The generalized cusp in AdS₄ × CP³ and more one-loop results from semiclassical strings
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Abstract
We evaluate the exact one-loop partition function for fundamental strings whose world surface ends on a cusp at the boundary of AdS₄ and has a 'jump' in CP³. This allows us to extract the stringy prediction for the ABJM-generalized cusp anomalous dimension \( \Gamma_{\text{ABJM}}(\phi, \theta) \) up to NLO in sigma-model perturbation theory. With a similar analysis, we present the exact partition functions for folded closed string solutions moving in the AdS₃ parts of AdS₄ × CP³ and AdS₃ × S³ × S³ × S¹ backgrounds. Results are obtained applying to the string solutions relevant for the AdS₄/CFT₃ and AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence the tools previously developed for their AdS₅ × S⁵ counterparts.
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1. Overview

Since the seminal paper of [1] semiclassical quantization of strings has been an efficient way to quantify the structure of the anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence [2–4], particularly in connection with the supposed underlying integrability of the planar system [5, 6]. In the case of the spectral problem for the AdS5/CFT4 correspondence [7], a number of purely stringy-derived results have first inspired the formulation of the integrable equations for the spectrum and then confirmed the results obtained via an integrability-based approach.

The remarkable new findings of [8, 9] establish, proving it via comparison with perturbative gauge theory results [10, 11], that suitably modified thermodynamic Bethe ansatz integral equations can efficiently calculate the generalized quark–antiquark potential \( V(\lambda, \phi, \theta) \) [10], or generalized cusp, realized as a family of Wilson loops with one geometric (\( \phi \)) and one ‘internal’ (\( \theta \)) angle, which shows a non-trivial coupling dependence. Equivalently remarkable observations [12, 13] have further analyzed the small angle region \( \phi, \theta \ll 1 \) of \( V(\lambda, \phi, \theta) \) (related to a variety of other physical observables) via localization, which was then evaluated as an exact function of the coupling. While confirming the intuition that the integrability of the system should apply also to the case of open strings and their dual Wilson loop configurations (see [14, 15] for earlier studies in this sense), these findings open the way to a wide variety of further developments.

In particular, we evaluate here the exact one-loop partition function of macroscopic type IIA strings in the AdS4 × CP3 background with world surface ending on a pair of (time-like) antiparallel lines respectively in flat space (section 2.1) and in \( S^2 \times R \) (section 2.2), adding in the second case a ‘jump’ in CP3. The study of the corresponding Wilson loops operators in three-dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 6 \) supersymmetric Chern–Simons theory (ABJM theory) [16, 17], highly non-protected observables, has been only recently carried out in [18], while the previous literature focused on supersymmetric [19–25] or light-like [26–28] Wilson loops. The study of singularities, renormalization and exponentiation properties of these Wilson loops, contained in [18], has provided the ABJM extension (significantly more sophisticated) of the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM ‘quark–antiquark’ potential [29–31] and, respectively, its generalized version [10]. Here we consider the corrections to their leading strong coupling behavior, with an analysis similar to the ones in [32] and [10]. The ‘jump’ in CP3 can be realized on a generic \( S^1 \) within CP3, since the R-symmetry of the theory makes the choice fully general. Once the connection between
localization and integrability is realized as in [12] also within the ABJM framework; we expect that our formula (2.16) below will be reproduced via the strong coupling expansion of the exact results for the half-BPS Wilson loop found in [34]. As remarked in [9], the evaluation of the yet undetermined function $h(\lambda)$ which appears in the ABJM magnon dispersion relation [35–38] could then finally become possible.

It would be interesting to provide an analysis similar to the one here performed for the string configurations dual to the 1/6 BPS loops of [19, 22, 20]. There the starting point would be a classical string configuration represented by a solution ‘smeared’ along a CP$^1$. As we know from the exact results of the ABJM matrix model [34], the correspondingly more complicated ansatz will result in the same leading, classical, value as for the case here obtained but in a different, likely much more involved, fluctuation spectrum. In a recent paper [53], the partition function for the string solution corresponding to the ABJM circular Wilson loop has been evaluated, whose discrepancy with the exact prescription from the matrix model works similarly to the case of its AdS$_5 \times S^5$ counterpart [54].

Below we also revisit the one-loop correction to the energy of a string folded in the AdS$_3$ subspace of the AdS$_5 \times S^5 \times CP^1$ background, evaluating its exact partition function and deriving from it the relevant long and short string limits, as was done for the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ case in [55]. We recall that the genuinely field-theoretical tools used here for a direct world-sheet quantization are in principle alternative or complementary to the integrability, algebraic curve approach to the semiclassical quantization of strings [56–59]. This observation, in fact, would be highly non-trivial for the case of the Wilson minimal surfaces corresponding to the quark–antiquark potential [60] or its generalized version [10] that we will see below in their ABJM extension. However, the recent results of [61] establish at the classical level a well-defined description of such minimal surfaces in terms of appropriate algebraic curves, thus giving confidence in a possible extension to this case of the same powerful tools used to compute quantum corrections to classical spinning string solutions [56–58], which might as well work in the ABJM setting. While for closed spinning string solutions the algebraic curve approach is already an established powerful device for obtaining quantum corrections, a direct comparison of the results obtained is yet problematic, see section 2.3 below. To clarify these discrepancies, as well as for a better general understanding of world-sheet quantization, it would be very interesting to develop the necessary analytic tools for an exact analysis of the case in which the folded string is both spinning in AdS$_3$ and rotating in CP$^1$. This highly non-trivial problem, however, has not yet been resolved in the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ framework, as it would require the exact diagonalization of the mass matrices appearing in the study of fluctuations. As a starting point to this purpose, it might be useful for our refined analysis of fluctuations around the folded string moving non-trivially in AdS$_5 \times S^5$ [63], to which appendix D is devoted. There, we show how the known mixing of bosonic fluctuations occurring for non-vanishing AdS$_3$ spin and $S^1$ orbital momentum [63, 64] has its supersymmetric counterpart in a non-trivial fermionic mass matrix.

We also report on similar results valid for the same configurations once they are seen as type IIB string solutions for the sigma model in an AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$ background with RR fluxes, and its limiting case AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$. For this system, a full set of Bethe
equations for the spectrum were proposed [68, 69]. The one-loop world-sheet analysis carried out in this paper can be seen as a first step in checking these equations beyond leading order at strong coupling.

In the following two sections, we report some exact one-loop results for the relevant string solutions mentioned above in the two backgrounds of interest here, \( \text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{C}P^3 \) and \( \text{AdS}_3 \times S^3 \times S^1 \). The details for their derivation, and several comments on the same, are collected in appendices B and C. Appendix A briefly describes the spinorial and Gamma matrices notation. In appendix D, we revisit the analysis of fluctuations around the folded string in \( \text{AdS}_3 \times S^1 \).

2. Exact one-loop results in \( \text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{C}P^3 \)

In this section, we evaluate the exact one-loop partition function of macroscopic type IIA strings in the \( \text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{C}P^3 \) background with world surface ending on a pair of (time-like) antiparallel lines respectively in flat space (section 2.1) and in \( S^2 \times \mathbb{R} \) (section 2.2), adding in the second case a ‘jump’ in \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \). We continue in section 2.3 with the evaluation of the exact partition function for a folded string solution moving non-trivially in the \( \text{AdS}_3 \) part of the background. Notation and relevant information for this background are presented in appendix B.

2.1. Antiparallel lines

In this case the relevant string solution found in the \( \text{AdS}_5 \) background [60] can be embedded within its \( \text{AdS}_4 \) subspace, and the \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \) part of the sigma model is classically irrelevant. In the planar limit there is then no difference from the result obtained in [60], provided the mapping \( \lambda_{\text{AdS}_5} \mapsto \lambda = 2\pi^2 \lambda \), with \( \lambda = \frac{N}{k} \), is used. Therefore, the leading strong coupling value for the ‘quark–antiquark’ potential in \( \mathcal{N} = 6 \) Chern–Simons theory, as derived from the Nambu–Goto action for the dual sigma model in \( \text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{C}P^3 \) is

\[
V^{(0)}_{\text{ABJM}}(\lambda, L) = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \pi}{4 \mathbb{K}(\frac{1}{2})^2 L} \equiv -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \pi^2}{2\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}(\frac{1}{2})^2 L}, \quad \lambda \gg 1,
\]

where \( L \) is the distance between the lines and \( \mathbb{K} \) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. The spectrum of bosonic quantum fluctuations over the classical solution follows very closely the formal analysis of [71], the actual evaluation of [72] and the analytical work elaborated in [32]. Calculations are identical in nature and result in a simple truncation of the bosonic spectrum (one less transverse degree of freedom in the \( \text{AdS}_3 \) space) and in a slightly modified analysis for the fermionic modes, which follows from the more complicated nature of the compact space \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \).

Working in static gauge one finds two massive bosonic AdS fluctuations, one longitudinal and one transverse, together with six massless bosonic modes in \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \). After a global rotation and \( \kappa \)-symmetry gauge fixing reviewed in appendix B.1, the action for the two type II A spinors becomes the standard one for two-dimensional Majorana fermions, and the resulting partition function is a rearrangement of the one in [71]:

\[
\Gamma^{\text{ABJM}} = \frac{\det^{6/2}(-i \gamma^\mu \nabla_\mu + \sigma_3)}{\det^{1/2}(-\nabla^2 + 2) \det^{1/2}(-\nabla^2 + R^{(2)} + 4) \det^{1/2}(-\nabla^2)},
\]

where \( \mathcal{K} \) is the normalizing constant. This is general to all classical spinning string solutions of string theory in \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \), at least those with few charges [42], and to the known classical minimal surfaces relevant for Wilson loops [19].

---

8 In the dual gauge theory the scalar couplings are constant along the Wilson loop.

9 This is general to all classical spinning string solutions of string theory in \( \text{AdS}_5 \times S^5 \), at least those with few charges [42], and to the known classical minimal surfaces relevant for Wilson loops [19].

10 See [70] for the leading-order result in a setup with flavors.
where $R^{(2)}$ is the scalar curvature, $\gamma^0 = \sigma_2, \gamma^1 = \sigma_1$ and $\gamma_0 \gamma_1 = -i \sigma_3$ are the Pauli matrices. We can then immediately resort to the results of [32], writing down the effective action as a single integral in the Fourier-transformed $\tau$-variable ($\partial_\tau = i \omega$) for a ratio of analytically exact functional determinants$^{11}$. With the standard regularization, consisting in an explicit subtraction of divergences, the first correction in sigma-model perturbation theory to the result (2.1) reads

\[ V_{\text{ABJM}}^{(1)}(\lambda, L) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{K(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{1}{L} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\omega \log \left[ \frac{\det O_f \omega^2}{\det O_1 \epsilon \det O_2 \det O_0} \right], \quad (2.3) \]

in terms of the determinant expressions given in [32]$^{12}$. Explicitly, we have

\[ V_{\text{ABJM}}^{(1)}(\lambda, L) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{K(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{+\infty} d\omega \log \left[ \frac{\sin^6 \left( (1+i)K(\frac{1}{2}) Z(\alpha_f) + \frac{i\pi \epsilon}{2K(\frac{1}{2})} \right)}{\sinh[2K(\frac{1}{2}) Z(\alpha_1)] \sinh[2(1+i)K(\frac{1}{2}) Z(\alpha_2) + \frac{i\pi \epsilon}{2K(\frac{1}{2})}] \sinh^4 \left[ 2K(\frac{1}{2}) \omega \right]} \right] \]

(2.4)

where $Z$ is the Jacobi Zeta function and

\[ \alpha_1 = \sin^{-1} \sqrt{1 + 2 \omega^2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \sin^{-1} \sqrt{1 - i \omega^2}, \quad \alpha_f = \sin^{-1} \sqrt{1 + 4 \omega^2}. \]  (2.5)

The integral in the first line is easily done, while the second one is conveniently rewritten in terms of analytically known constants and a one-dimensional integral representation which can be numerically integrated with arbitrary high precision. The result is

\[ V_{\text{ABJM}}(\sqrt{\lambda}, L) = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \pi^2}{2\sqrt{2} K(\frac{1}{2})^3 L} \left[ 1 + \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + O \left( \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\lambda})^2} \right) \right], \quad (2.6) \]

with

\[ a_1 = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} - \frac{5 \log 2}{2\sqrt{2} \pi} + \frac{\sqrt{2} K(\frac{1}{2})}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{K(\frac{1}{2})}{2} - \frac{3\pi}{2\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\log 2}{\sqrt{2}} + \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{num}} \right) = -0.3606061, \quad (2.7) \]

and $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{num}}$ given in (B.19).

2.2. Generalized cusp

Just as in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM case [10], it turns out to be very useful to add extra parameters to the problem considered in the previous section$^{13}$. The antiparallel lines can be put on $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ separated by an angle $\pi - \phi$ along a big circle on $S^2$. Using a conformal transformation, this is seen in flat $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ as two rays intersecting at a cusp, a geometrical angle $\pi - \phi$ in the three-dimensional plane$^{14}$. One can also consider an internal angle, namely an angle in the SU(4) internal space. In the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM case, this is realized when each line of the Wilson loop presents a different coupling to the scalars. A proposal for a dual string classical solution, given the well-defined interpretation for the coupling to the scalars in terms of coordinates on $S^5$, naturally follows [60] from the fact that the natural Wilson loop carries SO(6) indices. There is not such an explicit prescription for the considerably more complicated coupling

---

11 As explained in detail in [72, 32], the fermionic operator can be further diagonalized after squaring it.
12 See formulas (2.16)–(2.19) there.
13 For another interesting deformation of the system of antiparallel lines in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM see [73].
14 One can also see the cusp as the region where a straight line makes a sudden turn, with the angle being then $\phi$. 

structure appearing in the Wilson loops for $N = 6$ super Chern–Simons theory. Here, each of the half-BPS lines is defined as the holonomy of a superconnection [23, 24]. Each ray breaks SU(4) to SU(3), selecting a direction in SU(4) in a non-trivial coupling to the bi-fundamental scalar fields and a coupling involving constant spinor [23, 24]. We do not see how the peculiar and novel (with respect to $N = 4$ SYM) feature of fermionic coupling might influence the analysis we perform here in terms of fluctuations over a bosonic classical solution. In total analogy with its AdS$_5 \times$ S$^5$ counterpart, one can describe such finite discontinuity in the SU(4) internal space as a 'jump' in CP$^3$, which is natural to represent in the form of a rigid (time-independent) ansatz for one of the six coordinates in CP$^3$, with the other coordinates taking constant values.

One starts with global Lorentzian AdS$_4 \times$ CP$^3$, the natural dual to gauge theory on S$^2 \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$ ds^2 = - \cosh^2 \rho \, d\tau^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \, d\varphi^2 + 4 d\xi^2_{\text{CP}^3}, $$

(2.8)

where $d\xi^2_{\text{CP}^3}$ is the metric (B.5). Taking $t$ and $\varphi$ as world-sheet coordinates, we choose (the translational invariance of the problem makes the embedding coordinates $\varphi$-dependent only)

$$ \rho = \rho(\varphi), \quad \theta_1 = \theta_1(\varphi), \quad \alpha = \varphi_1 = \theta_2 = \varphi_2 = \chi = 0, $$

(2.9)

where the AdS coordinate $\varphi$ varies in the domain $[\phi/2, \pi - \phi/2]$ and the CP coordinate $\theta_1$ in $[-\theta/2, \theta/2]$. Note that the choice of the ansatz for the CP$^3$ coordinates is fully general. The ways to select an arc in CP$^3$ are all equivalent in that there is always a global SU(4) rotation that maps them to the same form in terms of the embedding coordinates. In particular, in analyzing fluctuations, this allows one to work with different coordinates in CP$^3$ which are more suitable for calculations.

2.2.1. The classical limit. The ansatz (2.9) solves the classical equations of motion in the same way as in the AdS$_5 \times$ S$^5$ case. This holds in the case of a non-trivial ansatz for any of the CP$^3$ coordinates. To account for this generality we call generically $\vartheta \equiv \vartheta(\varphi)$ the non-trivial coordinate describing the jump. Thus, we can exploit the classical results of [10] with the usual mapping $\lambda \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}$. In particular, from (B.26) one finds, at leading order in the $\bar{\lambda} \rightarrow \infty$ limit,

$$ \Gamma^{\text{ABJM}}_{\text{cusp}}(\phi, \theta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{S}_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{eff}}}{T} = \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} \, 2 \sqrt{b^4 + p^2}}{2 \pi \, b \, p} \left[ \frac{(b^2 + 1) p^2}{b^2 + p^2} \mathbb{K}(k^2) - \mathbb{E}(k^2) \right], \quad \bar{\lambda} \gg 1. $$

(2.10)

In the limit $\phi \rightarrow \pi$ and $\theta = 0$ (for which $k^2 = 1/2$) this gives

$$ \lim_{\phi \rightarrow \pi} \Gamma^{\text{ABJM}}_{\text{cusp}}(\phi, \theta) = - \frac{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}} \, \pi}{4 \, \mathbb{K}(\frac{1}{2})^2 (\pi - \phi)}, $$

(2.11)

which obviously agrees with (2.1) with the replacement $\pi - \phi \rightarrow L$.

Since the classical Lagrangian is the same, the BPS condition is still $E = \pm J$ [33] and implies $\phi = \pm \theta$. In particular, we can expand around the straight-line configuration $\theta = \phi = 0$, exploiting (B.33) and the results of [10] to obtain

15 The structure of the scalar coupling was understood already in [19–22].
16 This happens provided the ansatz is suitably chosen. In the case of, say, $\psi_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ in (B.5), a rescaled classical ansatz will compensate the factor 4 in front of the total metric (B.1).
17 Without even the need to change the variable names!
18 See the discussion on the BPS bound in appendix C.2 of [33].
\[
\Gamma^{(0)}_{\text{cup}}(\phi, \theta) = \frac{\sqrt{T}}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\pi} (\theta^2 - \phi^2) - \frac{1}{8\pi^2} (\theta^2 - \phi^2)(\theta^2 - 5\phi^2) + \frac{1}{64\pi^2} (\theta^2 - \phi^2)(\theta^4 - 14\theta^2\phi^2 + 37\phi^4) - \frac{1}{2048\pi^2} (\theta^2 - \phi^2)(\theta^6 - 27\theta^4\phi^2 + 2916\phi^4 - 585\phi^6) + O((\phi, \theta)^{10}) \right].
\]

(2.12)

2.2.2. Fluctuations and one-loop correction to \(\Gamma^{(1)}_{\text{cup}}\). The analysis of fluctuations, reviewed in appendix B.2, goes much the same way as in the AdS\(_5\) \(\times\) S\(_5\) case [10], presenting additional features observed for the ABJM folded string (see [42] and section 2.3 below), such as for example the splitting of bosonic fluctuations in \(\mathbb{CP}^3\) into ‘heavy’ and ‘light’ degrees of freedom. As in [10], we present here the relevant solutions in the two cases in which both bosonic and fermionic fluctuations decouple, and the partition function can be evaluated in an exact way.

In the case of \(\theta = 0\), one obtains a simple redistribution of the determinants appearing in the AdS\(_5\) \(\times\) S\(_5\) case, see formula (B.66). In the case of \(\phi = 0\), the slightly more complicated structure of \(\mathbb{CP}^3\) plays its role, and one finds a splitting in light bosons and light fermions resulting in the partition function (B.82). We will evaluate below the one-loop correction to the value of the generalized cusp in (2.10) defined as

\[
\Gamma^{(1)}_{\text{cup}}(\phi, 0) = \frac{\Gamma^{(1)}_{\text{reg}}}{T}, \quad \Gamma^{(1)}_{\text{cup}}(0, \theta) = \frac{\Gamma^{(1)}_{\text{reg}}}{T}. \tag{2.13}
\]

In each of the cases above one derives a one-dimensional integral over an expression involving complicated special elliptic functions. The results for the antiparallel lines, (2.6)–(2.7), are reproduced from the partition function for \(\theta = 0\) (B.66) in the limit \(\phi \to \pi\), having set \(k^2 = 1/2\) and having taken into account the different normalizations for the partition functions\(^{19}\). This matching works exactly as in the AdS\(_5\) \(\times\) S\(_5\) case [10] with the reproduction of the results in [32]. In particular, the ratio between the world-sheet time \(T\) and the target spacetime \(T\), which furnishes the expected \(1/(\pi - \phi)\) pole for generic \(k\),

\[
\frac{T}{T} = \sqrt{k(1 - k^2)^{1/4}} \sqrt{\rho} = \frac{2}{\pi - \phi} \frac{\rho - (1 - k^2)\zeta}{k\sqrt{1 - k^2}}, \tag{2.15}
\]

becomes, in the \(k^2 = 1/2\) case, \(\pi/(\pi - \phi)\zeta(1/2)\). Replacing \(\pi - \phi \to L\), this is indeed the rescaling used in [72, 32] for the classical AdS\(_5\) \(\times\) S\(_5\) solution which has been exploited in the derivation of (2.6).

Particularly interesting are the small \(\phi\) (for \(\theta = 0\)) and small \(\theta\) (for \(\phi = 0\)) limits, translated in expansions at small elliptic modulus. In these cases, the integrand becomes a series of hyperbolic functions which can be exactly integrated resulting in explicit analytic results, as derived in expansions (B.70) and (B.85) using (B.33). The result for the \(\theta = 0\) case reads

\[
\Gamma^{(1)}_{\text{cup}}(\phi, 0) = \frac{7}{8} \frac{\phi^2}{4\pi^2} + \left( \frac{127}{32} - \frac{9\zeta(3)}{4} \right) \phi^4 \frac{16}{16\pi^4} + \left( \frac{527}{32} - \frac{39\zeta(3)}{8} - \frac{45\zeta(5)}{8} \right) \phi^6 \frac{64}{64\pi^6} + O(\phi^8).
\]

(2.16)

\(^{19}\)One can check that this means to subtract the constant \(\zeta(\frac{1}{2})/2\sqrt{\pi}\) from the numerical result of (B.66); in other words, the value for the constant \(a_1\) in (2.6), obtained with the prescription used here and described in appendix B.2.2 below, is

\[
a_1^{\text{reg}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{5 \log 2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} + \sqrt{2} \zeta(\frac{1}{2}) + \frac{\zeta(\frac{1}{2})}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}(1 - 3\sqrt{2}) - \frac{\log 2}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = -0.15194941, \tag{2.14}
\]

which differs from the result (2.7) for the constant mentioned above.
Note that, as in the AdS5 × S5 case, a pattern of uniformly increasing transcendentality appears. The first terms in the expansion above should be reproduced via the strong coupling expansion of the exact results for the half-BPS Wilson loop found in [34], once the relevant connection with the small angle region \( \phi, \theta \ll 1 \) of \( \Gamma_{cusp}^{(1)} \) is generalized to the ABJM case. The same coefficients should also be reproduced by a strong coupling analysis of the ABJM-generalized BTBA equations of [8, 9], once they become available.

We also present here our attempt of evaluation for the small \( \theta \) expansion in the \( \phi = 0 \) case, based on the analysis carried on in appendix B.2.3:

\[
\Gamma_{cusp}^{ABJM(1)}(0, \theta) = -\frac{3}{8} \frac{\theta^2}{4\pi^2} - \left( \frac{1}{32} + \frac{\log(2)}{2} + \frac{3\zeta(3)}{4} \right) \frac{\theta^4}{16\pi^4} + \left( \frac{7}{32} + \frac{\log(2)}{2} - \frac{15\zeta(5)}{8} \right) \frac{\theta^6}{64\pi^6} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^8). \tag{2.17}
\]

This result is in disagreement with the observation of [18] that the BPS condition for the ABJM-generalized cusp stays the same as in the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM case, being \( \theta = \pm \phi \) and implying therefore (under the assumption of analyticity for \( \Gamma_{cusp}(\phi, \theta) \)) that the coefficient of \( \phi^2 \) in (2.16) should be the same as the coefficient of \( \theta^2 \) in (2.17). It is not clear to us how to obtain an expansion compatible with the study of [18], and it is likely that an alternative or more careful analysis will lead to the correct one (2.17). In particular, it would be interesting to investigate the possible dependence of this discrepancy on the choice of regularization. While the regularization scheme used in this paper seems natural from the world-sheet point of view, the distinction between ‘light’ and ‘heavy’ modes in the spectrum (a feature of any non-trivial ‘motion’ in \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \)) might suggest a prescription that treats the UV divergences of the corresponding determinants differently, much in the same way as in the discussion of [40, 47]. It is likely that the use of algebraic curve methods (when developed at this order of sigma-model perturbation theory, see section 1) would help in clarifying possible regularization issues (for example on the lines of [46] and [74]).

2.3. Folded string

In this section we report what the semiclassically ‘exact’ analysis used in the previous section can teach us if we use it for the quantization of the folded string in AdS4 × \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \) [41, 43, 39, 42], recently reconsidered in [75, 76]. In particular, we consider the case when the string is spinning in the AdS3 part of AdS4 × \( \mathbb{C}P^3 \). We then have

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ds}_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 &= -\cosh^2 \rho \, dt^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \, d\phi^2 \\
t &= \kappa \tau, \quad \phi = \omega \tau, \quad \rho(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma + 2\pi), \quad \psi_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \psi_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \tau_s = 0, \quad s = 1, 2, 3.
\end{align*}
\tag{2.18}
\]

In this case, and in static gauge, both bosonic and fermionic fluctuations are decoupled and an analytically exact one-loop partition function can be written down. Since the classical motion of the string is restricted to AdS3, in the planar limit and classically the result is the same as

20 The results of [18] were not yet available when the earliest preprint of this paper was made public. See section 3.2 there.

21 This would also likely eliminate the odd presence of \( \log 2 \) terms in it.

22 See the discussion around C.42 below for the case of the spinning string in AdS3.

23 See also the recent [77] for an interesting interpretation of the results in [42].

24 We follow the notation of [42] and set the AdS4 radius to 1.
the one obtained in [1, 63], the only requirement being the mapping $\lambda_{AdS_5} \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda} = 2\pi^2 \lambda$ is used.25

The semiclassical analysis of fluctuations is again only a slight modification of the one conducted in the AdS$_S \times S^5$ framework [55], and in fact an analogue set of information can be inferred in the two relevant limits of long and short strings via a systematical expansion in large and small spins. For the bosonic spectrum we work in static gauge, since it is in this case that they are all decoupled and an analytic expression for the one-loop partition function can be derived. The result is obtained by truncating the fluctuations in AdS$_S$ [63], so that one transverse degree of freedom is eliminated. There are then an additional six massless degrees of freedom in $\mathbb{C}P^3$. As reviewed in appendix B.3, the bosonic contribution to the one-loop effective action is then

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_B = \frac{1}{2} (\log \det O_\phi + \log \det O_\bar{\phi} + 6 \log \det O_0),$$

(2.19)

$$O_\phi = -\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \Omega^2 + 2\rho^2 + \frac{2k^2\alpha'^2}{\rho^2}, \quad O_\bar{\phi} = -\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \Omega^2 + 2\rho^2, \quad O_0 = -\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \Omega^2. \quad (2.20)$$

From the analysis of appendix B.3, it follows that the fermionic counterpart to (2.19) in the effective action reads

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_F = -\frac{1}{4} (3 \log \det O_{\psi_+} + 3 \log \det O_{\psi_-} + 2 \log \det O_0),$$

(2.21)

$$O_{\psi_+} = -\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \Omega^2 + \mu_{\psi_+}^2, \quad \mu_{\psi_+}^2 = \pm \rho'' + \rho'^2, \quad O_{\psi_-} = -\tilde{\sigma}^2 + \Omega^2. \quad (2.22)$$

Of the eight effective fermionic degrees of freedom, two are massless and the others have masses which coincide with those appearing, although with different multiplicities, in the AdS$_S \times S^5$ case [55]. The same holds for the bosonic fluctuations (2.20). It is therefore easy to exploit the analysis of [55] and write down immediately the one-loop correction to the energy of the folded spinning string in the present case26

$$E_1 = -\frac{1}{4\pi\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \log \frac{\det^6 O_\phi}{\det O_\bar{\phi} \det^4 O_0}. \quad (2.23)$$

where $\kappa = \frac{2}{\kappa}, \kappa = \kappa(k^2)$ (see (B.87)) and we used $\det O_{\psi_\pm} = \det O_{\psi_\pm} \equiv \det O_\psi [10]$. Above, the determinants have the following explicit expressions27:

$$\det O_\bar{\phi} = 4 \sinh^2[2\kappa Z(\alpha_0|k^2)] \quad \text{where} \quad \text{sn}(\alpha_0|k^2) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + k^2 + \left(\frac{2\Omega}{\kappa}\right)^2}}{k},$$

(2.24)

$$\det O_\phi = 4 \sinh^2[2\bar{\kappa} Z(\alpha_0|\bar{k}^2)] \quad \text{where} \quad \text{sn}(\alpha_0|\bar{k}^2) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{2\Omega}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^2}}{\bar{k}},$$

(2.25)

$$\det O_\psi = -4 \cosh^2[2\kappa Z(\alpha_0|\bar{k}^2)] \quad \text{where} \quad \text{sn}(\alpha_0|\bar{k}^2) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{2\Omega}{\kappa}\right)^2}}{\bar{k}},$$

(2.26)

$$\det O_0 = 4 \sinh^2[\pi \Omega].$$

(2.27)

where $\bar{k}^2 = \frac{4k^2}{\kappa^2 + 4\pi^2}$ and $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa(k^2)$.

25 As observed in [42], the equivalence of the classical solutions for the two backgrounds AdS$_5 \times S^5$ and AdS$_S \times S^5 \times \mathbb{C}P^3$ extends to the results for the classical energy and spin. Therefore, at the classical level the prescription relating the AdS$_S \times S^5$ and AdS$_S \times S^5 \times \mathbb{C}P^3$ results is equivalent to no rescaling at all. Namely, one rescales $\lambda_{AdS_5} \rightarrow 2\lambda$ and replaces $E$ and $S$ in AdS$_S$ results by $2E$ and $2S$. Changing the string tension by 2 is compensated by rescaling of charges by 2, so that classical parameters remain the same.

26 Using the large-$\Omega$ expansions of the determinants, also written down in [55], it is easy to check that expression (2.23) is UV finite.

27 The fermionic functional determinants are derived, as in [55], choosing periodic boundary conditions. We agree therefore with the discussion in [78], according to which for the folded string (in AdS$_S \times S^5$ and in AdS$_S \times \mathbb{C}P^3$) that it is not clear whether antiperiodic boundary conditions should be used for the fermions [79]. We note that this would change the $\cosh^2$ to $\sinh^2$ in the fermionic functional determinant (2.26), cf footnotes 29 and 30.
2.3.1. Long and short string limits. Expression (2.23), as such only numerically evaluable for given values of $k$, gives valuable analytic information once the integrand is expanded in the large spin (‘long string’ or $k \to 0$) limit or in the small spin (‘short string’ or $k \to 0$) limit. In the long string limit, the final result for the large spin expansion, obtained following the lines of [55]\(^{28}\), reads

$$E_1 = \frac{k_0}{k} \left( c_{01} k_0 + c_{00} + \frac{c_{n-1}}{k_0} \right) + \left( c_{11} k_0 + c_{10} + \frac{c_{1-n-1}}{k_0} \right) \eta + \mathcal{O}(\eta^2), \quad (2.28)$$

where the explicit values are

$$c_{01} = -5 \frac{\log 2}{2}, \quad c_{00} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{\pi} \log 2, \quad c_{n-1} = -\frac{1}{5}, \quad (2.29)$$

$$c_{11} = 0, \quad c_{10} = -\frac{5}{2\pi} \log 2, \quad c_{1-n-1} = \frac{1}{4\pi} + \frac{5 \log 2}{2\pi^2}. \quad (2.30)$$

For completeness, we report here the first few orders in the large spin expansion of the one-loop energy as found explicitly in terms of the spin (B.89)\(^{29}\)

$$E_1 = -\frac{5 \log 2}{2\pi} \log \tilde{S} + \frac{\pi + 10 \log 2}{2\pi} - \frac{\pi}{3 \log \tilde{S}}$$

$$- \frac{1}{\tilde{S}} \left[ \frac{20 \log 2}{\pi} \log \tilde{S} - \frac{2 \pi + 30 \log 2}{\pi} + \frac{4 \pi}{3 \log^2 \tilde{S}} \right] + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{S}^2}\right), \quad \tilde{S} \gg 1, \quad (2.31)$$

where $\tilde{S} = 8\pi S$.

With expressions (2.28)–(2.30) at hand, we are able to check the pattern of reciprocity relations between terms subleading in the large $S$ expansion which has been largely observed in the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ framework (for a review see [80]) (2.28). At the classical level this is already proven [81]. To see that explicitly [81, 55] at one loop we check the parity under $S \to -S$ of the function $\mathcal{P}(S)$ defined perturbatively via

$$\Delta(S) = \mathcal{P}(S + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(S)), \quad \Delta(S) = \Delta_0(S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \Delta_1(S) + \cdots, \quad (2.32)$$

where $\Delta_0(S) = \xi_0 - S$ and $\Delta_1(S) = \xi_1$ are redefinitions of the classical and one-loop energies ($E_1 = \sqrt{\kappa} \xi_1$). Solving perturbatively for $\mathcal{P}$ in (2.32)

$$\mathcal{P}(S) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dS} \right)^{k-1} (\Delta(S))^k, \quad \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \mathcal{P}_1 + \cdots, \quad (2.33)$$

one finds a parity invariant expression

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = -\frac{5 \log(2) \log \tilde{S}}{2\pi} - \frac{\pi}{3 \log \tilde{S}} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5 \log(2)}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{S}^{-2}). \quad (2.34)$$

The analysis above is a strong coupling confirmation of the weak coupling analysis of [82], in which reciprocity relations were checked, up to four loops, for the large spin expansion of

\(^{28}\) In particular, lacking complete control over them, exponential corrections occurring in the large spin expansion of the determinants are systematically neglected, see appendix E in [55].

\(^{29}\) The $5\log 2/\pi$ term in (2.31) is consistent with the low-energy effective theory for an $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{C}P^3$-folded string with periodic boundary conditions for fermions. Indeed, in the low-energy limit the energy is $E_{0e} = \frac{c\pi}{2\sqrt{\pi} \log \epsilon}$, with $c$ being the central charge, which, in this case, is $c = 6 - 2 - 4 = 0$, counting 6 massless bosons and 1 massless Dirac fermion with periodic boundary conditions. B Basso recently suggested, from considerations based on the Bethe ansatz, that massless fermions should have anti-periodic boundary conditions. We explicitly checked that changing only the massless fermions from periodic to anti-periodic leads to a term $\frac{5\log 2}{2\pi}$ in agreement with $E_{0e} = \frac{c\pi}{2\sqrt{\pi} \log \epsilon}$, where $c_{AP} = 6 + 1 = 7$. However, such a choice seems unnatural from the point of view of a world-sheet analysis. We thank Basso for discussions on this point.
the anomalous dimensions of operators of twist \( L = 1 \) in the three-dimensional \( \mathcal{N} = 6 \) super Chern–Simons theory.

Similarly, one can consider the small spin or short string limit \([83]\) of the folded string energy, realized by sending \( \eta \to \infty \) or \( k \to 0 \). To this purpose it is useful to consider an alternative expression for the final effective action, which is free from IR-divergence problems in the relevant expansion, and that is reported in \((B.99)\). Using the results there mentioned, one can write down the following small spin expansion of the one-loop correction to the energy

\[
E_1 = E_1^{(\text{an})} + E_1^{(\text{nyn})}, \quad E_1^{(\text{nyn})} = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(S),
\]

\[
E_1^{(\text{an})} = \sqrt{2S} \left( 1 - 3\log 2 + \frac{3}{16} S \left( -5 + 6\log 2 + 3\zeta(3) \right) + \mathcal{O}(S^2) \right),
\]

\[
E = \sqrt{2S} \sqrt{\lambda} \left( 1 + \frac{3S + 1 - 3\log 2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \ldots \right) + \frac{1}{2} + \ldots.
\]

As in \([55]\), we have separated \( E_1 \) into an ‘analytic’ part (with \( S \)-dependence similar to the classical energy \((B.92)\)) and a ‘non-analytic’ part, containing would-be IR-singular contributions of the lowest eigenvalues. In the last line we have made explicit the \( \lambda \)-dependence, adding together the classical and one-loop results\(^{30}\).

The pattern of transcendental coefficients \( \log 2, \zeta(3), \zeta(5) \) in the formula above resembles the one appearing in the AdS5 × S5 case \([55, 78]\). It would be nice to analyze the short string limit in a larger class of string configurations to see whether universality patterns similar to the ones observed for the AdS5 × S5 case in \([84]\) manifest themselves also in this context. Our results are obtained using periodic boundary conditions for fermions (see footnote 27), the alternative choice of antiperiodic boundary conditions would, as in the AdS5 × S5 case \([78, 84]\), remove the \( \log 2 \) terms.

As mentioned in section 1, relating the results obtained above to the one exploiting the algebraic curve tools is in general problematic. In particular, it is not possible to compare our \((2.31)\) and \((2.35)\) with the limit \( J \to 0 \ (\ell \to 0) \) of the recent interesting results of \([76]\). The ‘non-analyticity’ of this limit was first noticed in \([85]\) and confirmed in \([86, 87]\) (see also the related discussion in \([88]\)). A direct quantization of the folded string solution keeping exact both the spin and the orbital momentum \((S, J)\), which would help elucidating this and similar problems of direct comparison, is a technically challenging problem that would be very interesting to solve.

3. Exact one-loop results for folded strings in AdS3 × S1 × S3 × S1

In this section we will consider type IIB superstrings on AdS3 × S3 × M4, where the second compact manifold \( M_4 \) is either S3 × S1 or T4.\(^{31}\) The backgrounds we consider preserve 16 supersymmetries and are dual to two-dimensional conformal field theories with \( \mathcal{N} = (4, 4) \) superconformal symmetry. There are two inequivalent such superconformal algebras, referred to as small and large \((4, 4)\) superalgebras, with the maximal finite-dimensional sub-algebras being \( \mathfrak{psu}(1, 1|2)^2 \) and \( \mathfrak{d}(2, 1; \alpha)^2 \), respectively. The algebras \( \mathfrak{psu}(1, 1|2)^2 \) and \( \mathfrak{d}(2, 1; \alpha)^2 \) make up the super-isometries of the AdS3 × S3 × T4 and AdS3 × S3 × S3 × S1 backgrounds. In the latter the parameter \( \alpha \), taking values \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), is related to the radii of the two 3-spheres (see \((C.4)\)). In particular, in the limits \( \alpha \to 0 \) and \( \alpha \to 1 \) the radius of one of the two 3-spheres

\(^{30}\) The choice of anti-periodic boundary conditions for the massless fermions breaks the small spin expansions giving rise to divergent \( \frac{1}{\ell^7} \) terms.

\(^{31}\) The case \( M = K3 \), which can be treated as an orbifold of AdS3 × S3 × T4, results in a straightforward generalization of the T4 case.
diverges. The corresponding directions then become flat. Together with the $S^1$ they can then be compactified to a $T^4$. Hence, the case $M_4 = T^4$ can be obtained as a limit of the more general case $M_4 = S^3 \times S^1$. For $\alpha = 1/2$ the radii of the two spheres are equal. The isometry is then given by $\sigma(2, 1/2) = sp(4|2)^2$.

Classical string theory in the above backgrounds can be formulated in terms of integrable super-coset sigma models [68]. In [68, 69] Bethe equations were proposed describing the string theory spectra, or equivalently the spectrum of local operators in the dual CFTs. Little is known about the CFT dual of the string theories considered here\textsuperscript{32}. However, if the proposed Bethe equations are correct they may provide some insight into the spectra of the theories. The one-loop calculations performed in this paper can be seen as a first step in checking these equations beyond leading order at strong coupling.

In this section we evaluate the exact one-loop partition functions of a folded string carrying angular momentum in AdS$_3$. This solution and its generalization to a spinning string with non-zero angular momentum also on the two 3-spheres has been given in appendix C, which also contains more details about the fluctuation spectrum. The appendix additionally contains a one-loop analysis of the large spin scaling limit of the spinning string, as well as a discussion of the embedding of the classical string solution discussed in section 2.2 into AdS$_3$.

### 3.1. Fluctuations

The bosonic fluctuation Lagrangian in static gauge is derived in appendix C.2 and reads

$$2L_{2B} = \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho}}{(\tilde{\rho})^2} \right) \partial^a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\phi} + \left( 1 + \frac{\nu^2}{(\tilde{\rho})^2} \right) \partial^a \tilde{\psi} \partial_a \tilde{\psi} + \frac{2 \omega \nu \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho}}{(\tilde{\rho})^2} \partial^a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\psi} + \frac{2 \omega \nu \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho}}{(\tilde{\rho})^2} \partial^a \tilde{\psi} \partial_a \tilde{\phi}$$

$$+ \partial^a \tilde{\beta}_+ \partial_a \tilde{\beta}_+ + \partial^a \tilde{\gamma}_+ \partial_a \tilde{\gamma}_+ + \partial^a \tilde{\beta}_- \partial_a \tilde{\beta}_- + \partial^a \tilde{\gamma}_- \partial_a \tilde{\gamma}_- + \partial^a \tilde{\psi} \partial_a \tilde{\psi} + \partial^a \tilde{\psi} \partial_a \tilde{\psi} + v_1^2 (\tilde{\beta}_1^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_1^2) + v_2^2 (\tilde{\beta}_2^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_2^2). \quad (3.1)$$

The solution to the problem of finding exactly the analytical partition function in cases of non-diagonal mass matrices like (3.1) is not known at present. An exact partition function can however be obtained for $\nu = 0$, where the only non-trivial motion is the one in AdS$_3$. In this limit the coupling between the fluctuations $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ disappears and the masses of the fluctuations in $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ vanish. The bosonic contribution to the effective action then reads

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_B = \frac{1}{2} (\log \det \mathcal{O}_\phi + 7 \log \det \mathcal{O}_\psi) \quad (3.2)$$

where the operator $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ is the same as $\mathcal{O}_\phi$ in (2.20).

The full fermionic fluctuation Lagrangian derived in appendix C.3 reads

$$L_{GS} = 2 \mu \tilde{\Psi} \left( \Gamma^a \partial_a - \frac{\kappa \omega \nu}{2(\rho^2 + \nu^2)} \Gamma_{12} \tilde{\Gamma} + \frac{\rho'}{2}(\Gamma^{012} - \sqrt{\alpha}(1 - \delta)^{345} - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}(1 - \delta)^{678}) + \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \nu^2 - \rho'}^2}{2}(\Gamma^{012} - \sqrt{\alpha}(1 - \delta)^{345} + \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta)^{678}}) \right) \tilde{\Psi}, \quad (3.3)$$

where we have chosen to fix the $\kappa$-symmetry by $\Psi^1 = \Psi^2 \equiv \Psi$. As in the bosonic case, an exact analytical derivation for the partition function is only feasible in the $\nu = 0$ case, where we obtain

$$L_{GS} = i \tilde{\Psi} \left( \Gamma^a \partial_a + \frac{\rho'}{2}(\Gamma^{012} - \sqrt{\alpha}(1 - \delta)^{345} - \sqrt{1 - \alpha}(1 - \delta)^{678}) \right) \tilde{\Psi}, \quad (3.4)$$

\textsuperscript{32} For discussions on the $\mathcal{N} = (4, 4)$ CFT$_2$ duals see [89–96].
which gives four massless fermions and four massive fermions, among which two have mass $\sqrt{\rho'^2 - \rho''}$ and the remaining two have mass $\sqrt{\rho'^2 + \rho''}$. The resulting fermionic contribution to the one-loop 2D effective action thus reads

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_F = -\frac{1}{2} (2 \log \det \mathcal{O}_\psi + 2 \log \det \mathcal{O}_\varphi + 4 \log \det \mathcal{O}_0), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_\psi = -\partial^2 + \Omega^2 + \mu^2, \quad \mathcal{O}_\varphi = -\partial^2 + \Omega^2, \quad \mathcal{O}_0 = -\partial^2 + \Omega^2. \quad (3.6)$$

We note that for $\nu = 0$ the fluctuation spectrum is independent of both $\alpha$ and $\delta$, despite the presence of $\alpha$ in (3.4). In particular, we can take the limit $\alpha = \delta = 1$, which is further discussed in section 3.3.

### 3.2. Complete partition function, large and short string limits

From the frequencies in the last section we find that the one-loop correction to the energy of a folded string in AdS3 is given by

$$E_1 = -\frac{1}{4\pi \kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\Omega \log \det \mathcal{O}_\psi^4 \det \mathcal{O}_\varphi^4 \det \mathcal{O}_0^4. \quad (3.7)$$

where $\kappa$ is given in (B.87) and we used that $\det \mathcal{O}_\psi^4 = \det \mathcal{O}_\varphi^4 = \det \mathcal{O}_0^4$. The determinants appearing in (3.7) are the same as in the cases of AdS5 and AdS4. We will now evaluate this expression in the ‘long string’, or large spin, limit and in the ‘short string’ limit, where the spin is small. This is done in complete analogy with the AdS4 $\times \mathbb{C}{\mathbb{P}}^3$ analysis in section 2.3.1.

**Long string limit.** In the long string limit ($k \to 1$ or $\eta \to 0$), we obtain

$$E_1 = \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa} \left[ \left( c_{01} \kappa_0 + c_{00} + \frac{c_{0,-1}}{\kappa_0} \right) + \left( c_{11} \kappa_0 + c_{10} + \frac{c_{1,-1}}{\kappa_0} \right) \eta + \mathcal{O}(\eta^2) \right], \quad (3.8)$$

with

$$c_{01} = -2 \log 2, \quad c_{00} = \frac{4 \log 2}{\pi}, \quad c_{0,-1} = -\frac{1}{4},$$
$$c_{1,1} = 0, \quad c_{10} = -\frac{2 \log 2}{\pi}, \quad c_{1,-1} = \frac{2 \log 2}{\pi^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Rewriting this in terms of the spin $\bar{S} = 8\pi S$, we then obtain

$$E_1 = -\frac{2 \log 2}{\pi} \log \bar{S} + \frac{4 \log 2}{\pi} - \frac{\pi}{4 \log \bar{S}} - \frac{1}{\bar{S}} \left[ \frac{16 \log 2}{\pi} \log \bar{S} - \frac{24 \log 2}{\pi} + \frac{\pi}{\log^2 \bar{S}} \right] + \mathcal{O}(1/\bar{S}^2). \quad (3.10)$$

Extracting the leading log $\bar{S}$ term we obtain

$$E - S \approx f(\lambda) \log \frac{8\pi S}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \quad f(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} - \frac{2 \log 2}{\pi}. \quad (3.11)$$

This result is in perfect agreement with the one-loop part of the AdS3 $\times S^3 \times T^4$ computation in appendix B of [97]. For a further discussion of this result see appendix C.4.

In analogy with the analysis performed in section 2.3 one can check also in this case that reciprocity relations hold, evaluating the analogue of (2.34) for the expansion (3.10) above

$$P_1 = -\frac{2 \log 2 \log \bar{S}}{\pi} - \frac{\pi}{4 \log \bar{S}} + \frac{4 \log 2}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\bar{S}^{-2}). \quad (3.12)$$

and noticing its parity invariance under $\bar{S} \to -\bar{S}$.
Short string limit. Integrating out the zero modes we find the short string expansion to the one-loop energy in (3.7) to be

\[ E_1 = \sqrt{2S} \left( \frac{1}{2} - 2 \log 2 \right) + \frac{1}{32} S^{3/2} \left( -7 + 12 \log 2 + 6 \zeta(3) \right) + O(S). \]  

(3.13)

Notice that differently to the AdS5 and AdS4 cases, there is no ‘non-analytical’ part of the type \( E_{\text{nan}} \) in (2.35). This is due to the vanishing of the total contribution from the zero modes, i.e. the eigenvalues with lowest energy, which is in turn related to the absence of fluctuations transverse to the AdS3 motion.

3.3. The AdS3 × S3 × T4 limit

In the \( \alpha \to 1 \) limit one of the 3-spheres in the AdS3 × S3 × S3 × S1 background becomes flat.\(^{33}\) Hence, this limit describes strings moving in AdS3 × S3 × T4, where the sphere now has the same radius as the anti-de Sitter space [68]. In order to take this limit in the classical string solution considered above we first set \( \nu_+ = 0 \) in (C.5), corresponding to \( \delta = 1 \) in the parametrization (C.9). We can then set \( \alpha = 1 \) in the fluctuation Lagrangians (3.1) and (3.3). We then obtain

\[ 2 \mathcal{L}_{2\delta} = \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha^2 \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho}}{(\tilde{\rho}')^2} \right) \partial^a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\phi} + \left( 1 + \frac{\nu^2}{(\tilde{\rho}')^2} \right) \partial^a \tilde{\psi} \partial_a \tilde{\psi} + 2 \alpha \nu \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho} \partial^a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\psi} + \right. \\
\left. + \partial^a \tilde{\beta}_+ \partial_a \tilde{\beta}_+ + \partial^a \tilde{\gamma}_+ \partial_a \tilde{\gamma}_+ + \partial^a \tilde{\beta}_- \partial_a \tilde{\beta}_- + \partial^a \tilde{\gamma}_- \partial_a \tilde{\gamma}_- + \partial^a \tilde{\psi} \partial_a \tilde{\psi} + \partial^a \tilde{U} \partial_a \tilde{U} + \nu^2 (\tilde{\beta}_+^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_+^2), \right. \\
\]  

(3.14)

and

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{GS}} = i \tilde{\Psi} \left\{ \Gamma^a \partial_a - \frac{\kappa \omega \nu}{2 (\rho^2 + \nu^2)} \Gamma_{125} + \frac{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \nu^2}}{2} (\Gamma^{012} - \Gamma^{345}) \right\} \tilde{\Psi}. \]  

(3.15)

As noted above, the spectrum of fluctuations in the \( \nu = 0 \) limit is independent of \( \alpha \). Hence, the one-loop energy of the folded string is the same in AdS3 × S3 × T4 as in AdS3 × S3 × S3 × S1. In particular, the leading result for long strings in (3.11) matches the calculation in appendix B of [97]. For non-zero \( \nu \), we note that the bosonic fluctuations \( \tilde{\beta}_- \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}_- \) in (C.19) become massless when \( \alpha = 1 \). These modes together with \( \tilde{\psi} \) and \( \tilde{U} \) make up the four free directions on the torus.
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\(^{33}\) The limit \( \alpha \to 0 \) is equivalent, with the other 3-sphere blowing up.
Appendix A. Notation and Γ matrices representation

We denote with $A, R, \ldots = 0, \ldots, 9$ the 10D flat indices, with $M, N, \ldots$ the 10D curved ones, and with $a, b = 0, 1$ the world-sheet indices. Finally, the indices $I, J, K$ label the two kinds of spinors $\theta^1, \theta^2$ or $\Psi^1, \Psi^2$.

For the type IIA string in AdS$_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$, the spinors are defined as $\theta = \theta^1 + \theta^2$ with $\Gamma_1 \theta^1 = \theta^1$ and $\Gamma_1 \theta^2 = -\theta^2$; while for the type IIB string in AdS$_5 / \text{CFT}_2$ they have the same chirality $\theta' = \Gamma_1 \theta'$, $I = 1, 2$. The complex conjugate $\bar{\theta}$ is always defined as $\bar{\theta}' = \theta' \Gamma^0$. Finally, we use the following representation for the 10D Dirac matrices

$$\Gamma_0 = -i\sigma_2 \otimes I_{16} \quad \Gamma_{11} = \sigma_3 \times I_{16} \quad \Gamma_a = \sigma_1 \otimes \gamma_a, \quad a = 1, \ldots, 9.$$ (A.1)

Appendix B. Fluctuations in AdS$_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$

The type IIA background AdS$_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ is defined via

$$\mathbf{ds}^2 = R^2 \left( \mathbf{ds}^2_{\text{AdS}} + 4 \mathbf{ds}^2_{\mathbb{CP}^3} \right), \quad e^{\phi} = \frac{2R}{k}, \quad R^2 \equiv \frac{R^3}{4k} = \frac{k^2}{4} e^{2\phi},$$ (B.1)

$$F_2 = 2k \mathbf{ds}_{\mathbb{CP}^3}, \quad F_4 = \frac{3}{8} R^3 \text{vol}(\text{AdS}_4),$$ (B.2)

where $R$ is the AdS$_4$ radius, $\phi$ the dilaton, $k$ results from the compactification of the original M-theory on AdS$_4 \times S^7 / \mathbb{Z}_k$ background and coincides in the dual theory with the super Chern–Simons matter theory level number [16]. Above, $F_2, F_4$ are the 2-form and 4-form field strengths with $J_{\mathbb{CP}^3}$ the Kähler form on $\mathbb{CP}^3$. The curvature radius $R$ is related to the ’t Hooft coupling $\lambda$ of the dual $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal Chern–Simons theory (realized in the limit of $k$ and $N$ large with their ratio fixed)

$$R^2 = \frac{25/2 \pi \sqrt{\lambda}}{N} \quad \lambda = \frac{N}{k}.$$ (B.3)

To describe the background (B.1) we use global coordinates for AdS$_4$:

$$\mathbf{ds}^2_{\text{AdS}} = -\cosh^2 \rho \mathbf{dr}^2 + \mathbf{d}\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho (\mathbf{d}\phi^2 + \sin^2 \phi \mathbf{d}\psi^2)$$ (B.4)

and a parametrization [98] in terms of six coordinates $(\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ for $\mathbb{CP}^3$:

$$\mathbf{ds}^2_{\mathbb{CP}^3} = \mathbf{d}\psi_1^2 + \sin^2 \psi_1 \mathbf{d}\psi_2^2 + \sin^2 \psi_1 \cos^2 \psi_1 \mathbf{d}\psi_3^2 + \sin^2 \psi_2 (\mathbf{d}\tau_1 + \sin^2 \psi_2 (\mathbf{d}\tau_2 + \sin^2 \psi_3 \mathbf{d}\tau_3))^2$$

$$+ \sin^2 \psi_1 \sin^2 \psi_3 (\mathbf{d}\psi_3^2 + \cos^2 \psi_2 (\mathbf{d}\tau_2 + \sin^2 \psi_3 \mathbf{d}\tau_3)^2 + \sin^2 \psi_3 \cos^2 \psi_2 \mathbf{d}\tau_3^2).$$ (B.5)

Alternatively, one might use three inhomogeneous complex coordinates

$$w_1 = \frac{\tan \psi_1 \tan \psi_2 \tan \psi_3}{\sqrt{(1 + \tan^2 \psi_2)(1 + \tan^2 \psi_3)}} e^{i(\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3)},$$

$$w_2 = \frac{\tan \psi_1 \tan \psi_2}{\sqrt{(1 + \tan^2 \psi_2)(1 + \tan^2 \psi_3)}} e^{i(\tau_1 + \tau_2)},$$

$$w_3 = \frac{\tan \psi_1}{(1 + \tan^2 \psi_2)} e^{i\tau_3},$$ (B.6)

in terms of which the metric

$$\mathbf{ds}^2_{\mathbb{CP}^3} = \frac{\mathbf{d}w_1 \mathbf{d}w_2}{1 + |w|^2} - \frac{\mathbf{d}w_1 \mathbf{d}w_2}{(1 + |w|^2)^2} \mathbf{d}w_1 \mathbf{d}w_2, \quad |w|^2 = \bar{w}_k w_k,$$ (B.7)

gives back the Fubini–Study metric (B.5).
Another useful parametrization of $\mathbb{C}P^3$ is the one describing its embedding in $\mathbb{C}^4$
\[
\begin{align*}
z_1 &= \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{i \frac{\varphi_1}{2} + i \frac{\chi}{2}}, \\
z_2 &= \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \sin \frac{\theta_1}{2} e^{-i \frac{\varphi_1}{2} + i \frac{\chi}{2}}, \\
z_3 &= \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{i \frac{\varphi_2}{2} - i \frac{\chi}{2}}, \\
z_4 &= \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \sin \frac{\theta_2}{2} e^{-i \frac{\varphi_2}{2} - i \frac{\chi}{2}},
\end{align*}
\]
with $0 \leq \alpha, \varphi_1, \varphi_2 < \pi$, $0 \leq \varphi_1, \varphi_2 < 2\pi$, $0 \leq \chi < 4\pi$. This leads to the Fubini–Study metric
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}s^2_{\mathbb{C}P^3} &= \frac{R^2}{4 \pi} \int \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}\alpha \sqrt{-h^{\alpha\beta}} (G_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) + 4 G_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta |z|^2 = 1.
\end{align*}
\]
From the inhomogeneous coordinates $(B.6)$ one moves to the homogeneous coordinates $(B.8)$ via the map $w_i = z_i/z_4$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and the condition $|z|^2 = 1$.

In this background we study quadratic fluctuations over classical string configurations which solve the equation of motion for the bosonic action
\[
I_B = \frac{R^2}{4 \pi \alpha} \int \mathrm{d}r \mathrm{d}\alpha \sqrt{-h^{\alpha\beta}} (G_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta) + 4 G_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\alpha \gamma^\beta |z|^2 = 1.
\]
For this purpose we also need the quadratic term in the fermionic part of the Green–Schwarz action
\[
\mathcal{L}_F = i(\sqrt{-h^{\alpha\beta}}) \partial^\alpha \bar{\psi}^\beta - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial^\alpha \bar{\psi}^\beta \gamma^K \rho_a D_b^K \gamma^K,
\]
where the form of the covariant derivative is restricted by the background RR fluxes
\[
D_b^K \gamma^K = \delta^K_b (\partial_b + \frac{1}{4} \partial_a \mu_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma}) \gamma^K + \frac{1}{8} \bar{\psi}^a \Gamma^{MN} (\bar{\sigma}_2)^{JK} + \frac{1}{4} F_{MNPO} \Gamma^{MNPO} (\bar{\sigma}_1)^{JK} \rho_0 \gamma^K,
\]
with $\rho_a = \partial_a X^M E^a_M \Gamma_A$ and $\gamma^{JK} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$, where we used already that $H_{(3)}$, the 3-form potential for $F_3$, will not play a role in our calculations.

\section*{B.1. Antiparallel lines}

The classical solution and the formal expression for bosonic fluctuations over it were considered for the AdS$_5 \times $ S$^5$ background in [71]. As explained in section 2.1, since the classical solution is here trivial in CP$^3$, the bosonic spectrum is a simple truncation (one less transverse fluctuation) of the one written down in [71], and the contribution to the effective action reads
\[
\Gamma_B = \delta (\log \det \Omega_6 + \log \det \Omega_6 + 6 \log \det \Omega_0).
\]
The fermionic fluctuations are obtained by substituting in $(B.14)$–$(B.15)$ the relevant classical ansatz. If the Wilson lines are extended in the $x^0 \equiv r$ direction and located at $x^3 \equiv \sigma = \pm L$, the ansatz for the corresponding minimal surface is given by $y = y(\sigma)$, where $y$ is the radial direction in AdS. The derivation of the resulting fermionic Lagrangian works much the same as in the case of the folded string solution [39, 42] reviewed below in section 2.3, leading to
\[
\mathcal{L}_F = 2 i \gamma_4 \bar{\Psi} [\eta^{a\beta} \Gamma_a \partial_b \Gamma^b] \Psi, \quad \Gamma = \frac{1}{4} (-\Gamma_{47} + \Gamma_{58} - \Gamma_{69} - 3 \Gamma_{0123}).
\]
One notes that it is always possible to further simplify the problem, bringing the $32 \times 32$ matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}$ above into a $2 \times 2$ block-diagonal form in block with 4 vanishing entries and the remaining 12 consisting of $\sigma_3$ matrices, where $\sigma_3$ is the third Pauli matrix. The same rotation makes block-diagonal the kinetic term in (B.17), with $\Gamma_0 = I_{16} \times \sigma_1$, $\Gamma_1 = I_{16} \times \sigma_2$, where $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$ are the remaining Pauli matrices. Thus, one ends with 8 species of 2D Majorana fermions two of which are massless and cancelled by the massless bosons, and the final result reads as in (2.2).

The rest of the analysis goes as in [32], based on which it is not difficult to check that the final result is given in terms of an analytical part and a part which is numerically integrable with arbitrary precision, where the latter is defined via

$$I_{\text{num}} = \int_0^\pi \frac{d\alpha}{2 \cos^2 \frac{\alpha}{2}} \left[ \log \frac{1 + e^{-2i\alpha}}{1 - e^{-2i\alpha}} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \log(1 - e^{-2i\alpha}) + \cos^2 \frac{\alpha}{2} \log \sin \alpha \right) \right],$$

$$\tilde{x}_f = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{2K} + K \right) F \left[ \alpha \right] - K E \left[ \alpha \right] + \frac{K}{2} \sin \alpha \sqrt{1 + \tan^4 \frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

$$\tilde{x}_{\text{zero}} = \left( \frac{\pi}{2K} - K \right) F \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} \right] + 2K E \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} \right],$$

$$\tilde{x}_{\text{inf}} = \left( \frac{\pi}{2K} + K \right) F \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} \right] - 2K E \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} \right] + K \tan \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{3 + \cos \alpha},$$

which gives

$$I_{\text{num}} = 2.118\,816\,702\,312\,941\,142\,665\,389\,260\,961\,407\,916\,565\,757\,084\,503\,648\,488\,839\,669.$$  

(B.19)

**B.2. Generalized cusp**

The classical string solution corresponding to the Wilson loop introduced in section 2.2 coincides (up to the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}$) with the one for the AdS$_5 \times$ S$^5$ background in [10], to which we refer the reader for more details. Below we report the expressions which are strictly necessary to define the relevant formulas here used.

The Nambu–Goto action reads

$$S_{\text{NG}} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} \int dt d\phi \cosh \rho \sqrt{\sinh^2 \rho + (\partial_\rho \rho)^2 + (\partial_\phi \theta)^2},$$

and one finds the same set of conserved charges

$$E = -\frac{\sinh^2 \rho \cosh \rho}{\sqrt{\sinh^2 \rho + (\partial_\rho \rho)^2 + (\partial_\phi \theta)^2}}, \quad J = \frac{\partial_\theta \cosh \rho}{\sqrt{\sinh^2 \rho + (\partial_\rho \rho)^2 + (\partial_\phi \theta)^2}}.$$  

(B.21)

The BPS condition is still given by $E = \pm J$, or $\phi = \pm \theta$, and one conveniently introduces the two parameters

$$q = -\frac{J}{E}, \quad p = \frac{1}{E}.$$  

(B.22)

34 The relevant rotation is built with eigenvectors of the matrix $\Gamma_0 \Gamma_1$, which commutes with $\tilde{\Gamma}$.

35 One might refer to the vanishing of the total Lagrangian, see [33] appendix C.2, which does not change here. A study of the BPS condition on the gauge theory side, which is also useful for nonperturbative computations, is carried out in [18].
which are related to φ and θ via the transcendental equations [10]

\[ \phi = \pi - 2 \frac{p^2}{b \sqrt{b^2 + p^2}} \left[ \Pi \left( \frac{b^2}{b^2 + p^2} \right) - \mathbb{K}(k^2) \right], \quad \theta = \frac{2bq}{\sqrt{b^2 + p^2}} \mathbb{K}(k^2), \]  

(B.23)

where \( b, k \) are in turn related to \( p, q \) via

\[ p^2 = \frac{b^2(1 - k^2)}{b^2 + k^2}, \quad q^2 = \frac{b^2(1 - 2k^2 - k^2b^2)}{b^2 + k^2}. \]  

(B.24)

The classical equations of motion for \( \rho \) and \( \vartheta \) appearing in (B.20) read then

\[(\partial_\rho \rho)^2 = p^2 \cosh^2 \rho \sinh^4 \rho - q^2 \sinh^4 \rho - \sinh^2 \rho, \quad \partial_\vartheta \vartheta = q \sinh^2 \rho,\]  

(B.25)

and the result for the classical action is

\[ S_{cl} = \frac{T \sqrt{\pi}}{b p} \left[ \frac{b p \sinh \rho_0}{\sqrt{b^2 + p^2}} + \frac{(b^2 + 1)p^2}{b^2 + p^2} \mathbb{K}(k^2) - \mathbb{E}(k^2) \right]. \]  

(B.26)

where \( T \) is a cutoff on the \( t \) integral, \( E \) denotes an elliptic integral of the second kind and \( \rho_0 \) is a cutoff at large \( \rho \) to cure the standard linear divergence for two lines along the boundary.

As usual, one can cancel this divergence by a boundary term, remaining with the second and third term within brackets in (B.26).

It is useful to redefine the world-sheet coordinates \((t, \varphi)\) in terms of elliptic ones

\[ \sigma = \frac{\sqrt{b^2 + p^2}}{b q} t \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta = F(\arcsin \xi | k^2) - \mathbb{K}(k^2), \quad \tau = \frac{\sqrt{b^2 + p^2}}{b p} t, \]  

(B.27)

where the range of the new coordinates is

\(-\infty < \sigma < \infty, \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty.\)  

(B.28)

The relation between \( \xi \) and \( \varphi \) in (B.27) is given in terms of incomplete elliptic integrals of the first and third kind \( F \) and \( \Pi \) [10] and one also finds

\[ \xi = \sin(\sigma + \mathbb{K}), \quad \cosh \rho = \frac{1 + b^2}{b^2 \cosh(\sigma)}. \]  

(B.29)

The nice effect of the rescaling (B.27) is to put the induced metric in a conformally flat form

\[ d\sigma^2 = \frac{b^2 + p^2}{b^2 \cosh^2(\sigma)} [-d\tau^2 + d\varphi^2]. \]  

(B.30)

The 2–dimensional scalar curvature reads

\[ R^{(2)} = -2 \left( 1 + \frac{k^2(1 + b^2)^2}{b^2(1 - k^2) \cosh^2 \rho} \right) = -2 \left( 1 + \frac{k^2}{1 - k^2} \cosh^2(\sigma) \right). \]  

(B.31)

It is useful to rewrite the equations of motion (B.25) for \( \rho \), \( \vartheta \) and add the one for \( \varphi \) [10]

\[ \rho^2 = \frac{(b^2 \sinh^2 \rho - 1)(b^2 + p^2 \sinh^2 \rho)}{(b^4 + p^2 \sinh^2 \rho)}, \quad \vartheta^2 = \frac{p^2(b^2 + 1) - b^4}{b^4 + p^2}, \quad \varphi^2 = \frac{b^2}{(b^4 + p^2 \sinh^2 \rho)}. \]  

(B.32)

We also report here the expansion of the parameters \( \phi, \theta \) in the relevant limit \( \phi = \theta = 0 \), which is a \( p \to \infty \) limit.

\[ \phi = \frac{\pi}{p} + \frac{\pi(3q^2 - 5)}{4p^3} + \frac{3\pi(15q^4 - 70q^2 + 63)}{64p^5} + \frac{5\pi(7q^2(5q^4 - 45q^2 + 99) - 429)}{256p^7} + \mathcal{O}(p^{-9}), \]  

(B.33)

\[ \theta = \frac{\pi q}{p} + \frac{\pi q(q^2 - 3)}{4p^3} + \frac{3\pi q(3q^4 - 30q^2 + 35)}{64p^5} + \frac{5\pi q(5q^2(q^4 - 21q^2 + 63) - 231)}{256p^7} + \mathcal{O}(p^{-9}). \]  

(B.34)
B.2.1. Fluctuations. In carrying out the study of fluctuations, it turns out to be easier to use different parametrizations of the classical ansatz (2.9) for the ’jump’ in \( C^4 \). In particular, while for the study of the fermionic spectrum we will be using the six coordinates in (B.5) and fluctuate over the ansatz
\[
\psi_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \psi_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \tau_1 = \tau(\sigma), \quad \tau_3 = \tau(\sigma), \quad \tau_2 = 0, \tag{B.35}
\]
in the case of bosonic fluctuations we will use the parametrization (B.6), in terms of which the ansatz (B.35) reads
\[
\psi_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \quad \psi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \psi_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad w_1 = e^{2i\tau(\sigma)}, \quad w_2 = w_3 = 0. \tag{B.36}
\]
As an example, we could use the ansätze above (clearly equivalent to each other), as well as the one in (2.9), in the proposal [20, 22–24] for the SU(4) tensor \( M^j_I \) representing the coupling \( \sim |\dot{x}^\mu| M^j_I C_I \bar{C}_J \) to the bilinear scalars in the ABJM Wilson loop
\[
M^j_I = \delta^j_I - \frac{2z^j}{|z|^2}, \tag{B.37}
\]
written in terms of four internal SU(4) coordinates \( z^j \) which are in a one-to-one correspondence with the scalars \( C^j_I \) in ABJM theory. It is natural to identify the \( z^j \) above with the coordinates embedding \( CP^3 \) in \( C^4 \) (B.8). From the ansatz (2.9) it then follows\(^{36}\)
\[
\tilde{M}^j_I = \begin{pmatrix}
-\cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\
-\sin \theta_1 & \cos \theta_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{B.38}
\]
which evaluated at the extrema of the \( \theta_1 \)-interval, i.e. at the boundary of AdS, should give a representation of the ’jump’ in the internal space for the Wilson loop, at least for the scalar coupling\(^{37}\). The matrices (B.37) and (B.38) above are locally SU(3)-invariant matrices. Explicitly, on each of the lines forming the Wilson loop, they can always (separately) be brought to the form \( \tilde{M} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1) \) typical of the half-BPS Wilson line operator corresponding to the most symmetric string configuration.

Bosonic fluctuations. In evaluating the bosonic fluctuations for the generalized cusp we expand the Nambu–Goto action around the classical solution via the following variations
\[
\rho = \rho(\sigma) + \delta \rho, \quad \varphi = \varphi(\sigma) + \delta \varphi, \quad \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta \phi \tag{B.40}
\]
in AdS\(_4\) and fluctuations over the ansatz (B.36) in \( CP^3 \). Closely following [10], we use a static gauge where longitudinal fluctuations are set to zero, leaving the system only with transverse physical degrees of freedom. The fluctuations parallel to the world sheet are \( \delta t \) (set to zero from the beginning) and a linear combination of \( \delta \rho, \delta \varphi \delta \vartheta \), where here \( \vartheta \equiv \tau_1 \). Since the classical Lagrangian for the solution (2.9) is the same as in the AdS\(_5\) \( \times S^5 \) case, the rotation

\(^{36}\) Along the boundary, the \( \varphi \)-dependence of \( \theta_1 \) is the \( s \)-dependence along the loop.

\(^{37}\) In the case of the ansätze (B.36), we can set \( z_4 = (z_4, w_1 z_4, w_2 z_4, w_3 z_4) \) with \( z_4 = \frac{e^{-i\tau_1}}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \psi_1}} \), from which it follows for the coupling (B.37)
\[
\tilde{M}^j_I = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -e^{-i\tau_1} & 0 & 0 \\
-e^{i\tau_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{B.39}
\]
It is always possible to bring (B.39) above in the form (B.38) via an SU(4) transformation.
that individuates the directions normal to the world sheet will stay the same. Thus, we can construct the transverse fluctuations out of $\delta \rho, \delta \varphi, \delta \vartheta$ as in [10]

$$\delta \xi_7 = \frac{\theta'(\sinh^2 \rho \cos \vartheta + \rho' \delta \rho) - (\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \cos^2 \vartheta) \delta \vartheta}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \cos^2 \vartheta)^2}}$$
$$\delta \xi_8 = \frac{\sinh \rho (\rho' \delta \varphi - \varphi' \delta \rho)}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho \cos^2 \vartheta}}.$$  

\[(B.41)\]

We expect that the only difference from the AdS$_5 \times $ S$^5$ bosonic spectrum results in one less transverse AdS fluctuation and a splitting among ‘heavy’ and ‘light’ excitations in the transverse directions of CP$^3$. Indeed, the resulting Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{g}^{ab} \partial \varphi_a \partial \varphi_b + A(\xi_0 \partial_\alpha \xi_7 - \xi_1 \partial_\alpha \xi_8) + M_{PQ} \xi_0 \xi_7.$$

\[(B.42)\]

where $\xi_1 = \delta \varphi \sinh \rho$ is the transverse direction in AdS$_4$, $\xi_2 = \psi_1$ is the heavy transverse direction in CP$^3$ and $\xi_s, s = 3, \ldots, 6$ the remaining transverse light excitations in the compact space. The corresponding masses are

$$M_{11} = \frac{b^4 - b^2 p^2 - p^2}{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho} + 2, \quad M_{22} = \frac{b^4 - b^2 p^2 - p^2}{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho}. \quad (B.43)$$

$$M_{4s} = \frac{b^4 - b^2 p^2 - p^2}{4 b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho}, \quad s = 3, 4, 5, 6. \quad (B.44)$$

$$M_{77} = \frac{b^4 - b^2 p^2 - p^2}{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho} - \frac{2 (b^2 + 1)(b^2 - p^2)}{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho} + b_2 \frac{b^4 + 2 b^2 p^2 \sinh^2 \rho + b^2 p^2 - p^2}{\cosh \rho (b^4 + b^2 p^2 \sinh^2 \rho - p^2)^2}. \quad (B.45)$$

$$M_{88} = \frac{b^4 - b^2 p^2 - p^2}{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho} + 2 - \frac{3 b^2}{\cosh \rho (b^4 + b^2 p^2 \sinh^2 \rho - p^2)} + \frac{b^4 p^2}{(b^4 + b^2 p^2 \sinh^2 \rho - p^2)^2}. \quad (B.46)$$

$$M_{78} = M_{87} = \frac{2 \sqrt{-b^4 + b^2 p^2 + p^2} \sqrt{b^2 \sinh^4 \rho - 1} \sqrt{b^2 + p^2 \sinh^2 \rho}}{p (b^4 + b^2 p^2 \sinh^2 \rho - p^2) \cosh \rho}. \quad (B.47)$$

They match exactly the AdS$_5 \times $ S$^5$ case [10], apart from the factor 4 in the light modes $M_{4s}$.

**Fermionic fluctuations.** Fermionic fluctuations are computed from the Green–Schwarz Lagrangian (B.14) with the covariant derivative as in (B.15). On the classical ansatz (2.9) the Gamma matrices pulled back onto the world sheet $\gamma_\alpha = \partial_\alpha X^{\alpha} E_0^a \Gamma_a$ read

$$\rho_0 = \kappa r_0 \Gamma_6, \quad \rho_1 = \rho_0 \Gamma_1 + \varphi r_1 \Gamma_3 + \alpha'_4 \Gamma_7, \quad r_1 = \sinh \rho, \quad r_0 = \cosh \rho. \quad (B.48)$$

where we rescaled the isometry in CP$^3$ according to $a_s = (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3) / 4$ in order to make contact with the classical solution in AdS$_5 \times $ S$^5$, and introduced the constant factor $\kappa$ to rescale the time coordinate for later convenience.

The effect of the local spinor rotation $\theta^I = S \psi^I$, where

$$(B.49)$$

$$\cos \xi = \left(\frac{(b^2 + p^2 \sinh^2 \rho)(b^2 \sinh^2 \rho - 1)}{\sinh^2 \rho (b^4 + p^2 b^2 \sinh^2 \rho - p^2)}\right)^{1/2}, \quad \cos \zeta = \frac{(b^4 + b^2 p^2 \sinh^2 \rho - p^2)^{1/2}}{\cosh \rho \sqrt{b^2 p^2}}.$$
is to bring the pulled-back Gamma matrices into the two-dimensional form $\{\tau_i, \tau_j\} = g_{ij}$

$$\tau_0 \equiv S^{-1} \rho_0 S = a \Gamma_0, \quad \tau_1 \equiv S^{-1} \rho_1 S = a \Gamma_1,$$

with $a = \sqrt{\frac{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho}{b^4 + p^2}}$. \hfill (B.50)

Above, $a$ is the fourth root of the determinant in the conformally flat induced metric (B.30), and can also be written, as from (B.29), as $a = \sqrt{\frac{r\kappa}{\sinh^2 \phi}}$. The rotated covariant derivatives are

$$\nabla^I_0 \equiv S^{-1} \nabla_0^I S = \delta^I_0 \left( \frac{a r_1}{2 r_0} \left( \cos \xi \sin \xi \Gamma_{07} + \cos \xi \cos \xi \Gamma_{01} \right) + \frac{a r_1}{2 r_0} \sin \xi \Gamma_{03} \right).$$

$$\nabla^I_1 \equiv S^{-1} \nabla_1^I S = \delta^I_1 \left( \frac{\xi'}{2} \Gamma_{17} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi' - \chi' r_0 \right) \left( \Gamma_{13} \cos \xi \Gamma_{37} \sin \xi \right) \right). \hfill (B.51)$$

The fluxes contribute as

$$\hat{k}^{JK} \equiv S^{-1} \phi^{JK} S = \frac{e^\phi}{8} S^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{MN} F_{MN} (i \sigma_2)^{JK} + \frac{1}{4!} \Gamma^{MNPO} F_{MNPO} \sigma_1^{JK} \right) S$$

$$= \frac{k e^\phi}{16} \left( (i \sigma_2)^{JK} \left( \Gamma_{09} - \Gamma_{58} \sin \xi \Gamma_{13} \cos \xi \Gamma_{37} - 3 \sigma_1^{JK} \right) (\cos \xi \Gamma_{0123} + \sin \xi \Gamma_{0237}) \right). \hfill (B.52)$$

Collecting the kinetic terms and the fluxes we have \hfill (38)

$$\mathcal{L}_F = i (\sqrt{-h} \bar{\psi} \gamma^I \delta_{IV} - e^{i \theta} \phi S^I) \bar{\Psi} \left( \tau_a \nabla_0^{JK} + \tau_a \phi^{JK} \right) \Psi^I$$

$$= 2 a i \bar{\Psi} \left( \eta^{ab} \Gamma_a \partial_b \rho + \frac{ar_1}{r_0} \cos \xi \cos \xi \Gamma_{1} \rho - \left( \frac{ar_1}{r_0} \sin \xi + \left( \xi' - \chi' r_0 \right) \cos \xi \right) \Gamma_{3}\rho \right.$$

$$- \left( \frac{ar_1}{r_0} \cos \xi \sin \xi + \xi' \right) \Gamma_{7}\rho - \sin \left( \xi' - \chi' r_0 \right) \Gamma_{17}\rho$$

$$- \frac{a}{4} \left( (\Gamma_{09} - \Gamma_{58} \cos \xi \Gamma_{13} \Gamma_{37} + 3 \cos \xi \Gamma_{0123}) \right) \rho \right) \Psi, \hfill (B.54)$$

where as in [42] we have reorganized the spinors via a suitable projector operator

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \Gamma_{0} \Gamma_{1} \Gamma_{11} \right), \hfill (B.55)$$

and a linear combination $\Psi = \Psi^1 + \Psi^2$ of the two type IIA spinors with opposite chirality has been introduced. A natural gauge choice to fix the $\kappa$-symmetry is then

$$\mathcal{P} \Psi = \Psi. \hfill (B.56)$$

Since the operator in (B.53) is rather involved, we will work out the fermionic spectrum in two specific limits, the cases $(\phi, \theta = 0)$ (vanishing $q$) and $(\phi, \theta = 0)$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$ keeping $k$ finite, such that $q/p = i k / \sqrt{1 - k^2}$ and $b/p = 1 / \sqrt{1 - k^2}$). In total analogy with the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ case, these are the two limits in which both the fermionic and the bosonic spectra diagonalize, which makes it possible an exact evaluation of the corresponding partition functions.

**B 2.2. Partition function for $\theta = 0$.** In this section we evaluate the partition function in the limit of vanishing $\theta$. This means to switch off the ‘jump’ in CP$^3$, setting $q$ in (B.22) to zero. The string is completely embedded in an AdS$_3$ subspace of AdS$_4$.

**Bosonic fluctuations.** In this limit the excitations $\xi^7$ and $\xi^8$ in (B.42)–(B.47) decouple ($A = 0$) and the bosonic modes reduce to six massless and two massive, one transverse and one longitudinal which can be rewritten in terms of the two-dimensional curvature

$$M_{11} = 2, \quad M_{56} = M_{77} = 0, \quad s = 2, \ldots, 6, \quad M_{88} = R^{(2)} + 4. \hfill (B.57)$$

Terms of the type $\bar{\psi} \Gamma_a \psi$ were written for completeness, since they vanish and can thus be ignored.
where $R^{(2)}$ is intended as the corresponding limit of (B.31). Not surprisingly, these are the same masses appearing in the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ case at $\theta = 0$ [10].

Fermionic fluctuations. For vanishing $\theta$, the parameters in the Lagrangian (B.53) have the limits $\cos \xi \rightarrow 1$ and $a \rightarrow a_0 = \sqrt{\beta} \cosh \rho$. One finds then that Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F$ becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_F = i\bar{\Psi} \left\{ \eta^{ab} \Gamma_a \partial_b \mathcal{P} - \frac{a_0(\sigma)}{4} \left( (\Gamma_{00} - \Gamma_{0\mathbf{5}} + \Gamma_{47}) \Gamma_{11} + 3\Gamma_{0(23)} \right) \mathcal{P} \right\} \Psi, \quad (B.58)$$

after rescaling the fermions by a factor $\sqrt{\beta} |a_0|$. One can diagonalize the operator $\mathcal{P}^T \Delta_F \mathcal{P} = -\mathcal{P}^T D_F^2 \mathcal{P}$ ($\mathcal{P}$ commutes with $D_F$). One thus obtains two massless modes, three massive modes with $m_+$ and three with $m_-$ where $m_\pm$ are

$$m_\pm^2 = a_0^2 \pm \frac{1 - k^2 \pm \sqrt{1 - k^2 \text{dn}(\sigma|k^2) \text{sn}(\sigma|k^2)}}{\text{cn}(\sigma|k^2)^2}. \quad (B.59)$$

In the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ case at $\theta = 0$ [10], all fermions were massive with the same $m_\pm^2$ above.

Effective action. Due to the time-translation invariance the spectral problem is effectively one-dimensional. The resulting one-loop partition function can be then written as a single integral over the Fourier-transformed $\tau$ variable $d\tau = -i \omega$ for the ratio of determinants of the operators corresponding to the bosonic and fermionic fluctuations above

$$\Gamma|_{\theta=0} = -T \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \log \frac{\det^{\theta/2} \mathcal{O}_F}{\det^{1/2} \mathcal{O}_1 \det^{1/2} \mathcal{O}_0 \det^{1/2} \mathcal{O}_2}. \quad (B.60)$$

Above, $T = \int d\tau$ is the $\tau$-period, $\mathcal{O}_0 = -\partial_{\omega}^2 + \omega^2$ and

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = -\partial_{\omega}^2 + \omega^2 + 2 \frac{(1 - k^2)}{\text{cn}^2(\sigma)}, \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = -\partial_{\omega}^2 + \omega^2 + 2 \frac{(1 - k^2)}{\text{cn}^2(\sigma)} - 2k^2 \text{cn}^2(\sigma), \quad \mathcal{O}_F(\sigma) = \mathcal{O}_+(\sigma + 2\eta). \quad (B.61)$$

Above, $\eta = \mathbb{K}(k^2)$ and the last equivalence implies that $\det \mathcal{O}_F \equiv \det \mathcal{O}_+ \equiv \det \mathcal{O}_-$. In [10] these operators could be put into a single-gap Lamé form and thus evaluated analytically via the Gelfand–Yaglom method (see for example [99]). The latter is applied to a regularized initial value problem, where the Dirichlet boundary conditions (standard in this framework) are applied not at the extrema of the original $\sigma$-interval (B.28), but considering $-\mathbb{K} + \epsilon < \sigma < \mathbb{K} - \epsilon$ with $\epsilon$ arbitrarily small. In appendix D of [10] the reader can find the explicit form for the determinants, formulas (D.33)–(D.36), as well as a study of their small $\epsilon$ and large $\omega$ behavior. One can check that the redistribution of them within the integrand of (B.60) results in a small $\epsilon$, infrared divergent behavior $\sim \log(1/\epsilon^2)$ and in a large $\omega$, UV divergence $\sim \log(1/\omega^2)$. We could perform then a standard renormalization, consisting in an explicit subtraction of these divergences, for which the one-loop effective action would assume the form

$$\Gamma|_{\theta=0}^{\text{stand. renorm}} = -\frac{T}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \log \left[ \frac{\epsilon^2 \omega^2 \det^{\theta} \mathcal{O}_F}{\det \mathcal{O}_1 \det^{2} \mathcal{O}_0 \det \mathcal{O}_2} \right], \quad (B.62)$$

where the superscript denotes the regularized determinants. However, in the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit corresponding to the straight line, this would result in a non-vanishing finite number which can be explicitly evaluated to be equal to $1/8$. This is different from what happened in [10], where the standard renormalization was enough to reproduce, in the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit, the expected vanishing partition function corresponding to the BPS state. The difference, which extends
to the $\phi = 0$ analysis considered in section B.2.3, is likely due to the presence of fermionic massless modes (B.58) here and of ‘light’ fermions (B.77) at $\phi = 0$.\footnote{We have checked whether the finite contribution obtained in the $k \to 0$ limit via the partition function with standard renormalization could result from the integrated effect of the contributions of massless free modes and lowest eigenvalues of the operators there appearing, much the same way the analysis done below in (B.96)–(B.99) goes. This is not the case, since this contribution ends up being proportional to $k$ and therefore vanishes in this limit.}

To obtain the physically meaningful vanishing value in the $k \to 0$ limit, we will take as natural reference the partition function corresponding to the $k \to 0$ limit of our expressions. This is in fact the partition function for a straight line in global coordinates in this $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ background. In the $k \to 0$ limit, the $\varphi$ and $\vartheta$ coordinates have trivial values, and at the boundary ($\rho = \infty$) the straight line is described by the infinite time coordinate. The corresponding world sheet in the AdS bulk, parametrized by coordinates whose range is now

$$-\frac{\pi}{2} < \sigma < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad -\infty < \tau < +\infty,$$

is described by the $\rho$ coordinate (B.29), which now extends all the way from the boundary to the center of AdS (where it vanishes) and then back to the boundary. In the general case ($k \neq 0$), the $\rho$ coordinate would have minimal value, an inversion point, at $\cosh^2 \rho = 1 + b^2$. This inversion point coincides with the AdS center $\rho = 0$ when $k = 0$ (being $b^2 = (1 - 2k^2)/k^2$).

In the same limit the induced metric (B.30) reads\footnote{The classical description of the straight-line is equivalent to the one of [71, 54, 72] in the $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ framework, the only differences being due to the fact that the analysis there performed is done in the Poincaré patch.}

$$\mathcal{d}s^2_{\text{ind}}(k=0) = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \sigma} \left[-d\tau^2 + d\sigma^2\right],$$

and the corresponding two-dimensional curvature is $R^{(2)} = -2$. The classical action (B.26) reduces in this limit to the regularized term

$$S_{cl}(k=0) = \frac{T}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sinh \rho_0.$$\footnote{At one loop one can perform a straightforward evaluation of the partition function, discovering not surprisingly that it can be written as in (B.60) with $k \to 0$ limit of the fluctuations (B.61). We have checked that the corresponding determinants, evaluated via the Gelfand–Yaglom method with Dirichlet boundary conditions using the regularized integral $-\frac{\pi}{2} + \epsilon < \sigma < \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon$, result in the $k \to 0$ limit of the determinants appearing in (B.62), and amount to the same IR and UV divergences, which makes the resulting partition function a natural normalization for (B.62).}

The regularization prescription described above results then in the following one-loop effective action

$$\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(\theta = 0) = -\frac{T}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \log \left[ \frac{\det \mathcal{O}_F'}{\det \mathcal{O}_I' \det \mathcal{O}_0' \det \mathcal{O}_2'} \right],$$

where the prime refers to the normalization discussed before

$$\det \mathcal{O}_I' = \frac{\det \mathcal{O}_I'}{\det \mathcal{O}_I'|_{k=0}}.$$\footnote{\textbf{}}

From this exact one-loop contribution to the string partition function one derives the one-loop correction to the generalized cusp

$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^{\text{ABJM}(1)}(\phi, 0) = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{reg}}(\theta = 0)}{T}.$$\footnote{\textbf{}}

The integral in (B.66) can be evaluated numerically with very high precision. It is much more interesting, however, to expand its integrand in a power series for small $k$ and evaluate it

analytically. Useful formulas for the expanded determinants can be found in [10] (see section D.4 there) resulting in regular hyperbolic functions over which an integration can always be performed. We directly report here the results for the contributions to the regularized effective action \((B.66)\) up to order \(k^6\) (where we omit the index \(\theta = 0\))

\[
\Gamma_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(i)} k^{2i}, \quad \Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(0)} = 0, \quad \frac{\Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(2)}}{T} = \frac{7}{32},
\]

\[
\frac{\Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(4)}}{T} = \frac{71}{512} - \frac{9\zeta(3)}{64}, \quad \frac{\Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(6)}}{T} = \frac{289}{2048} - \frac{39\zeta(3)}{512} - \frac{45}{128}\zeta(5). \tag{B.69}
\]

It is useful to rewrite these results in terms of a \(p \to \infty\) expansion, for which the connection to the straight-line expansion as an expansion in small \(\theta\) and \(\phi\) can be made via \((B.33)\). The one-loop correction \((B.68)\) is then written as

\[
\frac{\Gamma_{\text{reg}}}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(0)} + k^2 \Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(2)} + k^4 \Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(4)} + k^6 \Gamma_{\text{reg}}^{(6)} + O(k^8) \right] \tag{B.70}
\]

\[
= \frac{7}{32p^2} - \frac{1}{p^2} \left( \frac{153}{512} + \frac{9\zeta(3)}{64} \right) + \frac{1}{p^4} \left( \frac{1333}{2048} + \frac{321\zeta(3)}{512} - \frac{45\zeta(5)}{512} \right) + O(p^{-8}), \tag{B.71}
\]

where we have used \((B.27)\) and \((B.24)\) \((q = 0)\) from which in this limit follows

\[
\frac{T}{T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2k^2}} = \frac{(p^2 + 4)^{1/4}}{\sqrt{p}} \sim 1 + p^{-2} - \frac{3}{2} p^{-4} + \frac{7}{2} p^{-6} + O(p^{-8}). \tag{B.72}
\]

This analytic expansion is compared to a numerical evaluation of the partition function \((B.66)\) in figure B1, showing that its few leading terms give a very good approximation to the exact result.

Figure B1. Plot of the partition function for \(\theta = 0\). The straight purple line shows the small \(k\) expansion \((B.70)\) and the red dots show the result of numerically evaluating \((B.66)\).
B.2.3. Partition function for $\phi = 0$. In this section we evaluate the contribution of fluctuations in the case in which minimal surface is within an AdS$_2 \times S^1$ subspace of AdS$_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$. This corresponds to the limit $p \rightarrow \infty$ which keeps $k$ finite (namely $q/p = ik/\sqrt{1-k^2}$ and $b/p = 1/\sqrt{1-k^2}$).

Bosonic fluctuations. Also in this case the excitations $\xi^7$ and $\xi^8$ in (B.42)–(B.47) decouple ($A = 0$), the fluctuation field $\xi$ has the same action as $\zeta_1$, and one notices, with respect to the corresponding AdS$_5 \times S^5$ case [10], the appearance of one heavy ($M_{22}$) and four light ($M_{33} = \cdots = M_{66}$) modes in the transverse $\mathbb{CP}^3$ directions

$$
M_{11} = M_{88} = 2 + \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{g}}, \quad M_{22} = \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{g}}, \\
M_{77} = R^{(2)} + 2 + \frac{k^2}{\sqrt{g}}, \quad M_{sv} = \frac{k^2}{4\sqrt{g}}, \quad s = 3, \ldots, 6.
$$

(B.73)

Above, masses are written in terms of the two-dimensional scalar curvature $R^{(2)}$ and the determinant of the world-sheet metric $\sqrt{g}$; again in the corresponding limits of (B.30) and (B.31).

Fermionic fluctuations. In this limit the coefficient for the connection term $\Gamma_{37}$ in (B.53) becomes subleading in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$, thus we can neglect it, simplifying considerably the analysis. Then, the fermionic Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_F = i\bar{\Psi} D_F \Psi$ is

$$
\mathcal{L}_F = i\bar{\Psi} \left\{ \eta^a \Gamma_a \partial_\sigma - \frac{d_{\sigma}(\sigma)}{4} ((\Gamma_{69} - \Gamma_{58} + A(\sigma) \Gamma_{47}) \Gamma_{11} + 3A(\sigma) \Gamma_{0123}) \right\} \mathcal{P} \Psi
$$

(B.74)

where $d_{\sigma} = \sqrt{\gamma^{1\cdots7} A \gamma^{0\cdots8}}$, $A = \frac{\bar{a} a(\bar{a} a)}{\sqrt{d_{\sigma}^{2}}}$. and again we have rescaled the fermion by $\sqrt{2|d_{\sigma}|}$. In order to simplify our analysis for the spectrum we use two further projectors $P_\pm = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \Gamma_{6689})$, which naturally commute with $D_F$ and with $\mathcal{P}$. Thus, the eigenvalues will be the solutions of the characteristic equations for the operators $P_+^T \mathcal{P} \Delta \mathcal{P} P_+ \Gamma P_+^T \mathcal{P} \Delta \mathcal{P} P_+ \Gamma P_+^T \mathcal{P} \Delta \mathcal{P} P_+ \Gamma P_+^T \mathcal{P} \Delta \mathcal{P} P_+$.

Explicitly, one obtains in the first case (projection via $P_+$) the masses

$$
m_{\pm} = 1 \pm k^2 \tilde{s}_n(\sigma | k^2),
$$

(B.75)

with multiplicity 2 each, and for the projection via $P_-$

$$
m_{1,2} = \frac{\pm 2 \pm 2\sqrt{1 - k^2} \tilde{d}_n(\sigma | k^2) + k^2(\mp 1 + \tilde{s}_n(\sigma | k^2))}{4(\pm 1 + \tilde{s}_n(\sigma | k^2))},
$$

(B.76)

$$
m_{3,4} = \frac{\pm 2 \pm 2\sqrt{1 - k^2} \tilde{d}_n(\sigma | k^2) + k^2(\mp 1 + \tilde{s}_n(\sigma | k^2))}{4(\pm 1 + \tilde{s}_n(\sigma | k^2))}.
$$

(B.77)

The first two masses (B.75) are as in the corresponding $\phi = 0$ case in AdS$_5 \times S^5$. Note that the $k \rightarrow 0$ limits of (B.75) and (B.76) coincide. In that the masses (B.77) vanish in the $k \rightarrow 0$ limit, one can consider the corresponding fermionic excitations as ‘light’ in comparison to (B.75).

Partition function. In writing down the explicit form of the partition function we can partially exploit the results of [10]. The determinants of the masses coinciding with their AdS$_5 \times S^5$ counterpart are written in formulas (E.15)–(E.18) there, where $\det \tilde{O}_0$ is now corresponding to the heavy transverse mode $M_{22}$, $\det \tilde{O}_1$ for $M_{11} = M_{88}$, $\det \tilde{O}_2$ for $M_{77}$ and $\det \tilde{O}_k$ for the fermionic masses (B.75). The determinants for the light bosonic modes $M_{33} = \cdots = M_{66}$ are a slight modification of $\det \tilde{O}_0$, and read, at leading order in $1/\epsilon$ expansion,

$$
\det \tilde{O}_{\text{light}} \equiv \frac{2 \sinh (k \sqrt{k^2 + 4\alpha^2})}{\sqrt{k^2 + 4\alpha^2}}.
$$

(B.78)
About the fermionic fluctuation operators with the newly appearing masses (B.76)–(B.77), it is not surprising that can be rewritten in Lamé form. Exploiting the same procedure as in [10] one can check that the determinants which take into account the total contributions of (B.76) and (B.77) read respectively, at leading order in $1/\epsilon$ expansion

$$\det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{F_{1,2}} \equiv \frac{16 \sinh^2 (kZ(\alpha_F))}{\epsilon^2 (4 \omega^2 + 1) (4 \omega^2 + 1 - k^2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.79)}$$

$$\det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{F_{3,4}} \equiv \det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{Flight}} \equiv \frac{\cosh^2 (kZ(\alpha_F))}{\omega^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.80)}$$

where

$$\text{sn}(\alpha_F | k^2) = \sqrt{\frac{4 \omega^2 + 1}{k^2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.81)}$$

As in the $\theta = 0$ case discussed above, regularizing the resulting IR- and UV-divergent ratio of determinants multiplying it for $\epsilon^2/\omega^2$ would result in a non-physical $k \to 0$ limit (we would obtain again a non-vanishing $1/8$ value for the partition function). We can proceed however adopting the same regularization prescription and write down the analogue of (B.66), which reads here

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}} \big|_{\phi = 0} = -\frac{T}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \log \left[ \frac{\det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'_{F_{1,2}} \det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'_{\text{Flight}}}{\det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'_{F_{3,4}} \det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'_{\text{Flight}} \det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'_{\text{Flight}} \det \tilde{\mathcal{O}}'_{\text{Flight}}} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.82)}$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'_i = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_i \big|_{k = 0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.83)}$$

Once again, one can proceed with a small $k$ expansion of the determinants and work out explicit analytic results. We find in this case

$$\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(0)}}{T} = 0, \quad \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(2)}}{T} = \frac{3}{32}, \quad \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(4)}}{T} = -\frac{1}{512} - \frac{4 \log(2)}{32} - \frac{3 \xi(3)}{64}, \quad \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(6)}}{T} = -\frac{15}{2048} - \frac{3 \log(2)}{128} - \frac{3 \xi(3)}{128} + \frac{15 \xi(5)}{512}. \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.84)}$$

In a $p \to \infty$ expansion, one obtains for the total one-loop correction

$$\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}}{T} = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(0)} + k^2 \tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(2)} + k^4 \tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(4)} + k^6 \tilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg}}^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}(k^8) \right]$$

$$= -\frac{3 q^2}{32 p^2} - \frac{25 + 16 \log 2 + 24 \xi(3) q^4}{512} - \frac{3 (32 \xi(3) + 20 \xi(5) + 21 + 16 \log(2)) q^6}{2048} \frac{1}{p^6} + \mathcal{O}((q/p)^8),$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.85)}$$

where we have used (B.27) and (B.24) ($q = 0$) in this limit

$$\frac{T}{T} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - k^2}} \sim 1 - \frac{q^2}{2 p^2} - \frac{q^4}{8 p^4} - \frac{q^6}{16 p^6} - \frac{5 q^8}{128 p^8} + \mathcal{O}((q/p)^{10}).$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(B.86)}$$

Figure B2 shows a plot of the expansion in (B.85) together with a numerical calculation of (B.82).
B.3. Folded string. The classical parameters and conserved charges are the same as in the AdS5 × S5 case, and we report them here for completeness. In the folded string solution (2.18), ρ varies from 0 to its maximal value $\rho_0$, which is related to the useful parameter $\eta$ or $k$ by

$$\coth^2 \rho_0 = \frac{\omega^2}{\kappa^2} = 1 + \eta \equiv \frac{1}{k^2}, \quad \kappa = \frac{2k}{\pi} K, \quad \omega = \frac{2}{\pi} K,$$  

where the last two relations are obtained integrating the periodicity condition on the variable $\sigma$. The 2D metric induced by the solution (2.18) on the $(\tau, \sigma)$ cylinder and its curvature are

$$g_{ab} = \rho_0^2(\sigma) \eta_{ab}, \quad R^{(2)} = -\frac{\rho_0^2}{\rho^2} \log \rho_0^2 = \frac{-2 + 2k^2 \omega^2}{\rho^4}.$$  

(B.88)

The two conserved momenta conjugate to $t$ and $\phi$ are the classical energy and the spin

$$E_0 = \frac{k}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \cosh^2 \rho \equiv \mathcal{E}_0, \quad S = \frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \sinh^2 \rho \equiv \mathcal{S},$$  

(B.89)

which in terms of the complete elliptic integrals $K = K(k^2)$ and $E = E(k^2)$ read

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} k \frac{1}{1 - k^2} E, \quad \mathcal{S} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{1 - k^2} E - K \right).$$  

(B.90)

Finding the energy in terms of the spin (namely solving for $k$, or $\eta$, in terms of $\mathcal{S}$ and then substituting it into the expression for the energy $\mathcal{E}$) can be done in the two interesting limits of large spin (or long string limit: $\rho_0 \to \infty$, i.e. $\eta \to 0$ or $k \to 1$)

$$\mathcal{E}_0 \approx S + \frac{\log(8\pi S) - 1}{\pi} + \frac{\log(8\pi S) - 1}{2\pi^2 S} + \cdots, \quad S \gg 1,$$  

(B.91)

where the leading log $S$ term is governed by the so-called ‘scaling function’ (cusp anomaly), and small spin (or short string limit: $\rho_0 \to 0$, i.e. $\eta \to \infty$ or $k \to 0$)

$$\mathcal{E}_0 \approx \sqrt{2\mathcal{S}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{8} S + \cdots \right), \quad S \ll 1,$$  

(B.92)

which results in the usual flat-space Regge relation [1, 63].
About fluctuations over the solution (2.18), in the case of the bosons they are obtained as a simple truncation of the AdS$_5 \times S^5$ case, as explained in the main text. The analysis for fermions was already carried out in [39, 42], and we report here just the final steps. After suitable global rotation and rescaling, and again reorganizing the spinors via the projector operator (B.55) one obtains

$$\mathcal{L}_F = i \left( \bar{\Psi} \Gamma_0 \partial^\mu \Psi + \frac{e^2}{8} k' \bar{\Psi} \left( \left( - \Gamma_{47} + \Gamma_{58} - \Gamma_{69} \right) \Gamma_{11} - 3 \Gamma_{0123} \right) \partial^\mu \Psi \right),$$

(B.93)

where again the linear combination $\Psi = \Psi^1 + \Psi^2$ has been used. Using the $\kappa$-symmetry gauge fixing (B.56), the fermionic part of the quadratic Lagrangian can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{L}_F = \bar{\Psi} D_F \Psi,$$

where

$$D_F = i (\Gamma_\rho \partial^\rho + \rho' \bar{\Gamma}), \quad \bar{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{4} \left( - \Gamma_{47} + \Gamma_{58} - \Gamma_{69} \right) \Gamma_{11} - \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_{0123}.$$

(B.94)

since now $e^2 k = 2$. Using log det $(D_F) = \frac{1}{4} \log \det (D_F)^2$ and squaring the corresponding Dirac operator in (B.94), one obtains that the fermionic contribution to the 2D effective action is governed by the following differential operator

$$\Delta_F = (D_F)^2 = - \partial_\rho \partial^\rho - \rho'' \Gamma_1 \bar{\Gamma} - \rho^2 (\bar{\Gamma}^2).$$

(B.95)

This operator can in fact be further diagonalized and one ends up with 8 effective fermionic degrees of freedom, of which two are massless and the remaining 6 have masses $\rho^2 \pm \rho''$.

We report here an alternative expression for the one-loop energy (2.23) useful in the short string limit, which follows from separating the contributions of the massless modes of $\mathcal{O}_0$ (i.e. $\Omega^2$) and the lowest analytically known eigenvalues of the operators $\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_\phi$ and $\mathcal{O}_\psi$ (see the detailed analysis of [55], and table 1 there). One obtains

$$E_1 = - \frac{1}{4\pi \kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \left[ \log \frac{\det \mathcal{O}_\psi}{\det \mathcal{O}_\phi \det \mathcal{O}_0 (\det \mathcal{O}_0)^2} + h(\Omega) \right],$$

(B.96)

where

$$\det \mathcal{O}_0 \equiv \frac{\det \mathcal{O}_0}{\kappa^2 + \Omega^2}, \quad \det \mathcal{O}_\phi,\phi,0 \equiv \frac{\det \mathcal{O}_\phi,\phi,0}{\Omega^2},$$

(B.97)

$$h(\Omega) = \log (\Omega^2) - \log (\Omega^2 + \kappa^2).$$

(B.98)

Using that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \ h(\Omega^2) = -2 \pi \ k$, the one-loop correction to the energy (2.23) takes the form

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4\pi \kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \ \log \frac{(\det \mathcal{O}_\psi)^6}{\det \mathcal{O}_\phi \det \mathcal{O}_0 (\det \mathcal{O}_0)^2}. $$

(B.99)

One makes use of the general expansion of the determinants, see [55], to obtain the short string expansion of (B.99)

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4\pi \kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\Omega \ \log \frac{(\det \mathcal{O}_\psi)^6}{\det \mathcal{O}_\phi \det \mathcal{O}_0 (\det \mathcal{O}_0)^2}
= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \left[ (1 - 3 \log 2) \eta^{-1} + \left( - \frac{21}{32} + \frac{9}{8} \log 2 + \frac{9}{32} \zeta(3) \right) \eta^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(\eta^{-3}) \right].$$

(B.100)

Substituting $\frac{1}{\kappa} = \sqrt{\eta} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4} \eta^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\eta^{-3}) \right]$ and the expansion of $\eta$ in terms of the spin (B.89), one writes (2.35).

41 We have slightly different notation with respect to [39, 42], due to a different labeling and ordering for the $\mathbb{C}P^1$ coordinates.
Appendix C. Spinning strings in AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$

In this appendix we consider spinning strings in type IIB string theory on AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$. We first recollect some basic facts about this background. In appendix C.1 we write down a classical string solution carrying angular momentum in AdS as well as on both 3-spheres. In appendix C.2 we derive the bosonic and fermionic quadratic fluctuation Lagrangians around this background. These results are the basis of the one-loop analysis in appendix C.3, where we expand the exact one-loop partition function around the long and short string limits in the case where only the angular momentum in the AdS part of the background is non-trivial. To complement the result in the main text we also consider spinning strings in the scaling limit, where all angular momenta are non-zero. These results can be found in appendix C.4.

In appendix C.5 we finally consider the embedding of the generalized cusp solution from [10] into AdS$_3 \times S^1 \times S^3 \times S^1$.

The metric of the AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ background is given by

$$ds^2 = R^2 \left( ds^2_{AdS} + \frac{1}{\alpha} ds^2_{S^1} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} ds^2_{S^3} \right) + dU^2,$$

$$ds^2_{AdS} = -\cosh^2 \rho d\tau^2 + d\rho^2 + \sinh^2 \rho d\phi^2,$$

$$ds^2_{S^1} = d\beta^2 + \cos^2 \beta d\gamma_\pm (d\psi_\pm + \cos^2 \gamma_\pm d\varphi_\pm).$$

The supergravity equations of motion relate the radii $R_{\pm}$ of the two 3-spheres to the AdS radius $R$ via a ‘triangle equality’ [90]

$$\frac{1}{R^2} = \frac{1}{R_+^2} + \frac{1}{R_-^2}. \quad (C.3)$$

A useful parametrization of the relation above is

$$\alpha = \frac{R^2}{R_+^2}, \quad 1 - \alpha = \frac{R^2}{R_-^2}. \quad (C.4)$$

Special values of $\alpha$ of interest to us are $\alpha \to 1$, arising when the radii of AdS and one of the two spheres become equal and the other sphere decompactifies, and $\alpha \to \frac{1}{2}$, corresponding to the two spheres having the same size. The $\alpha \to 1$ limit is of particular importance, since the resulting setting should be type IIB string theory on AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$, as suggested by the coset analysis in [68].

C.1. Spinning string

A classical string carrying three spins in AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ is obtained from the ansatz

$$t = \kappa \tau, \quad \phi = \omega \tau, \quad \rho(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma + 2\pi),$$

$$\varphi_+ = v_+ \tau, \quad \varphi_- = v_- \tau, \quad \gamma_\pm = \beta_\pm = U = 0. \quad (C.5)$$

The equation of motion coincides with the one for its AdS$_3 \times S^5$ counterpart [63]

$$\rho'' = \cosh \rho \; \sinh \rho (\kappa^2 - \alpha^2). \quad (C.6)$$

---

42 The same parameter $\alpha$ also appears in the exceptional Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; \alpha)$, two copies of which form the super-isometries of AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times S^3$. Note that the choice (C.4), for which $\alpha$ must lie between 0 and 1, corresponds to the choice of the real form of $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; \alpha)$, which is the one of interest to us [68].

43 Concerning the isometries of the background, when $\alpha \to 1$ the $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; \alpha)$ algebra turns into $\mathfrak{psu}(1, 1/2)^2$, which is the algebra preserved by AdS$_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$. From the fact that $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; \alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{d}(2, 1; 1 - \alpha)$, and from (C.4), it is clear that the only difference between the $\alpha \to 0$ and $\alpha \to 1$ is the choice of which of the two 3-spheres becomes decompactified.
The Virasoro constraint (implying (C.6) for $\rho' \neq 0$) reads
\[ \rho'^2 = \kappa^2 \cosh^2 \rho - \omega^2 \sinh^2 \rho - v^2, \tag{C.7} \]
where
\[ v^2 \equiv \frac{v_+^2}{\alpha} + \frac{v_-^2}{1 - \alpha}. \tag{C.8} \]
In order to further quantify the distribution of the angular momentum between the two spheres we introduce the parametrization
\[ \nu_+ = \sqrt{\delta \alpha} \nu, \quad \nu_- = \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta)} \nu, \tag{C.9} \]
where $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$.

The above solution carries the Noether charges \[1, 63\]
\[ E \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \kappa \cosh^2 \rho, \tag{C.10} \]
\[ S \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \omega \sinh^2 \rho, \tag{C.11} \]
\[ J_+ \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} J_+ = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \frac{v_+}{\alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\alpha} \nu, \tag{C.12} \]
\[ J_- \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} J_- = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \frac{v_-}{1 - \alpha} = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \delta}}{1 - \alpha} \nu. \tag{C.13} \]

It is convenient to perform a rotation in the $\varphi_{\pm}$ directions by introducing
\[ \varphi = \frac{\delta}{\alpha} \varphi_+ + \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \alpha} \varphi_- \quad \psi = -\frac{1 - \delta}{\alpha} \varphi_+ + \frac{\delta}{1 - \alpha} \varphi_- \tag{C.14} \]
The classical solution in these directions then takes the form
\[ \varphi = v \tau, \quad \psi = 0. \tag{C.15} \]

We also introduce the corresponding angular momenta
\[ J \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} J = \sqrt{\alpha \delta} J_+ + \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta)} J_- \equiv v \equiv \sqrt{\lambda} J, \tag{C.16} \]
\[ K \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} K = -\sqrt{\alpha(1 - \delta)} J_+ + \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)\delta} J_- = 0. \tag{C.17} \]
The classical energy $E$ of the string can now be obtained as a function of the charges $S$ and $J$ and can be expressed in terms of elliptic integrals. We will not write down this expression here, but just note that it takes the same form as for the spinning string in AdS$_5 \times $ S$_5$ \[1, 63, 100\].

\subsection*{C.2. Bosonic fluctuations}

The leading quantum correction to the energy of the solution can be evaluated by expanding the action to quadratic order in fluctuations near the classical solution (C.5) and computing the corresponding partition function expressed in terms of determinants of the quadratic fluctuation operators. The fluctuations are introduced by
\[ t = \kappa \tau + \frac{\bar{t}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \quad \rho = \rho(\sigma) + \frac{\bar{\rho}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \quad \phi = \omega \tau + \frac{\bar{\phi}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \quad \varphi = v \tau + \frac{\bar{\varphi}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \]
\[ \beta_+ = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\lambda^{1/4}} \beta_+, \quad \gamma_+ = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\lambda^{1/4}} \gamma_+, \quad \beta_- = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \alpha}}{\lambda^{1/4}} \beta_-, \quad \gamma_- = \frac{\sqrt{1 - \alpha}}{\lambda^{1/4}} \gamma_-, \]
\[ \psi = \frac{\bar{\psi}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \quad U = \frac{\bar{U}}{\lambda^{1/4}}. \tag{C.18} \]
where, for convenience, we have rescaled the fluctuations \( \tilde{\beta}_\pm \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}_\pm \) by constant factors. Using the standard static gauge (\( t = 0 \) and \( \tilde{\rho} = 0 \)), and in units where the radius of \( \text{AdS}_3 \) is set to 1, the bosonic fluctuation Lagrangian reads

\[
2 \mathcal{L}_{2B} = \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho} \left( 1 + \frac{\omega^2 \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho}}{(\tilde{\rho}')^2} \right) \partial^a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\phi} + \left( 1 + \frac{\nu^2}{(\tilde{\rho}')^2} \right) \partial^a \tilde{\rho} \partial_a \tilde{\rho} + \frac{2 \omega \nu \sinh^2 \tilde{\rho}}{(\tilde{\rho}')^2} \partial^a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\phi}
\]

\[+ \partial^a \tilde{\beta}_+ \partial_a \tilde{\beta}_+ + \partial^a \tilde{\beta}_- \partial_a \tilde{\beta}_- + \partial^a \tilde{\gamma}_+ \partial_a \tilde{\gamma}_+ + \partial^a \tilde{\gamma}_- \partial_a \tilde{\gamma}_- + \partial^a \tilde{\phi} \partial_a \tilde{\phi} + \partial^a \tilde{\rho} \partial_a \tilde{\rho} \]

\[+ \nu^2 (\tilde{\beta}_+^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_+^2) + \nu^2 (\tilde{\beta}_-^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_-^2). \tag{C.19}
\]

The first line of the equation above coincides as expected with the coupled part of the (static gauge) bosonic fluctuation Lagrangian for a folded string in \( \text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^1 \) embedded into \( \text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5 \) [64].

We note that the \( \alpha \)- and \( \delta \)-dependence only resides in the masses of the trivial (non-coupled) fields \( \tilde{\beta}_\pm \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}_\pm \). For \( \alpha = \delta = 1/2 \) these fields have mass \( \nu/2 \), which coincides with the masses of the light transversal fluctuations around a spinning string in \( \text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3 \) [39, 40]. For \( \alpha = \delta = 0 \) and \( \alpha = \delta = 1 \), on the other hand, two of the excitations become massless and the other two have mass \( \nu \), like the fluctuations on the sphere in \( \text{AdS}_5 \times \text{S}^5 \) [63].

For a further discussion on this limit see section 3.3.

### C.3. Fermionic fluctuations

The quadratic part of the Green–Schwarz action for fermions reads [101]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{GS} = i \left( \sqrt{-h} \gamma^I \partial_I \gamma^J \right) \epsilon^{ab} \gamma_5 \partial_a \gamma^b - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mathcal{MNP}} \Gamma^{\mathcal{MNP}} \gamma_0 \gamma_\mathcal{M} \gamma_\mathcal{N} \gamma_\mathcal{P} \tag{C.20}
\]

where the super covariant derivative is

\[
D^J_b \gamma^K = \gamma^J_b \left( \partial_b + \frac{1}{3} \omega^{ab} \partial_a \gamma^I \Gamma^{IJK} \right) \gamma^K + \frac{1}{3} F_{\mathcal{MNP}} \Gamma^{\mathcal{MNP}} \rho_0 \gamma_0 \gamma^I \gamma_\mathcal{M} \gamma_\mathcal{N} \gamma_\mathcal{P} \gamma^K. \tag{C.21}
\]

Above, the coupling to the RR 3-form flux is written explicitly as

\[
F_{\mathcal{MNP}} \Gamma^{\mathcal{MNP}} = 6 (\Gamma^{012} + \sqrt{\alpha} \Gamma^{345} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \Gamma^{-678}). \tag{C.22}
\]

For the classical solution (C.5), the 2D projections of \( \Gamma \)-matrices \( \rho_\mathcal{M} = \partial_\mathcal{M} \gamma^\mathcal{A} \Gamma_\mathcal{A} \) are

\[
\rho_0 = \kappa \cos \rho \Gamma_0 + \omega \sinh \rho \Gamma_2 + \frac{\nu_+}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \Gamma_5 + \frac{\nu_-}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha}} \Gamma_8, \quad \rho_1 = \rho' \Gamma_1. \tag{C.23}
\]

To put the GS action (C.20) in a suitable form for 2D fermion in curved 2D space, one can perform a local rotation of the spinors \( (\theta^I = S \Psi^I) \) using the combination of boosts

\[
S = \left( \cosh \frac{\xi}{2} + \sinh \frac{\xi}{2} \Gamma_{02} \right) \left( \cosh \frac{\xi}{2} + \sinh \frac{\xi}{2} \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \right), \tag{C.24}
\]

where

\[
\Gamma = \sqrt{\delta} \Gamma_5 + \sqrt{1 - \delta} \Gamma_8 \tag{C.25}
\]

and the parameters in (C.24) are defined by

\[
\cosh \xi = \frac{\kappa \cos \rho}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}}, \quad \sinh \xi = \frac{\rho' v}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}}. \tag{C.26}
\]

The ‘transverse’ fluctuations, not coupled, obviously differ. In \( \text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^3 \times \text{S}^1 \times \text{S}^1 \) we have two massless fluctuations, one in \( \text{S}^3 \times \text{S}^1 \) and one in \( \text{S}^1 \), as well as two massive fluctuations in the first \( \text{S}^3 \) (constant mass \( \nu^- \)) and two in the second \( \text{S}^1 \) (constant mass \( \nu^+ \)). In the \( \text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^5 \) case one obtains four transverse fluctuations in \( \text{S}_3 \) with constant masses \( \nu^- \) and \( \nu^+ \), and two in \( \text{AdS}_5 \) with non-constant masses \( 2\rho^2 + v^2 \).

---

\[\text{J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013) 115402} \quad \text{V Forini et al}\]
It then immediately follows that the set of $\sigma$-dependent 10D Dirac matrices are transformed into ten constant Dirac matrices $\tau_a \equiv S^{-1} \rho a S$, $\tau_0 = \rho^0 \Gamma_0$, $\tau_1 = \rho^1 \Gamma_1$, and

$$S^{-1} \partial_0 S = \partial_0, \quad S^{-1} \partial_1 S = \partial_1 + \frac{\kappa \omega}{2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}} \Gamma_{02} + \frac{\kappa \omega}{2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}} \overline{\Gamma_{12}}, \quad \tau_a \equiv S^{-1} \rho a S.$$  

$$S^{-1} \frac{1}{4} \partial_0 X^M \partial_0^R \Gamma_{BC} S = \frac{\rho''}{2 \rho'} \Gamma_{00} - \frac{\kappa \omega}{2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}} \Gamma_{12} + \frac{\kappa \omega}{2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}} \Gamma_{12}^\dagger.$$  

(C.27)

Rotating similarly the flux term in (C.21), choosing the gauge-fixing $\tau_0$ into ten constant Dirac matrices $\sigma_j$, we will restrict our analysis to the case $\omega = \kappa$ fixed [100]. From the Virasoro constraint we then find

$$\rho'(\sigma) = \sqrt{\kappa^2 - v^2}, \quad \omega = \kappa.$$  

(C.29)

We will restrict our analysis to the case $\delta = \alpha$.[45] The bosonic Lagrangian (C.19) then describes eight fluctuation modes with the frequencies

$$\omega_{1,2}^B(n) = n, \quad \omega_{3,4}^B(n) = \sqrt{n^2 + \alpha^2 v^2}, \quad \omega_{5,6}^B(n) = \sqrt{n^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2 v^2},$$  

(C.30)

$$\omega_{7,8}^B(n) = \sqrt{n^2 + 2\kappa^2 + 2\sqrt{n^2 v^2 + \kappa^2}}.$$  

(C.31)

From the quadratic Green–Schwarz action in (C.28) we find four fermionic modes with frequencies

$$\omega_{1,2}^F(n) = \pm \frac{v}{2} + n, \quad \omega_{3,4}^F(n) = \pm \frac{v}{2} + \sqrt{n^2 + \kappa^2}.$$  

(C.32)

The frequencies of the four other modes are given by solutions to the quartic equations

$$((\omega^F)^2 - n^2 + (\frac{1}{2} - \alpha)^2 v^2)^2 = (\kappa^2 (\omega^F \pm (\frac{1}{2} - \alpha)v^2) - (\kappa^2 - v^2)n^2).$$  

(C.33)

These equations are straightforward to solve, but the general solutions are quite involved. Here we will consider the special case of $\alpha = 1/2$, where the two 3-spheres have the same radius. We then find the frequencies

$$\omega_{5,6}^F(n) = \sqrt{n^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} + \sqrt{n^2 v^2 + \frac{\kappa^4}{4}}}, \quad \omega_{7,8}^F(n) = \sqrt{n^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{2} - \sqrt{n^2 v^2 + \frac{\kappa^4}{4}}}.$$  

(C.34)

[45] We also note the $v \rightarrow \kappa$ limit, where the string becomes point-like and moves along a null geodesic [68]. In order to write the masses of the fluctuations in a compact form we introduce $u = \sin^2 \alpha$ and $\delta = \sin^2 \nu$. The bosons then have masses $0, \kappa \sin \nu \sin \alpha, \kappa \cos \nu \cos \alpha$ and $1$, while the fermionic masses are $\kappa \sin^2 \frac{\nu}{2}$ and $\kappa \cos^2 \frac{\nu}{2}$, with all modes doubly degenerate. In particular, for $\nu = u$ the point-like string is supersymmetric, and the spectrum reduces to the BMN-like spectrum of [68].
The one-loop correction to the string energy can be found by summing over the quadratic fluctuations [63]. Hence we need to calculate the sum
\[ E_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_i^B(n) - \sum_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_i^F(n) \right]. \] (C.35)

For \( \kappa \gg 1 \) we can replace the summation with integration. The various terms in this integral are very similar to what was found in the one-loop analysis of the spinning string in AdS_4 × CP^3 in [39], and can be calculated in a similar fashion. The final result for the one-loop correction to the string energy then takes the form
\[ E_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\nu}{u} \left( -\left( 1 - u^2 \right) \log u + \left( 2 - u^2 \right) \log \sqrt{2 - u^2} \right). \] (C.36)

Taking the \( u \to 0 \) limit in (C.36) and including also the tree-level energy we obtain
\[ E - S = f(\lambda) \log S, \quad f(\lambda) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi} - \frac{2 \log 2}{\pi}, \] (C.38)
where we used that \( \kappa = (\log S)/\pi \). This result for the scaling function \( f(\lambda) \) for AdS_3 is identical to what we found in section 3.2.

In [69] it was proposed that the spectrum in the SL(2) sector for \( \alpha = 1/2 \) is described by Bethe equations
\[ \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j} \right)^L = -\prod_{k=1 \atop k \neq i,j}^{K} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_i/\lambda_j} \sigma^2(x_i, x_k)}. \] (C.39)

The form of these equations is exactly the same as for the corresponding sector in ABJM [38]. This similarity is only superficial since here there is no a priori reason for the dressing phase factor \( \sigma \) to take the same form in the two models.\(^{46}\) However, let us assume that also the one-loop correction to the phase agrees. We can then use the results from [38] for the energy of the spinning string, which give
\[ E - S = f(h) \log S + \cdots, \] (C.40)
with
\[ f(h) = 2h - \frac{3 \log 2}{2\pi} + O(1/h). \] (C.41)

Hence we find that the above result from the Bethe ansatz agrees with our string theory calculation provided
\[ h = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} - \frac{\log 2}{4\pi} + O(1/\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \alpha = 1/2. \] (C.42)

This correction to \( h(\lambda) \) is similar to what is found in the analysis of AdS_4 × CP^3 in [42]. However, there has been some controversy over this result. In [43], the same calculation

\(^{46}\) As discussed in [68, 69] the leading strong coupling behavior of \( \sigma \) should take the same form as in AdS_5 × S^5 [102].
was performed using the algebraic curve [59]. The result of this calculation was that the one-loop correction to \( h(\lambda) \) was trivial. The difference between the two calculations is in the regularization of the UV-divergences. In the world-sheet calculations in [39, 42] (see also [40, 41]) the same cutoff was used for all modes. In the algebraic curve analysis, on the other hand, it is more natural to split the modes into 'light' and 'heavy' modes, and impose different cutoffs in the two sectors so that \( \Lambda_{\text{heavy}} = 2\Lambda_{\text{light}} \). It would be interesting to redo the above calculation using the algebraic curve in [68] to see if there is a similar ambiguity also in AdS3.

It is straightforward to repeat this analysis for \( \alpha = 1 \). The spectrum is now even simpler. There are four massless bosons, two bosons with mass \( \nu \) and two bosons in AdS with dispersion relations given by (C.31). Furthermore, there are four massless fermions as well as four fermions with mass \( \kappa \). This spectrum gives the one-loop energy

\[
E_1^{\alpha=0} = \frac{\nu}{u} \left( -1 - u^2 + \sqrt{1 - u^2} - u^2 \log u - (2 - u^2) \log(1 + \sqrt{1 - u^2}) \right).
\] (C.43)

In this case it is natural to compare our result to that in AdS5 \( \times S^5 \) [100]

\[
E_1^{\alpha=0} - E_1^{\text{AdS5}} = \frac{\nu}{u} \left( u^2 \log u + (2 - u^2) \log \sqrt{2 - u^2} \right).
\] (C.44)

Note that the right-hand sides of equations (C.37) and (C.44) differ only by an overall factor two.

In [68] a set of Bethe equations for the spectrum at \( \alpha = 1 \) were given. The relevant equation for the case at hand is

\[
\left( \frac{x_i^+}{x_i^k} \right)^L = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \frac{x_i^+ - x_k^+}{x_i^- - x_k^-} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x_i^+ x_k^+} \right) \sigma^2(x_i, x_k).
\] (C.45)

Note that this equation differs from (C.39) by a minus sign on the right-hand side. This makes (C.45) identical to the Bethe equation for the SL(2) sector of AdS5 \( \times S^5 \). If we again assume that the dressing phase \( \sigma \) is the same in the two models at next-to-leading order at strong coupling, we find the scaling function [103]

\[
f(h) = 2h - \frac{3 \log 2}{\pi} + O(1/h).
\] (C.46)

Hence we now need to have

\[
h = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi} + \frac{\log 2}{2\pi} + O(1/\sqrt{\lambda}), \quad \alpha = 1.
\] (C.47)

Comparing (C.41) and (C.47) we note that the one-loop correction to \( h(\lambda) \) predicted by our calculation is different in the two cases. In particular, the correction is negative at \( \alpha = 1/2 \) and positive at \( \alpha = 0 \).

### C.5. Generalized cusp in AdS3 \( \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1 \)

For completeness we will in this section report on the action of quadratic fluctuations around the same solution considered in section 2.2. Such solution lives in AdS3 \( \times S^1 \); hence it can be straightforwardly embedded in AdS3 \( \times S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1 \).

The classical solution is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
t &= \tau, & \phi &= \sigma, & \rho &= \rho(\sigma), & U &= 0, \\
\beta_+ &= 0, & \gamma_+ &= 0, & \varphi_+ &= \sqrt{\alpha \delta \psi(\sigma)}, \\
\beta_- &= 0, & \gamma_- &= 0, & \varphi_- &= \sqrt{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta)\psi(\sigma)}.
\end{align*}
\] (C.48)
The parameter $\delta$ describes the embedding of the non-trivial circle of the solution into $S^3 \times S^3$. It is again useful to define the coordinates

$$\varphi = \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\alpha}} \varphi_+ + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \alpha}} \varphi_- \quad \psi = -\sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta}{\alpha}} \varphi_+ + \sqrt{\frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \alpha}} \varphi_-,$$

so that the classical solution takes the form $\varphi = \varphi(\sigma), \psi = 0$.

Introducing fluctuations in the same way as in (C.18) and using the same static gauge as was used for the $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ calculation we find that the action for the bosonic fluctuations can be written in terms of eight fluctuations $\zeta_P, P = 1, \ldots, 8$, as

$$\mathcal{L}_{2B} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\bar{g}} (\ddot{g}^{ab} \partial_a \zeta_P \partial_b \zeta_Q + A (\zeta_8 \partial_5 \zeta_7 - \zeta_7 \partial_5 \zeta_8) + M_{PQ} \zeta_P \zeta_Q),$$

where $g$ is the induced world sheet metric. The coefficients $M_{77}, M_{78}, M_{88}$ and $A$ take the same form as in $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$, see (B.47). The rest of the mass matrix $M$ is diagonal with the entries

$$M_{ii} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$M_{ss} = \frac{b^4 - b^2 p^2 - p^4}{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho} \alpha^2, \quad s = 3, 4,$$

$$M_{ss} = \frac{b^4 - b^2 p^2 - p^4}{b^2 p^2 \cosh^2 \rho} (1 - \alpha)(1 - \delta), \quad s = 5, 6.$$

The four massive modes have masses that are proportional to the massive modes on the sphere in $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^3$, with $\alpha$- and $\delta$-dependent coefficients.

After fixing $\kappa$-gauge and performing a rotation in spinor space, the quadratic Green–Schwarz action takes the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{GS}} = i \sqrt{-h} \bar{\Psi} \left[ h^{ab} \partial_a \partial_b \frac{\xi^\ell}{2} \cosh \rho \sin \zeta \Gamma_{12} \bar{\Gamma}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma^{012} - \sqrt{\alpha} \Gamma^{345} - \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \Gamma^{678}) - \sin^2 \frac{\zeta}{2} (\Gamma^{012} - \sqrt{\alpha} \Gamma^{34} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \Gamma^{67}) \bar{\Gamma}^\ell \right] \Psi,$$

where the rotation parameters take the same form as in $\text{AdS}_4$ (see (B.49)).

In the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ limit considered for the $\text{AdS}_4$ case in section 2.1 the Lagrangian (C.52) describes four massless fermions and four fermions of unit mass. We also obtain seven massless bosons and one boson of mass 2. Hence the spectrum we find in this limit agrees with the results of [71] for all values of $\alpha$ and $\delta$.

**Appendix D. Fluctuations about the folded string rotating in $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^1$**

We revisit here the analysis of the bosonic and fermionic spectrum of fluctuations above the closed string solution rotating in $\text{AdS}_3 \times S^1$ [63], showing that to the coupled system of bosonic modes [63, 64] corresponds a non-trivial fermionic mass matrix.

In the evaluation of the fermionic spectrum, we follow the steps and notation of [63]. We apply to the fermionic Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_F = i (\sqrt{-g} g^{ab} \delta^{IJ} - \epsilon^{ab} j^{IJ}) \left[ \bar{\theta}^I \rho_a D_0 \theta^J - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{IK} \bar{\theta}^I \rho_a \Gamma_+ \rho_b \theta^K \right],$$

evaluated on the solution $t = \kappa \tau, \rho = \rho(\sigma), \phi = \omega \tau, \varphi = v \tau$ two local boosts in the $(0, 2)$ and $(0, 9)$ planes

$$S = \exp \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \Gamma_0 \Gamma_2 \right) \exp \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{2} \Gamma_0 \Gamma_9 \right).$$
where the indices \((0,1,2,9)\) are used to label the \(t,\rho,\phi,\psi\) directions in the tangent space. After the field redefinition \(\theta^I \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^I \equiv S^{-1}\theta^I\) and choosing the \(\psi^I = \psi^2 \kappa\)-symmetry gauge one obtains \((\sqrt{-g} = \rho^2)\)

\[
\mathcal{L}_F = 2i \sqrt{-g} \tilde{\psi} \left[ g^{ab} \partial_a \partial_b - \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 + v^2}{\rho^2}} \Gamma_{234} \right] \psi,
\]

(D.3)

where \((\tau_0, \tau_1) = \rho' (\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1)\) and \(D'_j = \partial_j + S^{-1} \partial_j S + \frac{i}{2} \Omega_j^{ab} S^{-1} \Gamma_{ab} S\). Since

\[
\eta^{ab} \Gamma_a D'_b = -\Gamma^0 \left( \partial_\tau + \frac{\rho''}{2 \rho} \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1 \right) + \Gamma^1 \left( \partial_\rho + \frac{v \kappa \omega}{2(\rho^2 + v^2)} \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3 \right),
\]

(D.4)

and using the two-dimensional connections \(\nabla_\tau = \partial_\tau + \frac{\rho''}{\rho} \Gamma_0 \Gamma_1\), \(\nabla_\rho = \partial_\rho\), the Lagrangian reads

\[
\mathcal{L}_F = 2i \sqrt{-g} \tilde{\psi} \left[ \tau^a \nabla_a - \sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2} \rho' \Gamma_{234} + \frac{v \kappa \omega}{2(\rho^2 + v^2)} \Gamma_{129} \right] \psi.
\]

(D.5)

Weyl-rescaling the fermions \((\psi \rightarrow \rho^{-1/2} \psi)\) the fermionic fluctuation Lagrangian is finally

\[
\mathcal{L}_F = 2i \tilde{\psi} \tilde{D}_F \tilde{\psi}, \quad \tilde{D}_F = \Gamma^a \partial_a + a(\sigma) \Gamma_{234} + b(\sigma) \Gamma_{129},
\]

(D.6)

with

\[
a(\sigma) = -\sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}, \quad b(\sigma) = \frac{v \kappa \omega}{2(\rho^2 + v^2)}.
\]

(D.7)

With respect to (5.41) in [63], the additional connection-related term \(b(\sigma) \Gamma_{129}\) is present\(^{47}\).

The fermionic operator (D.6) can be then rewritten as

\[
\tilde{D}_F = \Gamma^a D_a + a(\sigma) \Gamma_{234}, \quad D_a = \partial_a + A_a, \quad \text{with} \quad A_0 = 0, \quad A_1 = b(\sigma) \Gamma_{29},
\]

(D.8)

and one can get rid of the non-trivial connection term \(A_1\) performing a local rotation

\[
T = \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{2} \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3 \right).
\]

(D.9)

The rotated fermionic operator \(\tilde{\tilde{D}}_F\) defined by \(\mathcal{L}_F = 2 \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\tilde{D}}_F \tilde{\psi}\), where \(\Psi = T^{-1} \tilde{\psi}\), reads then

\[
\tilde{\tilde{D}}_F := T^{-1} \tilde{D}_F T = i \left[ \Gamma^a \partial_a + a(\sigma) \Gamma_{234} (\cos \beta \Gamma_2 + \sin \beta \Gamma_3) + \left( b(\sigma) + \frac{\beta'}{2} \right) \Gamma_{129} \right],
\]

(D.10)

and the contribution \(\Gamma_{129}\) disappears by requiring \(\beta' = -2 b(\sigma)\), namely\(^{48}\)

\[
\beta' = -\frac{v \kappa \omega}{\rho^2 + v^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \beta(\sigma) = -i \frac{v \kappa \omega}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2}} \Pi \left( \frac{k^2 - \omega^2}{k^2}, i \rho, \frac{k^2 - \omega^2}{k^2 - v^2} \right).
\]

(D.11)

Squaring now \(\tilde{\tilde{D}}_F\), one obtains \((\cdot\cdot\cdot\text{ represents the derivative with respect to } \sigma)\)

\[
\tilde{\tilde{D}}_F^2 = -\partial_a \partial^a + a'(\sigma) - (a(\sigma) \cos \beta(\sigma))' \Gamma_{1234} - (a(\sigma) \sin \beta(\sigma))' \Gamma_{1349}
\]

(D.12)

\[
= -\partial_a \partial^a + X_F,
\]

(D.13)

The highly non-trivial mass matrix \(X_F\) has a simple trace

\[
\text{Tr} X_F = 16 a^2(\sigma) = 16(\rho^2 + v^2),
\]

(D.14)

\(^{47}\) We thank A Tseytlin for discussing with us this new feature, and thank him and Y Iwashita for double-checking the correctness of (D.6)–(D.7) via the Pohlmeyer-reduced theory action expanded near the folded string solution with the orbital momentum \(J\) [64].

\(^{48}\) This is the same as (C.27) in [10].
which is exactly as in [63], where 8 two-dimensional fermions were found with mass $\rho^2 + \nu^2$. Thus, the analysis of the logarithmic divergences coming from the fermionic part of the action coincides with the one in [63].

Next, let us consider the operator (D.6) in the limit of $\rho'$ constant, when

$$\kappa \approx \omega, \quad \rho^2 + \nu^2 \approx \kappa^2, \quad a \approx -\kappa, \quad b \approx \frac{\nu}{2}. \quad \text{(D.15)}$$

The operator then has constant coefficients

$$D_F|_{\rho' \text{const}} = i [\Gamma^a \partial_a + a \Gamma_{234} + b \Gamma_{129}] = i \left[ \Gamma^a \partial_a - \kappa \Gamma_{234} + \frac{\nu}{2} \Gamma_{129} \right] \quad \text{(D.16)}$$

and the spectrum of characteristic frequencies is immediately computable. This corresponds to 4+4 effective fermionic degrees of freedom with frequencies

$$\Omega_{f_n} = \sqrt{n^2 + \kappa^2} \pm \frac{\nu}{2}, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \quad \text{(D.17)}$$

In the sum over all frequencies the shifts $\pm \frac{\nu}{2}$ cancel each other, the fermions behave as 8 fermionic degrees of freedom with frequency $\sqrt{n^2 + \kappa^2}$, as considered in [63] and [100].

The comparison with the bosonic spectrum becomes more transparent when performed in a static gauge where the fluctuations along the world-sheet directions are set to zero. Therefore, expanding the bosonic action to quadratic order in fluctuations near the classical background

$$t = \kappa \tau + \frac{\tilde{t}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \quad \rho = \rho(\sigma) + \frac{\tilde{\rho}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \quad \phi = \omega \tau + \frac{\tilde{\phi}}{\lambda^{1/4}}, \quad \varphi = \nu \tau + \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{\lambda^{1/4}} \quad \text{(D.18)}$$

one should not consider fluctuations of $\rho$ ($\tilde{\rho} = 0$) and should project out one direction parallel to the world-sheet in the $\tau$ direction. One then chooses two linear combinations of $\tilde{t}$, $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ which are normal to the world-sheet and freeze the third direction, which is tangential [50]. For the normal directions one then chooses

$$\zeta_7 = \frac{\kappa \sinh^2 \rho (\rho^2 + \nu^2 - \omega^2) \phi - \omega \cosh^2 \rho (\rho^2 + \nu^2 - \kappa^2) \tilde{\phi}}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + \nu^2} \sqrt{\rho^2 + v^2 - \omega^2} \sqrt{\rho^2 + \nu^2 - \kappa^2}}, \quad \zeta_8 = \frac{\kappa \nu \cosh^2 \rho \tilde{\phi} + (\rho^2 + \nu^2) \phi - \nu \omega \sinh^2 \rho \tilde{\phi}}{\rho^2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + \nu^2}} \quad \text{(D.19)}$$

where $\rho$, $\rho'$ are evaluated for the classical solution, and $\zeta_7$ and $\zeta_8$ are unit normalized so to have canonical kinetic terms. The resulting action takes the form

$$L_B = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \Gamma^{ab} \partial_a \xi_P \partial_b \xi_P + A(\xi_6 \partial_6 \xi_7 - \xi_7 \partial_6 \xi_8) + M_{PQ} [\xi_P \xi_Q], \quad P, Q = 1, \ldots, 8 \quad \text{(D.20)}$$

with (recall $\sqrt{g} = \rho^2$)

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} A = \frac{\kappa \nu \omega}{\rho^2 + \nu^2}, \quad M_{78} = M_{87} = \frac{\kappa \nu \omega \rho^2 (\rho^2 - \nu^2)}{\rho^3 (\nu^2 + \rho^2)^2}, \quad M_{77} = 2 + \frac{2 \kappa^2 \omega^2}{\rho^2 (\nu^2 + \rho^2)} + \frac{\nu^2}{\rho^2} \left( \frac{\kappa^2 \omega^2}{(\nu^2 + \rho^2)^2} + 1 \right),$$

$$M_{88} = \frac{\nu^2}{\rho^2} - \frac{\kappa^2 \omega^2 (\nu^2 + 2 \rho^2)}{\rho^2 (\nu^2 + \rho^2)^2} = \frac{2 (\kappa^2 - \nu^2) (\nu^2 - \omega^2)}{\rho^4}, \quad M_{ii} = \frac{2 \rho^2 + \nu^2}{\rho^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad M_{ss} = \frac{\nu^2}{\rho^2}, \quad s = 3, 4, 5, 6. \quad \text{(D.21)}$$

A field redefinition should relate the expressions here obtained with the ones following by setting, in static gauge, $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{t} = 0$. In the $\nu \rightarrow 0$ limit $A = 0$ and the first-order terms

49 Recall that they do not cancel against the ones corresponding to the 2D bosonic theory, leaving a divergence proportional to the two-dimensional curvature.

50 The same procedure was adopted in [10], where indeed this symmetry between the bosonic and fermionic spectrum has been first observed.
no longer contribute, $M_{77}|_{v=0} = 2\rho'^2 + \frac{2\kappa^2\omega^2}{\nu^2}$ and the massless mode $M_{88}|_{v=0} = 0$ as expected.

The first-order terms can be eliminated by the $\sigma$-dependent rotation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \zeta_7 \\ \zeta_8 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & \sin \beta \\ -\sin \beta & \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_7 \\ \zeta_8 \end{pmatrix},$$

(D.23)

where $\beta(\sigma)$ solves the equation

$$\beta' = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{gA} = -\frac{\kappa\nu\omega}{\nu^2 + \beta'^2}.$$  

(D.24)

This also shifts the masses ($M_{PQ} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{PQ}$) and one ends with the Lagrangian

$$L_B = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \left[ g^{ab} \partial_a \zeta_P \partial_b \zeta_P + \tilde{M}_{PQ} \zeta_P \zeta_Q \right],$$

(D.25)

where

$$M_{77} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{77} = \frac{1}{2} \left( M_{77} + M_{88} + (M_{77} - M_{88}) \cos 2\beta - M_{78} \sin 2\beta - \frac{\sqrt{gA^2}}{2} \right),$$

(D.26)

$$M_{88} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{88} = \frac{1}{2} \left( M_{77} + M_{88} - (M_{77} - M_{88}) \cos 2\beta + M_{78} \sin 2\beta - \frac{\sqrt{gA^2}}{2} \right),$$

(D.27)

$$M_{78} \rightarrow \tilde{M}_{78} = \frac{1}{2} \left( M_{78} \cos 2\beta + (M_{77} - M_{88}) \sin 2\beta \right)$$

(D.28)

and the remaining masses stay the same. It is important to notice that the same rotation (D.11) that eliminates connection-related terms in the fermionic Lagrangian is also the one, (D.24), which cancels the first-order terms in the bosonic fluctuation Lagrangian.
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