An exceptional complication of transesophageal ultrasound in a patient with Coronavirus disease
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Abstract

We presented an unusual case of iatrogenic esophageal perforation (EP) following trans-esophageal echocardiography (TEE) in a patient with COVID-19. Force applied during the intubation on an inflammatory and fragile wall caused by COVID-19 probably represented the underlying condition that contributed to the EP. Early diagnosis and urgent treatment are essential for a favorable prognosis.
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Key Clinical Message

- EP after TEE represents a medico-surgical emergency.
Given the high rate of asymptomatic patients with COVID-19, the risk of contamination and the frailty of esophageal tissues, we should check coronavirus infection in every patient before TEE.

**Background**

Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) is a very reliable method increasingly used in cardiology. TEE is nevertheless a semi-invasive method which does have some risks. Esophageal perforation (EP) is a rare with an extremely low incidence (0.02 to 0.09%) [1,2] but serious risk. We present the case of a patient with Coronavirus disease who developed EP after TEE and we discuss if this complication is favored by COVID-19 infection.

**Case presentation**

Our patient was a 67-year-old woman with a medical history of hypertension and atrial fibrillation. She was admitted in our department because of one-week fever (38.3). She had no respiratory symptoms or history of gastro-esophageal disease. Physical examination revealed good general condition, with the blood pressure of 120/80 mm Hg, and a pulse rate of 80 beats/min. Her oxygen saturation was 92% in ambient air. Pulmonary auscultation was normal. Cardiac auscultation showed normal heart sounds and a 3/6 systolic murmur to the mitral focus. The electrocardiogram was in sinus rhythm.

A transthoracic and then transesophageal ultrasound were performed, finding a slightly dilated left ventricle with preserved systolic function, severe mitral regurgitation by prolapse of the large mitral valve (A2 prolapse) and rupture of the cord. There is moderate tricuspid regurgitation. Laboratory studies showed hemoglobin of 15.7 g/L and normal leukocyte count. There were elevated blood levels for C-reactive protein (150 mg/L; normal range, 0–10 mg/L).

Given the high probability of infectious endocarditis (IE), we performed TEE which didn’t show any signs if IE. The introduction of the probe was uneventful and the patient tolerated well the exam.

Immediately after the TEE, the patient reported severe neck pain and cervical swelling. Examination of the cervical region found a swelling 5 cm in diameter consistent with a hematoma and subcutaneous emphysema (figure 1). The oropharyngeal exam was without abnormalities.

A cervico-thoracic computed tomography (CT) scan after oral contrast administration was performed showing a perforation in the cervical esophagus, a hematoma of the visceral space of the neck and an emphysema of the retropharyngeal space (figure 2).

Intravenous antibiotics and parenteral nutrition were initiated. As a part of preoperative assessment, we performed a Real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of nasopharyngeal swabs which was positive for SARS-CoV-2. The patient underwent an emergent surgery, allowing the closure of the perforation, drainage of the collection and feeding jejunostomy. Unfortunately, our patient died tens days later due to an acute respiratory distress syndrome related to COVID-19.

**Discussion**

Here we reported the first case of EP in our department during a TEE exam; more than 6000 exam have been performed until now, the fact that patient had COVID-19 seems to increase the risk of this complication. In fact, EP is a rare condition, the incidence is estimated at 3 cases per million inhabitants per year [3], but it remains a serious complication, associated with high mortality, mainly due to septic complications such as mediastinitis [4]. The main causes are increased intraesophageal pressure (spontaneous or Boerhaave’s syndrome), iatrogenic damage due to instrumentation, physical or chemical trauma, or diseases of the esophagus [3].

EP after TEE is extremely rare and commonly occurs in the cervical esophagus [1,2,5,6]. Although the incidence of perforation is low, esophageal mucosal injuries during TEE are common (up to 60%) [7]. However, only 2% of those injuries are recognized clinically. A review of the literature found 35 reported cases of EP secondary to a TEE [8]. These were most often elderly women and TEE performed intraoperatively, without
any particular difficulty during the procedure. EP during intraoperative TEE is caused primarily by direct trauma related to probe introduction and manipulation. Prolonged, continuous pressure and thermal energy from a probe can also damage esophageal tissue, resulting in indirect mechanical trauma [9].

At the cervical level, the esophageal wall showed weakness caused by the crossing of fibers from the constrictor of the pharynx muscle and the crico-pharyngeal muscle[7]. This zone projects in regard of the cervico-thoracic junction (C5–C6 vertebrae). Perforation risk at this level is increased during passing of the probe by upper extension of the neck. Flexion of the neck enables opening the cervico-thoracic junction and decreases the risk of perforation[7].

Identification of risk factors and gentle probe manipulation may prevent this complication[10,11]. Risk factors for perforation during instrumentation of the esophagus appear to be spasm or hypertrophy of the cricopharyngeal sphincter and intrinsic esophageal disease (e.g., inflammation). In these instances, increased mucosal friability and decreased esophageal compliance may increase the risk of perforation during passing of the probe. In a patient with COVID-19, weakening of the esophageal wall may result from thrombotic and septic phenomena related to viral infection [12,13]. Additionally, the coronavirus has an extensive tissue distribution, causing microthrombosis and generalized small vessel vasculitis [13,14]. These phenomena, associated with patient comorbidities, such as hypertension and heart disease, are associated with high mortality rates. In our patient, EP may have been caused by a direct damage of the esophagus wall by the extremity of the probe into an esophageal mucosal disturbance.

The presenting clinical signs are variable, including pain, hypotension, shock, fever, dyspnea, pneumomediastinum and biological inflammatory syndrome [8,15,16]. Subcutaneous emphysema confirms the diagnosis, but it is quite common with perforation of the cervical esophagus (60% of cases) [17].

Cervico-thoracic CT scan after oral contrast administration is the gold standard investigation to confirm the diagnosis by detecting the presence of air in the mediastinum and visualizing of the perforation [18]. It makes it possible to assess the perforation to guide treatment by determining the site of the perforation, its extent, the presence of abscesses, collections, pleural effusions.

When minor rupture is evident, medical therapy consisting of board-spectrum antibiotics and total parenteral nutrition may be attempted. Endoscopic treatment is also possible for small uncomplicated perforations diagnosed early. In more extensive cases, surgical treatment is performed, justified by the severe prognosis of this affection. Jones and Ginsberg [16] reported mortality rates of 6, 34 and 29%, respectively for cervical, thoracic and abdominal esophageal perforation in a collected review. Surgical technique depends on the location of the perforation, its size, the viability of the esophageal wall, the extent of local sepsis, and the presence of an underlying esophageal lesion [19]. Conservative surgical treatment involves debriding infected and necrotic tissue, sutting the puncture, and draining on contact. A feeding jejunostomy is placed at the same time.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, we presented an unusual case of iatrogenic esophageal perforation in a patient with COVID-19. Force applied during the intubation into an esophageal mucosal disturbance cause by COVID-19 probably represented the underlying condition that contributed to the damage caused by the probe. We should avoid TEE in patients with COVID-19, not only because of risk of contamination but also an eventual frail esophagus and high risk of perforation.
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**Figure legends**

Figure 1: Cervical swelling related to hematoma and subcutaneous emphysema  

Figure 2: A cervico-thoracic computed tomography scan after oral contrast administration showing a perforation(A) in the cervical esophagus, a hematoma(B) of the visceral space of the neck and an emphysema(C) of the retropharyngeal space
