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Abstract
Venous access is one of the most basic yet critical components of patient care in both inpatient and ambulatory healthcare settings. Safe and reliable venous access is vital to patients and their families to ensure timely and complication-free treatment. Obtaining reliable access in the pediatric patient can be challenging due to various factors including physical (small, mobile veins, excessive subcutaneous tissue) and emotional (pediatric patients are often less cooperative, especially in the awake patient). The use of ultrasound to insert both peripheral and central venous access devices has improved success rates of insertions and reduced complications associated with insertions.

A variety of vascular access options exist, and selection of the most appropriate site helps to ensure vessel health and preservation and should be tailored to each individual patient need. Prior to selecting the insertion site, clinicians should consider the patient's condition, developmental age (discussed further in Chap. 13), skin condition, previous vascular access history, duration of infusion therapy, and patient preference where possible.
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15.1 Site Selection for Peripheral Devices
15.1.1 Peripheral Intravenous Cannula (PIVC)
15.1.1.1 Vein Options
A detailed understanding of the venous systems of the upper and lower extremities facilitates successful cannulation. The upper extremities have two primary venous systems: the cephalic and basilic veins (Fig. 15.1).

When choosing the best vein for each individual patient, consider the length of treatment (e.g., one-off infusion versus IV therapy for multiple
days), type of treatment to be infused (such as pH, viscosity, and osmolarity), as well as vein assessment (full, bouncy, straight).

15.1.1.2 Site Location

When choosing a site for PIVC insertion in the pediatric patient, consideration must be given to the site that is going to least interrupt the child’s usual play and activity as they are less likely to be protective of their PIVC as an adult patient might—see Table 15.1. In particular, avoid placing a PIVC in the hand of the thumb that they might suck for comfort and in areas of flexion such as the wrist and antecubital fossa. The preferred cannulation sites are the veins of the non-dominant forearm. These veins are often easy to palpate in older children but might be more difficult in the chubby toddler. The use of technology such as ultrasound, which will be discussed later in this chapter, might be of assistance in this instance.

When upper extremity veins are inaccessible, the dorsal veins of the foot or the saphenous veins of the lower extremity may be used in toddlers that are not yet mobile. Other alternative intravenous cannulation sites that have been used in neonates and young infants include the scalp veins.

Contraindications to an insertion site in the body may include edema, infection, phlebitis, sclerosed veins, previous intravenous infiltration, burns, skin injury such as eczema, or traumatic injury proximal to the insertion site and surgical procedures affecting the extremity.

When traditional PIVC insertion techniques such as palpation fail and multiple attempts at vascular access are unsuccessful, the use of visual aids such as ultrasound and other ultraviolet light might be required. Practitioners have described increased success when PIVCs are inserted into deeper vessels with the aid of longer catheters in adults (Fabrizio Elia et al. 2012), and ultrasound guidance is similarly beneficial in both the adult and pediatric population (Benkhadra et al. 2012; Stolz et al. 2015). The use of technology to assist in PIVC insertion in children with difficult intravenous access (DIVA) will be discussed later in this chapter.

15.2 Midlines

15.2.1 Vein Options

Midlines can be inserted in both the upper and lower extremities. The ultimate goal of midline insertion is to extend the dwell of the infusion therapy device for medication that can be deliv-
Table 15.1 PIVC sites: clinical and practical considerations in pediatrics

| Location/characteristics | Clinical considerations |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Dorsal metacarpal veins  | For short-term access only |
| Between the metacarpal bones on the dorsal side of the hand | Tip of catheter should not extend over the wrist joint |
| Superficial veins, easily visualized | Consider the impact that restricting the use of the hand will present |
| Easy to insert, good option for day infusion or one-off procedure | |
| Dorsal venous network    | Comfortable site for patient |
| Formed by the union of metacarpal veins, on the dorsal aspect of the forearm | Not always prominent, difficult to visualize particularly in chubby infants and toddlers |
| Most commonly used due to accessibility and visibility | Avoid placement over the wrist/prominent ulna bone which can cause mechanical phlebitis or dislodgement |
| Cephalic vein            | Radial nerve runs parallel |
| Runs the length of the arm from the wrist to the lateral aspect of the upper arm to the shoulder | Avoid insertion in the wrist area due to increased risk of inadvertent arterial puncture (Lirk et al. 2004) |
| Easy to palpate          | Avoid in patients who might require future fistula formation |
| Does not restrict patient activity as hands are free | |
| Easy to secure and maintain on the forearm | |
| Preferred site for prolonged peripheral intravenous therapy | |
| Median cubital vein      | Nerve endings in this area may result in painful venipuncture |
| Crosses the antecubital fossa | Brachial artery lies medial; therefore caution should be taken to avoid inadvertent arterial puncture |
| Preferred site during urgent/trauma management due to easy access and capacity to accommodate large-bore PIVC | Limits patient’s mobility due to joint articulation |
| Commonly used to draw blood | Prone to failure due to movement, blockage, dislodgement, infiltration, and infection |
| Accessory cephalic vein  | Avoid catheter tip placement at joint articulation |
| Branches off the cephalic vein | |
| Joins the cephalic again at the antecubital fossa | |
| PIVC insertion at this site does not restrict movement | |
| Easily stabilized | |
| Basilic vein             | Vein rotates around the arm and requires firm skin tension to stabilize vein |
| Runs the entire length if the arm from the wrist to axilla | Avoid use for short-term devices if longer-term device might be required |
| Runs along the medial aspect of the upper forearm | |
| Runs deep above the elbow and combines with the brachial veins to form the axillary vein | |
| First choice for PICC insertion | |
| Great and small saphenous vein | Decreases mobility in active toddler |
| Generally visible, palpable, and easily accessible | Often deep within tissues and requires ultrasound insertion |
| Best used in patients not yet walking | |
| Straight, easy to secure | |
| Dorsal venous arch       | Decreases mobility in active toddler |
| Superficial vessel on dorsum of foot | |
| Best used in patients not yet walking | |
| Avoid tip crossing ankle joint | |

tered into the peripheral venous system. Ideally, a midline will be inserted into a vein in the upper arm or upper thigh region, so the tip, while still situated in a peripheral vein, is ideally situated in a large peripheral vein to increase natural hemodilution of intravenous medication. As veins move proximally toward the heart, they increase in size.

15.2.2 Site Location

The optimal insertion site is the non-dominant arm within the middle third of the upper arm. For the pediatric patient population, the fixed length of midlines currently available requires the insertion site to be tailored to the length of
the catheter. For example, if an 8 cm midline is all that is available, the insertion site should start 8 cm below the axillary vein which is ideally where the tip will be positioned. Alternatively, a lower limb insertion should commence at a distance equal to the length of the midline, just distal to the femoral vein for ideal midline tip placement.

Catheters placed within the lower limb have an increased risk of complications such as infection due to close proximity to the nappy area in infants and toddlers and thrombosis (Greene et al. 2015). Placement of midlines in the lower limbs should only be considered as a last resort.

The use of ultrasound to support midline placement has clear benefits as the vein chosen can be assessed for patency along its entire length. Ultrasound also provides additional venous access options that would previously be too deep to palpate using blind punctures and enables site placement proximal to the antecubital fossa which has the advantage of siting the catheter outside the elbow joint.

15.2.3 Central Venous Access Devices (CVADs)

The remainder of this chapter will review the catheter, site, vein, and technology choice for insertion of various central venous access devices or central venous catheters to ensure vessel health and preservation throughout the child’s lifespan and through to adulthood. A central venous access device (CVAD) is defined as a catheter placed with the tip positioned within the region of the cavoatrial junction (Silberzweig et al. 2003). When placing a CVAD with its insertion in the neck, the cavoatrial junction is defined as two vertebral body units below the carina. This is a reliable marker in the adult and adolescent patient; however, variability might be found in younger pediatric patients and should be used with caution as an absolute marker (Baskin et al. 2008; Song et al. 2015).

Patient assessment prior to choice of vein, site, and device should include information of previous indwelling CVADs and reason for use and removal that might limit available sites for future access. Likewise, it is also recommended that documentation at the conclusion of CVAD insertion should include relevant demographic and procedural CVAD information including patient consent, selection and exclusion criteria for device and site choice, reason for device insertion, and intended length of use (Silberzweig et al. 2003).

15.3 PICCs

15.3.1 Vein Options

PICCs are inserted into peripheral veins of the upper arm (basilic, brachial, or cephalic) with the tip of the catheter advanced to the cavoatrial junction. If placement of PICC in the upper limb is not possible, lower limb PICC insertion is necessary. The vein of choice is usually the greater saphenous found on the medial aspect of the lower limb, and the tip of the catheter is then advanced to the inferior vena cava, located above the level of the diaphragm (Gorski et al. 2016) (Chap. 14). Cannulation of the lower limb veins for PICC insertion is associated with a higher incidence of thrombosis; however, as with midlines, this risk is lower in children and infants than in adults. Therefore this is an acceptable alternative when cannulation of the upper extremities has failed in a child or infant (Spentzouris et al. 2012).

15.3.2 Site Location

The ideal site for insertion of PICC in the upper limb is in the middle third of the upper arm (Dawson 2011; Simcock 2008). In some pediatric patients, finding a suitable vein in this location can be challenging. This might be due to venous thrombosis or stenosis resulting from multiple previous PICC insertions; anatomical limitation including nerves and artery located anterior to the intended vein; or, in the very small neonate, vein size is simply not
large enough to accommodate an appropriate sized PICC for the treatment required. In this situation, veins closer to the axilla may be more appropriate to access; however, placing a PICC insertion site at the axilla makes care and maintenance challenging. To avoid this suboptimal exit site, tunneling the PICC distal to the insertion site has proven successful (Ostroff and Moureau 2017). In this way, the PICC insertion/exit site is appropriately placed in the middle third of the upper arm, and the vessel accessed in the axillar region is sufficient for the intended treatment.

When PICC placement via an upper limb vessel is not possible in neonates and pediatric patients, it is acceptable to place a PICC via a lower limb vessel such as the greater saphenous, popliteal, or common femoral vein. The obvious limitation to placing a PICC/small-bore catheter in the lower limb is mobility and soiling in the diaper area which should be considered when choosing a site. If, after thorough venous assessment, the common femoral vein is the most appropriate vein for PICC insertion, tunneling the PICC away from the insertion site will remove the insertion/exit site from the threat of contamination from the nappy and may increase the ease of site visibility and care and maintenance—see Fig. 15.2.

### 15.4 Non-Tunneled CVCs

#### 15.4.1 Vein Options

Short, non-tunneled CVCs are usually inserted into the neck (internal jugular, external jugular, subclavian, and brachiocephalic) or groin (great saphenous and common femoral).

#### 15.4.2 Site Location

Securing a CVC in pediatric patients can prove challenging due to anatomical limitations of small neck size and the potential for contamination from the nappy if the CVC is placed in the groin. Cannulation of the internal jugular has predominantly been achieved by utilizing the short-axis view (i.e., ultrasound probe is placed in cross section to the internal jugular vein, and the needle puncture is in-line with jugular but out of plane with the probe). While the advantage to this approach is increased cannulation success, post-insertion can be problematic when trying to secure a dressing and maintain CVC function in the pediatric population (Gorski et al. 2016). An alternative approach is to place the ultrasound probe in the supraclavicular notch (out of plane to the vein) and utilizing an out-of-plane approach to the vein, approach the internal jugular from the lateral edge of the probe (in line with the ultrasound probe), and achieve access from a low jugular approach which improves the nurses’ ability to secure the catheter to the anterior chest wall (Fig. 15.3).

A similar approach is used to puncture the subclavian vein. Using a long-axis view, the ultrasound probe is often placed in an infraclavicular position, and the needle is placed as close as possible to the lateral edge of the probe and enters the axillar vein or subclavian under direct visualization.

### 15.5 Approach to Insertion

Patient position can impact the likelihood of a successful central venous access procedure. Place the patient in the Trendelenburg position.
or have a small roll placed under their shoulders (unless contraindicated due unstable c-spine that may occur due to injury or disease) for central vein cannulation of veins in the neck region. Trendelenburg position allows gravity to enhance central venous filling to create a larger target for venipuncture and minimize risk of air embolus (Bannon et al. 2011). A roll under the hip will elevate the common femoral vein and aid cannulation; however initiating the reverse Trendelenburg position will not substantially increase femoral vein size.

External landmarks, venography, and ultrasound are used to localize central vessels including internal and external jugular, subclavian, axillary, common femoral, and brachiocephalic (innominate) veins. The ultrasound-guided approach to cannulation of the internal jugular vein has been shown to have a lower complication rate, time to insertion, and increased first time success compared to landmark-guided puncture (Lau and Chamberlain 2016).

The Society of Interventional Radiology reporting standards for central venous access insertion (Silberzweig et al. 2003) recommends reporting the method and route of venous access, including patient position, technique for vein localization, and venous puncture site selection. Furthermore, also report the number of catheterization attempts, defined as the

![Fig. 15.3](image-url) (a–d) Ultrasound image showing RIJV lying lateral to common carotid artery; (b) needle indenting wall of the vein (c) successful cannulation of the IJV shown by needle moving freely within the vessel (d) aspiration of blood confirming successful cannulation (used with permission T. Kleidon)
passing of a needle through the skin with the intent to obtain venous access, and any inadvertent arterial punctures. Venous anomalies such as stenosis and occlusion should also be reported.

15.6 Tunneled and Totally Implanted Devices

15.6.1 Vein Options

The right internal jugular vein is considered the access site of choice for central venous cannulation. Advantages include a superficial location, easy visualization with ultrasound, and a straight trajectory to the superior vena cava. Additionally, cannulation of the internal jugular rather than subclavian vein avoids “pinch-off syndrome” and avoids potential stenosis of the subclavian vein (Bannon et al. 2011). This is an important vessel health and preservation consideration in pediatric patients with chronic renal disease, as they may require formation of an arteriovenous fistula in the future, as a means of providing hemodialysis.

Cannulation of the innominate vein or brachiocephalic vein is an innovative approach to central venous access. Place the ultrasound probe in the supraclavicular notch, and angle the probe caudally to obtain a longitudinal view of the junction of the internal jugular vein, subclavian vein, and brachiocephalic vein. This is a useful puncture site when the internal jugular veins are small or absent bilaterally or if previous attempts to puncture the jugular vein have failed.

15.6.2 Site Location

The tunneled catheter exit site or implanted port pocket is usually placed over the upper anterior chest or the inner aspect of the upper extremity (Barnacle et al. 2008). Reports have described alternative access routes for tunneled central venous catheter including transfemoral, translumbar, and transhepatic (Roebuck et al. 2005b). Circumstances where this route might be required are in extreme cases of venous insufficiency whereby all traditional sites have been used and are no longer available (Barnacle et al. 2008).

15.7 Technology Emergence

Ultrasound technology has been found to be invaluable in vascular access procedures, particularly for deep veins (Lamperti and Pittiruti 2013; Simon and Saad 2012). However, there is now a range of near-infrared devices available that are useful for peripheral cannulation of the more superficial veins (Lamperti et al. 2014; Moureau et al. 2013). This technology is still fairly new, and although there have been some evaluations, to properly understand the potential benefits of this technology, further evaluations are necessary (Phipps et al. 2012).

15.7.1 Near-Infrared (nIR)

Near-infrared is light technology that aids in achieving vascular access of superficial peripheral veins in neonates, patients with complex medical conditions and the severely dehydrated patient (Gorski et al. 2016). nIR technology captures an image of the veins and reflects it back to the skin’s surface. nIR can be used as a real-time cannulation technique or as an aid to identify viability of peripheral venous sites as well as offering more information about vein selection (i.e., bifurcation, tortuous vessels, and viable palpable vein -v- thrombosed vein).

15.7.2 Ultrasound

Ultrasound evaluation of veins is an invaluable resource to assess venous course, identify underlying structures such as arteries and nerves and ensure venous patency before venous puncture (Fig. 15.4). Real-time ultrasound guidance has
been shown to reduce complications, procedure time, and improve first time puncture and overall technical success of both peripheral and central venous catheter placement (Benkhadra et al. 2012; Lau and Chamberlain 2016).

Additionally, the use of ultrasound for PIVC insertion in pediatrics facilitates the operator to choose a catheter length that will ensure sufficient catheter is residing within the vein lumen. The ultrasound screen indicates vessel depth (Fig. 15.5); therefore a vessel that is 1 cm deep should have a catheter that is equal to or greater than 2 cm long to ensure half of the catheter is anchored within the vessel.

The use of technology to identify CVAD tip position intraoperatively has the advantage of increased accuracy, reduced delays to start of treatment, and reduced complications such as dislodgement and risk of contamination if catheter repositioning is required.

15.7.3 Fluoroscopy

Ideally the catheter tip of a CVAD that is placed in the upper body is advanced to the cavoatrial junction. For lower body insertions, the catheter tip should be positioned in the inferior vena cava, above the level of the diaphragm (Gorski et al. 2016). This ensures a tip position with high blood flow which prevents thrombosis and is also positioned external to the atrium to prevent arrhythmias and pericardial erosion and cardiac tamponade. The radiographic surface landmarks that correspond to this position are less clear in the pediatric patient than the adult patient. The carina and inferior border of the right main bron-
chus are appropriate landmarks in pediatrics to ensure safe positioning of the catheter tip (Gorski et al. 2016).

15.7.4 Electrocardiogram (ECG)

Electrocardiogram is a recent accurate and safe alternative to the use of fluoroscopic-guided tip position for insertion of CVAD. Although this technology has not been evaluated as widely in pediatrics as it has in the adult population, small, multicenter studies have demonstrated safety and accuracy in the pediatric population (Rossetti et al. 2015). This technology allows the inserter to identify accurate tip placement within the cavoatrial junction with corresponding changes in ECG trace. Caution should be employed when using this technology for patients with known history of cardiac dysrhythmias and an absence or alteration in the P-wave (Chap. 7).

15.8 Conclusion

The percutaneous technique to cannulate central veins reduced the need for open, surgical cut-down procedures and the associated morbidity that can result from the large incision wound and vein trauma (Roebuck et al. 2005a, b). Traditionally, the use of the percutaneous technique to successfully cannulate a central vessel was reliant on the relationship between surface anatomic landmarks and the anatomic structures beneath the skin. Contemporary approaches to percutaneous cannulation of central vessels have involved the use of real-time ultrasound visualization which enhances the safety of puncturing the internal jugular, brachiocephalic, and femoral veins. Ultrasound also offers the axillary vein as a “visible” alternative to blind subclavian approach which is difficult to visualize with ultrasound due to overlying bony structures (Sharma et al. 2004). In today’s modern healthcare, pediatric patients are living longer and many into adulthood. This means they have increasingly complex comorbidities that will often require long term and possibly lifelong intravenous treatment. The ultimate goal of the vascular access healthcare professional should be to ensure long-term viability of vessels through careful assessment, planning, insertion, and management.

Case Study
Geoffrey is a 2-year-old boy who requires a 5-day course of intravenous antibiotics to treat cellulitis of the right thumb. Geoffrey still sucks his left thumb. Consider the potential sites available for PIVC insertion in this instance. What considerations should be made when planning for device insertion?

Abbie is a 12-year-old girl with rheumatoid arthritis. Abbie attends the outpatient department for monthly infusions of rituximab. What different considerations can you see in the two scenarios?

Case Study
Claire is a 3-year-old recently admitted with disseminated infected eczema. Most of Claire’s face and upper and lower limbs are affected, especially over areas of flexion. In addition to administration of intravenous flucloxacillin, Claire has wet wraps to her limbs as part of her treatment plan.

As Claire’s vascular access nurse, what are the clinical considerations when choosing a vascular access device for her treatment? How can you reduce the risk of vascular access device failure and ensure its longevity for the entire treatment?
Case Study
Billy is a 12-year-old with cystic fibrosis who requires insertion of PICC for 14–28 days of intravenous antibiotics. Billy has recently commenced high school and has become non-compliant with his medication and physiotherapy, and this is his third admission for chest optimization this year.

Ultrasound assessment of Billy’s upper limbs reveals a large basilic vein in the region of the antecubital fossa that narrows significantly as it advances proximally and becomes large again at the axilla. It is difficult to visualize beyond the axilla due to normal anatomical placement of bony structures such as the clavicle; however, there is no evidence of superficial, collateral vessels on the chest wall.

What are your considerations when placing a PICC for Billy?

Case Study
Audrey is a 14-year-old who has recently been diagnosed with acute myeloid lymphoma. Prior to diagnosis, Audrey led an active teenage lifestyle and is a member of her college water polo team. Audrey will require intensive chemotherapy, infusion of blood and blood products, multiple blood tests, and eventual bone marrow transplant. As Audrey’s infusion nurse specialist, you are discussing the various CVAD options with Audrey and her family.

1. What CVAD options do you consider appropriate for this treatment?
2. What are some of the advantages and disadvantages of the various devices you will discuss with Audrey and her family?
3. What are the practical considerations of inserting a CVAD in a prepubescent/pubescent female?

Case Study
You are a nurse in a medical day unit. The majority of your patients attend the unit on a regular basis ranging from weekly, monthly, to quarterly appointments for infusion of medication for prevention and treatment of various disease processes. Some of these patients are becoming increasingly difficult to place a PIVC due to multiple PIVC insertions and side effects of their treatment increasing their adiposity.

You don’t currently have a vascular access team in your hospital, and medical officers rotate through this unit on a 3–6 monthly basis.

1. What processes can you implement to reduce the pain and discomfort of multiple PIVC insertion attempts?
2. What technology factors might be useful in this setting?
3. What patient factors might improve PIVC insertion?

Case Study
Xavier is a 2-week-old baby transferred to your hospital with a history of thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, and uncorrected coagulopathy. Xavier currently has no peripheral or central venous access as multiple attempts by the intensivist to insert a PIVC have failed. You have been asked to insert a vascular access catheter that will provide reliable, medium to long-term access for blood, and blood product transfusion and sampling.

1. What are your immediate considerations and potential risk factors?
2. What are the potential vascular access options suitable for this patient?
Summary of Key Points

1. Prior to selecting the insertion site, clinicians should consider the patient’s condition, developmental age, skin condition, previous vascular access history, duration of infusion therapy, and patient preference where possible.

2. Determining site placement requires different considerations with pediatrics versus adults. Some considerations include:
   (a) Mobility
   (b) Skin integrity
   (c) Thumb sucking
   (d) Diaper use
   (e) Activities (older children)

3. The introduction of technologies such as nIR, ultrasound, fluoroscopy, and EKG has increased the success rates of VAD insertions with pediatric patients and should be used as a mean of vessel health and preservation, reducing the number of sticks required for successful access.

4. The ultimate goal of the vascular access healthcare professional working with pediatric patients should be to ensure long-term viability of vessels through careful assessment, planning, insertion, and management.
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