A diffusion-matched principal component analysis (DM-PCA) based two-channel denoising procedure for high-resolution diffusion-weighted MRI

Nan-kuei Chen¹,²,³*, Hing-Chiu Chang⁴, Ali Bilgin¹,²,⁵,⁶, Adam Bernstein¹, Theodore P. Trouard¹,²,⁶,⁷

¹ Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America,
² Department of Medical Imaging, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America,
³ Brain Imaging and Analysis Center, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, United States of America,
⁴ Department of Diagnostic Radiology, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Hong Kong,
⁵ Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America,
⁶ BIO5 Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America,
⁷ Evelyn F McKnight Brain Institute, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona, United States of America

* nkchen@email.arizona.edu

Abstract

Over the past several years, significant efforts have been made to improve the spatial resolution of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), aiming at better detecting subtle lesions and more reliably resolving white-matter fiber tracts. A major concern with high-resolution DWI is the limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which may significantly offset the advantages of high spatial resolution. Although the SNR of DWI data can be improved by denoising in post-processing, existing denoising procedures may potentially reduce the anatomic resolvability of high-resolution imaging data. Additionally, non-Gaussian noise induced signal bias in low-SNR DWI data may not always be corrected with existing denoising approaches. Here we report an improved denoising procedure, termed diffusion-matched principal component analysis (DM-PCA), which comprises 1) identifying a group of (not necessarily neighboring) voxels that demonstrate very similar magnitude signal variation patterns along the diffusion dimension, 2) correcting low-frequency phase variations in complex-valued DWI data, 3) performing PCA along the diffusion dimension for real- and imaginary-components (in two separate channels) of phase-corrected DWI voxels with matched diffusion properties, 4) suppressing the noisy PCA components in real- and imaginary-components, separately, of phase-corrected DWI data, and 5) combining real- and imaginary-components of denoised DWI data. Our data show that the new two-channel (i.e., for real- and imaginary-components) DM-PCA denoising procedure performs reliably without noticeably compromising anatomic resolvability. Non-Gaussian noise induced signal bias could also be reduced with the new denoising method. The DM-PCA based denoising procedure should prove highly valuable for high-resolution DWI studies in research and clinical uses.
1. Introduction

Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), through characterizing properties of proton diffusion, can assess microstructural changes of brain tissues resulting from neurological diseases [1, 2]. Additionally, DWI data that are appropriately sampled in q-space can be fit to a tensor or other models to characterize the structure of white-matter. For example, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has proven to be a valuable tool for mapping the structural connectivity networks of brains [3–8].

In the past few years, significant efforts have been made to improve the spatial resolution of DWI, aiming at better detection of subtle brain lesions and more reliably resolving white-matter fiber tracts. Specifically, parallel MRI techniques [9–11] and multi-shot diffusion-weighted MRI procedures that can correct for shot-to-shot phase inconsistencies are the keys in enabling high-resolution DWI [12–42]. The advantages of high-resolution DWI have been demonstrated in a series of recent reports [18,43–45].

A concern in high-resolution DWI is the limited signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The SNR of DWI data obtained with conventional protocols (e.g., with 2 x 2 x 2 mm$^3$ voxel size for human MRI) is already lower than non-DWI data as a result of diffusion-weighting, and is further reduced in high-resolution scans due to voxel size reduction (e.g., by 8-fold in human MRI data of 1 x 1 x 1 mm$^3$ resolution). Such reductions in SNR can significantly offset the advantages of high-resolution DWI. Furthermore, non-Gaussian noise induced signal bias may distort the quantitative measures (e.g., apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC)) derived from DWI data of low SNR.

Several post-processing methods can be used to improve the SNR of high-resolution DWI data. For example, DWI data with low SNR can be denoised with a kernel smoothing procedure. However, the anatomic resolvability of high-resolution DWI data may be significantly compromised by kernel smoothing. This concern can be partially addressed with the recently reported local principal component analysis (local PCA) algorithm [46], which could effectively reduce noise through filtering data with PCA along the diffusion dimension (i.e., the q-dimension). However, the local PCA method may still potentially reduce anatomic resolvability, because it performs PCA filtering across nearest neighboring voxels that may have heterogeneous diffusion properties. With a highly heterogeneous set of neighboring voxels (that have a low redundancy in the contained information), the performance of PCA filtering may degrade for DWI data with a small number of diffusion-encoding directions (e.g., 3 diffusion directions in most clinical DWI protocols).

Previous studies have shown that Rician signal bias could be estimated from background areas, and then subtracted from low-SNR data [47,48]. However, in DWI data obtained with parallel MRI, the reconstructed magnitude signals may be affected by other types of spatially-dependent and non-Gaussian noise, which may not always be correctable with existing post-processing procedures. A recent report by Eichner et al. showed that non-Gaussian noise in parallel DWI data could be better reduced by averaging multiple sets of phase-corrected and real-valued data [49]. However, Eichner’s noise reduction scheme requires repeated scans of multiple averages.

In this paper we report an improved denoising procedure, termed diffusion-matched principal component analysis (DM-PCA), which comprises 1) identifying a group of (not necessarily neighboring) voxels that demonstrate very similar signal variation patterns along the diffusion dimension, 2) correcting low-frequency phase variations in the complex-valued DWI data, 3) performing PCA along the diffusion dimension for real- and imaginary-components (in 2 separate channels) of phase-corrected DWI voxels with matched diffusion properties, 4) suppressing the noisy PCA components in real- and imaginary-components,
separately, of phase-corrected DWI data, and 5) combining real- and imaginary-components of denoised DWI data. Inspired by the collaborative filtering algorithm reported by Dabov et al. [50], our method is designed to perform PCA filtering across a group of voxels that have a high level of redundant information in order to preserve anatomic resolvability. It should be noted that our method performs PCA along the diffusion dimension and thus differs from Dabov’s original implementation. Additionally, the non-Gaussian noise induced signal bias could be reduced with our new approach that performs PCA on complex-valued DWI data.

2. Theory

MRI data were acquired with a 3 Tesla MRI scanner (General Electric Healthcare, Waukesha, USA) at Duke University Medical Center. All research involving human participants has been approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Duke University Medical Center. Written and oral informed consents have been obtained from all the participants. All of the de-identified MRI data are available from this public repository: https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/PONE-D-17-40504R1 and https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/JVSBC7

2.1 The diffusion-matched principal component analysis based denoising procedure

2.1.1. Overview. The new denoising method is schematically illustrated in Fig 1A. Depending on the acquisition protocols, the acquired DWI k-space data (box 1) are reconstructed with either 2D Fourier transform or parallel MRI procedures (such as the SENSE algorithm [9]) to generate complex-valued images (box 2). For any given voxel to be denoised, the magnitude components (box 3 and Fig 1B) of input images are examined to identify a group of voxels with similar diffusion properties (box 4: with details described in section 2.1.2). The phase components (box 5 and Fig 1C) of input data are spatially smoothed (box 6 and Fig 1D), and the smoothed phase information is then subtracted from the input complex-valued images to produce a set of phase-corrected complex-valued images (box 7). The real-components (box 8; Fig 1E) and imaginary-components (box 9; Fig 1F) of phase-corrected data are denoised in 2 separate channels with PCA (with details described in section 2.1.3). The denoised real- and imaginary data (boxes 10 and 11, respectively) are then combined to produce a set of denoised magnitude images (box 12 and Fig 1G).

2.1.2 Identification of diffusion-matched voxels. An important step of the DM-PCA method is to identify a group of voxels, which have similar magnitude-valued diffusion properties (i.e., with similar signal variation patterns along the diffusion dimension) but are not necessarily nearest neighboring, from noisy input data (box 4 in Fig 1A). For example, the red dot in Fig 2A shows a target voxel (displayed on top of the mean DWI map), whose corresponding signals in 6-direction DWI data are to be denoised. Instead of filtering DWI signals of the nearest neighboring voxels in a patch (Fig 2B) as implemented in the local PCA denoising method, we use L1 norm to identify a group of voxels (Fig 2C) that have the most similar diffusion signal variations to the target voxel. Although in Fig 2B and 2C we demonstrate the selection of 100 nearest neighboring voxels (in a 10 x 10 patch) and 100 diffusion-matched voxels (across the field of view), respectively, only in a single axial slice, in our actual implementation 64 diffusion-matched voxels are identified with L1 from a 3D volume of 5 neighboring slices. We choose to identify 64 diffusion-matched voxels in processing human brain DWI data, because in our experience we could always identify more than 64 voxels located in white-matter fiber tracts that share very similar diffusion properties (e.g., red voxels in Fig 2C; with redundant diffusion information in > 64 voxels). When processing low-resolution DWI data
obtained from small animals, a smaller number of diffusion-matched voxels may need to be chosen to ensure that the identified voxels have redundant diffusion information.

2.1.3 Phase correction of complex-valued data. As shown in boxes 5 to 7 of Fig 1A, background phase values are smoothed and then subtracted from the input complex-valued data. After this phase correction procedure, the majority of the DWI contrast is moved to the real-components (Fig 1E). The noise in both real- and imaginary components of input data is unaffected by the removal of spatially-smooth phase values. A similar phase correction procedure was used previously by Eichner et al. for averaging repeated scans of real-valued DWI data.
In our project, this phase correction procedure is mainly used to generate phase-corrected complex-data for subsequent two-channel PCA denoising (see Section 2.1.5). In our implementation, we multiplied the central 64x64 portion of the k-space data with Hann filter (of length 64: described in Eq 1), along both readout and phase-encoding directions, to smooth the background phase. We found that phase smoothing with different Hann window sizes (between 32 and 64) does not noticeably affect the final results.

\[
h(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{N-1}\right)\right), \text{ with } N = 64; \quad n = 1 \ldots N
\]  

[1]
2.1.4 Comparison of nearest-neighboring PCA and diffusion-matched PCA. In this section we compare 1) images obtained from PCA among nearest-neighboring voxels and 2) data obtained from PCA among diffusion-matched voxels (i.e., DM-PCA). To simplify the discussion, here we process real-valued DWI data only. Fig 2D shows one of the 6-direction DWI input data. Using our local PCA denoising implementation (that suppresses PCA components 3 and higher across voxels shown in Fig 2B), the produced image has a higher SNR (Fig 2E) than input data. With the DM-PCA method (that suppresses PCA components 3 and higher across voxels shown in Fig 2C), the noise in input data can also be reduced (Fig 2F). Upon examining the residual signals of nearest-neighboring PCA (Fig 2G) and DM-PCA (Fig 2H), it can be seen that some anatomic features are undesirably altered in nearest-neighborin g PCA produced data (likely due to the low level of redundancy in diffusion information across neighboring voxels) but not in DM-PCA produced data.

2.1.5 DM-PCA for real- and imaginary components of phase-corrected data. As illustrated in boxes 8 to 11 of Fig 1A, the DM-PCA method described in section 2.1.4 is applied to denoise real- and imaginary-components, separately, of phase-corrected complex-valued data. In this section we use simulation data to illustrate the advantages of two-channel DM-PCA of complex-valued data, as compared with DM-PCA processing of magnitude images.

A proton density image was first created by combining white-matter probability map, gray-matter probability map, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) probability map (from FSL: https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk) with appropriate weightings. 12 sets of noise-free DWI data corresponding to \( b = 0, 200, 400 \ldots 2200 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) (Fig 3A) were mathematically created by multiplying the tissue-specific images with appropriate diffusion weighting, assuming that the white-matter diffusivity is \( 0.69 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \), gray-matter diffusivity is \( 0.83 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \), and CSF diffusivity is \( 3.19 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s} \) [51].

Full k-space data were computed from noise-free DWI data, and Gaussian noise was then added to real and imaginary components of k-space data. Images reconstructed from noisy k-space data with 2D Fourier transform thus contained noise. Specifically, real- and imaginary-components of complex-valued images contained Gaussian noise, and magnitude images contained Rician noise. Multiple data sets with different levels of noise were simulated, with the SNR of DWI at \( b = 2200 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) being 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 \ldots 3. One of the noisy data sets (with SNR at \( b = 2200 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) being 1.3) is shown in Fig 3B. In this simulation the levels of added noise were chosen to produce data sets with the desired SNR levels.

The noisy DWI data were denoised with two different approaches: 1) magnitude-domain DM-PCA (Fig 3C) and 2) two-channel DM-PCA (Fig 3D). Images from the blue boxes (at \( b = 1600 \text{ s/mm}^2 \)) are shown in Fig 3E to 3H with an elevated display scale.

The white-matter signal intensities of noisy DWI data corresponding to different \( b \) values (Fig 3B) are shown with solid orange curve in Fig 3I, with vertical bars indicating the standard deviation across white-matter voxels. The ground truth signals (from noise-free data: Fig 3A) are shown with dashed blue curve in Fig 3I. It can be seen that the Rician bias is more pronounced in high-\( b \) data, leading to errors in the fitted ADC values.

The white-matter signal intensities of DWI data denoised by magnitude-domain DM-PCA (Fig 3C) are shown with solid orange curve in Fig 3J, and the ground truth signals are shown with dashed blue curve. It can be seen that the magnitude-domain DM-PCA could reduce the standard deviation (i.e., shorter vertical orange bars in Fig 3J than Fig 3I), but could not effectively remove the Rician bias.

The white-matter signal intensities of DWI data denoised by two-channel DM-PCA (Fig 3D) are shown with solid orange curve in Fig 3K, and the ground truth signals are shown with dashed blue curve. It can be seen that the two-channel DM-PCA method could reduce the
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standard deviation (i.e., shorter vertical orange bars in Fig 3K than Fig 3I), and effectively reduce the Rician bias.

Errors in fitting ADC values from DWI data, at different noise levels and with different denoising procedures, are shown in Fig 3L. It can be seen that the two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA method could more effectively reduce ADC fitting errors for all of our simulation data, as compared with magnitude-domain DM-PCA.

2.1.6 DM-PCA denoising of parallel DWI data. We performed another simulation study to compare the performance of magnitude-domain DM-PCA and two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA on denoising parallel DWI data.

Similar to the study described in section 2.1.5, a proton density image was created by combining tissue-specific probability maps with appropriate weightings. Noise-free DWI data corresponding to 12 b values (0, 200, 400 . . . 2200 s/mm$^2$) were simulated by multiplying the tissue-specific images with appropriate diffusion weighting. Shot-to-shot phase inconsistencies in DWI data were mathematically added. Afterward, the simulated DWI data were multiplied by 8 sets of coil sensitivity profiles, and converted to k-space data with 2D inverse Fourier transform. Noise was then added to real and imaginary components of 8-channel k-space data. In this simulation the levels of added noise were chosen to produce parallel DWI data with their SNR levels comparable to non-parallel DWI data shown in section 2.1.5.

Fig 4A and 4B show images reconstructed from 2x under-sampled noise-free k-space data and 2x under-sampled noisy k-space data, respectively, using the SENSE algorithm. Noise amplification due to g-factor is visible in high-b DWI data in Fig 4B.

The SENSE-produced data were then denoised with two different approaches. First, the magnitude images were processed with magnitude-domain DM-PCA. Second, the SENSE-produced complex-valued data were processed with phase-correction and the 2-channel DM-PCA denoising procedures shown in Fig 1. Fig 4C and 4D compare images denoised with the magnitude-domain DM-PCA and the two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA, respectively. Images from the blue boxes (at $b = 1800$ s/mm$^2$) are shown in Fig 4E to h with an elevated display scale.

The white-matter signal intensities of noisy DWI data corresponding to different b values (Fig 4B) are shown with solid orange curve in Fig 4I, with vertical bars indicating the standard deviation. The ground truth signals (from noise-free data: Fig 4A) are shown with dashed blue curve in Fig 4I. The white-matter signal intensities of DWI data denoised by magnitude-domain DM-PCA (Fig 4C) are shown with solid orange curve in Fig 4J, and the white-matter signal intensities of DWI data denoised by two-channel DM-PCA (Fig 4D) are shown with solid orange curve in Fig 4K. It can be seen that the non-Gaussian noise induced bias in noisy parallel DWI data can be better removed by two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA than magnitude-domain DM-PCA.

Errors in fitting ADC values from DWI data, at different noise levels and with different denoising procedures, are shown in Fig 4L. It can be seen that the two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA method could better reduce ADC fitting errors for all of our simulation data, as compared with magnitude-domain DM-PCA.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195952.g003
3. Methods

3.1 Evaluation of the DM-PCA denoising method in high-resolution DWI data

The performance of the two-channel DM-PCA method was evaluated in high-resolution DWI data that were acquired previously (voxel size = 0.85 mm x 0.85 mm x 0.85 mm; 12 diffusion-encoding directions) with scan parameters reported in a recent paper [18]. The SNR of this DWI dataset was ~ 25. In order to assess the performance of DM-PCA on DWI data with different SNR levels, noise was mathematically added to the complex-valued imaging data to produce multiple additional datasets with SNR in range of 3 and 25.

DWI data before and after DM-PCA based denoising were processed with the DTI fitting program of FSL (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FDT/UserGuide) to calculate fractional anisotropy (FA) maps. These FA maps with directionally encoded color (right-left = red; anterior-posterior = green; superior-inferior = blue) were visually inspected and compared in terms of the resolvability of adjacent fiber tracts (e.g., external capsule and extreme capsule) and small brain structures (e.g., dentate gyrus of the hippocampus).

3.2 Application of the DM-PCA denoising procedure to human DTI data of conventional spatial resolution

The DM-PCA denoising procedure was applied to process 60 sets of DTI data acquired from 30 healthy volunteers (age: 58.03 ± 9.28 years; 15 females) and 30 patients with Parkinson’s disease (age: 64.03 ± 10.30 years; 7 females). Twenty-five PD patients had Hoehn and Yahr scale between 1 and 2 (i.e., in early PD stages); one patient had Hoehn and Yahr scale 2.5; two patients had Hoehn and Yahr scale 3; two patients had Hoehn and Yahr scale 4. DTI data were acquired with a single-shot parallel echo-planar imaging (EPI) pulse sequence with the following scan parameters: FOV = 23 cm x 23 cm; in-plane matrix size = 128 x 128; parallel imaging acceleration factor = 2; TE = 80 msec; TR = 8 sec; sagittal-plane slice thickness = 1.8 mm; voxel size = 1.8 mm³; number of slices = 78; b = 800 s/mm²; number of diffusion-encoding directions = 25; number of non-DWI baseline images = 4; and number of repetitions = 2.

The SENSE algorithm was used to process the acquired under-sampled k-space data. SENSE-produced complex-valued imaging data without denoising, with magnitude-domain DM-PCA, and with two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA were all processed with the eddy current correction procedure from FSL, and then analyzed with the DTI fitting program in FSL. FA maps from all the subjects were then registered to a common space using the skeleton based normalization provided by FSL-TBSS (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/TBSS). In this study the TBSS procedure was only used to align FA maps to a common space, and the aligned images (without being skeletonized) were further analyzed with an ROI-based analysis. Specifically, we evaluated the FA differences in the olfactory tract ROI between early-stage PD patients (Hoehn and Yahr scales 1 and 2 in 25 of our PD subjects) and controls, measured from data before and after denoising. Gender and age effects were regressed out when performing group-level analyses. This importance of measuring FA values in the olfactory tract...
ROI has been demonstrated in two previous reports. First, it has been shown by Ibarretxe-Bilbao et al. that FA values in the olfactory tract differ between early-stage PD patients and controls [51]. Second, studies by Rolheiser et al. [52] and Joshi et al. [53] further showed that the DTI signals of olfactory regions could better differentiate early-stage PD patients from healthy controls, as compared with signals from the substantia nigra.

4. Results

4.1 Evaluation of the DM-PCA denoising method in high-resolution DWI data

Fig 5 shows FA maps, of a chosen axial slice, calculated from high-resolution DWI data (0.85 mm³ voxel size) with 6 SNR levels. The external capsule and extreme capsules are resolvable in the FA map calculated from the original DWI data (SNR ~ = 25) both before and after denoising. It can be seen that those two tracts remain resolvable for denoised images produced from input data with SNR = 9 or higher, demonstrating that the developed DM-PCA method does not noticeable reduce anatomic resolvability for our test datasets. For input data with low SNR (e.g., 3), the DM-PCA method may not recover high-resolution information.

Fig 6A and 6B show coronal-plane mean DWI and FA maps, respectively, derived from high-resolution and high-SNR (~ = 25) data after DM-PCA based denoising. The hippocampus is visible in both Fig 6A and 6B (e.g., with arrows indicating the left hippocampus), as well as in the corresponding zoom-in images shown in Fig 6C and 6D, respectively. In comparison to the FA map derived from images without DM-PCA denoising (Fig 6E), the DM-PCA produced FA map (Fig 6D) preserves the anatomic features of the hippocampus. It can be seen that the mean DWI map reveals only coarse hippocampal structure (Fig 6F), while the color-coded FA map more clearly defines the dentate gyrus (region 1 in Fig 6G: with light red color indicating the connectivity to hippocampal CA3), fibers that connect hippocampus and entorhinal cortex to other brain areas (region 2 in Fig 6G: with green color indicating the connectivity along the anterior-posterior direction), and CA1, CA2 and CA3 of the hippocampus (region 3 in Fig 6G: with lower FA value).

4.2 Application of the DM-PCA denoising procedure to human DTI data of conventional spatial resolution

Experimental results show that the new denoising method consistently improves the SNR for all of the human DWI data (1.8 mm³ voxel size) evaluated in this study. The sum of squared errors in DTI fitting can be reduced by ~90% for all of the denoised data, as compared with undenoised data. Fig 7A and 7B compare diffusion-weighted images (corresponding to a single encoding direction) before and after applying the DM-PCA method, respectively, for 4 of the subjects with Parkinson’s disease. Fig 7C and 7D show the corresponding FA maps before and after DM-PCA processing, respectively, in which the improvement in FA quality due to denoising can be visualized. Images denoised by magnitude-domain DM-PCA (data not shown) and two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA appear very similar through visual inspection.

In undenoised DWI data, the FA values of olfactory tract ROI are lower in PD subjects than that in healthy controls, but the difference was not statistically significant (at p = 0.08). After denoising DWI data with magnitude-domain DM-PCA, the FA measures differ significantly between PD and controls at p = 0.04. After denoising data with the two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA method, an even higher significant level, at p = 0.02, is achieved. These results demonstrate that the new two-channel DM-PCA method improves the group-level analyses of DWI data.
Fig 5. FA maps obtained from high-resolution DWI images (0.85 mm$^3$ voxel size), before and after DM-PCA based denoising, corresponding to different SNR levels in input data.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195952.g005
5. Discussion

One of the main contributions of our study is the development of an L1-norm based procedure for identifying a group of voxels with matched diffusion properties from noisy input data. Because of the high redundancy, across the diffusion-matched voxels, on their signal variation...
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patterns along the diffusion dimension, noise in DWI data can be removed with DM-PCA without noticeably compromising anatomic resolvability.

In this study we have demonstrated the advantages of performing PCA denoising for real- and imaginary-components, in two separate channels, of complex-valued DWI data. Specifically, as shown by data in Figs 3 and 4, the complex-domain DM-PCA can much better suppress non-Gaussian signal induced bias in low-SNR data, as compared with magnitude-domain DM-PCA. The reduction of Rician and other types of non-Gaussian signal bias (e.g., in parallel DWI data) leads to a more accurate ADC fitting, as shown in Figs 3L and 4L. We have also shown that the complex-domain DM-PCA procedure can improve the statistical power in detecting the Parkinson’s disease related FA changes (see section 3.2).

It required about 2 min to denoise a 4D-DWI data set of 128 x 128 x 78 x 58 matrix size (in which 58 includes 8 baseline b = 0 images, and 25 DWI images with two repetitions: see section 2.3) using a Julia program on a PC (16GB memory; 4-core 2.7 GHz CPU). The data processing time may need to be further reduced (e.g., with parallel computation in general purpose GPU) for clinical uses in the future.

In addition to Fourier-domain and PCA based processing, it has been shown that DWI and DTI data can be denoised with other signal processing algorithms, ranging from wavelet-based smoothing [54], to the anisotropic diffusion filtering technique [55,56], to adaptive smoothing [57–59], to non-local means variants [60], to patch-based analysis [61], to singular value decomposition [63], to sparseness and self-similarity based denoising [64]. These advanced methods were not evaluated in our current study.

Although our non-local PCA based denoising procedure is developed mainly for high-resolution DWI, this algorithm is expected to be applicable to other types of 4D MRI data, such as functional MRI, dynamic contrast enhanced imaging, and parametric mapping. Our lab is currently evaluating the performance of the non-local complex-domain PCA based denoising method on various types of non-DWI data.

In conclusion, in this study we report a two-channel complex-domain DM-PCA based denoising method that can effectively denoise high-resolution DWI data without noticeably compromising anatomic resolvability, when the SNR of input DWI data is 9 or higher. Our experimental results suggest that the DM-PCA denoising method performs reliably on human DWI and DTI data.

Acknowledgments
This research is supported by NIH Grants R01 NS 074045 and R21 EB 018419.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Nan-kuei Chen, Ali Bilgin.

Data curation: Nan-kuei Chen, Hing-Chiu Chang.

Formal analysis: Nan-kuei Chen.

Funding acquisition: Nan-kuei Chen.

Investigation: Nan-kuei Chen, Hing-Chiu Chang, Ali Bilgin, Adam Bernstein, Theodore P. Trouard.

Fig 7. Application of DM-PCA denoising to human brain DWI data at conventional resolution (1.8 mm³ voxel size): Panels a and b compare one of the DWI images before and after DM-PCA denoising, respectively, for 4 of the participants. Panels c and d show the corresponding FA maps obtained from data before and after DM-PCA denoising, respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195952.g007
Methodology: Nan-kuei Chen.

Project administration: Nan-kuei Chen.

Resources: Nan-kuei Chen.

Software: Nan-kuei Chen.

Supervision: Nan-kuei Chen.

Validation: Nan-kuei Chen.

Visualization: Nan-kuei Chen.

Writing – original draft: Nan-kuei Chen.

Writing – review & editing: Nan-kuei Chen, Theodore P. Trouard.

References

1. Le Bihan D, Breton E, Lallemand D, Aubin ML, Vignaud J, Laval-Jeantet M. (1988) Separation of diffusion and perfusion in intravoxel incoherent motion MR imaging. Radiology 168: 497–505. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.168.2.3393671 PMID: 3393671

2. Moseley ME, Cohen Y, Kucharczyk J, Mintorovitch J, Asgari HS, Wendland MF, et al. (1990) Diffusion-weighted MR imaging of anisotropic water diffusion in cat central nervous system. Radiology 176: 439–445. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.176.2.2367658 PMID: 2367658

3. Pierpaoli C, Jezzard P, Basser PJ, Barnett A, Di Chiro G (1996) Diffusion tensor MR imaging of the human brain. Radiology 201: 637–648. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.201.3.8939209 PMID: 8939209

4. McNab JA, Edlow BL, Witzel T, Huang SY, Bhat H, Heberlein K, et al. (2013) The Human Connectome Project and beyond: initial applications of 300 mT/m gradients. Neuroimage 80: 234–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.074 PMID: 23711337

5. Agosta F, Canu E, Stefanova E, Sarro L, Tomić A, Špica V, et al. (2014) Mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson’s disease is associated with a distributed pattern of brain white matter damage. Hum Brain Mapp 35: 1921–1929. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.22302 PMID: 23843285

6. Galantucci S, Agosta F, Stefanova E, Basaia S, van den Heuvel MP, Stojković T, et al. (2017) Structural brain connectome and cognitive impairment in Parkinson disease. Radiology 283: 515–525. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2016160274 PMID: 27924721

7. Meoded A, Huisman TAGM, Casamassima MGS, Jallo GI, Poretti A (2017) The structural connectome in children: basic concepts, how to build it, and synopsis of challenges for the developing pediatric brain. Neuroradiology 59: 445–460. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-017-1831-1 PMID: 28382501

8. Shah A, Lenka A, Saini J, Wagle S, Naduthota RM, Yadav R, et al. (2017) Altered Brain Wiring in Parkinson’s Disease: A Structural Connectome-Based Analysis. Brain Connect 7: 347–356. https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2017.0506 PMID: 28595456

9. Pruessmann KP, Weiger M, Scheidegger MB, Boesiger P (1999) SENSE: sensitivity encoding for fast MRI. Magn Reson Med 42: 952–962. PMID: 10542355

10. Sodickson DK, Manning WJ (1997) Simultaneous acquisition of spatial harmonics (SMASH): fast imaging with radiofrequency coil arrays. Magn Reson Med 38: 581–603. PMID: 9324327

11. Griswold MA, Jakob PM, Heidemann RM, Nittka M, Jellus V, Wang J, et al. (2002) Generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA). Magn Reson Med 47: 1202–1210. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10171 PMID: 12111967

12. Butts K, de Crespigny A, Pauly JM, Moseley M (1996) Diffusion-weighted interleaved echo-planar imaging with a pair of orthogonal navigator echoes. Magn Reson Med 35: 763–770. PMID: 8722628

13. Bammer R, Stollberger R, Augustin M, Simbrunner J, Offenbacher H, Koosjman H, et al. (1999) Diffusion-weighted imaging with navigated interleaved echo-planar imaging and a conventional gradient system. Radiology 211: 799–806. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.211.3.r99jn15799 PMID: 10352609

14. Frank LR, Jung Y, Inati S, Tyszka JM, Wong EC (2010) High efficiency, low distortion 3D diffusion tensor imaging with variable density spiral fast spin echoes (3DW DVS RARE). Neuroimage 49: 1510–1523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.09.010 PMID: 19776618
15. Liu C, Bammer R, Kim D-H, Moseley ME (2004) Self-navigated interleaved spiral (SNAILS): application to high-resolution diffusion tensor imaging. Magn Reson Med 52: 1388–1396. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20288 PMID: 15562493

16. Miller KL, Pauly JM (2003) Nonlinear phase correction for navigated diffusion imaging. Magn Reson Med 50: 343–353. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10531 PMID: 12876111

17. Bruce IP, Chang H-C, Petty C, Chen N-K, Song AW (2017) 3D-MB-MUSE: A robust 3D multi-slab, multi-band and multi-shot reconstruction approach for ultrahigh resolution diffusion MRI. Neuroimage 159: 46–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.035 PMID: 28732674

18. Chang H-C, Sundman M, Petit L, Guhaniyogi S, Chu M-L, Petty C, et al. (2015) Human brain diffusion tensor imaging at submillimeter isotropic resolution on a 3Tesla clinical MRI scanner. Neuroimage 118: 667–675. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.06.016 PMID: 26072250

19. Chen N-K, Guidon A, Chang H-C, Song AW (2013) A robust multi-shot scan strategy for high-resolution diffusion weighted MRI enabled by multiplexed sensitivity-encoding (MUSE). Neuroimage 72: 41–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.01.038 PMID: 23370063

20. Truong T-K, Chen N, Song AW (2012) Inherent correction of motion-induced phase errors in multishot spiral diffusion-weighted imaging. Magn Reson Med 68: 1255–1261. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.24124 PMID: 22222689

21. Truong T-K, Guidon A (2014) High-resolution multishot spiral diffusion tensor imaging with inherent correction of motion-induced phase errors. Magn Reson Med 71: 790–796. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.24709 PMID: 23450457

22. Van AT, Karampinos DC, Georgiadis JG, Sutton BP (2009) K-space and image-space combination for motion-induced phase-error correction in self-navigated multicoil multishot DWI. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 28: 1770–1780. https://doi.org/10.1109/TMI.2009.2023212 PMID: 19884065

23. Chang H-C, Guhaniyogi S, Chen N-K (2015) Interleaved diffusion-weighted improved by adaptive partial-Fourier and multiband multiplexed sensitivity-encoding reconstruction. Magn Reson Med 73: 1872–1884. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25318 PMID: 24925000

24. Atkinson D, Counsell S, Hajnal JV, Batchelor PG, Hill DLG, Larkman DJ. (2006) Nonlinear phase correction of navigated multi-coil diffusion images. Magn Reson Med 56: 1135–1139. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.21046 PMID: 16986111

25. Zhang Z, Huang F, Ma X, Xie S, Guo H (2015) Self-feeding MUSE: a robust method for high resolution diffusion imaging using interleaved EPI. Neuroimage 105: 552–560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.10.022 PMID: 25451470

26. Herbst M, Zahneisen B, Knowles B, Zaitsev M, Ernst T (2015) Prospective motion correction of segmented diffusion weighted EPI. Magn Reson Med 74: 1675–1681. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25547 PMID: 25446934

27. Guo H, Ma X, Zhang Z, Zhang B, Yuan C, Huang F. (2016) POCS-enhanced inherent correction of motion-induced phase errors (POCS-ICE) for high-resolution multishot diffusion MRI. Magn Reson Med 75: 169–180. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25594 PMID: 25648591

28. Guhaniyogi S, Chu M-L, Chang H-C, Song AW, Chen N-K (2016) Motion immune diffusion imaging using augmented MUSE for high-resolution multi-shot EPI. Magn Reson Med 75: 639–652. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25624 PMID: 25762216

29. Liu W, Zhao M, Ma Y, Tang X, Gao J-H (2016) DWI using navigated interleaved multishot EPI with realigned GRAPPA reconstruction. Magn Reson Med 75: 280–286. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25586 PMID: 25753774

30. Mani M, Jacob M, Kelley D, Magnotta V (2017) Multi-shot sensitivity-encoded diffusion data recovery using structured low-rank matrix completion (MUSSELS). Magn Reson Med 78: 494–507. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26382 PMID: 27550212

31. Ma X, Zhang Z, Dai E, Guo H (2016) Improved multi-shot diffusion imaging using GRAPPA with a compact kernel. Neuroimage 138: 88–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.079 PMID: 27261183

32. Liao C, Chen Y, Cao X, Chen S, He H, Mani M, et al. (2017) Efficient parallel reconstruction for high resolution multishot spiral diffusion data with low rank constraint. Magn Reson Med 77: 1359–1366. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26199 PMID: 26968846

33. Herbst M, Deng W, Ernst T, Stenger VA (2016) Segmented simultaneous multi-slice diffusion weighted imaging with generalized trajectories. Magn Reson Med. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26545 PMID: 27888543

34. Hu Z, Ma X, Truong T-K, Song AW, Guo H (2017) Phase-updated regularized SENSE for navigator-free multishot diffusion imaging. Magn Reson Med 78: 172–181. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26361 PMID: 27520840
35. Jeong H-K, Gore JC, Anderson AW (2013) High-resolution human diffusion tensor imaging using 2-D navigated multishot SENSE EPI at 7 T. Magn Reson Med 69: 793–802. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22592941

36. Dong Z, Wang F, Ma X, Zhang Z, Dai E, Yuan C, et al. (2017) Interleaved EPI diffusion imaging using SPIRIT-based reconstruction with virtual coil compression. Magn Reson Med. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26768 PMID: 28608411

37. Li Z, Pipe JG, Lee C-Y, Debbins JP, Karis JP, Huo D. (2011) X-PROP: a fast and robust diffusion-weighted propeller technique. Magn Reson Med 66: 341–347. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.23033 PMID: 21661046

38. Pipe JG, Farthing VG, Forbes KP (2002) Multishot diffusion-weighted FSE using PROPELLER MRI. Magn Reson Med 47: 42–52. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10014 PMID: 11754441

39. Deng J, Omary RA, Larson AC (2008) PROPELLER EPI: an MRI technique suitable for diffusion tensor imaging at high field strength with reduced geometric distortions. Magn Reson Med 59: 947–953. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.21525 PMID: 18429036

40. Porter DA, Heidemann RM (2009) High resolution diffusion-weighted imaging using readout-segmented echo-planar imaging, parallel imaging and a two-dimensional navigator-based reacquisition. Magn Reson Med 62: 468–475. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22024 PMID: 19449372

41. Skare S, Newbold RD, Clayton DB, Bammer R (2006) Diffusion weighted image denoising using overcomplete local PCA. PLoS ONE 8: e73021. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073021 PMID: 24019889

42. Miller KL, Stagg CJ, Douaud G, Jbabdi S, Smith SM, Behrens TE, et al. (2011) Diffusion imaging of whole, post-mortem human brains on a clinical MRI scanner. Neuroimage 57: 167–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.03.070 PMID: 21473920

43. Song AW, Chang H-C, Petty C, Guidon A, Chen N-K (2014) Improved delineation of short cortical association fibers and gray/white matter boundary using whole-brain three-dimensional diffusion tensor imaging at submillimeter spatial resolution. Brain Connect 4: 636–640. https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2014.0270 PMID: 25264168

44. Wu W, Miller KL (2017) Image formation in diffusion MRI: A review of recent technical developments. J Magn Reson Imaging 46: 646–662. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.25664 PMID: 28194821

45. Ibarretxe-Bilbao N, Junque C, Martí M-J, Valdeoriola F, Vendrell P, Bargallo N, et al. (2010) Olfactory impairment in Parkinson’s disease and white matter abnormalities in central olfactory areas: A voxel-based diffusion tensor imaging study. Mov Disord 25: 1888–1894. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.23208 PMID: 20669268

46. Rolheiser TM, Fulton HG, Good KP, Fisk JD, McKelvey JR, Scherfler C, et al. (2011) Diffusion tensor imaging and olfactory identification testing in early-stage Parkinson’s disease. J Neurol 258: 1254–1260. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-011-5915-2 PMID: 21287185

47. Joshi N, Rolheiser TM, Fisk JD, McKelvey JR, Scherfler C, et al. (2017) Lateralized microstructural changes in early-stage Parkinson’s disease in anterior olfactory structures, but not in substantia nigra. J Neurol 264: 1497–1505. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-017-8555-3 PMID: 28653210

48. Ding Z, Gore JC, Anderson AW (2005) Reduction of noise in diffusion tensor images using anisotropic smoothing. Magn Reson Med 53: 485–490. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20338 PMID: 15678537
56. Parker GJ, Schnabel JA, Symms MR, Werring DJ, Barker GJ (2000) Nonlinear smoothing for reduction of systematic and random errors in diffusion tensor imaging. J Magn Reson Imaging 11: 702–710. https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2586(200006)11:6<702::AID-JMRI18>3.0.CO;2-A PMID: 10862071

57. Becker SMA, Tabelow K, Mohammadi S, Weiskopf N, Polzehl J (2014) Adaptive smoothing of multi-shell diffusion weighted magnetic resonance data by msPOAS. Neuroimage 95: 90–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.03.053 PMID: 24680711

58. Samsonov AA, Johnson CR (2004) Noise-adaptive nonlinear diffusion filtering of MR images with spatially varying noise levels. Magn Reson Med 52: 798–806. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.20207 PMID: 15389962

59. Mahmood MT, Chu Y-H, Choi Y-K (2016) Rician noise reduction in magnetic resonance images using adaptive non-local mean and guided image filtering. Opt Rev 23: 460–469. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10043-016-0220-z

60. Wiest-Daesslé N, Prima S, Coupé P, Morrissey SP, Barillot C (2007) Non-local means variants for denoising of diffusion-weighted and diffusion tensor MRI. Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv 10: 344–351. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75759-7_42 PMID: 18044587

61. Bao L, Robini M, Liu W, Zhu Y (2013) Structure-adaptive sparse denoising for diffusion-tensor MRI. Med Image Anal 17: 442–457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2013.01.006 PMID: 23541286

62. Lam F, Liu D, Song Z, Schuff N, Liang Z-P (2016) A fast algorithm for denoising magnitude diffusion-weighted images with rank and edge constraints. Magn Reson Med 75: 433–440. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25643 PMID: 25733066

63. Zhang X, Peng J, Xu M, Yang W, Zhang Z, Guo H, et al. (2017) Denoise diffusion-weighted images using higher-order singular value decomposition. Neuroimage 156: 128–145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.04.017 PMID: 28416450

64. Manjon JV, Coupé P, Buades A, Louis Collins D, Robles M (2012) New methods for MRI denoising based on sparseness and self-similarity. Med Image Anal 16: 18–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2011.04.003 PMID: 21570894