High-resolution Beijing mesosphere–stratosphere–troposphere (MST) radar detection of tropopause structure and variability over Xianghe (39.75° N, 116.96° E), China
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Abstract. As a result of partial specular reflection from the atmospheric stable layer, the radar tropopause (RT) can simply and directly be detected by VHF radars with vertical incidence. Here, the Beijing mesosphere–stratosphere–troposphere (MST) radar measurements are used to investigate the structure and the variabilities in the tropopause in Xianghe, China, with a temporal resolution of 0.5 h from November 2011 to May 2017. The high-resolution radar-derived tropopause is compared with the thermal lapse-rate tropopause (LRT) that is defined by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) criterion from twice-daily radiosonde soundings and with the dynamical potential vorticity tropopause (PVT) that is defined as the height of the 2 PVU (PVU – potential vorticity units; 1 PVU = 10^{10} m^2 s^{-1} K kg^{-1}) surface. We only consider tropopauses below 16 km in this study because of limitations with the radar system. During all the seasons, the RT and the LRT in altitude agree well with each other, with a correlation coefficient of ≥ 0.74. Statistically, weaker (higher) tropopause sharpness seems to contribute to larger (smaller) difference between the RT and the LRT in altitude. The RT agrees well with the PVT in altitude during winter and spring, with a correlation coefficient of ≥ 0.72, while the correlation coefficient in summer is only 0.33. As expected, the monthly mean RT and LRT height both show seasonal variations. Lomb–Scargle periodograms show that the tropopause exhibits obvious diurnal variation throughout the seasons, whereas the semidiurnal oscillations are rare and are occasionally observed during summer and later spring. Our study shows the potential of the Beijing MST radar to determine the tropopause height as well as present its diurnal oscillations.

1 Introduction

The tropopause marks a transition zone separating the well-mixed convectively active troposphere from the stably stratified and more quiescent stratosphere. Its structure and variability are characterized by large changes in thermal (e.g., lapse rate), dynamical (e.g., potential vorticity), and chemical properties (e.g., ozone and water vapor), and it hence plays a key role in the stratosphere–troposphere exchange (STE) processes (Hoinka, 1998; Seidel et al., 2001). The height of the tropopause depends significantly on the latitude, being located at about 17 km near the Equator and less than 9–10 km at polar latitudes (Ramakrishnan, 1933). Over subtropical latitudes with the presence of subtropical jet, where the tropopause experiences rapid change or breaking, tropopause folding events are commonly observed (Pan et al., 2004). Climatologically, the altitude of the tropopause represents the seasonal variation in the flux of stratospheric air intruding into the troposphere (Appenzeller et al., 1996). Moreover, the tropopause height trends can be a sensitive indicator of anthropogenic climate change (Sausen and Santer, 2003; Santer et al., 2003a; Añel et al., 2006).
A variety of methods are available to determine the extratropical tropopause. Radiosonde sounding is most commonly used to define the thermal tropopause (hereafter referred to as LRT) based on the temperature lapse rate (WMO, 1957). The thermal definition of the tropopause can be applied globally, and the tropopause height can easily be determined from one individual profile (Santer et al., 2003b). Another feasible definition is to use a specific potential vorticity (PV) surface to represent the dynamical tropopause (hereafter referred to as PVT; Reed, 1955). The dynamical definition has the advantage that the PV is a conserved property (under adiabatic and frictionless conditions) of an air mass (Bethan et al., 1996). Values in the range 1–4 PVU (PVU – potential vorticity units; 1 PVU = 10^8 m^2 s^{-1} K kg^{-1}) are used in previous research in the Northern Hemisphere (e.g., Baray et al., 2000; Sprenger et al., 2003; Hoerling et al., 1991). The threshold of 2 PVU surface is the most commonly used (Gettelman et al., 2011). Dynamical definition, however, is not applicable near the Equator, where the PV tends to be 0 (e.g., Hoerling et al., 1991; Nielsen-Gammon, 2001). Creating a blended tropopause globally may probably have a good way forward (Wilcox et al., 2012). In addition, the data of GPS radio occultation satellites are also an effective way and commonly applied to study tropopause (e.g., Schmidt et al., 2005; Son et al., 2011).

As a result of partial specular reflection from the stable atmospheric layer, the radar tropopause (RT) can be represented well and identified by atmospheric radars operating at the meter wavelength (VHF band) and directed at vertical incidence (Gage and Green, 1979). Research activity increased remarkably following the first report on VHF radar detection of tropopause by Gage and Green (1979), for instance, the research in middle latitudes (e.g., Hermawan et al., 1998), polar regions (e.g., Hall, 2013a), and tropical regions (e.g., Das et al., 2008; Ravindrababu et al., 2014). Several methods have been proposed to determine the tropopause height via radar echo power, including the largest gradient in echo power (Vaughan et al., 1995; Alexander et al., 2013), the maximum echo power (Vaughan et al., 1995; Hall et al., 2009), and the specific value of echo power (Gage and Green, 1982; Yamamoto et al., 2003). The method of the RT height determination used in this paper will be described in detail in the next section.

The biggest advantage of VHF radar measurements is the ability of continuous operation unmanned in any weather conditions. Of course, no definition of the tropopause is perfect. The VHF radar system can only be limited to a few locations globally. A detailed review of the close relationship between these different tropopause definitions is provided by Alexander et al. (2013).

By means of the radiosonde, reanalysis, and satellite data available globally, long-term (annual or longer) variability in tropopause height has received extensive attention (e.g., Randel et al., 2000; Angell and Korshover, 2009; Son et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2014). However, short-term (diurnal or semidiurnal) variability in the tropopause is hard examined with these measurements. In contrast, benefiting from the much higher temporal resolution, the radar definition of the tropopause provides good capability for studying the diurnal and semidiurnal variation in tropopause height. Earlier, Yamamoto et al. (2003) reported the capability of the equatorial atmospheric radar to examine the diurnal variation in tropopause height. Then, the diurnal variability of the tropical tropopause was investigated in detail by Das et al. (2008) using the Indian Gadanki mesosphere–stratosphere–troposphere (MST) radar. Its diurnal variation over a polar-latitude station was investigated by Hall (2013b). In the absence of pressure and temperature parameters, the evidence of atmospheric tides can be represented well by winds (e.g., Huang et al., 2015).

The tropopause structure in the midlatitudes is different from that in other regions. The double tropopause structure is a ubiquitous feature over midlatitude regions near 40° N (Pan et al., 2004; Randel et al., 2007a). Strong evidence has revealed that the poleward intrusion of subtropical tropospheric air that occurred above the subtropical jet has resulted in the double structure (Pan et al., 2009). The higher part (second tropopause near ~ 16 km) is characterized by tropical features of the cold and higher level, whereas the lower part (first tropopause near ~ 12 km) is characterized by polar features of the warm and lower level. In the present study, we focus only on the first tropopauses below 16 km, and these will be referred to as tropopause hereafter.

In this study, using more than 5 years of Beijing MST radar echo-power measurements in vertical beam, we mainly focus on the high-resolution characteristics of the tropopause structure and their comparison with the simultaneous radiosonde and dynamical definitions. Another important objective of this study is to examine the diurnal and semidiurnal variability in the tropopause. The observational characteristics of, for example, winds, echo power, and the data-acquisition rate near the tropopause layer are also presented in the paper.

2.1 Radar dataset

As an important part of the Chinese Meridian Project, two MST radar systems are designed and constructed to improve the understanding of the extratropical troposphere, lower stratosphere, and mesosphere (Wang, 2010), which are Wuhan and Beijing MST radars. The Beijing MST radar located in Xianghe, Hebei province, China (39.75° N, 116.96° E, 22 m a.s.l.), was designed and constructed by the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and started its routine operation on 20 October 2011 (Tian and Lu, 2017). The radar is a high-power coherent-pulse Doppler radar operating at 50 MHz, with the maximum peak power of 172 kW and the half-power beam width of 63°.
3.2°. Five beams are applied: one vertically pointed beam and four 15° off-zenith beams tilted to the north, east, south, and west. In order to obtain the high-quality measurements from the troposphere, lower stratosphere, and mesosphere simultaneously, the radar is designed to operate routinely in three separate modes: low mode (designed range ∼ 2.5–12 km), middle mode (∼ 10–25 km), and high mode (∼ 60–90 km), with vertical resolutions of 150, 600, and 1200 m, respectively. Under the routine operation, the 15 min break is followed by the 15 min operation cycle (5 min for each mode). As a result, the time resolutions of the low-, middle-, and high-mode measurements are all 30 min. A more detailed review of the radar system is given by Chen et al. (2016).

Here only the low-mode echo-power measurements are used to determine the RT height. Although the designed detectable range of the low mode is from ∼ 2.5–12 km, the vertically pointed beam can receive stronger echoes from a higher level (∼ 14–15 km) compared with those from off-vertical beams due to the partial specular reflection mechanism. The measurements in the middle mode are applied to calculate the winds or echo power within ∼ 5–6 km of the tropopause. The parameters for the two routine operation modes are listed in Table 1. The monthly total number of the echo-power profiles available in vertical beam (low mode) is shown in Fig. 1. The outliers or severely contaminated data mainly induced by system problems are eliminated. The large data gap in September is due to the annual preventive maintenance.

### 2.2 Tropopause definitions

Due to the large gradient in potential temperature, radar return power received at vertical incidence is significantly enhanced upon the transition zone of the tropopause layer. Using this characteristic, the RT height can be determined effectively. Here, the RT is defined as the altitude (above 500 hPa) where the maximum vertical gradient of echo power is located (Vaughan et al., 1995; Alexander et al., 2013; Ravindrababu et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2018). Considering the occasional and random noise to which the derived RT is sensitive, the echo-power profiles are smoothed by a three-point running mean. In order to further reduce the influence of the noise, the RT definition used here needs to satisfy an additional criterion: the determined RT height should be continuous with the adjacent RT heights (one on each side). Otherwise it needs to search for the second peak gradient (eliminated if the second peak does not meet the additional criterion). “Continuous” here means that the discrepancy between the two successive heights (in time, at 0.5 h interval)
should be < 0.6 km. A typical example of the RT and LRT is illustrated in Fig. 2. The LRT is identified based on the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) criteria (WMO, 1957). The radar aspect sensitivity is expressed as the ratio between vertical \( p_v \) and oblique \( p_o \) beam (here it is a 15° east beam) echo power. The radiosonde soundings are launched twice daily from the Beijing Meteorological Observatory (39.93° N, 116.28° E; station number 54511), which is less than 45 km from the radar site. In this case, the LRT and RT corresponded well and are at 11.65 and 11.85 km, respectively. As expected, the LRT characterized by a rapid increase in the potential temperature gradient also corresponds to a large gradient in radar aspect sensitivity. Note that the height with the maximum value in echo power lies at a higher altitude than that of the RT, at ~ 700 m above the LRT. The dynamical tropopauses used in this paper are derived from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim Reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011) and are defined as the surface of 2 PVU potential vorticity, which is the same as that used by Sprenger et al. (2003) and Alexander et al. (2013).

2.3 Tropopause sharpness definition

For the compared data pairs between the RT and LRT, we calculate the corresponding tropopause sharpness that represents the strength of the tropopause inversion layer. As defined by Wirth (2000), the tropopause sharpness \( S_{TP} \) can be calculated as

\[
S_{TP} = \frac{T_{TP+\Delta Z} - T_{TP}}{\Delta Z} - \frac{T_{TP} - T_{TP-\Delta Z}}{\Delta Z},
\]

where \( TP \) denotes the tropopause height, \( \Delta Z = 1 \) km, and \( T_{TP} \) indicates the corresponding temperature. This definition is also used in Alexander et al. (2013), and we use it for a good comparison with our results.

3 Results

3.1 High-resolution radar tropopause structure

The height–time cross section of radar echo power and aspect sensitivity is shown in Fig. 3 for a typical month (February 2014) along with the RT, PVT, and LRT marked in the figure. The RTs agreed well with both the LRT and PVT in height, and most of the RTs exhibit a slightly higher altitude.
Figure 4. Seasonal scatterplots of the RT versus LRT, and histogram distribution of altitude differences between the RT and the LRT, for (a) winter (DJF), (b) spring (MAM), (c) summer (JJA), and (d) autumn (SON) during the period November 2011–May 2017. The positive values in the histogram indicate the RT being located at a higher level than the LRT. The grey dashed line shows the 1 : 1 line. Here, $N$, $R^2$, mean, and SD indicate the sample numbers, correlation coefficient, mean difference, and standard deviation of the difference, respectively.

However, the differences between the RT and LRT are sometimes large (reaching $\sim 1–2$ km), especially when the RTs experience rapid change. Regardless of the background synoptic condition, the difference in the definitions themselves is to a large degree the main contributing factor to the large difference between the RT and LRT. For example, a second layer with significant enhanced echo power is observed above the radar-derived RT for the cases on 4 and 5 February 2012 (Fig. 3a). According to the definitions, the RT is defined well as the first layer, with enhanced echo power, and the LRT matched the second layer, similar to that observed by Yamamoto et al. (2003) and Fukao et al. (2003). It note-
worthy that the RT separates the troposphere characterized by low aspect sensitivity well from the lower-stratosphere characterized by high aspect sensitivity (Fig. 3b).

3.2 Comparisons between different definitions

To further quantify the consistency and difference in altitude between different tropopause definitions, a detailed comparison is carried out in this section. The seasonal scatterplots for RT versus LRT and the histogram distribution of altitude differences between them are shown in Fig. 4 for the period November 2011–May 2017. A total of 2411 data pairs are obtained for comparison. Among them, the number of data pairs is 845 for DJF (winter), 721 for MAM (spring), 321 for JJA (summer), and 524 for SON (autumn). Comparisons have shown good consistency throughout the seasons, and most of the RTs exhibit a slightly higher than the LRTs. The correlation coefficient is 0.74, 0.80, 0.82, and 0.78 for DJF, MAM, JJA, and SON, respectively. The mean and standard deviation difference (RT minus LRT) calculated in DJF, MAM, JJA, and SON is 0.14 ± 0.75, 0.26 ± 0.78, 0.33 ± 0.56, and 0.12 ± 0.69 km, respectively. The proportion of the data pairs with differences < 500 m is reasonably good during four seasons and is 63 %, 61 %, 64 %, and 67 % for DJF, MAM, JJA, and SON, respectively. Results of Fig. 4 indicate the potential of the Beijing MST radar to detect tropopauses throughout the seasons.

To examine the potential role of the sharpness, Fig. 5a and b show the histogram distribution of the tropopause sharpness along with the probability density curve for data pairs with differences (absolute values of RT minus LRT) < 0.5 and > 1 km, respectively. Results indicate that higher probabilities of large tropopause sharpness values occur when the RT–LRT difference is less than 0.5 km. No matter whether this distribution feature is associated with the cyclonic–anticyclonic systems (e.g., Randel et al., 2007b; Randel and Wu, 2010), the results more or less demonstrate that the larger (weaker) tropopause sharpness contributes to a lower (higher) difference between the RT and LRT. From the perspective of seasonal statistics, the tropopause sharpness over Beijing station shows similar distribution characteristics throughout the seasons (not shown), which is different from that in polar regions, where the sharpness is significantly higher during summer than during winter (Zängl and Hoinka, 2001).

The seasonal scatterplots and height difference histograms between the RT and PVT are illustrated and quantified in Fig. 6. The total number of data pairs to be compared for winter, spring, summer, and autumn is 1422, 1260, 791, and 1145, respectively. During winter and spring (Fig. 6a and b), the RTs agree reasonably well with the PVTs, with the correlation coefficient of 0.72 and 0.76 and the mean difference (RT minus PVT) of 0.55 ± 0.84 km and 1 ± 0.89 km, respectively. In contrast, the consistency for summer and autumn (Fig. 6c and d) is relatively bad, with a correlation coefficient of 0.33 and 0.47 and mean difference of 0.80 ± 1.39 km and 0.75 ± 1.23 km, respectively. Especially for summer, the proportion of the comparing data pairs with a difference < 0.5 km is only 10.6 % (84).

3.3 Observational characteristics in the vicinity of tropopause

Middle-mode radar measurements are used for examining the horizontal wind, return power, and effective wind data-acquisition rate within 5–6 km of the tropopause (upper troposphere and lower stratosphere). Figure 7a and c show the vertical scatterplots of the static stability (represented by the buoyancy frequency squared) as a function of height relative to the RT and LRT, and Fig. 7b and d show the radar echo power as a function of height relative to the RT during 2 specific years, 2012–2013, for extended winter NDJFM (November–December–January–February–March) and summer MJJAS (May–June–July–August–September) seasons. Mean and standard deviations are also plotted in each panel of Fig. 7. Results clearly show a sudden jump in static stability and radar power near the tropopause layer. The sudden increase in echo power is more gradual than that in static stability. The amplitude of the sudden increase in radar power experienced was slightly larger during NDJFM than during MJJAS (red lines of Fig. 7b and d). Another interesting feature in the lower stratosphere is that the static stability shows less dispersity during NDJFM than during MJJAS.
Figure 8 shows the profiles of the mean data-acquisition rate in radar wind for low and middle modes during November 2011–May 2017. Both profiles exhibit a sudden increase with height near the tropopause, with the first peak located ∼ 1 km higher above the mean tropopause height. Note that the second inversion in the middle-mode profile that occurred near 16 km is associated with the second tropopause. As limited by the highest detectable altitude (the data-acquisition rate decreased to lower than 20% at ∼ 16 km), the profile in low mode shows little evidence of a second inversion.

Figure 9 shows time–height intensity plot of the monthly mean radar-derived horizontal wind during November 2011–May 2017 together with the monthly mean location of the RT and LRT. The monthly mean RT and LRT agreed well with each other in height, within 400 m in August and September, and this agreement was even lower (within 200 m) in other months. They both exhibit a clear seasonal variation, with a maximum in early autumn of ∼ 11.6 km and a minimum in early spring of ∼ 10.3 km. The monthly mean wind jet varies with season, with the thinnest thickness and low-
Figure 7. Scatterplots of (a, c) static stability ($N^2$) and (b, d) radar-relative echo power as a function of altitude relative to the LRT (a, c) and RT (b, d) for extended winter (NDJFM) and summer (MJIAS) seasons for 2 specific years (2012–2013). Red lines in each panel denote the corresponding mean profiles, and the error bars indicate the standard deviations.

Figure 8. Vertical height profiles of the averaged effective radar wind data-acquisition rate in low mode and middle mode during November 2011–May 2017. The red dashed line indicates the mean RT height.

Figure 9. Height–time intensity map of monthly mean horizontal wind speed (shaded; m s$^{-1}$) derived from the middle mode of Beijing MST radar during November 2011–May 2017. Also shown is the monthly mean height of RT (black dots) and LRT (red dots; offset by +6 d) along with the vertical error bars representing the standard deviations.

3.4 Periodogram analysis of the radar tropopause

High-temporal-resolution detection of the tropopause by the VHF radar allowed us to investigate the diurnal or semidiurnal variability in the tropopause. Atmospheric tides are well-
known global oscillations contributing to the diurnal variation in temperature and background winds, which in turn modulate the tropopause height. With the absence of temperature measurements, zonal and meridional winds are applied to demonstrate the evidence of diurnal or semidiurnal modulation by tides. The frequency power spectrum of the RT height, zonal wind, and meridional wind, calculated by means of Lomb–Scargle method (Press and Rybicki, 1989), is illustrated in Fig. 10 for two typical months: May 2015 and December 2016. The Lomb–Scargle algorithm is applied due to the presence of data gaps (≈ 2 d per week, especially during 2012–2013). The dominant ∼ 24 h periodicity in all the three parameters is obvious for both months. The evidence of the ∼ 12 h period is observed for May 2015 (Fig. 10a), although the power is relatively weaker. Through the analysis for each individual month, we found that the semidiurnal component in the three parameters is generally and occasionally observed in summer and later spring during our experimental period. Characteristics of the diurnal variation in RT height can be represented better in Fig. 11, which shows the mean Lomb–Scargle power spectrum of the RT as a function of the month during November 2011–May 2017. Compared with other months, the dominant diurnal periodicity is less evident in April. We need to clarify that atmospheric tides are of course not the only source of the diurnal variation in tropopause height; diurnal convective activities (Yamamoto et al., 2003) might also be an important cause. Here this will not be discussed in detail.

4 Discussion

As for the radar echo-power definition, the RT estimation will sometimes fail due to the system problems, even if the thermal tropopause is well defined (Hall et al., 2009). Apart from the system problems (e.g., the damage of T/R module – transmit–receive module), the following two conditions are primarily responsible for the failure (or difficulty) of both the radar and thermal definitions over the radar site latitude (∼ 40° N). Firstly, the temperature sometimes continued to decrease until the stratosphere (above 16 km) in summer and early autumn, leading to the failure and/or difficulty of both the radar and thermal definitions (a typical case is shown in Fig. 12a). It needs to be noted that the temperature inversion layer that occurred at ∼ 16 km in summer or early autumn is the second tropopause with characteristics of the tropics (Pan et al., 2004; Randel et al., 2007a). Secondly, some specific meteorological processes can lead to the ambiguities and indefiniteness in thermal and radar definitions, such as fronts, cyclones or typhoons, and folding (e.g., Nastrom et al., 1989; May et al., 1991; Roettger, 2001; Alexander et al., 2013). Such ambiguities often result in large difference in altitude between the RT and LRT. Apart from the situations above, another condition is also commonly responsible for the difficulty in identifying the thermal tropopause from radiosonde profiles during summer. As a typical case, in Fig. 12b, a significant inversion in temperature (at ∼ 12 km) is recorded from the radiosonde profile, but the altitude extent of inversion layer is too thin to meet the WMO criterion that the thermal definition requires. In contrast, the apparent enhancement in radar echo power corresponding to such inversion layer is strong enough to define the RT well. The temperature inversion located near ∼ 16 km (the second tropopause) is not the focus of this paper.
Pan et al. (2004) reported that the differences between the LRT and PVT are more distinct in the vicinity of subtropical jet. In the Northern Hemisphere, the axis of the subtropical jet is situated near \( \sim 30^\circ \text{N} \) in spring and winter, whereas in summer and early autumn the subtropical jet shifts northward to \( \sim 40^\circ \text{N} \) (see Fig. 4 in Ding and Wang, 2006). We preliminarily considered that the inconsistency between the RT and PVT in summer and early autumn (Fig. 6c and d) is most likely related to the subtropical jet shifting poleward to \( \sim 40^\circ \text{N} \). The existing cyclones or anticyclones in the upper troposphere (Wirth, 2000), of course, may also be an important influence factor for the significant asymmetric differences (most of the scattered points deviate significantly from the 1:1 line). The asymmetric differences, which are most of the RTs being located higher than the 2 PVU tropopause height, suggest that the 2 PVU surface is not the best measure of a dynamical tropopause over Beijing during summertime. More detailed discussion about the striking asymmetric differences in height between LRT and PVT can be seen in Wirth (2001) and will not be given here. In any case, we need to be careful when using the 2 PVU dynamical definition to define the tropopause over the radar site latitude \( \sim 40^\circ \text{N} \), especially in summer.

With respect to the characteristics of the tropopause and the comparison between different definitions, there are many differences between midlatitude and polar regions. In the midlatitudes (\( \sim 40^\circ \text{N} \)), our results show that (1) the agreement between RT and LRT is similarly good throughout the seasons, (2) RTs are generally located higher than the LRT, (3) the thermal definition sometimes fails in summer and early autumn, and (4) the agreement between the RT–LRT and PVT in summer is poor. This is in contrast to previous research about the tropopause over polar regions (Wirth, 2000; Alexander et al., 2013) that reported that (1) the difference between the RT and LRT is larger during winter than that during summer, (2) RTs are generally located lower than the LRT, (3) the thermal definition sometimes fails in winter and spring, and (4) the comparison between the RT and PVT showed the similar good agreement during both summer and winter.

Over a polar-latitude station, the seasonal characteristics of the diurnal oscillation in tropopause height were investigated using 5 years of SOUSY VHF radar measurements (Hall, 2013b). The sunlight variability in polar regions is different from that in other latitudes of the world. Different sunlight variation actually will lead to differences in atmospheric tides and then result in a different diurnal variation in tropopause height. Here we found that the diurnal oscillation of RT height at Xianghe is ubiquitous and obvious throughout the seasons except for in the month of April (Fig. 11). In contrast, at the polar latitude and in the months of November to February, when there is no sunlight, Hall (2013b) observed little evidence of 24 h diurnal variability in RT height.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we present the high-resolution structure and variability in the tropopause in Xianghe, China (39.75\(^\circ\) N, 116.96\(^\circ\) E), based on the Beijing MST radar vertical-beam echo-power data collected during the period November 2011–May 2017. The fine-scale structure of the RT is determined well, with a high temporal resolution of 0.5 h.
Comparison results have shown good agreement in altitude between the RT and LRT, with a correlation coefficient of $\geq 0.74$ for the four seasons. Higher tropopause sharpness seems to contribute to fewer differences between the RT and LRT in altitude, and weaker sharpness appears to be responsible for higher difference. The agreement between the RT and PVT is relatively good in winter and spring, with a correlation coefficient of 0.72 and 0.76 respectively, but poor during summer, with a correlation coefficient of only 0.33. We initially suggested that the poor consistency between RT and PVT is associated with the subtropical jet shifting poleward to $\sim 40^\circ$ N.

As expected, the sudden jump in static stability (represented by the buoyancy frequency squared) and the rapid increase in radar echo power upon the tropopause layer are clearly observed. Upon the tropopause layer, a sudden increase in the effective radar data-acquisition rate is also observed. Both the monthly mean RT and LRT height have shown a clear seasonal variation. The variability and oscillation of RT height with diurnal or lower timescales are presented. Obvious diurnal variation in tropopause height, zonal wind, and meridional wind is generally observed throughout the seasons, indicating that the modulation is most likely from the atmospheric tides. The semidiurnal variation in RT height is not so obvious and commonly observed occasionally in summer and late spring.
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