Fe I and Fe II Abundances of Solar-Type Dwarfs in the Pleiades Open Cluster
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ABSTRACT. We have derived Fe abundances of 16 solar-type Pleiades dwarfs by means of an equivalent-width analysis of Fe I and Fe II lines in high-resolution spectra obtained with the Hobby-Eberly Telescope and High Resolution Spectrograph. Abundances derived from Fe II lines are larger than those derived from Fe I lines (herein referred to as overionization) for stars with $T_{\text{eff}} < 5400$ K, and the discrepancy ($\Delta$Fe = [Fe II/H] - [Fe I/H]) increases dramatically with decreasing $T_{\text{eff}}$, reaching over 0.8 dex for the coolest stars of our sample. The Pleiades joins the open clusters M 34, the Hyades, IC 2602, and IC 2391, and the Ursa Major moving group, demonstrating ostensible overionization trends. The Pleiades $\Delta$Fe abundances are correlated with Ca II infrared triplet and $H_{\alpha}$ chromospheric emission indicators and relative differences therein. Oxygen abundances of our Pleiades sample derived from the high-excitation O I triplet have been previously shown to increase with decreasing $T_{\text{eff}}$, and a comparison with the $\Delta$Fe abundances suggests that the overexcitation (larger abundances derived from high-excitation lines relative to low-excitation lines) and overionization effects that have been observed in cool open cluster and disk field main-sequence (MS) dwarfs share a common origin. Curiously, a correlation between the Pleiades O I abundances and chromospheric emission indicators does not exist. Star-to-star Fe I abundances have low internal scatter (<0.11 dex), but the abundances of stars with $T_{\text{eff}} < 5400$ K are systematically higher compared to the warmer stars. The cool star [Fe I/H] abundances cannot be connected directly to overexcitation effects, but similarities with the $\Delta$Fe and O I triplet trends suggest the abundances are dubious. Using the [Fe I/H] abundances of five stars with $T_{\text{eff}} > 5400$ K, we derive a mean Pleiades cluster metallicity of [Fe/H] = $+0.01 \pm 0.02$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Studies of Galactic chemical evolution are dependent on accurately derived abundances of stars spanning all ages, populations, kinematics, masses, and metallicities. Stars with masses $M \leq 1 M_{\odot}$ are especially important given their dominance of the initial mass function (IMF; e.g., Kroupa 2002). Abundance studies utilizing high-resolution spectroscopy and local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) analyses of near-solar metallicity G and K dwarfs in open clusters and in the disk field, however, have revealed that the observed abundances of at least some elements derived for these cool main-sequence (MS) dwarfs may be spurious. In particular, studies have found evidence
of overionization and overexcitation,\textsuperscript{4} i.e., larger abundances are derived from lines of singly-ionized species compared to neutral species and from high-excitation lines of neutral species compared to low-excitation lines, respectively. The first indication that some abundances derived for cool MS stars are problematic may have come from Oinas (1974), who found the overionization of Sc, Ti, Cr, and Fe for a sample of 10 K dwarfs (\(1800 \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 5600\) K) in the solar neighborhood. After a careful analysis of the procedures and stellar parameters used in the abundance derivations, the author was unable to account for the overabundances of the ionized species. Feltzing & Gustafsson (1998) found similar overionization results for Sc, V, Cr, Fe, and Y in five field K dwarfs (\(4510 \leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 4833\) K). The authors could not exclude an inaccurate temperature scale that is several hundred K too low as a possible source of the anomalous abundances, but in the end, they suggest that non-LTE (NLTE) effects are the more likely cause.

Open clusters have been important to the identification and continued study of the overexcitation/ionization effects, because the presumed internal chemical homogeneity of the clusters provides a baseline with which anomalous abundances can be compared. King et al. (2000a) derived O abundances from the high-excitation (\(\chi = 9.15\) eV) near-IR O I triplet of a K dwarf in each of the the Pleiades and NGC 2264 open clusters, and in both cases, the abundances were highly enhanced: \([\text{O}/\text{H}] = +0.85\) and \(+0.43\), respectively. Such high O abundances are not expected for clusters with nominal metallicities of \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.00\) (Boesgaard et al. 1988) and \(-0.15\) (King et al. 2000a), respectively. Following King et al. (2000a), Schuler et al. (2003) derived the abundances of MS dwarfs in the M 34 cluster; overionization of Fe and overexcitation of Si (the abundances of which were derived from lines with excitation potentials in the range 5.61 \(\leq \chi \leq 6.19\) eV) are seen in the coolest stars of the sample. Oxygen abundances of the cool M 34 dwarfs, as well as cool Pleiades dwarfs, derived from the high-excitation O I triplet are also highly enhanced (Schuler et al. 2004), confirming the earlier results of King et al. (2000a).

Subsequent to these early open cluster studies, the overionization of Fe has been confirmed in the Hyades (Yong et al. 2004; Schuler et al. 2006a) and Ursa Major (UMa) moving group (King & Schuler 2005), and of Ti in the young pre-MS clusters IC 2602 and IC 2391 (D’Orazi & Randich 2009). Overabundances of O derived from the O I triplet have been reported for the UMa moving group (King & Schuler 2005), the Hyades (Schuler et al. 2006b), and IC 4665 (Shen et al. 2007). Overexcitation effects have been reported for other elements, as well, including S in the Pleiades (Schuler et al. 2004); Si, Ti, Ni, and Cr in IC 4665 (Shen et al. 2005), Ni in the Hyades (Schuler et al. 2006a); and Ca, Ti, and Na in IC 2602 and IC 2391 (D’Orazi & Randich 2009). Recent abundance analyses of cool field stars have also identified overexcitation/ionization effects (Allende Prieto et al. 2004; Ramírez et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2008), confirming the findings of earlier work.

The overexcitation/ionization abundance anomalies are not thought to represent real photospheric overabundances; rather, we believe that they are a signal that our knowledge of cool dwarf atmospheres and/or spectral line formation therein is incomplete. As of yet, the source or cause of the effects has not been identified. Systematically erroneous stellar parameters, e.g., an inaccurate \(T_{\text{eff}}\) scale, could lead to the observed abundance trends, but in general, unrealistically large parameter errors would have to be present (e.g., King & Schuler 2005; Schuler et al. 2006b). Furthermore, parameter changes made in response to the overabundances of one element often increase those of another (e.g., Schuler et al. 2003). NLTE effects have been suggested as the cause (e.g., Feltzing & Gustafsson 1998), but in general, the overexcitation/ionization effects seen in cool dwarfs are in stark contrast to extant NLTE calculations. For instance, LTE analyses of the high-excitation O I triplet in the spectra of MS dwarfs are predicted to result in increasingly discrepant abundances with increasing \(T_{\text{eff}}\) for stars with \(T_{\text{eff}} > 6000\) K, requiring negative NLTE corrections up to 0.4–0.5 dex at 6500 K for solar metallicity dwarfs (Takeda 2003; Fabbian et al. 2009). Below 6000 K, the NLTE corrections are predicted to be \(<0.1\) dex and essentially zero below 5500 K (Takeda 2003). Chromospheric emission and photospheric activity (spots, plages, and faculae) have also been suggested sources for the abundance anomalies (e.g., Schuler et al. 2006b). These inhomogeneities could produce apparent overexcitation/ionization effects within a strict LTE framework.

Continuing our efforts to delineate and understand the observed overexcitation/ionization effects in cool MS dwarfs, we have derived Fe I and Fe II abundances of 16 Pleiades dwarfs, 15 of which have had O abundances derived from the high-excitation O I triplet (Schuler et al. 2004). The O I abundances evince a steep increase, reaching \([\text{O}/\text{H}] \approx 1.0\) dex near 5000 K, and star-to-star dispersion below 5500 K. We use the newly derived Pleiades Fe abundances to investigate if the overexcitation and overionization effects observed in cool MS dwarfs are related and indeed manifestations of the same phenomenon. Future observational studies that could place stringent constraints on these effects and bring us closer to discovering the source of the anomalous abundances are also discussed.

\textsuperscript{4}In this article, we use overionization and overexcitation to refer to the observed enhanced abundances derived from spectral lines of singly-ionized species or from high-excitation lines, as opposed to other common usages referring specifically to the non-LTE (NLTE) effects of overionization (the mean intensity, \(J_{\nu}\), is larger than the Planck function, \(B_{\nu}\), in lower atomic energy levels), resonance scattering, and photon pumping (e.g., Feltzing & Gustafsson 1998; Asplund 2005).
2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Echelle spectra of 17 Pleiades MS dwarfs were obtained with the 9.2 m Hobby-Eberly Telescope (HET) and High Resolution Spectrograph (HRS) at the McDonald Observatory in queue mode on 22 separate nights between 2002 August 23 UT and 2003 February 17 UT. These spectra have been used previously by Schuler et al. (2004) and King et al. (2010), who analyzed the $\lambda7775$ O I high-excitation triplet and the $\lambda$6707 Li I line, respectively, in these Pleiades dwarfs; please consult these articles for detailed descriptions of the observations and spectra. Briefly, the HET/HRS detector is a $4096 \times 4100$ side-by-side CCD mosaic of two $2048 \times 4100$ CCDs with 15 $\mu$m pixels. The spectra are characterized by a high resolution of $R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda = 60,000$, and they have typical signal-to-noise (S/N) ratios of 80–100. The spectra cover the wavelengths 5095 to 8860 Å. Data reduction followed the typical practice of using standard IRAF routines to remove the bias pattern, subtract scattered light, flat-field, and wavelength-calibrate the spectra. The stars in our sample are listed in Table 1.

Nineteen Fe I and seven Fe II lines spanning 5793 to 7462 Å have been analyzed in the spectra of 16 of the 17 Pleiads in our sample; note, however, that not all of the lines were measurable in all of the stars. Equivalent widths (EWs) were determined by fitting each line with a Gaussian profile using the one-dimensional spectrum analysis software package SPECTRE (Fitzpatrick & Sneden 1987). The EW measurements, along with the wavelength, excitation potential ($\chi$), and transition probabilities ($gf$) of each line, are given in Tables 2–4. Atomic data of the lines were obtained by email query to the Vienna Atomic Line Database (VALD) (Piskunov et al. 1995; Kupka et al. 1999; Ryabchikova et al. 1999).

One star included in our Li study (King et al. 2010) but not considered here is H II 152. This star was observed on two nights separated by approximately 10 months. As discussed by King et al., target misidentification on one night is a concern. On the night where it is not a concern, the spectra were obtained with a grating setting distinct from the setting used for the other stars in our sample, giving a spectral coverage of 6100 to 9800 Å. Many of the Fe I and Fe II lines in our line list fall outside of the spectral coverage of these data, and consequently, H II 152 was not included in our analysis here.

The Fe I and Fe II abundances were derived using the LTE line list analysis package MOOG (Sneden 1973) and model stellar atmospheres with convective overshoot interpolated from the standard ATLAS9 grids of R. Kurucz. In previous analyses of open cluster dwarfs (e.g., Schuler et al. 2004, Schuler et al. 2006a), we have shown that model atmospheres with convective overshoot produce consistent results as those without the overshoot approximation (NOVER). Presently, for a subsample of our stars, we have also tested the more updated Kurucz models without overshoot that include the most recent opacity distribution functions (the ODFNEW models; Castelli & Kurucz 2004). The resulting [Fe I/H] and [Fe II/H] abundances are consistent with those derived using the overshoot models; the differences range from 0–0.05 dex, with the ODFNEW-based abundances generally lower, similar to what was found previously for the NOVER models. The overshoot models used here are the same ones used by Schuler et al. (2004), from which the adopted stellar parameters are also taken. One exception is H II 298; for this star, we have used the updated dereddened ($B - V$)$_0$ color of King et al. (2010) to calculate new $T_{\text{eff}}$, log $g$, and microturbulent velocity ($\xi$) values using the relations described in Schuler et al. (2004), and interpolate a new model from the Kurucz grids. The adopted stellar parameters for our sample are provided in Table 1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Line-by-line abundances derived for each of our Pleiades stars are listed in Tables 2–4, and the stellar mean abundances can be found in Table 5. The final mean abundances are given relative to solar values (Table 2) derived via an EW analysis of sky spectra obtained with the HET/HRS as part of our observational program. The relative abundances are determined on a line-by-line basis before the mean is taken; this strict line-by-line abundance analysis ensures that the final relative abundances are independent of the adopted oscillator strengths. The adopted solar parameters are included in Table 1. The mean [Fe I/H] abundances and the differences in the mean [Fe II/H] and [Fe I/H] abundances ($\Delta$Fe = [Fe II/H]–[Fe I/H]) are plotted...
Fe II abundances of Pleiades dwarfs

TABLE 2

| Ion   | λ (Å)   | χ (eV) | log g | Sun             | H II 193          | H II 250          | H II 263          | H II 298          | H II 571          |
|-------|---------|--------|-------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|       |         |        |       | EW log N        | EW log N         | EW log N         | EW log N         | EW log N         | EW log N         |
| Fe I  | 5793.92 | -1.70  | 33.9  | 7.52            | 46.0             | 7.63             | 37.0             | 7.59             | 47.5             |
|       | 5856.10 | -1.64  | 36.6  | 7.57            | 42.1             | 7.56             | 30.8             | 7.46             | 41.5             |
|       | 5927.80 | -1.09  | 45.7  | 7.52            | 54.0             | 7.58             | 41.5             | 7.46             | 60.3             |
|       | 6098.57 | -0.94  | 41.8  | 7.64            | 47.1             | 7.63             | 35.3             | 7.52             | 49.5             |
|       | 6093.65 | -1.50  | 32.0  | 7.63            | 39.6             | 7.67             | 30.4             | 7.60             | 45.9             |
|       | 6096.67 | -1.93  | 41.2  | 7.64            | 52.8             | 7.75             | 42.4             | 7.68             | 52.9             |
|       | 6151.62 | -3.29  | 52.5  | 7.45            | 66.5             | 7.58             | 53.6             | 7.50             | 76.0             |
|       | 6165.36 | -1.47  | 48.3  | 7.46            | 58.3             | 7.56             | 47.2             | 7.47             | 58.1             |
|       | 6270.23 | -2.71  | 56.2  | 7.59            | 70.8             | 7.77             | 57.6             | 7.67             | 68.8             |
|       | 6627.56 | -1.68  | 30.9  | 7.71            | 30.6             | 7.59             | 30.0             | 7.69             | 37.0             |
|       | 6806.86 | -3.21  | 36.4  | 7.57            | 53.1             | 7.72             | 37.8             | 7.60             | 37.1             |
|       | 6839.84 | -3.45  | 37.2  | 7.65            | ...              | 35.8             | 7.62             | ...              | 38.4             |
|       | 6842.69 | -1.32  | 41.8  | 7.64            | ...              | 43.5             | 7.68             | ...              | ...              |
|       | 6857.25 | -2.15  | 23.4  | 7.54            | 35.0             | 7.66             | 23.8             | 7.54             | ...              |
|       | 6861.94 | -3.89  | 21.5  | 7.61            | ...              | ...              | ...              | ...              | 42.5             |
|       | 6862.50 | -1.57  | 29.9  | 7.58            | ...              | ...              | ...              | ...              | 45.9             |
|       | 7284.84 | -1.75  | 38.8  | 7.51            | ...              | 46.0             | 7.66             | ...              | 57.9             |
|       | 7461.53 | -3.58  | 29.2  | 7.59            | 37.4             | 7.53             | 48.5             | 7.67             | 50.4             |
|       | 7547.90 | -1.35  | 22.6  | 7.67            | 27.5             | 7.70             | 33.3             | 7.81             | 29.4             |
| Fe II | 5264.81 | -3.13  | 43.8  | 7.40            | 42.1             | 7.82             | 42.9             | 7.54             | 49.9             |
|       | 5414.05 | -3.65  | 30.7  | 7.61            | ...              | ...              | ...              | ...              | ...              |
|       | 5425.25 | -3.39  | 39.4  | 7.53            | 39.7             | 7.99             | 40.8             | 7.71             | 41.3             |
|       | 6084.10 | -3.88  | 22.0  | 7.59            | ...              | ...              | ...              | ...              | ...              |
|       | 6247.56 | -2.44  | 52.6  | 7.49            | 47.4             | 7.92             | 56.8             | 7.77             | 52.5             |
|       | 6432.68 | -3.69  | 42.0  | 7.55            | 39.8             | 7.96             | 41.8             | 7.70             | 48.1             |
|       | 6563.39 | -2.19  | 63.4  | 7.45            | 55.7             | 7.86             | 69.6             | 7.79             | 67.1             |

in Figure 1 along with error bars for three representative stars—H II 263, H II 2284, and H II 3179—that run the T_eff range of our sample. The error bars denote the total internal uncertainties (σ_Total) in the derived abundances. The σ_Total uncertainties are the quadratic sum of the abundance uncertainties resulting from errors in the adopted stellar parameters (Schuler et al. 2004) and the uncertainty in the mean abundance (Table 5). Abundance sensitivities to the stellar parameters were determined by individually altering effective temperature (ΔT_eff = ±150 K), surface gravity (Δ log g = ±0.30 dex), and microturbulent velocity (Δξ = ±0.25 km s^-1) and are given in Table 6. The σ_Total uncertainties in the Fe I abundances for the three representative stars are ±0.03, ±0.05, and ±0.04 dex for H II 263, H II 2284, and H II 3179, respectively. For Fe II, the σ_Total uncertainties are ±0.09, ±0.10, and ±0.08, respectively. The error bars for the [FeII/H]-[FeI/H] abundances shown in Figure 1 represent the quadratically combined Fe I and Fe II individual σ_Total uncertainties.

The star-to-star [FeI/H] abundances fall within a narrow range of 0.11 dex and have a standard deviation in the mean of 0.04 dex. However, as can be seen in the left panel of Figure 1, the [FeI/H] abundances of stars with T_eff < 5400 K are systematically higher than those of stars at higher T_eff. The discord is verified by the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (r_s = -0.7612) at the 99.97% confidence level. In the right panel of Figure 1, ΔFe abundances evince a dramatic increase at about the same T_eff, 5400 K. The ΔFe abundances result from large overabundances of Fe II among the cool dwarfs (Table 5), and the ΔFe versus T_eff trend for the Pleiades is similar to those seen in M 34 (Schuler et al. 2003), the Hyades (Yong et al. 2004; Schuler et al. 2006a), and the UMa moving group (King & Schuler 2005).

3.1. Overexcitation/Ionization

The increase in ΔFe with decreasing T_eff presented here resembles the trend of increasing Pleiades O abundances derived from the high-excitation O I triplet (Schuler et al. 2004). In particular, the increase in the O I triplet abundances also begins to become significant at approximately 5400 K. In Figure 2 ΔFe is plotted against the triplet abundances ([O/H]_trip), and it is seen that a strong correlation between these two abundance anomalies does exist. According to the linear correlation coefficient (r = 0.847), ΔFe and [O/H]_trip are correlated at a greater than 99.9% confidence level. Also in Figure 2 we plot the residuals in the ΔFe and [O/H]_trip abundances. The residuals are differences between the observed abundances and T_eff-dependent fitted values calculated by fitting low-order (second or third)
polynomials to the abundance versus \( T_{\text{eff}} \) relations (Fig. 3); the fitted values are determined at each stellar \( T_{\text{eff}} \). This procedure effectively removes the global mass dependence of the abundances so that the residuals are a measure of star-to-star abundance scatter at a given \( T_{\text{eff}} \) (King et al. 2000b). Similar to the \( \Delta \text{Fe} \) and \([\text{O}/\text{H}]_{\text{top}} \) abundances, their residuals are correlated, but at a slightly lower confidence level, 97\% (\( r = 0.589 \)). The strong relationship between the \( \Delta \text{Fe} \) and \([\text{O}/\text{H}]_{\text{top}} \) abundances and especially their residuals suggests the anomalous abundances share a common origin.

Inaccurate \( T_{\text{eff}} \) scales can give rise to \( T_{\text{eff}} \)-dependent abundance trends if the scales are in error in a systematic way. Pinsonneault et al. (2004) have raised concern as to the accuracy of color-temperature relations, like the one used for our Pleiades sample, arguing that disagreements between observed open cluster color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) and theoretical isochrones based on color-temperature relations likely arise from systematic errors in the latter. An et al. (2007) are able to obtain near-perfect agreement between the observed CMDs of four nearby open clusters and isochrones using empirical corrections to the color-temperature relations as suggested by Pinsonneault et al. (2004). Inaccurate \( T_{\text{eff}} \) scales, however, do not appear to be at the root of the overexcitation/ionization effects observed among cool open cluster dwarfs. The Pleiades and Hyades \([\text{O}/\text{H}]_{\text{top}} \) abundances of Schuler et al. (2004) and Schuler et al. (2006b), respectively, were derived using color-temperature relations and empirically corrected isochrones, and in both cases, the steep trends of increasing abundances with decreasing \( T_{\text{eff}} \) are present. The \( T_{\text{eff}} \) from the empirically corrected isochrones for the majority of dwarfs in both clusters are higher than those from the color-temperature relations, with the differences reaching a maximum of about 190 K. Temperature corrections of this magnitude also do not alleviate the large \( \Delta \text{Fe} \) abundances of the coolest stars in our sample. According to the abundance sensitivities given in Table 6, the \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of H II 263 (\( T_{\text{eff}} = 5048 \) K) would have to be higher by approximately 750 K in order to bring its Fe II and Fe I abundances into agreement; such errors in our adopted temperature scale are not expected (Pinsonneault et al. 2004). Furthermore, increasing the \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of H II 263 by 750 K would result in an 0.15 dex increase in its Fe I abundance and exacerbate the disagreement in the \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \) abundances of the cool and warm dwarfs.

Whatever the cause of the anomalous \( \Delta \text{Fe} \) abundances, the phenomenon may also be affecting the \([\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \) abundances of the Pleiads with \( T_{\text{eff}} < 5400 \) K. Schuler et al. (2003) found that the Si I abundances of the two coolest M 34 dwarfs (\( ~5475 \) K) they analyzed are higher by about 0.1 dex than those of the rest of the sample; the Si I lines have excitation potentials of
\( \chi \approx 6 \text{ eV} \). Similarly, Hyades Ni I abundances derived from lines with excitation potentials of approximately 4.25 eV are expected to be more severe. Thus, a direct connection between stars in M 34 and Hyades dwarfs, for which the effect is expected to be more severe, is consistent abundances were obtained from all of the lines (please see Fig. 7 of Schuler et al.). Similar behavior is not seen here in the line-by-line Fe abundance for individual Pleiads (Figure 4). However, identifying such excitation potential-related effects for a given cool Pleiades star is difficult, because the heighted mean of the lines (please see Fig. 7 of Schuler et al.). Similar behavior is not seen here in the line-by-line Fe abundance for individual Pleiads (Figure 4). However, identifying such excitation potential-related effects for a given cool Pleiades star is difficult, because the standard deviation, a measure of the dispersion in the line-by-line abundance, in the mean [Fe I/H] abundance of each Pleiad ranges from 0.04 to 0.13 dex and has an average of 0.07 dex. This is of the order of the effect seen among the cooler stars in M 34 and Hyades dwarfs, for which the effect is expected to be more severe. Thus, a direct connection between the heightened mean [Fe I/H] abundance and the overexcitation phenomenon cannot be made, but nonetheless, the fact that the [Fe I/H] abundances increase at the same \( T_{\text{eff}} \) as \( \Delta \text{Fe} \) and the O I triplet abundances is intriguing and suggests that they all are the result of the same effect.

### 3.2. \( \Delta \text{Fe} \) and Stellar Activity

Intercluster comparisons of the cool cluster dwarf abundance anomalies can provide valuable insight into the nature of the cool Hyades dwarf (\( T_{\text{eff}} = 5425 \text{ K} \)) derived from lines with excitation potentials of \( \chi \approx 4.25 \text{ eV} \) were approximately 0.15 dex higher than the abundance derived from a line with a low excitation potential (\( \chi = 1.83 \text{ eV} \)). For a warmer dwarf (\( T_{\text{eff}} = 5978 \text{ K} \)), consistent abundances were obtained from all of the lines (please see Fig. 7 of Schuler et al.). Similar behavior is not seen here in the line-by-line Fe abundance for individual Pleiads (Figure 4). However, identifying such excitation potential-related effects for a given cool Pleiades star is difficult, because the standard deviation, a measure of the dispersion in the line-by-line abundance, in the mean [Fe I/H] abundance of each Pleiad ranges from 0.04 to 0.13 dex and has an average of 0.07 dex. This is of the order of the effect seen among the cooler stars in M 34 and Hyades dwarfs, for which the effect is expected to be more severe. Thus, a direct connection between the heightened mean [Fe I/H] abundance and the overexcitation phenomenon cannot be made, but nonetheless, the fact that the [Fe I/H] abundances increase at the same \( T_{\text{eff}} \) as \( \Delta \text{Fe} \) and the O I triplet abundances is intriguing and suggests that they all are the result of the same effect.

### Table 5: Mean Iron Abundances

| Star     | [Fe I/H] | \( \sigma_p \) | [Fe II/H] | \( \sigma_p \) | \( \Delta \text{Fe} \)
|----------|----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| H II 193 | 0.06     | 0.02           | 0.43      | 0.01           | +0.37          |
| H II 250 | 0.01     | 0.02           | 0.22      | 0.05           | +0.21          |
| H II 263 | 0.07     | 0.02           | 0.89      | 0.04           | +0.82          |
| H II 298 | 0.09     | 0.01           | 0.92      | 0.02           | +0.83          |
| H II 571 | 0.02     | 0.02           | 0.44      | 0.04           | +0.42          |
| H II 746 | 0.04     | 0.02           | 0.35      | 0.06           | +0.31          |
| H II 916 | 0.06     | 0.01           | 0.49      | 0.05           | +0.43          |
| H II 1593| 0.00     | 0.01           | 0.35      | 0.02           | +0.35          |
| H II 2126| 0.08     | 0.03           | 0.42      | 0.04           | +0.34          |
| H II 2284| 0.05     | 0.03           | 0.28      | 0.06           | +0.23          |
| H II 2311| 0.08     | 0.01           | 0.45      | 0.04           | +0.37          |
| H II 2366| 0.09     | 0.02           | 0.34      | 0.04           | +0.25          |
| H II 2406| −0.02    | 0.01           | 0.33      | 0.05           | +0.35          |
| H II 2462| 0.08     | 0.02           | 0.37      | 0.04           | +0.29          |
| H II 2880| 0.04     | 0.02           | 0.53      | 0.02           | +0.49          |
| H II 3179| 0.03     | 0.01           | 0.06      | 0.03           | +0.03          |

\( \sigma_p = \sigma_d / \sqrt{N - 1} \), where \( N \) is the number of lines measured.

\( \Delta \text{Fe} = [\text{Fe I/H}] - [\text{Fe I/H}] \).
overexcitation/ionization effects by potentially linking differences in abundance trend morphologies to differences in the physical characteristics of the clusters, such as age and metallicity. In Figure 5 the Pleiades ΔFe values along with those of the Hyades from Schuler et al. (2006a) are plotted versus Teff. The ΔFe abundances of these two clusters follow the same trend down to a Teff of about 5200 K, below which the Pleiades abundances clearly diverge. Similar behavior is seen in the O I abundances of dwarfs in the Hyades, Pleiades and UMa moving group (Schuler et al. 2006b). The O I abundances of stars in all three associations increase at similar rates down to a Teff of about 5200 K, below which the Pleiades trend becomes much steeper than both of those of the Hyades and UMa, which continue to track each other. The UMa moving group has an age that is comparable to that of the Hyades (King & Schuler 2005) and a metallicity that is lower than both Pleiades and Hyades (Boesgaard & Friel 1990). The divergence of the Pleiades O I triplet abundances from those of both the Hyades and UMa suggests that the abundance trends may undergo an age-related diminution; the ΔFe abundances of the Pleiades and Hyades are consistent with this conclusion.

Chromospheric emission and photospheric spots are two age-related phenomena that have been discussed in the literature as possible sources of the observed overexcitation/ionization effects. Schuler et al. (2006b) demonstrated using multicomponent model atmospheres that spotted photospheres can plausibly account for the O I triplet abundances of the cool Hyades dwarfs. Results from efforts investigating a possible connection between chromospheric activity and the anomalous O I triplet abundances of cool dwarfs, on the other hand, have been mixed. No correlation between Hα and Ca II infrared triplet emission measures and O I triplet abundances of Pleiades dwarfs nor M 34 dwarfs exists (Schuler et al. 2004). However, Morel & Micela (2004) found a strong correlation between X-ray activity indicators and Pleiades triplet abundances taken from the literature. There is no correlation between Ca II H + K emission indicators and the Hyades O I triplet abundances (Schuler et al. 2006b). For the young cluster IC 4665, Shen et al. (2007) show that the O I abundances of the cool dwarfs are highly correlated with both Hα and Ca II infrared triplet emission indicators. It is important to note that Shen et al. is the only of these studies that derived the O I abundances and chromospheric emission levels

**TABLE 6**

| Star     | Parameter | Fe I   | Fe II  |
|----------|-----------|--------|--------|
| H II 263 (Teff = 5048 K) | ΔTeff (±150 K) | ±0.03  | ±0.16  |
|          | Δ log g (±0.30 dex) | ±0.01  | +0.11–0.14 |
|          | Δξ (±0.25 km s⁻¹) | ±0.02  | −0.03±0.01 |
| H II 2284 (Teff = 5363 K) | ΔTeff = ±150 K | +0.07–0.05 | ±0.11 |
|          | Δ log g = ±0.30 dex | ±0.00  | ±0.14  |
|          | Δξ = ±0.25 km s⁻¹ | ±0.03  | −0.05±0.03 |
| H II 3179 (Teff = 6172 K) | ΔTeff = ±150 K | ±0.10  | ±0.03  |
|          | Δ log g = ±0.30 dex | ±0.01  | ±0.12  |
|          | Δξ = ±0.25 km s⁻¹ | ±0.02  | ±0.06  |
Fig. 2.—Left: ΔFe plotted against O abundances derived from the high-excitation O I triplet. The [O/H]_{trip} abundances and typical uncertainties (shown as the horizontal error bars) are from Schuler et al. (2004). The vertical error bars are those shown in the right panel of Fig. 1. ΔFe is correlated with [O/H]_{trip} at greater than the 99.9% confidence level according to the linear correlation coefficient ($r = 0.847$).

Right: ΔFe residuals vs. O abundance residuals. The residuals are the differences in observed and $T_{\text{eff}}$-dependent fitted values. The residuals are correlated at a 97% confidence level ($r = 0.589$).

Fig. 3.—Polynomial fits (solid lines) to ΔFe, log $R_{\text{eff}}$, and Li abundance vs. $T_{\text{eff}}$ relations for the Pleiades dwarfs. The fits are used to calculate residuals—differences between observed and fitted values—in the abundances and chromospheric activity indicators for each star.
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from the same spectra. For the others, the measurements were made or taken from different sources, and thus the actual chromospheric emission level may have been different when the spectral lines were formed.

As can be seen from these various studies, it is not clear if there is a connection between chromospheric emission and the overexcitation of O I. Furthermore, chromospheric emission is often correlated with $T_{\text{eff}}$, so any correlation between chromospheric emission and O I abundances may be masking some other $T_{\text{eff}}$-dependent effect (Schuler et al. 2006b). Using the chromospheric emission data from Soderblom et al. (1993), we have plotted the Pleiades $\Delta$Fe versus Ca II infrared triplet chromospheric emission indicators ($\log R'_{\text{IRT}}$) in Figure 6 and find a correlation that is significant at greater than the 99.9% confidence level according to the linear correlation coefficient ($r = 0.893$). A similar correlation is found for the H$\alpha$ chromospheric emission ($\log R'_{\text{H}\alpha}$). These correlations, while suggestive of a connection between chromospheric emission and the overionization of Fe, should be viewed with caution, because $\log R'_{\text{H}\alpha}$ and $\log R'_{\text{IRT}}$ are also correlated with $T_{\text{eff}}$ at approximately the 93% and 98% confidence levels. This degeneracy makes it unclear if the $\Delta$Fe abundances, like those of O I, are affected by chromospheric emission or some other $T_{\text{eff}}$-dependent effect.

More importantly, residual $\Delta$Fe abundances and residual chromospheric emission indicators (calculated in the same manner as the abundance residuals, i.e., they are the differences between observed and $T_{\text{eff}}$-dependent fitted values; please see Fig. 3) are correlated at the 93% and 99.9% confidence levels for H$\alpha$ and Ca II infrared triplet, respectively (Fig. 6). These relationships are more indicative of a true correlation between chromospheric emission and the overionization of Fe. We remind the reader, however, that the $\Delta$Fe abundances and chromospheric emission indicators were not measured using the same spectra and are thus not cotemporal.

3.3. $\Delta$Fe Residuals and the Pleiades Li Dispersion

King et al. (2010) have used the same HET/HRS spectra analyzed here to examine the long-standing problem of the large Li abundance dispersion observed among cool Pleiades dwarfs. These authors find evidence that at least a portion of the dispersion is due to real Li depletion and suggest that the differential depletion may be a consequence of stellar radius modulations induced by surface magnetic activity, i.e., spots, during pre-MS evolution. It is also suggested that such spot-induced effects could be related to the overexcitation/ionization effects observed today.

$\Delta$Fe residuals are plotted versus Li abundance residuals in Figure 7. Li abundances are derived from $\lambda 6707$ Li I line strengths and are taken from King et al. (2010). The $\Delta$Fe and Li residuals relation has a correlation coefficient of $r = 0.46$, corresponding to a $\sim 91\%$ confidence level. While only marginally significant at best, the mild correlation still means that a substantial fraction (nearly half) of the variance in $\Delta$Fe is related to that in Li. The abstract picture painted by this result is consistent with the conjecture presented by King et al. (2010): the considerable Li abundance dispersion in cool Pleiades dwarfs has a real pre-MS depletion component, a portion of which may be driven by the same mechanism (the influence of

---

\footnote{The $R'$ index is the ratio of the flux in the line core (Ca II infrared triplet or H$\alpha$) to the star’s bolometric flux (Noyes et al. 1984).}
spots) but perhaps by different physics (the influence on stellar structure versus the influence on line formation in addition to or independent of stellar structure) that is also possibly responsible for the Fe II versus Fe I differences seen in these stars. This would explain the overlap in the variance of Pleiades $\Delta$Fe abundances but allow for only marginally significant present-day correlations between these observables. Observational tests of this possibility, using the same type of Pleiades spectroscopic/photometric monitoring program proposed in the Conclusions (§ 4), are discussed in King et al. (2010).

### 3.4. Pleiades Cluster Metallicity

The mean abundance of the Pleiades stars above 5400 K derived from Fe I lines is $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.01 \pm 0.02$ (uncertainty in the mean) compared to $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.07 \pm 0.01$ (uncertainty in the mean) for stars below 5400 K. While a direct connection between the high $[\text{Fe I}/\text{H}]$ abundances and the overexcitation effects manifested in the $[\text{O/H}]_{\text{trip}}$ abundances of cool open cluster dwarfs cannot be made here, the similarities are suggestive and raise doubt as to the accuracy of the cool star $[\text{Fe I}/\text{H}]$ abundances. For this reason, and because of the anomalously high Fe II abundances, we feel that the mean cluster metallicity is best estimated by the $[\text{Fe I}/\text{H}]$ abundances of the warmer stars only, and adopt the value of $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.01 \pm 0.02$ for the Pleiades.

The Pleiades is one of the most well studied Galactic open clusters, and Fe abundances of member F, G, and K dwarfs have been derived using high-resolution spectroscopy by a handful of groups, which are summarized in Table 7. Cayrel et al. (1988) found a mean abundance of $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.13$ from one F and three G dwarfs, though the abundances are characterized by a large dispersion (0.02–0.26 dex). The spectra of three of the stars have S/N of ~40. In the same year, Boesgaard et al. (1988) reported a cluster mean abundance of $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.003 \pm 0.054$ for 13 F stars that have standard deviations in their individual $[\text{Fe/H}]$ abundances $\leq 0.10$ dex; the mean abundance of their entire 17 star sample is $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.03$. Subsequent to that, Boesgaard (1989) and Boesgaard & Friel (1990) found similar values, $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.02$ and $-0.02$, respectively. King et al. (2000a) derived the abundances of two cool Pleiades K dwarfs and obtained a mean abundance of $[\text{Fe/H}] = +0.06$ from an analysis of Fe I lines. This value is almost identical to that of the stars with $T_{\text{eff}} < 5400$ K in our sample, and we suspect that the abundances of the two K dwarfs
from King et al. are similarly suspect. However, Gebran & Monier (2008) also derived a mean abundance of [Fe/H] = +0.06, but for five F dwarfs. These authors noted that their result is slightly larger than that of Boesgaard & Friel (1990) and suggested differences in the analyses, i.e., spectral lines used and adopted stellar parameters, as a possible cause. Finally, Funayama et al. (2009) have recently reported a cluster abundance of [Fe/H] = +0.03 ± 0.05 based on 22 A, F, and G stars.

Excluding the results of Cayrel et al. (1988) due to poor data quality and those of King et al. (2000a) due to the uncertainty in the abundances of the two cool K dwarfs studied, the mean Pleiades cluster abundance of the six remaining studies, including those reported here, is [Fe/H] = +0.01 with a standard deviation of σsd = 0.03. This is identical to the value from the five stars with Teff > 5400 K in our sample, and by our assessment, represents the best estimate of the Pleiades cluster metallicity.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have derived Fe abundances via an EW analysis of Fe I and Fe II lines in high-resolution and moderate-S/N spectra of 16 MS dwarfs in the Pleiades open cluster. The [Fe H/ H] abundances increase dramatically relative to [Fe/H] at Teff below 5400 K, with the difference reaching over 0.8 dex in the coolest stars. This behavior is akin to what is seen in M 34, the Hyades, and the UMa moving group. Comparison of the ∆Fe abundance patterns in the Pleiades and Hyades, as well as the [O/H]_Triplet abundances in the Pleiades, Hyades, and UMa moving group, suggests that the trends may relax with age, though metallicity may yet prove to be a factor. Abundances of cool dwarfs in additional open clusters or other stellar associations, especially those older than the Hyades, are needed to determine if either age or metallicity are related to these anomalous abundances.

The [Fe/H] abundances are also higher in Pleiads below 5400 K, but they show no evidence of an increase with decreasing Teff. The inability to attribute the high [Fe/H] abundances of the cool stars to the overexcitation effects illustrates the difficulty of quantifying this phenomenon. With lines of exceptionally high excitation potential such as the O I triplet, the overexcitation effect is clearly seen (e.g., Schuler et al. 2006b; Shen et al. 2007), but for lines with excitation potentials ≤5 eV, the effect is more difficult to pinpoint. Our Fe I line list includes transitions ranging in excitation potential from 2.18 to 5.10 eV, but for the individual Pleiads, no increase in the line-by-line abundances as a function of excitation potential, like that seen in Ni I abundances of cool Hyades dwarfs (1.83 eV ≤χ ≤4.42 eV; Schuler et al. 2006a), is evident (Fig. 4). Line-to-line sensitivities to the overexcitation/ionization effects have yet to be clearly delineated, and it needs to be determined if there is an excitation potential threshold above which the abundances derived from these lines become enhanced by these effects. Similarly, it needs to be determined if there is an excitation potential threshold below which the opposite occurs, the abundances derived from the low-excitation lines are lower due to these effects. Such behavior would be expected if the overabundances of high-excitation (singly-ionized) lines are due to the overpopulation of high-excitation (singly-ionized) electronic states at the expense of depopulating low-excitation states. Whether or not the overexcitation effects impact the spectroscopic derivation of stellar parameters (Teff, log g, and ξ), an approach not adopted here, also needs to be determined. Future investigations of these effects will require high-quality high-resolution spectroscopy so that accurate line-by-line abundances can be derived, even from features of just a few mA in strength.

A strong correlation between the ∆Fe and [O/H]_Triplet abundances of the Pleiades dwarfs is evident in Figure 2, suggesting that the overexcitation/ionization effects share a common cause or origin. Chromospheric emission and photospheric spots have been shown to be promising culprits, but to this point, the data are inconclusive. Whereas strong correlations between the Pleiades ∆Fe and chromospheric emission indicators and their residuals exist, they do not exist between [O/H]_Triplet and chromospheric emission. These contradictory results complicate the interpretation of the observed overexcitation/ionization effects and will have to be addressed by future studies. Also, comparing abundances and chromospheric emission indicators measured using different spectra may not provide an accurate test of a true correlation because of potential temporal changes in chromospheric activity levels. Future investigations into the overexcitation/ionization effects in cool open cluster dwarfs should make every effort to derive chromospheric activity levels from the same spectra so that any possible relation between the two can be more definitively delineated.

Determining the influence of photospheric spots on abundance derivations is more arduous. Multicomponent model atmospheres—simulating photospheres with different areal coverages of hot, cool, and quiescent spots—have been shown to be able to reproduce the measured EWs of the O I triplet in a sample of Hyades stars, but, while such exercises are useful...
and demonstrate the plausibility of the photospheric spot hypothesis, the results are only suggestive. Observationally, a simultaneous photometric and spectroscopic monitoring program could be used to identify any correlated changes in spot coverage and spectral line strengths. Such observational constraints would be helpful to determine if spotted photospheres affect high-resolution abundance derivations. Despite the challenges, the possible connection between spots, overexcitation/ionization effects, and pre-MS Li depletion should provide sufficient motivation for future efforts.

A final conclusion that can be drawn from this study is that those carrying out spectroscopic abundance analyses of open clusters should heed caution when their samples include cool dwarfs, particularly those with $T_{\text{eff}} < 5400$ K. Including the abundances of these stars may skew cluster mean abundances. A similar caution may be needed for those studying cool MS dwarfs in the disk field, as well. Further investigations into the sensitivity of the overexcitation/ionization effects to excitation potential, first ionization potential, stellar age, and stellar metallicity are needed in order to identify the extent and ubiquity of these effects.
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