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Abstract

Background: Breast cancer stem cells (BCSCs) have been recognized as playing a major role in various aspects of breast cancer biology. To identify specific biomarkers of BCSCs, we have performed comparative proteomics of BCSC-enriched and mature cancer cell populations from the human breast cancer cell line (BCL), BrCA-MZ-01.

Methods: ALDEFLUOR assay was used to sort BCSC-enriched (ALDH+) and mature cancer (ALDH−) cell populations. Total proteins were extracted from both fractions and subjected to 2-Dimensional Difference In-Gel Electrophoresis (2-D DIGE). Differentially-expressed spots were excised and proteins were gel-extracted, digested and identified using MALDI-TOF MS.

Results: 2-D DIGE identified poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP1) as overexpressed in ALDH+ cells from BrCA-MZ-01. This observation was confirmed by western blot and extended to four additional human BCLs. ALDH+ cells from BRCA1-mutated HCC1937, which had the highest level of PARP1 overexpression, displayed resistance to olaparib, a specific PARP1 inhibitor.

Conclusion: An unbiased proteomic approach identified PARP1 as upregulated in ALDH+, BCSC-enriched cells from various human BCLs, which may contribute to clinical resistance to PARP inhibitors.
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Introduction

Breast cancer stem cells (BCSC), which are defined by their capacity of self-renewal and their ability to provide to non-tumorigenic, more differentiated cells, are increasingly recognized as major actors in breast cancer [1]. They are thought to be involved in critical aspects of breast cancer biology including carcinogenesis, metastasis, resistance to treatments, and thus clinical recurrence [2,3]. Accordingly, an effective targeting of BCSC is expected to significantly improve breast cancer outcome. As we previously demonstrated, flow cytometry-based detection of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity, can sort a subpopulation of cells from patient-derived xenografts and human breast cancer cell lines (BCLs) that have BCSC properties [4,5], including tumorsphere-forming capacity, serial passages in NOD/SCID mice and recapitulation of the cellular heterogeneity of the original cell lines.

Proteomics-based profiling technologies may provide an unbiased approach to identify differentially expressed proteins between BCSC and non-BCSC populations, which may allow a more accurate definition of the BCSC subpopulation, a better understanding of BCSC biology and eventually the identification of novel targets for BCSC-specific therapeutics. Using 2-dimensional Difference In-Gel Electrophoresis (2-D DIGE), we have compared BCSC-enriched ALDEFLUOR-positive (ALDH+) to ALDEFLUOR-negative (ALDH−) BrCA-MZ-01 human BCL and found a significant increased expression of Poly(ADP-ribose)
polymerase 1 (PARP1), a promising target for a recently developed class of anticancer compounds.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell lines and culture conditions**

BCLs were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (HCC1937, MDA-MB-436), from collections developed in the laboratory of Dr S. Ethier, Karmanos Cancer Institute, Detroit, Michigan, USA (SUM149 and SUM159; obtained from Asterand; UK http://www.asterand.com/Asterand/human_tissues/hubrcelllines.htm), and from Dr V.J. Möbus, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany (BrCa-MZ-01) [6]. *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* gene status was checked for each cell line by full sequencing, as previously described [7]. The cell lines were grown using recommended culture conditions, as previously described [5].

**Aldehyde dehydrogenase activity detection and cell sorting**

The ALDEFLUOR kit (StemCell Technologies) was used to isolate the population with high ALDH enzymatic activity using a FACStar PLUS (Becton Dickinson), as previously described [4,5]. Briefly, cells were incubated in a specific buffer containing ALDH substrate, while a negative control sample was obtained by identical incubation in presence of a specific 50 mmol/L of diethylaminobenzaldehyde, a specific ALDH inhibitor. Cell viability was evaluated by propidium iodide and used to define the sorting gates.

**2D-DIGE experiments**

Proteins from ALDH+ and ALDH− BrCA-MZ-01 cell populations were extracted using an urea-based buffer (7.5 M urea, 0.01 μg/l DTT, 2.5 M thiourea, 12.5 mM glycérol, 62.5 mM Tris-HCl 2,3%) containing 1.5 mM of a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and an equal amount (50 μg) of each sample was labeled with either Cy3- (ALDH−), Cy5- (ALDH+) or Cy2- (internal standard) CyDye according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocols (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ, USA), and pooled. Samples were then separated by 2-Dimensional gel electrophoresis with the following steps: isoelectric focusing, using 24-cm immobilized pH gradient strips (IPG 3–10 NL, GE Healthcare) and 2D-separation into 10% uniform polyacrylamyde gels. Gels with CyDye-labeled proteins were digitalized using the Typhoon Trio Image Scanner (GE Healthcare), images were cropped with ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare) and further analyzed using the DeCyder v 6.5 software package (GE healthcare). Spots of interest were excised, digested by trypsin and subjected to mass spectrometry analysis using MALDI-TOF MS (Ultraflex, Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, USA), using reflectron and positive modes with an ion acceleration of 25 keV. To process obtained mass spectra, we used the FlexAnalysis 2.0 software (Bruker Daltonics). To obtain protein

![Figure 1. 2D-DIGE analysis of ALDH+ versus ALDH− BrCA-MZ-01 cells. Upper panel, Representatives three-(left) and two-(right) color merged images of 2D-DIGE gel: red spots are from the Cy5-labeled ALDH+ cells, green spots are from Cy3-labeled ALDH− cells and blue spots are from standardized samples. Lower panel, Three-dimensional simulation of the relative abundance of PARP1 protein in ALDH+ (a), standardized (b) and ALDH− samples (c). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104302.g001](http://www.plosone.org/doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0104302.g001)
identification, we used peptide mass fingerprint with an in-house Mascot server (Version 2.2.0, Matrix Science Inc., London, UK) probing the International Protein Index (IPI) human database from the European Bioinformatics Institute, as described in [8].

Western blot experiments
Protein lysates were loaded into SDS-PAGE, transferred on nitrocellulose membrane, blocked 1 h at room temperature in Tris-Buffered Saline/5% non-fat dry milk/0.1% Tween20, and incubated overnight with primary antibodies in blocking solution (PARP1 and αTubulin mouse monoclonal antibodies, Sigma-Aldrich, USA). After extensive washings in TBS/0.1% Tween20, membranes were incubated 1 h at room temperature (RT) with a HRP-conjugated secondary antibody before being revealed with an enhanced chemiluminescence substrate (West Pico, Thermo Scientific, USA).

Olaparib treatment
Twenty-four hours after seeding, medium was changed and MDA-MB-436, BrCA-MZ-01, SUM149, SUM159 and HCC1937 cells were grown during 72 hours either in the presence of an inhibitor of PARP, Olaparib (AZD2281, Euromedex, France) at 10 μM (according to their respective IC50%) or in the corresponding concentrations of DMSO (control). ALDH+ and ALDH− cells from treated and control cell lines were sorted as described above and absolute cell numbers were counted using trypan blue.

Results
We used ALDEFLUOR-assay to sort ALDH+ and ALDH− cells from the BRCA1-mutated BrCa-MZ-01 human BCL and compared protein lysates obtained from these subpopulations by 2D-DIGE (Figure 1). Among the proteins with differential expression (Table S1), PARP1 was found as the most up-regulated

Figure 2. Up-regulated expression of PARP1 in ALDH+ relative to ALDH− cells from human breast cancer cell lines. A. Western blotting image. Presented blots are representative of at least 2 independent experiments. B. The quantitative comparison of PARP1 expression between ALDH+ and ALDH− cells, expressed as ratio. PARP1 protein expression was first normalized to Tubulin expression. * PARP1 protein expression was compared between ALDH+ and ALDH− cells using Wilcoxon signed rank test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104302.g002
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Discussion

One of the main implication of BCSCs in breast cancer biology may be their potential role in therapeutic resistance [9]. Indeed, resistance to treatment, including chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy, was largely described in BCSCs and was thought to be related to various causes such as increased expression of adenosine triphosphate-binding cassette (ABC) transporters, resistance to apoptosis, lower proliferation and improved DNA repair ability [3]. By an unbiased proteomic-based approach, we have found an overexpression of PARP1 in BCSC-enriched ALDH+ cell subpopulations from various BCLs. PARP1, the most abundant isoform of the PARP superfamily, is a chromatin-associated protein which participates in various biological functions such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, malignant transformation, transcriptional regulation and DNA repair [10]. Thus, PARP1 plays a major role in base excision repair of DNA single-strand breaks. When DNA is damaged, PARP1 recognizes the lesion and is able to bind it. Then, PARP1 is activated and catalyzes poly(ADP-ribosylation) of various nuclear proteins. Ultimately, proteins modified by PARP1 activity will aggregate and activate major components of DNA repair pathways. Notably, recent data, including our own [11], have demonstrated an adverse prognostic impact of PARP1 overexpression in breast cancer [12].

In the absence of PARP1, DNA single-strand breaks accumulate and lead to DNA double-strand breaks, which are not appropriately repaired if the BRCA pathway is deficient or dysfunctional, a phenomenon called synthetic lethality [13,14]. Consequently, PARP inhibition was recently studied in various cancers, including breast cancer, with contrasting results. In BRCA1- or BRCA2-mutated breast cancer, significant but transient and inconstant objective responses have been observed to olaparib in some studies [15,16], while other results were less conclusive [17]. Moreover, after promising results in a randomized phase II trial [17], iniparib (the actual anti-PARP activity of which remains discussed) in combination with chemotherapy failed to demonstrate any survival advantage in triple-negative metastatic breast cancer [18], a subset of tumors sharing some similarities with BRCA1-mutated breast cancer. In the present study, we have observed olaparib resistance of ALDH+ cells from the BRCA1-mutated HCC1937 BCL, which was associated with the highest level of PARP1 overexpression. A potential explanation to this observation could be that PARP1 overexpression improves DNA-repair capacity of BCSC, and thus favors resistance to DNA-damaging treatments, including olaparib. A similar phenomenon was suggested by a previous study in glioma cells showing that CD133+ cells, which are supposed to be enriched in stem cells, expressed higher levels of the DNA repair protein O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) than CD133−, which correlated with resistance to radiation [19]. It can be argued that overexpression of a drug target usually results in drug sensitivity...
rather than resistance. However, if this holds true with some targeted therapeutics such as trastuzumab or endocrine therapy, there are also other examples of drug resistance associated with overexpression of the target, such as BCR-ABL with imatinib in chronic myeloid leukemias or DHFR with methotrexate in sarcomas [20,21]. In these latter examples, and possibly with PARP1, overexpression of the target could increase the drug concentration required to effectively inhibit it. Another possible cause for increased resistance in ALDH+ cells could be overexpression of ABC transporters [22], which may function as drug-efflux proteins for various substrates, including olaparib [23]. However, by comparing gene expression profiles previously obtained from ALDH+ and ALDH− populations of the studied cell lines [5], we did not identify any significant difference between expression levels of ABC gene family (Figure S2). Identical results were found when restricting the comparison to the ALDH+ population of single HCC1937 cell line versus other cell lines, although such an analysis has an obvious limited statistical power (data not shown).

An important limitation of this study relies upon the small number of cell lines examined. Actually, only in HCC1937 cells, which display the highest differential expression of PARP1 between ALDH+ and ALDH− subsets, was observed a significant increase in BCSC population under olaparib. Thus, PARP1 overexpression may impede drug effect only above a certain threshold and this mechanism of resistance may only apply to some but not all breast cancers. This is consistent with clinical data showing exquisite antitumor activity for PARP inhibitors only in a subset of patients, even with BRCA-mutated tumors [15–17].

Clearly, the clinical relevance of our observations remains to be established and it is not known whether such a potential mechanism is actually operating in some BRCA-mutated breast cancer patients treated with olaparib. However, our results suggest that some intrinsic properties of BCSC such as increased DNA-repair capacity, may contribute to resistance to PARP inhibitors, providing a basis for the relative failure of their clinical development in breast cancer.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Effect of olaparib treatment on BCSCs from BCLs according to PARP1 expression. ALDH+/ALDH− ratios of PARP1 protein expression were plotted against the number of ALDH+ cells after olaparib treatment (normalized by DMSO-treated cells) in various BCLs. * Pearson correlation coefficient. (TIF)

Figure S2 mRNA expression of ABC family members in ALDH+ versus ALDH− cells. Gene expression data were obtained using Affymetrix U133 Plus 2.0 human oligonucleotide microarrays as described in [5]. Left panel, Heat map showing gene expression of ABC family members in ALDH+ (black box) versus ALDH− cells (white box). * indicates ABC genes previously described as involved in drug-efflux [22]. Right panel, volcano plot showing log2 ratio of fold-change in gene expression of ALDH+ versus ALDH− (x axis) versus - log 10 ratio of p-values of Mann-Whitney U (y axis). (TIF)

Table S1 Differentially expressed proteins in ALDH+ compared to ALDH− BrCA-MZ-01 cells, identified by 2D-DIGE and MS. (DOCX)
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