Postural control is the ability to maintain the body's center of gravity over the base of support; it is a basic requirement for independent mobility in daily life. The maintenance of this complex process depends on the vestibular system, age, pain, vision, body shape, visual-spatial perception, tactile input, agility, proprioception, and the musculoskeletal and neuromuscular systems. Any insufficiency of this complex may result in imbalance.

Patellofemoral pain syndrome (PFPS) is one of the most common problems of the knee joint. There are several causal factors described for PFPS, such as leg length discrepancy, angular and rotational deformities of the lower extremity, musculature tightness, weakness or imbalance, large quadriceps (Q) angle, abnormal patellar mobility or foot morphology, and even hallux valgus. Patellar malalignment is one of these factors. The Q angle is a common objective measurement of the patellar alignment. Many authors report that a greater Q angle (> 20°) is a risk factor for developing PFPS. Witvrouw et al and Duffey et al do not support this finding. Lower extremity alignment is another factor in PFPS. Lateral distal femoral angle (LDFA) and medial proximal tibial angle (MPTA) are also indicators of lower extremity alignment.

Decreased hamstring and quadriceps muscle strength is also a factor in patients with PFPS. The hamstring:quadriceps muscle strength ratio relates to lower extremity balance. Muscle deficits and pain could affect standing balance in PFPS patients. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess one-leg static standing balance (OLSSB) and related parameters.
with OLSSB, such as hamstring and quadriceps strength, Q angle, LDFA, and MTPA, in patients with PFPS. The hypothesis of this study was that standing balance decreases on the symptomatic side in patients with PFPS.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

Fifty-two women who had unilateral knee pain were evaluated (mean age, 42.01 ± 10.11 years) (Table 1). Ethical approval was obtained from the local ethical committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. Patients were included in this study if the following criteria were fulfilled: onset of pain longer than 6 months; no neurologic or vision problem; positive clinical signs of the syndrome (ie, retro patellar pain, crepitation, pain in patellar grinding, Clarke sign, active patellar grind test, direct patellar compression, palpation of the lateral-medial articular border of the patella); no history or clinical evidence of patellofemoral dislocation, subluxation, lower extremity malalignment. Absence of knee ligaments, bursae, menisci, and synovial plicae cartilage lesions was confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging assessment.

**Assessments**

**Lower extremity malalignment.** The lower extremity alignment was evaluated with LDFA and MPTA by full-length standing anteroposterior teleroentgenogram. A radiograph of the lower extremities was taken with bare feet. The patient was positioned with the knee in full extension. Weight was distributed equally over both extremities. The tibial tuberosity was positioned toward the beam. Intraobserver and interobserver reliabilities of the LDFA and MPTA were found to be excellent regardless of the observer’s experience. LDFA is formed by intersecting the femoral anatomic axis with the tangent to the femoral condyles in the frontal plane (normal range, 85°-90°). MPTA is formed by intersecting the tibial anatomic axis with the tangent to the tibial plateau in the frontal plane (normal range, 85°-90°).

**Q angle.** Q angle was measured with a lengthened-arm 360° plastic universal goniometer. Patients were positioned supine with toes pointing vertically (knee extended and quadriceps muscle relaxed). The center of the patella, the tibial tuberosity, and the anterior superior iliac spine were painted with a marker. The pivot of the goniometer was placed on the center of the patella. The long arms of the goniometry were placed on the tibial tuberosity and the anterior superior iliac spine. The angle where these lines intersect was regarded as the Q angle.

**Balance score.** Balance was evaluated with an instrumented balance assessment system (Kinesthetic Ability Trainer 4000, Berg, Inc, San Marcos, California). The testing technique comprised a circular platform with varying degrees of stability, centered on a small pivot. All tests were carried out at a uniform hydraulic pressure of 6 psi. A numerical score was based on the test time and the deviation distance from the center of the platform, measured every second. The estimated time and distance are denoted by the Balance Index Score, which represents the combined coordinate position of all data acquired during the static balance tests: A low score indicates good balance; a score above 500 is a poor result. Patients performed the tests with bare feet, knees extended, and arms crossed at their chests. Each patient was given at least a 5-minute practice period to become familiar with the device. Three consecutive static balance trials were conducted on both feet, followed by a 30-second test on the symptomatic and asymptomatic sides. Between each test, there was 1 minute of rest. Verbal encouragement was not given during the test. The average of 3 repetitions was analyzed.

**Pain.** An 11-point numeric rating scale (1-cm intervals) was used to assess pain during the balance test, from no pain to worst imaginable pain.

**Muscle torque.** Warm-up exercises were used before data collection. The peak torque of the quadriceps and hamstring muscles was recorded bilaterally on an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex Pro3, Biodex, New York, New York) at angular velocities of 60° per second. Testing was performed seated at 70° of hip flexion (from supine) and the knee at 90° of flexion in a standard fashion. The monitor provided visual feedback. Standardized verbal encouragement was given during the test performance. Three repetitions at 60° per second were performed.

**Statistical Analysis**

Normality of the data distribution was confirmed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov testing with α set at 0.05. All data were analyzed with the SPSS 16 (IBM, Armonk, New York). The paired sample t test was used to determine the difference between the symptomatic and asymptomatic sides. The percentage of the strength loss was analyzed with 95% confidence intervals.

---

**Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics.**

| Variable                        | Mean ± SD  |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| Age, y                          | 42.01 ± 10.11 |
| Height, cm                      | 160.94 ± 6.34 |
| Weight, kg                      | 72.73 ± 10.96 |
| Body mass index, kg/m²          | 28.15 ± 4.65 |
| Duration of symptoms, mo        | 11.94 ± 2.75 |
| Pain, symptomatic side          | 6.08 ± 2.64  |
confidence intervals. The relationship between the parameters and balance scores was evaluated with the Pearson correlation coefficient test. Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

Standing balance on the symptomatic side was significantly worse than on the asymptomatic side \( (P < 0.01) \). There was no difference in LDFA and MPTA between the symptomatic and asymptomatic sides (LDFA, \( P = 0.80 \); MPTA, \( P = 0.73 \)). The Q angle of the symptomatic side was significantly higher than on the asymptomatic side \( (P = 0.02) \). Strength of the quadriceps \( (95\% \text{ confidence interval}, 51.92\%, 27 \text{ patients}) \) and hamstring \( (95\% \text{ confidence interval}, 63.46\%, 33 \text{ patients}) \) on the symptomatic side was lower than on the asymptomatic side at 60° per second \( (P < 0.01 \text{ and } P < 0.01, \text{respectively (Table 2).}) \).

No relationship was found between OLSSB and knee pain \( (r = 0.084, P = 0.553) \), Q angle \( (r = 0.251, P = 0.073) \), LDFA \( (r = 0.180, P = 0.200) \), MPTA \( (r = 0.251, P = 0.073) \), and MTPA \( (r = -0.172, P = 0.223) \). Quadriceps \( (r = -0.294, P = 0.034) \) and hamstring \( (r = 0.352, P = 0.011) \) strength was related to OLSSB on the symptomatic side (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The most important finding of this study was that OLSSB and quadriceps and hamstring strength decreased and Q angle increased on the symptomatic side in patients with PFPS. In addition, quadriceps and hamstring muscle strength was related to OLSSB score. The severity of knee pain, LDFA, MTPA, and Q angle did not relate to OLSSB.

Loudon et al\(^1\) and Aminaka and Gribble\(^1\) investigated the effects of pain on postural control in patients with PFPS, showing the relationship between knee pain and the balance and reach test. Aminaka and Gribble\(^1\) determined that patellar taping decreased knee pain and improved star excursion balance performance. In the present study, the severity of knee pain did not relate to OLSSB. The differences between these studies may be the result of the balance test methods.

Bennell and Hinman\(^1\) reported that standing balance was not significantly altered by experimentally induced acute knee pain in healthy older individuals.

Previous studies showed that quadriceps and hamstring weakness was common in PFPS. Hassan et al\(^1\) showed a relationship between bilateral postural sway and maximal voluntary quadriceps activation in patients with osteoarthritis. Single-leg standing balance has been linked to stronger quadriceps in medial knee osteoarthritis.\(^1\) In the present study, the peak torque of the quadriceps \( (51.92\%) \) and hamstring \( (63.46\%) \) on the symptomatic side was lower, and quadriceps and hamstring strength correlated with OLSSB.

---

### Table 2. Differences between the symptomatic and asymptomatic sides: mean ± SD.

| Variable                        | Symptomatic       | Asymptomatic     | \( P^a \) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Balance score                   | 523.08 ± 202.06   | 438.49 ± 166.49  | <0.01     |
| Lateral distal femoral angle    | 87.54± 1.87°      | 87.62± 2.19°     | 0.80      |
| Medial tibial proximal angle    | 87.56± 1.91°      | 87.46± 1.97°     | 0.73      |
| Q angle                         | 21.82± 3.32°      | 20.67± 4.24°     | 0.02      |
| Quadriceps femoris strength (60 deg/s), N·m | 70.96 ± 18.57     | 88.17 ± 22.65   | 0.01      |
| Hamstring strength (60 deg/s), N·m | 42.23 ± 12.06     | 54.52 ± 19.15   | <0.01     |

\( ^a \text{Paired sample } t \text{ test } (P < 0.05). \)

### Table 3. Parameters correlated with one-leg static standing balance score on the symptomatic side.

| Parameter                        | \( r \) | \( P^a \) |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------|
| Pain                            | 0.084   | 0.553     |
| Lateral distal femoral angle    | 0.251   | 0.073     |
| Medial tibial proximal angle    | 0.172   | 0.223     |
| Q angle                         | 0.180   | 0.202     |
| Quadriceps femoris strength (60 deg/s) | –0.294 | 0.034     |
| Hamstring strength (60 deg/s)   | 0.352   | 0.011     |

\( ^a \text{Pearson correlation coefficient test } (P < 0.05). \)
In this study, the Q angle was larger than normal, while the LDFA and MTPA were normal on the symptomatic side. There was no relationship between OLSSB and the Q angle or lower extremity alignment on the symptomatic side. Hunt et al. investigated the effect of tibial alignment surgery on single-leg standing balance in patients with knee osteoarthritis. No difference was found in standing balance following high tibial osteotomy. Standing balance is a complex process not dictated by structural factors such as alignment.

There are limitations in this study, including an age-matched healthy control population. A sample size estimate was not performed. Therefore, the lack of a significant relationship between OLSSB and knee pain, Q angle, LDFA, and MTPA may be due to insufficient numbers of study patients.

**CONCLUSIONS**

OLSSB decreased on the symptomatic side in PFPS patients. A quadriceps and hamstring strengthening program may be beneficial to patients with PFPS.

**REFERENCES**

1. Aminaka N, Grubb PA. Patellar taping, patellofemoral pain syndrome, lower extremity kinematics, and dynamic postural control. *J Athl Train*. 2008;43:21-28.
2. Bennett KL, Hinman RS. Effect of experimentally induced knee pain on standing balance in healthy older individuals. *Rheumatology*. 2005;44:378-381.
3. Boling MC, Padua DA, Marshall SW, Guskiewicz K, Pyne S, Beutler A. A prospective investigation of biomechanical risk factors for patellofemoral pain syndrome: the Joint Undertaking to Monitor and Prevent ACL Injury (JUMP-ACL) cohort. *Am J Sports Med*. 2009;37:2108-2116.
4. Brechter JF, Powers CM. Patellofemoral joint stress during stair ascent and descent in persons with and without patellofemoral pain. *Gait Posture*. 2002;16:119-123.
5. Callaghan MJ, Oldham JA. Quadriceps atrophy: to what extent does it exist in patellofemoral pain syndrome? *Br J Sports Med*. 2001;35:295-299.
6. Chuang SH, Huang MH, Chen TW, Wang WC, Liu CW, Chen CH. Effect of knee sleeve on static and dynamic balance in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Kinesiosc J Med Sci*. 2017;25:405-411.
7. Duffley MJ, Martin DF, Cotton DW, Graven T, Messier SP. Etiologic factors associated with anterior knee pain in distance runners. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2000;32:1825-1832.
8. Feiring DC, Ellenbecker TS, Derscheid GL. Test-retest reliability of the Biodex isokinetic dynamometer. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 1990;11:298-300.
9. Gerbino PG, Griffin ED, d’Hemecourt PA, et al. Patellofemoral pain syndrome: evaluation of location and intensity of pain. *Clin J Pain*. 2006;22:154-159.
10. Gordon JE, Chen RC, Dobbs MB, Luhmann SJ, Rich MM, Schoenecker PL. Interobserver and intraobserver reliability in the evaluation of mechanical axis deviation. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2009;29:281-284.
11. Greene CC, Edwards TB, Wade MR, Carson EW. Reliability of the quadriceps angle measurement. *Am J Knee Surg*. 2011;14:97-103.
12. Hageman PA, Leibowitz JM, Blanke D. Age and gender effects on postural control measures. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1995;76:961-965.
13. Hain A, Yaniv M, Deker S, Amir H. Patellofemoral pain syndrome: validity of clinical and radiological features. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2006;451:225-228.
14. Hassan BS, Mockett S, Doherty M. Static postural sway: proprioception, and maximal voluntary quadriceps contraction in patients with knee osteoarthritis and normal control subjects. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2001;60:612-618.
15. Hunt MA, Birmingham TB, Jones IC, Vanderveort AA, Giffin JR. Effect of tibial re-alignment surgery on single leg standing balance in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 2009;24:693-696.
16. Hunt MA, McMamus FJ, Hinman RS, Bennell KL. Predictors of single-leg standing balance in individuals with medial knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2010;62:696-700.
17. Jensen MP, Turner JA, Romano JM. What is the maximum number of levels needed in pain intensity measurement? *Pain*. 1994;58:387-392.
18. Jones K, Barker K. *Human Movement Explained*. Edinburgh, Scotland: Butterworth-Heinemann; 2000.
19. Laprade J, Colham E, Brouwer B. Comparison of five isometric exercises in the recruitment of the vastus medialis oblique in persons with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 1998;27:197-204.
20. Lihavainen K, Sipilä S, Rantanen T, Shihvonen S, Sulkava R, Hartikainen S. Contribution of musculoskeletal pain to postural balance in community-dwelling people aged 75 years and older. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2010;65:990-996.
21. Loudon JK, Wiesner D, Geist-Foley HL, Asjes C, Loudon KL. Intrarater reliability of functional performance tests for subjects with patellofemoral pain syndrome. *J Athl Train*. 2002;37:256-261.
22. Malanga GA, Andrus S, Nadler SF, McLean J. Physical examination of the knee: a review of the original test description and scientific validity of common orthopedic tests. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2003;84:592-603.
23. Nguyen AD. Boling MC, Levine B, Shultz SJ. Relationships between lower extremity alignment and the quadriceps angle. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2009;19:201-206.
24. Nguyen AD, Shultz SJ. Identifying relationships among lower extremity alignment characteristics. *J Athl Train*. 2009;44:511-518.
25. Paley D, Herzenberg JE, Tetsworth K, McKie J, Bhave A. Deformity planning for frontal and sagittal plane corrective osteotomies. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 1994;25:425-465.
26. Price JL. Patellofemoral syndrome: how to perform a basic knee evaluation. *JAAPA*. 2008;21:39-43.
27. Prins MB, van der Wurff F. Females with patellofemoral pain syndrome have weak hip muscles: a systematic review. *Am J Phys Ther*. 2009;55:9-15.
28. Sabharwall S, Zhao C, Edgar M. Lower limb alignment in children: reference values based on a full-length standing radiograph. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2008;28:746-746.
29. Thomée R, Augustsson J, Karlsson J. Patellofemoral pain syndrome: a review of current issues. *Sports Med*. 1999;28:245-262.
30. Tiberio D. The effect of excessive subtalar joint pronation on patellofemoral mechanics: a theoretical model. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 1987;9:160-165.
31. Tveten AT, Holm I. Influence of thigh muscle strength and balance on hop length in one-legged hopping in children aged 7-12 years. *Gait Posture*. 2010;32:259-262.
32. Vrbanic TS, Ravlic-Gulan J, Gulan G, Matovinovic D. Balance index score as a predictive factor for lower sports results or anterior cruciate ligament knee injuries in Croatian female athletes-preliminary study. *Coll Antropol*. 2007;31:253-258.
33. White LC, Dolphin P, Dixon J. Hamstring length in patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Physiotherapy*. 2009;95:24-28.
34. Willson JD, Davis IS. Lower extremity strength and mechanics during jumping in women with patellofemoral pain. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2009;18:76-90.
35. Wilson T. The measurement of patellar alignment in patellofemoral pain syndrome: are we confusing assumptions with evidence? *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2007;37:330-341.
36. Wåvroué E, Lyssens R, Bellemans J, Cambier D, Vanderstraeten G. Intrinsic risk factors for the development of anterior knee pain in an athletic population: a two-year prospective study. *Am J Sports Med*. 2000;28:480-489.

For reprints and permission queries, please visit SAGE’s Web site at http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav.