Development of levofloxacin-loaded PLGA microspheres of suitable properties for sustained pulmonary release
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ABSTRACT

Aerosol antibiotics are an interesting alternative to oral or intravenous therapy in Cystic Fibrosis lung infections. Levofloxacin (LVX) inhaled solution is already an effective option. In this study, the aim was the development of LVX-loaded PLGA microspheres (MS) for pulmonary administration as a dry powder. MS were prepared, for the first time, by a modified double emulsion solvent evaporation method with premix membrane homogenization. Aqueous phases were saturated with LVX and a fatty acid (lauric acid) was added to avoid the drug escaping from the organic phase. MS were characterized in terms of size, drug content, morphology and in vitro release properties. X-ray diffraction, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, differential and gravimetric thermal analysis, and cytotoxicity analyses were performed. Results showed this new method increased the drug loading while maintaining an adequate (∼5 µm) particle size and controlled release. Compared to a solution for inhalation, these properties combined with the dry-powder nature of these MS will improve patient compliance. The incorporation of lauric acid was not advantageous because the particle size was higher and no improvements concerning the sustained release occurred. LVX was molecularly dispersed in the matrix, or it was in amorphous state, as confirmed by the physico-chemical analyses. Calu-3 cell viability assays demonstrated no cytotoxicity for these MS, making them a promising system for LVX pulmonary delivery.

1. Introduction

The delivery of antibiotics at the site of infection has been demonstrated to be efficient in the treatment of lung infections (Giron Moreno et al., 2011). In the Cystic Fibrosis (CF) lung disease, the development of chronic infection by Pseudomonas aeruginosa is the predominant cause of the morbidity and mortality and its control is the main objective of long term antibiotic therapy (Geller, 2009; Giron Moreno et al., 2011; Bjarnsholt et al., 2009). Several nebulized formulations of antibiotics have been developed and marketed recently, which allow high local concentrations and a reduced systemic toxicity risk (Gaspar et al., 2013; Tiddens et al., 2014). The nebulized solution of levofloxacin (LVX, Fig. 1) (Quinsair® in Europe or Aeroquin™ in EAU) was demonstrated to be effective in improving the lung function of CF patients (EMA, 2015; Foundation, 2015). However, the present solutions for inhalation require time-consuming hygienic procedures for the administration devices and long administration times, several times a day, that preclude optimal observance of the treatment (Heijerman et al., 2009). Thus, the formulation of LVX as readily administrable dry powder for inhalation is an interesting option to improve drug product stability, CF patients’ comfort and treatment efficiency. In addition, the use of sustained-release biodegradable and biocompatible polymer-based microspheres (MS), administered in a dry powder inhaler, may further improve patients’ comfort by reducing the frequency of administration. The hydrophobic copolymer poly (DL-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) is of particular relevance for the preparation of sustained release drug delivery systems, since it is biodegradable and biocompatible. The preparation methods of PLGA-based carriers for...
hydrophilic drugs include the double W₁/O/W₂ emulsion-solvent evaporation process, the W/O phase separation, and the Hydrophobic Ion Pairing technique. Both W/O phase separation and Hydrophobic Ion Pairing were shown to be efficient methods for entrapping hydrophilic drugs within PLGA. The former is however complex to set up and necessitates a large amount of organic solvent and non biodegradable oil, such paraffin or silicon oils (Sah and Sah, 2015). The latter requires to select an appropriate, non-toxic counter ion, which, in the case of positively-charged drug may be a fatty acid (Govender et al., 1999). The W₁/O/W₂ solvent evaporation method employs low amounts of organic solvent and has been extensively used and studied. The main issue of the W₁/O/W₂ solvent evaporation method however is the difficulty to control the migration of the water soluble drug from the inner to the outer aqueous phase, which generally determines low drug contents (Govender et al., 1999). Incorporating the water soluble LVX drug (FDA, 2006) within PLGA MS and promoting a high entrapment efficiency is therefore a challenging issue (FDA, 2006). The aim of this work was to prepare inhalable LVX-loaded PLGA MS using, for the first time, a modified double emulsion solvent evaporation method for the controlled release of LVX in the lungs. Compared to a solution for intrahypopharyngeal delivery, the dry-powder nature of such MS is expected to improve patient compliance, due to an easier administration procedure and to the lower frequency of administration. The premix membrane homogenization technique was used in order to obtain narrowly size-distributed MS (Doan and Olivier, 2009).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Resomer® RG 502H (PLGA 50:50, MW: 7000–17000, acid terminated), Resomer® RG 503 (PLGA 50:50, MW: 24,000–38,000, ethyl ester terminated), lauric acid, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) nutrient mixture F-12 and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich® (St. Quentin Fallavier, France). Rhodoviol® (polyvinylalcohol (PVA)), degree of hydrolysis of 88% was purchased from Prolabo (Paris, France). Levofloxacin hemihydrate was kindly provided by Tecnimed S.A. (Lisbon, Portugal). Dichloromethane (DCM) HipPerSolv™ Chromanorm for HPLC was purchased from BDH® (VWR analytical, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France). Formic acid 99–100% AnalaR (Normapur) was obtained from VWR® (Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) and acetonitrile of HPLC grade was purchased from Carlo Erba reagents (Val de Reuil, France). 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2-tetrazolium (MTS) CellTiter 96® Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay was purchased from Promega (Charbonnières-les-Bains, France). Hanks’ Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) was obtained from Gibco® Thermofish Scientific™ (Ilkirch, France). All other chemicals were of analytical grade or equivalent. Purified water was produced using a MilliQ Gradient® Plus Millipore system.

2.2. PLGA MS preparation

Briefly, LVX-loaded PLGA MS were prepared by a double emulsion – solvent evaporation method with a premix membrane homogenization step (Doan et al., 2011; Doan and Olivier, 2009). In order to select the polymer grade, the experimental conditions were the following (Formulations 1 and 2, Table 1). A volume of 0.6 mL of a LVX solution (water phase 1, W₁, adjusted to pH 6 with hydrochloric acid) was emulsified into 3 mL of a PLGA solution in DCM (oil phase O) using a Polytron® PT 3100D homogenizer equipped with a 7 mm homogenizing accessory (Kinematica AG, Switzerland) and set at 30,000 rpm for 30 s. The obtained W₁/O emulsion was dispersed in 7 mL of a 3% (w/v) PVA solution in PBS at pH 7.4 (water phase 2, W₂) under magnetic stirring (400 rpm). The resulting W₁/O/W₂ emulsion was subjected to three homogenization cycles through a Shirasu porous glass (SPG) membrane (19.9 µm porosity) under 25 kPa transmembrane pressure using an external pressure-type micro kit emulsification device (SPG Technology, Sadowara, Japan). It was immediately poured into 25 mL of a 0.4% (w/v) PVA solution in PBS (Water phase 3, W₃) under magnetic stirring (400 rpm). DCM was evaporated under low pressure at room temperature during 10 min using a rotatory evaporator. MS were then washed through three cycles of centrifugation (3500 rpm, 5 min) and re-suspended in purified water and finally freeze-dried. In a second step, the effect of the addition of LVX to the O phase and to the water phases W₁ and W₃ (Formulations 3–4, Table 1) was investigated, as well as the addition of lauric acid in the O phase (Formulation 5, Table 1), in the LVX-to-lauric acid molar ratio of 1:1, as previously described (Govender et al., 1999).

2.3. Characterization of LVX-loaded PLGA MS

2.3.1. Particle size analysis

The mean size of the volume distribution (Dₙ) was evaluated by dispersing the MS in purified water using laser light diffraction (Microtrac® X100 particle size analyzer) (Gaspar et al., 2015).

Table 1: Details of formulation, including the amount of polymer and drug used for the MS preparation in the different phases.

| Formulation number | PLGA Grade | Water phase W₁ (0.6 mL water) | Oil phase O (3 mL DCM) | Water phase W₂ (7 mL PBS, pH 7.4) | Water phase W₃ (25 mL PBS, pH 7.4) |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                    | LG 502H    | 150                           | 300                    | 3                                | 0.4                              |
|                    | LG 503     | 150                           | 300                    | 3                                | 0.4                              |
|                    | LG 502H    | 150                           | 300                    | 3.5                              | 0.4                              |
|                    | LG 502H    | 150                           | 300                    | 3                                | 0.4                              |
|                    | LG 502H    | 150                           | 300                    | 3.5                              | 0.4                              |

* Lauric acid was added in the LVX-to-lauric acid molar ratio of 1:1.
2.3.2. Drug content and entrapment efficiency

The amount of LVX in the MS was quantified by spectrophotometry at 300 nm using a Varian Cary 50 UV–Visible spectrophotometer after MS dissolution in DMSO (LVX calibration curve 0.625–10 µg/mL). Drug contents (%) were calculated as the percentage of the amount (mg) of LVX per total amount of MS (mg). Entrapment efficiency (%) was calculated as the percent ratio of the actual (experimentally determined) LVX content to the theoretically considering a 100% entrapment efficiency.

2.3.3. In vitro drug release studies

The LVX in vitro release study was performed under sink conditions by dispersing 5 mg of LVX-loaded MS in 10 mL of PBS, pH = 7.4, under magnetic stirring (600 rpm) at 37 °C. For the LVX determination by HPLC, aliquots of 1 mL were collected at predetermined points and subjected to centrifugation for 5 min at 3500 rpm (Hettich® Zentrifugen Universal 320R, Germany). Then, 100 µL of the supernatant were collected for LVX determination by HPLC. The remaining volume was vortex-mixed and added back to the flasks (Gaspar et al., 2015).

2.3.4. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Morphology and surface appearance of MS were analyzed by SEM after gold-sputtering the freeze-dried MS in argon atmosphere. It was performed using a Jeol JSM 6010 LV electron microscope (Tokyo, Japan) at 15 kV.

2.3.5. In vitro cytotoxicity studies

In order to understand the internal structure of MS, they were incorporated in a resin (at 35 °C, for 24 h, avoiding the MS melting) and cross-sections were after obtained with the Microtome Leica EM UC6 (Leica Co, Austria), before observation. For SEM analyses, MS were incorporated in Spurr’s resin (Spurr low-viscosity embedding kit, Sigma-Aldrich®, Germany), and cross-sections of 1.5 µm of thickness then observed (SEM, Jeol ISM 5600 LV microscope), after platinum-sputtering the samples in argon atmosphere. In the case of TEM, incorporation in epoxy resin (epoxy embedding resin kit, Fluka analytical, Sigma-Aldrich®, Germany) was carried and 80 nm cross-sections were then stained with aqueous lead citrate solution (0.2% (w/v), 10 min) before observation (TEM, FEI-Tecnai™ G2 Spirit BioTwin; AnalySIS 3.2 acquisition software).

2.3.6. Specific surface area

The specific surface area was determined by the N₂ adsorption BET multipoint method, with 6 points in the relative pressure range of 0.1–0.3, using a Micrometrics ASAP 2020 v 2.04 (Tewes et al., 2013). Samples were purged overnight under N₂ at room temperature.

2.4. Additional characterization of the selected PLGA formulation

2.4.1. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD)

For XRD analysis, samples were placed in a low background silicon holder in Bragg-Brentano configuration, with a copper tube operated at 45 kV and 40 mA. The scanning was made in the range 5° < 2θ < 45° at a step of 0.066° and time/step of 10 s in an Empyrean PANalytical (The Netherlands) diffractometer with the detector Xcelerator in scanning mode and opened at 2°. The Kβ component was eliminated by using a nickel filter in a secondary optic.

2.4.2. Differential thermal Analysis/ thermal gravimetric analysis (DTA/TGA)

A SDT Q600 Instrument (TA, USA) was operated from 30°C to 300°C with a 10°C/min heating rate and under a 100 mL/min air flow rate. An aluminum empty pan was used as a reference and calibration was carried out with a sapphire.

2.4.3. Attenuated total reflectance infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR)

A FT-IR 6700 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific Nicolet™, USA) equipped with an ATR accessory was used to perform the IR analysis with 16 scans between 4000 and 650 cm⁻¹ for each spectrum (4 cm⁻¹ resolution).

2.4.4. Aerodynamic properties

The mass median aerodynamic diameter (MMAD), the emitted dose (ED, %), and the fine particle fraction (FPF, %) were evaluated using a Next Generation Impactor (NGI, Copley Ltd., Nottingham, UK). A size-three transparent gelatin capsule was filled with 20±1 mg of MS powder, inserted in a dry-powder inhaler Handihaler® (Boehringer-Ingelheim, Germany) and pierced. The pump was turned on for 4 s at a 60 L/min of flow rate (Gaspar et al., 2015). This flow rate was applied in order to obtain a high pressure drop in the dry-powder inhaler in order to facilitate the powder dispersion. The powder fractions remaining in the inhaler and the capsule or deposited on the induction port and on all the stages of the NGI were collected using DMSO as the solvent. Then, LVX was quantified by spectrophotometry (see Section 2.3.2).

2.4.5. Cross-section analysis

In order to understand the internal structure of MS, they were incorporated in a resin (at 35 °C, for 24 h, avoiding the MS melting) and cross-sections were after obtained with the Microtome Leica EM UC6 (Leica Co, Austria), before observation. For SEM analyses, MS were incorporated in Spurr’s resin (Spurr low-viscosity embedding kit, Sigma-Aldrich®, Germany), and cross-sections of 1.5 µm of thickness then observed (SEM, Jeol ISM 5600 LV microscope), after platinum-sputtering the samples in argon atmosphere. In the case of TEM, incorporation in epoxy resin (epoxy embedding resin kit, Fluka analytical, Sigma-Aldrich®, Germany) was carried and 80 nm cross-sections were then stained with aqueous lead citrate solution (0.2% (w/v), 10 min) before observation (TEM, FEI-Tecnai™ G2 Spirit BioTwin; AnalySIS 3.2 acquisition software).

2.4.6. Specific surface area

The specific surface area was determined by the N₂ adsorption BET multipoint method, with 6 points in the relative pressure range of 0.1–0.3, using a Micrometrics ASAP 2020 v 2.04 (Tewes et al., 2013). Samples were purged overnight under N₂ at room temperature.
LVX-loaded PLGA MS were prepared by the W/o/W solvent evaporation method based on previously described procedures (Doan et al., 2011; Doan and Olivier, 2009). In a first step, two PLGA polymers of different grades, the acid terminated Resomer® RG 502H and the ethyl ester end-capped Resomer® RG 503 were investigated and their impact on the microsphere size and LVX content was evaluated as the first selection criteria (Table 2). For efficient deposition in the lungs, aerosols for inhalation should be made of particles with aerodynamic diameters between 1 and 5 µm (Emami et al., 2009; Høiby, 2011). The target value for the Dv values of the PLGA microsphere ranged therefore from 2 to 5 µm. In the particular case of antibiotics like LVX, which need a relatively high drug dose for therapeutic efficiency, the MS drug content, i.e. the drug-to-excipient ratio, is of utmost importance to minimize toxicity risks arising from the excipient materials delivered into the patients’ lungs. Our minimal target value for the LVX content was set at 10% (w/w).

The mean diameter values Dv were satisfactory for lung delivery with formulations 1 and 2, but the LVX content values were below the target. This can be ascribed to the significant water solubility of LVX in PBS, pH 7.4 (50 mg/mL), (FDA, 2006), and to the high (W2 + W3)-to-(W1/O) volume ratio, giving LVX a preferential distribution in the outer aqueous phase at the expense of the inner W1 phase and O phase, as already reported for water soluble drugs (Govender et al., 1999). MS prepared with PLGA RG 502H presented the highest LVX content in agreement with results previously reported (Kumar et al., 2012). Due to the free carboxylic end groups, the low molecular weight PLGA RG 502H polymer was more hydrophilic than the higher molecular weight end-capped PLGA RG 503 polymer, and established better interactions with LVX (Kumar et al., 2012; Lecaroz et al., 2006). Ion pairing between the carboxylate groups of the PLGA polymer and the zwitterionic LVX may also favor LVX entrapment. The RG 502H polymer grade was therefore chosen for further investigations.

In the case of formulations 3 and 4, the saturation of the W2 and W3 phases with LVX substantially increased the LVX content compared to formulation 1 (Table 1), showing that minimizing the effect of the diffusion gradient was an efficient means to increase drug loading. However, doubling the LVX concentration in the oil phase O had no impact upon LVX content. Formulations 3 and 4 resulted in MS with Dv values close to that obtained with formulation 2 and, therefore, within the target values. In agreement to previous work (Govender et al., 1999), a higher LVX content was obtained when lauric acid was added to the oil phase O (Formulation 5), but the diameter Dv was largely above the target. The higher content of LVX obtained with the addition of lauric acid was attributed to the formation of lipophilic ion pairs between LVX and lauric acid through electrostatic interactions between the acidic moiety of lauric acid and the basic piperazinyl group of LVX, decreasing the diffusion of the drug into the aqueous outer phase.

SEM images of the MS prepared with formulations 3 and 4 (Fig. 2A and 2B) revealed spherical shape, with an apparent diameter in agreement with the determined mean diameter Dv. The surface was smooth, with no visible pores. Some small “specks” were present and were attributed to residual PVA. In the case of Formulation 5 (Fig. 2C), the MS were apparently of the same size as in MS of formulations 3 and 4, but they showed a tendency to aggregation which may explain the larger Dv determined by laser light diffraction method in water (Table 2). Some of the MS are distorted, with wrinkled surfaces, and also contain “specks” of various sizes.

For the PLGA MS prepared according to formulations 3 and 4 (Fig. 3), very similar release profiles were obtained in sink conditions. They were characterized by a “burst” effect from 35 to 40% within the first 30 min followed by a slow release up to a maximum of 75% at 72 h. For the MS obtained with formulation 5, i.e. with the lauric acid additive, the “burst” release clearly became dominant, with 70% of LVX released within 30 min. These results should not be considered as fully predictive of the in vivo release profiles. Indeed, due to the low volume of epithelial lining fluid and to likely phagocytosis of at least a fraction of the microspheres (with a 1–10 µm diameter range) by the lung macrophages (Diabetic, 2012), release times were reported to be longer in vivo than in vitro (Doan et al., 2013). However, to date no standardized in vitro methods are available to predict in vivo release profiles after administration into lungs of sustained release formulations. Therefore, sink conditions is the only comparable way to characterize release profiles.

MS partial degradation was observed after one week in the release medium for all the formulations (Fig. 2A1, B1 and C1). Complete erosion may occur within 2 weeks, as already reported in the literature for RG 502H particles (Díez and Tros de Iarduya, 2006). Therefore, the RG 502H PLGA polymer is expected to minimize pulmonary accumulation of polymer after MS lung deposition, especially in the case of repeated administrations.

Both MS obtained with formulations 3 and 4 had adequate and similar drug contents, particle sizes and sustained release profiles. But, due to the lower amount of LVX needed for their preparation, the MS formulation 3 was selected for further studies.

### 3.2. Further analysis of the selected PLGA formulation

Further characterization was done on the selected MS (Formulation 3).

#### 3.2.1. XRD

The XRD spectra of LVX hemihydrate, PVA, PLGA RG 502H and MS (Formulation 3) are shown in Fig. 4. LVX hemihydrate presented sharp peaks at several diffraction angles (6.5°, 9.7°, 13.1°, 15.7°, 19.3°, 19.9° and 26.6°) denoting its crystalline nature, in agreement with previous work (Gorman et al., 2012; Hema et al., 2009; Kitakoa et al., 1995). Diffuse scattering and a broad small peak was observed at 19.3° for PVA confirming its semi-crystalline nature (Guirguis and Moselhey, 2012). The commercial powder of the PLGA polymer appeared to be amorphous. In the case of the LVX-loaded PLGA MS, no diffraction peak was observed, indicating that LVX is either solubilized, or dispersed in an amorphous state or as nanocrystals in the PLGA polymer matrix.
3.2.2. DTA/TGA

For LVX (hemihydrate commercial product) (Fig. 5A), two endothermic peaks were observed at 78°C and 225°C. The first endothermic transition over a broad temperature range (around 40–80°C) was attributed to the water loss of the hemihydrate and was accompanied with a weight loss of 2.35% in agreement with a previous report (Gorman et al., 2012; Kitaoka et al., 1995). The second endothermic peak at 225°C corresponded to the dehydration of the hemihydrate.

Fig. 3. LVX in vitro cumulative release profile from LVX-loaded PLGA MS in PBS, at pH = 7.4 and 37°C: Formulation 3 (- - - -); Formulation 4 (----); and Formulation 5 (--.--). Results are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3).

Fig. 4. XRD of LVX, PVA, PLGA RG 502H and the Formulation 3.
event was attributed to the melting of the anhydrous forms of LVX (Gorman et al., 2012). Experimental conditions do not allow to distinguish the peaks corresponding to the different crystal forms of the anhydrous LVX (Gorman et al., 2012; Kitaoka et al., 1995). The weight loss observed from 240°C and above was attributed to LVX degradation (Gorman et al., 2012). For PVA, the melting point was observed close to 200°C (Fig. 5B), in agreement with previous work (Sudhamani et al., 2003). The thermograms for the PLGA polymer (Fig. 5C) and the formulation 3 microsphere dry powder (Fig. 5D) are similar: the single event that was observed, i.e. the endothermic transition with an onset temperature close to 50°C, was attributed to the glass transition temperature of PLGA (Nath et al., 2013). For formulation 3 (Fig. 5D) no peak for the LVX melting was present. Two hypotheses are proposed from the absence of this event: (1) LVX was in an amorphous or dissolved state in the microsphere dry powder or (2) the melting of the PLGA polymer during the analysis resulted in the subsequent dissolution of LVX in the PLGA polymer. None of these hypotheses contradict the conclusions based on the XRD spectrum analysis of the formulation 3 (Fig. 3), i.e. that LVX was solubilized, or dispersed in an amorphous state or as nanocrystals in the PLGA polymer matrix (see 3.2.1.). Noticeably, the percent weight loss at 300°C for the formulation 3 was lower than for the PLGA alone, suggesting a higher chemical stability of the microspheres.

3.2.3. ATR-FTIR

ATR-FTIR analyses were performed to evaluate the potential chemical alterations or interactions of LVX when incorporated in the MS. Fig. 6 shows the characteristic bands of LVX, PVA, PLGA and LVX-loaded PLGA MS (Formulation 3). The LVX spectrum includes bands at 1724, 1618 and 1289 cm⁻¹ corresponding respectively to the C=O acid, C=O carbonyl and C–O acid groups (Gaspar et al., 2015). For PVA, the main observed bands are related to hydroxyl and acetate groups. A large band was obtained at 3300 cm⁻¹ corresponding to O–H stretching. The band present at 2940 cm⁻¹ was attributed to the C–H stretching from alkyl groups and at 1731 cm⁻¹ to the stretching from C=O and C–O from the acetate group (Hema et al., 2009). The PLGA spectrum presented the main peaks at 1750 and 1090 cm⁻¹ corresponding respectively to the C=O and C–O stretching vibrations (Nath et al., 2013). For the LVX-loaded PLGA MS, the main peaks from LVX were observed, meaning that LVX suffers no alterations when it is incorporated in the polymer matrix.

3.2.4. Aerodynamic properties

Using the Handihaler® DPI to aerosolize the powder, the ED value was determined to be 85.0 ± 3.6%, the FPF was 30.2 ± 2.3% and the
MMAD 7.1 ± 0.2 µm (mean ± SD). The MMAD was slightly higher than \( D_{50} \) fact that can be explained by particle aggregation as observed on the SEM of the dry powder. In spite of the MMAD of 7 µm, it is still satisfactory for pulmonary administration, and for drug delivery into airways, especially when the particles do not have to reach the alveolar region (Patton and Byron, 2007). Moreover, \( P. aeruginosa \) is mainly present in the conductive zone and only colonizes the respiratory zone at advance stages of the disease (Bjarnsholt et al., 2009). Furthermore, as already mentioned, at the obtained particle size, phagocytosis uptake by macrophages is likely to occur. However, and in spite of the few studies that have already studied the dependence of phagocytosis on particle size, maximum values of uptake of polymeric MS by rat alveolar macrophages were reported at a diameter between 2 and 3 µm (Champion et al., 2008). Therefore, only a small fraction of the LVX-loaded PLGA MS is expected to be phagocytosed in vivo.

3.2.5. Cross-section analysis

Cross-sections from the LVX-loaded PLGA MS (Formulation 3) were also analyzed by SEM (Fig. 7A) and TEM (Fig. 7B) in order to inspect the internal morphology of the MS. Both images showed that the MS were filled with polymer and some pores are present. These pores may be responsible for the initial burst effect observed in the in vitro release studies.

3.2.6. Specific surface area

BET results from MS (Formulation 3) revealed a surface area of 19.67 ± 0.315 m²/g. The surface area was higher than for chitosan MS (6.85 ± 0.20 m²/g), already obtained with similar particle size (Gaspar et al., 2015). This is in agreement with the cross-section observations, which indicate the presence of some pores.

3.2.7. Cytotoxicity study

The cytotoxicity of PLGA MS prepared according to formulation 3 was evaluated on Calu-3 cells after 24 h exposure using the MTS assay (Fig. 8). LVX demonstrated to be relatively well tolerated since it decreased the cell viability close to 50% only at the highest concentration tested (1 mg/mL). Values close to 70% of cell viability were obtained for the concentration of 0.3 mg/mL. This is in agreement with the cytotoxicity results already reported for LVX using other epithelial cells from lung bronchus (NL 20 cells and HBE 135 cells). In particular, 60% cell viability, in the case of NL 20 cells, was observed at a concentration of 0.3 mg/mL of LVX and for HBE 135 cells, this concentration resulted in 80% cell survival. Moreover, LVX was shown to be less cytotoxic than other fluoroquinolones, such as moxifloxacin and ciprofloxacin (Dudley et al., 2014). Unloaded PLGA RG 502H MS revealed very low cytotoxicity to the Calu-3 cells. Only at the two highest concentrations (5 and 10 mg/mL), cell viability values were lower than 100%, but still close to 90% (Fig. 8). Similar conclusions have been already made by other authors, with values higher than 90% of Calu-3 cell viability for 0.5 mg/mL of PLGA particles and values close to 80% for a concentration of 5 mg/mL (Patel et al., 2012). LVX-loaded PLGA MS (Formulation 3) was of intermediate cytotoxicity, (Anderson and Shive, 2012). This finding for the LVX-loaded MS is in agreement with the sustained release properties of the MS. After 24 h of incubation, values of cell viability close to 70% (for the highest concentration tested) were obtained. Therefore, around 30% of the cells died. On the other side, for LVX itself, around 50% of the cells were dead at the highest concentration tested. Considering zero/10% cytotoxicity from the polymer, this means the formulation 3 showed ca. 60% of the toxicity of LVX itself. This aligns with the 60–70% of LVX release from PLGA MS after 24 h (Fig. 3).

4. Conclusions

The incorporation of a drug with high water solubility, such as LVX, in a hydrophobic polymer is of high relevance, but also a challenge in MS preparation. A preliminary method enabled to select the PLGA RG 502H as the most adequate in terms of drug loading and particle size results. In order to further increase LVX content, some modifications were assessed. A DL close to 10%, suitable mean particle size together with spherical morphology and controlled release properties allowed choosing the Formulation 3, as an interesting system for further analyses. Several techniques demonstrated the good incorporation of LVX in the polymer matrix. The selected formulation also had acceptable aerodynamic diameter and low cytotoxicity with respiratory cells, which are clearly advantageous when compared to LVX itself, by reducing toxicity risk and increasing local concentrations. The present

Fig. 7. Cross-sections of 1.5 µm and 80 nm of Formulation 3 respectively observed by SEM (A) and TEM (B).

Fig. 8. Calu-3 cell viability determined with MTS assay after 24 h of incubation with LVX (–‒–), unloaded MS (–−−) and Formulation 3 (–•••). Cell viability (percent of control, mean ± SEM (n = 5)) is expressed as a function of the PLGA concentration and/or LVX concentration.
PLGA MS-based dry powder is a promising sustained-release formulation for the inhalated delivery of LFX. After its administration, it is expected to achieve high lung concentrations over a prolonged time period, thus reducing the dosing frequency, increasing the patients’ compliance, and improving the treatment efficiency.
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