Morphometric analysis of *Littoraria angulifera* (Caenogastropoda) in estuarine regions of northeastern Brazil
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Abstract

The gastropod *Littoraria angulifera* (Littorinidae) is an exclusively estuarine mollusk with Neotropical anfiatlantic distribution. Recent studies indicate a possible use of the species as bioindicator. The aim of this study was to analyze the shell height, as well as to perform a morphometric analysis of the reproductive apparatus of *L. angulifera* collected in 22 sampling points located between latitudes 13°54’S and 15°44’S in the South Atlantic, State of Bahia, Northeastern Brazil. The specimens were obtained in different estuarine environments including mangroves, as well as on rocks and concrete walls in places close to ports, shipyards and berths during January and February 2014. All specimens (n = 880) were analyzed regarding the sex and shell height/morphology, 440 were analyzed about the reproductive apparatus morphometry and 15 in histological description. The average shell height of animals from artificial substrates in nautical areas was lower (p<0.05) than the animals from mangroves, mainly in preserved areas, evidencing relation with human impacts and desiccation. The morphometric analysis of *L. angulifera* reproductive tract allowed us to conclude that the length of prostate in males and of palial oviduct in females may be useful in the reproductive evaluation of the species.
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1. Introduction

Estuaries and mangroves feature a variety of ecological niches that provide a diverse fauna with representatives of various phyla, some living temporarily and others passing their entire life cycle in these places (Macnae, 1969). Among these, a small group of organisms (consisting of representatives of annelids, crustaceans and mollusks) has a mandatory association with the estuarine environments (Plaziat, 1984). This group includes mesogastropods of...
the family Littorinidae (Caenogastropoda), like species of the genus *Littoraria* Gray, 1833, that adapted to live in these environments (Reid, 1986; Merkt and Ellison, 1998). Because they often present morphological responses to the specific environment they occupy, as well as to human impacts, these mollusks are becoming attractive for use in environmental monitoring (Tanaka and Maia, 2006; Melo et al., 2012; Costa et al., 2013; Martinez et al., 2013). Although mesogastropods are considered less sensitive than neogastropods to some types of chemical contamination (Bauer et al., 1995), these are interesting alternatives to places where there are no neogastropods, as is the case of mangroves.

*Littoraria angulifera* (Lamarck, 1822), the southern periwinkle, lives in Neotropical mangroves/estuaries and has anfialantic occurrence (Kohlmeyer and Bebout, 1986; Reid et al., 1986; Merkt and Ellison, 1998). On the Brazilian coast, *L. angulifera* occurs from the State of Ceará to the State of Santa Catarina, including in oceanic islands, being very abundant in the northeast region (Reid, 1989; Matthews-Cascon and Lotufo, 2006). Recruits live in the shade of leaves and small twigs in the mesolitoral close to the water level and as they grow and increase their production of mucus, reach higher portions in mangrove trunks and roots (Vermeij, 1973; Kohlmeyer and Bebout, 1986). The species is an ovoviviparous organism (Reid, 1989) and presents detritivorous/ microphagic habit (Gutiérrez, 1988), feeding mainly on lichens and fungi (Kohlmeyer and Bebout, 1986).

The coast of the state of Bahia presents about 1,100 km of extension, in which are located several estuaries. The mangroves of this part of the Brazilian coast are well developed, with trees that reach up to 15 m in height in certain stretches (Schaeffer-Novelli et al., 1990). Despite the intense urbanization along this coast, some areas are still relatively well preserved, and extensive stretches are legally protected as conservation units. For example, on the southern coast of Bahia, were established a few years ago the Federal Marine Extractive Reserve (RESEX) of Canavieiras and the Environmental Preservation Area of Camamu (APA of Camamu), a conservation area of municipal and state jurisdiction. Artisanal fishing, nautical tourism and crustaceans/ mollusks extrativism are the main socioeconomic activities of traditional populations of this region.

In general, environmental damage on the coast of Bahia is mainly associated with the disorderly rural and urban occupation in the surroundings of rivers and estuaries, which also often receive untreated domestic sewage, as well as pesticide residues from upstream crops (Schiavetti et al., 2002). Also, because it is an area with intense naval activity, as well as nautical tourism and artisanal fishing, several impacts are also due to maritime activities. One of these impacts is the organotin contamination that can cause sexual alterations and reproductive unfeasibility in marine gastropods, as verified in the region by Zeidan and Boehs (2017) in the neogastropod *Stramonita rustica* (Lamarck, 1822).

Within the assumptions for bioindication referred by Mccarty and Munkittrick (1996), *L. angulifera* meets several selection criteria for estuaries and mangroves monitoring, among them, easy collection and manipulation and its conspicuous presence in these systems. Nevertheless, few studies have used it as a sentinel species of environmental quality in mangroves/ estuaries (Martínez et al., 2013). Recent studies in Southeast (Costa et al., 2013) and Northeast Brazilian coast (Zeidan et al., 2018), suggest the feasibility of using *L. angulifera* as bioindicator for organotin contamination that still been used in antifouling paints of boats.

The objective of this study was to analyze the height of the shell, and to perform a morphometric analysis of the reproductive apparatus of *L. angulifera* in the South Atlantic, State of Bahia, Brazil.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Samples

The samples (n = 880) were obtained by active search/ manual capture, during January and February of 2014, summer in the South Atlantic, in 22 sampling stations (St) located between latitudes 13°54’S and 15°44’S (Table 1), covering, from the south to the north, the municipalities of Belmonte, Canavieiras, Ilhéus, Itacaré, Maraú and Camamu, in a stretch of approximately 300 km of coastal extension. A total of 40 specimens / station were collected during low tide of syzygy, using the tide chart of the Brazilian Navy (Brasil, 2014) as a reference.

The specimens were sampled in the meso and supralittoral on mangroves (some more conserved with ample vegetal cover and more restrict access for human and for that reason less impacted and other ones with easier access, where vegetal coverage is more sparse and visibly anthropized), as well as on rocks and concrete walls in places close to ports, shipyards and berths. The specimens were placed in plastic bags labeled and transported to the State University of Santa Cruz - UESC (Ilhéus) for laboratory procedures. The samplings were authorized by the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation - ICMBio, Brazil, through the license number 20912-3 / 2014.

2.2. Laboratory processing

The processing was done at the Laboratory of Marine Mollusks (LMM / UESC, Ilhéus, Brazil) and complemented at the Laboratory of Estuarine Marine Ecology (LEME, University of Aveiro, Portugal). After the macroscopic shell analysis of color and texture, the specimens were measured in relation to the main axis (height), using a digital caliper with 0.01 mm precision and anesthetized with 10% Magnesium Chloride (MgCl) in distilled water for 1 hour, following the recommendation of Zeidan et al. (2018). The shell was broken with a table vise for sex observation and analyzes of the reproductive tract. For this last analysis were randomly selected 20 individuals / sampling station with height ≥10 mm. A stereomicroscope (Leica) at 10x, 40x and 100x magnifications was used for anatomical observations.

Taking as reference the anatomical and ecological descriptions made by Marcus and Marcus (1963), Merkt and Ellison (1998) and Reid (1999), were analyzed in both sexes the color/ texture of the gonad, as well as of the gonoducts and other reproductive organs. To analyze the genital morphometry were measured in males the length of the penis and of the prostate and the distance from the...
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Prostate opening to the anus. In females were measured the length of the palial oviduct and the distance between the anus and the aperture of the vulva.

Fragments of reproductive tract apparatus of 15 specimens (randomly selected from the pool of 880 samples), including males and females, were cut with a scalpel and subjected to histological analysis, with fixation in Bouin solution for 24 hours, preservation in 70% ethanol, followed by alcoholic dehydration, diaphanization with Histo-Clear® and embedding in paraffin. The tissues were cut into a microtome with a thickness of 5-7 μm, stained with Harris hematoxylin and eosin (H & E) and mounted on DPX resin. The material was analyzed under a light microscope, in total magnifications of 100 x and 400 x. The slides were deposited in the LMM / UESC histological collection.

2.3. Statistical analyzes

Analyzes of variance (ANOVA), complemented with the Tukey *a posteriori* test were used to compare the height of the shell among sample stations and between sexes. Pearson and Spearman correlation analyzes, according to the normality of the data, were used to interpret the morphometric relationships of shell height and lengths / distances of reproductive organs. The confidence level used was 95%. Analyzes were performed using SigmaStat software.

3. Results

3.1. Shell morphology

The external morphology of the shell presented typical turbinate shape, with fine texture, but robust and with a sharp vertex. Color polymorphism was observed, including beige, brown, yellow-orange and purple, with dark brown predominating (Figure 1). In the sample stations St3, St6, St7, St8, St9 and St10, the shell was primarily white with a black eye. In the sample stations St11, St12, St13 and St14, the shell was beige with a black eye. In the sample stations St15, St16, St17, St18, St19 and St20, the shell was primarily white with a black eye. In the sample stations St21, St22, St23, St24, St25 and St26, the shell was beige with a black eye. In the sample stations St27, St28, St29, St30 and St31, the shell was primarily white with a black eye. In the sample stations St32, St33, St34, St35 and St36, the shell was beige with a black eye. In the sample stations St37, St38, St39, St40 and St41, the shell was primarily white with a black eye. In the sample stations St42, St43, St44, St45 and St46, the shell was beige with a black eye. In the sample stations St47, St48, St49, St50 and St51, the shell was primarily white with a black eye. In the sample stations St52, St53, St54, St55 and St56, the shell was beige with a black eye.

Table 1. Results of shell height (mm), number of males and females and sex ratio of *Littoraria angulifera* in 22 sampling stations on the southern coast of Bahia, northeast Brazil.

| Sample stations | Geographical coordinates | County/type of environment | Number of males/females and sex ratio () | Shell heigth (Mean±SD) Males | Shell heigth (Mean±SD) Females |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| St1  | 15°41'40.17"S/38°56'46.45"W | Belmonte* | 27/13 (2.07) | 19.47±3.25 | 22.22±2.76 |
| St2  | 15°43'7.83"S/38°55'30.82"W | Belmonte* | 25/15 (1.67) | 22.25±2.42 | 23.89±2.28 |
| St3  | 15°44'3.30"S/38°55'3.14"W | Canavieiras* | 25/15 (1.67) | 22.94±1.85 | 23.76±2.12 |
| St4  | 15°44'3.59"S/38°55'1.67"W | Canavieiras* | 15/25 (0.6) | 23.90±1.97 | 23.77±3.08 |
| St5  | 15°44'7.95"S/38°55'9.49"W | Canavieiras* | 22/18 (1.22) | 23.90±1.50 | 24.78±2.38 |
| St6  | 15°41'0.07"S/38°56'38.06"W | Canavieiras** | 23/17 (1.35) | 14.09±2.42 | 13.72±2.99 |
| St7  | 15°44'7.13"S/38°57'3.54"W | Canavieiras** | 24/16 (1.5) | 21.72±2.72 | 21.20±2.89 |
| St8  | 15°39'34.54"S/38°56'33.88"W | Canavieiras* | 22/11 (2.63) | 25.00±1.95 | 25.08±2.05 |
| St9  | 15°38'29.87"S/38°56'50.02"W | Canavieiras* | 19/23 (0.82) | 22.67±1.98 | 21.89±2.66 |
| St10 | 15°36'0.97"S/38°56'56.68"W | Canavieiras* | 24/16 (1.5) | 21.27±3.32 | 22.73±1.93 |
| St11 | 14°48'34.48"S/39°2'21.76"W | Ilhéus* | 28/12 (3.5) | 22.38±2.50 | 22.11±2.28 |
| St12 | 14°48'10.17"S/39°2'21.32"W | Ilhéus* | 22/18 (1.22) | 21.91±1.79 | 19.78±2.19 |
| St13 | 14°46'56.93"S/39°1'59.89"W | Ilhéus*** | 18/22 (0.81) | 15.49±2.37 | 15.02±2.17 |
| St14 | 14°16'38.65"S/38°59'30.98"W | Itacaré* | 18/22 (1.12) | 21.40±3.85 | 20.45±3.45 |
| St15 | 13°55'2.60"S/39°0'5.90"W | Camamu* | 20/20 (1.0) | 23.10±2.55 | 22.24±3.02 |
| St16 | 13°54'51.79"S/39°1'8.78"W | Camamu* | 24/16 (1.5) | 23.10±6.19 | 24.21±2.42 |
| St17 | 13°57'33.76"S/39°1'54.49"W | Camamu* | 15/25 (0.6) | 22.75±1.84 | 23.71±3.05 |
| St18 | 13°59'33.85"S/39°0'3.77"W | Camamu* | 22/18 (1.22) | 23.05±4.74 | 23.05±4.74 |
| St19 | 13°58'43.12"S/39°5'3.80"W | Maruá** | 23/17 (1.35) | 25.00±2.78 | 25.00±2.56 |
| St20 | 14°1'32.89"S/38°59'22.06"W | Maruá** | 18/22 (0.81) | 17.63±1.94 | 17.63±1.82 |
| St21 | 14°47'3.15"S/39°2'13.14"W | Ilhéus*** | 12/28 (0.42) | 13.74±1.41 | 14.51±1.09 |
| St22 | 14°34'26.25"S/39°3'8.20"W | Ilhéus* | 31/9 (3.44) | 26.62±2.35 | 26.62±2.67 |

Type of environment: * mangrove; ** concrete walls of berths; *** concrete walls of port region. n = 880.

Figure 1. Example of *Littoraria angulifera* from the coast of the state of Bahia, northeastern Brazil, seen with the naked eye. A: ventral view of the shell and B: dorsal view.
St20 and St21 (Table 1), the shells were lighter and had less physical resistance to breaking. No evidence of shell sexual dimorphism was observed.

### 3.2. Shell height and sex ratio

Considering all the animals collected (n = 880), the height of the shell ranged from 5.36 to 29.51 mm, with a mean of 20.94 mm, Standard Deviation (SD) ± 0.73. There was a significant difference in this parameter among sampling stations (F = 59.07042; p = 0.0000), but this difference was not observed between sexes (F = 1.352240; p = 0.2599). In terms of shell size, the Tukey test showed significant differences of the St6, St13, St20 and St21, which presented smaller animals in relation to the other sample stations. Coincidentally, these first stations corresponded to artificial structures (concrete walls) at Canavieiras (St6), Port of Ilhéus (St13 and St21) and Maraú dock (St20). Population samples collected at St14 (Canavieiras), St19 (Porto do Campo, Camamu) and St22 (Barra do Sargi, Uruçuca, Ilhéus), all with intact mangroves and tall trees, presented the highest means in shell height (≥ 25 mm) (Table 1).

Regarding the sex ratio, of the total of 880 specimens analyzed, 461 were males (M) and 419 females (F), which resulted in a mean M: F ratio of 1.21: 1, considering all samples. As is shown by this result and also in relation to the sex ratio in each sample station, there was in general a small predominance of males in relation to females. This ratio was higher than the value 2.0 in some sample stations (St1, St8, St11 and St22) and less than 0.6 in others (St4, St17 and St21) (Table 1).

### 3.3. Reproductive apparatus – morphology and morphometry

In males, it was observed a conspicuous penis (Figure 2A), which was yellow in color and located behind the right eye tentacle. Histological analysis showed muscular constitution of the organ and that it is covered by cylindrical epithelium (Figure 2B). The length of the penis ranged from 1.5 to 9.98 mm (Mean = 5.376, SD ± 1.470 mm, n = 211). The prostate, organ responsible for sperm nutrition and transport, presented a yellow-orange color and was located in the right lateral portion of palial cavity, parallel to the anus and above the columellar muscle (Figure 2A). The spermatic duct was located in the distal portion of prostate in the right lateral region of the head and presented cilia, being darker than the penis and with a base marked by a constriction (Figure 2A). The prostate length varied between 3.67 and 11.68 mm (Mean = 6.923, SD ± 1.555 mm, n = 211). The distance from the opening of the prostate to the anus ranged from zero to 1.3 mm (Mean = 0.422, SD ± 0.261 mm, n = 211).

As shown in Table 2, there was a positive correlation between the parameters: penis length versus shell height, prostate length vs. shell height and of prostate length vs. penile length, and a negative correlation between the distance from prostate to anus vs. shell height. Of these, the prostate length vs. shell height was significant (p<0.05).

In females, the gonoduct (palial oviduct) was thin and transparent and located below the surface of epithelium, in the right part of mantle cavity, parallel to the rectum, having cream color, smooth texture, cylindrical shape and a single spiral, with the anus located below this (Figure 3). The gonad was located along with the digestive gland, following a pattern of intercalation of colors, yellow and black, respectively (Figure 3). It was also observed the presence of the bursa copulatrix. The length of palial oviduct ranged from 2.37 to 9.12 mm (Mean = 5.328, SD ± 1.329 mm, n = 229). The distance between the opening of the anus and vulva ranged from 1.66 to 3.55 mm (Mean = 2.412, SD ± 0.391 mm, n = 229). In most females of all sampling stations, not shown, eggs were found in the mantle cavity. All correlations between distances were positive (Table 2), being the length of palial oviduct versus shell height was significant (p<0.05).

---

**Figure 2.** Morphology of *Littoraria angulifera*, evidencing (A) morphometry and anatomical and (B) histological details (spermatic duct and openings of the prostate and anus) of the male reproductive tract and of other organs, viewed under de stereoscopic and optical microscope, respectively. AO = Anal Opening; BD = Basal Disc; DPA = Distance from Prostate to Anus; Gi = Gills; I = Intestine; K = Kidney; M = Muscle tissue; P = Penis; PL = Penile Length; Pr = Prostate; PrL = Prostate Length; Pro = Prostate Opening; SD = Spermatic Duct.
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**Table 2.** Morphometric correlations of *Littoraria angulifera* reproductive apparatus on the southern coast of Bahia, Brazil. n = 440, being 211 males and 229 females.

| Parameters                                      | Coefficient of determination (R-squared) |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Penis length vs. shell height                   | $y = 0.2445x + 19.543$; $R^2 = 0.0172$    |
| Prostate length vs. shell height                | $y = 0.8872x + 14.685$; $R^2 = 0.2477$    |
| Prostate length vs. penile length               | $y = 0.1152x + 4.5774$; $R^2 = 0.0148$    |
| Distance from prostate to anus vs. shell height | $y = -3.3139x + 22.237$; $R^2 = 0.105$    |
| Length of palial oviduct vs. shell height       | $y = 1.9269x + 10.518$; $R^2 = 0.7639$    |
| Distance from anus to oviduct vs. shell height  | $y = 3.566x + 12.181$; $R^2 = 0.2332$     |
| Distance from anus to vulva vs. palial oviduct length | $y = 1.2417x + 2.3321$; $R^2 = 0.1374$|

**Figura 3.** Morphology of *Littoraria angulifera*, evidencing anatomical/morphometric details of the female reproductive tract and of other organs under a stereoscopic microscope. DAV = Distance from Anus to Vulva; Gi = Gills; G = Gonad; K = Kidney; LPO = Length of Palial Oviduct; PO = Palial Oviduct; V = Vulva.

4. **Discussion**

*Littoraria angulifera* is known to have great shape plasticity and in shell coloration. Using material from museum collections and field collections in the eastern and western tropical Atlantic, Merkt and Ellison (1998) tested two hypotheses regarding the phenotypic variation of the shell in this species, the first of the dispersal restriction along the tropical Atlantic due to regime of the main marine currents and the second linked to habitat population characteristics. The absence of genital geographic variation led the authors to reject the hypothesis of dispersion and to believe in the influence of the habitat on the chromatic polymorphism and shell structure. The color polymorphism was also observed in the congeneric species *L. pallescens*, the mangrove leaf snail, by Cook and Freeman (1986), who observed, through experimental work, that the yellow individuals had less robust shell (thinner and less heavy) compared to dark ones, but less rapid heating compared to dark ones. The authors believe that this may be related to the occupation behavior of the leaf surface in this species. Taking this result a parameter, the predominance of the dark color observed in *L. angulifera* in the present study favors populations of intact mangroves, with greater shading, and disadvantages those that occupy sparse mangroves and artificial substrates. This further explains the small size of individuals in these latter sites and the paler coloration of animals collected on consolidated substrates in areas of nautical activity such as ports, shipyards and berths. The shell thermal characteristics in relation to their color and habitat were also mentioned for *L. angulifera* by Merkt and Ellison (1998).

Based on the premise that physiological stress interferes in the growth of organisms, the desiccation caused by the occupation of artificial substrates and in sparse mangroves was probably the main cause of the smaller size of *L. angulifera* in these areas. In addition to disturbances in growth, Merkt and Ellison (1998) and Melo et al. (2012) call attention to the fact that there may be an increase in mortality and consequently a decrease in population density of these places. Tanaka and Maia (2006) studied the morphological variation of *L. angulifera* among Brazilian northeast mangroves and although they found a great phenotypic variation in small and large spatial scales, verified that specimens from mangrove with small trees were more resistant in desiccation tests in relation to those of mangroves more intact and with higher vegetation. This suggests physiological adaptation to less favorable environments, although with damage to growth and possibly in other aspects of their development, such as reproduction.

In addition to desiccation, pollution seems to also interfere in the growth of this and congeneric species. The results obtained by Costa et al. (2013) in a study in southeastern Brazil, using *L. angulifera* as an organotin bioindicator showed that animals from polluted areas near to port of Vitória (Espírito Santo) were smaller than those sampled in mangroves well preserved. In a study with *L. scabra* in Tanzania, De Wolf and Rashid (2008) found that individuals with high levels of heavy metals were significantly smaller and lighter compared to those of unpolluted mangroves. These results suggest that when both are present, there may be a synergistic effect of desiccation and pollution, with interference on the growth of *Littoraria* species.

The predominance of males in some sample stations and of females in others may have been slightly influenced by the sampling methodology used in this study, but...
overall there was a balance around the ratio 1: 1. Empirical observations lead to indications that females occupy lower strata than males. This had already been demonstrated experimentally for *L. angulifera* by Lenderking (1954) however more studies may provide more reliable evidences about this aspect.

The study showed broad compliance in reproductive apparatus with the previous descriptions made by Marcus and Marcus (1963), Merkt and Ellison (1998) and Reid (1999) for the species. Merkt and Ellison (1998) have shown that afriantlantic populations show uniformity in genital morphology, concluding that it is a unique species throughout this region. According to Reid (1999), the male reproductive apparatus of the genus *Littoraria* resembles that of the genus *Littorina*, described by Linke (1933) and Fretter and Graham (1994). In relation to the reproductive apparatus of the females, unlike the one observed in the ophigous congeneric species of *L. angulifera*, in which the palial oviduct has four to six turns and with several glands responsible for the encapsulation of the eggs (Reid, 1999), in relation to the descriptions of Marcus and Marcus (1963) and Reid (1999), this study corroborated that *L. angulifera* has only one simple turn, which according to these, does not present encapsulation glands, thus resembling species of the subgenus *Littorinopsis* and clearly reflecting the ooviviparity of the species.

In relation to the use of the morphometric parameters (prostate length and distance between the opening of the prostate to the anus in males; oviduct length and distance between the vulva to the anus in females), although until the present study, this morphometry has not been used for *L. angulifera*, morphophysiological changes in the reproductive system, to the detriment of organotin contamination, were observed in Europe by Bauer et al. (1995) in *Littorina littorea* (L.), an oviparous species, which reported a gradual replacement of the oviduct by a prostate, and in the latter stage there may be the development of a penis and a seminal sulcus, with sterilization of the female called *intersex*. Zeidan et al. (2018) confirmed that morphometric changes occurred in the gonads of *L. angulifera* females submitted to injections and feed contaminated with tributyltin, which presented palial oviduct more elongated than the negative control, and feed contaminated with tributyltin, which presented palial oviduct more elongated than the negative control, and with the interpretation of Merkt and Ellison (1998) that larger females may have larger litters, since they have more space to store and to hatch the eggs in the mantle cavity. Thus, this parameter can be used in reproductive evaluation of this species.

A significant positive correlation between oviduct length and shell height was also observed in the study. This assumes fertility increase in larger animals, converging with the interpretation of Merkt and Ellison (1998) that larger females may have larger litters, since they have more space to store and to hatch the eggs in the mantle cavity. Thus, this parameter can be used in reproductive evaluation of this species.

In conclusion, this study confirmed: (a) the previous descriptions of the reproductive apparatus of *L. angulifera*, including that it is an ooviviparous animal; (b) a positive correlation between the size of the oviduct and prostate in relation to the shell height; (c) the occurrence of smaller animals in environments with greater exposure to solar radiation and human occupation, where the shells were also more brittle. This last result reinforces the use of this species as bioindicator using this parameter. However, to reinforce the adequacy of *L. angulifera* use in estuarine monitoring, the effect of pollutants also needs to be investigated.
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