Computation of Hahn Moments for Large Size Images
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Abstract: Problem statement: Direct formulas used for Hahn moments computation available in the literature are not applicable for large size images. This is due to the need for calculation of factorial of large values as well as numerical instability in the calculation of polynomial values. This study presents a method to correct these errors. Approach: In this research, recursive formulas for weight function, squared norm and weighted Hahn polynomials that were used for Hahn moments computation were derived. Results: Simulation results were reported for image reconstruction and the quality of reconstructed image was assessed by using universal image quality index. Conclusion: This study presented a procedure for calculation of Hahn moments for any size images using the recursive formulas. From the simulation results, it was observed that the derived recursive formulas work well for any size images.
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INTRODUCTION

Orthogonal moments which are extensively used for image reconstruction and classification problems are grouped into either continuous or discrete. Geometric (Hu, 1962), Legendre (Teague, 1980) and Zernike (Teague, 1980) moments are continuous whereas Tchebichef (Mukundan et al., 2001), Krawtchouk (Yap et al., 2003) and Hahn moments (Yap et al., 2007) are discrete. Discrete moments have a number of advantages over continuous moments like no need for coordinate transformation, better image reconstruction and no discretization error. Recently, Yap et al. (2007) proposed Hahn moments using discrete weighted Hahn polynomials. They showed that Tchebichef and Krawtchouk moments are special cases of Hahn moments with the appropriate parameter settings. In order to compute Hahn moments, the authors used direct formulas for obtaining Hahn polynomials, weight function and squared norm as well as weighted Hahn polynomials that are applicable for small size images only. When these equations are used for large size images, one encounters the following problems: (i) Need for calculation of factorial of large values (ii) Numerical instability in the calculation of polynomial values. These problems can be solved by using recursive formulas. Hence, this study derives the recursive formulas for weight function, squared norm and weighted Hahn polynomials. Further, simulation results are reported for image reconstruction using the derived recursive formulas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Direct formulas for weight function, squared norm and Hahn polynomials as given in Table 1 of (Yap et al., 2007) are not suitable for large size images since it requires to calculate the factorial of large values. Further, numerical instability occurs in the calculation of polynomial values and therefore, numerical fluctuations occur in the computation of moment values. To overcome these problems, we derive the recursive relation for weight function, squared norm and discrete weighted Hahn polynomials.

Table 1: Computed UIQI for Lena image

| Order | UIQI with Hahn moments for \((\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0)\) | UIQI with Hahn moments for \((\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1)\) |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| (20, 20) | 0.23 | 0.24 |
| (40, 40) | 0.43 | 0.44 |
| (60, 60) | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| (80, 80) | 0.67 | 0.67 |
| (100, 100) | 0.75 | 0.76 |
| (120, 120) | 0.81 | 0.81 |
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Weighted Hahn polynomials (Yap et al., 2007) are given by:

\[ h_n(x; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{w(x; \alpha, \beta, N)}{\rho(n; \alpha, \beta, N)} (1) \]

in which \( h_n(x; \alpha, \beta, N) \) are Hahn polynomials which are defined in terms of hypergeometric function as:

\[ h_n(x; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{\Gamma(n+1)} \binom{x}{n}(x-N)_{N-n} (2) \]

where, \( F(a, b, c; z) \) is the generalized hypergeometric function given by:

\[ F(a, b, c; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!} (3) \]

and \( (a)_k \) is the Pochhammer symbol given by:

\[ (a)_k = a(a+1)(a+2)\ldots(a+k-1) (4) \]

\( w(x, \alpha, \beta, N) \) is the weight function given by:

\[ w(x; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\alpha + x)(\beta - N + x)}{x! \beta!(N-x)!} (5) \]

and the squared norm \( \rho(n; \alpha, \beta, N) \) is given by:

\[ \rho(n; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(-1)^n (n+\alpha+\beta+1)_n}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+1)_n} \] (6)

\[ \rho(0; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{(\alpha+\beta+1)_n} (13) \]

To derive the recursive formula for weighted Hahn polynomials, we multiply the above equation by:

\[ w(0; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\beta+N)!}{\beta!} \]

For \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), \( w(0; \alpha, \beta, N) \) is given by \( w(0; \alpha, \beta, N) = 1 \).

**Recursive formula for squared norm:** By substituting \( n = n+1 \) in (6), we obtain:

\[ \rho(n+1; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\beta+1)_n (n+1)!}{(2n+\alpha+\beta+3)(\alpha+1)_n} (12) \]

The starting value for the above recursive relation can be obtained by substituting \( n = 0 \) in (6) as:

\[ \rho(0; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\alpha+1)_n}{(\alpha+\beta+1)_n} (13) \]

For \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), \( \rho(0; \alpha, \beta, N) \) is given by \( \rho(0; \alpha, \beta, N) = 1 \).

**Recursive formula for weighted Hahn polynomials:**

The recursive formula for Hahn polynomials with respect to ‘x’ is given by:

\[ h_n(x+1; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(x+\alpha+1)(x+\beta-N+1)}{(x+1)(\alpha+\beta)!} h_n(x; \alpha, \beta, N) (8) \]

By dividing (8) with (7), we obtain:

\[ w(x+1; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(x+\alpha+1)(x+\beta-N+1)}{(x+1)(\alpha+\beta)!} w(x; \alpha, \beta, N) (9) \]

The starting value for the above recursion can be obtained by substituting \( x = 0 \) in (7) as:

\[ w(0; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\beta+N)!}{\beta!} (10) \]

for \( x = 0, 1, \ldots, N-2 \) with \( h_0(0; \alpha, \beta, N) = 1 \) and \( h_1(1; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)}{N(\alpha+1)} \) as initial values.
By using the recursive formula for weight function as given in (9):

\[ w(x; \alpha, \beta, N) \frac{(x + 1 - N)(x + 1 - 2N)}{\rho(n; \alpha, \beta, N)} \]

Substituting the above values in (15), we obtain the recursive formula for weighted Hahn polynomials as:

\[ (x + 1 - N)(x + 1 - 2N)h_n(x; \alpha, \beta, N) = (4x - \beta - 2x^2 + 2 + n^2 - 3N - 2Nn - \alpha + \alpha x)h_n(x + 1; \alpha, \beta, N) \]

Substituting the above values in (15), we get:

\[ h_n(1; \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)n}{\beta + N} \]

and we have:

\[ h_n(1; \alpha, \beta, N) = 1 - \frac{n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}{N(n + 1)} \]

RESULTS

In order to test the validity of the derived recursive formulas, we calculate Hahn moments \((\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0)\) up to order \((120, 120)\) for a Lena image of size \(256 \times 256\) as well as Circbw image of size \(270 \times 270\). To compute Hahn moments, we use the derived recursive formulas for weight function, squared norm and weighted Hahn polynomials. Further, we also use the symmetry property of weighted Hahn polynomials and matrix form representation of moments to reduce the computational complexity. The mathematical expression corresponding to symmetry property is given by \( h_n(x; \alpha, \beta, N - 1) = (-1)^n h_n(N - 1 - x; \alpha, \beta, N - 1) \).

To compute the weighted Hahn polynomials for an image of size \(N \times N\), one needs to compute weighted Hahn polynomials for one quadrant \(0 \leq x, y \leq (N/2 - 1)\) and...
apply the symmetry property to get the weighted Hahn polynomials for other quadrants. The matrix form representation for Hahn moments is given by:

\[ H = A F B^T \]

and image reconstruction using Hahn moments is given by:

\[ F = A^T H B \]

In these expressions, the matrices \( H, A, B \) and \( F \) are given by:

\[
H = \begin{bmatrix} h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, N - 1) & \cdots & h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, M - 1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, N - 1) & \cdots & h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, M - 1) \\ \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=0}^{N-1, M-1}
\]

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} h_i(j; \alpha_2, \beta_2, N - 1) & \cdots & h_i(j; \alpha_2, \beta_2, M - 1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_i(j; \alpha_2, \beta_2, N - 1) & \cdots & h_i(j; \alpha_2, \beta_2, M - 1) \\ \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=0}^{N-1, M-1}
\]

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix} h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, N - 1) & \cdots & h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, M - 1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, N - 1) & \cdots & h_i(j; \alpha_1, \beta_1, M - 1) \\ \end{bmatrix}_{i,j=0}^{N-1, M-1}
\]

\( T \) denotes the transpose of matrix.

The images are reconstructed using Hahn moments up to order \((40, 40), (80, 80)\) and \((120, 120)\) and the reconstructed images are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. In order to assess the quality of reconstructed image, we also compute Universal Image Quality Index (UIQI) (Wang and Bovik, 2002) and the results are entered in Table 1 and 2.

**DISCUSSION**

It is observed from the UIQI results that this value approaches to unity as the order of moments used for image reconstruction increases. The image reconstruction is repeated using Hahn moments with parameters \((\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0)\) and \((\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1)\) and the results are shown in Fig. 3 and 4, Table 1 and 2. It is observed that the reconstructed results depend not only on the number of moments selected but also on the parameter values. For the given order of moments, as the value of parameters \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2\) deviates on either side from unity, the quality of reconstructed image decreases. This is due to the variations in the amplitude of the polynomial values.

**Table 2: Computed UIQI for Circbw image**

| Order     | UIQI with Hahn moments \((\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0)\) | UIQI with Hahn moments \((\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1)\) |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (20, 20)  | 0.06                                                                           | 0.06                                                                           |
| (40, 40)  | 0.15                                                                           | 0.15                                                                           |
| (60, 60)  | 0.22                                                                           | 0.22                                                                           |
| (80, 80)  | 0.29                                                                           | 0.30                                                                           |
| (100, 100)| 0.36                                                                           | 0.37                                                                           |
| (120, 120)| 0.40                                                                           | 0.41                                                                           |
CONCLUSION

This study presented a procedure for calculation of Hahn moments for any size image using the recursive equations. These equations avoid the need for calculation of factorial of large values and also avoids the numerical instability, which occur in the polynomial calculations.
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