Malignant Peritoneal Mesothelioma Presenting as Ascites of Unknown Origin
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Abstract
Malignant mesothelioma is a rare but highly aggressive and fatal tumour arising from the mesothelial cells, which is associated with an involvement of the peritoneum in 30% of cases. We report a 48-year-old man with malignant peritoneal mesothelioma who presented with ascites of unknown origin, and discussed the clinical presentation, investigation and management, as well as the diagnostic difficulties in managing this unusual and unfortunate case.
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1. Introduction
Malignant mesothelioma is a highly invasive and invariably fatal tumor arising from the mesothelial cells that form the serosal lining of the pleural space, pericardium, peritoneal cavity, and the tunica vaginalis [1]. Approximately 70% of malignant mesotheliomas are pleural in origin; with the remaining 30% are of peritoneal origin [2]. Both are related to asbestos exposure, with peritoneal mesotheliomas having a shorter latent period of about 20-30 years compared to 30-40 years in pleural mesotheliomas [3]. Here, we discuss a case of malignant peritoneal mesothelioma (MPM) and provide brief review of literature.

2. Case Report
A 48-year-old gentleman was admitted to our hospital with complaints of abdominal distension, anorexia and weight loss for one month and was cachexic on admission. He denied any episodes of nausea, vomiting or fever. Physical examination showed a distended abdomen with gross ascites and a right groin swelling. The rest of the examinations including rectal examination were normal and he was not jaundiced. His medical history included diabetes mellitus, dyslipidaemia and hypothyroidism, all being treated accordingly. Family history involved a sister currently dealing with breast cancer. Socially, he was an odd job worker, working daytime in the farm and nighttime as security guard. He lived in a wooden house with asbestos roofing for more than a year about 20 years ago. Biochemical markers for hepatic, cardiac and renal function were within normal limits, while serology for Hepatitis B & C was negative. Tumour marker carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen (CA) 19-9 were normal. Ultrasound and computed tomography (CT) scan confirmed gross ascites but the liver appeared unremarkable and no other cause for ascites could be identified (Figure 1). Analyses of aspirated ascites for acid-fast-bacilli and malignant cells were negative. Subsequent oesophagogastroduodenoscopy and colonoscopy were performed only showing antral erosion. Since symptoms persisted without a definitive diagnosis, a diagnostic laparoscopy was eventually undertaken. This revealed a diffuse multiple peritoneal and omental nodules. A total of 18.5 litre of fluid were drained and biopsies from peritoneum and omentum were taken. Histopathological and immunohistochemical investigation confirmed mesothelioma of the epithelioid type (Figure 2 & Figure 3). A diagnosis of diffuse MPM was made. Cytoreduction surgery was not performed as the disease was diffuse and advance, and hyperthermic intra-peritoneal chemotherapy was not available in our institution. Palliative systemic chemotherapy was administered. However, the patient succumbed to the disease within one month of starting chemotherapy.

3. Discussion
MPM is a tumor with substantial phenotypic variability and is classified according to the relative proportion of epithelial cells to spindle cells [4]. There are three major histological types which are the epithelioid, sarcomatoid, and mixed (biphasic) types [4]. Epithelioid mesothelioma is the most common. There are several immunohistochemical markers useful for diagnosing mesothelioma but are only accurate when used together as a panel, rather than individually. According to the consensus from the International Mesothelioma Interest Group, diagnosis of mesothelioma is made in 95% of the time when a panel of
histochemical markers with at least 80% sensitivity are chosen for testing [5]. Malignant MPM is characterized by positive staining for epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), calretinin, Wilms tumour 1 antigen (WT1), cytokeratin (CK) 5/6, antimesothelial cell antibody-1, and mesothelin [2]. As for our patient, EMA and Vimentin which were strongly positive were used to differentiate malignant peritoneal mesothelioma (MPM) from reactive mesothelial hyperplasia. In addition, positive mesothelial markers (Calretinin, CK 5/6, Hector Battiflora Mesothelial cell (HBME)-1) in combination with negative epithelial markers (Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), CK 20, Thyroid transcription factor (TTF)-1) were used to distinguish mesotheliomas from the metastatic carcinoma to the peritoneum such as colorectal adenocarcinoma.

Figure 1. Computed tomography (CT) scans of the abdomen and pelvis showing gross ascites without obvious pathology

Figure 2. Histopathology: Diffuse MPM-epithelioid type characterized by malignant mesothelial cells in papillary (white arrow) and nodular (black arrow) patterns, exhibiting epithelioid in shape having large round vesicular nuclei, prominent eosinophilic nucleoli and fairly abundance acidophylic cytoplasm

The presentation is often late as early nonspecific symptoms are often dismissed. A study performed at the Washington Cancer Institute, a leading center in treatment of peritoneal mesothelioma, showed that patients typically presented with nonspecific symptoms with the most frequently reported initial symptoms being either
abdominal pain (33%) or increasing abdominal girth (31%) [6]. Routine laboratory tests are often not useful in achieving the diagnosis. The role of computed tomography (CT) has been defined by Yan et al. who demonstrated that CT characterization and diagnosis of the MPM is possible and further concluded that most patients will have ascites and the predominant areas involved by tumor mass are the vesical or rectal uterine pouch and the greater omentum[7]. Cytologic analysis of ascites has a low diagnostic yield, due to high cytological diversity of tumor cells and small number of malignant cells that are present in the fluid [2,8]. Useful tumour markers implicated in the diagnosis and surveillance of peritoneal mesothelioma are carbohydrate antigen (CA) 125, CA 15-3, serum mesothelin-related protein (SMRP) and osteopontin. However, these are nonspecific biomarkers which could be present in other malignant diseases [2,8].

Figure 3. Positive reaction towards mesothelial marker Calretinin

The understanding of its unique tumor biology forms the rationale of treatment strategies designed to control disease progression in the peritoneum. The rarity of the tumor; late presentation of the disease; and the long latency between exposures and diagnosis have made it difficult for clinicians to establish a standard protocol. No standard treatment protocols could be outlined as a variety of modalities attempted either alone or in combination with others. Large studies comparing different therapeutic modalities do not exist in the literature as the disease is rare. Modalities included cytoreductive surgery, hyperthermic intraoperative perfusion of intraperitoneal chemotherapy (HIPEC), early postoperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy (EPIC), whole abdominal radiation and palliative chemotherapy have been reported. However, there is a trend towards aggressive cytoreductive surgery and perioperative intraperitoneal chemotherapy with studies reported a better overall survival (up to 7 years), progression-free survival and near complete palliation of symptomatic ascites in centers performing aggressive surgical debulking followed by hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy with cisplatin [9,10,11]. The rationale behind the hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy is to deliver high concentrations of cytotoxic agents and hyperthermia directly to the peritoneal tumor, limiting systemic exposure and toxicity [12]. Cisplatin has been chosen as drug of choice because of its minimal regional toxicity, good intraperitoneal pharmacokinetic profile and marked synergy with hyperthermia [10]. Patient selection is important as the prolonged survival must be weighted against the potential morbidity and mortality associated with aggressive cytoreductive surgery [13]. Systemic chemotherapy in recent years using a combination of cisplatin and permethrex (antimetabolite) have demonstrated an improved median survival of 12-14 month [14,15], compared to the older combination of cisplatin and gemcitabine with a median survival of 6-9 months [16]. However, the median survival of about 1 year is dismal and its role has been limited to palliation and should be considered as the standard of care for patients with unresectable malignant mesothelioma or for patients who are not fit for surgery. Data from Japan looking at the cisplatin based chemotherapy and antimetabolite showed a similar survival benefit [17]. The future of chemotherapy lies with targeted therapies which involve drugs that interfere with specific targeted molecules needed for carcinogenesis and tumor growth. The main advantages are disease-specific treatment with reduced toxicity. However, the results are non-promising with continued research and ongoing trial required [18].

The case illustrates the following points. First, peritoneal mesothelioma is a diagnostic challenge as clinical, radiological and routine laboratory tests are often non-specific. Second, diagnostic laparoscopy and biopsy should be considered as the diagnostic procedure of choice in patients presenting with ascites when laboratory, radiological and endoscopic investigations have proved uninformative.

4. Conclusions

Malignant mesothelioma although rare is not obscure in our country. Due to past building practices, many patients have prior asbestos exposure and the possibility of mesothelioma arising in the pleural space or peritoneal cavity is a likely possibility especially due to its long
latent period. Patients presenting with ascites of unknown origin should undergo diagnostic laparoscopy if all conventional investigations are inconclusive and mesothelioma should be excluded.
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