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ABSTRACT

The various image-recording devices using a camera lens recognizes only the general surrounding environment. Further, it is difficult for a camera to recognize the images in a situation of sudden illumination change and low illumination. The various situation related to the dynamic illumination change interferes with recognition of the objects where object recognition is the prime requirement. In terms of traffic security application, the general image-recording devices cannot provide information about the traffic-related incidents due to illumination changes. The image quality evaluation methods such as luminance and contrast evaluation must take precedence to improve the image quality from sudden illumination changes and low illumination conditions. An objective image quality evaluation (OIQE) to assess the luminance and contrast of images is proposed in this paper. The proposed method uses each pixel's data as a weighted value of the probability density function. It is further defined by normalization for objective representation. The normalization technique always can display consistently irrespective of image resolution or change in the number of represented data bits. Also, it can be used in the adaptive gamma process because it suggests an objective basis of the gamma value selection. It is possible to use tone mapping to sudden illumination changes and low illumination conditions, because of its high dynamic range. As a result, the proposed technique can be incorporated with lane detection, number plate recognition, lens distortion correction, etc.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the use of the camera is increasing in various fields such as vehicle industry, mobile industry, CCTV etc. Moreover, the importance of image processing technology is growing rapidly. Most cameras capture the general surrounding environments when the conditions are ideal. However, when the conditions are non-ideal like low illumination, sudden change in illuminations etc, image capture become difficult. Some of the non-ideal situations are shown in Fig. 1. These non-ideal situations most commonly interferes with the recognition and capture of the objects using a camera. In the case of traffic security cameras, general image-recording devices cannot provide accurate information about the circumstances of the incidents.

When there are many light sources or low illumination conditions, the image sensor technology has to be utilized to get the exact information about the scenes [1][2][3][4]. But, the design and manufacturing of the image sensor have the disadvantage of enormous development cost and period. As an innovative method, the study of high dynamic range and gamma correction take centre stage in related fields. The image evaluation concerning luminance and contrast must take precedence to improve the image quality about sudden illumination change and low illumination conditions. But existing image evaluations and its study arbitrarily have interpreted about distribution of the histogram, and hence there is no way to present the criteria for objective evaluation [5][6][7].

The distribution of histogram was re-distributed by four areas of brightness and contrast, and the word descriptor that distribution density is expressed as a, A, b, B, c, C, d, D was introduced by Restrepo and Ramponi in 2008 [8]. However, this method is expressed as “very bright, very high contrast”, objectivity and practicality of this method are too low. Therefore, to improve the objectivity, the word descriptor indicates a real number between zero and one in this paper, and we propose irrespective consistent normalization techniques of image resolution or change in several represented data bits. The proposed method suggests an objective basis to select the gamma value and hence can be used in the adaptive gamma process. Tone mapping can be used in sudden illumination changes and low illumination conditions due to its high dynamic range. As a result, the proposed techniques can be incorporated along with applications such as lane detection, number plate recognition, lens distortion correction, etc.

Fig. 1. Examples of low illumination and sudden illumination change.
II. RELATED WORK

The graphical representation of the hue distribution of an image is the histogram. Variation of hue is represented in the horizontal axis and the number of pixels of the tone is represented in the vertical axis. A histogram of an image with a brightness L in range ([0, G]) is defined as \( h(r_k) = n_k \). Where \( r_k \) is the \( k \)-th brightness level of range ([0, G]), \( n_k \) is the number of pixels with the brightness level of \( r_k \). If the image is 8 bits, the G value is 255 and if the image is 16 bits, then the G value is 35,535. The RGB color model is an additive color model since the red, green and blue lights are added in different proportions to generate a broad range of colors. RGB color model is an array of color pixels of \( M \times N \times 3 \). RGB color cube representing the RGB color space is as shown in Fig. 2 (a). Another color space used by the imaging system is the YCbCr color model which is as shown in Fig. 2 (b). In this model, Cr and Cb holds the color related information whereas the brightness information is represented by Y component. This is demonstrated as shown in Fig. 3. The YCbCr is a way of encoding RGB information and hence not an absolute color space. The actual color displayed is dependent on the RGB primary color of the display signal. A value expressed as YCbCr is predictable only if standard RGB chromaticities are used.

Image quality assessment has been studied by Oakley and Bu. However, these image evaluations arbitrarily have been interpreted as the distribution of the histogram [9], and there is no way to present the criteria for objective evaluation. This method has the disadvantage of change, depending on main agents of the evaluation in a process that indicates the quality of the image as the density distribution of the value of the pixels data [10]. The pixel data of the image is divided into four regions (high contrast/middle luminance (A), low contrast/low luminance (B), middle contrast/middle luminance (C), low contrast/high luminance (D)) of \( d-l \) scatter chart by combined luminance and contrast which is introduced by Ramponid and Restrepo. For example, as shown in Fig. 4, by applying \( Y = 0.3 \) to the image of a butterfly produce a bright image. Also, by applying \( Y = 2.5 \) produce a dark image. These \( d-l \) scatter chart appears as a scatter plot shown on the bottom side of Fig. 4. As shown in equation (1), common distribution can be shown by maximum/minimum of data and sample from the group, including probability density function (F) and cumulative distribution function (f).

\[
f_{\min,\max}(a,b) = n(n-1)(F(b) - F(a))^{n-2} f(a)f(b) \tag{1}
\]

Also, common density function about uniform distribution with \( F(t) = 1 \) and \( f(t) = 1 \) can be shown by equation (2).

\[
f_{\min,\max}(a,b) = n(n-1)[b-a]^{n-2} \tag{2}
\]

where the maximum of \( (\min, \max) \) is \( (a, b) = (0, 1) \) and the mathematical expectation value is given by equation (3).

\[
(E[\min], E[\max]) = \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{n-2}{n-1}\right) \tag{3}
\]

The luminance and contrast can be assessed using the work descriptor in this method and can be shown by (a) DCab, (b) BCAD, (c) BCad in \( d-l \) scatter chart as shown in Fig. 4. However, because this method was expressed such as “very bright, very high contrast” has a lack of objectivity and practicality. As shown in the results below, word descriptor is hard to determine the status of image quality as the intuitive representation, and selection of a gamma value (Y) to improve the image quality as the gamma correction is difficult.

To overcome these limitations, we propose a normalized numeric image descriptor to assess the luminance and contrast of the images. The proposed image descriptor uses each pixel’s data as a weighted value of the probability density function. It is further defined by normalization for objective representation. The proposed numeric image descriptor can suggest an objective basis of the gamma value selection also, we propose a consistent normalization technique irrespective of image resolution and data representation bits. In particular, it is possible to use tone mapping to illumination changes and low illumination conditions because of its high dynamic range.
III. PROPOSED IMAGE QUALITY EVALUATION

We are proposing an objective image quality evaluation (OIQE) method to evaluate the quality of the images. This method can improve the quality of the images under various non-ideal conditions such as low illumination and sudden illumination changes. The block schematic of the proposed method is as shown in Fig. 5. The input image which is in the RGB mode is converted into a YCbCr color model. The converted YCbCr color model runs the set block using Y value which has the luminance information. Then using a normalized numeric descriptor, the normalization of luminance (NoL) and normalization of contrast (NoC) is estimated. Finally, an objective image quality evaluation (OIQE) descriptor is used to generate the enhanced image using the information of normalized luminance and contrast.

III.I Normalization of Luminance (NoL)

The most basic quality evaluation of luminance and contrast is based on the histogram. The histogram of the gray image in range (0, L-1) can be represented by equation (4).

\[
h(r_k) = n_k \tag{4}
\]

Where \( n_k \) is the \( k \)-th gray level and \( n_k \) is the number of pixels with \( k \)-th gray level. Since gray level can be used to weight the luminance of the images, luminance information (luminance descriptor, LD) can be expressed as equation (5).

\[
LD = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} (r_k \cdot n_k) \tag{5}
\]

Since LD depends on the resolution of the image, equation (5) needs to be normalized. The normalization can be obtained by dividing the image resolution from the value of LD. This is expressed in equation (6).

\[
NoL = \frac{1}{LN} \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} r_k \cdot n_k, 0 \leq NoL \leq 1 \tag{6}
\]

Where, \( L \) is the maximum value of the input image pixels (if the pixel width is 8 bits, the maximum value of L is 255) and \( N \) is the resolution size of the input image.

The proposed Normalization of Luminance (NoL) has been applied to a “boat” image as shown in Fig. 6. The \( d-l \) scatter chart intuitively is possible to confirm with the naked eye. The gamma \((Y)\) value using NoL have something in common between \(d-l\) scatter and NoL descriptor.

III.II Normalization of Contrast (NoC)

Since the contrast information is not included in NoL, it is required to consider the expression method. The difference between the maximum and minimum values of the pixels of the image represents the contrast information and this is shown in equation (7).

\[
c = r_{\text{max}} - r_{\min} \tag{7}
\]

In order to obtain the contrast information (Contrast Descriptor ; CD), histogram \( h(c_l) = b_l \) and the gray image in range\([0, L-1]\) is used. Where \( c_l \) is the contrast of the \( l \)-th block. It has been calculated as \( c_l = b_l(r_{\text{max}} - r_{\text{min}}) \). The \( b_l \) is the number of blocks of \( c_l \) contrast value. In the same way, the value of NoC in equation (9) can be obtained through the normalization of equation (8).

\[
CD = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} c_l, b_l \tag{8}
\]

\[
NoC = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} c_l, b_l, 0 \leq NoC \leq 1 \tag{9}
\]

It is verified using the “gravelly field” image as shown below. As shown in Fig. 7, the gamma value using NoC have something in common between \(d-l\) scatter and NoC descriptor.

As a result of the equation (9), if NoC descriptor value approaches 1, the image is estimated to have high contrast and
it will be estimated to have low contrast if the NoC descriptor value approaches 0.

### III.III Objective Image Quality Evaluation (OIQE)

From the previous results, the normalized equation (10) of image quality concerning luminance and contrast is presented by arranging equations (6) and (9).

\[
OIQE = (NoL, NoC)
\]  

(10)

Therefore, it is possible to provide objective information about an image and at the same time, the ambiguity of conventional methods is eliminated too.

To prove this, we chose four sample images of “Airplane”, “Monkey”, “Butterfly”, and “Gravelly field” where all the images have different resolution and frequency distribution. Each of the images has been experimented while changing in units of 0.1 level using a gamma value of 0.1 to 3.0. The resolution of various images tested are from 200x200, 356x356 and 500x500, and it consists of the RGB color model of 24-bits. The images used in the experiment are separated as low-frequency image, high frequency image and the difference frequency image. The experiment results compared d-l scatter and corrected image.

(A) **Experiment 1**

The “Airplane” image shown in Fig. 8 consists of a low-frequency distribution in 200x200 resolution. If the resultant image is very dark or very bright, then the contrast information is reduced. In the case of appropriate brightness, contrast information appears generally well. It has something in common between d-l scatter and the proposed objective image quality evaluation (OIQE). Also, Table 1 shows the characteristic curve for each descriptor in Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8. The “Airplane” image results of OIQE for each gamma value and its scattering chart.](image)

(B) **Experiment 2**

The “Monkey” image with a resolution of 200x200 which is tested is shown in Fig. 9 below. The low and the high frequency are distributed evenly. If the frequency components are very high or very low, the contrast information regardless of brightness is generally low. This result can be confirmed to have the same result between the naked eye and OIQE. Table 2 shows a characteristic curve for each descriptor in Fig. 9.

![Fig. 9. The “Monkey” image results of OIQE for each gamma value and its scattering chart.](image)

(C) **Experiment 3**

The resolution of “Butterfly” image is 500x500 and the frequency was evenly distributed from low to high frequencies. The contrast information of the image is changed in accordance with the brightness of the image. As expected, the results of this experiment also correspond with the naked eye. This result can be confirmed by d-l scatter as shown in Fig. 10. The numeric descriptor and characteristic curve are represented as shown in Table 3.

![Fig. 10. The “Butterfly” image results of OIQE for each gamma value and its scattering chart.](image)
Table 3. Characteristic curve of “Butterfly” image for each descriptor

| D   | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|     | 0.91| 0.92| 0.93| 0.94| 0.95| 0.96| 0.97| 0.98| 0.99| 1   |
|     | 0.91| 0.92| 0.93| 0.94| 0.95| 0.96| 0.97| 0.98| 0.99| 1   |
| 1.0 | 0.98| 0.99| 1.00| 1.01| 1.02| 1.03| 1.04| 1.05| 1.06| 1.07|
| 1.1 | 1.2  | 1.3  | 1.4  | 1.5  | 1.6  | 1.7  | 1.8  | 1.9  | 2   |
|     | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 | 1.16 | 1.17 |
|     | 0.88 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.25 |
| 1.7 | 2.1  | 2.2  | 2.3  | 2.4  | 2.5  | 2.6  | 2.7  | 2.8  | 2.9  | 3   |
|     | 0.35 | 0.38 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.62 |
|     | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.30 |
|     | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.20 |
|     | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.19 |
| 2.1 | 2.2  | 2.3  | 2.4  | 2.5  | 2.6  | 2.7  | 2.8  | 2.9  | 3   |

(D) Experiment 4

The resolution of “Gravelly Field” image is 356×356 and the frequency distribution is distributed to high frequency in Fig. 11. Since the amplitude of frequency is large, in the case of a bright image the contrast information has a high value. If it is a dark image, the contrast information is reduced due to attenuated signal amplitude. But the contrast information is more. As a result, Fig. 11 and Table 4 can be compared.

Table 4. Characteristic curve of “Gravelly field” image for each descriptor

| F   | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1   |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|     | 0.82| 0.84| 0.86| 0.88| 0.90| 0.92| 0.94| 0.96| 0.98| 1   |
|     | 0.82| 0.84| 0.86| 0.88| 0.90| 0.92| 0.94| 0.96| 0.98| 1   |
|     | 0.91| 0.92| 0.93| 0.94| 0.95| 0.96| 0.97| 0.98| 0.99| 1   |
| 1.0 | 1.1  | 1.2  | 1.3  | 1.4  | 1.5  | 1.6  | 1.7  | 1.8  | 1.9  | 2   |
|     | 1.06 | 1.07 | 1.08 | 1.09 | 1.10 | 1.11 | 1.12 | 1.13 | 1.14 | 1.15 |
|     | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1   |
| 1.1 | 1.2  | 1.3  | 1.4  | 1.5  | 1.6  | 1.7  | 1.8  | 1.9  | 2   |
|     | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 1   |
| 1.7 | 2.1  | 2.2  | 2.3  | 2.4  | 2.5  | 2.6  | 2.7  | 2.8  | 2.9  | 3   |
|     | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.55 |
|     | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.39 | 0.41 |

From the experimental results, when the gamma value of the image is linearly increased, the OIQE descriptor of each image can confirm the change. In the case of NoL descriptor, if it approaches 1, the brightness is more and when it approaches 0 the image is darker. In the case of NoC descriptor, a low value may results in very bright or very dark whereas a high value will display the image vividly.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND ITS APPLICATION

We have demonstrated improved restoration of images under non-ideal situations such as low illumination and sudden illumination changes of moving vehicles and other CCTV images. The experimental illustration is explained in the following section. The proposed technique combines various image processing methods. All the images tested were captured using CMOS image sensors. The system is implemented in an environment of Visual Studio 2010 and OpenCV 2.2, using the Intel (R) Core (TM)2 Quad Q8400 CPU 2.66GHz hardware. The video playback is possible with the support of GUI (Graphical User Interface). The gamma adjustment is possible with this proposed method. The original videos are shown on the left and the corrected gamma-adjusted videos are shown on the right.

Fig. 12. Left: original images, Right: corrected images. (Clip 1: situation of high illumination)

Fig. 13. Left: original images, Right: corrected images. (Clip 2: situation of low illumination)

Fig. 14. Left: original images, Right: corrected images. (Clip 3: situation of illumination change)

Fig. 15. Left: original images, Right: corrected images. (Clip 4: situation of illumination change)
The original image of low illumination under overnight condition is difficult to ensure visibility as shown in Fig. 13. However, the right image in Fig. 13 shows the corrected results. The sky, land, mountain and structures are distinguished with the naked eye. Figs. 14 to Fig. 16 show the corrected results in the situation of a sudden illumination change when the vehicle passes through the tunnel and under a bridge. The next subsections show the figures for some applications that various algorithm have achieved through the combination of our proposed methods.

(A) Application 1-Lane Detection in Night Time

Each of Figs. 17 (a) and (b) shows the applied and non-applied result for a proposed method on road condition during overnight low illumination conditions. The results are calculated and tabulated in table. 5. The detection rate has increased by 16% compared to conventional methods. Table 6 shows the comparison results between the existing state of the art method and the proposed method. The average detection rate of the existing method is 93.25% for the images of same resolution [11][12][13] whereas the average detection rate of the proposed method is over 99% including daytime, nighttime, and other non-ideal road conditions.

(B) Application 2-Number plate Detection from a moving vehicle

Fig. 18 shows the result of number plate detection, corresponding to moving vehicles at night time and Table 7 displays the result. The detection rate has increased by 82% more than conventional methods.

(C) Application 3-Lens Distortion correction of the camera

Each of Figs. 19 (a) and (b) shows a comparison result between gamma correction and additional lens distortion correction. The performance indicator for the integrated
The evaluation of the image quality related to the luminance and contrast must take precedence to improve the image quality for non-ideal conditions such as sudden illumination changes and low illumination conditions. In this paper, we proposed an objective image quality evaluation \((OIQE)\) to overcome these non-ideal situations. The proposed image descriptor uses each pixel's data as a weighted value of the probability density function. It is further defined by normalization for objective representation. The normalization technique always can display images consistently irrespective of change in image resolution or change in the number of represented data bits. Also, it can be used in the adaptive gamma process since it suggests an objective basis of the gamma value selection. Tone mapping can be used to sudden illumination changes and low illumination conditions due to its high dynamic range. The proposed method can be incorporated with various application such as lane detection, number plate recognition, lens distortion correction etc. As a result, when this technique combined with existing applications and are used in harsh conditions (non-ideal), that cannot be easily taken with the camera lens, the performance and detection rate of the object can be enhanced.
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