The effects of decomposing mangrove leaf litter and its tannins on water quality and the growth and survival of tiger prawn (Penaeus monodon) post-larvae

SRI REJEKI1,*, MARCEL MIDDELJANS2, LESTARI L. WIDOWATI3, RESTIANA W. ARIYATI1, TITTA ELFITASARI1, ROEL H. BOSMA2
1 Department of Aquaculture, Faculty Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Universitas Diponegoro. Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto, S.H., Tembalang, Semarang 50275, Central Java, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-24-7474698, Fax.: +62-24-7474698, *email: sri_rejeki7356@yahoo.co.uk
2Aquaculture and Fisheries Group, Wageningen University, Netherlands

Manuscript received: 14 May 2019. Revision accepted: 30 August 2019.

Abstract. Rejeki S, Middeljans M, Widowati LL, Ariyati RW, Elfitasari T, Bosma RH. 2019. The effects of decomposing mangrove leaf litter and its tannins on water quality and the growth and survival of tiger prawn (Penaeus monodon) post-larvae. Biodiversitas 20: 2750-2757. Shrimp farming in Demak, Indonesia is often practiced in silvo-aquaculture systems in which mangrove trees are planted on pond bunds. As such, mangrove leaves and its substrates may have impact on penaeid shrimp production. In this area, mangrove regrowth proceeded with Avicennia marina while planting is mostly done with Rhizophora apiculata. We compared the effects of decomposing fresh leaves of A. marina and R. apiculata on water quality and on the performance of Penaeus monodon postlarvae (PL). A hundred of PL21 (postlarvae aged 21 days with weight of 0.28 g) were stocked in each of 30 aerated tanks containing 800 liters of brackish water (salinity of 21 ppt) for 37 days. Five treatments with three replicates for each mangrove species were assigned by adding into the tanks of 0.125, 0.25, and 0.5 g L⁻¹ of air-dried leave, 0.125 of g L⁻¹ minced leaves and 0.125 g L⁻¹ of leachate of minced leaves. The PLs were fed 3 times daily with pellets at 10 % of initial total body weight. Water quality parameters were recorded daily. Tannin, H₂S and NH₃-N concentrations were measured every ten days. Prawn's body weight (BW) was measured and specific growth rate (SGR, % day⁻¹) and survival rate (SR, %) were calculated after the end of experiment. Results were analyzed with ANOVA and Pearson's correlation. The results showed that tannin in decomposing mangrove leaf litter up to a concentration of 0.5 mg g⁻¹ did not have a significant effects on water quality and on the growth and survival of P. monodon PL. However, increasing leaf litter concentrations showed an increase in NH₃-N concentration due to organic matter degradation. The accumulation of NH₃-N may have caused the slow growth of shrimp PL in A. marina treatment. Shrimp PL in leaf litter leachates treatment has a higher growth rate than those PL in regular leaf litter in relation to nutritional value. Survival and growth varied from 62 ±14 to 70 ±8 % and 3.1±2.1 to 5.5±1.2 % day⁻¹, respectively. Although decomposing mangrove leaves of A. marina and R. apiculata had no toxic effects on P. monodon PL up to a concentration of 1.25 g L⁻¹, but causing severe mortality for shrimp in tanks without water exchange. As a conclusion, the present of mangrove leaves in brackish water ponds with insufficient water exchange can be harmful to shrimps. However, if the water exchange is good, decomposed mangrove leaves can become organic fertilizer that beneficial for the growth of natural food for the shrimps.
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INTRODUCTION

In 1980s the international demand for prawn increased, and as a result, both extensive and intensive prawn culture expanded dramatically (Primavera et al. 1993; Rönnbäck 2002). In Indonesia, the decreasing world market price for rice caused by green revolution pushed the conversion of both paddy fields and mangrove forests into shrimp ponds. While extensive prawn culture caused mainly coastal landuse change (i.e. mangrove loss), several problems occurred due to the intensification: intrusion of saline water upland, increase of nutrients in water bodies due to feed waste and prawn excretions, and loss of capital due to disease outbreaks (Primavera 1997; Rivera-Ferre 2009). In response to unsustainable systems, integrated mangrove-shrimp aquaculture systems (i.e. silvo-aquaculture) have been developed as environmentally and socioeconomically sustainable strategies for poor small-scale farmers (Primavera 2000; Fitzgerald 2002; Rönnbäck 2002). In Indonesia, this technology was started in 1976 by the State Forestry Corporation, with the aim of rehabilitating and conserving mangrove forest, and resolving forestry-fisheries conflicts (Primavera 2000).

Although silvo-aquaculture systems are more ecologically friendly with mangrove ecosystems than other types of aquaculture (Primavera 2000), they also have problems of sustainability. Decaying mangrove leaves are known to accumulate at pond ground, causing an increase in tannin levels, which together with the shade of the mangrove trees creates an acidic and anoxic environment, which ultimately results in lower shrimp production (Johnston et al. 2000; Clough et al. 2002; Nga et al. 2006). As an example, the prawn production from a silvo-aquaculture pond with Avicennia marina and Rhizophora apiculata in Demak district, Central Java, was at the low end with yield of 75-105 kg ha⁻¹ per year (Tonneijck et al. 2015).
Fitzgerald (2000) stated that high tannin concentrations may be potentially toxic to penaeid shrimp in mangrove-shrimp aquaculture systems. Mangroves contain high levels of tannins (Robertson 1988), which can rise as much as 20% of the dry weight of plant material (Hernes et al. 2001). Tannins, generally divided into hydrolyzed and condensed tannins, are anti-nutritional elements with zero nutritional value, affecting protein utilization and nutritional digestibility of various herbivorous and detritivorous crustaceans and fish species (Neilsen et al. 1986; Becker and Makkar 1999; Maitra and Ray 2003; Hammann and Zimmer 2015). The negative impact of R. apiculata mangrove on shrimp performance (Primavera 2000) was confirmed in an experiment that showed that leaf concentrations higher than 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\) were very lethal where leaf effects differed between mangrove species (Hai and Yakupitaga 2005). Using R. apiculata as a reference species, this study aimed to assess whether tannin was released by the decomposing leaves of A. marina and R. apiculata and which form of their leaves litter that contribute more on water quality degradation, whether this affected the growth and survival rate of P. monodon.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study period and location**

The study was conducted for 40 days in Demak District, Central Java, Indonesia. The coastal areas of Demak once had extensive mangrove forests (about 6000 ha), but these areas had been converted into aquaculture where some area are applied silvo-aquaculture. The mangrove species A. marina and R. apiculata co-dominate the dikes of the pond and were therefore selected as the species used in this study. The experimental station is located in Tambakbulusus village in the Sub-district of Karang Tengah, about six kilometers from the capital of Demak.

**Experimental procedure**

Thirty-three tanks of 1 m\(^3\) (1x1x1 m) were used to test the effects of decomposing mangrove leaves on water quality and on the growth and survival of *P. monodon* PL. The experimental plastic tanks of 1 m\(^3\) were filled with ± 5 cm pond bottom substrate, clay-loam soil (pH 6.5) and 800 liters of brackish water (salinity 21 ppt). The prawn PL were stocked 4 days later to let the suspended particles sediment. The water in the tanks was not exchanged but the volume was maintained by adding water from the same source regularly. Each tank was continuously aerated using Resun® LP-60 low noise air pump to maintain dissolved oxygen level above 5 mg L\(^{-1}\), thus largely above the recommended level and the 3 mg L\(^{-1}\) generally found in silvo-aquaculture (Boyd 1989; Binh et al. 1997; Johnston et al. 2002). The experimental tanks were covered with dark netting to reduce water temperature fluctuation and light intensity.

Tiger prawn (*P. monodon*) larvae of 21 days old, known as PL-21, at average initial body weight of 0.28 g were bought from the Centre of Brackish Water Research Institution (Balai Besar Penelitian Budidaya Air Payau = BBPBAIP in Jepara. PL-21 were randomly stocked in each tank at a density of 100 PLs m\(^{-2}\) that was acclimatized previously. During the acclimatization, dead PL were replaced by new identical individuals.

Commercial shrimp pellet produced by Central Proteinaprima Tbk. was added three times a day at 07:00, 12:00 and 18:00 to the tanks with PL at the total rate of 10% of the total stocked and adjusted after the weekly weighing. The feed was put at a 40 x 40 cm feeding tray submerged at the bottom of each tank. The pellet contained 41% protein, 5% fat, 2% fiber, 13% ash and 11% moisture.

Mature green leaves of *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* were collected from mangrove pond and transported to the experimental station. The leaves were air-dried in the shade to a constant weight and separated species-wise in litter bags made of nylon nets of 3 mm mesh size (Figure 1.A-B), and then added to the tanks nine days after collection. Stones were tied to the litter bags to make them sink.

The minced leaves were obtained by cutting the dried leaves into small pieces and mincing the cust in an electrical blender (Figure 1.C). The leave leachate was obtained by soaking 100 g of blended leaf litter in 2 L filtered brackish water for 30 minutes, then sieving through a woven wire sieve (100 μm mesh size). Only the solution was added to the tanks (Figure 1.D).

Experiment conducted by Hai and Yakupitaga (2005) found that the concentration of leaves higher than 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\) was very lethal. As such, three concentrations of mangrove leaves were applied in our study: 0.125, 0.25 and 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\). Two additional leaves treatments were applied to analyze the effects of presumed faster release of tannins to allow faster decomposition and tannin dilution: (i) 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) minced 100 g leaf litter was cut into small pieces and minced using a blender after which added species-wise in the litter bags made from pantyhoose stockings (Figure 1.C); (ii) 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) leachate 100 g of blended leaf litter was soaked in 2 L filtered brackish water for 30 minutes, after which sieved through a woven wire sieve (100 μm mesh size), and only the solution was added species-wise (Figure 1.D). All 5 treatments were done in three replications.

**Data collection**

**Water quality parameters**

Seven water quality parameters were measured including temperature (T), pH, salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO), tannin, hydrogen sulfide (H\(_2\)S), and unionized ammonia as nitrogen (NH\(_3\)-N). Water temperature was observed daily using an electronic thermometer with precision of 0.1°C, pH using HANNA® H98129 pH meter with precision of 0.01, and salinity using ATAGO®PAL-06s refractometer 06S refractometer with precision of 1 ppt. The DO was recorded on day 6, 12, 22, 23, 30 and 33 of the study using a YSI®Pro DO meter (read-out in 0.1 mg L\(^{-1}\)).
Tannin, hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and unionized ammonia as nitrogen (NH₃-N) were measured according to standard methods as described in Rice et al. (2012). Therefore, every ten days three water samples were taken from each replicate treatment: 500 ml for tannin analysis and 200 ml for H₂S and NH₃-N analysis. For the control, only the two/three samples were aggregated before analysis. Samples for H₂S analysis were preserved by adding 8 drops of 2N zinc acetate and 10 drops of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution to pH > 9, while NH₃-N samples were preserved by adding sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) to pH < 2. The 93 samples were kept in styrofoam cool boxes and being transported to Balai Besar Teknologi Pencegahan Pencemaran Industri, Semarang, for analyses. The tannin content was analyzed colorimetrically by the Folin phenol method, while H₂S and NH₃-N were analyzed by the Iodometric and Phenate method, respectively.

**Growth performance and survival rate**

The initial body weight was determined for the whole population (i.e. 3300 prawn) by randomly sampling and weighing 35 groups of ten individuals in order to minimize fluctuations due to wind (Figure 2A). The final body weight was determined per tank by collecting and weighing all shrimp individually (Figure 2B). Weighing was done with an A&D® HL-100 electronic weighing scale with a precision of 0.01 g.

The specific growth rate (SGR) and survival rate (SR) were calculated with the formula of Busacker et al. (1990)

\[
SGR = \frac{\ln BW_t - \ln BW_o}{t} \times 100\%
\]

Where:
- \( SGR \) : specific growth rate (% day⁻¹);
- \( BW_t \) : the final body weight (g);
- \( BW_o \) : the initial body weight (g); and \( t \) is duration of experiment (days).
\[ SR = \frac{N_t}{N_o} \times 100\% \]

Where

\( SR \): the survival rate (%);
\( N_t \): the number of prawns collected at sampling time \( t \);
\( N_o \): the number of prawns initially stocked.

**DATA ANALYSES**

Statistical analyses were done using SigmaPlot® 12. Differences were considered significant at \( P < 0.05 \). The water quality parameters and prawn performance were compared by one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA). Prior to the ANOVA, all data were checked for their normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. When data were not normally distributed, a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test by ranks was used. If the ANOVA showed the treatment significantly affected the variables, a multiple comparison Duncan and Tukey posthoc test was done. Correlations among the water quality parameters, between the water quality parameters and growth, and between the water quality parameters and survival rate, were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Water quality parameters**

The levels of salinity, temperature, and DO did not differ significantly between the treatments throughout the experiment (Table 1). Since the experimental tanks are closed system, the salinity levels fluctuated between 19 and 25 ppt (mean 22±1 ppt) and the water temperature between 27.2 and 33.3°C (mean 30.6±1.4 °C) but the DO slightly varied from 6.3 to 6.9 mg L\(^{-1}\) (mean 6.7±0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Although the DO concentration of the culture media was high and in the upper side of the recommended range (+4 mg L\(^{-1}\)) all recorded salinity, temperature, and DO concentrations were considered as optimal for *P. monodon* PL.

The majority of the treatments had undetectable H\(_2\)S concentrations (<0.002 mg L\(^{-1}\)). H\(_2\)S was only detected in 11 of the 30 tanks during the research period; most measured concentrations were 0.002 and 0.003 mg L\(^{-1}\). For both *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* the highest levels were found for the treatment with 0.125 leachate: 0.005 and 0.004 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively. Therefore no reliable conclusion can be made about the influence of mangrove leaf litter concentrations on H\(_2\)S production.

The NH\(_3\)-N concentration found in all treatments exceeded the optimal level for penaeid PL and were considered critical and lethal. Leaf litter concentrations increased the NH\(_3\)-N concentration in both *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* treatments, but this did not differ significantly (\( H = 6.124, df = 3, P = 0.106 \) (Table 2A).

The average NH\(_3\)-N concentrations in the leaf treatments for *A. marina* compared to *R. apiculata*, 0.83 ± 0.2 and 0.79 ± 0.2 mg L\(^{-1}\), respectively, were not significantly different (Table 2B). However, species-wise, NH\(_3\)-N concentration was not also significantly affected by the leaf treatments (\( H = 0.230, df = 1, P = 0.631 \)).

The concentration of mangrove leaf litter did not significantly affect tannins in water (\( P = 0.967 \)) (Table 2A). However, the average tannin concentration in the tanks increased during leaf decomposition, from 0.68 ± 0.40 mg L\(^{-1}\) on day 12 to 3.72 ± 0.23 mg L\(^{-1}\) on day 33 of decomposition for the treatments of *A. marina* and similarly from 0.49 ± 0.15 mg L\(^{-1}\) on day 12 to 3.91 ± 0.19 mg L\(^{-1}\) on day 33 of decomposition for the treatments of *R. apiculata* (Figure 3).

Although overall mean tannin concentration was recorded higher in the treatments with leaves of *R. apiculata* (2.07 ± 1.45 mg L\(^{-1}\)) compared to the treatments with *A. marina* leaves (1.92 ± 1.37 mg L\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2B), no clear trend can be detected from the treatments between the species (\( H = 0.257, df = 1, P = 0.612 \)).

**Table 1.** The mean ± standard deviation of salinity (ppt), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), temperature (T) and pH for each treatment

| Leaf litter (gL\(^{-1}\)) concentration | **A. marina** | **R. apiculata** |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
|                                       | Salinity (ppt) | DO (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | T (°C) | Salinity (ppt) | DO (mg L\(^{-1}\)) | T (°C) |
| A (leaf concentration)                |              |                  |        |              |                  |        |
| 0.125                                 | 21 ± 1       | 6.6 ± 0.3        | 30.1 ± 1.4 | 23 ± 1       | 6.9 ± 0.5        | 30.6 ± 1.4 |
| 0.25                                  | 22 ± 1       | 6.7 ± 0.6        | 30.2 ± 1.4 | 22 ± 1       | 6.8 ± 0.6        | 30.6 ± 1.3 |
| 0.5                                   | 23 ± 1       | 6.3 ± 0.3        | 30.4 ± 1.4 | 21 ± 1       | 6.5 ± 0.6        | 30.4 ± 1.4 |
| B (leaf treatment)                    |              |                  |        |              |                  |        |
| 0.125 whole                           | 21 ± 1       | 6.6 ± 0.3        | 30.1 ± 1.4 | 23 ± 1       | 6.9 ± 0.5        | 30.6 ± 1.4 |
| 0.125 minced                          | 23 ± 1       | 6.8 ± 0.3        | 30.6 ± 1.3 | 22 ± 1       | 6.8 ± 0.5        | 30.6 ± 1.4 |
| 0.125 leachate                        | 22 ± 1       | 6.9 ± 0.4        | 30.9 ± 1.5 | 22 ± 1       | 6.6 ± 0.4        | 30.6 ± 1.3 |

**Figure 3.** The mean tannin concentrations of *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* treatments increased gradually during the study period.
Table 2. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of the concentrations of NH3-N (mL⁻¹) and tannin for the treatments with *A. marina* and *R. apiculata*, the P-value of the ANOVA and results of the posthoc tests (values in the same column having a different letter are significantly different)

| Treatments | NH3-N | Tannin |
|------------|-------|--------|
|            | *A. marina* | *R. apiculata* | Mean | *A. marina* | *R. apiculata* | Mean |
| A (leaf concentration) | | | | | | |
| 0.125      | 0.82 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 0.74 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.8 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 1.77 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 2.21 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 2.0 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.25       | 0.95 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 0.82 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.9 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 1.86 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 1.92 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 1.9 ± 1.4<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.5        | 0.99 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.87 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.9 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 2.16 ± 1.4<sup>a</sup> | 1.97 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 2.1 ± 1.4<sup>a</sup> |
| B (leaf treatment) | | | | | | |
| 0.125 Whole | 0.82 ± 0.3<sup>a</sup> | 0.74 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.8 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 1.77 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 2.21 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> | 2.0 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.125 Minced | 0.75 ± 0.1<sup>a</sup> | 0.76 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.8 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 1.83 ± 1.4<sup>a</sup> | 2.21 ± 1.6<sup>a</sup> | 2.0 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.125 Leachate | 0.69 ± 0.1<sup>a</sup> | 0.76 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.7 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 2.03 ± 1.4<sup>a</sup> | 2.02 ± 1.6<sup>a</sup> | 2.0 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> |
| Mean       | 0.83 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 0.79 ± 0.2<sup>a</sup> | 1.92 ± 1.4<sup>a</sup> | 2.07 ± 1.5<sup>a</sup> |

Table 3. Mean and standard deviation of the final body weight and survival rate for the treatments with *A. marina* and *R. apiculata*, and the results of the posthoc tests (values in the same column having a different letter are significantly different at P < 0.05)

| Treatments | Shrimp growth rate (SGR) (% day⁻¹) | Survival rate (SR) (%) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
|            | *A. marina* | *R. apiculata* | Mean | *A. marina* | *R. apiculata* | Mean |
| A (leaf concentration) | | | | | | |
| 0.125      | 4.92 ± 0.5<sup>b</sup> | 4.78 ± 0.2<sup>b</sup> | 4.03 ± 1.1<sup>b</sup> | 62 ± 16<sup>a</sup> | 72 ± 15<sup>a</sup> | 68 ± 15<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.25       | 4.77 ± 0.5<sup>b</sup> | 5.15 ± 0.5<sup>b</sup> | 4.96 ±0.3<sup>c</sup> | 66 ± 13<sup>a</sup> | 58 ± 16<sup>a</sup> | 62 ± 14<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.5        | 4.58 ± 0.1<sup>c</sup> | 5.51 ± 0.1<sup>c</sup> | 4.28 ±1.7<sup>c</sup> | 86 ± 13<sup>a</sup> | 52 ± 2<sup>a</sup> | 66 ± 20<sup>a</sup> |
| B (leaf treatment) | | | | | | |
| 0.125 Whole | 4.92 ± 0.5<sup>b</sup> | 4.78 ± 0.2<sup>b</sup> | 4.03 ± 1.1<sup>b</sup> | 62 ± 16<sup>a</sup> | 72 ± 15<sup>a</sup> | 68 ± 15<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.125 Minced | 5.02 ± 0.1<sup>b</sup> | 5.29 ± 0.1<sup>b</sup> | 5.16 ±0.2<sup>b</sup> | 75 ± 8<sup>a</sup> | 65 ± 4<sup>a</sup> | 70 ± 8<sup>a</sup> |
| 0.125 Leachate | 5.41 ± 0.3<sup>b</sup> | 5.07 ± 0.2<sup>b</sup> | 5.24 ±0.3<sup>b</sup> | 58 ± 6<sup>b</sup> | 67 ± 4<sup>a</sup> | 62 ± 7<sup>a</sup> |
| Mean       | 4.31 ± 1.1<sup>a</sup> | 5.16 ± 0.3<sup>b</sup> | 69 ± 13<sup>a</sup> | 63 ± 11<sup>b</sup> |

Table 4. Pearson’s correlation coefficients (Pcc) between the water quality parameters: DO, pH, tannin, and NH3-N on *Peneaus monodon* PL growth and survival for *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* treatments.

| Shrimp growth | DO | pH | Tannin | NH3-N |
|---------------|----|----|--------|-------|
| *A. marina* (n=16) | Pcc | 0.48 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0.71 |
| *R. apiculata* (n=18) | P Value | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.35 | 0.002 |
| *A. marina* (n=18) | P Value | -0.2 | -0.52 | -0.39 | 0.05 |
| *R. apiculata* (n=16) | P Value | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.85 |
| *A. marina* (n=16) | P Value | -0.53 | -0.49 | 0.07 | 0.53 |
| *R. apiculata* (n=18) | P Value | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.79 | 0.036 |

Figure 4. Multiple linear regression analysis of PL survival rate and concentrations of decomposing *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* leaf litter. The Pearson correlation coefficient between PL survival rate and *A. marina* leaf litter was $r = 0.473$, $P = 0.17$ and between PL survival rate and *R. apiculata* leaf litter $r = -0.539$, $P = 0.07$. 
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Shrimp growth and survival rate

Shrimp biomass relatively increased with increasing concentrations of decomposing mangrove leaves in *R. apiculata* than in *A. marina* (Table 3A). In *A. marina* tanks, the highest growth rate (SGR) was found in the 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) concentration, while in *R. apiculata* tanks, the highest was in the 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\) concentration.

A significantly higher mean shrimp biomass was also observed in minced leaf litter (5.16 ± 0.2 % day\(^{-1}\)) and leaf litter leachate (5.24 ± 0.3 % day\(^{-1}\)) compared to leaf litter (4.03 ± 1.1 % day\(^{-1}\)) in the 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) treatments (H = 34.534, df = 2, P < 0.001) (Table 3B). In *A. marina* tanks, shrimp body weight was significantly higher in the 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) leachate concentration than in the 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) leaf litter concentration (Dunn’s post hoc, Q = 4.769), while in *R. apiculata* tanks, shrimp body weight in the 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) minced leaf litter was significantly higher than in the 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) leaf litter concentration (Dunn’s post hoc, Q = 4.491).

The highest overall survival rate was recorded at 0.125 g L\(^{-1}\) minced leaf litter with a rate of 70.2 ± 7.6 % and the lowest overall was recorded at 0.25 g L\(^{-1}\) with a rate of 61.7 ± 13.9 %. However, among the concentrations, an increase in litter concentration did not lead to a significantly lower survival rate (ANOVA, F = 0.198, df = 3, P = 0.896) (Table 3A). There was also no significant difference in survival rate between litter concentrations and the control (P ≥ 0.05). SR of shrimp in the leachate treatments was also relatively lower than those in the minced treatments, but the differences were not significant (H = 2.148, df = 2, P = 0.342) (Table 3B).

In general, survival was significantly higher in *A. marina* treatments (mean 69 ± 13 %) than in *R. apiculata* treatments (mean 63 ± 11 %) (H = 10.464, df = 1, P = 0.001). An increase in decomposing leaf litter led to an increase in survival rate in the *A. marina* treatments (r = 0.473, P = 0.17), but to a decrease in survival rate in the *R. apiculata* treatments (r = -0.539, P = 0.07), although not significant (Figure 4).

Correlations between water quality, growth, and survival

Pearson’s correlation coefficient showed various water quality parameters having negative and positive effects on shrimp growth and survival between *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* treatments (Table 4).

The pH had a positive correlation with growth of shrimp in *A. marina* leaf litter (r = 0.64, P = 0.008), while this was negative in *R. apiculata* leaf litter (r = -0.52, P = 0.027). The DO levels and tannin concentration in both *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* treatments were not correlated with shrimp growth (P ≥ 0.05). However, the higher shrimp growth was significantly correlated to a higher shrimp mortality in both *A. marina* (r = -0.68, P = 0.004) and *R. apiculata* (r = -0.69, P = 0.002) treatments.

Shrimp survival was positively correlated with tannin concentration in *R. apiculata* treatments (r = 0.55, P = 0.019). Similar results were observed for NH\(_3\)-N in *A. marina* treatments (r = 0.526, P = 0.036). Shrimp survival and NH\(_3\)-N in *A. marina* treatments were positively correlated (r = 0.53, P = 0.036), while the correlation with NH\(_3\)-N was strongly negative for growth (r = -0.71, P = 0.002). However, no significant correlation was observed between shrimp growth and NH\(_3\)-N in *R. apiculata* treatments. The pH and DO concentrations were not correlated with shrimp survival in both *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* treatments.

Discussion

Tannin concentrations

In this study, there was relatively higher leaching of tannins in *R. apiculata* than in *A. marina* treatments within 33 days (Figure 2). Previous studies showed that within 40 days there was a 50% reduction of the initial weight of *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* leaves, with the latter having slower leaching of dissolved organic matter initially (e.g. tannins) (Boonruang 1984; Robertson 1988; Rajendran and Kathiresan 2000). The loss through leaching may depend on various parameters such as species and environment, for example, leaching is higher in the wet season compared to dry season (Robertson 1988; Tam et al. 1990; Wafar et al. 1997). *A. marina* leaves have thinner leaf cuticle, higher initial nitrogen concentration, lower C:N ratio and contain less tannins, thus decompose relatively faster than *R. apiculata* leaves (Robertson 1988; Camilleri 1989; Steinke et al. 1990).

During decomposition, the nitrogen concentration in both *A. marina* and *R. apiculata* leaves initially decreases due to leaching, after which it gradually increases due to nitrogen immobilization, leading to a decrease in C:N ratio (Robertson 1988; Benner et al. 1990; Tam et al. 1990; Dick and Osunkoya 2000; Rajendran and Kathiresan 2000, 2007). Microbial activity is primarily responsible for the immobilization of nitrogen (Tremblay and Benner 2006). This may be an important mechanism of nitrogen accumulation in leaf litter.

Effect of tannin on other water quality parameters

Increasing leaf litter concentrations did not have a significant effect on DO, tannin and H\(_2\)S concentrations and means were randomly divided over the treatments (Table 1 and 3). However, the pH significantly decreased with increasing leaf litter concentrations, and the NH\(_3\)-N concentration increased to levels critical and lethal for the shrimp PL. The relatively higher NH\(_3\)-N concentrations in the *A. marina* treatments may be due to its high protein content and rapid nutrient leaching rates. The decrease in pH with increasing leaf litter concentrations could be due to the tannin content in the leaves as was observed in previous studies (Chyau et al. 2006; Nugroho et al. 2016). In contrast, Hai and Yakupitiyage (2005) observed tannin being significantly correlated with DO (r = -0.482), pH (r = 0.595) and H\(_2\)S (r = 0.738), but not with the total ammonia nitrogen (TAN). Hai and Yakupitiyage (2005) suggested that a combination of these factors could increase the toxicity of tannins to shrimp growth and survival.
Growth and survival

In this study, the highest growth rates were found in the 0.125 g L⁻¹ leachate concentration with presumed faster leaching of tannins, i.e. minced leaf litter and leaf litter leachate, compared to regular leaf litter. Minced leaf litter has been tested regarding mimic the phase of decomposing process in nature. This is in line with findings from the study by Nga et al. (2006), who also observed significant higher growth rates when PL was grown in water containing R. apiculata leaf litter leachates than when grown in water containing different concentrations of leaf litter. In addition, P. monodon PL in Terminalia catappa (a mangrove associated species) leaf litter leachates had a higher growth rate than those PL in controls (P < 0.05) (Ikhwanuddin et al. 2014). The higher body weight of shrimp in leaf litter leachate than those in leaf litter is probably due to the leachates their higher nutritional value (i.e. leached proteins from dissolved organic carbon) (Davis et al. 2003).

Furthermore, increasing leaf litter concentrations showed an increase in NH₃-N concentration due to organic matter degradation. The accumulation of NH₃-N may have caused the slow growth in A. marina treatments as a strong negative correlation was observed (r = -0.709, P = 0.002). This was in line with observations from Wickins (1976) and Chin and Chen (1987), who found high NH₃-N concentrations reducing the growth of P. monodon and other penaeid shrimp PL, where the sensitive NH₃-N concentration was higher than 0.1 mg L⁻¹.

In the present study, the mean survival rate was significantly higher in A. marina treatments at 68.5 ± 13.4 % than in R. apiculata treatments at 62.9 ± 11.3 %. The correlation between shrimp survival might be due to the tannin concentration, which increasing tannin might be related to a higher shrimp survival. Regarding the effect of A. marina in increasing the survival rate of shrimp, it is recommended that in the shrimp farm area can be covered with A. marina rather than R. apiculata. Ikhwanuddin et al. (2014) also observed a significantly higher survival rate of P. monodon PL in treatments with a concentration of 3 g L⁻¹ T. catappa leaf litter leachate than in controls and 1 g L⁻¹, 2 g L⁻¹ and 4 g L⁻¹ leaf litter leachate (P < 0.05). Furthermore, Harlina et al. (2015) observed Chromoalaena odorata (a mangrove associated species) leaf extract with its active secondary metabolites such as flavonoids, tannins, and alkaloids, to have no toxic effects on P. monodon PL up to a concentration of 1.25 g L⁻¹, but causing severe mortality above 2.5 g L⁻¹.

Shrimp mortality in treatments with high concentrations of decomposing mango leaf litter is probably due to the increasing NH₃ and decreasing water pH (toxic environment). However, low pH was not observed in the present study. This significant negative impact of survival rate on growth for both A. marina and R. apiculata might be due to the constant level of feeding. Feeding was not adjusted to the increased biomass. Consequently, the bigger PL might feed not only the dead PL but also the smaller PL when the latter are molting and unable to defend (Abdussamad and Thampy 1994; Ray and Chien 1992). Beside the cannibalism, the shrimp mortality is probably due to the high NH₃-N concentrations (toxic environment) caused by decomposing organic matter (i.e. leaf litter and dead shrimp). The NH₃-N levels in treatments with decomposing mangrove leaves of A. marina and R. apiculata were toxic for prawn in tanks without water exchange.

One of the factors that determine the success of tiger shrimp (Penaeus monodon) cultivation in the ponds is the availability of good quality seeds in sufficient quantities, because by stocking good quality seeds and supported by a good cultivation environment, the expected level of production will be obtained. One effort to get quality seeds is by rearing the post larve stage up to juvenile stage that lasts between 15-45 days, or depending on health and size of the fry (Hendrajit 2007). There are several advantages of stocking shrimp juvenile, i.e. of fry with relatively cheap prices at a certain time, can shorten the culture time in the pond, reduce the amount of feed, increase production, and survival rate in enlargement plots, and can increase harvest frequency (Mangampa et al. 2014).

In conclusion, tannin in decomposing mango leaf litter up to a concentration of 0.5 mg g⁻¹ did not have a significant effect on water quality and on the growth and survival of P. monodon PL. However, increasing leaf litter concentrations showed an increase in NH₃-N concentration due to organic matter degradation. The accumulation of NH₃-N may have caused the slow growth of shrimp PL in A. marina treatments. Shrimp PL in leaf litter minced and leachates treatments have a higher growth rate than those PL in regular leaf litter. This result suggests that leaf litter leachates have a higher nutritional value as leached proteins for the shrimp.
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