Evaluation of the neutrophil:lymphocyte ratio as an indicator of chronic distress in the laboratory mouse
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When evaluating the effect of husbandry and biomethodologies on the well-being of laboratory mice, it is critical to utilize measurements that allow the distinguishing of acute stress from chronic stress. One of the most common measurements of stress in laboratory animals is the corticosterone assessment. However, while this measurement provides a highly accurate reflection of the animal’s response to acute stressors, its interpretation is more prone to error when evaluating the effect of chronic stress. This study evaluated the use of the neutrophil:lymphocyte (NE:LY) ratio as an assessment of chronic stress in male and female C57Bl/6N mice as compared to serum corticosterone. One group of mice was exposed to mild daily stressors for 7 days, while the control group was handled with normal husbandry. The NE:LY ratio and serum corticosterone levels were significantly elevated in the chronically stressed mice, though a significant increase in corticosterone was only significant in males when compared by sex. The chronically stressed mice also demonstrated significantly fewer entries into the open arms and less time spent in the open arms of the elevated plus maze, suggesting that the mild daily stressors had induced a state of distress. The findings of this study confirm that the NE:LY ratio is a valid measurement for chronic stress in the laboratory mouse. However, these assays do not distinguish between distress or eustress, so behavioral and physiological assessments should always be included to determine a complete assessment of the well-being of the mouse.
an apparent immunosuppression that presents within a couple of hours of elevated corticosterone exposure as a neutrophilia that may include a concurrent lymphopenia. These immunologic changes are also seen in disorders which are associated with elevated glucocorticoids and other chronic illnesses, supporting the role of glucocorticoids in the apparent immunosuppression. The high correlation coupled with the relatively low cost to obtain a complete blood count has resulted in use of the neutrophil:lymphocyte (NE:LY) ratio to assess stress in multiple species.

The objective of this study was to determine if the NE:LY ratio was consistent with serum corticosterone as a measurement of chronic stress in the laboratory mouse. Additionally, we included the elevated plus maze to determine if the NE:LY ratio accurately measured distress in the chronically stressed mice, as compared to the behavior of the non-manipulated, non-stressed mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethical statement

The Indiana University School of Medicine (IUSM) animal care and use program was in compliance with federal regulations and accredited by AAALAC, International. All procedures were approved by the IUSM IACUC prior to initiation of the study.

Experimental design

The study was structured with a between-subjects design where subjects were randomly assigned to the independent variable of “Stress Exposure” which had two groups. The first group (“chronically stressed”) was exposed to random, mild daily stressors for 7 consecutive days. The second group (“non-stressed”) was housed and handled as per usual in the animal facility. Each group consisted of 6 males and 6 females which were randomly assigned to their treatment group upon arrival at the animal facility. Random assignment was accomplished by assigning each animal a number, then using a random number generator to assign animals to treatment groups.

Animals

Twelve male and twelve female C657BL/6NCrl mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) were used for this study. The mice were approximately 10 weeks of age. As social housing in mice has been demonstrated to prevent stress-associated responses, the mice in the chronically stressed group were housed individually while the non-stressed animals were group housed. They were allowed to acclimate for 7 days prior to initiating the experimental manipulations.

All animals were housed in shoebox caging with filter tops (Alternative Design, Siloam Springs, AR) on corncob bedding (Bed O’ Cob, Andersons, Maumee, OH) under static conditions. All mice were provided with tissue material for nesting. Both males and females were housed in the same room, but the mice in each treatment group were housed in different rooms as described below. The cages measured 18.5 cm W x 30 cm D x 12 cm H. Feed (LabDiet 2018, St. Louis, MO) and water were provided ad libitum. Standard operating procedures for the animal facilities required that all cages be changed at least once weekly. Soiled cages were sanitized in a mechanical cage washer with a final rinse temperature of 180° F (82° C) and autoclaved prior to reuse. The macroenvironment was kept on a 12:12-h light:dark cycle (lights on at 0:700, off at 19:00) with temperature and humidity maintained at 72°F (22°C) and at least 30%, respectively. Indirect sentinels were utilized to assess colony health. At the time of this study, the colony was free of mouse parvovirus, mouse coronavirus, mouse thelivirus, pneumonia virus of mice, Sendai virus, Reovirus 3, mouse rotavirus, lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, Mycoplasma pulmonis, and ectoparasite and endoparasites.

Chronic stress

Half of the mice were exposed to a daily stressor for 7 consecutive days. These stressors were presented in a random order and included: (1) forced swim, (2) heat stress, (3) shaker stress, and (4) restraint stress modified from previously published work. For the forced swim, the mouse was gently placed in a 13 cm diameter x 30 cm tall clear plexiglass cylinder filled with water at 70° to 75° F (21° to 24° C). They remained in the water until they began floating with positional adjustments or 2 minutes, whichever was longer. They were removed, dried and allowed to warm. After 10 minutes of rest, the swim stress was repeated for a maximum of 4 sessions per mouse. For the heat stress, the mouse was placed in a clean cage with no bedding and a wire mesh to prevent escape. An incandescent light was positioned above the cage to create heat in the cage. The temperature was monitored and the target temperature was 85° F (29° C). The mouse was removed if the intracage temperature exceeded 90° F (32° C). They stayed in the cage for 2 hours and were continuously monitored during this stressor. For the shaker stress, the mouse remained in its home cage which was placed on a plate shaker that provided gentle, continuous shaking at no more than 160 rpm for 2 hours. Mice were assessed every 30 minutes during this stressor. For the restraint stress, mice were restrained in a 50ml centrifuge tube with air-holes for a maximum of 3 hours. Mice were continuously monitored through this stressor. The actual times and stressor assignments are provided as Supplemental Data.

These mice were individually housed and held in a room isolated from other mice and outside of the main animal facility to minimize the potential effect of pheromones on other ongoing studies, including the non-stressed controls for this study. The cages for mice in this group were situated so that they were at average human eye level. These mice were tested and euthanized on day 8 of the study.

Handling of non-stressed mice

The non-stressed mice were housed in groups of 3 mice of the same sex per cage. They were handled normally, with no additional interactions with the research staff. The cages for mice in this group were situated so that they were at average human thigh to knee level. The non-stressed mice were tested and euthanized on day 7 of the study to avoid release of pheromones from the stressed mice influencing the response of the non-stressed mice.

Elevated plus maze

All mice were assessed on an elevated plus maze at the end of the 7 consecutive days of daily stressors for the chronically stressed...
mice. The maze measured 25 cm L x 5 cm W open arms, 25 cm L x 5 cm W x 16 cm H closed arms, center platform of 5 cm x 5 cm. The closed arms were opaque. Mice were brought to the testing room and allowed a minimum of 30 minutes to acclimate to the training room before being tested.

Each mouse was placed on the center platform to start the EPM and digitally recorded for 10 minutes. At the end of 10 minutes, the mouse was removed from the maze and the maze was cleaned with 70% isopropyl alcohol before the next mouse. The proportion of entries into the open arms relative to the total number of arm entries was compared between treatment groups. The proportion of time each mouse spent in the open or closed arms relative to the total time in the maze was also compared between treatment groups. Each mouse was tested once. All trials were between 8:00 and 12:00. All non-stressed mice were tested separately from the chronically stressed mice. Data from two non-stressed and one chronically stressed mouse were not collected due to complications with the digital recording.

Blood collection

After being tested on the elevated plus maze, each mouse was anesthetized with pentobarbital (390 mg/ml, Fatal Plus, Vortech Pharmaceuticals, Dearborn, MI) administered IP. Once anesthetized, a terminal blood collection was collected from the heart. Half of the sample was placed in an EDTA treated tube and the remainder was placed in a serum separator tube and subsequently centrifuged to allow collection of the serum. The serum samples were stored at −80°F (−62°C) until processed. All samples were collected between 8:00 and 12:00 control for alterations due to circadian rhythm.

Hematology

The EDTA sample was placed in a Hemavet (Drew Scientific, Waterbury, CT) and a complete blood count was performed. The mean of the white blood count, total number of neutrophils, total number of lymphocytes, and NE:LY ratio were compared between treatment groups.

Serum corticosterone assay

The serum corticosterone levels were assessed using a commercially available ELISA kit (Corticosterone rat/mouse, MP Biomedicals, LLP Solon, OH). The mean serum corticosterone levels were compared between treatment groups. We were unable to collect enough blood for serum from 2 of the non-stressed mice.

Statistical analysis

To determine the appropriate animal numbers to be used prior to the initiation of the study, we performed a power analysis using our previous work with the neutrophil:lymphocyte ratio in our laboratory as the basis for the analysis. An online calculator was utilized34 with µA set at 0.4, µB set at 0.25, σ set at 0.09, a sampling ratio (ê) of 1, power at 0.80 and α at 5%. This calculated a sample size of 6 animals per sex per group.

Data analyses were performed using a generalized linear model (JMP 10, Cary, NC). The animal was the experimental unit. A single observation was made for each animal and this data was averaged per animal for analysis using a simple model of Treatment, Sex, and their interaction. If interactions were found to be non-significant, the models were updated by removing the interactions terms to retest the main effects and these values were reported. A full-factorial GLM was completed for each observational unit. If interactions were found to be significant, these results were reported. All summary data are presented as box-and-whisker plots with whiskers representing min and max values, boxes first and third quartiles, and middle line the median value.

RESULTS

Elevated plus maze

The non-stressed mice spent a significantly higher proportion of their 10 minutes in the open arms of the elevated plus maze as compared to the chronically stressed mice (F[1,20] = 8.4119, p = 0.0088) (Fig. 1a; see Supplementary Figs. 1a–5 for raw data plots). There were no significant differences in the proportion of time spent in the closed arms between the non-stressed and the chronically stressed mice (F[1,20] = 0.0433, p = 0.8372) (Fig. 1b; see Supplementary Figs. 1a–5 for raw data plots). The proportion of entries into the open arms of the elevated maze was significantly higher for the non-stressed mice as compared to the chronically stressed mice (F[1,20] = 9.3154, p = 0.0063) (Fig. 1c; see Supplementary Figs. 1a–5 for raw data plots). However, there were no significant differences
**Hematology**

There were no significant differences between the treatment groups in the total white blood cell count (F[1,23] = 2.0245, p = 0.1682) (Fig. 2a; see Supplementary Figs. 6–9 for raw data plots), the total number of neutrophils (F[1,23] = 0.5778, p = 0.4549) (Fig. 2b; see Supplementary Figs. 6–9 for raw data plots), the total number of lymphocytes (F[1,23] = 3.4353, p = 0.0767) (Fig. 2c; see Supplementary Figs. 6–9 for raw data plots), or the total number of lymphocytes (F[1,23] = 3.4353, p = 0.0767) (Fig. 2c; see Supplementary Figs. 6–9 for raw data plots). There was no interaction of sex with treatment for the proportion of time spent in the open arms (p = 0.1443), for the proportion of entries into the open arms (p = 0.7391), and for the total number of arm entries (p = 0.8254).

**Corticosterone**

The chronically stressed mice exhibited a significant increase in their levels of serum corticosterone as compared to the non-stressed mice (F[1,21] = 5.3431, p = 0.0310). There was a significant interaction of sex and treatment (p = 0.0389). Chronically stressed male mice expressed significantly higher levels of serum corticosterone as compared to the non-stressed male mice (F[1,8] = 9.0825, p = 0.0167), but females expressed no significant differences between non-stressed and chronically stressed mice (F[1,11] = 0.1282, p = 0.2720) (Fig. 3; see Supplementary Figs. 10 and 11 for raw data plots).

**DISCUSSION**

The mice that had been exposed to 7 days of mild stressors mice demonstrated a significant increase in their serum corticosterone levels, suggesting that these mice were more stressed as compared to the mice that were handled routinely. Not unexpectedly, the study also documented a sex difference in serum corticosterone levels, with the chronically stressed male mice exhibiting a significantly higher corticosterone level as compared to the chronically stressed female mice. Additionally, the behavioral assessment of the chronically stressed mice demonstrated that they were less likely to spend time on the open arms and less likely to enter the open arms of the elevated plus maze. As demonstrated by previous studies, these findings suggest that the mice were more anxious and distressed as compared to the mice that were handled routinely. These behavioral findings suggest that the daily stressors were adequately disturbing to create a situation of distress for these mice.

The finding that the NE:LY ratio was also increased in the chronically stressed mice suggests that this assessment can be a reliable tool for the measurement of stress in the laboratory mouse. There was also a non-significant neutrophilia and lymphopenia in the chronically stressed mice, but all values were within published normal for C57BL/6NCrl mice. These findings are consistent with other published studies that chronic exposure to elevated corticosterone causes a shift of neutrophilia with or without a concurrent lymphopenia, and can be measured successfully by evaluating the NE:LY ratio of experimental treatment groups as compared to the non-stressed control group.
to control groups\textsuperscript{25,27}. Because the differences were robust and reflective of the behavioral changes, this suggests that the NE:LY ratio is an appropriate measurement to use when assessing chronic distress in a clinically healthy mouse.

The measurement of the NE:LY ratio also has significant advantages over the measurement of serum corticosterone. Although this study utilized an automated hemocytometry machine, the NE:LY ratio can be calculated from a blood smear by manually performing a differential\textsuperscript{25,27}. Measurement of serum corticosterone requires the use of specialized kits and additional machinery, such as ELISA readers, for accurate calculation of the concentrations. As previously reported in the laboratory rat, the NE:LY ratio also is not influenced by the response to acute stressors, such as handling to obtain the blood collection\textsuperscript{27}. It is also less sensitive to potentially confounding variables such as time of day, sex (which was seen in the serum corticosterone results of this study) and appetite, though it can be affected by chronic pathogen exposure\textsuperscript{25,27}.

In this study, we conclude that the NE:LY ratio is an acceptable immunological measurement of exposure to chronic stress in the clinically healthy laboratory mouse. It can be run inexpensively without specialized equipment and is reliably robust in the face of individual and environmental factors. However, as should be considered for all assessments of stress in animals, this method should not be used alone, but as part of a multi-faceted panel of assessments that include physiologic and behavioral assessments to determine if changes are due to distress, eustress, or disease status\textsuperscript{9,10}.

\textbf{Note:} Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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