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Abstract
Bilateral shoulder septic arthritis is a rare condition in immunocompetent patients without known risk factors, therefore, it can be easily misdiagnosed. Septic arthritis is an emergency due to its potential to cause rapid destruction of cartilage and bone as well as the risk for systemic spread. Aggressive early treatment is crucial for easing pain, preventing joint collapse, and permanent loss of shoulder function. We presented a case report of a 68 years-old man, suffering from multiple comorbidities and bilateral shoulder septic arthritis. The patient underwent arthroscopic surgery in the left shoulder. Antibiotic therapy was carried out after the surgical procedure using clindamycin. The patient had a satisfactory outcome, characterized by regression of the inflammatory symptoms in both shoulders. There was an improvement in pain and fevers settled. In this case report, we have also discussed treatment options as well as possible outcomes.

Descriptors: Arthritis, Infectious; Shoulder; Sepsis.

Resumo
A artrite séptica bilateral do ombro é uma condição rara em pacientes imunocompetentes sem fatores de risco conhecidos, portanto, podendo ser facilmente subdiagnosticada. A artrite séptica é uma emergência devido ao seu potencial de causar rápida destruição da cartilagem e do osso, além do risco de disseminação sistêmica. O tratamento precoce agressivo é crucial para aliviar a dor, prevenir o colapso das articulações e a perda permanente da função do ombro. Presentamos um relato de caso de um homem de 68 anos com múltiplas comorbidades e artrite séptica bilateral do ombro. O paciente foi submetido à cirurgia artroscópica em ombro esquerdo. A antibiototerapia foi realizada após o procedimento cirúrgico com clindamicina. O paciente evoluiu de forma satisfatória, caracterizada pela regressão dos sintomas inflamatórios em ambos os ombros. Houve melhora da dor e melhora das febres. Neste relato de caso, também discutimos as opções de tratamento, bem como os resultados possíveis.

Descritores: Artrite Infecciosa; Ombro; Sepse.

INTRODUCTION
Septic arthritis is an emergency due to its potential to cause rapid destruction of cartilage and bone as well as the risk for systemic spread1,2. The most common affected sites are hip and knee, which account for approximately 61 to 79% of cases; the shoulder is the third most affected site representing about 3 to 15% of the total number of sites2,5.

The most common cause of a septic shoulder joint is hematogenous bacterial dissemination. There could also be associated factors predisposing to this infection such as previous surgical procedures or joint injections3,6,7. According to some studies both Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis are the most prevalent causative organisms8,10.

The diagnosis of shoulder septic arthritis has been associated with a poorer prognosis and frequent sequelae, including recurrent joint effusion with or without a fistula, subluxation, dislocation, and chronic osteomyelitis11. Previous studies have shown that early diagnosis and treatment are essential to avoid irreversible soft tissue and joint damage8,10,12,13. Shoulder infection should be considered in patients presenting pain and progressive stiffness associated with elevated inflammatory serum markers as well as pain unresponsive to short-term nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The likelihood of infection is increased by a history of joint injection followed by worsening of the symptoms9.

Early management is necessary for pain relief, preventing joint collapse, and permanent loss of shoulder function9. Surgical treatment options are joint aspiration, arthrotomy, or arthroscopy for irrigation and collecting samples.
for culture and analysis. Empirical antibiotics while waiting for culture results should be given as early as possible to avoid local and systemic complications. As far as we could ascertain, bilateral shoulder infection was only described once in the current literature. Therefore, the objective of the present study is to present a case of bilateral shoulder septic arthritis along with a literature review of this rare and disastrous condition.

CLINICAL CASE

A 68 years-old male subject complaining of bilateral shoulder pain (worse on the left side), associated with marked functional limitation on both sides for 20 days. There was also a history of fevers of around 38°C for 15 days. Despite the clinical features, the patient did not seek medical attention up to that time. There was pain, swelling and other inflammatory signs such as warmth and functional impairment. There was limited range of motion at clinical evaluation and joint swelling in both shoulders. He also had other medical conditions, such as systemic arterial hypertension, coronary artery disease, and chronic kidney disease requiring dialysis after bilateral nephrectomy for renal carcinoma.

Standard shoulder radiographs were performed. The right shoulder didn’t present degenerative changes, while the left shoulder demonstrated joint space widening and no degenerative signs. Blood tests revealed H/H of 5.6gm/dl and 17.8% and normal WBC count (7,640/ml) with 75% of the neutrophil relative increase and elevated inflammatory markers (ESR and RCP). A left shoulder joint puncture showed seropurulent fluid, suggesting an infectious process. MRI of the left shoulder showed an increased signal in the subacromial bursa and a large volume of fluid in the glenohumeral joint, seen as an intermediate signal in the T1 and T2 sequences (Figures 1 an 2). There was thickening of the soft tissue, bleeding inside the bursa, and swelling around the joint. We excluded overlapping disease with amyloid arthropathy or acute crystal deposition.

The management was performed in the operating room where a new aseptic puncture of the left shoulder was performed. Then, the patient underwent arthroscopic debridement of the shoulder with three portals (posterior, anterior, anterolateral). The irrigation and debridement with a shaver blade and radio ablation were performed. No cartilage damage was observed by direct inspection of the joint. The subacromial space was also debrided along with its bursa. Antibiotic therapy was performed after the surgical procedure with clindamycin. The right shoulder was not approached at the same time due to hemodynamic instability, being the same procedure performed on the right shoulder in the following days.

The patient evolved with the relief of shoulder symptoms. Culture tests were positive for Morganella morganii sensitive to etarpenem, meropenem, clindamycin, ciprofloxacin and third generation cyclosporins. Infectious diseases prescribed ciprofloxacin and intravenous clindamycin for 2 weeks, and orally for another 4 weeks. The patient died due to hemodynamic instability during hospitalization.

DISCUSSION

Septic arthritis is considered to be an orthopedic emergency. It is characterized by pyogenic inflammation of the articular surface due to bacterial invasion. The estimated overall prevalence of septic arthritis is 12 cases per 100.000 inhabitants per year. Around 3 to 21% of the cases affect the shoulder, which is the third most common site, and is most prevalent in patients over 60 years old. Bilateral occurrence in the shoulder is exceedingly rare, and we have found only one case reported in the medical literature. The case published by Hotonu et al. described a 47-year-old previously healthy man without relevant risk factors for the presentation.

Bilateral occurrence in the shoulder is exceedingly rare, and we have found only one case reported in the medical literature. The case published by Hotonu et al. described a 47-year-old previously healthy man without relevant risk factors for the presentation. Signs and symptoms of septic arthritis consist of persistent joint pain without previous trauma, limited range of motion secondary to pain, edema, erythema, hypersensitivity, fever, and systemic signs of sepsis. Hotonu et al. in
their retrospective review postulated that fever and joint stiffness were present in 40 and 21% of cases, respectively\textsuperscript{20}. Laboratory findings may reveal high leukocyte count, increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and elevated levels of C-reactive protein. The joint aspirate may show purulent exudate and high leukocyte count, in addition to identifying the causative bacteria\textsuperscript{2}.

Septic arthritis may be related to rheumatic diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, osteoarthritis, and other inflammatory arthritis), previous surgical procedures, diabetes, ulcers of the lower limbs, alcohol or intravenous drug abuse, injections of corticosteroids in the joints, and possibly HIV infection\textsuperscript{21,22}. There was an association between septic arthritis and immunocompromise, the presence of comorbidities, and also previous joint disease\textsuperscript{3,8,10,17,23-26}. The most common form is by hematogenous dissemination. Staphylococcus aureus or Staphylococcus epidermidis are the most common germs in 42 to 77% of cases\textsuperscript{3,8,10,13,14,17,20,23,27-30}. Less aggressive bacteria are prevalent in hematogenous dissemination, while uncommon or resistant organisms are often seen in intravenous drug users and in immunocompromised patients\textsuperscript{31,32}.

Morganella morganii is an optional gram-negative anaerobic bacterium related to the Enterobacteriaceae family found in the normal intestinal flora of humans. It causes urinary tract, abdominal and hepatobiliary infections. Advanced age, hospitalization, surgical procedures, use of antibiotics, and concomitant bacteraemia were considered the most important risk factors for infections by \textit{M. morganii}\textsuperscript{32}. It is commonly associated with polyarticular septic arthritis, especially in healthy young patients, located mainly in the knee or ankle joints\textsuperscript{33}.

Diagnostic imaging is a useful aid in therapeutic programming. Radiographic images may show joint degeneration, periosteal reaction, displacement of the humeral head and gases, and edema of the surrounding soft tissues. Most patients have abnormalities on the radiographic examination in the early stages of the disease\textsuperscript{34-36}. Ultrasound is useful to detect intra-articular fluid and synovial hypertrophy, but it is limited in cases of bone changes. MRI is a non-invasive and sensitive modality, capable of demonstrating intra-articular fluid, inflammation, bone marrow edema, damage to cartilage and bone erosion\textsuperscript{37}. According to Lee et al. The identification of bone or cartilage changes by means of MRI can assist in guiding the most appropriate treatment method before the surgical procedure\textsuperscript{38}.

Newman et al believe that the diagnosis of septic arthritis should be confirmed in the presence of a positive synovial fluid culture, or positive blood culture along with positive clinical signs even when the synovial fluid is negative; or purulent synovial fluid with negative culture due to previous use of antibiotics, but with positive clinical signs\textsuperscript{39}. In some cases, the possibility of bacterial contamination of the collected material can be considered, especially when the clinical signs are not compatible, and the isolated bacterium is not likely. In these cases, it is recommended to monitor the patient through imaging tests and clinical evaluations without antibiotics\textsuperscript{40}.

There are reports of success with nonoperative treatment, recommended only when surgery is not possible (elderly or clinical conditions)\textsuperscript{3,7,9,29,41}. Gelberman et al.\textsuperscript{11} demonstrated worse results with conservative treatment in relation to surgery in terms of hospitalization and complications of septic arthritis, such as septicemia, shock, pneumonia, urinary tract infection, and death.

Surgical methods are joint aspiration, arthroscopy, or open debridement (arthrotomy), always associated with concomitant antibiotics\textsuperscript{1,10,12,13,17,27,28}. Gordian et al.\textsuperscript{42} reported that arthroscopic treatment is effective in treating septic arthritis of the shoulder and also recommend a new approach to the persistence of symptoms. Open debridement is preferred in late diagnosis or in the final stages of infection, where the bone and cartilage destruction is already present\textsuperscript{43}.

Arthroscopic debridement and irrigation have become the method of choice for the treatment of septic arthritis of the shoulder by many surgeons due to the simple approach of the joint, effective infection control, and shorter hospital stay\textsuperscript{41}. Memon et al.\textsuperscript{2} carried out a systematic review on the arthroscopic treatment of septic arthritis of the shoulder and concluded that this method results in pain relief, normalization of blood inflammatory markers and fevers, and enables a complete return to daily and sports activities. These authors emphasize the need for repeated procedures in 30% of patients. Joo et al.\textsuperscript{44} reported 87% of satisfactory results following arthroscopic debridement.

Lee et al.\textsuperscript{45} retrospectively evaluated patients after arthroscopic or open treatment. These authors observed a rate of reinfection in individuals without bone or cartilage lesions of 10% for arthroscopy and 15.4% for open debridement. In the presence of these lesions on radiography or MRI, patients submitted to the
arthroscopic procedure had a rate of reinfection of 82%, while the open procedure resulted in 18% (statistically significant difference). Other reports have shown that open debridement has led to considerable restriction of shoulder range of motion.\(^4\)

The systematic review by Memon et al.\(^2\) did not demonstrate the superiority of arthroscopic or open treatment due to the lack of sufficient studies. Likewise, Bovonratwet et al.\(^4\) compared the two techniques and found no difference in terms of reoperations, surgical time, hospital stay, adverse events and need for readmission. According Böhler et al.\(^4\), after analyzing data of 59 consecutive patients which received arthroscopy or open arthrotomy, open arthrotomy is the more effective treatment method in septic arthritis of the shoulder.

Other reports have also shown that both approaches have similar results in the treatment of septic arthritis of the shoulder.\(^4\)

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

It is possible to conclude that septic arthritis of the shoulder can be managed effectively by means of surgical debridement when performed in conjunction with antibiotic therapy, and results in pain relief, improved range of motion, and return to daily and sports activities. The arthroscopic procedure has shown itself to be a promising alternative for many surgeons. However, it is important to assess the presence of bone or cartilaginous damage before considering arthroscopy. Concomitant bilateral septic arthritis of the shoulder is a rare occurrence, described only once in the literature and, in our report, the patient was effectively treated with arthroscopic debridement and antibiotic therapy.
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