Abstract

Alternative splicing of pre-mRNA is a highly regulated process that allows cells to change their genetic informational output. These changes are mediated by protein factors that directly bind specific pre-mRNA sequences. Although much is known about how these splicing factors regulate pre-mRNA splicing events, comparatively little is known about the regulation of the splicing factors themselves. Here, we show that the Drosophila splicing factor P element Somatic Inhibitor (PSI) is phosphorylated at at least two different sites by at minimum two different kinases, casein kinase II (CK II) and tousled-like kinase (tlk). These phosphorylation events may be important for regulating protein-protein interactions involving PSI. Additionally, we show that PSI interacts with several proteins in Drosophila S2 tissue culture cells, the majority of which are splicing factors.

Introduction

Alternative splicing of pre-mRNA transcripts is widespread among eukaryotes. It is currently believed that over 90% of human genes and over 60% of Drosophila multi-exon genes are alternatively spliced [1,2]. The list of splicing events regulated by a particular protein in a particular cellular context is known for many factors, usually through the use of RNAi and splicing-sensitive microarrays or high throughput mRNA-seq [3,4]. Using splicing-sensitive microarrays, PSI was found to regulate 43 splicing events in S2 cells [5].

PSI was originally identified as a protein factor necessary for the retention of the third intron of the P element transposon, leading to the production of a truncated protein [6,7]. PSI, like its mammalian homologs contains four N-terminal KH-type RNA binding domains. This repression of splicing occurs through an interaction between a C-terminal region of PSI and the U1 snRNP 70 K subunit [8,9] and also requires the presence of a PSI binding motif near the affected intron [10,11]. PSI deletion mutants are embryonic lethal, and its protein-protein interaction with U1 snRNP 70 K is necessary for male fertility [12].

Although many studies have investigated the effects that splicing factors have on alternative splicing, few have looked at the regulation of the factors themselves. Many of these instances of regulation occur pre-translationally, often at the level of splicing. For example, many SR proteins regulate their own splicing as well as that of heterologous SR proteins in a way that shunts those transcripts into the NMD pathway [13,14].

Some splicing factors are known to be post-translationally modified. These events can affect the RNA binding capabilities of the protein [15] as well as the assembly of higher-order structures like the spliceosome [16]. The spliceosomal proteins SAP155 and NIP1 are phosphorylated, and this modification is necessary for their interaction [17]. SR proteins and other splicing factors are highly phosphorylated in vivo [18]. Additionally, the RS domain of the splicing factor ASF/SF2 greatly affects the protein and RNA binding capabilities of the protein, and is necessary for splicing [15,19].

We have identified two phosphorylation sites on PSI by mass spectrometry and identified two kinases that phosphorylate the N-terminus of PSI. These phosphorylation events may play a role in the ability of PSI to interact with other proteins. Additionally, we have identified several interaction partners of PSI, suggesting that PSI is present in cells a member of large ribonucleoprotein complexes.

Results

PSI is Phosphorylated in vivo

Using a Polyoma (also known as Glu-Glu) tagged version of PSI, we purified PSI from Drosophila Kc cells. Interestingly, PSI purified from Drosophila cells migrated on SDS-PAGE gels as a doublet (Figure 1A, Figure 4B) while recombinant PSI purified from E. coli migrated as a single species (Figure 1A). We reasoned that PSI phosphorylation events occurring in Drosophila cells could be responsible for the doublet. Consistent with this idea, treatment of
PSI purified from Kc cells with calf intestinal phosphatase (CIP) collapsed the doublet to a faster migrating band while having no effect on the migration of recombinantly produced PSI (Figure 1A).

To characterize this apparent phosphorylation, we digested purified endogenous Drosophila PSI with multiple proteases and analyzed the resulting peptides by multidimensional chromatography/mass spectrometry. The resulting data covered 84.5% of the sequence to an average depth of 10 observations per peptide. Manual evaluation of the spectra assigned to phosphopeptides confirmed two phosphorylation sites at Ser 42 and Ser 61 (Figure 1B). Spectra showing phosphorylation at Ser 42 were measured 51 times in four different peptides. Spectra showing phosphorylation at Ser 61 were measured 5 times in two different peptides. Spectra of the corresponding unmodified peptides were measured 86 and 5 times, respectively. The characteristics of the unmodified spectra supported the interpretation of the modified spectra (Supplemental Figure 1).

The global phosphoproteomic analyses of Drosophila embryos by Zhai et al. [20] also identified Ser 42 and Ser 61 as phosphorylation sites. A third site, Ser 85, identified in that study was not detected on our analysis. Inspection of the supporting data from Zhai et al. for Ser 85 showed that the CID spectrum contained no neutral loss peak; consequently, the identification is likely to be a false positive.

PSI is Phosphorylated in Drosophila Cells by Casein Kinase II

In order to identify the protein kinase or kinases responsible for the observed phosphorylations of PSI, we used chromatographic fractionation of Drosophila embryo nuclear extract and followed PSI-phosphorylating activity using recombinant PSI and radioactive gamma-32P-ATP as substrates (Figure 2A). To simplify the purification and exclude the phosphorylation of other residues, we used an N-terminal fragment of PSI that contained only the first 95 amino acids, including the two residues, Ser 42 and Ser 61, that we identified as being phosphorylated by mass spectrometry (Figure 1B).

After five purification steps, we analyzed the protein content of the peak fraction of PSI kinase activity by SDS-PAGE and silver staining (Figure 2B). We detected two prominent protein species migrating at approximately 37 and 30 kDa that appeared to be at stoichiometric levels with each other. We excised these bands, as well as several other prominent bands, from the gel and performed mass spectrometry. The 37 and 30 kDa bands were identified as...
Casein kinase II is a tetramer composed of two catalytic 40 kDa alpha subunits and two regulatory 25 kDa beta subunits [21] and has many known protein targets in *Drosophila* [22,23,24,25]. Analytical gel filtration chromatography of the peak activity fraction of kinase activity showed an approximate size of 135 kDa for the kinase, consistent with a tetramer composed of two 40 kDa and two 25 kDa subunits (data not shown).

Casein kinase II has a preferred recognition motif of *SXX(D/E)* [26]. One of the identified phosphorylation sites, Ser 61, lies within this motif (*SGPE*). We therefore hypothesized that casein kinase II was phosphorylating Ser 61. To confirm this, we made serine-to-alanine mutants at each phosphorylation site (Ser42 and Ser61), as well as a double mutant. We used both the peak activity fraction from the casein kinase II purification as well as purified recombinant human casein kinase II (NEB P6010S) to phosphorylate these mutant PSI substrates in vitro (Figure 2C). The mutation of Ser 61
to alanine completely abolished to ability of casein kinase II to phosphorylate the substrate while the mutation of Ser 42 to alanine had little, if any, effect. Taken together, these data indicate that Ser 61 in PSI is a casein kinase II phosphorylation site.

**PSI is Phosphorylated in Drosophila Cells by Tousled-like Kinase**

Although the majority of the activity in the initial fractionation step resided in the 1 M KCl fraction and was likely due to casein kinase II, we detected a smaller peak of activity in the 250 mM fraction. We further fractionated this peak of activity over several chromatographic columns (Figure 3A). After fractionation, we again visualized the peak activity fraction by silver stain (Figure 3B) and excised prominent bands from the gel and analyzed them by mass spectrometry. We identified tousled-like kinase (tlk) as a component of the prominent band migrating at 130 kDa. No other known protein kinases were identified in this fraction. A second, independent fractionation also identified tlk as the lone kinase in the final peak activity fraction.

We then tested the ability of the tlk-containing fraction to phosphorylate the serine-to-alanine mutant PSI substrates at Ser42 and Ser61 (Figure 3C). Although the tlk-containing fraction did efficiently phosphorylate the wildtype substrate, it also phosphorylated the two mutants. However, there are one threonine and fifteen serine residues in the PSI truncation substrate that may serve as alternate phosphorylation sites for the mutant substrate. No phosphorylation site could be found in the truncated PSI substrate by mass spectrometry, suggesting that the observed activity resulted in very low levels of modification and/or modification distributed over multiple sites.

**PSI Mutants Show Differential Protein Interaction Profiles**

PSI contains four RNA-binding KH domains [11], but the identified phosphorylation sites lie N-terminally to them. The phosphorylation sites are, however, near a very glycine-rich region. Glycine-rich regions are known to be mediators of protein-protein interactions, particularly in RNA binding proteins [27]. We therefore hypothesized that the phosphorylation state of PSI may influence its ability to interact with other proteins.

To test this idea, we performed GST pulldowns in Drosophila Kc cell nuclear extract using GST-tagged wildtype and mutant N-terminal PSI truncations as bait (Figure 4A). As before, these truncations again consisted of the first 95 amino acids of PSI. We also performed the pulldowns using bait proteins that had been pre-phosphorylated by treatment with casein kinase II and ATP. We then analyzed the PSI-interacting proteins by mass spectrometry.

Interestingly, a 75 kDa protein interacted strongly with the wildtype and S61A PSI N-terminal truncations, but not with the S42A or S42A/S61A PSI truncations (Figure 4A). This protein also seemed to shift in mobility when casein kinase II had been added to the extract. Mass spectrometry analysis revealed several peptides of the 75 kDa Recombination Repair Protein 1 (Rrp1) in the wildtype and S61A pulldowns, but none in the S42A and S42A/S61A pulldowns. Interestingly, Rrp1 also contains two copies of the casein kinase II phosphorylation motif, indicating that its change in mobility when casein kinase II is added to the pulldown may be the result of its own phosphorylation by CKII. The ability of Rrp1 to interact with PSI, then, may depend on the phosphorylation state of Ser 42.

To perform a more comprehensive analysis of the proteins that interact with PSI, we expressed epitope-tagged full-length PSI in S2 cells. We then immunoprecipitated the exogenous PSI using the polyoma (also known as Glu-Glu) epitope, eluted from this immunoprecipitation using free polyoma (EYMPME) peptide, and treated with RNase A. We then immunoprecipitated the eluate using a polyclonal anti-PSI antibody [28] (Figure 4B, 4C). After eluting PSI from the antibody resin with acidified glycine, we analyzed the PSI-interacting proteins using mass spectrometry (Figure 4D, Supplemental Table 1).

We identified several splicing factors among the interacting proteins, including snRNP70K, which had been previously shown to directly interact with the A/B domain of PSI [8]. These PSI-interacting proteins also included hrp48, a factor known to play a role in the P element splicing silencer [6,29]; the hnRNP protein hrp59, the splicing factor PUF60, the RNA helicase Rn62 and SR protein Srp54. Rrp1, identified as an interacting partner with truncated PSI, was present but with fewer peptides than proteins listed in Figure 4D. Interestingly, we also identified several cytoskeletal proteins, including actin and the alpha and beta subunits of tubulin. These proteins appear not to be non-specific contaminants, as they did not co-purify with non-specific rabbit IgG antibody alone in parallel. Taken together, these proteins appear to associate with PSI via protein-protein interactions in an RNase-insensitive manner and thus might be functioning along with PSI in the processing of specific nuclear pre-mRNAs.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have identified two kinases that phosphorylate the splicing factor PSI. Although we could definitively show that the phosphorylation site for casein kinase II was Ser 61, we were unable to definitively show that Ser 42 was phosphorylated by tlk. As very little is known about its preferred motif [30], it is difficult to assess whether tlk can phosphorylate Ser 42. Ser 42 is additionally in close proximity to several other serines, and thus its mutation may only shift phosphorylation to one or more of these nearby sites. However, given that we twice identified tlk as the only kinase present in a fraction that efficiently phosphorylated the PSI substrate, it is likely that tlk can phosphorylate PSI.

These phosphorylation events do not occur in or near the RNA-binding KH domains of PSI and are thus unlikely to modulate RNA-binding activity. They may, however, affect the protein interaction partners of PSI. Using GST pulldowns, we showed that Rrp1 requires the presence of Ser 42 to be able to interact efficiently with PSI. Rrp1 is an exonuclease that is involved in DNA damage repair [31]. Connections between splicing and DNA repair have been previously recognized [32]. Interestingly, human tlk has also been implicated in DNA damage and repair [33,34,35]. Furthermore, Ser 42 is conserved between PSI and the human ortholog of PSI, KSRP. Human KSRP is also phosphorylated upon DNA damage [36], further strengthening a possible link between the phosphorylation state of PSI and DNA damage events.

The interaction of PSI with several cytoskeletal proteins was unexpected. PSI is essentially exclusively nuclear [12], and the immunoprecipitations and purifications performed here began with nuclear extracts. Both actin and tubulin, however, are known to exist in the nucleus [37,38]. Furthermore, certain transcriptional complexes are known to contain actin, and actin has been shown to interact with several hnRNP proteins [39]. The potential importance and role of the interaction of PSI with these cytoskeletal proteins will require further investigation as will a full understanding of the consequences of these two phosphorylation events in PSI.
Materials and Methods

Purification of Polyoma-tagged Full-length PSI from Kc Cells

*Drosophila* Kc cells were a gift from the lab of Joan Steitz (Yale University) and were first produced from 12 hour-old embryos by Echalier and Ohanessian in 1969 [40]. Nuclear extract from Kc cells was incubated with 50 μL of anti-polyoma resin for 1 hr at 4°C. The resin was then washed three times with 1 mL IPB2 buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, 400 mM NaCl, 0.2% NP-40, 1 mM dithiothreitol). The resin was then resuspended in 500 μL of IPB2 and treated with 0.5 μL of RNase A (Promega). The resin was then washed twice with 1 mL IPB2, and protein was eluted off the resin using elution buffer at 65°C (8 M urea/100 mM Tris pH 8.5). The samples were then prepared for mass spectrometry as described below.

Purification of N-terminal PSI Truncation

A cDNA fragment containing amino acids 2–95 of PSI was cloned into pRSETA between the NdeI and KpnI restriction sites. Additionally, the cDNA contained a His6 tag on the N-terminal end and a polyoma (EYMPME) tag on the C-terminal end. The PSI truncation was expressed in BL21(DE3) pLYS E cells and purified using nickel affinity chromatography.

In vitro Kinase Assays

In vitro kinase assays contained the following: 5 μL fraction to be assayed, 1 μg PSI fragment, 0.5 μL γ-32P-ATP (7000 Ci/mmol), 25 mM Hepes-KOH, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM EDTA, 5%...
glycerol, 5 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM KCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.4 mM PMSF, 10 mM NaF, 5 mM beta-glycerol-phosphate, 25 μM ATP in a final volume of 50 μL. The reactions were incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Ten μL of protein sample buffer were added, and 15 microliters of the sample was then analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The gel was silver-stained, dried, and exposed to X-ray film for two hours to determine the chromatography fractions that contained PSI-phosphorylating activity.

GST Pulldowns
cDNA fragments for each PSI mutant were cloned into pGEX-2TK and expressed as GST fusions in Rosetta(DE3) pLYS S E. coli cells. Lysate containing the overexpressed PSI fusion proteins was incubated with glutathione-sepharose beads for 3 hr at 4°C. The beads were then washed 3 times with Buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.4 mM PMSF, 10% glycerol) and 2 times with 1X Casein Kinase II buffer (NEB P6010S). ATP was then added to all samples to 5 mM, and 1 uL purified human casein kinase II (NEB P6010S) was added to those samples that were to be phosphorylated. The samples were then incubated overnight at 30°C.

100 μL Kc nuclear extract was then added, and the beads were incubated at 4°C for 3 hrs. The beads were then washed three times with buffer B (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 0.02% NP-40, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.4 mM PMSF), and bound proteins were eluted by incubating with 50 μL elution buffer

Figure 4. Protein-protein interactions of PSI. A) GST pulldown assay using PSI mutant proteins. GST-PSI fusion proteins carrying the serine to alanine PSI mutations were phosphorylated using purified human casein kinase II and incubated with Kc nuclear extract. The resulting glutathione resin eluates were analyzed by silver staining and mass spectrometry. B) Silver stain of PSI and interacting proteins following anti-polio-mer and anti-PSI immunoprecipitations. The asterisk indicates antibody heavy chain. C) Immunoblot analysis of (B) using anti-PSI antibody. D) Mass spectrometry analysis of (B). Proteins identified as interacting with PSI and the number of peptides observed for each protein are listed.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056401.g004
(50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 20 mM glutathione, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.4 mM PMSF) at room temperature for 45 min. Bound proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, silver-staining, and mass spectrometry.

PSI Immunoprecipitation

RNP extract [41,42] from Drosophila S2 cells stably expressing polyoma (also known as Ghu-Ghu) tagged PSI under the control of the metallothionein promoter was made after inducing expression of the transgene with 200 μM CuSO₄ for 36 hours. Briefly, cells were swelled in hypotonic buffer and nuclei were isolated using a dounce homogenizer. The nuclei were then sonicated, and the resulting lysate was passed over a 30% sucrose cushion to separate the light nucleoplasm from the dense chromatin-associated fraction.

The nucleoplasm was then collected and incubated at 4°C for 2 hrs with protein-A sepharose beads that had been pre-incubated with anti-polyoma antibody. The beads were then washed 3 times with wash buffer (20 mM Hepes-KOH pH 7.5, 200 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.05% NP-40, 0.4 mM PMSF). Proteins were then eluted from the resin by two incubations with 200 μL elution buffer (wash buffer supplemented with 10 μg/mL polyoma peptide (EYMPME)).

Elutions were then incubated for 1 hr at 4°C with protein-A Dynabeads (Invitrogen 100-01D) that had been pre-incubated with polyclonal anti-PSI antibody. As a control, the elutions were also incubated with protein-A Dynabeads that had been pre-incubated with rabbit IgG. The beads were then washed three times with wash buffer. Bound proteins were eluted off the beads in two elutions by incubating with 100 μL elution buffer (100 mM glycine, pH 2.5, 100 mM NaCl). The eluates from both the anti-PSI and rabbit IgG beads were analyzed by silver staining, western blotting, and mass spectrometry. Proteins identified as interacting with PSI by having at least 5 detected peptides are listed in Figure 4D.

Sample Preparation and Mass Spectrometry for Phosphopeptide Analysis

Immunopurified PSI was adjusted to 40% methanol, 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8.5, 5 mM TCEP, 1.5% Protease-MAX (Promega) and subjected to carboxyamidomethylation of cysteines. Two samples were created and analyzed separately and then the results were combined. The first sample was digested with trypsin and chymotrypsin. The second sample was divided, and one portion was digested with a combination of trypsin and chymotrypsin. The other portion was digested with thermolysin. The two fractions were then recombined for analysis. All digestions were incubated overnight at 37°C and stopped by the addition of 5% formic acid. A 3 phase nano LC column was packed and loaded as described above. The column consisted of 10 cm of Polaris C18 5 μm packing material (Varian), followed by 4 cm of Partisphere 5 SCX (Whatman). Chromatography, mass spectrometry and data analysis were as described above, except that no MS3 spectra were collected.

Mass Spectrometry of Gel Bands

Excised gel bands were treated to cause carboxyamidomethylation of cysteines, digested with trypsin and the resulting peptides extracted. Samples were loaded on a 100 micromolar ID, 10 cm column of Polaris C18 and analyzed by LC-MS/MS with a linear gradient consisting of buffer A and buffer B as above.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Identification of phosphorylation sites by mass spectrometry. A and B) MS3 spectra of corresponding unmodified peptides. C and D) MS2 spectra of corresponding unmodified peptides. (EPS)

Table S1 Protein-protein interactions of PSI. Proteins identified with at least two peptides as copurifying in pulldowns of full length PSI. SEQUEST Xcorr is listed for each peptide. (XLS)
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