1. Introduction

Cache is denoted by tuple (C, k, L) where C is the capacity with associativity k and line size L. Caches are of two kinds based on line inclusion- inclusive cache and exclusive cache. A line is present in higher cache levels in inclusive cache. A line is present in only one cache level in exclusive cache. Algorithms for line placement are proposed for exclusive cache. During cache operation the entire cache is assumed to be in high power mode usually. The author proposed tag cache architecture. In this model, the tag values of all cache levels are present in tag cache at level one. The line is accessed based on tag cache hit. Lines are placed if there is free way in level one or level two cache in this model. On conflicts the line is placed in level one cache placing the content of level one cache in level two and moving the level two cache content to main memory. The model differs from the exclusive cache proposed in. A cache model to selectively enable occupied cache ways is proposed in. The authors propose cache model for CMP that has exclusive level three cache with tag inclusion in cache directory. The performance of exclusive caches is presented in. The cache reconfiguration by selectively choosing cache sets and ways is presented in. The SRAM energy efficiency is improved by 20% if there are more columns than rows. The operational voltage has to be increased by 20% for SRAM cells over ten year period to maintain reliability. This paper proposes an architecture for tag cache model saving power consumption for the model proposed in. The tag cache architecture is assumed.

2. Motivation

Consider two level exclusive tag cache model proposed in. Let level one cache be two way set associative cache.
of two sets. Let level two cache be two way set associative cache of two sets. The cache line is assumed to operate two power modes- on and off. By default the cache line is in off mode. Consider the address trace 100, 200, 300, 400. Let cache line size be one byte. The addresses map to set zero. They are cache misses. The addresses 100, 200 are placed in level one cache set zero. The level one cache is in on mode during this operation. To place 300, 400 level two cache ways are in on mode. Thus level one cache is in on mode for four time units and level two cache is in on mode for two time units. Assume it takes 10mW power per cache way during operation. Assume it takes 40mW for the tag cache during cache operation. The total power consumed is 280mW. The first term is the power consumed by tag cache. The second term is the power consumed by level one cache and the third term is the power consumed in level two cache. Next consider the following cache model. The cache line is enabled during occupancy. This is achieved by introducing an AND gate with logic one as one of the inputs between the tag cache entry and the cache line in level one or level two cache. This model will have the cache line in set zero mapped to address 100 in on mode for four time units, for address 200 in on mode for three time units. The cache line in level two mapped to address 300 is in on mode for two time units and mapped to address 400 is in on mode for one time unit. The total power consumed is 140mW. A power saving of 50% is observed. This is the motivation for this paper.

3. Proposed Cache Architecture

The proposed cache architecture consists of the tag cache at level one. Let there be two cache levels. The caches are set associative caches. They are exclusive in nature as defined by the algorithm given in \(^5\). The cache lines are in off position initially. On cache line placement/replacement the cache way is enabled. The tag cache drives the cache lines by the introduction of AND gate with logic one. The comparison of tag bits is done in parallel. Energy can be saved for comparison if selective bit wise comparison is done though this might introduce delay in the tag match process. This model is functional if the tag cache entry is non-zero. The proposed architecture is shown in Figure 1. It is scalable. This model achieves exclusive cache with variable ways in the enabled sets.

\[ AMAT(C_{\text{trad}}) = \frac{1}{R} \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{Rt}_0 + h_t t_1 + h_1 t_2 + \\
\text{cmiss}_1 t_1 + 2t_0 + \\
\text{cmiss}_2 t_2 + 3t_0 + \\
\text{miss}_2 t_2 + t_2 + t_3 + \\
\text{miss}_2 t_2 + t_2 + t_3 + \\
\end{array} \right) \]

The first term in (1) is to access the tag cache. The second and third terms are the hit time access to level one and level two caches respectively. The fourth term is the time taken to fill empty way in level one cache. This involves accessing tag cache in level one, fetching the line to level one cache and updating the tag cache entry. The fifth term is the time taken to fill empty way in level two cache.

Figure 1. Proposed architecture. The tag cache enables the level one and level two caches.

4. Mathematical Analysis of Proposed Model

Consider two level exclusive cache model proposed in \(^5\). Let level one be \(w_1\) set associative cache with \(S_1\) sets and level two be \(w_2\) set associative cache of \(S_2\) sets. Let tag cache be present in level one of size \(T_g\) lines. The line placement/replacement is as described in \(^5\). Let this cache be denoted as \(C_{\text{trad}}\). Consider the cache architecture described in section 3. Let this be denoted as \(C_{\text{prop}}\). Consider the average memory access time as performance measure. Let the parameters be defined as in Table 1. Then,
cache. This includes accessing the tag cache to check for match in level one cache and level two cache and placing the line in level two cache, updating the tag cache entry. The sixth term is the time taken to bring in a line in fully filled level one and level two cache. This involves checking for tag match in level one cache, level two cache, replacing the level one cache line and updating the tag cache entries. As the tag cache contains the tags in consecutive locations, it may be the case that the tag entries in level one and level two are in two different cache blocks. Consider the proposed model. Let the parameters be as defined in Table 1. The AMAT of the proposed system is given as

\[
AMAT(C_{prop}) = \frac{1}{R} \left( Rt_0 + H_1 t_1 + H_2 t_2 + cmiss1(t_1 + 2t_0) + cmiss2(t_2 + 3t_0) + miss \left( 4t_0 + t_1 + t_2 + \frac{t_{12} + t_{2m} + t_{im}}{} \right) \right)
\]

(2)

An improvement in AMAT is observed if

\[
\left( Rt_0 + h_1 t_1 + h_2 t_2 + \frac{cmiss1(t_1 + 2t_0) + cmiss2(t_2 + 3t_0) + \left( 4t_0 + t_1 + t_2 + \frac{t_{12} + t_{2m} + t_{im}}{} \right)}{R} \right) >
\]

\[
\left( Rt_0 + H_1 t_1 + H_2 t_2 + cmiss1(t_1 + 2t_0) + cmiss2(t_2 + 3t_0) + \left( 4t_0 + t_1 + t_2 + \frac{t_{12} + t_{2m} + t_{im}}{} \right) \right)
\]

Consider the power consumption. Assume the cache operates in two modes- on and off mode. In the traditional cache, the tag cache, level one cache and level two cache are in high power mode. Let the cache be operational for \( T \) time units. The power consumed in this cache is given by

\[
(T_g + w_1 S_1 + w_2 S_2)TE_{new}
\]

(4)

Where \( E_{new} \) is the energy consumed in high energy mode. The energy in the proposed model is presented next. On cache line occupancy the line is in on mode. By default it is in off mode. Let \( x_1, x_2, ..., x_t \) be the number of enabled cache ways during time \( t_1, t_2, ..., t_t \) The total power consumed is

\[
E_{new} = (x_1(T - t_1) + (x_2 - x_1)(T - t_2) + (x_3 - x_2)(T - t_3) + ... + (x_t - x_{t-1})(T - t_t))
\]

(5)

An improvement in power consumption is observed if

\[
(T_g + w_1 S_1 + w_2 S_2)TE_{new} > \left( \sum_{i=1}^{t} (x_i - x_{i-1})(T - t_i) \right) E_{new}
\]

(6)

In the above discussion, the energy consumed by cache way involves the energy for the tag, control bits, data bits of cache way.

5. Simulation

The proposed model is simulated with SPEC2K

Table 1. Parameter definitions

| Parameter | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| R         | Total references |
| \( h_1 \) | Hits in level one cache in traditional tag cache |
| \( h_2 \) | Hit in level two cache in traditional cache |
| \( cmiss1 \) | Misses filled in empty level one cache in traditional cache |
| \( cmiss2 \) | Misses filled in empty level two cache in traditional cache |
| \( miss \) | Conflict misses in level one and level two cache in traditional cache |
| \( t_0 \) | Time to access tag cache |
| \( t_1 \) | Time to access level one cache |
| \( t_2 \) | Time to access level two cache |
| \( t_{1m} \) | Transfer time between main memory and level one cache |
| \( t_{2m} \) | Transfer time between main memory and level two cache |
| \( t_{12} \) | Transfer time between level one and level two cache |
| \( w_1 \) | Level one cache associativity |
| \( w_2 \) | Level two cache associativity |
| \( H_1 \) | Hits in level one cache in proposed tag cache |
| \( H_2 \) | Hit in level two cache in proposed tag cache |
| \( CMISS1 \) | Misses filled in empty level one cache in proposed cache |
| \( CMISS2 \) | Misses filled in empty level two cache in proposed tag cache |
| \( MISS \) | Conflict misses in level one and level two cache in proposed cache |
benchmarks using Simple scalar Toolkit. Routines in C language are written simulating the cache model. The simulation parameters are shown in Table 2. The proposed model is compared with the model in\(^5\). The values of hits and misses are collected from the program execution. The AMAT is calculated. As shown in Figure 2 the AMAT remains same. The power consumed is calculated using Quartus 2. Code in Verilog is written for 2-way set associative cache of two sets in level one and level two. The code is compiled and synthesized and power consumption is obtained from Quartus 2. The power consumed per cache way is calculated from this. This is listed in Table 2. The power consumed is calculated for the values obtained from C program execution on SPEC2K benchmarks. The total enabled cache ways is taken for calculations in the proposed model. The power consumption is shown in Table 3. As seen from Table 3 there is 49%power improvement in the proposed model.

### Table 2. Simulation parameters

| Sl.No. | Parameter               | Value   |
|--------|-------------------------|---------|
| 1.     | Level one cache size    | 128KB   |
| 2.     | Level one associativity | 4       |
| 3.     | Level two cache size    | 256KB   |
| 4.     | Level two associativity | 8       |
| 5.     | Level one access time   | 3 cycles|
| 6.     | Level two access time   | 18 cycles|
| 7.     | Level one to level two transfer time | 18 cycles |
| 8.     | Level one to memory access time | 60 cycles |
| 9.     | Level two to memory access time | 90 cycles |
| 10.    | Line size               | 32 bytes|
| 11.    | Power per cache way     | 8332.8 μW|

### Table 3. Power consumption comparison

| Name    | Power(t)KW | Power(p)KW | %Improve |
|---------|------------|------------|----------|
| 256.bzip2 | 73271.56   | 73271.56   | 1.98603E-14 |
| 181.mcf  | 621.5154   | 229.5009   | 63.07397959 |
| 197.parser | 5086.737 | 2716.116   | 46.60395408 |
| 300.twolf | 707.5931   | 262.1133   | 62.95705782 |
| 255.vortex | 1888.692  | 710.3674   | 62.38839286 |
| 175.vpr  | 1372.853   | 516.3521   | 62.38839286 |

### 6. Conclusion

An architecture for two level tag cache mode proposed in\(^5\) with power savings is proposed in this paper. The occupied ways determined from tag cache are enabled by AND’ing with one logic and the tag cache entry. All other ways are in off mode. An exclusive cache architecture with variable cache ways for occupied sets is achieved in the proposed model. Expressions for AMAT and power consumption are derived for the proposed model. Conditions for performance improvement are derived compared to the model listed in\(^5\). The proposed model is scalable. The proposed model is simulated with SPEC2k benchmarks. An improvement in power consumption of 49% with no change in AMAT is observed.
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