INTRODUCTION

The patella forms an important constituent of the extensor mechanism of knee by increasing its lever arm.\(^1\) It is the largest sesamoid bone and its fracture accounts for approximately 1% of all fractures in adults.\(^2\)\(^3\)

Patella inferior pole fractures are extraarticular and constitute 9-22% of all patellar fractures, which are often associated with complete disruption of the extensor mechanism.\(^4\)\(^5\) Lower pole fractures of patella are commonly avulsion injury due to unexpected sudden flexion of knee joint against a violent contraction of the quadriceps muscle.

Fracture of the distal pole of patella usually are less than 1.5 cm in vertical height, extra-articularly located and most of the time comminuted. Because of this, treating this type of fracture poses a special problem.\(^6\)\(^7\)

There are various previously defined surgical fixation options for patella inferior pole fractures: anterior tension band wiring, combining screw fixation with tension band, patellotibial cerclage, fixed angle plate application,
separate vertical wiring, basket plate, patellar shape-memory fixator applications and excision of the lower pole of patella.8–11

Treatment goal of any modality should be restoring extensor mechanism and early mobilization. Furthermore, articular congruity is essential to reduce the increased risk of posttraumatic osteoarthritis as a result of the high-contact forces in the patellofemoral joint.12 Now a days, various methods have been advocated for the management these fractures ranging from excision of lower pole with patellar tendon repair to various forms of internal fixation.

Internal fixation of the distal part, if possible, or excision of the small bone fragments with repair of the patellar tendon by trans-osseous pull-out sutures, in the extremely comminuted cases, should be performed. However, in the latter, non-absorbable synthetic sutures and partial patellectomy necessitate immobilization of the knee, which causes weakness in the quadriceps muscle. Therefore, fixation of the distal patellar fractures has gained popularity over partial patellectomy.

Even though substantially good clinical results have been reported, all techniques using metallic wires can result in symptomatic hardware, which represents the most frequent complication, with reported rates varying from 0 to 60%.13,14 In fact, implants can irritate overlying soft tissue and cause pain, requiring a second surgery to remove the implant. In addition, a high incidence of infection has been reported.15 For this reason, some authors have advocated the use of nonabsorbable sutures, such as braided polyester, to fix the fracture when using various techniques.16

Several advantages of using a suture over a wire have been shown in the literature, such as lower rate of revision surgery and higher patient tolerance of surgical material that does not irritate soft tissues as much as wire. Another advantage is represented by easier handling of suture materials for the surgeon, which could determine shorter operating and tourniquet time.17,18

The objective of this study was to represent our experience comprising cases of distal pole of patella fracture which were treated by trans-osseous suture fixation using non-absorbable sutures to see technique achieves better knee function without facing the implant related complications.

METHODS

This study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional review board. 11 patients with post traumatic lower pole of patella fracture who were treated by trans-osseous non absorbable suture fixation in the Department of Orthopaedics, NRS Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata from December 2018 to May 2020 and fulfilling the inclusion criteria were considered in this study.

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria included patients above 18 years of age who are skeletally mature, sustained a post-traumatic lower pole of patella fracture, closed injury and fresh fracture (<1 week old).

Exclusion criteria

Exclusion criteria included open wound, any other bone fracture of ipsilateral lower limb, active infection, previous ipsilateral knee surgery and patients with polytrauma or head injuries that definitely influence rehabilitation.

Operative procedure

The patients were subjected to a thorough history, clinical examination and pre-operative routine laboratory investigations, which was supplemented by radiographs in antero-posterior and lateral view of the knee joint.

All the patients were operated under spinal anaesthesia. Patients were positioned supine on a radiolucent operating table and pneumatic tourniquet was used.

A standard midline longitudinal skin incision from superior pole of patella to tibial tuberosity was given. After rising flaps fracture ends, torn retinaculum and patellar tendon were exposed. Saline irrigation was done to clear hematoma and clots. Denuded free bony fragments were removed but most of the bone stock was kept intact. Two vertical drill holes were made using cannulated drill bit through the articular margin of proximal fragment and directed to the superior border of patella keeping the knee in flexion. Patellar tendon was sutured by no. 5 Ethibond via Krackow technique weaving through medial and lateral half of patellar tendon, four strands of sutures was passed transossously with beath pin (two on either side), next sutures held on the superior pole of patella and knee flexion checked up to 90° before tying a knot. With the knee held in extension, sutures were secured onto the superior pole patella. The patellar tendon was slightly everted and made to lie against the raw fractured surface of the patellar remnants near articular surface. The torn retinaculum was repaired with absorbable sutures. On table knee flexion was checked and to see if any gaping of the repair. Surgical wound was closed in layers. Sterile dressings were applied to the surgical incisions and a long knee brace was applied.

Post-operatively, the patient was encouraged to do isometric quadriceps exercises and ankle pumps from day 1. No knee motion was allowed for the first 4 weeks. Patients were allowed weight bearing as tolerated with the help of bilateral axillary crutches on knee immobilizer. Range of motion and quadriceps and hamstring strengthening exercises were started at 4 weeks following surgery and crutches were gradually weaned off. The immobilizer was discontinued after 6 weeks. All the rehabilitation was done under the direct supervision of a physiotherapist.
The patients were regularly followed up for 9 months (2 weeks, 6 weeks, 12 weeks, 6 months and 9 months) for clinical as well as radiological evaluation.

**Statistical analysis**

The data was collected in Microsoft excel (Windows 10; version 2016) and statistical software SPSS version 20 was used for analysis. Procedure of the data analysis was transcription, preliminary data inspection, content analysis and interpretation. The categorical variables like age, sex, side, mode of injury was expressed as number of patients and to differentiate using the mean scores a non-parametric Wilcoxon matched paired test was used at 0.05 level of significance.

**RESULTS**

**Age distribution**

The mean age in this study was 29.8 years. The youngest patient was 20 years old and the eldest patient was 44 years old. Overall, 81.8% patients were between the age group of 20-40 years. It might be because younger peoples are more active and involved in outdoor and sports activities which makes them more prone to injuries.

| Age (years) | No. of patients | Percentage (%) | P value |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| 18-30       | 5              | 45.4           |         |
| 31-40       | 4              | 36.4           | 0.001   |
| >40         | 2              | 18.2           |         |
| Total       | 11             | 100.0          |         |

| Final ROM (in degree) | No. of patients | Percentage (%) | P value |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| 0-130                 | 9              | 81.8           |         |
| 0-120                 | 1              | 9.1            | 0.001   |
| 0-110                 | 1              | 9.1            |         |
| Total                 | 11             | 100.0          |         |

**Sex distribution**

In study, 9 patients (81.8%) were male and 2 patients were female (18.2%). Majority of patients were male which may be because of more outdoor and sports related activities makes them more vulnerable to accidents and trauma.

**Side of injury distribution**

In this study, number of right knee involvement was 7 (63.6%) which was higher in comparison with left knee involvement which was 4 (36.4%).

**Mode of injury distribution**

In this study, 6 (54.5%) patients suffered a fall, 3 (27.3%) were involved in road traffic accident and 2 (18.2%) sustained trauma during sports activities.

**Post-operative final range of motion distribution**

Among 11 patients, 9 (81.8%) patients had 0-130° ROM, 1 (9.1%) patient had 0-120° ROM and 1 (9.1%) patient 0-110° ROM by the end of 9 months.

**Bostman score**

At the end of 9 months, the average Bostman score for 11 patients was 27.9.

**Complications**

Out of 11 patients, 10 (90.9%) patients did not have any complications. Only 1 (9.1%) patient developed a superficial wound infection which subsided with a course of intravenous antibiotic for 10 days. Minor complications such as pain, knee stiffness was observed initially during the course of the study but all of them finally settled by the end of 9 months follow up. There were no reports of re-rupture or suture pull out or Patella baja during the entire study period. None of the patients had flexion deformity or extensor lag at final follow up. There were no hardware related complications encountered in the course of the study. None of the patients presented with symptoms of discomfort secondary to implant that required re-surgery.

Majority of patients, 7 (63.6%), presented within 1 week of injury. All patients had a positive extensor lag before surgery. The average time to radiological union was observed to be almost 3.5 months.
With various methods described for treating such fractures none has yet been advocated as ideal method. There is a re-operation rate between 20% and 50% when inferior pole fractures are fixed with K-wires. In many of these re-operated knees, restriction of knee joint range of motion developed. The number of implants related metallic complications were very high in these patients as there was a high incidence of K-wire migration and the stainless-steel wire knots that were not buried properly produced skin irritation, ulcers and infections. These complications ranged from 0-60%. All these factors played an important role in influencing the removal of the implant.

There is no consensus regarding the ideal treatment of patella inferior pole fractures. Currently, new surgical approaches for the optimal management are still under development. The aim of such surgical treatment is to achieve stable fixation with restoration of the patellar tendon length, which permits early postoperative motion.

In our study we used no. 5 Ethibond suture material which is non-absorbable, has high molecular weight, is a long chain linear polyester and features unique braid configuration. No. 5 Ethibond suture material also offers high knot breaking strength, and superior strength.

Gosal et al performed a study comparing two groups for patella fracture fixation, one metallic group and the other a non-metallic group and concluded that the metallic group had 6 times higher infection, re-surgery and morbidity rates when compared to the non-absorbable polyester group and hence the study supported the use of non-metallic implants for patella fractures.

According to case report by Huang et al, fixation of inferior pole with K-wire leads to re-operation rates between 20% to 50% and further restriction of knee joint range of motion develops. The inherent weakness of the bone and the size of fragments prevent rigid fixation by ordinary wiring or screws.

Egol et al conducted a retrospective cohort study in 49 patients with patella fracture and concluded that patients who sustain inferior pole patella fractures have limited options for fracture fixation. Suture repair is clinically acceptable, yielding similar results to patella fractures repaired with metal implants. Importantly, patients undergoing suture repair appear to have fewer hardware related postoperative complications than those receiving wire fixation for mid pole fractures.

Buezo et al described a new surgical procedure among 8 patients consisting of open reduction followed by internal fixation by performing 3 longitudinal tunnels crossing double high-resistance sutures within these tunnels and suturing among them and the result being safe and with similar functional outcomes when compared with other techniques. Furthermore, no second surgeries for implant removal were required during their study.

Srikant et al conducted a prospective study on 12 patients and found that partial patellectomy and patellar tendon repair using trans-osseus sutures is very effective method in the management of comminuted extraarticular inferior pole patella fractures. At the end of 6 months, all patients had successful outcomes and returned to their pre-injury activity level. There was no case that required re-operation.

Limitations of our study include single institution bias, small group of patients, short follow-up period and a lack of control group. Additional prospective and biomechanics studies should be conducted to confirm these outcomes in the future. A multicentre study with more patients is essential to substantiate benefits of this treatment method.

DISCUSSION

The inferior pole patella is an anterior cortical extension and is devoid of articular cartilage. Many studies about resection of distal pole have proved clinically and biochemically that there is shortening of lever arm of extension mechanism.

Figure 2: (A) Pre-op X-ray of lower pole of patella fracture; (B) passing of beath pin through proximal fragment; (C) passage of trans-osseus sutures; (D) immediate post-op X-ray of reduced and apposed fracture fragments; (E) knee extension at the final follow up; (F) knee flexion at the final follow up.
CONCLUSION

The trans-osseous suturing with non-absorbable suture is a safe, cost effective and effective fixation technique in achieving union in distal pole of patella fractures. This technique can provide strong and stable fixation, enable patients to perform an early functional exercise and has a good clinical effect with excellent functional outcome and low rate of complications. It allows for rapid recovery with minimal implant-related complications such as implant failure and palpable hardware. Removal of implant is not necessary as it does not cause symptomatic implant complications resulting in decreased re-surgery rates.

A larger well-designed study is required to be conducted across the country to corroborate the findings of our study.
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