Development of airflow limitation, dyspnoea, and both in the general population: the Nagahama study

Mariko Kogo1, Susumu Sato1,2*, Shigeo Muro3, Hisako Matsumoto1,4, Natsumo Nomura1, Noriyuki Tashima4, Tsuyoshi Oguma5, Hironobu Sunadome2, Tadao Nagasaki2, Kimihiko Murase2, Takahisa Kawaguchi6, Yasuharu Tabara5,6, Fumihiko Matsuda5, Kazuo Chin5,7 & Toyohiro Hirai1

Subjects with subclinical respiratory dysfunction who do not meet the chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) criteria have attracted attention with regard to early COPD intervention. Our aim was to longitudinally investigate the risks for the development of airflow limitation (AFL) and dyspnoea, the main characteristics of COPD, in a large-scale community-based general population study. The Nagahama study included 9789 inhabitants, and a follow-up evaluation was conducted after 5 years. AFL was diagnosed using a fixed ratio (forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) < 0.7). We enrolled normal subjects aged 40–75 years with no AFL, dyspnoea or prior diagnosis of asthma or COPD at baseline. In total, 5865 subjects were analysed, 310 subjects had subclinical respiratory dysfunction (FEV1/FVC < the lower limit of normal; n = 57, and FEV1 < 80% of the predicted value (preserved ratio impaired spirometry); n = 256). A total of 5086 subjects attended the follow-up assessment, and 449 and 1021 subjects developed AFL and dyspnoea, respectively. Of these, 100 subjects developed AFL with dyspnoea. Baseline subclinical respiratory dysfunction was independently and significantly associated with AFL with dyspnoea development within 5 years. Subjects with subclinical respiratory dysfunction are at risk of developing COPD-like features and require careful monitoring.

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is an important cause of morbidity and mortality; however, many COPD cases remain undiagnosed globally1,2. Undiagnosed early COPD and pre-COPD are associated with poor outcomes4–8, therefore, it is necessary to enhance the early identification of COPD3,4. In this context, subjects with subclinical respiratory dysfunction who do not meet the COPD criteria of the Global Initiative for Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD)7 have attracted attention. Given the age-related decline in lung function, these subjects may require close monitoring for the development of airflow limitation (AFL). Additionally, the development of respiratory symptoms, which are independent features of COPD, is also of great concern. Particularly, dyspnoea is directly connected to inactivity and can cause a cycle of declining health. Dyspnoea also causes early mortality; therefore, special attention should be paid to this condition9.

Preserved ratio impaired spirometry (PRISm), characterized by a preserved forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1)/forced vital capacity (FVC) ratio for proportionate impairments in the FEV1 and FVC, is a type of subclinical respiratory dysfunction. PRISm can be defined as a FEV1 < 80% of the predicted value (%FEV1 < 80%) and FEV1/FVC ≥ 0.7. Ageing, cigarette smoke exposure, increased systemic inflammation and obesity have been reported to be involved in PRISm10. Such patients exhibit aggravated respiratory symptoms, cardiovascular comorbidities and mortality4,11,12. Regarding the development of COPD, patients with PRISm presenting with dyspnoea are at risk of a subsequent diagnosis of COPD13. However, the clinical impact of asymptomatic PRISm is unclear.
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Other types of subclinical respiratory dysfunction, such as FEV1/FVC below the lower limit of normal (LLN), defined as the 5th percentile of the predicted value for FEV1/FVC, are also important. As FEV1/FVC commonly exhibits an age-dependent decline\(^{14}\), the definition of AFL based on a fixed ratio of 0.7 could lead to the overestimation of AFL among older subjects and underestimation among younger subjects. Previous studies describing the significance of FEV1/FVC < LLN mainly focused on the potential overdiagnosis of AFL based on the use of a fixed ratio, and in a population-based study, higher rates of morbidity and mortality were observed in subjects with FEV1/FVC < LLN\(^{15-18}\).

We hypothesized that these types of subclinical respiratory dysfunction, including FEV1/FVC < LLN and PRISm, together with smoking status and comorbidities could be risk factors for the development of COPD. The specific goal of this study was to identify the risk factors for the development of AFL; respiratory symptoms, particularly dyspnoea; and both.

Clinically, subjects with AFL and dyspnoea could be diagnosed with COPD; therefore, this investigation may contribute to the early detection of COPD in the general population.

**Results**

**Characteristics of the included subjects.** Of the 9804 participants recruited for the Nagahama study, 5865 individuals aged 40–75 years who did not have AFL (FEV1/FVC ≥ 0.7) or dyspnoea (modified Medical Research Council [mMRC] dyspnoea scale = 0) at baseline were included in the current analysis (Fig. 1).

At baseline, 310 subjects had subclinical respiratory dysfunction (based on Japanese predictive equations from the Japanese Respiratory Society (JRS); 57 subjects had FEV1/FVC < LLN and 256 subjects had %FEV1 < 80%) (Table 1). Compared with subjects with normal respiratory function (FEV1/FVC ≥ LLN and %FEV1 > 80%), subjects with FEV1/FVC < LLN were younger (mean 49 years vs. 59 years), were predominantly female (81% vs. 67%), and had a lower body mass index (BMI) (21.4 kg/m\(^2\) vs. 22.5 kg/m\(^2\)), whereas subjects with %FEV1 < 80% (PRISm) were older (62 years vs. 59 years), predominantly male (43% vs. 33%), and more likely to have a smoking history (41% vs. 31%).

After 5 years, 5086 subjects underwent follow-up assessments (Fig. 1).

The baseline characteristics of the subjects (N = 779) who were lost to follow-up are presented in Supplementary Table S1.

**Development of AFL and dyspnoea.** Among the 5086 subjects who attended the 5-year follow-up, AFL was newly identified in 449 subjects (9%); 1021 subjects (20%) had newly developed dyspnoea (mMRC ≥ 1), and 100 subjects developed both AFL and dyspnoea concurrently (AFL with dyspnoea).

Compared with subjects without AFL or dyspnoea at follow-up, subjects who developed AFL were older (mean 63 years vs. 58 years), more likely to be male (55% vs 31%), and more likely to smokers (current or former) (49% vs. 28%) at baseline (Table 2), while subjects who developed dyspnoea were older (61 years vs. 58 years), had a higher BMI (23 kg/m\(^2\) vs. 22.3 kg/m\(^2\)), and were more likely to be current smokers (13% vs. 9%). At follow-up, a higher prevalence of comorbidities, especially hypertension, was observed in both AFL patients and dyspnoea patients than in normal controls (29%, 30% and 21%).

Subjects with AFL with dyspnoea were older (64 years vs. 58 years), more likely to be male (50% vs. 31%) and more likely to be current smokers (27% vs. 9%) than normal subjects. They also had higher prevalence rates of hypertension and cardiovascular disease (30% vs. 21% for hypertension and 11% vs. 4% for cardiovascular diseases).

Figure 2 shows the incidence rates of the development of AFL, dyspnoea, and both at follow-up, according to baseline spirometry characteristics (PRISm, FEV1/FVC < LLN, and any "subclinical respiratory dysfunction"). Subjects with any subclinical respiratory dysfunction at baseline had higher incidence rates of AFL (30%, 47% and 33%) and AFL with dyspnoea (8%, 7% and 8%) than those without them. Regarding the development of dyspnoea, those with PRISm at baseline had a higher incidence than normal subjects.
Table 1. Characteristics of the subjects without AFL or dyspnoea on exertion at enrolment (N = 5865). All values are expressed as the mean (± SD) except categorical variables, which are expressed as N (%). SD standard division, FEV1 forced expiratory volume in 1 s, FVC forced vital capacity, LLN lower limits of normal, BMI body mass index. *Subjects with FEV1/FVC ≥ LLN and %FEV1 > 80%. †P < 0.05, comparing subjects with FEV1/FVC < LLN to those with normal respiratory function. ‡P < 0.05, comparing subjects with %FEV1 < 80% to those with normal respiratory function.

| Characteristics                  | Total         | Normal respiratory function* | Subclinical respiratory dysfunction | FEV1/FVC < LLN | %FEV1 < 80% |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| N                                | 5865          | 5555                        |                                   | 57            | 256        |
| Age, year                        | 59 (± 9)      | 59 (± 9)                    | 49 (± 8)‡                         | 62 (± 9)†     |            |
| Female, N (%)                    | 3887 (66)     | 3699 (67)                   | 46 (81)†                          | 145 (57)‡     |            |
| Height, cm                       | 159 (± 8)     | 159 (± 8)                   | 160 (± 8)                         | 160 (± 9)     |            |
| Weight, kg                       | 57 (± 10)     | 57 (± 10)                   | 55 (± 10)§                         | 59 (± 13)     |            |
| BMI, kg/m²                       | 22.5 (± 3.1)  | 22.5 (± 3.1)                | 21.4 (± 2.6)§                      | 23.0 (± 3.8)  |            |
| Smoking status, N (%)            | 1831 (31)     | 1713 (31)                   | 13 (23)                           | 105 (41)§     |            |
| Current                          | 668 (11)      | 616 (11)                    | 10 (18)                           | 42 (16)‡      |            |
| Former                           | 1163 (20)     | 1097 (20)                   | 3 (5)†                            | 63 (25)‡      |            |
| Pack-years among smokers         | 12 (± 20)     | 11 (± 19)                   | 24 (± 23)‡                         | 17 (± 25)     |            |

Pulmonary function test

| %FEV1, %                        | 104 (± 14)    | 106 (± 13)                  | 98 (± 14)§                         | 73 (± 8)‡     |            |
| %FVC, %                         | 101 (± 14)    | 102 (± 13)                  | 111 (± 16)§                        | 73 (± 9)‡     |            |
| FEV1/FVC                        | 0.82 (± 0.05) | 0.82 (± 0.05)               | 0.72 (± 0.01)§                     | 0.80 (± 0.06)§|            |

Comorbidities, N (%)

| Hypertension                    | 1395 (24)     | 1318 (24)                   | 5 (9)†                             | 72 (28)       |            |
| Diabetes                        | 388 (7)       | 364 (7)                     | 1 (2)                              | 28 (11)§      |            |
| Cardiovascular disease          | 264 (5)       | 250 (5)                     | 0 (0)                              | 14 (5)        |            |

Table 2. Characteristics at enrolment of subjects who had AFL, dyspnoea or both at follow-up (N = 5086). All values are expressed as the mean (± SD) except categorical variables, which are expressed as N (%). SD standard division, AFL airflow limitation, BMI body mass index, FEV1 forced expiratory volume in 1 s, FVC forced vital capacity. *P < 0.05, compared with normal subjects without AFL or dyspnoea at follow-up.

| Characteristics                  | AFL at follow-up | Dyspnoea at follow-up | AFL with dyspnoea at follow-up | Normal at follow-up |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| N                                | 449               | 1,021                 | 100                           | 3716                |
| Age, years                       | 63 (± 8)*         | 61 (± 9)*             | 64 (± 8)*                      | 58 (± 9)            |
| Female, N (%)                    | 202 (45)*         | 702 (69)              | 50 (50)*                       | 2564 (69)          |
| Height, cm                       | 162 (± 8)*        | 158 (± 8)             | 161 (± 8)*                     | 159 (± 8)          |
| Weight, kg                       | 59 (± 10)*        | 58 (± 10)*            | 59 (± 11)*                     | 57 (± 10)          |
| BMI, kg/m²                       | 22.4 (± 2.8)      | 23 (± 3.3)*           | 22.8 (± 3.3)                   | 22.3 (± 2.9)       |
| Smoking status, N (%)            | 219 (49)*         | 307 (30)              | 48 (48)*                       | 1052 (28)         |
| Current                          | 98 (22)*          | 131 (13) *            | 27 (27)*                       | 328 (9)           |
| Former                           | 121 (27)*         | 176 (17)              | 21 (21)                        | 724 (19)          |

Pulmonary function test

| %FEV1, %                        | 96 (± 14)*        | 91 (± 15)*             | 94 (± 14)*                      | 106 (± 14)        |
| %FVC, %                         | 99 (± 16)*        | 99 (± 15)*             | 98 (± 15)*                      | 102 (± 14)        |
| FEV1/FVC                        | 0.76 (± 0.04)*    | 0.82 (± 0.05)*         | 0.76 (± 0.04)*                  | 0.83 (± 0.05)     |

Comorbidities, N (%)

| Hypertension                    | 128 (29)*        | 303 (30)*              | 30 (30)*                        | 792 (21)          |
| Diabetes                        | 31 (7)           | 72 (7)                 | 6 (6)                           | 229 (6)           |
| Cardiovascular disease          | 23 (5)           | 77 (8)*                | 11 (11)*                        | 133 (4)           |
The risk factors for the development of AFL (Table 3A), dyspnoea, and both (AFL with dyspnoea) at follow-up in groups with normal respiratory function and subclinical respiratory dysfunction at enrolment (N = 5086). A GOLD grade ≥ 2 was defined as the development AFL with %FEV1 < 80%. AFL airflow limitation, FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s, FVC forced vital capacity. *Two subjects had both FEV1/FVC < LLN and %FEV1 < 80% at baseline. †P value < 0.05 compared with normal respiratory function.

The risk factors for the development of AFL (Table 3A), dyspnoea (Table 3B) and AFL with dyspnoea (Table 4) in the univariate and multivariate analyses are shown. Age, current smoking, cardiovascular disease, PRISm and FEV1/FVC < LLN at baseline were significantly associated with the development of AFL with dyspnoea in the multivariate analysis (risk ratio [95% confidence interval]; 1.99 [1.49–2.67] and 2.71 [1.44–5.09], respectively). The associations between subclinical respiratory dysfunction and the development of AFL with dyspnoea were consistent in those with GOLD stage 2 or higher AFL (%FEV1 < 80% and FEV1/FVC < 0.7) (see Supplementary Table S2). Classifying FEV1/FVC based on the LLN defined by the European Respiratory Society Global Lung Function Initiative (GLI) produced results similar to those obtained using the LLN defined by the JRS (see Supplementary Table S3).

Serum brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) was also analysed (see Supplementary note). High BNP was associated with the development of AFL (risk ratio [95% confidence interval]; 1.71 [1.30–2.26]), dyspnoea (1.36 [1.13–1.64]) and AFL with dyspnoea (2.10 [1.19–3.73]) and it still had the positive risks for dyspnoea and AFL with dyspnoea in the multivariate general linear models (see Supplementary Table S4).

**Discussion**

We investigated a population-based cohort with follow-up assessments to evaluate both respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function and found that subclinical respiratory dysfunction, represented by FEV1/FVC < LLN and %FEV1 < 80% (PRISm), was independently associated with the development of AFL, especially AFL with dyspnoea, which is the most important COPD-like feature. Given the need to promote the early detection of COPD, the major finding of the present study is that subjects with subclinical respiratory dysfunction should be observed closely for the development of respiratory symptoms. Moreover, our results revealed the independent impacts of current smoking on the development of all AFL, dyspnoea, and AFL with dyspnoea; therefore,
smoking cessation should be encouraged. We also showed that comorbidities and obesity independently contributed to the development of dyspnoea, and a history of cardiovascular disease had an impact on the development of AFL with dyspnoea.

Although the clinical importance of subclinical respiratory dysfunction has been identified, significant associations have been reported with only progression to COPD20,21,23,24,25. Park et al. reported that PRISm in elderly patients or those with existing respiratory symptoms was associated with an increased risk of a physician diagnosis of COPD within 3 years25. However, their study was limited to smokers, and they established only COPD, not the development of respiratory symptoms (dyspnoea) or AFL as the outcome. Consequently, the relationship between PRISm and the development of COPD is ill-defined, especially in never smokers and those who are not yet symptomatic. Moreover, to our knowledge, no study has assessed the association between FEV1/FVC < LLN and the development of COPD. The present study builds on previous research on subclinical respiratory dysfunction by clarifying the risks for the development of AFL with dyspnoea at 5 years, which were two- and threefold higher in those with PRISm and FEV1/FVC < LLN, respectively, than in those with normal respiratory function, independent of age or smoking status.

The subjects with PRISm who developed AFL or AFL with dyspnoea mostly had GOLD stage 2 or higher disease (Fig. 2), independent of age, smoking status, or a history of cardiovascular disease. We also found that increased serum BNP was associated with both AFL and dyspnoea. These results were in accordance with those of previous studies in subjects with COPD25, they were consistent with those in previous studies in subjects with COPD20,21,23,24,25. Park et al. reported that PRISm in elderly patients or those with existing respiratory symptoms was associated with an increased risk of a physician diagnosis of COPD within 3 years25. However, their study was limited to smokers, and they established only COPD, not the development of respiratory symptoms (dyspnoea) or AFL as the outcome. Consequently, the relationship between PRISm and the development of COPD is ill-defined, especially in never smokers and those who are not yet symptomatic. Moreover, to our knowledge, no study has assessed the association between FEV1/FVC < LLN and the development of COPD. The present study builds on previous research on subclinical respiratory dysfunction by clarifying the risks for the development of AFL with dyspnoea at 5 years, which were two- and threefold higher in those with PRISm and FEV1/FVC < LLN, respectively, than in those with normal respiratory function, independent of age or smoking status.

The subjects with PRISm who developed AFL or AFL with dyspnoea mostly had GOLD stage 2 or higher disease (Fig. 2), independent of age, smoking status, or a history of cardiovascular disease. We also found that increased serum BNP was associated with both AFL and dyspnoea. These results were in accordance with those of previous studies in subjects with COPD25, they were consistent with those in previous studies in subjects with COPD20,21,23,24,25. Park et al. reported that PRISm in elderly patients or those with existing respiratory symptoms was associated with an increased risk of a physician diagnosis of COPD within 3 years25. However, their study was limited to smokers, and they established only COPD, not the development of respiratory symptoms (dyspnoea) or AFL as the outcome. Consequently, the relationship between PRISm and the development of COPD is ill-defined, especially in never smokers and those who are not yet symptomatic. Moreover, to our knowledge, no study has assessed the association between FEV1/FVC < LLN and the development of COPD. The present study builds on previous research on subclinical respiratory dysfunction by clarifying the risks for the development of AFL with dyspnoea at 5 years, which were two- and threefold higher in those with PRISm and FEV1/FVC < LLN, respectively, than in those with normal respiratory function, independent of age or smoking status.

Table 4. Risks associated with the development of AFL with dyspnoea at 5 years. RR risk ratio, AFL airflow limitation, BMI body mass index, FEV1 forced expiratory volume in 1 s, FVC forced vital capacity, LLN lower limits of normal. aSpirometer used at follow-up. bRR (95% confidence interval).
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| Smoking status | RR   | Adjusted RR |
|---------------|------|-------------|
| Age ≥ 60 years | 2.98 | 1.72        |
| Female        | 0.48 | 0.84        |
| Current vs. former | 2.54 | 3.28        |
| Former vs. never | 1.37 | 0.90        |
| BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² | 1.10 | 0.97        |
| Hypertension | 1.42 | 1.07        |
| Diabetes      | 0.94 | 0.76        |
| Cardiovascular disease | 2.74 | 1.54        |
| %FEV1 < 80% | 3.73 | 1.99        |
| FEV1/FVC < LLN | 3.50 | 2.71        |
| SP-370 at follow-up | 2.38 | 1.47        |

In our study, 9% and 2% of subjects developed AFL and AFL with dyspnoea within 5 years, respectively. These proportions were lower than those in a Western report22,23. This might reflect the larger population of never smokers in Japan. Indeed, a Japanese population-based study reported an incidence of AFL similar to that in our study24. We acknowledge potential bias from loss to follow-up. However, given the lower FEV1 in subjects who were lost to follow-up than in those who were followed, including the lost subjects would increase the incidence of COPD in the group with subclinical respiratory dysfunction. This supports our conclusion that subclinical respiratory dysfunction is a risk factor for the development of COPD.

We observed that different factors were associated with the development of AFL and dyspnoea. Age, male sex, current smoking, low BMI, and subclinical respiratory dysfunction were associated with the development of AFL. However, age, female sex, comorbidities, and obesity were associated with the development of dyspnoea.

![Table 4](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)
These differences were previously reported in a cross-sectional study on preclinical COPD. In our study, we found the important contribution of obesity to the development of dyspnoea, despite several studies reporting controversial results and mechanisms of the association between dyspnoea and obesity. Additionally, in our study, a history of cardiovascular disease was independently associated with not only the development of AFL but also the development of AFL with dyspnoea. Although the mechanism is unclear, previous studies reporting the association between cardiovascular disease and COPD suggested the contribution of pulmonary vascular congestion or proinflammatory molecules, including angiotensin 2, in cardiovascular disease to the development of AFL. On the other hand, there is a possibility that cardiovascular disease itself exacerbates dyspnoea during the process of airway remodelling in COPD. However, AFL, symptoms, and comorbidities are so closely linked in COPD that the GOLD document emphasize the importance of comprehensive management rather than addressing them as separate phenomena. Considering the previous study that found that dyspnoea itself is a risk factor for the development of COPD as well as a cause of morbidity, our results are important in terms of identifying the population at high risk for COPD.

Significant associations between current smoking but not former smoking at enrolment and the development of dyspnoea and AFL with dyspnoea in 5 years were observed. This was previously suggested in a cross-sectional study that showed an association of current smoking but not pack years with severe respiratory symptoms. Our study additionally revealed the importance of smoking cessation in subjects without AFL or dyspnoea in terms of prevention of both COPD and related morbidity.

Numerous clinical trials on the prognostic prediction of COPD have used the GOLD criteria, and AFL with dyspnoea in this study comes close to fulfilling those criteria. We believe that identifying subjects at risk of the development of AFL with dyspnoea would be of great benefit in the real world. We found that those with subclinical respiratory dysfunction, including PRISm and FEV1/FVC < LLN, were 2- to 3-times more likely than those with normal function to develop AFL with dyspnoea, respectively. We suggest that more attention should be given to these subjects.

A strength of this study is its evaluation of risk factors using a longitudinal population-based cohort and the 87% follow-up rate. However, some limitations should be mentioned. First, we had access to only spirometry results without bronchodilation. Therefore, we could not fully exclude subjects with reversible AFL. However, some limitations should be mentioned. First, we had access to only spirometry results without bronchodilation. Therefore, we could not fully exclude subjects with reversible AFL. However, several studies have employed pulmonary function test parameters without bronchodilation as a metric. Additionally, the GOLD guidelines accept this as a pragmatic approach to the identification of cases of COPD. For these reasons, we are confident in our results with regard to the identification of risk factors for the development of COPD. Second, there are no standard reference equations for the Japanese population that are internationally accepted. Therefore, we applied the prediction equations from the JRS to identify FEV1/FVC < LLN in the present study. Additionally, we validated our results with GLI-derived reference equations for “other” ethnicities. Third, we evaluated dyspnoea considering only the mMRC criteria. This unidimensional estimation of dyspnoea could cause underestimation and limit the interpretation of our study. However, several studies have described mMRC-defined dyspnoea to be a predictive factor for disability and mortality. Considering that those with an mMRC grade of 1 can be highly symptomatic, we used a grade of 1 instead of 2 for the cut-off of the mMRC grade. This minimized underestimation and was suitable for our purpose of promoting early identification of subjects at risk.

We revealed that individuals with subclinical respiratory dysfunction, including FEV1/FVC < LLN and %FEV1 < 80% (PRISm), are at risk of developing COPD in 5 years. Patients with comorbidities and obesity could develop dyspnoea via mechanisms other than the progression of AFL.

**Methods**

**Study design and subjects.** This was a population-based observational study based on the Nagahama Cohort for Comprehensive Human Bioscience (the Nagahama Study); subjects from the general population of Nagahama in Shiga Prefecture, Central Japan, were enrolled from November 2008 to November 2010. Residents aged 30–74 years who were able to live independently and lacked serious health or physical impairment were recruited. The participants in this cohort were invited to participate in a follow-up assessment 5 years after enrolment, from 2013 to 2015.

All clinical measurements, pulmonary function tests and blood sampling were performed at enrolment and follow-up. Medical histories were investigated using a structured questionnaire. Dyspnoea was identified using the mMRC criteria, and participants with an mMRC grade of 0 were considered to be free from dyspnoea.

Smoking status was classified as current, former, or never smoker. Cardiometabolic comorbidities, including hypertension, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease (a history of heart disease or stroke), were defined by the responses to the self-reported questionnaires and/or the results of blood tests (fasting blood glucose level ≥ 126 mg/dl, random serum glucose level ≥ 200 mg or HbA1c ≥ 6.5% for the diagnosis of diabetes). Among the 9804 residents recruited from 2008 to 2010, 5868 subjects aged 40–75 years who did not have a history of adult asthma or COPD and who did not have AFL (FEV1/FVC ≥ 0.7) or dyspnoea (mMRC grade of 0) at the time of enrolment were included (Fig. 1).

This study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All study protocols were approved by the ethics committee of Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine and the Nagahama Municipal Review Board (Registry ID G0278). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Pulmonary function tests.** Pulmonary function was measured during an FVC manoeuvre with an electronic spirometer with automated quality checks (baseline; SP-350 COPD, Fukuda Denshi, Tokyo, Japan).
15% of the subjects, the same type of spirometer (SP-350) was used to measure FVC at baseline and at follow-up, while a different type of spirometer (SP-370) was used in the remaining subjects.

An FVC manoeuvre was performed more than twice at baseline and at follow-up by trained and certified medical technologists to minimize the influence of incomplete effort. The most relevant data was selected by pulmonologists for analysis. AFL was defined based on a fixed ratio (FEV1/FVC < 0.7). Predicted normal values for FEV1, FVC and the LLN for FEV1/FVC were calculated using the JRS guidelines44. The LLN defined by the GLI was also used to confirm our results49.

**Statistical analysis.** The Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Pearson chi-square test were used to compare the characteristics of subjects with and without subclinical respiratory dysfunction at baseline and who had or had not developed AFL or dyspnoea at follow-up. To assess the adjusted risk ratio of the development of AFL dyspnoea (mMRC ≥ 1) and AFL with dyspnoea in 5 years, we used multivariate general linear models with a Poisson distribution and log link function, with adjustment for age, sex, BMI, smoking history, and major comorbidities. Regarding age and BMI, clinically relevant cut-off values (age ≥ 60 years and BMI ≥ 25) were applied30.

A two-tailed P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP Pro 14 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Data are presented as means (± standard deviations [SDs]) for continuous variables and percentages for categorical variables.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate.** This study adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All study protocols were approved by the ethics committee of Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine and the Nagahama Municipal Review Board (Registry ID G0278). We obtained written informed consent from all the participants.

**Data availability**

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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