Carrier Flotation of Low-Rank Coal with Polystyrene
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Abstract: The problem of low-rank coal flotation continues to be a challenge due to the poor hydrophobicity and abundant oxygenated functional groups on particle surfaces. In this study, carrier flotation was used to improve the flotation performance of low-rank coal with polystyrene as a carrier material. Kerosene was used as a collector and played a role in the adhesion of fine low-rank coal to polystyrene due to its hydrophobic properties. The carrier feature of polystyrene was demonstrated by Turbiscan Lab Expert stability analysis and scanning electron microscopy analysis. The flotation experiments revealed that the optimum conditions were: collector dosage 5000 g/t, pulp concentration 40 g/L, and the ratio of low-rank coal to polystyrene 100:10. Under these conditions, the combustible recovery by carrier flotation was obtained as 70.59% when the ash content was 12.32%, which increased by 25.68 points compared with the combustible recovery of conventional flotation under almost the same ash content. The fine coal particles coated the coarse polystyrene particles through hydrophobic interactions between the polystyrene and hydrocarbon chains of the kerosene adsorbed on coal particles. The results suggested that the flotation performance of low-rank coal was significantly improved by carrier flotation with polystyrene, especially for fine particles.
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1. Introduction

Froth flotation is an efficient method for the upgrading of fine coals of less than 0.5 mm [1–3]. However, low-rank coals are very difficult to float with ordinary collectors (diesel oil, kerosene, etc.) due to abundant oxygenated functional groups on their surfaces, such as hydroxyl and carboxyl groups, which hinder the adsorption between low-rank coal particles and collectors [4,5]. Numerous researchers have contributed to exploit new collectors [6–10] and particle surface treatments (ultrasonic, grinding, heat treatment and microwave, etc.) [11–14] for improving the flotation performance of low-rank coals. However, the problem of low efficiency in low-rank coal flotation has not been resolved.

Carrier flotation has been tested in a variety of ores, and proven to be beneficial for improving flotation performances [15–18]. In this technique, the particles which will be floated coat the carrier materials, and all of them are floated together [19]. The increase of particle size by carrier flotation will improve the contact frequency and attachment probability between fine particles and air bubbles. Polystyrene is an aromatic polymer synthesized from styrene monomers of \((C_8H_8)_n\). Polystyrene is hydrophobic because of the long hydrocarbon chains at the carbon center of the phenyl group [20,21], and polystyrene particles have a large specific surface area due to long-term weathering [22,23]. Therefore, the polystyrene was usually used for carrier flotation. Zhang et al. [24] showed a maximum recovery of 95.69% in scheelite carrier flotation by using polystyrene particle as the carrier materials. However, the carrier flotation of polystyrene in low-rank coal was seldom studied.

In this study, the carrier flotation was used to improve flotation performance of low-rank coal with polystyrene as the carrier material. The flotation performances between conventional flotation and
carrier flotation were compared when kerosene was used as a collector. Turbiscan Lab Expert stability and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyses were applied to analyze particle aggregation.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The representative long flame coal pulp sample was collected from Shanxi province, China, and the +0.2 mm particles were removed from the pulp by the wet screening. After being filtered and dried at 60 °C, the coal sample of –0.2 mm particles was stored in a container and sealed for further analysis and experiments. The size distribution of the coal sample was analyzed by sieving analysis, and the mineral composition of the coal sample was identified by XRD (Brook D8 Advance, Bruker, Karlsruhe, German). Polystyrene particles (0.3–0.5 mm) with a purity of 91%–94% were provided by Taita Chemical Co., Ltd., Suzhou, China. Kerosene (purity ≥ 99%) was used as collector and octanol (purity ≥ 99%) was used as frother.

The coal sample was screened to 0.20–0.125, 0.125–0.074, 0.074–0.045, and –0.045 mm fractions. The results are presented in Table 1, which shows that the yield of –0.045 mm fine particles reached almost 50% with the highest ash content of 37.57%. The high content of fine particles made conventional flotation difficult.

| Size Fraction (mm) | Yield (%) | Ash (%) | Cumulative Yield on Screen (%) | Cumulative Ash (%) |
|--------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| 0.2–0.125          | 10.58     | 11.28   | 10.58                          | 11.28              |
| 0.125–0.074        | 25.10     | 14.52   | 35.68                          | 13.56              |
| 0.074–0.045        | 14.67     | 22.99   | 50.35                          | 16.31              |
| –0.045             | 49.65     | 37.57   | 100.00                         | 26.87              |
| Total              | 100.00    | 26.87   |                                |                    |

The results are presented in Table 1, which shows that the yield of –0.045 mm fine particles reached almost 50% with the highest ash content of 37.57%. The high content of fine particles made conventional flotation difficult.

Figure 1a,b show the XRD spectrums of the polystyrene particles and the coal sample. Only one broad diffuse peak appeared at 2θ = 20° in the XRD spectrum of polystyrene (Figure 1a), indicating the high purity of the polystyrene sample. However, the low-rank coal of –0.2 mm included some impurities such as kaolinite, montmorillonite, quartz, and calcite. As known from literature, kaolinite and montmorillonite minerals could cause slime coating and hence affect flotation performance [25,26].

Figure 1a,b show the XRD spectrums of the polystyrene particles and the coal sample. Only one broad diffuse peak appeared at 2θ = 20° in the XRD spectrum of polystyrene (Figure 1a), indicating the high purity of the polystyrene sample. However, the low-rank coal of –0.2 mm included some impurities such as kaolinite, montmorillonite, quartz, and calcite. As known from literature, kaolinite and montmorillonite minerals could cause slime coating and hence affect flotation performance [25,26].

2.2. Contact Angle Measurements

The contact angle is used to describe the wettability of solid-liquid surface and was measured by sessile drop method [27]. Polystyrene particles were prepared into gelatin films for contact angle
measurements [28,29]. Polystyrene (20 g) was dissolved in toluene (100 mL) at 25 °C for 180 min. The solution was painted into clean glass slides evenly and dried 24 h at 25 °C [30]. The low-rank coal powder of –0.045 mm (0.4 g) was pressed into round piece, and the contact angle values of polystyrene film and coal were measured by a HARKE-SPACE Contact Angle equipment (Ha Ke Test Instrument Factory, Beijing, China). The measurement range of contact Angle was from 0 to 180°, and the measurement accuracy was ±0.1°. The contact angles were measured three times and averaged value was presented. The contact angle of the polystyrene film was determined as 83.4°, and the contact angle of low-rank coal (–0.045 mm) was 37.2°.

2.3. Flotation Experiments

Flotation experiments were carried out in a 500 mL flotation cell at an impeller speed of 2100 rpm. In each test, the predetermined amounts of polystyrene, coal, and deionized water (electrical conductivity <5 µs/cm) obtained from an ultrapure water machine (UPW-R30, Inesa Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) were added to the flotation cell to form a 500 mL uniform pulp which was agitated for 1 min, then kerosene was added to the flotation cell by a microinjector, and the pulp was agitated for 2 min. Octanol (600 g/t) was finally added, and an additional 1 min agitation was proceeded. After the above-mentioned steps, the flotation cell was aerated, and the airflow rate was controlled by a flowmeter. The particles were floated for 5 min with an airflow rate of 0.63 cm/s. After the flotation process, the polystyrene particles in float and sink products were separated from coal particles by 0.25 mm sieving, and the combustible recovery and ash content were calculated after removing polystyrene particles. The flotation experiments were conducted three times, and the average value and standard deviations were reported. The flotation performance was evaluated by combustible recovery of concentrate and flotation efficiency using the following equation. The combustible recovery ε and flotation efficiency η are defined as [31]:

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{M_C(100 - A_C)}{M_F(100 - A_F)} \\
\eta = \frac{M_c \times (A_F - A_C)}{M_F \times A_F \times (100 - A_F)} \times 100\% 
\]

where, ε—the combustible recovery of concentrate, %; η—the flotation efficiency index, %; \(M_C\)—the mass of concentrate, kg; \(M_F\)—the mass of feed, kg; \(A_C\)—the ash content of concentrate, %; and \(A_F\)—the ash content of feed, %.

2.4. Aggregation Analysis

The particle aggregation performances in the pulp before the flotation was investigated using a Turbiscan Lab Expert (Formulaction, Toulouse, France), which could measure the stability of opaque and concentrated suspensions without affecting it. The Turbiscan includes a transmission light detector and a backfired light detector [32]. The transmitted light detector receives the transmitted light passing through the sample, while the backfired light detector receives the reflected backscattered light [33]. This measurement is based on a change in droplet volume fraction (migration) or average size (merge), which results in a change in backscattering (BS) and transmission (T) signals [34]. By using the BS and T profiles, the pulp destabilization can be analyzed [35]. The relationship between BS value and photon transmission mean free path \(P\), volume fraction of particles \(\emptyset\), and mean particle diameter \(d\) is shown in Equation (3) [32]:

\[
BS = \frac{1}{\sqrt{P}} = \sqrt{\frac{3\emptyset(1 - g)Q_S}{2d}} 
\]

where, \(g\) is the asymmetric factor, \(Q_S\) is the extinction section divided by the geometrical cross-section, which was given by the Mie theory. It can be seen from the Equation (1), the BS value is inversely proportional to the square root of particle mean diameter \(d\).
The turbiscan stability index (TSI) value could evaluate the stability of a sample by measuring light intensity variations [36]. The TSI can be expressed by:

\[
TSI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{\text{scan}_i(h)} - h_{\text{scan}_{i-1}(h)}}{H}
\]

(4)

where, \(H\) is the total height of the sample, \(h\) is the scanning point height, \(h_{\text{scan}_i(h)}\) and \(h_{\text{scan}_{i-1}(h)}\) are the light intensity values measured at \(i\) and \(i-1\) times, respectively.

After kerosene was added into the pulp and agitated for 2 min as shown in Section 2.3, an 8 mL sample was pipetted from the pulp (500 mL). Then, the sample was transferred to a transparent glass bottle (height 55 mm, volume 30 mL) for aggregation analyses.

2.5. SEM Analysis

The aggregates in the carrier flotation were observed by using a JEM-7800F scanning electron microscope (SEM, Jeol, Tokyo, Japan). The samples were prepared by dropping suspensions on a sample carrier, followed by drying naturally and then gold coating. The detailed operating parameters were: accelerating voltage 15.00 kV, working distance 10.20 mm and pressure \(-5 \times 10^{-4}\) Pa.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Carrier Flotation Experiments

3.1.1. Effect of Kerosene Dosage on Flotation Performance

Kerosene dosage has an important influence on coal flotation, especially for low-rank coal. Figure 2 shows the flotation indices as a function of kerosene dosage with polystyrene as the carrier material under the following flotation conditions: pulp concentration 40 g/L and the mass ratio of coal to polystyrene 100:10. As seen from Figure 2, the flotation recovery increased from 37.93% to 69.60% when kerosene dosage increased from 1000 to 5000 g/t. Additionally, the ash of clean coal decreased from 17.10% to 12.25%. A further increase in kerosene dosage up to 6000 g/t resulted in a notable increase in ash content, but a minor increase in recovery. The flotation efficiency shows the same trend as the flotation recovery, and the maximum \(\eta\) value (51.95%) was obtained at 5000 g/t kerosene. This optimum kerosene dosage was used at the following flotation experiments.

![Figure 2. Effect of kerosene dosage on flotation indices.](image-url)
3.1.2. Effect of Pulp Concentration on Flotation Performance

Figure 3 shows the effect of pulp concentration on flotation indices when the kerosene dosage was fixed at 5000 g/t and the mass ratio of coal to polystyrene was 100:10. Both the recovery and ash of clean coal increased with the increase of pulp concentration, and the maximum $\eta$ value (52.72%) was obtained when the pulp concentration was 40 g/L. Although the increasing pulp concentration is beneficial to improve the flotation recovery, the ash content in the concentrate also significantly increased. There, the optimum pulp concentration was chosen as 40 g/L.

![Figure 3. Effect of pulp concentration on flotation indices.](image)

3.1.3. Effect of the Mass Ratio of Coal to Polystyrene on Flotation Performance

Figure 4 shows the effect of the mass ratio of coal to polystyrene on flotation indices at 5000 g/t collector dosage and 40 g/L pulp concentration. The flotation efficiency index increased with the increase of polystyrene ratio, reaching the maximum value (52.43%) at the ratio of 100:10. A further increase of polystyrene resulted in a decreased flotation efficiency, which may be due to the increased adsorption of high-ash coal by excessive polystyrene particles. The concentrate recovery by conventional flotation were only 44.19% with an ash content of 12.72% when the carrier materials were not added. And, the flotation efficiency index was 31.93%. Compared to the results at the ratio of 100:10, the recovery increased 26.40%, the ash decreased 0.40%, and the flotation efficiency index increased 20.50%. The addition of carrier can promote fine coal flotation.

![Figure 4. Effect of mass ratio of coal to polystyrene on flotation indices.](image)
3.1.4. Carrier Flotation Performance of Coal in Difference Size Fractions

To further confirm the flotation performance of coal in different size fractions with and without polystyrene particles as carrier materials, the ~0.2 mm coal sample was sieved into four size fractions (0.2–0.125 mm, 0.125–0.074 mm, 0.074–0.045 mm, and ~0.045 mm). Figure 5 shows the flotation recovery and ash content of clean coal in different size fractions by the carrier flotation and conventional flotation (no polystyrene particles added). Compared with conventional flotation, the combustible recovery of clean coal for all the four size fractions were increased when polystyrene was added as carrier materials. Especially for the ~0.045 mm fraction, the recovery of carrier flotation increased by 11.55 points compared with that of conventional flotation without polystyrene particles, which was due to the adhesion between coarse carrier particles and fine coal [19].

![Image of flotation performance](image-url)

**Figure 5.** Flotation performance of coal in different size fractions with and without adding polystyrene particles.

The polystyrene particles in clean coal and tailings were separated from coal particles by sieving with a 0.25 mm screen, and the flotation recovery of polystyrene particles was calculated (Table 2). The recovery of polystyrene particles added to different coal fractions were over 98%, indicating that almost all the polystyrene particles were floated with clean coal and recovery by flotation.

| Size Fraction of Coal (mm) | Recovery of Polystyrene Particles (%) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 0.2–0.125                  | 98.58                                 |
| 0.125–0.074                | 99.10                                 |
| 0.074–0.045                | 98.67                                 |
| ~0.045                     | 98.65                                 |

3.2. The Variation of BS Value with and without Polystyrene Particles

Figure 6 shows the ΔBS profiles of the coal slurry as a function of settling time with and without polystyrene particles. The sample bottle could be divided into three areas: the top 35–40 mm, the middle 35–5 mm, and the bottom 5–0 mm. The migration of particles from the top to the bottom of the sample leads to a decrease in the concentration at the top of the sample, resulting in an increase in the variation of BS (positive peak) [32] at the top area of the sample. When polystyrene was added to the sample, the ΔBS values had a further increase, which may be due to the particle aggregation between polystyrene and fine coal particles. Figure 7 shows the TSI variation of the coal slurry as a function of setting time with and without polystyrene particles. The TSI value was calculated by the turbiscan software (Formulaction, Toulouse, France), and higher TSI value indicates the more unstable of the
system. It can be seen from Figure 7 that the TSI value was increased when polystyrene was added, indicating the coal system became more unstable when polystyrene was added.

**Figure 6.** ABS (backscattering) profiles of the coal slurry as a function of settling time with and without polystyrene particles. (a) with polystyrene particles; (b) without polystyrene particles.

**Figure 7.** TSI variation of the coal slurry as a function of settling time with and without polystyrene particles.

### 3.3. SEM Analysis

The SEM analyses with and without polystyrene were performed, and the results are shown in Figure 8. When polystyrene particles were added, many fine coal particles covered on the surfaces of coarse polystyrene particles as seen in Figure 8c,d, which exactly suggested that the carrier flotation occurred. This covering of coal on coarse polystyrene particles increased the coal particle size during flotation thereby made coal particles easier to attach themselves to bubbles. As expected, the flotation recovery of coal significantly increased with the increasing size of aggregates. However, it should be noted that most of the coal particles covered on the edges of the polystyrene particles.
4. Conclusions

(1) The flotation of fine coal particles was enhanced by adding coarse polystyrene particles as carriers. Under the optimized flotation conditions, the combustible recovery was 70.59% when the ash content was 12.72% by the carrier flotation, while the conventional flotation recovery without polystyrene was only 44.19%. The flotation recovery increased by 26.40 points at almost the same ash content.

(2) The $\Delta BS$ and $TSI$ were increased and the coal slurry system became more unstable when polystyrene particles were added, indicating that the particle aggregation between polystyrene and fine coal particles occurred.

(3) Fine coal particles could cover on the polystyrene particles and this covering increased the coal particle size during flotation. The newly enlarged particle aggregates would enhance the collision probability between the particle and bubble, and hence improve flotation performances.

(4) The results suggested that the flotation performance of low-rank coal was significantly improved by carrier flotation with polystyrene, especially for fine particles. It appears to be a promising method for low-rank coal flotation. However, more fundamental investigations are required for industrial application.
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