INTRODUCTION

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) is an autoimmune-mediated diffuse connective tissue disease characterized by immune inflammation. The incidence of SLE in the world is about (7.4~159.4)/100,000, which seriously endangers the physical and mental health of residents and becomes an important global public health problem. The majority of SLE patients were women, and the incidence of myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, and stroke in SLE women...
increased by 8.5 times, 13.2 times, and 10.1 times, respectively, and SLE patients developed menopause 3-4 years earlier than ordinary women.2 The incidence of hypertension, diabetes, elevated very low-density lipoprotein, elevated triglycerides and atherosclerosis in SLE patients is significantly higher than that in the general population, and the absolute risk of coronary heart disease in SLE exceeds that in Type-2 diabetes.3-5 These studies have shown that the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in SLE patients is significantly higher than in the general population.

The late cause of death in patients with SLE is primarily CVD. The increase in CVD risk in SLE is caused by multiple factors. Selzer et al. selected 214 women with SLE who had no clinical manifestations of cardiovascular disease and found that carotid plaque formation and hypertension were associated with a decrease in high-density lipoprotein.6 Hyperlipidemia and hyperglycemia are independent risk factors for intimal thickening, and hardening of the aorta is also associated with hypertension. Studies have found that serum total cholesterol (TC) greater than 5.2mmol / L can predict the occurrence of coronary heart disease (CHD) in SLE patients. 24% of patients with elevated TC levels exceed that in Type-2 diabetes.3-5 These studies have shown that the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) in SLE patients is significantly higher than in the general population.

Disease Activity Index 2000 (SLEDAI).12 MS was defined based on the diagnostic criteria revised by the Chinese diabetes society (CDS) in 2004.13 Three or more of the following four components are defined as MS:

a. Overweight or obese, BMI³ 25kg / m2.

b. Hyperglycemia, fasting blood glucose > 6.1 mmol / L, or two hour postprandial blood glucose > 17.8 mmol / L, and / or have been diagnosed with diabetes and treated.

c. Hypertension, systolic blood pressure³ 140 mmHg and / or diastolic blood pressure³ 90 mmHg, or have been diagnosed with hypertension and treated.

d. Hypertriglyceridemia, triglyceride³ 1.7 mmol / L, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <0.9 mmol / L for male, and <1.0 mmol / L for female.

**Exclusion criteria for all patients were as follows:**

1. Patients with anemia.
2. Patients with malignancies.
3. Patients with pregnancy.
4. Patients with viral infectious diseases.
5. Patients with other autoimmune diseases.

General characteristics, clinical signs, and laboratory parameters were obtained through epidemiological interviews and hospital records. Patients were randomly selected from eligible cases in the ward of the hospital’s Rheumatology Department based on their hospitalization number and a random number table.

**Statistical analysis:** EpiData 3.0 software was used to enter data, and SPSS 17.0 software was used for single factor analysis and multivariate analysis. The R 3.5.1 software RandomForest command package is used for dimensionality reduction and initial screening of variables. We use the random forest algorithm to sort the importance of variables, run the sliding window sequential forward feature selection (SWSFS) process,14 plot the error rate graph, and combine the variables with the lowest error rate into the binary logistic regression model. Numerical data conforming to normal distributions were presented as means± standard deviation (SD); those not normally distributed were presented as medians (interquartile range, IQR). Mann-Whitney rank sum tests or Two-tailed Student’s t-tests for independent samples were performed to estimate differences between groups for continuous variables. The Chi-squared test and Fisher’s exact test were used to assess differences in categorical variables among groups. A two-tailed p value <0.05 was taken to be statistically significant.
Ethics approval and consent to participate: The study was approved by the Ethics Board of Wannan Medical College (Number: 2016032; date: December 15, 2015). All patients gave informed consent for publication in the research study and patient privacy was protected.

RESULTS

Participants with MS accounted for 27.14% of SLE patients. The age ($P=0.145$) and gender ($P=0.662$) between the SLE-MS group and the SLE-nMS group were not statistically different. The proportion of farmers, married people and low education level in the SLE-MS group was statistically higher than that in the SLE-nMS group ($P<0.05$) (Table-I).

The proportion of participants with lupus nephritis (LN), proteinuria, mouth ulcers, cylindruria and hematuria in the SLE-MS group was statistically higher than that in the SLE-nMS group ($P<0.05$) (Table-II).

Body mass index (BMI), SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), white blood cell count (WBC), mean red blood cell volume (MCV), red blood cell distribution width (RDW), urine microalbumin, urine red blood cell count (URBC), urinary tube type count (UTT), total protein (TP), triglyceride (TG), albumin (ALB), high density lipoprotein (HDL), globulin (GLB), low density lipoprotein (LDL), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), complement 3 (C3), urea (UA), creatinine (CREA), immunoglobulin A (IgA), fasting blood glucose (GLU), immunoglobulin G (IgG), total cholesterol (TC) and 24h urine protein (UP24) in SLE-MS group were statistically different from that in SLE-nMS group (all $P<0.05$) (Table-III).

Notes: Different superscript letters denote significant differences ($P<0.05$). Significant results are highlighted in bold type.

Abbreviations: SWSFS: sliding window sequential forward feature selection.
We established a random forest model with or without MS as the dependent variable, and the statistically significant index after the univariate analysis as the independent variable. The results showed that the top 18 variables ranked by importance score were: BMI, SBP, DBP, Glu, UP, arthritis, occupation, UA, TG, education, HDL, WBC, IgG, C3, LDL, MCV, SLEDAI, GLB (Table-IV). We ran the SWSFS process and plotted the error rate graph. The results showed that the error rate is the lowest when the number of independent variables is 18 (Fig.1).

We performed binary logistic regression analysis with or without MS as the dependent variable and the top 18 indicators of variable importance score as independent variables. The results showed that BMI, DBP, SBP, Glu, arthritis, UA, TG, and HDL were associated with MS in SLE (Table-V).

**DISCUSSIONS**

The clinical manifestations of almost all autoimmune diseases are likely to occur in SLE. Therefore, most scholars call it the prototype of autoimmune diseases, and its pathogenesis is still unclear. MS is related to the occurrence of CVD in SLE patients. Preventing the occurrence of MS in SLE patients is beneficial to the control of their condition. This study showed that the prevalence...
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of MS in SLE patients is 27.14%, which is lower than the results of scholars in South America and Europe,\textsuperscript{16,17} which may be related to the different samples from different regions and the diagnostic criteria used. The results of our previous survey showed that the MS prevalence rate of community physical examination population was 13.4%,\textsuperscript{18} so the MS prevalence rate of SLE patients was significantly higher than that of community physical examination population. SLE is an important public health problem in the world. MS is an independent risk factor for CVD in SLE. Exploring the related factors of MS in SLE patients is of great significance for controlling the occurrence and development of SLE.

This study showed that MS in SLE patients is associated with farmers, married, and low levels of education. This may be because farmers have

| Variables                        | SLE-MS      | SLE-nMS     | t/Z   | P       |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|---------|
| Body mass index (BMI)            | 23.59±3.83  | 20.53±2.07  | 13.896| <0.001  |
| SLE disease activity index (SLEDAI) | 10 (7,13)   | 9 (5,13)    | 3.389 | 0.001   |
| Systolic blood pressure (SBP)    | 138 (123,150)| 120 (110,130)| 12.888| <0.001  |
| Diastolic blood pressure (DBP)   | 90 (80,100) | 80 (70,86)  | 12.438| <0.001  |
| White blood cell count (WBC)     | 5.32 (3.73,8.30)| 4.80 (3.70,7.10)| 2.207| 0.027   |
| Red blood cell count (RBC)       | 3.87 (3.71,4.28)| 3.97 (3.64,4.25)| 0.714| 0.475   |
| Neutrophil percentage            | 69.2 (63,1,77,85)| 70.2 (61,0,77,22)| 0.175| 0.861   |
| Hemoglobin amount                | 113.0 (100,32,124,50)| 114.0 (103,02,125,00)| 1.043| 0.297   |
| Hematocrit                       | 0.35 (0.32,0.39)| 0.354 (0.32,0.39)| 0.689| 0.491   |
| Mean red blood cell volume (MCV) | 88.5 (84.20,91.70)| 85.70 (90,10,94,80)| 4.401| <0.001  |
| Red blood cell distribution width (RDW) | 14.25 (13,50,16,40)| 14.10 (13,10,15,40)| 2.455| 0.014   |
| Platelet count                   | 137.75 (97,50,180,00) | 140.0 (102,0,188,0)| 0.351| 0.726   |
| Platelet distribution width (PDW) | 16.40 (15,60,17,30)| 15.30 (16,57,17,10)| 0.469| 0.639   |
| Urine microalbumin               | 0.18 (0.15,0.54)| 0.15 (0.00,0.26)| 3.667| <0.001  |
| Urine white blood cell count (UWBC) | 17.30 (5.30,55,60)| 13.60 (4.70,41,03)| 1.423| 0.155   |
| Urine red blood cell count (URBC) | 18.90 (5.90,67,60)| 11.80 (4.70,37,05)| 3.559| <0.001  |
| Urinary tube type count (UTT)    | 0.64 (0.14,1.41)| 0.51 (0.13,1.30)| 2.103| 0.035   |
| Total protein (TP)               | 61.25 (53,10,68,10)| 65.40 (60,0,71,00)| 5.584| <0.001  |
| Triglyceride (TG)                | 1.89 (1.33,2.68)| 1.26 (0.93,1.61)| 10.677| <0.001  |
| Albumin (ALB)                    | 33.50 (26.70,38,00)| 35.60 (30,50,39,70)| 2.428| <0.001  |
| High density lipoprotein (HDL)   | 1.03 (0.83,1.35)| 1.17 (0.90,1.46)| 2.797| <0.001  |
| Globulin (GLB)                   | 26.60 (22.20,32,40)| 29.10 (25,10,34,00)| 4.096| <0.001  |
| Low density lipoprotein (LDL)    | 2.27 (1.71,3.17)| 2.15 (1.63,2.80)| 2.627| 0.009   |
| White ball ratio (WBR)           | 1.20 (0.90,1.50)| 1.20 (1.00,1.40)| 0.025| 0.980   |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) | 19.00 (12.00,32,25)| 17.00 (11,00,29,00)| 2.163| 0.031   |
| C-reactive protein (CRP)         | 5.91 (2.00,15.90)| 4.27 (2.08,18.80)| 0.537| 0.591   |
| Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)   | 22.00 (16.00,32,00)| 21.00 (17,00,31,00)| 0.062| 0.951   |
| Complement 3 (C3)                | 0.82 (0.55,1.06)| 0.73 (0.54,0.95)| 2.000| 0.045   |
| Urea (UA)                        | 6.96 (4.68,11.74)| 4.78 (3.68,6.57)| 7.883| <0.001  |
| Complement 4 (C4)                | 0.14 (0.06,0.24)| 0.14 (0.07,0.22)| 0.248| 0.804   |
| Creatinine (CREA)                | 72.60 (53.65,136,88)| 59.60 (48,55,74,98)| 6.029| <0.001  |
| Immunoglobulin A (IgA)           | 2.43 (1.71,3.31)| 2.63 (2.01,3.54)| 2.258| <0.04    |
| Fasting blood glucose (GLU)      | 5.85±2.50     | 4.91±1.69    | 5.857| <0.001  |
| Immunoglobulin G (IgG)           | 12.60 (9.42,17.60)| 15.30 (11,57,19,40)| 4.585| <0.001  |
| Total cholesterol (TC)           | 4.59 (3.44,5.85)| 3.97 (3.23,4.85)| 5.153| <0.001  |
| Immunoglobulin M (IgM)           | 0.91 (0.50,1.34)| 0.96 (0.60,1.44)| 1.437| 0.151   |
| Immunoglobulin E (IgE)           | 57.88 (18.25,212,22)| 56.70 (16,46,189,75)| 0.733| 0.464   |
| Erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) | 40.40 (19.85,72,00)| 37.05 (17,00,67,40)| 1.598| 0.110   |
| 24h urine protein (UP24)         | 1.14 (0.29,2.44)| 0.34 (0.12,1.38)| 4.777| <0.001  |

Note: Significant results are highlighted in bold type.
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| Variables     | Importance score |
|---------------|------------------|
| BMI           | 10.28            |
| DBP           | 9.39             |
| SBP           | 7.39             |
| GLU           | 4.31             |
| UP            | 3.41             |
| Arthritis     | 2.93             |
| Occupation    | 2.22             |
| UA            | 1.59             |
| TG            | 1.59             |
| Education     | 1.58             |
| HDL           | 1.56             |
| WBC           | 1.45             |
| IgG           | 1.38             |
| C3            | 1.28             |
| LDL           | 1.22             |
| MCV           | 1.18             |
| SLEDAI        | 1.17             |
| GLB           | 1.06             |
| IgA           | 1.05             |
| CREA          | 1.03             |
| LN            | 1.01             |
| UP24          | 0.94             |
| Mouthulcer    | 0.93             |
| ALT           | 0.93             |
| RDW           | 0.89             |
| ALB           | 0.80             |
| TP            | 0.78             |
| Proteinuria   | 0.72             |
| Hematuria     | 0.71             |
| TC            | 0.69             |
| URBC          | 0.68             |
| Urine microalbumin | 0.58        |
| UTT           | 0.52             |
| Cylindruria   | 0.48             |
| Marriage      | 0.46             |

Abbreviations: BMI: body mass index; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; SBP: systolic blood pressure; GLU: fasting blood glucose; UP: Urinary protein; UA: urea; TG: triglyceride; HDL: high density lipoprotein; WBC: white blood cell count; IgG: immunoglobulin G; C3: complement 3; LDL: low density lipoprotein; MCV: mean red blood cell volume; SLEDAI: systemic lupus erythematosus disease activity index; GLB: globulin; IgA: immunoglobulin A; CREA: creatinine; LN: lupus nephritis; UP24: 24h urine protein.

a relatively low level of education, poor self-care awareness, and less access to health knowledge. Haiyan Shi et al. found that the middle-aged population generally lacks knowledge of MS and has not even heard of MS. Therefore, the prevention and treatment of MS in SLE patients should focus on farmers and low-education populations, and their health knowledge promotion and health services should be strengthened.

Lupus nephritis (LN) is a serious and common complication of SLE, which can significantly increase the incidence and mortality of SLE. This study found that the occurrence of MS in SLE patients is related to LN, which is consistent with the results of Zhang M et al. LN patients have a variety of autoimmune lymphocytes and autoantibodies, which can activate endothelial cells and cause CVD. Therefore, LN itself is a risk factor for CVD in SLE patients. The risk factors of LN include family history, smoking history, age, etc. At the same time, the components of blood glucose, blood pressure, blood lipids and body weight in MS interact with each other in metabolism, which is also an important risk factor for LN. Moreover, the proportion of arthritis, proteinuria, mouth ulcer, tubular urine and hematuria in SLE-MS patients was significantly different from that in SLE-nMS group ($P<0.05$), suggesting that symptomatic treatment of these signs in clinical practice is of great significance for the prevention and control of MS in SLE.

Red blood cell distribution width (RDW) is a parameter that directly describes red blood cell heterogeneity and is generally used to differentially diagnose thalassemia, giant cell anemia, and iron deficiency anemia. Recently, RDW has been found to be a strong independent predictor of all-cause mortality in coronary atherosclerotic heart disease (CAD), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), hypertension, and diabetic nephropathy. Univariate analysis of this study showed that RDW was associated with SLE-MS, similar to our previous survey of metabolic syndrome in community physical examination populations, suggesting that RDW, a simple, inexpensive and readily available parameter, has good early warning and prognostic evaluation values in SLE-MS. The univariate analysis of this study showed that SLEDAI was associated with MS in SLE, indicating that MS may affect the severity of SLE disease.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of this study showed that BMI, SBP, DBP, GLU, TG, and HDL were related to MS in SLE. These reflect the relationship between obesity, hypertension, hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia and MS in the general population also exists in SLE patients. Multivariate analysis showed that arthritis was a risk factor for MS in SLE. Lee et al. found
that the prevalence of MS in healthy controls was significantly lower than in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and the results support the views of this paper.\textsuperscript{26}

**Limitations of study:** Several limitations exist in the current study. The lifestyle and dietary habits of the subjects in this study were not analyzed, so they need to be improved in future work. The patients with SLE in this study were recruited from only one hospital and the promotion of conclusions needs to consider regional factors. In addition, distorted results in epidemiological association studies can be resulted in by potential biases in case-control studies. Consequently, further studies are needed to clarify the exact influencing factors on MS in SLE.

**CONCLUSION**

The occurrence of MS in SLE patients is closely related to BMI, blood pressure, blood sugar, blood lipids, and arthritis.
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