Macrolide Resistance in Treponema pallidum Correlates With 23S rDNA Mutations in Recently Isolated Clinical Strains
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Background: High rates of 23S rDNA mutations implicated in macrolide resistance have been identified in Treponema pallidum samples from syphilis patients in many countries. Nonetheless, some clinicians have been reluctant to abandon azithromycin as a treatment for syphilis, citing the lack of a causal association between these mutations and clinical evidence of drug resistance. Although azithromycin resistance has been demonstrated in vivo for the historical Street 14 strain, no recent T. pallidum isolates have been tested. We used the well-established rabbit model of syphilis to determine the in vivo efficacy of azithromycin against 23S rDNA mutant strains collected in 2004 to 2005 from patients with syphilis in Seattle, Wash.

Methods: Groups of 9 rabbits were each infected with a strain containing 23S rDNA mutation A2058G (strains UW074B, UW189B, UW391B) or A2059G (strains UW228B, UW254B, and UW330B), or with 1 wild type strain (Chicago, Bal 3, and Mexico A). After documentation of infection, 3 animals per strain were treated with azithromycin, 3 were treated with benzathine penicillin G, and 3 served as untreated control groups. Treatment efficacy was documented by darkfield microscopic evidence of T. pallidum, serological response, and rabbit infectivity test.

Results: Azithromycin uniformly failed to cure rabbits infected with strains harboring either 23S rDNA mutation, although benzathine penicillin G was effective. Infections caused by wild type strains were successfully treated by either azithromycin or benzathine penicillin G.

Conclusions: A macrolide resistant phenotype was demonstrated for all strains harboring a 23S rDNA mutation, demonstrating that either A2058G or A2059G mutation confers in vivo drug resistance.

Benzathine penicillin G (BPG) is an inexpensive and effective treatment for syphilis, and there is no confirmed clinical or laboratory evidence of penicillin resistance in Treponema pallidum. This treatment, however, requires painful intramuscular injections, and clinicians have long sought a single-dose oral regimen for syphilis. Azithromycin, a long-acting oral ketolide antibiotic, is effective against the standard laboratory strain of T. pallidum (Nichols) in the rabbit model,1 and several early studies confirmed the efficacy of azithromycin for syphilis treatment in nonpregnant adults.2–4

When syphilis rates in the United States began to increase in 2000, single-dose azithromycin began to be used more widely to treat syphilis. This regimen was particularly attractive for partner-delivered treatment of sexual contacts. In 2002, however, azithromycin treatment failures were identified in San Francisco, and samples from these individuals contained the 23S A2058G mutation.5 Samples from San Francisco, Dublin, and Seattle documented a high prevalence of the A2058G mutation in circulating strains of T. pallidum, with an increasing frequency of the mutation over time.6–9 Harboring a strain with this mutation was associated with past macrolide exposure.10 A second 23S rDNA mutation, A2059G, was identified in a secondary syphilis patient who failed therapy with the macrolide antibiotic spiramycin, and the mutation was subsequently identified in 18% of samples from patients with syphilis in the Czech Republic.11

The development of a rapid, isolation-independent molecular method to test patient samples led to widespread reports of varying prevalence rates of these mutations. For example, up to 97% of samples tested in Shanghai, China, have the A2058G mutation,12 whereas this mutation has been identified in very low frequency in Taiwan.13,14 The A2059G mutation has been seen in multiple sites, although in lower frequency.15 The rise in syphilis rates, particularly among men who have sex with men, has resulted in a corresponding observation of 23S rDNA mutations in patient samples in London,16 Dublin,9 South Africa,17 United States,18 Seattle,9 and China.19

Despite the reported association of the A2058G and A2059G 23S rDNA mutations with treatment failure after treatment with macrolides,20 there has been controversy about the clinical relevance of the mutations.21 In an article describing a large multisite equivalence study of azithromycin versus BPG for treatment of early syphilis, the authors suggest that the question of whether 23S mutations contribute to syphilis treatment failure among individuals treated with azithromycin remains unresolved.22

The rabbit model of syphilis offers a definitive means to examine the correlation between 23S rDNA mutations and efficacy of azithromycin therapy. Our ongoing syphilis research programs have included isolation of T. pallidum strains from patient samples (blood, cerebrospinal fluid, chancroid exudate) for many years, and these strains have been tested for 23S rDNA mutations.5,6 We used 6 of these strains, containing either the A2058G or A2059G mutation, to examine in vivo susceptibility of T. pallidum to azithromycin in the rabbit model.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains

Six *T. pallidum* strains isolated from blood of patients with untreated syphilis were selected to represent the A2058G and A2059G 23S mutations and, when possible, to represent different molecular strain types within groups (Table 1). Wild type, historical strains were obtained originally from Paul Hardy and Ellen Nell at Johns Hopkins University. These strains had not been tested previously for susceptibility in vivo to azithromycin. All strains were stored as glycerol stocks in liquid nitrogen before propagation in rabbits as previously described.6

Molecular Demonstration of 23S rDNA Mutation

23S rDNA mutations were confirmed using the published restriction fragment length polymorphism methods previously described.6,11 Briefly, an initial 23S rDNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) product specific to *T. pallidum* genomic DNA served as template for a nested PCR. For restriction enzyme digestion, the A2058G mutation was detected with MboII (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, Mass) and the A2059G mutation with BsaI as template for a nested PCR. For restriction enzyme digestion, molecular strain types within groups (Table 1).9,10 Wild type, histological strains were stored as glycerol stocks in liquid nitrogen before propagation in rabbits as previously described.23

Infections

Outbred adult male New Zealand white rabbits (2.5–3.5 kg) were obtained from R & R Rabbitry, Stanwood, Wash. Initially, each rabbit had negative serological results by fluorescent treponemal antibody absorption (FTA-ABS) and Venereal Disease Research Laboratory (VDRL) (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, Md) tests. Rabbits were fed antibiotic-free food and water and housed at 16°C to 18°C. These studies were approved by the University of Washington Institutional Animal Care & Use Committee, and care was provided in full accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Groups of 9 rabbits were infected intradermally (ID) with 1 of the 3 wild type strains or with 1 of 2 Seattle isolates that contained A2059G mutations. Intradermal infection is preferable to intratesticular infection (IT) because the skin lesions can be readily aspirated for darkfield (DF) microscopic examination for the presence of viable *T. pallidum* to determine how quickly the antibiotic treatment results in bacterial clearance (see Table 2). However, ID infection with the other 4 Seattle strains (3 containing the A2058G mutation and 1 strain with the A2059G mutation) does not reproducibly induce robust skin lesions; therefore, groups of 9 rabbits were infected intratesticularly with each of these strains.

TABLE 1. Strains Used in this Study

| Group     | Strain       | Molecular Strain Type | Source*               |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Wild type | Bal 3        | 12a/e                 | Baltimore, unknown   |
|           | Chicago A22  | 14a/a                 | Chicago, 1951        |
|           | Mexico A22   | 14d/e                 | Mexico, 1955         |
| A2058G    | UW074B       | 14d/g                 | Seattle, 2004        |
|           | UW189B       | 13d/d                 | Seattle, 2004        |
|           | UW391B       | 14d/f                 | Seattle, 2005        |
| A2059G    | UW228B       | 15d/f                 | Seattle, 2004        |
|           | UW254B       | 15d/f                 | Seattle, 2004        |
|           | UW330B       | 15d/f                 | Seattle, 2005        |

*Location, year.

TABLE 2. Darkfield Detection of *T. pallidum* in Intradermally Infected Rabbits After Treatment

| Strain Type | Benzathine Penicillin G | Azithromycin | Untreated |
|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Wild Type   |                         |              |           |
| Chicago     | 0/3*                    | 0/3          | 3/3       |
| Mexico A    | 0/3                     | 0/3          | 3/3       |
| Bal 3       | 0/3                     | 0/3          | 3/3       |
| A2059G      | UW228B                 | 0/3          | 3/3       |
|             | UW330B                 | 0/3          | 3/3       |

*Number of rabbits with DF-detectable *T. pallidum* in lesion aspirates by day 10/number of rabbits per group.

IDs Wild Type (Chicago, Bal 3, Mexico A); A2059G mutation (UW228B, UW330B):

Rabbit backs were clipped free of fur, and each rabbit was infected ID at 10 sites with a 0.1-mL volume of 10^7 *T. pallidum* mL (10^6 *T. pallidum* per site). Lesions were examined daily for size and progression for 10 days after initiation of treatment. Once lesions were evident, aspirates were taken for DF microscopic examination before treatment and every 2 days after initiation of treatment; all rabbits in the treatment studies had treponemes detectable by DF before initiation of treatment. After treatment, rabbits whose lesion aspirates became and remained DF-negative on 3 consecutive time points were declared DF-negative and were no longer examined by this method. The microscopist was blind to the treatment status and infecting strain of the animals from which the samples were collected.

ITs A2058G mutation (UW074, UW189, UW391); A2059G mutation (UW254B):

To match the intradermal infection experiments, the same total number of bacteria (10^7) was delivered into 1 testicle per rabbit. Clinical evidence of orchitis (monitored twice weekly) or DF evidence of *T. pallidum* in a testicular aspirate was considered to be proof of active infection before initiation of treatment.

Treatment

For each strain tested, there were 3 treatment groups with 3 rabbits per group: control (no treatment), azithromycin, and BPG. The timing of initiation of treatment was dependent on clinical evidence of infection (DF+ aspirate or orchitis); thus, the timing of treatment initiation varied among strains. Azithromycin-treated animals received one 45-mg capsule by mouth daily (15 mg/kg), roughly equivalent (w/w) to 1 g/d in humans, for 10 days and consistent with our previous study.1 Benzathine penicillin G-treated animals received a single IM injection (200,000 units; equivalent w/w to 4.8 million units for humans).1

Serological Testing

Blood was collected from all rabbits every two weeks post infection for 8 to 14 weeks for quantitative VDRL testing. Serological assays for all time points were performed on the same day to minimize test-to-test variability; the technologist was blinded to the treatment status of the animals from which the samples were collected. Mean log2 titers ± SE were calculated for each treatment group at each time point: nonreactive = −1.0; weakly reactive = −0.5; (reactive at a titer of 1:1) R1 = 0 and R2 = 1, and so on.
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Popliteal lymph nodes from each rabbit were minced in lesion aspirates by day 2 after treatment. One representative strain is shown for each 23S group: wild type, A2058G, and A2059G, as noted. For calculating mean ± SE, titers were converted to log2 with nonreactive = −1.0; weakly reactive = −0.5; R1 = 0, and R2 = 1, and so on. Antilogs of mean ± SE of titers are shown in this figure with nonreactive set at y = 0.

**Rabbit Infectivity Test**

On completion of serological monitoring, all rabbits were euthanized for rabbit infectivity testing (RIT) as described previously. Euthanized at 7 weeks due to unrelated illness.

Development of either DF+ orchitis or VDRL and FTA-ABS seroconversion in the recipient rabbit during a 3-month observation period was considered to be evidence of transferred infection. Observation was discontinued in a recipient rabbit when either of these criteria was met. When recipient rabbits failed to develop evidence of *T. pallidum* infection by the end of the observation period, the donors were assumed to be cured by antibiotic treatment.

**RESULTS**

Three criteria were used to determine susceptibility of a *T. pallidum* strain to antibiotic treatment: disappearance of *T. pallidum* by DF microscopic examination of lesion aspirates, 4-fold reduction in VDRL titer or reversion to nonreactive after treatment, and failure of tissues to transfer active infection to recipient rabbits. Table 2 summarizes the DF microscopic evidence of treponemal infection after completion of treatment in rabbits infected intradermally. All rabbits treated with BPG had no detectable *T. pallidum* in lesion aspirates by day 2 after treatment initiation and remained DF-negative for 2 subsequent examinations. After treatment with azithromycin, rabbits infected with wild type strains had no detectable *T. pallidum* in lesion aspirates by day 4 after initiation of treatment and remained DF-negative for 2 subsequent examinations. In contrast, all rabbits infected with the 2 strains containing the A2059G mutation and treated with azithromycin had detectable *T. pallidum* in lesion aspirates throughout the 10-day observation period. All untreated intradermally infected rabbits had detectable *T. pallidum* in lesion aspirates throughout the 10-day observation period.

In untreated individuals with syphilis, serum VDRL or rapid plasma reagin titers increase through the secondary stage of disease. After treatment, a 4-fold reduction in titer is an indication of the efficacy of treatment. A similar picture is seen in the rabbit model of syphilis, regardless of the route of infection. Figure 1 shows the mean ± SE log2 titers of serum VDRL antibody over the course of infection and treatment for a representative strain in each 23S rDNA mutation group. Serological evidence of treatment success was seen after BPG treatment for all strains, and after azithromycin treatment of wild type strains. Rabbits infected with strains containing either 23S rDNA mutation failed to show a significant reduction in titer post azithromycin, following the same pattern of increasing titer as seen in untreated animals infected with that same strain.

The criterion standard test for persistent *T. pallidum* is the highly sensitive RIT. As shown in Table 3, tissue from BPG treatment groups for all strains failed to transfer infection to recipient rabbits during the 3-month observation period, indicating effective treatment by BPG for all strains. Conversely, all rabbits receiving tissues from untreated animals for all strains developed either DF+ orchitis or seroconversion (VDRL and FTA-ABS). Azithromycin treatment of rabbits infected with any of the 3 wild type strains was effective (RIT-negative), but tissues from animals infected with any of the 6 strains containing A2058G or A2059G 23S rDNA mutations remained infectious for the recipient animals, indicating functional resistance to azithromycin in treated animals.

**DISCUSSION**

We undertook this study to address uncertainty in the scientific and medical communities regarding the clinical relevance of 23S rDNA mutations in *T. pallidum*. In other bacteria, the A2058G and A2059G 23S rDNA mutations confer functional resistance to
macrolide antibiotics, yet concern has been raised in the syphilis literature about whether the presence of these mutations in T. pallidum conveys a macrolide-resistant phenotype.\textsuperscript{21} The Street 14 strain, isolated in 1977, is resistant to macrolide antibiotics in vivo, but demonstration of resistance in more recently isolated strains lends particular relevance to the management of today’s patients. In many areas, the prevalence of strains containing a 23S rDNA resistance mutation is greater than 80%–90%.\textsuperscript{9,12,25,26} Surveillance for these mutations can provide practical information as illustrated by a recent report from Taiwan, where azithromycin was able to be used for syphilis treatment during a recent BPG shortage because of a known low frequency of strains carrying mutations.\textsuperscript{27} In the United States and Western Europe, the strains containing 23S rDNA mutations are reported in the men who have sex with men population consistent with the epidemiology of syphilis in these regions, although studies of separate demographic groups have not been reported. The persistence of the mutations in the population over many years suggests that the A2058G and A2059G mutations in T. pallidum may not confer a significant fitness disadvantage. If true, then these resistant strains may continue to circulate widely in the future, even in the absence of antibiotic pressure.

Our results clearly link in vivo azithromycin resistance to 23S rDNA mutations in 6 recently isolated strains. To avoid the possibility that we were testing separate isolates of the same circulating strain, we endeavored to select strains with different molecular types when possible. Although three strains with A2058G mutations and different molecular type were available, all of our isolates containing A2059G were of the same type. They were isolated over the course of 12-month period, so they may or may not represent a single strain. Nonetheless, all 3 isolates were clearly resistant to azithromycin. To ensure rigor in our investigations, we used multiple methods for demonstrating persistence of infection after treatment: DF microscopic examination of material aspirated from skin lesions or infected tests, the course of serum VDRL titers before and after treatment, and the RIT, which is the criterion standard measure of the presence of infectious T. pallidum in a treated person or experimental animal.

Penicillin remains the preferred treatment for syphilis. Benzathine penicillin G is the form of penicillin that provides treponemical levels of penicillin in blood for multiple weeks after a single administration. This long duration of drug levels is considered necessary because T. pallidum divides very slowly (30–33 hours),\textsuperscript{28} and the drug must be present during cell wall synthesis to be effective. A recent study by Tipple et al showed that, after BPG treatment of 3 primary and secondary syphilis patients, blood was clear of T. pallidum DNA by PCR after a mean of 32 hours, and chancre exudate from the single patient with primary syphilis was cleared of DNA by 56 hours.\textsuperscript{29} Consistent with the data in humans, rabbits treated with BPG have no T. pallidum detectable in lesion exudate by DF microscopy approximately 1 day post-BPG.\textsuperscript{4} The PCR assay used in the Tipple study could be more sensitive than DF microscopy or may have detected residual nucleic acids from dead organisms. Strains with proven penicillin resistance have not been isolated from patients, and clinical failures are uncommon after BPG treatment.

Despite the efficacy of penicillin, the availability of an effective, oral single-dose treatment for syphilis would be welcomed by patients and clinicians. It was hoped that azithromycin would be that drug, but the high frequency of 23S rDNA mutations, particularly in light of our findings, makes azithromycin inappropriate in most geographical regions. The rapid dissemination of T. pallidum strains containing these mutations over many countries and multiple continents has essentially removed azithromycin and other macrolides from the repertoire of drugs that can be used to treat syphilis. Continued surveillance for 23S mutations is important for tracking the movement of resistant strains and for identifying the development of new mutations.
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