Interleukin-6 Differential Expression in Cancer Patients of Different Clinical Stages; a Possible Biomarker of Cancer Progression
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Abstract

Purpose

The study investigated interleukin-6 expression pattern across all stages of cancer. The research questions raised in the study were: Is there differential expression of Interleukin-6 across all cancer stages? and what relationship exists between serum interleukin-6 level and cancer stage?

Methods

The prospective case-control study comprised sixty two (62) purposively selected cancer participants across all stages and age range 18 years to 72 years as well equal number of healthy volunteers from two medical centres in Nigeria. Three milliliters (3mls) of blood samples was collected intravenously from the participants and centrifuged after 30 minutes of collection at 3000rpm for 10 minutes to obtain serum. The serum level of Interleukin-6 was determined spectrophotometrically by Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Data obtained were expressed as mean and standard error of the mean. One way Analysis of variance and t-test were employed to test for significance difference between the groups and the significant level was considered at $P< 0.05$.

Results

Findings from the study revealed significant ($P< 0.05$) higher mean serum interleukin-6 levels in stage IV cancer participants as compared to other disease stages. In the same way, significant higher mean Interleukin-6 level of stage III cancer participants as compared to that of stage I cancer participants was observed. Furthermore, the study revealed a significant correlation ($P< 0.01$) between serum Interleukin 6 concentration and cancer stage.

Conclusion

Serum interleukin-6 had differential expression in cancer patients at advanced clinical stage as compared to that of early disease stage.

Introduction

Cancer, a Public health problem afflicting all categories of person is among the global issues, with an estimated 10 million incidences and 6 million annual mortality rates [1]. It is a group of diseases that are characterized by uncontrolled cellular growth, local tissue invasion and distant metastasis caused by aberrations in cellular regulatory mechanisms [2].

Staging of cancer is an important process in cancer evaluation and clinical treatment of solid tumours in which the extent of tumour growth and its spread in the body system are revealed. TNM system is one of the most widely adopted methods of cancer staging which employs histopathological evaluations to
assign stages between I and IV on the basis of primary tumour size (T), degree of lymph node involvement (N) and the extent of metastasis (M) [4, 25].

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in cancer-related inflammation as a major determinant of disease progression and survival in the field of oncology research [5, 6]. Numerous studies provide evidence that chronic inflammation increases cancer risk, promote tumour progression and support metastasis [7, 8].

Interleukin-6 has been subject to increased attention due to its possible usefulness as a biomarker of inflammation [9]. The strong association between inflammation mediated interleukin-6 and cancer development and progression has been well documented [10, 11].

Owing to the fact that inflammatory signaling plays key roles in cancer development, progression and therapy response [9], determination and comparison of serum interleukin-6 expression pattern across cancer patients of different disease stage might reveal a better and more reliable predictive prognostic marker as well as identifying target oncogenic mediator that will be of therapeutic importance for the prevention of cancer progression and improved survival outcomes of cancer patients. Therefore, the study investigated interleukin-6 expression pattern in cancer patients of different disease stage from selected Nigeria tertiary health care.

**Materials And Methods**

**Experimental Design and Participants**

The prospective case-control study comprised sixty two (62) purposively selected cancer participants across all stages and age range 18 years to 72 years as well equal number of healthy volunteers from two medical centres in Nigerian, namely: University College Hospital (UCH), and Federal Medical Centre (FMC), Iidi-aba, Abeokuta.

Participants were included in the study on the basis of absence of neurological disorders and infection, no history of opioid use and they were not on immunosuppressive drugs and anti-inflammatory drugs 24 hrs prior to the study. However, Patients with incidence of pre-existing acute or chronic inflammatory diseases or infectious diseases as well as apparent multiple organ dysfunction were excluded from the study.

**Ethical Approval**

The approval of the study was obtained from ethics review committee of the selected medical centres with the following ethics committee assigned numbers; UI/EC/19/0161 and FMCA/470/HREC/01/2019/010 respectively. The procedure followed for the conduction of the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the selected medical institution and with the revised Helsinki Declaration of 1975.
Also, every participant gave their consent prior to the conduction of the study by appending their signatures on the informed consent form.

**Participant study**

Socio-demographics of the consented participants were collected from the patients using a well-structured questionnaire.

**Clinical Features of the Participants**

Participants’ clinical information as regard to their cancer stage was retrieved from the hospital. The cancer staging of the participants was based on “TNM” system.

**Sample collection**

Three milliliters (3mls) of blood samples was collected intravenously from the cancer subjects into a set of well labeled plain sample bottles. The collected blood samples were left standing for 30 minutes after which it was centrifuged at 3000rpm for 10 minutes for serum separation. The collected serum was kept in a Biofreezer set at -20 degree celcius until sample analysis.

**Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)**

The expression levels of Interleukin 6 cytokines in the separated sera samples were determined spectrophotometrically by Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay using interleukin-6 specified ELISA kit as described by Mittal et al [12].

**Statistical Analysis**

All experiments were set up in triplicates. Data collected were processed using SPSS statistical software version 22.0 and the processed data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Analysis of variance and paired t-test were employed to test for significance difference among the variables with the level of significance considered at $P< 0.05$

**Results**

Fig 1.0 shows the percentage age distribution of the participants selected for the study. It was evident from fig 1.0 that majority of the cancer patients that participated in the study were of the age groups 40-49 years and 50-59 years with percentage distribution of 32.3% and 30.6% respectively. While minority of the study group comprised of cancer patients of the age group 10-19 years with percentage distribution of 1.6%.

**Table 1:** Percentage distribution of the participants by cancer types
| Disease Subtype                      | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|
| Ameloblastic carcinoma              | 3         | 4.8            |
| Brain cancer                        | 1         | 1.6            |
| Breast cancer                       | 30        | 48.4           |
| Cervical cancer                     | 11        | 17.7           |
| Eye cancer                          | 1         | 1.6            |
| Larynx cancer                       | 2         | 3.2            |
| Maxillary adenoid carcinoma         | 2         | 3.2            |
| Nasopharyngeal cancer               | 2         | 3.2            |
| Neck cancer                         | 1         | 1.6            |
| Non hodgskin lymphoma               | 1         | 1.6            |
| Oropharyngeal cancer                | 3         | 4.8            |
| Prostate cancer                     | 1         | 1.6            |
| Rectal cancer                       | 1         | 1.6            |
| Skin cancer                         | 1         | 1.6            |
| Tongue cancer                       | 2         | 3.2            |
| **Total**                           | **62**    | **100.0**      |

The percentage of different types of cancer patients included in the study was presented in table 1.0. From table 1.0, majority of the participants were breast cancer and cervical cancer patients as it was reflected in their percentage distribution of 48.4 and 17.7% respectively. However, patients with neck cancer, brain cancer, Non hodgskin lymphoma, prostate, Rectal and skin cancer constituted the minority of the participants with percentage distribution of 1.6%.

Fig 3.0 revealed the percentage of the cancer patients in different stages of the disease. The figure showed that higher population of the participants involved in the study were in stage 2 and stage 3 of the disease as it was reflected in their percentage distribution of 35.7 % and 38.1% respectively. However, cancer patients at stage 1 of the disease had the lowest percentage (7.1%) of all the recruited participants.

**Table 2:** Mean serum Interleukin 6 level of the participants
| Participants     | Mean serum Interleukin 6 level | P value |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Cancer patients  | $84.15 \pm 16.55^*$           | 0.016   |
| Control          | $61.56 \pm 7.144$             |         |

Values with aasterik indicates significance ($P < 0.05$) difference in Interleukin-6 concentration.

The mean serum interleukin 6 levels of cancer participants and healthy volunteers were revealed in the table 2. As revealed from the table, the mean serum interleukin-6 levels of the cancer patients was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher than that of the control.

**Table 3**: Mean values of Serum Interleukin-6 concentration of the participants of different disease stage

| Cancer stage Group | Mean Interleukin 6 Concentration |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| I                  | $15.76 \pm 10.04^a$              |
| II                 | $20.64 \pm 5.13^{ab}$            |
| III                | $45.31 \pm 5.08^b$               |
| IV                 | $174.20 \pm 46.14^c$             |

Values with different alphabets superscript indicate significance difference ($P < 0.05$) in Interleukin-6 concentration.

The serum mean Interleukin-6 concentration of the participants with different stages of cancer is presented in Table 3 above. From the table, the mean interleukin-6 concentration increases with advancing stage of disease. However, stage IV participants (with metastatic disease) have significant ($P < 0.05$) higher concentration of Interleukin-6 as compared to other stages. In the same way, significant increase in the mean Interleukin-6 concentration of participants with stage III of the disease as compared to that of stage I cancer participants was evident from Table 3.

**Table 4**: Mean values of Serum Interleukin-6 levels of the participants with different cancer types
Cancer type | Serum Interleukin 6 level  
---|---
Breast Cancer | 24.311 ± 6.33*  
Cervical Cancer | 160.53 ± 47.70  
Prostate Cancer | 49.53 ± 6.432  
Head and Neck Cancer | 73.60 ± 26.02  
Others | 89.53 ± 39.13

Value with asterisk indicates significance difference ($P<0.05$) in Interleukin-6 level.

Interleukin-6 level in the serum of the participants with different cancer types was revealed in the table 4. From the table, there was a significant decrease ($P<0.05$) in the serum interleukin-6 level of breast cancer participants as compared to that of cervical, prostate, head and Neck and others cancer types.

**Table 5:** Correlation relationship between Interleukin-6 concentrations and cancer stage

| Mean serum Interleukin 6 level | Pearson correlation coefficient | P value  
---|---|---
Interleukin-6 levels | 1.0 | 0.002*  
Cancer stage | 0.466* |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

From table 5 above, there was a significant correlation ($P<0.01$) between Interleukin 6 concentration and cancer age.

**Discussion**

Of recent, there has been an increasing interest in cancer-related inflammation as a major determinant of disease progression and survival in the field of oncology research. IL6 has been implicated as a member of the major inflammatory interleukins family that has played a significant role in various immune, physiological and pathophysiological processes such as cancer progression [13-16]. Determination of the expression pattern of Interleukin-6, an important inflammatory marker, might reveal a reliable predictive prognostic marker as well as identifying target oncogenic mediator that will be of therapeutic importance for the prevention of cancer progression and improves survival outcomes of cancer patients. Therefore, the present study investigated interleukin-6 expression pattern in cancer patients with different disease stages from selected Nigeria tertiary health care.
Findings from the participants’ socio-demographics analysis of this present study revealed Breast cancer and cervical cancer as most common cancer of all the cancer types among the studied participants. This findings corroborates the findings of Jedy-Agba et al. in which Breast and cervical cancer were reported as the most common cancers in women from the cancer study conducted in two regions in Nigeria (Table 1) [17]. Also, this finding is in line with the epidemiological and incidence report of common cancers in Nigeria by Abdulkareem in which Breast and Cervical cancer were revealed as first two most common cancers in Nigeria [18].

Considering the age distribution of the included participants, age group 40-49 years was revealed from the findings of the study as the most vulnerable age group to cancer disease (Figure 1). The findings obtained is in line with the work of Akinde et al. [19] on cancer mortality pattern in Lagos University Teaching Hospital, in which age group 41-50 were reported as the most cancer prevalent age groups with percentage distribution of 21.0% respectively [19]. In light of the above, advanced age is an important risk factor of cancer development. Descriptive survey on the cancer stage of the participants in this present study revealed that highest proportions of the participants were at advanced stage (III) of the disease while only small proportion of the studied population were at early stage of cancer. Possible reasons for this observation might be due to late diagnosis and late presentation in the hospitals for cancer treatment.

For the serum Interleukin 6 levels, findings from this present study revealed that interleukin-6 levels in the serum of the participants diagnosed with advanced cancer stage (III and IV) was significantly elevated as compared to that of early stage of the disease. This agrees with earlier reports from a number of studies conducted previously which showed that Patients, who have Cancer have increased levels of IL-6 [20-23]. Reports from previous studies suggest that an increased production of IL-6 is associated with poor prognosis and survival outcomes in many types of cancers including Breast Cancer [24-25]. Furthermore, our study showed that IL-6 levels were highest in Cancer patients at stage 4, when compared to the other stages in all the Cancer types. Similarly, Chung and Chang reported that an existing association occurred between colorectal tumor size and IL-6 serum levels which peaked at Stage IV in the Patients having colorectal cancer [26].

Conclusively, serum interleukin-6 differential expression in cancer patients at advanced clinical stage as compared to that of early disease stage, alongside with the significant association relationship between its expression level and the different clinical stages of cancer as evident from the concluded study. Hence, serum interleukin-6 is highlighted as a promising biomarker of tumour progression and prognosis as well as an important therapeutic target to prevent tumour progression and metastasis for improved survival outcomes of cancer patients.
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Figure 1

Percentage Age Distribution of the participants. Fig 1 shows the percentage age distribution of the participants selected for the study. It was evident from fig 1 that majority of the cancer patients that participated in the study were of the age groups 40-49 years and 50-59 years with percentage distribution of 32.3% and 30.6% respectively. While minority of the study group comprised of cancer patients of the age group 10-19 years with percentage distribution of 1.6%.
Figure 2

Percentage distribution of the participants by cancer stage. Fig 2 revealed the percentage of the cancer patients in different stages of the disease. The figure showed that higher population of the participants involved in the study were in stage 2 and stage 3 of the disease as it was reflected in their percentage distribution of 35.7% and 38.1% respectively. However, cancer patients at stage 1 of the disease had the lowest percentage (7.1%) of all the recruited participants.