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Abstract: The magnetic interactions of iron-rich manganese-based ThMn$_{12}$ type rare earth metal intermetallic compounds are extremely complex. The antiferromagnetic structure sublattice and the ferromagnetic structure sublattice had coexisted and competed with each other. Previous works are focus on studying magnetic properties of RFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$ ($x = 0–9.0$, $\Delta x = 0.2$). In this work, we obtained a detailed magnetic phase diagram for iron-rich ErFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$ series alloy samples with a fine composition increment ($\Delta x = 0.2$), and studied the exchange bias effect and magneto-caloric effect of samples. ErFe$_{x}$Mn$_{12-x}$ series ($x = 7.0–9.0$, $\Delta x = 0.2$) alloy samples were synthesized by arc melting, and the pure ThMn$_{12}$-type phase structure was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (XRD). The neutron diffraction test was used to confirm the Mn atom preferentially occupying the 8i position and to quantify the Mn. The magnetic properties of the materials were characterized by a comprehensive physical property measurement system (PPMS). Accurate magnetic phase diagrams of the samples in the composition range 7.0–9.0 were obtained. Along with temperature decrease, the samples experienced paramagnetic, ferromagnetic changes for samples with $x < 7.4$ and $x > 8.4$, and paramagnetic, antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic or paramagnetic, ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic changes for samples with $7.4 \leq x \leq 8.2$. The tunable exchange bias effect was observed for sample with $7.4 \leq x \leq 8.2$, which resulting from competing magnetic interacting among ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic sublattices. The maximum magnetic entropy change in an ErFe$_{9.0}$Mn$_{3.0}$ specimen reached 1.92 J/kg/K around room temperature when the magnetic field change was 5 T. This study increases our understanding of exchange bias effects and allows us to better control them.
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1. Introduction

Manganese (Mn) is the only 3d-series element that forms a stable ThMn$_{12}$-type structure with rare earth elements [1,2], and it is mainly ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic [3]. However, the pure ThMn$_{12}$-type rare earth iron compound RFe$_{12}$ does not exist. In the early 1980s, Yang et al. [4] found that a stable ternary rare earth iron intermetallic compound R(Fe$_x$Mn$_{1-x}$)$_{12}$ could be formed by substitution, thus setting off a surge of research into iron-rich ThMn$_{12}$-type compounds [5]. Subsequent studies have found that a number of tertiary elements can stabilize the ThMn$_{12}$ phase; their molecular formulas can be written as RFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$ or R(Fe,M)$_{12}$, where R is a rare earth element and M = Mn, V, Cr, Mo, W, Ti, Si, Al, Nb or Ga [6–9].

In the RMn$_{12}$ alloy, the strong antiferromagnetic interaction between manganese atoms prohibits interaction between rare earth atoms and manganese atoms, so the RMn$_{12}$ alloy has two magnetic ordering temperatures: R-R ferromagnetic ordering temperature, and Mn-Mn antiferromagnetic ordering temperature [10]. Iron (Fe) can replace Mn in large
quantities (up to 75%) without changing the crystal structure [11]. Researchers [12–22] have investigated the structure and magnetic transitions of RFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series materials ($x = 0$–$9.0$, $\Delta x = 1$) using neutron diffraction, magnetic measurements and electrical measurements and have found that magnetic interaction in the alloy is extremely complex. As the proportion of Fe increases, the material undergoes an antiferromagnetic → antiferromagnetic + ferromagnetic → ferromagnetic transition. Among the iron-rich RFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($x = 6.0$–$9.0$) samples, only materials with integer values of $x$ have been studied. This composition range includes the magnetic transition stage in which antiferromagnetism and ferromagnetism coexist in the material and plane anisotropy and axis anisotropy compete with each other. Therefore, it is necessary to prepare iron-rich RFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($x = 6.0$–$9.0$) alloy samples with a finer composition change to obtain more detailed and complete magnetic phase diagrams, and thus be able to develop new aspects of applications for the material. We first studied YFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($x = 6.0$–$9.0$) samples to obtain more complete magnetic phase diagrams for the materials and observed very large exchange bias effects and zero field cooling (ZFC) exchange bias effects in the samples [23]. After the discovery of exchange bias effect in Co/CoO nanoparticles, investigations have been mainly focused on a large number of heterogeneous structures such as magnetic bilayers, core-shell nanoparticles, and ferromagnetic nanoparticles embedded in antiferromagnetic matrix compounds [24–26]. So, it is necessary to further study exchange bias for the bulk metallic materials with exchange interactions occurring among the bulk sublattice. Firstly, we study how the magnetic atoms affect the EB effect in ThMn$_{12}$-type compounds. The second-order Stevens factor $a_j$ for Er atoms is $>0$, but the second-order crystal field coefficient ($A20$) of the rare earth sublattice in the ThMn$_{12}$ structure is negative, so magnetocrystalline anisotropy tends to the easy axis. We prepared ErFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0$, $\Delta x = 0.2$) alloy specimens have been prepared by arc melting to enable us to investigate the structure and magnetism of the alloy.

2. Experimental Methods

ErFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0$, $\Delta x = 0.2$) alloys were prepared by arc melting. The raw material was melted 4–5 times in an argon gas atmosphere according to the stoichiometric ratio to produce the alloy ingot; 5% more rare earth and 13% more Mn were added to compensate for volatilization in the melting process. A smaller current of 150 A was applied twice for melting, followed by a 200 A current once or twice to control the against excessive Mn volatilization. Specimens from the master alloy ingots were placed in sealed quartz tubes filled with argon and cooled down to room temperature after heat treatment at 1173 K for 2 days.

Phase purity was confirmed by a Cu target X-ray powder diffractometer (PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands) at room temperature. The high-resolution neutron diffraction spectrometer ($\lambda = 0.18846$ nm) of Mianyang Research Reactor (CMRR, Mianyang, China) was used to analyze the crystal structure, in particularly for the positions of Mn atoms. Powdered alloy was bonded into a small cylinder with epoxy resin or the alloy ingot was shattered, so that we could select a small piece of regular shape for magnetic measurement. The ZFC and field cooling (FC) thermomagnetic curves ($M$–$T$ curves) of the samples were recorded, and the magnetic hysteresis loops ($M$–$H$ loops) of the samples under different FC and temperature conditions were measured by the comprehensive physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design (San Diego, CA, USA)).

3. Experimental Results and Analysis

A phase of the ThMn$_{12}$-type structure was formed in the ErFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0$) ingots, and some samples contained a small quantity of the Er(Fe, Mn)$_2$ phase. Heterogeneous Er$_2$(Fe, Mn)$_{17}$ and Er(Fe, Mn)$_2$ phases are formed in ErFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0$) alloys after heat treatment above 1273 K, which differentiates them from YFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$-series ($7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0$) alloys. Long duration high-temperature heat treatment is therefore not suitable.
for this series of materials; 1173 K heat treatment for 48 h will produce homogeneous alloy samples with good crystal shapes.

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of the samples were examined before and after heat treatment. FullProf software [27] was used to refine the structure of the samples after heat treatment, and the relationship between the lattice constant and the composition of the samples was determined, as shown in Figure 1. With the increasing proportion of Fe, the lattice constant \( a \) decreased linearly and \( c \) remained unchanged.

![Figure 1. Variation of lattice constants \( a \) and \( c \) with Fe content of ErFe\(_x\)Mn\(_{12-x}\) (7.0 \( \leq x \) \( \leq 9.0 \)) series alloys after heat treatment.](image)

The complete neutron diffraction spectra of some heat-treated samples were examined at room temperature, and the structure was refined using FullProf. The fitting spectrum is shown in Figure 2, and the crystal structure parameters are shown in Table 1. The samples formed a pure ThMn\(_{12}\)-type phase of space group I\(_{4}/\)mmm (139), with rare earth Er atoms occupying the 2a position and Fe and Mn occupying three other unequal positions (8i, 8j, and 8f). Since the coherent neutron scattering lengths of Mn atoms (\( b_{\text{Mn}} = -0.39 \)) and Fe atoms (\( b_{\text{Fe}} = 0.95 \)) are significantly different, the relative proportions of Fe and Mn in the alloy samples can be obtained by fitting neutron diffraction data; the results are shown in Table 1. The Mn atom occupies the 8i position preferentially. The trend of change in the proportion of Mn in the materials was similar to that of the initial materials, although the proportion of Mn was slightly higher, which indicated that the proportion of compensated Mn in the initial materials was relatively high. The lattice constant \( a \) decreased as the proportion of Fe decreased, while the lattice constant \( c \) remained basically unchanged. This is because the Mn atom preferentially occupies the 8i position, and 8i–8i lies in the plane ab. Changes in the proportion of Mn therefore greatly influences the lattice constants \( a \) and \( b \) but has little effect on the lattice constant \( c \).

![Figure 2. Cont.](image)
Changes in the proportion of Mn therefore greatly influences the lattice constants. This is because the Mn atom preferentially occupies the 8i position, and the lattice constant slightly higher, which indicated that the proportion of compensated Mn in the initial materials was relatively high. The proportion of Mn in the materials was similar to that of the initial materials, although the proportion of Mn was lower. The Mn atom occupies the 8i position preferentially. The trend of change in the proportion of Mn with Fe content of ErFe<sub>12</sub> is shown in Table 1. The Mn-Mn antiferromagnetic exchanges interactions, all interactions compete with each other, leading to spin frustration in the samples at low temperatures. For samples with x > 7.2, the FC M − T curves initially increased to the maximum value and then decreased gradually as the temperature decreased. The curve steepened, and both the speed and amplitude of bending increased as the proportion of Fe decreased; it reached the maximum for x = 7.8 and then began to decrease and disappeared for x = 7.2. The magnetization curves for x > 7.2 samples were typical of ferrimagnetism magnetization curves. This was because light rare earth lattices and metal lattices are ferromagnetically arranged and heavy rare earth lattices and metal lattices are antiferromagnetically arranged in rare earth intermetallic compounds with a ThMn<sub>12</sub>-type structure. Er is a heavy rare earth atom, so the samples had a ferrimagnetic structure in which the lattice magnetic moments of rare earth and transition metals were inversely arranged. As the temperature decreased, the magnetic moments of rare earth in the lattice increased rapidly and magnetic moments of transition metals increased slowly; the total magnetic moments of the samples initially increased to the maximum value and then decreased rapidly, and even showed a negative magnetic susceptibility. The x = 7.2 and x = 7.0 samples behave like pure ferro- or ferrimagnetic samples where high coercivity has developed already close to T<sub>C</sub>. This causes the maximum in the ZFC curves very close to T<sub>C</sub>.
Figure 3. $M-T$ curves for ErFe$_x$Mn$_{12-x}$ (7.0 ≤ x ≤ 9.0) series alloys under zero field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) conditions, H = 50 Oe. (The inset shows the $M-T$ curves under FC after differentiation.)
In the YFe_{12-x}Mn_{x} series (6.0 \leq x \leq 8.8) samples, as the proportion of Fe decreased, the $T_C$ of the alloy rapidly decreased and the $T_N$ slowly increased; the antiferromagnetic exchange magnetic ordering temperature of Mn-Mn was observed [23]. After rare earth Er atoms with magnetic moments replaced Y atoms without magnetic moments, the antiferromagnetic order of Mn-Mn was suppressed; the obvious antiferromagnetic order of Mn-Mn was only observed in the samples with the Fe proportion 7.4 \leq x \leq 8.2. The magnetic ordering temperature is shown in Table 2. Similar to YFe_{12-x}Mn, the ferromagnetic transition temperature of the alloy materials decreased rapidly as the proportion of Fe decreased.

### Table 2. Magnetic ordering temperature, exchange bias field and coercive force field of ErFe_{12-x}Mn (7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0) series alloys.

| ErFe_{x}Mn_{12-x} | $T_C$ (K) | $T_f$ (K) | $T_N$ (K) | $H_E$ (kOe) | $H_C$ (kOe) |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Cooling Field     | 50 Oe     | 50 Oe     | 50 Oe     | 1000 Oe     | 1000 Oe     |
| ErFe_{9.0}Mn_{3.0} | 310       | 306       |           |             |             |
| ErFe_{8.6}Mn_{3.4} | 250       | 248       |           |             |             |
| ErFe_{8.2}Mn_{3.8} | 208       | 203       | 142       | 11.73       | 2.97        |
| ErFe_{8.0}Mn_{4.0} | 178       | 170       | 163       |             |             |
| ErFe_{7.8}Mn_{4.2} | 154       | 160       | 169       | 6.615       | 9.54        |
| ErFe_{7.4}Mn_{4.6} | 128       | 126       | 176       | 11.08       | 4.52        |
| ErFe_{7.2}Mn_{4.8} | 22        | 44        |           |             |             |
| ErFe_{7.0}Mn_{5.0} | 22        | 36        |           | -1.27       | 28.11       |

Figure 4 shows the magnetic phase diagram of the ErFe_{x}Mn_{12-x} (7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0) series alloys. The samples with x < 7.4 or x > 8.4 were mainly ferromagnetic. The samples with 7.4 \leq x \leq 8.2 were ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic, and only the samples in this range of composition showed antiferromagnetic orders between different transition metal lattices. YFe_{x}Mn_{12-x} series samples showed a clear exchange bias effect in the region where ferromagnetic interaction and antiferromagnetic interaction compete most intensely [23]. ErFe_{x}Mn_{12-x} series samples may therefore similarly display exchange bias effects for 7.4 \leq x \leq 8.2. The FC $M-H$ loops of some samples were measured, and the results are shown in Figure 5. The FC $M-H$ loops of ErFe_{8.2}Mn_{3.8}, ErFe_{7.8}Mn_{4.2} and ErFe_{7.4}Mn_{4.6} samples all clearly had lateral shifts. The x = 7.4 and x = 7.8 samples had high coercivity, and the $M-H$ loops were not completely closed when the applied field was 5T. The $M-H$ loops were asymmetric, and lateral and vertical shifts occurred simultaneously. This indicates that the samples had very strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy at low temperatures, and that the antiferromagnetic interaction between the rare earth lattice and the transition metal lattice was the source of the anisotropy. When combined with the YFe_{x}Mn_{12-x} series experimental results, we see that the exchange bias effect can be controlled by doping different rare earth elements in addition to altering the ratios of Fe and Mn.
Figure 4. The magnetic phase diagram of ErFexMn12−x (7.0 ≤ x ≤ 9.0) series alloys.

Figure 5. M−T curve under field cooling condition (H = 1000 Oe) and M−H curve after 1000 Oe field cooling of ErFexMn12−x (7.0 ≤ x ≤ 9.0) series alloys.

The ErFe 9.0 Mn 3.0 compound had a Curie temperature of 310 K, and which is near the room temperature. The reverse magnetic moment of Er atom is decrease drastically as temperature increasing, so the samples may have had a considerable magnetocaloric effect near the Curie temperature. The isothermal magnetization curves in the temperature range 270–340 K were created, and are shown in Figure 6. The figure shows that as the temperature increased, magnetization intensity gradually decreased, and ferromagnetism was gradually transformed into paramagnetism. The isothermal magnetization curves were transformed to obtain the Arrott plot, as shown in Figure 7, in order to determine the type of phase transition occurring. There was no S-shaped curve in the Arrott plot, and no negative curve slope was observed, so the phase transition of the materials was also a second-order phase transition.

Figure 5. $M-T$ curve under field cooling condition ($H = 1000$ Oe) and $M-H$ curve after 1000 Oe field cooling of ErFexMn12−x ($7.0 \leq x \leq 9.0$) series alloys.
The ErFe$_{9.0}$Mn$_{3.0}$ compound had a Curie temperature of 310 K, and which is near the room temperature. The reverse magnetic moment of Er atom is decrease drastically as temperature increasing, so the samples may have had a considerable magnetocaloric effect near the Curie temperature. The isothermal magnetization curves in the temperature range 270–340 K were created, and are shown in Figure 6. The figure shows that as the temperature increased, magnetization intensity gradually decreased, and ferromagnetism was gradually transformed into paramagnetism. The isothermal magnetization curves were transformed to obtain the Arrott plot, as shown in Figure 7, in order to determine the type of phase transition occurring. There was no S-shaped curve in the Arrott plot, and no negative curve slope was observed, so the phase transition of the materials was also a second-order phase transition.

![Figure 6. Isothermal magnetization curve of ErFe$_{9.0}$Mn$_{3.0}$](image)

![Figure 7. Arrott curve of ErFe$_{9.0}$Mn$_{3.0}$](image)

The Maxwell relation was used to calculate the isothermal magnetic entropy change in the samples from the isothermal magnetization curves at different temperatures, as shown in Figure 8. The calculated maximum value of the magnetic entropy changes when an applied field change of 50 kOe reaches 1.92 J/kg/K. The peak of $-\Delta S_M$ at 312.5 K corresponds to the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic phase transition, because the magnetization changes drastically near the Curie temperature. Although the maximum $-\Delta S_M$ of ErFe$_{9.0}$Mn$_{3.0}$ is not as large as that of some other magnetic refrigerant materials [28], the $|\Delta S_M|$ vs.
The magnetic interaction between transition metal lattices in ThMn\textsubscript{12}—single phase samples with different Fe/Mn ratios were prepared by arc melting and heat treatment, and the magnetic phase diagrams of ErFe\textsubscript{9−x}Mn\textsubscript{x}-series (7.0 ≤ x ≤ 9.0) samples were obtained by magnetic measurement. At low temperatures, samples with x < 7.4 and x > 8.4 exhibited ferromagnetism, and ferromagnetism and antiferromagnetism coexisted in samples with 7.4 ≤ x ≤ 8.2, with an FC exchange bias effect. The magnetic interaction between transition metal lattices in ThMn\textsubscript{12}-type structural materials can be changed by substituting non-magnetic Y atoms with rare earth Er atoms with magnetic moments. In this study, Y atoms were completely replaced; in the following study, we will partially replace them to finely modulate the exchange bias effect and the magnetocaloric effect of the materials.
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