Outcome of UV-B exposure and induction of some chlorophyll phenodeviants in two important hepatoprotective ethnomedicinal wild plants
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Abstract
Present piece of work has been performed with an aim to engender genetic variations in Andrographis paniculata (Burm.f.) Nees and Phyllanthus niruri L. since both plants own low or very poor genetic variations due to wild nature. A. paniculata and P. niruri both are magnificent hepatoprotective wild medicinal plants which have been used since ancient times as an ethnomedicine to cure several common and chronic ailments with the high competence and less side effects. UV-B radiations induce mutations because they are absorbed by major biomolecule predominantly by proteins and nucleic acids chiefly DNA. Owing to enormous potential as herbal medicines, both plants i.e. Andrographis and Phyllanthus have been selected for mutation breeding experiments using Ultraviolet-B radiations (UV-B) as a mutagen. When germinating seedlings of A. paniculata and P. niruri were reached up to 1–3 cm, they were treated with UV-B radiations for 0 min, 10 min, 20 min and 30 min with a recovery period of one hour at room temperature and were planted in earthen pots in triplicates. During observations, significant variations in growth and pigment content have been observed in both plants (A. paniculata and P. niruri) in a dose based manner. A wide spectrum of chlorophyll phenodeviants (chlorophyll deficient mutants) in M2 generation such as xantha, xanthoviridis, alboviridis, virscent and chlorina mutants in A. paniculata and variegated plant, xanthoviridis, xantha and albino mutants in P. niruri have also been observed. Out of all the chlorophyll mutants obtained, few were lethal hence not survived later, while rest were survived till different stages of development. On the basis of occurrence of chlorophyll phenodeviants in Andrographis and Phyllanthus, mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency of different doses of UV-B rays have been indexed. The practice of indexing of effectiveness and efficiency of any mutagen is being used for the successful execution of mutation breeding programs to find the optimum dose that may facilitate induction of a multitude of other lucrative mutations.
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Introduction
In spite of tremendous strides in modern medicine, there are hardly any drugs that stimulate liver function, offer protection to the liver from damage or help regeneration of hepatic cell (Vishal 2013). However, herbal and botanical medicines formed from Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Nees, Phyllanthus niruri L. and other medicinal plants such as Silybum marianum, Terminalia chebula and Glycyrrhiza glabra L. (Tewari et al. 2017) have been extensively used in the traditional system of medicine for the management of liver disorder (hepatoprotection). Hence there is nothing wrong in saying ‘Green Medicine’ to all herbal drug-producing plants (Nearing 1985) among them two important hepatoprotective ethnomedicinal wild plants, namely Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Nees and Phyllanthus niruri L., have been selected for the present study. Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Nees (Family-Acanthaceae) is reported as occurring wild throughout tropical
India, and occasionally in cultivation (Sharma 2010). All aerial parts of this plant are used in traditional remedies due to astringent and anti-bacterial properties and is useful in the treatment of diabetes, influenza, bronchitis, hepatomegaly, skin disorder and many such diseases (Patra et al. 2004; Wong 2019), more specifically as hepatic stimulant and hepatoprotective agent along with other liver disorders and jaundice (Kapil et al. 1993; Tewari et al. 2017). *Andrographis* is also an incredible immunomodulator herb beneficial for relieving the symptoms of acute upper respiratory tract infections and could be used as immunity booster during the era of COVID-19 pandemic. Another important ethnomedical hepatoprotective herb taken into consideration is *P. niruri* L., which belongs to Euphorbiaceae family. This genus has been used since ancient times to treat a broad spectrum of diseases such as jaundice (liver disease), dysentery, dropsy, gonorrhea, menorrhagia, mild fever, stomach-ache, children’s coughs, and more specifically the hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection, bone disorders, diabetes, intestinal infections and disturbance of the kidney and urinary bladder (Bagalkotkar et al. 2006).

Though sunlight is obligatory for photosynthesis and survival of plants, it also represents one of the major threats to their genomic integrity (Kataria 2017) due to enhanced UV-B radiation. Enhanced UV-B radiation is absorbed by a range of components within the cell, including proteins, nucleic acids, and pigments and hence could be mutagenic. Depending on the amount of UV-B absorbed, this can result in damage to proteins and DNA resulting in delayed growth and/or cell death or induction of altered traits (Tobin 2002).

Due to mutagenic properties, UV-B radiations can be applied for increasing genetic variability in several plants including economically important cereals, oilseed, ornamental and medicinal. These mutations could have been used to enhance the genetic variability via cytogenetic manipulation (chromosome can be moved, added and replaced), and could be utilized not only to increase the productivity of economically important plants but also for basic studies in various other plants; without halting the other developmental processes of treated ones (Chopra and Sharma 1985).

In general, the mutant genes of leaf color mutants or chlorophyll phenodeviants can directly or indirectly affect the pigments synthesis, degradation, content and proportion, which can block photosynthesis and lead to abnormal leaf color (Zhao et al. 2020). Leaf color mutants play an important role in the research of photosynthetic mechanisms, the chlorophyll biosynthesis pathway, chloroplast development and genetic control mechanisms (Chen et al. 2005; Tanaka and Tanaka 2006). These chlorophyll phenodeviants (chlorophyll deficient mutants) have been successfully used as genetic markers in plant breeding programs for obtaining information regarding the role and effect of different mutagens to find out the response of a particular genotype to a particular mutagen (Wani et al. 2011). Several authors have reported chlorophyll mutations in *M₂* generation in various crops (Wani et al. 2011; Kolar et al. 2011; Li et al. 2013; Wani 2017; Goyal et al. 2019).

The present investigation using two tremendously important hepatoprotective ethno-medicinal wild medicinal plants namely *Andrographis paniculata* (Burm F.) Nees., and *Phyllanthus niruri* L., has been undertaken with an aim to study the impact of the different time duration of UV-B irradiations in inducing phenodeviants (mutants) through mutagenesis.

### Material and methods

#### Seeds procurement

Inbred seeds of *A. paniculata* (Kalmegh) have been procured from Birsa Agricultural University (BAU), Ranchi, and seeds of *P. niruri* L. have been provided by Dr. Anurag Mishra (MD, Ayurvedic Science).

#### Experiment details

For the treatment of UV-B rays, healthy and dry seeds of *A. paniculata* and *P. niruri* L were surface sterilized by soaking in a solution of 70% ethanol for one min and immediately rinsing three to four times with sterilized distilled water. Sterilized seeds were placed in petriplates lined with filter paper and were positioned in seed germinator (Metrex scientific instrument Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, India) at 28±2 °C temperature and 75% relative humidity. Germinating seedlings of both *A. paniculata* and *P. niruri* L. attaining a height of 1–3 cm were ready for UV-B exposure. The UV-B cabinet was equipped with UV-B lamps (λ=280 nm to 320 nm, superior ultraviolet florescence cabinet-CX-20 equipped with internal 8 W tubes). Then, germinating seedlings of both the test plants were placed in UV-B cabinet and exposed to three time duration of UV-B rays i.e. 10 min, 20 min and 30 min. After treatment all the irradiated seedlings were placed in seed germinator, for recovery for at least one hour and then were planted in earthen pots in triplicates. Replicates were arranged in completely randomized block design (CRBD).

#### Screening of chlorophyll phenodeviants

Variations in the various kinds of chlorophyll phenodeviants of *A. paniculata* and *P. niruri* L. were screened out in *M₂* generation of the UV-B irradiated growing seedlings, up to the vegetative phase. The number and types of chlorophyll phenodeviants at different time durations were recorded for further analysis.
**Estimation of the mutagenic frequency, effectiveness, and efficiency**

The frequency of mutation ($M_f$) was calculated as a percentage of mutated $M_2$ plants segregated among all the $M_2$ plant sown while mutation effectiveness and efficiency were calculated on the basis of formula proposed by Konzak et al. (1965).

\[
\text{Mutagenic Effectiveness} = \frac{M_f}{\text{dose}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{Mutagenic efficiency} = \frac{M_f}{I} \text{ or } \frac{M_f}{L} \text{ or } \frac{M_f}{S}
\]

Here I, L and S are the Biological damage recorded at different doses of UV-B radiation where...I = Percentage of injury or reduction in seedling germination, L = Percentage of lethality or reduction in survival, S = Percentage of pollen sterility.

**Estimation of Chlorophyll and Carotenoid content**

For the extraction of pigments content ($\mu$g/mg FW), Lichtenthaler and Wellburn, 1983 method was adopted and the absorption spectrum of different pigments was recorded at wavelength corresponding to 663 nm, 646 nm and 470 nm, respectively, using Eppendorf BioSpectrometer® kinetic (Germany).

Chlorophyll a

\[
\text{Chlorophyll a (ug FW)} = \frac{12.25(A_{663}) - 2.79(A_{646}) \times \text{volume (ml)}}{\text{weight of leaf tissue (mg)}}
\]

Chlorophyll b

\[
\text{Chlorophyll b (ug FW)} = \frac{21.5(A_{646}) - 5.1(A_{663}) \times \text{volume (ml)}}{\text{weight of leaf tissue (mg)}}
\]

Carotenoids

\[
\text{Carotenoids (ug FW)} = \frac{[1000(A_{470}) - 1.82(Chl \ a) - 85.02(Chl \ b)]/198 \times \text{volume (ml)}}{\text{weight of leaf tissue (mg)}}
\]

**Results**

The present piece of work encompasses observations in $M_2$ generation of UV-B rays (280–320 nm) mutagenized two hepatoprotective wild medicinal plants which has been categorized in the following subheads-

**Effect on growth characters (germination and survival percentage)**

The preliminary impact of UV-B rays has been observed in terms of variation in germination and survival percentage (Table 1). Studies on the UV-B exposed seedlings of $M_2$ generation of *A. paniculata* (Kalmegh) shows maximum germination percentage at 20 min duration of UV-B treatment and recorded to be as 95.54 ± 0.69% which was more than that of control (93.89 ± 0.47 %) while minimum germination % was noticed as 88.27 ± 2.64% at 30 min duration. Maximum survival percentage in kalmegh was found insignificant at 10 min duration. However, in *P. niruri* L., 10min of UV-B exposure time shows maximum germination percentage (97.44 ± 0.49%) and maximum survival percentage in control and recorded to be 94.81 ± 0.23%.

**Effects on photosynthetic pigments**

Data analysis revealed the significant impact of UV-B radiations on chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and carotenoid content.

Chlorophyll a. In *A. paniculata* significant increase in chlorophyll a content was recorded at 20min duration of radiation while, rest two doses showed a dose based reduction in their values as compared to control. In *Phyllanthus* 20min duration followed by 10 min duration L. possessed higher chlorophyll content over control. A 30 min exposure time of UV-B rays curtailed down the amount of chlorophyll a in both plants significantly (Graph 1 and 2).

Chlorophyll b: All the doses of treated sets possessed an increment in chlorophyll b content in *A. paniculata* as
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compared to control (Graph 1) and maximum accessory pigment content was recorded at 20 min duration of UV-B rays treatment. In *P. niruri* L. significant reduction in the chlorophyll b content was recorded at 30 min exposure of UV-B rays.

**Carotenoid:** In *Andrographis* 20 min. duration had higher content of carotenoid while in *Phyllanthus* none of the doses showed increment over control. The lowest content of the pigment was recorded at 30 min duration in kalmegh. A different trend of significant reduction and increment in carotenoid content was observed at 20 min duration of UV-B treatment in *P. niruri* L. and *A. paniculata*, respectively as compared to control.

**Induced chlorophyll phenodeviants procured in M2 generation**

The phenotypic selection for mutant was not done in the M1 generation since the first mutation population (M1) suffers from physiological disorders as a result of the mutagen treatment. Since the mutations are mostly recessive hence mutant phenotype usually expresses itself in M2 generation when the progeny is in homozygous condition (Shu et al. 2011).

Photosynthetic pigments are the first target of UV-B rays and induced several chlorophyll mutants in M2 generation. Thus various types of chlorophyll phenodeviants (chlorophyll deficient mutants) such as xantha, xanthoviridis, albivoridis, virscent and chlorina mutants in *A. paniculata* and variegated plant, xanthoviridis, xantha and albino mutants in *P. niruri* have been screened out and few of them were lethal.

Plenty of chlorophyll phenodeviants have been recovered in M2 generation of both test plants viz.—*Albina mutants*—phenotypically having the white leaves being smaller and narrow (Fig. 1j). *Chlorina mutants*—Yellowish green in colour sometimes unable to survive till maturity (Fig. 1g, k). *Virescent mutants*—light or yellowish green in early stage become darker green in later stage of development (Fig. 1b, e). *Viridis mutants*—these mutants are heterogeneous with regard to the intensity of the green colour (Fig. 1f, i). Many viridis mutations reach maturity and produce, *Xantha*

### Table 1 Effect of UV-B rays on germination and survival percentage of *Andrographis paniculata* (Kalmegh) and *Phyllanthus niruri* L. in M2 generation

| Treatment (germination %) | Germination (%) | Survival (%) |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
|                           | *A. paniculata* (Kalmegh) | *P. niruri* L. (mean ± SE) | *A. paniculata* (Kalmegh) | *P. niruri* L. (mean ± SE) |
| Control                   | 93.89 ± 0.47b  | 96.54 ± 0.40b | 91.19 ± 0.96b  | 94.81 ± 0.23ab |
| 10 min                    | 92.75 ± 0.55bc | 97.44 ± 0.49a | 90.43 ± 0.42a  | 94.23 ± 0.10ab |
| 20 min                    | 95.54 ± 0.69a  | 96.05 ± 0.33a | 85.43 ± 0.57b  | 89.52 ± 0.35c  |
| 30 min                    | 88.27 ± 2.64d  | 92.44 ± 0.84c | 75.48 ± 0.61c  | 81.98 ± 0.98d  |

*(Mean ± SE) (p < 0.05) by Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) One way ANOVA

![Graph 1](image1.png) **Andrographis paniculata**

![Graph 2](image2.png) **Phyllanthus niruri L.**

**Graph 1 and 2** Effects of UV-B rays exposures on pigments content of *A. paniculata* and *P. niruri* L. in M2 generation

*mutants*—seedlings in these mutants match yellow colours exactly (Fig. 1c).
Fig. 1 a–l Chlorophyll phenodeviants of *A. paniculata*. a normal young plant, b virescens true leaf and cotyledonary leaves, c xantha true leaf with normal cotyledonary leaves, d alboviridis (yellow arrow head) and xanthoviridis (red arrow), e virescens second (red arrow) and further true leaf with normal first green leaf (yellow arrow head), f viridis true leaves (one heart shaped), g bifurcated leave with chlorina mutant, Chlorophyll phenodeviants of *P. niruri* L. (h–l), h normal green plant, i viridis mutant plant (with leaf architecture variation), j albina mutant, k chlorina mutant, l variegated plant, Pollen grains (m, n). m fertile and sterile pollen grains of *A. paniculata*, n a sterile pollen grain of *Phyllanthus niruri* L.
Some other mixed chlorophyll mutants were also identified as variegated (Fig. 1l), xanthoviridis and alboviridis mutations (Fig. 1d). Chlorophyll mutations has been categorized by following classification proposed by Gustafson 1942 with some modification by Goyal et al. (2019).

**Mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency**

Computation of the frequency of chlorophyll mutants was done for estimation of the mutagenic effectiveness and efficiency as indexed in Tables 2 and 3, respectively; which varies from plant to plant and for various doses of mutagens. In *A. paniculata* and *P. niruri*, trend of mutagenic effectiveness was recorded to be the same (Table 2) and found to be the highest at 10 min UV-B treatment but the number of mutants scored was higher in *A. paniculata*. Another significant phenomenon is mutagenic efficiency [calculated as the frequency of mutation in terms of biological damage viz. injury (I), lethality (L) and sterility (S)] of the mutagen onto the mutagenized plant populations. Mutagenic efficiency calculated in terms of biological damage indicated that sterility was higher at 10 min treatment and the seedling injury was significantly higher at 20 min duration in *Andrographis*. Overall mutagenic efficiency was similar to that of mutagenic effectiveness in kalmegh as presented in Table 3. However, in *Phyllanthus* seedling injury was significantly higher at the lowest exposure time of UV-B rays as compared to the other two parameters of biological damage.

**Discussions**

Majority of plants are exposed to enhanced levels of UV-B radiation (Hollósy 2002). UV-B radiations have potential to mutate several important biomolecules of plant cells particularly nuclear DNA, protein, and lipids (Kovács and Keresztes 2002; Gill et al. 2015). This is the reason why UV- radiations have been used as a mutagenic agent during mutagenesis of

| Treatment | Total no. of plants raised in M₂ generation | No of mutated plant | Mutation frequency (Mf) (%) | Mutagenic effectiveness (= Mf/ dose) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| **A. paniculata (Kalmegh)** | | | | |
| Control | 30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 10 min | 30 | 3 | 10.00 | 1.00 |
| 20 min | 30 | 2 | 6.66 | 0.22 |
| 30 min | 30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| **P. niruri L.** | | | | |
| Control | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 10 min | 30 | 2 | 6.66 | 0.66 |
| 20 min | 30 | 1 | 3.33 | 0.16 |
| 30 min | 30 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| Treatment | Injury (I)<sup>a</sup> (%) | Mutation efficiency (= Mf/I) | Lethality (L)<sup>a</sup> (%) | Mutation efficiency (= Mf/L) | Sterility (S)<sup>a</sup> (%) | Mutation efficiency (= Mf/S) |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **A. paniculata (Kalmegh)** | | | | | | |
| Control | 2.11 | 0.00 | 8.81 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 |
| 10 min | 8.11 | 1.23 | 9.57 | 1.04 | 4.82 | 2.07 |
| 20 min | 4.46 | 1.49 | 14.57 | 0.46 | 12.50 | 0.53 |
| 30 min | 11.73 | 0.00 | 24.52 | 0.00 | 20.75 | 0.00 |
| **Phyllanthus niruri L.** | | | | | | |
| Control | 3.46 | 0.00 | 5.19 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.00 |
| 10 min | 2.56 | 2.60 | 5.77 | 1.15 | 2.71 | 2.45 |
| 20 min | 3.59 | 0.92 | 10.48 | 0.31 | 7.59 | 0.43 |
| 30 min | 7.56 | 0.00 | 18.02 | 0.00 | 14.68 | 0.00 |

<sup>a</sup>Biological damage: Injury (I) = reduction in seedling germination, Lethality (L) = reduction in plant survival, Sterility (S) = reduction in pollen fertility
Andrographis paniculata and Phyllanthus niruri. Since both plants possess very low and poor genetic variability hence there is an urgent need for creation of genetic variability for better survival of both immensely therapeutic wild plants. It could be made possible by mutagenesis since mutations are an indispensable vehicle of diversity in populations via introduction of new allele.

Seedlings with slender stem are suitable material because of small penetrating power of non-ionizing UV-B rays (Fujii 1965). From the seeds of M1, M2 generation was raised and studied in details for mutant screening and selection.

Germination is an important parameter used to measure the response of the plant to mutagenic treatments (Shah et al. 2008). In the present study, delayed germination in M2 generation was found at higher doses of UV-B radiation. It might be due to chromosomal aberrations/delay in DNA synthesis/delayed metabolic process. On the other hand higher germination was recorded at optimal doses which is in conformity with Dwivedi (2014); who reported the high germination percentage in Brassica campestris seedling irradiated at a lower dose of UV-B irradiation. Nonetheless despite possessing high germination percentage at 20 min and 10 min UV-B treatment in A. paniculata and P. niruri, the reduction in their survival percentage might be due to induced lethal chlorophyll mutations which were unable to survive after a certain period of time.

Chlorophyll a is a vital biomolecule that plays a critical role in photosynthesis by absorbing light and transferring light energy to the reaction centers of the photosynthetic system (Li et al. 2013). In the present study, at 20 min treatment set, we have recorded the higher chlorophyll b content in the treated plants of Andrographis as compared to control. It has also been mentioned in the literature that UV-B radiation resulted in the reduction of the amount of chlorophyll a as opposed to chlorophyll b and might point as more selective destruction of chlorophyll a biosynthesis or degradation of precursors (Marwood and Greenberg 1996). Furthermore a rise in chlorophyll b content could be explained as this accessory pigment is more adaptive to stress condition and also act as a protector molecule for reaction centre.

On the other hand treatment with less time duration of UV-B radiation in both the plants showed an increase in chlorophyll content which is in tune with Mensah et al. (2007). Our observation is also in line with the finding of Kumar and Pandey (2017), who suggested that chlorophylls and carotenoids are affected by differential UV-B radiation doses.

It is obvious that chlorophyll is essential for plant development and agricultural production (Eckhardt et al. 2004; Flood et al. 2011). Here in the present study UV-B rays induced a range of chlorophyll phenodeviants such as xantha, xanthoviridis, alboviridis, virscent and chlorina mutants in A. paniculata and variegated plant, xanthoviridis, xantha and albino mutants in P. niruri. The chlorophyll deficiency throughout development inhibited photosynthesis and consequently affected the accumulation of biomass and the proper development of the plant (Li et al. 2013). Among all the induced chlorophyll phenodeviants reported here, few of them such as albina and xantha (owing to complete absence of chlorophyll) were lethal thus unable to survive till maturity, this might be due to the homozygous recessive mutation in M2 progeny. Several workers also reported a higher frequency of these kinds of chlorophyll phenodeviants in irradiated population, (Cheema and Atta 2003; Subramanian et al. 2011 in kodo millet, Kolar et al. 2011 in Delphinium malabaricum). Higher frequency of chlorophyll mutations with medium or lower doses of mutagen were reported by Nadarajan et al. (1982) in Cajanus cajan, while other reports indicated a dose-dependent increase in chlorophyll mutation frequency (Barshile et al. 2006). These chlorophyll mutants if survived have a significant horticultural significance since it gives the plant an ornamental look particularly in A. paniculata hence could be grown as garden hedges.

Mutagenic effectiveness is an indicator of the genotypic sensitivity towards the increasing mutagenic dose, while mutagenic efficiency explains the proportion of mutations in relation to the undesirable biological effects, such as, seedling injury, lethality, and pollen sterility induced by the particular mutagen (Laskar and Khan 2017). In both the test plants (A. paniculata and P. niruri), the trend of mutagenic effectiveness was recorded to be the same and found to be highest at a lower treatment dose of UV-B rays. Similar observations of a general decrease in effectiveness with increasing doses of radiation were reported in, foxtail millet by Gupta and Yashvir (1975), in, mungbean by Solanki and Sharma (1994) and in, finger millet by Ambavane et al. (2015). Mutagenic frequency is directly correlated with mutagenic effectiveness and inversely associated with the treatment doses. As mentioned in the results that seedling injury was significantly higher at 20 min duration in kalmegh. The occurrence of lethal chlorophyll phenodeviants might be the cause of seedling injury at this particular dose. In the mutation breeding program, a high mutation rate accompanied by minimal deleterious effects is described (Ramchander et al. 2014). But generally the mutagen that gives the higher mutation rate also induces a high degree of lethality, sterility, and other undesirable effects (Blixt 1961).

**Conclusions**

Based on present study, it can be concluded that in both the test plants, UV-B rays can be used to induce various chlorophyll phenodeviants that can survive till maturity except some lethal mutants. Furthermore, on the basis of lived chlorophyll phenodeviants, mutagenic effectiveness
and efficiency can be calculated in both plants (Andrographis Phyllumanthus niruri), suggesting that less exposure time duration of UV-B irradiation is beneficial. It could further be used to create some more chlorophyll phenodeviants (mutants) which can provide a high value ornamental glimpse for horticulture purpose. In addition, chlorophyll mutations are important for identifying gene function and elucidation of chlorophyll metabolism and its regulation (Wu et al. 2007). Further biochemical studies reveal that 20 min duration of UV-B treatment in Andrographis increases pigment content even more than control suggesting the stimulatory response of this dose.

On another aspect, induced mutants via UV-B irradiations may generate some novel variations (for example, better germination and survival mutant, high yielding and induced chlorophyll phenodeviants etc.) which can be better suited to the contemporary indefinite period of climate change. On the other hand, in the present times several contagious diseases are slowly spread its footing (like COVID pandemic) which can be avoided by the use of herbal drug having immune-boasting and hepatoprotective properties.
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