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Abstract

Carboxylic acids are an attractive biorenewable chemical. Enormous progress has been made in engineering microbes for production of these compounds though titers remain lower than desired. Here we used transcriptome analysis of *Escherichia coli* during exogenous challenge with octanoic acid (C8) at pH 7.0 to probe mechanisms of toxicity. This analysis highlights the intracellular acidification and membrane damage caused by C8 challenge. Network component analysis identified transcription factors with altered activity including GadE, the activator of the glutamate-dependent acid resistance system (AR2) and Lrp, the amino acid biosynthesis regulator. The intracellular acidification was quantified during exogenous challenge, but was not observed in a carboxylic acid producing strain, though this may be due to lower titers than those used in our exogenous challenge studies. We developed a framework for predicting the proton motive force during adaptation to strong inorganic acids and carboxylic acids. This model predicts that inorganic acid challenge is mitigated by cation accumulation, but that carboxylic acid challenge inverts the proton motive force and requires anion accumulation. Utilization of native acid resistance systems was not useful in terms of supporting growth or alleviating intracellular acidification. AR2 was found to be non-functional, possibly due to membrane damage. We proposed that interaction of Lrp and C8 resulted in repression of amino acid biosynthesis. However, this hypothesis was not supported by perturbation of *lrp* expression or amino acid supplementation. *E. coli* strains were also engineered for altered cyclopropane fatty acid content in the membrane, which had a dramatic effect on membrane properties, though C8 tolerance was not increased. We conclude that achieving higher production titers requires circumventing the membrane damage. As higher titers are achieved, acidification may become problematic.
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Introduction

There has been a substantial interest in using microbial fatty acid biosynthesis as a platform for a variety of biorenewable chemicals [1–4]. However, there are challenges associated with harnessing the fatty acid biosynthesis pathway for producing chemicals at industrially relevant titers, productivities, and yields. For example, it has been noted by multiple researchers that product toxicity is a major problem for the microbial production of carboxylic acids [5–9].

Microbial tolerance of inhibitors, either present in the plant-derived feedstock or a toxic desired product, is a common problem in the fermentative production of biorenewable fuels and chemicals [10–12]. Knowing the mechanism of inhibition can enable rational design strategies for addressing tolerance. Transcriptome analysis is one method for identifying these mechanisms [7,10,13,14]. It is relatively well-established that one of the major effects of short chain carboxylic acid toxicity is membrane damage, largely due to the hydrophobic nature of the carbon chain [15]. It is also well-accepted that exogenous challenge with carboxylic acids can cause intracellular acidification, interfering with cellular function and imposing an ATP burden [16–19]. Our previous work quantified the effect of octanoic acid (C8) on membrane integrity, fluidity, hydrophobicity and composition [5] and we concluded that diffusion of octanoic acid into the membrane impairs its function. Here we used transcriptomic analysis of exogenous octanoic acid challenge to identify and quantify other mechanisms of inhibition, as well as exploring strategies for improving tolerance.

Materials and Methods

Strains and growth conditions

*Escherichia coli* strain K-12 MG1655 was obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) (Table 1). All strains were grown in 25 mL MOPS minimal media [20] with 2% dextrose in 250 mL baffled flasks at 37°C. Overnight cultures were diluted to an optical density of 0.05 at 550 nm (OD550) for specific growth measurements and RNA extraction, and diluted to 0.1 for intracellular pH, γ-amino butyric acid, and membrane lipid composition measurements. 4 M C8 stock solutions were prepared in 100% ethanol. The concentration of ethanol used in these experiments did not have a significant impact on growth (data not shown). Media with
octanoic acid were pH-adjusted to 7.0 with 2 M potassium hydroxide. The specific growth rate was determined by measuring the OD$_{550}$ during the exponential phase, as previously described [5].

The carboxylic acid production strain ML103 [21] was obtained from Ka-Yiu San (Rice University). This strain was grown in 25 mL M9 with 1.5% dextrose in 250 mL baffled flasks at 30°C with the appropriate antibiotics and inducers, as described below.

Plasmids

pJDT1 [22], obtained from Anja Nenninger (University of London), was induced by 200 μM L-arabinose and 100 μg/mL ampicillin was used for maintenance. pCA-cfa and pCA-lrp [23], obtained from Ramon Gonzalez (Rice University), were induced by 0.5 mM isopropyl ß-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol was used for maintenance. pZS-GFP was cloned using the Gibson Assembly Cloning kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA), with pZS [24] as the backbone and the GFP gene from pJDT1. pZS-GFP was induced by using 50 ng/mL anhydrotetracycline (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH, USA) and 25 μg/mL chloramphenicol was used for maintenance. pXZ18Z [25], obtained from Ka-Yiu San (Rice University), was induced by 100 μM IPTG and 100 μg/mL ampicillin was used for maintenance (Table 1).

Transcriptomic data normalization and analysis

Background adjustment, normalization, and summarization calculations were performed in MATLAB r. R2012b (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) using GCRMA [26]. Using pooled data, permutation t-tests (10,000 permutations) were performed to identify transcripts with statistically significant changes in abundance (q-value $< 0.05$). Additionally, a fold change $\log_2$ (treatment/control) cutoff of $\pm 1$ was applied to identify genes that demonstrated a sufficient magnitude of variation between experimental conditions. Probes not annotated as MG1655, but orthologous to E. coli strain MG1655 were included. Probes relating to other species were excluded from analysis. Additionally, overrepresentation of Gene Ontology terms associated with significant expression perturbations was examined and visualized using the Cytoscape tool [27] and BiNGO [28].

Intracellular pH measurements

E. coli MG1655 carrying the pBad24-TorA-GFPmut3* (pJDT1) plasmid [22] was grown in 100 mL potassium-modified Luria broth (LBK) [31] media in a 250 mL baffled flask from OD$_{550}$ 0.05 to midlog (OD$_{550}$~0.8) with 100 μg/mL ampicillin and 200 μM L-arabinose at 37°C in an orbital incubator shaker at 150 rpm. The cells were harvested at 5,000 g, 4°C for 20 min. and resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (pH = 7.0) diluted to the working concentration (PBS, 10X powder concentrate, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) without a carbon source to an OD$_{550}$ = 0.4. A calibration curve was generated using sodium benzoate, according to [32]. E. coli cell suspensions were challenged with C8 or HCl and pH-adjusted to 7.0 before measurement. The intracellular pH was measured by fluorescence
The total electrochemical force was used to calculate the proton motive force (PMF):

$$PMF = F_{\Delta pH} + F_{\Delta \phi}$$

and the Nernst potential was used to calculate the contributing forces:

$$F_{\Delta pH} = \frac{2.3RT}{zF} (pH_i - pHe)$$

$$F_{\Delta \phi} = \frac{2.3RT}{zF} \log \left( \frac{\text{ions}_e}{\text{ions}_i} \right)$$

where $F_{\Delta pH}$ is the electrochemical force-associated pH-gradient, $F_{\Delta \phi}$ is the electrochemical force-associated ion-gradient, $R$ is the gas constant, $T$ is the temperature, $z$ is the molar ion charge, and $F$ is Faraday's constant. Intracellular and extracellular ion abundances are represented by ions$_i$ and ions$_e$, respectively. Use of these equations have been previously reviewed [35,36].

### Statistical analysis

The p-values were obtained using one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer pairs analysis with the JMP v8.02 statistical program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). We used a p-value cutoff of 0.05 to determine significance. In the amino acid supplementation survey, we applied the Bonferroni correction factor and adjusted the P-value cutoff to 0.0025.

### Results

Transcriptome analysis highlights the activation of acid resistance systems

The information gained by transcriptome analysis is valuable in many applications, especially in the area of tolerance for biorenewable chemicals production. Tolerance is a complex phenotype that covers a diverse space in the bacterial genome [37]. For this reason, transcriptomics is a critical tool that allows for a global measurement of the cellular response network of stressors, such as challenge with carboxylic acids. Therefore, we performed transcriptome analysis of *E. coli* MG1655 during mid-log growth in MOPS minimal media with and without 10 mM octanoic acid, where octanoic acid serves as a representative carboxylic acid. Both cultures had an initial pH of 7.0; 10 mM C8 is sufficient to inhibit growth in this condition by 23% [5].

Table 2 lists all significantly perturbed genes ($q<0.05$) with at least a 2-fold change in response to C8 challenge. The data is also presented graphically in Figure S1 with log2 fold changes. Similarly, Table 3 lists all transcription factors with significantly perturbed ($p<0.05$) regulatory activity, as determined by network component analysis (NCA). Many of the genes with increased expression in response to C8 challenge are related to acid response, response to and regulation of pH, and biofilm formation. Genes with decreased expression are predominantly attributed to chemotaxis, reduced motility, and flagellum assembly. Several genes associated with membrane function and integrity, such as *bhuA* and *qspP* were also perturbed, where some had increased expression in response to C8 (e.g., *cfa*) and some had decreased expression (e.g., *ompF*). These trends are also reflected in the over-represented Gene Ontology (GO) terms, as listed in Table S1.

Similarly, many of the perturbed regulators are also associated with acid response and membrane damage (Figure 1). Most notably, GadE regulates various acid response systems and is primarily controlled at the transcriptional level in response to pH, as previously reviewed [35]. RcsB is known for both association with capsule biosynthesis [38] and acid resistance [39,40]. It is known that RcsB activity is regulated by membrane-associated partner proteins in response to a variety of extracellular signals [38] and is required for maintaining the appropriate cell shape [41]. RcsB also regulates motility in an H-NS-dependent manner, which could possibly explain the decrease in motility [40]. SoxS activation, while not a direct response to acids or membrane integrity, is most likely due to superoxide production as an effect of membrane damage, as previously reviewed [9].

Activation of Lrp in response to carboxylic acid challenge has been previously described [42]. Specifically, it was reported that the 4-carbon carboxylic acid butyrate binds to Lrp in a manner that mimics leucine. Therefore, it is possible that a similar interaction occurs with octanoic acid, resulting in activation of Lrp during C8 challenge.

The PutA protein shifts between a cytosolic, DNA-binding form and a membrane-associated metabolic enzyme [43,44]. The switch to the membrane form is dependent upon the availability of proline and FAD; the membrane-associated form then converts proline into glutamate [43]. The abundance of the *putA* transcript is not changed in our dataset. The observed decrease in PutA TFA therefore suggests that the pool of PutA enzymes shifted from the cytosolic form to the membrane-associated form, indicating a
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Membrane lipids analysis

The protocol was performed according to [5]. Briefly, cells were grown to midlog (OD$_{550}$~0.8) as described above, harvested at 5,000 g, 4°C for 20 min, and then challenged with different concentrations of C8 for 3 h in MOPS pH 7.0 with 2% dextrose. After challenge, the cells were harvested for membrane lipid analysis.

Proton motive force simulations

The total electrochemical force was used to calculate the proton motive force (PMF):

$$PMF = F_{\Delta pH} + F_{\Delta \phi}$$

and the Nernst potential was used to calculate the contributing forces:

$$F_{\Delta pH} = \frac{2.3RT}{zF} (pH_i - pHe)$$

$$F_{\Delta \phi} = \frac{2.3RT}{zF} \log \left( \frac{\text{ions}_e}{\text{ions}_i} \right)$$

where $F_{\Delta pH}$ is the electrochemical force-associated pH-gradient, $F_{\Delta \phi}$ is the electrochemical force-associated ion-gradient, $R$ is the gas constant, $T$ is the temperature, $z$ is the molar ion charge, and $F$ is Faraday’s constant. Intracellular and extracellular ion abundances are represented by ions$_i$ and ions$_e$, respectively. Use of these equations have been previously reviewed [35,36].

Statistical analysis

The p-values were obtained using one-way ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer pairs analysis with the JMP v8.02 statistical program (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). We used a p-value cutoff of 0.05 to determine significance. In the amino acid supplementation survey, we applied the Bonferroni correction factor and adjusted the P-value cutoff to 0.0025.
| Gene ID  | Gene Name | log2 FC | P Value | Fold Change | Function Description |
|---------|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------------|
| b1493   | gadB      | 0.000   | 0.015   | 25.3        | AR2 decarboxylase     |
| b3509   | hdeB      | 0.000   | 0.004   | 21.1        | AR1 chaperone protein |
| b3238   | yhcN      | 0.002   | 0.064   | 15.2        | Acid response         |
| b3510   | hdeA      | 0.000   | 0.000   | 13.7        | AR1 chaperone protein |
| b4439   | micF      | 0.003   | 0.078   | 11.9        | Antisense RNA regulator of OmpF porin |
| b3512   | gadE      | 0.004   | 0.115   | 10.1        | TA of AR2             |
| b1492   | gadC      | 0.000   | 0.013   | 9.3         | AR2 antiporter        |
| b3511   | hdeD      | 0.001   | 0.053   | 8.7         | AR1                  |
| b3508   | sra       | 0.001   | 0.009   | 5.1         | SP component of the 30S ribosomal subunit |
| b3517   | yhiD      | 0.001   | 0.017   | 4.5         | density-dependent acid resistance |
| b0812   | dps       | 0.004   | 0.101   | 4.4         | DNA-binding, iron-collecting, oxidative damage protection/repair |
| b3516   | gadX      | 0.003   | 0.108   | 4.4         | AR2 decarboxylase     |
| b3515   | ybaS      | 0.007   | 0.144   | 4.1         | Glutaminase-acid shock inducible |
| b0485   | marA      | 0.002   | 0.067   | 3.9         | Multiple antibiotic resistance, TR, organic solvents, oxidative stress |
| b2013   | yeeE      | 0.009   | 0.157   | 3.8         | Resistance to the DNA-damage |
| b0287   | yagU      | 0.003   | 0.012   | 3.5         | IMP-acid resistance   |
| b1365   | ymgA      | 0.002   | 0.003   | 3.5         | Biofilm formation-rapid acid treatment |
| b3516   | gadY      | 0.001   | 0.014   | 3.5         | AR2 TR                |
| b1112   | bhsA      | 0.002   | 0.003   | 3.3         | Influencing biofilm through hydrophobicity and SR |
| b2012   | yeeD      | 0.010   | 0.106   | 3.0         | Uncharacterized       |
| b1164   | ycgZ      | 0.006   | 0.107   | 2.9         | Cold shock stimulon   |
| b4554   | yibT      | 0.001   | 0.056   | 2.8         | Uncharacterized       |
| b0814   | ompX      | 0.004   | 0.017   | 2.8         | Acid-induced OMP      |
| b4376   | osmY      | 0.001   | 0.023   | 2.8         | Hyperosmotic stress   |
| b3405   | ompR      | 0.013   | 0.163   | 2.7         | OMP TR                |
| b3515   | gadW      | 0.026   | 0.219   | 2.6         | AR2 TR                |
| b2924   | mscS      | 0.001   | 0.028   | 2.4         | IMP mechano-sensitive (MS) channel; non-specific transporter |
| b0850   | ybjC      | 0.003   | 0.011   | 2.4         | marA/SoxS induced     |
| b3024   | yogW      | 0.004   | 0.014   | 2.4         | IMP transporter, SR   |
| b0775   | bioB      | 0.018   | 0.193   | 2.3         | SAM-dependent biotin synthase |
| b2425   | cysP      | 0.020   | 0.105   | 2.2         | IMP Sulfate transporter |
| b1661   | cfa       | 0.010   | 0.110   | 2.1         | Cyclopropane fatty acid synthase |
| b3160   | yhbW      | 0.000   | 0.015   | 2.0         | Monoxygenase           |
| b4077   | gltP      | 0.024   | 0.213   | 2.0         | Glutamate and aspartate transporter |
| b3458   | livK      | 0.005   | 0.090   | -2.0        | leucine transporter   |
| b1194   | ycgR      | 0.002   | 0.018   | -2.0        | Flagellar motility    |
| b1887   | cheW      | 0.003   | 0.019   | -2.0        | Flagellar motors      |
| b4484   | cpxP      | 0.038   | 0.116   | -2.1        | TR, SR of cell envelope |
| b1075   | flgD      | 0.009   | 0.032   | -2.2        | Flagella              |
| b1941   | flt      | 0.007   | 0.031   | -2.2        | Flagellar export      |
| b0455   | ffs       | 0.035   | 0.220   | -2.2        | RNA, membrane protein assembly |
| b1942   | fls       | 0.002   | 0.015   | -2.5        | Flagellar export      |
| b1946   | flj       | 0.012   | 0.118   | -2.5        | Flagellar motor       |
| b1921   | flz       | 0.005   | 0.096   | -2.5        | TR flagella           |
| b1922   | flA       | 0.000   | 0.000   | -2.6        | Flagella; sigma F factor |
| b1884   | cheR      | 0.001   | 0.008   | -2.8        | Chemotaxis sensory transduction system |
| b1072   | flgA      | 0.002   | 0.017   | -3.0        | Flagella assembly     |
| b1742   | ves       | 0.010   | 0.157   | -3.0        | Cold shock stimulon   |
| b1939   | flIG      | 0.001   | 0.007   | -3.2        | Flagellar motor       |
| b1945   | flM       | 0.000   | 0.001   | -3.2        | Flagellar motor       |
possible increase in proline and/or FAD availability. This potential effect is discussed further below. The putA gene is also transcriptionally activated by the multiple antibiotic resistance regulator MarA, which is also activated in our transcriptome data [45].

FruR and MalT are both responsive to metabolites in the early steps of glycolysis. FruR DNA-binding activity is decreased by the metabolites fructose-1-phosphate and fructose-1,6-phosphate [46]; decreasing FruR activity suggests increased abundance of one or both of these metabolites. MalT is the regulator specific to catabolism of maltose, maltotriose, and starch containing maltose sugars [47]. Several factors may be involved in the activation of MalT. Intriguingly, MalT activity can be stimulated by increased intracellular maltotriose [48]. This maltotriose can be produced from unphosphorylated intracellular glucose [48]. This unphosphorylated glucose could come from glycogen or possibly enter through the damaged cellular membrane; we have previously quantified this membrane “leakiness” using Mg²⁺ as a reporter

Table 2. Cont.

| ID    | Gene  | Expression Ratio | Function |
|-------|-------|-----------------|----------|
| b1078 | flgG  | 0.024           | Flagellar motor |
| b4355 | tsr   | 0.004           | Chemotaxis proteins |
| b1073 | flgB  | 0.002           | Flagellar motor |
| b1937 | filE  | 0.005           | Flagella |
| b1076 (Z1714) | flgE | 0.007           | Flagellar motor |
| b0057 (Z1878) | borD | 0.001           | Magnesium stimulon |
| b1938 | filF  | 0.005           | Flagella |
| b1888 | cheA  | 0.015           | Chemotaxis protein |
| b1947 | filO  | 0.013           | Flagellar export |
| b1925 | filS  | 0.002           | Flagellar export |
| b1882 | cheY  | 0.003           | Chemotaxis response regulator |
| b1071 | flgM  | 0.001           | Flagella |
| b3525 | yhH   | 0.003           | flagellar motility |
| b1940 (c2357) | filH | 0.001           | flagella ATPase inhibitor |
| b1074 | flgC  | 0.001           | Flagellar motor |
| b1944 | filJ  | 0.000           | Flagella |
| b1943 | filK  | 0.001           | Flagellar export |
| b1886 | tar   | 0.005           | Aspartate chemoreceptor |
| b1924 | filD  | 0.013           | Flagella |
| b1889 | motB  | 0.004           | Flagellar motor |
| b1890 | motA  | 0.000           | Flagellar motor |
| b1566 | flaA  | 0.004           | Prophage |
| b1083 | flgL  | 0.002           | Flagella |
| b0929 | ompF  | 0.000           | Transport of sugars, ions, and amino acids <600 daltons |
| b0553 | nmpC  | 0.002           | OMP porin associated with the peptidoglycan |

Expression ratio for genes significantly perturbed (q<0.05) at least 2-fold are reported as increased abundance (+) or decreased abundance (−). Probes not annotated as MG1655, but homologous to other E. coli strains, are annotated as either CFT073 or EDL933, with the corresponding c-number or Z-number, respectively. The table is sorted by the magnitude of the expression ratio and the function categories are derived from the Ecocyc database [68]. Abbreviations: AR1- acid resistance system 1; AR2- glutamate-dependent acid resistance system 2; OMP- outer membrane protein; IMP- inner membrane protein; TA- transcriptional activator; TR- transcriptional regulator; SP- stationary phase; SR- stress response.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089580.t002

Table 3. Transcription factor activities significantly changed.

| Transcription Factor | ΔTFA | Sensing signal |
|----------------------|------|---------------|
| FruR                | −    | Fructose 1-phosphate or Fructose 1,6-phosphate |
| GadE                | +    | Low pH |
| Lrp                 | +    | Leucine |
| MalT                | +    | Maltodextrin |
| PutA                | −    | Proline and FAD |
| RcsAB               | +    | Membrane proteins |
| SoxS                | +    | Oxidative stress |

TFA with a significant change (p<0.05) using NCA algorithm with the RegulonDB regulatory links [29].
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089580.t003
molecule [5]. There are other potential sources of unphosphorylated glucose, such as the galactose transporter [48].

Two of the most often cited means of carboxylic acid toxicity are intracellular acidification and membrane damage [18], and many of the genes and regulators perturbed in our dataset can be attributed to these two effects. Membrane damage in this condition has recently been quantified [5]. However, the acidification effect remains relatively undercharacterized.

Figure 1. Octanoic acid response network. MG1655 was challenged with sufficient octanoic acid to inhibit growth by 23% (10 mM) in MOPS minimal media at pH 7.0, 37°C, 150 rpm. This diagram shows central metabolism and highlights the regulatory effect of regulators with significantly perturbed activity during C8 challenge, as identified by network component analysis. Dashed lines indicate regulatory connections that were proposed in our previous analysis [30]. Mechanisms for changes in transcription factor activity are discussed in the text. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089580.g001
Octanoic acid lowers the intracellular pH

We used a pH-dependent modified green fluorescent protein [32] to verify and quantify the acidification effect of carboxylic acid challenge at neutral pH. We used the familiar example of HCl challenge as a positive control for this system. Addition of 20 mM HCl without readjusting media pH leads to a severe decrease in intracellular pH to 5.32 (Figure 2). As expected, adjustment of media pH back to neutral after addition of HCl is associated with the appropriate near-neutral intracellular pH. Contrastingly, addition of 10 or 20 mM C8, even with adjustment of media pH to 7.0, results in a significant (p < 0.05) and dose-dependent drop in intracellular pH (Figure 2). This data not only confirms the acidification effect of C8 challenge in neutral media, but also quantifies this effect.

This acidification is consistent with the known permeability of the cell membrane by the neutral protonated form of octanoic acid. Once this neutral form permeates the cell membrane, it is able to deprotonate inside the cell and thereby decrease the intracellular pH [5]. Normally, the intracellular pH is slightly basic in order to maintain proper membrane transport properties in a neutral pH environment. E. coli uses this pH gradient as a means of nutrient and waste transport. The pK_a of C8 is 4.89 [49]; at pH 7.0; the unprotonated form is dominant in the bulk media. The protonated C8 is able to permeate the cell membrane [16,18,50] and release protons into the cell, disrupting the pH gradient. In contrast, HCl is a strong inorganic acid lacking the membrane permeability displayed by the largely hydrophobic carboxylic acid. Thus, HCl is able to pass through the cell membrane only via membrane channels (i.e., ion exchange porins) and proton pumps (e.g., ATPase) [51]. Given these differences, it is unclear if the acid resistance strategies thoroughly described in the literature [35] will also apply to carboxylic acids.

These results highlight the challenge of working with carboxylic acids, as opposed to strong inorganic acids. The membrane permeability of these carboxylic acids interferes with the ability to control intracellular pH within the appropriate range of 6.0–7.5 [52]. Traditional fermentation systems enable maintenance of appropriate media pH, but it is the intracellular pH that impacts productivity. Thus, strategies are needed to combat this acidification effect in order to maintain biocatalyst functionality.

Figure 2. Octanoic acid challenge decreases the intracellular pH. E. coli MG1655 pJTD1 was grown to midlog in minimal media at pH 7.0 and resuspended in media containing C8 or hydrochloric acid (HCl). Values are the average of 4 biological replicates, with error bars indicating the standard deviation.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089580.g002

Figure 3. Supplementation with arginine or glutamate does not mitigate intracellular acidification. Measurements of the intracellular pH of E. coli MG1655 pJTD1 during C8 challenge while grown in the presence of supplemental arginine and glutamate. The cells were incubated for 3 h at 37°C in MOPS media to allow utilization of the amino acid-dependent acid resistance systems. All concentrations are 10 mM.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089580.g003
Native acid resistance systems are ineffective in combatting carboxylic acid stress

Bacterial acid resistance systems have been previously characterized [35]. Specifically there are four known acid resistance systems in *E. coli*. Two of these, acid resistance system 1 (AR1 - *hdeAB* operon and *hdeD*) and acid resistance system 2 (AR2 - *gad* system) all have significantly increased expression in our C8 challenge dataset. Since AR2 is the better characterized [53,54] of the two, we chose this system as the basis for our attempts to enable increased carboxylic acid tolerance.

AR2 functions by using glutamate as a sink for excess protons, resulting in production of γ-amino butyric acid (GABA) [35,54]. The fact that the genes encoding this system had increased expression during C8 challenge suggests that *E. coli* is trying to use this system in our condition. This drive to use the glutamate-dependent acid resistance system could explain the observed perturbation of the PutA regulator. AR2 relies on extracellular glutamate for full functionality and thus may have limited effectiveness in our minimal media condition. For this reason, we tested the effectiveness of glutamate supplementation in mitigating both the inhibition of growth and intracellular acidification mediated by octanoic acid.

Supplementation with 10, 20 or 30 mM glutamate was not effective in increasing tolerance to 10, 20 or 30 mM C8 at neutral pH respectively (unpublished data). Glutamate supplementation was also not effective at mitigating the acidification effect (Figure 3). Note that the acidification effect present in Figure 3 is more severe than that shown in Figure 2; this is due to the fact that cells queried in Figure 2 were characterized immediately after C8 addition while cells queried in Figure 3 were incubated in the presence of C8 for 3 hours, providing the opportunity to use the AR2 system. Note that a pH of 5.5 is the limit of detection of the GFP method and therefore the intracellular pH may actually be less than 5.5. We also attempted to utilize acid resistance system 3 (AR3), which relies on arginine as a proton sink. However, supplemental arginine was also ineffective in combating growth inhibition or acidification (Figure 2).

The glutamate-dependent acid resistance system is impaired during carboxylic acid challenge

The lack of protection conferred by the arginine- and glutamate-dependent acid resistance systems is surprising, especially considering the fact that the expression of the genes encoding the glutamate-dependent system is increased in our dataset. According to the current understanding of the AR2 system, one glutamate molecule can be used as a sink for one intracellular proton. However, neither of these amino acids was able to provide protection in terms of growth or intracellular acidification (Figure 3). To gain insight into this surprising result, we further characterized the activity of the AR2 system.

The product of the proton-dependent glutamate decarboxylation by GadB, GABA, was measured as a reporter of the AR2 system (Figure 4). GABA is typically released outside of the cell in order to buffer the intracellular pH to physiological levels (~7.4). This export occurs via the GadC antiporter in concert with glutamate import. Note that cells are also capable of transforming GABA into succinate [54]. Therefore, both the internal and external GABA abundance values are meaningful. Internal abundance values reflect GadB activity, internal glutamate availability and the relative abundance of excess protons. External GABA abundance reflects functionality of the GadC antiporter and external glutamate availability.

We observed that in the control condition, the amounts of intracellular and extracellular GABA are relatively equal. While previous studies have measured GABA abundance in *Listeria* [55], *Corynebacterium* [56], *Lactobacillus* [34] and *E. coli* [57], to the best of our knowledge, ours are the first reported measurements for *E. coli* that document steady-state log-phase intracellular and extracellular GABA concentrations.

When supplemental glutamate is added to the control condition, secretion of GABA is increased, though the total amount of GABA remains unchanged relative to the unsupplemented control. This lack of change in the total GABA amount is consistent with the lack of excess protons that need to be removed from the cell interior.
Intracellular acidification is less severe during carboxylic acid production

Many of the studies of carboxylic acid tolerance are motivated by a desire to produce carboxylic acids at a high titer [9]. Note that some studies are instead motivated by the use of carboxylic acids as food preservatives [58]. The data described above quantifies the intracellular acidification of E. coli during exogenous challenge with octanoic acid. However, this response to exogenous challenge does not necessarily correlate to the physiological effects of carboxylic acid production. Therefore, we quantified the intracellular pH during production of carboxylic acids, using a strain that produces a mixture of C14:0 and C16:0 to a titer of roughly 4 mM in minimal media (Figure 5) [21]. Note that this is the same strain for which we observed decreased membrane integrity as product titers increased [5].

While intracellular pH values were observed at or below our reliable detection limit of 5.5 during long-term challenge with octanoic acid (Figure 3), intracellular pH values during carboxylic acid production were above 6.5 (Figure 5). E. coli K-12 is generally able to maintain an intracellular pH of 7.6±0.2 when grown in media with a pH between 6.0 and 7.5, as controlled by the addition of ionic buffering agents [59]. Outside of this range, growth is still observed at pH values between 4.5 and 9.0 [59], though growth is inhibited if intracellular pH falls below 7.1 [52]. It has been noted that E. coli does not grow if the intracellular pH falls below 6.0 [52]. It is also worth noting that similar intracellular pH values were observed in our control strain, in which transcription of the thioesterase responsible for cleaving the elongating fatty acids was not induced.

This data suggests that unlike carboxylic acid challenge, carboxylic acid production does not result in intracellular acidification. However, the difference in concentration of carboxylic acids may be the main factor responsible for this difference. Our exogenous challenge studies were performed with 10 or 20 mM C8, but the carboxylic acid production strain does not exceed titers of 5 mM. The lack of intracellular acidification during carboxylic acid production could also be due to metabolic effects, as discussed below. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first quantification of intracellular pH during organic acid production.

Cyclopropane fatty acids as a protectant to low pH

As described above, membrane damage has been previously cited and quantified as a mechanism of biocatalyst inhibition by carboxylic acids [5,6]. Genes and regulators related to membrane damage were perturbed in our transcriptome data. We have also proposed that membrane damage is the reason for poor functioning of the glutamate-dependent acid resistance system. Previous engineering efforts for increasing carboxylic acid

Figure 5. Production of carboxylic acids in strain ML103+pXZ18Z+pZS-GFP does not significantly change the intracellular pH. Shake flasks of E. coli producing predominately C14:0 and C16:0 carboxylic acids in M9 media with 1.5% dextrose at 30 °C. IPTG induces the pXZ18Z plasmid carrying a thioesterase and a β-hydroxyacyl-ACP dehydratase. The intracellular pH values are the average of four biological replicates and four technical replicates. The error bars indicate the standard deviation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089580.g005

We have already described the fact that addition of 10 mM C8 to the media while maintaining a neutral media pH both impedes growth [5] and severely acidifies the cell interior, with or without provision of 10 mM supplemental glutamate (Figure 3). For the cells challenged with C8 in the absence of glutamate, there was a decrease in intracellular, extracellular and total GABA. For the cells provided with supplemental glutamate during C8 challenge, there was also a decrease in all types of GABA relative to the unsupplemented control. This lack of perturbation to the GABA pools is surprising, given the known excess of intracellular protons in the +C8 condition. Under appropriate functioning, the GadB/GadC system should be producing and exporting GABA as a proton sink. However, this system is apparently not functioning during carboxylic acid challenge.

This lack of function could possibly be attributed to another well-accepted mechanism of carboxylic acid toxicity: membrane damage. Both GadB and GadC have some degree of membrane-associated function [53]. The damage caused to the cell membrane by carboxylic acids can result in impaired function of this system, even when the enzymes and the system precursors, glutamate and excess protons, are abundant. Whereas these two mechanisms were previously thought to be distinct modes of inhibition, to the best of our knowledge, we are the first to link the membrane damage and acidification effect during organic acid challenge. This again highlights the differences in mitigating tolerance to carboxylic acids and strong acids.
Figure 6. cfa mutants with altered the membrane lipid profile, S:U ratio and the average lipid length. a: Membrane lipid profile of MG1655 and strains with altered cfa expression. Strains were incubated with 0–30 mM C8, pH = 7.0. Inset: specific growth rate in the log phase of E. coli with varying cfa expression. C16:0- palmitic acid, C16:1- palmitoleic acid, C17cyc- cyclopropane C17:0, C18:1- vaccenic acid, C18:0- stearic acid, C19cyc- cyclopropane C19:0. The complete lipid profiles are shown in Figure S2 of the Supporting Information. Membrane properties are calculated from a to obtain: b: saturated:unsaturated lipid ratio and c: average lipid length.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0089580.g006
tolerance in *E. coli* have focused on increasing the relative content of saturated lipids in the cell membrane [60]. These efforts were successful in increasing tolerance to carboxylic acids, but not increasing carboxylic acid production.

Given that increased membrane permeability (leakage) is a likely mechanism of carboxylic acid toxicity, it is appealing to implement genetic modifications that increase membrane integrity. Cyclopropane fatty acids are known to have increased bulk in the cell membrane relative to saturated fatty acids [61]. Additionally, cyclopropane fatty acids have been well-documented in the role in conferring resistance to acidic environments [62]. Considering the fact that *E. coli* inhibition by carboxylic acids is associated with both membrane damage and induction of the acid response, we proposed that increasing the abundance of these cyclopropane fatty acids in the cell membrane could serve as a method of increasing carboxylic acid tolerance.

The role of cyclopropane fatty acids in carboxylic acid tolerance was investigated using mutants either completely deficient in cyclopropane fatty acid production (∆*cfa*) or engineered for increased expression of the Cfa enzyme (*cfa*+). Note that Cfa is responsible for the S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)-dependent methylation of unsaturated membrane lipids [63]. No cyclopropane fatty acids were observed in our ∆*cfa* mutant (Figure 6a). Strains with increased *cfa* expression contained more than 32 mol% cyclopropane fatty acids while less than 11 mol% were observed in the wildtype strain in the control condition (Figure 6a). The complete lipid profiles are shown in Figure S2.

Despite this substantial change in cyclopropane fatty acid content in the cell membrane, there was not an observed increase in C8 tolerance (Figure 6a inset) and no change in leakage or fluidity (unpublished data). In fact, strains with the highest cyclopropane fatty acid content actually showed significantly (p<0.05) increased sensitivity to C8 (Figure 6a inset).

Despite the lack of impact on carboxylic acid tolerance, the data obtained in these experiments provides interesting trends in control of membrane composition. We observed previously that when challenged with C8, *E. coli* undergoes a dose-dependent, statistically significant decrease in the molar ratio of saturated (i.e., C16:0) and unsaturated (i.e., C16:1 and C17:cyc) membrane lipids (S/U ratio) [5]. Cells deficient in cyclopropane fatty acid production have a saturated:unsaturated ratio in the control condition that is significantly lower than the wildtype strain (Figure 6b). However, as the C8 concentration increases, the S/U ratio in the ∆*cfa* strain approaches the S/U ratio observed in the wildtype strain. Contrastingly, cells with increased cyclopropane fatty acid content (*cfa*+), show a similar S/U ratio as the wildtype strain in the control condition, but when C8 is added at increasing concentration, the observed S/U ratio is increased more than 2-fold relative to the wildtype (Figure 6b). Similar patterns were observed during growth sensitivity measurements. As the C8 concentration increases, the ∆*cfa* strain shows growth rates increasingly similar to the wildtype strain, while the *cfa*+ strain diverges from the wildtype (Figure 6a inset).

Another metric for assessing membrane composition is average lipid length. We previously reported that during growth in the presence of C8, the average lipid length increased in a significant, dose-dependent manner [5]. Cells with increased expression of *cfa* are able to maintain the same average lipid length as the wildtype in the control condition, but in the presence of C8 the lipid length decreases (Figure 6c). This decrease in average lipid length represents a shift from lipids with a 18-carbon chain (C18:0, C18:1, C19:cyc) to lipids with a 16-carbon chain (C16:0, C16:1 and C17:cyc). Contrastingly, the ∆*cfa* strain followed the wildtype trend by significantly increasing the average lipid length. This was accomplished by decreasing 16:1 and increasing C18:1 (Figure 6a).

Thus, separation of *cfa* expression from the wildtype control circuits impacts not only the saturated:unsaturated fatty acid ratio, but also the average lipid length. This highlights the potential importance of Cfa and cyclopropane fatty acids in maintaining appropriate membrane properties.

**Interaction of Lrp and octanoic acid does not contribute to C8-mediated growth inhibition**

It has been previously noted that small carboxylic acids can interact with the global regulator Lrp in a manner than mimics its standard cofactor, leucine [42]. Thus, it is possible that the observed activation of Lrp in our dataset was caused by interaction of Lrp and octanoic acid. Lrp is a global regulator, primarily of amino acid biosynthesis [64] and its activation by a false signal,
such as octanoate, could result in inappropriate repression of biosynthesis-associated genes, resulting in growth inhibition.

We tested the octanoic acid tolerance of both an lrp deletion mutant and a strain engineered for increased expression of lrp. However, consistent with previous reports [64], the deletion mutant grew poorly in our minimal media growth condition and data regarding carboxylic acid sensitivity was ambiguous (Figure 7). Specifically, the overall growth rate was decreased relative to the wildtype at all C8 concentrations tested, but the relative sensitivity to C8 did not appear to be altered. Similar trends were observed for the strain engineered for increased lrp expression (Figure 7).

We proposed that this difficulty in establishing the role of the potential C8-lrp interaction in C8 tolerance is due to the global regulatory role of Lrp. If C8 is truly serving as a false signal for controlling Lrp activity, this is most likely to impact growth in the form of inappropriate repression of amino acid biosynthesis. Therefore, we tested each of the individual amino acids, as well as a mixture of biosynthetic building blocks in the form of casamino acids, for their ability to confer tolerance to C8. If one or more of these amino acids is limiting during growth in the presence of C8, supplementation with these amino acids should increase C8 tolerance. However, none of the individual amino acid supplements or supplemental casamino acids enabled significantly increased growth in the presence of 10 mM C8 relative to the unsupplemented condition (unpublished data). It should also be noted that none of these supplements significantly inhibited growth in the non-C8 condition.

Figure 8. Simulations of the effect of the electrochemical gradients on the proton motive force. The appropriate range for E. coli cells is $-140$ to $-180$ mV. pH values are measurements from Figure 2 at 20 mM. Assumption 1: An average of $-160$ mV is used for the normal PMF. The target PMF is within the range of $-140$ to $-180$ mV. Assumption 2: Shock conditions are the instantaneous outcome of the PMF after an extreme change in the environment. Assumption 3: The cell can initiate a response that gives times to adjust the PMF to the target range. Assumption 4: Rapid addition of a strong mineral acid (e.g., HCl) adds an equal amount of anions and protons, which does not change the membrane potential. Assumption 5: C8 is at a sufficiently high concentration that it rapidly integrates into the cell membrane and releases its proton inside the cell, which is not reversible. A negative ($-$) PMF indicates protons diffusing into the cell, whereas a positive ($+$) PMF indicates protons diffusing out of the cell. A negative ($-$) gradient indicates an overall negative ion gradient due to anions, whereas a positive ($+$) gradient indicates an overall positive ion gradient due to cations. The mol ions is the molar ratio from the normal condition. pH, intracellular pH; pH_e, extracellular pH; F_DpH, membrane potential associated electrochemical force; F_Dy, membrane potential associated electrochemical force; PMF, proton motive force; A$^-$, anion; A$^+$, any cation.
Therefore, even if there is a significant interaction between octanoic acid and Lrp, this interaction does not appear to be contributing to C9-mediated growth inhibition in our condition.

Octanoic acid causes inversion of the pH gradient leading to anion accumulation in order to maintain the proton motive force

While it does not appear at this point that intracellular acidification is a significant challenge for carboxylic acid production, that perspective may change as we attain higher carboxylic acid titers. Given the qualitative differences observed between challenge with strong inorganic acids and carboxylic acids, we have applied a quantitative modeling approach to identifying additional differences between these two types of acids.

The proton motive force (PMF, typically expressed in mV) is a function of both the difference in intracellular and extracellular pH values (ΔpH) and differences in internal and external electrochemical charge (membrane potential, Δψ) as shown above in equation (1). Figure 8 shows our simulations of the pH gradients, membrane potential, and the resultant PMF under different environmental conditions. When cells are shocked with an inorganic acid, such as HCl, the PMF becomes larger than normal and protons initially overwhelm the cell interior. But after a period of adaptation, accumulation of cations can enable reversion of the PMF back to the physiological range, even though the intracellular pH in the adapted state is decreased relative to the original intracellular pH. Such cation accumulation, in the form of potassium, has been previously described for E. coli K-12 [17]. This cation accumulation will lead to a change in the transmembrane potential (Δψ) that contributes to stabilization of the PMF. This stabilization of the PMF creates hyperpolarization of the membrane, as evidenced by very large ΔpH and Δψ values. This hyperpolarization can be mediated by the release of chloride ions through voltage-gated channels as discussed in the literature [35,57,65,66]. This type of change in Δψ from negative to positive during challenge with inorganic acids has been previously described [65].

Given the ability of our model to accurately describe the E. coli response to inorganic acids, here we have applied this model to carboxylic acid challenge. When cells are shocked with carboxylic acids while maintaining a neutral extracellular pH, the ΔpH value is actually inverted. This inversion of ΔpH results in a transient inversion in PMF. In order to return to the viable PMF range and appropriate directionality, cells must accumulate intracellular anions, as opposed to the cations accumulated after challenge with inorganic acids. Our model predicts that this anion accumulation will increase the intracellular anion pool by 3-fold. This anion accumulation in response to carboxylic acid challenge, as opposed to the cation accumulation seen with inorganic acids, is consistent with literature reports. For example, when E. coli O157:H7 was treated with sufficient external acetate to drop the intracellular pH to below 5.6 while maintaining a constant media pH of 5.9, the amount of intracellular acetate increased 3-fold while intracellular potassium levels remained relatively constant [17].

Thus, physiological changes that enable maintenance of the appropriate PMF differ between carboxylic acids and inorganic acids. Specifically, our model predicts that challenge with carboxylic acids will result in a transient inversion of the proton motive force. This prediction is a key component of our analysis, and while it is supported by literature data [17], the existence of this transient inversion is not widely known. Note that E. coli K-12 normally maintains a PMF in the range of −140 to −180 mV [35].

Discussion

While transcriptome analysis is informative about the cellular response network (Figure 1 and Table 2) during challenge with an inhibitor such as octanoic acid, here we have supported these findings with other data that quantitatively describe the physiological state of the cell. We have confirmed that octanoic acid acidifies the cytosol of the E. coli cell (Figure 2). Our analysis predicts that this acidification inverts the proton gradient and substantially changes the PMF (Figure 8). Such a change alters the physiological state of the cell in a way that is fundamentally different from inorganic acids such as HCl. Whereas adaptation to HCl includes accumulation of intracellular cations, organic acid stress adaptation includes accumulation of anions in order to revert the PMF to the appropriate range and directionality. Our simulations could be confirmed in future experiments by measuring the intracellular pH, extracellular pH, the transmembrane potential, and intracellular ion concentrations.

We have previously described the negative effects of octanoic acid on the cellular membrane of E. coli [5]. Here we have further explored the effect of octanoic acid on membrane proteins such as those associated with acid resistance (Figure 4). While supplementing E. coli with glutamate aids in export of the decarboxylation product GABA, it does not support the glutamate-dependent acid resistance system under octanoic acid stress (Figure 3 and Figure 4). We proposed that this system is defective during octanoic acid challenge due to membrane damage.

It is known that cyclopropane fatty acid aid in tolerance of acid stress, presumably by slowing the transport of protons into the cell [62,63]. Here we have noted that Cfa is involved with complex control of membrane properties, including the saturated/unsaturated lipid ratio and the average lipid length. The effect of Cfa on membrane properties is reflected by the specific growth rate. Our efforts to engineer the membrane in order to confer carboxylic acid tolerance suggests that precise control of the membrane is required for sufficient growth, similar to the conclusions of other researchers [60].

It was reported previously that both exogenous addition and intracellular production of carboxylic acids causes disruption of the membrane [5]. Here we report the differences in how carboxylic acids affect the intracellular pH. While exogenous addition of carboxylic acids causes a significant drop in the intracellular pH, intracellular production of carboxylic acids does not. However, the production strains achieve a much lower tier than the concentrations used in our exogenous challenge studies. It may be that the acidification effect may not become significant in carboxylic acid production strains until higher product titers are achieved. Another potentially-important aspect of carboxylic acid production relates to the distribution of these acids between the protonated and anionic forms within the cell interior. The protonated carboxylic acids can more easily diffuse through the cell membrane than the anionic form [67].

A buildup of intracellular anionic carboxylic acids will shift the equilibrium to the protonated form. The neutral lipophilic fatty acids will then diffuse through the membrane, where it can dissociate, assuming that the media pH is greater than the pKₐ of the fatty acid.

Conclusions

These results highlight the ability of transcriptome analysis to guide quantitative experiments in establishing the mechanisms of toxicity of various inhibitory compounds. These mechanisms of toxicity can differ depending on whether the inhibitor is provided exogenously or produced by the cell. Thus, testing of proposed
hypotheses in engineered strains is helpful in prioritizing engineering strategies. At this point, it still appears that membrane damage is the most pressing issue limiting carboxylic acid production. Strategies that enable increased membrane integrity may enable increased carboxylic acid production titers, so that intracellular acidification becomes problematic.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Transcriptionome data of significantly perturbed genes during E. coli challenged with octanoic acid. The criteria for significantly perturbed genes: q<0.05 with a log2 ratio of <−1.0 or >1.0. Positive values are an increase in abundance and negative values are a decrease in abundance of transcripts. MG1655 was challenged with 10 mM octanoic acid in MOPS with 2% dextrose at 37°C, 150 rpm.

Figure S2 The complete lipid profiles of E. coli strains with engineered cyclopropene fatty acid content. The complete membrane lipid profile of MG1655 and strains with altered cfa expression. Strains were incubated with 0–30 mM C8, pH = 7.0.
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