Draft genome sequence and characterization of Desulfitobacterium hafniense PCE-S
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Abstract

This genome report describes the draft genome and the physiological characteristics of Desulfitobacterium hafniense PCE-S, a Gram-positive bacterium known to dechlorinate tetrachloroethene (PCE) to dichloroethene by a PCE reductive dehalogenase. The draft genome has a size of 5,666,696 bp with a G + C content of 47.3%. The genome is very similar to the already sequenced Desulfitobacterium hafniense Y51 and the type strain DCB-2. We identified two complete reductive dehalogenase (rdh) genes in the genome of D. hafniense PCE-S, one of which encodes PceA, the PCE reductive dehalogenase, and is located on a transposon. Interestingly, this transposon structure differs from the PceA-containing transposon of D. hafniense Y51. The second rdh encodes an unknown reductive dehalogenase, highly similar to rdhA7 found in D. hafniense DCB-2, in which the corresponding gene is disrupted. This reductive dehalogenase might be responsible for the reductive dechlorination of 2,4,5-trichlorophenol and pentachlorophenol, which is mediated by D. hafniense PCE-S in addition to the reductive dechlorination of PCE.
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Introduction

Desulfitobacterium spp. are anaerobic Gram-positive bacteria belonging to the phylum Firmicutes. Desulfitobacteria are metabolically versatile bacteria capable of utilizing a wide range of electron donors and acceptors, the latter also including organohalides. Previously, the genome sequences of Desulfitobacterium hafniense Y51 and DCB-2 have been published [1,2], and further genomes of various desulfitobacteria are expected to be published in the near future as the result of ongoing sequencing projects (Kruse et al, unpublished results). The genomes of Desulfitobacterium hafniense DCB-2 and Y51 are relatively large (5.3 and 5.7 Mbp, respectively) and are characterized by a high number of genes related to energy metabolism. In both genomes, at least one gene encoding a reductive dehalogenase was found. D. hafniense DCB-2 contains seven rdh genes, two of which are likely non-functional due to either a transposase insertion or a frameshift mutation. The D. hafniense Y51 genome harbours one reductive dehalogenase gene, encoding a PCE reductive dehalogenase [1]. Despite the great interest in the potential application of Desulfitobacterium spp. and other organohalide-respiring bacteria for bioremediation, only a few reductive dehalogenases have been biochemically characterized. One example of a well-studied reductive dehalogenase is the tetrachloroethene reductase, PceA, from D. hafniense PCE-S [3-6]. Here, we describe the isolation and characterization of D. hafniense PCE-S together with its draft genome sequence. The organism is capable of dechlorinating PCE via TCE to cis-DCE as well as of several chlorophenols. The draft genome is 5,666,696 bp in size and is compared to the genome sequences of D. hafniense Y51 and DCB-2. In addition, some morphological and physiological characteristics of strain PCE-S are given and compared to those of other members of the Desulfitobacterium genus.

Organism information

Characterization and features

Desulfitobacterium hafniense (Figure 1) PCE-S was isolated from a fixed-bed reactor inoculated with a methanogenic
mixed culture, enriched from soil of a dumping site contaminated with chlorinated ethenes. For further enrichment, the mixed culture was immobilized in a fixed-bed reactor with anoxic mineral medium supplemented with 20 mmol l\(^{-1}\) ethanol and 0.4 to 0.5 mmol l\(^{-1}\) PCE. A pure culture was obtained by inoculating agar medium in roll tubes with a diluted suspension of the biofilm. \textit{D. hafniense} PCE-S has been deposited in the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSM 14645).

\textit{D. hafniense} PCE-S is a slightly curved, sporulating Gram-positive rod of 0.6 \(\mu\)m (diameter) by 6.0 \(\mu\)m (length). Motility was observed only during exponential growth.

---

Figure 1 Phylogenetic tree of \textit{Desulfitobacterium} spp. 16S rRNA gene sequences were derived from NCBI Genbank. The following 16S rRNA genes were chosen if a complete genome sequence was available: \textit{Bacillus subtilis}: BSU_rRNA_4, \textit{Geobacter lovleyi}: Glov_R0005, \textit{Desulfosporosinus orientis}: Desor_0097, \textit{D. dehalogenans}: Desde_0132, \textit{D. dichloroeliminans}: Desdi_0096, \textit{D. metallireducens}: DESME_00460, \textit{D. hafniense} Y51: DSY_16SrRNA1, \textit{D. hafniense} DCB-2: Dhaf_R0006, \textit{Dehalobacter restrictus}: DEHRE_01135. \textit{D. hafniense} PCE-S 16S rRNA gene sequence: was corrected with the help of the draft genome sequence. The tree was generated with MEGA 6.0 [7] using the maximum likelihood algorithm with 500 bootstraps and standard settings. Sequences were trimmed to the size of the shortest available sequence and aligned with Muscle. Bootstrap values of lower than 70\% are considered as low and thus the two nodes with low bootstrap values in the \textit{Desulfitobacterium} clade have to be considered with care.

Figure 2 Ultra-thin section electron micrograph of cells of \textit{D. hafniense} Y51 (A) and PCE-S (B). Cm: cytoplasmic membrane, Cw: cell wall, Cy: cytoplasm, Ss: slime sacculus (mucosal layer). Cells were grown in the presence of PCE and harvested in the late exponential growth phase. Cells were pre-fixed with 2.5\% glutaraldehyde for 1 h and post fixed with 1\% osmium tetroxide for 2 h. Samples were dehydrated in ascending ethanol series and embedded in Araldite resin. Ultra-thin sections were prepared with an ultramicrotome (Ultratome III, LKB Produkter AB, Bromma, Sweden) and analysed in a Zeiss EM902A transmission electron microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany).
growth. The cells are surrounded by a slime sacculus, a trait that distinguishes *D. hafniense* PCE-S from *D. hafniense* Y51 (Figure 2). Cytochromes *b* and *c* as well as corrinoids, the latter being an essential cofactor of reductive dehalogenases, were detected in strain PCE-S.

*D. hafniense* PCE-S was shown to utilize pyruvate and several O-methylated compounds (Table 1, [8,9]) as electron donor, whereas acetate, glucose, fructose, mannitol or sorbitol were not utilized as electron donor. Fumarate, nitrate, thiosulfate and several chlorinated compounds were used as electron acceptors (Table 1). In addition, fermentation of pyruvate as sole energy substrate supports growth of *D. hafniense* PCE-S. Growth in liquid media was observed at temperatures ranging from 20°C to 45°C with an optimum at 37°C (Table 1). With pyruvate as electron donor and PCE as electron acceptor, the maximal dechlorination rate was observed at pH 7.7.

With PCE as electron acceptor (20 mM, supplied from a hexadecane phase), pyruvate was oxidized to acetate and PCE was dechlorinated to cis,1,2-dichloroethene as the main dechlorination product (≥95%) and minor amounts of trichloroethene (≤5%). The chlorinated ethenes were determined gas chromatographically with N2 as carrier gas using two bonded-phase fused silica capillary columns.

The generation time of growth with pyruvate as electron donor and PCE as electron acceptor was 10 h without and 8 h with 0.1% yeast extract at 30°C. Fumarate as electron acceptor plus yeast extract led to a slightly shorter generation time (7 h) than with PCE/yeast extract.

The ability of *D. hafniense* PCE-S to dechlorinate polychlorinated phenols was investigated with pyruvate as electron donor and 0.1% yeast extract. Chlorophenols were analysed by HPLC using an RP-18 (5 μm) LiChrospher 100 column (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Pentachlorophenol and 2,4,5-trichlorophenol at a concentration of 20 μmol l⁻¹ in mineral medium were dechlorinated. 2,4,5-trichlorophenol was partially dechlorinated to 3,4-dichlorophenol, pentachlorophenol was partially dechlorinated to 3,4,5-trichlorophenol and an unidentified tetrachlorophenol, 2,6-dichlorophenol, 3,5-dichlorophenol, and 2,4-dichlorophenol were not dechlorinated by *D. hafniense* PCE-S.

### Table 1 Classification and general features of Desulfitobacterium hafniense PCE-S

| MIGS ID | Property | Term | Evidence codea |
|---------|----------|------|----------------|
|         | Domain   | Bacteria | TAS [10] |
|         | Phylum   | Firmicutes | TAS [11] |
|         | Class    | Clostridia | TAS [12,13] |
|         | Order    | Clostridiales | TAS [14] |
|         | Family   | Peptococcaceae | TAS [13,15] |
|         | Genus    | Desulfitobacterium | TAS [16] |
|         | Species  | Desulfitobacterium hafniense | TAS [17] |
|         | Strain   | PCE-S | |
|         | Gram stain | Negative | IDA |
|         | Cell shape | Curved Rods | IDA |
|         | Motility | + (only exponentially growing cells) | IDA |
|         | Sporulation | + | IDA |
|         | Temperature range | 20 – 45°C | IDA |
|         | Optimum temperature | 37°C | IDA |
|         | pH range; Optimum | not determined | IDA |
|         | Carbon source | Pyruvate, acetate | IDA |
|         | Habitat | Soil contaminated with chlorinated ethenes | IDA |
|         | Salinity | not determined | IDA |
|         | Oxygen requirement | Anaerobic, Microaerotolerant | NAS |
|         | Biotic relationship | free-living | IDA |
|         | Pathogenicity | None known | IDA |
|         | Geographic location | Eppelheim, Germany | IDA |
|         | Sample collection time | 1996 | IDA |
|         | Latitude | 49.39 | IDA |
|         | Longitude | 8.62 | IDA |
|         | Altitude | 110 m | IDA |

a) Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from the Gene Ontology project [18].

### Table 2 Project information

| MIGS ID | Property | Term |
|---------|----------|------|
| MIGS-31 | Finishing quality | Improved high quality draft |
| MIGS-28 | Libraries used | One Illumina Miseq paired end library |
| MIGS-29 | Sequencing platforms | Illumina MiSeq Personal Sequencer |
| MIGS-31.2 | Fold coverage | 100 x |
| MIGS-30 | Assemblers | Ray version 2.3, Edena version 3.130110 |
| MIGS-32 | Gene calling method | Prodigal version 2.5 |
|         | Locus Tag | DPCE |
|         | EMBL ID | LK996017-LK996040 |
|         | EMBL Date of Release | September 31, 2014 |
|         | GOLD ID | Gp0109025 |
|         | BIOPROJECT | 264037 |
|         | Project relevance | Bioremediation |

*Goris et al. Standards in Genomic Sciences* 2015, 10:15 http://www.standardsingenomics.com/content/10/1/15
D. hafniense PCE-S has an average nucleotide identity (ANI) of 98.25% to D. hafniense Y51 and of 97.6 to the D. hafniense type strain DCB-2 [1,2,19].

**Genome sequencing information**

**Genome project history**

The genome consists of 101 contigs in 24 scaffolds, of which the largest scaffold consists of 5,594,916 bp, covering more than 98% of the genome and more than 98% of the protein coding genes. Table 2 presents the project information and its association with MIGS version 2.0 compliance [20].

**Growth conditions and DNA preparation**

D. hafniense PCE-S was cultivated under anoxic conditions as described by Scholz-Muramatsu et al. [21] and
Reinhold et al. [22]. For isolation of genomic DNA, *D. hafniense* PCE-S was cultivated for one subculture with fumarate after regularly being cultivated in the presence of PCE. The isolation was carried out as described by Reinhold et al. Approximately 12 μg of genomic DNA were used for genome sequencing. The genome sequence of *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* PCE-S has been deposited in the EMBL database under accession numbers LK996017-LK996040.

### Genome sequencing and annotation

DNA was sequenced at GATC Biotech (Konstanz, Germany) on an Illumina MiSeq Personal Sequencer, generating 1,242,269 paired end reads with a length of 250 bp.

Genome size was estimated prior to assembly using kmer spectrumanalyzer. The assembly was done in parallel with two different assemblers. One assembly was performed with Edena [23], with standard parameters, the second assembly with Ray, using a kmer-value of 125 [24]. Afterwards both assemblies were merged with Zorro with one of the paired end files supplied [25]. Next, this hybrid assembly was scaffolded with opera version 1.2 [26], which was set up to use Bowtie version 0.12.7 for mapping [27]. As last step, Pilon version 1.4 was used for quality assurance on the assembly [28]. Reads were mapped with Bowtie2 version 2.0.6 [29], further converted with Samtools version 0.1.18 (r982:295) [30], and then provided to Pilon as input data.

All steps were done using standard parameters, unless stated otherwise. Before annotation, the genome was blasted [31] against itself with an e-value of 0.0001. All contigs with a length of less than 500 bp were discarded, as well as those with less than 1,000 bp which matched onto another genomic location with 100% identity.

After annotation, a check for technical duplications was performed. Contigs, which were determined to be such duplications, were manually removed from the initial assembly and replaced with contigs from the second assembler. The assembly workflow was repeated until no more technical duplications were found.

The assembly was then further scaffolded with CONTIGuator version 2.7.4 [32] and the genome of

### Table 3 Nucleotide content and gene count levels of the genome

| Attribute                        | Genome (total) | Value | % of total**a** |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|
| Genome size (bp)                 |                | 5,666,696 | 99.14**b**     |
| DNA Coding                       |                | 4,904,707 | 86.55          |
| DNA G + C (bp)                   |                | 2,679,309 | 47.3           |
| DNA scaffolds                    |                | 24     | 100            |
| Total genes                      |                | 5,494  | 100            |
| Protein-coding genes             |                | 5,417  | 98.47          |
| RNA genes                        |                | 80     | 1.42           |
| Pseudogenes                      |                | not determined | not determined |
| Genes in internal clusters       |                | not determined | not determined |
| Genes with function prediction   |                | 4561   | 83.02          |
| Genes assigned to COGs           |                | 3,210  | 58.26          |
| Genes with Pfam domains          |                | 4387   | 79.85          |
| Genes with signal peptides       |                | 296    | 5.46           |
| Genes with transmembrane helices |                | 1,624  | 29.98          |
| CRISPR repeats                   |                | 143    |                |

*The total is based on either the size of the genome in base pairs or the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.

**Percent value of the draft genome sequence compared to the calculated size of the complete genome sequence.

### Table 4 Number of genes associated with the 25 general COG functional categories

| Code | Value | % of totala | Description                          |
|------|-------|-------------|--------------------------------------|
| J    | 164   | 3.03        | Translation                          |
| A    | 0     | 0           | RNA processing and modification      |
| K    | 279   | 5.15        | Transcription                        |
| L    | 168   | 3.10        | Replication, recombination and repair|
| B    | 1     | 0.02        | Chromatin structure and dynamics     |
| D    | 37    | 0.68        | Cell cycle control, mitosis and meiosis|
| Y    | 0     | 0           | Nuclear structure                    |
| V    | 81    | 1.50        | Defense mechanisms                   |
| T    | 169   | 3.12        | Signal transduction mechanisms       |
| M    | 127   | 2.34        | Cell wall/membrane biogenesis        |
| N    | 57    | 1.05        | Cell motility                        |
| Z    | 1     | 0.02        | Cytoskeleton                         |
| W    | 0     | 0           | Extracellular structures             |
| U    | 47    | 0.87        | Intracellular trafficking and secretion|
| O    | 85    | 1.57        | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones |
| C    | 237   | 4.38        | Energy production and conversion     |
| G    | 127   | 2.34        | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism|
| E    | 312   | 5.76        | Amino acid transport and metabolism  |
| F    | 66    | 1.22        | Nucleotide transport and metabolism  |
| H    | 139   | 2.57        | Coenzyme transport and metabolism    |
| I    | 77    | 1.42        | Lipid transport and metabolism       |
| P    | 214   | 3.95        | Inorganic ion transport and metabolism|
| Q    | 73    | 1.35        | Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism|
| R    | 415   | 7.66        | General function prediction only     |
| S    | 280   | 5.17        | Function unknown                     |
| -    | 2,261 | 41.74       | Not in COGs                          |

*The total is based on the total number of protein coding genes in the annotated genome.
Desulfitobacterium hafniense Y51 as reference [1]. Disagreements with the reference genome were examined with Mauve [33] and Tablet [34], and in case of considerable drops of coverage, the contigs and related reads were isolated, and a re-assembly was performed with Edena. This re-assembly was again scaffolded with CONTIGuator using Y51 as reference genome. Non-scaffolded contigs were included as single contigs in the final result, unless they had a blast hit of more than 90% of their length with a minimum sequence identity of 90% to the scaffold result from CONTIGuator.

The annotation was carried out with an in-house pipeline. In short, this pipeline includes Prodigal version 2.5 for open reading frame identification [35], InterproScan version 5RC7 for protein annotation [36], tRNAscan SE 1.3.1 for tRNA identification [37] and rnammer 1.2 for the prediction of rRNAs [38]. Additional protein function predictions were derived via BLAST [39] UniRef50 and [40] Swissprot databases (downloaded August 2013) [41]. After the annotation process, EC numbers were added with PRIAM version March 06, 2013 [42]. COG assignments were created via blastp best bidirectional hit assignments [43].

**Genome properties**

The genome consists of 24 scaffolds of 5,666,696 bp (47.3% GC content) and an N50 of 5,594,916 bp. In total, 5,494 genes were predicted, 5,417 of which are (Figure 3) protein-coding genes. 4569 of protein coding genes were assigned to a putative function with the remaining annotated as hypothetical proteins. The properties and the statistics of the genome are summarized in Tables 3, 4 and (Additional file 1: Table S1).

**Insights from the genome sequence**

Orthologs to other Desulfitobacterium species were determined via bidirectional BLAST hits [43] with at least 70% sequence identity and similar size of both sequences (+/- 5%).

Two reductive dehalogenase genes (DPCES_1664 and DPCES_3087) are encoded on the genome of D. hafniense PCE-S. The latter is the characterized PCE reductive dehalogenase PceA [3]. It is 97% identical (amino acid sequence) to PceA (DSY_2839) from D. hafniense Y51, which is located on a transposon. This transposon structure is also found in D. hafniense TCE1, where it has been shown to be rapidly lost when the organism is grown in the absence of PCE, leading to the loss of the ability to dechlorinate PCE [45]. The transposon containing pceA of D. hafniense PCE-S shows a different structure than the one of D. hafniense Y51 and TCE1 (Figure 4).

Despite the different organization of this transposon, D. hafniense PCE-S also loses the ability to dechlorinate PCE after prolonged cultivation in the absence of PCE [15]. The second reductive dehalogenase gene (DPCES_1664) has no ortholog in Y51. A truncated ortholog is encoded in DCB-2 (Dhaf_2620). In D. hafniense DCB-2, the corresponding rdhA gene is truncated n-terminally (50 amino acids) due to the insertion of a stop codon through a frameshift mutation. It seems likely that the gene product of DPCES_1664 is responsible for the partial dechlorination of pentachlorophenol and 2,4,5-trichlorophenol by D. hafniense PCE-S.

Of the 5,417 protein coding sequences found in the genome of D. hafniense PCE-S, 4,402 are orthologous to other Desulfitobacterium species and strains. Values given above the CDS arrows are percentage amino acid identity to the respective PCE-S homologs. Modified and updated after [45].
regions in all
length of 12 to 47 spacers were found. The CRISPR re-
found, and in Y51, where five CRISPR regions with a
length of 7 to 60 spacers were
This is similar to the situation in DCB-2, where five
prophages
were identified by PHAST as incomplete or question-
able, but none as complete. The complete prophage
found in
Desulfitobacterium hafniense
PCE-S shows highest similarities to
Vibrio phage X29 (NCBI RefSeq accession no.
NC_024369). Several enzymes, of which orthologs ful-
fill a catabolic function, are not encoded in
Desulfitobacterium hafniense
Y51 and DCB-2, but found on the genome of
Desulfitobacterium hafniense
PCE-S: An ethanolamine ammonia lyase system (PCES_2016-2020), three molydbdopterin oxidoreduc-
tase gene clusters (DPCES_4294-6, DPCES_4565-7, DPCES_4582-4), together with a molydbdopterin import clus-
ter (DPCES_0024-6), and a protein annotated as cellulose synthase (DPCES_2599). A cluster encoding polysaccharide
synthesis enzymes (DPCES_3251 to 3245) might be re-
sponsible for the biosynthesis of the slime sacculus of PCE-S.

Five CRISPR regions with a length from 958 to
3415 bp and 14 to 51 spacers were identified in the geno-
ome of
Desulfitobacterium hafniense
PCE-S with CRISPR finder [47]. This is similar to the situation in DCB-2, where five
CRISPR regions with a length of 7 to 60 spacers were
found, and in Y51, where five CRISPR regions with a length of 12 to 47 spacers were found. The CRISPR
regions in all
Desulfotobacterium spp. genomes are located in close proximity to each other, separated by not more
than 30 kb which are to a large extent covered by
CRISPR associated (CAS) proteins.

Conclusions
Taken together, the genome sequence of
Desulfotobacterium hafniense
PCE-S expands our view on these environ-
mentally interesting microorganisms. The genome
sequence gives us insight into the putative chlorophenol
dechlorinating activity of a reductive dehalogenase
not studied before and might aid bioremediation of chlori-
nated phenols in the future.
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