Association between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio and postoperative fatigue in elderly patients with hip fracture
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ABSTRACT

Background: and purpose: Postoperative fatigue (POF) is a common and distressing post-operative symptom. This study aimed to explore the relationship between neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and POF in elderly patients with hip fracture.

Method: Elderly patients (age ≥65 years) with acute hip fracture admitted to the Department of Orthopedics of Anqing Municipal Hospital from June 2018 to June 2020 were included. Fatigue was assessed using the Fatigue Severity Scale at the 3-month follow-up postoperatively. Univariate and multivariate analyses were performed to explore the associations between NLR and POF. The diagnostic performance of NLR was analysed using Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve analysis and the Delong test.

Result: A total of 321 elderly patients with hip fractures were included; 120 (37.4 %) of them were diagnosed with POF. Univariate analysis indicated significant differences in NLR, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), education, neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, Hamilton Depression Scale (HAMD) and Insomnia Severity Index (ISI) scores (P < 0.05). Multivariate analysis indicated neutrophil count (odds ratio [OR], 1.46; 95 % confidence interval [CI] 1.27–1.67), lymphocyte count (OR 0.32, 95 % CI 0.19–0.53), NLR (OR1.81, 95 % CI 1.50–2.17) and PLR (OR 1.005, 95 % CI 1.001–1.009) were significantly associated with POF. The areas under the ROC curves (AUCs) of neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, NLR and PLR were 0.712, 0.667, 0.775 and 0.605, respectively. The Delong test indicated that NLR had the best diagnostic performance (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: NLR independently predicts POF in elderly patients with acute hip fracture.

1. Introduction

Postoperative fatigue (POF) is a frequent complication after surgery, characterized by excessive sleepiness, lethargy and emotional disturbance. It mainly occurs during the course of disease treatment or postoperative recovery [1,2]. The prevalence of POF was estimated to be 30 % during the first month postoperatively [3]. Several risk factors of POF have been reported; however, some remain controversial. Some studies have suggested that age, sleep quality, educational level and other psychological factors were associated
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with POF [4–6]. Other studies have shown that POF is not significantly associated with preoperative anxiety, depression, stress and sex [7]. POF is often overlooked, especially in elderly patients with hip fractures [8]. However, it can hinder a patient’s ability to participate in early rehabilitation, resulting in prolonged hospital stays, decreased quality of life and inability to resume daily life or work [9].

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a novel marker of systemic inflammation and can reflect the body’s inflammatory state. Generally, NLR increases with the level of inflammation. Normal NLR ranges have been reported to be between 0.78 and 3.53 [10,11]. Previous studies have suggested that high NLR is associated with poor prognosis in surgical patients [12]. NLR has also been reported to play a key role in the development and progression of emotional disorders, such as depression and fatigue; however, its underlying mechanism remains to be elucidated [13,14].

Elderly patients are prone to fragility fractures, especially hip fractures, and their mortality within 1 year is as high as 30% [15–17]. Hip fractures are mainly caused by low-energy trauma, and most patients need surgical treatment [18]. Surgically treated elderly patients have a worse outcome than younger patients due to poor body resistance, decreased immunity and other co-existing diseases [19]. Previous studies have confirmed that inflammation is associated with the occurrence of POF in abdominal surgery [20]. However, the relationship between NLR and POF in elderly patients with hip fractures has not been reported. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the inflammatory factors related to POF and the predictive value of NLR on POF in elderly patients with acute hip fractures.

2. Method

2.1. Study population

This study was a retrospective review of a prospective database. Patients with acute hip fracture admitted to the Department of Orthopedics in Anqing Municipal Hospital from June 2018 to June 2020 were included in this study. All patients completed the surgical treatment under general anaesthesia within 3–5 days after admission. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age ≥65 years, (2) acute femoral neck fracture treated with surgery and (3) written informed consent. The exclusion criteria included the following: (1) previous history of fatigue, mental disorder or positive family history; (2) acute infection, shock or any other serious systemic diseases; (3) stroke, dementia or any other neurological diseases; (4) chronic diseases such as cancer and heart failure; (5) obvious post-operative complications and (6) lack of critical data. This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local ethics committee (approval number:2,020,049). All participants or their legally designated surrogates provided informed consent for study participation.

2.2. Data collection

All participants completed a basic sociodemographic and medical history questionnaire face-to-face within 24 h after admission. The following specific variables were recorded: age, sex, height, weight, educational level, history of hypertension and diabetes mellitus. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in square metres. History of hypertension was defined as the use of antihypertensive agents, systolic blood pressure of >140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure of >90 mmHg before or at least 2 weeks.

History of diabetes was defined as fasting plasma glucose level of ≥126 mg/dl (7.0 mmol/L) or the use of anti-diabetic medications.

2.3. Laboratory tests

Blood samples were obtained from the elbow vein in all patients between 6:00 and 7:30 a.m. Routine blood tests (including platelet, C-reactive protein, leucocyte count, neutrophil count and lymphocyte count) were performed at the central laboratory of the Anqing Municipal Hospital. NLR was calculated by dividing the absolute neutrophil count by the absolute lymphocyte count. The platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR) was calculated by dividing the absolute platelet count by the absolute lymphocyte count. The normal range of neutrophils in normal adults is 1.80–6.30 × 10⁹/L [21] and the normal range of lymphocytes is 1.1–3.2 × 10⁹/L [22].

2.4. Measurements of fatigue and related parameters

All participants completed the neuropsychological scale evaluation 3 months after discharge. The Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS) is a commonly used instrument to assess chronic fatigue syndrome. [8]. It is also applied to measure the fatigue status and degree of postoperative patients. The FSS consists of nine items with a 7-point scale, with a total score of 63 points. Generally, a total score of ≥36 points, or an average score of ≥4 points, can be diagnosed as POF. In addition, we assessed depression symptoms and sleep status using the 24-item Hamilton Depression Scale (HAMD-24) and Insomnia Severity Index (ISI), respectively [23,24]. The HAMD-24 has been used to measure the depressive symptoms of patients. It consists of 24 items with a scoring range of 0–2 or 0–4 for each item. A higher total score indicates a more severe depression. The ISI scale is mainly used to evaluate the degree of insomnia, including a total of seven items, with 0–4 points for each item. Higher scores indicate a heavier degree of insomnia. We also used the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) to evaluate postoperative pain. The higher the score, the more severe the pain [25].

All scale evaluations were completed by two professionally trained clinicians. If they disagree, the superior physician makes the decision.
2.5. Statistical analysis

Demographic and clinical characteristics of all patients stratified by NLR tertiles were expressed as frequencies and proportions for categorical variables, mean (SD) or median (interquartile) for continuous variables. Differences in continuous variables were compared using one-way analysis of variance and Kruskal–Wallis test. Categorical variables were analysed using the chi-squared test or Fisher’s exact test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to evaluate the association between inflammatory parameters (including NLR) and POF. In multivariate-adjusted models, hypertension, diabetes, educational level, Lubben Social Network Scale (LSNS), ISI, HAMD and VAS (p < 0.2 in univariate analysis). We performed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis using the pROC package and compared ROC curves using the DeLong test. All statistical analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Statistics 25.0 software and R 4.1.3 software. A P-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Bonferroni correction method was used for multiple comparisons.

3. Results

After applying the aforementioned eligibility criteria, a total of 321 patients were included in the final analyses (Fig. 1). The mean age of all patients was 72.5 ± 6.5 years; 198 (41.7 %) of them were men. The prevalence of POF was 120 (37.4 %). All patients were surgical treated, and 8 of them were excluded because of post-operative complications.

Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of included patients by NLR tertiles.

Univariate analysis indicated significant differences in leucocyte count, neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, C-reactive protein level, ISI score and VAS score among the three groups (p < 0.05). However, no difference was observed on age, sex, BMI, hypertension, diabetes, educational level, haemoglobin, platelet and LSNS score among these groups (p > 0.05, Table 1). The incidence of POF significantly increased across the NLR tertiles (10.2 % vs. 41.0 % vs. 61.1 % for tertile 1 vs. tertile 2 vs. tertile 3, respectively). Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of included patients with and without POF. Compared with patients without POF, those with POF had higher educational levels, neutrophil count, NLR, PLR, ISI score and HAMD score and lower lymphocyte count (p < 0.05, Table 2).

Results of univariate and multivariate logistic regression models assessing the association between inflammation-related indicators and POF are shown in Table 3. In the unadjusted model, neutrophil count (odds ratio [OR], 1.44; 95 % confidence interval [CI] 1.26–1.64), lymphocyte count (OR 0.30, 95 % CI 0.18–0.49), NLR (OR 1.74, 95 % CI 1.48–2.06) and PLR (OR 1.005, 95 % CI 1.002–1.009) were significantly associated with POF. Multivariate adjustments did not significantly alter the results (neutrophil count [OR 1.46, 95 % CI 1.27–1.67]; lymphocyte count [OR 0.32, 95 % CI 0.19–0.53]; NLR [OR 1.81, 95 % CI 1.50–2.17]; PLR [OR 1.005, 95 % CI 1.001–1.009]; Table 3).

To compare the performance of significant inflammatory indicators (including neutrophil, lymphocyte, NLR and PLR), ROC curve analysis was performed, and the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was calculated. The AUC values of neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, NLR and PLR were 0.712, 0.667, 0.775 and 0.605, respectively. Among these indicators, NLR had the highest AUC. The Delong test results of NLR and PLR (p < 0.001), NLR and neutrophil count (p < 0.001), and NLR and lymphocyte count (p < 0.001) showed significant differences. The best NLR cut-off value was 2.35, with a sensitivity of 56 % and a specificity of 85 % (Fig. 2).

4. Discussion

This study evaluated the association between inflammatory indicators and POF and the predictive value of blood NLR for POF. Our results demonstrated patients with POF had a higher NLR. Multivariate regression indicated NLR, PLR, neutrophil count and lymphocyte count were significantly associated with POF. Among them, NLR had the best performance, with the highest AUC for diagnosis and independently associated with POF. Moreover, we found that the best NLR cut-off value in patients with POF was 2.35.

In this study, the prevalence of POF was 37.5 %, which was consistent with a study by Nancy [8]. In addition to inflammatory parameters, their significant differences were observed in sleep disorder and postoperative depression between POF and non-POF groups. The results were consistent with those of previously published studies, indicating that mental health-related factors play an important role in the occurrence and development of POF [2,26].
Inflammatory factors have been reportedly associated with fatigue in several studies [27]. Inflammation and mental stresses can promote the secretion of interleukin 1β (IL-1β) by microglia in the brain, and elevated interleukin levels may lead to central nervous system disorders associated with nitric oxide and serotonin levels. These inflammatory factors affect the limbic system areas, such as the hippocampus, which induces the POF occurrence. Therefore, microglial activation is considered a common inflammatory pathway, resulting in fatigue [28–30].

Another possible mechanism is that inflammation damages the blood–brain barrier and cell structure in the brain and results in POF occurrence [31,32]. Inflammatory factors affect the release of neurotransmitters in the brain, change hippocampal neuroplasticity and fatigue [33–36]. Surgical trauma not only leads to systemic inflammatory immune response but also activates the neuroendocrine

### Table 1
Baseline characteristics of patients with acute hip fracture based on tertiles of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.

| Variable                   | Tertile 1 (1.16–2.17; n = 108) | Tertile 2 (2.24–3.47; n = 106) | Tertile 3 (3.67–10.80; n = 107) | P value |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD), y          | 72.6 (6.9)                      | 72.1 (6.4)                      | 72.7 (6.5)                      | 0.813   |
| Male, n (%)                | 68 (63.0)                       | 68 (64.8)                       | 62 (57.4)                       | 0.514   |
| BMI, mean (SD), kg/m²      | 24.2 (3.6)                      | 24.5 (3.3)                      | 24.2 (3.2)                      | 0.803   |
| Hypertension, n (%)        | 88 (81.5)                       | 83 (79.0)                       | 85 (78.7)                       | 0.858   |
| Diabetes, n (%)            | 37 (34.3)                       | 49 (46.7)                       | 45 (41.7)                       | 0.179   |
| Hyperlipemia, n (%)        | 14 (13.2)                       | 17 (16.8)                       | 13 (12.1)                       | 0.052   |
| Education level, n (%)     |                                 |                                 |                                 |         |
| Illiterate                 | 31 (28.7)                       | 35 (32.2)                       | 36 (33.3)                       |         |
| Primary school             | 39 (36.1)                       | 30 (28.6)                       | 33 (30.6)                       |         |
| Secondary school           | 21 (19.4)                       | 33 (31.4)                       | 31 (28.7)                       |         |
| High school or above       | 17 (15.7)                       | 5 (4.8)                         | 8 (7.4)                         |         |
| HB, median (IQR), g/L      | 133.5 (120.3–141)               | 133.0 (119.5–146.0)             | 136.5 (127.3–145.0)             | 0.201   |
| PLT, median (IQR), 10⁹     | 191.5 (160.0–224.5)             | 192.0 (164.0–230.0)             | 198.0 (150.0–249.0)             | 0.808   |
| WBC, median (IQR), 10⁹     | 6.3 (5.6–7.5)                   | 7.9 (6.2–9.5)                   | 6.8 (5.7–7.9)                   | <0.001  |
| Neutrophils, median (IQR), 10⁹ | 3.3 (2.5–3.9)               | 4.8 (3.7–5.2)                   | 6.1 (5.0–8.6)                   | <0.001  |
| Lymphocyte, mean (SD), 10⁹ | 1.8 (1.2–2.2)                   | 1.5 (1.2–2.0)                   | 1.2 (1.0–1.3)                   | <0.001  |
| CRP, median (IQR), mg/L    | 2.3 (0.5–7.2)                   | 3.5 (1.5–10.2)                  | 2.3 (0.5–7.2)                   | 0.001   |
| LSNS, median (IQR)         | 31.5 (19–40)                    | 29.0 (16.0–30.0)                | 31.5 (19.0–40.0)                | 0.260   |
| ISI, median (IQR)          | 1.0 (0–8.0)                     | 3.0 (0–12.0)                    | 1.0 (0–8)                       | 0.003   |
| HAMD, median (IQR)         | 4.0 (1.3–10)                    | 3.0 (1.0–12.5)                  | 4.0 (1.3–10.0)                  | 0.006   |
| VAS, median (IQR)          | 4.0 (3.0–5.0)                   | 5.0 (3.5–7.0)                   | 4.0 (3.5)                       | <0.001  |
| POF, n (%)                 | 11 (10.2)                       | 43 (41.0)                       | 66 (61.1)                       | <0.001  |

Abbreviations: HB, Hemoglobin; PLT, platelet; CRP, C-reactive protein; LSNS, Lubben social score; IQR, interquartile interval; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Scale; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; POFS, post-operative fatigue syndrome.

### Table 2
Baseline characteristics of patients with and without postoperative fatigue.

| Variable                   | Non-POF (n = 201) | POF (n = 120) | P value |
|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD), y          | 72.4 (6.7)        | 72.6 (6.4)    | 0.791   |
| Male, n (%)                | 126 (62.7)        | 72 (60.0)     | 0.632   |
| BMI, mean (SD), kg/m²      | 24.3 (3.5)        | 24.4 (3.1)    | 0.745   |
| Hypertension, n (%)        | 165 (82.1)        | 91 (75.8)     | 0.177   |
| Diabetes, n (%)            | 84 (41.8)         | 47 (39.2)     | 0.643   |
| Hyperlipemia, n (%)        | 29 (14.7)         | 15 (12.8)     | 0.639   |
| Highest level of education | 69 (34.3)         | 35 (29.2)     | 0.037   |
| Illiterate                 | 59 (29.4)         | 43 (35.8)     |         |
| Primary school             | 48 (23.9)         | 37 (30.8)     |         |
| High school or above       | 25 (12.4)         | 5 (4.2)       |         |
| HB, median (IQR), g/L      | 134.0 (123.5–144.0)| 134.0 (121.5–145.0)| 0.588   |
| PLT, median (IQR), 10⁹     | 192.0 (161.5–229.0)| 199.0 (161.5–247.0)| 0.653   |
| WBC, median (IQR), 10⁹     | 6.8 (5.8–8.3)     | 6.9 (5.9–8.4) | 0.447   |
| Neutrophils, median (IQR), 10⁹ | 3.9 (3.0–5.0)   | 5.2 (4.4–6.3) | <0.001  |
| Lymphocyte, median (IQR), 10⁹ | 1.6 (1.2–2.2)   | 1.2 (1.1–1.7) | <0.001  |
| CRP, median (IQR), mg/L    | 2.7 (0.6–7.2)     | 2.3 (0.7–8.6) | 0.814   |
| NLR, median (IQR)          | 2.2 (1.9–3.3)     | 3.7 (2.5–5.7) | <0.001  |
| PLR, median (IQR)          | 123.3 (84.7–164.7)| 140.0 (105.5–193.3)| 0.007   |
| LSNS, median (IQR)         | 30.0 (19.0–39.0)  | 33.0 (18.0–40.0)| 0.160   |
| ISI, median (IQR)          | 0 (0–12.0)        | 2.5 (0–12.0)  | 0.018   |
| HAMD, median (IQR)         | 4.0 (2.0–10.5)    | 6.0 (2.0–14.0)| 0.029   |
| VAS, median (IQR)          | 4.0 (3.0–5.0)     | 4.0 (4.0–5.0) | 0.889   |

Abbreviations: HB, Hemoglobin; PLT, platelet; WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein; NLR, Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio; PLR, Platelet lymphocyte ratio; LSNS, Lubben social score; IQR, interquartile interval; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Scale; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale; POFS, post-operative fatigue syndrome.
system in the body, causing changes in the serotonin, tryptophan, dopamine and norepinephrine levels, which are considered to be associated with neuropsychiatric symptoms such as POF [37]. Neutrophils and lymphocytes in the peripheral blood system are redistributed in the case of surgical trauma, and activated neutrophils release reactive oxygen, myeloperoxidase and proteolytic enzymes, which damage the blood–brain barrier and brain parenchyma. Lymphocytes have protective effects on the brain. In the postoperative stress state, lymphocytes in the circulatory system are decreased in number and redistributed to the lymphoid tissue, thus accelerating cell apoptosis and resulting in fatigue [38]. Mark et al. proposed that peripheral inflammation mainly causes fatigue by destroying the blood–brain barrier and affecting the vagus nerve activity. The vagus nerves control many breathing-related organs through the parasympathetic nerve and regulate the oxygen demand, resulting in fatigue [39]. The inflammatory mechanism that leads to POF can be summarised as follows: on the one hand, it causes fatigue by affecting changes of the brain parenchyma and neurotransmitters; on the other hand, it causes fatigue through peripheral nerves, especially the vagus nerve. However, its underlying mechanisms need to be further investigated.

The strengths of the study include relatively large sample size, prospective design and its analytic strategy. However, several limitations need to be addressed. First, this was a single-centre study; therefore, the results may not be generally applicable to all patients. Second, we could not directly infer causality owing to the cross-sectional nature of the study design. Third, the NLR value was only collected at baseline; therefore, we were unable to analyse the effects of dynamic NLR changes on POF. Forth, we did not analyse the association between other inflammation indicators (such as RDW, SIRI, etc.) and POF.

In conclusion, this study aimed to attract attention to POF in patients with hip fractures and indicated that elevated NLR was associated with POF, which will used in future studies as a risk factor of POF. However, whether POF can be prevented by reducing postoperative inflammation remains to be elucidated.
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**Table 3**

Multivariate logistic regression analysis on the association of inflammation-related indicators with POF.

| Indicator    | Model 1 OR (95% CI) | P value | Model 2 OR (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|
| WBC          | 1.08 (0.96–1.21)    | 0.188   | 1.13 (0.99–1.29)    | 0.062   |
| PLT          | 1.001 (0.997–1.006) | 0.546   | 1.001 (0.996–1.006) | 0.725   |
| Neutrophils  | 1.44 (1.26–1.64)    | <0.001  | 1.46 (1.27–1.67)    | <0.001  |
| Lymphocyte   | 0.30 (0.18–0.49)    | <0.001  | 0.32 (0.19–0.53)    | <0.001  |
| CRP          | 1.01 (1.00–1.03)    | 0.141   | 1.02 (1.00–1.04)    | 0.130   |
| NLR          | 1.74 (1.48–2.06)    | <0.001  | 1.81 (1.50–2.17)    | <0.001  |
| PLR          | 1.005 (1.002–1.009) | 0.007   | 1.005 (1.001–1.009) | 0.015   |

Model 1, unadjusted; Model 2, adjusted for hypertension, diabetes, education, LSNS, ISI, HAMD, VAS (p < 0.2 in univariate analysis). Abbreviations: WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein; NLR, Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio; PLR, Platelet lymphocyte ratio; LSNS, Lubben social score; ISI, Insomnia Severity Index; HAMD, Hamilton Depression Scale; VAS, Visual Analogue Scale.

---

**Fig. 2. legend** The receiver operating characteristic curve analysis of NLR, PLR, Nc and Lc for patients with POF.
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