Optimizing Prediction of YouTube Video Popularity Using XGBoost
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Abstract: YouTube is a source of income for many people, and therefore a video’s popularity ultimately becomes the top priority for sustaining a steady income, provided that the popularity of videos remains the highest. Analysts and researchers use different algorithms and models to predict the maximum viewership of popular videos. This study predicts the popularity of such videos using the XGBoost model, considering features selection, fusion, min-max normalization and some precision parameters such as gamma, eta, learning_rate etc. The XGBoost gives 86% accuracy and 64% precision. Moreover, the Tuned XGBoost also shows enhanced accuracy and precision. We have also analyzed the classification of unpopular videos for a comparison with our results. Finally, cross-validation methods are also used to evaluate certain combination of parameter’s values to validate our claims. Based on the obtained results, it can be said that our proposed models and techniques are very useful and can precisely and accurately predict the popularity of YouTube videos.
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1. Introduction

Advancements in web technologies have revolutionized the world. Today, there are numerous platforms from which anybody can share his/her content. If that content is appealing to massive, certain rewards can be achieved in return [1]. Social media platforms are playing their part in orchestrating mindsets and educating people about their basic rights (that is, freedom of speech). Through the conventional web, a channel is a possible source for users to reach large audiences. Some services made it possible to share content between producers and consumers around the world. While content is posted by the producers, it is rated (liked or disliked) and discussed by the users. Social networks are becoming a wide source of information for people through the use of internet and with the help of the internet. Users share data through different platforms such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, etc. Among such platforms, YouTube has become the largest broadcasting source of online videos, wherein anyone can share views or content on social networking sites to improve customer experiences [1].

The motivation behind this study was the notion that certain financial benefits are afforded to YouTube video producers as their viewership increases [2,3]. That is the reason why today, being a YouTuber can involve transitioning from money-making hobby into a proper profession. Numerous YouTuber videos have gone viral, resulting in an exponential increase in fame and wealth for YouTube producers. As a result, many artists...
(mostly actors and models) have started their careers through their YouTube channels. Therefore, the importance of recognizing the popularity of online videos and forecasting the success of videos or vice versa is an undeniable fact [4–6]. Each social media user has not contributed equally to the generation of these data. It is of interest to website owners and business analysts to predict which content will be popular. For business and other purposes, YouTube is the most popular and largest video sharing platform. Content distribution and selection are a great source for attracting users to channels [7].

Table 1 contains all the abbreviations used in this paper. The study of companies that host social networks and their users also requires identifying which new platforms may become popular in the near future. Knowing the dynamics of video popularity helps to understand what makes one platform more popular than another. The basics of the business model of YouTube, self-marketing, is also linked with the click-through of related advertisements [8]. Furthermore, popularity prediction can be helpful in proactively allocating resources based on content popularity [9]. However, viral videos on the internet have a remarkable effect on society such as politics and online marketing, as already discussed above. YouTube is a common way to advertise one’s services or products. Among the huge variety of uploaded videos, some go viral and grab the attention of millions of viewers overnight. On the other hand, there may be many meaningful videos that never get such a response. The difference in response is not fully understood, as many different factors such as demographics, time of posting, the use of colors, etc. [10] may affect it.

| Abbreviation | Meaning |
|--------------|---------|
| KNN          | K-Nearest Neighbor |
| SVM          | Support Vector Machine |
| IMDB         | Internet Movie Database |
| NLP          | Natural Language Processing |
| MCTCPP-CP    | Matrix completion technology based on content popularity prediction |
| CNN          | Convolutional Neural Network |
| LARM         | Lazy Associative Rule Mining |
| NN           | Neural Network |
| SVR          | Support Vector Regression |
| MRSE         | Mean Root Square Error |
| MAE          | Mean Absolute Error |
| HD           | High Definition |
| SD           | Standard Definition |
| nlikes       | New Likes |
| olikes       | Old Likes |

Hence, predicting which video will be popular with the masses is a major question. YouTube’s internal mechanism and growth pattern are very important in attracting users to videos. When it comes to the analysis of videos, these also evolve in terms of prediction. The deep social effect of viral videos is that they also attract the attention of representatives from various industries and academic researchers. Prediction of videos is difficult, especially early on; although its popularity varies, there are more chances that a video will become viral if the title it contains is popular on other media as well. It is also observed that viral videos have shorter names and lengths [11]. However, there are still no permanent or known variables that can clearly state the main reasons for the popularity of YouTube videos.

This study performs the prediction of YouTube video popularity by:

- Extraction of the new features and addition of them to the existing data set.
- Fusing the corresponding features to improve model performance and reduce computational time.
Train the over-designed features of the learning model to predict the popularity of videos.

State-of-the-art works such as [12] carried out popularity prediction based on historic data using video data contains features such as video category, likes, dislikes, comment count, and views. However, to increase quality and accuracy (important for precise prediction) other features must be incorporated (e.g., video definition and video duration). More video categories are required to be used for precise and accurate popularity prediction. Hence, in this work, more features/categories are added. For dimensionality reduction, a fusion technique is also utilized.

The popularity of videos in this study is predicted using the XGBoost model, which includes features selection, fusion, Min-Max normalizing, and accuracy parameters such as gamma, eta, learning rate, and others. The XGBoost has an accuracy of 86% and precision of 64%. Furthermore, the Tuned XGBoost has improved accuracy and precision. In addition, as a comparison, we looked at the classification of popular and unpopular videos.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides a review of the literature together with a comprehensive critical analysis of existing state-of-the-art work in the domain. Section 3 describes the proposed model that overcomes the limitations of existing works. Section 4 presents the experimental setup and results. Finally, the conclusions of the study are presented in Section 5.

2. Literature Review

In [12], future prediction of online video popularity is considered. Here, the author predicts the future success of online videos based on various elements and successful classification techniques. The decision tree approach is slightly worse than random forest and is preceded by KNN and SVM. Micro-precision and macro-recall are low only for the SVM classifier. In this domain, extensive work has been conducted. The authors of [13] proposed a hybrid model to predict the popularity of online content. The view count of videos is considered for prediction. In [14], the author proposed a procedure to predict the notoriety of online content. They used endurance analysis to break down the normal endurance time of any occasion or article. The model predicts the popularity of video in a specific period. The data set from dpreview.com and myspace.com is used. In [15], the authors presented an in-depth examination of IMDb and predicted IMDb scores. The database contains categorical and statistical data such as IMDb score, director, gross revenue, and finances, among other things. Instead of listening to critics, this study provides a method for predicting a film’s success before it hits the theaters. The authors in [16] predict the popularity of videos using sentimental analysis. Support vector machine (SVM) and natural language processing (NLP) methods are utilized for prediction. The study [17] successfully implements and compares 11 models and finds that the gradient boost model performs best with 79.7% accuracy. They obtained the highest accuracy of 73% with random forest after testing five classification models, while the study achieved the accuracy of 78.1% using gradient boosting.

In [18] the author forecasts the popularity of the video that is supported by social networks. The social forecast algorithm works well without having prior knowledge of popularity evaluation. Youku, a popular video provider in China, has been shown to outperform a previously used model with a 1.58% reduction in relative prediction errors. The author proposed a model [19] that predicts the popularity of online videos. The multivariate linear regression model based on evolution patterns, bursts, and lifetime prediction is used to predict video popularity using the metadata of videos and counts. In [20], a machine learning approach is used to predict the popularity of the box office. The features used to predict popularity do not include the viewers, although viewers can play a vital role in predicting the movie’s success.

The research in [21] analyzes the dynamics of online video popularity, considering the popularity evolution patterns on the linear correlation between early popularity and future popularity. Popularity is analyzed from four different aspects: the overall pop-
ularity distribution, the individual popularity distribution, the pattern of evolution of the popularity, and the relationship between the early and the future popularity. A new approach to early prediction of video popularity based on data available at video upload start time is proposed in [22]. The proposed model integrates the results of naive Bayes, SVM, logistic regression, neural network, and random forest. The results were calculated using all 36 features and show that the ensemble method outperforms compared to the 5 methods. The models were compared with various K options, including the top three videos, the top five videos, the top seven videos, and the top nine videos. The degree of popularity is considered as grouping all the view counts over the same period.

In [23], the authors allowed for external popularity forecasts, provided an approach, and considered user comments instead of using view counts. The data set is taken from YouTube. The author proposed a bipartite user-item ranking algorithm (BUIR) that is used to capture the complementary manners between the user and the objects. The author predicts the popularity of the video [24] supported by social networks. The author presents a systematic and relevant approach to predict the success of social media videos for the first time. Specifically, in terms of prediction incentives, the social prediction algorithm exceeds current studies by more than 30%. The author predicts the popularity of online content using only the title [25]. Popularity is predicting using bidirectional recurrent neural network. The main contribution of the paper is title-based prediction and uses pre-trained word vectors in the embedding layer. [26] proposed a model that uses the number of perspectives to anticipate video popularity. To assess the prevalence of online recordings, propose a vector regression reversion strategy with Gaussian radial basis functions. The author in [27] uses visual sentimental and content characteristics to predict the popularity of the Web content. The prediction models for different popularity trend models by adding visual content for prediction. Both the content and the visual sentiments of the video are used for prediction. Grid search is used to optimize the parameters that are defined in each model.

In [28] delivering and viewing YouTube content is a significant part of our regular daily existence. The motivation for this paper is to utilize AI strategies to conjecture the achievement of YouTube videos. Logistics regression and KNN are utilized in this trial research, their proposed way to deal with prediction is to use KNN. The study [29] aims to close this gap in the literature by identifying three factors that can influence the value of sponsored content and attitudes toward YouTube influencers. A conceptual model based on the advertising value model was created for this purpose. By collecting data from 411 university students who frequently use the Internet, the redesigned model was put to the test using structural Eq. modeling. The researcher addressed [30] this issue for MEC, and a joint cache placement approach based on MCTCPP-CP is proposed. The MCTCPP-CP technique is the first to predict content popularity using matrix completion technology.

The authors of [31] provide a brief analysis of the current site content dimensions and also the correct prediction methods to predict the popularity of the content. The second aspect is the features that are symptomatic according to the publication time of the content. Before publication, the content maker is considered; on time of publication the content itself matters; and after the content is published many factors such as views, comments, and sharing evolve in prediction. The author divides the overall prediction into three categories. For content popularity, prediction falls into two categories. One is early prediction and the other is future prediction. The age of the account, and some content features which are easy to extract such as tags, publication time, and location are also relevant to the content.

In [32], the author treats the popularity of online videos as time series over the specified periods and suggests a new time series model for the prediction of popularity. The researchers in [33] give a complete analysis of the removed YouTube videos. The author analyzed more than 73,000 recent YouTube videos over a week and identified those that were deleted or removed. Using three standard media impacts theories [34] (cultivation theory, social cognitive theory, and social comparison theory), a psychological mechanism through which the frequency and interest in cosmetic tutorials affect young women’s
postfeminist beliefs. In South Korea, a two-wave longitudinal survey was conducted to predict the popularity of the content.

Researchers [35] present a deep learning model called visual-social convolutional neural network (VSCNN) that predicts the popularity of a posted image by mixing multiple types of visual and social data into a unified network model, which is motivated by multimodal learning, which incorporates input from many modalities and the current success of CNNs in numerous disciplines. In [36] the work conducted concerns what types of background should be use, whether and how to interpret contexts as a whole, and how to use prediction contexts effectively for FM music, Movie Lense, and Amazon Book. The authors also proposed a model to predict popularity which is based on LSTM. Comprehensive studies with three real-world datasets indicate the efficiency of the proposed model relative to other competitive baselines.

In [37], the goal is to easily predict the long-term success of videos on complex YouTube networks. There are two defined problems that the author resolved. LARM is the first research to exploit content life to account for the inadequacy of historical data without network-based assumptions. LARM divides the videos into many subsets and each of these subsets trains a specific model. In [38] the fuzzy-based approach is proposed to predict the popularity of videos. The video is classified as popular or unpopular based on the score. Support vector regression (SVR) shows even results. In [39] a Bayesian learning approach is used for feature space to make accurate predictions, identify important features, and offer confidence levels with each prediction, which can guide developers for successful mashup development.

In [40], deep fusion is proposed a new predictive architecture that uses deep neural networks to combine cross-platform features obtained from Youku and Douban. In [41], the proposed model uses a context-driven approach to estimate the quality of experience, that is, the number of views on video and the participation of the users. The researcher concentrates on popularity metrics and user interaction. In [42], the popularity of short video networks is predicted using a convolutional neural graph-based video popularity prediction algorithm.

Researcher in [43] using telecom data, a cyber physical social system is used to analyze high communication traffic areas. The suggested model creates a graph and analyses it using social network analysis. Following the hotspot extraction process, social network analysis is carried out, which involves measuring the value of each hotspot using network metrics. These figures help determine the value of each hotspot in a telecom data network.

State-of-the-art works are elaborated in Table 2. These state-of-the-art works carried out popularity prediction based on historical data using video data contain features of video category, likes, dislikes, comment count, and views. However, to increase quality and accuracy (which are important for precise prediction) it includes other features that must be incorporated (i.e., video definition and video duration). More video categories are required to be used for a precise and accurate popularity prediction. Hence, in this work, more features/categories are added, and for dimensionality reduction, the fusion technique is utilized. As in [43], the data set used for prediction is performed on data of long time period and its performance can be improved by shortening the prediction time. In our proposed model, predictions are performed on data of short time period as compared to [43].

Table 2. Summary of the state-of-the-art work on video popularity prediction.

| Ref. | Problem | Techniques | Performance Metrics | Data Set | Limitations |
|------|---------|------------|---------------------|----------|-------------|
| [12] | Online content popularity prediction | LSTM. | Accuracy | News articles and news videos. | Image and video features are not considered |
| [13] | Video Popularity Prediction | KNN | Accuracy | Real time data from YouTube | Emotional analysis is not considered |
Table 2. Cont.

| Ref. | Problem                              | Techniques                                           | Performance Metrics | Data Set                     | Limitations                                                   |
|------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| [14] | Predicts Popularity at Upload Time   | Ensemble classification models (SVM, Naïve Bayes)    | Accuracy            | YouTube music videos data set | Only Music category video is considered                      |
| [22] | Predicts the popularity using video views | Szabo- Huberman (SH) Model, Multivariate Linear (ML) Model, MRBF Model | Accuracy            | Mashable dataset is used     | Feature Optimization is not considered                      |
| [16] | Sentiment analysis is performed to predict the popularity | SVM and NLP                                           | Accuracy            | MOSI dataset                 | Problem can be better resolved as regression problem        |
| [17] | News articles popularity prediction  | RF, SVM                                              | Accuracy            | UCI dataset                  | Video lifetime is considered of long period which could be shorten to improve accuracy. |
| [18] | Online content popularity is predicted (Twitter) | Transfer learning algorithm                           | Accuracy            | YouTube Live data is used    | Sequel movie is discussed but not considered for prediction |
| [19] | Social media videos popularity prediction | cumulative distribution function, ordinary least squares, multivariate linear regression model | Accuracy            | Use the crawler to collect the Facebook Graph API3 | More classification performance metrics could be used |
| [20] | Movie popularity prediction          | SVM, NN, NLP                                          | Accuracy            | IMDb, Rotten Tomatoes, Box Office Mojo and Metacritic | Viewers of movie can play a vital role in movie success; viewers data is not taken into consideration. |
| [23] | Early popularity prediction of videos | Time series methods, Bipartite User-Item Ranking (BUIR) | Hypothetical Studies, compare three different hypothesis | Youku data set               | The comments data is considered but sentimental aspect is not considered |
| [37] | Long Term video popularity is predicted | LARM                                                 | Mean Square Error   | Ren Ren raw data is used     | More features could be considered for better prediction    |
| [38] | Video popularity is predicted by views | SVR, Gaussian Radial Basis Function.                  | Spearman correlation | YouTube and Facebook data set | Semantic cues are missing which could be used for better prediction. |
| [25] | Social media videos popularity prediction | Long-term Recurrent Convolutional Network            | Accuracy            | Facebook videos data set     | Based on the views, the prediction problem can be solved as a regression problem. |
| [32] | Predicting the most popular videos   | View Counts Dynamic Model (VCDM)                     | RMSE                | IPTV VOD                     | More time concerned attempt could help to improve results  |
| [28] | Predicts the future view count of video | Both classification and regression techniques applied, | RMSE                | Youku.                       | More classification performance metrics could be used      |
| [27] | Predicts the popularity based on both sentimental and content features | MRBF model                                           | F1 score, RMSE      | YouTube Data set             | The researcher considers the correlated features, fusion technique on those features could be applied. |
| [24] | Social media content popularity prediction | Social-Forecast algorithm                            | Accuracy            | RenRen data set              | More features can be added for better results.             |
Table 2. Cont.

| Ref. | Problem                                      | Techniques                             | Performance Metrics | Data Set                     | Limitations                                                                 |
|------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| [26] | YouTube video popularity prediction          | SVR, Gaussian Radial Basis Function.   | R-square F-test     | YouTube video data set       | Co-evolution of the popularity metrics, in time. Effect of standard feeds on long term video popularity is examined. |
| [32] | Predict popular life cycle of videos         | VCDM model                             | Accuracy            | YouTube, and Daum UCC data of two categories Entertainment’ and ‘Science & Technology’ is used | External video features are not considered                                  |
| [33] | “Think before you upload”: an in-depth analysis of unavailable videos on YouTube | Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN)        | Accuracy            | Three real-world YouTube datasets are used to evaluate the model. | Only linked categories are used for prediction, only 10 weeks’ data is used |
| [34] | YouTube makeup tutorials reinforce postfeminist beliefs through social comparison. | Long-term Recurrent Convolutional Network | RMSE                | YouTube data is used         | User’s aspects which relate to the quality of videos should be considered. |
| [35] | Multimodal Deep Learning Framework for Image Popularity Prediction on social media | CNN                                     | RMSE                | YouTube data of different categories is used. | Time gap should be more concisely considered                                     |

3. System Model

In this section, feature fusion prediction is discussed, which contains various functional components, such as feature extraction, feature fusion, and prediction of popularity. There are three steps in the proposed framework to predict video popularity:

- Extract new features and add them to the existing data set.
- Fuse features to improve model performance and reduce computational time.
- Train the XGBoost model to predict video popularity using fused features.

3.1. Data Set

YouTube is one of the most famous websites which maintains and represents videos and saves a record of them as well. YouTube videos have multiple features, as shown in (Table 3). The video popularity is influenced by different factors including video likes, dislikes, comment count, and views. Instinctively, a video with more views is more likely to be popular in the future. The data set used for video popularity prediction is publicly available and two more features are added to the existing data set ‘video definition’, ‘video duration’. To access the mentioned features, the YouTube API V3 is used to extract the mentioned features. The features are extracted and combined to predict the popularity of the video. The features of the data set used are (trending date, title, channel title, category id, publication time, tags, number of views, likes, dislikes, comments, thumbnail link, and description). It contains different features that are linked and impact the popularity of videos.

Table 3. Feature Description.

| Features     | Description                                                                 |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| category_id  | Category_id tells that the video belongs to which category                   |
| video_definition | Either the video is high definition or standard definition                   |
| duration     | How long the video is (either 4 min, 10 min, 20 or more)                    |
| score         | The feature obtained through the fusion of three features                    |
| views         | The final class on the basis of which we have to predict the video          |
3.2. Data Pre-Processing

The YouTube dataset is commonly collected through APIs, and every video has the same features that could be considered from the videos. The dataset used for this research contains 15 features in total. The missing instances in the dataset are replaced by the mean and mode preprocessing technique to impute missing data. In testing data, the missing values can be imputed in the same way for the finalized model.

3.3. Feature Selection

Feature selection is important to select the correlated features from a dataset in order to reduce the dimensions, which helps the model to avoid overfitting. Correlated features are selected, which play a key role in predicting the popularity of the video.

3.4. Feature Extraction

Feature extraction is performed to add new features to the existing dataset, which affects popularity prediction. Features are added by using the YouTube API. The API key on Google Developer is generated. The YouTube API V3 is used to extract the features of the video. Each video id is read through loop process from a CSV file. A single object is created for each row to store the extracted features against each id. If a video ID contains no information, it will return 0 as a result.

The features extracted using Google API, video definition either the video is high-definition HD videos provide superior video quality and a more enjoyable viewing experience or standard definition (SD), while standard definition (SD) movies do not offer the same quality as high definition as shown in (Table 4). Label encoding is used to convert the feature video definition into numeric (0.1 for SD and 1 for HD). The second feature extracted by YouTube API is the duration of the video, which is converted in minutes. Both features help to predict popularity with more accuracy. The fusion technique is applied to convert the three correlated features into one feature. This feature is considered as the weighted score in the final data set. The non-linear min_max normalization technique is applied using Python to normalize the feature between 0–100.

### Table 4. Feature extraction.

| Video_ID         | Extracted Features |   |   |
|------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| n1WpP7iowLc      | v-definition       | HD | 3 |
|                  | duration           |   | 2d|
|                  | dimensions         |   | 2d|
| 0dBlkQ4Mz1M      |                    | HD | 5 |
|                  |                    |   | 2d|
| 5qpkK5DgCt4      |                    | SD | 10|
|                  |                    |   | 2d|
| d380meD0W0M      |                    | HD | 39|
|                  |                    |   | 2d|

3.5. Feature Fusion

According to the correlation of the features, they have fused accordingly. The following is the list of features used in our prediction model. The three features are fused by using the non-linear Min-Max normalization technique. Equation (1) represents the linear technique of normalization, notation such as ‘olikes’ old videos likes, ‘nlikes’ new likes, ‘min’ min value and ‘max’ max value.

\[
l'' = \frac{nlikes - \text{min}(olikes)}{\text{max}(olikes) \times \text{newmax}(nlikes) - \text{newmin}(nlikes) + olikes - \text{min}(olikes)}
\]  

(1)

By applying the linear normalization technique, the overfitting and underfitting is faced to overcome that problem, and the non-linear normalization is applied to normalize the score as shown in Equation (1).

\[
l'' = \frac{1}{2}((nlikes + ndislikes) + 1/(1+f)) + |nlike - ndislikes|
\]  

(2)
The goal is to predict the \( \text{presenting the popularity score} \) where we use the new likes and dislikes by applying Equation (2). The \( \gamma \) is set to 0.5 in this case, it represents the weight. The features with respective scores which are fed to the proposed model are described in (Table 5).

### Table 5. The results of feature fusion.

| Likes  | Dislikes | Comments_Count | Score  |
|--------|----------|----------------|--------|
| 787,425| 43,420   | 125,882        | 28.32  |
| 127,794| 1688     | 13,030         | 4.55   |
| 146,035| 5339     | 8181           | 5.2    |

#### 3.6. Encoding and Train Test Split

One hot encoding is an active technique for converting categorical variables into numeric data (binaries 0 and 1). In this case, 0.1 is assigned to SD and 1 to HD. As in one-hot encoding, one bit can be true at a time, so it is an effective technique for handling data that has two categories. In this study, 80% of the data is allocated for the training and 20% of the testing. The data which are part of the train split is used to teach the model, and later it is tested on unknown data, which is 20% of the whole data, kept for testing. The test data are used to check the model performance and generalization of the model. As discussed earlier, Python has different libraries that are meant to perform different tasks. Similarly, the library divides data into tests and training. The Sklearn library is used to split the data into train and test, which uses random state parameters to instruct the size of the split. In case of a small data set, data are divided into 30, 70 and 20:80 in the case of a large dataset. To generate the same set of train and test data points, the random state used in this study is 42. In training, data instances are 3500 and 1500 instances for the testing data. In the x train, there are 3500 instances in which 5 are independent features, (one of which is dependent).

#### 4. Conceptual Workflow and Experimental Setup

An enormous amount of work is conducted for video popularity prediction. All existing studies predict the estimated popularity of videos at different stages. Therefore, different features are considered to predict a video’s fame. We proposed a model that calculates the general fame score. The model makes the prediction of overall popularity simple and vibrant. Videos are analyzed on the basis of the views of videos at different time. The proposed model gives the popularity score, which tells whether the video is going to be viral or not. Two features, video quality and video duration, are extracted using YouTube API. The data set contains a total of 5 features after feature selection and fusion and 5000 records. The missing data in the data set is handled through pre-processing.

Pre-processing imputes missing values and converts character or text data to numeric by label encoding. NLP is taken into account to handle text or string data In the data set, text features are title, tags, and description, which always play a role in the prediction of video popularity. The title, tags, and description are fused to check all possible keywords against each video category. YouTube has predefined categories of video such as ID 10 being always allocated to music. Categories are converted to numeric units using the label encoder. Feature engineering is applied to the data set to select the features that are important for prediction. Likes, dislikes, and comment count features are combined to calculate the fame score. The popularity score is calculated using min-max normalization. The data set is divided into 20:80 ratio of training and testing. Using machine learning algorithms, we obtain different results. Precision parameter is used to assess the results. The experiment was performed using Python on an Anaconda environment. The proposed model is elaborated in Figure 1.
4.1. Decision Tree

The decision tree results acquired the 80% accuracy, 64% precision, 63% recall, and 63% F1 score. After tuning, accuracy improves from 80% to 83%. The decision tree for prediction is an effective approach that learns from simple decision rules from training data and applies those rules to test data. It is applied to a classification problem to decide whether the video gets popular or not.

4.2. XGBoost

The reason is that XGBoost performs well is that it converts weak learners into strong learners and trains the model well. The well-trained model can perform better in test data. XGBoost gives 86% precision, 84% precision, 63% recall, and 72% of F1_Score.

4.3. Tuned XGBoost

The tuned XGBoost is applied to enhance the results of the XGBoost algorithm. The parameters used for tuning are gamma, eta, learning rate, and n estimators. We adjusted the model’s parameters by conducting a 5-fold cross validation method 10 times to evaluate any combination of parameter values to enhance the model’s prediction performance. We evaluated 50 and 100 random trees, max depth of trees values (tree complexity) of 2 and 3, learning rate values (the model’s resistance to overfitting) of 0.3 and 0.4, and the proportion of observations to create trees of 0.5 and 0.8. We left other model parameters unchanged, such as gamma = 0, the proportion of all predictors to sample for each new tree = 0.8, and the minimum sum of weight for splitting point = 1.

The model acquires an accuracy of 88% after tuning the algorithm.

5. Results

A confusion matrix summarizes the real values to the values predicted by the machine learning model of YouTube videos in (Table 6). This gives us a holistic view of how well the proposed model works as compared to base model [26]. The false negatives are thought to be more tolerant than false positives in predicting YouTube video popularity. On this premise, we claim that the proposed model has performed well than the base model [26].
Table 6. Confusion Matrix of Base Paper.

|        | Positive  | Negative |
|--------|-----------|----------|
| Positive | TP—669    | FP—79    |
| Negative | FN—84     | TN—168   |

As expressed in (Table 5) the model predicts 669 TP and 168 TN out of 917 instances and (Table 6) the model predicts 725 TP and 155 TN out of 1000 instances. Predicting the video popularity, the performance measure that considered is the true positive (TP) rate. YouTube video prediction proposed model performance is better than in the existing study.

The comparison of different performance measures for the popularity prediction is presented in this section in (Table 7). Among all the existing prediction methods, we adapt the XGBoost method to predict the popularity. The comparison of decision tree and XGBoost shows that XGBoost performs well on YouTube data set to predict video fame.

Table 7. Confusion matrix of the classification results.

|        | Positive  | Negative |
|--------|-----------|----------|
| Positive | TP—725    | FP—29    |
| Negative | FN—91     | TN—155   |

The classification of the unpopular video has 0.89, 0.96, 0.92, 754 precisions, recall, f1 score, and support, respectively, in (Table 8). Class 1 known as the popular video has 0.84, 0.63, 0.72, 246 precision, recall, f1 score, and support, respectively, with an accuracy of 0.88 and support 1000 for all the classes.

Table 8. Classification Report.

| Class | Precision | Recall | F1_Score | Support |
|-------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|
| 0     | 0.89      | 0.96   | 0.92     | 754     |
| 1     | 0.84      | 0.63   | 0.72     | 246     |

5.1. Precision

The precision is the FP cases of prediction labeled as positive incorrectly shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Comparison of precision.

In our case, the model classifies videos as popular that are not actually popular. XGBoost is a boosting technique that has gained a reputation for its speed of execution
and model performance, and is increasingly being used as the default boosting method for predictions rather than decision tree.

5.2. Recall

Recall is the positive rate through the proposed model, the recall acquired through the model is 0.67, which means the model correctly predicts the popularity of videos, that are popular 67% of the time. The percentage of the total relevant results accurately classified by the algorithm is called the recall (represented in Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Comparison of recall results.](image)

By looking into the base model or algorithm, we end up with the result that the boosting algorithm XGBoost is good for predictions and is comparable to adaptive boosting (which is implemented in this method).

5.3. F1_Score

In case to check all the actual popular videos, F1 score is considered. F1 score is the harmonic mean of Precision and Recall. The model achieves an F1 score of 65% (as shown in Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Comparison of F1_Score results.](image)

This study is conducted to compare the old predictors and XGBoost is applied rather than decision tree with respect to classification errors.
5.4. Accuracy

Greater penalties on the minor class resulted in an increase of overall accuracy as measured by the f1-score since more false positives were present. Accuracy is the percentage of correct predictions for the test data in (Figure 5). Accuracy is the measure of all correctly identified videos. In our case, both classes are equally important (either the video gets viral or not), so computing accuracy is the best measure.

![Figure 5. Comparison of accuracy results.](image)

In our case, the number of FP is significant. While predicting the YouTube videos popularity FP is when in actual video is not going to be viral but the model predicts it as popular. FN is when a video is going to be viral, but the model predicts it as popular. The FP rate is measured in video popularity prediction case, which is why precision is important measuring parameter in video popularity prediction. The FP rate is considered in precision when we are looking for correctly predictions made by the model.

5.5. Computational Time

In the graphical representation, the computational time of the algorithm reduced after feature fusion is shown in (Figure 6). The computational time with three separate features is 35.0 s, and the execution time with fused features (Score) is 27.0 s.

![Figure 6. Summary of computational time.](image)

5.6. Discussion

Two different algorithms are applied to the decision tree model and XGBoost. The decision tree (Table 9) shows 80% accuracy, 64% precision, 63% recall, and 63% F1 score.
After tuning, the accuracy improves from 80.0% to 83.0%. The decision tree is used for prediction since it is an effective approach that learns from simple decision rules from training data and applies those rules to test data. Secondly, XGBoost is applied to predict popularity. The XGBoost is tuned by multiple parameters (i.e., gamma, eta, learning rate, and n estimators) to improve the results.

Table 9. Results.

|                      | Decision Tree | XGBoost  | Tuned XGBoost |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|
| Accuracy             | 80%           | 86%      | 88%           |
| Precision            | 64%           | 84%      | 84%           |
| Recall               | 63%           | 63%      | 63%           |
| F1_Score             | 63%           | 63%      | 72%           |

Tuned XGBoost performs well since it converts weak learners into strong learners and trains the model well. The well-trained model can perform better in test data. The tuned XGBoost algorithm acquired 88% accuracy, 84% precision, 63% recall, and 72% F1_Score.

6. Conclusions

Video popularity does not depend only on a few features. Video quality and video duration play a vital role for the video to become viral. The number of views on a video indicates whether a video is popular. Popularity is predicted using the tuned XGBoost algorithm. The decision tree and tuned XGBoost algorithms are compared, and the results show that tuned XGBoost performs better in terms of accuracy.

However, the work discussed in this study provides some limitations and reflects potential future ideas. In future, the work can be extended by considering video sound features; more classifiers can be used for prediction. Moreover, region-wise popularity can be considered, supposing that the researchers can consider in which region the language specified videos got popular. The other factor which can be analyzed is the areas which are content specified. Regarding the capacity of the proposed predictive model, certain approaches are helpful for the researchers to produce accurate and timely rankings. The results show that this capacity can be improved and utilized in specified area of research.
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