Effects of zinc incorporation on hierarchical ZSM-11 catalyst for methanol conversion
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Abstract Hierarchical ZSM-11 and Zn-ZSM-11 catalysts were used in this study. The effects of two methods (direct synthesis and impregnation) of zinc incorporation on methanol conversion were investigated in a continuous-flow isotherm fixed-bed reactor. XRD, SEM, BET, FTIR, and XRF analytical results revealed that the introduction of zinc through direct synthesis generated new Bronsted acid sites that could tune the ratio of light olefins. The damage to the framework structure after zinc incorporation restrained the aromatization, dehydrogenation, and decomposition of methanol. The extent of this impact determined the degree of deactivation behaviors. Thus, the yield of propene and butene was enhanced through the direct synthesis method (2 % ZnZ11-C, 4 % ZnZ11-C), and the sample 4 % ZnZ11-C displayed a fast deactivation.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords Hierarchical ZSM-11 · Methanol · Zinc · Acid site · Structure damage

Introduction

Methanol-to-hydrocarbon (MTH) technology, mainly the methanol-to-gasoline (MTG) and methanol-to-olefin (MTO) reactions, are regarded as a competitive route to convert coal or natural gas into high-octane gasoline and chemicals because of the shortage of petroleum resources. Studies indicate that the distribution of products obtained by zeolitic methanol conversion strongly depends on the acidity (acid strength and number of acid sites) and channel structure of zeolite [1]. Recent studies modulating the product distribution in methanol conversion have mainly focused on ZSM-5 zeolite with the incorporation of several metal species, such as Ag/ZSM-5 [2], Cu/Zn/HZSM-5 [3], and Ga2O3/HZSM-5 [4]. The species above mainly exhibit good methanol-to-aromatics (MTA) ability and high benzene–toluene–xylene (BTX) yield. Mohammad Rostamizadeh et al. found that the Mn and P promoters can control the side reactions and reduce the by-products to improve the selectivity of propene [5]. Moreover, zinc species are known to play an essential role in the enhancement of the aromatics selectivity. Ono et al. [6] concluded that zinc ions were important for the dehydrogenation of alkenes to aromatics. Simultaneously, the presence of zinc ions promoted the decomposition of methanol. Ni et al. [7] prepared nano-sized H[Zn, Al]ZSM-5 zeolite through the direct synthesis procedure. The direct synthesis method was found to be beneficial for the dispersion of Zn species. In addition, the nanostructure could control methanol decomposition and avoid deep aromatization. The above-
mentioned studies indicate that the role of Zn species in zeolite is determined by different channel structures and acidity of zeolite.

Recently, our research group has synthesized a hierarchical ZSM-11 zeolite through a simple and low-cost method [8]; the material features intercrystalline mesoporous and rod-like crystal intergrowth morphology. This zeolite has been successfully produced on an industrial scale and its derivatives displayed excellent activity in methanol and glycerol conversion reactions [9–12]. The nanostructure, mesopores, and low sinuosity make ZSM-11 favorable for facile diffusion of primary products and coke precursors; thus, it can reduce secondary reactions and prolong the catalyst lifetime [13]. In a previous study, it was found that ZSM-11 catalyst indeed promoted the production of propylene and gasoline in methanol conversion [14]. The role of zinc in the structure, properties, and reaction performance of this zeolite is still unclear; thus, the modification of zinc species on ZSM-11 zeolite through two methods, namely, direct synthesis and impregnation, is investigated.

**Experimental**

**Catalyst preparation**

Hierarchical ZSM-11 zeolite was prepared according to the method described in Refs. [10, 11]. In short, the synthesis was as follows: 2.54 g NaOH and 2.26 g Al₂(SO₄)₃·18H₂O were dissolved in 20 g H₂O. Then a mixture composed of 33.05 g silica sol, 1.16 g tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBABr), and 20 g H₂O was added, followed by addition of ZnO powder. The molar composition of the hierarchical ZSM-11 zeolite mixture was Na₂O:Al₂O₃:ZnO:SiO₂:(TBA)₂O:H₂O = 9.0:1.0:X (X = 0.0, 5.0, 9.0):65:0.5:1300. The gel was transferred into a teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The crystallization was first carried out at 90 °C for 24 h and then heated to 170 °C for 8 h. The product was filtered, washed, dried, and then calcined at 550 °C in air for 3 h with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Then the zeolites were turned into the H form by three consecutive ion exchanges in 1 mol/L NH₄NO₃ solution at 80 °C for 2 h. The resultant zeolite was designated as Parent, 2 % ZnZ11, and 4 % ZnZ11 based on the XRF analysis result. Pre 4 % zeolite was obtained through impregnation of an aqueous solution of Zn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O at a Zn/zeolite ratio of 0.04.

Catalysts were prepared with 50 wt% of kaolin, 35 wt% of zeolite and 15 wt% of colloidal silica as a binder (40 wt% SiO₂). The solid solution was dried and calcined at 700 °C for 2 h. The catalysts were denoted as Parent-C, 2 % ZnZ11-C, 4 % ZnZ11-C, and Pre 4 %-C, respectively.

**Catalyst characterization**

Bulk crystalline phases of the catalysts were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) on Philips X’Pert PRO MPD diffractometer (PANalytical Company, The Netherlands). The morphology and structure of the solids were investigated with scanning electron microscopy S-4800 (Hitachi Company, Japan). Textural parameters of the samples were determined by nitrogen adsorption isotherms using Quantachrome Autosorb iQ apparatus. X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy (Axios) was used to analyze the composition of the samples. The characteristic vibration bands of the zeolites were measured by FTIR on a Nexus Model Infrared Spectrophotometer (Nicolet Co, USA). The samples were pretreated in N₂ flow for 1 h at 500 °C in vacuum. Pyridine Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (Py-FTIR) measurements were performed to study the acid properties by NEXUS FTIR. The coking amount was calculated from a TG–DTA curve measured on a NET-ZSCH Proteus STA449C in air.

**Catalytic testing**

The catalytic testing was carried out at 450 °C in a fixed-bed microreactor under atmospheric pressure. The setup for catalyst test is shown in Fig. 1. The catalyst loading was 2.0 g (40–60 mesh) and the weight hourly space velocity (WHSV) for pure methanol was 5.53 h⁻¹.

![Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the catalytic test unit for methanol conversion](image)
The composition of gaseous products was analyzed using a Bruker 450-GC gas chromatography (GC) with a TCD detector to analyze the content of hydrogen, nitrogen, and carbon oxide, and an FID detector column to determine the composition of hydrocarbons. Liquid products were analyzed on Agilent 6820 gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with HP-INNOWAX capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 μm) and a flame ionization detector (FID) using ethanol as an internal standard. Both methanol and DME were regarded as reactants for calculation.

Results and discussion

Figure 2 exhibits typical diffraction peaks indexed to [501] and [303] crystal planes, corresponding to the MEL framework structure [15]. No diffraction peaks of zinc oxide crystallites were observed, indicating that the pure phase of the samples were obtained and zinc species were highly dispersed on the surface or in the skeleton of the zeolite. Si/Al ratio of all zeolites measured by XRF was approximately 28 ± 1, and zinc content in Pre 4 % was close to 4 wt%. Figure 3 presents the structure of ZSM-11 zeolite, including nanorods, which grafted and aggregated together to form spheroidal particles of approximately 1–2 μm in diameter. The smooth and original spheroidal forms of the crystal surface tended to be oval through direct synthesis, implying that zinc was incorporated in the formation of zeolite and thus affected the morphology [11].

The catalysts were tested in MTO reaction under the same reaction conditions. The results in Fig. 4 show that all catalysts demonstrate almost 100 % of initial methanol conversion. However, the deactivation rates were quite different. Parent-C, Pre 4 %-C, and 2 % ZnZ11-C retained a methanol conversion of approximately 90 % after 2 h on stream. By contrast, reduction in methanol conversion for 4 % ZnZ11-C was accelerated at 1.5 h. N2 adsorption-desorption isotherms (Fig. 5) and physical properties (Table 1) of the samples revealed that the difference for Pre 4 %-C and 2 % ZnZ11-C was mainly located in pore volume (0.22 and 0.19 cm3/g, respectively) and surface area (375 and 329 m2/g, respectively). No significant influences were displayed for the catalytic lifetime. In addition, the amount of Brønsted acid sites for Pre 4 %-C shown in Fig. 6 decreased drastically compared with Parent-C. The change of acid sites in the present range also hardly affected the deactivation behaviors. Thus, the relative low pore volume, surface area, and acid amount in the study were not crucial for the rapid deactivation of 4 % ZnZ11-C. The negative performance might be ascribed to the severe damage to the framework, as reflected by the poor crystallinity.

Product distribution on these catalysts was observed to be extremely similar. As seen, ZSM-11 catalyst was notably excellent for converting methanol into propene and petroleum-range boiling products. However, the yield of BTX at 2 h on stream significantly decreased after direct incorporation of zinc (Table 2). Machado et al. found that iron species in [Fe, Al]-ZSM-5 could be extracted from the structure by severe calcination, which resulted in low yield of liquid hydrocarbons and short catalytic lifetime in the conversion of ethanol or methanol [16]. Our result agreed well with Machado’s findings. The poor aromatization performance and short catalytic lifetime of the catalysts by direct synthesis could be due to the partial removal of Zn species upon severe calcination and subsequent damage to the zeolite framework. However, Zn species were located on the outer surface of the sample through impregnation, and thus this had little effect on Pre 4 %. Consequently, the production of hydrogen was inhibited for weak dehydrogenation and the decomposition of methanol was suppressed simultaneously by direct synthesis, which was linked to the lower yield of carbon oxides [6]. In addition, it was interesting to note that 4 % ZnZ11-C was more favorable for the formation of propene and butene than Pre 4 %-C under the same zinc content.

The determination of acid sites by adsorption of pyridine was employed to observe the correlation between the acid sites and product distribution. Moreover, the nature of acid sites was derived from the IR spectra of the OH groups in the zeolites. Pyridine-IR spectra (Fig. 6b) revealed that the increase of Lewis acid amount was a result of new strong Lewis acid sites generated, which could be observed in the...
new signal at 1616 cm$^{-1}$ and double bands at 1450 cm$^{-1}$ [17, 18]. Meanwhile, the intensity of the band at 3596 cm$^{-1}$ associated with bridging hydroxyl groups (Si(OH)Al) was declined for zinc introduction due to the partial exchange of acidic hydroxyl groups by zinc ions [18]. This phenomenon could give an explanation for the
high resistance to coking for zinc-introduced catalysts (Fig. 7) [19]. Nevertheless, compared with Pre 4 % and 2 % ZnZ11, 4 % ZnZ11 provided more Brønsted and Lewis acid sites. The extra-framework alumina and zinc formed because of the structure damage and the formation of $\text{O}^-\text{Zn}^{2+}\text{O}^-$ species could be responsible for increasing Lewis acid sites [20]. New Brønsted acid sites might be generated for Zn species entering and participating in the formation of the zeolite framework. Moreover, the shift to higher wavenumber of around 3610 cm$^{-1}$ for Zn directly introduced catalysts was accompanied by a high yield of propene and butene. In addition to the fact that the Zn$^{2+}$ cation in bulk ZnO was in the [ZnO$_4$]$^{2-}$ tetrahedral unit [21], ZnO$_4$ tetrahedral units would bond with surrounding silicate tetrahedral (Fig. 8) [22]. Therefore, the distribution of light olefins might be possibly related to the formation of Brønsted acid sites (Si(OH)Zn). Nonetheless, the newly formed Brønsted acid sites could not counteract the effect of damaged structure and exchange of zeolitic protons with the zinc ions, resulting in the decrease in the

| Sample    | Surface area (m$^2$/g) | Pore volume (cm$^3$/g) | Relative crystallinity (%) |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
|           | $S_{\text{Ext}}$  | $S_{\text{Micro}}$ | $S_{\text{BET}}$ | $V_{\text{micro}}$ | $V_{\text{meso}}$ | $V_{\text{Total}}$ |                     |
| Parent    | 72 | 341 | 413 | 0.138 | 0.098 | 0.236 | 100 |
| 2 % ZnZ11 | 37 | 293 | 329 | 0.114 | 0.072 | 0.186 | 88  |
| 4 % ZnZ11 | 50 | 243 | 293 | 0.097 | 0.094 | 0.191 | 70  |
| Pre 4 %   | 71 | 304 | 375 | 0.123 | 0.095 | 0.218 | 94  |
total amount of Brønsted acid sites and secondary reactions compared with Parent-C. The intensity of band at 3740 cm$^{-1}$ (Fig. 6a) assigned to the isolated silanol groups (SiOH) located on the external surface of zeolite dropped sharply through direct synthesis due to low external surface illustrated in Table 1 and the formation of ZO–Zn–O–Si species at the expense of silanol groups by zinc incorporation [23].

Table 2 Product distribution for methanol conversion on various catalysts

| Catalysts   | Conversion (wt%) | Yield (wt%) | $Y_{\text{BTX}}$ (wt%) |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|------------------------|
|             | CH$_4$ | C$_2$ | C$_3$ | C$_4$ | C$_6$H$_6$ | C$_7$H$_8$ | C$_8$H$_{10}$ | C$_9$H$_{12}$ |       |
| Parent-C    | 99.9   | 1.0   | 4.6  | 10.6 | 6.3    | 0.2    | 2.0    | 5.7    | 1.6  | 7.8  |
| 2% ZnZ11-C  | 99.8   | 0.8   | 4.4  | 12.0 | 7.1    | 0.2    | 1.7    | 4.0    | 0.7  | 5.8  |
| 4% ZnZ11-C  | 98.2   | 0.8   | 4.4  | 12.2 | 7.2    | 0.1    | 1.2    | 3.6    | 0.6  | 4.9  |
| Pre 4 %-C   | 99.8   | 0.8   | 4.4  | 11.0 | 6.73   | 0.2    | 1.9    | 5.2    | 1.5  | 7.3  |

Reaction conditions: 450 °C, WHSV = 5.53 h$^{-1}$. Data obtained at 2 h TOS

a The yield of BTX hydrocarbons

Fig. 7 TGA Profiles of coked catalysts. The weight loss after 300 °C was representative of the amount of coke: a Parent-C; b 2 % Zn11-C; c 4 % Zn11-C; d Pre 4 %-C

Fig. 8 Change in the structure of modified acid sites during introduction of Zn illustrated in Table 1 and the formation of ZO–Zn–O–Si species at the expense of silanol groups by zinc incorporation [23].
Conclusions

In this study, the hierarchical ZSM-11 and Zn-ZSM-11 catalysts were applied in MTO reactions. Two methods for zinc incorporation were compared. Experimental results demonstrated that the yield of alkanes and coke declined after the introduction of Zn because of decreasing Brønsted acid sites. The direct synthesis method generated new Brønsted acid sites from (Si(OH)Zn), which favored the formation of propene and butene. The structural damage to catalysts due to the direct incorporation of zinc species could be disadvantageous to the formation of aromatics, hydrogen, and carbon oxides. The deactivation behaviors might be attributed to the extent of structure damage.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Research Fund for the Doctoral Programme of Higher Education (No. 2012CB215006).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Olsbye U, Svelle S, Bjørgen M, Beato P, Janssens TVW, Joensen F, Bordiga S, Lilienrup KP (2012) Conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons: how zeolite cavity and pore size controls product selectivity. Angew Chem Int Ed 51:5810–5831
2. Inoue Y, Nakashiro K, Ono Y (1995) Selective conversion of methanol into aromatic hydrocarbons over silver-exchanged ZSM-5 zeolites. Microporous Mater 4:379–383
3. Zaidi HA, Pant KK (2004) Catalytic conversion of methanol to gasoline range hydrocarbons. Catal Today 96:155–160
4. Freeman D, Wells RPK, Hutchings GJ (2002) Conversion of methanol to hydrocarbons over Ga2O3/H-ZSM-5 and Ga2O3/WO3 catalysts. J Catal 205:358–365
5. Rostamizadeh M, Taeb A (2015) A highly selective Me-ZSM-5 catalyst for methanol to propylene (MTP). J Ind Eng Chem 27:297–306
6. Ono Y, Adachi H, Senoda Y (1988) Selective conversion of methanol into aromatic hydrocarbons over zinc-exchanged ZSM-5 zeolites. J Chem Soc Faraday Trans 84:1091–1099
7. Ni Y, Sun A, Wu X, Hai G, Hu J, Li T, Li G (2011) The preparation of nanosized H[Zn, Al]ZSM-5 zeolite and its application in the aromatization of methanol. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 143:435–442
8. Li C, Yu Q, Chen J (2012) The synthesis of a hierarchical ZSM-11 zeolite. C.N. Patent ZL 20120003750.5
9. Yu Q, Meng X, Liu J, Li C, Cui Q (2013) A fast organic template-free, ZSM-11 seed-assisted synthesis of ZSM-5 with good performance in methanol-to-olefin. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 181:192–200
10. Yu Q, Li Y, Meng X, Cui Q, Li C (2014) One-step synthesis of hierarchical Zn-ZSM-11 via a facile ZnO route. Mater Lett 124:204–207
11. Yu Q, Li C, Tang X, Yi H (2015) One-step synthesis, characterization and catalytic performance of hierarchical Zn-ZSM-11 via facile ZnO routes. RSC Adv 5:8152–8162
12. Gu Y, Cui N, Yu Q, Li C, Cui Q (2012) Study on the influence of channel structure properties in the dehydration of glycerol on H-zeolite catalysts. Appl Catal A 429–430:9–16
13. Wang S, Li C, Meng X, Yu Q, You TCN (2012) A method for methanol selectively producing propene and clean gasoline. C.N. Patent 201210179763.8
14. Zhang L, Liu H, Li X, Xie S, Wang Y, Xin W, Liu S, Xu L (2010) Differences between ZSM-5 and ZSM-11 zeolite catalysts in 1-hexene aromatization and isomerization. Fuel Process Technol 91:449–455
15. Kokotailo GT, Chu P, Lawton SL, Meier WM (1978) Synthesis and structure of synthetic zeolite ZSM-11. Nature 275:119–120
16. Machado NRCF, Calsavara V, Astrath NCG, Neto AM, Baesso ML (2006) Hydrocarbons from ethanol using [Fe,Al]ZSM-5 zeolites obtained by direct synthesis. Appl Catal A 311:193–198
17. Lerici LC, Renzini MS, Sedran U, Pierrella LB (2013) Tertiary recycling of low-density polyethylene by catalytic cracking over ZSM-11 and BETA zeolites modified with Zn2+: stability study. Energy Fuels 27:2202–2208
18. Szanyi J, Paffett MT (1996) The adsorption of carbon monoxide on H-ZSM-5 and hydrothermally treated H-ZSM-5. Microporous Mater 7:201–218
19. Wang P, Yang L, Hu DJ, Xu J, Lu G (2012) Synthesis of SAPO-34 with small and tunable crystallite size by two-step hydrothermal crystallization and its catalytic performance for MTO reaction. Catal Today 212:62.e1–62.e8
20. Joseph AB, George DM, Enrique I (1998) Structure and density of active Zn species in Zn/H-ZSM5 propane aromatization catalysts. J Catal 179:192–202
21. Yoshida H, Shimizu T, Murata C, Hattori T (2003) Highly dispersed zinc oxide species on silica as active sites for photo-oxidation of propene by molecular oxygen. J Catal 220:226–232
22. Mckeown DA, Muller IS, Buechele AC, Pegg IL (2000) Local environment of Zn in zirconium borosilicate glasses determined by X-ray absorption spectroscopy. J Non-Cryst Solids 261:155–162
23. Niu X, Gao J, Miao Q, Dong M, Wang G, Fan W, Qin Z, Wang J (2014) Influence of preparation method on the performance of Zn-containing HZSM-5 catalysts in methanol-to-aromatics. Microporous Mesoporous Mater 197:252–261