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ABSTRACT

India has vital deposit of various herbs and is used in many parts of the country as traditional medicinal treatment like Ayurveda, Unani, Siddha and Homeopathy. With increasing problems of side effects related to chemical drug usages and increasing activity of over drug usages has led to multidrug resistant organisms. In this research we focused on using the plant sources like Piper betle leaves and Cissus quadrangularis stems for understanding their antioxidant scavenging activity. We have extracted the vital compounds using cold percolation method and the methanolic extract was chosen for various antioxidant activity like DPPH, ABTS\(^+\), FRAP, Hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical scavenging activity. We have found that both the plant extracts showed higher activity and IC\(_{50}\) value for both the extracts in all the tests showed nearly 60 \(\mu\)g mL\(^{-1}\) and the graph was plotted using the percentage of inhibition scavenging activity vs the concentration taken for each plant extracts.
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1. INTRODUCTION

India is a country with vital deposit of various herbs and people utilize these herbs in their food recipes as spices or as vegetable leaves from time immemorial. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that plant or their extracts are used in the traditional folk medicine and used nearly by 80% of the world population (WHO report, 2009). With an increase rate of over exposure towards various antibiotics has created an environment of multidrug resistance microbes such as Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Aeromonas sp., Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. leprae, Candida sp., etc. (Waters and Basseler, 2005). This has made the scientists to explore new drugs either using plant sources like medicinal herbs or their parts or chemical sources. Due to the concern related to the side effects by the usages of chemical drugs made a shift in the path of drug usages and caused the public to use herbal medicinal sources for the treatment like Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani and Homeopathy.

In the current research we have chosen Piper betle and Cissus quadrangularis as the source with medicinal property for our research. Before evaluating any medicinal plant, it is essential to understand its antioxidant potential because there are various free radicals released by our body and by various products intake (Abrahim et al., 1993; Gupta and Ray, 2004; Kumar et al., 2010; Arawwala et al., 2011). It has also been found that these free radicals remain as an adjuvant in causing cancer like breast cancer. This causes various trouble related to gastric problems as well as imbalance due to free radicals. We have aimed to understand the scavenging potential of these plants as they are commonly used in India as a source for treating gastric diseases and acidity problems in various parts of South India as well as in tribal areas.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Collection of herbal plants

Piper betle leaves and Cissus quadrangularis stems were collected from Tirupur flower market and subjected for plant authentication at Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.

2.2. Processing of Plant leaves and stem using cold percolation method

The plant leaves and stems were processed using cold percolation method as described by Adonizio et al. (2008). The cold percolation method helps to avoid the medicinal property of any medicinal plant. The plant leaves and stems were stored in dark for a period of 3 weeks and were powdered. The powders of plant leaves and stem was sieved and stored in dark bottle. About 3 g of powdered plant leaves and stem were separately weighed and added to 30 ml of different solvents in increasing order of polarity such as petroleum ether, chloroform, ethanol, hexane, methanol and distilled water. They were kept in shaking at 200 rpm for 3 days and the extraction was done using muslin cloth. The filtrate was evaporated in dark and scrapped.

[Note: The text continues with detailed experimental procedures and results, which are not transcribed here.]
and stored in dark bottle. It was subjected to antioxidant scavenging activity and subjected for future research against pathogens.

2.3. Evaluating the various antioxidant properties of extracted P. betle and C. quadrangularis by in vitro free radical scavenging activity

2.3.1. DPPH scavenging activity

The 2,2-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) scavenging activity of P. betle and C. quadrangularis is performed using the method described by Blois, in 1999. Various concentrations of samples were taken and standard Vitamin C were used in different test tubes. The volume was adjusted to 500 µL by adding methanol. About 5 mL of 0.1 mM methanolic solution of DPPH was added to these test tubes and vortexed. The tubes were allowed to stand at room temperature for 20 min. The control was prepared as above without any extract and methanol was used for the baseline correction. Changes in the absorbance of the samples were measured at 517 nm. The percentage of inhibition radical scavenging activity was measured by the formula:

\[
\text{Percentage of radical scavenging activity} = \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \times 100
\]

The percentage inhibition vs. concentration was plotted and the concentration required for 50 % inhibition of radicals was expressed as IC50 value.

2.3.2. ABTS•+ radical scavenging activity

The test was based on the relative activity of antioxidants to quench the radical cation ABTS (2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)•+ was done using the method described by Re et al. (1999). The reaction was initiated by the addition of 1.0 mL of diluted ABTS to 10 µL of different concentration of extract with high antioxidant activity of the sample or 10 µL of methanol serve as control. The absorbance was read at 734 nm. Percentage inhibition was calculated by the formula:

\[
\text{Percentage of radical scavenging activity} = \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \times 100
\]

2.3.3. Hydrogen Peroxide scavenging activity

The hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity was measured in terms of a decrease in the absorbance as 230 nm in spectrophotometer using the method described by Ruch et al. (1989). A solution of H2O2 was prepared in phosphate buffer. H2O2 concentration was determined using spectrophotometer from its absorption at 230 nm. Various concentrations of plant extracts were added to H2O2 and incubated for 10 min. The absorbance at 230 nm was determined against a blank containing phosphate buffer without H2O2. The percentage of scavenging of H2O2 and standard compound Vitamin C was calculated using the formula:

\[
\text{Percentage of radical scavenging activity} = \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \times 100
\]

2.3.4. Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

Hydroxyl radicals were generated from ferrous ammonium sulphate and EDTA were determined against the scavenging activity of the plant extracts using the method described by Klein et al. (1991). Various concentrations of plant extracts were added with 1 mL of iron-EDTA solution (0.13 % ferrous ammonium sulphate and 0.26 % EDTA), 0.5 mL of EDTA solution (0.018 %), and 1 mL of DMSO (0.85 % v/v in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4). The reaction was initiated by adding 0.5 mL of ascorbic acid (0.22 %) and incubated at 80 to 90 °C for 15 min in a water bath. After incubation the reaction was terminated by the addition of 1 mL of ice-cold tri-chloro acetic acid (TCA) (17.5 % w/v). 3 mL of Nash reagent was added and left at room temperature for 15 min. The reaction mixture without sample was used as control. The intensity of the color formed was measured spectrophotometrically at 412 nm against reagent blank. The percentage of hydroxyl radical scavenging activity is calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Percentage of radical scavenging activity} = \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \times 100
\]

2.3.5. Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay

The total antioxidant potential of sample was determined using ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) using the method described by Benzie and Strain, 1996. The stock solution of 10 mM 2, 4, 6-tripryidyl-s-triazine (TPTZ) in 40 mM HCl, 20 mM FeCl3, 6H2O and 0.3 M acetate buffer (pH 3.6) were prepared. The FRAP reagent contained 2.5 ml TPTZ solution, 2.5 mL ferric chloride solution and 25 mL acetate buffer. It was freshly prepared and warmed to 37 °C. 900 µL FRAP reagent were mixed with 90 µL water and 30 µL test sample/ethanol/distilled water/standard antioxidant solution. The reaction mixture was then incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and the absorbance was recorded at 595nm. An intense blue color complex were formed when ferric tripyridyl triazine (Fe3+-TPTZ) complex were reduced to ferrous (Fe2+) form. The absorption at 540 nm was recorded. The calibration curve was plotted with absorbance at 595 nm vs concentration of ferrous sulphate in the range 0.1 mM ethanol solutions. The concentrations of FeSO4 were in turn
plotted against concentration of standard antioxidants.

\[
\text{Percentage of radical scavenging activity} = \frac{\text{Sample} - \text{Control}}{\text{Sample}} \times 100
\]

\[
\frac{\text{Control - Sample}}{\text{Control}} \times 100
\]

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The collected P. betle leaves and C. quadrangularis stem were taken to Botanical Survey of India, Coimbatore and authentication no. for the plant is BSI/SRC/5/23/2013-14/Tech./1893. The processing and extraction of the plants leaves and stems were done accordingly and the methanolic extract of both the plants were subjected for further scavenging activity analysis based on the work done by Gupta and Ray (2004).

3.1. Evaluating the various antioxidant properties of extracted Piper betle and Cissus quadrangularis by In vitro free radical scavenging activity

Methanolic extracts of P. betle and C. quadrangularis were carried out with antioxidant test and identified that the free radical of the extract were found to have high percentage of inhibition against DPH, ABTS\(^+\), hydrogen peroxide, hydroxyl and FRAP. Vitamin C served as the standard for all the antioxidant assays carried out in the study and when compared the methanolic extracts of C. quadrangularis was higher than P. betle. The results were observed to have higher percentage of inhibition for the extracts and the IC\(_{50}\) value was observed as 60\(\mu\)g/ml in all the tests (Fig. 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5).

This shows that P. betle and C. quadrangularis has higher scavenging activity as compared with the results of Gupta and Ray (2004) and Kumar et al. (2010). Based on the experimental outcome of Abraham et al. (1993), the antioxidant activities of the leaves of P. betle showed inhibitory effect on the proliferation of the breast cancer cell line, MCF-7. Antioxidant activities were measured using FRAP, DPH, superoxide anion, nitric oxide and hydroxyl radical scavenging assays was also found to higher as the result obtained in the current research (Abraham et al., 1993).

4. CONCLUSION

This proves that both the plants P. betle and C. quadrangularis have higher scavenging activity using the methanolic extract of plant leaves and stems. This shows that these plant extracts can be further studied in future to understand the antibacterial activity as well as can serve as a potent drug in future pharmaceutical research.
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Table 1. DPPH• radical scavenging activity.

| Concentration | % of Inhibition of Standard (Vitamin C) | % of Inhibition of Piper betle | % of Inhibition of Cissus quadrangularis |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| µg/mL         |                                        |                               |                                        |
| 20            | 8.882                                  | 8.998                         | 10.010                                |
| 40            | 27.844                                 | 11.425                        | 23.761                                |
| 60            | 40.119                                 | 35.288                        | 48.230                                |
| 80            | 58.882                                 | 47.118                        | 75.935                                |
| 100           | 85.828                                 | 75.530                        | 79.777                                |

Table 2. ABTS•+ radical scavenging activity.

| Concentration | % of Inhibition of Standard (Vitamin C) | % of Inhibition of Piper betle | % of Inhibition of Cissus quadrangularis |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| µg/mL         |                                        |                               |                                        |
| 20            | 7.280                                  | 12.899                        | 7.371                                  |
| 40            | 35.760                                 | 29.852                        | 25.921                                |
| 60            | 53.961                                 | 50.859                        | 53.961                                |
| 80            | 76.552                                 | 73.710                        | 76.552                                |
| 100           | 89.186                                 | 76.289                        | 89.186                                |

Table 3. Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity.

| Concentration | % of Inhibition of Standard (Vitamin C) | % of Inhibition of Piper betle | % of Inhibition of Cissus quadrangularis |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| µg/mL         |                                        |                               |                                        |
| 20            | 6.216                                  | 1.722                         | 8.151                                  |
| 40            | 23.280                                 | 15.843                        | 18.254                                |
| 60            | 57.407                                 | 29.735                        | 34.902                                |
| 80            | 79.761                                 | 62.571                        | 53.960                                |
| 100           | 89.682                                 | 75.545                        | 69.345                                |

Table 4. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity.

| Concentration | % of Inhibition of Standard (Vitamin C) | % of Inhibition of Piper betle | % of Inhibition of Cissus quadrangularis |
|---------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| µg/mL         |                                        |                               |                                        |
| 20            | 16.939                                 | 18.961                        | 9.315                                  |
| 40            | 31.420                                 | 45.012                        | 27.947                                |
| 60            | 45.264                                 | 58.779                        | 48.062                                |
| 80            | 58.105                                 | 66.611                        | 58.944                                |
| 100           | 78.688                                 | 71.393                        | 73.371                                |

Table 5. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power Assay (FRAP)

| Concentration | OD value at 595nm of Standard (Vitamin C) | OD value at 595nm of Piper betle | OD value at 595nm of Cissus quadrangularis |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| µg/mL         |                                           |                                 |                                          |
| 20            | 0.18                                      | 0.26                            | 0.198                                   |
| 40            | 0.362                                     | 0.394                           | 0.322                                   |
| 60            | 0.62                                      | 0.467                           | 0.576                                   |
| 80            | 0.713                                     | 0.519                           | 0.622                                   |
| 100           | 0.96                                      | 0.73                            | 0.79                                    |
Fig. 1. DPPH radical scavenging activity of *P. betle* and *C. quadrangularis*

Fig. 2. ABTS*⁺* radical scavenging activity of *P. betle* and *C. quadrangularis*

Fig. 3. Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of *P. betle* and *C. quadrangularis*

Fig. 4. Hydrogen Peroxide radical scavenging activity of *P. betle* and *C. quadrangularis*

Fig. 5. FRAP radical scavenging activity of *P. betle* and *C. Quadrangularis*