Conclusion The project demonstrates by empowering staff and building confidence, we have positively impacted on the choices available for patients and their families regarding the donation of tissues after death. Further education will be delivered to embed and ensure sustainability with this change in practice. A policy and procedure to standardize practice is being finalised.

In 1972, Stockwell published her controversial research (“The unpopular patient”) which described nurses’ relationships with patients who they perceived as “difficult”, and focussed on negative stereotyping. This was based around nurses giving preferential care to favoured patients.

Does this exist in palliative care, which prides itself on gold standard and person-centred care, across all care settings and particularly in specialist palliative care units and hospices?

During the author’s work in various palliative care settings and varying roles, it has been evident through a variety of approaches that even in palliative care patients can still be perceived as “unpopular”. This can, in turn, exacerbate that patient’s unpopular behaviour further (Goffman, 1963).

Dame Cicely Saunders stated, “You matter because you are you. We will do all we can to help you live until you die”. Equitable care access should prevent stereotyping, however the question is, do staff still label patients and families as unpopular? Is this simply an attitude and opinion? Does it affect the care they receive?

A brief literature review explores the concept of the unpopular patient in various care settings but not in palliative care. This work will complete a detailed literature review of “the unpopular patient”, the principles of palliative care and equitable access, and will be presented at the conference.

In future, research is required: initially this will be small scale through questionnaires to staff in a variety of palliative care settings and roles, using methodology similar to the original research. Following this, focus groups will be held to explore the emerging themes in more detail, and possibly individual interviews may be held with specific staff for richer data.

It is hoped that publishing and sharing this work will make staff working in palliative care self-aware about these attitudes.

Abstracts

P-81 PRESSURE ULCER PREVENTION PROGRAMME FOR PALLIATIVE COMMUNITY PATIENTS – AN INNOVATION PROJECT
Debra Boots, Nicola O’Shea, Julie Whiffin, Amanda Lawson, Nicola LePrevost. Pilgrims Hospices in East Kent, Margate, UK.

Background Skin integrity is key to ongoing care and comfort of patients towards end of life (Langemo, 2006). Palliative care aims to ease suffering with the raising prevalence of pressure area deterioration (EPUANPUAP, 2009). As patients’ function and nutritional state declines, understanding the risk of developing a pressure ulcer is pivotal. Prevention is key (NICE, 2015).

Funding, for a year-long project, was awarded by the Queen’s Nursing Institute to a group of hospice community palliative nurses.

Project aims
• Explore early detection of risk factors in the development of pressure ulcers
• Understand the needs of patients and carers in the awareness of pressure ulcer risks
• Develop collaboration and sharing of knowledge with the Hospice multi-disciplinary team and develop relationships with primary care agencies.

Methods Three focus group meetings with patients and carers to discuss their pressure ulcer risk awareness and information needs.

Palliative care community nurses attended training. Meetings with external collaborative services, including community nurses, care agencies and care commissioning groups.

To compare the recording of Waterlow score before and after project implementation (Waterlow, 2005).

Results 94% of patients referred to the hospice by October 2015, had a Waterlow recording. Providing evidence for appropriate and timely pressure ulcer prevention interventions.

The focus group developed an information leaflet, ‘Skin Awareness’ advocating self-care as ‘Awareness is Key’.

100% of community based registered nurses received Waterlow Assessment training.

Awareness has been raised throughout the hospice multi-disciplinary team and external services (GPs, community curse, and occupational therapists) of pressure ulcer risks for community palliative care patients.

Conclusion The project has been vital in reducing the discomfort palliative care patients’ experience towards end-of-life.

Further research is being developed, as there is a paucity of evidence in understanding the skin care of community palliative care patients, towards end-of-life.

P-82 THE UNPOPULAR PATIENTS IN PALLIATIVE CARE
Maddy Bass. St John’s Hospice, Lancaster, UK

In 1972, Stockwell published her controversial research (“The unpopular patient”) which described nurses’ relationships with patients who they perceived as “difficult”, and focussed on negative stereotyping. This was based around nurses giving preferential care to favoured patients.

Does this exist in palliative care, which prides itself on gold standard and person-centred care, across all care settings and particularly in specialist palliative care units and hospices?

During the author’s work in various palliative care settings and varying roles, it has been evident through a variety of approaches that even in palliative care patients can still be perceived as “unpopular”. This can, in turn, exacerbate that patient’s unpopular behaviour further (Goffman, 1963).

Dame Cicely Saunders stated, “You matter because you are you. We will do all we can to help you live until you die”. Equitable care access should prevent stereotyping, however the question is, do staff still label patients and families as unpopular? Is this simply an attitude and opinion? Does it affect the care they receive?

A brief literature review explores the concept of the unpopular patient in various care settings but not in palliative care. This work will complete a detailed literature review of “the unpopular patient”, the principles of palliative care and equitable access, and will be presented at the conference.

In future, research is required: initially this will be small scale through questionnaires to staff in a variety of palliative care settings and roles, using methodology similar to the original research. Following this, focus groups will be held to explore the emerging themes in more detail, and possibly individual interviews may be held with specific staff for richer data.

It is hoped that publishing and sharing this work will make staff working in palliative care self-aware about these attitudes.
standardised format created. Electronic forms were reviewed to ensure compliance with the updated documentation policy and a standardised abbreviation list created to ensure consistency.

Documentation training was introduced for new members of staff and a mandatory training programme provided using redacted patient records. Multi-disciplinary groups worked together to answer key clinical enquiries which were representative of issues investigated by a Coroner.

**Results**

On-going audits demonstrate incremental improvement in documentation and to ensure standards are being maintained there are plans to introduce a peer documentation review process and record keeping champions.

**Conclusion**

The development of a robust document management process, training and audit programme is fundamental to ensuring high standards of documentation and the delivery of high quality care.

### P-84

**EXPLORATION OF ADULT HOSPICES AS A SHORT BREAK PROVIDER FOR YOUNG ADULTS WITH PALLIATIVE CARE NEEDS**

Helen Finlins. St Elizabeth Hospice, Ipswich, UK

10.1136/bmjspcare-2016-001245.107

**Background**

- In the UK the number of 16–25 year olds living with life limiting illness has doubled in the past decade (Fraser et al., 2011).
- Nationally there is a lack of suitable respite provision for young adults with palliative care needs (King and Barclay, 2007, p201).
- An integrative literature review has been carried out to support development of a business case for a regional young adult short break unit in an adult hospice.
- Short break provision is seen as integral to children’s palliative care (Ling, 2012, p129) with many describing provision a “life line” (Jackson and Robinson, 2003, p105). Equivalent support does not exist in adult hospices.
- **NICE** Guidelines for **Transition** (2016) recommend developmentally appropriate care provision

**Literature review—emerging themes**

**Needs of parents:**
- A break from complex and technical care is needed, to maintain well-being and enable time with other children
- A break enables parents to sustain care in the home
- Needs change over time-ageing parents and relatives, increasing complexity of care means less informal support available
- Parents struggle with trusting others to provide care.

**Needs of young adults:**
- Opportunities for valuable peer support
- Opportunity for social activities
- A break from family with opportunity to explore and increase independence from parents.

Significant paucity in primary research with young adults

### P-85

**HALF THE COMMUNITY NURSE CASE LOAD!: ESTIMATING THE PREVALENCE OF LOWER LIMB CHRONIC OEDEMA**

1Margaret Benson, 2Rebecca Gaskin, 3Christine Moffatt, 2Victoria Peach, 2Christina Faull.

1LORDS Hospice, Leicester, UK; 2University of Nottingham; 2Leicester Partnership Trust

10.1136/bmjspcare-2016-001245.108

**Background**

Chronic oedema has a profound impact on quality of life. It may originate from primary anatomical reasons (primary lymphoedema), be secondary to cardio-vascular dysfunction, be related to cancer or cancer treatments and is increasingly a result of obesity. The numbers of patients with chronic oedema are increasing and both hospice specialist lymphoedema and community nursing teams are over-stretched with “revolving door referrals. The prevalence and burden of illness and impact on care services in the UK is unknown.

**Aims**

- To determine the scale and impact of lower limb chronic oedema and wounds within Leicester City.
- To inform the development of an integrated community chronic oedema pathway

**Method**

Quantitative data was collected by community nurses following a period of training by the specialist lymphoedema team. Each patient on a community nurse caseload was clinically assessed for chronic oedema and wounds and the results were recorded on a questionnaire.

Data validation was undertaken by a specialist lymphoedema nurse on a random sample of 20 patients establishing a high level of agreement between raters.

**Results**

1,308 patients were assessed. 43% had chronic oedema defined by swelling and/or skin changes. 45% of these patients had an associated wound such as venous ulcer. Risk factor analysis is being undertaken comparing those with and without oedema.

**Conclusion**

The prevalence of chronic oedema in the community nursing caseload is very high. The totality of the clinical care requirements for these patients were often unrecognised and dressings addressed as a ‘task’ in isolation of a clear management strategy.