Loss of miR-494 by exosomes promotes melanoma proliferation and metastasis
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ABSTRACT

Previous studies have established that exosomes involve material transport in melanoma. However, the detail molecular mechanisms remain to be elucidated. Here, we aimed to determine the functions of exosome-derived miR-494 in melanoma progress. In this study, we firstly found significantly higher levels of miR-494 in melanoma patients’ serum exosomes. miR-494 was upregulated in high-metastatic melanoma cell exosomes while downregulated in cell itself. Functional studies revealed that decrease exosome production and overexpression of miR-494 significantly inhibited cancer cells proliferation, migration and invasion in vitro. Nude mice experiments confirmed that upregulation miR-494 suppressed tumor growth and metastasis by inhibiting exosomes release in vivo. In conclusion, Exosomal transfer of miR-494 is an alternative modality in the treatment of melanoma.

INTRODUCTION

Malignant melanoma (MM) is one of the aggressive skin cancers with a high mortality rate despite its improvements in diagnostic and therapeutic technology [1–3]. Although great advance has been achieved in the early diagnosis and treatment, the prognosis of MM patients remains very poor [4, 5]. Tumor overgrowth and metastasis are two of the most important hallmarks of malignant tumors, and metastasis is the major cause of tumor recurrence and patient death [6]. Therefore, understanding the underlying molecular pathways involve in the process of tumor growth and metastasis is crucial.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) regulate gene expression by inhibiting gene translation or facilitating mRNA degradation [7, 8]. In recent years, accumulating evidence has revealed that miRNAs function as tumor suppressors or promoters. In our previous study, we confirmed that miR-33a, miR-199a, miR-18b, and miR-138 function as tumor suppressors by targeting oncogenes in melanoma
We interestingly found that miR-494 was abnormal low expression in melanoma cell than that in melanocytes via analyzing gene microarray results from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets, suggesting miR-494 may play important role in melanoma progress. However, our preliminary experiments revealed that miR-494 was elevated in melanoma patient’s serum. Therefore, exact function of miR-494 in melanoma and the underlying mechanism of abnormal expression levels remain largely unclear.

Exosomes are extracellular vesicles that formed at the plasma membrane. They have an average diameter of 40–110 nm. Exosomes are enriched with endosome-associated proteins, such as CD63, CD9, TSG101 and CD81 [13, 14]. The endocytosis of exosomes by their target results in the intracellular release of vesicular contents, including messenger RNA, miRNA, proteins and lipids [15]. The exosomes have been shown to have pro-tumor properties. For example, cancer-associated adipocytes (CAAs) and fibroblasts (CAFs) exosomes transfer miRNA-21 to the cancer cells, which suppresses ovarian cancer apoptosis and confers chemoresistance by binding to APAF1 [16]. Recent studies have explored potential roles for melanoma-derived exosomes in the pathogenesis of proliferation and invasion [17–19]. We found that miR-494 has higher levels in melanoma exosomes and lower levels in the original cells by further analyzing the gene microarray results from GEO datasets. Above mentioned findings strongly suggest that loss of miR-494 may caused by exosomal vesicles. However, the relationship between exosome-derived miR-494 and melanoma tumorigenesis has not been previously reported.

We firstly found miR-494 had significantly different expression level in intracellular and extracellular environment of melanoma. Here, we aimed to determine the detail functions of exosome-derived miR-494 in melanoma progress and identify the molecular mechanisms of miR-494 loss.

RESULTS

Melanoma serum and cell exosomes have higher miR-494 copy number

We first profiled differentially expressed genes in A375 cell exosomes (GSM867222, GSM867223) and A375 cells (GSM867220, GSM867221) by analyzing GEO datasets. We found miR-494 upregulated in exosomes (Figure 1A). Interestingly, high expression of miR-494 was also observed in A375 cell exosomes when compared with human melanocytes (HM)exosomes (A375 EX: GSM867222, GSM867223 vs HM EX: GSM867226).

In order to verify the microRNA microarray results of previous GEO datasets analysis, we collected 15 case of melanoma patients’ serum and 15 case of normal human serum. Ultracentrifugation was performed to isolate the exosomes. The results of transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) revealed that extracellular vesicles derived from MM patients’ serum were of the expected exosomal shape (Figure 1B) and size (Figure 1C). The purified exosomes displayed as small round vesicles with a diameter ranging from 60 to 110 nm. WB confirmed that these vesicles expressed the exosomal markers CD9, CD63 and TSG101 (Figure 1D). qRT-PCR method was used to detect miR-494 expression in serum exosomes. The experimental results showed that the miR-494 expression level in MM serum exosomes was significantly higher than that in the normal person serum exosomes (Figure 1E).

Exosomal transfer regulates miR-494 expression

For verifying the abnormal expression of miR-494 in melanoma cells, qRT-PCR was used to measure miR-494 expression levels in primary melanocytes (HEMa-LP) and melanoma cell lines with different metastatic potentials. As expected, higher expression level of miR-494 was observed in in HEMa-LP cells compared with that in melanoma cell lines WM35, WM451, and A375 (Figure 2A), whereas lower in HEMa-LP exosomes than in melanoma cell exosomes (Figure 2B).

To further explore the biological functions of exosomes in melanoma cells, released exosomes were added into the original productive cell culture medium. The TEM (Figure 2C), western blots (Figure 2D) and NTA (Figure 2E) results confirmed that exosomes (green fluorescence-labeled) were successfully isolated from A375 and WM35 cells, respectively. After 24 h, confocal microscopy was used to examine whether exosomes were absorbed by A375 and WM35 cells, as evidenced by localization of phalloidin and DAPI. As shown in Figure 2F, exosomes were obviously uptaked by melanoma cells. Using PCR, we found miR-494 levels varied according to uptake of different cell exosomes.

Inhibiting release of exosomal miR-494 suppresses MM cell viability, migration and invasion

Previous published reports indicate that rab27a plays an important role in exosome biogenesis, which is a small molecular weight GTPase. Three shRNA (small hairpin RNA) expression plasmids targeting rab27a were constructed. Western blotting was performed to detect the expression of rab27a in cells as well as CD63, CD9 and TSG101 protein in isolated vesicles (Figure 3A). Western
blotting results revealed that the third shRNA sequence had the best inhibitory effect of exosome production, sh3-rab27a plasmid was chose for further investigation. We constructed the miR-494 overexpressing lentivirus vector and anti-miR-494 lentiviral expression vectors, respectively. All above mentioned recombinant plasmids were transfected or co-transfected into A375 and WM35 cells. qRT-PCR results showed that elevating miR-494 expression was caused by transfection miR-494 overexpressing alone, sh3-rab27a plasmids alone or both together in A375 cells (Figure 3B, left panel). and, For WM35 cells, knockdown miR-494 only appeared in the

Figure 1: Increased miR-494 expression in MM serum exosomes. (A) The heat map showing the relative expression of miRNAs in from A375 exosomes, A375 cells and HEMa-LP exosomes. (B) Electron micrograph showing “cup-shaped” vesicles, isolated from MM serum. Scale bar, 200 nm. (C) Curve graph showing the particle diameter (nm) of the population of small vesicles. (D) Western blot analysis showing exosome marker CD63, CD9 and TSG101 in the exosome-enriched PBS but not in the plasma, GP96 is one of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) protein used as a negative marker. (E) The relative expression levels of miR-494 in the exosomes was normalized with U6. *P < 0.05.
groups which treatment with anti-miR-494 plasmids. Inhibition of exosome release by rab27-deficiency did not significantly alter miR-494 expression (Figure 3B, right panel). And, it implies that melanoma cells excrete miR-494 via exosome vesicles, which may mainly happen in high-metastatic cells.

To determine whether exosomal miR-494 suppress tumor development in MM cells, the effects of exosomal miR-494 on cell viability was examined. Cell viability was determined by using MTT assay kit (Figure 3C). Indeed, the A375 cells showed significantly lower viability after treatment with miR-494 overexpressing plasmids, and reducing the exosome release obviously enhanced this inhibitory effect (Figure 3C, left panel). Conversely, higher cell viability was observed when silenced miR-494 in WM35 cells. Interestingly, we found that exosome released miR-494 level had no significant influence on the WM35 cell proliferation (NC vs. sh3-rab27, $P > 0.05$; anti-miR-494 vs. anti-miR-494+sh3-rab27, $P > 0.05$).

To verify the possible role of miR-494 in MM metastasis, the effects of exosomal miR-494 on the

![Figure 2: Exosomes regulate miR-494 expression in melanoma cells.](image)

(A) qRT-PCR method was used to detect the miR-494 expression levels in HEMa-LP and MM cells. (B) qRT-PCR detects the expression level of miR-494 in exosomes form HEMa-LP and MM exosomes. (C) Electron micrograph showing whole-mount exosomes isolated from MM serum exosomes. Scale bar, 200 nm. (D) Exosome marker CD63, CD9 and TSG101 were observed while GP96 was negative in extractive vesicles. (E) NTA results showing the particle diameter (nm) of the population of small vesicles. (F) Enriched exosomes labeled by green fluorescence were incubated with their original cells for 24 h, such as A375 or WM35 cells. The control cells were treated with equiveluminal normal saline. Fluorescence microscopy was used to detect the fluorescent signals. Scale bar, 200 µm. (G) qRT-PCR was used to determine the relative miR-494 expression level in cells after being incubated with exosomes or normal saline. NS: normal saline. *$P < 0.05$. 
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migration and invasion were analyzed in vitro. The results of scratch assay showed that the gap in the miR-494 group is much greater when compared to the control group, and reduce the exosomal miR-494 release obviously enhanced the inhibitory effect in A375 cells (Figure 4A).

The migration rate of WM35 cells was increased after down-regulation miR-494 (Figure 4B). The Transwell invasion assays were also performed to explore the effects on invasive capacity. As expected, the number of invading cells in the overexpressing miR-494 group and

---

**Figure 3: Exosomal miR-494 inhibits MM proliferation.** (A) Three shRNA expression plasmids targeting rab27a were transfected into A375 or WM35 cells, respectively. Western blotting results revealed that the third shRNA sequence had the best inhibitory effect of exosomal release. CD63, CD9 and TSG101 were detected in supernatant extracts, while rab27 were measured in total protein extracted from cells. (B) Validate the interference effect of miR-494 in rabbit-deficient cells (A375: left panel; WM35: right panel) by qRT-PCR. (C) MTT method was used to detect cell proliferation at specific time points. *P < 0.05 (NC vs. miR-494 or NC vs. anti-miR-494), *P < 0.05 (sh3-rab27a vs. sh3-rab27a+miR-494 or sh3-rab27a vs. sh3-rab27a+anti-miR-494), *P < 0.05 (NC vs. sh3-rab27a), *P < 0.05 (miR-494 vs. sh3-rab27a+miR-494). *P < 0.05.
rab27-deficient group was much lower than this number in the NC group (Figure 4C), whereas the invading cells were higher in anti-miR-494 group (Figure 4D). In short, exosomal miR-494 significantly inhibited invasion and migration in high-metastatic cell lines, indicating that it suppressed metastasis in melanoma.

**Exosomal miR-494 functions as tumor suppressor via promoting cell apoptosis**

Previous research proved miR-494 mainly suppresses Bcl-2 in several malignant tumor [20]. Bcl-2 is considered as a key factor of the apoptotic process of tumor cells. Flow cytometer was performed to detect the apoptosis. Co-transfection of sh3-rab27a and miR-494 significantly increased the percentage of cell apoptosis in A375 (Figure 5A, 5E). For WM35 cells, knockdown miR-494 accompanied by lower cell apoptosis (Figure 5B, 5E). Western blotting was also performed to analyze the expression of Bcl-2 protein. As indicated in Figure 5C, 5F compared with NC cells, significantly decreased Bcl2 expression was detected in A375-miR-494 and A375-sh3-rab27a cells, while increased in WM35-anti-miR-494 (Figure 5D, 5F). These results indicated Bcl-2 is one downstream molecular target for the antioncogenic function of exosomal miR-494.

**Inhibiting release of exosomal miR-494 suppresses MM growth and metastasis in nude mice**

To confirm the effects of exosomal miR-494 *in vivo*, Nude mice were subcutaneously inoculated human melanoma A375 cells. Mice were injected with A375 cell

---

**Figure 4: Exosomal miR-494 inhibits MM cell migratory and invasive capacity.** Migration of A375 (A) and WM35 (B) cells into the scratched area was monitored at the indicated time points. Representative microscopic images (magnification, ×40). The migration rate of each group at 0 and 48 h. Representative microscopic images of invasive A375 (C) and WM35 (D) cells from different groups (magnification, ×200). *P < 0.05.
with different treatment. After 42 days, the mice were sacrificed (Figure 6A) to remove tumors (Figure 6B). The average tumor volume in the blank vector group was 1.365 ± 0.133 cm³, which was significantly higher than that in the miR-494 group, sh3-rab27a group and co-transfection group (0.133 ± 0.227, 0.454 ± 0.291 or 0.135 ± 0.040 cm³, respectively; Figure 6C). The average tumor weight in the blank vector group was (1.285 ± 0.099) g, which was significantly higher than that in the miR-494, sh3-rab27a group and co-transfection group (0.816 ± 0.191, 0.398 ± 0.261, 0.124 ± 0.043 g, respectively; Figure 6D).

In addition, the effect of exosomal miR-494 on tumor cells apoptosis was tested by TUNEL assay. As shown in Figure 6E, upregulation of miR-494 and suppression exosome release at the same time markedly increased the apoptosis of A375 cells. A375 cells were injected into the tail veins of nude mice and assessed the number of metastasized tumor nodules in the mouse lung. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of paraffin-embedded lung tissues also showed a decrease in the size (Figure 6F) of the metastatic foci in mice injected with high miR-494 expression level cells. Exosomal miR-494 significantly inhibited the ability of metastasis in melanoma.

**DISCUSSION**

Recent studies have shown that in addition to initiation via soluble mediators, cell-cell communication can be initiated through surface interactions between circulating exosomes and transmembrane molecules expressed by target cells [21]. The fusion of exosomes with target cell membranes facilitates the transfer of cell surface molecules and receptors from donor to recipient cells. Furthermore, the endocytosis of exosomes by their target cells results in the intracellular release of vesicular contents, including messenger RNA, microRNA (miRNA), proteins and lipids. Tumor exosomes have been shown to have angiogenic properties. For example, colorectal cancer exosomes transfer mRNAs, which promote endothelial cell proliferation and facilitate angiogenesis [22], whereas glioblastoma-derived exosomes promote tubule formation by recipient endothelial cells [23]. Furthermore, tumour exosomes secrete factors that suppress natural killer cell activity and induce T-cell apoptosis [24].

The transfer of miRNA by exosomes is particularly interesting, because miRNAs are more stable and can control the expression of multiple target genes in the recipient cells. In addition, miRNAs have been shown to
regulate cell differentiation, proliferation and apoptosis, and contribute to the development of multiple tumour types [25–27]. Although the miRNA signatures of tumour-derived exosomes have been identified in multiple tumor types, including melanoma, the functional roles of these exosomal miRNAs in modulating the malignant phenotypes have not been elucidated.

In this study, using miRNA microarray results from GEO datasets of melanoma-derived and HEMa-LP-derived exosomes, we found that miR-494 was the most abundant miRNA in tumor exosomes among the identified miRNAs. The results of TEM, NTA and positive protein for the vesicles revealed the expected exosome features. GP96 is one of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) protein, was
negative in the isolated vesicles. Above evidences were reminders that purify exosomes were isolated from A375 and WM35 cells. Furthermore, significantly higher miR-494 expression levels were confirmed in both patients’ serum exosomes and high-metastatic MM cell exosomes by a qRT-PCR analysis.

Additionally, we explored the possible mechanism by which miR-494 may involve in MM. Previous studies have revealed that miR-494 directly target oncogene INPP4B in melanoma [28]. However, the functions of miR-494 and related mechanisms has not yet study. We confirmed that exosome regulated miR-494 expression level in high-metastatic MM cells. For primary tumor cells, such as WM35 cells, the miR-494 shuttled by exosomes was not obvious. Felicetti F et al. showed the similar trend, miR-222 was significantly elevated in metastatic melanoma cell exosomes not in primary tumor cell-derived ones [29]. Surely, it is common knowledge that tumor cells with different invasion capacity have different physiological and pathological characteristics [30]. However, the exact cause is unknown, we will further explore this issue in the future. In A375 cells, upregulate miR-494 and decrease exosome release simultaneously significantly inhibits cell proliferative, migration and invasion via promoting cell apoptosis. Conversely, reducing expression of miR-494 in WM35 cells by treating with anti-miR-494 plasmids displayed tumor promoted functions. WM35 cells are thought to be lowly tumorigenic and even non-tumorigenic in nude mice [31, 32], Thus only A375 cells were used in nude mice experiments. In animal experiments, exosomal miR-494 was shown to inhibit the both growth and metastasis of melanoma xenografts. These results are more consistent with previous literature, and further provide a new viewpoint for high-metastatic melanoma cells loss miR-494 by exosomal vesicles to maintain or enhance malignancy.

However, there are some limitations in our current study. Other extracellular vesicles and exosomes may not be able to separate by centrifugal force. It would be better to purify EVs from A375 cells by Optiprep density gradients. What’s more, some miRNAs have been found to associate with protein complexes and are not be present in EVs. But whether miR-494 is protected by protein complexes or something else is also need to consider. We will further explore this issue in our future work, which may make a new discovery.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Consistent with these findings, we demonstrated here that exosomal transfer of miR-494 led to high-metastatic properties of melanoma cells, resulting in promoting proliferation and metastasis in melanoma cells. Thus, exosomal miR-494 may be a promising molecular target for MM therapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell lines and serum samples**

15 case of normal human serum and 15 case of melanoma patients’ serum were collected from Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University. Informed consent was obtained from each patient, and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University. Human primary melanocyte (HEMa-LP) was purchased from XinYu Biological Technology (Shanghai, China). The human melanoma cell line WM35 that was derived from a primary cutaneous melanoma, has no metastasis potential. A375 and WM451 were derived from metastases of malignant melanomas, which were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in fresh DMEM/10% FBS medium at 37°C with 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere.

**Isolation of exosomes**

Serum was obtained by centrifugation at 400 g, 10 min at 4°C. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation at 3,000 g, 15 min at 4°C and serum samples were stored at −20°C. Upon reaching the appropriate confluency, cells were washed with PBS and incubated with freshly prepared complete medium containing exosome-free FBS for 24 h. For isolating exosomes, conditioned medium was centrifuged at 2,000 g (4°C) for 30 min to remove cells, followed by filtration through a 0.22-mm filter to remove cell debris. Small extracellular vesicles were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 100,000 g for 70 min. They were resuspended in PBS and collected by ultracentrifugation again at 100,000 g for 70 min.

**Transmission electron microscopy and size distribution**

Exosomes pellets were re-suspended in PBS and fixed with 1% glutaraldehyde for 5 min. Samples were deposited onto Formvar-carbon-coated electron microscopy grids. The samples were observed using a JEM 1010 transmission electron microscope (JEOL USA, Inc., Peabody, MA) at 80 kV. The size of vesicles was determined by nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA, Nanosight NS300 system, Malvern Instruments Company, Nanosight, and Malvern, UK). Exosome was diluted of 1:1000 in sterile Phosphate. A size distribution plot was made, with the x-axis showing the distribution of estimated particle radius (nm) and the y-axis showing the relative percentage.

**Quantitative real-time RT-PCR**

Total RNA from cells and tissues was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative real-time PCR analyses were performed with MJ mini PCR (MiniOption;
Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA). For exosomal miR-494 expression analysis, 0.5 mg of total RNA was first reverse transcribed using the QuantimIR RT kit (System Biosciences, Camarillo, CA, USA). qRT-PCR analysis was performed using iTaq Universal SYBR green super mix (BioRad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) on a CFX Touch real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc.). Samples were compared using the relative CT method, where the relative expression of miR-494 was normalized to that of U6. The primers of miR-494 were as follows: forward: GGAGAGTTTGCCGTGTGTT; reverse: GGCTGCACTTGGAGAA.

**Exosome labeling and cellular uptake**

Exosomes were isolated from the conditioned medium by differential centrifugation following the procedure described above. The protein concentration contained in each exosome pellet was quantified using a Bradford assay (Bio-Rad). Experiments were performed using 50 μg of exosomes in each group. Purified exosomes were labeled in some experiments with the green fluorescent linker PKH67 (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. The pellet was suspended in serum-free medium and co-cultured with cells grown on cover slips for 24 h. Next, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. The nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) and cytoplasm were stained with phalloidine (red), washed with PBS three times and imaged by confocal microscopy (Olympus FV1000, Japan).

**Plasmids and transfection**

pLVX-RES-Zs Green1 (No. 632187) lentiviral expression plasmid was bought from Clontech Company, pri-494 and anti-miR-494 was combined to into the plasmid by Yingrun Company (Changsha, China). To inhibit the release of exosomes, three shRNAs target rab27a was constructed and cloned into LV3 (H1/GFP&Puro) lentiviral vectors, respectively. The sequences of three shRNA-rab27a were as list as follow: sh1-rab27a: 5′-GCAGTTATGGGACACAGCAGG-3′, sh2-rab27a: 5′-GGATAAGCCAGCTACAGATGC-3′, sh3-rab27a: 5′-GGAGAGTGTTGCCGTTGT; reverse: GGCTGCACTTGGAGAA.

**Cell proliferation assay**

Cell viability was assessed by MTT assay. After transfection, 5 × 10^3 cells/well were seeded in 96-well plates and cultured for 24, 48 and 96 h, and then the supernatant was removed and MTT (5 mg/ml, 20 μl) was added to each well at 37°C. After 4 h, 100 μl DMSO was added to each well. The absorbance at 490 nm was measured with a plate reader (Thermo Multiskan MK3 spectrophotometer; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). The OD value (at 490 nm) was determined and used to construct a growth curve to assess cell proliferation.

**Scratch migration and Transwell invasion assays**

Cells were seeded in 24-well plates (1 × 10^5 cells/ well) after transfection for 24 h. When cell cultures reached 90% confluency, a 200 μl tip was performed to scratch cell monolayer. Images were captured at different time points (0 and 48 h) by microscopy to measure gap closure. The migration rate (%) was calculated using the following formula: migration rate (%) = (Gap 0 − Gap 48)/Gap0*100. Gap 48 and Gap 0 are the gap width at baseline and 48 h, respectively. Transwell invasion assays were performed to measure the invasive capacity. In brief, cells were plated on 8-μm pore size Matrigel-coated membranes (1 × 10^5 cells/well in 2% FBS medium), which were in turn placed in the top chamber of 24-well Transwell plates. The bottom chamber contained 500 μl 15% FBS medium. After 24 h, cells on the upper surface were removed, while cells attached to the membranes were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min and stained with hematoxylin. The results of the Transwell assay were imaged and the number of invasive cells was evaluated by the resultant OD value (570 nm).

**Western blotting**

Cells were harvested after treatment, and proteins were extracted and then quantified with a BCA protein assay kit and separated on 10% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Then, followed standard procedures using rabbit: anti-CD63 (1:1,000, clone RFAC4, EMD Millipore), anti-CD9 (1:1,000, Absin, Abs102483) or anti-BCL-2 (1:1,000, Abcam, ab37899). Immunoreactive bands were visualized by electrochemiluminescence.

**Apoptosis analysis**

To detect apoptosis, cells were digested with 0.25% EDTA-free trypsin and collected by centrifugation at 2,000 rpm for 5 min. After washing with 1 × PBS, 2 × 10^5 cells were suspended in 500 μL of binding buffer. 5 μL of annexin V-FITC was then added, followed by the addition of 5 μL of propidium iodide, and incubation at room temperature for 10 min in the dark room. Apoptotic cells were sorted by flow cytometry.

**Nude mouse xenograft studies**

All experimental procedures were performed according to NIH Animal Care, and the entire experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Experimental Animals, Central South University. Male BALB/c-nu/nu (aged 4–6 weeks) were purchased from the animal laboratory of Third Xiangya Hospital of
Central South University and maintained under specific pathogen free conditions. 20 nude mice were injected subcutaneously in the ventral trunk with $2 \times 10^6$ cells in 200 µl DMEM, respectively. Tumor volume was calculated using the formula $V = 0.5 \times a \times b^2$, where $a$ is the maximum length to diameter; $b$ is the maximum transverse diameter. Nude mice were sacrificed at 42 days after tumor implantation, and tumor volume and weight were measured.

A TUNEL assay was performed to measure tumor cells. Mixture of TdT and dUTP was added to tumor tissues at 37°C for 120 min. Then, converter-POD was added at 37°C for 30 min. Following incubation, excess labelling solution is washed off with PBS and the chromatin of apoptotic cell were visualized using DAB. Sections were then counter-stained with hematoxylin. Normal nuclei was detected as blue and nuclei with damaged DNA was seen as brown.

To evaluate metastasis of tumor cells, suspended cells $(1 \times 10^6/0.2 \text{ mL})$ were injected into the lateral tail vein of nude mice. They were sacrificed 8 weeks after inoculation or when they became moribund, and the lungs were excised and fixed in 4% buffered formaldehyde for 24 h. The tissues were embedded in paraffin and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H.E.) for histologic examination.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were repeated at least three times, and the results are expressed as the mean ± SD $(n = 3)$. Briefly, statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The results were assessed by Student’s $t$-test. All statistical tests were two-sided, and a $P$-value of $< 0.05$ was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference, $^*P < 0.05$.
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