Intra-articular opening osteotomy combined with lateral ligament reconstruction for varus ankle arthritis

Yang Xu  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University Medical School Affiliated Ruijin Hospital

Xing-chen Li  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University Medical School Affiliated Ruijin Hospital

Chang-jun Guo  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University Medical School Affiliated Ruijin Hospital

Xiang-Yang Xu (✉ xu_doc@163.com)  
Shanghai Jiao Tong University Medical School Affiliated Ruijin Hospital

Research article

Keywords: ankle arthritis; osteotomy, lateral ligament reconstruction

Posted Date: July 14th, 2020

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-41293/v1

License: 🔗 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.  
Read Full License

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research on January 6th, 2021. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-020-02143-1.
Abstract

**Background** One type of Takakura 3B ankle arthritis is varus talus with medial disital tibial platform erosion. Among these cases, the talar anterior surface (TAS) angles are usually normal. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the therapeutic outcomes of intra-articular opening osteotomy combined with lateral ligament reconstruction for Takakura 3B ankle arthritis with medial disital tibial platform erosion.

**Methods** From September 2009 to May 2016, 17 patients with Takakura 3B ankle arthritis were reviewed, including 3 male and 14 female patients. All underwent the operation of intra-articular opening osteotomy combined with lateral ligament reconstruction. All patients were available for analysis. The main outcome measurements included TT angle, AOFAS score, VAS scores, SF-36 scales and AOS scales.

**Results** All patients were followed for a mean follow-up of 87.2 months (range, 49 to 129 months). The VAS scale improved from 5.53 ± 1.59 to 2.29 ± 1.90. The mean AOFAS score improved from 47.71 ± 15.73 to 75.82 ± 12.03. The SF-36 scale improved from 41.64 ± 13.95 to 67.69 ± 14.64. The AOS improved from 60.94 ± 13.91 to 28.18 ± 17.73. The TT angle improved from 14.29 ± 4.96 to 5.25 ± 3.96.

**Conclusion** Intra-articular opening osteotomy combined with lateral ligament reconstruction is an effective method to treat varus ankle arthritis with medial disital tibial platform erosion.

Background

According to Takakura and Tanaka, varus ankle arthritis was classified into four types. Stage 3 was further classified into stage 3A and 3B. Stage 3B ankle arthritis was defined as obliteration of ankle space extended to the roof of the dome of talus with subchondral bone contact[1, 2]. For young adults and those who do not want to sacrifice the native ankle joint, joint-sparing methods instead of total ankle replacement or ankle arthrodesis are very important. Supramalleolar osteotomy is an effective joint-sparing surgical treatment for varus type ankle arthritis, especially those with small TAS angles[1–7]. However, whether or not stage 3B ankle arthritis is a more severe type than 3A, this is a question. We do not know whether stage 3B is evolved from stage 3A or directly from stage 2.

In our practice, we do notice positive outcomes after supramalleolar osteotomy for stage 3B ankle arthritis[8]. However, in some cases, the varus talus repeatedly abrades medial disital tibial platform after long walking. The medial tibial platform is eroded but the general TAS angle is normal. Previous studies reported a new kind of technique known as intra-articular opening medial tibial wedge osteotomy with good results[9, 10]. But, stage IIIB ankle was considered not suitable for this osteotomy.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the therapeutic outcomes of intra-articular opening osteotomy combined with lateral ligament reconstruction for Takakura 3B ankle arthritis with medial disital tibial platform erosion. (Fig. 1)

Materials And Methods
The current study was approved by our institutional review board. From September 2009 to May 2016, 17 patients (17 ankles, 7 left and 10 right) with Takakura 3B ankle arthritis were reviewed, including 3 male and 14 female patients. All underwent the operation of intra-articular opening osteotomy combined with lateral ligament reconstruction. The mean age was 52.35 ± 8.05 years. The inclusion criteria were: (1) Takakura stage 3B ankle arthritis; (2) medial distal tibial platform erosion; (3) normal lateral tibial surface; (4) painful ankle arthritis undergoing at least 1 year’s conservative treatment.

The exclusion criteria were: (1) end stage ankle arthritis; (2) patients with neuropathic arthropathy or rheumatoid arthritis; (3) patients with regional infection around ankle joint or had other ankle surgeries; (4) patients with severe osteoporosis or large bone loss.

Weightbearing X-rays of ankle joint were performed for every patient preoperatively and postoperatively, including anteriorposterior ankle views (AP), lateral ankle views and hindfoot alignment views. The hindfoot alignment view, which recommended by Saltzman and el-Khoury was also performed to evaluate hindfoot alignment condition[11]. In this study, we recorded tibial articular surface angle (TAS), talar tilt angle (TT) from the AP view, tibial lateral surface angle (TLS) from the lateral view. We used the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society Ankle-Hindfoot Score (AOFAS-AH), the Visual Analogus Scale (VAS), the Short From-36 scale (SF-36) and the Ankle Osteoarthritis scale (AOS) to determine the functional outcome of patients. In our study, Takakura 1 ankle osteoarthritis was defined as TT angle ≤ 4° according to previous study[12].

**Surgical Technique**

In this study, all ankle arthritis were varus type. The osteotomy approach was through a medial longitudinal incision. A K-wire was placed as a guide wire of osteotomy. Two or three K-wires were placed parallel to the ankle joint surface portion of the tibial platform within the subchondral bone just under the articular cartilage at the apex of the plafond angulation. They help prevention of destruction of talus cartilage from the saw blade during the osteotomy. It also acted as a hinge during deformity correction. Then the intra-articular osteotomy was performed. The intraoperation fluoroscopic assessment was performed to evaluate whether the tibial articular surface was normal. If the varus talus could not return to normal, then a release procedure of medial ankle ligament was performed. Debridement of osteophytes was performed if there was impingement around ankle joint such as the anterior distal tibial osteophyte to improve ankle motion. A wedged allograft was shaped and inserted into the osteotomy site and was fixed by a locking plate. The ankle joint was placed into a neutral position and soft tissue including medial ligament was sutured. Lateral ligament reconstruction was performed via a minimally invasive method[13].

**Statistical Methods**
All analyses were performed with the SAS software version 8.1 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, North Carolina). The results were given as means and standard deviation. The paired t test was used for assessing differences between preoperative and postoperative measurements. A p value less than 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

All patients were followed. Patients were followed for a mean follow-up of 87.2 months (range, 49 to 129 months). There was no loss of follow-up. The VAS scale improved from 5.53 ± 1.59 to 2.29 ± 1.90. The mean AOFAS score improved from 47.71 ± 15.73 to 75.82 ± 12.03. The SF-36 scale improved from 41.64 ± 13.95 to 67.69 ± 14.64. The AOS improved from 60.94 ± 13.91 to 28.18 ± 17.73. The TT angle improved from 14.29 ± 4.96 to 5.25 ± 3.96. None of the patients underwent ankle joint arthroplasty or arthrodesis.

The radiographic parameters including the TAS and TLS angle showed no statistically significant different compared with preoperative condition.

12 patients recalled an old ankle sprain history. All of them had a long-term ankle sprain history (5–38 years). 1 patient had an ankle fracture history. 4 patients had no incentive. (Fig. 2, Fig. 3)

Radiographic and functional outcomes

|        | Preop     | postop    | t      | p     |
|--------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|
| VAS    | 5.53±1.59 | 2.29±1.90 | 5.85   | <0.001|
| AOFAS  | 47.71±15.73 | 75.82±12.03 | 6.2    | <0.001|
| SF-36  | 41.64±13.95 | 67.69±14.64 | 5.95   | <0.001|
| AOS    | 60.94±13.91 | 28.18±17.73 | 5.86   | <0.001|
| TT (°) | 14.29±4.96 | 5.25±3.96  | 8.01   | <0.001|

Discussion

The causes of varus type ankle arthritis still remain unknown. In other studies, usually, ankle arthritis develops secondary to trauma\[4, 7, 14\]. However, in this study, more patients recalled an old ankle sprain history. We thought that maybe it was because of the weak lateral ligament resulted in varus type ankle arthritis.

Takakura and Tanaka divided varus ankle arthritis into 4 stages, stage I, II, III (IIIA, IIIB) and IV[1, 2]. Did stage IIIB ankle arthritis develop directly from stage IIIA? This is controversial. We do not think all of the stage 3B ankle arthritis were evolved from stage 3A ankle arthritis. When the talus invert in ankle mortise, with long time of walking, the varus talus touch the tibia surface and abrade it gradually. So, maybe the stage IIIB ankle arthritis developed directly from stage II.

For varus ankle arthritis, especially Takakura stage III or IV ankle arthritis, previous studies has reported good results of ankle arthrodesis or ankle replacement[15–19]. However, ankle arthrodesis is a joint sacrifice method to treat ankle arthritis, which restrict ankle movement. In this study, the mean age of
patients was 52.35 ± 8.05 years. The patients are relatively young, so ankle arthroplasty may not be suitable for them. These patients were still very positive and want to keep their native ankle joint. Osteotomy provided the possibility to preserve their native ankle joint.

We think the most important aspect of this kind of procedure is that there is enough residual articular cartilage. Ankle joints with more than 50% residual articular cartilage tends to get better prognosis than those are not. So, we did osteotomy procedure for this kind of ankle arthritis. We do not perform osteotomy surgery for stage IV ankle arthritis. Joint cartilage of stage IV ankle arthritis was always extensively destructed. For this kind of patients, even though realignment of ankle joint may alleviate symptoms, joint damage will progress soon.

Previous studies reported that it was contraindication if the varus ankle was rigid and could not be corrected to normal under fluoroscopic examination before the surgery[9, 20]. However, in our study, we concern more about whether the varus ankle could return to normal intraoperatively or not. We performed thorough release of ligaments and capsule around ankle joint if the varus deformity could not be corrected after osteotomy. After the release and debridement procedure, the ankle joint was flexible and we fixed it into neutral position with 1–2 K-wires, which were removed 6 weeks postoperatively. In this position, the medial ligaments were sutured and lateral ligaments were reconstructed. We think that whether the varus ankle could return to normal during operation matters more than preoperative condition. We care more about the joint cartilage than preoperative talar tilt angle. Of course, the larger the talar tilt angle is, the more difficult we achieve the parallel ankle joint surface.

**Conclusion**

For Takakura 3B ankle arthritis with medial distal tibial erosion, intra-articular opening osteotomy combined with lateral ligament reconstruction is an effective method to treat this kind of varus ankle arthritis.
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