Quantitative multiplexing analysis of PCR-amplified ribosomal RNA genes by hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension reaction
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ABSTRACT

A method, termed hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension (HOPE), is developed for quantitative, multiplexing detection of DNA targets present in PCR-amplified community 16S rRNA genes. It involves strand extension reaction and multiple oligonucleotide primers modified with different lengths of polyA at the 5’ end and targeting 16S rRNA genes at different phylogenetic specificities. On annealing to the targets, these primers are extended with a single fluorescently labeled dideoxynucleoside triphosphate or a dye-terminator. Using a DNA autosequencer, these extended primers are separated and identified by size and dye color, and quantified and normalized based on the fluorescence intensities and internal size standards. Using a primer-to-target ratio >1000, constant primer extension efficiencies can be obtained with individual primers to establish a ‘calibration factor’ between individual primers and a universal or domain-specific primer, providing the relative abundance of targeted rRNA genes with respect to total rRNA genes. HOPE up to 10-plexing is demonstrated to correctly identify 20 different bacterial strains, and quantify different Bacteroides spp. in 16S rRNA gene amplicons from different model bacteria mixtures and the influent and effluent of a wastewater treatment plant. Single mismatch discrimination with detection sensitivity of a target down to 0.01–0.05% of total DNA template is achieved.

INTRODUCTION

Various molecular methods are currently available for microbial community analysis, microbial monitoring and microbial identification (1). These methods differ mainly in phylogenetic resolution, detection sensitivity (i.e. the lowest detectable amount and abundance of a target population), quantification capability, ease of use and cost. Among them, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) (2) and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) (3) are the most commonly used community fingerprinting techniques to rapidly profile microbial community structure, and compare the differences/similarities among community structures obtained from different environments or at different time and locations in a same environment.

Community fingerprinting techniques can further reveal information on community phylogenetic composition (1,4). For DGGE, predominant DNA bands are first excised individually from the gel, and, followed by another round of PCR amplification, the amplified DNA sequenced directly or after cloning. The retrieved sequence information can also be used to design specific oligonucleotide probes for membrane hybridization or whole-cell fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). However, this band-retrieving approach is only applicable to predominant bands and the possibility that a major DGGE band can contain different DNA does exist (5). For T-RFLP, the observed terminal restriction fragment (tRF) lengths are compared in silico with the predicted ones available in the SSU rRNA database. The accuracy is however affected by sequence quality and the fact of having multiple and possibly unrelated rRNA gene sequences sharing a same tRF length. To correctly infer the phylogenetic identities of the predominant tRF lengths, community-specific rRNA gene clone library is performed. Still, closely related microbial populations can have an identical tRF length, and the abundance change among these populations, which can occur at a low level, in an environment may not be detected easily without using other molecular tools. Although methods like FISH (6), membrane hybridization (7) and quantitative real-time PCR (8) are often used to provide refined resolution,
these methods can be labor-intensive and time-consuming, and are seldom used in a multiplexing manner. To facilitate PCR-based community structure analysis, methods that can determine abundance changes of multiple microbial targets in a rapid and sensitive manner in a given environment are needed.

This study reports a method, named hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension (HOPE) that can rapidly determine the relative abundance of multiple targets inside a mixture of PCR-amplified community 16S rRNA genes (Figure 1). The initial step of this method involves the strand extension reaction used in
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**Figure 1.** The schematic diagram illustrating the concept of hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension approach for multiplexed identification and quantitative analysis of the PCR amplicons.
‘minisequencing’ (9) to extend a single fluorescently labeled dideoxynucleoside triphosphate (ddATP, ddTTP, ddGTP or ddCTP) or a dye-terminator at the 3’ end of a primer upon its successful annealing to the targeted region of purified PCR-amplified rRNA genes. In this step, multiple oligonucleotide primers, which are designed to target sequences at different levels of specificities (i.e. domain, phylum, etc., species), and modified with different lengths of poly dA tails at the 5’ end of individual primers, are added. After single-base extension, the HOPE products are purified and analyzed using a DNA autosequencer equipped with four-color detectors. Due to difference in length and the dye-terminator type/color added, these extended hierarchical primers can be easily separated and identified, and their lengths and concentrations calculated according to the internal standards consisting of different fluorescently labeled oligonucleotides (Figure 1). It is assumed that a primer with domain-level specificity can prime onto more DNA templates than a group-specific primer. Thus, by knowing the extension efficiency of individual primers, the relative intensity of a specific labeled primer to a broad specific primer can be obtained, and this ratio will represent the relative abundance of the targeting rRNA fragment in a PCR mixture. HOPE procedure can be completed within 90 min, and its feasibility to rapidly identify multiple microbial targets in environmental samples is demonstrated.

**Table 1. Hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension analyses for 20 bacterial strains.**

| #   | Species                               | Sourcea | Eub338 (18 nt) | BAC303-5a (22 nt) | BTH274-15a (32 nt) | BTH584-16a (36 nt) |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron          | BCRC10624 | 0 A U          | 0 G C             | 0 A U             | 0 G C             |
| 2   | Bacteroides fragilis                  | BCRC10619 | 0 A U          | 0 G C             | 0 G C             | 2 – ND            |
| 3   | Bacteroides distasonis                | JCM5825  | 0 A U          | 0 G C             | 2 – ND            | 6 – ND            |
| 4   | Bacteroides vulgatus                  | BCRC12903 | 0 A U          | 0 G C             | 7 – ND            | 4 – ND            |
| 5   | Ruminococcus albus                    | DSMZ20055  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 7 – ND            | 8 – ND            |
| 6   | Coliformia aerofaciens                | JCM10188  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 7 – ND            | 10 – ND           |
| 7   | Lactobacillus acidophilis              | DSMZ20079  | 0 G C          | 5 – ND            | 7 – ND            | 7 – ND            |
| 8   | Bifidobacterium adolescentis          | BCRC14606  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 5 – ND            | 9 – ND            |
| 9   | Peptostreptococcus productus          | DSMZ2950  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 8 – ND            | 9 – ND            |
| 10  | Clostridium leptum                    | BCRC14522  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 7 – ND            | 10 – ND           |
| 11  | Ruminococcus bromii                   | ATCC27255  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 7 – ND            | 8 – ND            |
| 12  | Bifidobacterium lactis                | BCRC11847  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 5 – ND            | 9 – ND            |
| 13  | Enterococcus faecium                  | BCRC10067  | 0 A U          | 5 – ND            | 6 – ND            | 7 – ND            |
| 14  | Clostridium clostridiforme            | BCRC14545  | 0 A U          | 6 – ND            | 6 – ND            | 8 – ND            |
| 15  | Bifidobacterium longum                | DSMZ20088  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 5 – ND            | 9 – ND            |
| 16  | Ruminococcus obeum                    | ATCC29174  | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 7 – ND            | 6 – ND            |
| 17  | Eubacterium biforme                   | DSMZ3989  | 0 A U          | 6 – ND            | 5 – ND            | 9 – ND            |
| 18  | Fusobacterium prausnitizii            | ATCC27768  | 0 G C          | 5 – ND            | 6 – ND            | 6 – ND            |
| 19  | Ruminococcus callidus                 | ATCC27760  | 0 G C          | 5 – ND            | 8 – ND            | 7 – ND            |
| 20  | Escherichia coli                      | NCIMB10083 | 0 G C          | 6 – ND            | 8 – ND            | 5 – ND            |

*a* Bacterial strains are obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC), Japan Collection of Microorganisms (JCM), German National Resource Centre for Biological Material (DSMZ) and National Collection of Industrial, Marine and Food Bacteria (NCIMB).

*b* Numbers of the mismatched base-pairing (MM).

*c* Refer to the nucleotides of the template adjacent to the primer–template duplex formed.

*d* Refer to the dye-terminators observed.

ND, not detected.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacterial strains and environmental samples**

Bacterial strains used here are listed in Tables 1–4. Influent and effluent samples of a local wastewater treatment system are taken, and total suspended solid including microbial cells are concentrated by centrifugation.

**PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene**

Total DNA from individual reference strains and samples of the local sewage treatment plant is extracted (3), and used as DNA template for the PCR amplification of 16S rRNA genes. The PCR (100 µl) contained 1× buffer solution (Promega), 2.0 mM of MgCl2, 200 mM of each primer [11F, GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG (10) and 1492R, GGt(C/T) TAC CTT GGT ACG ACT T (11)], 200 mM of each dNTP (dTTP, dTTP, dCTP, dGTP), 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Promega) and 50–100 ng of genomic DNA. PCR amplification is carried out using Bio-Rad iCycler (Hercules, CA) under the following thermal program: initial denaturation (95°C, 3 min), 30 cycles of 95°C (30 s), 55°C (30 s) and 72°C (30 s), and final extension (72°C, 5 min). After verifying amplification by agarose gel electrophoresis, these PCR products are purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The concentrations of purified PCR products are quantified by UV absorbance measurement using a DU 800 spectrophotometer (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA).
Table 2. Sequences and specificity of the oligonucleotides used in this study.

| Name                        | Sequence (5′→3′)                                      | Specificity                        |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Oligonucleotide primers     |                                                       |                                    |
| EUB338                      | GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT                                   | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-4a                   | (A)₄ GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT                              | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-8a                   | (A)₈ GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT                              | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-12a                  | (A)₁₂ GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT                             | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-18a                  | (A)₁₈ GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT                             | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-24a                  | (A)₂₄ GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT                             | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-30a                  | (A)₃₀ GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT                             | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-8a                   | GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAG                                    | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338Ia-23a                | GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAG                                    | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-30a                  | GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAG                                    | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-24a                  | GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAG                                    | Bacteria                           |
| EUB338-18a                  | GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAG                                    | Bacteria                           |
| Fluorophore-labeled oligonucleotide standards |                                                                 |
| GT16-Cy5                    | Cy₅-(GT)_₉                                           |                                    |
| GT26-Cy5                    | Cy₅-(GT)_₁₃                                          |                                    |
| GT36-Cy5                    | Cy₅-(GT)_₁₈                                          |                                    |
| GT46-Cy5                    | Cy₅-(GT)_₃₃                                          |                                    |
| GT46-D2                     | D₂-(GT)_₁₃                                           |                                    |
| GT40-D4                     | D₄-(GT)_₅₀                                          |                                    |

*Refer to Figure 4.

Hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension (HOPE)

HOPE reaction (5–20 μl in volume) contains 5–10 pico-mole (pmol) of individually unlabeled oligonucleotide primers, 5–20 femto-mole (fmol) of purified PCR products and 1× premixed solution from the CEQ™ SNP-Primer extension kit (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). The premix aliquot (2×) consists of DNA polymerase (9%, v/v), reaction buffer (18.2%, v/v) and fluorescently labeled dideoxynucleotides [dUTP, ddGTP, ddATP and ddCTP (18.2%, v/v)] as provided in the CEQ™ SNP-Primer extension kit. The ddNTPs are labeled with four different WellRed fluorescent dyes (D1, D2, D3 and D4) (Beckman Coulter). The primers are synthesized and HPLC-purified by Sigma-ProLigo, Singapore (Singapore). Unless stated otherwise, the primer extension reaction is carried out using Bio-Rad iCycler under the following thermal cycling program: 20 cycles of 96°C (10 s), 60°C (30 s) and 72°C (15 s). After primer extension reaction, 1 U of shrimp alkaline phosphatase (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) is added into the reaction mixture to hydrolyze 5′ phosphate groups of unincorporated dye-terminators, thus eliminating the possible fluorescence signal associated with these unincorporated dye-terminators in post analysis. The mixture is incubated at 37°C for 60 min, and the reaction is stopped by thermal denaturation at 85°C for 10 min. Alternatively, to shorten the experiment time, the HOPE products can be purified using Microcon-YM3 spin column (Millipore). Table 2 lists all the primers used.

Quantification of the HOPE products

The purified HOPE products are measured using a CEQ™ 8000 genetic analysis system with four-color detection capability (Beckman Coulter). Prior to capillary electrophoresis, 1 μl of diluted HOPE products is mixed with 1 μl of internal concentration and size standard (see below, 500–1000 pM), 0.2 μl of GenomeLab™ DNA size standard 80 kit (Beckman Coulter), and 39.8 μl of sample loading solution (Beckman Coulter). The mixture is transferred into a 96-well plate, and overlaid with one drop of mineral oil. The plate is then loaded into the CEQ™ 8000 system together with a buffer plate filled with separation buffer (Beckman Coulter). The electrophoresis program includes a denaturation step (90°C, 120 s), an injection step under a voltage of 2.1 kV for 15 s (or 6 kV for 5 s) and a separation step (58°C, 16 min) under a voltage of 6.0 kV. To detect labeled oligonucleotides present in low concentrations, injection sample volume and injection time are increased up to 2 μl and 40 s, respectively. Fluorescence intensity data are automatically collected and subsequently analyzed by the fragment analysis software provided with the CEQ™ 8000 system.

The electrophoretic sizes of individual oligonucleotides are determined and calibrated with the internal size standards using a default linear model and dye calibration parameters (SNP ver 1) built in the software. The internal concentration and size standards used contain a mixture of four different Cy5-labeled oligonucleotides at four different lengths (i.e. 5′-Cy5-[GT]ₙ-3′, n = 8, 13, 18 and
23), one D2-labeled oligonucleotide (5'-D2-[GT]_{23}-3'), and one D4-labeled oligonucleotide (i.e. 5'-D4-[GT]_{20}-3') (Table 2). They are synthesized and HPLC-purified by Operon Biotechnologies (Cologne, Germany) or Sigma-Proligo France SAS (Paris, France). The concentration and purity of those oligonucleotides are calculated according to the optical density of oligonucleotides and dyes measured at the maximum absorbance, and the extinction coefficients of dyes. The GenomeLab™ DNA size standard 80 kit contains WellRed D1-labeled fragments at 13 and 88 bp in length.

After converting peak areas of individual dye-terminator-labeled primers to concentrations, the relative abundance of a specific target with respect to the universal primer EUB338Ia is calculated as follow:

$$\text{Relative abundance of the target (\%)} = \frac{C_p}{C_{338Ia} \times CF_p} \times 100\%$$  

where $C_p$ represents the molar concentration of a specific primer extended in the HOPE reaction for the samples; $C_{338Ia}$ represents the molar concentration of universal primer EUB338Ia extended in the HOPE products of the samples; $CF_p$ is the calibration factor for primer extension efficiency of the specific primer with respect to EUB338Ia obtained using the reference strain.

### Results

#### Sensitivity of DNA sequencers for oligonucleotide separation and fluorescence measurement

A mixture of four different Cy5-labeled synthetic oligonucleotides [i.e. GT16-Cy5, GT26-Cy5, GT36-Cy5 and GT46-Cy5 (Table 2)] ranging from 2.4 to 46.4 pM or 94 to 1857 pM is used. Distinct and accurate length separation is obtained among the four Cy5-labeled oligonucleotides (Figure 2). The observed fluorescence intensity or peak areas are highly correlated ($R^2 > 0.9998$) to the concentrations of the Cy5-labeled oligonucleotides with a dynamic range of three orders or higher.

#### Effects of polyA length on primer extension efficiency

Seven EUB338 primers modified with different lengths of poly dA tails from 0 to 30 nt are used in primer extension reactions (Table 2). They are separately extended with a D2-labeled ddCTP. After analyzing the reaction products with a DNA autosequencer, the concentrations of individual extended primers are quantified. The relative primer extension efficiencies for those poly-dA attached EUB primers to EUB338 are calculated. Figure 3 indicates that the efficiency of single-base primer extension decreases approximately 3.0% per dA with respect to an increase in the length of poly dA tails up to 18 nt ($R^2 = 0.93$). When the length of the polyA tail is $\geq$18 nt, the primer extension efficiencies fluctuate around 30–34%.
Primer design and specificity

Initially, four different hierarchical oligonucleotide primers are used in a HOPE reaction. These four primers, namely EUB338Ia, BAC303-5a, BTH274-15a and BTH584-16a, are modified with 0, 5, 15 and 16 dAs, respectively, at the 5' terminus. The specificities of these primers are indicated in Table 2 and Figure 4.

The predicted extended nucleotide types of these four primers are analyzed in silico by the Match Probes function provided in the ARB (12) using the ssu_jan04.arb database (www.arb-home.de) containing 28,289 nearly complete 16S rRNA sequences (>1450 nt).

The predicted type of nucleotide extended is mostly ddTTP (91.6%) for EUB338Ia, and ddCTP for BAC303-5a and BTH584-16a. For BTH274-15a, the extended nucleotide type is a ddTTP or a ddCTP for Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron or Bacteroides fragilis 16S rRNA gene, respectively. The specificities of other HOPE primers and their extended nucleotide types are indicated in Figure 4.

Table 4. Quantifying relative abundance of specific targets in the influent and effluent from sewage treatment plant using multiplexing HOPE method

| Target abundance within a group | Influent (%) | Effluent (%) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Target Group                   | Sample 1 (n = 3) | Sample 2 (n = 3) | Sample 1 (n = 3) | Sample 2 (n = 3) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Bacteroidales                  | 11.1 ± 1.4 | 10.0 ± 0.3 | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 1.1 ± 0.9 |
| BFRG602-related group          | 1.1 ± 0.9 | 3.6 ± 0.5 | ND            | 0.1 ± 0.1 |
| BTH274c-related group a         | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | ND            | ND            |
| BTH274c-related group b         | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.3 ± 0.3 | ND            | ND            |
| BTT1250-related group          | ND           | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| B. fragilis                    | 4.9 ± 0.7 | 5.1 ± 1.2 | ND            | ND            |
| B. uniformis                   | 19.7 ± 1.0 | 21.6 ± 1.1 | ND            | ND            |
| B. intestinalis                 | ND           | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| B. acidifaciens                | ND           | ND            | ND            | ND            |

*The group detected by primer extension with ddCTP of BTH274-15a.
*bThe group detected by primer extension with ddTTP of BTH274-15a.

Calibration factors obtained for the 6-plexing, EUB338Ia-23a: BAC303-5a: BFRG602-19a: BTH274-15a(C): BTH274-15a(T): BTT1250 = 1: 6.1: 11.2: 14.4: 3.1: 2.4, and 7-plexing, BFRG602-19a: BUFM1018-18a: BTH274-15a(C): BTH274-15a(T): BFG1024: BITT141 = 1: 0.8: 1.2: 0.4: 1.7: 0.1.

Bacterial strains used include B. thetaiotaomicron, B. fragilis, B. acidifaciens (JCM10556), B. intestinalis (JCM13266), B. uniformis (JCM5828), Bacteroides tectus (JCM10003), and Bacteroides pyogenes (JCM6294).

ND, not detected.

Figure 2. (a) Size-based electropherogram of the four Cy5-labeled oligonucleotides in different concentrations separated by capillary electrophoresis. (b) Linearity in the concentrations (94–1857 pM) of Cy5-labeled oligonucleotides and fluorescence intensities in terms of peak areas. (c) Linearity in the concentrations (2.4–46.4 pM) of Cy5-labeled oligonucleotides and fluorescence intensities in terms of peak areas.
Optimization of HOPE to profile 16S rRNA gene amplicon

HOPE reaction with a mixture of four primers (EUB338Ia, BAC303-5a, BTH274-15a and BTH584-16a) is optimized with PCR-amplified *B. thetaiotaomicron* 16S rRNA gene as the DNA template under different annealing temperatures, duration of thermal program, cycle number and primer-to-template ratio.

(a) Annealing temperature

A range of annealing temperatures from 50 to 70°C at an increment of 5°C is investigated. After strand extension reactions, those labeled primers are quantified using autosequencer. The relative primer extension efficiencies are normalized against the highest concentration observed for individual primers (Supplementary Figure 1). All primers exhibit extension efficiencies between 80 and 100% at an annealing temperature between 50 and 60°C. The extension efficiencies decrease to <43.7% at an annealing temperature of 70°C. To achieve a stringent condition for primer extension, an annealing temperature of 60°C is used for subsequent experiments in this study.

(b) Duration of thermal program

Effects of duration time used in denaturation (10 s, 30 s and 60 s), annealing (5 s, 30 s and 60 s) and extension (15 s, 30 s and 60 s) on primer extension efficiency are investigated. The optimal duration obtained is 10 s for denaturation at 96°C, 30 s for annealing at 60°C and 15 s for extension at 72°C (Supplementary Figure 2). This thermal program is used throughout the study.

(c) Cycle number

Figure 5 indicates that the amounts of extended primers increase linearly along with an increase in cycle number up to 25, and gradually reach a plateau after 30 cycles. Up to 25 cycles, D2-ddCTP-labeled BTH584-16a and BAC303-5a exhibit similar increasing rates, which are higher than that observed with D4-ddUTP-labeled BTH274-15a and EUB338Ia. The observed slopes of primer extension for individual primers between cycles 5 and 25 are calculated (*n* = 10). For BTH584-16a, BAC303-5a, BTH274-15a and EUB338Ia, they are 5.77, 5.23, 2.48 and 1.26 fmol per cycle (*R*² > 0.99), respectively, suggesting that the amounts of BTH584-16a, BAC303-5a and BTH274-15a that have annealed on the template and extended are 4.57, 4.15 and 1.97 times higher than the amount of EUB338Ia extended.

(d) Effects of primer-to-template ratio

These experiments are conducted at different primer-to-template ratios from 250 to 16 000 by using fixed primer concentration and varying template quantity (i.e. *B. thetaiotaomicron* PCR amplicon) from 20 to 0.3125 fmol. The amount of primer extended from those four primers at individual primer-to-template ratios is normalized assuming that the amount of the extended EUB338Ia is one (Figure 6). The normalized ratios for the D2-ddCTP-extended primers (BAC303-5a and BTH584-16a) are generally higher than that for the D4-ddUTP-extended primer (BTH274-15a). At a primer-to-template ratio ranging from 1000 to 16 000, the normalized ratios remain fairly constant at 1.73 and 4.0 for BTH274-15a and BAC303-5a, respectively. For BTH584-16a, the normalized ratio slightly decreases from 3.49 to 2.69. These observations suggest that the normalized ratios can be further used to correlate with the concentrations of those extended primers inside a HOPE reaction, when the initial concentrations of oligonucleotide primers are present in excess of the template concentration (>1000 fold) to ensure a consistent primer extension efficiency.

Specificity of HOPE

The specificity of HOPE is first validated using four different *Bacteroides* species (i.e. *B. thetaiotaomicron, B. fragilis, Bacteroides vulgatus* and *Bacteroides distasonis*), and four different primers (i.e. EUB338, BAC303-5a, BTH584-16a and BTH274-15a) in a single reaction. Figure 7 indicates that all four *Bacteroides* species are correctly extended with a D4-ddUTP or a D2-ddCTP by using EUB338 or BAC303-5a, respectively. With *B. thetaiotaomicron* and *B. fragilis*, BTH274-15a is extended with a D4-ddUTP and a D2-ddCTP, respectively, and are easily discriminated by the CEQ™ 8000 system based on the dye color. With *B. vulgatus* and *B. distasonis* having a mismatch nucleotide ‘T’ and two mismatch nucleotides ‘AG’ near the 3’ end of the primer,
respectively, BTH274-15a gives no fluorescence signals. For BTH584-16a, it is successfully extended with a D2-ddCTP only with \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron}. To further confirm the specificity of the 4-plexing HOPE, the 16S rRNA gene amplicons of 16 other reference species commonly found in the fecal samples are used as DNA template (Table 1). 100% accuracy in extending correct type of nucleotides is obtained with those reference strains, and the results match with the \textit{in silico} prediction.

Sensitivity of HOPE

HOPE reaction is carried out with different amounts of DNA template (1.1 \times 10^6 – 2.7 \times 10^9 copies of \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron} 16S rRNA gene amplicon) with two different specific primers (BTH274-15a and BTH584-16a) under three different reaction volumes (5, 10 and 20 \textmu l). Figure 8a indicates that the amount of the D4-ddUTP-extended BTH274-15a decreases linearly along with a decrease in the template concentration. The lowest
Figure 5. Effects of the cycle number on the hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension method. The reactions are carried out using the thermal cycling conditions: denaturation at 96°C for 30s, annealing at 60°C for 30s and extension at 72°C for 30s. The experiments are conducted using *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* 16S rRNA gene amplicons and the primer mixture containing EUB338Ia, BAC303-5a, BTH274-15a, and BTH584-16a. The data points represent the average values derived from two independent reactions. The error bars represent standard deviation for average data points.

![Graph showing effects of cycle number on primer extension](image)

Figure 6. Distribution of the ratios for the labeled primer BAC303-5a (filled circle), BTH274-15a (open circle), and BTH584-16a (filled inverted triangle) against the labeled universal primer EUB338Ia. The data points represent the average values derived from three independent reactions. The error bars represent standard deviation for average data points.

![Graph showing distribution of ratios](image)

The detection sensitivity of HOPE is further investigated by mixing the target template (i.e. *B. thetaiotaomicron*) with other non-targets present at higher concentrations. Using a 5-µl reaction volume, different amounts (1.1 × 10⁶–1.4 × 10⁸ copies) of *B. thetaiotaomicron* 16S rRNA gene amplicons are mixed with 6.5 × 10¹⁰ copies of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* 16S rRNA gene amplicons. The lowest amount of template detected is 8.5 × 10⁸ copies for BTH274-15a or 3.4 × 10⁷ copies for BTH584-16a (Figure 8). This amount is comparable to data obtained without the addition of *L. acidophilus* amplicons in the DNA template, and is equivalent to approximately 0.01 or 0.05% of total amount of PCR amplicon added to the HOPE reaction.

**Validation of HOPE using defined model communities**

Five different model communities are prepared individually and determined by HOPE (Table 3). They consist of various amounts of 16S rRNA genes amplified from three different *Bacteroides* species, *L. acidophilus*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Peptostreptococcus productus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Methanosarcina barkeri*. *B. thetaiotaomicron* can be simultaneously detected by all four primers (BTH274-15a, BTH584-16a, BAC303-5a and EUB338Ia) in a HOPE reaction. *B. distasonis* and *B. vulgatus* can only be detected by BAC303-5a and EUB338Ia. The remaining four bacterial species can be detected by EUB338Ia. *M. barkeri* cannot be detected by any primers. The abundance of a specific target in the model communities is calculated according to Equation (1).

Table 3 suggests that the HOPE reaction can accurately and reproducibly detect the relative abundance of selected sequences within a defined pool of PCR amplicons. For example, in MC1, MC2 and MC3, the observed ratios of *Bacteroides* by BAC303-5a are 77.0 ± 3.9, 49.2 ± 3.6 and 14.8 ± 1.0 of total amplified DNA (molar basis), respectively, and are very close to the theoretical ratios (72.7, 46.2 and 21.5%, respectively). In MC5, by replacing *E. coli* 16S rRNA gene with *M. barkeri* 16S rRNA gene, the observed ratio of all *Bacteroides* species increased from 9.7 to 53.1% of total bacterial 16S rRNA gene amplicon. Accordingly, the abundance of *B. thetaiotaomicron* also increased from 2.8–3.4% to 15.3–17.4% of total bacterial 16S rRNA gene amplicon. Only a lower ratio of *B. thetaiotaomicron* (14.4–14.9%) is observed in MC3.

**Multiplexing capability of HOPE**

To achieve multiplexing capability >4-plexing, a 6-plexing reaction and a 7-plexing reaction were designed and tested. Figure 4 shows the primer combination in a 6-plexing HOPE reaction containing a domain *Bacteria*-specific primer and four group-specific primers (BTT1250, BAC303-5a, BTH274-15a, EUB338Ia-23a and BFRG602-19a). Depending on the targets, BTH274-15a can be extended with a ddCTP or a ddTTP. The 7-plexing reaction includes two group-specific primers (BFRG602-19a) and four species-specific primers (BUFM1018-18a, BADF1037-9a, BFG1024 and BITT141).

Figures 9a and b show the electropherograms of the 6-plexing and 7-plexing reactions, respectively, with different reference strains. Individual primers are clearly...
separated and identified based on the lengths and extended dye-terminator types. The calibration factor obtained between a given primer and a reference primer is comparable to that obtained from Figures 5 and 6.

The 6-plexing and 7-plexing HOPE reactions are further used together (in total, 10-plexing) to simultaneously determine the relative abundance of different *Bacteroides* spp. in the influent and effluent of a domestic wastewater treatment plant, where more than 25–34 detectable bacterial groups as determined by T-RFLP were observed (data not shown). Figure 9c illustrates the electropherogram obtained for the influent sample using the 7-plexing HOPE reaction. Those distinct detectable peaks correctly correspond to group-specific primers (BFRG602_19a and BTH274-15a), two species-specific primers (BUFM1018-18a and BFG1024), and two size- and concentration standards. Table 4 indicates that the relative abundance of the *Bacteroidales* group and BFRG602-related group accounts for 10–11.1% and 3.6–4.5%, respectively, of the amplified 16S rRNA genes in the influent samples. These percentages decrease to 1.1–1.6% and 50.1% in the effluent samples, a 7–45-fold

**Figure 7.** Specificity of the hierarchical oligonucleotide primer extension method. The individual *Bacteroides* spp. template is used in a 4-plexing HOPE reaction, where there is inclusive of primers EUB338, BAC303-5a, BTH274-15a and BTH584-16a. (a) Sequences of the four primers and the binding regions of targets. For each panel, the complementary sequence for the first species is shown. When identical sequence compositions are encountered in the subsequent species, they are represented by double dashes. The nucleotide compositions adjacent to the binding regions are indicated as well. (b) The electropherograms of 4-plexing reaction tested with individual references. Dashed lines and solid peaks represent the detection of D4-ddUTP- and D2-ddCTP-coupled primers, respectively.
reduction in the relative abundance. The BTH274-related
group represents approximately 0.3–1.1% of total ampli-
fied bacterial 16S rRNA genes in the influent samples, and
is not detectable in the effluent. Within the BTH274-
related group, \textit{B. fragilis} and \textit{Bacteroides uniformis}
are present at 4.9–5.1% and 19.7–21.6% of the BFRG602-
related group, or 0.2% and 0.8–0.9% of EUB338Ia-
detected bacteria, respectively, suggesting the presence of
other \textit{Bacteroides} spp. in the influent that are not targeted
by the species-specific primers used.

**DISCUSSION**

In theory, the amount of primers extended in a HOPE
reaction can be described by

\[ N = N_0 En \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Figure 8. Sensitivity of the hierarchical oligonucleotide primer exten-
sion method. Two primers, (a) BTH274-15a and (b) BTH584-16a, are
used together with a serial concentration of 16S rRNA gene amplicons
of \textit{Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron} from $1.1 \times 10^5$ to $2.7 \times 10^6$ copies. The
reactions are carried out in a total volume of 20\(\mu\)l (open circle), 10\(\mu\)l
(open square) and 5\(\mu\)l (open inverted triangle) using \textit{B. thetaiotaomi-
cron} amplicons alone. 5\(\mu\)l (+) reacting with \textit{B. thetaiotaomicron}, plus
6.5 $\times 10^{10}$ copies of \textit{Lactobacillus acidophilus} amplicons are also
performed.

Figure 9. The electrophoregrams of 6-plexing reaction products
generated from mixed 16S rRNA gene amplicons of \textit{Bacteroides}
tectus, \textit{Bacteroides fragilis} and \textit{Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron} (a);
7-plexing reaction products generated from mixed 16S rRNA gene
amplicons of \textit{Bacteroides intestinalis}, \textit{B. fragilis}, \textit{Bacteroides acidifaciens},
\textit{Bacteroides uniformis} and \textit{Bacteroides pyogenes} (b); and 7-plexing
reaction products generated from 16S rRNA gene amplicons of
wastewater influent (c). The dashed line, solid line and solid peak
represent primers extended with D4-ddUTP-, D3-ddGTP and
D2-ddCTP, respectively. The primers detected and internal standards
(GT40-D4 and GT46-D2) are labeled beside the corresponding peaks in
panel (c).
where \( N \) is the final amount of extended primers, \( N_0 \) is the initial amount of target template, \( E \) is the extension efficiency, and \( n \) is the number of thermal cycles.

To obtain relative abundance using HOPE, the extension efficiency for a given primer should remain relatively constant. In this study, under a cycle number of 25 or lower and a primer-to-template ratio of 1000 or higher, the amount of primers extended is observed to follow stoichiometric kinetics, and the competition on the target sites between extended and non-extended primers is greatly minimized. It is noted that the primer extension efficiencies can be affected by the length of poly dA tails attached at the 5' end of a primer (Figure 3), primer characteristics (length and composition) (Figure 5) and the type of dye-terminators (13). Despite these, a fairly constant ratio (i.e. calibration factor) can still be reproducibly established between a given primer and a 'higher-ranked' or 'domain-specific' primer under a fixed experimental condition and mixture of templates (Figure 6). Thus, the relative abundance of a specific rRNA gene target within a pool of PCR amplicons can be obtained (Table 3).

HOPE technique can discriminate sequences down to single base-paired mismatch. This excellent specificity is related to (i) the use of a high annealing temperature for a primer to correctly anneal to its complementary sequence; (ii) the excellent specificity of polymerase to discriminate single base-paired mismatch occurring near the 3' end of the primer (9) and (iii) the differences in the types of dye-terminators extended. For example, \( B.\) thetaiotaomicron and \( B.\) fragilis are correctly identified based on the type of dye-terminators extended using BTH274-15a and differentiated from \( B.\) vulgatus, which has a single mismatch at the 3'end of the primer (Figure 7). Mismatches occurring at the 5' end of the primer, however, have less effect than 3' mismatched nucleotides on the primer extension efficiency (Figure 3), suggesting that mismatch position can greatly affect the specificity and possibly, the primer extension efficiency of HOPE technique.

The minimal amount of template or 16S rRNA gene amplicons required with HOPE is 10^6–10^7 copies (atto-mole level). Using reference strains, the minimal detectable 16S rRNA gene amplicon(s) for HOPE is 0.01–0.05% of total PCR amplicon. Using environmental samples like the influent and effluent of a wastewater plant, HOPE can simultaneously detect multiple targets in the pool of 16S rRNA genes amplified from a microbial community. It uses hierarchical primers designed in different lengths or attached with different lengths of poly dAs at the 5' end of the primers. Thus, the extended primers can be clearly separated by size using a DNA sequencer. Difference in the type of dye-terminators extended further allows a single primer (e.g. EUB338 and BTH274-15a) to identify more than one target (Table 1). We have demonstrated HOPE with 4-plexing, 6-plexing and then 7-plexing capability. Moreover, by using the 6-plexing reaction together with the 7-plexing reaction (in total, 10-plexing), multiple \( Bacteroides \) spp. present in the influent and effluent of a local treatment plant can be simultaneously detected (Table 4). The underlying mechanism of this ‘multiple tubes’ concept is to use one of the group primers (i.e. BFRG602) in the 6-plexing reaction as the highest ranked primer together with other species-specific primers in the 7-plexing reaction (see Figure 4 and Table 4). To further increase the multiplexing capability to 15, another 6-plexing reaction can be set up with BFRG602 as the highest ranked primer together with specific primers targeting \( B.\) vulgatus, \( B.\) fragilis, \( B.\) dorei, \( B.\) massiliensis, \( B.\) gallinarum and \( B.\) eggerthii. This multiple tubes concept can greatly expand the multiplexing capability of HOPE.

Currently, several members of \( Bacteroides–Prevotella \) are suggested as indicative organisms of possible fecal contamination, and have been monitored using T-RFLP with group-specific primers targeting 16S rRNA genes from this group (14). However, this approach can only resolve limited members within the \( Bacteroides–Prevotella \) group due to the inability to differentiate the key members based on the difference in tRF lengths (Figure 4). For example, \( B.\) fragilis, \( B.\) uniformis, \( B.\) eggerthii and \( B.\) vulgatus all share an identical tRF length. In contrast, using species-specific primers, HOPE can effectively discriminate \( B.\) fragilis from \( B.\) uniformis (Figure 7) and has potential to differentiate between species like \( B.\) eggerthii and its closely related species (i.e. \( B.\) vulgatus). Moreover, HOPE can monitor multiple specific sequence types present at a level much lower than that by T-RFLP or DGGE. For comprehensive and routine monitoring of \( Bacteroides \) spp. in environments (i.e. fecal samples and wastewater treatment systems) where they are abundant, more vigorous tests with HOPE are undertaken.

Overall, the potential of HOPE for rapid, sensitive and robust multiplexing detection of specific DNA sequences in a mixture of PCR amplicons is demonstrated. With its simplicity and adaptability to various detection formats (9), HOPE can be further extended to the studies of specific functional gene amplicons, genomic DNA and rRNA that are important to a microbial process or an ecosystem in related to spatial and temporal changes, or from different microbial ecosystems.
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Supplementary Data is available at NAR Online.
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