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ABSTRACT

RSAT (Regulatory Sequence Analysis Tools) comprises a wide collection of modular tools for the detection of cis-regulatory elements in genome sequences. Thirteen new programs have been added to the 30 described in the 2008 NAR Web Software Issue, including an automated sequence retrieval from EnsEMBL (retrieve-ensembl-seq), two novel motif discovery algorithms (oligo-diff and info-gibbs), a 100-times faster version of matrix-scan enabling the scanning of genome-scale sequence sets, and a series of facilities for random model generation and statistical evaluation (random-genome-fragments, random-motifs, random-sites, implant-sites, sequence-probability, permute-matrix).

Our most recent work also focused on motif comparison (compare-matrices) and evaluation of motif quality (matrix-quality) by combining theoretical and empirical measures to assess the predictive capability of position-specific scoring matrices. To process large collections of peak sequences obtained from ChIP-seq or related technologies, RSAT provides a new program (peak-motifs) that combines several efficient motif discovery algorithms to predict transcription factor binding motifs, match them against motif databases and predict their binding sites. Availability (web site, stand-alone programs and SOAP/WSDL (Simple Object Access Protocol/Web Services Description Language) web services): http://rsat.ulb.ac.be/rsat/.

INTRODUCTION

This article presents an update of RSAT (Regulatory Sequence Analysis Tools), a software suite integrating a wide collection of modular tools for the detection of cis-regulatory elements in genome sequences. The web site has been running without interruption since 1998 (1–4). It includes various algorithms for sequence retrieval, motif discovery, sequence scanning with regular expressions or position-specific scoring matrices, random model generation, visualization and conversion utilities (sequences, matrices, background models and feature lists). As of December 2010, the web site supports 1794 genomes (including 1120 bacteria, 88 archaea, 98 fungi, 16 metazoa and 461 phages).

The web server offers an intuitive interface, where each program can be accessed either separately, or connected to the other tools via predefined analysis flows. Programs are documented at four levels: (i) manual pages give a systematic description of the functionalities and options; (ii) ‘demo’ buttons propose typical test cases; (iii) tutorial pages provide online practical courses, with a problem-based explanation of the biological questions and the bioinformatics approaches; (iv) a series of protocols have been published for the most popular tools (5–9), to provide step-by-step instructions about option choices and result interpretation. Furthermore, the web site hosts a forum enabling direct interactions between users and developers (announcements, bug reports, wish list, help and discussion).

The tools can also be used as stand-alone applications (Unix shell) and invoked remotely as web services (SOAP/WSDL (Simple Object Access Protocol/Web Services Description Language) interface), enabling diverse combinations in programmatic workflows.
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We describe hereafter 13 new programs (Table 1 and Figure 1) added to the 30 tools described in the 2008 NAR Web Software Issue (1).

NEW PROGRAMS IN RSAT

Retrieving sequences from EnsEMBL on the fly

The tool retrieve-ensembl-seq (10) retrieves promoter (upstream), downstream, intronic, exonic, UTR, transcript, mRNA, Coding sequence (CDS) and gene sequences for all the organisms supported in the popular EnsEMBL database (11), and supports automated retrieval of sequences from orthologous or paralogous genes in a given taxon. Users can mask repeats, whenever these are annotated for the organism(s) of interest, as well as the coding part of retrieved sequences. Upstream and downstream sequences can be retrieved for any chosen size, relative to gene, transcript or CDS limits. By default, sequences of the chosen type are retrieved for each

Table 1. Short description of the new programs supported on RSAT web site (since the publication in the 2008 web software issue of this journal)

| Task | Program name | Input | Output | Description |
|------|--------------|-------|--------|-------------|
| Sequences | retrieve-ensembl-seq | Gene names | Sequences | Retrieve upstream, downstream, intronic, exonic, UTR, transcript, mRNA, CDS or gene sequences for a list of genes from the EnsEMBL database. Multi-genome queries are supported, enabling automatic retrieval of sequences for all orthologs of query genes in selected taxa. |
| Motif discovery | oligo-diff | Two sequence sets | Differentially represented oligonucleotides | Compare oligonucleotide occurrences between two input sequence files, and return oligos that are significantly enriched in one of the files relative to the other one. |
| | info-gibbs | Sequences | Over-represented motifs (matrices) | An enhanced gibbs sampler, based on a stochastic optimization of the information content of PSSMs. |
| Pattern matching | matrix-scan-quick | Sequences + motifs (PSSM) | Matching positions in input sequences | Scan a DNA sequence with a profile matrix. This implementation has restricted capabilities with respect to matrix-scan, but runs 100 times faster. |
| Motif comparisons | compare-matrices | Two sets of PSSM | Similarity scores + matrix alignments | Compare two collections of PSSMs, and return various similarity statistics + matrix alignments (pairwise, one-to-n). |
| Random model generation | random-genome-fragments | A genome supported in either RSAT or EnsEMBL | Randomly selected genome fragments | Select a set of fragments with random positions in a given genome, and return their coordinates and/or sequences. |
| | random-motif | Randomly generated motifs (PSSM) | Randomized PSSMs | Generate random motifs with a given level of conservation in each column. |
| | random-sites | Motif (PSSM) | Randomly generated sites (sequences) | Generate random sites given a motif (PSSM). |
| | implant-sites | Sequences + sites | Sequences with sites implanted | Implant given sites at random positions into given sequences. |
| | permute-matrix | 1 set of PSSM | Randomized PSSMs | Randomize a set of input matrices by permuting their columns. The resulting motifs have the same nucleotide composition and information content as the original ones. |
| Work flows | seq-proba | Sequences + background model | Sequence probability | Calculate the probability of a sequence, given a background model. Bernoulli or Markov models are supported. |
| | matrix-quality | Motif (PSSM) + one or several sequence sets | Statistical analysis of score distributions | Evaluate the quality of a PSSM, by comparing score distributions obtained with this matrix in various sequence sets (positive set, negative set, etc.). Computes ROC curves indicating tradeoff between sensitivity and predictive value. |
| | peak-motifs | Sequences | Discovered motifs + correspondences with motif databases + predicted binding sites + sequence composition | Pipeline for discovering motifs in massive ChIP-seq peak sequences. |

Note that additional programs are available as SOAP Web Services and/or with the stand-alone tools. PSSM: position-specific scoring matrix; ROC: receiver operating characteristic.
Motif discovery

A strong focus of the RSAT suite is the development of algorithms for \textit{ab initio} motif discovery in sequence sets. Three of the original algorithms have been recently enhanced in order to support the massive sets of sequences produced by next-generation sequencing: \textit{oligo-analysis} (4) detects over- or underrepresented words; \textit{dyad-analysis} (12) detects overrepresented spaced motifs, which are typically bound by dimeric transcription factors; \textit{position-analysis} (13) detects oligonucleotides with heterogeneous positional distributions in a given sequence set.

Since 2008, two novel motif discovery algorithms have been added to the RSAT suite: \textit{oligo-diff} (Defrance, M., unpublished data) detects oligonucleotides differentially represented between two input sequences and estimates their significance with the hypergeometric test; \textit{info-gibbs} (14) discovers position-specific scoring matrices with high-information content using a Gibbs sampling optimization strategy.

Sequence scanning

The new tool \textit{matrix-scan-quick} implements a subset of \textit{matrix-scan} functionalities (9). This quick version, currently restricted to the detection of individual binding sites and their score distributions, has been optimized (with a 100-fold gain in execution time) to enable the scanning of genome-scale sequence sets. The program supports Bernoulli and higher order Markov background models, and can report the \(P\)-values of predicted sites. The additional functionalities of \textit{matrix-scan} (enrichment analysis, prediction of \textit{cis}-regulatory modules) are still supported by the original program, and will be optimized in the near future.

Assessing matrix quality

A common issue when working with position-specific matrices is to assess their quality, i.e. whether a matrix is able to separate correctly the true signal from the background. We have developed a workflow called \textit{matrix-quality} (15) that computes theoretical and empirical score distributions to assess the reliability of position-specific matrices for predicting transcription factor binding sites. The underlying principle is to compare the
score distributions obtained from various datasets in order to estimate their respective enrichment in binding sites, and this for all possible score threshold values. The theoretical distribution first provides an estimate of the false prediction rate. Empirical distributions then measure the enrichment of binding sites in various collections of sequences: known binding sites (positive control), all upstream regions of a genome, clusters of co-expressed genes, ChIP-seq peaks. As negative controls, empirical distributions are computed in the same sequence collections with column-permuted matrices. The comparison of those distributions permits the definition of score thresholds that optimize the tradeoff between sensitivity and positive predictive value. Typical applications of matrix-quality are (i) choice of the most accurate predictor among alternative matrices for the same transcription factor (e.g. coming from different databases, or built with different sets of sites); (ii) estimating the enrichment of ChIP-seq peaks for reference motifs (e.g. the pulled-down transcription factor) or for motifs discovered in the peak sequences themselves.

Motif comparison

The tool compare-matrices enables extensive comparisons between one or two collections of position-specific scoring matrices. A typical utilization is to compare a set of discovered motifs with databases of known transcription factor binding motifs. The web site includes collections from JASPAR (16), RegulonDB (17), UniPROBE (18) and DMMPMM (19). Users can also upload custom motifs, enabling the use of in-house collections or license-protected databases such as TRANSFAC (20). Another use of the custom motifs option is to compare motifs predicted by two different motif discovery algorithms.

The tool integrates a wide variety of similarity/dissimilarity scoring metrics featured by other matrix comparison tools such as STAMP (21) or TOMTOM (22): sum of squared distances, Euclidian distance/similarity, Sandelin–Wasserman similarity (23), Kullback–Leibler distance as defined in (24), covariance, Pearson’s correlation. The program also computes length-normalized metrics, in order to avoid trivial alignments covering a small fraction of the motifs (e.g. the leftmost column of a query matrix aligned with the rightmost column of a reference matrix). Instead of having to choose between those metrics, the user can select several of them (or all) in order to compare their respective scores and compute a mean rank. Multiple thresholds can be specified, for instance a minimum of five aligned columns, a minimal correlation of 0.7 and a minimal normalized correlation of 0.4. Results are exported in various formats: tab-delimited file (one row per matrix comparison), motif similarity graph, HTML reports with pairwise or one-to-n aligned logos (Figure 2).

Generating random data sets

Random data sets are highly useful to control the reliability of predictive programs. Since the early versions of RSAT, the programs random-seq and random-genes were used to build negative control sets, i.e. data sets supposed to contain no significant site (pattern matching) or motif (motif discovery). Several new tools have been added to these two programs in order to support other control types. We describe hereafter the ways to combine the
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**Figure 2.** Example of result from compare-matrices. Only the four best matches are displayed in the figure, the original Web page displayed five more matches. The second column displays a one-to-n alignment of matrix-logos. The next columns display multiple matching statistics, the corresponding ranks, and the mean rank. cor: Pearson’s correlation; Ncor: alignment width-normalized correlation; dEucl: Euclidian distance; NSW: width-normalized Sandelin–Wasserman similarity; rcor, rNcor, rdEucl, rNSW: ranks on the corresponding metrics; rank_mean: mean of these ranks; match_rank: rank of the alignments sorted by rank_mean.
previous and new tools in order to generate negative and positive control sets.

An essential parameter for building random sets is the choice of a suitable background model. The web site supports Markov models of any order between 0 and 7, calibrated with upstream non-coding sequences of all genes for each supported organism. The new program sequence-probability computes the probability of input sequences according to any of the supported background models, or yet to user-specified models.

The program random-seq generates random sequences according to Markov chains of any order. Such sequences are typically used to check the false positive rate of pattern matching algorithms (matrix-scan, matrix-scan-quick), and assess their capability to handle dependencies between adjacent nucleotides (higher order Markov models). The program random-genes enables another type of negative control, by selecting random gene sets from which natural genomic sequences (e.g. upstream non-coding) can be retrieved. Each of those genes may be regulated by some factors, but a random selection of sufficient size is unlikely to contain a significant proportion of co-regulated genes. Random gene selections thus provide a realistic framework for testing empirically the false positive rate of motif discovery algorithms. The new program random-genome-fragments selects sequences at random positions from a given genome, which can be used as negative controls for genome-wide location approaches such as ChIP-on-chip and ChIP-seq.

In addition to these negative controls, positive control sets can be built by inserting (artificial or natural) transcription factor binding sites at random positions in (artificial or natural) sequences: random-motifs generates random position-specific scoring matrices; random-sites generates binding sites on the basis of a matrix model; implant-sites inserts (real or fake) binding site sequences at random positions in (biological or randomly generated) sequences. The program permute-matrix performs random permutations among the columns of one or several input matrices. This method generates ‘realistic’ random models of motifs conserving the nucleotide composition, intra-column variability and information content of the original motifs.

A specialized workflow for analyzing motifs in ChIP-seq peak sets

peak-motifs combines several efficient motif discovery algorithms to extract transcription factor binding motifs and sites from large collection of peak sequences obtained from ChIP-seq or related technologies. Taking a full set of peak sequences as input (without size restriction), peak-motifs discovers exceptional motifs, compares them with motif databases, predicts binding site positions, and enables visualization in genome browsers (Thomas-Chollier, M., et al., submitted). In all studied cases, peak-motifs swiftly identified multiple relevant motifs. Like its constitutive modules, the whole workflow can be used as a stand-alone application, as well as SOAP/WSDL web services.

CONCLUSIONS

RSAT is one of the most comprehensive academic software suites for the analysis of cis-regulatory sequences to date. It integrates diverse, well-documented motif discovery and pattern matching modules and greatly facilitates their application to sequence sets belonging to numerous genomes, while offering particularly sophisticated means to statistically evaluate the returned motifs or sites, as well as to compare them with current knowledge (annotated genomes and motif collections). The modular conception of RSAT enables flexible and seamless module chaining to answer a variety of biological questions, problems and data types, and to address challenges coming from novel technologies. This point is particularly well illustrated by peak-motifs, which combines some of the very early tools of the suite (4,12,13) with some of the most recent ones (e.g. compare-matrices) to perform a comprehensive analysis of the huge sequence sets resulting from ChIP-seq experiments.

AVAILABILITY

The main server is located in Belgium (http://rsat.bigre.ulb.ac.be/rsat/). Mirror servers are available in Mexico (http://embnet.ccg.unam.mx/rsa-tools/), Sweden (http://liv.bmc.uu.se/rsa-tools/), France (http://tagc.univ-mrs.fr/rsa-tools/; http://rsat01.biologie.ens.fr/rsa-tools/), and South Africa (http://anjie.bi.up.ac.za/rsa-tools/) These RSAT Web servers can be freely accessed by all users without login requirement.
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