Viviparous stingrays avoid contamination of the embryonic environment through faecal accumulation mechanisms
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In viviparous (live-bearing) animals, embryos face an embryo-specific defecation issue: faecal elimination in utero can cause fatal contamination of the embryonic environment. Our data from the viviparous red stingray (Hemitrygon akajei) reveals how viviparous elasmobranchs circumvent this issue. The exit of the embryonic intestine is maintained closed until close to birth, which allows the accumulation of faeces in the embryonic body. Faecal accumulation abilities are increased by (1) the large intestine size (represents about 400–600% of an adult intestine, proportionally), and (2) the modification in the intestinal inner wall structure, specialized to increase water uptake from the faecal matter. According to the literature, faecal accumulation may occur in embryos of the lamniform white shark as well. The reproductive biology of myliobatiform stingrays and lamniform sharks is characterized by the onset of oral feeding before birth (i.e. drinking of uterine milk and eating of sibling eggs, respectively), which is expected to result in the production of large amounts of faeces during gestation. The strong ability of faecal accumulation in these lineages is therefore likely an adaptation to their unique embryonic nutrition mechanism.

Viviparous vertebrates start oral feeding (i.e. drinking and eating) after birth. Some lineages of viviparous elasmobranchs, including myliobatiform stingrays (Batoidea, Myliobatiformes), provide a rare exception to this general view1–3. Similar to other elasmobranchs, during early gestation in myliobatiform stingrays, embryonic development relies on yolk absorption. However, during late gestational stages, yolk absorption is completed and the embryo further grows by drinking lipid-rich “milk” secreted from the uterine wall4,5. This nutrition mechanism, called lipid histotrophy, is so efficient that the embryo increases its weight with up to 1680–4900% during late gestation, which is among the greatest enlargements observed in viviparous elasmobranchs6.

One of the mysteries of lipid histotrophy concerns embryonic defecation. Generally, the embryo of viviparous animals faces an embryo-specific defecation issue: the passage of faeces in utero fatally contaminates the uterine environment7. This issue should be specifically critical in lipid histotrophy as (1) active digestion should produce a large amount of undigested materials and (2) the functional stomach and intestine frequently renew epithelial cells, both increasing the rate of faecal production during gestation. It is therefore likely that myliobatiform stingrays have some specific mechanism(s) to circumvent this issue, though this subject has never been investigated.

The present study reports for the first time faecal accumulation abilities in embryos of red stingray Hemitrygon akajei (Myliobatiformes, Dasyatidae), which is likely the mechanism allowing maintenance of a clean uterine environment. This species is commonly distributed around the main island of Japan, and its reproductive features are well described by ref. 8. However, knowledge of the embryonic development in this species is mostly restricted to external morphology9, whereas the physiological features of embryos remain largely unexplored. Thus, the aim of the present study was double: first, describe the ability of faecal accumulation in red stingray embryos, and then explore the morphological features that allow this ability.
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Results

**External morphology of the embryonic intestine of red stingray embryos.** Based on developmental staging criteria for batoid embryos by ref. 9, thick and viscous faecal matter was observed in the intestine of stage 32 and 33 red stingray embryos. The smallest embryo specimen that contained faecal matter weighed 2.16 mg (stage 32). The faecal matter occupied the posterior half of the intestine in stage 32 embryos (Fig. 1).

The size of the intestine drastically changed with ontogeny (Fig. 2). In stage 29 embryos, the proportional size of the intestine (% body volume) was about 2.0%. This value increased to 6.0%–9.0% in stages 32 and 33, and dropped to the lowest level (1–2%) after birth. At stage 32, the posterior half of the intestine was filled with faecal matter, and the total faeces volume was calculated at about 3.0–4.5%.

**Histology of the embryonic intestine in red stingrays**

Histological sections showed that the exit of the intestine remained closed until close to birth (Fig. 3): Cross sections cut perpendicular to the rectum showed that the rectal canal is not fully formed at the junction between the rectum and intestine; thus, the rectal canal is not connected to the intestine from embryo stage 29 (earliest-observed stage) through stage 32 (Fig. 3b-d). Formation of the rectal canal is completed during the latest embryonic stage (stage 33, Fig. 3e).
Figure 3. Morphological changes at the junction between the intestine and rectum during the embryonic development of the red stingray. (a) Schematic drawing of the distal part of the digestive tract. Transversal sections through the rectum show that the rectal canal is not fully developed and closed in stage 30-32 embryos (b-d), but is opened in stage 33 embryos (the latest stage) (e). Scale bar = 100 µm.

Figure 4. (a) Periodic acid–Schiff (PAS)-stained histological sections through the intestine of stage 32 red stingray embryos. Faecal matter (*) accumulated in the posterior half of the intestine. (b) The fifth row of spiral valve (left) and its close-up view (right). (c) The 17th row of the spiral valve (left) and its close-up view (right). (d) The posterior end of the intestine and its close-up view. Densely distributed PAS-positive goblet cells (white triangles) are seen in the right panels in c and d. Scale bars =100 µm in left panels of b-d; 10 µm in right panels of b-d.
The anterior half-rows of the intestinal valves (anterior 9–10 out of 20 rows) were covered with well-developed villi (Fig. 4a,b). The surface of villi consisted of tall absorptive epithelial cells, with the apical surface covered by numerous microvilli (Fig. 4b). In contrast, the posterior 10–11 rows of intestinal valves were thin and lacked villi (Fig. 4a,c). Goblet cells were densely distributed on the inner surface of the posterior portion of the intestinal wall (Fig. 4c,d).

The internal structure of faecal matter is heterogeneous, composed of several types of materials with different degrees of reaction to hematoxylin and eosin or periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) stain (Fig. 5a). Fragmented intestinal epithelium is also included (Fig. 5b). These features suggest that faecal matter is a mixture of several materials of different origins, such as nutrients, dead epithelia, and digestive secretions, though this could not be confirmed in the present study.

**Comparison with oviparous species (Raja pulchra)**

The average (± standard deviation) of relative intestine size (% body weight, yolk-sac removed) of stage 32 and 33 embryos were 1.05% (±0.29, n = 3) and 1.56% (±0.34, n = 6), respectively. There were no significant differences between the relative intestinal sizes of the embryos at stages 32 and 33 and those of postnatal individuals (1.59% ±0.25, n = 4); >0.05, t-test). The entire structure was similar to that observed in the red stingray, but presented...
several distinguishing features. Firstly, the amount of faecal matters was smaller, only occupying the most posterior region of the intestine (Fig. 6a,b). Secondly, the intestinal valves were covered with well-developed villi throughout almost the whole length of the intestine (Fig. 6c,d). Thirdly, the inner wall at the posterior-end of the intestine was also covered with villi. These villi were shorter than those covering the intestinal valves, and goblet cells were densely distributed on its surface (Fig. 6c).

Discussion
The red stingray embryo accumulates all embryonic faeces until birth, which could be the mechanism to maintain clean the uterine environment. This phenomenon is supported by three lines of evidence: (1) the rectal canal is kept closed at the junction between the intestine and rectum until close to birth, which prevents the passage of faeces through the rectum; (2) the embryonic intestine is largely filled with faecal matters; and (3) the newborn juveniles of some myliobatiform stingrays, Himantura uarnak and Urogymnus asperrimus, were observed to eliminate faeces before the first food intake after birth (K.M., unpublished data based on five observations at Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium between 2016–2019). A similar phenomenon was well known in the mammalian fetus (i.e. meconium storage)10, but has been less investigated in other viviparous vertebrates. To the best of our knowledge, the only previous record of embryonic faecal accumulation in elasmobranchs, other than myliobatiform stingrays, came from ref. 11. They reported that a large amount of “greenish-brown material” was present in the intestine of embryonic white shark Carcharodon carcharias (Lamniformes, Lamnidae), though the physiological implications of this phenomenon were not discussed. Regarding viviparous fishes other than Elasmobranchii, the Goodsidea, a well-known viviparous teleost, the embryo of which has ribbon-like structure extended from the perianal region to absorb nutrients from the uterine fluid, may lack the ability for faecal accumulation; this is because it presents unclosed termini of embryonic intestine and no accumulated faecal matter in the intestine (Figs. 3 and 4 in ref. 12; Fig. S1 in ref. 13).

The restricted taxonomic distribution of embryonic faecal accumulation among elasmobranch species raises the hypothesis that this ability evolved in association with the reproductive strategy. The reproductive biology of elasmobranchs exhibits high diversity, especially concerning the mechanisms of embryo nutrition5. Generally, the embryonic nutrition of myliobatiform stingrays and white sharks is categorized into different modes: lipid histotrophy and oophagy, respectively (e.g., ref. 4). The former mode, embryonic development is accomplished by drinking uterine “milk”, whereas in the latter mode by eating sibling eggs. Despite these differences in embryonic nutrition, these reproductive modes present a great similarity as embryos start oral feeding before birth. This mechanism requires embryos to have an active digestive process4 and may lead to the production of large amounts of faeces during gestation. Therefore, it is very likely that embryonic faecal accumulation observed in stingrays and probably in white sharks is a mechanism to maintain clean the uterine environment, considering their embryonic nutrition mechanism.

The unique origin of embryonic faecal matter in these elasmobranch taxa may reflect the composition of embryonic faecal matter. The composition of faecal matter has been well explored in mammals (humans); it comprises a large content of water, epithelial cells from the gastrointestinal tract and skin, hair, fatty material from the vernix caseosa, and bile acids (full description found in ref. 4). Considering the mammalian fetus acquires nutrients through the placenta without an intestinal digestive process, the composition of faecal matter in stingrays and lamniform sharks should be different from that in mammals, possibly including large proportions of undigested remains and digestive secretions. This hypothesis can be tested by measuring the chemical and protein composition of faecal material, although this task is beyond the scope of this study.

We found several morphological modifications that increase the faecal accumulation abilities in red stingray embryos. The first modification is the large intestine size, about 400–600% of the postnatal individual in relative size. This feature may contribute to provide enough space to accumulate faeces. Another modification is the large proportion of the “colon-like” region in the embryonic intestine. A previous study showed that the posterior-end region of the batoid intestine is distinct from the other region as it lacks nutrient-absorptive villi and presents densely distributed goblet cells15. This region was found to have strong water absorptive ability, thus was considered as a functional analogue of the mammalian colon15,16. Intestinal goblet cells may have multiple functions, represented by the production of mucus for coating of the faecal surface, as known in the mammalian colon. However, large amounts of aquaporin-4, a water channel protein in mammals, is produced in goblet cells in the batoid intestine17. Thus, highly populated goblet cells in colon-like regions may also be responsible for their strong water absorption abilities. Our observations showed that the proportion of this colon-like region is approximately 10 times greater in the embryonic intestine than in that of postnatal individuals (posteriorly, the colon-like region occupied ~50% of the intestinal length in embryos and ~5% in postnatal individuals), suggesting stronger abilities of water uptake from faeces in embryos. These features contrast sharply with those of oviparous batoids: intestine size in oviparous Raja pulchra remains unaltered in pre- and post-hatching individuals. In addition, in oviparous species such as Leucoraja erinacea and Raja pulchra, the colon region in the embryonic intestine is, similar to that in the adult intestine, restricted only to a small part toward the posterior end18.

To summarize, the present study provides novel evidences that support embryonic faecal accumulation in viviparous elasmobranchs. It has been assumed that the uterine environment is controlled by the mother in viviparous elasmobranchs. Therefore, many studies have focused on uterine mechanisms to achieve this purpose, such as ammonia detoxification17, respiratory-gas exchange4,18, and periodic replacement of the uterine fluid with external seawater (uterine flushing)19,20. Faecal accumulation in embryonic myliobatiform stingray presented in this study showed that the embryo also plays an essential role in controlling the uterine environment.

Materials and Methods
Collection of embryo specimens. A total of 24 embryo specimens were collected from pregnant red stingrays, accidently caught by local fishermen at Seto Inland Sea and the eastern coast of Kyushu Island, Japan, and donated to the Ushimado Marine Institute in Okayama University (Okayama, Japan) and the University of Miyazaki (Miyazaki, Japan), respectively. Maintenance and handling of the fish were conducted in strict

A total of 24 embryo specimens were collected from pregnant red stingrays, accidently caught by local fishermen at Seto Inland Sea and the eastern coast of Kyushu Island, Japan, and donated to the Ushimado Marine Institute in Okayama University (Okayama, Japan) and the University of Miyazaki (Miyazaki, Japan), respectively. Maintenance and handling of the fish were conducted in strict
accordance with the Okayama University Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (http://www.cc.okayama-u.ac.jp/~animal/committee.html). We performed procedures on the fish with the same consideration given to higher vertebrates (i.e., reptiles, birds, and mammals). However, approval from the Okayama University Animal Care and Use Committee was not obtained because although such approval is mandatory for the use of higher vertebrates, the Guide waives that requirement for protocols involving the use of lower vertebrates (i.e., fish and amphibians). All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Embryos ranged between 0.11 and 59.0 g in body weight (BW) and were between stages 29 and 33. Developmental staging of embryo specimens was performed according to that described in a previous report on the oviparous skate Leucoraja ocellataa.

External morphology. External morphology of the spiral intestine was observed using a digital microscope (Keyence VHX-1000; Keyence Co.) at Okinawa Churashima Research Center (Okinawa, Japan). The volume of the intestine was calculated as 1/6 π × (intestinal length) × (intestinal width)², assuming the spheroid morphology. For calculating the proportional volume of the intestine, body weight is converted into body volume, assuming a body density of 1.0 g/cm³. Body weight was measured in fixed specimens using an analytical balance (GR-120; A&D Company Limited). Statistical analysis (t-test) was performed using the built-in statistical tool in Excel 2019 (Microsoft Co.).

Histology. Embryonic intestines were fixed in Bouin’s solution for 2–3 days. Then, they were dehydrated with a series of ethanol and xylene solutions, and embedded in paraffin. Paraffin-embedded samples were cut into 7 μm sections. Following deparaffinization with xylene, they were rehydrated in graded alcohol solutions, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin or periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) stain, for detection of mucus substance. Goblet cells were identified based on their characteristic morphology and positive reaction with PAS staining19.

Comparison with oviparous species. Raja pulchra eggs were collected from a single captive specimen at Noboribetsu Marine Park Nixe (Hokkaido, Japan) in 2018, and were incubated at the Marine Research Center of University of the Ryukyus (Okinawa, Japan) at a water temperature of 11°C. A total of 8 embryos were euthanized with phenoxethanol, and then fixed in Bouin’s solution. Embryos ranged between 13.3 and 23.6 g in BW (excluding the yolk weight), and from stage 32 to 33 in developmental stage. Morphological and histological observations were conducted in the same way as those for red stingrays, described above. For comparison, we also observed 4 postnatal specimens (19.3 to 29.2 g in BW).

Received: 11 January 2020; Accepted: 26 March 2020; Published online: 30 April 2020

References
1. Gilmore, R. G. Reproductive biology of lamnoid sharks. Env. Biol. Fish. 38, 95–114 (1993).
2. Teshima, K., Kamei, Y., Toda, M. & Uchida, S. Reproductive mode of the tawny nurse shark taken from the Yaeyama Islands, Okinawa, Japan with comments on individuals lacking the second dorsal fin. Bull. Seikat Natt. Fish. Res. Inst. 73, 1–12 (1995).
3. Yano, K. Reproductive biology of the black dogfish, Centroscyllium fabricii, collected from waters off western Greenland. J. Mar. Biol. Ass. UK 75, 283–310 (1995).
4. Hamlett, W. C., Musick, J. A., Eulitt, A. M., Jarrell, R. L. & Kelly, M. A. Ultrastructure of uterine trophonemata, accommodation for uteroalactation, and gas exchange in the southern stingray. Dasyatis americana. Can. J. Zool. 74, 1417–1430 (1996).
5. Hamlett, W. C., Kormanik, G., Storrie, M., Stevens, B. & Walker, T. I. In Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of Chondrichthyes (ed. Hamlett, W. C.). Chondrichthyan parity, lecithotrophy and matrotrophy, 395–434 (CRC Press, Enfield, 2005).
6. Musick, J. A. & Ellis, J. K. In Reproductive biology and phylogeny of Chondrichthyes, vol. 3 (eds Hamlett W. C. & Jamieson B. G. M.). Reproductive evolution of chondrichthys, 45–79 (Science Publishers, Enfield, 2005).
7. Emery, I. L. Abnormalities in meconium of the foetus and newborn. Arch. Dis. Child. 32, 17–21 (1957).
8. Furumitsu, K., Wffelfs, J. T. & Yamaguchi, A. Reproduction and embryonic development of the red stingray Hemitrygon akajei from Ariake Bay, Japan. Ichthyol. Res. 66, 419–436 (2019).
9. Maxwell, E. E., Froebisch, N. B. & Heppleston, A. C. Variability and conservation in late chondrichthyan development: ontogeny of the winter skate (Leucosaja ochella). Anat. Rec. 291, 1079–1087 (2008).
10. Johnson, M. H. Essential reproduction. 7th edition, pp.392. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell (2013).
11. Uchida, S., Toda, M., Teshima, K. & Yano, K. In Great White Sharks: The Biology of Carcharodon carcharias (eds Klimley, A. P. & Ainley, D. G.). Pregnant white sharks and full-term embryos from Japan, 139–155 (Academic Press, San Diego, 1996).
12. Lombardi, J. & Wourms, J. P. The trophotaenial placenta of a viviparous goodie fish. II. Ultrastructure of trophotaeniae, the embryonic component. J. Morphol. 184, 293–309 (1985).
13. Iida, A., Nishimaki, T. & Sehara-Fujisawa, A. Prenatal regression of the trophotaenial placenta in a viviparous fish, Xenotoca eiseni. Sci. Rep. 5, 7835 (2015).
14. Gareri, J., Klein, J. & Koren, G. Drug of abuse testing in meconium. Clin. Chim. Acta. 366, 101–111 (2006).
15. Theodosiou, N. A., Hall, D. A. & Lowdry, A. L. Comparison of acidic mucin goblet cell distribution and Hox 13 expression patterns in the developing vertebrate digestive tract. J. Exp. Zool. 308, 442–453 (2007).
16. Theodosiou, N. A. & Simeone, A. Evidence of a rudimentary colon in the elasmobranch, Leucoraja erinacea. Dev. Genes. Evol. 222, 237–243 (2012).
17. Kormanik, G. A. Ion and osmotic environment of developing elasmobranch embryos. Environ. Biol. Fish. 38, 233–240 (1993).
18. Tomita, T. et al. Live-bearing without placenta: Physical estimation indicates the high oxygen-supplying ability of white shark uterus to the embryo. Sci. Rep. 7, 11744 (2017).
19. Burger, J. W. In Sharks, skates, and rays (ed. Hamlett, W. C.). Problems in electrolyte economy of the spiny dogfish, Squalla acantinias, 177–185 (Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1967).
20. Ellis, M. T. & Otway, N. M. Uterine fluid composition of the dwarf ornate wobbegong shark (Orectolobus ornatus) during gestation. Mar. Fresh. Res. 62, 576–582 (2011).
Acknowledgements
For providing data and specimens we thank: Naoaki Tutsui, Tatsuya Sakamoto, and Mizuki Nakao; the staff at Ushimado Marine Institute of Okayama University; Yukio Iwatsuki and Fumiya Tanaka; the staff at University of Miyazaki; and Masaaki Wada. We also thank Keiichi Sato, Kei Miyamoto, Minoru Toda, and the staff at Okinawa Churashima Research Center and Okinawa Churaumi Aquarium for supporting this project.

Author contributions
T.T. wrote the main body of the manuscript. T.T., M.N., Y.K., A.Y. and K.M. contributed to the collection of data reported in this study and reviewed the manuscript. M.N. prepared the histological thin sections. T.T. prepared the figures. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K04064.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.T.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020