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1. Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common cause of abnormal vaginal discharge in women of child-bearing age [1, 2]. Although antimicrobial drugs, such as clindamycin and metronidazole, are recommended for the treatment of BV, the use of these drugs is limited due to their side effects such as selecting of antibiotic-resistant pathogenic strains or causing superinfection [3]. Healthy vaginal flora is dominated by Lactobacillus that can lower the vaginal pH and/or produce metabolites such as hydrogen peroxide, lactic acid, and antibacterial molecules, including bacteriocins [4]. Lactobacillus plays a vital role in maintaining vaginal health. Lactobacillus species can act as biomarkers and agents that can promote various aspects of vaginal health [5]. G. vaginalis, a facultative anaerobe, is a leading harmful bacteria in BV [6]. Many studies have been carried out to investigate the dynamics between Lactobacillus and G. vaginalis in vitro and in vivo. The common characteristics of BV patients are the decrease of Lactobacillus and the increase of G. vaginalis in the vagina. L. rhamnosus GR-1 was the first probiotic used as a vaginal microbiota regulating Lactobacillus in 1988 [7]. It was demonstrated that L. crispatus could repress the expression of vly and sld genes in G. vaginalis [8, 9]. Other researchers discovered that Lactobacillus could kill G. vaginalis and inhibit NF-κB activation, G. vaginalis-induced epithelial cell disruption, myeloperoxidase activity, and IL-1β and TNF-α expression in mice [10, 11]. Clinical experiments also indicated that probiotics such as L. rhamnosus GR-1 and L. reuteri RC-14 have a therapeutic effect in reproductive tract infection.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection, Strains, Cell Lines, and Growth Conditions. A total of 10 condensed yogurts were purchased from the shepherds in the Hulun Buir region of China. Then, the yogurts were shipped by cold-chain transportation to Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, for processing. A total of 10 condensed yogurts were purchased from the shepherds in the Hulun Buir region of China. Then, the yogurts were shipped by cold-chain transportation to Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, for further experiments.

We grew Lactobacillus strains in de Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) medium for 16–24 h at 37°C in an anaerobic system (RUSKINN, UK). Gardnerella vaginalis (ATCC49145) was purchased from the Guangdong culture collection center and cultured in brain-heart infusion (BHI) broth supplemented with yeast extract (1%), maltose (0.1%), glucose (0.1%), and horse serum (10%) (BHIS) at 37°C for 24 h under anaerobic conditions. Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922) was grown on Luria-Bertani medium (LB) for 12 h at 37°C. Hela cells, a cervical epithelial cell line, were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Media (DMEM) (HyClone, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, USA) and 1% antibiotics (penicillin and streptomycin) (Gibco, USA) at 37°C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere.

2.2. Isolation and Identification of Lactobacillus Strains. MRS agar and broth were used to streak yogurt samples and enrich for the Lactobacillus strains. Colonies with typical Lactobacillus morphology, white in color and producing a fruity aroma, that were Gram positive were selected and inoculated in MRS broth. Ultimately, isolates were confirmed and identified by genetic analysis using PCR and 16S rDNA sequencing. The genomic DNA of the Lactobacillus strains (from 2 mL of Lactobacillus culture) was extracted using a bacterial DNA isolation kit (Sangon Biotech, China). Universal PCR primers 27F (AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) and 1492R (TACGGC TACCTTGTTACGACTT) were used to amplify the 16S rDNA gene. The PCR protocol was performed with the following thermal cycling parameters: 95°C for 10 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, primer annealing at 60°C for 30 s, elongation at 72°C for 1.5 min, and thermal retardation at 72°C for 10 min. Further, the PCR products were sequenced at the Invitrogen Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China) and subjected to Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Finally, the phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining method with MEGA 7 software using a bootstrap value of 1000. A commercial strain, Lactobacillus delbrueckii DM8909, isolated from Dingjinsheng (live Lactobacillus capsule for vaginal use®, Wanze Shuangqi) was used as the control.

2.3. Antibacterial Tests In Vitro by Agar Spot and Well Diffusion Tests. The antagonistic activity of the Lactobacillus strains against G. vaginalis and E. coli was investigated as previously described [23], with slight modification. In brief, for the spot agar test, a 2 μL aliquot from each Lactobacillus strain suspension (at approximately 1 × 106 CFU/mL) cultured overnight in MRS broth was spotted on the surface of MRS agar containing 1.5% (w/v) agar and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. A 100 μL aliquot of G. vaginalis or E. coli suspension was then mixed with 100 mL of soft BHIS agar or LB agar (0.7% agar) (final viable count of approximately 1 × 106 CFU/mL) and poured over the spot-inoculated MRS agar. The plates were incubated aerobically at 37°C for 48 h. The antagonistic activity was determined by the diameter (mm) of growth inhibition zones around each spot, corrected for the diameter of the spot. Uninoculated MRS agar was used as a negative control.

Generally, the antibacterial constituents produced by Lactibacillus were hydrogen peroxide, organic acids, and bacteriocins [24]. In order to examine the antibacterial mechanism, a well diffusion test was used as previously described [13]. The cultures were centrifuged (8000 × g, 20 min) and sterile filtered (0.22 μm). The supernatants were treated with catalase (300 IU/mL, 37°C, 1 h, Macklin, China) and 1 M NaOH (final pH 6.5), in order to eliminate the effects of organic acid and hydrogen peroxide. These cell-free neutralized supernatants (CFN) were used as a bacteriocin solution and stored for further use. One hundred microliter aliquots of CFN were added into the 6 mm diameter holes on each agar plate. MRS broth was added into holes of the control group. After 2 h, the liquid was volatilized, and the bacteriocins were permeated into the agar; then, 100 μL of G.
vaginalis (1 × 10^6 CFU/mL) was spread evenly on the surface of the agar plates. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h, and the diameters of the inhibition growth zones were measured. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.4. Antibacterial Testing of Untreated Cell-Free Supernatant (CFS) and CFN. To determine the antibacterial activity of the CFS and CFN, G. vaginalis was grown at 37°C for 24 h in BHIS broth. The G. vaginalis culture was diluted with BHIS broth medium by 5% to 5 × 10^6 CFU/mL. Then, 100 μL of G. vaginalis suspension and 100 μL of CFS and CFN were added to the wells of a 96-well microtiter plate in five replicates for each Lactobacillus CFS and CFN. One hundred microliters of BHIS broth and 100 μL of CFS and CFN were added to the wells of a 96-well microtiter plate in three replicates for each Lactobacillus CFS and CFN as blanks. The plates were then incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 24 h. In the control wells, the CFS or CFN was replaced by sterile MRS broth. The optical density (OD) at 595 nm was recorded after incubation using a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific 3001, USA). The growth was calculated as the measured value minus the average blank value.

2.5. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of cefoxitin sodium, ampicillin, kanamycin, gentamycin, erythromycin, tetracycline, polymyxin B, chloramphenicol, nalidixic acid, linezolid, metronidazole, and clindamycin against the selected Lactobacillus strains were determined using a broth microdilution test as previously described [25]. The plates were statically incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Subsequently, the bacterial growth was visually observed, and the minimum inhibitory concentration of each antibiotic was confirmed as the lowest concentration capable of inhibiting visible bacterial growth.

2.6. Detection of the Plantaricin-Related Genes. The presence of plantaricin-related genes was investigated in five Lactobacillus isolates by PCR with specific primers, as previously described [26]. In addition, the entA, gasA, and laf genes were also detected using primers as previously reported [27–29]. All primers were designed based on published sequences and chemically synthesized by Invitrogen Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China) (Supplementary Table S1). The PCR products were sent to Invitrogen Biotechnology Company (Shanghai, China) for sequencing (Supplementary Figure S1–S16). The obtained sequences were compared with known sequences in the NCBI database using the MegAlign software 8(DNASTAR, Inc., Madison, USA). If the similarity index was more than 90%, the strain was positive for bacteriocin. If the similarity index was less than 90% or there were no PCR products, the strain was considered bacteriocin negative.

2.7. Virulence Genes in G. vaginalis Affected by Lactobacillus. To investigate interactions between Lactobacillus and G. vaginalis in Hela cell monolayers, we used a medium simulating genital tract secretions [8]. We studied two conditions for this. First, Hela cells were covered with Lactobacillus before infecting them with G. vaginalis (G. vaginalis infection in Hela with Lac). Second, G. vaginalis was allowed to adhere to Hela cells and then Lactobacillus was added (G. vaginalis infection in Hela treated with Lac). For the first condition, a Lactobacillus suspension, adjusted to 1 × 10^6 CFU/mL, was added to a monolayer of Hela cells for 3 h. Afterwards, the Hela cells were washed with PBS twice. Then, a G. vaginalis suspension, adjusted to the same concentration, was added to Hela cell monolayers pretreated with Lactobacillus for 3 h. Next, the monolayer was washed with PBS three times. The G. vaginalis that adhered to Hela cells after 3 h served as a control. For the second condition, a G. vaginalis suspension was added to a monolayer of Hela cells for 3 h and then washed twice with PBS. The Lactobacillus suspension was then added to the Hela cells infected with G. vaginalis for 3 h and then washed with PBS three times. The G. vaginalis that adhered to Hela cells after 3 h served as a control. Total RNA from these two conditions was extracted using TRIzol (Beyotime, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration and purity were determined with a Microplate Reader (BioTek, USA). Finally, the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (TOYOBO, Japan) was used to reverse transcribe 2 μg of total RNA into cDNA.

Using qPCR, we examined the effect of Lactobacillus on the expression levels of three target genes (HMPREF0424_1122, HMPREF0424_0156, and HMPREF0424_0354) involved in antimicrobial resistance in G. vaginalis [30]. The cDNA was amplified by real-time quantitative PCR using SYBR Green Realtime PCR Master Mix (TOYOBO, Japan) on a StepOnePlus system (Applied Biosystems, USA) with primers listed in Supplementary Table S1. The real-time PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 60°C for 15 s, and extension and fluorescent data collection at 72°C for 45 s. For melting curve analysis, the temperature was decreased from 95°C to 65°C at a rate of 0.1°C/s with continuous acquisition of the fluorescence signal intensity. Data were analyzed using Applied Biosystems software, and differences in mRNA expression levels were calculated after normalizing to the 16S rRNA level. Results are expressed as the fold change relative to that in the control group based on 2^–ΔΔCt value analysis [31].

2.8. Statistical Analysis. Experimental results were analyzed for statistical significance using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad, San Diego, USA). Independent Student t-test analysis was performed. The statistical significance level was defined as P < 0.05. All the results were expressed as the mean ± SD.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation and Identification of Lactobacillus Strains. One hundred and five bacillus-shaped and Gram stain positive colonies were selected from ten yogurt samples and were then authenticated by 16S rDNA gene sequencing. The 37 strains identified as Lactobacillus were selected for antibacterial tests against G. vaginalis in vitro by agar spot testing. Finally, four strains named ZX1, ZX2, ZX27, and ZX69 were selected for further study. Phylogenetic analysis of ZX1, ZX2, ZX27, and ZX69 showed high homology with L. plantarum (Figure 1).
3.2. Antibacterial Properties Determined In Vitro by Agar Spot and Well Diffusion Tests. The four new Lactobacillus strains isolated from old yogurts and a commercial strain DM8909 were screened for their antimicrobial activity by agar spot and well diffusion tests (Table 1). In the agar spot assay, the growth inhibition zone diameters with ZX1, ZX2, ZX27, and ZX69 were ≥10 mm for G. vaginalis and ≥20 mm for E. coli. The zones with DM8909 were 3.64 mm for G. vaginalis and 14.50 mm for E. coli, which were much lower values than those obtained with the four newly isolated Lactobacillus strains. Similarly, in a well diffusion test, the CFN of the four isolated Lactobacillus strains had inhibitory activity against G. vaginalis with growth inhibition zone diameters varying from 1.46 to 3.02 mm. The CFN of DM8909 did not inhibit the growth of G. vaginalis. The most robust antagonistic activities for G. vaginalis in the agar spot and well diffusion assays were displayed by L. plantarum ZX27.

3.3. Antibacterial Testing of CFS and CFN against G. vaginalis. The CFS and CFN of the five Lactobacillus showed strong significant inhibitory effects (Figure 2) on the growth of G. vaginalis (P < 0.001). There was no significant difference in the potency of the inhibitory effect between the CFS from each of the five samples (P > 0.05). However, after neutralizing the supernatant acidity and hydrogen peroxide, the antimicrobial effect was significantly reduced (P < 0.01) compared with the respective CFS except for DM8909, which still showed a significant inhibition (P < 0.001) of G. vaginalis growth. The antimicrobial effect of CFN of DM8909 was significantly enhanced (P < 0.01) compared with the CFS, which was opposite to other four tested strains, indicating that acidity and hydrogen peroxide were not the main antimicrobial elements of the CFS from this strain against G. vaginalis.

3.4. Antibiotic Resistance. The studied Lactobacilli strains did not show resistance to erythromycin, linezolid, or clindamycin. Four out of the five strains were resistant to kanamycin and tetracycline (L. plantarum ZX1, ZX2, ZX27, and ZX69) and DM8909 was sensitive to kanamycin and tetracycline. Two out of the five strains were resistant to ampicillin (L. plantarum ZX27, ZX69) and chloramphenicol (L. plantarum ZX2, ZX27). All of the strains were resistant to cefoxitin sodium, gentamicin, polymyxin B, nalidixic acid, and metronidazole (Table 2). Overall, the resistance profiles to antibiotics varied among the Lactobacillus strains. Resistance was determined according to the cut-offs recommended by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA, 2012) [25].

3.5. Sequencing of the Bacteriocin Gene. In an attempt to determine whether the selected strains carried genes for the production of known plantaricins and other common bacteriocins, PCR analysis using primers specific for individual bacteriocin genes was used. All five strains were tested positive for plnA, plnB, plnC, plnD, plnEF, plnI, plnJ, plnK, and plnN, suggesting that they could produce all the plantaricin peptides described in strain C11 [32]. None of the strains were positive for the plantaricins NC8, S, and W. In addition, none of the strains were positive for genes encoding entA, gasA, and laf, which are genes that are frequently found in Enterococcus faecalis, Lactobacillus casei, and/or lactic acid bacteria (Table 3).

3.6. Virulence Genes in G. vaginalis Are Affected by Lactobacillus. We used qPCR to evaluate and compare the effects on G. vaginalis cells after exposure to two Lactobacillus strains for 3 h. Expression levels of three genes previously shown to be involved in antimicrobial resistance in G. vaginalis were compared to those in control untreated cells prepared under the same conditions without Lactobacillus.
Regardless of the conditions of Lactobacillus treatment, significant increases in the expression of HMPREF0424_0156 and HMPREF0424_1122 were observed in adherent G. vaginalis cells in the presence of Lactobacillus. Further, the effects of different Lactobacillus strains were variable for different genes. When G. vaginalis infected Hela cells pretreated with Lactobacillus, HMPREF0424_0156, which encodes bacitracin transport ATP-binding protein BcrA, was significantly upregulated by DM8909 (fourfold) and by ZX27 (20-fold) (Figure 3(a)). HMPREF0424_1122, which encodes a multidrug-resistance ABC transporter, was also significantly upregulated by DM8909 (14-fold) and by ZX27 (13-fold). When G. vaginalis had already adhered to Hela cells, Lactobacillus also upregulated HMPREF0424_0156 by 30-fold (DM8909) and 5-fold (ZX27) (Figure 3(b)). Meanwhile, HMPREF0424_1122 was increased by 10-fold (DM8909) and four-fold (ZX27).

4. Discussion

BV is one of the most common diseases in women of childbearing age and is typically associated with the presence of the pathogenic bacteria, Gardnerella vaginalis. The female genital tract is dominated by Lactobacillus spp. in approximately 70% of women [5]. Lactobacillus species play an essential role in maintaining the ecosystem of the vagina [33–35]. Lactobacillus has proven to be efficient in treating BV by producing organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, bacteriocins, and adhesion inhibitors [34].

The Lactobacillus sp. used in this study was L. plantarum ZX1, ZX2, ZX27, and ZX69 and L. delbrueckii DM8909 (control strain). Four strains (L. plantarum ZX1, ZX2, ZX27, and ZX69) were isolated from yogurt samples and were identified as L. plantarum based on 16S sequencing. The five tested Lactobacillus strains displayed the ability to inhibit the pathogenic bacteria G. vaginalis and E. coli. The antibacterial effects of L. delbrueckii DM8909 were weaker than the four new isolated strains in both agar spot and well diffusion tests, determined by the size of the zone of inhibition. In terms of DM8909, the inhibition diameter of CFN was <1 mm and the inhibition diameter in the agar spot test was 3.67 mm, both of which were much lower than the diameters of inhibition created by the four isolated Lactobacillus strains. Our findings were consistent with Wang et al.’s research [36], who reported that L. plantarum isolated from Tibetan yaks could strongly inhibit the growth of E. coli and S. aureus. Andreeva et al. [37] isolated a Lactobacillus sp. strain VLb3 from healthy Bulgarian women, which had a diameter of 12 ± 1 mm in inhibition against G. vaginalis ATCC14018 by its CFS and CFN using well diffusion tests. In a study [38], three strains of Lactobacillus isolated from cocoa fermentation had an activity against G. vaginalis ATCC 49154 using the agar diffusion technique and the supernatant halos of L. plantarum 6.2 and L. planatarum 7.1 were 12 and 11 mm, respectively. Another research showed that the CFS of some LAB had an inhibitory effect on the growth of G. vaginalis BCRC 17040 (inhibition diameter was about 2-3 mm) [39]. In above three research, the inhibition zone against G. vaginalis was about 3 mm after subtracting the well diameter, and the result in our study was 1.46 to 3.02 mm. The results were abnormal for E. coli. The CFN of ZX1, ZX27, and

![Figure 2: Gardnerella vaginalis growth in the presence of untreated cell-free supernatant (CFS) and cell-free neutralized supernatant (CFN). Control: G. vaginalis growth in BHIS broth added in 100 μL sterile MRS broth. ***P < 0.001 compared with G. vaginalis growth in BHIS broth as the control.](image)

Table 1: Antimicrobial activity of Lactobacillus isolates determined by agar spot and well diffusion tests.

| Strain   | G. vaginalis ATCC49145 | E. coli ATCC25922 |
|----------|------------------------|------------------|
|          | Spot ager              | Well diffusion of CFN | Spot ager | Well diffusion of CFN |
| ZX1      | 10.67 ± 0.27           | 2.94 ± 0.32      | 22.37 ± 1.65 | <1(±0.00)             |
| ZX2      | 10.00 ± 1.41           | 1.56 ± 0.13      | 25.83 ± 1.66 | 1.38 ± 0.1             |
| ZX27     | 13.67 ± 1.70           | 3.02 ± 0.43      | 27.77 ± 2.49 | <1(±0.00)             |
| ZX69     | 10.67 ± 0.94           | 1.46 ± 0.22      | 20.77 ± 0.56 | 2.52 ± 0.65            |
| DM8909   | 3.67 ± 0.47            | <1(±0.00)        | 14.50 ± 1.50 | <1(±0.00)             |

Table 2: MIC of different antibiotics against the Lactobacillus stains.

| Antibiotics       | ZX1 | ZX2 | ZX27 | ZX69 | DM8909 |
|-------------------|-----|-----|------|------|--------|
| Cefoxitin sodium  | >512| >512| >512 | >512 | >512   |
| Ampicillin        | 32  | 32  | 64   | 128  | 32     |
| Kanamycin         | >512| >512| >512 | >512 | 32     |
| Gentamycin        | >512| 512 | >512 | >512 | 512    |
| Erythromycin      | 0.25| 1   | 0.5  | <0.25| <0.25  |
| Tetracycline      | 256 | 256 | 512  | 128-256 | 4-8    |
| Polymyxin B       | >512| 512 | >512 | 512  | 128    |
| Chloramphenicol   | 16  | 64  | 64   | 16-32 | 8      |
| Nalidixic acid    | >512| >512| >512 | >512 | >512   |
| Linezolid         | 4   | 8   | 16   | 32-64| 4      |
| Metronidazole     | >512| >512| >512 | >512 | >512   |
| Clindamycin       | 0.5 | 0.5 | <0.25| <0.25| 0.5    |

Among the three genes, HMPREF0424_0354 was not detected. Regardless of the conditions of Lactobacillus treatment, significant increases in the expression of HMPREF0424_0156 and HMPREF0424_1122 were observed in adherent G. vaginalis cells in the presence of Lactobacillus. Further, the effects of different Lactobacillus strains were variable for different genes. When G. vaginalis infected Hela cells pretreated with Lactobacillus, HMPREF0424_0156, which encodes bacitracin transport ATP-binding protein BcrA, was significantly upregulated by DM8909 (fourfold) and by ZX27 (20-fold) (Figure 3(a)). HMPREF0424_1122, which encodes a multidrug-resistance ABC transporter, was also significantly upregulated by DM8909 (14-fold) and by ZX27 (13-fold). When G. vaginalis had already adhered to Hela cells, Lactobacillus also upregulated HMPREF0424_0156 by 30-fold (DM8909) and 5-fold (ZX27) (Figure 3(b)). Meanwhile, HMPREF0424_1122 was increased by 10-fold (DM8909) and four-fold (ZX27).
DM8909 had no bacteriostatic activity. We thus speculated that the antibacterial properties of CFN from *Lactobacillus* have different antibacterial spectra. The tested *Lactobacillus* strains caused a significant reduction in the microbial growth of *G. vaginalis* in BHIS broth, as determined by a change in the OD at 595 nm. Jeong et al. also found that *Lactobacillus kefir* DD2, isolated from kefir, can inhibit the growth of *S. mutans* and *S. sobrinus* using the same methods [31]. At the same time, there was no significant difference between the CFS from the different *Lactobacillus* species regardless of their metabolic pattern. The facultative heterofermentative organism *L. plantarum* and the strictly homofermentative organism *L. delbrueckii* had similar antimicrobial effects (Figure 2). To determine the effect of bacteriocins produced by the tested *Lactobacillus* strains, the CFS was treated with NaOH and catalase to neutralize the pH and hydrogen peroxide [13, 23]. It was observed that the neutralized CFS

### Table 3: PCR-based detection of plantaricin genes and other bacteriocinogenic genes in *L. plantarum* strains and *L. delbrueckii* DM8909.

| Bacteriocinogenic genes | Strains | ZX1 | ZX2 | ZX27 | ZX69 | DM8909 |
|-------------------------|---------|-----|-----|------|------|--------|
| **plnA**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnB**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnC**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnD**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnEF**               |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnI**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnJ**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnG**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| **plnN**                |         | ++  | +   | +    | +    | +      |
| Plantaricin NC8 structural gene |     | -   | -   | -    | -    | -      |
| Plantaricin S structural gene |     | -   | -   | -    | -    | -      |
| Plantaricin W structural gene |     | -   | -   | -    | -    | -      |
| entA                    |         | -   | -   | -    | -    | -      |
| gasA                    |         | -   | -   | -    | -    | -      |
| laf                      |         | -   | -   | -    | -    | -      |

**Figure 3**: Alterations in gene expression profiles associated with exposure of *Lactobacillus*, in (a) *G. vaginalis* infection in Hela with Lac and (b) *G. vaginalis* infection in Hela treated with Lac in each panel; fold change refers to the mean levels of gene expression across replicates calculated using the $\Delta\Delta$Ct method relative to levels in the untreated control. Fold change $= 2^{-\Delta\Delta\text{Ct}}$. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in the expression of each gene between treated samples and controls, as analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Dunnett’s posttesting for multiple testing (*P* ≤ 0.05). Error bars indicate standard deviation.
(CFN) caused a lower reduction in microbial growth than CFS, but CFN still showed a significant reduction in *G. vaginalis* growth when compared to the control. Wasfi et al. found that when CFS was treated to pH = 7, the ability of all 4 *Lactobacillus* inhibiting the growth of *Streptococcus mutans* is significantly declining (P < 0.01) [40]. Our results of the four *L. plantarum* are consistent with the results. DM8909 CFN had more significant growth inhibition than the CFS, suggesting that the main antimicrobial elements produced by DM8909 against *G. vaginalis* were bacteriocins. We assumed that the bacteriocins of DM8909 contribute to its antimicrobial effect at pH 6.5. Organic acids or hydrogen peroxide produced by the four new *L. plantarum* isolates ZX1, ZX2, ZX27, and ZX69 have antimicrobial effects on *G. vaginalis*. In the well diffusion assay, the inhibition diameter of DM8909 was less than 1 mm, indicating that the CFN of DM8909 had no inhibition effects. Nevertheless, 100 μL of this CFN inhibited the growth of *G. vaginalis* directly. One possibility for this discrepancy is that the inhibitory component of the DM8909 CFN could not diffuse in the agar plate.

For the four strains to be considered potential probiotics, they must be safe for human consumption. *L. plantarum* ZX27 and ZX69 were resistant to ampicillin, and *L. plantarum* ZX2 and ZX27 were resistant to chloramphenicol. All of the strains were resistant to cefoxitin sodium, gentamicin, polymyxin B, nalidixic acid, and metronidazole. The nature of this resistance warrants further studies before any of the strains can be considered safe for human use. In another study, three *L. fermentum*, which have anti-*G. vaginalis* activity, were sensitive to ampicillin and chloramphenicol [38]. Generally, *Lactobacillus* are safe probiotics, but some safety tests should be performed before using *Lactobacillus* in a clinical setting. In our study, the five *Lactobacillus* strains were resistant to the tested antibiotics.

All the CFN of the five strains have antimicrobial activities against *G. vaginalis*, indicating that the five strains can produce bacteriocins. Interestingly, the five strains in our study were positive for the genes *plnA*, *plnB*, *plnC*, *plnD*, *plnEF*, *plnI*, *plnJ*, *plnK*, *plnG*, and *plnN*, but the inhibitory effects of different strains were different between the five strains. The phenomenon suggested that the antibacterial effects were strain specific. This may be because the gene expression patterns or bacteriocin secretion between these strains are different in the same culture conditions. Omar et al. isolated *L. plantarum* from ben saalga; however, they found only one strain (5.2.2) that possessed all the plantaricin genes, besides the *plnB* gene [26]. This is the first report that *L. delbrueckii* DM8909 has plantaricin genes. *L. delbrueckii* is the subspecies of *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and is used in dairy fermentation broadly. It was unusual that *L. bulgaricus* possessed the *pln* locus responsible for bacteriocin biosynthesis in *L. plantarum* C11, because the *pln* locus encoded plantaricins in *L. plantarum* [17, 32]. The reported *Lactobacillus* which have been found to harbor *pln* genes were all *L. plantarum* (C11, NC8, WCFS1, J23, and J51) [41]. The result was consistent with the phylogenetic analysis in which the *L. delbrueckii* DM8909 was close to *L. plantarum* in the evolutionary system. Meanwhile, the CFN of DM8909, which contains bacteriocin, can inhibit *G. vaginalis* growth in BHIS broth directly.

Using an *in vitro* model, we tested gene expression in *G. vaginalis* after exposure to *Lactobacillus*. Remarkably, precoating the Hela monolayer with *Lactobacillus* or treating the cells with *Lactobacillus* after *G. vaginalis* had already adhered and enhanced the expression of the HMPREF0424_0156 and HMPREF0424_1122 transcripts. However, the degree of upregulation with the two *Lactobacillus* strains was different. In the precoating model, DM8909 upregulated HMPREF0424_0156 transcripts fourfold, whereas ZX27 increased the expression by 20-fold (P < 0.05). In the second (treatment) model, DM8909 upregulated HMPREF0424_0156 and HMPREF0424_1122 to a greater extent than ZX27 (P < 0.05). This result reminds us that *Lactobacillus* will affect the gene expression in *G. vaginalis* and that different strains will have diverse regulatory effects.

## 5. Conclusion

The results of this study showed that the four new *L. plantarum* isolates could inhibit the growth of *G. vaginalis*, a causative agent of BV. The four new *L. plantarum* isolates and the commercial strain *L. delbrueckii* DM8909 were positive for genes related to plantaricins, which have antimicrobial activity. Our findings support that these *L. plantarum* strains could be used as probiotics for treating the BV disease [42, 43]. *Lactobacillus* can upregulate the transcription levels of antimicrobial resistance genes in *G. vaginalis*. We speculated that the possible mechanism underlying this phenomenon is that bacteriocins produced by *Lactobacillus* induce altered gene transcription in *G. vaginalis*. Further studies, including survival rate in vaginal conditions and adhesion assay to VK2/E6E7 or Hela cells, should be conducted, as well as *in vivo* studies, to verify the potential health benefits of the new *L. plantarum* strains.
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