Foxp1-mediated programming of limb-innervating motor neurons from mouse and human embryonic stem cells
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Spinal motor neurons (MNs) control diverse motor tasks including respiration, posture and locomotion that are disrupted by neurodegenerative diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and spinal muscular atrophy. Methods directing MN differentiation from stem cells have been developed to enable disease modelling in vitro. However, most protocols produce only a limited subset of endogenous MN subtypes. Here we demonstrate that limb-innervating lateral motor column (LMC) MNs can be efficiently generated from mouse and human embryonic stem cells through manipulation of the transcription factor Foxp1. Foxp1-programmed MNs exhibit features of medial and lateral LMC MNs including expression of specific motor pool markers and axon guidance receptors. Importantly, they preferentially project axons towards limb muscle explants in vitro and distal limb muscles in vivo upon transplantation—hallmarks of bona fide LMC MNs. These results present an effective approach for generating specific MN populations from stem cells for studying MN development and disease.
The ability to derive specialized cell types from pluripotent stem cells has allowed for novel insights into development and disease, particularly in the case of neurodegenerative diseases where specific neuronal populations are affected. To accurately model development and disease, stem cell-derived populations must fully recapitulate endogenous cell populations—both in the diversity of cell types generated and their functional behaviour. A prime example includes the differentiation of spinal motor neurons (MNs) from mouse and human embryonic stem cells (ESCs) and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs), which has provided an unprecedented opportunity to model the pathogenesis of MN diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and spinal muscular atrophy (SMA)\(^1\). However, despite these advances, in vitro MN differentiation protocols typically produce only a limited subset of endogenous MN populations\(^2\).

In developing mouse embryos, spinal MNs are organized into columns that innervate distinct muscle targets along the length of the body\(^3\). In addition to their muscle targets, motor columns are distinguished by their differential and combinatorial expression of LIM-homeodomain proteins, Hox proteins and other transcription factors\(^4,5\). The medial motor column (MMC) innervates axial muscles along the entire rostrocaudal axis. The lateral motor column (LMC) innervates limb muscles at cervical and lumbar levels. LMC MNs are further subdivided into medial (LMCc) and lateral (LMCl) populations that innervate the ventral and dorsal limb muscles, respectively. The hypaxial motor column (HMC) innervates respiratory muscles, including the diaphragm, intercostals and abdominal muscles at different levels of the spinal cord. Last, the preganglionic motor columns (PGC) innervate the sympathetic and parasympathetic ganglia and are present only at thoracic and sacral levels. The generation (PGC) innervate the sympathetic and parasympathetic ganglia and are present only at thoracic and sacral levels. The generation of these different MN subtypes and their subsequent assembly into motor circuits depends on the expression and function of key fate determinants in differentiating and postmitotic MNs\(^6\).

Most ESC to MN differentiation protocols rely on the use of retinoic acid (RA) and Sonic hedgehog (Shh) or Shh pathway agonists to mimic the natural process of MN formation in vivo\(^7\). However, these protocols appear to introduce significant bias in the formation of only select MN subtypes, predominantly MMC, with limited production of LMC MNs\(^8,9\). Spontaneous and RA-independent differentiation of ESCs have been shown to increase the diversity of MNs generated to an extent, but the efficiency of MN production tends to be reduced and few LMCl-like MNs form\(^10,11\). An alternative approach is to directly programme MN subtypes, taking advantage of our knowledge of the transcriptional programmes that specify different MN fates. One of the major fate determinants of LMC MNs in vivo is the Forkhead domain transcription factor Foxp1. All LMC and PGC MNs in the developing spinal cord express Foxp1, and Foxp1-mutant animals have severely reduced innervation and motor control of limb muscles\(^12,13\). We thus examined whether Foxp1 function could be used to direct the differentiation of limb-innervating MNs from ESCs, without compromising differentiation efficiency.

In this study, we demonstrate that Foxp1 misexpression in differentiating MNs suffices to generate bona fide LMC MNs in the mouse spinal cord and both mouse and human ESC-derived MNs in vitro. These Foxp1-programmed ESC-derived MNs express molecular markers of LMCm and LMCi motor columns and specific LMC motor pools, indicating conversion from an MMC to LMC fate. Foxp1-programmed MNs express LMC axon guidance receptors and preferentially project axons towards limb muscle explants in vitro, as well as distal limb muscles in chick embryos after transplantation. Last, Foxp1-programmed MNs preferentially form synapses with limb muscles in vitro and in vivo in contrast to control MMC-like MNs, which preferentially innervate axial muscles. Together, these results illustrate the feasibility of generating specific subtypes of MNs from pluripotent stem cells using a transcriptional programming approach, and the importance of MN diversity for achieving functionally distinct behaviours.

### Results

**Foxp1 misexpression restores LMC production in Foxp1 mutants.** Previous work in our laboratory and others has shown that Foxp1 is necessary and sufficient for the generation and function of LMC and PGC MNs in the mouse spinal cord\(^12,13,20\). In Foxp1 mutants, LMC and PGC MNs transform into MMC and HMC MNs, illustrated by changes in molecular markers, settling position within the ventral horn of the spinal cord and axon projections\(^12,13\). Accordingly, Olig2;Cre; Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) mutants, in which Foxp1 is removed from MN progenitors, are unable to move their forelimb and hindlimb muscles due to the inability of MNs to coalesce into functional motor pools needed to form sensory-motor circuits\(^20\). In contrast, Hb9::Foxp1 transgenic mice, in which Foxp1 is misexpressed in most spinal MNs under the Hb9 promoter, display an increased generation of LMC and PGC MNs, and a corresponding decrease in MMC and HMC populations\(^12,13\).

To test whether the Hb9::Foxp1 transgene could direct LMC MN formation de novo, we interbred Hb9::Foxp1 mice with Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) mice and analysed MN formation in different mutant and transgenic allelic combinations (Fig. 1). At E12.5, three distinct populations of MNs: MMC, HMC and LMC were present in the spinal cord of both Foxp1\(^{+/+}\) and Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos (Fig. 1a)\(^12,13\). As previously described, Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos showed an almost complete loss of LMC MNs, and reciprocal expansion of Hb9\(^{+/+}\)/Hbx3\(^{-/-}\) MMC MNs and Hb9\(^{-/-}\)/Hbx3\(^^{-/-}\) HMC-like MNs (Fig. 1a)\(^12,13\). LMC MN formation was significantly restored in Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos, with concomitant reductions in both ectopic HMC and MMC MNs (Fig. 1a,c). A similar trend was observed in the thoracic spinal cord (Fig. 1b). PGC MNs—another Foxp1-dependent population—returned in Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos, coincident with a nearly complete suppression of ectopic HMC MNs (Fig. 1d). There was no significant difference in total MN number between all three genotypes, indicating that changes in MN subtypes were likely a result of fate conversion rather than variations in cell death and survival (Fig. 1e). Overall, these results indicate that transgenic Foxp1 expression is sufficient to generate LMC and PGC MNs and suppress ectopic MMC and HMC MN formation in a Foxp1-null background in vivo.

**Foxp1-programmed ESC MNs form LMCm and LMCI subtypes.** The ability of the Hb9::Foxp1 transgene to rescue Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) MN phenotypes in vivo led us to hypothesize that Foxp1 misexpression during ESC differentiation would be sufficient to generate LMC MNs in vitro. Foxp1 overexpression in neural progenitors disrupts MN differentiation, mimicking Foxp4 overexpression phenotypes\(^21\); highlighting the importance of overexpressing Foxp1 only in postmitotic MNs. Therefore, we derived mouse ESC lines from Hb9::Foxp1; Hb9::Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) blastocysts. Four Hb9::Foxp1; Hb9::GFP (hereafter termed Hb9::Foxp1) ESC lines were generated, and each exhibited characteristic ESC colony appearance and pluripotency marker expression (Fig. 2a). The widely used HBG3 Hb9::GFP ESC line\(^13\), as well as newly created isogenic Hb9::GFP ESC lines, were used as controls for comparison throughout this study. All Hb9::GFP ESC lines behaved equivalently. Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC lines
generated similar numbers of GFP+/Hb9+ MNs, indicating that Foxp1 misexpression did not affect MN production efficiency (Fig. 2a). On average, 40% of all ESC-derived cells expressed GFP, as determined by immunohistochemistry and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis. Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed 15- to 20-fold higher levels of Foxp1a coding messenger RNA (mRNA), compared with all Hb9::GFP control MNs. Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs also showed a 2.86 ± 0.02-fold increase (mean ± s.e.m.) in levels of Foxp1 3′ untranslated region (UTR) mRNA expression compared with Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs (Fig. 2e), indicating activation of the endogenous Foxp1 locus. Foxp1 3′UTR mRNA levels were also reduced in
Foxp1−/− embryonic MNs compared with Foxp1+/− embryos (Fig. 2e). These data together suggest that Foxp1 promotes its own expression through a positive feedback loop.

We next analysed the ESC-derived MNs for specific motor column markers to determine whether Foxp1 misexpression alters MN subtype formation in vitro. In agreement with...
previously published results\(^6,15\), the majority (70.5 ± 3.8% mean ± s.e.m.) of control Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs expressed Lhx3, a marker of MMC MNs (Fig. 2b). Only 4.6 ± 3.7% of Hb9::GFP MNs expressed LMC markers (Foxp1, Lhx1 and Aldh1a2) 7 days after addition of RA and Smoothened agonist (SAG) (Fig. 2b,c). In contrast, Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs displayed significantly reduced numbers of Lhx3\(^+\) cells (16.5 ± 1.4%) and increased numbers of cells positive for LMCm (Isl1/Foxp1) and LMCl (Hb9/Lhx1) markers (52.78 ± 3.8% were Foxp1\(^+\)), and of these 57.4 ± 5.2% expressed Lhx1 while 41.6 ± 5.6% expressed Isl1) (Fig. 2b,c). Interestingly, 14.8 ± 1.8% of Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed both Lhx3 and Foxp1, a cell population that is rarely found in vivo. However, this population disappeared with additional time in culture (Supplementary Fig. 1a,b), likely due to resolution in cell fates associated with the cross-repressive activities of Lhx3 and Foxp1 (refs 12,13). We did not observe any changes in the numbers of apoptotic cells assessed by activated Caspase3 antibody staining or total MN numbers over the time in culture (Supplementary Fig. 1c,d).

We also examined ESC-derived MNs for expression of Aldh1a2, an RA-synthesizing enzyme expressed by LMC MNs in vivo\(^22\). Aldh1a2 mRNA levels were 6.4 ± 1.4-fold higher in Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs compared with Hb9::GFP controls (Fig. 2b,d). Within the LMC-like MNs produced by the Hb9::Foxp1 ESCs, 57.4 ± 5.2% (mean ± s.e.m.) expressed Lhx1, suggesting a slight bias in LMC1 formation over LMCm (Fig. 2d). This could reflect the presence of RA in the differentiation media, seeing as LMC1 formation in vivo is influenced by retinoid signalling\(^22\). Consistent with this possibility, altering RA levels in the differentiation protocol led to corresponding changes in Lhx1\(^+\) Hb9::Foxp1 MNs (Supplementary Fig. 2). Together, these results indicate that Foxp1 misexpression is sufficient to transform the molecular identity of ESC-derived MNs from an MMC phenotype to an LMC phenotype.

**Foxp1-programmed MNs express LMC motor pool markers.** In the embryo, LMC MNs are further divided into individual motor pools, MN groups that innervate the same muscle. At cervical regions, these pools include Etv4\(^+\) (also known as Pea3) LMCl and LMCm pools that innervate the latissimus dorsi and cutaneous maximus muscles\(^23,24\), Nkx6.1\(^+\) LMCm pools that innervate the biceps and other forearm flexor muscles; and a Pou3f1\(^+\) (also known as SCIP) LMCm pool that innervates the flexor carpi ulnaris muscle of the lower forearm (Fig. 3a)\(^24,25\). In vivo, the expression of these pool markers is initiated by exposure of MN growth cones to signals emanating from the surrounding peripheral tissue and developing muscles. For example, Etv4 is expressed in LMC MNs on exposure to glia-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) as LMC MN axons approach the developing peripheral tissue and developing muscles. For example, Etv4 is
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**Figure 3 | Foxp1 misexpression induces LMC motor pool markers.**

(a) Analysis of cervical LMC motor pools in E12.5 Foxp1\(^+/+\), Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) and Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos. All LMC motor pools were lost in Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos. The Pou3f1\(^+\) MNs in Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos represent the expanded HMC MN population (identified by their medial position). Insets depict Isl1 co-staining of the same section. The Etv4 motor pool was restored in Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos (white arrows), with some Foxp1\(^+\)/Etv4\(^-\) MNs located more dorsally (arrowhead). The Nkx6.1 and Pou3f1 motor pools were not restored in Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1\(^{-/-}\) embryos, although a small number of Foxp1\(^+\)/Pou3f1\(^+\) MNs were identified (yellow arrows). Scale bar, 50 μm. (b) Control Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs did not express any LMC motor pool markers. Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed Etv4 and Pou3f1 at high levels, but did not express Nkx6.1. Boxed regions are depicted as single-colour images below each image. Scale bars, 50 μm. (c) Quantification of motor pool markers in ESC-derived MNs (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 2 independent experiments, 938 Hb9::GFP MNs and 723 Hb9::Foxp1 MNs total; Student’s t-test). Etv4: *"P = 0.009. Pou3f1: **"P = 0.008. (d) Foxp1 misexpression did not alter the percentage of Pou3f1\(^+\)/Foxp1\(^-\) ESC-derived HMC-like MNs (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 3 independent experiments, 390 Hb9::GFP MNs and 255 Hb9::Foxp1 MNs total).
rescue these lost LMC motor pools, we analysed Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1<sup>−/−</sup> embryos for expression of pool markers. Interestingly, Etv4<sup>−</sup> motor pools were significantly restored, while Nkx6.1<sup>−</sup> and Pou3f1<sup>−</sup> LMC motor pools were not (Fig. 3a). This deficiency may be attributed to the fact that both Nkx6.1<sup>−</sup> and Pou3f1<sup>−</sup> LMC motor pools are associated with the LMCm, which was only partially restored in Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1<sup>−/−</sup> embryos (Fig. 1a,c).

To determine whether Foxp1 misexpression results in LMC motor pool formation in vitro, we analysed Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs for these same pool markers. Control Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs did not express Etv4, Nkx6.1 or Pou3f1 on day 7 of differentiation, despite the presence of GDNF in the culture medium (Fig. 3b). Conversely, Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed both Etv4 and Pou3f1 (mean ± s.e.m. = 32.4 ± 3.1% and 23.5 ± 0.14% of GFP<sup>−</sup> MNs, respectively), but did not express Nkx6.1 despite the clear presence of LMCm MNs in the culture (Fig. 3b). The factor(s) required to generate Nkx6.1<sup>+</sup> LMC motor pools are not known, and we surmise that such factors are likely missing from the culture media. To determine whether the addition of neurotrophic factors to the MN cultures biases the types of motor pools generated, we analysed Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs cultured with and without GDNF. GDNF removal reduced the numbers of Etv4<sup>−</sup> MNs formed, but did not affect expression of other pool markers (Supplementary Fig. 3a,b). We also confirmed that Pou3f1<sup>−</sup>/Foxp1<sup>−</sup> MNs did not express Lhx1, indicating an LMCm phenotype. Etv4<sup>−</sup>/Foxp1<sup>−</sup> MNs were more complex, with some cells expressing and others lacking Lhx1, suggesting the formation of both LMC1 and LMCm pools (Supplementary Fig. 3c). A small number of Pou3f1<sup>−</sup>/Foxp1<sup>−</sup> GFP<sup>−</sup> MNs were also identified in both Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MN cultures, which likely represent HMC MNs (Fig. 3d).13,27,28

We next examined whether Foxp1 misexpression had an impact on Hox proteins, which are implicated in both columnar and pool identities, but did not observe any significant differences in the cultures. The majority of both Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed Hoxa5 and Hoxc6, which are characteristic of rostral forelimb MNs, and did not express Hoxa8 or Hoxa9 associated with more caudal MN subtypes (Supplementary Fig. 4). These data indicate that the ability of Foxp1 misexpression to convey LMC motor pool identities is most likely independent of changes in Hox activities.

Last, we tested whether Foxp1 misexpression could similarly enhance LMC MN production from human ESCs. H9 ESCs were first differentiated into MNs and then transduced with lentiviruses encoding red fluorescent protein (RFP) alone or together with the human FOXP1 gene. MNs infected with the RFP-only virus predominantly expressed the MMC marker Lhx3 along with general MN differentiation markers such as Isl1 and choline acetyltransferase (CHAT) (Fig. 4a,c). A small population of endogenous LMC-like FOXP1<sup>+</sup> CHAT<sup>+</sup> MNs was detectable under these control cultures, though Lhx1<sup>−</sup> MNs were scarce (Fig. 4a,c). However, when human ESC-derived MNs were infected with the virus containing FOXP1, Lhx3 expression declined while Lhx1 levels became readily detectable (Fig. 4a,c). These FOXP1-transduced MNs further expressed LMC motor pool markers including Etv4, Pou3f1 and Nkx6.1 (Fig. 4b,d), recapitulating the results seen with the misexpression of Foxp1 in mouse ESC-derived MNs. Thus, Foxp1 expression appears to be able to impart an LMC identity to ESC-derived MNs in human cells as well.

Foxp1-programmed MNs preferentially project to limb muscles. It has been previously shown that ESC-derived MNs do not stably innervate developing limb muscles likely due to their lack of LMC

Figure 4 | FOXP1 promotes LMC MN formation from human ESCs.
(a) Control RFP-transduced human ESC-derived MNs (identified by CHAT expression) expressed high levels of Lhx3 and low levels of Foxp1 and Lhx1. Foxp1-transduced human ESC-derived MNs expressed increased levels of Lhx1 and decreased levels of Lhx3. (b) Control RFP-transduced human ESC-derived MNs did not express high levels of known LMC motor pool markers such as Etv4, Pou3f1 and Nkx6.1. By contrast, many Foxp1-transduced human ESC-derived MNs expressed Etv4 or Pou3f1, with a smaller number expressing Nkx6.1. (c) Quantification of MN subtype markers in transduced human ESC-derived MNs (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 2 independent experiments, 700 RFP-transduced MNs and 655 Foxp1-transduced MNs total; Student’s t-test). Lhx3: **P = 0.0055. Foxp1: **P = 0.0056. Lhx1: *P = 0.0035. (d) Quantification of LMC motor pool markers in transduced human ESC-derived MNs (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 3 independent experiments, 2342 RFP-transduced MNs and 1624 Foxp1-transduced MNs total; Student’s t-test). Nkx6.1: *P = 0.0108. Etv4 (LMCm): **P = 0.0042. Etv4 (LMCm): *P = 0.0263. Pou3f1: **P = 0.0053. Nkx6.1, Etv4 (LMCm) and Pou3f1 motor pools were identified by co-localization of Isl1, Foxp1 and the respective motor pool marker. The Etv4 (LMCI) motor pool was identified by co-localization of Foxp1 and Etv4.
Figure 5 | Hb9::Foxp1 MNs project axons towards limb muscle explants in vitro. (a) Three-dimensional collagen cultures of EBs and different chick muscle explants. Hb9::GFP EBs projected more axons towards axial muscle than dorsal or ventral limb muscle. Hb9::Foxp1 EBs projected more axons towards dorsal and ventral limb muscle than axial muscle explants. Scale bars, 100 μm. ‘A’ = axial muscle. ‘DL’ and ‘D. limb’ = dorsal limb muscle. ‘VL’ and ‘V. limb’ = ventral limb muscle. (b) Quantification of Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 axon projections when cultured between axial and dorsal limb explants (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 5 cultures per cell line; Student’s t-test). ***P = 0.0005. ****P < 0.0001. (c) Quantification of Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 axon projections when cultured between axial and ventral limb explants (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 5 cultures per cell line; Student’s t-test). *P = 0.0465 for Hb9::GFP and *P = 0.0386 for Hb9::Foxp1. (d) Schematic summary of muscle explant results. (e) Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed increased levels of EphA4, c-Ret, EphB1 and c-Met mRNA, compared with Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs. There was no change in Fgfr1 expression (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 3 independent RNA collections of Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 MNs; paired two-tailed t-test). *P = 0.0115 for EphA4, *P = 0.0264 for EphB1, *P = 0.0258 for c-Ret, *P = 0.0037 for c-Met. NS, not significant, P > 0.5. (f) Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed higher levels of EphA4 compared with control Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs. Insets depict GFP staining of ESC-derived MNs. Scale bars, 50 μm.
character6. To determine whether Foxp1 misexpression in ESC-derived MNs alters axon projections, we embedded GFP+ embryoid bodies (EBs) in three-dimensional collagen beds between different chick embryo muscle explants and analysed axon outgrowth (Supplementary Fig. 5a). When Hb9::Foxp1 and Hb9::Foxp1 EBs were cultured alone, very few axons projected into the surrounding collagen and most were very short in length (Fig. 5a). However, when Hb9::GFP EBs were cultured between axial and dorsal hindlimb muscle explants, the majority of axons projected towards the axial muscle (mean ± s.e.m. = 56.4 ± 1.8% axial versus 43.6 ± 1.8% dorsal limb) (Fig. 5b,c). This trend was also seen when Hb9::GFP EBs were cultured between axial and ventral hindlimb muscle explants (mean ± s.e.m. = 60.9 ± 6.2% axial versus 39.1 ± 6.2% ventral limb) (Fig. 5b,c). In contrast, a significant percentage of Hb9::Foxp1 EB axons projected towards dorsal hindlimb muscle (mean ± s.e.m. = 26.8 ± 2.7% axial versus 73.2 ± 2.7% dorsal limb) or ventral hindlimb muscle (mean ± s.e.m. = 39.3 ± 5.8% axial versus 60.7 ± 5.8% ventral limb) when cultured together with axial muscle explants (Fig. 5a). Similar results were observed when Hb9::Foxp1 EBs were cultured between axial and forelimb muscle explants (mean ± s.e.m. = 36.1 ± 3.4% axial versus 63.9 ± 3.4% forelimb), indicating that Hb9::Foxp1 motor axons were comparably attracted to both forelimb and hindlimb muscles (Supplementary Fig. 5b,c).

These differences in axon projections suggest changes in MN detection of guidance and/or outgrowth cues present in the muscle explants. We therefore analysed control Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs for expression of known LMC axon guidance receptors. Hb9::Foxp1 MNs expressed increased levels of the EphA4 and c-RET receptors, which are highly expressed by LMC MNs and critical for dorsal limb innervation in vivo (Fig. 5e,f)30–32. Hb9::Foxp1 MNs also expressed increased levels of EphB1, which is expressed by embryonic LMC MNs and important for ventral limb innervation (Fig. 5e)33. In addition, Hb9::Foxp1 MNs expressed increased levels of c-Met, which is critical for both dorsal and ventral limb innervation (Fig. 5e)34,35. However, there was no significant difference in Fgfr1 levels, which are important for MMC projections towards developing axial muscles36, possibly due to the residual population of Lhx3+ MNs present in Hb9::Foxp1 MN cultures (Fig. 5e). Together, these results provide evidence that Foxp1 misexpression in ESC-derived MNs alters axon projections in vitro to favour innervation of limb muscles over axial muscles, most likely due to increased expression of LMC axon guidance receptors. It is important to note that while increased levels of EphA4 and EphB1 are consistent with a switch to an LMC identity, these molecules are not likely to be responsible for the axon projections towards limb muscle explants in vitro. These receptors and ligands are membrane bound37 and would therefore not diffuse through the collagen bed. We surmise that diffusible guidance cues, such as HGF and GDNF, likely direct Hb9::Foxp1 MNs towards limb muscles in the in vitro setting.

Transplanted Foxp1-programmed MNs innervate limb muscles. Hb9::GFP MNs have been demonstrated to preferentially project axons towards axial muscle on transplantation into developing chick embryos6. A more recent study found that spontaneously differentiated mouse ESC-derived MNs could project axons towards limb muscles on transplantation, but did not show whether they innervated and formed synapses with the limb muscles19. We injected GFP+ EBs generated from Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESCs into the neural tube of st.14–17 chick embryos at brachial regions and collected the embryos 3–5 days later (Fig. 7a). First, we confirmed that the molecular profile of the MNs did not change on transplantation. The majority of transplanted Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs expressed Lhx3 and Hoxa5, despite injection into Hoxc8+ brachial regions of the chick neural tube (Supplementary Fig. 6a,b). In contrast, transplanted Hb9::Foxp1 MNs contained a mixture of Lhx3+ (35 ± 6%), Lhx1+/Foxp1+ (30.6 ± 0.1%) and Foxp1+ only (36.6 ± 1.8%) cells, which matched the distribution of MN subtypes characterized in vitro (Supplementary Fig. 6a,b). Transplanted Hb9::Foxp1 MNs that migrated into the ventral horn of the spinal cord typically settled in a medial position where endogenous MMC MNs are located, while transplanted Hb9::Foxp1 MNs migrated more laterally towards endogenous LMC MNs (Fig. 7b).

We first analysed axon projections 3 days post transplantation, when both endogenous and transplanted MN axons have reached their target muscles (Fig. 7c). Control Hb9::GFP transplants contained more GFP+ axons projecting dorsally towards axial muscles than laterally towards limb muscles (mean ± s.e.m. = 57.3 ± 3.6% axial versus 42.7 ± 3.6% limb). By comparison, Hb9::Foxp1 transplants showed significantly more GFP+ axons projecting laterally towards limb muscles (mean ± s.e.m. = 40.2 ± 4.7% axial versus 59.8 ± 4.7% limb) (Fig. 7d). At later stages in development, neural circuit refinement occurs as inappropriate or non-functional connections are removed by axon pruning and programmed MN cell death38. To see whether increased muscle specificity of transplanted ESC-derived MNs could be observed during this process, we analysed embryos 4 days after transplantation. 100% (8/8) of control Hb9::GFP transplants maintained their axial muscle-innervating
projections, but none (0/8) contained GFP⁺ projections into the forelimbs (Fig. 7c.e). 75% (6/8) of Hb9::Foxp1 transplants had GFP⁺ axonal projections, which is not unexpected since 35% of transplanted Hb9::Foxp1 MNs expressed Lhx3. However, 50% (4/8) of Hb9::Foxp1 transplants also contained GFP⁺ limb projections (Fig. 7c.e). Of these limb projections, the majority of axons (65%) innervated the distal dorsal limb, likely due to their robust expression of EphA4, c-Ret, and Lhx1, with the remainder extending ventrally.

To determine whether Foxp1⁺ ESC-derived MNs innervate other types of muscle at non-limb levels of the embryo, we transplanted Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs into the thoracic chick neural tube and analysed axon projections 3 and 4 days later. After 3 days, the majority of embryos contained GFP⁺ axon projections to both axial and intercostal muscles, but not the sympathetic ganglia (Supplementary Fig. 6c). One day later, we found that 60% (3/5) of embryos had GFP⁺ axonal projections, but 0% (0/5) had distal projections to the intercostals (Supplementary Fig. 6c,d). These results indicate that Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs failed to stably innervate intercostal muscles, and likely underwent axonal dieback and/or cell death.

We next asked if transplanted Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs projected to both axial and limb muscles due to their composition of MMC and LMC MNs. To address this, we injected dextran into either the axial or limb nerve branches of transplanted chick embryos, and analysed the molecular profile of the retrogradely labelled ESC-derived MNs. When dextran was injected into the axial nerve, 100% of labelled Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed Lhx3 and 88.9 ± 11.1% expressed Foxp1 (Supplementary Fig. 7a). In contrast, when dextran was injected into the limb nerve, 0% of labelled Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs expressed Lhx3 and 0% expressed Foxp1 (Supplementary Fig. 7b). Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs were not labelled after limb nerve injections, in agreement with their failure to innervate limb muscles in vivo. These results confirm that Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs innervated muscles appropriate for their MMC and LMC molecular identities.

We firstly analysed transplanted ESC-derived MNs for presence of synaptic proteins and formation of neuromuscular junctions in vivo (Fig. 8). At Hamburger–Hamilton (HH) stages 30–32, transplanted Hb9::GFP axons innervated axial muscles, demonstrated by presence of GFP⁺ boutons that contained the presynaptic protein, Synaptotagmin 2 (Fig. 8a). These boutons overlapped with a high number of postsynaptic acetylcholine receptors on chick axial muscles (marked by punctate labelling of Alexa⁶⁴⁷-conjugated α-BTX), suggesting formation of
Figure 7 | Hb9::Foxp1 MNs innervate distal limb muscles in vivo. (a) Transplantation scheme. DRG, dorsal root ganglion. (b) Transverse sections of the ventral horn chick spinal cords showing GFP⁺ transplanted MNs. Hb9::GFP MNs settled at a medial position associated with endogenous Lhx3⁺ MMC MNs. Hb9::Foxp1 MNs settled at lateral positions associated with endogenous Foxp1⁺ LMC MNs. Scale bars, 50 μm. (c) Transverse vibratome sections of transplantations. Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs projected axons towards dorsal axial muscles both 3 and 4 days post transplantation (PT). Hb9::GFP axons that projected laterally did not extend into the limb. The majority of Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs projected axons laterally towards the limbs 3 days PT and limb projections were maintained at 4 days PT. ‘d. limb’ = dorsal limb, ‘v. limb’ = ventral limb, ‘DRG’ = dorsal root ganglion. Scale bars, 200 μm. (d) Quantification of the percentage of transplanted GFP⁺ MN axons that projected towards axial and limb muscles at 3 days PT (mean ± s.e.m.; n = 10 transplants per cell line; Student’s t-test). **P = 0.008. (e) Quantification of transplanted GFP⁺ axons at 4 days PT. Graph shows the percentage of chick embryos that had GFP⁺ axons at positions 1–4. Position 1 = axial muscle. Position 2 = halfway to brachial plexus. Position 3 = brachial plexus. Position 4 = distal limb (these are marked in c). n = 8 transplants per cell line.
neuromuscular junctions. In contrast, *Hb9:Foxp1* axons that innervated axial muscles showed less co-localization of Synaptotagmin 2 and βTX, indicating fewer synapses (Fig. 8a). To quantify this difference, we counted the number of Synaptotagmin 2 +/βTX + synapses normalized to the number of GFP + axons, which showed that *Hb9:GFP* MNs formed significantly more synapses with axial muscle than *Hb9:Foxp1* MNs (Fig. 8c,d). Transplanted *Hb9:Foxp1* MNs by contrast produced many Synaptotagmin 2 and βTX clusters at the terminals of GFP + axons in dorsal limb regions, a result that was never seen with *Hb9:GFP* MNs (Fig. 8b,d). Finally, using an antibody known to label premotor synaptic terminals in the chick spinal cord19, we found bright Synaptotagmin 2 puncta clustered around the cell bodies and dendrites of both *Hb9:GFP* and *Hb9:Foxp1* MNs (Fig. 8e), suggesting that these transplanted cells are capable of receiving presynaptic inputs from the host spinal cord. Collectively, these data show that Foxp1 misexpression during ESC MN differentiation generates bona fide LMC MNs that successfully integrate into the spinal neural circuitry and innervate distal limb muscles in vivo, unlike control ESC-derived MNs.

**Discussion**

MN are essential for all voluntary and involuntary muscle movements as well as visceral organ functions, illustrated by the catastrophic and often fatal loss of motor control associated with MN disease8. Considerable effort has been accordingly directed towards generating MNs from stem cells for use in modelling MN disease pathologies, and potentially as cellular therapies1-5. In most stem cell studies, little attention is given to the fact that MNs in vivo are not a single cell type, but rather a collection of functionally distinct neurons. In the developing spinal cord, MNs are segregated into topographically organized columns and pools along rostrocaudal, mediolateral and dorsoventral axes, largely controlled by the expression of unique transcription factor combinations (Fig. 9a)7-13. This organization allows MNs to correctly innervate different body muscles and receive appropriate presynaptic inputs from sensory neurons, spinal interneurons and descending pathways needed to form stable and functional motor circuits40. In agreement with previous reports6,19, we have found that differentiation of mouse and human ESCs with RA and SAG, a protocol that is widely used in MN differentiation studies, predominantly produces MMC MNs that preferentially innervate and form synapses with axial but not limb muscles (Fig. 9b). Our results show that the molecular and functional diversity of these stem cell-derived MNs can be expanded through the programmed expression of Foxp1, which transforms newly born MNs towards a LMC phenotype (Fig. 9b). Importantly, *Hb9:Foxp1* ESC-derived MNs innervate and form synapses with distal limb muscles—the defining property of LMC MNs.

One of the current bottlenecks to achieving MN diversity in vivo is our limited understanding of how this process occurs in vivo, particularly the nature of environmental signals involved. Removal of exogenous growth factors has been shown to broaden the types of MNs generated in vitro, but this approach diminishes MN production efficiency to ~8% of total cells19. About ~38% of spontaneously generated ESC-derived MNs express Foxp1 (that is, ~3% of the total cells), and only a portion of these cells express LMC motor pool markers such as Etv4 and Pou3f1 (~18% and ~9% of Foxp1 + MNs, respectively)19. Moreover, few Lhx1 + LMC MNs are generated under these conditions even after the addition of RA (~15% of Foxp1 + MNs, that is, <0.5% of total cells)19. This markedly contrasts with our results, where 30-40% of the total cells become MNs, over half of which express high levels of Foxp1 and adopt an LMC identity (that is, 15-20% of total cells). It was recently observed that addition of two types of Shh agonists (Purmorpham and SAG) in addition to RA, results in accelerated generation of Foxp1 + MNs from human pluripotent stem cells41. About 30% of the cells become MNs, of which ~65% express Foxp1 (that is, ~20% of total cells). Interestingly, only 1-5% of these Foxp1 + MNs express Lhx1 (ref. 41). It remains to be seen whether these hESC-derived MNs also express LMC motor pool markers and preferentially innervate limb muscles.

It is notable that both of these previously described protocols for generating Foxp1 + ESC-derived MNs favour LMCm production. This contrasts with *Hb9:Foxp1* ESCs, which preferentially form LMCi rather than LMCm MNs, reflected in high levels of Lhx1, Etv4, EphA4 and c-RET expression. As many as 40% of *Hb9:Foxp1* MNs expressed Lhx1, and 32% of total MNs expressed Etv4—both significant increases over previous methods. These MNs also displayed dramatically altered axon projections in vitro and in vivo, with both assays showing a preference for dorsal limb muscle over axial muscle. LMCi MNs were also better restored to wild-type levels than LMCm or MMC MNs in *Hb9:Foxp1*; Foxp1 +/− embryos. Whether the bias seen in vivo could be partially explained by the inclusion of RA in the MN differentiation procedure, as RA is known to promote LMCi formation22, it doesn’t easily account for the bias seen in vitro. Another factor that could potentially influence LMC subdivision is the differential activity of the Hb9 promoter used to drive ectopic Foxp1 expression in different MN subtypes. While Hb9 is expressed by all somatic MNs, it is notably elevated in LMCi MNs and low in LMCm MNs12,13,42,43. Consequently, LMCi cells may contain more ectopically expressed Foxp1 than their LMCm counterparts, which could increase the efficiency and/or completeness of their transformation.

Despite these predilections, *Hb9:Foxp1* ESC-derived MNs still produced substantial numbers of Foxp1 +/Lhx1 +/Pou3f1 + MNs, which likely represent an LMCm-associated motor pool25, and were also capable of innervating ventral limb muscles on transplantation. We further found that Foxp1 misexpression did not alter Hox protein expression, which agrees with previous reports of Foxp1 acting downstream or in combination with cervical Hox proteins to direct LMC motor pool formation in the embryonic spinal cord12,13,24. The signals required for the formation of most cervical LMC motor pools remain unknown; however, seeing as Foxp1 +/− embryos lack all known LMC motor pool markers2,12,13, it seems likely that Foxp1 plays a central role in the assignment of pool identities. In this regard, Foxp1 overexpression during ESC MN differentiation could be a useful tool for identifying novel Foxp1 targets in spinal MNs.

In addition to using exogenous signalling molecules to direct the formation of MNs from pluripotent stem cells, others have begun using transcriptional programming approaches to directly generate MNs from ESCs44 and fibroblasts45. The types of MNs generated from these procedures remain unclear; however, they most likely represent MMC MNs because Lhx3 overexpression is required for MN conversion44,45. Interestingly, MNs directly converted from fibroblasts cluster separately from embryonic and ESC-derived MNs in microarray analyses45, suggesting that directly converted MNs retain some epigenetic differences related to their fibroblast origin. In our study, we present a hybrid approach incorporating both developmental signalling molecules and transcriptional programming to generate LMC MNs efficiently in vitro. The advantages of this method are that it maintains normal differentiation efficiencies, could be applied to both mouse and human systems, and can be adapted to produce other types of MNs, such as thoracic and lumbar populations, as long as candidate transcription factors are known. In principle, it should be possible to combine the approach of misexpressing Foxp1 in combination with different Hox proteins to create PGC...
and lumbar LMC MNs from ESCs, which have never been effectively generated in vitro.

Finally, our studies stress the importance of knowing what type of MNs are being produced within the stem cell cultures for the purposes of disease modelling and cellular therapies. Limb-innervating MNs are thought to be among the earliest affected in ALS, while MMC and HMC MNs are principally affected in spinal muscular atrophy. It is thus possible that Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs might exhibit enhanced sensitivity to ALS-associated mutations, compared with the MMC MNs typically produced from other ESCs. These differences in MN identity are also paramount for the successful use of stem cell-derived MNs in...
regenerative contexts. Our results show that different classes of ESC-derived MNs exhibit distinct axon growth potentials and preferences in forming synaptic contacts. It is notable that transplanted Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs successfully innervated and formed synapses with limb muscles in vivo, an activity that was not seen with control Hb9::GFP MNs. These latter cells were nevertheless capable of innervating axial muscles consistent with their MMC identity. These results are reminiscent of experiments in which thoracic spinal cord segments were transplanted into lumbar levels of chick embryos. The transplanted thoracic MNs initially innervated hindlimb muscles, but at later ages, both MNs and muscles degenerated resulting in impaired hindlimb motor functions \cite{47,48}. Together, these studies suggest that correct matching of MN and muscle subtypes may be critical for optimal synaptic formation and function. This detail is incredibly important in the context of MN diseases—both for modelling disease pathology in vitro with MN-muscle co-cultures and for transplantation into the spinal cord to replace damaged cells. It is very likely that generating specific MN subtypes from stem cells and matching them with appropriate and inappropriate muscle types will reveal novel and significant discoveries into MN development and neurodegenerative disease pathologies.

Methods

**Mouse husbandry.** Hb9::GFP, Hb9::Foxp1, and Foxp1\(^{+/−}\) heterozygous mice were maintained as previously described \cite{13,15,49}. Hb9::Foxp1 heterozygous mice were crossed with Foxp1\(^{+/−}\) mice to generate Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1\(^{+/−}\) mice. These mice were then interbred with Foxp1\(^{+/−}\) mice to produce Hb9::Foxp1; Foxp1\(^{+/−}\) embryos. The Hb9::Foxp1 transgene contains an IRES-GFP sequence but GFP expression levels were very low in ESC-derived MNs. All mice were maintained and tissue were collected in accordance with guidelines set forth by the UCLA Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Hb9::Foxp1 mouse ESC line generation and characterization.** Superovulation was induced in Hb9::GFP heterozygous female mice by sequential injections of pregnant mare serum gonadotropin (5 IU) followed by human chorionic gonadotropin (50 IU) 48 h later per female. These mice were paired overnight with Hb9::Foxp1 transgenic male mice. E0.5 pre-implantation embryos were isolated the next morning and incubated in hyaluronidase (0.3 mg ml\(^{−1}\); Sigma) until cumulus cells were removed from the embryos. About 24 embryos were collected in total and cultured in KSO media (Millipore) until the blastocyst stage \cite{50}. About 15 out of 24 embryos developed into blastocysts and were plated on mitomycin-treated mouse ESC media (DuBecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) high glucose, 10% ES-qualified FBS, 1% penicillin/ streptomycin, 1% glutamax, 1% nonessential amino acids, Primocin (50 μg ml\(^{−1}\); Invivogen), 2-mercaptoethanol (560 nM) and Leukaemia Inhibitory Factor (ESGRO; Millipore)) plus MEK1 inhibitor (50 μM; PD98059; Cell Signaling Technologies). Except as noted, media components were obtained from Invitrogen. About 4 days after plating, 12 blastocysts had hatched and attached to MEFs. Attached inner cell masses were monitored and passaged after 3–4 days of growth.

![Figure 8 | Transplanted ESC-derived MNs form synapses with correct muscles.](image)

Analysis of synapse formation 5 days after transplantation of Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs. Scale bars, 100 μm for TUJ1 images and 20 μm for x8TX images. (a) Transplanted GFP \(^{+}\) axons innervating axial muscle. Hb9::GFP axons co-localized with a high density of presynaptic (mouse specific Synaptotagmin 2 (Syt2)) and postsynaptic (x8TX) markers. Hb9::Foxp1 axons displayed fewer synaptic boutons. Boxes represent the areas imaged for synapse markers. DRG, dorsal root ganglion. (b) Transplanted GFP \(^{+}\) axons innervating limb muscle. Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs did not innervate and form synapses with limb muscle. Hb9::Foxp1 axons in the distal limb co-localized with Synaptotagmin 2 and x8TX (arrows). Yellow boxes represent the areas shown in GFP/TUJ1 images where GFP \(^{+}\) axons were analysed for synapse markers. (c) Quantification of the number of synapses formed by each cell line with axial muscle in vivo (mean \(±\) s.e.m.; \(n=6\) images per cell line; Student’s t-test). **\(P=0.002\). (d) Quantification of the number of synapses formed by each cell line with limb muscle in vivo (mean \(±\) s.e.m.; \(n=6\) images per cell line; Student’s t-test). Hb9::GFP control cells showed no synapses in this assay. (e) Transplanted ESC-derived MNs in the ventral horns of chick spinal cords (outlined in boxes) had bright Synaptotagmin (Syt) \(^{+}\) punctae surrounding their cell body and dendrites. Scale bars, 50 μm in top row and 5 μm in bottom row. Arrows point to regions shown in insets.

![Figure 9 | Summary of spinal MN diversity in vivo and from ESCs.](image)

(a) At cervical levels in the developing spinal cord, MNs are segregated into different columns that express different transcription factors and proteins. These columns are further organized into motor pools, or groups of MNs that innervate the same muscle. These different MN subtypes innervate and form synapses with distinct muscles. (b) Under standard differentiation conditions with retinoic acid (RA) and smoothened agonist (SAG), the majority of control Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs expressed Lhx3— a marker of MMC MNs, and did not express markers of LMC MNs. Hb9::GFP ESC-derived MNs preferentially projected axons towards and formed synapses with axial muscle both in vitro and in vivo. Conversely, Foxp1 misexpression resulted in large numbers of bona fide LMC MNs that expressed multiple LMCm and LMCi molecular markers and preferentially innervated and formed synapses with limb muscle both in vitro and in vivo.
MEK1 inhibitor was removed from the media after the appearance of ES colonies. Mouse ESC colonies appeared within 1 week and were expanded on MEFs until confluent. Mouse ESC lines were established from at least two transgenics. Four lines contained both the Hb9::Foxp1 and Hb9::GFP transgenes and three lines contained only the Hb9::GFP transgene. All mouse ESCs were maintained in mouse ESC media on MEFS.

**Mouse ESC differentiation to MNs.** HBG3 Hb9::EGFP mouse ESCs (a gift from H. Wichterle, Columbia University) and newly generated Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1; Hb9::GFP mouse ESCs were maintained and differentiated into MNs as previously described16,52. Briefly, mouse ESCs were first plated on gelatin to remove MEFs prior to differentiation, and then plated in 60 mm bacterial Petri dishes in core MN medium to induce EB formation. Core MN medium consisted of a 1:1 mixture of DMEM/F12 and Neurobasal Medium supplemented with 10% knockout serum replacement, 1% Glutamax, 2-mercaptoethanol (560 μM), 1% penicillin/streptomycin and Primocin. Except as noted, media components were obtained from Invitrogen. About 2 days later, N2 supplement (1 x, Invitrogen), RA (1 μM, Sigma), and SAG (1 μM; Calbiochem) were added to the EBs. After 5-6 days, the EBs were either dissociated and plated on matrigel-coated coverslips for immunostaining or used for transplantation and muscle assays. 

**Cell sorting of stem cell-derived MNs and RNA isolation.** Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 EBs were collected 5-7 days after RA and SAG addition. EBs were dissociated using Tryp2-EDTA (Invitrogen) for 10 min, followed by incubation with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. The following primary antibodies were used: guinea pig anti-Aldh1a2 (1:20,000)55, goat anti-Sox2 (1:100, Santa Cruz SC-200), rabbit anti-Etv4 (1:1,000)56, guinea pig anti-Foxp1 (1:16,000)13, mouse anti-anterior/sensory (1:20,000)57, mouse anti-Lhx1 (4F2; 1:100; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank)58, mouse anti-Synaptotagmin 2 (znp-1; 1:2,000)61; mouse anti-Pou5f1 (1:1,000; Santa Cruz SC-5279), goat anti-Sox2 (1:20,000, Santa Cruz SC-17320)21,22, mouse anti-Synaptotagmin (mAb 30; 1:100; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank)22, mouse anti-Synaptotagmin 2 (1 NP-1; 1:100; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank)22, rabbit anti-Illl III Tubulin (1:1,300; Covance MRR-435P and mouse anti-Illl III Tubulin (1:1,300; Covance MMS-435P). Monoclonal antibodies obtained from the DSHB were developed under the auspices of the NICHHD and maintained by the University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, IA 52242. Cells were washed and then incubated with Alexal, FITC-, Cy3-, Cy5- and DyLight649-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Immunoresearch) for 1 h on ice for the overnight chase. Images shown for antibody staining were successfully repeated at least 2–3 times.

**Quantitative PCR.** Quantitative PCR analysis of MN populations was performed as previously described23. The following primer pairs were used: mouse Foxp1 3’UTR, forward 5’-gcagcagaagagagag-3’ and reverse 5’-gcgcatttaaggcatggtatcctccgcaatg-3’; mouse Aldh1a2, forward 5’-catggtatcctccgcaatg-3’ and reverse 5’-gcgcatttaaggcatgtgactgaggtgagagaacaag-3’. Relative mRNA expression levels were determined by normalizing crossing points for each gene to β-Actin and calculating fold changes of Foxp1 expression over control Hb9::GFP MNs.

**Immunostaining.** All embryos and cell cultures were fixed in 4% paraformalde-hyde (Fisher). Antibody staining of cryosections and vibratome sections were performed as previously described13,21. Fixed adherent cell cultures were washed 3 x with PBS, followed by trituration in L-15 Leibovitz media (Thermo Scientific)39. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop and CorelDraw Software. Cell number quantification was performed using the NIH ImageJ software suite with an ITCN add-in for automated cell counting.

**Electrophysiology.** Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 EBs were dissociated using papain (0.5 U ml-1; Worthington) and plated at low density on Matrigel-coated 35 mm culture dishes (1.2 × 105 cells per dish) in core MN medium with neurotrophic factors and cultured for an additional 2–3 days. Recoding were made from cells using standard whole-cell, current-clamp techniques at 20–22 °C. The recording bath solution contained: NaCl (120 mM), KCl (1.9 mM), KH2PO4 (0.8 mM), MgCl2 (2.2 mM), Mg-ATP (1 mM), Glucose (5.5 mM). Patch pipettes (resistance 3–5 MΩ) were filled with internal recording solution containing: K-gluconate (140 mM), Hepes (10 mM), EGTA (1 mM), Mg-ATP (4 mM) and Na-GTP (0.3 mM). The pH of both solutions was adjusted to 7.2. Cells with resting potentials < –30 mV were discarded. Patched MNs (maintained in current-clamp mode at approximately 70 mV) were stimulated with 0.1 nA depolarizing current pulses of 250 ms duration. For each MN, this stimulus pulse was applied at least three times (with intervals ≥30 s). Only data from cells in which the long stimulus pulse elicited the same number of APs were included in this study. Data were collected using Axopatch 2B patch clamp amplifiers with 4-pole Bessel filtering at 5 kHz. Signals were digitized, stored and analysed using pClamp software (Axon Instruments). AP amplitude was measured as the voltage change from the threshold to the peak of the first AP in a train.

**Chick transplantations.** Fertilized chicken eggs (McIntyre Poultry and Fertile Eggs) were incubated at 38 °C for ~60 h to HH stage 14–17 prior to use. Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs were transplanted into the lumen of the spinal cord, as described previously14,15. Briefly, GFP+ ESCs were manually collected 5–6 days after RA and SAG addition and cut into 6–7 pieces. A glass needle was inserted through a small nick in the neural tube of the embryo and a small portion of the neural tube was removed at brachial levels. GFP+ ESCs were injected into this lesion site. Embryos were allowed to develop for 2–5 days post-transplantation and then collected, fixed and prepared for cryosections or vibratome sections.

**Retrograde labelling of transplanted MNs.** Hb9::GFP and Hb9::Foxp1 ESC-derived MNs were transplanted into the chick spinal cord at brachial levels. About 3 days later embryos with GFP+ cells were identified and used for retrograde tracing experiments. Dextran (Biotinylated 3,000 MW; 10 mg ml-1; Invitrogen) was injected into the axil or limb nerve branches. Embryos were cultured at 30 °C for 6–7 h, fixed and prepared for cryosections.

**Human ESC culture and differentiation to MNs.** All human ESC experiments were conducted with prior approval from the UCLA Embryonic Stem Cell Research Oversight (ESCRO) Committee. H9 human ESCs (Madison, Wisconsin) were maintained on irradiated MEFS in human ESC media (DMEM/F12, 20% knockout serum replacement, 1% nonessential amino acids, 1% glutamax, 0.1 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 10 ng ml-1 of basic fibroblast growth factor). Human ESCs were differentiated to MNs as previously described14,16. RA was added to the human ESC-derived neural progenitors after 10 days of differentiation. Neural rosettes were manually isolated and transferred into neural differentiation media with 0.1 μM RA and 1 μM SAG. After 28 days, human ESC-derived MN progenitors were cultured in human MN maturation media with 0.1 μM RA, 50 mM SAG, cyclic AMP (0.1 μM; Sigma), ascorbic acid (200 ng ml-1; Sigma), insulin growth factor 1 (10 ng ml-1; Peprotech) and NFs (GDNF, BDNF and CNTF; all 10 ng ml-1; Peprotech). Images were collected using a Zeiss Axioobserver microscope equipped with the Apotome optical imaging system. Fluorescent images were collected using a Zeiss AxioCam MRc5 camera using ZEN software. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop and CorelDraw Software.
Lentiviral transduction of human ESC-derived MNs. The coding sequence of human FOXP1 (isoform 1) was PCR amplified from the plasmid template pHEOD29710S (PlasmID Database, Dana Farber/Harvard Cancer Center DNA Resource Core), and then cloned into the FUCRW lentivector. Control FUCRW (RFP) and FUCRW–FOXP1 lentiviruses were generated using standard third generation protocols, and concentrated viral aliquots were stored at −80 °C. Newly born human ESC-derived MNs (∼35 days after start of differentiation) were infected overnight with the concentrated lentiviruses. RFP ± cells were identified 3–5 days after infection. About 1–2 weeks after infection, transduced human ESC-derived MNs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature before being processed for immunostaining.

Quantification. For analysis of MN populations in E12.5 mouse embryos: mean ± s.e.m. values were calculated by pooling at least three sections at both cervical and thoracic levels, collected from at least three embryos of each genotype. For analysis of ESC-derived MNs: 3–5 field images containing ∼100 cells per field were counted for each antibody stain per differentiation batch. Values from three separate differentiation batches were averaged to generate mean ± s.e.m. values shown in the figures.

Statistics. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, but our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications. All quantification of in vitro MN–muscle co-cultures and explants was performed blind. Blinding of other experiments and randomization were not performed. Data were not tested for normality and compared using either the Student’s t-test, a paired two-tail t-test, or one or two-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni adjustments for multiple comparisons. Variance was similar between groups being compared. The test used for each experiment is noted in the figure legends. All statistics and graphs were generated using Prism Graphpad software.
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