BACKGROUND

The inherited diseases X-linked hypophosphataemia (XLH) and osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) are rare systemic disorders, which both affect the skeleton leading to skeletal deformity and fractures, pain, mobility issues and functional limitation. Furthermore, the diseases may also affect dental tissues, oral health and oral health-related well-being.

XLH is characterised by an insufficient mineralisation of the bones and dental tissues due to abnormal renal phosphate wasting.1 It is
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the most predominant of the inherited types of hypophosphataemia caused by mutations in the gene encoding for the phosphate-regulating endopeptidase homolog, X-linked (PHEX, MIM 300550). In children, the disease is called X-linked hypophosphataemic rickets (XLHR, MIM 307800). In Denmark, the prevalence of XLH is 4.8:100 000. The typical clinical features of XLH in growing children are short, disproportionate stature, bone pain and skeletal deformities, for example bowing of weight-bearing extremities and rachitic manifestations as metaphyseal swelling and rachitic rosary. In adulthood, patients often present with short disproportionate stature and skeletal deformities not sufficiently corrected during childhood. Adults with XLH often develop mineralising enthesopathies and osteoarthritis with increasing age, both associated with pain. In addition, patients with XLH may experience dental abnormalities, including spontaneous dental abscesses, where the number of endodontically affected teeth increases with increasing age.

OI is a connective tissue disorder, characterised by bone fragility and an increased risk of low-impact fractures. Short stature, bone deformity, blue sclera, hearing loss and disturbances in the dental development also occur. Skeletal pain and certain physical disabilities are frequent in patients with OI. In most cases caused by defective collagen type 1, a protein constituting an essential part of the connective tissue. In Denmark, the prevalence of OI is estimated to be 11 per 100 000. The most frequently used OI classification includes connective tissue. In growing children short, disproportionate stature, bone pain and skeletal deformities, for example bowing of weight-bearing extremities and rachitic manifestations as metaphyseal swelling and rachitic rosary. In adulthood, patients often present with short disproportionate stature and skeletal deformities not sufficiently corrected during childhood. Adults with XLH often develop mineralising enthesopathies and osteoarthritis with increasing age, both associated with pain. In addition, patients with XLH may experience dental abnormalities, including spontaneous dental abscesses, where the number of endodontically affected teeth increases with increasing age.

The aims of the present study were as follows: (a) to assess OHRQoL in Danish adults with XLH or OI, (b) to make a comparison of OHRQoL between patients with XLH and OI, respectively and patients with mild OI vs moderate/severe OI and (c) to elucidate whether the number of teeth or the number of endodontically affected teeth impact on OHRQoL in the study population.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study population

The present dental study was conducted as a part of two separate cross-sectional studies, each investigating a rare skeletal disorder in adult Danish individuals with XLH or OI. Patients were identified by search in medical files at university hospitals in Denmark, by contact to doctors at regional hospitals, and by contact to patient groups and families with one of these conditions as previously described.

In 49 children and adults, the XLH diagnosis was confirmed and they consented to participate in the main XLH study. Thirty-six adults in this group accepted to participate in the dental part of the study. The 36 adults with XLH (24 females (66.6%)) had a mean age of 41.6 years (SD = 15.8, range 19-74). A detailed description of patient recruitment as well as genetic and biochemical verification of XLH has previously been published.

In 85 adults, the OI diagnosis was confirmed and they consented to participate in the main OI study. A total of 75 of these patients also participated in the dental part of the study (OI type I: n = 56, type III: n = 7 and type IV: n = 12). The 75 adults with OI (40 females (53.3%)), had a mean age of 45.5 years (SD = 14.7, range 20-77). Patients with OI were grouped into mild OI (OI type I) and moderate-severe OI (types III-IV). A detailed description of patient recruitment as well as genetic and clinical verification of OI has been published previously.

2.2 | Study design

The dental parts of the studies were performed at Section of Pediatric Dentistry, Department of Dentistry and Oral Health, Aarhus University, Denmark. Intraoral clinical photographs and panoramic radiographs were obtained as previously described. The total number of teeth in the oral cavity was counted. The number of endodontically affected teeth (teeth with radiographic signs of root-filling or periapical osteolysis or both) were counted as previously described. The presence of individuals with DI was assessed as previously described. In the present study, occlusion was assessed on digital study models or plaster models and from clinical photographs. The presence or absence of mandibular overjet was recorded. A mandibular overjet was defined as a horizontal overjet ≤ 0 mm. During the participants' visit in the department, they were asked to fill out a questionnaire focusing on OHRQoL:
The Danish version of the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP). OHIP is composed of 49 questions grouped into seven domains (functional limitation, physical pain, psychological impact, physical disability, psychological disability, social disability and handicap).

The questionnaire contains questions asking the respondents to score the frequency of specified symptom(s) or experience(s) ranging from ‘never’ to ‘daily’ or ‘always’ (scores 0-4) (Table 1).

The study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and approved by The Regional Scientific Ethical Committee of Southern Denmark (ID: M-2678-05), and by The Central Denmark Region Committees on Health Research Ethics (M-20100108).

2.3 | Statistical analyses

Data were analysed using Stata® 11.0 (StataCorpLP). Descriptive statistics were used to summarise and compare demographic data (age and gender) of the groups in the study population (XLH and OI). Furthermore, a comparison by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test between groups on the total number of teeth and the number of endodontically affected teeth was included. The prevalence of DI and mandibular overjet in the respective groups was compared by the chi-square test.

Overall OHIP score and domain scores for each participant were calculated by summing the response codes (0-4) for the questions. If one or more of the questions in a domain were unanswered, the respective domain score as well as the overall OHIP score were recorded as missing and results were thus excluded from the analyses. The mean additive score of each domain as well as the mean overall OHIP score were calculated and indicated the severity of impact on OHRQoL in the respective domains. In addition, the median additive scores were calculated, as data were not normally distributed. For the OHIP scale as a whole and for each of the seven domains, the number of answers reported as ‘very often’ or ‘fairly often’ (codes 3 or 4), was counted. The mean of these figures indicates the extent of severe impact on OHRQoL in the respective domains.

The median values of OHIP domain and total scores in the respective groups (XLH vs OI; OI mild vs OI moderate-severe) were compared by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Mann-Whitney). For each of the OHIP domains and for the OHIP total, prevalence of severe impact on OHRQoL was calculated and indicated the prevalence of severe impact on OHRQoL in the respective domains.

The association between each of the OHIP domain scores and the total number of teeth present in the oral cavity of the individual or the number of endodontically affected teeth was assessed by Spearman’s rank correlation in the total group of participants (XLH and OI) and in each of the two subgroups (XLH or OI).

The null hypothesis said there is no difference in OHIP domain scores in the comparison between XLH and OI or in the comparison between mild OI and moderate/severe OI. Furthermore, it was hypothesised that neither the total number of teeth present nor the number of endodontically affected teeth impacted on the OHIP domain scores.

Values of $P < .05$ were considered statistically significant.

| Domain                        | Question numbers | Example of question                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Functional limitation (FuLimit) | 1-9              | Have you felt that your sense of taste was worsened because of problems with your teeth, mouth or denture? |
| Physical pain (Pain)          | 10-18            | Have you had toothache?                                                              |
| Psychological discomfort (PsycDisc) | 19-23       | Have you felt uncomfortable about the appearance of your teeth, mouth or denture?     |
| Physical disability (PhysDisa) | 24-32            | Have you been unable to brush your teeth properly due to problems with your teeth, mouth or denture? |
| Psychological disability (PsycDisa) | 33-38        | Have you felt depressed because of problems with your teeth, mouth or dentures?      |
| Social disability (SocDisa)   | 39-43            | Have you had difficulty doing your usual jobs because of problems with your teeth, mouth or dentures? |
| Handicap                      | 44-49            | Have you experienced inability to enjoy the company of other people because of problems with your teeth, mouth or dentures? |

*Questions which only focus on the usage of dentures have been omitted (question no. 9 and question no. 18).
RESULTS

OHIP questionnaire data were obtained from 35 patients with XLH and 73 patients with OI. The mean age was 39.8 years in XLH group (95% CI: 34.2-45.5) and 45.9 years (95% CI: 42.4-49.4) in OI group; the difference in mean age was not statistically significant (P = .0568). The gender ratio (male%/female%) was 31/67 in XLH group and 45/55 in the OI group; the difference in gender ratio was not statistically significant (P = .173). The dental characteristics in terms of the total number of teeth and total number of endodontically affected teeth are shown in Table 2. There was no significant difference in total number of teeth in the XLH group compared with the OI group (P = .915). The number of endodontically affected teeth was higher in the XLH group compared with the OI group (P < .0001). DI was present in two of 55 individuals (4%) with mild OI, in all 17 individuals with moderate-severe OI, and not present in the XLH group (Table 2). In one of the individuals with mild OI, DI was not assessed due to extensive tooth loss. Mandibular overjet was most prevalent in the group with moderate-severe OI and present in only one individual in the XHL group (Table 2).

The OHIP profile in terms of mean values of domain scores in the XLH and OI groups is illustrated in Figure 1. Reference data in Figure 1 are domain mean values in a group of 30 healthy Scandinavian recall patients in an OHIP reliability and validity study by Larsson et al. When comparing the median values in the XLH and OI group, respectively the impact of XLH exceeds the impact of OI in the OHIP total score and in domains on functional limitation, pain, psychological discomfort, psychological disability and handicap (Figure 2). The number of missing values were in the XLH group, which had a total of 35 respondents, one (2.9%) in ‘domain ‘physical disability’, two (5.8%) in ‘psychological disability’, zero in domains ‘psychological disability’ and ‘social disability’, and three (17.6%) in domain ‘handicap’.

The Table S1 shows all data stratified according to type of disorder and gender.

In the total group studied (XLH and OI), the number of teeth in the oral cavity was negatively associated (P < .05) with the domain scores (the higher number of teeth, the lower OHIP scores) except for three domains (pain, social disability and handicap) (Table 3). In each of the two groups (XLH or OI), the number of teeth in the oral cavity was negatively associated (P < .05) with the domain scores except for four domains, three being the same as mentioned above (XLH: pain, psychological disability, social disability and handicap) (OI: physical disability, one (2.9%) in ‘social disability’ and zero in domain ‘handicap’.

The number of missing values were in the OI group, which had a total of 73 respondents, seven (9.6%) in domain ‘functional limitations’, six (8.2%) in domain ‘pain’, three (4.1%) in ‘psychological discomfort’, 17 (23.3%) in domain ‘physical disability’, two (2.7%) in ‘psychological disability’, three (4.1%) in ‘social disability’ and eight (11%) in domain ‘handicap’.

When comparing the median values in mild OI (type I) and moderate-severe OI (types III-IV), the impact of moderate-severe OI significantly exceeds the impact of mild OI in only two domains: physical disability (OI type I: median = 1.0; OI types III-IV: median = 2.5) and handicap (OI type I: median = 0.0; OI types III-IV: median = 2.0). The number of missing values were in the mild OI group, which had a total of 56 respondents, five (8.9%) in domain ‘functional limitations’, three (5.4%) in domains ‘pain’ and ‘psychological discomfort’, 12 (21.4%) in domain ‘physical disability’, two (3.6%) in ‘psychological disability’, three (5.4%) in ‘social disability’ and five (8.9%) in domain ‘handicap’. The number of missing values were in the moderate-severe OI group, which had a total of 17 respondents, two (11.8%) in domain ‘functional limitations’, three (17.6%) in domain ‘pain’, zero in domain ‘psychological discomfort’, five (29.4%) in domain ‘physical disability’, zero in domains ‘psychological disability’ and ‘social disability’, and three (17.6%) in domain ‘handicap’.

Note: Missing data on DI in one patient with OI (one with mild OI).

Missing data on mandibular overjet in seven patients with XLH and four patients with OI (one with mild OI, three with moderate/severe OI).

The number of patients with the specified diagnosis (DI or mandibular overjet) and the percentages of the total patient number (excl. patients with missing data) in each group.

*P-value < .05 in the comparison of medians by the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (XLH vs OI and OI mild vs OI severe).

**P-value < .05 in the comparison of prevalence by the chi-square test (XLH vs OI and OI mild vs OI severe).
pain, psychological discomfort, social disability and handicap. In the total group (XLH and OI), the number of endodontically affected teeth was positively associated \((P < .05)\) with the domain score in all domains (the higher number of endodontically affected teeth, the higher OHIP scores) (Table 3). The number of respondents \((N)\) in the respective domains varied because of varying numbers of missing domain scores, the range of \(N\) being 67-104. An assessment in each of the two groups (XLH or OI), showed a significant association between the number of endodontically affected teeth and domain score in all domains except one in each group (XLH: pain; OI: physical disability). There was no significant association between gender and the level of domain score in any of the domains.

The prevalence of severe impact on OHRQoL in the XLH group significantly exceeded the prevalence in the OI group in four of the seven domains (Table 4). According to the logistic regression analysis, only the domain, psychological discomfort was significantly influenced by gender with males being most severely affected. Age did not significantly influence the results, and no significant interactions between group (XLH or OI) and gender or between group and age were found (Table 4). No significant differences were found in the comparison between the two OI subgroups.

### DISCUSSION

According to the present cross-sectional study, adults with either XLH or OI experienced an impact on their OHRQoL; XLH had the most severe impact. When comparing individuals with mild OI and
moderate-severe OI, the moderate-severely affected individuals experienced a slightly higher impact on their OHRQoL.

Both XLH and OI are characterised by skeletal dysplasia, and patients in both groups experience skeletal symptoms and limitations in their physical abilities. However, the disorders have different aetiology and pathophysiology. XLH is characterised by disturbances in the phosphate homeostasis causing a defect mineralisation of bone and teeth, and OI being characterised by structural abnormalities of collagen, the major organic part of the bone. XLH and OI differ in impact on the dentition and craniofacial structures. OI may include various degrees of dentinal dysplasia, DI, characterised by discoloration, pulp obliteration and an increased risk of dental fractures. However, DI is mainly associated with moderate-severe OI, which is in accordance with the results in the present cohort, fractures. However, DI is mainly associated with moderate-severe OI, which is in accordance with the results in the present cohort.

| OI   | No. score 3-4 (%)a | XHL | No. score 3-4 (%)a | OR (95% CI) |
|------|-------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------|
| FuncLimit | 66 | 23 (35) | 16 | 34 | 20 (59) |
| Pain | 67 | 16 (24) | 16 | 34 | 16 (47) |
| PsyDiscom | 70 | 14 (20) | 34 | 13 (38) |
| PsychDis | 56 | 7 (13) | 28 | 9 (32) |
| PhysDis | 71 | 5 (7) | 33 | 3 (9) |
| SocDisa | 70 | 1 (1) | 34 | 1 (3) |
| Handicap | 65 | 9 (14) | 35 | 9 (26) |

aNNumber of individuals who have answered all items of the specified domain and group.

bNumber of individuals who have answered ‘very often’ or ‘fairly often’ in one or more items of the specified domain and group.

*Baseline denotes the constant, which is odds ratio (OR) for a female with OI to have scored 3-4 in the specified domain.

P-value < .05 in the comparison between XLH and OI by logistic regression analysing the probability of domain scores 3-4 according to group (XLH or OI), gender and age. (*) P-value = .052.
According to the comparison between the XLH and OI, patients with XLH experienced the highest impact on their OHRQoL in all domains, except for the social domain, which was low in both groups. In the study by Forestier-Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{21} on the overall health-related QoL, it was concluded that health-related QoL was similar in XLH and OI. This discrepancy from the results of the present study on OHRQoL indicates that dental status and oral health have an isolated impact on OQoL and that this finding is more prominent in XLH than in OI. Our study showed that the number of teeth in the oral cavity as well as the number of endodontically-affected teeth might to some extent impact on OHRQoL irrespective of the underlying disease (Table 3). The more teeth, the lower scores in some of the OHIP domains. However, the values of the correlation coefficients are small, and the occurrence of a beta-error in the statistical analyses cannot be excluded. The mean number of teeth did not differ between the two groups (XLH and OI) (Table 2); thus, this is not likely to explain OHRQoL differences between OI and XLH. In contrast, an increasing number of endodontically-affected teeth seemed to be associated with increasing OHIP domain scores, and the endodontically-affected teeth were more prevalent in XLH compared to the OI group (Table 2). It is reasonable to assume that the prevalent endodontic problems experienced by patients with XLH affect OHRQoL. This is supported by a previous study showing an obvious impact on OHRQoL in patients referred for endodontic treatment compared with patients referred for periodontal treatment.\textsuperscript{37}

In our study, prevalence of severe impact on OHRQoL was higher in the XLH group in the domains on functional limitation, pain, psychological discomfort and physical disability compared with the OI group (Table 4). In the OI group, participants with moderate-severe OI had DI characterised by severe discoloration and a high prevalence of mandibular overjet (Table 2), which was not present in the XLH population except for one individual with mandibular overjet (Table 2). Mandibular overjet is indicative of a severe class III malocclusion, and according to a previous study, class III malocclusion has a greater impact on OHRQoL than normal class I occlusion.\textsuperscript{38} However, the number of individuals with mandibular overjet or class III malocclusion in the present OI group was low, which makes comparison to the other class III groups challenging.

Due to the rarity of the disorders, the number of participants was limited. However, the present study population was relatively large compared with previous studies focusing on oral and dental issues in OI or XLH.\textsuperscript{4-6,19,28} However, a risk of selection bias is present as not all Danish patients with the two diseases were enrolled and those not included may be the more mildly affected patient. To avoid too small study groups, the moderate and severe OI types were merged prior to data analysis. This grouping was supported by OI type 1 being characterised by quantitative deviations in type 1 collagen, whereas types 3 and 4 are characterised by qualitative deviations in type 1 collagen.\textsuperscript{9,10,27} The wide range of age in the two groups might be a limitation. Due to the rarity of the diseases, this limitation had to be accepted to ensure a reasonable number of participants in the study. In addition, visual assessments of scatter plots of age vs OHIP domain scores demonstrated an apparent random effect of age on level of OHIP domain scores.

A strength of the present study was that the whole spectrum of patients diagnosed with OI or XLH was enrolled. In contrast, the missing control group is a limitation of the study, but the usage of reference OHIP data from a previous Scandinavian study on OHRQoL\textsuperscript{32} served as a reasonable frame of reference for the OHIP data of the present study groups of OI and XLH.

A complete data set was not obtained for all participants, being a limitation of the study. However, questionnaire data were only totally missing in two participants (from the group with moderate-severe OI). The majority of the missing data were related to single questions not answered by individual persons, which resulted in missing data on the specific domain. The greatest impact of missing data was in the domain ‘physical disability’ and the total OHIP score. However, it was a minor number of missing data and thus unlikely to have influenced our interpretation of the results.

Finally, to improve the OHRQoL in XLH and OI, we recommend a multidisciplinary approach with regular dental examinations to ensure early identification of dental problems allowing early preventative interventions aiming at preserving teeth and preventing endodontic treatments.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Adults with XLH experience a higher negative impact on their OHRQoL than adults with OI. Individuals with moderate-severe OI only to a minor degree experience a higher impact on OHRQoL than individuals with mild OI. The impact in the XLH group seems to be associated with the high number of endodontically affected teeth.
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