Methylation screening of the TGFBI promoter in human lung and prostate cancer by methylation-specific PCR
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Abstract

Background: Hypermethylation of the TGFBI promoter has been shown to correlate with decreased expression of this gene in human tumor cell lines. In this study, we optimized a methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (MSP) method and investigated the methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in human lung and prostate cancer specimens.

Methods: Methylation-specific primers were designed based on the methylation profiles of the TGFBI promoter in human tumor cell lines, and MSP conditions were optimized for accurate and efficient amplification. Genomic DNA was isolated from lung tumors and prostatectomy tissues of prostate cancer patients, bisulfite-converted, and analyzed by MSP.

Results: Among 50 lung cancer samples, 44.0% (22/50) harbored methylated CpG sites in the TGFBI promoter. An analysis correlating gene methylation status with clinicopathological cancer features revealed that dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter was associated with a metastatic phenotype, with 42.9% (6/14) of metastatic lung cancer samples demonstrating dense methylation vs. only 5.6% (2/36) of primary lung cancer samples (p < 0.05). Similar to these lung cancer results, 82.0% (41/50) of prostate cancer samples harbored methylated CpG sites in the TGFBI promoter, and dense methylation of the promoter was present in 38.9% (7/18) of prostate cancer samples with the feature of locoregional invasiveness vs. only 19.4% (6/31) of prostate cancer samples without locoregional invasiveness (p < 0.05). Furthermore, promoter hypermethylation correlated with highly reduced expression of the TGFBI gene in human lung and prostate tumor cell lines.

Conclusion: We successfully optimized a MSP method for the precise and efficient screening of TGFBI promoter methylation status. Dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter correlated with the extent of TGFBI gene silencing in tumor cell lines and was related to invasiveness of prostate tumors and metastatic status of lung cancer tumors. Thus, TGFBI promoter methylation can be used as a potential prognostic marker for invasiveness and metastasis in prostate and lung cancer patients, respectively.
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Background
Cancers of the lung and prostate contribute to a significant fraction of cancer-related deaths in the United States [1,2]. For lung cancer, approximately 50% of patients have metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis, which contributes to a less than 15% overall survival rate [1]. The poor survival of lung cancer patients is in part attributed to undetectable tumor micrometastasis at the time of surgery for even relatively early-stage disease, which is responsible for later relapse with the development of nodal and/or distant metastasis [3]. Furthermore, there is no highly effective curative therapy for advanced or hormone-refractory prostate cancer [4]. A better understanding of the molecular mechanisms associated with lung and prostate cancer progression may help in the development of improved diagnosis, clinical management, and outcome prediction. In particular, the discovery of epigenetic biomarkers for cancer invasiveness and metastasis may help in the identification of patients at risk for more aggressive cancer disease courses. This would potentially help clinicians to devise effective intensified and/or novel therapeutic strategies to prevent or decrease the likelihood of tumor progression to invasiveness and metastasis in such high-risk patients.

Hypermethylation of CpG site clusters (CpG islands) within the promoter region of genes has been characterized as a common epigenetic alteration for the silencing or inactivation of tumor suppressor genes in human malignancies including lung and prostate cancers [5-7]. Due to their heritable nature, both genetic and epigenetic alterations pose a great risk for cancer development [8]. Aberrant methylation of p16INK4a, FHIT, APC, MLH1, RASSF1, CDKN2A, and DAPK has been associated with lung cancer stage, metastasis, and an increased risk of recurrence after therapy [9]. GSTP1, encoding the η-class glutathione S-transferase (GST) capable of detoxifying electrophilic and oxidant carcinogens, was the first reported gene silenced by CpG island hypermethylation in prostate cancer [10]. Subsequent studies have identified more than 40 genes that are targeted by DNA hypermethylation in prostate cancer cells, including RASSF1A (ras association domain family protein 1, isoform A), RARβ2 (retinoic acid receptor [2], p16INK4a, and PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog) tumor suppressor genes [11-13]. Although silencing of other tumor suppressor genes, such as RB1 (retinoblastoma-1 gene), MLH-1 (mismatch repair gene), and VHL (von Hippel-Lindau gene), through DNA hypermethylation is relatively rare in prostate cancer, it is common in other types of malignancies [5].

TGFBI, also known as Betaig-h3, is a secreted protein induced by transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) in human adenocarcinoma cells as well as in other human cell types [14], and has been shown to possess tumor suppressor function in in vitro studies [15]. An earlier study from our laboratory demonstrated a dense methylation pattern of the TGFBI promoter in human tumor cell lines, including both lung (H522, H810, H1417) and prostate (DU145) tumor cell lines, with a complete loss or low level of TGFBI expression in these cell lines. In contrast, only sparsely methylated or unmethylated CpG sites were identified in cell lines with a rich level of TGFBI expression, including normal, immortalized, and several tumor cell lines [16]. In this study, we have examined the promoter methylation of the TGFBI gene in 100 cases of lung and prostate cancers by using an optimized MSP method. Our study revealed that dense TGFBI promoter methylation is correlated with the invasiveness of prostate cancers, and with the metastatic status of lung cancers. TGFBI methylation may thus serve as a useful prognostic biomarker, and our optimized MSP method may be useful for evaluation of TGFBI promoter methylation in a wide variety of tumor samples.

Methods
Lung and Prostate Cancer Patient Samples
Genomic DNA of lung cancer samples from 50 non-small cell lung cancer patients – consisting of 36 primary lung cancer tumor samples, 10 lymph node metastasis samples, and 4 distant metastasis samples – were purchased from Oncomatrix (San Marcos, CA). Frozen tissue from the prostatectomy specimens of 50 prostate adenocarcinoma patients who had undergone therapeutic prostatectomy was obtained from the Columbia University Tumor Bank. Fifteen tissue sections of seven-micron thickness were procured for each patient. A phenol-chloroform procedure was used to isolate genomic DNA from cancer specimens. For the prostate portion, we obtained approval from the Columbia IRB using "exempt status" (i.e., examination of pathological specimens that contain no patient identifier information, protocol number IRB X-10005).

In our analysis, we divided the lung cancer patients into two groups based on specimen source: patients whose tumor specimen was derived from the primary lung tumor site vs. those whose tumor specimen was obtained from a metastatic site (lymph node or distant). Lung cancer specimen source type was then correlated with TGFBI methylation status. Likewise, prostate cancer patients were divided into two groups based on a specific classification system: patients who did not have pathological features of locoregional invasiveness (extracapsular extension = stage pT3a, seminal vesicle involvement = stage pT3b, margin positivity, and/or regional lymph node involvement = stage pN1) vs. those who did have one or more such features of locoregional invasiveness. We then correlated the
presence vs. absence of locoregional invasiveness with TGFBI methylation status.

As a point of clarification, we included pathological prostatectomy margin positivity as a feature that would qualify a patient’s disease as having locoregional invasiveness present (in addition to pT3 or pN1 disease), which is supported by previous studies that have considered margin positivity to be a well-established feature of a more aggressive prostate cancer disease course after prostatectomy [17,18].

Bisulfite Treatment
Using the EZ DNA Methylation Kit™ (Zymed Research, Orange, CA) protocol, 2 μg of genomic DNA from each patient sample was treated with denaturation buffer, sodium bisulfite (converting unmethylated cytosine residues to uracil), and desulphonation buffer, with elution of the bisulfite-modified DNA into 10 μl of buffer.

TGFBI Regulatory Region Template Enrichment
To amplify the regulatory region (promoter region and first exon) of the TGFBI gene in each patient sample, 2 μl of the bisulfite-modified DNA was used as a template in a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the FailSafe™ PCR System with 2× PreMix J buffer (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, WI). Primer set "M1/M2" was used in this PCR amplification. Primers M1 and M2 were designed to flank the TGFBI regulatory region without any potential methylation sites, thus allowing for amplification of both methylated and unmethylated DNA (i.e., primers M1 and M2 were "unbiased" vis-à-vis methylation status) (Fig. 1). The PCR conditions were as follows: a hot start at 95°C for 5 minutes (to fully denature the bisulfite-modified genomic DNA), 35 amplification cycles (94°C for 30 seconds for denaturation, 53°C for 30 seconds for primer annealing, and 72°C for 60 seconds for extension), and a final full extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. A MSP method using a methylation-specific primer set was then developed and executed using the TGFBI regulatory region product from the M1/M2 PCR amplification as the template for MSP, as described in the Results section.

Of note, successful template enrichment of the TGFBI regulatory region was demonstrated by the use of a nested "M3/M4" primer set to perform a PCR amplification on 1 μl of 1:100 diluted product from the first PCR amplification using primers M1 and M2. Primers M3 and M4 were designed to flank the TGFBI regulatory region, with the M3/M4 product sequence flanked by primers M1 and M2 (Fig. 1). Like primers M1/M2, M3/M4 were "unbiased" primers that bound to DNA lacking potential methylation sites so that both methylated and unmethylated DNA would be amplified. The PCR conditions for the M3/M4 reaction were the same as for the M1/M2 reaction except for use of 2× PreMix D buffer, a hot start at 94°C for 2
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**Figure 1**  
*Predicted CpG island and methylated CpG sites profile of the TGFBI regulatory region in human tumor cell lines* [16]. Locations of unmethylated PCR primers (M1-M4, U1-U2) and methylation-specific primers (P1-P6) are marked as "-".

\[ \text{Predicted CpG island and methylated CpG sites profile of the TGFBI regulatory region in human tumor cell lines}\]
minutes, and 54°C as the primer annealing temperature. The intended amplification of the TGFBI regulatory region was proven by the presence of a 629-bp band using this M3/M4 primer pair. Thus, the PCR reaction using the M3/M4 primer pair served as a template control to ensure the successful and sequence-specific amplification of the TGFBI promoter, thereby mitigating the possibility of false-negative results with the MSP method. The template enrichment primer pairs were: M1:5’AGTTGGGAGGTTGGTATTGTTTTATTAAGA TTA-3’ (-548 to -529), and M2: 5’ACCCCAAC-TACCTAACCITCC3’ (+162 to +184), M1/M2 PCR product of 732 bp; M3: 5’TITGGTATTGTITTGTAGTTTTAAGA TT3’ (−457 to −430), and M4: 5’TAAACCTTCACAACCCTCCATAACC A3’ (+172 to +148), M3/M4 PCR product of 629 bp.

**Western and Northern Blotting**

For analysis of TGFBI protein level, conditioned medium was collected and the protein in the medium was concentrated by adding SP sepharose at the proportion of 25 μl/ml medium (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL) and eluted with 1× SDS loading buffer. Whole cell lysines were also collected and their protein concentrations were used to normalize the loading of medium samples. After separation and transferring to the membrane, medium samples were immunoblotted with Rabbit anti-human TGFBI polyclonal antibody (1:1,000 dilution) (R&D System, Minneapolis, MN), and the whole cell lysates were immunoblotted with β-actin antibody as control.

Table 1: Primer sequences and optimized annealing temperatures for each pair of primers

| Primers | Sequence | CpG sites | Location | Length (bp) | Annealing temp. (°C) |
|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------------------|
| P1      | 5’TATGTAGGATCGAAGTTC3’ | 2 | -405 ~ -64 | 341 | 60–62                |
| P2      | 5’TACCCCAACCTACCTAACCITCC3’ | 3 |          |           |                     |
| P3      | 5’GGGTATAGTGTGGGAGC3’ | 2 | -235 ~ +22 | 257 | 65–67                |
| P4      | 5’CAACCGCAGGAAAAACGC3’ | 3 |          |           |                     |
| P5      | 5’GAGGCCTATTGGCCGTTC3’ | 3 | -180 ~ -26 | 155 | 64.5                 |
| P6      | 5’AACTAACCACGGACAGGAC3’ | 3 |          |           |                     |
| U1      | 5’GAGGTAGTATTGTGGTGTTC3’ | 3 | -180 ~ +71 | 252 | 62                   |
| U2      | 5’CCCACCAAATCTACACAGAA3’ | 3 |          |           |                     |
would be modified to T (sense strand) or A (antisense strand) by bisulfite treatment, whereas C would remain as C in methylated CpG sites. Thus, for example, PCR amplification with primer set P1-P2 on a particular sample would yield a PCR product only if the TGFBI region in this sample was actually methylated at the two CpG sites encompassed by primer P1 and the three CpG sites encompassed by primer P2. As a control, primers U1/U2 were designed to amplify unmethylated DNA, in which case the nucleotide C in CpG sites would be modified to T or A by bisulfite treatment.

**Validation of Methylation-Specific Primers by MSP**

To ensure the accurate and efficient amplification of methylated TGFBI DNA by the methylation-specific primers, we optimized the annealing temperature for these primers using 293T and H522 tumor cells as positive controls, and normal human bronchial epithelial (NHBE) cells and human mammary epithelial cells (HMEC) as negative controls. Our previous bisulfite sequencing studies demonstrated that 293T and H522 are densely methylated at the TGFBI promoter region, whereas NHBE and HMEC cells are unmethylated [16]. MSP was performed in a final reaction volume of 25 μl, including 1 μl of diluted PCR products (1:200) from the M1/M2 amplification as the template and 1.25 pmol of primer sets (P1/P2, P3/P4, or P5/P6). The FailSafe™ PCR System (with 2× PreMix D buffer) was used for all of the PCRs, and the PCR conditions were as follows: a hot start at 94°C for 2 minutes followed by 35 cycles of PCR (94°C for 30 seconds, annealing for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds), and a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. All the PCR products were analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis and the fragments were visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The optimized annealing temperature for each primer set and the lengths of PCR products are shown in Table 1.

Dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter was observed in 293T and H522 cells but not in NHBE and HMEC cells (Fig. 2). Primer set U1/U2 was used to amplify unmethylated DNA, and thus no PCR products were obtained for 293T and H522 cells but PCR products were observed for NHBE and HMEC cells.

**MSP-Based Methylation Screening of the TGFBI Promoter in Lung Tumor Samples**

Fifty lung cancer patient samples were screened for TGFBI methylation by MSP. 293T and HMEC cell lines were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. As shown in Figure 3A, 22 of 50 (44.0%) samples were identified as harboring methylated sites at different levels in the TGFBI promoter. Methylation frequencies detected by primer sets P1/P2, P3/P4, and P5/P6 were 20/50 (40.0%), 17/50 (34.0%), and 8/50 (16.0%), respectively. Since all samples harboring TGFBI methylation at the P5/P6 loci also had methylation at the P1/P2 and P3/P4 loci, methylation detected by the P5/P6 primer set indicated that at least 16 CpG sites were methylated in the TGFBI promoter due to the fact that the P1-P6 primers bound to locations containing a total of 16 potential methylated CpG sites (Table 1).

**MSP-Based Methylation Screening of the TGFBI Promoter in Prostate Tumor Samples**

Fifty prostate cancer patient samples were screened for TGFBI methylation by MSP. H522 and HMEC cell lines were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. As shown in Figure 3B, 41 of 50 (82.0%) samples were identified as harboring methylated sites at different levels in the TGFBI promoter. Methylation frequencies detected by primer sets P1/P2, P3/P4, and P5/P6 were 40/50 (80.0%), 27/50 (54.0%), and 14/50 (28.0%), respectively. Consistent with the findings in lung cancer, 100% (14/14) of prostate cancer samples with methylated CpG sites detected by P5/P6 also had CpG methylation at both P1/P2 and P3/P4 regions.
Methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in lung cancer tissue samples (A) and prostate cancer tissue samples (B) using the MSP method. "M": DNA 2-log marker; "+": positive methylated control; "-": negative unmethylated control. Numbers 1–50 correspond to each of the 50 lung and prostate cancer patients and their respective specimens.
Correlation of TGFBI Methylation Status with Clinicopathological Features

To determine whether the TGFBI methylation status of the lung cancer samples was correlated with clinicopathological features of lung cancer patients, univariate analyses were carried out to correlate the methylation status of the TGFBI promoter with various clinicopathological parameters. Only dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter (i.e., 16 methylated CpG sites detected) was found to correlate with metastatic phenotype, with a significantly higher frequency of dense methylation in metastatic tumor samples (6/14, 42.9%) than in primary tumor samples (2/36, 5.6%) (p < 0.05) (Tables 2, 3). These results suggest a positive correlation between dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter and metastasis in human lung cancer.

Likewise, the TGFBI methylation status of prostate cancer specimens was correlated with pathological features of the patients’ prostatectomy specimens including those of locoregional invasiveness (i.e., extracapsular extension of tumor, seminal vesicle involvement by tumor, margin positivity with tumor, and/or regional lymph node tumor involvement) (Table 4). Similar to the findings for lung cancer samples, a significantly higher frequency of dense methylation was identified in prostate cancer specimens with the characteristic of locoregional invasiveness. Dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter was present in 38.9% (7/18) of prostate cancer samples with locoregional invasiveness vs. only 19.4% (6/31) of prostate cancer samples without locoregional invasiveness (Table 5, p < 0.05). These results suggest a positive correlation between dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter and locoregional invasiveness in human prostate cancer.

In summary, dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter was associated with invasiveness in prostate cancer and metastasis in lung cancer.

Reduced TGFBI Expression in Lung and Prostate Cancer Cell Lines is Mainly Due to Promoter Hypermethylation

Direct bisulfite sequencing revealed that the TGFBI gene promoter is hypermethylated in some human lung and prostate tumor cell lines (H522, H810, H1417, DU145), but unmethylated or sparsely methylated in A549, PC3, and BEP2D (papillomavirus-immortalized human bronchial epithelial cells) cell lines [16]. In this study, methylation status detected by the MSP method using primer pair P5/P6 was further validated in these cell lines. As expected, PCR bands were obtained for the tumor cell lines with TGFBI hypermethylation (H522, H810, H1417, DU145), but not for A549, PC3, and BEP2D cell lines (Fig. 4A). After treatment with TGF-β1 at 5 ng/ml for 6 h, induction of TGFBI mRNA level was not detectable in tumor cell lines with TGFBI hypermethylation, whereas A549, PC3, and BEP2D showed a high level of induction (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, treatment with a demethylating agent (Aza-CdR) at 10 μM for 4 days resulted in a substantially increased level of both TGFBI mRNA and protein in H522, H810, H1417, and DU145 cells, but not in A549 and PC3 cells (Fig. 4C &4D).

Discussion

Metastasis is the major cause of death for both lung and prostate cancer patients [1-4]. Therefore, identification of biomarkers of invasiveness and metastasis would help to identify those patients who are more likely to have tumor dissemination, progression, or recurrence. We have shown previously that CpG islands of the TGFBI gene promoter are hypermethylated in human tumor cell lines [16]. However, the method used in our previous study was DNA sequencing of bisulfite-treated samples, which is labor-intensive and unsuitable for the rapid screening of methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in a large number of clinical patient samples.

Therefore, the present study was focused on optimizing and implementing a MSP method for accurate and efficient screening of TGFBI promoter methylation. For this
purpose, we designed three pairs of methylation-specific primers based on the methylation profiles of the TGFBI promoter reported in human tumor cell lines [16]. MSP conditions were optimized and successfully used for examining the methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in 50 cases each of lung tumors and prostatectomy specimens of prostate adenocarcinoma patients. Overall, TGFBI methylation was present in 44.0% (22/50) of lung cancer specimens and 82.0% (41/50) of prostate cancer specimens. Interestingly, dense methylation (≥ 16 methylated CpG sites) of the TGFBI promoter was identified in 16.0% (8/50) of lung cancer and 28.0% (14/50) of prostate cancer specimens, respectively, which in turn correlated significantly with metastatic phenotype in lung cancers and locoregional invasiveness in prostate cancers. Therefore, cancer cells with a densely methylated TGFBI promoter may be prone to invasiveness in prostate cancer and metastasis in lung cancer.

It should be noted that the exact percent tumor involvement in the slices of prostate tissue used for DNA extraction was not quantified. Thus, the percentage of tumor cells in tumor specimens or proportion of tumor cells with TGFBI promoter methylation may be very low in some tumor samples. In the present study, we used the product from the first round of PCR (M1/M2) as template to perform the second round of MSP analysis in order to increase the amplification efficiency and avoid false-negative results.

Cell-cell adhesion and cell-substrate adhesion are critical to the preservation of normal tissue architecture, and disruption of the cell adhesion system can lead to tumor infiltration and metastasis [19]. The TGFBI protein is composed of 683 amino acids containing four homologous internal repeat domains and has been reported to function as an extracellular matrix protein that mediates cell adhesion and migration through interactions with integrin receptors α3β1, αvβ3, and αvβ5 [20-22]. TGFBI protein is a component of extracellular matrix (ECM) in lung, bladder, and skin [23], and it promotes adhesion and spreading of dermal fibroblasts and corneal epithelial cells in vitro. Thus, silencing of the TGFBI promoter by hypermethylation would result in a deficiency of the gene product, which in turn may lead to the disruption of cell-ECM interaction and contribute to invasion and metastasis of cancer cells. Our previous work identified a dense TGFBI methylation pattern in lung tumor cell lines (H522, H810, and H1417) and a metastasized prostate tumor cell line (DU145) [16]. Our present results showed that 16.0% of lung cancer and 28.0% of prostate cancer samples had dense TGFBI promoter hypermethylation and that such dense methylation was associated with metastasis in lung cancer and invasiveness in prostate cancer, suggesting a potential prognostic value of TGFBI hypermethylation in lung and prostate cancer patients.

The TGF-β signaling pathway plays an important role in regulating proliferation and differentiation in normal lung and prostate epithelium [24,25]. Previous reports have shown that both lung and prostate cancer cells become resistant to the antiproliferative effects of TGF-β during cancer formation [26,27]. TGFBI, as one of the downstream effectors of the TGF-β signaling pathway, has been shown to be expressed ubiquitously in a variety of normal human tissues but is downregulated or inacti-

### Table 3: Methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in primary and metastatic (lymph node and remote) lung cancer specimens

| Source of lung cancer specimen | Number of methylated CpG sites |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                               | 0 | 5–10 | 16 |
| Primary site (n = 36)          | 22 (61.1%) | 12 (33.3%) | 2 (5.6%)* |
| Lymph node (n = 10)            | 4 (40.0%) | 2 (20.0%) | 4 (40.0%)* |
| Remote metastasis (n = 4)      | 2 (50.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2 (50.0%)* |

* P < 0.05

### Table 4: Univariate analyses of TGFBI methylation in prostate cancer patients

| Variable                      | Methylation status | P-value |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
|                               | Absent      | Present |     |
|      |                        |           |     |
| Gleason score                  |              |        |      |
| 6                              | 2 (16.7%)   | 10 (83.3%) | 0.6241 |
| 7 (3+4)                        | 6 (25.0%)   | 18 (75.0%) |      |
| 7 (4+3)                        | 1 (8.3%)    | 11 (91.7%) |      |
| 9                              | 0 (0.0%)    | 1 (100.0%) |      |
| Extracapsular extension        |              |        |      |
| Negative                       | 8 (20.0%)   | 32 (80.0%) | 0.8840 |
| Positive                       | 1 (11.1%)   | 8 (88.9%) |      |
| Seminal vesicle involvement    |              |        |      |
| Negative                       | 7 (16.7%)   | 35 (83.3%) | 0.5582 |
| Positive                       | 1 (16.7%)   | 5 (83.3%) |      |
| Prostatectomy margin positivity|              |        |      |
| Negative                       | 8 (23.5%)   | 26 (76.5%) | 0.4364 |
| Positive                       | 1 (6.7%)    | 14 (93.3%) |      |
| Regional lymph node involvement|              |        |      |
| Negative                       | 7 (18.4%)   | 31 (81.6%) | 0.3975 |
| Positive                       | 0 (0.0%)    | 1 (100.0%) |      |
vated in many human tumor cell lines including lung and prostate tumor cell lines [15]. Thus, blockage or inactivation of the TGF-β pathway caused by loss of TGF-β receptors (I, II, III) and mutations of Smads (2, 3, 4) would lead to a lack of response to TGF-β, and subsequent downregulation of TGFβ expression. Our previous work showed that most CpG sites of the TGFBI promoter were unmethylated in normal NHBE (normal human bronchial epithelial) cells and PHEC (prostate human epithelial cells) that expressed a high level of TGFBI mRNA. In contrast, three lung tumor cell lines (H522, H810, and H1417) and one prostate tumor cell line (DU145) that expressed an undetectable or a much lower level of TGFBI mRNA showed hypermethylation in the TGFBI promoter [16]. Consistent with this report, in the present study MSP-based PCR products were identified in H522, H810, H1417, and DU145 tumor cells but not in A549 and PC3 cells, suggesting that the MSP method can accurately and efficiently screen the methylation status of the TGFBI promoter. In addition, dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter is responsible for gene silencing since induction of TGFBI expression by TGF-β1 was totally lost in the tumor cells.

Table 5: Methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in prostate cancer prostatectomy specimens with or without locoregional invasiveness

| Locoregional invasiveness status of prostatectomy specimen | Number of methylated CpG sites |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Positive (n = 18)                                        | 0 (11.1%)                     |
| Negative (n = 31)                                        | 2 (22.6%)                     |
|                                                          | 5–10                          |
| Positive (n = 18)                                        | 2 (11.1%)                     |
| Negative (n = 31)                                        | 7 (22.6%)                     |
|                                                          | 16                             |
| Positive (n = 18)                                        | 7 (38.9%)*                    |
| Negative (n = 31)                                        | 6 (19.4%)*                    |

*P < 0.05

Figure 4
(A) Methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in lung and prostate tumor cell lines using the P5/P6 primer pair in MSP. (B) Induction of TGFBI expression in lung and prostate tumor cell lines by TGF-β1 determined by Northern blotting. Cells were treated with TGF-β1 at 5 ng/ml for 6 hours. Total RNAs were isolated and used for determining the mRNA level of the TGFBI gene before and after treatment. (C) TGFBI mRNA level in lung and prostate tumor cell lines with or without demethylating treatment determined by real-time RT-PCR. Cells were treated with Aza-CdR at 10 μM for 4 days with medium changed each day. Relative quantification of the TGFBI expression was performed by using real-time PCR, calibrated and normalized to its expression in DU145 cells. (D) TGFBI protein level in lung and prostate tumor cell lines with or without demethylating treatment examined by Western blotting.
with TGFBI hypermethylation, whereas demethylating treatment with Aza-CdR resulted in the re-expression of TGFBI in these tumor cell lines. In summary, dense methylation of the TGFBI promoter correlates with gene silencing that in turn may promote an advanced tumorigenic phenotype, such as invasiveness in prostate cancer and metastasis in lung cancer.

There is one report in the literature showing that overexpression of TGFBI promotes metastasis of SW480 colon cancer cells by enhancing extravasation [28]. However, a significant downregulation of TGFBI expression has been demonstrated in HT29 colon cancer cells [15] and in different types of primary human cancers [15,29-32], which is further substantiated by the present data demonstrating a correlation between promoter hypermethylation and TGFBI silencing in human lung and prostate cancer cells. It is highly possible that dysregulation of TGFBI expression is tumor cell or type-specific; moreover, TGFBI may be a double-edged sword whose loss or gain of expression may help promote tumorigenesis. Future studies will be required to clarify the functional complexity of TGFBI in human tumor progression.

Conclusion
We designed methylation-specific primers and optimized MSP conditions to examine the methylation status of the TGFBI promoter in a large number of human lung and prostate cancer specimens. Our current method demonstrated that the TGFBI promoter was densely methylated (at least 16 methylated CpG sites) in 16.0% of lung cancer specimens and 28.0% of prostate cancer specimens, and such dense methylation correlated with metastatic phenotype in lung cancer and invasiveness in prostate cancer. In addition, TGFBI promoter hypermethylation was associated with gene silencing in human lung and prostate tumor cell lines. Thus, TGFBI promoter hypermethylation may represent a valuable diagnostic biomarker in both lung and prostate cancer patients.
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