Morphological characteristic and physical environment of *Terminalia catappa* in East Kalimantan, Indonesia
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Abstract. Marjenah, Putri NP. 2017. Morphological characteristic and physical environment of *Terminalia catappa* in East Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Asian J For* 1: 33-39. Tropical almond (*Terminalia catappa*) is a large, spreading tree distributed throughout the tropics in coastal environments. The tree is tolerant of strong winds, salt spray, and moderately high salinity in the root zone. It is widely planted throughout the tropics, especially along sandy seashores, for shade, ornamental purposes, and edible nuts. The timber can be made into a useful and decorative general-purpose hardwood and is well suited for conversion into furniture and interior building timbers. Fruits are produced from about 3 years of age. The leaves change color from green to red, yellow or gold and copper brown during the dry season and then are shed. *Terminalia catappa* L. belongs to the family Combretaceae. This study aims to determine the morphological characteristics and physical environment of *T. catappa*. Habitat and to obtain *T. catappa* Linn. tree that produces the best biodiesel. The research object was a *T. catappa* trees planted as shade trees on the side of road in three regency/cities (Balikpapan, Samarinda, and Kutai Kartanegara), that are categorized in pursuance of the height above sea level. Data collected from each tree are Physical Environmental and Morphological Characteristics. The flushing, flowering, and fruiting are also observed, to determine the fruit/seed produced by a *T. catappa* tree.
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INTRODUCTION

Tropical almond (*Terminalia catappa* L.) is a large, spreading tree distributed throughout the tropics in coastal environments. The tree is tolerant of strong winds, salt spray, and moderately high salinity in the root zone. It grows principally in freely drained, well-aerated, sandy soils. The species has traditionally been very important for coastal communities, providing a wide range of non-wood products and services. It has a spreading, fibrous root system and plays a vital role in coastline stabilization. It is widely planted throughout the tropics, especially along sandy seashores, for shade, ornamental purposes, and edible nuts (Akpakpan and Akpabio 2012). The timber makes a useful and decorative general-purpose hardwood and is well suited for conversion into furniture and interior building timbers. Fruits are produced from tree about 3 years of age, and the nutritious, tasty seed kernels may be eaten immediately after extraction (Thomson and Evans 2014).

The leaves change their color from green to red, yellow or gold and copper brown during the dry season and then they fell off. *T. catappa* Linn. belongs to the family Combretaceae (*Combretum* family) and is locally called ‘Abrofonkate” (Whiteman's peanut). The fruits of the *T. catappa* tree are abundant in the tropics, and are grown for ornamental purposes. The plant forms layers of canopy and branches which bear clusters of fruits. Also known as Almond fruit, this fruit has membranous epicarp, succulent mesocarp, and fibrous endocarp enclosing the seed. The *T. catappa* tree has a conservative estimated yield of 75 kg of fruits per year, which has long been wasted in the field (Apta 2011).

*Terminalia catappa* is used primarily as an ornamental tree, as a shade tree, and as a meal and medicinal herb for its fruits and seeds. The leaves are arranged in close spirals. The leaf blade is simple broadly obovate, the leaf top is round and obtuse, gradually oval to a narrowing substrate base. The tree is slightly deciduous during dry season, and in some environments may lose its leaves twice a year (Thomson and Evans 2006). It is perennial tree reaching a height of 15-25 m and about 9 m in width of its symmetrical canopy. They have shiny deciduous, obovate green leaves that are arranged in close spirals and turn red or copper-gold before falling. The greenish-yellow leaves are clustered in axillary spikes, small, and inconspicuous. It usually commences flowering within 2-3 years of out planting but this may vary with site and genotype (Oboh, et al. 2008). The fruits which consist of the epicarp, fleshy mesocarp, stony mesocarp and kernel are ovoid in shape, laterally compressed with various sizes and colors at maturity (Thomson and Evans 2006). The *T. catappa* tree produces fruits that pulp is fibrous, sweet and edible when ripe. The fruit is widely eaten by children as forage snack with the nuts and seeds often discarded (Henn et al. 2014). In the Bahamas, children seek out the trees with the most palatable fruits and these are the ones with the most...
colorful skin and with reddish or pink tones extending through the flesh corky surface of the “nut” (Morton 1985).

The altitudinal distribution of plant species over the earth’s surface could be described as phytogeography, which tends to reflect the dynamic trend of species richness and diversity. Such trend of amplitude does imply biological barrier that creates biotic difference on the natural biota with variation in the morpho-anatomical structures in relation to agro-climatic and environmental influences that dictate such macrophytic amplitude (Edwins-Wosu, et al. 2013). Variation in species richness in relation to species-latitude and species-area relationship with elevation has been known for over a century.

Terminalia catappa are semi-deciduous trees, Raju et al. (2012) recorded information that T. catappa changes foliage twice a year, once during February-March and the second during June-August, each time with the shedding leaves and new foliage puts forth. Several studies have found a decreasing trend in species richness with increasing elevation, whereas others found a hump-shaped relationship between species richness and elevation. This argument is further supported by the fact that landscapes are never static, and their elements are in permanent temporal and spatial flux. The elevation gradient in species richness pattern is commonly explained by similar factors such as climatic, productivity, and other energy-related factors (Wosu, et al. 2013).

It has been observed that elevation gradient and related climatic factors contribute important insight into developing a general theory of species diversity. In spite of the information on the phytogeographical trend of T. catappa and species, data on its ecological amplitude or diversity distribution are either not available or scanty. In light of this inadequacy, the objectives of this research were to determine the growth of T. catappa at altitude of places from different elevation and the relationship among morphological characteristic and physical environmental characteristic.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

One hundred and eighteen trees were randomly selected from three locations in East Kalimantan Province, namely Balikpapan City, Samarinda City, and Kutai Kartanegara Regency. T. catappa trees are selected as research objects trees that grow solitary planted on the roadside as shade plants, because in East Kalimantan there are not stand of T. catappa that is planted as manmade forest. Data on morphological characteristics such as leaf length and width, seed length and width, mesocarp, and kernel were recorded during January-May 2017. Length and width of leaf measurements were taken using metric rule, leaf area using a square centimeter unit while weights were determined using a weighing balance.

Collection and preparation of samples

At sampling locations (Samarinda, Tenggarong, Balikpapan) 118 trees of T. catappa were determined as research objects. The sampling stages are as follows: (i) Determining the elevation of the place from sea level (asl); (ii) Measuring T. catappa tree and determining the tree with minimum10 cm diameter at a height of 1.3 m from the ground as sample; (iii) Measuring the width of the crown which covers projections according to each of the four main points to the compass (North, South, East, and West); 4) Observing the color of leaves; 5) Measuring length, width of leaves, petiole and leaf area.

Data collection

Morphological characteristics

Tree data: location, height, diameter at breast height, canopy shape/width of header. Leaves: leaf area, length, and width of leaves, leaf color (old, young), leaf texture, petiole angle, primary branch angle. Fruit: fruit shape, old fruit color, tip/base of fruit, diameter and length of fruit stalk (cm), period of flowering/fruit, length/width/circumference of fruit, fruit weight.

Physical environmental characteristics

Observations/measurements of temperature and humidity of air in the field/under the canopy are done once in each sample tree using thermohydrometer; Measurement of light intensity is performed on every tree sample using Illuminometer; Topography and Soil, in each sample tree measured altitude of place and position, slope of land and soil pH.

To know the growth and productivity of T. catappa plants at various elevations, they are grouped into 9 groups of elevation, namely: 0-10 m asl; 11-20 m asl; 21-30 m asl; 31-40 m asl; 41-50 m asl; 51-60 m asl; 61-70 m asl; 71-80 m above sea level; And 81 -> 90 m asl.

All the experiments were expressed as mean ± SD (standard deviation). Statistical comparisons were performed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Differences were considered significant at p<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The research on T. catappa trees in three regencies and cities obtained 118 trees belonging to the elevation 0 -> 90 m asl. Distribution of trees that become the object of research and elevation is shown in the following table:

Table 1. The spread of object tree of research based on elevation (m asl)

| Elevation (m asl) | Number of trees |
|------------------|-----------------|
| 0-10             | 17              |
| 11-20            | 30              |
| 21-30            | 20              |
| 31-40            | 15              |
| 41-50            | 4               |
| 51-60            | 15              |
| 61-70            | 5               |
| 71-80            | 5               |
| 81- > 90         | 7               |
| Total            | 118             |
Result showed that there was a width variation in most of the characters examined. Variations in a plant can be observed from various aspects. One is a morphological feature that is easily observed by the naked eye or is called macromorphologically. Macromorphological characters as taxonomic evidence have advantages. Although there is other taxonomic evidence such as micromorphology, anatomy, biochemistry, etc., but they are generally uneven and completed for the taxon group, moreover they are not as detailed as they are, and also their numbers are inadequate, so their uses to construct a classification system are generally less efficient because they are difficult to coordinate. Therefore, for everyday purposes, the criteria of morphological characters continue to be the main focus of determination activities, the establishment, and the preparation of a practical classification system (Setyawan 1999). The variation of quantitative characters of *T. catappa* trees is shown in Table 2.

Results showed that there was a width variation on most of the characters examined. Leaf length range 19.5 to 42.5 cm with a mean value of 29.7 cm better than in South Western Nigeria which has leaf length of 8.58 cm to 17.3 cm with a mean 14.2 cm (Oboh, et al. 2008). Tropical almond tree leaves are quite big. Usually, they are thick and leathery with an ovoid shape and glossy dark green color. Before shedding its leaves to survive the dry season, the Tropical almond tree will retract the valuable green pigmentation, leaving the leaves pinkish-reddish or yellow-brown (Figure 1).

Traditionally, only the fallen leaves of *T. catappa* are boiled or brewed as a drink (tea). These leaves have been used in folk medicine as an antidiarrheal and antipyretic in India, Philippines, and Malaysia. In Taiwan, it has been used to prevent liver tumors and to treat hepatitis (dos-Santos, et al. 2016).

Shedding of the leaves takes place twice a year, first on January/February/March and second, on July/August/September. Such 'autumn leaves' are very rare in the tropics. After the crown is bare, all the twigs develop new leaves and the tree is fresh green. The tree then flowers after the new leaves have developed. According to Hayward (1990) about the flushing mark during flowering, especially from October to January, it is claimed that the reddish change and leaf-shedding was driven by drizzling rain due to relative humidity, although this relationship is not measured. *T. catappa* leaf color changes were identified during research activity and it is shown in Figure 2.

Along with the appearance of new leaves, generative shoots are also beginning to come out. Many tiny white flowers emerge on long spikes. The Almond tree produces drupe fruits, just like the true almond and many other famous plants, e.g. mango, date, and coffee. A drupe is a fruit characterized by having an outer fleshy part that wraps a shell inside containing a seed. In drupes, the hard shell, commonly referred to as pit, develops from the ovary wall of the flower. A *T. catappa* is andromonoecious and its inflorescences bear bisexual flowers at the bottom and stamine ones above. Three types of inflorescence occur and this classification is based on their length. Of all the three types of inflorescence, 30% bear only male flowers whereas the rest bears both bisexual and male flowers (Raju 2012).

**Table 2.** Range of variation in quantitative characters of *Terminalia catappa* L.

| Characters                        | Range          | Mean ± SD    |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Leaf length (cm)                  | 19.5-42.5      | 29.7 ± 5.4   |
| Leaf width (cm)                   | 10.3-21.0      | 16.1 ± 3.0   |
| Leaf area (cm²)                   | 149.0-508.0    | 311.8 ± 105.5|
| Petiole length (cm)               | 1.0-3.5        | 1.9 ± 0.6    |
| Fruit length (cm)                 | 4.46-6.71      | 5.8 ± 0.6    |
| Fruit width (cm)                  | 3.32-4.19      | 3.9 ± 0.3    |
| Fruit circumference 1 (cm)        | 10.65-15.50    | 14.0 ± 1.38  |
| Fruit circumference 2 (cm)        | 9.8-12.55      | 11.0 ± 0.65  |
| Fresh fruit weight (g)            | 16.43-30.55    | 25.0 ± 4.29  |
| Dry seed weight (g)               | 5.06-7.33      | 6.0 ± 0.76   |
| Number of fruit/kg                | 33-64          | 43 ± 9       |
| Stem diameter (cm)                | 18.2-61.4      | 33.9 ± 11.7  |
| Branching angle (°)               | 20-85          | 61 ± 20      |
| Total height (m)                  | 6.9-23.8       | 14.0 ± 5.0   |
| Bole height (m)                   | 1.1-6.6        | 3.0 ± 1.0    |
| Canopy height (m)                 | 2.7-21.3       | 11.0 ± 4.0   |
| Canopy radius (m)                 | 4.7-11.3       | 7.6 ± 1.5    |
| Crown cover area (m²)             | 67.9-397.6     | 188.1 ± 70.6 |

![Figure 1. Phase of leaf color change (Photograph by Marjenah 2017). A. 7.5 GY 4/5: Dull green, B. 7.5 YR 7/8: Dull yellowish-orange, C. 7.5 RP 4/10: Strong red-purple](image)
The fruit is 4.46 to 6.71 cm length, 3.32 to 4.19 cm width, ellipsoid, more pointed at the apex than at the base, slightly flattened, with a prominent base around both sides and at the tip, whereby this form contributes to its ability to float in a long distance in the sea. A seed will be found inside the fruit and a fully ripe seed is edible. It tastes similar to almond, hence it is named like that. The seed is ready to eat when the fruit turns red. Just like many other fruits and berries, the almond tree fruit is green at first, then yellow, and finally red when ripe (Figure 3).

Table 2 shows the morphological variation of some T. catappa plants associated with the elevation of the growing place. The exploration and identification of T. catappa plants are still needed to inventory the potential of its germplasm as well as the development of cultivation technology and the further processing of its production. The economic benefits of T. catappa trees are still unknown by the community, but the ecological benefit is known i.e. as a shade plant. The fruits that are yellow to reddish when ripe are important for researcher to identify their specific functions. Therefore, ecological characterization, physiology, morphology and even molecular of T. catappa plant is very necessary, especially since in Indonesia generally and east Kalimantan especially, the plants of T. catappa are only planted as shade plants on the edge of the road. T. catappa has not been utilized as a forestry plant but to be grown in Plantation Forest only.

The trees that grow solitary in the open area (Figure 4) produce more seeds than those that grow in close areas. This extraordinary capacity to produce more beans is likely due to the availability of large amount of carbohydrates as a result of the high photosynthetic activity in the open area. The crops may be affected by environmental factors and this may limit the use of morphological characters especially since they tend to carry over the effect of climatic factors.

Morphological characteristics of T. catappa trees which are grouped based on elevation are shown in Table 3. From the result of statistical analysis of T. catappa morphological characteristics at various elevations, it is known that the growth of stem diameter, angle of branching, and width of canopy closure showed no significant results. Meanwhile, the analysis on stem height and radius of canopy showed highly significant results. The effect of plant canopy on the microclimate is, directly and indirectly, related to the existence of crowns and stems. Branches and leaves reflect the sunray and absorb some of the solar radiation during the day, allowing less energy to reach the ground below the canopy (Arx 2012).

Elevation is one of the most influential factors on crop growth and yield. There is a close relationship between elevation and climate especially air temperature. The lower elevation the higher the air temperature. High or low air temperature is closely related to the irradiation conditions in the area. Light intensity acceptance as a growth factor is strongly influenced by this competition. The reduction of light intensity is usually followed by a decrease in the number of branches of the plant. Because of low light intensity, the plant grows higher, since the results of Photosynthesis used for establishment of branches are only in small amount, consequently, the number of branches are small too. Increasing light intensity will increase the
process of photosynthesis in plants, because sunlight is a source of energy for photosynthesis.

Leaf area is the morphological characteristic commonly used to determine the development of the canopy. Leaf canopy structure, especially of leaf angle, is relative to vertical line. Plants with horizontal leaves proceed 30–40% of the light coming through each unit of index of leaf area, while the upright leaves can proceed 45–65% of the light. With leaves that are perpendicular to sunlight on bright sunlight, the growth rate of the crop will be theoretically enhanced by the spreading of the more evenly distributed light in the canopy with upright leaves (Goldsworthy and Fisher 1992).

Information on physical environmental characteristics grouped by elevation is shown in Table 4. Physical environment characteristics observed are light intensity, air temperature, relative humidity, soil temperature and pH. Statistical analysis of physical environment characteristics of T. catappa trees based on elevation shows that the light intensity and relative humidity are not significant. On the other hand, air temperature, soil temperature, and pH are highly significant.

**Figure 3.** Flower and fruit of tropical almond in Tenggarong, Kutai Kartanegara regency, East Kalimantan, Indonesia (Photograph by Marjenah 2017)

**Table 3.** Morphological characteristics of Terminalia trees grouped base on elevation

| Elevation (m asl) | Number of trees | Diameter (cm) range average | Angle of branching (°) range average | Height (m) range average | Radius of canopy (m) range average | Wide of canopy closure (m²) range average |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 0-10             | 17              | 17.9-50.3                     | 30-84                                 | 6.9-22.9                | 3.3-8.0                           | 8.7-202.3                               |
|                  |                 | 30.5 ± 9.95                  | 58.4 ± 19.70                         | 15.7 ± 4.53             | 5.7 ± 1.43                        | 80.1 ± 69.90                            |
| 11-20            | 30              | 20.8-50.9                     | 26-86                                 | 8.9-26.2                | 2.7-8.7                           | 5.8-261.6                               |
|                  |                 | 30.7 ± 9.58                  | 54.6 ± 19.78                         | 16.8 ± 5.16             | 5.4 ± 1.95                        | 102.8 ± 86.5                            |
| 21-30            | 20              | 12.8-52.7                    | 25-87                                 | 7.7-22.1                | 3.1-8.8                           | 7.5-244.0                               |
| 31-40            | 15              | 26.7 ± 11.33                 | 57.9 ± 23.01                         | 13.8 ± 3.97             | 5.3 ± 1.63                        | 78.3 ± 69.55                            |
| 41-50            | 4               | 16.2-58.6                    | 30-85                                 | 6.4-27.1                | 3.7-8.5                           | 10.6-227.0                              |
| 51-60            | 15              | 30.3 ± 12.22                 | 54.0 ± 18.42                         | 16.1 ± 5.63             | 6.0 ± 1.65                        | 104.7 ± 80.36                           |
| 61-70            | 5               | 20.2-48.4                    | 30-90                                 | 12.2-15.8               | 4.6-7.3                           | 16.8-82.5                               |
| 71-80            | 5               | 28.6 ± 13.3                  | 47.5 ± 28.7                          | 14.3 ± 1.5              | 6.0 ± 1.3                         | 44.6 ± 27.5                             |
| 81-90            | 7               | 32.3 ± 13.0                  | 58.0 ± 16.5                          | 15.9 ± 4.6              | 7.0 ± 2.0                         | 159.5 ± 93.8                            |
| 91-100           | 5               | 25.6 ± 3.5                   | 34.8 ± 4.1                           | 14.8 ± 1.4              | 5.3 ± 1.3                         | 91.1 ± 40.0                             |
|                  |                 | 32.3 ± 5.5                   | 63.2 ± 26.2                          | 15.4 ± 5.3              | 7.1 ± 0.8                         | 158.4 ± 34.3                            |
| 101-150          | 7               | 21.1-54.0                    | 36-83                                 | 7.9-24.3                | 3.6-14.0                          | 3.6-14.0                                |
|                  |                 | 32.9 ± 13.4                  | 63.2 ± 23.1                          | 14.7 ± 6.4              | 7.4 ± 4.1                         | 203.7 ± 186.4                           |

Fcal 1.25 0.10 8.48 10.91 0.002
Ftab(0.05) 2.45
Ftab(0.01) 3.49
Significance ns ns ** ** ns
Table 4. Physical environment characteristics of Terminalia catappa as research object

| Elevation (m asl) | Number of trees | Light intensity (%) range average | Air temperature (°C) range average | Relative humidity (%) range average | Soil temperature (°C) range average | pH | Range average |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----|--------------|
| 0-10             | 17             | 12.5-33.2                       | 28.8-31.4                          | 72-95                              | 26-28                              | 6-9 |              |
| 11-20            | 30             | 26.8-59.1                       | 28.9-34.1                          | 81-90                              | 26-28                              | 7.5 | ± 1.5        |
| 21-30            | 20             | 44 ± 14                         | 29.7 ± 2.6                         | 85.5 ± 14                          | 27.9 ± 1.4                         | 7 | ± 2        |
| 31-40            | 15             | 12.2-70.9                       | 26-33.1                            | 74-82                              | 26-32                              | 5-12 |              |
| 41-50            | 4              | 35.7 ± 20.1                     | 28.8 ± 2.7                         | 79.6 ± 3.3                         | 27.7 ± 2                           | 7.3 | ± 2.4        |
| 51-60            | 15             | 11.5-40.8                       | 26-31.6                            | 74.3 ± 4.0                         | 27.3 ± 0.6                         | 7.8 | ± 0.8        |
| 61-70            | 5              | 28.9 ± 9.2                      | 28.8 ± 2.6                         | 82 ± 7.7                           | 28.2 ± 1.2                         | 6.6 | ± 2.6        |
| 71-80            | 5              | 20.5 ± 23                       | 28.8 ± 2.5                         | 81 ± 9.5                           | 26.8 ± 1.0                         | 6 | ± 2.4        |
| 81->90           | 7              | 13.0-49.5                       | 26-29                              | 75-90                              | 28-29                              | 5-10 |              |
| Fcal             | 0.14           |                                |                                    | 0.72                               | 20.90                              | 23.37 |              |
| Ftab (0.05)      |                |                                |                                    |                                    | 2.45                               |      |              |
| Ftab (0.01)      |                |                                |                                    |                                    | 3.49                               |      |              |
| Significance     | ns             |                                |                                    | ns                                 | ns                                 | ** |              |
|                  | **             |                                |                                    | **                                 | **                                 |      |              |

Elevation is one of the most influential factors on growth and crop yield. There is a close relationship between elevation and climate i.e. air temperature. The lower the altitude of a place from the surface of the sea, the higher the air temperature. Groundwater and enough nutrients will cause an increase in metabolic activity of the plant. This will support the growth of the plant, so that the crop yield or quantity will increase. The process of photosynthesis, respiration and transpiration will also increase (if there were no limiting factor) At higher temperatures. The air temperature will drop at a higher altitude.

The average air temperature in the low area is 29.8 ± 1.06°C while in high elevation areas (> 90 m asl) is 28 ± 1.4°C. According to Ewusie (1990), air temperature decreased to follow altitude on high mountains. In the tropics the average temperature decreases about 0.4°C for every 100 m increase. The occurrence of decreased air temperature related to escalation of elevation. The temperature difference is assumed that there are also differences in growth and phenological characteristics.

The crops may be affected by environmental factors and this may limit the use of morphological characters especially since they tend to carry over the effect of climatic factors. Weather conditions affect seed production by influencing the formation of flower buds and existing flowers. High temperatures, sufficient light, and groundwater cause photosynthesis and accumulation of high carbohydrates and it is good for many seed productions. The long rainy season during the flowering season may decrease the production of seeds by inhibiting the spread of pollen, resulting in less pollination. Cloudy weather can cause falling on young fruits, as it reduces the activity of photosynthesis and lowers the supply of carbohydrates. Wetlands facilitate the absorption of nitrogen and other nutrients.

Soil temperature and pH are closely related to the growth of plant roots. Soil temperature greatly affects the ability of water absorption by plant roots. The absorption of water by the roots will increase as the soil temperature increases. Water absorption on tropical plants occurs at a temperature of 5-70 degrees Celsius. The distinction appears because of the ability of plant adaptation. Extremely high temperatures will lead to disruption of plants’ physiological activity, e.g. stopping of photosynthesis, respiration, and enzymatic activity; so the plants will require no water. Low soil temperatures will decrease the rate of roots water absorption, due to reduced transpiration, drastic soil temperature changes inflict water viscosity in cell membranes, therefore, it affects the physiological activity of root cells.

Soil pH at research area ranged from 4.0 to 12.0. According to Gardner (1991), soil pH beyond the 5.0-8.0 range potentially had a direct effect in preventing root growth. In such a range, as it is found in most field conditions, the influence is usually indirect. Soil pH of less than 6.0 increases Al, Mg, and Fe, which can be toxic, and can reduce root growth.

In conclusion, there is a difference in the character/diversity of T. catappa plants due to differences in altitude, elevation, and the size of photosynthetic parts of plants. Shedding of the leaves takes place twice a year, the first is on January/February/March and the second is on
July/August/September. The growth of new shoots (vegetative) is usually followed by the emergence of generative shoots.
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