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Effect of Chlorotriphenyl Derivatives of Sn and Pb upon Biophysical Properties of Membranes
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Biophysical activity of two twin organometallic compounds Triphenyltin chloride (TPhT) and Triphenyllead chloride (TPhL) in their interreaction with model membranes, as well as with yeast cells Saccharomyces cerevisiae, was investigated. Four measurement methods were used in the experiments: two physical methods (spin probes method and the electric method); two biological methods (minimal inhibitory concentration /MIC/ and yeast survival test). It has been found that the activity of TPhT in interaction with model membranes and yeast cells is distinctly greater than that of TPhL. The activity manifests itself by considerable increase in the fluidity of the middle part of liposome bilayer, change in the polarization direction of the transmembrane voltage of filters impregnated with lauric acid, and in complete inhibition of growth of yeast cells at the concentration of 5 μg/mL.
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1. Introduction

Organometallic compounds, due to their high biological activity, are the object of intensive research conducted by biologists, geneticists, biochemists, and biophysicists. The research focuses mainly on toxic properties of lead, mercury, and cadmium derivatives [1], that is of metals commonly present in industrial processes of the previous century. However, because of the rapid development of our civilization and global informatization, a fast expansion of the electronic industry generating new technologies is observed, which in turn leads to the appearance of a new source of environment pollution with harmful metal compounds. One of them is tin, popularly applied in the electronic industry and usually treated as a metal of low toxicity. On the other hand, due to its frequent appearance in many products, as well as its ability to accumulate in organisms, tin can become a source of serious poisonings. As it follows from various studies [2–5] organic compounds of tin inhibit, among others, the process of oxidative phosphorylation, accelerate hemolysis of erythrocytes, and also alter the physical properties of lipid model membranes [6, 7]. Ionized forms of tin compounds [8–13] (e.g., chlorides) display a particular activity influencing lipid membranes.

Man [14] reported on a particularly high activity of tin chloride (Triphenyltin chloride-TPhT) built from three aromatic rings and influencing the middle part of lipid bilayer. This compound, after entering the liposomes, considerably fluidizes their structure, which—with reference to biological membranes—means a destructive effect on their functions, that is destruction of cells. It was proved that TPhT inhibits pig testicular 17 beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase activity and suppresses testicular testosterone biosynthesis [15], while in the case of rat’s cells—Leydig cells (LC-540) —the compound induces the appearance of apoptosis [16]. Also, triphenyllead chloride used in micromolar concentrations hemolyzes pig erythrocytes [17]. It seems, therefore, purposeful to performe detailed comparative studies of the biological activity of TPhT and its twin lead compound—Triphenyllead chloride (TPhL) in model membranes, as well as in cells of living organisms. Such a comparison can help to understand what function the structure of the molecule plays in the activity of compounds, and what the role of the metal ion transported by this molecule to the membrane is. We also expect to find an answer to the question of what type of interaction, induced by the presence of an admixture in the membrane, decides about its toxic properties.
Four measurement methods were used in the experiments: two physical ones (the spin probes method and the electric method) in studies of the model membranes, and two biological (minimal inhibitory concentration /MIC/ and test of yeast survival) in studies of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells. The influence of TPhT and TPhL compounds on the dynamic properties of liposome membranes formed from Egg Yolk Lecithin (EYL) was investigated by means of the spin probes method (ESR). The electric method was used to examine the transmembrane voltage generated by these compounds on the filters impregnated with an ester of lauric acid. On the other hand, the influence of the examined compounds on living organisms was investigated by means of the MIC method and the test of yeast survival. The yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae was used as the model organism [18] to investigate the effect of the above-mentioned compounds on the survival of their cells.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. Saccharomyces cerevisiae wild strain D273-10B/A1 was used as the test microorganism. The yeast was grown in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 1% bactopeptone, 2% glucose). For plating, the medium was supplemented with 2% bactoagar.

The Egg yolk lecithin (EYL) used in the experiment was prepared at the Institute of Chemistry of Opole University, according to a method described in [19].

The spin probes: 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) and 2-ethyl-2-(15-methoxy-15-oxopentadecyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-oxazolidin-2-one (16-DOXYL-stearic acid methyl ester) as well as the ester of lauric acid were delivered by ALDRICH.

The 50 μm thick filters with the pore diameter of 1.5 μm used in the experiment were manufactured by Synpor (the Czech Republic).

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. ESR Method. The liposomes were formed from EYL in distilled water, in the process of sonification. The total sonification time of a single sample (1.5 ml) lasted to 5 minutes, and the sonication was conducted in consecutive cycles consisting of 30 seconds of sonification followed by 30 seconds of cooling. The concentration of the EYL in the sample was 0.04M and that of the spin probe (added to the formed liposomes) was 0.05% in with regard to the lecithin. Two twin compounds, TPhT ((C₆H₅)₃SnCl) containing tin, and TPhL ((C₆H₅)₃PbCl) containing lead, were chosen for the experiment. The structural formulas of the compounds are presented in Figure 1. Admixtures of the investigated compounds were introduced in the samples after formation of liposomes in a solution of dimethylformamide (DMF) and their concentrations amounted to 1% and 10% (molar) relative to the EYL. The measurements were taken during 180 hours at a constant temperature of 297 K.

Two spin probes, penetrating different areas of the membrane, were applied in the experiment: 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPO) and 2-ethyl-2-(15-methoxy-15-oxopentadecyl)-4,4-dimethyl-3-oxazolidin-2-one (16-DOXYL-stearic acid methyl ester). The TEMPO probe dissolves both in the hydrophobic part of liposome membranes and in water environment, whereas the 16-DOXYL-stearic acid methyl ester locates deep in the middle part of the hydrophobic layer of the membrane.

On the basis of the ESR spectrum of the TEMPO probe, the spectroscopic parameter F of division of this probe into the membrane and its water surrounding was determined. The measure of parameter F is the ratio of the amplitude P of the high-field line in the ESR spectrum of the probe dissolved in water solution to the amplitude of the low-field line in the lipid environment H (Figure 2(a)). The value of parameter F is connected, among others, with fluidity of the surface layer of membrane [20].

On the basis of the spectrum obtained using the 16-DOXYL-stearic acid methyl ester probe, the spectroscopic parameter τ—rotation correlation time—was determined (Figure 2(b)). The value of this parameter also depends on the degree of fluidity of the environment and is the higher; the stiffer (the more ordered) is the environment in which the probe is immersed [21]. In the case of liposomes, this probe locates in the middle of the lipid bilayer and informs about the fluidity of this particular area.

2.2.2. Electric Method. Experimental system consisting of two chambers (the measuring and the reference ones), separated with a membrane (Synpor filter impregnated with lauric acid), was used. The chambers of the measuring system were filled with 10 mM solution of KCl and connected by means of salt bridges with intermediate chambers, in which measuring electrodes Ag/AgCl, connected to the electrometer, were immersed. Such a system prevents an interaction that could occur between the measuring electrode and the compound introduced in the chamber. Using the Keithley 6517 electrometer, the time dependence of the transmembrane voltage (TMV), induced by the presence of admixtures of the examined compounds, was measured. Similarly as in the case of the ESR method, the investigated compounds were dissolved in DMF and added—in the same form—to the measuring chamber. The measurements were carried out for two different concentrations of the admixtures: about 1% and 10% (molar) relative to the lauric acid.

![Figure 1: The chemical structure of the TPhT and TPhL molecules.](image-url)
2.2.4. Test of Yeast Survival. In order to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the compounds, the survival of yeast was tested. Yeast cells were treated with various concentrations of the compounds and inoculated on YPD plates. The number of colonies was counted after incubation at 30°C. The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of each compound that inhibits the formation of colonies on YPD plates.

2.2.3. Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Method. The minimal inhibitory concentration was determined, as previously [22], as the lowest concentration of each compound that inhibits the formation of colonies on YPD plates.

Each of the ESR and electric measurements was repeated 5 times, and the presented results are the arithmetic means of the measurements. Relative measurement errors amounted to 1.5% for the parameter $F$, 2.5% for the parameter $\tau$, and 2% for the electric method, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 3 represents the time dependence of the value of spectroscopic parameter $F$ (Figure 2(a)) of the TEMPO probe introduced in the water suspension of liposomes containing TPhT and TPhL admixtures of concentrations 1% and 10% in mol proportion to EYL. The broken line in the diagrams marks the values of parameter $F$ for the control sample containing admixture-free liposomes. As it is seen both the TPhT and TPhL admixtures cause a rise in the value of parameter $F$ in relation to the control sample, which can testify to a growth in the fluidity of the surface layer of liposome membranes [20] under the influence of the admixtures. The tin containing compound (TPhT) is more active while interacting with the liposome membranes. However, the influence of concentration of the admixtures on changes in the spectroscopic parameter $F$ is relatively small, which can testify to a weak interaction of the compounds with the surface layer of membranes. In the case of TPhT they amount to 4% of the value of parameter $F$ in relation to the control sample for a low concentration of the admixture (1% TPhT in proportion to EYL, Figure 3(a)) and 9% for a greater concentration of the admixture (10% TPhT in proportion to EYL, Figure 3(b)). The lead containing compound (TPhL) causes even smaller changes in parameter $F$. They amount to about 2% of the value of parameter $F$ in relation to the control sample for 1% TPhL admixture in proportion to EYL and 4% for 10% TPhL admixture in proportion to EYL.

Figure 4 shows the time dependence of the value of spectroscopic parameter $\tau$ (rotational correlation time) of the 16-DOXYL-stearic acid methyl ester probe introduced in EYL liposomes (Figure 2(b)) containing TPhT and TPhL admixtures of concentrations 1% (Figure 4(a)) and 10% (Figure 4(b)). The broken line in the diagrams (like in Figure 3) marks the values of parameter $\tau$ for the control sample containing admixture-free liposomes. As it follows from the figures, the compound containing tin (TPhT), introduced in the liposomes, causes a decrease in the value of parameter $\tau$ in relation to the control sample, which can testify to a rise in the fluidity of liposome membranes [21] under its influence. On the other hand, the changes in parameter $\tau$, caused by adding a compound containing lead, (both 1% TPhL and 10% TPhL in proportion to EYL), are small, which can testify to a very weak interaction of this compound with the hydrophilic part of the membrane. The shape of the curves presented in Figures 3 and 4 points to the occurrence of two processes of different dynamics. The first—fast—covers the period from $t = 0$ to $t = 20 – 30$ hours and is connected with the effect of admixtures on the liposome membranes. The other process—slow—was extended in time up to about 80–100 hours and was probably connected with gradual hydration and degradation of the sample. After this time no significant changes in the registered parameters $F$ and $\tau$ are observed. A similar dependence was observed in [13, 14, 22].

Analyzing the changes in the ESR spectroscopic parameters of the TEMPO and 16-DOXYL stearic acid methyl ester probes, it may be concluded as the following.

(1) Despite of the similarity of their structures, the tin containing compound—TPhT—interacts with EYL liposome membranes in their hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts more actively than its lead containing twin-TPhL. Its activity increases with concentration.
Figure 3: Time dependence of the value of the spectroscopic parameter $F$ of the TEMPO probe, dissolved in water suspension of EYL liposomes containing admixtures of (a) 1% TPhT and TPhL; (b) 10% TPhT and TPhL. The dashed line on diagrams marks the value of parameter $F$ for the control sample containing liposomes without a compound.

(2) The changes in the fluidity of membranes, induced by the presence of TPhT in the hydrophobic area, were decisively greater than those in the hydrophilic one, which can testify to a good penetration of the hydrophobic environment by this compound.

Figure 4: Time dependence of the value of the spectroscopic parameter $\tau$ (rotation correlation time) of the 16-DOXYL-stearic acid methyl ester probe, dissolved in the EYL liposome membranes containing admixtures of (a) 1% TPhT and TPhL; (b) 10% TPhT and TPhL. The dashed line on the diagrams marks the value of parameter $\tau$ for the control sample containing liposomes without a compound.

Figure 5 represents the time dependence of the transmembrane voltage (TMV) of filters impregnated with lauric acid, induced by introduction of TPhT or TPhL compounds in the measuring chamber. As it is seen, the transmembrane voltage induced by the containing compound (TPhT) is much higher than that induced by the lead-containing compound (TPhL). For 1% concentration of TPhT, the TMV reaches the maximum value of 60 mV after about 90 minutes and then slowly decreases to naught. In the case of TPhL, also for 1% concentration, the TMV reaches the maximum value of 16 mV after about 30 minutes and in further 330 minutes practically does not change (Figure 5(a)). A rise in the concentration of the examined compounds to 10% leads to visible changes (Figure 5(b)), as regarding both the shape...
Figure 5: Time dependence of the membrane voltage for (a) 1% concentrations of the TPhT and TPhL; (b) 10% concentration of the TPhT and TPhL admixture in the measurement chamber.

Table 1: The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of Triphenyltin chloride and Triphenyllead chloride for Saccharomyces cerevisiae growth.

| Compounds    | MIC (μg/ml) |
|--------------|-------------|
| Pb compound  | 8           |
| Sn compound  | 5           |

of the curves dependence depicting the $U = f(t)$ and the values of the induced TMVs. In the case of TPhT, the TMV reaches the maximum value of 170 mV after about 2 minutes and then—in another 10 minutes—decreases to 0. After this time the voltage changes its polarization and reaches the minimum value $-30$ mV, and next it asymptotically increases to about $-10$ mV. In the case of TPhL, the TMV reaches the maximum value of $80$ mV after about 5 minutes and then decreases, and after 60 minutes it stabilizes at the level of 10 mV (Figure 5(b)).

The maxima visible on Figures 5(a), 5(b) testifies to the existence of two competing processes. The first one leads to an increase in the trans-membrane voltage and consists in a gradual penetration particles positively charged of admixture dispersed charge in the electrolyte, into the inside of the membrane. This process is additionally stimulated by the negative charge of the membrane (impregnated filter) applied in the experiment. The other process leads to lowering of the trans-membrane voltage by absorption of Cl$^-$ ions by the membrane and begins with a delay in relation to the first process, since it requires neutralization of the negative charge of the filter.
Table 2: Dependence of survival of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* D273-10B/A1, doped with Triphenyltin chloride and Triphenyllead chloride on time.

| Compounds      | Concentration (μg/ml) | 0 hours  | 6 hours  | 12 hours | 24 hours |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Control        | 0                     | 8 × 10²  | 400 × 10² | 250 × 10⁴ | 260 × 10⁵ |
| Pb compound    | 1                     | 8 × 10²  | 2.0 × 10² | 1.4 × 10² | 0.3 × 10² |
| Sn compound    | 5                     | 8 × 10²  | 1.45 × 10²| 1.2 × 10² | 0.2 × 10² |
|                | 1                     | 8 × 10²  | 1.18 × 10²| 0.4 × 10² | 0.1 × 10² |
|                | 5                     | 8 × 10²  | 0.42 × 10²| 0.1 × 10² | —        |

4. Conclusions

(1) All the measuring methods applied in the present study point to a far more considerable activity of the compound containing tin (TPhT) in its interaction with membranes in comparison with that for compound containing lead (TPhL).

(2) The effectiveness of the interaction of the compounds with the membranes increases together with time and with the rise in their concentration, which was confirmed both by the ESR method and the test of yeast survival.

(3) The greater activity of TPhT in relation to TPhL is connected with the metal ion inside the molecule, not with its shape.

(4) The change in the polarization of the registered TMV, induced by the presence of the compound containing tin, can be explained as an effect of strong fluidization of the membrane in the hydrophobic part (results of the ESR method), which could cause local micropores to appear in the filter impregnated with lauric acid, which in turn could provoke migration of ions through the hydrophobic inside of the filter towards its other side—a change in the polarization of the registered TMV.

The strong influence of the kind of ion enclosed within a large molecule on the biological activity of the latter, when interacting with both biological and model membranes, seems surprising as well. A similar conclusion can be found in [23], reporting the results of investigations of the family of metalloporphyrins that differed in terms of the ion of metal enclosed inside the molecule. The data presented in [23] demonstrate that the general character of the influence of metalloporphyrins on membranes is very similar (they all fluidize the interior of the membrane), while the effectiveness of their interaction heavily relies on the metal ion. The much higher toxicity of the compound containing tin than that of the one with lead is also quite surprising; the very fact entailing taking a new look at the problem of pollution of environment with metals and forcing us to redefine our views to date on the “low-harmful” tin is present in industrial and communal waste. It may save us,
in the epoch of common electronization of society, from an unexpected ecological bomb, the more so as other organic tin compounds investigated with the use of the ESR technique [14] also show a considerable activity while interacting with EYL liposome membranes. Comparative studies of these compounds and similar ones containing lead are in progress and their results will be presented in a coming paper.
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