Complex magnetic monopoles and geometric phases around diabolic and exceptional points
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We study the geometric phase (GP) in presence of diabolic (DP) and exceptional (EP) points. While the GP associated with the DP is the flux of the Dirac monopole, the GP related to the EP, being complex one, is described by the flux of complex magnetic monopole. For open systems, in week-coupling limit, the leading environment-induced contribution to the real part of complex GP is given by a quadrupole term, and to its imaginary part by a dipole-like field. We find that the GP has a finite gap at the DP and infinite one at the EP.
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For a quantum-mechanical system in the commonest case of double degeneracy with two linearly independent eigenvectors, the energy surfaces form the sheets of a double cone, and its apex is called a “diabolic point” (DP) [1, 2]. In opposition to the DP, at which the eigenvalues coincide while the eigenvectors still remain distinct, exceptional points (EP) occur when the eigenvalues and eigenvectors coalesce. Since for Hermitian operators the coalescence of eigenvalues results in different eigenvectors, the EP degeneracy does not exist for the Hermitian operators and is associated with open quantum systems and non-Hermitian physics. While notion of the geometric phase (GP) for pure states is well defined, the definition of a GP in open quantum systems is still an unsolved problem. The first important step towards the consistent description of geometric phase for open systems was done by Garrison and Wright [3]. They removed the restriction to unitary evolution considering the quantum system governed by non-Hermitian Hamiltonian. Uhlmann was the first to introduce the concept of GP to the case of mixed states employing the density matrix approach [4, 5]. However the physical interpretation of the Uhlmann GP for density matrix is not clear. Recently, an alternative definition of the GP for mixed states has been proposed by Sjöqvist et al in the experimental context of quantum interferometry [6].

In this Letter we consider the GP in presence of DPs and EPs. We show that for general non-Hermitian system the related GP is described by complex magnetic monopole. We analyze GPs near the degeneracy and find that the GP is nonanalytical and, having a finite gap at the DP, diverges at the EP.

General results on behavior of the eigenvectors at diabolic and exceptional points. — It is known that in parameter space, a set of exceptional points defines a smooth surface of codimension 2 for symmetric/nonsymmetric complex matrix, codimension 1 for a real nonsymmetric matrix, and EP’s do not exist for real symmetric or Hermitian matrix [7].

Let \( H(X) \) be a complex \( N \times N \) matrix smoothly dependent on \( m \) real parameters \( X_1, \ldots, X_m \) (i runs from 1 to \( m \)). For \( \lambda_k(X) \) being the eigenvalues of \( H(X) \), we denote by \( |\psi_k(X)\rangle \) and \( \langle \tilde{\psi}_k(X) | \) the corresponding right and left eigenvectors: \( H|\psi_k\rangle = \lambda_k|\psi_k\rangle, \langle \tilde{\psi}_k | H = \lambda_k \langle \tilde{\psi}_k | \).

Both systems of left and right eigenvectors form a bi-orthogonal basis [3]:

\[
\sum_k \frac{|\tilde{\psi}_k\rangle\langle \psi_k |}{|\psi_k\rangle\langle \psi_k |} = 1 \quad |\tilde{\psi}_k\rangle\langle \psi_k | = 0, \quad k \neq k'.
\]

Using the decomposition of unity [11], one obtains

\[
|\Psi\rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i |\psi_k\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \Psi | = \sum_i \beta_i \langle \tilde{\psi}_k |,
\]

where

\[
\alpha_i = \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}_i | \Psi \rangle}{\langle \tilde{\psi}_i | \psi_k \rangle}, \quad \beta_i = \langle \Psi | \tilde{\psi}_i \rangle / \langle \psi_k | \tilde{\psi}_i \rangle.
\]

We assume that EP occurs for some value of parameters \( X = X_c \). At the EP the eigenvalues, say \( \lambda_n(X_c) = \lambda_{n+1}(X_c) \), and the corresponding eigenvectors coincide yielding a single eigenvector \( |\psi_{EP}\rangle = |\psi_n(X_c)\rangle = |\psi_{n+1}(X_c)\rangle \). Now, applying (11) for \( k = n \) and \( k = n + 1 \) we find that at the EP the normalization condition is violated: \( \langle \tilde{\psi}_{EP} | \psi_{EP} \rangle = 0 \). This leads to the serious consequences for the global behavior of the states in parameter space.

Since at the EP both eigenvalues and eigenvectors merge forming a Jordan block, it is convenient to introduce the orthonormal basis of the related invariant 2-dimensional subspace as follows:

\[
|n|n\rangle = 1, \quad |n+1|n+1\rangle = 1, \quad |n|n+1\rangle = 0
\]

Assuming that all other eigenstates are non-degenerate, we find that the set of vectors \( \{ |\chi_k\rangle, |k\rangle \} \), such that for \( k = n, n+1 \) one has \( |\chi_k\rangle = |k\rangle, \langle \chi_k | = |k\rangle \), and

\[
|\chi_k\rangle = \frac{|\psi_k\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \tilde{\psi}_k | \psi_k \rangle}}, \quad \langle \chi_k | = \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}_k |}{\sqrt{\langle \psi_k | \tilde{\psi}_k \rangle}}, \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq n, n+1,
\]
forms the bi-orthonormal basis. Using this basis we expand an arbitrary vector as $|\psi\rangle = \sum c_k |\chi_k\rangle$, with the coefficients of expansion being $c_k = \langle \tilde{\chi}_k | \psi \rangle$.

From the orthogonality condition, one can see that if $|\psi(X)\rangle \rightarrow |\psi_{EP}\rangle$ while $X \rightarrow X_c$, then all $c_k (k \neq n, n+1)$ vanish at EP. Thus in the neighborhood of EP only the terms related to the invariant subspace make substantial contributions and the $N$-dimensional problem becomes effectively two-dimensional \[7, 8\]. Similar conclusion is valid for the DP’s, excepting that at the DP the eigenvectors don’t coalesce. The detailed study of the associated two-dimensional problem will be presented in the following sections.

**Geometric phase for non-Hermitian systems.**— The GP for non-Hermitian systems were studied by various authors (see, for instance, \[8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15\] and references therein). Following \[13\], let us consider the time dependent Schrödinger equation and its adjoint equation:

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi(t)\rangle = H(X(t))|\Psi(t)\rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad -i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|	ilde{\Psi}(t)\rangle = |	ilde{\Psi}(t)\rangle H(X(t)), \quad \text{for} \quad \Psi(0) = \psi, \quad \tilde{\Psi}(0) = \tilde{\psi}.$$ \tag{4}

Let $\langle \tilde{\psi}_n(X) | \rangle$ and $|\psi_n(X)\rangle$ be left (right) eigenstates corresponding to the eigenvalue $E_n$, then in adiabatic approximation the complex geometric phase is given by the integral \[12, 13\] \[16\]:

$$\gamma_n = i \int_C \langle \tilde{\psi}_n(X) | d\psi_n(X) \rangle = i \int_C \langle \tilde{\chi}_n(X) | d\chi_n(X) \rangle.$$ \tag{5}

Validity of the adiabatic approximation is defined by the following condition \[13\]:

$$\sum_{m \neq n} \left| \frac{\langle \tilde{\psi}_m | \partial H / \partial t | \psi_n \rangle}{(E_m - E_n)^2} \right| \ll 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \gamma \neq 0.$$ \tag{6}

This restriction is violated nearby the degeneracies related to any of DP or EP, where the eigenvalues coalesce.

Since the adiabatic approach cannot be applied in the neighborhood of degeneracy, further we will consider non adiabatic generalization of Berry’s phase introduced by Aharonov and Anandan \[16\] and extended by Garrison and Wright \[12\] to the non-Hermitian systems as follows. Let an adjoint pair \{|\Psi(t)\rangle, \langle \tilde{\Psi}(t)\rangle\} being a solution of Eqs. \[16\], \[17\] satisfies the following condition:

$$|\Psi(T)\rangle = \exp(i\varphi)|\Psi(0)\rangle, \quad \langle \tilde{\Psi}(T)\rangle = \exp(-i\varphi)\langle \tilde{\Psi}(0)\rangle,$$ \tag{7}

where $\varphi$ is a complex phase, and \{|$\chi(t)$, $\langle \tilde{\chi}(t)\rangle$\} is a modified adjoint pair such that

$$|\chi(t)\rangle = \exp(-if(t))|\Psi(t)\rangle, \quad \langle \tilde{\chi}(t)\rangle = \exp(if(t))\langle \tilde{\Psi}(t)\rangle,$$ \tag{8}

where $f(t)$ is any function satisfying $f(T) - f(0) = \varphi(0)$. The total phase $\varphi$ calculated for the time interval $(0, T)$ may be written as $\varphi = \gamma + \delta$, where the geometric phase $\gamma$ is given by

$$\gamma = i \int_0^T \langle \tilde{\chi}(t) | \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \chi(t) \rangle dt$$ \tag{9}

and for the “dynamical phase” one has

$$\delta = -i \int_0^T \langle \tilde{\chi}(t) | H | \chi(t) \rangle dt.$$ \tag{10}

This yields the connection one-form and the curvature two-form as follows:

$$A = i \langle \tilde{\chi} | d\chi \rangle, \quad F = dA.$$ \tag{11}

**Two-level system.**— Let us consider a two-level system described by generic non-Hermitian Hamiltonian:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 + Z & X - iY \\ X + iY & \lambda_0 - Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{C}$$ \tag{12}

Further we consider a complex matrix $H$ as depending on three complex parameters $X, Y$ and $Z$. While the DP is just a point in 3-dimensional complex space $\mathbb{C}^3$, a set of EP being a hypersurface of complex codimension 1 in $\mathbb{C}^3$, defines a smooth surface of codimension 2 in 6-dimensional real space $\mathbb{R}^6$.

The solution of the eigenvalue problem

$$H |u\rangle = \lambda |u\rangle, \quad \langle \tilde{u} | H = \lambda \langle \tilde{u} |,$$ \tag{13}

where $|u\rangle$ and $\langle \tilde{u} |$ are the right and left eigenvectors, respectively, is given by $\lambda_\pm = \lambda_0 \pm \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2}$. The left and right eigenvectors are found to be

$$|u_+\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi} \sin \theta/2 \\ \cos \theta/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \tilde{u}_+ | = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi} \cos \theta/2 \\ \sin \theta/2 \end{pmatrix},$$ \tag{14}

where

$$\cos \theta/2 = \frac{R + Z}{2R}, \quad \sin \theta/2 = \frac{R - Z}{2R},$$ \tag{15}

$$e^{i\varphi} = \frac{X + iY}{\sqrt{R^2 - Z^2}}, \quad R = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2}.$$ \tag{16}

and $\theta, \varphi$ are the complex angles of the complex spherical coordinates $(R, \theta, \varphi)$. For $R \neq 0$ the following relationships hold: $\langle \tilde{u}_+ | u_+ \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \tilde{u}_+ | u_+ \rangle = 1$.

As can be easily seen, the coupling of eigenvalues occurs when $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 0$. There are two cases: $\theta = 0, \varphi = 0$ and $\theta = i\infty, \varphi = 0$. The first case yields two linearly independent eigenvectors and the point of coupling is known as the diabolic point (DP). Since for $\theta \rightarrow i\infty$ the eigenvectors merge at the coupling point, the second case corresponds to the EP. At the DP we obtain

$$|u_+\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |u_-\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$ \tag{17}

$$\langle \tilde{u}_+ | = (1, 0), \quad \langle \tilde{u}_- | = (0, 1).$$ \tag{18}
and at the EP

\[ |u_+| = |u_-| \propto \left( e^{-i\varphi} \right), \quad \langle \tilde{u}_+ \rangle = \langle \tilde{u}_- \rangle \propto (e^{i\varphi}, i). \] (17)

This implies the violation of the normalization at the EP, and one has \( \langle \tilde{u}_+ | u_\pm \rangle = 0 \).

Applying (9) to \( |u_\pm \rangle \) and \( \langle \tilde{u}_\pm | \), we obtain

\[ A^\pm = \mp q (1 \mp \cos \theta) d\varphi, \quad F^\pm = q \sin \theta \ d\theta \wedge d\varphi \] (18)

where \( q = 1/2 \). This describes the complex “magnetic monopole” with a charge \( q \) and the field \( B \) given by

\[ B = \frac{q R}{R^3} \] (19)

where \( R = (X, Y, Z) \) and \( R = \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2} \). As can be easily seen the field of the monopole can be written as \( B^i = -\partial \Phi / \partial X^i \), where the potential \( \Phi = q/R \).

Computation of geometric phase yields \( \gamma = \oint A \), integration being performed over the contour \( C \) on the complex sphere \( S^2 \). Applying the Stokes theorem we obtain

\[ \gamma = \int_\Sigma F = q \Omega(C) \] (20)

where \( \Sigma \) is a closed surface with the boundary \( C = \partial \Sigma \), and \( \Omega(C) \) is the complex solid angle subtended by the contour \( C \).

For DP formula (19) reproduces the classical result by Berry on two-fold degeneracy in parameter space [2]. For EP the field of the corresponding “monopole” represents a complicated topological charge rather than a pointlike magnetic charge.

**Hyperbolic monopole.**– Let us consider the following non-Hermitian Hamiltonian:

\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 + z - i\varepsilon & x - iy \\ x + iy & \lambda_0 - z + i\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \] (21)

The computation yields

\[ B = \frac{q R}{R^3}, \quad R = (x, y, i z) \] (22)

where \( R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \), and \( B \) is the field of “monopole” be called the hyperbolic monopole (Fig.1).

The EP defined as the solution of the equation \( R = 0 \), is represented by the double cone with the apex at the origin of coordinates, and the DP is just located at the origin of coordinates. For \( R > 0 \) we obtain the imaginary hyperbolic monopole (\( \Re B = 0 \)) (Fig.1):

\[ A^\pm = \mp q (1 \mp \sin \theta) d\varphi, \quad F^\pm = q \cosh \theta \ d\theta \wedge d\varphi \] (23)

and for \( R < 0 \) one has the real hyperbolic monopole (\( \Im B = 0 \)) (see Fig.1):

\[ A^\pm = \mp q (1 \mp \cos \theta) d\varphi, \quad F^\pm = q \sin \theta \ d\theta \wedge d\varphi \] (24)

**Complex Dirac monopole.**– For the complex Hamiltonian

\[ H = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_0 + z - i\varepsilon & x - iy \\ x + iy & \lambda_0 - z + i\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \] (25)

the computation yields the field \( B \) as follows:

\[ B = \frac{q R}{R^3}, \quad R = (x, y, z - i\varepsilon) \] (26)

where \( R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \varepsilon^2 - 2i\varepsilon z} \). For \( \varepsilon = 0 \) the obtained complex Dirac monopole becomes well-known pointlike Dirac monopole.

The EP being determined as the solution of equation \( x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \varepsilon^2 + 2i\varepsilon z = 0 \) is the circle of the radius \( \varepsilon \) a the plane \( z = 0 \). For \( \varepsilon = 1 \) the real and imaginary parts of \( \Phi = \text{const} \) are presented in Fig.2.

Let us write \( R \) as follows: \( R = \sqrt{r^2 - 2i\varepsilon \cos \chi - \varepsilon^2} \), where \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \). We may expand \( 1/R \) as

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2i\varepsilon \cos \chi - \varepsilon^2}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\varepsilon)^l}{r^{l+1}} P_l(\cos \chi), \] (27)

\( P_l(\cos \chi) \) being the Legendre polynomials. For \( r \gg \varepsilon \), this yields the following expansion of \( \Phi \):

\[ \Phi = \frac{q}{r} + \frac{p \cos \chi}{r^2} - \frac{Q(3 \cos^2 \chi - 1)}{2r^3} + \ldots \] (28)
where \( q \) is the total charge of the monopole, \( p = q e \) is the dipole moment, and \( Q = q e^2 \) the quadrupole moment.

In the spherical coordinates \((r, \chi, \varphi)\) the GP for the state \( \lambda_- = \lambda_0 - R \) is given by

\[
\gamma = q \int_0^\infty \left( 1 - \frac{r \cos \chi - i \varepsilon}{\sqrt{r^2 - 2 i \varepsilon r \cos \chi - \varepsilon^2}} \right) d\varphi \tag{29}
\]

Using multipole expansion \([28]\), we obtain for \( \gamma \) the following expression:

\[
\gamma = \gamma_M + i \int_0^\infty \frac{p r^2 \sin^2 \chi}{r} d\varphi - \int_0^\infty \frac{3Q r^2 \sin^2 \chi \cos \chi}{2 r^2} d\varphi + \ldots
\]

where \( \gamma_M = q \int_0^\infty (1 - \cos \chi) d\varphi \) is the contribution of the Dirac pointlike monopole, second term describes the dipole contribution to the imaginary part of the geometric phase and third term the quadrupole contribution to its real part.

A complex Dirac monopole appears in wide class of open systems, where the Hamiltonian

\[
\hat{H} = B(t) \cdot \sigma - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma^\dagger \Gamma
\]

includes spontaneous decay \( \Gamma = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma_- \) as a source of decoherence. For instance, it emerges in a two-level atom driven by periodic electromagnetic field \( E(t) = \Re(\mathcal{E}(t) \exp(\ii vt)) \), with \( \mathcal{E}(t) \) being slowly varied, as follows. In the rotating wave approximation the Schrödinger equation reads \([17]\),

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\dot{u}_1 \\
\dot{u}_2
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{i}{2} \gamma_a & V e^{-i \Delta t} \\
V e^{i \Delta t} & -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_b
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
u_1 \\
u_2
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{30}
\]

where \( \gamma_a, \gamma_b \) are decay rates for upper and lower levels respectively, \( \Delta = \omega - \nu, \omega = (E_a - E_b), V = \mu^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{E}, \) and \( \mu \) is the electric dipole moment. Removing the explicit time dependence of the Hamiltonian with the non unitary transformation \([3]\), one obtains \( \hat{H} \) in the form of \([25]\). To compare our results with that found in \([3]\) we set \( x = \Re V(t), y = 3 \text{Im} V(t), z = \Delta/2 \) and \( \varepsilon = \delta = (\gamma_a - \gamma_b)/2 \).

Let us consider the closed curve \( C \) parameterized by \( \varphi \) with the complex angle \( \theta = \theta_0 \). Then the GP of Eq.\((20)\) becoming

\[
\gamma = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2V_0^2 + (\Delta - i \delta)^2}}
\tag{31}
\]

is the GP obtained by Garrison and Wright \([3]\).

Of the particular interest is the behavior of GP near the EP. For the resonance frequencies \( (\Delta = 0) \) we obtain

\[
\Re \gamma = \begin{cases}
\pi, & \text{if } r > \delta \\
\pi \left( 1 \pm \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^2 - r^2}} \right), & \text{if } r < \delta,
\end{cases}
\tag{32}
\]

where the upper/lower sign corresponds to \( \Delta \to 0 \) and we set \( r = |2V_0| \). This yields the singularity of GP at the EP \( (r \to 0) \), and the GP has a finite gap at the DP \([18]\).

In summary, we show that, while the DP is associated with Dirac magnetic monopole, the EP is related to the complex magnetic monopole. We found that for real part of GP the first correction to the flux of the Dirac monopole field is given by the quadrupole term, and the expansion for its imaginary part starts with the dipolelike field. Similar conclusion has been obtained for a two-level spin-half system in a slowly varying magnetic field and weakly coupled to a dissipative environment \([19]\). Note, our results are generic and should be applied to any dissipative system with an accidental energy levels crossing.

Concerning experiment. – The singular behavior of the GP near the EP could be observed in the experiments to measure mixed-states GP with neutrons \( \nu \) with polarized light, using a Mach-Zhender interferometer \([20, 21]\).
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