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Abstract

Background: Joint pain, including back pain, and arthritis are common conditions in the United States, affecting more than 100 million individuals and costing upwards of $200 billion each year. Although activity limitations associated with these disorders impose a substantial economic burden, this relationship has not been explored in a large U.S. cohort.

Methods: In this study, we used the Medical Expenditures Panel Survey to investigate whether functional limitations explain the difference in medical expenditures between patients with arthritis and joint pain and those without. We used sequential explanatory linear models to investigate this relationship and accounted for various covariates.

Results: Unadjusted mean expenditures were $10,587 for those with joint pain or arthritis, compared with $3813 for those without. In a fully adjusted model accounting also for functional limitations, those with joint pain or arthritis paid $1638 more than those without, a statistically significant difference.

Conclusions: The growing economic and public health burden of arthritis and joint pain, as well as the corresponding complications of functional, activity, and sensory limitations, calls for an interdisciplinary approach and heightened awareness among providers to identify strategies that meet the needs of high-risk patients in order to prevent and delay disease progression.
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Background

Joint pain (including back pain) and arthritis (osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis) are some of the most common and costly conditions in the United States, affecting more than 100 million individuals and costing more than $200 billion per year [1]. Compared with the general population, those with diseases characterized by arthritis/rheumatism and chronic joint pain experience high rates of functional limitation [1–7]. Functional limitations include those related to limitations in mobility, self-care, daily activities, and pain [1, 3]. Researchers have observed significant impacts to the individual patient as a result of functional limitations, as well as a quantifiable burden to society. One example is the impact of functional limitation on mental health. Turner and Turner [8] examined the relationships among physical disability, mental health, and unemployment. They found that the three factors were closely related and suggested that this combination could lead to a unique pattern of disadvantage for these individuals. They observed that those with disabilities were five times more likely to be involuntarily unemployed and that rates of depression were higher for all individuals with physical limitations, but the trend was more pronounced for those without a job [8]. These unique and compounding factors may...
significantly increase both healthcare use and associated costs.

Annual direct costs have been estimated on the basis of reported ambulatory care visits, hospitalizations, diagnostic tests, medications, assistive devices, nonallopathic treatments, travel to visits, and paid household help [9]. In other studies focused on specific disorders (i.e., gout, ankylosing spondylitis), researchers have explored costs of illness to the patient and found that functional limitations contribute to such costs and in some cases are the most important predictor of total costs in patients [10, 11].

Indirect costs have been estimated from missed work days or, for retirees and homemakers, the number of days of activity limitation, as well as employment, household income, and receipt of supplemental security income for disability [9, 10, 12]. One investigation revealed that employers lost 28.2 million workdays annually ($4.95 billion in lost income) due to functional limitation caused by chronic diseases, including arthritis [3]. Additionally, 5.3% of the entire U.S. working population experiences work limitations attributable to arthritis [1]. The monetary cost of these work-specific limitations is not yet known, but the prevalence at which these limitations occur may have a significant impact on workplace productivity, especially in physically demanding fields.

Although activity limitations associated with musculoskeletal disorders impose a substantial economic burden on American society, this relationship has not been explored in a large U.S. cohort. As a result, in this study, we used the Medical Expenditures Panel Survey (MEPS) to investigate whether functional limitations explain the difference in medical expenditures between patients with any type or degree of arthritis and/or joint pain and those without.

Methods
Sample population
Using the MEPS consolidated file for 2011, we analyzed data from 35,313 adults (ages 18 years and older). Of this sample, 3793 adults self-reported arthritis or joint pain of some type. MEPS is an ongoing national household survey for the civilian noninstitutionalized U.S. population, with oversampling for blacks and Hispanics [13]. The data are collected through in-person interviews and include detailed information on demographic characteristics, self-reported health conditions, health status, use of medical services, charges and sources of payment, access to care, satisfaction with care, health insurance coverage, income, and employment for each person in the household [13]. The complex survey design provides weights to account for sampling and stratification, and it allows generalization to the U.S. population [14, 15]. Use of the complex survey design enables the 35,313 adults in the sample population to represent 311,125,758 individuals living in the United States in 2011. In addition, it allows generalization from the 3793 adults with self-reported arthritis and/or joint pain in the MEPS sample to represent the 150,378,648 population of adults in the United States with arthritis or joint pain.

Dependent variable
The dependent variable was total healthcare expenditures, defined as the sum of direct payments for office-based medical, hospital inpatient (including zero night stays) and outpatient, emergency department, pharmacy, dental, home health, and other medical care. Total healthcare expenditures represent annual expenditures for the year of reporting (2011) for each individual. Expenditures represent direct payments, not charges, for care provided during 2011 and are collected through both self-report via the household component and through provider reporting via the medical provider component of the survey. The medical provider component is used to verify information collected at the household level, as well as to collect information not known by the household [13].

Independent variable
The primary independent variable was any self-reported diagnosis with arthritis or joint pain. Joint pain and arthritis are used here as overarching terms; however, they include any diagnosis of this nature, including various rheumatic conditions as well as back pain. We were also interested in the independent variable “any limitation,” which summarizes whether a person has any limitations in instrumental activities of daily living, activities of daily living, function (walking), activity, or sensory (any visual or hearing impairment). The presence of any limitation was defined as a positive response to any of these components.

Covariates
Additional covariates were included on the basis of the Anderson model for healthcare use, and we categorized them as predisposing, enabling, and need factors [16]. Predisposing factors included age, race/ethnicity, gender, region, and metropolitan statistical area (MSA). Age was categorized into four groups of 18–34 years, 35–44 years, 45–64 years, and 65+ years. Race/ethnicity was grouped into four categories of non-Hispanic white (NHW), non-Hispanic black, non-Hispanic other (including both Asian and other categories), and Hispanic. Gender was dichotomized. Region was categorized as Northeast, Midwest, South, and West. MSA was dichotomized as MSA (urban) vs. non-MSA (rural).

Enabling factors included household income, employment status, education, insurance, and marital status. Household income was categorized into four groups:
<$25,000, $25,000–$49,999, $50,000–$74,999, and > $75,000. Employment was dichotomized. Education was categorized into four groups as less than high school, high school, college, and graduate school. Insurance was categorized into three categories of private, public, and uninsured. Marital status was categorized into three groups of never married, separated/divorced/widowed, and married.

Need factors included health status, body mass index (BMI), and comorbidities. Comorbidities included chronic bronchitis, asthma, cancer, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, other heart disease, angina, diabetes, emphysema, high blood pressure, high cholesterol, depression, and stroke. Health status was self-reported into five categories of excellent, very good, good, fair, and poor. BMI was categorized into four groups of underweight, normal, overweight, and obese. Binary indicators of comorbidities were based on a positive response to a question, “Have you ever been diagnosed with ___?,” for each diagnosis.

Statistical analysis
We used sequential explanatory linear models to investigate whether functional limitations explain the difference in medical expenditures between patients with arthritis and joint pain and those without. For each model, we ran a generalized linear model (GLM) using gamma distribution with total expenditures as the dependent variable and reporting joint pain or arthritis as the main independent variable. We then added variables in blocks according to the Anderson model for healthcare use categories of predisposing variables, enabling variables, and need variables. Finally, we ran a final fully adjusted model and added any functional limitation. After each GLM, we determined the marginal effects using the margins command in Stata 14.0 statistical software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). In order to generalize our study findings to the U.S. population, the complex sampling design of the MEPS dataset was taken into account by using sampling weight, variance estimation stratum, and primary sampling unit in all regression models and sample demographic estimates.

Results
Table 1 shows the characteristics for U.S. adults with and without arthritis or joint pain. Of the 3793 adults who self-reported any arthritis and/or joint pain, 63% reported any limitations, compared with 18% of individuals not reporting arthritis or joint pain. Unadjusted mean expenditures were $10,587 for those reporting joint pain or arthritis, compared with $3813 for those without. Most (87.7%) of U.S. adults reporting arthritis or joint pain are older than 45 years of age, and they are predominantly NHW (75.6%) and female (63.1%). The

| Limitations, %       | U.S. adults reporting arthritis or joint pain | U.S. adults in sample with no reported arthritis or joint pain |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Any limitations      | 62.86                                       | 18.18                                                       |
| IADL                 | 9.16                                        | 1.80                                                        |
| ADL                  | 5.68                                        | 1.03                                                        |
| Walk limitations     | 39.01                                       | 6.12                                                        |
| Mean total expenditure ± SD | $10,587 ± $504                             | $3813 ± $119                                                |

| Predisposing factors, %       | U.S. adults reporting arthritis or joint pain | U.S. adults in sample with no reported arthritis or joint pain |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Age, years                    |                                             |                                                             |
| 18–24                         | 0.77                                        | 15.36                                                       |
| 25–44                         | 11.54                                       | 39.19                                                       |
| 45–64                         | 45.85                                       | 32.72                                                       |
| 65+                           | 41.85                                       | 12.72                                                       |
| Race                          |                                             |                                                             |
| Non-Hispanic white            | 75.64                                       | 64.76                                                       |
| Non-Hispanic black            | 11.66                                       | 11.42                                                       |
| Non-Hispanic other            | 4.77                                        | 7.58                                                        |
| Hispanic                      | 7.93                                        | 16.24                                                       |
| Sex                           |                                             |                                                             |
| Male                          | 36.86                                       | 50.49                                                       |
| Female                        | 63.14                                       | 49.51                                                       |
| Region                        |                                             |                                                             |
| Northeast                     | 18.29                                       | 18.28                                                       |
| Midwest                       | 23.24                                       | 21.07                                                       |
| South                         | 38.48                                       | 36.75                                                       |
| West                          | 19.99                                       | 23.91                                                       |
| MSA                           |                                             |                                                             |
| Rural                         | 19.17                                       | 14.72                                                       |
| Urban                         | 80.83                                       | 85.28                                                       |

| Enabling factors, %           | U.S. adults reporting arthritis or joint pain | U.S. adults in sample with no reported arthritis or joint pain |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Income                        |                                             |                                                             |
| < $25,000                     | 48.59                                       | 42.88                                                       |
| $25,000–$49,999               | 29.33                                       | 29.80                                                       |
| $50,000–$74,999               | 12.38                                       | 14.70                                                       |
| > $75,000                     | 9.70                                        | 12.62                                                       |
| Employment                    |                                             |                                                             |
| Not employed                  | 58.05                                       | 28.73                                                       |
| Employed                      | 41.95                                       | 71.27                                                       |
| Education                     |                                             |                                                             |
| Less than high school          | 17.34                                       | 14.66                                                       |
| High school                   | 31.44                                       | 27.70                                                       |
| College                       | 40.87                                       | 45.59                                                       |
majority are not employed (58.1%) and have private insurance (63.1%). The most common comorbidities are high blood pressure (63.3%) and high cholesterol (55.8%), and the majority are overweight (32.2%) or obese (42.6%).

As shown in Table 2, adults with self-reported arthritis or joint pain pay, on average, $6773 more in medical expenditures than those not reporting arthritis or joint pain. Adjustment decreased the marginal difference to $4427 when accounting for predisposing factors; $3980 when accounting for predisposing and enabling; and $2764 when accounting for predisposing, enabling, and need factors. Finally, in a fully adjusted model accounting also for functional limitations, those reporting joint pain or arthritis paid $1638 more than those without.

Those with functional limitations regardless of comorbidity paid $3308 more than those without functional limitations, which was a higher marginal impact than any other comorbidity included in the model.

Table 1 Sample characteristics of U.S. adults with self-reported arthritis or joint pain (sample = 3791; population = 311,125,758)

| Graduate school | 10.35 | 12.05 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Insurance       |       |       |
| Any private     | 63.09 | 68.99 |
| Public only     | 30.46 | 14.67 |
| No insurance    | 6.45  | 16.35 |
| Marital status  |       |       |
| Never married   | 10.48 | 31.04 |
| Separated/divorced/widowed | 35.30 | 16.61 |
| Married         | 54.22 | 52.34 |
| Need factors, % |       |       |
| Asthma          | 15.21 | 7.80  |
| Diabetes        | 20.11 | 7.19  |
| Emphysema       | 7.12  | 1.21  |
| High blood pressure | 63.28 | 26.05 |
| High cholesterol| 55.75 | 25.01 |
| Stroke          | 9.89  | 2.14  |
| Depression      | 17.32 | 6.98  |
| CVD             | 32.32 | 9.91  |
| BMI             |       |       |
| Underweight     | 1.45  | 1.96  |
| Normal          | 23.80 | 37.05 |
| Overweight      | 32.17 | 34.29 |
| Obese           | 42.58 | 26.70 |

**Abbreviations:** ADL, Activities of daily living; BMI, Body mass index; CVD, Cardiovascular disease; IADL, Instrumental activities of daily living; MSA, Metropolitan statistical area.
Table 2 Sequential explanatory model for marginal total healthcare expenditures in those with self-reported joint pain and/or arthritis

| Variable | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| No reported joint pain and/or arthritis (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| Self-reported joint pain and/or arthritis | $6773^\dagger$ | $4427^\dagger$ | $3980^\dagger$ | $2764^\dagger$ | $1638^\dagger$ |

Predisposing factors

| Age, years | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 18–24 (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| 25–44 | $1571^\dagger$ | $1873^\dagger$ | $2381^\dagger$ | $2372^\dagger$ | – |
| 45–64 | $3633^\dagger$ | $3717^\dagger$ | $3224^\dagger$ | $3038^\dagger$ | – |
| 65+ | $6150^\dagger$ | $3510^\dagger$ | $2087^\dagger$ | $1687^\dagger$ | – |

| Race | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Non-Hispanic white (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| Non-Hispanic black | −$883^{**}$ | −$1053^{**}$ | −$1325^{**}$ | −$1287^*$ | – |
| Non-Hispanic other | −$543$ | −$448$ | −$741$ | −$136$ | – |
| Hispanic | −$2196^*$ | −$1960^*$ | −$1845^*$ | −$1683^*$ | – |

| Sex | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Male (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| Female | $1053^*$ | $929^*$ | $1272^*$ | $1383^*$ | – |

| Region | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Northeast (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| Midwest | −$149$ | $78$ | −$101$ | −$168.78$ | – |
| South | −$514$ | −$69$ | −$458$ | −$325.85$ | – |
| West | $272$ | $489$ | $610$ | $746.14$ | – |

| MSA | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Rural (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| Urban | $797^{**}$ | $1124^\dagger$ | $1216^\dagger$ | $1241^*$ | – |

Enabling factors

| Income | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| < $25,000 (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| $25,000–$49,999 | −$414$ | −$293$ | −$44$ | – | – |
| $50,000–$74,999 | $3$ | $444$ | $817$ | – | – |
| > $75,000 | $364$ | $1487^{***}$ | $1761^{**}$ | – | – |

| Employment | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Not employed (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| Employed | −$3239$ | −$2654^*$ | −$2018^*$ | – | – |

| Education | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Less than high school (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| High school | $849^{***}$ | $1566^{**}$ | $1634^*$ | – | – |
| College | $709$ | $1242^*$ | $1305^*$ | – | – |
| Graduate school | $1515^{**}$ | $2493^*$ | $2457^*$ | – | – |

| Insurance | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Any private (reference) | – | – | – | – | – |
| Public only | $1526^{***}$ | $1639^{***}$ | $947$ | – | – |
| No insurance | −$3615^*$ | −$3875^*$ | −$3943^*$ | – | – |
comorbidities, and limitations [17, 28–31], the provision of optimal care to individuals with arthritis and joint pain is critical. This ultimately results in control of disease and slows the progression of related complications such as functional, activity, and sensory limitations.

Though the present study had a number of strengths, including the generalizability of results to the U.S. population, it has some limitations. First, diagnoses were based on self-report, which may differ from physician diagnosis. Second, though we attempted to include factors that could affect the relationship based on a theoretical framework, there are additional factors not in the dataset, including social determinants such as social support and emotional distress. Additionally, self-reports are subject to recall bias, which can introduce random error into the data. Finally, the data are cross-sectional in nature, which precludes commentary on causation or direction of the association.

### Conclusions

Our study shows that self-reported arthritis and/or joint pain of any type is associated with higher total healthcare expenditures, and though accounting for functional limitations decreases this difference, the difference remains significant. The growing economic and public health burden of arthritis and joint pain [17, 32, 33], as well as the corresponding complications of functional, activity, and sensory limitations, calls for an interdisciplinary approach and heightened awareness among providers to identify strategies that meet the needs of high-risk patients in order to prevent and delay disease progression. This study provides an estimate for potential savings from future interventions geared toward reduction of any limitations in patients with arthritis and joint pain. Future researchers may benefit from this analysis and expand upon it by examining specifically where these increased costs are coming from, such as whether the increased cost is due to

| Marital status | Total Healthcare Expenditure | t-statistic |
|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Married (reference) | - | - |
| Separated/divorced/widowed | -$63 | -$34 | -$131 |
| Never married | -$55 | $79 | -$172 |

| Need factors | Total Healthcare Expenditure | t-statistic |
|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| Asthma | $2428* | $2001* |
| Diabetes | $3001* | $2669* |
| Emphysema | $2476*** | $1559*** |
| High blood pressure | $938* | $876* |
| High cholesterol | $1149* | $1194* |
| Stroke | $2683* | $1727*** |
| Depression | $3182* | $1939* |
| CVD | $2814* | $2375* |

| BMI | Total Healthcare Expenditure | t-statistic |
|-----|-----------------------------|------------|
| Underweight (reference) | - | - |
| Normal | $726 | $1200 |
| Overweight | $388 | $732 |
| Obese | $534 | $824 |

Risk factor of interest

| Any limitations | Total Healthcare Expenditure | t-statistic |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| IADL | $3308* |
| ADL | $2284*** |
| Walk limitations | $253 |

Abbreviations: ADL Activities of daily living, BMI Body mass index, CVD Cardiovascular disease, IADL Instrumental activities of daily living, MSA Metropolitan statistical area

Sequential linear models were used, with each column representing a separate generalized linear model using gamma distribution

*p < 0.001
**p < 0.01
***p < 0.05
****Reference groups compared with those without need factor or risk factor
a greater number of visits to health professionals, in-hospital treatments, medications, or other causes. Enumerating the breakdown of these expenditures would allow future development of solutions to target specific areas with disproportionately high costs.

Abbreviations
ADL: Activities of daily living; BMI: Body mass index; CVD: Cardiovascular disease; GLM: Generalized linear model; IADL: Instrumental activities of daily living; MSA: Metropolitan statistical area; NHW: Non-Hispanic white
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