Impacts of rice-husk biochar on soil microbial biomass and agronomic performances of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.)
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Tomato is beneficial to human health because it contains valuable vitamins such as vitamins A, C and several minerals. However, to meet up with the demands of the ever increasing population, there is need to improve tomato production. This research, thus, investigated the impact of rice-husk biochar on the agronomic performances of tomato plant and microbial biomass of carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in different tomato growth stages. The rice husk biochar pyrolyzed at 350 °C was amended with soil at four different application rates: 0, 2.5, 5.0 and 7.5 t/ha. Physicochemical property of soil was conducted using Mid Infrared Reflectance Spectroscopy method. Impact of biochar on Microbial Biomass Carbon, Microbial Biomass Nitrogen and Microbial Biomass Phosphorus was conducted using fumigation extraction method and monitored at three functional stages. Biochar application appreciably increase the soil physicochemical properties such as pH, Ca, Na, H⁺, S, P, B, C, Zn and cation exchangeable capacity in comparison with the control. Biochar amended soil significantly enhanced tomato height, stem girth, leaf area, flowers, fruit yields and weight. Although, B3 recorded the lowest leaf area, it possessed the highest number of fruits and fruit weight of 3 and 40%, respectively. The ratio of Microbial biomass C:N:P for biochar amended soil at 7.5 t/ha (B3) was 302.30:18.81:11.75 µg/g, compared to control, which was 242.12:18.30:11.49 µg/g. This study revealed that biochar amendments significantly (p < 0.05) increased the yields and microbial biomass of tomato plants. Conclusively, the application of rice-husk biochar (7.5 t/ha) to soil is considered as a suitable approach to improve tomato growth and yield.

Good soil conditions are required to produce high quality plants especially tomato. Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is a famous vegetable commonly grown in home gardens as larger yield is gotten using a little space. It contains vitamins A, C and important minerals particularly Ca, Mn and K¹ and according to Rawat et al.², tomato promotes gastric secretion, act as blood purifier and keep intestines in good condition.

Due to continuous cropping over a long time and excessive application of chemical fertilizers, soil fertility reduces gradually because of erosion and instability of organic matter. This affects the soil and consequently plant quality which in turn brought about lower yield of agricultural crops globally³.

Furthermore, rice plantations require high amounts of nitrogen and constant flooding, which encourages decomposition of organic material by anaerobic microbes. This in turn results to the release of about 20% methane emissions to the environment and have been believed to be an unavoidable problem⁴. The world annual production of rice husk and rice bran are 120 tonnes and 76 million tons, respectively. Rice husk, rice straw and rice bran are major by-products of rice production⁵.

A sustainable approach to rice production and rice residue management is needed to combat the effects of air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions which occur due to continuous burning of rice wastes. However, the conversion of feedstocks into biochar has the potential to solve the issue of greenhouse gas emissions⁶.

Biochar can be defined as the biological residue from any organic based substances or materials generated through gasification or pyrolysis at 300 °C–600 °C without oxygen⁷. Recently, soil amendment with biochar have been considered as a cheap and easy method that can be used to stabilise soil fertility and mitigate climate change.
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change in order to sequester atmospheric CO₂, increase crop yield, lower greenhouse gas emissions such as CO₂, N₂O, CH₄ and suppress leaching.

Rice-husk biochar is considered as one of the most cost-effective biochars and the biochar yield from rice husk is approximately 35% of its feedstock material. Various researches on effect of rice husk biochar application to improve crop productivity is widely reported. Abrishamkesh et al. stated that the application of rice husk biochar to alkaline soils improved both soil quality and lentil growth of plants. Kim et al. reported on the highest yield of maize using 5% biochar in reclaimed tidal land soil while Hadiawati et al. documented on higher yield of tomato for both number and weight of fruits by combined 25 ton/ha of rice husk biochar as well as 15 t/ha cattle manure as well as on the positive impacts of rice husk biochar application in soybean, peanut, mungbean, and other crops. Recently, Koyama et al. and Asai et al., emphasized on the positive impacts of rice-husk biochar on rice production and fertilizer management.

Plethora of studies conducted in varying parts of the globe and on different plants have shown that biochar application/amendment affected soil microbial activities and abundance, improved cationic exchange capacity (CEC), pH, nodulation, soil water holding capacity, nutrient availability/intake and plant productivity.

Moreover, increasing human population and activities reduce the available land resources for tomato production and burning of rice-husk biomass results to emission of greenhouse gases. It is thus imperative to improve the limited land resources for enhancement of crop production and mitigate the negative impacts of rice-husk burning. Several studies have reported the effect of rice husk biochar and compost on plant growth but few studies are available on the yield of tomato while reports on the effects of biochar on different plant species and microbial biomass of tomato plant have rarely been documented. Win et al. has reported that biochar have been extensively documented for its positive impact on plant growth and development but there is dearth of information on the impacts of different biochar rates on the yield and microbial biomass dynamics of tomato plant at different growth stages. Therefore, this study is aimed at investigating the effects of different rates of rice husk biochar on agronomic performances and microbial biomass of tomato plant.

Materials and methods

Collection of seeds. Seeds were obtained from the National centre for Genetic Resource and Biotechnology (NACGRAB) Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Site description. The experiment was carried out at the screened house of College of Biosciences (COL-BIOS) of the Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, South western Nigeria (Latitude 7° 15′ N, Longitude 3° 25′ E and annual rainfall 963.3 mm).

Experimental design. The experimental design was a completely randomised design in the greenhouse. The experiment consists of 4 treatments, that is the Control (no biochar), biochar amendment rate at 2.5 t/ha (B1), 5.0 t/ha (B2) and 7.5 t/ha (B3). Each treatment was replicated in 3 pots making a total of 12 pots and two Beske tomato seeds were transplanted per pot from the nursery.

Biochar and soil sampling. Biochar was prepared according to the model reported by Bob Wells. A modified biochar kiln was developed. The rice husk feedstock was pyrolyzed at 350 °C. The resulting biochar was ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve before application to the soil. The top soil sample of depth 0–15 cm was randomly collected from the Teaching and Research Farm of the Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State. The soils of the area were generally sandy loam type which makes it adequate for the study. Each 5 kg bucket of soil was mixed homogenously with biochar. Five weeks old tomato seedlings were transplanted from the nursery to soil amended with biochar and control.

Physicochemical analysis of soil. Physical and chemical analysis of soil was conducted using Mid Infra Red Reflectance Spectroscopy as conducted by Ojo et al.

Agronomic parameters. Agronomic parameters of tomato plant such as plant height (cm), stem girth (mm), number of fruits and leaf areas were recorded as described by Chaudhary et al. One-hundred grams of soil adhering to the roots of the plant was collected in sterilized plastic bags for analysis. The soil samples were collected at three functional stages: Vegetative, Flowering and Maturity/harvesting stages.

Microbial biomass. The impact of biochar on Microbial Biomass Carbon (MBC), Microbial Biomass Nitrogen (MBN) and Microbial Biomass Phosphorous (MBP) was conducted using fumigation extraction method.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 20.0. The statistical significance of differences between treatments was determined by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan's test with (p < 0.05).

Ethics statement. Experimental research and field studies on plants comply with relevant institutional, national and international guidelines and legislation.
Results

Soil physicochemical, chemical properties of rice husk feedstock (RHF) and rice husk biochar (RHB). The physicochemical property of the soil Before Biochar Amendment (BBA) in control and After Biochar Amendment (ABA) at B3 showed that the soil was sandy loamy soil. Generally, the amendment of RHF to the soil significantly proved to increase the chemical properties as shown in Table 1. The values of Ca, Mg, K, E.CEC and P increased appreciably while slight increase was observed in the values of B, Zn and Si. More also, the values of H+, Na, S, Total Nitrogen (TN), Mn, Cu and Fe decreased in biochar than the biomass and there was about 50% carbon content in RHB compared to RHF after pyrolysis. Figures 1 and 2 depict the images of the rice-husk feedstock and rice-husk derived biochar.

Table 1. The physicochemical properties of the soil before and after biochar amendment; chemical properties of rice husk feedstock and biochar. BBA before biochar amendment, ABA after biochar amendment, RHF rice husk feedstock, RHB rice husk biochar, B3 biochar rate at 7.5 t/ha, E.CEC effective cation exchange capacity.

| Properties | BBA | ABA | RHF | RHB |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Sand (%)  | 64.88 | 65.09 | -   | -   |
| Clay (%)  | 16.63 | 24.74 | -   | -   |
| Silt (%)  | 18.48 | 18.48 | -   | -   |
| E.CEC (cmol/kg) | 4.11 | 5.21 | 11.61 | 13.72 |
| pH        | 6.28 | 6.35 | 6.84 | 7.86 |
| Ca (cmol/kg) | 2.45 | 3.95 | 6.75 | 8.24 |
| Mg (cmol/kg) | 0.82 | 1.24 | 3.52 | 6.29 |
| K (cmol/kg) | 0.47 | 0.56 | 95.04 | 97.40 |
| Na (cmol/kg) | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.21 |
| H+        | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| S (mg/kg) | 5.28 | 6.33 | 30.7 | 16.06 |
| P (mg/kg) | 4.14 | 5.08 | 32.37 | 102.87 |
| B (mg/kg) | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.19 |
| % Total C | 0.74 | 0.84 | 37 | 65.9 |
| % Total N | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.19 |
| Mn (mg/kg) | 57.22 | 54.89 | 25.15 | 21.35 |
| Cu (mg/kg) | 0.85 | 1.28 | 2.48 | 1.60 |
| Fe (mg/kg) | 151.60 | 99.40 | 35.25 | 14.90 |
| Zn (mg/kg) | 1.08 | 1.10 | 1.16 | 1.17 |
| Si (mg/kg) | -   | -   | 36.20 | 36.23 |

Figure 1. Rice-husk feedstock.

Figure 2. Rice-husk derived biochar.

Effect of rice husk biochar on tomato height. The application of rice husk biochar with the soil significantly (p<0.05) influenced the plant height at all the growth stages: 6th, 8th, 10th and 12th Week after
Transplanting (WAT). All the treatments were significantly (p < 0.05) different from one another across the week compared to control. At 6th, 10th and 12th WAT, the treatments that received treatment B1 and B3 had the highest tomato height while the control recorded the lowest tomato height (Fig. 3).

**Effect of biochar on tomato stem girth.** In the treatments at 6th and 10th WAT, there was no statistical difference observed but at 8th WAT and 12th WAT, significant difference in stem girth was observed. Moreover, the amendment of biochar of rates B1 to B3 significantly (p < 0.05) enhanced the mean values of tomato stem girth throughout the weeks after transplanting. B1 had highest mean values of tomato stem girth at 10th and 12th WAT. However, the control experiment showed the lowest mean values of tomato stem girth (Fig. 4).

**Effect of biochar on tomato leaf area.** Results revealed statistical difference among the treatments and control at all the WAT. The addition of biochar significantly increased length and breadth of the tomato leaves at all the weeks after transplanting compared with the control experiment, except B2 at 10 and 12 WAT (Fig. 5).
Effect of biochar on number of tomato flowers. Sprouting of flowers started at 8 WAT in all treatments. All the treatments were significantly (p < 0.05) different from one another. At 8WAT to 12WAT, it was observed that treatment B3, performed better in promoting the sprouting of tomato flowers, compared to other rates. The control had the lowest number of flowers across the weeks (Fig. 6).

Effect of biochar on tomato yield. In all the treatments except the treatment that received B2 rate of biochar at 12WAT, no significant difference was observed. Treatment B3 had highest positive influence on the yield of tomato all through the weeks compared to other treatments and the control (Fig. 7).

Effect of biochar on weight of tomato fruits. The addition of biochar significantly (p < 0.05) enhanced the weight of tomato plant after harvesting. The treatment that received B3 recorded the highest weight (54.21 g = 40%), followed by B1 (42.81 g = 32%) and B2 (26.48 g = 20%) compared to the control experiment (10.21 g = 8%) (Fig. 8). Figure 9 shows the different sizes of tomato fruits harvested at different rates of biochar application.
Effects of different rates of biochar on microbial biomass (carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous). The amendment of biochar at all rates significantly enhanced the performance of tomato plants. All the treatments at every stage in all the microbial biomass were not significantly different from one another. Biochar amendment at different rates was observed to have similar positive influence on all the values of plant soil microbial biomass. Carbon had greater significant effect on the plants compared to microbial biomass of nitrogen and phosphorus.

At harvesting stage in MBN, MBC and MBP, B3 had the highest values which include 302.30, 18.81 and 11.75 µg g⁻¹, respectively, followed by B2 and B1 while the Control showed the lowest values which include 275.45, 15.30 and 10.49 µg g⁻¹ respectively (Table 2). The different growth stages of tomato plants (unamend and amended biochar soil) is shown in Fig. 10a–d.

**Discussion**

Biochar is a by-product of feedstock pyrolysis and is used as a carbon-rich amendment to improve soil physico-chemical quality[^23]. From this study, application of rice husk biochar reduced soil acidity and it is in congruent with the earlier studies of Ghorbani et al.[^24] and Wu et al.[^25]. This could be attributed to the alkalinity nature of biochar and specifically the increased buffering capacity of soil pH which culminated into improved plant growth[^26].

Biochar has been reported to be superior to lime in reducing the effect of soil acidity, fruit quality and improving soil characteristics[^27], it is rich in organic carbon, active functional groups and special structure which enhance its ability to react and bind with toxic metals[^28]. Moreover, International Biochar Initiative[^29], Yu et al.[^30] and Rawat et al.[^31] have documented that a good biochar is produced in the temperature ranges of 300 °C to 500 °C.

| Treatments/stages | Control | B1 = 2.5 t/ha | B2 = 5.0 t/ha | B3 = 7.5 t/ha |
|-------------------|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Microbial biomass carbon** | | | | |
| Vegetative stage | 239.60 ± 0.00b | 276.00 ± 0.60b | 277.46 ± 1.41b | 278.00 ± 0.80b |
| Flowering stage | 242.12 ± 0.00b | 288.20 ± 6.01b | 292.78 ± 4.03b | 296.43 ± 12.40b |
| Harvesting stage | 275.45 ± 0.00b | 295.00 ± 2.60b | 297.80 ± 5.42b | 302.30 ± 7.60b |
| **Microbial biomass nitrogen** | | | | |
| Vegetative stage | 14.83 ± 0.00a | 14.71 ± 0.57a | 15.61 ± 0.60a | 15.75 ± 0.67a |
| Flowering stage | 14.83 ± 0.00a | 17.02 ± 1.66a | 17.90 ± 0.86a | 18.00 ± 0.96a |
| Harvesting stage | 15.30 ± 0.00a | 18.37 ± 0.71a | 18.60 ± 0.70a | 18.81 ± 0.65a |
| **Microbial biomass phosphorus** | | | | |
| Vegetative stage | 9.44 ± 0.00a | 9.82 ± 0.26a | 10.01 ± 0.18a | 10.50 ± 0.08a |
| Flowering stage | 9.86 ± 0.00a | 10.05 ± 1.65a | 10.10 ± 0.57a | 10.58 ± 0.61a |
| Harvesting stage | 10.49 ± 0.00a | 11.00 ± 0.57a | 11.35 ± 0.26a | 11.75 ± 0.41a |

Table 2. Effects of different rates of biochar on microbial biomass (carbon, nitrogen and phosphorous). Means with the same letter in each column of the microbial biomass are not significantly different at p < 0.05, all values in µg g⁻¹.

Figure 10. (a–d) Nursery, vegetative, flowering and maturity/harvesting stages of tomato plant.
in an oxygen limited condition. Lee et al.\(^3\) submitted that the temperature was chosen to achieve a slow pyrolysis process being the best for an ideal biochar production.

The E.CEC of the soil, basic cations and micronutrient values were elevated after biochar application. This is important as biochar cation exchange capacity (CEC) is germane in helping soils retain nutrients, reduce fertilizer runoff, and improve soil water retention\(^1\). The increase in CEC and other properties have to do with the level of minerals present in the biomass or feedstock\(^2\). This may also be attributed to considerable variation observed in the values of some of the elements like Manganese, Sodium, H\(^+\) and Copper.

Biochar application at B1, B2 and B3 revealed significant increase in tomato height and stem girth across the weeks after transplanting compared to control. There were also significant differences in the leaf area and number of fruits at different biochar application rates compared to control. This is congruent with previous studies on tomato where biochar amendment was reported to reduce transient sodium ions by adsorption and released mineral nutrients such as potassium, calcium, and magnesium into the soil solution, which in turn have the potential in ameliorating salt stress and enhancing tomato production\(^3\).

The role of biochar in improving soil quality and tomato production highlights the importance of biochar as a soil amendment to improve soil properties, particularly soil aggregation, soil biophysical properties, sink for atmospheric CO\(_2\), reduced nitrate leaching that ensure environmental sustainability\(^4\).

Biochar amendments significantly increased the number of flower and fruits progressively at all growth rates at 12WAT. Thus, increasing the yield of tomato production. This is in line with earlier study that biochar application enhanced crop yield especially maize and tomato\(^5\) and\(^6\).

Fruit weight is one of the most important agronomic parameters of plants. However, it was observed that the weight of tomato plant that received the biochar application at rate B3 (7.5 t/ha) greatly improved in comparison with the control. This is in congruent with the previous study by Ronga et al.\(^7\) who recorded higher mean values for fruit weight of tomatoes after biochar application (82.67 g) compared to the control (65.33 g).

Moreover, biochar amendment at B3 had the highest influence on microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus at harvesting stage (302.30, 18.81 and 11.75 µg/g) compared to control (275.45, 15.30 and 10.49 µg/g respectively). The change in MBC shows the process of microbial growth, death and organic matter degradation. This is also applicable to microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) and phosphorous (MBP) because biochar amendment had positive influence on nitrogen and phosphorous. The increase in MBC compared to decrease in MBN at harvesting stage indicated that biochar in soil acted as a carbon source rather than a nitrogen source for soil microbes and this could have consequences on nitrogen cycling\(^2\). Biochar treatment at B3 showed higher value of soil microbial biomass C:N:P ratios i.e. MBC > MBN > MBP and this could be attributed to the report that biochar could decrease the fraction of biomass nitrogen and phosphorous mineralized.

**Conclusion**

The findings in this study revealed that application of rice husk derived biochar to soil significantly (p < 0.05) enhanced the soil physicochemical properties such as Ca, Mg, K, Na, H\(^+\), S, P, B, Zn, and cation exchange-able capacity (CEC) which consequently improved agronomic performances of tomato plant. Moreover, it was observed that biochar amended soil at B3 (7.5 t/ha) gave the highest yield and the microbial biomass C:N:P ratio increased (302.30:18.81:11.75 µg/g) compared to that of control (275.45:15.30:10.49 µg/g). Thus, rice husk biochar at B3 (7.5 t/ha) can be applied as a fertilizer to improve tomato production as substitute to chemical fertilizers. Further analysis is required to determine the likely potential contaminants in derived rice husk biochar.
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