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Abstract

In this paper we study the general group classification of systems of linear second-order ordinary differential equations inspired from earlier works and recent results on the group classification of such systems. Some interesting results and subsequent Theorem arising from this particular study are discussed here. This paper considers the study of irreducible systems of second-order ordinary differential equations.
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1. Introduction

The appearance of systems of ordinary differential equations in the modeling of natural phenomena has led to a vast interest in the study of their properties and theoretical aspects that include their algebraic and symmetry properties. The existence of symmetries in a particular system leads to the possibility of reducing the order of the system or computing a general solution through quadratures. This has been part of the reason for focusing on such systems in the current study. We study the general group classification of systems of linear second-order ordinary differential equations motivated by recent results obtained in \cite{1, 2, 3, 4}. Linear equations play an important role in many applications where they occur in a disguised form. When studying their symmetry properties it is always preferred to express them in their simplest equivalent form. It is important to note here that symmetry properties are invariant with respect to the change of the dependent and independent variables and hence are not affected by working with the equivalent form of a given system. The group classification problem involves classifying given differential equations with respect to arbitrary elements. Here we use the algebraic algorithm in the group classification approach. This approach was used in the earlier works \cite{3, 5, 6, 7} and references therein. The algebraic algorithm assists in simplifying the study of the group classification.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 of the paper gives a background study of systems of nonlinear equations and describes the concept of a reducible system and irreducible systems. Furthermore the equivalence transformations and determining equations are discussed. The present paper focuses on irreducible systems. Section 3 discusses linear equations where the coefficient matrix $B(x)$ defined in this section is reduced to zero and the property of the trace of the coefficient matrix $C(x)$ is also discussed. The determining equations and the commutator tables are computed. Section 4 gives a strategy and detailed approach for the group classification that uses the algebraic approach using the optimal system
of subalgebras of the Lie algebras for group classification. Some interesting observations are made. The main thrust of the paper is in Section 5 where the classes of systems admitting the associated Lie algebras obtained in Section 4 are delineated. The relationship between the classification of systems of second-order ordinary differential equations with the classification of the coefficient matrix $A$ reducing it to the Jordan form is noted. In this Section also lies the main Theorem and results. Section 6 is the discussion on systems admitting generators of the form $X_A$ defined in Section 5. Finally Section 7 gives the conclusion of the paper and Section 8 is the Appendix where a detailed analysis of matrix equations is given.

2. Background study of systems of the form $y'' = F(x, y)$

We give a preliminary study of systems of nonlinear equations by considering a system of second-order ordinary differential equations of the form

$$y'' = F(x, y),$$

(1)

where

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} F_1 \\ F_2 \\ \vdots \\ F_m \end{pmatrix}.$$  

2.1. Equivalence transformations

System (1) has the following equivalence transformations:

(a) a linear change of the dependent variables $\tilde{y} = Py$ with a constant nonsingular $m \times m$ matrix;

(b) the change $\tilde{y}_i = y_i + \varphi_i(x), \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$;

(c) a transformation related with the change $\tilde{x} = \varphi(x), \ \tilde{y}_i = y_i \psi_i(x), \ (i = 1, 2, \ldots, m),$

where the functions $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ satisfy the condition

$$\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi''} = 2\frac{\psi'}{\psi}.$$  

(2)

We call a system of equations (1) reducible if it is equivalent to a system which has a proper subsystem of fewer dimension or if it is equivalent with respect to a change of the dependent and independent variables to a linear system

$$y'' = Cy,$$

(3)

where $C$ is a constant matrix. In the present paper irreducible systems are considered.

2.2. Determining equations

Determining equations for irreducible systems in matrix form are given by

$$2\xi F_x + 3\xi' F + ((A + \xi' E)y + \zeta') \cdot \nabla) F - AF = \xi'' y + \zeta'',$$

(4)

where the matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is constant. The associated infinitesimal generator is

$$X = 2\xi(x) \partial_x + (Ay + \zeta(x)) \cdot \nabla,$$
where \( \nabla = (\partial_{y_1}, \partial_{y_2}, \ldots, \partial_{y_m})^t \). Here \( "\cdot" \) means the scalar product: \( \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla = b_i \partial_{y_i} \) and the standard agreement, summation with respect to a repeat index, is used.

Applying the change \( \tilde{y} = Py \) where \( P \) is a nonsingular \( m \times m \) matrix with constant entries, equations (1) become

\[
\tilde{y}'' = \tilde{F}(x, \tilde{y})
\]

with

\[
\tilde{F}(x, \tilde{y}) = P \mathbf{F}(x, P^{-1} \tilde{y}).
\]

The partial derivatives with respect to the variables \( y \) are changed as follows:

\[
h \cdot \nabla = (Ph) \cdot \tilde{\nabla}.
\]

Hence equations (4) become

\[
2\xi \tilde{F}_x + 3\xi' \tilde{F} + \left( ((\tilde{A} + \xi'E)\tilde{y} + \tilde{\zeta}) \cdot \tilde{\nabla} \right) \tilde{F} - \tilde{A} \tilde{F} - \xi''\tilde{y} + \tilde{\zeta}'' = 0,
\]

where

\[
\tilde{A} = PAP^{-1}, \quad \tilde{\zeta} = P\zeta.
\]

This means that the equivalence transformation \( \tilde{y} = Py \) reduces equation (4) to the same form with the matrix \( A \) and the vector \( \zeta \) changed. The infinitesimal generator is also changed as follows:

\[
X = 2\xi \partial_x + (\tilde{A}\tilde{y} + \tilde{\zeta}) \cdot \tilde{\nabla}.
\]

3. Systems of linear equations

Systems of linear second-order ordinary differential equations have the following form,

\[
y'' = B(x)y' + C(x)y + f(x)
\]

where \( B(x) \) and \( C(x) \) are matrices, and \( f(x) \) is a vector. Using a particular solution \( y_p(x) \) and the change

\[
y = \tilde{y} + y_p,
\]

we can assume that \( f(x) = 0 \) without loss of generality. The matrix \( B(x) \) or \( C(x) \) can also be assumed to be zero if the change, \( y = H(x)\tilde{y} \), where \( H = H(x) \) is a nonsingular matrix, is used. In the current paper the matrix \( B(x) \) is reduced to zero. In this case the function \( \mathbf{F} \) in equation (1) is a linear function of \( y \):

\[
\mathbf{F}(x, y) = C(x)y.
\]

Any linear system of second-order ordinary differential equations

\[
y'' = C(x)y
\]

admits the set of trivial generators

\[
y \cdot \nabla, \quad h(x) \cdot \nabla,
\]

where \( h'' = C h \).

Excluding the trivial generators, the determining equations (4), after their splitting with respect to \( y \), become

\[
2\xi C' + CA - AC = \xi''E - 4\xi'C,
\]

where \( E \) is the unit \( m \times m \) matrix, and the admitted generator has the form

\[
X = 2\xi \partial_x + ((A + \xi'E)y) \cdot \nabla.
\]
3.1. Simplifications of systems

Applying the change of the dependent and independent variables

\[ \tilde{x} = \varphi(x), \quad \tilde{y} = \psi(x)y \]  

satisfying the condition

\[ \frac{\varphi''}{\varphi'} = 2\frac{\psi'}{\psi}, \]  

system (8) becomes

\[ \tilde{y}'' = \tilde{C}\tilde{y}, \]  

where

\[ \tilde{C} = \varphi'^{-2}\left(C - \frac{\rho''}{\rho}E\right), \quad \rho = \frac{1}{\psi}. \]

The group classification problem usually becomes simpler after reducing the number of arbitrary elements. In order to reduce the number of entries of the matrix \( \tilde{C} \) one can choose the function \( \psi \) such that \[ \text{tr}(\tilde{C}) = 0. \]  This condition leads to the equation

\[ \rho'' = \frac{\text{tr}(C)}{m} \rho = 0. \]  

Notice that a transformation of the form (8) with

\[ \psi = \alpha(x + \beta)^{-1} \]  

conserves the property \( \text{tr}(C) = 0. \) Here \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constants. In fact, if \( \text{tr}(C) = 0, \) then because of \( \rho'' = 0 \) we have that \( \text{tr}(\tilde{C}) = 0. \) In particular, the equivalence transformation with

\[ \varphi = \psi = \frac{1}{x} \]  

is an involution.

3.2. Determining equations

As noted earlier, for the group classification we can assume that \( \text{tr}(C) = 0. \) Taking the trace in (7), one finds that \( \xi''' = 0 \) or

\[ \xi = \frac{1}{2}(k_1x^2 + k_3) + k_2x, \]

where \( k_i, (i = 0, 1, 2) \) are constants. Hence, nontrivial admitted generators take the form

\[ X = k_1X_1 + k_2X_2 + k_3X_3 + X_A, \]

where

\[ X_1 = x(x\partial_x + y \cdot \nabla), \quad X_2 = 2x\partial_x + y \cdot \nabla, \quad X_3 = \partial_x, \quad X_A = (Ay) \cdot \nabla. \]

Notice that the generator \( X_2 \) can be simplified by subtracting the trivial admitted generator \( y \cdot \nabla. \) However, we keep it in the presented form due to the simplicity of the commutator

\[ [X_1, X_3] = -X_2. \]

\[ ^1 \text{This change was used in [8] for the case of } m = 2 \]
The determining equations become
\[ (k_1x^2 + 2k_2x + k_3)c' + CA - AC + 4(k_1x + k_2)c = 0. \] (14)

Thus we find that an admitted Lie algebra of nontrivial generators is composed by the generators \( X_1, X_2, X_3 \) and \( X_A \).

To study the problem further we need to construct the commutator table of the generators \( X_1, X_2, X_3 \):

|       | \( X_1 \) | \( X_2 \) | \( X_3 \) |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| \( X_1 \) | 0         | -2\( X_1 \) | -\( X_2 \) |
| \( X_2 \) | 2\( X_1 \) | 0         | -2\( X_3 \) |
| \( X_3 \) | \( X_2 \) | 2\( X_3 \) | 0         |

4. Strategy for the group classification

One of the methods for analyzing relations between the constants and undefined functions consists of employing the algorithm developed for the gas dynamics equations [9]. This algorithm allows one to study all possible admitted Lie algebras without omission. Unfortunately, it is difficult to implement for system (10). Observe also that sometimes in this approach it is difficult to select out equivalent cases with respect to equivalence transformations.

In [3, 5, 6, 7] a different approach was applied for group classification. We call this approach an algebraic algorithm. In most applications the algebraic algorithm essentially reduces the study of group classification to a simpler problem. The reduction occurs because the process of solving determining equations is split into two steps, where on the first step the constants of admitted generators are defined using the property for admitted generators to compose a Lie algebra. In the present paper we follow the algebraic approach.

4.1. Relations between automorphisms and equivalence transformations

Generators of admitted Lie algebras have the form
\[ X = x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + x_3X_3 + X_A. \]

The commutator of two generators
\[ X = x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + x_3X_3 + X_{A_1}, \quad Z = z_1X_1 + z_2X_2 + z_3X_3 + X_{A_2} \]
is
\[ [X, Z] = \alpha X_1 + \beta X_2 + \gamma X_3 + ((A_2A_1 - A_1A_2)y) \cdot \nabla, \]
where
\[ \alpha = -2(x_1z_2 - x_2z_1), \quad \beta = -(x_1z_3 - x_3z_1), \quad \gamma = -2(x_2z_3 - x_3z_2). \]

Hence, one can notice that the first part of the admitted generators \( x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + x_3X_3 \) is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra\(^2\) \( L_3 = \{X_1, X_2, X_3\} \). Recall that all nonequivalent subalgebras with respect to automorphisms present an optimal system of subalgebras. An optimal system of subalgebras of the algebra type VIII in the Bianchi classification was performed in [10].

We further show that the action of equivalence transformations conserving the property \( tr(C) = 0 \) is similar to the action of automorphisms. This property allows one to use an optimal system of subalgebras of the Lie algebra \( L_3 \) for group classification.

\(^2\)See also references therein.
\(^3\)This Lie algebra corresponds to the algebra type VIII in the Bianchi classification.
In fact, automorphisms of $L_3$ are

\[ Aut_1 : 2x_2 \partial_{x_1} + x_3 \partial_{x_2}, \quad \bar{x}_1 = x_1 + 2ax_2 + a^2x_3, \quad \bar{x}_2 = x_2 + ax_3; \]

\[ Aut_2 : \quad \bar{x}_1 = x_1 e^a, \quad \bar{x}_3 = x_3 e^{-a}; \]

\[ Aut_3 : x_1 \partial_{x_2} + 2x_2 \partial_{x_3}, \quad \bar{x}_2 = x_2 + ax_1, \quad \bar{x}_3 = x_3 + 2ax_2 + a^2x_1. \]

Here and further on only changeable coordinates of the generator are presented. The equivalence transformation \( \varphi = \frac{x}{1 - ax}, \ \psi = (x + a)^{-1} \) changes the coordinates as follows

\[ (x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + x_3X_3)(\varphi(x))\partial_{\bar{x}} = \bar{x}^2(x_3a^2 + 2ax_2 + x_1)\partial_{\bar{x}} + 2\bar{x}(x_2 + ax_3)\partial_{\bar{x}} + x_3\partial_{\bar{x}} \]

or

\[ x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + x_3X_3 = (x_3a^2 + 2ax_2 + x_1)\bar{X}_1 + (x_2 + ax_3)\bar{X}_2 + x_3\bar{X}_3. \]

Hence, this equivalence transformation is similar to the automorphism $Aut_1$. The equivalence transformation $\bar{x} = ax$ is equivalent to the automorphism $Aut_2$. The equivalence transformation $\bar{x} = x - a$ corresponding to the shift of $x$ is similar to the automorphism $Aut_3$:

\[ x_1X_1 + x_2X_2 + x_3X_3 = x_1\bar{X}_1 + (x_2 + ax_1)\bar{X}_2 + (x_3 + a^2x_1 + 2ax_2)\bar{X}_3. \]

The use of the optimal system of subalgebras of $L_3$ for the group classification is similar to the two-step algorithm of constructing an optimal system of subalgebras \[11\], where on the first step an optimal system of a fewer dimension subalgebras is constructed.

As mentioned above an optimal system of subalgebras of the Lie algebra $L_3$ was studied in \[10\] and it consists of the list:

1. $X_2$;
2. $X_3$;
3. $X_1 + X_3$; \hspace{1cm} (15)
4. $X_2, X_3$;
5. $X_1, X_2, X_3$.

4.2. Classes of systems admitting generators with $\xi \neq 0$

Using the optimal system of subalgebras \[15\], we can conclude that all systems of linear second-order ordinary differential equations \[6\] admitting generators with $\xi \neq 0$ are separated into the classes admitting the following Lie algebras:

1. $X_2 + X_{A_2}$;
2. $X_3 + X_{A_3}$;
3. $X_1 + X_3 + X_{A_1}$; \hspace{1cm} (16)
4. $X_2 + X_{A_2}, X_3 + X_{A_3}$;
5. $X_1 + X_{A_1}, X_2 + X_{A_2}, X_3 + X_{A_3}$.

Here the numeration of matrices $A_i$ is used to ease the tracking of their relations with the generators $X_i$.

Except the generators presented in \[16\], systems \[6\] can admit several generators of the form $X_A$. The determining equations in this case are

\[ CA - AC = 0. \]
According to the algebraic study considered in the Appendix, the number of equations has to be even \((m = 2n)\), and excluding the trivial generator \(y \cdot \nabla\), the matrix \(A\) for one of the admitted generators of the form \(X_A\), can be chosen as \(A = B_{d_1}\), where

\[
B_{d_1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & B_1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & B_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The latter matrices have the properties

\[B_1^{-1} = -B_1, \quad B_{d_1}^{-1} = -B_{d_1}.\]

Notice also that in this case the matrix \(C\) consists of blocks of the form

\[C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{ij} & \beta_{ij} \\
-\beta_{ij} & \alpha_{ij} \end{pmatrix},\]

and the determining equations \([14]\) for this generator \((B_{d_1}y) \cdot \nabla\) are reduced to the equations

\[
(k_1 x^2 + 2k_2 x + k_3)\alpha_{ij}' + 4(k_1 x + k_2)\alpha_{ij} = 0, \quad (k_1 x^2 + 2k_2 x + k_3)\beta_{ij}' + 4(k_1 x + k_2)\beta_{ij} = 0. \quad (17)
\]

In addition we also conclude here that for systems with an odd number of dependent variables and having nontrivial admitted generators, only these admitted Lie algebras \([16]\) are possible.

5. Classes of systems admitting Lie algebras \([16]\)

In this section we consider systems corresponding to the Lie algebras presented in \([16]\).

5.1. Systems admitting the generator \(X_2 + X_A\)

For simplifying the determining equations in this case we apply the change \([8]\) with

\[\varphi = \ln(x), \quad \psi = x^{-1/2}.\]

The determining equations

\[2xC' + CA - AC + 4C = 0,\]

become

\[\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{C} + \tilde{C} A - A \tilde{C} = 0, \quad tr(\tilde{C}) = \frac{m}{4}.\]

Thus,

\[\tilde{C} = e^{x\tilde{A}} C_0 e^{-x\tilde{A}},\]

where \(\tilde{A} = \frac{1}{2}A\), and \(C_0\) is an arbitrary matrix with \(tr(C_0) = m/4\). It is also assumed that

\[AC_0 - C_0 A \neq 0,\]

because otherwise \(AC - C A = 0\) which implies that the matrix \(C\) is constant. Note that because \(\frac{d}{dx}(tr(\tilde{C})) = 0\), we have that \(tr(\tilde{C}) = m/4\). The admitted generator is

\[X = \partial_x + (\tilde{A}\tilde{y}) \cdot \nabla.\]

Here the part related with the trivial admitted generator \(\tilde{y} \cdot \nabla\) is omitted.

Remark. Further classification of systems of second-order ordinary differential equations of this type is related with the classification of the matrix \(A\), reducing it to one of Jordan forms. This remark applies to other cases discussed further on.
5.2. Systems admitting the generator $X_3 + X_A$

In this case the general solution of the determining equations is

$$C = e^{-xA}C_0 e^{-xA},$$

where $C_0$ is an arbitrary matrix with $tr(C_0) = 0$. It is observed that because $\frac{d}{dx}(tr(C)) = 0$, we have that $tr(C) = 0$. The admitted generator is

$$X = \partial_x + (Ay) \cdot \nabla.$$

5.3. Systems admitting the generator $X_1 + X_3 + X_A$

For the generator

$$X_1 + X_3 + X_A = (x^2 + 1)\partial_x + (Ay) \cdot \nabla$$

the determining equations are

$$(x^2 + 1)C'' + CA - AC + 4xC = 0.$$ 

To simplify the determining equations we apply the change \[\text{[8]}\] with

$$\varphi' = (x^2 + 1)^{-1}, \quad \psi = (x^2 + 1)^{-1/2}.$$ 

The determining equations become

$$\frac{d}{dx} \tilde{C} + \tilde{C}A - A\tilde{C} = 0, \quad tr(\tilde{C}) = -1.$$ 

Hence,

$$\tilde{C} = e^{-\tilde{x}A}C_0 e^{\tilde{x}A},$$

where $C_0$ is an arbitrary matrix with $tr(C_0) = -1$. We point out that because $\frac{d}{dx}(tr(\tilde{C})) = 0$, one also has that $tr(\tilde{C}) = -1$. The admitted generator is

$$X = \partial_{\tilde{x}} + (AY) \cdot \tilde{\nabla}.$$ 

5.4. Discussion on systems admitting one-dimensional Lie algebras from (16)

The study above allows us to conclude that irreducible linear systems (6) admitting a generator with $\xi \neq 0$ are equivalent to a system (6) where

$$C(x) = e^{xA}C_0 e^{-xA},$$

and $C_0A - AC_0 \neq 0$. The admitted generator is

$$X = \partial_x + (Ay) \cdot \nabla.$$ 

There is no necessity to take care on $tr(C_0)$. It was only necessary for being sure that none of the linear systems admitting a Lie group is missed.
5.5. Systems admitting the generators $X_2 + X_{A_2}$ and $X_3 + X_{A_3}$

The commutator of these generators is

$$[X_2 + (A_2 y) \cdot \nabla, X_3 + (A_3 y) \cdot \nabla] = -2(X_3 + (A_3 y) \cdot \nabla) + ((A_3 A_2 - A_2 A_3 + 2A_3 y) \cdot \nabla).$$

Since the admitted Lie algebra is two-dimensional, then

$$A_3(A_2 + 2E) - A_2 A_3 = 0. \quad (18)$$

As noted above, because the generator $X_3 + X_{A_3}$ is admitted, then

$$C' = A_3 C - CA_3,$$

and then

$$C = e^{xA_3} C_0 e^{-xA_3},$$

where $C_0$ is an arbitrary matrix with $tr(C_0) = 0$, and it is also assumed that

$$A_3 C_0 - C_0 A_3 \neq 0.$$ 

The determining equations for the generator $X_2 + X_{A_2}$ are

$$-2x(C A_3 - A_3 C) + CA_2 - A_2 C + 4C = 0. \quad (19)$$

Note that the substitution in this equation $x = 0$ gives

$$A_2 C_0 - C_0(A_2 + 4E) = 0.$$ 

Multiplying from the left hand side by $e^{xA_3}$ and by $e^{-xA_3}$ from the right hand side, equations (19) can be rewritten as

$$2x(A_3 C_0 - C_0 A_3) + 4C_0 + C_0 e^{-xA_3} A_2 e^{xA_3} - e^{-xA_3} A_2 e^{xA_3} C_0 = 0. \quad (20)$$

Differentiating (21) and using the property that the matrices $e^{xA_3}$ and $e^{-xA_3}$ commute with the matrix $A_3$, we obtain

$$2(A_3 C_0 - C_0 A_3) + C_0 e^{-xA_3} (-A_3 A_2 + A_2 A_3) e^{xA_3} - e^{-xA_3} (-A_3 A_2 + A_2 A_3) e^{xA_3} C_0 = 0. \quad (21)$$

Equation (21) can be rewritten as

$$CB - BC = 0,$$

where

$$B = A_2 A_3 - A_3(A_2 + 2E). \quad (22)$$

Due to (18) we have that $B = 0$. Hence we obtain a system of algebraic equations for the matrices $C_0$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ given as follows:

$$A_2 C_0 - C_0(A_2 + 4E) = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$A_2 A_3 - A_3(A_2 + 2E) = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$tr(C_0) = 0, \quad A_3 C_0 - C_0 A_3 \neq 0. \quad (25)$$

Since $C_0 \neq 0$, then equation (23) gives us that the set of eigenvalues of the matrix $A_2$ has intersections with the set of eigenvalues of the matrix $A_2 + 4E$. Since $A_3 \neq \beta E$ (for any $\beta$),

\[\text{Discussion of solving matrix equations can be found in \cite{12}.}\]
then equation (24) gives us that the set of eigenvalues of the matrix $A_2$ has intersections with the set of eigenvalues of the matrix $A_2 + 2E$. Hence there exists a number $\lambda$ such that $\lambda$, $\lambda + 2$ and $\lambda + 4$ are eigenvalues of the matrix $A_2$.

For example, if $m = 3$, one can assume that

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Since the matrix $A_2$ is diagonal, the general solution of equation (23) and (24) is trivially obtained (see in [12]):

$$C_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a$, $b$ and $c$ are constant. Since conditions (25) are not satisfied for these matrices, then there are no such generators in the case of $m = 3$. This is also supported by the study in [4].

Let us also consider as example the case where $m = 4$. One can assume that the matrix $A_2$ is one of the matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Calculations show that all of these cases are reduced to a reducible system: either there is a subsystem with fewer dimension or the matrix $C$ is constant. Thus for $m = 4$ there is no such admitted Lie subalgebra.

5.6. Systems admitting the generators $X_1 + X_{A_1}$, $X_2 + X_{A_2}$ and $X_3 + X_{A_3}$

The commutators of these generators are

$$[X_1 + X_{A_1}, X_2 + X_{A_2}] = -2(X_1 + X_{A_1}) + ((A_2 A_1 - A_1 A_2 + 2A_1)c) \cdot \nabla,$$
$$[X_1 + X_{A_1}, X_3 + X_{A_3}] = -(X_2 + X_{A_2}) + ((A_3 A_1 - A_1 A_3 + 2A_1)c) \cdot \nabla,$$
$$[X_2 + X_{A_2}, X_3 + X_{A_3}] = -2(X_3 + X_{A_3}) + ((A_3 A_2 - A_2 A_3 + 2A_3)c) \cdot \nabla.$$  

Hence,

$$A_1 A_2 - A_2 A_1 = 2A_1, \quad A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1 = A_2, \quad (26)$$
$$A_2 A_3 - A_3 A_2 = 2A_3. \quad (27)$$

The determining equations are

$$C' + CA_3 - A_3 C = 0, \quad 2x C' + CA_2 - A_2 C + 4C = 0, \quad (28)$$
$$x^2 C' + CA_1 - A_1 C + 4x C = 0. \quad (29)$$

As shown in the previous section, the general solution of equations (28), (29) and (27) is

$$C = e^{x A_3} C_0 e^{-x A_3},$$

where the matrices $C_0$, $A_2$ and $A_3$ satisfy conditions (23), (24) and (25).

The remaining determining equations (29) become

$$S_1 = 0,$$
where
\[ S_1 = 4x C_0 - x^2 (C_0 A_3 - A_3 C_0) + C_0 e^{-x A_3} A_1 e^{x A_4} - e^{-x A_3} A_1 e^{x A_4} C_0. \]

Note that
\[ S'_1 = 4C_0 - 2x(C_0 A_3 - A_3 C_0) + C_0 e^{-x A_3}(A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1) e^{x A_3} - e^{-x A_3}(A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1) e^{x A_3} C_0 \]
and
\[ e^{x A_3} S''_1 e^{-x A_3} = -2(C A_3 - A_3 C) + C[(A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1) A_3 - A_3(A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1)] 
- [(A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1) A_3 - A_3(A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1)] C. \]

Conditions (23), (26) and (27) imply that
\[ S'_1(0) = 0, \quad S''_1 = 0. \]

Hence
\[ S_1(x) = S_1(0) = C_0 A_1 - A_1 C_0 = 0. \]
Thus, we obtain the following conditions for the matrices \( C_0, A_1, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \):
\[ A_1 A_2 - A_2 A_1 = 2A_1, \quad A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1 = A_2, \quad A_2 A_3 - A_3 A_2 = 2A_3, \quad (30) \]
\[ A_2 C_0 - C_0(A_2 + 4E) = 0, \quad C_0 A_1 - A_1 C_0 = 0. \quad (31) \]

**Remark.** Defining from these equations \( A_2 = A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1 \), and substituting it into the remaining equations (30) and (31) we obtain only equations for the matrices \( C_0, A_1 \) and \( A_3 \):
\[ A_1^2 A_3 - 2A_1 A_3 A_1 + A_3^2 = 2A_1, \quad A_1 A_3^2 - 2A_3 A_1 A_3 + A_3^2 A_1 = 2A_3, \quad (32) \]
\[ (A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1) C_0 - C_0(A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1) - 4C_0 = 0, \quad C_0 A_1 - A_1 C_0 = 0. \quad (33) \]

5.7. **Summary of the results**

We note that if system (3) admits one generator with \( \xi \neq 0 \), then without loss of generality one can assume that \( \xi = 1 \).

As a result of this section we derive the Theorem.

**Theorem.** Irreducible linear systems (3) admitting one-, two- or three-dimensional Lie algebras \( (10) \) are equivalent to one of the following cases.

(a) For one-dimensional Lie algebras
\[ C(x) = e^{x A} C_0 e^{-x A}, \]
and \( C_0 A - AC_0 \neq 0 \). The admitted generator is
\[ X = X_3 + (Ay) \cdot \nabla. \]

(b) For two-dimensional Lie algebras
\[ X_2 + X A_2, \quad X_3 + X A_3 \]
the system (3) has
\[ C(x) = e^{x A} C_0 e^{-x A_3}, \]
where the matrices \( C_0, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) satisfy the conditions:
\[ A_2 A_3 - A_3(A_2 + 2E) = 0, \]
and
\[ A_2C_0 - C_0(A_2 + 4E) = 0, \quad \text{tr}(C_0) = 0, \quad A_3C_0 - C_0A_3 \neq 0. \]

(c) For three-dimensional Lie algebras
\[ X_1 + X_{A_1}, \quad X_2 + X_{A_2}, \quad X_3 + X_{A_3} \]
the system (6) has
\[ C(x) = e^{xA_3}C_0e^{-xA_3}, \]
where the matrices \( C_0, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) satisfy the conditions:
\[ A_1A_2 - A_2A_1 = 2A_1, \quad A_1A_3 - A_3A_1 = A_2, \quad A_2A_3 - A_3A_2 = 2A_3, \]
\[ A_2C_0 - C_0(A_2 + 4E) = 0, \quad C_0A_1 - A_1C_0 = 0, \quad \text{tr}(C_0) = 0, \quad A_3C_0 - C_0A_3 \neq 0. \]

In particular, systems with an odd number of the dependent variables \( (m = 2n + 1) \) and having nontrivial admitted generators are equivalent to one of the cases presented in the Theorem.

6. Discussion on systems admitting generators of the form \( X_A \)

As noted earlier, for systems admitting nontrivial generators of the form \( X_A \) the number of the dependent variables is even \( (m = 2n) \). If these systems also admit a generator with \( \xi \neq 0 \), then the Lie algebras (16) compose subalgebras of the admitted Lie algebras. In this section systems admitting a single generator of the form \( X_A \) are considered. Since systems admitting the only generator \( X_A \) were considered in section 4.2, we study two, three and four-dimensional Lie algebras.

We mentioned in the previous section that if one of the admitted generators has nonzero coefficients related with \( \partial_x \), then one can assume that this generator is \( X_3 + X_{A_3} \), and
\[ C(x) = e^{xA_3}C_0e^{-xA_3}, \]
where \( A_3C_0 - C_0A_3 \neq 0 \). Note that the determining equations \( CA - AC = 0 \) for the admitted generator \( X_A \) leads to the conditions that the equations
\[ (k_1x^2 + 2k_2x + k_3)(A_3C - CA_3) + 4(k_1x + k_2)C = 0 \]
have the trivial solution with respect to the constants \( k_1, k_2 \) and \( k_3 \): \( k_1 = 0, k_2 = 0 \) and \( k_3 = 0 \).

In fact, substituting \( C \) into (34), and using commutativity of \( e^{xA_1}, e^{-xA_3} \) and \( A_3 \), we have
\[ (k_1x^2 + 2k_2x + k_3)(A_3C_0 - C_0A_3) + 4(k_1x + k_2)C_0 = 0. \]

Splitting this equation with respect to \( x \) leads to
\[ k_1(A_3C_0 - C_0A_3) = 0, \quad k_2(A_3C_0 - C_0A_3) + 2k_1C_0 = 0, \quad k_3(A_3C_0 - C_0A_3) + 4k_2C_0 = 0. \]

Since \( A_3C_0 - C_0A_3 \neq 0 \), we find sequentially that \( k_1 = 0, k_2 = 0 \) and \( k_3 = 0 \).
6.1. Two-dimensional admitted Lie algebra

A basis of two-dimensional admitted Lie algebras can be chosen in the forms

\[ X_3 + (A_3 y) \cdot \nabla, \quad (Ay) \cdot \nabla. \]

The commutator of these generators is

\[ [X_3 + (A_3 y) \cdot \nabla, (Ay) \cdot \nabla] = ((AA_3 - A_3 A)y) \cdot \nabla. \]

For a two-dimensional admitted Lie algebra we find that

\[ A_3 A - AA_3 = cA, \tag{35} \]

where \( c \) is constant. Since \( CA - AC = 0 \), the prohibition on the reduction to fewer dimension allows us to choose \( A = B_{d_1} \).

Equation (35) becomes

\[ A_3 B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} A_3 = cB_{d_1}. \tag{36} \]

For analyzing the latter equation we represent the matrix \( A_3 \) in the form

\[
A_3 = (A_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\
A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{n1} & A_{n2} & \cdots & A_{nn}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{i1j}^{ij} & a_{i2j}^{ij} \\
a_{i1j}^{ij} & a_{i2j}^{ij}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Multiplying (36) by \( B_{d_1} \) from the right hand side, and using the properties of the matrix \( B_{d_1} \), one finds that

\[ B_{d_1} A_3 B_{d_1}^{-1} - A_3 + cE = 0. \]

The matrix \( B_{d_1} A_3 B_{d_1}^{-1} \) also has the block structure

\[ B_{d_1} A_3 B_{d_1}^{-1} = (B_1 A_{ij} B_1^{-1}), \]

where

\[
B_1 A_{ij} B_1^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{22i}^{ij} & -a_{21i}^{ij} \\
-a_{12i}^{ij} & a_{11i}^{ij}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Hence equations (36) in the component form are reduced to the equations

\[ a_{22i}^{ij} - a_{11i}^{ij} + c\delta_{ij} = 0, \quad a_{11i}^{ij} - a_{22i}^{ij} + c\delta_{ij} = 0, \quad a_{21i}^{ij} + a_{12i}^{ij} = 0. \]

Thus we find that \( c = 0 \) and

\[
A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{ij} & \beta_{ij} \\
-\beta_{ij} & \alpha_{ij}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \alpha_{ij} \) and \( \beta_{ij} \) are real numbers. Due to the commutativity of \( B_{d_1} \) and \( A_3 \), the condition

\[ C_0 B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} C_0 = 0 \]

provides that

\[ CB_{d_1} - B_{d_1} C = 0. \]
In fact,
\[ CB_{d_1} - B_{d_1}C = e^{xA_3}C_0e^{-xA_3}B_{d_1} - B_{d_1}e^{xA_3}C_0e^{-xA_3} = e^{xA_3}(C_0B_{d_1} - B_{d_1}C_0)e^{-xA_3} = 0. \]

Thus,
\[ C(x) = e^{xA_3}C_0e^{-xA_3}, \]
where the matrices \( C_0, A \) and \( A_3 \) satisfy the conditions
\[ C_0B_{d_1} - B_{d_1}C_0 = 0, \quad A_3B_{d_1} - B_{d_1}A_3 = 0, \quad A_3C_0 - C_0A_3 \neq 0. \]

As an example let us consider \( n = 2 \), and the matrices
\[
A_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
C_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & c_{14} \\
-c_{12} & c_{11} & -c_{14} & c_{13} \\
c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} & c_{34} \\
-c_{32} & c_{31} & -c_{34} & c_{33}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
It is trivial to check that if \( A_{ij} = 0 \) for \( i \leq j \), then \( A_3^2 = 0 \), and hence
\[ e^{xA_3} = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{x^j}{j!} A_3^j. \]
For these matrices one obtains
\[
C_1 \equiv C_0A_3 - A_3C_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
c_{32} & -c_{31} & c_{34} - c_{12} & c_{11} - c_{33} \\
c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} - c_{11} & c_{34} - c_{12} \\
0 & 0 & -c_{32} & c_{31} \\
0 & 0 & -c_{31} & -c_{32}
\end{pmatrix} \neq 0,
\]
and
\[ C = C_0 + xC_1 + x^2 \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & c_{31} & c_{32} \\
0 & 0 & -c_{32} & c_{31} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}. \]

### 6.2. Classes of systems admitting three-dimensional Lie algebras

For this case the basis of such algebras consists of the generators
\[ X_A, \ X_2 + X_{A_2}, \ X_3 + X_{A_3}. \]
The commutators of these generators are
\[
[X_2 + (A_2y) \cdot \nabla, X_3 + (A_3y) \cdot \nabla] = -2X_3 + ((A_3A_2 - A_2A_3)y) \cdot \nabla, \\
[X_2 + (A_2y) \cdot \nabla, (Ay) \cdot \nabla] = ((AA_2 - A_2A)y) \cdot \nabla, \\
[X_3 + (A_3y) \cdot \nabla, (Ay) \cdot \nabla] = ((AA_3 - A_3A)y) \cdot \nabla.
\]
Since the admitted Lie algebra is three-dimensional, then
\[ A_3(A_2 + 2E) - A_2A_3 = \alpha_1 A, \quad A_2A - AA_2 = \alpha_2 A, \quad A_3A - AA_3 = \alpha_3 A. \]
Choosing the matrix \( A = B_{d_1} \) leads to \( \alpha_2 = 0 \) and \( \alpha_3 = 0 \). As shown earlier the condition that the matrices \( C_0 \) and \( B_{d_1} \) commute is sufficient for satisfying the determining equations \( CB_{d_1} - B_{d_1}C = 0 \) in this case.
Hence
\[ C(x) = e^{x A_3} C_0 e^{-x A_3}, \]
and the matrices \( C_0, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) satisfy the conditions:
\[ A_3 (A_2 + 2E) - A_2 A_3 = \alpha_1 B_{d_1}, \quad A_2 B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} A_2 = 0, \quad A_3 B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} A_3 = 0, \quad (37) \]
\[ B_{d_1} C_0 - C_0 B_{d_1} = 0, \quad A_2 C_0 - C_0 (A_2 + 4E) = 0, \quad A_3 C_0 - C_0 A_3 \neq 0, \quad tr(C_0) = 0. \quad (38) \]

Remark. Analyzing the first equation in (37), by multiplying it by \( B_{d_1} \), and using commutativity of the matrices \( A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) with the matrix \( B_{d_1} \), we obtain
\[ A_3 B_{d_1} (A_2 + 2E) - A_2 A_3 B_{d_1} = -\alpha_1 E. \]
Then the general solution of this equation\(^5\) is
\[ A_3 = A_h - \frac{\alpha_1}{2} B_{d_1}, \]
where \( A_h \) is the general solution of the homogeneous equation
\[ A_h (A_2 + 2E) - A_2 A_h = 0. \]
Note that for \( A_h = 0 \) one has that \( C(x) \) is constant, then \( A_h \neq 0 \). This implies that the matrix \( A_2 \) has an eigenvalue, say \( \lambda \), such that \( \lambda + 2 \) is also an eigenvalue of the matrix \( A_2 \).

6.3. Classes of systems admitting four-dimensional Lie algebras

The basis of such Lie algebras consists of the generators
\[ X_A, \quad X_1 + X_{A_1}, \quad X_2 + X_{A_2}, \quad X_3 + X_{A_3}. \]
The commutators of these generators are
\[ [X_1 + (A_1 y) \cdot \nabla, X_2 + (A_2 y) \cdot \nabla] = -2 (X_1 + (A_1 y) \cdot \nabla) + ((A_2 A_1 - A_1 A_2 + 2 A_1) y) \cdot \nabla, \]
\[ [X_1 + (A_1 y) \cdot \nabla, X_3 + (A_3 y) \cdot \nabla] = -2 (X_2 + (A_2 y) \cdot \nabla) + ((A_3 A_1 - A_1 A_3 + A_2) y) \cdot \nabla, \]
\[ [X_2 + (A_2 y) \cdot \nabla, X_3 + (A_3 y) \cdot \nabla] = -2 (X_3 + (A_3 y) \cdot \nabla) + ((A_3 A_2 - A_2 A_3 + 2 A_3) y) \cdot \nabla. \]
Since the admitted Lie algebra is four-dimensional, then
\[ A A_1 - A_1 A = \alpha_1 A, \quad A_2 A - A A_2 = \alpha_2 A, \quad A_3 A - A A_3 = \alpha_3 A. \]
Choosing the matrix \( A = B_{d_1} \) leads to \( \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = 0 \) and \( \alpha_3 = 0 \).

Therefore
\[ C(x) = e^{x A_3} C_0 e^{-x A_3}, \]
and the matrices \( C_0, A_1, A_2 \) and \( A_3 \) satisfy the conditions:
\[ B_{d_1} A_1 - A_1 B_{d_1} = 0, \quad A_2 B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} A_2 = 0, \quad A_3 B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} A_3 = 0, \]
\[ A_1 A_2 - (A_2 + 2E) A_1 = \beta_1 B_{d_1}, \quad A_1 A_3 - A_3 A_1 = A_2 = \beta_2 B_{d_1}, \quad A_2 A_3 - A_3 A_2 = 2 A_3 = \beta_3 B_{d_1}, \]
\[ A_2 C_0 - C_0 (A_2 + 4E) = 0, \quad C_0 A_1 - A_1 C_0 = 0, \quad C_0 B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} C_0 = 0, \quad tr(C_0) = 0, \quad A_3 C_0 - C_0 A_3 \neq 0. \]
As before the condition that the matrices \( C_0 \) and \( B_{d_1} \) commute is sufficient for satisfying the determining equations \( C B_{d_1} - B_{d_1} C = 0 \) in this particular case.

\(^5\)See the details in Appendix.
6.4. Systems admitting two generators $X_{A_1}$ and $X_{A_2}$

For completeness we also derive conditions for systems admitting a Lie algebra with basis generators $X_{A_1}$ and $X_{A_2}$. Choosing $A_2 = B_{d_1}$, the determining equations become

$$CA_1 - A_1 C = 0, \quad CB_{d_1} - B_{d_1} C = 0.$$  \hfill (39)

Note that

$$A_1 = PB_{d_1} P^{-1},$$

with some nonsingular constant matrix satisfying the condition

$$PB_{d_1} - B_{d_1} P \neq 0.$$ The first equation in (39) can be also rewritten in the form

$$P^{-1}(CA_1 - A_1 C)P = (P^{-1}CP)B_{d_1} - B_{d_1}(P^{-1}CP) = 0.$$  

7. Conclusion

We have given a general study of the group classification of systems of linear second-order ordinary differential equations and found that irreducible linear systems $6$ admitting one-two- or three-dimensional Lie algebras $16$ are equivalent to one of the following cases given in subsection (5.7), that is, (a), (b) and (c). This result has been stated as a Theorem in Section 5 of the paper. The results were discussed and in two or more of the cases which had the admitted generators of the form $X_A$ the study was similar to that done in the earlier studies in $[1, 2, 3, 4]$. A detailed discussion on systems admitting generators of the form $X_A$ has been given in Section 6 of the paper. We note that the further classification of systems of second-order ordinary differential equations of the type $[6]$, considered here, is related with the classification of the matrix $A$, reducing it to the Jordan form.

8. Appendix

Here analysis of matrix equations is discussed.

8.1. Algebraic background

8.1.1. Equations $CB - BC = 0$

Assume that there exists a constant real-valued $m \times m$ matrix $B$ such that

$$CB - BC = 0.$$ Notice that since the matrix $B$ is real-valued, then for a complex eigenvalue $\lambda$ of the matrix $B$ there is a conjugate eigenvalue $\bar{\lambda}$. We call a matrix $C$ a reducible matrix if there exists a nonsingular real-valued matrix $P$ such that

$$PCP^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} G_1 & G_2 \\ 0 & G_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $G_1$ and $G_3$ are squared matrices with $\dim G_3 \geq 1$.

It is shown in this section that for an irreducible matrix $C$ with $m \geq 3$ the matrix $B$ can be assumed to be one of the matrices: either $B = \alpha E$ or

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & B_0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & B_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$  \hfill (40)
where $E$ is the unit matrix, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are real numbers.

Let the matrix $B$ have at least one eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ such that $\lambda_1$ (and its conjugate $\bar{\lambda}_1$ in the case of complex $\lambda_1$) differs from other eigenvalues, then by virtue of the decomposition theorem (see p.160 in [13]) there exists a nonsingular real-valued matrix $P$ such that

$$B = P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix} P,$$

where the matrices $B_1$ and $B_2$ are square matrices which have no common eigenvalues.

Using the change

$$\tilde{y} = Py,$$

and because of the equality

$$PCP^{-1}(PB^{-1}) - (PB^{-1})PC^{-1} = 0,$$

one can assume that

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$CB - BC = \begin{pmatrix} (C_1B_1 - B_1C_1) & (C_2B_2 - B_1C_2) \\ (C_3B_1 - B_2C_3) & (C_4B_2 - B_2C_4) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Hence, one obtains that

$$C_2B_2 - B_1C_2 = 0, \quad C_3B_1 - B_2C_3 = 0.$$

Since $B_1$ and $B_2$ have no common eigenvalues, these equations imply that (see p.196 in [12])

$$C_2 = 0, \quad C_3 = 0.$$

This means that a system of ordinary differential equations (40) with the matrix $C$ is reducible. Thus, for irreducible systems the matrix $B$ has only one eigenvalue, say $\lambda$ (and its conjugate $\bar{\lambda}$ in the case of complex $\lambda$).

Further analysis\footnote{Symbolic computer calculations were applied for this analysis.} gives that for irreducible to fewer dimension systems the matrix $B$ is equivalent to a diagonal matrix. This means that the matrix $B$ can be only of the following two types: either $B = \alpha E$ or $B = B_d$. Moreover, if the matrix $B$ is of the second type, then the matrix $C$ has a block structure of the form ($m = 2n$)

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1n} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2n} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ C_{n1} & C_{n2} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{pmatrix},$$

(41)

where

$$C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{ij} & \beta_{ij} \\ -\beta_{ij} & \alpha_{ij} \end{pmatrix},$$

$\alpha_{ij}$ and $\beta_{ij}$ are real numbers.
8.1.2. Analysis of the matrix equation $AG - G(A + 2E) = \alpha E$

Here we consider the matrix equation

$$AG - G(A + 2E) = \alpha E,$$

(42)

where the matrix $G$ and $A$ are real-valued $m \times m$ matrices and $\alpha$ is a real number. The matrix $A$ is assumed to be given, the matrix $G$ is unknown.

One can check that $G = -\frac{\alpha}{2}E$ is a particular solution of this equation. Hence, the general solution of equation (42) is (see [12])

$$G = G_h - \frac{\alpha}{2}E,$$

where $G_h$ is the general solution of the homogeneous equation

$$AG - G(A + 2E) = 0.$$

(43)

If the matrices $A$ and $A + 2E$ have distinct sets of eigenvalues, then the general solution of the homogeneous equation is unique (see [12]): $G_h = 0$.

8.2. Solution of the matrix ordinary differential equation

The solution of the Cauchy problem of the matrix ordinary differential equation (see p.175 in [14])

$$X' = A(x)X + XB(x), \quad X(0) = C_0$$

is given by the formula

$$X = YC_0Z,$$

where

$$Y' = A(x)Y, \quad Y(0) = E, \quad Z' = ZB(x), \quad Z(0) = E.$$
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