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Abstract. We introduce a new approach to the study of finite binary permutation groups and, as an application of our method, we prove Cherlin’s binary groups conjecture for groups with socle a finite alternating group, and for the $C_1$-primitive actions of the finite classical groups.

Our new approach involves the notion, defined with respect to a group action, of a “beautiful subset”. We demonstrate how the presence of such subsets can be used to show that a given action is not binary. In particular, the study of such sets will lead to a resolution of many of the remaining open cases of Cherlin’s binary groups conjecture.

1. Introduction. In this paper, the following conjecture is our main concern [7].

CONJECTURE 1.1. A finite primitive binary permutation group must be one of the following:

1) a symmetric group $\text{Sym}(n)$ acting naturally on $n$ elements;
2) a cyclic group of prime order acting regularly on itself;
3) an affine orthogonal group $V \cdot \text{O}(V)$ with $V$ a vector space over a finite field equipped with an anisotropic quadratic form, acting on itself by translation, with complement the full orthogonal group $\text{O}(V)$.

For readers unfamiliar with the notion of a “binary permutation group”, we refer to Section 2, where all necessary terminology can be found. Note that in that section, we use the definition from [9] which is couched in terms of group actions—this definition is useful, and elementary, and is the definition that we will use in this paper; however it is rather lacking in any obvious motivation.

For an equivalent definition in terms of relational structures, we refer the reader to the opening paragraphs of [8]; when seen from this point of view, the concept of a finite binary permutation group becomes a very natural one indeed: it is a finite permutation group $(G, X)$ with the property that binary relations $\mathcal{B}$ may be imposed on $X$ to produce a homogeneous relational structure $(X, \mathcal{B})$ for which $\text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}) = G$.

Such a set-up has value from a variety of perspectives: first, homogeneous structures are generally rare inside any given category; when they occur they tend to be associated with very beautiful behaviour that can be leveraged to provide
information about the associated automorphism group (see Aschbacher’s comments in [2, p. 82] where he refers to homogeneity as “the Witt property”).

Second, the notion of “binariness” can be generalized to include relational structures with $k$-ary relations for any $k < |X|$, in which case a binary permutation group is a permutation group with “relational complexity equal to 2”. The notion of relational complexity is discussed by Cherlin in [7], where it is used in the context of Lachlan’s classification theory for finite homogeneous relational structures. Here “relational complexity” is one of a number of statistics that can be attached to a finite permutation group and that allow one to gather permutation groups into families that share the same statistical properties; these families, in turn, form a model theoretic hierarchy in which the notion of “sporadicness” is explained: objects that appear as sporadic in a lower tier become members of infinite families as one rises through the hierarchy. The implications of this set-up are discussed at length in [7].

In this paper we will not be concerned with the consequences of Conjecture 1.1 so much as the problem of proving it. Cherlin himself gave a proof of the conjecture when $G$ has an abelian socle. Wiscons then made use of the O’Nan-Scott-Aschbacher theorem to study various other cases and showed that Conjecture 1.1 reduces to the following statement concerning almost simple groups [26].

**Conjecture 1.2.** If $G$ is a finite binary almost simple primitive group on $\Omega$, then $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$.

Our first main result is a proof of Conjecture 1.2 for one of the main families of finite simple groups:

**Theorem A.** Let $G$ be a finite almost simple primitive group on $\Omega$ with socle a non-abelian alternating group. If $G$ is binary, then $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$.

In the light of Theorem A, to prove Conjecture 1.2 we must deal with the sporadic simple groups and the finite simple groups of Lie type. Our second main result concerns the second of these two families:

**Theorem B.** Let $G$ be a finite almost simple classical group, and let $M$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$ that lies in the Aschbacher family $C_1$. Let $\Omega$ be the set of (right) cosets of $M$. If the action of $G$ on $\Omega$ is binary, then $G \cong \text{PGL}_2(4) \cong \text{Sym}(5)$, $|\Omega| = 5$ and $M \cong \text{Sym}(4)$.

Note that we use the description of the Aschbacher family $C_1$ given by Kleidman and Liebeck [18], rather than that used in the original paper [1]. In particular, this theorem excludes those almost simple groups $G$ which have socle $\text{P}\Omega^+_{8}(q)$ and include a triality automorphism, and those which have socle $\text{Sp}_{4}(2^f)$ and include a graph automorphism.

Both Theorems A and B are relatively easy consequences of results stated later in the paper (Proposition 3.1 and Table 3 in Section 4) concerning the existence of
something we call a beautiful subset. To define it note that, for \( \Lambda \) a subset of \( \Omega \), we write \( G_\Lambda \) for the set-wise stabilizer of \( \Lambda \), \( G_{(\Lambda)} \) for the point-wise stabilizer of \( \Lambda \) and \( G^\Lambda \) for the permutation group induced by \( G_\Lambda \) on \( \Lambda \).

We will say that a subset \( \Lambda \subseteq \Omega \) is a \( G \)-beautiful subset if \( G^\Lambda \) is a 2-transitive subgroup of \( \text{Sym}(\Lambda) \) that is isomorphic to neither \( \text{Alt}(\Lambda) \) nor \( \text{Sym}(\Lambda) \). If the group \( G \) is clear from the context, we will speak of a beautiful subset rather than a \( G \)-beautiful subset of \( \Omega \).

Now the point is that, if \( \Omega \) contains a \( G \)-beautiful subset, then the action of \( G \) on \( \Omega \) is not binary; indeed, one can say more: if \( G \) is almost simple with socle \( S \) and if \( \Omega \) contains an \( S \)-beautiful subset, then the action of \( G \) on \( \Omega \) is not binary (see Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.3).

The results from which we deduce Theorems A and B all assert that, for the actions under consideration, beautiful subsets are present except in very special circumstances; what is more these special circumstances are completely classified. For instance, the results in Section 4 have the following immediate corollary:

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \( G \) be a finite almost simple classical group with socle \( S \), and let \( M \) be a maximal subgroup of \( G \) that lies in the Aschbacher family \( C_1 \). Let \( \Omega \) be the set of (right) cosets of \( M \). Then, with finitely many exceptions, \( \Omega \) contains an \( S \)-beautiful subset.

The “finitely many exceptions” are all explicitly listed in Table 3.

**1.1. Implications, and generalizations.** As well as representing a material advance towards a proof of Conjecture 1.2, Theorems A and B also demonstrate the efficacy of studying beautiful subsets for primitive actions.

Note, first of all, that this method will undoubtedly allow further cases of Conjecture 1.2 to be proved. For instance, with ideas similar to the ones used in this paper, we have proved Cherlin’s conjecture for Lie type groups of Lie rank 1 in [13]. In Section 4.1, we give further details concerning how this method can be used for the “algebraic actions” of the finite classical and exceptional almost simple groups. A paper concerning these actions is in preparation [14].

A slight variant of this method can also be applied to the study of the sporadic simple groups. Indeed, it is possible to prove a relatively strong upper bound on the number of orbits of a binary primitive group in its action on 3-tuples in terms of its rank. This bound, combined with information from [10], allows us to show that most primitive actions of sporadic simple groups are not binary, see [11]. For the remaining actions, we may then use detailed information on the subgroup structure and apply the method of beautiful subsets.

The notion of a beautiful subset is a particular example of something we call a forbidden configuration. This more general concept can be used to prove cases of Cherlin’s conjecture where beautiful subsets do not exist [13]; it also has implications for the study of actions of arities other than 2. A discussion of this idea
can be found below in Section 2.1; in particular, we give details of a relatively straightforward way to strengthen Theorem B.

It is too soon to say whether or not a complete proof of Conjecture 1.2 will be obtained using the approach of beautiful subsets and forbidden configurations, although this is our ultimate goal.

1.2. Structure and acknowledgments. In Section 2, we set up the terminology that will be used throughout the paper, and we prove a number of basic lemmas. We also give some perspective on our approach to the study of binary groups, with a view to applying the basic ideas in later papers.

In Section 3, we give a full classification of those primitive actions of an alternating group that admit a beautiful subset (Proposition 3.1), and we use this result to prove Theorem A. In Section 4, we do similarly for the actions of classical groups on the cosets of $C_1$-maximal subgroups.

It is a pleasure to thank Martin Liebeck for introducing us to Cherlin’s conjecture and for a number of enlightening conversations. Thanks are also due to Francis Hunt and Josh Wiscons for useful discussions. Lastly we wish to thank the anonymous reviewer, whose careful reading of the paper has resulted in a great many improvements.

1.3. Computer-aided computations. In the process of proving Theorems A and B, we make heavy use of computer computations based on the computer algebra system magma [5]. Some of these computations are rather time consuming, however none of these computations is difficult. Basically we use brute force: to prove, by means of a computer, that a certain small group has a beatiful set, we simply randomly generate subsets of the domain until we find a subset with the desired property. On the other hand, to prove that a given group $G$ has no beautiful subsets, we generate the non-identity cyclic subgroups $H$ of $G$ and we construct the stabilizers of the $H$-invariant subsets of the domain; then we check that none of these sets is beautiful.

2. Background lemmas. In this section, we establish some basic definitions and lemmas that will be used throughout the paper. Here, $G$ is a subgroup of Sym($\Omega$), where $\Omega$ is a finite set.

The group $G$ is called \textit{r-subtuple complete} with respect to a pair of $n$-tuples $I, J \in \Omega^n$, if it contains elements that map every subtuple of size $r$ in $I$ to the corresponding subtuple in $J$, i.e., $\forall k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r$ integers with $1 \leq k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r \leq n \exists h \in G$ with $I_{k_i}^h = J_{k_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r$. Here $I_k$ denotes the $k$th element of tuple $I$ and $I^g$ denotes the image of $I$ under the action of $g$. Note that $n$-subtuple completeness simply requires the existence of an element of $G$ mapping $I$ to $J$; note too that some authors use “$r$-equivalent” as a synonym for “$r$-subtuple complete”.

The group $G$ is said to be of \textit{arity} $r$ if $r$ is the smallest integers such that, for all $n$-tuples $I, J \in \Omega^n$, with $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $n \geq r$, $r$-subtuple completeness implies
n-subtuple completeness. The term “relational complexity” is a synonym for “arity”; when $G$ has arity 2, we say that $G$ is binary. A pair of $n$-tuples of $\Omega$ is called a non-binary witness of size $n$ if it is 2-subtuple complete, but not $n$-subtuple complete.

Before proceeding with background lemmas we mention an alternative approach to the notion of binariness, which derives from ideas found in [4]: $\Sigma \subseteq \Omega$ is said to split the pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ (with $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$) if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ belong to distinct $G_\Sigma$-orbits. It is easy to see that $G$ is binary if and only if, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, for every $\Sigma = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq \Omega$ and for every $\gamma, \delta \in \Omega$, the set $\Sigma$ splits $\{\gamma, \delta\}$ only when, for some $i$, $\{\alpha_i\}$ does too.

The first lemma is well known, it is included as motivation for Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.1.** If $G$ is binary and 2-transitive, then $G = \text{Sym}(\Omega)$.

Recall that we write $G^\Delta$ for the permutation group induced by the set-wise stabilizer $G_\Delta$ on $\Delta$: clearly, $G^\Delta \cong G_\Delta / G(\Delta)$.

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that there exists a subset $\Lambda \subseteq \Omega$ such that $|\Lambda| \geq 2$ and $G^\Lambda$ is a 2-transitive proper subgroup of $\text{Sym}(\Lambda)$. Then $G$ is not binary.

The condition $|\Lambda| \geq 2$ is included so that the phrase “2-transitive” makes sense. Of course, $\text{Sym}(\Lambda)$ can only contain a 2-transitive proper subgroup when $|\Lambda| \geq 4$. Note that the lemma implies, in particular, that if the action of $G$ admits a beautiful subset, then the action is not binary.

**Proof.** Let $|\Lambda| = k$ and observe that, by supposition, any pair of $k$-tuples of distinct elements from $\Lambda$ is 2-subtuple complete. If $G$ were binary, this would imply that $G^\Lambda$ induces every permutation of $\text{Sym}(\Lambda)$, i.e., $G^\Lambda = \text{Sym}(\Lambda)$, which is a contradiction. \qed

The next corollary is a slight strengthening of Lemma 2.2 expressed in the language of beautiful subsets, the definition of which was given in Section 1.

**Corollary 2.3.** Suppose that $G$ has a normal subgroup $N$. If $\Omega$ contains an $N$-beautiful subset, then $G$ is not binary.

**Proof.** Let $\Lambda$ be an $N$-beautiful subset of $\Omega$ and observe that $|\Lambda| \geq 5$. Since $N \triangleleft G$, the group $(N_\Lambda G(\Lambda)) / G(\Lambda)$ is a normal subgroup of $G_\Lambda / G(\Lambda)$, that is, $N^\Lambda \triangleleft G^\Lambda$. Since $\Lambda$ is $N$-beautiful, we get $\text{Alt}(\Lambda) \not\leq N^\Lambda$, and hence $G^\Lambda$ is a 2-transitive proper subgroup of $\text{Sym}(\Lambda)$. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that $G$ is not binary. \qed

The next lemma will be useful when we search for beautiful subsets.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let $K$ be a group endowed with a 2-transitive action, and let $K_0$ be a point-stabilizer in $K$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ and suppose that $\varphi : H \to K$
is an isomorphism. Let \( M \) be the stabilizer in \( G \) of a point \( \omega \in \Omega \) and suppose that \( \varphi(H \cap M) = K_0 \). Then \( H \) acts 2-transitively on the orbit \( \omega^H \).

**Proof.** The group isomorphisms \( \varphi : H \to K \) and \( \varphi|_{H \cap M} : H \cap M \to K_0 \) induce a bijection from the set \( K/K_0 \) of right cosets of \( K_0 \) in \( K \) to the set \( H/(H \cap M) \) of right cosets of \( H \cap M \) in \( H \). Thus, we obtain a permutation isomorphism, and the result follows. \( \square \)

We do not require that \( K \) is faithful in Lemma 2.4. We will tend to use the lemma with 2-transitive groups \( K \) where \( K/N \cong E_{p^\alpha} \rtimes C_{p^\alpha-1} \). (Here we write \( N \) for the kernel of the action of \( K \), \( E_{p^\alpha} \) for the elementary abelian group of order \( p^\alpha \), and \( C_{p^\alpha-1} \) for the cyclic group of order \( p^\alpha - 1 \).) The group \( K \) is sharply 2-transitive on a set of size \( p^\alpha \) with stabilizer cyclic of order \( C_{p^\alpha-1} \). The next lemma will be useful when we come to deal with those actions that do not contain a beautiful subset.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( \omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega \) with \( G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_1} = 1 \). Suppose there exists \( g \in G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_1} \) with \( g \notin G_{\omega_2} \). Then the two triples \( (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2) \) and \( (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2^g) \) are 2-subtuple complete but are not 3-subtuple complete. In particular, \( G \) is not binary.

**Proof.** Let \( x \in G_{\omega_2} \) and \( y \in G_{\omega_1} \) with \( g = xy \). We have \( (\omega_0, \omega_1)^{id_G} = (\omega_0, \omega_1) \), \( (\omega_0, \omega_2)^g = (\omega_0, \omega_2^g) \) and \( (\omega_1, \omega_2)^y = (\omega_1, \omega_2^g) \), and hence the two triples \( (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2) \) and \( (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2^g) \) are 2-subtuple complete. If \( (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2) \) and \( (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2^g) \) are 3-subtuple complete, then there exists \( z \in G \) with \( (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2)^z = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2^g) \); in particular, \( z \in G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_1} = 1 \) and hence \( g \in G_{\omega_2} \), which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

The final lemma is nothing more than an observation. It requires no proof but is included as it will be used repeatedly in what follows.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( G \), let \( M \) be the stabilizer in \( G \) of the point \( \omega \in \Omega \) and let \( \Lambda = \omega^H \). Then \( C_M(H) \leq G(\Lambda) \) and \( G(\Lambda) \leq N_G(G(\Lambda)) \).

### 2.1. Forbidden configurations.

As we mentioned above, Lemma 2.2 can be thought of as a particular example of a **forbidden configuration**. The idea is as follows: suppose we want to prove that the action of \( G \) on \( \Omega \) is not binary; to do this it would be convenient to be able to observe that there is some subset \( \Lambda \subseteq \Omega \) for which \( G^{\Lambda} \) is not binary, and conclude (somehow) that the action of \( G \) on \( \Omega \) is not binary. Unfortunately, the fact that \( G^{\Lambda} \) is not binary does not necessarily imply that \( G \) is not binary – but a moment’s thought shows that this implication will hold if we can find a non-binary witness in \( \Lambda \) of size \(|\Lambda|\). Let us summarise this observation:

**Lemma 2.7.** Suppose that there exists a subset \( \Lambda \subseteq \Omega \) of size \( t \) for which \( G^{\Lambda} \) is not binary and for which there exists a non-binary witness \((I, J)\) where \( I \) and \( J \) are \( t \)-tuples of distinct elements of \( \Lambda \). Then \( G \) is not binary.
Now, Lemma 2.2 is a particular case of Lemma 2.7. More concretely let us define a *forbidden configuration* to be a subset \( \Lambda \subseteq \Omega \) for which \( G^\Lambda \) is not binary and for which there is a non-binary witness in \( \Lambda \) of size \( |\Lambda| \).

In this paper, the only example of a forbidden configuration that will need is that of a beautiful subset. However other examples do exist: Suppose that \( \Lambda \) is a subset of \( \Omega \) of size 6. Let us write \( \Lambda = \{ 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \} \). Now suppose that \( G^\Lambda \) contains \( f = (12)(34) \) and \( g = (12)(56) \) but not \( h = (12) \). Then one can see immediately that the following pair is a non-binary witness of size 6:

\[
((1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), (2, 1, 3, 4, 5, 6))
\]

This forbidden configuration has already proved useful in the study of the primitive actions of almost simple groups with socle isomorphic to \( \text{PSL}_2(q) \), for some prime power \( q \). These actions do not, in general, admit beautiful subsets so that line of attack on Cherlin’s conjecture is closed to us; however the forbidden configuration described above occurs for many of these actions, and immediately yields a proof of Cherlin’s conjecture in this setting [13].

One is naturally led to ask the following question: *Can one classify the pairs \((H, \Lambda)\) where \( H \) is a group acting on \( \Lambda \) having a non-binary witness of size \(|\Lambda|\)*?

Note, finally, that we have defined forbidden configurations with respect to the study of binary actions. It should be clear that the notion can be easily extended to the study of actions of any fixed arity, and could be used in an attempt to prove a version of Conjecture 1.1 for primitive actions of arity 3 or 4.

A concrete example can be found in our approach to Theorem B: our method there is to find a beautiful subset \( \Lambda \) of size \( t \) for almost every action of a simple group \( S \) under the ambit of the theorem. In a number of cases, we have \( t = q + 1 \) (where \( q \) is a prime power) and we demonstrate that the action of \( S^\Lambda \) on \( \Lambda \) is permutation isomorphic to the natural action of \( \text{PGL}_2(q) \) on the \( q + 1 \) points of the projective line over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). In particular, the action of \( S^\Lambda \) is 3-transitive and hence, for \( q \geq 5 \), the subset \( \Lambda \) constitutes a forbidden configuration for actions of arity 3 as well as for binary actions. One could use this approach in many other cases to prove that the arity of the actions considered in Theorem B is not just greater than 2, as the theorem asserts, but greater than 3 (with more exceptions).

### 3. Alternating socle.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( G \) be a finite almost simple primitive group on \( \Omega \) with socle the alternating group \( \text{Alt}(n) \) with \( n \geq 5 \). Then one of the following holds:

1. \( \Omega \) contains a beautiful subset (with respect to this action);
2. the action is one of those listed in Table 1.

The case where \( G \) has socle \( \text{Alt}(6) \) is exceptional. Note first that the proposition implies that, in this case, all primitive actions of \( G \) have a beautiful subset except when \( G \) is either \( \text{Alt}(6) \) or \( \text{Sym}(6) \). In these cases, \( G \) has two conjugacy classes
of maximal subgroups isomorphic to Alt(5) or to Sym(5). The two primitive actions corresponding to these two conjugacy classes are permutation isomorphic to each other, and are permutation isomorphic to the natural action of $G$; similarly $G$ has two conjugacy classes of maximal subgroups isomorphic to Sym(4) or to Sym(4) $\times$ $C_2$; again the corresponding primitive actions are permutation isomorphic to each other, and are permutation isomorphic to the action of $G$ on 2-subsets.

Thus, reading Table 1 up to permutation isomorphism, Line 5 is superfluous.

The groups appearing in the first two lines of Table 1 are genuine exceptions for Proposition 3.1, see Lemma 3.3. However, some of the groups in lines 3 or 4 may have beautiful subsets. For instance, Alt(41) acting on the cosets of Alt(41) $\cap$ AGL$_1$(41) does have a beautiful subset of size 5; whereas, Alt(13) acting on the cosets of Alt(13) $\cap$ AGL$_1$(13) has no beautiful subsets. A similar comment applies for the groups in the fourth line of Table 1. The existence of beautiful subsets for these actions depends on detailed arithmetical conditions and studying these here would take too much of a detour.

Before we prove Proposition 3.1, let us see why it implies Theorem A.

**Proof of Theorem A.** We must deal with the actions given in Table 1.

**Line 1 in Table 1.** If $G = \text{Sym}(n)$ in its natural action, then the action is binary and the conjecture holds. If $G = \text{Alt}(n)$ in its natural action, then the relational complexity is well known to be equal to $n - 1$ (see [8, page 340]), and the action is not binary.

**Line 2 in Table 1.** If $G = \text{Sym}(n)$ and $G$ acts on the set of 2-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then [9, Theorem 1, p. 267] asserts that the relational complexity is 3, and so the action is not binary.

If $G = \text{Alt}(n)$, then the relational complexity of the action on 2-sets is known to equal $\max(n - 2, 3)$ by [8, Theorem 2], and so the action is not binary.

**Line 3 in Table 1.** Suppose now that $G = \text{Alt}(n)$, $n$ is a prime number with $n \geq 13$ and $\Omega$ is the set of right cosets of AGL$_1(n) \cap \text{Alt}(n)$ in Alt($n$). Consider
\(x := (12345 \cdots n)\) and \(y := x^{(123)} = (23145 \cdots n)\). An easy computation yields that \(g := xy = (21357 \cdots n 468 \cdots n-1)\) is an \(n\)-cycle.

Now, \(N_G(\langle g \rangle) \cong \text{AGL}_1(n) \cap \text{Alt}(n)\) and the three subgroups \(N_G(\langle x \rangle), N_G(\langle y \rangle)\) and \(N_G(\langle g \rangle)\) are \(G\)-conjugate. Hence we may write \(G_{\omega_0} = N_G(\langle g \rangle), G_{\omega_1} = N_G(\langle y \rangle)\) and \(G_{\omega_2} = N_G(\langle x \rangle)\), for some \(\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega\). In particular, \(g \in G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_2} G_{\omega_1}\). A computation yields \(G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_1} = G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_2} = 1\). (For this to be true, we only need \(n > 5\).) Therefore, \(g \notin G_{\omega_2}\) and, by Lemma 2.5, \(G\) is not binary.

**Line 4 in Table 1.** Here \(G\) is either \(\text{Sym}(n)\) or \(\text{Alt}(n)\) acting on \(\Omega\), and for \(\omega_0 \in \Omega\), the point stabilizer \(G_{\omega_0}\) is primitive on \(\Lambda = \{1, \ldots, n\}\) with socle \(\text{PSL}_2(2^f)\) for \(f \geq 2\), or \(\text{PSL}_2(q)\) for \(q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}\). As \(\text{PSL}_2(2^2) \cong \text{PSL}_2(5)\), we assume that \(f \geq 3\).

We first deal with small values of \(q\). When \(q = 5\), \(\text{PSL}_2(5)\) has primitive permutation representations of degree 5, 6 and 10. The action of degree 5 gives \(\text{PSL}_2(5) \cong \text{Alt}(5)\) and hence \(\text{PSL}_2(5)\) is not maximal in \(\text{Alt}(5)\). Similarly, the action of degree 10 gives an embedding of \(\text{PSL}_2(5)\) into \(\text{Alt}(10)\) with \(\text{PSL}_2(5)\) not maximal in \(\text{Alt}(10)\). Finally, the action of degree 6 gives rise to an embedding of \(\text{PSL}_2(5)\) into \(\text{Alt}(6)\), and the action of \(\text{Alt}(6)\) on the coset space \(\text{Alt}(6)/\text{PSL}_2(5)\) is permutation isomorphic to the action of \(\text{Alt}(6)\) on \(\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\), which is not binary. The argument for \(\text{PGL}_2(5)\) is entirely similar.

Next, \(\text{PSL}_2(8)\) and \(\text{PGL}_2(8)\) have primitive permutation representations of degree 9, 28 and 36. As \(\text{PSL}_2(8) < \text{PGL}_2(8)\) and \(|\text{PGL}_2(8) : \text{PSL}_2(8)| = 3\), we see that in none of these permutation representations \(\text{PSL}_2(8)\) is maximal in the corresponding alternating group. Using the inclusion \(\text{PGL}_2(8) < \text{PSp}_6(2)\), one can check that the permutation representations of degree 28 and 36 do not yield maximal subgroups for the corresponding alternating groups. Finally, the permutation representation of \(\text{PGL}_2(8)\) of degree 9 gives rise to an embedding into \(\text{Alt}(9)\), now the action of \(\text{Alt}(9)\) on the cosets of \(\text{PGL}_2(8)\) is primitive of degree 120, and, using \textit{magma} [5], we check that this action is not binary. In the rest of the proof, we may assume that \(q > 8\). Set

\[
r := \begin{cases} 
q - 1 \quad &\text{when } q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\
\frac{q + 1}{2} \quad &\text{when } q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\
q + 1 \quad &\text{when } q = 2^f.
\end{cases}
\]

Observe that \(r\) is odd and that \(G_{\omega_0}\) contains an element \(h\) of order \(r\). From [15, 16], \(h\) has a cycle of length \(r\) in its action on \(\Lambda = \{1, \ldots, n\}\), and hence, relabeling the indexed set \(\Lambda = \{1, \ldots, n\}\) if necessary, we may assume that

\[
h = (1 2 3 4 \cdots r)h',
\]

for some \(h' \in \text{Sym}(\{r+1, \ldots, n\})\).
Recall that from Bertrand’s postulate, for each \( \kappa \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( \kappa > 3 \), there exists a prime \( p \) with \( \kappa < p < 2\kappa - 2 \). Applying this result, we see that there exists a prime \( p \) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{q + 5}{8} < p < 2 \cdot \frac{q + 5}{8} - 2 = \frac{q - 3}{4} & \text{when } q \equiv 3 \mod 8, \ q \not\in \{11, 19\}, \\
\frac{q + 3}{8} < p < 2 \cdot \frac{q + 3}{8} - 2 = \frac{q - 5}{4} & \text{when } q \equiv 5 \mod 8, \ q \not= 13, \\
2^{f-3} < p < 2 \cdot 2^{f-3} - 2 = 2^{f-2} - 2 & \text{when } f \geq 5.
\end{cases}
\]

We also set

\[
p := \begin{cases}
3 & \text{when } q = 11, \\
7 & \text{when } q = 19, \\
5 & \text{when } q = 13, \\
5 & \text{when } 2^f = 2^4 = 16.
\end{cases}
\]

Let \( n_0 \) be the minimal degree of a faithful permutation representation of \( \text{PSL}_2(q) \), and recall that \( n_0 = q + 1 \), except when \( q \in \{5, 7, 9, 11\} \) where the amended values are \( 5, 7, 6, 11 \) respectively. Since we are assuming that \( q > 8 \) (and \( q = 2^f \) or \( q \equiv 3, 5 \mod 8 \)), we have \( n_0 = q + 1 \) except for \( q = 11 \) where \( n_0 = 11 \).

Let

\[
\tau := (1 2 \cdots p)
\]

and \( \omega_1 := \omega_0^7 \), in particular, \( G_{\omega_1} = G_\tau^7 \). Let \( u \in G_\tau^7 \cap G_{\omega_0} = G_{\omega_1} \cap G_{\omega_0} \) and suppose that \( u \neq 1 \). Then, \( u = v^\tau \) for some \( v \in G_{\omega_0} \setminus \{1\} \). Now, \( [v, \tau] = v^{-1}u \in G_{\omega_0} \) and moreover \( [v, \tau] = (v^{-1}\tau^{-1}v)\tau \) is the product of two \( p \)-cycles of \( \text{Sym}(n) \). (Given \( x \in \text{Sym}(\Lambda) \), denote by \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(x) \) the number of fixed points of \( x \) on \( \Lambda \).) We have

\[
\frac{\text{fix}_\Lambda([v, \tau])}{|\Lambda|} \geq \frac{n - 2p}{n} = 1 - \frac{2p}{n}
\]

\[
\geq 1 - \frac{2p}{n_0} \geq \begin{cases}
1 - \frac{6}{11} & \text{when } q = 11, \\
1 - \frac{7}{10} & \text{when } q = 19, \\
1 - \frac{5}{7} & \text{when } q = 13, \\
1 - \frac{5}{7} & \text{when } q = 16, \\
1 - \frac{q - 3}{2(q + 1)} & \text{when } q \equiv 3 \mod 8, \ q > 19, \\
1 - \frac{q - 5}{2(q + 1)} & \text{when } q \equiv 5 \mod 8, \ q > 13, \\
1 - \frac{q - 8}{2(q + 1)} & \text{when } q = 2^f, \ q \geq 16.
\end{cases}
\]
Now a very useful upper bound for

\[
\max \left\{ \frac{\text{fix}_\Lambda(x)}{|\Lambda|} \mid x \in G_{\omega_0} \setminus \{1\} \right\}
\]

can be read off from [22, Theorem 1 and Table 1]. (In using this information, observe that, when \( q \) is odd, \( q \) is not a square because \( q \equiv 3, 5 \) (mod 8).) This upper bound implies that \([v, \tau] = 1\), i.e., \( \tau \) and \( v \) commute (in other words, the lower bound for \( \text{fix}_\Lambda([v, \tau])/|\Lambda| \) obtained above is larger than the upper bound for the fixed-point-ratio of non-identity elements obtained in [22]).

As \( \tau \) is a \( p \)-cycle, we obtain \( v = \tau^s v' \), for some \( v' \in \text{Sym} \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and some \( s \in \mathbb{N} \). Suppose that \( s = 0 \). Then \( v = v' \in G_{\omega_0} \) fixes at least \( p \) points of \( \Lambda \). Therefore

\[
(3.1) \quad \frac{\text{fix}_\Lambda(v)}{|\Lambda|} \geq \frac{p}{n} \geq \frac{p}{n_0} > \begin{cases} 
\frac{3}{11} & \text{when } q = 11, \\
\frac{7}{20} & \text{when } q = 19, \\
\frac{5}{14} & \text{when } q = 13, \\
\frac{5}{17} & \text{when } q = 16, \\
\frac{q + 5}{8(q + 1)} & \text{when } q \equiv 3 \text{ (mod 8)}, q > 19, \\
\frac{q + 3}{8(q + 1)} & \text{when } q \equiv 5 \text{ (mod 8)}, q > 13, \\
\frac{q}{8(q + 1)} & \text{when } q = 2^f, q > 16.
\end{cases}
\]

In each case, a careful case-by-case analysis of this lower bound with the upper bound for \( \max_{x \neq 1} \{\text{fix}_\Lambda(x)/|\Lambda|\} \) in [22, Theorem 1 and Table 1] reveals that \( v = 1 \), which is a contradiction. None of these tedious computations is difficult, but they do require some care; here, we give an idea of the proof for the first line in [22, Table 1]. For this particular line, \( \max_{x \neq 1} \{\text{fix}_\Lambda(x)/|\Lambda|\} \) equals either \( 2/(q + 1) \) or \( (q^{1/r} + 1)/(q + 1) \) (where \( q := \kappa^f \), for some prime number \( \kappa \) and some positive integer \( f \) and for some \( r \mid f \) with \( r > 1 \)). It is elementary to see that \( 2/(q + 1) \) is smaller than the lower bound in (3.1) for \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(v)/|\Lambda| \). Using the fact that \( r > 2 \) when \( q \equiv 3, 5 \) (mod 8), it is elementary to see that \( (q^{r} + 1)/(q + 1) \) is at least as big as the lower bound we found for \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(v)/|\Lambda| \) only when \( (r, q) \in \{(16, 2), (64, 2), (27, 3)\} \), moreover, when \( (q, r) = (16, 2) \) we infer from (3.1) we have \( n = n_0 = q + 1 \). However, for \( q \in \{27, 64\} \), we may go back to our construction and refine the choice of the prime \( p \) and with this improvement on the lower bound in (3.1) on \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(v)/|\Lambda| \), \( (q^r + 1)/(q + 1) \) is no longer greater than this estimate. When \( (q, r, n) = (16, 2, 17) \), we construct the permutation representation of...
Alt(17) acting on the cosets of $\text{PGL}_2(16)$ and we check by brute force that this action is not binary. Therefore $s \neq 0$.

By raising $v$ to a suitable power, we may assume that $v = \tau v'$, for some $v' \in \text{Sym}(\{p+1, \ldots, n\})$. Now, the permutation $hv^{-1}$ belongs to $G_{\omega_0}$ and fixes at least $p - 1$ points: indeed, $hv^{-1}$ fixes the points 1, 2, $\ldots$, $p - 1$ of $\Lambda$. Arguing again as above, we see that $hv^{-1} = 1$, a contradiction. This contradiction has arisen from assuming that $G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_1} \neq 1$. Therefore

$$G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_1} = 1.$$

Set $k := h^r \in G_{\omega_0} = G_{\omega_1}$ and observe that $k = (23 \cdots p1p+1 \cdots r)h'$, because $r > p$. Now set $z := hk$ and observe that

$$z = (135 \cdots p-2pp+2 \cdots rp+1p+3 \cdots r-124 \cdots p-1)h^2.$$

Since $r$ is odd, $z$ has the same cycle structure as $h$ and $k$ and hence $z \in G_{\omega_2}$, for some $\omega_2 \in \Omega$. Observe that

$$h = zk^{-1} \in G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_2}G_{\omega_1}.$$

If $h \in G_{\omega_2}$, then

$$zh^{-2} = (rp-1r-1) \in G_{\omega_2},$$

but this is a contradiction because the only primitive groups containing a 3-cycle are $\text{Alt}(n)$ and $\text{Sym}(n)$ by a celebrated theorem of Jordan. Therefore $h \notin G_{\omega_2}$. Now we immediately deduce from Lemma 2.5 that $G$ is not binary.

Line 5 in Table 1. The proof follows from the proof of Line 1 and Line 2 and the comment following the statement of Proposition 3.1.

Note that the exact arities of various actions of $\text{Alt}(n)$ and $\text{Sym}(n)$ have been worked out (see [9]). These results imply Theorem A in certain special cases.

3.1. Proving Proposition 3.1. Our job for the remainder of this section is to prove Proposition 3.1. The case of $n = 6$ is rather special and we dispose of this peculiarity by invoking the help of a computer-aided computation: we construct all primitive faithful permutation representations of $\text{Alt}(6)$, $\text{Sym}(6)$, $\text{PGL}_2(9)$, $M_{10}$ and $\text{PGL}_2(9)$ and we find in each case a beautiful subset, except for the cases listed in Table 1. Having this case dealt with, from here on we will take $M$ to be a maximal subgroup of $G$, where $G = \text{Alt}(n)$ or $\text{Sym}(n)$. We are interested in the action of $G$ on $\Omega$, where $\Omega$ is the set of right cosets of $M$.

Recall that the maximal subgroups of $G$ are described by the O’Nan-Scott-Aschbacher theorem; we will use notation for this theorem consistent with [20, 21]. The description of $M$ is given in terms of its action on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$: in its action on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, the group $M$ can be either intransitive, or transitive but not
primitive (that is, imprimitive), or primitive. For the proof of Proposition 3.1, we deal with each of these cases, in order, in the following sections.

3.2. The group \( M \) is intransitive. If \( M \) is intransitive on \( \{1,\ldots,n\} \), then \( \Omega \) can be identified with the set of \( k \)-subsets of \( \{1,\ldots,n\} \) for some \( k \) such that \( 1 \leq k < \frac{n}{2} \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that \( 2 < k < n/2 \), and that \( \Omega \) is the set of \( k \)-subsets of \( \{1,\ldots,n\} \). Then
\[
\Delta := \{ \{1,2,3,8,9,\ldots,k+4\}, \{3,4,5,8,9,\ldots,k+4\}, \\
\{1,4,7,8,9,\ldots,k+4\}, \{3,6,7,8,9,\ldots,k+4\}, \{2,5,7,8,9,\ldots,k+4\}, \\
\{2,4,6,8,9,\ldots,k+4\}\}
\]
is \( G \)-beautiful.

**Proof.** Observing that \( \Delta \) consists of seven sets of size \( k \) and that the seven sets (3.2) \( \{1,2,3\}, \{3,4,5\}, \{1,5,6\}, \{1,4,7\}, \{3,6,7\}, \{2,5,7\}, \{2,4,6\} \)
are the lines of a Fano plane with point set \( \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\} \). Consider \( X := \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} \delta = \{8,9,\ldots,k+4\}, \quad Y := \{1,\ldots,n\} \setminus \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} \delta = \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, n\} \)
and observe that, for every \( \sigma \in G_\Delta \), \( \sigma \) fixes set-wise \( X \) and \( Y \). From this it immediately follows that
\[
G_\Delta \leq \text{Sym} (\{1,\ldots,7\}) \times \text{Sym} (\{8,\ldots,k+4\}) \times \text{Sym} (\{k+5,\ldots,n\})
\]
and \( G_\Delta \cong \text{PGL}_3(2) \) in its natural 2-transitive action on the lines of the Fano plane. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that \( \Omega \) is the set of 2-subsets of \( \{1,\ldots,n\} \). Then no subset of \( \Omega \) is \( G \)-beautiful.

**Proof.** We argue by contradiction and we assume that \( \Delta \) is a beautiful subset of \( \Omega \): in particular \( \Delta \neq \emptyset \) and \( \Delta \neq \Omega \). We can think of \( \Delta \) as the edge set of a graph with vertex set \( \{1,\ldots,n\} \): let \( \Gamma \) be this graph. Then \( G_\Delta = \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \) and, as \( \Delta \) is a beautiful subset, \( \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \) acts 2-transitively on the edges of \( \Gamma \). (As we noticed above, \( \Gamma \) is neither empty nor complete.)

If \( \Gamma \) has two distinct edges with no vertex in common, then, by the 2-transitivity of \( \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \) on edges, we infer that any two distinct edges of \( \Gamma \) have no vertex in common. Therefore \( \Gamma \) is a union of parallel edges and hence \( G_\Delta \geq \text{Alt}(\Delta) \), a contradiction.
Suppose that $\Gamma$ has two distinct edges with one vertex in common. Then, by the 2-transitivity of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on edges, we infer that any two distinct edges of $\Gamma$ have a vertex in common. Recall that we may assume that $n \geq 5$. A moment’s thought (or by applying the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem [12]) gives that all edges of $\Gamma$ are adjacent to a fixed element of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Therefore $G^\Delta \succeq \text{Alt}(\Delta)$, a contradiction. □

3.3. The group $M$ is transitive but not primitive. Here we suppose that $M$ is transitive but not primitive. In this case, $\Omega$ can be identified with the set of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $\ell$ subsets, each of size $k$; in particular, $n = k\ell$ with $k, \ell > 1$. We adopt this notation for the next three results.

Lemma 3.4. If $n = 8$ and $k = 2$, then the action of $G$ admits a beautiful subset of size 7. If $n \geq 10$ and $k = 2$, then the action of $G$ admits a beautiful subset of size 6.

When $n = 6$, the action on partitions with $k = 2$ is isomorphic to the action on 2-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence the action admits no beautiful subset, see Lemma 3.3.

Proof. It is an easy computation with magma to confirm that $G$ has a beautiful subset of size 7 when $n = 8$, see Section 1.3. Assume then that $n/k \geq 5$.

Consider the Petersen graph $\mathcal{P}$ with the labeling as in Figure 1. The automorphism group of $\mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Sym}(5)$, where the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ on the vertices of $\mathcal{P}$ is permutation isomorphic to the action of $\text{Sym}(5)$ on the 2-subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Observe also that $\mathcal{P}$ has six complete matchings

$$\delta_1 := \{\{1, 6\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 8\}, \{4, 9\}, \{5, 10\}\},$$
$$\delta_2 := \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 8\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6, 9\}, \{7, 10\}\},$$
$$\delta_3 := \{\{1, 5\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 9\}, \{6, 8\}, \{7, 10\}\},$$
$$\delta_4 := \{\{1, 6\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{7, 9\}, \{8, 10\}\},$$
$$\delta_5 := \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 10\}, \{6, 8\}, \{7, 9\}\},$$
$$\delta_6 := \{\{1, 5\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 4\}, \{6, 9\}, \{8, 10\}\}.$$ (3.3)
and that the action $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$ on these six complete matchings is permutation isomorphic to the 2-transitive action of $\text{PGL}_2(5) \cong \text{Sym}(5)$ on the six points of the projective line.

An easy computation shows that the only elements of $\text{Sym}(10)$ fixing set-wise \{\(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4, \delta_5, \delta_6\)\} are the elements of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P})$.

Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-5}$ be a partition of \{11, \ldots, n\} with $|X_i| = k$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/k - 5\}$. Now define

$$\Delta := \{\{1, 6\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 8\}, \{4, 9\}, \{5, 10\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-5}\},$$

$$\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 8\}, \{4, 5\}, \{6, 9\}, \{7, 10\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-5}\},$$

$$\{\{1, 5\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 9\}, \{6, 8\}, \{7, 10\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-5}\},$$

$$\{\{1, 6\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{7, 9\}, \{8, 10\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-5}\},$$

$$\{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 10\}, \{6, 8\}, \{7, 9\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-5}\},$$

$$\{\{1, 5\}, \{2, 7\}, \{3, 4\}, \{6, 9\}, \{8, 10\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-5}\}\}.$$

From the discussion above, $G^\Delta$ is permutation isomorphic to $\text{PGL}_2(5)$ in its natural 2-transitive action of degree 6 and hence $\Delta$ is a beautiful subset. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.5.** If $k \geq 4$, then the action of $G$ admits a beautiful subset of size 7.

**Proof.** Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}$ be a partition of \{2k + 1, 2k + 2, \ldots, n\} with $|X_i| = k$, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n/k - 2\}$. Using the seven lines of the Fano plane in Eq. (3.2), we construct a subset $\Delta$ of $\Omega$ of size 7:

$$\Delta := \{\delta_1 := \{1, 2, 3\} \cup \{8, \ldots, k+4\},$$

$$\{4, 5, 6, 7\} \cup \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, 2k\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}\},$$

$$\delta_2 := \{3, 4, 5\} \cup \{8, \ldots, k+4\},$$

$$\{1, 2, 6, 7\} \cup \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, 2k\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}\},$$

$$\delta_3 := \{1, 5, 6\} \cup \{8, \ldots, k+4\},$$

$$\{2, 3, 4, 7\} \cup \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, 2k\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}\},$$

$$\delta_4 := \{1, 4, 7\} \cup \{8, \ldots, k+4\},$$

$$\{2, 3, 5, 6\} \cup \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, 2k\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}\},$$

$$\delta_5 := \{3, 6, 7\} \cup \{8, \ldots, k+4\},$$

$$\{1, 2, 4, 5\} \cup \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, 2k\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}\},$$

$$\delta_6 := \{2, 5, 7\} \cup \{8, \ldots, k+4\},$$

$$\{1, 3, 4, 6\} \cup \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, 2k\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}\},$$

$$\delta_7 := \{2, 4, 6\} \cup \{8, \ldots, k+4\},$$

$$\{1, 3, 5, 7\} \cup \{k+5, k+6, \ldots, 2k\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2}\}\}.$$

\[\square\]
(Observe that the hypothesis \( k \geq 4 \) guarantees that \( \Delta \) consists of partitions of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) into \( n/k \) parts of size exactly \( k \).

By construction, we see that \( G^\Delta \succeq \text{PGL}_3(2) \) with its natural action on the seven lines of the Fano plane. Suppose that \( G^\Delta \succeq \text{Alt}(\Delta) \). In particular, \( G_\Delta \) contains a permutation \( \sigma \) fixing \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \) and permuting cyclically \( \delta_5, \delta_6, \delta_7 \). Observe that

\[
\{ X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2} \} = \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} \delta.
\]

As \( \sigma \) fixes set-wise \( \Delta \), we deduce that \( X_i^\sigma \in \{ X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2} \} \), for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n/k-2\} \). In particular, without loss of generality we may assume that \( \sigma \) fixes point-wise \( \{2k+1, \ldots, n\} \). Now, let \( i, j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \) with \( i \neq j \). If \( \sigma \) interchanges the first two parts of \( \delta_i \), then \( \sigma \) does not fix \( \delta_j \), contradicting the fact that \( \sigma \) fixes the partitions \( \delta_i \) and \( \delta_j \). This shows that \( \sigma \) fixes set-wise the first two parts of the partitions \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \). In particular, without loss of generality we may assume that \( \sigma \) fixes point-wise \( \{8, \ldots, k+4\} \) and \( \{k+5, \ldots, 2k\} \). Therefore \( \sigma \) fixes point-wise \( \{8, 9, \ldots, n\} \). As \( \sigma \in G_\Delta \), we now deduce that the seven lines of the Fano plane are \( \sigma \)-invariant and hence \( \sigma \) is an automorphism of the Fano plane.

Since \( \sigma \) fixes \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \), we get that \( \sigma \) fixes four lines of the Fano plane. A computation yields that the only automorphism of the Fano plane fixing four lines is the identity, and hence \( \sigma = 1 \), a contradiction. Therefore \( G^\Delta \not\succeq \text{Alt}(\Delta) \).

**Lemma 3.6.** If \( k = 3 \), then the action of \( G \) admits a beautiful subset of size 10.

**Proof.** When \( n = 6 \), there are 10 partitions of \( \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \) into two parts of size three, the action of \( G \) on this set \( \Omega \) is 2-transitive of degree 10 and \( G \not\succeq \text{Alt}(\Omega) \); hence \( \Omega \) is beautiful. In fact, the action of \( \text{Alt}(6) \cong \text{PSL}_2(9) \) on \( \Omega \) is permutation isomorphic to the action of \( \text{PSL}_2(9) \) on the points of the projective line.

Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_{n/k-2} \) be a partition of \( \{7, 8, \ldots, n\} \) with \( |X_i| = 3 \), for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, n/3-2\} \). Using the ten 3-uniform partitions of \( \{1, \ldots, 6\} \) we construct a subset \( \Delta \) of \( \Omega \) of size 10:

\[
\Delta := \{ \{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 2, 4\}, \{3, 5, 6\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 2, 5\}, \{3, 4, 6\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 2, 6\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 3, 4\}, \{2, 5, 6\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 4, 6\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 3, 6\}, \{2, 4, 5\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 6\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 4, 6\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \}, \{ \{1, 5, 6\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, X_1, \ldots, X_{n/3-2} \} \}.
\]

It is readily seen, arguing as in Lemma 3.5, that \( G^\Delta \) is permutation isomorphic to \( \text{Sym}(6) \) or \( \text{Alt}(6) \) in its 2-transitive action of degree 10, and hence \( \Delta \) is beautiful.

\[\square\]
3.4. The group $M$ is primitive. Here we consider those maximal subgroups $M$ that act primitively on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The O’Nan-Scott-Aschbacher theorem asserts (in the terminology in [20, 21]) that we are in one of the following situations.

\((\text{AS})\) $M$ is almost simple; or
\((\text{Aff})\) $M$ is affine: $n = r^\ell$ for some prime $r$ and positive integer $\ell$, and $M = AGL_\ell(r)$ when $G = \text{Sym}(n)$ or $M = AGL_\ell(r) \cap \text{Alt}(n)$ when $G = \text{Alt}(n)$; or
\((\text{Diag})\) $M$ is a primitive group of diagonal type; or
\((\text{Prod})\) $n = m^r$ where $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 5$ and $r \geq 2$, and $M = \text{Sym}(m) \wr \text{Sym}(\ell)$ when $G = \text{Sym}(n)$ or $M = (\text{Sym}(m) \wr \text{Sym}(\ell)) \cap \text{Alt}(n)$ when $G = \text{Alt}(n)$; this is the product action of $M$.

In order to deal with these four cases, we need a little notation. We write $\Lambda := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and we consider the actions of $G$ and $M$ on $\Lambda$: for $x \in G$, we write

$$\text{fix}_\Lambda(x) := \left\{ \lambda \in \Lambda \mid \lambda^x = \lambda \right\}.$$ 

On the other hand, $G$ also acts on $\Omega$, the set of right cosets of $M$ in $G$, and we write $\omega_0$ for the coset $M$, considered as an element of $\Omega$.

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $k$ and $t$ be positive integers with $t \geq 4$, and suppose that the following conditions hold:

1. $M$ contains an element $h$ of order $t - 1$;
2. $G \setminus M$ contains an element of $g$ of order $t$ with $\text{fix}_\Lambda(g) = n - k$; and
3. $K := \langle g, h \rangle = \langle g \rangle \rtimes \langle h \rangle$ is a Frobenius group with Frobenius kernel $\langle g \rangle$.

Then one of the following holds:

1. $M$ contains a non-trivial element $f$ of order divisible by 3 such that $\text{fix}_\Lambda(f) \geq n - 2k$;
2. $\Delta := \omega_0^K$ is a $G$-beautiful subset of $\Omega$ of size $t$.

**Proof.** Since $K$ is Frobenius, the group $\langle h \rangle$ acts by conjugation fixed-point-freely on the group $\langle g \rangle$. Since $h \in M$ and $g \notin M$, we conclude that $K_{\omega_0} = K \cap G_{\omega_0} = K \cap M = \langle h \rangle$. Thus the action of $K$ on $\Delta$ is permutation isomorphic to the 2-transitive action of $K$ on the right cosets of $\langle h \rangle$; in particular $K$ acts faithfully and 2-transitively on $\Delta$, see Lemma 2.4 and the comment following its proof.

Next observe that $K$ contains an odd and an even cycle on $\Delta$, and so $K$ (viewed as a permutation group on $\Delta$) cannot be contained in $\text{Alt}(\Delta)$. Thus, either $\Delta$ is a $G$-beautiful subset of $\Omega$, or else $G_{\Delta} = \text{Sym}(\Delta)$.

Suppose that $G_{\Delta} = \text{Sym}(\Delta)$. Observe that $g$ acts as a $t$-cycle on $\Delta$. Thus, writing $\Delta = \{\omega_0, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{t-1}\}$, we can assume that $g$ acts as the $t$-cycle $(\omega_0 \omega_1 \cdots \omega_{t-1})$ on $\Delta$. Let $x$ be an element of $G_{\Delta}$ that acts as the transposition $(\omega_1 \omega_2)$ on $\Delta$.

Consider $y := (x^{-1})g$ which acts as the transposition $(\omega_2 \omega_3)$ on $\Delta$. Since both $x$ and $y$ fix $\omega_{t-1}$, they are both elements of $M$. Now the product $xy$ acts as the
Table 2. Upper bounds for max\{\text{fix}_\Lambda(x) \mid x \in M \setminus \{1\}\}.

| Type of $M$ | Upper bound | Reference |
|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| (AS)        | $n - 2(\sqrt{n} - 1)$ | [22, Corollary 3] |
| (AS*)       | $4n/7$ | [17, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1] |
| (Aff)       | $n/r$, where $r$ is prime and $n = r^\ell$ | Elementary |
| (Diag)      | $4n/15$ | [15, Section 5] |
| (Prod)      | $(|\Gamma| - 2)|\Gamma|^{-1}$ where $\Lambda = \Gamma^\ell$ | [15, Lemma 6.13] |

3-cycle $(\omega_1 \omega_3 \omega_2)$ on $\Delta$, hence is a non-trivial element of $M$ of order divisible by 3.

What is more, $g$ fixes $n - k$ points of $\Lambda$, and hence so does its conjugate $xg^{-1}x^{-1}$. Therefore the product $xg^{-1}x^{-1} \cdot g = xy$ fixes at least $n - 2k$ points of $\Lambda$.

Lemma 3.7 will be used in conjunction with known upper bounds for the number of fixed points of non-trivial elements in $M$ in its action on $\Lambda$. This information can be found in Table 2.

Let us make some remarks about this table: First, note that the reference given for (AS)—[22, Corollary 3]—is a slight strengthening of [3]. Second, by (AS*) we mean that $M$ is an almost simple group, and if the socle of $M$ is isomorphic to $\text{Alt}(m)$ for some $m$, then the action of $M$ on $\Lambda$ is not permutation isomorphic to the action on the $k$-element subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m - 1\}$.

Now note that Theorem 1 in [17] gives the best known upper bound for the number of fixed points of a non-identity element of a primitive group. Since we apply this theorem only for almost simple primitive groups $M$, where the socle of $M$ is not an alternating group $\text{Alt}(m)$ in its natural action on $k$-subsets, Part 2 of Theorem 1 in [17] does not apply, and hence we deduce the bound given in Table 2. For further details concerning the notation used, we refer to the proofs of the ensuing lemmas.

**Lemma 3.8.** If $M$ is of type (Prod), then the action of $G$ on the cosets of $M$ admits a beautiful subset of size 5.

**Proof.** In this case $\Lambda$ can be identified with an $M$-invariant Cartesian decomposition $\Gamma^\ell$, where $\Gamma$ is a set of size $m \geq 5$, and $n = m^\ell$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell \geq 2$. The action of $M$ on $\Gamma^\ell$ is the natural primitive product action on the Cartesian decomposition.

We write the elements of $M$ in the form $(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell)\sigma$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_\ell \in \text{Sym}(\Gamma)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\ell)$: given $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_\ell) \in \Gamma^\ell$ and $m = (x_1, \ldots, x_\ell)\sigma \in M$, we get that

$$(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_\ell)^m := \left(\gamma_1^{x_1 \sigma^{-1}}, \gamma_2^{x_2 \sigma^{-1}}, \ldots, \gamma_\ell^{x_\ell \sigma^{-1}}\right).$$
Fix $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4$ five distinct elements of $\Gamma$. Consider

$$h := \left( (\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4, (\gamma_1 \gamma_2), 1, 1, \ldots, 1 \right)$$

of $M$. Clearly, $h$ fixes a point of $\Gamma^\ell$, for instance $h$ fixes the point $\delta_0 := (\gamma_0, \gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_0) \in \Gamma^\ell$. Moreover, $h$ acts as an even permutation on $\Gamma^\ell$ and hence $h \in \operatorname{Alt}(n)$. Observe that the $\langle h \rangle$-orbit containing $(\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_0)$ has length 4. Namely, it consists of

$$\delta_1 := (\gamma_1, \gamma_1, \gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_0), \quad \delta_2 := \delta_1^h = (\gamma_2, \gamma_2, \gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_0),$$

$$\delta_3 := \delta_2^h = (\gamma_3, \gamma_1, \gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_0), \quad \delta_4 := \delta_3^h = (\gamma_4, \gamma_2, \gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_0).$$

Consider the 5-cycle $g := (\delta_0 \delta_1 \delta_2 \delta_3 \delta_4)$ of $\operatorname{Alt}(\Gamma^\ell) = \operatorname{Alt}(n)$. Clearly $g^h = g^2$ and so $\langle h \rangle$ normalizes $\langle g \rangle$ and $\langle g, h \rangle$ is a Frobenius group of order 20.

Our aim is to apply Lemma 3.7 to the elements $g$ and $h$ with $t := 5$ and $k := 5$. By construction $h$ is an element of $M$ of order $4 = t - 1$, $g$ has order $5 = t$ and $\text{fix}_\Lambda(g) = n - 5 = n - k$. Our remaining job is to verify that $g \notin M$.

We argue by contradiction and we assume that $g \in M$. Table 2 implies that $g$ fixes at most $|\Gamma| - 2)|\Gamma|^{t-1}$ points of $\Gamma^\ell \equiv \{1, \ldots, n\} = \Lambda$. Hence $|\Gamma|^t - 5 = n - 5 = \text{fix}_\Lambda(g) \leq (|\Gamma| - 2)|\Gamma|^{t-1}$, a contradiction.

Now Lemma 3.7 implies that either $\omega_0^K$ is a beautiful subset of size 5 (and we are done), or else $M$ contains a non-trivial element $f$ of order divisible by 3 such that $\text{fix}_\Lambda(f) \geq n - 10$. Again, Table 2 implies that $\text{fix}_\Lambda(f) \leq (|\Gamma| - 2)|\Gamma|^{t-1}$. This yields $t = 2, |\Gamma| = 5, n = 5^2 = 25$ and $f$ fixes 15 points of $\Gamma^\ell$. Now, a computation shows that each permutation of $\operatorname{Sym}(5) \wr \operatorname{Sym}(2)$ fixing 15 points of $\Gamma^\ell$ has order 2, contradicting the fact that $f$ has order divisible by 3.

Our next job is to set up some notation that will be useful for dealing with the case that $M$ is primitive of diagonal type.

Let $\ell \geq 1$ and let $T$ be a non-abelian simple group. Consider the group $N := T^{\ell+1}$ and $D := \{ (t, \ldots, t) \in N \mid t \in T \}$, a diagonal subgroup of $N$. Let $\Lambda$ be the set of right cosets of $D$ in $N$. Then $|\Lambda| = |T|^\ell$. Moreover we may identify each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with an element of $T^\ell$ as follows: the right coset $\lambda = D(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$ contains a unique element whose first coordinate is 1, namely, the element $(1, \alpha_0^{-1} \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_0^{-1} \alpha_\ell)$. We choose this distinguished coset representative and we denote the element $D(1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$ of $\Lambda$ simply by

$$[1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell].$$

Now the element $\varphi$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(T)$ acts on $\Lambda$ by

$$[1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell]^\varphi = [1, \alpha_1^\varphi, \ldots, \alpha_\ell^\varphi].$$
Note that this action is well defined because $D$ is $\text{Aut}(T)$-invariant. Next, the element $(t_0, \ldots, t_\ell)$ of $N$ acts on $\Lambda$ by

$$[1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell] (t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) = [t_0, \alpha_1 t_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell t_\ell] = [1, t_0^{-1} \alpha_1 t_1, \ldots, t_0^{-1} \alpha_\ell t_\ell].$$

Observe that the action induced by $(t, \ldots, t) \in N$ on $\Lambda$ is the same as the action induced by the inner automorphism corresponding to conjugation by $t$. Finally, the element $\sigma$ in $\text{Sym}(\{0, \ldots, \ell\})$ acts on $\Lambda$ simply by permuting the coordinates. Note that this action is well defined because $D$ is $\text{Sym}(\ell+1)$-invariant.

The set of all permutations we described generates a group $W$ isomorphic to $T^{\ell+1} \times (\text{Out}(T) \times \text{Sym}(\ell+1))$.

In our case, we may identify the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $\Lambda = N/D$. Moreover, $M = W$ when $G = \text{Sym}(n)$ and $M = W \cap \text{Alt}(n)$ when $G = \text{Alt}(n)$. Write

$$R = \{(t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_\ell) \in N \mid t_0 = 1\}.$$ 

Clearly, $R$ is a normal subgroup of $N$ acting regularly on $\Lambda$. Since the stabilizer in $W$ of the point $[1, \ldots, 1]$ is $\text{Sym}(\ell+1) \times \text{Aut}(T)$, we obtain that

$$W = (\text{Sym}(\ell+1) \times \text{Aut}(T)) R.$$

Moreover, every $x \in W$ can be written uniquely as $x = \sigma \varphi r$, with $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\ell+1)$, $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(T)$ and $r \in R$.

**Lemma 3.9.** If $M$ is of type (Diag), then the action of $G$ on the cosets of $M$ admits a beautiful subset of size 5.

**Proof.** We start with a claim:

**Claim.** The group $M$ contains an element $h$ of order 4.

The claim implies the result. Suppose that the claim is true. Now write $\Lambda := \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and consider the action of $M$ on $\Lambda$ that induces the embedding of $M$ in $G$. Under this action, let $n_1$ be the number of fixed points of $h$, $n_2$ the number of cycles of length 2 of $h$ and $n_4$ the number of cycles of length 4 of $h$. Clearly, $n = n_1 + 2n_2 + 4n_4$ and $h^2$ fixes $n_1 + 2n_2 = n - 4n_4$ points of $\Lambda$. From [15, Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4], we see that $h^2$ fixes at most $4n/15$ points of $\Lambda = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ (see also Table 2). Therefore $n - 4n_4 \leq 4n/15$ and $n_4 \geq 11n/60$. Since $n = |\Lambda| = |T^\ell| \geq 60$, we get $n_4 \geq 11$ and hence $h$ has at least 11 cycles of length 4. For our argument we only need five cycles of $h$ of length 4. Say that, in its cycle decomposition,

$$h = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4) (\lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda_7 \lambda_8) (\lambda_9 \lambda_{10} \lambda_{11} \lambda_{12}) (\lambda_{13} \lambda_{14} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{16}) (\lambda_{17} \lambda_{18} \lambda_{19} \lambda_{20}) \cdots.$$
Consider \( g \in \text{Sym}(\Lambda) \) having cycle structure
\[
g := (\lambda_1 \lambda_5 \lambda_9 \lambda_{13} \lambda_{17}) (\lambda_2 \lambda_{10} \lambda_{18} \lambda_6 \lambda_{14}) (\lambda_3 \lambda_{19} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{11} \lambda_7) (\lambda_4 \lambda_{16} \lambda_8 \lambda_{20} \lambda_{12}) .
\]
A computation gives \( g^h = g^3 \) and so \( \langle h \rangle \) normalizes \( \langle g \rangle \) and \( \langle g, h \rangle \) is a Frobenius group of order 20. Set \( K := \langle g, h \rangle \).

We wish to apply Lemma 3.7 to the elements \( g \) and \( h \) with \( t := 5 \) and \( k := 20 \). By construction \( h \) is an element of \( M \) of order \( 4 = t - 1 \), \( g \) has order \( 5 = t \) and \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(g) = n - 20 = n - k \). Our remaining job is to verify that \( g \not\in M \). We argue by contradiction and we assume that \( g \in M \). Table 2 implies that \( n - 20 = \text{fix}_\Lambda(g) \leq 4n/15 \), and so \( n \leq 27 \). However this contradicts \( n = |\Lambda| = |T^\ell| \geq 60 \).

Now Lemma 3.7 implies that either \( \omega_0^K \) is a beautiful subset of size 5 (and we are done), or else \( M \) contains a non-trivial element \( f \) such that \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(f) \geq n - 40 \). In the latter case, Table 2 implies, again, that \( n - 40 \leq 4n/15 \), and so \( n \leq 54 \) which, again, contradicts the fact that \( n \geq 60 \).

**Proof of the claim.** From the description of \( M \), we see that \( M \) contains an element of order 4 whenever \( T \) does. In particular, we may assume that \( T \) has no element of order 4 and so the Sylow 2-subgroups of the non-abelian simple group \( T \) are elementary abelian. Now, by a celebrated theorem of Walter [25], we get that \( T \) is one of the following groups: \( \text{PSL}_2(2^f) \) for some \( f \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( f \geq 2 \), \( \text{PSL}_2(9) \) with \( q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \), \( J_1, 2G_2(3^{2f+1}) \) with \( f \geq 1 \).

Let \( t \) be an involution of \( T \) and consider the element \( m_1 := (1, t, 1, \ldots, 1) \) of \( R \). Clearly, \( m_1 \) has order 2. Consider then \( \sigma := (0, 1) \in \text{Sym}(\ell + 1) \leq W \). Now, for each \([1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell] \in \Lambda\), we have
\[
[1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell]^\sigma = [1, \alpha_1^{-1}, \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_1^{-1}\alpha_\ell].
\]
If \( \ell + 1 \geq 3 \) (that is, \( \ell \geq 2 \)), then this element is fixed by \( \sigma \) if and only if \( \alpha_1 = 1 \). Thus \( \sigma \) (viewed as a permutation in \( \text{Sym}(\Lambda) \)) fixes \( |T|^\ell - 1 \) points. Thus the number of cycles of length 2 of \( \sigma \) is
\[
\frac{|T|^\ell - |T|^{\ell-1}}{2} = \frac{|T| - 1}{2} |T|^{\ell-1}.
\]
Since \( |T| \) is divisible by 4, this number is even, and hence \( \sigma \in W \cap \text{Alt}(\Lambda) \leq M \).

If \( \ell = 1 \), then the element \([1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell] = [1, \alpha_1] \) above is fixed by \( \sigma \) if and only if \( \alpha_1^2 = 1 \). In particular, \( \sigma \) (viewed as a permutation in \( \text{Sym}(\Lambda) \)) fixes \( \nu \) points, where \( \nu = |\{ \alpha \in T \mid \alpha^2 = 1 \}| \). Thus the number of cycles of length 2 of \( \sigma \) is
\[
\frac{|\Lambda| - \text{fix}_\Lambda(\sigma)}{2} = \frac{|T| - \nu}{2}.
\]
A direct computation in $\text{PSL}_2(2^f)$, $\text{PSL}_2(q)$, $J_1$ and $^2G_2(3^{2f+1})$ reveals that $(|T| - \nu)/2$ is even. In particular, note that

$$\nu = \begin{cases} 
2^{2f} & \text{when } T = \text{PSL}_2(2^f), \\
\frac{q^2 - q + 2}{2} & \text{when } T = \text{PSL}_2(q), q \equiv 3 \pmod{8}, \\
\frac{q^2 + q + 2}{2} & \text{when } T = \text{PSL}_2(q), q \equiv 5 \pmod{8}, \\
1464 & \text{when } T = J_1, \\
3^{8f+4} - 3^6f^3 + 3^4f^2 + 1 & \text{when } T = ^2G_2(3^{2f+1}).
\end{cases}$$

Hence again $\sigma \in W \cap \text{Alt}(\Lambda) \leq M$.

Let $h := m_1\sigma = (1, t, 1, \ldots, 1)(01)$. By construction, $h \in W \cap \text{Alt}(\Lambda) \leq M$. Moreover, $h^2 = (t, t, 1, \ldots, 1) \neq 1$ and $h^4 = 1$, that is, $h$ has order 4. \qed

Next we deal with the case where $M$ is affine.

**Lemma 3.10.** If $M$ is of type (Aff), then either the action of $G$ on the cosets of $M$ admits a beautiful subset, or $G = \text{Alt}(n)$ and $n$ is an odd prime with $n \geq 13$.

**Proof.** Here $\Lambda = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ can be identified with the elements of a vector space $V$ of dimension $\ell$ over a field of prime size $r$ (so $n = r^\ell$), and $M = \text{AGL}_\ell(r)$ when $G = \text{Sym}(n) = \text{Sym}(V)$ and $M = \text{AGL}_\ell(r) \cap \text{Alt}(n)$ when $G = \text{Alt}(n) = \text{Alt}(V)$.

**Case 1.** $\ell \geq 2$.

Observe that $n \geq 5$ and hence $\ell \geq 3$ when $r = 2$. Since $\text{SL}_2(r)$ contains elements of order $r - 1$ and $r + 1$, we conclude that $\text{SL}_2(r)$ contains an element of order 6 unless $r = 2$. Since $\text{SL}_3(2)$ contains an element of order 6, this implies that $\text{SL}_\ell(r)$ contains an element $h$ of order 6 in all cases. By [15, Theorem 4.2], the group element $h$ has a cycle of length 6 in its action on $V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Observe also that $h$ fixes the zero vector of $V$. In particular,

$$h = (\lambda_0) (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5 \lambda_6) \cdots,$$

for some $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_6 \in V = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Consider $g \in \text{Sym}(\Lambda)$ having cycle structure

$$g := (\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_2 \lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda_4).$$

A computation gives $g^h = g^3$ and so $\langle h \rangle$ normalizes $\langle g \rangle$, and $\langle g, h \rangle$ is a Frobenius group of order 42. Set $K := \langle g, h \rangle$.

We wish to apply Lemma 3.7 to the elements $g$ and $h$ with $t := 7$ and $k := 7$. By construction $h$ is an element of $M$ of order $6 = t - 1$, $g$ has order $7 = t$ and $\text{fix}_\Lambda(g) = n - 7 = n - k$. In order to apply Lemma 3.7 we must establish that $g \notin M$. 


By Table 2, \( n - 7 = \text{fix}_A(g) \leq n/r \) and we conclude that \( (r, \ell) \in \{(3, 2), (2, 3)\} \). Setting these cases to one side, for a moment, Lemma 3.7 allows us to conclude that either \( \omega_0^K \) is a beautiful subset of size 7 (and we are done), or else \( M \) contains a non-trivial element \( f \) such that \( \text{fix}_A(f) \geq n - 14 \). In the latter case, Table 2 implies that \( n - 14 \leq n/r \), that is, \( (r, \ell) \in \{(3, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\} \).

Thus, to complete the proof, we must deal with these three cases. When \( (r, \ell) = (2, 3) \), the action of \( G \geq \text{Alt}(8) \cong \text{SL}_4(2) \) on the right cosets of \( \text{AGL}_3(2) = \text{ASL}_3(2) \) is 2-transitive of degree 15 and hence we have a beautiful subset of size 15 (a computation with magma shows that we also have beautiful subsets of size 7 and 8); when \( (r, \ell) = (3, 2) \), a computation with magma reveals that \( G \) has beautiful subsets of size 5, 7 and 9; finally, when \( (r, \ell) = (2, 4) \), another computer-aided computation yields that \( G \) has beautiful subsets of size 7.

**Case 2.** \( \ell = 1 \).

Here \( n = r \). Suppose that \( G = \text{Sym}(V) \). Then \( M = \text{AGL}_1(r) \) and \( r \geq 5 \) is odd. Let \( h \) be an element of \( M \) of order \( r - 1 \) and observe that \( h \), viewed as a permutation in \( \text{Sym}(V) \), has a cycle of length \( r - 1 \) and a fixed point. Let \( x \in G \) with \( h^x = h^{-1} \).

Let \( \omega_1 \) be the coset \( Mx \) and let \( \Delta := \omega_1^M \). Observe that \( G_{\omega_0} = M \) and \( G_{\omega_0} \cap G_{\omega_1} = M \cap M^x = \langle h \rangle \), hence \( |\Delta| = r \). Now, as \( M \) is maximal in \( G \) and \( M \leq G_\Delta \), we get \( G_\Delta = M \), and \( G_\Delta \) is permutation isomorphic to \( M \) in its 2-transitive action on \( V \). Therefore \( \Delta \) is a beautiful subset of size \( r \).

Finally, suppose that \( G = \text{Alt}(n) \), \( M = \text{AGL}_1(n) \cap \text{Alt}(n) \) and \( \Omega \) is the set of right cosets of \( M \) in \( G \). When \( n = 5 \), \( G \) acts 2-transitively on \( \Omega \) and \( G \not\cong \text{Alt}(\Omega) \) and hence \( \Omega \) is a beautiful subset. When \( n \in \{7, 11\} \), the group \( M \) is not maximal in \( G \). Therefore \( n \geq 13 \). \( \square \)

Finally we deal with the case where \( M \) is almost simple.

**Lemma 3.11.** If \( M \) is of type (AS), then either the action of \( G \) on the cosets of \( M \) admits a beautiful subset of size 5 or 7, or the socle of \( M \) is \( \text{PSL}_2(2^f) \) with \( f \geq 2 \), or \( \text{PSL}_2(q) \) with \( q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8} \).

**Proof.** Here \( G \) is either \( \text{Sym}(n) \) or \( \text{Alt}(n) \) and \( M \) is a maximal subgroup of \( G \) with \( M \) almost simple. Let \( T \) be the socle of \( M \) and set \( \Lambda := \{1, \ldots, n\} \). As usual, let \( \Omega \) be the set of right cosets of \( M \) in \( G \) and denote by \( \omega_0 \) the coset \( M \).

**Case 1.** Let \( \lambda_0 \in \Lambda \). Suppose that \( M_{\lambda_0} \) contains an element \( h \) of order 4.

Consider the action of \( h \) on \( \Lambda \). As \( h \) has order 4, \( h \) has at least one cycle of length 4 on \( \Lambda \), say \( (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4) \). Consider \( g := (\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_4 \lambda_3) \). A computation gives \( g^h = g^2 \) and so \( \langle h \rangle \) normalizes \( \langle g \rangle \) and \( \langle g, h \rangle \) is a Frobenius group of order 20. Set \( K := \langle g, h \rangle \).
We wish to apply Lemma 3.7 to the elements $g$ and $h$ with $t := 5$ and $k := 5$. By construction $h$ is an element of $M$ of order $4 = t - 1$, $g$ has order $5 = t$ and $\text{fix}_A(g) = n - 5 = n - k$. We must show that $g \not\in M$: we use the fact that $\text{fix}_A(g) = n - 5 \leq n - 2(\sqrt{n} - 1)$ by Table 2. Thus $n \leq 12$. Suppose, for the moment, that $n > 12$. Then Lemma 3.7 implies that we have a beautiful subset of size 5, or else there is a non-trivial element $f \in M$ with $\text{fix}_A(f) \geq n - 10$ and so, by Table 2, $n = 10 \leq n - 2(\sqrt{n} - 1)$ and $n \leq 36$.

To complete the proof we must deal with the case $n \leq 36$. By a computation with Magma, we see that, except when $G = \text{Sym}(6)$ and $M = \text{PGL}_2(5)$ (or $G = \text{Alt}(6)$ and $M = \text{PSL}_2(5)$), the group $G$ contains a beautiful subset of size 5. Finally, when $G = \text{Sym}(6)$ and $M = \text{PGL}_2(5)$ (or $G = \text{Alt}(6)$ and $M = \text{PSL}_2(5)$), the action of $G$ is permutation isomorphic to the action of $G$ on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, which has no beautiful subset. Observe that the socle of $M$ is $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ with $q = 5$, in line with the statement of this lemma.

**Case 2.** Suppose that $M$ contains an element $h$ of order 4.

From Case 1, we may assume that, for $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$, $M_{\lambda_0}$ does not contain elements of order 4. This allows us to exclude one particular family of primitive actions. In fact, if $M$ is either $\text{Alt}(m)$ or $\text{Sym}(m)$ (with $m \geq 5$) in its natural primitive action on the $k$-subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ (with $1 < k < m/2$), then $M_{\lambda_0}$ is isomorphic to either $\text{Sym}(k) \times \text{Sym}(m-k)$ or to $(\text{Sym}(k) \times \text{Sym}(m-k)) \cap \text{Alt}(m)$. In both cases, provided that $(m, k) \neq (5, 2)$, we have $m - k \geq 4$ and hence the group $M_{\lambda_0}$ contains an element of order 4. Therefore we may exclude these groups $M$ from our analysis here. Observe that when $(m, k) = (5, 2)$ we have $n = \binom{m}{k} = 10$ and the socle of $M$ is $\text{Alt}(5) \cong \text{PSL}_2(q)$ with $q = 5$; according to the statement of this lemma the action of $G$ on $\Omega = G/M$ potentially does not have a beautiful subset.

This excluded case means that the group $M$ is now in case (AS*), and we can apply the stronger results Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 from [17] that is listed in Table 2. In other words, we know that if $f \in M \setminus \{1\}$, then $\text{fix}_A(f) \leq \frac{2}{7}n$.

Suppose first that $n > 93$. Consider the action of $h$ on $\Lambda$; let $n_1$ be the number of fixed points of $h$, $n_2$ the number of cycles of length 2 of $h$ and $n_4$ the number of cycles of length 4 of $h$. Clearly, $n = n_1 + 2n_2 + 4n_4$ and $\text{fix}_A(h^2) = n_1 + 2n_2 = n - 4n_4$. Thus $n - 4n_4 \leq \frac{4}{7}n$ and, since $n > 93$, we have $n_4 \geq 5$. Say that, in its cycle decomposition,

$$h = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4)(\lambda_5 \lambda_6 \lambda_7 \lambda_8)(\lambda_9 \lambda_{10} \lambda_{11} \lambda_{12})(\lambda_{13} \lambda_{14} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{16})(\lambda_{17} \lambda_{18} \lambda_{19} \lambda_{20}) \cdots$$

Consider the element $g \in \text{Sym}(\Lambda)$ having cycle structure

$$g := (\lambda_1 \lambda_5 \lambda_9 \lambda_{13} \lambda_{17})(\lambda_2 \lambda_{10} \lambda_{18} \lambda_6 \lambda_{14})(\lambda_3 \lambda_{19} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{11} \lambda_{7})(\lambda_4 \lambda_{16} \lambda_8 \lambda_{20} \lambda_{12})$$

A computation gives $g^h = g^3$ and so $\langle h \rangle$ normalizes $\langle g \rangle$ and $\langle g, h \rangle$ is a Frobenius group of order 20. Set $K := \langle g, h \rangle$. 

We wish to apply Lemma 3.7 to the elements $g$ and $h$ with $t := 5$ and $k := 20$. By construction $h$ is an element of $M$ of order $4 = t - 1$, $g$ has order $5 = t$ and $\text{fix}_\Lambda(g) = n - 20 = n - k$. We must show that $g \not\in M$. Since $g$ fixes $n - 20$ elements of $\Lambda$, if $g$ were in $M$, then $n - 20 \leq \frac{4}{3}n$ and $n \leq 60$ which contradictions our supposition. Now Lemma 3.7 implies that we have a beautiful subset of size 5, or else there is a non-trivial element $f \in M$ such that $\text{fix}_\Lambda(f) \geq n - 40$. Now $n - 40 \leq \frac{4}{3}n$ and so $n \leq 93$ which, again, is a contradiction.

We are left with the case where $n \leq 93$. A computation with magma shows that, in this case, except when the socle of $M$ is $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ (with $q = 2^f$ or $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$) $G$ contains a beautiful subset of size 5 or 7.

**Case 3.** Suppose that $M$ does not have an element of order 4.

By the aforementioned theorem of Walter [25], the supposition implies that $T$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups $\text{PSL}_2(2^f)$ with $f \geq 2$, $\text{PSL}_2(q)$ with $q \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$, $J_1$ or $\text{PG}_2(3^{2f+1})$ with $f \geq 1$. In the first two cases, the lemma does not say anything: potentially, the action of $G$ on $\Omega = G/M$ does not admit beautiful subsets. Therefore it remains to deal with the case that $T$ is either $J_1$ or $\text{PG}_2(3^{2f+1})$.

From [10] and [23], we see that both $J_1$ and $\text{PG}_2(q)$ contain an element $h$ of order 6: the centralizer of an involution in $J_1$ is isomorphic to $C_2 \times \text{Alt}(5)$ and the centralizer of an involution in $\text{PG}_2(3^{2f+1})$ is isomorphic to $C_2 \times \text{PSL}_2(3^{2f+1})$ and both $\text{Alt}(5)$ and $\text{PSL}_2(3^{2f+1})$ contain an element of order 3.

We claim that $h$ has at least seven cycles of length 6 on $\{1, \ldots, n\} = \Lambda$. This follows with an easy computation when $T = J_1$: we may construct with magma all primitive permutation representations of $M$ and check that an element of order 6 always has at least seven cycles of length 6 (actually, an element of order 6 always has at least 42 cycles of length 6). Suppose then $T = \text{PG}_2(3^{2f+1})$. Write $q := 3^{2f+1}$.

Now, from [19, Theorem 1 and Table 1], we have

$$\frac{\text{fix}_\Lambda(x)}{|\Lambda|} < \frac{1}{q^2 - q + 1},$$

for every $x \in M \setminus \{1\}$.

The number $n_1$ of fixed points of $h$ is $\text{fix}_\Lambda(h)$, the number $n_2$ of cycles of length 2 of $h$ is $(\text{fix}_\Lambda(h^2) - \text{fix}_\Lambda(h))/2$ and the number $n_3$ of cycles of length 3 of $h$ is $(\text{fix}_\Lambda(h^3) - \text{fix}_\Lambda(h))/3$. Therefore the number of 6 cycles of $h$ is

$$\frac{n - (n_1 + 2n_2 + 3n_3)}{6} = \frac{n - (\text{fix}_\Lambda(h^2) + \text{fix}_\Lambda(h^3) - \text{fix}_\Lambda(h))}{6} > \frac{n}{6} - \frac{2n}{6(q^2 - q + 1)} \geq \frac{q^3 + 1}{6} - \frac{q + 1}{3} > 7,$$
where in the second inequality we used that a faithful permutation representation of \( M \) has degree at least \( q^3 + 1 \) (see [23] or [24]), and the third inequality follows with an easy computation.

In particular,

\[
h = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 \lambda_5 \lambda_6) (\lambda_7 \lambda_8 \lambda_9 \lambda_{10} \lambda_{11} \lambda_{12}) (\lambda_{13} \lambda_{14} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{16} \lambda_{17} \lambda_{18}) \\
\times (\lambda_{19} \lambda_{20} \lambda_{21} \lambda_{22} \lambda_{23} \lambda_{24}) (\lambda_{25} \lambda_{26} \lambda_{27} \lambda_{28} \lambda_{29} \lambda_{30}) \\
\times (\lambda_{31} \lambda_{32} \lambda_{33} \lambda_{34} \lambda_{35} \lambda_{36}) (\lambda_{37} \lambda_{38} \lambda_{39} \lambda_{40} \lambda_{41} \lambda_{42}) \cdots.
\]

Consider the element \( g \in \text{Sym}(\Lambda) \) having cycle structure

\[
g := (\lambda_1 \lambda_{37} \lambda_{31} \lambda_{25} \lambda_{19} \lambda_{13} \lambda_{7}) (\lambda_{2} \lambda_{14} \lambda_{26} \lambda_{38} \lambda_{8} \lambda_{20} \lambda_{32}) \\
\times (\lambda_{3} \lambda_{21} \lambda_{39} \lambda_{15} \lambda_{33} \lambda_{9} \lambda_{27}) (\lambda_{4} \lambda_{10} \lambda_{16} \lambda_{22} \lambda_{28} \lambda_{34} \lambda_{40}) \\
\times (\lambda_{5} \lambda_{35} \lambda_{23} \lambda_{11} \lambda_{41} \lambda_{29} \lambda_{17}) (\lambda_{6} \lambda_{30} \lambda_{12} \lambda_{36} \lambda_{18} \lambda_{42} \lambda_{24}).
\]

A computation gives \( g^h = g^3 \) and so \( \langle g, h \rangle \) normalizes \( \langle g \rangle \) and \( \langle g, h \rangle \) is a Frobenius group of order 42. Set \( K := \langle g, h \rangle \). We wish to apply Lemma 3.7 to the elements \( g \) and \( h \) with \( t := 7 \) and \( k := 42 \). By construction \( h \) is an element of \( M \) of order \( 6 = t - 1 \), \( g \) has order \( 7 = t \) and \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(g) = n - 42 = n - k \). We must prove that \( g \not\in M \): if \( g \) were an element of \( M \), Table 2 would imply that \( n - 42 \leq 4n/7 \) and so \( n \leq 98 \), which contradicts the fact that the degree of a faithful permutation representation of \( T \) (being \( J_1 \) or \( 2G_2(3^{2f+1}) \)) is at least 266.

Now Lemma 3.7 implies that we have a beautiful subset of size 7, or else \( M \) contains a non-trivial element \( f \) with \( \text{fix}_\Lambda(f) \geq n - 84 \) and, once again, Table 2 implies that \( n - 84 \leq 4n/7 \) and so \( n \leq 196 \). Since \( 196 < 266 \), we have a contradiction. \( \Box \)

4. Classical socle. In this section we will prove Theorem B. Our approach divides naturally into two sections: we will produce a classification of those actions within the ambit of Theorem B that admit a beautiful subset, and a proof of Theorem B will then be obtained by studying those actions that do not admit a beautiful subset.

It will be convenient to take \( S \) to be a quasisimple classical group—thus, in what follows, \( S \) will be one of \( \text{SL}_n(q) \), \( \text{Sp}_n(q)' \), \( \text{SU}_n(q) \), \( \Omega_n(q) \) (with \( n \) odd) or \( \Omega_n^\varepsilon(q) \) (with \( n \) even and \( \varepsilon \in \{+,-\} \)). We consider an action of \( S \) on some set \( \Omega \). Note that we do not assume that \( S \) acts primitively on \( \Omega \), and we drop the (implicit) assumption that \( S \) acts faithfully on the set \( \Omega \).

Using isomorphisms between classical groups of small dimension, we assume that \( n \geq 3 \) for \( S = \text{SU}_n(q) \), \( n \geq 4 \) for \( S = \text{Sp}_n(q)' \), \( n \geq 7 \) for \( S = \Omega_n(q) \) with \( n \) odd, and \( n \geq 8 \) for \( S = \Omega_n^\varepsilon(q) \) with \( n \) even and with \( \varepsilon \in \{+,-\} \). The requirement that \( S \) is quasisimple will, in addition, exclude \( \text{SL}_2(2) \), \( \text{SL}_2(3) \) and \( \text{SU}_3(2) \).
The group $S$ is a subgroup of the isometry group of some fixed form $\varphi$. We will write $V$ for the associated vector space of dimension $n$ over the field $\mathbb{K}$ where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_q$ except when $S$ is a unitary group, in which case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$. The form $\varphi$ is either non-degenerate or the zero form (in the case $S = \text{SL}_n(q)$).

When $\varphi$ is non-degenerate, we will use of a hyperbolic basis $B$ of $V$ of the form

$$\{e_1, \ldots, e_k, f_1, \ldots, f_k\} \cup A,$$

where $k$ is the Witt index of $\varphi$, $(e_i, f_i)$ are hyperbolic lines for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $A$ is either empty or of size at most 2 and spans an anisotropic subspace of $V$.

Let $W$ be a subspace of $V$. Recall that a Singer cycle $C$ on $W$ is a maximal cyclic irreducible subgroup of $\text{GL}(W)$. In particular $C$ has order $|\mathbb{K}|^m - 1$ where $m = \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(W)$.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $W = \langle e_i \mid i \in I \rangle$ for some subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and let $S_W$ be the set-wise stabilizer of $W$. Then $S_W$ contains a Singer cycle in its action on $W$ in the following cases:

1. $S = \text{Sp}_n(q)'$;
2. $S = \text{SU}_n(q)$ with $n$ odd;
3. $S = \text{SU}_n(q)$ with $n$ even and $\dim(W) < \frac{n}{2}$;
4. $S = \Omega_\varepsilon^n(q)$ with $n$ even and $\dim(W) < \frac{n}{2}$;
5. $S = \Omega_n(q)$ with $n$ odd and $\dim(W) < \frac{n-1}{2}$.

In addition, if $S = \text{SU}_2(q)$ and $W = \langle e_1 \rangle$, then $S_W$ induces a $\mathbb{F}_q$-Singer cycle on the $\mathbb{F}_q$-span of $e_1$.

**Proof.** We prove this lemma case by case.

Let $S = \text{Sp}_n(q)'$. Writing a hyperbolic basis for $V$ with the ordering $e_1, \ldots, e_k, f_1, \ldots, f_k$, we see that the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^{-1})^T \end{pmatrix}$$

lies in $S$, for every $A \in \text{GL}_k(q)$. Thus, for any subspace $W$ of $\langle e_1, \ldots, e_k \rangle$, $S_W$ induces $\text{GL}(W)$ on $W$ and hence $S_W$ contains a Singer cycle on $W$.

Let $S = \text{SU}_n(q)$ with $n$ odd and let $A = \{x\}$. Then, writing a hyperbolic basis for $V$ with the ordering $e_1, \ldots, e_k, x, f_1, \ldots, f_k$, we see that $S$ contains the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ a^{q-1} & (\bar{A}^{-1})^T \end{pmatrix}$$
where \( a = \det(A) \), for every \( A \in \GL_k(q^2) \). Then the result follows immediately as for \( \Sp_n(q) \). If \( S = \SU_n(q) \) with \( n \) even, then the result follows (from the case \( n \) odd) by observing that any even-dimensional non-degenerate proper subspace of \( V \) can be extended to an odd-dimensional non-degenerate subspace. The final remark concerning \( \SU_2(q) \) can be verified directly.

Let \( S = \Omega^e_n(q) \) with \( n \) even. Observe first that we can extend \( W \) to a non-degenerate \((n-2)\)-dimensional subspace \( W_1 \) of \( V \) of type \( + \). Then the stabilizer in \( \SO^e_n(q) \) of \( W_1 \) is isomorphic to a subgroup of \( \SO^+_{n-2}(q) \times \SO^e_2(q) \).

From [18], we observe that \( |\SO^e_2(q) : \Omega^e_2(q)| = 2 \). If \( q \) is odd, then this implies that \( \SO^e_2(q) \) contains elements of non-square spinor norm. If \( q \) is even and \((n,q) \neq (4,2)\), then this implies that \( \SO^e_2(q) \) contains elements that are a product of an odd number of reflections (see Descriptions 1 and 2 in [18, pp. 29–30]). In either case, provided \( \Omega \neq \Omega^e_2(2) \), one concludes immediately that the set-wise stabilizer of \( W_1 \) in \( \SO^e_n(q) \) induces \( \SO^+_{n-2}(q) \) on \( W_1 \). (If \( \Omega = \Omega^e_2(2) \), then there is nothing to prove so this case, too, is covered.) Now, a matrix of the type used in the symplectic case implies that the set-wise stabilizer of \( W_1 \) in \( \SO^+_{n-2}(q) \) induces \( \GL(W_1) \) on \( W_1 \). The result then follows immediately.

Arguing exactly as in the unitary case, the case for even dimensional orthogonal groups can be easily extended to account for \( S = \Omega_n(q) \) with \( n \) odd. \( \Box \)

4.1. Our method. Our method for constructing a beautiful subset is, roughly, as follows. Suppose that we are considering the action of \( S \) on the set of cosets of a subgroup \( M \).

Suppose, first, that \( S \) contains a maximal split torus \( T \) with \( T \leq M \). It is clear that \( M \) cannot contain all of the root subgroups of \( S \) (with respect to \( T \)) as these generate the group \( S \). Let \( U \) be a root subgroup that is not contained in \( M \), and observe that \( T \) normalizes \( U \). Suppose, second, that \( T \) acts transitively and fixed-point-freely on the non-identity elements of \( U \). Since \( U \) is not contained in \( M \), we conclude that \( U \cap M = 1 \). Thus the semi-direct product \( H = U \rtimes T \) is endowed with a 2-transitive action on \( \{Mh \mid h \in H\} \), the action having degree equal to \( |U| \).

Now Lemma 2.4 implies that \( H \) acts 2-transitively on the orbit \( \Lambda = M^H = \{Mh \mid h \in H\} \).

To complete the construction we must show that \( G^\Lambda \neq \Sym(\Lambda) \). Our method for doing this depends on the specific geometry associated with the stabilizer \( M \); in general, though, there is a natural monomorphism from \( G^\Lambda \) to either \( \GL(W) \) or \( \PGL(W) \), where \( W \) is some section of \( V \). Very often \( \dim_{\mathbb{K}}(W) = 2 \) and one concludes immediately (using the subgroup structure of \( \GL_2(\mathbb{K}) \) and \( \PGL_2(\mathbb{K}) \)) that the largest symmetric group in \( G^\Lambda \) has degree 5. If \( |\Lambda| \geq 6 \), then we are done.

The method just described depends, of course, on the validity of our two suppositions, as well as our ability to demonstrate that the full symmetric group is not induced by the set-stabilizer \( G^\Lambda \). For most classical groups this method works, however for some “small” cases all of these requirements fail at various stages, and
we will adjust our method accordingly, or ultimately for “very small” cases we rely on the invaluable help of the computer algebra system magma [5]. This method can also be applied to the other “geometric” subgroups of the classical groups (families $C_2$ to $C_8$), as well as to the exceptional groups; see [14] for more details. We also refer the reader to [13] where, using more ad-hoc methods, Cherlin’s conjecture is proved for Lie type groups of Lie rank 1.

4.2. Classifying beautiful subsets. We let $M$ be either the stabilizer of a subspace $W$ of $V$ (in which case we write $M = \text{Stab}_S(W)$), or the stabilizer of a pair of subspaces, $W_1$ and $W_2$ of $V$ (in which case we write $M = \text{Stab}_S(W_1, W_2)$).

When $S \neq SL_n(q)$ and $M = \text{Stab}_S(W)$, we must distinguish between the case where the subspace is totally isotropic (in which case the group $M$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup), and the case where the subspace is non-degenerate.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $S = SL_n(q)$ with $n \geq 2$ and $q \geq 4$. Then $S$, in its action on the set $\Omega$ of right cosets of a subgroup $M$ in the Aschbacher family $C_1$, has a beautiful subset except for the cases in Line 1, 2 and 3 of Table 3.

**Proof.** According to [18], there are two cases to consider here: either $M$ is the stabilizer of $W$ with $0 < W < V$, or $M$ is the stabilizer of a pair $\{W_1, W_2\}$ with $W_1 < W_2$ and $n = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$ or with $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$.

Case 1. Here $M$ is the stabilizer of the subspace $W$ of $V$.

Now $M$ is a maximal parabolic subgroup of $S$. Since the action of $S$ on the $k$-dimensional subspaces of $V$ is permutation isomorphic to the action on the $(n - k)$-subspaces of $V$, we may assume that $\dim(W) \leq n/2$.

Let $W'$ be a subspace of $W$ with $\dim(W') = \dim(W) - 1$ and consider $\Lambda = \{W'' \leq V \mid W' \subset W'', \dim(W'') = \dim(W)\}$. Clearly, $S_\Lambda = \text{Stab}_S(W')$ and the action of $S_\Lambda$ on $\Lambda$ is permutation isomorphic to the natural action of $\text{GL}(V/W')$ on the 1-dimensional subspaces of $V/W'$. Since this action is 2-transitive, we obtain

| Line | Group | Details of action |
|------|-------|------------------|
| 1    | $SL_2(4)$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W), \dim(W) = 1$ |
| 2    | $SL_3(2)$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W_1, W_2), V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ |
| 3    | $SL_3(2), SL_3(3)$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W_1, W_2), W_1 < W_2$ |
| 4    | $SU_4(2)$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W), W$ totally isotropic, $\dim(W) = 2$ |
| 5    | $SU_4(2)$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W), W$ non-degenerate, $\dim(W) = 1$ |
| 6    | $SU_5(2)$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W), W$ non-degenerate, $\dim(W) = 2$ |
| 7    | $Sp_4(2)'$, $Sp_4(3)$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W), W$ totally isotropic, $\dim(W) = 1$ |
| 8    | $Sp_4(2)'$ | $M = \text{Stab}_S(W), W$ totally isotropic, $\dim(W) = 2$ |
| 9    | $\Omega_7(3)$ | $W$ non-degenerate, $\dim(W) = 1$, $M$ of type $O^-_6(3) \times O_1(3)$ |

Table 3. Cases where a beautiful subset does not exist.
that either \( \Lambda \) is a beautiful subset, or \( \dim(V/W') = 2 \) and \( q = 4 \). If \( \dim(V/W') = 2 \), then \( W' = 0 \) because we are assuming \( \dim(W) \leq n/2 \) and \( \dim(W') = \dim(W) - 1 \). Therefore, when \( (\dim(V/W'), q) = (2, 4) \), we have \( S = \text{SL}_2(4) \cong \text{Alt}(5) \); this case is in Line 1 of Table 3.

**Case 2.** \( M \) is the stabilizer of the pair \( \{W_1, W_2\} \), where \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \) are two subspaces of \( V \) with \( n = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) \) and \( W_1 < W_2 \).

Here, \( \dim(W_1) < n/2 \) by [18, Table 3.5.A]; in particular, \( n \geq 3 \). Let \( H = \text{Stab}_S(W_2) \) be the stabilizer in \( S \) of \( W_2 \). Observe that the action of \( H \) on \( \{W_1, W_2\}^H \) is permutation isomorphic to the action of \( \text{GL}(W_2) \) on \( W_1^H \). In particular, by the previous discussion, when \( (\dim(W_2), q) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\} \), there exists \( \Lambda' \subset W_1^H \) such that \( \Lambda' \) is a beautiful subset for the action of \( H \) on the subspaces of \( W_2 \) of dimension \( \dim(W_1) \). Now, set \( \Lambda = \{W_1', W_2\} \mid W_1' \in \Lambda' \}. \)

Clearly, \( S_{\Lambda} \subset \text{Stab}_S(W_2) = H \) and hence \( S_{\Lambda} = H_{\Lambda'} \); it follows that \( \Lambda \) is a beautiful subset of \( S \). It remains to consider the case that \( \dim(W_1) = 2 \) and \( q \in \{2, 3, 4\} \). As \( \dim(W_1) < n/2 \) and \( \dim(W_2) = 2 \), we get \( n = 3 \). When \( n = 3 \) and \( q = 4 \), a direct computation with \textit{magma} shows that \( \text{SL}_3(4) \) admits a beautiful subset of size 9. The groups \( \text{SL}_3(2) \) and \( \text{SL}_3(3) \) are listed in Line 3 of Table 3.

**Case 3.** \( M \) is the stabilizer of the pair \( \{W_1, W_2\} \), where \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \) are two subspaces of \( V \) with \( V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \).

Here, \( \min\{\dim(W_1), \dim(W_2)\} < n/2 \) by [18, Table 3.5.A]. Replacing \( (W_1, W_2) \) by \( (W_2, W_1) \) if necessary, we may assume that \( \dim(W_1) < \dim(W_2) \). Let \( B = (v_1, \ldots, v_m) \) be a basis of \( W_1 \) and let \( (v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n) \) be a basis of \( W_2 \).

Suppose that \( \dim(W_1) = 1 \). We take \( U \) to be the subgroup of \( S \) whose elements fix \( v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n \) and satisfy

\[
v_1 \mapsto v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \alpha_3 v_3 + \cdots + \alpha_n v_n,
\]

for some \( \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \). We take \( T \) to be the stabilizer in \( S \) of the direct sum decomposition

\[
\langle v_1 \rangle \oplus \langle v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n \rangle.
\]

Then \( U \) is an elementary abelian group of size \( q^{n-1} \), \( T \cong \text{GL}_{n-1}(q) \) and \( \langle U, T \rangle = U \rtimes T \) is isomorphic to the affine general linear group \( \text{AGL}_{n-1}(q) \); moreover the action of \( U \rtimes T \) on

\[
\Lambda = \{W_1, W_2\}^U = \{W_1', W_2\} \mid W_1' \text{ subspace of } V \text{ with } V = W_1' \oplus W_2\}
\]

is permutation isomorphic to the natural 2-transitive affine action of \( \text{AGL}_{n-1}(q) \). Observe that \( U \rtimes T \) is the stabilizer in \( S \) of the subspace \( W_2 \), therefore \( U \rtimes T \) is maximal in \( S \) and hence \( U \rtimes T = S_{\Lambda} \); it follows that, for \( (n, q) \neq (3, 2) \), \( \Lambda \) is a beautiful subset. (When \( q = 2 \) and \( n = 3 \), we have \( |\Lambda| = 4 \), \( \text{AGL}_{3-1}(2) \cong \text{Sym}(4) \) and \( \Lambda \) is not beautiful.) Moreover, the group \( \text{SL}_3(2) \) is listed in Line 2 of Table 3.
Finally, suppose that \( \dim(W_1) > 1 \). Observe that \( \dim(W_2) \geq 3 \) because \( \dim(W_1) < n/2 \) and \( \dim(W_1) < \dim(W_2) \). (Recall that \( m = \dim(W_1) \).) In this case we proceed similarly to the previous case, but take \( U \) to be the subgroup whose elements fix \( v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1}, v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n \) and satisfy

\[
v_m \mapsto v_m + \alpha v_{m+1} + \beta v_{m+2} + \gamma v_{m+3},
\]

for some \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Let \( T \) be a subgroup of the stabilizer in \( S \) of the direct sum decomposition

\[
\langle v_1 \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle v_m \rangle \oplus \langle v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, v_{m+3} \rangle \oplus \langle v_{m+4} \rangle \oplus \cdots \oplus \langle v_n \rangle,
\]

with \( T \) fixing \( v_2, \ldots, v_m, v_{m+4}, \ldots, v_n \) and acting on \( \langle v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, v_{m+3} \rangle \) as a torus of order \( q^3 - 1 \): observe that this is possible because, if the element \( x \in T \) induces on \( \langle v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, v_{m+3} \rangle \) a matrix \( x' \), the element \( x \) induces on \( \langle v_1 \rangle \) the scalar \( \det(x')^{-1} \), thus guaranteeing that \( x \in S = \text{SL}_n(q) \). Then \( U \) is a group of size \( q^3 \) and \( U \rtimes T \) acts 2-transitively on \( \Lambda = \{W_1, W_2\}^U \), a set of size \( q^3 \). What is more, \( S^\Lambda \) is a subgroup of \( \text{PGL}(\langle v_{m+1}, v_{m+2}, v_{m+3} \rangle) = \text{PGL}_4(q) \); hence \( \Lambda \) is a beautiful subset.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \( S \) be either \( \text{SU}_n(q) \) with \( n \geq 3 \) and \( (n, q) \neq (3, 2) \), or \( \text{Sp}_n(q) \) with \( n \geq 4 \), or \( \Omega_n(q) \) with \( n \geq 7 \), or \( \Omega_+^n(q) \) or \( \Omega^-_n(n) \) with \( n \geq 8 \). Let \( M = \text{Stab}_S(W) \) where \( W \) is a totally isotropic subspace of \( V \). Then \( S \), in its action on the right cosets of \( M \), has a beautiful subset except for the cases in Line 4, 7 and 8 of Table 3.

**Proof.** Let \( B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k, f_1, \ldots, f_k\} \cup A \) be a hyperbolic basis for \( V \). We also let \( E = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_k \rangle \) and \( F = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_k \rangle \); recall that the span is over \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \) when \( S \) is a unitary group. Write \( \dim(W) = m \) and observe that \( m \leq k \). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( W = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_m \rangle \leq E \), see [18].

**Case 1.** \( m < k \).

From Proposition 4.2, we see that \( \text{SL}(E) = \text{SL}_k(\mathbb{K}) \), in its action on the \( \mathbb{K} \)-subspaces of \( E \) of dimension \( \dim(\mathbb{K}) \), has a beautiful subset \( \Lambda \) provided that \( (\dim(\mathbb{K}), |\mathbb{K}|) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\} \). In particular, \( \Lambda \) is a family of totally isotropic subspaces of \( E \) of the same dimension of \( W \). Consider \( E' = \langle W' \ | \ W' \in \Lambda \rangle \). Clearly, \( S^\Lambda \leq \text{Stab}_S(E') \) and observe that the group \( \text{Stab}_S(E') \) induces a subgroup \( H \) on \( E' \) such that \( \text{SL}(E') \leq H \leq \text{GL}(E') \) on \( E' \).

From this it follows immediately that the action induced by \( S^\Lambda \) on \( \Lambda \) is permutation isomorphic to the 2-transitive action of a subgroup of \( \text{GL}(E') \) on \( \Lambda \); therefore \( \Lambda \) is a beautiful subset of \( S \) provided that \( (\dim(\mathbb{K}), |\mathbb{K}|) \notin \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\} \).

We now assume \( (\dim(\mathbb{K}), |\mathbb{K}|) \in \{(2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4)\} \). Notice, first, that \( \dim(W) = 1 \) and, second, that the orthogonal groups do not arise in this case because \( k \geq 3 \) when \( S \) is orthogonal.
If $S$ is a unitary group, then $S \in \{\text{SU}_4(2), \text{SU}_5(2)\}$. When $S = \text{SU}_4(2)$, let $H = \text{Stab}_S(\langle e_1 + \alpha f_1 \rangle)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_4 \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$, and observe that $H$ is the stabilizer of a non-isotropic 1-dimensional subspace of $V$. Now, an easy computation with magma shows that $H$ has an orbit $\Lambda$ of size 9, $|\Lambda| = 216$ and the action of $H$ on $\Lambda$ is 2-transitive; therefore $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset of $S$. A similar computer computation yields that $\text{SU}_5(2)$ has a beautiful subset of size 9.

If $S$ is a symplectic group, then $S \in \{\text{Sp}_4(2)', \text{Sp}_4(3), \text{Sp}_4(4)\}$. A computation with magma shows that $\text{Sp}_4(2)'$ and $\text{Sp}_4(3)$ have no beautiful subset (these exceptions are in Line 7 of Table 3), and $\text{Sp}_4(4)$ has a beautiful subset of size 16.

**Case 2.** $m = k$ and $S \in \{\text{SU}_3(q), \text{SU}_4(q), \text{Sp}_4(q)\}$.

Since $\text{SU}_3(q)$ acts 2-transitively on the set of totally isotropic 1-dimensional subspaces, we conclude that the whole domain of $S$ is a beautiful subset.

Assume now that $S = \text{SU}_4(q)$ or $S = \text{Sp}_4(q)'$. The group $S = \text{SU}_4(q)$ (respectively, $S = \text{Sp}_4(q)'$) contains the extension field subgroup $S' = \text{SU}_2(q^2)$ (respectively, $S' = \text{Sp}_2(q^2)$) and its normalizer $H = N_S(S')$: replacing $S'$ by a suitable $S$-conjugate we may view $E$ as a 1-dimensional totally isotropic subspace over the extension field $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$); let $\Lambda = E^H$.

Consulting [6] we see that $H$ is maximal in $S$, unless $S = \text{SU}_4(2)$ or $\text{SU}_4(3)$. We conclude that, barring these exceptions, $S_\Lambda = H$ and, moreover, the action of $H$ on $\Lambda$ is permutation isomorphic to the 2-transitive action of the 2-dimensional unitary group $\text{SU}_2(q^2) \cong \text{SL}_2(q^2)$ (respectively, $\text{Sp}_2(q^2) \cong \text{SL}_2(q^2)$) on the set of totally isotropic 1-subspaces. Thus $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset of $S$ provided that $|\Lambda| = q^2 + 1 > 5$, that is, $(n, q) \neq (4, 2)$.

We must deal with the groups $\text{SU}_4(2)$, $\text{SU}_4(3)$ and $\text{Sp}_4(2)'$. The group $\text{SU}_4(2)$ is listed in Line 4 of Table 3; the group $\text{Sp}_4(2)'$ is listed in Line 8 of Table 3; we use magma to see that $\text{SU}_4(3)$ contains a beautiful subset of size 10.

**Case 3.** $m = k$ and $S \in \{\Omega_{2k}^+(2), \Omega_{2k+2}^+(2), \text{Sp}_{2k}(2)\}$ with $k \geq 3$.

We have checked with magma that $\text{Sp}_6(2)$, $\Omega_8^+(2)$ and $\Omega_8^-(2)$ have beautiful subsets. Therefore we may assume that $m > 3$. In this case we take $U$ to be the subgroup of $S$ whose elements fix all elements of $B$ except $e_m, e_1, e_2$ and $e_3$ and satisfy

$$e_m \mapsto e_m + \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and we define $\Lambda = W^U$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to the space $\langle e_m, f_m \rangle^\perp$, we observe that there exists $T$, a maximal torus that preserves the subspace decomposition

$$\langle e_1, e_2, e_3 \rangle \oplus \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in B} \langle v \rangle$$

$$v \notin \{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$$
and acts as a Singer cycle on \( \langle f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle \); what is more \( T \) contains a subtorus that acts trivially on \( \langle e_m \rangle \). Then \( U \rtimes T \) acts 2-transitively on \( \Lambda \), a set of size 8. Now define

\[
W_1 = \bigcap_{W' \in \Lambda} W' = \langle e_4, \ldots, e_{m-1} \rangle
\]

and observe that \( S_\Lambda \) stabilizes \( W_1 \).

Suppose that \( \Lambda \) is not beautiful. Then \( S^\Lambda \) contains \( \text{Alt}(8) \) and so contains all double transpositions of \( \Lambda \). Thus \( S^\Lambda \) contains an element \( g \) that interchanges

\[
\lambda_1 = \langle W_1, e_m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = \langle W_1, e_m + f_1 \rangle,
\]

and fixes any 4 other elements of \( \Lambda \). In particular we can choose \( g \) so that it fixes

\[
\lambda_3 = \langle W_1, e_m + f_2 \rangle, \quad \lambda_4 = \langle W_1, e_m + f_1 + f_2 \rangle, \quad \lambda_5 = \langle W_1, e_m + f_1 + f_3 \rangle, \quad \lambda_6 = \langle W_1, e_m + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 \rangle.
\]

It is now a computation (working in \( \langle W_1, e_m, f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle/W_1 \)) to show that there exists no linear mapping interchanging \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) and fixing \( \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6 \).

Case 4. \( m = k \) and \( S \notin \{ \text{SU}_3(q), \text{SU}_4(q), \text{Sp}_4(q), \text{Sp}_{2k}(2), \Omega^+_2(2), \Omega^-_2(2) \} \).

In this case we take \( U \) to be the subgroup of \( S \) whose elements fix all elements of \( B \) except \( e_m, e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) and satisfy

\[
e_m \mapsto e_m + \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2,
\]

for some \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Take \( \Lambda = W^U \) and take \( T \) to be a maximal torus that preserves the subspace decomposition

\[
\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \oplus \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in B} \langle v \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad v \notin \{e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2\}
\]

and acts as a Singer cycle on \( \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle \); observe that this is possible by Lemma 4.1 because we are excluding \( S = \text{SU}_3(q), \text{SU}_4(q) \) or \( \text{Sp}_4(q) \). Therefore we obtain that \( U \rtimes T \) acts 2-transitively on \( \Lambda \), a set of size \( |K|^2 \). Now define

\[
W_1 = \bigcap_{W' \in \Lambda} W' = \langle e_3, \ldots, e_{m-1} \rangle;
\]

\[
W_2 = \langle W' \mid W' \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_m, f_1, f_2, f_m \rangle
\]

and observe that \( S_\Lambda \) stabilizes \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \). The action of \( S_\Lambda \) on \( \Lambda \) induces a homomorphism from some subgroup of \( \text{GL}(W_2/W_1) = \text{GL}_6(K) \) onto \( S^\Lambda \). If \( \Lambda \) is not beautiful, then we obtain an epimorphism from a subgroup of \( \text{GL}_6(K) \) onto \( \text{Alt}(q^2) \), which is impossible when \( |K| \geq 3 \) (see [18, Proposition 5.3.7]). But now the restrictions on \( S \) guarantee that \( |K| \geq 3 \), and we are done. \( \square \)
In light of Proposition 4.3, we may assume that $M$ is not the stabilizer of a totally isotropic subspace of $V$. In the proofs that follow we tacitly assume this.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $S = \text{SU}_n(q)$ with $n \geq 3$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 2)$. Then $S$, in its action on the set $\Omega$ of right cosets of a subgroup $M$ in the Aschbacher family $C_1$, has a beautiful subset except for the cases in Line 4, 5 and 6 of Table 3.

**Proof.** Let $B = (e_1, \ldots, e_k, f_1, \ldots, f_k, x)$ be a hyperbolic basis for $V$ (we omit the element $x$ if $n$ is even). We also let $E = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_k \rangle$ and $F = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_k \rangle$, recall that the span is over $K = \mathbb{F}_q$. Here, $M = \text{Stab}_S(W)$, where $W$ is a non-degenerate subspace of $V$. Observe that [18, Table 3.5.B] implies that $\dim(W) < \frac{n}{2}$.

**Case 1.** $\dim(W) = 1$.

Write $y = x$ when $n = 3$, and $y = e_2 + \alpha f_2$ (for a chosen $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ with $\alpha + \alpha^q \neq 0$) when $n > 3$. Now consider $W' = \langle e_1, y, f_1 \rangle$ and observe that the Hermitian form on $V$ restricts to a non-degenerate Hermitian form on the 3-dimensional vector space $W'$. Now, consider the subgroup $H$ of $\text{Stab}_S(W')$ that induces on $W'$ (with basis given by $e_1, y, f_1$) the matrices of the form

$\begin{pmatrix}
  c & -cbq & ca \\
  0 & c^{q-1} & c^{q-1}b \\
  0 & 0 & c^{-q}
\end{pmatrix}$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, $a + a^q + b^{q+1} = 0$ and $c \neq 0$.

Observe that the matrix group induced by $H$ on $W'$ has order $q^3(q^2 - 1)$ and is the stabilizer of the 1-dimensional totally isotropic subspace $\langle e_1 \rangle$. (In other words, we are defining $H = \text{Stab}_S(W') \cap \text{Stab}_S(\langle e_1 \rangle)$.) Moreover, $\Lambda = \{ \langle \gamma e_1 + y \rangle | \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \}$ is a set of $q^2$ non-degenerate 1-dimensional subspaces of $V$ contained in $\langle e_1, y \rangle \leq W'$. By construction $H$ acts transitively on $\Lambda$, moreover the stabilizer $K$ in $H$ of the element $\langle y \rangle$ induces on $W'$ the matrix group formed by the diagonal matrices:

$\begin{pmatrix}
  c & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & c^{q-1} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & c^{-q}
\end{pmatrix}$

with $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\ast \setminus \{0\}$.

A quick computation shows that $\langle e_1 + y \rangle^K = \{ \langle c^{-q+2}e_1 + y \rangle | c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{0\} \}$. Therefore $H$ acts 2-transitively on $\Lambda$ provided that this set equals $\Lambda \setminus \{y\}$, that is, $\{ c^{-q+2} | c \in \mathbb{F}_q^* \setminus \{0\} \} = \mathbb{F}_q^\ast \setminus \{0\}$. Clearly, this happens only when $\gcd(q^2 - 1, q - 2) = 1$. It is easy to see that $\gcd(q^2 - 1, q - 2) = 1$ when $3 \nmid q + 1$.

In particular, when $3 \nmid q + 1$, $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset for the action of $H$ on the non-degenerate 1-dimensional subspaces of $W'$. From this, it follows easily that $\Lambda$ is also a beautiful subset of $S$.

Assume now that $\dim(W) = 1, 3 | q + 1$ and $q \neq 2$. Now the argument is exactly the same as above, but considering the subgroup $H$ of $\text{Stab}_S(W')$ that induces on
Clearly, dim(Λ) = \{⟨\gamma e_1 + y⟩ | γ ∈ \mathbb{F}_q\} is a set of q non-degenerate 1-dimensional subspaces of V contained in ⟨e_1, y⟩ ≤ W'. By construction H acts transitively on Λ, moreover the stabilizer K in H of the element ⟨y⟩ induces on W' the matrix group formed by the diagonal matrices:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    c & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 1 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & c^{-q}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with c ∈ \mathbb{F}_q.

Another computation shows that ⟨e_1 + y⟩^K = \{ce_1 + y | c ∈ \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}\}. Therefore H acts 2-transitively on Λ. In particular, when q ≠ 2, we have |Λ| = q + 1 ≥ 5 and Λ is a beautiful subset for the action of H on the non-degenerate 1-dimensional subspaces of W'. From this, it follows easily that Λ is also a beautiful subset of S.

Assume now that dim(W') = 1 and q = 2. As SU_3(2) is soluble, we may assume that n > 3: we observe here that SU_3(2) has no beautiful subset. Moreover, with a calculation with magma we see that also SU_4(2) has no beautiful subset: the group SU_4(2) is listed in Line 5 of Table 3. Consider, for a moment, the case n = 5, and let Λ = \{⟨x + v⟩ | v ∈ ⟨e_1, e_2⟩\}. Then |Λ| = |⟨e_1, e_2⟩| = 4^2 = 16. Now, a computation in SU_5(2) gives that S_Λ = Stab_S(⟨e_1, e_2⟩) ∩ Stab_S(⟨e_1, e_2, x⟩) = Stab_S(⟨e_1, e_2⟩) and that the action of S_Λ on Λ is permutation isomorphic to the natural 2-transitive action of the affine general linear group AGL_2(4); therefore Λ is a beautiful subset of S. The construction when n > 5 is entirely similar and the vector x is replaced by a non-isotropic vector orthogonal to ⟨e_1, e_2⟩.

Case 2. dim(W) > 1.

For the time being, we exclude the case (n, q) = (5, 2). Fix W' ≤ W with dim(W') = dim(W) − 1 and W' non-degenerate, and consider W'' = (W')^⊥. Clearly, dim(W'') = n − dim(W) + 1 ≥ 4, because dim(W) < n/2 and dim(W) > 1. Now, W'' is also non-degenerate and Stab_S(W') = Stab_S(W'') induces the matrix group GU(W'') on W''. Observe that from above the group SU(W'') admits a beautiful subset Λ' for the action on the 1-dimensional non-degenerate subspaces of W'', provided that (dim(W''), q) ≠ (4, 2). When (dim(W''), q) = (4, 2), we have (n, q) = (5, 2) and we are excluding this case for the moment. Let Λ = {W' ⊕ ⟨v⟩ | ⟨v⟩ ∈ Λ'}. By construction, Λ consists of non-degenerate subspaces of V of the same dimension of W. Moreover, \(\bigcap_{U \in Λ} U = W'\) and hence S_Λ ≤ Stab_S(W'); from this it follows that S_Λ = Stab_S(W') ∩ S_Λ'. Furthermore,
the action of $S_\Lambda$ on $\Lambda$ is permutation isomorphic to the action of $S_\Lambda$ on $\Lambda'$ and therefore $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset of $S$.

Finally, when $(n,q) = (5,2)$ and $\dim(W) = 2$, a computation with magma shows that $S$ has no beautiful subset: this exception is listed in Line 6 of Table 3.

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 4.5.** Let $S = \text{Sp}_n(q)'$ with $n \geq 4$. Then $S$, in its action on the set $\Omega$ of right cosets of a subgroup $M$ in the Aschbacher family $C_1$, has a beautiful subset except for the cases in Line 7 and 8 of Table 3.

**Proof.** Let $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k, f_1, \ldots, f_k\}$ be a hyperbolic basis for $V$. We also let $E = \langle e_1, \ldots, e_k \rangle$ and $F = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_k \rangle$. As $n \geq 4$, we have $k \geq 2$. Here, $M = \text{Stab}_S(W)$, where $W$ is a non-degenerate subspace of $V$. Observe that $\dim(W)$ is even and that [18, Table 3.5.B] implies that $\dim(W) < \frac{n}{2}$. In particular $n \geq 6$.

**Case 1.** $\dim(W) = 2$.

Replacing $W$ by a suitable $S$-conjugate we may assume that $W = \langle e_1, f_1 \rangle$. Let $H = \text{Stab}_S(\langle e_1 \rangle)$ and observe that each element $x \in H$ acts as a scalar on $\langle e_1 \rangle$, as the inverse of this scalar on $V/\langle e_1 \rangle^\perp$ and induces an arbitrary element of $\text{Sp}_{n-2}(q)$ on $\langle e_1 \rangle^\perp/\langle e_1 \rangle$. It follows that $\Lambda = W^H = \{ (e_1, f_1 + v) | v \in \langle e_2, \ldots, e_k, f_2, \ldots, f_k \rangle \}$ has size $q^{n-2} \geq 2^{n-2} = 16$ and that $H$ acts as the affine 2-transitive group $\mathbb{F}_q^{n-2} \rtimes \text{Sp}_{n-2}(q)$ on $\Lambda$: recall that $\text{Sp}_{2n-2}(q)$ acts transitively on the vectors in $\mathbb{F}_q^{n-2} \setminus \{0\}$, see [18]. Moreover, by construction $H$ is maximal in $S$ and hence $S_\Lambda = H$; therefore $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset.

**Case 2.** $\dim(W) > 2$.

Fix $W' \leq W$ with $\dim(W') = \dim(W) - 2$ and $W'$ non-degenerate, and consider $W'' = W'/\langle e_1 \rangle$. Clearly, $\dim(W'') = n - \dim(W) + 2 \geq 6$, because $\dim(W) < k$ and $\dim(W) > 2$. Now, $W''$ is also non-degenerate and $\text{Stab}_S(W') = \text{Stab}_S(W'')$ induces the matrix group $\text{Sp}(W'')$ on $W''$. Observe that from the previous discussion, the group $\text{Sp}(W'')$ admits a beautiful subset $\Lambda'$ for the action on the 2-dimensional non-degenerate subspaces of $W''$. Let $\Lambda = \{ W' \oplus X | X \in \Lambda' \}$. By construction, $\Lambda$ consists of non-degenerate subspaces of $V$ of the same dimension of $W$. Moreover, $\bigcap_{U \in \Lambda} U = W'$ and hence $S_\Lambda \leq \text{Stab}_S(W')$; from this it follows that $S_\Lambda = \text{Stab}_S(W') \cap S_{\Lambda'}$. Furthermore, the action of $S_\Lambda$ on $\Lambda$ is permutation isomorphic to the action of $S_{\Lambda'}$ on $\Lambda'$ and therefore $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset of $S$. \[ \square \]

**Proposition 4.6.** Let $S$ be either $\Omega_n(q)$ with $n \geq 7$, or $\Omega^+_n(q)$ or $\Omega^-_n(q)$ with $n \geq 8$. Then $S$, in its action on the set $\Omega$ of right cosets of a subgroup $M$ in the Aschbacher family $C_1$, has a beautiful subset except for the case in Line 9 of Table 3.

**Proof.** If $n$ is odd, then let $B = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k, f_1, \ldots, f_k, x\}$ be a hyperbolic basis for $V$, and note that $n \geq 7$, and that $q$ is odd. If $n$ is even and $S = \Omega^-_n(q)$, then let
\[ \mathcal{B} = \{ e_1, \ldots, e_k, f_1, \ldots, f_k, x, y \} \] be a hyperbolic basis for \( V \), and note that \( n \geq 8 \). If \( n \) is even and \( S = \Omega_+^+(3) \times \Omega_1(3) \) has beautiful subsets of size 13. However \( \Omega_7(3) \), in its action on the 1-dimensional non-degenerate subspaces with stabilizers of type \( O^-_6(3) \times \Omega_1(3) \), has no beautiful subsets: this exception is listed in Line 9 of Table 3. Furthermore, \( \Omega_7(3) \) in both of its primitive actions on the 3-dimensional non-degenerate subspaces (with stabilizers of type \( O^+_4(3) \times \Omega_3(3) \) or \( O^-_4(3) \times \Omega_3(3) \)) has beautiful subsets of size 5. (Observe that from [18, Table 3.5.F, Column VI], we may exclude from our study the actions of \( \Omega_7(3) \) on the cosets of its subspace stabilizers of type \( O^+_2(3) \times \Omega_5(3) \) and \( O^-_2(3) \times \Omega_5(3) \).

Suppose that \( S = \Omega^-_8(2) \). In each primitive faithful action of \( G = \Omega^-_8(2) \) and \( G = SO^-_8(2) \), we have checked that \( G \) has beautiful subsets of size either 5 or 7.

Suppose that \( S = \Omega^+_8(2) \). Here the group \( \Omega^+_8(2) \) has beautiful subsets of size 7 on non-isotropic points, and beautiful subsets of size 5 for the remaining actions on non-degenerate subspaces with stabilizers of type \( O^+_2(2) \times O^-_6(2) \) or \( O^-_2(2) \times O^+_6(2) \).

(Observe that from [18, Table 3.5.F, Column VI], the action of \( \Omega^-_8(2) \) on the cosets of its subspace stabilizers of type \( O^+_2(2) \times O^-_6(2) \) is not primitive. For the proof of Case 3 it is relevant to observe that this imprimitive action admits a beautiful subset \( \Delta \) with \( \bigcap_{W' \in \Delta} W' = 0 \). This fact can be verified with magma.)

Our analysis from here on excludes \( \Omega_7(3) \), \( \Omega^-_8(2) \) and \( \Omega^+_8(2) \). We consider the general case, recalling that \( M \) is the stabilizer of a non-degenerate subspace \( W \) of \( V \). We write \( \dim(W) = m \). Since in this case, \( \text{Stab}_S(W) = \text{Stab}_S(W^\perp) \), we can assume that \( \dim(W) < \frac{n}{2} \) except in the case \( S = \Omega^-_n(q) \) with \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \). Note that if \( \dim(W) \) is odd, then either \( m = 1 \) or \( q \) is odd (see [18, Table 4.1.A] for a justification of these facts).

Case 1. \( q \geq 5 \) and \( W \) contains \( v_1, w_1 \) such that \( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \) is a hyperbolic line.

Note that, here and below, when we write that “\( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \) is a hyperbolic line”, we are also assuming that \( v_1 \) and \( w_1 \) are the usual distinguished elements, i.e., \( v_1 \) and \( w_1 \) are singular, and the scalar product \( v_1 \cdot w_1 \) of \( v_1 \) with \( w_1 \) is 1; in the literature this set of conditions is sometimes referred to by saying that “\( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \) is a hyperbolic pair”.

Since \( \dim(W) < \frac{n}{2} \) and \( n \geq 7 \), or \( \dim(W) = n/2 \) and \( S = \Omega^-_n(q) \) with \( n \geq 8 \); then \( \dim(W^\perp) \geq 4 \) and so \( W^\perp \) contains elements \( v_2, w_2 \) where \( \langle v_2, w_2 \rangle \) is a
hyperbolic line. In this case, define
\[ W_0 = \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle^\perp \cap W; \quad W_1 = \langle W_0, w_1 \rangle; \quad W_2 = \langle W_0, w_1, v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle W, v_2 \rangle. \]

Now set
\[ \Lambda = \langle W' \leq V \mid W' \text{ non-degenerate}, \dim(W') = \dim(W) = m, W_1 \subseteq W' \subseteq W_2 \rangle. \]

Observe that
\[ W_1 = \bigcap_{W' \in \Lambda} W' \text{ and } W_2 = \langle W' \mid W' \in \Lambda \rangle. \]

In particular, \( S_\Lambda \) stabilizes \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \). Notice that projection induces a one-to-one function from elements of \( \Lambda \) to 1-spaces in \( W_2/W_1 \); this projection hits every 1-space in \( W_2/W_1 \) except \( \langle v_2 + W_1 \rangle \), since \( \langle W_1, v_2 \rangle \) is degenerate. We conclude that \( |\Lambda| = q \), and that the action of \( S_\Lambda \) on \( \Lambda \) is permutation isomorphic to the action of a subgroup \( H \) of a Borel subgroup \( B \) of \( \text{GL}(W_2/W_1) \cong \text{GL}_2(q) \) on the set of 1-spaces in \( W_2/W_1 \) that are not stabilized by \( B \).

It is a simple matter to check that, in fact, \( H = B \): first we extend \( \{v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2\} \) to a hyperbolic basis \( C \), and define \( U \) to be the group of all elements of \( S \) that fix every element of \( C \) except \( v_1 \) and \( w_2 \), and satisfy \( v_1 \to v_1 + \alpha v_2 \) for some \( \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Then \( U \) is transitive on \( \Lambda \). Now applying Lemma 4.1 to the space \( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle^\perp \), we see that there exists a maximal torus of \( T \) that acts trivially on \( \langle v_1 \rangle \) and acts as a Singer cycle on \( \langle v_2 \rangle \); we can choose \( T \) so that it normalizes \( U \). Thus \( U \times T \leq H \leq B \), and so, \( H = B \). Since \( B \) is solvable and 2-transitive on \( \Lambda \) we conclude that \( \Lambda \) is a beautiful subset.

**Case 2.** \( q \in \{3,4\} \) and \( W \) contains \( v_1, w_1 \) such that \( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \) is a hyperbolic line.

By Case 0, we may assume that \( n \geq 8 \). If \( S = \Omega_8^-(q) \) and \( m = 4 \), then we choose \( W \) to be of type \( \Omega_4 \). A quick case-by-case analysis reveals that in all cases \( W^\perp \) contains elements \( v_2, v_3, w_2, w_3 \) where \( \langle v_2, w_2 \rangle \) and \( \langle v_3, w_3 \rangle \) are orthogonal hyperbolic lines. Extend \( \{v_1, w_1, v_2, w_2, v_3, w_3\} \) to a hyperbolic basis \( C \). Now we take \( U \) to be the subgroup of \( S \) whose elements fix all elements of \( C \) except \( v_1, w_2 \) and \( w_3 \) and satisfy \( v_1 \mapsto v_1 + \alpha v_2 + \beta v_3 \), for some \( \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Take \( \Lambda = W^U \) and take \( T \) to be a maximal torus that preserves the decomposition
\[ \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle \oplus \langle w_2, w_3 \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in C \setminus \{v_2, v_3, w_2, w_3\}} \langle v \rangle \]

and acts as a Singer cycle on \( \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle \). Applying Lemma 4.1 to the space \( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle^\perp \), we see that such a \( T \) exists and, indeed, that it contains a subtorus that acts trivially...
on \( \langle v_1 \rangle \) and acts as a Singer cycle on \( \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle \). We conclude that \( U \rtimes T \) acts \( 2 \)-transitively on \( \Lambda \), a set of size \( q^2 \). Now define

\[
W_0 = \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \cap W; \quad W_1 = \bigcap_{W' \in \Lambda} W' = \langle W_0, w_1 \rangle;
\]

\[
W_2 = \langle W' \mid W' \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle W_0, w_1, v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle
\]

and observe that \( S_\Lambda \) stabilizes \( W_1 \) and \( W_2 \), and the action of \( S_\Lambda \) on \( \Lambda \) induces a homomorphism from some subgroup \( H \) of \( \text{GL}(W_2/W_1) \cong \text{GL}_3(q) \) onto \( S_{\Lambda^2} \). If \( \Lambda \) is not beautiful, then we obtain an epimorphism from a subgroup of \( \text{GL}_3(q) \) onto \( \text{Alt}(q^2) \), which is impossible (see, for instance, [18, Proposition 5.3.7]).

**Case 3.** \( q = 2 \) and \( W \) contains \( v_1, w_1 \) such that \( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle \) is a hyperbolic line.

By Case 0, we may assume that \( n \geq 10 \). Note, moreover, that since \( q \) is even, \( \dim(W) \) may be assumed to be even. Suppose, first, that either \( S = \Omega^+_4(2) \) and \( W \) is of type \( O^-_4(2) \), or else \( S = \Omega^-_4(2) \) and \( W \) is of type \( O^+_4(2) \). In either case \( W^\perp \) is of type \( O^-_6(2) \). Write \( S = \Omega^-_4(2) \) with \( \epsilon \in \{+,-\} \). Let \( W' \) be a 2-dimensional non-degenerate subspace of \( V \) of type \( O^\epsilon_2(2) \) and set \( H = \text{Stab}_S(W') \). Clearly, \( H \) is a subspace stabilizer of type \( O^\epsilon_2(2) \times O^-_8(2) \) and \( H \) induces the group \( O^-_8(2) \) on \( (W')^\perp \). We remarked above that the action of \( H \) on the 2-dimensional non-degenerate subspaces of \( (W')^\perp \) with stabilizers of type \( O^\epsilon_2(2) \times O^-_6(2) \) admits a beautiful subset \( \Lambda' \) of size 5 with the property that \( \bigcap_{W'' \in \Lambda'} W'' = 0 \). Now, set \( \Lambda := \{ W' \oplus W'' \mid W'' \in \Lambda' \} \) and observe that \( \Lambda \) consists of five 4-dimensional non-degenerate subspaces of \( V \) of type \( O^\epsilon_4(2) \), that is, the stabilizer of an element of \( \Lambda \) in \( S \) is a subspace stabilizer of type \( O^\epsilon_4(2) \times O^-_6(2) \). Set \( K := \text{Stab}_S(\Lambda) \). Then \( K \) stabilizes \( \cap_{W \in \Lambda} W = W' \) and hence \( K \leq H \). From this it immediately follows that the action of \( K \) on \( \Lambda \) is permutation isomorphic to the action of \( H \) on \( \Lambda' \) and hence \( \Lambda \) is a beautiful subset of size 5.

From here on we exclude the two cases just discussed. What is more, if \( S = \Omega^-_4(2) \), then we choose \( W \) to be of type \( O^-_4 \). Now a quick case-by-case analysis reveals that in all cases \( W^\perp \) contains elements \( v_2, v_3, v_4, w_2, w_3, w_4 \) where \( \langle v_2, w_2 \rangle, \langle v_3, w_3 \rangle \) and \( \langle v_4, w_4 \rangle \) are mutually orthogonal hyperbolic lines. Extend the set \( \{ v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, w_2, w_3, w_4 \} \) to a hyperbolic basis \( \mathcal{C} \). Now we take \( U \) to be the subgroup of \( S \) whose elements fix all elements of \( \mathcal{C} \) except \( v_1, w_2, w_3 \) and \( w_4 \) and satisfy \( v_1 \mapsto v_1 + \alpha v_2 + \beta v_3 + \gamma v_4 \), for some \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q \). Take \( \Lambda = W^U \) and take \( T \) to be a maximal torus that preserves the decomposition

\[
\langle v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle \oplus \langle w_2, w_3, w_4 \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{C}} \langle v \rangle
\]

and acts as a Singer cycle on \( \langle v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle \). Applying Lemma 4.1 to the space \( \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle^\perp \), we see that such a \( T \) exists and, indeed, that it contains a subtorus that
acts trivially on $\langle v_1 \rangle$ and acts as a Singer cycle on $\langle v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle$. We conclude that $U \rtimes T$ acts 2-transitively on $\Lambda$, a set of size 8. Now define

$$W_0 = \langle v_1, w_1 \rangle^\perp \cap W; \quad W_1 = \bigcap_{W' \in \Lambda} W' = \langle W_0, w_1 \rangle;$$

$$W_2 = \langle W' \mid W' \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle W_0, w_1, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle$$

and observe that $S_\Lambda$ stabilizes $W_1$ and $W_2$, and the action of $S_\Lambda$ on $\Lambda$ induces a homomorphism from some subgroup $H$ of $\GL(W_2/W_1) \cong \GL_4(2)$ onto $S^\Lambda$. (Note that $H$ is a proper subgroup since, for instance, it stabilizes the 3-space $\langle v_2, v_3, v_4, W_1 \rangle/W_1$.) If $\Lambda$ is not beautiful, then we obtain an epimorphism from a proper subgroup of $\GL_4(2)$ onto $\Alt(8)$, which is impossible.

**Case 4.** $q > 2$ and $W$ does not contain a hyperbolic line.

Here $n \geq 7$ and $W$ is anisotropic. Since $\dim(W) \leq 2$, we know that $W^\perp$ contains elements $v_2, v_3, w_2, w_3$ where $\langle v_2, w_2 \rangle$ and $\langle v_3, w_3 \rangle$ are orthogonal hyperbolic lines. Extend $\{v_2, v_3, w_2, w_3\}$ to a basis $C_1$, for $W^\perp$. Now extend $C_1$ to a basis $C$ for $V$ by adding elements that form a basis for $W$—so we add either one or two elements. If $|W \cap C| = 1$, then we write $W \cap C = \{x\}$; if $|W \cap C| = 2$, then we write $W \cap C = \{x, y\}$.

We define $U$ to be the subgroup of $S$ whose elements fix all elements of $C$ except $x, v_2, v_3$ and $y$ (when $\dim U = 2$) and satisfy $x \mapsto x + \alpha w_2 + \beta w_3$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Take $\Lambda = W^U$ and take $T$ to be a maximal torus that preserves the decomposition

$$\langle v_2, v_3 \rangle \oplus \langle w_2, w_3 \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{C}} \langle v \rangle$$

and acts as a Singer cycle on $\langle w_2, w_3 \rangle$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to the space $W^\perp$, we see that such a $T$ exists and, indeed, that it contains a subtorus that acts trivially on $\langle x \rangle$ and acts as a Singer cycle on $\langle v_2, v_3 \rangle$. We conclude that $U \rtimes T$ acts 2-transitively on $\Lambda$, a set of size $q^2$. Now define

$$W_2 = \langle W' \mid W' \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle W, w_2, w_3 \rangle.$$ 

Observe that $S_\Lambda$ stabilizes $W_2$, and the action of $S_\Lambda$ on $\Lambda$ induces a homomorphism from some subgroup $H$ of $\GL(W_2)$ (which is isomorphic to either $\GL_3(q)$ or $\GL_4(q)$) onto $S^\Lambda$. Now [18, Prop. 5.3.7] implies that $S^\Lambda$ does not contain $\Alt(q^2)$ for $q \geq 3$, and so $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset.

**Case 5.** $q = 2$ and $W$ does not contain a hyperbolic line.

In this case $W$ is of type $O_2^-$, and we proceed similarly to Case 4. By Case 0 we can assume that $n \geq 10$, and so we know that $W^\perp$ contains elements
| Line | Group | Degree of actions |
|------|-------|-------------------|
| 1    | $\text{Alt}(5)$ or $\text{Sym}(5)$ | 5 |
| 2    | $\text{SL}_3(2) : 2$ | 21, 28 |
| 3    | $\text{PSL}_3(3) : 2$ | 52 |
| 4    | $\text{PSU}_4(2)$ or $\text{PSU}_4(2) : 2$ | 27, 40 |
| 5    | $\text{PSU}_5(2)$ or $\text{PSU}_5(2) : 2$ | 3520 |
| 6    | $\text{Alt}(6)$ or $\text{Sym}(6)$ | 15, 15 |
| 7    | $\text{PGL}_7(2)$ and $\text{PSO}_7(3)$ | 351 |

Table 4. Remaining actions.

where $\langle v_2, w_2 \rangle$, $\langle v_3, w_3 \rangle$ and $\langle v_4, w_4 \rangle$ are orthogonal hyperbolic lines. Extend $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, w_2, w_3, w_4\}$ to a basis $C_1$, for $W^\perp$. Now extend $C_1$ to a basis $C$ for $V$ by adding a basis $\{x, y\}$ for $W$. We take $U$ to be the subgroup whose elements fix all elements of $C$ except $x, v_2, v_3$ and $v_4$ and satisfy $x \mapsto x + \alpha w_2 + \beta w_3 + \gamma w_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and we define $\Lambda = W^U$. Lemma 4.1 applied to the space $\langle v_1, w_1 \rangle^\perp$ implies that we may take $T$ to be a maximal torus of $S$ that stabilizes the decomposition $\langle v_2, v_3 \rangle \oplus \langle w_2, w_3 \rangle \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in C, v \notin \{v_2, w_2, v_3, w_3, v_4, w_4\}} \langle v \rangle$, that acts as a Singer cycle on $\langle v_2, v_3, v_4 \rangle$, and that contains a subtorus that acts trivially on $\langle v_1 \rangle$. Now $U \rtimes T$ acts 2-transitively on $\Lambda$, a set of size 8. As before, we set

$$W_1 = \bigcap_{W' \in \Lambda} W' \quad W_2 = \langle W' \mid W' \in \Lambda \rangle = \langle W_1, x, w_2, w_3, w_4 \rangle.$$ 

Now the action of $S_\Lambda$ on $\Lambda$ induces a homomorphism from some proper subgroup $H$ of $\text{GL}(W_2/W_1) \cong \text{GL}_4(2)$ onto $S_\Lambda$. (Note that $H$ is a proper subgroup since, for instance, it stabilizes the 3-space $\langle w_2, w_3, w_4 \rangle + W_1$.) We conclude that $S_\Lambda$ does not contain $\text{Alt}(8)$, and so $\Lambda$ is a beautiful subset of size 8.

**4.3. Proof of Theorem B.** In view of Propositions 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, and 4.6, to prove Theorem B, we must deal with all of those actions listed in Table 3. Note that this table lists actions for the group $S$, and we must deal with actions for each almost simple group $G$ with socle isomorphic to $S/Z(S)$. The relevant actions are listed in Table 4. In compiling Table 4, we make use of the information in Table 3, of [10] and of the various isomorphisms between non-abelian simple groups (for instance $\text{PSL}_2(4) \cong \text{PSL}_2(5) \cong \text{Alt}(5)$, $\text{PSL}_2(9) \cong \text{Alt}(6) \cong \text{Sp}_4(2)^\prime$ and $\text{PSU}_4(2) \cong \text{PSp}_4(3)$). Observe that under the isomorphism $\text{PSU}_4(2) \cong \text{PSp}_4(3)$, the stabilizer of a 1-dimensional non-isotropic
subspace in PSU_4(2) corresponds to the stabilizer of a 1-dimensional totally isotropic subspace in PSp_4(3), in particular we have only one action of degree 40 to consider in Table 4.

Line 1 of Table 4 yields the example given in Theorem B. All other examples with degree at most 100 can be dealt with easily via computer; indeed, their non-binariness, and more, has been confirmed by Wiscons [27].

Using magma we can see that the primitive groups arising from Lines 5 and 7 are not binary: we have constructed the permutation representations of degree 3520 and 351 (respectively) and we have found two non-binary witnesses of length 3.
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