The neotropical Gran Sabana region: Palaeoecology and conservation
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Abstract
The Gran Sabana (GS) is a key region for understanding the origin of neotropical savannas and is an ideal location to test ecological hypotheses on long-term vegetation dynamics under the action of natural and anthropogenic drivers. The conservation of the GS is a controversial issue because of the confluence of disparate cultural and socio-economic interests, with a strong debate surrounding fire practices by indigenous people. Late glacial to Holocene pollen and charcoal records obtained thus far in this region have documented the main palaeoecological trends along with the climatic and anthropogenic (mostly fire) drivers involved. Here, we discuss how these records can be used to inform conservation and restoration practices in the GS. The main points of the discussion are the local versus regional character of palaeoecological evidence, the support provided by this evidence for the existing fire management proposals and the role of spatiotemporal environmental and ecological heterogeneity in the definition and evaluation of realistic restoration targets. A general conclusion is that past ecological reconstructions do not fully support either of the current options for fire management, that is, either total fire suppression or the continuity of indigenous fire practices. It is recommended to replace this dual and rigid conservation framework with a more diverse and flexible approach that considers the complex spatiotemporal heterogeneity documented in palaeoecological records.
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Introduction
The Gran Sabana (GS) is a key region for understanding the origin of neotropical savannas and is an ideal location to test ecological hypotheses on long-term vegetation dynamics under the action of natural and anthropogenic drivers. The conservation of the GS is a controversial issue because of the confluence of disparate cultural and socio-economic interests, with a strong debate surrounding fire practices by indigenous people. Late glacial to Holocene pollen and charcoal records obtained thus far in this region have documented the main palaeoecological trends along with the climatic and anthropogenic (mostly fire) drivers involved. Here, we discuss how these records can be used to inform conservation and restoration practices in the GS. The main points of the discussion are the local versus regional character of palaeoecological evidence, the support provided by this evidence for the existing fire management proposals and the role of spatiotemporal environmental and ecological heterogeneity in the definition and evaluation of realistic restoration targets. A general conclusion is that past ecological reconstructions do not fully support either of the current options for fire management, that is, either total fire suppression or the continuity of indigenous fire practices. It is recommended to replace this dual and rigid conservation framework with a more diverse and flexible approach that considers the complex spatiotemporal heterogeneity documented in palaeoecological records.

Regional palaeoecological trends
The GS uplands (ca. 800- to 1300-m elevation) are mostly covered by treeless savannas dominated by grasses, with savanna–forest mosaics at the ecotones with the surrounding forests (Huber, 1995b). Montane forests are highly diverse and their composition picture of past ecological trends, (2) the identification of the timing and potential causes of the initial GS savannization, (3) a more precise record of the ecological states of the GS during the Holocene, and (4) the recognition of the high spatiotemporal ecological heterogeneity of the GS. In this forum paper, we discuss these advancements and their potential contribution to conservation and restoration practices in the GS region. Our aim is not to propose specific conservation actions, which would be more appropriate for a conservation journal, but to highlight the palaeoecological information that we consider useful for guiding conservation strategies. Cultural, ideological and socio-political matters are also relevant to the debate but are beyond the scope of this paper.
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varies with elevation (Hernández et al., 2012). Gallery forests are also common along rivers and on lake shores. A special vegetation is the so-called ‘morichal’, a monospecific palm swamp of Mauritia flexuosa growing on flooded areas such as lake shores and riverbanks (Figure 1). The GS has two well-differentiated physiographical regions separated by a more or less abrupt ramp of 400 m: the northern sector, with average elevations between 1200 and 1300 m, and the southern sector, mostly between 800 and 900 m in elevation (Huber and Febres, 2000). This topographical configuration determines the occurrence of contrasting bioclimatic conditions with a conspicuous landscape expression. The climate of the northern sector is slightly cooler and wetter and is characterized mainly by savannas, broad-leaved meadows and shrublands, with frequent montane and gallery forests. Morichales are absent. In the southern sector, where climates are warmer, drier and more seasonal, the open savannas dominate the landscape, the shrublands are less frequent and the broad-leaved meadows are absent. The morichales are characteristic of this sector, where they develop dense and extensive stands.

Palaeoecological records published to date using pollen and charcoal analysis to reconstruct vegetation dynamics in relation to fire and climate changes have been restricted mostly to the southern GS sector. The main results of these previous studies, in terms of millennial-scale vegetation and landscape changes, are summarized in Rull et al. (2013, 2015) (Figure 2). During the last 13,000 years, two main phases of fire exacerbation are recorded: the first during the Younger Dryas (YD) and the early Holocene (EH) and the second during the last 2000 years. The rest of the Holocene was characterized by lower but sustained fire incidence. The YD-EH fires were likely responsible for a

---

**Figure 1.** (a) Leaf area index map of northern South America showing the distribution of forests (green area) and the position of the Gran Sabana region (orange box), NW of the Roraima Savannas (RS). Base map from NASA Earth Observatory (http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov). (b) Map of the Gran Sabana showing the location of palaeoecological records available (red dots). Northern sector: Ariwe Fernland (AF) and Quebrada Kowana (QK) (Leal et al., in press). Southern sector: Lake Chonita (LC), Lake Encantada (LE), Lake Fidencio (LF), Mapaurí peat bog (MP), Paují peat bog (PP) and Urué peat bog (Rull et al., 2013, 2015). Modified from Rull and Montoya (2014).
under the action of anthropogenic fires, favoured by climatic conditions. Such a vegetation shift is consistent with the results obtained so far in the southern sector where the replacement of forests by open savannas and morichales started by 2000 cal. BP and likely progressed from south to north, reaching the northern GS sector slightly later (Rull and Montoya, 2014). The results of Leal et al. (in press) for the human-driven disappearance of northern gallery forests by 1600–1800 cal. BP support the hypothesis of the northward expansion of human populations across the whole GS, thus providing a more geographically extensive ecological and cultural picture. However, some differences exist in the vegetation types involved. Indeed, the late Holocene gallery forests of the northern and southern sectors have different taxonomical composition, as it occurs currently (Huber and Febres, 2000). In addition, the expansion of morichales occurred only in the southern sector, owing to the inability of Mauritia to colonize the northern sector because of climatic constraints. Specifically, this palm needs warm and wet climates and flooded soils to develop successfully and such environments occur in the GS only in the southern sector below 1000-m elevation (Rull and Montoya, 2014).

**Palaeoecology and fire management**

The issue of management for conservation in the GS is problematic because of the confluence of several disparate cultural, socio-political and economic interests. On one hand, the state hydroelectric company CORPOELEC (formerly EDELCA) and a number of ecologists and conservationists are committed to preserving GS forests to protect the headwaters of the Caroni River. Most of these actors believe that the GS was formerly covered by forests that were later removed by human fires and blame the present GS indigenous people and their ancestors for this presumably recent historical deforestation. The defenders of this proposal advocate for the immediate cessation of indigenous fires. Since 1980, EDELCA has actively combated these fires by means of helicopter-supported fire brigades with the active participation of indigenous people as firemen (Huber, 1995a). On the other hand, the defenders of the indigenous practices argue that fire is a fundamental cultural trait that should be preserved not only for cultural reasons but also because of its potential usefulness in conservation. Indeed, these actors contend that current fire management practices by indigenous people hamper the accumulation of natural fuels, thus preventing the occurrence of larger and potentially catastrophic fires (Bilbao et al., 2010; Leal et al., in press). In contrast with other neotropical savanna regions, as for example the Brazilian ‘cerrados’ (Da Silva and Bates, 2002; Klink and Machado, 2005), GS fires are not lit to facilitate agriculture or other economic activities but for disparate reasons including plague control, hunting, savanna cleaning, communication, magic or religion, among others (Huber, 1995a; Rodriguez, 2004, 2007). Fires are usually started in the savannas but they can penetrate the montane and gallery forests thus resulting in the net expansion of savanna areas (Dezzeo and Chacón, 2005; Dezzeo et al., 2004a, 2004b; Fölster, 1986; Fölster and Dezzeo, 1994; Fölster et al., 2001; Kingsbury, 2001), a phenomenon that is characteristic of the current GS landscape (Figure 2).

Palaeoecology can shed some light in this controversy. First of all, the hypothesis of a recent, general GS deforestation is not supported by the available evidence, as treeless savannas have dominated the GS landscape since the beginning of the Holocene (Figure 3). Some local forest clearing events have been documented during the last millennia, affecting gallery forests of the northern sector, but this occurred within a general savanna landscape (Leal et al., in press). In the southern sector, the most recent landscape disturbance was the introduction and spreading of the
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**Figure 2.** Examples of Gran Sabana fires: (a) active savanna burning near Sta. Elena; (b) savanna expansion at the expense of gallery forest near Kavanayén; and (c) montane forest recently burnt being colonized by savannas (Chimantá massif, near Yuneck). See Figure 1 for the mentioned localities. Photos: V Rull.
not be discussed here, but the idea that the current indigenous use of fire could contribute to preventing potentially catastrophic wildfires may be subjected to empirical scrutiny using ecological and palaeoecological evidence. Some present-day ecological studies suggest that in the forest-savanna ecotones, local environmental conditions favour the accumulation of biomass, which could favour the occurrence of potentially catastrophic wildfires (Bilbao et al., 2010; Leal et al., in press; Sletto, 2008; Sletto and Rodríguez, 2013). The occurrence of wildfires, however, has not been documented in past or present-day records of the GS, where it is widely recognized that humans are, and have been, the main burning agents. Natural fires are comparatively negligible (Huber, 1995a). Therefore, a role for wildfires in the shaping of the GS landscape is still a speculative subject without empirical support. According to the available ecological and palaeoecological evidence, fire prevention, if necessary, should place the focus on human fires, which have been the main factor responsible for the expansion of savanna and morichal to the detriment of montane and gallery forests, especially during the late Holocene (Leal et al., in press; Rull et al., 2013). Another relevant observation is that today’s fire practices tend to implicitly prioritize the savannas and morichales, which are currently expanding at the expense of rainforests (Rull and Montoya, 2014). This leads to another debate about specific conservation targets, which is a topic developed in the next section.

### Spatiotemporal heterogeneity and restoration targets

Another important outcome of the latest palaeoecological studies is the realization that the GS landscape is far from being homogeneous and that its heterogeneity has been shaped by the action of natural (climatic) and anthropogenic (fires) drivers over the last millennia. Until recently, this view was restricted to the southern sector (Rull et al., 2013), but Leal et al. (in press) extend the picture to the entire GS. This point has important consequences for conservation planning. Sometimes, the term ‘conservation’ is used in an overly general sense, which may impede communication with colleagues and stakeholders, thus hampering the adoption of preventive actions. A more practical approach is to define specific conservation targets. Palaeoecological information can be crucial for the definition of these targets. An example is the study of Foster and Motzkin (2003) developed in the grasslands, heathlands and shrublands of the coastal region of New England (US), where conservation proposals were based on the assumption that the original landscapes were non-forested and maintained by natural disturbance and indigenous land use. Further palaeoecological studies showed that former landscapes were in fact forested and that open vegetation was the consequence of forest clearance and further land use by European colonizers. After these findings, conservation perspectives changed and were oriented towards ‘a combination of approaches that replicate the effects of historical land use’ (Foster and Motzkin, 2003). In the case of the GS, we can ask what we should preserve: the forests, the savanna, the morichales, or all of them? Additionally, considering that the present GS landscape is mostly cultural, we could also ask whether it should be preserved as it is or whether it should be restored to a former, more natural state. In this case, the palaeoecological record has the key information required. Combining the palaeoecological information currently available for the northern and southern GS (Leal et al., in press; Rull et al., 2013), the landscape has experienced at least three main states during the last 13,000 years: (1) woodlands of heterogeneous composition with patches of savanna (13,000–10,000 cal. BP); (2) treeless savannas with gallery forests and without morichales (10,000–2000 cal. BP); and (3) treeless savannas with gallery forests and morichales in the south (2000 cal. BP to present). Considering these states as potential restoration targets requires some discussion.

---

**Figure 3.** Schematic summary of the main results of palaeoecological studies developed thus far in the southern sector of the Gran Sabana at millennial scale. This figure is a composition of nine sites, that is, those depicted in Figure 2 and others (Santa Teresa and Divina Pastora) from Rull (1992), all of them with mid-late Holocene records and almost all containing also pre-Holocene and early Holocene sequences. Based on Rull et al. (2013, 2015) and literature therein.

morichales some 2000 years ago, which also occurred within a savanna-dominated vegetation. Anthropogenic fires played a role in these transformations, but no general GS deforestation has been documented by past records. The only savannization event recorded began in the YD and ended in the EH, with fire as an important driver. However, this event has been recorded only in the southern sector and we should wait for further studies from the northern part to realize whether this savannization was a general GS pattern. A role for humans has also been suggested in this case. The cultural identity of humans involved in these major landscape disturbances is still a matter of speculation. It has been suggested that YD fires could be linked to the first South American colonizers, whereas the late Holocene fires could be related to the present-day GS Pemones. These hypotheses should be tested with archaeological studies (Rull et al., 2015). Past GS records also suggest that climate has been a relevant driver of ecological change, as fire exacerbation events coincide frequently with drier climatic phases (Rull et al., 2013). Climate has been recognized as a fundamental factor in fire propagation, especially in savannas where dry conditions promote extensive burning (Beerling and Osborne, 2006). However, the first and most necessary event to initiate any fire is the occurrence of a lighting event, either natural or anthropogenic. In the GS, most fires recorded in palaeoecological studies have been considered to be of anthropogenic origin but modulated by climate (Leal et al., in press; Rull et al., 2013).

The empirical dismissal of a recent and general GS deforestation event does not mean that palaeoecological records support the view of the defenders of present-day indigenous practices. This option is based mainly on cultural considerations and will not be discussed here, but the idea that the current indigenous use of fire...
The first state is unsustainable as late glacial climates were cooler and drier than they are today in the GS; therefore, former YD woodlands would not be in equilibrium with the present-day climate. The second state would require the removal of present-day morichales, which could be viewed as a socio-ecological aggression because these palm swamps are among the more emblematic elements of the GS landscape and are intensively used by local people, not only in the GS but also throughout the whole Neotropics (Rull and Montoya, 2014). The third option, to maintain the GS landscape as it is and without any restoration actions in spite of its well-known anthropogenic character, would be the least expensive and most socially accepted. However, given the spatiotemporal heterogeneity recorded in past and present ecological surveys, one single conservation strategy for the whole region seems inadequate. It might be useful to subordinate the GS into a number of landscape units, more or less homogeneous in both past and present vegetation patterns, and consider the combination of conservation and eventual restoration actions at the local scale. For example, in the localities studied by Leal et al. (in press), the restoration of the former gallery forests would be considered. An additional advantage of such a microsite conservation framework is that it could be more feasible and would facilitate eventual agreements among the different actors involved, thus contributing to the preservation of local cultural traits. Some initiatives of this type, with the active participation of indigenous communities, are already ongoing (Rosales et al., 2013), but so far they are isolated ventures. It would be desirable to develop a more general management plan for the whole GS and to include these actions in a broader context for regional coherence.

Final remarks

Palaeoecological records provide useful information for conservation and restoration actions but do not solve the debate between the critics and the defenders of the use of fire by indigenous GS people. The main reason is that this debate is unrealistic because it is based only on two opposing and inflexible positions and does not consider the whole range of possibilities for a suitable management of the GS. The dispute is rather simplistic, that is, fire suppression versus the continuity of indigenous fire practices, and guided by a priori ideological and socio-economic positions. One of the main lessons of palaeoecology is that the GS landscape has not been immutable through time but, on the contrary, has experienced multiple ecological states under the synergistic action of natural and anthropogenic drivers. This temporal heterogeneity overlaps with a significant environmental diversity in space to produce a complex ecological scenario that should be taken into account in any realistic conservation planning. In addition, as Leal et al. (in press) noted, not only the biological but also the cultural components of diversity should be preserved. Therefore, it seems the wiser option to preserve the ecological and cultural heritage of the GS, an emblematic neotropical region, by putting aside intransigent positions and agreeing on a common conservation agenda with the participation of all the stakeholders. It would also be helpful to place the debate in a wider context considering the whole range of the neotropical savannas, in order to share information, ideas and experiences. Palaeoecological studies on neotropical savannas are widespread and representative of diverse biogeographical regions (Flantua et al., 2015). These studies have provided relevant insights on the ecological dynamics of savannas and savanna–forest ecotones (e.g. Berrio et al., 2012; Mayle and Whitney, 2012). This information could be used to inform conservation planning at a continental scale.
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