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Abstract

Background

Sodium-ibuprofenate in hypertonic saline (NaIHS) administered directly to the lungs by nebulization and inhalation has antibacterial and anti-inflammatory effects with the potential to deliver these benefits to hypoxic patients. We describe a compassionate use program that offered this therapy to hospitalized COVID-19 patients.

Methods

NaIHS (50 mg ibuprofen, tid) was provided in addition to standard of care to hospitalized Covid-19 patients until oxygen saturation levels of >94% were achieved on ambient air. Patients wore a containment hood to diminish aerosolization. Outcome data from participating patients treated at multiple hospitals in Argentina between April 04, 2020, through October 31, 2020 are summarized.

Results

383 patients were treated, including 327 not on mechanical ventilation at baseline (MV) and 56 ICU patients receiving MV. For those not on baseline MV (59±0.8 years), 64% were male, most with at least one recognized risk factor for disease severity, and mean NEWS2 score prior to treatment initiation of 7.0±0.1. The average length of stay (ALOS) was 11.5±0.3 days and length of treatment (LOT) 9.0±0.2 days. In patients on baseline MV (60.6±2.2 years), 69.9% were male, baseline mean NEWS2 Score was 8.8±0.4, ALOS 15.5±1.4 days and LOT 10.5±0.7 days. Reversal of deterioration in oxygenation and NEWS2 scores was observed acutely following initiation of therapy. Overall in-hospital mortality was 10.7% among patients not on MV at baseline, and 19.6% among patients receiving MV at baseline. No serious adverse events were considered related to ibuprofen therapy.

Conclusions

Treatment of COVID-19 pneumonitis with inhalational nebulized NaIHS was associated with rapid improvement in hypoxia and vital signs, with no serious adverse events attributed to therapy. Nebulized NaIHS is worthy of further study in randomized, placebo-controlled trials.

(ClinicalTrials.gov:NCT04382768).

Background

Disease due to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS CoV-2), COVID-19, has taken the world by storm. As of this writing, an estimated 87 million cases and nearly 2 million deaths have been recorded by the World Health Organization (1) and effective therapy options remain limited. To date, dexamethasone and monoclonal antibody therapies have demonstrated benefit in patients with severe illness (2), while evidence of benefit for many other drugs remains unknown (3) or disproven (4–7).
A hallmark of severe COVID-19 is profound hypoxia, which may progress to acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Clinically, these changes are accompanied by characteristic ground glass infiltrates on chest tomography and significant hypoxia (8). In autopsy series, several notable features were described, including diffuse alveolar damage with perivascular T-cell infiltration; severe endothelial injury with intracellular virus, widespread thrombosis with microangiopathy and intussusceptive angiogenesis and pathological vascular dilation in diseased area of lung (9).

In severe COVID-19, local release of autacoids leading to vascular injury and permeability results in excess alveolar fluid protein accumulation. This phenomenon, coupled with vasodilation and intrapulmonary shunting of non-oxygenated blood has been characterized as a ‘bradykinin storm’ (10) based on the observation of reduced ACE expression (with corresponding local loss of vasoconstriction), while ACE2, bradykinin and kallikrein expression were noted to be increased in diseased tissues. This mismatch contributes to ventilation/perfusion mismatch and resulting hypoxia. This hypothesis has been supported by demonstration of intrapulmonary shunting in severe COVID-19 (13). Importantly, ibuprofen can prevent bradykinin induced inflammation at the respiratory mucosa (11) and ibuprofen has also been demonstrated to prevent bradykinin induced vascular extravasation and angioedema in humans (12). These data suggest that ibuprofen may mitigate this aspect of the inflammatory response in COVID-19.

Widespread occlusion of pulmonary vasculature by microthrombi has been well demonstrated (14) with evidence that over 50% of patients dying of COVID-19 have pulmonary microthromboses. Thromboses are found not only in arterial vessels, but also in alveolar capillaries including in the absence of overt inflammation and ARDS (15). The anti-thrombotic effect of ibuprofen at low doses has been well known for decades, principally mediated via inhibition of platelet aggregation (16). Ibuprofen is a non-selective COX2 and COX1 inhibitor, an inhibitor of synthesis of thromboxane TBX2, a platelet pro-aggregant, vasoconstrictor, and pro-inflammatory cytokine, and an inhibitor of polymorphonuclear cell (PMN) release of reactive oxygen species and inflammatory mediators (17, 18, 19, 20).

We have previously reported that sodium-ibuprofenate is a membrane penetrating amphiphatic molecule with antibacterial properties in the presence of hypertonic saline, rendering the combination bactericidal for Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Burkholderia cepacia (21).

Viruses transiting cell membranes must navigate the actin-cytoskeleton of the target cell, a process regulated by Rho GTPase family members (22). Denison and colleagues demonstrated that SARS-CoV-1 induces continuous macropinocytosis, and extensive filopodia outgrowth, and that inhibition of this process impaired virus replication (23). In a dengue virus model, activation of the GTPases Rac1 and Cdc42 and resulting filopodia growth was essential for virus entry and productive infection [24]. These authors noted that insulin and bradykinin, respectively, serve as agonists (activators) for these GTPases (this tantalizing side note may ultimately shed light on the observation that COVID-19 is much more severe in patients with elevated insulin levels in the setting of type-2 diabetes). Ibuprofen inhibits Rho
GTPase activation \cite{25, 26} including Rac1b through a non-cyclooxygenase inhibiting pathway. Thus, additional activities of ibuprofen may have indirect antiviral properties relevant to SARS-CoV2, as well.

Given these potential benefits of NaIHS in the context of SARS-CoV2 pneumonitis, we designed a compassionate-use program to offer this potentially beneficial therapy in the face of a pandemic pathogen against which few therapeutic options were available.

**Methods**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Independent Ethics Committees and district regulatory agencies of Cordoba and Mendoza Provinces for the compassionate use of Luarprofeno® (sodium ibuprofenate in hypertonic saline, or NaIHS, for nebulization). The program was carried out in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki for Buenos Aires. This study was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT04382768. All participating individuals were $\geq$ age 18 and provided written informed consent, obtained by the treating physician.

Luarprofeno® was manufactured under GMP conditions and provided by Quimica Luar SRL (QL, Cordoba, Argentina) in sterile vials, each containing 50 mg of sodium ibuprofenate in 5 mL of hypertonic saline (comprised of 29 mg NaCl/mL, an ~3% solution). Each vial comprised a single dose. The three-times daily dosing schema, each dose with 50 mg dose, was selected based on preliminary observations \cite{21, 28}. The total daily administered ibuprofen dose was therefore $\leq$ 150 mg, recognizing that some drug is exhaled.

To submit a drug-request for patients, clinicians from Cordoba, Buenos Aires and Mendoza completed an assessment form with demographic and disease-status information about their patients. Approval of requests was reserved for hospitalized patients who had SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by reverse-transcriptase–polymerase-chain-reaction assay and pneumonia. NaIHS also was provided for a small number of patients (<5%) with suspected COVID-19 infection based upon clinician assessment, bypassing the need for SARS-CoV-2 PCR diagnostics which were not consistently available in all regions at various times. Ibuprofen allergy was an exclusion criterion.

Each dose was administered using a standard piston-pump nebulizer, or via high flow oxygen or compressed ambient air, by face-mask. To reduce health care worker infection risk in the setting of nebulized therapy use, a novel containment hood was utilized with air venting via antiviral filters, designed to prevent particle aerosolization (note to reviewers, happy to provide supplemental data describing this system). All health care workers utilized PPE provided by their institutions. Each nebulized dose was administered over approximately 15 minutes, the time required to drain the liquid nebulization vessel. Treatment was initiated in participants with pulse oximetry saturation (SpO2) $\leq$ 94% or a need for oxygen support. Treatment was continued until SpO2 reached $\geq$ 94% while breathing ambient air for $\geq$ 24 hours or was stopped at clinician discretion or patient request.
Supportive and standard of care (SOC) therapy was provided at the discretion of the clinician, as those therapies were available. As available, clinical status data collected by the clinician as part of standard care was to be shared with QL to evaluate program safety.

**Endpoint Assessments**

Patients were assessed daily during their hospitalization, from day 1 of treatment until discharge. These data were utilized to register patient clinical status based on the National Early Warning Score 2 for each day (29). All adverse events (AE) that were considered study-drug related were recorded. Vital signs were collected under routine hospital protocols every day between 07:00–11:00 am, before NalIHS therapy. Outcomes in the smaller number of patients receiving MV support at the time of NalIHS therapy initiation are presented separately in this manuscript. The average length of stay in hospitals (ALOS) and duration of treatment (DOT) were reported.

**Statistical analysis**

The analysis population included all patients with validated medical records who received compassionate use of NalIHS therapy for at least 1 day. Most data analyses were descriptive. Summary measures included mean (SE), median (interquartile range), min and max values. Clinical status was evaluated through the determination of SpO2 measured by pulse oximetry, respiratory rates (RR) and NEWS2 scores over time, as well as ALOS and duration of supplemental oxygen therapy. The in-hospital mortality rate was determined. Treatment safety was assessed by tabulation of adverse events considered study drug related by treating physicians.

**Results**

**Overall Enrollment & Baseline Characteristics**

A total of 383 patients were enrolled and received at least three doses (50 mg) of NalIHS (Figure 1); 253 from multiple hospitals in Cordoba Province, 95 from a single hospital in Buenos Aires and 35 from multiple hospitals in Mendoza Province. At Baseline (before initiation of NalIHS therapy) 327 were not receiving mechanical ventilation (MV) while 56 patients (14.6%) were receiving MV. Outcome measures are presented for these two aggregate groups separately. Among patients not receiving MV at baseline (n=327), mean age was 59 and the majority (62.5%) were male (Table 1). Prior diagnoses of diabetes, cardiovascular disease including hypertension, and chronic lung disease were noted in 22%, 44% and 14% of patients, respectively. Baseline SpO2 was 91%, with 75% of patients on supplemental oxygen at the time of measurement (baseline). The mean and median NEWS 2 score at baseline for this group was 7.0.

Among patients receiving MV at baseline (n=56), mean age was 60.6 and the majority were male (Table 1). Prior diagnoses of diabetes, cardiovascular disease including hypertension and chronic lung disease was noted in 28.6%, 46.6% and 23.2% of patients, respectively. Baseline SpO2 was 90±0.8, and 100%
were on supplemental oxygen at the time of measurement (baseline). The mean and median NEWS 2 score at baseline for this group was 8.7 and 8.0.

Clinical Outcomes

NEWS 2 Scores: The mean daily NEWS 2 score for patients not on MV at Baseline is presented in Figure 2. Progressive improvement over Days 1 through 6 to a mean NEWS2 score of <4 is evident in the group as a whole. For a subset of patients (n=60), NEWS 2 scores were available for a time period of 1 to 3 days prior to initiation of NaIHS therapy. Strikingly, this subgroup of patients demonstrated progressive clinical deterioration indicated by worsening NEWS2 scores prior to initiation of NaIHS therapy, with peak NEWS 2 score evident on the day of (but prior to) therapy initiation. This clinical course reversed dramatically coinciding with initiation of NaIHS therapy. In Figure 2, data for patients enrolled from each province are graphed separately demonstrating a range in baseline disease severity.

Respiratory Rates:

The mean daily respiratory rate (RR) for patients not on MV at baseline are presented in Figure 3A. Consistent with the observation of NEWS2 scores over time, following initiation of NaIHS there was steady improvement in mean RR for this group, and for the subset with pre-ibuprofen therapy data collection, a trend of increasing (worsening) RR despite the addition of supplemental oxygen is clear, with immediate improvement after NaIHS initiation. Overall, mean RR for the entire group had normalized (≤ 20 breaths/minute) by Day-6, with decreasing numbers of patients on supplemental oxygen during this time period.

Oxygen Saturation:

Mean daily pulse oximetry oxygen saturation for patients not on MV at Baseline is presented in Figure 3B. As was observed with RR and NEWS2 scores, among patients with available data prior to initiation of therapy with NaIHS, there was daily deterioration in oxygen saturation (despite increasing use of supplemental oxygen), which reversed acutely with initiation of therapy. By Day 6, mean SpO2 was >94%, remaining at this level with decreasing numbers of patients on supplemental oxygen and discharge from hospital of increasing numbers of patients. Importantly, this figure reflects the SpO2 status of patients still hospitalized; as patients improved, they were discharged, creating a data bias towards flattening of the improvement curve (or worsening). Indeed, this phenomenon was observed in the Cordoba subset of patients at Days 9-10, but for the entire study population, stable improvement of oxygenation is observed.

Length of Hospital Stay (LOS) & Duration of Therapy (DOT): Among patients not on MV at baseline, the average LOS was 11.5±0.3 days (Table 1), with DOT of 9.0±0.2 days. In this group, 25 patients never required oxygen supplementation; among those receiving oxygen therapy (n=302), the mean duration of oxygen supplementation was 6 days, with a median duration of 5 days. For the 35 patients who progressed to death (10.7%), the average LOS was 13±1.2 days. Among patients on MV at baseline, the average LOS was 15.5±1.4 days (Table 2), with DOT of 10.5±0.7 days. For the 11 patients who progressed to death in hospital (19.6%), the ALOS was 13.5±3.3 days.
**In Hospital Mortality Rates:** Overall in-hospital mortality among patients receiving NaIHS was 12.0% (46/383). At the time of data collection 28 patients remained in hospital (some for social reasons despite returning to clinical stability). Mortality among patients not on MV at baseline was 10.7%, and for those on MV at baseline, 19.6% (Figure 1). For the subset of patients not on MV at baseline (n=327), mortality rates were influenced by age and baseline oxygenation status (Tables 2 and 3). Among patients of age ≤ 60 (Table 2), overall mortality was 3.4% (6/174), occurring in 5 patients who presented with baseline SpO2 ≤ 90%, and in 1 who presented with normal oxygenation but died with sepsis (an immunosuppressed renal transplant recipient). Among patients > age 60 (Table 3), overall mortality was 19.0% (29/153), the majority of deaths occurring in patients presenting with SpO2 <90% (19/29, 66%).

**Concomitant medications:** SOC medications administered to the majority of patients included: dexamethasone 6 mg qd, (79.4%), enoxaparin 40 mg sc qd (81.2%), and the combination of clarithromycin 500 mg bid and ampicillin-sulbactam 3.0 gr tid (63.2 %). A small number of patients received convalescent plasma, ivermectin or hydroxychloroquine.

**Adverse Events:** No serious AE events were considered directly related to NaIHS. Patients reported mild to moderate cough during the first doses of NaIHS and salty taste. Bradycardia associated with improved SpO2 was observed in some patients. Three episodes of epistaxis were reported. One patient reported ibuprofen allergy, another claustrophobic reaction due to the hood and another patient developed a cough that was considered secondary to nebulization therapy, these three discontinued the medication permanently.

**Discussion**

This report describes clinical outcomes in a moderate size cohort of patients who were severely ill with Covid-19 and treated with NaIHS under a compassionate use protocol. As there was no comparator arm or randomization, the data can only be considered hypothesis-generating. Despite this limitation, multiple lines of evidence suggest that nebulized NaIHS, may be a useful therapeutic tool for the treatment of moderate-to-severe COVID-19, and is worthy of deeper examination in a randomized controlled trial (RCT) context.

The course of multiple critical patient outcome parameters (NEWS2 Scores, RR and SpO2) improved rapidly following the initiation of NaIHS. For the subset of 60 patients for whom these data were available prior to treatment initiation, an acute reversal in trend was observed with a decrease in mean respiratory rate coinciding with improving oxygen saturation and NEWS2 scores immediately following initiation of therapy. By Day-6 following initiation of therapy, mean NEWS2 scores improved to < 4, pulse oximetry had improved to ≥ 94% with diminishing supplemental oxygen needs, and respiratory rates had returned to normal. These observations are consistent with the conceptual interpretation that pulmonary delivery of NaIHS diminished bradykinin-storm physiology, likely reduced local prostaglandin and thromboxane synthesis and acutely improved the ventilation-perfusion mismatch which is a hallmark of this disease as we hypothesized above.
The overall mortality rate of 10.7% observed in this study in patients not on MV at baseline compares favorably with recently published data (Supplemental Table 1). Mortality was 2-fold higher (19.6%) among patients on MV at baseline (versus 10.7% overall in non-intubated patients), and among non-intubated patients, mortality was strikingly higher among patients > age 60 (19.0%) versus those age 60 or younger (3.4%).

In the published series, mortality rates among patients with severe COVID-19 and on MV at baseline of 13% (30) and ranging up to 41% and higher (31) have been reported. While a cross-study comparison of outcomes between trials can only be considered a range-finding exercise for a host of reason (particularly in the context of continual improvements in care), it is noteworthy that mortality among patients receiving dexamethasone in the RECOVERY trial was over 29% (noting that most patients in this NaIHS trial received dexamethasone as part of SOC). Recently, results from an Argentinian randomized controlled trial, evaluating treatment with convalescent plasma versus placebo were published (4). This trial, which excluded patients on MV at screening, reported an overall 28-day mortality rate of approximately 11%, remarkably similar to the in-hospital mortality rate of 10.7% observed among patients not on MV in the present study.

Importantly, our compassionate use protocol did not exclude patients with profound and confounding major diseases, which would be expected to have increased overall mortality, in comparison. For instance, among patients enrolled in Buenos Aires, three of the nine deceased patients were admitted with life-threatening illnesses (metastatic pancreatic cancer, bacterial sepsis in a renal transplant recipient, and perforation of the appendix with peritonitis) and subsequently succumbed to these illnesses with concomitant COVID-19 infection. Accordingly, similar mortality rates in the present study point to the possibility of treatment benefit of NaIHS. In this vein of reasoning, despite an effort through exclusion criteria to avoid enrollment of patients in imminent need of ICU admission and MV in the convalescent plasma trial, a total of 85/333 patients (25.5%) who were not intubated at baseline ultimately required this intervention. In the present study, clinicians had no restraint preventing enrollment of such patients, yet a smaller proportion (18.9%) of those not on MV at baseline ultimately required this intervention. Finally, in the convalescent plasma trial (4), the time from intervention to hospital discharge for plasma and placebo treatment was 13 and 12 days, respectively; in our study, the ALOS was 11.5 ± 0.3 and 15.5 ± 1.4 days for non-ventilated and ventilated groups, respectively. These may be meaningful differences, but of course this can only be assessed in a future RCT. In light of questions raised early in the pandemic regarding the safety of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use in COVID-19 patients, this cross-study comparison should reassure that inhaled ibuprofen does not create excess risk when added to SOC interventions.

The mechanism by which NaIHS induces an apparent rapid improvement in oxygenation and other vital signs in COVID-19 patients is unknown but multiple potential mechanisms can be evoked. These include the classical anti-inflammatory activity mediated by inhibition of prostaglandin and bradykinin synthesis, inhibition of thromboxane's platelet aggregation and reduction of reactive oxygen species by polymorphonuclear cells as previously observed (28). A potential benefit of nebulized hypertonic saline,
recognized to be a mucolytic agent and used for this purpose in the treatment of cystic fibrosis and bronchiectasis, should not be overlooked. Finally, both direct antiviral and antibacterial activity, as well as potential indirect antiviral activity through inhibition of RhoGTPase activity may have contributed.

This program of course has limitations. First, this is a description of a non-randomized observational cohort receiving compassionate use therapy, and causal relationships to outcomes cannot be proven. Second, only hospitalized adults were evaluated, and the findings may not be generalizable to other populations, including non-hospitalized patients. Third, given the nature of the study, many critical parameters were not prospectively evaluated (pharmacovigilance, FiO2). Finally, only a single dosing regimen of ibuprofen was studied. Despite these limitations, encouraging efficacy trends emerged.

**Conclusion**

The compassionate use of sodium ibuprofenate administered by nebulization in hypertonic saline appeared to be safe and well-tolerated in this cohort with varying severity of illness. Average length of stay and mortality rates compared favorably with published outcomes from randomized controlled trials. Reversal of deleterious physiologic trends, overall, coincided with the initiation of treatment. NaIHS appears to be a promising therapy for treatment of COVID-19 pneumonitis, warranting further evaluation in randomized controlled trials.
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### Tables

**Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of 383 Hospitalized COVID19 Patients who Received Compassionate Use Nebulized Ibuprofen Therapy**

| Baseline Characteristic                  | Not on Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline (n=327) | On Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline (n=56) |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Mean Age (in years)                     | 59± 1.0                                           | 60.6 ± 2.2                                  |
| Mean Age (years)                        | 59 (20)                                           | 63.5 (19.5)                                 |
| Range                                   | 26-91                                             | 19-95                                      |
| Female Patients                         | 123 (37.5%)                                       | 17 (30.4%)                                 |
| Risk Factors for Disease Severity      |                                                  |                                            |
| Has any Risk Factor*                    | 55.7%                                            | 69.6%                                      |
| Diabetes                                | 73 (22%)                                          | 16 (28.6%)                                 |
| Cardiovascular Disease (includes HTN)   | 13 (44%)                                          | 25 (46.6%)                                 |
| Chronic lung disease                    | 46 (14%)                                          | 13 (23.2%)                                 |
| Proportion Receiving Supplemental O₂, Day-1 | 244 (75%)                                       | 56 (100%)                                  |
| Mean Baseline O₂ Saturation (pulse oximetry) | 91.0 ± 0.3 %                                     | 90.0 ± 0.8 %                               |
| Mean Baseline Respiratory Rate (breaths/min) | 23 ± 0.3                                        | 23 ± 0.8                                   |
| Baseline NEWS2 Score *                  |                                                  |                                            |
| Mean                                    | 7.0 ± 0.1                                         | 8.7 ± 0.4                                  |
| Median                                  | 7 (4)                                             | 8 (3)                                      |
| Range                                   | 1-15                                              | 2-15                                       |
| Proportion receiving dexamethasone therapy (at some point during treatment course) | 257 (78.4%)                                       | 45 (80.4%)                                 |
| Mean Length of Hospitalization (Days)   | 11.5 ± 0.3                                        | 15.5 ± 1.4                                 |
| Mean Duration of Ibuprofen therapy (Days)* | 9.0 ± 0.2                                        | 10.5 ± 0.7                                 |
| Mean time in hospital to death, deceased patients (n) | 13 ± 1.2 (n=35)                                 | 13.5 ± 3.3 (n=11)                         |
Table 2: Mortality rate by age and baseline oxygen saturation. Patients age ≤ 60

| Final SpO2 | Baseline SpO2 | 95-99% | 91-94% | 86-90% | <86% | Total |
|------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| Normal (%) | 28 (16.38)    | 50 (29.25) | 57 (33.33) | 8 (4.68) | 143 (83.64) |
| 91-94%     | 4 (2.34)      | 8 (4.68) | 11 (6.44) | 1 (0.58) | 24 (14.04) |
| 86-90%     | 0             | 1 (0.58) | 0       | 1 (0.58) | 2 (1.16) |
| <86%       | 0             | 0       | 2 (1.16) | 0       | 2 (1.16) |
| Discharges | 31 (18.14)    | 57 (33.33) | 65 (38.02) | 9 (5.27) | 162 (94.76) |
| Death      | 0             | 0       | 4 (2.34) | 1 (0.58) | 5 (2.92) |
| Remain Hospitalized | 1 (0.58) | 2 (1.16) | 1 (0.58) | 0       | 4 (2.32) |
| Total (%)  | 32 (18.72)    | 59 (34.51) | 70 (40.93) | 10 (5.84) | 171 (100) |

Table 3: Mortality rate by age and baseline oxygen saturation. Patients age ≥60

| Final SpO2 | Baseline SpO2 | 95-99% | 91-94% | 86-90% | <86% | Total |
|------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| Normal     | 14 (9.28)     | 28 (18.55) | 41 (27.15) | 5 (3.31) | 88 (58.29) |
| 91-94%     | 4 (2.65)      | 12 (7.95) | 25 (16.56) | 1 (0.66) | 42 (27.82) |
| 86-90%     | 1 (0.66)      | 4 (2.65) | 6 (3.97) | 2 (1.32) | 13 (8.6) |
| <86%       | 0             | 0       | 6 (3.97) | 2 (1.32) | 8 (5.29) |
| Discharges | 16 (10.6)     | 35 (23.17) | 54 (35.76) | 5 (3.31) | 110 (72.84) |
| Death      | 0             | 9 (5.96) | 16 (10.6) | 3 (1.99) | 28 (18.55) |
| Remain Hospitalized | 3 (1.99) | 0       | 8 (5.3) | 2 (1.32) | 13 (8.61) |
| Total      | 19 (12.59)    | 44 (29.13) | 78 (51.66) | 10 (6.62) | 151 (100) |
Figure 1

Participant Enrollment, Compassionate-Use Nebulized Ibuprofen Therapy for Moderate to Severe COVID-19 in Argentina
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- **Buenos Aires**: n=95
  - 6 on MV at BL
  - 89 Not on MV at BL
  - In-Hospital Mortality (n): 2
  - Discharged (n): 4
  - Still in Hospital (n): 0

- **Córdoba**: n=253
  - 42 on MV at BL
  - 211 Not on MV at BL
  - In-Hospital Mortality (n): 8
  - Discharged (n): 27
  - Still in Hospital (n): 7

- **Mendoza**: n=35
  - 8 on MV at BL
  - 27 Not on MV at BL
  - In-Hospital Mortality (n): 1
  - Discharged (n): 3
  - Still in Hospital (n): 4

- **Overall in-Hospital Mortality**: Total n=383
  - 56 on MV at BL
  - 327 Not on MV at BL
  - In-Hospital Mortality (n): 11
  - Discharged (n): 34
  - Still in Hospital (n): 11

**Mortality (%):**
- Buenos Aires: 2%
- Córdoba: 3%
- Mendoza: 3%
- Total: 10.7%
Figure 2

NEWS2 Score among Patients not on Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline whoReceived Nebulized Ibuprofen
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Figure 3

Respiratory Rate (A) and Oxygen Saturation (B) among Patients not on Mechanical Ventilation at Baseline who Received Nebulized Ibuprofen (25 patients were censored because never received oxygen)
supplementation).
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