Computational Study of Mechanochemical Activation in Nanostructured Triblock Copolymers

Zijian Huo, Stephen J Skala, Lavinia R Falck, Jennifer E Laaser, and Antonia Statt*

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability to drive chemical reactions by applying force across chemical bonds has received significant attention as a platform for strain sensing, chemical catalysis, and self-healing materials. However, the microscopic chain conformations and topologies necessary for efficiently transducing macroscopic forces to the molecular scale are not well-understood. In this work, we use a coarse-grained model to investigate the impact of network-like topologies on mechanochemical activation in self-assembled triblock copolymers. We find that mechanochemical activation during tensile deformation depends strongly on both the polymer composition and chain conformation in these materials. Activation primarily occurs in the tie chains connecting different glassy domains and in loop chains that are hooked onto each other by physical entanglements. Activation also requires a higher stress in materials having a higher glassy block content. Overall, the lamellar samples show the highest percent activation at high stress. In contrast, at low stress, the spherical morphology, which has the lowest glassy fraction, shows the highest activation. Additionally, we observe a spatial pattern of activation, which appears to be tied to distortion of the self-assembled morphology. Higher activation is observed in the tips of the chevrons formed during deformation of lamellar samples as well as in the centers between the cylinders in the cylindrical morphology. Our work shows that changes in the network-like topology in different morphologies significantly impact mechanochemical activation efficiencies in these materials, suggesting that this area will be a fruitful avenue for further experimental research.
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mechanically active spiropyran unit in the middle of the rubber block. While they did observe some dependence of activation on the weight fraction of the glassy blocks, the relatively low molecular weights of the polymers and the low segregation strength in their system precluded rigorous investigation of the role of morphology in mechanochemical activation. Ramirez et al. have also investigated mechanochemical activation in cast and electrospun triblock copolymers containing mechanophores in the midblock. They found that the triblock architecture promoted more activation than the midblock alone, but the inclusion of only a single polymer composition made drawing conclusions about the role of morphology impossible. While these studies are thus suggestive of the role of network-like connectivity in determining the efficiency of mechanochemical activation in triblock copolymers, significant work remains to elucidate the role of specific topological features of the network in promoting or hindering mechanochemical activation in these materials. Specifically, resolving the exact conformations and spatial locations of the activated molecules is critical for understanding how morphology affects mechanochemical activation.

Here, we address this problem by using coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations to investigate mechanochemical activation in triblock copolymers, shown schematically in Figure 1. We use a parametrized bead–spring model for a triblock copolymer and a double-well bond inspired by Silberstein et al. for the mechanophore, enabling force-driven activation. Taking a simulation-based approach enables investigation not only of the average response of the entire sample but also of how the conformations and spatial positions of individual chains drive differences in their activation across different self-assembled morphologies. While a number of groups have modeled either the deformation of homopolymers, purely rubbery, or rubbery-glassy triblock systems, they have not investigated the interplay of nanostructured morphology and mechanophore activation. Our work thus contributes to this gap in understanding force-driven activation in these materials. Using this computational approach, we find that activation is dependent on the sample morphology, chain conformations, and spatial location of the mechanophores within the morphology in triblock copolymers. In particular, we find that activation occurs primarily in the tie chains, and that the spherical morphology, which has the highest fraction of tie chains, exhibits the highest activation at low stress. More interestingly, however, we find that at high stress, the lamellar samples exhibit the highest overall activation despite having the lowest fraction of tie chains. This indicates that both chain orientation and the presence of glassy domains in the lamellar sample, which change the local force distribution, play an important role in mechanochemical activation in nanostructured polymeric materials, and it suggests that controlling network-like topology is a promising route toward materials with precisely tailored activation profiles.

2. RESULTS

2.1. Equilibrium Properties

Coarse-grained simulations were carried out on ABA triblock copolymers using a bead–spring model with the Kuhn segment molecular weights, average amorphous densities, and glass transition temperatures for both blocks parametrized to match poly(methyl methacrylate)-block-poly(n-butyl acrylate)-block-poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA-b-PnBA-b-PMMA) triblock copolymers, as described in the Methods Section and Supporting Information. The PMMA-b-PnBA-b-PMMA system was chosen for this work because it forms well-ordered morphologies and can be synthesized via controlled radical polymerizations that are compatible with the widely studied spiropyran mechanophore, which was modeled using a double-well bond potential. This double-well potential was chosen to represent the ring-opening reaction spiropyran undergoes. Experimentally, the associated activation energy barrier can be measured by single-molecule force spectroscopy. Alternatively, it can also be obtained from quantum-chemical calculations using the CoGEF method, while the exact shape of this potential may be complicated for simplicity, we model this energy barrier with a double-well potential in our work. The effect of having an activation barrier in comparison to a single-well bond is illustrated in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information, and the influence of activation barrier height on overall activation-strain curves is shown in Figure S3 in the Supporting Information. In short, similar activation profiles are observed with different energy barriers and the relative trends between morphologies remain unchanged.

Each chain contained one mechanophore in the middle of the rubbery PnBA-block. Samples of three polymer compositions (summarized in Table 1) were generated, and 10

![Figure 1. Schematic of the simulation system investigated in this work. A bead–spring model of a triblock copolymer, parametrized to match PMMA-b-PnBA-b-PMMA, is self-assembled into microphase-separated morphologies and then deformed under uniaxial strain. Transitions in the state of a mechanophore in the middle of each chain, represented by a double-well potential, are tracked throughout the deformation, allowing investigation of how activation depends on the morphology and local environment and conformation of the chains.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acspolymersau.2c00031)
independent replicas were equilibrated for each composition. As shown in Figure 2, all systems self-assembled into the morphologies expected from the phase diagrams predicted by self-consistent field theory (SCFT) and showed the expected average domain spacings. Agreement with SCFT predictions was further assessed by determining the fraction of loop and tie chains in each sample, where loop chains are chains whose ends connect two different A domains, as illustrated in Figure 2d. The results were in good agreement with SCFT predictions, with tie-chain fractions of 0.73 ± 0.01, 0.62 ± 0.04, and 0.38 ± 0.03 in the spherical, cylindrical, and lamellar morphologies, respectively. Loop chains were further classified as “hooked” if they were entangled with (i.e., hooked onto) a loop chain originating from a different glassy domain. Details of the chain classification algorithm are provided in the Supporting Information. All morphologies were well phase-separated and had a negligible fraction of dangling/cilia ends in their initial equilibrated states, with approximately 1.5% dangling chains in the spherical sample as the highest observed quantity.

This analysis indicates that the equilibration procedure described in Section 5.2 successfully generated correctly equilibrated triblock copolymer samples, with the expected network-like topologies. While some discrepancies in the domain spacings and loop and tie fractions were observed (see Supporting Information), such discrepancies are expected because the SCFT results are only valid for identical monomer sizes, whereas here the B beads are slightly bigger than the A beads. SCFT results also show a weak dependence of the tie fraction with degree of segregation and copolymer composition, which are neglected in this comparison. These effects are expected to be small, however, and the overall agreement between the simulation results and the SCFT predictions supports that the samples investigated here are fully equilibrated and accurately represent the morphologies of spherical, cylindrical, and lamellar triblock copolymers.

2.2. Stress Strain Behavior

To measure the stress–strain behavior as a function of morphology, the spherical, cylindrical, and lamellar samples were deformed perpendicular to their periodic microstructure at constant volume; while slight quantitative differences in the stress/strain curves and mechanochemical activation were observed with other deformation modes (e.g., deformation at constant pressure), the overall trends and conclusions reported in this work are not significantly influenced by the deformation method (see Supporting Information for a detailed discussion and comparison). Samples were deformed up to 400% elongation, corresponding to true strains between 0 and 1.63, and each stress–strain curve was averaged over 10 independent simulation runs. The resulting overall stress–strain curves are shown in Figure 3a. Overall, the stress–strain curves follow the expected behavior, with the stress increasing with the fraction of the glassy A component in the sample. Consistent with prior results from simulations and experiments, the absolute stress is highest for the lamellar morphology, followed by the cylindrical morphology, with the spherical morphology having the lowest stress.

The stress–strain behavior exhibited a very short linear elastic regime at low strains, followed by a weak yield point for the lamellar sample, and a continuous increase of stress, indicating strain hardening at higher strain values. Generally, the behavior of the simulated BCP was somewhat more “ductile” than an experimental system would be. This effect is a known shortcoming of the Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential, which does not reproduce brittle failure modes under uniaxial deformation accurately. In addition to the pair-potential, periodic boundaries stabilize plastic flow and suppress crazing and shear banding. While we focus here mainly on the activation behavior of the embedded mechanophore in the rubbery block and do not investigate failure modes associated with the glassy domains directly, detailed investigation of mechanophore activation related to material failure may be an interesting direction for future work.

The stress–strain behavior as a function of chain type is shown in Figure 3b. The tie chains carried the majority of the total stress, especially for the cylindrical and spherical morphologies. In the lamellar sample, where tie chains make up 38% of the sample, loop chains transmit roughly half of the stress. When normalized by the absolute number of each type of chain (see Supporting Information), the average stress per
chain is the same on all chains for low strain, up to a strain of roughly 1.2; for higher strains, the loop chains carry a lower than average stress, whereas the tie and entangled hooked chains carry higher than average stress. These results indicate that the contributions from the different types of chains obtained from the coarse-grained simulations are reasonable and provide a sound basis for analyzing mecanochemical activation across different types of chains.

Finally, we note that in the lamellar morphology, consistent with previous reports, some buckling and cavitation events were observed in the rubbery phase around a percent elongation of 200%. The cylindrical and spherical samples showed deformation of the microdomains but no large scale reorientation up to strains of approximately 170% for the cylindrical and 70% for the spherical samples. Cavitation was only observed in the cylindrical samples toward the very end of the deformation, at strains above approximately 350%. The main focus of this work was mecanochemical activation at strains that correspond to low (affine) to higher nonaffine deformations. An in-depth analysis of activation related to mechanical failure mechanisms such as buckling and cavitation, which occur at even higher elongations, is left for future work.

2.3. Activation Behavior

The strain-induced mecanochemical activation of each sample was quantified over each deformation run by analyzing the lengths of the mecanochemical bonds (represented by the double-well potentials) in the middle of each chain. The number of activated mecanochemical units was defined as the number of double-well mecanochemical bonds in the second minimum, which was calculated using a simple distance-based cutoff criterion (where the cutoff is located at the local maximum of the potential between the two minima). The total activation measured for each morphology is presented in Figure 4a. At low strains, all morphologies had a very similar low level of activation of about 5%, which is above the typically observed thermal activation of less than 2%. (sph: (1.4 ± 0.2) %; cyl: (1.8 ± 0.4) %; lam: (1.8 ± 0.3) %). At high strains, the fraction of mecanochemical units activated increased with increasing glassy block content, consistent with the higher stress required to strain these materials. Overall, peak activations of approximately 20% (sph), 30% (cyl), and 45% (lam) were observed at the highest true strain value of 1.6.

As with the stress, the activation was also analyzed as a function of chain type. As seen in Figure 4b, across morphologies, the vast majority of the activation occurred in the tie chains—even in the lamellar morphology, where the tie chains make up only 38% of the sample and loop chains bear a comparable fraction of the total stress. Loop chains showed significantly lower activation, even when normalized by their relative fractions (see Supporting Information). This result suggests that control over the tie-chain fraction is critical for optimizing mecanochemical activation in block copolymers.

Because mecanochemical activation rates depend on the force across the mecanochemically active bond rather than just the overall deformation, it is also useful to look at the activation as a function of stress rather than strain. As shown in Figure 5a, the spherical and cylindrical morphologies exhibit higher activation than the lamellar morphology at the same overall stress. This behavior reverses the strain-dependent trends observed in Figure 4, where the lamellar morphology had the highest activation at any given strain.

Figure 5. (a) Total activation of the mecanochemically active bond in different morphologies as function of true stress. (b) Activation as function of average true stress on each chain type, for all three morphologies (spherical - dotted lines, cylindrical - dashed lines, lamellar - solid lines) as indicated in the legend. True stress in (b) is calculated from the total true stress of each chain type for each morphology divided by the total number of chains of each chain type.

The same overall trends are observed when activation is plotted as function of average stress on each chain instead of total true strain, as shown in Figure 5b, but important differences emerge in the behavior of tie, loop, and entangled hooked chains. As seen in this figure, the loop chains that were not hooked onto other chains showed a consistently lower activation at the same average stress per chain than the tie chains or hooked loop chains, which was especially pronounced in the cylindrical and lamellar morphologies. The hooked loop chains, on the other hand, followed the tie-chain activation behavior quite closely, responding to stress in a similar way. From a geometric perspective, this is expected because two hooked chains should transmit forces very similarly to how a tie chain would. When normalized by number of chains, i.e., average activation per chain type (see Supporting Information), it becomes apparent that as the volume fraction of the A block \( f_A \) decreases, the average activation of the hooked chains also decreases slightly: in the lamellar morphology, hooked chains had a slightly higher average activation than tie chains, in the cylindrical sample they were about the same, and in the spherical morphology, the hooked chains had a lower average activation than the tie chains. This trend was consistent with the amount of hooked chains in each morphology, with approximately 5% hooked chains in the lamellar samples, 1.7% in the cylindrical, and 1.3% in the spherical morphology. From a material design standpoint, this result means that a large fraction of tie chains...
or hooked chains is desirable when a high overall activation is desired, especially at large strain. If a response at low absolute stress values is desired, spherical morphologies should give the highest activation at relatively low stress.

To gain more insight into the specific chain conformations in the rubbery B region and how they affect activation, primitive path analysis (PPA) was carried out on the entire deformation trajectory. In this analysis, the A beads were held fixed, and the PPA was applied only onto the B beads. The primitive path length $L_{c,B}$ and the end-to-end distance $R_{e,B}$ of the rubbery midblock, as well as the number of kinks on the rubbery midblock, were then extracted from the primitive path structure. Details of the PPA analysis are provided in the Supporting Information.

HistoGraphs of the primitive path contour lengths for all samples, separated by chain type and activation status, are shown in Figure 6. As seen in this figure, activated tie and hooked chains typically had a longer primitive path lengths than their nonactivated counterparts. The activated tie chains have $L_{c,B}$ lengths around 55 $\sigma$, very close to maximum extension ($\sigma_{BB}/N \approx 60 \sigma$). The loop chains did not show such a trend, with roughly the same fraction activated regardless of their $L_{c,B}$. Interestingly, the activation depended somewhat on the number of kinks in the chains: at high strain rates, activated tie chains had a slightly lower average number of kinks than the nonactivated tie chains, and activated loop chains had a slightly higher average number of kinks than the nonactivated loop chains. Details of this analysis are given in the Supporting Information. Analysis of the behavior of further subclasses of chains (e.g., loop chains entangled or hooked with tie chains, etc.) is beyond the scope of the present work but may be an interesting avenue for future analysis.

2.4. Orientation/Direction Dependence

The preceding results are all for deformation of each morphology perpendicular to its characteristic domain pattern. However, because experimental block copolymer samples are expected to contain a mixture of domain orientations, it is also important to understand how the morphology’s orientation relative to the applied force affects mechanochemical activation. To this end, additional uniaxial deformation tests were performed on each system, with force applied in the other perpendicular axes. The direction-dependent stress–strain and activation-strain behaviors are summarized in Figure 7. In the lamellar morphology, deformation in the $x$ direction reflects deformation perpendicular to the lamellae, while deformations in the $y$ and $z$ directions are both deformations parallel to the lamellae, as shown in Figure 2. Because of this symmetry, the $y$ and $z$ responses were identical, and both the stress and activation were significantly lower for these deformations than for deformation perpendicular to the lamellae, where the chains are better aligned with the pulling direction. In the spherical case, all three directions are equivalent and exhibited identical stress and activation responses, as expected from the symmetry of this morphology. In the cylindrical case, on the other hand, all three directions behaved slightly differently; the $x$ and $z$ deformations are both perpendicular to the cylinder axes, but because the $x$ axis is tilted 60° from the axis of hexagonal symmetry (see Figure 2), the deformation is not as well-aligned with the chains connecting the glassy domains. The lowest stress was observed for deformations parallel to the long axis of the cylinders, which is in agreement with prior experimental results. When the morphologies were deformed parallel to their characteristic domain directions, we did not observe any distinctive spatial patterns in activation, in contrast to the results shown on Figure 8.

Overall, the strain behavior is consistent with that observed in tensile experiments on aligned block copolymer samples, and the overall activation tracked the trend of the stress–strain curves. Importantly, the deformation axis most perpendicular to the glassy domain of each morphology always led to the largest activation; in a macroscopic sample with imperfectly aligned domains, one would observe some averaged overall activation and stress.

2.5. Spatial Localization of Activation/Specific Region

Finally, because the composition of self-assembled block copolymers varies spatially within the material, it is anticipated that mechanochemical activation may vary spatially within the $B$ regions, as well. To investigate this hypothesis, each of the 10 independent runs for the lamellar and cylindrical morphologies were aligned and averaged to obtain spatial 2D maps of the fraction of $B$ beads, the local lateral stress, and the local fraction of activated $SP$ beads. Samples were aligned by shifting the closest center of mass of a domain to the origin and wrapping the shifted coordinates with respect to the periodic boundary conditions. The analysis was carried out at a true strain rate of 1.2, or 300% elongation, to capture the effect of deformation while the morphology domain patterns were still relatively intact. The spherical system was omitted from this

Figure 7. (a) Overall stress–strain and (b) overall activation curves of each morphology, deformed in different directions. See Table 1 for snapshots with indication of the directions. $x$ is perpendicular to the lamellae, $z$ is along the cylinder hexagonal axis, and $y$ is parallel to the cylinder main axis.
2D histogram was 1 analysis because the spherical SP beads are located in the (bottom) at approximately 1.2 true strain. For each sample, the top Figure 8.

Spatial maps of the lamellar (top) and cylindrical samples (bottom) at approximately 1.2 true strain. For each sample, the top panel shows the density of B beads, the middle shows the local lateral stress, and the bottom shows the fraction of mechanophores activated, normalized by the local density of mechanophore beads. Note that the SP beads are located in the B-rich (blue) phase. The bin size for each 2D histogram was 1 σ.

As shown in the top panel of Figure 8, the lamellar morphology underwent buckling and started to exhibit a chevron-like pattern at moderate to high strain. This behavior is consistent with prior observations in literature\(^\text{25,46}\) for similar triblock models. The buckling is caused by the Poisson effect due to the lateral stress mismatch in the glassy and rubbery phase.\(^\text{58}\) The exact modes of deformation and yield are expected to depend on strain rate and sample size, as shown in ref \(^\text{58}\), although this effect was not investigated in this work. Negative lateral stresses emerged in the glassy domains, and positive lateral stresses emerged in the rubbery regions, indicating some compression of the glassy domains and expansion of the rubbery domains under tension. Additionally, some localization of extreme values of the stress near the interfaces was apparent in the rubbery regions.

Interestingly, activation in the lamellar samples was strongly correlated with the shape of the domains and not necsecssarily with regions of high stress. Activation was localized toward the center and the tips of the chevron pattern in the lamellar phase, e.g., red areas in Figure 8. Note that the 2D activation map in this figure is normalized by the density of mechanophore beads, and regions with no mechanophore beads present are indicated in white. Therefore, the observed pattern is not an effect of increased density of mechanophore units, which is also higher toward the center of the rubbery regions. The mechanophore activation appears to not be correlated with extreme values in lateral stress but instead follows the pattern of spatial domain deformation.

Similarly, in the cylindrical morphology, a pronounced cross-hatch pattern appeared (lower panels in Figure 8), with the highest activation observed toward the middle of the rubbery regions, whereas the stress is highest at the interfaces between the rubbery and glassy phases. Similar to the lamellar morphology, the spatial pattern of activation followed the spatial domain deformation instead of regions of high stress. In general, in comparison to the lamellar morphology, the lateral stress in the cylindrical morphology was lower and had less pronounced positive or negative extremes.

The spherical morphology did not show any pronounced spatial activation features. We note, however, that a two-dimensional projection of the spherical morphology leads to averaging of both rubbery and glassy domains, which might hide spatial features. In addition, the spherical morphology preserved less of its spatial order when deformed, making aligning the 10 samples for averaging more challenging.

To conclude, it appears that the spatial deformation of the domains directly influences where mechanophores are activated, with the most pronounced activation occurring in the “tips” of the chevrons formed by the lamellar morphology. This illustrates that detailed understanding of each morphology and its deformation on the nanoscale is important for understanding the activation in these systems.

### 3. DISCUSSION

In this work, we utilized coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations with a simple model mechanophore to investigate mechanochemical activation in a series of well-ordered block copolymers. A key advantage of this approach, as illustrated in this work, is that it allows extraction of detailed information about how individual populations of chains do or do not participate in the activation process. As we show, modeling activation via molecular dynamics simulations allows the responses of tie, loop, and hooked chains to be analyzed separately, which is impossible in experimental systems. We find that tie and hooked chains activate much more efficiently than loop chains, which confirms our original hypothesis that activation strongly depends on chain conformations and highlights the critical importance of the network-like topology in determining the transmission of force to (and activation of) individual polymer chains.

These results suggest that block copolymer systems merit further experimental investigation as platforms for mechanochemical activation. As shown in this work, changing the composition of the block copolymer (and its self-assembled morphology) impacts both the modulus of the material and the efficiency with which it activates a mechanophore at the center of the rubbery midblock. We show that, unexpectedly, stress-activation curves and strain-activation curves show opposing trends, where spherical samples showed higher activation at low stress and lamellar morphologies showed higher activation at low strain. This means that for an application requiring activation at low stress and high strain, a spherical morphology may offer the best balance of low modulus and activation efficiency, while for an application requiring activation at high
stress and low strain, a lamellar morphology will be more appropriate.

To enable application of these results to experimental systems, understanding the similarities and differences between the experimental and computational systems is critical. First, the strain rate used in the computational work is orders of magnitude higher than those typically used in experimental measurements. As a result, the computational model exhibits behaviors, such as cavitation, that likely do not play a significant role in low strain-rate experiments. As shown in the Supporting Information, we find that lowering the strain rate drives slightly earlier activation of the mechanophore units as the chains are able to relax and transmit force uniformly to the mechanically active bond. We also simplified the complex reactive molecular potential energy surface of mechanophore activation to a double-well potential, which is consistent with the level of detail in coarse-grained bead spring models. This level of resolution is known to lead to a loss of friction, more ductile behavior, and overall accelerated dynamics. While direct quantitative comparisons to experiments are challenging, and experimental systems may exhibit some differences in absolute activation profiles due to more efficient redistribution of force on the experimental time scale, this effect should not change any of the trends observed in terms of which chains activate and which do not.

Second, the morphologies used in this work were well-aligned relative to the stretching direction, while in experimental systems, the domain orientations are typically randomized, with grains on the order of hundreds of nm to a few μm. To a first approximation, measurements on experimental systems are thus expected to give a result that is essentially the average of the different orientations. In spherical systems, this effect should not change the overall activation profiles, because the spherical morphology is already symmetric along all three axes. In lamellar systems, on the other hand, this effect might significantly lower the average activation. This result may be further complicated by reorientation of domains under tensile deformation. Additionally, in experimental systems, the oriented grains have edges. As shown in Figure 8, activation in lamellar samples is localized near the tips of the buckled chevron pattern. This result suggests that activation may also be more pronounced at grain boundaries, where different orientations of the lamellar morphology join together. Understanding the effects of domain orientation and domain boundaries will be critical for understanding experimental meinochemical activation profiles in these systems.

Finally, beyond the block copolymer system investigated in this work, we believe that explicit modeling of activation in coarse-grained simulations has the potential to expand understanding of a wide range of other meinochemically active polymer systems as well. Loops, which our work shows are elastically and meinochemically mostly inactive in the block copolymer systems, also play an important role in the mechanical properties of regularly cross-linked networks, and controlling loop defect content may offer new opportunities to improve activation in these types of networks. By directly modeling the mechanophore rather than inferring activation rates from a measured force, we also open the possibility of modeling mechanical responses of systems incorporating scissile mechanophores that cleave the polymer chains upon activation or mechanophores that change the length of the polymer chains as they are activated.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we developed a simple model mechanophore that can be incorporated into coarse-grained bead–spring models of polymeric materials and used it to investigate meinochemical activation in a series of well-ordered triblock copolymers. The equilibrated polymers generated from the coarse-grain model agree with SCFT predictions, and the incorporation of the mechanophore does not change the overall mechanical response of the material. We find that in triblock copolymers with higher fractions of the glassy end blocks, more stress is required to activate the mechanophore unit in the midblock than is required in triblocks with lower glassy block fractions. Additionally, we find that the chain topology is critical, with mechanophores located in tie chains activating at much higher rates than mechanophores located in loop chains. We also find that hooked loop chains behave very similarly to tie chains, showing similar stress-activation curves. Finally, we find that activation is not spatially uniform but instead depends strongly on where the mechanophore is located within the self-assembled morphology and how that morphology distorts during tensile deformation.

This work suggests that triblock copolymers may offer an attractive platform for controlling meinochemical activation and should motivate further experimental work on these systems. This work also highlights the key role of network-like topology in determining meinochemical activation, which may offer new opportunities to understand and optimize meinochemical responses across a wide range of related systems.

5. METHODS

5.1. Model

Molecular dynamics simulations of symmetric ABA triblock copolymers were carried out using a coarse-grained Kuhn segment bead–spring model. Pair interactions were described by standard Lennard-Jones (1σ) potentials, and bonds were modeled with finite extensible nonlinear elastic (FENE) potentials. The model was parametrized to describe a poly(methyl methacrylate)-block-poly(n-butyl acrylate)-block-poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA-b-PnBA-b-PMMA) polymer system, with the mass $m_1 = 1 \text{ mol}$, diameter $σ_{AA} = 1 \text{ Å}$, and interaction energy $ε_{AA} = 1 ε$ of the A beads (each representing a PMMA Kuhn segment) chosen as the units of mass, length, and energy, respectively. The resulting derived units of temperature, pressure, and time were $T^* = kT/ε$, $p = ε/σ^3$, and $τ = σ^2/m/ε$, and the parameters for the B beads were set as $m_2 = 1.6 \text{ mol}$, $σ_{AB} = 1.2 σ$, and $ε_{AB} = 0.6 ε$ to parametrize the PnBA Kuhn segments (see Supporting Information). The cross-interaction parameters were chosen using Lorentz and Fender-Halsey mixing rules, yielding $σ_{AB} = 1.1 σ$ and $ε_{AB} = 0.75 ε$, where $ε_{AB} = 0.75 ε$ ensures that the polymer melt phase separates under the investigated conditions. For computational efficiency, all 1σ pair interactions were cut at $r_{cσ} = 3 σ$, and a smoothing function was applied from $r_{cσ} = 2.5 σ$ to $r_{cσ}$ to transition both energy and force smoothly to zero at $r_{cσ}$. Finally, the mechanophore unit was represented using a double-well potential, where the first minimum corresponds to the deactivated state and the second minimum represents the activated state, with an additional bead in the middle of the bond to prevent unphysical bond crossing in the activated state. Details of the double-well potential are provided in the Supporting Information.

All simulations were carried out in HOOMD-blue 2.9 using CUDA 10.1 on a single graphics processing unit (GPU) (NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080Ti). The double-well potential was implemented in a custom plugin for HOOMD-blue.
5.2. Sample Generation/Equilibration

In all simulations, the degree of polymerization of the B midblock was set to $N_B = 50$. The total number of A beads was then varied from 16 to 86 to achieve volume fractions $f_A$ of the A block from 0.16 to 0.50, targeting spherical, cylindrical, and lamellar phases. The polymer chains were placed randomly in a cuboid simulation box using standard periodic boundary conditions with the volume $V = L_x \times L_y \times L_z$ at a number density of 0.55 $\sigma^{-3}$, as shown in Table 1. The randomly placed polymer chains were then annealed toward the target microphase-segregated structures using dissipative particle dynamics (DPD),\textsuperscript{73,74} which enables fast phase separations.\textsuperscript{75–77} Even with the fast equilibration typical for DPD, it took considerable time for the systems to phase separate and anneal into the ordered morphologies. Therefore, only a small number of test systems were directly equilibrated using DPD, resulting in the expected morphologies after $\sim 1 \times 10^3 \tau$ for lamellae, $\sim 5 \times 10^3 \tau$ for cylinders, and $\sim 6 \times 10^3 \tau$ for spherical morphologies. For the remaining samples, an external field with domain spacings matching those obtained from the test systems was applied to direct the self-assembly and speed up the equilibration, based on the method discussed in ref 78. Full details of the equilibration and directed self-assembly methods are provided in the Supporting Information.

After self-assembly, the soft potentials used for the equilibration runs were replaced with the LJ and FENE potentials described above, and the time step was consequently decreased to $\Delta t = 0.005 \tau$ to ensure numerical stability and energy conservation. The external field was removed, and further equilibration was performed in the NVT ensemble using a Langevin thermostat and a temperature $T = 1.0 \epsilon/k_B$ for $2.5 \times 10^3 \tau$. After that, the equilibrated configuration was cooled down linearly within $4.5 \times 10^3 \tau$ to the desired temperature of $T = 0.35 \epsilon/k_B$ using the NPT ensemble with the Martyna-Tobias-Klein (MTK) barostat-thermostat\textsuperscript{79} with coupling constants $\tau_1 = 1.0$ and $\tau_2 = 1.2$ at constant pressure $p = 0$. The system was then equilibrated at $T = 0.35 \epsilon/k_B$ for another 500 $\tau$. This temperature is well-below the glass transition temperature $T_g \approx 0.43 \epsilon/k_B$ of the A blocks\textsuperscript{80} and above $T_g$ of the B blocks. The system was then further equilibrated at a constant temperature $T = 0.35 \epsilon/k_B$ for another 500 $\tau$ to ensure an equilibrated morphology before the spiropyran units were Incorporated into the center of the B beads. Full details of the equilibration procedure are provided in the Supporting Information.

5.3. Deformation

The tensile response of the equilibrated samples was simulated by deforming the equilibrated configurations at a strain rate of $4 \times 10^{-4}$ $\tau^{-1}$ in an NVT ensemble using a Langevin thermostat with a constant temperature $T = 0.35 \epsilon/k_B$. The average stress was obtained from the simulation using the pressure tensor components, $\sigma_i = \frac{\langle P_i \rangle - P}{3}$ where $i$ is the direction of elongation and $P$ is the pressure, $P = \frac{\sigma_i}{3}$. All uniaxial deformations were repeated 10 times with independently equilibrated samples. Samples were deformed to a maximum elongation of 400% to ensure that the entire range of sample responses was investigated.\textsuperscript{24 \textsuperscript{16}}

5.4. Analysis

In order to analyze the chain conformations, each chain was identified as either a tie, loop, or dangling or hooked chain. By clustering the different A-rich domains using DBSCAN,\textsuperscript{82} it was straightforward to identify which chain ends were either connecting different domains, in the same domain, or if one end was dangling free. To distinguish loop from hooked chains, the primitive path analysis algorithm\textsuperscript{11–14} was used on each rubbery B midblock. Using the reduced path, it is possible to determine which chain is entangled with which, and the hooked chains could be readily distinguished. Local stress was determined using the virial expression for stress on each particle and then binned in a two-dimensional histogram. More details about each of these analyses are provided in the Supporting Information.
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