assumptions, \([1],[20],[23]\). It is not a direct consequence of prequantization and polarization.

An additional assumption of the Schrödinger theory is that the scalar product of quantum states \((\pi^*\Psi_1)\sigma_0 \) and \((\pi^*\Psi_2)\sigma_0 \) is given by
\[ (\Psi_1 \mid \Psi_2) = \int_{S^2} \overline{\Psi}_1 \Psi_2 \, d\mu, \] (47)
where \( d\mu \) is the area form of \( S^2 \). The demand that quantum observables are given by self-adjoint operators, requires that the operators in equation (46) should be symmetrized. If the scalar product is introduced in geometric quantization in terms of half-forms, then the operators corresponding to equation (46) are symmetric [20].

### 3.3 Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum

Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization corresponds to the polarization \( \text{ker} T\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C} \) tangent to the fibres of the energy-momentum map \( \mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}: T^*S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2 \). The range of the energy momentum map is stratified, with open dense stratum given by the set \( \mathcal{R} \) of regular values. There are two one dimensional strata \( \mathcal{B}_+ \) and \( \mathcal{B}_- \) corresponding to the minimum of energy for a positive or negative value of the angular momentum, respectively, and two singular points \((-1,0)\) and \((1,0)\).

As before, quantum states are sections of the prequantization line bundle that are covariantly constant along the polarization. Since fibres of the energy momentum map are compact, values \((\hbar,\ell)\) of the energy and the angular momentum that are in supports of sections of \( \mathcal{L} \), which are covariantly constant along the polarization \( \text{ker} T\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{C} \), are restricted by the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions; see Theorem 3.1.2. These conditions can be easily...
described in terms of the action variables $A_1, A_2$, where $A_1$ is a continuous function of the Hamiltonian $H$ and the angular momentum $L$, and $A_2 = L$, see proposition 2.4. Recall that $A_1$ is a continuous function on $T^* S^2$. Moreover, $(A_1)|_{EM^{-1}(1,0)} = 4/\pi$, $(A_1)|_{EM^{-1}(-1,0)} = 0$, and $(A_1)|_{EM^{-1}(B_\pm)} = 0$.

In order to avoid excessive notation, for $(h, \ell)$ in the range of $EM$, we write $A_1(h, \ell)$ for the value at $(h, \ell)$ of the push-forward of $A_1$ by the energy momentum map $EM$. In other words, $A_1 = EM^* A_1$. For each fixed $\ell \neq 0$, the function $h \mapsto A_1(h, \ell)$, which is defined on $[h_\ell, \infty)$, where $h_\ell$ is the minimum of the Hamiltonian $H$ on the level set $L = \ell$, is strictly increasing and has range $[0, \infty)$. Therefore, for every $a \geq 0$, the equation $A_1(h, \ell) = a$ has a unique solution $h_\ell(a)$ for $h \in [h_\ell, \infty)$ in terms of $a$ and $\ell$, see point 2 of proposition 2.4.

**Definition 3.4** The Bohr-Sommersfeld energy-momentum spectrum of the quantum spherical pendulum is the set $S$ of $(h, \ell)$ in the image of $EM$ that satisfy the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions

$$\oint A_1 \, d\varphi_1 = 2\pi n h \quad \text{and} \quad \oint A_2 \, d\varphi_2 = 2\pi m h \quad (48)$$

If $(h, \ell) \in R$, that is, $(h, \ell)$ is a regular value in the image of $EM$, then

$$A_1(h, \ell) = nh \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(h, \ell) = \ell = mh \quad (49)$$

for some integers $n > 0$ and $m$. Hence, $A_1(h, mh) = nh$, and we can express the energy $h$ in terms of $n$ and $m$ and write $h = h(n, m)$.

For $(h, \ell) \in B_\pm$, the fibre $EM^{-1}(h, \ell)$ is a 1-torus $T^1_{h, \ell}$. In this case $A_1 = 0$ and the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions applied to $T^1_{h, \ell}$ give $\ell = mh$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, we can use equation $A_1(h, mh) = 0$ to express the energy $h$ in terms of $n = 0$ and $m > 0$ and write $h = h(0, m)$.

The fibre of the energy momentum map over the singular point $(-1, 0)$ is a zero-dimensional torus, $EM^{-1}(-1, 0) = (-1, 0)$, and the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions are satisfied with $n = 0$ and $m = 0$. Thus, we may write $h(0, 0) = -1$.

It remains to consider the singular point $(1, 0)$. Since $A_1(1, 0) = 4/\pi$ and $A_2(1, 0) = 0$, equation (49) gives $4/\pi = nh$, which implies that Planck’s constant $2\pi h = 8/n$. This is a very strong condition on Planck’s constant, unlikely to be satisfied in physics. Therefore, we assume that the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions are not satisfied by the unstable equilibrium point $(1, 0)$. 30
Conclusion The Bohr-Sommerfeld energy-momentum spectrum $\mathcal{S}$ is the range of a map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} = \text{image } \mathcal{E} \mathcal{M} : (n, m) \mapsto (h_m(n), mh)$$

In other words,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(h_m(n), mh) \in \mathbb{R} \mid n \geq 0\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)

**Definition 3.5** In physics the pairs $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $n \geq 0$ are called quantum numbers of the spherical pendulum.

For every pair $(n, m)$ of quantum numbers, there exists a non-zero covariantly constant section $\sigma_{n,m}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ restricted the fibre $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{M}^{-1}(h(n, m), mh)$. The family of sections

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{\sigma_{n,m} \mid (n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ and } n \geq 0\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (51)

forms a basis in the space of quantum states of the Bohr-Sommerfeld theory. We consider a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ of quantum states in which $\mathfrak{B}$ is an orthonormal basis.

According to the general principle of geometric quantization, the space $C^\infty_{\ker T \mathcal{E} \mathcal{M}}(T^*S^2)$ of function that are directly quantizable in the Bohr-Sommerfeld theory consists of smooth real-valued functions $f$ on $T^*S^2$ such that the Hamiltonian vector field $X_f$ preserves the polarization $\ker T \mathcal{E} \mathcal{M}$.

**Proposition 3.4** If $f \in C^\infty(T^*S^2)$ is such that $[X_H, X_f]$ and $[X_L, X_f]$ are linear combinations of $X_H$ and $X_L$, then $f$ is a function of $H$ and $L$.  
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**Proof.** Locally, we express $f$ as a function of local angle action coordinates $(A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and write $f = \tilde{f}(A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$. Therefore,

$$X_f = \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_1} X_{\varphi_1} + \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_2} X_{\varphi_2}$$

so that

$$[X_{A_1}, X_f] = \left(X_{A_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_1}\right) X_{\varphi_1} + \left(X_{A_1} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_2}\right) X_{\varphi_2},$$

$$[X_L, X_f] = [X_{A_2}, X_f] = \left(X_{A_2} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_1}\right) X_{\varphi_1} + \left(X_{A_2} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_2}\right) X_{\varphi_2}.$$

Since $X_{A_1}$ and $X_{A_2}$ are linear combinations of $X_H$ and $X_L$, the assumption that $[X_H, X_f]$ and $[X_L, X_f]$ are linear combinations of $X_H$ and $X_L$ implies that $X_{A_1} \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_j}\right) = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. Since $X_{A_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \varphi_i}$, we get $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \varphi_i \partial \varphi_j} = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2$. Integrating the preceding equation gives

$$\tilde{f}(A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \tilde{f}_1(A_1, A_2, \varphi_1) + \tilde{f}_2(A_1, A_2, \varphi_2).$$

Taking into account $\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_1 \partial \varphi_1} = 0$ we get that $\tilde{f}_1$ is a linear function of $\varphi_1$.

Similarly, $\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}}{\partial \varphi_2 \partial \varphi_2} = 0$ implies that $\tilde{f}_2$ is a linear function of $\varphi_2$. However, linear functions of angles are not single-valued. By assumption, $\tilde{f}$ is single-valued. Therefore, $\tilde{f}$ is independent of $\varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2$, and herefore,

$$\tilde{f}(A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \tilde{f}_3(A_1, A_2).$$

Since $A_1$ and $A_2$ are functions of $H$ and $L$, it follows that $f$ restricted to the domain of a local action-angle coordinates $(A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ is a function of the restrictions of $H$ and $L$ to the same domain.

Domains of local action angle coordinates cover the open set $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(R) \subset T^*S^2$, where $R$ is the set of regular values of the energy momentum map. Therefore, $f$ restricted to $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(R)$ is a function of $H$ and $L$ restricted to $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(R)$. In other words, $f$ restricted to $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(R)$ is constant along fibres of the restriction to $R$ of $\mathcal{E} : T^*S^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(R)$ is dense in $T^*S^2$ and $f$ is continuous, it follows that $f$ is constant on fibres of $\mathcal{E} : T^*S^2 \to \overline{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Therefore, there exists a function $\tilde{f} : \overline{\mathbb{R}} = \text{image} \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $f = \tilde{f}(H, L)$.

**Corollary 3.5** The space of functions whose Hamiltonian vector fields preserve ker $T\mathcal{E}$ is

$$C^\infty(\ker T\mathcal{E}) = \{ \tilde{f}(H, L) \ | \ \tilde{f} \in C^\infty(\text{image} \mathcal{E}) \}.$$
Equations (41) and (38) imply that the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization assigns to a function $\tilde{f}(H,L) \in C^\infty_{\ker T^*_EM}(T^*S^2)$ an operator $Q_{f(H,L)}$ on $\mathfrak{f}$ such that operator

$$Q_{f(H,L)}\sigma_{(h,l)} = \tilde{f}(h,\ell)\sigma_{(h,\ell)}$$

for every $\sigma_{(h,\ell)} \in \mathfrak{B}$. It should be emphasized that $C^\infty_{\ker T^*_EM}(T^*S^2)$ does not contain any function $f$ for which the operator $Q_f$ is not diagonal in the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis $\mathfrak{B}$.

3.4 Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization

3.4.1 Shifting operators

The weakness of the Bohr-Sommerfeld theory is that it does not provide operators corresponding to transitions between different states. Of course, there are such operators acting on $\mathfrak{f}$. Since the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis $\mathfrak{B}$ in $\mathfrak{f}$ has a lattice structure given by equation (51), there exist shifting operators $a_1$ and $a_2$ on $\mathfrak{f}$ such that

$$a_1 \sigma_{n,m} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{n-1,m} & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } n = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$a_2 \sigma_{n,m} = \sigma_{n,m-1}. \tag{52}$$

Proposition 3.6 The quantized actions and the shifting operators satisfy the commutation relations

$$[Q_{A_j}, Q_{A_k}] = [a_j, a_k] = 0,$$

$$[Q_{A_j}, a_k] = -\hbar a_j \delta_{jk}, \tag{53}$$

for $j, k = 1, 2$.

Proof. For every basic vector $\sigma_{n,m}$ with $n > 0$,

$$[Q_{A_1}, a_1] \sigma_{n,m} = Q_{A_1} a_1 \sigma_{n,m} - a_1 Q_{A_1} \sigma_{n,m} = Q_{A_1} \sigma_{n-1,m} - a_1 n\hbar \sigma_{n,m}$$

$$= (n-1)\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} - n\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} = -\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} = -\hbar a_1 \sigma_{n,m}.$$

Moreover,

$$[Q_{A_1}, a_1] \sigma_{0,m} = Q_{A_1} a_1 \sigma_{0,m} - a_1 Q_{A_1} \sigma_{0,m} = 0 = -\hbar a_1 \sigma_{0,m}.$$

Thus, $[Q_{A_1}, a_1] = -\hbar a_1$. Similarly, $[Q_{A_2}, a_2] = -\hbar a_2$. On the other hand, for $n > 0$,

$$[Q_{A_2}, a_1] \sigma_{n,m} = Q_{A_2} a_1 \sigma_{n,m} - a_1 Q_{A_2} \sigma_{n,m} = Q_{A_2} \sigma_{n-1,m} - a_1 m\hbar \sigma_{n,m}$$

$$= (m-1)\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} - m\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} = -\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} = -\hbar a_1 \sigma_{n,m}.$$
\[ m\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} = m\hbar \sigma_{n-1,m} = 0, \]

and

\[ [Q_{A_2}, a_1] \sigma_{0,m} = Q_{A_2} a_1 \sigma_{0,m} - a_1 Q_{A_2} \sigma_{0,m} = -a_1 m\hbar \sigma_{0,m} = 0. \]

Similarly, \([Q_{A_1}, a_2] = 0. \]

Since \(B = \{ \sigma_{n,m} | (n,m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, n \geq 0 \}\) is an orthonormal basis in \(\mathfrak{f}\), the adjoints \(a_1^\dagger\) and \(a_2^\dagger\) of the shifting operators are given by

\[ a_1^\dagger \sigma_{n,m} = \sigma_{n+1,m}, \]
\[ a_2^\dagger \sigma_{n,m} = \sigma_{n,m+1}, \] (54)

for all \(m\) and \(n \geq 0\). Taking the adjoints of equations (53), we get

\[ [a_j^\dagger, a_k^\dagger] = 0 \]
\[ [Q_{A_j}, a_k^\dagger] = \hbar a_j^\dagger \delta_{jk}. \] (55)

It follows from the definition, equations (52), that the operators \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) are analogues of the lowering operators in the Fock space formulation of field theory and the states \(\sigma_{0,n}\) are ground states for the operator \(a_1\), [?]. Similarly, the operators \(a_1^\dagger\) and \(a_2^\dagger\) are analogues of the raising operators.

### 3.4.2 Quantization of angles

We want to interpret the shifting operators as \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) as quantum operators corresponding to functions \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) on the phase space \(T^*S^2\) of the spherical pendulum. In other words, we want to make an identification

\[ a_1 = Q_{f_1} \ \text{and} \ a_2 = Q_{f_2}. \] (56)

Since the shifting operators are not self-adjoint, we cannot expect functions \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) to be real-valued. This means that we have to extend the Dirac quantization condition

\[ [Q_{f_1}, Q_{f_2}] = i\hbar Q_{\{f_1, f_2\}} \] (57)

to complex-valued functions.

Recall, that the action \(A_1\) is smooth on the complement \(\mathcal{E}M^{-1}(R) \setminus L^{-1}(0)\) of \(L^{-1}(0)\) in \(\mathcal{E}M^{-1}(R)\), where \(R\) is the set of regular values of the energy momentum map, see proposition 2.3.
Proposition 3.6 In $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(R) \setminus L^{-1}(0)$, the action angle coordinates $(A_1, A_2, \varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ satisfy the Poisson bracket relations

\[
\{A_j, A_k\} = \{e^{-i\varphi_j}, e^{-i\varphi_k}\} = 0 \quad \{A_j, e^{-i\varphi_k}\} = i e^{-i\varphi_k} \delta_{jk},
\]

for $j, k = 1, 2$.

Proof. By definition, the angle action variables satisfy the Poisson commutation relations

\[
\{A_j, A_k\} = 0, \quad \{\varphi_j, \varphi_k\} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \{A_j, \varphi_k\} = -\delta_{jk},
\]

where $j, k = 1, 2$. Hence,

\[
\{A_j, e^{-i\varphi_k}\} = -i e^{-i\varphi_k} \{A_j, \varphi_k\} = i e^{-i\varphi_k} \delta_{jk},
\]

as required. □

Comparing equations (52) and (58) we see that the identification (56) satisfies the Dirac quantization condition (57) for $f_1 = e^{-i\varphi_1}$ and $f_2 = e^{-i\varphi_2}$ in the open dense subset $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(R) \setminus L^{-1}(0)$ of $T^*S^2$, where $R$ is the regular stratum of the range of the energy momentum map $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}: T^*S^2 \to R^2$. In other words, for every $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $n > 0$ and $m \neq 0$, we may set

\[
a_1 \sigma_{n,m} = Q e^{-i\varphi_1} \sigma_{n,m} \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 \sigma_{n,m} = Q e^{-i\varphi_2} \sigma_{n,m}.
\]

Since $e^{-i\varphi_1}$ and $e^{-i\varphi_2}$ are well defined on $R \cap L^{-1}(0)$, we can extend the identification (59) to $R \cap L^{-1}(0)$ and write

\[
a_1 \sigma_{n,0} = Q e^{-i\varphi_1} \sigma_{n,0} \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 \sigma_{n,0} = Q e^{-i\varphi_2} \sigma_{n,0},
\]

where $n > 0$. However, on $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(R) \setminus L^{-1}(0)$, the Poisson brackets involving $A_1$ are not defined because $dA_1$ is not defined there. Hence, the right hand side of equation (57) is not independently defined. This is a manifestation of the presence of monodromy in the spherical pendulum, which will be discussed in Section 3.4.4.

3.4.3 Boundary conditions

It remains to extend operators $Q e^{-i\varphi_1}$ and $Q e^{-i\varphi_2}$ to quantum states $\sigma_{0,m}$ supported on the boundary of $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(R)$. This is analogous to extending Schrödinger quantization to the cotangent bundle of a manifold with boundary and corners.
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The angle functions are not globally defined. In particular, the functions $e^{-i\varphi_1}$ and $e^{-i\varphi_2}$ are not defined at the singular points $(0, -1)$ and $(0, 1)$. Moreover, $e^{-i\varphi_1}$ is not defined when $A_1 = 0$.

In order to extend $e^{-i\varphi_1}$ to a globally defined function, we choose a smooth function $\chi_1(h, l)$ on $\overline{R} = \text{image } \mathcal{E} M$, which is identically 1 on a neighbourhood of $R \cap \mathcal{S}$ in $R$, where $\mathcal{S}$ is the Bohr-Sommerfeld energy spectrum [50], and vanishes to infinite order on the boundary $\partial R$ of $R$. The product $f_1 = \chi_1(L, H)e^{-i\varphi_1}$ is a globally defined function on $T^*S^2$ that vanishes to infinite order on $\mathcal{E} M^{-1}(\partial R)$ and satisfies the Poisson bracket relations

$$\{A_j, f_1\} = \{A_j, \chi_1(L, H)e^{-i\varphi_1}\} = -i\chi_1(L, H)e^{-i\varphi_1}\{A_j, \varphi_1\} = f_1\delta_{j1}$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Since $f_1 = \chi_1(L, H)e^{-i\varphi_1}$ vanishes to infinite order on $\partial R \cap \mathcal{S}$ and derivatives of $\chi_1$ vanish to infinite order on $\mathcal{S}$, it follows that we can make the identification

$$Q_{f_1}\sigma_{n,m} = Q_{\chi_1e^{-i\varphi_1}}\sigma_{n,m} = a_1\sigma_{n,m}$$

(61)

for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $n > 0$ and $m \neq 0$. On the other hand, $f_1 = \chi_1(L, H)e^{-i\varphi_1}$ vanishes to infinite order on $\partial R \cap \mathcal{S}$. Hence, we may set

$$Q_{f_1}\sigma_{0,m} = 0 = a_1\sigma_{0,m}$$

(62)

This identification is independent of the choice of $\chi_1$ satisfying the required conditions. In order to keep the notation simple, in the following we omit $\chi_1$ and write

$$Q_{e^{-i\varphi_1}}\sigma_{n,m} = a_1\sigma_{n,m}$$

(63)

for all $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ with $n \geq 0$ and $m \neq 0$. It remains to consider the action of

The function $e^{-i\varphi_2}$ is defined on $\mathcal{E} M^{-1}(\partial R \setminus \{(-1, 0), (1, 0)\}$. As before, we can extend $e^{-i\varphi_2}$ to the whole of $T^*S^2$ by multiplying $e^{-i\varphi_2}$ by an appropriate function $\chi_2$ of $H$ and $L$. By assumption $(1, 0) \notin \mathcal{S}$, so vanishing of $\chi_2$ at $(1, 0)$ does not affect the identification of $a_2$ with $Q_{e^{-i\varphi_2}}$. However, the point $(-1, 0) \in \mathcal{S}$, corresponding to $(n, m) = (0, 0)$, is a corner of the range of $\mathcal{E} M$ and $\varphi_2$ is not defined on $\mathcal{E} M^{-1}(-1, 0)$. Therefore, the identification

$$Q_{e^{-i\varphi_2}}\sigma_{0,0} = a_2\sigma_{0,-1}$$

(64)

is an essential extension of the definition of $Q_{e^{-i\varphi_2}}$, which does not follow from the Dirac quantization conditions. Making this identification, we write

$$Q_{e^{-i\varphi_2}}\sigma_{n,m} = a_2\sigma_{n,m}$$

(65)
for all \((n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\) with \(n \geq 0\).

Having identified shifting operators \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) with quantizations of \(e^{-i\varphi_1}\) and \(e^{-i\varphi_2}\), respectively, we observe that the adjoint operators \(a_k^\dagger\) may be identified with quantization of \(e^{i\varphi_k}\). In other words,

\[
a_k^\dagger = Q_{e^{i\varphi_k}} \tag{66}
\]

for \(k = 1, 2\). Moreover, as in the Schrödinger theory, to a function \(f\) on \(T^*S^2\), which can be expressed as a polynomial in \(A_1, A_2, e^{-i\varphi_1}\) and \(e^{-i\varphi_2}\), we can assign the corresponding polynomial in \(Q_{A_1}, Q_{A_2}, Q_{e^{-i\varphi_1}},\) and \(Q_{e^{-i\varphi_2}}\). In this case, the result depends on the ordering of the factors.

### 3.5 Quantum monodromy

In this subsection we will discuss

1. the definition of quantum monodromy;
2. show that the quantum spherical pendulum has quantum monodromy;
3. read off the classical monodromy of the spherical pendulum from the joint spectrum of its Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg quantization.

We begin by defining quantum monodromy. Our discussion leans heavily on the treatment of Vu Ngoc [22]. Let \(B\) be an open 2-disk in \(\mathbb{R}^2\), which is contained in \(\mathbb{R}\) and is centered at \(c \in \mathbb{R}\). The intersection \(B \cap \mathcal{G}\) of the Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum \(\mathcal{G}\) with \(B\) is a local lattice, because the image of \(B \cap \mathcal{G}\) under the homeomorphism given by the action map \(A\) is the intersection of the open subset \(\mathcal{A}(B)\) with the standard lattice \(2\pi \hbar \mathbb{Z}^2\). We call the pair \((B, A|_B)\) a local chart at \(c\) for the Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum \(\mathcal{G}\). Let \(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} B_\alpha\) be an open covering of \(\mathbb{R}\) by 2-disks each centered at \(c_\alpha\). Suppose that \((B_\alpha, A|_{B_\alpha})\) and \((B_\beta, A|_{B_\beta})\) are local charts for \(\mathcal{G}\) and that \(c_\alpha \in B_\alpha \cap B_\beta\). From the construction of action angle coordinates in section 2.4, it follows that the chart transition map

\[
A_{\alpha\beta} : B_\alpha \cap B_\beta \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z}) : (h, \ell) \mapsto A|_{B_\beta}(h, \ell) \circ (A|_{B_\alpha}(h, \ell))^{-1} \tag{67}
\]

is locally constant. Let \(\tau : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) be the \(\mathbb{Z}^2\)-bundle over \(\mathbb{R}\) with local trivialization given by the top row of the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\tau_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\tau_\alpha} & B_\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \\
\downarrow \tau|_{\tau_\alpha^{-1}(U_\alpha)} & & \downarrow \pi_\alpha \\
B_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & B_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}
\end{array}
\]
where the left vertical arrow is the restriction of the bundle projection map to \( \tau_{-1}(U_\alpha) \) and the left vertical arrow is the projection map on the first factor. The bottom horizontal map is the identity mapping. More explicitly, the image of the fiber \( \mathcal{L}_c \) over the point \( \tilde{c} \in B_\alpha \) under the trivialization mapping \( \tau_\alpha \) is \((\tilde{c}, (v^{1}_c, v^{2}_c)) \in B_\alpha \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \), where \( \{v^{1}_c, v^{2}_c\} \) is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis of the \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-lattice \( \mathcal{L}_c \). The local transition maps for the bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) are given by

\[
\tau_{\alpha\beta} : (B_\alpha \cap B_\beta) \times \mathbb{Z}^2 \to (B_\alpha \cap B_\beta) \times \mathbb{Z}^2 : (\tilde{c}, v) \mapsto (A(\tilde{c}), A_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{c})v).
\]

The isomorphism class of the bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) is the quantum monodromy of the Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum \( \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \).

Action-angle coordinates provide a local trivialization of the bundle \( \pi : \mathcal{E}\mathcal{M}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R} \). From their construction it follows that the bundle \( \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R} \) is isomorphic to the bundle \( \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{R} \) of period lattices. But the bundle \( \mathcal{P} \) is not trivial, since the spherical pendulum has classical monodromy. Thus the \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \)-lattice bundle \( \mathcal{L} \) is not trivial. In other words, the quantum monodromy of the spherical pendulum is nontrivial.

We now give a geometric procedure for determining the quantum monodromy of the Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum \( \mathcal{S} \). Consider the neighboring quantum numbers

\[
(n, m), \ (n + 1, m), \ (n + 1, m + 1), \ \text{and} \ (n, m + 1). \tag{69}
\]

Their corresponding quantum states \( \sigma_{n,m}, \sigma_{n+1,m}, \sigma_{p+1,m+1}, \) and \( \sigma_{n,m+1} \) are obtained by applying the shifting operators \( a_1^\dagger, \ a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger, \) and \( a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger a_1^\dagger \), respectively, to \( \sigma_{n,m} \). The corresponding spectral values in \( \mathbb{R} \) of the quantized spherical pendulum, given by

\[
(h_{hn}(hn), hm), \quad (h_{hn}(hn+1), hm), \quad (h_{h(m+1)}(hn+1), h(m+1)), \quad (h_{h(m+1)}(hn), h(m+1)),
\]

form the vertices of a spectral quadrilateral \( Q_{n,m} \) with \( (n, m) \) the lower left hand vertex of \( Q_{n,m} \).

---

4Note that \( M = \{ A_{\alpha\beta} \} \) is a 1 Čech cocycle with values in \( \text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) for the covering \( \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{I}} B_a \) of \( \mathbb{R} \), since \( A_{\alpha\beta}A_{\beta\gamma} = \text{id} \) and \( A_{\alpha\beta}A_{\beta\gamma}A_{\gamma\alpha} = \text{id} \), for every \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{I} \). The Čech cohomology class \([ M ]\), associated to the Čech cocycle \( M \), corresponds to the isomorphism class of the bundle \( \mathcal{L} \). See [13] p.40–41.]
Let Γ be a positively oriented, closed, non-self-intersecting polygonal path in \( \mathbb{R} \) which
1. encircles the point \((1, 0)\);
2. passes consecutively through the vertices
\[
(n_1, m_1), (n_2, m_2), \ldots, (n_\ell, m_\ell), (n_{\ell+1}, m_{\ell+1}) = (n_1, m_1)
\]
of the spectral quadrilaterals \( Q_{n_1, m_1}, Q_{n_2, m_2}, \ldots, Q_{n_\ell, m_\ell}, Q_{n_1, m_1} \).

**Claim 3.7** For each \( 1 \leq i \leq \ell \) there is a finite shortest sequence \( a^i \) of shifting operators, each member of which is taken from \( \{a_1, a_2, a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger\} \), that shifts the vertex \((n_i, m_i)\) of the spectral quadrilateral \( Q_{n_i, m_i} \) to the vertex \((n_{i+1}, m_{i+1})\) of \( Q_{n_{i+1}, m_{i+1}} \).

**Corollary 3.8** For each \( 1 \leq i \leq \ell \) the image of \( Q_{n_i, m_i} \) under the operator \( a^i \) is \( Q_{n_{i+1}, m_{i+1}} \).

The **lower left hand corner** of the spectral quadrilateral \( Q_{n, m} \) is given by the spectral values corresponding to the edge joining \((n, m)\) to \((n+1, m)\) and the spectral values corresponding to the edge joining \((n, m)\) to \((n, m+1)\). Because the quantum spectral values are determined by the intersection of level sets of the action function \( A_1 \) with a level set of the action function \( A_2 \), to order \( O(\hbar) \) the lower left hand corner of the spectral quadrilateral is given by the row vectors of the derivative of the action map \( \mathcal{A} \) at \( p_{n, m} = (\hbar h_m(hn), h m) \). So

\[
DA(p_{n, m}) = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial h}(p_{n, m}) & \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial h}(p_{n, m}) \\
\frac{\partial A_1}{\partial \ell}(p_{n, m}) & \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial \ell}(p_{n, m})
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{T}(p_{n, m}) & 0 \\
-\tilde{\Theta}(p_{n, m}) & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]

using (20) and the fact that \( A_2(h, \ell) = \ell \).

We now look at the variation of \( DA \) along the lower left hand vertices occurring on the polygonal path \( \Gamma \) in \( \mathbb{R} \). On the one hand, because \( \tilde{T} \) is a continuous function on \( \mathbb{R} \), its variation along \( \Gamma \) is 0. On the other hand, from fact 2.2 the variation of \( -\tilde{\Theta} \) along \( \Gamma \) is 1. Thus the variation of \( DA \) along \( \Gamma \) is \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Since the column vectors of \( DA(p_{n, m}) \) form a basis of the period lattice of the 2-torus \( \mathbb{T}_2^{p_{n, m}} \), the monodromy matrix of the classical spherical pendulum along \( \Gamma \) is the sum of the identity matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \) and the variation, namely, \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). See figure 4.
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Figure 4. Quantum monodromy of the Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum of the quantum mechanical spherical pendulum.

4 Concluding remarks

- We have extended the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization of the spherical pendulum to a full quantum theory, which we call the Bohr-Sommerfeld-Heisenberg (BSH) quantization. Our approach leads to a matrix formulation of Born, Jordan and Heisenberg [4], [5] with quantum operators expressed as matrices in the Bohr-Sommerfeld basis. According to Mehra and Rechenberg [15, p.265] the connection between quantum mechanics and the Bohr-Sommerfeld theory of multiply periodic systems “seems to have been lost completely in the matrix approach” until it was re-established by Wentzel [24].

- We had an advantage of being able to rely on the guiding principle of geometric quantization for an open dense subset of the phase space in which all our constructions were regular. In treating nowhere dense sets of singular points, we followed Dirac’s Principles of Quantum Mechanics [11].

- In our presentation, we have included the geometric quantization setting of the Schrödinger theory. It shows that the main difference between the BSH theory and the Schrodinger theory is the choice of polarization. For a completely integrable system, the energy momentum map is regular in an open dense subset of the phase space. Hence in the BSH quantization, we have to deal with polarization with singularities on the boundary of that set. This leads to difficulties analogous to those that appear in formulating the Schrodinger theory on a singular space.
• In the case of the spherical pendulum, the Bohr-Sommerfeld energy spectrum differs from the Schrödinger energy spectrum. In the quasi-classical limit of $\hbar$ close to zero, the Bohr-Sommerfeld spectrum and the Schrödinger spectrum of $Q_{A_1}$ differ by $\frac{1}{2} \hbar$.

• In physics, Planck’s constant $2\pi \hbar$ is approximately $6.626 \times 10^{-34}$ joules. However, in the process of quantization, $\hbar$ is treated as a parameter. In the Schrödinger quantization, the representation space is independent of $\hbar$, while the quantum operators depend on $\hbar$ explicitly. In the BSH quantization, presented here, the representation space $\mathfrak{g}$ depends on $\hbar$ because it is defined in terms of a basis $\mathfrak{B}$ consisting eigensections supported on fibres of the energy-momentum map that satisfy the Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions. For every fibre of the energy-momentum map, there exists a value of $\hbar$, treated as a parameter, for which this fibre satisfies Bohr-Sommerfeld conditions.

• For every $\hbar \neq 4/\pi n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, our construction gives well defined shifting operators $a_1$, $a_2$ and their adjoints $a_1^\dagger$, $a_2^\dagger$ on the representation space $\mathfrak{g}$. It is a consequence monodromy that the interpretation of the shifting operators $a_1$, $a_2$ as quantization of $e^{-i\varphi_1}$ and $e^{-i\varphi_2}$ fails to be global even on the set of regular values of the energy momentum map.
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