Transcript degradation and codon usage regulate gene expression in a lytic phage†
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Abstract

Many viral genomes are small, containing only single- or double-digit numbers of genes and relatively few regulatory elements. Yet viruses successfully execute complex regulatory programs as they take over their host cells. Here, we propose that some viruses regulate gene expression via a carefully balanced interplay between transcription, translation, and transcript degradation. As our model system, we employ bacteriophage T7, whose genome of approximately sixty genes is well annotated and for which there is a long history of computational models of gene regulation. We expand upon prior modeling work by implementing a stochastic gene expression simulator that tracks individual transcripts, polymerases, ribosomes, and ribonucleases participating in the transcription, translation, and transcript-degradation processes occurring during a T7 infection. By combining this detailed mechanistic modeling of a phage infection with high-throughput gene expression measurements of several strains of bacteriophage T7, evolved and engineered, we can show that both the dynamic interplay between transcription and transcript degradation, and between these two processes and translation, appear to be critical components of T7 gene regulation. Our results point to targeted degradation as a generic gene regulation strategy that may have evolved in many other viruses. Further, our results suggest that detailed mechanistic modeling may uncover the biological mechanisms at work in both evolved and engineered virus variants.

Key words: bacteriophage T7; viral attenuation; mechanistic modeling; gene expression; RNA degradation.

1. Introduction

Bacteriophages are widely established model systems in comparative genomics (Hatfull 2008), experimental evolution (Bull and Molineux 2008), and synthetic biology (Lemire, Yehl, and Lu 2018). Their rapid replication rates, ease of culturing, and moderately small genome sizes make them ideal candidates for studies into the evolution of both natural and engineered variants, including the adaptation of variants with rearranged genomes (Springman et al. 2005) or modified codon usage (Bull, Molineux, and Wilke 2012), parallel evolution (Bull et al. 1997; Miller et al., 2016), adaptation to nonstandard genetic codes (Hammerling et al. 2014), or the structural effects of adaptive mutations (Miller et al. 2014). One of the most widely studied bacteriophages is bacteriophage T7, whose genome was first sequenced in 1983 (Dunn and Studier 1983). A wealth of specific knowledge about T7’s genes, gene regulation, and gene
interactions has been accumulated since (Molineux, 2006), and this knowledge has enabled the development of detailed mechanistic models of the viral life cycle inside a bacterial cell (Endy et al. 1997, 2000; Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007; Birch, Ruggero, and Covert 2012; Yin and Redovich 2018).

Bacteriophage T7 infects Escherichia coli and rapidly lyases the cell, in as little as 11 min at 37°C (Dunn and Studier 1983; Bull, Heineman, and Wilke 2011). In this 11-min time period, the phage produces twenty to forty viable virions from a single E. coli cell (Jack et al. 2017). To produce these virions, the phage must coordinate and assemble tens of thousands of copies of the major capsid protein alone (415 per virion; Dunn and Studier 1983). In accomplishing this high output, T7 generates stable transcripts and has a genome whose codon usage is optimized for its E. coli host (Bull, Molineux, and Wilke 2012). Given how quickly T7 replicates, one might assume that transcription and translation would be tightly coupled to produce the correct ratios of phage proteins. In contrast to this expectation, however, T7 RNA polymerase (RNAP; the source of most phage transcripts), moves at an order of magnitude faster than the translation machinery (Chamberlin and Ring 1973; García and Molineux 1995; Guet et al. 2008). Thus, the balance between transcription and translation is not obvious. We ask here how T7 regulates its genes to ensure appropriate gene expression data obtained from evolved and engineered T7 strains.

We specifically test the hypothesis that a key component of gene regulation in phage T7 is targeted degradation. We analyze RNA-seq data from T7 infections (Jack et al. 2017; Paff et al. 2018), combined with proteomics data were available, and we test various gene regulation mechanisms via a detailed computational simulation of a phage infection. We detect and analyze a region of the T7 genome that is down-regulated despite an absence of transcriptional terminators. We then propose and computationally test a directional degradation mechanism that may explain this pattern of down-regulation. Next, we explore the relationship between transcripts and proteins during the course of a simulated T7 infection. Last, we assess the interaction between codon usage and promoter strength in the production of the most abundant phage protein, the major capsid protein. In aggregate, we argue that T7 gene regulation is controlled primarily via the dynamic interplay of transcription, transcript degradation, and translation.

2. Results

2.1 A brief introduction to T7 biology

The bacteriophage T7 genome contains nearly sixty genes, divided into three classes (Dunn and Studier 1983; Molineux, 2006). Class I consists of genes transcribed by the host RNAP, including T7 RNAP. Classes II and III are transcribed by T7 RNAP: Class II encodes DNA polymerase proteins and associated with genome replication, and Class III encodes structural proteins.

Genes are numbered in the order in which they are encoded in the genome, and all genes are encoded on the same strand with minimal overlaps (Dunn and Studier 1983). The phage genome contains seventeen promoters recognized by T7 RNAP. A single T7 terminator Tϕ is located immediately after the major capsid gene, gene 10. In addition to these regulatory elements affecting transcription, there are ten known ribonuclease (RNase) cleavage sites. Because of its genomic architecture, T7 produces many polycistronic transcripts of varying lengths.

T7 infections proceed rapidly. At 30°C, T7 wildtype lyases E. coli cells in ~30 min (Dunn and Studier 1983). At higher temperatures and with laboratory adapted strains, lysis occurs even more rapidly, as quickly as 11 min postinfection at 37°C (Bull, Heineman, and Wilke 2011). During the course of infection, T7 shuts down all E. coli gene expression and degrades both the E. coli genome and its transcripts (Molineux, 2006; Jack et al. 2017).

In this work, we consider five different strains of T7. The bulk of our analysis is performed on strain T7e61, a wild-type strain adapted for 20 h to grow under laboratory conditions at 37°C (Heineman, Molineux, and Bull 2005; Bull, Molineux, and Wilke 2012). This strain lyzes E. coli within 11 min. Unless otherwise specified, ‘T7’ refers to this strain throughout this work. To make a comparison to a diverged strain, we additionally collected RNA abundances for the progenitor of T7e61, called T7+ (Bull et al. 2003), grown at 30°C. T7e61 differs from T7+ in a deletion of nearly 1,500 bases near the beginning of the genome and in several point mutations (Heineman, Molineux, and Bull 2005; Bull, Molineux, and Wilke 2012). We also considered three engineered variants of T7e61, one with gene 10 codon-deoptimized (Bull, Molineux, and Wilke 2012), one with the two promoters φ9 and φ10 upstream of gene 10 knocked out (Paff et al. 2018), and one in which both the codon deoptimization and the promoter knockouts have been applied (Paff et al. 2018).

2.2 Transcripts degrade during infection

Because of T7’s short infection cycle and the stability of its mRNA transcripts in vitro, prior studies assumed that the effect of degradation on T7 gene expression was negligible or at least uniform (Marris and Yanofsky 1971; Dunn and Studier 1983; Endy, Kong, and Yin 1997). If no transcripts degraded during infection, we would expect the distribution of transcript abundances late in the infection cycle to have two specific features. First, for the genes transcribed ahead of the single terminator located at the end of gene 10, downstream genes should have higher transcript abundances than upstream genes, due to the multipromoters. Thus, gene 10 transcripts should be more abundant than gene 9 transcripts, due to the promoter φ10, gene 9 transcripts should be more abundant than gene 7 and gene 8 transcripts, due to the promoter φ9, and so on. Second, transcript abundances of genes downstream of the terminator (i.e. downstream of gene 10) should be lower than those of upstream genes. To assess whether T7 transcript abundances match these expectations, we reanalyzed RNA-seq data from a previous study that had collected T7 RNA abundances at 1, 5, and 9 min postinfection (Jack et al. 2017).

At 1 min postinfection, T7 transcripts comprise <1% of the cellular transcript pool (Jack et al. 2017), and only a limited number of T7 genes have detectable transcripts. However, all T7 genes are expressed at 5 and at 9 min (Fig. 1). We found that transcript abundances at 5 min were approximately uniform but noisy, except for a clear drop downstream of the terminator Tϕ (Fig. 1A). In contrast, at 9 min, expression had shifted from Classes II to III genes (Fig. 1B) and displayed much more systematic variation. In particular, we observed a cluster of Class II genes between genes 3.8 and 6.5 that had expression levels lower than that of upstream genes. This region contains no known terminators. If a terminator were present, we would expect to see a similarly down-regulated region of genes at 5 min postinfection. However, we did not detect this under-expressed cluster at 5 min (Fig. 1B). Moreover, throughout the Class II genes, we observed relative increases in expression of downstream genes where no promoters were present. In aggregate,
these observations are inconsistent with a model of T7 gene regulation in which transcripts do not degrade, or in which degradation is uniform.

To further characterize this cluster of unexpectedly down-regulated Class II genes, we examined individually mapped reads in the T7 genome. We found that across the whole genome, raw read counts followed the same broad pattern as transcript abundances (Fig. 2A). The highest read counts fell within gene 10, and counts decreased after the terminator T. Again, we observed the down-regulation of a cluster of Class II genes. This down-regulated region contains several regulatory elements, including both RNase cleavage sites and promoters. We examined four regulatory elements in this region, R3.8/R4.7/R6.5/R13 (Fig. 2B). In each case, the transcription start site lies upstream of the RNase cleavage site. In the R3.8 region we saw a sustained decrease in read counts (at least 500 bp downstream), but in the R6.5 region we found the opposite trend. Read counts recovered in fewer than 500 bp. We concluded that transcript synthesis alone could not explain these observations, and that RNase cleavage sites may contribute to transcript degradation.

Last, we verified the generality of down-regulation in Class II genes by conducting experiments at 30°C, using the progenitor wildtype strain T7. Our aim was to determine if the degradation patterns we observed in the lab-adapted strain were unique to that strain or instead represented a general feature of T7 biology. Since lysis occurs after 25–30 min at 30°C, we collected samples at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 25 min. We then compared an early time point (10 min) to a later time point (25 min). We found the same expression patterns within Class II as we had observed for the lab-adapted strain (Fig. 3). Outside of Class II expression, we observed one major difference in gene 19.5 expression, which was elevated in T7 but not in the lab-adapted T7. This gene has unknown function and we do not know why it is so highly expressed in T7. Overall, however, we found that the evidence for differential transcript degradation is consistent across multiple strains of T7 grown under different conditions. Consequently, this degradation pattern is likely a general feature of T7 gene regulation.

2.3 Degradation can produce gene expression patterns similar to that of promoters and terminators

Motivated by the preliminary evidence for transcript degradation in T7, we next employed a simulation model to assess how promoters, terminators, and transcript degradation processes can interact to determine gene expression over time. The simulation software we used, Pinetree, simulates prokaryotic gene expression with single-nucleotide resolution (Jack and Wilke 2019). Pinetree tracks individual polymerases on DNA and ribosomes on RNA, and it supports polycistronic transcripts and a variety of competing regulatory mechanisms including promoter binding, termination, transcript degradation, and variable translation rates due to codon usage.

Pinetree employs a directional model of transcript degradation modeled after observations from E. coli (Mackie 1998; Hui, Foley, and Belasco 2014; Luciano et al. 2017). In E. coli, degradation occurs either from the 5'-end of a newly synthesized
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Figure 1. Relative transcript abundances across the T7 genome at 5 and 9 min postinfection, for strain T7. Raw RNA reads were reanalyzed from Jack et al. (2017). Each colored bar represents one gene, and genes are arranged from left to right in the order in which they appear in the T7 genome. Dashed vertical lines indicate RNase cleavage sites R3.8, R4.7, R6.5, and R13, respectively. Solid vertical lines indicate the terminator T. (A) Gene expression at 5 min postinfection. Classes II and III show similar patterns of expression. (B) Gene expression at 9 min postinfection, just before lysis. Class III show higher expression compared with Class II. Transcript abundance levels decrease between genes 3.8 and 6.5. No terminators are present in this genomic region, suggesting that extensive transcript degradation produces the sudden drop-off in transcript abundance.
transcript or from the 5’-end of a transcript recently cleaved by an RNase III ribonuclease (Gordon, Cameron, and Pfleger 2017). A combination of endo- and exonucleases degrade transcripts, but the net effect is that transcript degradation is directional—the 5’-end of a transcript has a shorter lifespan than the 3’-end (Chen et al. 2015). In Pinetree, this degradation is simulated via RNAses that bind to the 5’-end of transcripts and degrade in the 5’-to-3’ direction. Although no such 5’-to-3’ RNase actually exists in E. coli, it approximates the joint effect of several endo- and exonucleases that collectively tend to degrade the 5’-end of the transcript more quickly than the 3’-end (Chen et al. 2015). Moreover, ribosomes compete with RNAses for access to transcripts (Chen et al. 2015). Pinetree captures this competition between ribosomes and RNAses, and it also implements the difference in degradation rate between newly synthesized and recently cleaved transcripts (Celesnik, Deana, and Belasco 2007).

To test how the interplay between transcription and degradation affects gene regulation, we first studied a simple toy model. Our aim was to account for two observations in T7: 1) increases in downstream transcript abundances in the absence of promoters, and 2) reduced transcript abundances in downstream genes in the absence of terminators. We constructed, in silico, a linear plasmid containing three genes of equal length, for three proteins X, Y, and Z. The plasmid contained a single promoter upstream of gene X and a single terminator after gene Z (Fig. 4A). We simulated gene expression for 240 s in an E. coli-like cellular environment, with a fixed pool of RNAPs. We observed at all time points that transcript abundances were greatest for gene Z, followed by gene Y and then gene X (Fig. 4B–D). Since the plasmid contained one promoter and one terminator, the simulation produced only tricistronic transcripts. However, since transcripts degraded directionally, gene X had the lowest expression level, and expression levels increased from gene X to Y to Z (Fig. 4B and C). These simulation results show that polycistronic transcripts with directional degradation are sufficient to produce gene expression patterns that mimic the effects of promoters.

We next introduced an RNase cleavage site and an additional promoter upstream of gene Z into the linear plasmid simulation (Fig. 5A). This arrangement of RNase cleavage site and promoter is common in the T7 genome (Dunn and Studier 1983). Adding these two regulatory elements created a dynamic gene expression pattern in which earlier time points showed the expected ramp of increased gene expression from genes X and Y to Z (Fig. 5B and C). Later time points, however, showed a different pattern: gene Z transcripts had lower abundance than did transcripts of genes X and Y (Fig. 5B and D). Thus, the addition of RNase cleavage sites to the simulation was sufficient for recreating gene expression patterns that mimic terminators at later time points but not at earlier time points. This trend in gene expression is similar to the trend observed in experimental transcript data of T7.

2.4 Degradation produces transcript ramps and cliffs in simulations of phage T7

After demonstrating that degradation and RNase cleavage sites are sufficient for creating dynamic gene expression patterns in a three-gene linear plasmid, we next considered whether a simulation of the full T7 genome would reveal similar expression patterns. Again, we used the Pinetree simulator, now to simulate the full T7 genome both with and without RNase cleavage sites and degradation. We attempted to represent the T7 genome as accurately as possible, matching the genetic architecture of the reference sequence Dunn and Studier (1983). We simulated T7 among cellular resources representative of an
Figure 3. Relative transcript abundances across the T7 genome from T7\(^+\), a strain that has not been adapted to laboratory conditions. Samples were taken at 10 and 25 min postinfection and at 30\(^\circ\)C (compared with 37\(^\circ\)C in prior experiments; Jack et al. 2017). Each colored bar represents one gene, and genes are arranged from left to right in the order in which they appear in the T7 genome. Dashed vertical lines indicate RNAse cleavage sites R3.8, R4.7, R6.5, and R13, respectively. Solid vertical lines indicate the terminator T\(\beta\). (A) Gene expression at 10 min postinfection. Classes I and II show higher expression levels than Class III. (B) Gene expression at 25 min postinfection, just before lysis. Class III shows higher expression compared with Class II. Gene 19.5 has the highest overall expression. Transcript abundance levels decrease between genes 3.8 and 6.5. No terminators are present after gene 3.8, suggesting that extensive transcript degradation produces the sudden drop-off in transcript abundance. Although cultured at a different temperature and with a different strain of T7, both the 30\(^\circ\)C and the 37\(^\circ\)C experiment show the same region of down-regulated Class II genes.

Figure 4. Simulation of transcription and transcript degradation for a plasmid containing three genes of equal length. (A) The plasmid contains a single promoter upstream of gene X, generating polycistronic transcripts that contain all three genes. RNAses degrade transcripts from the 5'-to-3' direction. (B) Transcript abundances over time during a 240 s simulation. (C) Transcript abundances at 100 s. (D) Transcript abundances at 240 s. As the simulation progresses directional degradation reduces abundances of genes encoded closer to the 5'-end of the transcript.
E. coli cell. These resources included RNAPs, ribosomes, and RNases, as well as secondary reactions between synthesized T7 proteins (see Section 4). However, we did not explicitly simulate expression of any E. coli genes. The simulation reliably reproduced the overall patterns of gene expression seen in T7: Class I genes are expressed the earliest but reach on average the lowest transcript abundances, and Class III genes are expressed the latest and reach on average the highest transcript abundances (Fig. 6).

We next analyzed how measured transcript abundances for individual transcripts late in the infection (Fig. 7A) compared with their simulated counterparts (Fig. 7B and C). When simulating T7 with neither RNase cleavage sites nor degradation, we found that the simulation captured the broad expected patterns of gene expression for the three classes of T7 genes (Fig. 7B). Transcript abundances of downstream genes were higher than those of upstream genes, except after the terminator T_stop. However, expression in the region of Class II genes between 3.8 and 6.5 differed from expression in our experimental data (Fig. 7A). In our experimental data, we also observed increases in downstream gene expression where no promoters are present, which our simulation without degradation did not capture. We refer to these gradually increasing downstream expression patterns as ramps, and the decrease in downstream expression as cliffs.

To test whether transcript degradation and RNase cleavage sites were sufficient to explain expression ramps and cliffs, we conducted a set of simulations that included the ten RNase cleavage sites in the T7 genome and directional transcript degradation (Fig. 7C). In this simulation, we observed gene expression ramps and cliffs after RNase cleavage sites. Simulated transcript degradation and RNase cleavage sites created a distribution of transcript abundances more qualitatively similar to our experimental distributions than a model without degradation.

2.5 Relationship between transcript and protein abundances differs among gene classes

We also considered the relationship between transcript and protein abundances. T7 RNAP moves at \( \sim 230 \) bp/s, whereas ribosomes only translate at a rate of 30 bp/s (Proshkin et al. 2010). This speed difference means that translation significantly lags transcription and that transcription and translation are likely uncoupled in T7 (Iost, Guillerez, and Dreyfus 1992).
We assessed this hypothesis by examining the relationship between both protein and transcript abundances during the course of infection, in experiments and in simulations. Our aim was to determine how changes in RNA abundances affect protein abundance.

We compared RNA and protein abundances at 5- and at 9 min postinfection in the lab-adapted T761 grown at 37°C (Fig. 8A). We found that at 5 min, Class III genes showed a stronger correlation between RNA and protein abundances than did Class II genes (Pearson’s $r$; Class II genes: $r = 0.134$, $P = 0.584$; Class III genes: $r = 0.628$, $P = 0.00530$), and that Classes II and III genes clustered together in transcript–protein space. At 9 min, Classes II and III expression separated along the transcript axis, but not along the protein axis (Fig. 8A). Class III genes continued to show a stronger correlation between transcript and protein abundances than did Class II genes (Pearson’s $r$; Class II genes: $r = 0.245$, $P = 0.299$; Class III genes: $r = 0.700$, $P = 0.000596$). This result suggested that either Class II transcripts degraded or Class III transcripts increased between 5 and 9 min, and that this change in transcript abundances was uncoupled from protein production.

Simulations of T7 gene expression yielded correlations of transcripts and proteins within Classes II and III both early (Pearson’s $r$, Class II genes: $r = 0.596$, $P = 2.30 \times 10^{-5}$; Class III
genes: $r = 0.750$, $P = 5.80 \times 10^{-5}$) and late in the infection (Pearson’s $r$; Class II genes: $r = 0.937$, $P = 1.58 \times 10^{-11}$; Class III genes: $r = 0.926$, $P = 2.26 \times 10^{-15}$; Fig. 8B). Overall, correlations were too strong in the simulations compared with the experimental data. This finding suggested that either transcript degradation was too strong in our simulations, creating a tight coupling of RNA and protein abundances, in particular late in the infection, or that we were not properly accounting for dynamics in translation.

One important component of translation we did not model is dynamic tRNA pools. In our simulation, we assume that the availability of charged tRNAs never explicitly limits translation. Some codons are always translated more quickly than others. However, in living E. coli cells, abundances of charged tRNAs may change during infection, due to the stress the cells experience during infection, and such changes would affect codon-specific translation rates (Subramaniam, Pan, and Cluzel 2013; Frumkin et al. 2018). Thus, further work may be needed to extend Pinetree to include a realistic translation model.

2.6 Promoter knockouts and codon deoptimization have antagonistic effects on gene expression

Finally, we wanted to assess to what extent our T7 simulation generalizes to more complex genome modifications. We considered two specific modifications for which we had existing experimental data, codon deoptimization, and promoter knockouts (Jack et al. 2017; Paff et al. 2018). Both of these modifications reduce viral fitness and have been proposed as viable approaches to viral attenuation. Codon deoptimization is the process of replacing common codons (relative to the E. coli host genome) with rare codons, to reduce translation rates. It has
been applied to T7 gene 10 (Bull, Molineux, and Wilke 2012; Jack et al. 2017). Gene 10 encodes the major capsid protein, the most abundantly expressed phage protein, and reducing its abundance is expected to reduce phage fitness. Similarly, because of T7’s genome architecture containing many overlapping open reading frames, it is possible to attenuate but not kill the virus by knocking out key promoters. Prior work has considered the effects of knocking out the promoters upstream of genes 9 and 10 (φ9 and φ10), individually and in combination, and also in combination with codon deoptimization of gene 10 (Paff et al. 2018).

Reducing the expression of gene 10 by either codon deoptimization or promoter knockout resulted in significant fitness reduction (Bull, Molineux, and Wilke 2012; Paff et al. 2018). Codon deoptimization resulted primarily in a reduction in protein 10 abundance (Jack et al. 2017; Paff et al. 2018), whereas promoter knockout caused a substantial reduction in gene 10 transcript abundance (Paff et al. 2018). Surprisingly, when combining the double promoter knockout Δφ9/10 with codon deoptimization, fitness was nearly identical to the case of just the promoter knockout (Paff et al. 2018). Thus, there were strong diminishing returns: The combined fitness-reducing effects of the two modifications were weaker than those of the individual modifications.

We attempted to recapitulate these result with our simulation, by simulating four different strains of bacteriophage T7:T7 wildtype (which we consider equivalent to T761 for the purposes of the simulation), a variant of T7 with gene 10 codon-deoptimized (i.e., recoded), a variant of T7 with φ10 and φ9 knocked out, and a variant of T7 with both modifications. To estimate T7 fitness in the simulation, we calculated burst size assuming that all capsid proteins present at 1,000 s are converted into virions, and we then converted burst size into fitness using a previously published model (Bull, Heineman, and Wilke 2011; see Section 4 for details). We found that our simulation broadly recapitulated the experimental findings of the individual attenuation strategies, even though it missed specific details (Fig. 9). In particular, we found that codon deoptimization had a much smaller effect on fitness than promoter knockout. However, when combining attenuation strategies, the recoding and promoter knockout were nearly additive in simulations but showed diminishing returns in experiments. This finding suggested that our model is missing the mechanism responsible for the antagonistic effects of combining attenuation strategies. In summary, our mechanistic simulations recapitulated the effects of single knockouts, but overestimated the effect of combining attenuation strategies.

3. Discussion

Advances in high-throughput RNA sequencing and mass spectrometry-based proteomics have recently allowed for genome-wide measurements of transcript and protein abundances over time in a growing bacteriophage T7 (Jack et al. 2017; Paff et al. 2018). Here, we reanalyzed data collected from these two prior studies, in combination with newly measured RNA abundances for a nonlab-adapted strain T7Δ. The T7 genome is split into three classes (Dunn and Studier 1983). We observed evidence for differential gene regulation among these classes, in both lab-adapted and non-lab-adapted strains. We also detected a set of down-regulated genes (3.8–6.5) within Class II. We hypothesized that targeted transcript degradation caused this down-regulation, and validated this hypothesis using stochastic simulations of bacteriophage T7 gene expression.

Figure 9. Predicted and experimental fitness of four different strains of T7, a high fitness evolved strain (T761), a variant of T761 in which gene 10 has been recoded to use non-preferred codons, a variant of T761 with the promoters φ9 and φ10 knocked out, and a variant of T761 with both the promoter knockouts and codon deoptimization. Fitness is shown relative to T761. Simulations were conducted with transcript degradation. In the simulations, the recoding and promoter knockout reduced fitness by 5.4 and 20.6%, respectively, relative to T761. Combining these two modifications created a 25.3% reduction in fitness, nearly identical to the reduction expected from combining the individual effects (24.9%). In contrast, in the experiments the recoding and promoter knockout reduced fitness by 13.2 and 33.3%, respectively, but the genome with both modifications had a fitness reduction nearly identical to the promoter knockout alone, 34.6%. This difference suggests that our model does not fully capture the antagonistic effects of mixing attenuation strategies.

Our simulations of T7 that included directional transcript degradation and RNase cleavage sites recapitulated this down-regulated set of genes, and more broadly gene expression trends not explained by promoters and terminators, whereas our simulations of T7 without transcript degradation failed to capture these regulatory patterns. We next assessed the relationship between proteins and transcripts in both the experimental data and in silico. Here, we observed evidence for both differential gene expression among the three classes, and differences in correlations between protein and transcripts. Finally, we re-created several prior viral attenuation experiments (Paff et al. 2018) in our simulation. This simulation captured some aspects of the experiments but missed others. In particular, it could not reproduce the observation that combining different attenuation strategies produces diminishing fitness effects. In summary, we demonstrate evidence for extensive degradation of the T7 transcriptome. Moreover, simulations can provide mechanistic insight into these and other experimental findings, but need further refinements to make highly accurate predictions.

Bacteria use transcript degradation extensively as a strategy for gene regulation (Mackie 1998; Nicholson 1999; Celesnik, Deana, and Belasco 2007; Clarke et al. 2014; Hui, Foley, and Belasco 2014; Chen et al. 2015; Luciano et al. 2017; Gordon, Cameron, and Pfleger 2017; Dar and Sorek 2018). Degradation helps to tightly couple transcription and translation, such that protein abundances closely match those of transcripts and bacteria can more quickly respond to changes in their environment. In many cases, however, specific transcript properties drive differential degradation patterns (Gordon, Cameron, and Pfleger 2017). In E. coli operons, for example, secondary structure creates patterns of differential degradation within single polycistronic transcripts (Dar and Sorek, 2018). Bacteriophage T7, which infects E. coli, produces almost exclusively polycistronic transcripts, which are processed by E. coli RNase III at specific cleavage sites (Dunn and Studier 1983; Panayotatos and Truong 1985; Li et al. 1993). However, T7 can grow in strains of E. coli lacking RNase III (Nicholson 1999), and given the high stability
of T7 transcripts (Panayotatos and Truong 1985), the broader role, if any, of transcript degradation in T7 is unclear. We emphasize that our 5‘-to-3‘ model of degradation is an approximation of the effects of multiple endo- and exonucleases in E. coli. In the most common pathway for transcript degradation, E. coli endonucleases first cleave the transcript in to small fragments, and then an exonuclease degrades the small fragments in the 3‘-to-5‘ direction (Hui, Foley, and Belasco 2014). This first step of cleavage tends to happen closer to the 5‘-end of the transcript than to the 3‘-end, and so the 5‘-ends of transcripts generally degrade sooner (Chen et al. 2015). Future versions of the Pinetree simulator could explicitly model both of these steps instead of approximating them with a 5‘-to-3‘ RNase. Regardless of these minor modeling choices, our findings suggest that extensive transcript degradation alters the distribution of transcripts in T7.

Why a lytic bacteriophage such as T7 would employ extensive transcript degradation is unclear. Given the extremely short replication cycle and the phage’s strategy of producing as much offspring as rapidly as possible, producing transcripts that are subsequently degraded and hence wasted seems counter-intuitive. However, since T7 RNAP synthesizes transcripts at a rate approximately eight times faster than ribosomes translate them, transcription and translation are generally assumed to be uncoupled in T7 (Iost, Guillerez, and Dreyfus 1992). The controlled degradation of T7 transcripts may allow T7 to selectively recycle transcription to translation, and this coupling may be more beneficial than the extra production of transcripts is costly. For example, the later degradation of early transcripts may improve the expression of structural proteins late in the replication cycle, by removing competing initiation targets for free ribosomes.

When comparing the relationship between transcript and protein abundances in experiments and in simulations, we saw stronger correlations between transcript and protein abundances in our simulations than in the experimental data. There are several possible factors driving this discrepancy, including limitations in the accuracy of the experimental data and in the biological realism of the simulation. First, the protein abundances we used were measured by simple mass spec, without spike-ins for absolute quantitation (Jack et al. 2017). As a consequence, comparisons of protein abundances for the same protein across different experimental conditions are much more reliable than comparisons of abundances for different proteins within one experiment. Second, translation initiation rates may vary among transcripts, and this variation is not currently reflected in the simulation. Third, tRNA abundances may change dynamically (see below), and these changes may feed back into translation rates. This variation is also not currently reflected in the simulation. Finally, some experimental evidence suggests that an additional, as-yet-unidentified mechanism may regulate translation of certain T7 mRNAs (Endy et al. 2000; Endy and Brent 2001).

Our simulations of T7 gene expression made reasonable predictions for the effects of specific genomic modifications. In particular, our simulations predicted that promoter knockout had a much bigger effect on fitness than codon deoptimization, even if the exact fitness reductions were not accurately predicted. We note, however, that more quantitatively accurate predictions could be achieved via careful optimization of the various simulation parameters, which we did not undertake in this work.

Our simulations did not, however, correctly predict the reduced fitness effect of combining promoter knockout with codon deoptimization. Similarly, our simulations could not explain the weaker correlation that we observe between protein and transcript abundances in the experimental data. We believe that both discrepancies may be due to one important shortcoming of Pinetree, namely that it does not explicitly model tRNA pools. Our current simulations assume that the cell has unlimited protein production resources. However, we know that the availability of tRNAs plays an important role in translation and will influence the relative relationship between proteins and transcripts under different growth conditions (Wohlgemuth, Gorochowski, and Roubos 2013; Dana and Tuller 2014; Torrent et al. 2018). Given the short life cycle of a T7 infection, the translation of phage proteins likely becomes limited by the availability of charged tRNAs. Future versions of Pinetree could explicitly incorporate these tRNA pools, similar to other stochastic models (Shah and Gilchrist 2011; Dana and Tuller 2014), and parameterize them by either ribosome footprinting or direct tRNA measurements (Ingolia 2014; Volkov et al. 2018). In either case, the limitations of the current simulation point towards specific biological mechanisms that may affect T7 RNA and protein expression under certain conditions. Thus, our work suggests both future improvements in the simulation and future experimental work.

Our T7 simulator is the next step forward in a long list of computational models of bacteriophage T7. The first models were simple kinetic models based on differential equations (Endy, Kong, and Yin 1997; Endy et al. 2000), and more recently coupled with flux-balance equations to describe the metabolism of the host (Birch, Ruggero, and Covert 2012). For a recent review of this type of modeling (see Yin and Redovich 2018). Kinetic models can capture complex gene regulatory behavior and exhibit rich dynamics, but ultimately they are too simplistic to accurately describe gene expression. For example, the time to first production of a protein tends to be too small in kinetic models, because they don’t accurately capture the time it takes for a polymerase to process an entire transcript (Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007). At the same time, the variance in protein production times is too large (Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007; Fig. 2). More realistic models track the movement of individual poly- merases or ribosomes along DNA or RNA, using a stochastic framework. The first genome-scale model of this type was the stochastic gene expression simulator TABASCO (Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007), developed to describe T7 gene expression (Kosuri 2007). TABASCO contains a stepwise transcription model, tracking the movement of individual polymerases along the phage genome. However, it treats translation via a kinetic model, and it does not contain a stepwise, directional degradation model. With our simulator Pinetree (Jack and Wilke 2019), we have built on the logic developed for TABASCO and have extended it to include stochastic, stepwise descriptions of both translation and transcript degradation. Most importantly, this has allowed us to replicate key aspects of transcript expression using a three-parameter degradation model uniformly applied to all transcripts. In contrast, Kosuri (2007) found for his TABASCO simulations that the predicted transcript levels did not match well with measured transcript abundances unless he employed transcript-specific degradation rates, which introduced a larger number of free parameters that had to be fitted to the data. In summary, our results here show that building on and extending the stochastic simulation introduced with TABASCO is a viable pathway towards a realistic and efficient computational model of T7 gene expression.

As both computational modeling and experimental techniques become more sophisticated, we are approaching a point...
where models can inform experiments, test mechanistic hypotheses in silico, and make predictions of gene expression in highly dynamic environments. These advanced models will allow us to predict mutants with desired phenotypes, design viral genomes that are attenuated and/or display limited potential for adaptation, and generally unlock new engineering possibilities in synthetic biology.

4. Methods

4.1 RNA-sequencing analysis

We analyzed RNA-sequencing data from E. coli infected with T7 grown at 37°C, and collected at 1, 5, and 9 min after infection (Jack et al. 2017). We first created a reference sequence containing both the T7 (NCBI: NC_001604.1) and E. coli K12 (NCBI: U00096.3) genomes. As described previously (Jack et al. 2017), we excluded 10B from our analyses, because it is a readthrough product of gene 10A and most reads that map to 10B will also map to 10A. To simplify our notation, we refer to genes 10A and 10B jointly as gene 10. We used HISAT2 to map the reads to our reference genome (Kim, Langmead, and Salzberg 2015). We generated raw read counts with BEDtools using the ‘multicov’ command (Quinlan and Hall 2010). Transcript abundances were normalized in-sample and are reported as transcripts per kilobase (RPK). We then calculated a scaling factor for each gene by the gene length in kilobases to obtain reads per removed product of gene 10A we excluded 10B.

4.2 Proteomics data

We acquired processed proteomics data from the same study as the RNA-sequencing data (Jack et al. 2017). These data include estimates of protein abundances from the same time points (1, 5, and 9 min postinfection), collected under the same conditions as the RNA-sequencing data. We made no modifications to the previously used analysis pipeline (Jack et al. 2017).

4.3 Simulation models of three-gene plasmids

We constructed two linear plasmid models from which to simulate gene expression using Pinetree (Jack and Wilke 2019). Each plasmid contained three genes (X, Y, and Z), each 150 bp in length. We defined a single promoter upstream of gene X and a single terminator downstream of gene Z. For the second plasmid model, we added a second promoter immediately upstream of gene Z followed by an RNase cleavage site. We simulated gene expression for 240 s in an E. coli-like environment at a reduced scale. The cell volume was $8 \times 10^{-16}$ l, with initial conditions of 10 RNAPs and 100 ribosomes. Promoter strengths and rates of transcript cleavage and degradation were defined arbitrarily. Full parameter files for each simulation are available on GitHub and are archived on Zenodo (see Section 5).

4.4 Simulation models of bacteriophage T7

To simulate bacteriophage T7 infecting E. coli, we again used Pinetree (Jack and Wilke 2019). We constructed models with and without degradation. All models have the same initial conditions and parameters, except where noted below. Full parameter files for each simulation are available on GitHub and are archived on Zenodo (see Section 5).

4.4.1 Initial conditions and species-level reactions

The Pinetree simulator models transcription and translation at single-base resolution, but otherwise only supports pooled species-level reactions. These reactions model molecular species with specific copy numbers. The simulation assumes that the molecular species interact stochastically as described by the Gillespie algorithm (Gillespie 1977). For our model of T7, most of these species-level reactions were derived from a prior stochastic model of T7 (Tables 1 and 2; Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007). To more accurately account for the E. coli cellular resources available to T7 upon infection, we added several reactions. These reactions include the degradation of the E. coli genome, production of E. coli transcripts, and the binding and unbinding of ribosomes to E. coli transcripts. These E. coli transcripts differ from the T7 transcripts in that they are modeled at the species-level and not at the single-base level. All rate constants in these additional reactions were defined arbitrarily to conform to experimental transcript and protein distributions. Our aim was to approximate E. coli genome and transcript degradation and the shift in ribosomal resources towards the production of T7 proteins.

Each simulation begins with 500 bp of the T7 genome already injected into an E. coli cell. The cell has a volume of $1.1 \times 10^{-15}$ l. Initially, free E. coli RNAPs bind to the early T7 promoters. Once the T7 RNAP has been translated, it begins transcribing later T7 genes at 230 bp/s and pulls the remainder of the genome into the cell (Garcia and Molinieux 1995). We assume that a single phage genome infects a single E. coli cell. Upon infection, we also assume that the E. coli cell contains 10,000 actively translating ribosomes (bound ribosome) and 1,800 E. coli RNAPs (E. coli RNA pol). These quantities were derived from Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy (2007). We do not explicitly model T7 genome replication, and we assume that all gene expression occurs from a single T7 genome.

4.4.2 Promoter, terminators, and genome organization

All genes and regulatory elements in our models of T7 were generated directly from the annotated genome (NCBI: NC_001604.1). We included all genes except for genes 0.4, 0.5, 0.6A, 0.6B, 5.5-5.7, 4.1, 4B, 10B. These genes were excluded
Table 1. Molecular species in simulations of bacteriophage T7 gene expression.

| Species name            | Description                                | Speed | Footprint | Init. count |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Ribosome                | Free E. coli ribosome.                     | 30 b/s| 30b       | 0           |
| Bound ribosome          | Bound E. coli ribosome.                    | –     | –         | 10,000      |
| E. coli genome          | Fragment of E. coli genome.                | –     | –         | 0           |
| E. coli pol             | E. coli RNA Pol.                          | 45 b/s| 35b       | 0           |
| E. coli pol-p           | Phosphorylated E. coli RNA Pol.            | 45 b/s| 35b       | 0           |
| E. coli transcript      | E. coli transcript of average length.      | –     | –         | 0           |
| Degraded transcript     | E. coli transcript of average length.      | –     | –         | 0           |
| Bound E. coli pol       | E. coli RNA Pol bound to genome.           | –     | –         | 1,800       |
| Bound E. coli pol-p     | Phosphorylated E. coli RNA Pol bound to genome. | – | – | 0 |
| E. coli pol-2           | E. coli RNA Pol bound to T7 gp2.           | –     | –         | 0           |
| E. coli pol-2-p         | Phosphorylated E. coli RNA Pol bound to T7 gp2. | – | – | 0 |
| protein kinase-0.7      | T7 protein kinase, gp0.7.                  | –     | –         | 0           |
| gp-2                    | T7 gp2.                                    | –     | –         | 0           |
| lysozyme-3.5            | T7 lysozyme, gp3.5.                       | –     | –         | 0           |
| RNAPol-3.5              | T7 RNA Pol bound to T7 gp3.5.              | 230 b/s| 35b       | 0           |
| RNAPol-1                | T7 RNA Pol, gp1.                          | 230 b/s| 35b       | 0           |

Table 2. Species-level reactions and rate constants used in simulations of bacteriophage T7 gene expression.

| Reaction                                      | Rate constant |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| ribosome + E. coli transcript → bound ribosome| $10^9 M^{-1}s^{-1}$ |
| bound ribosome → ribosome + E. coli transcript | $4 \times 10^{-2} s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli pol + E. coli genome → bound E. coli pol | $1.925 \times 10^{-3} s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli pol-p + E. coli genome → bound E. coli pol | $10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| bound E. coli pol → E. coli transcript + E. coli genome + E. coli pol | $3 \times 10^6 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| bound E. coli pol-p → E. coli transcript + E. coli genome + E. coli pol-p | $4 \times 10^{-2} s^{-1}$ |
| protein kinase-0.7 + E. coli pol → protein kinase-0.7 + E. coli pol-p | $3.8 \times 10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| protein kinase-0.7 + E. coli pol-2 → protein kinase-0.7 + E. coli pol-2-p | $3.8 \times 10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| gp-2 + E. coli pol → gp-2 + E. coli pol-2-p | $3.8 \times 10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| gp-2 + E. coli pol-p → gp-2 + E. coli pol-2-p-p | $3.8 \times 10^7 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli pol-2 → gp-2 + E. coli pol-p | $1.1 s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli pol-2-p → gp-2 + E. coli pol-p-p | $1.1 s^{-1}$ |
| lysozyme-3.5 + RNAPol-1 → RNAPol-3.5 | $3.8 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| RNAPol-3.5 → lysozyme-3.5 + RNAPol-1-p | $3.5 s^{-1}$ |

Table 3. Promoter strengths in simulations of T7 gene expression.

| Promoter          | Strength                |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| E. coli promoter A1 | $10^{5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli promoter A2 | $10^{5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli promoter A3 | $10^{5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli promoter B | $10^{5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| E. coli promoter C | $10^{5} M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| φ1.1A             | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ1.1B             | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ1.3              | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ1.5              | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ1.6              | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ2.5              | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ3.8              | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ4C               | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ4.3              | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ4.7              | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ6.5              | 0.05$^a$                |
| φ9                | 0.01$^a$                |
| φ10               | $1.82 \times 10^9 (1.82 \times 10^{9}) M^{-1} s^{-1}$ |
| φ13               | 0.1$^a$                 |

These are promoter strengths for E. coli polymerases that are unphosphorylated and unbound to gp2, and for T7 RNA Pol unbound to lysozyme.

$^a$Promoter strength relative to φ10.

$^b$Promoter strength for simulations with degradation, which was increased so that absolute transcript abundances were comparable among simulations with and without degradation.

*Derived from Kosuri, Kelly, and Eddy (2007).

because either they were not present in the strains of T7 used in our experiments or because of limitations in Pinetree. For example, Pinetree does not support translational readthrough products such as the minor capsid protein encoded by gene 108.

We included all promoters in T7, except for weak promoters near the origin of replication (E. coli promoters A0 and E6, and T7 promoters φOR and φOL were excluded). Promoter strengths are defined relative to the strongest promoter, φ10 (Table 3). We derived these relative promoter strengths from a prior deterministic model of bacteriophage T7 infection (Birch, Ruggero, and Covert 2012). Promoters themselves are defined as 35 bp regions of the genome directly upstream of the transcription start site in the reference genome. This 35 bp length is the footprint of all RNAPs. In Pinetree, all promoters must be at least as long as the footprint of the polymerases that bind to them. Some promoter strengths were modified to better fit the distribution of transcript abundances observed in our experimental data. For simulations without degradation, we set the φ10 promoter strength to $1.82 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ (Birch, Ruggero, and Covert 2012). We arbitrarily increased this rate constant to $1.82 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$ for simulations with degradation to maintain similar absolute transcript abundances between simulations with and without degradation. In simulations of promoter knockout strains, we set the promoter strengths of the knocked out promoters to zero.
In bacteriophage T7, gene 3.5 lysozyme facilitates the transition from expression of Class II genes to Class III genes (Dunn and Studier 1983; Molineux, 2006). To simulate this transition, we modeled gene 1 T7 RNAP bound to lysozyme and unbound to lysozyme as separate molecular species. Employing a rate constant from prior stochastic T7 simulations (Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007), lysozyme and T7 RNAP bind to form this new gene 1–3.5 complex (Table 2). These two polymerases have different binding affinities to promoters. For all Class II promoters, the 1–3.5 complex binds with a rate constant of 0.5 times that of the rate with only T7 RNAP. During the simulation, as abundances of lysozyme increase, this differential binding interaction has the effect of shifting promoter binding preferences from Classes II to III.

For the regulation of E. coli RNAP, we defined a set of reactions similar to that of T7 RNAP regulation (Table 2). Gene 0.7 kinase phosphorylates E. coli RNAP, modulating its binding activity. Gene 2 reacts with E. coli RNAP and deactivates it entirely. Rate constants for these reactions were derived from Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy (2007). Together, these reactions impede the interaction between E. coli RNAP and the E. coli promoters within the early region of the T7 genome. As the simulation progresses, transcription from these early promoters becomes negligible.

4.4.3 Ribosome binding sites and translation
Ribosome binding site strengths were derived from a prior stochastic simulation of T7 (Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007). We defined ribosome binding sites as the 30 bp regions immediately upstream of start codons. Again, this definition is due to a limitation in Pinetree, where the binding site region must be at least as large as the footprint of the ribosome. Ribosomes move stepwise along the mRNA at an average rate of 30 bp/s, which can be scaled up or down depending on the position within the transcript. We used this scaling factor to simulate codon deoptimization. In the simulations of T7 with codon-deoptimized gene 10, we scaled the translation rate of all codons within gene 10 by a factor of 0.2.

4.4.4 Degradation model
We employed a directional model of transcript degradation parameterized by two different initiation rate constants and a degradation rate. We assumed that transcripts degrade in the 5’-to-3’ direction. We note that there are no 5’-to-3’ exonucleases in E. coli but that degradation occurs via several different endo- and exonucleases (Hui, Foley, and Belasco 2014). On average, however, the 5’-ends of transcripts tend to have a shorter half-life than the 3’-end (Chen et al. 2015). To simulate this directional degradation effect in a way that was computationally tractable, we implemented a 5’-to-3’ exonuclease in Pinetree. Internally, Pinetree appends an RNase binding site of 10 bp in length to the 5’ end of each newly synthesized transcript. To represent RNase cleavage sites, we defined an RNase binding site at each cleavage site. These two types of binding sites differ in their binding rate constants: We assumed a rate constant of $10^{-7}$ s$^{-1}$ for cleavage sites and $10^{-8}$ s$^{-1}$ for 5’-ends sites. Although these absolute rate constants were defined arbitrarily, we assigned a lower rate 5’-end binding sites because of additional phosphate cleavage steps that occur before degradation begins (Mackie 1998; Celesnik, Deana, and Belasco 2007). The binding reaction itself is unimolecular and depends only on the abundance of the transcripts. Once an RNase has bound, it degrades transcripts in the 5’-3’ direction at a rate of 20 bp/s, again defined arbitrarily to approximate the shorter lifespan of the 5’-end of transcripts (Chen et al. 2015).

4.5 Comparing simulations to experiments
The rate constants in our simulations were originally derived from experiments conducted at either 25 or 30°C (Kosuri, Kelly, and Endy 2007; Birch, Ruggero, and Covert 2012). At these temperatures, T7 has a lysis time of ~20–25 min (Dunn and Studier 1983; Molineux, 2006). In contrast, much of the experimental data we analyzed had been collected at 37°C (Jack et al. 2017), when the phage lyse at 11 min. Thus, 9 min in our simulations is not directly comparable to 9 min in the experimental data. To compare simulated and experimental gene expression, we assumed that the timing of T7 gene expression scales linearly as temperature increases, and thus we selected 500 and 1,000 s in the simulation to represent 5 and 9 min, respectively, in the experimental data taken at 37°C.

To calculate simulated fitness in doublings per hour, we made use of a previously published relationship between intrinsic growth rate ($r$) and burst size ($b$) (Bull, Heineman, and Wilke 2011):

$$r = kC(e^{-rt} - 1),$$

where $k$ is adsorption rate, $C$ is cell density, and $t$ is lysis time. We assumed lysis time, adsorption rate, and cell density are all fixed constants. We set $t$ to 12 min and arbitrarily set $kC$ to 1/ min. To estimate burst size, we assumed that all capsid proteins present at 1,000 s are converted into virions, and that there are 400 copies of the capsid protein per virion. Using these assumptions, we arrived at the following equation relating the intrinsic growth rate $r$ to simulated capsid protein counts $p$:

$$r = (p/400)e^{-12min/r} - 1)/(1 min).$$

We solved numerically for $r$ numerically (via the solve function as provided at https://www.wolframalpha.com/) for each of the four simulated conditions: T7 wildtype, gene 10A recoded, phi9/10 double knockout, and the double knockout combined with the recoding. We converted intrinsic growth rate $r$ to doublings per hour $d$ using the following equation:

$$d = \log_2(e^{60min/r}).$$

Last, we normalized all fitness values to that of T7 wildtype.

Data availability
All code and processed data used to produce the figures and analyses presented here are available on Github (https://github.com/benjaminjack/phage_simulation) and is archived on Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.2631365). This archive includes specific parameter files for all simulations described in this work. Raw sequencing reads for the 30°C time course have been submitted to the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number GSE123854.
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