A proposed very high energy electron–proton collider, VHEeP
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The possibility of using plasma wakefield acceleration to build a very high energy electron–proton (VHEeP) collider at a centre-of-mass energy of 9 TeV was presented at the DIS2015 workshop. In this talk, the physics case was further developed and the idea has since been published as a journal paper. A brief summary is here given along with some details of the technical aspects not covered in the paper, which focused on the physics motivation. It is demonstrated that an ep collider with a centre-of-mass energy a factor of 30 above HERA has sensitivity to new physical phenomena.
1. Introduction

The HERA electron–proton accelerator was the first and so far only lepton–hadron collider worldwide. With its centre-of-mass energy of about 300 GeV, HERA dramatically extended the kinematic reach [1] for the deep inelastic scattering process compared to fixed-target experiments. The LHeC project [2] is a proposed ep collider with significantly higher energy and luminosity than HERA with a programme to investigate Higgs physics and QCD, to search for new physics, etc.. This will use significant parts of the LHC infrastructure at CERN with different configurations, such as eA, also possible. In these proceedings, a very high energy electron–proton collider (VHEeP) is considered with an ep centre-of-mass energy of about 9 TeV, a factor of six higher than proposed for the LHeC and a factor of 30 higher than HERA.

The VHEeP machine would strongly rely on the use of the LHC beams and the technique of plasma wakefield acceleration to accelerate electrons to 3 TeV over relatively short distances. With such an increase in centre-of-mass energy, the VHEeP collider will probe a new regime in deep inelastic scattering and QCD in general. The kinematic regime accessible will be extended by three orders of magnitude compared to that measured at HERA. First ideas on a physics case for the VHEeP collider have been outlined recently [3]. These proceedings briefly review this as well as discussing some technical aspects of the design not covered in the publication of the physics case. Complementary studies of high energy ep colliders have been performed elsewhere [4, 5, 6], considering both the accelerator design [4, 5] and physics potential [6].

2. VHEeP accelerator complex

Tajima and Dawson first proposed that plasmas can sustain very large electric fields capable of accelerating bunches of particles [7]. This acceleration concept can make use of bunches of protons [8], given high energy proton bunches are available and hence the possibility to have the acceleration performed in one stage. Simulation has shown that the plasma wakefield created by the LHC proton bunches can accelerate a trailing bunch of electrons to 6 TeV in 10 km [9]. The concept of proton-driven plasma wakefield acceleration will be tested by the AWAKE collaboration at CERN which aims to demonstrate the scheme for the first time [10]. The initial aims of the AWAKE experiment are to demonstrate GV/m accelerating gradients in plasma [10]. Following this, the AWAKE collaboration proposes to accelerate bunches of electrons to 10 GeV in about 10 m of plasma and demonstrate its scalability [11].

The very high energy electron–proton collider is based on current LHC infrastructure and a new tunnel to house the plasma accelerator. The facility uses one of the LHC proton beams to generate wakefields and accelerate a trailing electron bunch which then collides with the other proton beam. This is shown in a simple schematic in Fig. 1 in which the electron beam is chosen to have an energy of 3 TeV, achieved in a plasma accelerator of ≲4 km, and the proton beams have an energy of 7 TeV. Separation of the drive proton beam and witness electron beam will be needed to avoid pp collisions; as well as the temporal difference, the beams will need to be separated transversely by O(mm). Further study of this important issue is needed.

Although the energy of VHEeP will be very high, obtaining high luminosities will be, as with all plasma wakefield acceleration schemes, a challenge. The luminosity, \( \mathcal{L} \), is calculated using the
standard formula for a collider,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f \cdot N_e \cdot N_p}{4\pi \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where it is assumed that the number of protons in a bunch, $N_p$, is $4 \times 10^{11}$, the number of electrons in a bunch is $1 \times 10^{11}$ and the cross section of the bunches is dominated by the size of the proton beam which is taken to be $\sigma_x \sim \sigma_y = 4 \mu m$. A frequency, $f$, of 2 Hz is assumed given 3 000 bunches every 30 min [12]. Inserting these values into Eq. 2.1, gives $\mathcal{L} \sim 4 \times 10^{28} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$. Running for about 80% of the year would enable a yearly integrated luminosity of 1 pb$^{-1}$ to be accumulated.

In these initial studies, an integrated luminosity over the lifetime of VHEeP of $10 - 100$ pb$^{-1}$ is considered, based on the expected capabilities of the LHC and pre-accelerators. The lower limit will be sufficient for measurements at low $x$ where the cross section is expected to rise with decreasing $x$. A higher integrated luminosity will aid the search for physics beyond the Standard Model, typically at high $Q^2$.

Achieving higher luminosities than the 1 pb$^{-1}$ per year will be a challenge. As the cross section will be dominated by the proton bunch size, this could be investigated. Another area where, at least superficially, significant gains could be made is the 30-min refill time of the LHC. Studies are ongoing as part of the LHC upgrade and FCC proposal, to which the LHC is an injector, and the research done there may benefit the VHEeP project. Considering a faster refill time, but with a less "clean" proton bunch, may be an option [13]. Another method to increase the effective luminosity is to have more than one $ep$ interaction point along the plasma accelerator, using the natural spacing of the bunches so that an electron or proton bunch will be brought into collision at multiple points. With a small proton bunch spacing, e.g. 5 ns, multiple collisions per proton bunch, say 10, and with each electron bunch, could occur within a short distance, thereby requiring only one detector, but increasing the luminosity by a factor of 10.
Obtaining higher, but realistic, luminosities is an issue that needs significant and further investigation. This design assumes LHC bunches as currently used; if these can be shortened and the plasma density correspondingly increased, higher accelerating gradients of multi-GV/m could be achieved. This could lead to a shorter acceleration section. Overall, more detailed studies are needed to develop the basic and simple accelerator concept in Fig. 1 into a technical concept.

3. The physics case

Given the high beam energies, VHEeP will be able to access very low Bjorken $x$ and, with sufficient luminosity, high virtualities, $Q^2$. A $Q^2$ value of 1 GeV$^2$, a region readily measured at HERA, corresponds to an $x$ value of $10^{-8}$. It should be noted that the lowest value of $Q^2$ measured at HERA was $Q^2 = 0.045$ GeV$^2$, which at VHEeP corresponds to a minimum $x$ value of $5 \times 10^{-10}$. At these low $Q^2$ values, a significant number of events is expected. The detector design will need to cope with this large range in kinematics. The polar angle of produced hadrons is distributed over $0^\circ$ to $180^\circ$, but with a peak at $0^\circ$ and even stronger peak at $180^\circ$. The events at low angles are due to events at high $x$, whereas the events with hadrons at high angles are dominated by events at low $x$. A central detector is needed as well as instrumentation close to the beamline to measure both electrons and hadrons. Further and more detailed studies of the detector design are needed.

The energy dependence of hadronic cross sections are poorly understood. Predictions calculated from first principles are often not available and so phenomenological models are used to describe the dependence. Being able to measure the energy dependence, particularly with the long lever arm presented by VHEeP, will deepen our understanding of QCD and the structure of matter. Assuming measurements from VHEeP of the total $\gamma p$ cross section up to $W \sim 6$ TeV, even with very low luminosities, the data will be able to strongly constrain the energy dependence of the total cross section and hence provide a clearer picture of QCD. It should be noted that the multi-TeV energies and hence large lever arm attainable at VHEeP are necessary to do this. A photon–proton collision of $W = 6$ TeV, corresponding to photon and proton energies of, respectively, 1.3 TeV and 7 TeV, is equivalent to a 20 PeV photon on a fixed target. This extends significantly into the region of ultra high energy cosmic rays. Therefore VHEeP data could be used to constrain cosmic-ray air-shower simulations and so will be of benefit to understanding the nature of cosmic rays at the highest energies. In a similar vain, vector meson production is dependent on the partonic distributions in the proton and given the need for two gluons from the proton to create a vector meson, is particularly sensitive to saturation of the parton densities or other effects. Data from VHEeP will be able to determine the behaviour of the cross sections, which must take on another form and start to level out, be it through saturation or some other mechanism. Also for scattering cross sections for virtual photons on protons, a change of the energy dependence is expected to become visible in the VHEeP kinematic range. This should yield exciting and unique information on the fundamental underlying physics at the heart of the high energy dependence of hadronic cross sections.

At such a high centre-of-mass energy, VHEeP also has sensitivity to physics beyond the Standard Model at high $Q^2$. Its particular strength is the search for leptoquarks which would be produced resonantly. The sensitivity is up to the kinematic limit of the centre-of-mass energy, well beyond the limits from HERA and also beyond those from the LHC experiments.
In addition, standard tests of QCD will be possible in a new kinematic regime as well as running at different electron beam energies and electron–ion scattering. Investigation of the possibilities will be pursued.

4. Outlook

An idea for a very high energy electron–proton collider has been presented, focusing in particular on the particle physics case for such a machine with a centre-of-mass energy of 9 TeV. With this significant extension in kinematic reach, QCD and the structure of matter will be probed in a region where it is not understood. Further work is needed and will be pursued to strengthen and extend the physics case of an ep collider at such high energies. In parallel, investigations of the technical feasibility of the accelerator system and its ultimate performance are needed as well as a more detailed detector design.
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