Can Existing Social Media Encourage Health and Care Co-production?
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The Future of health in EU faces the triple challenges of ageing, fiscal restriction and inclusion. Co-production offers ways to manage informal care resources to help cater for the growing needs of elderly people. We investigate the uses of Social media (SM) as an enabler of co-production in health and care. We conducted a qualitative study using interviews and online observations. We found that particular types of SM are currently used to enable co-production through coordination and communication across boundaries. Particularly, Twitter and Facebook are used to connect carers, disseminate information and invite volunteers. However there are still many other types of SM which are rarely used in this sector due to their limitations. Nevertheless, carers showed interest in using systems which help them to engage people in shaping services, sharing experiences and encouraging care activities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The future of health in the European Union faces the triple challenges of ageing, fiscal restriction and inclusion [1]. In the UK, the number of elderly people will increase to 6.6 million in the next 25 years. In Scotland, people aged over 74 are projected to increase most radically by 82% by 2035. These statistics show that the needs of elderly people are growing and that there is an increased requirement for carers. The population of informal carers account for around 10% of the population of the UK (62M). It is projected that this number will increase to 9 million (around 12% of 73.2M population) in the next 25 years [2]. The current value of care (Table 1) is worth an estimated £119bn per year – almost equal to NHS England’s annual budget [3].

An important challenge thereof is how to provide resources for the health and care of elderly people in future. In this respect, we have a big pool of informal carers which need to be managed to meet existing and future care needs. Co-production offers ways to manage informal care resources. The full participation of informal carers in the co-production of health and care has the potential to play a significant role in the sustainability of health and care delivery. A pressing issue is how to coordinate this massive resource with the formal health and care system to enable true co-production of health and care.

Increasingly, e-health is seen as a mean to re-shape healthcare systems [4]. In particular, social media (SM) are seen as a critical enabler for co-production [5]. Communication is a key element in co-production, enabling coordination across various boundaries. SM cuts across boundaries and is well understood.

2. OBJECTIVE

Our study investigates the current and possible future for SM as an enabler of co-production in health and care. To achieve these aims, two main sets of questions are asked:

- what are the current uses of SM in health and social care?
How can SM be reshaped to enable (and reshape) health and care co-production?

We consider a typology of opportunities and limitations of SM for health and care. Existing health and care service bundles with (1) existing or (2) new SM tools. New health and care service bundles with (3) existing or (4) emerging SM tools. In this part of the research, we focused on the first type "Existing health and care service with existing SM tools".

Table 2 - SM and Health and care

| Social Media | Current Service | Change Services |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|
|               | Use of current SM in existing situation (1) | Current SM help to change health and care service (2) |
| Redesign     | New SM to cater for the current health and care services (3) | New Social Media with New Health and Care Services (4) |

3. METHODOLOGY

We conducted a qualitative study investigating the socio-technical aspects of the current and possible future uses of SM by different organizations and groups as an enabler of co-production. We used purposeful sampling to select organisations and groups who were providing care services to elderly people. We used a combination of interviews and online observations of groups and activities to collect data.

We used interviews as the main method of data collection to answer these questions. We have conducted 12 in-depth interviews focusing on the above aspects and observed the activities of 10 groups / organisations in different SM environments.

4. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Table 2 shows the outline of our findings. Our findings showed very limited use of social media in elderly healthcare services. We found that many types of social media are very rarely used in this sector. The main reason for not using SM were reported as:

1- Low skills and lack of awareness of users, particularly professional carers;
2- High costs and effort needed to update and monitor the content of the SMs;
3- Professional carers are not familiar with the professional use of technology. Although many carers showed interest — and some were even using SM for personal communication — they had very limited knowledge of how these systems be can used to achieve benefits in the course of care for elderly people;

Table 3 - Social media use by different groups

| Existing SM          | Professional Carers | Voluntary organizations | Private Sectors |
|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Social Networking    | ±Company use        | ±Broadcast information  | ±Private Group  |
| (FB.)                | ±Informal communication between supervisors and carers | ±Promote Services for carers and families | ±Co-service |
| Micro-Blog (Twitter) | ±Broadcasting of information | ±Communicate and connect with other organizations | |
|                      |                     | ±Receive updates about events | |
|                      |                     | ±Communicate with wider people | |
| Blog                 | ±Not familiar and not used widely. | ±Fundraising | |
|                      |                     | ±Broadcast information | |
|                      |                     | ±Promote Services for carers and families | |
| Forum                | ±Not familiar and not used widely. | ±Promote activities for carers and patients | |
|                      |                     | ±Online forum not used | |
| Website              | ±Promote and present the company | ±Only used by very large volunteer organizations | |
|                      |                     | ±Patients and families share stories and experiences | |
4. Problems in accessing to the online resources because of low level of skills and confidence, in particular for elderly people.

5. Carers and professional carers have security concerns in using SM to share information.

5. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

We can conclude that:

- Existing SM (Twitter & FB) are currently used to enable co-production.
- Twitter and Facebook are used to connect carers, disseminate information and invite volunteers to take part in care activities.
- In some organisations, carers respond with SM to provide co-delivery of services.
- Difficulties in use of SM: Low skills awareness; high costs and effort needed to update and monitor the contents of these SMs; Professional carers not familiar with professional use of technology; Problems in accessing to the online resources; Security and confidentiality concerns in using SM to share information; current SMs do not cater for all their needs.
- Carers interested in having a particular system designed for their specific uses.

Our findings suggest we need to design a specific SM system that covers the particular needs and concerns of carers in order to coproduce health and care.

In the next steps of this project, we aim to identify new services (e.g. workforce co-ordination and cooperative organisations) which could be offered with existing SM. Then, we will explore the possibilities for designing a new SM to cater for existing needs as well as the new services required.
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