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Abstract

Background: Allied health professionals in residential aged care facilities (RACFs) make important contributions to the physical and mental wellbeing of residents. Yet to date, health services research in RACFs has focused almost exclusively on nursing disciplines. This review aims to synthesise the current evidence on allied health services in RACF; specifically, how therapy-based allied health is delivered, what factors impact the quantity delivered, and the impact of services on resident outcomes and care quality.

Methods: Empirical peer-reviewed and grey literature focusing on allied health service delivery in RACFs from the past decade was identified through systematic searches of four databases and over 200 targeted website searches. Information on how allied health delivered, factors impacting service delivery, and impact on resident outcomes were extracted. The quality of included studies was appraised using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) and the AACODS (Authority, Accuracy, Coverage, Objectivity, Date, Significance) checklist.

Results: Twenty-eight unique studies were included in this review; 26 peer-reviewed and two grey literature studies. Sixteen studies discussed occupational therapy and 15 discussed physiotherapy, less commonly studied professional groups included dieticians (n = 9), allied health assistants (n = 9), and social workers (n = 6). Thirteen studies were assigned a 100% quality rating. Levels of allied health service provision were generally low and varied. Five studies examined the association between system level factors and allied health service provision, and seven studies examined facility level factors and service provision. Higher levels of allied health provision or access to allied health services, specifically physiotherapy, occupational therapy, and nutrition, were associated with reduced falls with injury, improved care quality, activities of daily living scores, nutritional status, and meal satisfaction in five studies.

Conclusion: Evidence on how allied health is delivered in RACFs, and its impact on resident health outcomes, is lacking globally. While there are some indications of positive associations between allied health staffing and resident outcomes and experiences, health systems and researchers will need commitment to consistent allied health data collection and health services research funding in the future to accurately determine how allied health is delivered in RACFs and its impact on resident wellbeing.
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Introduction

Residential aged care facilities (RACFs) provide long-term care for people, generally older adults, who are no longer able to live in their own homes. Internationally, these are also known as nursing homes/care homes or...
long-term care facilities. RACFs provide aid with activities of daily living such as showering, meals, and mobility, and provide medical care for chronic conditions.

Appropriate staffing in RACFs is important; research suggests that there is a positive association between nursing staff ratios and resident quality and health outcomes [1–3]. However, many RACFs internationally reportedly operate with low nurse to resident ratios and often rely on personal care workers, who have minimal training requirements, to deliver complex care [4, 5]. Minimum staff ratios and reporting are mandated in some health systems such as in Canada, Germany, and the United States (US) [6]. However, the majority of nations don't set minimum standards [7] and even when set, they may not be enforced or are criticised as still being too low to meet resident needs [8].

Research into staffing in RACFs lags behind research in hospital-based settings, and has been described as limited and of overall poor quality [9]. The research that is available on the aged care workforce and minimum standards set, almost exclusively focuses on the nursing discipline neglecting other important multidisciplinary team members. To ensure that the workforce can provide high-quality care now and in the future research on all professional groups providing care is needed.

Allied health professionals are essential team members in the care of older adults. Allied health is an umbrella term. While there is no unanimously agreed definition, it is often used to describe disciplines that fall outside of medicine and nursing professions and includes physiotherapy, occupational therapy, dietetics, optometry, pharmacy, audiology, radiology, and podiatry. In RACFs allied health professionals can help maintain residents’ independence, prevent falls, manage common symptoms such as swallowing difficulties, chronic pain, and malnutrition, and play an important role in educating the aged care workforce [10–13]. Due to the disparate nature of allied health professions, this review focuses on therapy-based allied health professions—professions that provide care that is not directly pharmacological, technological, or imaging related—for example physiotherapy, occupational therapy, social work, and speech pathology. The division of allied health into therapy and scientific disciplines has been used previously in Australian workforce reports [14].

Exactly how allied healthcare is provided to aged care residents, by whom, and the ideal service levels to maximise positive outcomes for residents is unclear. Allied health is a diverse group of professionals; education, practice, evaluation, oversight, and registration requirements for each professional group varies significantly, even within a single health system [15–17]. Such fragmentation means that data are inconsistently collected and overall data on allied health, when available, are often collected by individual professional groups and organisations [16]. Despite the complexity, synthesising information on allied health in aged care is essential to identifying workforce capacity, inform future workforce planning, and to ensure residents receive high quality care [18, 19]. This review aims to fill this evidence gap by synthesising evidence on i) how therapy-based allied healthcare is delivered in RACFs globally, ii) factors associated with levels of allied health service provision, and iii) resident care quality and health outcomes associated with allied health staffing.

Methods

Protocol

This review followed a registered protocol (CRD42021266141). The study design was informed by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) checklist [20] and the Joanna Briggs Institute Manual for Evidence Synthesis [21].

The registered protocol outlined an aim to review how allied health was delivered during the COVID-19 pandemic. After initial searches and screening, no relevant literature was found. The search strategy and aim were subsequently expanded to cover the last ten years to gain an understanding of how allied health is currently delivered in RACFs, trends, and associated outcomes.

Search strategy

Four databases, Medline, Scopus, CINAHL, and EMBASE, were systematically searched in June 2021. Themes used in the search strategy included “allied health”, “residential aged care”, and “workforce/staffing”. All searches were limited to the last ten years and articles published in English. The search strategy (Additional file 1) was developed in consultation with a medical librarian, was informed by previous reviews in the field, and received feedback from the research team. Additional articles were sought by manually searching the reference lists of included studies, that is citation searching.

The peer-reviewed search strategy themes were applied to search the grey literature. Over 200 targeted searches were conducted in the Google Advanced, government, think tank, allied health, and aged care websites of English-speaking countries (Additional file 2). While searching the grey literature, the first ten articles of each search were screened for potential relevance. Searches were recorded in a purpose-designed Excel spreadsheet.

Following the execution of the search strategies and initial screening, the research team chose to narrow the included allied health professions to therapy-based care as some care, outcomes and trends, arising from services such as radiography and pharmacy, were too diverse to
synthesise with therapy-based care. The articles retrieved were manually screened for therapy-based allied health professional groups.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Peer-reviewed and grey literature articles were included if they were published in the last ten years, investigated therapy-based allied health professionals or allied health assistant service delivery in a RACF, and collected data on how allied healthcare is delivered (i.e., workforce characteristics, frequency, intensity, or access to allied healthcare), factors associated with allied healthcare delivery (e.g., facility size, funding, patient characteristics), or impact of allied healthcare services on resident health or care quality. Articles were excluded if published in another language other than English, if they were reviews or non-empirical studies, or studied a specific clinical intervention.

In this review, therapy-based allied health included chiropractors, dietetics, exercise physiology, music therapy, occupational therapy, osteopathy, physiotherapy, psychology, podiatry, social work, speech pathology, diversional therapists, recreational therapists, lifestyle officers, cultural officers, and related allied health assistants. This definition was modified from local government and peak organisation definitions to be inclusive the terms used to describe therapeutic allied health disciplines internationally [14].

Screening
References, including title and abstracts, were imported into Rayyan [22], a web-based artificial intelligence platform that supports manual screening by scanning and highlighting terms relevant to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Peer-reviewed articles underwent a two-step screening process: title/abstract and full-text screening. As grey literature articles often do not have an abstract available, articles proceeded directly into the full-text screening. Ten percent of articles at each stage were screened by three reviewers (IM, KS, MR) to assess inter-rater reliability and application of the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Inter-rater reliability between reviewers was good [23]; title/abstract screening $k = 0.60$ (95% CI 0.60–0.60), $p < 0.01$, full-text screening $k = 0.67$, (95% CI 0.66–0.68) $p < 0.01$. Conflicts between reviewers and screening uncertainties were discussed at regular team meetings. The remaining 90% of articles were screened by one reviewer (IM).

Data extraction
Data were extracted from included articles using purpose designed Excel spreadsheets tailored to the grey and peer-reviewed literature. Extracted data included, but was not limited to details on author, country, study design, data sources, participants, characteristics of allied health professionals, allied health service delivery, and health or care quality outcomes. Data extraction was completed by one reviewer (IM). The data extraction sheet and extracted data were reviewed by the research team to ensure completeness and quality in data extraction (KS, MR).

Quality appraisal
Peer-reviewed study quality was appraised using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) [24] as it can be used to appraise the multiple study designs. The MMAT results were summarised as a percentage of “yes” judgements in each of the five domains [25].

The quality of grey literature articles was appraised using the AACODS checklist (Authority, Accuracy, Coverage, Objectivity, Date, Significance) [26]. The AACODS checklist was selected in addition to the MMAT tool as it can be used across peer-reviewed and grey literature. AACODS results were summarised as a percentage of “yes” judgements in each of the six domains. Two independent reviewers applied the MMAT and AACODS checklist to each article as appropriate (IM, KS, MR). Conflicts in quality appraisal were discussed and resolved in regular team meetings. Studies of overall poor quality were not excluded from the review due to the limited literature.

Synthesis
Publications arising from the same author group with a similar population and focus were grouped together in unique study groups to minimise overrepresentation of some populations and results. For example, publications related to an Australian RACF workforce census, conducted every four years, were grouped into one unique study group. Hence at times multiple references are provided when referring to one study. All results were narratively synthesised to address the study aims: how allied health is delivered, factors associated with allied health delivery, and associated resident outcomes.

Results
Database searching retrieved 4027 articles (CINAHL: 613, EMBASE: 905, Medline: 681, Scopus: 1828). After removing duplicates, 3065 articles remained. All underwent title/abstract screening and subsequent full-text screening if appropriate. Absence of allied health
professionals and settings other than RACFs were common reasons for exclusion in this process. The grey literature searching and citation searching retrieved 28 and 7 articles respectively. In total, 28 studies were included in the review; 26 peer-reviewed and 2 grey literature unique studies arising from 47 articles (Fig. 1).

Most peer-reviewed studies were conducted in the US \((n=12)\), followed by Canada \((n=6)\), the Netherlands and/or Germany \((n=3)\), and Australia \((n=2)\). One study was conducted in each of the following: Ireland, Norway, South Korea, Sweden, Italy, and the United Kingdom (UK) \((n=3)\). Studies most frequently used questionnaires \((n=13)\) to analyse allied health service delivery in RACFs; administrative data \((n=10)\), patient medical records and registries \((n=3)\), and interviews \((n=1)\) were utilised less for analysing allied healthcare services.

All included grey literature studies were Australian \((n=2)\). One study, a financial report on Australian RACFs, published quarterly, used questionnaires and administrative data while the other, a workforce census conducted every four years, used a questionnaire. Details on methods and definitions of allied health professions included were often missing in these reports.

Occupational therapy \((n=16)\) \([29, 31, 33–37, 39, 43–47, 51, 53, 54, 56–72]\) was the most frequently explored professional group in included studies followed by physiotherapy \((n=15)\) \([29, 31, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41, 43–47, 52–54, 56–72]\), speech pathology \((n=10)\) \([27, 28, 34, 36, 39, 43–45, 47, 53, 56–72]\), nutrition and dietetics \((n=9)\) \([30, 32, 34, 38, 39, 50, 55–72]\), allied health assistants \((n=9)\) \([28, 31, 33, 40, 43–45, 48, 49, 54, 56–72]\), social workers \((n=6)\) \([34, 39, 40, 48, 49, 51, 70–72]\), art therapy, music therapy, and/or diversional therapy \((n=6)\) \([36, 37, 39, 42, 51, 56–72]\), psychologists/mental health professionals \((n=4)\) \([36, 39, 51, 70–72]\), and podiatrists \((n=4)\) \([38, 39, 56–72]\).

Quality appraisal results
Twelve peer-reviewed studies achieved 100% in the MMAT. Common reasons for lower scores were high risk of non-response bias \((n=7)\) \([27, 31, 33–35, 51]\), non-representative samples \((n=5)\) \([31, 33, 35, 39, 51]\), and use of unvalidated measures \((n=2)\) \([28, 51]\). Only one study was assessed to be of poor quality \((\leq 40\%)\) \([51]\).

Grey literature studies generally scored well on the AACODS assessments. One study lost points in the authority and coverage domain due to missing or unknown expertise, authority in the field, and parameters of allied health covered. Quality appraisal results are presented in detail in Additional file 3.
| Study                          | Country    | Description                                                                 | Data source            | Sample                                      | AHPs     | Quality |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Bennett (2019) [27]           | Australia  | Profile SP workforce practices and characteristics                          | Questionnaire          | 145 SP                                      | SP       | 80%     |
| Bern-Klug (2013) [28]         | US         | Detail SW involvement in specific activities in RACFs                       | Questionnaire          | 1071 RACFs                                  | SW, SWA  | 60%     |
| Bhuyan (2017) [29]            | US         | Explore the provision of rehabilitative services and organisational determinants in RACFs | Questionnaire          | 31,134 RACFs                                | PT, OT   | 100%    |
| Bonaccorsi (2015) [30]        | Italy      | Describe processes and outcome indicators of nutritional care in RACFs     | Questionnaire          | 67 RACFs, 2395 residents                    | D        | 80%     |
| Buddingh (2013) [31]          | Canada     | Explore rehabilitation practices and barriers for residents with dementia post hip fracture in RACFs | Questionnaire          | 42 AHPs                                     | PT, OT, AHA | 60%     |
| Burger (2017) [32]            | Germany    | Describe nutritional care practices in RACFs                               | Questionnaire          | 541 RACFs                                   | D        | 100%    |
| Enam (2013) [33]              | US         | Understand OT practice patterns in sleep management in RACFs              | Questionnaire          | 113 OT and OTAs                             | OT, OTA  | 60%     |
| Engh (2021) [34]              | Norway     | Explore management of dysphagia in RACFs                                  | Questionnaire          | 121 RACFs                                   | OT, PT, SP, SW, N | 60%     |
| Giesbracht (2012) [35]        | Canada     | Identify the extent of the need for wheelchair seating assessment in RACFs | Medical records        | 11 RACFs, 263 residents                     | OT       | 60%     |
| Hirdes (2011) [36]            | Canada     | Examine person level clinical data in RACFs                               | Administrative data    | 54 RACFs, 129,168 residents                 | PT, OT, SP, Psych, RT | 100%    |
| Hsu (2016) [37]               | Canada     | Present longitudinal changes in staffing levels in RACFs                  | Administrative data    | 627 RACFs                                   | PT, OT, other therapy/ recreation staff (not specified) | 100%    |
| Hurley (2017) [38]            | Ireland    | Explore current diabetes management in RACFs                              | Questionnaire and interviews | 33 RACFs                                   | D, P     | 80%     |
| Kinley (2014) [39]            | England    | Identify care provided to dying residents in RACFs                        | Medical records        | 38 RACFs, 2444 residents                    | AT, D, MT, PT, SP, SW, OT, P, Psych | 80%     |
| Koenig (2011) [40]            | US         | Explore RACF administrators’ perspectives of SW                            | Interview              | 13 administrators                           | SW, SWA  | 100%    |
| Lee (2011) [41]               | South Korea| Explore factors which impact resident performance in activities of daily living | Administrative data    | 358 RACFs, 14,369 residents                 | PT       | 100%    |
| Liu (2015) [42]               | US         | Describe the use of music therapy by hospice patients                     | Administrative data    | 4804 hospice patients                       | MT       | 100%    |
| Study | Country | Description | Data source | Sample | AHPs | Quality |
|-------|---------|-------------|-------------|--------|------|---------|
| Livingstone (2019, 2020, 2021) [43–45] | US | Examine the impact of AHP staffing on care quality and characterise RACFs associated with staffing patterns | Administrative data | 12,352 RACFs | PT, PTA, OT, OTA, SP | 100% |
| McArthur (2015) [46] | Canada | Describe the proportion- and characteristics of residents receiving AHP services | Administrative data | 87,869 residents | PT, OT | 100% |
| McArthur (2018) [47] | Canada | Examine the impact of policy change on AHP staffing levels, activities of daily living, and care quality in RACFs | Administrative data | 589 RACFs | PT, OT, SP | 100% |
| Roberts (2018, 2017) [48, 49] | US | Understand trends in social service department staffing in RACFs including the impact of rurality | Administrative data | 15,936 RACFs | SW, SWA | 100% |
| Skinnars (2017) [50] | Sweden | Explore indicators associated with nutritional status and satisfaction in RACFs | Questionnaire and national registry | 162 municipalities | D | 80% |
| Stargatt (2017) [51] | Australia | Explore access to psych services in RACFs | Questionnaire | 90 health professionals | SW, OT, Psych, DT | 40% |
| Sterke (2021) [52] | Netherlands | Describe PT practice in RACFs | Questionnaire | 46 PTs | PT | 60% |
| Temkin-Green (2019) [53] | US | Identify trends in therapy provision, particularly high intensity therapy, at end-of-life, and associated RACF characteristics | Administrative data | 647 RACFs; 5591 residents | PT, OT, SP | 100% |
| Tyler (2013) [54] | US | Track staffing trends in RACFs | Administrative data | 14,331 RACFs | PT, AHA, OT | 80% |
| Van Nie-Visser (2011) [55] | Germany, Netherlands | Compare nutritional care, structural indicators, and risk of malnutrition in RACFs between two health systems | Questionnaire | 151 RACFs; 10,771 residents | D | 80% |

**Grey literature studies**

- Stewart Brown (2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021) [56–69]
- Department of Health, Australian Government (2013, 2017, 2020) [70–72]

AHP: Allied health professional, AHA: Allied health assistant, AT: Art therapists, DT: Dieticians, DT: Diversional therapists, EP: Exercise physiologist, MT: Music therapists, N: Nutritionists, OT: Occupational therapists, OTA: Occupational therapy assistants/aides, RT: Podiatry, Psych: Psychologists/mental health services, PTA: Physiotherapy assistants/aides, RT: Recreational therapy, SP: Speech pathologists, SW: Social work, SWA: Social work assistants/unregistered/untrained social workers
How allied health is delivered

Allied health workforce

In Australia, occupational therapists (37%, n = 783), physiotherapists (44%, n = 2874), podiatrists (84%, n = 928) [56, 70, 72], dieticians (81%, n = 787), exercise physiologists (77%, n = 192), psychologists 87%, n = 185), social workers (61%, n = 219), and speech pathologists (86%, n = 692) were commonly employed part-time as subcontractors [72]. Allied health assistants (75%, n = 2238) and diversional therapists (71%; n = 2258) were the only allied health workers that were more commonly employed by facilities in permanent part-time roles, rather than as a subcontractor [72]. In a 2020 report allied health assistants made up 22% (n = 2992) of all allied health positions in Australian RACFs however the number of all allied health workers in the sector has been declining from 2013 [70, 72]. Surveys demographics in Canada, the US, and the Netherlands suggest that the workforce, specifically occupational therapists [31], social service workers [28, 40], and physiotherapists [31, 52, 33], is predominately female [31], holds a university degree [28, 31, 40], and is experienced in aged care [28, 33, 52]. In Canada and the Netherlands, occupational therapists, and physiotherapists were predominately part time [31, 52] while the US occupational therapists and assistants were more likely to be full time (72%, n = 101) [33].

Allied health roles

Information on the roles performed by allied health in RACFs was limited. Mobility was an important aspect of physiotherapy care in Dutch [52] and Canadian [31] studies. Dutch physiotherapists also reported that falls prevention, advice on mobility transfers, and management of pressure ulcers were a common aspect of their role [52]. US social workers reported that common tasks in their role included attending quarterly care plan meetings and providing emotional support to families [28]. One US study found that the scope of occupational therapy practice, specifically sleep interventions, in RACFs is limited by lack of skills, resources, and facility culture [33].

Role substitution was discussed in two studies. In RACFs in Norway swallow assessments were conducted by speech therapists (7.4%), occupational therapists (6.6%), physiotherapists (3.3%), nutritionists (1.6%) and overall were more likely to be conducted by nursing staff (92.6%; n = 121) [34]. An Australian survey found that residents with depression were just as likely to be referred to an occupational therapist as a psychologist [51].

Allied health service delivery

Proportion of residents receiving therapy

Overall, six studies measured the proportion of residents receiving therapy in RACFs (Table 2). Globally, the proportion of residents receiving physiotherapy in RACFs ranged from 5.6% to 56.6% [36, 41, 46, 47] in a seven-day period. Both highest [47] and lowest [36] proportions were reported in Canada across different provinces. Data on the proportion of residents receiving occupational therapy, speech pathology, recreational therapy, and mental health was only available in Canadian studies and ranged from 1–21.9% [36, 47], 0.2–4.4% [36], 9.6–53.1%, and 7.3% respectively [36].

The proportion of residents receiving therapy in specific populations within RACFs was the focus of three studies; two end-of-life specific [39, 53] and one in malnourished residents [55]. In the UK, the proportion of residents receiving therapy in the last six months of life from a single allied health profession ranged from 0.7% for alternative therapy to 12% for physiotherapy services (Table 2) [39]. A US study concluded that the proportion of residents receiving therapy (inclusive of physiotherapy, occupational therapy, and speech pathology) at the end-of-life (last 30 days) increased in the four-year study period from 11.6% to 13.3% (n = 55,691). The proportion of residents receiving ultrahigh therapy, defined as >720 min of therapy a week, also rose from 4.4% to 7.3% at the end-of-life (last 30 days) (n = 55,691), this was particularly concentrated in the last two weeks of life [53]. The proportion of residents who received a visit from a dietician varied. In the Netherlands 36.3% of malnourished residents were seen by a dietician during their admission compared to 9.3% in Germany (Table 2) [55].

Intensity of allied health services

Information on hours per resident per day (HPRD) for specific disciplines was available in three Australian or US-based studies. Where information is available, HPRD was consistently higher in the US (Table 3). The total sum of allied health HPRD was available for Australia and Canada, where Australian residents received 0.03 HPRD more therapy than Canadian residents.

The HPRD of allied health in Australia has increased from 6.6 min in June 2016 [56–69] to 15 min of care per resident per day in 2020 [56]. However, the definition of allied health used to collect data had changed over this period [56–69]. In the US, physiotherapy and occupational therapy HPRD has increased in free standing RACFs but declined in hospital-based facilities [54].
Intensity of allied health service provision was presented as allied health staff ratios in two studies. In a US study a sample of 1071 RACFs on average hired 1 full-time social service employee per 89.3 beds [28]. In one Canadian city, a sample of 11 RACFs, employed 0.68 occupational therapists per 100 residents [35].

Intensity of allied health services was also measured in the number of and/or length of visits in five studies. In South Korea, of those receiving physiotherapy treatment, 5–7 sessions per week was the most common frequency (66.5%; n = 3230) [41]. One Canadian study found that of residents who received physiotherapy, on average they received 49.1 min over 2.9 days (n = 589 RACFs) [47]. Another Canadian study simply reported that occupational therapists saw residents with hip fracture less frequently than physiotherapists, but session times were longer; quantities were not provided [31]. In the US, a large hospice provider provided on average 11.49 music therapy visits to hospice patients in RACFs (n = 2930), totalling 7.5 h, at 38 min each, of care from a music therapist [42]. In the UK residents received between 0–56 visits from an allied health professional in the last six months of life. All allied health professional groups measured on average did not visit residents at end-of-life, expect physiotherapy where residents on average received one visit [39].

### Allied health service availability

Access to or employment of allied health professionals was used to measure allied health service delivery in eight studies. Globally, 37.4–43.9% of RACFs provided a physiotherapy service [29, 34], 40–53.2% provided and occupational therapy service [29, 34], 6.5–89.1% provided a social worker service [34, 49], and nutrition and/or dietetics was accessible in 42.3–88% of RACFs [30, 32]. Information on access to or employment of psychologists [51], diversional therapists [51], speech pathologists [34], and podiatry [38] were only available in individual studies (Table 4).

| Table 2 | Proportion of residents who received allied health over a specified period, grouped by period |
| --- | --- |
| **AHP a** | **Country** | **Sample size (n)** | **Data collection period** | **Proportion of residents who received AHP services (%)** |
| **7 days** |  |  |  |  |
| Physiotherapy | Canada b | 129,168 [36]; 589 c | 2009–2010 [36]; 2013 [47] | 5.6 [36]–56.6 [47] |
| | Korea | 14,369 | 2008 | 22.5 [41] |
| Occupational therapy | Canada b | 129,168 [36]; 589 c | 2009–2010 [36]; 2013 [47] | 1.0 [47]–21.9 [36] |
| Speech pathology | Canada | 129,168 | 2009–2010 | 0.2–4.4 [36] |
| Recreational therapy | Canada | 129,168 | 2009–2010 | 9.6–53.1 [36] |
| **90 days** |  |  |  |  |
| Mental health services d | Canada | 129,168 | 2009–2010 | 0–7.3 [36] |
| **Last 30 days of life** |  |  |  |  |
| Physiotherapy, occupational therapy, and speech pathology | US | 55,691 | 2012–2016 | 13.3 [53] |
| **Last six months of life** |  |  |  |  |
| Physiotherapy | England | 2318 | 2008–2011 | 12 [39] |
| Occupational therapy | England | 2318 | 2008–2011 | 1 [39] |
| Speech pathology | England | 2321 | 2008–2011 | 8 [39] |
| Social work | England | 2320 | 2008–2011 | 9 [39] |
| Dietetics | England | 2319 | 2008–2011 | 12 [39] |
| Podiatry | England | 2319 | 2008–2011 | 22 [39] |
| Alternative/creative therapist | England | 2317 | 2008–2011 | 0.7 [39] |
| Mental health services d | England | 2317 | 2008–2011 | 11 [39] |
| **Entire admission** |  |  |  |  |
| Dietician | Germany | 1305 e | 2008–2009 | 9.3 [55] |
| | Netherlands | 1521 e | 2008–2009 | 36.7 [55] |

---

a AH = Allied health profession  

b Two studies presented values of allied health professional group and country, highest and lowest values of each are provided  
c 589 is the number of RACFs, all other values are resident sample size  
d Professional group not specified; e malnourished residents only
### Table 3  Availability of allied health professions, grouped by profession

| AHP\(^a\) | Type of engagement\(^b\) | Country       | Sample size (number of RACFs) | Data collection period | Proportion of sample size providing or having access to AHP service (%) |
|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Physiotherapy | Employed                | US            | 31,134                        | 2010                   | 43.9 \[^{29}\]                                                        |
|            |                         | Norway        | 91                            | 2020                   | 37.4 \[^{34}\]                                                        |
| Occupational therapy | Employed       | Australia     | 82                            | 2015                   | 43.0 \[^{51}\]                                                        |
|            |                         | US            | 31,134                        | 2010                   | 40.0 \[^{29}\]                                                        |
|            |                         | Norway        | 94                            | 2020                   | 53.2 \[^{34}\]                                                        |
| Speech pathologists | Employed          | Norway        | 78                            | 2020                   | 7.7 \[^{34}\]                                                         |
| Social work | Employed                | US            | 15,936                        | 2009–2012              | 89.1\(^e\) \[^{49}\]                                                  |
|            |                         | Australia     | 82                            | 2015                   | 17 \[^{51}\]                                                          |
|            |                         | Norway        | 77                            | 2020                   | 6.5 \[^{34}\]                                                         |
| Social work assistants | Employed         | US            | 15,936                        | 2009–2012              | 57.2\(^e\) \[^{49}\]                                                  |
| Therapy assistant | Employed             | Canada        | 37                            | 2010                   | 84.0 \[^{31}\]                                                        |
| Nutrition and dietetics | Employed      | Norway        | 79                            | 2020                   | 6.3 \[^{34}\]                                                         |
|            |                         | Germany       | 541                           | 2014                   | 42.3 \[^{32}\]                                                        |
|            |                         | Ireland       | 33                            | 2013                   | 82 \[^{38}\]                                                          |
|            |                         | Sweden        | 1154                          | 2014                   | 75.5 \[^{50}\]                                                        |
|            |                         | Italy         | 67                            | 2012                   | 88 \[^{30}\]                                                          |
| Podiatry   | Access                  | Ireland       | 32                            | 2013                   | 97 \[^{38}\]                                                          |
| Diversional therapy | Employed   | Australia     | 88                            | 2015                   | 73.9 \[^{51}\]                                                        |
| Psychology | Employed                | Australia     | 81\(^d\)                      | 2015                   | 13.6 \[^{51}\]                                                        |

\(^a\) AHP = Allied health profession

\(^b\) Engagement indicates whether the facility employed the specified allied health profession or whether that had access to the profession through internal and/or external staff

\(^c\) Longitudinal measure, all other measures were collected cross-sectionally

\(^d\) Survey respondents may or may not be from individual RACFs

### Table 4  Mean hours per resident day (HPRD) receiving allied health services

|                        | Australia \((2020, n = 331)\) | US \((2013–2016; n = 12,352)\) | Canada \((1996–2011; n = 627)\) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Physiotherapy          | 0.09 \[^{56}\]                 | 0.10 \[^{43–45}\]               |                                 |
| Occupational therapy   | 0.01 \[^{56}\]                 | 0.09 \[^{43–45}\]               |                                 |
| Social work            | 0.041 \[^{48, 49}\]            | 0.10 \[^{43–45}\]               |                                 |
| Physiotherapy assistants| 0.01\(^e\) \[^{56}\]           | 0.10 \[^{43–45}\]               |                                 |
| Occupational therapy assistants | 0.09 \[^{43–45}\] |                                 |                                 |
| Social work assistants  | 0.076 \[^{48, 49}\]            |                                 |                                 |
| Speech pathology       | <0.01 \[^{56}\]                | 0.06 \[^{43–45}\]               |                                 |
| Podiatry               | 0.01 \[^{56}\]                 |                                 |                                 |
| Dietetics              | <0.01 \[^{56}\]                |                                 |                                 |
| Lifestyle officers     | 0.12 \[^{56}\]                 |                                 |                                 |
| Diversional therapists  | 0.01 \[^{56}\]                 |                                 |                                 |
| Other                  | 0.01\(^e\) \[^{56}\]           |                                 |                                 |
| Total                  | 0.25 \[^{56}\]                 | 0.22\(^e\) \[^{37}\]           |                                 |

\(^a\) Specific discipline/s not specified
In Canada, 84% of survey respondents reported that their facility hired a therapy assistant [31]. In the same US study paraprofessional staff were hired to deliver social care in 57% of facilities (Table 4) and were the only source of social care in 11–18% of RACFs [40, 49].

Factors influencing allied health services

System level factors associated with allied health service delivery

A total of five studies examined the association between system level factors and allied health service delivery. One study investigated the impact of funding on allied health service delivery. Canadian funding changes, in a sample of 589 RACFs, decreased the proportion of residents receiving occupational therapy from 2.5 to 1% and physiotherapy from 84.6% to 56.6%, speech pathology remained consistent at 0.3% [47].

Four studies reported the impact of location on allied health services. Physiotherapy service provision was higher in certain provinces in a US and Canadian study [43, 46]. Occupational therapy services were also associated with state in the US [43]. Rurality impacted allied health services in two US-based studies; residents in urban facilities were more likely to receive therapy at end-of-life [53], while rural facilities hired fewer full time equivalent qualified social workers and overall provided fewer HPRD of social service staff [48, 49] (Additional file 4).

Facility level factors associated with allied health service delivery

A total of 7 studies examined the association between facility level factors and allied health service delivery. Four US studies looked at the impact of facility size on allied health service provision. Three studies reported that services provided by physiotherapists, physiotherapy assistants and aides, occupational therapists [29], dieticians, interprofessional nutritional teams [32], and qualified social workers [49] were more likely in larger facilities. Conversely, other studies reported that physiotherapy, occupational therapy, and overall social service staffing was highest is smaller facilities [45, 48]. There was no association between facility size and occupational therapy assistant and aide staffing in one study [44].

The impact of RAC ownership on therapy services was explored in five studies. Studies from the US reported that for-profit facilities provided more physiotherapy and occupational therapy care [29], including high intensity therapy at end-of-life [53], and were more likely to hire allied health assistants to deliver social services (rather than qualified social workers) when compared to non-profit facilities [49]. Conversely two studies, from the US and Canada, reported non-profit providers provided more therapy per resident compared to for-profit [37, 43, 45]. The there was no association between ownership and physiotherapy assistant and occupational therapy aide HPRD in one US study [43, 45].

The impact of Medicare and Medicaid certification, a characteristic specific to US studies, on allied health service delivery was examined in four studies. Physiotherapy, occupational therapy, respective assistants [29, 43], ultra-high therapy at end-of-life [53], and use of interprofessional team to deliver social care [49] was more common in facilities that had a higher proportion of Medicare residents. Medicaid certification was associated with lower numbers of qualified social workers [49]. Medicaid was also associated with lower hours of physiotherapy and occupational therapy staffing in one study [43], but higher service delivery in others [29, 53].

Three US studies reported on overall service utilisation and allied health services. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy services were more likely in facilities which had a high ratio of personal care assistants [29] and in facilities with higher service utilisation [43]. A higher ratio of licensed nurses reduced the likelihood of ultra-high therapy at the end-of-life [53].

Three US studies reported on the association between therapy staffing and occupancy rates. Lower RACF occupancy rates were associated with high physiotherapy and occupational therapy staffing in one study [43, 44] but no association in two others [29, 53].

Two US studies reported on the impact of hospital-based location on allied health HPRD. Hospital-based facilities had higher physiotherapy, occupational therapy, and qualified social worker [43, 44] HPRD but had lower use of paraprofessionals and interprofessional teams to deliver social services [49].

Two US studies reported the impact of case mix on allied health staffing. Higher acuity was associated with higher physiotherapy and occupational therapy staffing [43, 45], but was not associated with social work or social services staffing [49] (Additional file 4).

Resident factors associated with allied health service delivery

Two studies reported on resident characteristics and their association with receiving allied health services. In a Canadian study, physiotherapy services were more likely in younger residents, with a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis, Parkinson’s disease, pneumonia, fracture, self-rated ability to improve and were less likely in residents who were cognitively impaired [46]. In the US, the likelihood of therapy at end-of-life was higher in men and people from minorities [53]. Both studies agreed that therapy
was more likely in residents who recently experienced an acute episode [46, 53] (Additional file 4).

**Association of allied health services with resident outcomes**

**Activities of daily living**

Three studies investigated the relationship between physiotherapy and occupational therapy and performance in activities of daily living, they all suggest a positive association with professional staffing levels (Additional file 5). A Korean study found that more physiotherapy at baseline was associated with having improved activities of daily living scores at six months follow-up [41]. A Canadian study found that facilities that had a high proportion of residents not receiving physiotherapy scored poorer in activities of daily living measures and that higher intensity of physiotherapy may prevent a decline in these measures [47]. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy staff HPRD were associated with improvements in activities of daily living. A one-hour increase in physiotherapy and occupational therapy staffing improved activities of daily living measures by 2.9 and 3.7 points respectively [44, 45]. There was no association between activities of daily living and physiotherapy and occupational therapy assistants or aides [44, 45].

**Falls**

Two studies investigated the impact of physiotherapy and occupational therapy on falls measures (Additional file 5). A Canadian study found that, while a higher intensity of physiotherapy visits did not improve the proportion of residents who fell in the last 30 days, facilities that provided fewer physiotherapy visits had more falls [47].

In a US study, for every HPRD of physiotherapy and occupational therapy a falls measure (the percentage of residents experiencing one or more falls with major injury) declined by 1.1 and 0.8 percentage points respectively [44, 45]. However, higher HPRD of physiotherapy assistants were associated with an increase in the same falls measure. There was no association between physiotherapy aides and occupational assistant or aide HPRD and falls [44, 45].

**Care quality**

Two studies investigated the association between physiotherapy and occupational therapy, and measures of care quality. Both found an association with professional staffing levels; assistant staffing levels had no impact (Additional file 5). An increase of one occupational therapist to 100 residents in a Canadian study reduced the probability of wheelchair seating issues by 90% [35]. High occupational therapy and physiotherapy HPRD in the US were associated with increased likelihood of obtaining five stars in the Five Star Quality Rating System. Specifically, a one unit increase in occupational therapy and physiotherapy HPRD resulted in three- and two-times greater likelihood of achieving four or five stars in the quality measure respectively [44, 45]. However, occupational therapy and physiotherapy assistant or aide HPRD was not associated with care quality measures [44, 45].

**Nutrition**

One Swedish study investigated the association between allied health service delivery and nutrition-related outcomes. Having a food service dietitian or clinical/community dietitian was associated with high resident meal satisfaction, using an unvalidated questionnaire, and the availability of a clinical/community dietitian increased the likelihood of residents being well nourished (Additional file 5) [50].

**Discussion**

This review found that allied health service delivery in RACFs and the measures used to quantify use and impact varied significantly within and between health systems. Overall, it is unclear how allied health services are delivered in RACFs in any one health system. Additionally, factors associated with allied health service delivery in RACFs, such as profit and non-profit facilities, often showed contradicting associations across studies, limiting the generalisability of results. But the limited evidence available, suggests that allied health professional services may improve care quality, activities of daily living, falls, and nutrition [44, 45, 47, 50].

Overall allied health service provision is low, highly varied, and may not meet international recommendations. For example, total allied health service provision ranged from 15 min per resident per day in Australia [56, 73] to 13.2 min in Canada [37]. This service provision may be higher than other countries which do not report overall figures, such as Korea which only provides physiotherapy to 22.5% of residents [41]. Allied health staffing is also highly dependent on individual and facility factors; as there is limited evidence on specific intensities and skill mix on allied health services it is likely that individual facilities simply tailor services according to available funding and/or local directives, which can vary significantly based on factors such as size, locality, profit status, and certification. With such significant system variation, and often low levels of service, it seems unlikely that allied health services consistently meet broader international exercise or therapy targets, such as the European recommendation of twice week exercise programs lasting 35–45 min each [74] or Ontario’s more ambitious target of 36 min of allied health service delivery per resident per day [75]. Further research and policy work is urgently
needed to determine the optimal levels and mix of therapy in RACFs, and incentives and models of care to consistently support its delivery.

Mandatory registration of allied health professions has previously been suggested as a solution to address inconsistent and limited data [19, 76]. However, practically, static measures of how many professionals are employed by facilities (e.g., Table 4) or are registered in a health system are not meaningful measures of service delivery; they do not quantify the amount of face-to-face care and depict allied healthcare delivery in rigid professional silos whereas in practice roles are substituted and care is delivered in teams. For example, in this review, swallow assessments were performed by speech pathologists, nurses, occupational therapists, and physiotherapists [34]; and mental healthcare was provided by a range of people including psychologists, occupational therapists, and even pastoral care [51]. RACFs in studies in this review also employed people ranging from tertiary educated and registered professionals to those without a formal education [28, 40], to allied health assistants without supervision [49], volunteers [29], and students [70] to deliver allied health services. To meaningfully quantify allied health services, all facilities should, as a minimum standard, record the volume of direct care provided by all staff.

In this review we found professional therapy staffing improved falls, independence, meal satisfaction, and care quality [41, 44, 45, 50]. While this is promising, these five studies—all with diverse outcome measures—do not provide a strong evidence-base to justify an increase in allied health staffing in RACFs. Significant further commitment to research on the impact of allied health services and appropriate future quality indicators is required [19]. As consistent national data on allied health services often does not exist, current opportunities for this research include consumer-research-provider partnerships and the use of employment data and electronic medical records. Further research should explore appropriate consumer-focused measures of allied health service delivery and outcomes in RACFs.

Limitations

Some relevant articles may have been missed in the search strategy. Many articles found during citation searching did not mention allied health services in the keywords, title, or abstract, rather only occasionally in the full text. To account for this extensive time was spent hand searching aged care staffing literature.

All included grey literature arose from Australia. It may be that search engines used prioritised local literature. To address this, extra time was spent searching international government websites however all relevant international literature fell outside the included date range.

Quality appraisal scores were not used to limit included articles as the available body of literature was small. However, only one study had an overall rating of poor quality, and we do not believe including this study unduly influences our conclusions. Furthermore, we have pointed out the poor-quality study when presenting our results.

Conclusion

This review demonstrates that allied health service delivery is inconsistent within and between health systems; service delivery is impacted by nearly every variable studied—including funding, facility size, rurality, service utilisation, health status—and overall, is data poor. Positively, the few studies that studied outcomes found largely positive associations in independence, falls, care quality, and nutrition outcomes with higher allied health provision levels. To improve care quality in RACFs health systems should make efforts to collect data on allied health professions and increase allied health service research practices.
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