Passive immunotherapy for influenza A H5N1 virus infection with equine hyperimmune globulin F(ab’)2 in mice
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Abstract

Background: Avian influenza virus H5N1 has demonstrated considerable pandemic potential. Currently, no effective vaccines for H5N1 infection are available, so passive immunotherapy may be an alternative strategy. To investigate the possible therapeutic effect of antibody against highly pathogenic H5N1 virus on a mammal host, we prepared specific equine anti-H5N1 IgGs from horses vaccinated with inactivated H5N1 virus, and then obtained the F(ab’)2 fragments by pepsin digestion of IgGs.

Methods: The horses were vaccinated with inactivated H5N1 vaccine to prepare anti-H5N1 IgGs. The F(ab’)2 fragments were purified from anti-H5N1 hyperimmune sera by a protocol for ‘enhanced pepsin digestion’. The protective effect of the F(ab’)2 fragments against H5N1 virus infection was determined in cultured MDCK cells by cytopathic effect (CPE) assay and in a BALB/c mouse model by survival rate assay.

Results: By the protocol for ‘enhanced pepsin digestion’, total 16 g F(ab’)2 fragments were finally obtained from one liter equine antisera with the purity of over 90%. The H5N1-specific F(ab’)2 fragments had a HI titer of 1:1024, and the neutralization titre of F(ab’)2 reached 1: 2048. The in vivo assay showed that 100 μg of the F(ab’)2 fragments could protect BALB/c mice infected with a lethal dose of influenza H5N1 virus.

Conclusion: The availability of highly purified H5N1-specific F(ab’)2 fragments may be promising for treatment of influenza H5N1 infection. Our work has provided experimental support for the application of the therapeutic equine immunoglobulin in future large primate or human trials.

Background

In recent years, it has become clear that human infections with highly pathogenic influenza (HPAI) H5N1 viruses are associated with severe, often fatal disease. In 1997 in Hong Kong, avian influenza A (H5N1) infected both chickens and humans. During this outbreak, 18 people...
H5N1, the neuraminidase inhibitors may improve prospects of survival, if administered early, but the clinical evidence is limited. Antiviral resistance to neuraminidase inhibitors has been clinically negligible so far but is likely to be detected during widespread use during a pandemic [19].

Development of H5N1-specific antibodies may be an alternative strategy for the treatment of infection and the prevention and control of future outbreaks. Previous study has shown that neutralizing Fab fragments of a hemagglutinin-specific antibody were effective in treating established influenza A virus infection in mice with severe combined immunodeficiency [20]. Ramisse et al. also verified that topical administration of polyvalent plasma-derived human immunoglobulin and F(ab')2, can protect BALB/c mice infected with a lethal dose of influenza virus [21]. Although the genus difference exists between human and mice, this strategy still deserves our attention in the treatment of a severe illness such as influenza H5N1.

The practice of administering polyclonal immunoglobulins from hyperimmune sera of animal or human origin has been used extensively in prophylactic as well as therapeutic settings, including rabies and hepatitis [22]. Excepting certain viral illnesses like measles, animal sera were used routinely due to the fact that obtaining sufficient human convalescent sera for therapeutic purpose was impractical. In the setting of viral infection, equine antiserum has been applied as an antiviral regimen to control infection by ebola [23], rabies [24,25], hepatitis B virus [26,27] and HIV [28,29]. The equine antiserum possibly results in the anaphylactoid severe acute side effects induced by contaminants including serum proteins, Fc and other fragments or aggregates [30,31]. Non-traditional antibody production methods, however can assure safety and availability of heterogenous antisera [32].

Jones and Landon reported that high yields of F(ab')2 fragments with high purity can be obtained from ovine antiserum by a protocol for enhanced pepsin digestion [33]. To investigate the therapeutic efficacy of equine antibody to H5N1 virus, we isolated serum IgGs from horses vaccinated with inactivated H5N1 vaccine and prepared F(ab')2 fragments by this protocol. We report herein the protective effects of F(ab')2 against H5N1 virus infection in a cultured MDCK cell line and in a BALB/c mouse model.

Materials and methods

Virus

Influenza virus A/Chicken/Guangdong/04 (H5N1) was propagated in the allantoic cavity of embryonated hen’s eggs. The titer of infectious virus was determined by limiting dilution in microcultures of Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells and was expressed as the 50% tissue...
culture infectious dose (TCID$_{50}$). Infectious stocks typically contained $\sim10^{8.5}$ TCID$_{50}$/ml. Aliquots were stored frozen (-70°C) and used once for infection of mice or determination of antibody-mediated virus neutralization activity. All operations with H5N1 virus were performed in a bio-safety level 3 (BSL-3) laboratory.

**Antiserum**
An inactivated influenza H5N1 vaccine strain isolated in 2003, provided by the South China Agricultural University, was performed for four times immunization of health horses according to the operating procedures recommended by State Food and Drug Administration (SFDA) (not shown). The hyperimmune sera from immunized horses were stored frozen at -20°C until used.

**Preparation of equine F(ab’)$_2$ fragments**
To prepare the F(ab’)$_2$ fragments, 500 ml hyperimmune sera from immunized horses were purified as described in [33]. The hyperimmune sera were adjusted to pH 3.5. Acidified equine antisera were digested with pepsin (porcine, Sigma) solution (50 mg/ml pepsin in distilled water, stored frozen at -20°C) at 37°C for 36 h. The digestion was terminated by titrating to pH 6.0 with the 50 mM piperazine base solution. Centrifuge at 2750 x g (4–10°C) to remove the precipitate. Tangential flow diafiltration was then performed to remove the bulk of low molecular weight digestion products. The digested antisera were washed with at least 15 volumes of diafiltration buffer/buffer A (20 mM piperazine, 150 mM NaCl, pH 6.0) on the tangential flow diafiltration rig (VivaFlow50, Vivascience) with a 50 cm$^2$, 30 000-Da nominal molecular weight cut-off membrane and concentrated to $\sim$100 ml total volume. Diafiltered digests were then passed through a column of Q Sepharose Fast Flow to remove the residual acidic aggregates and pepsin. All the unbound material, corresponding to the purified F(ab’)$_2$, was collected and stored at 4°C. Then eluted fractions (peak I) were concentrated with the same tangential flow diafiltration equipment and to obtain the final product with the desired concentration. The final F(ab’)$_2$ products were dissolved in PBS (pH 7.0, supplemented with 0.007% mercurothiolate), and their protein concentration and purity were determined by BCA method and folium scan, respectively.

**SDS-PAGE**
Non-reducing SDS-PAGE gels using the Laemmli buffer system (1970) [34] were performed to check for traces of undigested IgG or large partially digested albumin fragments.

**ELISA**
Total purified F(ab’)$_2$ was measured by an indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using whole purified H5N1 as coating antigen in a tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) system. Microwell plates were coated overnight at 4°C with each of the purified influenza H5N1 virus at 1 μg/ml in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6). The wells were washed three times with 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS (PBS-T) and then blocked with 5% non-fat milk in PBS-T at 37°C for 1 h. Following three washes with PBS-T, serum samples diluted were added and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. Following five washes, HRP-conjugated goat anti-horse IgG (Sigma) diluted 2000-fold in PBS-T was added to detect the bound antibodies. Following incubation at 37°C for 1 h, the plates were washed as above and the substrate tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) solution (Sigma) was added to the wells to generate the color. After incubation at room temperature for 30 min, the reaction was stopped by adding 2 mmol/L H$_2$SO$_4$. The absorbance value at 450 nm (A450) was determined with an ELISA reader (Model 550, BioRad, USA). Antibody titer was defined as the highest dilution of F(ab’)$_2$, at which the A450 ratio (A450 of negative serum) was greater than 2.0.

**HI test**
F(ab’)$_2$ fragments were tested for antibodies to the influenza H5N1 virus Guangdong strain by hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) according to the operating procedures used in avian influenza virus recommended by World Health Organization (WHO) in 2002 [35]. HI was assessed using 25 μl each of a series of F(ab’)$_2$ dilutions 1:2, and 25 μl of HA antigen, standardized at 4 hemagglutination units (HAU) by hemagglutination titer, were added. The mixture was incubated for 1 h at room temperature, 50 μl of 1% chicken erythrocytes were added and the plate was gently shaken. The HI titer was recorded after incubation for 1 h at room temperature and is expressed as the reciprocal of the F(ab’)$_2$ dilution that inhibited hemagglutination.

**Virus neutralization activity in vitro**
Neutralizing antibody titer of F(ab’)$_2$ was determined by micro-cytopathic effect (CPE) neutralizing test with H5N1 virus Guangdong strain according to WHO protocols [35]. The F(ab’)$_2$ fragments against H5N1 virus were diluted in two-fold serially from 1:10 to 1:5120. The antibody solutions (100 μl) were mixed in 1:1 (v/v) with suspension containing 100 TCID$_{50}$ of highly purified H5N1 virus (10$^{6.5}$TCID$_{50}$/ml) particles and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The virus-antibody mix was then transferred onto MDCK cell monolayers in 96-well plates at 37°C for 1 h subsequently. Washed with MEM maintenance medium, each well was added by 100 μl MEM maintenance medium, and then incubated at 37°C in 5% CO$_2$ incubator. Positive and negative controls were set as ‘virus control’ (with
100 TCID\textsubscript{50} H5N1 virus only), 'normal cells control' [without virus or F(ab')\textsubscript{2}] and 'normal horse antibody control'. CPE status was observed every 24 h for 5 days. The neutralizing antibody titer was expressed as the reciprocal of the highest F(ab')\textsubscript{2} dilution which gave 50% neutralization of 100 TCID\textsubscript{50} of virus. The experiment was repeated three times.

**Therapeutic activity in vivo**

Female BALB/c mice, 6–8 weeks old (provided by the Animal Centre of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangdong, China), were housed within separate negative-pressure stainless steel isolators in a high-containment BSL-3 agriculture facility. Feed and water were provided ad libitum. Approval for animal experiments was obtained from the institutional animal welfare committee.

The mice were randomized to 4 groups (ten mice per group) and infected with 50 μl of H5N1 virus (10^{8.5} TCID\textsubscript{50}/ml) by intranasal route. Twenty-four hours later, 3 groups of mice were injected intraperitoneally with 50, 100, 200 μg anti-H5N1 F(ab')\textsubscript{2} fragments, respectively. A negative control group of mice received normal horse sera (200 μg). The survival of mice following the lethal challenge was scored each day for 14 days.

**Results**

**Preparation of F(ab')\textsubscript{2} fragments**

The equine hyperimmune sera were digested with pepsin. SDS-PAGE showed that digestion within 36 h completely eliminated the high molecular weight material (e.g. albumin and transferrin bands and the intact IgG), and only F(ab')\textsubscript{2} band (\sim 100 kDa) and very low molecular weight material was observed (Fig. 1). Following digestion, tangential flow diafiltration of the digested material was performed to remove all of the molecular weight lower than F(ab')\textsubscript{2}, leaving principally F(ab')\textsubscript{2} and a small quantity of high molecular weight aggregate. Finally, the anion-exchange chromatography was then performed to remove

---

**Figure 1**

The digestion of equine antiserum with pepsin, as assessed by SDS-PAGE (10%) under non-reducing conditions. Digestion samples at corresponding time points, with molecular weight markers (first lane): 97 kDa, 66 kDa, 45 kDa, 30 kDa, 20.1 kDa, respectively.

**Figure 2**

Removal of high molecular weight aggregate and pepsin by anion-exchange chromatography. Q-Sepharose FF ion-exchange separation of a diafiltrated pepsin digested antiserum. Peak I: F(ab')\textsubscript{2}, Peak II: high molecular weight aggregate and Peak III: pepsin.
the residual high molecular weight aggregate (acidic contaminants and pepsin). Diafiltered digests in diafiltration buffer (20 mM piperazine, 150 mM NaCl, pH 6.0) were separated into three peaks by anion exchange chromatography (Fig. 2). The first peak, which passed straight through the column, constituted ~90% of the material, containing the F(ab')2 fragments. Peak II, the acidic high molecular weight aggregate material was eluted by 200 ml buffer B. Peak III, the highly acidic pepsin was eluted by 20 ml buffer B. Material from the unbound peak (I) was then concentrated with a 30-kDa nMWCO ultrafilter and typically gave a final yield of 16 g F(ab')2/L antisera. The purity of F(ab')2 fragments reached over 90%, as measured by the folium scan method. The product obtained above was dissolved in a suitable volume of PBS to adjust the protein concentration to 2.0 mg/ml.

ELISA result showed that the specific activity of F(ab')2 fragments reached 1:5120 after pepsin digestion, ultra-filtration and anion-exchange chromatography.

Protective efficacy of anti-H5N1 F(ab')2, in vitro

The purified F(ab')2 was tested for HI activity against the lethal H5N1, and the HI antibody titer was determined as 1:1024. A virus neutralization assay was also included, infection of MDCK monolayer cells was carried out as described in Materials and methods. Fig. 3 displayed the neutralization photographs at 72 h with the F(ab')2. Compared with cell control (Fig. 3A), under the neutralization of 1:2048 dilution, the cells presented morphologic changes with about 50% CPE, which were calculated as the neutralization titres for F(ab')2 against the Guangdong H5N1 virus strain. CPE developed in virus controls (Fig. 3B), while anti-H5N1 F(ab')2 could protect MDCK cells from death of H5N1 virus infection and no CPE was observed (Fig. 3C).

Effectiveness of passive immunotherapy with equine anti-H5N1 F(ab')2, administered intraperitoneally

To verify the presumption that the prepared anti-H5N1 F(ab')2 fragments will have therapeutic efficacy in mammals, we tested the in vivo effectiveness of the F(ab')2 fragments in a BALB/c mouse model that had been proven to be vulnerable to infection with H5N1 virus by the intranasal route and replicated equally well in the lungs of mice without prior adaptation [36].

We assayed the therapeutic efficacy of F(ab')2 fragments against the lethal dose of H5N1 viruses by intraperitoneal injection of 50, 100, 200 μg F(ab')2 fragments/mouse using normal horse antibody as a control, 24 h after infection (Fig. 4). 50 μg of anti-H5N1 F(ab')2 were required to give 70% protection. 100 and 200 μg of anti-H5N1 F(ab')2 were required to give 100% protection. In contrast, the antibody-negative control (200 μg of non-immune equine antibody) could not provide protection and the mice in this group died completely.

Discussion

Over the past several years, cases of human infection with highly pathogenic H5N1 virus have raised international concern that we might face a global influenza pandemic in the near future. How can we arm ourselves against this pandemic threat? Although various kinds of vaccines against H5N1 virus are under development, there is still a
long way to go from bench to bedside. As the latent phase of H5N1 virus infection is short, and the symptoms are hard to distinguish from those of the common cold, any delay in diagnosis and treatment could fatally jeopardize the patient’s life. Once an individual is infected, administration of vaccine may be too late to elicit protective immunity. Meanwhile, we should seek multiple, mutually supportive intervention strategies to expand our weaponry against highly pathogenic H5N1 virus. Thus, it is imperative to develop a human H5N1 infection antidote that can provide immediate protection in such cases. In viral disease, antibodies obtained passively can deliver instant and short-term protection against infection regardless of the immune status of the host [37,38]. Development of human antibody against H5N1 virus is theoretically the ideal strategy to treat infection. However, it is difficult to obtain immune human donors. The heterogeneous antibodies, for example, equine IgGs, have an advantage in this respect. Furthermore, one theoretically potential advantage of the polyclonal IgGs is the broader antigenic coverage and the lower likelihood of emergence of escape mutants. What’s more, the heterogeneous antibodies are relatively economic and readily available upon request.

In this study, we reported the preparation of equine H5N1-specific F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) fragments and observed their protective effects against highly pathogenic H5N1 virus infection in cultured mammalian cells. The in vitro neutralization assay showed that H5N1-specific F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) had protective effects on MDCK cells against H5N1 infection. A novel antidote has to be tested in vivo before entering clinical application. Accordingly, we evaluated the protective efficiency of equine H5N1-specific F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) against the H5N1 virus infection in a BALB/c mouse model. The results showed that 100 \(\mu\)g of the F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) could protect 100% of mice infected with lethal challenge of H5N1 virus, if administrated 24 h after infection. Although the dose of F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) used here was relatively high compared with practical clinical application, this study may provide experimental data for preclinical studies regarding the effect of adoptive transfer of antibodies.

Nevertheless, the heterogeneous antibody possibly evokes a strong host immune response and inhibits its application in a clinical setting. The heterology of specific IgGs can be decreased through the preparation of F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) fragments by cutting off the Fc fragment. In this study, equine anti-H5N1 hyperimmune sera were purified by using a protocol for ‘enhanced pepsin digestion’. Equine antisera were firstly digested with pepsin to remove a small amount of high molecular weight material. Tangential-flow diafiltration was then used as a convenient and highly effective method to remove the bulk of the low molecular weight contaminants (e.g. albumin, albumin fragments, and transferrin). However, diafiltration is ineffective at removing pepsin. Pepsin will bind to an anion-exchange matrix in the presence of 150 mM NaCl at pH 6.0. For most F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) fragments they pass straight through the column at this salt concentration. Further more, other acidic residual fragments, including the residual high molecular weight aggregates, also bind to the column at this salt concentration and are removed. Anion exchange was therefore used as a final purification step to remove the remaining pepsin and high molecular weight aggregates. Final yields of 16 g F(\(\text{ab}')_2\))/L equine anti-H5N1 sera with a purity of over 90% were obtained, which compares favorably with the value of 6–14 g F(\(\text{ab}')_2\))/L equine plasma reported [39]. In addition, this simple, high yield protocol for processing serum to highly purified F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) avoids the need for an initial or any subsequent salt precipitation step and can be utilised for either bench or large scale production of F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) notably for immunotherapeutic use.

Until we have an efficacious vaccine, specific anti-H5N1 agents, and effective epidemiologic control measures for H5N1 virus infection, highly pathogenic H5N1 virus is likely to be a major health threat to the world. In this article, we have attempted to provide an alternative pathway of prevention and treatment of H5N1 infection, and in doing so we hope that F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) purified from equine antiserum can play a poten role in combating the H5N1 virus. H5N1-specific F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) is polyclonal and polyvalent, so it may contain a wide variety of antibodies to variable or stable influenza H5N1 virus antigens, and may thus be of value for use in passive immunotherapy for prophylaxis and early treatment of influenza H5N1 infection. Influenza A H5N1-specific F(\(\text{ab}')_2\)) fragments may potentially be used for the early treatment of avian influenza patients to reduce the severity of illness and the likelihood of H5N1 transmission to others.
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