Hyperreactio luteinalis: An often mistaken diagnosis
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Abstract

Hyperreactio luteinalis is a rare entity in which there is bilateral, benign, functional multicystic ovarian enlargement during pregnancy, which is most commonly seen in third trimester. This condition is usually innocuous and does not need any specific treatment. However, many a times, it is mistaken for ovarian malignancy and inadvertently operated upon. This is a case report of a 24-year-old female with a partial molar pregnancy associated with hyperreactio luteinalis who was followed up for regression of the same and normalization of beta human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) levels.
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Introduction

Hyperreactio luteinalis, a rare condition occurring where there are elevated levels of beta human chorionic gonadotropin. It is characterized by benign enlargement of bilateral ovaries with presence of many thin walled cysts. These are often mistaken for malignant pathologies and hence should be borne in mind.

Case Report

A 24-year-old G2 P2 L1 female came for a regular antenatal scan at menstrual age of 13 weeks and 3 days. The scan revealed a missed abortion dated 7 weeks [Figure 1]. Bilateral ovaries were enlarged: Right ovary, 140 × 102 mm and left ovary, 107 × 66.9 mm [Figures 2 and 3]. There were multiple thin-walled cysts with clear contents in bilateral ovaries, the largest one being about 6.6 cm. There was no solid component and no areas of calcification. Vascularity in both adnexae was preserved. There was no ascites or pleural effusion. Based on this USG, provisional diagnosis of hyperreactio luteinalis (HL) was put forth.

Following this, serum beta human chorionic gonadotropin (β HCG) was estimated which was 74,780 mIU/ml corresponding to about 10th-13th weeks of gestation. This confirmed the diagnosis of HL. The patient was fully evaluated and her history reviewed. She was not suffering from hypothyroidism, polycystic ovarian disease (PCOD), and not undergoing any infertility treatment. This had been a spontaneously conceived pregnancy.

In view of missed abortion, the patient underwent dilatation and evacuation. Histopathologic analysis revealed a partial molar pregnancy. The patient was followed up for 3 months till the β hCG values normalized to 4.7 mIU/ml. The patient also underwent serial USG scans which showed that post-evacuation, the ovaries reduced in size to; right ovary 90 × 38 mm and left ovary 48 × 36 mm at the end of 1 month [Figures 4 and 5] and at the end of second month were right ovary 40 × 26.6 mm and left ovary 32 × 31 mm. This patient is placed on a monthly follow-up with estimation of serum β hCG levels.

Discussion

HL is a rare condition observed in cases where there is elevated level of β HCG, like in gestational trophoblastic
rarely seen in the first trimester of molar pregnancy and are even more rarely seen in association with partial mole due to insufficient amount of $\beta$ hCG,[2] making our case a rarity among rarities.

HL cysts are characteristically enlarged ovaries, with multiple thin-walled clear theca lutein cysts within them.[2] Pathophysiology of the cysts is similar to ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS); however, they can be differentiated by the fact that OHSS is iatrogenic whereas HL is a spontaneous occurrence.[4] HL is mostly seen in the third trimester and OHSS in the first trimester. However, our case presented in the first trimester. HL tends to be asymptomatic, whereas OHSS presents with symptoms of acute fluid imbalance. HL can also mimic ovarian malignancies like mucinous borderline tumor of intestinal type,[5] however, they are differentiated by the fact that they have smaller thin-walled cysts and not as much solid component as seen in HL.[6] They can be differentiated from the same based on $\beta$ hCG levels, ovarian tumor markers, and imaging modality correlation like USG and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).[7,8]

Most of these patients are asymptomatic. This condition, being self-limiting in course, most often does not need any intervention/medications.[9] Based on the size of these multicystic ovaries, the patients may have

**Figure 1:** Gravid uterus with a fetal pole corresponding to about 7 weeks

**Figure 2:** Enlarged right ovary measuring about 140 x 102 mm, with multiple thin-walled, clear cysts

**Figure 3:** Left ovary measures 107 x 66.9 mm with multiple thin-walled cysts having clear contents

**Figure 4:** Follow-up USG after 1 month shows reduction in size of right ovary, measuring 9 x 3.8 cms

**Figure 5:** Follow-up USG after 1 month showing reduction in size of left ovary, which measured 4.8 x 3.6 cms

This condition is also seen in patients with PCOD due to an increased sensitivity of the ovarian stroma to $\beta$ hCG.[3] It has also been seen in association with diabetes mellitus complicated pregnancy, ovulation induction, and clomiphene intake.[2] Our patient had no comorbidity and it had normally conceived pregnancy. These cysts are
pain or may undergo torsion, cyst rupture, or pelvic entrapment of enlarged ovary, which then becomes a surgical emergency. These patients may develop hyperandrogenism due to elevated β hCG levels and require appropriate management.

**Conclusion**

The importance of knowing this entity is that it is a benign, self-limiting ovarian pathology which does not need any specific treatment except in cases of surgical emergencies like ovarian torsion. The self-limiting nature of this condition advocates a conservative management and necessitates differentiation from other malignant mimics.
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