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ABSTRACT
Objective To assess the dietary determinants of serum total cholesterol.
Design Cross-sectional population-based study.
Setting Peri-urban region of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
Participants 347 adults aged 40 years and older from the Dar es Salaam Urban Cohort Hypertension Study.
Main outcome measure Serum total cholesterol measured using a point-of-care device.
Results Mean serum total cholesterol level was 204 mg/dL (IQR 169–236 mg/dL) in women and 185 mg/dL (IQR 152–216 mg/dL) in men. After adjusting for demographic, socioeconomic, lifestyle and dietary factors, participants who reported using palm oil as the major cooking oil had serum total cholesterol higher by 15 mg/dL (95% CI 1 to 29 mg/dL) compared with those who reported using sunflower oil. Consumption of one or more servings of meat per day (p for trend=0.017) and less than five servings of fruits and vegetables per day (p for trend=0.024) were also associated with higher serum total cholesterol. A combination of using palm oil for cooking, eating more than one serving of meat per day and fewer than five servings of fruits and vegetables per day was associated with 46 mg/dL (95% CI 16 to 76 mg/dL) higher serum total cholesterol.
Conclusions Using palm oil for cooking was associated with higher serum total cholesterol levels in this peri-urban population in Dar es Salaam. Reduction of saturated fat content of edible oil may be considered as a population-based strategy for primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases.

INTRODUCTION
Sub-Saharan Africa is facing a burgeoning epidemic of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) due to changing demographic profiles, epidemiological transition, urbanisation and lifestyle changes as a result of economic development as well as increasing survival of patients with HIV. Nearly half (46%) of NCD deaths in sub-Saharan Africa is estimated to be due to cardiovascular diseases (CVD) in 2015 and the share of all deaths due to CVD is projected to increase by 17% by 2030 in this region.
Dyslipidaemia is a well-known risk factor for ischaemic heart disease (IHD), stroke and other vascular diseases. In middle-aged adults, IHD mortality is lower by as much as 30%–50% for every 1 mmol/L (38.6 mg/dL) lower serum total cholesterol. Sub-Saharan Africans have historically had a favourable lipid profile but hyperlipidaemia is becoming increasingly common in these countries, especially in urban areas.

Strengths and limitations of this study
- A reliable point-of-care lipid testing system was used to measure serum total cholesterol that has good clinical agreement with laboratory reference methods.
- Potential confounders of the association between diet and serum total cholesterol were systematically measured and adjusted for.
- Only serum total cholesterol was measured instead of a full lipid panel, due to logistic and financial limitations.
- The relatively small sample size may have resulted in insufficient statistical power to detect smaller associations.
- The assessment of diet using any method is prone to measurement error, which may have resulted in bias, although differential misreporting of cooking oil by cholesterol level is unlikely.
and reported an association between higher intake of meat and lower intake of fish and green vegetables with higher serum total cholesterol.\textsuperscript{11–13} However, two of these studies compared rural and coastal Bantu populations that are known to have, respectively, vegetarian and fish-based diets and did not measure diet in each participant but based their conclusions on known dietary differences between ethnic populations.\textsuperscript{14,15} Another study included participants from rural, urban and pastoral regions of Tanzania but did not report the magnitude of the association.\textsuperscript{12} The other two studies conducted in urban Tanzania did not adjust for potential confounders of the relationship between diet and serum total cholesterol.\textsuperscript{11,13} There are a few studies from other parts of sub-Saharan Africa that have looked at the association between diet and serum cholesterol. A study conducted in a rural population in Uganda found that eating fewer than five servings of fruits and vegetables daily was associated with higher odds of low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol among men, but lower odds among women.\textsuperscript{16} Another study in urban Uganda found lower mean serum total cholesterol in lacto-ovo-vegetarians compared with non-vegetarians.\textsuperscript{17}

Another potential dietary determinant of serum cholesterol is the type of oil used in cooking.\textsuperscript{18,19} Using cooking oils with higher saturated fat content such as coconut and palm oil has been associated with higher serum total cholesterol levels in clinical trials and observational studies.\textsuperscript{20,21} Population-level differences in CVD morbidity and mortality are associated with differences in type of cooking oil in eastern European,\textsuperscript{22} Costa Rica\textsuperscript{23} and Singapore.\textsuperscript{24} Mauritius, Seychelles and Singapore have already implemented policy schemes to reduce saturated fat content of cooking oil as population-level interventions to reduce CVD burden.\textsuperscript{25–27}

A thorough understanding of the role of dietary determinants of serum cholesterol will be invaluable in designing population-level interventions to address the growing CVD burden especially considering that treatment for dyslipidaemia is either unavailable or prohibitively expensive for most patients in low-income countries.\textsuperscript{28} Tanzanian diet consists predominantly of carbohydrates (mainly maize, sweet potatoes, cassava and rice) consumed with green leafy vegetables, beans or peas and occasionally with meat or fish.\textsuperscript{29} Palm oil is the major cooking oil, followed by sunflower oil.

We conducted a cross-sectional population-based study to assess the association of type of cooking oil (palm vs sunflower oil) and diet (meat, fish, fruit and vegetable consumption) with serum total cholesterol in an adult population in a peri-urban area of Dar es Salaam. We hypothesised that use of palm oil for cooking and higher intake of meat and lower intake of fruits and vegetables will be associated with higher serum total cholesterol.

**METHODS**

**Study design and population**

The Dar es Salaam Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) is a demographic surveillance site in Ukonga and Gongo la Mboto wards of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, with over 100,000 enumerated individuals living in 21,000 households followed since December 2011. For the Dar es Salaam Urban Cohort Hypertension Study, we randomly selected one large and one small neighbourhood in the HDSS and contacted all adult residents aged 40 years and older, who had been registered in the HDSS database in 2011 or 2012. A random subsample of one-fifth of the eligible participants was selected for additional assessment of diet and measurement of serum total cholesterol. The size of this subsample was determined largely based on the availability of resources. Pregnant women and those who were physically and mentally incapable of completing the interview and measurements were excluded. The participant selection flowchart is shown in figure 1. Face-to-face interviews and measurements were conducted in participants’ homes from March to June 2014 by six trained interviewers. All interviewers had previous experience conducting public health surveys. For this study, interviewers received 5 days of training and 2 days of field practice in a nearby neighbourhood. At least three attempts were made to contact all potential participants. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Measurements**

Non-fasting serum total cholesterol was measured from 30 µL of finger-prick capillary blood samples using Cardiochek PA devices (Polymer Technology Systems, Indianapolis, USA).\textsuperscript{30,31} The overall coefficient of variation for this device for total cholesterol has been determined to be in the range of 1.3%–2.9%.\textsuperscript{30}

Data on diet were collected using a semiquantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). The FFQ assessed intake of 179 food and drink items over the past 30 days. A shorter 85-item version of this instrument has been previously used in Tanzania.\textsuperscript{32} Nutrient intakes were calculated by multiplying the frequency of food consumption measured from FFQ by the nutrient content of the specified portion size using the Tanzanian food composition table.\textsuperscript{33} Meat intake was defined to include all red and white meat consumption, excluding fish consumption. Fruit and vegetable intake was defined to include consumption of all fruits and vegetables, except for root vegetables and fruit juice. An additional question was asked to assess the main type of cooking oil: ‘What is the kind of oil used most often in your home for frying food?’. Frying is the most common cooking technique involving oil use in this region. The reference to a cooking technique was made to distinguish it from other oils that may be used in a household, such as fuels.

All participants completed a socioeconomic, demographic and lifestyle questionnaire and had their height, weight, waist circumference, hip circumference and blood pressure measured by trained interviewers. Some
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demographic information (age, sex, neighbourhood, components of wealth index) was taken from the HDSS baseline interview. Body mass index was calculated as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared, and categorised as underweight (<18.5), normal (18.5 to <25.0), overweight (25.0 to <30.0) and obese (≥30.0). Physical activity was assessed using the Global Physical Activity Questionnaire in the domains of work, transportation and leisure, and categorised into tertiles of metabolic equivalent hours per week. To measure socioeconomic status, we created a household wealth index using a principal component analysis of household characteristics (floor material, wall material, electricity), household assets (television, radio, shop, sewing machine, sofa, fan, iron, stove with oven, dining table, cupboard, watch, mobile phone, bike, motorcycle, cart, car, motorboat) and animal ownership (goats, sheep, chicken/ducks, pigs). The household wealth index was categorised into tertiles.

**Statistical analysis**

We excluded participants with implausible dietary data (total energy intake <500 or >5000 kcal/day), and with missing data on serum total cholesterol, diet and/or any of the potential covariates.

χ² tests were used to assess binary variables, F-tests were used for categorical variables and tests of linear trend based on median value within each category for ordinal variables with a linear association with serum total cholesterol (ie, age, wealth index and food consumption categories). Mean differences and 95% CIs in serum total cholesterol across categories of dietary factors including cooking oil were estimated using multivariable linear regression. Potential confounders were included in the model based on a priori knowledge of lifestyle and sociodemographic determinants of diet and serum total cholesterol. The fully adjusted model included age, sex, total energy intake, physical activity, alcohol consumption, wealth index and employment status as covariates. We also adjusted for interviewer to remove the effect of any extraneous variation in measurement of subjective risk factors across interviewers. We examined interactions of potential determinants of serum total cholesterol with sex, but no interactions were statistically significant at 0.2 level; therefore, we did not include these product terms in the final model. We conducted sensitivity analyses by excluding 16 participants who reported either being previously diagnosed with hypercholesterolaemia or currently taking cholesterol-lowering medications or being advised by a health professional to modify diet to lower cholesterol.

All analyses were performed using STATA version 13.1 (STATA corporation, Texas, USA). We followed the standards of the Strenghtening the Reporting System of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) in this manuscript (see Research Checklist in Supplementary Data).

**RESULTS**

A total of 420 participants were enrolled for the random subsample with diet and cholesterol measurements. Among these, data on serum total cholesterol and
selected covariates were available for 399 participants. We excluded 43 participants due to implausible caloric intake. In addition, after descriptive analyses we excluded nine (2%) participants who reported using cooking oils other than palm or sunflower oil. The final analytic sample included 347 participants (figure 1).

Forty-two per cent of the participants (n=347) were men (table 1). Mean age of men was 55 years (SD 11), and that of women was 52 (SD 10). Forty-four per cent of the women (n=202) and 19% of men (n=145) were obese, and one-third of men and women were overweight. Mean energy intake per day was 2413 kcal. Thirty-seven per cent of men and 54% of women were hypercholesterolemic (ie, had total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL). Mean serum total cholesterol in women was 204 mg/dL (95% CI 197 to 211 mg/dL) and was significantly higher than that of men at 185 mg/dL (177 to 193 mg/dL). Serum total cholesterol levels were higher in older age groups.

Seventy-nine per cent of participants reported using palm oil as the major cooking oil and the rest reported using sunflower oil (table 1). Those who reported using palm oil were most likely to be in the poorest tertile of wealth, whereas those who reported using sunflower oil were most likely to be in the wealthiest tertile (p<0.001). Those who reported using sunflower oil had a higher energy intake (p=0.04), and reported consuming meat (p=0.004) and dairy (p=0.02) more frequently than those who reported using palm oil. Mean serum total cholesterol in those who reported using palm oil was 199 mg/dL (193–205) and was significantly higher than those who reported using sunflower oil 184 mg/dL (173–195).

In the minimally adjusted model (with interviewer as the only covariate), those who reported using palm oil as the major cooking oil had serum total cholesterol levels higher by 11 mg/dL (−2 to 24 mg/dL) compared with those who reported using sunflower oil (table 2). After adjusting for covariates, participants who used palm oil for cooking had 15 mg/dL (1–29 mg/dL) higher serum total cholesterol (figure 2). The negative confounding was mostly due to the negative correlation between palm oil use and female gender and meat intake (both variables were positively correlated with serum total cholesterol). Serum total cholesterol was also higher in participants who ate meat more frequently (p for trend 0.017) after adjusting for potential confounders. Specifically, those who ate more than one serving of meat per day had 18 mg/dL (−3 to 39 mg/dL) higher serum total cholesterol compared with those who ate less than one serving per week. In this relationship, higher total energy intake was the major confounder with a positive correlation with meat intake but negative correlation with serum total cholesterol. Consumption of more than seven servings of fruits and vegetables per day was associated with 46 mg/dL (16 to 76 mg/dL) higher serum total cholesterol.

We found similar associations after excluding 16 participants who reported either being previously diagnosed with hypercholesterolaemia or currently taking cholesterol-lowering medications or being advised by a health professional to modify diet to lower cholesterol. Those who used palm oil had 17 mg/dL (2–32 mg/dL) higher serum total cholesterol compared with those who used sunflower oil (table 2). There was no significant association between percentage of energy from saturated fat and total serum cholesterol after adjusting for total fat, total protein and total carbohydrate (adjusted mean difference 1 mg/dL (−3 to 5 mg/dL)).

Only 11% of women and 5% of men reported having ever had their cholesterol levels checked (table 1; figure 3). Among those with hypercholesterolaemia (n=164), 2% of men (1 of 54) and 11% of women (12 of 110) reported being notified by doctors about it, and 2% of men and 7% of women reported taking cholesterol-lowering medications.

**DISCUSSION**

In this cross-sectional study among middle-aged and older adults in a peri-urban ward in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, we found a high prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia. Slightly more than a third of men and half of women had serum total cholesterol levels greater than or equal to 200 mg/dL. After adjusting for major confounders, serum total cholesterol was higher by 15 mg/dL among those who used palm oil compared with those who used sunflower oil as the major cooking oil, and showed a significant increasing trend with higher consumption of meat (p=0.017) and lower consumption of fruits and vegetables (p=0.01). Mean serum total cholesterol levels for men and women were similar to that reported in other studies conducted in other urban Tanzanian populations. A repeated cross-sectional study reported an increase over time in the prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia in Dar es Salaam with a prevalence of 17% in 1987 vs 30% in 1998 in men, and 7% vs 50% in women. The prevalence of hypercholesterolaemia in our sample was higher than that reported by studies conducted between 2008 and 2014 in rural Uganda (3% men, 8% women), peri-urban Nigeria (2% men, 6% women) and blacks in urban South Africa (25% men, 23% women), but is lower than that reported in a study from Senegal (54% men, 61% women) that included both urban and rural participants.

Our estimated association between palm oil versus sunflower oil use and serum total cholesterol is consistent with findings from dietary observational studies and intervention trials. A recent meta-analysis of 30 dietary intervention trials comparing diets rich in palm oil with diets rich in vegetable oils low in saturated fatty acids showed significantly higher total (13.5 mg/dL) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) (9.3 mg/dL) cholesterol, and slightly higher HDL cholesterol (0.8 mg/dL) 2–16
| Variable                              | All (n=347) | Men (n=145) | Women (n=202) | Use palm oil (n=274) | Use sunflower oil (n=73) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Age                                  |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| 40–49                                | 150 (43)    | 56 (39)     | 94 (47)       | 123 (45)             | 27 (37)                  |
| 50–59                                | 113 (33)    | 43 (30)     | 70 (35)       | 82 (30)              | 31 (42)                  |
| 60 and above                         | 84 (24)     | 46 (32)     | 38 (19)       | 69 (25)              | 15 (21)                  |
| Employment status                    |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| Unemployed                            | 83 (24)     | 14 (10)     | 69 (34)       | 70 (26)              | 13 (18)                  |
| Employed (includes self-employed)    | 238 (69)    | 112 (77)    | 126 (62)      | 183 (67)             | 55 (75)                  |
| Retired                               | 26 (7)      | 19 (13)     | 7 (3)         | 21 (8)               | 5 (7)                    |
| Household wealth index               |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| Tertile 1, poorest                   | 115 (33)    | 49 (34)     | 66 (33)       | 109 (40)             | 6 (8)                    |
| Tertile 2                            | 117 (34)    | 53 (37)     | 64 (32)       | 90 (33)              | 27 (37)                  |
| Tertile 3, wealthiest                | 115 (33)    | 43 (30)     | 72 (36)       | 75 (27)              | 40 (55)                  |
| BMI (kg/m²)                          |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| Underweight (<18.50)                 | 19 (5)      | 13 (9)      | 6 (3)         | 19 (7)               | 0 (0)                    |
| Normal weight (18.50 to <25.00)      | 100 (29)    | 56 (39)     | 44 (22)       | 91 (33)              | 9 (12)                   |
| Overweight (25 to <30.00)            | 112 (32)    | 48 (33)     | 64 (32)       | 81 (30)              | 31 (42)                  |
| Obese (≥30.00)                       | 116 (33)    | 28 (19)     | 88 (44)       | 83 (30)              | 33 (45)                  |
| Physical activity                    |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| Tertile 1 (0–14 MET hours/week)      | 123 (35)    | 46 (32)     | 77 (38)       | 96 (35)              | 27 (37)                  |
| Tertile 2 (15–112 MET hours/week)    | 108 (31)    | 38 (27)     | 70 (35)       | 86 (31)              | 22 (30)                  |
| Tertile 3 (113–840 MET hours/week)   | 116 (33)    | 61 (42)     | 55 (27)       | 92 (34)              | 24 (33)                  |
| Alcohol drinking*                    |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| Non-drinker                          | 286 (82)    | 103 (71)    | 183 (91)      | 225 (82)             | 61 (84)                  |
| Smoking                              |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| Non-smoker                           | 278 (81)    | 85 (59)     | 193 (97)      | 210 (78)             | 68 (93)                  |
| Former smoker                        | 43 (13)     | 39 (27)     | 4 (2)         | 38 (14)              | 5 (7)                    |
| Current smoker                       | 22 (6)      | 19 (13)     | 3 (2)         | 22 (8)               | 0 (0)                    |
| Dietary variables                    |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| Palm oil as major cooking oil        | 274 (79)    | 119 (82)    | 155 (77)      |                      |                          |
| Meat intake                          |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| <1 serving/week                      | 41 (12)     | 21 (14)     | 20 (10)       | 36 (13)              | 5 (7)                    |
| 1–6 servings/week                    | 173 (50)    | 55 (38)     | 118 (58)      | 145 (53)             | 28 (38)                  |
| ≥1 servings/day                      | 133 (38)    | 69 (48)     | 64 (32)       | 93 (34)              | 40 (55)                  |
| Fish intake                          |             |             |               |                      |                          |
| <1 serving/week                      | 41 (12)     | 13 (9)      | 28 (14)       | 31 (11)              | 10 (14)                  |
| 1–7 servings/week                    | 196 (56)    | 76 (52)     | 120 (59)      | 154 (56)             | 42 (58)                  |
| >1 servings/day                      | 110 (32)    | 56 (39)     | 54 (27)       | 89 (32)              | 21 (29)                  |
weeks after intervention. In addition, a large case-control study conducted in Costa Rica found that palm oil use compared with soybean oil use was independently associated with 33% higher odds of myocardial infarction.

Higher meat consumption has previously been reported to be associated with higher serum total cholesterol in Tanzania. Similar to our finding, daily consumption of meat was found to be associated with 24 mg/dL higher serum total cholesterol levels, after adjusting for age, select dietary components and resting energy expenditure. Observational studies have also reported lower LDL cholesterol by 2.3 mg/dL for every additional serving of fruits or vegetables. The magnitude of 13 mg/dL reduction in serum total cholesterol that we found for six or more servings of fruits and vegetables per day is consistent with the reductions in LDL cholesterol seen in these studies. We did not find significant associations between serum total cholesterol and fish intake in men, as reported in previous studies.

| Variable                  | All (n=347) | Men (n=145) | Women (n=202) | Use palm oil (n=274) | Use sunflower oil (n=73) |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Dairy intake              |            |            |               |                      |                         |
| <1 serving/week           | 159 (46)   | 63 (43)    | 96 (48)       | 136 (50)             | 23 (32)                 |
| 1–6 servings/week         | 133 (38)   | 65 (45)    | 68 (34)       | 99 (36)              | 34 (47)                 |
| ≥1 servings/day           | 55 (16)    | 17 (12)    | 38 (19)       | 39 (14)              | 16 (22)                 |
| Fruit and vegetable       |            |            |               |                      |                         |
| <5 servings/day           | 121 (35)   | 49 (34)    | 72 (36)       | 101 (37)             | 20 (27)                 |
| 5–7 servings/day          | 68 (20)    | 26 (20)    | 42 (21)       | 54 (20)              | 14 (19)                 |
| ≥7 servings/day           | 158 (46)   | 70 (48)    | 88 (44)       | 119 (43)             | 39 (53)                 |
| Nuts and legumes          |            |            |               |                      |                         |
| <1 serving/week           | 27 (8)     | 7 (5)      | 20 (10)       | 17 (6)               | 10 (14)                 |
| 1–7 servings/week         | 200 (58)   | 87 (60)    | 113 (56)      | 162 (59)             | 38 (52)                 |
| ≥1 servings/day           | 120 (35)   | 51 (35)    | 69 (34)       | 95 (35)              | 25 (34)                 |
| Total energy intake (kcal/day)† | 2413 (1701, 3215) | 2629 (1780, 3216) | 2313 (1617, 3207) | 2369 (1654, 3150) | 2743 (1865, 3606) |
| Fat intake †             |            |            |               |                      |                         |
| Total fat (% of energy)   | 17 (15, 20)| 17 (15, 21)| 17 (15, 20)   | 17 (14, 20)          | 20 (15, 22)             |
| Saturated fat (% energy)  | 10 (8, 13) | 10 (8, 13) | 10 (8, 12)    | 10 (8, 13)           | 10 (8, 11)              |
| Monounsaturated fat (% of energy) | 4 (3, 5) | 4 (3, 5) | 4 (3, 5) | 4 (3, 5) | 4 (4, 5) |
| Polyunsaturated fat (% of energy) | 2 (1, 2) | 2 (1, 2) | 2 (1, 2) | 1 (1, 2) | 2 (1, 2) |
| Cholesterol               |            |            |               |                      |                         |
| Serum total cholesterol (mg/dL)‡ | 196 (3) | 185 (4) | 204 (4) | 199 (3) | 184 (6) |
| Hypercholesterolaemia §   | 164 (47)   | 54 (37)    | 110 (54)      | 135 (49)             | 29 (40)                 |
| Cholesterol level was previously checked | 29 (18) | 7 (13) | 22 (20) | 13 (10) | 16 (55) |
| Previously diagnosed hypercholesterolaemia | 13 (8) | 1 (2) | 12 (11) | 5 (4) | 8 (28) |
| Currently taking cholesterol lowering medications | 9 (5) | 1 (2) | 8 (7) | 3 (2) | 6 (21) |

Number (%) reported for all variables, except as specified b and c below.

*According to report of drinking alcohol in the past 30 days.
†Total energy and fat intake, which is reported as median (IQR).
‡Serum total cholesterol, reported as mean (SE).
§Hypercholesterolaemia defined as total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL.
### Table 2  Adjusted mean differences for serum total cholesterol (mg/dL) by dietary factors; Dar es Salaam Urban Cohort Hypertension biomarker substudy

| Variable                  | Interviewer-adjusted mean difference (95% CI) | p Value* | Fully adjusted† mean difference (95% CI) | p Value* | Fully adjusted‡ mean difference after excluding 16 participants diagnosed or treated for dyslipidaemia (95% CI) | p Value* |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Major cooking oil         | 0.092                                         | 0.033    | 0.028                                    |          |                                                                              |          |
| Sunflower oil             | Reference                                     | Reference| Reference                                 | Reference|                                                                              |          |
| Palm oil                  | 11 (−2 to 24)                                 | 15 (1 to 29) | 17 (2 to 32)                             |          |                                                                              |          |
| Meat intake               | 0.485                                         | 0.017    | 0.031                                    |          |                                                                              |          |
| <1 serving/week           | Reference                                     | Reference| Reference                                 | Reference|                                                                              |          |
| 1–6 servings/week         | −1 (−19 to 16)                                | −1 (−19 to 17) | −2 (−20 to 17)                          |          |                                                                              |          |
| ≥1 servings/day           | 4 (−15 to 22)                                 | 18 (−3 to 39) | 16 (−5 to 37)                           |          |                                                                              |          |
| Fruit and vegetable intake| 0.028                                         | 0.011    | 0.024                                    |          |                                                                              |          |
| <5 servings/day           | 16 (2 to 30)                                  | 13 (−3 to 29) | 12 (−5 to 29)                          |          |                                                                              |          |
| 5–7 servings/day          | 10 (−6 to 25)                                 | 8 (−8 to 24) | 10 (−7 to 26)                           |          |                                                                              |          |
| >7 servings/day           | Reference                                     | Reference| Reference                                 | Reference|                                                                              |          |
| Fish intake               | 0.399                                         | 0.446    | 0.309                                    |          |                                                                              |          |
| <1 serving/week           | 14 (−8 to 36)                                 | 10 (−13 to 33) | 14 (−9 to 38)                          |          |                                                                              |          |
| 1–7 servings/week         | 3 (−11 to 17)                                 | 2 (−13 to 17) | 4 (−11 to 20)                           |          |                                                                              |          |
| >1 servings/day           | Reference                                     | Reference| Reference                                 | Reference|                                                                              |          |
| Dairy intake              | 0.802                                         | 0.572    | 0.690                                    |          |                                                                              |          |
| <1 serving/week           | Reference                                     | Reference| Reference                                 | Reference|                                                                              |          |
| 1–6 servings/week         | −9 (−22 to 4)                                 | −7 (−21 to 6) | −7 (−21 to 7)                          |          |                                                                              |          |
| ≥1 servings/day           | −2 (−18 to 15)                                | −2 (−20 to 15) | −1 (−20 to 17)                          |          |                                                                              |          |
| Total energy intake       | −8 (−21 to 5)                                 | −5 (−12 to 3) | 0.209                                    | 0.365    | 0.226                                                                        | 0.209    |
| (per 1000 kcal)           |                                              |          |                                         |          |                                                                              |          |
| Age                       | 0.106                                         | 0.071    | 0.099                                    |          |                                                                              |          |
| 40–49                     | Reference                                     | Reference| Reference                                 | Reference|                                                                              |          |
| 50–59                     | 4 (−9 to 16)                                  | 6 (−6 to 19) | 5 (−9 to 18)                            |          |                                                                              |          |
| 60 and above              | 11 (−2 to 25)                                 | 16 (0 to 31) | 15 (−1 to 31)                           |          |                                                                              |          |
| Variable                | Interviewer-adjusted mean difference (95% CI) | p Value* | Fully adjusted† mean difference (95% CI) (n=347) | p Value* | Fully adjusted‡ mean difference after excluding 16 participants diagnosed or treated for dyslipidaemia (95% CI) (n=331) | p Value* |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Sex                    | <0.001                                        | <0.001   | Reference                                     | Reference| Reference                                                                                       | <0.001   |
| Male                   | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                                                                       |          |
| Female                 | 21 (10 to 32)                                 | 0.432    | 27 (15 to 39)                                 | 0.567    | 24 (11 to 36)                                                                                   | 0.581    |
| Employment status      |                                               |          |                                               |          |                                                                                                |          |
| Unemployed             | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                                                                       |          |
| Employed§              | −7 (−20 to 6)                                 | 0.432    | 7 (−9 to 22)                                  | 0.567    | 5 (−12 to 21)                                                                                   | 0.581    |
| Retired                | 2 (−21 to 24)                                 |          | 12 (−13 to 36)                                |          | 13 (−12 to 39)                                                                                 |          |
| Household wealth index |                                               |          |                                               |          |                                                                                                |          |
| 1, poorest             | Reference                                     | 0.692    | Reference                                     | 0.754    | Reference                                                                                       | 0.952    |
| 2                      | −9 (−22 to 4)                                 |          | −8 (−21 to 5)                                 |          | −7 (−20 to 7)                                                                                   |          |
| 3, wealthiest          | −1 (−15 to 12)                                |          | 0 (−14 to 14)                                 |          | 2 (−13 to 16)                                                                                   |          |
| Physical activity tertile |                                             | 0.953    | 0.430                                         | 0.599    |                                                                                                |          |
| 1, least active        | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                                                                       |          |
| 2                      | 1 (−13 to 15)                                 |          | 2 (−12 to 16)                                 |          | 4 (−11 to 18)                                                                                   |          |
| 3, most active         | 0 (−15 to 15)                                 |          | 7 (−9 to 24)                                  |          | 6 (−11 to 24)                                                                                   |          |
| Alcohol consumption ¶  |                                               | 0.315    | 0.666                                         |          |                                                                                                | 0.641    |
| Non-drinker            | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                     |          | Reference                                                                                       |          |
| Drinker                | −7 (−21 to 7)                                 |          | −3 (−18 to 11)                                |          | −4 (−18 to 11)                                                                                   |          |

* F test for categorical variables (cooking oil, sex, employment status, alcohol consumption); t test for continuous variables (total energy intake); test of trend based on median value in each category for ordinal categorical variables (age category, wealth index tertile, food consumption and physical activity categories).
† Adjusted for covariates in column 1 as well as interviewer.
‡ Excluding those previously diagnosed with hypercholesterolaemia; adjusted for covariates in column 1 as well as interviewer.
§ Self-employed, government job or job in private company.
¶ According to report of drinking alcohol in the past 30 days.
been due to insufficient statistical power due to smaller expected effect size for fish intake or higher measurement error.

Palm oil is obtained mostly from the middle section (pulp) of the fruits of the tropical plant *Elaeis guineensis*. Compared with most other vegetable oils, it contains less unsaturated fat and more saturated fat (nearly 40%-50% of total fat content) with the majority being palmitic acid. However, compared with saturated fat from animal sources, palm oil may have a smaller impact on serum cholesterol because almost 70% of the palmitic acid is located in the first and third position of the triglycerides, which is less absorbable than those in the second position (which is the most common position of palmitic acid).

**Figure 2** Association between diet and serum total cholesterol. Values were adjusted for age, sex, socioeconomic status, food consumption frequency, total energy intake, major cooking oil used, physical activity and alcohol consumption.

**Figure 3** Diagnosis, awareness and treatment of hypercholesterolaemia in men (A; n=54 for all percentages) and women (B; n=110 for all percentages) in the Dar es Salaam Urban Cohort Hypertension biomarker substudy, 2014.
in animal fats). Despite these biological differences, evidence from both observational and experimental studies, as summarised above, points to harmful effects of palm oil on serum cholesterol and CVD when substituted for unsaturated fatty acids.

Our study has several limitations. First, due to logistic and financial limitations, we measured serum total cholesterol instead of a full lipid panel. However, data from recent meta-analysis of randomised trials suggest that the effect of substituting palm oil for vegetable oils low in saturated fat on LDL cholesterol levels is larger than that on HDL. Second, the assessment of diet using any method is prone to measurement error which may have resulted in bias. However, it is unlikely that participants with higher serum cholesterol differentially misreport using palm oil in cooking, limiting the impact of such measurement error on our results. Third, the relatively small sample size may have resulted in insufficient statistical power to detect some associations (eg, with fish intake). There are some important strengths of this study. To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study to report an association between using palm oil for cooking and serum total cholesterol in East Africa. We used a reliable point-of-care lipid testing system that has good clinical agreement with laboratory reference methods. Systematically collected data on and adjusted for potential confounders of the association between diet and serum total cholesterol.

Were our findings to be replicated in other studies, they could have important implications for nutritional policies aimed at CVD prevention. Palm oil is now the major edible oil in Tanzania and many other low/middle income countries. In Tanzania, more than half of the oil is imported, and it is the second most imported agricultural commodity. In our study population, more than three-quarters of participants used palm oil (cost 2500 Tanzanian Shillings ≈ USD 1.1 per litre) as the major cooking oil with 94% of participants (n=119) in the lowest tertile of wealth using palm oil. Even in the wealthiest tertile, only 34% (n=123) used sunflower oil (cost 4000 Tanzanian Shillings ≈ USD 1.8 per litre). These socioeconomic differentials in palm oil use may affect socioeconomic disparities in CVD in the coming years with the burden shifting more swiftly to poorer households.

Policy interventions to address composition of dietary fat have previously been implemented in Seychelles, Mauritius and Singapore. In the early 1990s, Seychelles implemented a nationwide programme that included increasing use of unsaturated vegetable oils, which may have contributed to the fairly high rate of decline in age-standardised CVD mortality of 44% in men and 28% in women over a 22-year period. A national NCD prevention programme was also implemented in Mauritius in the late 1980s to reduce saturated fat content of cooking oil by changing the government-subsidised ration oil from palm oil to soybean oil. The observed 31 mg/dL reduction in serum total cholesterol over a period of 5 years is compatible with the estimated impact of replacing palm oil with unsaturated vegetable oil estimated in a recent meta-analysis of dietary intervention trials. Similar policies can be considered to reduce palm oil intake in Tanzania. However, it should be noted that edible oils are viewed as necessities, and increasing their price (eg, by taxation) without providing healthier alternatives at comparable prices may have unintended adverse consequences on overall energy consumption or food security. Reducing saturated fat in edible oil by mixing it with other unsaturated oils has been proposed as a potential intervention in Costa Rica and India and could be a feasible short-term intervention in Tanzania.

In conclusion, our results indicate that using palm oil for cooking, lower intake of fruits and vegetables and higher intake of meat is strongly associated with higher serum total cholesterol in this peri-urban population in Tanzania. Our findings are generalisable to other peri-urban populations in Tanzania and possibly to populations in low-income countries with a similar dietary profile. Dietary policies aimed at altering the targets identified in this study can be used to improve lipid profiles and therefore prevent CVDs in these countries.
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