A genome-wide SNP scan accelerates trait-regulatory genomic loci identification in chickpea
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We identified 44,844 high-quality SNPs by sequencing 92 diverse chickpea accessions belonging to a seed and pod trait-specific association panel using reference genome- and de novo-based GBS (genotyping-by-sequencing) assays. A GWAS (genome-wide association study) in an association panel of 211, including the 92 sequenced accessions, identified 22 major genomic loci showing significant association (explaining 23–47% phenotypic variation) with pod and seed number/plant and 100-seed weight. Eighteen trait-regulatory major genomic loci underlying 13 robust QTLs were validated and mapped on an intra-specific genetic linkage map by QTL mapping. A combinatorial approach of GWAS, QTL mapping and gene haplotype-specific LD mapping and transcript profiling uncovered one superior haplotype and favourable natural allelic variants in the upstream regulatory region of a CesA-type cellulose synthase (Ca_Kabuli_CesA3) gene regulating high pod and seed number/plant (explaining 47% phenotypic variation) in chickpea. The up-regulation of this superior gene haplotype correlated with increased transcript expression of Ca_Kabuli_CesA3 gene in the pollen and pod of high pod/seed number accession, resulting in higher cellulose accumulation for normal pollen and pollen tube growth. A rapid combinatorial genome-wide SNP genotyping-based approach has potential to dissect complex quantitative agronomic traits and delineate trait-regulatory genomic loci (candidate genes) for genetic enhancement in crop plants, including chickpea.

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.), a member of family Fabaceae is an annual, diploid, self-pollinated crop species with a very small genome size of ~740 Mbp. It is the most cultivated food legume/pulse crop world-wide that serves as an important dietary source of protein with essential amino acids for human. The seed and pod characters are major yield contributing traits of chickpea and vary widely across global germplasm collections, landraces and cultivated desi and kabuli accessions. Considering the agronomic importance of seed and pod traits, multiple efforts have been made for molecular mapping of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) governing major seed and pod yield contributing traits, including seed and pod number, double podding and seed weight in chickpea1–31. Unfortunately, due to lack of requisite intra-specific polymorphism in chickpea, no such robust genes/QTLs associated with seed and pod traits have been identified/fine mapped hitherto, which can be utilized for marker-assisted genetic
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improvement of chickpea. Therefore, to dissect such complex quantitative agronomic traits in chickpea, the marker-assisted breeding approach encompassing high resolution gene-specific and/or genome-wide LD (linkage disequilibrium)/association mapping and map-based gene/QTL cloning could be an attractive strategy in chickpea[4,26,32]. The discovery, large-scale validation and high-throughput genotyping of genome-wide SNPs in diverse natural germplasm accessions (core/minicore collections) and advanced generation bi-parental mapping populations of chickpea would essentially propel the above strategies to a great dimension.

Incredible efforts have been made in chickpea towards large-scale discovery of genome-wide SNPs in diverse cultivated and wild accessions using traditional Sanger and high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) approaches[4,33–43]. Notably, few small-scale SNPs of these, validated by genotyping assays like Illumina GoldenGate/Infinium (Bead Xpress array) and Competitive Allele Specific PCR (KASPar) assays have been specifically exploited for high-resolution genetic linkage map construction and genetic/QTL mapping as well as comparative genome analysis involving chickpea and other legumes[37,44–47]. In present times, the NGS-based genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) assay is considered the most preferred approach for large-scale discovery and high-throughput genotyping of genome-wide SNPs simultaneously in diverse crop germplasm accessions (association panel) and mapping populations with optimal use of resources[48,49]. The highest barcoded genotype multiplexing capability, and rapid SNP discovery and genotyping potential indeed emphasizes the efficacy of GBS for diverse high-throughput genetic analysis as well as multi-dimensional genomics-assisted breeding applications in crop plants[48–53]. Some of these applications include genome map saturation, targeted/line mapping of trait-influencing genes/QTLs and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in crop plants[48–49].

However, the well-established GBS approach is yet to be utilised for large-scale mining and validating of genome-wide SNPs in diverse natural and mapping populations of chickpea. The necessary information scanned from diverse germplasm lines (association panel) and mapping populations by GBS assay could facilitate construction of ultra-high-density genetic linkage maps, molecular mapping/line mapping of genes/QTLs and high-resolution genome-wide/gene-specific trait association analysis in chickpea. It would further expedite the identification of novel functionally relevant molecular tags (markers, genes/QTLs, alleles and haplotypes) controlling qualitative and complex quantitative traits of agricultural importance (specifically seed and pod yield-related traits) for genetic enhancement of chickpea.

In view of the aforesaid possibilities, the present study has utilized GBS approach to discover, validate and genotype SNPs in a constituted seed and pod trait-specific association panel (211, including 92 diverse cultivated desi and kabuli chickpea accessions) at a genome-wide scale. The large-scale SNP genotyping, diversity and LD-based information of natural and mapping populations was further correlated with their robust field phenotyping data to identify functionally relevant potential genomic loci (gene-associated targets) regulating three seed and pod yield-contributing traits using a combinatorial approach of GWAS, QTL mapping, gene haplotype-specific LD mapping and transcript profiling in chickpea. These integrated analyses, coupled with our preliminary in vitro pollen tube growth and cellulose estimation assays, were able to identify one superior haplotype and favourable natural allelic variants in the upstream regulatory region of a candidate cellulose synthase (Ca_Kabuli_CesA3) gene. This candidate gene possibly governs high pod and seed number with its increased transcript expression and higher accumulation of cellulose, specifically in pollen and pod tissues, thereby promoting normal pollen and pollen tube growth in chickpea.

Results

**Genome-wide mining and genotyping of GBS-based SNPs.** The sequencing of 96-plex ApeKI GBS libraries generated 207.9 million high-quality reads that are uniformly distributed across 92 diverse chickpea accessions (seed and pod trait-specific association panel, Fig. S1, Table S1). In total, 44844, including 20439 and 24405 high-quality SNPs (with read-depth ≥10, SNP base quality ≥20, <10% missing data and ~2% heterozygosity in each accession) were identified (MAF ≥0.05) from desi and kabuli genomes, respectively using both reference and de novo-based GBS approaches in 92 accessions. Of these, 20178 SNPs, including 6063 and 14115 SNPs were physically mapped on eight desi and kabuli chromosomes with average inter-marker distances of 20.5 and 24.6 kb, respectively. All 44844 genome-wide GBS-based SNPs [submitted to NCBI dbSNP Build (B142) (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/snp_viewTable.cgi?handle=NIPGR)] showing polymorphism among 92 diverse desi and kabuli chickpea accessions were selected for GWAS. The neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree analysis, high-resolution population genetic structure and PCA among 92 cultivated desi and kabuli accessions (association panel) using 44844 Genome-wide SNPs differentiated all these accessions from each other and clustered into three distinct populations (POP I, POP II and POP III) (Fig. 1,2). The determination of LD patterns in a constituted association panel using 20178 SNPs (physically mapped on eight chromosomes) revealed a higher LD estimates (0.50–0.62) and faster LD decay (150–200 kb) in three populations and both desi and kabuli chromosomes (Fig. 3).

**GWAS for three yield-contributing traits.** The GWAS was performed by correlating the 20439 desi and 24405 kabuli SNP genotyping information of 92 accessions (association panel) with their phenotyping (replicated multilocation/years) data of three seed and pod yield-contributing quantitative traits.
Figure 1. Unrooted phylogenetic tree depicting the genetic relations among 92 desi and kabuli chickpea accessions belonging to a seed and pod trait-specific association panel based on Nei’s genetic distance using 44,844 high-quality GBS-based SNPs (MAF ≥ 0.05). Molecular classification differentiated these accessions into three different clusters/populations (POP I, POP II and POP III) as expected based on their cultivar/species-specific origination.

Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) differentiating the 92 desi and kabuli chickpea accessions belonging to an association panel into three populations (POP I, POP II and POP III as determined by population genetic structure) for GWAS. The PC 1 and PC 2 explained 31.7% and 12.8% of the total variance, respectively.
A wider level of significant phenotypic variation (PN; 21.9–204.5 g with 70% H^2, SN; 22.3–306.8 with 68% H^2 and SW; 5.9–70.3 g with 80% H^2) of these three quantitative traits was observed among 92 accessions belonging to an association panel (three population groups) based on two years of multi-location replicated field data (Fig. S2). The coefficient of variation was maximum (0.33) for PN, followed by SN (0.32) and minimum (0.27) for SW (Table 1). A higher significant (P < 0.0001) positive correlation between PN and SN (r = 0.95) and a negative correlation between PN and SW (r = −0.30) were observed. POP I showed higher phenotypic diversity for both PN (CV: 0.47) and SN (CV: 0.49) compared to POP II and POP III, whereas for SW, POP III (CV: 0.30) had comparable trait variation with POP I (CV: 0.29) (Table 1, Fig. 4).

For GWAS, the individual outcomes obtained from four model-based approaches of TASSEL (GLM and MLM) and GAPIT (EMMA and CMLM) using desi (20439 SNPs) and kabuli (24405) SNP genotyping data were analysed and compared with each other. The GWAS by use of desi SNP genotyping information identified 37, 36 and 28 genomic loci showing significant association with PN, SN and SW, respectively at a P ≤ 10^{-4}. Similarly, kabuli SNP genotyping data detected 31, 66 and 53 significant (P ≤ 10^{-4}) genomic loci showing association with PN, SN and SW, respectively. The comparison of a quantile-quantile plot (Fig. 5) of the expected and observed -log_{10} P-values with FDR cut-off ≤ 0.05 identified eight and seven genomic loci revealing strong association with PN and SN, respectively using desi SNP genotyping data (Fig. 6A,B,C, Table 2). Seven of these genomic SNP loci showed association with both PN and SN, whereas one SNP in the intronic region of AP2/ERF (APETALA2/ethylene responsive factor) transcription factor (two-repeated AP2/ERF functional domain) showed association with only PN (Fig. 6A,B,C, Table 2). One of the eight trait-specific unique genomic loci was physically mapped on desi chromosome 2 and the other seven genomic loci were distributed across different scaffold regions of desi genome. Five of the eight trait-associated genomic loci were present in the intergenic regions (two gene-associated targets) and the remaining three loci were annotated in different non-coding intronic and URR sequence components of three desi genes (Table 2).

The kabuli SNP genotyping information identified nine, five and four genomic loci associated with PN, SN and SW, respectively (Fig. 6C,D,E, Table 2). Eight of these genomic loci mapped on the kabuli genome

![Figure 3. LD decay (mean r^2) measured in a seed and pod trait-specific association panel (92 chickpea accessions) using 6063 and 14115 SNPs physically mapped on eight desi and kabuli chromosomes, respectively. The plotted curved lines illustrate the average r^2 values among SNP loci spaced with uniform 50 kb physical intervals from 0 to 1000 kb.](image-url)
Figure 4. Boxplots showing the differences in three seed and pod yield-contributing traits (SW, PN and SN) among three populations (POP I, POP II and POP III) as defined by population structure. Box edges represent the upper and lower quantile with median value in the middle of the box.

Figure 5. Quantile-quantile plots showing the comparison between expected and observed -log10 P-values with FDR cut-off < 0.05 for identifying significant genomic loci associated with three agronomic traits (PN, SN and SW). The plots of each seed and pod yield-contributing traits among 92 chickpea accessions (association panel) were generated individually using 20439 desi (A, B and C) and 24405 kabuli (D, E and F) SNPs.
showed association with multiple traits, including PN and SN, whereas the remaining 10 SNP loci had association with only a single trait. Eight of 14 trait-associated unique genomic loci identified in the kabuli genome were physically mapped on chromosomes 4 (1 SNP), 5 (1), 6 (5) and 8 (1). The remaining six SNPs were represented from different scaffold regions (three SNPs) of the kabuli genome and were also derived from de novo GBS data (three SNPs) (Fig. 6C,D,E, Table 2). Six of 14 trait-associated genomic SNP loci were present in the intergenic (five gene-associated targets) regions, whereas five SNP loci were annotated in different coding (three synonymous and non-synonymous SNPs), and non-coding intronic and URR sequence components of three kabuli genes (Table 2).

The GWAS in each of the three populations (POP I, POP II and POP III) and the entire association panel as a whole revealed no significant differences in terms of marker-trait association potential (identity and physical locations of GWAS-associated genomic loci) between populations I and III (contained a greater percentage of 90.3% accessions) compared with that of whole populations. For large-scale validation of trait-associated genomic loci identified in our study, the GoldenGate assay genotyping information of 96 trait-associated SNPs (Fig. S3) and field phenotyping data (PN, SN and SW traits) of 211 chickpea minicore accessions (Table S3) were utilized in GWAS. It confirmed and validated the trait association potential (identity and physical locations of SNP loci at a significant P value with FDR threshold ≤ 0.05) of genomic loci similar to that identified using genome-wide GBS-based SNP genotyping and phenotyping data of 92 accessions (Table 2). The significant percentage contributions of eight and fourteen unique genomic loci identified in desi and kabuli genomes for phenotypic variation (R²) varied from 23 to 47% (mean: 33%), respectively (Table 2). The significant SNPs identified in both desi and kabuli genomes explained an average of 30% (ranging 23–47%), 32% (23–47%) and 37% (35–39%) of the PN, SN and SW phenotypic variations in chickpea accessions, respectively. Incidentally, two SNPs in the genes encoding cellulose synthase (P: 1.1 × 10⁻⁷ to 2.2 × 10⁻⁷ with R²: 40–47%) and AP2/ERF transcription factor (P: 4.5 × 10⁻⁵ to 7.7 × 10⁻⁵ with R²: 25–29%) significantly associated with PN and SN were identified commonly between desi and kabuli genomes (Table 2). Two SNPs in the CDS of a haloacid dehydrogenase gene (mapped on kabuli chromosome 6) showing missense non-synonymous substitutions had association (P: 2.7 × 10⁻⁵ to 2.8 × 10⁻⁵ with R²: 37–39%) with SW (Table 2). One SNP locus (A/G) in the URR of the cellulose synthase gene (mapped on kabuli chromosome 5) revealing
| SNP IDs** | Desi and kabuli chromosomes/scaffolds | SNPs physical positions (bp) | SNPs (major/minor alleles) | Minor allele frequency (MAF) | Genes/gene-associated targets* | Structural annotation | Association analysis | LGs/chromosomes | LOD | Gene/QTL mapping* |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----|-----------------|
| CasSNP190 | Desi-Sc-289                          | 150550                      | (G/T)                     | 0.29                        | Ca118465* (AP-1 complex subunit sigma-1) | Intergenic          | P 2.5 × 10−8, 3.4 × 10−8 | 26 & 38          | PN & SN         | 38.8−40.6       | 7.2             | 18.6            |
| CasSNP52  | Desi-Sc-330                          | 3079                        | (G/T)                     | 0.30                        | Ca120725 (Leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase) | Intergenic          | P 2.4 × 10−8, 8.2 × 10−8 | 28 & 37          | PN & SN         | —               | —               | —               |
| CasSNP192 | Desi-Sc-994                          | 225780                      | (A/T)                     | 0.30                        | Ca096575* (Unknown expressed protein) | Intron              | P 2.1 × 10−8, 8.5 × 10−8 | 35 & 26          | PN & SN         | 38.8−40.6       | 7.2             | 18.6            |
| CasSNP24  | Desi-Sc-2103                         | 15896                       | (A/G)                     | 0.29                        | Ca20029b (AP-1 repeat receptor) | Intergenic          | P 3.2 × 10−8, 1.1 × 10−8 | 34 & 23          | PN & SN         | 46.1−50.2       | 8.4             | 23.6            |
| CasSNP7   | Desi-Sc-2103                         | 15914                       | (T/G)                     | 0.29                        | Ca118465* (AP-1/ERF transcription factor) | Intergenic          | P 2.6 × 10−8, 1.3 × 10−8 | 28 & 31          | PN & SN         | —               | —               | —               |
| CasSNP70  | Desi-Sc-4437                         | 15942                       | (C/T)                     | 0.30                        | Ca14618b (AP2/ERF transcription factor) | Intergenic          | P 4.7 × 10−5          | 26               | PN              | —               | —               | —               |
| CasSNP182 | Desi-Sc-8364                         | 45741                       | (A/G)                     | 0.29                        | Ca20029b (Ca_Desi_CesA10)* | URR                  | P 1.1 × 10−7, 3.2 × 10−7 | 42 & 40          | PN & SN         | —               | —               | —               |
| CasSNP37  | Desi-Chr2                            | 595853                      | (C/A)                     | 0.24                        | Ca010659* (AP2/ERF transcription factor) | Intron              | P 4.5 × 10−5, 7.6 × 10−5 | 29               | PN              | 2.9−9.8          | 7.4             | 21.7            |
| CasSNP191 | Kabuli-Sc-193                        | 186515                      | (T/G)                     | 0.30                        | Ca251619* (Unknown expressed protein) | Intergenic          | P 6.8 × 10−5          | 24               | PN              | 38.8−40.6       | 7.2             | 18.6            |
| CasSNP26  | Kabuli-Sc-193                        | 186523                      | (T/C)                     | 0.30                        | Ca25161 (Unknown expressed protein) | Intergenic          | P 6.2 × 10−5          | 26               | PN              | 46.1−50.2       | 8.4             | 23.6            |
| CasSNP28  | Kabuli-Sc-1504                       | 189681                      | (C/T)                     | 0.30                        | Ca240929* (Unknown expressed protein) | Intergenic          | P 2.5 × 10−8, 9.3 × 10−8 | 31 & 36          | PN & SN         | 46.1−50.2       | 8.4             | 23.6            |
| CasSNP183 | Kabuli-Chr5                          | 16766270                    | (A/G)                     | 0.35                        | Ca17942b (Ca_Kabuli_CesA3)* | URR                  | P 1.1 × 10−7, 1.3 × 10−7 | 45 & 47          | PN & SN         | 6.8−18.9         | 9.7             | 28.7            |
| CasSNP207 | Kabuli-Chr6                          | 27310325                    | (G/T)                     | 0.25                        | Ca14618b (AP2/ERF transcription factor) | Intron              | P 7.7 × 10−5          | 25               | PN              | 37.7−40.1       | 8.0             | 18.2            |
| CasSNP212 | Kabuli-Chr6                          | 41708309                    | (G/A)                     | 0.28                        | —                              | Intergenic          | P 3.6 × 10−5          | 27               | PN              | 63.2−68.7       | 7.4             | 19.0            |
| CasSNP292 | Kabuli-Chr8                          | 16102414                    | (G/T)                     | 0.30                        | Ca15527* (Domain of unknown function DUF292) | Intergenic          | P 2.9 × 10−8, 9.8 × 10−8 | 31 & 35          | PN & SN         | 59.4−62.3       | 7.4             | 17.9            |
| CasSNP181 | Kabuli-De nvo                        | 309293                      | (C/G)                     | 0.30                        | —                              | Intergenic          | P 2.4 × 10−8, 9.0 × 10−8 | 30 & 33          | PN & SN         | 6.8−18.9         | 9.7             | 28.7            |
| CasSNP184 | Kabuli-De nvo                        | 316184                      | (G/C)                     | 0.30                        | —                              | Intergenic          | P 5.5 × 10−8          | 28               | PN              | 6.8−18.9         | 9.7             | 28.7            |
| CasSNP157 | Kabuli-Chr4                          | 38343633                    | (A/T)                     | 0.32                        | Ca1312b* (Calcium dependent protein kinase) | Intergenic          | P 5.5 × 10−8          | 28               | SN              | 105.1−108.7     | 7.8             | 21.8            |
| CasSNP198 | Kabuli-Chr6                          | 16113521                    | (G/T)                     | 0.21                        | Ca1967b (Halogen dehydrogenase) | CDS (Syn)           | P 2.8 × 10−3          | 38               | SW              | 12.5−19.7       | 8.2             | 19.5            |

Continued
strong association (P: 1.1 × 10^−7 to 1.3 × 10^−7 with R^2: 45–47%) with PN and SN as compared to other identified SNPs was considered as a potential candidate for further characterisation. Large-scale validation of this potential regulatory SNP in 211 minicore accessions further inferred significant contributions of A and G-alleles with ~40–45% PN and SN phenotypic variation in 152 high and 55 low pod and seed number-containing accessions, respectively (Fig. S4).

Construction of an intra-specific genetic linkage map and validation of trait-associated genomic loci through QTL mapping. An intra-specific genetic linkage map (ICC 6013 x ICC 7346) was constructed by integrating 292 SNP markers (by MALDI-TOF SNP genotyping assay) on eight chickpea LGs (LG1 to LG8) (Table 3). The genetic map spanned a total map length of 785.6 cM, with an average inter-marker distance of 2.69 cM. The most saturated intra-specific genetic map was LG4 (average inter-marker distance: 1.92 cM), followed by LG8 (2.30 cM) and the least saturated map was LG7 (2.52 cM) (Table 3). Based on ANOVA, a significant difference of three yield-contributing quantitative traits (PN; 12.3–139.7 with 76% H2, SN; 19.8–225 with 70% H2 and SW; 6–45 g with 83% H2) among 283 segregating F2 mapping individuals and parental genotypes across two years was evident (Table S4). The normal frequency distribution (bi-directional transgressive segregation, Fig. S5) of these traits among mapping individuals and parental genotypes highlighted the utility of a mapping population (ICC 6013 x ICC 7346) generated for QTL analysis. The QTL mapping by use of genotyping data of 292 genetically

### Table 2. Functional relevance of 22 trait-associated genomic loci (gene-associated targets) identified by integrating GWAS, QTL mapping and differential expression profiling. CaSNP (Cicer arietinum SNP).

| SNP IDs** | Desi and kabuli chromosomes/scaffolds | SNPs physical positions (bp) | Minor allele frequency (MAF) | Genes/gene-associated targets* | Structural annotation | Association analysis | Genetic/QTL mappingc |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| CaSNP199  | Kabuli-Chr6                          | 16113522 (A/T)             | 0.24                        | Ca19678 (Halocacid dehydrogenase) | CDS (Nsyn)            | 2.7 × 10^−5          | 39                   | SW                   | CalLG(Chr6)          | qSW6.1                | 12.5-19.7             | 8.2                  | 19.5                 |
| CaSNP200  | Kabuli-Chr6                          | 16113523 (T/C)             | 0.23                        | Ca19678 (Halocacid dehydrogenase) | CDS (Nsyn)            | 2.7 × 10^−5          | 37                   | SW                   | CalLG(Chr6)          | qSW6.1                | 12.5-19.7             | 8.2                  | 19.5                 |
| CaSNP186  | Kabuli-Denovo                        | 63305 (T/G)                | 0.30                        | —                            | —                      | 1.2 × 10^−5          | 35                   | SW                   | CalLG(Chr5)          | qSW5.1                | 24.7-25.7             | 6.5                  | 18.7                 |

AP2/ERF transcription factor classified following Magnani et al. (2004) Plant Cell 16:2265 and Nakano et al. (2006) Plant Physiology 140:411.

### Table 3. SNPs mapped on the eight LGs/chromosomes of an intra-specific chickpea genetic linkage map. CaLG (Chr): Cicer arietinum linkage group (chromosome).
mapped SNP markers and field phenotyping data (two geographical locations with two years/seasons) of the segregating individuals along with parental genotypes, identified and mapped 18 major (LOD: 5.1–9.7) QTLs governing PN, SN and SW on eight chickpea LGs (Table S5, Fig. 7). The phenotypic variation explained (PVE) for three agronomic traits by individual QTL (R²) varied from 13.6–28.7%. The PVE for all the 18 QTLs was 41.8%. All the identified QTLs showed positive additive gene effects (varied from 2.7–4.9), indicating the effective contribution of ICC 6013 alleles at these loci for increasing target traits (Table S5). Thirteen QTLs (LOD: 5.5–9.7) (R²: 17.9–28.7%) harbouring 18 genomic loci (six genes and six gene-associated targets) that were mapped on six chromosomes (spanned with 62 SNPs) had strong PN, SN and SW trait association potential based on our GW AS (Table 2, Fig. 7). Strong association potential of SNP (G/A) in URR of a cellulose synthase gene with PN and SN based on GW AS (R²: 45–47%) and QTL mapping (R²: 28.7%) than that of other trait-associated genomic loci was evident (Table 2). Henceforth, cellulose synthase gene including 11 other trait-influencing genes/gene-associated targets validated by both GWAS and QTL mapping (Table 2) were selected as target candidates to be further validated through expression profiling in chickpea.

**Validation of the trait-associated genes through differential expression profiling.** The differential expression profiling of 12 strong PN, SN and SW trait-influencing genes (validated by QTL mapping and GWAS) were performed in seven different vegetative and reproductive tissues and two pod and seed developmental stages of high (ICC 6013, ICCV 92311, ICC 9942, ICC 11944, ICC 456 and ICC 7184) and low (ICC 7346, Phule G0515, ICC 15994, ICC 14446, ICC 12034 and ICC 18591) pod and seed number-containing chickpea accessions using semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR assays.
Figure 8. (A) Differential expression profiling of a strong PN- and SN-associated cellulose synthase gene in seven different vegetative (leaf and root) and reproductive (flower bud, ovary, anther, mature pollen and in vitro grown pollen tube) tissues as well as two pod and seed developmental stages of high (ICC 6013) and low (ICC 7346) pod and seed number-containing chickpea accessions using semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR assays. The elongation factor-1 alpha gene was used as the internal control in the RT-PCR. The bars indicate the standard error. *Significance at $p \leq 0.01$. (B) Hierarchical cluster display representing the differential expression profile of a strong PN- and SN-associated cellulose synthase gene (validated by GWAS and QTL mapping) determined by comparative global in silico digital transcript profiling. For digital transcript profiling, the whole-genome microarray expression and global transcriptome sequencing data available previously for a similar cellulose synthase gene in different vegetative and reproductive tissues of Arabidopsis, soybean, Medicago and chickpea were used. The colour-scale at the top represents average log signal expression values of genes in various tissues, in which green, black and red signify low, medium and high level of expression, respectively. The tissues and identities of cellulose synthase genes for which the differential expression data available in Glycine max (Gm), Medicago truncatula (Mt), Arabidopsis thaliana (At) and Cicer arietinum (Ca) are mentioned on the top and right-sides of expression map, respectively. We thankfully acknowledge DB and SB for taking this original photograph.
A strong PN- and SN-associated gene (cellulose synthase) revealed preferential expression in the anthers, mature pollens, in vitro grown pollen tubes and pods of these accesses compared with their respective vegetative and reproductive tissues, including leaf, root, flower bud, ovary and seed (Fig. 8A, Fig. S6B). This gene showed pronounced differential up-regulation (−5.7-fold, P ≤ 10 − 2) in two pod developmental stages (compared with vegetative tissues) of all 12 high and low pod and seed number-containing chickpea accessions (Fig. 8A, Fig. S6B). Remarkably, the differential up-regulation of cellulose synthase gene in six high pod number-containing accesses during pod development was significantly higher (−3-fold, P ≤ 10 − 2) as compared to the six low pod number accesses (Fig. 8A, Fig. S6B).

To further validate the differential expression pattern of the strong PN and SN-associated cellulose synthase gene based on comparative global in silico digital transcript profiling, our experimental expression profiling data of this target gene was compared with its available whole-genome microarray expression and global transcriptome sequencing information in different vegetative and reproductive tissues of Arabidopsis, soybean, Medicago and chickpea. Interestingly, the cellulose synthase gene had preferential expression in flower and young pod/silique than its vegetative tissues, including root and leaf (Fig. 8B).

**Molecular haplotyping of a strong trait-regulatory gene.** To determine the precise genomic constitution of a strong PN and SN trait-associated cellulose synthase gene (validated by GWAS, QTL mapping and differential expression profiling), a comprehensive genome-wide survey of all cellulose synthase protein-coding genes composing cellulose synthase superfamily was performed individually in desi and kabuli genomes. The structural and functional annotation of genes based on characteristics of their encoded functional domains (following the classifications of Richmond and Somerville69) identified 38 non-redundant cellulose synthase A (CesA; 20 genes) and cellulose synthase-like (Csl; 18) genes from desi (22) and kabuli (16) genomes (Fig. S7, Table S6). Twenty-four including 14 CesA and 10 Csl genes were physically mapped on either of the desi and kabuli chromosomes, whereas 14 (6 CesA and 8 Csl genes) genes were mapped on the scaffolds of desi genome. The genome-wide characterization of cellulose synthase superfamily in chickpea genome enabled to annotate a strong PN and SN trait-regulatory two functional domains (zinc-binding ring/U-box and cellulose synthase domains)-encoding cellulose synthase gene (validated by GWAS, QTL mapping and expression profiling), mapped on kabuli chromosome 5 as CesA-type cellulose synthase (Ca_Kabuli_CesA3) (Fig. S7).

The sequencing of a 10793 bp cloned amplicon covering the entire 2 kb URR (upstream regulatory region), 15 exons, 1 kb DRR (downstream regulatory region) and 14 intronic regions of Ca_Kabuli_CesA3 gene among 102 cultivated and wild chickpea accessions (92 association panel and 10 wild accesses) identified 91 SNPs and seven SSRs (Fig. 9A). Eight SNPs and three SSRs were identified from URR of this gene. Forty SNPs were detected in the intronic regions, whereas seven SNPs and two SSRs were mined from DRR of the gene. Thirty-six SNPs, including 13 missense non-synonymous SNPs (nine non-synonymous SNP loci within cellulose synthase-domain) and two SSRs were identified in the exons (CDS) of the target gene. The gene-based haplotype analysis was performed by integrating the genotyping data of 91 SNPs and seven SSRs among 102 accesses, which led to constitution of four haplotypes at most (PIC: 0.48–0.83; mean: 0.52) in each wild, desi and kabuli chickpea (Fig. 9B). About 61.5% desi and 52.8% kabuli accessions were represented by haplotype 1 compared with the other three haplotypes. In contrast, haplotype 2 contained the maximum percentage (4.9%) of wild accesses (Fig. 9C, D). The use of four SNP-SSR marker-based haplotypes in a Ca_Kabuli_CesA gene for haplotype-specific LD mapping and association analysis, depicted strong association potential (P = 2.1 × 10 − 8 with R 2: 0.51–0.53) of the gene with PN and SN. A significant higher degree of LD (r 2 > 0.70 with P < 1.4 × 10 − 4) resolution was evident across the entire 10793 bp sequenced region of this strong PN trait-associated gene (Fig. 9E).

Markedly, two specific haplotypes, haplotype 1: [A-(CT) n-T-A] and haplotype 2: [G-(CT) n-C-A] determined by three SNPs (−1209, −1308 and −1581 bp) and one SSR (−1245 bp) in URR of the gene showed strong association potential for high (54 accesses with PN: 91.5–204.5; mean: 118.1 and association potential P: 1.7 × 10 − 8 with R 2: 0.49–0.52) and low pod number (16 accesses with PN 4.0–36.4; mean: 27.6 and P: 1.5 × 10 − 8 with R 2: 0.45–0.47) differentiation, respectively (Fig. 9C,D). The superiority of haplotype 1 [A-(CT) n-T-A] identified in the URR of a Ca_Kabuli_CesA3 gene was represented most commonly by high-pod/seed number-containing desi and kabuli accesses compared with the other three haplotypes. The pronounced up-regulated expression (~3.5-fold) of this high PN and SN trait-associated superior gene haplotype was observed in mature pollens and two pod developmental stages of six high (ICC 6013, ICCV 92311, ICC 9942, ICC 11944, ICC 456 and ICC 7184)-pod/seed number-containing chickpea accessions and homozygous mapping individuals compared with low (ICC 7346, Phule G0515, ICC 15994, ICC 14446, ICC 12034 and ICC 18591)-pod/seed number accesses (Fig. 9F). The Northern-based expression profiling, that used a high pod/seed number-regulating superior haplotype-specific probe in the Ca_Kabuli_CesA3 gene, detected strong hybridisation of 1.5kb transcripts in anther, mature pollen and mature pod of a high pod and seed number-containing accession (ICC 6013). In contrast, weak cross-hybridisation of this transcript was observed in the ovary tissue of ICC 6013 (Fig. S8). The sharing of all four SNP-SSR marker-based haplotypes was observed among 92 cultivated (desi and kabuli) and 10 wild accesses. However, the low pod/seed number-associated haplotype 2 was primarily represented by low pod/seed number (PN: 4–20)-containing wild accesses from primary and secondary gene pools (C. reticulatum...
and *C. echinospermum*), whereas high pod/seed number (PN: 35–63) accessions of the tertiary gene pool (*C. judaicum*, *C. bijugum* and *C. pinnatifidum*) had haplotypes 1, 3 and 4 (Fig. 9B). Maximum sharing of haplotypes was observed between high pod/seed number-associated haplotype 1 in cultivated desi and kabuli accessions and low pod/seed number-regulatory haplotype 2 of wild accessions. Cellulose content might regulate the *in vitro* pollen tube growth. The *in vitro* pollen germination and pollen tube growth assays were performed in two chickpea accessions representing high (ICC 6013) and low (ICC 7346) pod/seed number-regulating haplotypes. We observed low (87%) pollen germination and pollen tube growth in the low pod number-containing accession ICC 7346 compared to high pod number accession ICC 6013 (93%) (Fig. S9, Table S7). To infer the possible cause of these differential *in vitro* pollen tube growth characteristics in these two contrasting accessions, the calcofluor white fluorescence pollen dye-staining approach was used to investigate the content and distribution of cellulose in pollen. The low pod/seed number accession, which normally fails to grow pollen tubes, did not display fluorescent blue signals (Fig. S9). In contrast, the *in vitro* normal pollen tube growing high pod/seed number accession displayed homogeneous intense fluorescent blue signals. Moreover, scanning...
electron microscopy revealed the presence of 2–3% irregularly shaped pollen, particularly in the low pod/seed number-containing accession (Fig. S9). The estimation of cellulose content in anthers (with mature pollen) and young pods of high and low pod/seed number-containing accessions (three biological replicates) detected significantly higher cellulose content in both anthers (150 ng/mg) and pods (180 ng/mg) of ICC 6013 compared with that of ICC 7346 (Fig. 10). Overall, our preliminary observations indicated that a significant reduction of cellulose content in the low pod/seed number-containing chickpea accession might affect its normal pollen germination and pollen tube growth compared with the high pod number accession.

Discussion

Efficacy of GWAS in trait-regulatory genomic loci identification. Considering disproportionate draft genome assembly and size (Mb) of chromosomal pseudomolecules between desi and kabuli genomes, GWAS needs to be performed separately using desi and kabuli SNPs, which in turn would strengthen the possibility of identifying numerous trait-associated valid genomic loci with wider genome coverage in chickpea. This strategy is also essential to access the precise trait association potential of genomic loci by establishing their correlation with chromosomal LD estimates and LD decay separately for desi and kabuli genomes. The implications of such chromosomal LD patterns in GWAS for identification of potential trait-associated genomic loci have been demonstrated in many crop plants including chickpea70,71. Henceforth, GWAS with 20439 desi and 24405 kabuli SNPs in this study enabled to identify two common validated PN and SN-associated genomic loci between desi and kabuli along with six and twelve PN, SN and SW-specific unique genomic loci individually from desi and kabuli genomes, respectively. The trait association potential (\(P \leq 10^{-4}\) with \(R^2\) 23 to 47%) of these 22 genomic loci (gene-associated targets) for PN, SN and SW has been ascertained by combined use of four model-based algorithms of GLM, MLM, EMMA and CMLM and with a minimised confounding effect of population structure (FDR threshold < 0.05). It is further supported by the large-scale validation, association study and strong marker-allele effects of 22 genomic loci on PN, SN and SW-specific phenotypic variation in 211 chickpea minicore accessions. Therefore, the phenotypic variation explained by SNP loci and trait association-related genomic information generated in our study is reliable and robust, thus having utility in establishing rapid marker-trait linkages and identifying genes/QTLs regulating important agronomic traits in chickpea. The significant association of multiple SNP loci in more than one genomic region (genes) with multiple quantitative traits (PN, SN and SW) hints at pleiotropy and/or locally linked/unlinked multiple SNP loci and the observed genetic heterogeneity of three quantitative traits (PN, SN and SW) across three populations. Despite diverse genetic architecture of PN, SN and SW in the three populations and along entire association panel, no significant differences concerning the marker-trait association potential of SNP loci in different populations was observed. The identification of strong PN, SN and SW trait-associated non-synonymous and regulatory SNP loci specifically in coding (functional domain) and URRs of protein-coding genes (for instance, Ca_Kabuli_CesA3, AP2/ERF transcription factor, and haloacid dehydrogenase) suggests their functional significance in rapid trait-regulatory gene identification and characterisation in chickpea. The non-synonymous substitution

Figure 10. Cellulose content estimated in the anthers with mature pollen and young pods of high (ICC 6013) and low (ICC 7346) pod number-containing chickpea accessions. The bar indicates the standard error. *ANOVA significance at \(p < 0.001\).
of SNP loci in the CDS (functional domain) of genes encoding variable amino acid residues could create altered secondary structures of proteins and functional domain regions that may affect the DNA binding and transcriptional activity of target genes during growth and developmental processes. Such possible transcriptional mechanism of trait regulation due to non-synonymous SNP (amino acid) substitutions and regulatory SNPs has already been reported in high seed weight-associated chickpea transcription factor genes$^{24,32}$ and rice grain size genes (GS3, GS5 and qGL3)$^{72–75}$.

A combinatorial approach of GWAS, QTL mapping, gene haplotype-specific LD mapping and transcript profiling accelerates functionally relevant trait-regulatory robust molecular tag identification in chickpea. Eighteen PN, SN and SW-associated genomic loci (six genes and six gene-associated targets) underlying 13 robust QTLs were validated by both SNP marker-based GWAS and traditional genetic/QTL mapping, which could essentially help in characterising these complex quantitative agronomic traits in chickpea. Altogether, 18 robust PN, SN and SW-associated robust QTLs (PVE: 13.6–28.7% and LOD: 5.1–9.7) harbouring 15 major genomic regions mapped on eight chickpea chromosomes were identified. To ascertain the potential and novelty of trait-specific 22 genomic loci and 18 QTLs identified by GWAS and QTL mapping, respectively, the markers linked/flanking the PN, SN and SW-associated known QTLs/gene, already reported in chickpea association and QTL mapping studies$^{1,8,13,18,24,30,32,71,76,77}$, were considered for validation in our association panel and mapping population under study. Having compared the association and QTL mapping outcomes between past studies with our present one, two PN-regulating QTLs (qPN5.1 and qPN8.1) and one SN-regulated QTL (qSW3.1) showing correspondence with QTLs reported in three different QTL mapping studies$^{13,18,30}$ based on congruent flanking/linked marker genetic positions (cM) on LGs were identified. Thus, most of the genomic loci and QTLs governing PN, SN and SW identified in our study by using high-resolution SNP marker-based association and genetic mapping are novel and population-specific. All these molecular tags once successfully validated in diverse genetic backgrounds or through fine mapping/map-based positional cloning, can be utilised for marker-assisted trait improvement in chickpea.

The tissue-specific expression of a particular cellulose synthase (\textit{Ca\_Kabuli\_CesA3}) gene (validated both by GWAS and QTL mapping) in anther, mature pollen, \textit{in vitro} grown pollen tube and pod, along with its higher differential up-regulation (~5.7-fold) in two pod developmental stages of primarily the high pod/seed number-containing chickpea accessions, reemphasises the functional relevance of this gene in possible regulation of pod/seed number in chickpea. The strong PN and SN trait association potential of this target gene was further ascertained by SNP-SSR marker-based haplotyping (four haplotypes), high-resolution gene-specific LD mapping in 102 cultivated and wild chickpea accessions and through haplotype-specific differential transcript profiling (semi-quantitative RT-PCR and Northern assays) in contrasting high and low pod/seed number-containing accessions and homozygous mapping individuals. These analyses confirm the strong association potential of a high pod/seed number-containing novel superior haplotype [A-(CT)6-T-A] identified in URR of a \textit{Ca\_Kabuli\_CesA3} gene for pod/seed number differentiation and its implication in deciphering the transcriptional regulatory gene function during pod development in chickpea. The SNP-SSR marker-based haplotypes in the URR of this gene have significant role in regulating gene expression and identifying genes/QTLs governing multiple agronomic traits, including starch biosynthesis, seed shattering and seed setting rate in rice and seed weight in chickpea$^{24,32,78,79}$. Henceforth, the strong PN and SN trait-regulatory \textit{Ca\_Kabuli\_CesA3} gene identified in chickpea by integrating GWAS and QTL mapping with marker-based haplotyping and superior haplotype-specific transcript profiling, can be considered a candidate for marker-assisted genetic enhancement of chickpea to increase pod numbers and yield. The sharing of haplotypes constituted in a \textit{Ca\_Kabuli\_CesA3} gene among 92 domesticated desi and kabuli and 10 wild chickpea accessions representing primary, secondary and tertiary gene pools was apparent. Out of these 102 accessions under analysis, 77 (75.5%) with high PN and SN-associated A-SNP allele of a \textit{Ca\_Kabuli\_CesA3} gene were represented by only two haplotypes suggesting high artificial selection pressure on the trait-specific locus/haplotype(s) of this gene during chickpea domestication. The influence of positive selection on \textit{Ca\_Kabuli\_CesA3} gene is more evident from its higher Ka/Ks (0.96) (higher than the average 0.84 Ka/Ks estimated in chickpea genes) and haplotype diversity (mean $\theta$: 0.61 and $\theta$: 0.57) among cultivated and wild accessions. This clearly reflects the role of novel superior high pod/seed number-associated haplotypes and favourable natural allelic variants of the target cellulose synthase gene in regulating pod/seed number-specific traits and evolution during domestication of chickpea.

**Cellulose synthase: a potential candidate gene regulating pod and seed number in chickpea.**

The chickpea cellulose synthase (\textit{Ca\_Kabuli\_CesA3}) gene homolog in \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} (\textit{AtCesA3}), showing silique-specific expression$^{80}$, is known to interact with the \textit{AtbHLH15} (basic helix-loop-helix) gene$^{81,82}$. Furthermore, based on a mutant complementation analysis, this gene act as a component of the catalytic core complex and is known to regulate cellulose synthesis$^{83,84}$ in primary cell wall of pollen tubes during pollen development in \textit{Arabidopsis}$^{80,85}$. Pollen tube development during reproductive stage is the major factor said to control pod and seed number in legumes$^{86}$. Because the cellulose synthase-encoding gene has significance in controlling pollen development by its proper cell wall growth in diverse crop plants, so, this gene may serve as a potential candidate for pod and seed number regulation in chickpea. Collectively, our results, encompassing GWAS, QTL mapping, transcript profiling and preliminary in
vitrino pollen tube growth and cellulose estimation assays, indicate that the high pod/seed number-specific novel superior haplotype \([A-(CT)_{6}-T-A]\) identified in URR of a \(Ca_{Kabuli}_{CesA3}\) gene might regulate a higher accumulation of cellulose synthase transcripts specifically, in mature pollen and pod tissues/developmental stages of high pod/seed number-containing chickpea accession, leading to normal pollen germination and pollen tube growth due to increased cellulose synthesis. As per our observations in the low pod/seed number-containing accession, normal pollen and pollen tube growth was affected possibly due to low cellulose deposition and reduced expression of the superior haplotype in URR of the \(Ca_{Kabuli}_{CesA3}\) gene in its pollens and pods (pod developmental stages). The higher expression of different isoforms of cellulose synthase gene in developing mature pollen and pollen tubes is known to increase cellulose content and enhance normal pollen tube growth in crop plants, including \(Arabidopsis\) and \(Nicotiana\)\textsuperscript{87–89}. However, large-scale validation and a detailed molecular characterization of \(Ca_{Kabuli}_{CesA3}\) gene in diverse chickpea accessions as well as its mutant complementation analysis in \(Arabidopsis\) is required to understand its definite role in pod and seed number regulation in chickpea. Interestingly, the cellulose synthase gene has an additional vital role in imparting enhanced abiotic (drought and osmotic) and biotic stress tolerance in plant species, including \(Arabidopsis\) by root growth and lignification\textsuperscript{90–92}. Henceforth, after being functionally well characterised, the strong PN/SN-regulatory cellulose synthase gene identified in our study via a combinatorial approach can be utilised in marker-assisted breeding for improving both yield (pod and seed number) and stress tolerance in chickpea.

Conclusively, our study identified 23798 high-quality genome-wide SNPs employing reference genome- and de novo-based GBS assays in a constituted seed and pod trait-specific association panel (92\( desi\) and \(kabuli\) accessions) of chickpea. The use of a combinatorial approach of GWAS, QTL mapping, differential expression profiling, gene haplotype-based LD mapping and transcript profiling delineated one high pod/seed number-regulating superior haplotype and favourable allelic variants in the URR of a CesA-type cellulose synthase (\(Ca_{Kabuli}_{CesA3}\) gene. The possible regulatory role of such superior gene haplotype with its increased transcript expression, cellulose accumulation in pollen and pod tissue and subsequently, normal pollen and pollen tube growth in high pod/seed number chickpea accession was evident. This novel integrative strategy for quick identification of functionally relevant trait-regulatory molecular tags can essentially dissect complex quantitative agronomic traits for marker-assisted genetic improvement of crop plants, including chickpea.

Methods

**Plant resources used for genomic DNA extraction.** To compose a seed and pod trait-specific association panel, 92 phenotypically and genotypically diverse (>80% diversity of total germplasm lines evaluated) accessions were selected from the available chickpea germplasm collections (16991, including 211 minicore germplasm lines)\textsuperscript{93–95} as per the detailed procedures described in Fig. S1. The constituted association panel (representing diverse eco-geographical regions of 21 countries of the world) with \(C.\ arietinum\) \(desi\) (39 accessions) and \(kabuli\) (53) accessions was used (Table S1) for large-scale discovery and high-throughput genotyping of genome-wide SNPs using GBS assay. For molecular mapping of QTLs, a 283 \(F_{4}\) mapping population derived from an intra-specific cross (ICC 6013 x ICC 7346) between two parental chickpea accessions (selected from the association panel) was developed. ICC 6013 (Indian origin) is a low 100-seed weight (10 g) and high pod (130) and seed number (210)-containing \(desi\) accession, whereas ICC 7346 (Mexican origin) is a high 100-seed weight (39 g) and low pod (26) and seed number (42)-containing \(kabuli\) accession. Genomic DNA was isolated from the leaves of 92 accessions and 283 mapping individuals using a QIAGEN DNeasy 96 Plant Kit (QIAGEN, CA, USA) as per the manufacturer's instructions.

**Discovery, genotyping and annotation of genome-wide GBS-based SNPs.** The 96-plex GBS libraries were made by digesting the genomic DNA of 92 chickpea accessions (association panel) with \(ApeKI\) and ligating the digested DNA to adapters containing one of 96 unique barcodes. The pooling of libraries and their sequencing (100-bp single end) were performed using Illumina HiSeq2000 with respect to Elshire et al.\textsuperscript{82} and Spindel et al.\textsuperscript{85}. The high-quality FASTQ sequence reads (a \textit{phred} score >10) were de-multiplexed relying their unique barcodes and the individual sequence reads of 92 accessions were mapped to reference drafts of \(desi\) (ICC 4958\textsuperscript{84}) and \(kabuli\) (CDC Frontier\textsuperscript{84}) chickpea genome sequences using Bowtie v2.1.0. The sequence reads remained unaligned with \(desi\) and \(kabuli\) reference genomes were further analyzed individually using the \textit{de novo} genotyping approach of STACKS v1.0 (http://creskolab.uoregon.edu/stacks). The sequence reads aligned and unaligned with each of \(desi\) and \(kabuli\) genomes were processed using the reference-based GBS pipeline of STACKS and the \textit{de novo} genotyping approach of STACKS to identify valid and high-quality SNPs (no sequencing errors with minimum sequence read depth: 10 and SNP base quality ≥20) in 92 accessions. The structural and functional annotation of SNPs identified by reference-based GBS approach in various coding (synonymous and non-synonymous SNPs) and non-coding sequence components of genes and genomes (chromosomes/pseudomolecules and scaffolds) were performed using the available \(desi\) (CGAP v1.0\textsuperscript{42}) and \(kabuli\)\textsuperscript{42} genome annotations.

**Phenotypic evaluation of agronomic traits.** Ninety-two chickpea accessions representing an association panel along with two parental accessions and 283 segregating individuals of a \(F_{4}\) mapping
population (ICC 6013 x ICC 7346) were grown in the field as per a randomised complete block design (RCBD) for two consecutive years (2011 and 2012) at two diverse geographical locations (Hyderabad; latitude: 17.1°N and longitude: 78.9°E, and New Delhi; 28.4°N and 77.1°E) in India during the crop growing season. These natural and mapping populations were phenotyped for three yield-contributing quantitative traits; pod number/plant (PN), seed number/plant (SN) and 100-seed weight (SW). The PN (the count of average number of fully formed pods per plant at maturity), SN (the count of average number of fully formed seeds per plant at maturity) and SW (the average weight of 100-matured seeds at 10% moisture content) from 10–12 representative plants of each accessions and mapping individuals was measured. Diverse statistical parameters, including coefficient of variation (CV), frequency distribution, broad-sense heritability (H²), Pearson’s correlation coefficient and analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the phenotyping data were evaluated using SPSSv17.0 (http://www.spss.com/statistics) and the methods of Saxena et al.26.

**Genome-wide association study (GWAS).** The genome-wide SNP genotyping information (MAF ≥ 5%), robust field phenotyping data of three seed and pod yield-contributing traits (PN, SN and SW), ancestry coefficient data (Q matrix derived from population structure at optimal population numbers) and relative kinship matrix (K) generated from 92 chickpea accessions (association panel) were analysed24,32 by use of general linear model (GLM, Q model)- and mixed linear model (MLM, Q + K model)-based approaches of TASSEL. Additionally, the principal component analysis (PCA) integrated with the efficient mixed-model (P + K, K and Q + K) association (EMMA) and P3D/compressed mixed linear model (CMLM) interfaces of GAPIT were utilised for GWAS. The relative distribution of observed -log10 P-value for each SNP marker-trait association was compared individually with that of the expected distribution using quantile-quantile plot of GAPIT. The adjusted P-value threshold of significance in each trait was corrected for multiple comparisons basing upon false discovery rate (FDR cut-off ≤ 0.05). Combining the four model-based outputs of TASSEL and GAPIT, the SNP loci in the target genomic (gene) regions (significant LD regions) revealing significant contributions to phenotypic variation of three agronomic traits at highest R² (magnitude of marker trait-association) and lowest FDR adjusted P-values (threshold P < 2 × 10⁻⁴) were identified. For large-scale validation and verification of the accuracy of identified SNP marker-trait associations, the high-throughput genotyping data (Illumina GoldenGate assay) of 96 SNPs (including strong trait-associated SNPs) in 211 chickpea minicore accessions were correlated with their field phenotyping information of the three agronomic traits under study, following the afore-mentioned GWAS methods.

**Genetic linkage map construction and QTL mapping.** For genetic linkage map construction, 384 SNPs (physically mapped across eight chromosomes) showing polymorphism between two parental accessions (ICC 6013 and ICC 7346) were genotyped in 283 F₄ segregating mapping individuals using a MALDI-TOF SNP genotyping assay with respect to Saxena et al.26,97. The SNP genotyping information was analysed in JoinMap 4.1 (http://www.kyazma.nl/index.php/mc.JoinMap) at a higher LOD (logarithm of odds) threshold (>4.0) using Kosambi mapping function. The SNPs mapped on eight linkage groups (LGs) of an intra-specific chickpea genetic map were designated (LG1 to LG8) according to their corresponding physical positions (bp) on chromosomes, as determined in our study.

For QTL mapping, the genotyping data of parental polymorphic SNPs genetically mapped on eight LGs and field phenotyping information (PN, SN and SW) of 283 F₄ mapping individuals and parental genotypes were analysed using the composite interval mapping (CIM) function (LOD > 4.0 with 1000 permutations and p ≤ 0.05) of MapQTL 6.26 The percentage of phenotypic variation explained (PVE) by significant QTLs (R²) was estimated to identify and map the novel major genomic regions harbouring QTLs associated with three agronomic traits in chickpea.

**Differential expression profiling.** To infer differential gene regulatory function, the expression profiling of 12 strong trait (PN, SN and SW)-regulatory genes and gene-associated targets validated by both GWAS and QTL mapping was performed. Seven different vegetative (leaf and root) and reproductive (flower bud, ovary, anther, mature pollen and in vitro grown pollen tube after flowering) tissues were collected from 40–60 days old healthy plants of high (ICCV 92311, ICC 6013, ICC 9942, ICC 11944, ICC 456 and ICC 7184) and low (Phule G0515, ICC 7346, ICC 15994, ICC 14446, ICC 12034 and ICC 18591) pod and seed number-containing accessions. Moreover, tissues from two pod (≤5 mm beginning pod and ~5 cm full pod at 10–20 DAP) of these 12 contrasting accessions were gathered (adapted from24,32,98,99). RNA isolated from all the tissues from two pod (~5 mm beginning pod and ICC 7184) and low (Phule G0515, ICC 7346, ICC 15994, ICC 14446, ICC 12034 and ICC 18591) in vitro grown pollen tube after flowering) tissues were amplified by suitable gene-specific primers using semi-quantitative and quantitative RT-PCR assays. The differential expression level of genes observed in diverse tissues/developmental stages of 12 accessions was compared following Kujur et al.24.

For in silico digital expression analysis, the global transcript profiling data (generated from whole-genome microarray and transcriptome sequencing assays) available for a strong PN and SN trait-associated gene (validated by GWAS, QTL mapping and expression profiling) in different vegetative and reproductive tissues (leaf, root, flower, pod/silique and seed) of soybean (SoyBase; http://www.soybase.org), Medicago (Medicago truncatula Gene Expression Atlas; http://mtgea.noble.org/v3), Arabidopsis
Molecular haplotyping. For marker-based haplotyping, the entire non-coding 2 kb URR, exons, introns and 1 kb DRR of one strong PN- and SN-trait regulatory gene (validated by GWAS, QTL mapping and differential transcript profiling) amplified from 92 chickpea accessions (association panel) and 10 wild accessions (two accessions each from *C. echinospermum*, *C. judaicum*, *C. bijugum*, *C. pinnatifidum* and *C. reticulatum*) (Table S1) were cloned and sequenced. The high-quality gene sequences generated were aligned among accessions, and SSR and SNP loci were mined. The SSR-SNP marker-based haplotypes were constituted, and haplotype diversity and LD patterns were determined as per Kujur et al. The marker-based gene haplotype genotyping information was integrated with field phenotyping data (PN, SN and SW) of 102 accessions to evaluate trait-association potential and haplotype-based evolutionary significance of the gene in chickpea. Next to evaluate the potential of high pod/seed number-regulatory superior haplotypes constituted in a gene, differential expression profiling in mature pollen and two pod developmental stages of chickpea accessions representing high (ICCV 92311, ICC 6013, ICC 9942, ICC 11944, ICC 456 and ICC 7184) and low (Phule G0515, ICC 7346, ICC 15994, ICC 14446, ICC 12034 and ICC 18591) pod/seed number-specific haplotype groups was performed using gene haplotype-specific primers. In addition, high and low pod number-containing parental accessions (ICC 6013 and ICC 7346) and five homozygous individuals of a F4 mapping population were included for gene haplotype-specific expression study.

Northern hybridisation. For Northern analysis, RNA (10–20 μg) isolated from four different tissues (ovary, anther, mature pollen and pod) of one chickpea accession (ICC 6013) representing a high pod number-specific superior haplotype was transferred to a Nylon membrane and RNA blots were prepared following Doblin et al. To prepare the radiolabelled probe for Northern hybridisation, the isolated RNA was amplified using high pod/seed number-regulating gene haplotype-specific primers (producing 1.5 kb amplicon) and the amplicon was further labelled with α-P32-dCTP using a random primer labelling kit (MBI, Fermentas, USA). The blots were pre-soaked with pre-hybridisation buffer, hybridised with denatured radiolabelled probe (at 65 °C for 16–18h) and washed using wash solution I (2x SSC + 0.1x SDS at 65 °C/20 min), wash solution II (1x SSC at 65 °C/20 min) and wash solution III (0.25x SSC at 65 °C/5 min). The blots were wrapped with plastic wrap; exposed to a phosphoimaging K-Screen (Bio-Rad, USA) overnight and visualised using PhosphorFX of a Phosphoimager (Bio-Rad, USA).

In vitro pollen-tube growth assay, scanning electron microscopy and cellulose estimation. Pollen grains were collected from only dehisced anthers of two chickpea accessions representing high (ICC 6013) and low (ICC 7346) pod and seed number-regulating haplotypes. The pollen were placed on glass slides coated with pollen tube growing medium (1 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgSO4, 0.01% boric acid and 18% sucrose). Calcofluor white (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was used for staining the cellulose. After 4h of incubation in pollen germination medium at 30 °C, the pollen tube growth was observed. For scanning electron microscopy, the pollens of two accessions were fixed in FAA (3.7% formaldehyde, 50% ethanol and 5% acetic acid) and dehydrated using an ethanol series. The samples were further gold-palladium coated and observed using a Zeiss EVO 40 scanning electron microscope under low vacuum at 20kV. The cellulose content in the mature pollen-containing anther and young pod tissues of ICC 6013 and ICC 7346 was estimated following Ghosh et al.

References
1. Cho, S. et al. Mapping genes for double podding and other morphological traits in chickpea. *Euphytica* **125**, 285–292 (2002).
2. Rakshit, S. et al. DAF marker tightly linked to a major locus for *Ascochyta* blight resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Euphytica* **132**, 23–30 (2003).
3. Bajai, D. et al. A combinatorial approach of comprehensive QTL-based comparative genome mapping and transcript profiling identified a seed weight-regulating candidate gene in chickpea. *Sci. Rep.*, 5, 9264; DOI:10.1038/srep09264 (2015).
4. Sharma, K. D., Winter, P., Kahl, G. & Muelibauer, F. J. Molecular mapping of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris* race 3 resistance gene in chickpea. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **108**, 1243–1248 (2004).
5. Sharma, K. D., Chen, W. & Muelibauer, F. J. Genetics of chickpea resistance to five races of *Fusarium* wilt and a concise set of race differentials for *Fusarium oxysporum* fsp. *ciceris*. *Plant Dis.* **89**, 385–390 (2005).
6. Cobos, M. J. et al. Linkage map of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) based on populations from *kabuli x desi* crosses: Location of genes for resistance to *Fusarium* wilt race 9. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **110**, 1347–1353 (2005).
7. Cobos, M. J. et al. A new QTL for *Ascochyta* blight resistance in an RIL population derived from an interspecific cross in chickpea. *Euphytica* **149**, 105–111 (2006).
8. Cobos, M. J. et al. Genetic analysis of agronomic traits in a wide cross of chickpea. *Field Crops Res.* **111**, 130–136 (2009).
9. Iruela, M. et al. Detection of two quantitative trait loci for resistance to *Ascochyta* blight in an intra-specific cross of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.); development of SCAR markers associated with resistance. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **112**, 278–287 (2006).
10. Iruela, M. et al. Validation of a QTL for resistance to *Ascochyta* blight linked to resistance to *Fusarium* wilt race 5 in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Eur. J. Plant. Pathol.* **119**, 29–37 (2007).
11. Iruela, M. et al. The marker SCK12605 associated with resistance to *Ascochyta* blight in chickpea is located in a region of a putative retrotransposon. *Plant Cell Rep.* **28**, 53–60 (2009).
12. Lichtenzveig, J., Bonfil, D. J., Zhang, H., Shitienberg, D. & Abbo, S. Mapping quantitative trait loci in chickpea associated with time to flowering and resistance to *Didymella rubiei* the causal agent of *Ascochyta* blight. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **113**, 1357–1369 (2006).
13. Radhika, P. et al. Development of an integrated intraspecific map of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) using two recombinant inbred line populations. Theor. Appl. Genet. 115, 209–216 (2007).
14. Tar'an, B., Warkentin, T. D., Tullu, A. & Vanderberg, A. Genetic mapping of Ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea (Cicer arietinum) using a simple sequence repeat linkage map. Genome 50, 26–34 (2007).
15. Madrid, E. et al. Mechanism and molecular markers associated with rust resistance in a chickpea interspecific cross (Cicer arietinum × C. reticulatum). Eur. J. Plant. Pathol. 121, 43–53 (2008).
16. Anbessa, Y., Taran, B., Warkentin, T. D., Tullu, A. & Vanderberg, A. Genetic analyses and conservation of QTL for Ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 119, 757–765 (2009).
17. Gowda, S. J. M., Radhika, P., Kadoo, N. Y., Mhase, L. B. & Gupta, V. S. Molecular mapping of wilt resistance genes in chickpea. Mol. Breed. 24, 177–183 (2009).
18. Gowda, C. L. L., Upadhyaya, H. D., Dronavalli, N. & Singh, S. Identification of large-seeded high-yielding stable kabuli chickpea germplasm lines for use in crop improvement. Crop Sci. 5, 198–209 (2011).
19. Aryamaneh, N., Nelson, M. N., Yan, G., Clarke, H. J. & Siddique, K. H. M. Mapping a major gene for growth habit and QTLs for Ascochyta blight resistance and flowering time in a genetic population between chickpea and Cicer reticulatum. Euphytica 173, 307–319 (2010).
20. Anuradha, C. et al. Mapping QTL for resistance to Botrytis grey mould in chickpea. Euphytica 182, 1–9 (2011).
21. Kumar, A., Choudhary, A. K., Solanki, R. K. & Pratap, A. Towards marker-assisted selection in pulses: a review. Plant Breed. 130, 297–313 (2011).
22. Rehman, A. U. et al. Mapping QTL associated with traits affecting grain yield in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) under terminal drought stress. Crop Sci. 51, 450–463 (2011).
23. Vadez, V. et al. Assessment of ICCV2 x G62 chickpea progenies shows sensitivity of reproduction to salt stress and reveals QTLs for seed yield and yield components. Mol. Breed. 30, 9–21 (2012).
24. Kujur, A. et al. Functionally relevant microsatellite markers from chickpea transcription factor genes for efficient genotyping applications and trait association mapping. DNA Res. 20, 355–374 (2013).
25. Sabbavarapu, M. M. et al. Molecular mapping of QTLs for resistance to Fusarium wilt (race 1) and Ascochyta blight in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Euphytica 193, 121–133 (2013).
26. Saxena, M. S. et al. An Integrated genomic approach for rapid delineation of candidate genes regulating agro-morphological traits in chickpea. DNA Res. 21, 695–710 (2014a).
27. Stephens, A. et al. Genetic marker discovery intraspecific linkage map construction and quantitative trait locus analysis of Ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Mol. Breed. 33, 297–313 (2014).
28. Varshney, R. K. et al. Achievements and prospects of genomics-assisted breeding in three legume crops of the semi-arid tropics. Biotechnol. Adv. 31, 1120–1134 (2013a).
29. Varshney, R. K. et al. Fast-track introgression of “QTL-hotspot” for root traits and other drought tolerance trait in JG 11, an elite and leading variety of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Plant Genome 6, 1–26 (2013b).
30. Varshney, R. K. et al. Genetic dissection of drought tolerance in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 127, 445–462 (2014a).
31. Varshney, R. K. et al. Marker-assisted backcrossing to introgress resistance to Fusarium wilt race 1 and Ascochyta blight in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Plant Genet. 7, 1–11 (2014b).
32. Kujur, A. et al. An efficient and cost-effective approach for genic microsatellite marker-based large-scale trait association mapping: identification of candidate genes for seed weight in chickpea. New Phytol. 204, 241–265 (2014).
33. Rajesh, P. N., McPhee, K. & Muehlbauer, F. J. Detection of polymorphism using CAPS and dCAPS markers in two chickpea genotypes. Internat. Chickpea Pigeonpea Newsletter 12, 4–6 (2005).
34. Varshney, R. K. et al. Development of cost-effective SNP assays for chickpea genome analysis and breeding. J. SAT. Agri. Res. 3, 29–31 (2007).
35. Nayak, S. N. et al. Integration of novel SSR and gene-based SNP marker loci in the chickpea genetic map and establishment of new anchor points with Medicago truncatula genome. Theor. Appl. Genet. 120, 1415–1441 (2010).
36. Gajjar, N. et al. Development and use of genetic molecular markers (GMMs) for construction of a transcript map of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 122, 1577–1589 (2011).
37. Garg, R. et al. Gene discovery and tissue-specific transcriptome analysis in chickpea with massively parallel pyrosequencing and web resource development. Plant Physiol. 156, 1661–1678 (2011).
38. Hiremath, P. J. et al. Large-scale transcriptome analysis in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.), an orphan legume crop of the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa. Plant Biotechnol. J. 9, 922–931 (2011).
39. Agarwal, G. et al. Comparative analysis of kabuli chickpea transcriptome with desi and wild chickpea provides a rich resource for development of functional markers. PLoS One 7, e25443; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0025443 (2012).
40. Azam, S. et al. Coverage-based consensus calling (CbCC) of short sequence reads and comparison of CbCC results to identify SNPs in chickpea (Cicer arietinum; Fabaceae), a crop species without a reference genome. Am. J. Bot. 99, 186–192 (2012).
41. Jainwar, S. et al. Transcriptome sequencing of wild chickpea as a rich resource for marker development. Plant Biotechnol. J. 10, 690–702 (2012).
42. Jain, M. et al. A draft genome sequence of the pulse crop chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Plant J. 74, 715–729 (2013).
43. Varshney, R. K. et al. Draft genome sequence of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) provides a resource for trait improvement. Nat. Biotechnol. 31, 240–246 (2013c).
44. Gaur, R. et al. High-throughput SNP discovery and genotyping for constructing a saturated linkage map of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). DNA Res. 19, 357–373 (2012).
45. Hiremath, P. J. et al. Large-scale development of cost-effective SNP marker assays for diversity assessment and genetic mapping in chickpea and comparative mapping in legumes. Plant Biotechnol. J. 10, 716–732 (2012).
46. Thudi, M., Li, Y., Jackson, S. A., May, G. D. & Varshney, R. K. Current state-of-art of sequencing technologies for plant genomics research. Brief. Funct. Genomics 11, 3–11 (2012).
47. Kookkwal, M. et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping for breeding and genetics applications in chickpea and pigeonpea using the BeadXpress platform. Plant Genome 6, 1–10 (2013).
48. Schnable, P. S. et al. The B73 maize genome: complexity, diversity, and dynamics. Science 326, 1112–1115 (2009).
49. Elshire, R. J. et al. A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. PLoS One 6, e19379; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0019379 (2011).
50. Davey, J. W. et al. Genome-wide marker discovery and genotyping using next-generation sequencing. Nat. Rev. Genet. 12, 499–510 (2011).
51. Croman, R. et al. Targeted enrichment strategies for next-generation plant biology. Am. J. Bot. 99, 291–311 (2012).
52. Poland, J. A., Brown, P. J., Sorrells, M. E. & Jannink, J. L. Development of high-density genetic maps for barley and wheat using a novel two-enzyme genotyping-by-sequencing approach. PLoS One 7, e23253; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0023253 (2012).
53. Poland, J. A. & Rife, T. W. Genotyping-by-sequencing for plant breeding and genetics. Plant Genome 5, 92–102 (2012).
54. Beissinger, T. M. et al. Marker density and read depth for genotyping populations using genotyping-by-sequencing. *Genetics* **193**, 1073–1081 (2013).
55. Kujur, A. et al. Employing genome-wide SNP discovery and genotyping strategy to extrapolate the natural allelic diversity and domestication patterns in chickpea. *Front. Plant Sci.* **6**, 162; DOI:10.3389/fpls.2015.00162 (2015).
56. Mayer, K. F. et al. A physical, genetic and functional sequence assembly of the barley genome. *Nature* **491**, 711–716 (2012).
57. Sonah, H. et al. An improved genotyping by sequencing (GBS) approach offering increased versatility and efficiency of SNP discovery and genotyping. *PLoS One* **8**, e54603; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0054603 (2013).
58. Thurber, C. S., Ma, J. M., Higgins, R. H. & Brown, P. J. Retrospective genomic analysis of sorghum adaptation to temperate-zone grain production. *Genome Biol.* **14**, R68; DOI:10.1186/gb-2013-14-6-r68 (2013).
59. Morris, G. P. et al. Population genomic and genome-wide association studies of agroclimatic traits in sorghum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 453–458 (2013).
60. Spindel, J. et al. Bridging the genotyping gap: using genotyping by sequencing (GBS) to add high-density SNP markers and new value to traditional bi-parental mapping and breeding populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **126**, 2769–2776 (2013).
61. Byrne, S. et al. Genome wide allele frequency fingerprints (GWAFs) of populations via genotyping by sequencing. *PLoS One* **8**, e57438; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0057438 (2013).
62. Crossa, J. et al. Genomic prediction in maize breeding populations with genotyping-by-sequencing. *G3 (Bethesda)* **3**, 1903–1926 (2013).
63. Mascher, M. et al. barley exome capture: a tool for genomic research in the genus *Hordeum* and beyond. *Plant J.* **76**, 494–505 (2013).
64. Uittewilligen, J. G. et al. A next-generation sequencing method for genotyping-by-sequencing of highly heterozygous autotetraploid potato. *PLoS One* **8**, e62555; DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062555 (2013).
65. Bastien, M., Sonah, H. & Belzile, F. Genome wide association mapping of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* resistance in soybean with a genotyping-by-sequencing approach. *Genome* **7**, 1–13 (2016).
66. Huang, B. E., Raghavan, C., Mauleon, R., Broman, K. W. & Leung, H. Efficient imputation of missing markers in low-coverage genotyping-by-sequencing data from multi-parental crosses. *Genetics* **197**, 401–404 (2014).
67. Liu, H. et al. An evaluation of genotyping by sequencing (GBS) to map the *Brevirastatiastum-e* (a-e) locus in cultivated barley. *BMC Genomics* **15**, 104; DOI:10.1186/1471-2164-15-104 (2014).
68. Tardivel, A., Sonah, H., Belzile, F. & O’Donoughue, L. S. Rapid identification of alleles at the soybean maturity gene *E3* using genotyping by sequencing and a haplotype-based approach. *Plant Genome* **4**, 106–111 (2011).
69. Richmond, T. A. & Somerville, C. R. The cellulose synthase superfamily. *Plant Physiol.* **124**, 495–498 (2000).
70. Zhao, K. et al. Genome-wide association mapping reveals a rich genetic architecture of complex traits in *Oryza sativa*. *Nat. Commun.* **2**, 467; DOI:10.1038/ncomms1467 (2011).
71. Thudi, M. et al. Genetic dissection of drought and heat tolerance in chickpea through genome-wide and candidate gene-based association mapping approaches. *PLoS One* **9**, e90758; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0090758 (2014).
72. Fan, C. et al. G3, a major QTL for grain length and weight and minor QTL for grain width and thickness in rice, encodes a putative transmembrane protein. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* **112**, 1164–1171 (2006).
73. Mao, H. et al. Linking differential domain functions of the G3 protein to natural variation of grain size in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **107**, 19579–19584 (2010).
74. Li, Y. et al. Natural variation in G3S plays an important role in regulating grain size and yield in rice. *Nat. Genet.* **43**, 1266–1270 (2011).
75. Zhang, X. et al. Rare allele of *OsPPKL1* associated with grain length causes extra-large grain and a significant yield increase in rice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 21534–21539 (2012).
76. Cobos, M. J. et al. Population derived from a *kalubi’s desi* cross. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **151**, 33–42 (2007).
77. Hossain, S., Ford, R., McNeil, D., Pittcock, C. & Panozzo, J. F. Inheritance of seed size in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*) and identification of QTL based on 100-seed weight and seed size index. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* **4**, 126–135 (2010).
78. Komishi, S. et al. An SNP caused loss of seed shattering during rice domestication. *Science* **312**, 1392–1396 (2006).
79. Li, S. et al. Natural variation in *PTBI* regulates rice seed setting rate by controlling pollen tube growth. *Nat. Commun.* **4**, 2793; DOI:10.1038/ncomms3793 (2013).
80. Beeckman, T. et al. Genetic complexity of cellulose synthase a gene function in *Arabidopsis* embryogenesis. *Plant Physiol.* **130**, 1883–1893 (2002).
81. Oh, E. et al. Genome-wide analysis of genes targeted by PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR 3-LIKE5 during seed germination in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **21**, 403–419 (2009).
82. Bischoff, V. et al. Phytochrome regulation of cellulose synthesis in *Arabidopsis*. *Carr. Plant Biol.* **21**, 1822–1827 (2011).
83. Saxena, I. M. & Brown, R. M. Jr. Cellulose synthases and related enzymes. *Carr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **3**, 523–531 (2000).
84. Perrin, R. M. Cellulose: how many cellulose synthases to make a plant? *Carr. Biol. 11*, R213–R216 (2001).
85. Persson, S. et al. Genetic evidence for three unique components in primary cell-wall cellulose synthase complexes in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 15566–15571 (2007).
86. Pruss, S. R. et al. Expression of the *Arabidopsis* islanda *BXX32* gene in soybean increases grain yield. *PLoS One* **7**, e30717; DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0030717 (2012).
87. Doblin, M. S., De Melis, L., Newbiggin, E., Bacic, A. & Read, S. M. Pollen tubes of *Fagard, M.*
88. Goubet, F.*
89. Doblin, M. S., De Melis, L., Newbigin, E., Bacic, A. & Read, S. M. Pollen tubes of *Fagard, M.*
90. Goubet, F.*
91. Doblin, M. S., De Melis, L., Newbigin, E., Bacic, A. & Read, S. M. Pollen tubes of *Fagard, M.*
92. Chen, Z.*
93. Upadhyaya, H. D., Ortiz, R., Bramel, P. J. & Singh, S. Phenotypic diversity for morphological and agronomic characteristics in chickpea core collection. *Euphytica* **123**, 333–342 (2002).
94. Parida, S. K., Mukerji, M., Singh, A. K., Singh, N. K. & Mohapatra, T. SNPs in stress-responsive rice genes: validation, genotyping, functional relevance and population structure. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 426; DOI:10.1186/1471-2164-13-426 (2012).
95. Upadhyaya, H. D., Ortiz, R., Bramel, P. J. & Singh, S. Phenotypic diversity for morphological and agronomic characteristics in chickpea core collection. *Euphytica* **123**, 333–342 (2002).
96. Parida, S. K., Mukerji, M., Singh, A. K., Singh, N. K. & Mohapatra, T. SNPs in stress-responsive rice genes: validation, genotyping, functional relevance and population structure. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 426; DOI:10.1186/1471-2164-13-426 (2012).
Acknowledgments
The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support for this research study provided by a research grant from the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India (102/IFD/SAN/2016-17). Alice Kujur and Shouvik Das acknowledge the CSIR (Council of Scientific and Industrial Research) and the DBT for Junior/Senior Research Fellowship awards.

Author Contributions
A.K., D.B., S.D., R.R., M.S.S. and S.B. conducted all experiments and drafted the manuscript. AK, DB, TS and VK involved in data analysis. H.D.U., S.T., C.G., ShS and S.S. helped in constitution of association panel and performed phenotyping. SKP and AKT conceived and designed the study, guided data analysis and interpretation, participated in drafting and correcting the manuscript critically and gave the final approval of the version to be published. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional Information
Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.nature.com/srep

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Kujur, A. et al. A genome-wide SNP scan accelerates trait-regulatory genomic loci identification in chickpea. Sci. Rep. 5, 11166; doi: 10.1038/srep11166 (2015).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/