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Abstract

The research’s objective is to compare the 3DVAR and 3DEnVAR’s methods skill over fog forecasting applied to the Short-range Forecast System (SisPI). Prepbufr and radiances data joint to observations from the KBYX and KBMA radars data are assimilated in a combined way for the first time in Cuba. On the other hand, five different hydrometeors species with the vertical velocity was included as control variables into a traditional covariance matrix CV7 used for these experiments. The evaluation is performed considering the phenomenon as a binary event. Dichotomous analysis uses the present weather code data with the visibility predicted by the model, which is obtained by an empirical algorithm. The results suggest that the hybrid scheme allows a more realistic representation of the environment where the phenomenon develop and leads to more accurate forecasts.
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- Develop into project “Development of the data assimilation module for the Short-range Forecast System”, belonging to the “Meteorology and sustainable development” program.
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Introduction

SisPI design

- Develop into project “Development of the data assimilation module for the Short-range Forecast System”, belonging to the “Meteorology and sustainable development” program.

- SisPI (Short-range Forecast System, acronyms in Spanish), fundamental core is the WRF-ARW

- The main objective of SisPI is the short and very short-range numerical forecast, for that the specialists make big efforts for to include operationally the data assimilation, taking in account the technological limitations.
Experiments designing

**Data for assimilation:** Prepbufr format, AMSU-A (NOAA-15/16/18/19), MHS (NOAA-18/19), SSMIS (DMSP-16), ATMS (Suomi-NPP).

3 Outers loops 3DVAR, weight ensemble/CV7: 75/25

Modifying the multiplicative weight of 3DVAR background error (CV7 matrix)
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Experiment desing

**3DVAR:** Three-Dimensional Variational

\[ J(x) = \frac{1}{2} (x - x_b)^T B^{-1} (x - x_b) + \frac{1}{2} (y - H(x))^T R^{-1} (y - H(x)) \]  

(1)

**3DEnVAR:** Three-Dimensional Ensemble-Variational. (Hybrid)

\[ J(x_1, \alpha) = B_s \frac{1}{2} (x_1 - x_b)^T B^{-1} (x_1 - x_b) + B_e \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i^T C^{-1} \alpha_i) \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} [y - H(x_1 - x_e)]^T R^{-1} [y - H(x_1 - x_e)] \]  

(2)

\( \alpha_i \) is the weight attributed to ensemble, where \( C \) is the correlation matrix for the effective location of ensemble perturbations.
For 3DVAR’s experiments, multiple outers loops (OLs) were applied, modifying the multiplicative weight of the standard deviation and the scale length.
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Assimilation design

1. For 3DVAR’s experiments, multiple outers loops (OLs) were applied, modifying the multiplicative weight of the standard deviation and the scale length.

2. The ensemble designed to obtain the flow-dependent perturbations for the hybrid methods was build using previous SisPI runs.

3. For all experiments, a statistical background error domain-dependent was used, using the forecasts generated up to 15 days prior to initialization at interest day. The construction of background error was carried out using the gen_be_wrapper.ksh program, available in the WRFDA.

4. The assimilation was executed only on the domain with the highest horizontal resolution (3 km).
Experiment design

For the evaluation of the experiments, the satellite precipitation estimation data of the GPM product were used, as well as the data from the surface meteorological stations of Meteorology Institute.
Results

- The use of multiple OLs leads to an increase in the computational cost and does not always guarantee variational control.

- Hybrid methods lead to the minimization of the cost function in a similar number of iterations, 4DEnVAR achieves a more effective minimization than 3DEnVAR.
Results

Tropical Storm ETA

SisPI and 3DVAR’s solutions are closer, 4DEnVAR represents better the track and intensity.
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Results

The model tends to underestimate the total amount of precipitation in 24 hours.
The intrusion of dry air at mid-levels, may have been the determining factor in the forecast of the distribution of the storm’s rainfall areas.
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Results

Mesoscale Convective System

In this case the hybrid schemes lead to very similar forecasts with errors in the spatial coverage of the precipitation areas higher than those exhibited by 3DVAR or SisPI.
Results

Thunderstorm case

SisPI and 3DVAR solutions were closer, hybrid methods increased the prediction error towards the eastern part, significantly reducing the precipitation areas.

However the hybrid schemes corresponding to the initialization of 0000 UTC turned out to be much superior to the forecasts emanating from SisPI and 3DVAR, improving the spatial coverage and the intensity of the precipitation in numerous points of the domain.
Conclusions

1. The results indicate that the model shows a clear tendency to underestimate the accumulated rainfall in 24 hours, which is only partially corrected by the assimilation schemes as they have been designed.

2. In relation to the purely variational proposal, it is obtained that it poorly modifies the background field as a result of the isotropic and static characteristics of the algorithm.

3. The hybrid schemes lead to the assimilation effect being prolonged in an approximate threshold of 6 to 12 hours, on the other hand, 3DVAR quickly converge to the solution without assimilation.

4. 4DEnVAR method allows assimilating a significantly larger volume of conventional data, however it does not exhibit the same superiority in relation to radiances.

5. 4DEnVAR scheme is the only one that is always superior to the run without assimilation.
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