Meis1 Regulates Nociceptor Development and Behavioral Response to Tactile Stimuli
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Nociceptors in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and trigeminal ganglia (TG) are necessary for transmitting pain and itch signals. However, the molecular mechanism regulating nociceptor development remains largely unknown. This study identifies that the transcription factor Meis1 is generally expressed in two groups of sensory neurons in the developing DRG. During prenatal and neonatal stages, approximately 2/3 of Meis1+ neurons are Runx1+ nociceptors, while 1/3 of Meis1+ neurons are NF200+ myelinated neurons. At postnatal stages, Meis1 expression in nociceptors is gradually reduced.

Here, we constructed a Meis1 conditional knockout mouse line to selectively delete Meis1 in Nav1.8 lineage nociceptors. Microarray analyses showed that differentially expressed genes in the Meis1 mutant DRG were enriched in pathways related to sensory perception of pain and nervous system development. In addition, Meis1 regulates the expression of some marker genes of Nppb+ neurons and C-LTMRs. Furthermore, Meis1 mutant mice exhibit behavioral deficits in response to light mechanical pain, static touch and chemical itch. Therefore, this study reveals that Meis1 is required to regulate the development of nociceptors.
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INTRODUCTION

Pain and itch are unpleasant sensations triggered by harmful stimuli that evoke a withdrawal or scratching reflex to avoid potential injury. Nociceptors located in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) and trigeminal ganglia (TG) are specialized primary sensory neurons responding to pain and itch. Single-cell transcriptome and function studies indicate that nociceptors in the DRG are divided into distinct subtypes that are recognized by the expression of a unique combination of marker genes. MAS-related GPR family member D (MrgprD)-expressing neurons mainly respond to mechanical pain and chemical itch induced by β-alanine (Cavanaugh et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2012). Two nonoverlapping subgroups of nociceptors express MAS-related GPR family member A3 (MrgprA3) and natriuretic peptide type B (Nppb). Both express a variety of itch-related molecules and transmit itch signals (Liu et al., 2009; Han et al., 2013; Mishra and Hoon, 2013; Usoskin et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2020). Tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) is expressed in unmyelinated C-fiber low threshold mechanoreceptors (C-LTMRs), which may be involved in touch (Li et al., 2011). Peptidergic nociceptors include diverse clusters expressing calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) and neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor type 1 (TrkA) (Usoskin et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2020). All of these distinct populations are derived from initial TrkA+ precursors.
A genomic screen of transcription factor expression in the embryonic DRG shows that a limited number of transcription factors are specifically expressed in early TrkA+ neurons, suggesting that they are necessary for controlling the differentiation of nociceptors. During the embryonic period, the runt-domain transcription factor Runx1 is selectively expressed in the majority of TrkA+ nociceptors. During neonatal and postnatal development, the dynamic expression of Runx1 regulates the hierarchical development of nociceptors. Approximately 50% of nociceptors switch off Runx1 and retain TrkA expression, finally differentiating into peptidergic nociceptors. Development of this subgroup is independent of Runx1 (Chen et al., 2006). However, the remaining nociceptors require Runx1 for proper development. The persistent expression of Runx1 is necessary for the differentiation of MrgprD+ and TH+ nociceptors, while the transient expression of Runx1 is required for the development of MrgprA3+ and Nppb+ pruriceptors (Abdel Samad et al., 2010; Lou et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2017). Furthermore, the zinc finger transcription factor Zfp521 is expressed in the TH+ subgroup and segregates TH+ C-LTRM from MrgprD+ neurons (Lou et al., 2015). The homeobox transcription factor Tlx3 is broadly expressed in DRG neurons and is necessary for the development of Runx1-dependent nociceptors (Lopes et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2017).

Myeloid ectopic viral integration site (Meis) transcription factors belong to the TALE (three amino acid loop extension) homeodomain family, including Meis1, Meis2 and Meis3. During organogenesis, Meis factors play pivotal roles in regulating the development of many organs and tissues, including the skeleton, limb, eye and cerebellum (Morales and Hatten, 2006; Owa et al., 2018; Delgado et al., 2021; Dupacova et al., 2021; López-Delgado et al., 2021). Moreover, mutation of Meis genes is linked to tumorigenesis, such as leukemia (Imamura et al., 2002a,b) and neuroblastoma (Speiker et al., 2001; Geerts et al., 2003). We found that Meis1 was expressed in a subpopulation of DRG neurons at the embryonic stage. However, the function of Meis1 during the development of somatosensory neurons is entirely unknown. Here, we constructed a conditional Meis1 knockout mouse line to selectively delete Meis1 expression in nociceptors. Our studies indicated that Meis1 is required to regulate the development of nociceptors. Furthermore, behavioral studies showed that loss of Meis1 gene impaired the behavioral response to light mechanical pain, static touch and chemical itch.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

The generation and genotyping of Nav1.8-Cre transgenic mice have been described previously (Agarwal et al., 2004). The morning that vaginal plugs were observed was considered embryonic Day 0.5 (E0.5), and then the pregnant females were fed separately. Both females and males were used in the studies. All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Beijing Institute of Biotechnology.

**Generation of Meis1 Floxed Mice**

A 12 kb genomic fragment containing Meis1 exon 8 from the BAC clone was cloned into the retrieval plasmid carrying the Diptheria toxin A (DTA) cassette for negative selection. The 5' LoxP site was inserted upstream of exon 8. A FRT-Neo-FRT-LoxP fragment was inserted downstream of exon 8 (Supplementary Figure 2). The Meis1 targeting vector contained a 6.4 kb 5’ recombination arm and a 3 kb 3’ recombination arm. This targeting vector was electroporated into the J1 embryonic stem (ES) cell line. The G418-resistant ES clones were selected to screen for targeted ES cells with long-range PCR. Two independently targeted ES clones were injected into blastocysts derived from C57BL/6 females. ChimERIC mice were mated with C57BL/6 mice to generate heterozygous mice. Germline transmission of the target allele was confirmed by PCR. Heterozygous mice were crossed with the Flpe deleter mouse line to remove the neo cassette. Mice carrying the floxed Meis1 allele were referred to as Meis1F. Meis1F mice were mated with Nav1.8-Cre transgenic mice to create Meis1F; Nav1.8-Cre mice. Genotyping PCR for Meis1F mice was performed with the primers 5’-GGGCTGATGCTTTAGTGGT-3’ and 5’-CCCG ATGGCTACTACCATGTGC-3’, and the wild-type allele produced a 484 bp band and the floxed allele produced a 570 bp band.

**In situ Hybridization and Immunofluorescence Staining**

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.4). DRGs were dissected and post-fixed at 4°C for 3–5 h. Tissues were dehydrated in 20% sucrose at 4°C overnight, embedded in O.C.T., and then they were stored at −80°C before use. The detailed procedures for in situ hybridization (ISH) and immunofluorescence (IF) staining have been described previously (Chen et al., 2006). Probes for TrkA, MrgprA3, MrgprB4, MrgprC11, MrgprD, Nppb, Sst, Cysltr2 and TH were used. The probe templates were amplified from cDNAs prepared from the mouse DRG. The probes were synthesized in vitro with a digoxigenin (Roche) label. The primary antibodies used for IF staining included rabbit anti-Runx1 (1:50, Abcam), rabbit anti-NF200 (1:1000, Sigma), and rabbit anti-CGRP (1:200, Peninsula) antibodies. The secondary antibodies included an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:1000, Molecular Probes), Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibody (1:1000, Molecular Probes), TSA plus Fluorescein Reagent (1:1000, AKOYA), TSA plus Cy3 Reagent (1:1000, AKOYA), and Cyanine5 Amplific action Reagent (1:500, AKOYA). All primary antibodies and secondary antibodies were incubated with sections overnight at 4°C and 1.5 h at RT, respectively. Images were collected using a Zeiss confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 800).

**RNAscope**

Multilabeling RNAscope was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Advanced Cell Diagnostics, ACD). The following probes were used: Meis1 (436361-C1, ACD), Meis2 (436371-C2, ACD), Wdfy2 (440031-C1, ACD) and Ceacam10 (424581-C2, ACD). An RNAscope multiplex
fluorescent detection reagent kit (323100, ACD) and RNA protein codetection assays (323180, ACD) were used.

**Microarray Analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from the cervical, thoracic and lumbar DRGs from ~2-month-old Meis1cko and control mice (*n* = 3 mice per genotype) using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The RNA samples were sent for microarray analysis at Beijing Kangpusen Biological Technology Company. General procedures were as follows: after quantification and qualification, the synthesis of double-stranded cDNA, in *vitro* transcription of labeled cRNA and hybridization to the GeneChip array were all performed according to standard protocols. Gene expression was analyzed using the Affymetrix mouse genome 430 v2.0 array scanned with the Affymetrix Scanner 3000. The Affymetrix GeneChip command console was used to analyze array images and obtain raw data. Next, Genespring software (version 12.5, Agilent Technologies) was employed to complete the basic analysis of the raw data. Differentially expressed genes were then identified based on fold changes as well as *P*-values calculated with *t*-tests. The threshold set for up- and down-regulated genes was a fold change >1.5 and a *P* < 0.05.

**Real-Time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from the cervical, thoracic and lumbar DRGs from ~2-month-old Meis1cko and control mice (*n* = 3 mice per genotype) using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript II RT (Invitrogen). Meis1 primers: 5′-ATCC ACTCGTTCAAGGAGGA-3′ and 5′-GTTGTCACAGCCATCA CCTT-3′. β-actin was used as control to normalize results. β-actin primers: 5′-AGATCAAGATCATGTCCCTCCCT-3′ and 5′-ACGCAGCTCAGTAACAGCTCCG-3′.

**Behavior Test**

Two- to three-month-old mutant and control littermates (20–30 g) of either sex were used. All behavioral tests were performed by an experimenter blinded to the genotype. The video recording was subsequently played back in slow motion to permit detailed measurements of behavioral responses directed toward the treated site. Itch and pain behavior experiments were performed as previously described (Huang et al., 2017).

For the chemical itch behavioral test, pruritic compounds were intradermally administered into the nape or cheek after mouse habituation. Behavioral responses were video recorded. The number of scratching bouts with the hindpaw and wiping bouts with the forepaw toward the injection site were counted. The following agents were used: LTD4 (5 µg/50 µl), SLIGRL-NH2 (66 µg/50 µl), chloroquine (200 µg/50 µl), 5-HT (30 µg/50 µl), Compound 48/80 (20 µg/50 µl), histamine (100 µg/10 µl), AITC (50 mM/10 µl) and capsaicin (20 µg/10 µl).

For the mechanical itch behavioral test, the nape and recorded the scratching bouts in 5 min.

For the cotton swab assay, the hindpaw plantar surface was stimulated by light stroking with a cotton swab, and the numbers of withdrawal responses in 5 trials with 1-min intervals were counted.

We placed mice on a hot plate, and the latency of hindpaw flinching/licking/jumping was measured. The temperature of the hot plate was set to 50, 52, and 55°C, and all animals were sequentially tested at each temperature with an interval of at least 5 min between tests. The cutoff time was set to 60 s for 50°C, 45 s for 52°C and 30 s for 55°C to avoid tissue injury.

The cold plate was set to 0°C to assess cold sensitivity. The onset of brisk forepaw flinching or hindpaw licking was assessed. For the acetone evaporation test, a drop of acetone was applied to the plantar hindpaw with a tube attached to a syringe. The time that mice spent licking and/or licking their hindpaws after acetone application was recorded.

For the chemical pain test, capsaicin (2.5 µg/10 µl) dissolved in 7% Tween-80 in 0.9% NaCl was administered intraplantarly. The time that mice spent licking and flinching after the capsaicin injection was measured for 3 min.

The spared nerve injury (SNI) model for neuropathic pain was established in adult control and Meis1cko mice (2–3 months) as described previously (Huang et al., 2017). Animals were subjected to the von Frey test at days 0–20 after lesioning. For CFA-induced inflammation, mice were briefly anesthetized with isoflurane, and 20 µl of complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA, Sigma–Aldrich) were injected into the hindpaw plantar surface. The von Frey test was performed on days 2, 3, and 6 after CFA treatment.

If the same animals were subjected to multiple behavioral tests, we firstly examined innocuous behavior, such as rotarod, von Frey and touch test, and then we performed one type of itch test 3 days later. The innocuous behavioral tests would have no carry over effect on the subsequent chemical or mechanical itch tests. A total of 253 adult mice were used for behavioral analysis in this study, including 180 males and 73 females.
Cell Counting and Statistical Analysis

DRGs were dissected from three pairs of mutant and control mice. Each DRG was used to prepare eight sets of adjacent sections at 12 µm thickness. Each set was processed for RNAscope, ISH or IF staining. Only cells containing nuclei and showing levels of expression or staining clearly above background were counted. Representative data from experiments that were replicated biologically at least three times with similar results are shown.

For assessments of itching behaviors and acute pain, averages and SEMs were calculated for each group. The difference between the mutant and control groups was subjected to a two-tailed, unpaired Student’s t-test, with p < 0.05 considered significant. For CFA-induced inflammatory and SNI-induced neuropathic pain, time-course measurements were analyzed using analysis of variance between groups (ANOVA) (within each group) and two-way repeated ANOVA (to compare groups), with p < 0.05 accepted as statistically significant. The results are presented as the means ± SEMs. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software.

RESULTS

Meis1 Expression Pattern in the DRG

We performed a detailed analysis of Meis1 expression in the DRG at various developmental stages to investigate its function in DRG development. TrkA+ precursor cells were first detected at embryonic day 11.5 (E11.5), whereas Meis1 was not expressed at this stage (data not shown). Meis1 mRNA expression was first detected in the lumbar DRG at E13.5 (Figure 1A). In embryonic stages, Runx1 is specifically expressed in most, if not all, nociceptors and plays a pivotal role in controlling the differentiation of nociceptive neurons. RNAscope plus immunostaining showed that 62.1% of Meis1+ neurons coexpressed Runx1, whereas only 8.1% of Runx1+ nociceptors colocalized with Meis1 at E13.5 (Figures 1A–E). The expression level of Meis1 in the DRG increased progressively at different embryonic stages. At E15.5, 16.5% Runx1+ neurons showed Meis1 mRNA expression (Figures 1F–J). By E17.5, Meis1 expression reached its maximum level and was detected in 28.9% of Runx1+ nociceptors (Figures 1K–O). From E13.5 to postnatal day 0.5 (P0.5), ~2/3 of Meis1+ neurons were colabeled with Runx1. After birth, the percentage of coexisting gradually decreased. At P7.5, 17.3% of Runx1+ nociceptors expressed Meis1, and 43.6% of Meis1+ neurons colocalized with Runx1 (Figures 1U–Y). Thus, Meis1 was dynamically expressed in a subset of Runx1 lineage nociceptors during development, suggesting that Meis1 may be involved in the differentiation of nociceptor subtypes.

CGRP is a classic molecular marker of peptidergic nociceptors. We found that 20.0% of Meis1+ neurons colocalized with CGRP at P0.5 (Supplementary Figures 1A–D). Neurofilament 200 (NF200) selectively labels large A-fiber myelinated neurons. Double staining for Meis1 and NF200 showed that 30.3% of Meis1+ neurons coexpressed NF200 at P0.5 (Supplementary Figures 1E–H), while 67.5% of Meis1+ neurons were Runx1+ nociceptors (Figures 1P–T). At P60, approximately all Meis1+ neurons coexpressed NF200 and were large in diameter. Consequently, two subgroups of Meis1-expressing neurons are identified during DRG development. One group consists of NF200+ myelinated neurons that persistently express Meis1, and the other group consists of Runx1+ nociceptors transiently expressing Meis1.

Generation of Meis1 Conditional Knockout Mice

Meis1 conventional knockout mice die before E14.5 due to extensive hemorrhaging and cardiac defects (Hisa et al., 2004) and are not suitable for determining the potential role of Meis1 in regulating DRG development at later stages. We constructed a mouse line containing a floxed Meis1 allele (referred to as Meis1F), in which exon 8 encoding part of the homeodomain is flanked by two LoxP sites and can be removed by Cre-mediated DNA recombinase, to overcome this limitation (Supplementary Figure 2). By crossing Meis1F mice with transgenic Nav1.8-Cre mice, in which Cre recombinase is driven from a genomic fragment derived from the Nav1.8 promoter region and is selectively expressed in most nociceptive neurons, we generated Meis1 conditional knockout mice (referred to as Meis1cko). Cre expression is first detected in Nav1.8-Cre mice at E16.5. Meis1 mRNA expression in DRG was examined using the RNAscope assay to verify the efficiency of the Meis1 gene deletion in mutant mice. Meis1 expression in Runx1+ nociceptors was significantly decreased in Meis1cko mice compared to control littermates (22.4% in control mice vs. 2.31% in Meis1cko mice at P0.5; 17.3% in control mice vs. 3.04% in Meis1cko mice at P7.5, Figure 2).

Altered Gene Expression in Meis1cko DRGs

We performed a microarray analysis to compare control and Meis1cko DRGs at the adult stage and to examine whether Meis1 contributes to the regulation of gene expression in DRG on a genome-wide scale. This analysis identified 64 upregulated and 81 downregulated genes (fold-change > 1.50, p < 0.05). The regulatory pathway analysis showed that differentially expressed (DE) genes were enriched in the sensory perception of pain, nervous system development, cell differentiation, regulation of apoptosis and metabolic processes (Table 1). A previous report showed that Meis1 modulates cell proliferation and differentiation by regulating metabolism (Kocabas et al., 2012). Our microarray data supported the hypothesis that Meis1 is involved in regulating the development of nociceptors.

Meis1 expression was markedly reduced in mutant DRGs but was not completely lost (Table 2). This finding was consistent with the fact that not all Meis1+ neurons were nociceptors. Meis2 is a member of the Meis family and is highly homologous to Meis1. In developing DRGs, nearly all Meis1+ neurons coexpressed Meis2 at different stages, and Meis1 was only expressed in a subset of Meis2+ neurons. RNAscope identified that Meis2 expression was similar between Meis1 mutants and control littermates at P0.5 and P60 (Supplementary Figure 3). Consistent with this finding, microarray data showed that Meis2...
expression was normal in Meis1cko DRGs. At P60, Meis1 expression was only detected in a small population of large neurons, while the expression in nociceptors was downregulated to levels not detectable (Supplementary Figure 3J).

Single-cell RNA sequencing and functional studies classify the somatosensory neurons in the DRG into distinct clusters. Specific marker genes were identified in each cluster. The expression of well-known markers of mechanoreceptors and proprioceptors was similar between the two genotypes. Unexpectedly, the expression of most nociceptor-related molecules was largely unaffected in Meis1cko DRGs. Cysltr2, the specific receptor for LTC4 (leukotriene C4) and its downstream metabolite LTD4 (leukotriene D4), is selectively expressed in Nppb+ neurons and is related to acute and chronic itch (Solinski et al., 2019; Voisin et al., 2021). Its expression was significantly downregulated in Meis1 mutants. Wfdc2 is specifically expressed in C-LTMRs which have been proposed to respond to light touch. Microarray data revealed an obvious decrease in Wfdc2 expression in Meis1cko DRGs.

We selected a number of marker genes for an in-depth analysis with in situ hybridization and RNAscope to further verify the microarray results. We focused on the expression of cluster-specific markers. As expected, the expression of multiple nociceptor markers, including Trpa1, Trpv1, Nppb, Sst, MrgprA3, MrgprB4, MrgprD and TrkA, was almost identical between the two genotypes (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 4). Consistent with microarray analyses, the number of Cysltr2-positive neurons was decreased in Meis1cko lumbar DRGs (9.1 ± 0.8 in control mice versus 6.3 ± 0.5 in Meis1cko mice, n = 3 mice per group; p = 0.008, Figures 3D–F). According to previous studies, the expression levels of C-LTMR markers in DRGs vary at different axial levels. The relative proportion of TH+ neurons

![Figure 1](image-url)
Comparing Meis1 expression between Meis1cko and control DRGs. Representative images of lumbar DRG sections costained with an antibody against the Runx1 protein (red) and Meis1 mRNA (green) in Meis1cko and control mice at P0.5 and P7.5. (A–H) 22.4% (684/3052 in control mice) and 2.31% (42/1818 in Meis1cko mice) of Runx1+ neurons coexpressed Meis1 at P0.5. (I–P) A total of 17.3% (370/2142 in control mice) and 3.04% (33/1086 in Meis1cko mice) of Runx1+ neurons coexpressed Meis1 at P7.5. Solid lines indicate Meis1+ neurons coexpressing Runx1. Meis1 was expressed in large neurons indicated with a dotted line. DAPI-stained cell nuclei appeared blue. Quantitative data are shown to the right of the panels. Scale bar: 50 µm.

Table 1 | Enriched biological processes for differentially expressed genes in Meis1cko DRGs.

| GO biological process term | GENE |
|----------------------------|------|
| Sensory perception of pain | P2rx7; Ednrb; Grik1; Cystlr2; Galr1; Mmp2; Klf5c; Gng4; Cacna2d2 |
| Metabolic process | Fbp2; Aktip; Gloe; Ctsl; Klf5c; Mut; Tvp1; Aarsk |
| Nervous system development | Egr3; Sox11; Semn3b; Grik1; Nedd4; Tsc1; Ednrb; Sox17 |
| Regulation of apoptosis | Prdx2; Card10; Traf4; Park2; P2rx7; Als2 |
| Cell Differentiation | Prdx2; Paqr5; Wnt4; Cntrn2; Meis1 |

Our findings suggested that Meis1 may play different roles in regulating the development of C-LTMR at different axial levels. We also examined the expression of a subset of markers, including MrgprD, MrgprA3, Nppb and Cysltr2, to further clarify whether Meis1 regulates the expression of other cluster-specific markers via this mechanism (Supplementary Figure 5). We observed significantly decreased Cysltr2 expression in the thoracic DRG of Meis1cko mice (6.9 ± 0.6 in control mice vs. 5.0 ± 0.5 in Meis1cko mice, n = 3 mice per group; p = 0.014; p < 0.0001, Figures 4O–U), while the expression of Ceacam10 in thoracic and lumbar DRGs was unaffected in Meis1cko mice. Our findings suggested that Meis1 may play different roles in regulating the development of C-LTMR at different axial levels. We also examined the expression of a subset of markers, including MrgprD, MrgprA3, Nppb and Cysltr2, to further clarify whether Meis1 regulates the expression of other cluster-specific markers via this mechanism (Supplementary Figure 5). We observed significantly decreased Cysltr2 expression in the thoracic DRG of Meis1cko mice (6.9 ± 0.6 in control mice vs. 5.0 ± 0.5 in Meis1cko mice, n = 3 mice per group; p = 0.014;
The expression of Meis1cko was similar between control and Supplementary Figures 5P–R), yet its expression was normal in the cervical DRG. The expression of MrgprD, MrgprA3 and Nppb was similar between control and Meis1cko DRGs at the cervical and thoracic levels (Supplementary Figure 5). Thus, Meis1 plays a role in controlling a portion of molecular features of Nppb+ neurons and C-LTMRs, including the expression of Cysltr2, Cecam10 and Wdfc2.

We compared control and Meis1 mutant DRGs at P0.5 and P7.5, when the expression of a few nociceceptor-related markers is initiated in wild-type mice, to investigate whether Meis1 regulates the establishment of a distinct subgroup of nociceptors. At P0.5, we examined the expression of MrgprA3, MrgprC11 and MrgprD. At P7.5, Nppb, Sst, and Cysltr2 were first detected (Supplementary Figure 6). The mRNA expression levels of these markers were identical in control and mutant DRGs. Therefore, Meis1 is not required to induce the expression of these markers and regulates the initial differentiation of nociceptors.

### Impaired Pain Behavior in Meis1cko Mice

Microarray data showed that DE genes were strongly related to the pain pathway. We performed a series of acute and chronic pain assays in Meis1cko mice using Meis11/1 littermates as controls to examine whether loss of Meis1 in nociceptors affects the behavioral response to noxious stimuli. The rotarod test showed that the sensorimotor coordination of Meis1cko mice was similar to that of control littermates (Figure 5A). The response of mutant mice to intense mechanical pain induced by a pinprick was unimpaired (Figure 5B). In contrast, the threshold for the response to light mechanical pain delivered by von Frey filaments was increased in Meis1cko mice compared to control mice (0.43 ± 0.044 g in control mice vs. 0.58 ± 0.046 g in Meis1cko mice, n = 12 mice per group; p = 0.0187; Figure 5C). Next, we examined the response of mutant mice to innocuous touch, including static and dynamic stimulation. A sticky tape was attached to the hindpaw plantar surface to examine the static touch response of glabrous skin. The latency to remove the tape was obviously increased in mutant mice (72 ± 11 s for control mice vs. 101 ± 10 s for Meis1cko mice, n = 12 mice per group; p = 0.0445; Figure 5D), suggesting an impaired response to static touch. In addition, we placed sticky tape on the back of the mouse and counted the number of responses to the tape to assess the static touch response of the hairy skin. The response to this stimulus was moderately but not significantly decreased in Meis1cko mice (61 ± 8 in control mice vs. 46 ± 8 in Meis1cko mice, n = 10 mice per group; p = 0.187; Figure 5E). Furthermore, Meis1cko mice showed no change in response to stroking the hindpaw plantar surface with cotton swabs, indicating that a loss of Meis1 function does not affect the dynamic touch response (Figure 5F). Overall, the response of the foot to light mechanical pain and static touch was impaired in Meis1cko mice.

We then examined the response of mutant mice to cold and hot stimuli. Acetone evaporation on the skin rapidly reduces skin temperature to ~17°C, which is used to assess responses to innocuous cooling. Noxious cold and hot pain behaviors were examined with a cold plate assay and hot plate assay, respectively. Meis1cko mice exhibited no change in sensitivity to cold and heat stimuli compared to control littermates. The response to capsaicin-induced chemical pain was also not different between the two genotypes (Figures 5G–J). Both inflammatory and neuropathic pains induce chronic allodynia, and pain may be evoked by innocuous tactile stimuli. Inflammation in both control and Meis1cko mice was induced by injecting complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) into the plantar pad of the hindpaw to establish inflammatory pain, as indicated by similar degrees of swelling in the hindpaws. Mechanical allodynia was not significantly different between control and Meis1cko mice (Figure 5K). We used the spared nerve injury (SNI) model to assess neuropathic pain. The development of mechanical allodynia following SNI remained intact in Meis1cko mice compared with control littermates (Figure 5L). In summary, the deletion of Meis1 in Nav1.8 lineage nociceptors specifically impaired the response of glabrous skin to static touch and light mechanical pain but not intense mechanical pain, mechanical allodynia, or thermal and chemical pain.

### Changed Response to Chemical Itch in Meis1 Mutants

We injected distinct pruritogens to induce chemical itch and assess whether the molecular defects in the Meis1cko DRG would affect itch behavior. LTD4 is an endogenous pruritogen evoking itch through the Cysltr2 receptor. Intradermal injection of LTD4 in the nape region induced a robust scratch response in control mice, while scratch bouts were obviously reduced in Meis1cko mice (136 ± 17 in control mice vs. 71 ± 10 in Meis1cko mice, n = 8 mice per group, p = 0.0046; Figure 6A), consistent with the decreased expression of Cysltr2 in mutant DRG. In contrast, a marginal but significant increase in the site-directed scratching response induced by the peptide SLIGRL-NH₂ was observed in Meis1cko mice compared to control littermates (165 ± 13 in control mice vs. 216 ±

---

**TABLE 2 | Microarray analysis showing the transcript reads of a subset of cluster-specific marker genes.**

| Classes | Gene | Control | Meis1cko | Foldchange | P-value |
|---------|------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Microarray analysis showing the transcript reads of a subset of cluster-specific marker genes. | Transcript reads | | | | |
| Classes | Gene | Control | Meis1cko | Foldchange | P-value |
|---------|------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| Meis1 | 115 | 67 | 0.58 | 0.0214 |
| Meis2 | 340 | 317 | 0.93 | 0.7481 |
| Nppb | Cysttr2 | 111 | 62 | 0.56 | 0.0099 |
| Nppb | 1,661 | 1,490 | 0.90 | 0.5241 |
| Sst | 319 | 275 | 0.86 | 0.5665 |
| Fdhf | 203 | 149 | 0.73 | 0.1840 |
| TH | Wdfc2 | 260 | 96 | 0.37 | 0.1003 |
| TH | 374 | 288 | 0.77 | 0.2750 |
| Tafa4 | 1,179 | 1,049 | 0.89 | 0.4705 |
| Zfp521 | 1,074 | 1,049 | 0.89 | 0.5241 |
| 319 | 275 | 0.86 | 0.5665 |
| 1,436 | 1,027 | 0.72 | 0.1840 |
| 111 | 62 | 0.56 | 0.0099 |
| Meis1 | 340 | 317 | 0.93 | 0.7481 |
| Meis2 | 115 | 67 | 0.58 | 0.0214 |

---
FIGURE 3 | Expression of a subset of known cluster-specific markers in Meis1cko and control lumbar DRGs. ISH with the indicated probes on L4 or L5 lumbar DRG sections from 3 pairs of P60 Meis1cko and control mice. (D–F) Cystitr2 expression were significantly decreased from 9.1 ± 0.8 in control DRGs to 6.3 ± 0.5 in Meis1cko DRGs, p = 0.008. (A–C) Nppb, (G–I) Sst, (J–L) MrgprA3, (M–O) MrgprB4, (P–R) MrgprD, (S–U) TH, and (V–X) TrkA expression. Error bars represent the SEM. Significant differences were determined using unpaired Student’s t-test: **p < 0.01 ns, Not significant. Scale bar: 50 µm.

DISCUSSION

Meis1 Is Required for the Late Differentiation of Nociception Subtypes

DRG neurons originate from neural crest cells that migrate from the roof plate of the fusing neural tube at the early embryonic stage. In the neurogenic phase, the neuronal determination gene Neurogenin 2 (Ngn2) promotes the generation of TrkB+ mechanoreceptor precursors and TrkC+ proprioceptor precursors, while Ngn1 is required for the formation of most TrkA+ nociceptor precursors (Ma et al., 1999). At E9.5, all sensory neurons initiate the expression of the transcription factors Brn3a and Islet1, which terminate neurogenesis and promote the induction of differentiation (Sun et al., 2008; Lanier et al., 2009). Runx1 mRNA expression was first detected at E12.5. During embryonic stages, most TrkA+ nociceptors colocalize with Runx1, which plays a pivotal role in controlling the development of nociceptors. Conditional loss of Runx1 in Nav1.8 lineage neurons impairs the differentiation of multiple subgroups of nociceptors, including MrgprD+, MrgprA3+, Nppb+ and TH+ neurons (Abdel Samad et al., 2010; Lou et al., 2015; Qi et al., 2017). Meis1 expression was first detected at E13.5, and the expression level gradually increased from E13.5 to E17.5. The relatively late upregulation of Meis1 strongly suggests that Meis1 is not involved in the generation and early differentiation of
nociceptors. Consistent with this hypothesis, the number and fate of Meis1cko DRG neurons were similar to those of control neurons. Our data showed that the molecular marker expression of various sensory neuron subtypes was largely unaffected in Meis1cko mice. Remarkably, Meis1 mutation downregulated the expression of some late molecular markers, such as Cysltr2, Wfdc2 and Ceacam10. Cysltr2 was first detected in the wild-type DRG at P7.5 (Supplementary Figure 4), while Ceacam10 and Wfdc2 were first detected in the wild-type DRG at P9.5 (data not shown). Furthermore, Meis1 mutation impairs the behavioral response to static touch, light mechanical pain and chemical itch. Consequently, these data suggest that Meis1 may regulate the late differentiation and maturation of sensory neuron subtypes.

The Runx1-dependent transcription factor Zfp521 was detected in DRGs after E13.5 and was mainly expressed in TH+ C-LTMRs at P30. During development, Zfp521 is required...
FIGURE 5 | Attenuated response to static touch and light mechanical pain in Meis1cko mice. (A) The rotarod assay. Control and Meis1cko mice showed identical latencies to fall off the rotarod (n = 5 control mice, n = 4 Meis1cko mice; p > 0.05). (B) Acute mechanical pain assessed using the pinprick test. Control and Meis1cko mice showed no difference in the latency to respond with a pinprick (n = 5 control mice, n = 4 Meis1cko mice; p > 0.05).
to control the molecular identities of C-LTMRs and segregate TH+ neurons from MrgrpD+ neurons (Lou et al., 2015). Meis1 is also involved in regulating the development of TH+ C-LTMRs, including the expression of Wdr2 and Ceacam10. Nevertheless, TH and TAFA4 expression, which were markedly downregulated in both Runx1cko and Zfp521cko mice (Lou et al., 2013, 2015), were largely unaffected in Meis1cko mice. Therefore, the mechanism by which Meis1 controls the development of C-LTMRs is different from that of Runx1 and Zfp521.

Alignment of the DNA sequence in Meis genes shows high homology between Meis1 and Meis2 genes. These genes encode highly similar proteins. Both Meis1 and Meis2 constitutive knockout mice are embryonically lethal due to extensive hemorrhaging and cardiovascular defects. During eye development, Meis1 and Meis2 functionally compensate for each other, indicating a redundant relationship. In addition, deletion of both genes results in a more serious deficiency in the limb and the vertebrate axial skeleton compared to single mutation (Delgado et al., 2021; Dupacova et al., 2021; Lopez-Delgado et al., 2021). In the developing DRG, almost all Meis1-expressing neurons coexpress Meis2, and Meis1 is expressed in a subset of Meis2+ neurons. Our findings prompted us to hypothesize that the lack of severe phenotypic defects in the Meis1 single mutant is likely due

**FIGURE 5** | Meis1cko mice showed no significant difference (n = 8 mice per group; p > 0.05). (A) Von Frey assay. Meis1cko mice showed a significantly higher withdrawal threshold than control littermates, and the threshold was increased from 0.43 ± 0.044 g in control mice to 0.58 ± 0.046 g in Meis1cko mice (n = 12 mice per group; p = 0.0187). (B) The latency to remove the sticky tape on the hindpaw was 72 ± 11 s for control mice and 101 ± 10 s for Meis1cko mice (n = 12 mice per group; p = 0.0445). (C) The latency to remove the sticky tape on the hindpaw was 72 ± 11 s for control mice and 101 ± 10 s for Meis1cko mice (n = 12 mice per group; p = 0.0445). (D) Number of scratching bouts to remove adhesive tape on nape hairy skin (61 ± 8 for control mice vs. 46 ± 8 for Meis1cko mice, n = 10 mice per group; p = 0.187). (E) Percent response to light stroking with a cotton swab (n = 12 mice per group; p > 0.05). (F) Sensory response to light stroking with a cotton swab (n = 12 mice per group; p > 0.05). (G) Meis1cko mice showed normal response to CFA-induced inflammatory pain (two-way repeated ANOVA, n = 7 mice per group; p > 0.05). (H) Meis1cko mice showed a normal response to SNI-induced neuropathic pain (two-way repeated ANOVA, n = 6 control mice, n = 8 Meis1cko mice; p > 0.05). (I) The time that mice spent licking and flinching after the intraplantar capsaicin injection (n = 5 mice per group; p > 0.05). (J) Hot plate assay. No statistically significant difference was observed between control and Meis1cko mice at 50, 52, and 55°C (n = 12 mice per group; p > 0.05). (K) Meis1cko mice showed a normal response to LTD4-evoked inflammatory pain (two-way repeated ANOVA, n = 7 mice per group; p > 0.05). (L) Meis1cko mice showed a normal response to LTD4-evoked inflammatory pain (two-way repeated ANOVA, n = 6 control mice, n = 8 Meis1cko mice; p > 0.05). Error bars represent SEM. (A–J) Significant differences were determined using unpaired Student’s t-test: *p < 0.05 ns, Not significant.

**FIGURE 6** | Attenuated response to LTD4-evoked itching in Meis1cko mice. (A) The number of scratching events induced by LTD4 was significantly reduced in Meis1cko mice (136 ± 17 in control mice vs. 71 ± 10 in Meis1cko mice, n = 8 mice per group; p = 0.0046). (B) Scratching bouts induced by SLGRL-NH2 were marginally but significantly increased in Meis1cko mice (165 ± 13 in control mice vs. 216 ± 11 in Meis1cko mice, n = 10 mice per group; p = 0.0087). (C–F) Control and Meis1cko mice showed comparable scratching behavior in response to an injection of chloroquine (n = 8; (G), 5-HT (n = 9; (D)), or Compound 48/80 (n = 9; (E)) on the nape of mice, and histamine (n = 6; (F)) in the cheek. (G,H) Control and Meis1cko mice showed a normal response to an injection of capsaicin (n = 6; (G)) and ATC (n = 12; (H)) in the cheek. (I) The shaved napes were stimulated with different von Frey filaments to assess mechanical itch (n = 18 control mice, n = 20 Meis1cko mice). Error bars represent SEM. Significant differences were determined using unpaired Student’s t-test: **p < 0.01 ns, Not significant.
Meis1 Regulates the Development of C-LTMRs Along the Anterior-Posterior Axis

During skeleton and limb development, Meis proteins collaborate with position factors to establish anterior-posterior (AP) and proximo-distal (PD) patterning, which provide critical spatial information for body planning and the progressive development of distinct body segments. The sequential expression and activation of Hox during axial elongation is generally considered the translation of temporal information into spatial information (Deschamps and Duboule, 2017). ChIP-seq analysis shows that genomic Hox-binding sites highly overlap with Meis-binding sites, suggesting that Hox proteins bind to DNA by directly or indirectly interacting with Meis proteins. On the other hand, Meis-binding sites are also detected in the vicinity of Hox genes, suggesting that Meis proteins may regulate Hox gene transcription. Temporally and spatially dynamic Meis expression in limb buds controls the timing of activation and position of the HoxA complex, which are necessary for establishing limb PD patterning and proximal segments (Delgado et al., 2020). Moreover, Meis proteins are required to regulate the expression and activation of several patterning genes, including Hoxd9, Hoxd13 and Hand2, which establish forelimb AP patterning (Delgado et al., 2021). Deletion of both Meis1 and Meis2 leads to limb agenesis. In addition, skeleton AP patterning is mediated by the Hox-Meis-Pbx complex (López-Delgado et al., 2021). Loss of Meis1 and Meis2 function produces axial skeletal defects in the cervical and thoracic regions, including anterior homeotic transformation of the cervical vertebrae and rib mispatterning. Taken together, Meis proteins play different roles at distinct axial levels. The development of the spinal cord and DRG is also controlled by AP patterning. The development stage of the anterior spinal cord segments occurs earlier than that of the posterior part. The relative proportions of various DRG neuron subtypes differ in distinct segments along the AP axis, such as C-LTMRs and proprioceptors (Sharma et al., 2020). In the present study, the expression of Wldc2 and Cecam10 in the Meis1cko cervical DRG was markedly decreased compared to that in the control DRG, yet their expression in the thoracic DRG was largely unchanged in Meis1 mutants, suggesting that Meis1 may regulate the development of C-LTMRs along the AP axis. Our microarray data show that the expression levels of Hox and Pbx genes are similar between Meis1cko and control DRGs, indicating that Meis1 is not required for regulating the expression of these position factors. Further study is required to identify the molecular mechanism by which Meis proteins regulate the development of the DRG along the AP axis.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/, GSE199051.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal Care and Use Committee of Beijing Institute of Biotechnology.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ZC performed the research, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. CH, FL, XJ, and YH performed the research. CC analyzed data and supervised the research. ZL designed, supervised the research, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Rohini Kuner for generously providing the Nav1.8-Cre mice.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnmol.2022.901466/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Figure 1 | Meis1 expression pattern in the DRG. Representative images of lumbar DRG sections costained with the markers CGRP (green), NF200 (green) and Meis1 mRNA (red) in wild-type mice at P0.5. (A-D) A total of 20.0% (144/719) of Meis1+ neurons colocalized with CGRP. (E-H) A total of 30.3% (184/607) of Meis1+ neurons coexpressed NF200. DAPI-stained cell nuclei appeared blue. Quantitative data are shown to the right of the panels. Scale bar: 50 μm.

Supplementary Figure 2 | Diagram showing the construction of a Meis1 conditional knockout mouse line. (A) A neo cassette flanked by two Frt sites and exon 8 (E8) flanked by LoxP sites were designed. By crossing Fpe and Nav1.8-cre transgenic mice, Meis1 conditional knockout mice were generated.
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