GLOBAL VARIABLES FOR VARIOUS CENTRALITIES AT RHIC: A CRACOW MODEL APPROACH
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The final $p_T$-spectra measured at RHIC at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ and 200 GeV are fitted within the Cracow single-freeze-out model. Then the global variables like the transverse energy at midrapidity, the charged particle multiplicity at midrapidity and the total multiplicity of charged particles are evaluated. The predictions agree fairly well with the experimental data. The centrality independence of the total number of charged particles per participant pair has been also reproduced.
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1. The single-freeze-out model and global variable estimates

In this lecture the application of the single-freeze-out statistical model [1-5] to the final data on the $p_T$ spectra of identified charged particles measured in the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ and 200 GeV [6-12] is reviewed. Details of this analysis can be found elsewhere [13]. The foundations of the model are as follows: (a) the chemical and thermal freeze-outs take place simultaneously, (b) all confirmed resonances up to a mass of 2 GeV from the Particle Data Tables [14] are taken into account, (c) a freeze-out hypersurface is defined by the equation $\tau = \sqrt{t^2 - r_x^2 - r_y^2 - r_z^2} = \text{const}$, (d) the four-velocity of an element of the freeze-out hypersurface is proportional to its coordinate, $u^\mu = x^\mu/\tau$, (e) the transverse size is restricted by the condition $r = \sqrt{r_x^2 + r_y^2} < \rho_{\max}$. The maximum transverse-flow parameter can be expressed as $\beta_{\perp \max} = (\rho_{\max}/\tau)/(\sqrt{1 + (\rho_{\max}/\tau)^2})$. The model has four parameters, namely, the two thermal parameters, the temperature $T$ and the...
baryon number chemical potential $\mu_B$, and the two geometric parameters, $\tau$ and $\rho_{\text{max}}$.

With the use of the following parametrization of the hypersurface

$$
t = \tau \cosh \alpha_\parallel \cosh \alpha_\perp, \quad r_x = \tau \sinh \alpha_\perp \cos \phi, \quad r_y = \tau \sinh \alpha_\perp \sin \phi, \quad r_z = \tau \sinh \alpha_\parallel \cosh \alpha_\perp, \quad (1)
$$

the invariant distribution of the measured particles of species $i$ can be expressed in the form

$$
\frac{dN_i}{d^2p_T \ dy} = \tau^3 \int d\alpha_\parallel \int_{-\infty}^{\rho_{\text{max}}/\tau} \sinh \alpha_\perp \ d(\sinh \alpha_\perp) \int_0^{2\pi} d\xi \ (p \cdot u) \ f_i(p \cdot u), \quad (2)
$$

where

$$
p \cdot u = m_T \cosh (\alpha_\parallel - y) \cosh \alpha_\perp - p_T \cos \xi \sinh \alpha_\perp, \quad (3)
$$

and $f_i$ is the final momentum distribution of the particle in question. The final distribution means here that $f_i$ is the sum of primordial and simple and sequential decay contributions to the particle distribution (for details see [4, 15]).

Having integrated the distribution, Eq. (2), over $p_T$ and summing over appropriate final particles, one can obtain transverse energy ($dE_T/d\eta|_{\text{mid}}$) and charged particle multiplicity ($dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\text{mid}}$) densities at mid-rapidity (for details see [13]). The experimentally measured transverse energy is defined as

$$
E_T = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \hat{E}_i \cdot \sin \theta_i, \quad (4)
$$

where $\theta_i$ is the polar angle, $\hat{E}_i$ denotes $E_i - m_N$ ($m_N$ means the nucleon mass) for baryons, $E_i + m_N$ for antibaryons and the total energy $E_i$ for all other particles, and the sum is taken over all $L$ emitted particles [16, 17].

In the case of expansion satisfying the condition $d\sigma_\mu \sim u_\mu$ on a freeze-out hypersurface (as here), the total multiplicity of particle species $i$ can be derived in the form

$$
N_i = \int d^2p_T \ dy \frac{dN_i}{d^2p_T \ dy} = \int d\sigma \int d^2p_T \ dy \ (p \cdot u) \ f_i(p \cdot u)
$$
\begin{align}
= \int d\sigma \ n_i(T, \mu_B) = n_i(T, \mu_B) \int d\sigma ,
\end{align}

if the local thermal parameters are constant on this hypersurface. The density \( n_i \) is not the primordial thermal density of particle species \( i \) but it collects also contributions from decays of resonances. The last integral in Eq. (5) expresses the hypersurface volume and if the rapidity of the fluid element \( \alpha_\parallel \) is unlimited (cf. Eq. (2)), then this volume will be infinite. Thus \( \alpha_\parallel \) is assumed to have its maximal value \( \alpha_\parallel^{max} \). Then the volume can be expressed as \( 2\pi \alpha_\parallel^{max} \tau^{2}_{\rho_{max}} \) and the total multiplicity of charged particles is obtained:

\begin{align}
N_{ch} = 2\pi \alpha_\parallel^{max} \tau^{2}_{\rho_{max}} \sum_{i \in B} n_i(T, \mu_B) = 2\pi \alpha_\parallel^{max} \tau^{2}_{\rho_{max}} n_{ch}(T, \mu_B),
\end{align}

where \( B = \{ \pi^+, \pi^-, K^+, K^-, p, \bar{p} \} \). For \( \alpha_\parallel^{max} \) the following parametrization is obtained (for details see [13]):

\begin{align}
\alpha_\parallel^{max}(c) = y_p - \frac{\langle \delta y \rangle}{0.975} \cdot (1 - c),
\end{align}

where \( y_p \) is the projectile rapidity, \( \langle \delta y \rangle \) the average rapidity loss and \( c \) is a fractional number representing the middle of a given centrality bin, \( i.e. \ c = 0.025 \) for the 0 – 5% centrality bin, etc..

2. Results

Studies of the particle ratios and \( p_T \) spectra at various centralities in the framework of the single freeze-out model were done for the preliminary RHIC data at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) [18, 19] in [5]. The procedure has two stages. First, thermal parameters \( T \) and \( \mu_B \) are fitted with the use of the experimental ratios of hadron multiplicities at midrapidity. After then two next parameters, \( \tau \) and \( \rho_{max} \), are determined from the simultaneous fit to the transverse-momentum spectra of \( \pi^\pm, K^\pm, p \) and \( \bar{p} \). Both fits are performed with the help of the \( \chi^2 \) method. Since (a) the preliminary data for the \( p_T \) spectra [18, 20] differ from the final data [8, 9], (b) not all bins were fitted in [5], (c) the data points were digitized from the plots in [5], the fit procedure for determination of the geometric parameters of the model, \( \tau \) and \( \rho_{max} \), has been performed again. For completeness the PHENIX case at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130 \text{ GeV} \) has been repeated, since the first published data were for three bins only [6]. The data for the next two bins, which were not fitted in [4], were added in the later report [7]. Also the BRAHMS spectra measured at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV} \) [10, 11, 12] have not been fitted within
Fig. 1. Invariant yields as a function of $p_T$ for RHIC at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The PHOBOS data are for the 15% most central collisions with the error bars expressed as the sum of the systematic and statistical uncertainties \[22\]. The corresponding PHENIX data are presented as the averages of the invariant yields for the 0−5%, 5−10% and 10−15% centrality bins with no errors given. Lines are the appropriate predictions of the single-freeze-out model.

this model until now. The thermal parameters for the three cases of the maximal RHIC collision energy have been taken from the newer studies of the particle abundance ratios \[20, 21\].

The final results for the geometric parameters $\rho_{\text{max}}$ and $\tau$ are gathered in Table 1 together with the corresponding values of $\chi^2/\text{NDF}$ for each centrality class characterized by the number of participants $N_{\text{part}}$. All fits are statistically significant beside the most peripheral bins of the PHENIX measurements.

To check the accuracy of the model predictions, the invariant distributions given by Eq. (2) are calculated down to the low-$p_T$ region (0.03-0.05 GeV for pions, 0.09-0.13 GeV for kaons and 0.14-0.21 GeV for protons and antiprotons) of the PHOBOS measurements at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV \[22\]. The results are depicted in Fig. 1. As one can see predictions agree very well with the low-$p_T$ data.

In Fig. 2 predictions for $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\text{mid}}$ per participating pair as a function of centrality are presented for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. In the STAR case results agree well with the data. In the PHENIX case results agree within errors with the data from the summing up of the integrated charged hadron yields \[5\], but they are significantly below the straightforward PHENIX measurements \[17\]. This reflects the observed discrepancy between the directly
Table 1. Values of the geometric parameters of the model fitted to the RHIC final data on the $p_T$ spectra of identified charged hadrons [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Values of the thermal parameters are taken from the quoted references.

| Collision case | $N_{\text{part}}$ | $r_{\text{max}}$ [fm] | $\tau$ [fm] | $\beta_{\perp}^\text{max}$ | $\chi^2$/NDF |
|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| PHENIX at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ GeV: | | | | | |
| $T = 165$ MeV | 347.7 | 6.50±0.27 | 8.23±0.23 | 0.62 | 0.52 |
| $\mu_B = 41$ MeV | 271.3 | 5.99±0.21 | 7.29±0.18 | 0.63 | 0.46 |
| $\mu_B = 26$ MeV | 253.9 | 7.54±0.10 | 7.67±0.08 | 0.70 | 0.48 |
| $\mu_B = 24$ MeV | 215.3 | 7.11±0.10 | 7.17±0.07 | 0.70 | 0.48 |
| STAR at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV: | | | | | |
| $T = 155.2$ MeV | 299.0 | 8.46±0.10 | 8.84±0.08 | 0.69 | 0.80 |
| $\mu_B = 26$ MeV | 234.0 | 7.99±0.10 | 8.23±0.08 | 0.70 | 0.61 |
| BRAHMS at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV: | | | | | |
| $T = 155.2$ MeV | 328.0 | 8.40±0.17 | 6.83±0.06 | 0.78 | 0.27 |
| $\mu_B = 26$ MeV | 239.0 | 7.57±0.15 | 6.33±0.06 | 0.77 | 0.23 |

measured $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ and $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ expressed as the sum of the integrated charged hadron yields [13].

In Fig. 3 the estimates of $dE_T/d\eta|_{\text{mid}}$ per participating pair are shown as a function of centrality for $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The predictions agree well with the data, only the most central point of the STAR case is substantially
Fig. 2. $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ per pair of participants versus $N_{part}$ for RHIC at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The original PHENIX data are from [17], whereas the recalculated PHENIX data are from summing up the integrated charged hadron yields delivered in [8]. Also the STAR data are depicted with no errors given as in the source paper [9]. The lines connect the results and are to guide the eye.

Fig. 3. $dE_T/d\eta$ per pair of participants versus $N_{part}$ for RHIC at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. The PHENIX data are from [17] but the original STAR data from [16] have been rescaled to $\eta = 0$, see [13] for more explanations. The lines connect the results and are to guide the eye.

overestimated ($\approx 17\%$).

The predictions for the total charged-particle multiplicity per participating pair are presented in Fig. 4. One can see that estimated values are
roughly constant within the range of the PHOBOS measurement\cite{23}, i.e. $N_{\text{part}} \approx 60-360$. Only $\approx 10\%$ deviation from this constancy can be observed in the BRAHMS case. Also the predicted (absolut) values agree with the data within $\approx 10\%$.

3. Conclusions

Global variables like the transverse energy density, the charged particle multiplicity density and the total multiplicity of charged particles have been estimated in the framework of the single-freeze-out model for the Au-Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ and 200 GeV. The estimates are based on the fits of the parameters of the model which are done in the first stage. While the thermal parameters have been taken from independent fits to the particle yield ratios, the geometric parameters have been determined from the final data on the $p_T$-spectra of identified charged hadrons. The consistent picture of the freeze-out has been obtained within the model since the global variables are measured independently of identified hadron spectroscopy and their predictions agree fairly well with the data. It should be stressed that the model reproduces the centrality independence of the total charged-particle multiplicity per participating pair and the predicted values agree with the measured ones within $\approx 10\%$. This is surprising since geometric parameters have been fitted to spectra measured at midrapidity, but the total charged-particle multiplicity is measured in the whole rapidity range.

Fig. 4. $N_{\text{ch}}$ per pair of participants versus $N_{\text{part}}$ for RHIC at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 130$ and 200 GeV. The PHOBOS data are from\cite{23} and the $pp/\bar{p}p$ data point of the UA5 measurement is from Fig.39.5 in\cite{14}. The lines connect the results and are to guide the eye.
range.
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