Design of a Transmitarray Antenna Using 4 Layers of Double Square Ring Elements
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Abstract—Conventional dielectric lenses rely on the accumulation of phase delay during wave propagation to produce a desired wavefront. By considering the required phase delay at each lens position, an ‘equivalent’ transmitarray antenna can be obtained. Despite a lack of curvature as in conventional lenses, the phase delay in the transmitarray antenna is achieved via a periodic arrangement of unit cell elements to bend the incident waves in the desired directions. This paper presents the design and characterization of a 4-layer transmitarray antenna consisting of double square ring elements. The gap between the double square rings is varied as a fixed proportion of their dimensions, while keeping the widths constant. The transmitarray element can achieve a transmission phase range of 235° with a loss of less than 3 dB. The performance of the transmitarray antenna is explicitly compared to that of a convex dielectric lens, both of which are operating at 8 GHz.

1. INTRODUCTION

Transmitarray antennae consist of planar, periodic arrays of printed circuit elements in sub-wavelength lattices. They have many advantages over conventional dielectric lenses for applications in satellite communication, automotive radar, and imaging systems: high-gain, lightweight, cheap, and small [1–7]. While zoning can reduce the weight of conventional lenses, it degrades system performance with reduced bandwidth and increased sidelobe levels [8]. Transmitarray antennae are also easier to fabricate with advancements in printed circuit board technologies [9, 10], while conventional lenses require expensive machining or molding processes [11]. Transmitarray antennae also have the major advantage of being planar, while conventional lenses can be bulky for the use in microwave antenna systems [12, 13]. Thus, transmitarray antennae are more portable, and easily integrated into systems or mounted onto platforms [5, 14]. The feed can also be positioned directly in front of the aperture without causing blockage losses [15, 16].

The general mechanism of a transmitarray antenna is to radiate the incident wave from a feed antenna, while incorporating a transmission phase shift at each element [17, 18]. Transmitarray antennae can be categorized into active and passive. In active transmitarray antennae, an external control signal is used for phase shift reconfiguration [15]. In passive transmitarray antennae, a variety of configurations exist in literature to adjust the phase of the incoming wave. These include identical microstrip patches of variable size or loaded with stubs of variable length, and elements connected through multiple layers by a delay line [16, 18, 19]. However, element placement can be challenging due to long lengths of line, and undesired modes or cross-polarized radiation may result [1]. A cascade of phase shifting layers, such as that presented in [1], can also be used. By treating each element as a Huygens source and altering its geometric parameters to locally modulate the transmission phase and amplitude [20], a transmitarray
can produce any arbitrary desired wavefront, and large freedom can be exercised when engineering its properties [13, 21].

Transmitarray antennae may resemble dielectric lenses in function, but their operation principles are different: dielectric lenses rely on the accumulation of phase delay by varying the thickness or refractive index [11, 22], while direct transmission and reflection are the sole propagating modes in transmitarray antennae [15].

This paper presents the design of a transmitarray antenna corresponding to a convex dielectric lens. In Section 2, the design of a convex dielectric lens operating at 8 GHz is presented using the ray analysis method of geometric optics. In Section 3, the design of a corresponding four-layer transmitarray antenna, using dual-resonant double square rings as unit cell elements, is presented. The gap between the rings is varied as a fixed proportion of their dimensions, while keeping the widths constant. This configuration is a variation of the variable-gap or variable-widths design in literature [1] and adds to our understanding of the behavior of double square ring elements. It is shown that the elements achieve a transmission phase range of $235^\circ$ with a loss of less than 3 dB. In Section 4, the simulation results of both lenses are explicitly compared and discussed. Section 5 provides the conclusion and proposes future extensions.

2. DESIGN OF A CONVEX DIELECTRIC LENS

To focus a spherical wave from an electric dipole, each element on the lens must impart the required phase change [15, 22]. The phase delay experienced by a wave passing through a dielectric lens is given by [23, 24]:

$$\varphi (x, y) = kn\Delta (x, y) \pm k [\Delta_0 - \Delta (x, y)] = k\Delta_0 + k(n - 1)\Delta (x, y)$$

(1)

where $k$ is the wave number, $n$ the refractive index, $\Delta(x, y)$ the thickness function, and $\Delta_0$ the maximum thickness of lens.

The thickness function $\Delta(x, y)$ is given by [23, 24]:

$$\Delta (x, y) = \Delta_0 - R_1 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{R_1^2}}\right) + R_2 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2 + y^2}{R_2^2}}\right)$$

(2)

where $R_1$ and $R_2$ are the radii of curvature of the left convex and right concave surfaces, respectively.

The focal length $f$ of a thin lens is given by the Lensmaker’s formula [25]:

$$\frac{1}{f} = (n - 1) \left(\frac{1}{R_1} - \frac{1}{R_2}\right)$$

(3)

For an equiconvex lens ($R_1 = -R_2 = R$), the required phase delay as a function of the lens position is thus given by [17, 26]:

$$\varphi (x, y) = k\sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r} \Delta_0 + 2k(\sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r} - 1) \left[\sqrt{R^2 - x^2 - y^2} - R\right]$$

(4)

where $n = \sqrt{\varepsilon_r \mu_r}$, $\varepsilon_r$ is the relative permittivity, and $\mu_r$ is the relative permeability.

For the focusing effect of a lens to be observed clearly, its diameter must be at least $10\lambda_0$, where $\lambda_0$ is the free-space wavelength. An operation frequency of 8 GHz, corresponding to $\lambda_0 = 3.75$ cm, is chosen for this study. For a conventional biconvex spherical dielectric lens with diameter of $12.8\lambda_0 (= 0.48$ m), $\varepsilon_r = 2.55$, $\mu_r = 1$, $\tan(\delta) = 0.01$, $\Delta_0 = 0.04$ m, $R = 1.45$ m and $F/D \approx 2.53$, the required phase range is $229^\circ$.

3. DESIGN OF AN ‘EQUIVALENT’ TRANSMITARRAY ANTENNA

3.1. Design of Unit Cell Element

A square loop element is chosen as it has a stable frequency response under various angles of incidence versus other elements such as cross-dipole [27–29]. Another concentric ring is added, with the inner ring loading the outer ring, to maximize the phase shift per layer and reduce the number of layers
required [30, 31]. In this double square ring element, the fundamental mode current distribution excited by a normally-incident, linearly-polarized wave is primarily concentrated on the ring sections parallel to the incident wave’s polarization, and the transmitted wave should also be linearly polarized. As currents on the ring are oppositely directed, this presents the additional advantage of low cross-polarization [32].

To achieve the desired phase compensation value, the physical dimensions of the double square rings are varied around their resonant dimension. The geometrical model of the element, along with the design parameters, are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Design parameters of unit cell.](image)

![Figure 2. Four identical layers in a unit cell.](image)

To reduce phase errors, the aim was to obtain a design S-curve with slow phase increment with respect to the geometrical dimensions of the unit cell element [1]. This is best accomplished by varying the gap $S$ between the inner and outer rings, while keeping the length of the outer ring within the unit cell boundaries [1]. In addition, as a single layer transmitarray antenna cannot achieve the sufficient phase range coverage, multiple layers are required [33, 34]. In our study, we found that four identical layers, separated by an air gap, as shown in Figure 2, are required to achieve a full $360^\circ$ phase range. This is consistent with a study by Abdelrahman, Elsherbeni and Yang [33].

Each layer is backed by a dielectric substrate. The values $\varepsilon_r = 3$ and $T = 0.3 \text{ mm}$ were chosen for our unit cell. As a large periodicity is associated with increased sensitivity to variations of the angle of incidence and may cause grating lobes [2], the unit cell periodicity $P$ was kept at $\lambda_0/3 = 12.5 \text{ mm}$. A small periodicity also reduces the error resulting from discretization of the required phase profile [35] and avoids the generation of higher-order Floquet modes [11]. The simulation was run with the finite integration technique (FIT) in CST Microwave Studio [36]. A normal incidence plane wave was used to illuminate the unit cell element, and unit cell boundary condition was used to simulate an infinite array.

### 3.2. Properties of Unit Cell Element

Figure 3 depicts the transmission phase and magnitude of the unit cell element as a function of $L$, the dimension of the innermost ring, with periodicity $P = 12.5 \text{ mm}$, loop width $W = 0.5 \text{ mm}$, and loop separation $S = 0.125L$.

For the transmittarray antenna design, $L$ of the innermost ring was varied between 0.983 and 4.904 mm. This avoids resonant dimensions associated with high transmission loss and maintains loss below 2.32 dB to ensure high aperture efficiency.

### 3.3. Design Configurations of Dielectric Lens and Transmittarray Antenna

For the design of the transmittarray antenna, only the phase shift at the center of unit cells was considered. Figure 4 shows the ideal phase profile in the dielectric lens, and the corresponding discretized
Figure 3. Graph of transmission phase (red, solid) and magnitude (blue, dotted) against $L$ of innermost ring at 8 GHz.

Figure 4. Phase profile for (a) convex dielectric lens and (b) transmitarray antenna.

Table 1. Design configuration of convex dielectric lens.

| Parameter                                      | Value    |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Radius of Curvature of Spherical Surfaces ($R$) / cm | 145      |
| Maximum Thickness ($\Delta_0$) / cm              | 4        |
| Substrate Permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$)         | 2.55     |
| Dielectric Loss Tangent                          | 0.01     |
| Aperture Diameter / cm                           | 48       |
| Feed to Diameter Ratio ($F/D$)                   | 2.53     |
| Focal Length ($f$) / cm                          | 121.47   |

phase profile in the transmitarray antenna. Both lenses were illuminated by an electric dipole placed at $f = 121.47$ cm. The design configurations of both the convex dielectric lens and the transmitarray antenna are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Figure 5 shows the design of the transmitarray antenna. A comparison with the design of other transmitarray antennae in literature also utilizing a double square ring element is presented in Table 3 [1, 32, 37–39].
Figure 5. Transmitarray antenna design.

Table 2. Design configuration of transmitarray antenna.

| Parameter                        | Value         |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Number of Conductor Layers      | 4             |
| Substrate Thickness ($T$) / mm   | 0.3           |
| Substrate Permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$) | 3             |
| Layer Separation / mm            | 3.7           |
| Unit Cell Periodicity ($P$) / mm | 12.5          |
| Number of Elements Per Layer     | 1076          |
| Inner Loop Diameter / mm         | 0.983–4.904   |
| Aperture Diameter / cm           | 48            |
| Feed to Diameter Ratio ($F/D$)   | 2.53          |
| Loop Width ($W$) / mm            | 0.5           |
| Loop Separation ($S$)            | 0.125$L$      |

4. CORRELATION AND COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCE

The simulation results are presented in this section. The phase and amplitude plots of the E-field for both the convex dielectric lens and transmitarray antenna in the $x = 0$ plane are presented in Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively. Videos of the simulation are available online.

In both cases, the focusing effect is clearly observable and similar, validating the design of the transmitarray antenna. However, there is a greater spread of energy for the transmitarray antenna. The discrepancies can be attributed to two main factors: firstly, the infinite array simulation assumes similar coupling between neighboring elements. In reality, the coupling increases with distance from the center, thus altering approximate phases. Furthermore, the transmitarray antenna is finite-sized, giving rise to diffraction at the edges [32]. Secondly, the simulation assumes a normally-incidence plane wave on all elements of the transmitarray antenna. In reality, most elements are illuminated by oblique angles $\theta$ [17, 40, 41]. This reduces their effective dimensions by $\cos\theta$, altering the current induced. This is an important factor for small $F/D$ lenses [11].
Table 3. Comparison of the proposed transmitarray antenna to some existing transmitarray antennae.

| Ref. | Freq. (GHz) | Unit Cell Element | Varying Parameters | Constant Parameters | No. of Layers | Array Size $(\lambda_0^2)$ | Transmission Phase Range (deg) | Transmission Magnitude (dB) |
|------|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| [1]  | 30          | Double square ring| 1) Gap between rings 2) Width of outer ring | 1) Width of outer ring 2) Gap between rings | 4             | $12.6 \times 12.6$        | 1) $> -3.0$                  | 2) $> -2.0$               |
| [32] | 30          | Double square ring| Width of inner ring | Width of outer ring | 4             | $12.6 \times 12.6$        | 270                           | $> -3.0$                 |
| [37] | 12          | Outer Layers: Cross slots; Middle Layer: double square ring | Dimension of both rings and gap between rings | Width of both rings | 3             | $\pi \times (4.4)^2$      | $> 360$                      | $> -3.7$                 |
| [38] | 10          | Double square ring with center patch | Dimension of outer ring | Width of both rings and gap between rings | 4             | $7.5 \times 7.5$           | $> 360$                      | $> -1.2$                 |
| This Work | 8 | Double square ring | Dimension of both rings and gap between rings | Width of both rings | 4             | $\pi \times (6.4)^2$      | 235                           | $> -3.0$                 |

Figure 6. Phase plot of E-field of (a) convex dielectric lens and (b) transmitarray antenna at $x = 0$ plane.

Figure 7. Amplitude plot of E-field of (a) convex dielectric lens and (b) transmitarray antenna at $x = 0$ plane.
5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this paper presents and compares the performance of a conventional lens antenna and an ‘equivalent’ transmitarray antenna. A convex dielectric lens operating at 8 GHz has been proposed using geometric optics, and a corresponding transmitarray antenna consisting of double square loop elements was designed. Our simulation shows that the proposed transmitarray antenna mimics the original convex lens, validating our design methodology, while having additional merits of a flat and thin profile, and easier fabrication. Our study adds to the understanding of the behaviour of double square ring elements.

For further work, our unit cell element can be analyzed using an equivalent-circuit model. This allows rapid computation of the frequency response, for complex elements like the double square ring used, where large numbers of current modes are required [42, 43]. This will serve to design broader bandwidth and multiple bands transmitarray antennae for applications in satellite communication, automotive radar, and imaging systems.
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