Cellulase and Alkaline Treatment Improve Intestinal Microbial Degradation of Recalcitrant Fibers of Rapeseed Meal in Pigs
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ABSTRACT: The aim of the current study was to investigate whether degradation of rapeseed meal (RSM) by a swine gut microbiota consortium was improved by modifying RSM by treatment with cellulase (CELL), two pectinases (PECT), or alkaline (ALK) compared to untreated RSM and to assess whether microbiota composition and activity changed. The predicted relative abundances of carbohydrate digestion and absorption, glycolysis, pentose phosphate pathway, and pyruvate metabolism were significantly increased upon CELL and ALK feeding, and CELL and ALK also exhibited increased total short-chain fatty acid (SCFA) production compared to CON. Megasphaera, Prevotella, and Desulfovibrio were significantly positively correlated with SCFA production. Findings were validated in ileal cannulated pigs, which showed that CELL and ALK increased fiber degradation of RSM. In conclusion, CELL and ALK rather than PECT1 or PECT2 increased fiber degradation in RSM, and this information could guide feed additive strategies to improve efficiency and productivity in the swine industry.
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INTRODUCTION

The European Union (EU) is highly dependent on imports of protein-rich animal feed ingredients (70%). This percentage is even higher when the focus is on soybean alone as the EU imports 95% of its demand or on average 36.1 million tons of soybean equivalent on a yearly basis.1 Of these, 9 million tons of soybean meal are annually used in pig production. For a more sustainable supply of responsible protein-rich feed ingredients, the European livestock sector needs an alternative local protein feed ingredient to close the “protein gap”.

Rapeseed meal (RSM), a byproduct from rapeseed oil production, is not only a suitable protein source for swine feed but also a potential energy source. RSM contains a high amount of cell wall polysaccharides, and the levels are even higher compared to soybean meal commonly used in the feed industry.2 Nonstarch polysaccharides (NSP) constitute 20 to 40% of RSM3−5 and include pectic polysaccharides (homo-galacturonan, rhamnogalacturonan, arabinoxylan, and arabian), cellulose, and hemicelluloses (xyloglucan, galactomannan, and glucuronoxylan).6,7 A limitation of RSM is that complex cell wall polysaccharides cannot be utilized by endogenous enzymes from monogastric animals and can only partly be fermented by the microbial community in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT). Reports show that only 3–6% of NSP is degraded by chickens,8,9 and approximately 58–68% is degraded in pigs, which is rather low compared to other NSP-rich feed ingredients, such as sugar beet pulp (approximately 85% of NSP is degraded by pigs).10−12 Thus, RSM should be pretreated to improve its digestibility and fermentability. Carbohydrases, e.g., β-glucanases, xylanases, cellulases, and/or pectinases, are commonly used in poultry feed; however, fewer feed enzymes are used in pig diets to increase fiber degradation.

Meanwhile, the intestinal microbiota plays a critical role in host nutrition, health, performance and quality of meat products given that the microbiota in the GIT can degrade undigested substrates and create SCFA and oligosaccharides from cell wall NSP, which act as an additional energy source and exhibit potential prebiotic effects, respectively.13−15 The chemical composition and structure of the substrates largely determine the (changes in) microbial composition of the bacterial community in the GIT given that microbes exhibit differences in substrate preferences (degradation capacity) and growth requirements.12,13 As a result, microbial composition and metabolic function are very much dependent on biochemical conditions of digesta. Previous research showed that supplementation with NSP-degrading enzymes (endo-(1-3),(1-4)-β-glucanase and endo-(1-4)-β-xylanase) in weaned piglets led to a shift in dominating bacteria.16 Pigs fed with multienzyme enzyme [pectinase and (hem)cellulase]-supplemented diets exhibited increased lactobacilli counts compared to unsupplemented diets.17 Carbohydrate supplementation modulates gut microbiota in a limited number of studies in both animal and in vitro models.10−18

In the current study, RSM (predigested with digestive enzymes) was treated independently with two types of pectinases (PECT1 and PECT2), one cellulase (CELL), or alkaline (ALK). Afterward, the untreated and treated RSM preparations were fermented in the swine large intestine in vitro.
vitro model (SLIM)\textsuperscript{19} and in vivo (in ileal cannulated pigs). We hypothesized that (1) carbohydrase increases NSP degradability of RSM and (2) feed enzyme-treated RSM differentially affects pig gut microbiota composition and thus the predicted microbial functional profile and potential energy yield of the substrate. Here, 16S rRNA gene sequencing technology was used to monitor the microbial communities. The results of the current study provide insight into how carbohydrases affect swine gut microbiota, which is important information to exploit for (new) feed enzymes.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Substrate Preparation.** Rapseed meal (Brassica napus, Cargill N.V., Antwerp, Belgium) was obtained from a commercial feed mill (Agrifirm B.V., Utrecht, The Netherlands). Preparation method I (predigestion of RSM after carbohydrase or alkaline treatment) [Figure 1] was as follows: to 200 g of RSM, 40 mL 10\% potassium chloride, 15 g calcium chloride dihydrate, and 4840 g ultrapure water were added. The pH was adjusted to 5.5. Then, 10 mL of alkaline solution (ALK, 6 M NaOH) or the following carbohydrases were added: CELL (Accellerase 1000, Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri), PECT1 (Pectinex Ultra SP, Novozymes A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark), or PECT2 (Multifect Pectinase, DuPont Industrial Biosciences, Genencor division, Rochester, NY). Enzyme/substrate mixtures were then heated at 100 °C for 5 min to inactivate the enzymes. For all treatments, pH was neutralized to 6.5 with HCl or NaOH. Samples from both methods I and II were subsequently freeze-dried. Samples are differentiated by the suffix B (for before) or _A (for after) (e.g., PECT1_A) for carbohydrase or ALK treatment prior to and after digestion, respectively.

**Fermentation in the Swine In Vitro Large Intestinal Model (SLIM).** The SLIM setup was previously described (Long et al., 2020).\textsuperscript{19} Briefly, a completely computer-controlled *in vitro* model was used to mimic the swine large intestine. The pH (5.9) was controlled by the addition of 2 M sodium hydroxide. Standard ideal efflux medium of pigs (SIEMP) was used to simulate the materials entering the colon.\textsuperscript{19} SIEMP and dialysate solution are described in detail in Long et al.\textsuperscript{19} Briefly, the SIEMP, which is slightly modified from Gibson et al.\textsuperscript{21} and described in Maathuis et al.,\textsuperscript{22} contained the following components (g/L): 74.6 maize starch, 9.0 xylan, 9.0 pectin, 9.0 amylopectin, 9.0 arabinogalactan, 9.0 arabinoxylan, 9.0 xyloglucan, 13.5 Tween 80, 43.7 casein, 0.7 ox-bile, 43.7 bactopeptone, 4.7 KO\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}·3H\textsubscript{2}O, 4.5 g NaCl, 0.8 CaCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.7 MgSO\textsubscript{4}·H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.05 bile, 0.02 heme and 0.3 cysteine-HCl plus 1.5 mL of a vitamin mixture containing (per liter) 1 mg of menadione, 0.5 mg of vitamin B12, 2 mg of D-biotin, 10 mg of pantothenate, 5 mg of p-aminobenzoic acid, 4 mg of thiamine, and 5 mg of nicotinamide acid. The pH was adjusted to 5.9. Dialysis liquid contained (per liter) 2.5 g of K\textsubscript{2}HPO\textsubscript{4}·3H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.005 g of FeSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O, 4.5 g of NaCl, 0.45 g of CaCl\textsubscript{2}·2H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.05 g of bile, 0.5 g of MgSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O, and 4 g of cysteine-HCl plus 1 mL of the vitamin mixture. All medium components were purchased at Trottius Microbiology (Eindhoven, The Netherlands). The pig fecal inoculum was a standardized microbiota from growing pigs collected from the floor (48 pens with 6 pigs/pen, Hypron Libra x Hypron Maxter, Hendrix Genetics, Boxmeer, The Netherlands), but only fresh feces from the top (not touching the floor) was selected. Feces were pooled and mixed with dialysate as described previously.\textsuperscript{19}

To create a complete anaerobic environment, SLIM with 90 mL of dialysate in each of the 4 individual units was flushed with gaseous nitrogen for at least 3 h before incorporating the standardized microbiota. A volume of 30 mL of the standardized microbiota was added to each SLIM-unit, making the total volume 120 mL. Figure 1 shows the experimental setup for fiber addition to SLIM. The microbiota were adapted to the model with SIEMP for 16 h. During the adaptation phase, SIEMP was added into each SLIM unit at a rate of 2.5 mL/h through the feeding syringe. At the end of the adaptation period, a 2-h starving period was employed to allow all the

\[37°C\text{ for 2 h with occasional shaking (every 30 min), and ALK was incubated overnight at 4 °C. Afterward, the enzyme/substrate mixtures were heated at 100°C for 5 min to inactivate the enzymes, and the pH was neutralized to 6.5–7 with HCl or NaOH. Samples from both methods I and II were subsequently freeze-dried. Samples are differentiated by the suffix B (for before) or _A (for after) (e.g., PECT1_A) for carbohydrase or ALK treatment prior to and after digestion, respectively. \]

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the experimental design.
carbohydrates within SIEMP to be fermented by the microbiota. Afterward, the fiber adjustment period (48 h) was implemented, in which the microbiota were allowed to adapt to the test products (CON, CELL, PECT1, PECT2, and ALK-treated RSM). During this stage, carbohydrates in SIEMP were replaced with 7.5 g/day of (treated) RSM, which were added continuously in the model at a rate of 2.5 mL/h. At the end of the 48-h adaptation period, a shot of 5 g of the different RSMs was given to the system, at time point 48 h.

**In Vivo Fermentation in Growing Pigs Using the Mobile Nylon Bag Technique.** Mobile nylon bag technique (MNBT) studies were performed at the Animal Nutrition Group of Wageningen University & Research in Wageningen, The Netherlands. Two pigs (TN 70, Topigs Norsvin) with initial body weights of 28 ± 6.8 kg were fitted with a simple T-cannula at the distal ileum23 for the insertion of nylon bags. After surgery, the pigs were individually housed on tenderfeet with small openings. The pigs were fed their diet as mash. All experimental procedures were approved by the local institution for animal welfare (IVD) of Wageningen University & Research.

MNBT studies included the nine feedstuffs, which are described above (CON, 4 RSM substrates treated with carbohydrates or ALK before digestion, and 4 RSM substrates treated with carbohydrates or ALK after digestion). The procedures were slightly modified from previous research. Briefly, 0.3–0.5 g samples of each feedstuff were ground and filled into a nylon cloth (bag size, 25 mm × 40 mm, pore size 48 μm, Sefar Nitex, Heiden Switzerland, 03-37/24) and sealed using a heat sealer. Eight bags per feedstuff were prepared (4 bags for 2 pigs). The bags were inserted in the distal ileum through the cannula divided over 10 days (two bags at a time with two or three insertion moments at 15 min intervals per day). Some bags were not collected, and these replicates were repeated. The average collection time was 126.1 min (range from 42.3 to 175.5 min). In total, 64 bags were collected.

### SAMPLE COLLECTION

**In Vitro SLIM.** Lumen samples from time point 48 h (just before the shot) were analyzed for constituent monosaccharide composition, molecular weight distribution, and oligosaccharide profiling. Samples from lumen and spent dialysate were collected at the following time point: 48 h, 49, 50, 52, 54, 56, and 72 h. Samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored until analyses. Lumen samples were used to analyze microbiota composition, constituent monosaccharide composition, molecular weight distribution, and oligosaccharide profiling, while both lumen and dialysis samples were subject to SCFA concentration analyses.

**MNBT Study.** Samples from MNBT studies were pooled together according to treatment and used to analyze constituent monosaccharide composition.

**16S rRNA Gene Sequencing.** Microbial DNA extraction and sequencing of the V3–V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene were performed by BaseClear B.V. (Leiden, The Netherlands). Briefly, genomic DNA extraction from a single sample at each time point was performed using the Quick-DNA Fecal/Soil Microbe Miniprep Kit (Zymo Research, California) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Barcoded amplicons from the V3–V4 region of 16S rRNA genes were generated using a 2-step PCR. Briefly, 10–25 ng of genomic DNA was used as a template for the first PCR with a total volume of 50 μL using the 341F (S′-CCTACGGGNGGCWGGCAG-3′) and the 785R (S′-GACTACHVGGGTATCGATATCC-3′) primers appended with Illumina adaptor sequences. The plate was sealed, and PCR was performed in a thermal cycler using the following program: 95 °C for 3 min; 25 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s; 72 °C for 5 min; held at 4 °C. PCR products were purified (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, Qiagen, Venlo, The Netherlands), and the sizes of the PCR products were assessed on a fragment analyzer (Advanced Analytical, Ankeny, U.S.) and quantified by fluorometric analysis. Purified PCR products were used for the second PCR in combination with sample-specific barcoded primers (Nextera XT index kit, Illumina, California). Subsequently, PCR products were purified, assessed on a fragment analyzer and quantified. Then, products were subject to multiplexing, clustering, and sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq with the paired-end (2×) 300-bp protocol and indexing by Baseclear B.V. (Leiden, The Netherlands). Sequencing was conducted using 2× 300 cycle MiSeq v3 reagent kits (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The sequencing run was analyzed using the illumina CASAVA pipeline (v1.8.3) with demultiplexing based on sample-specific barcodes. Raw sequencing data were submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under accession number PRJEB36980.

**Bioinformatics Analysis.** The demultiplexed raw sequences obtained from BaseClear were processed using QIIME2 pipeline. In short, reads were imported, quality filtered, and dereplicated with q2-dada2. Next, dada2 was performed with paired-end reads, and the truncation parameters were as follows: the first 10 base pairs were trimmed off, and the fragment was truncated at position 280 base pairs in forward reads and at position 240 base pairs for the reverse reads. The processed sequences were used for all the downstream analyses. Alpha-diversity (Shannon index) and beta diversity (weighted and unweighted UniFrac) were analyzed using the q2-phylolgeny plugin (https://github.com/qiime2/q2-diversity). All scripts used in the current analysis were deposited in the Supporting Information (R_Markdown.html).

**Random Forest.** The Random Forest supervised machine learning algorithm was used to predict treatments and time points from microbiome composition. The predictive models were built in R using the “caret” package. Specifically, samples were divided into training (greater than 60% of the total samples) and test sets. Once the data were split, the function “train” was used to fit the random forest model. Afterward, class labels on the test set were predicted using the function “predict” and compared to the real class labels. To interpret random forest results, proximity plots were produced in R. To understand more about the random forest model, the amplicon sequence variant (ASV) with the most influence in the random forest prediction was identified. All the analyses were performed using the R version 3.5.3 program, and the following packages were used: bioformat, yam1, Biostrings, phyloseq, Hmisc, qiime2R, vegan, ggplot2, tidyverse, caret, and randomForest.

**Phyllogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt2).** PICRUSt2 software was used to predict microbial functional abundances based on marker gene sequences. The nearest-sequenced taxon index (NSTI) was calculated for each input ASV; by default, any ASVs with NSTI > 2 were excluded from the output. The KEGG database was used to predict the results. Functional predictions were assigned to KO tier 3 for all genes.

**Chemical Analyses. Short-Chain Fatty Acids.** Samples from lumen and dialysate were analyzed by Brightlabs (Venlo, The Netherlands) for determination of SCFA concentrations. Ion exclusion chromatography (IEC) was applied on an 883 ion chromatograph (IC; Metrohm, Switzerland) using a 200 cycle MiSeq v3 reagent kits (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The sequencing run was analyzed using the illumina CASAVA pipeline (v1.8.3) with demultiplexing based on sample-specific barcodes. Raw sequencing data were submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena) under accession number PRJEB36980.

**Bioinformatics Analysis.** The demultiplexed raw sequences obtained from BaseClear were processed using QIIME2 pipeline. In short, reads were imported, quality filtered, and dereplicated with q2-dada2. Next, dada2 was performed with paired-end reads, and the truncation parameters were as follows: the first 10 base pairs were trimmed off, and the fragment was truncated at position 280 base pairs in forward reads and at position 240 base pairs for the reverse reads. The processed sequences were used for all the downstream analyses. Alpha-diversity (Shannon index) and beta diversity (weighted and unweighted UniFrac) were analyzed using the q2-phylolgeny plugin (https://github.com/qiime2/q2-diversity). All scripts used in the current analysis were deposited in the Supporting Information (R_Markdown.html).

**Random Forest.** The Random Forest supervised machine learning algorithm was used to predict treatments and time points from microbiome composition. The predictive models were built in R using the “caret” package. Specifically, samples were divided into training (greater than 60% of the total samples) and test sets. Once the data were split, the function “train” was used to fit the random forest model. Afterward, class labels on the test set were predicted using the function “predict” and compared to the real class labels. To interpret random forest results, proximity plots were produced in R. To understand more about the random forest model, the amplicon sequence variant (ASV) with the most influence in the random forest prediction was identified. All the analyses were performed using the R version 3.5.3 program, and the following packages were used: bioformat, yam1, Biostrings, phyloseq, Hmisc, qiime2R, vegan, ggplot2, tidyverse, caret, and randomForest.

**Phyllogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved States (PICRUSt2).** PICRUSt2 software was used to predict microbial functional abundances based on marker gene sequences. The nearest-sequenced taxon index (NSTI) was calculated for each input ASV; by default, any ASVs with NSTI > 2 were excluded from the output. The KEGG database was used to predict the results. Functional predictions were assigned to KO tier 3 for all genes.
Transgenomic IC Sep ICE-ION-300 column (30 cm length, 7.8 mm diameter, and 7 μm particles) and a MetroSep RP2 Guard. The mobile phase consists of 1.5 mM aqueous sulfuric acid. A column flow rate of 0.4 M L min⁻¹ was used. The temperature of the column was 65 °C. Organic acids were detected using suppressed conductivity detection. Samples were centrifuged (21 000g, 10 min), and the clear supernatant was filtered through a 0.45-μm PTFE filter and diluted with the mobile phase (for lumen 1:5, for dialysate 1:2). A volume of 10 μL was loaded on the column using an autosampler 730 (Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland). Molecules were eluted according to their pKₐ.

**Constituent Monosaccharide Composition.** Constituent monosaccharide content and composition were determined using a prehydrolysis step with 72% (w/w) sulfuric acid at 30 °C for 1 h followed by hydrolysis with 1 M sulfuric acid at 100 °C for 3 h. The monosaccharides formed upon hydrolysis were derivatized to alditol acetates and analyzed by gas chromatography using inositol as the internal standard.²⁹ The colorimetric m-hydroxydiphenyl assay was used to determine the total uronic acid content.³⁰

**Molecular Weight Distribution.** Fermentation digests (corresponding to 2 mL lumen samples) or dry raw materials, which were dissolved in ultrapure water, were centrifuged (10 min, 18 000g, 24 °C) to obtain the soluble fraction, which was analyzed for molecular weight distribution using high-performance size exclusion chromatography (HPSEC) on an Ultimate 3000 HPLC (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA). Three SK-Gel columns in series (4000–3000–2500 Super AW; 150 mm × 6 mm) were used for the analysis. All columns were from Tosoh Bioscience (Tokyo, Japan). Pullulan molecular mass standards (Polymer Laboratories, Palo Alto, CA) were used for calibration.

**Oligosaccharide Profiling.** High-performance anion exchange chromatography (HPAEC) was performed on an ICS5000 system (Dionex) equipped with a Dionex CarboPac PA-1 column (2 mm × 250 mm) in combination with a CarboPac PA-1 guard column (2 mm × 250 mm). The flow rate was 0.3 mL/min with an eluent profile starting with 0.02 M NaOH until 13 min and then increasing to 0.1 M NaOH until 15 min followed by a linear gradient of 0–500 mM NaOAc in 0.1 M NaOH until 45 min and a gradient to 1 M NaOAc in 0.1 M NaOH in 1 and 7 min at 1 M NaOAc in 0.1 M NaOH. Then, the column was equilibrated with 0.1 M NaOH for 3 min and 0.02 M NaOH for 20 min. An ICS5000ED (Dionex) pulsed amperometric detector and Chromeleon software version 7 were used. Oligomers of cellulose (DP 2–DP 6) were used as standards to identify cellulose oligomers in the elution profile.

**Statistics.** Kruskal–Wallis rank sum tests were applied to compare alpha diversities (Shannon index and Faith’s PD) among different RSM treatments, and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used for pairwise comparison in R version 3.5.3 (https://www.r-project.org/). Bonferroni adjustments were used to correct P-values for multiple comparisons. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) was performed to test the significance of beta diversity (weighted and unweighted UniFrac) between nonprocessed and processed RSM in QiIME2. The results were visualized in R.

The ASV table (feature table of QIIME2) was normalized and filtered in R, and statistical analysis was performed using STAMP.³² The table was normalized via division by the sum of sequences in a given sample and multiplied by the minimum sum across all samples. Relative abundances were filtered as follows: values below a relative abundance threshold of 0.01% were not taken into account; taxa with a median relative abundance <1% in all groups were not considered for statistical analysis. White’s nonparametric t test was applied to comparisons between the CON group and treatments. P-values were corrected using the Benjamini–Hochberg method. A q-value (corrected P-value) < 0.05 was considered significant.

Spearman correlations between continuous meta-variables and taxonomic variables were calculated and visualized in R (R version 3.5.3). Parameters were set as follows: missing values for meta-variables were handled as NO imputation (replacing missing data with substituted); zeros were retained for the calculation of correlation; a minimum number of 0.1% was considered for calculation; a minimum of 4 paired observations were required for calculation of correlations. t tests were conducted to compare SCFA production between CON and the treated RSM substrates in the built-in R package (R version 3.5.3).

## RESULTS

**Description and Characteristics of Untreated and Processed RSM.** Table 1 shows the constituent monosaccharide composition of (processed) RSM. The carbohydrate content of CON is 62% w/w. Dominant sugars include glucose (Glc, 31 mol %), uronic acid (UA, 19 mol %), arabinose (Ara, 25 mol %), and galactose (Gal, 11 mol %). CON contained 56% pectin (defined as rhamnose + arabinose + galactose + uronic acid) and 44% (hem)cellulose (xylose + mannose + fucose + glucose). The values of pectin and (hem)cellulose for ALK_A, ALK_B, PECT1_A, PECT1_B, PECT2_A, PECT2_B, CELL_A, and CELL_B were 55% and 44%, 52% and 45%, 52% and 48%, 54% and 46%, 50% and 50%, 56% and 44%, 51% and 49%, 57% and 43%, and 60% and 40%, respectively. Relative pectin contents decreased with ALK, PECT1, and PECT2 treatments but increased with CELL treatment compared to CON. ALK, PECT1, and PECT2 treatment increased (hem)cellulose values compared to CON. Predigesting before or after processing RSM had minimal effects on monosaccharide levels.

**Considerable Changes Occurred in the Microbiota Fed with ALK- and CELL-Processed RSM after a Shot of
5 g of Test Products. Changes in the gut microbiota in response to a shot of 5 g of the different treated RSM substrates were determined. When data from all of the time points were pooled, Shannon indexes of ALK and CELL were significantly lower than CON, whereas PECT1 and PECT2 did not significantly differ compared to CON (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Community diversity represented by Shannon index values at the ASV level for samples from each treatment. The Shannon index was calculated based on the average of 10 iterations at an equal sampling depth of 7139 for each sample. Each bar represents the samples from the microbiota fed nonprocessed RSM (CON) and RSM processed by Accellerase 1000 (CELL), Pectinex Ultra SP (PECT1), Multifect Pectinase (PECT2), or 6 M NaOH (ALK).

Different time points did not exhibit significant difference in terms of the Shannon index (Figure S1). Phylogeny-based UniFrac distance matrix measurements were then used to compare the β-diversity of the microbial communities between microbiota fed nonprocessed and processed RSM. Unweighted UniFrac, which is clustering data based on presence or absence of ASV, clustered the nonprocessed and processed RSMs samples separately (P < 0.001). No clear separation was noted between CON and PECT1 and between CON and PECT2 in terms of weighted UniFrac metrics (P > 0.05), which also considers the relative abundance of the ASV. In contrast, CON significantly differed from ALK and CELL (P < 0.001) (Figure 3 and Figure S2). Both weighted and unweighted UniFrac revealed that the bacterial community structure of CON was more similar to PECT1 and PECT2 compared with ALK and CELL, whereas microbial community compositions of PECT1 and PECT2 were similar to each other (P_{weighted UniFrac} = 0.131, P_{unweighted UniFrac} = 0.078) (Figure S2).

We next compared the relative microbial abundance of the CON group compared with groups fed different processed RSMs to identify significantly different bacterial taxa. Data from all time points were grouped. No significant differences were detected at the phylum level when comparing CON to the other groups (ALK, PECT1, PECT2 and CELL) (data not shown). At the genus level, when compared to CON, ALK treatment resulted in significantly increased relative abundance of Olsenella (P = 0.017), Ruminicoccos gauvreaui group (P = 0.019), Eubacterium nodatum group (P < 0.001), Megasphaera (P < 0.001), Bifidobacterium (P < 0.001), Acidaminococcus (P < 0.001), and Acetitomaculum (P < 0.001), which represent the phyla Actinobacteria and Firmicutes. In addition, ALK treatment significantly decreased the relative abundance of Ruminococcaceae UCG-002 (P < 0.001), Christensenellaceae R7 group (P < 0.001), Enterobacteriaceae unknown group (P < 0.001), p-2534-1885 gut group from the order Bacteroidales (P < 0.001), Citrobacter (P < 0.001), Prevotella 9 (P = 0.004), Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group (P = 0.006), Desulfovibrio (P = 0.007), Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group (P = 0.030), and Lachnolocstridium (P = 0.031), representing the phyla Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria (Figure 4 and Figure S3).

PECT2 treatment significantly decreased the relative frequencies of the Enterobacteriaceae unknown group (P < 0.001) and Christensenellaceae R7 group (P < 0.001) compared with the CON group (Figure 4). No significant differences were noted at the genus level between the CON group and the PECT1 treatment group.

Relative abundance of Olsenella (P < 0.001), Eubacterium nodatum group (P < 0.001), Acidaminococcus (P < 0.001), Lachnospiraceae NK3A20 group (P < 0.001), Bifidobacterium (P < 0.001), Acetitomaculum (P = 0.014), and Syntrophococcus (P = 0.016) from the phyla Actinobacteria and Firmicutes significantly increased in microbiota fed CELL-processed RSM. Moreover, CELL treatment decreased the relative abundance of Ruminococcaceae UCG-002, Christensenellaceae R7 group, the p-2534-1885 gut group from the order Bacteroidales, Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group, and Succinimilastcum, representing Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes (Figure 4 and Figure S3).
ALK and CELL Significantly Increased Microbial Functional Abundance Related to Fiber Degradation and SCFA Production Compared to CON. PICRUST2 was performed using 16S rRNA gene data to predict metagenomic functional profiles. Compared with CON, 111 features were significantly different in ALK, 108 features in CELL, 2 features in PECT1, and 1 feature in PECT2 (Figure S3). Given that the current study focused on fiber degradation, only carbohydrate metabolism-related microbial functional features are summarized. The relative abundances of carbohydrate digestion and absorption ($P = 0.047$), galactose metabolism ($P = 0.008$), glycolysis ($P = 0.001$), pentose phosphate pathway ($P =$...
propanoate metabolism ($P < 0.001$), and pyruvate metabolism ($P < 0.001$) were predicted to be significantly increased upon ALK feeding, whereas glycan biosynthesis and metabolism ($P = 0.005$) and lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis ($P = 0.005$) were significant increased in CON (Figure 5A).

After the microbiota were fed CELL, the abundance of microbial functions involved in carbohydrate digestion and absorption ($P = 0.019$), energy metabolism ($P = 0.018$), fructose mannose metabolism ($P = 0.020$), galactose metabolism ($P = 0.010$), glycerolipid metabolism ($P < 0.004$), propanoate metabolism ($P < 0.001$), and pyruvate metabolism ($P < 0.001$) were predicted to be significantly increased upon ALK feeding, whereas glycan biosynthesis and metabolism ($P = 0.005$) and lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis ($P = 0.005$) were significant increased in CON (Figure 5A).
0.001), glycolysis ($P < 0.001$), pentose phosphate pathway ($P = 0.004$), and pyruvate metabolism ($P = 0.001$) significantly increased (Figure 5B). No significant changes in carbohydrate metabolism-related microbial abundance upon feeding PECT1 or PECT2 were noted compared to CON.

Cumulative short-chain fatty acid production by microbiota fed CON was compared with that by microbiota fed ALK, PECT1, PECT2, or CELL. The amount of propionic acid ($P_{\text{ALK}} = 0.010$, $P_{\text{CELL}} = 0.006$) and the total SCFA ($P_{\text{ALK}} = 0.008$, $P_{\text{CELL}} = 0.015$) in the ALK and CELL groups were significantly increased compared with the CON group, whereas no significant differences in SCFA production in PECT1 and PECT2 were noted compared with CON (Figure 5C).

**Random Forest Revealed an RSM Degradation Pattern in Porcine Gut Microbiota.** The supervised machine learning technique Random Forest was applied to predict fermentation time. Every possible time interval was used (e.g., [48, 50], [49, 72], [48, 50], [50, 72], [48, 52], [52, 72], [48, 54], [54, 72], and [48, 56], [56, 72]), but only the time interval [48, 52] [52, 72] performed well in the prediction task (Table S1). The Random Forest proximity plot shows that samples from time point 48.5 to 52 were clustered (Figure 6A). This finding indicated that microbiota composition considerably changed only after incubation for 4 h after a shot of 5 g of treated RSM, which potentially occurred because the nutrient composition in the lumen significantly changed. To further understand the Random Forest model, the ASV with the most influence in the Random Forest prediction was identified as a genus in the family Veillonellaceae: *Megasphaera* (Figure 6B). *Megasphaera* was also significantly increased in ALK based on White’s nonparametric $t$ test (Figure 4).
Interestingly, the effect on the microbiota composition of the different processing methods on RSM was also predicted by Random Forest. Table S2 shows that Random Forest performed well at this prediction task, and the Random Forest proximity plot demonstrates that microbiota fed CON was more similar to PECT1 and PECT2 than ALK and CELL (Figure 6C). The Ruminococcaceae UCG-002 genus from the family Ruminococcaceae most influenced the classification based on the different processing methods (Figure 6D).

HPSEC Elution Profiles Showed Almost Complete Degradation of Soluble High-Molecular Weight Polysaccharides at 52 h. Molecular weight distributions of

![Figure 7. Utilization of arabinose (Ara), galactose (Gal), glucose (Glc), and uronic acid (UA) present in CON (A), ALK (B), PECT1 (C), PECT2 (D), and CELL (E) during in vitro fermentation. Values presented are means of duplicate measurements.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c03618)

![Figure 8. Correlation between core bacterial genera and SCFA production and molar percentage of monosaccharides. Statistical significance was determined for all pairwise comparisons using Spearman’s method.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c03618)
Table 2. Constituent Monosaccharide Composition of Residues Obtained from In Sacco Fermentation of CON, ALK, PECT1, PECT2, and CELL in Ileal Cannulated Pigs$^a$

|          | Rha | Fuc | Ara | Xyl | Man | Gal | Glc | UA | w/w % total | Recovery of DM |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-------------|----------------|
| CON      | 2   | 1   | 17  | 9   | 2   | 10  | 40  | 20 | 87          | 36             |
| ALK_A    | 2   | 1   | 9   | 13  | 2   | 6   | 37  | 30 | 82          | 13             |
| ALK_B    | 1   | 1   | 5   | 2   | 1   | 4   | 68  | 18 | 80          | 27             |
| PECT1_A  | 2   | 1   | 19  | 9   | 2   | 11  | 46  | 10 | 87          | 35             |
| PECT1_B  | 2   | 1   | 18  | 11  | 2   | 10  | 39  | 17 | 89          | 35             |
| PECT2_A  | 2   | 1   | 10  | 13  | 2   | 5   | 39  | 28 | 86          | 35             |
| PECT2_B  | 3   | 1   | 13  | 12  | 2   | 6   | 32  | 30 | 86          | 35             |
| CELL_A   | 3   | 1   | 5   | 10  | 3   | 6   | 50  | 23 | 83          | 23             |
| CELL_B   | 3   | 1   | 10  | 10  | 2   | 7   | 37  | 30 | 81          | 27             |

$^a$Rha, rhamnose; Fuc, fucose; Ara, arabinose; Xyl, xylose; Man, mannose; Gal, galactose; Glc, glucose; UA, uronic acid; _A, RSM was treated after predigesting; _B, RSM was treated before predigesting.

Constituent Monosaccharide Composition of Fermentation Samples Showed That Less Residual Carbohydrates Remained in ALK and CELL Compared to CON. Direct utilization of polysaccharides during RSM fermentation is indicated by a reduction in carbohydrate content. Figure 7 shows the utilization of the main monosaccharides in RSM, which include arabinose, galactose, glucose, and uronic acid. Main monosaccharide levels were lower after 24-h fermentation (at time point 72) for ALK and CELL compared to CON. The utilization of the main monosaccharides plateaued after time point 52 h with the exception of glucose, which was continuously utilized until time point 72 h. Arabinose and galactose were more rapidly utilized in ALK and PECT1 compared to the other treatments.

Correlation between Microbiota Abundance and SCFA Production and Monosaccharide Composition. Correlations among the relative abundances at the genus level, SCFA production and monosaccharide composition (mg/mL sugar left) at each time point were analyzed (Figure 8). Bifidobacterium, [Eubacterium] nodatum group, and Acidaminococcus exhibited significant negative correlations with acetic and butyric acid, whereas Prevotella 7 and Megasphaera exhibited significant positive correlations with acetic, propionic, and butyric acid. Butyric acid was significantly positively correlated with the Prevotellaceae NK3B31 group and Desulfovibrio.

Rha, Ara, Xyl, Man, Glu, and total monosaccharides (total) exhibited significant negative correlations with Prevotella 7 and Megasphaera, and Gal and UA also exhibited significant negative correlations with Megasphaera. Olsenella was significantly negatively correlated with “Side chain versus backbone pectin” [= (Ara + Gal)/(galA+Rha)], whereas Ruminococcus gauvreauii group and Desulfovibrio were significantly positively correlated with this feature. Bifidobacterium was significantly positively correlated with “Fate of side chains” [Ara/Gal], whereas Succinivibrionaceae and Megasphaera were significantly negatively correlated with this feature. Bacteroidales S24-7 group and unknown genera from family Prevotellaceae and Enterobacteriaceae exhibited significant negative correlations with “(Hemi)cellulose versus pectin” [(Xyl + Glc + Man)/(galA + Gal + Ara + Rha)], whereas Prevotella 7 and the Rikenellaceae RC9 gut group were significantly positively correlated with this feature.

MNBT Revealed That More Fibers Were Degraded with ALK and CELL Treatment Compared to PECT1 and PECT2. Utilization of cell wall polysaccharides upon RSM
fermentation assessed using ileal cannulated growing pigs is indicated by reductions in the total carbohydrate content and constituent monosaccharides of the material in the collected bags after transit through the pigs (Table 2). After the nine substrates (in the nylon bags) passed through ileal cannulated growing pigs, 31% (CON), 11 and 22% ALK_A and ALK_B, 30 and 31% PECT1_A and _B, 30 and 30% PECT2_A and _B, and 19 and 22% CELL_A and _B carbohydrates remained in the residues, respectively (calculated from the sugar composition and DM recovery). The constituent monosaccharides of nonprocessed and processed RSM were similar to each other (Table 1), while mol percentages of Ara, Glc, and Gal were altered in ALK and CELL after fermentation in sacco (Table 2). The percentages of Ara and Gal were lower in ALK and CELL after fermentation, where the mol percentages of Ara decreased from 23% to 9% for ALK_A, 26% to 5% for ALK_B, 24% to 5% for CELL_A, and from 24% to 10% for CELL_B (Tables 1 and 2). Molar percentages of Gal were reduced from 11% to 6% in ALK_A, from 8% to 4% in ALK_B, 11% to 6% in CELL_A, and from 10% to 7% in CELL_B. Glc increased from 35% to 37% in ALK_A, from 32% to 68% in ALK_B, from 28% to 50% in CELL_A, and from 30% to 37% in CELL_B. In addition to this change in mol percentages, the recovery of DM was also reduced by at least 69%, as described above. Thus, using ALK_A (11% DM recovery) as an example, Ara decreased by approximately 25-fold (Table S3).

PECT1, PECT2, and CON exhibited less of a shift in composition in these monosaccharides compared to ALK and CELL. Molar percentages of Ara decreased from 25% to 17% for CON, from 26 to 18% for both PECT1_A and PECT1_B, from 16% to 10% for PECT2_A, and from 23% to 13% for PECT2_B. The changes in Gal were within 2% for PECT1, PECT2, and CON. The molar percentages of Glc in PECT1, PECT2, and CON increased by 7%, 6%, and 2% on average, respectively. This finding does not indicate that the microbiota did not ferment these substrates. Given that 30% recovery of total carbohydrates is noted for these substrates in sacco (see above), 70% has been fermented. However, the remaining carbohydrate exhibits a monosaccharide composition similar to the original substrate that was inserted in the ileum.

## DISCUSSION

Our in vitro studies on the swine microbiota demonstrated that feeding the microbiota RSM processed with two types of pectinases (PECT1 and PECT2), a cellulase (CELL), or ALK induced differences in the composition and functionality of the gut microbiota compared to CON. Our findings revealed that ALK and CELL significantly increased the abundances of microbial functional groups related to fiber degradation and SCFA production compared to CON, and these effects did not occur with PECT1 and PECT2. This finding is consistent with the greater reduction of monosaccharide amounts in the nylon bag experiments.

Alpha diversities of ALK and CELL were lower compared to CON, while no significant differences were noted among PECT1, PECT2, and CON (Figure 2), which may be due to the selection of particular genera in Actinobacteria and Firmicutes. The abundances of many microbes after feeding with CELL and ALK were significantly increased compared with CON, while abundances were not shifted with PECT1 and PECT2 (Figure 4). Exogenous carbohydrates from Trichoderma longibrachiatum aid in the degradation of specific bonds of cell walls either before or after ingestion of the enzyme preparation, which subsequently causes an increase in the numbers and/or activities of bacteria that utilize the polysaccharides in the GIT. Carbohydrase supplementation modulates gut microbiota in various animal models and in vitro. Another possible mode of action of carbohydrates that has been shown in the rumen is that the enzyme preparation alters the fiber structures of substrates and stimulates the attachment of rumen microbiota to feed particles, improving fiber degradation. Giraldo et al. (2008) also reported that supplementing carbohydrates directly into the rumen increased the overall fibrolytic activity and stimulated the growth of cellulolytic bacteria. In the current study, CELL treatment (prior to ingestion) significantly increased the number of Olsenella, Eubacterium nodatum group, Acidaminococcus, Lachnospiraceae NK3A20 group, Bifidobacterium, Acetitomaculum, and Syntrophococcus (Figure 4). These genera may prefer to utilize cellulose and/or hemicellulose fragments generated by the action of CELL. However, in the literature, of these only Eubacterium has been reported as cellulytic. CELL contains multiple glycolytic activities, including exo-1,4-β-glucanase (celllobiohydrolase), endo-1,4-β-glucanase, hemicellulase, and β-glucosidase. CELL may have broken down some bonds in cellulose, thereby enhancing (hemi)cellulose utilization by the gut bacteria and simultaneously exposing other polysaccharides (e.g., pectins) to other bacteria. ALK increased Megasphaera and the Ruminococcus gauvreauii group. Reports show that Ruminococcus are the most common cellulolytic organisms. Megasphaera contains glycosyl hydrolase (GH) family 53, which is involved in plant cell wall degradation. These reports indicate that ALK can disrupt the cell wall architecture by solubilization of polysaccharides by breaking hydrogen bonds and hydrolyzing ester linkages, thereby removing esters present as decoration on polysaccharides and making them more accessible for further enzyme degradation and utilization by the microbiota. Interestingly, Shannon index values for both PECT1 and PECT2 groups did not significantly differ from that of the CON group. This similarity suggests very similar microbiota compositions. These results indicate that these pectinases did not change the cell wall structure or that the changes were not suited to the swine gut microbiotas' hydrolytic capacities; thus, bacteria were not selectively stimulated. We previously reported before that a cocktail of PECT1 and PECT2 improved degradability of nonglucose polysaccharides of RSM in broilers. Nevertheless, it seems that cell wall degradation by PECT1 and PECT2 does not offer advantages to members of the swine gut microbiota.

The shifts in the bacterial community structures were converted into predicted functional metagenomic profiles (Figures 3, 5, and 6C). PECT1 and PECT2 had minimal effects on predicted microbial function as expected given the minimal changes in microbial structure. In contrast, CELL and ALK exhibited greater microbial composition shifts, subsequently resulting in more changes in microbial function. In the current study, we were interested in fiber utilization; thus, the significantly different microbial functions of carbohydrate metabolism were summarized (Figure 5). The abundances of microbes involved in carbohydrate-related microbial functional metabolism pathways, pyruvate metabolism, propanoate metabolism, pentose phosphate pathway, galactose metabolism, energy metabolism, fructose and mannose metabolism, and carbohydrate digestion and absorption (Figure 5) were
increased in CELL and/or ALK compared to CON, while the abundances of microbes involved in lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis and glycan biosynthesis and metabolism were increased in CON compared to ALK. This finding was corroborated by data on SCFA production, which showed that total SCFA and propionic acid production were significantly increased in CELL and ALK compared to CON (Figure 8). Giraldo et al. reported that supplementation of endoglucanase and xylanase increased propionate production by 28% and total SCFA production by 11%.

Thus, it is hypothesized that the complementary action between the stimulated microbes and prior incubation with the exogenous enzymes leads to the increase in hydrolytic capacity. However, another study demonstrated that the concentration of cellulytic bacteria was not the limiting factor in the digestion of cellulose and reported that factors associated with the forage and/or the rate of cellulose hydrolysis by cellulase may have a greater influence on the amount of cellulose digested in the rumen. According to the current study, a prerequisite for the complementary action will allow the development of feed enzymes designed for microbial action.

Recently, AZ and xylanase increased propionate production by 28% and 52%, respectively. Removal of cellulose by CELL seems to improve feed digestion by swine compared to CON, and these alterations were not noted with PECT1 and PECT2. It is hypothesized that this results from the different cell wall architectures of RSM once processed by this carbohydrase or alkaline treatment. The increase in relative abundance of pathways involved in carbohydrate fermentation in CELL or ALK represents a positive effect of these treatments in fiber utilization and SCFA production. Moreover, these findings indicate that CELL and ALK feeding in pigs improved the overall degradation of RSM by the mobile nylon bag technique. Altogether, we hypothesize that the carbohydrase enzyme, i.e., CELL, improved fiber degradation of RSM during fermentation by changing the microbial community structure and enzymatic activity and subsequently shifting the microbiota metagenomic functional profile.

In conclusion, CELL and ALK feeding considerably changed the microbiota structure and predicted functional profiles in swine compared to CON, and these alterations were not noted with PECT1 and PECT2. It is hypothesized that this results from the different cell wall architectures of RSM once processed by this carbohydrase or alkaline treatment. The increase in relative abundance of pathways involved in carbohydrate fermentation in CELL or ALK represents a positive effect of these treatments in fiber utilization and SCFA production. Moreover, these findings indicate that CELL and ALK feeding in pigs improved the overall degradation of RSM by the mobile nylon bag technique. Altogether, we hypothesize that the carbohydrase enzyme, i.e., CELL, improved fiber degradation of RSM during fermentation by changing the microbial community structure and enzymatic activity and subsequently shifting the microbiota metagenomic functional profile.
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