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Abstract. We prove that a compact nilmanifold admits a Sasakian structure if and only if it is a compact quotient of the generalized Heisenberg group of odd dimension by a co-compact discrete subgroup.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the existence of a Kähler structure on a compact manifold \( M \) of even dimension implies strong topological consequences on \( M \). In particular, any compact Kähler manifold satisfies the Hard Lefschetz property and is formal (see, for instance, [15, 31]).

Using the second of these properties, a nice result which completely characterizes Kähler structures on compact nilmanifolds was found in [20]. The same result was obtained independently in [6] using the Hard Lefschetz property. Namely,

A compact nilmanifold of even dimension admits a Kähler structure if and only it is diffeomorphic to a torus.

On the other hand, it is well known that the odd dimensional counterparts of Kähler manifolds are co-Kähler and Sasakian manifolds (see [7, 9]). In some references (see [7, 14]), it is used the terminology cosymplectic manifolds for co-Kähler manifolds. However, in this note, we will use the last terminology which makes clear the close relation with Kähler manifolds. In fact, the term co-Kähler was used recently by Li [23] (see also [4, 5, 12]). We remark that apart from the mathematical interest, co-Kähler and Sasakian manifolds are Poisson and contact manifolds, respectively, and that these last manifolds play an important role in some physical theories, particularly in time-dependent Mechanics (see [1, 3, 10, 21, 22]). Moreover, Sasakian manifolds have recently attracted the interest of theoretical physicists, due to their role in the AdS/CFT duality that establishes a remarkable correspondence between gravity theories and gauge theories (see e.g. [17, 18, 25, 26, 27]). In addition, some interesting results on universal models for embeddings of compact Sasakian manifolds and on the global structure of these manifolds have been obtained recently (see [28, 29]; see also [8]).

Any compact co-Kähler manifold is formal [14]. So, using that a formal compact nilmanifold is diffeomorphic to a torus [20], we directly deduce that
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A compact nilmanifold of odd dimension admits a co-Kähler structure if and only if it is diffeomorphic to a torus.

This result is just the version for co-Kähler manifolds of the previous property for Kähler manifolds.

So, a natural question arise: what happens in the Sasakian setting with these results?

The aim of this paper is to give a complete answer to the previous question.

We remark that, very recently, a Hard Lefschetz theorem for Sasakian manifolds has been proved in [11]. However, so far, it is not clear if this result could be used in order to describe the compact Sasakian nilmanifolds.

On the other hand, differently from the Kähler case, compact Sasakian manifolds are not generally formal. Anyway, some interesting results have been obtained very recently in this direction [30]. The geometric tool used in [30] is the basic cohomology with respect to the foliation on the compact Sasakian manifold \( M \) which is generated by its Reeb vector field. In fact, in [30] the author proved that the real homotopy type of a compact Sasakian manifold is a formal consequence of its basic cohomology and, in addition, its basic Kähler class.

Using this fact and some results in [20] on minimal models of compact nilmanifolds, we give an answer to the previous question. More precisely, we prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.1.** A compact nilmanifold of dimension \( 2m + 1 \) admits a Sasakian structure if and only if it is a compact quotient of the generalized Heisenberg group \( H(1, m) \) by a co-compact discrete subgroup \( \Gamma \).

This is the main result of the paper.

We remark that the generalized Heisenberg group \( H(1, m) \) may be described as the group of real matrices of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & Q & t \\
0 & I_m & P \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( Q = (q^1, \ldots, q^m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \), \( P^t = (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). Its Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{h}(1, m) \) is isomorphic to a central extension of the abelian Lie algebra of dimension \( 2m \) by a non-degenerate 2-cocycle on it. Thus, one may choose a basis of \( \mathfrak{h}(1, m) \) in such a way that the corresponding structure constants are rational numbers and, therefore, using a result in [24], we conclude that \( H(1, m) \) admits co-compact discrete subgroups (note that if \( \Gamma(1, m) \) is the subgroup of matrices of \( H(1, m) \) with integer entries then \( \Gamma(1, m) \) is a co-compact discrete subgroup).

On the other hand, our Theorem 1.1 extends some existing results in the literature. In particular, as a corollary of Theorem 3.9 in [2], one may deduce that a compact nilmanifold \( G/\Gamma \) of dimension \( 2m + 1 \) admits a Sasakian structure induced by a left-invariant Sasakian structure on \( G \) if and only \( G \) is isomorphic to \( H(1, m) \). We remark that Theorem 1.1 takes care of the non-left-invariant Sasakian structures on nilmanifolds.

As we noted above, we do not know if the Hard Lefschetz theorem for Sasakian manifolds can be used for the proof of Theorem 1.1. In fact, it is an open problem if there exist non-Heisenberg (and thus non-Sasakian) contact nilmanifolds that satisfy the Hard Lefschetz property (see [11]).
The paper is structured as follows. In Sections 2 and 3, we review some definitions and results on minimal models, compact nilmanifolds and Sasakian manifolds. Finally, in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Minimal models of nilmanifolds

In this section we summarize some definitions and results about Sullivan models of manifolds.

A (real) commutative differential graded algebra \((A, d)\) (CDGA for short) is a graded algebra \(A = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A_k\) over \(\mathbb{R}\) such that for all \(x \in A_k\) and \(y \in A_l\) we have
\[
xy = (-1)^{kl}yx,
\]
together with a differential \(d\) of degree one, such that \(d(xy) = d(x)y + (-1)^kxd(y)\) and \(d^2 = 0\). An example of commutative differential graded algebra is given by the de Rham complex \((\Omega^*(M), d)\) of differential forms on a smooth manifold \(M\), with the multiplication given by the wedge product.

A morphism of CDGAs is a morphism of algebras which preserves the degree and commutes with the differentials. For every CDGA \((A, d)\) the cohomology algebra \(H^*(A)\) can be considered as a CDGA with the zero differential. Let \(f : (A, d) \rightarrow (B, d)\) be a morphism of CDGAs. For every \(k \geq 0\), the map \(f\) induces a morphism between the \(k\)-th cohomologies
\[
H^k(f) : H^k(A) \rightarrow H^k(B).
\]
If all the morphisms \(H^k(f)\) are isomorphisms then \(f\) is called a quasi-isomorphism of CDGAs.

A CDGA \((A, d)\) is said to be directly quasi-isomorphic to a CDGA \((B, d)\) if there is a quasi-isomorphism of CDGAs \(f : (A, d) \rightarrow (B, d)\). Two CDGAs \((A, d)\) and \((B, d)\) are quasi-isomorphic if there is a chain of CDGAs \(A = C_0, C_1, \ldots, C_r = B\), such that either \(C_j\) is directly quasi-isomorphic to \(C_{j+1}\) or \(C_{j+1}\) is directly quasi-isomorphic to \(C_j\).

We say that a CDGAs \((A, d)\) is connected if \(H^0(A) = \mathbb{R}\). The reader can find the definition of the minimal (Sullivan) algebra in [16]. We will use the following facts on them. In every quasi-isomorphism class of connected CDGAs there is a unique (up to isomorphism) minimal algebra \((A, d)\). Moreover, for every CDGA in the class, there exists a quasi-isomorphism of CDGAs
\[
f : (A, d) \rightarrow (A, d).
\]
The minimal algebra in the class of CDGAs quasi-isomorphic to the given connected CDGA \((A, d)\) is called the minimal model of \((A, d)\).

We say that a CDGA \((A, d)\) is a model for a manifold \(M\) if \((A, d)\) is quasi-isomorphic to \(\Omega^*(M), d\). The minimal model of \((\Omega^*(M), d)\) will be also called the minimal model of \(M\).

A nilmanifold is a compact homogeneous space of a nilpotent Lie group. Malcev [24] proved that any nilmanifold can be written as \(G/\Gamma\), where \(G\) is a simply-connected nilpotent Lie group and \(\Gamma\) is a co-compact discrete subgroup.

We recall the following theorem of Hasegawa.

**Theorem 2.1** ([20]). *The minimal model for a compact nilmanifold \(G/\Gamma\) is given by \((\wedge^* g^*, d)\), where \(g^*\) is the dual space of the Lie algebra \(g\) of the Lie group \(G\) and \(d\) is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential.*
Suppose \( \dim \mathfrak{g} = 2m + 1 \), with \( m \geq 1 \), and let \( k - 1 \leq 2m + 1 \) be the dimension of the first Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology group \( H^1(\wedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*) \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \). It is known that one can choose a basis \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2m+1}\} \) of \( \mathfrak{g}^* \) such that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1} \) are closed, \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}\} \) is a basis of \( H^1(\wedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*) \), and

\[
(2.1) \quad d\alpha_l = - \sum_{i<j<l} \gamma^{ij}_l \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq l \leq 2m + 1, \quad \text{with } \gamma^{ij}_l = 0, \quad \text{for } l < k.
\]

3. SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Let \( M \) be a smooth manifold of dimension \( 2m + 1 \). A 1-form \( \eta \) on \( M \) is called a contact form if \( \eta \wedge (d\eta)^m \) nowhere vanishes. Then the pair \((M, \eta)\) is called a (strict) contact manifold. We write \( \Phi \) for \( \frac{1}{2}d\eta \) and we denote by \( \xi \) the Reeb vector field, that is the unique vector field on \( M \) such that \( i_\xi \eta = 1 \) and \( i_\xi d\eta = 0 \).

Let \((M, \eta)\) be a contact manifold and \( g \) a Riemannian metric on \( M \). We define the endomorphism \( \phi : TM \to TM \) by \( \Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y) \).

Then \((M, \eta, g)\) is called a Sasakian manifold if the following conditions hold.

(i) \( \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi \), where \( I \) is the identity operator;
(ii) \( g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \) for any vector fields \( X \) and \( Y \) on \( M \);
(iii) the normality condition is satisfied, namely

\[
[\phi, \phi]_{FN} + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0,
\]

where \([\cdot, \cdot]_{FN}\) is the Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket.

For a Sasakian manifold \((M, \eta, g)\), we will denote by \( H^*_B(M) \) the basic cohomology of \( M \) with respect to the foliation of dimension 1 on \( M \) which is generated by the Reeb vector field. It is clear that \( d\eta \) is a basic 2-form on \( M \). Moreover, we will use the following result.

Lemma 3.1. Let \( M \) be a compact Sasakian manifold of dimension \( 2m + 1 \) with contact form \( \eta \). Then \((d\eta)^m = d\eta \wedge \ldots \wedge d\eta \) induces a non-zero element of the basic cohomology group \( H^2_B(M) \). Thus, \((d\eta)^l \) induces a non-zero element of \( H^2_B(M) \), for \( 1 \leq l \leq m \).

Proof. Since \( d\eta \) is a basic form the same is true for all powers \((d\eta)^l \). To prove the lemma it is enough to show that \([(d\eta)^m]_B \neq 0 \). Suppose that there exists a basic \((2m - 1)\)-form \( \Omega \) on \( M \) such that

\[
(d\eta)^m = d\Omega.
\]

Then

\[
-d(\eta \wedge \Omega) = -d\eta \wedge \Omega + \eta \wedge d\Omega = \eta \wedge (d\eta)^m - d\eta \wedge \Omega.
\]

Now, \( d\eta \wedge \Omega \) is a \((2m + 1)\)-form on \( M \) and

\[
i_\xi(d\eta \wedge \Omega) = 0.
\]

This implies that \( d\eta \wedge \Omega = 0 \) and

\[
\eta \wedge (d\eta)^m = -d(\eta \wedge \Omega).
\]

Therefore, using Stoke’s theorem

\[
\int_M \eta \wedge (d\eta)^m = -\int_M d(\eta \wedge \Omega) = 0,
\]

which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

For further details on Sasakian manifolds we refer the reader to [7] or [9].
4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $H(1, m)$ be the generalized Heisenberg group of dimension $2m + 1$. It is well known that $H(1, m)$ admits a left-invariant Sasakian structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ (see, for instance, [13]). So, if $\Gamma$ is a co-compact discrete subgroup then $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ induces a Sasakian structure on the compact nilmanifold $H(1, m)/\Gamma$.

Conversely, let $(M, \eta)$ be a contact manifold that admits a compatible Sasakian metric. Tievsky [30] proved that $M$ has the model

\[(T^*(M), d),\]

where

\[T^*(M) := H_B^*(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}[y]/(y^2)\]

and we set $\deg(y) = 1$. The component of degree $p$ of Tievsky CDGA is given by

\[(H_B^p(M) \otimes \mathbb{R}[y]/(y^2))_p \cong H_{B}^p(M) \oplus H_{B}^{p-1}(M)y.\]

The differential $d$ is defined by

\[(4.2) \quad d([\alpha]_B + [\beta]_By) := [\beta \wedge d\eta]_B \in H_B^{p+1}(M),\]

where $\alpha$ is a basic closed $p$-form and $\beta$ is a basic closed $(p - 1)$-form.

Now, let $(N, \eta)$ be a compact Sasakian nilmanifold of dimension $n = 2m + 1$, i.e. $N = G/\Gamma$ is a compact nilmanifold with a contact structure $\eta$ which admits a compatible Sasakian metric.

We will show that the Lie algebra $g$ of $G$ is isomorphic to the Heisenberg Lie algebra $h(1, m)$.

We have two models for $N$: the Tievsky model (4.1) and the minimal model stated in Theorem 2.1. Therefore there exists a quasi-isomorphism of CDGAs

\[(4.3) \quad f : (\wedge^* g^*, d) \longrightarrow (H_B^*(N) \otimes \mathbb{R}[y]/(y^2), d).\]

Note that

\[(H_B^*(N) \otimes \mathbb{R}[y]/(y^2))_1 = H_B^1(N) \oplus \mathbb{R}y.\]

Define $k = \dim H^1(\wedge^* g^*) + 1$. Let us choose a basis $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{2m+1}\}$ of $g^*$ such that $\{[\alpha_1], \ldots, [\alpha_{k-1}]\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\wedge^* g^*)$ and (2.1) holds. We have for every $1 \leq i \leq 2m + 1$

\[(4.4) \quad f(\alpha_i) = \beta_i + a_iy,\]

for some $\beta_i \in H_B^1(N)$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

From (2.1), for every $1 \leq i \leq 2m + 1$ we get

\[(4.5) \quad f(d\alpha_i) = - \sum_{r<s<i} \gamma_{rs}^i f(\alpha_r) \cup f(\alpha_s),\]

where we denoted by $\cup$ the product in the Tievsky CDGA (4.1). On the other hand, due to the definition (4.2) of the Tievsky differential we obtain from (4.4)

\[(4.6) \quad df(\alpha_i) = a_i[d\eta]_B.\]

Since $f$ is a morphism of CDGAs, we have $f(d\alpha_i) = df(\alpha_i)$. Hence, from (4.5) and (4.6) it follows that

\[(4.7) \quad - \sum_{r<s<i} \gamma_{rs}^i f(\alpha_r) \cup f(\alpha_s) = f(d\alpha_i) = a_i[d\eta]_B \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2m + 1.\]
Since, for $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$, we have $d\alpha_i = 0$, we get $a_i [d\eta]_B = 0$. By Lemma 3.1 $[d\eta]_B \neq 0$, which immediately implies that $a_i = 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$. Therefore we have

\[(4.8) \quad f(\alpha_i) = \beta_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k - 1.\]

**Lemma 4.1.** The set $\{\beta_1 = f(\alpha_1), \ldots, \beta_{k-1} = f(\alpha_{k-1})\}$ is a basis of $H^1_B(N)$.

**Proof.** As first step we prove that

\[H^1(T^*(N)) = H^1_B(N).\]

Indeed, recall that $N = G/\Gamma$ and

\[d_0 : T^0(N) = H^0(N) \to T^1(N)\]

is identically zero by (4.2). Therefore, from Lemma 3.1, we deduce that

\[H^1(T^*(N)) = \ker d_1 = \{\beta + ay \mid \beta \in H^1_B(N), a \in \mathbb{R}, d(\beta + ay) = 0\} = H^1_B(N).\]

Now, note that

\[H^1(f) : H^1(\wedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*) \to H^1(T^*(N))\]

is an isomorphism by assumption. Since $\{\alpha_1 = [\alpha_1], \ldots, \alpha_{k-1} = [\alpha_{k-1}]\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\wedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*)$, we get that $\{\beta_1 = f(\alpha_1), \ldots, \beta_{k-1} = f(\alpha_{k-1})\}$ is a basis of $H^1_B(N)$. \(\square\)

Thus for $i \geq k$ we have

\[(4.9) \quad \beta_i = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} s_{ir} \beta_r \quad \text{for some } s_{ir} \in \mathbb{R}.\]

Define

\[\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k - 1,\]

\[\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} s_{ir} \alpha_r = \alpha_i - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} s_{ir} \tilde{\alpha}_r \quad \text{for } i \geq k.\]

Then $\{\tilde{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1}\}$ is a new basis of $\mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\{[\tilde{\alpha}_1], \ldots, [\tilde{\alpha}_{k-1}]\}$ is a basis of $H^1(\wedge^* \mathfrak{g}^*)$, and for $l \geq k$ we have

\[d\tilde{\alpha}_l = d\alpha_l - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} s_{ir} d\alpha_r = - \sum_{r<s<l} \gamma^s_l \wedge \alpha_s \wedge \alpha_r \quad \text{for some new real numbers } \gamma^s_l.\]

Moreover, we get

\[(4.10) \quad f(\tilde{\alpha}_i) = a_i y \quad \text{for every } i \geq k.\]

Indeed, due to (4.4), (4.8) and (4.9), we have

\[f(\tilde{\alpha}_i) = f(\alpha_i) - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} s_{ir} f(\alpha_r) = \beta_i + a_i y - \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} s_{ir} \beta_r = a_i y.\]
Now, we will prove that $a_i = 0$ for every $i \leq 2m$. Suppose this is not true. Then there is $l \leq 2m$ such that $a_l \neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$(a_l [d\eta]_B)^m = a_l^m [d\eta]^m_B \neq 0.$$ 

But from (4.7) we get that

$$(a_l [d\eta]_B)^m = \left( - \sum_{r<s<l} \gamma_{rs}^l f(\alpha_r) \cup f(\alpha_s) \right)^m = 0,$$

since $l \leq 2m$ and thus in every product

$$f(\alpha_i) \cup f(\alpha_j) \cup \ldots \cup f(\alpha_{l_m}) \cup f(\alpha_{j_m}) = f(\alpha_{i_1} \land \alpha_{j_1} \land \ldots \land \alpha_{l_m} \land \alpha_{j_m})$$

with $i_1 < j_1 < l, \ldots, i_m < j_m < l$ at least one index appears twice. Thus we have a contradiction. Therefore

$$(4.12) \quad a_i = 0 \quad \text{for every } i \leq 2m.$$

Now, we will prove that $k = 2m + 1$. Since $k = \dim H^1(\land^* g^*) + 1$, we have $k \leq 2m + 2$. By Nomizu theorem, $H^1(\land^* g^*) \cong H^1(N)$. Therefore $k - 1 = \dim H^1(N) = b_1(N)$. Since $N$ is Sasakian, $b_1(N)$ is even. Hence, we cannot have $k = 2m + 2$, and thus $k = 2m + 1$.

Now, suppose that $k \leq 2m$. Then, from (4.10) and (4.12) we get

$$(4.13) \quad f(\tilde{\alpha}_i) = 0 \quad \text{for every } k \leq i \leq 2m.$$ 

Therefore

$$(4.14) \quad f(\tilde{\alpha}_1 \land \ldots \land \tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1}) = 0.$$ 

On the other hand, from [20, Lemma 1] it follows that $\tilde{\alpha}_1 \land \ldots \land \tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1}$ is a generator of $H^{2m+1}(\land^* g^*) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Thus the cohomology class of $f(\tilde{\alpha}_1 \land \ldots \land \tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1})$ should be a generator of $H^{2m+1}(T^*(N))$, which contradicts to (4.14). Therefore $k = 2m + 1$. This implies that

$$f(\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1}) = a_{2m+1} y \quad \text{and} \quad f(\tilde{\alpha}_j) = \beta_j, \quad \text{for } j \leq 2m.$$ 

As the cohomology class of

$$a_{2m+1} \beta_1 \cup \ldots \cup \beta_{2m} y = f(\tilde{\alpha}_1 \land \ldots \land \tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1})$$

generates $H^{2m+1}(T^*(N))$, we conclude that $a_{2m+1} \neq 0$.

Thus, using Lemma 3.1 and the fact that

$$f(\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1} \land (d\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1})^m) = a_{2m+1}^m y \cup [(d\eta)^m]_B,$$

we deduce that $f(\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1} \land (d\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1})^m) \neq 0$, which implies

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1} \land (d\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1})^m \neq 0.$$ 

In other words, $\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is an algebraic contact structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. Since

$$(4.15) \quad d\tilde{\alpha}_i = 0, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq 2m$$

and $d\tilde{\alpha}_{2m+1}$ is a linear combination of $\tilde{\alpha}_i \land \tilde{\alpha}_j$ with $i, j \leq 2m$ the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step nilpotent. By Proposition 19 in [19], we conclude that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Heisenberg algebra $h(1, m)$. 
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