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SUMMARY We propose a new adaptive spectral masking method of algebraic vector quantization (AVQ) for non-sparse signals in the modified discrete cosine transform (MDCT) domain. This paper also proposes switching the adaptive spectral masking on and off depending on whether or not the target signal is non-sparse. The switching decision is based on the results of MDCT-domain sparseness analysis. When the target signal is categorized as non-sparse, the masking level of the target MDCT coefficients is adaptively controlled using spectral envelope information. The performance of the proposed method, as a part of ITU-T G.711.1 Annex D, is evaluated in comparison with conventional AVQ. Subjective listening test results showed that the proposed method improves sound quality by more than 0.1 points on a five-point scale on average for speech, music, and mixed content, which indicates significant improvement.
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1. Introduction

An increasing number of telecommunication services, e.g., for video conferencing and videophones, are being used over broadband networks. For better sound clarity and less listener fatigue, some services offer frequency ranges approaching near-CD-audio quality, such as the 14-kHz super-wideband (SWB).

Speech coding is one of the key technologies in telecommunication systems. It is necessary for speech transmission over broadband networks. Recent codecs for SWB signals are generally designed for various sound sources (e.g., speech, music, and mixed content), unlike conventional narrow-band or wideband codecs, which handle speech only. The SWB codecs are required for high-definition telecommunication systems, e.g., telepresence systems. To meet the demand for these SWB codecs, several standardization activities have been conducted recently.

A fast and efficient coding method is important for processing a larger number of 32-kHz sampled signals. One well-known coding method is algebraic vector quantization (AVQ) [1]–[3], which is a fast searching method of vector quantization [4], [5]. When the linear predictive coding (LPC) residual of a speech signal is sparse, AVQ is likely to select the sparse codevectors which are represented with only a few nonzero components. The sparse codevectors can also be applied to the frequency domain coding with modified discrete cosine transform (MDCT) [6] because sparseness can be found in some music sound items such as string instruments, as well as in speech signals in that domain. For quantizing “non-sparse” frequency-domain signals (e.g., noise components included in music), AVQ can select the full pulse codevectors under many bit budgets. However, the lack of available bit budget occasionally causes the perceptual degradation of sound quality when quantizing those kinds of signals.

To solve this problem, an adaptive spectral masking of AVQ for non-sparse signals in the MDCT domain using a masking threshold is proposed. The masking threshold is adaptively calculated from the spectral envelope. A method for switching the new spectral masking on and off based on the frequency-domain sparseness analysis is also proposed. This method has been introduced to ITU-T Recommendations G.711.1 Annex D [7], [8] and G.722 Annex B [8], [9] (SWB extensions of G.711.1 [10]–[12] and G.722 [13], [14]), which were standardized in November 2010.

ITU-T launched the work item in October 2007, and the Terms of References (ToRs) for these two codec standards were finalized and approved in April 2008. A qualification phase was first conducted to check whether candidates could pass all requirements. This was followed by the optimization and characterization phase, where five organizations (ETRI, France Telecom, Huawei Technologies, VoiceAge, and NTT) constructively collaborated on creating a unified algorithm. NTT proposed and introduced their new technologies described in this paper, and integrated the technologies from other four organizations into new codecs. NTT also contributed to the Consortium by helping to run its operations and drive its activities. This paper presents overviews of the codecs, which are the outcomes of the collaboration effort.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows.
Section 2 describes the conventional coding scheme with AVQ. Section 3 presents AVQ with new spectral masking and the switching method of the spectral masking with sparseness detection. Section 4 provides the details of the implementation of our method in ITU-T G.711.1 Annex D and ITU-T G.722 Annex B. Section 5 describes subjective evaluation results, and Sect. 6 concludes the paper.

2. Coding Scheme with AVQ

An example encoding block diagram of conventional AVQ in the frequency domain is shown in Fig. 1. The input signal is transformed into the MDCT coefficient \(S(k)\), where \(k = 0, \cdots, L - 1\), and \(L\) is the number of samples in the frame; \(L\) was set as 80. The \(L\) MDCT coefficients are split into each \(N\) sample of \(M\) sub-bands; in this case, \(N = 8\) and \(M = 10\). The spectral envelope \(f_{\text{rms}}(k/N)\) is computed as a set of root mean square (RMS) values per sub-band and quantized. Finally, the input \(S(k)\) is normalized using the quantized spectral envelope \(f_{\text{rms}}(k/N)\) as

\[
S'(k) = \frac{S(k)}{f_{\text{rms}}(k/N)},
\]

and the obtained normalized coefficient \(S'(k)\) is encoded using AVQ.

3. New Spectral Masking and Sparseness Detection

As described in Sect. 1, when the available bit budget is insufficient to quantize non-sparse signals such as the noise components in music, the conventional coding scheme occasionally causes sound quality degradation. This problem is addressed in the proposed method by switching and using efficient coding methods for both the sparse and non-sparse signals based on the results of the sparseness analysis of the input MDCT coefficient.

The encoding block diagram of the proposed method is shown in Fig. 2. The conventional scheme with AVQ, described in Sect. 2, is used in “sparse mode”, and the new non-sparse type coding is used in “non-sparse mode”. Given the input MDCT coefficient, \(S(k)\), the mode selector determines the encoding mode according to the sparseness of \(S(k)\). If the sparse mode is selected, AVQ encodes the normalized coefficients in the MDCT domain, as conventionally done. Otherwise, in the non-sparse mode, only the coefficients with energies higher than the masking threshold are encoded. The non-sparse mode and the mode selector are presented in detail in the following subsections.

3.1 Non-sparse Mode

With non-sparse signals, zero sequences in the decoded coefficients can be easily detected as audible degradations. The non-sparse mode aims at reducing this perceptual sound degradation by filling the non-dominant components below the masking threshold with random noise. A conceptual diagram of the non-sparse mode is shown in Fig. 3. On the encoder side, when the input coefficients are classified as non-sparse, they are transformed into the sparse residual components in the following procedure; the method subtracts the masking threshold from the absolute values of non-sparse coefficients and truncates the components below the masking threshold towards zero. The masking threshold is adaptively determined per the sub-band in order to prevent the residual components from becoming dense. The proposed method maintains the degree of sparseness of the residual components by using the spectral envelope of the non-sparse coefficients, which is the RMS value per the sub-band, as a criterion of the masking threshold. The obtained residual components are vector-quantized using AVQ. The decoder side reconstructs the non-sparse coefficients by adding the decoded residual components to the offset based on the masking threshold.

3.1.1 Computing and Encoding Residual Components

The basic concept for computing residual components is illustrated in Fig. 4. The constant parameters used in the non-sparse mode are listed in Table 1. The frequency components, of which the amplitudes of the inputs, \(S(k)\), are higher than the masking threshold, are converted into the differences between their magnitude and masking threshold, and the rest of the components are set to zero. As a result, the obtained residual components are composed mainly of zero...
and a few non-zero components. These non-zero components are dominant in the input coefficients. Since the residual components are sparse compared to the inputs, $S(k)$, they are effectively encoded using the sparse type of vector quantization, such as AVQ.

The residual component $D(k)$ is computed from the input $S(k)$ as follows:

$$D(k) = \text{sgn}[S(k)] \max(|S(k)| - \alpha f_{\text{rms}}(|k/N|), 0),$$  

where $\text{sgn}[-]$ is the polarity of $S(k)$, $\max(,)$ is the maximum value selection, $\alpha f_{\text{rms}}(|k/N|)$ is the masking threshold used for calculating the sparse residual components, and $\alpha$ is the factor for adjusting the sparseness of the residual components. The factor $\alpha$ is set as the constant here in order to avoid the quantization and transmission of $\alpha$. The larger the factor $\alpha$ is, the larger the noise-masking effect is. However, if $\alpha$ is too large, the non-sparse mode degrades the quality of the decoded signal even when the signal is non-sparse. Therefore, it is important to choose the optimal $\alpha$. The value of $\alpha$ was determined by verifying the performance for 12 sound items such as pop music, rock music, classic music, and a news jingle.

The obtained error spectrum from Eq. (2) is normalized as follows:

$$D'(k) = \frac{D(k)}{\beta f_{\text{rms}}(|k/N|)}.$$  

The RMS of $D(k)$ for normalization is not transmitted, so $\beta f_{\text{rms}}(|k/N|)$ is used in place of the RMS of $D(k)$ in Eq. (3). The term $\beta$ is the constant factor to approximate $f_{\text{rms}}(|k/N|)$ as the RMS value of $D(k)$, which is less than or equal to one. The factor $\beta$ was determined in a preliminary experiment, as in the case with factor $\alpha$. The normalized coefficient $D'(k)$ is encoded using AVQ and transmitted to the decoder.

3.1.2 Reconstructing Non-sparse Coefficients

At the decoder side, the dominant components, whose energies are higher than the masking threshold, are obtained by adding the decoded residual component to the masking threshold. The remaining zero coefficients are then filled with the offset based on the spectral envelope.

First, the decoded residual component $\hat{D}(k)$ is obtained from

$$\hat{D}(k) = \beta f_{\text{rms}}(|k/N|) \cdot \hat{D}'(k),$$

where $\hat{D}'(k)$ is the AVQ-decoded coefficient, and
\( \beta \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}(k/N) \) is the same value as in Eq. (3). Second, the decoded input MDCT coefficient \( \hat{S}(k) \) is calculated as

\[
\hat{S}(k) = \begin{cases} 
\text{sgn} [\rho] \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}(k/N) & \text{if } \hat{D}(k) = 0 \\
\text{sgn} [\hat{D}(k)] (|\hat{D}(k)| + \alpha \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}(k/N)) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(5)

where \( \text{sgn} [\hat{D}(k)] \) is the polarity of \( \hat{D}(k) \), \( \alpha \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}(k/N) \) is the same value as in Eq. (2), \( \rho \) is a random value, \( \text{sgn} [\rho] \) is a random polarity with an output of one or minus one, and \( \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}(k/N) \) is the offset based on the decoded spectral envelope. If \( \hat{D}(k) \) has a zero coefficient, the coefficient is filled with the offset, and the sign will be randomly set in order to generate natural noise. The calculation of offset \( \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}^o(k/N) \) is performed as follows:

\[
\hat{f}_{\text{rms}}^o(k/N) = \sqrt{N \left[ \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}(k/N) \right]^2 - \sum_{i=k}^{k+N-1} \hat{S}_r(i)^2} \\
\sum_{i=k}^{k+N-1} f_z(i)
\]

(6)

where \( i \) is the increment iteration number and \( f_z(i) \) is a flag to indicate the zero coefficients in \( \hat{D}(k) \) as follows:

\[
f_z(i) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \hat{D}(i) = 0 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(7)

The denominator of Eq. (6) represents the number of zero coefficients in \( \hat{D}(k) \), and \( \hat{S}_r(i) \) is the temporary reconstructed spectrum from \( \hat{D}(k) \), represented as

\[
\hat{S}_r(i) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \hat{D}(i) = 0 \\
|\hat{D}(i)| + \alpha \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}(k/N) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(8)

The numerator of Eq. (6) is approximately equal to the amplitude of the input \( S(s) \) by computing the difference between total powers at input \( S(s) \)s and at the temporary reconstructed spectrum from \( \hat{D}(k) \). The offset \( \hat{f}_{\text{rms}}^o(k/N) \) represents a set of RMS values of the inputs, \( S(s) \), when \( \hat{D}(k) \) is a zero coefficient.

### 3.2 Mode Selector

The spectral masking can suppress perceptual sound degradation in encoding the non-sparse signal using the sparse type of vector quantization. However, the decoded output occasionally sounds like a noisy signal to listeners when the sparse signal is coded. In this case, the sparse mode, which uses the conventional coding scheme with AVQ, is more suitable for coding those sparse signals. Therefore, a method for switching the spectral masking on and off is also proposed for achieving high sound quality irrespective of the sound source.

#### Table 2  Constant parameters used in mode selector.

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| \( \epsilon \) | 0.5 |
| \( \delta_1 \) | 15 |
| \( \delta_2 \) | 20 |

A flowchart of the mode selector is shown in Fig. 5. The constant parameters used in the mode selector are listed in Table 2. Based on the preliminary experiments, the parameters were selected in order to achieve high sound quality irrespective of the sound source for 12 sound items such as pop music, rock music, classic music, and a news jingle. Our mode selector is based on frequency-domain sparseness analysis. The mode selector uses the sparseness detection of inputs, \( S(k) \), per frame to classify the input signal into two coding modes: sparse and non-sparse. When the inputs, \( S(k) \), are sparse, such as with harmonic components of the music, the energy of those coefficients are concentrated in the dominant components, as shown in Fig. 6. Focusing on this fact, we compute the coding-mode flag \( \delta_{\text{mode}}(m) \) in the current frame \( m \) as

\[
\delta_{\text{mode}}(m) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \text{mean}[c] \leq \delta_1 \\
\delta_{\text{mode}}(m-1) & \text{if } \delta_1 < \text{mean}[c] < \delta_2 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

(9)

where mean[·] is the average between the current and previous frames. If the inputs, \( S(k) \), are categorized as non-
The coding mode is determined depending on the previous flag for determining whether the spectrum is sparse. The current non-sparse signal (rock music).

The terms $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ in Eq. (9) are the threshold values for determining whether the spectrum is sparse. The current coding mode is determined depending on the previous flag when $\delta_1 < \text{mean}[c] < \delta_2$ in order to prevent frequent switching of the coding mode. The term $c$ is the sparseness counter to numerate the number of frequency coefficients that have a smaller amplitude than the average value of the normalized coefficients as follows:

$$c = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} b_{\text{sparse}}(k), \quad \text{(10)}$$

sparse, then $b_{\text{mode}}^{(m)} = 1$; otherwise, $b_{\text{mode}}^{(m)} = 0$. The initial value of the coding-mode flag is set to zero. One bit is used as the information of the coding-mode flag and is transmitted.

The terms $\delta_1$ and $\delta_2$ in Eq. (9) are the threshold values for determining whether the spectrum is sparse. The current coding mode is determined depending on the previous flag when $\delta_1 < \text{mean}[c] < \delta_2$ in order to prevent frequent switching of the coding mode. The term $c$ is the sparseness counter to numerate the number of frequency coefficients that have a smaller amplitude than the average value of the normalized coefficients as follows:

$$c = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} b_{\text{sparse}}(k), \quad \text{(10)}$$

where $b_{\text{sparse}}(k)$ is a flag indicating whether the absolute value of $S'(k)$ is lower than the threshold $\epsilon$.

### 4. Overviews of New Codecs and Implementation of Proposed Method

This section presents two summaries: the codec overview of new standards ITU-T G.711.1 Annex D and G.722 Annex B and the implementation of the proposed method for these new codecs.

#### 4.1 Codec Overview

An encoding block diagram of Recommendations ITU-T G.711.1 Annex D and G.722 Annex B is shown in Fig. 7. These codecs are based on an embedded scalable structure and operate on 5-ms frames with the input and output sampled at 32 kHz. The input signal is divided into two 16-kHz-sampled wideband and super higher-band (SHB, 8-16 kHz band) signals using a quadrature mirror filterbank (QMF). The wideband signal is divided into two 8-kHz-sampled lower band (LB, 0-4 kHz) and higher band (HB, 4-8 kHz) signals with the QMF. The LB and HB signals are coded with a core encoder (i.e., either G.711.1 or G.722) or HB enhancement sub-layers of EL0 and EL1. Finally, the SHB signal is coded in the SWB extension sub-layers of SWBL0, SWBL1, and SWBL2.
The layered structure of G.711.1 Annex D and G.722 Annex B bitstreams is shown in Fig. 8. The bit rates are extended to 96/112/128 kbit/s for G.711.1 Annex D and 64/80/96 kbit/s for G.722 Annex B.

4.1.1 HB Enhancement Sub-Layers

The HB enhancement sub-layers, which are the EL0 and EL1 encoders shown in Fig. 7, are the two codec dependent sub-layers (G711EL0/EL1 and G722EL0/EL1) for further enhancing the HB signal coding. The details of these sub-layers are as follows:

- **G711EL0/EL1**
  In the first enhancement sub-layer, G711EL0, the 6.4-8.0-kHz part of the HB signal is coded using AVQ in the MDCT frequency domain because the highest frequency covered by the G.711.1 core codec is 7.0 kHz. In the subsequent G711EL1 sub-layer, the residual coefficients, i.e., the difference between the HB signal and the decoded signal from the G.711.1 core and G711EL0, are coded using dynamic bit allocation and scalar quantization.

- **G722EL0/EL1**
  The first G722EL0 sub-layer enhances the 19 most perceptually important HB time samples (out of 40) using scalable scalar quantization. The subsequent G722EL1 sub-layer refines the whole HB frame of 40 samples using an additional scalar quantization. In addition to the scalable quantization of G722EL0 and G722EL1, the LB part of the legacy G.722 encoder is enhanced using a noise feedback loop to perceptually shape the ADPCM coding noise.

4.1.2 SWB Extension Sub-Layers

The SHB signal is coded in the MDCT frequency domain. The SWB expansion sub-layers consist of the three sub-layers of SWBL0, SWBL1, and SWBL2. As more sub-layers are used, the audio quality improves. These sub-layers operate with 80 SHB MDCT coefficients. The first 64 coefficients, which are associated with the frequency range 8-14.4 kHz, are coded. The 64 coefficients are divided into 8 sub-bands, each with 8 coefficients.

In the SWBL0 sub-layer, the spectral envelope of the SHB MDCT coefficients is computed as a set of RMS values per sub-band and is then vector-quantized. In the SWBL1 sub-layer, the three sub-bands that are perceptually important out of the eight sub-bands are coded, and the remaining sub-bands are processed in the SWBL2 sub-layer.

4.2 Implementation for ITU-T G.711.1D and G.722B

Our method was added for SWB extension layers in ITU-T G.711.1 Annex D and ITU-T G.722 Annex B. In the SWBL1 and SWBL2 sub-layers, the encoding mode is selected according to the sparseness of the SHB MDCT coefficients; the encoding mode information, which is the coding-mode flag described in Sect. 3.2, is transmitted using one bit of the SWBL1 bitstream. If the frame is classified as “sparse”, AVQ of an 8-dimensional vector is used to encode the SHB MDCT coefficients normalized per sub-band. Otherwise, the non-sparse mode is used to encode the residual components, which are calculated as described in Sect. 3.1.1, using the same AVQ as that of the sparse mode.

Table 3 lists the processing complexities of sparse mode, non-sparse mode, and mode selector. The complexities are expressed as the worst-case complexity in weighted millions operations per second (WMOPS); the worst-case complexity is the performance figure for real-time system. These are based on complexity reports using the basic operators, which simulate DSP operations, of ITU-T Software Tool Library (STL2005) in ITU-T G.191 [15]. They are implanted into C-source codes, the codes including those are compiled and then the WMOPS is obtained by executing the compiled binary file. As indicated in Table 3, the complexity of the proposed method (non-sparse mode and mode selector) remains at the slightly increased level of about 0.8 WMOPS compared with that of the conventional method (sparse mode).

5. Evaluation

5.1 Experimental Conditions

Most phase characteristics of the decoded signal are discordant from those of the input signal in the proposed coding method, so it is difficult to obtain objective speech quality that has a correlation with subjective speech quality using an objective evaluation method such as signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Therefore, the performance of the proposed method
Tables 4, 5, and 6 list the experimental conditions, overview of test signals, and list of conditions, respectively. The test results comparing the conventional coding scheme with AVQ and the proposed method are shown in Fig. 9 in the form of difference scores, which represent the degradation of the coded output signal from the original signal. The vertical lines in the figure denote the 95% confidence interval, and “c01: conventional method (sparse-mode)” is the conventional scheme with AVQ, “c02: non-sparse mode” is AVQ with the proposed adaptive spectral masking, and “c03: proposed method (dual mode)” is the combinatorial method for the sparse and non-sparse modes. For each item, 22 experienced listeners (8 males and 14 females ranging in age from 21 to 36) gave mean scores for five sound signals. As these results show, better scores were observed for all sound items by using the proposed method that combines the sparse and non-sparse modes. In particular, the proposed method improved the sound quality for speech by about 0.2 points on a five-point scale compared with the conventional method. The significant improvement for speech can be observed from point of view of that the confidence interval of the proposed method is below the average of the conventional method. On the other hand, the score of the non-sparse mode for speech was the lowest for all modes. This is because the quantization error of the non-sparse mode was high when coding sparse signals such as vowel sounds. For the mixed content, the difference in scores between the non-sparse mode and the proposed method was small; but this is because almost all periods of input signals were categorized as non-sparse. As can be seen from these results, the proposed method improved the sound quality by more than 0.1 points on average for all sound items, and achieved high sound quality irrespective of the sound source.

6. Conclusion

A coding method introduced into Recommendations ITU-T

---

**Table 4** Experimental conditions.

| Methodology   | ITU-R BS.1116-1 |
|---------------|-----------------|
| Sampling rate | 32 kHz          |
| Frame length  | 5 ms            |
| Frame shift   | 5 ms            |
| MDCT points   | 80 samples      |
| # of listeners| 22              |

**Table 5** Overview of test signals.

| Sound item       | Languages | Type of signals                        |
|------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------|
| Clean speech     | Japanese | Female talker, Female talker, Male talker |
| Music            | Japanese | Pop music, Rock music, Classical music, Jazz music |
| Mixed content    | Japanese | TV commercial message, TV program, News artificially mixed with jingles |

**Table 6** List of conditions.

| Number | Test condition                      | Level [dBov] |
|--------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| c00    | Direct [50-14000 Hz]               | −26          |
| c01    | Conventional method (sparse mode)  | −26          |
| c02    | Non-sparse mode                    | −26          |
| c03    | Proposed method (dual mode)        | −26          |

as a part of G.711.1 Annex D was evaluated using a subjective listening test. The experimental conditions, overview of signals, and list of conditions are listed in Tables 4, 5, and 6, respectively. The triple stimulus/hidden reference-double blind method (‘Ref’, ‘A’, ‘B’) with a five-point impairment scale, compliant with ITU-R BS.1116-1 [16], was used in the testing. ITU-R BS.1116-1 is aimed at determining whether a test signal contains an audible distortion compared with a reference. The results are represented as quality-difference scores, which are the differences in mean opinion score (MOS) between the reference and evaluation signals. In BS.1116-1, the MOS of the decoded signal is expected to be graded on a scale of 1.0 to 4.9 points, and that of the original signal is set to 5.0 points. Therefore, the difference score in BS.1116-1 is equal to the absolute quality. All reference and evaluation signals were presented to both ears via headphones (Sennheiser HD 280 Pro). Listeners evaluated three conditions: the output signals of the sparse mode, non-sparse mode, and proposed method. The above three conditions were implemented with the G.711.1 Annex D using μ-law core at 112 kbit/s and were evaluated for three sound items (speech, music, and mixed content) to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed method. The mixed content consisted of speech plus music and/or background noise.

5.2 Experimental Results

The test results comparing the conventional coding scheme with AVQ and the proposed method are shown in Fig. 9 in the form of difference scores, which represent the degradation of the coded output signal from the original signal. The vertical lines in the figure denote the 95% confidence interval, and “c01: conventional method (sparse-mode)” is the conventional scheme with AVQ, “c02: non-sparse mode” is AVQ with the proposed adaptive spectral masking, and “c03: proposed method (dual mode)” is the combinatorial method for the sparse and non-sparse modes. For each item, 22 experienced listeners (8 males and 14 females ranging in age from 21 to 36) gave mean scores for five sound signals. As these results show, better scores were observed for all sound items by using the proposed method that combines the sparse and non-sparse modes. In particular, the proposed method improved the sound quality for speech by about 0.2 points on a five-point scale compared with the conventional method. The significant improvement for speech can be observed from point of view of that the confidence interval of the proposed method is below the average of the conventional method. On the other hand, the score of the non-sparse mode for speech was the lowest for all modes. This is because the quantization error of the non-sparse mode was high when coding sparse signals such as vowel sounds. For the mixed content, the difference in scores between the non-sparse mode and the proposed method was small; but this is because almost all periods of input signals were categorized as non-sparse. As can be seen from these results, the proposed method improved the sound quality by more than 0.1 points on average for all sound items, and achieved high sound quality irrespective of the sound source.

6. Conclusion

A coding method introduced into Recommendations ITU-T
G.711.1 Annex D and G.722 Annex B was proposed. An adaptive spectral masking of AVQ using a spectral envelope was proposed for non-sparse signals in the MDCT domain. This method switches the spectral masking on and off as dual-mode coding in order to achieve high sound quality irrespective of the sound source. Subjective experiments revealed that the proposed coding method outperformed the conventional coding scheme with AVQ, and it improved sound quality by more than 0.1 points on a five-point scale for speech, music, and mixed content. In particular, the proposed method produced significant improvement for speech signals, which improved the sound quality by about 0.2 points. This result shows that the proposed dual-mode coding appropriately quantized both the sparse components such as vowel and the non-sparse components.
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