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ABSTRACT
In real-world applications, many optimization problems have the time-linkage property, that is, the objective function value relies on the current solution as well as the historical solutions. Although the rigorous theoretical analysis on evolutionary algorithms has rapidly developed in the last two decades, it remains an open problem to theoretically understand the behaviors of evolutionary algorithms on time-linkage problems. This paper takes the first step towards the rigorous analyses of evolutionary algorithms for time-linkage functions. Based on the basic OneMax function, we propose a time-linkage function where the first bit value of the last time step is integrated but has a different preference from the current first bit. We prove that with probability $1 - o(1)$, randomized local search and $(1 + 1)$ EA cannot find the optimum, and with probability $1 - o(1)$, $(1 + 1)$ EA is able to reach the optimum.

This paper for the Hot-off-the-Press track at GECCO 2021 summarizes the work “Analysis of Evolutionary Algorithms on Fitness Function with Time-linkage Property” by W. Zheng, H. Chen, and X. Yao, which has been accepted for publication in the IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation 2021 [19].

SUMMARY OF OUR RESULTS
Evolutionary Algorithms (EAs), one category of stochastic optimization algorithms that are inspired by the Darwinian principle and natural selection, have been widely utilized in real-world applications. However, the theoretical understandings are far behind the practical usage due to the difficulty of their mathematical analysis caused by their stochastic and iterative nature. Rigorous analyses can help to fundamentally understand EAs and ultimately design efficient algorithms in practice. Despite the increasing attention and insightful theoretical analyses in recent decades [1, 4, 7, 13, 21], there remain many important open areas that have not been considered in the evolutionary theory community.

One kind of important open issues is about the time-linkage problems. Time-linkage problems, firstly introduced by Bosman [2] into the evolutionary computation community, are the optimization problems where the objective function to be optimized relies not only on the solutions of the current time but also the historical ones. In other words, the current decisions also influence the future. There are plenty of applications with the time-linkage property, see more than 30 real-world (continuous and discrete) applications in the survey of [15].

The time-linkage optimization problems can be tackled offline or online according to different situations. If the problem pursues an overall solution with sufficient time budget and time-linkage dynamics can be integrated into a static objective function, then the problem can be solved offline. However, in the theoretical understanding on the static problem [1, 4, 7, 13, 21], no static benchmark function in the evolutionary theory community is time-linkage.

Another situation that real-world applications often encounter is that the solution must be solved online as time goes by. This time-linkage online problem is a dynamic optimization problem [15]. As
pointed out in [15], the whole evolutionary community, not only the evolutionary theory community, is lacking research on these real-world problems. The dynamic problems analyzed so far in the theory community majorly includes Dynamic OneMax [6], Magnitude and Balance [17], Maze [9], Bi-stable problem [8], dynamic linear functions [11], and the dynamic BinVal function [10] for dynamic pseudo-Boolean function, and dynamic combinatorial problems including the single-destination shortest path problem [12], makespan scheduling [14], the vertex cover problem [16], subset selection [18], graph coloring [3], etc. However, there is no theoretical analysis on dynamic time-linkage fitness functions, even no dynamic time-linkage pseudo-Boolean functions is proposed for the theoretical analysis.

In this work, we conduct the first step towards the understanding of EAs on the time-linkage function. When solving a time-linkage problem with EAs in an offline mode, the first thing faced by the practitioners utilizing EAs is how to encode the solution. There are obviously two straightforward encoding ways. Take the objective function relying on solutions of two time steps as an example. One way is to merely ignore the time-linkage dependency by solving a non-time-linkage function with double problem size. The other way is to consider the time-linkage dependency, encode the solution with the original problem size, but store the solutions generated in the previous time steps for the fitness evaluation. When solving the time-linkage problem in an online mode, engineers need to know before they conduct experiments whether the algorithm they use can solve the problem or not. Hence, in this paper, we design a time-linkage toy function based on OneMax to shed some light on these questions. This function, called OneMax(\(0,1^n\)) where \(n\) is the dimension size, is the sum of two components, one is the OneMax fitness of the current \(n\)-dimensional solution, the other one is the value of the first dimension in the previous solution but multiplying the opposite of the dimension size. The design of this function considers the situation when the current solution prefers a different value from the previous solution, which could better show the influence of different encodings. Also, it could be the core element of some dynamic time-linkage functions and used in the situation that each time step we only optimize the current state of the online problem in a limited time, so that the analysis of this function could also show some insights to the undiscovered theory for the dynamic time-linkage functions.

For our results, we analyze the theoretical behaviors of randomized local search (RLS) and two most common benchmark EAs, (1 + 1) EA and (\(\mu + 1\)) EA, on OneMax(\(0,1^n\)). We show that with probability 1 – \(\alpha(1)\), RLS and (1 + 1) EA cannot find the optimum of OneMax(\(0,1^n\)) while the not small population size in (\(\mu + 1\)) EA can help it reach the optimum with probability 1 – \(\alpha(1)\). We also show that conditional on an event with probability 1 – \(\alpha(1)\), the expected runtime for (\(\mu + 1\)) EA is \(O(n\mu)\).

Discussion: Here we discuss the reason for the searching difficulty of the (1 + 1) EA in a more intuitive way. For the problems with no time-linkage property, most EAs use the global operators, which ensures the reachability of each search point in the search space, thus ensures the global convergence. One example for the not convergent EA could be the binary differential evolution analyzed in [5, Sec. 3.1] since the stochastic dependence results in its operators not global. For our case, it seems that the (1 + 1) EA uses a global mutation operator. However, noting that the optimum is defined in an \((n + 1)\)-dimensional space while the search space is \(n\)-dimensional, the mutation operator in the \(n\)-dimensional space is not a global operator with respect to the \((n + 1)\)-dimensional space, thus could not ensure the global convergence. Besides, due to the selection operator, the (1 + 1) EA will get stuck in some subspace and our results show that it happens with 1 – \(\alpha(1)\) probability. For the (\(\mu + 1\)) EA with the not small parent population size, our results show that with probability of 1 – \(\alpha(1)\), its maintained diversity will prevent the stagnation cases taking over the whole population before the optimum is reached.

Impact: This work makes the first attempt to the theoretical analysis of the EAs on time-linkage problem. It has brought some interesting results, like the theoretically positive support for the non-elitist evolutionary algorithms [20]. More theoretical discussions on more complicated and practical time-linkage problems will be addressed in the future.
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