Tree Ecosystem Services, for Everyone? 
A Compositional Analysis Approach to Assess 
the Distribution of Urban Trees as an Indicator 
of Environmental Justice

Marco Cruz-Sandoval 1*, Maria Isabel Ortego 2 and Elisabet Roca 3

1 Institute for Sustainability Science and Technology, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya-BarcelonaTech, 08034 Barcelona, Spain; elisabet.roca@upc.edu
2 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya-BarcelonaTech, 08034 Barcelona, Spain; ma.isabel.ortego@upc.edu
* Correspondence: marco.antonio.cruz@upc.edu

Received: 10 January 2020; Accepted: 4 February 2020; Published: 7 February 2020

Abstract: Trees provide a broad amount of ecosystem services in urban areas. Although it is well documented that trees are essential for the well-being and livability of cities, trees are often not evenly distributed. Studies have found that urban residents with a deprived socioeconomic status are associated with a lower coverage and access to urban trees in their communities, yet a fair distribution of trees contributes to the sustainability and resilience of cities. In this context, the environmental justice movement seeks to ensure equal distribution of green infrastructure and its benefits throughout a territory. The objective of this study is threefold: (i) to determine whether urban trees in Guadalajara, Mexico, are distributed equally; (ii) to assess the association between urban trees and socioeconomic status; and (iii) to introduce compositional data analysis to the existing literature. Due to the compositional nature of the data, compositional analysis techniques are applied. We believe this novel approach will help define the proper management of data used in the literature. The outcomes provide insights for urban planners working towards the Sustainable Development Goals to help eradicate the uneven distribution of urban trees in cities.
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1. Introduction

The global phenomena of rapid urbanization has important consequences in the livability and environment of cities’ inhabitants [1,2] and whose degree of impact depends on their development level [3]. Solid waste generation [4,5], water scarcity and pollution [6,7], air pollution [8,9], floods [10,11] and the heat island effect [12,13] are some of the consequences of this phenomenon. To mitigate these and make cities more resilient and sustainable, different authorities around the world have implemented green infrastructure into their political agendas. Commonly, these agendas are aligned with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) issued by the United Nations as goals for 2030 [14–16]. If well planned, green spaces (such as parks, community gardens, green roofs, greenways, sporting fields and street trees, among others) have the potential to promote sustainable and resilient cities [14,17] through their ecosystem services [18,19].

Urban trees provide cities and their inhabitants with different ecosystem services, including improvement of air quality [20], mitigation of heat island effects [21,22], filtration and reduction of storm water runoffs [23], moderation of urban temperatures [24,25], reduction of noise pollution [26] and a habitat for different species [27], among others. These services have socio-economic
implications, such as an increase in property values [28], reduction of crime [29], visual aesthetics in high-speed corridors and in business districts [30,31], increased social cohesion [32], increased longevity of elders [33] and mitigation of health problems [34], among others.

Despite the wide recognition of ecosystem services generated by urban green infrastructure to the livability of cities, studies have revealed that these are unevenly distributed [18,19,35]. This unequal distribution is unique for each urban context and might be caused by different reasons, including the history of land development, changes in land-use, the design philosophy, class history, ethno-racial inequalities, state oppression, state-led policies to increase property values, lack of economic resources of cities and households to maintain and develop green infrastructures, community resistance, top-down policies and the absence of public policies based on justice [18,35–42].

The environmental justice movement born in 1980 in the United States [36,43] has generated a large amount of literature highlighting the absence of a social component (considered one of the three pillars of sustainable development, together with the economic and environmental pillars) in the planning and allocation of infrastructure and socioeconomic activities. In this sense, several studies have shown that communities of low socioeconomic status have a greater exposure to environmental hazards and health-related problems.

Environmental justice research is currently focusing on the study of the distribution of green amenities, to analyze who actually receives the environmental benefits [36]. Most research has focused only on the equitable distribution of, and access to, urban parks and recreational facilities [44,45]. Racial and ethnic minorities often have lower access to parks and green spaces, as shown by studies in Canada [46], Ecuador [47], Spain [35], United States [18,48], Colombia [49], Portugal and Estonia [17], among others. Thus, there is a strong relationship between inhabitants of high socioeconomic status and accessibility to green spaces.

Various studies have analyzed the spatial distribution of urban trees from an environmental justice perspective, using different approaches. Using remote sensing techniques and spatial error regression models, Landry and Chakraborty [44] found an unequal distribution of street trees with regard to ethnicity, income and housing tenure in Tampa, Florida, USA. The authors found that high-income neighborhoods have more land available to plant trees and are more willing to do so. In turn, the value of property and the area increase, making it more attractive for public and private investments. Using a walking distance approach, Zhou and Kim [50] confirm the inequity hypothesis; namely, that minorities have less tree canopy access in six cities of Illinois. In Washington D.C., Chuang and colleagues [51] used a multi-stage regression analysis to identify the association between tree canopy and socioeconomic status over a period of twenty years. The authors found that high socioeconomic status is not necessarily a predictor of a high canopy of trees. Krafft and Fryd [52] performed a spatiotemporal analysis of patterns of tree canopy and their relationship to income, house tenure and level of education, in Melbourne, Australia. The authors found income to be the variable that most influences tree cover inequality. Hernández and Villaseñor [53] incorporated the diversity of trees in their study (i.e., native and exotic), and their relationship with the socioeconomic level of people in Santiago de Chile. Applying non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance, the authors found that areas with high socioeconomic status have a greater diversity of tree species and a larger amount of trees. The authors mention that about 45%–70% of trees are privately owned. The socioeconomic level of each household influences the behavior towards tree planting and removal in Santiago. Wang and Qiu [54] took into account the location in which the trees are planted (i.e., boulevards and parks) and its relationship with socioeconomic status and with different modes of transportation in Edmonton, Canada. Applying spatial regression models and the container approach, Wang and Qiu found that neighborhoods with a high median income have higher number of trees in parks. Likewise, people who choose to walk tend to have greater availability of trees. According to Wang and Qiu, an environmental improvement could be achieved through policies promoting an active and healthy lifestyle. Finally, Baró and colleagues [42] considered ecosystem services provided by urban trees in their study of Barcelona. Using i-Tree Eco and through bivariate, multivariate and regression analyses, the authors found no association
between the services provided by street trees and income. According to the authors, the different historical processes of the city of Barcelona in its urban development might have influence on tree location.

To a large extent, studies have focused on the spatial distribution of green infrastructure and their relationship with different socio-economic groups through multivariate statistical analysis (e.g., principal component analysis, cluster analysis and regression techniques), omitting the compositional nature of their data e.g., [42,44,49,54–56]; however, this omission might have caused spurious and bias results. With compositional data (CoDa), the importance of the analysis is not in the absolute values as such; rather, the interest of the data is in its relative information, which is located between the components. Thus, using standard statistical methods to analyze compositional data may lead to biased results. To avoid this, data should be transformed into Aitchison’s geometry [57–59].

The present study is based on the first (and only) census of trees conducted in 2018 in the city of Guadalajara, and considers the compositional nature of the data, with three main aims: (i) to determine whether or not urban trees in Guadalajara are distributed equally among its neighborhoods and urban districts; (ii) to assess whether or not there is an existing relationship between the availability of trees exclusive for inhabitants with high socioeconomic status; and (iii) to introduce compositional data analysis into the existent literature. The outcomes of this study provide insights for urban planners to eradicate the existent disparities of urban trees in the city.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Case Study Description: Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico

Guadalajara is located in western Mexico and is the capital of the state of Jalisco. It was founded in 1542 by the Spaniards in the proximities of the San Juan de Dios River (which corresponds to the current Independencia Causeway) under a clear social division: the Spaniards on the west side of the river, and the indigenous people on the east side of the river. The city has approximately 1.4 million inhabitants in an area of 13,421 hectares and an average density of 104.5 inhabitants per hectare. Households in the city have an average of four inhabitants. Most of the land in the city is already urbanized and is mostly private property [60].

To promote sustainable development, the municipality of Guadalajara decided to incorporate and link the objectives of the SDGs with the municipal development plans, and in particular, in the 2016–2018 and 2018–2021 municipal development plans. Guadalajara is also part of different national and international networks who seek to implement actions against climate change, such as; the C40 Cities Climate Leadership Group; the global mayors’ pact for climate and energy; and the Alliance for Climate Action of the Metropolitan Area of Guadalajara (ACA-GDL), among others [61,62].

The municipal development plans are based on an actual diagnosis of the city and aim to establish base points towards the fulfillment of the SDGs. The initial diagnosis found 929,349 urban trees, of which 44% are in public roads (sidewalks, ridges and roundabouts), 35% in urban blocks and 21% in urban forests, parks and gardens. In order to reach the SDGs by 2030, the municipality has set two indicators. The first indicator is the rate of native trees planted with respect to the previous year, and it has 0% as base point and 90% as its goal. The second indicator is the percentage of maintenance and trees in public spaces, and it has 3% as a base point and 90% as its goal. According to the municipal government, the latter will be achieved mainly through two actions plans: tree planting by government investment, and tree adoption programs in conjunction with the private initiative.

2.2. Datasets

The tree dataset was obtained from the first and only census realized by the city government on June 2018. The information is open access and it is available in shapefile format [63]. This format stores the geometric location information (i.e., latitude and longitude) of each tree in the city and is projected as points (coordinate system WGS84/UTM Zone 13N). The dataset contains different attributes, such as trees by location type (i.e., urban blocks, streets, parks and gardens), canopy
diameter and trees height. ArcMap 10.2.2 [64] was used to view and process the tree data set. Depending on the spatial location, this software enables the management datasets at different urban scales (e.g., neighborhood and district). Moreover, with ArcMap classification methods (i.e., Jenks Natural Breaks) and to better illustrate the methodology used in this study, four intervals were formed with respect to the height and diameter of the trees canopy [65].

The territorial boundaries of Guadalajara (395 neighborhoods and 7 urban districts) were obtained from the online mapping service of the city government [66] and are shown in Figure 1.

![Territorial boundaries of Guadalajara](image)

**Figure 1.** Territorial boundaries of Guadalajara, Jalisco, Mexico. Dotted line corresponds to Independencia Causeway and Gobernador Curiel Causeway.

The socio-economic variables were obtained from the national population census elaborated by the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI) at urban block level. The cartographic base was elaborated from the urban blueprint maps, in digital format previously georeferenced by the INEGI [67]. In these maps, urban blocks are labeled with an identification code. With the latter, it is possible to link and process the population census variables in ArcMap. As the INEGI does not have an indicator to measure income, we considered households owning different goods as an approximation (Table 1), using information of the last census, taken in 2010 [68]. The variables considered in this study reflect the purchasing power of households, particularly households owning a car. In Latin American countries, car ownership represents a symbol of status [69]. In addition, the principles of confidentiality established by INEGI at an urban block level are not violated with these variables [70].
Table 1. Socio-economic variables. Considered as an approximation of income.

| Abbreviation | Definition                        |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| VPH_AU       | Private households with an automobile |
| VPH_PC       | Private households with a computer |
| VPH_CE       | Private households with a cellphone |
| VPH_IN       | Private households with Internet service |

Descriptive statistical analyses were performed using R [71], Rstudio [72] and CoDaPack [73]. The latter is a specialized software for compositional data treatment.

2.3. A Compositional Data Analysis Approach

The compositional analysis approach focuses on studying data that are part of a whole (e.g., are positive), and the importance of the information lies in the relative information between its components rather than in the absolute values [74,75]. Compositional data are commonly (but not necessary) expressed in a closed form, such as percentages or proportions adding up to 1% or 100%, depending on the case [58].

Analysis of compositional data in a raw form using standard statistical tools may lead to spurious correlations and consequently, to wrong conclusions [57–59]. Further consequences can be found in Pearson [76], Chayes [77], Aitchison [78,79], Rock [80] and Rollinson [81].

The sample space of compositional data is called the simplex [75]. The D-part simplex is defined as in Equation (1):

\[ S^D = \left\{ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_D) \in \mathbb{R}^D \mid x_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^{D} x_i = k \right\} \tag{1} \]

For explanatory purposes, Equation (1) shows one compositional vector of positive D-parts (carrying only relative information) that sum up to k. Zero components are not allowed and require special treatment [82]. Ignoring the compositional character of the data might lead to spurious correlations.

To overcome the consequences of working with compositional data, Aitchison [79] proposed an algebraic-geometrical structure for the Simplex (now called Aitchison geometry). Based on this structure, the compositions can be expressed as coordinates formed by log-ratios of compositional parts (log-ratio approach). The use of coordinates preserve the principles of compositional data, such as scale invariance, permutation invariance and sub-compositional coherence [74]. Once the compositions have been expressed into coordinates, standard statistical tools based on the Euclidean distance can be used without a problem.

In this sense, Aitchison introduced the additive log-ratio (alr) and centered log-ratio (clr) coordinates, and Egozcue et al. [83] introduced the isometric log-ratio (ilr) (which is also known as orthonormal log-ratio, olr). Each of these coordinates has unique characteristics, and their use depends on the desired analysis [58].

In this study, the tree dataset is a sample of compositional vectors; each compositional vector is a neighborhood, and the different parts of each composition correspond to the different attributes of trees (Table 2).

Table 2. Compositional parts by trees attributes.

| By Location Type | 1 Street | 2 Block | 3 Park | 4 Garden |
|------------------|----------|---------|--------|----------|
| By Height [m]    |          |         |        |          |
| Int1 = 0.70–2.36 | Int2 = 2.36–4.92 | Int3 = 4.92–9.80 | Int4 = 9.80–29.67 |
| By Diameter [m]  |          |         |        |          |
| Int1 = 0.63–2.28 | Int2 = 2.28–3.61 | Int3 = 3.61–5.56 | Int4 = 5.56–14.66 |
2.4. Simplex Visualization

The Simplex (sample space of compositional data) leads to different visual structures depending on the number of parts in the compositions. For \( D = 2 \), it can be displayed as a line segment; for \( D = 3 \), as a triangle (ternary diagram); and for \( D = 4 \), as a tetrahedron. It is not possible to display more than four parts. However, the mathematical structure and the operations defined in it are valid for \( D \geq 4 \) [57]. In this study, the compositions are displayed in a tetrahedron.

2.5. Principal Component Analysis

Multivariate analysis of high dimensional data can lead to collinearity problems. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) creates a new set of independent and uncorrelated variables that capture the maximum explained variability of the original data [84]. Due to the compositional nature of the data, it was necessary to perform PCA using clr coordinates (Equation (2)).

PCA results are traditionally displayed in a biplot. Gabriel [85] introduced this tool, and Aitchison [86] adapted it for compositional data. The clr coordinates are recommended for performing a compositional biplot (also called as clr-biplot) [87]:

\[
clr(X) = \left[ \ln \frac{x_1}{g(x)}, \ln \frac{x_2}{g(x)}, \ldots, \ln \frac{x_D}{g(x)} \right]
\]

(2)

Where:

\[
X = (x_1, \ldots, x_D),
\]

\[
g(X) = (\prod_{i=1}^{D} x_i)^{1/D}
\]

The geometric mean \( g(X) \) in Equation (2) is considered by row (i.e., by sample).

2.6. Cluster Analysis

Cluster analysis groups observations that are similar to each other regarding some criteria. Different observations belonging to the same cluster will be very similar and will be different from observations belonging to other clusters. In this study, a hierarchical clustering method was applied; this method is a bottom-up agglomerative clustering. Each observation forms one class, and subsequently, each observation clusters with others until one cluster containing all observations is formed [58]. This study considers Ward’s hierarchical clustering, which is based on the sum of squares criterion [88].

To apply this descriptive method, the ilr/olr coordinates based on balances are used. Balances are a particular form of ilr/olr coordinates. The procedure to obtain these balances is called Sequential Binary Partition (SBP), which is a practical tool to set an orthonormal basis that is interpretable for the user. Balances are built in a hierarchical manner. As the first step, the compositional parts are divided in two groups, after which the new groups are divided into another set of new groups. This process is followed until the groups contain only one part. The resulting number of divisions will be \( D - 1 \). In Table 3, the parts of each group in each partition is defined by +1 and -1. Zero indicates that the part is not considered in the partition. The expression of the coordinates of a composition with respect to an orthogonal basis is represented as \( x^* \). For further information, please see Egozcue and Pawlowsky [89]. As a result of this approach, and in order to depict this method, the SBP of trees by attribute is shown in Table 3, and the ilr/olr coordinates in Equations (3a)–(3c) by location type, height and diameter, respectively.

**Table 3.** Sequential Binary Partition (SBP) of trees by attribute.

| Order | By Location Type |
|-------|------------------|
|       | X₁ (Street) | X₂ (Block) | X₃ (Park) | X₄ (Garden) |
| 1     | +1          | +1         | -1        | -1          |
2.7. Linear Regression

Regression analysis was then applied to find the association between trees and socioeconomic variables. The ilr/olr coordinates are real variables and allow standard linear regression techniques to be used, as shown in Equation (4). This study considers urban tree coordinates as response variables, and socioeconomic variables as explanatory variables (covariates). To be congruent with the method, the compositional character of each socioeconomic covariate described in Section 2.2 is considered as well (Table 4). A $p$-value of $\leq 0.05$ was used to indicate significance:

$$X_i^* = b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^r (m_{ik} \cdot B_k) + e_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$  (4)

Table 4. Covariate variables in the regression analysis.

| Covariates m | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| RelVPH_AU    | Log-ratio of private households with an automobile, divided by those without. |
| RelVPH_PC    | Log-ratio of private households with a computer, divided by those without. |
| RelVPH_CE    | Log-ratio of private households with a cellphone, divided by those without. |
| RelVPH_IN    | Log-ratio of private households with internet service, divided by those without. |

Finally, Figure 2 shows the flow chart detailing the process followed to perform this study.
3. Results

3.1. Assessing the Distribution of Urban Trees in Guadalajara

A first exploratory approach in the simplex depicts the raw data (Figure 3). The sample of the compositions given to each characteristic is shown (i.e., location type, height and diameter). Each point represents the composition of a neighborhood. The neighborhoods are color-coded by urban district, and the vertices of the tetrahedrons correspond to the different compositional parts (Figure 3).

![Figure 3. Sample of compositional vectors displayed in the simplex by: (A) location type, (B) height and (C) diameter.](image-url)
It is clear that the data is compositional, and that the space in which they live is the simplex (Figure 3A–C). Interpreting the relationships of the data in this space is complicated. The simplex implies a proper geometric structure with proper operations and distances. When the data is expressed as clr or ilr/olr coordinates (depending on the analysis), the coordinates lie in the Euclidian geometry, and classical statistical tools such as PCA and cluster analysis can be performed to analyze the data.

In the present study, the PCA analyses are performed on the clr-transformed data (i.e., location type, height and diameter). By location type, the total variance explained by PC1 and PC2 is 52% and 36%, respectively, with a cumulative proportion of 88%. The first two components of the analysis for the heights captured 94% of the variance. PC1 captured 58%, and PC2 captured 36%, of the total variance. Finally, PC1 and PC2 of the analysis performed for the diameters explained 79% and 14% of the total variance, respectively.

In order to better understand the variability and behavior of the observations, PCA results are depicted in clr-biplots (Figure 4), favoring the display of observations (form clr-biplot, $\alpha = 1$). In Figure 4A, the main factor differentiating the neighborhoods is the opposition between clr of trees in parks with respect to the others. That is, an observation (neighborhood) with a large value of clr of trees in parks has a low value on the others, and vice versa. Additionally, the higher the clr of trees in streets, the more clr of trees in blocks of neighborhoods (Figure 4A). There is no trend in the clr-biplot of trees by location type.

Figure 4. Clr-biplots by (A) location type, (B) height and (C) diameter.
In the clr-biplots by height and by diameter, a particular behavior is observed in the Minerva (red) and Oblatos (blue) districts, which are high-income and low-income districts, respectively. In Figure 4B,C, neighborhoods belonging to the Minerva district have a large value on the clr of Int4. In contrast, neighborhoods with the lowest clr of Int4 belong to the Oblatos district. The behavior between these districts and their neighborhoods illustrate the historical and still existing west–east dichotomy that segregates the city according to socioeconomic status, with the richer inhabitants in the west and the more deprived in the east.

3.2. Characterizing the Neighborhoods of Guadalajara

As explained in Section 2.4, the ilr/olr coordinates are used in the hierarchical cluster considering Ward’s sum of squares criterion. Four groups were selected from the dendrogram obtained in the analysis; hence, each neighborhood belongs to one of the four groups. The same procedure was performed to the different samples of compositional vectors. Each neighborhood is shown in Figure 5A as color coded according to the cluster to which it belongs. The characteristics of each of them is shown in the geometrical mean bar plot (Figure 5B). All neighborhoods seem to be homogenous with respect to trees in the streets and trees in blocks (Figure 5), with no clear trend indicating that trees are unevenly distributed in the city.

![Figure 5. Cluster analysis of trees in the city by location type. (A) Neighborhoods by cluster. (B) Cluster characterization.](image-url)

However, direct observation of the territory allows us to appreciate the unreliability of these results. Once on site, the differences in the quality of urban trees between neighborhoods of different economic status are highly evident (for an example, see Figure 6). These images exemplify the differences encountered in the quality and characteristics of urban trees (i.e., height and diameter) depending on the district. Therefore, given the need to conduct a reliable and accurate analysis, the analysis was performed using the ilr/olr coordinates of trees by height and diameter.
Figure 6. Differences in street tree characteristics. (A) Street trees in the Minerva district. (B) Street trees in the Oblatos district. Google Street View.

The cluster analysis performed to the ilr/olr coordinates of trees by height shows a clear differentiation within the city (Figure 7). In particular, most neighborhoods in the north, south and east of the city are characterized by trees of small size. In contrast, neighborhoods in the west side of the city, and particularly in the Minerva district, are characterized by with large trees, reproducing the historical spatial segregation.

Figure 7. Cluster analysis of trees in the city by height. (A) Neighborhoods by cluster. (B) Cluster characterization.

The analysis performed using the ilr/olr coordinates of trees by diameter (Figure 8) shows a similar trend as the one obtained from the tree heights (Figure 7). The neighborhoods of the Minerva district are characterized by trees with a larger canopy diameter as compared to the rest of the districts, while the rest of the city has trees with a small canopy diameter.
Figure 8. Cluster analysis of trees in the city by diameter. (A) Neighborhoods by cluster. (B) Cluster characterization.

The cluster analyses performed using the ilr/olr coordinates of trees by their height and diameter are congruent with the observed reality of the city. These results highlight the existence of an unequal distribution of trees based on characteristics such as diameter and height, which suggests an unfair distribution of ecosystem services.

3.3. Who Is Benefitting from Urban Trees Ecosystem Services in Guadalajara?

As explained in Section 2.5, the ilr/olr coordinates of trees by their different attributes are used as response variables and the socioeconomic variables as independent variables (Table 4) in the regression analysis. From the different analyses, a weak predictive capacity is obtained. However, the significance of the covariates influencing the analyses was used in order to depict the association between trees and socioeconomic variables (inequity hypothesis). Interesting results were obtained by analyzing the ilr/olr coordinates of trees by location type, especially in coordinate two X^2 (Table 5). The ilr/olr balance coordinate X^2 showed a significant association between households owning a car and street trees. The same behavior was observed with households owning cell phones.

| Model | x_1 | β  | t    | p   |
|-------|-----|----|------|-----|
| RelVPH_AU | -   | 0.483 | 1.876 | ns  |
| RelVPH_PC  | -   | -0.846 | -1.073 | ns  |
| RelVPH_CE  | -   | -0.909 | -2.818 | 0.005 |
| RelVPH_IN  | -   | 0.223  | 0.337  | ns  |
| Model | x_2 | β  | t    | p   |
|-------|-----|----|------|-----|
| RelVPH_AU | -   | 0.366 | 2.486 | 0.013 |
| RelVPH_PC  | -   | 0.360  | 0.797  | ns  |
| RelVPH_CE  | -   | -0.425 | -2.301 | 0.021 |
| RelVPH_IN  | -   | -0.558 | -1.472 | ns  |
| Model | x_3 | β  | t    | p   |
|-------|-----|----|------|-----|
| RelVPH_AU | -   | 0.167  | 0.522  | ns  |
| RelVPH_PC  | -   | -0.476 | -0.484 | ns  |
| RelVPH_CE  | -   | -0.284 | -0.707 | ns  |
| RelVPH_IN  | -   | 0.195  | 0.237  | ns  |
With regard to the heights of the trees, a significant association is observed in trees of considerable height and households owning a car. The above is observed mainly in the balance coordinate X*2 model (Table 6).

Table 6. Regression analysis of trees by height and socioeconomic variables.

| Model       |   β   |  t    |  p   |
|-------------|-------|-------|------|
| x₁      | 0.078 | 0.069 | 8.31 | 0.000 |
| x₂      | 0.026 | 0.016 | 2.65 | 0.032 |
| x₃      | 0.008 | –0.001| 0.873|     |

Similarly, there was a significant relationship between households owning a car and trees of greater canopy diameter, particularly in the second balance coordinate X*2 (Table 7). These results indicated that higher-income residents tend to live in neighborhoods with better canopy quality, thus allowing them to benefit from trees ecosystem services.

Table 7. Regression analysis of trees by canopy diameter and socioeconomic variables.

| Model       |   β   |  t    |  p   |
|-------------|-------|-------|------|
| x₁      | 0.011 | 0.001 | 1.145| ns   |
| x₂      | 0.322 | 0.315 | 46.32| 0.000|
| x₃      | 0.200 | 0.191 | 24.4 | 0.000|

Similarly, there was a significant relationship between households owning a car and trees of greater canopy diameter, particularly in the second balance coordinate X*2 (Table 7). These results indicated that higher-income residents tend to live in neighborhoods with better canopy quality, thus allowing them to benefit from trees ecosystem services.
4. Discussion

In this study, we evaluated the distributional patterns of trees by location type, height and canopy diameter in the city of Guadalajara, Mexico. The results show an unequal distribution of trees by height and diameter within the city. Furthermore, we found an association between urban trees and households with high socioeconomic status. Similar results have been obtained by Landry and Chakraborty [44], Hernández and Villaseñor [53] and Wang and Qiu [54] in which the existence and accessibility of trees is related to high-stratum households in different urban areas.

According to the analysis, neighborhoods in high-income districts (such as Minerva) have a higher-quality tree coverage. Trees tend to be taller and have a greater canopy diameter in this district. A similar trend in the Centro district was found, although not as remarkable as in the Minerva district. The above has severe implications. The characteristics of trees (e.g., trunk, leaf size, specie, canopy diameter, height) influence the quality and the amount of ecosystem services they provide to cities [90]. In this sense, inhabitants in the Minerva district might be exposed to more environmental benefits caused by trees ecosystem services (e.g., air purification, pollution removal, runoff mitigation and temperatures regulation) than in the rest of the city, reproducing a socio-spatial segregation that has been present since the foundation of the city. Coupled with the unequal distribution of urban trees in Guadalajara, we found a significant association between trees and high socioeconomic households, and in particular, with households that own a car. In Latin American countries, car ownership is a symbol of status and purchasing power [69]. In this sense, deprived households might be more vulnerable to environmental health issues caused by the inhabitants who enjoy a better quality of life and by the environmental pressures caused by the 50% of the people who work in the city without living in it [61].

Guadalajara was founded under a clear social differentiation. The results might be due to the foundation processes coupled with changes in the urban development of the city. The San Juan de Dios River (which is currently the Independencia Causeway) served as a natural frontier that divided the city internally. The Spaniards and rich people lived to the west of the river, and the indigenous population lived to the east of the river [91]. The Spaniards established a defined urban model characterized by straight lines, ninety degree angles and wide and straight streets at the west side. In contrast, the indigenous people lived under an organic/natural model without a systematic order. Moreover, the first high-end urban and housing development models were developed in the western part of the city [91]. These new models were born with the name of colonias by the end of the 19th and the beginning of the 20th century. Such models, appealed to a discourse of modernization, had urban influences from Jorge Eugenio Haussman, in Paris; Idelfonso Cerdà, in Barcelona; and Ebenezer Howard in England [92]. These projects managed to consolidate and were characterized by green areas, wide streets and opulent households. In these new models of living, the presence of green areas was considered of great importance. Such is the case of the colonia Chapalita, in which by regulation the 40% of the total household surface was devoted to gardens [92]. In 1960 and to the west of the city, the gated communities emerged. A new way of life characterized by the exclusivity, the quality of green areas, the functionality and a closed perimeter of walls and fences. On the other hand, the urban development to the east of the city was aimed at people with low salary capacity. Small lots in physically and socially devalued spaces [92–94]. Furthermore, due to the emergence of the industrial capitalism in Latin America, from 1940 to 1970, the city experienced a significant population growth. In 1940, the city had a population of about 236,557 inhabitants in an area of 1994 hectares. By 1970, the city had approximately 1,529,598 inhabitants on 11,005 hectares. Between 1940 and 1970, the population of the city grew almost seven times in population and six times in area [60]. After 1970, population growth stagnated due to depletion of available land (the expansion model was mainly horizontal). The consequences of this economic model were not lived exclusively in

| Model fit | R    | R²   | F    | p    |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|
| x₁^2      | 0.094| 0.084| 10.14| 0.000|
| x₂^2      | 0.131| 0.122| 14.70| 0.000|
| x₃^2      | 0.024| 0.014| 2.40 | 0.049|
Guadalajara and similar consequences took place in different Latin American urban contexts [95–97]. In addition, modifications of structural reforms in Mexico from 1982 to 1988 provoked the state to abandon its role as an urban planner, which further accentuated the west–east differences and that are currently perceived [98,99].

Accordingly, in the Centro and Minerva districts, the urban structure (such as a wider street area) can be expected to have influence in the integration of urban trees. In addition, studies have found that socioeconomic groups with a high status find the ecological and aesthetic benefits of trees more appealing [53]. On the other hand, in the eastern part of the city, the space for urban tree integration was smaller, which might have influenced its differences to the west side of the city. Additionally, during the 2010–2012 period, the city government implemented a series of projects on the east side of the city. Through the creation of public spaces (e.g., gardens, parks and public squares), the government sought to achieve socio-spatial integration, fight poverty and achieve sustainability. Nevertheless, these projects were damaged by the same inhabitants and some areas keep on presenting social problems [100]. Studies have shown that groups with low socioeconomic status tend to resist planting trees. The cost in tree maintenance (e.g., watering, pruning, pest control), the increase in land prices, rising rents and processes of gentrification, among others, are some of the reasons [39,41,101].

The results obtained in this study reveal that the city has a large number of challenges for the compliance of the SDGs by 2030, and particularly for Objectives 10 (reduced inequalities), 11 (sustainable cities and communities) and 13 (climate action). As part of the 2030 targets, the government intends to have a daily average of air quality index of 25 IMECAS (Metropolitan Air Quality Index (IMECA)). Index that transforms and integrates a group of pollutants (O₃, CO, SO₂, NOₓ, PST, PM₁₀, PM₂.₅) on a scale of 0 to 500 corresponding to air quality (between 0–100 as satisfactory, 101–200 as unsatisfactory, 201–300 as bad and 301–500 as extremely bad); a coverage of green areas of 9 m²/inhabitant; 100% of the population satisfied with the public space offered by the city; gardens and parks with no signs of deterioration; an intensive urban reforestation with a 90% rate of new urban trees in comparison with the previous year and finally a response rate of 24 h in citizen reports on incidents [61]. However, the daily air quality index in the city is on average of 48 IMECAS. Approximately 34% of the population live in areas with poor coverage of green areas (less than 4 m²/inhabitant). Likewise, nearly 50% of parks, gardens and public spaces are in poor conditions, and only 81% of citizens reporting on incidents in these spaces are resolved, with an average response time of 60 days [61]. Of the urban trees, 77% require some type of intervention (e.g., fertilization, pest control, demolition of dry trees, etc.). Furthermore, the city has a weak tax collection capacity that affects the maintenance and provision of public space. The adoption of SDGs in urban policies are a good opportunity to improve both green infrastructure planning as well as the distribution of ecosystem services among the inhabitants. Nevertheless, the actions carried out in Guadalajara to date appear to be insufficient and have had no impact, as the results have explained.

According to public information of the Public Works department of the city, only three projects (Projects DOP-REH-MUN-JAR-LP-008-16, DOP-REH-MUN-JAR-LP-009-16 and DOP-REH-MUN-JAR-LP-010-16) included the word “trees” in 2016. In these contracts, the government of the municipality focused on the rehabilitation of urban trees in the Centro district. The federal and state government performed five and one project, respectively, involving trees in the east part of the city (Projects DOP-CON-FED-PDR-CI-168-16, DOP-CON-FED-PDR-LP-136-16, DOP-CON-FED-PDR-LP-137-16, DOP-CON-FED-PDR-LP-138-16, DOP-CON-FED-PDR-LP-139-16 and DOP-REH-EST-MER-CI-257-16). There is no information on the number of trees, the species or the criteria for selecting the areas where they were planted. Thus, it seems that an environmental justice approach has not been adopted in the allocation of trees in the city. Since 2016, no public works related to urban trees have been found.

It is important to highlight that with a greater availability of information in this first (and only) census of trees conducted by the city government, and applying the same methodology, the results would have been enriched. Nevertheless it has been mentioned that the canopy diameter and the height influence in the quality of the ecosystem services provided [90], having the species of each tree
in the census would have allowed a better analysis. In consequence, the event of execution of a census that includes more variables, such as tree species, would make it possible to perform the analysis with specific ecosystem services. With this information, plus the use of tools such as the i-Tree Eco software, the ecosystem services provided by each tree (e.g., NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3}, CO and PM\textsubscript{2.5} removal), can be obtained. In this sense, the compositional vectors (previously defined as neighborhoods) would be formed by different parts (ecosystem services).

Additionally, in the future, it would be interesting to analyze the processes of green gentrification in the city. In other words, the objective is to analyze whether different neighborhoods suffer changes in the sociodemographic characteristics of their inhabitants and as for an increase in the land cost caused by the amount of greenery in the said neighborhood.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the distribution of trees in Guadalajara was evaluated using compositional data analysis. Through PCA and cluster analyses, we found a clear differentiation in the distribution of trees between the west and east of the city. Furthermore, through regression analysis, a significant relationship is found between households owning a car and street trees, tall trees and trees with a large canopy diameter. This highlights the existence of an environmental injustice that falls directly on deprived households and neighborhoods. High strata inhabitants are favored with the ecosystem services provided by urban trees in Guadalajara. The uneven distribution of urban trees in the city of Guadalajara might be a consequence of either or both of two factors: (i) the different social and economic forces that date back to the foundation of the city, and that have been reinforced until the present day, and (ii) the weak urban policies to transform SDGs integration and environmental justice perspective into plans and actions that are actually performed. Finally, we would like to stress that the method used in this study is applicable to any urban context and for the study of any other urban phenomena.
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