Artificial force enhanced bony formation in defect implanted with calcium sulphate cement
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To improve the osteogenic property of bone repairing materials and to accelerate bone healing are major tasks in bone biomaterials research. The objective of this study was to investigate if the mechanical force could be used to accelerate bone formation in a bony defect in vivo. The calcium sulfate cement was implanted into the left distal femoral epiphyses surgically in 16 rats. The half of rats were subjected to external mechanical force via treadmill exercise, the exercise started at day 7 postoperatively for 30 consecutive days and at a constant speed 8 m·min⁻¹ for 45 min·day⁻¹, while the rest served as a control. The rats were scanned four times longitudinally after surgery using microcomputed tomography and newly formed bone was evaluated. After sacrificing, the femurs had biomechanical test of three-point bending and histological analysis. The results showed that bone healing under mechanical force were better than the control with residual defect areas of 0.64±0.19 mm² and 1.78±0.39 mm² (P<0.001), and the ultimate loads to failure under mechanical force were 69.56±4.74 N, stronger than the control with ultimate loads to failure of 59.17±7.48 N (P=0.039). This suggests that the mechanical force might be used to improve new bone formation and potentially offer a clinical strategy to accelerate bone healing.
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INTRODUCTION
A wide variety of reasons can give rise to bone defects, such as trauma, tumor resection or infection, which has become a challenge in clinical treatment. Filling bone defects not only helps maintain skeletal stability, but also gives the bone a better potential for healing. The clinical demand for excellent bone repairing materials motivated the development of bone biomaterials research in recent years and improving the osteogenic property of bone repairing materials for accelerating bone defect healing is the focus of bone biomaterials research.

Currently, in order to improve the osteogenic property of bone repairing materials, the method of bone tissue engineering through combining osteoconductive carriers together with delivery of osteogenic cells and growth factors has been explored and shows promising results in many studies. However, some failures have also been reported. The efficacy of this engineered method still needs to be further studied and confirmed before clinical application.

It is well known that mechanical force has been proven to play a critical role in bone formation. Bone is a biological structure adapting optimally to withstanding mechanical stress with minimal materials, described as Wolff's law. What's more, mechanical force is a noninvasive and effective means for promoting new bone formation in vivo.

In this study, we demonstrated on a rat femur model that the mechanical force could be used as an external promoter to improve new bone formation in the defect implanted with biodegradable bone repairing material. We hypothesized the mild and transient mechanical force stimulation could accelerate bone repair of the defect compared with no force control. The investigation was performed from microCT analysis, biomechanical evaluation to histological analysis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Animal model
Sixteen 10-week-old female Sprague-Dawley rats were used in the experiment. The rats were acclimatized for 2 weeks in standard cages with regular diet. The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Committee.
Material preparation
The bone repairing material studied was calcium sulphate cement (CSC). The calcium sulphate hemihydrate powder (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) were prepared to 3×3 mm² cylindrical samples, using a liquid to powder ratio of 0.5. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 24 h, and sterilized by gamma irradiation before implantation.

Material implantation
The CSC was implanted into the rats surgically. The rats were anesthetized with 10% chloral hydrate intraperitoneally. A hole (3 mm in diameter and 3 mm in depth) was drilled on the lateral side of the left femur distally underneath the growth plate. After saline irrigation, the holes were carefully dried and implanted with the CSC. Subcutaneous and cutaneous tissues were then closed. Postoperatively, the rats were administered with intramuscular antibiotics for 3 days, had free access to food and water and were monitored daily for any complications or abnormal behaviors.

Mechanical force
The rats were equally divided into a testing group and a control. In the testing group, the mechanical force was applied through a treadmill exercise. The exercise started at day 7 postoperatively for 30 consecutive days and at a constant speed 8 m min⁻¹ for 45 min day⁻¹. In the control, the rats were restricted in the cages of 47×35×20 cm³.

MicroCT imaging
The CSC degradation and new bone formation were monitored longitudinally by a microCT scanner in vivo on seven rats in each group (SkyScan 1176; Bruker-microCT, Kontich, Belgium). The left distal femur of each rat was scanned at four time points (7, 17, 27 and 37 days). Each rat was anesthetized sustainedly with isoflurane and placed inside the scanner. Each leg was stretched to separate it from the body and scanned along with two phantoms and a tube of water. The most distal end of femur and partial proximal end of tibia were located in a scout view to ensure scanning of the test region. The scanning conditions were kept identical for all the tests (18 μm, 65 kV, 380 μA, 1 mm Al filter, 600 ms exposure). Images were then reconstructed; the same coronary plane on each rat was selected for different time points and the defect area was calculated using the system software.

Biomechanical test
Biomechanical characters were evaluated directly at the terminal point of the experiment (37 days) on the above 14 rats after being euthanized. A three-point bending test of the femur was performed using a microcomputer-controlled electrical universal testing machine (HY-1080; Hengyi Instrument, Shanghai, China) at a crosshead speed of 1 mm min⁻¹. The fixture consisted of a loading pin and two supporting pins. The distance between the two supports was 20 mm. The loading pin compressed the middle of the femur shaft until fracture occurred. The load–displacement curve and the ultimate load were recorded. Besides the two groups tested, two additional groups of femurs (n=7) were examined: the intact femurs, and the femurs of defect voids without the CSC.

Histology
The bony structure and CSC remains were examined histologically at the terminal point of the experiment on one rat from each group. After the animals were euthanized, distal femurs were harvested, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, dehydrated using graded ethanol series (70%–100%), cleared in toluene and embedded in resin. New bone formation was identified by hematoxylin–eosin staining.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analysis of the defect area from microCT was performed with independent t-test, and the difference was considered significant if P<0.05. One-way analysis of variance with a significant difference among groups (P<0.05) was used to analyze the biomechanical results. The data were further analyzed by Turkey’s post-hoc multiple comparisons test.

RESULTS
MicroCT imaging
MicroCT reconstruction showed that the new bone formation was enhanced by external mechanical force (Figures 1 and 2). At day 7 when loading started, the difference in the
CSC filled defect area was not significant between two groups: 9.28±0.36 mm² in the mechanical force group and 9.34±0.74 mm² in the control, respectively (P = 0.859). At day 17, the defect area shrank in both the mechanical force (6.50±1.52 mm²) and the control (6.75±1.07 mm²) groups, but the difference was not significant (P = 0.542). At day 27, the mechanical force group showed significant reduction of the defect area (2.25±0.58 mm²) compared with the control group (3.47±0.45 mm²) (P = 0.002). At day 37, the defect area of the mechanical force group (0.64±0.19 mm²) is significantly different with that of the control group (1.78±0.39 mm²) (P < 0.001).

Biomechanical test

The three-point bending test at day 37 illustrated that the mechanical force group with the ultimate load to failure of 69.56±4.74 N was significantly stronger than the control with the ultimate load to failure of 59.17±7.48 N (P = 0.039). However, the femur strength of the mechanical force group is significantly weaker than that of intact femur group (80.46±2.79 N) (P = 0.028) (Figures 3 and 4). In addition, the ultimate loads to failure of the two groups were significantly stronger than the void group (43.38±7.48 N) (P = 0.001).

DISCUSSION

To improve the osteogenic property of bone repairing materials for accelerating bone healing is the focus of bone biomaterial research, which reduces the time of bone healing and allows patients a quicker return to normal activity. It has long been known that bone adapts according to the local mechanical environment and external mechanical force has a positive effect on bone formation.7–10 In this study, we try to investigate the effect of mild mechanical force on the osteogenic property of biodegradable bone repairing material. Our results demonstrated that mechanical force could improve the...
osteogenic property of bone repairing material and enhance bone formation of the defect.

In the field of bone tissue engineering, some methods have been developed and successful to some extent. One of the most extensively studied methods is to combine osteoconductive carriers with bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs). BMPs act locally and therefore must be delivered directly to the site of fracture via a carrier, and the question remains that the release kinetics of BMPs in the fracture site still be unsuccessfully controllable and will be affected by the degradation rate of the carrier. Furthermore, some carriers have been shown unsuitable for transferring BMPs and the excellent carrier is still under searching.

In contrast, the mechanical force stimulation has proven to be safe and effective. The primary advantage of mechanical intervention is the clinical implementation: the mechanical force can be readily applied via external loading devices such as a mechanical vibration system or physical exercises.

In this study, our results show mechanical force could improve the osteogenic property of bone repairing material and enhance bony formation, which is consistent with the previous study. Interestingly, at the early stage (the first 10 days after loading started) of bone healing, the defect area of the mechanical force group is not significantly different from that of the control group, revealing that mechanical force did not play the role of promoting bone formation. One possible explanation is that the defect site was filled with the bone repairing material making no room for new bone to form. But at the rest of experiment, mechanical force obviously promotes bone formation and accelerates bone repair. Although the mechanism by which bone responds to mechanical force was not studied here, substantial biomechanical researches had advanced the understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanism of bone adaptation. The mechanisms for bone adaptation involve a multistep process of cellular mechanotransduction. Firstly, the external mechanical force should convert to local mechanical signals in vivo, such as fluid flow stresses, which initiate a response by sensor cells (predominantly osteocytes and bone lining cells). Secondly, the local mechanical signals transform into biochemical signaling molecules such as the prostaglandins and nitric oxide produced by sensor cells. Thirdly, these signaling molecules must signal to effector cells (osteoblasts or osteoclasts). Nitric oxide and prostaglandin have been shown to be the two main potent factors in bone maintenance and remodeling by inhibiting osteoclast resorptive activity and stimulating the number of osteoblasts. Lastly, appropriate and substantial architectural changes will occur. In addition, bone gain induced by mechanical force may be tightly related to vascular endothelial growth factor-mediated bone angiogenesis and angiogenesis is essential for bone healing. Upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor was detected after 10 days of treadmill exercise, but vascular endothelial growth factor blockade in 5-week trained rats fully prevented the exercise-induced increase in bone formation. Nevertheless, the mechanisms of mechanical force-induced bone formation and remodeling are very complex and profound, which need to be further investigated.

A few critical parameters used in the study deserve in-depth discussion: (i) the timing of applying mechanical force. Application of mechanical force started at day 7. This was because loading immediately after surgery could inhibit bone and soft tissue healing or disrupt early blood vessel ingrowth into the hematoma; (ii) the force magnitude. The treadmill speed for the mechanical force used was 8 m/min, which was identical to the one reported in literature. This speed was at a pace of mild walking of 0.73 Hz; (iii) The use of CSC. The main reason to use CSC was its relatively fast degradation of 4–8 weeks in vivo. This degradation time was similar to the time of murine bone turnover.

The limitations of this study included that biomechanical and histological results were only reported at the terminal point of testing. The study relied primarily on the non-destructive microCT to evaluate the bone formation in the defect. Future biomechanical and histological studies can be done with more samples at different periods of time to gain additional information.

In conclusion, external mechanical force could be used as a promoter to improve the osteogenic property of bone repairing material and enhance bone healing. This suggests that the mechanical force might be used to improve the new bone formation and potentially offer a clinical strategy to accelerate bone healing. More researches are needed to characterize the effect of mechanical force on bone repair and regeneration.
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