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Acetalated dextran (Ac-DEX) is a pH-responsive dextran derivative polymer. Prepared by a simple acetalation reaction, Ac-DEX has tunable acid-triggered release profile. Despite its relatively short research history, Ac-DEX has shown great potential in various therapeutic applications. Furthermore, the recent functionalization of Ac-DEX makes versatile derivatives with additional properties. Herein, we summarize the cutting-edge development of Ac-DEX and related polymers. Specifically, we focus on the chemical synthesis, nano- and micro-particle fabrication techniques, the controlled-release mechanisms, and the rational design Ac-DEX-based of drug delivery systems in various biomedical applications. Finally, we briefly discuss the challenges and future perspectives in the field.

Introduction

Dextran is a family of natural polysaccharides produced from microbial origins. Depending on its origin, dextran has main chains consisting of α-1,6-glucosidic linkages with different lengths and different ratios of branches via 1,3 linkages.

Acetalated dextran (Ac-DEX) is one of the most investigated dextran derivatives. It was first reported by Bachelder et al. in 2008, synthesized by a simple one-step reaction, between dextran and 2-methoxypropene, catalysed by pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS). After acetalation of pendant hydroxyl groups on dextran, the resulted polymer (Ac-DEX) becomes insoluble in water, but soluble in organic solvents such as ethanol, ethyl acetate, and dichloromethane. Furthermore, these acetal groups are prone to hydrolysis in physiological conditions, giving rise to the pH-responsiveness.

Dextran is well-known for its biocompatibility and biodegradability, and has been used since the 1940s as a blood volume expander administrated intravenously. Recent studies have further explored its potential for drug delivery applications by chemical conjugation and functionalization, which enable dextran to have desirable physicochemical properties and stimuli-responsiveness for controlled drug release behaviour.
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to acidic hydrolysis, which recovers dextran with methanol andacetone as degradation products. The hydrophobicity and solubility in organic solvents makes Ac-DEX favourable for drug encapsulation by both precipitation and emulsion techniques, and the acid-triggered degradation makes it possible to release the drug in specific acidified conditions, such as endosomal compartments, tumour microenvironment and inflamed area.

As a result of its relatively simple preparation, fabrication and controllable degradation, the past decade has witnessed a growing interest in Ac-DEX-based drug delivery systems with a considerable amount of literature published every year. For example, there is a review about Ac-DEX by Bachelder et al. in 2016, focusing on the original development of this polymer and its applications especially in vaccine delivery.

In this feature article, we summarize the state-of-the-art progress in this field, and provide a systematic and comprehensive analysis of Ac-DEX, including polymer synthesis, nano- and micro-particles fabrication, controlled release behaviour and therapeutic applications. Especially, we highlight the development of new Ac-DEX derivatives, the fabrication of complex Ac-DEX composite particles using microfluidic techniques, and the emerging therapeutic applications by Ac-DEX based nano- and micro-particles. In the end, we briefly summarize the current challenges in the field, and provide critical insights into the future developments.

Acetalated dextran: synthesis and functional derivatives

As briefly introduced in the previous section, the original synthetic scheme of Ac-DEX is shown in Fig. 1a. There are both cyclic and acyclic acetal groups on Ac-DEX. The formation of acyclic acetals was fast and kinetically favoured. According to the detailed kinetic study, more than 80% of hydroxyl groups have been substituted by acyclic acetals within 5 min. In contrast, the formation of cyclic acetals was thermodynamically favourable. When prolonging the reaction time, cyclic acetals gradually replaced acyclic ones. Therefore, by tuning reaction time, Ac-DEX with different ratios of cyclic and acyclic acetals can be obtained. The ratio of cyclic and acyclic acetals is an important structural character of Ac-DEX, because it has a direct impact on the polymer degradation rate. Acyclic acetals hydrolyse rapidly into methanol and acetone, while cyclic acetals hydrolyse into acetone slowly. By adjusting the ratio of cyclic and acyclic acetals, it is possible to control the polymer degradation half-life.

Apart from 2-methoxypropene, other enol ethers have been used for dextran acetalation (Fig. 1b). For example, Ace-DEX, synthesized from 2-ethoxypropene, have been thoroughly investigated. Compared with Ac-DEX, Ace-DEX has similar physiochemical properties and pH-dependent degradation by hydrolysis. The most significant difference is the degradation products (acetone and ethanol), instead of acetone and methanol as in the case of Ac-DEX. Ethanol is less toxic than methanol, making Ace-DEX possible for high dosing in vivo applications.

A recent publication expanded the Ac-DEX family by incorporating 5-, 6-, and 7-membered ring cyclic enol ethers in the synthesis (Fig. 1b). The reaction kinetics of 5- and 7-membered ring cyclic enol ethers were similar to Ac-DEX, featuring fast acyclic acetal formation, followed by a gradual conversion to cyclic acetals. However, the reaction with methoxycyclohexene was relatively slow. A high acyclic acetal coverage was maintained over the reaction, with slowly increasing cyclic acetal substitution. As a result of the hydrophobicity of cyclic acetals, spirocyclic Ac-DEX become water-insoluble and organic-soluble at 20–40% hydroxyl substitution, while for Ac-DEX, only >70% substitution enables organic solubility. The lower acetal coverage means there are more free hydroxyls for further functionalization, and less hydrolysis by-products generated during degradation.

In addition to the investigation on the acetals groups, Ac-DEX functionalization on hydroxyl groups have been developed to maximize its potential for drug delivery. A noticeable example is
cationic Ac-DEX (Fig. 1c). By partial oxidation, a small portion of hydroxyl groups on dextran are converted to aldehyde, followed by acetalation. Finally, the oxidized Ac-DEX is modified by different primary amines, with the imine bond reduced by sodium borohydride. The first report of cationic Ac-DEX was by Cohen et al., using spermine. By elemental analysis, there were on average 6.6 spermine per 100 anhydroglucose units. Another study used ethylenediamine for modification, yielding a series of polymers with 7.4–10.1 primary amines per 100 anhydroglucose units. The positively charged Ac-DEX overcomes the difficulty in encapsulating anionic macromolecule, such as siRNA, and provides functional amine groups after particle formation for
Nano- and micro-particles fabrication

The applications of dextran and its chemical derivatives in the therapeutic field benefit from the formulation into micro/nano-particles, scaffolds, confetti, and fibres. This review will focus on micro/nano-particles. The main fabrication methods are nanoprecipitation, single emulsion, double emulsion, electro-spray, and spray drying. We provide a brief introduction of the theoretical basis of each of the techniques, and elicit how they were used to prepare Ac-DEX nano- and micro-particles. Particularly, we focus on nanoprecipitation and single emulsion, which are conventionally used methodologies but recently attract more attention with the development of microfluidics. Specific examples of drug-loaded Ac-DEX microparticles and NPs are summarized in Table 1, in terms of their preparation method, physical characteristics and drug loading efficiency. For other Ac-DEX constructs, such as scaffolds, microconfetti and nanofibers, we refer the reader to the review from Bachelder et al.10

Nanoprecipitation

Nanoprecipitation describes the bottom-up formation of NPs when the polymer solution encounters an antisolvent, or experiences a variation in pH and salt concentration.3 The process of nanoprecipitation follows 4 distinct steps, namely, induction of a supersaturation condition, nucleation, rapid decrease in the supersaturation blocking further nucleation, and growth on the surface of the nuclei by deposition of monomers.2 A deeper analysis of nanoprecipitation mechanisms can be found elsewhere.52,53

Conventional nanoprecipitation. Ac-DEX NPs can be produced in a conventional nanoprecipitation setup, i.e., adding Ac-DEX organic solution to the miscible aqueous antisolvent under rapid magnetic stirring. Shkodra-Pula et al. dissolved Ac-DEX in acetone and controlled the addition of the polymer solution into the antisolvent (40 mL of 0.3% polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) aqueous solution) using a syringe pump to ensure a constant flow rate.35 Solvent evaporation (acetone) was achieved by stirring for 24 h in a fume hood. The particles were then rinsed in ultrapure water to remove both residues of organic solvent and the PVA. Importantly, in order to prevent the pH-dependent degradation of the polymer, triethylamine was added to the water to increase the pH. The average size of the empty Ac-DEX particles after rinsing was 210 nm, with a PDI of 0.17. The size of the particles decreased when the particles were loaded with drugs and fluorescent probes to 163 nm. Furthermore, the particles were lyophilized and redispersed in ultrapure water. The average size remained constant (211 nm vs. 210 nm before lyophilization). However, the PDI increased from 0.17 to 0.26. These NPs were developed for the delivery of BRP-187, a molecule with anti-inflammatory effect. The loading degree achieved was on average 1.7%, with an average encapsulation efficiency of 59–67%.

Conventional nanoprecipitation can be employed also in the formulation of more complex core–shell NPs. For example, Kong et al. combined core calcium carbonate particles with a shell of Ac-DEX.36 The core–shell particles were prepared by sequential nanoprecipitation steps: the core calcium carbonate
## Table 1  The production methods, loading degree (LD), encapsulation efficiency (EE), and physical characteristics of drug loaded Ac-Dex-based particles

| Production method        | Drug                          | Polymer  | Loading efficiency | Loading degree (LD%) | Encapsulation efficiency (EE%) | Size   | PDI   | z-Potential (mV) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|-----------------|
| Conventional            | BRP-187                       | Ac-Dex   |                   | 1.7%                 | 59–67%                        | 163 nm | 0.26  | −24 (35)        |
| Nanoprecipitation       | Doxorubicin tanesipimycin and \(\text{afatinib}^b\) | Ac-Dex   |                   | —                    | Doxorubicin (75–86%), tanesipimycin (up to 70%) | 223 nm | 0.33  | ca. −20 (36)    |
| Nanoprecipitation in microfluidics | Sorafenib                  | Ac-Dex   | 1–5%              | —                    | 350 nm                        | <0.1   | 46.3  | —               |
|                         | Paclitaxel                    | Ac-Dex   |                   | 7.8%                 | —                             | 332 nm | 0.11  | −31.4 (38)      |
|                         | Methotrexate\(^d\)           | Ac-Dex   |                   | 1.9%                 | —                             | 190 nm | 0.11  | —               |
|                         | XMU-MP-1\(^e\)               | Ac-Dex   |                   | Up to 4.5%           | —                             | —      | <0.1 | —               |
|                         | Budesonide                    | Ac-Dex   |                   | Endosomolytic        | Ac-Dex                        | 3.9%   | 80.7% | —               |
|                         | asiatic acid                  |          |                   |                      |                               | 231 nm | 0.16  | 28              |
| Conventional            | CHIR99021 and SB431542        | SpAcDEX  |                   | 58.5%                | CHIR99021 (1.25%), SB203580 (1.99%) | 233 nm | ca. 360 nm | 0.2 (42) |
| single emulsion         | AR-12                         | Ac-Dex   | 0.5–4.2%\(^f\)    | —                    | —                             | 85–97% | 5.3–6.8 \(\mu\) | —   |
| Single emulsion in microfluidics | Sorafenib and celecoxib    | Ac-Dex   |                   | —                    | —                             | GMCSF (31%), Nutlin-3a(88%) | 350 nm | 0.23 | 47.1 (44) |
| Double emulsion         | Granulocyte macrophage       | SpAcDEX  |                   | —                    | GMCSF (31%), Nutlin-3a (88%) | 350 nm | 0.23 | 47.1 (44) |
|                         | colony stimulating factor     |          |                   | —                    | —                             | —      | —    | —               |
|                         | (GMCSF)                       |          |                   | —                    | —                             | —      | —    | —               |
|                         | (Nurtlin-3a)                  |          |                   | —                    | —                             | —      | —    | —               |
|                         | Ovalbumin                     | Ac-Dex   |                   | Up to 7.4%           | 100–400 nm                    | 11     |
|                         | Anti-luciferase siRNA         | SpAcDEX  |                   | 76–98%               | 180–230 nm                    | 19     |
|                         | Rapamycin and                 | Ac-Dex   |                   | 666 nm               | 9–19                          |
|                         | pancreatic peptide P31       | Ac-Dex   |                   | 666 nm               | 14                            |
|                         | Immunomodulin                 | Ac-Dex   |                   | 51.5%                |                               |
|                         | peptide (MOG) and             | Ac-Dex   |                   | 31.5–38.0%           | MOG (21.8%)                   | 45     |
|                         | dexamethasone                 |          |                   | —                    | dexamethasone (1.5%)          |
| Spray drying            | Paclitaxel                    | Ac-Dex   | 3.04%             | 71%                  | 200–400 nm                    | 46     |
|                         | Curcumin                      | Ac-Dex   | 0.07–0.40%        | 54–88%               | 1.5–7.4 \(\mu\)              | 47     |
| Electrospray            | Resiquimod                    | Ac-Dex   | Up to 0.54%       | 0.5–60%              | 1.3 \(\mu\)                  | 48     |
|                         | 3'\(^c\)-GAMP (cGAMP) and     | Ac-Dex   | Up to 0.54%       | 0.5–60%              | 1.3 \(\mu\)                  | 48     |
|                         | resiquimod                    | Ac-Dex   |                      |                       | —                             | 49     |
|                         | Lapatinib and paclitaxel\(^h\)| Ac-Dex, PLGA | Lapatinib (1.22–1.29%), paclitaxel (0.61–0.71%) | 50     |

\(^{a}\) The drugs were first loaded in core particles and then encapsulated in an Ac-Dex shell. \(^b\) The drugs were precipitated on microfluidics as nanocrystals first and prepared in a sequential microfluidic nanoprecipitation. \(^c\) The drug loading was quantified after particle functionalization with the peptides. \(^d\) Tailorable, depending on the organic solvent used. \(^e\) Tailorable, depending on the initial concentration of the polymer. \(^f\) Tailorable, depending on the weight ratio of drug loaded NP and the feed concentration. \(^g\) Coaxial electrospray setting. \(^h\) Electrospray cojetting setup with two capillaries in parallel.

Particles were precipitated by mixing a solution of CaCl\(_2\) with a solution of K\(_2\)CO\(_3\). Ac-Dex was precipitated on the particles by adding an ethanolic solution of the polymer to the solution containing the calcium carbonate particles. Finally, the particles were isolated by centrifugation. The core presented a diameter of ca. 104 nm, while the coated particles increased the size to ca. 189 nm. Furthermore, the PDI increased from 0.17 for the calcium carbonate to 0.33 after coating with Ac-Dex. This complex system can load gold nanorods, small molecular drugs (doxorubicin) and antibodies, combining imaging functionalities and photothermal therapy with the delivery of drugs. The average encapsulation efficiency varied between 76 and 80% for doxorubicin.

**Microfluidics nanoprecipitation.** Despite the successful particle fabrication reported in conventional nanoprecipitation, the mixing times achieved in a vial under magnetic stirring are relatively long, leading to inhomogeneous deposition of polymer on the nuclei and agglomeration. The resulted particles may have polydispersed size distributions, as well as batch-to-batch variations. In contrast, microfluidic technology can accelerate solvent mixing in miniaturized capillary networks. The superfast mass transfer allows for a controlled and reproducible formulation of polymer particles, up to 700 g per day from a single device. Santos’ lab has developed glass-capillary based microfluidic chips in a co-flow configuration for Ac-Dex NP production by nanoprecipitation (Fig. 2a). Ac-Dex polymer was dissolved in the organic solvent pumped as the outer fluid, while the aqueous antisolvent was pumped as the inner fluid. By fast mixing the outer and inner fluids, particles produced by nanoprecipitation in microfluidics are smaller with a more homogeneous population compared to the bulk ones (Fig. 2b and c). The key parameters affecting the final size and polydispersity (PDI) of Ac-Dex NPs include the choice of organic solvents, the polymer concentration,
In a more complex setup, different core NPs can be included within the shell of Ac-DEX. For example, porous silicon NPs and gold NPs were coated by nanoprecipitation in Ac-DEX matrix. Ac-DEX derivatives, such as spermine-conjugated Ac-DEX (SpAcDEX) and Ac-DEX grafted polymers, have also been produced both as solid NPs and as core/shell structured nanocomposites on similar microfluidic nanoprecipitation platforms.

When core/shell particles are prepared by nanoprecipitation, the therapeutic payloads can be loaded within Ac-DEX shell, or as the core (e.g., drug nanocrystals), or pre-loaded within the porous core particles. Those payloads with similar solubility to Ac-DEX (e.g., budesonide, paclitaxel, rifaximin, sorafenib) can be dissolved together with Ac-DEX in the organic phase, and co-precipitated in the aqueous antisolvent (Fig. 3a). The loading degree can be quite easily tailored by adjusting the initial drug concentration (e.g., for sorafenib and paclitaxel in the same formulation, their loading degree was tailored to 5% each). However, the loading degree is limited by the maximum solubility of the drug in the solvent of choice; as an example, budesonide solubility in ethanol is lower than in other solvents, such as dimethyl sulfoxide, resulting in a lower loading degree (1.9%). If high loading degree is desired, the drugs can be formulated as nanocrystals first, and then encapsulated in SpAcDEX as core particles (Fig. 3c). In this case, the nanocrystal solution has to be saturated with the drug, as well as containing a small amount of antisolvent for the drug (water) to prevent dissolution of the nanocrystals. Compared with simply encapsulation in Ac-DEX matrix, the drug nanocrystals method significantly increased the loading degree from 4.6% to almost 58.4% for sorafenib, making the final particle an ultra-high drug carrier.

On the contrary, for drugs that are either insoluble in the organic solvent of choice or are soluble in water (e.g., XMU-MP-1, methotrexate), the encapsulation within core NPs can increase the loading degree (Fig. 3a). When the drug is loaded in the core particle, the loading degree depends on the properties of the drug and core particle (e.g., porosity, highest loading capacity in the core particle) and on the solubility of the drug both in the organic and aqueous phases. For example, methotrexate is less soluble in ethanol than water, thereby the highest loading degree achievable when directly added to the ethanol solution before nanoprecipitation was 0.1%. However, methotrexate is quite highly loaded within porous silicon NPs (loading degree around 30%). To prevent the release of methotrexate from porous silicon particles during the nanoprecipitation process, Liu et al. saturated the organic solution with methotrexate. This allows to achieve a maximum loading degree of 4.5% in the final formulation.

**Single emulsion**

Single emulsions are systems constituted of two immiscible liquids, usually oil-in-water, which are then mixed to form oil droplets dispersed in water. Single emulsions can be produced by high energy methods, such as sonication, commonly employed at lab scale. During sonication, the two layers (oil and water) are disrupted and mixed due to the high energy force applied to the system (e.g., cavitation from sonication). This process is not
The careful optimization of the volumes of dispersed and continuous phase, intensity and duration of the sonication and the temperature of the emulsion allow to achieve particles with size ca. 250 nm and quite narrow PDI values around 0.2 both for SpAcDEX and Putrescine functionalized Ac-DEX NPs.\textsuperscript{23,41}

Single emulsion is usually suitable for the encapsulation of hydrophobic drugs with high solubility in the organic solvent chosen and low solubility in the aqueous continuous phase. The encapsulation efficiency and loading degree are dependent on the technique used to obtain the single emulsions and on the organic solvent chosen. The drugs chosen, CHIR99021, SB431542 and SB203580, are soluble in dichloromethane and are encapsulated within SpAcDEX and Putrescein NPs with an average loading degree between 0.97 and 1.99\% and encapsulation efficiency up to 40.6\%.\textsuperscript{23,41} In another example, the encapsulation efficiency of AR-12 was increased from 31.5\% to 80\% when changing the solvent from dichloromethane to ethyl acetate, highlighting the impact of the solubility of the chosen drug in the organic solvent as the key factor to increase the encapsulation efficiency.\textsuperscript{42} The change in the solvent did not alter the size of the obtained particles, with an average size of 255 nm for the particles prepared with dichloromethane and 260 nm for the particles prepared from ethyl acetate.

Microfluidics single emulsion. Although the size of particles and drug loading in conventional single emulsion can be easily tuned by adjusting the emulsifying parameters in a small lab scale, they become more problematic when scaling up to pilot and industrial size productions. A solution to improve the control over the emulsification is provided by microfluidics. The high degree of control achieved within a microfluidics system allows for the production of emulsions with precise dimensions and high homogeneity.\textsuperscript{52} In single emulsion microfluidics, the polymer is dissolved in the organic phase in one channel, while the aqueous phase containing the surfactant in another. The emulsion is formed when the flow of organic solution is broken in T-junctions or at the tip of glass capillaries, by the accumulated pressure (T-junction) or by the shear stress determined by the flow of the aqueous solution. For a deeper discussion about single emulsion in microfluidics, we invite the reader to consult the review from Liu et al.\textsuperscript{53}

We used glass capillary microfluidics in a flow-focusing configuration in the production of Ac-DEX microspheres (Fig. 4a). By dissolving the polymer in ethyl acetate and using poloxamer 407 as surfactant in the aqueous outer phase,\textsuperscript{65} we managed to obtain solid microspheres. Furthermore, Santos’ lab has developed a flow-focusing polydimethylsiloxane chip, which can produce hollow microspheres of Ac-DEX (Fig. 4b). Starting from single emulsion droplets produced in dripping regimen, we achieved high degree of control over the dimensions of the emulsion droplets.\textsuperscript{43} We have carefully chose the organic solvent, dimethyl carbonate, to avoid swelling in the chip, as well as considering its favourable environmental and low toxicity properties when compared to other organic solvents. After formation, the droplets were left in the collection vessel with a large volumes of aqueous phase to facilitate organic solvent removal.\textsuperscript{43,65} We found that the organic solvent diffusion from the droplets to the outer aqueous phase is responsible for the peculiar hollow microparticles (Fig. 4b; SEM image); during the
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exchange process, the polymer is precipitating in a shell and effectively entrapping the residual organic solvent inside. Gravity and tensions forces at the interfaces determine the accumulation of the solvent on one side of the microparticles, increasing the pressure, until the entrapped solvent breaks the thin polymeric layer, escaping in the outer solution, leaving a hollow cavity in the microparticle.43

The concentration of the polymer in the dispersed phase marginally influences the final size of the particles, particularly in the case of hollow microspheres, whereas an increase in the concentration of the polymer from 1 to 100 mg mL\(^{-1}\) results into an increase in the size from 2.7 to 6.8 μm (Fig. 4c).43 However, the increase in the size is paired with a decrease in the coefficient of variation between the microparticles (Fig. 4c), explained by the authors through the different thickness of the microsphere walls inducing deformation. The use of microfluidics allows for high encapsulation efficiency (96–97%), particularly when using high concentrations of polymer, where the drug can be entrapped in more polymeric matrix.43 However, the presence of high amounts of polymeric matrix in the final particles is responsible for the very low loading degree achieved (0.5%). The use of polymeric solutions with lower polymer concentration has lower encapsulation efficiency, but also lower polymeric mass, thereby presenting a final higher loading degree.43

Double emulsion

Double emulsions are formed by a primary emulsion encapsulated within a secondary emulsion droplet. In the pharmaceutical field, double emulsions are most often in the form of water in oil in water emulsions (w/o/w) and are suitable for the encapsulation of hydrophilic or sensitive payloads within water-insoluble polymers or for the formation of core/shell particles.14,66 Double emulsions are conventionally produced by two distinct processes of emulsification; in the first one the molecule of interest is dissolved in an aqueous dispersed phase which is sonicated in the organic continuous phase. This organic phase constitutes the dispersed phase of the secondary emulsion process.44 After the double emulsification, the particles are formed by solvent evaporation. Once again microfluidics offers an excellent degree of control over the emulsification process, with the possibility of controlling the number of primary emulsion droplets contained in each secondary emulsion droplet.52 Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, no study has formulated microparticles of Ac-DEX or derivatives \textit{via} microfluidics double emulsion technique.

Ac-DEX-based NPs produced by conventional double emulsion result into particles characterized by a size of ca. 270 nm with 0.5 wt% ovalbumin loaded.11 The encapsulation efficiency of ovalbumin remained to be almost 100% at feed values up to 40 μg of protein per mg of Ac-DEX.11 In terms of RNA payloads, Ac-DEX only had minimal encapsulation (5% encapsulation efficiency), but when the polymeric matrix was changed for the cationic SpAcDEX, the particles showed >90% encapsulation of siRNA due to the electrostatic interactions.19 Furthermore, it is possible to co-load SpAcDEX with both hydrophobic drugs and hydrophilic macromolecules. For example, Bauleth-Ramos \textit{et al.} incorporated the apoptotic drug Nutlin-3a (Nut3a) along with SpAcDEX in the organic phase, and the cytokine (granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor, GMCSF) in the aqueous phase, to achieve co-loaded NPs with encapsulation efficiencies of 88% for Nutlin-3a and 31% for GMCSF, respectively.44 The encapsulation efficiency of double emulsion is, however, lower than for other methods \textit{(e.g.,} electrospray\textit{)} due to loss of hydrophilic cargo in the aqueous outer solution.49

Spray drying

Spray drying is a technique that atomizes a solution of payloads and matrix in small droplets in a chamber with a flow of hot gas.67 The particles are formed following the transfer of the solvent into the gas, before colliding with the walls of the apparatus or being collected in cyclones or filters. The control over the physical parameters of the particles is given by the optimization of the atomization setup, the temperature of the gas and chamber, and the concentration of the atomized solute. The reviews from Poozesh \textit{et al.} and Singh \textit{et al.} provide a deeper focus on the spray drying process and its scalability in the pharmaceutical industry.67,68

Fig. 4 Ac-DEX microparticles prepared by single emulsion methods in microfluidics. (a) The scheme of a flow-focusing glass-capillary based microfluidic device, and the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the particles produced. Reproduced from ref. 65, with permission from John Wiley and Sons, Copyright © 2018. (b) The scheme and the corresponding microscopy image of a flow-focusing polydimethylsiloxane microfluidic chip for hollow Ac-DEX particle production: 1 indicates the inner fluid, and 2 the outer fluid and 3 the channel transferring the emulsions to the collection fluid. The inset is the SEM image of the particles produced, with arrows highlighting the holes. (c) The size distribution, mean size and coefficient of variation of Ac-DEX particles produced by the microfluidic chip in (b), using different polymer concentrations. Reproduced from ref. 43, with permission from American Chemical Society, Copyright © 2015.
Spray drying has been used to produce Ac-DEX aerosol micro-particles, particularly for pulmonary delivery applications. The microscale dry power facilitated the delivery and deposition on the mucosal layer of the lung via inhalation. Furthermore, this technique can be used combined with single emulsion or nanoprecipitation. Specifically, drug-loaded Ac-DEX NPs were prepared by conventional oil-in-water single emulsion or nanoprecipitation first, and then spray-dried with excipients (Fig. 5a).

For example, Torrico Guzmán et al. formulated paclitaxel loaded Ac-Dex NPs via single emulsion, and then spray-dried along with mannoit. The paclitaxel loaded NPs were ca. 200 nm in size with low PDI (<0.15), and the final microparticles were ca. 2 μm. After re-dissolution of the microparticles, the paclitaxel loaded NPs had an increase in size to 271 nm with a higher PDI (0.33), indicating particle agglomeration during the spray drying. The surface potential decreased from −3.14 to −18.47 mV, due to the presence of mannoit. Almost all the NPs were loaded in the microparticles, making the final paclitaxel loading of 3.04 wt%. Compared with other fabrication techniques, spray drying is a well-established pharmaceutical technique with scale-up production capability. It is suitable to produce micro-sized aerosol powders, with high encapsulation efficiency and low water contents for long-term storage. However, the elevated temperature during the drying may limit its application with temperature-sensitive payloads.

Electrospray

Similar to spray drying, electrospray also atomises droplets of volatile solution containing the payloads and the polymeric matrix into the air, but towards a solid or liquid collector with opposite charge. The tuning of process-related parameters, including temperature, distance from the collector, flow rate, viscosity, charge, volatile solvent used, impact the physical characteristics of the obtained particles, particularly size and morphology. For a deeper analysis of electrospray as a promising technology for the production of drug carriers and vaccines, we refer the reader to the reviews from Steipel et al. and Nikolau et al.

Electrospray has been widely employed in the formulation of Ac-Dex nano- and micro-particles. For example, Duong et al. systematically investigated the use of a single capillary electrospray device (Fig. 5b) to formulate Ac-Dex microparticles. To avoid particle aggregation, Tween 80 (10% v/v) was blended with Ac-Dex in ethanol, along with the payload (Toll-Like receptor agonist resiquimod). Most particles showed almost spherical morphology with aspect ratios close to 1, but with rough surface after drying (Fig. 5b). Compared with conventional oil-in-water single emulsion method, electrospray significantly increased the encapsulation efficiency by 10 times because it avoids the drug lost by diffusion to the aqueous phase.

Although single capillary electrospray device can encapsulate drugs soluble in the same organic solvent as polymers, it is difficult to encapsulate aqueous payloads even by preparing a water-in-oil emulsion before the spraying, because the payloads may get damaged with the high shear stress. To solve this problem, Gallowie et al. developed a coaxial electrospray setup, which allows for the production of complex core–shell structures with sensitive payloads (Fig. 5c). It shares some similarities to the double emulsion in microfluidics, with the coaxial alignment of two phases: polymer in the organic outer phase and aqueous protein payloads in the inner phase. The two immiscible phases encounter at the outlet of the concentric needles, and the solvent/water evaporate within sub-seconds. This coaxial electrospray platform has been successfully used to formulate Ac-Dex microparticles with different hydrophilic payloads, including recombinant anthrax protective antigen, cyclic dinucleotides, and poly I:C. Furthermore, the hydrophobic payloads could be co-loaded in this setup, by simply mixing with Ac-Dex polymer in the organic phase.

Apart from the single or multiaxial electrospray, the cojetting setup with two capillaries in parallel has been developed to fabricate poly lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) and Ac-Dex hybrid bicompartamental NPs (Fig. 5d). The NPs were less than 200 nm, much smaller than the ones formulated in the previous setups. However, a non-volatile solvent (dimethylformamide, 30% v/v)
was used in this study, making an unfavourably long drying (3 weeks) to remove all the solvents. The resulted particles had two distinct compartments with different polymer compositions, as shown by the different dyes loaded in the super resolution structured illumination microscopy (Fig. 5e). The authors loaded a combination of two anti-cancer drugs (lapatinib and paclitaxel) in different compartments with a pre-determined ratio, which has the greatest synergistic effects. Such bicompartimental Janus particles allow for independent drug loading and release, thus making them versatile platforms for synergistic drug delivery.

Controlled release behaviour

The chemical modification of dextran to Ac-DEX and further derivatives introduces a feature fundamental for controlling the payload release, the pH-dependent degradation of the polymer. In this section, we will analyse the degradation behaviour based on the degree of substitution and how it influences the degradation of micro- and nano-particles. Finally, we will present alternative stimuli-responsiveness other than pH.

As discussed in the synthesis section, the acetals groups on Ac-DEX are prone to hydrolysis, resulting in the polymeric particle degradation. The hydrolysis rate is pH-dependent, which means the polymers degrade faster at acidic pH than at neutral or slightly basic pH. The pH-dependent degradation of Ac-DEX and derivatives represents one of the attractive features of the polymer in drug delivery and immunotherapy. The faster degradation at pH 5.0 compared to pH 7.4 is desired to control the release of drugs intracellularly at endosomal level or in tissues presenting lower pH (e.g., cancer, infection, intestinal inflammation).37,39,41,56

The most important parameter to control the degradation rate is the percentage of cyclic groups on the polymer, because the cyclic acetal degrade slower than acyclic ones, thus becoming the rate-limiting step.11 The percentage of cyclic groups correlate well with the acetalation reaction time (Fig. 6a). Thus, by controlling the reaction time, it is possible to control the degradation half-life (Fig. 6b).

Another important parameter to determine the degradation rate is the molecular weight of dextran. In an initial study, Kauffman et al. prepared Ac-DEX with similar cyclic percentage, but with different molecular weights (10 kDa and 71 kDa). The particles prepared from 10 kDa Ac-DEX degraded reached complete degradation much faster than the ones made from 71 kDa Ac-DEX at pH 4.9, although the half-life of both polymers were similar (Fig. 6c).41 After an initial fast degradation, the particles made by 71 kDa showed slower degradation rate afterwards, possibly due to the higher viscosity of the polymer.41 In another study, Chen et al. systematically investigated the degradation profiles of Ace-DEX prepared from 4 different molecular weights (10, 71, 500, 2000 kDa) with three degrees of cyclic acetal (20, 40 and 60%).79 For polymers with low coverage of cyclic acetals (20%), all displayed fast degradation at pH 5.0 (half-life 0.25 h) regardless of molecular weight. For those with medium cyclic acetal coverage (40%), the degradation half-life at pH 5.0 is inversely related to the molecular weight of the polymer (larger polymers degrade faster). For those with 60% cyclic acetal coverage, the degradation half-lives were again similar for 10, 71 and 500 kDa (half-life ca. 21 h), consistent with the previous report.64 Therefore, a careful choice of cyclic acetal coverage and a thoughtful combination of the most suitable molecular weight allow to obtain Ac-DEX polymers with the desired degradation profile for the chosen application.

Although polymer degradation is the most critical factor affecting the release kinetics, other factors, such as the production method and the type of particle (solid NP vs. core/shell composite), also have an impact on the overall release performance. Solid Ac-DEX NPs prepared by single emulsion often display a burst release derived from drug adsorbed on the surface of the particle or encapsulated within the most external layers of the particle in acidic pH.11 Interestingly, regardless of the drug loaded, the release profile of Ac-DEX at pH 7.4 display the release of ca. 20% of the encapsulated payload when using Ac-DEX 10 kDa and 5 h reaction time.39,41 The release of payloads within the core particles is influenced by the type of core particle; porous silicon NPs rapidly release their payload, thereby the release of methotrexate is influenced by the degradation of the polymer and not by the presence of the core particle.37 The same is valid also when the drug itself represents the core particle; the release of sorafenib nanocrystals is dependent on the degradation of the polymer, which prolongs the release when compared to nanocrystals alone.40

Although the main release mechanism for Ac-DEX and its derivatives is pH-dependent as described above, recent innovative designs have allowed degradation of the polymer and release in
response to other stimuli. For example, particles degradation can be sensitive to environmental glucose by encapsulating within the particles glucose oxidase, which upon reaction with glucose, generates gluconic acid and decreases the pH, resulting into the degradation of Ac-DEX.\textsuperscript{80,81} Another inspiring example is the UV-responsive degradation of Ac-DEX particles, by incorporating light-sensitive photoacidic generators (2-(4-methoxystyryl)-4,6-bis(trichloromethyl)-1,3,5-triazine).\textsuperscript{82} In this case, the local acidity can be achieved through the introduction of UV-light, reducing the dependence of the degradation from the \textit{in situ} pH conditions.\textsuperscript{82} High power near-infrared laser can also induce drug release from Ac-DEX particles, due to the thermal effects rather than particle degradation.\textsuperscript{83}

### Biomedical applications

The versatility of Ac-DEX in the production of micro- and nano-structures, combined with the possibility to tune the degradation profile based on the molecular weight of the polymer and the reaction conditions, results into a wide range of therapeutic applications, from vaccines for infectious disorders to cancer vaccines, cancer treatment, immunomodulation for autoimmune diseases, and applications in the treatment of cardiovascular diseases and infectious diseases. Here, we will present selected applications in the different therapeutic categories.

#### Vaccination against infectious diseases

Modern vaccines are often composed of subunits, pieces of proteins, or nuclei acids coding for pathogen proteins. These vaccines are generally safer than living or attenuated vaccines because there is no risk of the pathogen reactivating. However, the downside of these highly purified vaccines is their efficacy; the peptidic antigens administered as such are not able to induce a proinflammatory immune response and often require the use of adjuvants with optimal efficacy achieved upon co-administration of antigens and adjuvants.\textsuperscript{84}

The first therapeutic application of Ac-DEX reported is as vehicle for vaccines.\textsuperscript{11} In particular, in the first studies, the effect of different reaction times on effective antigen presenting cell (APC) maturation, was evaluated and quantified as amount of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) I and II expressed on the cells (Fig. 7a).\textsuperscript{11} Ac-DEX (reaction time 10 min) showed highest MHC I and II presentation over crosslinked polyacrylamide (PA), PLGA and iron oxide NPs.\textsuperscript{11} Interestingly, Ac-DEX microparticles display different mechanisms of activation of APCs depending on the reaction time; particles made from Ac-DEX reacted for 10 min are independent from transporter associated with antigen processing, underlying the presence of multiple mechanisms involved, including osmotic disruption of lysosomes.\textsuperscript{11}

Furthermore, Ac-DEX has been used as carriers for various adjuvants. Several studies have demonstrated the possibility to encapsulate both small molecules as imiquimod and STING agonists and larger molecules like CpG and polynosinic: polycytidylic acid within Ac-DEX micro- and nano-particles produced by electrospray and single emulsion.\textsuperscript{49,85,86} Ac-DEX can also encapsulate NP adjuvant, which induced the activation of antigen presenting cells, such as thermally oxidized porous silicon.\textsuperscript{61}

Another fundamental characteristic of vaccines is their storage temperature. The possibility to store the vaccine formulation in fridge or at room temperature highly facilitates the logistics and the distribution, important in case of a pandemic. Ac-DEX microparticles can protect biological payloads, such as horseradish peroxidase, at room temperature (25°C) and higher temperatures (45°C) cold chain. Up, the stability of enzyme in particles produces by homogenization; down, the stability of the free enzyme. Reproduced from ref. 87, with permission from Elsevier, Copyright © 2012.

Fig. 7  Ac-DEX-based vaccines. (a) Efficacy in promoting the presentation of antigen on MHC I and Ii. The efficacy of Ac-DEX NPs synthesized with different acetalation reaction time (10 min and 60 min, AcDEX10 and AcDEX60, respectively), are compared with common vaccine delivery vehicles, i.e. crosslinked polyacrylamide (PA), poly lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) and iron oxide NPs. Reproduced from ref. 11, originally published by National Academy of Sciences, Copyright © 2009 National Academy of Sciences. (b) Storage stability over time of horseradish peroxidase-loaded Ac-DEX particles within (~20 °C and 4°C) and outside (25°C and 45°C) cold chain. Up, the stability of enzyme in particles produces by homogenization; down, the stability of the free enzyme. Reproduced from ref. 87, with permission from Elsevier, Copyright © 2012.
Given the favourable properties of Ac-DEX particles as vaccine carriers, a wide array of formulations has been investigated for the prevention of infectious diseases. The production of Ac-DEX microparticles with electrospray can maintain the correct structure of the recombinant antigen, when compared to the conventional emulsion technologies. The resulted vaccine induced a titer of antibodies comparable to conventional alum vaccines and protecting mice against challenge with *Bacillus anthracis*. At the same time, the modulation of the degradation properties of the polymer result into a flexible and versatile platform for a universal vaccine against influenza with differences in the protective efficacy in vivo. This, combined with the use of STING agonists as adjuvants, can increase both the humoral and cellular immunity against influenza virus, greatly improving the efficacy of the vaccine in vivo. Recently, this platform has been investigated also in the challenging field of malaria vaccines; the adsorption of the antigenic protein on the surface of Ac-DEX microparticles skewed the immune response towards a Th1 type, needed in the fight against an intracellular pathogen, more than conventional alum formulations.

Overall, acetalated dextran is an optimal candidate for the formulation of vaccines for infectious diseases, particularly in the case of intracellular pathogens, where a cellular response is needed and is not easily achieved with conventional vaccine formulations. The protection of the payload from temperature and sterilizing ionization are of extreme interest for the further translation of these platforms towards clinical use.

**Tolerogenic vaccines for autoimmune diseases**

Autoimmune diseases are characterized by the activation of humoral or cellular immune response against healthy cells of the human body, from red blood cells to β cells in the pancreas, to myelin-producing cells in the brain. Tolerogenic vaccines can induce antigen-specific tolerance targeting the autoantigens associated with each disease. Tolerogenic vaccines and other treatments for autoimmune diseases can be associated with systemic immunosuppression with potential side effects. Micro- and nano-particles allow a localized therapy, contributing to the reduction of the systemic side effects.

Tolerogenic particulate vaccines are usually composed of the disease specific autoantigen and an immunosuppressant co-loaded within the same particle. Ainslie’s group evaluated the potential of Ac-DEX and Ace-DEX microparticles as tolerogenic vaccines. Rapamycin is often chosen as an immunosuppressant, combined with different disease-specific antigens. Kauffman et al. characterized the parameters influencing the physicochemical properties of rapamycin-loaded Ac-DEX particles prepared by single emulsion, with the overall aim to increase the encapsulation efficiency of rapamycin while slowing the release profile. The loading of rapamycin within Ac-DEX particles reduces the inflammatory response in raw macrophages at levels comparable to the free drug. Chen et al. prepared ovalbumin and rapamycin-loaded Ac-DEX microparticles by double emulsion. These particles had a pronounced anti-inflammatory effect *in vitro*, and protected mice from the development of encephalomyelitis, the murine model for multiple sclerosis, in an antigen-dependent manner. Rapamycin-loaded Ace-DEX particles are extremely versatile platforms for tolerogenic vaccination: by changing the disease-specific auto-antigen, the same platform can be successfully translated to the prevention of type 1 diabetes *in vivo*, with only one mouse presenting high levels of blood glucose and developing diabetes.

Alternatively to rapamycin, Ac-DEX-based tolerogenic vaccines can be also formulated with dexamethasone. In this work, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein was chosen as a disease-specific antigen instead of ovalbumin. A treatment plan with three subcutaneous administration of the particles, with an interval of three days between each administration, can significantly improve the clinical score in encephalomyelitis mice.

**Cancer vaccines**

Cancer immunotherapy has contributed to a revolution in the treatment of several types of cancer. This treatment involves the patient’s own immune system to identify and destroy cancer cells. As in the case of infectious diseases, the immune system needs antigens to correctly identify cancer cells. At the same time, cancer can be assimilated to an intracellular pathogen, requiring the priming of a Th1 skewed response with a preponderant cellular immunity. Thereby, cancer vaccines needs to present tumour associated antigens with systems able to induce cellular immunity. As discussed above, the use of Ac-DEX-based particulate systems is promoting the presentation of MHC-I and the priming of lymphocytes, rendering it an optimal platform for the development of cancer vaccines.

To this end, Watkins-Schulz et al. focused on the modification of Ac-DEX microparticles with STING agonist, evaluating both the mode of action and the antitumour efficacy in melanoma and breast cancer (Fig. 8a). Firstly, they confirmed that STING agonist 3′,5′-cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) is the adjuvant promoting the highest activation of the immune system, compared with other adjuvants evaluated. Specifically, murine models of melanoma were injected with different adjuvant loaded in Ace-DEX microparticles. cGAMP-loaded particles (cGAMP MPs) prolonged the control over the tumor growth, with a statistically significant difference, compared to the other adjuvants (murabutide, imiquimod, poly I:C) loaded particles and blank particles. Moreover, compared with free cGAMP, cGAMP MPs increase the efficacy of the adjuvant (by at least 2-fold increase in the secretion of interferon-β, tumor necrosis factor, and interleukin 6), and activate both cytotoxic T cells and natural killer (NK)-cells. This suggests the cGAMP loaded Ace-DEX particles can generate robust innate and adaptive anticancer immune responses.

The next step in the development of a successful cancer vaccine is the choice of antigens. Potent cancer antigens are antigens expressed mainly or only from the majority of cells within the tumour. Furthermore, each patient will have an individual antigenic signature, making the case for personalized cancer vaccines. The techniques routinely employed for the isolation of epitopes and for the evaluation of the ligandome are still costly and time consuming. The use of isolated cancer cell membranes as sources of antigens offer a convenient and fast alternative for present-day cancer vaccines.
This anticigenic source (in particular cell membranes derived either from breast cancer cells or from melanoma) wrapped a core/shell structure composed of porous silicon NPs embedded within an Ac-DEX matrix (NanoCCM, Fig. 8b). The combination of antigen and adjuvant efficiently activated APCs both in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, the peritumoral administration of the final system combined the efficacy of both Ac-DEX particles and cell membranes. Finally, this type of cancer vaccine improves the therapeutic efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors (the standard of care for melanoma) when used in combination by priming an antitumour immune response which is then amplified by the immune checkpoint inhibitors such as aCTLA4 (Fig. 8c).

Cancer therapy

In addition to the cancer vaccines, Ac-DEX-based anti-tumour therapeutic delivery systems have been developed to enhance the efficacy of chemotherapy, photodynamic therapy and the emerging chemo-immunotherapy. In these delivery systems, Ac-DEX or its derivative polymers are mostly formulated as NPs (100–500 nm), and deliver drugs to tumour via passive accumulation by the enhanced permeation and retention effect (EPR effect), or via active targeting by the conjugation of certain tumour-targeting ligands. Then, the pH-responsive degradation in the acidic tumour microenvironment triggers the drug release to eliminate cancer cells. Although the basis of EPR effect is not completely understood and still in dispute, the Ac-DEX-based delivery systems have shown some promising results in vivo. Below, we briefly introduce the application of Ac-DEX-based delivery systems in different cancer therapies, and highlight some novel designs.

The delivery of chemotherapeutic drugs by Ac-DEX and its derivatives mostly focuses on the enhancing the solubility, bioavailability of the poorly soluble drugs and reducing the systemic side effects, compared with the free drugs alone. This concept was addressed by Dai et al. via the controlled delivery of a highly toxic anticancer drug (maytansinoid, denoted as AP3). Compared with conventional chemotherapeutics, such as doxorubicin, AP3 displayed 100–10 000 times more potency. However, AP3 alone did not show satisfactory in vivo tumour inhibition, due to the severe side effects and narrow therapeutic window. By encapsulation in the pH-responsive Ac-DEX NPs decorated by PEG on the surface, Dai et al. extended the half-life of the drug in the blood by 7 times, and achieved better tumour growth inhibition on xenograft models. The systemic toxicity of AP3 encapsulated NPs towards major organs, such as heart, lung, liver, spleen, kidney and intestine was negligible, while free AP3 induced severe liver damage, confirmed by hematoxylin and eosin staining. The overall results showed PEG-conjugated Ac-DEX carrier showed promising potential for the delivery of highly toxic drugs for antitumour therapy.

Another example of delivering toxic antitumour agents, is the core/shell structured prickly zine-doped copper oxide NPs encapsulated in SpAcDEX, with 3-(cyclooctylamino)-2,5,6-trifluoro-4-[(2-hydroxyethyl)sulfonyl]benzenesulphonamide (VD1142) ligand conjugated on the surface (Fig. 9a). As a result of the prickly nature of the zinc-doped copper oxide NPs, they were able to physical rupture the lipid membranes, thus showing high cytotoxicity. By encapsulating in SpAcDEX, the biological stability and safety of the zinc-doped copper oxide NPs were improved. Furthermore, the polymer coverage rendered the pH responsiveness to the system. After endocytic uptake, the acidic endosomal environment triggered the dissolution of SpAcDEX shell, exposing the prickly NPs, which ruptured the endosomal membrane and escape to cytoplasm, as shown in Fig. 9b. These prickly NPs underwent disintegration during the endosomal escape process, and the produced ultrasmall particles induced damaging effects toward the destruction of the cellular organelles, such as endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria. The VD1142 ligand on the surface endowed the system with the targeting of carbonic anhydrase IX, a transmembrane protein overexpressed in a wide variety of cancer tumours. In vitro results suggest these VD1142 conjugated core/shell structured SpAcDEX NPs showed more toxicity towards MCF-7 breast cancer cells and doxorubicin-resistant MCF-7 than fibroblasts, probably due to the VD1142 targeting effects. Despite the lack of in vivo validation, the nanocomposites, which induce physical intracellular damage and caspase-independent cell death, explored new ways for targeted cancer therapy.

Although toxic drugs or needle-like NPs can kill cancer cells, the incomplete removal of cancer cells and the recurrence is still a worrying issue. To better resolve this problem, the combination of chemotherapy with immunotherapy is proposed, which aims to stimulating the immune system to recognize tumour associated antigens released from the cancer cells killed by.
chemotherapy. In a typical combined chemo-immunotherapy, the formulation incorporates both chemotherapy drugs, and immunostimulators, which facilitate to active or restore the immunity, similar to cancer vaccines described above.\textsuperscript{105} Bauleth-Ramos \textit{et al.} used SpAcDEX to make a nanocomposite for chemo-immunotherapy, by co-loading non-genotoxic molecule Nut3a, and an antigen presenting cell activating cytokine GMCSF.\textsuperscript{44} It was found that the nanocomposites maintained Nut3a, and an antigen presenting cell activating cytokine chemoinmunotherapy, by co-loading non-genotoxic molecule SpAcDEX.\textsuperscript{44} An effective antitumour immune response was observed as a result of GMCSF presence in the system, a complementary effect to specific toxicity of Nut3a toward wild-type p53 cancer cells while avoiding toxicity in immune cells.\textsuperscript{44} Upregulated expression of cell surface CD83 co-stimulatory marker, decreased secretion of IL-10, and enhanced level of IL-1β were the main immunostimulatory effects of the developed nanosystems for cancer chemo-immunotherapy.\textsuperscript{44}

In addition to chemotherapeutic drugs or immunostimulators, Ac-DEX-derived polymers can also be employed to deliver photosensitizer for photodynamic cancer therapy. Butzbach \textit{et al.} encapsulated 5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphyrine (TPP) in folic acid functionalized SpAcDEX.\textsuperscript{24} The folic acid conjugation on the NP surface enhanced the uptake by folic acid receptor over-expressed HeLa-KB cells \textit{in vitro}, despite the fact that SpAcDEX without folic acid conjugation also showed significant cellular uptake by non-specific binding.\textsuperscript{24} The following irradiation by visible light (338 kJ m\textsuperscript{-2}, 15 min) caused pronounced photoinduced cytotoxic effects on HeLa-KB cells, even on the cells with short exposure to the NPs (1.5 h incubation).\textsuperscript{24} This suggests the potency of photodynamic therapy, by selective targeting of folic acid receptor over-expressed cancer cells using folic acid functionalized SpAcDEX NPs.

Another innovative application of Ac-DEX NPs, is to delivery oxygen for alleviation of tumour hypoxia, which is one of the main causes of cancer resistance to photodynamic therapy and radiotherapy.\textsuperscript{106–108} Song \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{109} prepared oxygen nanobubbles and enclosed them within pH-responsive Ac-DEX shells for the spontaneous generation of oxygen in response to a minor pH drop in the tumour microenvironment (Fig. 9c). The Ac-DEX shell was able to act as a barrier against gas dissolution in the blood circulation to efficiently deliver oxygen into the mild acidic tumour microenvironment \textit{in vivo} (Fig. 9c), thus overcoming the hypoxia-induced resistance. Compared with well-known oxygen delivery agents, such as perfluorocarbon nanoemulsions, Ac-DEX oxygen delivery system avoids the premature oxygen release and the requirement of external stimuli (ultrasound activation).\textsuperscript{109,110}

\textbf{Cardiovascular diseases therapy}

Cardiovascular diseases are currently a global burden with a high mortality rate due to the inability of the human adult heart to regenerate damaged cardiomyocytes and restore cardiac function.\textsuperscript{111–113} Current therapies are mainly focusing on the alleviation of disease symptoms rather than changing the fate of cardiomyocytes, which indicates the necessity of novel therapeutic formulations. The emerging application of Ac-DEX\textsuperscript{73,114,115} has brought new insights into the emerging of innovative treatment approaches through smart targeting strategies despite the challenges associated with the constant pumping of the heart and the restless massive exchange of blood.\textsuperscript{116} For example, Santos’ lab has developed PEG and atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) surface-functionalized SpAcDEX NPs for direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into cardiomyocytes (Fig. 10a).\textsuperscript{41} While PEG enhanced the colloidal stability and biocompatibility of the formulations. The emerging application of Ac-DEX has brought new insights into the emerging of innovative treatment approaches through smart targeting strategies despite the challenges associated with the constant pumping of the heart and the restless massive exchange of blood.\textsuperscript{116} For example, Santos’ lab has developed PEG and atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP) surface-functionalized SpAcDEX NPs for direct reprogramming of fibroblasts into cardiomyocytes (Fig. 10a).\textsuperscript{41} While PEG enhanced the colloidal stability and biocompatibility of the NPs (Fig. 10b), ANP enhanced the interaction of the NPs with cardiac cells due to the presence of natriuretic peptide receptors (NPR) on the cell membrane.\textsuperscript{117,118} Here, we co-loaded SB431542 and CHIR99021, two poorly water-soluble drugs. CHIR99021 was able to stabilize β-catenin, and SB431542 prevented the translocation of Smad3 to the nucleus of myofibroblasts, two biological functions that promote heart regeneration. In general, this work showed the potential of Ac-DEX NPs for cardiac regeneration therapy via combined dual delivery and targeting of the damaged heart to efficiently reprogram fibroblasts into cardiomyocyte-like cells.
During myocardial infarction, putrescine was used for sequential targeting of macrophages and cardiac cells. Lab further introduced dual-peptide functionalized Ac-DEX NPs for synergistic stimulation of cardiomyocyte proliferation, and the surface of the nanocarrier was modified with TT1 and ANP, to endow them with heart targeting ability. TT1 peptide can bind to the mitochondrial chaperone protein p32, which is normally expressed on macrophages associated with atherosclerotic plaques. Considering the obvious role of inflammation during infarction, TT1 was used to target macrophages that were migrated to the damaged heart tissue in order to improve the ANP mediated homing of the NPs in the infarcted heart. The cellular studies demonstrated the NPs were more uptake on M2-like macrophages than M1 (Fig. 10d), indicating the suitability of the developed nanosystems for achieving the “hitchhike” effect and targeting of the infarcted heart in the later stage of the inflammatory responses. These studies were proof of concept investigations for the promotion of cardiac regeneration via Ac-DEX NPs, which may be extended to other nanoparticulate systems.

**Infectious diseases therapy**

There is currently a broad range of anti-infection compounds that are paramount to fight pathogens. Nevertheless, bacterial resistance to these molecules has become a major challenge worldwide due to their broad use and abuse, which result in the pathogen resistance and emergence of diseases that were under control for many years. This has prompted the development of nano- and micro-scale materials as alternative strategies to combat bacteria via their intrinsic killing effect or the delivery of antibacterial agents with the aim of improving their biological performance. For example, one approach to overcome multidrug-resistant pathogens and kill intracellular infectious agents is through the loading of host-directed therapeutics (HDTs) within NPs for the targeting of host cells rather than the pathogen. However, most of HDTs agents can be toxic to the host cells and/or are hydrophobic with limited cellular internalization.

To cope with these challenges, Ace-DEX has been offered recently as a carrier for AR-12, a HDT agent, to improve its solubility and reduce its toxicity towards host cells. In the reported works, intracellular drug concentration was increased in murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) and human monocyte-derived macrophages (hMMDMs), when compared to free AR-12. Moreover, pH-responsive degradation kinetics of Ace-DEX particles allowed better control over the release of the antibacterial AR-12 agent in the intracellular site of action. This is crucial to kill facultative intracellular pathogens, such as *Salmonella* spp. and *Francisella* spp., which are challenging to treat due to their residence within intracellular vesicles and the cytosol. In addition to the treatment of bacterial infection, Ace-DEX was also suggested to treat visceral leishmaniasis (VL), a disease caused by parasites of *Leishmania* sp., and to reduce the risk of its resistance due to the long treatment regimens with available therapeutics. Similar to
above-discussed examples, AR-12 was loaded into Ace-DEX microparticles for the systemic treatment of VL, showing a significantly reduced load of the parasite in the liver, spleen, and bone marrow of infected mice. There are currently only few studies on the potential of the Ace-DEX and its derivatives for the delivery of drugs against pathogens, and more studies are needed in the future to better understand how and to what extent acetalated dextran derivatives can be useful to formulate novel anti-infection formulations.

Conclusions and future perspectives

In conclusion, we have summarized the cutting-edge development of drug delivery systems based on Ac-DEX and its functional derivatives. The facile synthesis, along with the possibility for further chemical functionalization, makes Ac-DEX a versatile candidate for various therapeutic applications discussed in the previous sections. Compared with commercialized polymeric drug carriers, such as PLGA, Ac-DEX has a similar solubility profile, which makes it possible to fabricate by standardized procedures (single/double emulsion, nanoprecipitation, or electrospinning). Furthermore, the tunable pH-dependent release profile, biodegradability and biocompatibility are of particular interest for future clinical translations.

Despite the advantageous properties of Ac-DEX and derivatives, these polymers are still in the early stage of development, considering their relatively short research history. Like other polymeric material candidates, Ac-DEX and derivatives need to overcome many challenges before their clinical translations. First, the polymer synthesis and characterization need to be standardized, to minimize batch-to-batch variations. Considering the potential undesired hydrolysis of acetals on the polymer structure, the water exposure, especially acidic substance exposure, should be closely monitored in all steps, including polymer synthesis, particle fabrication and storage, to avoid unexpected polymer degradation. Second, we also need more reproducible and well-controlled particle fabrication methods, to ensure the homogeneity of the particles with sufficient payload loading. To this end, the development and optimization of various techniques, such as microfluidic devices, electrospray and spray drying, is anticipated to support and speed-up the development and clinical translation of nanomedicines. In addition to particle fabrication, sterilization and long-term storage should be taken into consideration for clinical translations as well. Sterilization could be achieved by aseptic processing, or terminal sterilization techniques such as γ-irradiation. Regarding the storage, the promising preliminary results have shown Ac-DEX particles with bioactive enzyme payloads could be stored outside cold chain conditions for months as discussed in the previous sections. We would anticipate more storage stability test results of formulated biopharmaceuticals in specific applications. Last, but not least, the biosafety of the Ac-DEX-based delivery systems needs to be carefully evaluated, regarding the specific particle, the administration method and the desired application. Since there is no such “universal delivery platform” for different payloads, it is critical to focus on payload-specific delivery systems, and make critical assessments of bioavailability, biodistribution, and metabolic kinetics of the payloads using such Ac-DEX delivery systems. Considering the rapid development in the field, the innovative applications of Ac-DEX-based materials have great potential to be realized in the future.
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