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Acetylation of lysines is a prominent form of modification in mammalian proteins. Deacetylation of proteins is catalyzed by histone deacetylases, traditionally named after their role in histone deacetylation, transcriptional modulation, and epigenetic regulation. Despite the link between histone deacetylases and chromatin structure, some of the histone deacetylases reside in various compartments in the cytoplasm. Here, we review how these cytoplasmic histone deacetylases are regulated, the identification of nonhistone substrates, and the functional implications of their nondeacetylase enzymatic activities.

1. Introduction

Acetylation is one of the most prominent posttranslational modifications affecting the functions of proteins. The first acetylated protein identified is histone [1]. Twenty-seven years after this discovery, histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) were identified to be the enzymes responsible for the acetylation and deacetylation of lysines of histone proteins [2, 3]. A year later, lysine modification of nonhistone proteins was confirmed with p53 [4]. Shortly after, many nonhistone proteins were found to be subject to acetylation/deacetylation (reviewed in [5]). Remarkably, a recent genome-wide screening for acetylated proteins shows that lysine acetylation of nonhistone proteins regulates the formation of large protein complexes with key roles in major cellular processes [6]. Therefore, lysine acetylation, both in histones and nonhistone proteins, appears to be a salient mode of regulation in the cell.

In mammals, there are eleven protein deacetylases baring the names HDACs and seven SIRT proteins homologous to yeast Sir2. The subcellular distribution of these HDACs is not limited to the nucleus. Some of them, like HDAC6 and HDAC10, are distributed mainly in the cytoplasm, while SIRT3, 4, and 5 are mitochondrial proteins. A few of them, including HDAC3, SIRT1, and SIRT2, shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. In this paper, we choose to focus on nonhistone substrates of HDACs, including those in the nucleus and those in the cytoplasm. We will also discuss how these deacetylases are regulated and any non-deacetylase functions they might possess.

2. HDAC3

Subcellular localization distinguishes HDAC3 from other class I HDAC subfamily members. HDAC3 shuttles between the nucleus and the cytoplasm by a CRM1-mediated pathway [7, 8], suggesting that dynamic localization is a key regulator of the function of HDAC3. In addition to targeting histones during interphase (reviewed in [9]), HDAC3 also targets substrates in the cytoplasm and during mitosis, when the nuclear envelope breaks down and the distinction between nucleus and cytoplasm becomes blurred. Table 1 summarizes the subcellular localization and the functions of nonhistone substrates of HDAC3.

2.1. Nonhistone, Nuclear Substrates of HDAC3

2.1.1. MEF2. MEF2 regulates myogenesis and other developmental processes, including apoptosis and cardiac hypertrophy. It has long been shown to interact with class II HDACs...
such as HDAC4 and HDAC5, but how it is deacetylated was unclear. It was later found that MEF2 is sumoylated, not deacetylated, by HDAC4 (discussed in Section 3.4). Interestingly, the classical HDAC that deacetylates MEF2 was identified as HDAC3, and HDAC3 deacetylates MEF2 to repress the MyoD promoter [10].

2.1.2. Export of SRY and PCAF to the Cytoplasm. HDAC3 affects transcription through a two-fold mechanism: by deacetylating targets in the nucleus and by promoting their nuclear exclusion as a result of deacetylation. Deacetylation of SRY by HDAC3 delocalizes SRY to the cytoplasm [11]. Moreover, HDAC3 promotes translocation of PCAF to the cytoplasm during apoptosis [12]. Coexpression HDAC3 with PCAF not only promotes cytoplasmic retention of PCAF but also that of HDAC3, suggesting a novel interplay between HDAC3 and PCAF. HDAC3-mediated deacetylation might reveal nuclear export signals of substrate proteins and help with their interaction with the CRM1-importin machinery [7].

2.2. Cytoplasmic Substrates of HDAC3. HDAC3-mediated deacetylation of STAT1 prevents its phosphorylation, which is required for nuclear translocation and DNA binding of STAT1 [13]. In addition, HDAC3 activity also regulates STAT3 phosphorylation. HDAC3 deacetylates STAT3 at lysine 685, and an acetylation-resistant mutant of STAT3 loses the dimerization ability [14]. HDAC3 was also found to form complexes with STAT3 and PP2A to mediate dephosphorylation of STAT3 [15]. These findings imply that HDAC3 might be responsible for the cytoplasmic retention of STAT3 as well as STAT1. However, factors or signals that activate HDAC3 remain elusive.

2.3. NF-κB: A Special Substrate of HDAC3 Traversing the Nucleus and the Cytoplasm. HDAC3 deacetylates p65 [16], one of the two components of the most abundant form of the NF-κB heterodimer. Deacetylation of p65 at lysine 221 promotes binding of p65 with IκB and rapid nuclear exportation. Deacetylation of lysine 310, however, impairs the transcriptional activity of p65 without changing its DNA-binding activity or nuclear export [17]. Acetylation of p65 was also found on lysines 122/123. Deacetylation of these two lysines by HDAC3 maintains p65 in an active state in the nucleus [18]. Interestingly, HDAC3 itself associates with IκB in the cytoplasm but translocates into the nucleus under TNF-α-induced IκB degradation [19]. These findings suggest that HDAC3 differentially regulates the activity of NF-κB through deacetylating different lysine residues of p65 and is regulated by IκB for cytoplasmic retention.

2.4. Regulation of HDAC3 by Phosphorylation. HDAC3 was found in a protein complex with PP4, and it can be phosphorylated by CK2 [20]. Biochemically, phosphorylation decreases the enzymatic activity of HDAC3 [20]. PP2A also forms a complex with HDAC3 [15]. HDAC3 is phosphorylated at multiple serine/threonine residues during differentiation of endothelial progenitors and interacts with Akt. This interaction promotes phosphorylation of Akt, increasing its kinase activity [21]. However, despite the apparent phosphorylation of HDAC3, whether phosphorylation changes its subcellular localization, substrate specificity, or deacetylase activity in the cytoplasm remains unclear. More systematic studies are needed to clarify how and why HDAC3 is regulated by phosphorylation in a physiological context.

2.5. Association of HDAC3 with the Mitotic Chromosome. HDAC3 was first found to associate with the mitotic chromosome through immunoaffinity purification of the Flag-HDAC3 complex, in which two mitotic chromosome-associated proteins AKAP95 and HA95 were found [22]. Knockdown of HDAC3 by siRNAs blocks histone H3 deacetylation and H3S10 phosphorylation, suggesting H3 modification by this complex. However, the role of AKA95 and HA95, most likely in the recruitment of HDAC3 to the mitotic chromosome, remains unclear. Detailed studies on the role of HDAC3 in mitosis were further extended with add-back strategies using wild-type or deacetylase-dead mutants in HDAC3-knockdown cells. HDAC3 and its enzyme activity are required for the formation of functional mitotic spindles and proper kinetochore-microtubule attachment [23]. Fine mapping on chromosomes, using the same strategies, demonstrates that H3K4 deacetylation by HDAC3 is required for centromeric functions during mitosis. Loss of HDAC3 impairs centromeric H3T3 phosphorylation, Sgo1 localization, and H3K4 methylation during mitosis, leading to abnormal sister-chromatid separation and impaired centromeric functions in mitosis [24]. These findings support a novel, nontranscriptional function of HDAC3 in the cytoplasm during mitosis. It will be interesting to know if AKA95, HA95, and Sgo1 are also substrates of HDAC3. Further identification of nonhistone targets associated with the mitotic chromosome might reveal more functional links between HDAC3 and mitosis.

2.6. Non Deacetylase Function of HDAC3. A recent report about how phosphorylated orphan nuclear receptor TR2 moves to PML nuclear bodies demonstrated that nuclear relocation of TR2 by HDAC3 is deacetylation independent using TSA and HDAC3 deacetylase-dead mutants [25]. This finding suggests a novel function of HDAC3 as a molecular chaperone and alludes to a previous report that HDAC3 requires proper folding and priming by a chaperone complex called TRiC (TCP-1 ring complex) [26]. Geldanamycin, an inhibitor of chaperone functions, inhibits the formation of the TRiC-HDAC3 complex [26]. Interestingly, a recent report showed that TRiC complexes are acetylated [6]. It remains to be uncovered if the histone deacetylase enzyme activity of HDAC3 affects the chaperone function of TRiC and if HDAC3 has innate chaperone activity.

3. HDAC4, 5, 7, 9

HDAC4, 5, 7, and 9 are classified into the class IIA subfamily of HDACs due to similarities in primary structure. Indeed,
Table 1: Subcellular localization and functions of nonhistone substrates of HDAC3.

| Substrates | Localization of substrates | Functions | Comments |
|------------|---------------------------|----------|---------|
| MEF2       | Nucleus                   | Repression of MyoD promoter | HDAC3 is the only Class I HDAC that regulates MEF2 activity |
| SRY        | Nucleus                   | Nuclear export | Decacylation leads to cytoplasmic delocalization. Cytoplasmic translocation promotes apoptosis |
| PCAF       | Nucleus                   | Cytoplasmic retention | Functional interplay between PCAF and HDAC3 |
| STAT1      | Cytoplasm                 | Prevention of STAT1 phosphorylation | |
| STAT3      | Cytoplasm                 | Prevention of dimerization | |
| NF-κB      | Cytoplasm or nucleus      | Cytoplasmic retention or activation of p65 in the nucleus | Functions are dependent on the acetylation lysines |

3.1. Nonhistone, Nuclear Substrates of HDAC4 and HDAC7.

The first reported nonhistone protein substrates of HDAC4 are p53 and Runx2. HDAC4 deacetylates lysines at the C-terminus of p53, resulting in an increase in the transcriptional repression activity of p53 under DNA damage [29]. Acetylation of Runx2 was found during BMP-2-stimulated osteoblast differentiation and bone formation. HDAC4 mediates deacetylation of Runx2 and promotes Smurf-mediated degradation of Runx2 [30].

It is reported that HDAC7 shuttles into the nucleus under hypoxia and functions as a co-repressor for HIF1α [31]. Although HDAC7 interacts with HIF1α and increases the transcriptional activity of HIF1α, whether HDAC7 deacetylates HIF1α remains to be determined.

3.2. Cytoplasmic Substrates of HDAC4.

Recently three proteins were found to be potential targets for deacetylation by HDAC4. The Z-disc-associated protein, MLP, can be acetylated by PCAF and deacetylated by HDAC4 in the cytoplasm [32], which is important in the regulation of muscle contraction. HIF1α interacts with HDAC4 and the cytoplasmic localization of HDAC4 is required for the activity of HIF1α [33]. As HIF1α is destabilized by acetylation [34], HDAC4 might help with deacetylation of HIF1α in the cytoplasm and maintenance of neuronal survival. Moreover, DNAJB8, which is a cytoplasmic chaperone important for suppressing cytotoxic protein aggregation, interacts with HDAC4. Inhibition of HDAC4 reduces the function of DNAJB8 [35]. More cytoplasmic substrates might be found for this subfamily of HDACs in the future.

3.3. Regulation of Nucleocyttoplasmic Shuttling of HDAC4 and HDAC7.

Nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of HDAC4 is controlled by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation (reviewed in [28, 36]). Phosphorylated HDAC4 binds to 14-3-3 and stays in the cytoplasm. This cytoplasmic retention might be due to preferential binding of phosphorylated HDAC4 with 14-3-3 in the cytoplasm, resulting in a decrease in nuclear import [37]. Nuclear import of HDAC4 can be attained by dephosphorylation of serine 298 by PP2A, possibly changing the conformation to expose the nuclear localization signal (NLS) [38]. HDAC4 can also be imported into the nucleus through interacting with MEF2C by using MEF2C’s NLS [38, 39]. A recent paper found that HDAC4-interacting protein DNAJB5 is required for its nuclear retention as loss of this interaction relocates HDAC4 to the cytoplasm [40].

In addition to phosphorylation, oxidation also regulates nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling of HDAC4. Oxidation of HDAC4 at cysteines 667/669 results in the formation of intramolecular disulfide bonds in response to cardiac hypertrophy [40]. In this report, overexpression of a newly identified HDAC4-interacting protein, Trx1 (Thioredoxin 1), suppresses nuclear export of HDAC4 in response to reactive oxygen species (ROS) induced by phenylephrine [40]. It seems that Trx1 regulates the localization of HDAC4 independently of phosphorylation, suggesting multiple ways to regulate nuclear retention of HDAC4.

Finally, proteolysis might control nuclear import of HDAC4 [41, 42]. HDAC4 is cleaved at aspartate 289 by caspase processing, leaving behind the C-terminus of HDAC4 in the cytoplasm [42]. The N-terminal portion of HDAC4 possesses an NLS and accumulates in the nucleus. This fragment also represses the transcriptional activity of MEF2C and increases apoptosis [41, 42]. Figure 1 summarizes how nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling of HDAC4 is regulated.

HDAC7 shuttles into the nucleus under hypoxia through an unknown mechanism [43]. It also specifically localizes to PML NBs through interacting with PML [44, 45]. Ectopic expression of PML relieves the repressive effect of HDAC7 on
Figure 1: A model on the regulation of nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling and the functions of HDAC4. HDAC4 dynamically shuttles between nucleus and cytoplasm, depending on its phosphorylation status. Phosphorylated HDAC4 binds to 14-3-3 and remains in the cytoplasm. The cytoplasmic form of HDAC4 might possess protein deacetylase activity. Whether cytoplasmic HDAC4 possesses SUMO E3 ligase activity remains unclear. Dephosphorylated HDAC4 is imported into the nucleus, where reduction of HDAC4 by Trx1 favors nuclear retention. Oxidases that catalyze the reverse reaction remain to be identified. Nuclear HDAC4 possesses deacetylase as well as SUMO E3 ligase activities on substrates indicated in the figure.

Table 2: Subcellular localization and functions of nonhistone substrates of HDAC4 and HDAC7.

| Histone deacetylases | Substrates | Localization of substrates | Functions | Comments |
|----------------------|------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|
| HDAC4                | p53        | Nucleus                   | Increases repressional activity of p53 | Under DNA damage conditions |
|                      | Runx2      | Nucleus                   | Promotes Smurf-mediated degradation of Runx2 |                      |
|                      | MLP        | Cytoplasm                 | Regulation of muscle contraction in cardiac mechanical stretch |                      |
|                      | HIF1α      | Cytoplasm                 | Cytoplasmic retention to maintain neuronal survival |                      |
|                      | DNAJB8     | Cytoplasm                 | Suppression of cytotoxic protein aggregation |                      |
| HDAC7                | HIF1α      | Nucleus                   | Corepressor for HIF1α | Deacetylation is not confirmed for this interaction |
androgen receptor-mediated transcription by sequestering HDAC7 into PML NBs [44, 45].

3.4. Sumoylation: A Non-deacetylase Function of HDAC4 and HDAC7. HDAC4 was found to be sumoylated at lysine 559 [46]. When this lysine is mutated to arginine, HDAC4 loses its transcriptional repression and histone deacetylation activity [46]. Interestingly, HDAC4 is also a SUMO E3 ligase in vivo and in vitro, targeting MEF2 at lysines 439 and 424 [47, 48]. Sumoylation of MEF2 by HDAC4 potentiates the transcriptional repression activity of MEF2 specifically for muscle differentiation genes [48]. As MEF2 lysine 424 is acetylated by CBP, these findings suggest that the transcriptional activity of MEF2 is regulated by a balance between acetylation and sumoylation, and sumoylation by HDAC4 may prevent lysine acetylation. Recently, additional sumoylation substrates have been attributed to HDAC4. Sumoylation of a transcription factor called ZXDC by HDAC4 enhances its transcriptional activity [49]. LXR is sumoylated with SUMO2/3 by HDAC4, which turns LXR into a transcriptional co-repressor regulating programs of gene expression that control immunity and homeostasis [50]. LXRα, but not LXRβ, specifically associates with the STAT1/HDAC4 complex, whereas HDAC4 promotes sumoylation of LXRα. Furthermore, sumoylation of LXRα is required for the suppression of STAT1-dependent inflammatory responses by LXRs in brain astrocytes stimulated with IFN-γ [51].

HDAC7 was reported to facilitate transcriptional repression in a deacetylase-independent manner [52], which might be a result of sumoylation of HDAC7-interacting proteins. HDAC7 associates with PML, promoting sumoylation of PML as well as the formation of PML NBs [53]. It is possible that the putative E3 enzyme activity of HDAC7 is important for the regulation of androgen receptor-mediated transcription (compare Section 3.3).

4. HDAC6 and 10

HDAC6 is a cytoplasmic, class IIb HDAC. Most of the studies focus on its substrate tubulin and how (de)acetylation of tubulin affects lymphocyte chemotaxis, cellular adhesions, aggresome formation, EGFR signaling, HIV infection, stress granules in stress response, and growth factor-induced actin aggresome formation, EGFR signaling, HIV infection, stress response, and growth factor-induced actin remodeling and endocytosis (reviewed in [54–58]). Here, we focus on recent identification of novel HDAC6 substrates, regulation of HDAC6 deacetylase activity through protein-protein interactions (summarized in Figure 2 and Table 3), and the biological function of a close relative of HDAC6, HDAC10.

4.1. Cytoplasmic Substrates of HDAC6

4.1.1. Hsp90. Hsp90 was the second HDAC6 substrate identified in the cytoplasm after tubulin. Although Hsp90 can be acetylated at different lysines, HDAC6 specifically deacetylates lysine 294 of Hsp90 [64]. Deacetylation of this lysine decreases the chaperone function of Hsp90, specifically Hsp90’s interaction with cochaperones p23 and client protein glucocorticoid receptor (GR) ([65]; reviewed in [54]). Deacetylation of Hsp90 by HDAC6 results in retention of p23 and GR in the cytoplasm, failure of GR maturation, and decreased transcription of target genes. This model is further examined in aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) signaling. Inhibition of HDAC6 blocks the formation of the chaperone complex containing Hsp90, AhR, p23, and XAP-2 as well as the activation of downstream target genes [66].

4.1.2. Cortactin. HDAC6 deacetylates cortactin, an F-actin-binding protein. Deacetylation of cortactin increases its interaction with F-actin [67]. A mutation of cortactin that mimics acetylation prevents its localization to membrane ruffles and inhibits cell motility. HDAC6 knockdown causes the same effect [67]. Based on these findings, Lee and his colleagues found that deacetylation of cortactin by HDAC6 is important for autophagy ([68]; reviewed in [69]).

4.1.3. β-Catenin. β-catenin is found to be acetylated at lysine 49 [70], which is frequently mutated in anaplastic thyroid cancer. Acetylation of lysine 49 blocks phosphorylation of serine 45 of β-catenin [70], which promotes its degradation [71, 72]. Therefore, HDAC6 inactivation inhibits EGF-induced nuclear translocation β-catenin, resulting in inhibition of cellular growth [70]. This finding suggests a new role of HDAC6 in the regulation of specific signal transduction pathways.

4.1.4. Peroxiredoxins. Peroxiredoxin (Prx) I and Prx II are antioxidants that reduce H2O2, a process important in the modulation of intracellular redox status. Deacetylation of Prx I and Prx II by HDAC6 leads to apoptosis [73], suggesting that HDAC6 regulates stress response by altering redox homeostasis.

4.2. Regulation of HDAC6 by Protein-Protein Interaction. Unlike class IIa HDAC members, HDAC6 has not been shown to be regulated through nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling. Interestingly, several recent reports describe that HDAC6 enzymatic activity is negatively regulated by protein-protein interaction partners (Figure 2 and Table 3), [59–63]. For example, interaction with Ilp45 renders HDAC6 unstable [61], while EGFR signaling results in HDAC6 phosphorylation at tyrosine 570, reducing its deacetylase activity [63]. Studies from these newly identified HDAC6-interacting proteins suggest that, in addition to direct chemical inhibition, HDAC6 can be subject to regulation by extracellular and intracellular stimuli.

4.3. HDAC10: An Enigmatic Relative of HDAC6. While HDAC6 plays multiple roles in the cytoplasm, very little is known about another cytoplasmic deacetylase, HDAC10, which is closest to HDAC6 (reviewed in [55]). Recently, HDAC10 is shown to target nonhistone substrates both in the nucleus and the cytoplasm [74]. Using immunoaffinity purification, Hsp70 was identified as the most abundant protein associated with HDAC10 and HDAC10 only interacts with a deacetylated form of Hsp70, suggesting that HDAC10 might contribute to the deacetylation status of Hsp70 [74].
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Figure 2: Regulation and functional ramifications of the HDAC6 deacetylase activity. Interaction with protein partners reduces protein deacetylase activity of HDAC6, which is important for the deacetylation of substrate proteins and cellular effects as indicated.

Table 3: Proteins interacting with and inhibiting the deacetylase activity of HDAC6.

| Interacting proteins | Substrates affected                                                                 | Functions involved                                      | References |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| TPPP/p25             | Tubulin                                                                             | Decrease cell mobility                                  | [59]       |
| CYLD                 | Tubulin                                                                             | Cell proliferation                                      | [60]       |
| IIp45                | Tubulin (decreases stability of HDAC6)                                             | Cell migration                                          | [61]       |
| Tau                  | Tubulin                                                                             | Prevent autophagy                                       | [62]       |
| EGFR                 | Tubulin (decreases deacetylase activity of HDAC6 by phosphorylation)               | Decrease endocytosis and degradation                    | [63]       |

Using a specific histone deacetylase inhibitor FK228 to treat K562 cells, acetylation of Hsp70 is increased while that of Hsp90 remains unchanged [75]. Interestingly, acetylated Hsp70 specifically interacts with c-Abl and Bcr-Abl in K562 cells, promoting Bcr-Abl degradation [75]. These results suggest that acetylation of Hsp70, which possibly results from deregulation of HDAC10 rather than HDAC6, might cause incorrect folding of substrate proteins in the cytoplasm and subsequent degradation (Figure 3). Furthermore, HDAC10 might target nonhistone proteins important in transcriptional regulation. HDAC10 interacts with deacetylated forms of Pax3 and KAP1, resulting in derepression of Pax3 target genes during melanogenesis [74]. These lines of evidence suggest that, like HDAC6, HDAC10 has nonhistone targets in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm. Full revelations of the physiologic functions of HDAC10 will come from identification of additional HDAC10 targets.

5. SIRT1–5

Sirt1 is an NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase that extends life span in yeast. Mammalian SIRT proteins, or sirtuins, are yeast Sir2 homologs that regulate diverse processes including life span, energy metabolism, stress response, DNA repair, and tumorigenesis. Seven mammalian SIRT proteins have been identified to date. Most reviews focus on SIRT1, the closest relative of yeast Sir2, and SIRT2 (for a very recent review on SIRT1 and 2, see [76]). Mitochondrial sirtuins are usually reviewed separately [77–79]. In this section, we will briefly discuss the functions of SIRT1 and SIRT2 in the cytoplasm as their general (nuclear) functions are extensively reviewed in the literature, summarize the functions of SIRT3, 4, 5 that reside in the mitochondrion, and omit chromatin-associating SIRT6 and nucleolar-residing SIRT7. For a concise overview of the function of all seven sirtuins, readers are referred to recent reviews such as [80–82]. Table 4 summaries the substrates and the functions of these cytoplasmic sirtuins.

5.1. SIRT1

The subcellular localization of SIRT1 is cell type dependent. Studies using cell lines and animals show that in some cells SIRT1 is predominately nuclear while in others it is predominately cytoplasmic [83, 84]. Two nuclear localization signals and two nuclear export signals have been identified in SIRT1 [83, 84]. Cytoplasmic localization of SIRT1, mediated
Figure 3: A model of how HDAC6/Hsp90 and HDAC10/Hsp70 collaboratively work as protein chaperones. Deacetylation of Hsp90 catalyzed by HDAC6 might prime its chaperone function. Deacetylated Hsp70, catalyzed by HDAC10 or by another deacetylase, joins the Hsp90/chaperone client complexes to help with correct folding of the clients. Acetylation of Hsp70, a likely result of HDAC10 deregulation, might cause incorrect folding of proteins or facilitate the subsequent degradation of misfolded proteins.

5.2. SIRT2. SIRT2 is predominately cytoplasmic [88], with nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling reported [89, 90]. The biological function of SIRT2 was first revealed by the identification that SIRT2 is an NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase specific for α-tubulin [88]. A number of nuclear substrates deacetylated by SIRT2 has also been identified, including p53, FOXO1, FOXO3a, histone H4, histone H3, and p300 [91–96], whereas the biological significance of these nuclear substrates will be excluded from the discussion here. The deacetylase activity of SIRT2 is modulated by phosphorylation and acetylation, although the exact subcellular localization of these modifications remains unspecified. Phosphorylation of the SIRT2 protein at serine 368, which inhibits SIRT2
activity, is mediated by cyclin-dependent kinases Cdk1, Cdk2, and Cdk5 [97, 98]. Dephosphorylation of SIRT2 is attributed to CDC14B [97, 99]. Acetylation of SIRT2 by p300, which is also a substrate of SIRT2, downregulates the activity of SIRT2 [100]. SIRT2 also interacts with HDAC6, HOXA10, 14-3-3 β/γ, and members of the DNAJB chaperone family [35, 88, 92, 101]. Compared with other mammalian sirtuins, SIRT2 expresses abundantly in the brain [98, 102]. Given that SIRT2 deacetylates α-tubulin, SIRT2 might be instrumental in the regulation of neuronal processes involving microtubules, such as neurite outgrowth, dynamics of cytoskeletal growth cones, oligodendrocyte arborization, and migration of glioma (reviewed in [103]). SIRT2 has also been implicated in the proliferation of gliomas [104]. Further studies show that SIRT2 regulates mitotic exit from the normal cell cycle as well as mitotic checkpoints under mitotic stress induced by microtubule inhibitors ([99, 105, 106] and reviewed in [82]).

SIRT2 has been implicated in the regulation of mitotic exit. It accumulates during G2/M transition, and over-expressed SIRT2 prolongs mitosis [99, 101]. Furthermore, microinjection of O-acetyl-ADP-ribose formed during SIRT2-mediated deacetylation or low amounts of human SIRT2 blocks starfish oocyte maturation [107]. It is postulated that a decrease in the amount or enzymatic activity of SIRT2 is required for proper mitotic exit. Findings showing how SIRT2 is regulated by cyclin-dependent kinases and CDC14B, which is important for cytokinesis, seem to support this hypothesis. However, the detailed mechanism of how SIRT2 regulates mitotic exit remains elusive.

Studies from the Oshimura group further found that SIRT2 blocks chromosome condensation in the presence of microtubule poisons such as nocodazole, enabling a mitotic checkpoint [105, 106], a phenomenon previously ascribed to the prophase checkpoint protein CHFR [108]. SIRT2 appears to be necessary to rescue cells from prolonged mitotic arrest and to avoid secondary outcomes such as cell death and hyperploidy that usually accompany release from such mitotic arrest [106]. Furthermore, downregulation of SIRT2 using siRNA causes centrosome fragmentation before the activation of the mitotic checkpoint elicited by nocodazole [106]. These results suggest that SIRT2 is crucial in the regulation of mitotic checkpoints and centrosome integrity.

5.3. SIRT3. SIRT3 is a mitochondrial matrix protein that regulates mitochondrial protein acetylation [109–111], and

| Mammalian cytoplasmic sirtuins | Substrates | Functions | Comments |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| SIRT1 (subcellular localization is cell type dependent) | | Cytoplasmic localization of SIRT1 is linked to apoptosis | Whether SIRT1 enhances or decreases apoptosis and the mechanistic details remain unclear |
| SIRT2 (shuttles between nucleus and cytoplasm) | α-tubulin | Mitotic exit of normal cell cycle | Subcellular localization of SIRT2 modifications that modulate deacetylase activity of SIRT2, namely, phosphorylation and acetylation, is largely unspecified |
| SIRT3 (in mitochondria) | AceCS2, GDH, ICDH2, Complex I of electron transport chain, Ku70, Nampt, MRPL10 | Regulation of energy metabolism and apoptosis | GDH activity is not significantly altered in Sirt3 knockout mice |
| SIRT4 (in mitochondria) | GDH (SIRT4 also interacts with ANT2, SIRT3, and IDE) | Regulation of insulin secretion | SIRT4 is an ADP ribosylase. No deacetylase activity is reported for SIRT4. ADP-ribosylation of ANT2, SIRT3, or IDE by SIRT4 has not been shown definitely |
| SIRT5 (in mitochondria) | CPS1 cytochrome c | Regulation of urea cycle Promotes apoptosis? | Exact submitochondrial localization of SIRT5, how calorie restriction alters CPS1 acetylation through SIRT5, and what lysines in CPS1 are acetylated under different nutrient availability remain controversial |
its N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequence needs to be removed for full deacetylase activity [111, 112]. It has been suggested that SIRT3 might translocate to the nucleus [113–115], and the nuclear presence of SIRT3 requires both the mitochondrial targeting signal as well as the putative nuclear localization signal [113]. The physiological significance of the presence of SIRT3 in the nucleus, the mitochondrion, or even the cytoplasm remains controversial (reviewed in [116]). Some studies suggest that it depends on whether the SIRT3 protein is proteolytically processed [115], as both a long form and a short form of the SIRT3 protein have been reported [117–120] and cleavage of SIRT3 affects subcellular location [115].

The substrates of SIRT3 known to date fall into two categories: those involved in energy metabolism and those involved in apoptosis. The former group includes acetyl-CoA synthase 2 (AceCS2) [111, 121], glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) [79], isocitrate dehydrogenase 2 (ICDH2) [79], and Complex I of the electron transport chain [122]. The latter includes Ku70 [115], nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (Nampt) [123], and MRPL10 (mitochondrial ribosomal protein L10) [124].

Deacetylation of AceCS2 at lysine 642 by SIRT3 activates the enzymatic activity of AceCS2 [111, 121], which converts acetyl-CoA into acetyl-CoA [125]. GDH converts glutamate to α-ketoglutarate [126]. In vitro deacetylation of GDH by SIRT3 activates its activity by 10% [79], and GDH is hyperacetylated in Sirt3 knockout mice [109]. However, GDH activity is not significantly altered in Sirt3 knockout mice [127]. SIRT3 deacetylates and activates the activity of ICDH2 in vitro in a dose-dependent manner [79]. Components of the electron transport chain Complex I show increased acetylation levels and decreased activity in Sirt3−/− cells [122]. SIRT3 also physically interacts with the NDUFA9 subunit of Complex I [122].

In cardiomyocytes, SIRT3 deacetylates Ku70 and promotes association between Ku70 and Bax, thereby preventing Bax-mediated apoptosis [115]. Studies using siRNA show that SIRT3 (and SIRT4) is required for Nampt-mediated protection against methylmethane sulfonate-induced cell death [123]. Nampt catalyzes the rate-limiting step in NAD+ biosynthesis from NAM [128, 129] and is upregulated by fasting or cell stress [123]. Upregulation (an increase in protein amount) of Nampt by nutrient deprivation might be a result of SIRT3-mediated deacetylation and activation of mitochondrial ribosomal protein MRPL10, which enhances mitochondrial protein synthesis [124]. Interestingly, angiotensin II (Ang II) downregulates the mRNA level of Sirt3, and this downregulation is inhibited by application of an antagonist to Ang II type 1 receptor [130]. These results suggest that SIRT3 promotes longevity and cellular response to different forms of stress through a multitude of mechanisms. However, as SIRT3 is also reported as a pro-apoptotic factor [131], the role of SIRT3 in apoptosis requires additional evidence to clarify.

5.4. SIRT4. SIRT4 resides in the mitochondrial matrix [132–134]. SIRT4 has not been shown to possess protein deacetylase activity. Instead, it ADP ribosylates glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) [133]. In mitochondria, GDH catalyzes the conversion of glutamate to α-ketoglutarate, which subsequently enters the tricarboxylic acid cycle [126]. ADP ribosylation by SIRT4 inhibits the enzymatic activity of GDH and hinders the metabolism of glutamine and glutamate to generate ATP [133]. As a result, SIRT4 represses insulin secretion of pancreatic β cells in response to glucose and amino acids.

Further evidence that SIRT4 regulates insulin secretion comes from the finding that SIRT4 associates with adenine nucleotide translocator 2 (ANT2) [132]. Metabolites from glycolysis and tricarboxylic acid cycle fuel the production of ATP through oxidative phosphorylation, which leads to an increase in the level of ATP in the mitochondrial matrix. ATP/ADP translocators such as ANT2 facilitate exchange of mitochondrial ATP for cytosolic ADP, causing an increase of the cytosolic ATP/ADP ratio. In response, ATP-sensitive K+ channels close, which leads to plasma membrane depolarization and opening of the voltage-dependent Ca2+ channels. The resulting flux of intracellular Ca2+ prompts insulin exocytosis (reviewed in [135]). Therefore, findings that SIRT4 ADP ribosylates GDH and interacts with ANT2 strongly support a role of SIRT4 in the regulation of insulin secretion. An inverse relationship between GDH activity and ADP-ribosylation is also observed during calorie restriction (CR) in that hepatic GDH activity is elevated while the level of ADP-ribosylation of GDH is decreased [133]. Interestingly, CR induces amino acid-stimulated insulin secretion in pancreatic islets [133, 136]. These phenomena allude to previous findings that, during CR, amino acids serve as carbon sources [136, 137]. However, they do not explain the need to upregulate the activity of GDH and the secretion of insulin during CR.

The studies surrounding GDH and insulin secretion inevitably raise the question of how the ADP-ribosylase activity of SIRT4 is regulated. Unfortunately, there is no clear answer to that question. However, SIRT3, another mitochondrial sirtuin that interacts with Complex I of the electron transport chain [122] and shows weak deacetylase activity toward GDH [79], interacts with SIRT4 [132]. SIRT4 also interacts with insulin-degrading enzyme (IDE), although it has not been shown clearly whether IDE can be ADP-ribosylated by SIRT4 [132]. It is tempting to speculate that the activity of SIRT4 is regulated by another sirtuin, perhaps by NAD+–dependent deacetylation or by a certain kind of insulin-sensing mechanism. Further experimental support is needed to answer these questions.

5.5. SIRT5. The N-terminal 36 amino acids of SIRT5 are removed after SIRT5 is imported into the mitochondrial matrix [127, 138]. However, debates remain surrounding the exact location of SIRT5 in the mitochondrion. Endogenous murine SIRT5 shows exclusive matrix localization [127]. However, overexpressed SIRT5 is located in the mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS) in COS7 cells [113]. To add to the confusion, in vitro import assay using isolated yeast mitochondria shows that human SIRT5 is imported into both the IMS and the matrix [79]. There is also a report showing that SIRT5 exists in both the nucleus and
the cytoplasm [139]. To fully understand the physiological significance as well as regulation of SIRT5, the exact cellular location of this sirtuin needs to be determined.

The in vivo substrate of SIRT5 has recently been identified as carbamoyl phosphate synthase 1 (CPS1) in an immunocapture screen for SIRT5-interacting partners [127]. CPS1 is the committed enzyme of the urea cycle, which is important for the removal of excess ammonia [140, 141]. Excess ammonia is generated during fasting or as a result of high-protein diet [141–144]. Activation of CPS1 by SIRT5 is, therefore, crucial for the conversion of ammonia into carbamoyl phosphate, and ultimately, urea. NAD⁺-dependent deacetylation of CPS1 by SIRT5 activates the enzymatic activity of CPS1 in vitro, and CPS1 activity is decreased in Sirt5 knockout mice [127]. Nutrient deprivation induces CPS1 activity in normal primary hepatocytes [127]. More importantly, during starvation, Sirt5 knockout mice show higher levels of blood ammonia, lower CPS1 activity, and higher levels of CPS1 acetylation compared with wild-type animals [127]. However, in Sirt 5−/− cells, CPS1 activity is less sensitive to nutrient deprivation treatment [127].

It appears that CR for 6 months brings about a decreased level of CPS1 acetylation in normal mice, lower than that observed for animals fed ad libitum but higher than in fasted animals [127]. However, the acetylation level of CPS1 has also been reported to be increased by CR [145]. Potential controversy exists regarding the level and particular lysines acetylated in CPS1 in response to varied nutrient availability (discussed in [78]). Along the lines of energy metabolism, it has been shown that the mitochondrial level of NAD⁺ increases about twofold while that of NADH remains constant during fasting, and SIRT5 activity is stimulated by NAD⁺ with a $K_m$ of 50–100 μM in vitro [127]. Moreover, ethanol causes a decrease in both NAD⁺ level [146] and the activity of SIRT5 [147]. These findings raise the possibility that SIRT5 is regulated by the level of NAD⁺. However, as NAD⁺ affects the activity of many sirtuins, more biochemical analysis is needed to discriminate the differences between sirtuins in their response to NAD⁺ levels.

In addition to CPS1, cytochrome c has been reported to be deacetylated by SIRT5 in an ELISA-based system and colocalizes with SIRT5 in the IMS [79]. It is possible that SIRT5-mediated deacetylation contributes to the regulation of the proapoptotic activity of cytochrome c. Furthermore, overexpressed and mitochondrially localized SIRT5 promotes apoptosis in cerebellar granule neurons and in HT-22 neuroblastoma cells [139]. The role of SIRT5 in apoptosis no doubt depends on its exact subcellular location, and the causal relationship between SIRT5 and apoptosis remains to be clarified.

### 6. Concluding Remarks

Acetylation is a rather common form of post-translational modification [6]. However, when, how, and which deacetylases regulate the acetylation status of proteins remain largely unknown. Recent studies about HDAC3 and NF-κB also remind us that deacetylation of different lysines on the same substrate protein can have divergent consequences. Therefore, the field of protein acetylation is far from mature. Future advances will likely come from understanding of how the activity of deacetylases is regulated as well as identification of novel, non-deacetylation activities. We now know that multiple inputs, coming from interactions with cytoplasmic proteins, are integrated into HDAC6 to regulate its deacetylase activity. Moreover, HDAC4 and HDAC7 participate in protein sumoylation, while HDAC3 might function as a protein chaperone. Similar discoveries will further enlighten us on this fascinating group of proteins and clarify some of the controversies surrounding the mechanistic details of mammalian sirtuins.
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