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Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic has arguably facilitated a shift toward increased sedentariness and reduced physical activity. Moreover, there is mounting evidence that mental health has also declined during the pandemic. However, it remains unknown to what extent social distancing (SD) behaviors and mental health have affected the physical activity levels of the general population.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to determine the influence of SD behaviors and prevailing mental health on the odds of being physically active during the early COVID-19 pandemic response.

Methods: A total of 4819 adults (2474/4819, 51.3%, female) from the US population with a median age of 46 (IQR 35-59) completed an online survey during the early pandemic response (April-June 2020). The survey included questions on adherence to 11 SD behaviors, and validated questionnaires which assessed self-reported physical activity, depression, anxiety, and mental well-being. Respondents were categorized into 2 physical activity groups: inactive (0-599 metabolic equivalent of task [MET]-minutes/week) and active (≥600 MET-minutes/week). A logistic generalized additive model (GAM) was used to determine which SD factors and mental health outcomes were associated with physical activity level.

Results: The GAM analysis revealed that wearing a facemask in public (odds ratio [OR] 1.46, 95% CI 1.14-1.79; P=.003), limiting the use of public transport (OR 1.47, 95% CI 1.19-1.83; P=.001), and restricting travel outside the house (OR 1.56, 95% CI 1.19-2.05; P=.002) were SD behaviors associated with higher odds of being more physically active. Conversely, avoiding physical activity outside the house was associated with higher odds of being inactive (OR 0.52, 95% CI 0.46-0.63; P<.001). Leaving the house more frequently, and a higher mental well-being were associated with increasing odds of being active during the early pandemic response.

Conclusions: Based on the findings of our study, we suggest that future public health messaging of SD guidelines should include (1) a clear portrayal of the benefits of regular exercise on mental health; and (2) a specific focus on how to be physically active outdoors in a COVID-safe manner.

(JMIR Public Health Surveill 2021;7(9):e31278) doi: 10.2196/31278
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Introduction
The COVID-19 outbreak was officially declared a pandemic on March 11, 2020, by the World Health Organization (WHO). During the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic response, no effective pharmaceutical therapies existed to prevent or contain the spread of the novel coronavirus. Consequently, many countries around the world began to rapidly implement nonpharmaceutical interventions to mitigate community transmission of COVID-19. These public health interventions included rules or guidelines for personal hygiene, respiratory etiquette, and social distancing (SD) [1-4]. On this latter point, SD is a broad term that encompasses many social behaviors designed to minimize interpersonal contact within the community, including but not limited to self-quarantine, working from home, school closures, restrictions on mass gatherings and travel outside the home, and minimum separation distance between persons in public spaces. In some circumstances, these public health measures have led governing authorities to enforce closure of local gymnasiuums, sporting and recreational facilities, in addition to suspending organized team sports and other physical activities that would otherwise incur close interpersonal contact (dance classes, yoga, etc).

It follows from the above that SD guidelines and restrictions have reduced the opportunities for the public to engage in physical activity during the early COVID-19 pandemic. There is mounting evidence to suggest that physical activity has decreased since the beginning of the COVID-19 outbreak [5-10]. This shift toward sedentariness is especially alarming, seeing that sedentariness and physical inactivity are both well-known risk factors for long-term outcomes such as cardiovascular disease and premature mortality [11,12]. Physical inactivity had already been identified as a global pandemic itself prior to the COVID-19 outbreak [13]. Physical inactivity is the fourth leading risk factor for global mortality [14], and is perhaps of greater importance for the older rather than younger population during the current pandemic [15]. While SD is a necessary measure to minimize community transmission of COVID-19, it is important to also understand its collateral adverse effects such as reduced engagement in physical activity. In so doing, we may identify key areas for improving the messaging of SD guidelines in a way that ensures public safety, yet facilitates physical activity during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic (April to June 2020). A secondary aim was to examine the independent effects of mental health status on physical activity. We hypothesized that engaging in more SD behaviors, and having poorer mental health, would be associated with lower odds of meeting the minimum WHO recommendations for physical activity during the early COVID-19 pandemic response.

Methods
Study Design, Sampling, and Participant Recruitment
The data used in this study were drawn from a larger, longitudinal cohort study that commenced in April 2020: the COVID-19 Physical Activity and Well-being Survey (PAWS). The primary aim of the broader PAWS project is to examine temporal trends in physical activity and mental health throughout the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States. Data for this study were obtained from the first round of the PAWS. Participants were invited to complete the first round of the PAWS between April 27 and June 8, 2020. Survey responses were collected via the Qualtrics online platform. Participants were recruited via word of mouth, and social media campaigns (Facebook and Twitter) that were targeted using paid advertisements to recruit men and women across a wide range of ages. Participants were eligible to participate if they were aged 18 years or older, could read and understand English, and were able to provide a valid zip code as evidence of residing in the United States.

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent
This study was approved by the Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board (#20-003709). Participants were provided with an information sheet on the landing page of the online survey. Participants were only allowed to continue participating if they acknowledged that they had read the information sheet, and agreed to the following statement “I give consent to participate in this study”.

Definition of Variables
Outcome
The outcome variable in this study was self-reported physical activity. Moderate-to-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) was determined using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) [24]. The GPAQ assesses the weekly volume of MVPA (minutes/week) in the domains of work, recreation, and exercise (minutes/week) in the domains of work, recreation, and reported during the pandemic [18-21]. It must be remembered that physical activity and mental health are related via a bidirectionally causal relationship [22,23]. As such, it is important that any investigation into the effects of SD behavior on physical activity during the COVID-19 pandemic is interpreted with consideration of the mental health status of the population under study.

We conducted a large, online cohort study among US residents. The principal aim of this study was to examine whether SD behaviors were associated with physical activity participation during the early phase of the COVID-19 pandemic (April to June 2020). A secondary aim was to examine the independent effects of mental health status on physical activity. We hypothesized that engaging in more SD behaviors, and having poorer mental health, would be associated with lower odds of meeting the minimum WHO recommendations for physical activity during the early COVID-19 pandemic response.
transport. Data obtained from the GPAQ were cleaned and subsequently analyzed using the guidelines outlined by the WHO [25]. Weekly MVPA was expressed as an intensity-weighted volume (i.e., metabolic equivalent of task [MET]-minutes/week) by multiplying moderate and vigorous activities by the corresponding metabolic equivalents of tasks (METs) of 4.0 and 8.0, respectively [24,25]. Total weekly MVPA (MET-minutes/week) was taken as the sum of all domain-specific MVPA, and was used to categorize participants into the following 2 groups: inactive (0-599 MET-minutes/week) and active (≥600 MET-minutes/week). These demarcations are based on the lower threshold of the minimum requirement outlined in the 2020 WHO physical activity guidelines [26].

**Exposures**

The 2 exposures of this study were linked to our primary and secondary aims: SD behaviors and mental health.

**Social Distancing**

SD behavior was assessed by asking participants to indicate if they were presently engaging in one (or any) SD behavior at the time of the survey (11 different behaviors in total; Textbox 1). The total number of SD behaviors (sum of SD1–SD11) was taken as an index of SD vigilance.

**Mental Health**

Mental health was evaluated by assessing participant’s symptoms of depression, anxiety, and mental well-being using the following tools: the 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) [27], the 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder scale (GAD-7) [28], and the 5-item World Health Organization Well-Being (WHO-5) Index [29,30], respectively.

**Covariates**

**Pandemic Burden and Fear**

We assessed the zip code–level burden of the COVID-19 pandemic by obtaining the number of deaths, and the confirmed and recovered cases attributed to the disease. These data were obtained from an up-to-date, online repository of COVID-19–related information [31]. Using this repository, we were able to obtain case numbers for each respondent’s US state using zip code provided by the participant and the date the survey was completed. The difference between confirmed and recovered COVID-19 cases was taken as the number of active cases in the area. Deaths and case numbers were expressed per capita of the state in which the participant resided. Furthermore, we calculated the duration of time that SD guidelines/restrictions had been imposed by taking the difference between the survey response date and the first date in which the “stringency index” [32] of the participant’s state was greater than 0. The “stringency index” is a novel score indicating the stringency with which a local government is responding to the COVID-19 pandemic. It is computed from a weighted average of 9 metrics used to characterize the strictness of containment and closure policies of the area. Participants were also asked to indicate their current level of fear associated with being infected by, or unknowingly spreading COVID-19.

**Sedentary and Self-Monitoring Behavior**

Data on sedentary behavior (minutes/day) were obtained directly from the GPAQ [24,25]. These data were used to categorize participants into 2 groups defined around an approximate threshold associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity (≥8 hours/day) in harmonized pooled studies [33]. Participants were asked to indicate whether they currently used a wearable device to track their physical activity.

**Socioeconomic Status and Physical Health**

Socioeconomic status [34,35], physical health [36-38], and chronic disease [39,40] are known to influence physical activity. Accordingly, sociodemographic variables, including age, gender, height, weight, educational, and employment status were collected, in addition to self-reported chronic disease and overall health status. Breathlessness, a hallmark symptom of many

---

**Textbox 1. Questions designed to assess participant engagement with social distancing behaviors at the time of the survey.**

```
Social distancing behaviors

“Regardless of whether specific guidelines/rules for social distancing have been issued by authorities in the place where you live, please indicate whether you are currently performing any of the following behaviors listed below. Please check all behaviors that apply.”

SD1: Wearing a face mask in public
SD2: Avoiding close contact with others in your social circle
SD3: Avoiding places where many people gather
SD4: Working from home
SD5: Limiting time spend outside of your residence
SD6: Limiting your use of public transport
SD7: Self-quarantine/isolation
SD8: Restricting your travel outside the house
SD9: Avoiding physical activity outside the house
SD10: Avoiding physical contact with others (i.e., handshaking, hugging)
SD11: Reducing the time or number of trips to shop for food/supplies/etc
```
chronic diseases, was assessed using the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnea scale [41].

**Statistical Analyses**

Differences in proportions between physical activity groups (inactive vs. active) were assessed using the Fisher exact test. The differences in means for count variables (e.g., number of chronic conditions, number of SD behaviors) between physical activity groups were assessed using Tweedie regression. The odds of scoring higher on an ordinal scale variable was assessed using a cumulative link regression [42]. Post hoc comparisons of proportions within a given ordinal level of these models were evaluated using estimated marginal means [43]. A generalized additive model (GAM) was used to determine the effect of engaging with SD behaviors on the likelihood of performing a sufficient amount of MVPA [26]. The dependent (outcome) variable in our GAM was the binary variable indicating whether a participant’s total MVPA was 600 or more MET-minutes/week (e.g., inactive vs. active). The covariates used in the GAM were selected using a gradient boosting scheme as outlined in Multimedia Appendix 1. All statistical comparisons were considered significant if $P<.05$.

**Results**

**Overall Sample Characteristics**

The descriptive characteristics of survey respondents are reported in Table 1. The descriptive characteristics of the entire cohort indicate that our participants were a relatively healthy, educated, and affluent sample of the general population. There was a roughly equal distribution of male and female, middle-aged respondents in both activity groups.
Table 1. Descriptive characteristics by physical activity group.

| Characteristics                                      | Inactive (n=1864) | Active (n=2955) | Total (N=4819) |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Demographics                                          |                   |                 |               |
| Age (years), median (IQR)                             | 46 (36-59)        | 45 (34-59)      | 46 (35-59)    |
| Gender, n (%)                                         |                   |                 |               |
| Female                                                | 947 (50.8)        | 1527 (51.7)     | 2474 (51.3)   |
| Male                                                  | 917 (49.2)        | 1428 (48.3)     | 2345 (48.7)   |
| BMI (kg/m²), median (IQR)                             | 28.3 (24.8-33.4)  | 25.8 (23.1-29.8) | 26.7 (23.6-31.0) |
| Physical health, median (IQR)                         |                   |                 |               |
| Number of chronic health conditions                   | 1 (0-2)           | 0 (0-1)         | 1 (0-2)       |
| Breathlessness (Medical Research Council score)       | 1 (1-2)           | 1 (1-1)         | 1 (1-2)       |
| Self-reported general health, n (%)                   |                   |                 |               |
| Poor                                                  | 8 (0.4)           | 4 (0.1)         | 12 (0.2)      |
| Fair                                                  | 166 (8.9)         | 55 (1.9)        | 207 (4.3)     |
| Good                                                  | 597 (32.0)        | 490 (16.6)      | 1006 (20.9)   |
| Very good                                             | 882 (47.3)        | 1579 (53.4)     | 2319 (48.1)   |
| Excellent                                             | 211 (11.3)        | 827 (28.0)      | 1275 (26.5)   |
| Socioeconomic status, n (%)                           |                   |                 |               |
| Educational attainment                                |                   |                 |               |
| Less than high school                                 | 5 (0.3)           | 8 (0.3)         | 13 (0.3)      |
| High school                                           | 91 (4.9)          | 75 (2.5)        | 166 (3.4)     |
| Some college no degree                                | 320 (17.2)        | 289 (9.8)       | 609 (12.6)    |
| Associate degree, n (%)                               | 168 (9.0)         | 245 (8.3)       | 413 (8.6)     |
| Bachelor’s degree                                     | 639 (34.3)        | 1072 (36.3)     | 1711 (35.5)   |
| Master’s degree                                       | 444 (23.8)        | 827 (28.0)      | 1271 (26.4)   |
| Doctoral/professional degree                          | 197 (10.6)        | 439 (14.9)      | 636 (13.2)    |
| Household income, n (%)                               |                   |                 |               |
| Less than US $20,000                                  | 119 (6.4)         | 105 (3.6)       | 224 (4.6)     |
| US $20,000 to US $39,000                              | 223 (12.0)        | 230 (7.8)       | 453 (9.4)     |
| US $40,000 to US $59,000                              | 265 (14.2)        | 386 (13.1)      | 651 (13.5)    |
| US $60,000 to US $79,000                              | 291 (15.6)        | 444 (15.0)      | 735 (15.3)    |
| US $80,000 to US $99,000                              | 193 (10.4)        | 374 (12.7)      | 567 (11.8)    |
| US $100,000 to US $149,000                            | 325 (17.4)        | 632 (21.4)      | 957 (19.9)    |
| US $150,000 or more                                   | 240 (12.9)        | 548 (18.5)      | 788 (16.4)    |
| Prefer not to say                                     | 208 (11.2)        | 236 (8.0)       | 444 (9.2)     |
| Employment status                                     |                   |                 |               |
| Not working, n (%)                                    | 701 (37.6)        | 915 (31.0)      | 1616 (33.5)   |
| Working, n (%)                                        | 1163 (62.4)       | 2040 (69.0)     | 3203 (66.5)   |
| Household size (number of persons), median (IQR)      | 2 (2-4)           | 2 (2-4)         | 2 (2-4)       |
Significantly different from the corresponding value (or proportion) of the inactive group, $P<.05$.

**Physical Activity**

The self-reported levels of MVPA within the work, transport, and recreational domains are reported in Table 2. Unsurprisingly, respondents who were physically active reported higher amounts of recreational work and thus higher total MVPA than their inactive counterparts ($P<.001$); 61.31% (2955/4819) of our cohort were meeting the minimum WHO recommendations for weekly MVPA at the time of the survey.
Table 2. Physical activity and mental health during the early COVID-19 pandemic response.

| Variable                                | Inactive (n=1864) | Active (n=2955) | Total (N=4819) |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Physical activity**                    |                  |                |                |
| MVPA<sup>a</sup> by GPAQ<sup>b</sup> domain (MET<sup>c</sup>-minutes/week), median (IQR) |                  |                |                |
| Recreation                               | 0 (0-0)          | 2160 (960-4080)<sup>d</sup> | 720 (0-2760)   |
| Work                                     | 0 (0-0)          | 0 (0-120)<sup>d</sup> | 0 (0-0)        |
| Transport                                | 0 (0-0)          | 0 (0-480)<sup>d</sup> | 0 (0-0)        |
| Total                                    | 0 (0-120)        | 3060 (1680-5040)<sup>d</sup> | 1320 (0-3840)  |
| **Sedentary behavior**                   |                  |                |                |
| Sitting time (minutes/day), median (IQR) | 480 (360-660)    | 420 (300-600)<sup>d</sup> | 420 (300-600)  |
| At-risk sitting time (≥2480 minutes/day), n (%) | 1110 (59.5)    | 1429 (48.4)<sup>d</sup> | 2539 (52.7)    |
| **Self-monitoring behavior, n (%)**      |                  |                |                |
| Wearable device                          | 403 (21.6)       | 1556 (52.7)<sup>d</sup> | 1959 (40.7)    |
| **Mental health**                        |                  |                |                |
| Depression (PHQ-9<sup>e</sup>), median (IQR) |                  |                |                |
| Score                                    | 6 (3-11)         | 4 (2-8)<sup>d</sup> | 5 (2-9)        |
| Symptom category, n (%)                  |                  |                |                |
| None (0-4)                               | 748 (40.1)       | 1533 (51.9)<sup>d</sup> | 2281 (47.3)    |
| Mild (5-9)                               | 565 (30.3)       | 873 (29.5)<sup>d</sup> | 1438 (29.8)    |
| Moderate (10-14)                         | 277 (14.9)       | 328 (11.1)<sup>d</sup> | 605 (12.6)     |
| Moderately severe (15-19)                | 159 (8.5)        | 146 (4.9)<sup>d</sup> | 305 (6.3)      |
| Severe (20-27)                           | 115 (6.2)        | 75 (2.5)<sup>d</sup> | 190 (3.9)      |
| Anxiety (GAD-7<sup>f</sup>, median (IQR) |                  |                |                |
| Score                                    | 6 (2-10)         | 4 (1-8)<sup>d</sup> | 5 (2-9)        |
| Symptom category, n (%)                  |                  |                |                |
| None (0-4)                               | 820 (44.0)       | 1502 (50.8)<sup>d</sup> | 2322 (48.2)    |
| Mild (5-9)                               | 500 (26.8)       | 848 (28.7)<sup>d</sup> | 1348 (28.0)    |
| Moderate (10-14)                         | 303 (16.3)       | 356 (12.0)<sup>d</sup> | 659 (13.7)     |
| Severe (15-21)                           | 241 (12.9)       | 249 (8.4)<sup>d</sup> | 190 (3.9)      |
| Well-being (WHO-5<sup>g</sup>, median (IQR) |                  |                |                |
| Score                                    | 10 (5-16)        | 14 (10-18)<sup>d</sup> | 13 (8-17)      |
| Symptom category, n (%)                  |                  |                |                |
| Okay (13-25)                             | 727 (39.0)       | 1764 (59.7)<sup>d</sup> | 2491 (51.7)    |
| Poor (0-12)                              | 1137 (61.0)      | 1191 (40.3)<sup>d</sup> | 2328 (48.3)    |

<sup>a</sup>MVPA: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity.
<sup>b</sup>GPAQ: Global Physical Activity Questionnaire.
<sup>c</sup>MET: metabolic equivalent of task.
<sup>d</sup>Significantly different from the corresponding value (or proportion) of the inactive group, P<.05.
<sup>e</sup>PHQ-9: 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire Scale.
<sup>f</sup>GAD-7: 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale.
WHO-5: 5-item World Health Organization Well-Being Index

Social Distancing

The SD behaviors reported by the cohort are presented in Table 3. The active group was roughly 70% more likely to leave the house more frequently than their physically inactive counterparts (odds ratio [OR] 1.70, 95% CI 1.53-1.90; \( P < .001 \)). Moreover, respondents in the active group were more likely to engage in a greater total number of SD behaviors (OR 1.10, 95% CI 1.09-1.12; \( P < .001 \)). Specifically, physically active participants were significantly more likely \( (P < .001) \) to wear a face mask in public (SD1), avoid close and physical contact with others (SD2 and SD10), avoid places where people gather (SD3), work from home (SD4), limit their use of public transport (SD6), restrict their travel outside the house (SD8), and to reduce their time/number of trips to shops to obtain food and supplies (SD11). Conversely, respondents in the physically inactive group were more likely \( (P < .001) \) to avoid physical activity outside of the house (SD9).
Table 3. Pandemic burden, social distancing behaviors, and perceptions of fear associated with coronavirus by physical activity group during the early COVID-19 pandemic response.

| Variable                                                                 | Inactive (n=1864) | Active (n=2955) | Total (N=4819) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Pandemic burden at time of survey                                       |                   |                 |                |
| Confirmed cases in the state (per 100,000 persons), median (IQR)        | 229 (168-447)     | 222 (166-421)   | 225 (167-430)  |
| Recovered cases in the state (per 100,000 persons), median (IQR)        | 0 (0-94)          | 29 (0-98)       | 0 (0-97)       |
| Active cases in the state (per 100,000 persons), median (IQR)           | 186 (93-350)      | 180 (87-304)    | 182 (88-321)   |
| Deaths in the state (per 100,000 persons), median (IQR)                 | 10.1 (7.2-23.1)   | 9.7 (7.3-19.4)  | 9.9 (7.2-20.7) |
| Duration of social distancing guidelines/restriction (weeks), median (IQR)| 10.7 (10.0-14.2)  | 10.6 (9.8-13.8) | 10.6 (9.8-14.0) |
| Government stringency index, median (IQR)                              | 73.2 (70.8-76.9)  | 73.2 (70.8-76.9)| 73.2 (70.8-76.9)|
| Active authority-mandated lockdown/shelter-in-place/etc, n (%)          | 1342 (72.0)       | 2338 (79.1)     | 3680 (76.4)    |
| SD\(^b\) behaviors                                                      |                   |                 |                |
| Frequency of leaving the house, n (%)                                   |                   |                 |                |
| Less than once per week                                                 | 183 (9.8)         | 110 (3.7)\(^a\) | 291 (6.0)      |
| Once per week                                                          | 274 (14.7)        | 278 (9.4)\(^a\) | 552 (11.5)     |
| A few times per week                                                   | 634 (34.0)        | 926 (31.3)\(^a\) | 1560 (32.4)   |
| Once per day                                                           | 436 (23.4)        | 1042 (35.3)\(^a\) | 1478 (30.7) |
| Multiple times per day                                                 | 337 (18.1)        | 599 (20.3)\(^a\) | 936 (19.4)     |
| SD1: Wearing a face mask in public, n (%)                              | 1371 (73.6)       | 2542 (86.0)\(^a\) | 3913 (81.2) |
| SD2: Avoid close contact with others in social circle, n (%)           | 1420 (76.2)       | 2605 (88.2)\(^a\) | 4025 (83.5)   |
| SD3: Avoid places where many people gather, n (%)                      | 1478 (79.3)       | 2702 (91.4)\(^a\) | 4180 (86.7)   |
| SD4: Working from home, n (%)                                          | 970 (52.0)        | 1779 (60.2)\(^a\) | 2749 (57.0)  |
| SD5: Limiting time spent outside of house, n (%)                       | 1112 (59.7)       | 1840 (62.3)     | 2952 (61.3)   |
| SD6: Limiting the use of public transport, n (%)                       | 1377 (73.9)       | 2546 (86.2)\(^a\) | 3923 (81.4) |
| SD7: Currently undergoing self-isolation/quarantine, n (%)             | 1015 (54.5)       | 1581 (53.5)     | 2596 (53.9)   |
| SD8: Restricting travel outside of the house, n (%)                     | 1233 (66.1)       | 2175 (73.6)\(^a\) | 3408 (70.7) |
| SD9: Avoiding physical activity outside of the house, n (%)            | 664 (35.6)        | 546 (18.5)\(^a\) | 1210 (25.1)   |
| SD10: Avoid physical contact with others, n (%)                        | 1511 (81.1)       | 2789 (94.4)\(^a\) | 4300 (89.2) |
| SD11: Reducing time/number of trips to shops for food/supplies/etc, n (%)| 1410 (75.6)       | 2631 (89.0)\(^a\) | 4041 (83.9) |
| Total number of SD behaviors (sum SD1-11), median (IQR)                | 9 (6-10)          | 9 (7-10)\(^a\)  | 9 (7-10)       |
| Perceived fears of COVID-19\(^c\), median (IQR)                        |                   |                 |                |
| Afraid of being infected with COVID-19                                  | 5 (4-6)           | 5 (4-6)         | 5 (4-6)        |
| Afraid of unknowingly spreading COVID-19                                 | 6 (5-7)           | 6 (5-7)         | 6 (5-7)        |

\(^a\)Significantly different from corresponding value (or proportion) of the inactive group, \(P<.05\).

\(^b\)SD: social distancing.

\(^c\)Likert-type item (1=strongly disagree; 7=strongly agree).

**Mental Health**

The prevailing mental health of participants in the sampled cohort is reported in Table 2. Raw scores for depression and anxiety were lower for the physically active compared with the inactive group (\(P<.001\)). In support of these observations, respondents in the physically active group displayed lower odds of reporting more severe symptoms of depression (OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.69-0.74; \(P<.001\)) and anxiety (OR 0.81, 95% CI 0.76-0.86; \(P<.001\)). The raw score for mental well-being was overall higher for participants in the active compared with the
inactive group ($P<.001$). There were also lower odds of the respondents’ well-being score falling below 13 (ie, “poor well-being”) [$30$] for those participants in the physically active group (OR 0.43, 95% CI 0.38-0.49; $P<.001$).

**Pandemic Burden and Fear**

Indicators of the burden of the pandemic and fears associated with COVID-19 are reported in Table 3. Overall, SD rules/guidelines had been active for approximately 2-3 months at the time of survey for the entire cohort—this duration was slightly lower in the physically active group ($P=.003$). The number of recovered COVID-19 cases (per 100,000 persons) was higher ($P=.002$), whereas the number of active cases was marginally lower ($P=.005$) in the active compared with the inactive group. The burden of deaths due to COVID-19 was similar between physical activity groups. There was a marginally higher proportion of respondents under an authority-mandated “lockdown” at the time of the survey in the active group ($P<.001$). The perceived fear of becoming infected with COVID-19 and the fear associated with unknowingly spreading the virus were similar between groups.

**Sedentary and Self-Monitoring Behavior**

Sedentary behavior (minutes/day) was slightly lower in the active group ($P<.001$; Table 3). In addition, the proportion of participants who reported that time spent sitting/reclining exceeded 8 hours per day was marginally lower in the physically active group ($P<.001$; Table 3). There was a greater proportion of respondents using a wearable device to track their physical activity in the active compared with the inactive group ($P<.001$; Table 3).

**Socioeconomic Status and Physical Health**

The cohort indicators of socioeconomic status and physical health are reported in Table 1. There was a greater proportion of respondents who were employed at the time of the survey in the active group ($P<.001$). Moreover, there were higher ($P<.001$) odds of possessing a higher level of educational attainment (OR 1.58, 95% CI 1.42-1.75) and household income (OR 1.16, 95% CI 1.09-1.23) for the active group. BMI, the number of chronic conditions, and the experience of breathlessness (MRC score) during daily activities were slightly higher in the inactive compared with the physically active group ($P<.001$). Lastly, there were greater odds of self-reporting better general health (OR 3.15, 95% CI 2.81-3.53; $P<.001$).

**Logistic Generalized Additive Modeling**

Tables 4 and 5 show the results of the logistic GAM parametric and smooth terms, respectively, used to determine the likelihood of engaging in higher amounts of physical activity. The coefficient of determination, $R^2$, for the logistic GAM was 0.37 and there was a significant improvement over an intercept-only (null) model ($P<.001$) [$44$]. The variance of the random effect of US state was not significant ($\sigma^2=1.16 \times 10^{-13}$; 95% CI $-5.47 \times 10^{-7}$ to $8.92 \times 10^{-7}$; $P=.62$). The use of a wearable device to track physical activity (ie, self-monitoring behavior), wearing a facemask in public (SD1), limiting the use of public transport and the number of trips to the shops (SD6 and SD11), and avoiding close physical contact with others were all positively associated with greater odds of performing sufficient ($\geq 600$ MET-minutes/week) amounts of MVPA during the early COVID-19 pandemic ($P<.005$). Avoiding physical activity outside the house was negatively associated with the odds of being physically active ($P<.002$). The nonlinear trends in ORs for all smooth terms are illustrated in Figure 1. The odds of being physically active (total MVPA $\geq 600$ MET-minutes/week) tended to rise with greater self-reported general health (Figure 1A), higher levels of educational attainment (Figure 1C), increasing mental well-being (Figure 1F), and higher frequencies of leaving the house (Figure 1G). Conversely, the odds of being sufficiently active during the early pandemic decreased with increasing breathlessness (Figure 1B) and BMI (Figure 1E). Importantly, the effect of engaging with a higher number of SD behaviors on the odds of performing sufficient MVPA during the pandemic was seemingly biphasic (Figure 1H). For example, participating in 3-8 total SD behaviors was coupled with greater odds, whereas engaging with 10 or more SD behaviors was associated with lower odds of performing sufficient MVPA.
Table 4. Factors influencing physical activity level during the COVID-19 pandemic as determined by logistic generalized additive modeling (N=4819).*

| Parametric terms                                                                 | Statistics\textsuperscript{b} |          |          |          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                                                                 | OR               | 95% CI   | P value  |
| Intercept                                                                       | 0.24             | 0.19-0.32| <.001    |
| "At-risk" sedentary behavior (reference = less than 8 hours/day)                | 0.71             | 0.62-0.83| <.001    |
| Wearable device                                                                 | 3.27             | 2.82-3.79| <.001    |
| SD1: Wearing a facemask in public                                              | 1.43             | 1.14-1.79| .003     |
| SD2: Avoiding close contact with others                                         | 1.20             | 0.91-1.59| .199     |
| SD3: Avoiding places where many people gather                                  | 1.26             | 0.91-1.73| .193     |
| SD6: Limiting the use of public transport                                      | 1.47             | 1.19-1.83| .001     |
| SD9: Avoiding physical activity outside the house                              | 0.52             | 0.43-0.63| <.001    |
| SD10: Avoiding physical contact with others                                    | 1.79             | 1.26-2.56| .002     |
| SD11: Reducing time/number of trips to shops for supplies                       | 1.56             | 1.19-2.05| .002     |

\textsuperscript{a}Parameter estimates for the parametric (linear) terms in the model are reported as the exponentiated log-odds ratio (ie, OR) and corresponding 95% CI. The OR indicates the odds of meeting the World Health Organization’s minimum physical activity recommendations (≥600 MET-minutes/week) per unit change in the respective covariate. The Benjamini–Hochberg method was used to adjust P values to reduce the false discovery rate.

\textsuperscript{b}Significant values are in italic.

Table 5. Smooth terms influencing physical activity level during the COVID-19 pandemic as determined by logistic generalized additive modeling (N=4819).\textsuperscript{a}

| Smooth terms                                      | Statistics\textsuperscript{b} |          |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
|                                                   | edf   | P value |
| BMI                                               | 0.85  | <.001   |
| Highest level of educational attainment           | 0.65  | .001    |
| Household income                                  | 0.01  | .023    |
| Number of chronic health conditions               | 0.00  | .197    |
| Self-reported general health                       | 0.90  | <.001   |
| Breathlessness                                    | 0.96  | <.001   |
| Frequency of leaving the house                     | 0.91  | <.001   |
| Total number of SD behaviors                       | 1.23  | <.001   |
| Well-being                                        | 1.19  | <.001   |

\textsuperscript{a}The smooth terms included in the generalized additive model are summarized by their estimated degrees of freedom (edf). The Benjamini–Hochberg method was used to adjust P values to reduce the false discovery rate.

\textsuperscript{b}Significant values are in italic.
Discussion

Principal Findings

The principal findings of this study were threefold: (1) physically active respondents were more likely to engage in SD behaviors; (2) the influence of engaging with SD behaviors on physical activity during the early pandemic was nonlinear; and (3) higher scores for mental well-being were a positive mediator of physical activity participation. These findings highlight the complex nature by which SD vigilance and mental health have impacted on the physical activity habits of the general population during the early COVID-19 pandemic.

Did Social Distancing Affect Physical Activity During the Early Pandemic Response?

We originally hypothesized that engaging in more SD behaviors would increase the likelihood of being physically inactive during the early pandemic response. Our findings only partly confirm this hypothesis. For example, participants who minimized their public exposure by leaving the house less than “once per day” were less likely to be physically active (Figure 1G). Furthermore, respondents were less likely to meet the minimum WHO recommendations for weekly MVPA if they reported that they were “avoiding physical activity outside the house” (SD9) at the time of the survey (Tables 4 and 5). However, the relationship between the total number of SD behaviors and physical activity was much less straightforward (Figure 1H). Certainly, individuals engaging with 10 or more of the surveilled SD behaviors (highly vigilant) were at lower odds of being physically active during the pandemic. Interestingly, however, it appeared that if a participant engaged with a moderate number of SD behaviors (3–7 total), they were at higher odds of meeting the minimum WHO recommendations for weekly MVPA. This nonlinear relationship between SD vigilance and physical activity is novel, insofar as it describes a potential “tipping point” phenomenon: too much is bad, yet a moderate amount is good. But which of the SD behaviors are specifically associated with being physically active?

The cross-sectional analyses of SD behaviors within our cohort (Table 3) appear to suggest that those individuals who were physically active during the early pandemic were more frequently wearing a facemask in public (SD1), avoiding close and physical contact with others (SD2 and SD10), avoiding places where people gather (SD3), working from home (SD4), and more often limiting their public exposure by restricting their use of public transport and travel outside the house (SD6, SD8, and SD11). These observations are complemented by the logistic GAM analysis (Tables 4 and 5), whereby SD1, SD6, SD10, and SD11 were all associated with significantly higher odds of...
meeting the minimum WHO requirements for weekly MVPA at the time of the survey. The following question arises: why does engaging in some but not all SD measures appear to be positively associated with physical activity? It is difficult to offer any substantive explanation for these observations given the data at hand. Notwithstanding this point, it is known that physical activity level is positively associated with health literacy [45-47]. Thus, it is at least conceivable that participants who regularly engaged in more physical activity may have been better informed and aware of public health initiatives and were thus more likely to follow SD guidelines. The opposite is also plausible: those respondents who engaged with a moderate number of SD behaviors may also be more likely to heed other public health advice, such as recommendations for physical activity. However, this positive effect is only apparent up until the individual engages in nearly all (≥10) of the surveilled SD behaviors, after which it is likely that simultaneously engaging in these behaviors becomes prohibitive to accumulating sufficient weekly MVPA. It will be of great interest to assess whether vigilance with SD behaviors remains nonlinearly associated with physical activity level at our planned follow-up survey rounds.

**Did Mental Health Affect Physical Activity During The Early Pandemic Response?**

It is becoming clear that extended periods of social isolation, as imposed by public health measures, have negatively impacted on mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic [18-21]. This point is particularly concerning given that mental health may affect physical activity, and vice versa [22,23]. Indeed, cross-sectional analysis of our cohort tended to corroborate the above findings, whereby respondents in the physically active group reported higher well-being scores, and less symptoms of depression and anxiety compared with those in the inactive group (Table 2). However, among the 3 indicators of mental health, it was only the raw score for mental well-being (ie, WHO-5) that was selected as a covariate in the boosted GAM model (see Multimedia Appendix 1 for details). Specifically, we observed that raw scores for mental well-being greater than 13 were associated with meeting the WHO recommendations for weekly MVPA. However, participants with raw WHO-5 scores below this value (ie, “poor well-being”) [30] were more likely to be physically inactive during the early pandemic response. Overall, the above findings support our secondary hypothesis that poorer mental health was associated with less physical activity during the early pandemic response.

**What Other Factors Influenced Physical Activity in Our Cohort?**

Respondents were more likely to be physically active if they were sedentary for less than 8 hours per day (Tables 4 and 5). This observation is perhaps not surprising given that daily hours are finite, and less time spent engaging with one behavior (ie, sitting) affords more time for another behavior (ie, physical activity) [48]. Those participants who reported that they used a wearable device to monitor their own physical activity were also more likely to accumulate sufficient weekly MVPA during the early pandemic response (Tables 4 and 5). This finding is consistent with the idea that objective self-monitoring, using wearable technologies, is a behavior change tool that is effective in reducing sedentary time and increasing physical activity in adults [49,50].

**Methodological Considerations**

Many investigators have argued that SD policies for minimizing spread of COVID-19 may worsen an existing global health crisis, that is, the physical inactivity pandemic [13,51]. Emerging research has vindicated these concerns by illustrating that physical activity of the public has declined during the COVID-19 pandemic [5-10]. Given that extending the recall period of the GPAQ to far beyond the past 7 days is likely to confound data with recall bias [52], we have not reported MVPA of our participants from a time before the pandemic began. As such, our data do not allow us to comment on whether physical activity truly declined during the early pandemic period in our cohort. For similar reasons, we are unable to directly comment on whether mental health status, as assessed via the GAD-7, WHO-5, and PHQ-9, worsened during the early pandemic in our cohort. A further consideration is that while our cohort was large, it is unlikely that our sample is representative of the greater US population. Our cohort was a convenience sample recruited via social media, a method of sampling known to recruit greater proportions of adults with higher levels of educational attainment than the general population [53]. Indeed, our cohort was a highly educated and affluent sample of the general population. We therefore emphasize that our findings may not apply to a more representative sample of a larger US population with greater socioeconomic diversity than that observed in this study.

**Implications of Our Findings**

Given that SD has arguably encouraged a public shift toward sedentariness, it is essential that we identify those factors of a person’s “pandemic experience” which have contributed to this decline in physical activity. Our findings offer 4 major insights into the potential mediators of physical activity during the early pandemic response. First, we report that individuals with poor mental well-being were likely to be physically inactive during the early pandemic. Second, our data provide strong evidence that “getting outside” the house encourages sufficient weekly MVPA, notwithstanding any SD guidelines/restictions that may be active at the time. Third, individuals demonstrating self-monitoring behavior via wearable activity trackers were more likely to accumulate sufficient weekly MVPA. Lastly, the extent to which SD vigilance impacts on physical activity is complex, insofar as engaging in a moderate number of SD behaviors (3-7 total) was associated with being physically active, while engaging in too many SD behaviors (≥10 total) was seemingly detrimental to engaging in adequate amounts of physical activity. This last observation may be telling of the challenges faced by the public when regulating their own vigilance with SD behaviors. We speculate that this finding may be a symptom of the belief that either (1) adhering to all SD behaviors takes priority over all other health promoting behaviors during the pandemic or (2) one cannot safely perform SD while being physically active, particularly outside the house.

In light of these findings, we suggest that public health messaging of SD guidelines may be improved to promote...
physical activity during the pandemic by including specific advice outlining how to be physically active “outdoors” in a COVID-safe manner (eg, targeted infographics) [54,55], and by clearly portraying the benefits of regular exercise on mental health [56-58]. In such messaging, it would be worth mentioning that evidence suggests being physically fit confers a degree of immunity protection [59], and may reduce morbidity outcomes associated with COVID-19, such as hospitalizations [60,61]. Lastly, our data indicate there may be value in specifically encouraging the use of wearable devices to self-monitor physical activity levels.

Conclusions

The recent availability of COVID-19 vaccines has marked the beginning of our recovery from this global pandemic [62]. However, until vaccination rates approach levels that confer “herd immunity” against the virus, SD measures will remain part of our COVID-normal existence for the foreseeable future. If we fail to recognize the impact that SD bears on physical activity, we may yet observe a “final wave” of chronic lifestyle diseases once the pandemic recedes. The findings of our investigation support the viewpoint that physical activity promotion should be more heavily integrated into the public health messaging of physical/SD guidelines during this current pandemic, and that any of these may precipitate in the future.

Acknowledgments

We thank all respondents for their time and effort in participating in the study. TC was supported by an Irene Diamond/American Federation for Aging Research Postdoctoral Transition Award. This research was supported by the Sydney Informatics Hub, a Core Research Facility of the University of Sydney. We also thank Dr Emmanuel Stamatakis for his guidance and advice during the preparation of this manuscript. This publication was supported in full by Mayo Clinic Arizona Cardiovascular Clinical Research Center (MCA CV CRC). We are thankful for their generous support. Contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the MCA CV CRC.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Methodological approach.

[DOCX File, 24 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Social Distancing - Keep a Safe Distance to Slow the Spread. 2020. URL: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/social-distancing.html [accessed 2021-01-15]

2. Honein MA, Christie A, Rose DA, Brooks JT, Meaney-Delman D, Cohn A, CDC COVID-19 Response Team. Summary of Guidance for Public Health Strategies to Address High Levels of Community Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 and Related Deaths, December 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020 Dec 11;69(49):1860-1867 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6949e2] [Medline: 33301434]

3. World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Advice for the Public. URL: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/advice-for-public [accessed 2021-09-20]

4. UK Government. Guidance: Coronavirus (COVID-19): Meeting With Others Safely (Social Distancing). URL: https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-meeting-with-others-safely-social-distancing/coronavirus-covid-19-meeting-with-others-safely-social-distancing?priority-taxon=774cee22-d896-44c1-a611-e3109ce8ea [accessed 2021-09-20]

5. Lesser IA, Nienhuis CP. The Impact of COVID-19 on Physical Activity Behavior and Well-Being of Canadians. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020 May 31;17(11):3899 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph17113899] [Medline: 32486380]

6. Meyer J, McDowell C, Lansing J, Brower C, Smith L, Tully M, et al. Changes in Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior in Response to COVID-19 and Their Associations with Mental Health in 3052 US Adults. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020 Sep 05;17(18):6469 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph17186469] [Medline: 32899495]

7. Tison GH, Avram R, Kuhar P, Abreau S, Marcus GM, Pletcher MJ, et al. Worldwide Effect of COVID-19 on Physical Activity: A Descriptive Study. Ann Intern Med 2020 Nov 03;173(9):767-770 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.7326/M20-2665] [Medline: 32598162]

8. Qin F, Song Y, Nassis GP, Zhao L, Dong Y, Zhao C, et al. Physical Activity, Screen Time, and Emotional Well-Being during the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Outbreak in China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2020 Jul 17;17(14):5170 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph17145170] [Medline: 32709003]

9. Wilke J, Mohr L, Tenforde AS, Edouard P, Fossati C, González-Gross M, et al. A Pandemic within the Pandemic? Physical Activity Levels Substantially Decreased in Countries Affected by COVID-19. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021 Feb 24;18(5):2235 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.3390/ijerph18052235] [Medline: 33668262]
10. Caputo EL, Reichert FF. Studies of Physical Activity and COVID-19 During the Pandemic: A Scoping Review. J Phys Act Health 2020 Nov 03;17(12):1275-1284. [doi: 10.1123/jpah.2020-0406] [Medline: 33152693]

11. Lavie CJ, Ozemek C, Carbone S, Katzmarzyk PT, Blair SN. Sedentary Behavior, Exercise, and Cardiovascular Health. Circ Res 2019 Mar;124(5):799-815. [doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.312669] [Medline: 30817262]

12. Stamatakis E, Gale J, Bauman A, Ekelund U, Hamer M, Ding D. Sitting Time, Physical Activity, and Risk of Mortality in Adults. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019 Apr 30;73(16):2062-2072 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2019.02.031] [Medline: 31023430]

13. Kohl HW, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, et al. The pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. Lancet 2012 Jul 21;380(9838):294-305. [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60898-8] [Medline: 22818941]

14. World Health Organization. Global Health Risks: Mortality and Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risks. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2009.

15. Jiménez-Pavón D, Carbonell-Baeza A, Lavie CJ. Physical exercise as therapy to fight against the mental and physical consequences of COVID-19 quarantine: Special focus in older people. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2020;63(3):386-388 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2020.03.009] [Medline: 32220590]

16. Banerjee D, Rai M. Social isolation in Covid-19: The impact of loneliness. Int J Soc Psychiatry 2020 Sep;66(6):525-527 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/0020764020929105] [Medline: 32349580]

17. Killgore WDS, Cloonan SA, Taylor EC, Dailey NS. Loneliness: A signature mental health concern in the era of COVID-19. Psychother Psychosom 2015;84(3):167-176 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1159/000437575]

18. Armstrong T, Bull F. Development of the World Health Organization Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ). J Public Health 2006 Mar 2;14(2):66-70. [doi: 10.1097/01.TPH.0000130899.04024.x]

19. Steinmo S, Hagger-Johnson G, Shahab L. Bidirectional association between mental health and physical activity in older adults: Whitehall II prospective cohort study. Prev Med 2014 Sep;66:74-79 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.06.005] [Medline: 24945691]

20. Azevedo Da Silva M, Singh-Manoux A, Brunner EJ, Kaffashian S, Shipley MJ, Kivimäki M, et al. Bidirectional association between physical activity and symptoms of anxiety and depression: the Whitehall II study. Eur J Epidemiol 2012 Jul;27(7):537-546 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10654-012-9692-8] [Medline: 22623145]

21. Armstrong T, Bull F. Development of the World Health Organization Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ). J Public Health 2006 Mar 2;14(2):66-70. [doi: 10.1097/01.TPH.0000130899.04024.x]

22. World Health Organization. WHO STEPS Surveillance Manual: The WHO STEPwise Approach to Chronic Disease Risk Factor Surveillance. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2005.

23. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med 2020 Dec 25;54(24):1451-1462 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955]

24. Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, Borodulin K, Buman MP, Cardon G, et al. World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Br J Sports Med 2020 Dec 25;54(24):1451-1462 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102955] [Medline: 33239350]

25. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001 Sep;16(9):606-613 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.01600960.x] [Medline: 11556941]

26. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med 2006 May 22;166(10):1092-1097. [doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092] [Medline: 16717171]

27. Topp CW, Østergaard SD, Sondergaard S, Bech P. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: a systematic review of the literature. Psychother Psychosom 2015;84(3):167-176 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1159/000376585] [Medline: 25831962]

28. World Health Organization. Wellbeing Measures in Primary Health Care - The DepCare Project. Geneva, Switzerland: Regional Office for Europe; 1998.

29. Guidotti E, Ardia D. COVID-19 Data Hub. JOSS 2020 Jul;5(51):2376. [doi: 10.21105/joss.02376]

30. Hale T, Angrist N, Goldszmidt R, Kira B, Petherick A, Phillips T, et al. A global panel database of pandemic policies (Oxford COVID-19 Government Response Tracker). Nat Hum Behav 2021 Apr 08;5(4):529-538. [doi: 10.1038/s41562-021-01079-8] [Medline: 33686204]

31. Ekelund U, Brown WJ, Steene-Johannessen J, Fagerland MW, Owen N, Powell KE, et al. Do the associations of sedentary behaviour with cardiovascular disease mortality and cancer mortality differ by physical activity level? A systematic review and harmonised meta-analysis of data from 850 060 participants. Br J Sports Med 2019 Jul 10;53(14):886-894. [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2017-098963] [Medline: 29991570]
34. Eime RM, Charity MJ, Harvey JT, Payne WR. Participation in sport and physical activity: associations with socio-economic status and geographical remoteness. BMC Public Health 2015 Apr 29;15:434 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12889-015-1796-0] [Medline: 25928848]

35. Gidlow C, Johnston LH, Crone D, Ellis N, James D. A systematic review of the relationship between socio-economic position and physical activity. Health Education Journal 2016 Jul 27;65(4):338-367. [doi: 10.1177/0017896960669378]

36. Martínez-González MA, Martínez JA, Hu F, Gibney M, Kearney J. Physical inactivity, sedentary lifestyle and obesity in the European Union. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1999 Nov 11;23(11):1192-1201. [doi: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0801049] [Medline: 10578210]

37. Rütten A, Abel T, Kannas L, von Lengerke T, Lüschen G, Diaz JA, et al. Self reported physical activity, public health, and perceived environment: results from a comparative European study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2001 Feb;55(2):139-146 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/jech.55.2.139] [Medline: 11154254]

38. Opdal IM, Larsen LS, Hopstock LA, Schirmer H, Loren GF. A prospective study on the effect of self-reported health and leisure time physical activity on mortality among an ageing population: results from the Tromsø study. BMC Public Health 2020 Apr 28;20(1):575 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-08681-x] [Medline: 32345261]

39. Booth FW, Roberts CK, Thyfault JP, Ruegsegger GN, Toedebusch RG. Role of Inactivity in Chronic Diseases: Evolutionary Insight and Pathophysiological Mechanisms. Physiol Rev 2017 Oct 01;97(4):1351-1402 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1152/physrev.00019.2016] [Medline: 28814164]

40. Booth FW, Roberts CK, Laye MJ. Lack of exercise is a major cause of chronic diseases. Compr Physiol 2012 Apr;2(2):1143-1211 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1002/cphy.c110025] [Medline: 23798298]

41. Fletcher C, Elmes P, Fairbairn A, Wood C. The significance of respiratory symptoms and the diagnosis of chronic bronchitis in a working population. Br Med J Aug 29 1959;2(5147):257-266. [doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.5147.257] [Medline: 13823475]

42. Christensen R. ordinal—Regression Models for Ordinal Data. 2019. URL: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ordinal [accessed 2021-09-20]

43. Lenth R. emmeans—Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means. 2021. URL: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=emmeans [accessed 2021-09-20]

44. Nagelkerke NJD. A note on a general definition of the coefficient of determination. Biometrika 1991;78(3):691-692. [doi: 10.1093/biomet/78.3.691]

45. Cajita M, Denhaerynck K, Dobbels F, Berben L, Russell C, De Geest S. Adequate Health Literacy Is Associated with Sufficient Physical Activity: Findings from the BRIGHT Study. The Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation 2016 Apr;35(4):S90. [doi: 10.1016/j.healun.2016.01.247]

46. Valatkaitytė V, Česnaitytėn V. Relationship between Health Literacy, Physical Activity, Motivation and Barriers of People Aged 30–50 Years. BJHSJ 2019 Jul 22;2(13):787. [doi: 10.33600/bjhsj.v2i113.787]

47. Buja A, Rabensteiner A, Sperotto M, Grotto G, Bertoncello C, Cocchio S, et al. Health Literacy and Physical Activity: A Systematic Review. J Phys Act Health 2020 Oct 31;17(12):1259-1274. [doi: 10.1123/jpah.2020-0161] [Medline: 33129198]

48. Mekary RA, Willett WC, Hu FB, Ding EL. Isotemporal substitution paradigm for physical activity epidemiology and weight change. Am J Epidemiol 2016 Jan 15;183(2):229-239 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/aje/kaw277] [Medline: 26628331]

49. Compernolle S, DeSmet A, Poppe L, Crombez G, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Cardon G, et al. Effectiveness of interventions using self-monitoring to reduce sedentary behavior in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2019 Aug 13;16(1):63 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12966-019-0824-3] [Medline: 31409357]

50. Laranjo L, Ding D, Helen B, Kocaballi B, Quiroz JC, Tong HL, et al. Do smartphone applications and activity trackers increase physical activity in adults? Systematic review, meta-analysis and metaregression. Br J Sports Med 2021 Apr 21;55(8):422-432. [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102892] [Medline: 33551560]

51. Hall G, Laddu DR, Phillips SA, Lavie CJ, Arena R. A tale of two pandemics: How will COVID-19 and global trends in physical inactivity and sedentary behavior affect one another? Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2021;64:108-110 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.005] [Medline: 32275997]

52. Cross TJ, Isaaiuter JM, Stamatakis E, Morris SJ, Johnson BD, Wheatley-Guy C, et al. Self-reported physical activity before a COVID-19 ‘lockdown’: is it just a matter of opinion? BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med 2021 May 06;7(2):e001088 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2021-001088] [Medline: 34192013]

53. Thornton L, Batterham PJ, Fassnacht DB, Kay-Lambkin F, Calear AL, Hunt S. Recruiting for health, medical or psychosocial research using Facebook: Systematic review. Internet Interferv 2016 May;4:72-81 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.invent.2016.02.001] [Medline: 30135792]

54. Wedig IJ, Duggle TA, Elmer SJ. Infographic. Stay physically active during COVID-19 with exercise as medicine. Br J Sports Med 2020 Mar;55(6):346-347 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-103282] [Medline: 33097523]

55. Fitzpatrick J, Castricum A, Seward H, Tulloh L, Dawson E. Infographic. COFIT-19: let's get moving through the COVID-19 pandemic!. Br J Sports Med 2020 Nov 19;54(22):1360-1361. [doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2020-102661] [Medline: 32561519]

56. Petruzello SJ, Landers DM, Hatfield BD, Kubitz KA, Salazar W. A meta-analysis on the anxiety-reducing effects of acute and chronic exercise. Outcomes and mechanisms. Sports Med 1991 Mar;11(3):143-182. [doi: 10.2165/00007256-199111030-00002] [Medline: 1828608]
57. DeBoer LB, Powers MB, Utschig AC, Otto MW, Smits JAJ. Exploring exercise as an avenue for the treatment of anxiety disorders. Expert Rev Neurother 2012 Aug;12(8):1011-1022 [FREE Full text] [DOI: 10.1586/ern.12.73] [Medline: 23002943]

58. Chekroud S, Gueorguieva R, Zheltulin A, Paulus M, Krumholz H, Krystal J, et al. Association between physical exercise and mental health in 1.2 million individuals in the USA between 2011 and 2015: a cross-sectional study. The Lancet Psychiatry 2018 Sep;5(9):739-746. [DOI: 10.1016/s2215-0366(18)30227-x]

59. Laddu DR, Lavie CJ, Phillips SA, Arena R. Physical activity for immunity protection: Inoculating populations with healthy living medicine in preparation for the next pandemic. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2021;64:102-104 [FREE Full text] [DOI: 10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.006] [Medline: 32278694]

60. Brawner CA, Ehrman JK, Bole S, Korrigan DJ, Parikh SS, Lewis BK, et al. Inverse Relationship of Maximal Exercise Capacity to Hospitalization Secondary to Coronavirus Disease 2019. Mayo Clin Proc 2021 Jan;96(1):32-39 [FREE Full text] [DOI: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.10.003] [Medline: 33413833]

61. Lavie CJ, Sanchis-Gomar F, Arena R. Fit Is It in COVID-19, Future Pandemics, and Overall Healthy Living. Mayo Clin Proc 2021 Jan;96(1):7-9 [FREE Full text] [DOI: 10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.11.013] [Medline: 33413836]

62. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - National Center for Health Statistics. Trends in Number of COVID-19 Cases and Deaths in the US Reported to CDC. 2021. URL: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#trends_dailytrendscases [accessed 2021-03-05]

Abbreviations

- **GAD-7**: 7-item Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale
- **GAM**: generalized additive model
- **GPAQ**: Global Physical Activity Questionnaire
- **MET**: metabolic equivalent of task
- **MRC**: Medical Research Council
- **MVPA**: moderate-to-vigorous physical activity
- **OR**: odds ratio
- **PAWS**: Physical Activity and Well-being Survey
- **PHQ-9**: 9-item Patient Health Questionnaire
- **SD**: social distancing
- **WHO**: World Health Organization

©Troy J Cross, Jennifer M J Isautier, Sarah J Morris, Bruce D Johnson, Courtney M Wheatley-Guy, Bryan J Taylor. Originally published in JMIR Public Health and Surveillance (https://publichealth.jmir.org), 24.09.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Public Health and Surveillance, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://publichealth.jmir.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.