Delayed Pseudoaneurysm Rupture and Management After Covered Stent Placement for Post Pancreaticoduodenectomy Hemorrhage: A Case Report
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Abstract

The development of a pseudoaneurysm following Fluency® Plus-covered stent placement for post-Whipple operation bleeding has not been reported. A 79-year-old man diagnosed with pancreas head cancer received Whipple operation and suffered from subsequent gastroduodenal artery stump bleeding. The hemorrhage was treated successfully by covered stent placement at the common hepatic artery. However, two episodes of pseudoaneurysm formation at the proximal stent edge occurred successively in the follow-up period and were treated with endovascular managements. Sequential development of pseudoaneurysm after covered stent placement for post-Whipple operation bleeding has not been reported in available literature. We consider the pseudoaneurysm formation is not only a consequence of visceral inflammation, but also related to the properties of a stent like rigidity in this case.
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1. Introduction

Mortality after pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) has decreased significantly in recent years. However, post-PD bleeding, or pseudoaneurysm formation, remains the most life-threatening complication (1, 2). Several studies have described endovascular treatment with covered stent placement for the bleeding event (3-8). However, a literature review showed that the development of a pseudoaneurysm following Fluency® Plus-covered stent placement for post-Whipple operation bleeding has not been reported. Here, we report a case of a pseudoaneurysm that developed in the proximal edge of a Fluency® Plus-covered stent within the follow-up period after covered-stent deployment for post-PD bleeding. The patient was treated with further endovascular management.

2. Case Presentation

A 79-year-old man suffered from tea-colored urine, and fatigue for 1 month before being admitted to our hospital. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography revealed a bulging papilla with superficial ulceration. Biopsy of the distal common bile duct revealed adenocarcinoma. A modified Whipple operation with limited antrectomy was performed after a preoperation survey. On postoperative day 23, hypotension and a hemoglobin drop (11.3 to > 9.5 mg/dL) were detected. Emergency computed tomography (CT) scan showed small out-pouching with clip migration at the gastroduodenal artery (GDA) stump. Thus, after measuring the diameter of the common hepatic artery (CHA) in pre-operative image and determining the stent graft size, angiography was performed with Seldinger technique for right common femoral artery (CFA) cannulation. Under over-the-wire-based catheter technique, a 10 × 60 mm Fluency® Plus-covered stent (Bard Peripheral Vascular, Tempe, AZ) was deployed in the CHA to cover the GDA stump (Figure 1A-C). Thereafter, he was discharged from the hospital in a stable condition.

After a 6-month follow-up, the patient visited our emergency department, complaining of abdominal discomfort. CT scan findings revealed a 5-cm proximal common hepatic artery pseudoaneurysm with contrast extravasation, and a relatively straight shape of the Fluency® Plus-covered stent (Figure 2A-B). Emergency angiography showed a large pseudoaneurysm arising from the proximal edge of
the covered stent. Under the same technique mentioned above, the splenic artery was first embolized to prevent an endoleak; a 10 × 60 mm Fluency®Plus-covered stent (Bard Peripheral Vascular, Tempe, AZ) was then deployed from the orifice of the celiac trunk to the distal end of the hepatic proper artery (Figure 2C-D).

Two months after being discharged, the patient continued to suffer from back pain. CT scan detected development of a pseudoaneurysm at the proximal edge of the celiac-covered stent, with hematoma and fluid accumulation along the celiac axis (Figure 3A). Additionally, a mycotic pseudoaneurysm was diagnosed on the basis of the initial clinical presentation, leukocytosis (WBC: 22500/cumm), imaging findings of soft tissue density and perivascular fluid collection around the vessel, and organisms from blood cultures. To solve this problem, the cardiovascular surgeon preferred endovascular management to conventional open surgery because of the high mortality rate in this condition. In the diagnostic angiography image, the ruptured pseudoaneurysm was located superior to the junction between the celiac root and abdominal aorta (Figure 3B-C). Celiac trunk coil embolization cannot seal the pseudoaneurysm. Therefore, the surgeon decided to perform chimney technique combined with coil embolization to prevent potential reflux or endoleak from the celiac trunk. After puncturing both the left brachial artery and left CFA, celiac coil embolization was performed first. Then, we cannulated the wire into the superior mesenteric artery (SMA) via the left brachial artery sheath and deployed a Gore® Viabahn® 8 mm × 10 cm stent (W.L. Gore, Flagstaff, AZ) in the SMA for chimney technique. A 34 mm × 77 mm Zenith®TX2® TAA TBE-34-77 stent graft (Cook Inc., Bloomington, Indiana) was deployed with coverage of celiac trunk in the abdominal aorta via left CFA. Another Zilver® 8 mm × 8 cm stent (Cook Inc., Bloomington, Indiana) was introduced inside the Gore® Viabahn® in the SMA to prevent compression from the Zenith®TX2® stent graft. The immediate follow-up angiogram showed patent abdominal stent graft with obliteration of celiac trunk and no contrast medium extravasation (Figure 3D-F). Following this procedure and combined with an 8-week antibiotic treatment, the patient was discharged in stable condition and received long-term follow-up without further complication in the latest follow-up imaging (Figure 4).

3. Discussion

Post-PD hemorrhage is reported to occur in 2% - 8% of patients and is life threatening, with a reported mortality rate of 18% - 47% (9). Post-PD hemorrhage can be classified as either early or delayed (10). Early post-PD hemorrhage occurs within 24 hours after the end of the operation, and is most often a result of technical failure or insufficient management of underlying coagulopathy. Delayed post-PD hemorrhage occurs more than 24 hours after the end of the operation, and is typically caused by pseudoaneurysm rupture as a consequence of visceral inflammation, such as pancreatic leakage adjacent to the arterial wall (4, 5, 10).

Transarterial embolization (TAE) and covered stent placement (CSP) are reported as approaches for handling post-PD hemorrhage (4, 6). TAE uses coils to embolize the target artery and carries the risk of inducing liver infarction or liver abscess formation. CSP is safer than TAE because the pseudoaneurysmal wall may be friable and unable to withstand pressure from endovascular coils, which may cause rupture of the arterial wall and uncontrollable bleeding (4). Before this case, we used to deploy
Development of a pseudoaneurysm after stent implantation is rare. It has been described only in the coronary artery and iliac artery (11-13). However, development of a pseudoaneurysm following a Fluency®Plus-covered stent placement for post-Whipple operation bleeding has never been reported. We consider that both the intrinsic properties of the chosen stent and the erosion of vasculature resulting from intraabdominal infection and pancreatic fluid adjacent to the surgical anastomosis may influence pseudoaneurysm formation after covered stent placement. The closed cell structure in the Fluency®Plus stent makes it relatively rigid compared with that of a flexible-covered stent such as the Gore®Viabahn®. To our knowledge, pseudoaneurysm formation at the edge of the stent and the erosion of the stent edges can lead to pseudoaneurysm formation.
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Figure 3. Recurrent complication of pseudoaneurysm formation from the stent edge two months after the last event and management. A, Recurrent pseudoaneurysm developed (arrow) at the proximal edge of the Fluency® Plus-covered stent. B and C, The anterior-posterior projection and lateral projection in diagnostic angiogram showed an outpouching pseudoaneurysm located on the left side and superior to the junction between celiac root and abdominal aorta (arrow). D, A chimney technique with a Gore® Viabahn™ 8 mm × 10 cm stent (W.L. Gore, Flagstaff, AZ) in the superior mesenteric artery (SMA), a Zenith® TX2® TAA TBE-34-77 stent graft (Cook Inc., Bloomington, Indiana) in the abdominal aorta (arrow), and a Zilver® 8 mm × 8 cm stent (Cook Inc., Bloomington, Indiana) inside the Gore® Viabahn™ in the SMA. E, No contrast medium extravasation or residual pseudoaneurysm was detected in the immediate follow-up angiogram. F, Illustration of the endovascular management in axial view with different colors as different implantations.

of Gore® Viabahn® stent has not been reported in available literature, but there is a reported case with regular hemodialysis receiving a Fluency® Plus stent deployment for transposed brachiobasilic arteriovenous fistula stenosis who experienced a protrusion of the stent strut that penetrated the wall of the fistula 6 months after the procedure (14). The author speculated that the rigid properties of the Fluency® Plus stent graft may have caused the strut to straighten (14). In our case, another Fluency® Plus-covered stent was deployed for the first episode of pseudoaneurysm formation because of the emergent condition and only Fluency® Plus-covered stent was available at that time in our department.

Diagnosis of an infected aneurysm is based on imaging the aneurysm, and infection is confirmed by culturing an organism from the blood. CT imaging findings of an infected aneurysm include a saccular, eccentric, or multilobulated shape, soft tissue inflammation around vessels, and perivascular fluid collection. In our case, mycotic pseudoaneurysm was diagnosed at the second episode by clinical symptoms, laboratory findings and imaging.

Conventional surgical resection, extensive local debridement, and revascularization through in situ reconstruction or extraanatomic bypass are the gold standard treatments for mycotic aneurysm, but they carry a high rate of in-hospital mortality about 22.7% and thirty-day mortality of 18.2% (15). The endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR) is less invasive compared with conventional open repair and reduces mortality and morbidity rates (16, 17). The European multicenter study on endovascular treatment of mycotic aortic aneurysms from the American Heart Association Circulation Journal included the largest population sample to date intending to assess the durability of endovascular treatment. The study concluded that endovascular treatment is feasible and durable for most patients, especially in surgical high-risk cases; however,
late-infection related complications occur because the infected tissue is not resected. Thus, long-term antibiotic treatments and follow-ups are warranted. Under the circumstances, EVAR could be considered a palliative option or a bridge to later elective open repair (16).

In conclusion, development of a pseudoaneurysm following Fluency®-covered stent placement for post-PD bleeding because of intrinsic properties of the chosen stent has not been reported. A flexible-covered stent such as the Gore® Viabahn® may be an effective option for resolving this problem. And endovascular treatment is feasible for mycotic pseudoaneurysm, especially in surgical high-risk cases.
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