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Abstract

West Nile virus (WNV) is a mosquito-transmitted Flavivirus belonging to the Japanese encephalitis antigenic complex of the Flaviviridae family. Its spread in the Mediterranean basin and the Balkans poses a significant risk to human health and forces public health officials to constantly monitor the virus transmission to ensure prompt application of preventive measures. In this context, predictive tools indicating the areas and periods at major risk of WNV transmission are of paramount importance. Spatial analysis approaches, which use environmental and climatic variables to find suitable habitats for WNV spread, can enhance predictive techniques. Using the Mahalanobis Distance statistic, areas ecologically most suitable for sustaining WNV transmission were identified in the Mediterranean basin and Central Europe. About 270 human and equine clinical cases notified in Italy, Greece, Portugal, Morocco, and Tunisia, between 2008 and 2012, have been considered. The environmental variables included in the model were altitude, slope, night time Land Surface Temperature, Normalized Difference Vegetation Index, Enhanced Vegetation Index, and daily temperature range. Seasonality of mosquito population has been modelled and included in the analyses to produce monthly maps of suitable areas for West Nile Disease. Between May and July, the most suitable areas are located in Tunisia, Libya, Egypt, and North Cyprus. Summer/Autumn months, particularly between August and October, characterize the suitability in Italy, France, Spain, the Balkan countries, Morocco, North Tunisia, the Mediterranean coast of Africa, and the Middle East. The persistence of suitable conditions in December is confined to the coastal areas of Morocco, Tunisia, Libya, Egypt, and Israel.
**Introduction**

West Nile Disease (WND) is a mosquito-borne disease caused by West Nile virus (WNV), a Flavivirus belonging to the Japanese encephalitis antigenic complex of the family Flaviviridae [1].

The WNV transmission cycle involves birds and mosquitoes. Wild and domestic species of birds act as amplifying and disseminating hosts while mosquitoes, particularly Culex spp., actively transmit the infection in bird populations. Humans, horses, and other mammals may incidentally be infected by a mosquito bite, yet they are not able to transmit the infection and are considered incidental or dead-end hosts [2,3]. Although frequently asymptomatic or characterized by mild febrile illness, in less than 1% of cases, infection in humans may develop severe neurological symptoms [4,5]. Clinical signs generally appear in 2–9 days in horses and in 2–14 days in humans [6–8].

WND was isolated for the first time in a febrile patient from the West Nile district of Northern Uganda in 1937 [9]. From 1950 up to 1994, the virus was reported in different European, African, and Asian countries, but infection in humans, horses, and birds was mainly asymptomatic or mild [10–12]. Relevant clinical outbreaks were observed only in horses in Camargue (France) in 1962 and 1963 [13], and in humans in South Africa in 1974 [14]. In the recent decades outbreaks of WND have been recorded in Eastern, Central, and Southern Europe [6,11,15–18], in Northern Africa [19–21], and in Israel from 1998 to 2000, where a significant mortality in birds was also observed [22]. Since 2008 WNV has spread into areas not previously affected, including Greece [23], Portugal [24], Turkey [25], and many eastern European countries (Albania, Bosnia, Bulgaria, Croatia, FYROM, Kosovo, Montenegro, Serbia) [26–28]. In the same period the disease has been reported in Israel, Italy, Spain, Hungary, Romania, Russia, and Ukraine [27–30].

The ecological aspects of WNV infection were first described in the 1950s in Egypt [31]. Since then, the relationship between the transmission cycle elements—birds (reservoir), mosquitoes (vector), equine and humans (dead-end hosts)—and the climatic and environmental factors have been extensively investigated.

The number of statistical and mathematical models aiming at exploring the association among the occurrence of WND and climatic and environmental variables, both in the Old and New World, has increased significantly over the past decade, and many factors have been proved to be of importance for WNV spread [32].

Temperature is one of the most important drivers in WNV transmission. Warmer air temperatures influence vector competence [33–35], by accelerating the virus replication within mosquito vectors and prolonging their breeding season [36]. Several studies showed a clear association between warmer temperature and outbreak intensity in Europe and USA [37–39]. Significant positive deviation of temperatures in Summer 2010 from perennial weekly average, calculated for the period 1981–2010, was proved to be associated with WND outbreaks in humans in Europe [37]. These results were further confirmed by Tran et al. [38], who demonstrated that anomalies of temperatures in July were the main predictors of WNV risk in Europe and neighbouring countries. Reisen et al. [40] indicate that the WNV spread into new areas from 2002 to 2004 in USA was associated with the occurrence of above-average Summer temperatures. Hahn et al. [39] found out that above average annual temperature was associated with national WNV disease incidence z-scores during 2004–2012 in the U.S.

The role of precipitation is controversial and scientific literature reports contradictory findings, as the timing and the amount of rainfall may have different effects on the density of adult mosquito population. The number of days with precipitation above the 95th percentile value of monthly distribution or precipitation greater than 50 mm in a day, were proven to be positively...
correlated to the occurrence of WND in horses in Morocco and in humans in USA [41,42].
Whereas, positive correlation between WND cases and below-average precipitation has been found in Florida (USA) [43], which has been explained with a major concentration of nutrients for larvae in the remaining water pools. In addition, drought may facilitate a closer contact between birds and vector mosquitoes around the remaining water sources, thus facilitating the transmission of the virus [44]. The difference in the breeding habitat requirements for different Culex species can also help explain the contradictory effect of precipitation on WNV disease incidence [39]. Rainfall data mainly derive from ground measurements usually sparse and irregularly distributed on the territory; but other data sources, such as remote sensing data, offer an attractive alternative to ground measurements, enabling regular data collections, both spatially and temporally [45]. However, the coarse spatial resolution of these products (approximately 20 x 20 km) compared to the Mediterranean landscape, the ranges of available latitude, and the difficulty in validating these data, make vegetation indices more appealing as rainfall surrogate.

The Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) is the most common vegetation index used as covariate in WND risk studies [46,47]. It captures the strong relationship between vegetation cover and climatic elements, like temperature and rainfall, and can therefore be used as an indicator of climatic/environmental conditions suitable for vegetation growth and vector mosquito habitats. In a study of an outbreak of WNV encephalomyelitis in horses located in northern Indiana (USA), the median NDVI value for case premises within an identified cluster was significantly greater than the median NDVI calculated for other case and control premises [48]. Calistri et al. [41] investigated the possible association between NDVI and the occurrence of WND cases in 2003 and in 2010 in Morocco. The study noted that the NDVI values recorded during the Summers of 2003 and 2010, in the zones where WND occurred, were significantly higher than those registered during the same months in the rest of the decade.

Although the above variables are the ones more often investigated, many other predictors have been associated with WND occurrence. An extensive review of these factors can be found in two recent papers [32,47].

The different studies performed so far with the aim of establishing the association between the disease and possible risk factors, include various definitions of ‘case’, different predictors, and different geographical levels of aggregation (from geo-referenced cases to different administrative units–regions, province, district, etc.). This heterogeneity of approaches mainly derives from the complex epidemiology of WNV infection, which implies different proxies for WNV transmission [32]. It also depends on the different information collected by national surveillance plans [28]. The difficulty in collecting comparable data (in terms also of spatial location accuracy) and the complexity of the epidemiological relationships in WNV transmission cycle, force most of the studies to a national, regional, or local scale [49]. Although in U.S. and North America, since the last decade several studies have been conducted on a larger scale [42,50–52], in Europe studies focusing on a continental scale started to appear only during the last couple of years [37,38,53].

Without discounting the usefulness of the models already developed, the aim of this study is to analyse climatic and environmental data to identify, in space and time, areas potentially suitable for WND in Central Europe and in the Mediterranean basin.

Materials and Methods

Study area and data on WND cases

The study area was the Central Europe and the Mediterranean basin. It extended from 29.9° to 50°N and from 15.5° to 46.7°E and included 43 countries, 25 of which have experienced WNV
in the recent decades. Autochthonous WND cases in horses (animals with clinical symptoms) and humans (West Nile neuroinvasive disease and West Nile fever syndromes) which occurred between 2008–2012 were included in the study. For each case, information about clinical signs onset date along with the address of infected patients or coordinates of the place where equine cases occurred were recorded into the database. A total of 274 case-locations were analysed (Fig 1). Horse cases revealed by serology only were not considered, due to the difficulty of tracing back the date of infection.

Equine clinical cases (4 cases for Greece and 1 for Portugal) were from OIE World Animal Health Information System (WAHIS) [24], from the Italian National Surveillance Information System (111 cases), and from the Moroccan National Laboratory BIOPHARMA (Société de Productions Biologiques et Pharmaceutiques Veterinaires, RABAT) (23 cases). Data on human cases were provided by the National Centre for Epidemiology, Surveillance and Health Promotion of the Istituto Superiore di Sanità for Italy (52 cases) and by the Primary Health Care Directorate (DSSB) of Ministry of Health in Tunisia (83 cases). A minimal data set underlying the findings in the study is reported in S1 Table (Italian data on humans have been removed because of legal restriction).

Data on humans were collected from the National Surveillance Systems for communicable diseases in force in Tunisia and Italy. The use of data was approved by the Ministries of Health of the different Countries; all data on patients were treated anonymously and de-identified prior to analysis.

Climatic and environmental data

All human and equine cases enrolled in the study have been assumed to be exposed to the infection in the place where the disease was detected and notified and, therefore, their
geographical locations were used to extract the values of climatic and environmental variables.

The selection of predictors used to characterize places in which WND cases occurred was based on literature and raster data availability for the Mediterranean basin and Central Europe. Data were divided into two groups: static and dynamic. The static variables were altitude and slope, whereas the dynamic ones were the night time Land Surface Temperature (LSTN), the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI), and the daily temperature range; the latter variable expressed as the absolute value of the difference between the LSTN and the daytime land surface temperature (LSTD).

Altitude values were extracted from the Global 30-Arc-Second Elevation Dataset for the World developed by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) (http://eros.usgs.gov, last access on 03/21/2014). Slope data were derived using the Spatial Analyst extension in ArcGIS 10 software (ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA).

NDVI and EVI were extracted from MOD13Q1 NASA product (250 m spatial resolution and a temporal resolution of 16 days) and LSTN and LSTD were extracted from MOD11A2 NASA product (1 km spatial resolution, temporal resolution 8 days) for the 2008–2012 period. Data were downloaded from the Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center (LP DAAC) service at NASA website, (http://e4ftl01.cr.usgs.gov/MOLT, accessed on 06/10/2014). Data were first submitted to a pre-processing phase of missing data interpolation to fill in pixels without data. All the data were then aggregated (average) at the same temporal resolution of 16 days.

All the environmental and climatic datasets were converted to Geotiff raster format with the same extent, spatial resolution (5 km), and Geographic Reference System (GCS- WGS84).

To cover the entire extent of the Mediterranean basin, 1,840 images were downloaded and processed for LST (46 images per year, 5 years, 8 tiles) and 920 for NDVI and EVI (23 images per year, 5 years, 8 tiles).

Statistical analysis

Analysis steps. The analysis was based on two main steps: the first (Mahalanobis Distance analysis) aimed at identifying geographical areas ecologically similar to those where equine and human WND cases were detected; the second one (potential mosquito growth) aimed at monitoring the daily growth rate of mosquitoes, based on environmental temperature. The two outputs were then combined to take into consideration both the environmental suitability and the seasonality of mosquito growth during the year. The analyses were run using ArcGIS 10 (ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA) and R software, version 2.13.1 (R Development Core Team, 2011).

Mahalanobis analysis. The first step was to associate each WND case to the corresponding value of each predictor. In particular, each WND case was assigned to one of 118 16-days periods (from the 1st of January 2008 to the 29th of December 2012), according to the date of clinical symptoms onset. Then, the value of each dynamic predictor (NDVI, EVI, LSTD, LSTN), calculated in the same 16-days period, was associated to the WND case.

The Mahalanobis Distance Statistic (MD) [54] was calculated for the entire set of raster predictors per each year (from 2008 to 2012).

The Mahalanobis distance in each pixel was calculated as follows:

$$MD = (X - m)^T C^{-1} (X - m)$$

where $X$ is the vector of environmental and climatic data for each pixel in the raster, $m$ is the vector of mean values of independent variables for the areas location with WND human and equine cases, $C^{-1}$ is the inverse covariance matrix of independent variables for the areas with WND human cases, and $T$ indicates a vector should be transposed.
The MD statistics produced 23 MD values for each pixel in each year. To be able to combine MD results and daily growth rate of mosquitoes, the MD values for the same 16-days period in the different years were interpolated through a cubic spline function (‘splinefun’ in R package ‘stats’) returning 365 MD values for a hypothetic year. S1 Fig shows two examples of the 23 MD values obtained each year from 2008 to 2012, and the spline interpolation used to test the significance of the MD values.

The estimated daily MD statistics follows an approximate Chi-square distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom, where n is the number of predictors. The analysis produced 365 raster images, in which for each pixel the p-value was reported. P-values close to zero indicate that the climatic and environmental conditions are statistically different from those present in pixels where WND cases were present.

Mosquito growth. The mosquito lifecycle was modelled considering two age compartments model: an aquatic stage (eggs, larvae, pupae) called “L”, and a terrestrial stage (adult mosquitoes) with “N” being the total density of adult mosquitoes [55]. The model, driven by environmental temperature (T), was implemented considering density-dependent population growth rates of mosquitoes (bounded by the carrying capacity of the mosquito larvae), daily temperature, and daytime length at every geographical latitude. The following system of Ordinary Differential equations (ODEs) was implemented:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dL}{dt} &= (b_L(T))\delta N - m_L(T)\delta - b_N(T)L \\
\frac{dN}{dt} &= b_N(T)L - m_N(T)N
\end{align*}
\]

The birth rate functions for larvae (\(b_L\)) and adults (\(b_N\)), the fraction of active mosquitoes \(\delta\), the mortality rate for adults \(m_N(T)\) were derived from Rubel et al. [55], all functions are described in Table 1. The mortality rate for larvae \(m_L(T)\) was modeled through a U-shape function according to Beck-Johnson et al. [56] in order to consider a wider range of temperature activity, and lower mortality rate at higher temperatures. Enlarging the range of temperatures with mosquito activity was necessary to adapt a model developed at Austria latitudes to all Mediterranean locations. Table 1 shows detailed formulas, parameters, and references of the mosquito growth model. Birth and mortality parameters and initial values adopted in the ODEs are reported in S2 Table.

### Table 1. Formulas, parameters, and references of the mosquito growth model: ordinary differential equations.

| Parameter | Value | Description | Reference |
|-----------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| L         | \(L(\text{temperature, latitude, day of the year})\) | Density function of larvae | |
| N         | \(N(\text{temperature, latitude, day of the year})\) | Density function of adult mosquitoes | |
| T         | degree Celsius | Temperature in degree Celsius | |
| \(b_L\)  | \(b_L(T) = 2.325 k(T)\) | birth rate of larvae | [55] |
| \(b_N\)  | \(b_N(T) = b_L(T)/10\) | birth rate of adult mosquitoes. It is of the same shape as larvae birth rate, one order of magnitude lower. | [55] |
| \(K\)    | 3,300,000 | carrying capacity, bounding the growth of mosquito larvae population | [57] |
| \(m_L\)  | \(m_L(T) = \gamma_L \mu_L \exp\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_L^2} T^2\right)\) | Mortality rate of larvae. It is an U-shaped function. | [56] |
| \(m_N\)  | \(m_N(T) = m_L(T)/10\) | Mortality rate of adult mosquitoes. It is of the same shape as larvae mortality rate, one order of magnitude lower. | [55,56] |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146024.t001
The ODEs were run considering daily temperature data calculated as follows: for each 16-days period of each year (from 2008 to 2012), the mean daily temperature was calculated for each pixel, averaging LSTN and LSTD. This calculation produced 23 mean temperature values per each pixel and each year. The values of the same 16-days period in the different years were interpolated through a cubic spline function (‘splinefun’ in R package ‘stats’) to obtain a series of 365 values (referred to as a hypothetic year).

The outputs were represented in 365 raster images with the modelled number of adult mosquitoes for each pixel derived from an initial value of 500,000.

To integrate mosquito growth values with the outputs of the Mahalanobis analysis, the estimated numbers of adult mosquitoes were normalized in a [0–1] interval, by dividing each value in each pixel by the maximum value reached in the pixel. This permitted to include the seasonal pattern of mosquito population, without having to take into account the absolute values of abundance (that is unknown), but considering the probability for the mosquito population of being at its maximum in a pixel in a day.

Mapping suitable areas for WND. The 365 raster images of MD p-values and the 365 raster images of normalized mosquito growth values were then combined with an ‘AND’ operator to produce 365 maps of suitable areas. Finally, the 365 results were aggregated considering the maximum value in a 30-day period producing 12 suitability maps (Fig 2).

Validation. The suitability maps were validated using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve method [58]. The ROC area under the curve (AUC) assesses the capacity of the model to discriminate the locations where the WND is present from those where it is absent. AUC values can be interpreted on the scale proposed by Swets [59]: AUC<0.7 poor; 0.7< AUC< 0.90 useful; AUC >0.9 good. The method requires independent data representing both disease presence and absence.

Considering the limited number of cases, which made impossible a division into training and testing sets, k-fold cross validation was used. The original dataset on WND occurrence was randomly partitioned into k subsamples of equal size. Of the k subsamples, one subsample was used for the model validation, and the other k-1 subsamples were used as model’s input data. The cross-validation process was then repeated k times, for each of the k subsamples. In particular, the 274 cases, were randomly subdivided into k partitions, with k = 7. In each of the 7 iterations, 234 cases were used as input data and 40 for the validation of the model (in the last iteration 240 data points were used as model’s input and 34 for the validation). As far as negatives are concerned, 600 background data points for each iteration were created. These data were randomly generated through a selection of 50 points in each of the 12 final prediction results (to take into account the different predictions in the different months). The random selection occurred within a defined area based on the following criteria: (i) more than 5 km away from a disease occurrence point (i.e. occurrence and background points could not occur in the same cell), (ii) more than 5 km away from another background point, to ensure that there was no more than one background point per cell, (iii) the points were randomly located on land.

In each iteration the AUC was calculated and the mean value of the 7 AUC derived from the k-fold cross validation was retained.

Results

Suitability maps

The final 12 suitability maps, derived by the combination of MD analysis and the mosquito growth model, revealed an interesting spatio-temporal pattern of WND distribution between May and December (Fig 2).
During the period from May to June, the more suitable areas are recorded in Tunisia (eastern coast and central part), Libya, Egypt, and Northern Cyprus; while suitable conditions start to be recognised also in the European continent only in July. The following months (August, September, and October) show increased significance in Italy, France, Spain, the Balkan countries, Morocco, northern Tunisia, and all along the Mediterranean coast of Africa and Middle East. In November, Europe returns to unsuitable conditions, with the exception of limited coastal areas facing the Mediterranean Sea (Italy, France, Spain, and Greece). The persistence of suitable conditions in December is confined to coastal areas in Morocco, Tunisia, Libya, Egypt, and Israel.

The contribution of the mosquito growth model was significant in the final prediction, accounting for extreme temperatures (either too high or too low) unsuitable for mosquito survival. An example of seasonality is reported in S2 Fig. The graph shows how the potential availability of mosquitoes varies in three different points: desert in Algeria, Padan Plain, and Apennine Chain (at an altitude of 1000 m). In the desert, temperature was suitable for
mosquito survival only between April and June, whereas in temperate regions such as Padan Plain, the survival period is longer and lasts from May to November.

Validation

According to the ROC method, the capacity of the model to discriminate between presence/absence locations was excellent: all the iterations had an AUC higher than 0.90, with an average value of 0.944 (ranging from 0.91 to 0.97) (Fig 3).

Discussion

Both biotic and abiotic factors contribute to the WND occurrence and spread. These factors include: favourable climatic conditions, suitable habitats for mosquito reproduction and survival, the presence of migratory birds settlements, the existence of local bird populations able to sustain the WNV transmission and amplification, the presence of competent bridge vectors for the transmission to humans, and the presence of susceptible human and equine populations [32,47,51]. In this study, a two-step approach was used to identify suitable areas for WND in Central Europe and Mediterranean basin. In a first step, the MD statistic was applied to

Fig 3. Validation of West Nile Disease presence prediction: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves in the k-fold (k = 7) iterations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0146024.g003
climatic and environmental variables, identifying areas similar to those where WND has occurred in the past. The MD model was based on the geographical location of WND horse and human cases reported in the study area from 2008 to 2012. These data are considered more reliable than records on WNV detected in mosquitoes or birds, for which the geographical dispersal range would have been difficult to estimate [60,61]. In a second step, the normalized abundance of mosquito population across the year was estimated through a compartment model [55,57], considering daily temperature and daytime length at each geographical latitude. The temporal component (included in both the MD and mosquito growth models) permitted to combine the two steps and contributed to identifying risk areas in space and time. The obtained results are consistent to the WNV spread recorded in Europe and in the Mediterranean basin and are in accordance to similar studies performed locally [49]; potential new areas at risk are also identified providing public health authorities with information on targeted areas to implement preventive/surveillance measures.

In Northern Africa the model identified areas where WNV actually circulated in the past: the Egyptian coast [62], Tunisia [63], and Morocco [20,41]. In the eastern part of the Mediterranean basin, Israel represents a country with a long experience of WNV circulation since the '50s [64], as well as an important point of entry for pathogens, including those carried by migratory birds flying from Asia. Phylogenetic studies identified the strain circulating in Israel in 1998 as the likely ancestor of the virus introduced into North America the following year [65,66]. A recent review on WNV infection in Israel indicates that nearly 1,400 human cases have been reported from 2000 to 2012, with the highest incidence in the coastal cities and peaks in the late Summer months and early Autumn [67]. These findings are fully in agreement with the spatio-temporal distribution of the model estimates.

In the Balkans, suitable areas were predicted in Romania, with higher risk values in the proximity of the Danube delta. Since 1996, Romania experienced several WND cases, both in humans and in horses [15,68–70]. In 2010 human cases were confirmed in the central and northern provinces of Romania [71], zones correctly identified at major risk by the model. Other areas in Croatia, Serbia, Bosnia-Herzegovina, and in Russia were identified as suitable. In particular, in Russia, the model indicated the provinces of Astrakhan, Volgograd, and Rostov as at major risk. Actually, these provinces represent the "core" of WNV circulation in Russia, accounting for the 58% of the 2,283 human cases recorded in the country from 1999 to 2013 [72].

In Southern Europe, the Camargue region in France was confirmed to be a suitable area. This region experienced WND several times in the past, both in humans and horses [73–75]. More recently (from 2003 to 2006), neurological syndromes in horses were observed also in the Var and Eastern Pyrenees Departments [71].

The model predicted high levels of suitability in the western inland part of France, where WNV infection has not been detected. Though all the considered climatic and environmental variables tend to support the possibility of occurrence of mosquito-borne infections, the reasons for the absence of WNV circulation in this area of France are difficult to be determined. A possible explanation could be the absence of wetland locations used by migratory birds as resting sites [38]. Western migratory flyways only marginally touch the area, being confined along the Atlantic coast [38].

In Spain, human WND cases were reported in the 60s and the 70s in the northwest of the country and in the Ebro delta region, respectively [76]. The Ebro delta is a stopping-off point for birds migrating between African and European regions. Recently the disease was reported in Spain in both humans (2001, 2004) and horses (2007–2008). In September 2010 the first clinical case of WND was detected in a horse in Cadiz, the southernmost province of Spain, and 35 further cases were reported in three provinces of Andalusia, in southern Spain [77] up
to December 2010. Between September and October 2011, five new cases in horse stables were confirmed in the same area [71,78]. Concordantly, the model predicts high probability of disease occurrence in southern areas of Spain, close to Portugal border, all along the Mediterranean coast and the Ebro valley from August till November.

In Greece the model has produced results comparable to those obtained by Valiakos et al. [49], who used wild bird surveillance and human data collected between 2010 and 2012 to identify areas at risk for WND. They identified clusters of disease and potential risk areas in places at low altitudes and in proximity of water, thus identifying wetland habitat used as resting and breeding sites for migratory and resident birds.

One of the areas underestimated by the model was Turkey. In the last decade, WNV circulation in Turkey has been extensively documented in humans, birds, mosquitoes, and horses [25,79–81]. The model identified the Mediterranean coastal zones of Turkey as suitable for WNV circulation, but underestimated the risk in other areas, such as the Central Anatolia, where the infection was reported in the past in horses and humans [25,79]. Other climatic and environmental factors, such as marshy areas and resting sites for migratory birds, already identified as relevant in Greece [82] as well as in Tunisia [63], might play a significant role and a more accurate investigation is needed.

The model identified suitable areas in countries that have never reported the disease (e.g. Libya). These areas deserve a deeper analysis to understand if the absence of the disease in the countries is associated to a lack of surveillance, to underreporting, or if other climatic and environmental characteristics might inhibit the spread of the disease.

At the same time a possible overestimation by the model cannot be excluded. In Europe, transmission of WNV has two basic cycles and ecosystems: rural (sylvatic) cycle and an urban cycle [83,84]. Biotic and abiotic factors characterizing the two cycle can be significantly different (e.g. altitude, slope, vegetation cover). In our study, clinical cases associated to both cycles were included in the analysis, this might have brought a greater variability for some climatic and environmental variables with a potential overestimation of some areas in terms of risk.

The two most relevant variables in driving the MD results were altitude and slope. This was derived analyzing the box-plots of predictors in two groups: in pixels with high similarity (MD p-value > 0.75) and in pixels with low similarity (MD p-value < 0.25). For all the predictors, the variability in the two groups was very similar, with the exception of altitude and slope, in which dispersion in the high similarity pixels was much lower than the dispersion in the low similarity pixels.

The limited number of cases for which the exact geographical location was known seems to have not affected the accuracy of the outcome, confirming areas in which WND occurred in the past, but also revealing new risk areas, which require further investigation. Nevertheless, the accuracy of the model could be improved by adding additional high resolution geolocated WND cases, as the information on time and place of exposure become available. Another component certainly to be improved is the estimate of the seasonal abundance of vectors, this could be done considering additional variables, such as the presence of water, important for the availability of mosquito breeding sites [38] or acquiring longitudinal entomological data. This can be achieved, though, only through a supranational collaborative approach, needed to better understand the mechanisms behind WNV dissemination and to effectively monitor the spread of the infection. International surveillance systems, based on agreed standardised criteria [85] and able to function as effective early warning systems along with the availability of accurate disease data are pivotal in preventing the spreading of infectious diseases [86]. Spatial models based on MD analysis can be successfully applied to several vector borne diseases, in which climatic and environmental characteristics play a fundamental role (i.e. Bluetongue, African horse sickness, Rift Valley fever, Crimean Congo haemorrhagic fever, etc.).
The suitability maps produced in this study provide a valuable tool for better planning surveillance activities and fine-tuning preventive measures to decrease the risk for WND occurrence in both humans and animals.
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