Targeting Efficiency of Immunoliposome; Quantitative Assessment

Abstract

Immunoliposomes (ImLp) are important tool in specific drug targeting and delivery. They are prepared by tagging antibodies onto the surface of liposomes enabling them to recognize specific antigen bearing cells so that drug can be delivered in targeted manner. However, no method is available to evaluate the accessibility and optimal orientation of antibodies borne by the ImLp. They are generally estimated in terms of protein, which provides no information regarding their number and availability and also no clue about how much ImLp would be sufficient for effective discrimination of target antigen. Optimal antibody density and its presentation are crucial for preventing the nonspecific association of ImLp that may lead to side effects. Here a method is presented to estimate the appropriately oriented antibodies on any type of ImLp. This information is vital for dose related decisions as both selective discerning by ImLp and the amount of encapsulated drug are equally important for the success of targeted drug therapy.
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Introduction

Immunoliposomes (ImLp) have shown great promise in targeted drug delivery/release with enormous significance in the treatment of terminal diseases like cancer [1, 2]. Methods for their preparation and application in drug targeting are now well established [3-5]. However, since no method is available for quantifying the targeting efficiency of Immunoliposome, batch-to-batch variation is common. As a consequence therapeutic efficacy of ImLp is also inconsistent. The antibodies on ImLp are usually estimated by its protein content that offers no clue as to how many antibodies are appropriately presented and therefore factually available for antigen recognition and binding. When the antibodies used for ImLp synthesis are purely monodonal and tailored for upright liposome incorporation, protein estimation may be sufficient, but most often this is not the case. This causes substantial batch variation in terms of targeting efficiency of ImLp and in turn influences the dose for desired therapeutic outcome. Considering the fact that protein content is not the true reflection of antigen recognition ability of ImLp, quantification of appropriately oriented antibodies in a given preparation of ImLp is attempted. ELISA protocol is adapted for measuring ImLp titre and this information is subsequently used for calculating percentage of appropriately oriented antibodies.

Materials and Method

Partially purified antibodies [RbamC4 (AS cut), 1:16,000] raised against testis specific lactate-dehydrogenase from mouse (mLDHC4) in rabbit are used for the preparation of ImLp. All the chemicals including Biggers, Whitten and Whittingham (BWW) medium, Triton X-100, Tween-20, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), phosphate buffer saline (PBS), tris buffer saline (TBS), cholesterol, egg phosphatidyl choline (PC), dipalmityl phosphatidyl ethanol amine (DPPE), N-hydroxysuccinimide oleate (NHSO), 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylamino-propyl)-carbodiimide (EDAC), bovine serum albumin (BSA), HRP-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit antibody and 2,2’-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) are purchased from SIGMA. Carboxy-fluorescein (6-CF) (mol wt 376.32) single isomer is purchased from Molecular Probes. Immuno-certified plates for ELISA are purchased from Costar (Cambridge, MA, USA).

Preparation of uni-lamellar liposome

Small uni lamellar liposomes (0.05-0.10 micron size) incorporating CF (0.2 M) as a marker are prepared by the standard method of film hydration and sonication under N2 atmosphere [6]. To eliminate the un-encapsulated marker as well as unused lipids the liposomes are passed through a Sephadex G-50 column (19 × 1 cm), packed and equilibrated with tris buffer saline (TBS, pH 7.4). Liposome rich fractions are pooled and divided into two portions; one is used as control liposome and the other for the preparation of ImLp.

Preparation of Immuno liposome (ImLp)

Antibodies (mLDHC4) are covalently attached to the surface of liposomes through NHSO using EDAC [7]. ImLp preparation is passed through another Sephadex G-50 column (30 × 0.8 cm) for purification. Protein and phospholipids are estimated in each fraction obtained from the column and those with high protein to lipid ratio are pooled.
**Quantitative estimation of liposomes and immuno liposomes (ImLp)**

Liposome and ImLp preparation is expressed as nano or micromole Pi (inorganic phosphate)/ml after estimating their phospholipid content \([8]\). Antibody protein in the ImLp preparation is measured by the Bradford protein assay using Bio-Rad reagents. Standard curve is plotted using BSA.

**Adapting ELISA for estimating antibody titre of immuno liposome**

A 96-well plate is coated with the recombinant mLDHC4 (8-14 µl/ml) in carbonate buffer (0.1 M) and kept overnight at 4°C. The plate is dumped and blocked with 1% BSA in TBS for an hour at room temp followed by washing with water (MQ). Primary antibody solution is added in serial dilution of 2000, 4000, 8000, 16000 and 32000 (1000x) in triplicate wells. Liposome and ImLp each in triplicate are also added in separate wells at serial dilution of 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 (x). Plate is incubated for two hours at 25°C before washing three times with TTBS (TBS + 1% BSA + 0.05% Tween 20). HRP-goat anti rabbit IgG (1:2500 dilution) is added in all the wells before incubating the plate for an hour at room temperature. After washing with TTBS and water, freshly prepared reaction mixture [80 µl/well, citric phosphate buffer (pH 4.0, 10 ml), ABTS (40 mM, 0.5 ml), H₂O₂ (0.3 %, 0.1 ml)] is added. Color developed at different time points (3, 5, 10, 16 and 20 minutes) is read at 405 nm in an ELISA reader after assigning the blank wells in the program plate. The absorbance values from ImLp in triplicate are averaged after subtracting values shown by control liposome at corresponding dilutions. This is done to account for the interference caused by the lipids that constitute liposome.

**Quantitative estimation of appropriately oriented antibodies through ELISA titre**

Assuming the molecular weight of primary antibody as 160 kDa \([9]\) the number of antibody molecules in x dilution (ImLp titre) is calculated. Total antibody population on ImLp is also calculated with the help of estimated protein. Quantitative assessment of correctly oriented antibodies is thus made using following formula: % of appropriately oriented antibodies = Number of antigen recognizing antibodies (calculated by concentration of antibody at corresponding ImLp titre × 2*)/Total no. of antibodies incorporated in ImLp (calculated by estimated protein in ImLp) × 100

For example in 1 experiment (see Table 1) 0.5 µg/ml solution of primary antibody is prepared for ELISA out of which 100 µl/well i.e. 0.05 µg = 5.0 × 10⁻⁶ g antibody is used per well or

\[
\frac{5.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g}}{160,000} = \frac{5.0 \times 10^{-6} \times 10^{-5}}{1.6} = 3.125 \times 10^{-13} \text{ moles antibody/well}
\]

(assuming the molecular wt as 160 kDa or 160,000 D)

Since 1 mole contains 6.022 × 10²³ molecules (Avogadro number).

Number of antibodies = 3.125 × 10⁻¹³ × 6.022 × 10²³ = 18.819 x 10¹⁰ (at 1:1000 dilution) so the number of antibodies at 1:10 dilution (i.e. on ImLp) = 18.819 × 10¹⁰ = 2⁴ × 18.819 × 10¹⁰ ≈ 37.638 × 10¹⁰ (to account for antibodies inaccessible from top).

Total protein incorporated in ImLp (I) = 160 µg/ml (see Table 1).

Since 1 ml (1000 µl) of ImLp contains antibodies equivalent to 160 µg protein, the 1:10 dilution would have 16 µg = 16 × 10⁻⁶ g of antibodies

\[
\frac{16 \times 10^{-6} \text{ g}}{160,000} = \frac{16 \times 10^{-6} \times 10^{-4}}{1} = 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ moles antibodies (as per protein estimation)}
\]

So total antibodies at 1:10 dilution of ImLp as per protein estimation is 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ x 6.022 × 10²³ = 6.022 × 10⁻¹⁰ = 60.22 x 10⁻¹². However as per the titre (1:10) only 37.638 × 10¹⁰ antibody molecules are properly oriented. Thus, % of appropriately oriented antibodies

\[
\frac{37.638 \times 10^{12}}{60.22 \times 10^{12}} \times 100 = 62.707\%
\]

*Multiplied by 2, for accounting the antibodies on the other side of liposome under the assumption that antibodies are evenly distributed over the liposome.

**Results**

Coupling of antibody protein on liposome surface depends on a) reaction time; b) antibody titre and c) size of liposomes. Effective dose of ImLp depends on their optimized discriminating efficiency. ImLp with high antibody titre would cause unwanted side effects due to antigen cross reactivity whereas lower titre may not show optimum efficiency.

Independent experiments suggest that more the antibody protein infused with the liposome, less is the likelihood of yielding appropriate orientation (Table 1). This may happen even when the liposome size is small. It is likely that the disproportionate or excessive protein causes crowding on the liposome surface that leads to compromised conformation of antibodies. Consequently, it is important to optimize the proportion of antibodies with respect to liposome size so that ImLp of desired titre can be achieved. Here titre of mLDHC4 immuno-liposome is estimated with reference to primary antibody (from the same stock) taken as positive control and liposomes (without antibody) as negative control. It is observed that mLDHC4-ImLp could be detected reliably in ELISA up to 1:16 dilution (Figure 1) and the optimum incubation time for detectable color development is 15 to 20 minutes (Figure 2). Under present experimental conditions the x dilution of ImLp is in the order of 1000x of the primary antibody in terms of antigen recognition. The order of optimal dilutions i.e. the detectable range of ImLp may also vary with the size of ImLp. Apart from the method of preparation and purity of antibody it may also depend on the constituents of liposome. ImLp titre can hence be attuned within a desirable range by adjusting the size of the liposome and/or changing the liposome to antibody ratio. This is possible due to the inverse relation between the average protein accommodating ability (per unit area) and the size of the liposome.
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Based on ImLp-titre, percentage of properly oriented antibody molecules could also be estimated [see inset for an example]. As an extension of ELISA, present method allows an accurate estimation of targeting efficiency of ImLps. In principle the procedure can be used for measuring titre of any ImLp, irrespective of their method of preparation and kind of antibody incorporated.

**Table 1:** Appropriately oriented mLDHC4 antibodies calculated for four different ImLp preparations.

| ImLp Preparation | ELISA Titre of ImLp | Estimated Protein in ImLp (µg/ml) | Total no. of Antibodies on ImLp Based on Protein Estimation (Y) | No. of Antibodies Based on ImLp Titre (X) | Appropriately Oriented Antibodies (%) on ImLp Available for Antigen Binding (2X/Y)*100 |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| I                | 1:10                | 160                              | 60.022 x 10^{12}                                              | 18.819 x 10^{12}                        | 62.707 %                                                                         |
| II               | 1:16                | 135                              | 25.405 x 10^{12}                                              | 11.762 x 10^{12}                        | 92.596 %                                                                         |
| III              | 1:14                | 233                              | 43.948 x 10^{12}                                              | 13.442 x 10^{11}                        | 61.312 %                                                                         |
| IV               | 1:16                | 300                              | 56.456 x 10^{12}                                              | 11.762 x 10^{12}                        | 41.668 %                                                                         |
Discussion

It is important to understand that targeting efficiency needs to be defined quantitatively for the effective use of ImLp. This information is crucial to make dose related decisions and accomplish effective drug targeting. Not only their numbers but also appropriate presentation of antibodies is accountable for target discrimination. It is already established that more than optimal number of antibodies on liposomes can be detrimental [10] and may lead to non-specific binding, defeating the very purpose of specific targeting for which ImLp are designed. Unlike drug-antibody conjugates, titre of antibody has no direct correlation with the titre of ImLp as the number of antibodies on each liposome depends on multiple factors including antibody purity and liposome size. In drug-antibody conjugates, which normally are stoichiometric combination, the non-interference of drug to the antigen–antibody interaction is sufficient for achieving efficient targeting [11].

Conventionally antibodies on ImLp are represented by protein estimation which does not reflect their conformational availability for antigen binding. This information is inadequate, also because upright orientation of antibodies cannot be ensured by general methods of preparation of ImLp. Immunoliposome or i-ELISA, described here estimates antibody titre of ImLp, which, represents the minimum dilution of ImLp that can recognize/distinguish its antigens. The information can be used for quantifying the accessibly oriented antibodies (%) for ligand binding. This method described in the present study is simple, convenient and utilizes no extra equipment. It can measure and compare different batches of ImLp for better judgment with regard to therapeutic doses. Unlike the assay developed by Klegerman et al. [12], which involves a specialized computer image-analysis technique for evaluating antibody-liposome conjugation efficiency present method allows to approximate targeting efficiency of ImLp that has direct therapeutic implication.
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