BASED CHORD DIAGRAMS OF SPHERICAL CURVES

NOBORU ITO

ABSTRACT. This paper demonstrates an approach for developing a framework to produce invariants of base-point-free generic spherical curves under some chosen local moves from Reidemeister moves using based chord diagrams. Our invariants not only contain Arnold’s classical generic spherical curve invariant but also new invariants.

1. INTRODUCTION

A spherical curve is the image of a generic immersion of a circle into a two-dimensional sphere. Any two spherical curves are related by a finite sequence consisting of three types of local replacements, namely, Reidemeister moves RI, RII, and RIII (Fig. 1). Moves RII and RIII can be decomposed into two types of moves: strong and weak moves (Fig. 2).

A chord diagram of a spherical curve is the immersing oriented circle on which the preimages of all the double points are placed and connected by a chord (Definition 2). For each double point of a spherical curve, a unique replacement can be obtained by orienting the spherical curve arbitrarily, as shown in Fig. 3. Here, this replacement does not depend on the orientation of the spherical curve. A spherical curve with over- /under- information of every double point is said to be a knot diagram. A double point of a knot diagram is called a crossing.

Key words and phrases. spherical curves; chord diagrams; knot projections.
MSC2010: 57M25; 57Q35

The work was partly supported by a Waseda University Grant for Special Research Projects (Project number: 2014K-6292) and The JSPS Japanese-German Graduate Externship. The author was a project researcher of Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S) (No. 24224002) (2016.4–2017.3). The work was partly supported by Sumitomo Foundation (Grant for Basic Science Research Projects, Project number: 160556).
in the right figure of Fig. 3 is called a negative crossing. The chord diagram of every knot diagram consists of oriented chords; this is known as an arrow diagram, previously introduced by Polyak and Viro [6] (see also [5]). An oriented chord is called an arrow.

An arrow diagram with a base point is called a based arrow diagram (Definition 3). Fig. 4 describes the process for obtaining a based arrow diagram from a spherical curve with a base point. As shown in Fig. 4, we select an arbitrary base point on the spherical curve that does not coincide with any of the double points.

We are interested in such based arrow diagrams for the following reasons. If we count the number of sub-arrow diagrams of type $\otimes$ embedded into the entire (based) arrow diagram of a spherical curve $P$, the result is an integer determined by $P$, denoted as $\otimes(P)$. We can see that $\otimes(P) \pmod{3}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$-valued invariant under RI and strong RI and $\otimes(P) \pmod{4}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$-valued invariant under RI and strong RI. Here, when we consider invariants under certain Reidemeister moves, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-valued quantity, $\otimes(P)$ produces only an invariant under RI.

By contrast, sub-based arrow diagrams can be used to define a greater number of invariants of spherical curves without a base point than a single invariant $\otimes(P)$ (Theorem 1).

The following is the plan of this paper. Sec. 2 contains definitions, notations and one of the main results. Sec. 3 presents the proof of Theorem 1 and Sec. 4 explains the framework that produces these invariants. Sec. 5 shows that one of the invariants in Theorem 1 is equal to a linear combination of Arnold’s invariants. Sec. 6 introduces a new invariant under RI and weak RI because an invariant under RI and weak RI is not covered by Theorem 1. Finally, in Sec. 7 we construct tables from the values of these invariants for prime knot projections without 1-gons up to seven double points.
2. Definitions, notations, and main results

**Definition 1** (oriented Gauss word). Let \( \hat{n} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). A word \( w \) of length \( n \) is a map from \( \hat{n} \) to \( \mathbb{N} \) and each element of \( w(\hat{n}) \) is called a letter. Traditionally, the word is represented by \( w(1)w(2)\cdots w(n) \). A Gauss word of length \( 2n \) is a word \( w \) of length \( 2n \) such that each letter appears exactly twice in \( w(1)w(2)\cdots w(2n) \). For a given Gauss word \( w \) and for each letter \( k \), we distinguish the two \( k \)'s in \( w \) by calling one \( k \) a head and the other tail. The assignments are expressed by adding extra information to \( w = w(1)w(2)\cdots w(2n) \), that is, we add “\( \bar{\ } \)” on the letters which are assigned tails. This new word \( w^* \) is called an oriented Gauss word. We call each letter of an oriented Gauss word an oriented letter. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that the set of the letters in \( w(\hat{2n}) \) is \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots, \bar{n}\} \). Let \( v^* \) be another oriented Gauss word that is induced from \( v \). Two oriented Gauss words, \( v^* \) and \( w^* \), of length \( 2n \) are isomorphic if there exists a bijection \( f : w(\hat{2n}) \to v(\hat{2n}) \) such that \( v^* = f^* \circ w^* \) where \( f^* : w^*(\hat{2n}) = \{ 1, 2, \ldots, n, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots, \bar{n} \} \to v^*(\hat{2n}) \) is the bijection such that \( f^*(i) = f(i) \) and \( f^*(\bar{i}) = f(\bar{i}) \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\). Isomorphism induces an equivalence relation on oriented Gauss words. For an oriented Gauss word \( w \) of length \( 2n \), the equivalence class containing \( w^* \) is denoted by \([w]\).

**Definition 2** (chord diagram, arrow diagram, and based arrow diagram). A chord diagram is a configuration of \( 2n \) paired points on an oriented circle. The two points of each pair are usually connected by a straight arc, called a chord. An arrow diagram is a chord diagram such that each pair of points consists of a starting point and an end point and the circle is oriented counterclockwise. The orientation of each chord is represented by an arrow from the tail to the head. Each oriented chord is called an arrow. A based arrow diagram is an arrow diagram with a base point on the circle where the base point does not coincide with one of the paired points.

Note that we have one to one correspondence between the equivalence classes of Gauss words of length \( 2n \) and the based arrow diagrams, each of which has \( n \) arrows, as shown in Fig. 5. In the rest of this paper, we identify these four expressions in Fig. 5 and freely use this identification.

**Definition 3** (a based arrow diagram \( AD_P \) of a spherical curve \( P \)). Let \( P \) be a spherical curve. By definition, there exists a generic immersion \( g : S^1 \to S^2 \) such
that \( g(S^1) = P \). We define a base chord diagram of \( P \) (e.g., Fig. 4) as follows. Let \( l \) be the number of the double points of \( P \). Fix a base point, which does not coincide with a double point, and choose an orientation of \( P \). The spherical curve with the orientation and the base point is denoted by \( \hat{P}^+ \) and the oriented spherical curve \( P \) with the opposite orientation having the base point is denoted by \( \hat{P}^- \).

Starting at the base point, proceed along \( \hat{P}^+ \) according to the orientation of \( \hat{P}^+ \). To begin with, we assign integer 1 to the first double point that we encounter. Then we assign integer 2 to the next double point that we encounter provided it is not the first double point which has been already assigned integer 1. If we have already assigned 1, 2, \ldots, \( p \) and we encounter the next double point that has not assigned yet, then we assign \( p + 1 \) to it. Following the same procedure, we finish to label all the double points of \( \hat{P}^+ \). By definition, \( g^{-1}(i) \) consists of two points on \( S^1 \) and we shall assign \( i \) to them. Then the chord diagram with a base point is represented by \( \{ g^{-1}(\text{the base point on } P) \} \), \( g^{-1}(\text{double point assigned 1}) \), \( g^{-1}(\text{double point assigned 2}) \), \ldots, \( g^{-1}(\text{double point assigned } l) \) on a circle. Then, \( g^{-1}(\text{the base point on } P) \) on \( S^1 \) is called the base point of a chord diagram.

Next, we consider the knot diagram obtained from \( \hat{P}^+ \) by replacing every double point with a negative crossing with respect to the orientation, as shown in Fig. 3.

We assign an orientation to each chord where the head corresponding to the under path. Then, this based arrow diagram is denoted by \( AD_{p+} \) and is called a based arrow diagram of \( P \).

Note that by definition, it is easy to show that the based arrow diagram \( AD_{p+} \) is the reflection image of \( AD_{p-} \). Note also that the based arrow diagram \( AD_{p+} \) gives an equivalence class of oriented Gauss words, say \([v_{p+}]\). Then, by the definition of the equivalence relation, it is elementary to show that the map \( \hat{P}^+ \mapsto [v_{p+}] \) is well-defined.

**Notation 1** (\( x(P) \)). Let \( x \) be a based arrow diagram. For a given spherical curve \( P \), we choose and fix a base point and an orientation of \( P \), i.e., we obtain \( \hat{P}^\epsilon \) (\( \epsilon = + \) or \( - \)). We fix an oriented Gauss word \( G \) isomorphic to \( w_{p+} \). We consider the set \( \{ G' \mid G' \text{ is obtained from } G \text{ by ignoring some pairs of oriented letters } \} \). Then, we consider the subset of this set consisting of the elements, each of which is isomorphic to \( x \). We denote the cardinality of this subset by \( x(G) \). Suppose that \( H \) is another oriented Gauss word isomorphic to \( w_{p+} \). By definition, \( x(G) = x(H) \). Thus, this number, determined by \([v_{p+}]\), is denoted by \( x(\hat{P}^\epsilon) \). If \( P \) is oriented and has a base point, i.e., \( P = \hat{P}^\epsilon \) but \( x(\hat{P}^\epsilon) \) does not depend on the choice of the base point and the orientation, we may write \( x(P) \) to represent \( x(\hat{P}^\epsilon) \).

**Definition 4** (connected sum, additivity). Let \( P_i \) be spherical curve \((i = 1, 2)\). Suppose that the ambient 2-spheres are oriented. Let \( p_i \) be a point on \( P_i \) such that \( p_i \) does not coincide with a double point \((i = 1, 2)\). Let \( d_i \) be a sufficiently small disk with center \( p_i \) \((i = 1, 2)\) where \( d_i \cap P_i \) consists of an arc properly embedded in \( d_i \). Let \( d_i = cl(S^2 \setminus d_i) \) and \( \hat{P}_i = P_i \cap \hat{d}_i \). Let \( h : \partial \hat{d}_i \to \partial d_i \) be a homeomorphism such that \( h(\partial P_i) = \partial P_2 \). Then, \( \hat{P}_1 \cup_h \hat{P}_2 \) obtains a spherical curve in the 2-sphere \( d_1 \cup_h d_2 \). The spherical curve \( \hat{P}_1 \cup_h \hat{P}_2 \) in the 2-sphere is denoted by \( P_1 \sharp \{ (p_1, p_2, h) \} P_2 \) and is called a connected sum of the spherical curves \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) at the pair \( (p_1, p_2) \) (see Fig. 4). Let \( I \) be a function on the set of the spherical curves. We say that \( I \) is additive if \( I((P_1 \sharp \{ (p_1, p_2, h) \} P_2) = I(P_1) + I(P_2) \) for any spherical curves \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), for any pair \( (p_1, p_2) \) consisting of points, and for any \( h \).
We have Theorem 1 as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( P \) be a spherical curve and let \( \hat{P}^e \) be \( P \) with a base point and an orientation. The integers \( \star \times (\hat{P}^e) \), \( \times \times (\hat{P}^e) \), and \( \star \star (\hat{P}^e) + \star\star(\hat{P}^e) \) do not depend on the choice of the base point and the orientation. Hence, these integers can be denoted by \( \star \times (P) \), \( \times \times (P) + \star \times (P) \), and \( \star \star (P) \), respectively. Further,

\[
\star \times (P) + \times \star (P) - \star \star (P)
\]

is invariant under RI and strong RIII,

\[
\times \star (P) - \times \star (P) - \star \star (P) + \star \star (P)
\]

is invariant under RI and strong RII, and

\[
\star \star (P) (= \times \star (P))
\]

is invariant under RI and weak RIII. All these invariants are additive and non-trivial.

3. **Proof of Theorem 1**

**Proof.** (Independence of double points.)

To begin with, we show that \( \star \times (\hat{P}^e) \), \( \times \times (\hat{P}^e) \), and \( \star \star (\hat{P}^e) + \star\star(\hat{P}^e) \) of a spherical curve \( P \) are independent of the base points. It is sufficient to consider two cases to move the base point over an arrow \( A \) (Fig. 7). Before we consider the two cases, we present Lemma 1.

**Lemma 1.** Let \( X \) be an arbitrary arrow of a based arrow diagram of an oriented spherical curve with a base point, as shown in Fig. 8. Consider the case where we move along \( X \) in the direction from its start to end. If the number of arrows, each of which intersects the arrow \( X \) from left to right is \( \alpha \), then the number of arrows, each of which crosses \( X \) from right to left is also \( \alpha \).
(Proof of Lemma \footnote{1}) Arrow $X$ corresponds to a double point as shown in Fig. 8. First, choose orientation of $P$. Second, an operation, as shown in Fig. 9, is applied to the double point that corresponds to $X$. It is clear that the two-component spherical curves $Q$ and $R$ mutually intersect at even number of double points. Let $d$ be an arbitrary double point of an intersection between $Q$ and $R$. Let $d_Q$ and $d_R$ be the branches corresponding to a double point $d$. For $d$, there are two types of intersections, (a) and (b), as shown in the left-most column of Fig. 10. From Fig. 10, it is easy to see that the number of double points of type (a) is equal to that of (b) (e.g., this can be seen when all the self-intersections of the components $Q$ and $R$ are smoothened by Seifert resolutions, as shown in the first line of Fig. 11). Then, the intersections between $Q$ and $R$ become double points among simple closed curves. Note that any two simple closed curves $q$ and $r$ mutually intersect at even number of double points. cf. Fig. 11.\\
(End of Proof of Lemma \footnote{1})

Now we consider Cases 1 and 2 shown in Fig. 7 using Lemma \footnote{1}. For Case 1, let $S_1 = \{a \mid \text{consists of arrow } a \text{ and } A \text{ before the move is applied}\}$, and for Case 2, let $S_2 = \{a \mid \text{consists of arrow } a \text{ and } A \text{ before the move is applied}\}$. For
Figure 11. $Q$ and $R$ mutually intersect at even number of double points.

Table 1. Cases 1 and 2.

|                | Case 1 | Case 2 |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| $\checkmark(\hat{p}^\epsilon)$ | $\beta_1 \to \beta_1$ | $0 \to 0$ |
| $\bigotimes(\hat{p}^\epsilon)$ | $\beta_1 \to 0$ | $0 \to \beta_2$ |
| $\bigotimes(\hat{p}^\epsilon)$ | $0 \to \beta_1$ | $\beta_2 \to 0$ |
| $\checkmark(\hat{p}^\epsilon)$ | $0 \to 0$ | $\beta_2 \to \beta_2$ |
arbitrarily positioned. By definition, we see that for an arbitrary spherical curve, \( \bigotimes(P) \), \( \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) \), and \( \bigotimes(P) \) are invariant under RI (Fig. 12). Next, we see the differences for \( \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) \) and \( \bigotimes(P) \) with respect to a single strong RI \( \mathbb{I} \), as shown in Fig. 13. A single strong RI \( \mathbb{I} \) increasing (resp. decreasing) the number \( \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) \) is denoted by \( s3a \) (resp. \( s3b \)).

Table 2 provides the claim for the invariance (the row corresponding to \( \bigotimes \) is not used here; we use it later).

**Table 2.** Increment under a single \( s3a \) for each type.

| \( \bigotimes(P) \) | 1 |
| \( \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) \) | 1 |
| \( \bigotimes(P) \) | 1 |

(Invariance of \( \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) \) under RI and strong RI.) We already have the invariance of RI from the proof of the invariance of \( \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) \) under RI. We have also already shown the independence of the base point for \( \bigotimes(P) \), \( \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) \), and \( \bigotimes(P) \). Therefore, here, it is sufficient to show the invariance of strong RI when a base point is positioned at a suitable location. Thus, we consider the difference with respect to strong RI as shown in Fig. 14 (note that the base point is placed at a position closest to where a strong RI is applied, as shown in Fig. 14). Here, strong RI increasing (resp. decreasing) double points is denoted by \( s2a \) (resp. \( s2b \)) as shown in Fig. 15. When we apply \( s2a \) to an arbitrary spherical curve \( P \) with a base point, each increment of the values of \( \bigotimes \), \( \bigotimes \), \( \bigotimes \), and \( \bigotimes \) for Cases 1 and 2 (see Fig. 16) of the based arrow diagram of \( P \) is shown in Table 3. By Lemma 1, there exist \( m \) arrows shown by the dotted arrow for Case 2 if there exist \( m \) arrows shown by the dotted arrow for Case 1 (Fig. 16). Table 3 shows the invariance of strong RI.
Figure 14. A base point at the most neighbored place.

Figure 15. Difference under a single strong RII.

Figure 16. We set the number of arrows, indicated by the dotted arrow, as $m$ (cf. Lemma 1).

Table 3. Increments under a single $s2a$.

|                  | Case 1 | Case 2 |
|------------------|--------|--------|
| $\mathbf{\otimes}(P)$ | $m$    | 0      |
| $\mathbf{\otimes}(P) + \mathbf{\otimes}(P)$ | $m$    | $m$    |
| $\mathbf{\otimes}(P)$ | 0      | $m$    |

(Invariance of $\mathbf{\otimes}(P)$ (= $\mathbf{\otimes}(P)$) under weak RIII.) We have already shown the invariance under RI (at the beginning of the proof of invariance of $\mathbf{\otimes}(P) + \mathbf{\otimes}(P) - \mathbf{\otimes}(P)$). Thus, it is sufficient to show the invariance under weak RIII. A single weak RIII is shown in Fig. 17 in terms of arrow diagrams. A single weak RIII increasing (resp. decreasing) the number $\mathbf{\otimes}(P) + \mathbf{\otimes}(P) + \mathbf{\otimes}(P) + \mathbf{\otimes}(P)$ is denoted by $w3a$ (resp. $w3b$). By the independence of the base point for $\mathbf{\otimes}(P)$

Figure 17. Difference under a single weak RIII.
and \( \bigotimes(P) \), we can choose a suitable position for the base point (Fig. 18). Table 4

\[ \begin{array}{c|c}
\bigotimes(P) & 0 \\
\bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) & 1 \\
\bigotimes(P) & 0 \\
\end{array} \]

**TABLE 4.** Increment under a single \( w3a \).

shows the increment of \( \bigotimes(P) \) (information corresponding to \( \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) \) and \( \bigotimes(P) \) is not used here, we used it later), which shows the invariance of \( \bigotimes(P) \).

(Proof of the equality \( \bigotimes(P) = \bigotimes(P) \).)

Here, we show the equality \( \bigotimes(P) = \bigotimes(P) \) for an arbitrary spherical curve \( P \). Tables 2 and 4 indicate that \( \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) \) does not change under any \( RIII \). We also know that \( \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) \) is invariant under RI.

Now, we consider its behavior under strong \( RIII \). Recall that \( \bigotimes(P) \) and \( \bigotimes(P) \) do not depend on the position of the base point. Next, we observe the difference before and after the application of a single strong \( RIII \) to the based arrow diagrams derived from spherical curves, as shown Fig. 19. By Lemma 1 in Fig. 19 the dotted arcs \( Q \) and \( R \) must intersect at even number of double points. Further, in the corresponding based arrow diagram, the number of arrows from the \( Q \)-part to \( R \)-part is equal to the number of arrows from the \( R \)-part to \( Q \)-part. See the second row in Fig. 19. The dotted arrows, whether mutually intersecting or not, do not contribute to the increment or decrement of \( \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) \) under a single strong \( RIII \). Therefore, \( \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) \) is invariant under strong \( RIII \).
As a result, $\otimes(P) - \otimes(P)$ is invariant under RI, R III, and strong R III. It is easy to see that a single weak R III is generated by RI, R III, and strong R III. Thus, $\otimes(P) - \otimes(P)$ is invariant under RI, R III, and strong R III. Therefore, $\otimes(P) - \otimes(P)$ is constant for all spherical curves. Note that $\otimes(P) - \otimes(P) = 0$ where $P$ is a simple closed curve. Thus, we have the equality $\otimes(P) = \otimes(P)$ for an arbitrary spherical curve $P$.

(Additivity.)
Finally, we can see that these invariants are additive because it is clear that $x(P^\#_{(p_1, p_2)}P') = x(P) + x(P')$ for $x = \otimes, \otimes, \otimes, \otimes$ or $\otimes$ where $P^\#_{(p_1, p_2)}P'$ is the connected sum of $P$ and $P'$ (Definition 3).

(Non-triviality.) Non-trivialities of the invariants in the statement of Theorem 1 are shown in Tables 11, 12, and 14.

4. An Explanation of a Framework That Produces Invariants of Theorem 1

The following two questions (A) and (B) are essentially different.
(A) How do we prove the invariance under some Reidemeister moves for functions in Theorem 1?
(B) How do we find functions in Theorem 1?

An answer to (A) (resp. (B)) is given in Sec. 3 (resp. this section). Throughout this section, the following steps are applied. Note that we implicitly consider a Q-vector space generated by arrow diagrams, and the moves of the base point shown in Fig. 7 (Cases 1 and 2) are called base point moves. Let $n$ be the number of observed elements in $\{\otimes, \otimes, \otimes, \otimes, \otimes + \otimes\}$ for observed Reidemeister moves.

- Step 1: Construct a matrix consisting of $n$ rows, where each row corresponds to an observed based arrow diagram and each column corresponds to an observed local move.
- Step 2: Compute the rank $r$ of the matrix. Note that there exist $n - r$ invariants.
- Step 3: Obtain the invariants using the matrix.

Recall that for an oriented spherical curve $\hat{P}$ with a base point obtained from $P$, it is easy to see that $\otimes(\hat{P}) (= \otimes(\hat{P}))$ and $\otimes(\hat{P}) + \otimes(\hat{P})$ do not depend on the orientation (see Sec. 3).

- (Invariants under base point moves.) Consider Steps 1–3 to show the invariance under base point moves. Table 1 gives the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
-\beta_1 & \beta_2 \\
\beta_1 & -\beta_2 \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Because the rank of this matrix is 1, there exist two invariants under base point moves. From the matrix, it is easy to find the two invariants $\otimes(\hat{P}) (= \otimes(\hat{P}))$, $\otimes(\hat{P}) + \otimes(\hat{P})$ under base point moves.
In the rest of this paper, we may write \( \nabla (P) \), \( \nabla (P) + \nabla (P) \), and \( \nabla (P) \) to represent \( \nabla (\hat{P}) \), \( \nabla (\hat{P}) + \nabla (\hat{P}) \), and \( \nabla (\hat{P}) \), respectively. Note also that we have already proved that \( \nabla (P) = \nabla (P) \) for any spherical curve \( P \). Thus, in the rest of this section, we exclude \( \nabla (P) \).

**Invariants under RI and strong RI III.** Similar to the above the discussion, Table 5 gives the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \nabla + \nabla & 1 \end{pmatrix} \) (see also Table 2). The rank of this matrix is 1.

**Table 5. Increment under a single s3a.**

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\nabla & 1 \\
\hline
\nabla + \nabla & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

Thus, there exists one invariant. From the matrix, it is clear that the invariant is \( \nabla (P) - \nabla (\hat{P}) - \nabla (\hat{P}) \).

**Invariants under RI and strong RII.** Table 6 gives the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} m \\ 2m \end{pmatrix} \) (see also Table 3). The rank of this matrix is 1 and thus, there exists one invariant under RI and strong RII as a linear combination of these based arrow diagrams.

**Table 6. Increment under a single s2a.**

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\nabla & m \\
\hline
\nabla + \nabla & 2m \\
\end{array}
\]

under RI and strong RII as a linear combination of these based arrow diagrams. From the matrix, we obtain the invariant \( 2 \nabla (P) - \nabla (\hat{P}) - \nabla (\hat{P}) \) under RI and strong RII.

**Invariant under RI and weak RIII.** Table 7 gives the matrix \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \) (see also Table 4). The rank of this matrix is 1. Thus, there exists one invariant under RI and weak RIII.

**Table 7. Increment under a single w3a.**

\[
\begin{array}{c|c}
\nabla & 0 \\
\hline
\nabla + \nabla & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

and weak RIII. The matrix gives the invariant \( \nabla (P) \).

**Invariant under RI and weak RII.** One would expect to give some invariants under RI and weak RII in the same way mentioned above. Although we can find
an invariant under RI and weak RI in the same way, this invariant is trivial (i.e., all the values of the invariant are 0 for all spherical curves).

Denote weak RI increasing double points by $w2a$. Because $(\nabla)(P)$ and $(\nabla)(P) + (\nabla)(P)$ are independent of the position of the base point, it is sufficient to consider only Fig. 20. If we set the number of dotted arrows from the upper to lower part

![Figure 20. Difference under a single weak RI.](image)

of the based arrow diagram as $m$, then the arrows from the lower to upper part of the based arrow diagram should be $m - 1$ (cf. Lemma 1). Thus, we have the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
m \\
2m - 1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

from Table 8. For each $m$, the rank of the matrix is 1. Then the dimension of the kernel is 1 for each $m$. If we vary $m$, then the intersection of the kernels has dimension 0. Thus, there are no non-trivial invariants in this case.

5. Relation between Arnold invariants and Theorem 1

Additive integer-valued invariants $J^+$ (an invariant under strong RI and RIII), $J^-$ (an invariant under weak RI and RIII), and $St$ (an invariant under RI) were defined by Arnold [1]. Polyak’s Gauss diagram formulae [5, Theorem 1] can be used to yield Lemma 2 (Note that there is a typo in Polyak’s Theorem; thus, replace the coefficient $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ with $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ in the St-formula [5, Theorem 1].)

**Lemma 2.** In the set of any linear combinations of three invariants $J^+, J^-$, and $St$, up to multiplying a constant, only the linear combination $J^+/2 + St$ is invariant under RI for spherical curves.

For a spherical curve $P$, it is well-known that $J^+/2 + St$ does not depend on an orientation of $P$. By the definitions of $J^+$ and $St$, we have the differences under each Reidemeister move, as shown in Table 9.

**Theorem 2.** Let $P$ be an arbitrary spherical curve. $J^+/2(P) + St(P)$ is equal to $\nabla(P) - \nabla(P) - \nabla(P) + \nabla(P)$. Invariants $\nabla(P) + \nabla(P) - \nabla(P)$ and $\nabla(P)$ cannot be presented as a linear combination of Arnold invariants $J^+$, $J^-$, and $St$. Moreover, any two invariants among the invariants $J^+/2 + St$, $\nabla(P) - \nabla(P) - \nabla(P)$, and $\nabla(P)$ are mutually independent.
Table 9. Differences of $J^+/2 + St$ under Reidemeister moves.

|         | RI | s2a | w2a | s3a | w3a |
|---------|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $J^+/2 + St$ | 0  | 0   | +1  | +1  | −1  |

Proof. $(\bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P))$ changes by 0 under RI, by 0 under $s2a$ (Table 6), by +1 under $w2a$ (Table 5), and by +1 under $s3a$ (Table 5), and by −1 under $w3a$ (Table 7). For a simple closed curve $i$, $J^+/2 + St(i) = 0$ and $\bigotimes(P)$ changes by 0 under RI, by 0 under $s2a$ (Table 6), by +1 under $w2a$ (Table 5), by +1 under $s3a$ (Table 5), and by −1 under $w3a$ (Table 7). Hence, we have the first claim.

Now, we prove the second claim. $(\bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) - \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P))$ are invariant under RI and are non-trivial. Thus, Lemma 2 implies the second claim.

Finally, let $3_1, 4_1$, and $6_2$ be the spherical curves defined in Table 15. We have $J^+(3_1)/2 + St(3_1) = 1, J^+(4_1)/2 + St(4_1) = 0, J^+(6_2)/2 + St(6_2) = 0, \bigotimes(3_1) + \bigotimes(3_1) - \bigotimes(3_1) = 0, \bigotimes(4_1) + \bigotimes(4_1) - \bigotimes(4_1) = 1, \bigotimes(6_2) + \bigotimes(6_2) - \bigotimes(6_2) = 2$, and $\bigotimes(3_1) = \bigotimes(4_1) = 1$.

Therefore, we have the third claim. □

Corollary 1. Let $P$ be a spherical curve and let $\bigotimes(P)$ be $\bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P)$. If $J^+(P)/2 + St(P) = 0$, $\bigotimes(P) = 4\bigotimes(P)$; in particular, $\bigotimes(P) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If $\bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) = \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P)$, we have $\bigotimes(P) = \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) + \bigotimes(P) = 4\bigotimes(P)$. □

Remark 1. The invariant $\bigotimes(P)$ is non-trivial (cf. Table 15).

6. AN INVARIANT UNDER RI AND WEAK RII

An integer-valued invariant under RI and weak RII is not covered by Theorem 1. Let us consider a Seifert resolution, as shown in Fig. 21, for every double point of a spherical curve $P$. After all double points are resolved, we have an arrangement of finite number of circles. The arrangement of circles on a sphere is denoted by $S(P)$. It is known that $S(P)$ is invariant under weak RII and weak RIII. The number of circles in $S(P)$ is denoted by $s(P)$.
Theorem 3. Let $P$ be an arbitrary spherical curve and $c(P)$ be the number of double points of $P$.

$$2\bigotimes(P) - 2\bigotimes(P) - 2\bigotimes(P) + 2\bigotimes(P) + s(P) - c(P)$$

is invariant under RI and weak RIII and changes by $-2$ under $w3a$. Moreover,

$$s(P) - c(P)$$

is invariant under RI and weak RIII. These invariants are non-trivial.

Proof. Let $1a$ be a single RI increasing double points. Table 10 shows the increments for each local move and the invariances. Non-trivialities are provided in Tables 11 and 13.

Table 10. Differences under $1a$, $w2a$, and $w3a$.

|        | $1a$ | $w2a$ | $w3a$ |
|--------|------|-------|-------|
| $2\bigotimes(P) - 2\bigotimes(P) - 2\bigotimes(P) + 2\bigotimes(P)$ | 0    | +2    | −2    |
| $s(P)$           | +1   | 0     | 0     |
| $c(P)$           | +1   | +2    | 0     |

and 13.

We have Proposition 1.

Proposition 1. Let $P \sharp P'$ be the connected sum of the spherical curves $P$ and $P'$. $\kappa(P) = s(P) - c(P)$ and $\mu(P) = 2\bigotimes(P) - 2\bigotimes(P) - 2\bigotimes(P) + 2\bigotimes(P) + s(P) - c(P)$ satisfy the following properties.

1. $\kappa(P \sharp (p_1, p_2) P') = \kappa(P) + \kappa(P') - 1$.
2. $\mu(P \sharp (p_1, p_2) P') = \mu(P) + \mu(P') - 1$.

7. Tables

In this section, we obtain five tables of prime spherical curves without 1-gons up to seven double points and the trivial spherical curve (i.e., the simple closed curve). A spherical curve $P$ is said to be prime if $P$ cannot be represented as a connected sum of two non-trivial spherical curves. We define 1-gon as the boundary with exactly one vertex of a disk. In the tables, symbol $c_m$, for positive integers $c$ and $m$, indicates the image of a projection of a knot in the Rolfsen table. To list all prime spherical curves up to seven double points, it is sufficient to consider any flype for every $c_m$ (cf. Tait flyping conjecture). Thus, spherical curves $7_A$ (from $7_6$), $7_B$ (from $7_7$), and $7_C$ (from $7_5$) should be added by flypes. In Tables 11, 13, every line indicates that a finite sequence consisting of finitely many RIs and a single Reidemeister move $M \neq RI$ has been found, where $M$ is weak RIII for Table 11, strong RIII for Table 12, weak RII for Table 13, and strong RII for Table 14. We can show that any line requires at least a single $M$. The dotted arc in Table 11 (resp. 12) indicates that there exists a finite sequence consisting of two RIs and two weak RIIIs (resp. three strong RIIIs) [4].
Table 11. Values of the invariants under RI and weak RIII by Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 for prime spherical curves without 1-gons up to seven double points. Symbol $(k)$ with integer $k$ indicates the value of the invariant of Theorem 3.

| Invariant | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| 0         | (1)   |
| 3         | (-1)  |
| 4         | 1     |
| 5         | 3     |
| 6         | (-3)  |
| 7         | 2     |
| 6_i       | (-1)  |
| 7_i       | 3     |
| 6_1       | (-3)  |
| 7_i       | (-1)  |
| 6_2       | (-3)  |
| 7_i       | 3     |
| 7_i       | (-3)  |
| 7_i       | (-1)  |
| 4         | (-5)  |
| 4         | (-3)  |
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Table 12. Values of the invariant under RI and strong RIII by Theorem I for spherical curves without 1-gons up to seven double points.
Table 13. Values of the invariant under RI and weak RI by Theorem 3 for prime spherical curves without 1-gons up to seven double points.

\[ w : \text{weak}(1, 2) \]
Table 14. Values of the invariant under RI and strong RII by Theorem 1 for prime spherical curves without 1-gons up to seven double points.

\[ s : \text{strong}(1, 2) \]
Table 15. Values of base-point-free functions for a prime spherical curve $P$ without 1-gons up to seven double points.

| arrow diagram | $\circlearrowleft$ | $\circlearrowright$ |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 1 | 1 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 1 | 2 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 3 | 4 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 2 | 3 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 2 | 4 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 3 | 5 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 3 | 4 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 6 | 9 |

| arrow diagram | $\circlearrowleft$ | $\circlearrowright$ |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 3 | 5 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 5 | 8 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 4 | 7 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 4 | 6 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 3 | 5 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 3 | 6 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 3 | 5 |
| $\circlearrowright$ | 3 | 6 |
| $\circlearrowleft$ | 4 | 6 |
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