One-Pot Synthesis of Lithium-Rich Cathode Material with Hierarchical Morphology
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ABSTRACT: Lithium-rich transition metal oxides, Li$_{1+x}$TM$_{1-x}$O$_2$ (TM, transition metal), have attracted much attention as potential candidate cathode materials for next generation lithium ion batteries because their high theoretical capacity. Here we present the synthesis of Li[Li$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.2}$Mn$_{0.6}$]O$_2$ using a facile one-pot resorcinol–formaldehyde method. Structural characterization indicates that the material adopts a hierarchical porous morphology consisting of uniformly distributed small pores and disordered large pore structures. The material exhibits excellent electrochemical cycling stability and a good retention of capacity at high rates. The material has been shown to be both advantageous in terms of gravimetric and volumetric capacities over state of the art commercial cathode materials.
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The development over the past several decades of the lithium-ion battery has had a huge impact on society and has led to a revolution in portable electronic devices.\(^1\) However, further improvement in the energy storage of the lithium-ion battery is still required in order to meet the demands of new applications such as electric vehicles.\(^2\) The energy storage of lithium-ion batteries is primarily restricted by their cathodes, which currently deliver practical capacities of ∼190 mAh g\(^{-1}\).\(^3\)\(^4\) The lithium-rich layered oxide materials (e.g., Li[Li$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.2}$Mn$_{0.6}$]O$_2$) are considered promising candidates for the next generation of lithium-ion batteries.\(^5\)\(^6\) These materials offer specific capacities of ∼230–280 mAh g\(^{-1}\), although their density is lower than nickel–manganese–cobalt cathodes, which means they do not offer such large advantages in terms of energy density.\(^6\)\(^\text{–}^9\) Intricate synthetic preparations have shown that the morphology of the Li rich materials can influence their performance.\(^10\)\(^\text{–}^15\) However, limited control of the morphology can be achieved with the most commonly used synthetic approach that is based on the precipitation of a mixed hydroxide intermediate. This multistep synthesis requires the precipitate to then be combined with the correct amount of Li carbonate followed by high-temperature calcination and despite its widespread application has resulted in different degrees of structural purity and electrochemical response. The difficulty and importance of synthetic conditions has been highlighted in several papers.\(^16\)\(^\text{–}^18\)

Here, we report a simple one-pot synthesis of Li[Li$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.2}$Mn$_{0.6}$]O$_2$. The precursor was prepared in a single step by first dissolving the Li\(^+\), Ni\(^{2+}\), and Mn\(^{2+}\) ions in an aqueous solution to which resorcinol and formaldehyde are added to chelate the ions and a polymerization reaction (shown schematically in Figure 1) is then initiated between the resorcinol and formaldehyde suspending the ions in an even distribution throughout the formed polymer.\(^19\) This was then followed by a high-temperature reaction step. A more detailed description of this process can be found in Methods. The materials prepared in this method forms a desirable hierarchical morphology with ∼0.2–0.3 μm primary particles agglomerated into ∼10–40 μm secondary particles. The pores between the primary particles range from ∼0.1 to 0.4 μm, and larger pores ranging from ∼0.5 to 2.0 μm are also present. The resulting material delivers a reversible capacity of ∼250 mAh g\(^{-1}\) with a remarkably stable cycling behavior and a capacity retention of 99.98% per cycle over 200 cycles is observed representing meaningful gains both in terms of specific and volumetric capacity.

The composition and structure of Li[Li$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.2}$Mn$_{0.6}$]O$_2$ synthesized by the resorcinol–formaldehyde route was verified by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) analysis and powder X-ray diffraction. The cation ratio was 1.21/0.21/0.59, Li/Ni/Mn, consistent with that anticipated from the starting composition. The powder XRD diffraction pattern collected from the Li[Li$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.2}$Mn$_{0.6}$]O$_2$ material is shown in Figure 2. Li[Li$_{0.2}$Ni$_{0.2}$Mn$_{0.6}$]O$_2$ is derived from the monoclinic Li$_2$MnO$_3$ (space group C2/m), which may be written as Li[Li$_{1/3}$Mn$_{2/3}$]O$_2$, highlighting the similarity to a
LiCoO$_2$ layered structure with alternating Li layers and [Li$_{1/3}$Mn$_{2/3}$]$_n$ layers. By substituting $x = 0.2$ Ni into Li[Li$_{1/3-2x/3}$Mn$_{2/3}$]O$_2$, the composition of the material studied here is obtained. The transition metal layers in Li[Li$_{1/3}$Mn$_{2/3}$]O$_2$ exhibit short-range ordering of the Li$^+$ and Mn$^{4+}$ and such short-range order persists in the Li-$[\text{Li}_0.2\text{Ni}_0.2\text{Mn}_0.6]O_2$ compound, as is evident in the powder X-ray diffraction pattern shown in Figure 2 where diffraction peaks appear at positions similar to that of the Li$_2$MnO$_3$. The broadening of the peaks in the 2$\theta$ range of 26 to 36° is associated with the rotation between layers that restricts the long-range correlation between slabs along the $c$-axis.

The local cation ordering in the transition metal layers of the material was characterized further using scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). Figure 3a shows a high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) image of a pristine particle. The contrast in this imaging mode scales approximately to $Z^{1.7}$, thus brightest columns indicate the position of the cations in the transition metal layers, while lighter atoms, like lithium and oxygen, are not visible. Three main variants of the monoclinic...
region (C2/m space group) constitute the material’s structure, corresponding to the [100], [110], and [110] projections of the monoclinic unit cell (structural models in Figure 3c–e, respectively). The cation ordering in TM layer observed for the contrast in Figure 3a places Li⁺/Ni²⁺ at the 2b sites and Mn⁴⁺/Ni²⁺ at the 4g sites in the C2/m space group (as sketched in Figure 3c–e), consistent with the result from neutron powder diffraction data shown in the Supporting Information.

Figure 4. Morphological studies of porous Li[Li₀.₂Ni₀.₂Mn₀.₆]O₂ material. (a–d) SEM images. (e) TEM image. (f) Macroscopic pore-size distribution obtained by mercury intrusion porosimetry.

Figure 5. Electrochemical behaviors of porous Li[Li₀.₂Ni₀.₂Mn₀.₆]O₂. (a) Charge/discharge profiles at different cycles. (b) Cycling performance up to 200 cycles at 50 mA g⁻¹ at 30 °C. (c) Rate performance of Li[Li₀.₂Ni₀.₂Mn₀.₆]O₂ at 30 °C. (d) Discharge curves at various rates after charging to 4.8 V at 50 mA g⁻¹.
As Figure 3b shows, the crystal domains consisting of the three monoclinic variants not only vary in size but also stack along the direction perpendicular to the transition metal layers without long-range order. Furthermore, flips of the domains, as well as transition metal-rich defects (arrows in Figure 3b), are observed, which lower the periodicity. This lack of long-range order (along the c-direction) is consistent with broad peaks in the 2θ range of 26 to 36° (Fe Kα) observed from the X-ray powder diffraction pattern (Figure 2), and agrees well with previous studies on the local structure of lithium-rich materials. Therefore, we conclude that the composition and crystal structure of Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 synthesized using the one-pot method is in good agreement with those materials prepared previously.

The morphology of the Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 material was examined using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As shown in the SEM and TEM images (Figure 4a–e), the material adopts a hierarchical morphology with primary particles of ∼0.2–0.3 μm (Figure 4d,e) that are fused together at the edges to form the larger secondary particles of ∼10–40 μm (Figure 4a,b). The primary particles have a relatively narrow size distribution. The pores between the primary particles range from ∼0.1 to 0.4 μm (Figure 4d), and larger pores ranging from ∼0.5 to 2.0 μm are also present (Figure 4c). The hierarchical porosity was further probed using mercury intrusion porosimetry. The pore size distribution in Figure 4f shows a sharp peak at ∼0.2 μm and a broad peak at ∼2.0 μm, consistent with our SEM observations from Figure 4c,d. The total intrusion volume is 1.4 mL g−1, corresponding to a discharge of <10 μm3 g−1, assuming a practical capacity 150 mAh g−1 for nanowire material.13 The electrochemical behavior of the Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 material is shown in Figure 5a. The voltage increases almost linearly in the initial stage of charge, followed by a plateau at approximately 4.5 V and a sloping region up to 4.8 V. After the first charge, the subsequent discharge and charge follow a different path, which continues thereafter and with a continuous drift of the potential to lower voltages on cycling. The behavior is consistent with results for comparable lithium rich electrodes reported previously. The capacity retention for the hierarchical Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 material, cycled with a charge/discharge rate of 50 mA g−1, is shown in Figure 5b. The first charge capacity was ∼322 mAh g−1 followed by a first discharge capacity of ∼250 mA h g−1. The material exhibits good capacity retention on cycling and retains a reversible capacity of ∼241 mA h g−1 even after 200 cycles, corresponding to a capacity retention of 99.98% per cycle.

The rate performance at discharge rates from 50 to 3200 mA g−1 between 2.0 and 4.8 V after charging to 4.8 V at 50 mA g−1 on each cycle is presented in Figure 5c. The material exhibits a discharge capacity of ∼241 mA h g−1 at 50 mA g−1 and ∼160 mA h g−1 at 1600 mA g−1, corresponding to a discharge of <10 min. The capacity recovers substantially on returning to the lower rate of 50 mA g−1 consistent with the polarization being reversible. The charge and discharge profiles at various rates after the cell was charged to 4.8 V at 50 mA g−1 on each cycle are also shown in Figure 5d.

The mechanism by which charge compensation is achieved in lithium-rich materials such as Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 is significantly different from conventional materials.5–8,28–33 While ∼125 mAh g−1 is accounted for by oxidation of the Ni (i.e., Ni2+ to Ni4+) in the first charge, no further transition metal oxidation occurs.31,34 The additional charge extracted is attributed to loss of oxygen from the lattice and redox reactions on the oxygen.35,36 These unconventional mechanisms of charge compensation have received considerable attention recently and have now been demonstrated in 3, 4, and 5d transition metal oxides.24,30,37,38 We have shown elsewhere, using operando electrochemical mass spec of 18O labeled lithium-rich material that ∼15% of charge extracted up to 4.8 V is due to O loss, which reacts with the typical organic carbonate electrolyte to evolve CO2 on the 4.5 V plateau with direct O2 evolution dominating from the end of plateau to 4.8 V. Soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy, X-ray absorption near edge structure spectroscopy (XANES), Raman, and resonant inelastic X-ray scattering (RIXS) demonstrate that the dominant charge compensation involves the formation of localized electron–hole states on the oxygen ions coordinated by Mn4+/Li+.35,36 The details are given in references 35 and 36.

Generally, the Li rich cathodes exhibit poor rate performance and capacity fading. The capacity retention on cycling and the rate performance reported for the material synthesized by the one-pot method compares well with some of the best results in the literature of Li rich cathodes. It should be noted that the rate testing was performed with an electrode which had a mass loading of ∼70 g m−2. It has been often proved necessary to coat the particles of Li rich cathode materials with non-electrochemical active materials (e.g., AlF3) stabilizing the surfaces at the relatively high potentials used.4 Alternatively, FePO4 coating or porous Co3O4 coating on Li rich cathode materials has also proved effective to decrease the irreversibility on cycling by forming intermediate surface phase such as Li,FePO4 or Li,CoO2.49–51 Here there is no explicit coating. The hierarchical morphology provides a material with a relatively low surface area compared with nanoparticles, which others have shown minimizes the side reactions between electrolyte and electrode, suppressing the O loss on the surface and improving the cycling stability.51,52 We have also shown that a similar synthesis approach to the formation of LiNi0.5Mn1.5O4 and Li[Ni0.1Mn0.1Co0.1]O2 also improved cycling stability.

One problem encountered with the Li rich cathodes is their relatively low tap density. Dahn and co-workers45 have used an indirect but simple measurement of tap density involving the following steps: (1) Press a pellet of the material at 48000 psi; (2) calculate the density of the pressed pellet; (3) take 90% of the calculated value to convert gravimetric to volumetric energy densities. In this study, we followed this method to convert the density of the present hierarchical material with several commercial materials. The hierarchical material was pressed into a pellet under 5 × 105 psi. The density of our porous Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 pellet was calculated to be ∼2934 g L−1 (∼704 Ah L−1, assuming a practical capacity 240 mA h g−1). This value may be compared with the commercial Ni-rich Li[Ni0.8Co0.2Mn0.1]O2 (∼3643 g L−1, ∼575 Ah L−1, assuming a practical capacity 158 mA h g−1) and LiFePO4 (∼2178 g L−1, 327 Ah L−1, assuming a practical capacity 150 mA h g−1) (MTI corporation). This shows that an approximately 22% increase in cathode volumetric capacity may be anticipated in addition to an approximate 30% gain in gravimetric energy density.

In conclusion, Li[Li0.2Ni0.2Mn0.6]O2 has been synthesized by a simple one-pot reaction using a resorcinol–formaldehyde method. The material adopts a hierarchical porous morphology that has been characterized using SEM, TEM, and mercury-
intrusion porosimetry. The material exhibits good capacity retention and rate performance with excellent gravimetric (241 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) and volumetric (~704 Ah L\(^{-1}\)) energy densities at 50 mA g\(^{-1}\). The method reported in this paper can also be extended to the synthesis of other electrode materials in batteries.

**Methods. Sample Preparation.** The porous Li\([4\text{Li}_{2}Ni_{0.2}Mn_{0.8}]\text{O}_{2}\) sample was synthesized using a resorcinol–formaldehyde gel method.\(^{42}\) Stoichiometric amounts of LiCH\(_{2}\)COO\(\cdot\)2H\(_{2}\)O (99.0%, Aldrich), Ni\((\text{CH}_{3}\text{COO})\)\(_{2}\)4H\(_{2}\)O (99.0%, Aldrich), and Mn\((\text{CH}_{3}\text{COO})\)\(_{2}\)4H\(_{2}\)O (99.0%, Fluka) were dissolved in 50 mL of distilled water containing 0.1 mol of resorcinol (99.0%, Fluka), 0.15 mol of formaldehyde (Fluka 36.5% in water, methanol-stabilized), and 0.25 mmol of Li\(_{2}\)CO\(_{3}\) (99.0%, Fluka). The resulting solution contained a 5 mol% excess of Li. The mixture was then dried at 90 °C overnight, followed by calcination at 900 °C for 15 h to obtain the final material.

**Structural Characterization.** Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out using a STOE STADI/P diffractometer operating in transmission mode using an Fe K\(_{\alpha}\) radiation source. Neutron powder diffraction data was collected using the Polaris diffractometer at the ISIS neutron source, U.K. Rietveld profile refinements were performed using the GSAS suite of programs.\(^{44}\) Morphological studies were conducted using a JEOL JSM-5600 SEM and a JEOL ARM200F probe-corrected STEM, operating at 200 kV using a probe convergence angle of 22 mrad and a calibrated 34–52 mrad collection angle for HAADF images. Elemental analysis was carried out using an Erma Optima 7300DV ICP-OES. Mercury-intrusion porosimetry (AutoPore IV 9400, Micromeritics Instrument Corporation) was carried out to evaluate the porosity.

**Electrochemical Tests.** The working electrodes consisted of 80 wt% active material, 10 wt% Super P carbon, and 10 wt% polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) binder. The working electrode mass was typically ~5 mg. A Li metal counter electrode was used with a 1 M LiPF\(_{6}\) in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v) (Merck) as electrolyte. Materials were assembled into coin cells (details) in an argon filled glovebox. Electrochemical measurements were carried out using a Biologic VMP3 potentiostat.
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