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Background: It is unknown whether neuromuscular transmission or muscle gap junctions synchronize neighboring muscle cells in C. elegans.

Results: Synchrony was greatly reduced by the elimination of gap junction function but not by pharmacological inhibition of neuromuscular transmission.

Conclusion: Gap junctions are responsible for muscle synchrony.

Significance: The present study helps to understand how a basic locomotion behavior is generated.

The sinusoidal locomotion of Caenorhabditis elegans requires synchronous activities of neighboring body wall muscle cells. However, it is unknown whether the synchrony results from muscle electrical coupling or neural inputs. We analyzed the effects of mutating gap junction proteins and blocking neuromuscular transmission on the synchrony of action potentials (APs) and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients among neighboring body wall muscle cells. In wild-type worms, the percentage of synchronous APs between two neighboring cells varied depending on the anatomical relationship and junctional conductance (G\textsubscript{j}) between them, and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients were synchronous among neighboring muscle cells. Compared with the wild type, knock-out of the gap junction gene unc-9 resulted in greatly reduced coupling coefficient and asynchronous APs and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients. Inhibition of unc-9 expression specifically in muscle by RNAi also reduced the synchrony of APs and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients, whereas expression of wild-type UNC-9 specifically in muscle rescued the synchrony defect. Loss of the stomatin-like protein UNC-1, which is a regulator of UNC-9-based gap junctions, similarly impaired muscle synchrony as unc-9 mutant did. The blockade of muscle ionotropic acetylcholine receptors by (+)-tubocurarine decreased the frequencies of APs and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients, whereas blockade of muscle GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors by gabazine had opposite effects. However, both APs and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients remained synchronous after the application of (+)-tubocurarine and/or gabazine. These observations suggest that gap junctions in C. elegans body wall muscle cells are responsible for synchronizing muscle APs and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} transients.

The nematode Caenorhabditis elegans moves by propagating a sinusoidal body wave in the dorsal-ventral plane. The mechanism for generating this locomotion wave is a subject of great interest because, with a locomotory system consisting mainly of five pairs of command interneurons, 57 ventral cord motoneurons, and 95 body wall muscle cells (1–4), C. elegans potentially allows a comprehensive description of the cellular and molecular basis for a basic locomotory behavior.

The sinusoidal locomotion of the worm requires synchronized contractions and relaxations of neighboring body wall muscle cells at the same position along the longitudinal body axis. It is unclear how the muscle cells get synchronized. Neural control could be important because each motoneuron forms synapses with several muscle cells that are located at the same longitudinal level (5, 6). In addition, gap junctions could play a role because muscle cells in parallel are electrically coupled, whereas those in series are not (7). However, precise roles of neural inputs and muscle gap junctions in synchronizing muscle activities are poorly defined. Mutations of body wall muscle acetylcholine or GABA receptors cause severe locomotion defects (8–12), but it is unclear whether the locomotory defects simply result from a change in muscle contractility or are also contributed by asynchrony. Injection of a small current comparable with that of the junctional currents (I\textsubscript{j}) into a muscle cell causes significant membrane depolarization of the cell (7).

However, mathematical modeling has led to controversial conclusions. Although one study suggested that body wall muscle electrical coupling is required for propagating the undulatory locomotion wave (13), another study concluded that the I\textsubscript{j} between body wall muscle cells is too small to be of physiological significance (14). Thus, further studies are needed to assess the roles of neuromuscular transmission and gap junctions in synchronizing muscle activities.

Gap junctions have been implicated in the synchrony of a variety of cells. For example, neighboring body wall muscle cells of Ascaris lumbricoides, which is phylogenetically related to C. elegans, are electrically coupled (15) and fire action potentials (APs) synchronously (16). The synchrony of APs was thought to result from electrical coupling of the muscle cells (16), but no
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experimental evidence exists to back up this assumption. In mammalian brain, network oscillations, which are important to cognition (17), depend on synchronous electrical activities of inhibitory interneurons, and the synchrony requires the function of gap junctions but could be driven by chemical synaptic transmission (18–25).

Gap junctions in C. elegans are formed by innexins (26). The innexin UNC-9 is a major component of gap junctions in C. elegans body wall muscle cells (7) and requires UNC-1, a homologue of human stomatin, to function (27). Recent studies show that C. elegans body wall muscle cells fire all-or-none APs (28, 29) and produce Ca^{2+} transients that are associated with muscle contractions (28). It remains to be determined whether APs and Ca^{2+} transients are synchronized in neighboring body wall muscle cells and whether gap junctions are important to the synchrony. In the present study, we analyzed the effects of muscle gap junction deficiency and neuromuscular transmission blockade on the synchrony of C. elegans body wall muscle cells. We found that muscle gap junctions played a central role in synchronizing muscle APs and Ca^{2+} transients. This information is potentially useful to improving the existing models regarding C. elegans locomotion (1–3, 13, 30–32).

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Growth and Culture of C. elegans

C. elegans hermaphrodites were grown on agar plates with a layer of OP50 Escherichia coli either at room temperature (21–22°C) or inside an environmental chamber (21°C).

Electrophysiology

Adult hermaphrodite animals were immobilized and dissected as described previously (33, 34). Briefly, an animal was immobilized on a glass coverslip by applying Vetbond™ tissue adhesive (3M Company). Application of the adhesive was generally restricted to the dorsal middle portion of the animal, allowing the head and tail to sway during the experiment. A longitudinal incision was made in the dorsolateral region. After clearing the viscera, the cuticle flap was folded back and glued to the coverslip, exposing the ventral nerve cord and the two adjacent muscle quadrants. A Nikon FN-1 microscope equipped with a 40× water immersion objective and 15× eyepieces was used for viewing the preparation. Borosilicate glass pipettes with a tip resistance of 3–5 MΩ were used as electrodes for current- and voltage clamp recordings in the classical whole cell patch clamp configuration with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA) and the Clampex software (version 10, Molecular Devices). Series resistance was compensated to 75–80% in voltage clamp experiments. The data were sampled at a rate of 10 kHz after filtering at 2 kHz.

Recordings of APs and $I_j$

Spontaneous APs were recorded simultaneously from specific pairs of neighboring body wall muscle cells without current injection. For recording $I_j$, the membrane voltage ($V_m$) of both cells was held at −30 mV, from which a series of voltage steps (−110 to +50 mV at 10-mV intervals and 250-ms duration) were applied to one cell (Cell 1), whereas the other cell (Cell 2) was held constant to record $I_j$. Junctional voltage ($V_j$) was defined as $V_m$ of Cell 2 minus $V_m$ of Cell 1.

Measurement of Coupling Coefficient

Negative currents of 1-s duration and various amplitudes (10, 20, and 30 pA) were injected into one cell, whereas the membrane voltage changes in response to the current injections were recorded from both the injected cell and a neighboring muscle cell.

Recording of Postsynaptic Currents

Spontaneous postsynaptic currents (referred to as “minis”) were recorded from wild-type worms, whereas evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) were recorded from an integrated transgenic strain expressing mCherry-tagged channel rhodopsin-2 in GABAergic neurons under the control of unc-47 (vesicular GABA transporter) promoter (35) at a holding potential of −10 mV. IPSCs were evoked by applying a pulse (3 ms) of blue light using a 470 ± 20-nm excitation filter (filter 59222; Chroma Technology Corp.) and a light source equipped with a shutter (Lambda XL with SmartShutter; Sutter Instrument) (36).

Solutions and Chemicals

The standard extracellular solution contained 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM CaCl$_2$, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 11 mM dextrose, and 5 mM HEPES (pH 7.2). The standard pipette solution contained 120 mM KCl, 20 mM KOH, 5 mM Tris, 0.25 mM CaCl$_2$, 4 mM MgCl$_2$, 36 mM sucrose, 5 mM EGTA, and 4 mM Na$_2$ATP (pH 7.2). In the experiments analyzing the effects of gabazine on minis and IPSCs, the standard pipette solution was modified by substituting 113.2 mM KCl with equal molar potassium gluconate. In the experiments analyzing the effects of TBC and gabazine on APs, the extracellular solution contained 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 5 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM dextrose, 5 mM sucrose, and 15 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), and the pipette solution contained 25 mM KCl, 115 mM potassium gluconate, 5 mM MgCl$_2$, 0.1 mM CaCl$_2$, 1 mM K$_2$BAPTA, 10 mM HEPES, 5 mM Na$_2$ATP, 0.5 mM Na$_2$GTP, 0.5 mM cAMP, and 0.5 mM cGMP (pH 7.2). The compositions of these two solutions were partially based on those used in a previous study (29). TBC (T2397; Sigma-Aldrich) and gabazine (S106; Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in the extracellular solution to make frozen stocks and added to the recording chamber by pipetting after diluting with the extracellular solution.

Ca^{2+} Imaging

An integrated Pmyo-3::GCaMP2 transgene (28) was crossed into different mutant backgrounds. The worms were glued and filleted to image spontaneous fluorescence changes of GCaMP2 in body wall muscle cells using an electron-multiplying CCD camera (iXonEM+885; Andor Technology, Belfast, Northern Ireland), a FITC filter (59222; Chroma Technology Corp., Bellows Falls, VT), a light source (Lambda XL; Sutter Instrument, Novato, CA), and the NIS-Elements software (Nikon). The images were acquired at 16 frames/s with 10–40 ms of exposure time (no binning) for 4 or 5 min. The bath solution used in these experiments was the standard extracellular solution.
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RNA Interference

Based on an established method (37), a portion (1–397 bp) of the unc-9 cDNA (R12H7.1, www.wormbase.org) was cloned into a worm expression vector containing the muscle-specific myo-3 promoter (pPD118.20) in both the sense and antisense directions to generate two separate worm expression plasmids: Pmyo-3::unc-9(1–397 sense) (wp872) and Pmyo-3::unc-9(1–397 antisense) (wp873). The two plasmids were co-injected into the wild type together with a Pmyo-2::DsRED plasmid (wp568), which served as a transformation marker, to generate a transgenic strain for analyzing the effects of unc-9 RNAi on APs, Gp, and Ca²⁺ transients. The transgene was also crossed into a wild-type strain expressing Pmyo-3::UNC-9::GFP (27) to verify the effectiveness of RNAi.

unc-9 Rescue

To rescue unc-9 defect specifically in muscle, a plasmid (wp223) with wild-type unc-9 cDNA downstream of the myo-3 myosin heavy chain promoter (Pmyo-3) (38) was expressed in unc-9(fc16) mutant following standard transgenic procedures (39). A plasmid containing Pmyo-3 and GFP cDNA (pPD118.20) was co-injected to serve as a transformation marker. Muscle cells showing GFP fluorescence were used for electrophysiological analyses. To determine the effect of muscle UNC-9 on Ca²⁺ transients, wp223 was injected into unc-9(fc16) mutant expressing the integrated Pmyo-3::GCaMP2 transgene, together with wp568 to serve as a transgenic marker.

Data Analyses

Analysis of APs—The peak times of the cell with a lower AP frequency were subtracted from those of the cell with a higher AP frequency. Two consecutive APs (one from each cell) with the shortest interpeak interval were assigned as a pair and used to calculate the peak time difference. APs that could not be assigned into pairs (because of the absence of events in the other cell) were excluded from the analysis.

Analysis of Ij—The averaged Ij traces from four runs of voltage steps were used for statistical analyses after filtering at 300 Hz. The apparent mean steady-state current during the last 100 ms of the voltage steps was determined with Clampfit (Molecular Devices) and used to plot the Ij-Vj relationship. Gj was determined from the slope of the Ij-Vj relationship at the linear portion (Vj = −30 to +30 mV) and compared among different groups. Ij traces are displayed at 20-mV Vj intervals in figures for clarity, although the data were acquired and analyzed at 10-mV Vj intervals.

Analysis of Coupling Coefficient—The membrane voltage between 300 and 800 ms of each current injection step was quantified with Clampfit. The coupling coefficient was the ratio of the mean membrane voltage change of the uninjected cell over that of the injected cell at each current injection step.

Analysis of Ca²⁺ Imaging Data—To determine whether Ca²⁺ transients were synchronous among neighboring cells, all of the muscle cells with Ca²⁺ transients within the camera imaging field (typically around 10 cells) were assigned as separate regions of interest. The fluorescence intensities in the regions of interest over successive frames were first plotted as absolute fluorescence intensity over time using the NIS-Element software and then converted to F/F₀ using the MATLAB software (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA) running a custom module. The Ca²⁺ transient traces (F/F₀ over time) of all the cells within the camera imaging field were visually inspected to determine whether or not the events were synchronous. Cells without Ca²⁺ transients (e.g. some cells in unc-9 and/or unc-1 mutants) were excluded from analysis. The frequency, amplitude, and area of Ca²⁺ transients were first determined separately for all the cells with Ca²⁺ transients within the camera imaging field and then averaged.

Analysis of Minis and IPSCs—The frequency of mPSCs was determined using MiniAnalysis (Synaptosoft, Decatur, GA) from an uninterrupted trace of 15 s before and 15 s after the application of gabazine. A detection threshold of 10 pA was used in initial automatic analysis, followed by visual inspections to include missed events (≥5 pA) and to exclude false events resulting from baseline fluctuations. The peak amplitudes of IPSCs were measured with Clampfit. Three consecutive IPSCs immediately before gabazine and three immediately after the application of gabazine were averaged separately.

RESULTS

AP Synchrony Occurred among Selected Neighboring Cells and Was Related to the Strength of Electrical Coupling—We first determined whether APs were synchronous in neighboring muscle cells and whether AP synchrony was related to Gj by performing current and voltage clamp experiments for various pairs of contacting muscle cells. The analyzed pairs of contacting muscle cells included L1L2, R1R2, L1R1, L1R2, L2R2, L1L1, and R1R1 (Fig. 1A). Among them, data from six pairs were pooled into three groups: L1L2+R1R2, L1R2+R1L2, and L1L1+R1R1, because the two pairs within each pooled group have analogous anatomical locations and are indistinguishable in functional properties. Sample traces of APs and Ij from the different cell pairs are shown in Fig. 1B. Two APs were considered to be synchronous if the time difference between their peaks was ≤50 ms. This criterion was used because the majority of the events were within ± 50 ms when the AP peak times of one cell are subtracted from those of the other cell in the L1L2 and R1R2 pairs (Fig. 1C), which had the highest Gj, and because the probability for the peak time difference to occur within ± 50 ms by random chance was reasonably low, ~17% (2 × 50/600 = 16.7%) based on the mean inter spike interval of 600 ms in wild-type worms (28).

The percentage of synchronized APs varied among the different pairs of muscle cells with the mean value being 75% in L1L2 and R1R2, 55% in L1R1, 44% in L1R2 and R1L2, 23% in L2-R2, and 13% in L1L1 and R1R1 (Fig. 1D). The value for the
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L1L1 and R1R1 pairs were close to that estimated for pure chance (17%), which is consistent with the fact that $G_j$ was very low in this pair of cells. A larger percentage of synchronous APs generally corresponded to a higher $G_j$ (Fig. 1D). Subsequent analyses of AP synchronization and $G_j$ were performed only with the L1L2 and R1R2 pairs because their higher $G_j$ and AP synchrony made the analyses more reliable.

We also calculated the mean peak time difference for synchronous APs. The difference was ~20 ms in all except for the L1L1 and R1R1 pairs, which showed a significantly larger peak time difference (Fig. 1E). It is not immediately obvious what underlay the larger time difference in this pair.

Muscle Cell APs Were Mostly Asynchronous in unc-9 and unc-1 Mutants—In C. elegans body wall muscle cells, electrical coupling is mainly mediated by gap junctions formed by the innexin UNC-9 (7). To further assess the role of electrical coupling in AP synchronization, we compared the percentage of synchronous APs and the level of $G_j$ in the L1L2 and R1R2 pairs between the wild type and a putative null mutant, unc-9(fc16) (40). The proportion of synchronous APs was 60% lower in the mutant, which was accompanied by a decrease of the mean $G_j$ to a comparable degree (Fig. 2, A and B). In contrast, the mean peak time difference for synchronous APs remained unchanged in the mutant (Fig. 2C). These observations suggest that gap junctions formed by UNC-9 play important roles in synchronizing muscle APs.

We previously reported that the function of UNC-9-based gap junctions depends on the stomatin-like protein UNC-1 (27). To test whether UNC-1 also plays a role in synchronizing muscle APs, we analyzed APs and $I_j$ in the putative null mutant unc-1(e719) (41) and the double mutant unc-9(fc16);unc-
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FIGURE 3. Coupling coefficient was greatly decreased in unc-9 and unc-1 mutants compared with the wild type. The mutants analyzed were unc-9(fc16), unc-1(e719), and the double mutant unc-9(fc16)/unc-1(e719). Negative currents were injected into one muscle cell, whereas membrane voltage changes in response to the current injections were measured in both the injected cell (Cell 1) and a contacting neighboring cell (Cell 2) of the L1L2 or R1R2 pair. A, diagram of the current injection steps (left panel) and representative membrane voltage traces (right panel). B, membrane voltage changes (ΔV_m) in response to the current injections in Cell 1 and Cell 2. C, the coupling coefficient (the ratio of ΔV_m of Cell 2/ΔV_m of Cell 1). In both B and C, the data are shown as the means ± S.E., and the asterisk indicates a significant difference compared with the WT (p < 0.01, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test). The number of cell pairs analyzed was 33 for WT, 13 for unc-9, 13 for unc-1, and 12 for the double mutant.

FIGURE 4. UNC-9 deficiency in muscle was responsible for reduced junctional conductance and action potential synchrony in unc-9 mutant. A, unc-9 RNAi inhibited the expression of GFP-tagged UNC-9 in body wall muscle cells (the punctate expression in the top portion of the left panel). The broad fluorescent signal at the bottom of both panels resulted from autofluorescence of the gut. B, sample traces of APs and junctional currents from the WT, unc-9(fc16), unc-9 RNAi worms, and unc-9(fc16) with muscle specific rescue. The red and blue traces show temporal correlation between APs from the two cells in each pair. C, comparison of junctional conductance (G_j) and the percentage of synchronous APs. The asterisk indicates a significant difference compared with WT (p < 0.01), whereas the pound sign indicates a significant difference compared with unc-9 (p < 0.05 for AP and p < 0.01 for G_j, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test). In C, the data are shown as the means ± S.E., and the number of cell pairs analyzed is indicated inside the column. The WT and unc-9 data are the same as those shown in Fig. 2.

We found that both the unc-1 single mutant and the unc-9/unc-1 double mutant similarly affected muscle APs and G_j, as did unc-9 mutant (Fig. 2A and B), which further supports that UNC-9 gap junctions are important to muscle synchrony.

We also tested the effects of carbenoxolone (50 μM) and probenecid (100 μM) on G_j of the L1L2 and R1R2 pairs in wild-type worms. Carbenoxolone is a nonspecific gap junction blocker (42), whereas probenecid blocks channels formed by pannexin 1 (43), which is a homologue of invertebrate innexins. However, neither chemical showed an inhibitory effect on the G_j (supplemental Fig. S1). Therefore, they were not used for assessing the role of gap junctions in muscle synchrony.

Coupling Coefficient between Neighboring Muscle Cells Was Decreased in unc-9 and unc-1 Mutants—The correlation between synchronous AP and G_j in wild-type and mutant worms suggests that changes in the membrane potential of one cell may alter that of the neighboring cells through gap junctions. To confirm this prediction, we determined the coupling coefficient between two contacting cells of the L1L2 and R1R2 pairs in the wild type, unc-9(fc16), unc-1(e719), and the double mutant unc-9(fc16)/unc-1(e719). Currents were injected into one cell of the pair (~10 to −30 pA at 10-pA intervals, 1-s duration), whereas membrane voltage changes were monitored in both cells. The coupling coefficient was the ratio of membrane voltage change of the noninjected cell over that of the injected cell. Positive current pulses were not used to avoid complications by APs.

In response to current injections, the injected cell (Cell 1) showed similar voltage changes in wild-type and mutant worms, whereas the uninjected cell (Cell 2) showed significantly larger voltage change in the wild type than any of the mutants, among which there was no difference (Fig. 3A and B). The coupling coefficient was 0.25 in wild-type worms but ~0.1
in the various mutants (Fig. 3C). Thus, membrane voltage change of one muscle cell may affect that of the neighboring cells through gap junctions; and both UNC-9 and UNC-1 are important in allowing this to occur.

Inhibition of unc-9 Expression in Muscle Reduced the Synchrony of APs—UNC-9 is expressed in muscle cells as well as many neurons, including command interneurons and ventral cord motoneurons (44). Therefore, UNC-9 deficiency in neurons might indirectly contribute to the changes of APs and Ca\(^{2+}\) transients observed in the unc-9 mutant. To assess the pure effect of muscle UNC-9 deficiency, we created transgenic worms in which unc-9 expression in muscle was selectively inhibited by RNAi. Furthermore, we performed muscle-specific rescue experiments by expressing wild-type UNC-9 in body wall muscle cells under the control of P\(\text{myo-3}\). The RNAi was effective as indicated by the disappearance of fluorescent puncta at muscle intercellular junctions in a transgenic strain expressing an UNC-9::GFP fusion protein (Fig. 4A). Worms expressing the RNAi transgenes showed decreased G\(\text{j}\) and AP synchrony in the L1L2 and R1R2 pairs compared with wild type, but the changes were less severe than those observed in the unc-9 mutant (Fig. 4, B and C). The G\(\text{j}\) and AP synchrony defects of unc-9(fc16) were rescued by expressing wild-type UNC-9 specifically in muscle (Fig. 4, B and C). These observations suggest that deficiency of UNC-9 gap junctions in muscle alone was sufficient to reduce AP synchrony and that UNC-9 functioned cell-autonomously in facilitating the muscle synchrony.

Ca\(^{2+}\) Transients Were Synchronous in Wild Type but Asynchronous in unc-9 and unc-1 Mutants—C. elegans body wall muscle generates Ca\(^{2+}\) transients that may be detected by imaging fluorescence changes of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensitive protein.
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GCaMP2 expressed in vivo (28). To further assess the role of gap junctions in synchronizing muscle activities, we imaged muscle Ca\(^{2+}\) transients in wild-type worms, unc-9(fc16), unc-1(e719), the unc-9 RNAi worms, and unc-9(fc16) with muscle-specific rescue. We found that Ca\(^{2+}\) transients were highly synchronous among neighboring muscle cells in the wild type but frequently asynchronous in the unc-9 and unc-1 mutants (Fig. 5A and supplemental Movies S1–S3). Ca\(^{2+}\) transients in unc-9 RNAi worms were only slightly less asynchronous compared with the wild type (Fig. 5B and supplemental Movie S4). Besides the reduced synchrony, Ca\(^{2+}\) transients were also observed in fewer cells, at lower frequency and with smaller area (F/F\(_{0}\) × time) in the unc-9 and unc-1 mutants compared with the wild type (Fig. 5). Expressing of wild-type UNC-9 specifically in muscle rescued essentially all of the abnormalities of Ca\(^{2+}\) transients (Fig. 5 and supplemental Movie S5). These observations suggest that UNC-9 gap junctions play important roles in synchronizing muscle Ca\(^{2+}\) transients.

Blocking Neuromuscular Transmission Did Not Affect AP and Ca\(^{2+}\) Transient Synchrony but Reduced Ca\(^{2+}\) Transient Amplitudes—To determine whether neural inputs are important to the synchrony of muscle APs and Ca\(^{2+}\) transients, we decided to analyze the effects of acetylcholine and GABA receptor blockers on muscle APs and Ca\(^{2+}\) transients. This pharmacological approach was chosen over the use of receptor mutants to avoid complications by potential developmental defects. To block muscle ionotropic acetylcholine receptors, we used TBC at 0.5 mM because it could block cholinergic postsynaptic currents in C. elegans body wall muscle completely (34, 45). To block muscle GABA\(_{A}\) receptors, we used the allosteric GABA\(_{A}\) receptor inhibitor gabazine (SR-95531) (46, 47) at 0.5 mM because we found that it could abolish evoked as well as spontaneous postsynaptic currents caused by GABA release in C. elegans body wall muscle (Fig. 6).

There was a short period of membrane hyperpolarization following TBC but depolarization following either gabazine alone or gabazine with TBC. Both the hyperpolarization and depolarization caused a temporary disappearance of APs. These observations suggest that cholinergic and GABAergic motoneurons tonically regulate the resting membrane potential and AP firing. The resting membrane potential recovered gradually, and the muscle cell resumed firing APs. Although AP frequency increased following gabazine but decreased following either TBC alone or TBC with gabazine, none of these treatments compromised the synchrony of APs (Fig. 7), suggesting that the synchrony of APs does not depend on synaptic transmission.

We also analyzed the effects of the receptor blockers on muscle Ca\(^{2+}\) transients, which were imaged continuously for 5 min with TBC (0.5 mM) and/or gabazine (0.5 mM) added at the beginning of the third minute. We measured the frequency, mean amplitude, and integrated area (F/F\(_{0}\) × time/min) of Ca\(^{2+}\) transients for the first and last 2 min of the recordings and calculated the ratios of post- over pretreatment for these parameters. The data of the third minute were excluded from the analyses.
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FIGURE 8. Ca^{2+} transients remained synchronous after blocking acetylcholine and/or GABAa receptors. APs were recorded from the L1L2 and R1R2 pairs. A, representative traces of Ca^{2+} transients (F/Fo) from a control worm and worms treated with TBC (0.5 mM) and/or gabazine (0.5 mM). The colored traces show temporal correlation of Ca^{2+} transients in three randomly selected cells within the camera imaging field. Movies matching these experiments are provided in the supplemental materials (supplemental Movies S6–S9). B, the frequency, mean amplitude, and area (F/Fo × time) of Ca^{2+} transients are shown as ratios of the values (during/before the treatment). The asterisk indicates a significant difference compared with the control group (p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc tests). The data are shown as the means ± S.E. The sample number was 8 for Control, 10 for TBC, 12 for gabazine, and 9 for TBC plus gabazine.

the analysis to avoid complications by the transient changes of the resting membrane potential described above. Because the amplitude and area of Ca^{2+} transients tended to decrease over time, a control group was included and similarly analyzed to account for the time-dependent effects. We found that Ca^{2+} transients remained synchronous after adding TBC and/or gabazine (Fig. 8A and supplemental Movies S6–S9). Compared with the control group, the frequency of Ca^{2+} transients was increased following the application of gabazine but decreased following either TBC alone or TBC with gabazine. Treatment with TBC abolished Ca^{2+} transients in some cases (two of 12 with TBC alone and three of 12 with TBC plus gabazine), which were excluded from further analysis. Both the mean amplitude and integrated area of Ca^{2+} transients were reduced by all of the receptor blockers (Fig. 8B). These observations suggest that synaptic transmission is not essential to the synchrony of Ca^{2+} transients but may regulate their frequencies and amplitudes.

DISCUSSION

Analysis of AP synchrony is a powerful approach for assessing whether neighboring cells are electrically synchronous. We found that the percentage of synchronous APs was generally related to the level of Gj among various pairs of muscle cells in wild-type worms; both Gj and synchronous APs were decreased in mutants of unc-9 and/or unc-1 and in worms with muscle unc-9 expression partially inhibited by RNAi; and Ca^{2+} transients were often asynchronous in unc-9 and unc-1 mutants. These observations provide compelling evidence that muscle gap junctions play a pivotal role in synchronizing muscle activities.

C. elegans body wall muscle is innervated by cholinergic and GABAergic motoneurons, which contract and relax the muscle, respectively. The frequencies of APs and Ca^{2+} transients increased after blocking GABAa receptors but decreased after blocking acetylcholine receptors, which is consistent with the physiological functions of the two different receptors and indicates that both cholinergic and GABAergic neuromuscular transmissions occurred under our experimental conditions. Nevertheless, APs and Ca^{2+} transients remained synchronous after blocking acetylcholine receptors and/or GABAa receptors, suggesting that neural inputs did not play an essential role in synchronizing muscle activities.

Our analyses of Ij and Gj showed that the coupling strength varied among different pairs of neighboring muscle cells in the wild type and was greatly decreased in unc-9 and/or unc-1 mutants, which are similar to what we reported previously (7, 27). We previously concluded that Ij is likely of physiological significance in C. elegans body wall muscle based on the observation that injection of currents comparable with the Ij into a muscle cell caused significant depolarization of the injected cell. In the present study, we analyzed the coupling coefficient and found that injection of currents into one muscle cell caused membrane voltage changes in neighboring noninjected cells and that this effect was greatly attenuated in unc-9 and/or unc-1 mutants. These observations provide more direct evidence for the physiological significance of the Ij. The desynchronization of APs and Ca^{2+} transients in unc-9 and/or unc-1 mutants lends further support to the physiological importance of electrical coupling. The existence of significant Gj in the unc-9 mutant suggests that there are other innexin(s) functioning in C. elegans body wall muscle. Therefore, the asynchrony of APs and Ca^{2+} transients is likely to be more severe in the complete absence of muscle electrical coupling.

UNC-1 is a homologue of human stomatin. Loss-of-function mutants of unc-1 and unc-9 have similar phenotypes, including locomotion defects and altered sensitivity to volatile anesthetics (41, 48–50). Our previous study (27) suggests that UNC-9 requires UNC-1 to form functional gap junctions in vivo and that UNC-1 probably modulates the gating of the gap junctions. Our observations with the unc-1 mutant in the present study reinforce the notion that UNC-9 and UNC-1 coassemble into functional gap junctions (27, 51).

In wild-type worms, Ca^{2+} transients appeared to be synchronous in all of the muscle cells within the camera imaging field. In contrast, AP synchrony was only observed in specific pairs of
Role of Gap Junctions in Muscle Synchrony
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