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Abstract

We established a novel monoclonal antibody, Yaksa, that is specific to a subpopulation of myogenic cells. The Yaksa antigen is not expressed on the surface of growing myoblasts but only on a subpopulation of myogenin-positive myocytes. When Yaksa antigen-positive mononucleated cells were freshly prepared from a murine myogenic cell by a cell sorter, they fused with each other and formed multinucleated myotubes shortly after replating while Yaksa antigen-negative cells scarcely generated myotubes. These results suggest that Yaksa could segregate fusion-competent, mononucleated cells from fusion–incompetent cells during muscle differentiation. The Yaksa antigen was also expressed in developing muscle and regenerating muscle in vivo and it was localized at sites of cell-cell contact between mono-nucleated muscle cells and between mono-nucleated muscle cells and myotubes. Thus, Yaksa that marks prefusion myocytes before myotube formation can be a useful tool to elucidate the cellular and molecular mechanisms of myogenic cell fusion.

Keywords

Cell fusion, myogenesis, skeletal muscle, prefusion
Introduction

Myoblast fusion is essential to form the multi-nucleated muscle fibers that provide the contractile strength of skeletal muscle (Buckingham 2001; Buckingham 2006; Horsley and Pavlath 2004). It is also responsible for the postnatal maintenance, growth, repair, and regeneration of skeletal muscles (Cerletti et al. 2008; Rudnicki et al. 2008). Myoblast fusion follows an ordered set of events: recognition, adhesion, and plasma membrane union, which results in syncitium formation (Jansen and Pavlath 2008). During the last decade, intensive study using model animals including Drosophila, Zebrafish, chick, and mouse have yielded many insights into the fusion mechanism of myoblasts (Haralalka and Abmayr 2010; Moore et al. 2007; Rochlin et al. 2010; Mermelstein et al. 2005). It is also suggested that several of the signaling cascades involved in myoblast fusion are evolutionarily conserved (Buckingham 2001; Krauss 2010). However, relatively little is yet known about the molecular mechanism of membrane union.

Myogenic cell lines have been useful model systems for the study of muscle differentiation and cellular fusion. The murine myoblast C2 is a well-characterized myogenic cell line (Yaffe and Saxel 1977). Serum deprivation triggers a series of events that result in myogenic differentiation in C2 cells. Expression of myogenin (mg), a transcription factor critical for myotube formation, is the earliest event (Andres and Walsh 1996). After that, the cells withdraw from the cell cycle, followed by cellular fusion resulting in the formation of multinucleated myotubes (Halevy et al. 1995; Miller JB 1990; Parker et al. 1995). Only a fraction of C2 myoblasts undergo terminal differentiation upon serum deprivation, while others, known as reserve cells, do not follow the cellular events described above (Morgan and Partridge 2003; Yoshida et al. 1998).

Myogenic cell fusion occurs asynchronously over a relatively long period of several days. This means that only a small population of fusion-competent cells exists at any specific point in time during differentiation, making elucidation of the cellular and molecular mechanisms of myogenic cell fusion difficult. Antibody (Ab) that recognize fusion-competent cells alive before myotube formation, is one of the powerful tools, but not available so far. Here we report successful isolation of fusion-competent myocytes with a novel monoclonal antibody, Yaksa.

Materials and Methods

Materials- Chemicals were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) and Nacalai Tesque (Kyoto, Japan). Reagents for molecular biology were purchased from TaKaRa (Kyoto, Japan) and Toyobo (Osaka, Japan) unless otherwise indicated. DAPI, Hoechst 33342, Fluorochrome-conjugated Streptavidin (StAv) were purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR, USA).

Antibodies- The antibodies used and their sources were as follows. ALEXA488-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG which have been adsorbed against mouse IgG and mouse serum, was from Molecular Probes. Isotype-matched control IgG2a and IgG2a-bio, were from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA, USA). Anti-myogenin mAb (F5D) was from Santa Cruz (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Anti-alpha actinin mAb (EA-53) was from Sigma. Anti-desmin (D9) was from Progen (Heidelberg, Germany). Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG was from Amersham (Bucks, UK). Biotinylation of immunoglobulin was performed by using EZ-Link Sulfo NHS-LC-Biotin (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Animals- Mice (C57BL6/J) and Wistar rats were purchased from Shimizu Laboratory Supplies (Kyoto, Japan) and maintained under specific pathogen–free conditions in environmentally controlled clean
rooms at the Institute for Frontier Medical Sciences, Kyoto University. The experiments were conducted according to institutional ethical guidelines for animal experimentation and safety guidelines for gene manipulation experiments.

**Cell culture** - A subclone of C2 myoblasts, designated C2/4 (Yoshida et al. 1996), was used and is referred to simply as C2 in this study. The cells were routinely propagated in the growth medium, DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), on collagen type I-coated culture plates (Iwaki, Japan). To induce differentiation, cells were seeded at a density of 2.5x10⁴ cells/well (12-well plates) or the equivalent in growth medium, and 24 hours later switched to the differentiation medium, DMEM supplemented with 2% horse serum (HS). Myotube formation was observed 72 h after the medium change. Primary myoblasts (pMB) were prepared from the gastrocnemius muscle of an 8-week-old mouse as described before (Hashimoto et al. 2004; Wada et al. 2002).

**Stable transformant** - mgEGFP-C2, the stable transformant used in this study, was generated using a pMG-dEGFP vector. The pMG-dEGFP vector was generated by replacing the CMV promoter region of pd4EGFP-N1 (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) with the upstream region of the myogenin gene (Fujisawa-Sehara et al. 1993). Transfections were performed using Lipofectamine PLUS (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. C2 cells were first transfected with pMG-dEGFP and selected by Geneticin (400 µg/ml, Invitrogen) to isolate several clones.

**Establishment of monoclonal antibodies** - A four-week-old female Wistar rat was immunized with GFP-expressing mgEGFP-C2 by using a differential footpad immunization protocol (Dzionek et al. 2000). Monoclonal antibodies (Abs) were established according to a standard procedure (Harlow and Lane 1988). The strategies for monoclonal antibody (mAb) selection are described in the Results section. Isotyping was performed using a Rat Monoclonal Antibody Isotyping Test Kit (Serotec, Oxford, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Flow cytometry and cell sorting** - For flow cytometry, cells were dispersed with Accutase (Innovative Cell Technology, San Diego, CA, USA), stained and then analyzed using a FACScan analyzer and CellQuest software (BD, Mountain View, CA, USA). Dead cells were excluded from the plots based on propidium iodide staining. mgEGFP-C2 cells for immunization and Yaksa antibody-reactive cells were fractionated on a FACS Vantage or FACS Aria cell sorter (BD).

**Replating assay**. Thirty-six hours after induction of differentiation, mAb-reactive cells were positively selected from C2 cells with biotinylated Yaksa mAb using either a FACS Vantage or IMagnet cell sorter. Yaksa antigen-negative cells were collected from the negatively selected fraction. Sorted cells were plated at 2x10⁵ cells/well in 24-well plates, cultured for 6–18 hours, and examined by microscopy.

**Regeneration of muscle** - To induce muscle regeneration, 50-100 µl of cardiotoxin (CTX; 10 µM in saline, Wako Chemicals) was injected into the muscle of 8-week-old mice. Regenerating muscle-derived mononucleated cells were prepared from C57BL/6 mice as previously described (Ojima et al. 2004).

**Immunostaining** - For immunostaining, PFA-fixed and permeabilized cells or unfixed cells were stained. Unfixed or PFA-fixed mouse embryos were cryosectioned, dried, and stained with hybridoma supernatant or antibodies. Tibialis anterior (TA) muscle was frozen in isopentane cooled with liquid nitrogen.

**RESULTS**
**Imaging expression of myogenin in live C2 cells.** To generate monoclonal antibodies (mAb) reactive to prefusion myocytes, we used C2 cells expressing myogenin as the immunogen. Myogenic cells acquire fusion competence only after the expression of myogenin, a muscle specific transcription factor that is essential for the development of skeletal muscle (Nabeshima et al. 1993). Only a fraction of C2 myoblasts express myogenin and undergo terminal differentiation after induction. Thus, enrichment of myogenin-expressing cells before fusion should enable efficient generation of monoclonal antibodies against prefusion myocytes. Then, we generated the cell line to visualize myogenin-expressing cells in living C2 cells. We previously showed that the region spanning 4 kb upstream of the myogenin gene is sufficient to mimic expression of myogenin mRNA during development (Fujisawa-Sehara et al. 1993). C2 cells were transiently transfected with pMG-dEGFP, a plasmid in which expression of destabilized GFP was driven by the 4 kb regulatory region of the myogenin gene, and stable transformants were established according to the following criteria. First, GFP is undetectable when cultured in growth medium. Second, GFP is activated after induction of differentiation. Third, the expression profile of GFP correlates with that of endogenous myogenin. mgEGFP-C2, was one of the stable transformants that satisfied those criteria. mgEGFP-C2 formed myotubes after induction of differentiation similar to the original C2 cells (data not shown).

As shown in Fig. 1, immunofluorescent staining reveals that neither GFP nor myogenin is expressed in growing mgEGFP-C2 and that GFP-positive cells also express myogenin at 48 hours after myogenic induction. As determined by immunofluorescent staining, 10–20% of mgEGFP-C2 cells express myogenin at 12–48 hours after induction, and more than 85% of myogenin-positive cells express GFP at these time points. GFP-positive/myogenin-negative cells were hardly detectable (Fig.1, data not shown). Therefore, we assumed that the expression of EGFP correlated with that of myogenin in mgEGFP-C2 cells at 12–48 hours after induction. Then we generated rat monoclonal antibodies by differential footpad immunization with mgEGFP-C2 as described in Materials and Methods.

**Screening of monoclonal antibodies that detect fusion-competent myocytes.** We screened almost 300 mAbs under the following criteria (Fig. 2). First, mAbs against prefusion myocytes react with a part of C2 cells after induction because only a subpopulation of C2 cells commit themselves to fusion-competent cells. Second, mAbs against prefusion myocytes enable efficient isolation of prefusion myocytes. If most of the cells sorted out with a mAb form myotubes, we assumed that the mAb reacted with prefusion myocytes. Last, the mAbs also react with embryonic muscle on the assumption that embryonic muscle and muscle satellite cells essentially share the same molecular machinery for myotube formation. Finally, we established eight mAbs that satisfied the above criteria. Yaksa was one of them. Yaksa refer to the nature-spirit among eight guardians for Buddhism. The isotype of Yaksa was IgG2a. The flow cytometric profile showed that Yaksa did not react with undifferentiated C2 cells and did react with only 20-30% of differentiating C2 cells (Fig. 3A). To characterize Yaksa-positive cells, C2 cells after myogenic induction for 1.5 days (36 hours), were double-stained with anti-myogenin Ab and Yaksa and analyzed using a FACScan. As shown in Fig. 3B, a subpopulation of myogenin-positive myocytes were Yaksa-positive cells. When we performed a replating assay as described in Materials and Methods, most of the Yaksa-positive cells formed myotubes 6–8 hrs after replating (Fig. 3C, S1) while Yaksa antigen (Ag)-negative cells and unsorted cells had formed only a few myotubes at the same time point. This indicates that Yaksa either recognize or isolate a subpopulation of cells that fuse with one another synchronously out of heterogeneously differentiating cell culture. Neither Yaksa Ag-negative cells nor unsorted cells formed...
more than a few myotubes even 36 h after replating. This suggests that Yaksa recognize fusion competency, not developmental delay, in this replating assay, and we concluded that Yaksa is a monoclonal Ab reactive to prefusion myocytes.

Yaksa antigen expression in vivo. Next, we investigated the expression profile of Yaksa Ag in vivo. Yaksa Ag was expressed in trunk at embryonic day (E)13.5 (Fig. 4A). Yaksa stained tissue was also counterstained with anti-desmin Ab (Fig. 4B) and anti-myosin heavy chain Ab (data not shown). Then we concluded that Yaksa Ag was expressed in developing muscle. Yaksa Ag was also expressed in regenerating muscles (Fig. 4D-F). The tibialis anterior (TA) muscles were experimentally damaged by cardiotoxin (CTX) injection to induce muscle regeneration (Hirata et al. 2003). The number of mononucleated cells in injured areas increased significantly following CTX injection, with a peak around day 3. The increase in cell number around day 3 is mainly attributable to proliferation of myogenic cells. Regenerating myotubes with central nuclei, started to appear at day 3 and became more evident at days 5–7 post-injection. As shown in Fig. 4D-F and Fig. S2, Yaksa Ag was expressed in the plasma membrane of developing myotubes at days 3–5 after CTX injection. We did not detect Yaksa at days 0–2 and days 6–7 (Fig. S2, data not shown). These data suggest that Yaksa was expressed on fusing cells. Yaksa-positive cells were found in single-cell suspensions prepared from regenerating muscle at day 4 after CTX injection (Fig. 4G). We also confirmed Yaksa Ag expression in primary myoblasts prepared from adult mouse (Fig. 4H). The culture apparently contained two cell types, that is Yaksa-positive/high cells and Yaksa-negative/low cells. We presumed that the prepared primary myoblast culture contained prefusion myocytes already. As in the case of C2 cells, the amount of Yaksa Ag expression in individual cells correlated with their fusion competence. Primary myoblasts highly expressing Yaksa Ag fused with each other as early as 3 h after replating, much earlier than Yaksa-low myoblasts (data not shown). Yaksa did not react with several non-myogenic cell lines including osteoclast-precursor cell lines, fibroblasts, hematopoietic cells, and ES cells (data not shown).

Yaksa localization on fusing myoblasts. To determine the localization of Yaksa Ag, pMB was transduced using a retrovirus vector carrying GFP to visualize the shape of the cell and stained with Yaksa. As shown in Fig. 4I-L and Fig. S3, Yaksa Ag localized at sites of cell-cell and cell-myotube contact. We did not detect this signal when using the isotype-matched control IgG2a (Fig.S3).

Discussion

We established a novel monoclonal antibody, Yaksa, that specifically recognizes prefusion myocytes. Yaksa provides a novel tool to clarify the molecular mechanisms of muscle cell fusion, because this antibody can mark or isolate prefusion myocytes among heterogeneously differentiating myoblasts.

So far, several surface markers for differentiating myoblasts have been reported including N-CAM and M-cadherin (Blanco-Bose et al. 2001; Capkovic et al. 2008; Charrasse et al. 2007). However, either M-cadherin or NCAM, for example, is expressed on entire population of C2 cells after induction and neither marks a subpopulation of fusogenic C2 cells (data not shown). To our knowledge, a monoclonal antibody with which prefusion myocytes in mammal are sorted out alive, has not been reported yet although antiserum named as anti-M-24 was reported to react with prefusion myocytes in chick embryos 30 years ago (Friedlander and Fischman 1977).
The results of our replating assay have two important implications for the fusion competence of cultured prefusion myocytes. First, most of Yaksa-positive cells fused with each other shortly after replating while Yaksa-negative cells scarcely generated multinucleated myotubes, suggesting that prefusion myocytes fuse among each other or with multinucleated myotubes. Second, C2 cells generate prefusion myocytes much earlier before myotube formation. In this paper, most replating assays were performed at 36 hours after induction. However, Yaksa positive-cells already existed as a small population (2-5%) 24 hours after induction, and they fused with each other within 6–8 hours after replating (data not shown). This suggests that prefusion myocytes in cultured C2 cells could not contact each other efficiently resulting in failure of fusion, despite their fusion competency. Identification of Yaksa Ag is underway. Although Yaksa Ag is not identified yet, specific expression of Yaksa Ag on prefusion myocytes and localization at sites of cell-cell contact imply that Yaksa antigen plays positive roles in muscle differentiation and membrane fusion. In Drosophila, it was reported that a multiprotein complex, which was named FuRMAS (Fusion-Restricted Myogenic-Adhesive Structure), is assembled at the cell-cell contact site during fusion (Onel and Renkawitz-Pohl 2009; Kesper et al. 2007). FuRMAS consists of a ring structure of cell adhesion molecules, signaling proteins, and F-actin, and it has been proposed that FuRMAS serves as a signaling center, restricts the area of membrane fusion, and possibly triggers membrane breakdown, which leads to cellular fusion. Moreover, rafts, cholesterol-rich microdomains, likely play specific roles in cell fusion (Mukai A et al. 2009). Although FuRMAS in mammalian myoblasts has not been reported yet, Yaksa would be a useful tool to clarify the molecular mechanisms of muscle cell fusion including identification of FuRMAS-related complexes in fusing cells. Identification of or molecules complexed with Yaksa Ag could be an alternative way to tackle the mechanisms of muscle cell fusion.
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Fig. 1** High correlation between GFP and myogenin expression in mgEGFP-C2. mgEGFP-C2 culture at 2 days after induction of differentiation (A-D), and in 10%CS-DMEM (E-H) was stained. A, E: phase contrast; B, F: anti-myogenin staining; C, G: GFP; D, H: DAPI staining.

**Fig. 2** Screening procedure. Mononucleated cell suspension was prepared from either growing or differentiated C2, stained with mAbs generated from rat immunized with myogenin-positive cells, and sorted. Staining pattern was categorized to three groups: No stained, All stained, and Some stained. We selected a mAb which does not stain growing C2 but some C2 cells after differentiation (red boxed). Then if the cells reactive with a mAb form myotubes efficiently by a replating assay (red boxed), we assumed that the mAb reacted with prefusion myocytes. For details, see materials and methods, and results.

**Fig. 3** Characterization of Yaksa. A. FACScan analysis of Yaksa antigen on C2 cells.
Upper: growing, middle: just before induction, lower: 1.5 days after induction, dashed line: control IgG2a. B. Subpopulation of myogenin-positive cells express Yaksa antigen. FL1: myogenin stained with Alexa488, FL2: Yaksa antigen stained with PE. Thirty-six hours after induction, C2 cells were stained with control IgG (Upper Left), anti mg Ab (Lower Left), Yaksa (Upper Right), and anti mg Ab and Yaksa (Lower Right). C. Yaksa-positive cells form multinucleated cells shortly after replating. Thirty-six hours after induction, C2 cells sorted with Yaksa were replated, cultured for 6 h, and then stained with anti-alpha actinin (green) and DAPI (red). Yaksa-positive cells form binuclear or multinucleated cells shortly after replating.

**Fig. 4** Yaksa antigen was expressed in vivo. A-C. Transverse section of mouse embryo (E13.5) triple-stained with Yaksa(A), anti-Desmin(B) and DAPI(C). A dorsal quarter of embryo was shown. Arrow heads: Desmin positive developing muscle. Signal in the peripheral of section is non-specific staining. D-F. Transverse section of regenerating TA muscle 4 days after CTX injection was stained with Yaksa (Green, E) and DAPI (Red, F). G. FACScan analysis of single cells prepared from regenerating TA muscle 3 days after CTX injection. Cells were stained with Yaksa (solid) or IgG2a (line). H. FACScan analysis of primary myoblasts prepared from gastrocnemius muscle. Cells were stained with Yaksa (solid) or IgG2a (line). I-L. Yaksa antigen is expressed at site of cell-cell contact. Cultures of pMB expressing GFP (green, K) were fixed and stained with biotinylated Yaksa & StAv-ALEXA594 (red, J) and Hoechst (blue, L). Arrowheads: Accumulation of Yaksa antigen at sites of cell-cell contact.
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**Fig. S1.** Yaksa-positive cells form multinucleated cells efficiently.
Thirty-six hours after induction, mononucleated C2 cells were sorted with Yaksa. Yaksa-positive, Yaksa-negative and unsorted cells were replated on Collagen-I coated plate respectively. Eight hours after replating, cells were fixed and stained with anti-alpha actinin and DAPI. The fusion index of replated cells was determined by dividing the number of nuclei within alpha-actinin-positive multinucleated myotubes by the total number of nuclei analyzed. Error bar: standard deviation (N=3).

**Fig. S2.** Yaksa Ag was temporally expressed on regenerating fibers.
Transverse section of regenerating TA muscle at 0 day (A-C), 1 day (D-F), 3 days (G-I), 5 days (J-L) and 7 days (M-O) after CTX injection. DIC (A, D, G, J, M). Yaksa (B, E, H, K, N) and DAPI (C, F, I, L, O). Yaksa Ag was detected on regenerating fiber at 3-5 days after CTX injection. Arrowhead: Yaksa antigen in newly formed myotube. Faint signal outlining fiber in Fig.S2B is nonspecific staining.

**Fig. S3.** Yaksa antigen is expressed at site of cell-cell contact. Cultures of pMB expressing GFP were fixed and stained with biotinylated Yaksa (A-D) or biotinylated IgG2a (E-H) & StAv-ALEXA594. Merged image of Alexa594, GFP, and Hoechst(A, E). Alexa594 (B, F), GFP (C, G), Hoechst (D, H). Arrowhead: Accumulation of Yaksa antigen at sites of cell-cell contact.
Fig. S1

[Bar chart showing the comparison between Yaksa+ and Yaksa- with respect to 'Unsorted'. The x-axis labels are 'Yaksa +', 'Yaksa -', and 'Unsorted'. The y-axis is labeled with values ranging from 0.0 to 0.7.]
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