Antenatal corticosteroids (ACS) are used to treat women at risk of preterm birth to improve neonatal survival. Though affected children may be at long-term risk of neurobehavioural disorders, the driving mechanisms remain unknown. Animal studies have shown that ACS exposure can lead to overlapping changes in DNA methylation between the blood and the brain, identifying gene pathways for neurodevelopment, which highlights the potential to examine peripheral blood as a surrogate for inaccessible human brain tissue. We hypothesized that differential methylation will be identified in blood of term-born neonates following ACS. Mother-infant dyads that received ACS were retrospectively identified through the Ontario Birth Study at Sinai Health Complex and matched to untreated controls for maternal age, BMI, parity and foetal sex ($n = 14$ group). Genome-wide methylation differences were examined at single-nucleotide resolution in DNA extracted from dried bloodspot cards using reduced representation bisulphite sequencing approaches. 505 differentially methylated CpG sites (DMCs) were identified, wherein 231 were hypermethylated and 274 were hypomethylated. These sites were annotated to 219 genes, of which $USP48$, $SH3PD2A$, $NTM$, $CAMK2N2$, $MAP6D1$ were five of the top ten genes with known neurological function. Collectively, the set of hypermethylated genes were enriched for pathways of transcription regulation, while pathways of proteasome activity were enriched among the set of hypomethylated genes. This study is the first to identify DNA methylation changes in human neonatal blood following ACS. Understanding the epigenetic changes that occur in response to ACS will support future investigations to delineate the effects of prenatal glucocorticoid exposure on human development.
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INTRODUCTION
Antenatal corticosteroids (ACS) are synthetic glucocorticoids that are prescribed to women at risk of preterm birth to improve perinatal survival and decrease morbidity. Glucocorticoids act to accelerate foetal organ maturation to reduce the incidence of neonatal respiratory distress syndrome and intraventricular haemorrhage. Epidemiological studies have identified associations between ACS exposure and increased risk for a variety of cardiometabolic, immune and neurodevelopmental disorders [1–3] affecting multiple organ systems. These associations have been shown to occur independent of preterm birth, a known confounder for many disorders [4, 5]. At the level of neurodevelopmental outcomes, Räikkönen et al. reported that term-born children exposed to ACS demonstrated higher salivary cortisol levels than unexposed subjects in a standardized laboratory stress test (Trier Social Stress Test) [7]. The effects of altered developmental trajectories may be long-lasting, as altered HPA axis reactivity, higher-order cognitive and decision-making capacities have been observed throughout childhood and into adolescence in offspring antenatally exposed to ACS [7–9].

Despite phenotypic evidence suggesting long-term consequences of ACS treatment, little is known about the potential mechanisms involved. Given that epigenetic modifications are responsive to environmental stimuli [10, 11], modifications like DNA methylation (DNAm) which are sustained across cell divisions [12] represent a likely candidate for mediating long-lasting phenotypic changes in response to acute environmental exposures. Previous animal studies have demonstrated that ACS exposure leaves both acute [13, 14] and long-term [15] genome-wide methylation changes in the hippocampus of exposed offspring, which were associated with a hyperactive phenotype [15], thus identifying a shifted methylome as a possible mediator between ACS exposure and altered phenotypes.

Healthy brain tissue is not easily accessible in humans, thus mechanistic investigations have often relied on surrogate markers derived from peripheral tissues such as blood. The blood methylome is a valuable surrogate for the DNAm status in various regions of the brain, with high correlations ($r = 0.86$) reported in average methylation levels between blood and live brain samples resected during neurosurgery [16]. However, methylation correlations between brain and peripheral tissue can be complex, dependent on factors such as whether samples were obtained post-mortem [17], if the subjects suffered from brain disorders [18], or if comparisons were conducted across-subjects.
(interindividual) or within-subject [16]. As such, we have previously examined methylation correspondence in the context of ACS treatment and reported a positive correlation (r = 0.31) in methylation changes between the blood and hippocampus of female guinea pig offspring, collected simultaneously, following ACS exposure. In this analysis, ≥1000 differentially methylated sites were identified in common [19], which annotated to 134 genes that were enriched for gene pathways involved in neurodevelopment [19]. Together, these data support the use of peripheral blood as a surrogate for investigating methylation changes in the brain following ACS exposure.

In the present study, we investigated the effects of ACS on DNAm in a cohort of term-born neonates. We hypothesized that ACS exposure will alter DNA methylation events in neonatal whole blood. Differentially methylated sites will be examined to identify affected genes and enriched pathways to understand the functional networks that are targeted by ACS exposure.

METHODS
Study participants
Study participants were retrospectively identified through the Ontario Birth Study (OBS) [20] conducted at Sinai Health Systems (REB:17-0210-E, Toronto, Canada). All subjects provided informed consent upon OBS enrolment. All term-born infants that were exposed to ACS treatment (Celestone®, Betamethasone, single course: 12 mg x 2, 24 h apart) between 24–33 weeks gestation were identified. Subjects with indication of maternal use of chronic glucocorticoids, known intrauterine growth restriction, in vitro fertilization, maternal diabetes, and known infectious diseases were excluded. Only subjects for whom OBS had collected neonatal heel prick blood spot cards were included in this study (~40% of all OBS participants). As per OBS protocol, blood spot cards were collected at the time of routine heel pricks used for clinical diagnoses (24 h post-birth), so as to not impart additional invasive procedures for research purposes. We have previously shown that methylation profiles are resilient between whole blood and dried blood spot cards [21]. Identified case subjects (n = 14, 8 male, 6 female) represented all of the samples available (following removal of excluded participants) from the entire OBS repository of ~3000 participants and were matched to controls for maternal age, maternal BMI, parity, and foetal sex. Descriptive characteristics are shown in Table 1. All subjects and biospecimens were assigned unique identification numbers to protect patient identity from research personnel.

DNA preparation
Genomic DNA was extracted from dried blood spot cards using the GenSolve DNA COMPLETE Kit (GenTegra, Pleasanton, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Genomic DNA was quantified with Quant-iT Picogreen dsDNA assay (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA), and quality was assessed using TapeStation (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) at the Centre for Applied Genomics at the Peter Gilgan Centre for Research and Learning (Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada). Reduced Representation Bisulfite Sequencing (RRBS) libraries were prepared from 100 ng of high-quality dsDNA (DNA Integrity Number (DIN) greater than 7) using the Ovation RRBS Methyl-Seq System 1-16 (Tecan Genomics, Redwood City, CA, USA) and EpiTect Fast DNA Bisulfite kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer’s protocols. RRBS libraries were sequenced using Illumina’s NextSeq500 platform at the Donnelly Sequencing Centre (University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada), using single-end reads of 75 bp read lengths as per manufacturer protocol. Samples were sequenced in pooled multiplexes of 10, balanced for treatment condition to minimize potential batch effects.

Bioinformatic identification of differentially methylated sites
Sequenced reads were trimmed to remove Illumina adaptor sequences and low-quality reads with Phred quality scores <30 using Trim Galore (v 0.6.4, [23] and Bowtie2 (v2.3.4.3) [24]. Reads were then sorted by Samtools (v1.9) [25]. Aligned and sorted reads were analyzed by MethPipe [26, 27], to identify differentially methylated CpG sites (DMC) between ACS-treated and untreated samples at single-nucleotide resolution. Briefly, methpipe/methcounts obtained methylation levels at individual cytosines, which was used to perform beta-binomial regression analyses in the methpipe/rademth programme under the regression option. Identified CpG sites were adjusted (bins 1:200:1) based on neighbouring sites using methpipe/radmeth to obtain a FDR-corrected list of DMCs. Analysis was limited to DMCs with at least 30x coverage with ≥5% methylation difference between ACS-treated and control subjects and false discovery rates (FDR) < 0.05. Computations were performed on the Niagara supercomputer at the SciNet HPC Consortium [28].

Gene enrichment analysis
Differentially methylated sites were annotated to known genes to analyze their functional relevance. This was performed by referencing individual DMC coordinates on the human genome assembly (hg38) using UCSC’s genome browser (http://genome.ucsc.edu/). DMCs localized within gene bodies (genic) were annotated to the identified gene. As methylation changes in regulatory regions (promoters, enhancers, DNase-H3K4me3) present the most pronounced functional effects [29], DMCs localized within regulatory elements were also annotated to their gene targets. DNase-H3K4me3 regions refer to accessible regions of the chromatin that share biochemical signals similar to promoters, which can function as transcription factor binding sites. Regulatory elements were analyzed in two subsequent steps to identify target genes or interacting transcription factors. Target genes were identified by searching the unique GeneHancer

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of study participants.

|                          | ACS (n = 14) | Control (n = 14) | p-value |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
| Maternal Age Mean (SD)   | 34.7 (3.3)  | 33.6 (2.8)      | 0.36    |
| Parity                   | 0           | 6               | 0.42    |
|                          | 6           | 1               | 0.7     |
| 1                        | 4           | 7               | 0.99    |
| 2                        | 3           | 1               |         |
| 3                        | 1           | 0               |         |
| BMI Average BMI (SD)     | 27.3 (5.0)  | 26.6 (5.0)      | 0.76*   |
| GW at ACS Mean (SD)      | 27.9 (2.4)  | n/a             |         |
| Birthweight Grams (SD)   | 3427.3 (619.2) | 3678.3 (407.2) | 0.21    |
| Infant sex               | Male        | 8               | > 0.99  |
|                          | Female      | 6               |         |
| Mode of Delivery         | C/S         | 7               | 0.7     |
|                          | Vaginal     | 7               |         |

*P-value for average BMI was calculated using student t-test.
numbers (GH) of individual regulatory elements within the GeneCards database (genecards.org). These numbers are catalogued to find associations between the regulatory elements and target genes by calling on seven different genome-wide databases [30]. DNase-H3K4me3 regions and their binding transcription factors were identified by ENCODE Accession numbers (EH) for candidate cis-regulatory elements (cCRE), which indicate known interacting transcription factors and the cell type in which the interaction occurs, based on ChIP-seq experiments. ENCODE Accession numbers can be searched on version 2 of SCREEN: Search Candidate cis-Regulatory Elements by ENCODE (https://screen-v2.wenglab.org/) [31, 32].

Tissue-specific expression of genes were examined on the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) portal (https://gtexportal.org/home/) [33]. The identified genes were then used to perform an enriched biological pathways analysis to understand gene interactions using the STRING portal [34] (https://version11.string-db.org), which sources different databases (Gene Enrichment Ontology, KEGG, Reactome) to predict interactions. Pathways were analyzed separately for genes sets of hypermethylated and hypomethylated DMCs to better understand functional implications of their interactions. Significant enrichment was defined as FDR < 0.05.

RESULTS

Differentially methylated CpG sites

In total, 505 differentially methylated sites (DMCs) were identified in human neonatal blood following ACS treatment (≥5% methylation difference, FDR < 0.05 for n = 14/treatment), of which 231 sites were hypermethylated, representing 46%, and 274 were hypomethylated (54%) (Fig. 1). Full list of DMCs can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

Sites were visualized on the UCSC genome browser (hg38) to map their genomic features (genic, promoter, enhancer, DNase-H3K4me3). Not all DMCs were localized within known genomic regions. Annotated sites were localized to 44 genomic regions, 37 enhancer regions, 25 promoter regions and four DNase-H3K4me3 regions. In 30 instances, regulatory elements (promoter/enhancer/DNase-H3K4me3) were observed in the same region as intragenic segments.

To identify DMCs where the greatest differences in methylation events occurred, sites were reorganized to demonstrate the top 20 DMCs (Table 2). 15 sites, all hypomethylated (−25.91 to −43.25%), were localized within one DNase-H3K4me3 region (EH38E1382446) between chr1: 147078251-147078392 (141 base pairs). Examined in context of all 505 DMCs, region EH38E1382446 was observed in proximity to two additional DNase-H3K4me3 regions (EH38E1382445, EH38E1382449) and one promoter (E1382450), which were all hypomethylated (−7.89 to −43.25%, avg −22.55%). 35 DMCs were identified in this region (Fig. 2), spanning 1432 base-pairs (chr1: 147078133-147079565).

Gene set enrichment analysis

DMCs were annotated to known genes and/or regulatory features to understand the functional implications of the altered methylation. DMCs in regulatory regions (promoter, enhancer, DNase-H3K4me3) were annotated to their target genes, as described in methods above. All DNase-H3K4me3 regions identified were functional transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) as evidenced by ChIP-Seq experiments, reported on SCREEN (https://screen-v2.wenglab.org/).

In total, 219 genes were identified (Supplementary Table 2). 74 genes were annotated to hypermethylated DMCs, and 100 genes were annotated to hypomethylated sites. 45 genes were annotated to regions where methylation changes occurred in both directions. Of the top ten differentially methylated genes (HSPG2, USP48, CELA3B, SH3PX2A, NTM, YEATS2, MCF2L2, CAMK2N2, MAP6D1, PKP3) (Fig. 3), four genes (HSPG2, USP48, CELA3B, NTM) contained glucocorticoid response elements (GRE) within their promoter regions, and five genes (USP48, SH3PX2A, NTM, CAMK2N2, MAP6D1) are known to be highly expressed in the brain and have neurological roles (Table 3).

Gene set enrichment analysis of the identified gene set was performed using STRING (https://version11.string-db.org/), to identify shared biological functions. The entire set of genes observed together was significantly enriched (P = 0.000855) for transcription regulation (Reactome, FDR = 0.02). This analysis was repeated to assess the pathways independently enriched by hypermethylated and hypomethylated genes. Hypermethylated genes were similarly enriched (P = 0.0121) in pathways of transcription regulation (GO Molecular Function, FDR = 0.0063) and generic transcription (Reactome, FDR = 0.0036). Hypomethylated genes were enriched (P = 1.0e−05) for pathways of proteasome activity (GO Molecular Function, FDR = 0.01; KEGG, FDR = 0.03; UniProt, FDR = 0.03).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we have identified, for the first time, that ACS treatment results in profound changes in DNA methylation in human neonatal blood. A number of the genes that we have
identified were also differentially methylated in blood and hippocampus in guinea pigs following ACS treatment, and these enriched for pathways of neurodevelopment [19]. The greatest methylation differences were observed in a 1432 base-pair region where 35 DMCs were hypomethylated in the ACS exposed group and annotated to three DNase-H3K4me3 regions, which functioned as transcription factor binding sites (EH38E1382445, EH38E1382446, EH38E1382449) and one promoter (EH382450).

Fig. 2  Genomic features visualized relative to transcription factor binding site EH38E1382446 that was identified in the list of top 20 DMCs. The 1432 base-pair region including 35 hypomethylated DMCs is highlighted in blue. Vertical bars indicate individual DMCs that were identified. The 15 DMCs that were identified within EH38E1382446 are highlighted in purple. 4 DMCs highlighted by green bars are mapped to DNase-H3K4me3 EH38E1382445, navy bars (7 DMCs) are mapped to DNase-H3K4me3 EH38E1382449. Yellow bars show 4 DMCs that are not annotated to any known genomic features, and red bars show 4 DMCs that are mapped to promoter EH382450. (Image obtained from UCSC genome browser for hg38, region chr1:146,956,350-147,200,349).

Table 2. List of Top 20 differentially methylated CpG sites.

| Rank | Locus | FDR  | % Methylation difference | Genomic feature | Identifier | Associated gene |
|------|-------|------|--------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| 1    | 1:147078360 | 2.89E–07 | −43.25 |
| 2    | 1:147078341 | 1.66E–07 | −41.92 |
| 3    | 1:147078347 | 2.89E–07 | −40.91 |
| 4    | 1:147078274 | 8.25E–08 | −39.13 |
| 5    | 1:147078323 | 1.41E–07 | −37.93 |
| 6    | 1:21957008 | 2.66E–02 | 36.73 |
| 7    | 1:147078315 | 8.25E–08 | −33.02 |
| 8    | 1:147078303 | 8.25E–08 | −30.14 |
| 9    | 1:147078373 | 4.10E–07 | −29.77 |
| 10   | 1:147079539 | 7.33E–03 | −29.54 |
| 11   | 1:147078348 | 2.89E–07 | −28.86 |
| 12   | 1:147078392 | 5.00E–07 | −28.02 |
| 13   | 1:147080732 | 1.41E–07 | −27.71 |
| 14   | 1:147078342 | 1.68E–07 | −26.62 |
| 15   | 1:147078361 | 2.89E–07 | −26.40 |
| 16   | 1:147078251 | 6.51E–08 | −25.91 |
| 17   | 10:103661550 | 2.92E–07 | 25.23 |
| 18   | 12:110230084 | 5.85E–03 | −25.08 |
| 19   | 12:110230084 | 5.85E–03 | −24.96 |
| 20   | 11:132093969 | 6.03E–03 | 24.76 |

Top DMCs ranked by significance (lowest to highest FDR-values). Negative methylation difference indicates hypomethylation in the ACS-treated group compared to untreated controls. % methylation difference is calculated as case-control. Positive methylation difference indicates hypermethylation in the ACS group compared to untreated controls. IDs beginning with EH- refer to ENCODE cCRE Accession Numbers, and IDs starting with GH refer to GeneHancer IDs.
prognosis [41–43] possibly by regulating immune factors in regulating apoptosis such as CD8+ T-cell populations and IL-6 levels [44]. NFBP12 is also a gene implicated in cell proliferation, primarily involved in neural stem cell proliferation during cortical neurogenesis, leading to altered brain size [45]. Reduced proliferation in numerous cell types [46–48], including neural stem cells [48, 49] has been observed in response to high levels of glucocorticoid exposure, leading to reduced neuronal proliferation and brain volume and weight in rodents [48] and non-human primates [50]. Reduced hippocampal volume was maintained at 20 months of age [51] in non-human primates prenatally exposed to dexamethasone. In humans, cortical thinning of the rostral anterior cingulate cortex has been observed in 6-10 year-old children treated with ACS (and born at term) [52], suggesting that prenatal glucocorticoid exposure can result in long-term reduction of cellular proliferation in various brain regions. It is possible that hypomethylation of regulatory elements that mediate expression of NFBP12 and CHD1L persist throughout development to affect neuronal proliferation. The association of these genes to neurodevelopmental disorders that have been observed in ACS-exposed children such as cognitive disability and attention-deficit-hyperactive-disorder [53–55] makes them strong targets for further investigation.

Synaptic development, including synaptogenesis and synaptic plasticity, is another key component of normal brain development that can lead to altered outcomes in learning and memory [56, 57]. Glucocorticoid-mediated alterations in synaptic development have been shown in both in vitro and in vivo models [58–60]. As ACS is often administered during a window of high synaptic development [61], it is important to examine the effects of ACS on synaptogenic events.

**NTM (Neurotrimin)** is a member of a family of neural cell adhesion molecules that was one of the top ten differentially methylated genes in this study. Along with CDH4 (cadherin 4), which was also identified to be differentially methylated in both the current study and the previously conducted guinea pig study [19], these genes are known to be implicated in growth cone migration, axon guidance, and synapse formation and stabilization.

---

**Table 3. List of Top 10 differentially methylated genes.**

| Gene   | Locus              | Meth Diff % | Avg Meth % | Gene description                                                                 |
|--------|--------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| HSPG2  | chr1: 21956970-21957010 | 14.53–17.63 | 22.96      | Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycan 2                                                   |
| USP48  | chr1: 21956970-21957011 | 14.53–17.64 | 22.96      | Ubiquitin Specific Peptidase 48                                                  |
| CELA3B | chr1: 21956970-21957012 | 14.53–17.65 | 22.96      | Chymotrypsin Like Elastase 3b (Pancreatic endopeptidase E)                       |
| SH3PXD2A | chr10: 103661518-103661558 | 16.66–25.23 | 20.87     | SH3 and PX Domains 2A (tyrosine Kinase substrate w/ five SH3 domains)            |
| NTM    | chr11: 132093921-132094039 | 12.59–24.76 | 19.64      | Neurotrimin                                                                     |
| YEATS2 | chr1: 183776202    | –18.04      | –18.04     | YEATS Domain Containing 2                                                        |
| MCF2L2 | chr3: 183776203    | –18.04      | –18.04     | MCF.2 Cell Line Derived Transforming Sequence-Like 2                             |
| CAMK2N2| chr3: 183776204    | –18.04      | –18.04     | Calcium/Calmodulin Dependent Protein Kinase II Inhibitor 2                       |
| MAP6D1 | chr3: 183776205    | –18.04      | –18.04     | MAP6 Domain-Containing Protein 1                                                |
| PKP3   | chr1: 400486-403008 | –8.58–21.77 | –17.60     | Plakophilin 3                                                                   |

Top differentially methylated genes ranked by decreasing average methylation difference. Genes are identified with their chromosomal location (locus), the range of methylation difference observed at individual DMCs annotated to the gene, and the average methylation difference of the DMCs. Bolded are genes with known neurological roles.

---

**Fig. 3** Differentially methylated sites represented to display average methylation differences as a function of genomic regions. Bar graph of individual CpG sites that were differentially methylated in ACS-exposed subjects as compared to unexposed controls (DMC). Each bar represents a DMC, visualized across the genome displayed along the x-axis. Average methylation difference % is represented along the y-axis such that adjacent bars of same height represent DMCs that are located within the same region. Labelled are the top ten differentially methylated genes.
throughout development and into adulthood [62, 63]. However, altered regulation of NTM can lead to synaptic overgrowth and dysfunction, resulting in unexpected phenotypes in affected individuals. CAMK2N2 (Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II inhibitor 2), is another gene implicated in the regulation of synaptic plasticity that was strongly differentially methylated in response to ACS exposure. CAMK2N2 encodes for a gene which functions to inhibit the phosphorylation of AMPA receptors in the post-synaptic neuron by Camkii [64]. It was demonstrated in the male guinea pig hippocampus that prenatal exposure to betamethasone resulted in reduced levels of phosphorylated-Camkii (the active form), while exposed female offspring were resistant to reduced LTP events triggered by secondary stimulus by cortisol [65], suggesting that ACS may influence various pathways which regulate synaptic plasticity.

USP48 (ubiquitin specific peptidase 48) is of particular interest for its role as a deubiquitinating enzyme [66]. USP48 has been shown to be enriched in the post-synaptic dendrites of cortical and hippocampal neurons [67], as well as in lymphocytes (EBV-transformed lymphocytes) as demonstrated on the GTEx portal, while various members of the USP family have also been shown to be differentially methylated in the blood and hippocampus of guinea pigs following ACS exposure [19]. Protein ubiquitination is a post-translational modification that tags proteins for downstream interaction with the proteasome complex which can lead to protein degradation, endocytosis, or intracellular trafficking [68, 69]. Deubiquitinating enzymes such as USP48 remove ubiquitin from tagged proteins, thus preventing their degradation. The interaction between glucocorticoid exposure and the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) has been thoroughly described [70, 71], highlighting an increased expression of ubiquitin following glucocorticoid exposure [72–74]. Following a prenatal exposure such as ACS, the methylation changes in genes that regulate the UPS may have implications for differential programming of cell function across development. In immune cells, for example, protein (de)ubiquitination can regulate antigen degradation and presentation via specialized proteasome complexes called immunoproteasomes [75, 76]. Studies to delineate the involvement of the ubiquitin-proteasome system in relationship between glucocorticoid exposure and synaptic function will also be of particular interest. In a recent 2018 publication, Choi et al. reported that glucocorticoid exposure led to reduced intracellular transport of AMPAR to synaptic boutons due to ubiquitin-mediated destabilization of microtubules, which resulted in memory impairment in exposed animals [77]. In our study, MAP6P1 (MAP6 domain-containing protein 1) which encodes for a protein involved in stabilization of neuronal microtubules [78] was differentially methylated. The causal role of the MAP6 family of genes in neuronal microtubule stability and synaptic function was established in MAP6-KO mice that exhibited hypo-glutamatergy in hippocampal neurons [79], vesicle depletion in synaptic densities, and impaired LTP and LTD events, which were associated with behavioural differences such as hyperactivity, increased anxiety-like behaviours, and reduced social investigation [80]. Together, differential methylation of these genes and the enrichment of the proteasomal pathway indicate possible alterations to synaptic function in response to ACS, possibly mediated by the ubiquitin-proteasome system. Future examinations of this pathway and the genetic and protein moieties involved will provide a greater understanding of the role that ACS elicits on mediating postnatal synaptic function.

A strength of this study was the ability to acquire early samples from neonates 24 hours post birth. The methylation biomarkers identified here present a very early representation of ACS exposure, which may underlie the altered neurodevelopmental trajectories that have been identified in children exposed to ACS. The early time point also ensures that the methylation markers we identified are present prior to dynamic influences of the postnatal environment to the DNA methyolme [81]. While previous studies examining early time points have used cord blood for epigenetic investigation, the distinct methylation profile of nucleated red blood cells (nRBC) in cord blood [82] may significantly influence epigenetic findings [83]. The peripheral blood samples used in this study represent a time point with significantly reduced levels of circulating nRBCs [84], enabling unskewed analysis of methylation data. The relatively small sample size of this study does represent a limitation. However, the availability of these biospecimens, in a study that has recruited over 3000 women (OBS), remain limited. A larger sample size would have provided more power to perform multiple levels of analysis and examine factors such as sex-specific effects. It is also important to note, that many of the children of the OBS cohort are being followed into early childhood, and in future studies we will be positioned to examine the longer-term impact of ACS exposure on methylation patterns.

Our current ongoing studies examining targeted brain regions in guinea pigs following ACS exposure will delineate changes to not only DNA methylation, but also gene and protein expression to further elucidate the potential molecular pathways which may drive altered neurobehavioural outcomes.

This study has identified a DNA methylation signature following ACS exposure in term-born human neonatal blood. As many of the genes and pathways identified have been previously shown to be differentially methylated in both the blood and brain of juvenile guinea pigs exposed to ACS, the changes in the methylome that we have identified will enhance understanding of the biological events that occur in response to adverse exposure to prenatal glucocorticoids such as ACS or maternal stress during pregnancy. The peripheral biomarkers presented in this study may help to identify individuals who are most at risk of developing altered phenotypes and enable future studies to design targeted intervention strategies and therapies to prevent or ameliorate the effects following prenatal adversity.
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The datasets generated are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request and in accordance with the OBS guidelines.
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