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Laplace transform is a powerful tool for solving diﬀerential and integrodiﬀerential equations in engineering sciences. The use of Laplace transform for the solution of diﬀerential or integrodiﬀerential equations sometimes leads to the solutions in the Laplace domain that cannot be inverted to the real domain by analytic methods. Therefore, we need numerical methods to invert the solution to the real domain. In this work, we construct numerical schemes based on Laplace transform for the solution of fractional-order Volterra integrodiﬀerential equations in the sense of the Atangana-Baleanu Caputo derivative. We propose two numerical methods for approximating the solution of fractional-order linear and nonlinear Volterra integrodiﬀerential equations. In our scheme, the inverse Laplace transform is approximated using a contour integration method and Stehfest method. Some numerical experiments are performed to check the accuracy and eﬃciency of the methods. The results obtained using these methods are compared.

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus has a large number of applications in engineering and mathematical sciences [1–5]. Problems from engineering and other sciences which involve derivatives or integrals of noninteger orders are large in number and still growing. That is why the research community has showed great interest in the area of fractional calculus. One can find various applications of fractional calculus in numerous phenomena such as frequency-dependent damping behavior of viscoelastic materials [6], control theory [7], economics [8], mass and heat diﬀusion processes, electromagnetic theory, biological species [9], robotics, and many other engineering problems [10]. Accurate models of systems under consideration can be obtained using fractional diﬀerential and integrodiﬀerential equations [11]. Many remarkable works on fractional calculus are available in literature for the approximation of the solution fractional diﬀerential or integrodiﬀerential equations, for example, the sinc-collocation method [12], Legendre collocation method [13], Laguerre polynomials [14], Adomian decomposition method [15], Variational iteration method [16–18], and their references.

Numerous essential phenomena in nature are resolved using the solutions of fractional integrodiﬀerential equations [11, 19]. For example, one can find applications of fractional integrodiﬀerential equations (FIDEs) in electromagnetics [20], heat conduction [21], etc. Due to the wide range of applications, FIDEs have attracted researchers. Therefore, a large number of analytic and numerical methods have been developed for finding the solutions of FIDEs [22–26]. For example, the authors [27] utilized the fractional diﬀerential transform method for the solution of fractional integrodiﬀerential equations. A Legendre wavelet method [28] is proposed for the solution of FIDEs. In [29], the analytic
solution of FIDEs is obtained using the homotopy analysis method. The author in [30] obtained the analytic solution of FIDEs using the fractional residual power series method.

In many cases, the analytic solutions of fractional differential or integro-differential equations are hard to obtain, so numerical methods must be used. In this connection, the researchers have developed numerous numerical methods. For instance, the authors in [19, 31] have solved FIDEs using the reproducing kernel Hilbert space method. A hybrid collocation method [32] is proposed to solve the FIDEs. The authors in [33] solved the first-order Volterra equation by the collocation method. The author in [34] utilized the shifted Chebyshev polynomial and least squares method for the approximation of the solution of FIDEs. Mahdy and Shwayyea [35] obtained the approximate solution of FIDEs using the shifted Laguerre polynomial pseudospectral method and least squares method. The authors in [36] approximated the solution of Volterra-type FIDEs via Bernoulli wavelet approximation. In [14], Laguerre polynomials are utilized toapproximate FIDEs of Volterra type. More work on the solution of FIDEs can be found in [31, 33, 37, 38] and their references.

There are various definitions of fractional derivatives such as Caputo-Liouville’s and Riemann-Liouville’s [11, 39]. These derivatives have certain disadvantages due to non-local and nonsingular kernel functions involved in these derivatives. In order to avoid these difficulties, it is better to use the ABC derivative. The ABC derivative contains a non-singular kernel and therefore can model a phenomenon which cannot be handled by fractional-order derivatives having singular kernels [40]. Some recent articles on the application of the ABC derivative can be found in References [41–45]. In the present work, we consider a FIDEs of Volterra type with the ABC derivative of the form

\[ \ABC D_0^\beta \xi(t) = \mathcal{H}(t, \xi(t), \mathcal{T} \xi(t)), \]

\[ \mathcal{T} \xi(t) = \int_0^t \beta(t, \tau) \xi(\tau) d\tau, \]

\[ \xi(0) = \alpha, \]

where \( \beta \in (0, 1), 0 \leq t, \tau \leq 1, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta, \mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{C}[0, 1]. \)

Here, \( \ABC D_0^\beta \) is the ABC derivative of order \( \beta \).

### 1.1. Preliminaries

**Definition 1.** The ABC fractional derivative of order \( 0 < \beta < 1 \), of \( \xi \in \mathcal{S}^1(a, b) \) with base point \( a \) at \( t \in (a, b) \), is defined as [46]

\[ \ABC D_0^\beta \xi(t) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\beta)}{1 - \beta} \int_a^t \xi'(s) E_\beta \left[ \frac{-\beta}{1 - \beta} (t - s)^\beta \right] ds, \]

where \( \mathcal{S}^1 \) is a Sobolev-space of first-order fitted with \( L^2 \) -norm defined over the \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R} \) defined as follows:

\[ \mathcal{S}^1(\Omega) = \{ \xi \in L^2(\Omega); \xi' \in L^2(\Omega) \}, \]

where \( \mathcal{M}(\beta) \) is defined as follows:

\[ \mathcal{M}(\beta) = \frac{\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} + 1 - \beta; \]

also, \( E_\beta(t) \) is a one-parameter Mittage-Leffler (ML) function defined as

\[ E_\beta(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{\Gamma(m\beta + 1)}, \quad \beta > 0, -\infty < t < \infty. \]

**Definition 2.** The ABC fractional integral of order \( 0 < \beta < 1 \) of \( \xi \in \mathcal{S}^1(a, b) \) with base point \( a \) at \( t \in (a, b) \) is defined as [46]

\[ \mathcal{H}^\beta \xi(t) = \frac{1 - \beta}{\mathcal{M}(\beta)} \xi(t) + \frac{\beta}{\mathcal{M}(\beta) \Gamma(\beta)} \int_a^t \xi(s)(t - s)^{\beta - 1} ds. \]

**Definition 3.** The Laplace transform of a piecewise continues function \( \xi(t) \) is defined as

\[ \mathcal{L}\{\xi(t)\} = \tilde{\xi}(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \xi(t) dt. \]

**Definition 4.** If \( 0 < \beta \leq 1 \), then the Laplace transform of the ABC derivative is defined by

\[ \mathcal{L}\{\ABC D_0^\beta \xi(t)\} = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\beta)s^\beta \tilde{\xi}(s) - \mathcal{M}(\beta)s^{\beta - 1} \xi(0)}{s^\beta (1 - \beta) + \beta}. \]

### 2. Proposed Method

We provide a description of our proposed schemes. Our numerical scheme has three main steps. In our first step, we apply the Laplace transform to the given model, with which the problem is reduced to an algebraic equation. In the second step, we solve the reduced equation in Laplace space for the unknown. Finally, the solution of the original problem is obtained using numerical inversion of Laplace transform. In this work, the numerical approximation of Laplace transform is obtained using two schemes. One is the contour integration method (CIM), and second is the Stehfest method (SM). We discuss these methods in the next sections. First, we apply Laplace transform to Eq. (1); we get

\[ \frac{\mathcal{M}(\beta)s^\beta \tilde{\xi}(s) - \mathcal{M}(\beta)s^{\beta - 1} \xi(0)}{s^\beta (1 - \beta) + \beta} = \mathcal{H}, \]

which can be rearranged as

\[ \tilde{\xi}(s) = \frac{\mathcal{F}(s)}{s^\beta \mathcal{M}(\beta)}, \]

where

\[ \mathcal{F}(s) = \mathcal{M}(\beta)s^{\beta - 1} \alpha + \mathcal{H} \left( s^\beta (1 - s^\beta) \beta \right). \]
In order to obtain the solution of problem (1), we apply Laplace inverse on (12), and the Laplace inverse is then approximated using the contour integration method and the Stehfest method, which are discussed as follows.

3. Contour Integration Method (CIM)

In CIM, we represent the solution \( \zeta(t) \) of (1) as Bromwich integral:

\[
\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{st} \zeta(s) ds, \quad \text{Res} \geq 0, \tag{14}
\]

where \( \theta \in R \) and \( \Gamma \) is an initially appropriately chosen line \( \Gamma_0 \) in a complex plane parallel to the \( y \) axis, with \( \text{Im}s \to \pm \infty \). Then, in (14), \( \zeta(t) \) is the inverse transform of \( \zeta(s) \), satisfying the condition that all the singularities of \( \zeta(s) \) lie to the left of \( \Gamma_0 \). However, for our purposes, we assume that \( \zeta(s) \) may be continued analytically in an appropriate way; we shall want to take for \( \Gamma_0 \) a deformed contour \( \Gamma \) in \( \sum_0^\infty = \{0\} \cup \{s \in 0: \mid \text{arg}(s) < \phi\} \), which has asymptotic behavior in the left complex plane, with \( \text{Res} \to -\infty \) when \( \text{Im}s \to \pm \infty \), which force \( e^{sf} \) to decay towards both ends of \( \Gamma \). In our work, we choose \( \Gamma \) as

\[
s(y) = \theta + \rho - \rho \sin(\eta - \gamma), \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{15}
\]

where

\[
0 < \eta < \phi - \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \rho > 0, \quad \theta > 0.
\]

Letting \( s = \zeta + i\zeta \), we see that (15) represents the left branch of the hyperbola given by

\[
\left(\frac{\zeta - \theta - \rho}{\rho \sin \eta}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\zeta}{\rho \cos \eta}\right)^2 = 1, \tag{17}
\]

where \( \zeta = \pm(\zeta - \theta - \rho) \cot \eta \) are the asymptotes for (17) and \( s = \theta + \rho(1 - \sin \eta) \) is its \( x \)-intercept. It is confirmed from Eq. (16) that \( \Gamma \) lies in the sector \( \sum_{\rho}^\infty = \theta + \sum_{\rho}^\infty \subset \sum_{\rho}^\infty \) and grows into the left half plane. From (15) and (14), we get

\[
\zeta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{st} \zeta(\sigma(y)) s'(\gamma) d\gamma. \tag{18}
\]

The approximation of Eq. (18) using the trapezoidal rule with uniform step \( k \) is given as

\[
\zeta_k(t) = \frac{k}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{N} e^{st} \zeta(s_j) s_j', \quad y_j = jk, \quad s_j = s(y_j), \quad s_j' = s'(y_j). \tag{19}
\]

3.1. Error Analysis. While solving the fractional-order problem defined in Eqs. (1)–(3), the first step is the application of Laplace transform to the given problem. The Laplace transform reduces the problem to a time-independent problem in Laplace space, and in this process, no error occurs. Next, the problem is solved in complex space for the unknown, and this process also incurs no error. In the final step, the solution of the given problem is retrieved using the Laplace inverse. We represent our solution as integral (18). We approximate this integral by the trapezoidal rule. During this process, integral (18) converges at different time rates which depends on \( \Gamma \). In the process of numerical approximation of the Bromwich integral (18), the orders of convergence greatly depend on the step \( \kappa \) of the trapezoidal rule and the temporal domain \( [t_0, T] \). For useful results and strong convergence, a suitable temporal domain is chosen.

The next theorem gives the order of quadrature error.

**Theorem 5** (see [47], Theorem 2.1). Let \( \zeta(t) \) be the solution of (1) with \( \zeta(s) \) analytic in \( \sum_0^\infty \). Let \( \Gamma \subset \Omega_0 \subset \sum_0^\infty \) and define \( \rho(b) = (b \tau_0^\gamma, \sin(\eta))^{-1} \), for \( b > 0 \), where \( 0 < \tau_0 < T \), \( \tau_0 = \tau_{0}' = 0 < \theta < 1,0 \), and let \( \beta = \rho_0 \notin \Theta \). Then, for Eq. (19), with \( k = b/N \leq \rho \log 2 \), we have \( |\zeta(t) - \zeta_k(t)| \leq C_0 e^{bT}(\log 2)^N \), for \( \mu = b(1 - \theta)/b, \rho_0 = \theta \tau_0^\gamma, \sin(\eta - r_1)/b, \rho = 2\pi r_0, \tau_1 > 0, \tau_0 \leq t \leq T \), and \( C = C_{\eta, r, \theta} \). Hence, we have

\[
\text{error}_{est} = |\zeta_k(t) - \zeta(t)| = O(e^{bT})(\rho, N). \tag{20}
\]

4. Stehfest Method (SM)

In the Stehfest method, the approximate value of \( \zeta(t) \) is given as

\[
\zeta(t) = \frac{\ln 2}{I} \sum_{i=1}^{M} w_i \zeta \left( \frac{\ln 2}{I} i \right), \tag{21}
\]

where the weights \( w_i \) are given by

\[
w_i = (-1)^M (2M!) \sum_{b=0}^{[M/2]} \frac{h^{M/2}(2h)}{(M/2-h)![(h-1)!](i-h)!(2h-i)!}. \tag{22}
\]

Solving (12) for the corresponding Laplace parameters \( s = (\ln 2/t)i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \).

The solution of the original problem can be obtained using (21).

4.1. Error Analysis. The authors [48, 49] performed a large number of numerical experiments to study the effect of the parameter on numerical accuracy, and they summarized there experimental work as the following: "If \( j \) significant digits are desired, then let \( M \) be the positive integer \( [1.1j] \). Given \( M \), set the system precision at \( [2.2M] \). Given \( M \) and the system precision, calculate the weights \( w_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2M \), using (22). Then, given the transform \( \zeta(s) \) and the argument
Table 1: The approximate solutions for various values of $\beta$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ corresponding to Problem 1.

| $t$  | $\beta = 1$ CIM | $\beta = 1$ SM | $\beta = 0.9$ CIM | $\beta = 0.9$ SM | $\beta = 0.8$ CIM | $\beta = 0.8$ SM | $\beta = 0.7$ CIM | $\beta = 0.7$ SM |
|------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.1  | 0.0998         | 0.0998         | 0.2171            | 0.2171         | 0.3349         | 0.3349         | 0.4519         | 0.4519         |
| 0.2  | 0.1987         | 0.1987         | 0.3163            | 0.3163         | 0.4312         | 0.4312         | 0.5416         | 0.5416         |
| 0.3  | 0.2955         | 0.2955         | 0.4070            | 0.4070         | 0.5131         | 0.5131         | 0.6118         | 0.6118         |
| 0.4  | 0.3894         | 0.3894         | 0.4905            | 0.4905         | 0.5839         | 0.5839         | 0.6680         | 0.6680         |
| 0.5  | 0.4794         | 0.4794         | 0.5668            | 0.5668         | 0.6447         | 0.6447         | 0.7120         | 0.7120         |
| 0.6  | 0.5646         | 0.5646         | 0.6355            | 0.6355         | 0.6959         | 0.6959         | 0.7450         | 0.7450         |
| 0.7  | 0.6442         | 0.6442         | 0.6965            | 0.6965         | 0.7376         | 0.7376         | 0.7673         | 0.7673         |
| 0.8  | 0.7174         | 0.7174         | 0.7492            | 0.7492         | 0.7698         | 0.7698         | 0.7794         | 0.7794         |
| 0.9  | 0.7833         | 0.7834         | 0.7934            | 0.7934         | 0.7925         | 0.7925         | 0.7816         | 0.7816         |
| 1    | 0.8415         | 0.8415         | 0.8286            | 0.8287         | 0.8057         | 0.8057         | 0.7740         | 0.7740         |

Table 2: For various values of $\beta, N, M$ the absolute errors corresponding to Problem 1.

| $(N, M)$ | $\beta = 1$ | $\beta = 0.9$ | $\beta = 0.8$ |
|----------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| (30, 6)  | $3.19 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.15 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.03 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| (60, 8)  | $5.21 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.56 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| (90, 10) | $4.25 \times 10^{-8}$ | $4.70 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| (120, 12)| $6.69 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.90 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| (150, 14)| $7.99 \times 10^{-12}$ | $6.96 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| (180, 16)| $1.13 \times 10^{-13}$ | $6.41 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| (210, 18)| $2.68 \times 10^{-15}$ | $2.97 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| (240, 20)| $2.55 \times 10^{-15}$ | $5.45 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{-2}$ |

Figure 1: In (a), the error function for quadrature nodes $N$ and $\beta = 1$ corresponding to Problem 1 is shown. In (b), the absolute error is shown for $\beta = 1$; a very high accuracy is evident.
Figure 2: In (a), the absolute error versus error estimate corresponding to Problem 1 for $\beta = 1$ is shown. From the figure, we see that the observed error agrees with the error estimate. In (b), the plots of approximate solutions for various $\beta$ are shown.

Table 3: The approximate solutions for various values of $\beta$ using the two numerical schemes corresponding to Problem 2.

| $t$ | CIM $\beta = 1$ | SM $\beta = 1$ | CIM $\beta = 0.9$ | SM $\beta = 0.9$ | CIM $\beta = 0.8$ | SM $\beta = 0.8$ | CIM $\beta = 0.7$ | SM $\beta = 0.7$ |
|-----|-----------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 0.1 | -0.0999         | -0.0999        | -0.2177          | -0.2177        | -0.3360         | -0.3360        | -0.4535         | -0.4535        |
| 0.2 | -0.1998         | -0.1998        | -0.3194          | -0.3194        | -0.4362         | -0.4362        | -0.5486         | -0.5486        |
| 0.3 | -0.2993         | -0.2993        | -0.4149          | -0.4149        | -0.5251         | -0.5251        | -0.6279         | -0.6279        |
| 0.4 | -0.3978         | -0.3978        | -0.5056          | -0.5056        | -0.6056         | -0.6056        | -0.6961         | -0.6961        |
| 0.5 | -0.4947         | -0.4947        | -0.5914          | -0.5914        | -0.6785         | -0.6785        | -0.7547         | -0.7547        |
| 0.6 | -0.5891         | -0.5891        | -0.6718          | -0.6718        | -0.7435         | -0.7435        | -0.8034         | -0.8034        |
| 0.7 | -0.6799         | -0.6799        | -0.7457          | -0.7457        | -0.7997         | -0.7997        | -0.8416         | -0.8416        |
| 0.8 | -0.7658         | -0.7658        | -0.8119          | -0.8119        | -0.8459         | -0.8459        | -0.8680         | -0.8680        |
| 0.9 | -0.8453         | -0.8453        | -0.8690          | -0.8690        | -0.8808         | -0.8808        | -0.8812         | -0.8812        |
| 1   | -0.9166         | -0.9166        | -0.9153          | -0.9153        | -0.9025         | -0.9025        | -0.8796         | -0.8796        |

Figure 3: In (a), the graphs of numerical solutions for different values of $\beta$ corresponding to Problem 2 are shown. In (b), the absolute error vs. error estimates for $\beta = 1$ are shown.
Figure 4: In (a), absolute error versus $t$ for $\beta = 1$ corresponding to Problem 2 is shown. In (b), the plot of error versus $N$ for $\beta = 1$ is shown.

Table 4: The approximate solutions for different values of $\beta$ using the two numerical schemes $N = 240, M = 22$, corresponding to Problem 3.

| $t$ | $\beta = 1$ | $\beta = 0.9$ | $\beta = 0.8$ | $\beta = 0.7$ |
|-----|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|     | CIM       | SM          | CIM       | SM          | CIM       | SM          | CIM       | SM          |
| 0.1 | 1.1052    | 1.1054      | 1.2491    | 1.2501      | 1.4114    | 1.4113      | 1.5894    | 1.5917      |
| 0.2 | 1.2214    | 1.2223      | 1.3893    | 1.3896      | 1.5744    | 1.5758      | 1.7719    | 1.7730      |
| 0.3 | 1.3499    | 1.3506      | 1.5383    | 1.5398      | 1.7426    | 1.7441      | 1.9558    | 1.9571      |
| 0.4 | 1.4918    | 1.4929      | 1.6994    | 1.6996      | 1.9213    | 1.9226      | 2.1492    | 2.1496      |
| 0.5 | 1.6487    | 1.6494      | 1.8749    | 1.8751      | 2.1139    | 2.1157      | 2.3560    | 2.3575      |
| 0.6 | 1.8221    | 1.8223      | 2.0667    | 2.0678      | 2.3229    | 2.3245      | 2.5795    | 2.5795      |
| 0.7 | 2.0138    | 2.0145      | 2.2771    | 2.2781      | 2.5508    | 2.5520      | 2.8226    | 2.8241      |
| 0.8 | 2.2255    | 2.2261      | 2.5082    | 2.5086      | 2.8003    | 2.8014      | 3.0880    | 3.0888      |
| 0.9 | 2.4596    | 2.4599      | 2.7623    | 2.7633      | 3.0738    | 3.0753      | 3.3786    | 3.3770      |
| 1   | 2.7183    | 2.7183      | 3.0422    | 3.0420      | 3.3742    | 3.3743      | 3.6974    | 3.6982      |

Figure 5: In (a), the numerical solutions for various values of $\beta$ corresponding to Problem 3 are shown. In (b), absolute error vs. error estimates for $\beta = 1$ are shown.
The parameter used in our numerical computation is CIM. Here, we consider the fractional linear Volterra integrodifferential equation as follows:

\[ \frac{D_0^\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}\zeta(t) = 1 - \int_0^t \zeta(\tau) d\tau, \quad t, \beta \in [0, 1]. \] (23)

5. Results and Discussion

In order to check the accuracy and efficiency of the two numerical schemes. We consider linear and nonlinear systems of fractional-order VIDEs. In our numerical computations, the accuracy is achieved using the optimal parameters. The optimal values of the parameters utilized for CIM in our work are \( \theta = 0.1, \tau = t_0/\tau, \eta = 0.15410, \bar{\tau} = 2\pi r, r = 0.13870, [t_0, T] = [0,5.5], \theta = 2.0. \) The MATLAB command \( y = -N : k : N \) is used to generate the quadrature nodes for CIM. The parameters used in our numerical computation for the Stehfest method is \( M. \)

5.1. Problem 1

Here, we consider the fractional linear Volterra integrodifferential equation [31]:

\[ \frac{D_0^\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\beta)}\zeta(t) = 1 - \int_0^t \zeta(\tau) d\tau, \quad t, \beta \in [0, 1]. \]

The approximate solutions for this problem are \( \zeta(t) = \sin(t) \). In Table 1, the approximate solutions of \( \zeta(t) = \sin(t) \) for various values of \( \beta \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \) using the two proposed methods are presented, and in Table 2, the absolute errors are shown.

The plot of error function for various quadrature nodes \( N \) is
5.3. Problem 3. Here, we consider the fractional nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equation [31]:

\[ 0^\beta ABC D^\beta_t \zeta(t) = -1 + \int_0^t \zeta^2(r) dr, t, \beta \in [0, 1]. \]  

(24)

The problem has exact solution \( \zeta(t) = \left( (1/28)t^4 - t \right) \left( (1/12)t^3 + 1 \right)^{-1} \). The Stehfest parameter used is \( M = 18 \). The numerical results for various values of \( \beta \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \) are presented in Table 3. The graphs of numerical solutions for various values of \( \beta \) are shown in Figure 3(a), and the graph of approximate solutions for \( \beta = 1 \) is shown in Figure 4(a), and the error function for various values of \( \beta \) is shown in Figure 4(b). A similar performance is observed as was observed for Problem 1.

5.4. Problem 4. Here, we consider the fractional system of nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equations [31]:

\[ 0^\beta ABC \frac{D^\beta_t \zeta_1(t)}{D^\beta_t \zeta_2(t)} = 1 + t^3 + t^4 - \int_0^t t^2 (\zeta_1(r) + \zeta_2(r)) dr, t, \beta \in [0, 1], \]

\[ D^\beta_t \zeta_2(t) = 1 - \frac{t^3}{2} - \frac{t^4}{3} + \int_0^t t \zeta_1(r) \zeta_2(r) dr, t, \beta \in [0, 1]. \] 

(26)

The exact solutions of this system are \( \zeta_1(t) = t \) and \( \zeta_2(t) = 1 + t \). The approximate solution of \( \zeta_1(t) \) for various values of \( \beta \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( M = 22 \) is using the two numerical schemes \( N = 240, M = 22 \), corresponding to Problem 3 presented in Table 5, and the approximate solution of \( \zeta_2(t) \) for various values of \( \beta \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( M = 20 \) is presented in Table 6. In Table 7, the errors obtained using the two proposed schemes are shown. For \( \beta = 1 \) and various nodes \( N \) for \( \zeta_1(t) \), the error function is shown in Figure 7(a), and for \( \zeta_2(t) \), the error function is shown in Figure 7(b). The plots of numerical solutions of \( \zeta_1(t) \) for different fractional-order \( \beta \) are displayed in Figure 8(a), and the plots of numerical solutions of \( \zeta_2(t) \) for various values of \( \beta \) are displayed in Figure 8(b).

5.5. Problem 5. Here, we consider the fractional system of nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equations [31]:

\[ 0^\beta ABC \frac{D^\beta_t \zeta_1(t)}{D^\beta_t \zeta_2(t)} = 1 - \frac{\zeta_1(t)}{2} + \int_0^t \left( ((t - r) \zeta_2(r) + \zeta_1(r) \zeta_2(r) dr, t, \tau, \beta \in [0, 1], \right. \]

\[ D^\beta_t \zeta_2(t) = 2t + \int_0^t \left( ((t - r) \zeta_1(r) - 1) dr, t, \tau, \beta \in [0, 1]. \right. \] 

(27)
The exact solutions are \( \zeta_1(t) = \sinh(t) \), and \( \zeta_2(t) = \cosh(t) \). The numerical solutions for various values of \( \beta \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \) are presented in Table 8.

| \( N \) | \( \zeta_1 \) | \( \zeta_2 \) |
|---|---|---|
| 30 | \( 3.18 \times 10^{-4} \) | \( 2.03 \times 10^{-2} \) |
| 60 | \( 5.21 \times 10^{-6} \) | \( 9.17 \times 10^{-5} \) |
| 90 | \( 4.25 \times 10^{-8} \) | \( 2.61 \times 10^{-6} \) |
| 120 | \( 6.69 \times 10^{-11} \) | \( 7.07 \times 10^{-8} \) |
| 150 | \( 7.99 \times 10^{-12} \) | \( 5.84 \times 10^{-10} \) |
| 180 | \( 1.13 \times 10^{-13} \) | \( 9.34 \times 10^{-12} \) |
| 210 | \( 4.21 \times 10^{-15} \) | \( 3.40 \times 10^{-13} \) |
| 240 | \( 1.99 \times 10^{-15} \) | \( 6.65 \times 10^{-15} \) |

The absolute errors for \( \beta = 1 \), and quadrature nodes \( N \) using the CIM are presented in Table 9. The plots of numerical solutions of \( \zeta_1(t) \) for different fractional-orders \( \beta \) are displayed in Figure 9(a), and the plots of numerical solutions of \( \zeta_2(t) \) for various values \( \beta \) are displayed in Figure 9(b). In Figure 10(a), the plots of error function of \( \zeta_1(t) \) for \( \beta = 1 \), and \( t \in [0, 1] \) are displayed, and in Figure 10(b), the plots of error function of \( \zeta_2(t) \) for \( \beta = 1 \), and \( t \in [0, 1] \) are displayed. The plots of error function of \( \zeta_1(t) \) for various values \( \beta \) and \( \beta = 1 \) and are displayed in Figure 11(a), and the plots of error function of \( \zeta_2(t) \) for quadrature nodes \( N \) and \( \beta = 1 \) are displayed in Figure 11(b).

### 6. Conclusion

In this work, we have successfully applied the Laplace transform to fractional VIDEs. The numerical inversion of Laplace transform is performed using the CIM and SM methods. In our numerical experiments, we considered linear, nonlinear, and system of fractional VIDEs with the
Figure 9: In (a), the numerical solutions corresponding to Problem 5 for fractional-order $\beta$ for $\zeta_1(t)$ are shown. In (b), the numerical solutions corresponding to Problem 5 for fractional-order $\beta$ for $\zeta_2(t)$ are shown.

Figure 10: In (a), for $\beta = 1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the error function of $\zeta_1(t)$ corresponding to Problem 5 is shown. In (b), for $\beta = 1$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, the error function of $\zeta_2(t)$ corresponding to Problem 5 is shown.

Figure 11: In (a), the plot shows various nodes $N$ along $\Gamma$ and $\beta = 1$ the error function of $\zeta_1(t)$ corresponding to Problem 5. In (b), the plot shows various nodes $N$ along $\Gamma$ and $\beta = 1$ the error function of $\zeta_2(t)$ corresponding to Problem 5.
ABC derivative. The obtained results led us to the conclusion that the two proposed methods are capable of solving such type of equations. However, it was observed that the CIM is more accurate and converges faster than SM. Hence, the two proposed numerical schemes are the best alternative methods for solving such type of equations.
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