INTRODUCTION

A bilateral occurrence of kissing molars and three-rooted maxillary premolars is rare and not been reported previously in literature. In this report, we describe the detailed clinical and radiographic evaluation, including considerations of key factors prior to any surgical or endodontic intervention in such a patient.

Tooth impaction is a pathological process whereby the tooth does not erupt into its functional position due to physical or genetic factors. The most commonly affected teeth are the mandibular third molars having a prevalence of 16% to 68% while the least common are the mandibular second molars whose prevalence is 0% to 2.3%. The “kissing molar” (occlusal surfaces contacting each other with their roots pointed in opposite directions) relationship of these teeth is extraordinarily sparse with only eleven cases of bilateral pathology having been reported to date. The etiopathogenesis of this condition remains unknown; however, arch length deficiency and metabolic diseases are implicated.

Abnormal root morphology of the maxillary premolars, although uncommon, has been reported previously in literature involving relatively rare cases such as presence of a third root of the first maxillary premolar and a two-rooted second maxillary premolar in about 25% of cases. There are scarce reports on the occurrence of a three-rooted second maxillary premolar whose incidence was reported to be 0.3% to 2% with no reports on a bilateral occurrence of this phenomenon.
We hereby report a unique combination of two rare dental findings in the same individual which could pose future treatment challenges: bilateral kissing molar impactions and bilateral three-rooted second maxillary premolars. In addition, we discuss the relationship of the molar apices to the mandibular neurovascular bundle and to the inferior border of mandible, its surgical implications and the endodontic considerations of a three-rooted second maxillary premolar.

2 | CASE PRESENTATION

A 27-year-old African male patient presented to the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, University of Nairobi, Kenya, with spontaneous, severe pain of left mandible for 7 days. Intraoral examination revealed bilateral Angle’s class 2 molar relationship. The maxillary canines were missing (disimpactions performed 10 years ago), and carious lesions were present on 17 and 36 with both teeth tender to percussion.

A panoramic radiograph revealed radiolucenties with probable pulpal involvement on the mesial aspect of 17 and 36. The maxillary third molars were impacted. Mandibular second and third molars (37, 38, 47, 48) were impacted bilaterally with their occlusal surfaces in contact and roots pointed in opposite directions (Figure 1). In order to evaluate the nature of the molar impaction, proximity to mandibular canal and bucco-lingual positioning within the mandible, a cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scan was performed (Figures 2-7). The imaging parameters were as follows: CS 8200 3D (12X10), Voltage, 90kV; exposure time, 10 s; current 5.0 mA; voxel size 150 microns.

A reconstructed panoramic was generated using CS Version 8 software. Tracking of the mandibular canal was done by applying the color tracer to the smallest slice thickness of 15 microns to illustrate the entire path of the canal in one view (Figure 2). Coronal sections confirmed contact between the mandibular canal and roots of 37 and 47. For reproducibility of measurements, only reference (main) orthogonal planes were examined in split views of 5x5, while oblique sections were only employed for visual illustration. Additionally, the distal roots of the 37 and 47 were 4.6 mm and 3.3 mm, respectively, from the inferior border of the mandible. (Figures 3 and 4). Thinning of the lingual cortex and paucity of cancellous bone lingual to the 37 and 47 were observed. Cortical perforation was, however, ruled out. Curved roots of second mandibular.
molars were noted which were in contact with the mandibular canal. (Figures 3-6).

Axial sections of the maxilla imaging revealed three-rooted second maxillary premolars (15 and 25). Both had divergent mesiobuccal, distobuccal, and palatal roots with corresponding root canals. (Figure 7) The patient was managed conservatively by endodontic treatment of the carious teeth (17 and 36). Due to the asymptomatic nature of presentation, he was advised on disimpaction of impacted maxillary molars while long-term follow-up was recommended for the KMs.

3 | DISCUSSION

KMs or “rosette formation” is a rare phenomenon first described in 1973 where the molars are in occlusion within
| Author                        | Age | Sex | Symptoms          | Molar Impaction | Associated diagnosis/pathology | Treatment                        | Post Operative Complications |
|------------------------------|-----|-----|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Anish N et al                | 35  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | None                          | Maintained                       | -                            |
| Bakaen G, Baqain Zh et al    | 23  | M   | Facial Pain       | 37,38; 47,48    | None                          | Surgical Removal Under GA       | Trismus And Dry Socket       |
| Gulses A et al               | 32  | F   | None              | 7 and 8 unilateral (NS) | Dentigerous Cyst            | Surgical Removal                 | Uneventful                   |
| Gulses A et al               | 23  | M   | None              | 7 and 8 unilateral (NS) | Granulomatous Changes Of Follicle | Surgical Removal | Paresthesia Of IAN          |
| Gulse A et al                | 22  | F   | None              | 7 and 8 unilateral (NS) | Granulomatous Changes Of Follicle | Surgical Removal | Paresthesia Of IAN          |
| Gulse A et al                | 20  | F   | None              | 7 and 8 unilateral (NS) | None                          | Extraction                       | Uneventful                   |
| Kiran HY et al               | 18  | F   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | None                          | Surgical Removal Under GA       | Uneventful                   |
| Krishnan B et al             | 36  | F   | Swelling          | 37,38           | Dentigerous Cyst             | Extraction Under LA             | Uneventful                   |
| Manani A et al               | 38  | M   | None              | 37,38           | None                          | Maintained                       | -                            |
| Mcintyre G et al             | 19  | F   | Pericoronitis     | 37,38           | None                          | Extraction Under LA             | Trismus, Dry Socket          |
| Nakamura et al               | 25  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | Mucopolysaccharaidosis        | Maintained                       | -                            |
| Nakamura et al               | 17  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | Mucopolysaccharaidosis        | Maintained                       | -                            |
| Nakamura et al               | 21  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | Mucopolysaccharaidosis        | Maintained                       | -                            |
| Gonzalez-Perez et al         | 29  | F   | Swelling          | 47,48           | None                          | Surgical Removal Under GA       | Uneventful                   |
| Gonzalez-Perez et al         | 35  | M   | Pain In TMJ       | 47,48           | Dentigerous Cyst             | Surgical removal under GA       | Uneventful                   |
| Robinson Ja et al            | 25  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | No Relevant History           | Maintained                       | -                            |
| Sa Fortes et al              | 33  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | Dentigerous Cyst             | Surgical Removal Under GA       | Uneventful                   |
| Van Hoof (1973)              | 31  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | Mental Retardation            | Maintained                       | -                            |
| Zereret al                   | –   | –   | Swelling          | 37,38; 47,48    | None                          | Surgical Removal under GA       | Uneventful                   |
| **Present Case**             | 27  | M   | None              | 37,38; 47,48    | None                          | Maintained                       | -                            |

Abbreviations: GA, general anesthesia; LA, local anesthesia; NS, not specified; TMJ, temporomandibular joint.
a single, enlarged follicular space. The incidence of KMs has been reported to be 0.06%, being higher in males with an age range of 13–58 years. Majority are unilateral and occur in the mandible, although bilateral cases have been reported. In the present case, the patient presented with bilateral KMs as an incidental finding. Past medical history may be significant in the occurrence of KMs which may imply that they are a manifestation of diseases rather than independent entities occurring in otherwise healthy individuals. Notably, mucopolysaccharidosis is implicated in some cases with KMs. Existing literature was reviewed extensively and a summary of the type of KMs, symptoms, associated diseases, and treatment presented (Table 1).

Management of symptomatic KMs necessitates surgical intervention while that of asymptomatic KMs entails maintenance of the molars within the jaw. Maintenance could lead to complications such as reduction in mandibular bone mass over time hence increasing risk of fracture, dentigerous cyst formation, root resorption, pericoronitis, compression of the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) leading to paresthesia of the lip and functional impairment. On the contrary, complications may occur intra-operatively and post-operatively following surgical disimpaction. These include fracture of the mandible, damage to IAN and lingual nerve, temporomandibular joint disorders, and infections. As such, the patient should be informed of the complications and consent obtained prior to surgery. Such cases, therefore, necessitate referral and review by a specialist oral and maxillofacial surgeon with comprehensive clinical and radiographic investigations done prior to management. As pertains the current case, there was contact between 37 and 47 root apices with the IAN and curvature of the tooth roots. Additionally, the distance to the inferior border of mandible was considerably reduced hence increasing the risk of fracture during surgical disimpaction. These findings informed the decision to maintain the KMs within the jaw and review the patient annually.

Anatomic variations of maxillary second premolars are well documented in the literature; however, trifurcated second premolars are rare. Knowledge of such variation is crucial during endodontic treatment of the premolar which may necessitate modification of the typical access cavity and technique of management. Visualization of the third root (palatal root) of the premolar can be challenging on plain radiographs due to superimposition of the buccal roots. The panoramic image (Figure 1) is a good example of the latter, whereby superimposition of the mesiobuccal roots concealed the palatal roots. If the mesiodistal width of the mid-root image is equal to or greater than the mesiodistal width of the crown, three canals should be suspected. The clinician should have a thorough understanding of the pulp chamber anatomy and root canal system along with possible departures from the norm prior to performing any endodontic therapy. Moreover, good illumination and magnification can greatly improve canal visualization and management of complex root canal systems.

| Author                 | Age | Sex | Ethnicity      | Laterality | Tooth |
|------------------------|-----|-----|----------------|------------|-------|
| Gomez et al., 2009     | 32  | M   | Brazilian      | Unilateral | 25    |
| Sathyanarayan et al., 2017 | 24  | M   | Indian         | Unilateral | 15    |
| Kumar et al., 2015     | 27  | M   | India          | Unilateral | 15    |
| Alwehaiby et al., 2020 | 22  | M   | Saudi Arabia   | Unilateral | 15    |
| Shetty et al., 2014    | 37  | F   | India          | Unilateral | 15    |
| Present case           | 27  | M   | Kenya          | Bilateral  | 15, 25|

4 | CONCLUSION

A thorough clinical and radiographic evaluation is a key in ensuring adequate management. Whereas panoramic imaging is considered the gold standard, CBCT is highly recommended in order to evaluate the 3D position of such impactions and their relationship with critical structures before surgical intervention. Taking all diagnostic factors of this case into account, long-term follow-up was recommended due to the proximity of the molar apices to the IAN and inferior border of mandible.
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