Investigation of a Solar Polygeneration System for a Multi-Storey Residential Building-Dynamic Simulation and Performance Analysis
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ABSTRACT. In the present study, the performance of a novel configuration of a solar polygeneration system for a multi-family residential building is investigated using dynamic simulation models. The system consists in Building Integrated PhotoVoltaic/Thermal (BIPVT) collectors, a water-to-water reversible heat pump and an adsorption chiller. The solar system will ensure space heating in winter, space cooling in summer and domestic hot water and electricity all over the year for a multi-storey building located in Algiers (Algeria). In the case of insufficient solar energy, the system is equipped with a gas-fired heater for auxiliary heat production, whereas the auxiliary electricity is supplied by the national grid. First, the simulation models of the solar system components and the building were described and developed in TRNSYS environment. Then, an energy-economic model based on the calculation of the primary energy consumption, the primary energy saving, the simple payback period and the electrical and thermal solar fractions, was carried-out. Finally, the system performance in terms of daily, monthly and yearly results was investigated and compared to the performance of a conventional energy system commonly used in Algerian buildings. The simulation results indicate that the solar collectors have the potential to cover more than 56% and 72% of the yearly heat and electricity requirements, respectively. The total primary energy saving achieved by the solar system with respect to the conventional one is 37.1 MWh/yr, which represents 39% of the energy consumption of the conventional system. However, the economic feasibility of proposed solar system is difficult to be achieved due to the high initial cost of the solar collectors. Indeed, the obtained simple payback period is 55.40 years. Moreover, a sensitivity analysis has been performed aiming at studying the effect of various technical and economical parameters on the system performance. The analysis shows that the energetic as well as economic performances of the system are strongly influenced by the photovoltaic/thermal filed area, the system cost and the unitary cost of electricity. The system becomes economically profitable when the system cost is 400 €/m² and the electricity cost is 0.12 €/kWh. Additionally, the system performance is better in climate conditions where solar potential and building energy requirements are important.

Keywords: solar polygeneration system; system assisted heat pump; adsorption chiller; energy and economic performances; primary energy saving; simple payback period.

Article History: Received: 17th November 2020; Revised: 22nd January 2021; Accepted: 10th February 2021; Available online: 18th Feb 2021

How to Cite This Article: Missoum, M. and Loukarfi, L. (2021) Investigation of a Solar Polygeneration System for a Multi-Storey Residential Building-Dynamic Simulation and Performance Analysis. Int. Journal of Renewable Energy Development, 10(3), 445-458
https://doi.org/10.14710/ijred.2021.44423

1. Introduction

In the recent years, the use of renewable energy systems instead of conventional fossil fuel-based energy systems is regarded as a promising solution for reducing the growing energy demand and greenhouse gas emissions, particularly in building sector, which accounts for, respectively, more than 40% and 30% of total energy end-use and greenhouse gas emissions (Costa et al., 2013). Of particular interest are solar polygeneration systems, which use solar irradiation for producing multiple useful energy outputs like electricity and heat efficiently (Kasaedian et al., 2020). Among the available solar technologies, hybrid thermal energy and electricity collectors (i.e. PhotoVoltaic/Thermal (PVT) collectors) are promising due to their several advantages, namely: the simultaneous production of heat and electricity which improves strongly the overall energy efficiency of the solar system; low investment cost; and easy operating conditions and maintenance (Brahim & Jenmi, 2017).

For building application, various configurations can be obtained by combining PVT collectors and different energy conversion devices (Calise et al., 2018). The thermal energy output from PVT collectors can be used directly for heating the building and domestic hot water production, or/and to drive an absorption (Abolhaida et al., 2017), adsorption (Papoutsis et al., 2017), chillers and desiccant machines (Guo et al., 2017) for meeting the building cooling requirements. Moreover, PVT collectors can be easily used to drive direct (Fraga et al., 2017; Awani et al., 2015), or indirect (Plytaria et al., 2018) solar assisted heat pumps (SAHP) due to their low operating temperature. An extensive review about the combination of PVT collectors with heat pumps (PVT-HP systems) was performed by (Vaishah& Bhale, 2019).
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Solar polygeneration systems with stand-alone PVT collectors for building application have been investigated in several studies (Buonomano et al., 2019; Calise et al., 2012); Sancho, 2014). The system is always equipped with an adsorption chiller or/and solar assisted heat pump due to their low operating temperature (Calise et al., 2016; Ramos et al., 2017). Furthermore, the performance of the system could be enhanced by adding an electrical storage system (Calise et al., 2017).

In the last decade, several studies focused on the integration of solar power and heat collectors, such as Building Integrated PhotoVoltaic-Thermal (BIPVT) collectors, within the building elements (Shan et al., 2014). In comparison to a Building Integrated Photovoltaic (BIPV) system, the performance of BIPVT system has significant potential for wide use in buildings since a certain amount of thermal energy is produced (Agrawal & Tiwari, 2010; Debbarmaa et al., 2017). (Calise et al. 2020) studied and compared the energetic and economic performances of two different polygeneration systems. The first system is based on BIPV collectors, whereas the second one includes Building Integrated hybrid BIPVT collectors. For both systems, the electricity produced by solar collectors is used in parallel with that provided by small size wind turbines to drive a reversible air-to-water heat pump. A case study of a hotel building is considered. The two systems performances were investigated by means of a dynamic simulation model in TRNSYS. It was found that the best primary energy saving is obtained in the BIPVT case (73%) vs. the BIPV system (70%). However, the system based on BIPV collectors is more economically profitable than the BIPVT one. A simple payback period (SPB) of about 4.5 years is achieved by the system with BIPV system. From environmental point of view, the difference about the avoided CO2 emissions is not significant between the two studied systems. The obtained results from parametric analysis showed that the number and size of wind turbines affect strongly the economic performance of the system.

The major advantage of BIPVT collectors, with respect to stand-alone PVT one, is their potential to reduce passively and actively the overall building energy demand (Lamnatou et al., 2015). (Buonomano et al. 2015) investigated the performance of different residential buildings integrating BIPVT collectors for simultaneous production of thermal energy, electricity and domestic hot water. The PVT collectors are integrated in the building roof and/or south façade or installed on a land adjacent to a typical multi-floors residential building. A building without solar collectors is considered as a reference building. Electrical heat pumps and a gas fired boiler are used as backup systems. The objective of the study was to determine the passive as well as active influences of the integration of solar technologies within the building on its energy requirements. The study was conducted for various European weather zones. Dynamic simulation models are used to assess and compare the performances of proposed systems. The simulation results show that the variation of the building envelope capacitance affects slightly the energy performance of the building-plant system. However, for all considered weather zones, considerable primary energy savings are achieved by the different considered building-plant configurations, with respect to the reference one. Further, the integration of PVT panels in both roof and south façade of the building leads to the highest energy saving. The best performances were achieved in weather zones with low heating degree days (HDD). In fact, the highest PES (89%) is achieved in Almeria. Regarding economical performance, simple payback periods ranging between 11 and 20 years were obtained for the all analyzed building-plan systems and weather zones. Finally, SPBs achieved by building PVT panels integrated in only-roof are lower than those obtained by roof and facade BIPVT collectors.

BIPVT collectors produce low-temperature useful heat, which may be utilized directly for satisfying space heating and domestic hot water (DHW) (Li et al., 2015; Beker et al., 2015). In another work, (Buonomano et al. 2019) presented an exergetic and techno-economic analysis of a BIPVT system, coupled with an electricity storage system (ESS) in order to overcome the problem of solar energy fluctuations and intermittency. The system is designed to supply space heating via a radian floor, domestic hot water and electricity for a high-rise building. Auxiliary systems, including air-to-water heat pumps for space heating and cooling and a condensing boiler to produce DHW, are considered in this study. The auxiliary electrical energy is supplied by the national grid. The BIPVT system performance is compared with a conventional building (without PVT system, ESS and radian floor) for different European whether zones. The transient behavior of the BIPVT system is predicted by TRNSYS tool. The results show that significant reductions of thermal and electrical energy demands required from auxiliary systems and the national grid, respectively, are achieved. The average exergetic efficiencies of ESS and the condensing boiler one are about 90% and 2%, respectively. The proposed system is economically viable with a SPB of about 4 years. The highest destroyed exergy of BIPVT occurs in Larnaca (150 MWh/year), whereas the better BIPVT collectors exergetic efficiency is 8.8% for Belfast.

The thermal energy produced by BIPVT collectors can also satisfy space cooling through heat-driven machines, such as adsorption chiller (45°C-65°C). Indeed, the same authors (Buonomano et al., 2017) enhanced the above mentioned polygeneration system layout by adding an adsorption chiller for space cooling purpose. The system is investigated from energy, economic and environmental points of view. The system was applied on multi-floor office building for different Italian climate conditions. The produced electricity is used to satisfy the building needs and the extra-production is delivered to the grid or stored in lead-acid batteries. It was found that the primary energy savings ranges between 58.5%–68.8% and the SPB ranges between 10.6–11.3 years and the equivalent carbon dioxide avoided emissions range between 76.3–90.2%.

In this paper, a novel configuration of a polygeneration system is investigated from both energetic and economic points of view. The proposed system is based on the combination of BIPVT solar collectors with two low-temperature heat-driven devices, an indirect water-water heat pump and an adsorption chiller. According to the literature review, this solar system configuration has never been studied. The system will supply space heating and cooling, domestic hot water and electricity for a typical multi-family building in Algeria. A dynamic simulation model of the solar system is developed using TRNSYS tool. An efficient system control is integrated in the model for the proper functioning of the solar system.
The performance of the proposed solar system is investigated with respect to a reference system using fossil fuels and commonly used in Algerian buildings. Furthermore, a sensitivity analysis is also carried out in order to determine the effect of various technical and economical parameters on the performance of the solar system, such as BIPVT area, system cost, volume tank, unitary cost of fossil fuels and weather climate conditions.

2. System description

The system studied in this work is designed to convert the solar irradiation into both thermal and electrical energies for covering a great part of the energy demands of a multi-family building located in (Algiers) Algeria. The later is considered as a reference building. The building was built in the framework of a pilot project called ECOBAT launched by the government with the aim to enhance the energy efficiency in building sector (Bahria et al., 2016), which is responsible for more than 35% of the final energy consumption (MEM, 2019).

The system heats the building in winter and cools it in summer and produces domestic hot water (DHW) and electricity all the year around. The building brings the electrical energy from the utility grid in case of absence or intermittent solar radiation. Meanwhile, a gas-fired auxiliary heater is used to complete the thermal energy demand.

The considered solar system layout consists of Building Integrated Photovoltaic/Thermal (BIPVT) collectors, three inertial storage tanks (TK1, TK2 and TK3), five fixed-volume pumps (P1-P6), an adsorption chiller (AdCH), a water-to-water heat pump (HP) and a gas-fired auxiliary heater (AH), in addition to several others secondary components such as valves, pipes, mixers and diverters.

The electrical energy output from the BIPVT system is used primarily to satisfy the electric demand of the system (heat pump, adsorption chiller and electric pumps). The excess of electrical energy could be consumed by building electric equipments (such as lighting and electric appliance). The schematic drawn of the system under investigation coupled to the considered building is presented in Figure 1.

The solar polygeneration system (PS) is dynamically simulated using TRNSYS software. For the proper functioning of the solar system, an effective control strategy was applied by using several types of controllers (such as on/off controllers, thermostats, and aquastats, etc.).

The operating mode of the system is changed automatically, depending on the building needs and seasons. The heat provided by BIPVT collectors is transported to TK1, where it is used to supply the HP in winter and a part of the heat required by AdCH in summer. The surplus of produced heat is delivered to TK2 for the DHW preparation. In other words, when TK1 average temperature is within fixed range (25-30°C) in winter or (60-65°C) in summer, BIPVT collectors deliver heat to TK2 using P1. The pump P1 of the solar loop is turned on only when there is sufficient solar radiation. When the heat produced by solar collectors is insufficient in summer, a gas-fired auxiliary heater is activated to add the thermal energy required by the AdCH.

Fig 2. Daily profile of DHW demand of the building
In heating season, P2 is used to circulate the hot water between TK1 and the HP, which produces thermal energy needed to keep TK3 temperature in the range (45-50°C). When the temperature in the building drops below 20°C, pump (P3) is turned on and hot water is pumped through the building via a heating floor. In summer, chilled water, drawn by pump P3, is delivered to TK3 to conserve the later within the required temperature range (12-15°C).

When the building temperature exceeds the cooling set point temperature (25°C), P6 is turned on and cold water is supplied to the building floor. The heat rejected from the adsorption chiller is transferred to the ambient by a cooling tower, via P5. When the DHW is required, the hot water is delivered directly from the storage tank TK2 to the user. Another auxiliary heater is connected to TK2 to supply any deficit heat requirements. A tempering valve is used to ensure DHW temperature at around 45°C at the user-end.

The daily profile for DHW demand of the building occupants is obtained by considering a request of 50 l/person and is reported in Figure 2. In Algeria, space heating and cooling are usually provided separately, using gas-fired heaters and air-conditioning units, respectively, as shown in Figure 3. In this paper, in order to show the advantage of the proposed solar system, its performance is investigated with respect to a reference system. In this system, the electricity from the utility grid is used to drive an electric compression chiller (ECH) for space cooling and natural gas is used to power a gas-fired air heater for space heating. At same time, the natural gas is used to power a gas-fired water heater to supply the required DHW for the building occupants.

3. TRNSYS model

The solar system under investigation is simulated using TRNSYS software. It is one of the widely used transient simulation tool in the design of dynamic energy systems and their interaction with buildings (TRNSYS, 2006). The mathematical models of the system components are displayed in Table 1.

3.1. Building

The reference building energy demands are estimated using Type 56 component of TRNSYS. The zone is described using this component through the introduction of a set of parameters and inputs. Characteristics of walls, windows, roof, and floors, internal gains, and air change rate should be specified. Properties of different elements of the building envelope (thermal conductivity, transmittance, thickness, etc.) are either introduced. The U-values of the opaque building envelope are presented in Table 2.
3.2. Solar collectors

The solar collector used in the study is a BIPVT collector (Type 650) (Duffie & Beckman, 2016). It is a traditional thermal collector device integrating a PV layer, which produces simultaneously heat and electricity. The most important parameters for the evaluation of the BIPVT collectors are electrical and thermal efficiencies. The PV electrical efficiency ($\eta_{el}$) is calculated using its nominal power at standard test conditions ($P_{el,STC}$) as follows:

$$\eta_{el} = \frac{P_{el,STC}}{G_{BIPVT}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $G_{BIPVT}$ is the total incident solar radiation at standard test conditions.

The thermal energy gain of BIPVT collector is calculated as:

$$Q_{BIPVT} = \dot{m} \cdot c_{p} \cdot (T_{f,\text{out}} - T_{f,\text{in}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where $T_{f,\text{in}}$ and $T_{f,\text{out}}$ are the inlet and outlet fluid temperatures.

The fluid temperature at the outlet of BIPVT collector is calculated as:

$$T_{f,\text{out}} = (T_{f,\text{in}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{k}) \exp\left(\frac{N_{\text{tubes}}}{m \cdot c_{p} \cdot \varepsilon} \cdot L \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{k}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where $N_{\text{tubes}}$ is the number of identical tubes carrying the fluid through the collector; $L$ is the tube length; $\varepsilon$, $k$ and $\theta$ depend on the collector geometry, heat transfer coefficients, thermal resistances and temperatures of sky, ambient and back collector surface (Li et al., 2015).

3.3. Adsorption chiller

The adsorption chiller considered for the study is a single effect hot-water adsorption chiller. It is modeled using Type 909 and is equipped with open-circuit cooling tower, CT (Type 51) (Longo et al., 2017). The electricity used by fans and pumps are taking into consideration in the simulation of the whole system.

In order to reach the set point temperature, $T_{\text{CHW, set}}$, a certain amount of thermal energy should be removed from the entering chilled water (CHW). It can be calculated as follows:

$$\dot{Q}_{\text{CHW}} = \dot{m}_{\text{CHW}} \cdot c_{\text{p,CHW}} \cdot (T_{\text{CHW, in}} - T_{\text{CHW, set}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Where $T_{\text{CHW, set}}$ is the set point temperature of the outlet chilled water stream.

The thermal energy removed from the hot water (HW) supplied to the chiller is given by the following equation.

#### Table 2

**U-value of the building envelope**

| Element          | Layers                  | Thickness (m) | U-value (W/m².K) |
|------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Roof             | Tile                    | 0.02          | 5.88            |
| High slab        | Fermacell               | 0.01          |                 |
|                  | OSB                     | 0.02          |                 |
|                  | Air space               | 0.15          | 0.39            |
|                  | OSB                     | 0.02          |                 |
|                  | Polystyrene             | 0.08          |                 |
| Exterior wall_Brick | Brick                  | 0.10          |                 |
|                  | Polystyrene             | 0.08          | 0.38            |
|                  | Brick                   | 0.10          |                 |
| Exterior wall_concrete | Concrete         | 0.15          |                 |
|                  | Polystyrene             | 0.05          | 0.57            |
|                  | brick                   | 0.10          |                 |
| Intermediate wall | Concrete                | 0.05          |                 |
|                  | Hollow block            | 0.17          | 1.99            |
| Interior wall_Brick | Brick                  | 0.10          | 2.13            |
| Interior wall_concrete | Concrete         | 0.15          | 2.99            |
| Low slab         | Concrete                | 0.05          | 2.68            |
|                  | Hollow block            | 0.17          |                 |
| Crawl space      | Raw earth               | 0.10          | 2.32            |
| Windows          | Double glazing          | -             | 2.22            |
\[ Q_{\text{HW}} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{\text{CHW}}}{\text{COP}_{\text{ACH}}} \] (7)

The heat rejected to the cooling water stream (CW) is given by:
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{CW}} = \dot{Q}_{\text{CHW}} + \dot{Q}_{\text{HW}} + E_{\text{ACH}} \] (8)

Where, \( E_{\text{ACH}} \) is the auxiliary power required by the adsorption chiller.

The coefficient of performance (COP) of the adsorption chiller is defined as:
\[ \text{COP}_{\text{ACH}} = \frac{\dot{Q}_{\text{CHW}}}{E_{\text{ACH}} + \dot{Q}_{\text{HW}}} \] (9)

3.4. Heat pump

The water-to-water heat pump is simulated by using type 669 from TRNSYS library. It is used in heating operation mode for supplying space heating. The heat pump COP is the ratio between the nominal capacity of the heat pump (\( N_{\text{HP}} \)) and its electrical energy consumption (\( E_{\text{HP}} \)), as follows (Mitchell & Braun, 1997):
\[ \text{COP}_{\text{HP}} = \frac{N_{\text{HP}}}{E_{\text{HP}}} \] (10)

In heating mode, the heat absorbed from the source liquid stream \( \dot{Q}_{\text{ab}} \) is given by:
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{ab}} = N_{\text{HP}} - E_{\text{HP}} \] (11)

The outlet temperatures of the two water streams (source and load) are given by:
\[ T_{\text{source,out}} = T_{\text{source,in}} - \frac{\dot{Q}_{\text{ab}}}{m_{\text{source}}c_p_{\text{source}}} \] (12)
\[ T_{\text{load,out}} = T_{\text{load,in}} - \frac{N_{\text{HP}}}{m_{\text{load}}c_p_{\text{load}}} \] (13)

3.5. Gas-fired auxiliary heater

The gas-fired auxiliary heater, \( \text{AH} \), is modeled using (Type 6) for supplying additional heating to the system. In our case, auxiliary heater is used to supply auxiliary thermal energy required for the chiller and DHW production.

The auxiliary heat transferred to fluid is given by the following equation:
\[ \dot{Q}_{\text{AH}} = \frac{m \cdot c_p \cdot (T_{\text{set}} - T_{\text{in}}) + U_A \cdot (T - T_{\text{ao}})}{\eta_{\text{AH}}} \] (14)

Where \( T = \frac{T_{\text{set}} + T_{\text{ao}}}{2} \) and \( T_{\text{set}} \) is the set point temperature of outlet fluid, \( T_{\text{ao}} \) is the ambient temperature and \( \eta_{\text{AH}} \) is the auxiliary heater efficiency.

4. Energy-economic model

In order to study the feasibility of the solar system under investigation, an energy-economic model is proposed. The model includes the thermal and electrical solar fractions, the primary energy consumption, the primary energy saving achieved by the use of the solar system instead of the conventional one and the simple payback period.

4.1. Thermal and electrical solar fractions

The thermal solar fraction (\( SF_{\text{th}} \)) of the considered solar system is determined as the ratio between the thermal energy collected by the BIPVT field (\( Q_{\text{BIPVT}} \)) and the heat delivered by auxiliary heater to drive the adsorption chiller (\( Q_{\text{AH,ACH}} \)) and DHW production (\( Q_{\text{AH,DHW}} \)), as follows:
\[ SF_{\text{th}} = 1 - \frac{Q_{\text{PV}}}{Q_{\text{AH,DHW}} + Q_{\text{AH,ACH}}} \] (15)

While, the electrical solar fraction \( SF_{\text{el}} \) is the ratio between the electrical energy produced by the BIPVT field (\( E_{\text{BIPVT}} \)) and the sum of electrical energy loads of the heat pump, adsorption chiller, pumps and lighting, as follows:
\[ SF_{\text{el}} = 1 - \frac{E_{\text{BIPVT}}}{E_{\text{HP}} + E_{\text{ACH}} + E_{\text{el}} + E_{\text{light}}} \] (16)

4.2. Primary energy consumption (PEC)

The primary energy consumption of the reference system is due to the electricity consumption of the electrical compression chiller (\( E_{\text{ECH}} \)), circulating pump (\( E_{\text{p}} \)) and lighting(\( E_{\text{light}} \)) and the natural gas consumed by the gas-fired heaters for DHW preparation (\( Q_{\text{AH,DHW}} \)) and space heating (\( Q_{\text{AH,SH}} \)).

\[ PEC_{\text{RS}} = \frac{E_{\text{ECH}} + E_{\text{p}} + E_{\text{light}} + Q_{\text{AH,DHW}} + Q_{\text{AH,SH}}}{\eta_{\text{AH}}} \] (17)

Where, \( \eta_{\text{AH}} \) is the auxiliary gas-fired heater efficiency and \( \eta_{\text{el}} \) is the electricity efficiency at power plant.

The primary energy consumption of the solar system, in terms of conventional sources, is resulted in: i) the electricity consumption of circulating pumps (\( E_{\text{p}} \)), adsorption chiller (\( E_{\text{ACH}} \)) and heat pump (\( E_{\text{HP}} \)), ii) the natural gas consumption of the gas-fired auxiliary heater due to DHW production (\( Q_{\text{AH,DHW}} \)) and the operation of the adsorption chiller (\( Q_{\text{AH,ACH}} \)). Noting that the electricity produced by BIPVT field (\( E_{\text{BIPVT}} \)) is taken into consideration in the calculation of PEC as an energy gain.

\[ PEC_{\text{PS}} = \frac{E_{\text{ACH}} + E_{\text{HP}} + E_{\text{el}} - E_{\text{BIPVT}}}{\eta_{\text{el}}} + \frac{Q_{\text{AH,DHW}} + Q_{\text{AH,ACH}}}{\eta_{\text{AH}}} \] (18)
The primary energy saving (PES) achieved by the use of solar system, instead of the reference one, is given by:

\[ PES = PEC_{RS} - PEC_{PS} \]

(19)

4.4. Simple payback period (SPB)

The economic feasibility of the studied solar system was investigated considering the Payback Period method:

\[ SPB = \frac{IC_{PS} - IC_{RS}}{OC_{RS} - OC_{PS}} \]

(20)

Where: \( IC_{RS} \) and \( IC_{PS} \) are the investment capital costs of reference and solar systems, respectively, whereas \( OC_{RS} \) and \( OC_{PS} \) are the annual operating costs of the reference and solar system, respectively. The costs of solar system components are presented in Table 3. The operating cost of the RS is calculated as follows:

\[ OC_{RS} = PEC_{el,RS} \times C_{el} + PEC_{NG,RS} \times C_{NG} \]

(21)

The operating cost of the PS is calculated as follows:

\[ OC_{PS} = PEC_{el,PS} \times C_{el} + PEC_{NG,PS} \times C_{NG} \]

(22)

Where, \( C_{el} \) and \( C_{NG} \) represent the price of electricity (0.04 €/kWh) and natural gas (0.004 €/kWh), respectively.

5. Simulation results and discussions

The performance of the proposed polygeneration system is investigated under Mediterranean climate (Algiers), Algeria. As previously indicated, the system will produce thermal and electrical energies for a typical multi-storey building. The heating and cooling energy demands of the reference building (without solar system) are estimated by TRNSYS software. The annual heating and cooling demands are 11.3 MWh/y and 15.4 MWh/y, respectively. While, the DHW and electrical energy demands are 60 MWh/y and 13.5 MWh/y, respectively.

Table 3
Solar system components costs (Canelli et al. 2014; Vaishak & Bhale, 2019)

| System component     | Capacity | Cost function |
|----------------------|----------|---------------|
| BIPVT collectors     | 100 m²   | 600 × \( A_{BIPVT} \) |
| Water tank           | 3 m³     | 495.9 + 808×\( T_k \) |
| AdCH                 | 25 kW    | 300×\( P_{AdCH} \) |
| Cooling tower        | -        | 0.005×\( P_{CT} \) +13.31×\( P_{CT} \)+885.5 |
| Heat pump            | 30 kW    | 4.7108×\( NC_{HP}^2 \)+139.69×\( NC_{HP} \)+3845.7 |
| Auxiliary heater     | 15 kW    | 102 \( Q_{AN} \) |
| Circulation pump     | -        | 167 €         |
| Controller           | -        | 170 €         |

5.1. System performance

In this section, the thermal and electrical as well as economic performances of the proposed solar system are analyzed in terms of daily, monthly and yearly simulation results.

5.1.1. Daily results

The daily simulation results of the solar system are presented by selecting two representative winter and summer days. Figure 4 shows the system energy flows of the solar system during the selected winter day. It can be noticed that the heat and electricity generated by the BIPVT solar collectors are directly related to the potential of solar energy. The maximum energy productions \( Q_{BIPVT}=56 \) kWh and \( E_{BIPVT}=8 \) kWh are reached at 1:00 p.m., corresponding to the maximum solar energy (77 kWh). The heat output from BIPVT field is entirely delivered to TK1 during the first part of the day (between 8:30 a.m. and 12:00 a.m.). In this period, the heat pump is turn on to keep TK3 temperature within the temperature range (45°C-50°C). The thermal energy \( Q_{TK3} \) is transferred from TK3 to the building between 9:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m. to keep its indoor air temperature at winter set-point temperature (up to 20°C). In the remaining part of the day (between 12:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.), when TK3 is thermally loaded and the building energy demand is absent, the thermal energy is delivered to TK2 for DHW production. Auxiliary heater is used to adjust the temperature of DHW at 45°C.

![Fig 4. Energy flows in a typical day of winter](image-url)
The daily results for the representative summer day are shown in Figure 5. As shown, a great part of thermal energy is delivered to TK1 for satisfying the high thermal energy required by the adsorption chiller, which will supply the energy demand for space cooling. Indeed, the later is turned on two times in the day, from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. to keep TK3 temperature within the range (12°C-15°C).

In case of insufficient heat from TK1, auxiliary thermal energy is delivered by the gas-fired heater to complete the heat needed for the proper functioning of the adsorption chiller. Only a small part of thermal energy is transferred to TK2 between 2:00 p.m. and 4:00 p.m. to produce DHW. Therefore, hot water at 45°C for users is ensured by auxiliary heater. The energy demand for space cooling occurs between 4:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. and cold water is pumped directly from TK3 to the building to keep its indoor air temperature at summer set-point temperature (under 25°C).

From daily results, it can be concluded that a significant part of electrical and thermal energy demands is supplied by BIPVT solar collectors; however, auxiliary energies from the grid and gas-fired heater are mandatory due to the solar energy fluctuations and intermittency.

5.1.2. Monthly results
The system performance is also investigated in terms of monthly results to more clarify the energy behavior of the solar system during the different periods of the year. Figure 6 shows the monthly thermal energies produced by the solar collectors and the auxiliary heater, and the associated solar thermal fraction (SF\textsubscript{th}). As mentioned above, the thermal energy (Q\textsubscript{BIPVT}) generated by BIPVT field is related to the availability of solar radiation. It is important in hot months and lower in cold months. For example: the maximum Q\textsubscript{BIPVT} is 4×10\textsuperscript{3} kWh in January and 6×10\textsuperscript{3} kWh in July. The same thing is happened for the heat delivered by auxiliary heater, which is higher in summer and lower in winter. Indeed, important solar fractions (SF\textsubscript{th}), ranging between 0.66 and 0.75, are noticed in winter due to the low thermal energy required for the operation of the heat pump, which is entirely supplied by solar energy. However, the lowest solar fractions are observed in summer (0.42-0.46).

This is due to the high thermal energy required by the adsorption chiller. In this period, the produced thermal energy is entirely used as a thermal source for the adsorption chiller and thus DHW demand is totally ensured by the auxiliary heater (ex. 6.66×10\textsuperscript{3} kWh in August).

The highest solar fraction is achieved in middle season (SF\textsubscript{th}=0.83 in April) because of the low/absent energy demand of the building and thus the produced thermal energy in this period is used only for DHW production.

Figure 7 shows the monthly electrical energies produced by the BIPVT filed, used by the adsorption chiller and the heat pump, and the associated electrical solar fraction (SF\textsubscript{el}). It can be noticed that the electrical energy output from the BIPVT field (E\textsubscript{BIPVT}) can cover a great part of electrical energy demand during hot months (SF\textsubscript{el}=0.9 in July). This is mainly due to the high solar energy availability in this period, in addition to the low electrical energy used by the adsorption chiller. Moreover, the total electricity demand is supplied by BIPVT field in middle season (SF\textsubscript{el}=1 in May, June and September). In this period, the produced electricity is used only for circulating pump and lighting, as the cooling energy demand of the building is very low or absent. The lowest electrical solar fractions are observed in cold months due to the high electrical energy used by the heat pump and scarce solar radiation. For example: in January, the electrical energy used by heat pump is 1890 kWh with a SF\textsubscript{el} of 0.39.
temperature in the Mediterranean climate is low, and thus the thermal losses of the BIPVT collector are significant. The yearly COP of the adsorption chiller is 0.51, which is near to the nominal one (0.53). This occurs because the hot side of the adsorption chiller is always maintained between 60°C and 65°C by the gas-fired auxiliary heater. Moreover, the yearly mean COP of the water-to-water heat pump is 3.8 since solar energy is not always able to satisfy the operating temperature of the heat pump.

The annual primary energy consumption of the solar system (5.70 \times 10^4 \text{ KWh/year}) is lower compared to the primary energy consumed by the reference system (9.42 \times 10^4 \text{ KWh/year}), leading to a lower annual operating cost of 819 €, with an operating cost saving of 1791 €/year. Regarding the primary energy saving, more than 37 \times 10^3 \text{ KWh of energy is annually saved, which represents a reduction of 39% of the electricity and natural gas used by the reference system. However, the initial cost of the system under investigation is very high (116287 €, about 1100 €/m²), in comparison to the cost of the reference system (17000 €). It is mainly due to the BIPVT field which accounts for 57% of the total system cost. Therefore, the calculated simple payback period is 55.40 years. The later is high than the considered life span of the polygeneration system (20 years).

It can be concluded that, although the significant energy savings achieved by the solar system, its economical achievability is not possible because of the high initial cost of solar energy components and lower unitary prices of gas and electricity in Algeria.

5.2. Sensitivity analysis

In this study, in order to determine the optimal sizes of the solar system components and to find the best solutions that make the solar system under investigation economically profitable, a sensitivity analysis is conducted. Thus, the effects of various designs and economical parameters such as BIPVT field area, tank volume, system cost, fossil fuel prices and climate conditions on the energetic and economic performances of the system, are investigated.

Table 4. Annual thermal, electrical and economic results

| Parameter | Value | Unit |
|-----------|-------|------|
| I_{BIPVT} | 1.56 \times 10^5 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| E_{BIPVT} | 1.73 \times 10^4 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| Q_{BIPVT} | 4.41 \times 10^4 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| Q_{DH,W} | 1.57 \times 10^4 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| E_{DH} | 7.16 \times 10^3 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| Q_{AH,ch} | 1.76 \times 10^4 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| E_{ACH} | 2.19 \times 10^3 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| SF_{sc} | 0.72 | - |
| SF_{ch} | 0.56 | - |
| \eta_{ah} | 0.28 | - |
| \eta_{rh} | 0.11 | - |
| COP_{HP} | 3.8 | - |
| COP_{ACH} | 0.51 | - |
| PEC_{RS} | 9.42 \times 10^4 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| PEC_{PS} | 5.70 \times 10^4 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| RES | 3.71 \times 10^4 | \text{KWh/yr} |
| PES | 0.59 | - |
| I_{CS} | 17004 | € |
| OCS | 2610 | €/year |
| I_{CS} | 1.16 \times 10^5 | € |
| OCS | 819 | €/year |
| SPB | 1791 | €/year |
| OCS | 55.40 | Years |

5.1.3. Yearly results

Table 4 summarizes the year-round simulation results of the solar system for the weather condition of Algiers. In such Table, electrical and thermal energy productions, primary energy consumption and primary energy saving achieved by the system are also presented.

The contribution of the energy produced by the BIPVT solar collectors is very important. Indeed, the yearly produced thermal energy (Q_{BIPVT}) is 44.1 \times 10^3 \text{ KWh/year}. It covers 56% (solar thermal fraction) of the total thermal energy demand (the operation of the HP, AdCH and DWH production). A great part of this thermal energy (34.1 \times 10^3 \text{ KWh/year}) is supplied to the heat pump and adsorption chiller and only 7.12 \times 10^2 \text{ KWh/year} is used for DWH production. Meanwhile, the electrical energy produced by solar collectors (E_{BIPVT}) is 17.3 \times 10^3 \text{ KWh/year}; it represents 72% (solar electrical fraction) of the total electrical energy demand.

The BIPVT electrical (\eta_{eh}) and thermal (\eta_{ah}) efficiencies, calculated with respect to the total absorbed solar radiation, are over 28% and 11%, respectively. These efficiencies are relatively low, because the average

Figure 8 shows the monthly primary energy consumptions related to the natural gas and electricity of the solar system. It can be noticed that the primary energy consumption related to natural gas is higher in hot season and lower in cold season. For example: 8.4 \times 10^5 \text{ kWh in August and 2.52 \times 10^5 \text{ kWh in January. This is can be explained by the high amount of natural gas consumed by the auxiliary heater to meet the thermal energy required for the operation of adsorption chiller in summer. However, the opposite is occurred for the primary energy consumption related to electrical energy, which is important in winter (ex. 4.9 \times 10^5 \text{ kWh). This is due to the important amount of electrical energy consumed by the heat pump in winter. It is shown also that the associated operating cost of the solar system in cold months is higher than that in hot months. This is due to the relatively higher price of electricity (0.04 €/kWh) in comparison to that of natural gas (0.004 €/kWh).

From the investigation of the solar system in term of monthly results, it was found that the later can supply a great part of the energy demands of the building efficiently. This occurs thanks to the simultaneous production of heat and electricity by BIPVT solar collectors, in addition to the use of the two efficient heat-driven devices (HP and AdCH).
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The results show that the increase of tank volume affects scarcely the system performance. For tank volumes ranging between 10 L/m² and 100 L/m², the thermal and electrical energy productions increase slightly (0.04% and 0.017%, respectively). A small decrease in PEC is reported between 10 and 30 L/m², which increases the PES and reduces slightly the SPB of the system. As a result, a storage volume tank of 30 L/m² (3 m³) can be considered as an optimal size.

5.2.3. Effect of system cost

In Algeria, as the cost of fossil fuel energy is strongly incentivized by the state, the costs of electricity (0.04 €/kWh) and natural gas (0.004 €/kWh) are among the cheapest in the world. Meanwhile, no supports have been provided for the solar energy components which are still not mature. In this circumstance, the economic profitability of renewable energy systems is difficult to be achieved.

Figure 11 shows the impact of the solar system cost on its economic performance. System costs from 200 to 1400 €/m² are investigated. It is clearly shown that for a system cost of approximately 1200 €/m² (without investment subsidy), the SPB is more than 65 years, which is higher than the considered life cycle of the system (20 years). However, the system becomes economically profitable (SPB=13years) when the capital cost is lower than 400 (€/m²), with a corresponding capital investment subsidy is about 66%. The SPB of the solar system is strongly reduced if the capital investment subsidy reaches 80%, with a system cost lower than 200 (€/m²). Thus, the system cost has a direct impact on its economic viability.

5.2.4. Effect of electricity cost

The economic performance of the solar system is studied for various electricity costs, from 0.04 to 0.12 €/kWh. Figure 12 shows the effect of the electricity cost on the SPB of the solar system. It can be noticed that the SPB is strongly affected by the unitary cost of the electrical energy. Noting that the current cost of the electrical energy is 0.04 €/kWh, while the real cost (without incentive) is 0.12 €/kWh. Thus, more than 66% of the electricity cost is subdivided by Algerian government.
As mentioned above, for the current electricity cost, the SPB of the solar system is higher than 20 years. However, when the real cost of electricity is considered (no incentive for electricity), the SPB decreases to 17.7 years. Consequently, for the feasibility of the system, the subsidy of electricity cost must be strongly reduced or lifted.

5.2.5. Effect of natural gas cost

In Figure 13, is shown the variation of SPB as a function of natural gas price. Unitary prices of natural gas, ranging from 0.004 to 0.012 €/kWh, are considered. As shown, the increase of the natural gas price has not an important impact on the SPB due to its very low cost, compared to the unitary price of electricity. A small increase in SPB of 3 years is registered when the natural gas is increased from 0.004 to 0.012 €/kWh.

5.2.6. Effect of climate conditions

The load profiles of a building are affected strongly by the in-situ climate conditions. Therefore, more attention should be paid to the climate zone when designing solar systems for buildings. In Algeria, there are different climate zones. They are classified into three great climate zones, warm and humid zone (Mediterranean climate), hot summer and cold winter zone (highland region) and hot and dry zone (desert climate) (Toudert & Weidhaus, 2017, Missoum et al., 2016). In other hand, Algeria enjoys of an important solar potential. The sunshine duration is about 7.3 hours in the North, 8.3 hours in the highlands and more than 10 hours in Southern regions (Abada & Bouharkat, 2018).

In order to study the effect of the local climate conditions on the performance of the system under investigation, three cities are considered, Algiers, Batna and Adrar, which are corresponding to the above mentioned three climate zones. In Figure 14, is shown the annual energy loads of the reference building and the solar potential in the three considered cities.

It can be noticed that Batna weather zone can be considered as heating-dominated. Indeed, the energy required for space heating is 34.4 ×10^3 kWh/y, which is higher than that needed for space cooling (10.4×10^3 kWh/y). The contrary is occurred in hot zones (Adrar), where energy needed for space cooling is very higher than the energy demand for space heating. The city of Algiers shows similar energy required for cooling (11.3 MWh/y) and heating (15.4 MWh/y) because of the pleasant Mediterranean climate conditions.

Figure 15 shows the annual PES and the SPB for the three cities. It can be noticed that the PES is affected strongly, in one hand, by the solar energy potential of the zone and, in other hand, by the cooling load. As space cooling is provided by electrical chiller and the conversion factor of electricity (2.5) is higher than that of natural gas (1.22), the largest PES is achieved in Adrar (78.7×10^3 kWh) due to the high energy required for space cooling. Further, although the high energy demand for space heating in Batna, the cities of Algiers and Batna present almost similar PES (37.1×10^3 and 44.8×10^3 kWh, respectively). Moreover, the SPB (88.9 years) for Batna is the worst because of the lower cost of natural gas. The best SPB is achieved in desert climate of Adrar (17.9 years), followed by Algiers (55.4 years). In other words, the best viability of the solar system is obtained in hot climate, where the
building cooling demand is very high and solar energy is abundant.

Finally, the sensitivity analysis shows that the system performance is greatly affected by the solar field area, system cost, unitary cost of electricity and the climate conditions of the zone where the system is installed.

6. Conclusion

A complete analysis of the performance of a solar polygeneration system is carried out in this work. The solar system includes an adsorption chiller and a water-to-water heat pump, both driven by BIPVT solar collectors. The system supplies space heating and cooling and produces DHW and electricity for a typical high-energy performance multi-family building located in Algeria. The heat output from the BIPVT collectors is delivered to the heat pump in heating season and to adsorption chiller in cooling season, and the excess is used to the DHW preparation. The solar system coupled to the building as well as the energy demand of the reference building have been dynamically simulated using TRNSYS software. The results conducted in this paper are shown below.

- The annual thermal and electrical energies produced by the BIPVT field can cover, respectively, more than 56% and 72% of the heat and electricity demands. Meanwhile, the thermal and electrical efficiencies of solar collector's field are 0.28 and 0.11, respectively.
- In winter, space heating is entirely supplied by the heat pump, while auxiliary thermal energy is mandatory for the operation of the adsorption chiller in summer and DHW production all the year. As a result, the primary energy consumption related to electricity, where the operation of the heat pump consumes more electrical energy (1.76 × 10^{14} kWh/y), compared to that used in summer by the adsorption chiller (2.19 × 10^{13} kWh/y).
- The mean yearly coefficient of performance of the heat pump and the adsorption chiller are, 3.8 and 0.51, respectively.
- The primary energy saving achieved by the solar system is 37.10 MWh/year, which represents a reduction of 39%, with respect to the primary energy used by the reference system.
- Although the significant energy saving, the solar system is not economically profitable due to high investment capital cost of the solar field and low cost of fossil fuel in Algeria. Indeed, the obtained simple pay-back period is 55.40 years, which is lower than the life span of the solar system, considered to be 20 years.
- The system performance is strongly affected by the area of BIPVT collectors, in particular between 50 and 70 m^2. However, it is scarcely sensible to the storage volume tank. Optimal sizes of 70 m^2 and 3 m^2 could be considered, respectively, for the BIPVT area and the storage tank volume.
- The system cost and unitary price of electricity have direct effects on the system performance. Whereas, the system performance is slightly affected by the variation of the unitary price of natural gas. The system is economically promising if the system cost is lower than 400 €/m^2 and the electricity cost is more 0.12 €/kWh. Therefore, an investment subsidy of 60% should be considered, with the lifting of the incentive (67%) provided by the government for the electricity cost.
- The system performance is the best in hot climate zone, where solar radiation is abundant and building cooling energy requirement is important. Indeed, the highest PES is achieved in hot climate of Adrar, 78.7 MWh/year, with a lower SPB of 17.10 years. Meanwhile, the system performance is the worst in cold climate of Batna, with a PES and SPB of 37.10 MWh/year and 88.90 years, respectively.

Nomenclature

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| A      | area, m^2   |
| cp     | specific heat, J.kg^-1.K^-1 |
| C      | cost, €    |
| COP    | coefficient of performance, - |
| E      | electric power, kW |
| E/T    | temperature efficiency modifier, °C^2 |
| E/G    | radiation efficiency modifier, m^3.W^{-1} |
| G      | solar irradiance, kW.m^{-2} |
| IC     | investment cost, € |
| m      | mass flow rate, kg.h^{-1} |
| OC     | operating cost, € |
| OCS    | operating cost saving, € |
| P      | Nominal power, kW |
| PEC    | primary energy consumption, kWh |
| PES    | primary energy saving, kWh |
| Q      | thermal power, kW |
| SF     | solar fraction, - |
| SBP    | simple pay-back period, years |
| T      | temperature, °C |

Greek symbols

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| η      | efficiency, - |
| ε      | long wave emissivity, - |

Subscripts

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| AH     | Auxiliary heater |
| AdCH   | Adsorption chiller |
| CHW    | Child water |
| CT     | Cooling tower |
| CW     | Cold water |
| DHW    | Domestic hot water |
| ECH    | Electric chiller |
| elc    | Electric |
| f      | fluid |
| NG     | Natural Gas |
| GH     | Gas-fired heater |
| HP     | Heat pump |
| HW     | Hot water |
| in     | Inlet |
| out    | Outlet |
| p      | Pump |
| PS     | Polygeneration system |
| PV     | Photovoltaic |
| ref    | Reference |
| RS     | Reference system |
| SH     | Space heating |
| set    | Set-point |
| th     | thermal |
| tot    | total |
| TK     | tank |
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