Generalized Single Stage Class C Amplifier: Analysis from the Viewpoint of Chaotic Behavior
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Featured Application: Results arising from research on an isolated cell of a bipolar-transistor-based class C amplifier show that chaos belongs to natural behavior of such simple dynamical systems. Since this network topology can be found in a huge number of complex electronic systems, the pronounced conclusion can be extrapolated to a variety of common radio frequency circuits.

Abstract: This paper briefly describes a recent discovery that occurred during the study of the simplest mathematical model of a class C amplifier with a bipolar transistor. It is proved both numerically and experimentally that chaos can be observed in this simple network structure under three conditions: (1) the transistor is considered non-unilateral, (2) bias point provides cubic polynomial feedforward and feedback transconductance, and (3) the LC tank has very high resonant frequency. Moreover, chaos is generated by an autonomous class C amplifier; i.e., an isolated system without a driving force is analyzed. By the connection of a harmonic input signal, much more complex behavior can be observed. Additionally, due to the high degree of generalization of the amplifier cell, similar fundamental circuits can be ordinarily found as subparts of typical building blocks of a radio frequency signal path.
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1. Introduction

So far, a significant number of research papers have been focused on numerical analysis of conventional electrical circuits from the viewpoint of chaos evolution. The general definition of chaos speaks about long-time unpredictable behavior, dense state attractors with a fractal dimension, generated waveforms with increased entropy, and extreme sensitivity to small changes in initial conditions. The mentioned properties can be detected and quantified using several well-established numerical algorithms. For example, a routine known as approximate entropy [1–3] can be used to measure self-similarity of the data sequence produced by any dynamical system. The time sequence can be used for calculation of the largest Lyapunov exponent as well; see [4,5] for more details. More precise results of the quantification of dynamical motion can be reached if describing a mathematical model (set of ordinary differential equations) is known. Spectra of Lyapunov exponents can be also calculated using linearization of the flow along the evolved state trajectory [6–9]. The same method can be utilized for optimization, i.e., to increase robustness of the chaotic operational regime [10], to find the parameter subspace leading to chaos [11] in the analyzed dynamical system, or to replace parts of chaotic oscillators without changing of global dynamics [12]. Some research papers showed the possibility to study chaotic systems via patterns of return maps [13], bifurcation diagrams associated with real circuits [14] and processes [15], etc. Some recent papers were focused on analysis and circuit
design of fractional-order chaotic oscillators. These should be dealt with as systems that contain constant phase elements, as demonstrated in [16].

Chaos can be observed in various lumped electronic circuits, including standard structures of radio frequency systems. For example, the famous Chua’s oscillator [17] can be considered a parallel LC oscillator loaded with a timing network and negative resistance. This mature topology still serves as an educational example of a robust chaotic system and has already undergone thorough analytical, numerical, and experimental analysis [18]. After the early discovery of Chua’s system, evidence of chaos in other types of naturally harmonic oscillators was only a matter of time. The existence of strange attractors within the dynamics of the well-known Colpitts oscillator was revealed in [19]. One logical step further, namely, interchanges of network components, one can observe chaos in Hartley’s oscillator [20]. The process of chaotification of the common Wien bridge oscillator was a subject of an interesting paper [21–23]. It was demonstrated in a step-by-step manner that different passive feedback two-ports can be used for chaos generation. A study [24] presented the concept of a looped passive low-pass filter (arbitrary structure) and active two-port having smooth polynomial transfer characteristics. Phase-locked loops can be also subject to complex behavior, including multistability and chaos, as proved in a research paper [25]. Many power electronic systems are ideal candidates to observe chaotic solutions. Let us mention a few interesting examples, namely, the switched-mode power converter [26], DC–DC converter [27], and buck [28] and boost [29] converter. A dynamical model of multistate static memory possesses, by principle, a very complex configuration of the vector field [30]. However, its chaotic behavior was described only very recently; see papers [31,32] for more details. Besides the papers dealing with autonomous deterministic dynamical systems mentioned above, chaos can easily evolve in the electronic circuits under periodic driving. For example, state-variable frequency filters can produce chaotic signals if two conditions are satisfied: a high-quality factor and piecewise-linear or polynomial feedback nonlinearity [33]. The same network structures can also generate robust chaos in the case of nonlinear integrators [34].

Of course, the list of chaotic systems mentioned above is by no means complete. Searching for chaos in mathematical models of real physical systems still belongs to a favorite topic of many researchers and design engineers. This paper enriches this sort of problem because chaos was localized within dynamics of a generalized class C power amplifier. The upcoming section describes a mathematical model of a single-transistor amplifier stage with defined nonlinearities. The third section provides numerical analysis of the discovered chaotic system from different perspectives. The fourth part of this paper is focused on circuit implementation of the proposed chaotic system. Several different topologies with equivalent behavior are discussed. Then, experimental verification is given in the frame of the fifth section. Finally, discussion and concluding remarks are provided.

2. Simplest Model of Class C Amplifier

The fundamental structure of a single-transistor class C amplifier is provided in Figure 1a. This circuitry can be redrawn for the useful high-frequency signals (typically about tens or hundreds of MHz) by considering significant parasitic capacitances, as shown in Figure 1b. Note that at this point, the system is considered isolated, i.e., without an input signal. Dynamics of the resulting network can be described by following a set of ordinary differential equations:

\[
C_1 \frac{d}{dt} v_1 = -y_{11} v_1 - y_{12} v_2, \quad C_2 \frac{d}{dt} v_2 = -y_{21} v_1 - y_{22} v_2 - i_L, \quad L \frac{d}{dt} i_L = v_2, \tag{1}
\]

where a state vector is \( x = (v_1, v_2, i_L)^T \), \( y_{11} \) and \( y_{22} \) are the input and output admittance of the bipolar transistor, and \( y_{12} \) and \( y_{21} \) are transconductance-type nonlinear smooth functions of the form

\[
y_{21}(v_1) = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_1^3, \quad y_{12}(v_2) = b_1 v_2 + b_2 v_2^3. \tag{2}
\]
Note that nonlinearities are considered lower-order polynomials; i.e., approximations are valid in the close neighborhood of the calculated bias point. Fixed points are all real solutions of nonlinear algebraic equations $\frac{dx}{dt} = 0$, i.e.,

$$v_1 = -\frac{y_{12}(0)}{y_{11}}, \quad v_2 = 0, \quad i_L = -\frac{y_{21}(v_1)}{y_{22}}. \quad (3)$$

Therefore, Dynamical System (1) with (2) possesses only a single equilibrium located at zero. Associated eigenvalues can be established as roots of characteristic polynomial

$$s^3 + \left(\frac{y_{11}}{c_1} + \frac{y_{22}}{c_2}\right)s^2 + \left(\frac{1}{c_2} - \frac{a_1b_1}{c_1c_2} + \frac{y_{11} + y_{22}}{c_1c_2}\right)s + \frac{y_{11}}{c_1c_2} = 0. \quad (4)$$

Small letters denote normalized values of circuit components. In general, Math Model (1) is analyzed using normalized values of accumulation elements and admittances. For a particular application, time and impedance rescaling need to be considered. Note that capacitor $C_1$ de facto represents parasitic capacitance between the base and emitter $C_{be}$, while $C_2$ is a sum of parasitic $C_{ce}$ and tank capacitance. Detailed numerical investigations reveal the advantageous possibility to keep $C_1 = C_2 = 1 \text{ F}$ and $L = 1 \text{ H}$. For further analysis, let us assume that linear term $a_1$ in Function (2) is very small. Symbolic formulas for eigenvalues can be expressed as

$$\delta_1 = -\frac{y_{11}}{c_1}, \quad \delta_{2,3} = -\frac{1}{2c_2} \left( y_{22} \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{y_{22}} - \frac{4c_2}{l}} \right), \quad (5)$$

i.e., we experience a pair of complex conjugated eigenvalues if $y_{22}^2l < 4c_2$. In this case, local vector field geometry near the origin is spanned by the eigenvector and eigenplane defined by two lines, namely

$$e_{1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T, \quad e_{\text{vectors}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T, \quad e_{\text{plane}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^T. \quad (6)$$

Nonlinear scalar functions $y_{12}(v_2)$ and $y_{21}(v_1)$ have been considered as cubic polynomials without offset, while all remaining parameters were freely enabled for optimization. During the search procedure, the bipolar transistor was fixed in an active regime of operation where $y_{22} = 0 \text{ S}$. Therefore, fifth-dimensional hyperspace of the internal system parameters undergoes the optimization algorithm where the largest Lyapunov exponent (LLE) together with a simple condition for a bounded attractor.
has been combined and adopted as a fitness function. Finally, the following set of internal parameters was discovered:

\[ y_{11} = 0.42, \quad a_1 = 0, \quad a_2 = 1.2, \quad b_1 = 1.71, \quad b_2 = -0.88. \]  

(7)

Using these values, eigenvalues near the equilibrium point form unstable geometry \( \mathbb{R}^3 \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{unstable} \oplus \mathbb{R}^1 \text{stable} \); i.e., the dynamical flow near the origin acts according to saddle-spiral vector field geometry. Eigenvalues are \( \delta_1 = -0.486 \) and \( \delta_{2,3} = 0.033 \pm j0.929 \).

3. Numerical Results

Discovered Novel Chaotic System (1) with Parameters (7) has been numerically analyzed using Mathcad and MATLAB. For numerical integration, the fourth-order Runge–Kutta method has been adopted. Figure 2 demonstrates the typical strange attractor generated by a bipolar transistor cell under bias point conditions mentioned above. The final time is \( 10^4 \), time step is \( 10^{-2} \), and sensitivity to changes in initial conditions is also visualized. The group of \( 10^4 \) initial conditions were randomly generated around point \( x_0 = (1, 0, 0)^T \) using normal distribution with deviation of \( 10^{-2} \) (red dots); short time evolutions after 1 s are plotted (blue), and then states after 100 s of the integration process are depicted (orange dots), and the final state after 1000 s is provided (green dots). Note that neighborhood state trajectories diverge slowly, and this should be respected when setting input parameters of the upcoming analysis. Figure 3 shows dynamic energy calculated in the state space volume where the strange attractor evolves. In this plot, a rainbow scale is used: red denotes high energy, green stands for average value, and blue marks regions with very low dynamic energy. Figure 4 illustrates basins of attraction for the typical chaotic attractor generated by a transistor-based system. Here, each plot contains a linear grid having \( 101 \times 101 \) points, and the color scale is as follows: the limit cycle is marked by green, chaotic attractor by red, and fixed-point solution is blue. Ranges of horizontal and vertical axes are the same for each plot: \( v_1 \in (-5, 5) \) and \( v_1 \in (-5, 5) \), respectively.

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2.** Numerical integration outputs: (a) typical double-trumpet chaotic attractor, (b) sensitivity to the changes in initial conditions, (c) zoomed short time evolution, (d) zoomed average time evolution, and (e) initial conditions close to point \( x_0 = (0, 0, 0)^T \). Note the different scales of the axis system for individual plots.
Figure 3. Kinetic energy calculated in horizontal slices of state space (from left to right, up to down) and return maps (black dots): $z = -13, z = -10, z = -6, z = -3, z = -1, z = 0, z = 1, z = 2, z = 3, z = 4,$ and $z = 5$.

Figure 5 provides us with an idea about potential robustness of the designed chaotic oscillator. This idea is simplified and plotted in two dimensions, namely as a function of cubic terms $a_2$ and $b_2$. This figure also illustrates zoomed plots A and C, where chaotic areas guarantee the generation of structurally stable strange attractors. However, careful circuit realization is still required for future laboratory success. The LLE was calculated using a final time of $10^4$, time step of $10^{-2}$ s, and Gram–Schmidt orthogonalization. For each line in these three-dimensional plots, a bifurcation diagram can be calculated. Two interesting examples denoted by letters D and E are demonstrated. Note that evolution of trumpet attractors is not subject to a traditional period-doubling route to the chaos scenario. During the calculation of Figure 5, the maximal value of LLE is 0.082, and the Kaplan–Yorke dimension of the associated chaotic attractor is about 2.2.
Figure 4. Basins of attraction calculated as horizontal slices of a state space: (a) \( i_L = 0 \), (b) \( i_L = 0.1 \), (c) \( i_L = 0.2 \), (d) \( i_L = 0.5 \), (e) \( i_L = 0.7 \), (f) \( i_L = 0.8 \), (g) \( i_L = 1 \), (h) \( i_L = 1.5 \), (i) \( i_L = 2 \), (j) \( i_L = 2.5 \), (k) \( i_L = 3 \), (l) \( i_L = 3.5 \), (m) \( i_L = 4 \), (n) \( i_L = 4.5 \), (o) \( i_L = 5 \), (p) \( i_L = 6 \), (q) \( i_L = 8 \), (r) \( i_L = 10 \), (s) \( i_L = 11 \), and (t) \( i_L = 12 \).
Figure 5. Topographically scaled surface-contour plot of LLE as a two-dimensional function of cubic terms associated with nonlinear transconductances $y_{12}(v_2)$ and $y_{21}(v_1)$. Bifurcation diagram fitted to the trumpet attractors; cross section chosen as $v_2 = -1.5$ V, while state variable $v_1$ is stored.

4. Circuit Design

Synthesis of lumped chaotic oscillators based on knowledge of describing ordinary differential equations is a simple task with multiple solutions. The most straightforward approach is known as the concept of analog computers. Following this approach, only three basic building blocks are necessary: inverting integrators, differential amplifiers, and two ports with the prescribed nonlinear transfer curve. These circuits can be designed either in voltage mode [38,39] or current mode [40]. The first case is much more common because of the commercial availability of active elements and easily measurable state variables. The corresponding circuit implementation of the analyzed dynamical system is provided via Figure 6a, and its behavior is uniquely determined by the following set of ordinary differential equations:
\[
C_1 \frac{d}{dt}v_1 = -\frac{v_1}{R_{\text{green}}} - \frac{v_2}{R_{\text{block}}} + \frac{K^2}{R_{\text{red}}}v_3^3, \quad C_2 \frac{d}{dt}v_2 = -\frac{v_3}{R_{\text{brown}}} - \frac{K^2}{R_{\text{blue}}}v_2^3, \quad C_3 \frac{d}{dt}v_3 = \frac{v_2}{R_{\text{white}}},
\]

where \(C_1, C_2, \text{ and } C_3\) are the left, middle, and right capacitor, respectively. Note that seven active devices are needed for network design. The time constant is a compromise between the speed of integration (should be high due to long transients) and parasitic properties of active elements (should be low such that parasitic properties can be neglected). In our case, it is chosen as \(\tau = 10^4 \times 10^{-8} = 100 \mu s\). In the first experiments, red and blue resistors were supposed to be of variable values, i.e., potentiometers. Much better results were achieved if system dissipation also became an adjustable quantity, i.e., the green resistor was substituted by a potentiometer. It is worth noting that OrCAD PSpice circuit simulator has been used to validate the upcoming experimental results before construction of the oscillator.

---

**Figure 6.** Two flow-equivalent practical realizations of chaotic oscillators based on a class C amplifier: (a) using the integrator block schematic and (b) directly following Kirchhoff’s first law.

The oscillator can be simplified by the following different idea. Assume that each differential equation represents the sum of the currents measured at independent nodes. One can easily obtain the electronic system visualized in Figure 6b and described by mathematical expression

\[
C_1 \frac{d}{dt}v_1 = -\frac{v_1}{R_1} - g_1v_2 + \frac{K^2}{R_3}v_3^3, \quad C_2 \frac{d}{dt}v_2 = -i_L - \frac{K^2}{R_{\text{blue}}}v_2^3, \quad L \frac{d}{dt}i_L = v_2,
\]

where \(K = 0.1\) is the internally trimmed constant of a four-quadrant analog multiplier. Obviously, the number of active elements decreases to five. On the other hand, the third state variable is not easily measurable. Note that, without the introduction of state variable rescaling, transadmittance amplifier \(g_1\) should be able to handle high input voltages without output current distortion.

5. Experimental Verification

The new structure of the chaotic oscillator was designed using universal breadboard; see Figure 7, where the measurement setup is demonstrated. Interestingly, variously shaped strange attractors captured by oscilloscope during experimental verification of the proposed chaotic oscillator are shown in Figure 8. Some of them were not discovered during numerical analysis but were repeatedly observable within the dynamics of the lumped chaotic oscillator.
The new structure of the chaotic oscillator was designed using universal breadboard; see Figure 7, where the measurement setup is demonstrated. Interestingly, variously shaped strange attractors captured by oscilloscope during experimental verification of the proposed chaotic oscillator are shown in Figure 8. Some of them were not discovered during numerical analysis but were repeatedly observable within the dynamics of the lumped chaotic oscillator.

**Figure 7.** Detail photo of the chaotic oscillator realized using universal breadboard; generated chaotic waveforms in time domain visualized using an oscilloscope.

For given numerical values, the designed chaotic circuit generated waveforms with a continuous scale of the nonnegligible frequency components up to 100 kHz. Therefore, this oscillator can be used in many applications instead of truly random (noise) signal generators [41], to secure communication channels and information sources [42], as the core engine for chaos-based modulation and cryptography techniques [43], etc.

**Figure 8. Cont.**
6. Discussion

The work described in this paper, that is, the discovery of chaotic dynamics within a generalized class C amplifier, leaves a significant place for future research activities. Let us mention a few unanswered questions and challenges:

(a) The presence of chaos in the case of nonlinear transconductances approximated by piecewise-linear functions. From a circuit design point of view, the analog multipliers can be substituted by series and/or parallel connections of diodes.

(b) The existence of chaos in a class D single-transistor-based amplifier. Three degrees of freedom required for chaos evolution are presented in fundamental topology (thanks to an output LC low-pass passive filter) without need of considering intrinsic parasitic capacitances of the transistor itself.

(c) Robust chaos detected in Figure 1b under the condition of linear $y_{12}(v_2)$, i.e., the only nonlinear function in the mathematical model stands at $y_{21}(v_1)$.

This list is by no means complete. Further interesting reading about chaotic circuits having one or two bipolar junction transistors can be found in [44].
7. Conclusions

This popularizing paper represents a simultaneous contribution to two areas of chaos theory: (a) the discovery of a new chaotic dynamical system with a specific type of equilibria, namely a single unstable fixed point, and (b) the numerical description of a very simple single-transistor-based network structure from the viewpoint of chaos evolution.

The analyzed amplification topology can be commonly found in many complex electronic systems. The formation of strange attractors is conditioned by the non-unilateral nature of the bipolar transistor; backward trans-conductance especially needs to be active. Typical values of admittance parameters depend not only on the bias point but also on the transistor used. Unipolar transistors are usually modeled differently: by input admittance $y_{11}$ and trans-conductance $y_{12}$ equaling zero. However, matching circuits can cause feedback required for chaos evolution. In such a situation, chaos can be observed also in the case of a class C amplifier with a field-effect transistor. Matching networks with accumulation elements will increase the order of the final circuit; i.e., the probability of chaos can be boosted as well.

Based on the findings provided in this paper, chaotic motion can be mitigated by unilaterization of a bipolar transistor, that is, by the reduction of its backward trans-conductance.
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