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Abstract

Background

Preoperative coronary artery disease risk factors (CADRFs) distribution and pattern may also have an important role in determining major adverse cardiovascular (MACEs). In this study, we aimed to evaluate the CADRFs distribution and trend over 10 years and also the long-term outcome of CABG in different age-sex categories.

Method:

In this registry-based serial cross-sectional study, we enrolled 24328 patients who underwent isolated CABG and evaluated the prevalence of CADRFs according to sex and age. We also used the Kaplan-Meyer method for long-term outcomes in different age-sex categories' survival plots.

Results

In general, DLP (56.00%), HTN (53.10%), DM (38.40%), and positive family history (38.30%) were the most frequent risk factors in all patients. Prevalence of HTN, DLP, DM, Obesity and positive family history were all higher in women, all statistically significant. The median follow-up duration was 78.1 months (76.31 to 79.87 months). Men under 50 had the best survival (93.6%) and least incident of MACE (20.8%). Worst survival observed in female patients older than 55 (83.4%) who also had the highest incident of MACE (30.6%).

Conclusion

Although DLP is still the most frequent CADRF among the CABG population, the level of LDL and TG is decreasing. Female patients have more risk factors and worse long-term outcomes (in terms of mortality and MACE incidence). Therefore, healthcare providers and legislators must pay greater attention to female population CADRFs and ways to prevent them at different levels.

Introduction

Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a chronic, progressive, multifactorial disease that is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, especially in developing countries (1, 2). Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) has been an exquisite treatment for complex CAD since the 1960s (3). Despite the increasing rate of percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), CABG is still the most prevalent cardiac surgery (4).

CAD's risk factors such as hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia (DLP), cigarette smoking, and family history of CAD were introduced by the Framingham study (5). Sex and Age are among the most important non-modifiable risk factors, for example, CAD develops in women later in life comparing to men for an unknown reason (6). Late-onset of CAD in women results in underestimation of cardiovascular risk by healthcare providers and patients (7) and leads to a higher burden of CAD in women, especially at a young age.

Although surgical techniques in CABG improved in recent years, there is still a chance for adverse outcomes, in which pre-operative modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors play an important role. Preoperative coronary artery disease risk factors (CADRFs) distribution and pattern may have an essential role in determining major adverse
cardiovascular events (MACEs) and survival after surgery. The impact of CADRFs such as age (premature vs. older age) and sex in CAD is not distinguished (7–9).

Hence, in this article, we aimed to evaluate the following subjects: A. CADRFs distribution and trend over 10 years among isolated CABG patients; B. Sex difference in CADRFs distribution and C. Post-CABG survival and MACE in the whole population and also in premature population.

Methods

Tehran Heart Center

Tehran heart center (THC) is a major tertiary referral center in Tehran, Iran, dedicated to the treatment of heart diseases. From 2001 to the end of 2017, approximately 1,300,000 patients were referred to the outpatient clinics, more than 800,000 patients visited the emergency rooms, over 280,000 patients were hospitalized, and more than 55,000 patients underwent open-heart surgeries THC. Additionally, more than 150,000 coronary angiographies and over 35,000 angioplasties were done in this center.

Data regarding risk factors were collected in THC clinics, including the risk factors clinic, open heart surgery clinic, and angioplasty clinic. The risk factors clinic was established in 2005 to control the CAD risk factors for all patients. Patients who undergo either coronary artery angioplasty or open-heart surgery receive additional follow-up in the angioplasty follow-up clinic for 3–5 years or the open-heart surgery clinic for up to 10 years (10).

Coronary angiography data bank

The coronary angiography data bank, established in January 2005, registers collected data from all patients who undergo angiography at THC. As part of the THC protocol, demographic, clinical, and laboratory data are collected during the admission to the catheterization laboratory and are recorded in an electronic registry. Informed consent is required by patients for inclusion in the data bank.

Design and participants

In this single-center registry-based serial cross-sectional study, all patients in the coronary angiography data bank of the THC from 2007 through 2017 were reviewed. Patients with obstructive CAD (defined by an angiography report of more than 50% stenosis in at least one vessel) were eligible. We excluded patients with implausible data which is defined as following, age younger than 20 or older than 100, fasting blood sugar less than 50 or higher than 600, LDL cholesterol of less than 20 or higher than 500, HDL cholesterol of less than 5 or greater than 100, total cholesterol of less than 50 or greater than 700, triglyceride level of less than 20 or greater than 1200, and creatinine level of less than 0.2 or greater than 10. The prevalence of conventional CAD risk factors including hypertension (HTN), dyslipidemia (DLP), diabetes mellitus (DM), obesity, cigarette smoking, and family history of CAD were analyzed according to sex and age, and the 10-year trends were demonstrated.

Definitions and protocols

HTN was defined by at least two office blood pressure (BP) measurements exceeding 140 over 90 mmHg. Blood pressure was measured using a manual mercury sphygmomanometer in all cases. Trained nurses measured blood pressure in both hands in a sitting position after resting for 5 minutes. The higher BP was registered in a pre-specified datasheet. This protocol was repeated after 3 minutes. In case of difference (more than 10 mmHg in
systolic blood pressure of 5 mmHg in diastolic blood pressure), the measurement was repeated for the third time and the two measurements closer together were used. The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure of the two measurements was calculated. Participants with high blood pressure were defined as follows: mean systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or mean diastolic blood pressure of ≥ 90 mmHg or who were taking blood pressure medications. DM was defined by measurements of fasting plasma glucose ≥ 126 mg/dL or glycated hemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) ≥ 6.5% in the presence of confirmatory testing. Patients on antidiabetic medications were also included as diabetic in the study. Determination of DLP was according to either total serum cholesterol ≥ 200 mg/dL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol < 40 mg/dL in men and < 50 mg/dL in women, or triglycerides ≥ 250 mg/dL, measured after at least ten hours of fasting. Patients with a previous diagnosis of DLP who were on lipid-lowering agents were also included. Obesity was considered in patients who had a body mass index (BMI) ≥ of 30 kg/m². The definition of smoking was having ever smoked more than 100 cigarettes. Family history was considered in the presence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) in first-degree male relatives aged < 55 years or in females aged < 65 years. Premature CAD was defined as the occurrence of CAD in men under 50 and women under 55. We defined MACE as cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, or ischemic stroke.

**Statistical analysis**

Categorical variables are presented as numbers (percentage) and compared using the Chi-square test. Numerical variables demonstrated as mean ± standard deviation and compared using T-test. Survival analysis was performed using Kaplan–Meier method. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS® version 26.

**Result**

**1- Population**

During this study, we evaluated 24328 patients who underwent CABG in THC from 2007 to 2017. The mean age was 60.8 ± 9.52, 6428 (26.4 %) were women and 17900 (73.6%) were men. The mean age of patients increased from 60.7 ± 9.46 to 61.7 ± 9.5 and the male to female ratio remained nearly constant at approximately 2.8 (Table 1). The median follow-up duration was 78.1 months (76.31 to 79.87 months).
Table 1
Patients’ demographic characteristics in each 3 years

| Year      | Age      | Sex   | HTN (±) | DLP (±) | DM (±) | Cigarette smoking | Obesity | Opium addiction | Family History |
|-----------|----------|-------|---------|---------|--------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2007–2010 | 60.7 ± 9.46 | Male  | 5210    | 6201    | 3491   | 1645              | 2361    | 1122            | 4278           |
|           |          |       | (51.90%)| (61.70%)| (34.70%)| (16.40%)          | (23.60%)| (11.40%)        | (42.60%)       |
|           |          | Female| 2515    | 2430    | 1387   | 662               | 1116    | 506             | 1579           |
|           |          |       | (25.90%)| (24.60%)| (13.60%)| (6.30%)           | (15.10%)| (5.00%)         | (15.70%)       |
| 2011–2013 | 60.81 ± 9.53 | Male  | 2945    | 3003    | 2483   | 961               | 1366    | 629             | 2594           |
|           |          |       | (48.30%)| (49.20%)| (40.70%)| (15.80%)          | (22.40%)| (10.80%)        | (42.50%)       |
|           |          | Female| 2455    | 2420    | 1950   | 546               | 1065    | 488             | 2027           |
|           |          |       | (40.90%)| (40.80%)| (41.20%)| (19.80%)          | (34.10%)| (16.80%)        | (32.10%)       |
| 2014–2017 | 61.7 ± 9.5 | Male  | 4760    | 4387    | 3363   | 1656              | 2017    | 1509            | 2449           |
|           |          |       | (58.40%)| (53.90%)| (41.20%)| (20.40%)          | (24.90%)| (18.60%)        | (30.00%)       |
|           |          | Female| 3800    | 3310    | 2670   | 818               | 1373    | 540             | 1978           |
|           |          |       | (56.00%)| (59.90%)| (44.60%)| (11.80%)          | (25.90%)| (15.60%)        | (24.20%)       |
| Total     | 60.8 ± 9.52 | Male  | 12915   | 13591   | 9337   | 4262              | 5744    | 3260            | 9321           |
|           |          |       | (53.10%)| (56.00%)| (38.40%)| (17.60%)          | (23.70%)| (13.70%)        | (38.30%)       |
|           |          | Female| 9321    | 9801    | 6467   | 2467              | 3781    | 2510            | 6157           |
|           |          |       | (38.30%)| (38.00%)| (38.40%)| (10.10%)          | (24.30%)| (13.00%)        | (30.20%)       |
|           |          |       |         |         |        |                   |         |                 |                |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation and number (%)

HTN: hypertension, DLP: dyslipidemia, and DM: diabetes mellitus

2- Risk factor distribution

2–1. Total Population

We compared risk factors distribution in 3 time periods, 2007–2010, 2011–2013, and 2014–2017. In all of these periods, HTN and DLP were the most prevalent risk factors, present in more than half of the patients. Although not at a steady pace, HTN, DM, cigarette smoking, opium addiction tended to increase over the years. On the other hand, positive family history decreased significantly in the last period. As for DLP, despite a significant decrease in 2011–2013, it showed a slight increase in 2014–2017. Obesity remains nearly constant through the years being present in nearly a quarter of patients. In general, DLP (56.00%), HTN (53.10%), DM (38.40%), and positive family history (38.30%) were the most frequent risk factors in all patients (Table 1, Fig. 1).

2–2. Premature Population, Men vs. women

Based on the age of premature CAD in men and women, risk factors distribution was categorized into 4 groups, men ≤ 50 (2883; 11.9%), men > 50 (15018; 61.7%), women ≤ 55 (1448; 6.0%) and women > 55 (4979; 20.4%).

In premature CAD in men, DLP and positive family history were the most frequent risk factors, with DM and opium addiction being the least frequent ones. In men older than 50, DLP then HTN had the highest, and opium addiction and cigarette smoking had the lowest prevalence. Positive family history showed the biggest difference between the men of two age groups (52.6% in men ≤ 50 vs 33.2% in men > 50). In men of all ages, DLP (51.3%) and HTN (46.5%)
were the most frequent risk factors. In women ≤ 55, DLP, HTN, DM, and positive family history were all present in more than 50% of patients being the most prevalent risk factors respectively. HTN, DLP, and DM had the highest prevalence in women > 55. Similar to men, positive family history prevalence had the highest difference between women of the age groups. HTN (72.3%), DLP (69.7%), and DM (55.4%) were the most prevalent risk factors in all women. In all age-sex groups, DLP was the most frequent risk factor except for women > 55 whose HTN was slightly higher than DLP. Both TG and LDL had a nearly declining trend in 2007–2016, with women ≤ 55 having the highest levels and men over 50 having the lowest levels. In comparison between men and women, HTN, DLP, DM, Obesity, and positive family history were all higher in women, all statistically significant. Cigarette smoking and opium addiction were significantly lower in the female group, present in less than 5% of the patients despite increasing prevalence over the years. (Table 2, Fig. 1).

| Age-sex category | Coronary artery disease risk factors |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                  | Hypertension | Dyslipidemia | Diabetes mellitus | Cigarette smoking | Obesity | Opium addiction | Family History |
| Men ≤ 50         | 1023 (35.50%) | 1620 (56.30%) | 708 (24.60%) | 998 (34.60%) | 775 (27.0%) | 734 (25.90%) | 1517 (52.60%) |
| Men > 50         | 7269 (48.50%) | 7513 (50.10%) | 5081 (33.90%) | 3080 (20.60%) | 2562 (17.1%) | 2394 (16.40%) | 4986 (33.20%) |
| Women ≤ 55       | 930 (64.30%)  | 1008 (69.70%) | 844 (58.30%) | 56 (3.90%) | 646 (44.7%) | 44 (3.00%) | 803 (55.50%) |
| Women > 55       | 3693 (74.30%) | 3450 (69.50%) | 2704 (54.40%) | 128 (2.60%) | 1761 (35.6%) | 88 (1.80%) | 2015 (40.50%) |
| Men              | 8292 (46.4%)  | 9133 (51.1%) | 5789 (32.3%) | 4078 (22.8%) | 3337 (18.7%) | 3128 (17.8%) | 6503 (36.3%) |
| Women            | 4623 (72.0%)  | 4458 (69.5%) | 3548 (55.2%) | 184 (2.8%) | 2407 (37.6%) | 132 (2.0%) | 2818 (43.8%) |

P-value<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation and number (%)

† Men vs women risk factor distribution difference, statistically significant values are bolded

### 3- Long term outcome, Men vs. Women

We evaluated patients’ post-CABG prognosis based on our follow-up data and compared the results in different age-sex groups. Men under 50 had the best survival (93.6%) and least incident of MACE (20.8%). Worst survival observed in female patients older than 55 (83.4%) who also had the highest incident of MACE (30.6%). In comparison, men had better survival than women (86.2% vs. 84.9%) with fewer MACE incidents (24.6% vs 29.7%) which both were statistically significant. In general, patients had 85.9% overall survival and 74.1% of them survived without any MACE (Table 3). We used the Kaplan-Meyer method to draw age-sex group survival plots. As for overall survival men ≤ 50, women ≤ 55, men > 50, and women > 55 had the best to worst survival respectively. Men > 50 and women > 55
survival declined greater than those with premature CAD after CABG. In terms of MACE incident men, ≤ 50 had the best and women > 55 had the worst MACE-free survival and men > 50 and female ≤ 55 had near-identical plot after surgery (Fig. 2).

Table 3

| Age-sex category | Overall survival | MACE-free survival |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Men ≤ 50         | 93.6%            | 79.2%              |
| Men > 50         | 84.80%           | 74.70%             |
| Women ≤ 55       | 90.5%            | 73.6%              |
| Women > 55       | 83.4%            | 69.4%              |
| Men              | 86.2%            | 75.4%              |
| Women            | 84.9%            | 70.3%              |
| P-value          | 0.013            | < 0.001            |
| Total            | 85.9%            | 74.1%              |

MACE: major adverse cardiovascular event

| P-value\textsuperscript{\|} | Men vs women risk factor distribution difference, statistically significant values are bolded |

Finally, we compared men and women in the number of CADRFs. Near half of the patients had 3 or more risk factors and on average female patients had slightly more risk factors. (Fig. 3)

**Discussion**

Based on the results of this registry-based study, patients undergoing CABG are becoming older (13-15). HTN, DM, obesity, and cigarette smoking increased in nearly all age-sex groups but positive family history and dyslipidemia tend to decrease over the years. LDL level is decreasing which is mainly due to higher statin use in the general population based on previous practice guidelines. CADRFs except smoking and opium addiction was significantly higher in female patients but probably the most important clinically relevant finding was higher mortality and MACE incident in women of both age groups in line with previous studies which raise the necessity for better surveillance of CADRFs in female patients.

**Hypertension**

In our data registry, hypertensive patients in all 4 subgroups had been decreased until 2012; but started to rise again. An increasing number of hypertensive patients among CABG candidates is in contrast to reports by Esteghamati et al in 2017 and Azizi et al in 2019 regarding the prevalence of HTN among the general population of Iran (16, 17). In our study, HTN was the most frequent risk factor among females older than 55 and is less frequent among males younger than 50 in comparison to other subgroups. Although it is decreasing in the general population, HTN is still a major risk factor for severe CAD. This is an alarming sign for the Iranian population. Preventive and diagnostic
measures in the general population as the primary prevention and also precise therapeutic measures for secondary prevention should be taken in hypertensive patients (18).

**Dyslipidemia**

DLP as a well-known CADRFs decreased in our patients who underwent CABG. According to trends of cardiovascular risk factors (based on STEPS reports) in the diabetic and non-diabetic general population in Iran, between 2007-2017, level of Triglyceride(TG), Low-Density Lipoprotein(LDL) are also decreasing (19). Increased Statins prescription and general awareness of healthier diets and national primary prevention programs lead to lower lipid profile in both patients and the general population (20).

**Diabetes mellitus**

The number of diabetic patients increased from 2007-2017 in all subgroups. It was one of the most prevalent risk factors especially in female patients in both age groups which were present in nearly two-thirds of them. A similar uptrend is also observed in other studies (21-23). As for the general Iranian population, DM had an increasing trend with a higher prevalence among women similar to our study (24).

**Family history**

As expected based on the results of our study positive family history was more prevalent among the premature group (Almost half of young men and women had a positive family history of CAD) We also observed that positive family history was higher in women ≤ 55.

**Obesity**

Obesity (as defined by BMI>30 kg/m2) was most prevalent in women ≤ 55 and higher in female patients in general. In our study, the trend of obesity was not increased in contrast to other studies (27, 28). Although obesity is higher among women, an increasing trend is visible among the young male population which is an alarming sign and primary prevention measures should seriously focus on this age group.

**Cigarette Smoking**

Cigarette smoking increased in men in both groups. Women had a relatively low prevalence of smoking (under 5%). Our findings are similar to the general Iranian population and probably cultural differences between the two sexes are the main reason for fewer women smokers (31). The smoking uptrend in the general population and our study may suggest the difficulty of controlling cigarette smoking as one of the major modifiable CADRFs and the necessity of better primary prevention (32).

**Opium addiction**

The trend of opium addiction was very similar to cigarette smoking. In contrast to cigarette smoking, there is controversy regarding opium addiction as a CADRF and people usually don’t see it as a serious risk factor for heart problems (33). Some recent studies revealed a connection between opium addiction and adverse outcomes in post-CABG patients (34, 35). In our study, the prevalence of opium addiction is higher in premature CAD patients in both sexes. Hence it is important to increase public knowledge about this modifiable risk factor of CAD in, especially young age group.
Despite the strengths of our study, including large sample size and detailed follow-ups years after CABG surgery, we need to mention our limitations. First, due to its observational nature, there are possible inherent biases. Second, this is a single-center study on the Iranian population. Another limitation was that some of the data like cigarette and opium addiction were based on patients’ statements which can lead to reporting bias. Also, we did not separate patients with acute coronary syndrome from chronic coronary syndrome which might affect our post-CABG survival results.

**Conclusion**

The burden of CAD among all age-sex groups is considerable and most of its major risk factors are increasing in the general population. On the other hand, according to our study, the survival of female patients is significantly lower and they have a higher chance of MACE incidents after CABG surgery. These observations combined with underestimation of CAD in women especially in younger patients can lead to high mortality and morbidity among women with cardiovascular problems. As reported by the American heart association 2019 national women's survey, a decrease in awareness of CAD is a leading cause of mortality among all age and ethnic groups of women (36). Therefore, health care providers and legislators must pay greater attention to the female population’ CADRFs and ways to prevent them at different levels.

**Declarations**

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The protocol of this study is in line with the 2013 Helsinki declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences. All participants or their legal guardians gave written informed consent before inclusion in the study.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Availability of data and materials**

The data of this study is registered in a database and is available upon request.

**Competing interests**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Funding**

All funding support was provided by the Tehran Heart Center research division.

**Authors' contributions**

A.A. and B.S. wrote original manuscript and prepared the figures. M.P. prepared and analyzed the data. A.J., S.S., H.M., S.M., J.B. and A.K. reviewed and edited the manuscript and K.H. provided the concept and designed the study.

**Acknowledgments:**
We acknowledge all healthcare workers involved in the diagnosis and treatment of patients in Tehran Heart Center.

References

1. Okrainec K, Banerjee DK, Eisenberg MJ. Coronary artery disease in the developing world. 2004;148(1):7–15.

2. Tunstall-Pedoe H, Kuulasmaa K, Amouyel P, Arveiler D, Rajakangas A-M, Pajak AJ. Myocardial infarction and coronary deaths in the World Health Organization MONICA Project. Registration procedures, event rates, and case-fatality rates in 38 populations from 21 countries in four continents. 1994;90(1):583–612.

3. Melly L, Torregrossa G, Lee T, Jansens J-L, Puskas JD. Fifty years of coronary artery bypass grafting. 2018;10(3):1960.

4. Matkovic M, Tutus V, Bilbija I, Lazovic JM, Savic M, Cubrilo M, et al. Long Term Outcomes of The Off-Pump and On-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting In A High-Volume Center. 2019;9(1):8567.

5. Kannel WB, McGee D, Gordon T. A general cardiovascular risk profile: the Framingham Study. 1976;38(1):46–51.

6. Yusuf S, Hawken S, Óunpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): a case-control study. 2004;364(9438):937–52.

7. Leifheit-Limson EC, D’Onofrio G, Daneshvar M, Geda M, Bueno H, Spertus JA, et al. Sex differences in cardiac risk factors, perceived risk, and health care provider discussion of risk and risk modification among young patients with acute myocardial infarction: the VIRGO study. 2015;66(18):1949–57.

8. den Ruijter HM, Haitjema S, van der Meer MG, Van der Harst P, Rouleau JL, Asselbergs FW, et al. Long-term outcome in men and women after CABG; results from the IMAGINE trial. 2015;241(1):284–8.

9. Nicolini F, Fortuna D, Contini GA, Pacini D, Gabbieri D, Zussa C, et al. The impact of age on clinical outcomes of coronary artery bypass grafting: long-term results of a real-world registry. 2017;2017.

10. Poorhosseini H, Abbasi SH. The Tehran Heart Center. European Heart Journal. 2018;39(29):2695–6.

11. Attia T, Koch CG, Houghtaling PL, Blackstone EH, Sabik EM, Sabik III JF. Does a similar procedure result in similar survival for women and men undergoing isolated coronary artery bypass grafting? 2017;153(3):571–9. e9.

12. Weintraub WS, Clements Jr SD, Crisco LV-T, Guyton RA, Craver JM, Jones EL, et al. Twenty-year survival after coronary artery surgery: an institutional perspective from Emory University. 2003;107(9):1271–7.

13. Cornwell LD, Omer S, Rosengart T, Holman WL, Bakaeeen FG. Changes over time in risk profiles of patients who undergo coronary artery bypass graft surgery: the Veterans Affairs Surgical Quality Improvement Program (VASQIP). 2015;150(4):308–15.

14. ElBardissi AW, Aranki SF, Sheng S, O’Brien SM, Greenberg CC, Gammie JS. Trends in isolated coronary artery bypass grafting: an analysis of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons adult cardiac surgery database. 2012;143(2):273–81.

15. Vikulova DN, Grubisic M, Zhao Y, Lynch K, Humphries KH, Pimstone SN, et al. Premature Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease: Trends in Incidence, Risk Factors, and Sex-Related Differences, 2000 to 2016. 2019;8(14):e012178.

16. Azizi F, Hadaegh F, Hosseinpanah F, Mirmiran P, Amouzegar A, Abdi H, et al. Metabolic health in the Middle East and North Africa. 2019;7(11):866–79.
17. Esteghamati A, Larijani B, Aghajani MH, Ghaemi F, Kermanchi J, Shahrami A, et al. Diabetes in Iran: prospective analysis from first nationwide diabetes report of National Program for Prevention and Control of Diabetes (NPPCD-2016). 2017;7(1):1–10.

18. Mills KT, Bundy JD, Kelly TN, Reed JE, Kearney PM, Reynolds K, et al. Global disparities of hypertension prevalence and control: a systematic analysis of population-based studies from 90 countries. 2016;134(6):441–50.

19. Hamid M, Mojtaba L, Afshin O, Farzad H, Fereidoun A, Moein Y, et al. Trends in cardiovascular risk factors in diabetic patients in comparison to general population in Iran: findings from National Surveys 2007–2016. 2020;10(1).

20. Charrois TL, Zolezzi M, Koshman SL, Pearson G, Makowsky M, Durec T, et al. A systematic review of the evidence for pharmacist care of patients with dyslipidemia. 2012;32(3):222–33.

21. Antunes PE, de Oliveira JF, Antunes MJJEjoc-ts. Coronary surgery in patients with diabetes mellitus: a risk-adjusted study on early outcome. 2008;34(2):370–5.

22. Naito R, Miyauki KJh. Coronary artery disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus current treatment strategies and future perspective. 2017:17–191.

23. Van Straten AH, Soliman Hamad MA, van Zundert AA, Martens EJ, Schönberger JP, ter Woorst JF, et al. Diabetes and survival after coronary artery bypass grafting: comparison with an age-and sex-matched population. 2010;37(5):1068–74.

24. Esteghamati A, Larijani B, Aghajani MH, Ghaemi F, Kermanchi J, Shahrami A, et al. Diabetes in Iran: prospective analysis from first Nationwide diabetes report of National Program for prevention and control of diabetes (NPPCD-2016). Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):13461. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13379-z.

25. Andresdottir M, Sigurdsson G, Sigvaldason H, Gudnason VJh. Fifteen percent of myocardial infarctions and coronary revascularizations explained by family history unrelated to conventional risk factors. The Reykjavik Cohort Study. 2002;23(21):1655–63.

26. Ruttmann E, Abfalterer H, Dietl M, Wagner J, Kilo J, Grimm M, et al. Positive family history of cardiovascular disease and long-term outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting: a genetic paradox? 2020;57(5):986–93.

27. Liu X, Xie L, Zhu W, Zhou YJN. Association of body mass index and all-cause mortality in patients after cardiac surgery: A dose-response meta-analysis. 2020;72:110696.

28. Benedetto U, Danese C, Codispoti MJJot, surgery c. Obesity Paradox in Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting: Myth or Reality? 2014;147(5):1517-23.

29. Kuduvalli M, Grayson AD, Oo AY, Fabri BM, Rashid AJJEjoc-ts. The effect of obesity on mid-term survival following coronary artery bypass surgery. 2003;23(3):368–73.

30. Oreopoulos A, Padwal R, Norris CM, Mullen JC, Pretorius V, Kalantar-Zadeh KJO. Effect of obesity on short-and long-term mortality postcoronary revascularization: a meta-analysis. 2008;16(2):442–50.

31. Meta-analysis of Smoking Prevalence in Iran.

32. Aittokallio J, Palmu J, Niiranen TJEjoc-ts. Smoking is the strongest modifiable risk factor for mortality post coronary revascularization. 2019:2047487319894883-.

33. Masoudkabir F, Yavari N, Pashang M, Sadeghian S, Jalali A, Poorhosseini H, et al. Effect of persistent opium consumption after surgery on the long-term outcomes of surgical revascularization. 2020;27(18):1996–2003.

34. Habibi M, Alipour A, Soleimani A, Kiabi F, Habibi A, Zeydi AJB. The effect of opium addiction on short-term postoperative outcomes after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: A prospective observational cohort study.
35. Sadeghian S, Karimi A, Dowlatshahi S, Ahmadi SH, Davoodi S, Marzban M, et al. The association of opium dependence and postoperative complications following coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a propensity-matched study. 2009;5(6):365–72.

36. Cushman M, Shay C, Howard V, Jiménez M, Lewey J, McSweeney J, et al. Ten-Year Differences in Women's Awareness Related to Coronary Heart Disease: Results of the 2019 American Heart Association National Survey: A Special Report From the American Heart Association. 2020:CIR0000000000000907-CIR.

Figures
Trends of coronary artery disease risk factors after coronary artery bypass grafting among different age-sex groups between 2007-2016

Figure 2
Overall survival and survival without major adverse cardiovascular events after coronary artery bypass grafting
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Figure 3
Total risk factor counts between two sexes