Comparative profiling of cortical gene expression in Alzheimer’s disease patients and mouse models demonstrates a link between amyloidosis and neuroinflammation
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Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia, characterized by accumulation of amyloid β (Aβ) and neurofibrillary tangles. Oxidative stress and inflammation are considered to play an important role in the development and progression of AD. However, the extent to which these events contribute to the Aβ pathologies remains unclear. We performed inter-species comparative gene expression profiling between AD patient brains and the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H mouse models. Genes commonly altered in AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and human AD cortices correlated with the inflammatory response or immunological disease. Among them, expression of AD-related genes (C4a/C4b, Cd74, Ctss, Gfap, Nfe2l2, Phyhd1, S100b, Tgfbr2, and Vim) was increased in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex as Aβ amyloidosis progressed with exacerbated gliosis, while genes commonly altered in the 3xTg-AD-H and human AD cortices correlated with neurological disease. The AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex also had altered expression of genes (Abi3, Apoe, Bin2, Cd33, Ctcp, Dock2, Fcer1g, Frmd6, Hck, Inpp5d, Ly6u, Plcg2, Trem2, Tyrbp) defined as risk factors for AD by genome-wide association study or identified as genetic nodes in late-onset AD. These results suggest a strong correlation between cortical Aβ amyloidosis and the neuroinflammatory response and provide a better understanding of the involvement of gender effects in the development of AD.

Dementia affects over 47 million people throughout the world, and this number is likely to increase to more than 131 million by 2050. Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia, and amyloid β (Aβ)
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plagues and neurofibrillary tangles (NFTs) are the classical hallmarks of this disease. Currently, a growing body of evidence supports the concept that oxidative stress and inflammation may also play an important role in the development and progression of AD pathologies. Data from clinical studies revealed systemic immune-related changes in AD brains. However, whether those events occur during the later stages of disease or contribute to the Aβ pathologies remains unclear.

To better understand the molecular mechanisms of AD pathologies, different animal models have been established. Transgenic mouse models overexpress genetically modified Aβ precursor protein (APP), presenilin (PSEN) and/or the microtubule-associated protein tau (MAPT), to induce accumulation of Aβ or neuronal dysfunction. However, these transgenic mouse models develop AD-like pathologies at different ages and to different extents due to expression levels of AD-related proteins that are promoters used in transgene constructs, as well as copy number of transgenes and inserted regions. To more accurately reproduce AD pathologies, App knock-in mouse models that carry pathogenic mutation(s) in App and/or Psen1 genes have been established. These mouse models show age-dependent amyloidosis, with activated astrocytes and microglia surrounding Aβ plaques, synaptic dysfunction and deficits in behavioural and cognition assays; revealing that amyloidosis triggered by pathological modifications in APP processing is sufficient to induce cognitive impairment.

Most studies in mouse models have focused on the effect of AD pathologies in the hippocampal area. However, cortical areas also play an important role in the maintenance of brain integrity; novel imaging technologies show Aβ depositions and morphological alterations in the cortex of AD patients, raising the question of how Aβ accumulation in the brain cortex is involved in pathophysiological alterations observed in AD.

The present study aimed to identify expression profiles of cortical genes in AD patients and AD mouse models, as well as their associated biological functions. We performed anter-sphere comparative gene expression profiling between AD patient brains and the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD (3xTg-AD-H) mouse models to determine differential gene expression profiles to understand how expression changes contribute to the progression of AD pathologies. AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice carrying the homozygous mutant App gene encoding the humanised Aβ sequence (G601R, F606Y, and R609H) with three pathogenic mutations, namely Swedish (KM595/596NL), Beyreuther/Iberian (I641F), and Arctic (E618G), progressively exhibit Aβ accumulation starting at 4 to 6 months of age, dense distributions of microglia and astrocytes from 9 months of age, and behavioural symptoms from 8 to 12 months of age. The 3xTg-AD-H mice that overexpress two mutated human transgenes, Swedish APP (KM670/671NL) and MAPT (P301L) driven by the exogenous neuronal Thy1.2 promoter, with a knock-in mutation of Psen1 (M146V) that promotes formation of Aβ plaques and NFTs, also exhibit behavioural symptoms and Aβ and Tau pathologies before 12 months of age. It is noteworthy that the Tau pathology that occurs in the 3xTg-AD-H brain is induced by a pathogenic Tau protein encoded by a mutant MAPT (P301L) gene, not as a result of elevated Aβ, therefore this AD mouse model enables us to examine the brain response to Tau pathology.

We thus examined the two mouse models, and the gene expression profiles altered by only Aβ, or by Aβ and Tau pathologies, were compared with those obtained from human AD brains. We found that AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice, but not 3xTg-AD-H mice, exhibited an altered expression profile of cortical genes, indicating a strong correlation between cortical Aβ amyloidosis and the neuroinflammatory response, similar to that observed in the human AD cortex.

Results
Altered gene expression profiles in cortices of AD patients and AD mouse models. Previously, we obtained gene expression profiles from three human brain regions—hippocampus and the temporal and frontal cortices—prepared from post-mortem brains of AD subjects, and found a significant alteration in the hippocampal gene expression profile with AD pathologies. In the present study, we aimed to characterise gene expression profiles in AD cortical regions by re-analysing the microarray data from temporal and frontal cortices of AD patients and controls (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2), using the Affymetrix Expression Console and Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC) software.

As shown in Fig. 1a and b, the temporal (8 AD and 10 non-AD cases) and frontal (13 AD and 17 non-AD cases) samples with no overlapped distribution in the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) exhibited clear separation of AD and non-AD cases by hierarchical clustering of their expression profiles (Supplementary Figs S1 and S2). By analysing expression profiles of these subjects using TAC and Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software, we found that 1372 (781 up, 591 down) genes in the temporal cortex and 236 (33 up, 203 down) genes in the frontal cortex were differentially expressed between AD and non-AD cases (ANOVA: P < 0.05, a lower bi-weight average signal (log2) < 6.64, a fold change ≥ 1.2 or ≤ −1.2) (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). We then validated the microarray data of 10 transcripts by real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analyses (primers shown in Supplementary Table S5) in six AD (3 males and 3 females) and six non-AD (3 males and 3 females) samples from both temporal and frontal cortices. This showed that the obtained averaged fold-change values in genes between AD and non-AD samples highly correlated with corresponding data obtained from microarray analyses (Supplementary Fig. S3).

Next, we performed microarray analyses using cortical RNA prepared from 12-month-old AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H mice, together with their respective control mice. Both models exhibited a clear separation from their controls by hierarchical clustering of their expression profiles (Fig. 1c,d). By analysing the expression profiles of the two AD mouse models with TAC and IPA, we found that 280 (207 up, 73 down) genes in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice and 251 (80 up, 171 down) genes in the 3xTg-AD-H mice were differentially expressed compared with the corresponding controls (ANOVA: P < 0.05, a lower bi-weight average signal (log2) > 6.64, a fold change ≥ 1.2 or ≤ −1.2) (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7). We again validated these microarray data by qRT-PCR analyses of the 10 transcripts in all samples, showing good correlations of values of fold change (AD model vs. control) between the two measurements (Supplementary Fig. S3).
Figure 1. Altered gene expression profiles in cortices of AD patients and AD mouse models. Lists of transcript clusters that exhibit significant alterations between AD patients and non-AD subjects or between AD mouse model and its control, obtained from microarray data (ANOVA: $P < 0.05$, fold change $\geq 1.2$ or $\leq -1.2$), were subjected to hierarchical clustering analysis: (a) human AD temporal cortices, (b) human AD frontal cortices, (c) App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} mouse cortices, (d) 3xTg-AD-H mouse cortices, compared with each control. Red columns indicate data from AD patients, App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} and 3xTg-AD-H mice, blue columns represent data from each control. Levels of gene expression are shown in green (low) to red (high). (e) Venn diagram shows overlapping genes with significantly altered expression in each comparison (ANOVA: $P < 0.05$, log$_2$ > 6.64, fold change $\geq 1.2$ or $\leq -1.2$), between and among the four sets of comparisons. Total number of up- and downregulated genes in each group is shown in parentheses.
We then compared the gene lists among all four groups, and found that only 17 genes were commonly altered between AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H cortices, and none of the genes were significantly altered in human AD cortices. A total of 62 genes were shared between the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex and human cortices, 54 with only temporal, 3 with only frontal, and 5 with both cortical areas (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table S8). However, the 3xTg-AD-H cortex shared a total of 17 genes with human cortices, 14 with only temporal, 1 with only frontal, and 2 with both (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table S9). These data suggest that AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H cortices represented different aspects of AD pathologies, and that the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex more closely represented the gene expression profile observed in the temporal cortex of AD patients.

The AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, and to a lesser extent the temporal cortex of AD patients, exhibit increased expression of genes related to glial activation.  We compared expression levels of genes encoding specific markers for four major types of brain cells: astrocytes, microglia, neurons and oligodendrocytes (Table 1), in order to evaluate changes in cell populations in AD brains. Relative expression levels of some

| Cell Type | Gene Symbol | Relative expression (% to control) |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------------|
|           |             | AD temporal | AD frontal | AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F | 3xTg-AD-H |
| Astrocytes| ALDH1L1     | 148.45       | 113.29     | 104.97          | 95.26     |
|           | AQP4        | 122.26       | 104.25     | 128.34          | 107.92    |
|           | GFAP        | 164.72       | 123.11     | 373.21          | 114.87    |
|           | SLC1A2      | 83.51        | 88.88      | 104.25          | 90.13     |
|           | SLC1A3      | 100.70       | 103.53     | 109.43          | 97.94     |
|           | SH06B       | 131.04       | 100.00     | 131.95          | 90.75     |
|           | Mean        | 125.11       | 105.51     | 158.69          | 99.48     |
|           | SD          | 29.95        | 11.69      | 105.77          | 9.92      |
| Microglia | AIF1        | 107.92       | 103.53     | 136.60          | 95.26     |
|           | CD68        | 133.79       | 113.29     | 329.44          | 102.81    |
|           | CORO1A      | 87.06        | 88.88      | 105.70          | 110.19    |
|           | EMR1        | 95.93        | 105.70     | 122.26          | 96.59     |
|           | ITGAM       | 111.73       | 107.92     | 154.76          | 131.95    |
|           | LGALS3      | 105.70       | 106.44     | 131.95          | 100.70    |
|           | Mean        | 107.02       | 104.29     | 163.45          | 106.25    |
|           | SD          | 15.89        | 8.23       | 82.91           | 13.66     |
| Neurons   | CHGA        | 95.93        | 92.02      | 94.61           | 98.62     |
|           | ENO2        | 88.88        | 97.27      | 107.18          | 97.27     |
|           | NEFH        | 97.27        | 95.26      | 101.40          | 92.66     |
|           | NEFL        | 79.55        | 93.30      | 105.70          | 93.95     |
|           | NEFM        | 82.93        | 90.75      | 133.79          | 84.67     |
|           | RBFOX3      | 72.70        | 81.79      | 104.97          | 97.94     |
|           | SNAP25      | 87.06        | 86.45      | 101.40          | 100.00    |
|           | SYT1        | 85.86        | 88.88      | 104.97          | 102.81    |
|           | SYT2        | 87.66        | 90.75      | 90.75           | 99.31     |
|           | TUBB1       | 97.27        | 97.94      | 102.10          | 93.30     |
|           | TUBB2A      | 97.94        | 98.62      | 98.62           | 107.18    |
|           | TUBB2B      | 114.08       | 101.40     | 121.42          | 80.11     |
|           | TUBB3       | 94.61        | 106.44     | 103.53          | 97.94     |
|           | TUBB4A      | 94.61        | 94.61      | 93.95           | 105.70    |
|           | TUBB4B      | 98.62        | 90.13      | 100.00          | 105.70    |
|           | TUBB6       | 99.31        | 100.70     | 87.06           | 104.97    |
|           | Mean        | 92.14        | 94.14      | 103.22          | 97.63     |
|           | SD          | 9.68         | 6.20       | 11.28           | 7.50      |
| Oligodendrocytes | MAG     | 164.72       | 100.00     | 129.24          | 101.40    |
|           | MBP         | 114.08       | 97.94      | 110.19          | 92.66     |
|           | MOG         | 177.77       | 100.70     | 105.70          | 117.28    |
|           | SOX10       | 111.73       | 97.27      | 94.61           | 92.66     |
|           | Mean        | 142.07       | 98.98      | 109.93          | 101.00    |
|           | SD          | 34.12        | 1.63       | 14.44           | 11.61     |

Table 1. Altered expression of gene markers for various brain cell types in cortices of AD patients and AD mouse models. Significantly altered genes between AD vs. non-AD or AD mouse model vs control (ANOVA: \( P < 0.05 \), fold change \( \geq 1.2 \) or \( \leq -1.2 \)) are indicated in bold.

We then compared the gene lists among all four groups, and found that only 17 genes were commonly altered between AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H cortices, and none of the genes were significantly altered in human AD cortices. A total of 62 genes were shared between the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex and human cortices, 54 with only temporal, 3 with only frontal, and 5 with both cortical areas (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table S8). However, the 3xTg-AD-H cortex shared a total of 17 genes with human cortices, 14 with only temporal, 1 with only frontal, and 2 with both (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Table S9). These data suggest that AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H cortices represented different aspects of AD pathologies, and that the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex more closely represented the gene expression profile observed in the temporal cortex of AD patients.

The AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, and to a lesser extent the temporal cortex of AD patients, exhibit increased expression of genes related to glial activation. We compared expression levels of genes encoding specific markers for four major types of brain cells: astrocytes, microglia, neurons and oligodendrocytes (Table 1), in order to evaluate changes in cell populations in AD brains. Relative expression levels of some
markers related to activation states of astrocytes (App4, Gfap) and microglia (Cd68, Itgam) were significantly increased in the human AD temporal cortex and more prominently in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, suggesting gliosis. These trends were barely observed in human AD frontal and 3xTg-AD-H cortices. Expression levels of some oligodendrocyte markers were also significantly increased in human AD temporal cortex, and to a lesser extent in AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex. Most neuronal markers exhibited a trend towards decreased expression in human AD cortices. In particular, the expression levels of RBBFOX3 encoding neuronal nuclear antigen (NeuN), a marker for post-mitotic neurons, were 18 to 27% lower than non-AD controls, thus supporting the neuronal loss observed in AD cortices. In contrast, there was no significant reduction in the expression of any neuronal marker in the two AD mouse models, in good agreement with the observation that these AD mouse models do not exhibit neuronal loss in the brains\(^7,^{10,17}\), indicating that the stages of disease being compared between human and mouse brains is not the same.

Taken together, these data indicate aggressive gliosis in AD cortices, especially in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, in accordance with previous reports\(^{6,11}\), suggesting that neuroinflammation in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, with increased Aβ burden, may represent pathological alterations seen in the human AD temporal cortex.

**Functional analysis of commonly altered genes in cortices of AD patients and AD mouse models suggests significantly altered neuroinflammatory responses.** A total of 62 genes commonly altered in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and human AD cortices were subjected to biological function analysis using IPA, and were categorised into various biofunctions: inflammatory response (33), immunological disease (34), organ-ismal injury and abnormalities (57), neurological disease (34), inflammatory disease (22), and others (Fig. 2a, Supplementary Fig. S4). However, 17 genes with commonly altered expression in the 3xTg-AD-H mouse and human cortices were categorised into neurological disease (11), organ-ismal injury and abnormalities (16), psychological disorders (6), cancer (16), endocrine system disorder (10), and others (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Fig. S5), suggesting that AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H cortices represented different aspects of the human AD pathologies.

We next applied the commonly altered genes between the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and human AD cortices (Supplementary Table S8) into network prediction using IPA. Results showed that the most relevant network includes proteins encoded by 12 upregulated genes: C4A/C4B, CD74, CTSS, TF, the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), the human leukocyte antigen system (HLA), B2M, LILRB4, CD37, CD9, IL13RA1 and AQP4 (Network 1, Fig. 3a), suggesting enhanced functions related to cell-cell signalling and humoral immune response. The second-most relevant network includes 13 upregulated molecules related to the inflammatory response (ANXA3, C4A/C4B, CD74, CTSS, CX3CR1, HEXA, LILRB4, MPEG1, NFE2L2, PHYHD1, S100B, ST8SIA6 and SYNGR2), which have direct or indirect connections with APP (Network 2, Fig. 3a). Among them, PHYHD1 was previously identified as one of genes upregulated in association with Braak stages of human AD brains, and it is known to directly interact with Aβ\(^342\). Increased expression of the PHYhd1 gene in a mouse model was observed herein for the first time, in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mouse, strongly suggesting functional involvement of PHYHD1 in AD pathology. The network, related to organismal injury and cellular movement, contains 15 upregulated molecules, including GFAP, VIM, S100B, TGFB2, TGFB1, TNN1, LAMP2, CSF1 and CSF1R, involved in cytoskeletal rearrangement, vacuolisation and activation of glial cells, as previously reported in human AD brains and mouse models\(^{20-22}\) (Network 3, Fig. 3a). Taken together, these data suggest that commonly altered genes between the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and human AD cortices are functionally interconnected in molecular pathways that link AD pathologies, especially amyloidosis, to neuroinflammation.

Expression of 11 genes was commonly downregulated in the 3xTg-AD-H and human AD temporal cortices (Supplementary Table S9). Among them, CCKBR, EGR3, FOSL2, HOMER1, KCNF1, NPTX1 and VEGFA constitute a network related to cardiovascular system development and function, organ developmental, and cell signalling (Fig. 3b), and CCKBR, EGR3, HOMER1 and KCNF1 genes have been previously reported to be down-regulated in human AD hippocampus\(^18\).

**Expression levels of common AD-related genes increased with amyloidosis progression in the cortex of AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice.** One hundred genes (7.2%) among the 1372 altered genes in the AD temporal cortex, and 17 (7.2%) out of 236 altered genes in the AD cortex were categorised as AD-related genes according to IPA function annotation, whereas in AD mouse models, a total of 37 out of 280 genes (13%) in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, but only 2 out of 251 altered genes (0.8%) in 3xTg-AD-H cortex were categorised into the same group (Table 2). Among those genes, 10 genes (C4A/C4B, CD74, CTSS, GFAP, NFE2L2, PHYHD1, S100B, TF, TGFB2 and VIM) were commonly upregulated in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mouse and human AD temporal cortices (Fig. 4). In the human AD frontal cortex, C4A/C4B and PHYHD1 genes were also significantly upregulated, and CD74 and GFAP gene expression levels were increased (fold change: 1.20 and 1.23, respectively), but these increases were not statistically significant (Fig. 4).

We next evaluated expression levels of the 10 AD-related genes in the cortices of male and female AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and wild-type mice at 5, 7 and 12 months of age, in order to explore effects of gender and age on expression of the AD-related genes. During these periods, the area of Aβ deposition in the cortex progressively increases, together with behavioural symptoms starting at 8–9 months of age, and these events are more rapidly observed in female mice\(^11,13\). We performed qRT-PCR using RNA from entire cortex, and found that all 10 genes exhibited an age-dependent increase in their expression levels in male and female AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice (Fig. 5, Supplementary Figs S6 and S7). At 5 months of age, there was no significant difference in expression levels of Cd74, Phyhd1 (female), Tj (male) and Vim (female) genes between AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and wild-type mice, although expression of C4b, Cts, Gfap, Nef2l2, Phyhd1 (male), S100b, Tj (female), Tgfb2 and Vim (male) was significantly increased. In AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice, gene expression levels of C4b, Cts, Gfap, S100b, Tj, Tgfb2 and Vim genes were greater in females than in males but only S100b, Tj and Tgfb2 showed higher expression in females only at 12 months of age. At
7 months of age, male App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} mice expressed higher levels of Cd74 and Phyhd1 than females, while there was no obvious gender difference in the expression level of Nfe2l2. Expression levels of Vim in female App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} mice were higher than in males at any age, reaching its peak at 7 months of age, then decreasing, while males exhibited a continuous increase during aging. In the human AD brain, expression levels of PHYHD1 in the AD frontal cortex were significantly greater in females than males (ANOVA: \( P = 0.0097 \)), and VIM expression in the AD temporal cortex was greater in females (ANOVA: \( P = 0.0697 \)).

Finally, we performed double-immunofluorescence microscopy for A\( \beta \) and GFAP or A\( \beta \) and IBA1 using frontal and temporal cortices prepared from 5-, 7- and 12-month-old, male and female App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} mice, in comparison with wild-type mice (Fig. 6). We confirmed significant A\( \beta \) deposition in App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} but not wild-type cortex as early as at 5 months of age, as we reported previously\(^{10,11}\).

Weak GFAP immunoreactivity was heterogeneously distributed and mainly restricted to subcortical and hippocampal areas in the wild-type brain, while in the App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} brains, astrocytes with strong GFAP immunoreactivity were detected in areas surrounding A\( \beta \) plaques in the cortex as early as at 5 months of age, and the levels of immunoreactivity increased during aging (Fig. 6a,b).

IBA1 immunoreactivity was detected in all brain regions in both wild-type and App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} mice and the levels of immunoreactivity were not altered much during aging. However, in the App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} brains, morphologically activated microglia were highly clustered inside A\( \beta \) plaques as early as at 5 months of age (Fig. 6c,d).

We also performed double-immunofluorescence microscopy for A\( \beta \) and GFAP or A\( \beta \) and IBA1 using frontal and temporal cortices prepared from 7- and 12-month-old, male and female 3xTg-AD-H mice, in comparison
Figure 3. Top networks of commonly altered genes in cortices of two AD mouse models and AD patients. (a) The top 3 networks of genes with commonly altered expression between the App<sub>NL-G-F/NL-G-F</sub> mouse and human AD cortices (frontal and temporal) shown in Supplementary Table S8. Network 1 includes 17 upregulated genes and 1 downregulated gene. Network 2 includes 20 upregulated genes and 1 downregulated gene. Network 3 includes 14 upregulated genes in AD cortices. We included a dashed line to connect Phyhd1 and App in Network 2, according to our results and a previous report<sup>19</sup>. (b) The top network of genes with commonly altered expression between the 3xTg-AD-H mouse and human AD cortices (frontal and temporal) shown in Supplementary Table S9. Network 1 includes 3 upregulated and 9 downregulated genes in AD cortices. Encoded molecules were placed in an appropriate subcellular compartment based on IPA, and “other” denotes unspecific or unknown localization. Solid lines indicate direct interactions and dashed lines indicate indirect interactions. Fold change is denoted as a green-white-red colour gradient, from green (downregulated) to red (upregulated).
Table 2. Genes significantly enriched in AD with significantly altered expression in the cortices of AD patients and AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice are shown in bold underline. Commonly downregulated genes in cortices of AD patients and 3xTg-AD-H mice is shown in bold.

| Sample       | p-value | # Genes | Genes                                                                 |
|--------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AD temporal  | 9.28E-08| 100     | A2M, ABCG2, AGT, AKAP5, ALDH1L1, ALDH2, AQP1, ATP6V1E1, ATP6V1G2, BDNF, BGN, CS, CA4, CA8, CE, CALB1, CD24, CD54, CHLI, CHRM1, CHRN2, CNKSR2, CNKSR3, CNP, CTNNAA3, CTS, CXCL12, CYP4A11, DLDGAP2, DOK5, EEF2K, EPHA4, ETS2, FAM3C, FOLH1, FMR1, GRB10, GRB12, GABRB3, GABRA2, GABRA5, GABRB2, GPAP, GLBR, GLDA, GRIA3, GRIN2A, GRIN2B, GMS, HOMER1, HTRA1, HTRA2, INPP5A, INPP5C, KLF3, LIPA, LYN, MAOB, MEGF10, MOG, MSLA4A, MSRA6A, MTB, NELF, NFAT2, NFE2L3, NPTX1, PAK1, PANK2, PHYHD1, PIK3IP1, PP1FR3, PRP3, PRD3, PRKCB, PRKZC, PTXN1, PTYRE, QPCT, RAB6A, RMSK, ROCK2, S100A2, S300A3, SNRPN, Soref1, SYNJ1, TAFL3, TF, TGFB1R2, THY1, TUBB4, VEGFA, VIM, VDR |
| AD frontal   | 1.36E-02| 17      | AGT, AKAP5, ATP6V1G2, BGN, CA4/CA8, CALB1, CHRN2, CYP4A11, DOK5, GABRA5, GAD2, GRIN2B, GRYH1D1, RAβ6A, TAF13, TFRC, TSHZ2 |
| AppNL-G-F NL-G-F | 1.51E-10 | 37      | Apoe, Cad/c4B, Cad8, Cad2, Cad25, Cat, Cblb, Cdc4, Cyp11a1, C3, Fcrn, Fcrlb, Fcer2b, Gfap, Hla-dqa1, Hla-dqb1, Hla-drb1, Innp (Nf2), Lpl, Ptgs1, Ptk2, Ptk2b, Tgfb1, Vim |
| 3xTg-AD-H    | 1.90E-03| 2       | Vegla, Xplp1 |

APPNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex exhibits altered expression of genes defined as risk factors for AD by the genome-wide association study together with genes in the immune/microglia module. Finally, we evaluated the expression levels of 57 genes defined as risk factors for AD by genome-wide association study (GWAS), together with genes in the immune/microglia module (CTSC, DOCK2, FCER1G, HCK, LY86, S100A11, and TYROBP) whose expression is reported to be significantly altered in late-onset AD (LOAD) patients and AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F transgenic mice35–38, in our microarray data from human and mouse brains (Supplementary Table S10). As shown in Table 3, expression levels of four genes (DOCK2, INPP5D, LY86, and PSEN1) were significantly increased in human AD temporal but not frontal cortex, while expression of GRIN28 was significantly decreased in both human AD cortices. In AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, expression levels of 13 genes (Abi3, Apoe, Bin2, Cd33, Csc, Dock2, Fcer1g, Hck, Innp5d, Ly86, Ptcg2, Trem2, and Tyrobp) were significantly increased, and that of Fmrmd6 was significantly decreased. In 3xTg-AD-H cortex, expression levels of two genes (Abi3 and Fmrmd6) were significantly decreased, and only that of Trem2 was significantly increased.

Taken together, our results indicate that expression of genes defined as risk factors for AD by GWAS, together with genes in the immune/microglia module, was predominantly increased in AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice, as observed in LOAD patients and in APPNL-G-F/NL-G-F transgenic mice35–38.

Discussion

In the present study, we performed inter-species comparative gene expression profiling using cortical RNA prepared from AD patient brains (frontal and temporal cortices) and two different AD mouse models (AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H). The AD patient brains exhibited a much larger number of genes with altered expression in temporal cortex than in frontal cortex. Expression levels of 59 genes were commonly altered in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and human AD temporal cortices, and most of these genes (34 genes) were related to inflammatory response or immunological disease. Among these, the expression of 10 genes (CA4, CA8, CD74, CTSS, GFAP, NFE2L2, PHYHD1, S100B, TF, TGFB1R2 and VIM), which are categorised as AD-related by IPA, was increased in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex as Aβ amyloidosis progressed with exacerbated neuroinflammation. Only 17 genes were commonly altered in both human AD and human temporal cortices, of which most related to neurodegenerative processes.

In human AD, only the temporal cortex exhibited significant upregulation of several marker genes for astrocytes, microglia and oligodendrocytes, and significant downregulation of several neuronal marker genes (Table 1), supporting results that the AD temporal cortex generally exhibits more rapid progression of AD pathologies, including neuronal loss, than frontal cortex31–33. Contrary reports have shown more significant reduction in the thickness of frontal cortex than temporal cortex, and yet an effect of brain inflammation cannot be excluded31,14. When we compared AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H cortices, we noticed that the two AD mouse models exhibited different gene expression profiles (Fig. 1e). It is noteworthy that only the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex exhibited a significant upregulation of several marker genes for astrocytes, microglia and oligodendrocytes, similar to human AD temporal cortex (Table 1). These results suggest that expression changes in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex correlate with pathological features observed in human AD temporal cortex. The 3xTg-AD-H cortex...
shared a total of 20 genes (Abhd6, Cyth3, Cckbr, Dusp6, Egr3, Fndc5, Grand4, Homer1, Kcnf1, Klf10, Mkl1, Nab2, Nptx2, Pcsk1, Qpct, Tet3, Tipin, Trub2, Tipal and Vegfa) with the human AD hippocampus, some of which are related to neuronal metabolic and synaptic functions, suggesting that the 3xTg-AD-H cortex mimics hippocampal and to lesser extent cortical profiles in AD patient brains. These results support the fact that different AD mouse models represent different features of human AD pathologies.

As expected from the gene expression profiles, AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice exhibit aggressive extracellular Aβ deposition as early as at 5 months of age, and gliosis from 7 to 12 months of age, throughout cortical and hippocampal regions, and memory impairment in an age-dependent manner (Fig. 6)[10,11]. The 3xTg-AD-H mice exhibit mainly intracellular Aβ accumulation before 12 months of age, accompanied by increased levels of intracellular APP sub-products, as well as Tau pathologies such as intracellular NFT and cognitive impairment, accompanied by astrocystosis but not microgliosis (Supplementary Figs S8, S9 and S10)[17,34,35]. Differences in both the gene expression profiles and pathologies observed between the two AD mouse models strongly suggest that extracellular but not intracellular Aβ induces gliosis, namely neuroinflammatory responses, similar to what is observed in human AD temporal cortex. In the comparison of the human cortex data to 3xTg-AD-H cortex, two genes (Pcsk1 and
Figure 5. Effects of age and sex on expression levels of the 10 commonly upregulated genes related to Alzheimer's disease in cortices of App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} mice. Cortical RNA was isolated from male and female App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} (red) and wild-type (WT, blue) mice (n = 3), at 5, 7 and 12 months of age, and subjected qRT-PCR. Expression levels, relative to Gapdh, of the 10 genes (C4b, Cd74, Cts7, Gfap, Nfe2l2, Phyhd1, S100b, Tf, Tgfbr2 and Vim) commonly upregulated in both App\textsuperscript{NL-G-F/NL-G-F} mouse and human AD temporal cortices are shown. Data is expressed as mean value \(\pm\) SEM of three independent mice performed in triplicate. Three-way ANOVA was performed and p-values for effects (sex, age and App genotype [App]) are shown. Detailed results of statistical analysis are shown in Supplementary Figs S6 and S7.
Figure 6. Effects of age and sex on amyloid β deposition and glial activation in cortices of AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice. Double-immunofluorescence microscopy for Aβ and GFAP (a,b), and Aβ and IBA1 (c,d). Coronal sections containing frontal (Bregma: −1.255 to −1.455) and temporal (Bregma: +1.845 to +2.045) cortices prepared from 5-, 7- and 12-month-old, male and female AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and wild-type (WT) mice, were subjected to double-immunofluorescence microscopy using mouse anti-human Aβ (green) and either anti-GFAP or rabbit anti-IBA1 antibodies (red). (a,c) Multiple z-stack images of 15 fields were tiled and stacked together using ZEN imaging software. Each immunoreactivity was measured, and means with SEM (n = 3) of the GFAP (a) and IBA1 (c) index are shown in the graphs on the right. Scale bar = 500 μm. Student's t-test was performed between the two mouse lines at the given ages; *P < 0.05. (b,d) Magnified images for 5-month-old samples are shown. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue) in double-immunofluorescence images. Scale bar = 50 μm.
Vegeta were categorized to inflammatory response or immunological disease (Fig. 2b), but these genes were not altered in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex. In contrast, intracellular accumulation of Aβ and other APP sub-products, and/or Tau pathologies, are likely related to the neuronal metabolic and synaptic dysfunctions, as evident in the hippocampus of both human AD and 3xTg-AD-H brains.

Some neuronal marker genes in human AD cortex were significantly downregulated, in accordance with the neuronal loss in human AD cortex. In contrast there was no downregulation of neuronal marker genes in cortices from the two AD mouse models (Table 1), both of which do not exhibit neuronal loss, thus indicating that the different profiles of gene expression detected between the two mouse models were not due to neuronal loss. We note that several genes involved in neuronal function, such as Egr3, Egr4, Fosl2, Grik1, Homer1, Lig4, Npas4, Nptx1, Pcsk1, Vegfa and Xbp1 were downregulated, especially in the 3xTg-AD-H cortex (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7), which may correlate with the previously reported cognitive impairment.

The human AD temporal cortex exhibits significantly altered expression of 100 AD-related genes, while only 17 genes were altered in AD frontal cortex. The AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex also exhibited significantly altered expression of 37 AD-related genes; 10 of these genes were in common with human AD temporal cortex, and two genes were in common with AD frontal cortex. There were only two AD-related genes altered in the 3xTg-AD-H cortex (Table 2). These results suggest that Aβ amyloidosis alone causes changes in gene expression profiles in the cortex, especially in the temporal cortex. Immunofluorescence microscopy revealed that the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice exhibited progressive Aβ deposition and microgliaosis, with similar extents in the frontal and temporal cortices. The astrocitosis progression was likely to be greater in female AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice, and the two cortical regions tended to respond differently to Aβ amyloidosis (Figs 5 and 6). This may also be the case in human AD brain, which could explain why gene expression profiles were different between the temporal and frontal cortices in AD patients.

Studies on post-mortem brains have shown that AD pathologies are accompanied by neuroinflammation, probably as a consequence of Aβ amyloidosis or neuronal damage. However, recent neuroimaging and genome-wide association studies further suggest that neuroinflammation is an early event that takes place even before Aβ amyloidosis. In the present study, we showed that microgliaosis and/or astrocitosis was progressively apparent with the progression of Aβ amyloidosis in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex, and these pathological events were accompanied by progressively increased expression of genes involved in inflammatory responses, such as Cad, Cdh7, Ctsn, Gfap, Nf212, S100b, Tf, Tgfbr2 and Vim, which constituted three functional networks (Figs 3, 5 and 6). In these networks, the expression of 48 genes, including the 10 AD-related genes, was commonly altered in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mouse and human AD temporal cortices. Among these genes, expression of C4, Mpeg1, Lirb4, Scl14a1, Cstb, B2m, Aif1 and Ly86 has been shown to be upregulated in astrocytes and/or microglia in the double-transgenic APPsw/ePS1dE9 mouse frontal cortex. Network-based integrative analysis of genetic risk loci for LOAD identified by GWAS have revealed the immune/microglia module as the molecular system most strongly associated with the pathophysiology of LOAD, and also identified the key network regulators, including TyrOBP, which are upregulated in LOAD. Moreover, a genome-wide gene-expression analysis in wild-type and five transgenic mouse lines with only Aβ (APP671/718N/695/712; Psen1M146V; hemizygous and homozygous APP501(SwPhe); M671L/V71M) or only Tau (MapT501L) pathology revealed that immune gene expression correlated tightly with Aβ plaques, whereas synaptic genes correlated negatively with NFTs.

### Table 3. Expression of genes defined as risk factors for AD by GWAS and the immune/microglia module in cortices of AD patients and AD mouse models. Fold changes of significantly altered genes between AD vs. non-AD or AD mouse model vs. control (ANOVA: P < 0.05, fold change ≥ 1.2 or ≤ − 1.2) are shown in bold. Results of 57 genes examined are shown in Supplementary Table S10. *Genes differentially expressed in prefrontal cortex among control, MCI, and LOAD samples.

| Gene Symbol | AD temporal | AD frontal | AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F | 3xTg-AD-H | References |
|-------------|-------------|------------|------------------|-----------|------------|
| Aβ3         | 1.06        | 1.05       | 1.34             | −1.20     | 6, 7, 11   |
| APOE        | −1.04       | 1.07       | 1.23             | −1.01     | 12, 23, 27 |
| BIN2        | 1.22        | 1.04       | 1.28             | 1.04      | 18         |
| CD33        | 1.13        | 1.11       | 1.20             | −1.05     | 24, 27, 29 |
| FRMD6       | −1.06       | −1.06      | −1.12            | −1.26     | 28         |
| GRIN2B      | −1.42       | −1.26      | −1.11            | −1.04     | 28         |
| INPP5D      | 1.33        | 1.14       | 1.60             | 1.15      | 23, 24, 26, 27 |
| PLCG2       | 1.19        | 1.03       | 1.26             | 1.02      | 27         |
| PSEN1       | 1.23        | −1.02      | −1.00            | −1.18     | 28         |
| TREM2       | 1.17        | 1.22       | 3.42             | 1.41      | 33, 24, 27 |
| CTSC        | 1.28        | 1.06       | 1.69             | −1.23     | 24         |
| DOCK2       | 1.44        | 1.27       | 1.50             | 1.06      | 27         |
| FCER1G      | 1.17        | 1.13       | 2.28             | 1.03      | 27         |
| HCK         | 1.03        | −1.01      | 1.34             | 1.08      | 23         |
| LY86        | 1.53        | 1.15       | 3.17             | −1.01     | 24, 27     |
| TYROBP      | 1.26        | 1.12       | 3.42             | 1.04      | 25, 27     |

*Genes differentially expressed in prefrontal cortex among control, MCI, and LOAD samples.
When we examined the expression levels of 57 genes defined as risk factors for AD by GWAS, together with genes in the immune/microglia module13–30, in our microarray data from human and mouse brains (Table 3, Supplementary Table S10), we found that 13 genes (Ab3, Apoe, Bin2, C3d3, Cdf, Dock2, Fcer1g, Hck, Inpp5d, Ly86, Pleg2, Trem2, and Tyr6p) were significantly upregulated in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex. Among these, over-expression of TYROBP in microglial cells has been reported to alter the expression of the microglia module that is dominated by genes involved in pathogen phagocytosis25. Moreover, Fcer1g and Trem2 have been identified as member of the hub genes (C1qa, C1qb, Fcer1g, Trem2, and Tlr2) of the immune module in the cortex from transgenic mouse lines with only Aβ pathology but not with only Tau pathology26. Our results clearly indicate that the prominent neuroinflammation observed in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex is a result of pure Aβ pathology induced by App knock-in mutations. Only the Trem2 gene was mildly upregulated in 3xTg-AD-H cortex in agreement with their milder Aβ pathology and inflammatory responses in comparison with AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F cortex (Supplementary Figs S8 and S9). The present study indicates that Aβ pathology caused by authentic expression of the pathogenic Aβ in AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F mice predominantly activates the immune-specific module, as observed in LOAD patients and in APP670/71/MF146/V transgenic mice24–30.

Expression of several complement component genes (C1, C3, C4, C5) was significantly increased in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and human AD cortices. A recent report showed a significant increase in the copy number of C4 genes in AD patients, compared with healthy controls33, which may contribute to the elevated levels of C4 in cerebrospinal fluid or serum in the AD patients34,35. Moreover, it has been shown that C4 surrounds Aβ plaques in the cortex of an AD mouse model36. C4b, a cleaved product of C4 by the C1 complex, which can be activated by Aβ37, functions as a C3 convertase with C2b, thus resulting in activation of the complement system, which may in turn inappropriately activate microglia, thereby mediating synapse loss38 or a further inflammatory response39. CD74, the expression of which was also significantly increased in the AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and human AD cortices, encodes an integral membrane protein that acts as a chaperone for MHC class II molecules and a receptor binding site for macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF)44. It has been shown that CD74 expression increases in microglia, astrocytes and NFT-positive neurons of AD patients45,46. Moreover, CD74 was reported to interact ing site for macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF)44. It has been shown that CD74 expression increases in microglia, astrocytes and NFT-positive neurons of AD patients45,46. Moreover, CD74 was reported to interact

Methods

Ethics statement. The use of human postmortem brain tissue was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan, and was performed in accordance with the ethical standards described in the latest revision of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent for all subjects was obtained from their families. The handling and killing of all animals was performed in accordance with national prescribing guidelines, and ethical approval for the study was granted by the Animal Experiment Committee of Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan.

Total RNA prepared from post-mortem brain tissues. We previously prepared total RNA from freshly frozen cerebral cortices of frontal and temporal poles at several centimeters thick removed from post-mortem brains donated for the Hisayama study between December 15, 2008 and February 24, 201118. All RNA samples were preserved at −80 °C until further use.

Analysis of human microarray data. Previously obtained microarray data using total RNA prepared from human temporal (10 AD, 19 non-AD cases) and frontal cortices (15 AD and 18 non-AD cases)18 are available from the GEO database (accession number GSE36980). CEL files were imported into the Affymetrix Expression Console (Affymetrix Japan K.K., Tokyo, Japan) and CHP files were obtained using a Gene Level-RMA-Sketch method. CHP files were input into the Affymetrix Transcriptome Analysis Console (TAC) software and a gene level differential expression analysis was performed according to the user's guide. One-way between
subject ANOVA was performed between AD and non-AD subjects and a list of transcripts was created. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and hierarchical clustering was performed in the Affymetrix Expression Console and TAC software, respectively, and several samples were found to be outliers, likely owing to biological heterogeneity or technical issues (Supplementary Figs S1 and S2). These outliers were excluded to avoid undesirable artefacts during the profiling analyses.

Animals. The homozygous triple-transgenic mouse model of AD (3xTg-AD-H), carrying a homozygous Psen1Δ490 knock-in mutation and homozygous mutant transgenes for Swedish APPK670/671NL, and MAPTp301L, and control non-Tg mice were previously established17,18. Heterozygous AppNL-G-F mice carrying humanised Aβ3 sequence (G601R, F606Y, R609H), Swedish (ML595/596NL), Beyreuther/Iberian (I641F), and Arctic (E618G) mutations, were previously established10. Homozygous AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and wild-type mice were obtained by crossing, and were maintained as inbred lines. All animals were maintained in a specific pathogen-free room.

Mouse brain tissue preparation. For transcriptomic analyses, mice were anesthetized, transcardially perfused with saline, and brain cortices were quickly dissected, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and preserved at −80 °C until RNA preparation. For immunofluorescence, mice were perfused with saline followed by cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The brains were removed and post-fixed in 4% PFA for 24 hours at 4 °C. Tissue blocks were cryoprotected in 20% sucrose, followed by 30% sucrose, in PBS, and then embedded in FSC 22 frozen section media (Leica Microsystems K.K, Tokyo Japan). The tissue blocks were quickly frozen and stored at −80 °C until further use.

RNA isolation and microarray analysis. We performed microarray analyses using cortical RNA prepared from 12-month-old AppNL-G-F/NL-G-F and 3xTg-AD-H mice together with the corresponding wild-type or non-Tg control mice, respectively (3 males for each group). Total RNA was prepared from frozen cortex using Isogen (Nippon Gene, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer instructions. RNA concentrations were determined by measuring the UV absorbance spectra, and the total RNA profile was analysed using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies Japan, Tokyo, Japan) to determine RNA integrity number (RIN). RNA (100 ng) was used for microarray analysis. The GeneChip WT PLUS Reagent Kit (Affymetrix Japan K.K.) was used to generate amplified and biotinylated sense-strand DNA targets. Manufacturer instructions were followed for hybridisation, washing, and scanning steps with Affymetrix Mouse Gene 2.0ST Array, and CEL files were generated. CEL files were further analysed as described for analysis of human microarray data. The lists of transcript clusters significantly altered (ANOVA: P < 0.05, fold change ≥ 1.2 or ≤−1.2, bi-weight average signal (log2) > 6.64, compared with control) were further analysed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Tomy Digital Biology Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) software to determine the commonly altered genes between AD patients and each AD mouse model, as well as the relevant biological function categories and network-based interactions. All microarray data were deposited in the GEO database (accession number GSE92926).

Reverse transcription and quantitative polymerase chain reaction. RNA samples were reverse-transcribed to first-strand cDNA using 1 μg of total RNA, random primers, and the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse-Transcription Kit (Life Technologies Japan Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Primer pairs (listed in Supplementary Table S3) and cDNA dilutions were optimised for real-time quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) using Thermal Cycler Dice® Real-Time System Single (Takara Bio Inc., Kusatsu, Japan). For each qRT-PCR, 0.5% of the total cDNA yield was used, in triplicates. Relative expression levels of each gene were obtained using the 2nd Derivative Maximum (SDM) standard curve method60. Gapdh was used as an internal control and we verified that Gapdh levels do not change between mutant and control mice (Supplementary Fig. S11).

Double-immunofluorescence microscopy. Serial coronal sections (40 μm thickness) were prepared using a cryostat and collected as free-floating sections. Sections were blocked in 2 × Block Ace solution (Dainippon Pharmaceutical, Osaka, Japan) for 2 hours at room temperature, then incubated with a corresponding mix of primary antibodies (mouse anti-human Aβ 82E1 (10323; 1:4000; IBL Japan), and either rabbit anti-GFAP (Z0334; 1:2000; Dako Japan Inc., Kyoto, Japan) or anti-IBA1 (019–19741, 1:500, Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd., Osaka, Japan)) overnight at 4 °C. Corresponding Alexa Fluor-labelled secondary antibodies (Life Technologies Japan) were then added and incubated for 45 minutes at room temperature, followed by 0.05 μg/ml DAPI for 10 min at room temperature, and mounted on slides. All sections were rinsed in 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS, 3 times for 5 min. The sections were mounted on glass slides and air-dried. The sections were then embedded with VECTASHIELD Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories, Ltd., Burlingame, CA, USA). Multiple z-stack images of 15 fields were obtained, tiled, and stacked together using a confocal microscope (LSM700, Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Tokyo, Japan) with Zen 2012 software (Carl Zeiss Microscopy). The intensity of GFAP or IBA1 immunofluorescence was measured in each digital image using ImageJ 1.51n (NIH) to obtain the GFAP or IBA1 index, which corresponds to one thousandth of the mean intensity per μm².

Statistical analysis. Gene-level estimates from microarray data were subjected to one-way between subject ANOVA using Affymetrix TAC software. Statistical analysis was performed using JMP Pro Version 13.2.0 software (SAS Institute, Raleigh, NC, USA). A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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