Identification of an evolutionarily conserved domain in Neurod1 favouring enteroendocrine versus goblet cell fate
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Abstract

ARP/ASCL transcription factors are key determinants of cell fate specification in a wide variety of tissues, coordinating the acquisition of generic cell fates and of specific subtype identities. How these factors, recognizing highly similar DNA motifs, display specific activities, is not yet fully understood. To address this issue, we overexpressed different ARP/ASCL factors in zebrafish ascl1a-/- mutant embryos to determine which ones are able to rescue the intestinal secretory lineage. We found that Ascl1a/b, Atoh1a/b and Neurod1 factors are all able to trigger the first step of the secretory regulatory cascade but distinct secretory cells are induced by these factors. Indeed, Neurod1 rescues the enteroendocrine lineage while Ascl1a/b and Atoh1a/b rescue the goblet cells. Gain-of-function experiments with Ascl1a/Neurod1 chimeric proteins revealed that the functional divergence is encoded by a 19-aa ultra-conserved element (UCE), present in all Neurod members but absent in the other ARP/ASCL proteins. Importantly, inserting the UCE domain into the Ascl1a protein reverses the rescuing capacity of this Ascl1a chimeric protein that cannot rescue the goblet cells anymore but can efficiently rescue the enteroendocrine cells. This novel domain acts indeed as a goblet cell fate repressor that inhibits gfi1aa expression, known to be important for goblet cell differentiation. Deleting the UCE domain of the endogenous Neurod1 protein leads to an increase in the number of goblet cells concomitant with a reduction of the enteroendocrine cells, phenotype also observed in the neurod1 null mutant. This highlights the crucial function of the UCE domain for NeuroD1 activity in the intestine. As Gfi1 acts as a binary cell fate switch in several tissues where Neurod1 is also expressed, we can envision a similar role of the UCE in other tissues, allowing Neurod1 to repress Gfi1 to influence the balance between cell fates.
It is not yet clear how highly related factors like the ARP/Ascl factors display specific activities even though they recognize the same consensus DNA motif. This specificity could be provided by their cellular environment or by intrinsic properties of the factors themselves. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we have expressed several ARP/Ascl factors in the ascl1a-/- mutant to determine which ones are able to rescue the intestinal secretory defects. We found that Ascl1a/b and Atoh1a/b are able to rescue the goblet cells while Neurod1 rescues the enteroendocrine lineage. Furthermore, we show that the specific Neurod1 activity is conferred by the presence of a 19-aa ultra-conserved element (UCE), present in all vertebrate Neurod members but absent in all the other ARP/ASCL proteins. This UCE domain, so far uncharacterized, acts as a goblet cell fate repressor and inhibits gfi1aa expression, known to be important for goblet cell differentiation. Inserting the UCE into Ascl1a protein reverses the rescuing capacity of this chimeric protein that cannot rescue the goblet cells anymore but can efficiently rescue the enteroendocrine cells. This study therefore highlights an unique intrinsic property of Neurod1 allowing it to repress Gfi1 to influence the balance between cell fates. As Gfi1 acts as a binary cell fate switch in several tissues where Neurod1 is also expressed, we can envision a similar role of the UCE in other tissues, allowing Neurod1 to repress Gfi1 to influence the balance between cell fates.

Author summary

It is not yet clear how highly related factors like the ARP/Ascl factors display specific activities even though they recognize the same consensus DNA motif. This specificity could be provided by their cellular environment or by intrinsic properties of the factors themselves. To distinguish between these two possibilities, we have expressed several ARP/Ascl factors in the ascl1a-/- mutant to determine which ones are able to rescue the intestinal secretory defects. We found that Ascl1a/b and Atoh1a/b are able to rescue the goblet cells while Neurod1 rescues the enteroendocrine lineage. Furthermore, we show that the specific Neurod1 activity is conferred by the presence of a 19-aa ultra-conserved element (UCE), present in all vertebrate Neurod members but absent in all the other ARP/ASCL proteins. This UCE domain, so far uncharacterized, acts as a goblet cell fate repressor and inhibits gfi1aa expression, known to be important for goblet cell differentiation. Inserting the UCE into Ascl1a protein reverses the rescuing capacity of this chimeric protein that cannot rescue the goblet cells anymore but can efficiently rescue the enteroendocrine cells. This study therefore highlights an unique intrinsic property of Neurod1 allowing it to repress Gfi1 to influence the balance between cell fates. As Gfi1 acts as a binary cell fate switch in several tissues where Neurod1 is also expressed, we can envision a similar role of the UCE in other tissues, allowing Neurod1 to repress Gfi1 to influence the balance between cell fates.

Introduction

ARP/ASCL factors are key determinants of cell fate specification in a wide variety of tissues. Their role as cell fate determinants has been largely demonstrated in the developing nervous system where these factors are necessary and sufficient to confer a neural fate to progenitor cells [1]. These basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) factors, named proneural factors, are members of the Achaete scute-like (ASCL) family or of the atonal related proteins (ARP) family, this latter family being further subdivided into Atonal (Atoh), Neurogenin (Neurog) and Neurod subfamilies. The proneural factors share functional properties as they coordinate the acquisition of generic neuronal fates [2]. However they also display specific functions; for example, ASCL1 is implicated in the generation and specification of GABAergic interneurons [3] while NEUROG2 is required for the generation of glutamatergic neurons in many regions of the central nervous system [2].

These proneural factors also act as cell fate determinants in the digestive system. For example, we and others have previously shown that Ascl1a is the cell fate determinant of the secretory lineage in zebrafish. Indeed, the ascl1a-/- mutant displays a complete loss of all secretory cells (i.e. the goblet and the enteroendocrine cells (EECs), the paneth cells being not found in zebrafish) [4,5]. Ascl1a is at the top of the secretory regulatory cascade as its knock-out leads to the loss of expression of all transcription factors known to be involved in secretory cell differentiation [5]. For example, this is the case for the transcription factor sox4b, detected in the gut nearly at the same time as ascl1a and co-expressed with ascl1a in all secretory progenitors. This is also true for neurod1 which is expressed from 52 hpf onwards in all endocrine precursors. Intriguingly, and the role of cell fate determinant for the secretory lineage is played by another ARP/ASCL factors in the murine intestine, namely ATOH1 [6]. A similar evolutionary swap between ARP/ASCL factors is also observed in the pancreas where the cell fate determinant of the endocrine lineage is NEUROG3 in the murine pancreas [7] while this role is fulfilled by Ascl1b and Neurod1 in zebrafish [8]. Even within the same species, the identity of
the cell fate determinant can vary as for example, within the murine digestive system, ASCL1 is the determinant of the EEC lineage in the stomach [9] but is not expressed in the intestine [9,10] where this role is performed by NEUROG3 [11]. All these examples suggest that these ARP/ASCL factors share functional properties and are capable of fulfilling similar roles in different organs and species. These common properties could be explained by their binding to very similar E-box sequences via their highly related basic-helix-loop-helix DNA binding domain. Indeed comprehensive determination of protein-DNA specificities performed by Protein Binding Microarrays [12] revealed that C. elegans ARP/ASCL orthologues bind largely overlapping E-box motifs in vitro [13].

In this study, we addressed the question whether these ARP/ASCL factors share functional capacities and therefore would be interchangeable. We notably determined whether Atoh1 could replace Ascl1a as inducer of the secretory lineage in the zebrafish intestine. To that end, we induced Atoh1a or Atoh1b expression in the intestine of the ascl1a-/− embryos using HSP70 expression vectors and determined whether these factors could rescue the secretory defects observed in the mutant. We found that Atoh1a/b like Ascl1a/b are able to initiate the secretory cascade and that this property is also shared by Neurod1. However distinct secretory cells are induced by these factors as Ascl1a/b and Atoh1a/b rescue only the goblet cells while Neurod1 rescues exclusively the EEC lineage. By generating chimeric Ascl1a/Neurod1 proteins, we delineated the domain endowing Neurod1 with the ability to induce EECs. This domain, present in all vertebrate Neurod factors, is required for repressing the gfi1aa gene, thereby favouring EEC versus goblet cell fate. Deletion of this domain in the endogenous neurod1 gene by CRISPR/Cas9 editing underlined its importance in the secretory cell fate specification.

**Results**

**Atoh1 and Neurod1, like Ascl1, are able to initiate the intestinal secretory lineage in the ascl1a-/− larvae**

As Ascl1a is the cell fate determinant of the secretory cells in zebrafish and Atoh1 in mouse [5,6], we determined whether these two factors have the same biological activity and can be swapped. We therefore tested whether the zebrafish orthologues Atoh1a and Atoh1b could rescue the secretory defects of the ascl1a-/− mutant by performing gain-of-function (GOF) experiments. As a prerequisite, we verified whether inducing Ascl1a itself in ascl1a-/− larvae could rescue the expression of sox4b, known to be directly downstream of Ascl1a in the secretory regulatory cascade [5]. To that end, we generated a transgene Tg(hsp70l:eGFP-2A-ascl1a), where the heat-shock inducible promoter hsp70l controls the expression of a bicistronic transcript, eGFP-2A-Ascl1a. To mimic endogenous ascl1a gene expression that appears around 36 hpf in the gut [5], we performed two successive heat shocks at 36 and 46 hpf that should maintain transgene expression for at least 20 hours. This leads to the full rescue of sox4b expression at 55 hpf in all ascl1a-/− mutant larvae (Fig 1C, n = 7). The same results were obtained when inducing the paralog ascl1b (S1B Fig). Similarly, full rescue of sox4b expression was also observed with Atoh1b or Atoh1a using Tg(hsp70l:atoh1b-Myc) [14] and Tg(hsp70l:atoh1a) [15] (Fig 1D, n = 15 and S1C Fig), indicating that Atoh1a and Atoh1b are both able to initiate the secretory transcriptional cascade like Ascl1a. To determine whether this property could be extended to the third group of the ARP/ASCL family, we constructed the transgene Tg(hsp70l:eGFP-2A-neurod1) and found that Neurod1 is also able to fully rescue sox4b expression in all ascl1a-/− larvae (Fig 1E, n = 16). In conclusion, these data indicate that Atoh1a/b, Ascl1b and Neurod1 share with Ascl1a the capacity to initiate the intestinal secretory cascade, highlighting common biological activities between these factors.
Fig 1. Rescue of ascl1a-/- by ARP/Ascl factors. Upper part: scheme of the crossings and timing of the heat shocks (HS). Lower part: A-E: FISH performed at 55 hpf with a sox4b probe on ascl1a+/+ or control sibling embryos heat-shocked at 36 and 46 hpf F-J: FISH performed at 96 hpf with the agr2 probe revealed in green and a mix of hormone probes (ghrelin (ghrl), peptide YYb (pyyb), glucagon-a (gcga) and somatostatin-2 (sst2)) revealed in red on ascl1a-/- or control sibling embryos heat-shocked at 36, 46 and 56 hpf. The transgenic line used is indicated on the left part of the figure as well as the genotype of the larvae; the ascl1a-/- larvae were identified by the loss of the pituitary prl expression (not shown). The pancreas is encircled while the location of gut, visualised with a DAPI staining (not shown), is delimited by dashed lines. K: Quantification of the number of ghrl+/pyyb+/gcga+/sst2+ EEC detected in conditions F to J counted under a fluorescent microscope. All views are ventral with the anterior part to the left and represent confocal projection images. Scale bar: 100 μm.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010109.g001
Neurod1 is able to rescue the EEC lineage of ascl1a-/ larvae while Atoh1b and Ascl1a rescue the goblet cell fate

The next step was to determine whether the rescued sox4b+ secretory progenitor cells could pursue their differentiation process and give rise to all types of secretory cells (i.e. goblet and EECs). For that purpose, we performed 3 successive heat shocks at 36, 46 and 56 hpf as we determined that it was the optimal rescue conditions. We checked the expression at 96 hpf of the goblet marker agr2 and of several enteroendocrine hormones. These latter were monitored by FISH using a mix of hormone probes (ghrelin (ghrl), glucagon-a (gcca), peptide YY (pyyb), and somatostatin-2 (sst2)). Surprisingly, Ascl1a and Atoh1b rescued the formation of numerous goblet cells and of only a very few EECs (Fig 1H–1I; quantification for EE on 1K) and the same results were obtained with their paralogs Ascl1b and Atoh1a (S1D–S1I Fig). In contrast, Neurod1 rescued exclusively the EEC lineage (Fig 1J) at a level similar to wild-type larvae (Fig 1F and 1K). By testing the hormone probes separately, we observed that Neurod1 is able to rescue all tested enteroendocrine hormones, i.e. adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide 1 (adcyap1a), gcca, insulin-like peptide 5a (insl5a) and pyyb (S2 Fig). To determine why Ascl1a and Atoh1b fail to restore EECs in ascl1a-/ mutants, we assessed the expression of neurod1, one of the earliest transcription factors expressed in all endocrine progenitors. We found that heat-shock induced expression of ascl1a and atoh1b could not rescue the expression of neurod1 (S3C and S3D Fig). In contrast, heat-shock induced expression of neurod1 triggered the expression of the endogenous neurod1 gene (S3E Fig). This was done using a probe localised in the 3′UTR of neurod1 that does not recognize the Tg(hsp70l:eGFP-2A-neurod1) transgene. This suggests that Neurod1 is essential for EEC generation and that the incapacity of Ascl1a or Atoh1b to induce neurod1 prevent them to rescue the EEC lineage.

The fact that Ascl1a is not able to rescue the EECs in ascl1a-/ mutants is at first sight surprising. This is most likely due to the HSP70 system which leads to an ubiquitous expression of the ascl1a transgene while the endogenous ascl1a gene is expressed in scattered cells within the intestinal epithelium. This probably disturbs signalling pathways, such as the Notch signalling pathway, important for an optimal differentiation of these cells (see discussion). Nevertheless, inducing these three ARP/Ascl factors in the same context highlights different intrinsic properties of Neurod1 compared to Ascl1a and Atoh1: Neurod1 GOF rescue the EEC lineage in ascl1a-/ mutants while Ascl1a/b and Atoh1a/b GOF rescue the goblet cells.

Neurod1 contains an evolutionary conserved domain that represses goblet cell fate

To identify the domain(s) of Neurod1 conferring its ability to efficiently rescue the EECs, we swapped different functional domains between Neurod1 and Ascl1a and tested the ability of the chimeric proteins to rescue secretory lineages in the ascl1a-/ mutant. Fig 2 displays the structure of the different chimeric proteins (Tg1 to Tg8) with a summary of their biological activities as shown in detail below. Replacing the N-terminal domain containing the basic domain (b) or the bHLH of Neurod1 by the corresponding region of Ascl1a (constructs Tg3: bA–HLH-CtermND and Tg4: bHLHA/CtermND) results in proteins with rescuing capacities equivalent to those of the wild-type Neurod1 protein i.e. able to rescue the EECs in ascl1a-/ larvae but not the agr2+ goblet cells (Fig 3D and 3E, quantification on 3H). In contrast, the Tg5 (bND–HLH-CtermA) construct, which contains the basic domain of Neurod1 and the HLH and the C-terminal domain of Ascl1a, displays similar properties as wild-type Ascl1a i.e. the capacity to rescue goblet cells but not EECs (compare Fig 3F with 3B). All these data, summarized in Fig 2 (column 2 and 3, lanes Tg1 to Tg5), indicate that the elements crucial for rescuing EECs are present in the C-terminal region of Neurod1. Protein sequence alignment of
this region from different vertebrate species reveals the presence of an evolutionary conserved domain of 41 amino-acids (aa) which is present in all vertebrate Neurod family members (Fig 4) but is not found in the other ARP/Ascl factors. This domain, whose function is so far unknown, was named ECD for Evolutionarily Conserved Domain. The ECD domain, directly flanked by the bHLH on its N-terminal side and by the transactivation domains on its C-terminal side (Fig 4), contains in its centre a 19-aa ultra-conserved element (UCE). Interestingly, we found that the insertion of this ECD domain in Ascl1a (Tg6) completely abolishes its capacity to rescue the goblet cells and, inversely, allows the rescue of EECs (Fig 5B–5B’, quantification on 5E–5F). These data demonstrate that the ECD is a crucial region for the specific biological activity of Neurod1 that represses goblet cell fate. This was further confirmed by deleting the ECD or the smaller UCE domain in Neurod1 (Neurod1ΔECD (Tg8) or Neurod1ΔUCE (Tg7)) that lead to a full rescue of the goblet cells (Fig 5C’, 5D’ and 5F) while these two transgenes were still able to trigger the formation of EECs (Fig 5C, 5D and 5E).

All these data, summarized in Fig 2 (columns 2 and 3), indicate that the UCE of Neurod1 functions primarily as a repressor of goblet cell fate in the context of intestinal cell differentiation.
Fig 3. Analysis of rescuing capacities of chimeric Neurod1/Ascl1a proteins in ascl1a-/- larvae. A-G: FISH performed at 96 hpf with the agr2 probe revealed in green and a mix of hormones probes (ghrl, pyyb, gcga and sst2) revealed in red on ascl1a-/- larvae without (A) or with Tg1 to Tg5 transgenes (B to F) and on sibling control embryos (G). All the larvae have been heat-shocked at 36, 46 and 56 hpf. The transgenic line used is indicated on the left part of the figure as well as the genotype of the larvae; the ascl1a-/- larvae were identified by the loss of the pituitary prl.
To further decipher Neurod1 mechanisms of action and the role of its ECD domain, we next determined by RNA-seq the genes regulated by Neurod1 and its deleted forms Neurod1ΔECD and Neurod1ΔUCE compared to Ascl1a. Ideally, such experiments should be performed in the ascl1a-/-. larvae as described below; however, these experiments are hard to implement as ascl1a-/+- larvae cannot be morphologically distinguished from their sibling larvae (ascl1a-/+ and +/+), and as homozygous mutants die before reaching adulthood. To circumvent this problem, we took advantage of the fact that the overexpression of Neurod1 in wild-type embryos through heat shocks at 38 and 48 hpf induces the pan-endocrine marker pax6b in the gut at 56 hpf (S4C Fig). At that stage pax6b is not yet detected in the gut of non-transgenic embryos as pax6b expression onset in the intestine is around 60 hpf [16] (S4A Fig). Furthermore, we observed that constructs Tg3, Tg6 and Tg7 were also able to induce pax6b expression earlier albeit at lower levels compared to Neurod1 (S4D, S4F and S4G Fig, quantification on expression (not shown). All views are ventral with the anterior part to the left and represent confocal projection images. The pancreas is encircled while the location of the gut, visualised with a DAPI staining (not shown), is delimited by dashed lines. Scale bar: 100μm. H: Quantification of the number of ghrl+/pyyb+/gega+/sst2+ EEC detected in conditions A to G counted under a fluorescent microscope.

RNAseq transcriptome profiling of the genes regulated by Neurod1, Neurod1ΔECD, Neurod1ΔUCE and Ascl1a

Fig 4. Neurod1 contains a domain evolutionarily conserved in all vertebrate Neurod family members. Upper part: Schematic representation of dr-Neurod1 showing the 12aa basic domain (b), the 45aa helix-loop-helix (HLH) domain, the 41aa evolutionary conserved domain (ECD) and the two transactivation domains (AD1 and AD2). Lower part: Alignment of vertebrate Neurod proteins highlighting the ECD and the 19aa ultra conserved elements (UCE). The potential phosphorylation site for the Serine/threonine protein kinases ATM/ATR/DNA-PK (S172 in dr-Neurod1) is indicated by an asterisk. The presence of a conserved S or T at aa176 in dr-Neurod1, highly suggestive of a site of phosphorylation for an unidentified serine/threonine protein kinase, is also indicated by an asterisk.
This is concordant with the reduced capacity of these chimeric constructs to restore EECs in ascl1a/- mutant embryos (Fig 2, compare columns 2 and 4). In contrast, the Tg1 (Ascl1a) or Tg5 transgenes, not able to rescue EEC in ascl1a/-, are not able either to induce pax6b+ cells (S4B and S4E Fig). All these data, summarized on Fig 2 (column 4), indicate that RNA-seq profiling on the gut of wild-type transgenic embryos should allow the identification of genes specifically regulated by Neurod1 and its deleted forms. As the induction of pax6b expression was already observed at 52 hpf in about 75% of the embryos, we performed the RNA-seq at this stage in order to minimize the number of indirect targets of Neurod1.

Practically, the endodermal reporter line Tg(sox17:dsred) was crossed with the transgenic lines for Ascl1a (Tg1), Neurod1 (Tg2), Neurod1ΔUCE (Tg7) and Neurod1ΔECD (Tg8). The double transgenic embryos were heat-shocked at 38 and 48 hpf as well as the simple transgenic Tg (sox17:dsred) embryos used as control. At 52 hpf, the trunk of about 150 embryos was dissected, the cells dissociated and the dsred+ endodermal cells isolated by Fluorescence-Activated

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010109.g005

S4H).
Cells Sorting (FACS). The RNA-seq were performed at least in triplicates for each construct as previously described [16].

The comparison of the RNA-seq data revealed 104 differentially expressed (DE) genes upon overexpression of Neurod1 compared to control embryos, 79 induced and 25 repressed genes) and 92 Ascl1a-regulated genes (21 induced and 71 repressed genes, False discovery rate (FDR) ≤ 0.1) (S1A and S1B Table). The direct comparison of Neurod1- versus Ascl1a-induced samples identifies 98 additional DE genes (Fig 6A). These 279 DE genes, listed in S1C Table, were

Fig 6. Comparison of the differentially expressed genes. A: Venn diagram showing the differentially expressed genes for Neurod1 (Tg2) and for ascl1a (Tg1) compared to Ctrl and for Ascl1a vs Neurod1 (FDR ≤ 0.1). B: Plot showing the log2 Fold Change after overexpression of Ascl1a (Tg1) (x-axis) and Neurod1 (Tg2) (y-axis) compared to Ctrl embryos for the 209 DE genes. Genes in blue represent significant Ascl1a DE, in orange, Neurod1 DE and genes in black correspond to genes significantly DE in both conditions. Genes in green represent the 98 additional DE genes identified through the direct comparison of Neurod1- versus Ascl1a-induced samples. C: Expression level of selected DE genes. The expression level (given in normalized CPM) was obtained from the RNA-seq data from Ctrl or hsp70l transgenic lines for Ascl1a (Tg1), Neurod1 (Tg2), Neurod1ΔUCE (Tg7) and Neurod1ΔECD (Tg8) embryos, all heat-shocked at 38 and 48 hpf and harvested at 52 hpf. The values are the expression mean of at least triplicate samples. Panel 1: Expression level for the 10 "endocrine pancreas development" genes. Panel 2: Expression level of selected DE genes differentially regulated by Neurod1 compared to Ascl1a. Panel 3. Expression level of selected genes differentially regulated by Neurod1ΔUCE and Neurod1ΔECD compared to Neurod1.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010109.g006
plotted according to the level of regulation by Neurod1 or Ascl1a (Fig 6B). This figure highlights that most of the DE genes regulated by Neurod1 (in orange) are induced while Ascl1a-DE genes (in blue) are mainly repressed by Ascl1a. All these comparisons highlighted several genes regulated only by NeuroD1, such as pax4, rfx6, insm1a/b, genes reported to be direct targets of Neurod1 in human insulinoma cell line [17], therefore validating our experiments (Fig 6B). GO analyses of Neurod1-regulated genes compared to wild-type reveal that the highest enrichment is seen for “endocrine pancreas development” with a list of 10 genes (FDR = 1.1 E-11). This endocrine gene set includes in addition to enrichments of Neurod1 in human insulinoma cell line [17], therefore validating our experiments (Fig 6B, panel 1). Identifying pancreatic genes expressed in the intestine is not surprising as pancreatic and intestinal endocrine cells share regulatory programs [16].

Neurod1 overexpression also significantly induces the expression of the endogenous neurod1 gene as visualized by the drastic increase (17.5-fold) of the reads spanning the 3’UTR of neurod1 (not present in the Tg2 construct). As shown in Fig 6C, panel 1, these 10 genes are not induced by Ascl1a, confirming the unique capacity of Neurod1 to efficiently induce the EE program. The comparison of Ascl1a and Neurod1 regulated genes versus Ctrl reveals a small overlap of 15 genes (Fig 6A), all being regulated in the same way and with a similar amplitude by Neurod1 and Ascl1a (Fig 6B, genes shown as black dots). This common gene set includes several DE identified above (61 genes) but also highlights additional genes which are induced by Neurod1 and repressed by Ascl1a (Fig 6B, green dots). This is the case notably for crabp1 and greb1l, two important actors for retinoic acid signalling and for runx1t1, involved in the EE differentiation of K, I and D lineage[18] (Fig 6C, panel 2). This list includes also several Notch ligands as Neurod1 activate deltaB (dlb), deltaC (dcl) and delta-like 4 (dll4) while Ascl1a represses the expression of dllb and dll4 suggesting an inverse regulation of Delta/Notch signalling by Ascl1a and Neurod1.

Regarding the deleted versions Neurod1ΔUCE (Tg7) and Neurod1ΔECD (Tg8), both are still able to induce the expression of the 10 genes associated with the “endocrine pancreas development” signature, though less efficiently than the full length Neurod1 (Tg2) (Fig 6C, panel 1). Indeed, the fold induction of each gene is lower for the deleted versions than for Tg2, the mean induction for these 10 genes being 14.4-fold for Neurod1, 6.7-fold for the deleted construct Neurod1ΔUCE and 4.8-fold for the larger deletion Neurod1ΔECD (by comparison, this fold change is 1.3 fold for Ascl1a). The comparison of Neurod1ΔUCE with Neurod1ΔECD RNA-seq data identifies only one DE gene between these 2 conditions (the unknown BX548062.1 gene). This strongly suggests that these deleted mutant proteins have similar transcriptional properties. Therefore, we next compared the combined RNA-seq data for Neurod1ΔUCE and Neurod1ΔECD with Neurod1 and identified 28 DE genes (S1E Table). Among them, 21 genes are induced by Neurod1ΔUCE and Neurod1ΔECD but not by Neurod1. Gfi1aa (Growth factor independence 1aa) is the most significant one with an upregulation of 60- and 38-fold in Neurod1ΔUCE and Neurod1ΔECD, respectively (Fig 6C, panel 3). In mice, Gfi1 is expressed in goblet cells and is essential for their generation [19]. In zebrafish, gfi1aa:gfp drives GFP expression in the goblet cells [20]. To validate these RNA-seq data, we next performed in situ hybridization. We determined whether gfi1aa expression was induced in the gut by Neurod1ΔUCE or Neurod1ΔECD GOF. We found that inducing Neurod1ΔUCE in wild-type embryos by one heat shock at 48 hpf triggered high gfi1aa expression in the gut of most embryos (18 out 20) (Fig 7A) while its expression was not detected in control embryos at this stage (n = 4) (Fig 7B). This is also the case for all Neurod1ΔECD GOF embryos (n = 5) albeit at a lesser extent (Fig 7C),
while gfi1aa was not induced upon Ascl1a GOF (n = 3) (Fig 7D), confirming therefore the RNAseq data.

In conclusion, all these RNA-seq data show that Neurod1 and Ascl1a regulate mostly different sets of genes and that the UCE domain is required for the optimal induction of the genes specifically induced by Neurod1. The UCE domain is also required for the repression of the gfi1aa gene whose murine orthologue is known to be involved in goblet cell differentiation.

**Neurod1ΔUCE displays an increase of goblet cells and a concomitant reduction of EECs**

To validate our GOF experiments indicating an important role of the Neurod1 UCE domain in the secretory cell fate choice, we deleted the UCE in the endogenous neurod1 gene by crispr/cas9 mutagenesis. We generated the mutant line neurod1ulg052, called here neurod1ΔUCE, harboring a deletion of 81 bp that includes the 19aa of the UCE flanked by 3 and 5 aa of the ECD. The number of goblet cells was determined in neurod1ΔUCE by labelling with rhodamine dextran-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) that interacts with the N-acetylglucosamine of the mucus [21]. A significant increase in the number of goblet cells was detected in the intestine of neurod1ΔUCE compared to wild-type embryos at 5 dpf (Fig 8A–8C), confirming that the UCE domain of Neurod1 is a repressor of the goblet lineage. This increase of goblet cells was also visualised by an alcian blue staining of microtome serial sections (Fig 8L–8M).

Based on our GOF experiments, the deletion of the UCE domain should also reduce the capacity of Neurod1 to induce the endocrine program. To visualise more easily the EECs, the neurod1ΔUCE mutants were crossed with the transgenic Tg(pax6b:GFP)ulg515Tg line [22] where all EECs are fluorescently labelled. A two-fold reduction of pax6b:GFP expression was observed in the neurod1ΔUCE homozygous mutants at 4 and 5 dpf (Fig 8D–8I) confirming the importance of the UCE for inducing the EE program. We analysed further the neurod1ΔUCE phenotype by determining the EEC transcriptome at 4 dpf of Tg(pax6b:GFP)/neurod1ΔUCE larvae. GFP+ EECs were obtained by microdissection of the gut followed by cell dissociation and selection of GFP+ by FACS. RNA-seq was performed on 4 independent homozygous neurod1ΔUCE and 6 wild-type EEC preparations. The analyses of the RNA-seq data revealed 680 DE genes between neurod1ΔUCE homozygous mutants and ctrl larvae (FDR ≤ 0.1, average
Concerning the expression of EEC hormones, we observed a drastic decrease of tac1, ghrl, mlnl and nmbb, a 2 to 3 X reduction of gcga, calca and adcyap1a and a downward trend for insl5a and galn (Fig 9A1). WISH analyses confirmed a reduction in the number of GFP cells expressing mlnl (4.4X**), adcyap1a (2.0X*), insl5a (1.5X**), gcga (2.3X***), and galn (3.6X*) in the mutant (Fig 9B and 9A2). The RNA-seq analyses detected a higher penka expression in neurod1ΔUCE mutant compared to wild-type larvae (2.7-fold); however this result was not confirmed by IHC (Fig 9B and 9A2). This apparent discrepancy could be due to the 2-fold reduction in the number of pax6b::GFP+ cells (Fig 8G–8I). Indeed, an unchanged number of penka+ cells in the neurod1ΔUCE intestine...
will result into a 2-fold increase in the proportion of penka+ cells among the remaining pax6b+ sorted cells.

Most of the transcription factors known to be important for endocrine cell differentiation [16] are unchanged except sox4b and pax4 which were respectively down- and up-regulated in neurod1ΔUCE (Fig 10A). Analysis of all DE genes highlighted cuzd1.1 and cuzd1.2 genes as highly
upregulated in neurod1ΔUCE (Fig 10B and S3 Table). These two proteins are orthologous to the mammalian CUZD1, known to be a critical mediator of the JAK/STAT5 signaling pathway [23], important for intestinal homeostasis [24]. A strong upregulation of mfsd4aa (major facilitator superfamily domain-containing protein 4) (~18X) is also observed (Fig 10B) which was also specifically induced by the Tg7 (ΔUCE) and Tg8 (ΔECD) GOF (Fig 6C, panel 3). MFSD4AA is a solute carrier protein (SCL) putatively involved in the transport of growth factors [25]. A Gene Ontology analysis performed on these DE genes shows a significant enrichment for insulin signaling pathway (p adjust = 1.2 E-2) with 13 out of 60 genes of the cascade being differentially expressed (S5 Fig). Neurod1ΔUCE displays an increase of goblet cells concomitant with a reduction of EECs like Neurod1ΔUCE

Finally, to further investigate the requirement of the UCE domain for Neurod1 activity, we compared the phenotype of the neurod1ΔUCE mutant line neurod1ΔUCE with a null neurod1 mutant. The zebrafish mutant line neurod1Δ3g6007 was generated by CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis and contains a 4 bp deletion in the coding region of Neurod1. This leads to a frameshift in the basic domain at aa 102 leading to a short aberrant 36-aa ORF and an early termination codon. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) performed on 4 dpf neurod1Δ3g6007/Δ3g6007 larvae revealed a complete loss of Gcg and a significant reduction of Sst in the pancreas (Fig 11A), confirming our previous results obtained at 30 hpf using morpholinos [8]. This strongly suggests that the neurod1Δ3g6007/Δ3g6007 mutant represents a bona fide null mutant, called hereafter neurod1−/−. We next analysed the goblet and EECs in the intestine of neurod1−/− larvae. We found a significant increase in the number of goblet cells detected in the intestine of neurod1−/− compared to control sibling embryos at 4 dpf and a concomitant decrease of pax6b+ EECs (Fig 11B), like observed in neurod1ΔUCE mutant. Further analyses of the EECs show that, like in neurod1ΔUCE, we observed a large decrease in the number of nmbb+ cells (39-fold) and a similar reduction in the number of cells expressing galn (2.5 fold), adcyap1a (2.0 fold), calca (4.2 fold) and insl5a (1.5 fold) (Fig 11C and see the comparison with neurod1ΔUCE in Fig 9A3). Furthermore, like

| Name   | Mean WT | Mean neurod1ΔUCE | Log2 FC | Padj   |
|--------|---------|------------------|---------|--------|
| pax4   | 269     | 578              | 1.03    | 0.0171 |
| irx6   | 90      | 124              | 0.50    | 0.8080 |
| is1l   | 185     | 256              | 0.43    | 0.4476 |
| nkl22ts| 220     | 301              | 0.35    | 0.5740 |
| fve    | 944     | 1210             | 0.25    | 0.6620 |
| neurod1| 669     | 820              | 0.21    | 0.8043 |
| pdk1   | 510     | 593              | 0.10    | 0.8678 |
| insm10 | 141     | 149              | -0.02   | 0.9783 |
| insm1β | 706     | 694              | -0.11   | 0.9331 |
| osclα  | 26      | 24               | -0.18   | 0.9359 |
| pax6b  | 595     | 513              | -0.35   | 0.6470 |
| vax4b  | 30      | 7                | -2.26   | 0.0006 |

Fig 10. Expression level of selected transcription factors in EEC from wild-type or neurod1ΔUCE homozygous mutant larvae. A: Expression level for 12 “endocrine pancreas development” genes. B: Expression level of selected genes differentially up-regulated by neurod1ΔUCE. The expression level (given in normalized CPM) was obtained from the RNA-seq data of pax6b:GFP+ EEC isolated by FACS from wt or neurod1ΔUCE homozygous mutant larvae at 4 dpf. The values are the expression mean of 6 wt and 4 neurod1ΔUCE replicates. Genes underlined in grey are not significantly regulated (FDR > 0.1). S3 Table provides the expression level of all differentially expressed genes (values for each sample, means, log2 FC and FDR).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010109.g010
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neurod1ΔUCE, we did not observe any change in the number of pyyb and penka cells (Figs 11C and 9A3). Only three of the tested hormones are expressed differentially in neurod1Δ+/− and neurod1ΔUCE mutants: the expression of gcga and minl are unchanged in neurod1−/− while these 2
hormones were reduced in neurod1ΔUCE and ccka was reduced in neurod1-/-(1.7X) while it is not in neurod1ΔUCE (Figs 11C and 9A). In conclusion, neurod1-/− and neurod1ΔUCE mutants both show an increase of goblet cells and a general reduction of EECs. Furthermore, similar subsets of EEC are affected as 7 out of the 10 EEC analysed present analogous perturbations. Altogether these data support the crucial function of the UCE domain for NeuroD1 activity in the intestine.

Discussion

ARP/ASCL factors are key determinants of cell fate specification in a wide variety of tissues, coordinating the acquisition of generic cell fates as well as of specific subtype identities. We have previously shown that the identity of these determinants for a given cell fate is not always conserved throughout vertebrate evolution suggesting functional equivalence between these factors [5,8]. To determine the common and specific properties of ARP/ASCL factors, we performed GOF experiments to define which ARP/ASCL factors are able to rescue the secretory cells in the intestine of the zebrafish ascl1a-/− mutant. Atoh1a/b, Ascl1a/b and Neurod1 were all able to initiate the secretory cascade in the ascl1a-/− mutant as shown by the activation of sox4b. However, Atoh1a/b and Ascl1a/b rescued only the goblet cell lineage while Neurod1 rescued exclusively the EECs. Functional analysis of several Ascl1a/Neurod1 chimeric proteins allowed us to identify a domain in Neurod1 essential for its function. This domain is highly conserved in all Neurod proteins and absent in the other ARP/ASCL proteins and was called here UCE for ultra-conserved element. The UCE domain is required for the optimal transactivation properties of Neurod1 but also for repressing the expression of the Gfi1aa factor, known to be an important factor in goblet cell differentiation in mouse. Deletion of the UCE domain in the endogenous Neurod1 protein further underlines its importance as the neurod1ΔUCE mutant displays an increase in the number of goblet cells concomitant with a reduction of several EE subtypes. Importantly, the neurod1 null mutant displays very similar defects supporting the crucial function of the UCE domain for NeuroD1 activity in the intestine. Our findings therefore unveil common as well intrinsic specificities within ARP/ASCL factors.

We have shown here that the capacity to induce the secretory cascade is shared by Ascl1, Atoh1 and Neurod1, which is reminiscent to the capacity of all proneural factors to induce the neuronal program. Furthermore, like in the nervous system where the subtype specification depends on specific factors, we found here that only Neurod1 is able to induce the enteroendocrine program. This is due to its capacity to induce several genes important for endocrine differentiation such as pax4, rfx6, nkx2.2a and insm1a/b while these endocrine genes are not activated at all by Ascl1a (Fig 6C, panel 1). This unique property of Neurod1, that cannot be fulfilled by the other ARP/ASCL factors, explains the omnipresence of Neurod1 in all endocrine cells of the intestine but also of the pancreas in zebrafish and, to our knowledge, this is also the case in most vertebrates. This contrasts with the flexibility observed notably in the choice of the secretory cell fate determinants in vertebrates, made possible given the shared capacity of inducing the secretory lineage by several ARP/ASCL factors as shown here.

Besides these endocrine genes, Neurod1 activate also two important actors for Retinoic acid signalling (crabp1 and greb1l) while these two genes are repressed by Ascl1a. CRABP1 (cellular retinoic acid binding protein 1) binds to retinoid acid (RA) helping its transport into the nucleus where it will bind its receptor (RAR) and activates retinoid acid-dependent genes. GREB1L resides in a chromatin complex with RAR members where it acts as a coactivator for RARs [26]. Although the influence of retinoic acid signalling on EEC fate is not known, in the pancreas, retinoic acid promotes the generation of pancreatic endocrine progenitor cells and their further differentiation into beta-cells [27,28]. As pancreatic and intestinal endocrine cells
share transcriptomic signatures and regulatory programmes [16], we can postulate that RA could have also a positive effect on endocrine cell differentiation in the gut. The same inverse regulation by Neurod1 and Ascl1a is also observed for an important actor of EEC differentiation, runx1t1, activated by Neurod1 and repressed by Ascl1a. RUNX1T1 has been recently shown to be transiently activated in EE progenitors and its inactivation affect GIP, Cck and Sst expression in intestinal organoids [18].

Inverse regulation by Ascl1a and Neurod1 is also found for the ligands of the Delta/Notch signalling pathway. Indeed we found that Ascl1a overexpression represses the expression of deltaB (dlb) and delta-like 4 (dll4) while Neurod1, Neurod1\textsuperscript{AUCE} and Neurod1\textsuperscript{ΔECD} activate dllb, dlc and dll4 (Fig 6C, panel 2). The opposite regulation by Ascl1a and Neurod1 of these Notch ligands suggests an inverse influence on the Delta/Notch signalling that could influence goblet versus EEC fate decision. Notch signalling has been extensively described to be involved in the absorptive versus secretory cell fate choice [29] but not in the subsequent step leading to either EECs or paneth/goblet cells. Further research will be required to resolve this question.

The UCE, rather than the bHLH domain, encodes important features for Neurod1 specificity

We demonstrate in the present study that the basic and HLH domains of Neurod1 can be exchanged with those of Ascl1a without affecting the induction of the EEC. This contrasts with the results described for Atoh and Neurog factors where the functional divergence is encoded by three residues in the basic domain [30]. We identify an evolutionary conserved domain with a central element (UCE) nearly identical in all Neurod members that is a repressor of the goblet lineage. RNA-seq data show that the UCE domain acts by repressing the expression of the zinc finger factor Gfi1aa in goblet cells. In mouse, Gfi1 is expressed in goblet and Paneth cells but not in the EEC lineage [31]. In the murine Gfi1-deficient intestinal epithelium, goblet and Paneth cell lineages aberrantly express the pro-enteroendocrine transcription factor Neurog3 and consequently undergo reprogramming into the EEC lineage [31]. This indicates that, in the intestinal epithelium, Gfi1 helps to stabilize the goblet and Paneth cell lineages by participating to the repression of Neurog3. Such role of GFI1 (and of Senseless, its orthologous gene in drosophila) as a binary switch favouring one lineage over another has been described in many tissues. For instance, GFI1 controls sensory organ precursor selection [32] or colour photoreceptor differentiation in Drosophila [33]. In murine hematopoiesis, GFI1 acts as a transcriptional repressor that recruits the histone demethylase complex LSD1/CoREST to epigenetically silence the endothelial program in hemogenic endothelium and allows the emergence of blood cells [34]. In the inner ear, this binary switch involves Neurod1. Indeed GFI1 promotes hair cell development by repressing neuronal-associated genes such as Neurod1 and by activating hair cell-specific genes required for cell maturation [35]. Based on our study, we can postulate that, in the inner ear also, NEUROD1 will repress the expression of Gfi1 through its UCE domain creating a mutual cross-repression between NEUROD1 and GFI1. Such antagonism could also occur in the pancreas where GFI1 has been shown to be involved in exocrine cell differentiation [36] while NEUROD1 is known to be important for endocrine cell differentiation [37], these two cell types originating from the same precursor.

What is the mode of action of this UCE? Its position between the HLH and the transactivation domain of Neurod1 suggests that it does not directly interact with DNA but could interact with co-factors. Unfortunately, we did not succeed to identify interacting partners to this region using high-throughput yeast-two hybrid (YTH) screenings. Nevertheless, examination of the UCE protein sequence reveals a phosphorylation consensus sequence for the ATM/ATR/DNA-PK protein kinases (S/T)Q (residue S172 in dr-Neurod1). Interestingly, this site...
lies at the centre of a longer cluster containing two other S/TQ motifs (TQ at aa129 and SQ at aa195) and therefore constitutes a so-called SCD domain, which is defined by the presence of at least three S/TQ sites in a stretch of 100 amino acids [38]. More than half of the characterized ATM targets contain an SCD [38]. Although ATM/ATR are cellular kinases with a well-characterized role in DNA-damage response, there is growing evidence that they also act in other pathways and notably in the insulin-signalling pathway [39] for which we identify many DE genes in neurod^ΔUCEB^ mutant larvae. Furthermore, the SCD domain is over-represented in proteins involved in the nervous system development and is present for example in EMX2, PAX6, GLI2 and GLI3 [38]. All these data suggest a much broader role of these kinases than initially thought. Further studies will be necessary to determine the exact role of these ATM/ATR sites in Neurod1. But it became clear recently that phosphorylation constitutes an important mechanism of regulation of ARP/Ascl activity that could affect their specificity. For instance, mutating Neurod1 at the glycogen synthase kinase 3β consensus site (S^274^A in Xneurod) changes the timing of XNeuroD function, so that it can promote the differentiation of early retinal cell types while wild-type XNeuroD can induce only late retinal cell types [40].

The neurod^ΔUCEB^ and the neurod^-/- mutant display an increase of the goblet cells concomitant to a decrease of EEC

An increase in the number of goblet cells was detected in neurod^ΔUCEB^ mutants consistent with the GOF experiments indicating that this domain functions as a repressor of the goblet cell fate. We also observed a concomitant decrease in the number of EECs which could be a consequence of the increase of the goblet cells (secretory cells choosing one fate or another). The neurod1^-/- mutant displays a very similar intestinal phenotype as neurod1^ΔUCEB^ both showing an increase of goblet cells and a general reduction of EEC. These data indicate that the ECD is crucial for the activity of Neurod1 in the intestine. However it is probably not the case for all tissues as the neurod1^-/- homozygous mutant can not reach the adulthood in contrast to neurod^ΔUCEB_. It will be therefore interesting to compare in the future the phenotype of these two mutants in different tissues like the central nervous system, the pancreas and the inner ear.

Methods

Ethics statement

All animal experiments were conducted according to national guidelines and were approved by the ethical committee of the University of Liège (protocol numbers 13–1557 and 19–2085.

Zebrafish maintenance, transgenic and mutant lines

Zebrafish (Danio rerio) were raised according to standard protocols and staged according to Kimmel [41]. The pia mutant (pia^25215^ mutant allele of ascl1a) was provided by M. Hammerschmidt and genotyped as described [42] or by in situ using a prolactin (prl) probe as the ascl1a^-/- loses pituitary prl expression. The following zebrafish transgenic lines were used: Tg (pax6b:GFP)^Δ6^ [22], Tg(hsp70:atoh1a), kindly provided by Bruce Riley [15], the Tg(hsp70:atoh1b-Myc), kindly provided by Sudipto Roy [14] and the Tg(sox17:dsred), kindly provided by Stephanie Woo [43].

Generation of the Tg(hsp70:keGFP-2A-TF) lines

The Tg(hsp70:keGFP-2A-Ascl1a) (Tg1), where the heat-shock inducible promoter hsp70 controls the expression of a bicistronic transcript, eGFP-2A-Ascl1a, allowing the production in
equimolar quantities of eGFP and Ascl1a from a single transcript [44], were generated by constructing first the bicistronic sequence eGFP-2A-Ascl1a by an overlap extension polymerase chain reaction (OE-PCR). The OE-PCR method consists of two primary PCR reactions which generate DNA fragments with overlapping ends (see S4 Table for the details on the primers and the PCR) and a secondary reaction which joins the two fragments into a single one [45]. The resulting fragment eGFP-2A-Ascl1a were then cloned in the PCR8-GW vector in the sense orientation. Then, a triple LR recombination was performed with 5E-HSP (Tol2 kit #222 [46]), pCR8-GW- eGFP-2A-Ascl1a and p3E-polyA (Tol2kit #302) and the vector pDestTol2CG2 (Tol2 kit #393) to generate the transgene Tg(hsp70k-eGFP-2A-Ascl1a). This transgene has been then introduced into AB embryos by co-injection with the Tol2 transposase to generate the Tg (hsp70k-eGFP-2A-Ascl1a) adegs6Tg line. Similar protocol was used for the transgenes Tg2 to Tg7 using the primers listed in the S4 Table. The transgene Tg8 that contains a deletion of the ECD was performed by Q5 Site-directed mutagenesis (NEB) using the 2 primers listed in the S4 Table.

Heat shocks were performed by incubation of the larvae at 39˚C during 30 minutes.

**Isolation of EECs and endodermal cells by FACS**

EECs were isolated by dissecting the gut from about 200 transgenic neurod1ΔUCE/ΔUCE or wild-type Tg(pax6b:GFP)Δ4515 larvae at 4 dpf, taking care of not including pancreatic tissue. Cell dissociation was performed by incubation in HBSS 1x supplemented with 100 U/ml collagenase IV and 0.3 U/ml Dispase (Life Technologies) for 10 minutes. Cells were washed in HBSS (Mg2+ and Ca2+ free) containing 1% BSA and GFP-expressing EECs were selected by FACS purification using FACS Aria II. This procedure allows us to obtain between 1000 to 2000 isolated GFP+ cells.

Endodermal sox17-dsred+ cells were isolated by dissecting the trunk from about 150 double transgenic Tg(hsp70k:eGFP-2A-ARP/Ascl) or wild-type Tg(sox17:dsred) larvae at 52 dpf, heat-shocked at 38 and 48hpf, taking care of not including pancreatic tissue. Cell dissociation was performed by incubation in TrypLE select 1X (Gibco) for 8 minutes. Cells were washed in HBSS (Mg2+ and Ca2+ free) containing 1% BSA and dsred+ EECs were selected by FACS purifications using FACS Aria II. This procedure allow us to obtain between 2000 to 4000 isolated dsred+ cells.

**cDNA synthesis, library preparation and sequencing**

Each FACS-sorted cells sample was directly pelleted by centrifugation and resuspended in 3.5 μl of reaction buffer, lysed by freezing in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80˚C according the Smart-seq2 protocol [47]. cDNA was synthesized and amplified by a 13 cycles PCR reaction. Quality of cDNA was verified by 2100 High Sensitivity DNA assay (Agilent technologies). 1 ng cDNA was used for preparing each cDNA library using Nextera-XT kit (Illumina) and sequenced on Hi-seq 2000 to obtain around 20 millions of reads (75 base single-end).

**RNA-seq data analyses**

Sequences were trimmed in order to remove adaptors and low quality bases. Trimmed reads were mapped in to the zebrafish genome GRCz11 (Ensembl Release 92, www.ensembl.org) using STAR software v.2.5.4b [48]. Gene expression was measured from the mapped reads by using built-in STAR module (—quantMode GeneCounts) and are expressed in counts of reads per million (CPM) [49]. The comparison of the transcriptomes as well as differential expression (DE) analysis were performed using DESeq2 R package [50] to identify all genes displaying significant change in expression between two conditions (with Fold-Discovery-
Rate < 10%). For the analysis of the GOF RNAseq data, we constructed *in silico* one gene corresponding to the region of Neurod1 used in the transgene (ENSDARG00000139139). To quantify the transcripts corresponding only to the 3’ UTR of *neurod1*, not contaminated by the transcripts originating from the transgene, all the reads mapping to both the transgene (ENSDARG00000139139) and neurod1 (ENSDARG00000019566) were not taking into account. For *ascl1a*, the Ensembl ID ENSDARG0000038386 corresponds only to 3’UTR of the endogenous *ascl1a* gene whereas the annotation ENSDARG00000101628 (erroneously annotated *ascl1b*) correspond actually to the ORF sequence of ascl1a. The RNAseq data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with the accession number GSE193281 and 3 additional wt control at 4 dpf were provided by [16] (GSE149081)

**Gene Ontology enrichment analysis**

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed on the different gene sets using the PANTHER bioinformatics resources 16.0 [51] taking as background all the zebrafish genes. The enrichment analysis was focused on the GO biological process, molecular function and KEGG pathways with a statistical Fisher exact test p-value < 0.05.

**Riboprobes, whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) and Immunohistochemistry**

Antisense riboprobes were made by transcribing either linearized cDNA clones as described in [52] or T3-flagged (CCCCATTAACCTCCTAAAGGGAG) PCR probes as described in [53]. The zebrafish agr2 [54], sox4b [55], pax6b [56], somatostatin2 [57] and gcga [58] probes have been described elsewhere. *pyyb* probe was obtained by transcribing with Sp6 the Sal1-digested pSPORT1-pyyb plasmid (accession number BF17422). T3-flagged probes were generated for mlnl, galn, adcyap1a, insl5a, gfi1aa and for the 3’UTR neurod1 probe, using the primers listed in S4 Table. Wholemount in situ hybridization (WISH) and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) were performed as described previously [55].

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) on whole-mount embryos/larvae was performed as described [8]. The antibodies used are the chicken anti-GFP (Aves lab) used at a dilution of 1000X, the rabbit anti-Enkephalin (T4294; Peninsula Laboratories International, Inc) used at a 400X dilution, and Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies at 1000X dilution. Goblet cell mucin was detected with rhodamine conjugated wheat germ agglutinin (1,100 dilution) (Vector Laboratories). Stained embryos were mounted in Prolong (Invitrogen) with DAPI and imaged using SP5 confocal microscope (Leica). Quantification was performed either by counting the cells under a fluorescent microscope or either by determining the volume occupied by the cells using the Imaris software (Bitplane). Haematoxylin/eosin and eosin/alcan staining was performed on 5μm microtome sections by the GIGA immunohistology platform and the sections were recorded using the SLIDEVIEW VS200 slide scanner Olympus. Statistical analysis was performed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney t-test of the GraphPad Prism software. Creation of the figures was achieved using ImageJ and its plugin FigureJ [59]

**CRISPR/cas9 genome mutagenesis**

The neurod1ulg007 and neurod1ulg052 mutant lines, called here respectively neurod1-/- and neurod1ΔUCE, were generated by CRISPR/Cas9. The first one was generated by cloning the annealed BP720 and BP721 oligos (S4 Table) into the BsaI-digested DR274 plasmid (Addgene) that was transcribed in gRNA as described [60]. The Cas9 mRNA were transcribed using PmeI-digested Cas9 expression vector NLM3613 (Addgene) as described [60]. Zebrafish eggs
were injected with ~1 nL of a danieau solution containing ~60 ng/μl of the gRNA and ~300 ng/μl of Cas9 mRNA. For the second line, CRISPR guide RNAs were selected using chopchop software (http://chopchop.cbu.uib.no/) to target flanking regions of the UCE. The cloning-free single-guide RNA (sgRNA) synthesis was done as described [61] by annealing the sgRNA core oligo O490 with O671 or with O672. The Streptococcus Pyogenes cas9-NLS-GFP protein was produced from the pMJ922 expression plasmid (Addgene), purified and cleaved as described in [62]. After synthesis and purification of gRNA, fertilized zebrafish eggs were injected with approximately 1 nL of a danieau solution containing 120 ng/μl of the gRNA, 760 ng/μl of purified Cas9 protein.

The efficiency of mutagenesis was verified by genotyping using Heteroduplex Migration Assays [63] after amplification of targeted genomic sequences. Founder fish transmitting germline mutations were outcrossed with wild type fish.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Rescue of ascl1a-/ by Ascl1b and Atoh1a. A-C: FISH performed at 55 hpf with a sox4b probe on ascl1a-/- or control sibling embryos heat-shocked at 36 and 46 hpf. D-I: FISH performed at 96 hpf with the agr2 probe (D-F) and a mix of hormone probes (ghrelin (ghrl), peptide YYb (pyyb), glucagon-a (gcga) and somatostatin-2 (sst2)) (G-I) on ascl1a-/- or control sibling embryos heat-shocked at 36, 46 and 56 hpf. The transgenic line used is indicated on the left part of the figure as well as the genotype of the larvae; the ascl1a-/- larvae were identified by the loss of the pituitary prl expression (not shown). The pancreas is encircled while the location of gut, visualised with a DAPI staining (not shown), is delimited by dashed lines. All Views are ventral with the anterior part to the left. Scale bar: 100 μm.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Neurod1 is able to rescue adcyap1a+, gcga+, insl5a+ and ppyb+ EEC of ascl1a-/ larvae. A-D: FISH performed at 96 hpf with adcyap1a (A), gcga (B), insl5a (C) and ppyb (D) probes on ascl1a-/-; Tg(hsp70l:eGFP-2A-Neurod1) larvae heat-shocked at 36, 46 and 56 hpf. The ascl1a-/- larvae (not shown) do not express any hormones [5]. All views acquired with a fluorescence microscope are ventral with the anterior part to the left. The pancreas is encircled while the location of gut, visualised with a DAPI staining (not shown), is delimited by dashed lines. Scale bar: 100 μm.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Atoh1b and Ascl1a are not able to rescue the expression of Neurod1 in ascl1a-/ larvae. FISH performed at 72hpf with a 3’UTR neurod1 probe on wild-type (A), ascl1a-/- (B), ascl1a-/-; Tg(hsp70l:atoh1b-Myc) (C) ascl1a-/-; Tg(hsp70l:eGFP-2A-ascl1a) (D) and ascl1a-/-; Tg (hsp70l:eGFP-2A-neurod1) (E) larvae, heat-shocked at 36, 46 and 56 hpf. All views are ventral with the anterior part to the left and represent confocal projection images. The pancreas is encircled while the location of gut, visualised with a DAPI staining (not shown), is delimited by dashed lines. Scale bar: 100 μm.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Analysis of EEC inducing capacities of Ascl1a, Neurod1 and the chimeric Ascl1a/Neurod1 proteins. A-G: FISH performed at 56 hpf with the pax6b probe on wild-type embryos without (A) or with the transgenes indicated on the Fig (B-G) and heat-shocked at 38 and 48 hpf. H: Quantification using Imaris software of the volume occupied by the induced pax6b+ cells in the gut for the conditions A to G with Tg2 (Neurod1), arbitrarily set to 100%. All Views are ventral with the anterior part to the left and represent confocal projection images. The pancreas is encircled while the location of the gut, visualised with a DAPI staining
S5 Fig. Gene Ontology analysis of neurod1ΔUCE DE genes. Gene Ontology analysis highlights significant enrichment for insulin signaling pathway in neurod1ΔUCE DE genes. 13 out of 60 genes of the cascade are differentially expressed (indicated by red dots).

S1 Table. List of the DE genes for Ascl1a, Neurod1, Neurod1ΔECD and Neurod1ΔUCE GOF. Expression level of the DE genes given in CPM (counts per million) for the 16 samples as well as the mean and the FDR. Sheet A: DE genes for Neurod1 versus ctrl; Sheet B: DE genes for ascl1a versus ctrl; Sheet C: DE genes common for Ascl1a and Neurod1; Sheet D: DE genes for ascl1a versus Neurod1; Sheet E: DE genes for Neurod1ΔUCE and Neurod1ΔECD versus Neurod1. The list of the expression level of all genes at 52 hpf is provided in S2 Table.

S2 Table. Expression level at 52 hpf of all genes given in CPM for the 16 samples with the means.

S3 Table. List of the DE genes for the Neurod1ΔUCE LOF. Expression level of the DE genes (FDR ≤ 0.1, average expression level >10 CPM) given in CPM for the 6 ctrl and 4 Neurod1ΔUCE EEC as well as the mean and the FDR. Sheet A: List of the 680 DE genes; Sheet B: List of the 75 transcription factors DE.

S4 Table. List of the primers used for constructing the transgene, the Crispr/cas9 guides and the T3 flagged probes.

S5 Table. Numerical data for all graphs.
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