Regional difference of sea surface salinity variations in the western tropical Pacific
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Abstract
Regional difference of sea surface salinity (SSS) variations in the western tropical Pacific is investigated with Ocean Reanalysis System 5 datasets. Three robust zonal bands of SSS variations have been identified in the northwestern tropical Pacific (NWTP), the western equatorial tropical Pacific (WEqP), and the southwestern tropical Pacific (SWTP), respectively. SSS in the WEqP and the SWTP has a strong interannual variability that is related to ENSO. In the WEqP, SSS variations are mainly controlled by anomalous freshwater flux, while in the SWTP they are governed by both freshwater forcing and oceanic processes. In the NWTP, SSS variations present a low-frequency variability that is correlated with Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO), which is mostly dominated by the freshwater flux and strongly adjusted by the ocean advection and mixed layer changes. After removing interannual signals, the SSS in all three regions are highly related to IPO, indicating that IPO has a general influence on the western tropical Pacific.
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1 Introduction

Sea surface salinity (SSS) is a primary indicator of the hydrological cycle and air-sea interaction (Durack and Wijffels 2010; Hasegawa et al. 2013). Understanding of the relationship between SSS and the relevant atmosphere-oceanic processes could improve the climate model simulation and prediction (Durack et al. 2012). Significant seasonal and interannual SSS variations have been found in the western tropical Pacific based on the in situ observations from mooring buoys or cruise’s CTD measurements, which started from the mid-twentieth century. As the satellite remote sensing measurements and the array for real-time geostrophic oceanography (Argo) observations have been developed, more ocean salinity data have been obtained, leading to further investigations on the long-term trend and interdecadal variability of SSS in the western tropical Pacific.

Interannual variabilities in SSS are mainly driven by freshwater forcing that related to El Niño—Southern Oscillation (ENSO) associated processes in the western Pacific fresh pool, equatorial Pacific cold tongue, the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ), and the South Pacific convergence zone (Delcroix and Hénin 1991; Delcroix et al. 1996; Maes 2000; Zhao et al. 2016; Zheng and Zhang 2012). When sea surface temperature (SST) is abnormally warmer or cooler than usual status in central and eastern tropical Pacific, the ascending branch of Walker circulation moves eastward or westward, which cause a negative or positive precipitation anomaly in the western tropical Pacific thus increase or decrease the SSS (Bjerknes 1969; Dai and Wigley 2000). Apart from the freshwater forcing, SSS variations in the tropical Pacific can be attributed to zonal transport anomalies (Cronin and McPhaden 1998) and meridional
transport anomalies (Chi et al. 2019; Qu et al. 2009) during an ENSO event.

A long-term freshening trend of SSS exists in the western tropical Pacific. Under global warming, the enhanced global hydrological cycle leads to more precipitation in the regions of heavy rainfall, such as the western tropical Pacific, which is called the “wet get wetter” theory (Boyer et al. 2005; Chou et al. 2009; Cravatte et al. 2009; Durack and Wijffels 2010; Durack et al. 2012; Hosoda et al. 2009). However, in contrast to the long-term freshening trend, a saline trend of the upper ocean salinity in the western tropical Pacific was identified from the mid-1990s to 2014 (Du et al. 2015), which coincided with the period of global warming hiatus (Meehl et al. 2011). Soon after 2015, the SSS in the northern tropical Pacific and the SPCZ starts decreasing again (Shi et al. 2020). The SSS tendencies occurred in the past few decades suggest that there is a significant interdecadal variability overlapping on the monotonic freshening trend associated with global warming. The SSS variations induced by interdecadal variabilities such as the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) and the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO) have been identified in the tropical and northwestern Pacific (Hu et al. 2020; Yan et al. 2017, 2019). Low-frequency oscillations like PDO and IPO can influence upper ocean salinity by changing the rainfall pattern in the tropical Pacific and the tropical-subtropical water transport in the Pacific circulation system (Du et al. 2015, 2019; Li et al. 2019; Zhang and Qu 2014).

The interannual and interdecadal variabilities of SSS associated with the ENSO and the IPO have been well investigated in the basin scale, but few studies focused on the regional difference in the western tropical Pacific. In this work, we show that there are three robust SSS variation regions in the western tropical Pacific: the northwestern tropical Pacific (NWTP), the western equatorial Pacific (WEqP), and the southwestern tropical Pacific (SWTP) (Fig. 1). We investigate the factors that influence the SSS changes in the three regions using Ocean Re-Analysis System5 (ORAS5) datasets (Zuo et al. 2019) from 1979–2017, and discussed the difference and resemblance among the three regions and the relationship with major climate modes in the tropical Pacific.

The datasets and methods are introduced in “Data and method”. In “Results”, the different variations of SSS among these three regions and associated processes including freshwater forcing and advection are examined. We also discuss the uniform interdecadal variability over the three regions in “Results”. The conclusions and discussion are given in “Summary and discussion”.

**2 Data and method**

**2.1 Data**

ORAS5 product from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) was used to examine the variation of SSS, and explain the related ocean–atmosphere processes in the western tropical Pacific. ORAS5 is based on the Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean (NEMO), perturbing by both observations and forcing fields. Its forcing fields are from ECMWF Re-Analysis ERA30 before 1979, ERA-Interim from 1979 to 2014, and ECMWF OPS after 2015. The assimilated observations include SST from Hadley Centre before 2007 and Operational Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Ice Analysis (OSTIA) after 2008, sea ice concentration from ERA before 1981, Reynolds from 1981 to 1984, OSTIA reprocessed from 1985 to 2007, and OSTIA analysis after 2008, SSS from EN4 before 2015 and Global Telecommunication System (GTS) after 2015, and SSH from Archiving Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic data (AVISO) since 1993. The reanalysis products are provided in monthly data from 1979 to present with 1° × 1° resolution (Zuo et al. 2019). Mixed layer depth (MLD) is calculated from density based on a temperature criterion of a 0.2 °C decrease from the SST (Kara et al. 2000). Because this dataset does not provide vertical velocity, we estimated the vertical process with the change of MLD. As the vertical mixing increases, the depth of mixed layer increases as well (Garwood et al. 1985).

Monthly precipitation rates are from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) version 2.3 from 1979 to 2018 with 2.5° × 2.5° resolution (Adler et al. 2018). Monthly evaporation rates from Objectively Analyzed
Air-Sea Fluxes (OAFlux) are provided by Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution since 1958 with $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution. OAFlux evaporation was calculated from multi-satellite sensors and observational platforms (Yu and Weller 2007). We use evaporation minus precipitation (E–P) to represent total freshwater exchange between the atmosphere and the ocean.

Niño3.4 index and Tripole Pacific index (TPI) are both calculated from the Hadley Centre SST dataset (HadISST1). Niño3.4 index is the mean SST anomaly at the central equatorial Pacific $(170^\circ \text{E}–120^\circ \text{W}, 5^\circ \text{S}–5^\circ \text{N})$. TPI is the mean difference from central tropical Pacific $(170^\circ \text{E}–90^\circ \text{W}, 10^\circ \text{S}–10^\circ \text{N})$ SST anomaly to southwestern Pacific $(150^\circ \text{E}–160^\circ \text{W}, 50^\circ–15^\circ \text{S})$ and northwestern Pacific $(140^\circ \text{E}–145^\circ \text{W}, 25^\circ–45^\circ \text{N})$ SST anomaly (Henley et al. 2015). The IPO is a decadal-to-interdecadal climate variability in the entire Pacific Ocean basin, while its North Pacific node can be regarded as PDO. The method of TPI includes the signals that exist at the equator and mid-latitude basin, therefore it can portrait the IPO.

2.2 Method

The Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF) analysis (Lorenz 1956) was used to examine the space–time variations of SSS, freshwater forcing, sea surface currents, and mixed layer depth anomalies. This method separates the main feature vectors from the data matrix that describes the orthogonal signals in the three-dimensional data.

The equation used to calculate salinity budget is taken as follow (Feng et al. 1998):

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = -(u \frac{dS}{dx} + v \frac{dS}{dy}) - S_0 \frac{(P – E)}{h} - w_e \frac{S – S_{-h}}{h}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $S$, $S_0$, $S_{-h}$ denote zonal, meridional, and vertical entrainment component of velocity, respectively. $u$ and $v$ are the contributions of horizontal advection, freshwater flux, and vertical entrainment, respectively. The left-hand side of (1) is the mixed layer salinity tendency. The first to third components in the right-hand side of (1) are the contributions of horizontal advection, freshwater forcing, sea surface currents, and mixed layer depth anomalies, respectively. The salinity budget analysis conducted in this study was focused on the contributions made by horizontal advection and freshwater flux to the SSS changes. All seasonal cycles were removed by subtracting the climatological monthly mean.

3 Results

3.1 Regional difference of SSS in the western tropical Pacific

Previous studies that focused on different time scales of salinity variability in the western tropical Pacific show few variation centers. For interannual variability, the western equatorial Pacific presents the largest SSS anomaly (Deser et al. 1996; Hasson et al. 2013; Zheng and Zhang 2015). For interdecadal variability, off-equatorial western Pacific show the most significant signals (Du et al. 2015; Durack and Wijffels 2010; Nan et al. 2015; Yan et al. 2019). Three SSS maximum variation regions can be found during 1979–2017, the NWTP (125°E–140°W, 5°N–30°N), the WEqP (140°E–140°W, 5°N–10°S), and the SWTP (140°E–140°W, 10°–30°S), respectively (Fig. 1). The RMS maxima suggest that there are different variabilities and forcing existing in each region.

To portrait the SSS variations in each region, EOF analysis was applied to SSS in three different domains. The first EOF modes (EOF1) explain 33.8, 43.0, and 31.0% of the total SSS variances in the NWTP, WEqP, and SWTP, respectively (Fig. 2). The EOF1 of SSS in each region has a similar spatial distribution as the RMS maximum, indicating that the EOF1s capture the main feature of SSS variability. The EOF1s of SSS in the WEqP and the SWTP show a pair of dipole-like structure, which is negative in the equator and positive in the south during the positive phase of ENSO. SSS variability in the western tropical Pacific is known to be highly correlated with the ENSO cycle (Maes 2000; Wang and Chao 2004). In the WEqP and SWTP, the correlation coefficients between the first principal component (PC1) of SSS and Niño3.4 index both exceed 0.7 with the time lags of 1 and 5 months, respectively (Table 1), indicating that the SSS variations are controlled by the atmospheric and oceanic forcing induced by ENSO. In the NWTP, the SSS variation does not in consonance with the other two regions, which barely correlate with ENSO, but highly correlated with IPO. The PC1 of SSS in the NWTP shows two contrasting phases, negative before the late 1990s and positive after (Fig. 2a, d). Those SSS variations coincide with the climate regime shift around 1998 (Bond et al. 2003), indicating an interdecadal variability. The IPO-related low-frequency SSS variability has comparable amplitude with the ENSO-related variations, albeit the absence of ENSO variability in the NWTP makes smaller RMS than the other two regions.

Prominent periods of SSS variations in three regions are shown in the power spectra of SSS PC1s within their domains (Figs. 3a–c). The WEqP and the SWTP feature with a 5.1-year period, consistent with the ENSO cycle (about
A weaker variation around 2-year period also exists in the WEqP and the SWTP, which is associated with the biennial oscillation in the tropical Pacific troposphere (Li et al. 2006) and basin-scale response in the Indian Ocean (Xie et al. 2009). The most significant period of SSS variation in the NWTP is 12.7 years. SSS PC1 in the NWTP have a contemporaneous high correlation with TPI (Table 1), implying the IPO is the leading mode in the low-frequency variability of SSS. However, the longer period of IPO (over 20 years) is not shown in the spectrum, because it can hardly be captured in a 39-year data span. It notes that the power spectra of SSS PC1s in the WEqP and the SWTP exhibit a relatively high variance around 12.7-year period (Figs. 3b, c), but does not pass the significant test, which is probably due to the strong interannual variability obscuring the low-frequency signal. We apply a 5-year lowpass filter to separate low-frequency variability in the regions. After lowpass filtering, SSS PC1s in the three regions present almost identical variability that is associated well with the TPI (Fig. 3d), indicating that the uniform low-frequency variation of SSS is influenced by the low-frequency variability of IPO in the entire western tropical Pacific during the past few decades.

**Table 1** The lead-lag correlations in the NWTP, the WEqP, and the SWTP

| Region   | TPI–SSS PC1 (5 yr lowpass) | E–P PC1–SSS PC1 (5 yr lowpass) | Sea Surface Current PC1–SSS PC1 (5 yr lowpass) | MLD PC1–SSS PC1 (5 yr lowpass) |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| North    | $R = -0.78$ ($-1$)         | $R = 0.88$ (12)                 |                                               | $R = 0.83$ (6)                  |
| Equator  | $R = 0.72$ (1)             | $R = 0.80$ (1)                  |                                               | $R = 0.87$ (1)                  |
| South    | $R = 0.73$ (5)             | $R = 0.73$ (1)                  |                                               | $R = 0.79$ (4)                  |

$R$ represents the correlation coefficient between the two variables above it. The parenthesis behind $R$ represents the leading month(s) of the former variable.
Interannual variations in the WEqP and the SWTP

The spatial patterns of E–P and SSS variation are similar in the WEqP and SWTP (Figs. 2b, c), where the freshwater flux has a high correlation with the ENSO cycle. The increase of SSS in the western tropical Pacific is often concurred with the decrease of rainfall, especially during an El Niño event when the ascending branch of Walker Circulation shifts eastward (Delcroix et al. 1996; Ioualalen and Henin 2001). In regions with intense rainfall variations, the change of SSS occurs approximately 2–3 months after freshwater forcing according to empirical time-lag correlation analyses (Delcroix et al. 1996).

We define the anomalous interannual SSS event in the WEqP and the SWTP as SSS PC1 exceeds one standard deviation continuously over 3 months (Fig. 2e). According to the lead-lag relation between freshwater flux and SSS (Table 1), the composite of the forcing terms, including freshwater flux and horizontal advection, leads the corresponding SSS anomaly event 1 and 3 months in the WEqP and the SWTP, respectively. The composited SSS, freshwater flux, and horizontal advection anomalies in the WEqP are shown in Fig. 4. The maximum SSS anomaly in the WEqP is located at 170°E of the equator, which occurs 2 months after the mature phase of an El Niño event. The spatial structure of composited freshwater flux anomaly is very similar to the SSS in the middle of the WEqP (150°E–150°W, 9°S–6°N), while the composited horizontal advection anomaly is consistent with SSS anomaly in the western marginal sea of the equatorial Pacific where freshwater flux cannot explain SSS changes. Freshwater flux anomaly presents no robust variance in the western coastal region during both positive and negative SSS anomaly periods. While during the positive SSS anomaly periods, freshwater flux anomaly is stronger than expected in the east of 160°W. Horizontal advection anomaly contrasts with SSS changes in the equator, which have been mostly offset by intense freshwater forcing. The spatial ranges of negative SSS anomaly and the corresponding freshwater flux anomaly are wider than that of their positive phase in the WEqP. Together with the expanded negative anomaly range, the anomaly pattern of SSS, freshwater flux, and horizontal advection shift eastward. The eastward shift of negative SSS reflects the asymmetry of ENSO (An and Jin 2004), suggesting that the SSS variations in WEqP are largely controlled by the ENSO-induced freshwater flux changes and wind-driven current anomaly.
The SSS anomaly field in the south extends from the northwest to the southeast, centers at 160°E–180°, which also has a wider range in the negative phase comparing to the positive phase (Fig. 5c). The freshwater flux anomaly pattern is in good agreement with the SSS anomaly pattern in the SWTP, but they are not strictly coincided. Meanwhile, horizontal advection bears more resemblance to SSS in this area (Figs. 5b, d), indicating that advection directly affects SSS anomaly pattern in the south. Both freshwater forcing and oceanic processes are conducive to the SSS anomalies in the SWTP, showing that these factors have comparable impact on the changes of SSS in this area. The heavy and scattered rainfall caused by deep convection in the WEqP and the SWTP tends to have an immediate influence on the SSS variation. Therefore, the longer response time from SSS to freshwater forcing in the SWTP (Table 1) also indicates stronger effect from oceanic processes comparing to the WEqP. Apart from the freshwater forcing and horizontal advection, the residual term also has a noticeable influence on SSS anomaly in certain areas. For example, in the northeastern part of the SWTP (180°–160°W, 10°–15°S), neither freshwater flux nor horizontal advection could explain the SSS anomaly well (Figs. 5a, c), suggesting that vertical entrainment play a more important role in this area (Chi et al. 2019). The major difference between the forcing terms of SSS changes in the WEqP and the SWTP is that salt advection has greater influence on SSS changes in the southern region than in the equatorial region.

3.3 Interdecadal variations in the NWTP

Since pronounced interdecadal variability of SSS exists in the NWTP, we use a 5-year lowpass filter to investigate the low-frequency processes. EOF analysis is applied to SSS, E–P, sea surface current, and MLD after the 5-year lowpass filter. The first EOF modes of E–P, sea surface current, and
MLD show high correlations with SSS in the spatial–temporal structure in the NWTP.

The EOF1 of filtered E–P shows a significant variation center (160°E, 10°N) in the western part of the NWTP and a sharp decrease along latitude in the eastern part, which is similar to the pattern of SSS EOF1, with the centers of E–P anomaly slight in the south of the SSS anomaly (Fig. 6a). The PC1 of filtered SSS is highly related to that of the filtered E–P, with the largest correlation coefficient (0.88) appearing when the later leads for 12 months (Table 1). This indicates that the accumulated freshwater forcing has significant influence on SSS anomaly. However, the EOF1s of E–P and SSS bear no further resemblance outside the high variation regions where SSS still presents dramatic anomalies, suggesting that advection and mixing are also important in changing SSS outside of high E–P variation regions.

Sea surface current can modify the distribution of SSS. The northward advected upper current of the subtropical-tropical shallow overturning cell carries the tropical fresh surface water to the subtropical, balanced the regional salinity. The strengthening easterly (westerly) winds enhance (damp) the shallow overturning cell by increasing (decreasing) the divergence in the equator, and transport more (less) freshwater from equator to the north (Li et al. 2019), inducing significant SSS anomaly in the NWTP. Besides, the change of water advection by the North Equatorial Current contributes to the salinity variation in the NWTP (Du et al. 2015). The EOF1 of sea surface current shows a westward current anomaly south of 10°N and an eastward current anomaly of nearly 20°N during the positive phase (Fig. 7a), which is consistent with the result of the previous studies that the bifurcation of the North Equatorial Current moves southward in recent decades (Du et al. 2015; Qiu and Chen 2010; Gordon et al. 2014). These current anomalies favor the central high-salinity water advecting to the southwestern part of the NWTP and the western low-salinity water extending to the northwestern part of the NWTP (Figs. 1 and 8c). The center of subsurface high-salinity water in the north tropical Pacific locates in the southwest of the surface high-salinity water (Johnson et al. 2016). This indicates that the subsurface salinity is higher than SSS in the southwest of the north Pacific salinity maximum (about 25°N). Enhanced mixing would introduce saltier subsurface water into the surface ocean, therefore increase the SSS. Model simulations suggested that the upper ocean mixing is important in keeping salinity balance in this region (Johnson et al. 2016). The EOF1 of MLD anomaly shows a similar variation range with the SSS EOF1 in the north, except that the maximum variation of MLD is 20° longitude eastward to the SSS (Fig. 7a). The PC1 of SSS is significantly related to the PC1s of sea surface

---

**Fig. 6** The first EOF mode of 5-year lowpass filtered E–P and SSS in the northwestern tropical Pacific. a Filtered E–P EOF1 (shaded) superimposed with filtered SSS EOF1 (contour). b Filtered E–P PC1s (blue line) and filtered SSS PC1 filled with gray. The EOF analysis is applied to the filtered data of E–P and SSS. All seasonal cycles were removed.
current and MLD with a time lag of 6–7 months, showing that the response of salinity to dynamic processes is faster than E–P. The spatial pattern of SSS variation in the NWTP is determined by both freshwater flux and oceanic processes. Strong E–P anomalies determine the location of maximum SSS variation, and oceanic advection influences the areas where the E–P variation is not significant. The different roles of each forcing term also could be found in the temporal evolution. During the positive SSS anomaly period from 1997 to 2003, the contributions of advection and mixing are not significant, while E–P variations well explain the SSS changes (Figs. 6b and 7b).

We make composites of freshwater forcing and horizontal advection during the increasing and decreasing period of SSS PC1 to examine the effects of the forcing terms during positive and negative SSS anomaly periods in the NWTP. Figure 8 shows that the freshwater flux anomaly matches the SSS anomaly very well, suggesting that the strong freshwater forcing variation enhances the SSS anomaly greatly. Horizontal advection does not have a clear center, but it shows a similar variation range with SSS anomaly. The combination of freshwater flux and horizontal advection explains the major part of SSS anomalies.

4 Summary and discussion

This study uses the ORAS5 datasets to investigate the regional differences and connections among the three significant SSS variation regions during 1979–2017: the NWTP, the WEqP, and the SWTP. The EOFs of SSS anomaly show different variabilities among the three regions. The contributions from the freshwater flux and oceanic advection are examined in each region.

Prominent interannual variability of SSS is found in the WEqP and the SWTP and interdecadal variability of SSS in the NWTP. In the WEqP, SSS variability is closely related to ENSO cycle, where the strong freshwater flux variations dominate the SSS changes. In the SWTP, both the E–P variation and horizontal advection dominate the SSS variations, also highly correlated with ENSO. In the NWTP, SSS anomaly varies with the low-frequency variations of the IPO, controlled by the combined effect of freshwater forcing and oceanic processes. The influence of horizontal advection cannot be ignored in both off-equatorial areas in the western Pacific (NWTP and SWTP), while its contribution is mostly offset by freshwater forcing in the WEqP.
In this work, we find that the freshwater flux has significant influences on the SSS in all three regions, as an extension to some previous studies which focused on the effect of current advection in the upper ocean salinity variation (e.g., Cronin and McPhaden 1998). For example, Li et al. (2019) emphasized the role of anomalous currents which result in significant saltier or fresher water advection in the upper equatorial Indo-Pacific Ocean, while the effect of precipitation has been reduced. The impact of freshwater forcing on salinity changes is concentrated on the surface ocean, especially in the western tropical Pacific where the MLD is thin due to the fresh surface water. Different factors have various influences on SSS in different events (Zheng and Zhang 2012; Chi et al. 2019). The arguments about which factor is most important on the SSS variations reflect the fact that salinity variations in the tropical Pacific are complex either in temporal evolution or in spatial structure, which requires further investigations.

ORAS5 dataset is used to portrait the regional variation difference of SSS in this work, while a similar variation pattern of SSS is also found in Argo data and other reanalysis products such as Simple Ocean Data Assimilation (SODA). SSS observed by Argo floats are available after 2004, which shows the same variation pattern from 2004 to 2018 as Fig. 1. The SSS variations of SODA3.3.1 in the western tropical Pacific are not consistent before and after 2004, which cannot be well explained by physical processes (figure not shown). The ORAS5 dataset has better performance in reconstructing SSS during the study period.

The lead-lag correlation analyses show that the SSS variation usually lags the freshwater forcing and oceanic advection (Table 1). Theoretically, SSS change should lag its tendency, the freshwater forcing, and the ocean advection terms in the budget equation for a quarter of the variation cycle. However, because of the errors from model data and observations, and the effect from the high-frequency disturbances, the time-lag of SSS to the forcing terms are not strictly a quarter cycle. This time-lag discrepancy is not considered in this study. The response time of SSS to the forcing terms varies with time scales and areas. For example, in the tropical Indian Ocean, the SSS variation lags current anomaly and E–P anomaly for 2 months on the interannual time scale (Zhang et al. 2016), and 2–3 years on the decadal time scale (Zhang et al. 2018). In the tropical Pacific Ocean, the interannual SSS variation lags the freshwater forcing and ocean dynamic processes for 2–4 months (Gao et al. 2014), which is similar to the result of this study. As shown in Table 1, the interannual SSS variation in the WEqP responses the fastest
to the freshwater forcing, followed by that in the SWTP, and finally the interdecadal SSS variation in the NWTP.

Recent researches based on the salinity observations and numerical models have improved our understandings of salinity influence on ENSO and improved the development of the numerical model prediction (Chi et al. 2019; Maes et al. 2005; Zheng and Zhang 2012; Zhu et al. 2014). The relationships between salinity variability in the upper Pacific Ocean and the interdecadal oscillations like IPO and PDO had been discussed (Du et al. 2015; Li et al. 2019; Yan et al. 2019). This work reveals the non-uniform structure of the SSS changes in the western tropical Pacific, identifies the major factors that influence the SSS variability and inspects the connections between these regions, which could help to understand the regional response to climate change in multi-time scales.
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