Real-time in vivo imaging of \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) reveals cross talk with p53
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Expression of the \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) tumor suppressor gene, a sensor of oncogenic stress, is up-regulated by a variety of potentially oncogenic stimuli in cultured primary cells. However, because \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) expression is also induced by tissue culture stress, physiological mechanisms regulating \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) expression remain unclear. To eliminate any potential problems arising from tissue culture-imposed stress, we used bioluminescence imaging for noninvasive and real-time analysis of \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) expression under various physiological conditions in living mice.

In this study, we show that oncogenic insults such as ras activation provoke epigenetic derepression of \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) expression through reduction of DNMT1 (DNA methyltransferase 1) levels as a DNA damage response in vivo. This pathway is accelerated in the absence of p53, indicating that p53 normally holds the \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) response in check. These results unveil a backup tumor suppressor role for \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) in the event of p53 inactivation, expanding our understanding of how \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) expression is regulated in vivo.

Introduction

Oncogenic proliferative signals are coupled to a variety of growth inhibitory processes (Lowe et al., 2004; Campisi, 2005; Sharpless and DePinho, 2005). For example, in cultured primary human fibroblasts, ectopic expression of oncogenic Ras or its downstream mediator initiates cellular senescence, the state of irreversible cell cycle arrest, through up-regulation of Cdk inhibitors such as \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) (Serrano et al., 1997; Lin et al., 1998; Zhu et al., 1998; Ohtani et al., 2001). Some contribution to cellular senescence presumably underlies the importance of \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) as a tumor suppressor, but the roles and mechanisms regulating its expression in vivo remain elusive (Michaloglou et al., 2005; Gil and Peters, 2006; Kim and Sharpless, 2006; Takahashi et al., 2006). To date, much of our current knowledge of how human \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) gene expression is induced by oncogenic stimuli derives from studies undertaken in cultured primary cells (Serrano and Blasco, 2001; Gil and Peters, 2006; Kim and Sharpless, 2006). However, because human \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) gene expression is also induced by tissue culture–imposed stress (Ramirez et al., 2001; Benanti and Galloway, 2004; Ince et al., 2007; Shay and Wright, 2007), it remains unclear whether the induction of human \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) gene expression in tissue-cultured cells truly reflects an anticancer process or an artifact of tissue culture–imposed stress.

We believe that \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) knockout mice are powerful tools to elucidate the physiological roles of \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) gene expression in vivo. However, one limitation of this approach is the developmental or somatic compensation by up-regulation of remaining \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) family genes in \( p16^{\text{ink4a}} \) knockout mice (Krimpenfort et al., 2009). This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.jcb.org/misc/terms.shtml). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported license, as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/.)
Figure 1. Generation of human p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} reporter mice. (A) A large genomic DNA segment (195.4 kb) of human chromosome that contains the entire INK4a/ARF gene locus and surrounding sequences, including a putative DNA replication origin (RD) known to regulate p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression (Gonzalez et al., 2006), was engineered to express luciferase-tagged p16\textsuperscript{ink4a}. (B) BAC vector containing p16-luc DNA or empty BAC vector was transfected into 293T cells. Expression of the p16-luciferase fusion protein was analyzed by Western blotting after selection with antibiotics. (C) BAC vector containing p16-luc DNA was introduced into 293T cells with or without BMI-1 expression plasmid along with 0.2 mg of MMLV (Moloney murine leukemia virus)lacZ plasmid. Luciferase activities were normalized by lacZ activities. Error bars indicate SD. (D) The 6-wk-old p16-luc mice were subjected to noninvasive BLI. Representative images of five different experiments are shown (left). The same mice were incised through the mouth and anus under anesthesia. Representative BLI data of five different experiments are shown. The color bar indicates photons with minimum and maximum threshold values. (E) Bioluminescence
In this study, we developed a new transgenic mouse model that carries the entire human p16\(^\text{INK4a}\) gene locus tagged with firefly luciferase. In this mouse model, luciferase activity allows expression of the human p16\(^\text{INK4a}\) gene to be monitored under various physiological conditions in the context of living animals. Interestingly, the human p16\(^\text{INK4a}\) gene behaved in the same way as the mouse p16\(^\text{INK4a}\) gene in living mice, indicating that this mouse model is an ideal tool for studying physiological response of p16\(^\text{INK4a}\) gene expression in vivo. Using this system, in conjunction with various tissue culture experiments, we show in this study that oncogenic Ras signaling provokes p16\(^\text{INK4a}\) gene expression through a reduction of DNMT1 (DNA methyltransferase 1) levels as a DNA damage response (DDR) in vivo. Furthermore, this pathway is accelerated in the setting of p53 deletion, indicating that there is a regulatory feedback circuit between the p53 and p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) tumor suppressors. This unexpected regulatory circuit is likely to elicit a backup tumor suppressor role for p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) after p53 inactivation, facilitating our understanding of how these critical tumor suppressor genes are networked in vivo.

## Results

### Visualization of human p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene expression in living animals

To monitor human p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene expression as accurately as possible, we used a large genomic DNA segment of the human chromosome that contains the entire Ink4a/Arf gene locus (Fig. 1 A). Furthermore, this chromosome segment was engineered to express a fusion protein of human p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) and firefly luciferase (p16-luc) without deleting any genomic DNA sequences at the Ink4a/Arf gene locus (Fig. 1, A and B). This is very important because BMI-1, which is a negative regulator of p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene expression (Jacobs et al., 1999), is known to bind not only to the promoter region but also to the intron region of the p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) locus (Bracken et al., 2007; Kotake et al., 2007). Moreover, the expression of p16-luc fusion protein enables us to specify p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene expression but not Arf gene expression from this overlapping gene locus. It is noteworthy that overexpression of p16-luc did not have any significant impact on phosphorylation of retinoblastoma tumor suppressor protein (pRb), although similar levels of p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) expression efficiently blocked pRb phosphorylation in U2OS cells, suggesting that p16-luc does not function as a Cdk inhibitor (Fig. S1 A). However, importantly, a significant level of luciferase activity was observed and was reduced when this recombinant human chromosome segment (p16-luc DNA) was co-introduced with a BMI-1 expression vector into tissue culture cells (Fig. 1 C). Together, these results indicate that p16-luc DNA would be an ideal tool to monitor human p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene expression in vivo, especially in the context of living animals.

Therefore, a transgenic mouse line that carries p16-luc DNA (p16-luc mouse) was established that had incorporated the entire p16-luc DNA segment into its genome as judged by FISH, Southern blotting, and PCR analysis (Fig. S1 B and not depicted). To examine the copy number of the transgene, genomic DNA was prepared from early passage mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) derived from the p16-luc mice. The DNA sequence specific to this human chromosome segment was amplified and quantified by real-time PCR analysis (Ballesta et al., 2004; Chandler et al., 2007) using genomic DNA prepared from the same number of early passage human diploid fibroblasts (HDFs) as a control. The signals obtained from the p16-luc MEFs were almost half compared with those from HDFs, which have two copies of the human Ink4a/Arf gene (Fig. S1 C). These results, together with the FISH analysis data (Fig. S1 B), suggest that the p16-luc mouse strain contains a single copy of the p16-luc DNA fragment. The p16-luc mice were then subjected to noninvasive bioluminescence imaging (BLI) under anesthesia as described previously (Ohtani et al., 2007). Although previous studies reported that p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) protein expression is virtually undetectable in the normal tissues of young humans and rodents (Zindy et al., 1997; Nielsen et al., 1999), BLI was sufficiently sensitive to detect p16-luc expression in the cervix, chest, central abdomen, and testis of 6-wk-old mice (Fig. 1 D, left). To define the organs expressing a high level of bioluminescence signal, the same mice were again subjected to BLI after incision through the mouth and anus under anesthesia (Fig. 1 D, right). As expected from noninvasive BLI data (Fig. 1 D, left), significant levels of bioluminescence signals were observed in cervical lymph nodes (LNs), lung, mesenteric LNs, and testis (Fig. 1 D [right] and E). Notably, these levels were well correlated with not only exogenous (human) but also endogenous (mouse) p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) mRNA expression (Fig. 1, E and F; and Fig. S1 D), indicating that the tissue-specific gene expression of the human p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene is very similar to that of the mouse p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene.

### Induction of p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) expression in cellular senescence and organismal aging

Because induction of p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) expression is a hallmark of cellular senescence (Hara et al., 1996; Serrano et al., 1997), we next asked whether the human p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) gene responds to senescence stimuli in murine cells. To this end, MEFs prepared from p16-luc mice were rendered senescent by either serial passage or ectopic expression of oncogenic Ras in culture. Concurrent with the level of endogenous p16\(^{\text{INK4a}}\) expression, luciferase activity was...
Figure 2. Induction of human p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene expression during the onset of cellular senescence in cultured MEFs. (A and B) Primary MEFs derived from the p16-luc mice were rendered senescent by either serial passage (A) or oncogenic Ras expression (retrovirus infection; B). The levels of endogenous p16\(^{ink4a}\) expression and luciferase activity were measured. Representative data of three independent experiments are shown. As confirmation of senescence, representative photographs of the cells stained for SA-\(\beta\)-gal activity are shown. \(\beta\)-Actin was used as a loading control. The means ± SD of three independent experiments are shown. WB, Western blot.

The global level of histone 3 Lys9 (H3K9) dimethylation (H3K9me2) is reduced in late papillomas

Given that oncogenic mutation in the H-ras gene is known to occur immediately after DMBA treatment (Quintanilla et al., 1986) and was indeed observed in early papillomas (Fig. S3), it significantly increased upon induction of cellular senescence in both settings (Fig. 2), indicating that the human p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene also responds to senescence stimuli in murine cells. Moreover, as the levels of mouse p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene expression are increased in many different tissues with age (Zindy et al., 1997; Krishnamurthy et al., 2004), the levels of bioluminescent signals in p16-luc mice were dramatically increased during the aging process throughout the body (Fig. 3, A and B). However, the most striking increase was observed in the central abdomen and was found to be localized to the small intestine and spleen (Fig. 3, A and B; and Fig. S2). These levels were well correlated with both exogenous (human) and endogenous (mouse) p16\(^{ink4a}\) mRNA expression (Fig. 3, C and D). Collectively, these results indicate that overall regulation of human p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene expression is very similar to that of mouse p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene expression, at least in mouse cells, illustrating the potential of p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene expression against oncogenic stimuli in vivo.

Delayed response of p16\(^{ink4a}\) expression against oncogenic Ras signaling in vivo

Although oncogenic Ras–induced senescence is widely observed in cultured normal HDFs (Serrano et al., 1997; Serrano and Blasco, 2001; Campisi, 2005; Gil and Peters, 2006; Kim and Sharpless, 2006), freshly isolated HDFs are resistant to oncogenic Ras–induced senescence because of low level p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene expression (Benanti and Galloway, 2004). To explore this notion in a more physiological setting rather than using ectopic expression of oncogenic Ras in cultured cells, the p16-luc mice were subjected to a conventional chemically induced skin papilloma protocol with a single dose of DMBA followed by multiple treatments with TPA. Because this protocol causes an oncogenic mutation in the H-ras gene (Quintanilla et al., 1986), it appeared to be ideal for studying physiological responses of p16\(^{ink4a}\) gene expression against oncogenic Ras signaling in living animals. In agreement with previous studies (Quintanilla et al., 1986; Kemp, 2005), benign skin papillomas began to appear after 7 wk of treatment and continued to grow to a larger size for a further 18 wk (Fig. 4 A, bottom, early papilloma). Although bioluminescent signals were hardly detectable during this time, a significant level of bioluminescent signal was induced as papillomas stopped growing (Fig. 4 A, top, late papilloma). The levels of bioluminescent signals were well correlated with those of endogenous p16\(^{ink4a}\) expression as well as other senescence markers such as senescence-associated (SA) \(\beta\)-galactosidase (\(\beta\)-gal) activity (Dimri et al., 1995) and dephosphorylation of pRb (Fig. 4, A–C; Campisi, 2005), indicating that oncogenic Ras signaling derived from the endogenous H-ras gene indeed provokes p16\(^{ink4a}\) expression accompanied by senescence cell cycle arrest in vivo.

Note that the level of p16\(^{ink4a}\) expression in early papillomas was slightly but consistently higher than those seen in normal skin (Fig. 4, B and C). However, because significant levels of pRb phosphorylation and Ki67 expression, which are markers for cell proliferation, were observed in early papillomas (Fig. 4 C), this level of p16\(^{ink4a}\) expression does not appear to be high enough to induce senescence cell cycle arrest. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that p16\(^{ink4a}\) may play a more important role or roles in late papillomas, presumably preventing malignant conversion of benign tumors. Indeed, by 30 wk after DMBA/TPA treatment, 33% of p16\(^{ink4a}\) knockout mice (C57BL/6 background) had at least one carcinoma compared with 5% of the wild-type mice (unpublished data), indicating that p16\(^{ink4a}\) plays an important role or roles in preventing malignant conversion of benign tumors. These results are somewhat consistent with a previous study showing that the tumor-free survival of DMBA-treated mice was substantially reduced in p16\(^{ink4a}\) knockout mice (Sharpless et al., 2004).
Figure 3. The dynamics of p16<sup>ink4a</sup> gene expression during the aging process in vivo. (A) The same p16-luc mice were subjected to noninvasive BLI every 2 wk throughout their entire life span. Representative images of 10 independent mice are shown. (B) The p16-luc mice (young and old) were subjected to noninvasive BLI. The same mice were then incised through mouth and anus under anesthesia. (A and B) The color bars indicate photons with minimum and maximum threshold values. (C) Bioluminescence intensity emitted from the organs from young (1.5 mo) and old (22.5 mo) mice were graphed (log10 scale). The mean ± SD of five independent experiments is shown. (D) The levels of exogenous (human) p16<sup>ink4a</sup> gene expression and endogenous (mouse) p16<sup>ink4a</sup> gene expression from young (Y; 1.5 mo) and old (O; 22.5 mo) mice were analyzed by semiquantitative RT-PCR. β-Actin was used as a loading control. Representative data of five independent experiments are shown.
Figure 4. The dynamics of p16<sup> Ink4a </sup> gene expression during skin papilloma formation. (A) The p16<sup> luc </sup> mice treated with DMBA/TPA were subjected to noninvasive BLI at the indicated time points after initiation of TPA treatment. Representative images of 10 independent experiments are shown (top). These papillomas were photographed in dimmed light (bottom). The color bar indicates photons with minimum and maximum threshold values. (B) Representative Western blots of biopsy samples of skin papillomas or control normal skin are shown using the antibodies indicated on the left. Vinculin was used as a loading control. (C) Hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining, SA-β-gal staining, and immunohistochemistry for endogenous p16<sup> Ink4a </sup> expression, phosphorylation of pRb at Ser807, Ki67 expression, phosphorylation of histone H2AX (γ-H2AX), and phosphorylation of p53 at Ser18 were performed by using biopsy samples of skin papillomas or control normal skin. The boxes denote regions shown below at higher magnification (p16 staining). (D) The levels of ROS were measured by using biopsy samples of skin papillomas or control normal skin. The means ± SD of three independent experiments are shown.
was puzzling that \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene expression was fully induced in late but not early papillomas (Fig. 4, A–C). We first reasoned that the long latency period of \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene expression may be caused by a spontaneous up-regulation of the intensity of Ras signaling because high levels but not low levels of oncogenic Ras expression have been shown to induce \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene expression in transgenic mice carrying a tetracycline-inducible ras oncogene (Sarkisian et al., 2007). However, unexpectedly, the levels of phosphorylated MEK (MAPK/ERK kinase), which is an indicator of Ras signaling, in early papillomas were even higher than those seen in late papillomas (Fig. 4 B), implying that the effect or effects of oncogenic Ras signaling on the \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) promoter (Serrano et al., 1997; Ohtani et al., 2001) may be counteracted in early papillomas.

To substantiate this idea, we next sought negative regulators of \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) expression whose activities are increased in early papillomas. In the course of our study, we found that the levels of DNMT1, which is known to repress \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) expression (Robert et al., 2003), were significantly increased in early papillomas and were subsequently reduced in late papillomas (Fig. 4 B). These results led us to hypothesize that increased levels of DNMT1 may counteract the effects of oncogenic Ras signaling on the \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) promoter in early papillomas and that the subsequent reduction of DNMT1 levels thereby allows derepression of \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene promoter, presumably through altering the DNA methylation status of the \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene promoter in late papillomas. However, unexpectedly, the status of the CpG methylation around the \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) promoter was not substantially different between early and late papillomas (Fig. 5), indicating that DNMT1 may regulate \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene expression either indirectly or through a different activity of DNMT1 in this setting.

It has recently been shown that DNMT1 also possesses an activity to enhance H3K9 methylation through interacting with G9a, a major H3K9 mono- and dimethyltransferase (Estève et al., 2006). Thus, we next examined the levels of H3K9 methylation during skin papilloma development. Interestingly, global levels of H3K9me2 were remarkably increased in early papillomas and were reduced in late papillomas, which is consistent with the levels of DNMT1 during skin papilloma development (Fig. 4 B). These results, in conjunction with previous studies showing that the silencing of \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene expression was associated with H3K9 methylation in several human cancer cell lines (Nguyen et al., 2002; Bachman et al., 2003), led us to the hypothesis that the levels of DNMT1 may regulate \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) expression, at least partly, through altering the levels of H3K9me2 around the \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene promoter region during DMBA/TPA-induced skin papilloma development.

**The balance between the intensity of Ras signaling and the levels of DNMT1 expression regulates \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) expression**

To test this hypothesis and to delineate the molecular mechanisms involved, we next used primary HDFs because mice lacking the \( DNMT1 \) gene are embryonic lethal (Li et al., 1992) and the \( p16^{\text{inkd}} \) gene is exquisitely sensitive to tissue culture stress in mouse primary cells (Zindy et al., 1997; Parrinello et al., 2003). Of note, the levels of DNMT1 were initially increased by oncogenic Ras expression and were subsequently reduced as cells reached the senescence stage in cultured HDFs (Fig. 6 A). This is reminiscent of our results in DMBA/TPA-induced skin papillomas (Fig. 4 B). Note that the levels of DNMT1 bound to the...
the levels of DNMT1 bound to the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter and H3K9me2 around the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter were also substantially reduced in DNMT1 knockdown cells (Fig. 6 D and Fig. S4 D). Therefore, it is likely that the level of the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene is regulated, at least partly, through the balance between the intensity of Ras signaling (positive factor) and the levels of DNMT1 expression (negative factor). Note that the intensity of the Ras signaling was very low in normal skin (Fig. 4 B). This may explain why the level of p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) expression is very low despite the low level of DNMT1 expression in normal skin (Fig. 4 B). Together, these results indicate that the increased level of DNMT1 is likely to counteract the effects of oncogenic Ras signaling on the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter, presumably through facilitating H3K9me2 around the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter in early papillomas.

**Figure 6.** Correlation between DNMT1 level and H3K9me2 level around the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter. (A) Early passage TIG-3 cells were infected with retrovirus encoding oncogenic Ras (+H-RasV12). Cells were then subjected to Western blot (WB) analysis at the indicated times with the antibodies shown on the left and to analysis of intracellular levels of ROS. (B) Early passage TIG-3 cells were infected with retrovirus encoding oncogenic Ras (RasV12) or control empty vector for 10 d and were subjected to Western blotting with the antibodies shown, analysis of intracellular levels of ROS, and to ChIP analysis using the antibodies indicated (IP). The precipitated DNA was amplified by real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) using primers specific for the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter described previously (Bracken et al., 2007). (C and D) Early passage TIG-3 cells were infected with retrovirus encoding shRNA against DNMT1 or control scramble shRNA. Cell extracts were prepared from cells at 7 d after selection with puromycin and were subjected to Western blotting and quantitative real-time RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) analysis for p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene expression (C) and subjected to ChIP analysis using antibodies against H3K9me2 (D). (D) The precipitated DNA was amplified by real-time quantitative PCR using primers specific for the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter described previously (Bracken et al., 2007). [A–D] The means ± SD of three independent experiments are shown.

p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter were significantly reduced in oncogenic Ras–induced senescence in cultured HDFs (Fig. S4 A). Moreover, the levels of H3K9me2 around the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter were also substantially reduced in the same setting (Fig. 6 B), suggesting that a similar mechanism is likely to be involved in the regulation of p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene expression by oncogenic Ras signaling both in vitro (cultured HDFs) and in vivo (mouse skin).

It is noteworthy that substantial levels of both phosphorylated MEK, an indicator of Ras signaling (positive factor), and DNMT1 (negative factor) were observed in proliferating early passage HDFs (Fig. 6 A), and depletion of DNMT1 level by RNAi caused a substantial increase of p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene expression accompanied by a senescence-like cell cycle arrest in early passage HDFs (Fig. 6 C and Fig. S4, B and C). Moreover, the levels of DNMT1 bound to the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter and H3K9me2 around the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter were also substantially reduced in DNMT1 knockdown cells (Fig. 6 D and Fig. S4 D). Therefore, it is likely that the level of the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene is regulated, at least partly, through the balance between the intensity of Ras signaling (positive factor) and the levels of DNMT1 expression (negative factor). Note that the intensity of the Ras signaling was very low in normal skin (Fig. 4 B). This may explain why the level of p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) expression is very low despite the low level of DNMT1 expression in normal skin (Fig. 4 B). Together, these results indicate that the increased level of DNMT1 is likely to counteract the effects of oncogenic Ras signaling on the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter, presumably through facilitating H3K9me2 around the p16\(^{\text{Ink4a}}\) gene promoter in early papillomas.
It is also interesting to note that oncogenic Ras signaling is shown to activate the DNMT1 gene promoter (MacLeod et al., 1995). Thus, the induction of DNMT1 expression appears to be caused by a direct effect of oncogenic Ras expression. However, it remains unclear why DNMT1 levels are subsequently reduced in late papillomas and in oncogenic Ras–induced senescence in cultured HDFs (Figs. 4 B and 6 A).

**DDR provokes p16$^{ink4a}$ expression through reduction of DNMT1 levels**

Because several lines of evidence suggest that DDRs triggered by hyper–cell proliferation play a key role or roles in the onset of oncogene-induced senescence (Bartkova et al., 2006; Di Micco et al., 2006; Mallette et al., 2007), we next asked whether DDR triggered by hyper–cell proliferation might be involved in the reduction of DNMT1 level in late papillomas. Indeed, a significant increase of phosphorylation of pRb and expression of Ki67, which are markers for hyper–cell proliferation, were observed before detection of γH2AX foci and phosphorylation of proteins containing the ATM (ataxia telangiectasia mutated)/ATR (ATM and Rad3 related) substrate motif, which are signs of DDR, in skin papillomas (Fig. 4 C and Fig. S4 E). Moreover, treatment with doxorubicin (DXR), a DNA-damaging agent, caused significant reductions of DNMT1 and the H3K9me2 modification around the p16$^{ink4a}$ gene promoter, accompanied by induction of p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression in cultured HDFs (Fig. S5 A and B). Together, these results suggest that the accumulation of DNA damage triggered by hyper–cell proliferation is likely to cause a reduction of DNMT1 levels in late papillomas.

To understand how DNA damage causes a reduction of DNMT1 levels, we next focused on the intracellular levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) because ROS levels are known to be increased by both oncogenic Ras expression and DNA damage (Fig. S5 A; Finkel and Holbrook, 2000; Macleod, 2008), and increased levels of ROS are shown to be essential for the onset of oncogenic Ras–induced senescence in cultured HDFs (Lee et al., 1999). The levels of ROS were substantially increased in late papillomas and in oncogenic Ras–induced senescence in cultured HDFs (Figs. 4 D and 6 A). Moreover, reduction of DNMT1 levels by oncogenic Ras expression was somewhat diminished when ROS production was attenuated by the addition of catalase in cultured HDFs (Fig. S5 C). Conversely, treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ to increase intracellular levels of ROS significantly reduced the levels of DNMT1 gene expression in HDFs (Fig. S5 D), presumably through blocking the activity of E2F transcription factor because E2F activity is known to activate DNMT1 gene expression (McCabe et al., 2005) and is reduced by treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ (not depicted). Together, these results provide compelling evidence that DNA damage provokes p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression, at least partly, through blocking the levels of DNMT1 gene expression by elevated levels of ROS.

**Inactivation of p53 accelerates the DDR pathway activating p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression**

Because the p53 tumor suppressor is known to be activated immediately after detection of DNA damage, preventing proliferation of damaged cells (Voussden and Lane, 2007; Riley et al., 2008), we wondered whether p53 might have some influence over the DDR pathway activating p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression in vivo. Indeed, phosphorylation of p53 at Ser18, which is a marker of p53 activation, was observed in early papillomas (Fig. 4 C), implying that p53 may prevent accumulation of DDR and thereby counteract p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression in vivo. To explore this idea, we again took advantage of using p16-luc mice. The p16-luc mice were crossed into a p53 homozygous-null genetic background, and p16-luc mice lacking the p53 gene were subject to noninvasive BLI at the indicated times after treatment with DXR. Intriguingly, although bioluminescent signals were only slightly induced after treatment with DXR in p16-luc mice, this effect was dramatically enhanced by p53 deletion, especially in highly proliferative tissues such as thymus or small intestine (Fig. 7 A). Note that the levels of bioluminescence signals were well correlated with those of endogenous p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression as well as the number of DNA damage foci in p16-luc mice (Fig. 7, B and C). Moreover, RNAi-mediated depletion of p53 also increased the levels of p16$^{ink4a}$ expression in cultured HDFs (Fig. 7 D), precluding the possibility that the enhanced expression of the p16$^{ink4a}$ gene in p53 knockout mice was an artifact of BLI and/or the generation of p53 knockout mice. Therefore, these results indicate that loss of p53 function indeed accelerates the DDR pathway activating p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression, unveiling a regulatory circuit between the p53 and p16$^{ink4a}$ tumor suppressors.

To further verify the biological significance of the regulatory circuit between p53 and p16$^{ink4a}$, we next asked whether p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression is induced naturally in the setting of p53 deletion. Interestingly, a substantial increase of bioluminescent signals over controls was indeed observed in the thymus of nearly all mice lacking p53 around 10–20 wk after birth (Fig. 8 A). Note that p53 knockout mice are shown to be highly susceptible to thymic lymphoma (Donehower et al., 1992). Therefore, it is very likely that deletion of the p53 gene causes a strong oncogenic stimulus that provokes p16$^{ink4a}$ expression in the thymus. Indeed, the levels of bioluminescent signals were well correlated with those of endogenous p16$^{ink4a}$ expression as well as γH2AX foci, ROS, and SA β-gal activity in thymic tissues of p53 knockout mice (Fig. 8, B–E). Moreover, a substantial reduction of DNMT1 gene expression was also observed in thymic tissues of p53 knockout mice (Fig. 8 F). Altogether, although additional mechanisms may be at play here, these data strongly suggest that inactivation of p53 potentiates the DDR pathway activating p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression and thereby causing senescent cell cycle arrest in highly proliferating tissues such as thymus. However, because p53 knockout mice are highly susceptible to thymic lymphoma (Donehower et al., 1992), induction of p16$^{ink4a}$ appears to be insufficient for long-term stability of senescence cell cycle arrest in the absence of p53, which is the guardian of the genome.

**Discussion**

The regulation of human p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression has remained incompletely defined, at least in part, because p16$^{ink4a}$ gene expression is rapidly induced by tissue culture stress in...
reported that one or two additional mouse Ink4a/Arf gene loci without a reporter gene caused an impairment of male germ cell production as well as reduced incidence of aging-associated cancer and extended longevity. However, because the p16-luc fusion protein does not function as a Cdk inhibitor (Fig. S1 A), it is most likely that these seemingly contradictory data are, at least partly, caused by the difference of p16 activity between our mice and the mice of Matheu et al. (2009).

To circumvent this problem, we developed a new transgenic mouse model that carries the entire human p16Ink4a gene locus tagged with luciferase. In this mouse model, luciferase activity allows expression of the human p16Ink4a gene to be monitored under various physiological conditions in living mice. We did not observe any phenotypic differences between p16-luc mice and control nontransgenic mice (unpublished data), although Matheu et al. (2009) reported that one or two additional mouse Ink4a/Arf gene loci without a reporter gene caused an impairment of male germ cell production as well as reduced incidence of aging-associated cancer and extended longevity. However, because the p16-luc fusion protein does not function as a Cdk inhibitor (Fig. S1 A), it is most likely that these seemingly contradictory data are, at least partly, caused by the difference of p16 activity between our mice and the mice of Matheu et al. (2009).
Interestingly, the human \(p16^{INK4a}\) gene and mouse \(p16^{INK4a}\) gene are regulated similarly in mouse cells, as judged by several well-accepted criteria (Figs. 1–4). Collectively, these results indicate that the \(p16\)-luc transgenic mouse line could be an ideal tool for studying physiological response of \(p16^{INK4a}\) gene expression against oncogenic stress in vivo.

By monitoring and quantifying \(p16^{INK4a}\) gene expression repeatedly in the same mouse throughout DMBA/TPA-induced...
skin papilloma development, we unveiled the dynamics of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression against oncogenic Ras signaling in vivo (Fig. 4 A). Curiously, although the intensity of Ras signaling in early papillomas is higher than that in late papillomas (Fig. 4 B), a significant induction of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} expression was observed in late but not in early papillomas (Fig. 4, A–C), suggesting that the effect or effects of oncogenic Ras signaling on the p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene promoter (Serrano et al., 1997; Ohtani et al., 2001) may be counteracted by negative regulators of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression in early papillomas. Interestingly, the levels of DNMT1, which is a negative regulator of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression (Robert et al., 2003), were substantially increased in early papillomas and were subsequently reduced in late papillomas (Fig. 4 B). Moreover, similar kinetics of DNMT1 expression were also observed in the setting of oncogenic Ras–induced senescence in cultured HDFs (Fig. 6 A), and depletion of DNMT1 by RNAi caused an increase of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression in proliferating HDFs (Fig. 6 C). Thus, it is most likely that increased levels of DNMT1 expression counterbalance the levels of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression in early papillomas, with the subsequent reduction of DNMT1 levels resulting in the induction of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} expression in late papillomas.

Because Ras signaling is known to activate the DNMT1 gene promoter (MacLeod et al., 1995), it is most likely that the induction of DNMT1 in early papillomas is a direct effect of oncogenic Ras signaling (Fig. 4 B). However, it was unclear how DNMT1 is reduced in late papillomas (Fig. 4 B). Our results strongly suggest that DDR triggered by hyper–cell proliferation plays a critical role or roles in blocking the level of DNMT1 gene expression, at least partly, through the elevation of ROS level (Figs. 4 D and 6 A and Fig. S5). Note that DNMT1 gene expression is regulated by E2F (McCabe et al., 2005) and that E2F activity is reduced by H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} treatment (unpublished data). Therefore, it is possible that ROS regulates DNMT1 expression, at least in part, through E2F. These results, together with the observation that depletion of DNMT1 causes up-regulation of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression in cultured HDFs (Fig. 6 C), indicate that DDR plays a key role in the induction of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression through blocking the levels of DNMT1 expression in the setting of Ras–induced senescence both in vitro and in vivo.

Despite significant differences in the levels of DNMT1 expression, we were unable to see substantial differences in the status of the CpG methylation around the p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene promoter between early and late papillomas (Fig. 5). However, interestingly, global levels of H3K9me2 were strikingly increased in early papillomas and were reduced in late papillomas, which is consistent with the changing levels of DNMT1 in these settings (Fig. 4 B). Moreover, local levels of H3K9me2 around the p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} promoter were also reduced in oncogenic Ras–induced senescence and in DNMT1 depletion in cultured HDFs (Fig. 6, B and D). These results, together with a recent observation that DNMT1 possesses an activity to enhance H3K9me2 through interacting with G9a (Estève et al., 2006), suggest that DNMT1 regulates p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression through altering the H3K9me2 status around the p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene promoter during oncogenic Ras–induced senescence. It is noteworthy that Narita et al. (2003) have reported an increase in H3K9 methylation specifically at E2F target promoters in senescent HDFs. Collectively, with our observations at the p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene promoter, it appears that widespread redistribution of H3K9 methylation may occur during senescence onset.

Therefore, we propose a model in which although oncogenic Ras signaling has a potential to activate p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression, this effect is initially counteracted by an elevation of DNMT1 level and thereby causes a strong proliferative burst, resulting in the accumulation of DNA damage. The accumulation of DNA damage activates ROS production, which in turn blocks DNMT1 gene expression, thereby causing epigenetic derepression of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression and thussenescence cell cycle arrest. This pathway is counterbalanced by the p53 pathway because p53 is immediately activated by DNA damage and blocks proliferation of damaged cells that cause further accumulation of DNA damage. Thus, the DDR pathway activating p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} expression is accelerated in the event of p53 inactivation.

Figure 9. Cross talk between the p53 and p16 pathways through DDR. Although oncogenic Ras signaling has a potential to activate p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression, this effect is initially counteracted by an elevation of DNMT1 level and thereby causes a strong proliferative burst, resulting in the accumulation of DNA damage. The accumulation of DNA damage activates ROS production, which in turn blocks DNMT1 gene expression, thereby causing epigenetic derepression of p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} gene expression and thus senescence cell cycle arrest. This pathway is counterbalanced by the p53 pathway because p53 is immediately activated by DNA damage and blocks proliferation of damaged cells that cause further accumulation of DNA damage. Thus, the DDR pathway activating p16\textsuperscript{ink4a} expression is accelerated in the event of p53 inactivation.
et al., 2002; Terzian et al., 2008) and why the combination of p53 and p16ΔexΔ loss frequently occurred in human cancer cells (Malumbres and Barbacid, 2001).

However, it is clear that all aspects of p16ΔexΔ regulation cannot be explained by factors described in this study and that the p16ΔexΔ gene is subject to multiple levels of control (Jacobs et al., 1999; Passegué and Wagner, 2000; Ohtani et al., 2001, 2003; Gonzalez et al., 2006; Bracken et al., 2007; Kotake et al., 2007; Baker et al., 2008; Kia et al., 2008; Agger et al., 2009; Barradas et al., 2009; Tzatsos et al., 2009; Witcher and Emerson, 2009). Nonetheless, our results uncover an unexpected link between p53 and p16ΔexΔ gene expression, expanding our understanding of how p16ΔexΔ gene expression is induced by oncogenic stimuli in vivo and open up new possibilities for its control.

Materials and methods

Generation of p16-luc mice

A large human genomic DNA segment (195.4 kb) containing the human p16ΔexΔ gene was obtained from BAC PAC Resources Center. The terminal sequences were determined with primers complementary to the insert flank elements of the bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) vector (pBACe3.6). The CDS fragment encoding firefly luciferase was inserted into the 3′ end of the p16ΔexΔ coding sequences using a Counter Selection BAC Modification kit (Gene Bridges). The DNA was digested with NotI and then used for micro-injection. The transgenic mouse strain was generated by pronuclear micro-injection of the reporter transgene into fertilized ICR oocytes. One transgenic line, p16-luc (line number CDB04165T-53), was selected because transgene integrity was complete. For the generation of compound mice, p16-luc mice were crossed with C57BL/6 mice for eight generations and then crossed with Ink4a knockout mice (C57BL/6; Ohtani et al., 2007).

BLU and image acquisition

For the detection of luciferase expression, mice were anesthetized and injected i.p. with 75 mg/kg luciferin sodium salt 5 min before beginning photon recording. Photons emitted from the BLU mice or from isolated organs were acquired as described previously (Ohtani et al., 2007). In brief, mice were placed in the light-night chamber, and a grayscale image of the mouse was first recorded with dimmed light followed by acquisition of the luminescence image using a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (PIXIS 1024B; Princeton Instruments). The signal to noise ratio was increased by 2 x 2 binning and 15-min exposure. For colocalization of the luminescent photon emission on the animal body, grayscale and pseudocolor images were merged by using Image-Pro Plus (Media Cybernetics).

Tumor induction experiments

10 mice of the p16-luc line in the resting phase of the hair cycle (8 wk old) were shaved and treated with 100 µg DMBA [7, 12-dimethylbenz[a]anthra- cine] in 100 µl acetone. 1 wk after DMBA treatment, mice were subsequently treated twice a week with 12.5 µg TPA (12-o-tetradecanoylphorbol 13-acetate) in 100 µl acetone for 15 wk. Control mice were treated with acetone instead of DMBA/TPA.

H ras sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from skin papilloma and control normal tissues using TRIZOL reagent (Invitrogen). RNA was converted to cDNA by using oligo (dT) primer, and a 330 bp PCR fragment containing exon 2 of the H ras gene was amplificed with the 5′-TGCGCCGAGAGGCTCTGTGAT-3′ (forward) and 5′-TCTGCTGTGCTCCTGAACTGTC-3′ (reverse) primers. PCR fragments were subcloned into pGEMT Easy vector system (Promega) and were sequenced by using Dye-Terminator and BigDye cycle sequencing systems (Applied Biosystems).

Bisulfite sequencing analysis

Total RNA was isolated using TRIZOL reagent, and 2 µg of total RNA was used for the reverse transcription reaction. The PCR was performed using Blend Taq polymerase (TOYOBO) with primers specific for the human p16ΔexΔ gene, human p16ΔexΔ gene, and mouse β-actin gene as shown below. Human p16ΔexΔ, 5′-ACCAGAGCGCATAAACCTATG-3′ (forward) and 5′-TGCTGTGCTCCTGAACTGTC-3′ (reverse); mouse p16ΔexΔ, 5′-GAACCTTTCGCAGTACCC-3′ (forward) and 5′-TGGCGCCGAGAGGCTCTGTGAT-3′ (reverse); and β-actin, 5′-GTATGGAATCCTGGTCCAGAT-3′ (forward) and 5′-AAGCAGCTGGGTCAGATC-3′ (reverse).

Western blot analysis

Immunoblotting was performed as described previously (Takahashi et al., 2006). Primary antibodies used were human p16 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), γH2AX (Millipore), phospho-pRb (Ser807/811; Cell Signaling Technology), Ki67 (RTU-Ki67-MM1; Novoceastra), and phospho (Ser/Thr)-ATM/ATR substrate (Cell Signaling Technology). The fluorescent substrate used were DAPI (Fluorogold) and Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen). Microscopic images were obtained at RT by using a fluorescence microscope (AxioImager.A1; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) connected with a CCD camera (AxioCamMRc5; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) through an EC Plan-Neofluar 10X NA 0.3 Ph, 40X NA 0.75 Ph2, or 63X NA 1.25 oil Ph3 objective using AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss, Inc.).

Histochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described (Ohtani et al., 2007). The primary antibodies used were mouse p16 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), γH2AX (Millipore), phospho-pRb (Ser807/811; Cell Signaling Technology), Ki67 (RTU-Ki67-MM1; Novoceastra), and phospho (Ser/Thr)-ATM/ATR substrate (Cell Signaling Technology). The fluorochrome used were DAPI (Fluorogold) and Alexa Fluor 546 (Invitrogen). Microscopic images were obtained at RT by using a fluorescence microscope (AxioImager.A1; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) connected with a CCD camera (AxioCamMRc5; Carl Zeiss, Inc.) through an EC Plan-Neofluar 63X NA 1.25 oil Ph3 objective using AxioVision software (Carl Zeiss, Inc.).
Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis

ChIP analysis was performed using EZ-ChIP (Millipore). The antibodies used were anti-H3K9me2 (Abcam), anti-RNA polymerase II (Millipore), and anti-H3K4me3 (Millipore) as a negative control. The immunoprecipitated DNA was quantified by real-time quantitative PCR as described in Real-time RT-PCR. The sequences of the PCR primers were described previously (Bracken et al., 2007).

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows the analysis of transgene integrity and expression of the endogenous p16Ink4a gene in nontransgenic mice. Fig. S2 shows ex vivo images of luciferase expression in tissues of p16-luc mice. Fig. S3 shows detection of the Hras mutation at codon 61 (A→T). Fig. S4 shows the effects of oncogenic Ras and DNMT1 knockdown in HDFs. Fig. S5 shows that DDR causes a reduction of DNMT1 level. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200904105/DC1.
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