Storage and efficacy of entomopathogenic nematode species as a biocontrol agent against the armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (FABRICIUS) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Salma Javed*, Tabassum Ara Khanum and Ashraf Ali

**Abstract**

**Background**: The armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (FABRICIUS) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), has a wide geographical distribution and it is a serious pest of many ornamentals, vegetables and crops (Ahmad and Mehmood 2015). Under favorable conditions, it has a great potential to cause outbreaks and inflict a massive yield loss to the growers. Globally, it has been reported as a major pest for 120 cultivated and uncultivated plant species distributed in 44 families (Gao et al. 2014). Heavy resistance to all conventional and some new chemistry insecticides have also been observed which need a proper rotation and alternatives for long-term benefits in pest management (Saleem et al. 2008). The exploit of alternative methods in a pest management has a number of profits and has proved their efficiency in integrated pest management in some rural crops. These benefits are: drop off in usage of chemicals; lesser manufacturing expenses; avoidance of extreme deposits levels and minimum hazard of pests mounting resistance, reduce the opportunity of insects recurrence (Shahina et al. 2017).

The nematodes of the family Steinernematidae Travassos, 1927, and Heterorhabditidae Poinar, 1975 along with symbiotic bacteria *Xenorhabdus* (Thomas and Poinar

**Background**

The armyworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), has a wide geographical distribution and it is a serious pest of many ornamentals, vegetables and crops (Ahmad and Mehmood 2015). Under favorable conditions, it has a great potential to cause outbreaks and inflict a massive yield loss to the growers. Globally, it has been reported as a major pest for 120 cultivated and uncultivated plant species distributed in 44 families (Gao et al. 2014). Heavy resistance to all conventional and some new chemistry insecticides have also been observed which need a proper rotation and alternatives for long-term benefits in pest management (Saleem et al. 2008). The exploit of alternative methods in a pest management has a number of profits and has proved their efficiency in integrated pest management in some rural crops. These benefits are: drop off in usage of chemicals; lesser manufacturing expenses; avoidance of extreme deposits levels and minimum hazard of pests mounting resistance, reduce the opportunity of insects recurrence (Shahina et al. 2017).

The nematodes of the family Steinernematidae Travassos, 1927, and Heterorhabditidae Poinar, 1975 along with symbiotic bacteria *Xenorhabdus* (Thomas and Poinar
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1979) and *Photorhabdus* Boemare et al. 1993 have come to be regarding as optimistic biological control agent of a broad range of farming crop pests and the key constituent in IPM (Tabassum and Salma 2020). Their virulence mechanism and the infection process are based on the free-living infective juveniles (IJ), which are highly mobile and responsible for the dispersal of the nematodes (Ciche et al. 2008). Globally many researchers are now investigating the possible exploit of EPNs as biological controls to convene the innovative values, require approximately residue free fruits and vegetables (Shahina et al. 2017). Successful commercialization can be achieved by a maximum survival of IJs in a formulation for a long period before their utilization in the field. Nematode mortality may vary from 70 to 100%, if storage life of the formulated product has expired (Grewal 2002). The approval of beneficial nematode grounded products influenced on their permanency during shipment, healthy shelf life and their ease of practice and reliable enactment in field conditions (Piggot and Wardlow 2002).

Therefore, the present study was designed to evaluate different substrates for storage of EPNs and their efficacy against *S. litura* under laboratory conditions.

**Methods**

**Storage of entomopathogenic nematodes on different substrates**

Entomopathogenic nematodes species, viz., *S. pakistanense*, *S. siamkayai*, *S. ceratophorum*, *S. bifurcatum* and *H. indica*, were stored in incubating chamber on polyether polyurethane foam, distilled water and soil medium for 2, 4, 8, 10 and 12 months duration at 15–18 °C and tested viability of nematodes, sponge was soaked separately. Sponge-coated pieces were stored in a plastic bag sealed with tips and tied with rubber band. To check viability of nematodes, sponge was soaked separately (each species and treatment) in 20 ml water filled in a 100-ml sterilized beaker for half an hour and squeezed to release the nematodes.

**Polyether polyurethane sponge**

Polyether sponge was cut into small pieces (1.25 × 1.25 cm) cleanse with 75% ethanol and sterilized at 60 °C for 10 min. Freshly emerged from infected *Galleria mellonella* larvae, 1000 infective juveniles of each species were evenly poured on 240 gm pieces of sponge separately. Sponge-coated pieces were stored in a plastic bag sealed with tips and tied with rubber band. To check viability of nematodes, sponge was soaked separately (each species and treatment) in 20 ml water filled in a 100-ml sterilized beaker for half an hour and squeezed to release the nematodes.

**Distilled water**

Freshly harvested IJs of the five species of EPNs were stored in 80 ml distilled water in 250-ml conical flask separately at the concentration of 200 IJs/ml.

**Soil medium**

A 100-ml sterilized beakers was filled up to 80 ml with sterilized sand. Newly propagated 1000 IJs of mentioned nematodes species were pipetted in a beaker with 5 ml water suspension separately. For incubation beakers were covered with aluminum foil tied with elastic band. After completion of time survival of IJs was calculated by Cobb’s sieving and decanting method using 150 mesh sieves over a 500 mesh sieve. Nematodes were retained on the bottom sieve and then collected to estimate the survival percentage.

**Efficacy of EPNs against *Spodoptera litura***

Batches of 25 *S. litura* last stage larvae were placed in each 6-cm plastic Petri dish bottomed with moist filter paper and treated with nematode concentrations @ 150, 250 & 350 IJs in 1 ml distilled water with few drops of 2% Tween 80 as emulsifier. Plates were sealed with Parafilm and incubated at 28–30 °C. Mortality was determined after 48 h and untreated as a control, with 1 ml of distilled water without nematodes. Each treatment had four replicates and the experiments were repeated 3 times on different dates. The cause of mortality of insect was confirmed by dissecting the insect and observing the presence of nematodes.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical data were analyzed by multifactor analysis of variance (ANOVA, followed by Duncan’s multiple range test (*P* < 0.05) for separation of means (Duncan 1955).

**Results**

**Polyether polyurethane sponge**

In sponge after 2 months of storage, the average survival rates of *S. ceratophorum*, *S. pakistanense* were 72% and *S. siamkayai* 71%, *S. bifurcatum* 77% while *H. indica* showed 75% survival rate. As the time duration increased, the survival rates of *H. indica* declined up to 50%, while *S. ceratophorum*, *S. pakistanense* showed 45%, *S. bifurcatum* 42% and *S. siamkayai* 35% (Fig. 1). *S. pakistanense* showed a high survival during 2–6 months in sponge medium (ANOVA *F* = 3.6; df = 3, 15; *P* < 0.05), whereas *S. bifurcatum* showed a maximum survival in sponge during 2–6 months (ANOVA *F* = 3.2; df = 3, 15; *P* < 0.05), while *H. indica* showed a minimum survival in sponge during 2–6 months (ANOVA *F* = 0.2; df = 3, 15; *P* < 0.05),
whereas *S. ceratophorum*, *S. siamkayai* showed less significant survival rates in sponge during 2–6 months (ANOVA $F = 0.135; df = 3, 15; P < 0.05$).

**Distilled water**

After 2 months of storage in distilled water, the average survival rates of *S. ceratophorum* were 65%, *S. pakistanense* 72%, *S. bifurcatum* 68% and *S. siamkayai* and *H. indica* showed 64% of viability. After half year of storage the survival percentage of *S. ceratophorum* and *S. pakistanense* was 56 and 60%, respectively. *S. siamkayai* and *H. indica* showed 52% and *S. bifurcatum* 42%. After completion of one year of storage all species survival rates in distilled water were found to be 32–36% (Fig. 1). In water, *S. pakistanense* showed a significant high survival during 2–6 months (ANOVA $F = 8.4; df = 4, 15; P < 0.05$), while *S. ceratophorum*, *S. bifurcatum*, *S. siamkayai* and *H. indica* showed a moderate significance during 2–6 months (ANOVA $F = 4.0; df = 3, 15; P < 0.05$).

**Soil medium**

In soil medium, viability of nematodes is shown in (Fig. 1) from 2 to 12 months of storage. In soil medium after 2 months of storage less number of nematodes survived of all tested species as compared to other substrate. The survival rates ranged from 35 to 60%at 6 months of storage, declined up to 22–30%. In soil, all type of nematodes showed nonsignificant survival rates during 2–6 months (ANOVA $F = 0.1; df = 4, 15; P < 0.05$).

**Efficacy of EPNs against Spodoptera litura**

Isolates of *S. bifurcatum*, *S. ceratophorum*, *S. pakistanense*, *S. siamkayai* and *H. indica* were evaluated to find, infectivity and mortality *S. litura* last stage larvae at different concentrations at 28–30 °C by filter assay in laboratory experiments (Fig. 2). The ANOVA showed significant differences among effectiveness of nematode species on army worm (ANOVA $F = 24; df = 4; P = 0.0005$). Concentration of nematodes also diverged significantly (RCBD-one-way ANOVA $F = 23; df = 4; P < 0.05$) and impact of the three concentrations with five nematode species also had the remarkable results on army worm (ANOVA $F = 30; df = 4; P < 0.05$). Outcome verified that the EPNs could restrain the frequency of *S. litura*.* S. pakistanense*, *S. bifurcatum* and *H. indica* showed higher mortality rates (87–95%) at high application concentrations than *S. ceratophorum* and *S. siamkayai* (74–78%). Maximum mortality rates were attained at the concentrations of 350 IJs/ml (Fig. 2) after 48 h. At the lowest concentration (150 IJs/ml) 60% of army worms were killed by *S. bifurcatum*, after 48 h of exposure time showing significant differences with 50% against *H. indica* and *S. ceratophorum*. The mortality rates of *S. litura* increased depends upon the concentrations. At 350 IJs/ml, *S. pakistanense* showed the highest mortality rates (95%), while the lowest one was (74%) by *S. ceratophorum*. Nematode juveniles reproduced in the larvae of *S. litura*, which were clearly seen when dead larvae were transferred to vacant cavity block.
Discussion

For field application of EPNs as biocontrol agents against agricultural pests, key necessities are the suitable packing of IJs at optimum temperature according to species requirement, so that infective juvenile can persist with maximum shelf life (Lalramliana and Yadav 2009).

In the present study, five species of EPNs, viz., *S. bifurcatum*, *S. ceratophorum*, *S. pakistanense*, *S. siamkayai* and *H. indica*, were stored in three different substrates sponge, water and soil medium to check the longevity for 2–12 months. The best storage medium was sponge where the maximum number of infective juveniles remained alive, followed by water and then soil medium. Lewis and Shapiro-Ilan (2002) used sand, starch substrate and soil (high clay content) to store *S. carpocapsae* IJs. It was observed that, depending on the substrate used for storage, the IJs moved and obtained oxygen more or less easily and were able to increase or decrease their energy expenditures. The starch substrate, in which the space between particles is small, was not suitable to extend IJs survival. The soil used in the experiment was considered poorer for storage than sand; this can be explained by the fact that nematode movement is more difficult in that substrate, increasing energy expenditure and decreasing oxygen diffusion. Andalo et al. (2011) evaluated substrates to extend the survival of entomopathogenic nematodes, suspensions of 3000 IJ ml⁻¹ of *Heterorhabditis* sp. JPM4 and *Steinernema carpocapsae*. All were added to dirt, fine sand, coarse sand, foam, expanded clay, phenolic foam, agar, corn starch, Plantmax®, and water. *S. carpocapsae* IJs were still alive after 180 days in the foam treatment as compared to other treatments, while expanded clay, Plantmax® and phenolic foam were not effective in maintaining the survival rate. Foam, coarse sand and fine sand provided greater *Heterorhabditis* species IJs survival at 180 days. Agar, phenolic foam and Plantmax® had lower survival indices than the control. The polythene sponges used as carriers for infective juvenile storage have been successful in many studies (Ley and Mundo-Campos 2004). The physical carriers can reduce the stress as compared to water suspension by simulating a natural environment because it provided a large surface area for oxygenation through perforation (San-Blas 2013). The use of storage substrates can reduce stress by creating an environment more similar to natural conditions than storage in water alone, as those substrates provide better oxygenation and conditions for IJ movement (Andalo et al. 2010).

In the present investigation, five species of EPNs, viz., were also evaluated against the last stage larvae of *S. litura*. As nematode concentration increases the mortality response increases. Radhakrishan and Shanmugam (2017) also reported that the percentage mortality increased with increase in the concentration of *H. indica* and *S. glaseri*. *H. indica* proved to be more effective. Rashad et al. (2018) found that the highest mortality rates of the second instar larvae were observed by using the mixtures of *S. carpocapsae* or *H. indica*. Similar result was reported by Acharya et al. (2020) with *H. bacteriophora*, *H. indica*, *S. carpocapsae* and *S. longicaudum* against fall armyworm. Yan et al. (2020) screened 15 EPN isolates against *S. litura* larvae and stated that EPN are effective against *S. litura* and can reduce the
overuse of chemical insecticides. Other researchers were also reported that *H. indica* and *S. carpocapsae* are highly effective against *S. litura* and other lepidopteran pests, viz., (Holajjer et al. 2014). Park et al. (2001) studied that the last instar larvae of *S. litura* were more sensitive than younger one of *S. litura* upon infection of EPN because the size of the last larva is larger to provide more chance for IJ penetration.

**Conclusions**

It was concluded that *S. bifurcatum, S. pakistanense* and *H. indica* have higher virulence, penetration and reproduction rates than *S. ceratophorum* against *S. litura*. For sustainable agriculture farming, EPNs as a biocontrol agent is vital implement in pest management approach. One of the significant desires in employing beneficial nematodes is the appropriate storing of infective juveniles of EPNs, in a given inhabitants and also at optimal temperature, so that maximum IJs can persist for extensive times for application in field conditions. The current study showed that the best storage medium was sponge where the maximum number of infective juveniles remains alive followed by water and soil medium. Long-term storage and persistence is the need of the hour for successful applications.
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ANOVA: Analysis of variance; RCBD: Randomized completely block design; EPNs: Entomopathogenic nematodes; IJs: Infective juveniles.
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