Anti-colorectal cancer effect of interleukin-2 and interferon-β fusion gene driven by carcinoembryonic antigen promoter
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Abstract: This study was designed to investigate the antitumor effects of combined interleukin-2/interferon-β-based gene therapy in colorectal cancer. Transfection of the fusion gene expression plasmid induced significant apoptosis of Lovo cells. Additionally, the fusion gene exhibited strong inhibitory activity against tumor growth and apoptosis when being injected into the nude mice implanted with human colon cancer cells. Furthermore, the tail-vein injection showed a more notable effect than direct injection into tumor. These results suggest that the combined interleukin-2/interferon-β-based gene therapy with the carcinoembryonic antigen promoter might be an effective antitumor strategy.
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Introduction
Colorectal cancer (CRC), one of the most prevalent malignancies globally, caused approximately 1.4 million new cases and resulted in 694,000 CRC-related deaths in 2012. Moreover, metastases were frequently detected in >40% of patients with CRC, which led to the inappropriate application of surgical therapy and frequent recurrence after radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop novel treatment strategies.

To our knowledge, several studies have demonstrated that the interaction among environmental carcinogens, the host immune system, and genetic alterations plays important roles in the progression of CRC, which ultimately causes the uncontrolled cell growth. Thus, therapy with immune-associated genes shows great potential advantages in the treatment of cancer. Both interferon-β (IFN-β) and interleukin-2 (IL-2) are important cytokines and play multiple roles in host immune system. They are also shown to display remarkable antitumor potential. Notably, the cytokines have been already used in clinic to treat some tumors and other diseases. The IL-2 gene when transfected into the C-26 colon cancer cells significantly inhibited tumor growth in 76% of mice 7–10 days post-transfection. Gunji et al have also found that the survival rate of mice increased significantly after the IL-2 gene was transfected into C-26 colon cancer cells. Qin et al have shown that tumor formation was found in only 1% of mice, but tumor suppression was observed in most of the mice after IFN-β gene therapy by means of replication-defective retroviral vector. However, the efficacy of single cytokine on tumor in clinical trials has been limited. The benefits of these combined cytokines are encouraging. A former study has demonstrated that IFN and IL-2 induce lymphokine-activated killer activity synergistically, further enhancing the
sensitivity of tumor cells to killer cells.\textsuperscript{16} Another previous report has demonstrated the synergistic antitumor effect of IL-2 and IFN-\(\beta\).\textsuperscript{17}

Although many gene therapy methods have been used in clinical trials, such as cytokines and herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase, and have made some progress in the treatment of cancer,\textsuperscript{18} there remains several shortcomings. Among them, the specificity and efficiency of gene expression in tumor cells and safety to normal cells is a major limitation. As we all know, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), one of the earliest identified tumor-associated antigens, is found in many gland tumors, especially in the tumors of the digestive system.\textsuperscript{19} More than 90\% of CRC cells express CEA. Moreover, gene expression regulated by a tumor-specific CEA can improve the effect of gene therapy and reduce the side effects of treatment.\textsuperscript{20} Thus, we aimed to investigate CEA promoter activities and to achieve tumor-specific transgene expression using the CEA promoter.

In this study, we constructed expression plasmid pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\) as well as pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\), and demonstrated synergistic antitumor effects of combined IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\)-based gene therapy in a murine CRC model. The effects of combined IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\)-based gene therapy were examined by flow cytometry, hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining, and transmission electron microscopy.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and experimental animals

Colon cancer tissue samples were obtained from the first affiliated hospital of China Medical University. Lovo and HT-29 cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA), supplemented with 10\% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics at 37\°C in 5\% CO\textsubscript{2}. The use of human cells was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University.

A total of 30 male BALB/C nude mice, weighing 18–22 g (4–6 weeks old), were purchased from Experimental Animal Center of China Medical University. All animal experiments in the present study were performed according to the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals, with Animal Ethics Committee approval from the First Affiliated Hospital of China Medical University.

Plasmids construction and transfection

The open reading frame (ORF) of human IL-2 (461 bp) was amplified using the cDNA reversely transcribed from normal human peripheral blood lymphocytes by the primers of IL-2: forward (5'-TCGAATTgatccCTACCATGTACGGAGATG-3') and reverse (5'-GCAGGAATgatctCAGTTGTTGAGATGATG-3'). The ORF of IFN-\(\beta\) (563 bp) was amplified from plasmid pCMV (Hu beta) (RIKEN Institute, Wako, Japan) using the primers of IFN-\(\beta\): forward (5'-GATCCGATTgagcctgATGACCAAACAG-3') and reverse (5'-GCTAGGctctgagTCAGTTTGGAGGATGTTA-3'). The ORF of IL-2 and IFN-\(\beta\) was linked using linker primer (gaattctGGTGGCTCTGGA TCTGGCCTTCTGATCGTGTCGCTGggeggc) to generate the fragment IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\), which was cloned into the Xhol and BamHI restriction sites of pEGFP-C1 (Clotech, Mountain View, CA, USA) and pcDNA 3.1A (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to generate the plasmid pEGFP-IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\) and pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\), respectively.

The CEA promoter fragment (504 bp) was amplified from colon cancer tissue DNA using the primers of CEA: forward (5'-ATGagcttTTTCTAGCCCCCAGAGCCACC-3') and reverse (5'-GAGGGagcttgCAGTCTCTGCTGTCGTCGC-3'). The CEA promoter fragment was then inserted into the Hind III restriction sites of pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\) to generate the plasmid pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-\(\beta\). All the plasmids were confirmed by sequencing. Transient and stable transfections were performed using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the product manual. Cells with stable expression of plasmid were selected using G418 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) at a concentration of 400 \(\mu\)g/mL at 2 weeks and maintained in medium containing 200 \(\mu\)g/mL G418 for 6–8 weeks.

DNA ladder electrophoresis

The specimen was homogenized and suspended in buffer (10 mmol/L Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 0.1 mol/L NaCl, and 1 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA]), followed by addition of 1 mL of 10\% sodium dodecyl sulfate, and incubation with proteinase K at 50\°C overnight. DNA was extracted twice with phenol and twice with phenol/chloroform (1/1); the upper layer was collected, and 5 mol/L NaCl was added at a 1:50 (v/v) ratio and then precipitated at –20\°C in absolute ethanol overnight. DNA was pelleted by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm at 4\°C for 10 minutes, washed with 70\% ethanol, and dried. DNA was re-suspended in buffer (10 mmol/L Tris–HCl and 1 mM EDTA). Samples were incubated with 0.1 mg/mL DNase-free RNase21 at 37\°C for 30 minutes. Then, 0.25\% xylene cyanol in 40\% sucrose and 4 \(\mu\)L of 0.25\% bromophenol blue were added to samples at a ratio of 1:5 (v/v). Electrophoresis was performed in 1.6\% agarose gels at 50 V, and DNA was visualized with ethidium bromide.

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy

In brief, Lovo cells were transfected with indicated plasmid and then fixed in 4\% paraformaldehyde. Cells were incubated with...
rabbit anti-IL-2 or anti-IFN-β at 37°C for 1 hour, followed by incubation with tetramethyl rhodamine isocyanate-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Beijing Zhongshan Biotechnology Co., Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Afterward, cells were washed extensively with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Subsequently, the sections were mounted with 50% glycerinum. As a negative control, sections were incubated with PBS instead of primary antibodies. The cells positive for IL-2 and IFN-β staining were counted using Image-Pro Plus 6.0 software. Samples were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (L-120; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

**Flow cytometry**

After digestion with trypsin, cells were fixed with 70% ethanol at 4°C for 24 hours. Cells were then incubated with 1 mg/mL RNase A for 30 minutes to 1 hour, followed by propidium iodide treatment for 2 hours in the dark. Then, flow cytometry assays were carried out to detect cell cycle at 480 nm. Samples were processed and screened using a fluorescence-activated cell sorter.

**Western blotting**

Total proteins were extracted from cultured cells of each group with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride in 1 mL ice-cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, and then added to EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail. The protein concentrations were determined by the bicinchoninic acid protein assay. An identical amount of protein (30 µg) from each group was loaded onto a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel and electrophoresed at 100 V. Then, the proteins were transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes at 200 mA for 2 hours at room temperature in a Bio-Rad TransBlot apparatus, followed by blocking of nonspecific binding sites with 5% nonfat milk in Tris-buffered saline/Tween 20 (TBST). Then, each membrane was incubated with IL-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) or IFN-β primary antibodies at 4°C overnight (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), according to product manuals. After being washed with TBST, the membranes were incubated with alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Beijing Zhongshan Biotechnology Co.). Then, the membranes were washed five times with TBST. Protein bands specific to the antibody were developed by 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-phosphate and nitro-blue tetrazolium.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay**

IL-2 and IFN-β quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits were bought from male Science and Technology Industrial Co., LTD (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China), and the ELISAs were performed according to product manuals. Briefly, each well was incubated with primary antibodies of IL-2 and IFN-β at 4°C overnight. The 96-well plate was washed four times with PBS, and then further incubated with 100 µL of substrate solution for 10 minutes at 37°C in the dark. Additionally, stop solution was added. Absorbance was read on a microplate reader at 492 nm. The control wells were incubated in PBS without primary antibodies.

**In vivo murine colon carcinoma model and interventions**

Nude mice were injected with 1×10⁷ Lovo cells, and tumor formation was observed at the tenth day after the administration of Lovo cells. When primary tumors reached 100 mm³ in volume, mice were treated with gene therapy. The tumor volume of five mice was <100 mm³. Treatment was carried out on the rest 25 tumor-transplanted mice which were divided into five groups (N=5/group): Group 1, mice were treated with Dulbeco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium by intratumor injection as control group; Group 2, mice were treated with the complex containing 20 µL liposomes and 8 µg pcDNA3.1-A-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by intratumor injection; Group 3, mice were treated with the complex containing 20 µL liposomes and 8 µg pcDNA3.1-A-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by tail intravenous injection; Group 4, mice were treated with the complex containing 20 µL liposomes and 8 µg pcDNA3.1-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by tail intravenous injection; Group 5, mice were treated with the complex containing 20 µL liposomes and 8 µg pcDNA3.1-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by tail intravenous injection. Animals were kept in individual cages by groups. After 5 days, the mice were injected for a second time, and the size of the tumor was monitored for 14 days. Animals were weighed and recorded. Tumor dimensions were measured with calipers, and the tumor volume was calculated according to the formula: $V=\frac{1}{6}ab^2$, where $a$ represents the length and $b$ represents the width.

**HE staining**

To observe general histological pathology of tumor tissue, HE staining was used. Briefly, tumor tissues were dehydrated by gradient concentration of ethanol, vitrificated by dimethylbenzene, and then embedded in paraffin. Subsequently, 5 µm sections were cut in a microtome. Then, sections were deparaffinized in dimethylbenzene (3×5 minutes) and rehydrated with contiguous 3-minute washes in 100%, 95%, 90%, and 85% ethanol. Then, they were stained
with hematoxylin for 2 minutes, rinsed with tap water for 1 minute, rinsed with 1% hydrochloric acid in 75% ethanol for 20 seconds, rinsed with tap water for 1 minute, stained with eosin for 1 minute, and washed with tap water. The slides were dehydrated with 85%, 95%, and 100% ethanol, followed by vitrification with xylene (3×5 minutes). Then, the slides were cover-slipped with neutral balsam. The images were collected by a conventional light microscope in five visual fields per section at ×100 magnification under a bright-field view.

**Ultrastructure of tumor cells under transmission electron microscope**

The tumor tissues from the nude mice were fixed with 4% glutaraldehyde for 2 hours, and then post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide for 2 hours. After pervaporation dehydration of acetone, tumor tissues were incised into ultrathin slices with thickness of 50–80 nm, and the ultrastructure of tumor cells was observed under the transmission electron microscope.

**Statistical analysis**

All data were obtained from at least three independent experiments and were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Multiple comparisons were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed and graphs were generated with GraphPad Prism v5.0 (GraphPad Prism Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Results**

**The expression of IL-2 and IFN-β fusion gene**

In order to examine the antitumor activity of IL-2 and IFN-β fusion protein, IL-2 and IFN-β fusion gene was inserted into the pEGFP-C1 (Clotech) and pcDNA3.1A. pEGFP-IL-2/IFN-β and pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β were then transfected into Lovo cells. An ~50 kDa band was detected in the cells transfected with pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β using anti-IFN-β antibody, while 15 and 25 kDa bands were detected in cells transfected with pcDNA3.1A-IL-2 and pcDNA3.1A-IFN-β, respectively (Figure 1A). Furthermore, the fusion protein secreted by pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β was detected by ELISA using anti-IFN-β or anti-IL-2 antibody (Figure 1B). Compared with pcDNA3.1A, the expression of IL-2 was significantly increased in pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β (P<0.01) and pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β (P<0.05). As shown in Figure 1C, the GFP exhibited a whole-cell location, whereas pEGFP-IL-2/IFN-β, a fusion protein including IL-2, IFN-β, and GFP, was mainly located in the cytoplasm of Lovo cells.

**Effects of pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β on cell morphology and apoptosis**

As CEA is a well-characterized tumor-associated antigen and expressed in most colon cancer cells, CEA promoter was inserted into the pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β to generate the plasmid pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β. The expression of IL-2/IFN-β fusion protein was under the control of CEA promoter. Then, pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β was transfected into Lovo cells, and the fusion protein was detected by indirect immunofluorescence using IL-2 antibody at 24 (Figure 2A) or 48 hours (Figure 2B) post-transfection. The fusion protein expressed by pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β exhibited the same subcellular distribution as IL-2/IFN-β-GFP which was mainly located in the cytoplasm. However, the fusion protein could hardly be detected in HeLa cells because CEA was not expressed in HeLa cells (data not shown), which suggested that the expression of pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β was regulated by CEA promoter. Interestingly, morphology change was observed in the Lovo cells at 48 hours post-transfection with pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β (Figure 2B). The cells became round with smaller nuclei, implying that apoptosis was induced by IL-2/IFN-β fusion protein.

Furthermore, flow cytometry assays were performed to identify the effects of pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β on apoptosis in Lovo cells (Figure 2C and D). Compared with the pcDNA3.1A control group, an obvious peak for apoptosis (blue) was found in cells transfected with pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β (Figure 2C and D).

**Combined gene therapy attenuates tumor growth**

Nude mouse transplantation tumor model was used to evaluate the antitumor activity of pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β in vivo. Tumor formation was observed in all nude mice injected with 1×10⁶ Lovo cells for ~10 days (Figure 3A and B), suggesting a tumor formation rate of 100%. The tumor size and weight were examined after gene therapy for 14 days. As shown in Figure 3C and D, injection with IL-2/IFN-β expression plasmids led to significant decreases in tumor size and weight as compared with control group (P<0.05). Furthermore, the antitumor effect via tail-vein injection seemed more...
Figure 1. The expression of IL-2 and IFN-β fusion protein in SW480 cells.
Notes: (A) The expression of pcDNA3.1A-IL-2, pcDNA3.1A-IFN-β, and pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β was detected by Western blot analysis in SW480 cells using specific antibodies. (B) ELISA was performed to detect the IL-2/IFN-β fusion protein levels in supernatants of medium. Column: mean (n=3); bar: sD. *P<0.05 vs pcDNA3.1A; **P<0.01 vs pcDNA3.1A. (C) Subcellular distribution of IL-2/IFN-β-GFP and GFP in SW480 cells. Arrows represent the location of GFP. The control GFP was expressed in both the cytoplasm and nucleus, while IL-2/IFN-β-GFP fusion protein was mainly located in the cytoplasm of SW480 cells.
Abbreviations: IL-2, interleukin-2; IFN-β, interferon-β; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 2 (Continued)
Figure 3 The plasmid pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β exhibited significant antitumor activity in nude mice tumor model.

Notes: Nude mouse were injected with (A) DMEM or (B) 1×10⁷ Lovo cells for 10 days. The (C) volume and (D) weight of tumor in each group were evaluated. Group 1, liposomes + pcDNA3.1A by intratumor injection (n=5); Group 2, liposomes + pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by intratumor injection (n=5); Group 3, liposomes + pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by tail intravenous injection (n=5); Group 4, liposomes + pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by intratumor injection (n=5); Group 5, liposomes + pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β plasmid by tail intravenous injection (n=5). Column: mean (n=5); bar: SD. *P<0.05 vs Group 1.

Abbreviations: DMEM, Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium; SD, standard deviation.
obvious than that via intratumor injection, which suggested the obvious targeting effect of CEA promoter. However, there was no significant difference in the antitumor effect between pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β and pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β (P>0.05; Figure 3C and D).

**Combined gene therapy enhances tumor cells apoptosis**

To further investigate the antitumor effect of IL-2/IFN-β fusion protein, flow cytometry assays were carried out to examine the apoptosis of tumor cells in nude mice model (Figure 4A). The results showed that almost no apoptosis cells were detected in the control group, while injection of pcDNA3.1-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β in tumor induced an apoptosis rate of 8%. Moreover, tail-vein injection with pcDNA3.1-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β induced a higher apoptosis rate of 10%.

The ultrastructure of tumor cells from each group was also analyzed using a transmission electron microscope. The control group exhibited big nucleus, evenly distributed nucleus mass, and abundant rough endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria in the cytoplasm. In the nude mice injected with IL-2/IFN-β in tumor, the cells showed typical apoptosis morphological changes, including narrow nuclei, chromatin agglutination, cell cavitation, and the formation of apoptotic bodies (Figure 4B). In addition, the DNA ladder electrophoresis was also performed to examine the apoptosis of tumor cells. The DNA ladder was observed, and DNA in nucleosomes was degraded into multiple 180–200 bp oligonucleotides in the groups injected with pcDNA3.1-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β (Figure 4C).

**Pathological changes of tumor tissue after combined gene therapy**

As shown in Figure 5A, tumor cells were significantly decreased in gene therapy groups via intratumor injection and tail intravenous injection compared with those in control group. Meanwhile, a degenerative change, a significant decrease of mitoses, and a large number of vacuolar cells were observed in tumor tissue injected with IL-2/IFN-β. The HE staining results also indicated that cell pycnosis and cavitation appeared in the tumor tissues in the groups injected with pcDNA3.1-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β in tumor or in tail vein (Figure 5B and C).

---

**Figure 4** Transfection of pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β induced apoptosis in the tumor.

**Notes:** (A) Flow cytometry was carried out to examine the apoptosis of tumor cells in nude mice injected with pcDNA3.1A in tumor (left), pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β in tumor (middle), or pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β via the tail vein (right). (B) Analysis of the ultrastructure of tumor in nude mice injected with pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β in tumor by transmission electron microscope. (C) DNA ladder was detected in the DNA extracted from the tumor of nude mice injected with pcDNA3.1-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β via the tail vein. The "m" means TaKaRa DNA marker.

**Abbreviations:** PI, propidium iodide; FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate.
Discussion

CRC is one of the most aggressive types of cancers and is relatively resistant to chemotherapy.21 Currently, growing evidences have suggested that therapeutic benefits of combinatorial gene therapy are synergistic via activating different immune pathways.22,23 In this study, with the aim to evaluate the antitumor effects of combined IL-2/IFN-β-based gene therapy, we derived a highly potent CEA promoter which was capable of targeting special genes. First of all, an animal model of CRC was induced via injection of Lovo cells to nude mice. Then, the injection of IL-2/IFN-β was found to inhibit the tumor growth and induce cell apoptosis in vivo. These results suggest that IL-2/IFN-β synergistically has a critical role in the anti-CRC treatment.

As we all know, IL-2 and IFN-β both have underlying antitumor effects not only in animal research but also in clinical trials.24,25 Therefore, the strong synergistic effect of the IL-2 and IFN-β was demonstrated, and our results were in accordance with previous studies. In our study, tumor growth was significantly inhibited in combined IL-2/IFN-β gene therapy group relative to that of control group in a murine CRC model. Additionally, no mice in the group that received the combinatorial delivery of IFN-β and IL-2 had died by the end of experiment after Lovo cells implantation. Our results indicate that combined IL-2/IFN-β gene therapy is an effective antitumor strategy, which not only suppresses tumor growth but also prevents tumor-related death. The synergistic effect can be interpreted by some mechanisms. On the one hand, IFN-β inhibits tumor vascularization via reducing the expression level of angiogenic factor.26 On the other hand, IFN can enhance the ability of natural killer (NK) cells killing tumor cells through prompting the maturation and activation of NK cells.14,16 To our knowledge, IL-2 induced IFN production by stimulating cytotoxic T lymphocytes cells and NK cells, which further mediate the activation of monocyte/macrophage and production of tumor necrosis factor. Then, tumor necrosis factor-α induced necrosis of tumor cells via destructing the vasculature of tumors and caused apoptosis.

A body of evidence indicates that it may be effective to induce the apoptotic processes to prevent tumor formation, recurrence, and metastasis.27,28 In the present study, treatment with IL-2/IFN-β following Lovo cells transfection induced a significant apoptosis examined by flow cytometry, DNA ladder electrophoresis, HE staining, and transmission electron microscopy. These results demonstrate that IL-2/IFN-β gene therapy induces the occurrence of apoptosis to further inhibit the tumor growth.

Although gene therapy has made some progress, specific gene delivery is a major shortcoming that limits its use in clinic.29 Fortunately, CEA promoter holds potential to solve this problem. A former study has demonstrated that Cre/loxP system significantly improves the selective expression of specific gene by means of CEA promoter.30 Thus, in the current study, we constructed the CEA as the promoter to examine the anti-CRC efficacy of combinatorial delivery of IFN-β and IL-2. Subsequently, we evaluated CEA promoter activity. In the current study, IL-2/IFN-β-based gene therapy via tail-vein injection resulted in much greater reduction in both tumor size and tumor weight than that via intratumor injection. Moreover, there was no significant difference in the antitumor effect between pcDNA3.1A-IL-2/IFN-β and pcDNA3.1A-CEA-IL-2/IFN-β. This finding can be explained as strict regulation of the specificity of the CEA promoter.

In conclusion, these results suggest that the combination of IL-2/IFN-β exerts strong and synergistic antitumor effects by inducing apoptosis and activating IL-2/IFN-β-related immune responses.31 Also, the fusion gene of IL-2/IFN-β was recombined via linker to increase the transduction efficiency, and CEA promoter could be used to enhance the targeting specificity of IL-2/IFN-β. To a certain degree, our results will provide the theoretical basis of IFN-β and IL-2 combination gene therapy to develop clinic therapy for CRC. However, the efficacy of the combination of IL-2 and IFN-β in CRC treatment should also be investigated in clinic in future.
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