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Abstract

The spatiotemporal regulation of chromosome segregation and cell division in Caulobacter crescentus is mediated by two different P-loop ATPases, ParA and MipZ. Both of these proteins form dynamic concentration gradients that control the positioning of regulatory targets within the cell. Their proper localization depends on their nucleotide-dependent cycling between a monomeric and a dimeric state and on the ability of the dimeric species to associate with the nucleoid. In this study, we use a combination of genetic screening, biochemical analysis and hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry to comprehensively map the residues mediating the interactions of MipZ and ParA with DNA. We show that MipZ has non-specific DNA-binding activity that relies on an array of positively charged and hydrophobic residues lining both sides of the dimer interface. Extending our analysis to ParA, we find that the MipZ and ParA DNA-binding sites differ markedly in composition, although their relative positions on the dimer surface and their mode of DNA binding are conserved. In line with previous experimental work, bioinformatic analysis [...]
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ABSTRACT

The spatiotemporal regulation of chromosome segregation and cell division in Caulobacter crescentus is mediated by two different P-loop ATPases, ParA and MipZ. Both of these proteins form dynamic concentration gradients that control the positioning of regulatory targets within the cell. Their proper localization depends on their nucleotide-dependent cycling between a monomeric and a dimeric state and on the ability of the dimeric species to associate with the nucleoid. In this study, we use a combination of genetic screening, biochemical analysis and hydrogen/deuterium exchange mass spectrometry to comprehensively map the residues mediating the interactions of MipZ and ParA with DNA. We show that MipZ has non-specific DNA-binding activity that relies on an array of positively charged and hydrophobic residues lining both sides of the dimer interface. Extending our analysis to ParA, we find that the MipZ and ParA DNA-binding sites differ markedly in composition, although their relative positions on the dimer surface and their mode of DNA binding are conserved. In line with previous experimental work, bioinformatic analysis suggests that the same principles may apply to other members of the P-loop ATPase family. P-loop ATPases thus share common mechanistic features, although their functions have diverged considerably during the course of evolution.

INTRODUCTION

P-loop ATPases play a central role in the subcellular organization of bacterial cells. They are evolutionarily related to the Ras-like GTPase superfamily (1,2) and characterized by a conserved nucleotide-binding pocket containing a deviant Walker A motif (known as the P-loop) and a Walker B motif, required for ATP binding and hydrolysis (3). The members of this family share significant sequence and structural similarity and dimerize in an ATP-dependent manner (4,5). Moreover, they typically show a dynamic behavior in vivo that often involves changes in their localization patterns during the course of the cell cycle (6–10). Many P-loop ATPases act as spatial regulators that orchestrate cellular processes by controlling the subcellular positioning of macromolecular structures (7,11). The prototypical ParA homologs, for instance, mediate the partitioning of sister chromosomes and plasmids during cell division (9,12). Several other members of this family (MipZ, MinD, PldP and PomZ) ensure proper division site placement by controlling the polymerization of the cell division protein FtsZ into the cytoplasmic FtsZ ring (6,8,13–17). Other functions of ParA-like ATPases include carboxysome segregation (McdA) (18), the positioning of chemoreceptor clusters (ParC and PffA) (19,20) and DNA translocation during conjugation (VirC1) (21).

Caulobacter crescentus possesses two well-characterized P-loop ATPases, ParA and MipZ, which work together to coordinate chromosome segregation and cell division in this species. The functions of these two proteins are closely linked through their common interaction partner ParB, a DNA-binding protein that recognizes a cluster of centromere-like sites (parS) in the origin-proximal region of the chromosome (22–26). At the start of the cell cycle,
the origin region is anchored at the old pole through an interaction between ParB and the polarity factor PopZ, with traces of FtsZ from the previous division event at the new pole (27,28). At the beginning of S-phase, the parS cluster is duplicated, and one of the ParB•parS complexes is rapidly moved to the opposite pole and tethered to a new patch of PopZ, in an active process driven by ParA (6,29–31). MipZ interacts dynamically with the two pole-associated ParB complexes and thus forms a bipolar gradient, with its concentration being highest at the poles and lowest at the cell center (6,13). Since it acts as an inhibitor of FtsZ polymerization, the arrival of the moving ParB•parS•MipZ complex leads to the disintegration of the polar FtsZ assembly, and the released FtsZ molecules, along with newly synthesized ones, assemble into a Z-ring at midcell (6).

Both ParA and MipZ establish dynamic polar gradients, based on their ability to alternate between an ADP-bound monomeric and an ATP-bound dimeric state with distinct interaction patterns and diffusion rates, in a manner controlled locally by the ParB•parS complexes. Although the ATPase cycles of the two proteins are based on similar principles, differences in the regulatory effect of ParB lead to clearly distinct localization behaviors. In the case of ParA, ParB acts as an ATPase-activating protein. ParA–ATP dimers remain associated with the nucleoid until they interact with a moving ParB•parS complex, which triggers their disassembly into monomers that are released from the DNA (19,29,31–35). Repeated cycles of ParB binding and ATP hydrolysis thus lead to a gradual shortening of the ParA gradient, thereby promoting the directional movement of ParB across the nucleoid towards one of the cell poles. For MipZ, by contrast, the polar ParB•parS complexes serve as catalysts that recruit monomers and facilitate their dimerization, possibly by increasing their local concentration at the cell poles (6). Newly formed MipZ dimers have DNA-binding activity and are retained in the polar regions through association with the nucleoid (6,13). Their lifetime is limited only by the low intrinsic ATPase activity of MipZ, which eventually generates monomers that return to the ParB•parS complexes, thereby restarting the cycle. As a result, MipZ forms stable bipolar gradients whose minimum at the cell center marks the site of cell division.

Various P-loop ATPases were shown to interact with the nucleoid to slow down their diffusion and thus enable the maintenance of subcellular protein gradients (13,18,19,36). Their non-specific DNA-binding activity was suggested to be mediated by positively charged amino acids that are exposed on the protein surface (4,20,37,38). Consistent with this idea, in vivo and in vitro studies of the Bacillus subtilis ParA homolog Soj (BParA) identified two surface-exposed arginine residues that are essential for its interaction with DNA (37). Residues homologous to these arginines were also later implicated in the DNA-binding activity of PpfA from Rhodobacter sphaeroides (20) and Pomp from Myxococcus xanthus (17). More evidence for the importance of positively charged amino acids has recently come from the crystal structures of ParA–DNA complexes formed by ParA homologs from Helicobacter pylori (HpParA) (39) and Sulfolobus solfataricus pNOB8 (40), which each identified several surface-exposed lysine residues that are in direct contact with the phosphate backbone of the DNA ligand. However, the binding interface of pNOB8 ParA was clearly distinct from that of its H. pylori homolog, suggesting that ParA proteins could have evolved different modes of DNA binding. Notably, in addition to their non-specific DNA-binding activity, plasmid-encoded ParA homologs often possess a second DNA-binding domain that interacts specifically with sequence motifs in the parA promoter region to control protein homeostasis (41,42).

So far, the precise location of the DNA-binding interface of MipZ has remained unknown, because the residues contacting DNA in ParA-like P-loop ATPases are not conserved in this protein. In this study, we identify and characterize nine surface-exposed residues surrounding the dimer interface of MipZ that are critical for DNA binding. Most of them are positively charged, suggesting that MipZ interacts with DNA non-specifically by contacting the phosphate backbone. Consistent with this notion, ChIP-Seq analysis reveals that MipZ interacts with a large number of chromosomal sites without a clear preference for a specific consensus sequence. Determining the DNA-binding residues of C. crescentus ParA (CcParA), we further demonstrate that MipZ and ParA bind DNA in similar, positively charged regions, although the nature of the interacting residues varies considerably. Thus, while the biological functions of ParA-like ATPases have diverged significantly during the course of evolution, the general principle underlying their DNA-binding activity appears to be conserved.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids and growth conditions

The bacterial strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides used in this work are listed in Tables S1–S3. Their construction and the growth conditions used are detailed in the Supplementary information. All plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing.

Protein purification

Escherichia coli Rosetta(DE3)pLysS was transformed with suitable pET21a(+) derivatives (Table S2) and grown aerobically in LB medium. At an OD600 of 0.6, the medium was supplemented with 1 mM IPTG to induce protein overproduction, and the cultivation was continued for another 3 h. The cells were harvested by centrifugation, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until further use. To purify MipZ or its mutant derivatives, cells were thawed on ice and resuspended in a 3–5-fold volume of buffer B (50 mM NaH2PO4, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 8.0), supplemented with 100 μg/ml PMSF (phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride) and 10 U/ml DNase I. Afterwards, they were lysed by two passages through a French press at 16 000 psi. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 30 000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was passed through a 0.2 μm filter (Sartorius, Germany) and then loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (GE Healthcare, USA) connected to an ÄKTA Purifier 10 system (GE Healthcare). After a wash of the column with buffer B, protein was eluted with linear gradient of imidazole (20–250 mM in a buffer containing 50 mM NaH2PO4 and 300...
mM NaCl, pH8). The eluate was collected in fractions and subjected to SDS-PAGE. Fractions containing the protein of interest were combined and dialyzed twice against 3 L of buffer B6 (50 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol). Finally, the protein solution was centrifuged at 30 000 × g for 30 min to remove precipitates, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. ParA-His6 was purified as described above for MipZ-His6, using a previously described buffer system (29).

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS)

MipZ-D42A or wild-type ParA (50 μM) were incubated for 15 min at room temperature with 1 mM ATPγS in the absence or presence of a 14 bp-long dsDNA oligonucleotide (ran14-up/ran14-lo; 50 μM). Subsequently, samples were prepared for HDX-MS essentially as described previously (48,49), aided by a robotic autosampler (LEAP Technologies, USA). To start the H/D exchange, 7.5 μl (50 μM) of MipZ-D42A were mixed with 67.5 μl of D2O-containing MipZ-buffer (50 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, 1 mM ATPγS). For ParA, 7.5 μl (50 μM) of protein solution were mixed with 67.5 μl of D2O-containing ParA-buffer (25 mM HEPES/KOH pH 7.4, 50 mM potassium glutamate, 450 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO4, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATPγS). After incubation for 15/30/60/120/600 s at 25°C, samples (55 μl) were taken from the reactions and mixed with 55 μl of quench buffer (400 mM KH2PO4/H3PO4, 2 M guanidine–HCl, pH 2.2), cooled to 1°C. 95 μl of the mixture were immediately injected into an ACQUITY UPLC M-class system with HDX technology (Waters, USA) (50). Proteins were digested online with immobilized pepsin at 12°C with a constant flow (100 μl/min) of water + 0.1% (v/v) formic acid, and the resulting peptic peptides were collected for 3 min on a C18 column (Waters) that was kept at 0.5°C. The trap column was then placed in line with an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 1.7 μm 1.0 × 100 mm column (Waters), and the peptides were eluted at 0.5°C using a gradient of water + 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (eluent A) and acetonitrile + 0.1 (v/v) % formic acid (eluent B) at a flow rate of 30 μl/min as follows: 0–7 min/95–65% A, 7–8 min/65–15% A, 8–10 min/15% A, 10–11 min/5% A, 11–16 min/95% A. Mass spectra of deuterated samples were acquired in High Definition MS positive ion mode using a G2-Si HDMS mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI source (Waters). Non-deuterated samples were prepared in the same way by incubation in non-deuterated buffer, with mass spectra recorded in Enhanced High Definition MS mode (51,52). Continuous lock mass correction was performed using a [Glu1]-Fibrinopeptide B standard (Waters). All measurements were performed in triplicate. After each run, the pepsin column was washed three times with 80 μl of 4% (v/v) acetonitrile and 0.5 M guanidine–HCl. Additionally, blank runs were performed between each analysis to avoid peptide carry-over. The identification and assignment of peptides was carried out as previously described (48,49) using PLGS and DynamX 3.0 software (Waters).

ATPase assays

ATPase assays were performed as described before (6). In brief, MipZ-His6 or its variants (6 μM) were preincubated for 10 min at 30°C in buffer P (50 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.2, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol). The reaction was started by the addition of 1 mM ATP containing [α-32P]ATP (25 Ci/mmol) (Hartmann, Germany). Samples (2 μl) were taken every 10 min over a period of 1 h and transferred onto PEI-cellulose F thin-layer chromatography plates (Merk, Germany). The plates were developed in a solvent system containing 1 M LiCl and 0.5 M formic acid, air-dried, and exposed to a phosphor screen (GE Healthcare, USA). After scanning of the screen in a Storm 840 PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare, Germany), the amount of [α-32P]ADP in the samples was quantified using ImageQuant 5.2 (GE Healthcare). The reaction rates were determined by linear-regression analysis in Microsoft Excel 2010.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay

Proteins (10 μM) were incubated for 15 min at room temperature with 10 nM EcoRI-linearized plasmid pMCS-2 (53) in EMSA buffer (10 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.2, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.05% Tween 20) containing 0.46 mM ATPγS. After the addition of sample buffer, protein–DNA complexes were separated from free plasmid DNA by electrophoresis in a 1% agarose gel, prepared in TAE buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 0.175% acetic acid, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). DNA was stained with ethidiumbromide and detected in a UV-Transilluminator (UniEquip, Germany).

Biolayer interferometry

Biolayer interferometric analyses were performed using a BLItz System (PALL Life Sciences, USA). Biotinylated ds-DNA oligonucleotides (37.5 μM) (Eurofins MWG Operon, Germany) were captured on a High Precision Streptavidin Biosensor (PALL Life Sciences). Subsequently, they were probed with wild-type MipZ or one of its mutant variants (4 μM) in buffer B6 (50 mM HEPES/NaOH pH 7.2, 50 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol) containing 1 mM ATPγS. After the association step, the biosensors were transferred into buffer B6 to monitor the dissociation of the protein–DNA complexes.

RESULTS

Identification of DNA-binding residues on the MipZ surface

Our knowledge of the determinants mediating the non-specific DNA-binding activity of P-loop ATPases is largely based on studies of canonical ParA homologs. However, for this group of proteins, two distinct modes of DNA binding, mediated by different regions of the dimer surface, have been reported (39,40). Moreover, the residues identified in previous studies are not universally conserved among DNA-binding members of the P-loop ATPase family. To clarify which regions of MipZ mediate the interaction with DNA, we devised a reverse genetics approach. Taking advantage of the crystal structure of the MipZ dimer (PDB
As shown previously (6,13), cells producing wild-type MipZ-eYFP displayed normal morphologies and the characteristic unipolar or bipolar gradient, indicating that the fusion protein was fully functional (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). Expression of the K13A variant yielded a mixture of short and elongated cells as well as a high level of uniform cytoplasmic fluorescence, combined with distinct foci reflecting the positions of the ParB•parS complexes. The dimeric variant (D42A), by contrast, gave rise to filamentous cells showing patchy, nucleoid-associated fluorescence throughout the cell (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2).

DNA binding restrains the diffusion of MipZ and is a prerequisite for gradient formation (6). MipZ variants that fail to interact with DNA should still be able to associate with ParB. However, the dimers released from ParB should no longer be retained in the vicinity of the ParB•parS complexes but diffuse freely within the cytoplasm. As a consequence, they should inhibit cell division throughout the cell, leading to cell filamentation. Based on this hypothesis, we searched for mutant strains that still formed ParB-associated foci but displayed elevated levels of cytoplasmic fluorescence, combined with an increase in cell length. A total of nine strains showed the predicted phenotype (Figure 1B, Supplementary Figures S1 and S2A). The stability of the different MipZ-eYFP variants was verified by immunoblot analysis (Supplementary Figure S3A). Expression of the R194A, R196A, R198A or R221A variants led to severe filamentation, whereas strains producing the K197A, R219A, L237A, R242A or L248A variants showed a milder phenotype with a broad distribution of cell lengths (Supplementary Figure S2A). Notably, the R196A, R219A and L248A variants produced very faint ParB-associated foci, suggesting that they have an additional defect in ParB.

Figure 1. Identification of residues critical for DNA binding by MipZ. (A) Surface representation of the MipZ dimer structure (PDB ID: 2XJ9) with the 51 amino acids selected for alanine-scanning mutagenesis marked in purple. The two subunits are depicted in green and blue, respectively. (B) Cartoon representation of the MipZ dimer structure with nine candidate amino acids possibly involved in DNA binding shown in purple. A surface representation of the structure is shown in the background. For clarity, the ATP molecules and Mg^{2+} ions sandwiched between the two subunits are not shown.
binding (Supplementary Figure S1). An analysis of the subcellular distribution of the different MipZ-YFP variants confirmed a partial to complete loss of the gradient pattern (Supplementary Figure S2B). Interestingly, the nine amino acids identified in the screen are mostly positively charged and grouped in the same surface region, forming an extended patch that lines the dimer interface (Figure 1B).

**Diffusional properties of MipZ variants with DNA-binding defects**

Previous work has shown that MipZ dimers have a very low diffusion rate, likely due to their interaction with the nucleoid. Monomers, by contrast, are highly mobile, because they are rapidly exchanged between ParB*parS* complexes and able to move freely within the cytoplasm (13). To corroborate that the newly identified variants have a reduced DNA-binding activity in vivo, we investigated the diffusional properties of two representative proteins (K197A and R198A) in fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) assays. When one of the polar foci was bleached in cells showing a bipolar gradient of wild-type MipZ-eYFP, fluorescence was recovered with a half-time \( t_{1/2} \) of 9.4 ± 0.4 s (Supplementary Figure S4A). The monomeric K13A variant, by contrast, showed considerably faster kinetics \( t_{1/2} = 2.0 ± 0.2 \text{ s} \), indicating largely unrestrained diffusion (Supplementary Figure S4B). Notably, intermediate recovery rates were measured for both the K197A \( t_{1/2} = 5.6 ± 0.2 \text{ s} \) and the R198A variants \( t_{1/2} = 5.3 ± 0.8 \text{ s} \) (Supplementary Figure S4C and D). These results suggest that the two proteins are indeed less stably associated with the nucleoid and therefore diffuse more rapidly within the cell than the wild-type protein. However, they appear to interact with DNA to some extent, suggesting that they still display some residual DNA-binding activity (as confirmed below).

**Biochemical characterization of the DNA-binding-deficient MipZ variants**

To confirm that the phenotypes observed are indeed due to a defect in DNA binding, we overproduced and purified the nine MipZ variants selected in the mutant screen and analyzed their biochemical properties in vitro (Supplementary Figure S3B). Initially, we aimed to test whether the proteins were still able to undergo ATP-dependent dimerization. However, previous work has shown that the direct detection of MipZ dimers is technically challenging (13). We therefore resorted to ATPase activity measurements as a proxy, based on the fact that dimerization is a prerequisite for nucleotide hydrolysis (6,13). In all cases, the ATP turnover rates were similar to that of the wild-type protein (Figure 2A), confirming that the mutations did not affect the ATPase cycle of MipZ. By contrast, and as expected (6), the monomeric (K13A) and dimeric (D42A) variants showed very low activity levels.

Next, we tested the DNA-binding capacity of the different variants using an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (Figure 2B). For this purpose, the proteins were incubated with a linearized plasmid in the presence of ATP\(_2\)/S(1 mM). All analyses were performed at least three times, and representative results are shown.

**Figure 2.** In vitro characterization of MipZ variants with DNA-binding defects. (A) ATPase activities of purified wild-type MipZ and the indicated mutant derivatives. MipZ (6 \( \mu \text{M} \)) was incubated with ATP (1 mM), and the rate of hydrolysis was determined. Shown are the average turnover numbers \( k_{\text{cat}} (\pm \text{SD}) \) from at least three independent experiments. (B) Electrophoretic mobility shift assay analyzing the interaction of different MipZ variants with a linearized plasmid (pMCS-2). MipZ (WT) or the indicated variants (10 \( \mu \text{M} \)) were incubated with DNA (10 nM) and ATP\(_2\)/S (0.46 mM). Protein-DNA complexes were then separated from free DNA by standard agarose gel electrophoresis. (C) Biolayer interferometric analysis of the DNA-binding activity of different MipZ variants. A biotinylated dsDNA oligonucleotide (rand-biotin/rand-rev; 37.5 \( \mu \text{M} \)) was immobilized on the sensor surface and probed with MipZ (WT) or the indicated variants (4 \( \mu \text{M} \) in the presence of ATP\(_2\)/S (1 mM). All analyses were performed at least three times, and representative results are shown.

The L248A exchange hardly affected the behavior of MipZ in this assay. To corroborate these results, we additionally performed biolayer interferometric analyses. To this end, a double stranded (ds) 26 bp oligonucleotide was immobilized on a sensor chip and probed with wild-type MipZ or its mutant variants (Figure 2C). The wild-type and D42A proteins again interacted strongly with DNA. The other dimeric state. Whereas the wild-type protein and the D42A variant strongly reduced the mobility of the DNA fragment during electrophoresis, most of the other mutant proteins showed hardly any binding activity. A slight shift was still observed for the R196A and R221A variants, while the L248A exchange hardly affected the behavior of MipZ in this assay.
MipZ binds DNA in a sequence-independent manner in vivo

Among the nine DNA-binding residues identified, seven are positively charged. The interaction of MipZ with DNA may therefore largely rely on electrostatic forces between positively charged MipZ residues and the negatively charged DNA phosphate backbone, as suggested for other ParA-like proteins (4,20). Consistent with this hypothesis, MipZ indeed interacts non-specifically with DNA in vitro (13) (see also Figure 2). However, it remained unclear whether it could have a preference for certain sequence motifs in vivo. To address this issue, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high-throughput sequencing (ChIP-Seq) analysis on C. crescentus strains that produced a wild-type (BH64), monomeric (K13A; BH100) or dimeric (D42A; BH99) MipZ-eYFP fusion in place of the native MipZ protein (Dataset S1). As expected, the monomeric K13A variant was highly enriched around the parS sites, with its distribution largely reflecting the binding profile of ParB (Supplementary Figure S6). The dimeric D42A variant, by contrast, was barely associated with the parS region. These results corroborate the previous finding that only monomeric MipZ species are efficiently recruited to the ParB∥parS complex in vivo (6).

Analyzing the interaction of the three fusion proteins with origin-distal chromosomal regions (Figure 3A and Dataset S1), we did not detect any clear signals for the K13A variant, in line with its limited DNA-binding activity. The same was true for the wild-type fusion, likely because only a fraction of the protein is in the DNA-binding-competent, dimeric state and its ATPase activity greatly reduces the residence time of dimers on the DNA. By contrast, a clear interaction with the nucleoid was observed for the D42A variant. Analysis of the precipitated DNA fragments revealed that it was associated with a large number of different loci (Figure 3A and Dataset S1). However, we did not observe distinct, localized signals, but broad peaks covering several kilobases of chromosomal DNA, suggesting that MipZ does not recognize defined sequence motifs. Interestingly, the extent of DNA binding was positively correlated with the GC content of the interacting chromosomal regions (Figure 3A). However, in vitro binding studies did not provide any evidence for an intrinsic preference of MipZ for GC-rich DNA (Figure 3B). It has been shown that many nucleoid-associated proteins from C. crescentus, such as HU or GapR, are enriched in AT-rich chromosomal regions (43–45). Furthermore, AT-rich sequences are typically found in the promoter regions of genes, which are heavily occupied by RNA polymerase or regulatory proteins. MipZ may therefore bind to DNA in a sequence-independent manner but, due to its moderate binding affinity, be restricted to less densely occupied, GC-rich regions.

Direct detection of the MipZ DNA-binding interface by HDX analysis

Using reverse genetics, we identified nine surface-exposed amino acid residues that are critical for the DNA-binding activity of MipZ. To verify the direct involvement of these residues in the interaction with DNA, we mapped the DNA-binding interface of MipZ by hydrogen/deuterium
Figure 4. Hydrogen/deuterium exchange (HDX) analysis of the MipZ-DNA interaction. (A) MipZ-D42A was incubated in deuterated buffer containing 1 mM ATPyS in the absence or presence of a 14 bp dsDNA oligonucleotide (ran14-up/ran14-lo). The heat plot shows the maximal differences in deuterium uptake between the DNA-MipZ-D42A complex and MipZ-D42A alone at different incubation times for a series of representatives peptides (see Dataset S2 for the full list of peptides). The color code is given on the right. All experiments were performed in the presence of ATPyS to further increase the stability of the dimer. The four different regions that are protected upon DNA binding to MipZ or ParA (see Figure 5) are indicated at the bottom. (B) Mapping of the maximum differences in HDX observed upon DNA binding onto the crystal structure of the MipZ dimer (PDB ID: 2XJ9). The color code is given at the bottom. The DNA-binding residues identified in the mutant screen are shown in red. A surface representation of the structure is shown in the background. For clarity, the ATP and Mg2+ ligands are not shown. (C) Electrostatic surface potential of the MipZ dimer. The color code is given at the bottom.

exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS), a method detecting local shifts in the accessibility of backbone amide hydrogens caused by ligand binding (46). To this end, the dimeric D42A variant was transferred into deuterated buffer and incubated with or without a short (14 bp) dsDNA oligonucleotide. After fragmentation and mass spectrometric analysis of the protein, we then searched for peptides that showed a reduced rate of deuterium uptake in the presence of DNA. A total of 168 peptides were unambiguously identified, covering 94% of the protein sequence. Protected peptides mapped to three distinct regions (R1, R3, and R4) of MipZ (Figure 4A, B and Supplementary Figure S7A). A closer inspection of the data showed that R1 corresponds to the Walker A motif, suggesting a conformational change in this region upon DNA binding. R3 is only partially exposed on the dimer surface, and mutations in this region (D147A, T150A, E152A) do not affect the biological function of MipZ (Supplementary Figure S8). This region may therefore also be subject to conformational changes upon DNA binding but not directly contribute to the interaction (see also Supplementary Figure S12). The elements constituting R4, by contrast, are located on the dimer surface and contain all of the residues identified in the mutant screen (Figure 4B), confirming the relevance of the in vivo results. Together, these findings support the hypothesis that the DNA-binding interface of MipZ is formed by a linear array of positively charged amino acids (Figure 4C) that mediate non-specific DNA binding through interaction with the DNA phosphate backbone.

MipZ and ParA share a similar DNA-binding region

MipZ and ParA share the ability to interact non-specifically with DNA. Previously, two arginine residues at the dimer interface of ParA were shown to be critical for DNA binding (30,37). Moreover, a recent crystallographic study has suggested that HsParA interacts with DNA in a region homologous to the DNA-binding interface of MipZ (39). However, a comprehensive analysis of the determinants that mediate the ParA–DNA interaction in solution is still missing. To address this issue, we extended our HDX studies to the ParA homolog of *C. crescentus* and mapped the regions of the protein that were protected upon interaction with a dsDNA oligonucleotide (Figure 5A). Since it was not possible to purify an ATPase-deficient (D44A) variant of ParA in soluble form, the experiments were performed with the wild-type protein, locked in the dimeric state by addition of ATPyS. In total, 78 peptides were unambiguously
Figure 5. Hydrogen/deuterium exchange (HDX) analysis of the *C. crescentus* ParA–DNA interaction. (A) ParA was incubated in deuterated buffer containing 1 mM ATP·S in the absence or presence of a 14 bp dsDNA oligonucleotide (ran14-up/ran14-lo). The heat plot shows the maximal differences in deuterium uptake between the DNA·ParA complex and MipZ alone at different incubation times for a series of representatives peptides (see Dataset S2 for the full list of peptides). The color code is given on the right. All experiments were performed in the presence of ATP·S to lock the protein in the dimeric state. The four different regions that are protected upon DNA binding to MipZ (see Figure 4) or ParA are indicated at the bottom. (B) Mapping of the maximum differences in HDX observed upon DNA binding onto a structural model of ParA, generated with *Hp*ParA (PDB ID: 6IUB) (39) as a template. A surface representation of the structure is shown in the background. For clarity, the ATP and Mg²⁺ ligands are not shown. (C) Electrostatic surface potential of the ParA dimer. The color code is given at the bottom.

identified, which covered 94% of the complete protein sequence. Interestingly, out of the three protected regions, two (R1 and R4) were homologous to regions protected upon DNA binding in MipZ, whereas one (R2) was specifically detected in ParA (Figure 5A, B and Supplementary Figure S7B). The elements constituting R2 are mostly buried in the interior of the ParA dimer, suggesting that they do not directly contribute to DNA binding. Notably, however, they also include a loop with an arginine residue (R61) that is exposed on the lateral side of the complex. As in MipZ, R4 showed the highest degree of protection. It stretches along the edge of the dimer interface and includes six positively charged and two bulky hydrophobic residues that are located at the protein surface (Figure 5B, C). Consistent with a role of these residues in DNA binding, their substitution led to dissipation of the ParA gradient in vivo, causing origin segregation defects and aberrant cell divisions events, likely induced by the mislocalization of MipZ (Supplementary Figure S9). In line with previous work (37,39), these findings demonstrate that the DNA-binding activity of ParA also relies on non-specific electrostatic interactions with the DNA phosphate backbone. Interestingly, however, none of the residues identified in ParA has a direct equivalent in the DNA-binding pocket of MipZ, and some of the DNA-interacting residues of MipZ are located in an insertion that is not conserved in other P-loop ATPases (Figure 6). The DNA-binding determinants of the two proteins thus differ considerably, even though the principal mechanism of target recognition is identical in both cases.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, we used a combination of biochemical and genetic approaches to identify and comprehensively analyze the DNA-binding sites of the P-loop ATPases MipZ and ParA from *C. crescentus*. Our results show that both proteins associate with DNA in a sequence-independent manner through electrostatic interactions with the phosphate backbone (Figure 6A). However, despite this similarity in the mechanism of action, the amino-acid composition of the binding sites varies considerably. Interestingly, most P-loop ATPases studied to date (4,20,37–39) (Figure 6B). The interaction determinants of MipZ, by contrast, are clearly distinct and, in part, located in a segment of the protein that is not conserved in other family members. This divergence in the DNA-binding interfaces may be a result of adaptive evolution that serves to change the kinetics of the binding reaction or the effect of DNA on the ATPase cycle of MipZ.

Our study identified a total of nine residues that critically contribute to non-specific DNA binding by MipZ (Figure 4). The individual importance of these residues ap-
other P-loop ATPases (Figure 6B). A structural superimposition of the MipZ dimer (13) and the H. pylori ParA DNA complex (39) reveals a conserved leucine residue that is in direct contact with the DNA molecule. However, this interaction involves the major groove of the interacting DNA molecule (Supplementary Figure S11), thereby providing additional contacts outside the conserved P-loop ATPase core. Interestingly, DNA binding also leads to conformational changes in the Walker A motif, thus likely affecting the kinetics of DNA hydrolysis. Consistent with this idea, previous work has shown a stimulatory effect of DNA on the ATPase activity of MipZ (13).

Figure 6. Variability of the DNA-binding regions of P-loop ATPases. (A) Comparison of the DNA-binding regions of MipZ and ParA from C. crescentus. Shown are surface representations of the dimer structures of MipZ (PDB ID: 2XJ9) and ParA (modeled as described in Figure 5B). Residues shown to be important for DNA binding are highlighted in purple. Short dsDNA oligonucleotides were modeled into the experimentally verified DNA-binding interfaces. The two subunits of each dimer are depicted in green and blue, respectively. (B) Comparison of the DNA-binding regions of MipZ and ParA from C. crescentus. Conserved residues are indicated at the bottom. See Supplementary Figure S10 for an alignment of the full-length sequences.

pears to differ significantly. Despite their peripheral location in the DNA-binding interface, R194 and R198 are essential for interaction with DNA, consistent with the observation that they are part of a region that is highly protected from the environment upon DNA binding. The remaining residues make additional contacts that contribute to stabilizing the MipZ-DNA interaction. Interestingly, while the majority of them are positively charged and, thus, suited to directly contact the DNA phosphate backbone, two (L237 and L248) have bulky hydrophobic side chains. Mutations in these leucines had only moderate effects on the functionality of MipZ, although the L237A variant showed a strongly reduced DNA-binding activity in vitro. The precise role of these residues remains to be investigated. Notably, the crystal structure of the H. pylori ParA-DNA complex revealed a conserved leucine residue that is in direct contact with the DNA molecule. However, this interaction involves the major chain amide group rather than the hydrophobic side chain (39). A similar situation may be observed for MipZ, with mutations in L237 and L248 leading to distortions in the main chain that impede its interaction with the phosphate backbone. Notably, residues L237, R242 and L248 are located in a loop (H9-H10) that is not found in other P-loop ATPases (Figure 6B). A structural superimposition of the MipZ dimer (13) and the H. pylori ParA DNA complex (39) suggests that this loop inserts into the major groove of the interacting DNA molecule (Supplementary Figure S11), thereby providing additional contacts outside the conserved P-loop ATPase core. Interestingly, DNA binding also leads to conformational changes in the Walker A motif, thus likely affecting the kinetics of DNA hydrolysis. Consistent with this idea, previous work has shown a stimulatory effect of DNA on the ATPase activity of MipZ (13). Since the DNA-binding site of MipZ spans the dimer interface and comprises residues from both subunits, only the dimeric complex should have full DNA-binding activity. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that monomeric MipZ variants are unable to interact with DNA in vitro or stably associate with the nucleoid in vivo (13) (Figure 2). Notably, a comparison of the MipZ monomer and dimer structures shows that the DNA-binding regions undergo conformational changes upon dimerization, which may contribute to stabilizing the MipZ-DNA interaction (Supplementary Figure S12). In line with the lack of target specificity in vitro, ChIP-seq experiments demonstrated that MipZ does not bind to distinct chromosomal sites in vivo. Nevertheless, it is not evenly distributed across the nucleoid but enriched in GC-rich regions, potentially due to the influence of competing proteins that preferentially interact with AT-rich sequences. It will be interesting to see whether other ParA-like ATPases with non-specific DNA-binding activity (20,37,39,40) show a similar bias in their distribution.

Our analysis of the DNA-binding determinants of ParA identified ten positively charged or bulky hydrophobic residues (Figure 5) that are located in regions protected by DNA in vitro and critical for ParA function in vivo. Two of these residues (R195 and R224) are conserved in several other ParA-like ATPases (Figure 6B), and one or both of their counterparts have previously been implicated in the
In doing so, it undergoes a large conformational change, with two DNA molecules (Supplementary Figure S14). Of DNA-binding in which the ParA dimer interacts later-middle pNOB8 ParA-DNA complex revealed a unique mode different mode of DNA binding than its family relatives. In- tersingly, a recent crystal structure of the archael plasmid pNOB8 ParA-DNA complex revealed a unique mode of DNA-binding in which the ParA dimer interacts laterally with two DNA molecules (Supplementary Figure S14). In doing so, it undergoes a large conformational change, with one subunit rotating ~38° relative to the second subunit, thereby opening the active site and exposing the sandwiched nucleotides to the solvent (40). Our HDX analysis of CcParA and MipZ did not reveal any obvious shift in the accessibility of the dimer interface upon DNA binding. Moreover, both proteins displayed only a single DNA-binding interface per dimer, located in a region that is distinct from the sites contacting DNA in the pNOB8 ParA structure (Supplementary Figure S15). In line with previous studies (37,39), we therefore suggest that P-loop ATPases typically have similar conformations in the DNA-free and complexed state and bind DNA through a single patch of positively charged residues that stretches along the dimer interface in corresponding regions of the proteins (Supplementary Figure S13). Modeling studies with HpParA as a template suggest that the same may be true for other ParA-like ATPases, such as PpFA, ParC, VirC1 and PomZ, whose structures still remain to be solved. A notable exception to this rule is the cell division regulator MinD, which was shown to interact nonspecifically with DNA and thus contribute to chromosome segregation in E. coli (47). In this protein, the region that typically forms the DNA-binding interface shows a high density of negative charges and only contains a single arginine residue (R219), which is essential for DNA binding (47). These observations suggest that MinD may use a different mode of DNA binding than its family relatives. Interestingly, a recent crystal structure of the archael plasmid pNOB8 ParA-DNA complex revealed a unique mode of DNA-binding in which the ParA dimer interacts laterally with two DNA molecules (Supplementary Figure S14).
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