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Abstract

Background: The use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) is increasing worldwide. To the best of knowledge, there is a lack of studies that assess CAM use by Palestinian women during pregnancy. This research aims to determine the prevalence of Palestinian women’s use of CAM during pregnancy, the most frequently used CAM products during the pregnancy period, the main sources that encourage the use of CAM among pregnant women, and the causes of CAM use.

Methods: A descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted in Palestine using a questionnaire from April 2018 to March 2019. The samples were selected by convenience sampling, including currently pregnant or previously pregnant women in the Obstetrics and Gynecology (OBGYN) clinic at Rafedia Hospital and in primary health care clinics in Nablus city-Palestine. The questionnaire covered socio-demographic variables, health status, types and frequency of CAM used, patients’ sources of information, causes of their usage, attitudes, and beliefs.

Results: Four hundred currently or previously pregnant women participated. Three hundred fifty-five (91.5%) used at least one method of CAM during pregnancy. Most women used at least one method of biologically-based therapies during pregnancy (87.7%). One hundred and one pregnant women (26.7%) utilised at least one type of herb during pregnancy. The most-reported herb used by 12.3% of participants was anise. Of the mind-body therapies, prayer was the most commonly used method during pregnancy (8.3%). Two hundred and sixty-one (65.3%) participants used CAM because they believed CAM was not harmful to them or their babies during pregnancy. Participants gained most of their information about CAM from their families (43.8%) and friends (24.3%). Approximately two-thirds of participants (64.0%) thought that obstetricians should be able to advise on commonly used CAM.

Conclusions: During pregnancy, CAM products are commonly used, and it is important to determine what types are being used by women in Palestine. These findings supplement the body of knowledge on the use of CAM by pregnant women. Pregnancy care providers need to be aware to the familiar types of CAM that women use.
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Background

In pregnant women, the prevalence of complementary and alternative medicines (CAM) is increasing and modalities are widely used in both developing and developed countries [1–3]. The National Institutes of Health categorised CAM into three main groups. The first group, consisting of “Natural Products” that include herbs, minerals, vitamins, and probiotics [4], was considered the most popular CAM group used by American adults in 2012 [4]. The second group was “Mind and Body Practices”, including massage therapy, yoga, chiropractic care, spinal manipulation, osteopathic manipulation, and meditation [5]. The third group includes other complementary health approaches, such as traditional Chinese medicine, traditional healers, homeopathy, Ayurvedic medicine, and naturopathy [4].

Worldwide, CAM is commonly used during pregnancy [1–3]. One study mentioned that almost 50% of pregnant women from different countries outside the USA used at least one CAM type [6]. Surveys from the Middle East found that approximately 75% of pregnant women in Jordan used CAM [7] and 22.3% in Iran used CAM during pregnancy [8]. There has been only one study in Palestine, exploring the use of herbal products during pregnancy [9]. A cross-sectional study conducted by Al-Ramahi et al. [9] found out that 120 women out of 300 (40.0%) used herbal products during pregnancy; most women (82.5%) chose herbs because of their belief that herbs were both more effective and safer than medications.

There has been a small number of studies among the general Palestinian population regarding the use of CAM. For example, several studies focused on CAM in patients with hypertension [10], diabetes mellitus [11], coronary heart disease [12], on haemodialysis [13], cancer [14], or the general public [15]. There have also been several studies on herbal therapies [9, 16–19]. According to these studies, there is a Palestinian interest in the use of CAM, ranging between 45.9–85.9% of the population affected by different types of disease. Some of the types of CAM used in Palestine are common elsewhere [20–22], while other types have been found to be unique to the region [15]. Extensive research on the use of CAM in Palestine found that certain types of CAM, such as honey, prayers, Holy Book reading, al-Hijama, abstinence, and Islamic exorcism, are considered important aspects of culture religion [13, 23–25].

There are many widely used types of CAM available in Palestine, which are used regardless of efficiency or risks. However, there is a lack of knowledge on the use of all types of CAM during pregnancy in Palestine. Only one study explicitly focusing on herbal use during pregnancy has been published from Palestine in 2013 [9]. In addition, many people in Palestine use CAM from traditional or cultural points of view, so we tried to study this phenomenon from a scientific perspective among pregnant women by including all types of CAM in the current study. This study’s aims were: 1) to determine the prevalence of CAM among pregnant women in Palestine, 2) to evaluate the most frequently used CAM therapies during pregnancy, 3) to acknowledge the main sources of data that encourage the use of CAM during pregnancy, and 4) to understand the mindset of pregnant women in Palestine towards the use of CAM. The findings of this study may be helpful in supporting the development of maternal and infant health in the Palestinian community health policy. In addition, the findings of this study could be a basis for safety outcomes, so that pregnant women can be properly advised on CAM, which will eventually direct the use of more safe and effective methods for mother and fetus. Health care providers and policymakers are progressively worried about the use of CAM by pregnant women and the absence of reliable research on the safety and effectiveness of CAM methods [6, 26]. Recognising the patterns of CAM therapy used during pregnancy will allow health care providers to provide more education to pregnant women and help policymakers to build an effective foundation for future policies.

Methods

Study design

This study is a cross-sectional, non-interventional, descriptive study of women in Obstetrics and Gynecology (OBGYN) Clinics at Rafedia governmental hospital and in three primary health care clinics in Nablus city, Palestine. This study was conducted during the period of April 2018 to March 2019.

Setting

This research was carried out in primary health care clinics in Nablus city and in the prenatal clinic/Rafedia Clinic, which is a state clinic in northern Palestine. The OBGYN clinic in Rafedia Hospital treats pregnant women and operates 3 days per week [27].

Sample size and sampling method

Using the number of currently or previously pregnant women who attended the OBGYN clinic in Nablus district, which number approximately 10,000 pregnant women per year [9, 27], we used the Raosoft online sample size calculator (http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html) to measure the sample size, with a pre-determined error margin of 5% and confidence level of 95%. Three hundred seventy pregnant women formed the initial calculated sample size, but the sample size was increased to 400 to account for any missed data or non-response rate.
to ensure reliability. A convenient sampling technique was used in this study.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**
Any pregnant woman or woman who has been pregnant for 1 year prior to the survey who visited our health care centers during the period of the study were eligible for inclusion in this study. The exclusion criteria were women who were admitted in an emergency situation, i.e., severe vomiting or elevated blood pressure, or who expressed a lack of willingness to participate in the study.

**Data collection form and instruments**
The data were collected using a questionnaire in the native Arabic language, based on similar previous studies [28–32]. The questionnaire was prepared and reviewed by a panel of specialists in the fields of complementary medicine, clinical pharmacy and biostatistics for content validity. Initially, a pilot study was conducted using a primary survey. During this pilot study period, questionnaires were given to only 20 respondents who did not participate in the full study, in the aim of measuring the respondents’ comprehension of the questions. Primary results showed a high prevalence of CAM use and the questionnaire was improved based on the participants’ feedback. An additional file was provided to show a detailed description of the study data collection form in English (Additional file 1).

The questionnaire was structured into five sections:

- The first section included questions regarding the respondents’ socioeconomic and demographic characteristics and reported specifics of age, residency, level of education, body mass index (BMI), monthly family income, and occupation.
- The second section inquired about the participants’ clinical status, medications, habits such as exercise, diet, smoking and alcohol intake, and their sources of information on CAM.
- The third section of the questionnaire focused on participants’ regularity of consumption of CAM and participants were asked to report the kind of CAM used during their pregnancy period. According to previous studies in the same culture, CAM therapies have been classified as follows [13, 23–25], 1) alternative medical systems, such as acupuncture, cupping, Ayurveda and detoxification, (2) biologically-based therapies, such as folic acid, iron, calcium, magnesium, vitamins and other herbs, (3) manipulative and body-based methods, such as massage and manipulation of the spine, (4) mind-body medicine, such as prayer, Quran, religious songs, yoga, meditation, walking or music therapy (exorcism in Islam (ruqya) has been added to mind-body medicine to imitate previous studies), and (5) herbal therapy types. Participants were asked to provide the native name of the herb used. All pregnancy-related herbs and other CAM types to improve or cure health conditions were included in this study.
- In the fourth section, participants were asked to explain the reason(s) for the use of CAM (as adopted from the previously published study) [29], to reveal as many common beliefs of CAM in the Palestinian culture as possible.

**Data collection procedure**
Women who were eligible and agreed to participate in the study were asked to fill the surveys by themselves. However, some women who were unable to read or write were interviewed by the researchers to complete their surveys, by oral questioning and writing down their answers. To avoid any biases, the researchers only read the questions for those women and avoided lead questions. Explanations were given to the women when needed to ensure participants understood the questions.

**Ethical approval**
Prior to initiation, every aspect of this study protocol was authorised by the Institutional Review Boards (IRB) of An-Najah National University (Archived number: AN5April2018) and the local health authorities.

**Statistical analysis**
The Statistical Package for Social Sciences program version 21 (SPSS; New York, USA) was used to enter and analyse data. Four hundred fifty women who had ever experienced pregnancy were invited to participate in this study, and 400 women agreed to complete questionnaires. Descriptive statistics (mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as frequency and percentage) were used to describe categorical variables related to the use of CAM, reasons for CAM usage during pregnancy, types of CAM, types of herbal and attitudes regarding CAM. In order to see the association between the use of CAM and the characteristics of the participants in the survey, the chi-square test was conducted with a statistically significant $p$ value $< 0.05$.

**Results**

**Socio-demographic and obstetric data**
During the period of the study, 400 women completed the questionnaire at a response rate of 88.8%. Table 1 displays the distribution of socio-demographic and
Table 1 Socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics of participants (n = 400) and their association with CAM use during pregnancy

| Variable                  | n (%) | CAM users n (%) | Non-CAM users' n (%) | P value |
|---------------------------|-------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|
|                           |       | N = 366         | N = 34               |         |
| **Age in years**          |       |                 |                      |         |
| Less than 25              | 105 (26.3) | 101 (27.6) | 4 (11.8)  | 0.013   |
| 25–34                     | 168 (42.0) | 156 (42.6) | 12 (35.3) |         |
| More than 34              | 127 (31.8) | 109 (29.8) | 18 (52.9) |         |
| **BMI**                   |       |                 |                      |         |
| Underweight               | 7 (1.8)  | 6 (1.6)  | 1 (2.9)    | 0.919   |
| Normal                    | 154 (38.5) | 140 (38.4) | 14 (41.2)  |         |
| Overweight                | 156 (39.0) | 144 (39.3) | 12 (35.3)  |         |
| Obese                     | 83 (20.8)  | 76 (20.8) | 7 (20.6)   |         |
| **Education**             |       |                 |                      |         |
| Elementary                | 16 (4.0)  | 13 (3.6)  | 3 (8.8)    | 0.252   |
| Middle school             | 60 (15.0) | 55 (15.0) | 5 (14.7)   |         |
| High school               | 121 (30.3) | 108 (29.5) | 13 (38.2)  |         |
| University                | 203 (50.8) | 190 (51.9) | 13 (38.2)  |         |
| **Residency**             |       |                 |                      |         |
| City                      | 148 (37.0) | 134 (36.6) | 14 (41.2)  | 0.614   |
| Village                   | 225 (56.3) | 206 (56.3) | 19 (55.9)  |         |
| Palestinian refugee camps | 27 (6.8)  | 26 (7.1)  | 1 (2.9)    |         |
| **Monthly income**        |       |                 |                      |         |
| Less than 2000 NIS        | 144 (36.0) | 129 (35.3) | 15 (44.1)  | 0.194   |
| 2000–5000 NIS             | 222 (55.5) | 208 (57.0) | 14 (41.2)  |         |
| 5000–10,000 NIS           | 24 (6.0)  | 21 (5.8)  | 3 (8.8)    |         |
| More than 10,000 NIS      | 9 (2.3)   | 7 (1.9)   | 2 (5.9)    |         |
| **Occupation**            |       |                 |                      |         |
| Housewife                 | 326 (81.5) | 301 (82.2) | 25 (73.5)  | 0.421   |
| Governmental employee     | 45 (11.3) | 40 (10.9) | 5 (14.7)   |         |
| Private sector employee   | 29 (7.2)  | 25 (6.8)  | 4 (11.8)   |         |
| **Birthplace**            |       |                 |                      |         |
| Palestine                 | 366 (91.5) | 335 (91.5) | 31 (91.2)  | 0.944   |
| Abroad                    | 34 (8.5)  | 31 (8.5)  | 3 (8.8)    |         |
| **Number of children**    |       |                 |                      |         |
| Pregnant (0)              | 44 (11.0) | 39 (10.7) | 5 (14.7)   | 0.513   |
| 1–3                       | 213 (53.3) | 199 (54.4) | 14 (41.2)  |         |
| 4–6                       | 118 (29.5) | 106 (29.0) | 12 (35.3)  |         |
| > 6                       | 25 (6.2)  | 22 (6.0)  | 3 (8.8)    |         |
| **Presence of chronic diseases** |  |  |  | 0.001 |
| Yes                       | 56 (14.0) | 45 (12.3) | 11 (32.4)  |         |
| No                        | 344 (86.0) | 321 (87.7) | 23 (67.6)  |         |
| **Treatment preference**  |       |                 |                      |         |
| Medical                   | 153 (38.3) | 139 (38.0) | 14 (41.2)  | 0.143   |
| CAM                       | 101 (25.3) | 97 (26.5) | 4 (11.8)   |         |
| Both                      | 146 (36.5) | 130 (35.5) | 16 (47.1)  |         |

Abbreviations: CAM complementary and alternative medicine, BMI body mass index, NIS New Israeli Shekel (1 New Israeli Shekel = 0.29 US Dollar)
obstetric features. Of the participants, 168 (42%) were between 25 and 34 years old. One hundred fifty-six (39%) of the subjects were categorised as overweight according to BMI classification (BMI of 25–29.9). Two hundred one (25.3%) preferred using CAM during pregnancy, and 146 (36.5%) preferred both CAM and medical treatments during pregnancy.

Sources of information about CAM
Table 2 shows that pregnant women gained most of their information about CAM during pregnancy and during their lifetime from their families (43.8%) and friends (24.3%).

Prevalence of CAM use during pregnancy and during their lifetime
A total of 400 women who participated (100%) reported using at least one method of CAM during their lifetime, with 355 (91.5%) of them reported use of at least one method during pregnancy, as shown in Tables 3 and 4. A comparison of the characteristics of CAM users and non-CAM users during pregnancy revealed that no significant difference (p-value > 0.05) occurred in all socio-demographic and clinical characteristics, except in terms of age and prevalence of chronic diseases, which showed a significant difference (p-value < 0.05); (Table 1). According to the women’s age, women who were older than 34 years old used CAM significantly less than those who were less than 34 years old (29.8% versus 52.9%, p-value = 0.013). In addition, women with chronic diseases used CAM significantly less than those who did not have chronic diseases (12.3% versus 87.7%, p-value = 0.001); (Table 1).

| Source         | n (%) |
|----------------|-------|
| Physician      | 54 (13.5) |
| Midwife/nurse  | 18 (4.5)  |
| Pharmacist     | 9 (2.3)   |
| Television     | 46 (11.5) |
| Radio          | 22 (5.5)  |
| Family         | 175 (43.8) |
| Friends        | 97 (24.3)  |
| Neighbours     | 75 (18.8)  |
| Social media   | 69 (17.3)  |

Types of CAM used during pregnancy and during their lifetime
Biologically-based therapies were the most commonly used category during pregnancy by 351 (87.8%) women, as shown in Table 3. It is also apparent from Table 3 that biologically-based medicines, excluding herbs, were reported to be used by 382 (95.5%) of the participants during their lifetime. Table 4 displays that prayer was the most common mind-body medicine method used during pregnancy (8.3%). An alternative medical system was used only by 62 participants (15.5%). The massage was the most prominent manipulation and body-based method by 32 (8%) participants during pregnancy. It is also apparent from Table 4 that folic acid (76.3%) and iron (72.5%) were mostly used during pregnancy.

Types of herbs used during pregnancy and during their lifetime
Table 5 provides frequencies of herbal use, which 392 participants (98%) reported using during their lifetime. It is also evident from Table 5 that 107 (26.8%) of the participants during pregnancy were reported to use herbs. During pregnancy, the top five herbs used by pregnant women were anise (12.3%), peppermint (11.5%), sage (10.8%), chamomile (9.5%), and cinnamon (9%).

Reasons for CAM usage during pregnancy
As shown in Table 6, 42% of participants agreed that CAM is more effective than medical therapy, although 39.5% did not agree with this statement. Two hundred sixty-one (65.3%) participants believed it was not harmful to them or their babies during pregnancy. Only 84 (21.0%) of the participants reported that they used CAM because medical therapies failed to succeed. Two hundred and fifty-two (63.0%) subjects used CAM based on others’ experiences. Two hundred and thirty-one (57.8%) used CAM because it was more accessible, compared to medical therapy. Also, 262 (65.5%) of the participants used CAM because of its common use and recommendation in their culture. Although not specific to pregnancy, most participants used a CAM modality to relieve influenza symptoms (84.0%), and 273 (68.3%) of the respondents have used it to support medical therapy. Two

| CAM Categories                      | During pregnancy n (%) | Overall n (%) |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Mind-body medicine                 | 72 (18.0)               | 371 (92.8)    |
| Alternative medical systems        | 13 (3.3)                | 62 (15.5)     |
| Manipulative and body-based methods| 34 (8.5)                | 161 (40.3)    |
| Biologically-based therapies       | 351 (87.8)              | 382 (95.5)    |
| Herbal                             | 107 (26.8)              | 392 (98.0)    |

*Total percentages exceed 100% due to the selection of more than one source
hundred and sixty-nine (67.3%) used CAM because they thought it could be beneficial during pregnancy.

The attitude of women toward CAM usage during pregnancy

Table 7 provides results that reflect pregnant women’s attitudes and beliefs about CAM usage during pregnancy, according to their experiences. Two hundred and fifty (62.5%) women agreed that CAM gave them more health and control over their bodies. One hundred and eighty-one (45.5%) of the participants thought that CAM was a better preventative measure than medical therapy. Two hundred and thirty-five (58.8%) participants believed that CAM promoted a holistic approach to health. Two hundred and forty-six (61.5%) believed that proof of effectiveness was important to their choice of CAM. One hundred and ninety-nine (49.8%) reported that their experiences with CAM’s effectiveness were more vital than clinical evidence. Of the participants, 256 (64.0%) thought that obstetricians should be able to advise their patients on commonly used CAM.

Discussion

The current study found that at least one method of CAM was used by 91.5% of Palestinian women during their entire pregnancy period, which is significantly higher than other studies conducted in the USA (67%) [34], the UK (57.1%) [35], Turkey (41.4%) [33], Jordan (75%) [36], Australia (52%) [28] and Germany (50%) [37]. To our knowledge, this is the first study in Palestine that investigates all types of CAM use during pregnancy and women’s attitudes on CAM use in pregnancy. Our study was planned to cover all forms of CAM methods used for the sole purpose of treating health problems during pregnancy; however, only one previous study in Palestine [9] was conducted, which investigated the use of only herbal products during pregnancy. We found that the most common cause of CAM usage during pregnancy was to relieve symptoms of influenza, which, though not specific for pregnancy, participants believed using CAM during pregnancy is safer than taking medication to treat conditions such as the simple flu. This particular finding is consistent with the results of a previous study performed in Palestine [9]. Two-thirds (65.3%) of participants believed that CAM is not harmful to themselves or their babies during pregnancy, which is comparable to results from a study conducted in Turkey (69.2%) [33]. It was, however, higher than results of studies conducted in Iran (39.8%), the UK (19%), Nigeria (29.0%) and Iraq (43%) [8, 36, 38, 39].

As for mind-body medicine, prayer was the most common method used by Palestinian women during their pregnancy periods. This reflects the effect of religion and culture on people’s lifestyles during pregnancy and their lifetimes in Palestine’s West Bank [15].

Another important finding shows that most pregnant women used vitamins and minerals, mostly folic acid (76.3%) and iron (72.5%), which is similar to results from a study conducted in Australia [40]. One possible explanation is that most pregnant women follow the
instructions and recommendations of their health care provider. In the same study, it is apparent that women in Palestine use calcium twice as much as women in Australia during pregnancy. In Australia, it has been reported that the most common cause of calcium use was a doctor-recommended dietary supplement [40].

Results of herbal usage in our study are lower than in studies done in the UK (59%) [38], Egypt (27.3%) [41], Nigeria (67.5%) [39], Iraq (53.7%) [36] and Norway (39.7%) [42], but higher than Iran (22.3%) [8] and Taiwan (20.2%) [43]. The results of this study show that herbs are used by 26.8% of women during pregnancy, which is less than the study conducted in Palestine in 2013, which showed that 40% of women used herbs during pregnancy [9]. This discrepancy in results could be attributed to the fact that we interviewed pregnant and previously pregnant women, inferring that the subjects might not recall exactly what they used in previous

### Table 5: Types of herbs used, their frequencies and percentages during pregnancy and during the lifetime

| Types of Herbals                          | During pregnancy n (%) | In general n (%) | Never used it n (%) |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Sage (Lamiaceae Salvia officinalis L.)    | 43 (10.8)              | 292 (73.0)       | 65 (16.3)           |
| Thyme (Lamiaceae Thymus vulgaris L.)      | 33 (8.3)               | 264 (66)         | 103 (25.8)          |
| Peppermint (Lamiaceae Mentha piperita L.)| 46 (11.5)              | 290 (72.5)       | 64 (16.0)           |
| Fenugreek (Leguminosae Trigonella foenum-graecum L.) | 21 (5.3) | 166 (41.5) | 213 (53.3) |
| Chamomile (Asteraceae Matricaria chamomilla L) | 38 (9.5) | 269 (67.3) | 93.0 (23.3) |
| Anise (Apiaceae Pimpinella anisum L.)    | 49 (12.3)              | 289 (72.3)       | 62 (15.5)           |
| Ginger (Zingiberaceae Zingiber officinale Roscoe) | 21 (5.3) | 182 (45.5) | 197 (49.3) |
| Cumin (Apiaceae Cuminum cyminum L)       | 28 (7.0)               | 187 (46.8)       | 185 (46.3)          |
| Cinnamon (Lauraceae Cinnamomum verum J. Presl) | 36 (9.0) | 215 (53.8) | 149 (37.3) |
| Turmeric (Zingiberaceae Curcuma longa)   | 8 (2.0)                | 132 (33.0)       | 260 (65.0)          |
| Garlic (Amaryllidaceae Allium sativum L) | 18 (4.5)               | 191 (47.8)       | 191 (47.8)          |
| Parsley (Apiaceae Petroselinum crispum (MRL) | 27 (6.8) | 215 (53.8) | 158 (39.5) |
| Green tea (Theaceae Camellia sinensis (L)) | 11 (2.8) | 150 (37.5) | 239 (59.8) |
| Saffron (Iridaceae Crocus sativus)       | 6 (1.5)                | 71 (17.8)        | 323 (80.8)          |
| Rosemary (Rosmarinus officinalis)         | 7 (1.8)                | 145 (36.3)       | 248 (62.0)          |
| Ginseng (Araliaceae Panax ginseng)       | 2 (0.5)                | 30 (7.5)         | 368 (92.0)          |
| Olive oil (Oleaceae Olea europaeae)      | 27 (6.8)               | 286 (71.5)       | 87 (21.5)           |
| Castor oil (Euphorbiaceae Ricinus communis L) | 18 (4.5) | 166 (41.5) | 216 (54.0) |
| Grapeseed oil (Vitis vinifera seed oil)  | 1 (0.3)                | 23 (5.8)         | 376 (94.0)          |
| Almond oil (Prunus amygdalus L.)         | 2 (0.5)                | 56 (14.0)        | 342 (85.5)          |
| Aloe vera (Aloe perfoliata L.)           | 3 (0.8)                | 61 (15.3)        | 336 (84.0)          |
| Cranberry (Vaccinium macrocarpon)        | 5 (1.3)                | 47 (11.8)        | 348 (87.0)          |

### Table 6: Reasons for CAM usage during pregnancy

| Item* | Agree n (%) | Disagree n (%) | No opinion n (%) |
|-------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| "It is more effective than medical therapy." | 168 (42.0) | 158 (39.5) | 74 (18.5) |
| "It is because it is not harmful for you and your baby during pregnancy." | 261 (65.3) | 86 (21.5) | 53 (13.3) |
| "It is because Medical therapies failed to succeed." | 84 (21.0) | 281 (70.3) | 35 (8.8) |
| "It is because Others have tried it and were satisfied." | 252 (63.0) | 124 (31.0) | 24 (6.0) |
| "It is because It is more accessible compared to medical therapy." | 231 (57.8) | 139 (34.8) | 30 (7.5) |
| "It is because It is used and recommended in our culture." | 262 (65.5) | 105 (26.3) | 33 (8.3) |
| "You have used it in order to relieve symptoms of illnesses such as common cold and influenza." | 336 (84.0) | 48 (12.0) | 16 (4.0) |
| "You have used it in order to support medical therapy." | 273 (68.3) | 78 (19.5) | 49 (12.3) |
| "It is because you think It can be beneficial during pregnancy." | 269 (67.3) | 83 (20.8) | 48 (12.0) |

*Questions were adapted from Koç Z et al., [33]
pregnancies, in comparison to the 2013 study, in which postpartum women were interviewed within 3 days of their delivery.

The most common herbs used were found to be anise, peppermint, sage, chamomile, and cinnamon which is consistent with the study conducted in Palestine in 2013, in which anise and chamomile were the most commonly reported herbs used by pregnant women in that country [9] as well as Egypt [41], and peppermint in Turkey [33]. While ginger was found to be the most reported herb used in the UK and Norway [38, 42], red raspberry leaf is one of the most widely used herbal teas during pregnancy in other countries, mainly due to its presumed effect on labour stimulation [44, 45]. This variation in herbs use in different countries may be due to the use of these herbs in standard dietary ingredients as a spice, a flavouring agent or to reduce food spoilage in different cultures [46–49].

Most women in Palestine believe that anise helps to facilitate labour, which is a belief that refers to traditions. There is currently no evidence, however, suggesting that anise helps to facilitate labour. As we found in our research, most CAM-related knowledge was based on tradition instead of evidence. The findings of systematic reviews and meta-analyses [50] suggest that herbal medicines for induction of labour are effective, however, due to the lack of good quality data, there exists inconclusive safety evidence [50, 51].

The current study results found that some herbs used by pregnant women are considered undesirable to be used during pregnancy. For example, sage in large doses is better to be avoided for concern of potential miscarriage effect. Concentrated anise has a concern that it might trigger early labour. And thyme in large doses is better to be avoided, especially in early pregnancy, because of concern of potential miscarriage [52].

Regarding the attitude towards CAM usage in pregnancy, this study found that almost half (49.8%) of women who participated in the study believed that their personal experiences regarding the effectiveness of CAM were enough. For them, the experiences were more important and significant than clinical evidence. Although a majority (61.5%) of participants reported a need for evidence of effectiveness to use any method of CAM, this evidence is probably the result of traditional use and not scientific evidence. This could be explained by the most common sources of information on using CAM during pregnancy, which were their families (43.8%) and friends (24.3%). This attitude of pregnant women in Palestine reflects the fact that 42% of study subjects believed that CAM was more effective than medical therapy. This indicates a possible lack of trust in medical consultations and services in Palestine, as similarly found by Frawley et al. [29], raising concerns due to lack of data regarding women’s beliefs of CAM usage safety during pregnancy.

This study’s findings provide valuable information for policy makers in Palestine regarding pregnant women’s use of and attitudes towards CAM, which can be used to raise awareness of health care providers who should be able to increase awareness and knowledge of risks and benefits of CAM to mothers. The authors also believe that health care providers should be the only source of information regarding CAM, prompting a recommendation to introduce CAM as part of the medical degree syllabus.

**Strengths and limitations**

Our study has multiple points of strength, including powered sample size and multi-centered data collection. Nonetheless, these results must be interpreted with care and a number of limitations should be considered since the effect estimates in the model are based on a cross-sectional study. The survey data is self-reported and is therefore vulnerable to bias recall. Since the survey was conducted for pregnant women or women who have been pregnant for 1 year prior to survey performance, recall bias would be minimal. It is unfortunate that our study could not identify the information sources for undesirable and desirable CAM use.

**Table 7** Pregnant women’s attitudes towards CAM usage in pregnancy

| Item*                                                                 | Agree n (%) | Disagree n (%) | No opinion n (%) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| “CAM gives me more control over my healthy body.”                     | 250 (62.5)  | 64 (16.0)      | 86 (21.5)        |
| “CAM is a better preventative measure than CM.”                       | 181 (45.5)  | 117 (29.3)     | 102 (25.5)       |
| “CAM promotes a holistic approach to health.”                        | 235 (58.8)  | 92 (23.0)      | 73 (18.3)        |
| “Evidence of effectiveness is important to my choice of CAM.”         | 246 (61.5)  | 78 (19.5)      | 76 (19.0)        |
| “My personal experience of the effectiveness of CAM is more important than clinical evidence.” | 199 (49.8)  | 113 (28.3)     | 88 (22.0)        |
| “Obstetricians should be able to advise their patients about commonly used CAM.” | 256 (64.0)  | 51 (12.8)      | 93 (23.3)        |

Abbreviations: CM conventional medicine, CAM complementary and alternative medicine

*Questions were adapted from Frawley et al., [29]
Conclusions
Usage of CAM among pregnant women in Palestine is significantly high, as this study shows. The most commonly used methods are biologically-based therapies and herbs, as found in other countries. Most participants considered CAM safe to use in pregnancy and almost half find it more effective than medical therapy. This new body of knowledge can inform health care providers regarding the need to discuss the risks and benefits of using CAM modalities during pregnancy to ensure women make an informed choice before using such treatments. Furthermore, the current study should guide and inspire investigators to conduct CAM-related medical research in order to provide stronger evidence of possible CAM-related benefits and risks. Additional CAM-based clinical research, i.e. prospective cohort study is required to obtain clearer data regarding the possible benefits and risks of the use of CAM. In addition, further research is also required to identify CAM modality that is considered undesirable to be used during pregnancy.
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