Assessing Avian Richness and Diversity in Different Regions of Oil Palm Plantation in Selangor, Malaysia
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ABSTRACT. Malaysia is characterized by a variety of habitats such as forests, clearings, thickets, and cropland that attract various species of birds. This study examined the bird community in terms of richness and diversity at oil palm plantations habitat. The abundance of birds was determined by using distance sampling point count method. A total of 2722 birds belonging to 38 families representing 86 species of birds were detected. Diversity analysis indicates that the species of birds in the oil palm plantation at Sungai Pelek is more diverse (Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index H; 3.52) and richness (Margalef’s Richness Index R1; 10.2) than oil palm plantation in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting-Jenjarum. However, the species of birds in the oil palm plantations at Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting-Jenjarum show higher evenness (Pielou’s Evenness Index E; 0.82) compared with oil palm plantations in Sungai Pelek. The results of this study indicate that the species composition and distribution of the avian species in the oil palm plantations are affected by habitat characterization of that particular area and its vicinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Malaysia bestowed with a variety of habitats such as forests, open spaces, shrublands, wetlands, lake, river, aquacultural ponds, agricultural lands, paddy fields, and waste water treatment areas [1], which is rich in diversity of birds, including 525 residents, 200 migrants, 92 vagrants, and 42 endemic bird species [2]. However, the conversion of tropical lowland forests on a large scale into oil palm plantations, especially in Southeast Asia, has led to a significant loss and fragmentation of once great and continuous tropical forest habitats [3]. Yet, many logged forests that are facing degradation are subjected to continuous pressure for conversion for agriculture, especially oil palm in Southeast Asia [4]. Forest clearings for new oil palm plantations usually results in many degraded and small patches of forest.

Felling of natural forests for agriculture has threatened the survival of many species of birds [5]. Changes in bird assemblages in undisturbed and human modified habitats have been studied in different parts of the world by several authors [6,7,8,9]. Approximately, 45 species of birds are endangered from loss and degradation of habitats in Malaysia [10]. Reducing the loss of habitat is more effective than trying to boost bird populations in their foraging areas and then restoring the habitat.

The retention of forest patches in oil palm plantations, especially those with High Conservation Value (HCV) has been promoted by the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) certification program as a means to reduce the loss of biological diversity in and around oil palm plantations [11]. Despite, its overall effectiveness has not been widely demonstrated; such strategy is usually considered as a useful practice to improve biodiversity and is part of the “wildlife-friendly” management system in the oil palm plantations [12]. Only a few studies have specifically examined the value of forest patches in habitat matrix of oil palm for vertebrate conservation.

Therefore, conservation of natural habitats across the country is the most important factor in maintaining bird populations. It also has been suggested that the value of agricultural plantations for bird conservation can be enhanced by maintaining forest patches within or nearby plantation [13], although the results were not always consistent [14].
The main objective of this study was to investigate the contribution of biological diversity in human-modified habitats; using oil palm plantation as a model and by using birds as indicators. The main objective has been achieved by analysing the richness and diversity of bird species in each sites of the study area. Therefore, parameters such as relative abundance, composition, and diversity are expected to improve our understanding of the effects of habitat structure and its vicinity on bird species.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area. The study regions were located in the state of Selangor. The data was collected from three regions; Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey (2°56.477’N, 101°25.261’E), Banting-Jenjarom (2°50.767’N, 101°25.298’E), and Sungai Pelek (2°39.856’N, 101°44.521’E). Each study region is composed of oil palm plantation that is adjacent or continuous with the mangrove area (Fig.1).

Fig. 1. Geographical locations and map of the study areas. Circles represent of the survey plots. TPG-PC is represent for Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey, B-J is for Banting-Jenjarom and SP is stand for Sungai Pelek.

Bird Surveys. To determine the richness and diversity of resident bird communities, bird surveys were conducted using the Point Count technique at each study sites from October 2012 to November 2013. Each sampling conducted at a particular site covered 80 point counts. All bird surveys were carried out by the same observer [15]. The sampling points were chosen randomly with the condition that they were at least 200 m apart [16]. During each survey, birds that were seen or heard during a 10 minutes period within 40m radius were recorded at each sampling point [17]. All surveys were conducted between 0700 and 1030h on days with no precipitation or strong wind [15]. If a bird was heard and could not be identified, the calls were documented using a voice recorder, and compared with local bird vocalization from a CD-ROM of Birds of Tropical Asia 3 [18].
3. DATA ANALYSIS

Relative Abundance (%). The relative abundance relates to the number of individuals of a particular species as a percent of total detection at each area. We estimate the relative abundance for each species detection by using average values which is calculated by dividing the total number of species detected in each region. The relative abundance (%) of birds species have been estimated by the following expression:

\[
\text{Relative abundance} = \frac{n}{N} \times 100
\]

Where:
\( n \) = The number of a particular detected bird species
\( N \) = The total number detected for overall species in each region

Bird Diversity Indices. Avian species diversity, species richness and species evenness in oil palm plantations at different regions were analysed by using Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index, Margalef’s Richness Index, and Pielou’s Evenness Index.

The Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index for each oil palm plantations was calculated by using the following equation:

\[
H = -\sum (p_i) \ln(p_i)
\]

Where:
\( S \) = number of individuals of one species
\( N \) = total number of all individuals in the sample

Margalef’s Richness Index was used as a simple measure of species richness.

\[
R_1 = \frac{(S-1)}{\ln(N)}
\]

Where:
\( S \) = total number of species
\( N \) = total number of individuals in the samples

For calculating the evenness of species, the Pielou’s Evenness Index was used.

\[
E = \frac{H}{\ln(S)}
\]

Where:
\( H \) = Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index
\( S \) = total number of species in the sample

Significant Difference among Different Oil Palm Plantations. A One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s (HSD) test was conducted in order to investigate the difference in bird richness and oil palm plantations at different regions.

4. RESULTS

Bird Species Composition with Relative Abundance in Different Oil Palm Plantations. Generally, the point count method observed a number of 2722 bird individuals representing 86 species of birds and 38 families (i.e 60.53% families from Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey,
Bird Species Composition with Relative Abundance in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey Oil Palm Plantation. A total of 1134 individuals were observed (40.18%) from Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey representing 37 bird species and 23 families. Three bird species i.e., Orthotomus sutorius - Common Tailorbird (11.20%), Acridotheres tristis - Common Myna (11.11%), and Spilopelia chinensis - Spotted Dove (9.26%) were the most common bird species in the Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey oil palm plantation. Conversely, two bird species i.e., Centropus bengalensis - Lesser Coucal and Prinia rufescens - Rufescent Prinia were least abundant (each 0.18% - observed only twice) (Table 1).

Bird Species Composition with Relative Abundance in Banting-Jenjarom Oil Palm Plantation. In Banting-Jenjarom oil palm plantation, a total of 1057 individuals of 41 species of birds were observed representing 26 families. The results showed that O. sutorius - Common Tailorbird (12.77%), Copsychus saularis - Oriental Magpie-robin (11.92%), and Geopelia striata - Zebra Dove (9.84%) were the most abundant bird species in Banting-Jenjarom oil palm plantation. On the contrarily, one species namely Pycnonotus brunneus - Asian Red-eyed Bulbul were considered as the rarest (0.09%) (Table 1).

Bird Species Composition with Relative Abundance in Sungai Pelek Oil Palm Plantation. Similarly, a total of 530 individuals of birds belonging to 65 species and 33 families were observed from oil palm plantation in Sungai Pelek. Three bird species i.e.; Halcyon smyrnensis - White-throated Kingfisher (12.81%), A. tristis - Common Myna (9.04%), and Pycnonotus goiavier - Yellow-vented Bulbul (8.85%) were the most abundant birds species observed with higher number of individuals. In addition, 10 species of birds such as Orthotomus ruficeps – Ashy Tailorbird, Aviceda leuphotes – Black Baza, Monticola solitarius – Blue Rock Thrush, Anthreptes malacensis – Brown-throated Sunbird, Orthotomus atrogularis – Dark-necked Tailorbird, Dicrurus paradiseus – Greater Racket-tailed Drongo, Aegithina viridissima – Green Iora, Pycnonotus eutilotus – Puff-backed Bulbul, Rhipidura albicollis – White-throated Fantail, and Ixobrychus sinensis – Yellow Bittern were the birds that considered as rare in oil palm plantation in Sungai Pelek (0.19% each) (Table 1).

Comparison of Bird Species Composition in Oil Palm Plantations at Different Regions. 56 species of birds have been observed from oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting-Jenjarom. However, 15 species of birds were sampled only in oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey which were absent in oil palm plantations in Banting-Jenjarom. Reciprocally, 19 bird species were observed in oil palm plantations in Banting-Jenjarom, but absent in oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey. There were 21 bird species commonly detected in oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting Jenjarom (Table 1).

Comparing Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Sungai Pelek, 26 bird species were common in both habitats, 10 bird species were only found in the oil palm plantations at Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey; not found at all in the oil palm plantations at Sungai Pelek. Similarly, 38 species of birds were found in Sungai Pelek but were absent at Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey (Table 1).

Comparing oil palm plantations in Banting-Jenjarom and Sungai Pelek; 23 species were commonly found in both habitats. Only 17 species were detected in the oil palm plantations in Banting-Jenjarom but not found in oil palm plantations at Sungai Pelek. On the other hand, 41
species were found in oil palm at Sungai Pelek but absent in oil palm at Banting-Jenjarom (Table 1).

Comparing results of the One-way ANOVA test showed there was a statistically significant difference between the avian relative abundance of the different regions ($F_{2, 170} = 5.68$, $p = 0.041$). Tukey’s (HSD) test revealed that the avian relative abundance in oil palm plantations was significantly higher in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey (13.19±1.68 birds, $p<0.05$) and Banting-Jenjarom (12.29±1.65 birds, $p<0.05$) compared to Sungai Pelek (6.17±0.96 birds). However, there was no significant difference between Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting Jenjarom ($p>0.05$).

Table 1. Bird species composition with relative abundance in oil palm plantations at three different regions in Selangor, Malaysia.

| Family     | Scientific name | Common name                  | No. of captures | %   | No. of captures | %   | No. of captures | %   |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|
| Cisticolida| Orthotomus sutorius | Common Tailorbird            | 85              | 12  | 45              | 24  | 13              | 19  |
| Sturnidae  | Acrocephalus sturnus | Common Myna                  | 87              | 11.11 | 48              | 9.04 |
| Columbidae | Spilopelia chinensis | Spotted Dove                | 55              | 9.26 | 24              | 9.04 |
| Accipitridae | Spilornis cheela | Crested Serpent Eagle       | 36.00           | 7.76 | 19              | 3.58 |
| Sturnidae  | Acrocephalus rufiventer | Javan Myna            | 51              | 7.05 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Muscicapaide | Copsychus saularis | Oriental Magpie-robin       | 126             | 6.26 | 7.53            | 10.88 |
| Halcyonidae| Halcyon smyrnensis | White-throated Kingfisher  | 46              | 6.08 | 12.81           | 20.29 |
| Oriolidae  | Oriolus chinensis | Black-naped Oriole          | 10              | 3.78 | 1.88            | 2.94 |
| Pyconotidida | Pycnonotus goiavier | Yellow-vented Bulbul       | 47              | 5.47 | 8.85            | 14.85 |
| Cuculidae | Eudyptes schistatus | Asian Koel                  | 4               | 0.85 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Columbidae | Geopelia striata | Zebra Dove                  | 104             | 4.94 | 3.39            | 5.44 |
| Passeridae | Gallus gallus | Red Junglefowl              | 15              | 1.42 | 6.13            | 12.30 |
| Cisticolida | Prinia flaviventris | Ashy Tailorbird            | 1               | 0.00 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Cisticolida | Amaurornis phoenicurus | White-breasted              | 19              | 1.68 | 3.58            | 6.77 |
| Cisticolida | Orthotomus atrogularis | Tailorbird                | 0               | 1.15 | 1.90            | 2.74 |
| Cisticolida | Pycnonotus sinensis | Yellow-bellied Prinia       | 4               | 0.88 | 0.75            | 1.10 |
| Cisticolida | Tricholestes cineriger | Hairy-backed Bulbul         | 0               | 0.71 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Rhripidurida | Rhripida albicollis | White-throated Fantail     | 1               | 0.71 | 1.90            | 3.20 |
| Nectarinidae | Leptocoma melacantha | Copper-throated Sunbird    | 7               | 0.71 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Ardeidae   | Ardea purpurea | Purple Heron                | 15              | 1.42 | 6.13            | 12.30 |
| Corvidae   | Corvus splendens | House Crow                  | 9               | 0.94 | 1.63            | 2.94 |
| Coracidae  | Euryptosus orientalis | Dollarbird                | 8               | 0.62 | 1.51            | 2.54 |
| Timaliidae | Micoenas galaris | Yellow-tailed Tit-babbler   | 1               | 0.62 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Halcyonidae | Todiramphus chloris | Collared Kingfisher        | 2               | 0.53 | 0.38            | 0.64 |
| Estrildidae | Lonchura punctulata | Scaly-breasted Munia       | 8               | 0.53 | 1.51            | 2.54 |
| Corvidae   | Corvus | Large-billed Crow           | 8               | 0.44 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Scolopacida | Gallicola strangulata | Pin-tailed Snake        | 7               | 0.44 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Rhripidurida | Rhripida javanica | Malaysian Pied              | 5               | 0.44 | 0.94            | 1.88 |
| Muscipicidae | Ficedula subulata | Yellow-wattled              | 4               | 0.35 | 0.38            | 0.76 |
| Laniidae   | Lanius cristatus | Brown Shrike                | 5               | 0.44 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Charadriidae | Vanellus marabucus | Lapwing                    | 6               | 0.26 | 1.13            | 2.26 |
| Hirundinidae | Hirundo rustica | Barn Swallow                | 6               | 0.26 | 1.13            | 2.26 |
| Meropidae  | Merops philippinus | Blue-tailed Bee-eater      | 12              | 1.32 | 2.26            | 4.54 |
| Scolopacidae | Calidris alpina | Dunlin                      | 0               | 0.26 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Charadriidae | Charadrius dubius | Little Ringed Plover        | 3               | 0.26 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Cisticolida | Prinia ruficollis | Rufescent Prinia            | 3               | 0.26 | 0.56            | 1.02 |
| Cisticolida | Centropus bengalensis | Lesser Coucal            | 5               | 0.18 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Meropidae  | Merops viridis | Blue-throated Bee-eater    | 0               | 0.00 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Estrildidae | Lonchura maja | White-headed Munia          | 0               | 0.00 | 0.00            | 0.00 |
| Estrildidae | Lonchura atricapilla | Chestnut Munia          | 2               | 1.32 | 0.38            | 0.76 |
| Aegithinidae | Aegithina viridissima | Green Iora              | 1               | 0.95 | 0.19            | 0.38 |
| Nectarinidae | Anthreps malacensis | Brown-throated Sunbird    | 1               | 0.95 | 0.19            | 0.38 |
| Order          | Genus          | Species       | Common Name                | Abundance | Dominance | Evenness | Shannon-Wiener Index |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------------------|
| Picidae        | Dicaeidae      | Prionochilus  | thoracicus                | Scarlet-breasted Flowerpecker | 0.00 | 9.00 | 0.85 | 3.52 |
| Cuvidae        | Picidae        | Chrysocolaptes | gutturalis               | Greater Flameback            | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.66 | 3.02 |
| Accipitridae   | Accipitridae   | Phaenicophaeus | diardi                   | Black-bellied Mallaca        | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.57 | 3.48 |
| Megalaimidae   | Megalaimidae   | Nisaetus      | alboniger                 | Blyth's Hawk-eagle           | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.57 | 3.48 |
|                |                | Halastur      | indiae                   | Brahminy Kite                | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.57 | 3.48 |
|                |                | Megalaima     | haemacephala              | Copppersim Barbet           | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.57 | 3.48 |
| Campephagidae  | Campephagidae  | Pericrocotus  | divaricatus               | Ashy Minivet                 | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.47 | 2.82 |
| Alcedinidae    | Alcedinidae    | Alcedo        | athos                    | Common Kingfisher            | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.47 | 2.82 |
| Columbidae     | Columbidae     | Columba       | livia                    | Rock Pigeon                  | 0.00 | 4.00 | 0.38 | 2.38 |
| Accipitridae   | Accipitridae   | Batrastur     | indicus                  | Grey-faced Buzzard           | 0.00 | 5.00 | 0.47 | 2.82 |
| Accipitridae   | Accipitridae   | Centropus     | sinensis                 | Greater Coucal               | 0.00 | 4.00 | 0.38 | 2.38 |
|                |                | Nisaetus      | cirrhatus                | Changeable Hawk              | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.28 | 1.57 |
| Pelleorhinae   | Pelleorhinae   | Trichastoma   | rostratum                | White-chested Babber         | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.28 | 1.57 |
| Pycnonotidae   | Aplononotidae  | Pycnonotus    | brunneus                 | Asian Red-eyed Bulbul         | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 0.56 |
| Accipitridae   | Elanus         | axillaris     |                         | Black-shouldered Kite        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.98 |
| Ardeidae       | Ardea          | cinerea       |                         | Grey Heron                   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.88 |
| Accipitridae   | Accipitridae   | Pernis        | pilorhynchos              | Crested Honey Buzzard        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.69 |
| Ardeidae       | Ardeidae       | Ixobrychus    | cinnamomeus              | Cinnamon Bitttern             | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.69 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Dinopium      | javanense                | Common Flameback             | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.13 |
| Sturnidae      | Sturnidae      | Apalis        | parvayensis              | Asian Glossy Starling        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Laloge        | nigrina                  | Pied Triller                 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Dicrurus      | leucophaeus               | Ashy Drongo                  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| Columbidae     | Columbidae     | Treron        | vernans                  | Pink-necked Green Pigeon     | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Phaenicophaeus | xanthopygia              | Whiskered Treewill           | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Vanellus      | indicus                  | Red-wattled Lapwing          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Dendrocygna   | javanica                 | Lesser Whistling-duck        | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| Tytonidae      | Tytonidae      | Tyto          | alba                     | Common Barn Owl              | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| Corvidae       | Corvidae       | Corvus        | enca                     | Slender-billed Crow          | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.56 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Phaenicophaeus | xanthopygia              | Whiskered Treewill           | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Mycteria      | leucocephala             | Painted Stork                | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 |
| Picidae        | Picidae        | Microtornus   | brachyurus               | Rufous Woodpecker            | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| Dicaeidae      | Dicaeidae      | Dicaeum       | minullum                 | Plain Flowerpecker           | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| Ardeidae       | Ardeidae       | Batoridae     | striata                  | Little Heron                 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| Strigidae      | Strigidae      | Strix         | sceloputo                | Spotted Wood Owl             | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| Falconidae     | Falconidae     | Microhierax   |                         | Black-thighed               | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| Accipitridae   | Accipitridae   | Aplinura      | leucopygia               | Black Baza                   | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| Muscicapidae   | Muscicapidae   | Monticola     | solitaria                | Blue Rock Thrush             | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 |
| Dicruridae     | Dicruridae     | Dicrurus      | paradoxus                | Greater Racket-tailed Drongo | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| Anseridae      | Anseridae      | Anser         | platyrhynchus            | Yellow Bittern               | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |
| Pycnonotidae   | Pycnonotidae   | Pycnonotus    | eutilotus                | Puff-backed Bulbul           | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.19 |

**Comparison of Bird Diversity Indices in Oil Palm Plantations at Different Regions.** Analysis showed that the diversity of bird species in oil palm plantations at Sungai Pelek is more diverse (Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index H; 3.52) and richest in avian species (Margalef’s Richness Index R; 10.2) compared to both oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting-Jenajrom. However, avian species in both oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting-Jenajrom were most evenly distributed (Pielou’s Evenness Index E; 0.82) compared to the oil palm plantations in Sungai Pelek (Table 2).
Table 2. Diversity indices of bird species in oil palm plantations at three different regions in Selangor, Malaysia

| Habitat          | Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index | Margalef’s Richness Index | Pielou’s Evenness Index |
|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey | 2.96                           | 5.12                     | 0.82                   |
| Banting-Jenjarom | 3.05                           | 5.74                     | 0.82                   |
| Sungai Pelek     | 3.52                           | 10.2                     | 0.84                   |

5. DISCUSSION

Birds are bio-indicators of the health of ecosystems. They are more visible, easy to learn and are closely related to the vegetation structure. Birds often choose to utilize a variety of habitats and dependent on the quality and productivity of the habitat in terms of food availability, shelter, and breeding areas to maintain populations [19]. Monitoring bird association in monoculture plantations is important to understand the importance, productivity, and suitability of certain areas in influencing habitat selection and distribution of birds.

The number of bird species inventory in in the oil palm plantation at Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey, Banting-Jenjarom and Sungai Pelek was 37, 41 and 65 respectively. By referring to the result, Sungai Pelek has the highest species richness when compared to the other two areas. However, the total number of individuals at that area recorded a lowest at 531 compared to 1134 and 1057 in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting-Jenjarom. Therefore, we can say that the vicinity of the oil palm plantations at the Sungai Pelek provide better habitats for birds. Apart from close to the mangroves and Bagan Lalang Beach, the location of oil palm plantations is also close to the edge of forest. Moreover, residents in Sungai Pelek are active in planting activity including vegetables, fruits, as well as cassava and sweet potatoes. The vegetables gardens and orchards are cultivated massively not far from the oil palm plantations. There are also abandoned plantations between the oil palm plantations. Some of the plantations also have pond and drains that flows clean water. Based on [20], the characteristics of the adjacent landscapes of the oil palm plantation such as mangroves, forests, and near water bodies can promote high diversity and species richness due to the wide diversity of habitat characteristics and increase in prey availability. However, the observations hint that most of the species recorded in Sungai Pelek showed the behaviour of movement in oil palm plantation instead of foraging and nesting. This is the factor that causes the number of individuals observed in oil palm plantation at Sungai Pelek is lower than the other two places.

Food sources may regulate population distribution of bird species [21], and fruits abundance may affect species composition and foraging behaviour of frugivorous birds [22]. Opening gaps will increase shrub that often leads in growth of variety of flowers and fruits, which is the main diet for bird species. Tree diversity and richness may also affect the provision and utilization of the food and ultimately affect the distribution and diversity of birds. Based on [23], different bird species would be attracted to the different vegetation structure such as large area of seedlings, regenerating or early successional plants for their food sources [24]. For example, frugivorous birds are always concentrated where fruits are abundance, as their diet consisted of more than 50% of fruits [25]. From the observation, 24.4% from the total of 86 species of birds are frugivorous. [26] stated that deforestation causes gaps that enhance the growth of shrubs that would attract understorey bird species such as Common Tailorbird and Common Myna.

The results of a larger number of tailorbird, myna, dove, robin, and bulbul in oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Banting-Jenjarom shows that forest logging is one of the key determinants of richness effects and the distribution of this bird species [27]. We also can assume that these species of birds are not affected by the disturbance. These avian species are considered as open country birds and utilized open area such as parks, gardens, and plantations.

[23] reported that the diversity of songbird species will increased in harvested area. They often choose internal edges, clearings, and forest logging areas [28]. 44.2% of bird species discovered at the oil palm plantation in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey, Banting-Jenjarom, and Sungai Pelek were Passeriformes.
Contrarily, observation of several rarest species at oil palm plantation of Banting-Jenjarom and Sungai Pelek such as Asian Red-eyed Bulbul, Black Baza, Blue Rock Thrush, Greater Racket-tailed Drongo, Puff-backed Bulbul, and Yellow Bittern shows that these bird species are less resilience to disturbance and are habitat specialist.

Regarding the status of birds, there are 57 resident bird species, 15 resident and migratory bird species, 9 migratory bird species, 4 feral bird species, and 1 vagrant bird species observed at the oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey, Banting-Jenjarom, and Sungai Pelek. In the case of migratory birds, there are 5 species of migratory birds had been observed present at both oil palm plantations in Teluk Panglima Garang-Pulau Carey and Sungai Pelek, whereas 4 species of migratory birds had been observed at oil palm plantations in Banting-Jenjarom. This indicates that the numbers of bird species that benefit from the areas are almost the same.

Overall, these findings indicate that the bird community is dynamic and can change in relation to the deforestation, conversion from forest to monotonous plantation or the vicinity of the particular area. Deforestation creates canopy gaps by the removal of trees and the establishment of the plantation causes less plant diversity and hence influence the richness and diversity of birds through food sources, increased in nest predation, and brood parasitism [29]. Our result also suggest that, despite the relatively oil palm plantation is adjacent to the mangrove, oil palm plantations are relatively impermeable habitat for many species of birds, including species of high conservation value. For more effective conservation of birds in oil palm plantations, the larger forest patches are needed in the landscapes. Moreover, whenever possible, more oil palm plantations should be developed adjacent to one or more larger continuous areas of forest, which could be a source of habitat. This further indicates that in order to increase the value of forest patches for bird diversity and conservation in oil palm plantations, quality of habitat is one of the key factors that needed to be repaired.

6. CONCLUSION

The vegetation structure and the vicinity on that particular area may affect the distribution of bird species. There are bird species identified of which listed as Nearly Threatened (NT) at oil palm plantations in Banting-Jenjarom and Sungai Pelek based on [30]. The species are Green Iora (Aegithina viridissima), Scarlet-breasted Flowerpecker (Prionochilus thoracicus), Black-bellied Malkoha (Phaenicophaeus diardi), White-chested Babbler (Trichastoma rostratum), Streaked Bulbul (Ixos malaccensis), Painted Stork (Mycteria leucocephala), and Puff-backed Bulbul (Pycnonotus eutilotus). Those species should therefore have high priority for conservation and monitoring. The inventories of bird species in the oil palm plantation may be useful in predicting the bird changes under various human disturbances, and ultimately protecting the Malaysian avifauna.
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