Set-shifting-related basal ganglia deformation as a novel familial marker of obsessive–compulsive disorder
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The symptoms of obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) are suggestive of cognitive rigidity, and previous work identified impaired flexible responding on set-shifting tasks in such patients. The basal ganglia are central to habit learning and are thought to be abnormal in OCD, contributing to inflexible, rigid habitual patterns of behaviour. Here, we demonstrate that increased cognitive inflexibility, indexed by poor performance on the set-shifting task, correlated with putamen morphology, and that patients and their asymptomatic relatives had common curvature abnormalities within this same structure. The association between the structure of the putamen and the extradimensional errors was found to be significantly familial in OCD proband-relative pairs. The data implicate changes in basal ganglia structure linked to cognitive inflexibility as a familial marker of OCD. This may reflect a predisposing heightened propensity toward habitual response patterns and deficits in goal-directed planning.
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Participants
The pooled data-set included participants from two studies, where participants underwent conventional imaging measures, reported previously. Abnormalities in this cognitive function have been extensively implicated in the pathophysiology of OCD. Impaired set-shifting performance on the intradimensional/extradimensional (IDED) task has been confirmed in a meta-analysis of OCD studies with medium-to-large effect size, and has also been found to extend to clinically asymptomatic first-degree relatives of patients, thereby constituting a candidate familial marker.

Given that basal ganglia morphological changes and impaired set-shifting have separately been implicated as candidate familial markers for OCD in prior work, this study sought to demonstrate a link between the two. We hypothesised that basal ganglia shape would relate to set-shifting performance overall; and morphological abnormalities would be found in patients with OCD and their relatives versus controls, in the identified set-shifting-related brain regions.

Method

Participants were screened for mental disorders by extended clinical interview conducted by a psychiatrist, supplemented with the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Inventory (MINI). OCD severity was quantified with the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS), and IQ was measured by the
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Method

Participants were screened for mental disorders by extended clinical interview conducted by a psychiatrist, supplemented with the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Inventory (MINI). OCD severity was quantified with the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS), and IQ was measured by the
National Adult Reading Test.15,16 Patients with OCD were excluded if they had any comorbidities, including depression. Controls and first-degree relatives of patients were excluded if they had any history of mental disorders. Controls were excluded if they reported any known history of OCD in first-degree family members. History of serious head injury, substance misuse, epilepsy or magnetic resonance imaging contraindications was exclusionary across all groups.

The study was approved by the Addenbrooke’s NHS Trust Local Research Ethics Committee (Cambridge, UK) and Local Research Ethical Committee at the University of Cambridge (Cambridge, UK). The research complied with relevant ethical standards including the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants provided written informed consent after reading information sheets about the study and having the opportunity to ask questions.

Behavioural testing

Before scanning, all participants undertook the computerised IDED set-shift task from the Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (Cambridge Cognition, Cambridge, UK; see https://www.cambridgecognition.com/cantab/). Two stimuli were displayed on-screen for each trial, and participants attempted to learn underlying rules governing which stimulus was correct, based on computerised feedback. Set-shifting performance on the task was indexed by errors on the crucial extradimensional attentional shift stage. Of the different stages of the task (i.e. learning, intradimensional set-shifting, etc.) extradimensional attentional shift stage was previously found to be selectively impaired in OCD, in meta-analysis.10 Extradimensional shifting is widely regarded as the archetypal form of set-shifting on such tasks. A more detailed task description is provided in Supplementary File 1.

Data analysis

To address the two study hypotheses, our overall a priori analytic approach was first, to identify morphological regions of basal ganglia structures related to set-shifting performance; and second, to examine whether morphology in these set-shifting-related areas was abnormal in patients with OCD and their relatives versus controls.

Following standard pre-processing pipelines (for details, see Supplementary File 1), vertex analysis was deployed to investigate the shapes of subcortical structures, using FIRST from the FMRIB Software Library (FSL).17 The vertex locations of each participant were projected onto the surface normal of the average shape template of the 336 training subjects provided by FSL, and the perpendicular distance from the average surface was calculated. A positive value of the vertex indicated deformation in the outward direction, and a negative value of a vertex indicated deformation in the inward direction. ‘Randomise’, a permutation-based, non-parametric testing method implemented in FSL (5000 iterations), was used to identify subcortical structures whose shape was significantly associated (i.e. correlated with) with extradimensional errors, across all participants.18 Based on extant models of OCD and structures implicated in flexibility, we focused on the caudate, putamen, globus pallidus and nucleus accumbens.2,3 We performed multiple comparison correction at $P < 0.05$, using threshold-free cluster enhancement.

Group differences in demographic data were explored by ANOVA or chi-squared test, as appropriate. Group differences in subcortical clusters associated with extradimensional performance were explored by non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test, to identify overall group differences; and Wilcoxon test, for pairwise comparison of groups if overall effects of groups were found. Correlations (Spearman’s rho) were examined between patient–relative pairs in terms of curvature in the identified clusters, and between randomly permuted pairs of participants, to identify whether the extradimensional-related structural changes were significantly familial. Statistical significance was defined as $P < 0.05$ (uncorrected).

Results

The groups did not differ significantly from each other in terms of age, gender and estimated verbal IQ (all $P > 0.10$; Supplementary Table 1). A total of 51 (67.1%) patients in the OCD group were receiving psychotropic medication. The mean total Y-BOCS score in the OCD group was 21.89 (s.d. 5.30).

Two significant brain clusters were identified in which curvature was significantly associated with the number of extradimensional errors on the IDED task across all study participants (Fig. 1, a and b, left panel). One cluster (overall $P = 0.0380$) was in the left putamen, maximal at $[X = -32, Y = -8, Z = -3]$ and of extent 363 voxels. The other cluster (overall $P = 0.0252$) was in the left pallidum, maximal at $[X = -21, Y = -15, Z = -2]$ and of extent 216 voxels. The scanner type did not significantly affect curvature in these clusters (each $P > 0.50$ by Wilcoxon test, comparing cluster parameters between sites).

Groups differed significantly in curvature in the left putamen cluster (Kruskal–Wallis test, 10.001; $P = 0.007$), but not significantly in the left pallidum cluster (Kruskal–Wallis test, 2.308; $P = 0.315$). As shown in Fig. 1a and b (right panel), patients with OCD had significant inward curvature deformation in the left putamen cluster versus controls ($P = 0.008$), as did their relatives ($P = 0.008$). The group difference in the left putamen cluster was also significant in each study data-set considered separately: study 1, $P = 0.0270$; study 2, $P = 0.0353$. Patients did not differ significantly from relatives on this measure ($P = 0.3661$).

Mean curvature in the extradimensional-associated putamen cluster was significantly correlated in OCD proband–relative pairs ($r^2 = 0.261, P < 0.001$), but not randomly permuted pairs ($P > 0.10$; bootstrap $N = 2500$, 95% confidence interval for the null model $P$-value was $0.37–0.39$).

Patients with OCD who were receiving psychotropic medication did not differ from patients who were not receiving such medication, in terms of curvature in the putamen cluster (Wilcoxon test, $P = 0.78$). Curvature in the putamen cluster did not significantly correlate with Y-BOCS total scores in patients with OCD ($P = 0.233$).

As expected, and previously reported, patients with OCD and their relatives were selectively impaired on the extradimensional stage of the IDED task versus controls (Supplementary File 1).

Discussion

By conducting an analysis of cognitive function and basal ganglia morphology from magnetic resonance imaging data, this study showed that set-shifting performance (extradimensional shifting) correlated with putamen morphology; and demonstrated that patients with OCD and their asymptomatic first-degree relatives had common abnormalities in this set-shifting-related region. Furthermore, the association between the structure of the putamen and the extradimensional errors was found to be significantly familial in OCD proband–relative pairs. These structural brain changes in patients with OCD and their relatives reflect localised (at the millimetre level) changes in the shape of specific basal ganglia structures.
The implication of these findings is that putamen shape relates to set-shifting performance, and that deformation of this structure constitutes a related candidate familial marker for OCD. The findings may reflect clustering of different groups in separate areas of the bidimensional (i.e. extradimensional shift versus brain structure) variable space. Previous translational research indicate that the putamen plays a cardinal role in learning, habitual behaviour and adapting stimulus-response associations. Extrdimensional errors in OCD were previously found to be associated with reduced frontal connectivity between the dorsal striatum and frontal cortical regions. Thus, predilection toward habitual response patterns and impaired goal-directed control, linked to morphological changes of the basal ganglia, appear to confer familial risk for OCD. The morphological changes do not appear to be diagnostic markers or a consequence of symptoms themselves, and are not secondary to psychotropic medication. Structural abnormalities of the basal ganglia may reflect altered neurodevelopmental trajectories.

Fig. 1 (a and b) Left panel: magnetic resonance imaging of basal ganglia regions in which structural curvature was significantly associated with extradimensional shift performance. Blue indicates regions in which extradimensional errors were associated with greater inward curvature; red indicates regions in which extradimensional errors were associated with greater outward curvature. Green indicates the remainder of the given structure. (a and b) Right panel: violin plots showing curvature distributions, in each study group, for the clusters significantly associated with extradimensional performance. **P < 0.01 significant difference between groups, by Wilcoxon test. Only group differences in the left putamen cluster were significant. OCD, obsessive-compulsive disorder.

Isobe et al 316 https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2021.45 Published online by Cambridge University Press
associated with the risk of OCD, but to address this would require longitudinal research.
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