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Abstract

We prove that the local times of a sequence of Sinai’s random walks convergence to those of Brox’s diffusion by proper scaling, which is accord with the result of Seignourel (2000). Our proof is based on the convergence of the branching processes in random environment by Kurtz (1979).
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1 Introduction and Main result

Let \( \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) be a sequence of random variables taking values in \((0, 1)\). The sequence \( \alpha := \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \) is called the random environment. For any realization of the environment \( \alpha \), we consider the random walk \( \{S_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) defined by \( S_0 = 0 \),

\[
\mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} = i + 1 | S_n = i, \alpha) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(S_{n+1} = i + 1 | S_n = i, \alpha) = \alpha_i
\]

for \( n \geq 0 \) and \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). \( \mathbb{P} \) is usually called “annealed probability”. The discrete-time process \( \{S_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) defined in Eq. (1.1) is referred to Random Walk in Random Environment (RWRE, for short). In this paper, we consider the Sinai’s walk if the random environment satisfies the following assumptions,

\[
\begin{cases}
\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ are independent and identically distributed variables} \\
P(\nu < \alpha_0 < 1 - \nu) = 1, \text{ for a given constant } \nu \in (0, 1/2) \\
E(\log \frac{1-\alpha_0}{\alpha_0}) = 0 \\
0 < \sigma^2 := E(\log \frac{1-\alpha_0}{\alpha_0})^2 < \infty
\end{cases}
\]

(1.2)

The corresponding continuous-time model \( \{X_t\}_{t \geq 0} \) has been introduced by Brox ([H], 1986), which is often referred to as Brox’s diffusion process with Brownian potential. Roughly speaking,
it is the solution of the equation

\[
\begin{cases}
  dX_t = dB(t) - \frac{1}{2}W'(X_t)dt, \\
  X_0 = 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(1.3)

where \( \{B(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \) is one-dimensional standard Brownian motion with \( B(0) = 0 \) and is independent to the medium Brownian motion \( \{W(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \), and

\[
\begin{cases}
  W(x) = \sigma W_1(x); & x \geq 0, \\
  W(x) = \sigma W_2(-x); & x \leq 0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(1.4)

where \( \{W_1(x); x \geq 0\} \) and \( \{W_2(x); x \geq 0\} \) are independent one dimensional standard Brownian motion with \( W_1(0) = W_2(0) = 0 \). \( W' \) denotes the formal derivative of \( W \) (it is well-known that Brownian motion is almost surely nowhere differentiable). Rigorously speaking, we can refer \( X \) as a Feller-diffusion process on \( \mathbb{R} \) with generator

\[
\frac{1}{2} e^{W(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{-W(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \right).
\]

Actually, for each realization of the potential \( \{W(x)\} \), the process \( X \) can be represented as

\[
X(t) = A^{-1}(B(T^{-1}(t))), \quad t \geq 0
\]  

(1.5)

where \( A \) is the scale function and \( T \) is the time-change function defined by

\[
A(y) = \int_0^y e^{W(z)}dz, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}
\]  

(1.6)

\[
T(t) = \int_0^t \exp\{-2W(A^{-1}(B(s)))\}ds, \quad t \geq 0
\]  

(1.7)

Here \( A^{-1}(\text{respectively } T^{-1}) \) denotes the inverse of \( A \) (respectively \( T \)).

The significant phenomena for both the Sinai’s walk (14) and the Brox diffusion (11) are the “slowly” behavior caused by the random environment,

\[
\frac{\sigma^2 S_n}{(\ln n)^2} \to b_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{X_t}{(\ln t)^2} \to b_\infty
\]  

(1.8)

in law for the same variable \( b_\infty \), whose law has been characterized by Golosov [3] and Kesten [8] independently. To get a Donsker’s type theorem, Séignourel (12, 2000) designated a discrete scheme in which the medium must be changed at each iteration. In addition the environment \( \alpha := \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \), define a sequences of environments \( \alpha^{(m)} := \{\alpha^{(m)}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \), for \( m = 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[
\alpha^{(m)}_i := \left( 1 + \left( \frac{1 - \alpha_i}{\alpha_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^{-1},
\]  

(1.9)

and \( \{S^{(m)}_n\}_{n \geq 0} \) is a Random Walk associated with the Random Environment \( \alpha^{(m)} := \{\alpha^{(m)}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \), i.e.,

\[
\mathbb{P}(S^{(m)}_{n+1} = i + 1|S^{(m)}_n = i, \alpha) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(S^{(m)}_{n+1} = i + 1|S^{(m)}_n = i, \alpha) = \alpha^{(m)}_i.
\]  

(1.10)
**Theorem A** (Seignourel, [12], 2000) *Under condition (1.2), as \( m \to \infty \)

\[
\left\{ \frac{1}{m} S^{(m)}_{[m^2 t]} : t \geq 0 \right\} \longrightarrow \{X_t, t \geq 0\}
\]  

(1.11)

in distribution in \( D[0,\infty) \), where \( \{X_t, t \geq 0\} \) is the Brox’s diffusion process with \( \sigma \cdot \) Brownian motion.

We are interested in the scaling limit of the corresponding local times. For fixed \( m \geq 1 \), define the local time of \(|S^{(m)}|\) at position \( j \) before the first \( n \) steps as following,

\[
L^{(m)}(j; n) = \#\{0 \leq r \leq n : |S_r^{(m)}| = j\} \quad \text{for} \quad j, n \geq 0,
\]  

(1.12)

and define the \( n^{th} \) excursion time

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau^{(m)}_0 &= 0, \\
\tau^{(m)}_k &= \inf\{n > \tau^{(m)}_{k-1} : |S_n^{(m)}| = 0\}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.13)

For \( \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ , x \geq 0 \), define \( L^{(m)}(x) \) as follows:

\[
L^{(m)}(x) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{L^{(m)}([mx], \tau^{(m)}_m)}{m}, & \text{for } mx \geq 1, \\
2, & \text{for } 0 \leq mx < 1.
\end{cases}
\]  

(1.14)

Now we state our main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under condition (1.2), as \( m \to \infty \),

\[
\{L^{(m)}(x), x \geq 0\} \Rightarrow \{L^*_X(x, T(\tilde{T})), x \geq 0\}
\]  

(1.15)

in distribution in \( D[0,\infty) \). \( L^*_X(x, t) = L^*_X(x, t) + L^*_X(-x, t) \); \( t \geq 0, x \geq 0 \), where \( L^*_X(x, t) \) is the local time of the Brox’s diffusion process \( \{X_t, t \geq 0\} \) with potential \( \sigma \cdot \) Brownian motion and \( \tilde{T} := \inf\{t \geq 0; \ l(x, t) > 1\} \), where \( l(x, t) \) is the local time of \( \{B(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \).  

Donsker’s Invariance Principle tells us that the random walk converge to the Brownian motion by proper space and time scaling. Accordingly, Rogers ([11], 1984) have proved that the local time of the simple random walk converges to those of Brownian motion by scaling based on the branching processes within the random walk (Dwass [2], 1975); and is recently generalized to the random walk with bounded jumps by Hong and Yang ([5], 2014) by using the intrinsic multi-type branching processes within the random walk ([4], 2013). From this point of view, Theorem 1.1 is a counterpart of the result of Rogers ([11], 1984) with regard to the Seignourel’s Donsker-type Invariance Principle (Seignourel, [12], 2000) for the Sinai’s random walk.

We will first express the local time in terms of the branching processes within the random walk (Kesten et al [6], see also Dwass [2], 1975); and then prove the convergence of \( \{L^{(m)}(x), x \geq 0\} \) by applying the results of Kurtz ([10], 1979) on the convergence of the branching processes in the random environment; at last specify the limiting process being the local time of the Brox’s diffusion process as expected.
2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

step 1: Local time and the branching processes within the random walk

For every $m \geq 1$, let $(S_n^{(m)})_{n \geq 0}$ be random walk in random environment $\{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, with $S_0^{(m)} = 0$. Recall the definition (1.12) and (1.13), for the local time $L^{(m)}(j; n)$ of $|S^{(m)}|$ at position $j$ and the excursion time $\tau_k^{(m)}$. Define, for each $r \geq 1$ and $j \geq 0$,

$$Z_r^{(m)}(j) = \sum_{n=\tau_{r-1}^{(m)}}^{\tau_r^{(m)}-1} 1_{\{|S_n^{(m)}| = j, |S_{n+1}^{(m)}| = j+1\}}. \quad (2.1)$$

$Z_r^{(m)}(j)$ records the upward steps from position $j$ to $j + 1$ within the $r^{th}$ excursion of $|S^{(m)}|$.

**Proposition 2.1.** (1) For each $r \geq 1$, $(Z_r^{(m)}(j))_{j \geq 0}$ is a branching process in random environment with $Z_r^{(m)}(0) = 1$ and the offspring branching mechanism

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_r^{(m)}(j+1) = k \mid Z_r^{(m)}(j) = 1; \alpha_i^{(m)}, i \in \mathbb{Z}) = (\alpha_{j+1}^{(m)})^k (1 - \alpha_{j+1}^{(m)}). \quad (2.2)$$

i.e.,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{r}^{(m)} Z_r^{(m)}(j+1) \mid Z_r^{(m)}(0), Z_r^{(m)}(1), \ldots, Z_r^{(m)}(j); \alpha_i^{(m)}, i \in \mathbb{Z}\right) = \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_{j+1}^{(m)}}{1 - \alpha_{j+1}^{(m)} \cdot s}\right)^{Z_r^{(m)}(j)}. \quad (2.3)$$

(2) Within the first excursion, the local time at position $j \geq 1$ can be expressed in terms of the branching process in random environment $(Z_j^{(m)})_{j \geq 0}$,

$$L^{(m)}(j; \tau_1^{(m)}) = Z_1^{(m)}(j-1) + Z_1^{(m)}(j). \quad (2.4)$$

(3) For any positive integral $N$,

$$L^{(m)}(j; \tau_N^{(m)}) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \left( L^{(m)}(j; \tau_r^{(m)}) - L^{(m)}(j; \tau_{r-1}^{(m)}) \right) := \sum_{r=1}^{N} \left( Z_r^{(m)}(j-1) + Z_r^{(m)}(j) \right), \quad (2.5)$$

where for any fixed environment, $\tau_r^{(m)}(j) := (Z_r^{(m)}(j-1) + Z_r^{(m)}(j))$, $r = 1, 2, \cdots$ are i.i.d. random variables, distributed as $L^{(m)}(j; \tau_1^{(m)})$. \hfill $\square$

Part (1) is from Kesten et al (13, 1975), see also Dwass (2, 1975) for the simple random walk; Part (2) and (3) is similar as Theorem 1 of Rogers (11, 1984).

Proposition 2.1 tells us that for each $r \geq 1$, $(Z_r^{(m)}(j))_{j \geq 0}$ is a branching process in random environment with $Z_r^{(m)}(0) = 1$; and they are independent of each other. If we write

$$U_N^{(m)}(j) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} Z_r^{(m)}(j), \quad (2.6)$$
\{U_N^{(m)}(j)\}_{j \geq 0} is a branching process in random environment with \(U_N^{(m)}(0) = N\) and the branching mechanism given in (2.2). From (2.5) and (2.6), for any positive integral \(N\), we have

\[
L^{(m)}(j; \tau_N^{(m)}) = \sum_{r=1}^N \left( Z_r^{(m)}(j - 1) + Z_r^{(m)}(j) \right) = U_N^{(m)}(j - 1) + U_N^{(m)}(j).
\]  

(2.7)

As a consequence, for \(\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\), \(x \geq 0\), \(L^{(m)}(x)\), the scaling of the local time (see (1.14)) can be written as,

\[
L^{(m)}(x) = \frac{L^{(m)}([mx], \tau_m^{(m)})}{m} = \frac{U_m^{(m)}([mx])}{m} + \frac{U_m^{(m)}([m(x - m^{-1})])}{m}.
\]  

(2.8)

Define

\[
X_m(x) = \frac{U_m^{(m)}([mx])}{m}
\]

then \(L^{(m)}(x) = X_m(x) + X_m(x - m^{-1})\), so (1.15) in Theorem 1.1 will follow if we can prove

\[
2X_m(\cdot) \Rightarrow L_X^*(\cdot, T(\sim T))
\]  

(2.10)

**step 2: convergence of \(\{X_m(x), x \geq 0\}\)**

Now we will consider the convergence of \(\{X_m(x), x \geq 0\}\) in distribution in \(\mathcal{D}[0, \infty)\), based on Theorem (2.13) of Kurtz (10, 1979). Firstly from (2.6), we know that \(\{U_m^{(m)}(k)\}_{k \geq 0}\) is a branching process in random environment with \(U_m^{(m)}(0) = m\), i.e., for \(k \geq 1\),

\[
U_m^{(m)}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \xi_i^{(m)},
\]

(2.11)

where \(\{\xi_i^{(m)}\}_{i \geq 1}\) are i.i.d random variables whose distribution is given in (2.2). With (2.9) in mind, we will check the conditions of Theorem (2.13) of Kurtz (10, 1979) directly. To this end, recall the notations in (10), we can calculate the moments of \(\xi_i^{(m)}\) from the branching mechanism (2.2) or (2.3),

\[
\lambda_k^{(m)} = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^{(m)} \mid \{\alpha_j^{(m)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{\alpha_k^{(m)}}{1 - \alpha_k^{(m)}} := \rho_k^{(m)},
\]

(2.12)

note that from (1.9), when \(m = 1\) we have \(\{\alpha_j^{(m)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{\alpha_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\), and we write \(\rho_k = \frac{\alpha_k}{1 - \alpha_k}\), with this notation, (1.9) can be rewritten as

\[
\alpha_i^{(m)} := \left(1 + (\rho_i)^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}}\right)^{-1},
\]

(2.13)

and as a consequence the first moment (2.12) equals to

\[
\lambda_k^{(m)} = \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^{(m)} \mid \{\alpha_j^{(m)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \frac{\alpha_k^{(m)}}{1 - \alpha_k^{(m)}} := \rho_k^{(m)} = (\rho_k)^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}},
\]

(2.14)
For the second moment
\[ a_k^{(m)} = \mathbb{E}\left( (\xi_{i,k}^{(m)}/\lambda_k^{(m)} - 1)^2 | \{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \right) = \left( \alpha_i^{(m)} \right)^{-1} = \left( 1 + (\rho_i)\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right), \] (2.15)

and the third moment
\[ Y_k^{(m)} = \mathbb{E}\left( (\xi_{i,k}^{(m)}/\lambda_k^{(m)} - 1)^3 | \{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \right) \]
\[ \leq \mathbb{E}\left( (\xi_{i,k}^{(m)}/\lambda_k^{(m)} + 1)^3 | \{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \right) \]
\[ \leq 2^2 \mathbb{E}\left( (\xi_{i,k}^{(m)}/\lambda_k^{(m)})^3 | \{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \right) + 1 \]
\[ \leq 4(7 + 6(\rho_k^{-1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} + (\rho_k^{-2})\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}), \] (2.16)

at the last step it’s easy to calculate that \( \mathbb{E}(\xi_{i,k}^{(m)}/\lambda_k^{(m)})^3 | \{\alpha_i^{(m)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = 6 + 6/\rho_k^{(m)} + 1/(\rho_k^{(m)})^2. \)

Now we can check the three conditions of Theorem (2.13) of Kurtz ([10],1979).

(1) condition 1: From (2.14), we have
\[ M_m(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{[mx]} \log \lambda_k^{(m)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{k=1}^{[mx]} \log \rho_k, \] (2.17)

then as a process \( \{M_m(x)\}_{x \geq 0} \Rightarrow \{M(x)\}_{x \geq 0} \) when \( m \to \infty \) by Donsker’s invariance principle with regard of the condition (1.2), where \( \{M(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \) is a standard Brownian Motion.

(2) condition 2: From (2.15), for any \( x \geq 0 \),
\[ A_m(x) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{[mx]} a_k^{(m)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{[mx]} \left( 1 + (\rho_i)\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right) \]
\[ \to 2x \] (2.18)
P-a.s. as \( m \to \infty \) by the elliptic condition for the environment in (1.2).

(3) condition 3: From (2.16), for any \( x \geq 0 \),
\[ G_m(x) := \frac{1}{m^{3/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{[mx]} Y_k^m \leq \frac{4}{m^{3/2}} \sum_{k=1}^{[mx]} (7 + 6(\rho_k^{-1})\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} + (\rho_k^{-2})\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}) \]
\[ \to 0 \] (2.19)
P-a.s. as \( m \to \infty \) by the elliptic condition for the environment in (1.2).

Combining the above three conditions (1)-(3), by Theorem (2.13) of Kurtz ([10],1979), we have proved the following

**Theorem 2.1.** As \( m \to \infty \), we have
\[ \{X_m(x)\}_{x \geq 0} \Rightarrow \{H(x)\}_{x \geq 0} := \{V(\beta(x))e^{M(x)}\}_{x \geq 0}, \] (2.20)

where \( \{V(x)\}_{x \geq 0} \) is a diffusion process with generator \( \frac{1}{2}y^2f''(y) \), which is independent of \( \{M(x)\}_{x \geq 0} \); and \( \beta(x) = \tau^{-\frac{3}{2}}(x) \), where \( \tau(x) \) is determined by
\[ x = \int_0^{\tau(x)} (2s)'e^{-M(s)}ds = \int_0^{\tau(x)} 2e^{-M(s)}ds. \] (2.21)
Remark 2.1 From Chapter 9 Theorem 3.1 in Kurtz ([9], 2005), see also Kurtz ([10], 1979), we know that the Markov process \(H\) is the unique solution of

\[
H(t) = e^{M(t)}[1 + B(\int_0^t e^{-2M(s)}H(s)d(2s))]
\]

and the generator is

\[
Af(z) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2zf'(z) + (z + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2z^2)f''(z)
\]

for every \(f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})\). □

Remark 2.2 \{\(V(x)\)\}_{x \geq 0} is a diffusion process with generator \(\frac{1}{2}yf''(y)\), i.e., is the solution of the stochastic differential equation with \(V(0) = 1\)

\[
V(x) = 1 + \int_0^x (V(s)^+)^{\frac{1}{2}}dB_s,
\]

for \(a > 0\), it is easy to verify that \(\{V(x)\}_{x \geq 0}\) has the same generator with \(\{aV(\frac{x}{a})\}_{x \geq 0}\). As a consequence, \(\{\widetilde{V}(x)\}_{x \geq 0} := \{V(2x)\}_{x \geq 0}\) satisfies the stochastic differential equation with \(\widetilde{V}(0) = 1\)

\[
\widetilde{V}(x) = 1 + \sqrt{2} \int_0^x (\widetilde{V}(s)^+)^{\frac{1}{2}}dB_s,
\]

Then by Ray-Knight theorem we know that

\[
\{l(x; \widetilde{T})\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{\widetilde{V}(x)\}_{x \geq 0}
\]

where \(\widetilde{T} = \inf\{t : l(0, t) > 1\}\), \(l(x; t)\) is the local time at position \(x\) of the standard Brownian motion. □

Remark 2.3 From (2.21), we know \(\beta(x) = \tau^{-1}(x)\) can be expressed as

\[
\beta(x) = 2 \int_0^x e^{-M(s)}ds \overset{d}{=} 2A(x),
\]

where \(A(x)\) is given in (1.6) because \(\{M(t)\}_{t \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{\sigma B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}\), \(\{B(t)\}_{t \geq 0}\) is a standard Brownian Motion. So the limiting process \(\{H(x)\}_{x \geq 0}\) in (2.20) has the following

\[
\{H(x)\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{V(\beta(x))e^{M(x)}\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{V(2A(x))e^{-M(x)}\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{\widetilde{V}(A(x))e^{-M(x)}\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{l(A(x); \widetilde{T})e^{-M(x)}\}_{x \geq 0},
\]

the last step is by (2.24) where \(\widetilde{T} = \inf\{t : l(0, t) > 1\}\). □

step 3: local time of Brox diffusion
Denote $L_X(x; t)$ as the local time of Brox diffusion $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ with potential $\{M(x)\}$. To find $L_X(x, t)$, according to the definition of the local time, it is enough for any bounded Borel function $f$,

$$\int_0^t f(X(s))ds = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)L_X(x; t)dx.$$ 

Recall $l(x; t)$ is the local time process of standard Brownian motion, by (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7) we have (see for example, [7])

$$\int_0^t f(X(s))ds = \int_0^{T^{-1}(t)} f(A^{-1}(B(T^{-1}(s))))ds$$

$$= \int_0^{T^{-1}(t)} f(A^{-1}(B(u))) \exp\{-2M(A^{-1}(B(u)))\}du$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(A^{-1}(y)) \exp\{-2M(A^{-1}(y))\}l(y; T^{-1}(t))dy$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \exp\{-2M(x)\}l(A(x), T^{-1}(t))e^{M(x)}dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x)e^{-M(x)}l(A(x), T^{-1}(t))dx.$$ 

Hence, we get

$$L_X(x; t) = l(A(x), T^{-1}(t))e^{-M(x)},$$

where $A$ and $T$ has defined in (1.6), (1.7).

**step 4: conclusion**

By the equation (2.27), if we let $t = T(\tilde{T})$, then,

$$L_X(x, T(\tilde{T})) = l(A(x), \tilde{T})e^{-M(x)}.$$ 

Combining (2.26) we have

$$\{H(x)\}_{x \geq 0} : = \{V(\beta(x))e^{M(x)}\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{l(A(x); \tilde{T})e^{-M(x)}\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} L_X(x, T(\tilde{T})),$$

where $\tilde{T} = \inf\{t : l(0, t) > 1\}$. Thus (2.20) in Theorem 2.1 is actually

$$\{X_m(x)\}_{x \geq 0} \Rightarrow \{L_X(x, T(\tilde{T}))\}_{x \geq 0}.$$ 

To finish the proof of (2.10), we note that by the property of the local time of Brownian motion,

$$\{2l(x, \tilde{T})\}_{x \geq 0} \overset{d}{=} \{l(x, \tilde{T})\}_{x \geq 0} + \{l(-x, \tilde{T})\}_{x \geq 0},$$

we have

$$\{L_X^*(x, T(\tilde{T}))\}_{x \geq 0} : = \{L_X(x, T(\tilde{T})) + L_X(-x, T(\tilde{T}))\}_{x \geq 0}. $$
\[
\begin{align*}
&= \{l(A(x), \tilde{T}) e^{-M(x)} + l(A(-x), \tilde{T}) e^{-M(-x)} \}_{x \geq 0} \\
&= \{l(A(x), \tilde{T}) e^{-M(x)} + l(- \int_{0}^{x} e^{M(y)} dy, \tilde{T}) e^{-M(-x)} \}_{x \geq 0} \\
&= \{l(A(x), \tilde{T}) e^{-M(x)} + l(-A(x), \tilde{T}) e^{-M(x)} \}_{x \geq 0} \\
&= \{2l(A(x), \tilde{T}) e^{-M(x)} \}_{x \geq 0} \\
&= \{2V(\beta(x)) e^{M(x)} \}_{x \geq 0},
\end{align*}
\]

which complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. □
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