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A B S T R A C T

In the dataset presented in this article, sixty sugarcane samples were analyzed by eight visible / near infrared spectrometers including seven micro-spectrometers. There is one file per spectrometer with sample name, wavelength, absorbance data [calculated as $\log_{10}(1/\text{Reflectance})$], and another file for reference data, in order to assess the potential of the micro-spectrometers to predict chemical properties of sugarcane samples and to compare their performance with a LabSpec spectrometer. The Partial Least Square Regression (PLS-R) algorithm was used to build calibration models. This open ac-
Value of the data

- These data can be used to compare the performance of several micro-spectrometers with Labspec spectrometer considered here as reference.
- These data can be used to test new chemometric methods.
- These data can be used for training.
1. Data description

Chemical and NIR spectra measurements were made on 60 sugarcane samples from different plant parts (leaves, stem or whole aerial part). Chemical parameters (total sugar content - TS, crude protein content - CP, acid detergent fiber - ADF, in vitro organic matter digestibility - IVOMD were determined (Table 1). In parallel, reflectance spectra were measured using eight spectrometers (Table 2 and Fig. 1). Absorbance data was calculated as log_{10} (1/Reflectance). To illustrate, PLS-R results are shown for the total sugar content (Table 3) and crude protein content (Table 4).

### Table 1
Summary statistics of chemical properties of sugarcane samples used for calibration.

| Chemical properties                        | Unit | Min  | Max  | Mean  | SD  |
|--------------------------------------------|------|------|------|-------|-----|
| Total sugar content                        | %    | 1.1  | 51.0 | 23.4  | 17.3|
| Crude protein content                      | dry  | 0.9  | 9.6  | 3.1   | 2.1 |
| Acid detergent fiber fraction              | matter | 26.0 | 59.3 | 39.2  | 8.7 |
| In vitro organic matter digestibility      |      | 13.0 | 66.6 | 41.0  | 15.1|

### Table 2
Specific characteristics for NIR spectrometers.

| Device and manufacturer | Spectral range (nm) | Resolution (nm) | Technology | Lighting module | Weight |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--------|
| Labspec 4 (ASD)         | 350–1000            | 3 @ 700 nm      | Silicon array | 1 halogen lamp | 5.44 kg |
|                         | 1001–1800           | 10 @ 2000 nm    | InGaS photodiode array | 2 halogen lamps | 85 g   |
|                         | 1801–2500           | 1400/2100 nm    | InGaS photodiode array | 2 halogen lamps | 85 g   |
| NIRscan Nano (Texas Instrument) | 901–1701           | 10              | 1 photodiode InGaS | 1 xenon tungsten lamp | 1.05 kg |
| F750 (Felix Instrument) | 450–1140            | 8–13            | Diode array | 1 xenon tungsten lamp | 1.05 kg |
| MicroNIR1700 (Viavi)    | 908–1676            | 6               | InGaS photodiode array | 2 tungsten lamps | <60 g   |
| MicroNIR2200 (Viavi)    | 1158–2169           | 8               | 1 photodiode InGaS | 2 tungsten lamps | <60 g   |
| NIRONE 2.2 (Spectral Engines) | 1750–2150         | 20–26           | InGaS photodiode array | 2 tungsten lamps | 15 g     |
| SCIO (Consumer Physics) | 740–1070            | Not communicated | 2 photodiodes | 1 LED lamp | 35 g     |
| TellSpec (TellSpec)     | 900–1700            | 10              | 1 photodiode InGa | 2 halogen lamps | 136 g    |

### Table 3
Cross-validation results for total sugar content (% dry matter, SNV: standard normal variate, Der1: Savitzky–Golay derivative 1, Der2: Savitzky–Golay derivative 2, NORM: normalization, RMSECV: Root Mean Square of Standard Error in Cross-Validation).

| Device                  | Pretreatment | Latent variables | RMSECV | \( R^2_{cv} \) |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------|----------------|
| DLP NIRscan Nano EVM    | SNVDer2      | 3                | 6.2    | 0.864          |
| F750                    | NORM         | 4                | 8.9    | 0.720          |
| LabSpec 4               | SNV          | 8                | 2.6    | 0.976          |
| MicroNIR1700            | SNVDer1      | 5                | 3.8    | 0.949          |
| MicroNIR2200            | SNVDer1      | 8                | 2.8    | 0.972          |
| NIRONE 2.2              | SNVDer2      | 7                | 7.7    | 0.791          |
| Scio                    | SNVDer2      | 4                | 9.4    | 0.687          |
| TellSpec                | Der1         | 5                | 9.3    | 0.692          |
Fig. 1. Sugarcane Absorbance raw spectra collected from the eight devices (for F750 spectra are normalized).
Table 4
Cross-validation results for crude protein content (% dry matter, SNV: standard normal variate, Der1: Savitzky–Golay derivative 1, Der2: Savitzky–Golay derivative 2, NORM: normalization, RMSECV: Root Mean Square of Standard Error in Cross-Validation).

| Device          | Pretreatment | Latent variables | RMSECV | $R^2_{cv}$ |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------|------------|
| DLP NIRscan Nano EVM | SNVDer1      | 8                | 1.2    | 0.656      |
| F750            | NORM         | 3                | 0.7    | 0.874      |
| LabSpec 4       | SNVDer1      | 5                | 0.5    | 0.926      |
| MicroNIR1700    | SNVDer2      | 9                | 0.6    | 0.911      |
| MicroNIR2200    | SNVDer1      | 6                | 0.7    | 0.893      |
| NIRONE 2.2      | SNVDer1      | 8                | 0.6    | 0.900      |
| Scio            | –            | 5                | 0.7    | 0.874      |
| TellSpec        | SNVDer2      | 9                | 1.1    | 0.732      |

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

2.1. Samples and analyses

Sixty samples of sugarcane from different plant parts (leaves, stem or whole aerial part) were selected from Cirad samples collected in the French West Indies (Guadeloupe) for which the chemical data were available.

Before chemical analysis, the samples were dried for 72 h at 85°C, milled with a Retsch® SM100 mill (Retsch GmbH, Germany) with a 1 mm exit sieve and analyzed in the Cirad Selmet feed laboratory (https://umr-selmet.cirad.fr/en/products-and-services/laboratory-specialized-in-animal-feed-resources) to determine TS content, CP content, ADF, and IVOMD.

CP content was estimated from the total nitrogen content (N) measured by the Kjeldahl method [1], with the relationship $CP = N \times 6.25$. Total mineral content (MM, mineral matter or ash) was determined by ashing at 550°C. TS content was determined by the modified Luff-Schoorl method [2]. ADF was determined according to the Van Soest method [3]. IVOMD was measured by an enzymatic method (pepsine cellulose) [4].

3. NIR spectra acquisition

All samples were scanned with following spectrometers: LabSpec 4 (ASD i.e. Analytical Spectral Devices, Boulder, CO, USA), NIRscan Nano (Texas instruments Inc.,Texas, USA), F750 (Felix Instrument (Camas, WA, USA), MicroNIR1700 (Vivai Solution–Milpitas, CA, USA), MicroNIR2200 (Vivai Solution–Milpitas, CA, USA), NIRONE 2.2 (Spectral Engines, Finland), SCIO (Consumer Physics, Tel Aviv, Israel), and TellSpec (Tellspec Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada). Because of the different technologies used, spectral range, and resolution are instrument dependent (Table 2).

All samples used in this study were stored before measurement under the ambient moisture and temperature conditions of the laboratory (sample with +/- 8% of moisture content). Spectral measurements of sample were recorded in reflection (Fig. 1) mode in small circular cups (diameter 50 mm) with a quartz glass cover. A background with Spectralon (99% reflectance) was performed before each spectrum sample acquisition. To limit possible device drift, a measurement of this reference was performed before each sample measurement. For the MicroNIR1700 device, the spectral analysis has been done in triplicate but we only show results for one replicate (set 1).

4. Data analysis

All the calculations were run under Matlab (The Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA). Before data processing, spectral resolution was standardized at 2 nm for all spectrometers by filtering. A PLS-
R algorithm was used to perform model TS content and CP content [5]. The number of latent variables and the best spectra pretreatment were determined by comparing the performance of two fold-group repeated cross-validation (2 random groups of 30 samples selected at random, repeated 50 times) [6]. Model results for TS content and for CP content (table 3 & 4), for the best spectra pretreatment (among SNV, derivatives, normalization) were evaluated on the basis of the coefficient of determination ($R^2_{cv}$) and the root mean square standard error of cross-validation (RMSECV).
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