Genetic architecture of wood properties based on association analysis and co-expression networks in white spruce
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Introduction

The usefulness of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for discovering the genetic basis of complex traits has been shown in many different systems, including, for example, the susceptibility to complex diseases in humans (Altshuler et al., 2008) and defense metabolism against herbivory in Arabidopsis thaliana (Chan et al., 2011). The aim of GWAS is to gain insight into the genetic architecture of such traits through the identification of common genetic variants against the background of random variation in a population (Baranzini et al., 2009). One of the challenges of GWAS is that the exceedingly large number of tests and the required stringent statistical criteria typically result in very few associations that exceed a genome threshold of significance after correction for multiple testing (Greenawalt et al., 2012). Furthermore, most of the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) variants identified in GWAS provide little or no direct causation into the molecular, cellular or physiological processes underlying the phenotype of interest. Recent studies have shown that innovative approaches may be developed to complement and overcome some of the limitations of association studies and thus optimize the discovery of causative genetic variants (Baranzini et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2011; Greenawalt et al., 2012).

GWAS approaches such as those used in humans (Hirschhorn & Daly, 2005) and some plants (Huang et al., 2010; Chia et al., 2012) have not been directly amenable for most tree species because of the lack of genomic resources and methods to sample a large enough fraction of existing genome-wide allelic variation. Therefore, candidate gene approaches were developed as an alternative to GWAS, and consist of selecting genes based on prior knowledge and analyzing them to identify genetic variants for traits of interest. The advantage of this approach was suggested to be related to the rapid decay of linkage disequilibrium (LD) in forest trees which was found to be within 800 bp in loblolly pine (González-Martínez et al., 2006), within 750 bp in Scots pine (Garcia-Gil et al., 2003) and as little as 65 bp in white spruce (Pavy et al., 2012). The low levels of LD also suggest that validated marker-trait associations are likely to be located close to the functional polymorphisms (González-Martínez et al., 2011).

In forest trees, the candidate gene association study (AS) approach has identified SNPs and genes linked to wood and growth traits in many tree species such as Eucalyptus nitens (Thumma et al., 2009), Populus spp, (Ingvarsson et al., 2008;...
Wegrzyn et al., 2010; Guerra et al., 2013), pines (Dillon et al., 2010; Cumbie et al., 2011; Jaramillo-Correa et al., 2015) and spruces (Beaulieu et al., 2011; Prunier et al., 2013). However, the variation in quantitative traits explained by individual SNP markers is generally low and rarely exceeds 5% (Dillon et al., 2010; Guerra et al., 2013), consistent with multigenic control (Evans et al., 2014) and the relatively shallow genomic sampling in most studies to date (<1% and 10% of estimated gene coding loci per genome) (Nystedt et al., 2013; Neale et al., 2014).

Controlling for «false positives» and assuming «false negatives» in GWAS has led to the development of approaches integrating multiple types and sources of data. Protein interaction network-based pathway analysis was proposed as a strategy to further reduce the large lists of these genes and refine the results of GWAS (Baranzini et al., 2009). In A. thaliana, network co-expression approaches were used for the identification of novel genes that affect defense metabolism (Chan et al., 2011). Even when the phenotypic effect of each SNP is very small, grouping significant genes according to their function shows that many of these genes contribute together to the same physiological process or a regulatory network (Baranzini et al., 2009).

A growing number of studies show that combining association studies, molecular function and expression data could ultimately help increase our understanding of the genomic architecture of complex traits and the genetic basis of variations in trait expression (Baranzini et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2011; Greenawalt et al., 2012). Developing insights into the genomic architecture of complex traits in forest trees will therefore require the testing of more SNPs and genes than reported in most studies to date (Thavamanikumar et al., 2013). This will enable the development of global and less biased understanding and the evaluation of pathways and gene networks.

Our aim was to delineate the genetic architecture of wood traits in white spruce (Picea glauca (Moench) Voss) by testing a large panel of genes with diverse functions and expression profiles (see Pavy et al., 2013) and integrate these findings with genome-wide expression data to shed light onto the underlying gene networks (Fig. 1). The specific objectives of this study were: to identify and compare the genes identified by AS of different wood traits, and determine if they can be attributed to particular biological functions; to study the relationship between the genetic architecture (genes identified by AS) and quantitative genetic parameters; to evaluate whether genes associated with wood traits display preferential expression patterns; and to conduct co-expression network analysis among genes from the AS as a means of linking genotype-phenotype associations with cellular processes associated with wood traits.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and tissue sampling used for association analysis

The AS population was fully described in Beaulieu et al. (2014). Briefly, 1694 trees representing 214 open-pollinated families (eight trees for most of the families) from 43 provenances were selected in a 30 yr-old provenance-progeny test and a 12-mm increment core was taken at breast height (130 cm aboveground) for wood property determinations. Needle tissue was sampled from the crown for DNA extractions and genotyping.

Phenotypic data

A wood core from each of the 1694 trees was analyzed at high resolution with the SilviScan technology (Evans, 1999, 2006) at the FPInnovations facilities in Vancouver (Canada). Four wood traits were considered in this study: air-dry wood density (WD), measured in 25-μm steps using X-ray densitometry; microfibril angle (MFA), measured in 1-mm steps using X-ray diffractometry; wood modulus of elasticity (MOE) calculated from the densitometry and diffractometry data following Evans (2006); and ring width (RW) determined through information of densitometry profiles. Traits were determined on a ring-by-ring basis and data separated into earlywood (EW) and latewood (LW) on the basis of changes in wood density (Beaulieu et al., 2011). Averages were calculated for each trait and each tree by weighting individual ring data by its area in an ideal circular disk.

SNP genotyping

Discovery of the SNPs, genotyping methods and quality control criteria were described previously (Pavy et al., 2013; Beaulieu
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et al., 2014). Briefly, for each of the 1694 trees, DNA was extracted from foliage and individuals were then genotyped with the Illumina Infinium HD iSelect bead chip PgAS1 at a rate of 50 ng ml⁻¹ previously described by Pavy et al. (2013). The SNPs were from candidate genes belonging to 1868 gene families as described by Pavy et al. (2013) The candidate genes were selected based on multiple criteria and represented highly diverse functional categories and expression profiles as relevant for growth, phenology, resistance to biotic and abiotic stress, and wood formation (see Supporting Information Methods S1 for more details). Genotypes were obtained for 7434 SNPs in 2813 genes from candidate genes belonging to 1868 gene families as described by Price et al. (2006) using restricted maximum-likelihood (REML). Individual genotypic heritability ($h^2_g$), as well as genotypic ($r_g$) and phenotypic ($r_p$) correlations, were determined by a multivariate approach (Lenz et al., 2013). The delta method was used for estimation of associated errors (Lynch & Walsh, 1998). More information and formulas are given in Methods S2.

Estimation of quantitative genetic parameters

Genetic parameters of wood traits were estimated using a mixed model approach. Beside the effect for half-sib families, statistical models were constrained for block and block-by-family interactions within the test site. Variance components and co-variance were estimated with the mixed procedure in SAS (Littell et al., 2006) using restricted maximum-likelihood (REML). Individual narrow-sense heritability ($h^2_g$), as well as genotypic ($r_g$) and phenotypic ($r_p$) correlations, were determined by a multivariate approach (Lenz et al., 2013). The delta method was used for estimation of associated errors (Lynch & Walsh, 1998). More information and formulas are given in Methods S2.

Association analysis

Missing SNP information was imputed using the RAn-DOMForest package v.4.6-6 in R (Breiman, 2001; R Development Core Team, 2012). Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to assess for the presence of population sub-structure in the population of 1694 trees (Price et al., 2006) and a pairwise kinship matrix was calculated to estimate familial relatedness between trees, using all the 6385 SNPs. The association analysis between SNPs and traits were performed with Tassel (Bradbury et al., 2007) v.4.0 standalone. To remove spurious association, the kinship matrix and population structure were used as covariates in the Mixed Linear Model (MLM) (Yu et al., 2006). The false discovery rate (FDR) method (Storey & Tibshirani, 2003) was used to correct for multiple testing, whenever it was necessary to compare with results obtained without FDR.

In this study, we focused our expanded analysis at the functional level by considering genes harboring nominally significant SNP associations with wood traits at $P<0.05$. By omitting the correction for multiple testing, we aimed to maximize discovery and to gain insight into the genomic architecture and biological processes underlying quantitative traits, rather than identifying a reduced number of statistically stringent associations for phenotype correlation.

Microarray experiments and gene expression network

Co-expression group data from two independent gene expression profiling experiments described in Raherison et al. (2015) were used to characterize the expression patterns of genes found to be significantly related to wood traits after association testing at $P<0.05$ (Fig. 1). For details on the two profiling experiments, datasets and analyses, see Raherison et al. (2015). In the present study, two levels of hypergeometric testing were performed to assess the representation in co-expression groups: (1) over- or under-representation of the entire panel of candidate genes relative to the number of genes in each co-expression group and (2) separate tests were conducted for over- or under-representation of genes associated with wood traits in gene co-expression groups ($P<0.05$) taking into account the non random distribution of genes tested in the expression groups (for details, see Methods S3).

A gene co-expression network of 180 significantly associated genes in the two co-expression groups with xylem preferential expression (M2a and M7b in Raherison et al., 2015) was developed based on pairwise gene expression correlations determined between these genes by the Pearson correlation coefficient ($r$) that were calculated using R software (R Development Core Team, 2012). The network was constructed by connecting genes that had an $r$-value $\geq 0.90$ to reduce false connections of weak correlations between genes. The resulting co-expression networks were visualized using Cytoscape (Shannon et al., 2003).

Functional annotations and enrichment analyses

A BLASTX search for the 2652 candidate genes sequences was performed with the Blast2GO, software designed to annotate sequences based on similarity, with an e-value threshold of $e^{-10}$ against the nonredundant protein sequence database. In order to functionally classify these genes, two approaches were used. First, sequences were annotated by assignment of gene ontology (GO) terms and GO terms grouped into GO categories using the plant GO-Slim terms (Conesa et al., 2005). Second, protein families were assigned by using similarities with Pfam families, as described previously (Rigault et al., 2011; e-value $<e^{-10}$).

In order to determine whether the different gene lists were enriched for specific GO terms or Pfam domains compared with the overall set of candidate genes tested for associations, enrichment analyses were carried out. Fisher’s exact test as implemented in Blast2GO was used to compute the enrichment $P$-value for each GO term. Enrichment analyses were also performed on identified Pfam domains using FATSCAN at $P$-value $<0.05$ (Al-Shahrour et al., 2007; Medina et al., 2010).

Results

Quantitative genetic analysis

Individual trait heritabilities, as well as phenotypic and genetic correlations between traits, were estimated for EW and LW traits separately (Table 1a). The narrow-sense heritability of traits
Table 1 (a) Genetic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below the diagonal) correlations between traits and individual trait narrow-sense heritabilities (on the diagonal, in bold) in white spruce; (b) genetic (bold) and phenotypic correlations between and within traits and between earlywood and latewood in white spruce.

| Traits | WD | MFA | MOE | RW |
|--------|----|-----|-----|----|
| Earlywood | | | | |
| WD | 0.65 (0.09)<sup>2</sup> | -0.03 (0.14) | 0.56 (0.10) | -0.56 (0.13) |
| MFA | -0.16 (0.02) | 0.36 (0.08) | -0.80 (0.06) | -0.37 (0.24) |
| MOE | 0.54 (0.02) | -0.88 (0.01) | 0.29 (0.08) | 0.04 (0.24) |
| RW | -0.51 (0.02) | 0.22 (0.02) | -0.46 (0.02) | 0.18 (0.07) |
| Latewood | | | | |
| WD | 0.19 (0.07) | -0.05 (0.22) | 0.54 (0.16) | -3 |
| MFA | -0.24 (0.02) | 0.29 (0.08) | -0.85 (0.05) | - |
| MOE | 0.42 (0.02) | -0.87 (0.01) | 0.35 (0.08) | - |
| RW | -0.22 (0.02) | 0.39 (0.02) | -0.22 (0.02) | 0 |

(b) Latewood

| Traits | WD | MFA | MOE | RW |
|--------|----|-----|-----|----|
| Earlywood | | | | |
| WD | 0.83 (0.12)<sup>2</sup> | 0.06 (0.15) | 0.37 (0.12) | -3 |
| MFA | -0.14 (0.20) | 0.98 (0.01) | -0.85 (0.05) | - |
| MOE | -0.10 (0.03) | 0.94 (0.00) | -0.82 (0.01) | 0.15 (0.02) |
| RW | 0.64 (0.18) | -0.76 (0.07) | 0.97 (0.02) | - |
| Latewood | | | | |
| WD | -0.62 (0.17) | -0.43 (0.25) | 0.07 (0.22) | - |
| MFA | 0.23 (0.02) | 0.24 (0.02) | 0.15 (0.03) | - |
| MOE | 0.01 (0.14) | 0.24 (0.02) | -0.22 (0.02) | 0.21 (0.02) |

1 Traits: WD, wood density; MFA, microfibril angle; MOE, modulus of elasticity; RW, ring width.
2 Error estimates for heritability; genetic and phenotypic correlation estimates are given in parentheses.
3 Dashes indicate genetic correlations that could not be estimated.

varied between the EW and LW stages and between the different wood traits. In EW, a relatively high level of heritability was observed for WD ($h^2 = 0.65$), suggesting that this trait is under strong genetic control. Moderate heritability was observed for MFA ($h^2 = 0.36$) and MOE ($h^2 = 0.29$). Ring diameter growth, represented by RW, was less heritable ($h^2 = 0.18$) than physical wood traits, in accordance with expectations that growth is more highly influenced by the environment (Lenz et al., 2010). Highly negative genetic and phenotypic correlations were observed between MFA and MOE ($r_d = -0.80$; $r_p = -0.88$), as expected given their inverse relationship (Alteyrac et al., 2006; Lenz et al., 2010). The genetic correlation between WD and mechanical properties (MFA and MOE) was low to moderate. Similarly, low to moderate negative phenotypic correlations were observed between RW and the other wood traits (WD, MOE and MFA).

Compared to the EW, LW heritability estimates were higher for MOE ($h^2 = 0.35$), lower for MFA ($h^2 = 0.29$), lower for WD ($h^2 = 0.19$) and negligible for RW. Overall, similar patterns were observed in EW and LW for both genetic and phenotypic correlations, with similar strong but negative correlations between MFA and MOE in LW ($r_d = -0.85$; $r_p = -0.87$), and moderate to lower correlations with and among other traits, except for RW, where correlations could not be estimated or were null in LW because family variance components could not be estimated reliably.

All of the traits were clearly under genetic control, both in EW and LW, although the magnitude of heritability estimates varied from low to high among traits (Table 1a). Genetic and phenotypic correlations within traits between EW and LW were high to very high and similar for all wood traits (Table 1b). It is generally assumed that each of the quantitative traits are under multigenic control and the genetic correlations between the different pairs of traits, both within and between EW and LW, suggest what they are controlled by overlapping sets of genes and have similar or distinct genetic architectures. This idea was investigated using an association study (AS) approach.

Association study

The population structure was explored by principal component analysis (PCA) (Fig. S1) and was found to be weak and only three of the 43 geographic origins were separated, as previously observed using multidimensional scaling with the same data (Beaulieu et al., 2014) (Fig. S1). A total of 1543 significant SNP marker-trait associations were found for all wood traits, with up to 341 SNPs per trait ($P<0.05$) (Tables 2, S1, S2). These significant SNPs were distributed among 1120 different genes, that is 42.2% of the candidate genes tested. When using a more stringent criterion ($P<0.01$) or correction for multiple testing with the FDR method...
Table 2 Number of significantly associated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and genes after association testing with earlywood and latewood traits in white spruce, and number of significant SNPs after correction for false discovery rate (FDR) ($Q < 0.20$)

| Traits | Earlywood | | | Latewood | | | |
|--------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|        | $P < 0.05$ | No. SNPs | No. genes | $P < 0.05$ | No. SNPs | No. genes | $Q < 0.20$ | $P < 0.01$ | No. SNPs | No. genes | $Q < 0.20$ | $P < 0.01$ | No. SNPs | No. genes |
| WD     | 338       | 78       | 0        | 295       | 63       | 0        |
| MFA    | 330       | 63       | 0        | 332       | 74       | 0        |
| MOE    | 329       | 72       | 0        | 333       | 61       | 0        |
| RW     | 341       | 78       | 2        | 269       | 61       | 7        |

$^1$Traits: WD, wood density; MFA, microfibril angle; MOE, modulus of elasticity; RW, ring width.

(Q$<0.20$) the total number of significantly associated SNPs dropped to 401 and 11, respectively (Table 2).

We investigated the 1120 genes with at least one significant association ($P<0.05$) to explore their potential roles in the genetic architecture of wood traits. The number of significantly associated genes for each of the wood traits varied from 229 to 292, with many genes associated with more than one trait. Many of the significant genes, that is 430 (38.4%), were found associated with both EW and LW, whereas 362 (32.3%) were associated only with EW and 328 (29.3%) only with LW. Pairwise comparisons of EW and LW sets of significant genes showed that many genes were shared between the two stages of wood formation for MFA and MOE, that is 205 (54%) and 170 (41%) respectively, and fewer genes were shared between EW and LW for WD and RW (Fig. 2). In general, the number of unique genes was higher in EW than in LW.

Comparisons of significant genes for different traits showed a large overlap between MFA and MOE (Figs 3, S2), that is 157 (43%) of significant genes were shared between MFA and MOE in EW. This overlap was consistent with the strong genetic correlation between these same traits ($r_A = -0.80$; $r_P = -0.88$), and it indicates that many of the genes that control MFA also contribute to wood stiffness (MOE). A moderate number of genes overlapped between WD and both MOE and MFA, and fewer with RW. Many more unique genes were observed for WD and RW, suggesting that the set of genes influencing growth is more distinct than those influencing physical wood traits.

Although many genes were associated with at least two traits in EW, only 24 genes were common to the three wood traits (WD, MFA and MOE) and only nine genes were shared between all wood traits and RW (Fig. 3b). Similar results were obtained for LW (Fig. S2). Functional annotations are given in Tables S1 and S2.

Gene ontology and Pfam enrichment analyses

GO terms and Pfam annotations were analyzed and compared among the subsets of genes significantly associated with the different wood traits. In total, 80% of the 2652 genotyped genes had a predicted function or protein domain and at least one assigned GO term, and the remaining 20% were of unknown function or had no GO term, as reported in Pavy et al. (2013). In the set of 1120 genes associated with the different wood properties, 88% had an assigned GO term and 80% had an assigned Pfam.

Enrichment analyses identified 17 GO terms (Fig. 4a) and 40 protein families based on Pfam domains (Fig. 5) that were over-represented among sets of significant genes for one or several traits (Figs 4, 5). The number of significant genes assigned to enriched GO terms was variable between the traits, and represented up to $>50\%$ of the significant genes (for RW in EW) (Fig. 4a,b). Up to seven enriched GO terms were identified for each of the eight traits considered (Fig. 4a) and up to 10 Pfam domains (Fig. 5) were identified for each of the traits. Overall, both the enriched GO terms and the enriched Pfam domains were very different between traits. Enrichment results were also variable between EW and LW; for example, WD in LW had the most GO terms, whereas WD in EW had none. By contrast, the enrichment analysis for MOE in EW and LW identified similar numbers of significant genes and found two major GO terms in common (transport and hydrolase activity). Together, these data indicated that the genes significantly associated with the different traits are functionally diverse and that the different traits may vary in their levels of functional specialization.
The enriched GO terms and Pfam domains both included functions that may be expected from previous studies of wood growth and development as well as functions not previously reported as linked to these specific traits. Overall, they represented a wide spectrum of cellular processes (e.g., transport, catabolic process, DNA binding; Fig. 4a) and proteins (e.g., transporters, kinases, leucine repeat proteins; Fig. 5). They also included processes and enzymes of biochemical pathways related to wood formation, such as cell wall, biosynthetic process, cytoskeleton, secondary metabolic process and several carbohydrate metabolism enzymes. For example, 17 (7.3%) of the genes significantly associated with MOE in EW were assigned to the GO term cell wall and eight (3.4%) of the genes significantly associated with MFA in EW belonged to the GO term cytoskeleton. This overall level of functional diversity is not surprising considering the different cellular events involved in secondary xylem growth and formation (Plomion et al., 2001; Carvalho et al., 2013).

The Pfam analysis provided a more detailed understanding and enabled the identification of enriched functions for more traits than the GO terms. For MFA in EW, for example, the enriched GO term ‘sequence specific DNA binding transcription factor activity’ was represented by 18 (7.7%) of the significant genes (Fig. 4b), and Pfam domain enrichment analysis specifically identified six classes of transcription factors (Fig. 5). For WD in EW, no enriched GO terms were identified, but nine enriched protein domains were found, including carbohydrate metabolism enzymes (glycosyl hydrolase, pectinacetyl esterase), putative secondary metabolism enzymes (methyltransferases, dirigent protein) and a putative regulator of vascular development (START domain) that might be expected for wood traits.

Gene expression
Co-expression data were obtained from a separate microarray RNA study on an independent set of young trees which assigned a total of 22,857 genes to 22 co-expression groups (Raherison et al., 2015) and were utilized to further investigate the genes significantly associated with wood traits (Fig. 1, S3). In the present study, we investigated the distribution of candidate genes and of wood associated genes identified by AS among the 22 co-expression groups by two steps of hypergeometric testing. First, we found that the 2652 candidate genes were significantly over-represented in five and under-represented in eight of the co-expression groups. Second, adjustments were made in the statistical testing to assess over- and under-representations of the significant genes among the co-expression groups taking into account the nonrandom distribution (Table 3) (for details, see Methods S3). The genes significantly associated with all of the traits except for WD in LW were over-represented in co-expression group M2a (Table 3), which is characterized by preferential expression only in secondary xylem and moderate expression in other tissues. The M2a contained 16.0% of the candidate genes tested and up
to 26.3% genes associated with wood traits, the highest proportion being for EW RW.

Over-representation was also found for genes significantly associated with MOE and RW in a few other co-expression groups with preferential expression in different tissues including secondary xylem and other tissues (MOE and RW in LW) or only others (RW in EW) (Table 3). Genes significantly associated with wood traits were also under-represented in several co-expression groups with a variety of profiles, but no clear trend was observed between profiles and traits (Table 3). Under-representation was found among profiles that have preferential or strong expression in xylem as well as in one or two other tissues (e.g. M3b foliage and xylem; M6b roots and xylem).

Fig. 4 Functional annotations and gene ontology (GO) analysis of significant genes associated with wood traits (WD, wood density; MFA, microfibril angle; MOE, modulus of elasticity; RW, ring width) in white spruce. (a) Enriched GO terms identified for all traits in earlywood and latewood. GO terms include molecular function, biological process and cellular localization categories. Enrichment was determined using Fisher’s exact test, $P < 0.05$. (b) Number of genes (inside bars) and frequencies are according to annotation and GO term classification.
Gene network reconstruction

A co-expression network analysis was used to identify significantly associated genes with a high level of connectivity, which could indicate a regulatory role. The network was developed with xylem preferential genes (M2a and M7b) significantly associated with wood traits (221 genes in total) (Table 4). Pearson correlations between these genes were obtained from tissue profiling data (Raherison et al., 2015) and used at a threshold of $r \geq 0.9$ to construct a network comprised of 180 genes (ranging from 32 to 69 per trait) with correlated expression. Highly connected genes (with the most correlated genes) were designated as hub genes (Table 5). The most highly connected gene in this xylem co-expression network was \( \text{PgNAC-7} \), which was connected to 50 other genes and was recently shown to be a strong candidate for the regulation of secondary cell wall formation spruce (Duval et al., 2014). The other 20 top-ranking hub genes included another NAC transcription factor (\( \text{PgNAC-8} \)), three MYB transcription factors and several biosynthesis enzymes of secondary cell wall polysaccharides (e.g. cellulose) and lignin correlated with \( \text{PgNAC-7} \) (Table 5).

For clarity, we represented the resulting xylem network by showing the 93 genes that were connected to either one of NAC-7, NAC-8, MYB1, MYB4 or MYB8 (Fig. 6a). We examined the trait associations of the genes in the network in more detail by considering whether the associations were found with EW or LW traits and the type of traits that were associated with each gene.

First, a large majority of the genes (86.5%) were associated with one or several EW traits, including 43.8% and 42.7% associated uniquely with EW traits or with both EW and LW traits, respectively. By contrast, only 13.5% of the genes were uniquely associated with LW traits. We tested whether this pattern could be linked to expression by using data from a temporal variation study comparing EW and LW expression from Raherison et al. (2015) (Fig. 6b). Nearly a third of the network genes (29.6%) were preferential to EW, none were preferential to LW and 70.4% were nonvariable (Fig. 6a). Three of the transcriptional regulators, that is \( \text{PgNAC-7} \), \( \text{PgNAC-8} \) and \( \text{PgMYB4} \), were preferentially expressed in EW, whereas \( \text{PgMYB1} \) and \( \text{PgMYB8} \) did not vary temporally.

Second, we mapped the trait associations back onto the network. Using the xylem network (Fig. 6) as a template, the EW trait associations for each gene (Fig. 7) showed that the different
Table 3 Distribution in co-expression groups of white spruce of total frequencies of genes tested and frequencies of significantly associated genes after association testing

| Co-expression group | Genes tested (%) | Genes related to earlywood traits (%) | Genes related to latewood traits (%) |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                     | WD | MFA | MOE | RW | WD | MFA | MOE | RW |
| M1a                 | 7.2*** | 6.3 | 7.2 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 7.7 |
| M1b                 | 11.4*  | 14.2 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 7.2* | 12.2 | 11.3 | 11.0 |
| M2a                 | 16.0*** | 19.6* | 22.9** | 21.3* | 26.3*** | 15.4 | 19.5* | 20.3* | 20.0* |
| M2b                 | 5.0  | 5.0 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 8.0* | 4.5 | 2.7* | 4.9 | 2.4* |
| M3a                 | 11.6 | 12.5 | 6.4** | 8.2* | 9.6 | 12.2 | 6.6** | 7.7* | 7.7* |
| M3b                 | 3.0*** | 4.2 | 4.4 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 5.3* |
| M4a                 | 6.6*** | 3.8* | 6.4 | 6.6 | 3.6* | 5.9 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 5.8 |
| M4b                 | 4.1  | 4.6 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.4 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 4.4 |
| M5a                 | 9.0*** | 9.2 | 10.8 | 8.6 | 6.4 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.7 |
| M5b                 | 2.6*** | 2.1 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| M6a                 | 5.3  | 4.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 3.2 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 5.3 |
| M6b                 | 2***  | 0.4* | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.3 |
| M7a                 | 3.3  | 2.1 | 4   | 4.5 | 4   | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 2.2 |
| M7b                 | 4.2*  | 5.8 | 4   | 2.9 | 6   | 4.5 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.8 |
| M8a                 | 1    | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| M8b                 | 1.3*** | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| M9a                 | 1.5  | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 2   |
| M9b                 | 2.6**  | 2.5 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 4.5* | 3.4 |
| M10a                | 1.0  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 |
| M10b                | 0.8*  | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 3.0** |
| M11a                | 0.2  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| M11b                | 0.3  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

1Over-representation of candidate and significant genes in a co-expression group are represented in bold; under-represented genes are shown in italic. Significance level: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.
2Co-expression groups were described in Raherison et al. (2015) based on tissue profiling across major vegetative tissue types and are shown in Supporting Information Fig. S3. Co-expression group M2a is characterized by high expression in secondary xylem of shoots and roots and low to moderate expression in other tissues (shoot apex; shoot phellem; root phellem; young foliage and root tips).
3Over- or under-representation of genes in the given co-expression group relative to all 2652 candidate genes.
4Over- or under-representation of genes associated with the respective trait (wood density (WD); microfibril angle (MFA); modulus of elasticity (MOE) and ring width (RW)) relative to the total number of candidate genes in the corresponding co-expression group.

Table 4 Numbers of wood associated genes with xylem preferential expression and subsets selected to reconstruct a co-expression network

| Xylem preferential genes (M2a and M7b) | Genes used to construct network (r ≥ 0.9 with at least one other gene) | Genes connected to MYBs and NACs (r ≥ 0.9) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Traits1                               | EW | LW | EW | LW | EW | LW |
| WD                                    | 61 | 44 | 50 | 32 | 24 | 18 |
| MFA                                   | 67 | 60 | 54 | 47 | 32 | 26 |
| MOE                                   | 59 | 57 | 49 | 48 | 25 | 25 |
| RW                                    | 81 | 49 | 69 | 38 | 42 | 23 |

1Traits: WD, wood density; MFA, microfibril angle; MOE, modulus of elasticity; RW, ring width.

discussion
Genes associated with physical wood traits
Association mapping based on candidate genes has been used to link single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in candidate genes with complex traits and develop an understanding of the molecular genetic basis of growth and wood quality in conifers (González-Martínez et al., 2007, 2011; Beaulieu et al., 2011). The SNP-by-SNP association approach has shown that the effect of each SNP on the total phenotypic variation is generally low, which is expected for multigenic control and may also suggest complex gene interaction effects (González-Martínez et al., 2008; Prunier et al., 2013).

In the present study, we tested for marker-trait associations based on a total of 6385 polymorphic SNPs in 2652 different candidate genes. Hundreds of SNPs and candidate genes were identified at a nominal threshold of P < 0.05 for each of the traits tested, but very few remained significant after false positive correction with a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.20. Most studies testing for associations between complex traits and SNPs in forest trees have shown that the vast majority of associations do not hold up to correction for multiple testing (Beaulieu et al., 2011;
### Table 5 The 20 hub genes and their functions in the wood co-expression network in white spruce

| GenBank accession number | Cluster ID | Gene name | Degree | Rank | Functional annotation                                                                 |
|--------------------------|------------|-----------|--------|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BT102049                 | GQ0165_B14 | NAC-7*    | 50     | 1    | NAC-domain transcription factor, NAC 007                                               |
| BT108136                 | GQ03117_E18| MYB8*     | 42     | 2    | MYB domain protein                                                                      |
| BT117395                 | GQ03818_K09| SHM4      | 42     | 2    | Serine hydroxymethyltransferase 4                                                        |
| BT109520                 | GQ03209_H09| DUF579    | 41     | 3    | Protein of unknown function                                                             |
| BT111350                 | GQ03236_G10| PRR-2*    | 41     | 3    | Pinoresinol reductase 2                                                                 |
| BT116706                 | GQ03805_C07| DHS-2*    | 40     | 4    | 3-deoxy-o-arabino-heptulosonate 7-phosphate synthase                                      |
| BT118944                 | GQ04012_D01| Unknown   | 39     | 5    | Protein of unknown function                                                             |
| BT102121                 | GQ0166_N10 | LPTHIO-1* | 38     | 6    | Lysophospholipase 2                                                                     |
| BT106709                 | GQ03008_L07 | GALT7    | 38     | 6    | Galacturonosyltransferase-like 7                                                        |
| BT106091                 | GQ02902_M04 | PK       | 37     | 7    | Protein kinase protein with adenine nucleotide alpha                                     |
|                          |            |           |        |      | hydrolases-like domain                                                                  |
| BT106204                 | GQ02904_O19 | DUF716   | 37     | 7    | Protein of unknown function                                                             |
| BT106749                 | GQ03009_M04 | RING/U-box| 37     | 7    | RING/U-box superfamily protein                                                           |
| BT107152                 | GQ03103_F19 | TPR_Like | 37     | 7    | Pathogenesis-related thaumatin-like protein                                              |
| BT101192                 | GQ0072_B14 | PR        | 34     | 8    | Microtubule-associated proteins 65-1                                                    |
| BT106820                 | GQ03011_G09 | MAP65-1  | 34     | 8    | IQ-domain 2                                                                             |
| BT105950                 | GQ02830_G18 | IQD2     | 33     | 9    | Leucine-rich repeat protein kinase family protein                                         |
| BT106853                 | GQ03012_K10 | LRR-PK   | 33     | 9    | Subtilisin-like serine endopeptidase family protein                                      |
| BT117303                 | GQ03816_N01 | SEP      | 33     | 9    | Leucine-rich repeat protein kinase family protein                                         |
| BT116853                 | GQ03807_P11 | LRR      | 32     | 10   | Leucine-rich repeat protein kinase family protein                                         |
| BT107883                 | GQ03113_N22 | MYB4*    | 31     | 11   | MYB domain protein                                                                      |

1From Rigault et al. (2011).
2Gene name in the network.
3Degree, defined as the link numbers one gene has to the other within the network, based on M2a and M7b co-expression groups.
4Rank, based on their degree of connectivity within the network whereby genes with similar degree of connectivity have the same rank; *Pg-gene name in white spruce (Picea glauca) according to Duval et al. (2014).

Chhatre et al. (2013). This problem may have several different causes and result in the rejection of associations and genes that contribute to the trait variation but with very small or variable effects. As the objective of this study was to uncover the genetic architecture of quantitative variation in wood traits, we aimed to discover genes and expression networks and not predict phenotypic variances. The proportion of significant gene SNPs at \( P < 0.05 \) reported here for each trait is similar to that obtained in previous association studies using mixed linear model (MLM) methods, in white spruce (Beaulieu et al., 2011) and in loblolly pine (Chhatre et al., 2013).

#### Relationship between genetic architecture and quantitative genetic parameters

We estimated heritability and genetic parameters to improve our understanding of the genetic architecture underlying complex phenotypes. Intraspecific genetic variation is well known to influence wood features such as density and fiber length in forest trees (Lenz et al., 2010; Stackpole et al., 2010). Here, we reported heritability and genetic parameter estimates for wood traits from determinations in 1694 trees belonging to 214 open-pollinated families and obtained very similar results to those of Lenz et al. (2010), who studied 25 of the same families replicated in three distinct ecological regions. Our results also agree with numerous other studies in forest trees, indicating that wood properties are generally under moderate to strong additive genetic control in contrast to growth, which is under lower genetic control (Stackpole et al., 2010).

It may be expected that genetic and phenotypic correlations between wood traits are underpinned by genes and gene networks that are shared among traits (Mackay et al., 2009) but this has not been directly tested for wood traits in forest trees. In the present study, strong genetic and phenotypic correlations were observed between microfibril angle (MFA) and wood modulus of elasticity (MOE), which is consistent with previously described relationships between MFA and MOE in conifers such as Pinus taeda (Cramer et al., 2005). We also observed negative correlations between diameter growth rate (i.e. RW) and physical wood traits, which is congruent with other reports indicating a negative genetic relationship between growth and wood density (WD) (Apilogla et al., 2005; Li & Wu, 2005).

We observed that the number of associated genes which were shared between traits or between growth stages was directly proportional to the magnitude of their genetic and phenotypic correlations. The number of shared genes indicated higher similarity between physical wood traits such as MOE and MFA than with WD and RW (Table 1; Fig. 3a). This observation may indicate that significant SNPs at a level of \( P < 0.05 \) are informative of the underlying genetic architecture, even though the majority of SNPs would have been discarded by FDR correction. The genetic correlation results, together with the strong overlap among sets of associated genes further suggest that MFA and MOE have similar genetic architectures in white spruce and that the genes may have pleiotropic effects.
We also observed that, when comparing early and late stages for a given trait, the number of overlapping genes ranged from only 8% (for RW) to 55% (MOE, MFA), indicating that the genetic architectures of physical wood traits are less variable over the course of a growth season than the amount of wood formed (Fig. 2).

Fig. 6 Co-expression network and expression profile of 93 significantly associated genes highly expressed in the xylem of white spruce. (a) Gene co-expression network ($r \geq 0.9$) of genes highly expressed in secondary xylem (co-expression groups M2a and M7b from Raherison et al. (2015)), showing genes connected to NAC and MYB transcription factors. NAC-7, MYB1, MYB4 and MYB8 genes were previously linked to secondary cell wall formation in white spruce (Bomal et al., 2008, 2014; Duval et al., 2014) but not NAC-8. Hub genes listed in Table 5 have a thick border. Lines connecting genes indicate correlated expression; lines of dashed red arrows indicate trans-activation by NAC-7 gene (Duval et al., 2014). Functional annotations: involved in lignin biosynthesis (blue dots), cellulose and xylan biosynthesis (red dots). Full gene names and their functions are provided in Table S3. Pg-gene names marked with an asterisk (*) are according to Duval et al. (2014). EW, earlywood; LW, latewood. (b) Expression profiles of temporally variable genes in secondary xylem of white spruce (Picea glauca) and Norway spruce (Picea abies) (Raherison et al., 2015). T2a co-expression group that varied progressively from June to mid-July and fall down from August to September, T1a co-expression group that characterized by their stable transcript levels in June and July and increased dramatically from August to September (Raherison et al., 2015).
Gene functions associated with physical wood traits

A central goal of this study was to assess the biological functions and roles of genes identified by association analysis of wood traits. The large number of genes tested and identified enabled a broad analysis of functional annotations compared to several of the previous studies in forest trees (Beaulieu et al., 2011), which represents a significant step toward the goal of understanding the genetic architecture of these complex traits.

The GO term and Pfam enrichment analyses identified enriched biological processes and protein families for the different traits. A range of different categories and protein families are represented among the genes associated with wood traits, which is consistent with the complex nature of wood formation (Carvalho et al., 2013). Wood properties are determined by the amount and proportion of secondary cell wall materials deposited during secondary xylem growth and the ultrastructure of cellulose polymers assembled into microfibrils in the thickened cell walls. The major constituents of wood are the complex carbohydrates cellulose and hemicelluloses, and lignin, a phenolic polymer that impregnates the carbohydrate matrix (Plomion et al., 2001). Our results are indicative of the wide variety of molecular functions and processes that lead to the formation and the genetic variation of secondary xylem. The lack of overlap in enrichment results between wood traits and seasonal growth phases (early wood (EW) and late wood (LW)) may indicate distinct functional signatures associated with the different traits despite the considerable overlap between some of the gene lists.

The mechanical properties of wood and fibers are determined to a significant extent by MFA, which directly explained up to 70% of the variation in wood stiffness as determined by MOE (Alteyrac et al., 2006). In Eucalyptus, MFA alone has been estimated to account for 86% of the variation in wood stiffness (Evans & Ilic, 2001). We report a genetic correlation of −0.80 and a phenotypic correlation of −0.88 between MFA and MOE in white spruce. The negative relationship is expected because a small MFA leads to higher stiffness (MOE) such that the correlations are negative. Several genes and their allelic variants have

---

**Fig. 7** Map of the earlywood (EW) trait associations (wood density (WD); microfibril angle (MFA); modulus of elasticity (MOE) and ring width (RW)) across the gene co-expression network. All of the 93 genes in the network are shown, 80 genes have EW traits associations (colored boxes) and 13 genes only have latewood (LW) associations (gray boxes).
previously been found to affect MFA and wood stiffness (Li et al., 2011); however, the molecular mechanisms controlling microfibril orientation and mechanical properties are largely uncharacterized. In the present study, we found that genes involved in cytoskeleton development and several transcription factors were over-represented among genes significantly associated with EW MFA. The cytoskeleton plays a key role in the establishment of cell wall ultrastructure and resulting mechanical properties of the xylem tissue (Ryden et al., 2003; Fletcher & Mullins, 2010). These genes are involved in the two main types of cytoskeletal polymers: actin filaments and microtubules (Fletcher & Mullins, 2010). The complex architecture of the cytoskeleton appears to involve the effects of several transcription factors, according to our Pfam enrichment results (Fig. 5) and other authors (Zhong et al., 2011; Mizrachi et al., 2012).

Expression profiles and reconstruction of a wood formation gene network

The 2652 candidate genes in the present AS were very diverse, belonged to 1868 gene families and were selected based on multiple criteria. The candidate genes were categorized according to findings from a recent large-scale analysis of gene expression profiles in white spruce tissues (Raherison et al., 2015). Their distribution across the 22 different co-expression groups defined by Raherison et al. (2015) was different from that expected by a chance alone, that is they were over- or under-represented in 16 of the 22 co-expression groups. The subset of 1120 genes significantly associated with wood traits showed further over- and under-representations beyond that observed in the entire set of candidate genes but only in a few of the co-expression groups. They were almost exclusively over-represented in the co-expression group (M2a) with secondary xylem preferential expression. This finding led us to construct a gene expression network with wood traits associated genes that were preferential expressed in secondary xylem (M2a and M7a) and had strongly correlated tissue expression profiles. This unbiased approach to select genes identified by AS reduced the total number of genes investigated from 1120 to 180, of which 93 were connected to one of five NAC and MYB transcriptional regulators (Fig. 6a).

The PgNAC-7 gene associated with WD in EW was the most highly connected hub gene. This observation is consistent with the report of Duval et al. (2014), that PgNAC-7 is a key regulatory of secondary cell wall biosynthesis in conifer xylem and is functionally similar to the Arabidopsis gene VND6 based on co-transfection (promoter activation), expression and sequence similarity results. Raherison et al. (2015) also reported that PgNAC-7 is a major hub gene that is preferentially expressed during the formation of EW.

The MYB genes MYB1, MYB4 and MYB8 are part of the network and have been shown to be functionally linked to secondary cell wall formation and lignin biosynthesis through over-expression experiments and electrophoretic mobility shift assay binding to AC elements in gene promoters Picea glauca (Bomal et al., 2008, 2014) and in Pinus pinaster (Craven-Bartle et al., 2013). Similar results were also reported for loblolly pine MYB1 and MYB4 (Patzlaff et al., 2003a,b). PgNAC-7 and these three MYBs were proposed by Duval et al. (2014) to form a regulatory network similar to the SND1 network defined in Arabidopsis (Zhong et al., 2006) that is conserved in poplar (Lin et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014). The regulation of lignin biosynthesis by members of the conserved SND1 transcriptional network has been well documented in conifer trees (Patzlaff et al., 2003a,b; Bomal et al., 2008, 2014). The lignin biosynthetic pathway is well described at the molecular level and at least 10 gene families are involved in the pathway of monolignol biosynthesis in trees (Lu et al., 2010). Most of these genes are present in the network described in the present study and were connected to one of the PgMYBs and to PgNAC-7. For example, PgHCT, PAL and Pg4CL were connected to PgMYB1 in agreement with functional characterizations showing that the MYB1 gene product positively regulates genes involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway (Bomal et al., 2008). The other genes that were connected to PgMYB8, PgMYB4 and PgNAC-7, suggesting that their regulation depends on several transcription factors. These results support observations that several different MYBs may act in concert to regulate different portions of phenylpropanoid metabolism and lignin biosynthesis at different times in conifer trees (Patzlaff et al., 2003a; Bomal et al., 2014).

The proteins and cellular processes involved in cellulose and xylan biosynthesis are also well described in plants (see Mizrachi et al., 2012 for review) but few reports have documented gene networks and the transcriptional regulators underlying their synthesis, especially in trees. Our network results indicate that PgNAC-8 is connected to PgCesA3 and CldA2, suggesting that it could be involved in the regulation of cellulose biosynthesis together with PgNAC-7. The PgNAC-8 gene was identified in Duval et al. (2014) but had not been directly linked to xylem formation. The present study identified PgNAC-8 as associated with MFA and MOE and as part of a network of several genes associated with the same and other wood traits. Recent work by Duval et al. (2014) identified over 20 different NACs in P. glauca which have not been functionally characterized. Their phylogenetic analysis point to five potential candidate orthologs (PgNAC-3, 8, 17, 19, 25) for the AtSND2, 3 genes which regulate complex carbohydrates during secondary cell wall formation (Zhong et al., 2010). Together the expression profile of PgNAC-8 and its association with several EW and LW properties make it a strong candidate ortholog for this function in spruce.

Both PgNAC-7 and PgNAC-8 were preferentially expressed during the formation of EW, but the PgNAC-8 transcript levels were maintained later in the growth season until August, compared to PgNAC-7, whose expression decreased before August (Raherison et al., 2015). In Arabidopsis, SND2 and SND3, the closest homolog to PgNAC-8, have been shown to regulate secondary cell wall CesA genes (Zhong et al., 2008). Taken together, these results point to a network involving NAC and MYB regulators that regulate secondary cell wall properties and influence EW traits most strongly, which was suggested to change over the course of a growing season.

Our analysis yielded fewer insights into the formation and properties of LW. Two genes among the top 20 hub genes...
mapping, analyses of wood associated genes and network

M.L., J.M., J.Be. and J.Bo. planned and designed the research.
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