Proteomic profile of seminal plasma in adolescents and adults with treated and untreated varicocele
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Varicocele, the most important treatable cause of male infertility, is present in 15% of adult males, 35% of men with primary infertility, and 80% of men with secondary infertility. On the other hand, 80% of these men will not present infertility. Therefore, there is a need to differentiate a varicocele that is exerting a deleterious effect that is treatable from a "silent" varicocele. Despite the growing evidence of the cellular effects of varicocele, its underlying molecular mechanisms are still eluding. Proteomics has become a promising area to determine the reproductive biology of semen as well as to improve diagnosis of male infertility. This review aims to discuss the state-of-art in seminal plasma proteomics in patients with varicocele to discuss the challenges in undertaking these studies, as well as the future outlook derived from the growing body of evidence on the seminal proteome. Asian Journal of Andrology (2016) 18, 194–201; doi: 10.4103/1008-682X.168788; published online: 4 December 2015
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INTRODUCTION

Varicocele is the most important treatable cause of male infertility. Defined as the presence of dilated veins within the pampiniform plexus with blood reflux,¹ its reported prevalence is 15% of the adult male population.² The fact that it is detected in 35% of men with primary infertility, and in up to 80% of men with secondary infertility,²,³ has led to the proposal that varicocele progressively causes a decline in male fertility potential.³ Moreover, because adolescents with varicocele may present with decreased ipsilateral testicular volume, it has also been proposed that varicocele initiates its effect on puberty onset.⁴

Treatment of varicocele, usually through surgical ligation of the dilated veins,⁵ is associated to a catch-up growth of the affected testis in adolescents,⁶ and to improve semen quality and fertility in adult men.⁷–⁹ However, some conflicting results have been reported which, associated to the fact that 80% of adult men with varicocele will not present with infertility,¹⁰ has led to the need to differentiate a varicocele that is exerting a deleterious effect (and that is treatable) from a "silent" varicocele.

While diagnosis of varicocele is performed by a clinical work-up that includes a physical exam and may include scrotal ultrasonography,¹¹ diagnosing a varicocele that is indeed determining infertility or, in adolescents, which will lead to infertility, is not so easily achieved. Therefore, a number of reports have attempted to increase sensitivity in diagnosing an infertility-defining varicocele, mainly through the use of semen oxidative stress¹²–¹⁵ and sperm functional integrity testing.¹⁶–¹⁸ While these studies have increased sensitivity in detecting testicular dysfunction in varicocele, there is still a need to determine possible effective markers of a deleterious varicocele, in order to improve patient screening for treatment.

Despite the growing evidence of the cellular effects of varicocele, its underlying molecular mechanisms still elude clinicians and scientists.¹⁹ Many studies have thus focused on determining the molecular pathways associated to varicocele and to male infertility. Studying the secreted proteins in the male reproductive tract has become a promising area to determine the reproductive biology of semen as well as to improve the diagnosis of male infertility.²⁰ Specifically, proteins present in the seminal plasma may contain important information regarding testicular function, especially because around 10% of the proteins found in seminal plasma arise from the testes.²¹ Therefore, we have reviewed the importance of studying the seminal plasma protein profile, as well as thoroughly reviewed the current literature on seminal plasma proteomics in male infertility, in general, with a specific focus on varicocele and its treatment. Moreover, we have discussed current challenges in undertaking these studies, as well as the future outlook derived from the growing body of evidence on the seminal proteome.

REVIEW CRITERIA

We have performed a literature search using PubMed and Google Scholar electronic databases, with the following keywords: "seminal plasma AND proteomics;" "Seminal plasma AND proteomic;" "Seminal plasma AND proteome;" "Seminal plasma proteomics;" "Seminal plasma proteomic;" "Seminal plasma proteome;" "Varicocele AND proteomics;" "Varicocele AND proteomic;" "Varicocele AND proteome;" "Varicocele proteins;" "Varicocele proteomics;" and "Varicocele proteome." Only articles written in English published in peer reviewed journals were selected. The search was performed in June and July, 2015, and covered all articles published until this date.

PROTEOMICS AS A TOOL FOR THE STUDY OF MALE INFERTILITY

Proteomics is a term used to define the comprehensive study of all the proteins of any given fluid or a cell under a specific biological
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condition. Proteins are effector molecules within a general cascade that initiates with Genomics studies, through Transcriptomics down to Proteomics and Metabolomics. Because proteins integrate an individual response to environmental conditions, as well as effect a given biological response, recent studies which focused on understanding the mechanisms of diseases have deployed great efforts toward understanding not only the proteins present in a given situation, but also their quantities, interactions, and post-translational modifications, as well as the pathways they enrich.

Current developments in separation techniques as well as in mass spectrometry equipment have greatly increased our ability to delve deep into the proteome of cells and fluids. This is of particular interest to biological conditions in which phenotypic differences are determined by proteins in lower quantities. In seminal plasma, 15 proteins may account to up to 90% of the amount of protein (data not published), which means that more often the proteins that determine male infertility will be present in the remaining 10%. Moreover, seminal plasma is composed of fluids secreted from the seminal vesicles, prostate, bulbourethral glands and the vas deferens, epididymides, and seminiferous tubule lumen. Therefore, proteins secreted from the testes, which more likely represent testicular function, will be present at very low quantities, and increased sensitivity and dynamic ranges are essential in order to understand the molecular mechanisms of male infertility.

Shotgun proteomics studies, which are untargeted studies based on extensive mapping of generated protein digests, allow identification of a large number of expressed proteins in the seminal plasma, focusing on understanding their functions, interactions, and contribution to biological processes. These studies are based on mass spectrometry (MS) identification and quantification of proteins. Briefly, mass spectrometers are subdivided into (i) an ionization source, such as matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) and electrospray ionization (ESI), (ii) a mass analyzer(s), such as quadrupole (Q) and time-of-flight (TOF), and (iii) a detector. For peptide identification, ionized peptides can be fragmented in a collision chamber coupled to a high resolution mass analyzer, in an approach termed tandem MS (MS/MS). In addition, MS can be coupled to liquid chromatography (LC-MS/MS), enabling separation (fractionation) of peptides to decrease sample complexity, as well as generating quantitative information. Prior to MS, samples may be separated by one-dimensional (1DE) or two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE), in order to reduce sample complexity in the protein level, leading to the possibility of observing proteins present at smaller amounts. In 1DE, proteins are separated by their molecular masses, whereas in 2DE proteins are also separated by their isoelectric point (pH in which the protein has no electrical charge). In both cases, the bands or spots of interest are excised from the gel and analyzed by MS. For proteomic data validation, selected reaction monitoring (SRM) allows for absolute protein quantification, in a targeted MS approach. The different techniques used for proteomics studies were recently reviewed by Scherl. Shotgun proteomics can be applied both to describe the whole seminal plasma proteome and to compare the proteomes between different infertility conditions, which will be further described below.

**ORIGIN AND CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SEMINAL PLASMA PROTEOME**

The seminal plasma components, secreted from the testes, the cauda epididymis and the accessory sexual glands, protect the sperm during their transit through the female reproductive tract, playing major roles for sperm survival, function, motility and viability, as well as for successful fertilization. In particular, seminal plasma proteins are capable of binding and fusing to the sperm membrane to regulate its survival after ejaculation, motility, capacitation and interaction and fusion with the oocyte. Moreover, seminal plasma proteins are capable of interacting with the female genital tract epithelium, to regulate sperm transport and female immune response. The main functions of seminal plasma proteins were reviewed in depth by Rodriguez-Martinez et al.

Seminal plasma is rich in extra and intracellular proteins, with a mean total protein concentration of 35–55 g l⁻¹. The majority of seminal plasma proteins are spermadhesins, cysteine-rich secretory proteins (CRISPs), proteins carrying fibronectin type II domains and enzymes. Approximately, 70% of the protein content of seminal plasma is secreted from the seminal vesicles, such as semenogelin-1 (SEMG1) and semenogelin-2 (SEMG2), important proteins for semen coagulation after ejaculation, lactotransferrin (LTF), and fibronectin (FN1). Another 20% of seminal plasma proteins are secreted from the prostate (three main proteins regulated by hormones: prostate-specific antigen – KLK3, important for semen liquefaction, prostatic acid phosphatase – ACPP, and beta-microseminoprotein – MSMB) and the bulbourethral glands (mainly mucins). Finally, approximately 10% of seminal plasma proteins are of testicular or epididymal origin. It has already been shown that proteins associated with testicular function, as well as proteins from altered sperm present throughout the male reproductive tract, are observed in the seminal plasma. Therefore, the seminal plasma protein profile reflects not only the activity of the male sexual accessory glands, but also spermatogenesis, epididymal maturation and sperm integrity.

**SEMINAL PLASMA PROTEOMICS AND MALE INFERTILITY**

A summary of studies focusing on the seminal plasma proteome in fertile men and men with varicocele is presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Characterization of the seminal plasma proteome is important in order to determine, as best as possible, a normal state (Table 1). In men with normal semen analysis, Fung et al. identified, utilizing different approaches, over 100 proteins, such as SEMG1, SEMG2, KLK3, ACPP, albumin (ALB), and prolactin inducible protein (PIP). Furthermore, Pilch and Mann later reported, by the use of 1DE followed by LC-MS/MS, 923 proteins in seminal plasma of one healthy donor. From the 52% of proteins with an assigned subcellular location, 78% were cellular proteins and 25% were extracellular or secreted proteins (including proteins from prostasomes), demonstrating that the seminal plasma is composed of many more secreted proteins than other fluids. The seminal plasma proteome described in that study presented with 66% homology with the prostate fluid and 18% with the epididymal fluid, while 38% were common to all fluids.

In 2012, Milardi et al. evaluated the seminal plasma of 5 men with proven fertility, and identified between 919 and 1487 proteins per sample, of which 83 were observed in all five samples, such as SEMG1, SEMG2, LTF, olfactory receptor 5R1 (OR5R1), clusterin (CLU), and E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UBR5 (UBR5). Rolland et al. then demonstrated, in a healthy donor, a total of 669 proteins which integrated to data from previous studies, accounted for 2545 seminal plasma proteins. These proteins were mostly involved in protein binding (~50%) and catalytic activity (~39%), and were mainly cytoplasmic (58.7%, such as proteins found in vesicles and the endomembrane system or in the cytoskeleton) or extracellular (21.2%) proteins. The authors suggested that seminal plasma lacks nucleic acid-binding proteins, transcription regulators, and membrane receptors and channels. These proteomic results were also compared to gene expression data from testis, epididymis and prostate, available in public databases, to identify the contribution of these organs to the seminal plasma proteome. Using this
approach, the authors identified 83 testicular proteins, 42 epididymal proteins, 7 seminal vesicles proteins, and 17 prostatic proteins.56

These studies have established the seminal plasma proteome associated with men presenting with normal semen analysis or of proven fertility. To compare the seminal plasma proteome between fertile men and men with different infertility conditions, several studies were performed, as described in Supplementary Table 1. These studies have been performed mainly in the search for biomarkers of infertility, and, in this context, seminal plasma is a promising source, because it presents high concentrations of proteins, many of which are tissue-specific (testicular proteins, for instance), as well as non secreted proteins (arriving, for example, from altered sperm).57

One of the most studied causes of infertility using a proteomics approach thus far is azoospermia. In men after vasectomy (mimicking obstructive azoospermia) and with nonobstructive azoospermia (Sertoli cell–only syndrome – SCOS), several proteins, such as CLU, were underexpressed compared to controls, and are potential biomarkers of impaired spermatogenesis.58,59 To identify the contribution of the testis and epididymis to the human seminal plasma proteome, fertile and vasectomized (mimicking obstructive azoospermia) men were compared.21 Thirty-two proteins were uniquely presented in the fertile group (testicular and epididymal proteins). In the vasectomy group, 49 proteins were underexpressed (proteins expressed in the testis and epididymis, but also in other regions of the male reproductive tract). The identified testicular and epididymal proteins, such as testis-expressed sequence 101 protein (TEX101), have important reproductive functions and may be potential biomarkers of obstructive azoospermia.

In a follow-up study,26 5 men with nonobstructive azoospermia were evaluated and the obtained data were compared to their previous study.21 Sorbitol dehydrogenase (SORD) was able to differentiate between obstructive and nonobstructive azoospermia and was thus suggested as a potential biomarker, in addition to several proteins suggested in another study as biomarkers of nonobstructive azoospermia and obstructive azoospermia, such as NPC2 and PIP, respectively.60 Furthermore, a study was performed to narrow down the potential biomarker candidates for differential diagnosis of azoospermia,43 and 18 proteins were selected to be further analyzed by multiplex SRM.64 Extracellular matrix protein 1 (ECM1) levels were higher in fertile men and in men with nonobstructive azoospermia, but highly decreased in vasectomized men, differentiating these conditions with high specificity and sensitivity.65 On the other hand, TEX101 levels were higher in fertile men and undetectable in SCOS and postvasectomy samples.66 These data might increase the confidence in nonobstructive azoospermia and obstructive azoospermia diagnosis using these two biomarkers, and facilitate the prediction of testicular sperm extraction (TESE) outcome.

To study only nonobstructive azoospermia, Freour et al.63 classified men as Sperm Positive (presenting with sperm in TESE) or Sperm Negative (absence of sperm in TESE). Sixty-eight proteins were differentially expressed, of which they selected CLU, PIP and galectin-3-binding protein (LGALS3BP) as potential spermatogenesis biomarkers in the seminal plasma. LGALS3BP was further confirmed by ELISA and presented in higher levels in Sperm Positive samples, with a concentration lower than 153 ng ml−1 associated with a negative TESE outcome. Finally, Rolland et al.56 demonstrated that 1-lactate dehydrogenase C chain (LDHC), phosphoglycerate kinase 2 (PGK2), and transketolase-like protein 1 (TKTL1) proteins are not (or less) observed in the seminal plasma from nonobstructive azoospermia, obstructive azoospermia and vasectomized men, suggesting that these proteins may be biomarkers of male fertility.

In addition to studies focusing on azoospermia, other infertility conditions were also evaluated. In smokers with varicocele, several proteins were related to smoking, such as annexin A3 (ANXA3) and extracellular superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn] (SOD3) in moderate smokers (<20 cigarettes a day), and zinc-alpha-2-glycoprotein (AZGP1) in heavy smokers.64 In men with impaired spermatogenesis (oligozoospermia, asthenozoospermia, and/or teratozoospermia), several proteins were altered, and, interestingly, oxidative stress was the major mechanism suggested to play a role in these alterations.41,65–67 In men with spinal cord injury, overexpressed proteins were mostly involved with smooth muscle function, cytoskeletal binding, homeostasis, oxidation of iron and response to calcium. This study demonstrated that the method of semen retrieval in these patients may also alter the obtained proteome.68 Finally, in androgen deficiency, it was demonstrated that the semen of these patients

| Authors            | Year | Study design      | MS strategy                      | Identified and differentially expressed proteins | Mainly molecular functions and biological processes |
|--------------------|------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Fung et al.        | 2004 | Normal men        | Pooled proteins                  | 100 proteins were identified                     | Enzymatic or binding activity                     |
|                    |      |                   | MALDI-TOF/MS and LC-MS/MS, after 1DE and 2DE and in unfractionated samples |                    | Protease inhibition                                |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Signal transduction                                |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Transport                                          |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Metabolism                                         |
| Pilch and Mann     | 2006 | 3 nonliquefied samples from 1 healthy donor | Individual samples                | 923 proteins were identified                     | Enzymatic or binding activity                     |
|                    |      |                   | 1DE followed by LC-MS/MS          |                                                 | Protease inhibition                                |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Signal transduction                                |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Transport                                          |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Metabolism                                         |
| Milardi et al.     | 2012 | 5 men with proven fertility | Individual samples                | 919–1487 proteins were identified per sample, of which 83 were common to all samples | Enzymatic or binding activity                     |
|                    |      |                   | LC-MS/MS                          |                                                 | Protease inhibition                                |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Signal transduction                                |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Transport                                          |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Metabolism                                         |
| Rolland et al.     | 2013 | Nonliquefied samples from 1 healthy donor | Pooled proteins                  | 669 proteins were identified in this study, accounting for a total of 2545 proteins identified so far (integrated data) | Protein binding                                    |
|                    |      |                   | NanoLC-MS/MS                      |                                                 | Catalytic activity                                 |
|                    |      |                   | Integration to data from previous studies |                                                | Developmental process                              |
|                    |      |                   |                                  |                                                 | Response to stimulus                               |

MS: mass spectrometry, MALDI-TOF: matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight; LC-MS/MS: liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry; 1DE: one-dimensional electrophoresis; 2DE: two-dimensional electrophoresis.

Supplementary Table 1: Descriptive seminal plasma proteomics studies in men with normal semen analysis or of proven fertility.
Table 2: Descriptive proteomics studies in adolescents and adult men with varicocele

| Author | Year | Object of study | Study | MS strategy | Identified and differentially expressed proteins | Main molecular functions |
|--------|------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Fariello et al. | 2012 | Human seminal plasma | 56 adult non-smokers with varicocele, 29 adult moderate smokers with varicocele, 25 adult heavy smokers with varicocele | Pooled samples 2DE LC-MS/MS | 20 identified proteins, 4 proteins in non-smokers, 5 proteins in moderate smokers, 1 protein in heavy smokers | Lipid catabolic process, Inflammatory, Proteolysis, Apoptosis |
| Zylbersztejn et al. | 2013 | Human seminal plasma | 21 adolescents without varicocele, 28 adolescents with varicocele and normal semen analysis, 18 adolescents with varicocele and altered semen analysis | Pooled samples 2DE ESI MS/MS | From 181 to 323 spots per gel, 31 proteins in adolescent without varicocele, 7 proteins in adolescent with varicocele and NSP, 11 proteins in adolescents with varicocele and ASP | Apoptosis, Spermatogenesis, Inflammatory, Transport of molecules, Immune |
| Del Giudice et al. | 2013 | Human seminal plasma | 19 adolescents before and after varicocelectomy | Pooled samples 2DE LC-MS/MS | From 84 to 168 spots per gel, 4 proteins overexpressed in prevaricocelectomy adolescents, 12 proteins exclusive or overexpressed in postvaricocelectomy adolescents | Innate immune response, Metal ion binding, Sperm-binding activity |
| Camargo et al. | 2013 | Human seminal plasma | 18 adult before and after varicocelectomy | Pooled samples 2D nanoUPLC-ESI-MS | 316 proteins identified, 58 proteins overexpressed or exclusive in prevaricocelectomy, 38 proteins exclusive in postvaricocelectomy | TPR domain binding, Nitric oxide metabolic process, Eicosanoid biosynthetic process, NAD binding, Cellular response to ROS |
| Hosseinifar et al. | 2013 | Human sperm | 20 normozoospermic men with grade III varicocele, 20 oligozoospermic men with grade III varicocele | 2DE MALDI-TOF/MS | 500 detected spots, 73 spots were submitted to MS analysis, 10 proteins were identified, 1 protein was overexpressed in varicocele group, 9 underexpressed in varicocele group | Protein transport, Fertilization, Response to stress |
| Chan et al. | 2013 | Human sperm | 20 healthy control subjects, 20 varicocele men (grade II) | 2DE MALDI-TOF/MS Western blot | 15 proteins identified, 11 proteins were up-regulated in varicocele group, 4 proteins were down-regulated in varicocele group, Expression levels of HSP70 and HSP90 were increased in patients with varicocele | Metabolism, Signal transduction, Cytoskeleton organization, Endocyteosis and exocytosis, Chaperones |
| Hosseinifar et al. | 2014 | Human sperm | 20 grade III varicocele men before and after varicocelectomy | 2DE MALDI-TOF/MS | 3 proteins were underexpressed in prevaricocelectomy group | Antioxidant, Mitochondrial ATP synthase, Assembly of multimeric protein complexes |
| Agarwal et al. | 2015 | Human sperm | 10 healthy control men, 33 infertile unilateral varicocele men | Pooled samples 1DE LC-MS/MS | 1191 proteins identified, 120 proteins were exclusive in control group, 38 proteins were exclusive in unilateral varicocele group, 211 differentially underexpressed or overexpressed | Metabolism, Metabolic and inflammatory disease, Immune system, Gene expression, Signal transduction |
| Agarwal et al. | 2015 | Human sperm | 33 infertile unilateral varicocele men, 17 infertile bilateral varicocele men | Pooled samples 1DE LC-MS/MS | 31 proteins were exclusive to unilateral varicocele group, 64 proteins were exclusive to bilateral varicocele group, 113 proteins were overexpressed in unilateral varicocele group, 45 proteins underexpressed in unilateral varicocele group | Metabolism, Disease, Signaling transduction, Immune system, Gene expression, Cell cycle, Protein metabolism |
| Agarwal et al. | 2015 | Human sperm | 10 healthy control men, 17 infertile bilateral varicocele men | Pooled samples 1DE LC-MS/MS | 33 proteins were exclusive or overexpressed in control health men, 40 proteins were exclusive or overexpressed in bilateral varicocele men | Metabolism, Immune system, Cell cycle, TM transport, Extracellular matrix organization |
| Soares et al. | 2013 | Rat testis tissue | Control rats submitted to varicocele induction | Pooled samples 2DE LC-MS/MS Western blot | 617 protein spots identified, 5 proteins up-regulated in varicocele group, 21 proteins down-regulated in varicocele group | Signaling, Phosphorylation of focal adhesion kinase |

Several studies were also carried out in order to verify if the seminal plasma proteome reflects oxidative status and sperm function. Seminal hydrolase is the most impaired enzyme by testosterone deficiency.69
oxidative stress was associated to specific enriched functions such as transition metal ion response/homeostasis, reactive oxygen species (ROS) detoxification, aging, and cell morphogenesis, differentiation, motility and cycle.\textsuperscript{26,27} Utilizing a similar approach in order to verify mechanisms underlying male infertility, another study analyzed the seminal plasma proteome in relation to sperm DNA fragmentation in normozoospermic men.\textsuperscript{55} Thirty proteins were altered in men presenting high sperm DNA fragmentation, which evidenced acute-phase response, fatty acid-binding, vesicle lumen and endoribonuclease activity. Finally, fertile and infertile men, irrespective of the cause for the infertility, were compared, and 10 proteins were overexpressed in the infertile group, although these proteins were not identified by MS/MS.\textsuperscript{72}

More recently, a review was performed to search for all seminal plasma proteomics studies in order to obtain the whole list of seminal plasma proteins identified so far using different MS approaches. A total of 4,188 proteins were identified, of which 2,168 were non-redundant proteins (identified using UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot reviewed database). These proteins were mostly involved with proteolysis and carbohydrate catabolism. The authors also demonstrated that different MS techniques might complement each other. Moreover, by analyzing several publications on post-translation modifications, it was possible to highlight that phosphorylation, acetylation, glycosylation and disulfide bonds are the majority of post-translation modifications present in seminal plasma proteins.\textsuperscript{73}

**SEMINAL PLASMA PROTEOMICS AND VARICOCELE**

Because varicocele is a complex disease with a number of different mechanisms that may determine infertility, the paradigm-shifting approach of studying its postgenomic pathways brings the promise of understanding, in a more precise manner, the effector pathways of varicocele-derived male infertility, as well as predict treatment outcomes.\textsuperscript{74} A summary of studies focusing on the seminal plasma proteome in varicocele men and the analysis of its intervention is presented in Table 2. Because there are only a few studies regarding seminal plasma proteomics in men with varicocele, proteomic analysis of spermatozoa is also discussed in this topic.

**Proteomic studies regarding the effect of varicocele and its treatment**

**In adolescents**

Zylbersztejn et al.\textsuperscript{75} compared the proteomic profile of seminal plasma of adolescents without varicocele, with varicocele and normal semen analysis, and with varicocele and altered semen analysis, using a 2DE approach. Forty-seven spots were extracted and submitted to peptide mass spectrometry identification. Proteins such as SEMG1 and Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3 (IGFBP3) were observed in seminal plasma of adolescents with varicocele and altered semen, and are linked to regulation of apoptosis. Conversely, E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase BRE1B (RNF40), Proactivator polypeptide-like 1 (PSAPL1) and Epididymal secretory protein E3-beta (EDDM3B) were observed in seminal plasma of adolescents with varicocele and normal semen analysis. The authors concluded that proteins exclusively expressed in seminal plasma of adolescents with varicocele could be potential biomarkers for early varicocele diagnosis regarding the negative impact of varicocele in the testes, even those found in patients with normal seminal parameters.\textsuperscript{75}

To study the effect of varicocelectomy in seminal plasma proteome of adolescents, Del Giudice et al.\textsuperscript{76} used 2DE analysis followed by LC-MS/MS for spot identification. The authors observed that 19 spots were differentially or exclusively expressed, and determined that two proteins were overexpressed before varicocelectomy: DNA-directed RNA polymerase III subunit RPC2 (POLR3B) and Negative elongation factor E (NELFE). At 3 months postvaricocelectomy, 6 proteins were exclusively expressed or overexpressed, including SEMG1, SEMG2, ACPP, KLK3, TGM4, and alpha-1-antitrypsin (SERPIN1A).\textsuperscript{76} All proteins found differentially expressed in postvaricocelectomy group play a crucial role in the physiological process of ejaculation, thus a shift-back to homeostasis can be observed after varicocelectomy.\textsuperscript{77}

**In adults**

In order to verify the effect of varicocelectomy in men, Camargo et al.\textsuperscript{74} studied seminal plasma of men who underwent varicocelectomy using a label-free shotgun proteomics approach. In total, 316 proteins were identified and quantified, of which 58 proteins were overexpressed or exclusively expressed in the prevaricocelectomy men, and 38 were exclusively expressed in the postvaricocelectomy men. In prevaricocelectomy group, the proteins CLU, annexin A5 (ANXA5), L-lactate dehydrogenase A chain (LDHA), 14-3-3 protein epsilon (YWHAE), 14-3-3 protein theta (YWHAQ), Heat shock protein HSP 90-beta (HSP90AB1), and Heat shock protein HSP 90-alpha (HSP90AA1) presented enriched functions such as metabolism and regulation of nitric oxide (NO), and of TPR domain-binding. After varicocelectomy, Protein deglycase DJ-1 (PARK7), Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn] (SOD1), Protein S100-A9 (S100A9), Annexin A1 (ANXA1), Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), and cytoplasmatic malate dehydrogenase (MDH1) enriched functions such as cellular response to ROS, gluconeogenesis, NAD-binding and protein stabilization.

Hosseinifar et al.\textsuperscript{73} characterized the sperm proteome in patients with varicocele. The authors studied sperm from normozoospermic men (control) and compared these with sperm from oligozoospermic men with varicocele using a 2DE approach. From 500 spots initially observed, 73 were selected for MALDI-TOF/MS identification. The results showed 15 differentially expressed proteins, which include SEMG2 and its precursor PARK7, CLU, and 78 kDa glucose-regulated protein (HSP5A), underepressed in patients with varicocele when compared with control men. The authors concluded that heat-shock proteins, mitochondrial proteins, and cytoskeleton proteins in sperm are affected by varicocele.\textsuperscript{76} Using the same approach, Chan et al.\textsuperscript{79} also determined 15 proteins differentially expressed between men with and without varicocele. After confirmation by Western blotting, heat-shock proteins 70 and 90 (HSP70 and HSP90) were found overexpressed in sperm of men with varicocele.\textsuperscript{79}

Furthermore, Hosseinifar et al.\textsuperscript{80} identified, by using 2DE, differences in protein expression in sperm of men submitted to varicocelectomy. Three proteins were underepressed in the prevaricocelectomy samples: SOD1, HSP5A, and ATP synthase subunit delta, mitochondrial (ATPSD). HSP5A is a member of HSP70 family,\textsuperscript{13} which agrees with their previous results.\textsuperscript{79} Moreover, Chan et al.\textsuperscript{79} and Camargo et al.\textsuperscript{74} also observed these proteins in patients with varicocele and in prevaricocelectomy patients, respectively, as discussed above. Therefore, heat shock proteins seem to be key elements in the adult varicocele.

Agarwal et al.\textsuperscript{81} recently performed three different studies with 1DE and LC-MS/MS to better understand unilateral and bilateral varicocele. When sperm proteomics from men with unilateral varicocele and men with proven fertility (controls) were compared, 1191 proteins were observed, of which 369 proteins were exclusive or differentially expressed between the groups.\textsuperscript{81} From these, 15 proteins were involved in reproductive functions such as: (i) motility, overrepresented by calcium-binding tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated protein (CABIR), prostate and testis expressed...
protein 4 (PATE4), radial spoke head protein 9 homolog isof orm 1 (RSPH9), dynein heavy chain 17, axonemal (DNAH17), apolipoprotein A-1 (APOA1), sodium/potassium transporting ATPase subunit alpha-4 isof orm 1 (ATP1A4) and A-kinase anchor protein 3 (AKAP3), which were all underexpressed in men with varicocele; (ii) capacitation, overrepresented by SEMG2 and ATP1A4, underexpressed in varicocele men; (iii) hyperactivation and acrosome reaction, overrepresented by NPC2, dihydrolipoxy dehydrogenase, mitochondrial (DLD), acrosin precursor (ACR), and cysteine-rich secretory protein 2 (CRISP2), which were overexpressed in patients with varicocele, and (iv) zona-pellucida binding, overrepresented by sperm surface protein Sp17 (SPA17), which was undere xpressed in varicocele group and acrosome formation associated factor isof orm 1 (EQTN), exclusive in controls.81

In another study, the sperm proteomic profile of men with bilateral varicocele was compared to men with proven fertility (controls).82 In that study, 73 differentially expressed proteins were observed between the groups: 8 exclusive and 25 overexpressed in fertile controls and 7 exclusive and 33 overexpressed in bilateral varicocele. Two main proteins were found and confirmed by Western blotting: (i) T-complex protein 11 homolog (TCP11), previously located to germ cells of fertile men, and (ii) Tektn-3 (TEKT3), which is believed to play a role in low sperm motility in men with bilateral varicocele.83 In the third study, when sperm from unilateral and bilateral varicocele patients were compared, 31 proteins were exclusively expressed in unilateral varicocele group and 64 were exclusively expressed in bilateral varicocele.84 The authors demonstrated that specific proteomes in seminal plasma could perhaps explain why bilateral varicocele is more aggressive, such as SEMG1, SEMG2, fibrous sheath CABYR-binding protein (FSCB), enkurin (ENKUR), and hyaluronidase PH-20 (SPAM1).85 However, Hosseinifar et al.86 found SEMG2 underexpressed in patients with varicocele when compared with healthy control men, although the authors utilized a different technique which may be sensitive to protease activity and thus lead to altered results.

In addition, Soares et al.87 studied the effect of varicocele in a rat animal model. After varicocele induction, the testes were removed and the homogenates were submitted to 2DE analysis followed by LC-MS/MS. Six proteins were up-regulated and 23 were down-regulated in varicocele-induced rats when compared to sham-operated rats. The authors observed guanine nucleotide binding protein subunit beta-2-like 1 (Gnb2L1 – homologous to GNB2L1 in human) and G protein coupled estrogen receptor 1 (Gper1 – homologous to GPER1 in human) up-regulated in testes of rats with induced varicocele, which was confirmed by Western blotting analysis. These proteins are linked to reduced expression of androgen receptor (AR), suggesting that varicocele affects mechanisms that control AR expression and function.88

**FINAL REMARKS/EXPERT COMMENTARY**

Seminal plasma is a complex mixture with different origins89 and differences in composition that may arise from various reasons. Isolating factors that arise from fertility-related causes, such as molecular pathways of sperm function, is not easily achieved, because many diverse conditions potentially alter simply the contribution of individual components to the seminal plasma, such as alteration in seminal vesicle or prostate function.89 This is an important challenge faced by studies in seminal plasma proteomics – understanding the origin of the studied proteome. Furthermore, semen is immediately coagulated upon ejaculation, followed by proteolytic activity leading to liquefaction.85,86 Thus, when the sample is made available for studies, important protease and phosphatase activity has usually already taken place, which in turn affects the ability of current studies to observe peptides and their posttranslational modifications.89

Varicocele remains an elusive disease, in that quite often it will be detected in fertile men.90 Differentiating a fertility-compromising varicocele, on the other hand, is imperative for the general medical practice, if intervention in patients who indeed require an intervention is to be achieved. Patient (and disease) individualization is one of the important promises proteomics studies bring,91,92 and in the case of varicocele it may assist in differentiating deleterious from “silent” varicoceles.

In general, it seems that varicocele leads to a state of homeorhesis, or a deviation from homeostasis toward a dynamic equilibrium in an altered state, characterized by enrichment of functions such as immune response and apoptosis, somewhat competing with important functions (such as fertilization, motility, and zona binding).76 In adolescents, for example, correcting varicocele shifts the seminal plasma proteome back to its regular state, enriching expected functions for semen associated to preserved reproductive function. In adults, a general dysfunction is observed in the seminal plasma proteome, and functions important for fertilization are underrepresented in these patients.

Defining end-points in studies regarding the seminal plasma proteome is also important, as these will, in essence, impact the importance of the findings. Simply utilizing semen analysis or testicular volume to separate groups may lead findings to fall short in sensitivity. On the other hand, defining fertility as an end-point will insert variability from the female partner into studies, which may limit their applicability. Under the same rationale, defining what is to be studied is just as important. If, say, one is interested in studying testicular function, normalizing the quantitative proteomics studies to constitutive testicular proteins may be fundamental to focus in on proteins that reflect the testicular and epididymal environments. Studying epididymal microvesicles and exosomes (epididymosomes) is also a novel approach that has been producing interesting results, because epididymal fluid is an important environment to transfer proteins participating in key sperm events (including capacitation), which has been shown in animals and in humans.90–93 ESPB1 and BSP1, for example, bind to specific phospholipids in the sperm membrane (presenting a phosphorylcholine moiety) in order to localize to specific sites, where it will mark dead or altered sperm.94 In humans, epididymosomes have been shown to present proteins with a diverse array of functions, namely as signal transducers, adhesion, transporter and protein trafficking, defense, chaperones, enzymes and structural proteins. RAB proteins, for example, seem to participate in determining membrane location targeting for individual epididymosomes proteins. Because these are docked onto membranes and form an integral part of the mature sperm membrane, epididymosomes transfer of proteins characterizes an important step in sperm maturation.95

As the seminal plasma proteomics data are amounting to the point that tens of thousands of peptides and thousands of proteins are observed in small portions of the seminal plasma, it will be essential to bear in mind that (i) these studies originate from a clinical setting and produce results with a clinical impact, based on medical information and a true Cartesian hypothesis, and (ii) data filtering and analysis will be of essence if these data are to be transformed into actionable information, such as protein diagnostic and therapeutic targets. Functional studies that characterize the events downstream of protein pathways will further help in determining biomarkers of male fertility.96 This translates to the fact that, as is the case with medicine, in general, the study of varicocele and male infertility will require a multidisciplinary effort and an integrative approach toward data analysis. It may be that the understanding of varicocele and its
underlying molecular mechanisms is more at hand than it ever was, as long as all the generated data don’t get in the way!
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