Impact of organic and inorganic nutrient resources on the soil properties on soybean crop grown on Mollisol of Tarai region of Uttarakhand
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Abstract
A field experiment was conducted under All India Co-ordinate Research Project on “Long term Fertilizer Experiment” during Kharif season 2014-15 with soybean-wheat cropping system at the D3 block of the Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. The present investigation was assess the chemical properties of a Mollisol. There were ten treatments with the three replications in Randomized Block Design (RBD) in soybean crop. The treatments were T1 (un-manured control), T2 (NPK 100% RDF), T3 (NPK 50% RDF), T4 (NPK 100% RDF + 5 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹), T5 STCR Targeted yield 1 (inorganic mode), T6 STCR Targeted yield 2 (inorganic mode), T7 (NPK 100% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹), T8 (NPK 50% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹), T9 STCR Targeted yield 1 (organic mode + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹), T10 STCR Targeted yield 2 (organic mode + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹). The result obtained from the present investigation clearly indicated that chemical properties changes in pH and EC in surface soil under different treatments were statistically non-significant. However, the treatments of combined application of chemical fertilizers with and without FYM have significant effect on organic carbon content, available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content in surface soil of soybean under soybean-wheat cropping system.
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Introduction
The application of chemical fertilizers alone in soil, which supply the nutrients in soil have negative impact on the soil health and causes the deterioration of soil and reduced the crop yield in India [3]. But now a day, to obtain maximum profit and maximum yield the farmers are using more chemical fertilizers in soil every year, due to excessive use of the chemical fertilizers directly affects the fertility status and reduces the microbial population in soil and also decline the soil productivity, Therefore agriculture soil scientist has come to namely integrated nutrient management practices (INM). In the INM practices combined use of organic and inorganic fertilizers in the various quantities which not only maintain higher productivity but also provide sustainability and also increases the nutrient use efficiency by crop [3]. Therefore the present study was undertaken to investigate the “Impact of Organic and Inorganic Nutrient resources on the soil properties of soybean crop grown on Mollisol of Tarai region of Uttarakhand.

Materials and Methods
Field experiments were conducted during Kharif season of 2014-15 at D3 Block of the Norman E. Borlaug Crop Research Centre, G.B.Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, situated at 29°N latitude, 79°E longitudes and at altitude of 243.83 meter above mean sea level. The experimental soil is silty clay loam Mollisol. The experimental soil is silty clay loam soil, belonging to the Beni silty clay loam soil series of fine, mixed loamy, hyperthermic, family of great group Hapludoll, sub group- Aquic Hapludoll, sub order – Udoll, and known as Mollisol. Ten treatments were three replications in Simple randomized block design in soybean crop.
The treatments were T1 (un-manured control), T2 (NPK 100% RDF), T3 (NPK 50% RDF), T4 (NPK 100% RDF + 5 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹), T5 STCR Targeted yield 1 (inorganic mode), T6 STCR Targeted yield 2 (inorganic mode), T7 (NPK 100% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹), T8 (NPK 50% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹), T9 STCR Targeted yield 1 (organic mode + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹), T10 STCR Targeted yield 2 (organic mode + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹). Where 100% NPK stands for 20: 80: 40 kg ha⁻¹ recommended dose of fertilizer for soybean crop. For the present study one set of each soil samples were collected with the help of khurpi from the selected sites from each plot as per treatments for surface soil 0-15 cm depth soil after harvest of soybean crop grown during 2014-15. Soil pH was determined in a 1: 2 soil: water suspension by glass electrode pH meter (Jackson, 1958). The soil suspension used for pH determination was allowed to settle down and conductivity of supernatant liquid was determined by using conductivity meter Black and Bover (1954). The EC results are expressed in dSm⁻¹ at 25°C and organic carbon content (%) in soil was determined by Walkley and Black method (1934). Available nitrogen in soil was determined by the Alkaline potassium permagnate method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956). Available phosphorus in soil was determined by the Olsen ‘extraction method (Olsen et al., 1954). Available potassium in soil was determined by Neutral normal ammonium acetate method (Jackson, 1973).

Result and discussion

Soil pH

The perusal of the data on soil reaction of the experimental soil indicated that continuous use of the chemical fertilizers alone and combined with the organic manure (FYM) has resulted a slight change in soil pH. The data on the soil pH of the surface soil as influenced by application of chemical fertilizer alone or combined with the organic manure (FYM) after harvest of soybean crop is presented in Table 1. Data clearly indicated that pH of the surface soil was not affected significantly by combined application of chemical fertilizer along with the organic manure (FYM) or chemical fertilizer alone. No significant change in soil pH under different treatments was might be due to buffering capacity of Mollisol. [8] reported that the application of chemical fertilizers over a long duration increased soil pH. However, combined use of chemical fertilizers with the organic manure (FYM) reduced the soil pH in soybean crop because it may be due to the buffering capacity of soil to resist the soil pH which does not change in soil pH, similar, findings were reported by [7, 1].

Electrical Conductivity

The Data on (Table 1) clearly showed that electrical conductivity of the surface soil (0-15 cm) after harvest of soybean crop was not affected significantly by the treatments of application of integrated nutrients. Electrical conductivity of surface soil was varied from 0.12 to 0.21 dSm⁻¹ in soybean crop respectively. Further it was observed that electrical conductivity of the surface soil was lowest obtained in control treatment and highest in integrated nutrient treatment. Similar findings were reported by [7] observed that continuous application of chemical fertilizers alone or along with organic manure increased electrical conductivity of the surface soil because accumulation of the high amount of the salt in surface soil which increased the electrical conductivity of the surface soil.

Soil organic carbon

The responses of combined application of organic and inorganic nutrient resources on available nitrogen content in surface soil are given in Table 1. Data clearly indicated that available nitrogen content in soil was significantly affected by different treatments. Data further revealed that available nitrogen content was maximum found in NPK 100% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹ treatment while it was lowest under control treatment. Higher value of available nitrogen content in surface soil after harvest of soybean crop was recorded in the treatments of balanced application of nutrients and lower in no fertilizer application treatments similar findings were reported by [6] observed that combined use of inorganic fertilizers and organic manure (FYM) increased the available nitrogen in surface soil.

Available Nitrogen

Application of organic along with inorganic fertilizers recorded significantly increased the available phosphorus content in soil than all other treatments during soybean crop (Table 1). The highest available phosphorus content in surface soil was recorded in the NPK 100% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹ followed by NPK 100% alone. The lowest available phosphorus content was found in control treatment. Among organic fertilizers applied in Khurif season in soybean crop, highest available phosphorus content was recorded by NPK 100% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹. It might be due to the decomposition of the farm yard manure converted into humus and releases the different types of organic acids in soil which helps to release the native phosphorus or bound phosphorus from the Fe and Al minerals, so available phosphorus content is increased in soil which is easily taken up by the plants similar findings were reported by [4].

Available Potassium

Data clearly indicated that available potassium content in surface soil was significantly affected by different treatments of nutrient application. Data further revealed that highest available potassium content was recorded in the treatment NPK 100% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹ and lowest was recorded in the un-manured control treatment. The results clearly indicated that integration of organic source with inorganic nutrients increased the available potassium content in surface soil with the respective of the nutrients application treatments.
It might be because of addition of FYM or organic residue and inorganic fertilizer in surface soil increased the available potassium content in the surface soil after harvest of soybean crop. Similar findings were reported by [1] also reported that application of organic manures or organic residues in soil increased the available potassium in soil.

**Conclusions**

Our results showed that chemical properties (O.C. available N, P, K) of the surface soil after harvest of soybean crop were significantly altered by combined application of integrated nutrients. However, treatments of application of integrated nutrients did not affected pH, EC of the surface soil significantly neither after harvest of soybean crop.

### Table 1: Impact of organic and inorganic nutrient resources on soil properties after harvest of Soybean crop during year 2014-15.

| Treatment                               | pH (1:2) | EC (dSm⁻¹) | O.C. (%) | Available N (Kg ha⁻¹) | Available P₂O₅ (Kg ha⁻¹) | Available K₂O (Kg ha⁻¹) |
|-----------------------------------------|----------|-------------|----------|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Control                                 | 6.79     | 0.12        | 0.55     | 151.50                 | 10.33                     | 125.13                   |
| NPK 100% RDF                            | 7.04     | 0.17        | 0.71     | 174.50                 | 13.75                     | 143.65                   |
| NPK 50% RDF                             | 6.99     | 0.16        | 0.64     | 161.38                 | 12.33                     | 138.23                   |
| NPK 100% RDF + 5 kg ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹           | 7.07     | 0.18        | 0.74     | 181.50                 | 14.00                     | 146.81                   |
| STCR Targeted yield 1 (inorganic mode)  | 6.93     | 0.16        | 0.69     | 189.78                 | 15.17                     | 149.07                   |
| STCR Targeted yield 2 (inorganic mode)  | 7.00     | 0.18        | 0.73     | 196.27                 | 16.55                     | 157.20                   |
| NPK 100% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹            | 7.20     | 0.21        | 0.90     | 214.47                 | 20.15                     | 162.17                   |
| NPK 50% RDF + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹             | 7.07     | 0.19        | 0.81     | 199.33                 | 18.08                     | 159.91                   |
| STCR Targeted yield 1 (organic mode + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹) | 7.14 | 0.18 | 0.76 | 206.50 | 17.08 | 150.43 |
| STCR Targeted yield 2 (organic mode + 5 ton FYM ha⁻¹) | 7.15 | 0.19 | 0.79 | 210.47 | 19.08 | 159.01 |
| S. Em +                                 | 0.14     | 0.03        | 0.01     | 0.44                   | 0.34                      | 0.66                     |
| C.D. at 5%                              | NS       | NS          | 0.03     | 1.33                   | 1.01                      | 0.76                     |
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