Low-Energy Fragmentation Dynamics at Copahue Volcano (Argentina) as Revealed by an Infrasonic Array and Ash Characteristics
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Ash-rich eruptions represent a serious risk to the population living nearby as well as at thousands of kilometers from a volcano. Volcanic ash is the result of extensive magma fragmentation during an eruption, and it depends upon a combination of magma properties such as rheology, vesicularity and permeability, gas overpressure and the possible involvement of external fluids during magma ascent. The explosive process generates infrasonic waves which are directly linked to the outflow of the gas-particle mixture in the atmosphere. The higher the overpressure in the magma, the higher should be the exit velocity of the ejected material and the acoustic pressure related to this process. During violent eruptions, fragmentation becomes more efficient and is responsible for the extensive production of ash which is dispersed in the atmosphere. We show that the phase of intense ash emission that occurred during March 2016 at Copahue volcano (Argentina) generated a very low (0.1 Pa) infrasonic amplitude at 13 km, raising a number of questions concerning the links among acoustic pressure, gas overpressure and efficiency of magma fragmentation. Infrasound and direct observations of the eruptive plume indicate that the large quantity of ash erupted at Copahue was ejected with a low exit velocity. Thus, it was associated with eruptive dynamics driven by a low magma overpressure. This is more evident when infrasonic activity at Copahue is compared to the moderate explosive activity of Villarrica (Chile), recorded by the same array, at a distance of 193 km. Our data suggest a process of rigid fragmentation under a low magma overpressure which was nearly completely dissipated during the passage of the erupting mixture through the granular, ash-bearing crater infilling. We conclude that ash released into the atmosphere during low-energy fragmentation dynamics can be difficult to monitor, with direct consequences for the assessment of the related hazard and management of eruptive crises.
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INTRODUCTION

Scientific attention regarding eruptions dominated by ash emission has been increasing since the paradigmatic 2010 Eyjafjallajökull eruption in Iceland (Dellino et al., 2012; Gudmundsson et al., 2012; Cioni et al., 2014). Although the importance of this very frequent type of activity had already been recognized in the stratigraphic records of some volcanoes (e.g. Ono et al., 1995; Andronico and Cioni, 2002; D’Oriano et al., 2011), it was from this Icelandic eruption that research efforts were strongly focused toward many different aspects related to this peculiar type of activity, ranging from the mechanisms of magma fragmentation and transport, through plume and ash dispersal and ash aggregation, to consideration of the wide spectrum of related hazards and their economic and social consequences. These studies largely benefited from the direct observation and sampling of a few eruptions, for which data derived from geophysical monitoring could be coupled with direct analyses on samples from the observed phases of the eruption (Bonadonna et al., 2011; Miwa et al., 2009; Gaunt et al., 2016; Battaglia et al., 2019). Despite the many efforts dedicated to this topic from the scientific community in the last 10 years, several aspects still remain to be explored and clarified. This is mainly due to the fact that activity dominated by continuous and prolonged ash emission relates to a wide spectrum of mid-intensity eruptive styles (Vulcanian, violent Strombolian, Surtseyan, ash venting) and magma compositions, involving varied processes of magma fragmentation, ash generation and dispersal. For this reason, further studies at volcanoes of different geological and tectonic contexts around the world are needed for better understanding of the main mechanisms driving this type of activity.

Copahue volcano is one of the most active volcanoes in Argentina. Since 2012 it has been characterized by constant passive emissions of steam and SO₂, with frequent episodes of gas and ash emissions that last from a couple of days to months, alternating with phreatic explosions with large emission of water vapor clouds (Global Volcanism Program, 2016, and 2017; Petrinovic et al., 2014; Caselli et al, 2016a; Augusto and Vélez, 2017; Daga et al., 2017). This eruptive activity is generally classified as VEI 2 and poses serious risks to the local population for the large quantity of ash dispersed in the atmosphere (Petrinovic et al., 2014; Caselli et al, 2016b). The area around Copahue is one of the most popular ski resort and thermal areas in Argentina, visited by thousands of tourists every year. The permanent westerly winds blow the ash toward the villages of Caviahue and Copahue, causing problems for traffic circulation and human respiration. Nevertheless, the record of this volcanic activity is very sparse in terms of geophysical data, as well as understanding the explosive dynamics responsible for this large emission of ash.

We present here the first complete infrasound record of a 15 day period (between March and April 2016) during a prolonged (January-April 2016) phase of intense ash-emission activity at Copahue volcano. This eruption was recorded with an infrasonic array and compared with both visible camera and direct observations of the explosive activity, which allow us to relate the infrasonic record to the eruptive style and ash outflux, grain size, componentry, and morphological and textural data collected during certain days of the same period of activity (5 days in February 2016). This information is used in conjunction with infrasound data to constrain the fragmentation process and eruption dynamics.

The infrasonic data were recorded by the first permanent infrasonic array installed in the southern Andes, revealing the weak overpressures associated with ash emission activity compared to phreatomagmatic and/or magmatic activity. The weak overpressure related to the activity at Copahue is demonstrated by comparing these data during the period of the study to a different set of infrasound signals with back-azimuth directions compatible with distal activity at Villarica volcano in Chile, 193 km from the CPH array. The capability to detect signals at large distances confirms the high potential of this infrasonic array as a remote system to monitor activity from many volcanoes of the Andean Southern Volcanic Zone.

COPAHUE VOLCANO

The Copahue-Caviahue Volcano Complex (CCVC) is part of the Caviahue-Agrio caldera (Bonali et al., 2016). It is located in the Southern Andean Volcanic Zone (37°–41.5°) and straddles the border between Argentina and Chile (Figure 1), approximately 30 km east of the N-S to NNE-SSW-trending volcanic arc (Melnick et al., 2006; Folguera et al., 2016). Copahue volcano is an active andesitic to basaltic andesitic stratovolcano nested on the western rim of the caldera (Linares et al., 1999). It is elongated in the NE direction and has an elevation of 2,997 m a.s.l. On its summit, nine craters are aligned N60°E (Naranjo and Polanco, 2004); only the easternmost crater is currently active. This active crater has a nearly circular shape of 300 m by 250 m, a depth of 90 m, and contains a nearly permanent, hot hyperacidic crater lake, active at least from 1937 (Caselli et al., 2016b; Agusto and Vélez, 2017).

At least 13 eruptions have occurred during the last 260 years. Despite the scarce detailed historical information, available reports and data suggest low magnitude phreatic and phreatomagmatic eruptive styles over the last two centuries (Martini et al., 1997; Naranjo and Polanco, 2004), whereas the most recent eruptive events in 2000 and 2012 have been mainly Strombolian, with a phreatomagmatic opening phase followed by the occurrence of repeated magmatic pulses (Caselli et al., 2016a). In July 2012, a new eruptive cycle began, with the occurrence of a phreatomagmatic eruption which expelled material from the volcanic conduit at the bottom of the crater lake, accompanied by juvenile material (Caselli et al., 2016a; Daga et al., 2017). In December 2012 the main phase of the phreatic/phreatomagmatic eruption culminated after several hours with a magmatic phase. The crater lake drained during the eruption, and activity changed to small explosions and fumarolic emissions. Reactivation of the explosive activity in 2012 led to the formation of permanent fumaroles, the evaporation of water, and even the expulsion of water in the form of lahars (Caselli et al., 2016a). A small crater lake reformed and was present until November 2015 (GVP, 2013; Agusto and Vélez, 2017). Until early 2017, the volcano...
experienced constant seismic activity associated with emission of gas and ash, with occasional explosions leading to visible nocturnal incandescence (Caselli et al., 2017a). This activity represents a serious risk to the population living around the volcano, both in Argentina and in Chile. In the 2012 eruption, the volcanic plume drifted up to 350 km away from the source (Caselli et al., 2016a), demonstrating that even during these low intensity events, the large quantity of ash dispersed in the atmosphere (Figures 1A, Figure 2) can also represent a major problem for civil aviation (Bonadonna et al., 2012).

The February-March 2016 Activity of Copahue

The vent area of Copahue was characterized from the end of November 2015 by the presence of a small cone within the crater, and by a nearly empty crater lake (Figure 2B; GVP, 2016; Agusto et al., 2017). The cone was still present in the first weeks of February 2016, together with a small lake inside the main crater. The period February–March 2016 was characterized by continuous and quite constant activity (Table 1), which continued the trend of activity of December 2015-January 2016 (Caselli et al., 2017b). Seismic tremor was higher than normal, reaching its highest amplitude since 2012 (Figure 3) on March 3th (OVDAS-SERNAGEOMIN, 2016a), although generally maintaining an intermediate level (reduced...
displacement RD variable between 3–5 cm$^2$, Table 1). During the entire period a low-level ash plume was present up to ~1,000 m above the vent and generally traceable by satellite up to distances of 50–100 km to the SE-ESE. Incandescence in the vent area was visible at night from a videocamera located at 13 km from the vent (Figure 1A). Minor peaks in activity possibly occurred with peaks in SO$_2$ flux (25 February, 23 March) and with the formation of slightly higher ash plumes up to 1,500 m (Table 1) (OVDAS-SERNAGEOMIN, 2016a).

During March and the beginning of April, a videocamera showed a constant emission of ash and gases, as well as nocturnal incandescence occurring in the crater. This activity was directly related to a small increase in tremor recorded by the seismic stations (Figure 3). From March 23 to 26 the plume reached a maximum height of about 1,500 m above the vent (GVP, 2016, OVDAS-SERNAGEOMIN, 2016a).

Visual observations on March 25 reported the emission of ash and gases, intermittently with clouds of water steam and the ejection of volcanic bombs coming from the cinder cone inside the crater. A small lake inside the crater and bombs ejected as far as 240 m from the vent were also observed. At the time, the rim of the cone within the crater was 50 m high, and the active vent was ~10 m wide (Figure 2B).

The seismicity, SO$_2$ flux and the description of volcanic activity (Table 1) of the entire period were compiled in reports from OVDAS-SERNAGEOMIN (2016a), which explains this kind of activity as the result of interaction of pockets of magma at shallow depth with the surficial hydrothermal system.

**THE INFRASOUND ARRAY**

On February 2014 the first permanent infrasound array in the Southern Andes for monitoring volcano activity was installed near Copahue volcano. The array is located 13 km east of the active crater and at 1,660 m above sea level (Figure 1A). It consists of four elements arranged in a L-shape geometry with an aperture of ~200 m (Figure 1B). Infrasound is recorded by

| Month       | January 2016 | February 2016 | March 2016 | April 2016 |
|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Days        | 1–15         | 16–31         | 1–15       | 1–15       |
| VT          | 68           | 28            | 15         | 9          |
| LP/VLP      | 51           | 41            | 13         | 17         |
| Tremor      | Constant, intermediate level (RD < 1.8 cm$^2$) | Constant, intermediate level (RD < 4 cm$^2$) | Constant, intermediate level (RD < 4 cm$^2$) | Constant, intermediate level (RD < 5 cm$^2$) |
| Mean SO$_2$ (t/day) | 691 ± 184 | 724 ± 91 | 753 ± 199 | 1,125 ± 176 |
| Max SO$_2$ (t/day) | 2,573 January 12 | 1,380 January 16 | 2,735 February 13 | 4,264 February 25 |

**FIGURE 3** | Seismic tremor calculated at the Copahue station located 2.1 km from the crater in the frequency band 0.5–10 Hz. Tremor amplitude shows a gradual but clear increase from the end of 2015 and reaches the maximum amplitude during March 2016 (green area).

**TABLE 1** | Summary of geophysical and observation data related to the January-April 2016 activity at Copahue volcano. Data from “Reporte de Actividad Volcánica (RAV), Región del Biobío,” OVDAS-SERNAGEOMIN (http://sitiohistorico.sernageomin.cl/lista-region-8.php).
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analog differential pressure transducers with a sensitivity of 25 mV/Pa in the frequency range from 0.01 to 100 Hz, with noise level of 50 dB at 1 Pa²/Hz. In order to minimize the impact of the wind on the sensors, the array was deployed in a moderately forested area. Each sensor is connected by cable to a six channel 24-bit digitizer (Guralp CMG-DM24) that records

**FIGURE 4**| Example of infrasound recorded at the different microphones of the array during March 22, 2016. Signals were filtered between 0.5 and 10 Hz and show clear impulses of short duration with small pressure amplitude (0.2 Pa) repeating every 14 s, which are associated with explosive activity at Copahue.

**FIGURE 5**| (A) Acoustic pressure; (B) backazimuth detected by the array with semblance >50% during the period 20 March and 4 April 2016; the color bar indicates the semblance value. Explosive activity at Copahue volcano is identified by the signals coming from ~260°N with amplitude rarely above 0.3 Pa. Detections with backazimuth around 200°N on March 29 and April 3, are instead related to the explosive activity at Villarica volcano (Chile).
and stores data at a sampling rate of 100 samples per second. Data are then transmitted via internet through TCP/IP protocol to the Laboratorio di Geofisica Sperimentale, University of Florence in Italy, the University of Rio Negro in Argentina, and OVDAS in Chile, where they are processed in real-time.

**Infrasonic Array Processing**

Infrasonic arrays are typically used to investigate the acoustic wavefield. Among several different methods, multi-channel correlation analysis (e.g., Ripepe and Marchetti, 2002), used for calculating coherence among different stations of the array as a function of the receiver-to-source propagation back-azimuth, was applied to the CPH infrasonic data. The multi-channel correlation analysis is based on the assumption that a signal is coherent at different elements of the array, and it is applied to infrasonic data filtered in the 0.5–10 Hz frequency band (Figure 4). Array analysis is performed in real-time on a 5-second moving window, with an overlap of 1 s. The result of this processing is represented in terms of temporal variation of the semblance as a function of the back-azimuth direction (Ripepe et al., 2007). For each signal with semblance (semblance measures the amount of similarity between infrasonic traces) higher than 50%, the back-azimuth and the mean acoustic pressure recorded at the array are calculated (Figure 5).

Limitations of the array detection techniques are related to the signal-to-noise ratio, which depends on the local infrasonic noise mainly due to strong wind, as well as on the attenuation of the wavefield during propagation in the stratified atmosphere (de Groot-Hedlin et al., 2008; Garcés et al., 2008; Lacanna et al., 2014) and along the ground from the source to the receiver path (Lacanna and Ripepe, 2013).

**INFRASOUND MONITORING AT COPAHUE**

During the February–March 2016 period, infrasonic activity was generally low, and only rarely did the array locate coherent signals associated with explosive events (Figure 5) and ash emissions. On March 21, 2016 at 6:09 UTC, array processing reveals coherent small acoustic signals with amplitude between 0.05 and 0.2 Pa propagating with a back-azimuth of ∼266°N, corresponding to the direction of the active crater (Figure 5). These coherent acoustic signals were characterized by impulsive transients with a mean duration of 1.5 s and frequency of 1.12 Hz, typical of moderate explosive activity, or overpressurized magma degassing (Figure 4). These acoustic signals are similar to those associated with explosive activity observed at other volcanoes (Johnson 2003; Johnson and Ripepe 2011; Fee and Matoza 2013; Ripepe et al., 2018), but characterized by low pressure amplitude (p) generally around 0.2 Pa (Figure 4), which when reduced at r = 1 km from the source is equivalent to 2.6 Pa (pr = p(r/ro)), where r is the distance (13 km) between the array and the crater. For comparison, Stromboli volcano generates infrasonic transients with frequency content between 2–4 Hz and amplitudes (p) between 20–50 Pa at r = 0.5 km from the source (e.g., Lacanna and Ripepe 2013; Delle Donne et al., 2016) which converts to a reduced pressure (p′) of 10–25 Pa at 1 km.

The low amplitude transient acoustic signals of the initial eruptive phase became more frequent and finally merged in a continuous oscillation (Figure 6) approaching the more violent eruptive phase on March 23 (Figure 5). These oscillations are quasi-monochromatic (Figure 6) at around 1.2 Hz (Figure 7) and highly coherent (semblance > 80%) among the infrasonic sensors of the array reaching maximum amplitudes of ∼1.0 Pa on March 23 (Figure 5). This phase coincided with the maximum emission of ash, generating a plume that reached a height of 1,500 m above the volcano (4,350 m asl). The ash plume was visible on satellite imagery, and at 12:10 UTC on 23 March 2016, ash was traveling eastward at a distance of 37 km from the volcano (Figure 1A). This activity caused the Volcanic Ash Advisory Center (VAAC) of Buenos Aires to issue a notification of volcanic ash dispersion for Copahue volcano. The same VAAC reported ash drifting to a distance of 150 km (GVP, 2016). In spite of the different magma composition, similar infrasonic behavior has been observed during more energetic lava fountaining at Etna volcano in Italy. At Etna, explosive eruptions are in fact preceded by violent Strombolian activity associated with a rapid sequence (every 2 s) of large infrasonic transients (P, = 20–30 Pa at 1 km) which merge to continuous acoustic pressure oscillations of 0.7 Hz during a sustained eruptive column (Ulivieri et al., 2013).

Infrasonic activity with back-azimuth coherent with the direction of the active crater (∼266°N) was also detected after March 23 but was characterized by only a few events randomly distributed and seldom exceeding 0.12 Pa. Infrasonic during this phase is most probably associated with a weak Strombolian phase as evidenced by the incandescent volcanic bombs observed at night.

**Explosive Activity at Villarrica Volcano, Chile**

On April 3, 2016, at around 10:57 and 14:23 UTC, array processing shows several detections which are highly coherent (>70%) with back-azimuth at around 200°N (Figure 5B) and acoustic pressure ranging between 0.2 and 0.06 Pa (Figure 5A). A close analysis of the back-azimuth plot reveals that similar detections were identified by the array with the same back-azimuth several days beforehand on March 28 at 11:46 (Figure 5).

Infrasonic activity with a back-azimuth of ∼200°N points to the position of Villarrica volcano, located 193 km from CPH array (Figure 8) and showing a frequency content < 0.8 Hz (Figure 7) which falls into the infrasonic frequency range of 0.5–2 Hz previously recorded at Villarrica (Ripepe et al., 2010; Goto and Johnson 2011). These detections coincide with moderate explosive activity occurring on April 3, characterized by an ash column ∼300 m high and thermal anomalies detected by satellite (OVDASERNAMEGIN, 2016b), April vol. 04). Even though Villarrica is almost 15 times farther from the array than is Copahue, the amplitude and waveform of infrasound generated by the two volcanoes are comparable (Figure 9). However, explosive activity at Villarrica produced acoustic pressure, which when reduced at 1 km from the crater gives amplitudes larger than 25 Pa. This value is one order of magnitude larger than Copahue and comparable to values recorded during ordinary explosive activity at Stromboli (Lacanna and Ripepe 2013; Delle Donne et al., 2016),
FIGURE 6 | (A) Example of infrasonic signals recorded at four sensors of the array during the most intense ash eruption phase. (B) Time shifted infrasound signals related to Copahue activity show continuous quasi-monochromatic oscillation at 1.2 Hz which is coherent across the array.

FIGURE 7 | Power density spectrum of infrasonic signals recorded by the CPH array relative to Copahue (black line) and Villarrica (red line) volcanoes. Note that Villarica has a slightly lower frequency content at around 0.8 Hz compared to Copahue at 1.2 Hz. Both spectra show energy at around 0.2 Hz (5 s), which is typical of atmospheric microbaroms.
indicating the extremely weak pressure excess associated with the ash-rich explosive activity at Copahue.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ASH FRAGMENTS ERUPTED FROM COPAHUE

In order to investigate the processes controlling ash production and emission during the constant activity of February–April 2016 at Copahue, ash samples were collected in dedicated plastic containers while falling during a 5-day sequence of activity from February 19 to 23, 2016, during this prolonged eruptive period of activity. Unfortunately, we could not directly sample falling ash during the same days of the studied infrasound record. However, the activity of Copahue during the period of ash collection was comparable to the activity observed in March and recorded by the infrasound array (GVP, 2013; Table 1). The collection site (Figure 1A) and the analytical methods (grain size, ash textures and morphology) used for the study of the ash are reported in the Supplementary Material (Supplementary Table S1), while results of ash characterization are reported in Figure 10.

Ash samples show a well sorted, nearly symmetric, leptokurtic (kurtosis value always greater than 1) grain size distribution with a mode between 2.5 and 3.5 phi and a very small content of fine ash (less than 10 wt% > 4 phi; Figure 10A). Componentry of the ash is constant throughout all the sampled activity and distributed in similar proportions among three different components (Figure 10B): transparent, vitric shards and micropumices (JUV; abundances between 32 and 39%); opaque, black, dense to vesicular, variably glass-bearing clasts (REC; between 36 and 38%); and loose crystals (CRY; between 22 and 30%). Sparse, hydrothermally altered lithic fragments (HYD in Figure 10), from yellow to pinkish in color, are occasionally present, but with very low relative abundances never exceeding 1%.

JUV clasts (Figures 11A–C) are characterized by fresh, nearly microlite-free glass and by an intermediate vesicularity (35–50 vol %), characterized principally by isolated, unconnected, small (50–200 microns in diameter) rounded vesicles (Figure 11B). The external surfaces of the particles are jagged, due to multiple intersections with vesicles. Intersections with the largest vesicles (mean diameter around 400–500 microns) result in smooth, concave external surfaces of the clasts (Figure 11C). Fluidal
surface textures, typical of the activity related to the initial, higher intensity phase of the 2012 eruption (Caselli et al., 2016; Daga et al., 2017), have not been recognized in the analyzed samples. JUV clasts are here considered to result from the primary fragmentation of juvenile magma driving the eruption.

REC clasts (Figures 11D–G) consist of variably vesicular, variably crystallized clasts which generally exhibit blocky equant morphologies, with more regular shapes compared to JUV. Polished sections of these clasts typically reveal a highly crystallized groundmass (Figures 11E–G). Two distinct populations of microlites are identifiable in terms of size. The first is represented by sparse, euhedral and very elongate microlites of plagioclase (20 μm), typically characterized by skeletal textures and, for this reason, interpreted as possibly related to degassing-induced crystallization of magma before fragmentation. The second population is formed by Fe-Ti oxides and plagioclase crystals typically smaller than 5 μm in size that are characterized by a strong interface-controlled growth and dendritic to plumose textures (Figure 11F). They are mainly found along the contact between groundmass glass and the

**FIGURE 10 |** Grain size (A), componentry (500–250 μm fraction; B) and shape parameters (C) for the studied ash samples (Solidity = Particle Area/Convex Hull Area; Convexity = Convex Hull Perimeter/Particle Perimeter; Circularity = 4 π Particle Area/(Particle Perimeter)²; further details on ash analysis are provided in the Supplementary Materials.
micro-phenocrysts, along glass banding horizons, or as sparse spherulitic clusters in the glass (Figure 11G). External surfaces of the clasts are generally rough, reflecting the diffuse presence of tiny microlites. The particle vesicularity is generally lower (20–35 vol%) with respect to the JUV component. Bubbles are commonly irregular and deformed, possibly resulting from partial collapse (Figure 11E). Similar to what we observe on external surfaces, the inner surfaces of the bubble walls are often very irregular due to diffuse crystallization of microlites in the surrounding glass. All the textural and morphological features recognized in REC clasts correspond to experiments simulating conditions of intra-crateric, high-temperature ash recycling by D’Oriano et al. (2012); D’Oriano et al. (2014) and Deardorff and Cashman (2017). This evidence leads us to interpret this type of clast as recycled fragmented material residing in the crater environment.

Another component of the analyzed ash fraction is represented by broken, typically euhedral, loose crystals (CRY; Figure 11H). This class of fragment is dominated by transparent crystals of plagioclase and by minor pyroxene and olivine (representing at maximum 5–10 vol% of the total CRY clasts). Importantly, plagioclase crystals commonly preserve adhering portions of groundmass glass characterized by abundant μm-sized microlites arranged in a dendritic, sometimes plumose texture similar to that observed for the REC component (Figure 11H), suggesting also in this case an important secondary reheating (and hence recycling) for a large part of the CRY component.

Clasts of different size were also characterized by their external shape using appropriate shape parameters (Solidity, Convexity and Circularty; see Supplementary Material for definition). No significant variations in the average values of the particle shape parameters are observed for the analyzed samples, apart from minor variation in the interquartile spread of these values. These observations apply to the different size fractions of the ash particles.

**DISCUSSION**

**Slow Explosive Dynamics at Copahue**

The low pressure associated with the ash eruptions raises important questions for the dynamics of the fragmentation...
process within the conduit of this volcano. In the last 10 years, infrasound records have been applied largely to derive source parameters (i.e., volumetric flux, exit velocity) of volcanic explosions (Ripepe et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2015; De Angelis et al., 2016; Fee et al., 2017). Such parameters relate to the spherical acoustic wavefield, which strongly depends on the conduit radius \(a\), resulting in different amplitude and radiation patterns for different wavenumbers \(k\). The acoustic amplitude radiated into the atmosphere depends on the reflection coefficient \(|R|\) at the open-end conduit, whereas the radiation pattern is expressed by the directivity \(\alpha\) of the acoustic wavefield outside the conduit (Lacanna and Ripepe 2020). Both parameters \(|R|\) and \(\alpha\) depend on the \(ka\) value.

From field observations we estimated a vent radius \(a = 5\, m\) (Figure 2B) which for a frequency content of 1.2 Hz and acoustic speed \(c = 340\, m/s\) gives a value of \(ka = 0.11\). This \(ka\) corresponds to \(|R| = 0.99\) and an isotropic radiation pattern with \(\alpha = 1\) (Lacanna and Ripepe 2020).

The above authors suggest evaluating the volumetric flux inside the conduit \(q_{i}(t)\) (Figures 12A,B) using the infrasonic waveforms \(p(t)\) corrected for conduit geometry and scattering effects caused by topography through the insertion loss \((IL)\) as follows:

\[
q_{i}(t) = \frac{2\pi r}{(1 + |R|)\rho a 10^{-\frac{IL}{10}}} \int_{0}^{t} p(t') \frac{t'}{c} \, dt', \quad (1)
\]

where \(\rho = 1.1\, kg/m^3\) is the air density and \(r = 13320\, m\) is the distance from the source. The Insertion Loss \((IL)\) depicts the efficiency of a barrier in reducing the acoustic amplitude and is expressed as a function of the Fresnel number \(N\) (Maekawa, 1968):

\[
IL = 10 \log_{10} (3 + 20N). \quad (2)
\]

Using the topographic section information between vent and infrasonic array, we estimated a Fresnel number of \(N = 0.3\) (Figure 1D) and consequently an \(IL = -9.5\, dB\). The volumetric flux calculated for the entire dataset ranges between \(0.8 \times 10^3\) and \(4.8 \times 10^3\, m^3/s\) with a mean flux of \(1.7 \times 10^3\, m^3/s\) (Figures 2B, 12A), which for a conduit surface \(S = 2\pi a^2 = 157\, m^2\) gives a mean plume exit velocity of \(11\, m/s\) (Figures 2D, 12C).

This low value for the exit velocity is in agreement with the weak dynamics of the ash plume, which is generally observed to bend immediately above the crater (Figure 2A) during low wind speed (50 m/s) conditions (Figure 12; Table 1). The acoustics and visual observations thus suggest that the explosive activity of Copahue volcano is characterized by a low-velocity release of the ash-gas mixture from the vent, most probably driven by a low pressure. This conclusion is in apparent contrast with the large quantity of ash characterizing the eruptive plume during this phase. Infrasound thus suggests that ash-rich explosive activity at Copahue is related to efficient magma fragmentation/transport processes operating at a low-energy regime, hence posing some important questions on the nature of the fragmentation process.
Inferences on Magma Fragmentation Processes

The nearly invariant textural and morphological features of the ash cargo deposited by the plume confirm a generally constant mechanism of ash production and recycling during the investigated 5 days-long activity. In agreement with the available descriptions of the activity during the first months of 2016 (Table 1), we suggest that similar conditions of ash fragmentation/recycling persisted during the entire period. Ash morphology and componentry can provide some basic hints about the mechanisms of magma fragmentation and eruption.

While magma-water interaction has often been suggested as a main driver of magma fragmentation at Copahue (Naranjo and Polanco, 2004; Petrinovic et al., 2014; Daga et al., 2017), we do not consider this process to be significant based upon the ash characteristics and infrasound signals. Ash, in fact, does not present any textural or morphological features recognized as typical of this mechanism of fragmentation (absence of an important ash aggregation; no surface cracks or alteration of the ash fragments). Moreover, the very low amplitude of the pressure transients recorded in the infrasonic signals are not in agreement with explosive activity driven by magma-water interaction, which should be accompanied by important pressure peaks generated by the impulsive, rapid vaporization and expansion of vapor created by the water-magma contact (Fee and Matoza, 2013; Wohletz et al., 2013). In addition, processes such as rapid dissipation of overpressure related to the presence of a surface water body (as for example observed at White Island volcano, New Zealand, when activity was characterized by near-continuous pulses of gas, ash emissions with low exit velocities, and generation of very weak plumes; Houghton and Nairn, 1991) cannot be invoked at Copahue, where the monitored activity was preceded by a large reduction and then disappearance of the water in the crater lake (Figure 2B).

The abundant presence of clasts showing evidence of thermally induced post-fragmentation recrystallization of the glass, represented by the REC and at least part of the CRY components (overall reaching up to 60–70% of the total), clearly demonstrates that a large portion of the ash dispersed by the plume was not produced directly by syn-eruptive fragmentation. The impulsive, high-frequency nature of the activity revealed by the infrasonic signal (Figure 4) and by the billowing shape of the associated plumes (Figure 2A), suggests an unsteady character of the emission, typical of low-intensity activity. Conditions of continuous ash recycling in the crater area are generally favored during this type of activity (Houghton and Smith, 1993; D’Oriano et al., 2014). On the other hand, the textural and morphological features of the JUV fraction of the ash (sharp external edges; absence of fluidal shapes) suggest formation by rigid fragmentation of a mildly to low vesicular magma with a poorly developed permeability (absence of very large, deformed or coalescing vesicles) that rapidly ascends in the conduit under nearly closed degassing conditions (absence of groundmass microlites related to degassing-induced crystallization).

Explosive, rigid magma fragmentation can occur under different conditions, and it is generally associated with release of overpressure which mainly depends on the textural properties such as vesicularity and permeability, and on the rheology of the magma (Spieler et al., 2004; Kueppers et al., 2006; Cashman and Scheu, 2015). For intermediate values of vesicularity (40–50 vol%, similar to those observed in the JUV component), this overpressure is close to a minimum and measured on the order of 2–4 MPa (Spieler et al., 2004; Kremers et al., 2010). As a general rule, the gas-ash mixture generated at fragmentation accelerates in the conduit, and its passage through an empty crater can result in its progressive decompression by expansion of the erupting mixture, finally increasing the velocity of the jet entering the atmosphere (Woods and Bower, 1995). Conversely, for the activity observed at Copahue, the low exit velocity of the eruptive mixture, as testified by both the infrasound monitoring and the direct observation of the plume, can be considered as an indication of its arrival at the surface under low-pressure conditions. We suggest here that these peculiar eruptive conditions can result from the combination of two predisposing effects: a magma texture and rheology requiring a low overpressure for fragmentation coupled with an attenuating effect related to the passage of the erupting mixture through an ash-filled environment. In particular, the entrainment and subsequent transport of some of the ash which had been continuously accumulating at the crater base can be described as a process of elutriation following partial fluidization of the crater base infill. Assuming a thickness of 10 to 30 m for the ash-enriched zone at the crater base, a pressure drop on the order of 1 to 5 bars can be estimated through the application of the Ergun equation (Cocco et al., 2014), confirming an important damping effect upon the pressure of the ascending mixture. These combined effects can thus explain the low amplitude of the infrasonic signal recorded at Copahue during this type of activity. The identification of recycled, hot material in the products of continuous ash emission is not simply an academic exercise. While on one side the presence of previously erupted ash in the crater can exert an important damping effect to the passage of the gas-driven eruptive mixture (hence decreasing pressure and exit velocity), on the other, the textural characteristics of this material clearly indicate a high temperature state. We suggest that the still large heat content of the recycled ash can have an important effect on the atmospheric evolution of the eruptive plume, enhancing its convective capability. This effect should be studied and quantified in detail by further studies.

CONCLUSION

The mechanisms controlling eruption dynamics and the shifts in eruptive style at volcanoes characterized by prolonged, low to mid-intensity ash emission are generally poorly known. Integration of infrasound data and observations on the ash erupted during the same activity can provide important hints...
regarding these mechanisms. Eruptions from Copahue volcano are generally a source of a large quantity of ash, so that understanding the processes of magma fragmentation, eruption, atmospheric injection and dispersal assumes a fundamental role in the assessment of the volcanic hazards posed to the population living around the volcano or to the air traffic.

In spite of the large ash plume dispersed in the atmosphere, infrasound signals recorded during the explosive phases of March 2016 appear to be very weak, likely indicating low overpressures involved in the eruptive process. Assuming a simplified linear theory of sound, we estimate that the gas-ash mixture is ejected with velocities around 10–20 m/s, which are consistent with the immediate bending of the plume observed during the explosive phases.

Characterizing the main features of the ash fragments helps in discriminating the eruptive dynamics and fragmentation processes associated with periods of ash emission activity. The large amount of dispersed ash is interpreted as the result of the rigid fragmentation of an ascending and degassing magmatic column at low overpressure under impulsive, high-frequency explosive activity. Infrasound recordings demonstrate that this process is driven at Copahue by a low decompression rate compared to magmatic explosions typical of classical Strombolian activity. The low decompression rates may be related to the passage of the erupting mixture through an ash-filled crater and by the incorporation of recycled ash into the mixture. The recognition of the presence of a large amount of thermally modified, recycled material in the erupted products has important consequences for the interpretation of the general dynamics of the eruption. In terms of fragmentation and the energy needed for fragmentation, REC fragments can be considered as lithic material. Nevertheless, due to their high temperature at time of eruption, REC fragments also played an important role in releasing heat to the plume, hence contributing to the enhancement of convective power and dispersal of the ash. We suggest that the identification of such material can be crucial to understand the effective hazard potential of ash dispersal. This is particularly important for eruptions with unsteady dynamics, including weak ash emissions and violent Strombolian or Vulcanian explosions, resulting in pulsating and prolonged eruptive activity.

Acoustic records generated by Copahue activity are fully compatible in frequency as well as in amplitude to the acoustic signals generated by strombolian activity of Villarrica volcano and recorded at 193 km distance from the array. This similarity supports our conclusion that ash emission activity at Copahue is driven by low-pressure dynamics which is almost one order of magnitude weaker than that recorded for Villarrica. In spite of the weak infrasonic signals, which are commonly hidden by the noise generated by the strong eastward-directed winds, we also show how the infrasonic array is able to retrieve coherent detections which could be used to notify the onset of these low-pressure explosive dynamics.

In the past 20 years, infrasound has significantly increased the potential of volcano monitoring (e.g., Le Pichon et al., 2005; Dabrowa et al., 2011; Matoza et al., 2011), and it can be used to deliver early warnings of explosive eruptions (Garces et al., 2004; Ripepe and Marchetti, 2018). Here we have shown how infrasound is efficient at detecting volcanic activity in very windy environment also at regional distances. The results presented in our work support this strategy of monitoring volcanoes at regional scales (Dabrowsa et al., 2011; Matoza et al., 2011; Marchetti et al., 2019), and our results represent a starting point to establish an infrasonic network of multiple arrays on the eastern side of the Southern Andes.
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