Development and Implementation of a clinical pathway to reduce inappropriate admissions among patients with Community acquired pneumonia in a private health system in Brazil: An observational cohort study and a promising tool for efficiency improvement.
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Abstract

Background: Patients with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) at low risk of death by CURB-65 scoring system are usually unnecessarily treated as inpatients generating additional economic and clinical burden. We aimed to implement an evidence based clinical pathway to reduce hospital admissions of low risk CAP and investigate factors related to mortality and readmissions within 30 days.

Methods: From November 2015 to August 2017 a clinical pathway was implemented at twenty hospitals. We included patients aged >18 years, with a diagnosis of CAP by the attendant physician. The main outcome was the monthly proportion of low risk CURB-65 admission after implementation of the clinical pathway. Logistic regression models were performed to assess variables associated with mortality and readmission in the admitted population within 30 days.

Results: We included 10909 participants with suspected CAP. The proportion of low risk CAP admitted decreased from 22.1% to 12.8% in the period. Among participants with low risk, there has been no perceptible increase in deaths (0.80%) or readmissions (6.92%). Regression analysis identified that CURB-65 variables, presence of pleural effusion (OR= 1.74; 95%IC=1.08-2.8; p=0.02) and leucopenia (OR= 2.47; 95%IC=1.11-5.48;p=0.02) were independently associated with 30-day mortality whereas a prolonged hospital stay (OR= 2.09; 95%=1.14-3.83;p=0.01) were associated with 30-day readmission in the low risk population.

Conclusion: The implementations of a clinical pathway diminished the proportion of low risk CAP admissions with no apparent increase of clinical outcomes within 30 days. Nonetheless, additional factors influences the clinical decision about site of care management in low risk CAP.

Background

Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP) is one of the most frequent diseases leading to hospital admissions [1]. Despite being a worldwide-recognized cause of morbidity and a leading cause of death, it is frequently misdiagnosed or inappropriately managed [2, 3]. It is critical to improve our ability in rapidly diagnose and systematically integrate the use of prognostic aids to assess the severity of illness for those making treatment and disposition decisions. CURB-65 is a practical score
validated to estimate mortality risk in pneumonia and accordingly, stratifies patients into low risk who could be managed as outpatients in contrast to those at higher risk that would require admission to a greater level of intensive care [4]. For a decade, despite guideline recommendations to increase the treatment of low-risk patients in the outpatient setting, as high as 44%, of low-risk patients are still treated as inpatients [2, 5]. Social issues such as compliance with oral medical prescription and concern about a lack of follow-up care might influence physicians to decide against discharge as the initial approach. Notwithstanding, given its potential severity and expressive impact over healthcare costs it seems quite relevant to implement standards of care focused on selecting appropriate cases for hospitalization care or responsibly steerage to outpatient facilities and continuum care without impact on the morbimortality [6]. For instance Blot S. I. et al showed that delays in oxygenation assessment negatively affects the time to antibiotic administration and consequently survival [7]. Moreover, respiratory infections often occur as an epiphenomenon generating clinical instability in patients with chronic diseases such as heart failure or cancer. While it is fully justifiable to pay attention to these cases by assessing CAP severity along with clinical judgment, to understand inappropriate hospital admissions is key to implement future decisions to improve healthcare utilization [4, 8, 9].

Given the CAP clinical relevance and impact over healthcare chain providing an opportunity of cost-effectiveness improvement, we aimed to understand CAP management in a real world large population developing an evidence-based pathway, facing barriers in establish consensus and implementing a value-based policy focused on better clinical results [10]. Hence, the objective of this study was specifically to investigate monthly trends of hospitalization in low risk CAP patients after implementation of a clinical pathway, identifying factors associated with 30 days mortality in the admitted population and readmission among the low risk patients. In addition, we explored factors related to mortality in specific subpopulations and assessed the performance of CURB–65 in patients with hypoxemia.

Methods
This was an observational cohort study with data collected retrospectively. United Health Group (UHG) operates a large healthcare network in Brazil, including 33 owned hospitals and 95 medical centers or outpatient facilities. These delivery centers are core to the business and a big effort has been applied to encourage evidence-based care to patients. All clinical and data claims flow from this healthcare system and have been converged to an organized single layer, patient-centric database called Clinical Data Warehouse (CDW).

**Clinical Pathway Development and Implementation Process**

An evidence-based development group of healthcare professionals started working defining and structuring major questions about CAP according a standardized methodology [11]. A literature search included English and Portuguese references [12]. Evidence was critically appraised and classified by GRADE system [13] and a draft of Clinical Pathway in CAP was constructed and compared with major guidelines [2, 14, 15] tracking possible and predictable barriers for implementation. After that, the draft was submitted to an analysis of impact over health organization and stakeholders’ validation during a consensus-meeting day, which included 70% of the most relevant providers for clinical operation, invited based on their relevance for clinical operation, confidentiality agreement, compliance with evidence-based clinical practice and commitment with effective implementation.

The CAP pathway draft and related references were sent the participants two weeks prior the consensus meeting to allow their appraisal and stimulate additional questions. The consensus considered the strength of the evidence supporting each structured question and used the Delphi modified method for decisions. After the clinical pathway draft overview, objective questions came up to participants in a plenary interactive session, supporting references were offered for discussion until a result was consolidated, assuming 65% agreement as the trigger for consensus. Then, a final clinical pathway was assumed as agreed and ready for implementation [16]. The clinical pathway enclosed the following orientations (i) once a patient presented at the emergency department with a combination of signs and symptoms suggestive of PAC such as cough, chest pain, myalgia, fever, tachypnea, rales, chills, sweating, headache or confusion it was recommended to measure the
peripheral oxygen saturation and take a chest X-ray. At this point it was necessary (ii) to evaluate the severity of disease assessed using the CRB–65/CURB–65 score and the indication of intravenous venous antibiotic or volume replacement. If the patient was considered for admission it was necessary to take an hemogram, serum biochemistry, electrolyte profile, arterial blood gas test and hemoculture. For patients assessed as low risk it was advised to treat them as outpatient with an reevaluation scheduled. Regarding patients with moderate risk the consideration was a short stay in the emergency room and to treat them as outpatient or admission depending on the general practitioner assessment. For high risk subjects, besides hospital admission it was required to start antibiotic within 1 hour and (iii) a careful evaluation for intensive care unit (ICU) treatment if signs of hemodynamic or ventilatory instability were present such as respiratory rate ≥ 30 bpm, hypoxemia or hypotension requiring volume replacement. Likewise, were indicators of ICU the existence of pulmonary infiltrate with pleural effusion or mental confusion; urea > 50 mg / dL; low platelet or leucocyte count; hypothermia, hyperlactatemia or acidosis.

Doctors were not obligated to follow the clinical pathway. However, a start-up session allowed hospitals and leadership staff to discuss and became familiar with the pathway. Then, case managers nurses started continuous monitoring of clinical variables and outcomes, making the representatives physicians know about each non-conform cases. Every other week, all cases were presented in a remote meeting by case managers and reviewed under the supervision of an engagement supervisor. A face-to-face bimonthly debriefing meeting allowed a deep dive in each hospital performance aiming to achieve higher level of alignment.

Participant’s enrolment

The clinical pathway was implemented at twenty UHG hospitals at different moments, from November 2015 to August 2017 and the last follow up terminated in JanuaryDecember 2018. We used the prior first three months of implementation as baseline data to compare with the following months after implementation to identify a trend about discharging low risk CAP. We included all individuals who presented to the emergency room (ER) with suspected CAP within twenty UHG owned hospitals committed to CAP Clinical Pathway.
To be enrolled in the study the patient had to be aged higher than 18 years, with a diagnosis of suspected CAP made by the attendant physician, specified by the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10). The decisions concerning the initial approach such as inpatient or outpatient treatment as well as transfer from ER was made by the attendant physician.

Data collection and covariates definitions

Trained research nurses collected clinical data from medical charts, which included patient age, initial vital signs and mental status, comorbid conditions, pertinent laboratory test results, and chest radiography findings from ED presentation. To aid nurse’s work and avoid data entry errors all these variables with the exception of age were collected dichotomized in a standardized sheet and then at the data-coordinating center processed into a database for quality control evaluation where they were checked for validity and consistency. Additional information such as date of birth, sex, vital status, discharge diagnosis and readmission within 30 days after discharge were extracted from CDW health records.

Variables were defined or calculated as follows. For the diagnosis of suspected CAP we used health records information containing ICD-10 codes J15 and J18; for Influenza and pneumonia we used ICD-10 of discharge comprising J09.X1, J10 and J11 codes and confirmed pneumonia was restricted to those participants presenting with symptoms of CAP and a new infiltrate found on chest radiography. Age was dichotomized into 65 years or older, gender female vs. male, leucocitosis or leucopenia when the white blood cell count was higher 12000 cell/mm3 or less than < 4000 cell/mm3 respectively, hypotension if systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg or diastolic ≤ 60 mmHg; hypoxemia at admission was defined as present when the oxygen saturation measured by pulse oximetry (SpO2) was less then 90 % and hypothermia when body temperature less than 36.0. Thrombocytopenia was determined when the platelet count was below 100.000 /mm3. Hypotension requiring fluid resuscitation was defined when it was prescribed intravenous fluids for patients with hypotension. A prolonged hospital stay was ascertained when the length of stay was more than six days. Severity of CAP at admission was calculated according to CURB–65 criteria (confusion, urea
>50 mmol/l, respiratory rate>30/min, and hypotension: diastolic blood pressure (DBP) <60 mm Hg or systolic blood pressure (SBP) <90 mm Hg). In cases where patients were treated as outpatients and not admitted to hospitals, complementary exams, which include a blood sample, was not routinely collected bringing limited availability for urea, leukogram and platelet count results. In such cases the CRB–65 was used. We categorized the severity of pneumonia into three classes of CRB–65/CURB–65 score: low risk, 0–1; moderate, 2; high risk, ≥3.

Outcomes
The primary outcome was the monthly proportion of low risk CRB–65/CURB–65 admission into hospitals pre and post implementation of the clinical pathway. The secondary outcome was 30-days adjusted all cause mortality and readmission in the hospitalized population. Exploratory analysis included the ascertainment of CURB–65 prognostic performance in participants admitted and non-admitted with hipoxemia.

Statistical analysis
To analyze the variation of the proportion of low risk patients with CAP admitted to the hospitals we plotted a monthly time trend with the estimated regression line. Descriptive statistics of the population included the median and interquartile range for continuous variables and frequency distributions for categorical variables. We created a table to compare the characteristics between categories of CURB–65 and alive and dead patients in the cohort at the end of follow-up. Differences were assessed with the nonparametric Mann–Whitney test to compare continuous variables and Chi-squared tests for categorical variables with the corresponding p-values. Logistic regression models with the calculated odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI were performed for multivariate analysis in the subgroup of participants who were admitted to the hospitals to determine which variables were associated with mortality within 30 days. Patients with missing data were excluded from the regression models. From the explanatory variables, a generalized linear model function built all possible unique models involving these variables and To select the best multivariable fit model explaining 30-days mortality in admitted patients with CAP, was chosen using an automated model selection based in we used an exhaustive procedure implemented by a genetic algorithm ranked by
ranked by the Akaike information criterion [17]. The same procedure was carried out to identify factors independently related to readmission among the admitted low risk CAP subjects, mortality in those admitted with confirmed pneumonia and mortality in individuals with low risk that were admitted to the hospitals. To compare the accuracy of CURB–65 tool in predicting death according to the presence of hypoxemia we fit a logistic regression model and incorporated the predicted values into the dataset. Afterwards, to assess model discrimination, we constructed a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve calculating the area under the curve (AUC) for the entire sample and segregated for subjects with and without hypoxemia. The optimal cut-off point was determined by “youden” index. Furthermore, for each of the five points of CURB–65 we computed the sensitivities and specificities with the respective confidence intervals. [18]. This study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles and the study was approved by the Comitê de Ética em Pesquisa do Hospital Pró-Cardíaco, the local institutional review board (Reference number 890). Data were anonymised for the analysis and all tests were 2-tailed assuming significance if p<0.05, performed in the R environment version 3.2.2.

Results
We included 10909 participants attended on ED suspected of CAP from our owned network and follow up was considered complete in 99.95% of patients for mortality and 90.96% for readmission. Looking into CDW database, we found 19 cases of influenza in the period and among 6290 participants whose ICD-10 discharge code was available we found a considerable inconsistency in the final diagnosis. Nevertheless, the most frequent diagnosis was pneumonia (J15 and J18) with 1804 (28.67%) cases and the related diagnosis of sepsis (A41) with 339 (5.38%) cases but we also identified events of angina pectoris (I20) and heart failure (I50) with 249(3.95%) and 163(2.95%) occurrences respectively.

Trends in admission of patients with low risk suspected CAP
The mean follow up time of observation was 11.3 months. In summary, among each of the 20 hospitals, eight demonstrated some extent of reduction in the admission of patients with CAP and low risk CURB–65 score over time, two revealed an increase in the proportion of admissions of those
patients and nine remained stable after implementation of the clinical pathway. Taken together these results indicates that the monthly proportion of patients with low risk CAP that were admitted to the hospitals decreased significantly over time after implementation of the clinical pathway, ranging from 350 patients out of 1583 (22.15%) in the first three months post implementation of the clinical pathway to 40 out of 308 (12.99%) when we assume the cumulative period of the twenty-first month to the end of the study ($p = 0.04$) [For more details see additional file 1, Table 5]. The monthly proportion of low risk CAP decreased significantly over time after implementation of the clinical pathway, ranging from 345 patients out of 1577 low risk (21.9%) in the three months prior to implementation to 124 out of 972 low risk CAP (12.8%) cumulative from the twelve to the end of the eighteenth month (Figure 1). Among 9003 participants with a CURB–65 score of 0 and 1, there has been no perceptible increase in clinical outcomes since only 72 (0.80%) died and 568 (6.92%) were readmitted within 30 days. The proportion was even lower among those who were treated as outpatients (0.12% and 5.6% for death and readmission within 30 days respectively). The clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients at the end of follow up are depicted in table 1.

**Overall Population Characteristics**

The population was predominantly female (57.79%) with a median age 59 years [Interquartile range (IQR) 40–76], most of them aging less than 65 years (60.07%) with a small proportion showing COPD as comorbidity (7.25%). The most common signs and symptoms during patient evaluation on ED were cough (87.98%), expectoration or dyspnea (69.27%), pulmonary rales (61.39%) and fever (42.98%). A new focal infiltrate suggestive of PAC on chest radiography was found in almost half of participants (49.42%) and signs of disease severity such as multilobar or diffuse pattern and pleural effusion were present in 12.47% and 6.1% of roentgenograms respectively. Although the greater majority of subjects were eligible to be managed as outpatients according to CRB–65/CURB–65 the observed proportion of depending on the physician decision was slightly different (84.62%). By contrast, a small proportion of patients initially exhibited a combination of respiratory rate >30 breaths/min (2.83%), leukopenia resulting from infection (2.91%) or hypotension requiring fluid resuscitation (3.20%) which would eventually determine their admission to ICU (9.88%). It is interesting to notice
that regarding participants with low risk CRB–65/ CURB–65 although most of them had been treated as outpatients there was a small fraction (4.62%) requiring ICU stay. At the end of 30 days 267 (2.45%) patients of the overall population were dead and 845 (8.52%) were readmitted at the hospital yielding a LOS equal or more than six days of 14.30%, for patients with low risk the mortality was considered minimal (0.8%) (Table 1). When we take into account only the 2750 participants who were admitted, 1385 (50.36%) were considered low risk and 416 (15.12%) admitted to ICU; among those admitted with low risk 63 (4.56%) died and 177 (14.39%) were readmitted within 30 days.

**Determinants of mortality in patients admitted with CAP**

Confirmed CAP was present in 74.02% of the population admitted to the hospitals. In crude analysis, patients who were dead within 30 days were predominantly older than 65 years when compared to patients who were alive (83.92% vs. 63%; p < 0.001), as expected. They also had more clinical signs of CAP including dyspnea (85.6% vs. 80.56%; p<0.001), rales (88.49% vs. 80.73%; p<0.001) and confusion (33.06% vs. 14.37%; p<0.01). In the chest X-ray evaluation, pleural effusion was also more frequently found in subjects who died when compared to those who were alive (25.98% vs 16.36%, p<0.001). Disease severity was also marked prevalent in individuals who died, which comprised urea > 50mg/dl (63.38% vs. 42.02%; p<0.001), respiratory frequency higher than 30 breaths per min (21.03% vs. 9.41%, p<0.001) and hypotension (33.46% vs. 20.33%; p<0.001), consequently the proportion of participants with CURB–65 categorized as high risk was more frequent in these patients (44.71% vs. 17.15%; p<0.001) as well as ICU admission (63.92% vs. 36.67%; p<0.001). (Table 2)

The final multivariate logistic regression analysis identified that CURB–65 variables were independently associated with 30-day mortality in the admitted population with the exception of hypotension. In addition, the presence of pleural effusion (OR = 1.74; 95%IC = 1.08–2.8; p = 0.02) and leucopenia (OR = 2.47; 95%IC = 1.11–5.48; p = 0.02) were predictive of 30 days mortality in patients admitted with suspected CAP (Table 3). Among participants admitted with low risk CURB–65, the predictors of mortality within 30 days were new pulmonary infiltrates (OR = 3.1; 95%IC = 1.08–8.89; p = 0.03), leucopenia (OR = 6.37; 95%IC = 2.14–18.94; p<0.01), and a prolonged hospital stay (OR = 5.4; 95%IC = 2.17,13.45; p<0.01) [For more detail, see Supplementary Table 6, additional file]
Likewise, for patients admitted with confirmed pneumonia (n = 1795), apart from CURB–65 variables, pleural effusion (OR = 1.76; 95%IC = 1.01–3.01; p = 0.04), thrombocytopenia (OR = 2.78; 95%IC = 0.94–7.19; p = 0.04) and ICU stay (OR = 2.44; 95%IC = 1.43–4.23; p = <0.01) were associated with increased mortality within 30 days [For more detail, see Supplementary Table 7, additional file 3].

**Predictors of 30 days readmission in patients with low risk CAP**

In Adjusted analysis, among patients that were admitted with low risk CAP, a prolonged hospital stay of more than six days was independently associated with an increased chance of 30 days readmission (OR = 1.58; 95%IC = 1.03–2.41; p = 0.03), albeit cough had a protective effect (OR = 0.53; 95%IC = 0.32–0.89; p = 0.02).

**Accuracy of CURB–65 tool in the presence of hypoxemia**

Among 3425 participants in which data about oxygen saturation was available, those presenting with hypoxemia, showed significantly reduced accuracy (AUC = 72.68, 95%CI = 65.54–79.83) when compared to patients without hipoxemia (AUC = 86.42, 95%CI = 82.97–89.88); p<0.01]. For a threshold ≥2, which identifies patients with intermediate and high risk of mortality, the score demonstrated similar sensitivity (0.97 vs. 0.97) and lower specificity (0.45 vs. 0.78) for identifying patients who died within 30 days. An additional table and figure files show this in more details [See Supplementary Table 8 and Supplementary Figure 2, Additional file 4 and 5 respectively]

**Discussion**

In this prospective real world study, we demonstrated that the proportion of low risk CAP admissions decreased significantly over time after implementation of the clinical pathway. Moreover, among participants with low risk CURB–65, there has been no apparent increase in clinical outcomes within 30 days. We also found additional predictors of mortality in patients with suspected CAP aside from CURB–65 variables comprising low leucocyte cell count and chest radiography abnormalities. For the 30-day risk of readmission a prolonged hospital stay was the main predictor. Furthermore, CURB–65 showed reduced performance in patients with hypoxemia.

To our knowledge, this is the first Brazilian experience in implementing a targeted CAP Clinical
Pathway in order to optimize health system performance, designed and conducted by a health organization in cooperation with an expressive portion of healthcare provider network. Regarding severity stratification scores, CURB 65 was considered more suitable and chosen especially because of its presumably higher adherence in clinical practice, since the PSI is significantly more complex, requiring the measurement of 20 parameters. Evidence suggests that clinicians find it difficult to use and it is currently underutilized [19]. The IDSA/ATS and British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines recommend that patients with scores of 0 and 1 are at low risk of clinical complications and seemingly qualified to be managed as outpatients [2, 20]. Despite of that, according to baseline data of our population, more than 20% of CURB 65 categorized as 0–1 used to be inappropriately admitted to the hospital. Other series of cases reported that as high as 61% of the low-risk CURB–65 was admitted [21]. In the present experience, it became clear that continuous educational initiatives covering stakeholders and institutional support improved clinical engagement changing behavior regarding avoidable admissions with an absolute reduction of 9.1% in admission rate among the low-risk population at the end of study period.

The most frequent mentioned reasons to admit low-risk patients included unreliable access to the prescribed antimicrobials or continuity of care, particularly for the low-income population. Despite lacking a pharmacy benefit management program, careful selection and patient referral to outpatient facility network might have had a positive neutralizing effect on death and 30-day readmission registered as 0.12% and 5.6% among patients with low risk CAP respectively. These results are in agreement with the original cohort from Lim et al, who reported a low mortality rate of 1.5 % [9].

Inaccurate diagnosis is a well-recognized issue in CAP patients approach at the ER and it is known that IDSA clinical criterion lacks specificity. In the present study, new pulmonary infiltrates were noted only in 49.41% of CAP cases. These numbers are in line with a primary-care based study by Blaeuer et al [22] but slightly differs from the results presented by Vugt et al; who found radiographic pneumonia in 57% of patients with clinically suspected pneumonia [23]. In all of these studies the diagnosis of pneumonia was based on clinical suspicion by the attending physician, based on lower respiratory symptoms, reflecting a real world scenario.
Although the overall mortality and readmission within 30 days were low, among the admitted participants an unexpected proportion of low-risk CAP presented with other markers of severity of the disease requiring intensive care and ICU admission (15.12%). In our population, the most relevant conditions besides CURB–65 to predict the overall 30-day mortality in the adjusted analysis were chest X-ray abnormalities and leucopenia. For Ilg et al a significant proportion of low-risk CAP also received critical care interventions such as vasopressors, inotropic support agents and assisted ventilation requiring ICU stay [24]. Combined, this information suggests that CURB–65 demands further inspection and clinical decision tailoring when a low risk category is assigned. In addition, patients with a higher length of stay should be closely followed to avoid readmissions.

The study results reflect participants with different clinical characteristics and income level based on the healthcare plans. In this real world study about CAP no type of filter or selection was applied to include adult subjects. This should allow the results to be generalized to other middle-income countries. Moreover, given the retrospective nature of the study, and the fact that the information about pneumonia and other exposures were collected before the occurrence of death or readmission, therefore it is unlikely that these outcomes had influenced the collection of information about pneumonia by the trained nurses. However, we detected some limitations to be discussed, for instance we lack some important covariables and comorbidities such as smoking, diabetes, liver and renal impairment and heart failure. Although we controlled for many risk factors for death and readmission we did not have information about these exposures, thus some residual confounding is likely to still be present changing our effect estimate. In addition, data was extracted from both medical records and administrative databases and users including the trained nurses might have different skills leading to potential variation in the information collected introducing a potential threat to internal validity. However, for the outcomes of interest - death and readmission—the information was based on ICD–10 codes captured from CDW even for outpatients, minimizing internal inconsistencies and misclassifications. The main reasons claimed for emergency physicians to admit patients with low risk CURB–65 were advanced age and the presence of comorbidities. However, this information was not systematically collected. Lastly, we could not detect that patients designated as
low risk, would be sent home as a result of higher levels of hospital occupancy leaving the attending physician with pressure to discharge.

Conclusions
The implementations of a clinical pathway encompassing both stakeholders and institutional support was successful in reducing the proportion of suspected low risk CAP admissions with no apparent increase in 30 days outcomes. Nonetheless, additional factors influences the clinical decision about site of care management and doctors should tailor when encountering a low leucocyte cell count and a chest radiography indicating severity of the disease. The association of readmission risk in the low risk population admitted to hospitals and a prolonged length of stay should be further explored.
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### Table 1 - Comparison of demographic characteristics among subjects with suspect CAP by CRB-65 risk score

|                     | Low     | Moderate | High    | Total   | P value |
|---------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| **Total**           | 9003    | 1305     | 601     | 10909   |         |
| Age median (IQR)    | 53 (37,69) | 80 (72,86) | 83 (77,89) | 59 (40,76) | < 0.001 |
| Sex: Female         | 5146 (58.62) | 689 (54.77) | 302 (51.71) | 6137 (57.79) | < 0.001 |
| Age>65 years        | 2566 (28.51) | 1214 (93.1) | 585 (97.34) | 4365 (40.03) | < 0.001 |
| COPD                | 426 (5.13)  | 189 (15.13) | 120 (20.58) | 735 (7.25)  | < 0.001 |
| Cough               | 7955 (89.2) | 1084 (84.23) | 457 (77.72) | 9496 (87.98) | < 0.001 |
| Expectoration or dyspnea | 5940 (67.19) | 997 (77.35) | 490 (82.77) | 7427 (69.27) | < 0.001 |
| Chest pain          | 2744 (31.63) | 247 (20.11) | 102 (18.15) | 3093 (29.56) | < 0.001 |
| Rales               | 5082 (57.4) | 999 (77.56) | 510 (85.71) | 6591 (61.39) | < 0.001 |
| Confusion           | 23 (0.26)  | 171 (13.39) | 301 (50.42) | 495 (4.6)   | < 0.001 |
| Headache            | 1664 (20.72) | 91 (8.38)  | 34 (6.92)  | 1789 (18.62) | < 0.001 |
| Sweating            | 244 (3.15)  | 25 (2.36)  | 25 (5.08)  | 294 (3.16)  | 0.0172  |
| Chills              | 548 (7.03)  | 88 (8.22)  | 51 (10.24) | 687 (7.34)  | 0.0142  |
| Myalgia             | 2165 (26.11) | 216 (19.01) | 85 (16.31) | 2466 (24.78) | < 0.001 |
| Fever\(^b\)         | 3858 (43.77) | 477 (37.77) | 248 (42.47) | 4583 (42.98) | < 0.001 |
| New pulmonary infiltrates | 3358 (45.71) | 698 (61.88) | 395 (74.11) | 4451 (49.42) | < 0.001 |
| Multilobar infiltrates | 703 (9.88)  | 231 (20.92) | 155 (30.1) | 1089 (12.47) | < 0.001 |
| Pleural effusion    | 318 (4.47)  | 145 (13.17) | 69 (13.58) | 532 (6.1)   | < 0.001 |
| Respiratory rate > 30 breaths/min | 54 (0.61)  | 86 (6.65)  | 164 (27.38) | 304 (2.83)  | < 0.001 |
| Hypotension\(^c\)  | 264 (2.98)  | 357 (27.53) | 388 (64.77) | 1009 (9.37) | < 0.001 |
| Hypotension requiring fluid resuscitation | 78 (0.9)    | 88 (6.89)  | 170 (28.76) | 336 (3.2)   | < 0.001 |
| Hypothermia\(^d\)  | 288 (3.29)  | 79 (6.26)  | 58 (9.86)  | 425 (4.01)  | < 0.001 |
| Assignment          |          |          |          |         | < 0.001 |
| Outpatient          | 7618 (84.62) | 483 (37.01) | 58 (9.65)  | 8159 (74.79) |         |
| General ward        | 935 (10.39) | 483 (37.01) | 184 (30.62) | 1602 (14.69) |         |
| Transferred         | 34 (0.38)   | 24 (1.84)  | 12 (2)    | 70 (0.64)   |         |
| ICU                 | 416 (4.62)  | 315 (24.14) | 347 (57.74) | 1078 (9.88) |         |
| Antibiotic therapy within 60 min | 1207 (13.57) | 541 (42.13) | 424 (72.35) | 2172 (20.18) | < 0.001 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------|
| Hemoculture                     | 881 (10.23) | 518 (41.01) | 403 (69.13) | 1802 (17.23) | < 0.001 |
| 30 days Mortality               | 72 (0.8)    | 80 (6.13)   | 115 (19.2)  | 267 (2.45)   | < 0.001 |
| 30 days readmission             | 568 (6.92)  | 180 (15.36) | 97 (18.06)  | 845 (8.52)   | < 0.001 |
| LOS > 6 days                    | 709 (7.89)  | 501 (38.75) | 345 (58.47) | 1555 (14.3)  | < 0.001 |

Columns provide number (percentage) of each group unless otherwise specified. Severity of pneumonia was assessed using CRB-65/CURB-65 score divided into low risk, 0–1; moderate, 2 and high risk, ≥3.

Abbreviations: COPD - chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ICU – Intensive care unit, LOS – Length of stay

a- Chi-squared for categorical variables and Mann–Whitney tests for continuous variables.

b- Body temperature >38\(^\circ\)C

c-Systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg; or diastolic ≤ 60 mmHg

d- Body temperature <36\(^\circ\)C

Table 2- Comparison of clinical and laboratory characteristics among participants with suspected CAP that were admitted to the hospitals according to 30 days mortality

|                            | Alive       | Dead        | Total       | P value\(^a\) |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| Total                       | 2490        | 255         | 2750        |              |
| Age median (IQR)            | 74 (56,84)  | 80.5 (70,87)| 75 (58,85)  | <0.001       |
| Sex: Female                 | 1320 (54.68)| 125 (51.44) | 1445 (54.38)| 0.3337       |
| Age ≥ 65 years              | 1568 (63)   | 214 (83.92) | 1782 (64.94)| < 0.001      |
| COPD                        | 394 (16.55) | 49 (20.33)  | 443 (16.9)  | 0.136        |
| Cough                       | 2009 (82.37)| 162 (65.59) | 2171 (80.83)| < 0.001      |
| Expectoration or dyspnea    | 1956 (80.56)| 214 (85.6)  | 2170 (81.03)| 0.0529       |
| Rales                       | 1977 (80.73)| 223 (88.49) | 2200 (81.45)| 0.0025       |
| Fever\(^8\)                 | 1179 (49.02)| 84 (34.71)  | 1263 (47.71)| < 0.001      |
| Condition                     | Group 1 (n, %) | Group 2 (n, %) | Group 3 (n, %) | p-value |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Chest pain                    | 610 (26.12)    | 33 (14.47)     | 643 (25.09)    | < 0.001 |
| Confusion                     | 352 (14.37)    | 82 (33.06)     | 434 (16.09)    | < 0.001 |
| Headache                      | 206 (9.92)     | 9 (4.33)       | 215 (9.41)     | 0.008   |
| Sweating                      | 87 (4.28)      | 7 (3.33)       | 94 (4.19)      | 0.5156  |
| Chills                        | 221 (10.68)    | 6 (2.88)       | 227 (9.97)     | < 0.001 |
| Myalgia                       | 451 (20.56)    | 18 (8.37)      | 469 (19.47)    | < 0.001 |
| New pulmonary infiltrates     | 1633 (73.89)   | 159 (75.36)    | 1792 (74.02)   | 0.6431  |
| Multilobar infiltrates        | 567 (26.82)    | 64 (31.22)     | 631 (27.21)    | 0.1767  |
| Pleural effusion              | 341 (16.36)    | 53 (25.98)     | 394 (17.22)    | < 0.001 |
| Leucocytosis b                | 1030 (43.5)    | 112 (47.06)    | 1142 (43.82)   | 0.2911  |
| Leucopenia c                  | 89 (3.76)      | 13 (5.46)      | 102 (3.92)     | 0.1969  |
| Urea >50 mg/dl                | 943 (42.02)    | 157 (67.38)    | 1100 (44.41)   | < 0.001 |
| Respiratory rate > 30 breaths/min | 231 (9.41)  | 53 (21.03)     | 284 (10.49)    | < 0.001 |
| Hypotension d                 | 500 (20.33)    | 85 (33.46)     | 585 (21.55)    | < 0.001 |
| CURB-65                       |                |                |                | < 0.001 |
| Low                           | 1319 (52.97)   | 63 (24.71)     | 1382 (50.35)   |        |
| Moderate                      | 744 (29.88)    | 78 (30.59)     | 822 (29.95)    |        |
| High                          | 427 (17.15)    | 114 (44.71)    | 541 (19.71)    |        |
| Hypothermia e                 | 136 (5.66)     | 26 (10.79)     | 162 (6.13)     | 0.0016  |
| Thrombocytopenia f            | 98 (4.21)      | 18 (7.63)      | 116 (4.52)     | 0.0159  |
| Hypotension requiring fluid resuscitation | 232 (9.59) | 55 (22.27)     | 287 (10.77)    | < 0.001 |
| Assignment                    |                |                |                | < 0.001 |
| General ward                  | 1507 (60.52)   | 92 (36.08)     | 1599 (58.25)   |        |
| Transferred                   | 70 (2.81)      | 0 (0)          | 70 (2.55)      |        |
| ICU                           | 913 (36.67)    | 163 (63.92)    | 1076 (39.2)    |        |
| Antibiotic therapy within 60 min | 1446 (59.9)  | 179 (71.6)     | 1625 (61)      | < 0.001 |
| Hemoculture                   | 1402 (59.58)   | 164 (67.49)    | 1566 (60.32)   | 0.0165  |
| LOS >6 days                   | 1384 (56.31)   | 169 (66.27)    | 1553 (57.24)   | 0.0022  |

Columns provide number (percentage) of each group unless otherwise specified.

Abbreviations: COPD - chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ICU – Intensive care unit, LOS – Length of stay
a- Chi-squared for categorical variables and Mann–Whitney tests for continuous variables.

β- Body temperature ≥ 380 c

b- White blood cell count > 12000 cell/mm3,

c- White blood cell count < 4000 cell/mm3

d- Systolic blood pressure < 90 mm Hg; or diastolic ≤ 60 mmHg

e- Body temperature < 36.0 c

f- Platelet count below 100 000 mm3

Table 3 - Final logistic regression model for the prediction of 30-day mortality in patients admitted with suspected CAP
| Variables                  | OR   | 95 % CI         | p-value |
|---------------------------|------|-----------------|---------|
| Sex: Male                 | 1.45 | 0.98-2.17       | 0.0659  |
| Age ≥ 65 years            | 2.49 | 1.39-4.45       | 0.002   |
| Cough                     | 0.52 | 0.34-0.81       | 0.0034  |
| Chest pain                | 0.65 | 0.38-1.11       | 0.1205  |
| Rales                     | 1.43 | 0.77-2.66       | 0.2570  |
| Confusion                 | 1.86 | 1.17-2.97       | 0.0089  |
| Chills                    | 0.33 | 0.12-0.94       | 0.0384  |
| Fever                     | 0.62 | 0.4-0.96        | 0.0315  |
| Multilobar Infiltrates    | 1.48 | 0.97-2.26       | 0.0687  |
| Pleural effusion          | 1.74 | 1.08-2.80       | 0.0227  |
| Leucopenia\(^a\)          | 2.47 | 1.11-5.48       | 0.0260  |
| Urea >50 mg/dl            | 1.72 | 1.11-2.66       | 0.0144  |
| Respiratory rate > 30     | 1.88 | 1.13-3.13       | 0.0147  |
| breaths/min               |      |                 |         |
| Hypotension\(^b\)         | 1.50 | 0.98-2.3        | 0.0627  |
| Thrombocytopenia\(^c\)    | 1.93 | 0.8-4.65        | 0.1447  |
| Assignment: UCI           | 2.18 | 1.38-3.44       | <0.001  |
| LOS                       | 0.89 | 0.58-1.38       | 0.6117  |

Abbreviations: ICU – Intensive car unit, LOS – Length of stay

\(^a\)-White blood cell count < 4000 cell/mm3

\(^b\)- Systolic blood pressure <90 mm Hg; or diastolic ≤ 60 mmHg

\(^c\)- Platelet count below 100 000 mm3

Table 4 - Clinical and Laboratory variables associated with hospital readmission among the admitted low risk CAP patients.
| Variables                      | OR  | 95%CI     | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----------|---------|
| Sex: Female                   | 1.25| 0.82-1.92 | 0.2897  |
| Cough                         | 0.53| 0.32-0.89 | 0.0158  |
| Rales                         | 1.21| 0.71-2.07 | 0.4776  |
| New pulmonary Infiltrates     | 0.92| 0.58-1.46 | 0.7232  |
| Pleural effusion              | 1.02| 0.6-1.74  | 0.9426  |
| COPD                          | 1.51| 0.84-2.73 | 0.1695  |
| Age ≥ 65 years                | 1.06| 0.68-1.64 | 0.8104  |
| Urea >50 mg/dl                | 1.24| 0.63-2.48 | 0.5330  |
| LOS > 6 days                  | 1.58| 1.03-2.41 | 0.0352  |

Abbreviations: COPD - chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, LOS – Length of stay

Figures
Figure 1

Monthly trends with the respective regression line for the proportion of patients with low risk admitted to the hospitals from November 2015 to January 2018. *The denominator for the proportion is the total number of patients assigned as low risk CURB-65 in the emergency department. The regression line was derived from a linear model.
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