Rubisco is essential for CO₂ fixation and is a primary target for engineering approaches to increasing crop yields. However, the assembly and maintenance of active Rubisco are dependent on the coordinated biosynthesis of at least 11 nuclear-encoded proteins, termed the ‘Rubiscosome’. Using publicly available gene expression data for wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), we show that the expression of Rubiscosome genes is balanced across the three closely related subgenomes that form the allohexaploid genome. Each subgenome contains a near complete set of homoeologous genes and contributes equally to overall expression, both under optimal and under heat stress conditions. The expression of the wheat thermo-tolerant Rubisco activase isoform 1β increases under heat stress and remains balanced across the subgenomes, albeit with a slight shift towards greater contribution from the D subgenome. The findings show that the gene copies in all three subgenomes need to be accounted for when designing strategies for crop improvement.
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Introduction

The CO₂ fixing enzyme of photosynthesis, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco), is a primary target for engineering efforts to increase the efficiency of photosynthesis in crops such as wheat. Rubisco biogenesis is complex and is further complicated by the hexaploid nature of the wheat genome. Here, we aim to address the research gap on the relative expression of Rubisco and its essential auxiliary factors across the multiple nuclear genomes of wheat. This information is essential in designing successful gene-editing approaches towards improving the agricultural productivity and climate resilience of wheat.

Plant Rubisco forms a hexadecamer, which is composed of eight large and eight small subunits. The large subunit is encoded by a single gene (rbcL) within the chloroplast genome, while the small subunit is encoded by a gene family (RbcS) located in the nuclear genome (Morita et al. 2016; Vitlin Gruber and Feiz 2018). Despite the spatial separation between the two genes, stoichiometry is maintained between the nuclear-encoded RbcS and the chloroplast-encoded rbcL at intermediate assembly stages. Mature RbcS upregulates the transcription of rbcL, while unassembled rbcL monomers downregulate the translation of further rbcL (Suzuki and Makino 2012; Wostrikoff and Stern 2007).

Plant rbcL monomers are highly prone to aggregation and cannot spontaneously fold into their functional form, requiring assistance from the nuclear-encoded chloroplast chaperonin complex (Bracher et al. 2017). The chloroplast chaperonin complex is predominantly composed of a tetradecamer of Cpn60 subunits arranged into two heptameric rings that form a cylindrical-like protein (Hayer-Hartl and Hartl 2020), and this is capped by a ring of Cpn10 and Cpn20 co-factors. Cpn20 is a tandem repeat of Cpn10 and is the most highly expressed chaperonin subunit in the chloroplast (Zhao and Liu 2018). Following binding of ATP, the chaperonin complex undergoes a conformational change, enclosing rbcL in a nano compartment that enables correct folding, the folded rbcL is subsequently released upon hydrolysis of the bound ATP (Bracher et al. 2017).

Rubisco holoenzyme (rbcL₈RbcS₈) assembly requires assistance from at least four known assembly chaperones, RbcX, Rubisco Accumulation Factor 1 (Raf1), Rubisco Accumulation Factor 2 (Raf2), and Bundle Sheath Defective 2 (BSD2) (Aigner et al. 2017). RbcX functions as a
Carbamylation occurs when CO₂ binds to a lysine (Lys-201) requiring post-translational modifications to become active. Stabilising the rbcL8 core in the absence of RbcS (Aigner 2014); its role remains unclear, but Raf2, like Raf1, appears to function as a post-chaperonin assembly chaperone (Aigner et al. 2017; Gruber and Feiz 2018). Bundle Sheath Defective 2 (BSD2) has also been suggested to operate as a post-chaperonin assembly chaperone (Feiz et al. 2014), stabilising the rbcL8 core in the absence of RbcS (Aigner et al. 2017; Vitlin Gruber and Feiz 2018; Conlan et al. 2019; Hayer-Hartl and Hartl 2020). The interactions of RbcX, Raf1, Raf2 and BSD2 with rbcL appear to be dynamic, and the four auxiliary factors seem to play somewhat overlapping roles, but all have been shown to be essential for in vitro Rubisco assembly (Aigner et al. 2017).

Following assembly of the holoenzyme, the active sites require post-translational modifications to become active. Carbamylation occurs when CO₂ binds to a lysine (Lys-201) within the active site and is subsequently stabilised with the binding of a Mg²⁺ ion, rendering the enzyme catalytically competent, ready to bind the substrate ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (Carmo-Silva et al. 2015). Following activation, naturally occurring sugar-phosphate derivative compounds can act as potent inhibitors. These inhibitory compounds, including 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol-1-phosphate (CA1P) and xylulose-1,5-bisphosphate (XuBP), play a key role in regulating Rubisco catalysis (Parry et al. 2008; Lobo et al. 2019). Inactive, inhibitor-bound Rubisco requires the function of its catalytic chaperone Rubisco activase (Rca), which releases the inhibitors from Rubisco in an ATP-dependant manner (Carmo-Silva et al. 2015). Following removal from Rubisco, the inhibitory compounds are subsequently degraded by the phosphatases CA1Pase and XuBPase (Sharwood 2017). rbcL, RbcS, Cpn60, Cpn20, RbcX, Raf1, Raf2, Rca, CA1Pase and XuBPase are all essential for Rubisco biogenesis and function and, therefore, can be collectively referred to as the ‘Rubiscosome’ (Erb and Zarzycki 2018).

Excluding rbcL, all other Rubiscosome proteins mentioned above are encoded by the nuclear genome. The nuclear genome of bread wheat contains a total of 21 chromosomes, consisting of the three distinct diploid genomes originating from the hybridisation of three closely related donor species. The first hybridization event occurred 300,000–500,000 years ago with the hybridisation of the diploid genome of Triticum urartu (AA) with the diploid genome of a closely related species to Aegilops speltoides (BB) forming the tetraploid Triticum turgidum (AABB) (Huang et al. 2002). The tetraploid genome of T. turgidum (AABB) was subsequently hybridised with the diploid genome of Aegilops tauschii (DD) forming the hexaploid genome of T. aestivum (AABBDD) around 10,000 years ago (Krasileva et al. 2013). Each donor genome (henceforth subgenome) contains a near identical set of homoeolog genes, forming homoeolog triads (IWGSC 2014). Genes previously subject to speciation (orthologous genes) become homoeologs when re-united in a single genome during allopolyploidization (Glover et al. 2016). Therefore, the expression of each of the nuclear-encoded Rubiscosome proteins in wheat originates from a homoeolog triad spanning the A, B and D subgenomes.

Despite homoeologs being on average 97.2% identical across coding sequences (Krasileva et al. 2017), variation exists within non-coding and repetitive sequences including intronic sequences of homoeolog genes, enabling the subgenome origin of transcripts to be determined (Feldman and Levy 2012). Balanced expression occurs when the total expression of a gene is derived from relatively equal quantities originating from each of the three homoeologs spanning the three subgenomes. In contrast, asymmetric gene expression occurs when most of the total expression of a gene is derived from just one or two of the three homoeologs. Analysis of triad expression of 53,259 wheat genes (Ramírez-González et al. 2018) showed that most triads were balanced (c.72.5%). The same study found that, within asymmetric triads characterised by varied contributions of the three subgenomes to the total expression of the respective gene, single subgenome suppression was more common (c.20.5%) than single subgenome dominance (c.7.1%). Overall expression of the D subgenome was slightly yet significantly more abundant than the B and A subgenomes (33.65%, 33.29% and 33.06%, respectively). As there is no recombination between chromosomes of the three genomes (Martinez-Perez et al. 2001), gene homoeologs that encode enzymes have a high degree of retention (Feldman et al., 2012). Therefore, multimeric enzymes such as Rubisco and the chaperonin complex are likely to feature subunits transcribed from homoeologs spanning all three wheat subgenomes. The aim of this study was to characterise the relative subgenome contributions to the expression of each Rubiscosome gene to inform biotechnological efforts aimed at improving Rubisco function in hexaploid wheat.

Materials and methods

Identification of Rubiscosome genes within the hexaploid wheat genome

In this study, ‘Rubiscosome’ genes include RbcS, Cpn60, Cpn20, Raf1, Raf2, Bsd2, RbcX, Rca1, Rca2, XuBPase and
CA1Pase, with full names and functions listed in Table 1. rbcL is omitted due to being encoded on the chloroplast genome and, therefore, disparate from the hexaploid nuclear genome. The nuclear genome Rubiscosome genes were identified using the BLAST search feature on EnsemblPlants (Howe et al. 2020). Nucleic and amino acid sequences of Rubiscosome homologs from soybean (Glycine max), cowpea (Vigna unguiculata), maize (Zea mays), tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) and Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) were used for query sequences to assist in identifying wheat homologs (Feiz et al. 2012, 2014; Aigner et al. 2017; Lin et al. 2020).

Rubiscosome Gene_IDs that were identified from the BLAST analysis were collected and populated with relevant metadata including the encoded gene, gene locus coordinates, and all corresponding Transcript_IDs. Gene_IDs correspond to a gene locus within the wheat genome. A gene locus may contain several Transcript_IDs, each corresponding to a unique predicted transcript. Transcript_IDs are denoted by a decimal number at the terminus of a Gene_ID, for example, TraesCS4A02G177500.1 and TraesCS4A02G177500.2 are Transcript_IDs which correspond to the alpha and beta isoforms (respectively) of TraesCS4A02G177500, the A subgenome homoeolog locus of Rca2. To further ensure that the identified genes corresponded to the query genes, transcript and protein sequences for all Transcript_IDs were downloaded in FASTA format for comparative analysis to a homolog of a different species to the one used as the query sequence. Comparative analysis of transcript and peptide sequences were all performed using the Geneious Alignment feature of Geneious 9.1.8 (www.geneious.com).

**Rubiscosome Gene_IDs**

Table 2 contains the Gene_IDs of all loci encoding Rubiscosome proteins. Gene_IDs were grouped together, by their subgenome location and by the Rubiscosome protein that they encode. The majority of the Rubiscosome proteins are encoded by an even number of loci which have been mapped to the A, B and D subgenomes, with some exceptions, detailed below.

The Raf2 A (TraesCS5A02G545700) and B (TraesCS4B02G379500) homoeologs have been mapped to chromosomes successfully in the reference genome used in this study. A blast search query of the A and B sequences also returned a Gene_ID (TraesCSU02G129700) which had been mapped to an unassigned chromosome category in the reference genome. A sequence alignment of the mature protein sequence of these three Gene_IDs returned a 95.9% pairwise identity. Therefore, the unassigned TraesCSU02G129700 was assumed to be the D subgenome homoeolog of Raf2.

The RbcS loci identified are not balanced equally in number across the three subgenomes with the A, B and D subgenomes containing 9, 8 and 8 homoeologs, respectively. It is not possible to determine which of the loci are homoeologous. For the purpose of these analyses, expression data from each of RbcS loci have been grouped by subgenome, meaning that the results for RbcS represent the total gene expression conferred by the gene copies across the respective subgenomes rather than per homoeolog.

### Expression data collection

The wheat-expression browser (www.wheat-expression.com) contains expression data from 36 independent studies (as of July 2021), incorporating a broad range of biotic and abiotic stress conditions (Borrill et al. 2016). To establish the expression of Rubiscosome genes under reasonably consistent and stable conditions, and to prevent the results being influenced by any stress imposed on the plants, six studies were selected which stated similar photoperiod and temperature regimes for their plant growth conditions (Table 3). To allow for the inclusion of more datasets and increase the robustness of the analysis, studies were selected, which included samples taken at varied growth stages, with the intention to characterise

| Protein | Name | Function |
|---------|------|----------|
| BSD2   | Bundle Sheath Defective 2 | Rubisco Assembly Chaperone |
| CA1Pase| 2-carboxy-D-arabinitol-1-phosphate Phosphatase | Catalytic Auxiliary Factor |
| Cpn20  | Chaperonin 20 | Chaperonin Subunit |
| Cpn60  | Chaperonin 60 | Chaperonin Subunit |
| Raf1   | Rubisco Accumulation Factor 1 | Rubisco Assembly Chaperone |
| Raf2   | Rubisco Accumulation Factor 2 | Rubisco Assembly Chaperone |
| Rca1/Rca2 | Rubisco Activase | Rubisco Regulation |
| RbcS   | Rubisco Small Subunit | Rubisco Subunit |
| RbcX   | RbcX | Rubisco Assembly Chaperone |
| XuBPase| Xylulose-1,5-bisphosphate Phosphatase | Catalytic Auxiliary factor |
Rubisco expression broadly across green tissues during wheat growth.

Reference assemblies have struggled to compile the full hexaploid genome due to its large size (~16 Gb) and repetitive sequences (~85%). The gene coordinates and annotations of the 2018 RefSeq1.1 assembly were utilised in this study since this has successfully mapped 14.1 Gb of the wheat genome to the 21 chromosomes and a further 481 Mb to an ‘unassigned chromosome’ (IWGSC 2018).

Expression data analysis and visualisation

Sample specific expression data per Gene_ID (Table 2) were downloaded from the wheat-expression browser in transcripts per million (tpm) format. The mean of the samples per Gene_ID was then calculated. In the case of proteins that were encoded by multiple loci per sub genome, the mean tpm per Gene_ID was summed to give total tpm per gene per subgenome:

\[
\text{Total A subgenome expression of } \text{Cpn60} = \text{TraesCS4A02G315500 tpm} + \text{TraesCS5A02G366800 tpm}.
\]

In order to ensure that the relative expression of each of the Rubiscoseome proteins was standardised across subgenomes, the relative expression per subgenome of each protein was expressed as a fraction of the total:

\[
\text{Relative A subgenome expression} = \frac{(\text{Total A tpm})}{(\text{Total A tpm}) + (\text{Total B tpm}) + (\text{Total D tpm})}.
\]

\[
\text{Relative B subgenome expression} = \frac{(\text{Total B tpm})}{(\text{Total A tpm}) + (\text{Total B tpm}) + (\text{Total D tpm})}.
\]

\[
\text{Relative D subgenome expression} = \frac{(\text{Total D tpm})}{(\text{Total A tpm}) + (\text{Total B tpm}) + (\text{Total D tpm})}.
\]

Finally, to visualise the total expression per Rubiscoseome protein, the sum of total tpm per subgenome was calculated and Log2 transformed:

\[
\log_2((\text{Total A tpm}) + (\text{Total B tpm}) + (\text{Total D tpm})).
\]

All data wrangling was completed using the R Language, tidyr and dplyr packages as part of the Tidyverse (Wickham et al. 2019). Figure 1 is generated using the R language adaption of BioCircos.js (Cui et al. 2016). Ternary diagrams (Figs. 2–3)

Table 2 Gene identifiers for known components of the Rubiscoseome in wheat

| Gene | A Subgenome | B Subgenome | D Subgenome |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Bsd2 | TraesCS7A02G341000 | TraesCS7B02G242200 | TraesCS7D02G338600 |
| CA1Pase | TraesCS4A02G184100 | TraesCS4B02G134600 | TraesCS4D02G129300 |
| Cpn20 | TraesCS6A02G340300 | TraesCS6B02G371500 | TraesCS6D02G320800 |
| Cpn60 | TraesCS5A02G212500 | TraesCS5B02G2111200 | TraesCS5D02G219500 |
| | TraesCS7A02G161000 | TraesCS7B02G066000 | TraesCS7D02G162300 |
| | TraesCS2A02G146000 | TraesCS2B02G171400 | TraesCS2D02G150600 |
| Raf1 | TraesCS1A02G142000 | TraesCS1B02G159700 | TraesCS1D02G141100 |
| Raf2 | TraesCS5A02G545700 | TraesCS5B02G397500 | TraesCS5D02G129700 |
| RbcS | TraesCS2A02G666700 | TraesCS2B02G097100 | TraesCS2D02G065100 |
| | TraesCS2A02G668800 | TraesCS2B02G097200 | TraesCS2D02G065200 |
| | TraesCS2A02G669900 | TraesCS2B02G097300 | TraesCS2D02G065300 |
| | TraesCS2A02G670000 | TraesCS2B02G097400 | TraesCS2D02G065400 |
| | TraesCS2A02G671000 | TraesCS2B02G097500 | TraesCS2D02G065500 |
| | TraesCS2A02G672000 | TraesCS2B02G078900 | TraesCS2D02G065600 |
| | TraesCS2A02G673000 | TraesCS2B02G162600 | TraesCS2D02G166900 |
| | TraesCS5A02G165400 | TraesCS5B02G162800 | TraesCS5D02G169900 |
| | TraesCS5A02G165700 | TraesCS5B02G162900 | TraesCS5D02G169900 |
| RbcX | TraesCS2A02G198700 | TraesCS2B02G226100 | TraesCS2D02G206500 |
| | TraesCS5A02G592200 | TraesCS5B02G468800 | TraesCS5D02G470300 |
| Rca1 | TraesCS4A02G177600 | TraesCS4B02G140200 | TraesCS4D02G134900 |
| Rca2 | TraesCS4A02G177500 | TraesCS4B02G140300 | TraesCS4D02G135000 |
| XubPase | TraesCS7A02G335600 | TraesCS7B02G247200 | TraesCS7D02G343300 |

Nomenclature of the A subgenome homoeolog of Bsd2 Gene ID explained: ‘Traes’ refers to the species Triticum aestivum; CS refers to the accession, Chinese Spring; A: 02 refers to chromosome 7, subgenome A; 02 refers to RefSeq v1.1; G refers to the locus encoding a Gene; 341,000 is the unique identifier for this locus.
were generated using ggtern package (Hamilton and Ferry 2018). Code of the analysis is available at https://github.com/LouisCaruana/Wheat-Rubiscosome-Expression-Balance.

**Results**

**Identification of Rubiscosome homoeolog loci within the hexaploid wheat genome**

The blast search of the wheat hexaploid nuclear genome returned a total of 70 gene loci that encoded Rubiscosome proteins. The Rubiscosome genes were well distributed across the wheat chromosomes (Fig. 1), with only the chromosome 3 triplicate not encoding any Rubiscosome genes. Most of the Rubiscosome genes showed a 1:1:1 correspondence of homoeologs across the three subgenomes. However, this was not true of the multiple \( RbcS \) gene loci, which located mostly in tandem in chromosomes 2 and 5. In chromosome 2, there were six \( RbcS \) copies per subgenome plus an additional copy on chromosome 2A, and in chromosome 5, there were two \( RbcS \) copies per subgenome.

The chromosomal positions of each Rubiscosome gene triad are visualised by the connecting lines in Fig. 1. With a few exceptions, the A, B and D homoeologs of each gene triad tended to show a similar position on the respective chromosomes. \( Cpn20 \) was encoded by four discrete gene triads (\( Cpn20_1 \) to \( Cpn20_4 \)), on chromosomes 2, 4, 6 and 7. Of the two discrete \( Cpn60 \) gene triads, \( Cpn60_2 \) was encoded on chromosome 5 across the three subgenomes, while \( Cpn60_1 \) homoeologs have been mapped to chromosomes 4A, 5B and 5D. The assumed D subgenome homoeolog of Raf2 has not been mapped to a chromosome in the reference genome used and, therefore, is displayed in the unassigned chromosome. The other two subgenome homoeologs of Raf2 have been mapped to chromosomes 5A and 4B. The homoeolog loci of these gene triads spanning separate chromosome triplicates are consistent with known translocation events within the wheat genome (IWGSC 2018).

**Relative subgenome expression of the Rubiscosome is consistent across tissue types**

The A, B and the D loci of the majority of the Rubiscosome genes contributed equally to the total gene expression of their respective genes in the studies used. Bsd2, CA1Pase, \( Cpn20, Cpn60, Raf1, Raf2, RbcS, RbcX, Rca2 \) and XuBPase genes were all expressed similarly by their respective loci in

---

**Table 3** Reported photoperiod, temperature regime, variety, and growth stage in seven studies selected from the wheat-expression browser (www.wheat-expression.com)

| Study | Day:Night Length (h) | Day:Night Temperature (°C) | Heat Stress Temperature (°C) | Wheat Variety | Growth Stage | Study Number |
|-------|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| Developmental time course of Chinese Spring (Ramírez-González et al., 2018) | 16:8 | 25:15 | NA | Chinese Spring | Seedling, Seven Leaf, Tillering, Anthesis, 2 Days Post Anthesis, Two Nodes Detectable | 1 |
| Chinese Spring seedling and spikes at anthesis (Ramírez-González et al., 2018) | 12:12 | 20 | NA | Chinese Spring | 14 Days Old, Anthesis | 2 |
| Chinese Spring leaves and roots from seven leaf stage (Ramírez-González et al., 2018) | 12:12 | 20 | NA | Chinese Spring | Seven Leaf | 3 |
| Chinese Spring early meiosis, early prophase (Martin et al., 2018) | 16:8 | 20:15 | NA | Chinese Spring | Early Booting (39 Zadoks) | 4 |
| Developmental time course of Azhurnaya (Ramírez-González et al., 2018) | 16:8 | 25:15 | NA | Azhurnaya | Seedling, Three Leaf, Fifth Leaf, Tillering, Flag Leaf, Full Boot, 30% spike, Ear emergence, Anthesis, Milk Grain, Dough | 5 |
| Genotype expression during a time course of flag leaf senescence (Borrill et al., 2019) | 16:8 | 20:15 | NA | Bobwhite | 3, 7, 10, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 26, Days after anthesis | 6 |
| *Drought and heat stress time course in seedlings (Liu et al., 2015) | 16:8 | 22:18 | 40 | TAM107 | Seedling | 7 |

*Data from Liu et al. (2015) was exclusively used for heat stress analysis*
the leaves and shoots of hexaploid wheat, as shown by the cluster of points in the centre of a ternary plot of expression balance (Fig. 2A). The expression data available also allowed for an assessment of homoeolog expression balance in wheat spike tissue (Fig. 2B). Consistent with the largely balanced expression seen in shoot and leaf tissues, wheat spikes were also observed to have balanced expression for the Rubiscosome genes, except for Rca1. The two ternary plots display a nearly identical data spread with most of the points clustering in the centre of the plots, indicative of balanced expression among the 3 subgenomes in both tissue types. This suggests a constitutive mechanism underpinning tissue-independent relative gene expression by each respective locus.

Expression of Rca1 stood out as relatively asymmetric when compared to the other Rubiscosome genes. Total Rca1 expression in the leaves and shoots of hexaploid wheat was composed of 22%, 23% and 55% from the A, B and D subgenomes, respectively; however, it still fell within what is generally considered balanced expression. The trend towards asymmetric expression of Rca1 was more pronounced in the spike tissues, composed of 19%, 16% and 65% from the A, B and D subgenomes, respectively. Rca1 expression in the spike fell on the boundary of balanced expression, A and B subgenome suppression and D subgenome dominance.

Heat stress alters the relative subgenome expression of some, not all, Rubiscosome genes

To assess how the expression balance of Rubiscosome gene homoeologs may be impacted by heat stress, an important abiotic stressor of wheat photosynthesis, the same analysis was carried out on samples from a heat tolerant wheat variety, TAM107 (Liu et al. 2015). Based on this analysis, Rubiscosome gene expression could be broadly split into two groups based on whether there were dynamic changes under heat stress compared to control conditions. Bsd2, CA1Pase, Cpn20, Raf2, RbcS, Rca2 and XuBPase showed no change, while Cpn60, Raf1, RbcX and Rca1 all displayed changes in their expression balance in response to heat stress (Fig. S1).
Cpn60 shifted from balanced expression across subgenomes under control conditions to B subgenome suppressed under heat stress. Raf1 expression shifted towards D subgenome suppression but remained within the balanced expression category. RbcX expression shifted towards A subgenome suppression in response to heat stress and displayed a considerable upregulation in total expression. Rca1 displayed the largest shift in expression balance. Under control conditions, greater than half of Rca1
expression is from the B subgenome homoeolog (17%, 53% and 28% from the A, B and D subgenomes, respectively, Fig. 3). However, under heat stress conditions, Rca1 expression becomes more evenly split between the B and D subgenomes, while the contribution of the A subgenome remains low and near classification as A subgenome suppressed. Rca1 total expression also massively increased from 102 transcripts per million under control conditions to 3152 transcripts per million when subjected to heat stress conditions.

The increased expression of Rca1 subgenome D homoeolog under heat stress raised the question of whether the proteins encoded by the three homoeologs would differ in sequence. While sequences of TAM107 Rca1 homoeologs were not available to us, comparison of the coding sequences (Fig. S2) and mature protein sequences (Fig. S3) of wheat Rca1 (Triticum aestivum cv. Chinese Spring; IWGSC 2018) shows high homology, with 96.8% identical coding sequences and 99% identical protein sequences. The mature Rca1 protein sequences corresponding to the A and D subgenome homeologs are identical, with the protein resulting from the B subgenome homoeolog featuring 4 amino acid polymorphisms (Table S1).

**Discussion**

Rubisco, the primary carbon-fixing enzyme, can constitute up to 50% of total protein in leaves of C3 plants such as wheat (Parry et al. 2003; Carmo-Silva et al. 2015) and is a prime target for improving the efficiency of photosynthesis. Leaves are the primary photosynthetic organs of wheat; however, the importance of photosynthesis in non-foliar tissues is increasingly recognised, with spike tissues shown to contribute up to 39% of grain biomass (Zhang et al. 2020). Given the hexaploid genome of wheat, we set out to characterise the relative subgenome contribution to the expression of known nuclear-encoded genes related to the synthesis and function of Rubisco, termed the Rubiscosome. This analysis used publicly available data for gene expression in leaf and spike tissues of hexaploid wheat (Borrill et al. 2016). The findings will inform approaches for improving Rubisco biogenesis, activity and regulation aimed at enhancing agricultural crop productivity.

A total of seventy gene loci were identified across the wheat genome which encode proteins currently known to be essential for Rubisco biogenesis and function. Due to the similarity of the three subgenomes, the three homoeologs corresponding to each gene triad were generally found to occur in a similar location on the respective chromosomes. However, homoeologs of Raf2 and Cpn60 are located within translocated regions (Clavijo et al. 2017), resulting in gene triads that span multiple chromosomes. The chaperonin Cpn20 was encoded by four distinct gene triads spread across four separate chromosomes, and the RbcS gene family was composed of tandemly organised genes in chromosomes 2 and 5. The redundancy of RbcS gene copies might be explained as either a gene function protective mechanism, or a subfunctionalisation mechanism, in the ancestral species of the three diploid progenitors (Yamada et al. 2019).

The Rubiscosome gene expression was generally well balanced across the three subgenomes in the leaves and spike tissues of hexaploid wheat (Fig. 2), i.e. there was no clear dominant subgenome contribution towards overall Rubiscosome expression. This is consistent with previous reports that the expression of over 70% of homoeolog triads are balanced (Ramírez-González et al. 2018). The total expression conferred by the gene triads was also consistent between the leaves and spike tissues, suggesting that a functional Rubiscosome is essential for both leaf and spike photosynthesis.

The gene loci encoding Rca1 did not display the same balanced expression as observed for the majority of Rubiscosome genes. Instead, Rca1 featured varying degrees of asymmetric expression. A previous report stated that, based on unpublished expressed sequence tags (EST) data, Rca1 and Rca2 were most highly expressed by the B subgenome (Carmo-Silva et al. 2015). The results reported herein, based on the gene expression data from 7 studies, disagree with this affirmation. Rca2 expression remained consistently balanced across the three subgenomes in different wheat cultivars, plant tissues and under heat stress.
conditions (Figs. 2 and 3). Rca1 expression displayed a more dynamic pattern, with a trend towards subgenome D dominance in leaves and spikes (Fig. 2).

Analysis of expression data for the heat tolerant wheat variety TAM107 (Liu et al. 2015) showed an increase in Rca1 expression in seedlings exposed to heat stress (40 °C) for up to 6 h relative to control temperatures of 18–22 °C (Fig. 3). This observation is consistent with the 40-fold increase in Rca1 gene expression reported for wheat plants after 4 h exposure to heat stress (38 °C), with no corresponding increase in Rca2 expression (Degen et al. 2021). The wheat Rca1 gene triad encodes a short isoform of Rca1, while the Rca2 gene triad produces both a short and a longer isoform via alternative splicing (Carmo-Silva et al., 2015). Rca1 protein has been shown to feature greater thermostability than the two Rca2 isoforms (Scafaro et al. 2019; Degen et al. 2020). Upregulation of Rca1 expression in wheat plants under heat stress has been attributed to a heat responsive element that is present in the promotor regions of all three Rca1 homoeologs, while this is only present in the A homoeolog of Rca2 (Jung et al. 2013; Degen et al. 2021).

The relative expression asymmetry of Rca1 in TAM107 wheat appears to be dynamic. While expression was upregulated by all three loci under heat stress conditions relative to control, the D subgenome displayed a much greater increase than the A and the B subgenome. This resulted in a shift in expression towards D subgenome dominance, although overall expression remained balanced across the subgenomes (Fig. 3). Increased expression of other gene homoeologs from subgenome D has been previously noted under abiotic stress (Liu et al., 2015). While in Chinese Spring wheat, the Rca1 protein encoded by subgenomes A and D is identical and there are only 4 amino acid residue differences relative to the Rca1 protein encoded by subgenome B (Fig. S3), TAM107 may contain further polymorphisms in Rca1 among subgenomes. Similarly, it is possible that the presence of heat responsive elements might show genotypic variation and the potential role of heat responsive elements in Rca gene expression is an area that warrants further investigation as a possible target for manipulating Rubisco regulation under heat stress.

In conclusion, these results demonstrate that Rubisco-some genes are expressed in a balanced manner across the three wheat subgenomes, and this balanced expression is consistent across plant tissues. The findings resolve some uncertainty on the contribution of the three subgenomes to the expression of the key photosynthetic regulatory protein Rca in hexaploid wheat. Except for the relatively asymmetric expression observed in Rca1, there was no dominant subgenome contribution towards overall expression of the remaining Rubisco-some proteins. Therefore, gene-editing strategies aiming to increase CO₂ fixation by targeting Rubisco-some components should ensure that all the target homoeologs are successfully edited to ensure consistent changes in gene expression and resulting phenotype.
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