Antiphospholipid syndrome; its implication in cardiovascular diseases: a review
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Abstract

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APLS) is a rare syndrome mainly characterized by several hyper-coagulable complications and therefore, implicated in the operated cardiac surgery patient. APLS comprises clinical features such as arterial or venous thromboses, valve disease, coronary artery disease, intracardiac thrombus formation, pulmonary hypertension and dilated cardiomyopathy. The most commonly affected valve is the mitral, followed by the aortic and tricuspid valve. For APLS diagnosis essential is the detection of so-called antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) as anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) or lupus anticoagulant (LA). Minor alterations in the anticoagulation, infection, and surgical stress may trigger widespread thrombosis. The incidence of thrombosis is highest during the following perioperative periods: preoperatively during the withdrawal of warfarin, postoperatively during the period of hypercoagulability despite warfarin or heparin therapy, or postoperatively before adequate anticoagulation achievement. Cardiac valvular pathology includes irregular thickening of the valve leaflets due to deposition of immune complexes that may lead to vegetations and valve dysfunction; a significant risk factor for stroke. Patients with APLS are at increased risk for thrombosis and adequate anticoagulation is of vital importance during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). A successful outcome requires multidisciplinary management in order to prevent thrombotic or bleeding complications and to manage perioperative anticoagulation. More work and reporting on anticoagulation management and adjuvant therapy in patients with APLS during extracorporeal circulation are necessary.

Introduction

Antiphospholipid syndrome (APLS) [1,2] comprises clinical features such as arterial or venous thromboses and the detection of so-called antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) as anticardiolipin antibodies (aCL) or lupus anticoagulant (LA). APLS may be the most common acquired hypercoagulable state, occurring in up to 2% of the general population [3,4]. However, not all patients with these antibodies will develop the antiphospholipid syndrome, as antiphospholipid antibodies have been found in about 5% of the healthy population [5]. Patients with APLS have a significant involvement of the cardiovascular system. Coronary artery disease and valvular abnormalities constitute the most frequent manifestations representing more than two-thirds of cases [5]. Several studies have demonstrated that hypercoagulability of APLS patients predisposes to high rates of thromboembolic events as well as high rate of restenosis of the coronaries and the grafts after percutaneous interventions or CABG respectively, causing significant morbidity and mortality [6,7]. Especially, APLS patients can develop vasculo-occlusive complications before operation with the reversal of preoperative anticoagulation, intraoperatively due to inadequate anticoagulation during bypass and postoperatively before the achievement of adequate anticoagulation [8]. Therefore, the management of APLS patient can be quite challenging both for cardiologist and cardiac surgeon.

Etiology-Pathophysiology

Anticardiolipin (aCL) antibodies are a heterogeneous family of auto-antibodies directed against protein-phospholipid complexes [6]. It is now generally accepted that there is a group of patients in whom high titters of aCL antibodies, usually the IgG class, and thrombotic features occur without clinical manifestations of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE): primary APLS [2,6]. Anticardiolipin antibodies can be also observed in patients with SLE, or other autoimmune
diseases (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis): secondary APLS. Moreover, it has been proved that the pathogenic antibodies accountable for the APLS main symptoms are not direct aPL against phospholipids itself, as produced in infections (e.g. syphilis), neoplastic disorders or induced by certain drugs (e.g. phenothiazines, quinidine) but rather indirect “aPL” directed against certain phospholipid depending proteins [2,9]. The targets of pathogenic antibodies in APLS are plasma or vascular cell proteins. Specifically, the main target antigens reported in patients with APLS include beta-2-glycoprotein-1 (b2GPI), prothrombin and annexin V [2,10]. Other putative antigens are thrombin, protein C, protein S, thrombomodulin, tissue plasminogen activator, kininogens (high or low molecular), prekallikrein, factor VII/VIIa, factor XI, factor XII, complement component C4, heparan sulfate proteoglycan, heparin, oxidised low-density lipoproteins [10,11]. The main autoantigens are attracted to negatively charged phospholipids (PL(-) ) exposed on the outer side of cell membranes in great amounts only under special circumstances such as damage or apoptosis (e.g. endothelial cell) or after activation (e.g. platelets) [2,12]. Several membrane receptors have been recognized as signal transducers and after intracellular processing of the signal, the expression of adhesion molecules as E-selectin, vascular-cell-adhesion-molecule-1 (VCAM-1) or intracellular adhesion-molecule-1 (ICAM-1) increase the adhesion of immunocompetent cells further activating endothelial cells [2,13]. Furthermore, the production of tissue factor or inhibition of tissue-factor-pathway-inhibitor (TFPI) activates the extrinsic coagulation pathway [2,14], while the simultaneous decreased production of prostacyclin induces vasoconstriction and platelet aggregation. The activation of platelets results in the production of thromboxane A2 with further platelet activation and increased adhesion to collagen [15]. On the other hand, the displacement of tissue type plasminogen activator (t-PA) from annexin II, an endothelial cell membrane receptor and simultaneously enhancer to t-PA [16] could reduce the plasmin activation leading in deceleration of fibrinolysis [2]. The above potential activated pathways cause a prothrombotic state in APLS (table 1).

Clinical manifestations of APLS

Cardiac manifestations in APLS include valvular disease, coronary artery disease, intracardiac thrombus formation, pulmonary hypertension and dilated cardiomyopathy [5,8]. Cardiac valvular pathology includes irregular thickening of the valve leaflets due to deposition of immune complexes that may lead to vegetations and valve dysfunction. These lesions are frequent and may be a significant risk factor for stroke [8]. Noninfectious and noninflammatory but rather thrombotic or fibrotic/calific lesions are found in patients with primary APLS [2,24]. APLS is combined with SLE in about 40% of all APLS patients and consequently heart valvular lesions can also be caused by a SLE specific mechanism [24]. Non-autoimmunogenic reasons for heart valve failure in

| Pathway | Mechanism |
|---------|-----------|
| A. inhibition of plasminogen/plasmin (2,16) | Inhibition of anticoagulation: |
| B. inhibition of t-PA by displacement from annexin II (16) | |
| C. inhibition of protein C by thrombomodulin (2,11) | |
| D. inhibition of protein S (11) | |
| A. expression of adhesion molecules or tissue factor (2,13,14) | Activation of endothelial cells: |
| B. induction of thromboxane A2, increased adhesion (15) | Activation of thrombocytes: |
| C. tissue factor production (activation of extrinsic pathway: monocytes (14) | Activation of coagulation cascade: |

Table 1 Pathways and mechanisms resulting in a prothrombotic state in APLS
APLS-patients are possible as well [2]. The most commonly affected valve is the mitral, followed by the aortic and tricuspid valves; because the surface of the left-sided valves is more vulnerable to micro injuries due to stress, jet effect and turbulence [2,8]. Notably, the incidence of arterial embolization is estimated to be 77% in patients with APLS and simultaneous mitral valve disease [8,25]. Several studies have demonstrated a positive correlation between the aCL titers and valvular heart disease severity [5,7]. Most patients develop a mild form of valvular regurgitation while 4-6% of patients progress to severe valvular regurgitation necessitating replacement surgeries [5,26]. The rather young age of the patients and the most often necessary long-term anticoagulation for APLS seem to make a mechanical valve the first choice if a replacement is needed but thromboembolic complications render a mechanical valve in danger of dysfunction [2,27]. The advantage of a bioprosthesis is the independence of oral anticoagulation, however valve failure due to excessive pannus and consecutive stenosis renders replacement inevitable after some years [2,28]. Additionally, accelerated atherosclerosis increases the risk of coronary artery disease; the etiologic seems to be more related with inflammatory and immunopathologic factors as compared with traditional Framingham cardiovascular risk factors [8,29]. The presence of intracardiac thrombus is a rare but potentially a life-threatening manifestation of APLS. Thrombus formation, a potential cause of pulmonary and systemic emboli, may occur in any cardiac chamber but most frequently on the right side [8]. Antiphospholipid antibodies have been associated with various other thrombogenic complications such as recurrent thrombosis, thrombocytopenia and serious bleeding abnormalities [30-32]. Of those patients with APLS who present with thrombosis, 30 ± 55% will present with venous thrombosis, especially of the lower limbs [18]. Repeat episodes of thrombosis are often of the same type [33]. However, it is paradox that despite the well-documented coexistence of autoantigens and its antibodies in blood of APLS patients for long periods, thrombotic events occur only sporadically. Eventually, it can be assumed that thrombotic events occur much more often but only in microvasculature or smaller vessels, resulting in deterioration of organ function such as renal failure, cerebral damages or impairment of the myocardial function by multiple recurrent microthromboses or microemboli [26]. Neurologic manifestations of APLS have been reported to include recurrent cerebral infarcts, headaches, migraines and visual disturbances [34,35]. Other manifestations of APLS include skin ulcers (pyoderma gangrenosum- like or livedoid vasculitis) due to fibrin deposition within the lumens of superficial dermal vessels as well as diffuse alveolar haemorrhage [36]. Of note, acute diffuse alveolar haemorrhage may be present in fully anticoagulated patients that could be attributed to a non-thrombotic pathogenesis in contrast to typical alveolar haemorrhage. Catastrophic APLS (cAPLS) is an acute condition with multiple vascular occlusions resulting in failure of several organs simultaneously or over a short period of time (days to weeks) [2,37]. It can be triggered by surgery, infection or changes in anticoagulation therapy [3,4]. cAPLS presents a mortality of 50% and can resemble syndromes such as heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT), disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), SLE vasculitis, thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP) or sepsis [2].

Diagnosis of APLS includes clinical criteria of thrombosis and/or pregnancy morbidity and laboratory proof of lupus anticoagulants and/or anticardiolipin antibodies in medium or high titers on two or more occasions at least twelve weeks apart [2,25]. aPL antibodies are a heterogeneous group and thus diagnosis requires more than one test. The two main antibody groups are aCL antibodies and LA; however patients with aCL antibodies are five times more common than those with LA [18]. Results of aCL assays are expressed as IgG and IgM phospholipids units (GPL or MPL units) based on standard curves. According to the updated classification criteria for APLS [1] the diagnosis of APLS requires at least one of the following clinical (vascular thrombosis or complications of pregnancy) and one of the laboratory criteria.

Diagnostic (classification) criteria of APLS (1)

I. Clinical criteria

1. Vascular thrombosis Arterial, venous or small vessel thrombosis in any tissue or organ, to be confirmed by objective validated criteria (imaging studies or histopathology). For histopathologic confirmation, thrombosis should be present without significant evidence of inflammation in the vessel wall.

2. Pregnancy morbidity - One or more unexplained deaths of a morphologically normal fetus beyond the 10th week of gestation or
   - One or more premature births of a morphologically normal neonate before the 34th week of gestation because of eclampsia or preeclampsia or placental insufficiency.
   - Three or more unexplained consecutive spontaneous abortions before the 10th week of gestation.

II. Laboratory criteria

1. Lupus anticoagulants in plasma.
2. Anticardiolipin antibody of IgG and/or IgM isotype in serum or plasma, present in medium or high titer (i.e. >40 GPL or MPL).
3. Anti-beta2Glycoprotein1-antibodies of IgG and/or IgM isotype in serum or plasma.

**Laboratory tests: approaching potential limitations**

The definition of “APLS” requires the positive testing for lupus anticoagulant, anti-cardiolipin or anti-b2GPI twice at least 12 weeks apart [1]. The double testing contributes to the exclusion of patients with a transient reactivity by direct antiphospholipid-antibodies due to infections or other contributory factors [2,38]. “Lupus anticoagulant” is a misnomer for a group of various phospholipid inhibitors, observed usually without underlying SLE and in vivo related not to bleeding but to thrombotic complications. Coagulation tests used to reveal lupus anticoagulant include: activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT), diluted Russell’s viper venom test (dRVVT), taipan venom test, textarin venom/earcin venom clotting time ratio, kaolin clotting time and tissue thromboplastin inhibition test [38]. Generally, tests are sensitive but usually need a meticulous interpretation. For example, a prolongation of clotting tests such as aPTT, usually is an indication of a bleeding tendency, but in APLS patients is correlated with a high risk for thrombotic/thromboembolic events [2,39]. Especially, some tests such as prothrombin time (PT), aPTT, or dRVVT based on both calcium and phospholipids adequacy for further activation of several clotting factors (Factor II, VII, IX, X) [40]. If the measured time of clot forming after addition of Ca2+ and PL(−) is prolonged, a possible explanation is the existence of antibodies interacting with phospholipids. If the clotting time is not corrected by addition of normal plasma (mixing step - corrects missing coagulation factors) but tends to be normal by adding an excessive amount of phospholipids (confirmation step by adding activated thrombocytes) the LA effect is considered “positive” [2,29]. However, LA testing presents several drawbacks:

a. LA test, considered highly sensitive but not very specific concerning thromboembolic risk in APLS patients, can only be reliable if potential sources for phospholipids are removed before testing. In daily routine plasma for clotting tests is prepared by centrifugation of a blood sample, removing red and white blood cells. However, the smaller and lighter thrombocytes stay mainly in the supernatant providing a rich source of PL(−) [2,38].

b. The prothrombin time is a routine test depending on Ca2+ and PL(−) in factor VII, X and II [29]. The activator, tissue thromboplastin, is extracted from animal tissues bounding in PL(−), rendering this test useless for APLS diagnosis. Recently a modified test with an exactCL represents one of several negatively charged phospholipids rendering possible to miss a few cases in which specific autoantibodies react with b2GPI only when bound to another PL(−), e.g. phosphatidylserine [2]. Finally, anti-b2GPI and anti-prothrombin ELISA tests promise a more specific diagnosis of APLS. Beyond anti-b2GPI, ELISA tests for antiPT, with prothrombin directly fixed on a plate or via phosphatidylserine (antiPS-PT) add further information especially if tests for LA, aCL or anti-b2GPI are persistently negative [2,42]. It is debating if these tests have to be repeated for confirmation of the diagnosis APLS because they are theoretically not influenced by non-pathogenic antibodies, cancer or drugs [2,42].

c. aPTT test may differ because of different ingredients concerning its sensitivity towards the LA effect. Especially, the activator (e.g. kaolin, silica, ellagic acid or celite), the phospholipid source (several animal or plant sources) and finally the clot-detection method/instruments (photo-optical, mechanical, manual) can vary widely, influencing the test result [2,40]. Similar to aPTT is the principle of the dRVVT. At least, both these tests should always be performed when plasma is tested for an LA-effect [1]. Anti-cardiolipin test, the classic solid phase assay test, should be considered only as a differential diagnosis in a positive test result, because direct antibodies to CL are not pathogenic for APLS. One of test limitations, is that both patient’s serum and buffer solution (usually bovine serum) provide homologous β2GPI, introducing an extra amount of target antigens [41]. Therefore, the test result can be influenced in case of a present human autoantibody (especially isotype IgM) that reacts exclusively with human b2GPI [2,41]. Fact that makes the colour reaction less powerful and false-negative as the strength of reaction is significant for APLS diagnosis; consequently only moderate to high titer antibodies are considered as “positive aCL” for APLS [1,2]. Moreover, as mentioned already for the LA-tests the plasma should be platelet depleted; otherwise exposed PL(−) on the surface of activated platelets attract b2GPI. A final pitfall of anti-cardiolipin test is that CL represents one of several negatively charged phospholipids rendering possible to miss a few cases in which specific autoantibodies react with b2GPI only when bound to another PL(−), e.g. phosphatidylserine [2]. Finally, anti-b2GPI and anti-prothrombin ELISA tests promise a more specific diagnosis of APLS. Beyond anti-b2GPI, ELISA tests for antiPT, with prothrombin directly fixed on a plate or via phosphatidylserine (antiPS-PT) add further information especially if tests for LA, aCL or anti-b2GPI are persistently negative [2,42].

**Relation of aCL with restenosis following percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) in CAD patients**

The role of serum aCL levels in natural history and prognosis of acute coronary syndromes (ACS) is still undetermined. However, anticardiolipin antibodies have been found to be associated with arterial and venous thrombosis [43]. Angioplasty-induced arterial injury leads to platelet aggregation, adhesion, and thrombosis. Several factors that are involved in the thrombogenesis may influence the restenosis rate after PTCA as thrombosis is one of the possible mechanisms of restenosis.
Different mechanisms are associated with high aCL-IgG levels and restenosis after PCI [6]. Contradictory results have been demonstrated concerning the effect of aCL antibodies on restenosis. Eber, et al [44] showed that aCL-IgM was an independent risk factor for restenosis after PTCA in 65 men with coronary artery disease, however, no correlation was found between aCL-IgG and restenosis. Ludia, et al [45] reported that restenosis was more frequent in aCL positive patients with ischemic heart disease. Gurlek et al [6] studied the follow-up coronary angiography in two groups of 80 patients with acute coronary syndrome, in comparison to IgM and IgG aCL levels measured before hospital discharge. The results suggested that restenosis occurs more frequently in anticardiolipin positive patients. In contrast, Chiarugi, et al [46] observed no association between the presence of aCL and clinical restenosis, however, the presence of aCL with elevated lipoprotein a [Lp(a)] levels, acting synergistically, increased the risk of restenosis. Finally, in a recent study, Sharma S et al [47], failed to demonstrate any significant correlation between the level of IgG anticardiolipin antibodies and in-stent restenosis in patients having undergone PCI with bare metal or drug eluting stents. The correlation of elevated aCL levels and post-ACS cardiovascular events is still controversial. The largest study on this issue was recently reported by Bili et al, [48] who studied 1150 AMI patients, demonstrating that elevated aCL-IgG and low aCL-IgM antibodies were independent risk factors for recurrent cardiovascular events. Zucker- man et al, [49] suggested that the presence of aCL is a marker for increased risk for myocardial reinfarction and thromboembolic events after acute myocardial infarction (MI). However, Hamsten and colleagues [50] demonstrated that antibodies to cardiolipin are markers for a high risk of recurrent cardiovascular events in young survivors of MI; but their study was small in scope. On the contrary, Sletnes, et al [51] in 597 acute MI survivors, using multivariate analysis, failed to prove that aCL is an independent risk for mortality, cerebral thromboembolism, or recurrent MI. Analogous results were obtained by Cortellaro et al, [52] in their study of 74 young MI patients and Phadke et al, [53] who measured aCL in 299 survivors of acute MI. Eventually, Gurlek et al, [6] found no association between aCL antibodies with recurrent cardiovascular events (reinfarction and intracardiac thrombus formation) in ACS patients.

**Intraoperative management of coagulation:**

*a crucial problem*

Patients with APLS are at increased risk for thrombosis and adequate anticoagulation is of vital importance during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) [18,30,31,54,55]. Perioperative risks include thrombosis and/or bleeding secondary to excessive anticoagulation or APLS associated clotting factor deficiencies (especially factor II) [18]. In the meantime, minor alterations in anticoagulant therapy, infection, or a surgical insult may trigger widespread thrombosis. Moreover, deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA) complicates the problem of anticoagulation during cardiac surgery because of the combination of blood stasis and changes in enzymatic activity associated with the extreme temperature differences [56,57]. Therefore, the management of anticoagulation during CPB can be quite challenging and close cooperation with the haematology department is essential. There is no consensus in the literature as to the optimal method for assuring perioperative anticoagulation in APLS. While, monitoring anticoagulation in APLS patient during cardiac surgery remains problematic, as aCL often interfere with *in vitro* tests of hemostasis by impeding the binding of coagulation proteins to phospholipid surfaces [21]. Especially, during CPB, blood contact with extracorporeal surfaces causes stimulation of the coagulation cascade. To prevent clotting, unfractionated heparin is administered before CPB. Heparin concentrations of greater than/equal to 3 u/ml ± 1 are generally accepted as therapeutic for CPB [58], but individual patient responses to a standardized heparin dose vary. Heparin activity is assessed using the activated clotting time (ACT) which is a phospholipid dependent test and may be prolonged by LA antibodies [18]. In the normal patient, a heparin concentration of 3 u/ml ±1 typically produces a kaolin ACT of more than 450 seconds. LMWH is attractive in this setting as it causes a highly predictable anticoagulant effect for a given dose, decreasing the need for monitoring [18]. Suggested alternative methods for monitoring anticoagulation during bypass in APLS patients include empirically doubling the baseline ACT or to reach an ACT twice the upper limit of normal [2], obtaining heparin concentrations by protamine titration (Hepcon) [59], performing anti-factor Xa assays, or performing heparin/ACT titration curves preoperatively to determine patient specific target ACT levels [18]. The *in vitro* heparin/ACT titration curve is a test of an individual patient’s responsiveness to heparin. Moreover, preoperatively, anti-Xa factor activity assays can be correlated with the patient specific preoperative in vitro heparin ACT titration curve [18]. Anti-Xa monitoring is generally considered the “gold standard” laboratory measure of heparin therapy for use in situations in which the aPTT may be adversely affected [22]. By using this testing method, known concentrations of purified coagulation factor Xa and antithrombin are mixed with a sample of the patient’s heparin-containing plasma [18,22]. Anti-factor Xa levels of 1.5 ± 2.0 u/ml ± 1 are considered therapeutic for CPB [18]. Postoperatively, levels greater than 1.0 u/ml ± 1 may be associated with excess blood loss...
When treating venous thromboembolism, the target range of anti-factor Xa activity is 0.6 ± 1.0 u/ml ± 1 [6]. However, the turnaround time for anti-factor Xa assays are currently incompatible with the time constraints of CPB [18,60]. Generally, various anticoagulation methods for dosing heparine during CPB have been performed. Especially, Sheikh et al [61] elected to empirically double the ACT to more than 999 seconds; as obtaining factor Xa or plasma heparin concentrations was considered impractical. On the other hand, East et al [22] elected to perform heparin-celite ACT titration curves preoperatively on each patient to assess the effect of APL antibodies on ACT monitoring. Based on the patient-specific titration curve, therapeutic anticoagulation (heparin concentration 3.0 U/mL) for CPB was achieved in patients at celite ACT values exceeding 550 s. Also, laboratory based anti-Xa monitoring was performed concurrently during CPB as a secondary confirmatory measure [22]. In retrospective studies, a lower incidence of recurrences (arterial and venous) episodes of thrombosis was observed in patients kept at a high intensity of oral anticoagulation [62-64] leading to the recommendation that patients with antiphospholipid antibodies who have had a documented major thrombotic event should receive life-long oral anticoagulant treatment to achieve an INR of 3.0 or higher [62,65,66]. In spite of anticoagulant treatment, recurrence of thrombosis is frequent in patients with the APLS [63,67], especially in those carrying lupus anticoagulant (LA) [68] and/or high titers of anticardiolipin antibodies [63,67]. Especially, Della Valle et al [63] showed that INR determinations obtained with a recombinant PT reagent substantially overestimate the actual degree of anticoagulation of most lupus anticoagulants patients due to interference of lupus anticoagulant IgG in PT assays carried out at low test plasma dilution, as occurs with plasma and recombinant thromboplastin reagents. Also, according to the Duration of Anticoagulation Study Group, patients with anti-cardiolipin IgG antibodies require prolonged anticoagulation to avoid recurrences of venous thromboembolism [69]. In addition, while on oral anticoagulant treatment targeted at an INR between 2.0 and 2.85, the recurrence rate increased with the anticoagulant antibody titter, but was not significantly different in patients with (1.32 per 100 patient-years) or without (0.6 per 100 patient-years) anti-cardiolipin antibodies [69]. Specifically, patients with primary APLS with thrombosis are treated with heparin followed by warfarin in the usual manner [18]. There is controversy over the intensity of anticoagulant therapy, the duration of treatment, and the method for measuring the INR. Recurrent thrombotic events of any type usually signal the need for life-long anticoagulation. Recurrent thrombosis while on standard intensity anticoagulant therapy dictates the use of a higher target INR at about 2.5-3.5 [70], but the INR may not correlate well with diagnosis or outcome in APLS [71]. Although the risk of thrombosis is reduced with increased anticoagulation, there is an associated increased risk of significant bleeding [18]. Protamine to antagonise heparin should be administered only in a stepwise manner or in low dose continuously intravenously, e.g. 50 mg/h [2], until the bleeding tendency slows down to an acceptable amount. Also, a scrutinised operative technique and haemostasis to avoid any unnecessary surgical bleeding site are of great importance [2]. In patients with secondary APLS and thrombosis, there is an ongoing endothelial disturbance secondary to the underlying vasculitis and the risk of recurrent thrombotic events is high [2]. Anti-platelet therapy as well as warfarin anticoagulation is indicated. Moreover, additional factors of thrombosis such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia should be treated optimally or avoided (e.g. smoking) [2]. Generally, antiplatelet therapy may be added but the effectiveness of low-dose aspirin or the newer antiplatelet agents is unproven. In cases where thrombosis continues despite adequate anticoagulation, additional treatment is aimed either at preventing antibody formation or reducing antibody titres and may include corticosteroids, immuno suppressive agents, i.e. immunoglobulin, or plasmapheresis. Particularly, Dorman R [18] described the perioperative course and successful treatment of a 31-yr-old woman with primary APLS requiring a mitral valve replacement; that postoperatively, developed acute global biventricular failure requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support and plasmapheresis. Alternative methods for anticoagulation during CPB are essential and multiple options are available today [56]. These options include ancorad, hirudin, lepirudin, argatroban, prostacyclin, platelet Ib/IIa inhibitors, complement inhibitors, IL-3 and bivalirudin [56,72]. Also, tissue plasminogen activator has been used successfully in one case report of APLS with ST changes and normal coronary arteries [73]. Bivalirudin is a bivalent reversible direct thrombin inhibitor and has been used safely for CPB in HIT-positive patients [56,72, 74-76]. It is also successfully used during off-pump/on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting and heart transplantation [77-79]. Bivalirudin is a bivalent direct thrombin inhibitor with a short half-life of approximately 25 minutes [56]. Its 20-amino acid molecule combines a carboxy-terminal region that recognizes thrombin’s fibrinogen-binding site, and an amino-terminal tetrapeptide that inhibits the active site of thrombin [56]. Consequently, thrombin inhibition of bivalirudin inactivates not only fluid-phase thrombin, but also fibrin-bound thrombin [80]. Therefore, thrombus formation may be attenuated more effectively and anticoagulation produced more predictably than by heparin [56,80]. Pharmacokinetically bivalirudin is predominantly eliminated by...
enzymatic degradation through proteases and thrombin itself (80%) and to a lesser degree by renal clearance (20%) [81]. No reversal for bivalirudin exists, but its elimination can be enhanced by hemodialysis and hemofiltration [81,82]. The theoretical potential of aprotinin to delay bivalirudin elimination and to prolong its anticoagulant effect has not been demonstrated [56]. In one study aprotinin did not affect the elimination of bivalirudin [82]. Leissner et al [56], reported a case from a patient with APLS with massive thrombosis of right atrium. This patient underwent DHCA by using bivalirudin, for thrombectomy to avoid potential catastrophic pulmonary embolism. During CPB the ACT was restored between 500-750 sec with the initial dose of bivalirudin and during the circulatory arrest the arterial and venous lines were clamped and the CPB circuit was recirculated distal to the arterial filter back to the soft-shelled venous reservoir to avoid pump clotting [56]. The DHCA time was planned to be short and lasted 19 minutes. No visible clot formation was observed in the open-heart and bypass circuit, but in the pericardial cavity as previously attributed to increased blood stagnation [72,79]. cAPLS is caused by a generalised thrombotic storm due to excessive activation of “aPL” with consecutive multi organ failure and peripheral ischaemic lesions as digital necrosis [2]. Also, acute single organ failure (e.g. acute heart failure) representing complications of APLS should be treated similar to cAPLS. The baseline is an aggressive intravenous anticoagulation, usually heparin, later followed by oral anticoagulation with vitamin K-antagonists [2,83]. Steroids may contribute to limitation of the cytokine release and further treat the widespread vasculitis [83]. Moreover, plasmapheresis or intravenous gammaglobulins reduce the autoantibody load, improving the outcome [2,84]. The use of prostacyclin, fibrinolytics, cytotoxic drugs, splenectomy or dialysis are described but without proven advantage for survival. In Asherson and colleagues [83] most recent retrospective case series of cAPLS, only anticoagulation was associated with statistically significant increased survival. While, in previous Asherson and colleagues series [84], plasmapheresis did reduce mortality. Also, there are case reports of acute biventricular failure in APLS with necropsy findings of myocardial microvascular thrombosis [85-89]. In this situation, antithrombotic not anti-inflammatory therapy is likely to be effective [18]. A diagnosis of fulminant myocarditis could be supported by the global nature of the myocardial dysfunction and its prompt resolution. Fulminant myocarditis is associated with full recovery in over 90% of the patients who survive the event [89]. There is one case report of APLS associated postoperative fulminant myocarditis managed with immunosuppressive steroids [90]. Currently, there is some scientific rationale for adding a statin as adjunctive treatment. Statins may be beneficial in APLS patients by suppressing the inflammatory response, which is important in case of valvular lesions and vascular occlusive disease [8]. However, no clinical investigations using statins as anti-inflammatory treatment in APLS patients have been reported. Finally, specific therapeutic treatment for APLS is not available yet. The application of peptides specifically bound by anti-b2GPI antibodies thus neutralising the functional effect of the autoantibodies [91] or peptides which have a similar amino acid sequence as domain V of b2GPI thus possibly blocking putative receptors in an antagonistic manner [2,92] constitute promising therapeutic approaches.

**Outcomes of cardiac surgery in APLS patients**

The literature offers widely differing estimates of morbidity and mortality associated with APLS and cardiopulmonary bypass. In a retrospective analysis of 19 patients with APLS undergoing cardiac or vascular surgical procedures, Ciocca and colleagues reported an 84.2% incidence of postoperative thrombosis or bleeding and 63.2% mortality [31]. Thirteen of the patients in these series underwent cardiac surgery. Individual case reports of cardiac surgical patients frequently describe thrombotic or haemorrhagic complications including early graft occlusion [93] haemothorax [94], pulmonary emboli, and limb ischaemia [95,96]. More optimistically, the literature includes several case reports of uneventful cardiac surgery [97,98]. A meta analysis of heart valve surgery by Gorki et al [2] demonstrated that the mortality is high with 7% early deaths and 12% late deaths after a mean follow-up period of less than 3 years. The early and late morbidity with major complications (valve related included) respectively is significant. Only 42% of the patients had an uneventful short and long-term recovery. APLS-typical processes could be explanation for the majority of postoperative problems, especially for myocardial and cerebral complications and other overt thromboembolic events. The high proportion (about 20%) of valve-related complications such as valve thrombosis is remarkable. Colli et al [99], in a recent retrospective analysis of nine patients with antiphospholipid syndrome that underwent heart valve surgery using CPB, observed high morbidity (50%) and mortality (22%) respectively. Especially, two patients died in the early postoperative period due to an acute cerebrovascular accident, four patients presented an uneventful late postoperative course and one patient experienced an ischemic stroke 5 years after mitral valve replacement and developed refractory congestive heart failure requiring heart transplantation three years postoperatively. Similarly, Berkun et al [55] presented an increased morbidity and mortality in ten patients with APLS undergoing valve replacement.
Conclusions

APLS is one of the most commonly acquired hypercoagulable states. Minor alterations in the anticoagulation, infection, and surgical insult may trigger widespread thrombosis. The incidence of thrombosis is highest during the following perioperative periods: preoperatively during the withdrawal of warfarin, postoperatively during the period of hypercoagulability despite warfarin or heparin therapy, or postoperatively before re-establishing adequate anticoagulation. The resources to manage major complications are essential. A successful outcome requires multidisciplinary management in order to prevent thrombotic or bleeding complications and to manage perioperative anticoagulation. More work and reporting on anticoagulation management and adjuvant therapy in patients with APLS during extracorporeal circulation are necessary.

Abbreviation list

ACS: acute coronary syndromes; ACT: activated clotting time; aCL: anticardiolipin antibodies; aPL: antiphospholipid antibodies; APLS: antiphospholipid syndrome; b2GP: beta-2-glycoprotein-1; CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass; cAPLS: catastrophic APLS; CARG: coronary artery bypass grafting; DNHA: deep hypothermic circulatory arrest; dRVVT: diluted Russell’s viper venom test; DVC: disseminated intravascular coagulation; HIT: heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; INR: international normalized ratio; ICAM-1: intracellular adhesion-molecule-1; LpPla: lipoprotein A; LMWH: low-molecular-weight heparin; LA: Lupus anticoagulant; MI: myocardial infarction; aPTT: partial thromboplastin time; PCI: percutaneous coronary interventions; PS: phosphatidylserine; PL: phospholipids; PT: prothrombin time; SLE: systemic inflammatory response syndrome; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; TTP: thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura; TFPI: tissue-factor-pathway-inhibitor; t-PA: tissue type plasminogen activator; VCAM-1: vascular-cell-adhesion-molecule-1.
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