CARDIORESPIRATORY RESPONSES TO ISOKINETIC EXERCISE IN PATIENTS WITH CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Exercise training using an isokinetic dynamometer is an alternative for improving muscle strength in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). Few studies have shown metabolic and cardiorespiratory responses to submaximal isokinetic exercises in patients in cardiac rehabilitation programs. Objective: To describe cardiorespiratory responses at two intensities of isokinetic exercise. Additionally, we compared the cardiorespiratory responses of isokinetic exercise with data from the incremental cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET). Methods: Eight individuals with CAD (61.7 ± 6.6 years) performed the following tests: 1) CPET on a treadmill; 2) Peak torque test (five repetitions) and fatigue resistance test (20 repetitions) of knee flexion-extension at angular speeds of 120°/s and 180°/s; 3) Two sets of 20 repetitions were performed at 30–40% (low-intensity, LI) and 50–60% (moderate-intensity, MI) of peak torque at angular speeds of 120°/s and 180°/s, using an isokinetic dynamometer. During the exercises, the individuals were connected to an expired gases analyzer with simultaneous monitoring of the electrocardiogram trace, heart rate (HR), oxygen consumption (VO₂), carbon dioxide production, and minute ventilation (VE). The differences (Δ) between the peak measurements during exercises and the baseline values were calculated. Results: Both LI and MI produced cardiorespiratory responses below the anaerobic threshold (82.8 ± 8.1% of HRmax and 74.4 ± 9.6% of VO₂peak) compared to the CPET data (P < 0.01). MI showed higher Δ HR (9.8 ± 5.5 vs. 6.3 ± 4.6 bpm; P = 0.01), Δ rate pressure product (3015 ± 2286 vs. 1957 ± 1932 mmHg·bpm; P = 0.01), and ΔVE (10.2 ± 6.2 vs. 6.9 ± 7 L·min⁻¹; P = 0.03) than LI at the angular velocity of 180°/s. Conclusion: These results suggest that this isokinetic exercise protocol may be used as a strategy for cardiac rehabilitation programs in patients with CAD. Level of evidence IV; Case series.
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RESUMO

Introdução: O treinamento na dinamômetro isocinético é uma alternativa para aumentar a força muscular em pacientes com doença arterial coronariana (DAC). Poucos estudos têm investigado as respostas metabólicas e cardiorespiratórias do exercício isocinético submáximo em pacientes de um programa de reabilitação cardíaca. Objetivos: Descrever as respostas cardiorespiratórias em duas intensidades de exercícios isocinéticos. Adicionalmente, comparar as respostas cardiorespiratórias do exercício isocinético com os dados de um teste de exercício cardiopulmonar (TECP) incremental. Métodos: Oito indivíduos com DAC (61,7 ± 6,6 anos) realizaram os seguintes testes: 1) TECP em esteira ergométrica; 2) Teste de pico de torque (cinco repetições) e resistência à fadiga (20 repetições) de flexão-extensão de joelho nas velocidades angulares de 120°/s e 180°/s; 3) Duas séries de 20 repetições em 30–40% (baixa intensidade, BI) e 50–60% (moderada intensidade, MI) do pico de torque nas velocidades angulares de 120°/s e 180°/s no dinamômetro isocinético. Durante os exercícios, os indivíduos foram conectados ao analisador de gases expirados com monitorização simultânea do traçado eletrocardiográfico, frequência cardíaca (FC), consumo de oxigênio (VO₂), produção de dióxido de carbono e ventilação minuto (VE). Foi calculada a diferença (∆) entre a medida pico durante os exercícios e os valores basais. Resultados: Tanto a BI quanto a MI produziram respostas cardiorespiratórias abaixo do limiar anaeróbico (82,8 ± 8,1% da FCmax e 74,4 ± 9,6% do VO₂peak) comparadas com os dados do TECP (P < 0.01). A MI mostrou valores maiores de ∆FC (9,8 ± 5,5 vs. 6,3 ± 4,6 bpm; P = 0,01), ∆ producto frequência-pressão (3,015 ± 2,286 vs. 1,957 ± 1,932 mmHg·bpm; P = 0,01) e ∆VE (10,2 ± 6,2 vs. 6,9 ± 7 L·min⁻¹; P = 0,03) quando comparado com a BI na velocidade angular de 180°/s. Conclusão: Estes resultados sugerem que este protocolo de exercícios isocinéticos pode ser usado como estratégia para programas de reabilitação cardíaca em pacientes com DAC. Nível de evidência IV; Série de casos.

Descritores: Dinamômetro de força muscular; Consumo de oxigênio; Exercício; Reabilitação cardíaca; Doença da artéria coronariana.

RESEÑA

Introducción: El entrenamiento en el dinamómetro isocinético es una alternativa para aumentar la fuerza muscular en pacientes con enfermedad arterial coronaria (EAC). Pocos estudios han investigado las respuestas metabólicas y cardiorespiratorias del ejercicio isocinético submáximo en pacientes de un programa de rehabilitación cardíaca.

Descritores: Dinamómetro de fuerza muscular; Consumo de oxígeno; Ejercicio; Reabilitación cardíaca; Enfermedad de la arteria coronaria.
INTRODUCTION

Aerobic and muscle strengthening exercises are widely used in cardiac rehabilitation (CR) programs.1-4 Muscle strengthening exercises can control risk factors such as obesity and insulin resistance, improve blood pressure, reduce peripheral vascular resistance, and optimize endothelial function5-6. Resistance training promotes improvements in quality of life and functional capacity in patients with cardiac disease.6,7

However, there are few studies about cardiovascular, metabolic, and ventilatory responses during strengthening exercises, using an isokinetic dynamometer in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD).8 The prescription for strengthening exercises considers the intensity, number of repetitions and sets, weekly volume, and type of muscle contraction.9 Depending on the intensity of the training, different muscle and cardiovascular adaptations will occur.10 Using the isokinetic dynamometer is an alternative method to perform muscle strengthening protocols. Feiereisen et al.9 showed that the measurement of strength gain after a period of training in patients with heart failure is more reliable when measured pico during the exercises and the values basales. Resultados: Tanto Bi como MI produjeron respuestas cardiorrespiratorias por debajo del umbral anaeróbico (82,8 ± 8,1% de la FCmax y 74,4 ± 9,6% del VO2pico) en comparación con los datos de la TEC (P < 0,01). La MI mostró valores mayores de ΔFC (9,8 ± 5,5 vs 6,3 ± 4,6 lpm; P = 0,01), Δproducto frecuencia-presión (3015±2286 vs. 1957±1932 mmHg.lpm; P = 0,01) y ΔVE (10,2±6,2 vs. 6,9±7 L.min⁻¹; P = 0,03) en comparación con la BI en la velocidad angular de 180°/s. Conclusion: These results suggest that this protocol of exercises isokinetic can be used as strategy for programs of rehabilitation cardíaca in patients with CAD.

Table 1. Characteristics of the patients.

| Anthropometric/demographics data |  |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Sex (M/F)                        | 5/3 |
| Age (years)                      | 61.75 ± 6.65 |
| Weight (kg)                      | 79.46 ± 21.17 |
| BMI (kg/ m²)                     | 27.97 ± 7.80 |
| Ejection fraction (%)            | 52.5 ± 9 |
| Main medications                 |  |
| Beta-blockers, n (%)             | 5 (62.5) |
| ACE inhibitors or ARB, n (%)     | 5 (62.5) |
| Diuretics, n (%)                 | 3 (37.5) |
| Anticoagulants, n (%)            | 5 (62.5) |

Values are presented in mean and standard deviation. BMI: body mass index; ACE: angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB: angiotensin II receptor blockers.
cardiologist, followed by CPET, on a treadmill (Imbramed® ATL 10000) with ramp protocol17 and expired gas analysis (Ergo software PC Elite, VO2000-MedGraphics®). The determination of the AT was made by a ventilatory technique,18 identifying the lowest points of the ventilatory equivalent for oxygen (VE/VO2) and expired fraction of O2 before starting their elevation. The values presented for the AT and the peak effort were calculated as averages over 40 seconds.

On the second visit, evaluations of peak torque (five repetitions) and fatigue resistance (20 repetitions) were carried out at angular velocities of 120°/s and 180°/s of the dominant knee, on the isokinetic dynamometer (Biodes® Multi Joint System 3 Pro). The velocities were tested in random order with an interval of five minutes between tests. Initially, blood pressure was checked and warm-up was conducted on the exercise bike without load for five minutes. Individuals became familiar with the dynamometer with active movements of flexion and extension of the knee, in a series of five repetitions at an angular velocity of 300°/s.

The protocol used was the concentric unilateral mode for the extensor and knee-dominant flexor muscles. During the exercises, the participants were monitored with electrocardiograms of three derivations (CM5, D2M, and V2M) and the expired gases in an open circuit were analyzed (VO2000-MedGraphics®). Oxygen consumption (VO2), minute ventilation (VE), respiratory quotient (RQ), heart rate (HR), and blood pressure (BP) were quantified.13 The rate pressure product (RPP) was obtained by multiplying the HR by systolic blood pressure (SBP).

On the third and fourth visits, training sessions at angular velocities of 120°/s and 180°/s were conducted.10,11 During each visit, four sets of 20 repetitions with rest intervals of three minutes between each set were carried out. LI represents 30–40% of peak torque and MI represents 50–60% of peak torque obtained from isokinetic evaluations. As described above, participants were monitored with electrocardiograms and the expired gases were analyzed. To develop strength at the desired intensity, participants were encouraged through visual feedback on an isokinetic monitor screen with two horizontal lines.11 There were also verbal stimuli to guide the training intensity. The SBP was measured before and immediately after the execution of the resistance movements. After the end of the session, the patients performed active stretches of the lower limbs. The interval between visits was at least 48 hours. All participants were taking their prescribed medications on the days of the study. The steps of this study are illustrated in Figure 1.

Statistical analysis
The Shapiro-Wilk test was employed to check the normality of the data distribution. Comparisons between the angular velocities and intensities were conducted by the Student’s t-test for dependent samples (parametric data) or the Wilcoxon test (nonparametric data). The one-way analysis of variance was employed to compare the HR and VO2 between the intensity of the AT and the responses to the isokinetic training, followed by the Tukey post-hoc test. P-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS
Physiological responses to the CPETs are summarized in Table 2. No patient experienced complications during testing. The participants obtained VO2peak of 21.3 mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹ and HRmax of 138 bpm on the CPET and the AT intensity was identified at 74.2% of the VO2peak and 82.2% of the HRmax.

The values of total work (J) were significantly higher at the angular velocity of 120°/s when compared with the isokinetic training at 120°/s and 180°/s19,20 of the dominant knee, on the isokinetic dynamometer. As described above, the peak torque obtained from isokinetic evaluations. The determination of the AT was made by a ventilatory technique,18 identifying the lowest points of the ventilatory equivalent for oxygen (VE/VO2) and expired fraction of O2 before starting their elevation. The values presented for the AT and the peak effort were calculated as averages over 40 seconds.

At the velocity of 120°/s, there was a significant difference in delta variation (Δ) in VE (5.7 ± 2.0 L·min⁻¹ vs. 9.1 ± 1.9 L·min⁻¹; P = 0.03), indicating greater ventilatory response at MI, from 50 to 60% of peak torque. (Table 4) At the velocity of 180°/s there was a significant difference in delta variation in HR (6.3 ± 4.6 bpm vs. 9.8 ± 5.5 bpm; P = 0.01) and RPP (1957 ± 1932 mmHg·bpm vs. 3015 ± 2286 mmHg·bpm; P = 0.01), indicating greater cardiorespiratory response at MI, from 50 to 60% of peak torque.

In Figure 2A, the %HRmax measured at AT obtained during the CPET was significantly higher when compared with the isokinetic training at 120°/s and 180°/s (P < 0.001). In Figure 2B, the O2peak measured at the AT obtained during the CPET was significantly higher when compared with the isokinetic training at 120°/s and 180°/s (P < 0.001).
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**Table 2. Physiological responses to ramp protocol incremental on treadmill.**

| Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing | VO2peak (mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) | VO2max (mL·min⁻¹·kg⁻¹) | HRmax (bpm) | HRAT (bpm) | SBP (mmHg) | RPP (mmHg·bpm⁻¹) | RQ | VE (L·min⁻¹) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------------|----|-------------|
| Total work (J)                   | 1500 ± 608               | 11425 ± 1142            | 14651 ± 2964 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1525 ± 138 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1.02 ± 0.11 | 40.8 ± 9.1  |
| HR (%)                           | 17.1 ± 17.4              | 117 ± 17.4              | 114.2 ± 11.4 | 107 ± 10.7  | 1.02 ± 0.11 | 40.8 ± 9.1     | 1.02 ± 0.11 | 40.8 ± 9.1  |
| Fatigue (%)                      | 20.0 ± 10.0              | 129.9 ± 73.0            | 14651 ± 2964 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1525 ± 138 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1.02 ± 0.11 | 40.8 ± 9.1  |
| Peak Torque (N·m)                | 70.4 ± 37.4              | 97.1 ± 15               | 151.3 ± 23   | 114.2 ± 11.4 | 107 ± 10.7  | 1.02 ± 0.11    | 40.8 ± 9.1  | 1.02 ± 0.11 |
| Repetitions 120°/s               | 20.0 ± 10.0              | 129.9 ± 73.0            | 14651 ± 2964 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1525 ± 138 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1.02 ± 0.11 | 40.8 ± 9.1  |
| Repetitions 180°/s               | 20.0 ± 10.0              | 129.9 ± 73.0            | 14651 ± 2964 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1525 ± 138 | 21335 ± 3536 | 1.02 ± 0.11 | 40.8 ± 9.1  |

P-values are presented in mean and standard deviation. VO2peak: oxygen consumption at peak stress; VO2max: oxygen consumption in anaerobic threshold; HRmax: maximum heart rate; HRAT: heart rate in anaerobic threshold; SBP: systolic blood pressure; RPP: rate pressure product; RQ: respiratory quotient; VE: minute ventilation.
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**Table 3. Isokinetic data and cardiovascular, metabolic and ventilatory responses during the assessment protocol of the knee extensor muscles at 120°/s and 180°/s.**

| Repetitions 120°/s | 180°/s | P       |
|--------------------|--------|---------|
| Peak Torque (N·m)  | 5      | 5       | 0.30    |
| Fatigue (%)        | 20     | 20      | 0.49    |
| Total work (J)     | 1500 ± 608 | 1299 ± 730 | 0.01*   |
| HR (bpm)           | 97.1 ± 15     | 94.9 ± 18.2 | 0.34    |
| SBP (mmHg)         | 151.3 ± 23    | 138.8 ± 30  | 0.31    |
| RPP (mmHg·bpm⁻¹)   | 14651 ± 2964  | 13063 ± 3335 | 0.24    |
| VO2 (mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹)| 10.3 ± 2.1    | 9.1 ± 1.4  | 0.37    |
| VE (L·min⁻¹)       | 246.4 ± 67    | 238.5 ± 58  | 0.91    |

P-values are presented in mean and standard deviation. HR: heart rate; SBP: systolic blood pressure; RPP: rate pressure product; VO2: oxygen consumption; VE: minute ventilation. *P < 0.05 when compared to the angular velocity of 120°/s.
No changes were observed in the ischemic ST-segment depression, ventricular arrhythmias, or angina during the training protocol. However, Caruso et al. reported increased ventricular extrasystoles during the leg press exercise at an intensity of 60% 1-RM in patients with CAD. Additionally, they showed that the hemodynamic responses to leg presses were similar to the ones observed in the peak effort during the CPET, in contrast with the results of the present study. It is possible that the mode and duration of exercise (two minutes) explain these differences.

Isokinetic strengthening protocols in patients who are postoperative myocardial revascularization were considered safe when they used loads of 20 to 30% of the peak torque. Our results extend the safe use of the isokinetic dynamometer at the intensity of 50–60% of peak torque, when held for short periods of duration, with 20 repetitions at 120°/s or 180°/s. However, another study showed a significant increase in SBP to 205 mmHg when the isokinetic exercises were performed for periods of two minutes at 50% of peak torque, at the velocity of 90°/s in healthy seniors. Additionally, the authors reported greater cardiovascular responses in concentric exercises when compared to eccentric exercises in young and old people.

The recommendation for isokinetic resistance training must consider the volume, intensity, and type of exercise performed, because they directly influence the hemodynamic and metabolic responses. Additionally, the recovery period for HR and BP between sets should be 60 seconds for the elderly or patients with heart failure. The investigation of responses to submaximal exercise on the isokinetic dynamometer can safely guide the resistance training, avoiding undesirable levels of SBP in CR.

In patients with heart failure, Degache et al. reported higher cardiovascular responses at the velocity of 240°/s and 180°/s when compared to the velocity of 60°/s, suggesting that the HR and the RPP increase because of the angular velocity and the exercise intensity. Our results confirmed major responses of the RPP at 180°/s. The pressure overloads during the strengthening exercises’ execution deserve more attention by the prescribers. Therefore, the measurement of RPP has been recommended because it is an indirect indicator of oxygen uptake by myocardium.

In subjects with intermittent claudication, the HR and the RPP were higher in knee flexion/extension exercises compared to the plantar flexion/dorsiflexion exercise. The authors suggest that the greater muscle mass involved in knee flexion/extension exercise may be related to increased hemodynamic responses. In a study with 28 patients with heart disease who were taking Beta-blockers, performing isokinetic exercise, a significant increase in HR was observed at an angular velocity of 90°/s, but below 70% of HRmax obtained in an exercise stress test, corroborating our results. Our results showed an average chronotropic response of six to 12 bpm, with an average time to run the 20 repetitions of 60 seconds, with 62% of the patients in the sample taking Beta-blockers. This initial increase of HR suggests a vagal inhibition of the sinoatrial node in the first 10 seconds of the exercise, as reported by other authors.

In our study, we found mean values for the peak torque of knee extensors of 70.4 Nm and 58.3 Nm at angular velocities of 120°/s and 180°/s, respectively. Ghroubi et al. reported peak torques of 89 N·m at an angular velocity of 150°/s and 82 N·m at 180°/s in patients who are postoperative for myocardial revascularization. Other authors reported peak torques of 91 Nm and 76 Nm at velocities of 120°/s and 180°/s, respectively, in patients with heart failure. In addition, we observed higher values of total work (J) at a velocity of 120°/s, although without significant differences in percentage of fatigue (Table 2). The total work represents the torque product by angular displacement and reflects the energy developed during muscle activity. It is believed that at a velocity of 120°/s there was greater recruitment of motor units, in much of the range of motion, with a consequent increase in bioenergetics demand by the muscles.

### DISCUSSION

The present study investigated the cardiorespiratory responses during 20 repetitions on an isokinetic dynamometer at two intensities at 120°/s and 180°/s angular velocities in patients with CAD. The main finding was that short periods of repetition at fast speeds are safe and well tolerated by patients with CAD. During isokinetic training at 120°/s and 180°/s, at both studied intensities (LI and MI), cardiorespiratory overloads were below the AT measured during the CPET.

Another important result was the evaluation of the metabolic and chronotropic responses from isokinetic exercise in relation to the %VO2peak and %HRmax obtained during the CPET. Patients performed exercises on the isokinetic dynamometer at an average of 53% of VO2peak and 70% of HRmax. These results show that the isokinetic exercises occurred at an intensity below the AT, suggesting that the isokinetic muscle strengthening protocol used in this study can be safely carried out in CR programs.

Our results are in agreement with a study where the resistance exercises were performed on the treadmill at 80% of VO2peak intensity in patients with heart failure. Additionally, we observed that the chronotropic responses from isokinetic exercise in relation to the %VO2peak and %HRmax were greater recruitment of motor units, in much of the range of motion, with a consequent increase in bioenergetics demand by the muscles.
The exercises at 120°/s required superior responses of VO₂ (P = 0.37), SBP (P = 0.31), and RPP (P = 0.24), although without statistically significant differences when compared to 180°/s. These results may partially explain the higher output of work found at the lowest velocity.

Isokinetic training at high angular velocity of 240°/s has been studied in the elderly and showed increased expression of isofoms of myosin heavy chain and superior functional improvements to the training at low velocity of 75°/s.27 Currently, it is suggested that adaptations in skeletal muscles triggered by resistance training are specific for each angular velocity.27 With aging, occurs loss of fast-twitch muscle fibers (type II) and consequent reduction of peak torque measured at a velocity of 180°/s.28 An isokinetic training protocol at an angular velocity of 180°/s increased the peak torque of knee extensors 40% in patients with cardiac disease.14 In this context, resistance training is an important complement to aerobic exercise to improve muscular strength, tolerance to the efforts, and quality of life.4,5,7

Some limitations must be considered in this study. The small number of participants and the inclusion of women and men must be taken into consideration in the interpretation of our results, although other studies have used seven to nine individuals and evaluated the same variables.29,30 Our results refer to an acute effect of isokinetic strengthening exercise. Future studies can assess whether the proposed protocol promotes muscle strength gain.

CONCLUSION

The hemodynamic and metabolic responses to isokinetic exercises occurred below the AT and they can be safely performed in CR programs. There were no cardiovascular complications or musculoskeletal injuries during the isokinetic exercises. We suggest this isokinetic protocol and the angular velocities used in resistance training be prescribed for patients with CAD in CR.
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