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Abstract
Insular seizures are great mimickers of seizures originating elsewhere in the brain. The insula is a highly connected brain structure. Seizures may only become clinically evident after ictal activity propagates out of the insula with semiology that reflects the propagation pattern. Insular seizures with perisylvian spread, for example, manifest first as throat constriction, followed next by perioral and hemisensory symptoms, and then by unilateral motor symptoms. On the other hand, insular seizures may spread instead to the temporal and frontal lobes and present like seizures originating from these regions. Due to the location of the insula deep in the brain, interictal and ictal scalp electroencephalogram (EEG) changes can be variable and misleading. Magnetic resonance imaging, magnetic resonance spectroscopy, magnetoencephalography, positron emission tomography, and single-photon computed tomography imaging may assist in establishing a diagnosis of insular epilepsy. Intracranial EEG recordings from within the insula, using stereo-EEG or depth electrode techniques, can prove insular seizure origin. Seizure onset, most commonly seen as low-voltage, fast gamma activity, however, can be highly localized and easily missed if the insula is only sparsely sampled. Moreover, seizure spread to the contralateral insula and other brain regions may occur rapidly. Extensive sampling of the insula with multiple electrode trajectories is necessary to avoid these pitfalls. Understanding the functional organization of the insula is helpful when interpreting the semiology produced by insular seizures. Electrical stimulation mapping around the central sulcus of the insula results in paresthesias, while stimulation of the posterior insula typically produces painful sensations. Visceral sensations are the next most common result of insular stimulation. Treatment of insular epilepsy is evolving, but poses challenges. Surgical resections of the insula are effective but risk significant morbidity if not carefully planned. Neurostimulation is an emerging option for treatment, especially for seizures with onset in the posterior insula. The close association of the insula with marked autonomic changes has led to interest in the role of the insula in sudden unexpected death in epilepsy and warrants additional study with larger patient cohorts.

Introduction
Barbara C. Jobst, MD, PhD, and Fred Lado, MD, PhD

This review is a report from the Epilepsy Specialist Symposium presented at the 2017 American Epilepsy Society Meeting in Washington DC. The faculty, through their amazing enthusiasm, delivered outstanding content so that nearly everyone in the room learned something new. The symposium was aimed at understanding the semiology of insular seizures, the invasive and noninvasive investigation of the insula, and surgical
approaches. As the organizers, we would like to thank the faculty for their excellent contributions and for setting a high standard for future symposia.

Anatomy of the Insula

The insular lobe is a thin cortical structure located deep in the Sylvian fissure, covered by a rich vascular network and hidden by the fronto-parieto-temporal operculum. These anatomic constraints make its evaluation and surgical access difficult (Figure 1). The insula is a complex structure, with 7 cytoarchitectonic subdivisions that encompass 5 gyri and 4 different functional areas (cognitive, social-emotional, chemosensory, and sensory-motor) that overlap. Despite its name, the insula is not isolated. It is rather a highly connected brain region, and therefore seizures originating in the insula are great mimickers of seizures originating elsewhere. Insular epilepsy, although reported for a long time, is a form of epilepsy that remains difficult to recognize, evaluate, and treat surgically.

Clinical Semiology of Insular Seizures

Barbara Jobst, MD, PhD, and Phillipe Kahane, MD, PhD

The insula is a multiconnected brain region that receives and sends information to frontal, temporal, and posterior cortical structures, which explains its strong involvement in cognitive, behavioral, and sensory processing. As such, seizure semiology of insular lobe seizures is far from being homogeneous, and a number of subjective and objective ictal clinical signs have been reported, including viscerosensory, somatosensory, olfactory, gustatory, and auditory auras; autonomic symptoms (vomiting, piloerection, heart rate changes); automotor and hypermotor behaviors; tonic and/or clonic motor manifestations; and language disturbances.

In 2004, Isnard et al elegantly brought attention to a clinical pattern highly suggestive of insular lobe seizures, which included laryngeal constriction, perioral unpleasant paresthesias, lateralized somatosensory sensations, dysarthria, and focal somatomotor signs. Further intracranial electroencephalogram (EEG) studies demonstrated that besides this “perisylvian” clinical pattern, insular seizures could also manifest with “temporal-like” symptoms (altered awareness with oralimentary and manual automatisms), “frontal-like” symptoms (hyperkinetic behaviors or tonic motor signs), and even with epileptic spasms, therefore supporting the idea that insular epilepsy is a great mimicker, depending on the pattern of seizure spread (Figure 2).

Patients with insular epilepsy often undergo a long “odyssey” searching for help for their drug-resistant seizures until finally a diagnosis of insular epilepsy is made. Seizures can be misidentified as psychogenic nonepilepsy seizures for a lack of clear EEG correlates or misidentified as seizures originating in the frontal or temporal lobes. Patients may even have undergone previous unsuccessful epilepsy surgery until insular epilepsy is identified. Therefore, a careful analysis of seizure history, especially addressing patient-reported auras, is essential.

As insular seizures frequently begin with preserved awareness, a clear description of the aura may yield important information that points to an insular onset. A feeling of suffocation and breathlessness, painful sensations, or gustatory auras are highly suggestive of an insular or insulo-opercular ictal origin. Interestingly, and possibly because the insula is a multimodal

Figure 1. A, The insula is anatomically subdivided in an anterior part that comprises 3 short gyri (a, anterior; m, middle; p, posterior) and a posterior part that comprises 2 long gyri (A, anterior; P, posterior). B, The insula is covered by the suprasylvian and infrasylvian opercular regions that are essential for motor, sensory, auditory, and language processing. Numbers refer to Brodmann’s area. CS indicates central sulcus of the insula.

Figure 2. Various spread patterns of insula seizures to symptomatogenic zones. Peri-S indicates perisylvian.
area involved in the processing of various sensory stimuli, insulo-opercular seizures may also manifest as eating-, audiogenic-, and somatosensory-evoked reflex seizures. Additionally, ecstatic seizures, which have been proposed to involve the anterior insular cortex, can be triggered by thinking about specific memories or a pleasant emotional context.

Noninvasive Investigation of Operculo-Insular Epilepsy
Dang Khoa Nguyen, MD, PhD

The heterogeneous clinical manifestations of insular seizures highlight the need for confirmation with noninvasive diagnostic tests.

Video EEG
Most auras in insular seizures cannot be appreciated on video, but certain clinical signs (eg, expression of pain, hand movement to the throat, long latency between electrical onset, and hypermotor manifestations) suggest an insular focus. On scalp EEG, because the insula is a deep structure, insular spikes are seen only if they project to the surface. Intercital epileptiform discharges are regularly found over frontopolar and frontal regions with anterior operculo-insular foci, and over the midtemporal region extending to frontotemporal regions and/or central leads with posterior foci. During seizures, various nonspecific patterns can be seen when the discharge reaches surface electrodes. Intercital and ictal discharges generally allow lateralization of the epileptic focus.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging
Clinical diagnosis of insular epilepsy is greatly facilitated by the identification of an insular epileptogenic lesion, although nonlesional cases are frequent. Among 25 patients who underwent nontumoral epilepsy surgery involving operculo-insular resection, presurgical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the operculo-insular area was normal or revealed questionable nonspecific findings in 18 (72%). Malformations of cortical development were commonly associated with medic resistant seizures..

Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy
Magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) of the insula may be difficult compared with other regions due to its curved/pyramidal shape and the presence of cerebrospinal fluid in the Sylvian fissure. The value of proton MRS in identifying patients with insular epilepsy was assessed in 12 nonlesional cases with confirmed operculo-insular focus. Voxels were positioned to include bilateral anterior and posterior insular regions. Metabolite concentrations and ratios did not differ from those of noninsular epileptic patients and healthy controls, and asymmetry indices fared poorly in lateralizing the focus.

Magnetoencephalography
Magnetoencephalography (MEG) is one of the most useful tests to identify potential operculo-insular patients. Mohamed and colleagues reviewed MEG data of 14 patients with refractory insular seizures and identified the following 3 main patterns of spike sources: 7 (50%) had an anterior operculo-insular cluster, 2 (14%) had a posterior operculo-insular cluster, and 4 (29%) showed a diffuse perisylvian distribution. No spikes were detected in the remaining patients. Spike sources showed uniform orientation perpendicular to the Sylvian fissure. Nine patients underwent insular epilepsy surgery with favorable surgical outcome.

Single-Photon Computed Tomography and Positron Emission Tomography
Single-photon computed tomography (SPECT) can identify an operculo-insular epileptic focus. In a retrospective study of 17 patients with confirmed operculo-insular epilepsy, ictal SPECT correctly identified the focus in 65% and provided misleading information in 18%. Secondary activations in areas connected to the insula were common, but generally less intense. By contrast, interictal positron emission tomography (PET) yielded more equivocal findings, as it correctly identified the operculo-insular focus in 47% cases and was misleading in 24%.

Genetic Testing
Genetic defects have been reported in operculo-insular epilepsy cases, including mutations in the CHRNA4 genes in 2 patients with sleep-related hypermotor seizures (functional testing under way). A subtle insular focal cortical dysplasia was reported in a patient with familial focal epilepsy associated with DEPDC5 mutation. Finally, Nguyen and colleagues described an epileptogenic network involving the temporolateral region in a family with reflex bathing epilepsy associated with a Q555X mutation of synapsin 1 on chromosome Xp11-q21.

Invasive Recordings of Insular Lobe Seizures
Philippe Kahane, MD, PhD

The stereotactic intracerebral EEG (SEEG) method is especially well suited to evaluate insular epilepsy because it gives access directly to deep brain structures that cannot be recorded using subdural grids or strips. As for every SEEG study, a strong semiologically based hypothesis for seizure onset as well as for seizure propagation is a prerequisite for successful investigation of insular lobe epilepsy. Extensive knowledge of the structural, cytoarchitectonic, and functional anatomy of the insular lobe and its connections to the frontal, temporal, and posterior cortical structures are additional prerequisites.
Seizure onset within the insula widely varies across patients. Seizure onset can be very restricted in space, and to differentiate insular from opercular seizure onset, extensive insulo-opercular coverage is necessary. The best approach is to combine a lateral orthogonal trajectory through the frontoparietal and temporal operculum with an oblique approach through the frontal or parietal cortices to allow a larger insular sampling (Figure 3A). Combined depth and subdural electrodes or hybrid operculo-insular electrodes can be also used to investigate the insulo-opercular complex. To better evaluate the extent of the future resection and to exclude any extrainsular onset, seizure spread must be examined, especially in MRI-negative cases. This includes appropriate sampling of extrainsular regions to which the insula is closely connected, taking into account the different patterns of connectivity that vary as a function of each insular gyrus with a rostrocaudal organization. The SEEG investigations of insular lobe seizures have shown the following: (1) Seizure onset patterns can be variable in the insula, but low-voltage fast discharge or high-frequency gamma activity are not uncommon (Figure 3B and C); (2) seizures often start very focally with a limited intrainsular spread before extrainsular propagation (Figure 3B-D), which therefore allows tailored limited resections (Figure 3E); (3) extrainsular spread explains clinical variability of insular lobe seizures; in particular, complex motor manifestations were shown to occur when the discharge spread over fronto-mesial and/or mesial and lateral temporal regions. This is in accordance with directed functional coupling analysis that reported a specific association between the insula and mesial frontal lobe during the propagation of insular seizures; (4) corticocortical evoked potentials have showed that the 2 insulas are closely connected, with 8- to 24-milliseconds time to propagate from one to the other homotopic insular parcel; therefore, insular seizures may propagate very quickly to the contralateral insula (Figure 3B) so that false lateralization may occur in insular or even tempo-(insular) epilepsy; (5) typical insular signs can occur in seizures of extrainsular origin; in particular, insular spread is very common in seizures of temporal lobe origin and therefore...
may result in misleading seizure auras; and (6) the morbidity rate directly related to insular electrodes is low. In particular, none of the patients (including children) reported in 3 recent large studies experienced any hemorrhagic complications.40,41

Functional Mapping of the Insula: Contributions to Semiology of Insular Seizures
Jean Isnard, MD, PhD

Electrical stimulation of cerebral cortex evokes clinical responses that mimic symptoms occurring at the onset or during the spread of the epileptic discharge. Thus, stimulation studies directly contribute to the localization of ictal symptoms, as shown for the insular cortex in the pioneering work of Penfield and Faulk.42 Since then, a number of insular stimulation studies have been performed during SEEG investigations,6 which all point to the great variety of clinical responses that can be observed. This is illustrated below by the results of the largest published series of insular stimulation in which 679 electrical stimulations were delivered in the insular cortex of 222 patients during SEEG procedures43 with 550 positive responses (Figure 4).

Somatosensory Sensations

Somatosensory sensations represented the majority (n = 335, 61%) of all evoked symptoms.44 Paresthesias were most frequent (Figure 4.1A), followed by thermal sensations. Thermal responses were evoked by stimulation around the central sulcus of the insula (Figure 4.1B). Painful sensations were elicited mostly from the posterior third of the insula and described as burning, electric shock, painful pins, or cramps (Figure 4.1C). Painful responses to insular stimulation were first observed by Ostrowsky et al45 and then further confirmed by Mazzola et al.46 This later study showed that painful responses were rare (60 of >4000 stimulations) and were only elicited by insular and secondary somatosensory cortex (SII) stimulations. They were never observed when stimulating primary somatosensory cortex (SI) or any other cortical area. These results are in line with the SEEG study of Montavont et al,12 in which the insula or SII was systematically involved at seizure onset in all 5 patients suffering from painful seizures and in which ictal pain was reproduced by the stimulation of these 2 regions.

Visceral Symptoms

They accounted for 82 (15%) of insular lobe responses and thus represented the second largest group of electrically induced symptoms. Constrictive sensations located in the pharyngolaryngeal, retrosternal, or abdominal region were observed at 41 electrical stimulation sites; they ranged from a simple discomfort to a frightening sensation of strangulation. Viscero-vegetative signs, including nausea, salivation, facial flush, dyspnea, urge to urinate, and sweaty hands, were elicited from 27 sites (Figure 4.2B). Viscero-psychoic symptoms, such as thoracic or abdominal heaviness associated with a feeling of fear, were elicited at 14 insular sites (Figure 4.2C).

Other Insular Responses

Less common responses were vestibular sensations, which were described as a feeling of body motion (Figure 4.3) and auditory sensations, which were evoked by stimulating the very posteroinferior part of the insula (Figure 4.4). Speech impairments, which consisted of speech arrest, slurred speech, or lowering of voice intensity (Figure 4.5), were evoked both in the nondominant and dominant hemispheres for language. Gustatory and olfactory sensations were very rare and represented, respectively, 2.7% and 1% of all responses (Figure 4.5).

Overall, none of the clinical signs evoked by stimulation is absolutely specific of ictal onset. An exception are nociceptive symptoms, which are highly suggestive of an insular lobe origin.12

Pros and Cons of Insular Resection

Pro: Insular Resection Should Be the First-Line Intervention for Drug-Resistant Insular Epilepsy
Chengyuan Wu, MD

Surgical resection of the epileptogenic zone remains the first-line option for patients with drug-resistant epilepsy. Long-term seizure freedom rates are 66% in temporal lobe epilepsy, 46% in occipital and parietal lobe epilepsy, and 27% in frontal lobe epilepsy.47 With the increased adoption of invasive EEG, the diagnosis of epilepsy of insular onset has increased. The literature supports surgical resection for insular epilepsy. Across 5 separate case series involving 74 patients with an average follow-up of 3.5 years, 73% of patients were seizure free.48

As with all surgical interventions, we must weigh benefits with surgical risk. Unfortunately, early experience with open insular resections resulted in high morbidity and mortality.49 Resection of insular tumors continues to associate with morbidity rates from 20% to 45.5%.50 The insula certainly challenges surgeons with its deep-seated location, hidden by the frontal and temporal opercula, and its intimate relationship with the “candelabra” of the middle cerebral artery (MCA). Surgical risk, therefore, stems primarily from retraction injury and from damage of lenticulostrate arteries or MCA branches.51 An improved understanding of these concerns along with advances in surgical techniques has significantly reduced the risk of insular lobe surgery. In a more recent series, insular lesionectomy was associated with a permanent morbidity of 8% and no mortalities.50

With invasive EEG serving as the means by which insular epilepsy can be properly diagnosed, we believe that the technique of electrode implantation should be informed by the method of surgical resection. Although the Talairach’s method of insular investigation involves orthogonal transopercular electrode trajectories,41 we favor an oblique approach as described by Afif et al.52 We have taken this approach one step
further by implanting 3 or 4 electrodes in a manner that recreates the borders of the tetrahedron-shaped insula (Figure 5).

In addition to improving our ability to localize the seizure onset zone to a particular region of the insula, this method of SEEG implantation allows us to take a “fence-post” approach to surgical resection of the insula. Because their entry points are distant from the craniotomy needed to access the insula, the electrodes remain in place during surgical resection. Consequently, the electrodes can then be used as internal landmarks to facilitate adequate, appropriate, and safe insular resection (Figure 6).

In scenarios where the epileptogenic zone cannot be safely resected, neuromodulation is a viable option. When considering responsive neurostimulation (RNS), deep brain stimulation (DBS), or vagal nerve stimulation (VNS), however, we must understand that these modalities provide significant seizure reduction, but rarely seizure freedom. Specifically, although

---

**Figure 4.** Location and type of symptoms evoked by electrical stimulations of the insular cortex. (1) Somatosensory responses, including nonpainful, nonthermal sensations (light blue, 1A), thermal sensations (medium blue, 1B), and painful sensations (deep blue, 1C). (2) Visceral sensations, including constrictive sensations (light pink, 2A), viscero-vegetative sensations (deep pink, 2B), and viscero-psychic symptoms (pink, 2C). (3) Vestibular sensations (orange). (4) Auditory sensations (green). (5) Speech disturbances (violet). (6) Olfactogustatory sensations (red for taste, yellow for smell).
RNS, DBS, and VNS, in general, have reported seizure reduction rates of 70%, 40%, and 44%, respectively, they are associated with seizure freedom rates of 15% (for 1 year), 6%, and less than 10%. In comparison to the potential for seizure freedom with surgical resection, neuromodulation is definitively inferior and as such should only be considered when resection cannot be performed.

Overall, open resection of the insula is a safe and the most effective approach to drug-resistant insular epilepsy and should be considered as the first-line surgical option.

**Con: Diagnosis and Treatment of Medically Refractory Insula Epilepsy: Challenges and Pitfalls**

**Jorge Gonzalez-Martinez, MD, PhD**

Insular epilepsy is a particularly challenging topic in medically refractory epilepsies. The clinical and surgical challenges are intrinsically related to the heterogeneous semiological features of seizures arising from the insula and adjacent structures, the difficult access to the insular cortex, and the relative high morbidity associated with insula and perisylvian resections.

Regarding the technique of insular implantation, several series have addressed the technique and safety of insular exploration by the SEEG methodology. The SEEG is arguably the most common and appropriate surgical method to explore the insula cortex among other invasive techniques. Since its inception by Talairach and Bancaud, the SEEG methodology, and in particular its technique, evolved over the years. Nevertheless, the common denominator among different techniques is accurate vascular imaging, particularly important for insula explorations. Even in the highly vascular insular cortex, SEEG can still be performed safely if planning and technique are performed carefully.

The most common SEEG depth electrode implantation technique is the transopercular approach, in which orientation of electrodes is perpendicular to the sagittal plane, as defined by the anterior commissure–posterior commissure line. The advantages of this approach include its common and widespread clinical application, its safety and efficacy for accessing the insula cortex and adjacent areas, and its ability to sample medial and lateral portions of the insula, as well as the adjacent frontal and temporal opercula.

Once localization methods confirm that seizures are in fact arising from the insula cortex, and the extent of the epileptogenic zone is defined, the surgical treatment strategies become the main challenge. Several published manuscripts reported the outcome and morbidity related to insula epilepsy surgeries. Alomar et al reported the results of 17 patients with nonlesional imaging who were surgically treated for medically intractable epilepsy (15 resection and 2 laser ablations). Overall, 11 of 15 patients with insular resection had favorable outcome (Engel I and Engel II), of whom 5 (33.3%) had an Engel I outcome and 6 (40%) an Engel II outcome. The remaining patients had either an Engel III (n = 3, 20%) or an
Engel IV outcome (n = 1, 6.7%). In this cohort, 3 patients developed permanent neurological deficits related to hemiparesis (17.6%), with an additional 4 patients developing transient deficits and mild complications. Therefore, the total complication rate in this series was approximately 41%. Interestingly, all permanent motor deficits were related to the resection of the caudal dorsal insula and adjacent parietal operculum, possibly due to damage of the small caliber performant arteries originating from the MCA, which exclusively provide vascular supply to the more caudal aspect of the corona radiata, harboring motor, and sensory fibers (Figure 7).

In conclusion, the authors highlighted the dangers related to the dorsal caudal insula resections. Because of the relatively high morbidity associated with open resections in the most caudal–rostral aspect of the insular cortex, preoperative discussion should include alternative treatment approaches including RNS and focal laser ablation. In order to overcome the potential high morbidity related to this area, 2 patients at our center underwent MRI-guided stereotactic laser ablation targeting the dorsal–caudal insula cortex, resulting in no complications and good control of focal seizures.

Is SUDEP Risk Increased in Insular Epilepsy?
Nuria Lacuey, MD, and Samden Lhatoo, MD, FRCP

The intimate role played by the insula in autonomic control and modulation renders it suspect in sudden unexpected death in epilepsy (SUDEP) pathophenomenology. There are 2 possible types of insular involvement in SUDEP: first, as the epileptogenic zone from where the fatal seizure arises, or second, as the structure, whether damaged or intact, critical to the genesis of autonomic, and/or respiratory seizure features, to which the seizure discharge secondarily spreads. The relative rarity of insular epilepsy accounts for the scant knowledge of SUDEP risk in this condition. Similarly, although the insula is part of the epileptogenic zone in some temporal, frontal, and opercular epilepsies, these too are relatively undercharacterized syndromes.

Among the few reported cases of insular epilepsy related to SUDEP is an SEEG proven case of left insular epilepsy with sleep–related seizures with hyperkinetic automatisms and anterior superior insular seizure onset. The patient refused resective surgery and died of SUDEP 2 years after assessment. Mortality in Epilepsy Monitoring Unit Study (MORTEMUS), a study of SUDEP or near SUDEP in the epilepsy monitoring unit, reported 2 insular cases associated with near-SUDEP. Both patients experienced cardiac/cardiorespiratory compromise in the peri-ictal period of seizures with loss of awareness. One 10-year-old female patient had cardiorespiratory arrest in the postictal period and cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) was instituted successfully within a minute of seizure end. The other was a 54-year-old female patient who suffered ictal asystole and underwent CPR. Given current knowledge of the relatively benign, self-limited nature of ictal asystole, the resuscitation instituted within a minute may have been superfluous, and the near-SUDEP label debatable.

The contribution of the insula to seizure-related cardiorespiratory dysfunction and subsequent SUDEP is worthy of discussion. The insula is known to play a central role in the regulation of cardiac functions, and a few case reports have suggested that seizures of insular lobe origin, or seizures that spread to the insula, might provoke bradycardia, atrioventricular block, or asystole. Both left and right insular damage are known to affect prognosis and mortality in stroke. Insular damage, in epilepsies emanating outside this structure, may lead to potentially fatal scenarios. Dysfunctional brain networking, with high insular connectivity, is known to exist in high SUDEP-risk patients. Direct structural damage to the insula, whether seizure induced or iatrogenic, has been associated with SUDEP in 2 intractable epilepsy cases. In a 33-year-old patient with left hemisensory and generalized convulsive seizures, PET hypometabolism in the left posterior parieto-opercular region, and depth electrodes demonstrating unequivocal fast frequency (gamma) discharges in the left posterior insula (Figure 8), posterior insular resection failed to produce seizure freedom. Serial assessments of interictal heart rate variability (HRV) demonstrated significant HRV decrease in the postsurgical period compared with the presurgical period; notably, he had marked sinus tachycardia in the postictal period that continued for more than 25 minutes. The insular resection may have contributed via changes in HRV to a tendency for ventricular arrhythmia and subsequent SUDEP, 2 years after surgery. In a second case, similarly intractable in the postsurgical period, milder left inferior insular damage was noted secondary to a temporal lobectomy. However, increasing HRV was noted over a 3-year period, indicating increased vagal tone and a confirmed tendency to postictal bradycardia. The SUDEP was confirmed 21 months after his last assessment with possible contribution of autonomic dysfunction as described.
Whereas it is easy to speculate on insular contributions to SUDEP, it is less easy to extrapolate anecdotal evidence to larger populations. Thus, cohort studies, with appropriate multimodal seizure assessments, are required to resolve these issues.

In conclusion, insular epilepsy can be difficult to recognize. Surgical treatment of insular epilepsy has its challenges but can be addressed with diligent clinical and electrophysiological investigations. Surgical approaches have to be weighted carefully but can be very successful in treating insular epilepsy.
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Figure 8. A, Postoperative MRI FLAIR sequence shows evidence of a left posterior temporo-insular resection cavity with surrounding gliosis in a patient with later SUDEP. B, Heart rate plots show ictal sinus tachycardia, followed by sustained postictal sinus tachycardia lasting at least 25 minutes after a nonfatal generalized convulsive seizure. C, Heart rate time and frequency domain parameters calculated during the presurgery (2006) and postsurgery (2011) epilepsy monitoring unit (EMU) evaluations and the results from generalized estimating equation (GEE) analysis. D Extent of insular resection and damage, after 3-dimensional reconstruction of pre- and postoperative MRI is delineated in red. MRI indicates magnetic resonance imaging; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; SUDEP, sudden unexpected death in epilepsy; MNN, mean of normal to normal heart beats; SDNN, standard deviation of normal to normal heart beats.
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