Preparation and Freeze-Thaw Resistance of Geopolymer-Based Natural Plant Fiber Composites
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Abstract. Slag, alkaline activator solution and straw fibers were used to manufacture geopolymer-based natural plant fiber composites. In this study, three influences of water glass modulus, fiber content and water-binder ratio on bending strength were studied by orthogonal experiment and single factor analysis. The results indicate that the order of the factors affecting the bending strength is: water-binder ratio $>$ fiber content $>$ water glass modulus. When the water-binder ratio is 0.4, the fiber content is 12%, and the water glass modulus is 1.9, the bending strength of composite is up to 9.1 MPa, which exceeds the standard requirements (9 MPa) for qualified products specified in the standard (GB/T 24312-2009). The SEM and appearance of specimens indicate that the geopolymer-based natural plant fiber composites have good freeze-thaw resistance.
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1. Introduction

Geopolymer is an inorganic polymer formed by connecting $[\text{SiO}_4]$ tetrahedron and $[\text{AlO}_4]$ tetrahedron [1]. Geopolymer materials have many excellent chemical and physical properties such as high strength, corrosion resistance, fire resistance, impermeability and frost resistance [2]. However, the defects of cracking and brittleness of geopolymers restrict its application [3, 4].

As one of the most abundant natural resources in the world, natural plant fiber can modify the tensile, bending strength, and fracture energy of polymeric composites [5]. Recently, natural plant fibers reinforced geopolymer materials begins to gain increasing attention. So far, there have been some research reports on natural fiber reinforced geopolymer composite materials. Roy et al. [6] made the composites of fly ash-based geopolymer reinforced with abaca (Manila hemp) fiber. The bending strength of composites is 5.5 MPa compared to that of a pristine geopolymer (2.8 MPa). Tekin et al. [7] fabricated the zeolitic tuff-marble waste based geopolymer composites reinforced with cotton and viscon fibers respectively. The bending strength of geopolymer
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composite is up to 14.9 MPa when the content of viscon fibers was 2%. Silva et al. [8] used sisal fibers to reinforce geopolymer matrix produced from residues of fired clay brick powder. The maximal bending strength of composites with 2% fiber content was 3.5 MPa, which corresponded to an increment of 360% in comparison to the unreinforced matrix. Duan et al. [9] manufactured fly ash-based geopolymer composite reinforced with sawdust. The composite with 20% sawdust content reached highest bending strength of about 12 MPa after 28 days of curing. Moreover, they investigated the frost resistance of the fly ash-slag-metakaolin based geopolymer. After 100 freeze-thaw cycles, the final remaining mass is still greater than 80%. Kim et al. [10, 11] prepared the porous slag based geopolymer concrete reinforced with natural jute fibers and latex, and partially replacing natural stone aggregates with coarse blast furnace slag aggregates. They found that the addition of jute fibers had no contribution to the compressive strength, but the target residual compressive strength of samples reinforced with natural jute fibers were over 80% after 100 freeze-thaw cycles.

However, the above studies have not evaluated the bending strength and microstructure of geopolymer reinforced with natural plant fibers after freeze-thaw cycles. This paper studies the feasibility of developing a green geopolymer particle board that uses slag-based geopolymer as the matrix and straw fibers as the reinforcement. The preparation parameters of specimens were optimized by orthogonal experiment and single factor analysis, and the effect of different fiber contents on freeze-thaw resistance of composites were discussed in this paper. SEM was used to investigate the microstructure and frost resistance of specimens.

2. Experiment

The raw materials for preparation of specimens were slag, straw fibers and water glass. Slag was obtained from Beihai Chenggang Mining Co. Ltd., and the main chemical composition of slag was analysis by XRF (table 1). The straw fibers, locally provided in Guangxi, were milled by crusher and sieved to -20 +40 mesh. The sodium silicate of the raw material had a modulus of 3.3. It was modified using sodium hydroxide and distilled water to obtain alkaline activator solutions with moduli of 1.7, 1.8, 1.9 and 2.0, respectively.

The slag and alkaline activator solution are reacted to obtain a geopolymer paste, which is then mixed with straw fibers. The mixed slurry was cast into steel moulds (22.0 cm×5.0 cm×1.0 cm). The specimens were cured at 60°C for 7 days and then taken out of the moulds. Freeze-thaw cycles tests were conducted to determine the freeze-thaw resistance of geopolymer composites referring to the China National Standard GB/T 50082-2009. The samples were frozen at -18 ± 2°C and thawed at 5°C in water for 2 h, respectively. Each cycle is completed within 4 h and total 50 freeze-thaw cycles were conducted. The bending strength of samples were measured using a computer control universal tester. The scanning electron micrographs of samples was obtained by SEM to analysis the micro morphology of the samples.

| Composition | CaO | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | MgO | TiO₂ | Fe₂O₃ | Other |
|-------------|-----|------|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| Wt (%)      | 39.83 | 33.67 | 13.57 | 8.19 | 0.92 | 0.31 | 3.51  |
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Design of Orthogonal Experiment Table and Single Factor Analysis

The orthogonal experiment and single factor analysis were used to study the three influences of water glass modulus, water-binder ratio and fiber content on the bending strengths referring to the method of Wei et al. [12]. The orthogonal experiment table of $L_{16}(4^3)$ was designed and the results were showed in table 2. The order of influencing composite material bending strength index was: water-binder ratio $>$ fiber content $>$ water glass modulus, and the optimal parameter combination is $A_3B_2C_2$. The single factor curves were evaluated based on the results of orthogonal experiments and the results of single factor analysis are shown in figure 1. The bending strengths of the samples under the three experimental parameters showed similar curves, which first increases and then decreases. The maximum bending strength appears when the water-binder ratio is 0.4, the water glass modulus is 1.9 and the fiber content is 12% respectively. The highest 7d average bending strength of samples can reach 9.1 MPa, which is higher than the requirement of China National Standard (GB/T 24312-2009) “Cement Particleboard” qualified products (≥9 MPa). This means that the composites prepared in this study may be used in the production of geopolymer-based plant fiberboard in the future.
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**Figure 1.** The influence of (a) water-binder ratio, (b) fiber content and (c) water glass modulus on bending strength of samples.

3.2. Freeze-Thaw Resistance of Specimens

The influence of plant fiber content on the bending strengths of specimens before and after freeze-thaw is given in figure 2. The bending strength of the specimens before and after the freeze-thaw increases first and then decreases with the increases of fiber content. The highest bending strengths of the specimen before and after freeze-thaw with 12% fiber content are 9.1MPa and 7.0MPa respectively. The loss rate of the sample’s bending strength is 28.2%. It can also be observed that as the fiber content increases, the bending
strength of the sample decreases faster, especially as the fiber content is 20%, the bending strength of the sample drops from 7.4 MPa before freeze-thaw to 2.5 MPa after freeze-thaw. The loss rate of bending strength is 66.3%, which indicates that the fiber content has a great influence on the freeze-thaw results and the increase in fiber content has negative effects on freeze-thaw resistance [13].

Table 2. Different variables and levels correspond to orthogonal array $L_{16}(4^3)$.

| Experimental number | A: Water glass modulus | B: Fiber content (%) | C: Water-binder ratio | 7d average bending strength (MPa) |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                   | 1.7                    | 8                    | 0.3                   | 6.5                             |
| 2                   | 1.7                    | 12                   | 0.4                   | 8.1                             |
| 3                   | 1.7                    | 16                   | 0.5                   | 5.3                             |
| 4                   | 1.7                    | 20                   | 0.6                   | 4.7                             |
| 5                   | 1.8                    | 12                   | 0.3                   | 7.5                             |
| 6                   | 1.8                    | 8                    | 0.4                   | 7.6                             |
| 7                   | 1.8                    | 20                   | 0.5                   | 6.3                             |
| 8                   | 1.8                    | 16                   | 0.6                   | 6.3                             |
| 9                   | 1.9                    | 16                   | 0.3                   | 6.5                             |
| 10                  | 1.9                    | 20                   | 0.4                   | 7.0                             |
| 11                  | 1.9                    | 12                   | 0.5                   | 7.8                             |
| 12                  | 1.9                    | 8                    | 0.6                   | 4.3                             |
| 13                  | 2.0                    | 20                   | 0.3                   | 5.3                             |
| 14                  | 2.0                    | 16                   | 0.4                   | 8.7                             |
| 15                  | 2.0                    | 8                    | 0.5                   | 6.3                             |
| 16                  | 2.0                    | 12                   | 0.6                   | 6.2                             |
| $K_1$               | 24.6                   | 24.7                 | 25.8                  |                                 |
| $K_2$               | 27.7                   | 29.6                 | 31.4                  |                                 |
| $K_3$               | 25.6                   | 26.8                 | 25.7                  |                                 |
| $K_4$               | 26.5                   | 23.3                 | 21.5                  |                                 |
| $k_1$               | 6.2                    | 6.2                  | 6.5                   |                                 |
| $k_2$               | 6.9                    | 7.4                  | 7.9                   |                                 |
| $k_3$               | 6.4                    | 6.7                  | 6.4                   |                                 |
| $k_4$               | 6.6                    | 5.8                  | 5.4                   |                                 |
| R                   | 0.7                    | 1.6                  | 2.5                   |                                 |

Order of influencing: C>B>A
Optimal parameter combination: $A_3B_2C_2$

The fracture surface of specimens before and after freeze-thaw are presented in figure 3. The fiber in figure 3a is tightly embedded in the geopolymer matrix, which shows the good fiber-matrix bond. In contrast, it can be observed from figure 3b that there is a large gap between the fiber and the geopolymer matrix, as well as lots of cracks in the matrix, which reveals the debonding between fiber and the geopolymer matrix [14]. A possible reason is that when water penetrates into the matrix, it freezes below freezing temperature and causes the matrix to crack. Then more water will penetrate into the cracks of the matrix in the next freeze-thaw cycle, which can result in more cracks [15]. In addition, the water absorption characteristics of plant fibers will accelerate the above
process and give rise to the debonding of fiber and the geopolymer matrix.

The appearances of the specimens before and after freeze-thaw are shown in figure 4. There is almost no change on the appearances of the specimen between before and after freeze-thaw, as well as no obvious defects such as missing edges, missing corners and cracks on the surface of the specimen, which indicates that the geopolymer-based plant fiber composites have good freeze-thaw resistance.
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**Figure 2.** The influence of fiber content on bending strength before and after freeze-thaw.

![Figure 3](image2.png)

**Figure 3.** SEM image of specimen fracture (a) before and (b) after freeze-thaw.

![Figure 4](image3.png)

**Figure 4.** The appearance of specimen (a) before and (b) after freeze-thaw.

### 4. Conclusion

Taking the bending strength of the geopolymer composite materials as the evaluation index, the orthogonal experiment and single factor analysis were used to be obtained optimal parameter combination, which the water-binder ratio is 0.4, the fiber content 12% and the water glass modulus 1.9. The best bending strength (9.1MPa) of the optimized geopolymer composite material meets the requirement specified by China National Standard (GB/T 24312-2009) “Cement Bonded Particleboard” qualified
products (≥9 MPa). Although SEM observation reveals the debonding between the fiber and the geopolymer matrix after freeze-thaw, intact appearance of the specimens indicates that geopolymer-based plant fiber composites have good freeze-thaw resistance.
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