Prokinetic meranzin hydrate from Chaihu-Shugan-San improves depression-like behaviors and hypomotility in rats via ghrelin and neurocircuitry
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Abstract

Background

Depression and functional dyspepsia (FD) are characterized by comorbidity, overlapping depression, and nausea. The pathogenesis of depression and FD is mediated by α2-adrenoreceptor and/or ghrelin. Antidepressant (A) or prokinetic (P) agents are numerous, but few have been investigated in this context. Ancient Gan-zhu-shu-xie (GZSX), whose representative traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) is Chaihu-Shugan-San (CSS), may exert antidepressant effects with prokinetic meranzin hydr-ate (MH) via α2-adrenoreceptors in the acute forced swimming (FS) test in rats. Therefore, the main aim of the study is to investigate the acute antidepressant and prokinetic effects of CSS and MH after acutely FS on rats, and its possible mechanism.

Methods

FS rats were treated with CSS, MH, fluoxetine, ghrelin antagonist [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6, and take a series of behavior tests and gastrointestinal motility tests, and via 7.0 T fMRI-BOLD signal, compared with well-known mechanism of positive control.

Results

MH has similar effects to CSS-stimulated deactivation when compared to those of fluoxetine (4.02-fold for hippocampus and 1.45-fold for thalamus). The ghrelin antagonist [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 synchronously inhibited A&P and BOLD HTB foci. Prokinetic mosapride had effects on the thalamus and basal ganglia but not the hippocampus. Within the HTB, the hippocampus is implicated in depression and FD.

Conclusion

These data show that on acute FS-stimulated DB&H, MH-induced rapid A&P, and ghrelin-related regulation coupled to BOLD signals in brain areas before, providing insight into a unified theory of depression pathogenesis and pharmacotherapy.

Background

Depression is a major cause of suicide and disability worldwide [1]. Functional dyspepsia (FD, a functional gastrointestinal disorder including especially upper abdominal fullness and nausea etc) significantly reduces quality of life in 5–40% of the global population [2]. The comorbid incidence of depression and FD (CDF) is 54.6–99.0% [3–5], and overlapping symptoms include depressed mood and nausea [3–5], similar to the dysfunction of Gan-zhu-shu-xie (GZSX) which implicated in mood and digestion etc recorded 2,200 years ago [6]. These conditions impact and aggravate each other via the brain-gut axis [7]. However, the one-disease-one-target-one-drug [8–10] dogma only targets half of CDF, posing an unique challenge for treatment [9, 11–13]. Although the brain-gut axis [2–7, 12–14] encompasses comorbidity and challenges prevailing dogma, this concept has not integrated any antidepressant or prokinetic strategies, the underpinning neural circuits remain unclear [9, 12–13, 15–28]. Tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) and mirtazapine have prokinetic clinical applications [30], but TCAs have only been used in FD with ignoring their original antidepressants activity [31].

Antidepressant strategies [32–33] have overlooked the peripheral gut which implicates the brain-gut pathway. Currently, therapeutic strategies for nausea are lacking [4]. Patients with nausea are administered oral selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which inhibit gastrointestinal (GI) motor activities via the serotonin 2c-receptor (SHT2cR) [34], but these are often discontinued [9]. Few antidepressants among 50 new therapies are superior to SSRIs. Ketamine has failed due to side effects of dissociation and nausea in clinical trials [9, 35–37], although it exerts rapid antidepressant effects within hours via glutamatergic signaling [38] and blocking burst firing of neurons [39]. Prokinetic metoclopramide may cause depressive symptoms [40]. All current unified conceptualizations of depression are incomplete, but involve norepinephrine, neurotrophic factors, circadian rhythms, glutamate, gamma-aminobutyricacid (GABA), serotonin, and dopamine systems [11].

Unlike pre-defined unified neural architecture [32] using the above paradigms, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) can capture the full map [8] of brain circuits underlying depression and FD. Blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal provides a robust and quantitative readout of depression and FD in the brain-gut pathway via neurovascular coupling [41]. To date, 19 circuits identified using BOLD have been implicated in depression or delayed gastric emptying including circuits involving the hippocampus, thalamus, and prefrontal cortex (PFC) [17–29]. Acute stress has typically been overlooked. Indeed, even asingle stressor can induce neuropsychiatric disorders or contribute towards psychopathology [42]. Acute stress is a determinant of pro-adaptive tomaladaptive trajectory of stress responses and can be used to study mechanisms of fast-acting antidepressants [42]. Acute forced swimming (FS) as a stressor can reveal neubiological mechanisms underscoring antidepressant action in a rapid, low-cost, and reliable manner [42]. Immobility time and sucrosepreference are considered measures of depression [42]. The hippocampus-thalamus-basal ganglia (HTB) circuitry has been implicated in depression and FD in different studies. No single locus of HTB fully reflects overlapping substrates of depression, FD, or their comorbidity [17–29, 43]. HTB regulates memory, reward, learning, and decision-making; these processes are related to depression and mediate intestinal transit, delayed gastric emptying, and gastric distention related to FD when the stomach is stimulated by agents or mechanical injury [12, 20, 22, 23, 25, 29, 44–45].

Ancient GZSX may be a clue to divulging substrates underlying brain-gut disorder. Disorders of GZSX are analogous to comorbidities of depression and FD in terms of symptoms. CSS effectively treats above comorbidities. Dualistic properties of GZSX and HTB in separate conditions have certain similarities. BOLD foci are spatially precise [46]. Sensory transduction of nutrient information from the gut to brain is precise [47]. GZSX may provide insight into FS-
induced DB&H, CSS-induced A&P [6, 48], which have α2-adrenoreceptor (α2-AR) as a common denominator between the two [49]. Similar to the effects of ketamine, the rapid antidepressant effects induced by MH are mediated via AMPA-ERK1/2-BDNF pathway [48–49]; these drugs have opposing roles in gut motor function [50]. Compared to brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), reactive oxygen species (ROS), and α2-AR, ghrelin has been reported to have a strong influence on DB&H. We have also observed acute FS responses to ghrelin antagonists and ghrelin gene knockout (KO) mice [51], highlighting the role of ghrelin signaling in modulating brain and gut disorders [6, 48].

Here, we aimed to assess whether ghrelin could affect DB&H [6, 48] following acute FS and rapid A&P by MH and CSS. Further, we aimed to elucidate the unified neurocircuitry of depression and FD based on brain activation indicated by BOLD signal.

Materials And Methods

Preparation of CSS extract

CSS consisted of seven medicinal plants (Radix Bupleuri, Dried Tangerine Peel, Fructus Aurantii, Rhizoma Ligustici Chuanxiong, Rhizoma Cyperi, Radix Paeoniae Alba, Liquorice Root) purchased from Xiangya Hospital of Central South University and identified by Dr. Xi Huang of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine. First, 750 g of CSS was weighed according to the ratio (4:3:4:3:4:3:4:4) of the aforementioned herbs. A volume of 7,500 mL distilled water was added, and contents were soaked for 30 minutes. After soaking, contents were brought to a boil and continuously heated for 40 minutes, after which they were filtered with gauze. Decoctions were collected twice and lyophilized to obtain the powdered form of CSS, stored at 4°C until use. The yield of lyophilized powder of CSS was about 20.5% (w/w). In additionally, the lyophilized powder was evenly dispersed in distilled water at dosage of CSS (30 g/kg) before the experiment.

Drugs and reagents

All reagents used in this research were purchased as follows: meranzin hydrate (MH) (Di·ao Co. Ltd., Chengdu, China); ghrelin, [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 (Ji·er Biological Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China); fluoxetine (Sigma Aldridge Co. Ltd., America); mosapride (Jiangsu Haosen Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., Lianyungang, China), isoflurane (Ruixode Technology Co. Ltd., WuXi, China); and dexamethasone (Yuanye Technology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China). Albilflorin, naringin, hesperidin, and licorice are purchased from Changsha kanglong biological products Co. LTD. (Changsha, China). Ferulic acid, paeoniflorin, liquiritin, ammonium glycyrhizinate, a-cyprone and glycyrrhetinic acid are purchased from National Institute for Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China), meranz hydrate purchased from Di·ao Co. Ltd. (Chengdu, China). Neoheparin, isoliquiritigenin purchased from Chengdu sikehua biological Co. LTD (Chengdu, China). The purity of all reference compounds was > 98%.

Animals

Adult male Sprague Dawley rats weighing 180–200 g were obtained from Jiangning Qinglongshan Animal Cultivation Farm (Nanjing, China). Wild-type and GHSR KO male mice were purchased from Shanghai Model Animal Center. Rats were group-housed two per cage, and mice were group-housed four per cage in standard laboratory conditions (temperature, 23 ± 1°C; humidity, 50% ± 10%), under a 12-h light-dark cycle (lights on from 7 a.m.) with ad libitum access to water and food. All studies on animals were approved and conducted according to the institutional guidelines of the Animal Care and Use Committee at Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine. All animals were acclimatized 7 days before experimentation.

Grouping and drug administration

The rats were divided into seven groups (8 per group) in behavioral test: the sham group, vehi group, MH group (MH, 9.18 g/kg), CSS group (CSS, 30 g/kg), fluoxetine group (Flou, 20 mg/kg), MH + Dlys group (MH, 9.18 g/kg+[D-Lys3]-GHRP-6, 0.5 mg/kg) and Dlys ([D-Lys3]-GHRP-6, 0.5 mg/kg) group. The rats were divided into eight groups (8 per group) in GI motility test: the sham group, vehi group, MH group, CSS group, mosapride (Mosa, 10 mg/kg), fluoxetine group, MH + Dlys group and Dlys group. The wild-type and GHSR KO mice were divided into four groups in behavioral test: WT group, MH group (MH, 9.18 g/kg), GHSR KO group, and MH + GHSR group (MH, 9.18 g/kg). The rats were divided into three groups in western blot analysis: the vehi group, MH group, and MH + Dlys group. The rats were divided into eight groups (8 per group) in fMRI: the sham group, vehi group, MH group, mosapride, fluoxetine group and MH + Dlys group.

Except the sham group, all animals were forced to swim 15 min to establish a comorbidity model for inducing depressive-like behaviors and hypomotility. Vehicle, Sham, WT and GHSR group refer to 0.9% saline (10 mL/kg).

Determination of 12 (ABCs) in CSS

Chromatographic conditions: use the ACQUITY BEH C18 as the column; Mobile phase:acetonitrile (A) and 0.5% acetic acid water (B); Gradient elution: 5%A: 95%B 10 min 15%A: 85%B 20 min 30%A: 70%B 30 min 50%A: 50%B 40 min 70%A: 30%B 45 min. On the PRD; Velocity: 0.5 ml/min, column temperature: 40°C; Detection wavelength: 190–480 nm; Injection quantity: 3 μl.

Quantification of MH in SD rats' hippocampal and intestinal by UPLC

Selective depletion of meranzin hydrate from CSS. A hippocampal and intestinalsample of a rat with depression taken 30 min after oral administration of 30.0 g/kg CSS. MH were detected by UPLC (Waters Acquity UPLC system, Waters Micro mass Quattro Premier).

Behavioral test

Forced swimming test (FST)
Animals were placed in a cylinder (20 cm in diameter and 46 cm in height for rats; 10 cm in diameter and 25 cm in height for mice) containing 30 cm of water (23–25°C). Twenty-four hours before testing, animals were individually placed into the cylinder for 15 min FS. Test sessions (5 min in duration) were recorded with a videocamera. Periods of passive floating in the water and exerting minimal activity except for respiration were scored as immobility time [52–53].

**Open field test (OFT)**

The size of the open box was 50 × 50 × 40 cm, which was divided into 16 grids. Rats were placed in the center of the open box. Behavior was recorded for 10 minutes using a video camera 24 h following acute stress [53].

**Tail suspension test (TST)**

Tape was used to suspend mice in a hanging box (25 × 35 × 60 cm). The entire 6-min session was recorded using a video camera, and immobility was analyzed. The mobility bouts of front limbs with momentum-induced oscillations and pendulum swinging were not regarded as mobility [53].

**In vivo measurements of GI motility**

The scheme was designed according to previous reports [54–55]. First, CSS, MH, fluoxetine, saline, or mosapride was administered; 30 min later, animals were gavaged with 1.5 mL Evans blue (50 mg/mL in 0.9% NaCl with 0.5% methylcellulose [Sigma Chemical Co. St. Louis, USA]). Gastric emptying (GE) rates and intestinal transit (INT) were measured [55].

**Western blot analysis**

Animals were placed in a cylinder (20 cm in diameter and 46 cm in height for rats; 10 cm in diameter and 25 cm in height for mice) containing 30 cm of water (23–25°C) for 15 min FS. After 30 min, MH or MH + Dlys was administered. Animals were anesthetized 30 min later, and the hippocampus was removed.

The whole hippocampus was lysed in RIPA buffer containing protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitors. Protein concentration was determined colorimetrically by BCA assay (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Protein lysates were separated by 15% and 8% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and were transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes. After blocking with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h, the membranes were incubated with anti-BDNF (SANTAN, 1:200), anti-PMTOR (CST, 1:1000) and anti-beta-actin (Proteintech, 1:4000) antibodies at normal atmospheric temperature for 6 h followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 h. Then, the blots were visualized using the SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.). BDNF and PMTOR were normalized to beta-actin bands.

**MRI acquisition and analysis**

Rats were positioned in the scanner in a prone position after stress. Rectal temperature was maintained at 37.5°C with a temperature-controlled water blanket placed beneath the rats. Respiratory rate was monitored at 60–80 breaths/min continuously throughout the entire experiment using an MRI-compatible pulse oximeter. Head position was stabilized with a bite bar and two rods located on opposite sides of the temporal surface of the head. Rats were anesthetized using inhaled isoflurane (3% for induction and 1.5–2% for maintenance). Intraperitoneal injection of dexmedetomidine was performed 3 minutes later.

A 7.0 T animal MRI scanner at the Department of Neurology, Affiliated ZhongDa Hospital of Southeast University (PharmaScan, Bruker Biospin GmbH, Germany) with a quadrature surface RF coil was used to collect MRI data. Twenty-seven anatomic images which extended anteriorly from the cerebral-olfactory bulb to the caudal region of the cerebellum posteriorly were obtained via a turbo-rapid acquisition relaxation enhancement (RARE) T2-weighted sequence (repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE) = 3200/36 ms, slices = 27, field of view (FOV) = 2.5 × 2.5 cm, number of averages = 1, matrix = 384 × 384, slice thickness/gap = 1/0 mm, flip angle = 90°). A single-shot gradient-echo echo-planar-imaging (GE-EPI) sequence was utilized to acquire BOLD measurements and multiple slices of images. The parameters were: TR/TE = 2000/19 ms, FOV = 2.5 × 2.5 cm, slices = 27, matrix = 96 × 96, number of averages = 1, flip angle = 90°, slice thickness/gap = 1/0 mm, 100 volumes.

SpmratIHEP toolbox [56–58] of the statistical parametric mapping (SPM8) software (Welcome Department of Imaging Science; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) was employed to preprocessing and data analysis. The SPM8 software comprises an fMRI rat brain template and atlas in Paxinos & Watson space.

The main steps for preprocessing in spmrat IHEP are as follows. In order to compass magnetization equilibrium, first ten volumes of each individual were discarded. Slice timing was used to revise the differences of slice acquisition times of each individual. The temporal processed volumes of each subject were realigned to the first volume to remove the head motion, and a mean image was created over the 310 realigned volumes. All participants had less than 5 minutes of additional head motion.

Individual regional homogeneity (ReHo) maps were generated by calculating Kendall's coefficient of concordance of the time series of a given voxel with those of its nearest neighbor (26 voxels). Then, all ReHo maps were smoothed with an isotropic Gaussian kernel of 2mm3 FWHM. Then all the calculated ReHo maps were analyzed within SPM8 based on the framework of the general linear model. To identify the difference in ReHo between the patients and controls, ReHo maps of the patients and controls were entered into a general linear model with ReHo as the dependent variable and group (patients vs. controls) as the independent variable.
the healthy controls, the two-sample t-test was performed using SPM8. Brain regions with significant ReHo changes in patients were identified based on a voxel-level height threshold of P < 0.005 (uncorrected) and a cluster-extent threshold of 10 voxels.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software, version 25.0 (IBM SPSS, China) and values were presented as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.). The results were considered statistically significant if P < 0.05. ANOVA was applied by the LSD testing as post hoc analysis for further examination of group differences. The T-test was applied to the comparison between the two groups.

Results

The contents (mg/g) of 12 (ABCs) in CSS

According to the UPLC method used, the contents (mg/g) of 12 (ABCs) in CSS were as follows: albonin, 559.9 ± 4.35; ferulic acid, 113.3 ± 0.22; paeoniflorin, 276.9 ± 1.02; liquiritin, 883.9 ± 5.15; naringin, 5893.6 ± 24.9; hesperidin, 1606.5 ± 8.44; meratix hydrate, 180 ± 0.62; nesopressin, 2934.2 ± 26.1; isoliquiritigenin, 6.46 ± 0.1; ammonium glycyrrhizinate, 209.6 ± 2.46; acyprone, 97.2 ± 0.32; glycyrhethinic acid, 46.4 ± 0.38. The overall intra-day and inter-day variations were less than 10% for all 12 analytes. These results demonstrated that the developed method was reproducible with good precision. The accuracy tests were carried out using a recovery test. Recovery of all 12 tested bioactive compounds were > 90%.

Quantification of MH in SD rats’ hippocampal and intestinal by UPLC

MH was determined in healthy SD rats’ hippocampus and intestine after administrated the CSS (Fig. 1.E-F), explain that the MH could be absorbed by the healthy SD rats’ hippocampus and intestine.

Behavioral tests results

To validated the effect of CSS and MH on behavior performance of depression-like rat, FST and OFT were conducted. Compared to vehicle (9174.43 ± 40.36), MH9.18 (124.57 ± 26.61), CCS (125.63 ± 6.781), and fluoxetine (123.11 ± 29.49) induced similar decreases in immobility time (IT) (Fig. 1.H), [F(4,35) = 7.069, P = 0.000]. Computed to vehicle (91.75 ± 8.07), MH9.18 (140.63 ± 29.66), CCS (113.00 ± 4.209) increases in the number of crossing (CN) (Fig. 1.G), [F(4,35) = 7.089, P = 0.00273]. The effect of MH (124 ± 26.61) on IT was inhibited by [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 (160.45 ± 26.99) (P < 0.05). (Fig. 2.A-B), [F(2,21) = 5.478, P = 0.012]. MH had little effect on GHSR KO mice in TST (Fig. 2.E) [F(3,28) = 3.617, P = 0.025] and FST. (Fig. 2.F) [F(3,28) = 3.411, P = 0.031].

Gut motility results

Compared to healthy rats (79.55 ± 5.31%), the vehicle-treated group (39.87 ± 4.96%) showed a significant decline in GE (Fig. 1.I), [F(5,42) = 80.227, P = 0.000]. Compared to vehicle, MH9.18 (61.36 ± 7.37%), CSS (72.18 ± 7.27%), and mosapride (50.59 ± 9.48%) promoted GE (P < 0.05 or 0.01). In intestinal transit, compared to healthy rats (79.55 ± 5.31%), the vehicle-treated group (57.49 ± 6.25%) showed a significant decline in INT (Fig. 1.J), [F(5,42) = 30.416, P = 0.000]. MH9.18 (66.35 ± 6.79%), CSS (71.93 ± 2.93%), and mosapride (70.42 ± 4.82%) promoted INT (P < 0.05 or 0.01). Further, fluoxetine (GE: 29.82 ± 5.27%, INT: 49.16 ± 6.50%) dramatically slowed motility of FS rats (P < 0.05). [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 (51.52 ± 6.51%) antagonized the prokinetic effect of MH (61.36 ± 7.37%)(Fig. 2.C) [F(2,21) = 22.916, P = 0.000].

Western blot analysis

Increased excitatory synaptic transmission dependent on mTOR signaling may contribute to more rapid antidepressant action. The p-mTOR levels in the hippocampus were significantly increased in the MH group (81.75 ± 6.14%) compared to those in the vehicle group (44.00 ± 7.17%) (Fig. 3.B) [F(2,21) = 88.117, P = 0.000], in the same way BDNF levels were increased in the MH group (76.13 ± 6.36%) compared to those in the vehicle group (35.38 ± 9.12%) (Fig. 3.A) [F(2,21) = 56.660, P = 0.000]. [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 (45.50 ± 8.19%, 70.00 ± 3.55%) prevented the increase in BDNF and p-mTor levels in the MH group (P < 0.01).

Functional MRI results

Increased BOLD activation foci were observed in the hippocampus, thalamus, and basal ganglia of the vehicle group. FS-induced increased BOLD activation foci were reversed to varying degrees following MH, MH + [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6, and fluoxetine treatment. Compared with that in the fluoxetine group, a greater decrease in amplitude of BOLD activation was observed in the HTB circuit in the MH group. Comparison of MH and MH + [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 groups further demonstrated the effects of ghrelin, a shared regulatory molecule. Administration of [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 into the hippocampus of the MH group was performed. The mosapride group only demonstrated activation in the basal ganglia and thalamus (Fig. 4, Table 1).

Discussion

FS performance from dysfunctional GZSX [6, 59–61] can be divided into DB&H [6, 48–49], exhibiting dual gut-brain disorders in an acute stressor as shown in Fig. 1.A-D. This dichotomy also includes MH → P&A and ghrelin → above regulation with antagonists, revealed in three different counterparts. The dualism of gut-brain disorder, P&A, and their regulation implicated the HTB circuit based on BOLD activation foci. These results are causalties within and between counterparts 1–3, different from depressive comorbidity with somatic disease whose dichotomy is equivocal [11–13, 32–33] in homogeneous studies. To date, an in vivo localized 1H-MRS study at 4.7 T without BOLD signal only coupled acute FS and rapid antidepressant desipramine [62]. We observed BOLD activated hippocampal responses to traditional Chinese medicine using first-line antidepressant fluoxetine as a control [27] and a connection from activated foci of the insular lobes, cingulate gyrus, and left amygdala cortex using subacute FS-induced visceral
hyperalgesia in ovariectomized rats [63]. As described, it simultaneously induced DB&H (IT of 55.6%↑, NC of 20.8%↓, GE 39.1%↑, and InT 40.3%↑) compared with sham. MH affected IT, NC, GE, and INT (28.6%↑, 53.2%↑, 53.9%↑, and 25.1%↓ vs vehicle). P&A actions by MH were attenuated in acute FS after pretreatment with [D-Lys3]–GHRP (ghrelin antagonist). The regulatory effects of ghrelin in dual gut-brain disorder in homogeneous studies differ from its orthogonal role in regulation of feeding reported since 1999 and effects on depression-like behavior reported since 2008 [64–65]. Further, prokinetic MH increased mTOR phosphorylation in parallel with increased GluA1 expression after ketamine administration [66] and activated the AMPA–ERK1/2–BDNF pathway [49], suggesting rapid antidepressant effects. MH-induced antidepressant effects were almost absent in GHSR KO mice, suggesting ghrelin as a shared mediator of gut-brain disorder [51]. BOLD activation foci of the HTB circuit respond to stimuli within or between counterpart(s). Inside each counterpart, FS, MH, and ghrelin are bisected as gut-brain disorder and P&A, with shared regulation and interaction within the HTB circuit. Counterparts 1–3 represent FS—pathophysiology, MH—therapy, and ghrelin—shared mechanisms, as shown in Fig. 3. The inside/outside counterparts indicate causalities. Here, each HTB circuit comprising comorbid-like profiles is different from the 19 other circuits identified from BOLD activated areas related to pathogenesis, therapy, and pharmacology for single diseases [17–29]. FS is widely used as a behavioral paradigm to assess depressive behaviors [52], but hypomotility has been overlooked. Similarly, the widely used chronic social stress model has been overlooked in heart disorder apart from when arrhythmia co-occurs with anxiety behavior during social stress and is integrated by an agent with simultaneous anti-anxiety and cardioprotective effects [67]. To date, nosingle compound except ferulic acid and MH [6, 52] have been designed as a simultaneous A&P. Further, ghrelin and α2-AR [49] have been reported to commonly mediate A&P using distinct regulatory mechanisms [49, 64–65]. In mapping activated regions, the roles played by the intricate neurovascular couplings of BOLD signal are invaluable [68] but may be nonspecific or have poor sensitivity [69]. The latter indicates spatial non-specificity of BOLD contrast especially from gradient echo pulse sequences [69] and lower sensitivity by spin echo pulse sequences which has higher specificity [69]. 7.0T fMRI BOLD foci can precisely discriminate activity of neural populations in the sensorimotor cortex at 1.5 mm scale with high spatial fidelity, similar to electrophysiological determination of activated foci [46]. We address which foci are activated based on antagonist studies, using stress and SSRIs as control [17–29]. FS, MH, and ghrelin antagonist [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 were used for activation and inactivation. Pre-inhibition of HTB matched the BOLD response map in Fig. 3. Thenature and signal amplitude (Ke, cluster size or number of voxels) of fluoxetine and mosapride differed from that of MH in the HTB. Mosapride only activated the thalamus and basal ganglia. BOLD signal intensity for MH was > 4.0 and 1.4 times greater than that for fluoxetine in the hippocampus and thalamus, respectively. Fluoxetine-induced c-fos expression in the thalamus contradicts its effects on behavior [70–71]. [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 inhibited MH-reduced signal intensity by 84.8% and 23.3% in the hippocampus and thalamus, respectively. According to the top three standard criteria following acute FS-stimulated BOLD foci ranking among 17 regions (Table.1), we selected the HTB circuit. Other regions affected by MH, [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6, fluoxetine, and mosapride lie in proximity to the HTB. The HTB differentially regulates depression and FD. The hippocampus is implicated in depression and FD. The thalamus plays a major role in FD and minor role in depression. The hippocampus is a well-known target for the effects of antidepressants. Gastrectasia, nausea, and delayed gastric emptying, which are related to FD, match foci of BOLD regions centered in the thalamus [72–73]. In the present study, mosapride as a prokinetic stimulated the thalamus but not hippocampus. The feeding inhibitor [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 acts on the thalamus, consistent with ghrelin’s prominent role in feeding behavior. Ghrelin antagonist [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 inhibits BOLD-activated HTB foci by MH. These effects are different from the BOLD signal of areas stimulated by monistic nutrition regulated by ghrelin, including the thalamus, limbic areas, parahippocampal cortex, insula, and caudate [74–75].

Conclusions

GZSX and CSS are implicated in CDF. Ancient wisdom provides clues on related entities and their dualistic properties, first by docking (in) activated HTB and integrating with neurocircuity, as indicated via BOLD signals. If predefined by one-disease-one-target-one-drug dogma, half of the available comorbidity-like dualism properties would be overlooked. MH-stimulated BOLD changes were modulated by fluoxetine, mosapride, and [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 in terms of the nature and intensity of signal foci. To conclude, we report on acute FS-stimulated DB&H, MH-induced rapid A&P and ghrelin-related regulation coupled to BOLD signals in brain areas before, providing insight into a unified theory of depression pathogenesis and pharmacotherapy.
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**Table**

Due to technical limitations, Table 1 is provided in the Supplementary Files section.

**Figures**

(A-C) Ancient GZSX provides clues on the bisection of FS-MH-Ghrelin as shared brain-gut disorder-A&P: Gan axis; (D) FS rats (n=8) were gavaged with CSS, MH, fluoxetine, and mosapride. (E-F) MH from hippocampus and intestine post-dose was identified by UPLC. (G-H): Effects of MH, CSS, and fluoxetine treatment on numbers of crossings (CN) and immobility time (IT) of rats in the OFT and FST. (I-J): Effects of MH (9.18 mg/kg), CSS (30 g/kg), mosapride (10 mg/kg), and fluoxetine (20 mg/kg) on GE or INT in rats. Values are presented as mean ± S.E.M. of gastric emptying or intestinal translation of animals (n=8). # p<0.05, ## p<0.01 vs sham, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs vehicle.
Effects of subcutaneous injection [D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 (0.5 mg/kg) 30 min prior to administration of MH (9.18 mg/kg) and 0.9% saline (10 mL/kg) on IT (s) (A-B), GE (C-D) of rats subjected to acute forced swimming. The effects of MH on immobility time of GHSR KO and wild-type mice in TST (E) and FST (F).

Values are presented as mean ± S.E.M. of the immobility times of animals (n = 8). * p<0.05, ** p<0.01 vs vehicle (WT). $ P<0.05$ vs MH.
MH (9.18 mg/kg) and MH (9.18 mg/kg)+[D-Lys3]-GHRP-6 (0.5 mg/kg) modulated the activities of hippocampus BDNF (A) and p-mTor (B) in rats 15 min after FS. Values are presented as mean ± S.E.M. (n=8). ** p < 0.01 vs vehicle; $ p < 0.01$ vs MH.

Statistical analysis of BOLD activities in the hippocampus, thalamus and basal ganglia of the vehicle versus sham, MH, MH+[D-Lys3]-GHRP-6, fluoxetine and mosapride. The voxel-level height threshold was $P < 0.005$ and the cluster-extent threshold were 10 voxels (uncorrected).
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