Who Is Who in Adenosine Transport
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Extracellular adenosine concentrations are regulated by a panel of membrane transporters which, in most cases, mediate its uptake into cells. Adenosine transporters belong to two gene families encoding Equilibrative and Concentrative Nucleoside Transporter proteins (ENTs and CNTs, respectively). The lack of appropriate pharmacological tools targeting every transporter subtype has introduced some bias on the current knowledge of the role of these transporters in modulating adenosine levels. In this regard, ENT1, for which pharmacology is relatively well-developed, has often been identified as a major player in purinergic signaling. Nevertheless, other transporters such as CNT2 and CNT3 can also contribute to purinergic modulation based on their high affinity for adenosine and concentrative capacity. Moreover, both transporter proteins have also been shown to be under purinergic regulation via P1 receptors in different cell types, which further supports its relevance in purinergic signaling. Thus, several transporter proteins regulate extracellular adenosine levels. Moreover, CNT and ENT proteins are differentially expressed in tissues but also in particular cell types. Accordingly, transporter-mediated fine tuning of adenosine levels is cell and tissue specific. Future developments focusing on CNT pharmacology are needed to unveil transporter subtype-specific events.
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INTRODUCTION

Oscillation of extracellular adenosine levels is physiologically relevant because this nucleoside is the agonist of four P1 receptors known to modulate many biological functions (Fredholm et al., 2011; Burnstock, 2017). Indeed, adenosine concentrations in the extracellular milieu are determined by the balance between its appearance and its removal. In most cases adenosine appearance is the result of the sequential metabolic action of various ecto-nucleotidases on nucleotide precursors, ATP being the first nucleotide in this cascade (Dos Santos-Rodrigues et al., 2014; Nguyen et al., 2015; Pastor-Anglada et al., 2018). However, in particular cell types, there is experimental evidence supporting the possibility of adenosine also being released from cells (Almeida et al., 2003). Extracellular adenosine disposal is similarly mediated by either metabolism, Adenosine Deaminase (ADA) being the enzyme responsible for its conversion into inosine, or by its uptake into cells, where it is likely to be metabolized and trapped as AMP after being phosphorylated by Adenosine Kinase (ADK). The relative contribution of each particular
mechanism to the oscillations of adenosine levels may be cell-specific and dependent upon the tissue microenvironment, but is not well-known, although some attempts to address this issue have been done (Nguyen et al., 2015). In any case, adenosine removal from the extracellular milieu is likely to play a major role in regulating adenosine concentrations.

Adenosine cannot freely permeate biological membranes and its transport across them occurs via selected adenosine transporter proteins. Accordingly, transport processes are key modulators of extracellular adenosine disposal. In this contribution, we plan to provide an updated and critical view on the particular transporter subtypes likely to mediate adenosine transport. Evidence supporting the link between transport processes and purinergic signaling will be also discussed.

## ADENOSINE TRANSPORT MECHANISMS

All adenosine transporters identified so far belong to the SoLute Carrier (SLC) superfamily, in particular, to gene families SLC28 and SLC29 (Young et al., 2013; Young, 2016; Pastor-Anglada et al., 2018). SLC28 genes encode three transporter subtypes known as human Concentrative Nucleoside Transporters 1, 2, and 3 (hCNT1, hCNT2, and hCNT3). The SLC29 family has four members, thereby resulting in four transporter subtypes, known as human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporters 1, 2, 3, and 4 (hENT1, hENT2, hENT3, and hENT4). Evidence for additional transporter subtypes, generated by mRNA splicing has been provided for hCNT3 and hENT2, in both cases leading to shorter proteins than their corresponding wild type transporters. Nevertheless, in all these cases small variants appear to be localized in intracellular compartments (Errasti-Murugarren et al., 2009; Grané-Boladeras et al., 2016) and are unlikely to play any significant role in purinergic signaling. Nevertheless, it has been shown that hENT2 splice variants can regulate wild type hENT2 abundance and function at the plasma membrane (Grané-Boladeras et al., 2016).

The type of translocation processes implicated in adenosine transport (i.e., “concentrative” versus “equilibrative”) and the affinity binding of adenosine to its transporter proteins are key determinants of adenosine transport efficacy.

hCNTs are obligatory inward transporters which take advantage of the sodium gradient to accumulate nucleosides in the cells. Nucleosides and sodium are co-transported with translocation stoichiometry 1:1 (hCNT1 and hCNT2) and 1:2 (hCNT3). Indeed, those CNT proteins showing the ability to transport adenosine are excellent candidates to promote adenosine disposal from the extracellular milieu due to their concentrative capacity. hENTs are potentially bidirectional, vectorial transport being determined by the nucleoside concentration gradient across the membrane. Nevertheless, it is probable that in some circumstances, functional coupling of adenosine influx with its intracellular phosphorylation by ADK enables cells to trap this nucleoside as AMP thereby building up a transmembrane adenosine gradient which will favor unidirectional import of adenosine. It is not known whether adenosine release via these transporters can be explained by some sort of inefficient, not necessarily uncontrolled coupling between metabolism and transport.

As introduced above, affinity is also a critical parameter when discussing the adenosine transport capacity of each nucleoside transporter subtype. Reported physiological adenosine concentrations are very low, often below 1 µM (Fenton and Dobson, 1992; Espinoza et al., 2011; Rose et al., 2011; Westermeier et al., 2011), although under certain conditions, such as hypoxia or in tumor microenvironments where ATP levels can increase considerably, adenosine can also accumulate above normal physiological concentrations (Blay et al., 1997) reviewed in de Andrade Mello et al. (2017) and Di Virgilio and Adinolfi (2017). As shown in Table 1, apparent Km values for adenosine vary among transporter subtypes, although some intrinsic variability is observed for the same transporter subtype, probably as a result of the experimental set used to calculate this parameter.

Adenosine transport can be determined either by influx measurements of its radiolabeled form or, at least for CNT-type transporters, by electrophysiological means. In order to get accurate determinations of kinetic parameters it is important to overexpress a particular subtype on a nucleoside-transport null background, which indeed is very rare, although two mammalian non-commercial cell lines lacking CNT- and ENT-related activity had been engineered for this purpose (Mackey et al., 1998; Ward et al., 2000). On the other hand, determination of adenosine uptake kinetic constants of endogenous transporters is a big challenge. In general trends, most cell lines do not retain hCNT-related activity, because hCNT expression is highly dependent upon cell differentiation. Moreover, kinetic determinations in primary cells are not easy either because they co-express several transporter subtypes showing overlapping substrate selectivity.

Nucleoside uptake by each particular nucleoside transporter subtype cannot be determined directly. This is a limitation likely to result in experimental variability. CNT-mediated transport is Na-dependent, but uptake determinations in saturating sodium concentrations (normally 120 mM NaCl) incorporate CNT- and ENT-related transport as well a variable (often small) residual component likely to be associated with non-specific binding, to which even the support where the cells grow on can contribute to. Thus, uptake measurements in the absence of sodium are required, being this cation often replaced by choline (120 mM Choline Cl). CNT-type activity can be calculated by subtracting uptake rates measured in the absence of Na from the total uptake activity measured in a Na-containing medium. Needless to say, uptake rates should be measured in initial velocity conditions for proper calculation of kinetic constants. This can be experimentally challenging, particularly when transporters are overexpressed. Under these conditions substrate uptake can be very fast and short uptake time points might be needed (seconds). As mentioned above, it is not correct to assume that endogenous ENT-type proteins are responsible for all apparent uptake activity measured in the absence of sodium. This means in practice that direct measurements of ENT-related activity cannot be performed either. Thus, ENTs must be pharmacologically inhibited to figure out their
TABLE 1 | Affinity constants of human adenosine transporters.

| Gene name protein | Experimental model | Type of assay | Af. ct. (μM) | Reference |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| SLC29A1 (ENT1)    | Human Erythroleukemia K562 cells. | Adenosine influx | 75 | Boleti et al., 1997 |
|                   | Endogenous transporters. | Adenosine influx | 32 | Celis et al., 2017 |
|                   | Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVEC). | Adenosine influx | 53 | Casanello et al., 2005 |
|                   | Endogenous transporters. | Adenosine influx | 59 | Muñoz et al., 2006 |
|                   | Placental microvascular endothelial cells. Endogenous transporters. | Adenosine influx | 61 | Salomón et al., 2012 |
|                   | Heterologous expression in PK15NTD (Nucleoside Transporter Deficient) cells. | Adenosine influx | 82 | Escudero et al., 2008 |
|                   |                           |                        | 40 | Ward et al., 2000 |
| SLC29A2 (ENT2)    | Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVEC). | Adenosine influx | 49 | Celis et al., 2017 |
|                   | Endogenous transporters. | Adenosine influx | 102 | Muñoz et al., 2006 |
|                   | Placental microvascular endothelial cells. Endogenous transporters. | Adenosine influx | 77 | Salomón et al., 2012 |
|                   | Heterologous expression in PK15NTD (Nucleoside Transporter Deficient) cells. | Adenosine influx | 98 | Escudero et al., 2008 |
|                   |                           |                        | 140 | Ward et al., 2000 |
| SLC29A3 (ENT3)    | hENT3AA, mutated to reach the plasma membrane in Xenopus laevis oocytes. | Adenosine influx pH 5.5 | 1860 | Baldwin et al., 2005 |
|                   | X. laevis oocytes. | Adenosine influx pH 5.5 | 1620 | Rahman et al., 2017 |
|                   | Δ369ENT3, deleted to reach the plasma membrane in Xenopus laevis oocytes. | Adenosine influx pH 5.5 | 1800 | Kang et al., 2010 |
| SLC29A4 (ENT4)    | Expression in Xenopus laevis oocytes. | Adenosine influx pH 5.5 | 780 | Barnes et al., 2006 |
| SLC28A2 (CNT2)    | Expression in Xenopus laevis oocytes. | Adenosine influx | 8 | Yao et al., 1996 |
|                   | Endogenous transporters. | Adenosine influx | 6 | Che et al., 1995 |
|                   | Electrophysiology | Electrophysiology | 18 | Larráyoz et al., 2006 |
|                   | Electrophysiology | Electrophysiology | 23 | Li et al., 2001 |
| SLC28A3 (CNT3)    | Expression in Xenopus laevis oocytes. | Adenosine influx | 15 | Ritzel et al., 2001 |
|                   | Heterologous expression in yeast | Adenosine influx | 18 | Gorraitz et al., 2010 |
|                   | Heterologous expression in HeLa cells | Adenosine influx | 2.2 | Damarau et al., 2005 |
|                   |                           | Adenosine influx | 2.4 | Errasti-Murugarren et al., 2008 |

Interaction of nucleoside transporters with adenosine has been addressed either by determining the influx of radiolabeled adenosine into cells or, for hCNTs, by monitoring adenosine-induced Na+ currents in Xenopus laevis oocytes expressing a particular CNT subtype protein. Apparent affinity constants (Af. ct.) are all given in μM for a better comparison among all NT subtypes. Endogenous transporters refer to kinetic determinations performed using cell lines which express hENT1 and hENT2 endogenously, being the contribution of each subtype calculated by selectively inhibiting either hENT1 alone or both hENTs, as explained in the text. Adenosine uptake by hENT3 and hENT4 can only be measured at acidic pH. Kinetic determinations using the intracellular transporter hENT3 can only be performed if the wild type protein is modified in a way that sorting signals are blocked, thereby allowing the protein to reach the plasma membrane and determine function. Whether these relatively small structural alterations can significantly impact on adenosine affinity is not known. hCNT1 is not included in the table because, as explained in the text, even though some adenosine affinity constants have been reported, its translocation efficacy is extremely poor and we think it cannot be considered an adenosine transporter in a physiological context.

The cRNA coding for a specific transporters is injected in Xenopus laevis oocytes and, in normal conditions, transporter function can be assessed after 2 days. Oocytes are clamped and inward sodium currents triggered by the addition of a particular hCNT substrate are recorded. Indeed, the intensity of the applied current to compensate for the transient depolarization associated with sodium influx, reflects transport activity. In this particular set up, initial velocity conditions can be easily achieved, endogenous activity is not interfering and currents may be a more direct way of measuring hCNT transport function than when using radiolabeled adenosine influx determinations. However, the oocyte membrane might show physicochemical properties different from mammalian plasma membranes. To what extent the membrane environment of a particular nucleoside transporter determines function and, eventually, substrate specificity is not really well-known. In this regard, when studying a novel polymorphic hCNT3 variant identified in our laboratory several years ago (Errasti-Murugarren et al., 2008), we observed that hCNT3 can indeed be found in different membrane microdomains, hCNT3 proteins located in lipid rafts being more active than the ones off rafts (Errasti-Murugarren et al., 2010).
There are many experimental issues which are likely to affect hCNT-related activity measurements and this may explain published variability in substrate specificity (adenosine affinity constants). Despite all these experimental issues, in general terms, it seems that the transporter proteins showing the highest affinity for adenosine are hCNTs, in particular, hCNT2 and hCNT3. In our hands, apparent Km values for adenosine in HEK293 cells expressing hCNT3 are the lowest reported so far among all nucleoside transporter proteins, 2.4 µM. Nevertheless, what makes hCNT3 an excellent candidate to modulate extracellular adenosine levels is the fact that it shows a huge capacity to concentrate nucleosides inside cells, because of its unique stoichiometry. Nevertheless, as will be discussed below, hCNT3 is not ubiquitously expressed, meaning that in some particular cell types hCNT2 would be the one to do the job.

The role of hCNT1 in adenosine regulation requires a more detailed explanation because there has been an argument in the past about whether or not this protein is an adenosine transporter. Apparent Km values for adenosine have been calculated for rCNT1 when it was expressed in oocytes and transport assays were performed using radiolabeled nucleosides (Yao et al., 1996). In this set up, an apparent Km of 26 µM was reported and discussed to be similar to the one calculated for uridine (37 µM) (Huang et al., 1994). Nevertheless, under these conditions the Vmax for uridine was 300 fold higher than the one calculated for adenosine (Yao et al., 1996). The same laboratory reported very low, almost negligible, substrate-induced Na-inward currents when using saturating concentrations of adenosine (100 µM) in oocytes expressing the human CNT1 ortholog (Smith et al., 2004). In our hands currents were undetectable using the same experimental approach (Larráyoz et al., 2004). In summary, we believe hCNT1 cannot be considered an adenosine transporter protein. However, we generated some evidence suggesting the possibility that adenosine can instead bind to the transporter protein without being translocated. Evidence for adenosine binding is quite consistent. Most sodium-coupled transporters, among them hCNTs, show some sodium leakage in the absence of the co-substrate (a nucleoside in our case). Leakage can be similarly measured as a current and is dependent upon membrane potential. Adenosine was shown to block what are called pre-steady state and steady state currents of the transporter protein associated with sodium-leakage (Larráyoz et al., 2004). This can be understood as the consequence of adenosine binding to the transporter protein. The physiological relevance of this event is not known.

Regarding ENT proteins, most available literature points to ENT1 and ENT2 as major players in the regulation of adenosine levels. Although apparent Km values are definitely higher (even much higher for hENT2) than the ones reported for hCNT2 and hCNT3, efficient coupling with adenosine phosphorylation would contribute to generate a huge transmembrane adenosine gradient which thermodynamically would favor influx via these transporter proteins. As discussed below, ENT1 is by far the most studied member within the SLC29 gene family and different laboratories have provided consistent evidence supporting a role for this particular subtype in adenosine signaling. ENT3 is mostly localized in intracellular compartments (probably mitochondria and lysosomes) but, in any case, its affinity for adenosine seems to be low enough as to preclude any role for this transporter protein in adenosine regulation (Baldwin et al., 2005; Kang et al., 2010; Hsu et al., 2012; Rahman et al., 2017).

Similarly to CNT1, ENT4 also requires some detailed explanations, because its role in adenosine signaling is still on debate. ENT4 is evolutionarily distant from the other three members of the family (Young et al., 2013) and, when cloned and functionally expressed it was reported to show poor affinity for nucleosides, whereas it could translocate monoamine neurotransmitters such as dopamine and serotonin (Engel et al., 2004). In fact the laboratory that generated all this information claimed ENT4 to be renamed as PMAT, from Plasma Membrane Amine Transporter. Interestingly, ENT4/PMAT shows functional similarity with organic cation transporters (OCTs), which means that this protein can act as a polyspecific OCT as the SLC22 gene members encoding for hOCT1, 2, and 3. Some common substrate structural determinants between nucleoside transporters and OCTs can be hypothesized. Indeed the three hOCT proteins can efficiently translocate the antiviral nucleoside analog lamivudine. Moreover, they can also interact with several other nucleoside-based antiviral drugs such as zidovudine, abacavir, and others (Minuesa et al., 2009). Nevertheless, none of the OCT proteins can transport natural nucleosides. The possibility of ENT4 playing a role in adenosine transport was raised by Barnes et al. (2006) several years ago. These authors demonstrated that serotonin transport via ENT4 was not pH-dependent, whereas adenosine transport was. Apparent Km values for adenosine at acidic pH (5.5) were in the high micromolar range but still were considered to be compatible with ENT4 being an adenosine transporter protein in physiological conditions associated with acidosis.

In summary, we have briefly dissected and discussed the basic biochemical principles and events governing adenosine transport into cells, by highlighting which are the best transporter candidates to regulate extracellular adenosine levels, and therefore, adenosine-mediated purinergic signaling.

ADENOSINE TRANSPORTERS AND PURINERGIC SIGNALING

Once the plasma membrane transporters likely to be implicated in the regulation of adenosine levels have been identified, we will discuss what is the physiological evidence supporting a functional link between a particular transporter subtype and purinergic regulation.

Several experimental approaches have been used in this regard. NT transporter pharmacology is still poorly developed and no subtype-specific inhibitors are available for CNT proteins, although this is not the case for ENTs. Indeed, high-affinity inhibition of ENT1 by NMBPR has proven very helpful. In fact, the determination of NMBPR-specific binding sites has been used by different authors to quantify ENT1 expression at the plasma membrane, even long before ENT1 was identified at the molecular level (Pickard et al., 1973; Dahlig-Harley et al., 1981;
Maragos et al., 1982). Besides the pharmacological approach, functional genomics is also available for ENT1, since Choi colleagues reported the first NT-subtype knock out mouse model (Choi et al., 2004; Oliveros et al., 2017). Probably because of these circumstances, we can say that ENT1 is the most studied transporter among the two families (SLC28 and SLC29), with plenty of literature showing a link between ENT1 function and purinergic regulation. Another experimental approach suitable for the analysis of adenosine transporters as players in the purinome, comes from the evidence that selected NT subtypes (including ENT1) are under purinergic control. This means that their function is regulated by P1 (but probably also by P2) type receptors. In the classical set up of purinome function one would envisage NT proteins being stimulated by adenosine acting on P1 receptors, thereby promoting extracellular adenosine removal and ending the purinergic signaling. Moreover, changes in the expression of particular NT subtypes in physiological and pathophysiological conditions known to be associated with increased adenosine levels, further support the role particular NT proteins might play in purinergic regulation.

**ENT Proteins**

ENT1 expression in the rat and human brain has been mapped by different means (i.e., NBMPR binding, mRNA in situ hybridization and others). ENT1 shows broad cellular and regional distribution and its role in adenosine signaling is relatively well-understood (Parkinson et al., 2011). Adenosine is known to be neuroprotective in various pathological conditions such as stroke (Cunha, 2016). This is the reason why physiological mechanisms governing adenosine extracellular levels have been comprehensively studied. A probable dual role of ENT proteins either as influx or efflux transporters has been reported in the CNS. Indeed, rat cortical neurons when cultured either as influx or efflux transporters has been comprehensively studied. A probable dual role of ENT proteins (including ENT1) are under purinergic control. This means that their function is regulated by P1 (but probably also by P2) type receptors. In the classical set up of purinome function one would envisage NT proteins being stimulated by adenosine acting on P1 receptors, thereby promoting extracellular adenosine removal and ending the purinergic signaling. Moreover, changes in the expression of particular NT subtypes in physiological and pathophysiological conditions known to be associated with increased adenosine levels, further support the role particular NT proteins might play in purinergic regulation.

**CNT Proteins**

As discussed above, hCNT2 and hCNT3 should be, by far, the best candidates for efficient removal of adenosine from the extracellular milieu. This statement is based upon their apparent high affinity for adenosine and for its concentrative action.
capacity which is even 10fold higher for hCNT3 than hCNT2. Nevertheless, hCNT pharmacology is very poor, even though some hCNT subtype specific inhibitors have been recently proposed (Kumar Deokar et al., 2017). In this regard the structural modeling of human CNT subtypes (Arirany-Nardi et al., 2017; Kumar Deokar et al., 2017; Latek, 2017; Mulinta et al., 2017) based upon the crystal structure of the Vibrio cholerae CNT ortholog (Johnson et al., 2012) might be particularly useful for future specific inhibitor design. This has been a major bottleneck to study the probable impact of acute CNT pharmacological inhibition on adenosine signaling. Nevertheless there is solid experimental evidence showing that CNT2 and CNT3 are under purinergic regulation, which suggests they contribute, as ENTs, to modulate extracellular adenosine levels and P1 signaling. 

In liver parenchymal cells CNT2 is expressed at the basolateral (sinusoidal) and apical (canalicular) plasma membranes (Duflot et al., 2002; Govindarajan et al., 2008). In rat primary hepatocytes and hepatocarcinoma FAO cells the activity of this transporter protein is under purinergic regulation via A1 receptors (Duflot et al., 2004). This effect is relatively rapid (peaking between 5 and 10 min after agonist addition) and consistent with increased transport capacity (Vmax effect). Interestingly the magnitude of the effect (transport fold-induction) is dependent upon glucose concentration, being lower at high glucose (10 vs. 5 mM glucose). Indeed, CNT2 up-regulation could be blocked by inhibitors of KATP channels and mimicked by openers, which establishes a putative link between energy metabolism and purinergic regulation of CNT2. All the protein machinery likely to be implicated in this phenomenon, this is the KATP channel subunits Kir6.1, Kir6.2, SUR2A, SUR2B, as well as the transporter itself and A1 receptors were shown to co-localize in FAO cells. The physiological impact of the reduction of adenosine removal capacity triggered by high-glucose is not clear, although decreased hENT1 function and expression have been reported in HUVEC from diabetic patients and shown to be mimicked by high glucose in the culture medium (Sobrevia et al., 2011). It is interesting to keep in mind that extracellular adenosine has been reported to be able to modulate the AMP-dependent kinase AMPK, by a mechanism which depends on transporter function, also involving CNT2 in some cell types (Aymerich et al., 2006). Overall, CNT2 appears to be a suitable candidate to modulate purinergic signaling in hepatocytes, particularly considering that hCNT1 is not an adenosine transporter and hCNT3 expression in hepatocytes appears to be negligible. Interestingly, CNT2 function has recently been identified in primary rat bile duct epithelial cells where it is similarly found in both plasma membrane domains, apical and basolateral of cholangiocytes (Godoy et al., 2014). Luminal ATP, via P2Y receptors, down-regulates apical (lumen-facing) CNT2 activity by a Ca2+-dependent mechanism. Cholangiocytes also express CNT3 and its apical function is similarly down-regulated by nucleotides, such as ATP. However, A2A agonists (i.e., adenosine), acting from the luminal side, specifically activate apical CNT3, without modifying CNT2 function. CNT3 activation is consistent with transporter trafficking from intracellular vesicles to the plasma membrane. In practice this means that CNT2 and probably to more extent CNT3 are contributing to end up the purinergic regulation of bile flow by removing adenosine from the bile canaliculus. It makes sense that the adenosine precursor ATP reduces adenosine removal capacity by inhibiting both CNT2 and CNT3, whereas the differential regulation of both transporters by adenosine acting on A2A receptors may reflect basal (CNT2 and CNT3) and adenosine-induced (CNT3) capacity for its own removal.

CNT2, in parallel with ENT1, has also been mapped in the adult rat brain by in situ hybridization (Guillén-Gómez et al., 2004). Indeed, CNT2 is broadly distributed in the CNS with significant overlapping with ENT1. More recently, others have identified the CNT2 protein in plasma and vesicle membranes isolated from rat striatum (Melani et al., 2012). The possibility of CNT2 also playing a role in adenosine signaling in the brain is also supported by the evidence that its activity can be up-regulated by P1 receptor activation in differentiated neural PC12 cells (most probably A1 and A2A) (Medina-Pulido et al., 2013). CNT2 activation is relatively rapid, as in hepatocytes, peaking 15 min after P1 agonist addition. Interestingly, caffeine has been reported to inhibit CNT2 function with an apparent Ki value of 103 μM (Lang et al., 2004). Although this concentration might significantly exceed the one found in blood after coffee ingestion, it could still be relevant in heavy coffee drinkers (Nehlig and Debyr, 1994). Taking together these observations suggest ENT1 may not be the only player regulating adenosine signaling in the brain, which in fact is consistent with the relatively mild phenotype of the ENT1 null mice.

Last, but not least, several physiological and pathophysiological observations also support a role for hCNT2 and hCNT3 as proteins relevant to purinergic signaling. The three hCNT genes are expressed in the nephron and accurate anatomic analysis of their distribution along it reveals a longitudinal pattern of expression consistent with nucleoside renal tubule reabsorption but also with adenosine-mediated tubulo-glomerular feedback regulation (Schnermann, 2015). Indeed, the three transporter proteins are expressed in the proximal convoluted tubule (PCT), where most nutrient reabsorption (glucose, amino acids) take place, but only the two adenosine transporters (CNT2 and CNT3), not CNT1, are also expressed in very specific distal segments of the nephron, the cortical collecting duct (CCD) (CNT3) and the outer medullary collecting duct (OMCD) (CNT2). This anatomical distribution is more consistent with adenosine signaling than with nucleoside reabsorption. Distribution of CNTs along the gastrointestinal tract (Pastor-Anglada et al., 2018) also points to this dual role of adenosine transporters. In fact, the CNT2 encoding gene (SLC28A2) is, by far, the one which is down-regulated the most (sevenfold) in inflamed ileon mucosa from Crohn's patients (Pérez-Torras et al., 2016). Even though the impact of inflammation is broad and down-regulates a broad cohort of genes, those associated with the purinome (transporters, receptors, and ectonucleotidases) are greatly affected.

In the rat brain, CNT2 is also down-regulated in situations known to be associated with increased adenosine concentrations. CNT2 mRNA levels are decreased in cortical samples from sleep-deprived rats, whereas ENT1 mRNA is not affected at all under the same circumstances (Guillén-Gómez et al., 2004).
CNT2-related mRNA tends to recover when animals are allowed to sleep. On the other hand, experimental ischemia in vivo, induced in rats by intraluminal middle cerebral arterial occlusion, also regulates nucleoside transporter encoding genes (Medina-Pulido et al., 2013). In this study, transcripts of both ENTs (ENT1 and ENT2) and the three CNT members were quantified in the ipsilateral cortex (infarcted) and compared to the contralateral cortex as its own control. Indeed, the mRNA levels of hENT1, hCNT2, but also hCNT3 (poorly studied in the brain), the three transporters more likely to modulate adenosine tone, were decreased in the infarcted tissue with no changes observed for hCNT1 and hENT2.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Nucleoside transport by each particular nucleoside protein subtype cannot be measured directly, thereby resulting in some experimental variability likely to impact on the determination of adenosine affinity constants. Despite this limitation, we can conclude that adenosine transport mechanisms across the plasma membrane are well-understood with the only exception of ENT4, for which a clear role in the regulation of adenosine tone in some tissues (i.e., heart) still awaits clarification. Nevertheless, in general trends, who is who in adenosine transport is well-known.

Less clear is how each transporter subtype contributes to modulate adenosine levels, an issue of particular interest considering most cells show some apparent redundancy in the expression of adenosine transporters. ENT1 is by far the most studied adenosine transporter. This may be explained, as discussed above, not necessarily because of its ubiquitous expression, but because pharmacological tools and functional genomics have provided better chances to study it than for the other adenosine transporters. Nevertheless, the contribution of the other subtypes, particularly CNTs (CNT2 and CNT3) should not be ruled out and deserves further investigation.
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