Composites polyvinyl alcohol filled with nanocellulose from oil palm waste by formic acid hydrolysis
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Abstract. Composites polyvinyl alcohol filled with nanocellulose from oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) and oil palm fronds (OPF) have investigated. Nanocellulose prepared by formic acid 50 wt%. Functional groups and degree of crystalline of nanocellulose were analyzed by FTIR and XRD. The degree of crystalline nanocellulose presented at 2θ at intensity of 22.26 and 21.86 for OPEFB and OPF. Amorphous part of nanocellulose at intensity of 14.68 and 15.86 for OPEFB and OPF. Functional group of nanocellulose give the same pattern for OPEFB and OPF. Nanocellulose reinforce in polyvinyl alcohol as many as 1, 5, 10 and 20% and as a comparison with polyvinyl alcohol without filler. Eight of composite films and one of vinyl alcohol film have studied mechanical properties such as tensile strength and elongation at break, and also functional groups. The tensile strength of OPF 5% and 10% increased than polyvinyl alcohol matrix. Tensile strength OPEFB 10% slightly increase from polyvinyl alcohol. The elongation of composites both OPEFB and OPF decreased with increased of nanocellulose loading.

1 Introduction

Oil palm waste gives attention for material composites because renewable, cheap and biodegradable materials. Oil palm solid waste such as oil palm trunks (OPT), oil palm fruit empty bunches (OPEFB), oil palm fronds (OPF), palm pressed fibers (PPF), palm kernels (PKS) and palm oil mill effluent palm (POME) are the waste that contain lignin, hemicelluloses and cellulose [1]. These wastes can be produced from palm oil processing and is a problem for the environment. And if it is not handled properly will cause environmental problems for the future because almost every day it produces waste from palm oil processing. It is need technology to process oil palm solid waste to produce cellulose as reinforcement in polymer composites.

Cellulose part of oil palm waste produces after remove hemicelluloses and lignin. Cellulose is an insoluble polysaccharide that consisting of linear chains of glucopyranose units linked with a β-1,4 glycosidic bond that has a degree of polymerization of about 10,000 [2]. Cellulose is a component of plant cells that has many nanofibrils packed bundles. At nanoscale, cellulose has small number of defects to posses high modulus and tensile strength close to Kevlar [3]. The technology to produce nanocellulose from biomass has been used such as mechanical, chemical and combination methods. The common chemical procedure is acid hydrolysis. Aprilia et al. [4] insisted that acid hydrolysis of cellulose could be break of complex heterogeneous reaction to be short chains. The acid hydrolysis able to remove the amorphous part of cellulose and hemicelluloses [4]. The common acid that has been used is nitric acid (HNO₃), sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄), hydrochloric acid (HCl), phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄), formic acid, etc. Several literatures state that the effect of acid concentration and time hydrolysation gave the scale of nanocellulose size in nano range. The application of nanocellulose as reinforcement in polymer composites depend on its morphology and property [5]. The very good properties of nanocellulose such as impressive mechanical behavior, high specific surface area, good stiffness, tensile strength and good optical transparency [6].

The strong hydrogen bonding between cellulose crystals must be separated and good dispersion in the polymer matrices so that as reinforcing phase has good performance of nanocomposites [7]. Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) is one of polymer plastics that can dissolve in water. PVA has high tendency to absorb water and highly hygroscopic polymer and this feature may impair its mechanical properties thus limiting its use for some applications [6].

Nanocellulose was found to be one of the interesting reinforcement to produce the friendly nanocomposites because of their nano size and the ability to chemically modify the surface and could be used in many applications. The presence of nanocellulose in polymer
composites was found to improve the thermo-mechanical properties of PVA. Tan et al. [8], in their review state that well dispersion and distribution of nanocellulose in PVA and excellent adhesion between nanocellulose and PVA could be improve the mechanical and barrier properties of PVA nanocomposites. The PVA nanocomposites improve the tensile modulus and tensile strength with increase nanocellulose content [7].

Although many researchers have been done to reinforce nanocellulose in PVA, there was a great variation for the degree of its reinforcement effect. In this study, OPEFB and OPF have prepared by formic acid hydrolysis to produce nanocellulose and continue to filled in PVA nanocomposites. The morphology and mechanical properties of nanocellulose and PVA nanocomposites has investigated. Morphology study for functional group and crystalline degree of nanocellulose and PVA reinforce nanocellulose. Mechanical properties has studied for PVA nanocellulose composites.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials and reagents

The raw materials of OPEFB and OPF were used for nanocellulose came from smallholder plantations Nagan Raya, Kabupaten Nagan Raya, Aceh Province, Indonesia. For pulping process used NaOH and NaOCl. Acid Hydrolysis process was used formic acid 50% by weight. Film nanocellulose polyvinyl alcohol was prepared PVA reinforces nanocellulose from OPEFB and OPF.

2.2 Experimental set-up

2.2.1 Pulping process

Nanocellulose isolation with acid hydrolysis by used formic acid 50wt%. The isolation took placed after dried and cut the OPEFB and OPF. The isolation process followed the previous method [9]. To remove lignin and hemicelluloses, approximately 20 grams of raw material was hydrolyzed using 300 ml of NaOH 1 M for 2 hours at 80°C. Sample was washed by distilled water for several times by centrifuges. For bleaching process, the sample was used 150 ml of NaOCl 5% for 20 minutes at temperature process at 80°C. And then, the sample was washed with distilled water for several times. The pulp was dried in an oven at 70°C for 3 hours.

2.2.2 Preparation of nanocellulose

The isolation process of nanocellulose has prepared from previous study that took formic acid 50wt% with ratio of formic acid with bleaching pulp 30:1. The process takes place in a three-neck pumpkin and stirrer at 400 rpm and temperature 90°C. A colloidal suspension which produced was centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 30 minutes. After that, nanocellulose was washed with distilled water in the centrifuge for several times. The nanocellulose was dried in an oven at 70°C for 3 hours for reinforce in PVA matrix.

2.2.3 Preparation of film PVA nanocomposites

Film PVA nanocomposites filled with nanocellulose from OPEFB and OPF with four loading that is 1, 5, 10 and 20%. We get nine film PVA nanocomposites include one film PVA without nanocellulose as a comparison.

PVA in a solution of water (10% by weight) and a solution of nanocellulose was stirred 500 rpm at 80 °C for 3 hours. To disperse the nanocellulose in PVA solution was used with ultrasonication for 2 minutes at 50% power level. The solution was casting on PTFE plate with thickness control 1 mm to form film. Plate put into a desiccator and evaporated at a temperature of 25°C until the film is formed. Then the film is heated in an oven at a temperature of 80 °C for 12 hours.

2.2.4 Characterization

The characterization of nanocellulose of OPEFB and OPF was done by FTIR and XRD. The FTIR spectra were used to identify the functional group of nanocellulose. The analyzer were recorded on Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer Shimadzu Prestige 6400. The amount of nanocellulose was blended with KBr powder and then the blending pressed into thin pellets. Nanocellulose was measured in the wavelength range from 4000 cm⁻¹ to 400 cm⁻¹.

Crystalline index of nanocellulose has investigated by X-Ray diffraction analyses (XRD). The measurement conditions of XRD are 40 kV and current 30 mA. The scattering angle range (2θ) was from 10° to 80° with the rate 10°/min. Eq. 1 show the formula to determine the crystalline index (CrI) and Eq. 2 show the equation Scherer to determine size of crystalline [10].

\[
Cr_I(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{I_{\text{min}}}{I_{200}}\right) \times 100 \quad (1)
\]

\[
D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta_{hkl} \cos \theta_{hkl}} \quad (2)
\]

Crystalline index (CrI) was calculated from the maximum peak intensity at lattice diffraction (200) and \(I_{\text{min}}\) is the intensity minimum between the 200 and 110 peaks. And for calculating crystal size, K is the Scherer constant (K = 0.9), \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of X-ray radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5406 \, \text{Å}\)) and \(\beta_1 / 2\) is the full width at half-maximum of the Reflection, and \(\theta\) is the Bragg angle.

Mechanical test for PVA composites reinforce nanocelluloses was conducted using Instron Universal Testing Machine, according to ASTM D638. The tests carry out at 10 mm/min at 25°C. Tensile strength and elongation at break were recorded from the software.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Functional group nanocellulose OPF and OPEFB

Fig. 1 show the functional group of nanocellulose OPF and OPEFB prepared from formic acid hydrolysis. Nanocellulose of OPF and OPEFB show the difference peaks at wave length 3320 cm\(^{-1}\). Nanocellulose OPEFB shown at peak 3320 cm\(^{-1}\) due to OH groups stretching but not clearly in nanocellulose from OPF. Shaurabh et al. [5] investigated that at this peak was observed in this nanocellulose due to the presence of hydroxyl groups in cellulose, hemicelluloses and lignin. The peaks at wave number 2860°C indicated that C-H stretching of methylene groups in cellulose. Agree with Aprilia et al. [9], at bench 1608 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated that this peak is N-H. At wave number 1304 cm\(^{-1}\) is C-O stretching, and 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) shown the peaks is C-H. All of peaks in the figure have shown similarity with Aprilia et al. [4].

The three peaks at bench 1608, 1304 and 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) were shown for nanocellulose OPEFB and OPF. Formic acid hydrolysis show the different slightly peaks at OPF sample, but sharp at OPEFB. These peaks has came wave number at 3320 and 2860 cm\(^{-1}\).

3.2 Degree of crystalline and size of nanocellulose

Fig. 2 shown the crystalline and amorphous part of nanocellulose OPEFB and OPF that has prepared from formic acid hydrolysis. Three main reflections at 2θ at Fig.2, there were came at 15.84\(^{\circ}\), 22.50\(^{\circ}\) and 33.98\(^{\circ}\). At these peaks coresponding with the cellulose strcture Martini et al., 2014. The high intensity, both OPEFB and OPF has shown at 2θ = 22.50\(^{\circ}\). This peaks investigated that the crystalline region for the sampels. The other peaks such as at 2θ = 37.94\(^{\circ}\), 39.42\(^{\circ}\), 43.92\(^{\circ}\), 57.56\(^{\circ}\), 64.10\(^{\circ}\), 68.66\(^{\circ}\), and 77.30\(^{\circ}\) appear in the Fig. 2 show that the moist state content at the sample. This shows that there is still water content in the sample and the sample has not dried completely [10]. Nanocellulose prepared from OPEFB has high intensity than nanocellulose from OPF, there are 748 and 576 for OPEFB and OPF respectively.

The size and degree of crystallinity nanocellulose from OPEFB and OPF shown in Table 1. From Table 1, the degree of crystallinity nanocellulose from OPF little bit higher nanocellulose from OPEFB. The size of crystalline of nanocellulose slightly increase nanocellulose OPF from nanocellulose OPEFB. The loose structure of cellulose cause the size to be small in the crystal size. Its cause that the degradation of smaller size as well as the defective crystal grow was responsible for increasing the size of crystal [9].

![Figure 2. XRD of nanocellulose OPF and OPEFB](image)

![Figure 3. SEM image of nanocellulose from (A) OPF and (B) OPEFB](image)

3.3 Surface morphology of nanocellulose

Surface morphology of nanocellulose from OPF and OPEFB are shown in Fig. 3A for nanocellulose from OPF and Fig. 3B for nanocellulose from OPEFB. The morphology of OPF and OPEFB are shown like rod structure. Nanocellulose OPF still show the roughnes surface but OPEFB show the smooth surface. These is imply that the OPF still have lignin and hemimcellulose after hydrolysis [11]. This is also imply that at bleaching process, hemicellulose and lignin low ammount of remaining [12]. The shape as Fig. 3 indicated that nanocellulose did not bend when dried for microscopic imaging, which shows a strong crystal particle structure, due to its strong elastic properties [13]. At this result show that the structure of rod shape OFF nanocellulose image large than the OPEFB.

![Figure 3](image)

3.4 Functional group of film PVA composites

The functional groups of film PVA composites filled with oil palm waste have investigated by FTIR. FTIR spectra of PVA film composites are shown in Fig 4 and Fig. 5. Each film PVA composites have 4 for OPEFB and 4 for OPF and also PVA without nanocellulose as comparison. All of the figures have shown the same
pattern of wave length of PVA composites filled with nanocellulose from OPEFB and OPF. At peak 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) show the present of cellululose in the film composites but this peak did not appear at film PVA without nanocellulose.

![Figure 4. FTIR of PVA nanocomposites from OPEFB](image)

![Figure 5. FTIR of PVA nanocomposites from OPF](image)

3.5 Mechanical analysis of film PVA composites

Tensile strength and elongation at break of film PVA composites filled with nanocellulose from OPEFB and OPF have been investigated at Fig. 5 and 6. There are nine film composites of PVA filled with nanocellulose from OPF and OPFB from hydrolysis formic acid and one PVA without nanocellulose as comparison. Fig. 6 show the tensile strength of composites. The PVA without nanocellulose has tensile strength 13.07 kgf/mm\(^2\). The tensile strength of composites higher than PVA is PVA-OPEFB-20% and PVA-OPFB-5%, the value of tensile strength 14 and 13.07 kgf/mm\(^2\) respectively. The lower of tensile strength of composites are PVA-OPFB-20% and PVA-OPFB-5%.

Tensile strength and elongation at break are improve due to the strong interaction between PVA and nanocellulose [6]. Increase nanocellulose in the matrix make the tensile strength decreased, it cause the possible aggregation of nanocellulose in the polymer matrix and also nanocellulose was not disperse homogeneously in the polymer matrix [14]. Increase in tensile strength is due to crystalline content of nanocellulose [15].

Elongation at break of film PVA composites was shown in Fig. 7. From the figure, the PVA without nanocellulose has elongation at 280.28%. The higher value of elongations of composite are PVA-OPEFB-1% and PVA-OPFB-5%, there are 358.68% and 316.16%. The lowest elongation PVA composite was PVA-OPFB 20%. For PVA filled with OPFB nanocellulose, elongation decrease when increasing the nanocellulose contents. But for the film composites PVA filled with nanocellulose from OPF some increase and some decrease.

![Figure 6. Tensile strength of PVA nanocomposites from OPEFB and OPF](image)

![Figure 7. Elongation at break of PVA nanocomposites from OPEFB and OPF](image)

4 Conclusion

The decreased of elongation at break of PVA composite films could be attributed to the presence of nanocellulose OPEFB and nanocellulose OPF which strain the slippage movement of PVA chain during the deformation and resulting in lower elongation at break thus decreased the chain mobility of PVA composite films [15].
nanocellulose OPEFB and OPF has good indication that the mechanical percolation (tensile strength and elongation) are affected by cellulose and matrix PVA interactions.
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