Nanoindentation Test Conducted on Single Scan Tracks for the Development of New Multi-Principal Element Alloys
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Abstract

Urgent environmental challenges and emerging additive manufacturing (AM) technologies push research towards more performant and new materials. In the field of metallurgy, high entropy alloys (HEAs) have recently represented a topic of intense research because of their promising properties, such as high temperature strength and stability. Moreover, this class of multi-principal element alloys (MPEAs) have opened up researcher community to unexplored compositional spaces, making prosper literature of high-throughput methodologies and tools for rapidly screening large number of alloys. However, none of the methods has been aimed to design new MPEAs for AM process known as selective laser melting (SLM) so far. Here we conducted nanoindentation testing on single scan tracks of elemental powder blends and pre-alloyed powders after ball milling of AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb. Results show that nanoindentation can represent an effective technique to gain information about phase evolution during laser scanning, contributing to accelerate the development of new MPEAs.

Introduction

Additive manufacturing (AM) laser powder bed fusion (LPBF) process commonly known as selective laser melting (SLM), has been addressing increasing interests in processing new materials. In SLM a computer-controlled laser beam locally melts single layers of metal powders, which rapidly solidify creating unique microstructures. However, the possibility of a significant material development using traditional alloy design is still limited. In a high entropy alloy (HEA), the base alloy has significant atomic fractions of several elements. Differently to conventional alloys – which consist of one principal element and few quantities of other elements – HEAs are nominally formed by five or more elements in equal or near-equal atomic concentration [1]. In many cases, because of the chemical heterogeneity, HEAs may exhibit complex microstructures with brittle intermetallics or other complex ordered phases [2]. However, the selection of starting elements, together with the high entropy of mixing, may lead to the stabilization of simple single phase microstructures, either face-centered cubic (FCC) or body-centered cubic (BCC) [1, 3] characterized by a broad range of interesting properties, such as high thermal stability [4] and strength [5]. It is worth noting that in the last years also systems with < 5 principal elements in equal-atomic concentration, were found to exhibit simple solid solution phases [6,7]. For this reason, the more general term of ‘multi-principal element alloys’ (MPEAs) has emerged [8]. Regardless the nomenclatures, due to the vast number of compositions that can be tailored using a multi-principal element design, it was necessary to adopt high-throughput methodologies for rapidly screening a large number of alloy systems. These methods mainly include (1) empirical rules to predict the formation of solid solutions [2, 10, 11] or semiempirical computational Calphad method to assess number and type of phases in certain conditions [8, 9] and (2) production of thin films [12] or bulk samples by casting [13] for high-throughput evaluation of material properties. Empirical rules represent a relatively fast and ready-to-use method for alloy screening and they generally use three parameters, the entropy of mixing ($\Delta S_{mix}$), the enthalpy of mixing ($\Delta H_{mix}$) and the atomic size parameter ($\delta$) or a combination of them [2, 10, 11].

Miracle et al. [14] suggested a theoretical strategy to develop new MPE structural alloys by combining high-throughput Calphad computations as screening tool and high-throughput experiments for...
properties evaluation, i.e EDS mapping and nanoindentation on thin films. As reported in literature, these are well-established screening techniques [12, 15]. Recently, Maier-Kiener et al. [16] has successfully employed nanoindentation testing as a powerful screening tool to detect phase decomposition at high temperature on the equiatomic CoCrFeMnNi HEA produced by casting. Finally, Haase et al. [17] introduced a high-throughput computing and experimental methodology for rapid alloy screening and design, consisting on the production of bulk samples by AM laser metal deposition (LMD) technique starting from elemental powder blends and validated the method on the CoCrFeMnNi Cantor alloy. However, to the best of our knowledge, none of the strategies suggested by now is directly aimed to design new HEAs/MPEAs by SLM.

In the present study, we conducted nanoindentation testing on cross sections of single laser scan tracks (SLSTs) of two MPEAs, AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb, obtained from elemental powder mixtures and on AlTiCuNb powders obtained by high-energy ball milling. In SLST, a thin layer of powders is laser scanned according to one directional line at fixed laser power and scanning speed. In this way, it could provide significant information on the interaction between laser and powder, crucial in designing new alloys for SLM. Nanoindentation testing is a promising tool for gaining information on phase evolution during SLM and on the mechanical response of the selected systems, contributing to accelerate the development of new MPEAs for SLM.

Materials and method

The AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb equal-atomic mixtures were prepared starting from elemental powders. From now on, they will be called AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb, respectively. Sizes, manufacturers and thermo-physical properties of elemental powders are listed in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. The present alloy systems were selected as they reveal a strong possibility to form a BCC solid solution, according to the empirical solid solution prediction rules proposed by Yang et al. [18] and Guo et al. [19]. Table 3 shows the values for the predictive parameters. They were calculated using the following expressions:

\[ \delta = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (1 - \frac{r_i}{\bar{r}})^2} \]

\[ \Delta H_{mix} = \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{n} 4 \Delta H_{mix,AB} c_i c_j, \quad \Delta S_{mix} = -R \sum_{i} c_i \ln c_i, \quad \alpha = \frac{T_m \Delta S_{mix}}{\Delta H_{mix}} \]

where \( r_i \) is the atomic radius of the \( i^{th} \) element, \( \bar{r} \) is the mean atomic radius, \( c_i \) and \( c_j \) are the atomic concentration of the \( i^{th} \) and \( j^{th} \) component, \( R \) is the universal gas constant and \( T_m \) is the mean melting temperature.

A second batch of the AlTiCuNb powder mixture (called AlTiCuNb\text{mix}) was prepared by mechanical alloying and milled for 20h with hardened steel balls in a high energy Planetary Ball Mill Pulverisette 7 by Fritsch, at 450 rpm in Ar atmosphere and ball-to-powder weight ratio (BPR) 6:1. Phase analysis was performed using a X-Pert Philips diffractometer with a Cu Kα radiation in a Bragg Brentano configuration in a 2θ range between 20 and 100 degrees (operated at 40 KV and 30 mA with a 0.02° step size and 1 sec per step).

Table 1: Composition of metal powders used and their suppliers.

| Element | Purity (%) | Particle size (µm) | Supplier       |
|---------|------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Al      | 99.5       | <45                | Alpha Aesar    |
| Ti      | 99.2       | <45                | TLS technik    |
| Cu      | 99.9       | 5-25               | Sandvik        |
| Nb      | 99.8       | 1-5                | ABCR           |
| V       | 99.9       | <45                | Nmd            |

Table 2: Thermo-physical properties of elements.

| Element | Al     | Ti     | Cu     | V      | Nb     |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| \( r \) (pm) | 143.17 | 146.15 | 127.8  | 131.6  | 142.9  |
| \( T_m \) (°C) | 660    | 1668   | 1085   | 1910   | 2477   |
| VEC     | 3      | 4      | 11     | 5      | 5      |
| \( \Delta H_{A-B} \) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | Ti: -30 | Cu: -9 | V: -2  | Nb: -18 | 2      | 3      | -1     |
Table 3: Theoretical density and solid solution prediction parameters for AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb. $\delta$ is the atomic size parameter and $\omega$ is the solid solution formability parameter. $\delta \leq 6.6\%$ and $\omega \geq 1.1$ are the conditions to have the formation of a solid solution [18].

| Alloy       | $\rho_{th}$ [g/cm$^3$] | VEC | $\delta$ [%] | $\Delta H_{mix}$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$] | $\Delta S_{mix}$ [J/K mol$^{-1}$] | $\omega$ |
|-------------|------------------------|-----|--------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| AlTiCuNb    | 6                      | 5.75| 5.1          | -13.25                        | 11.53                           | 1.52   |
| AlTiVNb     | 5.5                    | 4.25| 3.9          | -16.25                        | 11.53                           | 1.38   |

SLSTs of AlTiCuNb$\text{mix}$, AlTiVNb$\text{mix}$ and AlTiCuNb$\text{mill}$ powders were produced by LPBF using an EOS M270 Dual Mode system equipped with a 200 W Yb fiber-laser beam ($\lambda = 1064$ nm) with a spot size of 100 μm, working in a protective Ar atmosphere. A single layer of powders of 50 μm thickness was deposited on three different AlSi10Mg substrate disks mounted on the building platform and they were all scanned by the laser beam at the constant power of 195 W and at four different scanning velocities (300, 400, 500, 600 mm/s). Due to the large differences in melting temperatures among single elements, in order to be sure to melt all the elemental constituents, each track was laser scanned three times, consecutively. Figure 1 shows the experimental equipment during laser irradiation.

Shapes and morphologies of mixed and milled powders were observed by means of Field Emission Scanning Electron Micrograph (FESEM-FEG) Zeiss SupraTM 40. Cross sections of SLSTs were inspected by means of optical microscope (OM) Leica DMI 5000 M and FESEM-FEG Zeiss SupraTM 40, equipped with an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS) for chemical composition. In order to assess phase evolution of AlTiCuNb$\text{mix}$, AlTiVNb$\text{mix}$ and AlTiCuNb$\text{mill}$ during SLM and the overall strengthening effect resulting from the multi-principal element design approach, nanoindentation experiments were performed on end-polished cross sections of SLSTs. Nanoindentation testing was carried out using a TI950 nanoindenter (Hysitron) equipped with a diamond Berkovich tip. A controlled load was applied to the specimens and then removed, producing traditional force versus displacement curves. The analysis of the curves, then, provides information regarding the mechanical properties of the specimen. The load was increased at 125 μN/s up a maximum load of 2.5 mN and then decreased at the same rate. Load was applied for 5 sec. A grid of 16x13 indentations, distant 20 μm from each other, was realized. For our experiment, the punctual hardness values, expressed in GPa, were plotted using bubble maps.

Results and discussion

Figure 2 illustrates morphologies of elemental powders of the equal-atomic mixtures AlTiCuNb$\text{mix}$ and AlTiVNb$\text{mix}$ (Figure 2a and Figure 2b, respectively). Copper and titanium particles have spherical morphology, aluminium particles have elongated shape and both vanadium and niobium particles exhibit a characteristic plate-like sharp shape. After 20h of milling, AlTiCuNb$\text{mill}$ powder particles (Figure 2c) show different morphology compared to the elemental powder mixture (Figure 2a). Particles are more aggregated but also more uniform in size as consequence of the repeated welding and fracturing experienced during ball milling. In Figure 2d, the XRD pattern conducted on the AlTiCuNb$\text{mill}$ powders shows the formation of a BCC phase, in agreement with the prediction model [18]. Peaks exhibit broadening and weakening as consequence of microstructural refinement during ball milling.
As stated before, consolidation of powders and assessment of the interactions between laser and powders, both in the mixed and milled conditions, were studied by means of SLSTs. Figure 3 shows the cross sections of all SLSTs made. At any conditions, they are characterized by a dome-shaped head and a remelted zone within the support disk. Visual inspection of cross sections reveals that the head is always less developed than the remelting zone and that its height is independent of scanning speed. The triple laser scanning, indeed, may have contributed in flattening the head and producing a deeper remelting zone. However, AlTiVNb\textsubscript{mix} powder seems to interact with laser differently from AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mix} and AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mill}, showing a higher head. Furthermore, for any powder type, remelting depth seems to decrease with scanning speeds, especially in AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mill}. Therefore, in sight of these observations, it can be concluded that both the composition of the MPEAs (AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb) and the processing of powders (mixed or milled) affect the morphology of the SLST.

![Figure 3: Cross sections of SLSTs of AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mix} (first row), AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mill} (second row) and AlTiVNb\textsubscript{mix} (third row) at different scanning speeds (300, 400, 500 and 600 mm/s). Laser power is constant at 195 W.](image)

Figures 4-5 and 6 report nanoindentations, OM micrographs, FESEM magnification and EDX quantitative analyses on SLSTs of AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mix}, AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mill} and AlTiVNb\textsubscript{mix} respectively, all processed at 500 mm/s and 195 W. For any powders, nano hardness bubble maps show a significant strengthening as consequence of the MPEAs compared to the AlSi10Mg support disk (average nano hardness is 1.3 GPa). AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mill} (Figure 5a) presents the highest hardness homogeneity, likely due to the good dispersion and alloying of elements after the milling process but it is characterized also by the lowest average hardness (2.7 GPa). As one might expect as consequence of the milling process, EDX mapping reveals distribution of Ti, Cu and Nb that is close to the equal-atomic one (Figure 5c). Differently,
AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mix} shows a strong Cu enrichment (Figure 4c) and an average hardness of 3.2 GPa. For both systems, the overall strengthening is still low, suggesting that AlTiCuNb might not be such an interesting system to further develop. On the contrary, AlTiVNb\textsubscript{mix} (Figure 6a), whilst it has a sharp hardness discontinuity near the central region of the remelting zone likely due to element segregations within the melt pool, reveals good mechanical properties in the upper region. Here average hardness is 3.8 GPa and the distribution of Ti, V and Nb is close to the equal-atomic one (Figure 6c). These observations suggest that AlTiVNb may be an interesting MPE system to develop further by SLM of elemental powder mixing.

Conclusions

Methodologies for rapid exploration of new HEAs/MPEAs are important to develop new alloys by SLM. In the present work, two MPEAs, AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb, likely to form a BCC single phase according to empirical solid solution rules, were studied. The cross sections of SLSTs obtained by SLM of equal-atomic elemental powder mixtures of AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mix}, AlTiVNb\textsubscript{mix} and AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mill} were analysed. Image, mechanical and chemical analysis of SLSTs of MPEAs can be a useful tool to gain information about phase evolution of MPEAs during SLM. Our findings show that laser scan speed affects the remelting depth of the SLST of MPEAs. The AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mill} has the lowest remelting depths at any scanning speeds. Furthermore, the difference in chemical composition between AlTiCuNb and AlTiVNb affects the height of the SLST head. Then, we have also shown that nanoindentation testing, coupled with EDS analysis for chemical composition, could represent a useful tool to target promising MPE systems to be developed further by SLM. Particularly, we found that AlTiCuNb\textsubscript{mix} has a low nano hardness and a strong tendency to Cu segregation, differently from AlTiVNb\textsubscript{mix} which shows a significantly higher hardness and a close-to-equal-atomic distribution of elements.
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