Reduced Satb1 expression predisposes CD4\(^+\) T conventional cells to Treg suppression and promotes transplant survival

Pawan K. Gupta\(^{a1}\), Jennifer B. Allocco\(^{a1}\), Jane M. Fraipont\(^{a1}\), Michelle L. McKeague\(^{a1}\), Peter Wang\(^{a1}\), Michael S. Andrade\(^{b}\), Christine McIntosh\(^{a}\), Luiqu Chen\(^a\), Ying Wang\(^a\), Yan Li\(^{a}\), Jorge Andrade\(^{c}\), José R. Conejo-García\(^{a}\), Anita S. Chong\(^{b,2}\), and María-Luisa Negrín\(^{a,3}\)

Edited by Richard Flavell, Yale University, New Haven, CT; received March 22, 2022; accepted August 23, 2022

Limiting CD4\(^+\) T cell responses is important to prevent solid organ transplant rejection. In a mouse model of costimulation blockade-dependent cardiac allograft tolerance, we previously reported that alloreactive CD4\(^+\) conventional T cells (Tconvs) develop dysfunction, losing proliferative capacity. In parallel, induction of transplantation tolerance is dependent on the presence of regulatory T cells (Tregs). Whether susceptibility of CD4\(^+\) Tconvs to Treg suppression is modulated during tolerance induction is unknown. We found that alloreactive Tconvs from transplant tolerant mice had augmented sensitivity to Treg suppression when compared with memory T cells from rejector mice and expressed a transcriptional profile distinct from these memory T cells, including down-regulated expression of the transcription factor Special AT-rich sequence-binding protein 1 (Satb1). Mechanistically, Satb1 deficiency in CD4\(^+\) T cells limited their expression of CD25 and IL-2, and addition of Tregs, which express higher levels of CD25 than Satb1-deficient Tconvs and successfully competed for IL-2, resulted in greater suppression of Satb1-deficient than wild-type Tconvs in vitro. In vivo, Satb1-deficient Tconvs were more susceptible to Treg suppression, resulting in significantly prolonged skin allograft survival. Overall, our study reveals that transplantation tolerance is associated with Tconvs’ susceptibility to Treg suppression, via modulated expression of Tconv-intrinsic Satb1. Targeting Satb1 in the context of Treg-sparing immunosuppressive therapies might be exploited to improve transplant outcomes.

CD4\(^+\) T cells are an important driver of transplant rejection. In preclinical models of cardiac transplantation, CD4\(^+\) T cells are necessary and sufficient for rejection (1, 2). Upon antigen encounter, alloreactive CD4\(^+\) Tconvs differentiate into distinct effector subsets, including T helper (T\(_h\))1, T\(_h\)2 and T\(_h\)17, that can each cause allograft damage, albeit by different mechanisms associated with the presence of macrophages, eosinophils, or neutrophils, respectively (3–5). Moreover, CD4\(^+\) T cells provide help to CD8\(^+\) T cells (1, 6), and may engage in direct allograft cytotoxicity (7), while T follicular helper (T\(_f\)h) cells help B-cells produce alloantibodies (8). Therefore, better strategies to target CD4\(^+\) Tconvs should improve clinical transplant outcomes.

Transplantation tolerance is a state of permanent allograft acceptance following cessation of immunosuppression. Its induction remains a challenge in humans, although proof-of-principle exists that it can be achieved (9–13). In experimental models, transplantation tolerance can be attained by therapeutic approaches (14–16) and genetic manipulations (17, 18). One such mouse model of tolerance relies on coadministration of blocking anti-CD154 monoclonal antibody (αCD154) and allograft in the form of donor splenocyte transfusion (DST), which leads to indefinite survival of first cardiac allografts and enables spontaneous acceptance of subsequent secondary donor-matched cardiac allografts in the absence of immunosuppression (19). In this model, Tregs are essential for the induction and maintenance of transplantation tolerance, as well as the re-establishment of tolerance after acute graft rejection precipitated by systemic infection (19, 20). The surprising observation that Treg numbers increased comparably during the induction of transplantation tolerance and during acute rejection (19), and that absence of the CD154-CD40 pathway did not enhance Treg suppressive activity (21), prompted us to investigate the functional consequences of anti-CD154/DST-mediated tolerance induction on alloreactive Tconvs. To this end, we used this model in combination with a tracer population of alloreactive CD4\(^+\) TCR\(_{75}\) Tconvs, which recognize the donor K\(^0\)-54-68 peptide presented by host I-A\(^b\), that are seeded into hosts just before transplantation (22). We recently reported that these TCR\(_{75}\) T cells acquired a state of dysfunction when exposed to the tolerogenic regimen, compared to TCR\(_{75}\) T cells from transplanted untreated mice that rejected their graft and developed memory to donor alloantigens (23). Acquisition of dysfunction by TCR\(_{75}\) T cells
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during transplant tolerance induction required both alloantigen persistence for 2 to 3 wk in the primary host and the presence of the anti-CD154 blocking antibody at the time of alloantigen encounter, thus combining parameters of CD4+ T cell exhaustion described in settings of chronic viral infection (24), and of CD4+ T cell anergy defined as activation in the absence of costimulation (25). In transplantation, CD4+ Tconv dysfunction has also been observed in models where allograft tolerance was established using anti-CD3 F(ab')2; administration (26), or by deleting Fas7 or IRF4 selectively in T cells (17, 27).

In cardiac allograft recipient mice treated with anti-CD154/DST, dysfunction of alloreactive T cells appeared Tconv-intrinsic, as TCR75 cells from tolerant mice displayed reduced expansion, even when removed from their tolerogenic environment and rechallenged with alloantigen in secondary naive hosts (23). However, the secondary hosts contained Tregs, such that it remained to be formally demonstrated whether TCR75 cells from tolerant mice were intrinsically dysfunctional, and/or had acquired enhanced susceptibility to Treg suppression. Moreover, the transcriptional program leading to alloreactive Tconv dysfunction remained to be delineated. To address these questions, we subjected TCR75 cells from tolerant and rejector mice to RNA-seq. Here we report that transplant-specific CD4+ Tcons in tolerant mice have a unique transcriptional profile, including lower expression of the genome organizer Special AT-rich sequence-binding protein-1 (Satb1) compared to Tcons from rejector mice. Satb1-deficiency in T cells did not result in cell-autonomous dysfunction of CD4+ Tcons but, instead, enhanced Tcons’ sensitivity to Treg suppression in vitro and in vivo. Notably, TCR75 cells recovered from tolerant mice displayed better susceptibility to Treg suppression when compared to memory TCR75 cells recovered from rejector mice. This demonstrates the existence of a transcriptional tuning rheostat for the susceptibility of Tcons to Treg suppression. Thus, targeting T cell-Satb1 may complement Treg therapies to improve allograft survival.

Results

To explore the functional consequences of the anti-CD154/DST tolerogenic regimen on alloreactive CD4+ Tcons, we used a tracer population of alloreactive TCR75 CD4+ Tcons expressing the congenic marker CD45.1 and seeded into C57BL/6 (B6, CD45.2, H-2b) mice just before transplantation with a BALB/c (B/c, H-2d) cardiac allograft. Hosts were then treated or not with anti-CD154 (26), or by infection (24) and were enriched in inhibitory molecules and phosphatases (Bda, Cd200, Cila4, Pilra, Pdcd11g2, Sirpa, Dusp1, Dusp14, and Ptpn11). Conversely, expression of effector function genes, such as chemokine/cytokine receptors and TCR signaling molecules (Ccrx5, Ccr2, Ccr5, Gds, Il18r1, Il12rb, Ifngr1, Akt, Camk4, Grap2, Trib2, and Tsk) were substantially lower in tolerant than memory TCR75 T cells (SI Appendix, Fig. 2 A and C and Table 1). Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) pointed to an enrichment in the T cell exhaustion pathway and reduced Tc1 activation and CD28 signaling pathways in tolerant cells (SI Appendix, Fig. 2 B and D). We further identified 7 genes encoding transcription factors that were differentially expressed in tolerant versus memory TCR75 T cells in a similar fashion in the periphery and allograft. Expression of genes encoding Otx1, Nkx2c, Rora, and Gata3 was up-regulated, while that of Gfi1, Runx3 and Satb1 was down-regulated in tolerant TCR75 T cells (Fig. 1 C and SI Appendix, Fig. 3 and Table 2). In contrast, tolerant and memory TCR75 cells expressed similar levels of Tox and Ifi4 (SI Appendix, Fig. 3). Thus, the transcriptional profile from tolerant TCR75 cells was distinct from that of dysfunctional CD8+ and CD4+ T cells identified in other settings.

It has been reported that tumor-reactive CD8+ T cells deficient in Satb1 lost effector function more rapidly than Satb1-sufficient T cells, thus linking reduced expression of Satb1 to loss of functionality (32) and drawing our attention to differences in Satb1 expression in CD4+ TCR75 cells from tolerant versus rejector mice. Indeed, Satb1 was down-regulated in TCR75 T cells from tolerant hosts at d35 whether isolated from the periphery or the graft (Fig. 1 C and SI Appendix, Fig. 3), suggesting this feature may be important for tolerance. Using TCR75 cells, we found that Satb1 protein was expressed constitutively (SI Appendix, Fig. 4 A and B) and was transiently up-regulated by day 3 postheart transplantation in splenic TCR75 cells from rejector mice but not mice treated with the tolerogenic regimen (Fig. 1 D). Satb1 protein levels were also rapidly lower in TCR75 T cells infiltrating tolerant versus rejecting allografts (Fig. 1 E). Lower expression of Satb1 in TCR75 cells from tolerant than rejector mice was not a consequence of antigen ignorance as the majority of TCR75 T cells from both sets of mice were CD44hi (SI Appendix, Fig. 5 A and B), demonstrating antigen experience. In vitro, Satb1 up-regulation in TCR75 T cells stimulated with allogeneic splenocytes was dependent on signal strength, which integrates TCR and costimulatory signals. Indeed, activation-mediated induction of Satb1 was curbed by addition of the calcineurin inhibitor tacrolimus, or of anti-CD154 (SI Appendix, Fig. 6 A and B), showing both NFAT and costimulation dependence for its induction. Overall, dysfunction in TCR75 cells from tolerant mice correlated with lower levels of Satb1 than in TCR75 cells from rejector mice, both at the mRNA and protein levels.

Lower expression of Satb1 in T cells from tolerant versus rejector mice was not unique to cells expressing the TCR75 transgene, as splenogenic endogenous polyclonal CD4+ T cells reactive to the same peptide from donor Kk presented by host I-Ak as TCR75 cells also displayed reduced Satb1 expression 30 d post-B/c heart transplantation in hosts treated with anti-CD154/DST...
when compared to memory counterparts (SI Appendix, Fig. 7 A and B). To determine if CD4+ T cells from other specificities and other transplant models regulated Satb1 in the same manner, we tracked ovalbumin (OVA)-reactive CD4+ OTII T cells. CD45.1+ OTII T cells were seeded into B6 mice one day before transplantation of 2W-OVA-expressing B6 skin grafts in hosts treated or not with anti-CD154 (SI Appendix, Fig. 7C). In this minor mismatched model, skin grafts were accepted long term in anti-CD154/DST-treated hosts (SI Appendix, Fig. 7D). At day 35 posttransplantation, expression of Satb1 was lower in splenic OTII cells from tolerant versus rejector mice (Fig. 7F). Moreover, splenic endogenous CD4+ T cells specific for donor 2W peptide presented by host I-Ab (gating strategy is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. 7E) also displayed reduced Satb1 when compared to their memory counterparts, despite overnight restimulation (SI Appendix, Fig. 7F), supporting the resilience of this differential expression. Together, these data suggested that down-regulation of Satb1 was reproducible over several indirect specificities of graft-reactive CD4+ T cells and over two distinct models of heart and skin transplantation tolerance.

Satb1 is a chromatin organizer, and in nontumoral cells, its expression is primarily restricted to lymphocytes (33). Although Satb1-deficiency dysregulates thymic T cell development (34) and blunts anti-tumor CD8 T cell immunity (32), whether reduced Satb1 leads to decreased functionality of CD4+ Tconv or affects their susceptibility to extrinsic suppression by Tregs is not known. Satb1 has been shown to directly bind the CD25 locus (34, 35). Hypothetically, reduced Satb1 expression in tolerant Tconv may result in their lower expression of CD25, thereby reducing their cell-intrinsic proliferation upon activation, and/or facilitating IL-2 scavenging by Tregs that express high levels of CD25, thus promoting cell-extrinsic Treg-dependent inhibition of Tconv proliferation. To address these hypotheses, we obtained CD4CreSatb1fl/fl with T cell-restricted deficiency in Satb1. CD4CreSatb1fl/fl mice had similar distribution of Vβ families in both CD4+ and CD8α+ splenic T cells as control littermates (SI Appendix, Fig. 8 A and B), suggesting an analogous polyclonal TCR repertoire and opportuneness for alloreactivity. Consistent with the reported role for Satb1 in thymic T cell development (34), CD4creSatb1fl/fl mice displayed a slightly increased percentage of peripheral CD4+CD8α+ T cells, and modestly reduced frequency of CD8α+ T cells (SI Appendix, Fig. 9 A–B). Thus, to analyze the function of comparable populations of Satb1-deficient and -competent CD4+ Tconv, we sorted naive (CD127hi CD44lo CD25low) CD4+ Tconv from CD4creSatb1fl/fl or Cre-negative control littermates. These cells were then stimulated for 72 h with soluble anti-CD3 in the presence of syngeneic splenocytes from Rag1−/− mice, prior to assessment of CD25 expression and IL-2 production. Compared to control CD4+ Tconv, Satb1−/− CD4+ Tconv displayed significantly reduced levels of CD25 and IL-2 (Fig. 2 A and B). Consistent with Satb1 repressing PD-1 expression (32), activated Satb1−/− Tconv expressed higher levels of PD-1 than control Tconv (SI Appendix, Fig. 10A), but blockade of PD1 only partially restored CD25 and IL-2 expression in activated Satb1−/− Tconv (SI Appendix, Fig. 10B). Thus, other pathways than PD-1/PDL1 also contribute to the reduced expression of CD25 by Satb1−/− Tconv.

To distinguish between the hypotheses that Satb1−/− CD4+ Tconv had a cell-intrinsic defect in proliferation or exhibited differential sensitivity to Treg suppression than Satb1-sufficient T cells, carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-labeled sorted naive (CD127hi CD44lo CD25− Nrp1−) CD4+ Satb1−/− and Cre-negative control littermate Tconv were
were stimulated with soluble anti-CD3 in the presence of B6 Rag\(^{−/−}\) splenocytes. (A) CD25 expression by flow cytometry on day 3. Number in histograms represents MFI. (B) IL-2 levels as measured by ELISA in culture supernatants on day 1. (C and D) Naive WT or Satb1\(^{−/−}\) CD4\(^{+}\) Tconvs were stimulated as in A at indicated ratios of Tregs:Tconvs. Data (mean ± SEM) are pools of multiple independent experiments (\(n = 5\) to 12 in A and B; \(n = 4\) in C). *\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.005\), ***\(P < 0.001\) (two-way ANOVA with pairwise comparisons).

stimulated with anti-CD3 and syngeneic Rag\(^{−/−}\) splenocytes in the presence or absence of sorted GFP\(^{+}\) Tregs from Foxp3\(^{GFP^{+}}\) transgenic mice (Satb1-sufficient). Whereas proliferation in the absence of Tregs was similar in Tconvs from Satb1-competent and -deficient littermates, addition of Foxp3\(^{GFP^{+}}\) Tregs was more effective at limiting expansion of Satb1\(^{−/−}\) than of control CD4\(^{+}\) Tconvs (Fig. 2 C and D). Thus, absence of Satb1 did not impart cell-intrinsic hyporesponsiveness to CD4\(^{+}\) T cells, but rather modulated their susceptibility to Treg suppression in vitro. Consistent with the hypothesis that CD25\(^{hi}\) Tregs outcompeted CD25\(^{lo}\) Satb1\(^{−/−}\) Tconvs for IL-2, whereas a very low dose of exogenous IL-2 maximized Treg suppression, increasing doses of IL-2 up-regulated CD25 on Tconvs (SI Appendix, Fig. 11A) and eliminated differences in susceptibility to Treg suppression between Satb1\(^{−/−}\) and control Tconvs, with Treg suppression being completely abrogated at the highest dose of IL-2 (SI Appendix, Fig. 11 A and B).

To investigate the impact of Satb1 deficiency in CD4\(^{+}\) Tconvs in vivo, we adoptively transferred sorted naïve (CD127\(^{hi}\) CD44\(^{lo}\) CD4\(^{+}\) Tconvs, as well as to prevent homeostatic expansion of the transferred T cells, and because they lack endogenous Tregs. Consistent with our in vitro observations that Satb1\(^{−/−}\) and control Tconvs proliferate similarly in the absence of Tregs, Satb1\(^{−/−}\) and control CD4\(^{+}\) Tconvs rejected the allografts with similar kinetics in the absence of Treg transfer (Fig. 3 B). In contrast, cotransfer of a limiting number of Tregs significantly prolonged allograft survival in recipients of Satb1\(^{−/−}\) but not control Tconvs (Fig. 3 C). Remarkably, cotransfer of Tregs along with a low dose of IL-2, a regimen shown to increase Treg fitness (36, 37) and that maximized Treg suppression in vitro (SI Appendix, Fig. 11 B), promoted allograft survival much more substantially in recipients of Satb1\(^{−/−}\) than of control Tconvs, with 50% of allografts surviving beyond day 50 posttransplantation, in the absence of immunosuppressive drugs (Fig. 3 C). Overall, lack of Satb1 increased CD4\(^{+}\) Tconv sensitivity to Treg suppression in vivo, resulting in prolonged skin allograft survival.

To determine whether TCR75 T cells from cardiac allograft tolerant mice, which displayed reduced expression of Satb1 when compared with their memory counterparts from rejected mice (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Fig. 3), had increased susceptibility to Treg suppression, TCR75 cells were sorted through their congenic marker from the spleen and lymph nodes of tolerant or rejector mice on day \(\geq 35\) posttransplantation, as in Fig. 1 A. Sorted TCR75 cells were labeled with CFSE, and subjected to Treg suppression in vitro as in Fig. 2 C and D and SI Appendix, Fig. 11 B. To overcome the proliferative defect observed in TCR75 cells from tolerant mice when compared to untreated animals that had rejected their grafts (23), we restimulated TCR75 cells with a higher dose of anti-CD3 (1 \(\mu\)g/mL), which resulted in similar proliferation of TCR75 cells from tolerant and rejected mice when in the absence of Tregs (Fig. 3 D). Notably, addition of Tregs at a 0.5:1 Treg:Tconv ratio significantly suppressed TCR75 cells from tolerant mice but not memory TCR75 cells from rejector mice (Fig. 3 E). This result supports the conclusion that TCR75 cells from tolerant mice are more
susceptible to Treg suppression than memory TCR75 cells from rejector mice, consistent with their lower expression of Satb1 and in contrast to the ability of memory T cells to resist Treg suppression (38).

**Discussion**

There is accumulating evidence that certain inflammatory signals can enable Tconvs to escape suppression by Tregs (39). Conversely, using a mouse model of cardiac transplantation, we demonstrate that alloreactive TCR75 Tconvs from tolerant mice display heightened susceptibility to Treg suppression when compared with memory Tconvs from rejector mice, correlating with down-regulation of the transcription factor Satb1. This was consistent with Satb1-deficient Tconvs having enhanced susceptibility to Treg suppression in vitro and in vivo, and cell-intrinsic changes, such as reduced CD25 expression, potentially enabling CD25 expression to scavenge IL-2 away from Tconvs more consistently with down-regulation of the transcription factor Satb1. This was consistent with Satb1-deficient Tconvs having enhanced susceptibility to Treg suppression in vitro and in vivo, and cell-intrinsic changes, such as reduced CD25 expression, potentially enabling CD25 expression to scavenge IL-2 away from Tconvs more effectively. Addition of low-dose IL-2 further increased susceptibility of Satb1−/− T cells, but not control Tconvs, to Treg suppression in vitro as well as in vivo, resulting in spontaneous long-term acceptance of very immunogenic fully mismatched skin allografts in the absence of a tolerogenic regimen. Thus, responsiveness of Tconvs to Treg suppression can be tuned and is associated with transplant survival.

T cell dysfunction can either be cell-intrinsic such as the hyporesponsiveness described in states of T cell exhaustion or cell-extrinsic imparted by Tregs or other inhibitory cell types. Whereas exhaustion refers to T cells that are productively activated initially but remained chronically stimulated because of lack of antigen clearance, anergy refers to T cells receiving improper initial activation such as upon antigen encounter in the absence of costimulation. Alloreactive CD4+ T cells from tolerant mice in our model combine both features, as they initially encounter alloantigen during blockade of CD40/CD154 costimulation but also receive long-term alloantigen stimulation in the form of a persisting allograft. Both long-term alloantigen exposure and anti-CD154 treatment are necessary for their acquisition of a dysfunctional phenotype (23). The transcription factors promoting T cell dysfunction are incompletely understood. In CD8+ T cells, TOX has been suggested by several investigators to drive the program of cell-intrinsic T cell exhaustion (28–31). Similarly, following murine pregnancy, fetus-reactive CD8+ T cells were recently described to express a transcriptional profile resembling that of exhausted T cells in tumor and chronic infection settings, including up-regulation of TOX (40). Interestingly, fetus-reactive CD8+ T cells expressed reduced levels of Satb1, similarly to our alloreactive CD4+ T cells from tolerant mice, although their susceptibility to Treg suppression was not tested (40). The transcriptional profile of exhausted CD4+ T cells is distinct from that of CD8+ T cells and depends on dose and duration of antigen exposure (24, 41). Our results suggest that tolerant alloreactive T cells develop cell-extrinsic dysfunction by remaining sensitive to Treg suppression, unlike their memory counterparts from mice that have rejected their allograft. Whether part of their transcriptional program also drives features of cell-intrinsic dysfunction remains to be investigated but it does not include significant up-regulation of Tax.

The mechanisms by which Tregs mediate their suppressive functions still remain incompletely understood and a better understanding is necessary to harness their full potential to treat autoimmunity, graft-versus-host disease following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, or to promote solid organ transplant tolerance (42, 43). Such mechanisms need to intersect with susceptibility of effector Tconvs to suppression by Tregs. Indeed, Tconvs have been reported to develop resistance to Treg suppression in several autoimmune diseases, both in mice and humans (39), which might be a contributing factor to the development of autoimmunity, or a consequence of autoimmune-accompanying inflammation. Strong costimulation via CD28,
signals from tumor necrosis factor receptor family members. Toll-like receptors and inflammatory cytokines are pathways by which Tconv can resist Treg suppression (42, 44, 45) and which converge onto the PI3K signaling pathway (45). Intriguingly, the PI3K/Akt pathway has been shown to phosphorylate Satb1 and protect it from apoptotic cleavage in a tumor cell line (46). This suggested link between T cell activation and Satb1 expression is consistent with our observation that tacrolimus and costimulation blockade inhibit Satb1 up-regulation following alloreactive T cell activation.

The role of IL-2 scavenging for Tconv suppression remains controversial (42). A recent study using high resolution imaging of lymph nodes in vivo was able to visualize local production of IL-2 by activated T cells enabling adjacent Treg proliferation and suppressor function in microdomains that counteracted CD28 costimulation and IL-2 signaling in Tconv (47). Thus, levels of IL-2 and responsiveness to IL-2 appear crucial for the dynamic interactions between Tconv and Tregs such that lower expression of Satb1 in tolerant CD4\(^+\) T cells and therefore Satb1-controlled CD25 may tip the balance toward tolerance by maximizing the IL-2 scavenging potential of CD25\(^\text{hi}\) Tregs away from CD25\(^{\text{lo}}\) Tconv.

TCR75, pK\(^-\)I-A\(^{\alpha}\)-reactive, OTII and 2W-reactive CD4\(^{+}\) T cells all recognize graft antigens indirectly presented on host major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II. Whether Tconv that recognize donor MHC class II directly can also develop increased susceptibility to Treg suppression in tolerant hosts remains to be determined. Whereas donor APCs that accompany the graft die rapidly after transplantation (48), they may, before their death, shed exosomes containing intact donor-MHC class II that could perpetuate semidirect stimulation of direct alloreactive CD4\(^{+}\) Tconv by exosome-decorated host APCs. Whether this form of activation could induce/maintain increased susceptibility of direct Tconv to Treg suppression in tolerant hosts remains to be investigated.

Our data carry potential implications outside of transplantation settings. For example, exhausted T cells in human ovarian cancer and in a mouse model of LCMV infection were reported to express lower levels of Satb1 (24, 32) and may therefore be more susceptible to Treg suppression, creating an additional negative selection pressure in tolerant hosts. Whether this form of activation could induce/maintain increased susceptibility of direct Tconv to Treg suppression in tolerant hosts remains to be investigated.

To calculate the number of naïve TCR75 T cells for adoptive transfer, 1 × 10\(^5\) spleen cells were stained for CD45.1, CD4, V\(^\beta\)8.3, and CD44 and the percentage of CD4\(^{+}\)CD45.1CD4\(^{+}\)V\(^\beta\)8.3\(^{+}\) TCR75 T cells was estimated. B6 mice subsequently received B6 hearts on day 0 and were either left untreated, or the tolerance protocol was initiated. For experiments involving skin graft tolerance, 10\(^5\) naïve OTII T cells were used for seeding, and tolerance was induced to 2W-OVA-B6 skin grafts as described. In a different set of experiments, P14Rag\(^{+}\) mice were transferred on day −1 with 4.5 × 10\(^5\) sorted naïve (CD127\(^{\text{hi}}\) CD44\(^{\text{lo}}\) CD25\(^{\text{lo}}\) Nrp1\(^-\)) littermate control or Satb1\(^{−/−}\) Tregs alone or with 2.25 × 10\(^5\) sorted GFP\(^+\) Tregs from Foxp3-GFP\(^+\) mice followed by transplantation of B6 skin allografts on day 0. All adoptive T cell transfers were done via retro-orbital intravenous route.

Materials and Methods

**Mice.** C57BL/6 (B6) and BALB/c (B/c) mice were purchased from Envigo, RMS, Inc. OTI1, 129/J and CD4\(^{+}\) congenic C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Rag\(^{−/−}\) and P14Rag\(^{−/−}\) mice were obtained from Taconic Biosciences. P14 (V\(^\beta\)8.1\(^+/8.2\)) CD8\(^{+}\) T cells are specific for an LCMV viral peptide presented by H2-D\(^\text{P}\) on B6 APCs. TCR75 CD4\(^{+}\) TCR-transgenic mice, a gift from R. Pat Bucy, were bred with B6 and Rag\(^{−/−}\) CD45.1\(^+\) mice on a B6 background. TCR75 (V\(^\beta\)8.3\(^+\)) CD4\(^{+}\) T cells recognize the B/c derived K\(_{54,68}\) allopeptide presented by I\(^{\text{a}}\) on B6 APCs. CD4-Cre Satb1\(^{−/−}\) mice were provided by Jose Conejo-Garcia and Foxp3-GFP mice were obtained from Alexander Rudensky. OTII (V\(^\beta\)2/V\(^\beta\)5) CD4\(^{+}\) T cells are specific for OVA\(_{223-239}\). OTII mice were bred with B6 and Rag\(^{−/−}\) CD45.1\(^+\) mice on a B6 background. 2W-OVA (membrane-bound OVA) mice on a B6 background, were previously described (51). B6 and B/c mice were crossed once to generate CB6F1 hybrids. Antigen-presenting cells (APCs) from CB6F1 mice are H\(^2\)/K\(^\beta\)\(^\text{hi}\) and can directly present antigen to TCR75 T cells. Mice at 2 to 5 mo of age were used in the study and were housed in specific pathogen-free conditions. Animals were used in accordance with the University of Chicago's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, according to the NIH guidelines for animal use.

**Organ Transplantation and Tolerance Induction.** A mouse model of heterotopic heart transplantation was used as previously described (19). The day of heart allotransplant rejection was defined as the day of complete cessation of heart beat. For induction of tolerance, heart recipients received an intravenous injection of splenocytes from 1/4 of donor spleen on day 0 and were treated with 600 \(\mu\)g of anti-CD154 (MR1) blocking mAb (Bio-X-cell) on days 0 (intravenous), 7 (intraperitoneal), and 14 (intraperitoneal) postheart transplantation. Skin transplantation was performed following previously described methods (52). B/c or 2W-OVA-B6 tail skin allografts were transplanted on the flank of B6 recipients. Rejection of skin allografts was defined as greater than 80% necrosis. For some experiments, memory against donor B/c alloantigens was generated via an intraperitoneal injection of B/c DST.

**Adoptive T Cell Transfers.** A total of 10\(^5\) naïve TCR75 T cells were injected intravenously on day −1 into B6 mice. To calculate the number of naïve TCR75 T cells for adoptive transfer, 1 × 10\(^5\) spleen cells were stained for CD45.1, CD4, V\(^\beta\)8.3, and CD44 and the percentage of CD4\(^{+}\)CD45.1CD4\(^{+}\)V\(^\beta\)8.3\(^{+}\) TCR75 T cells was estimated. B6 mice subsequently received B6 hearts on day 0 and were either left untreated, or the tolerance protocol was initiated. For experiments involving skin graft tolerance, 10\(^5\) naïve OTII T cells were used for seeding, and tolerance was induced to 2W-OVA-B6 skin grafts as described. In a different set of experiments, P14Rag\(^{−/−}\) mice were transferred on day −1 with 4.5 × 10\(^5\) sorted naïve (CD127\(^{\text{hi}}\) CD44\(^{\text{lo}}\) CD25\(^{\text{lo}}\) Nrp1\(^-\)) littermate control or Satb1\(^{−/−}\) Tregs alone or with 2.25 × 10\(^5\) sorted GFP\(^+\) Tregs from Foxp3-GFP\(^+\) mice followed by transplantation of B6 skin allografts on day 0. All adoptive T cell transfers were done via retro-orbital intravenous route.

**Tissue Preparation.** Spleens were mechanically dissociated. Cell suspensions were red blood cell (RBC) lysed before downstream processing. Heart tissues were first perfused with heparin (Sigma)-containing Hank's solution (HBSS) (1:1,000) using an 18-gauge needle followed by rinsing with heparin-containing HBSS in a Petri dish to eliminate contaminating blood. Hearts were then digested with 400 U/mL collagenase IV (Sigma) and can directly present antigen to TCR75 T cells. Mice at 2 to 5 mo of age were used in the study and were housed in specific pathogen-free conditions. Animals were used in accordance with the University of Chicago's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, according to the NIH guidelines for animal use.

Cell Enrichment and Sorting.** Spleenic CD4\(^{+}\) T cells were purified and subsequently sorted for naïve (CD127\(^{\text{hi}}\) CD44\(^{\text{lo}}\) CD25\(^{\text{lo}}\)Nrp1\(^-\)) CD4\(^{+}\) Tconv from wildtype (WT) or CD4-Cre Satb1\(^{−/−}\) mice or sorted for GFP\(^+\) Tregs from Foxp3-GFP (Satb1-competent) mice. In some assays, CD4\(^{+}\) T cells from spleens of TCR75 mice were enriched and sorted for naïve (CD44\(^{\text{lo}}\) CD25\(^{\text{hi}}\) CD4\(^{+}\) T cells. Around 70 to 90% of naïve CD4\(^{+}\) T cells from TCR75 mice are V\(^\beta\)8.3\(^{+}\) that can recognize a K\(^{\beta}\) allopeptide. The naïve T cells were then used in cell culture assays. In some experiments, spleen, lymph nodes (LN) and heart allografts were harvested at day 3 ± 1, day 12 ± 2 or day ≥35 post heart-transplantation. TCR75 cells were enriched using biotin-labeled αCD45.1 (A20, ebioscience) and streptavidin magnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). Enriched TCR75 cells were either analyzed by flow cytometry or sorted for CD4\(^{+}\)V\(^\beta\)8.3\(^{+}\) for RNA sequencing. OTII T cells were similarly enriched and sorted day ≥35 post skin-transplantation. In other assays, where TCR75Rag\(^{−/−}\) mice were the source of cells, enriched TCR75 T cells were sorted only for CD4\(^{+}\) and used for in vitro cultures. CD4\(^{+}\) T cells were purified using a commercial kit (Miltenyi Biotec). Cell sorting was performed on FACSARia II, FACSAria III, or FACSARia Fusion (BD Biosciences).
RNA Sequencing. A minimum of 4 × 10^5 TCR75 (CD45.1^+^CD4^+^Vp8^+^) T cells were sorted from spleen and heart allograft of tolerant or rejecting mice at day ≥35 posttransplantation for RNA-seq. Briefly, total RNA was extracted (RNeasy mini and micro kit, Qiagen) and used to generate full-length cDNA and a sequencing library using the SMARTseq2 method (53). Sequencing was conducted on HiSeq, 4000 (Illumina), in a single end 50-bp read length run. The raw sequences were aligned to mouse reference genome GRCm38 using STAR (version 2.5.3a) (54). Aligned sequences were quantified (featureCounts) (55) followed by normalization with the trimmed mean of m-values method (56–59). Differential gene expression (adj P < 0.05) was calculated using quasi-likelihood F-test in edgeR (51–53). Normalized log2 transformed expression values in counts per million (CPM) were used to generate a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot. The CPM values were scaled for heat maps visualization. PCA plot and heat maps were generated in R (version 3.4.1). The pathway analysis on differentially expressed genes was performed in IPA software between May–June 2020 (version 52912811, QIAGEN). Mouse transcription factors were extracted from the Riken database (genome.gsc.riken.jp/Fdb/hhtdocs/ft_list.html).

Cell Culture. Sorted naive control, Satb1^−/−^ Tconvs and TCR75 T cells were labeled with 5 µM (Invitrogen) prior to stimulation. For stimulation, naive Tconvs were activated with 0.1 or 1 µg/mL of soluble anti-CD3ε mAb (145–2C11; Bio-X-cell) in presence of RBC-lysed splenocytes from Rag−/− mice at 1:1 (T cell:Rag−/− splenocyte) ratio. For activation of TCR75 T cells, either 0.1 or 1 µg/mL of soluble anti-CD3ε was used. In some experiments, Tconvs were activated with 5 µg/mL of soluble anti-CD3ε and cultured with a titration of Tacrolimus (Prograf, Astellas) 0 to 100,000 ng/mL for 48 h. In T reg suppression assays, graded numbers of sorted GFP^+ Tregs from Foxp3-GFP mice were added to the cultures, in the presence or absence of a dose titration of exogenous human IL-2 (teceluken, Teclin). In CFSE dilution assays, either all proliferating cells (if overall proliferation was <50%) or the cells in division >2 (if overall proliferation was >50%) were included in the analysis for significance. In some experiments, sorted naive CD4^+ T cells from TCR75 mice were cocultured with splenocytes from CB6F1 mice and 50 µg/mL anti-CD154 (MR1) was added to the cultures. Prior to use, CB6F1 splenocytes were T cell-depleted using anti-CD90.2 (30-H12, BioLegend) and rabbit complement (Pel-Freeze Biologicals) as described previously (60) and then stimulated overnight with lipopolysaccharide (10 µg/mL, Sigma). Cultured CD4^+ T cells were analyzed by flow cytometry at day 3. Culture supernatants were tested for IL-2 by ELISA (BioLegend) at day 1.

Flow Cytometry. Cells were stained with a fixable live/dead aqua stain (Invitro-gen) and with fluorochrome conjugated antibodies to Thy1.2 (53-2.1, CD4^+^), CD8α (53.5, CD8^+^), CD3 (clone 145-2C11, BD), CD45.1 (clone 104.18, BioLegend), CD45.2 (clone R5C5, BioLegend), and CD69 (clone 4H3, BD) at 1:100 dilution (545). Normalized log2 transformed expression values in count per million (CPM) were used to generate a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plot. The CPM values were scaled for heat maps visualization. PCA plot and heat maps were generated in R (version 3.4.1). The pathway analysis on differentially expressed genes was performed in IPA software between May–June 2020 (version 52912811, QIAGEN). Mouse transcription factors were extracted from the Riken database (genome.gsc.riken.jp/Fdb/hhtdocs/ft_list.html).
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