Scientific community and institutions (e.g., ICRP) consider that the Linear No-Threshold (LNT) model, which extrapolates stochastic risk at low dose/low dose rate from the risk at moderate/high doses, provides a prudent basis for practical purposes of radiological protection. However, biological low dose/dose rate responses that challenge the LNT model have been highlighted and important dowels came from radiobiology studies conducted in Deep Underground Laboratories (DULs). These extreme ultra-low radiation environments are ideal locations to conduct below-background radiobiology experiments, interesting from basic and applied science. The INFN Gran Sasso National Laboratory (LNGS) (Italy) is the site where most of the underground radiobiological data has been collected so far and where the first in vivo underground experiment was carried out using Drosophila melanogaster as model organism. Presently, many DULs around the world have implemented dedicated programs, meetings and proposals. The general message coming from studies conducted in DULs using protozoa, bacteria, mammalian cells and organisms (flies, worms, fishes) is that environmental radiation may trigger biological mechanisms that can increase the capability to cope against stress. However, several issues are still open, among them: the role of the quality of the radiation spectrum in modulating the biological response, the dependence on the biological endpoint and on the model system considered, the overall effect at organism level (detrimental or beneficial). At LNGS, we recently launched the RENOIR experiment aimed at improving knowledge on the environmental radiation spectrum and to investigate the specific role of the gamma component on the biological response of Drosophila melanogaster.
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INTRODUCTION

Understanding the impact of natural background radiation on metabolism of living organisms is an open puzzling issue. For about 4 billion years, life on Earth has experienced differing levels of natural radiation, of cosmic and Earth origin. Environmental radiation is believed to have played a relevant role during the evolution of living organisms, contributing to the development of still poorly characterized defense mechanisms to minimize oxidative stress (1) In addition to issues of fundamental interest, these studies also have practical implications in radiation protection and medicine. The United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR) “encourage(s) research into the mechanistic understanding of low-dose radiation action that may contribute to disease in humans,” and fosters studies “to assess the health risk related to the environmental radiation exposure” (2, 3). In this framework, underground biology is a new and very exciting area of investigation. The definition is referred to as the biological studies conducted in Deep Underground Laboratories (DULs), originally built to host particle, astroparticle and nuclear physics experiments searching for rare events such as proton or neutrino-less double decays that require a very low radioactivity environment (4, 5). These unique and extreme settings are now being exploited in other disciplines, including biology with its applications in radiobiology, making it possible to investigate if and how a substantial reduction of the exposure to environmental ionizing radiation background affects living organisms.

The Gran Sasso National Laboratory (LNGS), L’Aquila, Italy, is one of the largest DUL in the world and where most biological investigations have been conducted so far. The results of the first LNGS experiment, named Pulex, showed that the permanence in the low radiation underground laboratory decreases the defense mechanisms against chemical radio-mimetic compounds in yeasts (6). More recently, the PULEX-COSMIC SILENCE experiments get more insight into the role of environmental radiation in the biological response of different in vitro mammalian cellular systems of rodent (7–9) and human origin (10, 11). In 2018, we obtained the first evidence of the influence of environmental radiation on the response of a complex organism, namely Drosophila melanogaster (12, 13).

Interestingly, similar evidence has been obtained from experiments conducted in other DULs using protozoan, bacteria, and mammalian cells (14–18).

The general message coming from all these studies is that environmental radiation may trigger biological mechanisms that increase the capability to cope against stress.

However, several issues are still open, among them: the role of the quality of the radiation spectrum in modulating the biological response, the dependence on the biological endpoint and on the model system considered, the role of genetic/epigenetic modifications (19), the overall effect at organism level (detrimental or beneficial).

The growing interest in underground radiobiology is testified by the establishment of several projects, like LBRE that is being conducted at WIPP (USA) since 2011 (15), the more recent REPAIR at SNOLAB (Canada) (20), the Deep-underground Medicine studies at CJEML (China) (21) and SELLR at Boulby Underground Laboratory (UK) (22).

This scenario has driven the organization of a series of dedicated Workshops, named DULIA-bio (Deep Underground Laboratory Integrated Activity in biology), with the purpose to establish a common path for European/International underground laboratories in deep life studies and its application to astrobiology, biophysics, human health and radiation protection. The first one was held in Canfranc, Spain, in October 2015, the second at the LNGS, Italy, in November 2019. The next DULIA-bio Workshop is expected to be organized in Boulby, UK.

In this Perspective paper, after a brief summary of the LNGS experience in conducting underground radiobiological studies, we report on our approach to get more insight in the field of extremely low dose rate radiobiology. In our opinion, a crucial point is to elucidate the role of the different components of the environmental radiation field on the response of living organisms. With this aim, we recently started the RENOIR (Radiation EnvironOmnet triggers biological Responses in flies: physical and biological mechanisms) experiment, using Drosophila melanogaster as in vivo model system. We will describe the challenges faced, the strategies to overcome them and the first dosimetric and biological results finalized to optimize the experimental set up.

THE UNDERGROUND RADIOBIOLOGY EXPERIENCE AT LNGS: HISTORY, ACHIEVEMENTS AND CHALLENGES

During the construction of the LNGS, a group of physicists was involved in the measurements of the radiation field inside the experimental halls that were being built. One of them, Luigi Satta, was “struck by the numbers,” as he uses to say. Indeed, it was immediately clear that, thanks to the 1400 m-cover of dolomitic rocks poor of uranium and thorium, the underground radiation field was considerably reduced with respect to the external environment. Luigi Satta’s pioneering idea of conducting underground experiments with biological systems soon took shape and a multidisciplinary group of scientists launched the first biological experiment on yeasts. Since then, biological investigation has continued for many years using different in vitro and in vivo model systems and most recently the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster.

Although in vitro studies are continuing to provide important mechanistic answers, in vivo investigation remains a top priority for the underground radiobiology community seeking a “robust” model organism suitable for studies in these extreme environments.

In our experience, Drosophila melanogaster has proven to be particularly responsive to changes in environmental radiation promptly modifying its physiological responses. However, in

1https://indico.cern.ch/event/436589/overview
2https://agenda.infn.it/event/19116/timetable/
addition to flies, other organisms are currently being investigated, namely fishes at the SNOLAB (23) and nematodes at WIPP (24). The comparison between the responses of the organisms placed at different levels of the phylogenetic tree will provide interesting insights to understand the role of natural radiations in the adaptation and evolution of living organisms.

Since the pilot yeast study, the LNGS experience demonstrated that a fruitful approach is to conduct parallel tests in underground Low Radiation Environment (LRE) and in above ground Reference Radiation Environment (RRE), keeping working conditions and environmental parameters as much as possible the same, except for the ionizing radiation background. Since the visible solar spectrum at LRE can influence the circadian rhythms of flies, we decided to eliminate this source of variability using two identical Drosophila incubators (one at LRE and the other at RRE) in which flies live under the same conditions of light, temperature and humidity.

A close monitoring of the experimental parameters is mandatory for the interpretation of the results. As for the biological tests, reagents from the same batch should always be used. Furthermore, the presence of specialized facilities, e.g., Mechanical Workshop, Electronics, Chemistry & Chemical Plants Services, can be extremely useful for any experimental need.

LNGS, being located in a tunnel under a mountain, has some favorable features. There is no significant difference in terms of atmospheric pressure; moreover, an easy horizontal access to the LRE and the proximity of the RRE and the LRE labs allows the same operator to conduct measurements in both locations during the same day, minimizing other possible sources of variability.

Over many years of researches, the multidisciplinary Cosmic Silence Collaboration has developed and improved strategies and implemented facilities to further optimize the working conditions at LNGS. The new Cosmic Silence facility dedicated to in vivo studies was recently built and equipped, next the Pulex one, dedicated to in vitro studies. A key goal of the research group is to continuously improve the characterization of the radiation field in the environments where the experiments are conducted. In particular, during the biological tests, radon is continuously monitored as well as the gamma dose-rate. Furthermore, especially designed devices are under implementation to modulate the gamma component, in order to evaluate this specific contribution on the observed biological responses (see below “The RENOIR Experiment”).

In the attempt to understand whether the biological response is related to an overall increase of the dose-rate exposure or to the contribution of specific component(s) of the radiation field, the three-year RENOIR experiment recently started at LNGS. We adopt a step by step approach; the first phase will consists in modulating the gamma component using shielding or naturally occurring gamma-emitters. RENOIR has two main aims: 1. to improve the knowledge of the radiation field at RRE and at LRE; 2. to obtain information about the involvement of the gamma component of the environmental radiation field on the fertility and gene expression of fruit flies.

Characterization of the Radiation Field

Different components contribute to the overall environmental dose/dose rate in the different experimental sites. As evaluated on the basis of the UNSCEAR Report (26), at RRE the cosmic rays (mostly muons) contribution to the dose rate is of about 41 nSv/h, that of cosmic-ray neutrons of about 21 nSv/h; the terrestrial gamma rays contribution, measured by LNGS colleagues, is of about 22 nSv/h (Di Carlo personal communication). At LRE, the dose rates due to cosmic rays and neutrons are strongly reduced with respect to those at RRE (27), while the gamma dose rate is comparable (about 20 nSv/h, as obtained by us in Reuter Stokes, Automess and TLD measurements).

Another contribution to the environmental dose/dose rate comes from the radon (222Rn) decay products. In the underground Pulex-Cosmic Silence facilities, an efficient ventilation system is running to maintain radon concentration comparable to that at RRE. Hourly and daily variations of indoor radon activity concentration in air are routinely monitored by the AlphaGUARD active device. We are currently able to have average values of $\sim 15$ Bq/m$^3$ and $\sim 20.43$ Bq/m$^3$, at LRE and RRE, respectively.

One of the first goals of the RENOIR Project is to further characterize the radiation field by semi-quantitative in-situ gamma spectroscopic measurements using a high-efficiency commercial portable spectrometer (80% HpGe). With this technique, already successfully applied in indoor environments since the 80’ (28, 29), we will collect and elaborate the gamma spectra to gain information about the gamma fluxes in the experimental sites. Moreover, the gamma dose rates will be measured at RRE and LRE laboratories with organic scintillator dose rate meters, Reuter Stokes high pressure ionization chamber and high sensitivity thermo-luminescent detectors (TLD).

To detect thermal neutrons, we will subtract the gamma contribution measured by TLD-700H from the TLD-600H gross reading, these latter also exhibiting a large thermal neutron absorption cross section. To perform a dosimetric and spectroscopic investigation of the neutron field in a wider energy range, we will use a BF3 proportional tube in particular a Boron trifluoride detector (Centronic) and the DIAMON spectrometer, a low energy resolution neutron spectrometer developed by the Nuclear Measurements group (Energy department, PoliMi, Italy) in collaboration with RAYLAB (30).

Finally, in order to characterize radiation field components that are not directly detectable by our instrumentations (e.g.,
Implementation and Optimization of Devices for Modulating the Gamma Component of the Radiation Spectrum

In order to reduce of several hundred times the gamma component at RRE, we are adapting to our purposes a Gamma spectrometry shield. The 10 cm thick lead hollow cylinder will be...
equipped with a properly designed ventilation system to prevent the accumulation of radon and with a temperature and light control system for proper *Drosophila* maintenance.

To increase the gamma component at LRE, we will use especially designed Marinelli beakers filled with natural gamma-emitter building material (tuff and pozzolana), and sealed to avoid any radon exposure. This approach has been proposed for the first time by the WIPP group who used gamma from $^{40}$K to increase the dose rate underground in bacteria experiments (16).

The increase of the dose rate with the gamma rays from the decay of the different emitters present in our tuff/pozzolana mix (see Figure 1C) is a first step in the attempt to simulate the low LET component of the background radiation spectrum. Starting from the measured value of about 20 nGy/h for gamma rays at LRE (i.e., the only low LET radiation type in this environment), we will gradually increase this contribution up to the total dose rate value of the low LET components at RRE (i.e., gamma rays plus muons) and even beyond. We will use TLD to monitor the dose rate inside and outside the shield and the Marinelli beaker.

Presently, we have launched a series of gamma radiation measurements using TLD-700H inside the cylindrical hole of a large size standard Marinelli beaker placed into the 10 cm thick lead cylinder (Figure 1A). A first set of measurements was performed during a period of 41 days with the aim to verify the vertical and horizontal variations in the dose distribution experienced by flies. Several vials containing five TLDs each, were placed at the perimeter of the Marinelli internal hole, at the same height. An additional vial was placed in the center of the hole in which two sets of TLDs were positioned at two different heights, i.e., 20 mm e 60 mm respectively (representing the base of the nutrient medium and the max height reached by the flies). Preliminary results gave a dose rate of ∼122 nGy/h, with a variation coefficient within 2.5% for the dosimeters placed in the same plane and a difference of about 20% between the dosimeters located at the two different heights. In order to reduce this difference below 10%, a value acceptable to our purposes, we decided to design new Marinelli beakers.

Simulations by means of the Geant 4 code (31) were performed where the geometry of the Marinelli beaker was implemented and its dimensions parameterized, keeping the internal hole fixed (Figure 1B). The volume of the Marinelli was assigned a material with the chemical composition and density of a standard rock. Then, gamma rays were generated and uniformly distributed within this volume, with isotropic direction distribution and energy randomly extracted from a spectrum of a tuff/pozzolana sample, as measured by an HPGe detector (Figure 1C).

As expected, the variation between the dosimeters located at the two aforementioned heights was mainly due to the irradiation coming from the bottom part of the Marinelli. Therefore, we simulated only the upper part of the Marinelli, a cylindrical shell with an inner and outer diameter of 90 mm and 180 mm, respectively, and performed a scan of the simulated dose rate by
varying the position of the TLD. We found that in the central region between 20 and 60 mm the dose rate is constant within 5%, a condition that is suitable for our purposes.

Simulations also indicate that increasing the outer diameter to 280 mm, the dose rate at the position of 20 mm height could be increased up to 40%.

Feasibility Test of *Drosophila* Irradiation Using Marinelli Beaker

Taking advantage of our previous results (12), to test the Marinelli as exposure device we conducted at RRE a benchmark test on fertility, being this end point robust and less time consuming than life span. Wild-type *Drosophila* was put inside the Marinelli beaker and also inside a phantom, having the same geometry as the Marinelli, in the absence of naturally occurring gamma-emitters. Both were placed on the bench in the same fly-room two meters apart each other in order to significantly reduce the gamma irradiation from Marinelli to the phantom. Temperature, relative humidity and day/night light cycle were the same. Briefly, five young wild-type males and five virgin wild-type females were crossed for 1 week, parents were removed and progeny was left to develop to adults. The number of pupae was counted along with the number of hatched adults until 21 days. No significant differences were observed in the number of pupae or hatched adults per cross in the two exposure scenarios (Figure 2), suggesting that *Drosophila* fertility is not affected by ~5 times increasing of the gamma component at RRE (~122 nGy/h vs. ~22 nGy/h).

The experiment was useful to check the irradiation configuration and confirmed the feasibility of the test in the conditions we are setting.

CONCLUSIONS

Underground biology is becoming a field in constant and considerable expansion. The last few years showed a growing interest from several worldwide DULs for biological studies and increasing efforts to implement in loco underground radiobiology. Although a limited number of research groups are involved in this field of investigation, due to the limited number of DULs worldwide and the difficulties of working in such extreme places, the information that comes from controlled studies in underground environments can be extremely interesting from basic and applied science.

Experiments conducted in DULs have shown changes compared to above ground laboratories in the responses of bacteria, protozoa and mammalian cells, as well as in more complex organisms, i.e., flies, fishes and worms. Experimental evidence indicates that despite natural radiation background is presently extremely small nevertheless it may be significant enough for living organisms to sense it and respond to it, keeping memory of this continuous exposure.

Moreover, observation of a lower stress response below the average environmental background, associated to the non-linear responses observed at low dose/dose rate, further challenges the radioprotection assumption that stochastic risk is directly proportional to dose (the LNT model) (32, 33).

The search for valid multicellular model systems and robust endpoints, the definition of efficient strategies and the need of deep radiation environment characterization for radiation quality studies are some of the objectives pursued by the underground biology groups (DULIA-bio community).

In this framework, RENOIR’s results are expected to help take a step forward this direction, especially if properly integrated with data coming from above ground laboratories at increasing dose rates.

To conclude, investigation in DULs can help to understand the molecular mechanisms underlying biological effects observed at very low radiation dose/dose-rate (e.g., adaptive response and bystander effect), their interrelationship, their dependence on radiation type, total dose and dose rate and, even more importantly, their possible role in human health risks.
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