Epigenetic Measurement of Key Vertebrate Population Biology Parameters
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The age, sex, and sexual maturity of individual animals are key parameters in assessing wild populations and informing conservation management strategies. These parameters represent the reproductive potential of a population and can indicate recovery rates or vulnerabilities. Natural populations of wild animals are difficult to study; logistically, economically, and due to the impacts of invasive biomonitoring. Genetic and epigenetic analyses offer a low impact, low cost, and information-rich alternative. As epigenetic mechanisms are intrinsically linked with both biological aging and reproductive processes, DNA methylation can be used as a suitable biomarker for population biology study. This review assesses published research utilizing DNA methylation analysis in relation to three key population parameters: age, sex, and sexual maturity. We review studies on wild vertebrates that investigate epigenetic age relationships, with successful age estimation assays designed for mammals, birds, and fish. For both determination of sex and identification of sexual maturity, very little has been explored regarding DNA methylation-based assays. Related research, however, confirms the links between DNA methylation and these processes. Future development of age estimation assays for underrepresented and key conservation taxa is suggested, as is the experimental development and design of DNA methylation-based assays for both sex and sexual maturity identification, further expanding the genomics toolkit for population biology studies.
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INTRODUCTION

We are currently experiencing a worldwide environmental crisis, with animal species increasingly threatened by habitat loss, pollution, climate change, and overexploitation (Thomas et al., 2004; Hooper et al., 2012; Darimont et al., 2015; Maxwell et al., 2016; Hammerschlag and Gallagher, 2017; Nabi et al., 2018; Zabel et al., 2019). Anthropogenic stressors have impacted almost all vertebrate species, with more than one-fifth characterized as “threatened” by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (Hoffmann et al., 2010). Effective conservation policies are therefore
necessary to slow global biodiversity losses and maintain viable populations of vertebrates (Reed et al., 2003; Hoffmann et al., 2010). Monitoring the efficacy of conservation efforts and determining current population trends in threatened species requires knowledge of key parameters of a species’ population biology, such as rates of birth, death, and migration.

The size, growth rate, and distribution of an animal population is determined by various life history traits, including age distribution, sex ratio, reproductive interval, and litter size (McNab, 1980; Reed et al., 2003; McRae et al., 2012). Population trend assessments can be made by measuring these characteristics within wild populations. Historically this has been difficult, requiring extensive longitudinal studies incorporating visual surveys or trapping programs (Thompson, 2007; Amano et al., 2011; Camacho et al., 2017; Fox et al., 2018). Furthermore, some research methods for measuring these features, including trapping and other animal handling approaches, can have negative impacts upon study populations such as long-term behavioral changes (Kukalová et al., 2013; Camacho et al., 2017). Post-mortem study is also required for some characteristics, but this is rarely possible for practical or ethical reasons when threatened, endangered, or protected species are involved (Jarman et al., 2015). These considerations highlight the need for low impact alternatives.

Genomic tools provide an alternative, minimally invasive method for measurement of many population biology parameters (Figure 1). Epigenetic analyses, specifically through the analysis of DNA methylation (DNAm), are now allowing for an increased range of animal biological parameters to be studied (Bossdorf et al., 2008; De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2017a; Herrel et al., 2020; Rey et al., 2020). DNAm analysis primarily involves the determination of percentage rates of methylation group presence at the C5 position of a Cytosine nucleotide adjacent to a Guanine nucleotide, commonly referred to as “CpG” sites (Hannum et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2015; Jin and Liu, 2018). CpG sites are often clustered into “islands” in 5′ regulatory regions of vertebrate genes and are involved in regulating gene expression through hypermethylation (increased methylation, generally decreased transcription) and hypomethylation (decreased methylation, generally increased transcription) within the regulatory region (Hannum et al., 2013). By assessing specific CpG sites, percentage rates of methylation can be determined throughout an animal’s life, and this information can be used in informing a range of characteristics. DNAm analyses have been applied to the age estimation of wild animals (Polanowski et al., 2014); population differentiation, evolutionary drivers, and phenotypic variation (Liu et al., 2012; Caracappa et al., 2016; Baldanzi et al., 2017); mechanisms of sexual differentiation and developmental processes (Morán and Pérez-figueroa, 2011; Road et al., 2016); and for ecotoxicological purposes (Wang et al., 2009; Nilsen et al., 2016).

The successful use of DNAm analysis for measuring these population, evolutionary, and biological characteristics raises the possibility of its application to other life history traits (Figure 1). In this review, we identify recent advances within the discipline and important ecologically focused studies utilizing DNAm analysis across three key population biology parameters: age, sex, and attainment of sexual maturity. The potential applications of DNAm analysis are highlighted and key future foci identified for this new tool in the ecologist’s toolbox.

**AGE ESTIMATION**

As an organism ages, many biological characteristics change, including size, behavior, and sexual maturity. These changes impact an individual’s ability to survive and reproduce effectively. This in turn affects the population as a whole, and population age structures can indicate past events and future growth potential (Jarman et al., 2015; Riekkola et al., 2018). Population age profiles can therefore act as an indicator of population decline or recovery (Lebreton et al., 1992; Riekkola et al., 2018). Historically, most age estimation methods required either post-mortem study, costly long-term visual surveys, or invasive capture and release strategies (Kukalová et al., 2013; Chevallier et al., 2017; Carroll et al., 2018). Molecular methods had been developed for human age estimation but were found to have limited accuracy or required certain sample types (Zubakov et al., 2010; De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2017a). The ability to determine age using DNAm analysis of samples obtained from live wild animals offers a minimally invasive and accurate alternative.

Age estimation by DNAm analysis is a recent development, with assays first developed for humans (Bocklandt et al., 2011; Horvath, 2013). DNAm was initially shown to correlate with age in humans at promoters of three gene regions (Bocklandt et al., 2011). Subsequently, assays were developed to age a range of human and mouse tissues through methylation profiles of a set of CpG sites (Bocklandt et al., 2011; Koch et al., 2011; Horvath, 2013; Horvath and Raj, 2018). DNAm age estimators for wild animals were adapted and produced soon after, with the first being for the humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae; Polanowski et al., 2014). The humpback epigenetic age assay achieved a mean difference between predicted and actual age of 3.8 years (when assessing whales of known age), an estimation more accurate than alternative methods at the time. This model was then successfully applied to wild whale populations of indeterminate age (Polanowski et al., 2014; Riekkola et al., 2018). This highlighted the potential of DNAm analysis for accurate age estimation of wild species, and importantly for species where age estimation through other methodologies was practically or ethically difficult (Jarman et al., 2015).

Epigenetic age assays have several constraints that are not common in most genetic analyses. Due to DNAm variation between tissue types, a result of gene expression variation between tissues, only a single tissue type can generally be used for age estimators (Anastasiadi et al., 2018; Jung et al., 2019). Also, age associated CpG sites may vary between species, both in their location and strength of correlation (De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2017b). While assays developed for one species have been applied to another, including a study on minke whales (Balaenoptera bonaerensis) utilizing the humpback assay, different CpG sites correlated with age than those identified for humpback whales (Tanabe et al., 2020). This indicates the potential need for complete re-identification of age associated CpG sites in each.
new species. Additionally, some tissue types, especially those easily and ethically collected such as feathers, may add additional complications or not be suitable for age estimation or other epigenetic analyses (De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2017b). The initial development effort appears to be generally justified, however, with a variety of successful age estimation models developed for captive and model organisms (Anastasiadi and Piferrer, 2020; Lowe et al., 2020). It is now being rapidly adopted by the ecological field, with DNAm-based age associated studies in wild animals increasing steadily since the first in 2014 (Table 1).

While many successful DNAm age estimation assays have been developed for mammals, there has been limited research involving non-mammalian species. Only two groups have investigated DNAm and age relationships in wild birds. A study focused on the short-tailed shearwater (a seabird, *Ardenna tenuirostris*) found age-associated CpG sites identified in mammals were not conserved in birds, and an accurate age assay could not be generated using the selected markers (De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2017b). Follow-up research, however, was able to successfully identify age-related genomic regions and develop the first epigenetic age estimation assay for birds (De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2019). The other bird-focused study found DNAm relationships between age and heterozygosity in black grouse (*Lyrurus tetrix*), but their goal was not to develop an assay for age determination (Soulsbury et al., 2018). In reptiles, age negatively correlated with global DNAm in wild alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*) in two studies, a trend related to the process of epigenetic drift, but age estimation was not the objective of either study (Parrott et al., 2014; Jones et al., 2015; Nilsen et al., 2016). Similarly, a recent study on lizards also identified relationships between increased age and global demethylation, but age determination once again was not attempted (Sargsyan et al., 2019). No DNAm-based age estimation studies on wild amphibians or fish have been completed to date. However, the first piscine epigenetic clock has been developed using captive seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*), with age estimation possible to within 2.15 years (Anastasiadi and Piferrer, 2020). These studies all highlight the efficacy of epigenetic age estimation across taxa groups, and the expansion of research into critical conservation species is recommended, especially for those taxa where traditional age estimation techniques are not appropriate.

**SEX IDENTIFICATION AND DIFFERENTIATION**

Sex is one of the most significant traits affecting an individual animal’s phenotype. Population sex ratios are important in determining population growth rate potential and often reflect the effects of environmental pressures (Donald, 2007). However, sex is difficult to determine visually in many species (Shaw et al., 2003). This is exemplified by the many bird species that do not have external sexual characteristics (Griffiths and Tiwari, 1993). Genetic methods for determining bird sex by PCR.
testing for sex chromosomes have been developed to overcome this problem (Griffiths and Tiwari, 1993; Huynen et al., 2002). Similar tests for mammals have also been developed (Shaw et al., 2003; Kurose et al., 2005; Lindsay and Belant, 2008; Strah and Kunej, 2019). Many vertebrates, however, do not have chromosomally determined sex (Trukhina et al., 2013). Turtles undergo temperature-dependent sex determination, and several fish species can change sex throughout their lives (Allsop and West, 2003; Radhakrishnan et al., 2018; Bista and Valenzuela, 2020). This environmental sex determination involves large-scale epigenetic regulation of gene expression (Tachibana, 2016).

DNAm levels have been linked to sexual differentiation, maintenance, and age of sexual maturation (Morán and Pérez-figueroa, 2011; Wen et al., 2014; Domingos et al., 2018; Radhakrishnan et al., 2018). As sexual differentiation is facilitated by epigenetic mechanisms, DNAm analyses should theoretically be able to identify sex using biomarkers for sex specific DNAm variation. However, to date, no such assay has been developed, but research into epigenetic mechanisms of sex determination have demonstrated that it is possible (Matsumoto et al., 2013; Kuroki and Tachibana, 2018; Metzger and Schulte, 2018). For example, DNAm levels regulating the aromatase gene in turtles and fish are known to be altered by temperature, leading to either male or female gonadogenesis (Navarro-Martín et al., 2011; Matsumoto et al., 2013). By assessing methylation patterns within these genes, related sex-specific genes, and their promoter regions, markers for sex identification could be developed.

Most studies into epigenetic control of vertebrate sexual mechanisms and development are performed under controlled laboratory conditions (Wen et al., 2014; Laing et al., 2018; Ortega-Recale et al., 2019). Recently, however, research has begun to also focus upon wild animal populations (Domingos et al., 2018; Martín-del-campo et al., 2018; Soulsbury et al., 2018). The first such study assessed Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) and DNAm levels during maturation, finding high DNAm variation between two of three analyzed tissue types (gonads and brain, though not in liver) between maturation states (Morán and Pérez-figueroa, 2011). This suggested that early maturation was mostly mediated by epigenetic processes and not genetic variation. Contaminant impact on gonadal growth was assessed in the European eel (Anguilla anguilla), finding a potential role of DNAm in transcriptional regulation of a gene linked to gonadal development in female eels (Pierron et al., 2014). Similar correlations were found in the Japanese flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) between DNAm levels and the sex-related genes dmr1 and cyp19a, which are responsible for testes development and enzymes involved in estrogen synthesis (Wen et al., 2014). These links were supported by previous studies.

| Target species | Study focus | DNAm analysis type | Model precision | Author and year |
|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) | Influence of age, contaminant exposure, and tissue type on global DNAm variation in wild reptiles | Global | ND | Parrott et al., 2014 |
| American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) | Mercury and aging impacts on DNAm levels in wild reptiles | Global | ND | Nilsen et al., 2016 |
| Antarctic minke whale (Balaenoptera bonaerensis) | Investigation of age related CpG sites in a marine mammal | CpG Sites | ND | Tanabe et al., 2020 |
| Beluga whale (Delphinapterus leucas) | Development of an age estimation tool for a marine mammal | CpG Sites | 2.9 years (5% of lifespan) | Bors et al., 2021 |
| Black grouse (Lyrurus tetrix) | DNAm relationships with age and sexual trait expression in wild birds | Global | ND | Soulsbury et al., 2018 |
| Chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) | Age estimation assay for wild apes | CpG Sites | 5.41 years (12.0% of lifespan) | Ito et al., 2018 |
| Common bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) | Age estimation assay for a wild marine mammal | CpG Sites | 4.83 years (9.66% of lifespan) | Beal et al., 2019 |
| Darevskia lizards (D. armeniaca and D. raddii) | Analysis of impacts of age and pollutants on DNAm levels | Global | ND | Sargsyan et al., 2019 |
| Humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) | Age estimation assay for wild marine mammals | CpG Sites | 2.99 years (5.98% of lifespan) | Polanowski et al., 2014 |
| Humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) | Age estimation assay application on wild marine mammals | CpG Sites | 2.99 years (5.98% of lifespan) | Riekkola et al., 2018 |
| Short-tailed shearwaters (Ardenna tenuirostris) | Age estimation assay for wild birds (unsuccessful) | CpG Sites | ND | De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2017b |
| Short-tailed shearwaters (Ardenna tenuirostris) | Age estimation assay for wild birds | CpG Sites | 2.81 years (9.37% of lifespan) | De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2019 |
| Wolves (Canis lupus) | Age estimation assay for dogs and wild wolves | CpG Sites | 0.79 years (9.88% of lifespan) | Thompson et al., 2017 |

Model precision represented as the standard deviation of mean difference between known and estimated ages.

Model precision represented as mean absolute error.

Model precision represented as mean absolute difference/deviation (between estimated and actual age).

The ratio between precision (stated by the age estimation model of each study) and the estimated mean lifespan of the target taxa, represented as a percentage. ND, not determined.
under experimental conditions (Navarro-Martín et al., 2011). A recent study also found that DNAm is a likely mechanism for natural sex maintenance, with DNAm suggested to be largely responsible for regulating expression of cyp19a in Chinese sea perch (Lateolabrax maculatus; Chen et al., 2018). Correlations have also been found between dmrt1 and cyp19a expression and DNAm, as well as sex-specific alternative splicing, within wild barramundi (Lates calcarifer) (Domingos et al., 2018). A whole genome approach in stickleback fish (Gasterosteus aculeatus) demonstrated hypermethylaton of the X chromosome in females, suggesting DNAm was playing a role in suppressing X and Y chromosome recombination and possible sex-specific gene expression levels (Metzger and Schulte, 2018). Further research on zebrafish (Danio rerio) linked DNAm with both gonad transformation and identified that demethylation of female-linked genetic regions was critical in sex determination for that species (Ortega-Recalde et al., 2019). These studies all support the relationship between sex and DNAm variation, highlighting both the importance of epigenetic processes in sex determination and the future potential of DNAm biomarkers for sex identification. These biomarkers would be most beneficial for ecological assessments of animals that lack both phenotypic or genomic sex markers, such as turtle hatchlings and other reptile species, or as a value-adding tool where DNA methylation analyses are already being undertaken (Tezak et al., 2020).

**SEXUAL MATURITY**

Sexual maturity is reached when an organism can first successfully reproduce. The age that sexual maturity is reached varies greatly in vertebrates, both within and between species, and can be heavily influenced by a range of environmental factors, such as diet (Angelini and Ghiara, 1984; Ricklefs, 2010; Soliman et al., 2014). The age of first reproduction (AFR) is significant in determining the reproductive potential of a population (Angelini and Ghiara, 1984; Mourocco et al., 2016). The AFR can be used to determine the total fecundity of an individual by deducting the AFR from the total lifespan, or reproductive senescence in some mammals (Mourocco et al., 2016; Ellis et al., 2018). Determining the AFR is therefore key in understanding a species’ reproductive capability and thus an important consideration in conservation management strategies.

In humans, and many other vertebrates, the onset of puberty commonly involves the release of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and the activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis, a set of endocrine glands which are critical to the functioning of the reproductive system. This leads to the production of sexual hormones and the transition into sexual maturity (Ojeda et al., 2010; Lomniczi et al., 2013; Rzeczewska et al., 2014). Epigenetic controls have recently been identified as a key mechanism within this process (Lomniczi et al., 2013; Aylwin et al., 2019). Sexual maturation in humans has been found to be mediated by epigenetic controls, with hypermethylation of key puberty-linked genes Eed and Cbx7 preceding puberty (Lomniczi et al., 2013). Inhibiting DNA methylation at these sites caused pubertal failure in rats. In rhesus monkeys (Macaca mulatta), increased methylation of the GnRH gene and increased levels of GnRH mRNA (messenger RNA) as puberty progressed has been demonstrated (Kurian and Terasawa, 2013). Similarly, dosing zebrafish with dexamethasone to imitate early life stress altered methylation in a GnRH gene promoter region, resulting in decreased spermatogenesis and reduced reproductive functions (Khor et al., 2016). More recently, conserved patterns of epigenetic controls in rat and goat sexual maturation have been indicated (Yang et al., 2018). Two genes linked with pubertal pathways, Edn3 and PTPRN2, showed similar increases in expression but significant variation in methylation patterns between species, indicating their potential role in the timing of species-specific puberty onset. In bovines, DNAm within sperm cells change between early pubertal and late pubertal states (Lambert et al., 2018). Bulls aged 10 months (early pubertal) were shown to have altered methylation profiles compared to sexually mature bulls. This potentially resulted in the value of early pubertal bull’s spermatozoa being compromised and lead to negative phenotypic traits due to altered epigenetic landscapes. This is a phenomenon initially identified in human studies (Kobayashi et al., 2009). Recent research has also identified varied DNAm patterns across the pubertal boundary, with clear differences in DNAm levels between pubertal states reported in pigs (Yuan et al., 2019). This indicates the potential presence of differentially methylated CpGs for the development of DNAm assays to assess sexual maturity.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVE**

Genetic tools are well established in conservation and ecological applications. This is due to their ability to generate large amounts of data from small tissue samples harvested from wild animals with minimal impact (Angeloni et al., 2012). Their widespread uptake has been further facilitated by the continued development of high throughput DNA sequencing platforms and related reductions in sequencing costs during the last decade (Schwarze et al., 2020). The importance of epigenetic processes in an ecological context is now also becoming clear (Parrott and Bertucci, 2019; Herrel et al., 2020). This is attributable to both the bridging role between environments and the individual that epigenetics fills, and its intrinsic links with many developmental mechanisms (Rey et al., 2020). The information contained within the methylene can help researchers understand many of these processes, as well as be utilized as a valuable biomarker for informing on individual characteristics. Epigenetic research has, to date, been largely restricted to human and model organism study. These analyses are now being extended to non-model and wild animal species. They can now be utilized to assess key population parameters which have previously been prohibitively difficult or impossible to determine.

A range of wild species have now had age estimation assays based upon DNAm markers successfully designed and applied (Polanowski et al., 2014; Wright et al., 2018; De Paoli-Iseppi et al., 2019). This has allowed for the determination of age structures...
within populations and as a tool for assessing population recovery (Riekkola et al., 2018). The development of DNAm-based age estimation assays for wild reptiles, amphibians, and fish, as well as further increasing those for mammals and birds, would further facilitate the assessment of this important biological trait in wild populations. This is especially true for threatened, endangered, or protected species where traditional methods are not viable. Age estimation assay development for key threatened species should therefore be a future focus, as should the attempted development of multi-species assays for more cost-effective applications of this tool.

While the utility of DNAm-based age estimators is evident, they have some limitations that should be considered when designing a research program. Firstly, there can be variation between chronological age and biological age of an individual (Horvath and Raj, 2018). This issue affects the questions that can be answered with a DNAm age assay, as in some cases biological age may be of more interest than chronological age (Horvath and Raj, 2018; Bell et al., 2019). Secondly, epigenetic relationships with animal age vary between tissue type (Illingworth et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2019). The second issue determines the sampling strategies that can be used, as many species cannot have all tissues sampled easily or ethically.

Epigenetic processes involved with both sexual maturity and sex determination in vertebrates have now been identified, opening the doors to a range of research prospects (Lomniczi et al., 2013; Rzeczewska et al., 2014). The identification of sex specific DNAm patterns could be utilized to determine animal sex quickly and accurately. This is especially important in species where sex is not chromosomally determined and impossible to test for with current genomic tools or visually assess and should be a research priority. Additionally, continued research into DNAm processes involved with sexual maturity could allow for the development of assays able to determine the pubertal stage of animals. DNAm biomarkers as an indicator for species-specific sexual maturity would facilitate a greater understanding of this key parameter for population reproductive capability (Casale et al., 2011). Future research should focus upon the analysis of DNAm differentiation between prepubertal and sexually mature vertibrate taxa, with subsequent assays for maturity developed.

The next important steps are to continue to develop and assess these epigenetically focused assays for a greater range of vertebrate species and applications. A combined epigenetic toolkit would be able to rapidly identify three important parameters of population biology from one tissue sample. The age, sex, and pubertal stage could all be assessed. These could potentially be multiplexed into a singular assay and be complemented by conventional population genetic or kinship analyses (Figure 1). This would allow for a rapid, cost-effective, and in-depth assessment of a wild population with minimal sampling requirements. This toolkit would facilitate effective and informed management decisions, allowing for expanded biomonitoring options in a rapidly shifting and heavily impacted global biodiversity environment.
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