Association of Polymorphism Harbored by Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha Gene and Sex of Calf with Lactation Performance in Cattle
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ABSTRACT: In a majority of mammals, male infants have heavier body mass and grow faster than female infants. Accordingly, male offspring nursing requires a much greater maternal energy contribution to lactation. It is possible that the maternal-fetal immunoendocrine dialog plays an important role in female preparation for lactation during pregnancy. Immune system genes are an integral part of gene regulatory networks in lactation and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) is a proinflammatory cytokine that also plays an important role in normal mammary gland development. The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of the sex of calf and/or the -824A/G polymorphism in the promoter region of TNFα gene on milk performance traits in Black Pied cattle over the course of lactation. We also studied the allele frequency differences of -824A/G variants across several cattle breeds, which were bred in different climatic conditions. The G allele frequency decreased gradually over the course of lactation events in the Black Pied dairy cattle because of a higher culling rate of cows with the G/G genotype (p< 0.001). In contrast to the genotypes A/A and A/G, cows with G/G genotype showed significant variability of milk and milk fat yield subject to sex of delivered calf. Milk yield and milk fat yield were significantly higher in the case of birth of a bull calf than with a heifer calf (p< 0.03). The G allele frequency varies from 48% to 58% in Grey Ukrainian and Black Pied cattle to 77% in aboriginal Yakut cattle. Our results suggest that the TNFα -824A/G gene polymorphism may have an influence on the reproductive efforts of cows over the course of lactation events depending on the sex of progeny. Allocation of resources according to sex of the calf allows optimizing the energy cost of lactation. This may be a probable reason for high G allele frequency in Yakut cattle breeding in extreme environmental conditions. Similarly, the dramatic fall in milk production after birth of a heifer calf increases the probability of culling for the cows with the G/G genotype in animal husbandry. (Key Words: Cattle, TNFα Gene, Single Nucleotide Polymorphism, Milk Production, Sex of Progeny)

INTRODUCTION

Sexual size dimorphism becomes apparent in heavier body mass of males in most mammal species (Lark et al., 2006). These differences are formed during prenatal development and increase during the ontogenesis (Loos et al., 2001). Accordingly, breastfeeding of male offspring requires a higher maternal investment in lactation.
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Physiological lactation support depending on the sex of newborns occurs during pregnancy (Galbarczyk, 2011). Later the differences in milk productivity are caused by stronger breast stimulation of male offspring (Clutton-Brock et al., 1981). Maternal organism receives information about the fetus male sex through the maternal immune response to HY fetal antigens (Nielsen, 2011). Intrauterine development is also regulated by different cytokines. Tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα) plays an important role in development of mammary gland (Warren et al., 2009), and inflammatory response of uterus after mating (Sanford et al., 1992). TNFα is abortifacient in high doses (Chaouat et al., 2007), but is necessary in low doses for the local tissue remodelling required for implantation (Chaouat et al., 2004). The possible function of TNFα may be to boost death signaling in order to kill the embryo if initial damages triggered by detrimental stimuli may culminate in structural anomalies, and stimulate protective mechanisms if the
repair of these damages may prevent maldevelopment (Toder et al., 2003). The variability of milk traits according to sex of offspring can facilitate adaptive evolution in native mammals, but this variability may be a harmful factor for dairy cattle breeding. The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of the sex of calf and the -824A/G TNFα genotypes on milk traits in Black Pied cattle and the allele frequency differences across domestic cattle populations. It was hypothesized that sex or genotype could influence milk production and selection can shift the allele frequencies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Blood samples of Black Pied breed (n = 107) were collected in the experimental farm “Elitnoe” of the Siberian Research Institute of Animal Husbandry SD RAAS (Novosibirsk region). The data on milk performance traits for each Black Pied cow were taken from breeding documentation. On the experimental farm “Elitnoe”, lactating dairy cows were housed either in a free-stall barn or a pasture. The cows were milked twice a day. Automatic milking machines allowed obtaining milk yield data for each cow. The milk fat content was determined once a month. The milk yield, milk fat yield, and fat percentage were calculated by the A4/2 test interval method for the standard 305-d lactation length (ICAR, 2012). The calves were separated from their mothers within a day after their birth. After separation, young dairy calves subsisted on liquid milk, a commercial milk-replacer, or colostrum.

Blood samples of Grey Ukrainian breed (n = 48) and Yakut breed (n = 33) were collected from an experimental agricultural farm of SB RAS (Altai Republic). Grey Ukrainian and Yakut cattle herds were raised at this experimental farm according to the conservation program of livestock genetic resources and were not used in milk production.

Genotyping of DNA variants

DNA was isolated by the standard methods using proteolytic treatment followed by phenol extraction. A fragment of 5’-flanking region of the bovine TNFα gene between positions 3090 and 3234 (GenBank accession no. Z14137) was amplified from genomic DNA by PCR with forward primer 5’-CCGAGAAATGGGACAACCT-3’ and reverse primer 5’-GCCCATTGTATCCCCAAGAAT-3’. The amplicon was digested with EcoI CR I (SibEnzyme, Russia) and subsequently submitted to polyacrylamide gel analysis for the restriction fragment length polymorphism determination of the -824A/G site. Genotype nomenclature refers to the respective SNP in position 3169 (GenBank accession no. Z14137). Fragment lengths were 81/64 bp for A/A homozygotes, 145 bp for G/G homozygotes, and 145/81/64 bp for A/G heterozygotes.

Statistical analysis

Allele frequencies were calculated by direct counting. Allele frequencies were compared using contingency table (2×2) chi-square tests. Average numbers of lactations between genotypes were compared using nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Associations of the SNP polymorphism -824A/G in the promoter region of the bovine TNFα gene with milk performance traits were evaluated with analysis of covariance (ANCOVA, covarying for number of lactation episodes) using genotype and sex of the calf as independent variables. Post hoc analysis was performed with correction for multiple comparisons by Fisher’s LSD test. Taking into consideration that after the fourth lactation there was less than 20% of initial livestock remaining, the milk performance traits were analyzed using the data from first to fourth lactations only. Since one cow could have several lactations in its lifetime, the number of genotypes exceeded the number of animals in the statistical analysis. The data were analyzed with the GLM procedure of STATISTICA 8.0 software.

RESULTS

No significant differences were observed in frequency of G allele of the TNFα -824A/G gene polymorphism between Grey Ukrainian (47.9%) and Black Pied (57.9%) cattle breeds. At the same time G allele frequency was increased to 77.3% in Yakut cattle breed. The G allele frequency decreased gradually from 57.9% in primiparous heifers to 38.0% in fifth lactation and older cows of the Black Pied dairy herd which is likely due to differential culling of herd from the farm “Elitnoe” (Figure 1). This
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**Figure 1.** Temporal changes of the G allele frequency of the TNFα -824A/G polymorphism per number of lactations in Black Pied cattle which is likely due to differential culling of herd. n = 31-107 animals per point. Significant differences in the G allele frequencies were observed between the first and fourth lactations ($\chi^2 = 4.02, p<0.045$) and between the first lactation and combining data for fifth and following lactations ($\chi^2 = 10.85, p<0.02$) as well. The G allele frequency was negatively correlated with number of lactation ($r = -0.99, p<0.002$).
decrease was due to frequency of the G/G genotype decreasing faster than A/A and A/G genotypes (Figure 2). The average number of lactations during lifetime in cows with the A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 2.92±0.21, 2.96±0.18, and 1.99±0.13, respectively. There was a highly significant difference among the three genotypes in this parameter (Kruskal-Wallis test H2,313 = 14.89, p<0.001). The percentages of bull calves to heifer calves in cows with the A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 47.1%, 43.1%, and 45.3%, respectively.

The 305-d milk yield and milk fat yield increased from first to fourth lactation in cows of all genotypes (Figure 3A to C). After fourth lactation, either a tendency to decrease or no further changes in milk yield and milk fat yield were observed. The percentage of fat didn’t change significantly throughout the entire life spans of the cows. ANCOVA with number of lactation as a covariate was used to evaluate the

Figure 2. Temporal changes in the percent of total remaining for each TNFα -824A/G genotype per number of lactations in Black Pied cattle which is likely due to differential culling of herd. n = 2-46 animals per point. The standard error of the mean for genotype frequencies is displayed. The frequency for each genotype in primiparous heifers is taken as 100 percent.

Figure 3. Data on milk performance traits in cows with different TNFα -824A/G genotypes. Data are reported as mean±SEM. A, B, C: temporal changes of 305-d milk yield, 305-d percentage of fat, and 305-d milk fat yield, respectively; D, E, F: ANCOVA results for the same traits. Number of lactations is used as a covariate and data from first to fourth lactation are combined for ANCOVA. * p<0.02 relative to the G/G group corrected for multiple comparisons using Fisher’s post-hoc LSD test.
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Table 1. Influence of genotype and sex of calf on milk performance traits in Black Pied cattle breed according to ANCOVA with number of lactation as a covariate

| Factor                        | 305-d milk yield | 305-d percentage of fat | 305-d milk fat yield |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Genotype                     | $F_{2,255} = 4.63$, $p<0.01$ | $F_{2,255} = 0.03$, $p<0.97$ | $F_{2,255} = 4.45$, $p<0.01$ |
| Sex of calf                   | $F_{1,255} = 2.51$, $p<0.11$ | $F_{1,255} = 0.08$, $p<0.77$ | $F_{1,255} = 2.27$, $p<0.13$ |
| Interaction genotype×sex     | $F_{2,255} = 5.07$, $p<0.007$ | $F_{2,255} = 1.99$, $p<0.14$ | $F_{2,255} = 4.70$, $p<0.01$ |

The influence of a cow’s genotype and genotype×sex of calf interaction on milk yield and milk fat yield has been established (Table 1). Milk yield and milk fat yield were significantly lower in heterozygotes for the TNFα -824A/G gene polymorphism compared to G/G homozygotes ($p<0.02$) and had a tendency to decrease compared to A/A homozygotes ($p<0.06$) (Figure 3D to G). At the same time sex of the calf alone didn’t influence milk performance traits. But it had significant effect on these traits in interaction with cow’s genotype (Table 1). It turned out that the milk yield and milk fat yield in cows with the G/G genotype were significantly higher in case of birth of a bull calf than that in case of birth of a heifer calf after correction for multiple comparisons (Figure 4A to C). Sex of the calf did not have an influence on milk performance traits in cows with the genotypes A/A and A/G.

Sex of the calf could influence the milk performance

Figure 4. Influence of sex of the calf on the milk performance traits of cows with different TNFα -824A/G genotypes. Average data from first to fourth lactation are shown. Data are reported as mean±SEM. A, B, C: ANCOVA results for the 305-d milk yield, 305-d percentage of fat, and 305-d milk fat yield, respectively. Number of lactations is used as a covariate. D, E, F: ANCOVA analysis of residual variances of the same traits. * $p<0.00004$, ** $p<0.02$ relative to the bull calves corrected for multiple comparisons using Fisher’s post-hoc LSD test.
traits because cows that usually give birth to bull calves had higher milk yield and milk fat yield than cows that usually give birth to heifer calves. To answer the question of whether the cow’s milk performance traits increase or decrease after giving birth to a bull or heifer calves, analysis of residual variances of milk performance data was performed with centering the variables around its means for the entire life spans of individual cows. Only data from the cows that had two and more lactations and delivered both bull and heifer calves were centered.

ANCOVA with number of lactation as a covariate showed that residual milk yields were significantly influenced by sex of the calf and genotype x sex interaction \( F_{1,133} = 4.78, p < 0.03 \) and \( F_{2,133} = 2.11, p < 0.05, \) respectively. According to post hoc analysis, cows with the G/G genotype showed significantly higher milk yield in case of birth of a bull calf than that in case of birth of a heifer calf after correction for multiple comparisons (+447.4±152.8 kg and -362.2±205.5 kg, respectively) (Figure 4D). Sex of the calf and \( \text{TNF} \alpha \) genotype didn’t influence the percentage of fat. Residual milk fat yield, as well as residual milk yield, were significantly influenced by sex of the calf and genotype x sex interaction \( F_{1,133} = 5.29, p < 0.02 \) and \( F_{2,133} = 3.47, p < 0.03, \) respectively. Cows with the G/G genotype also showed significantly higher milk fat yield in case of birth of a bull calf than that in case of birth of a heifer calf after correction for multiple comparisons (+19.3±6.2 kg and -15.6±7.8 kg, respectively) (Figure 4F).

**DISCUSSION**

The \( \text{TNF} \alpha \) gene is present as a single copy gene 2,773 base pairs (bp) in length on bovine chromosome 23. The gene consists of four exons and three introns. mRNA product length is 1,713 bp. There are 28 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) of bovine \( \text{TNF} \alpha \) gene in the dbSNP database, but validation status for most of them is unknown (Sherry et al., 2001). Two SNP polymorphisms of \( \text{TNFalpha} \) gene in the exon and promoter regions were found to have a strong association with the early first ovulation after parturition in the high-producing dairy cows (Shirasuna et al., 2011). Since no effect of these polymorphisms on the gene function has not yet been proved, it may be possible that these markers are not functional variants, but may simply be in linkage disequilibrium with the true casual polymorphism(s). So far only one SNP (-824A/G) of \( \text{TNF} \alpha \) gene was found may affect the functionality of the gene, since it influences the transcriptional promoter activity in vitro (Konnai et al., 2006). Moreover, the frequency of -824G allele was higher in individuals with bovine leukemia virus (BLV) induced lymphoma than in asymptomatic carrier individuals. Thus -824A/G SNP has been genotyped in our study.

We studied the frequency of this SNP among several cattle breeds, which were bred in different climatic conditions. The Yakut cattle live above the Arctic Circle at all times (Granberg et al., 2009). Yakut cows have low milkability but high resistance to the hardest Arctic conditions, where winter temperature drops up to -60°C and summer temperatures reaches +40°C. During 10 months temperatures remain below zero. In winter the cattle are kept in unheated cowsheds and even at the temperature -50°C, the cattle are sent to drink from ice-holes. Grey Ukrainian cattle initially were a breed meant for producing meat and working the fields: it could work for 12 h straight in the fields. In 1917, it was recognized as the best beef breed in Russia. Currently, around 100 head of these cattle are conserved in Siberia. Ecophysiological and molecular phylogenetic characteristics also indicate a close relationship of aboriginal Yakut and Grey Ukrainian cattle to the aurochs (Semenova et al., 2000; Kantanen et al., 2009). Before domestication aurochs were widespread in Eurasia from the Scandinavian peninsula in the north to Northern Africa in the south (van Vuure 2005), and probably they were well adapted to the harsh environment. Black Pied breed is the major breed of dairy cattle utilized in milk production in the Russia.

Our study of Russian cattle breeds shows that G allele frequencies of the \( \text{TNF} \alpha \)-824A/G gene polymorphism in Grey Ukrainian and Black Pied breeds are 47.9% and 57.9% respectively. These values are in good agreement with previously reported value of 51.9% for Japanese black and Holstein-Friesian cattle breeds (Konnai et al., 2006). Difference in G allele frequency between the breeds can be explained by genetic drift and founder effect, because the effective population size during breed development usually is small. The aboriginal Yakut cattle breed in our study had high frequency of G allele - 77.0%. Yakut cattle is well-adapted to extreme climates and closely related to the wild ancestor of domestic cattle - the aurochs (Bos primigenius) (Kantanen et al., 2009).

The sex ratios of bull calves to heifer calves in cows with the A/A, A/G, and G/G genotypes were 47.1%, 43.1%, and 45.3%, respectively. These ratios did not differ significantly from the overall secondary ratios (at birth) nor in Bos taurus (51.52%) (Asdell, 1964), nor in Bison bonasus (49.2%) (Jaczewski, 1958). Analyzing sex specific variation of the \( \text{TNF} \alpha \)-824A/G gene polymorphism, we have found that the G allele frequency decreased gradually over the course of lactation events. In addition, the average numbers of lifetime lactations in cows with the G/G genotype were one-and-a-half times lower than that in cows with the genotypes A/A and A/G. This is possible only if cows with the G/G genotype have a higher culling rate. But what is the cause of such high culling rate, which leads to decrease of G allele frequency in dairy herds compared with
domestic low yielding cows such as Yakut cattle?

Although domestic cows can live for 20 yrs, those raised for dairy rarely live that long, as the average cow is removed from the dairy herd around age four and marketed for beef (Ng, 2001; Wallace, 2002). On most dairy farms, annual culling rates exceed 30% of the herd. These animals are culled mainly due to low milk production or infectious diseases (mastitis). As to susceptibility to infectious diseases, it was reported that the frequency of the G allele of the TNFα -824A/G gene polymorphism was higher in individuals with BLV-induced lymphoma than in asymptomatic carrier individuals (Konnai et al., 2006). Similarly, these authors observed a tendency for increased provirus load in cattle with G/G homozygote compared to A/A homozygote or A/G heterozygote. These data suggest that the TNFα -824A/G gene polymorphism could at least in part contribute to the progression of lymphoma in BLV infection. BLV is one of the most common infectious viruses of cattle with a worldwide distribution. BLV infected cows have a higher culling rate due to higher susceptibility to opportunistic and infectious agents (mastitis, lameness diseases, ringworm infection etc.), decreased productivity, and lower reproductivity (Rodriguez et al., 2011).

As to low milk production, it is necessary to note that if the cow’s yearly estimated milk production level is 20% or more below the herd’s average it may be enough justification to base a culling decision (Small Farm Resource, 2002). According to our data, cows with the G/G genotypes are characterized by considerable variation of the milk yield and milk fat yield between lactations in contrast to A/A homozygote or A/G heterozygote, in which sex of the calf didn’t influence on milk performance traits (Figure 4). The milk yield and milk fat yield of cows with the G/G genotype were decreased by almost 17% in case of birth of a heifer calf than that in case of birth of a bull calf. It is clear that such a decrease in milk production after birth of a heifer calf increases the probability of culling of the cow.

The reason for variation in milk yield and milk fat yield that depends on the sex of calves may be a kind of dialogue that goes on during pregnancy between the mother and her unborn baby. This dialogue prepares the mother for lactation that is adequate for the physiological needs of newborn calves. Genotype-restricted influences of sex on milk performance traits take place only in cows with the G/G genotype. Our analysis of residual variances of milk yield, percentage of fat, and milk fat yield over the entire life span of individual cows confirmed the contribution of fetal sex to variation in the milk production traits. According to the analysis of residual variances, lactations following the birth of a heifer calves were characterized by lower milk productivity in comparison with the corresponding individual average value for the entire life span of the cow. Similarly, the milk production following the birth of a bull calf exceeds the individual’s lifetime mean. These differences in lactation in response to the sex of newborn calf relate only to milk and milk fat yield. Sex of the calf didn’t influence the percentage of milk fat. Thus, analysis of residual variances of milk performance data shows that the sex of progeny significantly influences preparation of the mother for lactation.

In a majority of mammals, male infants grow faster and demand increasing milk production from their mothers (Lark et al., 2006). It is possible that a male fetus prepares the mother’s body for more intensive lactation already during intrauterine development. As this effect was observed in cows with the G/G genotype only, it seems most likely that signal transduction in the “mother-fetus” system occurs by HY antigens-induced mother's immune responses. It is known that several widely expressed genes on the Y chromosome code for male-specific proteins that provoke an immune reaction in mothers (Graves, 2010). TNFα is known to induce a normal mammary gland development, but at the same time it is also able to induce apoptotic cell death (Locksley et al., 2001). The mean transcriptional promoter activity is significantly decreased in -824G promoter compared to -824A promoter of the TNFα gene in vitro (Konnai et al., 2006). In these terms, probable low TNFα production in cows with the G/G genotype may enhance the number of mammary epithelial cells. Finally decreased TNFα production may also be involved in the modulation of Th1/Th2 balance during maternal immune response to HY antigens (Herman et al., 2011).

Allocation of resources according to needs of male and female progeny in cows with the G/G genotype may improve reproductive fitness of wild cattle species. This idea is supported indirectly by its high frequency in the Yakut cattle, living in extreme Arctic conditions with extremely cold winter and high ectoparasite (mosquito etc.) burden in summer.

The efficiency of infant suckling and milk removal governs the milk volume produced in the udder. Observations show that male calves suck from their mothers more frequently than female calves (Clutton-Brock et al., 1981). But in dairy farms calves are separated from their mothers immediately after birth and are fed with artificial food (More et al., 2010). In particular, this practice takes place in the experimental farm “Elitnoe” where our study was carried out. Other authors found that the sex of offspring had no effect on milk yield (Wohlt et al., 1984). But they didn’t take into consideration possible influence of genetic factors and calf nursing behavior on this trait.

Another reason for different milk production among G/G individuals that is correlated with the sex of the calf may be the stable individual differences in milk
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