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For the symmetric simple exclusion process on an infinite line, we calculate exactly the fluctuations of the integrated current $Q_t$ during time $t$ through the origin when, in the initial condition, the sites are occupied with density $\rho_a$ on the negative axis and with density $\rho_b$ on the positive axis. All the cumulants of $Q_t$ grow like $\sqrt{t}$. In the range where $Q_t \sim \sqrt{t}$, the decay $\exp[-Q_t^3/t]$ of the distribution of $Q_t$ is non-Gaussian. Our results are obtained using the Bethe ansatz and several identities recently derived by Tracy and Widom for exclusion processes on the infinite line.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding how currents of particles or of heat fluctuate through non equilibrium systems has motivated lots of efforts over the last two decades. A number of general symmetries of the distribution of these fluctuations, based on the microreversibility of the dynamics, have been discovered [11, 20, 21, 29]. Beyond these symmetries, the distribution of the current fluctuations has been calculated in several cases [9, 22, 25, 26, 27, 36]. For diffusive systems, a general theory, the macroscopic fluctuation theory, has been developed [6] which, under some conditions [7, 8, 10], allows one to calculate the whole distribution of these current fluctuations for systems maintained in a non-equilibrium steady state by contact with two reservoirs (of particles at different chemical potentials or of heat at different temperatures) [9, 17, 27]. For driven systems, which are not diffusive, the fluctuations belong to the universality class of the Kardar Parisi Zhang equation [31] and can be related to the fluctuations in growth models and to the theory of random matrices [30, 35, 36, 40, 42, 48, 49].

For diffusive systems as well as for driven systems, it has been very helpful to analyse simple models, in particular exclusion processes [32, 34, 47]. In exclusion processes, one considers particles hopping on a lattice with a hard core interaction which prevents two particles from being on the same lattice site. In one dimension, exclusion processes can be solved by the Bethe ansatz [4, 12, 13, 15, 23, 24, 39, 48, 49, 50] and a number of exact results have been obtained on the fluctuation of the current or the distribution of particles for a given initial condition [19, 37, 38, 44].

In the present work we consider the symmetric simple exclusion (SSEP) on an infinite one dimensional lattice. By definition of the model each lattice site is either empty or occupied by a single particle. The dynamics is stochastic: each particle hops to each of its neighboring sites at rate 1 if the move is not forbidden by the exclusion rule (each site is occupied by at most one particle). At time $t = 0$ each site at the left of the origin ($x \leq 0$) is occupied with a probability $\rho_a$ and each site at the right of the origin ($x > 0$) is occupied with a probability $\rho_b$ (see figure 1). We also assume that the measure at $t = 0$ is Bernoulli, meaning that there are no correlation between the occupation numbers of the different sites in the initial condition. We call $Q_t$ the total flux of particles between site 0 and site 1 during the time interval $t$. Our goal in the present paper is to determine, for large times $t$, the probability distribution of $Q_t$.

![FIG. 1: The initial condition when $\rho_a = 1$ and $\rho_b = 0$](image)

The same initial condition has already been considered for the asymmetric simple exclusion (ASEP) [3, 28, 36, 42, 44, 48, 49, 50], in particular in connection to random matrix theory.
Our main result is that, for large $t$, the generating function of this total flux is given by

$$\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle \sim e^{\sqrt{t} F(\omega)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

where the function $F(\omega)$ is defined by

$$F(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n^{3/2}} \omega^n \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \log \left[ 1 + \omega e^{-k^2} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

and $\omega$ is a function of $\rho_a, \rho_b, \lambda$

$$\omega = \rho_a(e^\lambda - 1) + \rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1) + \rho_a \rho_b(e^\lambda - 1)(e^{-\lambda} - 1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

**Remark:** It has already been noticed \[14\] that in the steady state of the SSEP on a large but finite chain, the generating function of the current was also a function of $\rho_a, \rho_b, \lambda$ through the same single parameter, $\omega$. This is a general property of the symmetric exclusion process that we shall establish in section 2 for a general graph.

**Remark:** From (123) one can obtain all the cumulants of $Q_t$, in the large $t$ limit. They all grow like $\sqrt{t}$ and the prefactor in the cumulant $\langle Q_t^n \rangle_c$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ in $\rho_a$ and $\rho_b$. For example, for $\rho_a = \rho$ and $\rho_b = 0$, one gets

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1/2} \langle Q_t \rangle_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho,$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1/2} \langle Q_t^2 \rangle_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \rho - \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{2}} \right),$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1/2} \langle Q_t^3 \rangle_c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \rho - \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \rho^2 + \frac{2}{3} \rho^3 \right),$$

while for $\rho_a = \rho_b = \rho$ one gets for the even cumulants (all the odd ones vanish by symmetry)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1/2} \langle Q_t^2 \rangle_c = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho(1 - \rho),$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-1/2} \langle Q_t^4 \rangle_c = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho(1 - \rho) - \frac{6 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \rho^2(1 - \rho)^2.$$

This can be compared to the variance of the position $X_t$ of a tagged particle [2, 3, 13, 41, 42, 51], which also grows like $\sqrt{t}$, by arguing that the typical distance between particles is $\rho^{-1}$ so that $\langle Q_t^2 \rangle \sim \rho^2 \langle X_t^2 \rangle$.

From the knowledge of the generating function (1), one can obtain the distribution of $Q_t$. This distribution takes, in the long time limit, a scaling form

$$\text{Pro} \left( \frac{Q_t}{\sqrt{t}} = q \right) \sim \exp[\sqrt{t} G(q)],$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $G(q)$ can be related to $F(\omega)$ by a Lagrange transform. This allows one to obtain the asymptotics of $G(q)$. One can see from the integral representation (2) of $F(\omega)$ that, for large positive $\omega$,

$$F(\omega) \simeq \frac{4}{3\pi} [\log \omega]^{3/2} + \frac{\pi}{6} [\log \omega]^{-1/2} + ..$$

and this gives for large positive $q$

$$G(q) \simeq -\frac{\pi^2}{12} q^3 + q \log(\rho_a(1 - \rho_b)) + ..$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

This paper is organized as follows: in section II we prove that the generating function $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle$ of $Q_t$ is a function of the densities $\rho_a, \rho_b$ and of $\lambda$ through the single parameter $\omega$ defined in (3). In section III we obtain an exact expression of the generating function of $Q_t$ from which we derive the large $t$ asymptotics (12).
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One can write the exact evolution of the expectation \( \langle \tau_x \rangle \) where \( \langle . \rangle \) denotes an average over the stochastic evolution and the initial conditions. On the infinite line it takes the form

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \tau_x \rangle = \langle \tau_{x+1} \rangle + \langle \tau_{x-1} \rangle - 2\langle \tau_x \rangle .
\]

As at time \( t = 0 \), one has \( \langle \tau_x \rangle = \rho_a \) for \( x \leq 0 \) and \( \langle \tau_x \rangle = \rho_b \) for \( x > 0 \), it is clear that at any later time the solution of (6) is linear in \( \rho_a \) and \( \rho_b \).

Similarly for any \( x < y \) the evolution of the 2-point correlation function is given for \( y > x + 1 \) by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \tau_x \tau_y \rangle = \langle \tau_{x+1} \tau_y \rangle + \langle \tau_{x-1} \tau_y \rangle + \langle \tau_x \tau_{y+1} \rangle + \langle \tau_x \tau_{y-1} \rangle - 4\langle \tau_x \tau_y \rangle
\]

and for \( y = x + 1 \) by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \tau_x \tau_{x+1} \rangle = \langle \tau_{x-1} \tau_{x+1} \rangle + \langle \tau_x \tau_{x+2} \rangle - 2\langle \tau_x \tau_{x+1} \rangle .
\]

These evolution equations do not involve higher correlation functions and as at \( t = 0 \) the only possible values of \( \langle \tau_x \tau_y \rangle \) are \( \rho_a^2, \rho_a \rho_b \) or \( \rho_b^2 \), one can easily see that at any later time \( \langle \tau_x \tau_y \rangle \) remains a quadratic function of \( \rho_a \) and \( \rho_b \).

One can generalize this property of the SSEP to all equal-time or unequal-time correlation functions on a general graph. Let us consider the symmetric exclusion process on such a graph: the vertices are either empty or occupied by a single particle and each particle can hop at rate one to every empty site to which it is directly connected by the graph. Therefore if \( X \equiv \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \) is a set of \( n \) different sites on the graph and if \( \langle \tau_X \rangle \) denotes their \( n \)-point correlation function

\[
\langle \tau_X \rangle = \langle \tau_{x_1} \ldots \tau_{x_n} \rangle ,
\]

it is easy to see that the evolution of \( \langle \tau_X \rangle \) is given by

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \langle \tau_X \rangle = \sum_{y \not\in X} \chi(y, x_k) \langle \tau_{y \tau_X \setminus \{x_k\}} - \langle \tau_X \rangle \rangle
\]
where \( \chi(x, y) = 1 \) or \( 0 \) indicates whether the edge \((x, y)\) is present or not on the graph. If initially all the \( \tau_{s} \)'s are uncorrelated, some sites being occupied with probability \( \rho_a \) and all the other sites occupied with probability \( \rho_b \), all the equal-time \( n \)-point correlation functions are obviously polynomials of degree exactly \( n \) in \( \rho_a \) and \( \rho_b \) at time \( t = 0 \). This property is preserved by the evolution \((9)\). A similar reasoning allows one to show that all the unequal time \( n \)-point correlation functions are also polynomials in \( \rho_a \) and \( \rho_b \) of degree at most \( n \).

On this general graph let us call \( A \) the subset of sites occupied at time \( t = 0 \) with probability \( \rho_a \) (all the other sites being occupied with probability \( \rho_b \)). Obviously one has \( \langle \tau_x \rangle = \rho_a \) if \( x \in A \) and \( \langle \tau_x \rangle = \rho_b \) if \( x \notin A \). If \( Q_t \) is the total flux out of the subset \( A \) during time \( t \), one has

\[
Q_t = \sum_{x \in A} \tau_x(0) - \tau_x(t).
\]

(10)

It is clear from \((10)\) that \( \langle Q_t^n \rangle \) can be expressed in terms of unequal-time \( n \)-point correlation functions and is therefore a polynomial of degree \( n \) in \( \rho_a \) and \( \rho_b \) (if one or more sites are repeated more than once in the correlation function, this may give a polynomial of lower degree as \( \tau_x^k = \tau_x \) for all \( k \geq 1 \)).

To show that \( \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle \) depends only on the reduced parameter \( \omega \), let us consider a finite lattice of \( N \) sites, where initially all the \( N_A \) sites of the subset \( A \) are occupied with density \( \rho_a \), and all the remaining \( N - N_A \) sites are occupied with density \( \rho_b \). One can write the generating function \( \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle \) as

\[
\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle = \sum_{p=0}^{N_A} \sum_{q=0}^{N_N} \rho_a^p (1 - \rho_a)^{N_N-p} \rho_b^q (1 - \rho_b)^{N - N_A - q} \ e^{-q\lambda} R_{p,q}(e^{\lambda}),
\]

(11)

where \( R_{p,q}(z) \) is a polynomial of degree \( p + q \) in \( z \) which depends on time and on the choice of the subset \( A \). The reason for the factor \( e^{-q\lambda} R_{p,q}(e^{\lambda}) \) is that this term corresponds to situations where initially there are \( p \) particles in the subgraph \( A \) and \( q \) particles in the rest of the graph so that \( Q_t \) can only take the values \( Q_t = -q, -q+1, \ldots, p \). If one expands this expression in powers of \( \rho_a \) and \( \rho_b \), one gets

\[
\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle = \sum_{p=0}^{N_A} \sum_{q=0}^{N_N} \rho_a^p \rho_b^q \ e^{-q\lambda} S_{p,q}(e^{\lambda}),
\]

(12)

where \( S_{p,q}(z) \) is also a polynomial of degree \( p + q \). For \( \langle Q_t^p \rangle \) to be a polynomial of degree \( n \) in \( \rho_a \) and \( \rho_b \) one needs that for \( \lambda \) small

\[
S_{p,q}(e^{\lambda}) = O(\lambda^{p+q}),
\]

so that the polynomial \( S_{p,q} \) has the form

\[
S_{p,q}(e^{\lambda}) = s_{p,q}(e^{\lambda} - 1)^{p+q},
\]

where \( s_{p,q} \) is a number which depends on time, on the graph and on the subgraph \( A \) but does not depend on \( \lambda \). This implies that \((11)\) can be rewritten as

\[
\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle = \sum_{p=0}^{N_A} \sum_{q=0}^{N_N} s_{p,q} \ [\rho_a(e^{\lambda} - 1)]^p \ [\rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1)]^q \equiv G(\rho_a(e^{\lambda} - 1), \rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1)).
\]

(13)

This shows clearly that \( \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle \) is already a function of only two reduced variables: \( \rho_a(e^{\lambda} - 1) \) and \( \rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1) \).

Let us now use the particle-hole symmetry. In the SSEP, holes have exactly the same dynamics as particles. Therefore the generating function of the flux \( Q_t \) is left invariant by the particle-hole symmetry \((\rho_a, \rho_b, \lambda) \rightarrow (1 - \rho_a, 1 - \rho_b, -\lambda)\). In terms of the function \( G \) of two variables defined in \((13)\), this means that, for any \( \rho_a, \rho_b, \lambda \)

\[
G(\rho_a(e^{\lambda} - 1), \rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1)) = G((1 - \rho_a)(e^{-\lambda} - 1), (1 - \rho_b)(e^{\lambda} - 1))
\]

(14)

and so, if \( \alpha = \rho_a(e^{\lambda} - 1) \) and \( \beta = \rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1) \), one has

\[
G(\alpha, \beta) = G(e^{-\lambda} - 1 + \alpha e^{-\lambda}, e^{\lambda} - 1 + \beta e^{\lambda}).
\]

(15)
As (15) is valid for any λ, one can choose $e^{-\lambda} = 1 + \beta$, which leads to
\[ G(\alpha, \beta) = G(\alpha + \beta + \alpha\beta, 0). \] (16)

This completes the proof that $G(\alpha, \beta)$ and therefore $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle$ are functions of the single variable $\alpha + \beta + \alpha\beta = \rho_a(e^{-\lambda} - 1) + \rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1) + \rho_a(e^{-\lambda} - 1)\rho_b(e^{-\lambda} - 1) \equiv \omega$.

Remark: This ω dependence of $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle$ was already noticed for the SSEP on a finite lattice of length $L$ connected at its extremities to two reservoirs of particles in [14], where it was, however, only obtained in the large $t$ and $L$ limit. A consequence of the above discussion is that the ω dependence remains valid for any system size $L$, at any time $t$, in more complicated geometries (in particular in higher dimensions), and for more complicated connections to the two reservoirs at densities $\rho_a$ and $\rho_b$. To illustrate this claim, let us consider as in [14] the SSEP on a one dimensional lattice of $L$ sites, with particles injected on site 1 and $L$ at rates $\alpha$ and $\delta$ and removed from these two sites at rates $\gamma$ and $\beta$. It is well known that these rates correspond to site 1 being connected to a reservoir at density $\rho_a = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \gamma}$ and site $L$ to a reservoir at density $\rho_b = \frac{\delta}{\beta + \delta}$. Instead of these input rates, one could think of site 1 being connected to a large number $N_1$ of sites (which mimic the left reservoir), which are all initially at density $\rho_a$, with an exchange rate $(\alpha + \gamma)/N_1$ between site 1 and each of these $N_1$ sites of the reservoir. Similarly one can replace the rates $\beta$ and $\delta$ by a large reservoir of $N_L$ sites, initially at density $\rho_b = \frac{\delta}{\beta + \delta}$, with an exchange rate $(\beta + \delta)/N_L$ with site $L$. If initially all sites $i \leq i_0$ are at density $\rho_a$ and all sites $i \geq i_0 + 1$ are at density $\rho_b$, we are in a situation where all the sites of the graph composed by the one-dimensional lattice and the reservoirs are initially occupied with probability either $\rho_a$ or $\rho_b$. In this geometry the flux $Q_t$ is then simply the total flux of particles between site $i_0$ and site $i_0 + 1$, and therefore, for this flux, we know from (14) that $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle$ depends on $\rho_a$, $\rho_b$, and $\lambda$ through the single parameter ω.

Because the generating function $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle$ depends only on the single parameter $\omega$ defined in (3), it can be written as
\[ \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n(t) \omega^n. \] (17)

Therefore, to determine the coefficients $s_n(t)$, one can limit the discussion to the particular case $\rho_b = 0$ where the analysis is easier. Then
\[ \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} s_n(t) \rho_a^n (e^{-\lambda} - 1)^n. \] (18)

This can be viewed as an expansion of $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle$ in powers to $\rho_a$. We are now going to express the coefficients $s_n(t)$ in terms of properties of exclusion process on an arbitrary graph. For simplicity we consider a finite graph. Initially, only the sites of the subset $A$ are occupied, with probability $\rho_a$; very much like in (11) one can write the generating function $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle$ as
\[ \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle = \sum_{E \subseteq A} \rho_a^{|E|} (1 - \rho_a)^{|A| - |E|} \sum_{q=0}^{|E|} \text{Pro}_t(E, q) e^{\lambda q}, \] (19)

where $\text{Pro}_t(E, q)$ is the probability that $q$ particles have escaped from $A$ during $t$, given that at $t = 0$ only its subset $E$ was occupied by particles. Comparing (18) and (19), we see that the coefficients $s_n(t)$ can be expressed in terms of the probabilities $\text{Pro}_t(E, q)$. In fact, this relation takes a simple form, which can be easily understood by taking simultaneously the limits $\rho_a \to 0$ and $\lambda \to \infty$, at fixed $\rho_a e^\lambda$, in (18) and (19): this leads to
\[ s_n(t) = \sum_{E \subseteq A, |E| = n} \text{Pro}_t(E, n), \] (20)

where the sum is over all the subsets $E$ of $n$ sites and $\text{Pro}_t(E, n)$ is the probability that, if initially all the $n$ sites of $E$ are occupied, the rest of the graph being empty, all the $n$ particles have escaped from $A$ at time $t$.

III. THE BETHE ANSATZ

The evolution equations of the correlation functions, on the infinite line, can be solved via the Bethe ansatz: for a fixed initial configuration, i.e. $\{\tau_x(0)\} = \{\eta_x\}$, it allows one to obtain the expression of all the correlations functions at any later time. For example the solution of (6) is
\[ \langle \tau_y(t) \rangle = \sum_x P_t^{(1)}(y|x) \eta_x, \] (21)
where
\[ P_t^{(1)}(y|x) = \oint_{|z_1|=r} \frac{dz_1}{2i\pi z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2i\pi z_2} \frac{z_1^{y-x}}{z_2^{y-x}} \exp \left[ (z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} - 2) t \right] \] (22)

where the integration contour is a counterclockwise circle of radius \( r \) (for convenience in what follows we choose \( r \ll 1 \)).

Similarly one can show that the solution of (7.18) is, for \( y_1 < y_2 \),
\[ \langle \tau_{y_1}(t) \tau_{y_2}(t) \rangle = \sum_{x_1 < x_2} P_t^{(2)}(y_1, y_2|x_1, x_2) \eta_{x_1} \eta_{x_2}, \] (23)

where
\[ P_t^{(2)}(y_1, y_2|x_1, x_2) = \oint_{|z_1|=|z_2|=r} \frac{dz_1}{2i\pi z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2i\pi z_2} \left[ z_1^{y_1-x_1} z_2^{y_2-x_2} - \frac{z_1 z_2 + 1 - 2z_2}{z_1 z_2 + 1 - 2z_1} \right] z_1^{y_2-x_1} z_2^{y_1-x_2} \times \exp \left[ (z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} + z_2 + \frac{1}{z_2} - 4) t \right]. \] (24)

In fact (21) and (23) can be extended to arbitrary correlation functions to give
\[ \langle \tau_{y_1}(t) \tau_{y_2}(t) .. \tau_{y_n}(t) \rangle = \sum_{x_1 < x_2 < .. < x_n} P_t^{(n)}(y_1, y_2, .., y_n|x_1, x_2, .., x_n) \eta_{x_1} \eta_{x_2} .. \eta_{x_n}, \] (25)

where \( P_t^{(n)}(y_1, y_2, .., y_n|x_1, x_2, .., x_n) \) has a Bethe ansatz expression which generalizes (22,24) (see Appendix A and [48]).

One can then use (20) to establish that the coefficients \( s_n(t) \) are given by
\[ s_n(t) = \sum_{x_1 < x_2 < .. < x_n} P_t^{(n)}(y_1, y_2, .., y_n|x_1, x_2, .., x_n). \] (26)

For example one gets from (22,24) for \( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \)
\[ s_1(t) = \oint_{|z_1|=r} \frac{dz_1}{2i\pi} \frac{1}{(1-z_1)^2} \exp \left[ (z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} - 2) t \right] \] (27)

and
\[ s_2(t) = \oint_{|z_1|=|z_2|=r} \frac{dz_1}{2i\pi z_1} \frac{dz_2}{2i\pi z_2} \left[ \frac{z_1^2 z_2^2}{(1-z_1)(1-z_2)(1-z_1 z_2)^2} - \frac{z_1^2 z_2}{(1-z_1)^2(1-z_1 z_2)^2} \right] \times \exp \left[ (z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} + z_2 + \frac{1}{z_2} - 4) t \right]. \] (28)

By symmetrizing the above expression over \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) one can show that this can be rewritten as
\[ s_2(t) = \frac{1}{2} \oint_{|z_1|=r} \frac{dz_1}{2i\pi} \frac{dz_2}{2i\pi} \det \left( \frac{1}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_l} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq 2} \exp \left[ (z_1 + \frac{1}{z_1} + z_2 + \frac{1}{z_2} - 4) t \right]. \] (29)

This expression can in fact be extended to arbitrary \( n \), using an approach which follows closely recent works by Tracy and Widom [48, 49]. The derivation, which is detailed in Appendix A, yields
\[ \langle e^{X Q r} \rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} \omega^n s_n(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \omega^n \frac{n!}{n} \oint_{|z_1|=r} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k e^{t(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{2i\pi} \det \left( \frac{1}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_l} \right)_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}. \] (30)

It is known [43] (see also eq.(7) of [49]) that for a Fredholm operator \( K \) which transforms a function \( f \) into a function \( Kf \) by
\[ Kf(z) = \int_{|z|=r} \frac{dz}{2i\pi} K(z, z') f(z'), \]
\[
det(I + \omega K) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\omega^n}{n!} \oint_{|z_k|=\rho} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i} \right] \det(K(z_k, z_l))_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}. \tag{31}
\]

This implies that
\[
\log[\det(I + \omega K)] = \text{tr}[\log(I + \omega K)] = -\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-\omega)^n}{n} \oint_{|z_k|=\rho} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i} \right] K(z_1, z_2) \ldots K(z_n, z_1). \tag{32}
\]

Comparing (30) and (31), we see that by choosing
\[
K(z, z') = \exp \left[ \frac{(z + \frac{1}{z} - 2)t}{zz' + 1 - 2z} \right], \tag{33}
\]
one gets (32) that
\[
\log \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle = -\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-\omega)^n}{n} \oint_{|z|=\rho} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i} \right] \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n} \exp \left[ \frac{(z_k + \frac{1}{z_k} - 2)t}{z_k z_{k+1} + 1 - 2z_{k+1}} \right] \right) \tag{34}
\]
(with the convention that \(z_{n+1} \equiv z_1\) in the \(n\)-th term).

In Appendix B, we derive the large \(t\) behavior of the integrals in the r.h.s. of (34), which leads to
\[
\log \langle e^{\lambda Q_t} \rangle \sim -\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-\omega)^n}{n} \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi n}} = \sqrt{t} F(\omega),
\]
completing the derivation of (12).

**Remark:** from the expressions (21), (22), (23), (24), (25), (A1), one can in principle calculate arbitrary correlation functions of the occupation numbers \(\tau_i\) at time \(t\). For the one-point and the connected two-point functions one gets when \(\rho_a = 1\) and \(\rho_b = 0\)
\[
\langle \tau_x \rangle = \oint \frac{dz e^{t(z + 1/z - 2)}}{2i\pi z} \frac{z^x}{1 - z} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \tau_x \tau_y \rangle_c = \oint \frac{dz dz' e^{t(z + 1/z + z' + 1/z' - 4)}}{4\pi^2 zz'} \frac{z^x z'^y}{zz' + 1 - 2z'}. \tag{35}
\]

Then, carrying out an asymptotic analysis similar to the one performed in Appendix [3] yields for \(n = 1\) and \(n = 2\)
\[
\langle \tau_{x_1} \cdots \tau_{x_n} \rangle_c \simeq t^{\frac{n-1}{2}} G_n \left( \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{t}}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{\sqrt{t}} \right). \tag{36}
\]

with
\[
G_1(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{X/2} e^{-u^2} du \quad \text{and} \quad G_2(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{X-Y/2} e^{-u^2} du. \tag{36}
\]

The scaling form (35), (36) of the two-point function is very reminiscent of what is known for the steady state of the SSEP on an open interval \([2, 4]\) of length \(L\), where the two point function scales like \(L^{-1}\) and the \(n\)-point (connected) correlation function scales like \(L^{1-n}\) \([18]\). It would be interesting to know whether the scaling form (35) remains valid for \(n \geq 2\). If so one could then try to determine the scaling functions \(G_n\) in order to obtain the large deviation function of an arbitrary density profile \([6, 10]\).

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In the present work, we have shown that, for a step initial density profile, the generating function of the integrated current \(Q_t\) is a function of a single parameter \(\omega\), defined in (3), which takes a simple closed expression (1). This \(\omega\) dependence is also valid.
for an arbitrary graph. In one dimension, for this non-equilibrium initial condition, the distribution of $Q_t$ is clearly not Gaussian with a tail which decays faster than a Gaussian \cite{5}.

It would be interesting to generalize our results to other diffusive systems and to try to calculate the distribution of $Q_t$ with the same step initial condition, in order to see under which conditions one could recover the non-Gaussian decay \cite{5}. The most promising approach, at the moment, is to try to generalize the macroscopic theory of Bertini, De Sole, Gabrielli, Jona–Lasinio, and Landim \cite{2,3,8} to a non-steady state initial condition.

A possible extension of the present work would be to introduce a weak asymmetry (of order $t^{-1/2}$) in the hopping rates to understand the cross-over in the current fluctuations between the SSEP and the ASEP.

Another interesting question would be to determine the scaling form \cite{33} of all the higher correlation functions and to obtain the large deviation function of an arbitrary density profile, when the initial condition is, as in figure 1 far from a steady state situation.

\section*{APPENDIX A: DETERMINANT EXPRESSION (30) OF $\langle e^{\lambda Q_t}\rangle$}

In this appendix we derive the general expression (30) of the $(s_n)$ from (26). Our derivation relies heavily on results obtained by Tracy and Widom on the particle trajectories of an ASEP model with the same geometry \cite{48,49}. In the present appendix we will use the Bethe ansatz itself \cite{48, Theorem 2.1},

\begin{equation}
P_t^{(n)}(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n | x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \sum_\sigma \text{sgn}(\sigma) \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2 \pi i z_k} e^{t(z_k+1/z_k-2)} \frac{y_k - z_\sigma(k)}{z_\sigma(k)} \prod_{k<l} z_\sigma(k) z_\sigma(l) + 1 - 2z_\sigma(k) \frac{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k},
\end{equation}

as well as two algebraic identities \cite{48, eq. (1.6)} and \cite{49, eq. (7)},

\begin{equation}
\sum_\sigma \text{sgn}(\sigma) \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - z_\sigma(k) \cdot z_\sigma(n)} \right] \left[ \prod_{k<l} \frac{z_k - z_l}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k} \right] = \det \left( \frac{1}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k} \right),
\end{equation}

In order to obtain $s_n$ from (26), one has to sum $P_t^{(n)}(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n | x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ over $x_1 < ... < x_n \leq 0$ and $0 < y_1 < ... < y_n$; this yields

\begin{equation}
\sum_{x_1 < ... < x_n \leq 0} \prod_k z_k^{y_k - x_k} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_1 \cdot z_n}{1 - z_1 \cdot z_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{0 < y_1 < ... < y_n} \prod_k z_k^{y_k - x_k} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_1 \cdot z_n}{1 - z_\sigma(k) \cdot z_\sigma(n)}.
\end{equation}

Therefore

\begin{equation}
s_n = \sum_\sigma \text{sgn}(\sigma) \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k e^{t(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{2t \pi z_k^2} \frac{z_1 \cdot z_n}{1 - z_1 \cdot z_n} \left[ \prod_{k<l} \frac{z_\sigma(k) z_\sigma(l) + 1 - 2z_\sigma(k)}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k} \right].
\end{equation}

We are now in position to use (A2), which yields

\begin{equation}
s_n = \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k e^{t(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{2t \pi z_k (1 - z_k)} \frac{z_1 \cdot z_n}{1 - z_1 \cdot z_n} \left[ \prod_{k<l} \frac{z_l - z_k}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k} \right].
\end{equation}

In order to eliminate the remaining $\frac{z_1 \cdot z_n}{1 - z_1 \cdot z_n}$, it is necessary to use (A2) again. As the factors in (A2) are of the form $\frac{z_k - z_l}{z_l z_k + 1 - 2z_k}$, we first relabel $z_k \rightarrow z_{n-k}$, so that

\begin{equation}
s_n = \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k e^{t(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{2t \pi z_k (1 - z_k)} \frac{z_k \cdot z_n}{1 - z_k \cdot z_n} \left[ \prod_{k>l} \frac{z_l - z_k}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k} \right]
= \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k e^{t(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{2t \pi z_k (1 - z_k)} \frac{\prod_{k<l} (z_k - z_l)}{z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k} \left[ \prod_{k<l} (z_k z_l + 1 - 2z_k) \right] \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{z_k \cdot z_n}{1 - z_k \cdot z_n} \right].
\end{equation}
We then replace the expression above by its average over all permutations of the \((z_k)\) in order to apply (A2). The first term of the product is unchanged and the second term gets a sign factor \(\text{sgn}(\sigma)\). Hence

\[
\begin{align*}
    s_n &= \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}{n!} \int \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k e^{i(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{2\pi i z_k (1 - z_k)} \right] \left[ \prod_{k < l} (z_{\sigma(k)} z_{\sigma(l)} + 1 - 2z_{\sigma(k)} z_{\sigma(l)}) \right] \left[ \prod_{k \neq l} \frac{1}{z_{\sigma(k)} z_{\sigma(l)} + 1 - 2z_{\sigma(k)} z_{\sigma(l)}} \right] \\
    &= \frac{1}{n!} \int \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k e^{i(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{2\pi i (1 - z_k)^2} \right] \left[ \prod_{k < l} \frac{z_k - z_l}{z_{\sigma(k)} z_{\sigma(l)} + 1 - 2z_{\sigma(k)} z_{\sigma(l)}} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Applying (A3) then leads to

\[
    s_n(t) = \frac{1}{n!} \int \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i} \right] \det \left( \frac{e^{i(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{z_k z_{\sigma(k)} + 1 - 2z_k} \right) \left|_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} \right.,
\]

which is the expression (30).

**APPENDIX B: DERIVATION OF THE ASYMMETRIC (34) OF THE GENERATING FUNCTION**

In this appendix we derive the large \(t\) behavior of the integrals \(I_n = \text{tr} K^n\), which appear in (32,33,34). \(I_n\) can be expressed as

\[
    I_n = \text{tr} K^n = \oint \frac{dz_1}{2\pi i} \cdots \frac{dz_n}{2\pi i} K_1(z_1, z_2) \cdots K_n(z_n, z_1) = \oint \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i z_k z_{k+1} + 1 - 2z_k},
\]

where the integration contour of each \(z_k\) is a circle of radius \(r \ll 1\) (and by convention we have \(z_{n+k} = z_k\)). By using the identity

\[
    \frac{e^{i(z_k+1/z_k-2)}}{z_k+1/z_k-2} = \frac{1}{z_k+1/z_k-2} + \int_0^t dt_k e^{t_k(z_k+1/z_k-2)},
\]

\(I_n\) can be expressed as

\[
    I_n = \sum_{E \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}} \oint \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i z_k} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in E} \frac{1}{z_k+1/z_k-2} \right] \left[ \prod_{k \in \bar{E}} \int_0^t dt_k e^{t_k(z_k+1/z_k-2)} \right].
\]

If \(E \neq \{1, \ldots, n\}\), then there exists at least one \(k\) such that \(k \not\in E\). For fixed values of the \((z_i)_{i \neq k}\) and the \((t_i)_{i \neq k}\), the integral over \(z_k\) can be written either as

\[
    \oint \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i z_k} \frac{e^{i \alpha_k - z_k}}{z_k+1/z_k-2} \quad \text{or as} \quad \oint \frac{dz_k}{2\pi i z_k} \frac{1}{z_k+1/z_k-2} \frac{1}{z_k+1/z_k-2}.
\]

As the integration contour is a circle of very small radius these expressions obviously vanish. Therefore the case \(E = \{1, \ldots, n\}\) gives the only non-zero contribution to \(I_n\):

\[
    I_n = \oint_{|z| = r} \int_0^t dt_k e^{t_k(z_k+1/z_k-2)}.
\]

The integrals over the \(z_k\)'s can now be evaluated using the saddle-point method around \(z_k = \sqrt{t_k/t_{k-1}}\). This yields

\[
    I_n \sim \int_0^t \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{dt_k}{2\sqrt{t_k}} 2^{1-n/2} \pi^{n/2} \int_0^1 \prod_{k=1} d\alpha_k e^{-t (\alpha_k^2 - t_k - \alpha_k)}.
\]

Finally, since the integrand above is only non-vanishing when \(|\alpha_k - \alpha_l| \sim 1/\sqrt{t}\) for \(t \to \infty\), one gets

\[
    I_n \sim \left( \frac{t}{\pi} \right)^{n/2} \int_0^1 d\alpha_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha_2 \cdots d\alpha_n e^{-t \sum (\alpha_k^2 - \alpha_k)} = \sqrt{\frac{t}{\pi n}}.
\]
and this completes the proof of (34).
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