Seawater Absorption and Adhesion Properties of Hydrophobic and Superhydrophobic Thermoset Epoxy Nanocomposite Coatings
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Abstract: The enhancement of both thermal and mechanical properties of epoxy materials using nanomaterials becomes a target in coating of the steel to protect it from aggressive environmental conditions for a long time, with reducing the cost. In this respect, the adhesion properties of the epoxy with the steel surfaces, and its proper superhyrophobicity to repel the seawater humidity, can be optimized via addition of green nanoparticles (NPs). In-situ modification of silver (Ag) and calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) NPs with oleic acid (OA) was carried out during the formation of Ag−OA and CaCO₃−OA, respectively. The epoxide oleic acid (EOA) was also used as capping for Ca−O NPs by in-situ method and epoxidation of Ag−OA NPs, too. The morphology, thermal stability, and the diameters of NPs, as well as their dispersion in organic solvent, were investigated. The effects of the prepared NPs on the exothermic curing of the epoxy resins in the presence of polyamines, flexibility or rigidity of epoxy coatings, wettability, and coatings durability in aggressive seawater environment were studied. The obtained results confirmed that the proper superhydrophobicity, coating adhesion, and thermal stability of the epoxy were improved after exposure to salt spray fog for 2000 h at 36 °C.
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1. Introduction

Marine environments have harsh conditions that cause the corrosion of different metals and the failure of adhesion of the applied coatings due to higher humidity, temperature, biocides, and salts [1]. Before applying the corrosion protection layers onto the metal surfaces, the coatings’ durability can be improved by chromate or phosphate conversion coatings that have been replaced with green sol–gel technique based on silicone alkoxides technique or zirconium-based pretreatments [2]. These techniques are unsuitable for offshore protection applications because they required high curing temperature and limited thickness, in addition to the brittleness of the formed film [3]. However, based on the formation of covalent bond between organic polymers and inorganic (Si−O), hybrid sol–gel coatings up to 10 μm thickness were applied, although they did not apply on a large scale [3]. Recently, the addition of hydrophobic, superhydrophobic, smart, and self-healing nanocomposites as coatings or filler in epoxy coatings has been preferred for the protection of steel from corrosion in aggressive marine environments [4–9]. The problems for the application of these materials as coatings were referred to as their lower stability, durability, and adhesion properties with metallic surfaces [10]. Several studies have been reported for developing the mechanical properties of superhydrophobic coatings [11]. The existence of
multiscale hierarchical structures in the topology of the superhydrophobic coatings can alter the roughness distribution of the surface to control their wetting performance [10]. It is also suggested that the developing of the metal matrix composites having hydrophobic reinforcement in their bulk, rather than their surfaces, produced sustainable coatings [12]. Moreover, the use of vertically aligned carbon nanotubes to produce nanoscale roughness followed by adding thin coatings based on hydrophobic poly(tetrafluoroethylene) can easily result in fabrication of durable superhydrophobic coatings [13]. Fabrication of hierarchical wrinkled polymeric surfaces by combination of top-down and bottom-up techniques was developed to obtain sustainable superhydrophobic polymeric surfaces [14].

The cooccurrence of well-established low surface energy of solid and creation of hierarchical roughness can help in development of sustainable superhydrophobic coatings. Polyfunctional epoxy coatings made of nanocomposites were proposed as one of the best methods to enhance the performance of epoxy as sustainable coatings for different metallic surfaces [15]. The optimum concentration of highly dispersed inorganic nanofillers into epoxy coatings can prolong their durability and lengthen penetration path of the aggressive ions [15]. Nanomaterial-based inorganic oxides, such as ZnO based on polysiloxane, appear to be promising for producing sustainable epoxy coatings to decrease the affinity of seawater to destruct their anticorrosion performance [16]. Superhydrophobic calcium carbonate nanoparticles (NPs) modified with oleic acid (OA) achieved excellent superhydrophobic performances [17], but their use as inorganic filler resulted in failure of the adhesion of epoxy coatings with the steel substrate [18]. The surface of the silver NPs as antimicrobial nanomaterials has been also reformed with fluoroalkyl silane to produce superhydrophobic materials for wood substrate [19,20]. The functionalized hydrophobic silica NPs embedded into epoxy coatings were also used as protective organic coatings for the steel surfaces [21]. Recently, the amendment of NPs with reactive functional groups such as epoxide, amine, and aminoamide during the curing of epoxy leads to an increase in the dispersion, adhesion, mechanical, and thermal characteristics of epoxy coatings due to their chemical linking with epoxy networks [18,22–24]. In this respect, the present work aims to modify the surface hydrophobicity of both silver and calcium carbonate NPs by epoxide oleic acid (EOA) and oleic acid, using in-situ technique to control the shape, sizes, and self-assembly of hydrophobic coatings on their surfaces. Moreover, the effect of the presence of epoxide and carboxylic groups onto the surfaces of NPs on the curing process, the mechanical properties, adhesion properties, and the superhyrophobicity of the cured epoxy networks on the steel surfaces was investigated. The coating durability of the epoxy nanocomposite coatings on the steel surface in the presence of high humidity seawater fogs at 36 °C was evaluated at different exposure time using a salt spray resistance test.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

All chemicals used in the present work were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich chemicals Co. (Darmstadt, Germany). Oleic acid (OA) was epoxidized using chloroperbenzoic acid (CPBA) according to reported procedure by Swern et al. [25]. Ferric nitrate, silver nitrate, and oleylamine (OAm) were used to prepare silver NPs. Calcium hydroxide, Ca(OH)₂, and ammonium bicarbonate, NH₄HCO₃, were used as precursors to prepare CaCO₃ NPs. Commercial epoxy resin (ARazeen® SL4171 × 75) based on diglycidyl ether bisphenol (DGE) with epoxy and weight per epoxide values of 1.49 eq/Kg and 600 g/eq, respectively, was purchased from Jubail Chemical Industries Co. (JANA, Jubail Industrial City, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia). Commercial polyaminoamide (PA) epoxy curing agent, Ancamide 221-X70, with amine value of 155 mg KOH/g was also purchased from Air Products., Chemicals Division (Utrecht, The Netherlands). The recommended diglycidyl ether bisphenol (DGE): PA weight ratio is 2:1 wt.%. Steel panels with chemical compositions of 0.14% C, 0.57% Mn, 0.21% P, 0.15%,0.37% Si, 0.06% V, 0.03% Ni, and 0.03% Cr and Fe balance were produced by Achorn Steel Co (Cambridge, MA, USA) and used as steel substrate.
2.2. Preparation of Hydrophobically-Modified NPs

The present work aims to apply in-situ technique to cap both Ag and CaCO$_3$ NPs with OA to control their particle sizes, shapes, and assembly of OA on NP surfaces. The EOA was also used directly by the same technique during the CaCO$_3$ NP preparation [18,26]. The EOA cannot be directly capped on the surface of Ag NPs due to the possibility for the reaction of the epoxide group with amino group of OAm during the preparation of the NPs at higher temperature [26]. Accordingly, the epoxidation was carried out after preparation of Ag NPs at lower temperature, as represented in Scheme 1, instead using peroxide and acetic acid method that was used to prepare EOA [27]. It was expected that the use of CPBA after preparation of Ag NPs can oxidize NPs to silver ions or silver oxides. Moreover, the presence of OAm during the synthesis of NPs will enhance the formation of amine salt with either OA or EOA that enhances their capping on Ag NPs [26,27]. The presence of evolved ammonia from NH$_4$HCO$_3$ during capping of OA or EOA on CaCO$_3$ NPs will also facilitate their capping on CaCO$_3$ NPs. The chemical structures, crystallinity, thermal stability, morphology, and particle sizes of the prepared CaCO$_3$–OA, CaCO$_3$–EOA, Ag–OA and Ag–EOA NPs will be evaluated in the next section.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of CaCO$_3$ and Ag nanoparticles (NPs) capped with epoxide oleic acid (EOA).
2.2.1. Preparation of Hydrophobically-Modified CaCO\(_3\) NPs (CaCO\(_3\)−OA and CaCO\(_3\)−EOA NPs)

Preparation of modified CaCO\(_3\) NPs was carried out during their preparation based on in-situ technique. In this respect, Ca(OH)\(_2\) (aqueous solution of 2 g into 100 mL of distilled water) was vigorously stirred for 30 min in a flask fitted with condenser, dropping funnel, and nitrogen inlet. OA or EOA solution (3 g dissolved into 100 mL ethanol) was added dropwise to Ca(OH)\(_2\) solution under vigorous stirring. The evolved gases (CO\(_2\) and ammonia gases) from the decomposition of ammonium bicarbonate after heating in closed flask were injected and bubbled to the reaction flask to change the pH of the reaction until solution reached pH 7 under vigorous stirring. The reaction solution was stirred at 10,000 rpm for 30 min to separate the CaCO\(_3\)−OA and CaCO\(_3\)−EOA NPs using a centrifuge at 8000 rpm for 15 min. The separated NPs were washed several times with ethanol, and the yield percentages of CaCO\(_3\)−OA and CaCO\(_3\)−EOA NPs were 90 and 85 wt.%, respectively.

2.2.2. Preparation of Hydrophobically-Modified Ag NPs (Ag−OA and Ag−EOA NPs)

Hydrophobic Ag NPs coated with OA and OAm were prepared by mixing AgNO\(_3\) (4 mmol; 0.68 g) and Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)·9H\(_2\)O (0.4 mmol; 0.16 g) with mixture of OA (40 mL) and OAm (40 mL) at room temperature. The reaction solution was heated up to 200 °C, at heating rate of 5 °C/min under N\(_2\) atmosphere, and kept at this temperature for 2 h. The Ag−OA NPs were separated with ultracentrifuge at 15,000 rpm for 30 min after washing three times with ethanol, and the yield percentage was 95 wt.%. The epoxidation of Ag−OA was carried out by dispersion of Ag−OA (0.025 mol) into CPBA (70 mL; 0.36 mole of active oxygen) at 0–5 °C. The reaction temperature was increased rapidly to about 35 °C, and was kept with stirring for 12 h. The Ag−EOA NPs were separated by ultracentrifuging the solution at 8000 rpm for 30 min and washed thrice with cold acetone and ethanol to yield Ag−EOA with 92%.

2.3. Characterization of Hydrophobically-Modified NPs

The formation of Ag and CaCO\(_3\) NPs was characterized by Fourier transform infrared (Nicolet Magna 750 FTIR spectrometer using KBr, Newport, NJ, USA) spectrometer. Their particle size diameter and polydispersity index (PDI) into chloroform dispersions were determined using dynamic light scattering (DLS; Malvern Instrument Ltd., London, UK). Their crystalline lattice structures were investigated by using X-ray powder diffraction (X'Pert, Philips, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, using CuKa radiation of wavelength λ = 1.5406 Å with 40 kV voltage and 35 mA intensity) and at the scan speed of 0.01° s\(^{-1}\). Their morphologies were examined from both the transmission electron microscopy (TEM; JEOL JEM-2100F with an acceleration voltage of 200 kV, Tokyo, Japan) and scanning electron microscope (SEM; JEOL JXA-840A, Tokyo, Japan) at operating voltage 5–10 kV. Their thermal stability and OA or EOA contents were examined by using thermogravimetric and differential thermogravimetric analysis (TGA−DTG; TA Q500, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) under a N\(_2\) atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C min\(^{-1}\) and flow rate of 60 mL min\(^{-1}\).

2.4. Curing of DGEB/PA Epoxy Nanocomposites

Curing of DGEB/PA (2/1 wt.%) in the absence and presence of different weight percentages of modified NPs ranging from 0.1–10 wt.% was investigated with differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) (Q10 DSC calorimeter from TA Instrument) based on non-isothermal DSC measurements. In this respect, the modified NPs were dispersed into DGEB with different wt.% using ultrasonic, followed by manual, mixing, with the recommended PA weight percentages (DGEB: PA; 2: 1 wt.%). The sample of DGEB/PA (5–7 mg) in the absence or presence of NPs was sealed in hermetic aluminum pans and put in the DSC cell. The samples were precooled to −50 °C and heated to 250 °C under N\(_2\) atmosphere at constant rate of 5 °C min\(^{-1}\) to determine the glass transition temperatures (\(T_g\)) of the
cured epoxy. The empty reference pan was used to analyze their curing characteristics by DSC analyzer.

2.5. Application and Characterization of DGEB/PA Epoxy Nanocomposites Coatings on the Steel Surfaces

DGEB epoxy resin was blended with different weight percentages of modified NPs (0.1–10 wt. related to the total weight of DGEB and PA resins) and was suspended using ultrasonication to 30 s (using ultrasonic waves of 20 kHz; TEC-40 model, Roop-Telsonic Ultrasonics Ltd., Mumbai, India; power density, 150 watts). The DGEB suspensions were mixed with PA (2:1 DGEB:PA), sprayed on the blasted and cleaned carbon steel panels (roughness 45 µm) to obtain dry film thickness of 100 µm, using spray, and were tested after 7 days full curing time.

The surface morphologies of DGEB/PA epoxy nanocomposites coatings were carried out on fractured films using SEM at 10 kV. The adhesion pull-off strength and the abrasion resistance of DGEB/PA epoxy nanocomposites coatings films on the steel surfaces were determined using Posi Test AT-A automatic adhesion tester according to American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM D 4541-19), and using CS-17 wheels for 2000 cycles (ASTM 4060-19), respectively. The coating durability of the cured films was evaluated after exposure to seawater spray (fog) at temperature and humidity of 37 °C and 98% using a cabinet (manufactured by CW Specialist Equipment Ltd., 20 Model SF/450, London, UK) according to ASTM B 117-03. The advancing seawater contact angles (WCAs) on the coated steel panels were measured before and after salt spray evaluation by using sessile drop (drop shape analyzer; DSA100, Kruss, Germany).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Modified NPs

The chemical structures of CaCO\textsubscript{3}−EOA, Ag−EOA NPs, and EOA were elucidated using FTIR spectra, represented in Figure 1a–c. The stability of the epoxide group of EOA to the ring opening during the formation of CaCO\textsubscript{3} NPs and the reaction with OAm capped on Ag NPs was confirmed from the appearance of bands at 1110 cm\textsuperscript{−}1, 872 cm\textsuperscript{−}1, and 690 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 attributed to −C−O−C stretching and bending vibrations of epoxide ring (Figure 1a–c) [25]. The disappearance of −C−H, −C=C− stretching vibration, and −C=C− bending vibration of isolated vinyl group of OA at 3100 cm\textsuperscript{−}1, 1570 cm\textsuperscript{−}1, and 923 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 from the chemical structure of CaCO\textsubscript{3}−EOA (Figure 1a) confirmed the epoxidation of OA (Figure 1c). These bands did not disappear from Ag−EOA NPs (Figure 1b) due to the presence of OAm on their surfaces. The lower intensity of COOH bands at 3450 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 and 1590–1609 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 that were referred to OH and C=O stretching vibrations, respectively (Figure 1a,b), confirmed the acid salt formation (RCOO\textsuperscript{−} and RH\textsubscript{3}N\textsuperscript{+}) between OA and EOA in the case of Ag NPs with the formation of chemical bonds between CaCO\textsubscript{3} NPs and EOA (Figure 1a) (Figure 1b) [28]. The formation of calcite phase in both CaCO\textsubscript{3}−OA and CaCO\textsubscript{3}−EOA NPs was confirmed from the appearance of broad absorption bands at ~855 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 and ~709 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 that were related to out-of-plan and in-plane bending vibration for CaCO\textsubscript{3} calcite [29]. The disappearance of band at 550 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 in the FTIR spectrum of Ag−EOA NPs (Figure 1b) elucidated that the epoxidation of OA to EOA after formation of Ag NPs did not oxidize silver to silver oxide NPs [30].
Figure 1. FTIR spectra of (a) CaCO₃−EOA, (b) Ag−EOA NPs and (c) EOA.

The crystalline lattice structures of Ag−OA, Ag−EOA, CaCO₃−OA, and CaCO₃−EOA NPs were elucidated from their XRD diffractograms, represented in Figure 2a–d. Eight diffractions peaks assigned to Ag NPs without formation of silver oxides marked with their lattice planes are shown in Ag−OA and Ag−EOA NPs diffractograms (Figure 2a,b) [24,26]. There was a broad peak at 2θ from 10 to 20° that confirmed the formation of amine salt between OAm and OA or EOA at Ag NPs surfaces [26]. The broadened peaks in Ag−OA and Ag−EOA (Figure 2a,b) proved the formation of face-centered cubic (FCC) Ag NPs crystal structure [26]. It is also important to confirm the phase of calcium carbonate in the CaCO₃−OA and CaCO₃−EOA NPs after drying in air. There are three different calcium carbonate phases which could be formed during the synthesis of CaCO₃ NPs, such as calcite, aragonite, and vaterite phases. XRD patterns of CaCO₃−OA are consistent, mainly based on major high intensity peak of calcite at 2θ of 32.8° which was referring to (104) plane, and minor peak of the vaterite phase of CaCO₃ at 2θ of 27.8° (100) plane, as shown...
in Figure 2c. The intensity ratio between these two peaks of CaCO$_3$–OA confirms that the ratio 60 mol.-% calcite–40 mol.-% vaterite was obtained [31]. The XRD pattern of CaCO$_3$–EOA (Figure 2d) shows that the ratio of 20 mol.-% calcite–80 mol.-% vaterite was formed [31]. The appearance of few low intensity peaks corresponding to the calcite phase indicates that a small amount of calcite phase coexists with the vaterite phase, although the most thermodynamically stable phase is calcite at room temperature and under ambient pressure [32]. Accordingly, it can be concluded that the unsaturation of fatty acid in the case of OA affected the nucleation of CaCO$_3$ as calcite to arrange the oxygen positions of carbonate anions with the tridentate arrangement and were lying simply-parallel to this crystal surface [33]. Meanwhile, their Ca$^{2+}$ ions arranged in the same lattice positions in (001) layers to form pseudo-hexagonal crystals as calcite [33]. The EOA shows more vaterite phase in the case of CaCO$_3$–EOA and elucidated the face growth of the vaterite crystals and transformation of the hexagonal crystal structure of vaterite to the rhombohedral crystal structure of calcite (Figure 2d) [32].

![XRD diffractograms](image)

**Figure 2.** XRD diffractograms of (a) OA–Ag, (b) EOA–Ag, (c) CaCO$_3$–OA, and (d) CaCO$_3$–EOA NPs.

The particle sizes of CaCO$_3$–OA, CaCO$_3$–EOA, Ag–OA, and Ag–EOA NPs were measured in chloroform as well as their polydispersity index (PDI) and are shown in Figure 3a–d. The particle sizes of calcium carbonate NPs were reduced in the case of CaCO$_3$–OA (Figure 3a) from 89.6 nm to 65.6 nm of CaCO$_3$–EOA (Figure 2b) with decreasing the PDI value from 0.494 to 0.255, respectively. These data proved that more uniform and monodisperse of CaCO$_3$ NPs were formed when EOA was used as capping instead of OA. These data also confirmed that the carboxylate groups were oriented on the surface of CaCO$_3$ NPs and the long chain alkyl group was oriented to the outer phase, as reported ion Scheme 1, in the case of EOA more than that occurred in the case of OA capping agent. These data were inverted in the case of Ag NPs to confirm that the OA/OAm (Figure 3c) form more uniform sizes than that obtained with EOA/OAm (Figure 3d). This can be attributed to the epoxidation of OA/OAm on the surface of Ag NPs, which changed the assembly of these layers on Ag NPs and changed the surface.
energy of the crystal planes, leading to different growing rates along the corresponding directions [34].

Figure 3. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements of (a) CaCO$_3$−OA, (b) CaCO$_3$−EOA, (c) Ag−OA, and (d) Ag−EOA NPs in chloroform solution.

The morphology of CaCO$_3$−OA, CaCO$_3$−EOA, Ag−OA, and Ag−EOA NPs were investigated from TEM and SEM micrographs, shown in Figures 4 and 5a–d. The rough spherical and hexagonal CaCO$_3$−OA and CaCO$_3$−EOA NPs were observed in Figures 4 and 5a,b. The dispersed uniform and rough particles were obtained in the case of CaCO$_3$−EOA NPs (Figures 4 and 5b) more than CaCO$_3$−OA (Figures 4 and 5a). Ag NPs that were prepared in the presence of OA/OAm (Figures 4 and 5c) showed more uniform spherical morphology than those obtained in the case of EOA/OAm (Figures 4 and 5d), which elucidated the good capping efficiency of using OA/OAm in-situ technique, compared to the epoxidation via forming of EOA/OAm. It was also noticed that the CaCO$_3$−OA, CaCO$_3$−EOA, Ag−OA, and Ag−EOA had the same particle sizes in dry state marked in SEM micrographs (Figures 4 and 5a–d), as well as measured in chloroform solution (DLS data, Figure 3a–d). This means that rough surface of CaCO$_3$ cannot absorb chloroform. These data elucidated that the morphology of Ag NPs was affected more than CaCO$_3$ NPs in the case of using EOA as capping agent due to the bond formation with CaCO$_3$ as calcium oleate, more than silver [35].
Figure 4. TEM micrographs of (a) CaCO$_3$−OA, (b) CaCO$_3$−EOA, (c) Ag−OA, and (d) Ag−EOA NPs.

Figure 5. SEM micrographs of (a) CaCO$_3$−OA, (b) CaCO$_3$−EOA, (c) Ag−OA, and (d) Ag−EOA NPs.

The thermal stability of CaCO$_3$ or Ag contents were determined from TGA−DTG thermograms of CaCO$_3$−OA, CaCO$_3$−EOA, Ag−OA, and Ag−EOA NPs as represented in Figure 6a–d. Moreover, the formation of monolayer, bilayer, and multiple layers on the surfaces of NPs can be also confirmed from TGA−DTG thermograms (Figure 6a–d). The initial degradation temperatures (IDT), maximum degradation temperatures ($T_{\text{max}}$; °C), and the remaining residual weights above 750 °C (RS%; wt.%) of CaCO$_3$−OA, CaCO$_3$−EOA, Ag−OA, and Ag−EOA NPs were determined from their TGA−DTG thermograms and summarized in Table 1. It is well known that there was some bound adsorbed water linked to the surface of the inorganic NPs that contained oxide or hydroxide groups on their...
surfaces, such as CaCO$_3$, TiO$_2$, Fe$_3$O$_4$, and silver oxides [36]. In the present work, it was noticed that there was no adsorbed water, as elucidated from no weight loss (wt.%) below the degradation temperature at 150 °C, to confirm the hydrophobicity of all CaCO$_3$–OA, CaCO$_3$–EOA, Ag–OA, and Ag–EOA NPs (Figure 6a–d). Careful inspection of data (Figure 6a–d) proved that only CaCO$_3$–OA (Figure 6a) had one degradation step, and confirmed the formation of one layer onto the NPs surfaces. Moreover, only Ag–EOA NPs thermogram (Figure 6d) had two degradation steps, which elucidated the formation of bilayer capping of EOA/OAm onto the surface of Ag NPs. Both CaCO$_3$–EOA (Figure 6b) and Ag–OA (Figure 6c) thermograms showed more than two degradation steps to confirm the formation of multiple degradation steps of capping on NPs surfaces. Accordingly, it can be concluded that the epoxidation of OA after preparation of Ag NPs arranges the assembly of OA layers from multiple to bilayers in the case of Ag–EOA. The formation of bilayer also confirmed the orientation of some hydrophilic moieties of carboxylate and amino groups of either epoxide oleic or oleyl amine on the outer surfaces of Ag NPs [37]. It can be also concluded that the presence of epoxide group on the surfaces of OA re-arranges the assembly from one layer to multiple capping layers, as occurred in both CaCO$_3$–OA (Figure 6a) and CaCO$_3$–EOA (Figure 6b). The presence of double bond on the OA surfaces increases the hydrophobic interactions of its alkyl group to assemble the OA as one layer. The listed data in Table 1 and Figure 6a–d confirmed that the IDTs and $T_{\text{max}}$ data of CaCO$_3$–OA (Table 1 and Figure 6a) was greater than that of CaCO$_3$–EOA to start degradation at 575 °C and 375 °C, respectively. These were referred to as the more intensive interaction of NPs with capping agent, as occurred for CaCO$_3$ NPs with OA more than EOA [38]. These results were confirmed from the higher RS % (Table 1) of CaCO$_3$–OA than CaCO$_3$–EOA values. The silver NPs showed different thermal degradation thermograms (Figure 6c,d) than those obtained with CaCO$_3$ NPs (Figure 6a,b) due to using complex mixture of unsaturated fatty acid with OAm for preparing hydrophobic Ag NPs with high yield [39]. The Ag–OA (Figure 6c) and Ag–EOA (Figure 6d) thermogram showed two degradation steps at 175–320 °C and 320–750 °C that resemble OAm/OA mixture [40]. Their IDTs, $T_{\text{max}}$, and RS % data (Table 1) elucidated that the binding of EOA/OAm on the Ag NPs surfaces increased its IDT more than that capped with OA/OAm, to be 175 °C and 150 °C, respectively. This means that the EOA/OAm content protected the Ag NPs from oxidation to other silver oxides, as proved from XRD data (Figure 2b).
Table 1. Thermal degradation temperatures of capped CaCO$_3$ and Ag NPs with EOA, ELOA, and ELNA.

| NPs            | IDT (°C) | $T_{\text{max}}$ (°C) | RS % (wt.%) | Capping % (wt.%) |
|----------------|----------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| CaCO$_3$−OA   | 575      | 750                    | 77          | 23               |
| CaCO$_3$−EOA  | 325      | 700                    | 70          | 30               |
| Ag−OA         | 150      | 450                    | 48          | 52               |
| Ag−EOA        | 175      | 750                    | 48          | 52               |

3.2. Curing of Modified NPs and Their Curing with DGEBA/PA

The epoxy resins based on diglycidyl ether bisphenol A (DGEBA) can be cured with polyamines, polyamides, and polyaminoamide to produce epoxy networks having hydroxyl groups. In this respect, the commercial DGEBA/PA system was cured with the recommended wt. ratio 2:1 wt.% (DGEBA:PA) and in the presence of the prepared NPs, as represented in the experimental section from 0.1 to 10 wt.%. The proposed curing reaction is represented in Scheme 2. It was expected that the presence of epoxy groups in the chemical structures of EOA modified their chemical linking with epoxy networks (Scheme 2). EOA can be linked with the epoxy network to orient the Ag or CaCO$_3$ NPs to outer coating surfaces or inner coatings surfaces. On the other hand, CaCO$_3$−OA and Ag−OA NPs dispersion with epoxy matrix will be different due to the absence of epoxide group. For these reasons, the surface morphology of the cured epoxy DGEBA/PA networks in the presence of NPs were investigated from SEM micrographs, as represented by Figures 7 and 8a–d.

![Scheme 2. Curing mechanism of diglycidyl ether bisphenol A (DGEBA)/polyaminoamide (PA) in the presence of EOA hydrophobically-modified NPs.](image)
Figure 7. SEM micrographs of fractured cured DGEB/PA films in the presence of (a) Ag–OA 1wt.% (b) Ag–EOA 1wt.%, (c) Ag–OA 3wt.%, (d) Ag–EOA 3wt.%, (e) Ag–OA 10 wt.%, and (f) Ag–EOA 10 wt.%. 
The formation of rough or wrinkled epoxy surfaces due to the presence of NPs can be also evaluated from the estimation of flexibility and rigidity of the epoxy networks that were evaluated from the DSC non-isothermal technique, reported in the experimental section. In this respect, the glass transition temperatures ($T_g$; °C) and the enthalpy heat of curing ($\Delta H$), estimated from area under peaks of the cured DGEB/PA in the absence (blank) and the presence of different weight percentages of the NPs, were determined.

The surface morphology of Ag NPs (Figure 7a–f) showed the formation of more wrinkled heterogeneous epoxy networks in the case of EOA (right of Figure 7a–f) than that cured in the presence of OA (Figure 7a,c,e), even at lower value of 1 wt.% (Figure 7a). The wrinkled epoxy networks in the presence of EOA–Ag confirmed the producing of higher crosslinking densities with increasing the Ag–EOA contents up to 10 wt.%, with the appearance of holes and cracks (Figure 7d) [40]. This means that the proposed mechanism (Scheme 2) elucidated the formation of higher crosslinking densities due to
the curing of the epoxy groups of EOA with the amino groups of the commercial PA and the curing of amino groups of OAm with epoxy groups of either EOA or DGBE. It was also noticed that Ag−EOA particles were aggregated in the epoxy networks more than OA−Ag NPs (Figure 7b,d,f). The curing of epoxy networks in the presence of CaCO$_3$−OA and CaCO$_3$−EOA NPs showed different surface morphologies and extra rough surfaces (Figure 8a–f) to that cured with Ag NPs (Figure 7a–f). The rough surfaces were obtained when the CaCO$_3$−EOA cured from 1 to 3 wt.% (Figure 8b,d) more than that occurred with CaCO$_3$−OA (Figure 8a,c). The increasing load of CaCO$_3$−EOA from 3 to 10 wt.% reduced the roughness of the cured epoxy system (Figure 8d,f). The incorporation of either CaCO$_3$−OA and CaCO$_3$−EOA NPs could not produce holes or cracks that confirmed the moderate crosslinking densities and their higher dispersion into epoxy networks.

The formation of rough or wrinkled epoxy surfaces due to the presence of NPs can be also evaluated from the estimation of flexibility and rigidity of the epoxy networks that were evaluated from the DSC non-isothermal technique, reported in the experimental section. In this respect, the glass transition temperatures ($T_g$; °C) and the enthalpy heat of curing ($\Delta H$), estimated from area under peaks of the cured DGBE/PA in the absence (blank) and the presence of different weight percentages of the NPs, were determined from DSC thermograms (Figures 9 and 10a,b) and are summarized in Table 2. The data for the incorporation of CaCO$_3$−OA and CaCO$_3$−EOA NPs (Figure 9, Table 2) during curing DGBE/PA epoxy networks reduced the $T_g$ value of the blank sample (without NPs) below 120 °C to confirm their plasticizing effect. The linking of CaCO$_3$−EOA NPs with the epoxy matrix (Scheme 2) increased the $T_g$ values more than that of cured epoxy with CaCO$_3$−OA NPs to prove the rigidity of the epoxy networks. This speculation was confirmed from increasing the curing exothermic temperature with increasing CaCO$_3$−EOA contents more than blank sample (without NPs) and that cured in the presence of CaCO$_3$−OA NPs. It was also noticed that the incorporation of Ag−OA and Ag−EOA NPs during the curing of DGBE/PA with 3 and 10 wt.% produced more rigid epoxy networks than blank. These data elucidated that the increasing of Ag−EOA NPs more than 3 wt.% leads to an increase in the epoxy crosslinking densities besides their lower dispersion into the epoxy networks. These data prove that the Lewis acid effect of inorganic NPs and polar interactions of the hydroxyl or carboxylic groups on the surfaces of NPs will improve the ring opening of epoxide groups of EOA. Increasing the dispersion of embedded NPs into the epoxy matrix improves their Lewis acid effect on the epoxide ring opening [41]. Moreover, the presence of amino groups of OAm as capping for Ag NPs facilitated the ring opening rate of DGBE when compared with that cured in the presence of CaCO$_3$ NPs.

Table 2. DSC data of DGBE/PA in the absence and presence of hydrophobic Ag and CaCO$_3$ NPs.

| DGBE/PA/NPs | (NPs wt.%) | $T_g$ (°C) | $\Delta H$ (J/g) |
|-------------|------------|------------|------------------|
|             |            | OA         | EOA              | OA         | EOA              |
| 0           | 0          | 120.3      | 120.3            | 285.3      | 285.3            |
| CaCO$_3$    | 1          | 95.2       | 100.4            | 290.4      | 310.4            |
|             | 3          | 100.5      | 110.3            | 310.6      | 340.5            |
|             | 10         | 110.2      | 115.4            | 330.5      | 360.7            |
| Ag          | 1          | 100.3      | 100.4            | 300.1      | 330.4            |
|             | 3          | 130.5      | 110.6            | 360.5      | 380.4            |
|             | 10         | 120.6      | 140.6            | 385.7      | 410.2            |
Figure 9. DSC thermograms of cured DGEB/PA in the presence of CaCO$_3$–EOA and CaCO$_3$–OA NPs.

Figure 10. DSC thermograms of cured DGEB/PA in the absence and presence of (a) Ag–EOA and (b) Ag–OA NPs.
3.3. Coating Durability of the DGEB/PA in the Presence of CaCO$_3$ and Ag NPs

The coating durability of the cured DGEB/PA epoxy nanocomposites coatings in the presence of modified NPs on the steel surfaces was evaluated from their salt spray resistance using seawater humidity at 36 °C and their abrasion resistances, as well their adhesion properties, with the steel surface before and after seawater exposure. In this respect, the adhesion strength of the epoxy resins with the steel surfaces in the presence and absence of modified Ag and CaCO$_3$ NPs were measured using a pull-off instrument, as reported in the experimental section and summarized in Table 3. The adhesion strength data (Table 3) confirms the increasing adhesion of the epoxy coatings with the steel surfaces with the incorporation of Ag and CaCO$_3$ NPs more than blank, except that cured with CaCO$_3$−OA (10 wt.%). The FTIR spectra of the cured DGEB/PA in the absence and presence of CaCO$_3$−OA are represented in Figure 11a–d. Three absorption bands at 1608 cm$^{-1}$, 946 cm$^{-1}$, and 825 cm$^{-1}$, referred to NH stretching, C−O epoxy bending, and C−H out-of-plan bending of aromatic benzene, respectively, were selected to assess the complete curing of epoxy resin (Figure 11a–c) [42]. The increasing intensity of the epoxy band of the cured DGEB/PA in the presence of 10 wt.% of CaCO$_3$−OA (Figure 11a), compared to that cured with 3 wt.% (Figure 11b) and 1 wt.% (Figure 11c), indicates that there are free terminal epoxy groups which did not completely cure with increasing CaCO$_3$−OA content. Moreover, the increase in the intensity of the band at 3316 cm$^{-1}$ (attributed to OH stretching vibration) in the presence of low CaCO$_3$−OA content (3 wt.%; Figure 11a), compared to that cured in the presence of 10 wt.% (Figure 11a), elucidated that the curing of EGD with PA was carried out according to Scheme 2. The increasing of OH groups contents that were produced from epoxy group ring opening was responsible for increasing the coating of steel surfaces with the epoxy. The data listed in Table 3 indicates also that the adhesion strength of DGEB/PA increased with incorporation of NPs capped with EOA more than that cured with NPs capped with OA, which confirms that the curing of epoxy group of EOA produced more hydroxyl groups than that cured with OA (Scheme 2). The increase in the adhesion strength of cured DGWB/PA epoxy in the presence of Ag NPs was higher than that cured with CaCO$_3$ NPs (Table 3), and proves that the presence of OAm groups facilitated the complete curing of the epoxy network. The abrasion resistance of the cured DGEB/PA in the absence and presence of different wt.% of either Ag or CaCO$_3$ NPs was evaluated under the test condition reported in the experimental section from the weight loss (mg) and is listed in Table 3. The DGEB/PA cured in the presence of CaCO$_3$−EOA and Ag−EOA, using 0.1−1 wt.% and 0.1−3 wt.% respectively, showed lower weight loss value and higher abrasion resistance (Table 3). These data agree with the good dispersion of CaCO$_3$−EOA and Ag−EOA into the DGEB/PA coatings (Figures 7 and 8a,b) to produce more uniform surfaces in the presence of CaCO$_3$−EOA and Ag−EOA at 0.1−1 wt.% and 0.1−3 wt.%, respectively. The elasticity of DGEB/PA that was confirmed from DSC thermograms (Table 2 and Figures 9 and 10) agreed with higher abrasion resistance, as their $T_g$ values decreased by more than 120.3 °C of the cured DGEB/PA blank [43].

The coating durability of the coated steel with DGEB/PA cured in the absence and presence of modified NPs by using salt spray resistance, adhesion strength, and wettability measurements before and after seawater fog at different exposure times is shown in Figures 12 and 13. The salt spray results were evaluated by measuring the epoxy adhesion strength after seawater salt spray resistance exposure time with the appearance of rust and blisters under coatings surfaces on the steel panel, as listed in Table 3 [44]. The incorporation of 10 wt.% of the modified NPs in the cured DGEB/PA epoxy networks (not reported here for brevity) showed lower salt spray resistance with the appearance of much rust and blistering of organic coatings (bubble-like spots beneath) at exposure time lower than 500 h. These data were referred to as agglomeration of NPs to produce inhomogeneous surfaces that facilitated the diffusion of salts and water under coatings to form rust surfaces. The salt spray resistance of all cured DGEB/PA showed greater salt spray resistance and more exposure time than blank when the total wt.% exceeded 6 wt.% of CaCO$_3$−OA NPs.
(Figure 12b). The data listed in Table 3 and Figure 12d,e show new coating performance with increasing the adhesion strength and salt spray resistance exposure time up to 2000 h with incorporation of Ag—EOA NPs up to 3 wt.% into epoxy networks, as well as 0.1 wt.% of CaCO$_3$—EOA (Figure 12b). These data proved that Ag—EOA NPs act as a barrier to repel the water, salts, and oxygen that are responsible for the corrosion of the steel after diffusion from the epoxy layer. The strong cohesive energy brought by cured epoxide and amino groups of EOA/OAm capped on Ag NPs was beneficial to the formation of compact and tight epoxy film which had higher salt spray resistance. The effect of seawater on Ag NPs was previously reported to confirm their agglomeration and dissolution to Ag (I) in seawater, which depend on their particle sizes, types, and thickness of their stabilizing capping [45]. It was reported that the seawater stabilized the capping on Ag NPs surfaces. It was also reported that the seawater arranges the capping of Ag NPs to the coiled structure to increase the packing density of the organic capping around the Ag NPs core, which will reduce the rate of diffusion of dissolved oxygen into the Ag NPs core [45]. Accordingly, the Ag NPs stabilized the epoxy coatings surfaces via preventing the formation of the peroxide intermediates, which is responsible for increasing the adhesion of epoxy coatings with the steel surfaces after exposure to seawater fog (Table 3).

![Figure 11](image_url)

**Figure 11.** FTIR spectra of cured DGEB/PA epoxy coatings in the presence of CaCO$_3$ NPs (a) 10 wt.%, (b) 3 wt.%, (c) 1 wt.%, and (d) blank (0 wt.% of CaCO$_3$ NPs).
lized the epoxy coatings surfaces via preventing the formation of the peroxide in intermediates, which is responsible for increasing the adhesion of epoxy coatings with the steel surfaces after exposure to seawater fog (Table 3).

**Figure 12.** Salt spray resistance of the cured DGEB/PA in the presence of different weight % of (a) blank, (b) CaCO$_3$–OA, (c) CaCO$_3$–EOA, (d) Ag–OA, and (e) Ag–EOA NPs after exposure different times to salt spray at 36 °C.

**Table 3.** Mechanical and salt seawater spray resistance of DGEB/PA nanocomposites coating films for different exposure times at 36 °C.

| DGEB/PA-NPs | Types of Fatty Acid | NPs (wt.%) | Adhesion Strength (MPa) | Abrasion Resistance Weight Lost (mg) | Salt Spray Exposure Time (h) | Adhesion Strength after Salt Spray Exposure Time (MPa) |
|-------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Blank       |                     | 0          | 5.00 ± 0.08             | 56 ± 4.85                           | 500                         | 4                                                   |
| CaCO$_3$    | OA                  | 0.1        | 5.31 ± 0.04             | 30 ± 1.95                           | 1000                        | 4.2                                                 |
|             |                     | 1          | 5.80 ± 0.01             | 25 ± 1.75                           | 1000                        | 4.4                                                 |
|             |                     | 3          | 8.25 ± 0.05             | 26 ± 1.85                           | 1000                        | 4.3                                                 |
|             |                     | 6          | 7.06 ± 0.04             | 14 ± 3.05                           | 750                         | Failure                                             |
| CaCO$_3$    | EOA                 | 0.1        | 6.81 ± 0.05             | 14 ± 1.85                           | 1500                        | 7.2                                                 |
|             |                     | 1          | 8.42 ± 0.03             | 7 ± 3.05                            | 1500                        | 6.9                                                 |
|             |                     | 3          | 10.34 ± 0.04            | 20 ± 1.95                           | 1500                        | 4.8                                                 |
|             |                     | 6          | 9.37 ± 0.02             | 29 ± 2.05                           | 1500                        | 4.8                                                 |
Table 3. Cont.

| DGEB/PA-NPs | Types of Fatty Acid | NPs (wt.%) | Adhesion Strength (MPa) | Abrasion Resistance Weight Lost (mg) | Salt Spray Exposure Time (h) | Adhesion Strength after Salt Spray Exposure Time (MPa) |
|-------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Ag          | EOA                 | 0.1        | 12.50 ± 0.06            | 16 ± 1.25                          | 2000                        | 8.45                                              |
|             |                     | 1          | 13.50 ± 0.05            | 13 ± 1.05                          | 2000                        | 18.00                                             |
|             |                     | 3          | 12.00 ± 0.02            | 13 ± 1.45                          | 2000                        | 15.00                                             |
|             |                     | 6          | 8.50 ± 0.03             | 18 ± 1.85                          | 1500                        | 7.5                                               |
|             | OA                  | 0.1        | 7.50 ± 0.05             | 17 ± 2.15                          | 1000                        | 6.4                                               |
|             |                     | 1          | 7.00 ± 0.04             | 14 ± 1.05                          | 1000                        | 7.0                                               |
|             |                     | 3          | 6.50 ± 0.01             | 12 ± 1.8                           | 1000                        | 6.5                                               |
|             |                     | 6          | 7.50 ± 0.02             | 35 ± 2.1                           | 1000                        | 6.3                                               |

Figure 13. Seawater contact angle (WCA) measurements on the cured DGEB/PA nanocomposites with modified Ag and CaCO₃ NPS (a) before, and (b) after, seawater fog spray exposure for different times at 36 °C.
The hydrophobicity and superhydrophobicity of DGEB/PA coatings on the steel surfaces before and after seawater salt fog exposure for different time intervals were evaluated from measuring their wettability by seawater contact angles (WCA, degree) measurements, as shown in Figure 13a,b. It is well-established that the superhydrophobic and hydrophobic coatings have WCA $\geq 150^\circ$ and above 100 up to 150$^\circ$. Moreover, the superhydrophobic coatings suffer from lower adhesion with different substrate on dry or wet adhesion [44]. The superhydrophobic coatings surfaces suffer from scratches, damages, aggressive corrosive environments, and abrasion to reduce the coating durability in practical working environments. For the present system, the proper superhydrophobicity of the DGEB/PA nanocomposite coatings was evaluated (Figure 13a,b) and it was found that the proper superhydrophobicity in the presence of 1 wt.% and hydrophobicity in the presence of 3 or 6 wt.% of CaCO$_3$−OA were affected after seawater salt spray measurements (Figure 13a,b). Meanwhile, the proper superhydrophobicity of DGEB/PA nanocomposites coatings in the presence of 0.1 and 1 wt.% of CaCO$_3$−EOA remained proper superhydrophobic, as shown in Figure 13b. The DGEB/PA epoxy coating durability and superhydrophobicity were improved after seawater salt spray exposure time (2000 h: Table 3 and Figure 13b) at 36 $^\circ$C with embedding Ag−EOA and Ag−OA at concentrations 0.1–3 and 1 wt.% respectively. These data elucidated that the local hierarchical structure [20] was improved, and the most regions of the epoxy coating still are covered by superhydrophobic NPs without widespread damage [46].

By comparing our system with the durable superhydrophobic epoxy containing hydrophobic silica having WCA ranging from 149 to 175$^\circ$ [47], it was found that the epoxy/silica system passed salt spray resistances up to 100 h without losing adhesion. The present system passes sea water immersion from 1500 to 2000 h without losing the adhesion. The nanofillers based on graphene oxide embedded in the sol–gel-based silane embedded in epoxy coatings were used to improve both the coating’s adhesion strength of epoxy up to 6.72 MPa and salt spray resistance up to 400 h [48]. The data represented in our system elucidates increasing of the epoxy adhesion strength after salt spray exposure more than 1000 h (Table 3). It was also reported that the superhydrophobic SiO$_2$ NPs combined with epoxy coatings cured with a hydrophobic curing agent were designed to exhibit improved mechanical strength and to pass abrasion resistance [49]. This coating is found to have good mechanical robustness against abrasion. Moreover, the embedding of graphene–polydopamine (GP)–SiO$_2$ and carbon nanotubes into epoxy coatings [50–52] improved the abrasion resistance of coatings to 10.7–17.1 mg after 2000 cycles. The present system also shows higher abrasion resistance (loss of 7–30 mg after 2000 cycles) as represented in Table 3. Accordingly, the superhydrophobic coatings prepared by our system show higher durability with excellent adhesive strength, moderate abrasion resistance, and excellent salt spray resistance.

4. Conclusions

The epoxidation of OA after preparation of Ag NPs arranges the OA layers from multiple to bilayers in the case of Ag−EOA. The bilayer formation also confirmed that there is some hydrophilic moieties of carboxylate and amino groups of either epoxide oleic or oleyl amine will be oriented to outer surfaces of Ag NPs. The presence of epoxide group on the surfaces of OA rearranged the assembly from one layer to multiple capping layers, as occurred in both CaCO$_3$ −OA and CaCO$_3$ −EOA. The presence of double bond on the OA surfaces increased the hydrophobic interactions of its alkyl group to assemble the OA as one layer. New nanocomposites of epoxy coating applied on the steel surfaces in the presence of hydrophobic CaCO$_3$ −EOA and Ag −EOA NPs showed stable mechanical abrasion resistance and seawater salt spray resistance, which were improved by the high adhesion of epoxy resin and the layered structure of particles. It was found that the superhydrophobicity of epoxy coatings in the presence of 1 wt.% and hydrophobicity in the presence of 3 or 6 wt.% of CaCO$_3$ −OA were affected after seawater salt spray measurements, while the superhydrophobicity of DGEB/PA nanocomposites in the presence of 0.1 and 1 wt.% of
CaCO₃−EOA remained well with coatings. The DGEB/PA epoxy coating durability and superhydrophobicity were improved after seawater salt spray exposure time 2000 h at 36 °C with embedding Ag−EOA and Ag−OA at concentrations of 0.1–3 and 1 wt.%, respectively. Consequently, the Ag−EOA and CaCO₃−EOA NPs were chemically linked into the DGEB/PA epoxy networks, and their hydrophobic parts were oriented on the exterior surfaces of the epoxy coatings to improve the hydrophobicity of epoxy coatings to be superhydrophobic coatings after exposure to seawater for 2000 h.
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