COMPREHENSIVE AND EXTENDED FORMS OF SUFFIXES IN UZBEK LANGUAGE
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Introduction

The famous scholar orientalist in the field of Turkic languages P.M. Melioransky in his work gave information about the "extended" forms, as well as the so-called "short" forms of the morphemic part of the Turkic languages. So the scientist believes that the words so’r – so’ra (to ask), qiz – qizi (to heat), bit – biti (to write), bur – bura (to remote) are “extended” forms that have arisen from “short” forms [4:59-64].

Materials and Methods

When it comes to semantic units, or rather affixoids, one should take into account the scientist of the 50s in the field of Germanic languages, M. D. Stepanov, who introduced the concept of "semi-affixes", "semi-prefixes" and "semi-suffixes". According to the scientist, during the construction of words, the second half of the element in the word plays an active role and partially or completely changes its meaning and practically performs the function of the suffix. An obvious example is the – xona (room, house; spell: khona) language in Uzbek. On account of this in various sources are some thoughts. For this reason, we consider an affixoid-xona, in various cases and how it changes its value and function.

Initially, the word xona (room, house) comes from Tajik, but in Uzbek it means a room, or a part of a house or place (in Tajik, this affixoid also functions as a part of a word) [5:31]. From the above, it should be noted that this affixoid is widely used in the Uzbek language, and is a suffix morpheme for meaning “a separate part of a house or room”.

Another property is that if you attach an affixoid-xona, then it can also give the values of various revenge, in particular:

1) The place where the objects stand: kitobxona (library), tovuqxona (hen house);
2) The place where a certain function is performed: ishxona (workplace), boshmaxona (printing house), to’yxona (banquet hall), ovqatxona (canteen);
3) It also creates new meanings and units of words: Issiqxona (greenhouse), ustaxona (workshop), pushshaxona (mosquito nets), bojxona (customs).

With the addition of this affixoid to the root of the word, one can observe a connection and at the same time various incompatibilities (or asymmetries).

- Some word-formation suffixes, joining the root, they give meanings close to it: o’txona is where firewood is stored (associated with wood).
- Remoteness with the meaning of the root, but harmonized with other meanings: dorixona—a specialized place where medicines are stored and sold (Pharmacy).
- Significant distance with the value of the root, and the formation of units with the new value: Oshxona. Initially, oshxona is a place where various dishes are cooked - soup, kebabs, samsa, tea, etc. In addition, this word has its meaning where people
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gather and have their conversations or drink tea, eat or have different services in the area of meals in different rooms.

Full distance from the meaning and the formation of new values: pashtaxona. Although it has a connection with mosquitoes, there are no mosquitoes in this place, a place where you can protect yourself from mosquitoes. But, in fact, it is a specialized thin fabric from mosquito protection (mosquito net).

We can also witness the affiliation of forms of affixes –chilik, -garchilik in various literatures in the Uzbek language, and they are referred to as allied affixes. Professor Sh. Rakhmatullaev argues that the allied affixes chilik, -garchilik are comparable to lexeme affixes -chi, -gar and -lik [6:121].

"Allied affixes are formed from simple affixes, and thus it is noticeable that they have two or more morphemes”.

Joint affixes –chie + face should be different from the allied affix –chilik. Often, one can observe a sequence of affixes, chi and –lic. However, they should be distinguished from allied affixes –chilik. It is not difficult to notice in a few examples. For example, they can be distinguished in the following words: hasharchilik, ovchilik, yetakchilik [All examples: 12]. In this case, each separate part of the word can be an independent part, that is, they are considered independent morphemes. Similar allied morphemes and their sequence, joining the main morpheme, contribute to the creation of new values. And thus morphemes join each other. A morpheme – chi is added to the main root, and then a morpheme –lik. In some cases, such words morpheme-person cannot join directly. For comparison: paxta + chi + lik, hashar + chi + lik –hashar + lik, ov + chi + lik –ov + lik, yetak + chi + lik –yetak + lik. Examples show that in unusual word formations there is a lack of meaning, and in some cases the formation of new meanings (Hasharlik). You can also notice that the morpheme –lik has similarity with the morpheme –li (Pakhtalik – Pakhtali).

In some cases, when words are created with the help of the allied affix -chilik, then in this case a different meaning is also formed: oshna + chilik, usta + chilik, xafa + chilik.

Based on the above, when the synonymy of affixes –chilik and –lik changes, then you can see a partial hue of the meaning of the words: bemalol + chilik - bemalol + lik, xursand + chilik - xursand + lik, ayyor + chilik - ayyor + lik. In this case noticeable weakening of values; banda + chilik– bandaliksedative value; yuzaki + chilik - yuzaki + lik, dallol + chilik - dallol + lik, ezma + chilik - ezma + lik, teng + chilik - teng + lik accuracy value; hunarmand + chilik–hunarmand + lik meaning of reduction; ezma + chilik –ezma + lik value of specification. Such subtleties are sufficiently noticeable in oral speech.

Affixes -gar, -chi, -lik tend to properties as if like allied affixes, since they can follow in sequence. Allied affixes in terms of diachronicity are formed from simple affixes. The words zargarchilik, misgarchilik were originally zargar and misgar, but later the affix –chi joined them, forming new words, and then the affix –lik joined, which made it possible to construct new words at the end. At present, the affix –chi (zargarchinkingdo'koni — jewelry workshop), closely joining the affix –lik, forms a stable affix –chilik in the Uzbek language. This indicates that with the development of language and civilization, allied affixes become the most used in the modern Uzbek language.

“…”garchilik is the formation of three affixes, but in the Uzbek language they are found as well as a single whole. When a -garchilik joins the base (odam, yong'in), then in this case it is a simple auxiliary morpheme” [8:42].

According to the works of Professor T. Mirzakulov, the affix –garchilik cannot easily join the affix -chilik. The professor came to this conclusion after comparing it with the Tajik suffix “-gariy”, which creates abstract nouns (in the Tajik language: odangariy, namgariy), also compare in the Uzbek language (odam + chilik, nam + chilik). Proceeding from this, it should be emphasized that the suffix -gar (from -gariy) gives a value about the similarity of something, if this suffix is added to the suffix-chilik: odangarchilik, namgarchilik; The suffix-garchilik gives in the Uzbek language about the similarity, if this suffix is added to the base of the word. This allied affix becoming a common need, then gave other possibilities in the formation of new words.

Allied affix –garchilik, with a synonym for affixes –chilik and –lik, can give different meanings and meanings in the Uzbek language. These subtleties of meanings or meanings open up new perspectives for the Uzbek language. As an example can serve the words ozodagarchilik from ozodachilik; ozodachilik from ozodalik.

Analysis of the above words:
1. Ozodagarchilik – means that all things are done carefully.
2. Ozodachilik – means that all executable deeds are executed carefully.
3. Ozodalik – means that all things are done carefully.

It can be seen that the first word has a more extensive semantic field than the second and third. In the first example, underlining and stress are stronger than in the second and third. In the second word, the stress is slightly weaker than in the first word, and there is also a softening of the meaning. In the third word, simplicity and lack of emphasis are evident. In this case, it indicates that the alternate contiguity of the suffixes –lik, –chilik and –garchilik in the Uzbek language gives a vertical tint to the meaning.
The suffix -moqlik is an extended form, as the verb -moq means about action, and the suffix -lik in the modern Uzbek language is an active educational part.

In the modern Uzbek language, for expressing desires in the verb method and its assertions, the suffix is used in the form -chi. So, it is obvious that the form -moqchi has its own evolution and is an extended form. Over time, to express desires in the verb form, the suffix-chi became widely used, and then the form of the suffix -moq evolved to express a strong desire to perform any actions. It should be emphasized that the suffix -moq was synthesized with the suffix-chi. These suffix moq today can also be used as a value for determining the time that came from the reduction of the analytical formant -makda.

The extended form of the suffix -moqlik from which the -moq originated also has its own history. Historical appearance is associated with the functionality of the most similar forms, place of use, as well as the causes of differences.

In modern Uzbek language, the form -(i)sh is often used to express the activity of something. However, this form differs from the forms that are formed from the affixes -moq and -uv.

According to Academician A.P. Khodzhiev, the form of action that is formed with the suffix -(i)sh can also be used in conjunction with the affix -lik: borishlik, o‘qishlik. The forms “chopish” and “kelish” have an unambiguous meaning. However, the affix -(i)sh can form unambiguous values independently. In this case there is no need to use an affix -lik, this phenomenon is not considered normal for the literary Uzbek language. The following can serve as an example: Kayovigaxatytodirib, Gulchiroydanko‘z-qolqoqabo‘libterishlikniso‘radi. (J.Abdullaikhov) – He wrote a letter to the Bridegroom and asked for Gulchiray to stay close to him. Or U qayerdaligini, qandayqoichishliginibilmasa ham, erta-yukechshuningpayidabo‘ldi. (M.Ismaili) – He did not know where he was, nor how he would run away, but he want it every day. (M.Ismoilov).

In the ordinary language of the Uzbek language, when the form of affix is used -(i)shlik, then there is a loss of the consonant letter [k]: borishli-kelishlik.

In the modern literary Uzbek language, the suffix -gan, which performs the function of an adjective, means not only meaning (o‘qigan bola – clever boy), but also performs the function of field-functions, that is, a model of time. Thus, we can conclude that this form is polyfunctional. This suffix is not only combined with verbs, but is also combined with nouns with the suffixes of -lik: borganlik, o‘qiganlik, aytganlik.

Describing the possibilities of graduation -lik, academician A.P.Hodzhiev also emphasizes its importance: word formation, which is connected with the earth: “jar + lik, do‘ng + lik, qiya + lik”. Similar words can also be used without an affix -lik: jar, do‘ng. If the affix -lik is used, then the words are more accurate [13:257]. According to Professor A.G. Gulyamov, the affix -lik also has the ability to transform words. In these cases, the affix does not perform a word-forming function. For example: Isroil juda odobli yigit (Israel is very polite guy) – Isroilning juda odobli yigitligini bilaman. (I know that Israel is very polite guy). U kechakelgan (He came yesterday). – Uning kechakelganliginibilaman (I know that he came yesterday).

The verb figurative forms -moq, -(i)sh and -gan, -yotgan, express the meanings of the substantive position, and the adjectives -lik give meanings of analogy and form the substantive form. Although the suffix is a distance from word formation, however, this suffix provides syntactic positions, which reinforces the significance of the noun. In this case, the suffix-lik performs the function of syntactic, and occupies a syntactic unit. Thus, the suffix-lik performs a morphological and syntactic function, and is also fully considered a unit of syntaxes. Based on the foregoing, the function of word formation in grammar proceeds from the wide use of units, as well as from the general meaning. The suffix-lik in this case plays the role of a semi-functional unit.

The following thoughts emerge about the history of the development of the allied affix -(i)bon: the second part is connected with the strengthening of the case, which is connected with the performance by some means, and also the function of approval has weakened, which, in turn, lost its significance function. Forms that become less significant, they fall out at the end of the word (the absence or weakening of accents), and similar cases are observed also in the Turkic and in other languages of the world [7:445].

Compare the suffix-in, where it has a similar function: -mayin (ko‘rmayin: ko‘rmayinbosdimtkonni...), -layin (tirkilayin), -dayin (shundayin), -(a)ynin (kelayin).

The first component -(a) becomes the most active, and this led to a “separation of morphology”, where the form -(a)in became less of a strong unit, and the main part of the form -(a)in became a facultative form that reinforced the meaning. In the history of linguistics, the reduction of holistic forms contributed to the fact that they became more mobile and convenient. This can be seen in the suffix-(a)in, which is divided into affix and unit.

The suffix-sin in ancient manuscripts could be used both in the third person and in the plural, since this suffix was neutral to the numeral. For this reason, the suffix-lar served a facultative function in the plural: keltursunlar “let them bring” (keltur-bring) [3:663].

The suffix-lar in the old Uzbek language is also used and performs a similar function. So, the
In modern Uzbek, the suffix-\textit{nik}, which has the meaning of affiliation, originated from the suffix-\textit{ning}, which the affix -\textit{ki} also joined to. In this process, the predictability is realized, since the words formed from nouns initially instead of the predicate were executed by the union affix preactive, that is, the words had the following structure: Noun + preactive suffix + numeral affix. This phenomenon is also observed in the old Uzbek language. In the old Uzbek language, the preactive affixes were performed by the verbs: \textit{tur} (\textit{turnmoq}), \textit{er} (\textit{ermoq}) [1:34]. This phenomenon also applies to pronouns. The affix of the possessive case had the value of having something, and served as the case: 
\textit{Bu tayaqMusaningturur.} – “That stick is Musa’s”

\textit{Bu qamug' kimningturur?} – “Whose is that all?”
\textit{Aydi: Bu o'g'lanmingturur.} – “Said: It is boy’s (stick)”
\textit{O’g’lankimgningturur?} – “Whose child is that boy?”
\textit{Aydi: Ibrahimmungur.} – “Said: Ibrahim’s (child)”

The suffix-\textit{ning} matters the possession of something or the belonging of something to someone, and in antiquity with the addition of an affix, -\textit{ki} gave an extensive meaning: -\textit{ning} + \textit{kiturur} > -\textit{nikiturur} > -\textit{nikidur} > -\textit{nikidir} > -\textit{niki: biznik} (“ours”), -\textit{siznik} (“yours”), \textit{non tandirniki} (“The bread is earthen sote’s”), -\textit{kitobdo'stimnik} (“The book belongs to my friends”).

\textit{Mo'minqo' shchinkikiqishloqchekekkasidaeddi} (“Mumin’s (house) situated in outskirts of village”).

The suffix-\textit{nik} is derived from the evolution -\textit{nikiturur} + suffix of the numeral and the person; and then the conjunction-\textit{turur} underwent a reduction, and a model was formed, consisting of “-\textit{nik} + affix of a numeral or person”.

In the history of the grammar of the old Uzbek language is given information about the suffix-\textit{nik}, which is used to indicate abstract estates. An abstract estate is formed with the suffixes -\textit{nik} or -\textit{ningki} (-\textit{ingki}), which was very rarely used in the old Uzbek language. The use of the aforementioned affixes can be found in some legacies of the 15th century. Even so, they joined the personal pronoun [1:33].

The suffix-\textit{siz} was also formed by the means of language development, which forms the second person in the modern Uzbek language: 
\textit{borsizlar} – “you will go (plural)”, \textit{o'qisizlar} – “you will read (plural)”.

It should be noted that the suffix-\textit{siz} historically arose from the end of the pronoun -\textit{si} and the suffix-\textit{z}, which had the meaning of the plural, which then became the single pronoun – \textit{si} (“you”) and allowed to take place in the paradigm of a personal pronoun. Then the affix-\textit{siz} eventually lost the plural and acquired the meaning of a respectful character. But
then to the end of siz joined the -lar, which allowed for the formation of a new suffix -sizlar.

Within the formation of an asymmetric paradigm, as well as the formation of a paradigm, analogies in terms of functionality and meaning can contribute to harmonious symmetry. In it, of course, the suffix-lar plays an important role. This can be represented as follows: Senborasan (singular) – Sizborasiz (plural). Senborasan (singular) – sizlarborasizlar (plural)

In these examples, there is an asymmetric contradiction, rather than modal, and at the same time, anbalance is observed:

Senborasan – Sizborasiz; Senborasan – Sizborasiz.

In oral speech, the suffix-izlar provides comfort, where the formant –weakens and forms the -silar form: silar (sizlar) borasilar (borasizlar) become part of the morphology in the Uzbek language.

If the suffix-ag’on is used as modern adjective forms, then in Kazakh, Yakut, Chuvash, and language, anbal anbcontradiction, rather than modal, and at the same time, anbalance is observed:

Sizborasiz; Senborasan – Sizborasiz.

In these examples, there is an asymmetric contradiction, rather than modal, and at the same time, anbalance is observed:

Senborasan – Sizborasiz; Senborasan – Sizborasiz.

Thus, we can conclude that the suffix-a to-ag’on was the suffix that gave a reusable value. Then it was transformed to express repeated actions: bur (“remote”+ “once”), bura (+“many times”); so’r (“ask” + “once”), so’ra (+“many times”). In this case, the element -a has become embedded in the affix -ag’on (here word formation has become shattered) and the words formed with -ag’on have become part of the adjectives. The element -a has largely lost its significance in the -ag’on form, and at the suffix-g’on it has a unit of asemantics, that is, sound communication, however it is difficult to call it that. Since -ag’on gives the meaning of much used (suzag’on, kulag’on, chopag’on...), and the suffix-a is natural for the above affix and forms the suffix-ag’on. Both of these forms were form-building, which formed the properties of some kind of action and were adjectives of a noun nature, and also contributed to the formation of asymmetry in word formation and satisfied the need for creating diachronic processes.

**Conclusion**

As a conclusion, it should be noted allied affixes, which are closely related and are semantic-integral. In “extended forms”, such integrity is weaker, even the reinforcing component in them can become an element without stress. In some extended forms, the second component is confronted with the reduction of semantics, which is clearly visible in the form of -ibon. The function of these forms tends to decrease relative to the beginning of the end of the word.

In Uzbek, there are no grounds for evaluating the optional element of “extended forms”, such as the assemblant and interfix. Because, firstly, it is part of a particular form, and secondly, it has an expressive meaning (gain); and some play the role of grammatical means (for example, -lik from “-ganlik”).

---
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