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Abstract  Family members are often the primary caregiver for autistic adults and this responsibility may impact on the carer’s wellbeing and quality of life. 109 family members of autistic adults completed an online survey assessing their wellbeing relating to their caring role for their autistic relative. Family members who were supporting an autistic relative with co-occurring mental health difficulties and who they reported as unprepared for the future, self-reported higher levels of worry, depression, anxiety and stress, and poorer quality of life. These findings emphasise the importance of support for family members of autistic adults, whether through external services to support their relative or individual mental health support for the carer.

Keywords  Adults · Autism · Family members · Mental health · Wellbeing

Introduction

As autistic individuals approach adulthood, external support often diminishes and family members frequently become the primary source of support (Happé and Charlton 2012; Howlin and Moss 2012). Research shows this increased responsibility can lead to stress among family members and within households (Benderix and Sivberg 2007; Rao and Beidel 2009; Smith et al. 2012), with family members, for example, reporting the need to restrict certain aspects of family life such as family days out and holidays (Hutton and Caron 2005; Montes and Halterman 2007). In addition, research has shown autistic adults are at increased risk of experiencing mental health problems, with anxiety difficulties reported as common amongst autistic adults and their family members (Davis et al. 2011; Van Bourgondien et al. 2014). Sterling et al. (2008) report in a sample of autistic 18–44 year olds that around 35% had anxiety, whilst Mazefsky et al. (2008) report 77% of autistic adults in their sample met criteria for an anxiety disorder. When mental health difficulties are present, this can be an additional barrier in addition to autism specific barriers towards independence for autistic adults (Smith and Philippen 2005), further increasing the need for support from others.

Family Members/Caregivers of Autistic Adults

Hare et al. (2004) interviewed families of 26 autistic adults, and found parental emotional distress was prominent and directly associated with unmet need of the autistic family member. One of the unmet needs identified by family members was the capability to plan for their relatives’ future and the majority of participants expressed concern about the future for their autistic relative, due to the lack of service provision. Krauss et al. (2005) explored the positive and negative experiences of mothers of autistic adults. They directly compared those who lived in the family home versus those in residential care. Those whose relative lived with them in the family home reported experiencing daily stress, whereas...
for those whose relative was in residential care, family members reported worry and concern about their relative’s needs being met, as well as guilt that they were no longer caring for them. Bitsika and Sharpley (2004) report two-thirds of parents of autistic children in their sample were clinically depressed, further reinforcing the mental health needs of family members of autistic individuals.

Dillenburger and McKerr (2011) explored long-term care and support available for parents and caregivers of adults with intellectual or developmental disabilities and found a gap in services and lack of future planning, which can cause increased stress for caregivers. Uncertainty about the future is a concern for many family members of autistic adults. Farley and McMahon (2014) highlight that caregivers can often be unprepared in terms of accessing external services to support their relative before it is unavoidable, due to them no longer being able to provide care.

When parents are no longer able to care for their autistic relatives, it is often siblings or other family members who become the primary caregiver. Arnold et al. (2012) explored the needs of siblings of individuals with developmental disabilities, particularly those taking over as their primary caregiver in the future. Siblings described the need for support services to address their own concerns, as they often find themselves alone or isolated due to time spent caregiving. This is further supported by Benderix and Sivberg (2007), who found that siblings of autistic individuals with an intellectual disability experienced stressful life conditions and limited their own social lives, which they attributed to their caring role. The current literature therefore substantiates the stress and strain and the prevalence of mental health difficulties amongst those caring for an autistic relative. The predictors and drivers of these mental health difficulties are less clear.

Difficulty tolerating uncertainty about the future has been identified as a major contributor to the development and maintenance of anxiety disorders (Carleton 2012). Evidence is increasing that intolerance of uncertainty (IU) is a major driver for increased anxiety among autistic people (Boulter et al. 2014; South and Rodgers 2017; Maisel et al. 2016). IU is considered to be a ‘broad dispositional risk factor for the development and maintenance of clinically significant anxiety’ (Carleton 2012). It involves the ‘tendency to react negatively on an emotional, cognitive and behavioural level to uncertain situations and events’ (Buhr and Dugas 2009). Individuals who are intolerant of uncertainty find uncertain situations stressful and upsetting; have a tendency to interpret all ambiguous information as threatening and find it difficult to function in the face of uncertainty (Buhr and Dugas 2002, 2009; Laugesen et al. 2003). Indeed, uncertainty itself is perceived as threatening by people high in IU (Freeston et al. 1994; Carleton 2012). Given that autistic adults and their family members face uncertainty with regard to the future, we examined the relationships between intolerance of uncertainty and mental health problems among family members and caregivers of autistic adults.

The aim of the current research was therefore to investigate the mental wellbeing of family members providing support to autistic adults and to identify specific factors that may predict poorer wellbeing outcomes for family members. It is predicted that some of the challenges caring for an autistic adult such as the presence of intellectual disabilities, challenging behaviour and additional mental health problems as well as uncertainties about the future will contribute to poorer mental health outcomes for family members, namely worry, depression, anxiety and stress. Further, family members who have higher levels of intolerance of uncertainty will have higher levels of mental health symptoms themselves.

Methodology

Design and Analysis

The design was a single group online survey based design. An online survey was selected in order to reach participants across the United Kingdom. The survey results were analysed using qualitative and quantitative analysis. Validated measures of wellbeing were used in order to appropriately collect accurate scores regarding family member’s mental health status.

Participants

109 family members of autistic adults completed an online survey designed specifically for the current study. Participants were largely recruited through the Adult Autism Spectrum Cohort (AASC-UK), a database hosted at Newcastle University. AASC-UK provides opportunities for autistic adults and their relatives to participate in research (http://research.ncl.ac.uk/adultautismspectrum/). Participants were also recruited through the following associations; Research Autism, Scottish Autism, the National Autistic Society and The North East Autism Society. The CONSORT diagram shows respondent completion rates, the initial drop-out was the largest, whereby participants clicked on the survey link but did not complete any information or only their own demographic information (n = 53). This drop-out rate may have been due to respondents accessing the survey and realising they were not eligible to take part (e.g. a parent of an autistic child rather than an autistic adult). (Insert Fig. 1 here).
Procedure

There were 45 groups of questions in the survey which took around 30 minutes to complete. The survey was designed to retrieve information about the family members and caregivers supporting an autistic adult, their relationship to the adult they were supporting, as well as additional information about the adult themselves. Participants then completed several validated questionnaires; Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale—Parent (IUS-P), Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale (IUS-12), Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSWQ), the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21) and a quality of life measure. The survey was presented on Qualtrics™. The design, content and formatting were adapted based on feedback from members of the research team, including an adult with Asperger’s syndrome and a parent of an autistic adult. Potential participants were directed to the survey through the AASC-UK cohort, charities, service providers, websites, e-mails and social media advertising the web link and information about the study.

Measures

Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale (IUS-12)

The IUS-12 is a short 12-item questionnaire which assesses anticipatory and inhibitory components of Intolerance of Uncertainty (Carleton et al. 2007). The 12 items are rated on a 5 point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all characteristic of me) to 5 (entirely characteristic of me). The sum of the total scores as indicated by the participants’ responses reflects the degree of their intolerance to uncertain events/situations. Buhr and Dugas (2002) report the IUS-12 to have excellent internal consistency and good test retest reliability.

Intolerance of Uncertainty Scale—Parent (IUS-P)

The IUS-P is a short 12-item questionnaire which is adapted from the IUS-12 and designed for parents or caregivers to answer on behalf of the individual they are supporting. The items are the same as that of the IUS-12 but allow the respondent to report on the level of intolerance to uncertainty of the person they are caring for. Here respondents reported on the autistic adult they were supporting.

Penn State Worry Questionnaire (PSWQ)

PSWQ is a 16-item questionnaire which measures the trait of worry (Meyer et al. 1990). The 16 items are rated on a 5 point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all typical of me) to 5 (very typical of me). Research shows that this scale can discriminate those with generalized anxiety disorder compared with any other anxiety disorder. This scale has been shown to have high internal consistency and good test retest reliability (Meyer et al. 1990).

Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scale (DASS-21)

The DASS-21 (Lovibond and Lovibond 1995) is a short-form 21 item self-report questionnaire which measures the severity of a range of symptoms common to depression, anxiety and stress. In completing the DASS-21, the individual is required to indicate the presence of a symptom over the previous week. Each item is scored from 0 (did not apply to me at all over the last week) to 3 (applied to me very much or most of the time over the past week). The DASS-21 assesses the severity of the core symptoms of depression, anxiety and...
stress. Crawford and Henry (2003) report the reliability of the DASS-21 to be excellent.

Quality of Life Measure

The brief quality of life measure was derived by collating themes from 11 different standardised quality of life measures, which are frequently used in the typically developing population. This resulted in seven main themes relating to quality of life: physical health, mental health, relationships with others, finances, residence, access to support, positivity about the future, sense of fulfilment and overall satisfaction with life. Therefore, this quality of life measure had 7 items which participants rated on a 5 point Likert scale from 1 (very poor) to 5 (very good).

Ethical Approval

A favourable ethical opinion for the study was provided by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, UK. Participants were given detailed information about what the survey would involve, contact information for local support, and how to contact the Primary Investigator (JR) of the research. Participants were requested to indicate their consent on the first webpage prior to being able to access the actual survey. Participants were made aware of the confidentiality procedure and that their contributions were completely anonymous. On exiting the survey, participants were presented with debriefing information and contact details for relevant support services.

Results

The results outlined show the respondent demographic information and demographic information about their autistic family member whom they support. The respondents’ mental wellbeing scores are then outlined and predictors of these scores are examined using multiple hierarchical regression.

Respondent Demographic Information

Table 1 shows demographic information for family members of autistic adults. The mean age of respondents was 54 ± 9 (range 25–71 years).

Demographic Information About the Autistic Family Member

Table 2 outlines the demographic information about the autistic adult (known as “X”) for whom the respondents were providing care. The mean age of the autistic relative was 27 ± 9 (range 18–67 years). Respondents stated that the mean age that their autistic relative received their diagnosis of ASD was 16 ± 13 (range 1 month–60 years old). Seventy-seven percent (n = 84) of autistic individuals were also reported to have a mental health problem (co-morbid anxiety and/or depression or another mental health difficulty), whereas 16% (n = 18) had another disorder/difficulty with no mental health difficulty, and only 6% (n = 7) were reported to have no additional difficulties/disorders. Seventy-two percent of the autistic adults were reported by their relative to have co-morbid anxiety and 39% were reported to have co-morbid depression.

Mental Health of Family Members Providing Support for Adults on the Autism Spectrum

Mean scores (SD and range) are presented for each of the questionnaires in Table 3. 80% of respondents rate their autistic relative to be within the clinical range for levels of intolerance of uncertainty [indicative cut-off of 35 (Carleton 2012b)]. The respondents rated their own levels of intolerance of uncertainty, with a mean of 29.01 ± 11.08 for the IUS, with 29% of respondents within the clinical range. The means scores for the DASS-21 show mild levels of depression with a mean of 12.63 ± 5.36, with 60% of respondents reporting mild or above depression levels, moderate levels of anxiety with a mean of 11.03 ± 4.41, with 73% reporting mild or above anxiety levels and normal levels of stress with a mean of 14.80 ± 5.04, with 47% reporting mild or above stress levels. The PSWQ mean score of 51.09 ± 13.89 shows respondents are on average reporting moderate levels of worry and 75% of respondents’ scores were in the moderate to severe range.

Quality of Life Measure

Cronbach’s alpha of 0.88 indicates a high level of internal consistency for this scale within this sample. Independent sample t tests showed that those who cared for an autistic adult with a co-morbid mental health difficulty (n = 84) reported a significantly poorer quality of life (M = 30.02 ± 6.61) than those who cared for someone without a mental health difficulty (n = 25, M = 34.48 ± 6.11), t(106) = 3.01, p = .003).

Associations

Correlations between the mental wellbeing scores were calculated and are reported in Table 4. There were significant, moderate, positive correlations between the mental health measures and negative correlations with Quality of Life. The IUS-P scores for the autistic adult (rated by their family member) were lower and in the case of depression, not significant. Tables 5, 6, 7 and 8.
Multiple Hierarchical Regression

Secondly, in order to examine predictors of carer’s mental health, a series of hierarchical multiple regression analyses were undertaken. Predictors included age of the autistic adult for whom support was provided, whether or not the autistic adult had an intellectual disability, whether or not the autistic adult exhibited challenging behaviour or had a co-occurring mental health difficulty, how prepared they were for the future (as rated by the family member) and the autistic adult’s level of intolerance of uncertainty. Finally the family member’s intolerance of uncertainty was also entered. Criterion variables were PSWQ total score and Depression, Anxiety and Stress sub-scale scores from DASS-21.

Predictors of Family Member Worry

At step 1, the autistic person’s age, presence or not of an intellectual disability and presence of challenging behaviour were entered but did not make a significant contribution to the prediction of family member worry. The presence of mental health problems and the autistic adult’s preparedness for the future were entered next and accounted for 7% of the variance, but only preparedness for the future of the autistic adult made a significant individual contribution; less preparedness of the autistic adult was associated with higher carer worry. Next, the IUS-P score of the autistic person was entered at step three and made a significant contribution to family member worry, accounting for 3.8% of the variance. Finally the family members’ IUS score was entered and made a significant contribution accounting for 34.2% of the variance. The overall model accounted for 48.0% of the variance, ($R^2$ adj = 44.1%), $F(7, 95) = 12.511$, $p < .001$).

Predictors of Family Member Depression

The presence of a mental health disorder in the autistic adult they were supporting predicted a significant increase in depression score within Step 2 accounting for 7.0% of the variance but IUS-P at step 3 did not. The carer’s IUS score in Step 4 to the model was the main significant contribution, accounting for 25.1% of the variance, meaning family members’ higher levels of intolerance of uncertainty predicted higher levels of depression. The overall model accounted for 35.2% of the variance, ($R^2$ adj = 30.4%), $F(7, 95) = 7.361$, $p < .001$).

Predictors of Family Member Anxiety

At Step 2, the presence of a mental health disorder in the autistic adult they were supporting and their preparedness for the future were significant predictors of family member
Predictors of Family Member Stress

At Step 2 co-morbid mental health difficulties of the autistic adult they were supporting and their preparedness for the future were significant predictors of family member stress.
and the model accounted for 11.2% of the variance. The levels of intolerance of uncertainty (IUS-P) of the autistic family member also made a significant contribution, accounting for 6.8% of the variance. Lastly, the addition of family member IUS was a significant predictor of carer stress, accounting for 26.1% of the variance. The overall model accounted for 47.6% of the variance \( (R^2 \text{ adj} = 43.7\%) \), \( F(7, 95) = 12.324, p < .001 \).

### Discussion

Our aims were to explore the predictors of mental wellbeing amongst family members and caregivers supporting an autistic adult. The results from this research show that symptoms indicative of possible mental health difficulties are indeed prevalent among family members caring for autistic adults, with two-thirds indicating scores indicative of mild
or moderate depression, three quarters reporting anxiety and worry and one-half self-reporting clinical levels of stress. These findings support previous research showing mental health difficulties are often prominent in family members caring for autistic individuals (Davis et al. 2011; Van Bourgondien et al. 2014). The predictors of lower mental wellbeing for family members were the presence of mental health difficulty, level of IU and preparedness for the future for the autistic adult they were supporting, as well as family members’ own intolerance of uncertainty. According to our findings, the presence of intellectual disability or challenging behaviours amongst autistic adults did not significantly contribute to family member mental wellbeing in this sample, whereas the mental health of the individual receiving support is a significant predictor of family member wellbeing. It may be of course, that these relationships are bi-directional and cyclical in nature suggesting that mental health support needs to be in place for both family members and autistic

### Table 6 Predictors of family member depression (DASS-depression)

| Step | Variable          | df. num | df denom | ΔR²  | F     | Sig. F | β    | t     | Sig. t |
|------|-------------------|---------|----------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|
| 1    | Age               | 3       | 99       | 0.025| 0.863 | 0.463  | -0.061| -0.609| 0.544  |
|      | ID                |         |          |      |       |        | -0.098| -0.958| 0.340  |
|      | Chall. Behav.     |         |          |      |       |        | 0.133 | 1.302 | 0.196  |
| 2    | Mental health     | 2       | 97       | 0.070| 3.748 | 0.027  | 0.246 | 2.537 | 0.013  |
|      | Preparedness      |         |          |      |       |        | -0.100| -1.017| 0.312  |
| 3    | IUS-P             | 1       | 96       | 0.005| 0.519 | 0.473  | 0.076 | 0.720 | 0.473  |
| 4    | Family member IUS | 1       | 95       | 0.251| 36.838| <0.001 | 0.519 | 6.069 | 0.000  |

Bold values represent the significance levels

### Table 7 Predictors of family members anxiety (DASS-anxiety)

| Step | Variable          | df. num | df denom | ΔR²  | F     | Sig. F | β    | t     | Sig. t |
|------|-------------------|---------|----------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|
| 1    | Age               | 3       | 99       | 0.027| 0.904 | 0.442  | 0.078 | 0.755 | 0.440  |
|      | ID                |         |          |      |       |        | -0.081| -0.786| 0.434  |
|      | Chall. Behav.     |         |          |      |       |        | 0.138 | 1.354 | 0.179  |
| 2    | Mental health     | 2       | 97       | 0.129| 7.434 | 0.001  | 0.284 | 3.033 | 0.003  |
|      | Preparedness      |         |          |      |       |        | -0.224| -2.367| 0.020  |
| 3    | IUS-P             | 1       | 96       | 0.026| 3.018 | 0.086  | 0.176 | 1.737 | 0.086  |
| 4    | Family member IUS | 1       | 95       | 0.280| 49.549| 0.000  | 0.549 | 7.039 | 0.000  |

Bold values represent the significance levels

### Table 8 Predictors of family members stress (DASS-stress)

| Step | Variable          | df. num | df denom | ΔR²  | F     | Sig. F | β    | t     | Sig. t |
|------|-------------------|---------|----------|------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|
| 1    | Age               | 3       | 99       | 0.034| 1.166 | 0.327  | -0.071| -0.708| 0.480  |
|      | ID                |         |          |      |       |        | -0.096| -0.937| 0.351  |
|      | Chall. Behav.     |         |          |      |       |        | 0.164 | 1.620 | 0.108  |
| 2    | Mental health     | 2       | 97       | 0.112| 6.392 | 0.002  | 0.203 | 2.153 | 0.034  |
|      | Preparedness      |         |          |      |       |        | -0.271| -2.845| 0.005  |
| 3    | IUS-P             | 1       | 96       | 0.068| 8.295 | 0.005  | 0.285 | 2.880 | 0.005  |
| 4    | Family member IUS | 1       | 95       | 0.261| 47.391| 0.000  | 0.530 | 6.884 | 0.000  |
individuals to break this cycle. The consequences of this unmet need may be profound. Research suggests that suicide rates are much higher amongst autistic adults than the general population (Cassidy et al. 2014; Farley and McMahon 2014) further emphasizing the importance of timely mental health support for these individuals and the extreme costs that mental health difficulties can have for autistic individuals and their family members.

We found that lack of preparedness of the autistic individual for the future significantly predicted higher levels of worry, anxiety and stress for the caregiver. It will be important in future research to explore in more detail barriers to preparation for the future. Likewise, future research should investigate what provision for future planning could be made available for autistic adults and their family members, to enable them to feel more prepared for the future. If services and support enabled autistic adults to increase their independence in terms of residence, employment and finances etc., family members and the adults themselves may feel more at ease in terms of looking toward the future.

These results are consistent with Farley and McMahon (2014) who highlighted preparedness for the future as key in wellbeing for autistic adults and their families. They also support the National Autistic Society’s “Getting on? Growing older with autism” (2013), which outlines the importance of preparing for the future and providing information, support and services for autistic adults. Interestingly, the degree to which family members had made plans for their relatives’ future was not a significant predictor of poorer outcomes for the family members. This further highlights that in this sample it is the readiness or preparedness of the autistic individual they are supporting that predicts higher levels of worry, anxiety and stress, and thus, enabling autistic adults to feel prepared for their own future is of top priority in order to address this for family members’ wellbeing.

We found that level of IU amongst the autistic adults was also a significant predictor of family member wellbeing, crucially of their worry and stress. As discussed previously, intolerance of uncertainty has been found to be an important mechanism in anxiety and autism (Boulter et al. 2014; South and Rodgers 2017). 72% of autistic adults in this population were reported to have co-morbid anxiety difficulties and 80% were reported by their family member to show levels of intolerance of uncertainty typically found among those with anxiety disorders, further supporting the emerging literature about the prevalence of IU and co-morbid anxiety difficulties among autistic adults. Interventions targeting IU for autistic adults may therefore be beneficial to the adults themselves and to those supporting them.

This was the first study to our knowledge to explore IU amongst carers of autistic adults. We found that self-reported family member IU was a significant and strong predictor, accounting for 25–34% of the variability (when entered after the autistic person’s characteristics) in worry, depression, anxiety and stress. This supports evidence from previous research (Buhr and Dugas 2012, 2006, 2009; Dugas et al. 1997, 2005; Freeston et al. 1994) which shows that IU plays an integral role in the development and maintenance of mental health problems in the general population and should therefore be addressed more directly. Hare et al. (2004) showed that caring for an autistic individual could have a negative impact on wellbeing on the caregiver due to the stressors and strain of this responsibility. As IU interacts with a range of factors associated with the caring role, it may be crucial to address family member IU in future interventions.

The findings have clear clinical implications. Autistic adults with mental health needs require access to appropriately informed mental health services. They will also need services to enable greater preparedness for the future. Together, these may serve to reduce their own mental health difficulties and also reduce the impact on family members supporting autistic adults. This in turn may have downstream benefits for the whole family.

These findings in relation to family members and previous findings about autistic people indicate that IU makes an important contribution to mental health difficulties for both autistic individuals and their caregivers/family members. IU in the lives of autistic adults and their family members can be addressed in two ways. Firstly, service provision can serve to decrease some of uncertainty regarding the future by providing clear routes to information and pathways and models of care, thus enabling better preparation and planning for the future.

Of course, even with better provision there will still inevitably be some uncertainty in life and so secondly, interventions targeting intolerance to uncertainty may therefore be appropriate. Rodgers et al. (2016) report the development of a parent mediated group intervention specifically targeting intolerance of uncertainty for autistic children, Coping with Uncertainty in Everyday Situations (CUES®). Successful implementation of this intervention with parents of autistic children suggest that targeting IU directly has a beneficial impact on both child and parent IU and anxiety. Preliminary results have shown that adapting this programme for use with autistic adults (CUES-A®) is also feasible (paper in prep.). By directly targeting IU with autistic adults, we may see improvements in mental health, and perhaps also in the mental health of the family member supporting them, especially if family members are involved at specific points in treatment.

Limitations of this research include a relatively narrow population sample, as participants were recruited through their involvement in organisations addressing the concerns of autistic people and their families and their interest in...
research. For example, they may be particularly attuned to mental health issues, although other studies support the presence of mental health difficulties among autistic people and their caregivers alike (Bradley et al. 2004; Hare et al. 2004). In addition, the majority of respondents were mothers of the autistic adults and thus it is mostly their views and mental wellbeing which are represented here. It would be beneficial for future research to identify a more representative sample of all family members. Our data may not represent the full range and diversity of difficulties which can be prevalent across the autistic spectrum. Further research should work towards identification of the specific support necessary to promote wellbeing for caregivers and independence and wellbeing for autistic adults. This would enable support to be more specific and tailored to address unmet need in adulthood for autistic adults and their family members. Future research could also explore any further variables which may contribute to poorer mental health outcomes in family members and autistic adults. For example, this project did not explore the impact of environmental factors such as socio-economic status and ethnicity of participants. By further operationalising predictors of poorer mental health among family members of autistic adults, support can be adapted to be more effective and efficient for this population.

Our findings demonstrate that family members who support autistic adults with co-morbid mental health difficulties may be at increased risk of mental health difficulties themselves and that “preparedness for the future” for the autistic adult contributes towards caregiver worry, anxiety and stress. Furthermore intolerance of uncertainty for both the autistic adult and their caregiver makes an additional contribution to caregiver mental health difficulties. Service provision which aims to reduce uncertainty wherever possible, alongside interventions to increase tolerance to uncertainty may best serve the mental health needs of these families and their autistic relatives.
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