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*Coeliccia cyanomelas* is studied based on a large series of specimens from its whole distribution range. Intraspecies variations of color patterns on the thorax are discussed. *Coeliccia sexmaculata* Wang, 1994, *Coeliccia mingxiensis* Xu, 2006, and *Coeliccia wilsoni* Zhang & Huo, 2011 are all assigned as junior synonyms of *Coeliccia cyanomelas*. One ‘variety’ from Guizhou is reported and discussed briefly.
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**Introduction**

The oriental genus *Coeliccia* Kirby, 1890, with 73 recorded species globally, is the second largest genus in the family Platycnemididae (Schorr, Lindeboom, & Paulson, 2009). A total of 11 *Coeliccia* species have been recorded from China, mainly distributed in Yunnan and Guangxi provinces (Yu, 2010). They are *Coeliccia ambigua* Asahina, 1997; *Coeliccia chromothorax* (Selys, 1891); *Coeliccia cyanomelas* Ris, 1912; *Coeliccia didyma* (Selys, 1863); *Coeliccia flavicauda* Ris, 1912; *Coeliccia galbina* Wilson and Reels, 2003; *Coeliccia loogali* Fraser, 1932; *Coeliccia poungyi* Fraser, 1924; *Coeliccia satoi* Asahina, 1997; *Coeliccia scutellum* Laidlaw, 1932 and *Coeliccia sexmaculata* Wang, 1994. Among them, *C. cyanomelas* is the most widespread species, with a distribution covering the whole of south China and extending to Vietnam (Steinhoff & Uhl, 2015; Yu, 2010). Studies have shown that the male of *C. cyanomelas* presents a large variation in body color pattern (Yu, 2008; Steinhoff & Uhl, 2015). Three recently proposed species from China (Wang, 1994; Xu, 2006; Zhang & Huo, 2011) were thought to be very similar to *C. cyanomelas* and therefore need further study.

*C. didyma* (Selys, 1863) resembles *C. cyanomelas* in appearance, but is distributed further west (India, Peninsula Malaysia, and Thailand). Both Fraser (1933) and Asahina (1984) have mentioned that the first type specimen of *C. didyma* that Selys studied is from Tibet. Unfortunately, the abdomen end of this specimen is lost. Asahina (1984, p. 4) added that “This looks rather different bluish species resembled to *C. cyanomelas* Ris (Taiwan) with four pale spots on the front of pterothorax.” He then cited Laidlaw’s comment that Selys might have confused Tibet with Assam. Nobody knows why Asahina did not check the genital ligula of that specimen. The
shape of the ligula in *C. cyanomelas* and *C. didyma* is completely different. We now can confirm that *C. cyanomelas* occurs in west Sichuan (Figure 1), an area used to be called Tibet (Yu & Bu, 2009). There are now no confirmed records of *C. didyma* from China. In any case, the *didyma* group (including *C. didyma*, *C. cyanomelas*, and maybe others) deserves a further study to test if the similar color pattern is phylogenetically meaningful.

The present study focused on the color variation of *C. cyanomelas*, synonymized three species, and reported a new ‘variety’.

**Material and methods**

Specimens were collected from China (Anhui, Chongqing, Fujian, Gansu, Guangdong, Guangxi, Guizhou, Hainan, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Jiangxi, Shaanxi, Sichuan, Taiwan, Yunnan, and Zhejiang) and Vietnam from 1982 to 2016, and were either kept in alcohol or dried, and stored in College of Life Sciences of Chongqing Normal University. All the living photos were taken in the field with digital cameras Canon Power Shot A710 (Zhuhai, China) or Nikon D3200 (Bangkok, Thailand). Character photos were taken in the laboratory using Zeiss V20 (Jena, Germany) microphotography system. Specimens were examined and dissected under a Zeiss V8 stereomicroscope.

**Taxonomy**

*Coelliccia cyanomelas* Ris, 1912
Coeliccia cyanomelas Ris, 1912: 66, Formosa; Needham, 1930: 247, pl. XVI:12; Asahina, 1956: 204–228; Asahina, 1961:10, figs 55, 56; Sui & Sun, 1986: 280; Yu, 2008: 2 (10), pl. V: 8–10; Steinhoff & Uhl, 2015: 257–276, figs 8b, c, 9, 10, 11d–f.

Coeliccia sexmaculata Wang, 1994: 82–84, syn. nov.

Coeliccia mingxiensis Xu, 2006: 251–255, syn. nov.

Coeliccia wilsoni Zhang & Huo, 2011: 51–56, syn. nov.

Material

One male (Holotype of C. sexmaculata), Luanchuan, Henan, 20 August 1986, Xiujiang Zhang leg. 1 male, 1 female (paratypes of C. sexmaculata), ditto. 3 males, 2 female, Yunchong, Jinzhai, Anhui, 9 June 2012, Xin Yu leg.; 3 males, 1 female, Huangshan, Anhui, 5 May 2014, Xin Yu leg.; 6 males, 7 females, Banan, Chongqing, 8 July 2016, Junli Xue leg.; 7 males, 3 females, Wuyishan, Fujian, 5 May 2014, Xin Yu leg.; 15 males, 5 females, Nanping, ditto., 5–10 August 2014, Xin Yu leg.; 3 males, 6 females, Zhangzhou, ditto., 13–15 August 2014, Junli Xue leg.; 6 males, Longyan, ditto., 5 August 2016, Xin Yu leg.; 3 males, 3 females, Zhangzhou, ditto., 15 August 2014, ditto.; 4 males, 1 female, Bikou, Wenxian, Gansu, 13 July 2005, ditto.; 3 male, Dinghushan, Guangdong, 26 July 2009, Ying Cui leg.; 2 male, 1 female, Maoming, ditto., 1 August 2009, Min Li leg.; 3 male, Leye, Guangxi, 24 July 2004, Xin Yu leg.; 3 males, 1 female, Damingshan, ditto., 9 August 2011, ditto.; 3 males, 1 females, Xingan, ditto., 9 July 2009, Kai Dang leg.; 19 males, 5 females, Mulun, ditto., 21 July 2015, Xin Yu leg.; 4 males, 2 females, Huaxi, Guizhou, 5 August, ditto.; 5 males, females, Zunyi, ditto., 6 August 2013, Xiurong Li leg.; 6 males, 1 female, Maolan, ditto., 25 July 2015, Xin Yu leg.; 11 males, 1 female, Wengang, Libo, ditto., 25 July 2015, ditto.; 1 male, Leigongshan, ditto., 1 August 2015, Junli Xue leg.; 1 male, 1 female, Diaoluoshan, Hainan, 31 May 2007, Xin Yu leg.; 2 males, Bawangling, ditto., 750 m, 9 June 2007, ditto.; 2 males, Yinggeling, Baisha, ditto., 1 August 2013, Jie Yang leg.; 3 males, 1 females, Neixiang, Henan, 30 May 1958, Zhiguo Wang leg.; 2 males, 2 females, Shangcheng, ditto., 20 June 1986, Jianguang Xiao leg.; 10 males, 1 female, Luanchuan, Luoyang, ditto., 3 July 2017, Xin Ning leg.; 6 males, 3 females, Huanggang, Hubei, 2 July 2014, Xin Ning leg.; 7 males, 4 females, Shiyan, ditto., 14 July 2014, Junlixue leg.; 3 males, Xianning, ditto., 1 August 2010, Wenjun BU leg.; 5 males, 1 females, Zhangjiajie, Hunan, 6 August 2015, Xin Yu leg.
Figure 3. Field habitus photos of *C. cyanomelas* from different populations (1).
Figure 4. Field habitus photos of *C. cyanomelas* from different populations (2).
Figure 5. Lateral view of caudal appendages of *C. cyanomelas* with the left cerci removed.

Remarks

According to our study, *C. cyanomelas* occurs in Anhui, Chongqing, Fujian, Gansu, Guangdong, Guangxi, Guizhou, Hainan, Henan, Hubei, Hunan, Jiangxi, Shaanxi, Sichuan, Taiwan, Yunnan, Zhejiang of China, and north Vietnam (Figure 1). Male color pattern of this species, especially on the thorax, shows large variations, which existed not only between but also within geographic populations. These variations may have led to a series of taxonomic mistakes (Yu, 2008).
major variations include: (1) the presence or absence of a pair of pale circular marks on dorsum of middle lobe of pronotum (SC); (2) the presence or absence, as well as the relative size of a pair of small stripes at upper side of synthorax dorsum (SS); and (3) the shape of the lower edge of the black area on dorsal synthorax (LE) (Figure 2). SC has been used as an important diagnostic character in Wang (1994) and Xu (2006). However, it is not a creditable feature since the SC can either present or absent in individuals even within one population (Figure 3c, d). There is a similar situation for SS, which shows continuous variations from absent to quite large in Sichuan and Guangxi populations at least (Figure 3c, d). Few researchers previously have noted the variation of LE, although it is obvious. LE can be relative smooth like a straight line (Figures 2, 4a) or zigzag (Figure 3d–f) in different populations.

**Synonymization**

Wang (1994) proposed *C. sexmaculata* mainly based on the presence of SC. However, we found this character to be quite common in populations of Henan, Gansu, Guangxi, Guizhou, and Sichuan (Figure 3d–h). Both cases (presence or absence of SC) can even appear in the same populations, e.g. Emeishan (Sichuan), Hechi (Guangxi) and Jinggangshan (Jiangxi) (Figure 3c, d). Another diagnostic character of *C. sexmaculata* is “the pale middle dorsal longitudinal stripe was continuous from S3 to S9” (Wang, 1994, p. 82). But the same character was also found in other populations, including Wenxian, Gansu. Furthermore, the first author XY has finally found the type specimens of *C. sexmaculata* from Shanghai Entomological Museum, Chinese Academy of Sciences (not the place Wang (1994) indicated). After checking the types, we confirmed that *C. sexmaculata* is a junior synonym of *C. cyanomelas*. 
Xu (2006) proposed *C. mingxiensis* on the basis of a definite teneral male of *Coeliccia* species. According to his descriptions and figures, all characters of *C. mingxiensis* are identical to a teneral *C. cyanomelas*. Again, we have a large number of specimens covering the type locality of *C. mingxiensis* (Mingxi, located in Wuyi mountain, Fujian). After checking all these specimens (including some teneral ones) we believe that *C. mingxiensis* is just a junior synonym of *C. cyanomelas*. There is a long-standing confusion among Chinese workers about the teneral male color pattern of *C. cyanomelas*, which has even led to the irrelevant civil name “yellow stripe”. Young males of *C. cyanomelas* always have an unusual but uniform yellow brown body color (Figure 3b, i), which becomes blue and decorated with a completely different pattern after maturing. Cuong, Thai, and Hong (2011) reported a new record of *C. mingxiensis* from Tam Dao National Park in north Vietnam. According to the photos of body and caudal appendages, the individuals they observed should all be teneral males of *Coeliccia* species (resemble *cyanomelas*), thus it is difficult to decide the exact species. If figures of genital ligula had been provided it would be helpful.

Zhang & Huo (2011) published *C. wilsoni* on the basis of dry specimens collected in 2006, which had most probably had their blue body color decayed already (commonly in dry specimens of *C. cyanomelas*). According to wing vein and the ‘fake’ body color, Zhang thought this ‘new species’ is close to *C. ryukyuensis*, a completely different Japanese species. Generally, wing vein is not suitable for species level classification due to intraspecies variations caused by reasons such as fluctuating asymmetry (Hardersen, 2000). Zhang & Huo (2011) emphasized that the shape of genital ligula of *C. wilsoni* was different from *C. cyanomelas*. This was because they had not compared enough specimens from other populations. We have found continuous variations in the shape of genital ligula of *C. cyanomelas* (Figure 8). In terms of the color pattern of
thorax and abdomen, as well as the shape of genital ligula, *C. wilsoni* should be the same species as *C. cyanomelas*. Furthermore, we have checked a series of specimens from the type locality (Nanzheng, Shaanxi) of *C. wilsoni*. Here we confirm that *C. wilsoni* should be a junior synonym of *C. cyanomelas*.

**New variety**

During fieldwork in Wengang, Libo, Guizhou we found an interesting little population of *C. cyanomelas*, of which the male color pattern is quite different from other populations
(Figure 4g–i). The pale color on compound eyes is green anteriorly and blue posteriorly in life (Figures 2, 4h) rather than wholly blue (Figures 3, 4a–f). Antehumeral stripes are small, similar size and shape to SS, pale blue with hint of green (Figure 4g, h); those are definitely larger than SS and wholly blue in other populations (Figures 3c–h, 4a–f). Caudal appendages are wholly black (Figures 5g, i, 7g, i) rather than blue (Figures 5a–f, 7a–f). Head of genital ligula is triangular (Figure 8k, l) but shield-like in the sympatric general population as well as most other populations (Figure 8i, j). However, just as we have discussed above, the shape of genital ligula has obvious variations among populations, therefore we will not emphasize this character here. The same also happens to the shape of caudal appendages. For example, we still cannot find any distinct gaps in the shape variations of the male cercus, although the detailed structure of it is complicated (Figure 6). The more individuals are studied the more difficult is in determining diagnostic differences.

The Wengang population was restricted to a very limited habitat, viz. at the foot of a huge precipice about 1 km long. Males occurred in moderate density, but very few females were observed. No individuals from the sympatric general population (cf Figure 3f) were found within this range although they could be observed not far away. Preliminary molecular analysis (unpublished) showed that the Wengang population is not a distinct species. According to ICZN rules it cannot be a subspecies due to the sympatric distribution. We do not think it is a seasonal form either, since we are sure it will live simultaneously with the general population for quite a long time. Here we would like to treat this population as a Darwinian variety or incipient species which deserves further study.
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