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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The origin of the observed time lags, in nearby active galactic nuclei (AGNs), between hard and soft X-ray photons is investigated using new \textit{XMM-Newton} data for the narrow-line Seyfert I galaxy Ark 564 and existing data for 1H 0707–495 and NGC 4051. These AGNs have highly variable X-ray light curves that contain frequent, high peaks of emission. The averaged light curve of the peaks is directly measured from the time series, and it is shown that (1) peaks occur at the same time, within the measurement uncertainties, at all X-ray energies, and (2) there exists a substantial tail of excess emission at hard X-ray energies, which is delayed with respect to the time of the main peak, and is particularly prominent in Ark 564. Observation (1) rules out that the observed lags are caused by Comptonization time delays and disfavors a simple model of propagating fluctuations on the accretion disk. Observation (2) is consistent with time lags caused by Compton-scattering reverberation from material a few thousand light-seconds from the primary X-ray source. The power spectral density and the frequency-dependent phase lags of the peak light curves are consistent with those of the full time series. There is evidence for non-stationarity in the Ark 564 time series in both the Fourier and peaks analyses. A sharp “negative” lag (variations at hard photon energies lead soft photon energies) observed in Ark 564 appears to be generated by the shape of the hard-band transfer function and does not arise from soft-band reflection of X-rays. These results reinforce the evidence for the existence of X-ray reverberation in type I AGN, which requires that these AGNs are significantly affected by scattering from circumnuclear material a few tens or hundreds of gravitational radii in extent.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) in the X-ray regime can be highly variable over a wide range of timescales. Two common statistical tools for analyzing this variability are the power spectral density (PSD) of the time series, and the lag spectrum, defined as the time lags between light curves obtained from two bands of photon energy and analyzed as a function of the frequency of Fourier modes (e.g., Nowak & Vaughan 1996). A positive lag is defined as the hard band lagging the soft in a particular frequency band. The lag spectrum provides an important constraint on models that seek to explain the observed variability (e.g., Nowak & Vaughan 1996; Miller et al. 2010b).

The first clear detection of both positive and negative lags in an AGN was in 1H 0707–495 (Fabian et al. 2009). At low frequencies ($\nu < 5 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz), the AGN exhibited a positive lag, but at higher frequencies the lag was persistently negative. Similar results have been obtained in other AGNs (e.g., Miller & Turner 2011; Emmanouloupolos et al. 2011; De Marco et al. 2012). The same features are observed in our analysis, presented here, of new observations of Ark 564, which McHardy et al. (2007) have previously suggested displayed both positive and negative lags from fits of Lorentzian components to the PSD and cross-spectrum.

A number of models seek to explain the lag spectrum of 1H 0707–495. Fabian et al. (2009) and Zoghbi et al. (2010) applied the model of Arévalo & Uttley (2006), invoking propagating fluctuations in the accretion disk to explain the positive lags at low frequencies, together with reverberation of X-rays reflecting from within a gravitational radius of a rapidly rotating black hole to explain the high-frequency negative lags. Miller et al. (2010b) suggested reverberation due to scattering from gas 10 s to 100 s of gravitational radii from the central black hole, showing how both positive and negative lags may arise from the same process (see also Miller & Turner 2011). Older work on the positive lags seen in galactic binary systems and AGN also investigated the role of Comptonization upscattering time delays (e.g., Nowak & Vaughan 1996; Nowak et al. 1999).

Analyses of X-ray AGN time series have usually been restricted to Fourier space, implicitly assuming that AGN time series are statistically weakly stationary and often assuming that they are Gaussian (but see Uttley et al. 2005). All the above proposed explanations may be considered as examples of a “moving average” time series (e.g., Brocksell & Davis 2002), in which an underlying white noise process has been convolved with a transfer function (considering only the intrinsic source variations free of measurement noise). But the Fourier methods used to date only extract limited information on that transfer function. It is possible to obtain a good estimate of the PSD, and of the lag spectrum between two energy bands, but it is not possible to extract the phase information of the transfer function in the time series for any individual energy band. This information is vital for reconstructing the transfer functions, and for testing the various models. The aim of this paper is to approach the problem in the time domain, and directly extract the
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transfer functions from the individual time series by examining the most prominent flares in the light curves.

In this study, we examine three highly X-ray variable AGNs: the nearby narrow-line Seyfert I galaxies Ark 564 (redshift $z = 0.0247$), 1H 0707–495 ($z = 0.0411$), and NGC 4051 ($z = 0.0023$). NGC 4051 has a black hole mass $M_{BH} = 1.73^{+0.55}_{-0.22} \times 10^6 M_\odot$ determined by optical reverberation measurement (Denney et al. 2009). The other two AGNs do not have optical reverberation measurements published at the time of writing. Botte et al. (2004) have estimated the mass of Ark 564 as $M_{BH} = 2.61 \pm 0.26 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ using the estimated relation between the radius of the broad-line region and luminosity of Kaspi et al. (2000). Zhou & Wang (2005) have also used the Kaspi et al. relation to estimate the mass of 1H 0707–495 as $M_{BH} \approx 2.3 \times 10^6 M_\odot$, but we note that Leighly (2004) infers that the mass would be $M_{BH} \approx 10^7 M_\odot$ if 1H 0707–495 were radiating at its Eddington luminosity. The ultraviolet spectra of 1H 0707–495 have been extensively modeled by Leighly & Moore (2004) and Leighly (2004) as being dominated by an outflowing, optically thick wind from the accretion disk. The data used in this paper for 1H 0707–495 are the same time series used by Miller et al. (2010b). For NGC 4051, we use the Suzaku data used by Miller et al. (2010a). The Ark 564 time series is new data from XMM-Newton.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1. Ark 564

For Ark 564, we obtained a set of eight XMM-Newton pointed observations, made between 2011 May and July. The ObsID, the starting date, and the exposure duration of each pointing are reported in the first three columns of Table 1. Given the large energy bandpass and high effective area, we focused our analysis on the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) pn data. All the EPIC pn observations were performed in Small Window mode and used the thin optical blocking filter. Data were processed using HEASoft v.6.12 and SAS v.11.0.0.

The raw pn event files were filtered retaining only best-quality (FLAG = 0) singles and doubles (PATTERN $\leq$ 4) events. Source events were extracted from circular regions with a 36′′ radius, while background events were extracted from two boxes of 61′′×100′′ and 51′′×51′′ size. Background light curves were then extracted for single events only, in the 10–12 keV band, for each observation. After an inspection of these light curves, strong flaring background time intervals were removed using a threshold of 0.1 count s$^{-1}$. The net exposure times after the flaring background removal are reported in the fourth column of Table 1. These values also account for the Small Window mode live time of $\sim$71%.

The SAS task epatplot was then used to estimate the fraction of pile-up affecting the data in the 1–9 keV band. We found non-negligible deviations (of the order of 3%–5%) of the observed pattern distribution from the predicted one in half of the observations. For the affected observations, the sources of the source distribution on the image were excised, using a radius dependent on the amount of pile-up in the data, until we were able to recover the predicted pattern distribution within a 1%–2% uncertainty. For the observations where we needed to excise the core (i.e., where we used an annular source extraction region), the source count was then corrected by a factor that represented the point-spread-function correction from the excised annular region to the count rate one would have obtained from a circular source extraction region with no excision. This correction factor ranged from 0.45 to 0.75 and was constant within each individual observation (Columns 6–8 of Table 1 show count rates corrected by this factor). Finally, energy-dependent exposure maps were generated through the SAS task eexpmap and the background count rates were corrected for the slightly lower effective exposure found relative to the source region, and also scaled appropriately for each source extraction cell, before the background rates were subtracted from the source light curves.

Light curves after correction and scaling are shown in Figure 1. The count rates have been corrected for the excision performed, and the light curves in each band have been scaled relative to the overall average count rate. The average corrected count rate for the 0.4–1 keV band is 25.7 s$^{-1}$, and for the 4–7.5 keV band is 0.60 s$^{-1}$, with typical 68% confidence regions of 0.026 and 0.17, respectively.

2.2. 1H 0707–495

XMM-Newton observed 1H 0707–495 during four epochs, 2000 October, 2002 October, 2007 January–February, and 2008 January. In this paper, we present analysis of only the largest data set, from 2008, as in Zoghbi et al. (2010).

In this case, the EPIC observations were made using the medium filter and with prime large window mode during 2008. These data products were based upon the original analysis of Miller et al. (2010b), processed using SAS v8.0.0 and HEASoft v6.8. The pattern selections used were the same as those for Ark 564. The background levels were high during some of the 1H 0707–495 observations. See Miller et al. (2010b) for details of the filtering of background flares, and the selection of source and background extraction cells.

### Table 1

Summary of 2011 XMM-Newton Observations of Ark 564

| ObsID     | Start Date | Duration (ks) | Exposure (ks) | Excision (″) | 0.4–1 keV Rate (s$^{-1}$) | 4–7.5 keV Rate (s$^{-1}$) | 0.4–10 keV Rate (s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 0670130201| 2011 May 24| 59.5          | 38.6          | 8           | 33.51 ± 0.04             | 0.752 ± 0.007             | 48.79 ± 0.04              |
| 0670130301| 2011 May 30| 55.9          | 34.3          | 0           | 21.67 ± 0.03             | 0.479 ± 0.004             | 31.33 ± 0.03              |
| 0670130401| 2011 Jun 6 | 63.6          | 31.1          | 0           | 21.56 ± 0.03             | 0.562 ± 0.004             | 31.84 ± 0.03              |
| 0670130501| 2011 Jun 11| 67.3          | 40.6          | 5           | 26.84 ± 0.03             | 0.643 ± 0.005             | 39.20 ± 0.05              |
| 0670130601| 2011 Jun 17| 60.9          | 33.5          | 0           | 22.89 ± 0.03             | 0.565 ± 0.004             | 33.60 ± 0.03              |
| 0670130701| 2011 Jun 25| 64.4          | 29.1          | 0           | 14.17 ± 0.02             | 0.356 ± 0.004             | 20.69 ± 0.03              |
| 0670130801| 2011 Jun 29| 58.2          | 40.5          | 4           | 23.47 ± 0.03             | 0.570 ± 0.004             | 34.03 ± 0.04              |
| 0670130901| 2011 Jul 1 | 55.9          | 38.7          | 8           | 30.74 ± 0.04             | 0.678 ± 0.007             | 44.36 ± 0.04              |

Notes. Columns show observation ID, start date, duration of the observation, net exposure time after live time correction and flaring background removal, excision radius used to correct for pile-up, and average count rates after correction for excision, in the 0.4–1 keV, 4–7.5 keV, and 0.4–10 keV bands.
Figure 1. Ark 564 light curves in the soft (0.4–1 keV, left) and hard (4–7.5 keV, right) bands for the eight observations (earliest at top). Light curves have been binned to 128 s per time bin, corrected for excision, and scaled relative to the average count rates of 25.7 counts s\(^{-1}\) and 0.60 counts s\(^{-1}\) for the soft and hard bands, respectively. Scaled 68% confidence regions are too small to be marked on the plots, but have mean values of 0.026 and 0.17 for the soft and hard bands, respectively.

2.3. NGC 4051

Suzaku observed NGC 4051 during 2005 November 10–13 and 2008 November 6–12 and 23–25. Here, we analyze only the relatively unobscured 2008 observations (see Miller et al. 2010a). The Suzaku X-ray Imaging Spectrometer (XIS; Koyama et al. 2007) is an ensemble of CCDs that yield useful data over \(~0.6–10\) keV, with energy resolution \(~150\) eV at 6 keV. Here, we use data from the two front-illuminated units, XIS 0 and XIS 3.

In brief, the data were reduced using v6.4.1 of HEASOFT. They were screened to exclude periods during and within 500 s of the South Atlantic Anomaly, to have an Earth elevation angle less than \(10^\circ\) and to have a cutoff rigidity \(>6\) GeV. We selected event grades 0, 2, 3, 4, and 6 and cleaned out the hot and flickering pixels. Source products were extracted from circular regions of 2.9 radius with background spectra from a region of the same size, offset from the source. For further detail see Turner et al. (2009) and Miller et al. (2010a).

3. PSD AND LAG SPECTRUM ANALYSIS

In this paper, the time series have been analyzed in four bands of photon energy: 0.4–1 keV, 1–2 keV, 2–4 keV, and 4–7.5 keV, similar to those used in earlier papers. To test models of reverberation, it would be good to analyze separate line emission and continuum time series, as in optical reverberation studies. However, in current X-ray data, photon statistics are limited and it is not possible to cleanly separate line emission from continuum: it is necessary to work in broad bands of photon energy so that variations on short timescales are not completely dominated by photon shot noise.

The PSD of 1H 0707–495 has previously been shown in Miller et al. (2010b). The PSD of NGC 4051 for this data set has been shown by Miller et al. (2010a) as well as in previous work by McHardy et al. (2004). Ark 564 has previously been studied by Arévalo et al. (2006) and McHardy et al. (2007). Figure 2 shows the PSD of the new observations of Ark 564 in each band, obtained using the maximum-likelihood method of Miller et al. (2010a, 2010b) which corrects for the gaps in the time series caused by breaks between observations and the filtering out of bad data during observations, and also for the presence of shot noise. The PSDs are normalized to the mean of the full series. The method provides accurate estimates of the PSD uncertainties and of the covariance between frequency channels, although the latter are difficult to convey in simple diagrams. The two softest bands have high count rates and their PSDs are

Figure 2. PSDs of Ark 564 (left panel) in 0.4–1 keV (lower circles) and 1–2 keV (upper, squares) bands, sampled at frequency resolution \(\Delta \log v = 0.05\) (right panel) in 0.4–1 keV (lower circles), 2–4 keV (squares), and 4–7.5 keV (triangles) bands, sampled at frequency resolution \(\Delta \log v = 0.15\). Vertical bars indicate 68% confidence region uncertainties, horizontal bars indicate the range of frequencies incorporated in each point.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
shown at high frequency resolution in Figure 2 (left). At high frequencies, the frequency channels are largely independent and have widths $\Delta \log_{10} \nu = 0.15$. The maximum frequency shown is the Nyquist frequency corresponding to the chosen time series sampling of 128 s. At low frequencies, the finite duration of the individual observations causes nearby Fourier modes to become correlated, so the frequency bin widths have been increased to preserve approximately the statistical independence of the plotted points. The PSDs of the two harder bands are shown at lower frequency resolution in Figure 2 (right), because of the larger statistical uncertainties. We also show the 0.4–1 keV band at this resolution, for reference.

We note in the Ark 564 PSDs the features previously reported by Arévalo et al.; McHardy et al., namely, the very steep falloff to high frequency and the complex shape of the PSD, which appears not to be described by a simple smoothly varying quasi-power-law form. There appears a sharp feature at frequency $\nu \approx 7 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz which is too ill-defined to be claimed as a “quasi-periodic oscillation,” but at least does indicate the presence of a sharp transition in the PSD. The significance of the potential feature depends on the model fit to the PSD. A smoothly varying quasi-power-law would imply a significant excess of power, whereas fitting a broken power law would result in no apparent excess in power. We do not explicitly consider the origin of this feature in this paper. As previously found, and as commonly observed in other AGNs, the PSD is higher for the time series of higher energy bands at high frequencies, although they are more comparable in amplitude at low frequencies.

Figure 3. Lag spectrum of Ark 564 between the 0.4–1 keV and 4–7.5 keV bands at frequency resolution $\Delta \log_{10} \nu = 0.15$. Vertical bars indicate 68% confidence region uncertainties, horizontal bars indicate the range of frequencies incorporated in each point.

Lag spectra between various bands have been shown for non-stationarity on these shorter timescales. The negative lags at high frequency are the Nyquist frequency corresponding to the chosen time series sampling of 128 s. At low frequencies, the finite duration of the individual observations causes nearby Fourier modes to become correlated, so the frequency bin widths have been increased to preserve approximately the statistical independence of the plotted points. The PSDs of the two harder bands are shown at lower frequency resolution in Figure 2 (right), because of the larger statistical uncertainties. We also show the 0.4–1 keV band at this resolution, for reference.

Lag spectra between various bands have been shown for non-stationarity on these shorter timescales. The negative lags at high frequency are the Nyquist frequency corresponding to the chosen time series sampling of 128 s. At low frequencies, the finite duration of the individual observations causes nearby Fourier modes to become correlated, so the frequency bin widths have been increased to preserve approximately the statistical independence of the plotted points. The PSDs of the two harder bands are shown at lower frequency resolution in Figure 2 (right), because of the larger statistical uncertainties. We also show the 0.4–1 keV band at this resolution, for reference.

In the course of the analysis of the light curve peaks described in the following sections, some evidence was noticed for non-stationarity of the time series (Section 5.6). In this section, we test for non-stationarity in the power spectrum analysis by dividing the set of observations into two halves and recalculating the PSD and lag spectra. Figure 4 shows the PSD for the soft band 0.4–1 keV only, and the lag spectra between the soft and hard, 4–7.5 keV, bands, for the first four and last four ObsIDs analyzed independently. There is clear evidence for the PSD having changed in amplitude on a timescale of a few weeks between these two sets of observations (see Table 1), and some evidence for the shape having changed.

The lag spectra also appear to have varied: the sharp negative feature appears more pronounced with a lag $\tau = -306 \pm 122$ s in the first half but less pronounced in the later half, $\tau = -127 \pm 94$ s; this difference on its own is not very significant but is worth noting, given the other apparent departures from stationarity that are seen. The negative lags at high frequency in the overall lag spectrum (Figure 3) appear not to be present in the earlier half and to be more oscillatory in the later half. We also analyzed each of the eight ObsIDs independently, but given the larger uncertainties did not find significant evidence for non-stationarity on these shorter timescales.

Apparent variations in estimated PSDs may in principle arise from red noise leak of power below the lowest sampled frequency, causing what may be termed an apparent “weak non-stationarity” (e.g., Vaughan et al. 2003). For the observations presented here, the lowest frequencies measured are more than two decades lower than the PSD break frequency, and the time series variance only weakly diverges at the low-frequency limit. The PSD variations that are observed occur throughout the full frequency range, and do not preferentially occur at low frequencies. It is likely that they reflect genuine non-stationarity, rather than arising from the presence of inadequately sampled long-timescale variations. This conclusion is reinforced by the changes observed in the time-domain transfer function (Section 5.6).

4. NON-STATIONARITY OF THE PSD AND LAG SPECTRA

5. ANALYSIS OF LIGHT CURVE PEAKS

5.1. The Goal of the Peaks Analysis

While a number of claims have been made for observation of X-ray reverberation in AGN, either with a measured time delay (e.g., Fabian et al. 2009; Miller et al. 2010a) or without (Miller et al. 2006; Ponti et al. 2012), no studies have extracted the associated transfer function in an individual energy band.
For those claims with a measured time delay, the lag spectrum has been derived from the phases of the cross-spectrum, which itself is the Fourier transform of the cross-correlation function. Previous studies have measured only the relative phases of modes between two bands, which does not allow a unique interpretation of the measured relative time lags. The three mechanisms for time delays proposed to date (Comptonization delays, reverberation, and fluctuations propagating radially across an accretion disk) are all expected to be characterized by an energy-dependent transfer function. To measure the transfer function in an individual time series requires a blind deconvolution algorithm. Extensive work has been done on the problem of blind deconvolution, mostly for image analysis (see Kundur & Hatzinakos 1996 for an overview). However, the presence of significant shot noise and gaps in the time series rules out the use of many of the simpler techniques.

Instead of performing a full deconvolution, we look at the behavior of the time series in the region of flares in activity. The presence of a flare brings the emission significantly above the level of the complicated “long-timescale” temporal activity. By examining the temporal response to such a flare in each energy band, we can obtain an estimate of the shape and length of the transfer function. Individual flares suffer too much noise, arising from the source variations, to provide useful information on the transfer function, but by averaging many flares we can obtain an estimate, as shown below. This is similar to the technique of “flare stacking” briefly described, but not significantly used, by Zoghbi et al. (2010).

5.2. The Selection and Analysis of Light Curve Flares

Flares in the time series were selected for the analysis and measured by a multi-stage process. We considered a model in which the light curve comprises a long-timescale “baseline” variation, which we wish to filter out, on which are superimposed flares, with some unknown temporal structure, which we wish to measure. In practice, the long-timescale variation itself is likely to be composed of many overlapping flares, but we are unable to separate those into individual events. The more extreme flares are brought above the baseline level, and we hope to use this to examine their shape. Peaks in the light curve were first selected by median filtering the time series, as follows.

1. The raw soft-band time series was filtered with a median filter of length $2K + 1$ time bins, giving a first-level baseline and residual time series.
2. The first-level residual time series was smoothed with a smoothing function derived from the soft-band PSD.
3. Peaks of greater than $2\sigma$ significance were identified in the smoothed time series.

A median filter is better than a linear filter at preserving temporal structure in the residual time series on timescales less than half the length of the filter, but to accurately recover that structure, it is necessary that the length of the filter window be greater than the size of any features under investigation. The lengths of the filter for this detection stage are given in Table 2, and are significantly longer than the FWHM of the detection smoothing function, as required.

The choice of smoothing function affects which peaks are selected, with a tendency to select peaks whose shape and duration are similar to those of the smoothing function. To optimize the choice of smoothing function, we proceeded as follows. In the “moving average” model of the time series, if the underlying time series has a white noise power spectrum, the observed PSD is the modulus squared of the Fourier transform of the transfer function. Assuming that the transfer function is symmetric in the 0.4–1 keV band, we obtained an estimate of the shape and length of the transfer function by Fourier-transforming the positive, real square root of the PSD. The assumption of symmetry is important as we should avoid...
function to obtain the final smoothing function. The FWHMs in the residual time series after the data had been median choice of smoothing function. Because the peaks were detected been scaled by the overall mean flux in each band, and the 68% confidence region due to shot noise is indicated by the grey shading. The solid black curve den otes the third-stage median filter baseline. The black dotted line in the upper plot shows the first stage median filter baseline used for peak selection. Vert ical lines show the times of peaks selected in the soft band.

Figure 5. Section from the Ark 564 time series. The upper panel shows the soft (0.4–1 keV) band, while the lower panel shows the hard (4–7.5 keV) band. Values have been scaled by the overall mean flux in each band, and the 68% confidence region due to shot noise is indicated by the grey shading. The solid black curve denotes the third-stage median filter baseline. The black dotted line in the upper plot shows the first stage median filter baseline used for peak selection. Vertical lines show the times of peaks selected in the soft band.

introducing spurious time lags into the analysis through our choice of smoothing function. Because the peaks were detected in the residual time series after the data had been median filtered, we also applied the same procedure to the PSD-derived function to obtain the final smoothing function. The FWHMs of the resulting functions were 500, 530, 370 s for each of NGC 4051, 1H 0707–495, and Ark 564, respectively. While the derived FWHMs are rather similar between these AGNs, this is a reflection of the similarity of the shapes of their PSDs, and in particular of their break frequencies.

To test the sensitivity of the results to the choice of smoothing function, we also experimented with a Gaussian smoothing function with the same FWHM. We obtained similar results, although the detailed shapes of the primary flare peaks differed slightly in the two cases, and the power spectra of the selected peaks (Section 5.4) exhibited small differences.

Having selected a set of peaks in the soft band, we then attempted to characterize their temporal shapes in the soft and hard bands. To sample the long, ks, timescales present in the lag spectra, a long filter would be required. However, directly applying a long median filter to the raw data produced unsatisfactory baseline curves, which did not follow the light curve on long timescales owing to the substantial structure on intermediate timescales. The solution adopted was nested filtering, in effect performing a form of wavelet median filtering. The measurement steps continued from the peak-detection stage as follows.

1. The first-level baseline from the peak-detection stage was median filtered with length $4R + 1$ time bins.
2. The result was further median filtered with length $8R + 1$ time bins, giving a third-level baseline.
3. This third-level baseline was subtracted from the original time series to give a third-level residual time series. The three-stage filtering process produced baselines that better fit the behavior of the light curve than a single stage filter of length $8R + 1$ time bins.
4. For each of the peaks detected in step 3, a region $8R + 1$ time bins in width, centered on each peak, was selected from the third-stage residual, to measure its shape.
5. A weighted average of all peak shapes was performed to give an estimate of the average peak shape.
6. Steps 1, and 4–8 were performed on the hard-band time series to give an estimate of the average hard-band peak shape, using, in step 7, the same peak times that were found for the soft band.

Figure 5 shows a section of the raw Ark 564 time series, as well as median baselines, for both soft and hard bands. Detected peak positions are marked with vertical lines.

Because we are interested in the mean shape of peaks of differing amplitude, each individual peak and its neighboring filtered values needed to be scaled. This was achieved by dividing each set of values, in the soft and hard bands, by the characteristic height of the soft-band peak. The characteristic height was found by smoothing the final residual time series with the same smoothing function used to select the peaks, to reduce the impact of shot noise on the central peak value, and measuring the maximum value. The final average shape in each band was then calculated using a weighted average of the individual, scaled but unsmoothed, peak shapes. The weights used were the total shot-noise variance in the soft band.

The result is that the hard-band peak shape is generated using the peak times, scalings and weights of the soft-band peaks, and is completely blind to the hard-band light curve.

5.3. Peak Shapes

The resulting peak shapes are shown in Figure 6. The peak shapes in each band have been scaled such that the height at $t = 0$ is unity. This allows for easier visual comparison of the
shapes. In Ark 564, an approximate comparison of the hard-band primary peak with the excess gives a unitless area for the primary of 4.6 ± 0.4 over a range in time of ±384 s, while the excess has an area of 7.6 ± 0.7 over a range in delay time of 640–2700 s (the appropriate time range was obtained from visual inspection of Figure 6 and is rather uncertain). Thus, the hard band excess contains about 1.65 ± 0.2 times as many photons as the hard-band primary peak. In NGC 4051 and 1H 0707–495, the delayed excess and the primary peak cannot be easily separated, making it difficult to evaluate the fraction of delayed photons. Visual inspection indicates that those fractions are smaller in these two AGNs compared with Ark 564. These values and the shapes obtained are discussed in Section 6.

The parameters used to obtain the peak shapes are shown in Table 2. The shapes of the peaks were relatively insensitive to changes in the parameters. The time series for AGN 1H 0707–495 and NGC 4051 were binned in intervals of 256 s owing to the noisiness of the data. Ark 564 has better quality data, and was binned at 128 s. Reducing the size of the time bins results in noisier peak shapes, and difficulties in obtaining clear PSD and lag spectra (Section 5.4). Increasing the bin size reduces the resolution available on the peak shape. The bin sizes were chosen to provide a good compromise between these two factors. The values chosen oversample the peak by a factor 2–3, while the delayed excess is on a much longer timescale, and thus well sampled.

Since we are only sensitive to timescales shorter than the filter window length, the first-stage filter length was chosen to be sufficiently long that modes at frequencies below the break in the PSD were included. The third-stage filter length was a factor four larger, such that the low-frequency modes that show positive lags in the lag spectrum were included. In the case of NGC 4051, it was found that the filter lengths chosen resulted in significant negative residuals appearing in the final mean peak shape, which disappeared when the filter lengths were increased by a factor two, so in this case that longer filter length was adopted.

The main other effect of increasing the filter length is to increase the significance of the hard-band excess, and to increase the number of peaks detected. The latter effect is because as the filter length increases, the variations about the baseline level increase and more peaks meet the significance criteria. The former effect likely arises because more low-frequency, positive-lag modes are included as the filter length is increased.

As we were concerned about the possible impact of overlapping neighboring peaks, we performed a visual inspection of the peaks located. While some peaks do overlap, the overall impact is symmetric—for every peak with another closely following it, there is a peak with one closely preceding. The final impact of the few overlapping peaks is minimal.

5.4. Peak PSD Analysis

We would like to verify that the peak shapes we extract are a good estimate of the transfer function. To do this, we Fourier transform the peak shapes shown in Figure 6, deduce their power and lag spectra, and compare those with those from the full time series. If the time series is a simple, stationary convolution of an underlying process with a transfer function, then we expect the spectra to have similar features.

To find power and lag spectra, the peak shapes were padded to a length of 1001 time bins (i.e., much longer than the timescale of interest to avoid aliasing problems), and Fourier transformed. The Fourier modes were then binned to equal sized bins in log-frequency. The bin widths were sufficiently wide to eliminate correlation between adjacent modes. The low-frequency information created in the padded series below the lowest measured values was discarded. The padding was important for eliminating aliasing caused by the periodic boundary condition of the Fourier transform, and prevents spurious modes being created within the frequency range of interest.

Bootstrap estimates were made of the statistical uncertainties on the Fourier modes. For an averaged peak shape formed from a weighted average of set of _n_ individual peaks, the bootstrap method involved generating 1000 realizations, each consisting of _n_ peaks drawn at random, but with replacement, from the observed set. In a given realization, some peaks could be present multiple times, others not at all. For each realization, peak shapes and Fourier transforms were found and the variance of the realizations was taken to be the variance on the Fourier transform of the actual peak shape. The resulting PSDs are shown in Figure 7, compared with the PSDs of the raw time series for the same observations. Because the peaks transfer function has been renormalized, and in any case by selecting the highest peaks the amplitude cannot be considered typical of the entire time series, the transfer function PSDs have been normalized so that the total variance matches that of the full time series variance. It can be seen that the PSDs of the transfer function and of the full time series match well for NGC 4051, and also match well at low frequencies in 1H 0707–495 and Ark 564, but that the transfer function has less power at high frequencies in the latter AGN, particularly in the soft band. This is discussed further in Section 6.1.

Lag spectra between the hard (4–7.5 keV) and soft (0.4–1 keV) bands were derived from the transfer functions, and are compared with lag spectra from the full time series in Figure 8. Uncertainties were estimated using the bootstrap process described above. The transfer function lag spectra are more noisy, as expected given that only a subset of the data has been used, but reproduce well the principal features at low frequencies, including the transition to lags around zero at high delay times.
Figure 7. Power spectra from the extracted peak shapes of the three AGNs: Ark 564 (left); 1H 0707–495 (center); NGC 4051 (right). The top three panels display the soft (0.4–1 keV) band power spectra, while the bottom three panels show the hard (4–7.5 keV) band power spectra. Each panel compares the power spectrum of the peak shape we extract (black circles) with the PSD of the full time series (gray squares). Vertical bars indicate the 68% confidence region on each point.

Figure 8. Lag spectra between 0.4–1 keV and 4–7.5 keV bands from the extracted peak shapes (black points) compared with that derived from the full time series (gray points) for the three AGNs: Ark 564 (left); 1H 0707–495 (center); NGC 4051 (right). Vertical bars indicate the 68% confidence region on each point.

frequency. With the exception of the sharp negative lag feature in Ark 564, the transfer functions are too noisy to be able to reproduce the negative lags at high frequencies.

Overall, we conclude from the similarity of both the PSDs and the lag spectra of the extracted peaks and the full time series demonstrate that the peaks analysis method does capture both the power spectrum and lag information that is obtained from a standard Fourier analysis, but now with the benefit of being represented as the time-domain transfer function in an individual energy band.

5.5. The Dependence on Energy Band of Peak Selection

Considering the extreme appearance of the hard excess in Ark 564, we undertook a further analysis to examine its nature. To do this, we also investigated time series in a medium energy band (1–2 keV). When we perform the peak selection in this medium band, the resulting set of peaks is different from those selected in the soft band. We end up with three sets of peaks—52 detected only in the soft band, 29 detected only in the medium band, and 65 detected in both. These peak shapes are shown in Figure 9.

The hard-band peak shape for this latter category shows a hard excess similar to that found previously. The set of peaks found only in the soft band produces an extreme hard excess, with a small primary peak. The set of peaks found only in the medium band shows no sign of a hard excess. A close examination of the peak positions shows that while no peak was detected in the soft band, the positions are those of maxima in the soft band, just not significant enough to make the cut for selection. Whether this is due to noise or a systematic weakening of a subset of peaks in the soft band is unknown. This analysis is discussed in Section 6.2.4.

5.6. The Effects of Non-stationarity on the Peak Shape

In Section 4, we have shown that the underlying process in Ark 564 is non-stationary. In light of this, we examine peak shapes obtained from each of the two halves of the data set. The resulting peak shapes, shown in Figure 10, exhibit clear differences. Both peak shapes exhibit an excess in the hard band at later times than the primary peak. The shape from the first half of the data set has a larger excess, peaking with an intensity
comparable with that of the primary peak and extending over \(\sim 2\) ks. A crude comparison of the hard-band primary peak with the excess gives a unitless area for the primary of 3.9 \(\pm 0.7\) over a range in time of \(\pm 384\) s, while the excess has an area of 10.9 \(\pm 1.1\) over a range in delay time of 900–3000 s. This corresponds to the hard-band excess containing about 2.8 \(\pm 0.6\) times as many photons as the hard-band primary peak. The shape from the second half of the data set has a far smaller hard excess extending over just \(\sim 1\) ks. The approximate comparison of the hard-band primary peak with the excess gives a unitless area for the primary of 5.5 \(\pm 0.6\) while the excess has an area of 3.5 \(\pm 0.7\) over a range in time of 1500–2700 s, corresponding to the hard-band excess containing approximately 0.6 \(\pm 0.15\) as many photons as the primary peak (the time ranges were chosen following visual evaluation of the period having the most significant delayed excess).

Figure 9. Peak shapes in soft and hard bands for three different selections of peaks in Ark 564: peaks detected in both soft (0.4–1 keV) and medium (1–2 keV) bands (top); peaks detected in only the soft band (center); peaks detected in only the medium band (lower). Shaded regions indicate the 68% confidence region for each plotted point. Shapes are shown for the 0.4–1 keV (dark shading) and 4–7.5 keV (light shading) bands.

It is unclear how this effect and that shown in Section 5.5 are linked. Table 3 lists the number of peaks detected in each of the observation periods. In the first four observations, peaks detected only in the soft (0.4–1 keV) band outnumber those detected in both soft and medium (1–2 keV) bands. In the last four observations, the converse is true. Peaks detected only in the medium band appear to be uniformly spread. Thus, the primary cause of the apparent variations in the delayed excess is not clear. Does the excess depend primarily on the peak spectral hardness ratio, with peaks of differing hardness ratios appearing in the time series preferentially at differing epochs? Or are both the variations in the delayed excess and the variations in the hardness of the detected peaks separate manifestations of the non-stationary variations in the source?

5.7. The Nature of the Delayed Excess

The hard excess that is shown in Figure 6 has been obtained by averaging many peaks together. The resulting mean shapes for the hard excess could be caused by all peaks having a temporally broad, delayed signal with a hard spectrum. Alternatively, it might be that an individual primary flare tends to be followed by a narrow, secondary peak at a random time offset \(\tau\), where \(\tau\) follows a probability distribution such that when averaged, we obtain the broad shapes seen. If the latter explanation were true, then we would expect that the excess in any given time bin would be dominated by a few individual peaks. To test for this, we calculated a weighted median of the individual peaks for

Figure 10. Peak shapes in soft and hard bands for the first four observations (top) and the last four observations (bottom) of Ark 564. Shaded regions indicate the 68% confidence region for each plotted point. Shapes are shown for the 0.4–1 keV (dark shading) and 4–7.5 keV (light shading) bands.

| ObsID      | 0.4–1 keV Alone | Both 0.4–1 keV and 1–2 keV | 1–2 keV Alone |
|------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| 0670130201 | 7               | 9                          | 3            |
| 0670130301 | 7               | 7                          | 5            |
| 0670130401 | 8               | 4                          | 5            |
| 0670130501 | 13              | 8                          | 4            |
| 0670130601 | 5               | 9                          | 3            |
| 0670130701 | 0               | 11                         | 4            |
| 0670130801 | 6               | 8                          | 2            |
| 0670130901 | 6               | 9                          | 3            |
each offset secondary peaks were the explanation, then we would expect the weighted median to fall below the weighted mean. In fact, we find that the weighted median agrees with the mean estimate within the uncertainties, indicating that the delayed excess is indeed a smooth continuous function that is consistent between all flares.

### 6. DISCUSSION

#### 6.1. Peak Shapes and Power Spectra

The peak shapes shown in Figure 6 have several common features. The soft-band peak is broadly symmetric. The reference time $t = 0$ is defined by this soft-band peak center. The hard band peaks within 256 s of the soft band, and is highly asymmetric, exhibiting an excess at later times. The nature of this excess varies across AGNs, being most prominent and extensive in Ark 564.

The power spectra from the peak shapes (Figure 7) are in good agreement with those from the full time series in NGC 4051 and at low frequencies in the other AGNs, but lack high-frequency power in Ark 564 and 1H 0707–495. The break frequency in the soft band appears to be the same for the peak shape as for the full series, although the power at frequencies above the break appears to be suppressed in the peak shapes. Three possible explanations of this discrepancy are as follows:

1. There is an uncertainty in the position of the peaks detected in the smoothed time series. This uncertainty broadens the peak shapes, suppressing high-frequency power.
2. There might be additional high-frequency variations in the full time series, not captured by the peak selection.
3. The existence of the rms–flux relation (e.g., McHardy 2010) may cause aliasing of modes such that high-frequency power is boosted with respect to that expected in the simple “moving average” model.6

However, the good agreement between the PSDs at the frequencies where the positive lags are seen in all three AGNs indicates that the peaks analysis procedure fully captures the timing information at those frequencies.

When we examine the lag spectra of the transfer functions we find that the soft-band lag is consistent with zero (although we note that, had we chosen to smooth with an asymmetric smoothing function, the resulting peaks would have had a nonzero lag at some frequencies). Thus, the lag on the cross-spectrum is primarily due to the shape of the hard-band transfer function. We particularly note the sharp negative lag feature at 4 × 10−4 Hz in Ark 564 which appears in both the Fourier lag and the PSD of the hard-band peak, demonstrating the point of Miller et al. (2010b) and Miller & Turner (2011) that such negative features arise naturally from the effects of Fourier analysis of reverberation-like transfer functions (Section 6.2.5). A straightforward way to obtain such a negative lag is to have a gap in the transfer function between the “direct” (zero-lag) and “reverberation” (delayed) signals (Miller et al. 2010b), and this seems to be the case in Ark 564. However, in other AGNs, such a gap could be masked by convolution with a broad flare temporal response, as appears to be the case in 1H0707–495. If the flare temporal response is symmetric, the convolution effect does not change the cross-spectrum phases, and hence the time lags in the lag spectrum, but can reduce the appearance of a gap in the time domain.

#### 6.2. Possible Explanations of the Observed Temporal Behavior

##### 6.2.1. Intrinsic Flare Temporal Structure

We now consider possible explanations for the lag spectra that have been discussed in the literature. At present, we have little idea of the mechanism that creates the flares and the general time series variations. It is possible therefore that any temporal structure may be intrinsic to some complex high-energy process and not a consequence of propagation of either photons or sound through an extended distribution. For example, solar flares are generated by a rather poorly understood mechanism, and also show features such as systematic spectral variations in hard X-rays (e.g., Krucker & Lin 2002), although we note that the solar X-ray spectra and spectral variations are complex and differ substantially from those observed in AGN. Until the primary flare mechanism has been definitively established in AGN, we would never be able to eliminate this possibility. However, we note that the timescale of the lags in Ark 564 is substantially longer than the duration of the primary flare, and it does seem likely that the delayed, hard-spectrum excess seen in Ark 564 arises through secondary reprocessing rather than through the primary flare process.

The durations of the primary flares measured in Section 5.2 of 370–500 s allow upper limits to be deduced for the sizes of the regions producing those flares. The black hole masses are uncertain (Section 1), but the flare durations imply light crossing-times $d \lesssim 50 r_g$.

##### 6.2.2. Inverse Compton Upscattering Time Delays

Our analysis disfavors models that explain the lag as being due to inverse Compton upscattering of photons, in which the hard-band variations are delayed by the time taken to upscatter photons from the soft band. We find no sign of such a delay of the hard-band peak with respect to the soft-band peak in the peak shapes we extract: the positive lags appear to be entirely due to the additional hard-spectrum delayed component alone. The primary flares may be produced by inverse Compton upscattering, if the upscattering timescale is shorter than the temporal resolution of our analysis. The hard-band excess has timescales a factor $\gtrsim 10$ longer, not consistent with arising as inverse Compton upscattering delay in the flare generation region.

##### 6.2.3. Positive Lags from Propagating Fluctuations

Arévalo & Uttley (2006) have proposed that the low-frequency, positive lags in AGN arise from fluctuations in the accretion disk that propagate radially inward, with an assumption that a fluctuation at large radius results in a soft X-ray emission spectrum, whereas a fluctuation at smaller radius results in a harder X-ray spectrum. This assumption is made because the accretion disk at small radii is hotter than at larger radii in the standard thin-disk model (Shakura & Syunyaev 1973). The viability of such a model in AGN is open to question. It requires inward-propagating modes to dominate the variability of X-rays. Also an AGN accretion disk itself is, unlike that of a galactic black hole candidate, insufficiently hot to emit significant hard X-rays. Instead, the disk is required to act as a source of seed UV emission to an inverse Compton upscattering process, and

---

6 The rms–flux relation may be reproduced mathematically by taking a Gaussian time series and multiplying by a sinusoidal function in the time domain. The Fourier transform of the resulting time series is then expected to be the convolution of the Fourier transform of the Gaussian time series with the Fourier transform of the multiplying function. That convolution aliases power to high frequencies in the power spectrum.
the process is thought to operate in the regime where the spectral index of the upscattered radiation is largely independent of the seed photon distribution (Titarchuk & Hua 1997). This potential issue can be got around by allowing radiation from different parts of the disk to illuminate different Comptonizing regions with different properties.

This model is also disfavored by the measurements of Ark 564 presented here: the flaring behavior shows sharp peaks that are temporally coincident at all photon energies, accompanied by a delayed, hard-spectrum signal, whereas in the simplest “propagating fluctuations” model we would expect to see a broad temporal profile in which the spectrum hardened with time. Such a model would be consistent with the other two AGNs, whose delayed signals appear as asymmetries in the peak shape rather than in the form of a distinct component, but if we wish to find a single explanation for the lags in all AGNs, the observation of time-coincident peaks accompanied by a distinct, hard, secondary maximum in Ark 564 remains challenging.

To explain this, a more sophisticated model of “propagating fluctuations” might suppose that a flare may be produced at some location, either on the accretion disk or in the corona, which is directly observed at all energies, but that a disturbance then propagates into a hard-spectrum region such that a delayed, hard signal is seen. In Ark 564, there is a distinct gap in the transfer function after the primary peak which does not have an obvious explanation in this scenario. It is worth noting that the gap is responsible for the sharp negative lag in the lag spectrum of Ark 564, and is a significant feature to be explained (see Section 6.2.5).

Such a model might, however, be able to explain the observation of Section 5.5, that the delayed signal is stronger for flares that have soft spectra, and absent for those flares that have hard spectra. A possible explanation is that the soft flares create propagating fluctuations that travel into the hard-emitting region, whereas hard flares might be created directly in that region.

6.2.4. X-Ray Reverberation

The final model considered here is the reverberation explanation of Miller et al. (2010a, 2010b) and Miller & Turner (2011). In those papers, it was shown how a long-timescale delay, such as might be produced by reverberation from gas a few tens to thousands of gravitational radii from the X-ray source, could naturally produce low-frequency positive lags, and also potentially generate high-frequency negative lags as a result of the shape of the transfer functions in the two bands being cross-correlated (Miller et al. 2010b; Miller & Turner 2011). Such models are consistent with the analysis presented here, and in particular with the delayed, hard excesses that are seen in all three AGNs, but particularly prominently in Ark 564.

The fraction of hard-band photons in the delayed excess to photons in the primary peak is very high, with a mean value of 1.65 ± 0.2, but apparently being as large as 2.8 ± 0.6 in the first half of the observations (Section 5.6). Within the reverberation hypothesis, this could arise if the observer’s sight line to the primary flare site were through material that is moderately optically thick to Compton scattering, so that most photons from the flare are scattered and appear in the delayed excess. The dependence on flare hardness could arise naturally in ionized gas as, for a wide range of values of the ionization parameter, the photoelectric opacity in the 1–2 keV band can be larger than that in the 0.4–1 keV band. Thus in the first half of the observations, we could be looking through a higher optical depth of scattering, absorbing gas than in the later half, leading both to softer flares around 0.4–2 keV and to a larger delayed excess in the 4–7.5 keV band.

As a “toy model” example of this, we have calculated the spectrum expected from a uniform sphere of gas near the surface of which is embedded a point source of X-rays with a power-law continuum. Spectra have been calculated by a three-dimensional Monte Carlo radiative transfer algorithm with full treatment of Compton scattering by cold, free electrons, but assuming that photoelectric opacity is provided by gas with an invariant ionization parameter, where opacities have been obtained from XSTAR (Kallman et al. 2004). No thermal or ionization balance calculations have been made inside the simulation and line and radiative recombination continuum emission has been ignored. The effects of thermal and macroscopic Doppler shifts have been approximated by smoothing with a Gaussian velocity dispersion σ = 0.05c, appropriate for material at radii r ∼ 100 r_s. This simple model is not expected to be able to fit data in any detail (and we stress that this does not represent a spectral fit to any of the sources presented in this paper), but it does illustrate the principal features of having a high fraction of scattered light with a hard spectrum. Figure 11 shows an example for ionization parameter log_{10} ξ = 2.1, electron column density N_e = 4 × 10^{22} cm^{-2}, and mean absorber hydrogen column density N_H = 4 × 10^{22} cm^{-2} in front of the X-ray source (in practice achievable by having a two-phase medium of hot, free electrons within are embedded denser clumps of lower ionization gas). The source has a power-law index Γ = 2.5. The depth of the source within the sphere is 1/50 the sphere radius. This configuration gives a ratio of scattered to direct light within the 4–7.5 keV band of 1.65 as in Ark 564.

An alternative explanation for the high amplitude of the delayed excess might be that the reverberating region is itself sufficiently hot that additional photons are inverse Compton upscattered into the hard energy band by the reverberating gas. Such models may also naturally produce a high fraction of X-rays in the delayed excess, as there is a large source of soft photons that may be suitably upscattered. We would expect the resulting spectra to be largely featureless, generally consistent with the observations of Ark 564. Models that seek to link the transfer function behavior and the spectral properties of Ark 564 will be discussed by M. Giustini et al. (in preparation).

The scale-free example model presented above may be scaled in size to produce the observed time lags. However, we do not
expect that a simple uniform sphere will reproduce the detailed shape of the transfer function, for which more extensive radiative transfer modeling of clumpy, anisotropic distributions will be required.

The differing spectra of flares inferred in Section 5.5 need not imply rapid variations in ionization parameter or optical depth. Instead, it could be that flares are emitted from multiple regions, each with their own value of optical depth. The longer timescale changes described in Sections 4 and 5.6 are of order weeks (the time between observations).

6.2.5. Negative Lags

Negative lags are seen in the lag spectra of 1H 0707–495 and Ark 564, but not in NGC 4051. In Ark 564, there is a sharp negative lag which is directly linked to the gap in the transfer function between the primary peak and the delayed signal (see the discussion of transfer functions by Miller et al. 2010b and Miller & Turner 2011). This seems to confirm one of the basic points of Miller et al. (2010b) that sharp negative oscillations may be caused simply by the shape of the hard-band transfer function, and not by any requirement for a secondary scattering process in the soft band.

However, the peaks analysis presented here lacks sufficient signal to noise to detect the oscillatory, negative lags found at higher frequencies in the lag spectra of these two AGNs. Persistent negative lags that extend over a wide range of frequency are difficult to explain if it is only the hard band that has an extended transfer function (see Miller et al. 2010b; Miller & Turner 2011; Zoghbi et al. 2011) and it seems that an extended transfer function may be needed also in the soft band (Miller et al. 2010b). In the case of Ark 564, those high-frequency negative lags are low amplitude, oscillatory and may also be non-stationary (Section 4). If it is indeed required, our analysis cannot detect such a soft-band transfer function, as it is limited by the attainable signal to noise, but we should nonetheless discuss the requirements that the possible models would need to satisfy in each case.

The “propagating fluctuations” model does not naturally explain those negative lags in 1H 0707–495, and Fabian et al. (2009) and Zoghbi et al. (2010, 2011) have proposed that these arise as a separate process, in which a compact X-ray source, close to both the black hole and the accretion disk, illuminates the disk and produces X-ray emission. With a suitably tuned reflection spectrum, enhanced by gravitational bending of light near the black hole, it was proposed that this may result in a delayed reverberation signal in the soft band. Some of the difficulties of this model have been discussed by Miller & Turner (2011) and are not discussed further here, apart from noting that the model requires (1) a very high abundance of iron (at least a factor 11 super-solar; Zoghbi et al. 2010), (2) the X-ray source to be located within a few gravitational radii of the event horizon of a maximally rotating black hole (see also Chainakun & Young 2012), and (3) the AGN to be significantly super-Eddington.7 Thus an inverse Compton upscattering source, required to produce the power-law hard X-ray spectrum and postulated by Arévalo et al. (2006), would need to be extremely close to the black hole and to produce short-timescale flares with long-timescale variable emission that is both delayed and significantly harder than the prompt flare spectrum.

As Ark 564 also shows similar negative lags to 1H 0707–495, the complex spectral model invoked for 1H 0707–495 should also be required for Ark 564, yet the 0.4–10 keV spectrum of Ark 564 appears to differ substantially from that of 1H 0707–495 (see M. Giustini et al., in preparation).

Miller et al. (2010b) proposed that, in the reverberation model, some soft-band X-rays scattered with short delays in a continuous medium could reach the observer, but that long-delay scattered soft X-rays would be absorbed within the scattering medium owing to the longer path-length traversed, leading to a soft-band transfer function that was extended over short timescales only. While such a scenario is possible, photoelectric absorption can only remove photons from an X-ray energy band, implying that the soft-band transfer function should always be lower in amplitude than the hard-band transfer function, so this mechanism might require fine-tuning to obtain an appropriate cross-correlation signal.

If we follow Fabian et al. (2009) and Zoghbi et al. (2010, 2011), and suppose that there are two separate mechanisms that operate at low and high frequencies, an alternative possibility is that the short-timescale (<30 s) negative lags are actually a feature of the emitting region itself. Lags on this timescale are significantly shorter than the duration of the flares (~400–500 s), and so are more plausibly associated with the primary process than are the ks-timescale delayed signals seen at low frequencies. If the flare-emitting region were slightly larger at soft energies than at hard energies, soft-band flares would have PSDs that cut off at lower frequency than hard-band flares (or, equivalently, slightly broader peaks in the peaks analysis), as observed, and would have variations delayed by time Δτ ∼ c/Δr, where Δr is the difference in apparent size of the flare region between the soft and hard X-ray bands. Such a size differential could arise either from the effects of photoelectric opacity or from the energy-dependent diffusion of electrons from a flare acceleration region, given that radiative lifetimes due to inverse Compton scattering increase with decreasing electron energy.

7. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has explored the origin of the PSDs and time delays in three, highly X-ray variable, narrow-line Seyfert I AGNs: NGC 4051, 1H 0707–495, and Ark 564, using previously published data for the first two and a new long XMM-Newton observation of Ark 564. The lag spectrum of Ark 564 shows strong positive lags (hard photons lagging soft photons) for Fourier modes with low frequencies, consistent with previous work (Arévalo et al. 2006; McHardy et al. 2007). It also shows a sharp negative lag at 4 × 10^{-4} Hz and oscillatory, slightly negative lags at higher frequencies. The PSD of Ark 564 has a complex shape that includes non-smooth structure, but which cannot be clearly labeled as a quasi-periodic oscillation. We have also found evidence for non-stationarity of the PSD and lag spectra of Ark 564 on timescales of weeks.

To better understand the lag spectra of these AGNs, we have developed a method for estimating the transfer function of AGN X-ray time series in individual bands of photon energy, which allows us to investigate physical models of time lags without the limitation of using cross-correlation between bands.
By modeling the AGN variations as a “moving average” time series process, the method obtains the mean shape of emission peaks in the light curve, after a nested median filtering process to remove long-timescale variations has been applied. All three AGNs show an excess of delayed emission in hard X-rays, but not soft X-rays, on ks timescales following an emission flare. The delayed emission detected in the peaks analysis is able to reproduce the lags between hard and soft photons at low frequencies that are deduced from Fourier analysis of the time series. The sharp negative lag feature in Ark 564 is seen to arise from the shape of the transfer function in the hard band, and not from time delays in soft X-rays, as discussed in the context of models of X-ray reverberation by Miller et al. (2010a) and Miller & Turner (2011).

In Ark 564, the delayed hard excess is particularly prominent and appears to be a distinct secondary reprocessing of the flare photons, as expected from reverberation caused by Compton scattering of photons by circumnuclear material. A high optical depth to Compton scattering is implied by the high fraction of photons in the delayed excess. The amplitude of the excess appears to vary both with time and with the hardness of the selected peaks, implying that we are observing a complex, rapidly varying region of this AGN. The temporal coincidence in all three AGNs of the primary peaks of emission between hard and soft photons is inconsistent with the time lags being caused by inverse Compton upscattering delays, and the shape of the Ark 564 excess is difficult to reconcile with a model in which time lags are caused by fluctuations propagating across an accretion disk. Given the estimated black hole masses in these AGNs, the transfer functions we estimate are broadly consistent with reverberation from material 10 s to 100 s of gravitational radii from the central source and provide further evidence for the existence of a substantial covering fraction of scattering material in the central regions of type I AGN.
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Observations of Ark 564 and 1H 0707–495 were obtained with XMM-Newton, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and NASA. Analysis of NGC 4051 made use of data obtained from the Suzaku satellite, a collaborative mission between the space agencies of Japan (JAXA) and the USA (NASA).
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