A Review of Chemical Contaminants in Marine and Fresh Water Fish in Nigeria

Abimbola Uzomah 1,*, Anne-Katrine Lundbye 2, Marian Kjellevold 2,*, Fubara A. Chuku 3 and Oluwafemi A. Stephen 3

1 Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, P.M.B. 1526, Owerri 460001, Nigeria
2 Institute of Marine Research, P.O. Box 2029 Nordnes, 5817 Bergen, Norway; anne-katrine.lundebye@hi.no
3 Food Safety and Quality Programme, Federal Ministry of Health, Abuja, P.M.B. 083, Abuja 900104, Nigeria; fubara.chuku@yahoo.com (F.A.C.); femistephen@live.co.uk (O.A.S.)

* Correspondence: abimuzomah@yahoo.com (A.U.); marian.kjellevold@hi.no (M.K.);
Tel.: +234-8033360038 (A.U.); +47-40854582 (M.K.)

Abstract: Pollutants in aquatic food are a major global concern for food safety and are a challenge to both national and international regulatory bodies. In the present work, we have reviewed available data on the concentrations of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), persistent organic pollutants, metals, and microplastics in freshwater and marine fish in Nigeria with reference to international maximum levels for contaminants in food and the potential risk to human health. While most of the contaminant levels reported for fish do not imply any health issues, iron and lead may represent potentially toxic levels in fish from specific areas. Studies on PAHs in marine fish are scarce in Nigeria, and the main focus is on the environmental pollution caused by PAHs rather than on their presence in food. The findings suggest that the consumption of smoked *Ethmalosa fimbriata* poses a higher potential carcinogenic risk than the other fish species that were investigated. Most of the other studies on PAHs in smoked fish are focused on the smoking method, and little information is available on the initial level of PAHs prior to the smoking process. Metal contamination in fish appeared to be affected by mineral deposits in the environment and industrial effluents. In general, heavy metal levels in fish are below the maximum levels, while there is limited data available on POPs of relevance to food safety in fish from Nigeria, particularly in terms of dioxins, brominated flame retardants, and fluorinated compounds. Furthermore, there is currently limited information on the levels of microplastics in fish from Nigerian waters. This work revealed the need for a more systematic sampling strategy for fish in order to identify the most vulnerable species, the hot spots of contaminants, and applicable food safety control measures for fish produced and consumed in Nigeria.
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1. Introduction

Nigeria is a vast area of land in West Africa bordered by the Gulf of Guinea and the Bight of Benin along the coastal region. There is an active fishing industry along the coast, which covers the offshore waters between the 30-mile limit and the territorial 200-mile exclusive economic zone (EEZ).

The coastal area is the hub of marine fish production, and the fishing business constitutes a large source of income for many and is the major source of dietary protein for the rural population [1]
Fishery Practices in Nigeria

The coastal region has more than 104 different species of fish of commercial importance (Table 1). Furthermore, inland freshwaters have about 196 species of fish belonging to 105 genera and representing 46 families. These species are dominated by *Pseudotolithus* spp. (croakers), *Brachydeuterus* spp. (grunts), various *Cynoglossus* spp. (sole), and *Arius* spp. (catfish) [2–5].

**Table 1.** Commercially important fish families in Nigeria.

| Environment          | Common Name | Family       | Species                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Marine fish          | Croaker     | Sciaenidae   | *Pseudotolithus typus*, *Pseudotolithus senegalensis*,                   |
|                      |             |              | *Pseudotolithus elongatus*, *Pseudotolithus senegalensis*,              |
|                      |             |              | *Brachydeuterus auratus*, *Selene setapinnis*                          |
| Catfish              | Ariidae     |              | *Carlarius headelotti*, *Arius gigas*,                                 |
|                      |             |              | *Arius latiscutatus*, *Arius parkii*                                  |
| Grunters             | Haemulidae  |              | *Pomadasys jubelini*, *Pomadasys suillus*                               |
|                      |             |              | *Pomadasys incisus*, *Pomadasys perotai*                               |
| Tongue Sole          | Cynoglossidae |              | *Cynoglossus senegalensis*, *Cynoglossus canariensis*                   |
|                      |             |              | *Cynoglossus monodi*, *Cynoglossus browni*                             |
| Threadfins           | Polynemidae |              | *Polydactylus quadridelfis*, *Galeoides decodactylus*                   |
| Jackfish             | Carangidae  |              | *Caranx hippos*, *Caranx crysos*                                       |
|                      |             |              | *Caranx latus*, *Caranx lugubris*                                      |
| Barracudas           | Sphyraenidae |              | *Sphyraena barracuda*, *Sphyraena afra*,                              |
|                      |             |              | *Sphyraena guachancho*                                                 |
|                      |             |              | *Sardinella spp.*                                                      |
| Red snappers         | Lutjanidae  |              | *Lutjanus goreensis*, *Lutjanus fulgens*                               |
|                      |             |              | *Lutjanus aegros*, *Lutjanus dentatus*                                 |
| Groupers             | Serranidae  |              | *Epinephelus aeneus*, *Dentex canariensis*                             |
|                      |             |              | *Dentex angolensis*, *Dentex congoensis*                               |
| Breams               | Sparidae    |              | *Pagrus spp.*, *Pagellus bellotti*, *Pagus spp.*                       |
| Fresh water fish     | Mormyridae  |              | 43 spp.                                                                 |
|                      | Mochokidae  |              | 27 spp.                                                                 |
|                      | Characidae  |              | 25 spp.                                                                 |
|                      | Cichlidae   |              | 19 spp.                                                                 |
|                      | Cyprinidae  |              | 32 spp.                                                                 |

Source: Olaoye and Ojebiyi [4].
Fish production comes from three main sources, namely artisanal, industrial (trawlers), and domestic (aquaculture) fisheries. Artisanal fisheries are well-established along the coastal areas, creeks, lagoons, inshore, and the inland waterways. They are a better income-generating source for the communities along the coastal area than the industrial fisheries [6–8]. The fish production in Nigeria from 2010 to 2015 is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Fish production (metric tons) from different sectors.

| S/No | SECTOR/YEAR                | 2011   | 2012   | 2013   | 2014   | 2015   |
|------|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1    | ARTISANAL                 |        |        |        |        |        |
|      | Coastal and Brackish water| 346,381| 370,918| 418,537| 435,384| 382,964|
|      | Inland: Rivers and Lakes  | 292,105| 297,836| 326,393| 324,444| 311,903|
|      | Sub-Total                 | 638,486| 668,754| 744,930| 759,828| 694,867|
| 2    | AQUACULTURE               | 221,128| 253,898| 278,706| 313,231| 316,727|
| 3    | INDUSTRIAL                |        |        |        |        |        |
|      | Fish (Inshore)            | 19,736 | 27,977 | 37,652 | 29,237 | 10,727 |
|      | Shrimp (Inshore)          | 13,749 | 17,654 | 22,219 | 20,715 | 4737   |
|      | EEZ                       | -      | -      | -      | -      | -      |
|      | Sub-Total                 | 33,485 | 45,631 | 59,871 | 49,952 | 15,464 |
|      | TOTAL                     | 893,099| 968,283| 1,083,507| 1,123,011| 1,027,058|

Source: Nigeria’s Fish Production: 2010–2015 [8].

Industrial fish production is the lowest, while artisanal fish capture covers the coastal and brackish water as well as the rivers and lakes and is much larger than the aquaculture and industrial sectors (Figure 1) [8]. The torpedo-shaped catfish, *Clarias* spp. is the most produced fish. Other farmed species with high production are the *Hemichromes/Oreochromis, Heterbranchus, Cyprinidae*, and *Osteichthye* species. The production volumes reflect the consumption patterns of the local people [1,7,9–13]. In 2013, it was reported that fish made up 40% of the country’s protein intake, with an estimated consumption of 13.3 kg/person/year [11]. There is, however, limited information on the accuracy of consumption data. The study by Adeniyi et al. (2012) [12] on the consumption patterns of fish among households was for a small population in a local government area in Ibadan, Oyo State. These authors associated the low socio-economic status of the people with their choice of fish, which was found to be the most affordable animal protein in the study area. A study of a larger sample size with wider geographical coverage is required for a better understanding of the fish consumption patterns of Nigerians.

Over the few past years, there has been a gradual decline in the populations of the fish species listed in Table 1 [4]. This has been attributed to overfishing and environmental pollution [5,13,14]. Some of the pollution sources are from anthropogenic activities such as oil exploration, which include pipeline rupture, oil well blowouts, seepages, tanker accidents, ballast water and refinery wastewater, sabotage of operational facilities, oil spillage, and gas flaring [15,16]. These pollutants include PAHs, persistent organic pollutants (POPs), pesticides, metals, and, more recently, plastic waste.

This report reviewed available data on contaminants in freshwater and marine fish in Nigeria. It reflects the degree of the environmental pollution in fish consumed in Nigeria with reference to the international maximum levels and the potential risk to human health. The report is divided into four parts based on the nature of the contaminants:

(i) Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs);
(ii) Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs);
(iii) Metals;
(iv) Microplastics.
2. Materials and Methods

Relevant peer-reviewed publications were sourced for information regarding the contaminants in marine and freshwater fish consumed in Nigeria. Academic search engines that were accessed include, Proquest, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar, Microsoft Academy, and CORE, inter alia. Keywords including Nigeria, PAHs, POPs, metals, microplastics, marine-fish, freshwater fish, pollutants, and contaminants were used. The most relevant publications were those with analytical data on specific contaminants in fresh and marine water fish consumed by Nigerians. Similar data within the same period (year and season) or same location were collectively reported. Selection was also based on the year of publication, and recent publications were prioritised, except where the chronological information is important. Over 300 articles were accessed, and 130 were found to contain relevant information. A summary of the fish species analysed for contaminants is presented in Table 3. The analytical method used to determine the PAHs and POPs was gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC/MS). The different studies applied different detectors such as flame ionization detectors (FID), electron capture detectors (ECD), and mass selective detectors (MSD). HPLC was rarely used. Metal analysis was performed using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) and inductively coupled mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Studies on the detection of microplastics in fish tissue were limited, and the analytical procedure used a fluorescence stereo zoom microscope (FSZM) for the analyses. The sampling schemes, handling, and storage were conducted according to standard procedures (Table 3).

Table 3. Summary of the fish species and their sources assessed for contaminants.

| Fish Species | Sample Size | Location | Analytical Method Used | Reference | Year Published |
|--------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Periophthalmocephaloides | 30          | Akwa-Ibom State | GC/MS, GC/FID          | [17]      | 2013           |
| Caranx hippos |             |          |                        | [18]      | 2016           |
| Chrysiptus nigrodigitatus |           |          |                        |           |                |
| Lutjanus dentatus |             |          |                        |           |                |
| ETHANOLOSA FMBRIATA |           |          |                        |           |                |
| Lutjanus agenes |             |          |                        |           |                |
| Mugil cephalus |             |          |                        |           |                |
| Pomadasys jubelini |           |          |                        |           |                |
| Sparroidon melanotheron |           |          |                        |           |                |
| Scomberomorus trito |           |          |                        |           |                |
| Sphyraena barracuda |           |          |                        |           |                |
| Tarpont atlanticus |           |          |                        |           |                |
| Tilapia guineensis |           |          |                        |           |                |
### Table 3. Cont.

| Fish Species | Sample Size | Location | Analytical Method Used | Reference | Year Published |
|--------------|-------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Arius heudeloti | -           | Lagos State (smoked fish) | GC/FID | [18] | 2016 |
| Cynoglossus senegalensis Haake | -           | Benue State | GC/MS | [23] | 2015 |
| Arius heudeloti Blunt hake | 1074        | Ogun River, Ogun State | HPLC GC/FID | [21] | 2011 |
| Clarias gariepinus | 92          | Edo State | GC/ECD, GC/MS-MS | [31] | 2009 |
| Euthalosa fimbriata | 60         | Niger Delta | GC/FID GC/ECD | [25] | 2017 |
| Scomber scombrus | 5          | Lagos State (smoked fish) | GC/FID | [22] | 2021 |
| Tilapia zilli | -           | Oyo State (Freshwater sources) | NA | [26] | 2019 |
| Oryochromis niloticus Sarotherodon galileaus Tilapia guinensis, | 155        | Lagos Lagoon | GC/MS | [33] | 2019 |
| Clarias gariepinus Tilapia zilli | -           | Lagos Lagoon | GC/ECD, GC/MS-MS | [31] | 2009 |
| Clarias gariepinus Neochanna diversus | -          | Lagos Lagoon | GC/ECD, GC/MS-MS | [32] | 2009 |
| Sarotherodon malanotheron | 40          | Oyo State (Freshwater sources) | NA | [27] | 2008 |
| Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus | -           | Lagos Lagoon | GC/ECD, GC/MS-MS | [33] | 2019 |
| Ethmalosa fimbriata | -           | Lagos Lagoon | GC/ECD, GC/MS-MS | [31] | 2009 |
| Pseudotolithus senegalensis | -          | Lagos Lagoon | GC/ECD | [39] | 2018 |
| Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus Pristipoma jubelini Drapane Africana Lutjanus gorenisis Mochokus niloticus Mugil cepalus Pseudotolithus senegalensis Sphyraena piscatorum Vomex septapinis | 60         | Niger Delta | GC/FID GC/ECD | [25] | 2017 |
| METAL CONTAMINANTS |            |           |                        |           |                |
| Clarias gariepinus | -           | River Niger | AAS | [40] | 2014 |
| Plectorhynchus mediterraneus Pseudotolithus typus Pentanemus quinquarius Pseudotolithus senegalensis Trichirus lepturus | -           | Ondo State | AAS | [42] | 2015 |
| Bathygobious soporator Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus Liza falcipinnis Mugil cepalus | -           | Lagos Lagoon | ICP-MS | [44] | 2017 |
| Clarias gariepinus Malapterurus electricus Tilapia zilli | -           | Anambra State | AAS | [46] | 2019 |
Table 3. Cont.

| Fish Species                        | Sample Size | Location                  | Analytical Method Used | Reference | Year Published |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| MICROPLASTICS                       |             |                           |                        | [48]      | 2020           |
| Coptodon zillii                     | 109         | Oyo State (municipal water)| FSCM                   | NA        |                |
| Hemichromis fasiatus                |             |                           |                        | [49]      |                |
| Lates niloticus                    |             |                           |                        |           |                |
| Oreochromis niloticus              |             |                           |                        |           |                |
| Paranchanna obscura                 |             |                           |                        |           |                |
| Sarotheron melanotheron             |             |                           |                        |           |                |
| Chrysicthys nigrodigitatus          |             |                           |                        |           |                |

* The samples also included other types of seafood (Crassostrea virginica and Littorina littorea). NA: Not Applicable (the publication is not a technical paper).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

The major sources of environmental PAH contamination have been largely attributed to anthropogenic activities, particularly in the oil producing states in Nigeria [50,51].

PAHs and their derivatives can be characterized by their genotoxic and carcinogenic potential. The 16 PAHs that are usually analyzed for environmental pollution are naphthalene (Nap), acenaphthylene (Acy), acenaphthene (Ace), fluorene (Fre), phenanthrene (Ph), anthracene (An), fluoranthene (Fla), pyrene (Py), benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), chrysene (Chr), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), indeno [1,2,3-cd]pyrene (Ind), dibenz[a,h]anthracene (DahA), and benzo[g,h,i]perylene (BghiP) [20]. These are all exogenous PAHs from polluted agricultural land and air (atmospheric). However for food (and human health), the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) included the following PAHs based on concerns for human health: benzo[a]anthracene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo[j]fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, benzo[g,h,i]perylene, chrysene, cyclopenta[c,d]pyrene, dibenz[a,h]anthracene, dibenzo[a,e]pyrene and dibenzo(a,h)pyrene, dibenzo(a,i)pyrene, dibenzo(a,l)pyrene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, 5-methylchrysene, and benzo(c)fluorene [52].

3.1.1. PAHs in Fish from Fresh and Brackish Water

The degree of contamination is strongly associated with the level of pollutants in the water; hence, many studies have focused on monitoring the levels of PAHs in different aquatic environments. There are three rivers (Sime, Kporghor and Iko) in Akwa-Ibom state in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria that are well known for petroleum pollution from refinery and pipeline vandalization [24]. From these locations, 16 PAHs (naphthalene, acenaphthylene, acenaphthene, fluorene, phenanthrene, anthracene, fluoranthene, pyrene, benzo[a]anthracene, chrysene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo[k]fluoranthene, benzo[a]pyrene, indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene, dibenzo[a,h]anthracene, and benzo[g,h,i]perylene) were analyzed in the edible tissues of 30 species of fish and other seafood (periwinkles (Littorina littorea) and oysters (Crassostrea virginica)) commonly consumed in these communities [24]. The mean PAH concentration in P. koelreuteri from the Iko coastal waters was 49 µg/kg (wet weight). This value exceeded the EU maximum level for sum PAH4 (sum of BaP, BaA, BbF, and chrysene) in smoked fish of 12 µg/kg wet wt. [53]. From the Sime River, the detected PAHs ranged from below the level of detection (LOD) to 22 µg/kg wet wt. in Littorina littorea, from the LOD to 87 µg/kg wet wt. in Crassostrea virginica, and from LOD to 171 µg kg⁻¹ wet wt. in Periophthalmus koelreuteri. The highest average concentration of 171 g kg⁻¹ wet wt. was recorded for Indeno from Sime waters. These rivers are generally heavily polluted by anthropogenic activities in the surrounding communities, which has resulted in the different levels of PAHs in the fish samples. In the Sime River, C. virginica accumulated significantly lower (p < 0.05) concentrations of total PAHs than L. littorea and P. koelreuteri [18].
There were twelve fish samples from the brackish water of Lagos Lagoon (a highly polluted site) that had high levels of PAHs (di, tri and tetra-aromatic isomers of naphthalene, acenaphthylene, phenanthrene, fluoranthene, pyrene, benzo(a)-anthracene, and chrysene) [19]. The fish species investigated were *Caranx hippos*, *Mugil cephalus*, *Sphyraena barracuda*, *Sarotherodon melanotheron*, *Tilapia guineensis*, *Pomadasys jubelini*, *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus*, and *Lutjanus dentatus*. The highest mean concentration of PAHs (275 µg/kg dry wt.) was found in *Mugil cephalus* while 48 and 30 ng/g dry wt. were reported for *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* and *Tilapia guineensis*, respectively.

Studies on PAHs in marine fish are scarce in Nigeria, as the main focus is on environmental PAH pollution rather than on their presence in food. The detrimental effects of these contaminants on fish populations have been reported [17,19]. However, the effects of different remediation actions on PAH levels in fish have not been fully documented.

3.1.2. PAHs in Smoked Fish

PAHs can also be produced during food processing such as grilling, roasting, smoking, and barbecuing [21,22,34]. Fish smoking is highly practised as a means of prolonging shelf-life, enhancing flavour, and increasing utilization [26]. Nigeria produces 194,000 metric tons of dried fish annually, 61% of which is smoked fish [21]. Since smoking is a major source of PAH contamination in fish, the health risks associated with the consumption of smoked fish in Nigeria may be high [30,32]. The impact of the smoking techniques on the amount and type of PAHs that are generated, however, varies [24]. Silva et al. [21], reported the effect of using sawdust, charcoal, and firewood for smoking on the PAH levels in three species of fish (*Arius heude loti*, *Cynoglossus senegalensis* and hake). While charcoal with minimal production of smoke, gave the lowest concentration of sum PAHs, the sawdust on the other hand gave the highest level of PAHs. This was attributed to the pyrolysis of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin and the limited availability of oxygen at the high processing temperature (>7000 C) [34,36]. The sum PAHs obtained is also related to the oil content of the fish species [26,38]. During fish smoking, the fish oil drips into the fire, and pyrolytic compounds are released. However, this can be controlled if the oil is prevented from dripping into the fire during the smoking process.

A similar study using traditional smoking methods, was carried out by Ubwa et al., (2015) [23]. Five fish species *Arius heudelet*, *Cynoglossus senegalensis*, *Clarias gariepinus*, *Blunt hawke* and *Mud minnow* were obtained from a fish farm in Benue state and analysed for the presence of 16 priority PAHs [23]. The results showed the highest sum PAH concentration in fish smoked with sawdust. The PAH levels in fish smoked with sawdust ranged from 815–1550 µg/kg, followed by fish smoked with firewood (738–994 µg/kg) and charcoal producing fish with the lowest PAH concentrations (135–614 µg/kg). The benzo(a)pyrene (BaP) concentration in *Arius heude loti* was 5.7 µg/kg and the BaP concentration in mud minnow was 5.4 µg/kg, a direct effect of using sawdust [23]. These values exceed the EU maximum level for BaP in smoked fish of 2 µg/kg [55]. In line with these findings, Tongo et al., (2017) [25] reported the presence of PAHs in the tissue of four smoked fish species (*Clarias gariepinus*, *Ethmalosa fimbriata*, *Tilapia zilli*, and *Scomber scombrus*) obtained from three major markets (Oreogbe, New Benin and Santana markets) in Edo State. *S. scombrus* had the highest sum PAHs concentration while the sum PAHs concentrations were 0.7, 1.0, 0.7, and 3.6 µg/kg in *C. gariepinus*, *T. zilli*, *E. fimbriata*, and *S. scombrus*, respectively. The estimated cumulative excess cancer risk index for *E. fimbriata* and *C. gariepinus* were higher than that of the other smoked fish species and the values exceeded the USEPA’s acceptable cancer risk level of 10⁻⁶ [25,41]. The findings suggest that consumption of smoked *E. fimbriata* poses a higher potential carcinogenic risk than the other fish species investigated. Most of the studies on PAHs in smoked fish are focused on the smoking method and little information is available on the initial level of PAHs prior to the smoking. In some other cases, the source of the smoked fish and the method of smoking are not indicated [25].
3.2. Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)

The environmental pollutants commonly called Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) include compounds previously synthesized for use as pesticides and halogenated industrial compounds. These compounds can resist chemical and microbial degradation and can persist for a long time in the environment. Being lipophilic in nature, they readily bioaccumulate in the fatty tissues of organisms except for perfluorinated contaminants that bind to proteins. These compounds can be transported far beyond the point of use or application.

POPs can be categorized into four major groups [43]:

- Pesticides (organochlorine pesticides, OCPs): aldrin, chlordane, DDT, dieldrin, endrin, heptachlor, hexachlorobenzene, mirex, toxaphene;
- Industrial chemicals: hexachlorobenzene (HCB), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs);
- By-products: hexachlorobenzene, polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDD) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDF), and dl-PCBs;
- Brominated flame retardants and perfluorinated compounds.

Organochlorine pesticides (OCPs) have been widely used by farmers for pest control. They are synthetic, non-polar, toxic, and environmentally persistent dichlorodiphenylethane, cycodiene or chlorinated benzenes. The PCDD, PCDF, and PCBs, are a family of complex chlorinated compounds with similar structures and biological activity of which 29 (7 of the 75 PCDD compounds, 10 of the 135 PCDF compounds, and 12 of the 209 PCB compounds) have been identified as having dioxin-like toxicity [45]. The health challenges associated with these chemicals led to the ban of PCB [47], however dioxins are unintentional by-products. Brominated flame retardants, mainly the polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDE (e.g., pentabromodiphenyl ether (PentaBDE), and octabromodiphenyl ethers (OctaBDE)) belong to a class of POPs banned in the Stockholm Convention [49]. These were subsequently replaced with novel brominated flame retardants (NBFRs) and organophosphorous flame retardants (OPFRs) [51].

Most POPs (with the exception of those which are by-products, e.g., dioxins) are components of different industrial and commercial appliances as well as additives in pesticides, plasticizers in paints, plastics, rubber products, etc. [54]. The challenge in Nigeria, and globally, is the resistance of these compounds to degradation and the leaching from disposal systems and landfills [55,56]. In Nigeria, there are efforts both from national regulatory agencies and international intervention to deal with POPs in landfills [57]. Humans are exposed to these compounds through the food chain, inhalation of air (outdoors, indoors and at the workplace), and from occupational and accidental exposure [27]. In water, they are taken up by phytoplankton, then fish (bioaccumulation and bio-magnification processes particularly in oily fish). The concentrations of POPs in fish differs among ecosystem (marine versus inland waters) and may be lower in fish from aquaculture because of the controlled environment and feed composition.

3.2.1. POPs in Fish from Different Water Bodies

Fresh Water Sources

Ibor et al., 2016 [58], analyzed PCB concentrations in muscle tissue of tilapia species (Tilapia guineensis, Sarotherodon galileaus and Oreochromis niloticus) from four sites along the Ogun River. Significantly higher PCB concentrations were found in fish from the three polluted sites compared to the control site, with sum PCB (15 congeners) varying between 359–4636 µg/kg and 24–28 µg/kg, respectively. A causal relationship between endocrine disruption and contaminant burden (PCB and lindane) was observed in the tilapia species from the Ogun River [59]. The source of the contaminants was attributed to industrial activities and runoff to the river from the surrounding farmlands [60].

There were two fish species (Tilapia zilli and Clarias gariepinus) from the Illushi, Owan and Ogbesse rivers in Edo State that were analysed for pesticide residues: α-BHC, γ-BHC (lindane), β-BHC, heptachlor, aldrin, heptachlor epoxide, endosulfan, dieldrin, endrin, DDT, atrazine, phosphomethylglycine, and canbofuranc [29]. The study lasted for
18 months and aimed to assess the possible effects of seasonal variation. The levels of pesticide residues were higher in the tissues of *Clarias gariepinus* (5.5–10 µg/g wet weight) than in *Tilapia zilli* (3.5–5 µg/g wet wt.), while the most dominant residue was the persistent organochlorine ΣBHC. These authors attributed the occurrence and concentration of the residues in the fish samples to the feeding mode, age, and mobility of the biota [61,62]. *Clarias gariepinus* was mainly exposed to the contaminants from foraging in the sediments [63]. In a similar study, Chukwuuka et al., (2019) [64], assessed pesticide levels and pathological alterations in reproductive tissue in three fish species (one pelagic: *Tilapia zilli* (n = 92) and two benthic: *Neochanna diversus* (n = 59), *Clarias gariepinus* (n = 68)) from the Owan River in Edo State, which receives run-off from surrounding farmland treated with pesticides. Their findings showed that pesticide levels were higher in the tissues of benthic species than in pelagic fish. They also observed damage and anomalies in ovarian and testicular tissues in both the benthic and pelagic fish samples and attributed this to exposure to pesticides in the surface water and sediment [63]. The levels of the pesticide residue in the water exceeded the maximum limits (EPA, 2004), as cited by Adeboyejo 2011 [63]. Moslen et al., (2019) [28], reported a strong correlation between pesticide (dieldrin and endrin) concentrations in fish samples and sediment.

Endocrine disruptive contaminants and alterations in reproductive development were assessed in the tilapia *Sarotherodon melanotheron* [33]. Sediment samples and a total of 155 fish (74 males and 81 females) were collected from selected sites along the Lagos Lagoon, where two of the locations were heavily polluted, and the third site had some degree of pollution control. The results showed significantly higher concentrations of lindane, dieldrin, 4-iso-nonylphenol, 4-t-octylphenol, and monobutyltin in the two contaminated sites. The authors correlated the endocrine responses in fish to the contaminant concentrations in the sediment, and these reports corroborated the findings of Ibor et al. (2017) [59].

The occurrence and effect of persistent organochlorine pesticides in the ecosystem is of major concern, not only in Nigeria, but also in several other African countries [65].

**Brackish Water**

In 2008, Adeyemi et al. [35] found concentrations of OCPs in *Tilapia zilli* (red belly tilapia), *Ethmalosa fimbriata* (bonga shad), and *Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus* (catfish) from the Lagos Lagoon and delta to be below the residue limit of 5 mg/kg set by CODEX, (1997), except in the case of HCHs. Similar findings were reported by Adeyemi et al. (2009) [31], whereas higher concentrations of organochlorine pesticides were found in croaker fish, *Pseudotolithus semegalensis*, and *Pseudotolithus typus* from the Lagos Lagoon, [31,35]. The dominant BHC was β-BHC, in the order of β-BHC > lindane > δ-BHC > α-BHC. The total DDT concentration followed the order p,p′-DDT > p,p′-DDD > p,p′-DDE. The high p,p′- DDT levels detected in this study were in contrast to earlier findings [66], which showed that p,p′-DDE was the major DDT metabolite in aquatic species. The concentrations of these OCPs were however found to be below the maximum limits of the FAO/WHO (2005) and USEPA (2006) [67,68].

Pesticide residue in fish from brackish water was found to be higher in the dry season than in the wet season [69], and a similar observation has been reported for fish from pond water [70]. Williams and Unyimadu (2013) [71] related the bioaccumulation of OCPs to the type of fish species and gender variation of the fish in brackish water. They found higher concentrations of OCPs in African Moony (*Psettias sebae*) than in Bonga fish (*Ethmalosa fimbriata*) and higher concentrations in males than in females. The sum concentration of organochlorine pesticides in female *Ethmalosa fimbriata* was 5.7 µg/kg (wet wt.) and the maximum found in male fish was 3005 µg/kg.

Williams and Anake (2013) [72] observed higher concentrations of organochlorine pesticides in snapper (*Lutjanus goreensis*), herring (*Sardinella maderensis*), and oarfish (*Regalecus glesne*) from the brackish water of the Lagos Lagoon during the dry season. The order of the pesticide concentrations in muscle tissues of these species was *Regalecus glesne* > *Sardinella maderensis* > *Lutjanus goreensis*. The highest chlorinated hydrocarbon concentra-
tion (6181 µg/kg wet wt.) was in Regalecus glesne. This concentration was higher than the levels recorded by other researchers [39,70,71,73] in fish samples from various sites along the coastal region from Lagos and Ogun States in the South-West [67] and along the coastal region of the South-South and South-East region of Nigeria [68,69,71].

Unyimadu et al. (2018) [39] investigated OCP concentrations in 60 fish samples from the brackish water of the Niger Delta. A total of six individuals of each of the following ten species: Drapane africana, Mochokus niloticus, Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus, Pristipoma jubelini, Vomer septapinis, Pseudotolithus senegalensis, Mugil cephalus, Pseudotolithus elongatus, Sphyraena piscatorum, and Lutjanus goreensis, were investigated. The OCPs that were analysed were: α-BHC, β-BHC, γ-BHC, δ-BHC, endrin, endrin aldehyde, endrin ketone, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, aldrin, dieldrin, endosulfan I, endosulfan II, endosulfan sulfate methoxychlor, α-chlordane, γ-chlordane, DDE, DDT, and DDT. 

Drapane africana had the highest mean concentration of OCPs (Σ4302 µg/kg fresh wt.), with a range of 2237–6368 µg/kg. The lowest concentration was found in Mochokus niloticus with a mean value of 2320 µg/kg and range of 1006–3288 µg/kg. The authors noted that the WHO/FAO guideline of 2000 µg/kg fresh weight was exceeded, suggesting a potential health risk to humans. The ten fish species were also analyzed for National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Agency (ΣNOAA) PCBs (sum of 27 congeners). The highest concentration of ΣNOAA PCBs (1830.0 ± 484.0 µg/kg) was detected in Vomer septapinis, while the lowest concentration (795 ± 169.3 µg/kg) was found in Pseudotolithus senegalensis [74]. These findings show that fish samples, irrespective of the water source (fresh or brackish water), contain significant levels of organochlorine pesticides. However, there is limited data available on levels of certain POPs of relevance to food safety in fish from Nigeria, particularly dioxins, brominated flame retardants, and fluorinated compounds.

Marine Water

POP levels in marine fish were reported by Osibanjo et al., (1990) [61], who analyzed 94 samples of 25 marine fish species between 1983 and 1985 and subsequently 14 samples of 7 shellfish species in 1987. Their study did not indicate any significant variation between the years but revealed higher concentrations of aldrin, heptachlor, HCB, and lindane in fish than in shellfish, while the levels of DDT and PCBs were higher in shellfish. They also showed that predatory fish had higher concentrations of pesticide residues in their muscle tissues than plankton feeders. Further studies on POP levels in fish and shellfish from Nigerian waters are warranted.

3.3. Metals

Anthropogenic sources of heavy metals in aquatic ecosystems in Nigeria include effluents from the petroleum industry and agricultural discharge [75–85]. In some cases, the concentrations of metals were found to exceed the maximum permitted levels, implying potential health risks to aquatic organisms and human consumers. Nsofor et al. (2014) [40] investigated metal levels in the water and in catfish samples from three stations in the River Niger (Table 4). While the concentrations of zinc and copper in the fish were below the maximum limits [86], the iron concentrations in fish exceeded the limits in both the dry and wet seasons. Higher metal concentrations have been reported in both water samples and fish samples in the wet season compared to the dry season [87]. Similarly, the presence of Cd, Mn, Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, and Pb have been reported in Tilapia zillii fish from the freshwater catchment area of the River Niger around the Ajaokuta Steel Company [88].
Table 4. Concentrations of zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), and lead (Pb) in river water and catfish from the River Niger.

| Metal | Dry Season | Wet Season | WHO (1984) |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|
|       | River Niger | Catfish    | River Niger | Catfish    |
| Zn    | 0.261 ± 0.066 | 3.5 ± 0.432 | 0.36 ± 0.089 | 4.423 ± 0.693 | 5 |
| Fe    | 1.41 ± 1.182 | 4.73 ± 0.221 | 1.59 ± 1.306 | 5.731 ± 1.205 | 0.3 |
| Cu    | 0.008 ± 0.005 | 0.60 ± 0.113 | 0.05 ± 0.077 | 1.146 ± 0.343 | 1 |
| Pb    | ND          | 0.04 ± 0.007 | ND          | 0.416 ± 0.472 | 0.05 |

Source: Nsofor and Ikpeze (2014) [40].

Olusola and Festus (2015) [42] assessed Cd, Cu, Cr, Ni, Pb, and Zn contaminants in the muscle, gills, eye, bone, and head of five fish species (*Pentanemus quinquarius*, *Pseudololithus senegalensis*, *Trichirus lepturus*, *Plectorhynchus mediterraneus*, and *Pseudololithus typus*) from the coastal waters of Ondo State in the Ilaje local government area (LGA) along the Atlantic Ocean shoreline [42]. Cd (ranged from below the limit of detection (LOD) to 1.14 mg/kg) and Pb (from <LOD to 0.71 mg/kg) were found to exceed the Codex Alimentarius maximum levels [86]. The coastal waters of the Ilaje LGA have been exposed to various oil exploration activities and industrial effluent, which may have impacted the aquatic organisms, therefore acting as a potential health hazard. Furthermore, the Ondo State coastal land area is rich in bitumen, and the high concentration of heavy metals and possibly PAHs in this region have been largely attributed to bitumen deposits [89].

Similarly, Abarshi et al., (2017) [90] assessed the presence of Cu, Ni, Zn, Pb, Mn, Fe, and Cd in the organs (liver, gills, and muscle) of fish samples obtained from the Finima Creek and Bonny River in Rivers State. High concentrations of heavy metals were found in fish samples from the two areas, while the highest concentrations were in the fish from the Finima Creek. Metal concentrations (µg/g dry wt.) in the fish muscle from the Finima Creek were Cu (5.75 ± 1.65); Zn (124.50 ± 4.34), Fe (565.60 ± 11.89), Mn (43.72 ± 3.42), Ni (30.00 ± 2.27), and Pb (5.00 ± 0.62), and the metal concentrations from the fish in the Bonny River were Cu (3.50 ± 0.77), Zn (14.00 ± 1.55), Fe (102.00 ± 4.20), Mn (9.34 ± 1.27), Ni (5.33 ± 0.96), and Pb (0.20 ± 0.05), respectively. The concentrations of Cu, Zn, and Fe were in the order of liver > gills > muscle, whereas Mn, Ni, Pb, and Cd distributions were in the order of gills > liver > muscle. These concentrations, except for Cd, exceeded the maximum levels in fish [86]. While Cd was not detectable, Pb concentrations in the samples from the Finima Creek were 100 times higher than the maximum level and four times higher than those in fish from the Bonny River. The authors attributed the high metal concentrations to the frequent oil spills and industrial effluents discharged into these rivers and the Finima Creek [90]. These creeks are known for pollution from oil spills and various forms of anthropogenic activities. The findings of Abarshi et al. (2017) [90] are comparable to those of Türkmena et al. (2009) [91]. These researchers reported that the metal contaminants found in the muscle of fish samples from the Aegean in the Mediterranean Sea, were generally lower than those obtained from the liver.

Arsenic was detected in four demersal fish species (*Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus*, *Mugil cephalus*, *Liza falcipinnis*, and *Bathygobious soporator*) from the Lagos Lagoon, with a positive correlation between the arsenic concentrations in fish muscle and water during the dry and wet seasons [44]. However, the health risk associated with the fish species is not considered significant since the target hazard quotient (THQ) was below 1, indicating that there is no cancer risk associated with the consumption of these fish species [92]. It was also noted that the level of arsenic found in the water during the wet and dry season did not exceed the (WHO) limit of 10 µg/L [86,92].

Concentrations of metals (Cd, Zn, Pb, and Hg) were analyzed in the gills, muscle and intestine from three fish species, *Tilapia zillii*, *Malapterurus electricus* and *Clarias gariepinus* from the River Niger in Onitsha, Anambra State [46]. The concentrations detected were far below the maximum levels set by the Codex Alimentarius [86]. Similarly, in their study of metals in *C. anguillaris*, *H. niloticus*, and *T. zilli* from Geriyo lake Yola Nigeria, Bawuro...
et al. [93] showed that the gills are the target organ for Zn, Cu, and Pb. On the other hand, Kamaruzzaman et al. (2010) [94] reported that the concentrations of Zn, Cu, and Pb follow the order stomach > muscle > gills in S. leptolepis, E. affinis, P. niger, L. malabaricus, E. sexfasciatus, R. kanagurta, N. japonicus, and M. cordylafrom from the Pahang coastal water in Malaysia. The concentrations of metals in several fish samples were found to be low [46,95–98]. Copat et al. (2013) [99] reported similarly low levels of Cd and Pb in shellfish samples from the eastern Mediterranean Sea. The maximum levels set by the European Commission for human consumption were not exceeded in the fish species that were analyzed. The liver and gills generally contain higher levels of metals compared to other organs as a result of environmental pollution. Generally, heavy metals are accumulated and biomagnified through the food chain. In aquatic systems, predatory fish generally have the highest levels of heavy metals, particularly mercury [100]. Apart from the human health risk associated with metal exposure from fish consumption, the potential effects on fish health warrant investigation, particularly in locations where there are large deposits of metals.

3.4. Microplastics

Over the last decade, marine plastic debris has become a global concern. The use of plastics dates back to the 20th century when it was first synthesized as a product called ‘Bakelite’, and its production began in earnest at the end of World War II and increased to about 5 million tons annually [101,102]. Over the years, waste generated from plastics has become a major environmental concern, particularly in marine ecosystems [103]. The biodegradation of plastics is slow, particularly in the ocean, where the temperature is low [104].

Microplastics in Fish

The annual input of plastic entering the ocean from waste generated by 192 coastal countries worldwide has been quantified [105], and Nigeria was ranked 9th, producing 13% of global plastic waste, 0.85 MMT/year of mismanaged plastic waste (2.7% of the global total) and 0.13–0.34 MMT/year of plastic marine debris. Nigeria reportedly generated 42 million tons of solid waste of the total 62 million tons generated by Sub-Saharan Africa [106,107]. About 20% of the landfills in Nigeria is waste from polythene materials, plastic water bottles, water sachets, and plastic bags [108–110]. Lagos alone contributes 450,000 tons of plastic waste that enter into the ocean environment annually [111].

There is very limited data available regarding microplastics in fish from Nigeria; however, Adeogun et al. (2020) [48] recently published the first report on microplastics in the stomachs of commonly consumed fish species from a municipal water source (Eleeyele Lake) in Ibadan (Oyo State) in southwestern Nigeria. They highlighted the presence of ingested plastics of various sizes in the fish stomachs. A total of 109 fish samples belonging to eight species (Coptodon zillii, Oreochromis niloticus, Sarotheron melanotheron, Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus, Lates niloticus, Paranchanna obscura, Hemichromis fasciatus, and Hepsetus odoe) were analyzed from different habitats and trophic levels. All of the species except Hemichromis fasciatus had microplastics in their stomachs. The highest occurrence of microplastics was in the benthopelagic fish species, O. niloticus (34%), C. zillii (32%), and S. melanotheron (13%). The lowest occurrence was found in P. obscura, L. niloticus, and H. odoe (5%). The sizes of the microplastic particles detected in the fish ranged from 1 µm–1.5 mm. The largest range in particle size (126 µm–1.5 mm) was detected in C. zillii, and the lowest (1–1.53 µm) was detected in H. odoe. Feeding on plankton and aquatic plants were correlated with the wide range of microplastic sizes. It was also shown that feeding mode and trophic levels were important variables for the particle size of the ingested microplastics. A higher occurrence of microplastics was found in the benthopelagic species compared to pelagic/demersal species [55], which is comparable to findings of other studies [112–116].
Similar findings were reported from Ghana by Adika et al. (2020) [117]. These researchers showed that industrially produced pellets were the most prevalent microplastics in the fish species that were analyzed (31%) followed by microbeads (29%) and burnt film plastics (22%) while microfibers (2%), threads (2%), and foams (<0.1%) were the least frequently occurring microplastic particles.

Even though ingested plastics have been reported in the stomach contents of fish from Nigeria, their presence in muscle tissue and their potential impact on food safety remains unclear. Other potential health challenges of microplastics in seafood are with associated chemicals such as bisphenol A, phthalates, flame retardants, and other toxic monomers [118,119] as well as hydrophobic contaminants (POPs) and pathogens [120–125]. Other challenges include:

- The ability to establish the mechanisms by which bioaccumulated plastics through the food chain could affect humans;
- An evidence-based approach in determining the levels of chemicals from plastic-waste that are detrimental to humans;
- The identification of humans who are more vulnerable to the impacts of plastic waste;
- How to balance the cost and benefits of mitigating these problems [123,126].

In response to some of these challenges, Nigerian lawmakers passed a bill on the use of plastic bags called the “Plastic Bags (Prohibition) Bill 2018”, where action was to be taken to reduce pollution caused by discarded polythene bags on landfills, thereby protecting both people and the environment. However, there have been no sustainable strategies for the effective implementation of the bill, coupled with the weak structure of the regulatory agencies for the control of waste generated from plastics.

4. Conclusions

This report includes the contaminant levels of 49 different species of fish from coastal Nigeria even though Nigeria has more than 104 different species of commercial importance, including inland and farmed fish [8], demonstrating the lack of published data on many species. The main locations associated with pollutants are the coastal waters of the Lagos Lagoon in Lagos State (which serves as a sink for most of the industrial effluent and domestic water waste), the coastal area of the Ogun and Ondo States, and the South-South region. In general, heavy metal levels in fish are below maximum levels, while there is limited data available on POPs of relevance to food safety in fish from Nigeria, particularly dioxins, brominated flame retardants, and fluorinated compounds.

There are challenges highlighted in this report that must be considered with regard to contaminants in fish. The bill on the use of plastic bags, the “Plastic Bags (Prohibition) Bill 2018”, may have to be revisited with a better practical, effective, and measurable implementation strategy. While the reduction of anthropogenic activities may have an impact on the discharge of plastics to the aquatic environment, the government should promote the production and use of alternatives to plastic materials (e.g., glass and biodegradable materials). Government intervention for the effective management or control of pollutants in the environment by different regulatory agencies should be strengthened. Given the high demand and consumption of fish in Nigeria, there is a need to guarantee its safety and quality. This review provides valuable information on the levels of chemical contaminants in fish from the coastal area of Nigeria and serves as a baseline for further research on contaminants in fish from the West African coast.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.K. and O.A.S.; methodology, A.U. and M.K.; formal analysis, A.U. and A.-K.L.; investigation, A.U., M.K. and A.-K.L.; resources, A.U.; writing—original draft preparation, A.U. and A.-K.L.; writing—review and editing, A.U., M.K., O.A.S., F.A.C. and A.-K.L.; visualization, A.U.; supervision, A.U.; project administration, A.U., M.K. and O.A.S.; funding acquisition, M.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Direktoratet for Utviklingssamarbeid: EAF-Nansen Programme GCP/GLO/690/NOR.
Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the library of the Federal University of Technology Owerri, Nigeria, for providing the links to the relevant search engines for the literature used in this review. This paper has been developed as part of the EAF-Nansen Programme. The EAF-Nansen Programme is a partnership between the FAO, the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad), and the Institute of Marine Research (IMR), Bergen, Norway, for the sustainable management of the fisheries of partner countries.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References
1. Federal Department of Fisheries (FDF). Report of Presidential Committee on Fisheries and Aquaculture Development; Consolidated Report; FDF: Abuja, Nigeria, 2005; Volume 1, p. 63.
2. Egborge, A.B.M. Biodiversity of Aquatic Fauna of Nigeria; Natural Resources Conservation Council: Abuja, Nigeria, 1993; 173p.
3. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). Fisheries Development in Nigeria: The Current Challenges; Honourable Minister of State for Agriculture to the Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON): Abuja, Nigeria, 2007; p. 23.
4. Olaoye, O.; Ojebiyi, W.G. Marine Fisheries in Nigeria: A Review. In Marine Ecology—Biotic and Abiotic Interactions; Türkoğlu, M., Önal, U., Ismen, A., Eds.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2018. Available online: https://www.intechopen.com/chapters/59865 (accessed on 23 December 2019). [CrossRef]
5. Sikoki, F.D. Fishes in Nigerian Waters: No Place to Hide; An Inaugural Lecture Series No. 100 Delivered on Jan 31, 2013 at the Department of Animal and Environmental Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences College of Natural & Applied Sciences, University of Port Harcourt; University of Port Harcourt: Port Harcourt, Nigeria, 2013; p. 100.
6. Emmanuel, O.; Chinenye, A.; Oluwatobi, A.; Peter, K. Review of aquaculture production and management in Nigeria. Am. J. Exp. Agric. 2014, 4, 1137–1151. [CrossRef]
7. Federal Department of Fisheries (FDF). Nigeria Fish Stock by Subsectors. Fisheries Statistics of Nigeria (2005–2013), 4th ed.; FDF: Abuja, Nigeria, 2013; p. 5.
8. National Bureau of Statistics, Nigeria. Nigeria’s Fish Production (2010–2015); National Bureau of Statistics: Abuja, Nigeria, 2017.
9. Ovie, S.I.; Raji, A. Food Security and Poverty Alleviation through Improved Valuation and Governance of River Fisheries in Africa. Fisheries Co-Management in Nigeria: An Analysis of the Underlying Policy Process; World Fish Centre: Penang, Malaysia, 2006; pp. 1–30.
10. FAO. Fishery and Aquaculture Country Profiles, Nigeria 2007. Country Profile Fact Sheets; FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Department: Rome, Italy, 2007. Available online: http://www.fao.org/fishery/ (accessed on 10 April 2020).
11. WorldFish. WorldFish Nigeria Strategy: 2018–2022; WorldFish: Penang, Malaysia, 2018. Available online: https://digitalarchive.worldfishcenter.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12348/673/2018-09.pdf?sequence=4&isAllowed=y (accessed on 6 April 2020).
12. Adeniyi, O.O.; Omitoyin, S.A.; Ojo, O.O. Socio-Economic determinants of consumption pattern of fish among households in Ibadan North Local Government area of Oyo State, Nigeria. Afr. J. Food Agric. Nutr. Dev. 2012, 12, 6537–6552.
13. Oshineye, A. The petroleum industry in Nigeria. An overview. Mod. Pract. J. Financ. Invest. Law 2000, 4, 4.
14. U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). Worldwide Look at Reserves and Production. Niger. Ctry. Anal. Brief. Oil Gas J. 2005. Available online: http://resourcedat.com/nigeria-country-analysis-brief-2005/ (accessed on 5 May 2020).
15. Mogaji, O.Y.; Sotolu, A.O.; Wilfred-Ekprikpo, P.C.; Green, B.M. The effects of crude oil exploration on fish and fisheries of Nigerian aquatic ecosystems. In The Political Ecology of Oil and Gas Activities in the Nigerian Aquatic Ecosystem; Ndimele, P.E., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2018; pp. 111–124.
16. Farrington, J.W.; Takada, H.T. Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and plastics: Examples of the status, trend, and cycling of organic chemicals of environmental concern in the ocean. Oceanography 2014, 27, 196–213. [CrossRef]
17. Lindén, O.; Pålsson, J. Oil contamination in Ogoniland, Niger Delta, Oil Contamination in Ogoniland, Niger Delta. AMBIO 2013, 42, 685–701. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
18. Nwaichi, E.O.; Ntorgbo, S.A. Assessment of PAHs levels in some fish and seafood from different coastal waters in the Niger Delta. Toxicol. Rep. 2016, 3, 167–172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Alani, R.; Drouillard, K.; Olayinka, K.; Alo, B. Bioaccumulation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in fish and invertebrates of Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria. J. Emerg. Trends Eng. Appl. Sci. 2012, 3, 287–296.
20. Zelinkova, Z.; Wenzl, T. The Occurrence of 16EPA PAHs in Food—A Review. Polycycl. Aromat. Compd. 2015, 35, 248–284. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Silva, B.O.; Adetunde, O.T.; Oluseyi, T.O.; Olayinka, K.O.; Alo, B.I. Effects of the methods of smoking on the levels of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in some locally consumed fishes in Nigeria. Afr. J. Food Sci. 2011, 5, 384–391.
22. Adesina, S.S.; Asaolu, S.O.; Adefemi, A.A.; Olateye, A.F.; Abiodun, F.A.; Kolade, A.I. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Fresh and Smoked Clupea heregus and Hake Fish Consumed in Ekiti State, Nigeria and their Health Implications. Indian J. Public Health Res. Dev. 2021, 12, 545–555.
23. Ubwa, S.T.; Abah, J.; Tarzaa, L.; Tyohemba, R.L.; Ahile, U.J. Effects of traditional smoking methods on the concentrations of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in some species of smoked fish traded in Benue State, Nigeria. *J. Food Res.* 2015, 4, 119–127. [CrossRef]

24. Akinrotimi, O.A.; Edun, O.M.; Uka, A.; Owhonda, O.N. Public perception of mudskipper consumption in some fishing communities of River State, Nigeria. *J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2013, 8, 208. [CrossRef]

25. El-Lahamy, A.A.; Khalil, K.I.; El-Sherif, S.A.; Abdelazim, S.A.A.; Mahmud, H.R. Changes in fish during traditional smoking process. *Nutr. Food Sci. Int.* J. 2019, 8, 4. [CrossRef]

26. Tongo, I.; Obide, O.; Ezemonye, L. Human health risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in smoked fish species from markets in Southern Nigeria. *Toxicol. Rep.* 2017, 4, 55–61. [CrossRef]

27. Adeyemi, D.; Ukpo, G.; Anyakora, C.; Uyimadu, J. Polychlorinated biphenyl in fish samples from Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2009, 8, 2811–2815.

28. Ingenbleek, L.; Veyrand, B.; Adegboye, A.; Hossou, S.E.; Kone, A.Z.; Oyedele, A.D.; Kisito, C.S.K.; Dembele, Y.K.; Eyangoh, S.; Verger, P.; et al. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in foods from the first regional total diet study in Sub-Saharan Africa: Contamination profile and occurrence data. *Food Control* 2019, 103, 133–144. [CrossRef]

29. Adeogun, A.O.; Ibor, O.R.; Chukwuka, A.V.; Regoli, F.; Arukwe, A. The intersex phenomenon in *Clarias gariepinus* from some organs of three selected fish of commercial importance from Niger river, Onitsha shelf, Anambra state, Nigeria. *J. Environ. Prot.* 2017, 8, 69–74. [CrossRef]

30. El-Sherif, S.A.; Verger, P.; et al. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in protein foods from Lagos and Abeokuta, Southwest Nigeria. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2019, 79, 28–38. [CrossRef]

31. Adeyemi, D.; Ukpo, G.; Anyakora, C.; Uyimadu, J. Polychlorinated biphenyl in fish samples from Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 2009, 8, 2811–2815. [CrossRef]

32. Stołyhwo, A.; Sikorski, Z. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in smoked fish. a critical review. *Food Chem.* 2005, 91, 303–311. [CrossRef]

33. Adeyemi, D.; Ukpo, G.; Anyakora, C.; Uyimadu, J. Organochlorine pesticide residue in fish samples from Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria. *Am. J. Environ. Sci.* 2008, 4, 649–653. [CrossRef]

34. Akinrotimi, O.A.; Edun, O.M.; Uka, A.; Owhonda, O.N. Public perception of mudskipper consumption in some fishing communities of River State, Nigeria. *J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2013, 8, 208. [CrossRef]

35. El-Lahamy, A.A.; Khalil, K.I.; El-Sherif, S.A.; Abdelazim, S.A.A.; Mahmud, H.R. Changes in fish during traditional smoking process. *Nutr. Food Sci. Int.* J. 2019, 8, 4. [CrossRef]

36. El-Lahamy, A.A.; Khalil, K.I.; El-Sherif, S.A.; Abdelazim, S.A.A.; Mahmud, H.R. Changes in fish during traditional smoking process. *Nutr. Food Sci. Int.* J. 2019, 8, 4. [CrossRef]

37. Malisch, R. Incidents with dioxins and PCBs in food and feed—investigative work, risk management and economic consequences. *Afr. J. Environ. Technol.* 2009, 8, 2811–2815. [CrossRef]

38. Obiedzinski, M.; Borys, A. Identification of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in wood smoke. a critical review. *Food Chem.* 2005, 91, 303–311. [CrossRef]

39. Williams, A.B. Pesticide contamination in muscle tissues of Croaker fishes from Lagos lagoon, Nigeria. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2015, 74, 747–756. [CrossRef]

40. Unyimadu, J.P.; Osibanjo, O.; Babayemi, J.O. Levels of organochlorine pesticides in brackish water fish from Niger river, Nigeria. *Glob. J. Environ. Technol.* Innov.* 2017, 8, 744–785. [CrossRef]

41. Akinrotimi, O.A.; Edun, O.M.; Uka, A.; Owhonda, O.N. Public perception of mudskipper consumption in some fishing communities of River State, Nigeria. *J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 2013, 8, 208. [CrossRef]

42. Usese, A.; Chukwu, O.L.; Rahman, M.M.; Naidu, R.; Islam, S.; Oyewo, E.O. Concentrations of arsenic in water and fish in a tropical open lagoon, Southwest-Nigeria: Health risk assessment. *J. Mar. Sci. Res. Dev.* 2015, 91, 228–239. [CrossRef]

43. Ibemenuga, K.N.; Ezike, F.; Nwosu, M.C.; Anyaegbunam, L.C.; Okoye, E.I.; Eyo, J.E. Bioaccumulation of some heavy metals in some organs of three selected fish of commercial importance from Niger river, Onitsha shelf, Anambra state, Nigeria. *J. Fish. Sci.* 2013, 7, 210.
48. Adeogun, A.O.; Ibor, O.R.; Khan, E.A.; Chukwuka, A.V.; Omogbemi, E.D.; Arukwe, A. Detection and occurrence of microplastics in the stomach of commercial fish species from a municipal water supply lake in southwestern Nigeria. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2020, 27, 31035–31045. [CrossRef]

49. UNEP Stockholm Convention. *The New POPs under the Stockholm Convention.* Available online: http://www.pops.int/TheConvention/ThePOPs/TheNewPOPs/tabid/2511/Default.aspx (accessed on 14 April 2020).

50. Thompson, R.C.; Swan, S.H.; Charles, J.; Moore, C.J.; vom Saal, F.S. Our plastic age. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 2009, 364, 1973–1976. [CrossRef]

51. Yang, J.; Zhao, Y.; Li, M.; Du, M.; Li, X.; Li, Y. A Review of a Class of Emerging Contaminants: The Classification, Distribution, Intensity of Consumption, Synthesis Routes, Environmental Effects and Expectation of Pollution Abatement to Organophosphate Flame Retardants (OPFRs). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 2874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. EFSA. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Food. *EFSA J.* 2008, 724, 1–114. [CrossRef]

53. EU. Commission Regulation (EU) No 835/2011 of 19 August 2011 amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 as regards maximum levels for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in foodstuffs. *Off. J. Eur. Union* 2011, 01 L 215, 4–8.

54. Kodavanti, P.R.S. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs). In *Encyclopedia of the Neurological Sciences*, 2nd ed.; Daroff, R., Aminoff, M.J., Eds.; Elsevier: Waltham, MA, USA, 2014; pp. 917–921.

55. Weber, R.; Watson, A.; Forter, M.; Oliaei, F. Review Article: Persistent organic pollutants and landfills—A review of past experiences and future challenges. *Waste Manag.* 2011, 31, 107–121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Weber, R.; Herold, C.; Hollert, H.; Kamphues, J.; Blepp, M.; Ballschmiter, K. Reviewing the relevance of dioxin and PCB sources for food from animal origin and the need for their inventory, control and management. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* 2018, 30, 42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. UNDP in Nigeria. Sound Environmental Management and Disposal of PCBs in Nigeria. Available online: https://www.ng.undp.org/content/nigeria/en/home/projects/Sound-environmental-management-and-disposal-of-PCBs-in-Nigeria.html (accessed on 2 September 2020).

58. Ibor, O.R.; Adeogun, A.O.; Fagbohun, O.A.; Arukwe, A. Gonado-Histopathological changes, intersex and endocrine disruptor responses in relation to contaminant burden in Tilapia species from Ogun River, Nigeria. *Chemosphere* 2016, 164, 248–262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Ibor, O.R.; Adeogun, A.O.; Chukwuka, A.V.; Arukwe, A. Gross pathology, physiological and toxicological responses in relation to contaminant burden in Tilapia species from Ogun River, Nigeria. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 2017, 129, 245–257. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Song, Q.; Luo, W.; Wu, G. HCHs and DDTs in the Bohai Sea: Contamination, distribution, and sources. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2019, 349, 012013. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Osibanjo, O.; Bambose, O. Chlorinated hydrocarbons in marine fish and shellfish of Nigeria. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 1990, 21, 581–586. [CrossRef]

62. Omevuru, H.; Othman, C.O.; Mhehe, G.L. Organochlorine pesticide residues in edible biota from the coastal area of Dar es Salaam City. *West. Indian Ocean J. Mar. Sci.* 2002, 1, 91–96.

63. Adeboyejo, O.A.; Clarke, E.O.; Olarinmoye, M.O. Organochlorine pesticides residues in water, sediments, fin and shell-fish samples from Lagos lagoon complex, Nigeria. *Researcher* 2011, 3, 38–45.

64. Chukwuka, A.; Ogbeide, O.; Unnamure, G. Gonad pathology and intersex severity in pelagic (Tilapia zilli) and benthic (*Neochanna diversus* and *Clarias gariepinus*) species from a pesticide-impacted agrarian catchment, south-south Nigeria. *Chemosphere* 2019, 225, 535–547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Olisah, C.; Okoh, O.O.; Okoh, A.I. Occurrence of organochlorine pesticide residues in biological matrices in Africa: A two-decade review. *Heligyon* 2020, 6, e03518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Mwevuru, H.; Adeogun, A.O.; Abayomi, M.; Sanusi, S.; Oke, C.O. Organochlorine contamination of edible fish from mangrove habitats in the Niger Delta of southern Nigeria. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2017, 24, 939–944. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Naso, B.; Perrone, D.; Ferrante, M.C.; Bilancione, M.; Lucisano, A. Persistent organic pollutants in edible marine species from the Gulf of Naples, Southern Italy. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2005, 343, 83–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. FAO/WHO. Residues in Food, Report of Joint FAO/WHO Food Standards Programme; FAO/WHO: Rome, Italy, 2005; Volume 2B, pp. 61–81.

69. US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories. Vol. 2: Risk Assessment and Fish Consumption Limits. 2006. Available online: http://www.epa.gov/ost/fishadvice/volum2/index.html (accessed on 2 November 2020).

70. Williams, A.B.; Uniyamdu, J.P. Organochlorine pesticide residues in muscle tissues of *Ethmalosa fimbriata* and *Psittaiis sebae* from Lagos Lagoon, Nigeria. *Int. J. Acad. Res. Part A* 2013, 5, 166–172. [CrossRef]

71. Williams, A.B.; Anake, W.U. Chlorinated Hydrocarbons in Marine Female Fishes of Lagos Lagoon. *Covenant J. Phys. Life Sci.* 2013, 1, 27–34.

72. Williams, A.B.; Udoochu, A. Comparative studies of organochlorine and PCBs in fish from the Lagos Lagoon. River Elber Saar. *J. Agric. Biotechnol. Environ.* 2002, 4, 14–17.
103. Barnes, D.K.A.; Galgani, F.; Thompson, R.C.; Barlaz, M. Accumulation and fragmentation of plastic debris in global environments. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **2009**, *364*, 1985–1998. [CrossRef]

104. Kershaw, P.; Katsuhiko, S.; Lee, S.; Samseth, J.; Woodrings, D. *Plastic Debris in the Ocean in UNEP Yearbook 2011: Emerging Issues in Our Global Environment*; United Nations Environment Programme: Nairobi, Kenya, 2011; p. 21.

105. Jambeck, J.R.; Geyer, R.; Wilcox, C.; Siegler, T.R.; Perryman, M.; Andrady, A.; Narayan, R.; Law, K.L. Marine pollution. Plastic waste inputs from land into the ocean. *Science* **2015**, *347*, 768–771. [CrossRef]

106. Nnaji, C.C. Status of municipal solid waste generation and disposal in Nigeria. *Manag. Environ. Qual. Int. J.* **2015**, *26*, 53–71. [CrossRef]

107. Dumbili, E.; Henderson, L. The challenge of plastic pollution in Nigeria. *Plast. Waste Recycl.* **2020**, *569–583*. [CrossRef]

108. Akinola, A.; Adeyemi, I.; Adeyinka, F. A proposal for the management of plastic packaging waste. *IOSR J. Environ. Sci. Toxicol. Food Technol.* **2014**, *8*, 71–78. [CrossRef]

109. Enyoh, C.E.; Wirmkor, V.A.; Ngozi, V.E.; Chukwuemeka, I.S. Macrodebris and microplastics pollution in Nigeria: First report on abundance, distribution, and composition. *Environ. Anal. Health Toxicol.* **2019**, *34*, e2019012. [CrossRef]

110. Oni, B.A.; Augustine, O.; Ayeni, A.O.; Agboola, O.; Oguntade, T.; Obanla, O. Comparing microplastics contaminants in (dry and raining) seasons for Ox-Bow Lake in Yenagoa, Nigeria. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2020**, *198*, 110565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

111. Olowoopejo, M. Pollution: Lagosians Dumb 450,000MT Plastic Wastes into Ocean Bodies Annually—govt. 2018. Available online: https://www.vanguardngr.com/2018/09/pollution-lagosians-dump-450000mt-plastic-wastes-into-ocean-bodies-annually-govt/ (accessed on 3 August 2020).

112. Tanaka, K.; Takada, H. Microplastic fragments and microbeads in digestive tracts of planktivorous fish from urban coastal waters. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 34351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Avio, C.G.; Gorbi, S.; Regoli, F. Experimental development of a new protocol for extraction and characterization of microplastics in fish tissues: First observations in commercial species from Adriatic Sea. *Mar. Environ. Res.* **2015**, *111*, 18–26. [CrossRef]

114. Gewert, B.; Ogonowski, M.; Barth, A.; MacLeod, M. Abundance, and composition of near surface microplastics and plastic debris in the Stockholm archipelago, Baltic Sea. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2017**, *120*, 292–302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Bour, A.; Avio, C.G.; Gorbi, S.; Regoli, F.; Hylland, K. Presence of microplastics in benthic and epibenthic organisms: Influence of habitat, feeding mode and trophic level. *Environ. Pollut.* **2018**, *243*, 1217–1225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Digka, N.; Tsangaris, C.; Torre, M.; Anastasopoulou, A.; Zeri, C. Microplastics in mussels and fish from the northern Ionian Sea. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2018**, *135*, 30–40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

117. Adika, S.A.; Mahu, E.; Crane, R.; Merchant, R.; Montford, J.; Folorunsho, F.; Gordon, C. Microplastic ingestion by pelagic and demersal fish species from the Eastern Central Atlantic Ocean, off the Coast of Ghana. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2020**, *153*, 110998. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

118. Mattsson, K.; Ekvall, M.T.; Hansson, L.-A.; Linse, S.; Malmendal, S.; Cedervall, T. Altered behaviour, physiology and metabolism in fish exposed to polystyrene nanoparticles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *49*, 553–561. [CrossRef]

119. Makinwa, T.; Uadia, P. Occurrence of Bisphenol A (BPA) in Ponds, Rivers and Lagoons in South-Western Nigeria and Uptake in Catfish Evidence of Environmental Contamination. *Food Public Health* **2018**, *7*, 472–481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

120. Van Wezel, A.P.; Scheffer, M. Risks of Plastic Debris: Unravelling Fact, Opinion, Perception, and Belief. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **2017**, *372*, 11513–11519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

121. Eerkes-Medrano, D.; Thompson, R.C.; Aldridge, D.C. Microplastics in freshwater systems: A review of the emerging threats, identification of knowledge gaps and prioritization of research needs. *Water Res.* **2015**, *49*, 63–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

122. Batel, A.; Linti, F.; Scherer, M.; Erdinger, L.; Braunbeck, T. Transfer of benzo[a]pyrene from microplastics to Artemia nauplii and further to zebrafish via a trophic food web experiment: CYP1A induction and visual tracking of persistent organic pollutants: Trophic transfer of microplastics and associated POPs. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2016**, *35*, 1656–1666. [CrossRef]

123. Koelmans, A.A.; Bakir, A.; Burton, G.A.; Janssen, C.R. Microplastic as a vector for chemicals in the aquatic environment: Critical review and model-supported reinterpretation of empirical studies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 3315–3326. [CrossRef]

124. Koelmans, A.A.; Besseling, E.; Foekema, E.; Kooi, M.; Mintenig, S.; Ossendorp, B.C.; Redondo-Hasselerharm, P.E.; Verschoor, A.; van Wezel, A.P.; Scheffer, M. Risks of Plastic Debris: Unravelling Fact, Opinion, Perception, and Belief. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51*, 11513–11519. [CrossRef]