Terlipressin May Decrease In-Hospital Mortality of Cirrhotic Patients with Acute Gastrointestinal Bleeding and Renal Dysfunction: A Retrospective Multicenter Observational Study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Acute gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) rapidly reduces effective blood volume, thereby precipitating acute kidney injury (AKI). Terlipressin, which can induce splanchnic vasoconstriction and increase renal perfusion, has been recommended for acute GIB and hepatorenal syndrome in liver cirrhosis. Thus, we hypothesized that terlipressin might be beneficial for cirrhotic patients with acute GIB and renal impairment.

Methods: In this Chinese multi-center study, 1644 cirrhotic patients with acute GIB were retrospectively enrolled. AKI was defined according to the International Club of Ascites (ICA) criteria. Renal dysfunction was defined as serum creatinine (sCr) $\geq 133$ $\mu$mol/L at admission and/or any time point during hospitalization. Incidence of renal impairment and inhospital mortality were the primary end-points.
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Results: The incidence of any stage ICA-AKI, ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3, and renal dysfunction in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB was 7.1%, 1.8%, and 5.0%, respectively. The inhospital mortality was significantly increased by renal dysfunction (14.5% vs. 2.2%, \( P < 0.001 \)) and ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 (11.1% vs. 2.8%, \( P = 0.011 \)), but not any stage ICA-AKI (5.7% vs. 2.7%, \( P = 0.083 \)). The in-hospital mortality was significantly decreased by terlipressin in patients with renal dysfunction (3.6% vs. 20.0%, \( P = 0.044 \)), but not in those with any stage ICA-AKI (4.5% vs. 6.0%, \( P = 0.799 \)) or ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 (0.0% vs. 14.3%, \( P = 0.326 \)).

Conclusion: Renal dysfunction increased the in-hospital mortality of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB. Terlipressin might decrease the in-hospital mortality of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB and renal dysfunction.

Trial Registration: NCT03846180 (https://clinicaltrials.gov).
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INTRODUCTION

Acute gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) is an urgent and life-threatening complication of liver cirrhosis with a short-term mortality of 15–20% [1]. Traditionally, the main goals of treatment include control of acute bleeding and prevention of rebleeding [2–5]. Terlipressin, somatostatin, and octreotide, which have similar treatment efficacy, have been recommended as the standard choice of vasoactive drugs for the management of acute GIB in liver cirrhosis according to the current consensus and guidelines [2–5].

After an acute massive GIB episode, effective blood volume can be significantly decreased, which will reduce renal blood flow, thereby potentially leading to the development of acute kidney injury (AKI) [6]. The negative effect of renal impairment on the outcomes of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB has been increasingly recognized [7, 8]. Terlipressin acts by binding V1 receptors which reside on the arterial smooth muscle within the splanchnic circulation, and then improves effective blood volume by inducing splanchnic vasoconstriction and increasing renal perfusion [9]. Accordingly, terlipressin is also recommended for the management of hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) [10, 11]. By contrast, it has been reported that somatostatin and octreotide have no beneficial effect on renal plasma flow and glomerular filtration rate [12–15]. In the present study, we tested the hypothesis that terlipressin was potentially beneficial for improving the outcome of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB and renal dysfunction.

METHODS

Study Design

TORCH (i.e., Terlipressin vs. somatostatin/Octreotide on effect of Renal function in Cirrhotic patients with acute gastrointestinal Hemorrhage) is an investigator-initiated retrospective multi-center study across 13 centers from 8 provinces or municipalities in China, which aimed to explore the effect of terlipressin on renal function and in-hospital mortality in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB. The study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the General Hospital of Northern Theater Command, which is the principal affiliation of this study. The Approval No. was k (2019) 01. This study was registered in the ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03846180) and launched on February 16, 2019. The data collection at each participating center ended on April 30, 2019. The accuracy of the data was checked in May 2019.

The medical records collected from January 2010 to December 2018 were reviewed by the investigators at each center. Patients of any age and gender were included in this study if they met the following criteria: (1) diagnosis of liver cirrhosis based on the history of chronic liver diseases, clinical manifestations, laboratory tests, imaging, and histology, if necessary; (2) acute GIB from any source; and (3) treatment with terlipressin and/or somatostatin/octreotide. Criteria for exclusion were as follows: (1) diagnosis of parenchymal nephropathy; (2) missing serum creatinine (sCr) at admission; (3) duration of terlipressin or somatostatin/octreotide shorter than 3 days [4]; (4) missing sCr after vasoactive drugs; (5) transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt, splenectomy, surgical shunt, or liver transplantation; and (6) diagnosis of ischemic hepatitis, which was defined as an increase in either alanine aminotransferase (ALT) or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) to a level of more than 10 times the upper limit of normal [16]. Patients who did not undergo gastrointestinal endoscopy nor those with comorbidities and malignancy were deliberately excluded.

Data Collection

The primary data were collected as follows: age, gender, etiology of liver cirrhosis, history of GIB, clinical manifestations of acute GIB, ascites, hepatic encephalopathy, hepatocellular carcinoma, mean arterial pressure, sCr at admission and after treatment, other laboratory tests at admission [i.e., hemoglobin (Hb), platelet count, total bilirubin, serum albumin, ALT, AST, sodium, and international normalized ratio (INR)], Child–Pugh score, Child–Pugh class, model for end-stage liver disease (MELD), vasoactive drugs during hospitalization [i.e., terlipressin, somatostatin, and octreotide], upper gastrointestinal endoscopic examinations...
and treatment, blood transfusion, 5-day rebleeding and death, and in-hospital death.

**Diagnosis and Definitions**

Acute GIB was defined as hematemesis, coffee ground emesis, melena, and hematochezia within 5 days before admission. The etiology of acute GIB mainly included portal hypertension-related GIB (i.e., esophageal variceal bleeding, gastric variceal bleeding, and portal hypertensive gastropathy) and non-portal hypertension-related GIB (i.e., peptic ulcer and unclear reasons).

The severity of acute GIB was evaluated according to the clinical manifestations of GIB (hematemesis/coffee ground emesis or melena/hematochezia) at admission [17], Hb concentration (≤ 70 g/L or ≥ 70 g/L) at admission, systemic hemodynamic status [systolic blood pressure (BP) < 90 mmHg or ≥ 90 mmHg, heart rate ≥ 100 beats per minute (b.p.m.) or < 100 b.p.m.] at admission, and blood transfusion (yes or no) during hospitalization.

AKI was defined as an absolute increase in sCr ≥ 26.5 μmol/L within the first 48 h or a percentage of increase in sCr ≥ 50% within the prior 7 days from baseline according to the criteria of the International Club of Ascites (ICA) [10]. sCr at baseline was defined as the sCr value obtained at admission, because sCr before admission was rarely available in patients developing acute episodes of GIB. AKI stage 1 was defined as an increase in sCr ≥ 26.5 μmol/L or ≥ 1.5 to 2-fold from baseline, including AKI stage 1A (sCr ≤ 133 μmol/L) and 1B (sCr > 133 μmol/L); AKI stage 2 was defined as an increase in sCr > 2 to 3-fold from baseline; AKI stage 3 was defined as an increase in sCr > 3-fold from baseline or sCr ≥ 353.6 μmol/L with an acute increase in sCr ≥ 26.5 μmol/L or initiation of renal replacement therapy. Initial AKI stage was defined as the one at the first time of developing AKI during hospitalization. Progression or no progression was determined by the AKI stage nearest to discharge as compared with the initial AKI stage.

Renal dysfunction was defined as sCr > 133 μmol/L at admission and/or any time point during hospitalization in this study, which was modified according to the previous studies [7, 8].

**Outcomes**

The study outcomes mainly included: (1) the incidence of ICA-AKI, ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3, and renal dysfunction in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB, (2) the association of ICA-AKI, ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3, and renal dysfunction with in-hospital mortality of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB, and (3) the effect of terlipressin on in-hospital mortality of patients with ICA-AKI, ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3, and renal dysfunction.

**Statistical Analyses**

Continuous variables were expressed as median (range) and compared using the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test. Categorical variables were expressed as frequency (percentage) and compared using the Chi-square test. Subgroup analyses were performed according to the etiology and severity of acute GIB. Cumulative survival rates were calculated by the Kaplan–Meier curves and compared by using the log-rank test. A two-tailed P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS 20.0 (IBM, College Station, TX, USA) statistical package and Stata/SE 12.0 (Stata, College Station, TX, USA) software were employed for all statistical analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

A total of 1644 cirrhotic patients with acute GIB were included. Their median age was 57 (range 18–91) years and most were male (1155, 70.3%) (Table 1). The most common etiology of liver cirrhosis was hepatitis B viral infection (853, 51.9%) followed by alcohol abuse (407, 24.8%). A majority of patients had Child–Pugh class B (922, 57.9%). Median MELD score at admission was 11.55 (range 6.43–39.31). As for the use of vasoactive drugs, 746 (45.4%), 1253 (76.2%), and 850 (51.7%) patients received terlipressin, somatostatin, and octreotide, respectively.
### Table 1 Characteristics and outcomes of overall patients

| Variables                          | No. patients | Values                  |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)                        | 1644         | 57.00 (18.00–91.00)     |
| Gender (male, %)                   | 1644         | 1155 (70.3%)            |
| Etiology of liver cirrhosis        |              |                         |
| Hepatitis B virus (%)              | 1644         | 853 (51.9%)             |
| Hepatitis C virus (%)              | 1644         | 106 (6.4%)              |
| Alcohol abuse (%)                  | 1644         | 407 (24.8%)             |
| History of gastrointestinal bleeding (%) | 1644     | 931 (56.6%)             |
| Hematemesis/coffee ground emesis at admission (%) | 1644 | 1207 (73.4%) |
| Ascites (%)                        | 1644         | 936 (56.9%)             |
| Hepatic encephalopathy (%)         | 1644         | 71 (4.3%)               |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (%)       | 1644         | 269 (16.4%)             |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)      | 1641         | 82.33 (30.00–153.33)    |
| Laboratory tests                   |              |                         |
| Hemoglobin (g/L)                   | 1643         | 76.00 (19.00–170.00)    |
| Platelet count (10⁹/L)             | 1642         | 77.00 (2.00–846.00)     |
| Total bilirubin (µmol/L)           | 1644         | 23.16 (2.40–449.00)     |
| Serum albumin (g/L)                | 1608         | 28.90 (10.10–49.80)     |
| Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)     | 1644         | 27.00 (3.00–391.00)     |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)   | 1644         | 35.00 (6.00–396.00)     |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L)          | 1644         | 65.00 (7.00–372.80)     |
| Sodium (mmol/L)                    | 1638         | 137.95 (105.00–161.60)  |
| International normalized ratio     | 1627         | 1.34 (0.79–7.96)        |
| Child–Pugh score                   | 1592         | 8.00 (5.00–13.00)       |
| Child–Pugh class A/B/C (%)         | 1592         | 402 (25.3%)/922 (57.9%)/268 (16.8%) |
| Model for end stage liver disease score | 1627       | 11.55 (6.43–39.31)     |
| Terlipressin (%)                   | 1644         | 764 (45.4%)             |
| Somatostatin (%)                   | 1644         | 1253 (76.2%)            |
| Octreotide (%)                     | 1644         | 850 (51.7%)             |
| Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy   |              |                         |
| Peptic ulcer (%)                   | 1138         | 67 (5.9%)               |
| Portal hypertensive gastropathy (%)| 1138         | 505 (44.4%)             |
| Esophageal varices (%)             | 1127         | 1091 (96.8%)            |
| Gastric varices (%)                | 969          | 754 (77.8%)             |
Incidence of ICA-AKI and its Effect on In-Hospital Mortality

sCr was re-tested within the first 48 h in 991 patients, of whom 56 could be diagnosed with ICA-AKI due to an absolute increase in sCr ≥ 26.5 μmol/L from baseline; sCr was re-tested within the first 7 days in 1503 patients, of whom 81 could be diagnosed with ICA-AKI due to a percentage of increase in sCr ≥ 50% from baseline; and 31 patients met both of the two criteria. Thus, 106 (7.1%) patients were diagnosed with ICA-AKI (Fig. S1), including 79 at ICA-AKI stage 1A, 11 at ICA-AKI stage 1B, 10 at ICA-AKI stage 2, and 6 at ICA-AKI stage 3. Among the 1503 patients evaluable for the criteria of ICA-AKI, 44 (2.9%) patients died during hospitalization. The causes of death were uncontrolled gastrointestinal bleeding (n = 23), multiple organ failure (n = 13), liver failure

| Variables                            | No. patients | Values            |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Endoscopic variceal treatment        |              |                   |
| Endoscopic variceal ligation (%)     | 968          | 677 (69.9%)       |
| Endoscopic injection sclerotherapy (%)| 968          | 319 (33.0%)       |
| Endoscopic glue injection (%)        | 968          | 409 (42.3%)       |
| Blood transfusion (%)                | 1644         | 1053 (64.1%)      |
| Five-day treatment failure (%)       | 1644         | 164 (10.0%)       |
| ICA-AKI (%)                          | 1503         | 106 (7.1%)        |
| ICA-AKI stage 1A/1B/2/3 (%)          | 1503         | 79 (5.3%)/11 (0.7%)/10 (0.7%)/6 (0.4%) |
| Renal dysfunction a (%)              | 1644         | 83 (5.0%)         |
| In-hospital death (%)                | 1644         | 47 (2.9%)         |

*AKI* acute kidney injury, ICA the International Club of Ascites

* Patients had sCr > 133 μmol/L at admission and/or anytime during hospitalization

Fig. 1 Comparison of cumulative survival rates between patients with and without ICA-AKI (a), and between ICA-AKI patients treated with and without terlipressin (b)
Table 2: Characteristics and outcomes between patients diagnosed with ICA-AKI treated with and without terlipressin

| Variables                              | No. patients | Terlipressin (n = 22) | No. patients | No terlipressin (n = 84) | P value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                            | 22           | 59.50 (38.00–86.00)   | 84           | 57.00 (28.00–85.00)      | 0.443   |
| Gender (male, %)                       | 22           | 15 (68.2%)            | 84           | 54 (64.3%)               | 0.733   |
| Etiology of liver cirrhosis            |              |                       |              |                          |         |
| Hepatitis B virus (%)                  | 22           | 14 (63.6%)            | 84           | 34 (40.5%)               | 0.052   |
| Hepatitis C virus (%)                  | 22           | 0 (0.0%)              | 84           | 8 (9.5%)                 | 0.132   |
| Alcohol abuse (%)                      | 22           | 4 (18.2%)             | 84           | 21 (25.0%)               | 0.502   |
| History of gastrointestinal bleeding (%)| 22           | 12 (54.5%)            | 84           | 48 (57.1%)               | 0.827   |
| Ascites (%)                            | 22           | 15 (68.2%)            | 84           | 57 (67.9%)               | 0.977   |
| Hematemesis/coffee ground emesis at admission (%) | 22 | 19 (86.4%) | 84 | 71 (84.5%) | 0.830 |
| Hepatic encephalopathy (%)             | 22           | 2 (9.1%)              | 84           | 7 (8.3%)                 | 0.910   |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (%)           | 22           | 7 (31.8%)             | 84           | 11 (13.1%)               | 0.037   |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)          | 22           | 80.84 (62.67–104.33)  | 83           | 82.00 (43.33–111.33)     | 0.353   |
| Laboratory tests                       |              |                       |              |                          |         |
| Hemoglobin (g/L)                       | 22           | 74.50 (27.00–140.00)  | 84           | 74.50 (26.00–142.00)     | 0.852   |
| Platelet count (10⁹/L)                 | 22           | 91.00 (32.00–391.00)  | 84           | 71.00 (8.00–827.00)      | 0.336   |
| Total bilirubin (μmol/L)               | 22           | 28.00 (2.40–269.10)   | 84           | 22.10 (5.70–447.20)      | 0.321   |
| Serum albumin (g/L)                    | 22           | 27.10 (19.00–35.80)   | 84           | 27.65 (10.10–41.00)      | 0.764   |
| Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)         | 22           | 25.71 (9.00–124.00)   | 84           | 25.50 (6.00–155.00)      | 0.858   |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)       | 22           | 41.27 (8.94–262.00)   | 84           | 34.45 (11.00–342.00)     | 0.226   |
| Serum creatinine (μmol/L)              | 22           | 55.45 (11.20–372.80)  | 84           | 56.63 (7.00–305.00)      | 0.291   |
| Sodium (mmol/L)                        | 22           | 138.15 (118.00–145.00)| 83           | 137.60 (123.00–147.00)   | 0.486   |
| International normalized ratio         | 22           | 1.44 (1.05–2.23)      | 83           | 1.42 (1.04–7.96)         | 0.620   |
| Child–Pugh score                       | 22           | 8.00 (6.00–12.00)     | 83           | 9.00 (5.00–13.00)        | 0.780   |
| Child–Pugh class A/B/C (%)             | 22           | 3 (13.6%)/14 (63.6%)/5 (22.7%) | 83 | 12 (14.5%)/47 (56.6%)/24 (28.9%) | 0.820 |
| Model for end stage liver disease score| 22           | 14.84 (6.98–34.07)    | 83           | 12.44 (7.56–39.31)       | 0.445   |
| Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy       |              |                       |              |                          |         |
| Peptic ulcer (%)                       | 14           | 1 (7.1%)              | 47           | 3 (6.4%)                 | 0.920   |
| Portal hypertensive gastropathy (%)    | 14           | 8 (57.1%)             | 47           | 22 (46.8%)               | 0.497   |

△ Adis
(n = 4), renal failure (n = 2), advanced liver cancer (n = 1), and septic shock (n = 1). The in-hospital mortality was not significantly different between patients with and without ICA-AKI [5.7% (6/106) vs. 2.7% (38/1397), P = 0.083]. Kaplan–Meier curve analysis also did not find any significant difference in cumulative survival rate between them (P = 0.185) (Fig. 1a). In subgroup analyses, ICA-AKI significantly increased the in-hospital mortality in patients with melena/hematochezia (P = 0.003), Hb ≥ 70 g/L at admission (P = 0.031), and systolic BP ≥ 90 mmHg at admission (P = 0.047), but not in others (Table S1).

**Table 2 continued**

| Variables                              | No. patients | Terlipressin (n = 22) | No. patients | No terlipressin (n = 84) | P value |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Esophageal varices (%)                 | 14           | 13 (92.9%)            | 47           | 45 (95.7%)               | 0.661   |
| Gastric varices (%)                    | 12           | 8 (66.7%)             | 39           | 31 (79.5%)               | 0.360   |
| **Endoscopic variceal treatment**      |              |                       |              |                          |         |
| Endoscopic variceal ligation (%)       | 12           | 11 (91.7%)            | 42           | 29 (69.0%)               | 0.115   |
| Endoscopic injection sclerotherapy (%) | 12           | 2 (16.7%)             | 42           | 13 (31.0%)               | 0.330   |
| Endoscopic glue injection (%)          | 12           | 3 (25.0%)             | 42           | 16 (38.1%)               | 0.402   |
| Blood transfusion (%)                  | 22           | 20 (90.9%)            | 84           | 54 (64.3%)               | 0.015   |
| Five-day treatment failure (%)         | 22           | 5 (22.7%)             | 84           | 10 (11.9%)               | 0.195   |
| Progression of AKI (%)                 | 21           | 3 (14.3%)             | 64           | 7 (10.9%)                | 0.679   |
| In-hospital death (%)                  | 22           | 1 (4.5%)              | 84           | 5 (6.0%)                 | 0.799   |

**AKI** acute kidney injury, **ICA** the International Club of Ascites

Significance at P < 0.05 shown in italics

Effect of terlipressin on ICA-AKI and In-Hospital Mortality

Among the 106 patients diagnosed with ICA-AKI, 22 received terlipressin and 84 did not receive terlipressin after a diagnosis of ICA-AKI (Table 2). Among them, 6 (5.7%) patients died during hospitalization. The in-hospital mortality was not significantly different between patients treated with and without terlipressin [4.5% (1/22) vs. 6.0% (5/84), P = 0.799]. Kaplan–Meier curve analysis also did not find any significant difference in cumulative survival rate between them (P = 0.641) (Fig. 1b). Because sCr was not re-tested after a diagnosis of ICA-AKI in 21 patients, 85 patients were finally evaluable for the progression of ICA-AKI. The rate of progression of ICA-AKI was not significantly different between patients treated with and without terlipressin [14.3% (3/21) vs. 10.9% (7/64), P = 0.679].

Incidence of ICA-AKI Stages 1B, 2, and 3 and its Effect on In-Hospital Mortality

There were 27 patients (1.8%) diagnosed with ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 (Fig. S2). The in-hospital mortality was significantly higher in patients with ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 than those with ICA-AKI stage 1A and no ICA-AKI [11.1% (3/27) vs. 2.8% (41/1476), P = 0.011]. Kaplan–Meier curve analysis also found a significant difference in cumulative survival rate between them (P = 0.002) (Fig. 2a). In subgroup analyses, ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3
significantly increased the in-hospital mortality in patients with melena/hematochezia ($P = 0.001$), Hb $\geq 70$ g/L at admission ($P = 0.041$), systolic BP $\geq 90$ mmHg at admission ($P = 0.005$), heart rate $< 100$ b.p.m. at admission ($P = 0.037$), blood transfusion ($P = 0.007$), and non-portal hypertension related GIB ($P = 0.006$), but not in others (Table S1).

**Effect of Terlipressin on ICA-AKI Stages 1B, 2, and 3 and In-Hospital Mortality**

Among the 27 patients diagnosed with ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3, 6 received terlipressin and 21 did not receive terlipressin after a diagnosis of ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 (Table 3). Among them, 3 (11.1%) patients died during hospitalization. The in-hospital mortality was not significantly different between patients treated with and without terlipressin ($P = 0.326$). Kaplan–Meier curve analysis also did not find any significant difference in cumulative survival rate between them ($P = 0.297$) (Fig. 2b). Because sCr was not re-tested after a diagnosis of ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 in 6 patients, 21 patients were finally evaluable for the progression of ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3. The rate of progression of ICA-AKI was not significantly different between patients treated with and without terlipressin (16.7% [1/6] vs. 26.7% [4/15], $P = 0.627$).

**Incidence of Renal Dysfunction and its Effect on In-Hospital Mortality**

sCr was re-tested in all of the 1644 included patients after admission. Among them, 51 patients had sCr $> 133$ μmol/L at admission; 70 had sCr $> 133$ μmol/L at any time after admission; and 38 had sCr $> 133$ μmol/L at both of the two time points. Thus, 83 (5.0%) patients were diagnosed with renal dysfunction (Fig. S3). Among the 1644 patients evaluable for the criteria of renal dysfunction, 47 (2.9%) patients died during hospitalization. The causes of death were uncontrolled gastrointestinal bleeding ($n = 26$), multiple organ failure ($n = 13$), liver failure ($n = 4$), renal failure ($n = 2$), advanced liver cancer ($n = 1$), and septic shock ($n = 1$). The in-hospital mortality was significantly higher in patients with renal dysfunction than those without renal dysfunction [14.5% (12/83) vs. 2.2% (35/1561), $P < 0.001$]. Kaplan–Meier curve analysis also found a significant difference in cumulative survival rate between them ($P < 0.001$) (Fig. 3a). In subgroup analyses, renal dysfunction significantly increased the in-hospital mortality in patients with hematemesis/coffee ground emesis ($P < 0.001$), both Hb $< 70$ g/L ($P < 0.001$) and $\geq 70$ g/L ($P < 0.001$) at admission, both systolic BP $< 90$ mmHg ($P < 0.001$) and $\geq 90$ mmHg ($P < 0.001$) at admission, both heart rate $\geq 100$ b.p.m. ($P < 0.001$) and $< 100$ b.p.m. ($P < 0.001$).
Table 3 Characteristics and outcomes in patients diagnosed with ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 treated with and without terlipressin

| Variables                                      | No. Terlipressin (n = 6) | No. Terlipressin (n = 21) | P value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                                    | 6 52.50 (38.00–64.00)    | 21 60.00 (28.00–85.00)    | 0.755   |
| Gender (male, %)                               | 6 5 (83.3%)              | 21 16 (76.2%)             | 0.711   |
| Etiology of liver cirrhosis                    |                          |                           |         |
| Hepatitis B virus (%)                          | 6 4 (66.7%)              | 21 6 (28.6%)              | 0.088   |
| Hepatitis C virus (%)                          | 6 0 (0.0%)               | 21 2 (9.5%)               | 0.432   |
| Alcohol abuse (%)                              | 6 0 (0.0%)               | 21 5 (23.8%)              | 0.185   |
| History of gastrointestinal bleeding (%)       | 6 4 (66.7%)              | 21 9 (42.9%)              | 0.303   |
| Ascites (%)                                    | 6 5 (83.3%)              | 21 14 (66.7%)             | 0.430   |
| Hematemesis/coffee ground emesis at admission | 6 6 (100.0%)             | 21 17 (81.0%)             | 0.247   |
| Hepatic encephalopathy (%)                     | 6 0 (0.0%)               | 21 1 (4.8%)               | 0.586   |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (%)                   | 6 2 (33.3%)              | 21 4 (19.0%)              | 0.458   |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)                  | 6 73.34 (67.00–101.00)   | 21 78.33 (65.00–111.33)   | 0.408   |
| Laboratory tests                               |                          |                           |         |
| Hemoglobin (g/L)                               | 6 76.00 (54.00–100.00)   | 21 74.00 (53.00–142.00)   | 0.629   |
| Platelet count (10^9/L)                        | 6 80.50 (32.00–142.00)   | 21 84.00 (25.00–199.00)   | 0.977   |
| Total bilirubin (µmol/L)                       | 6 76.20 (19.30–269.10)   | 21 22.00 (7.00–447.20)    | 0.195   |
| Serum albumin (g/L)                            | 6 25.45 (21.00–27.20)    | 21 26.30 (12.30–33.60)    | 0.476   |
| Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)                 | 6 35.50 (10.00–66.00)    | 21 32.00 (9.00–155.00)    | 0.755   |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)               | 6 59.00 (19.00–117.00)   | 21 35.00 (17.00–342.00)   | 0.476   |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L)                      | 6 33.10 (11.20–372.80)   | 21 89.50 (7.00–305.00)    | 0.239   |
| Sodium (mmol/L)                                | 6 132.85 (118.00–138.80) | 21 136.00 (130.00–144.90) | 0.097   |
| International normalized ratio                 | 6 1.87 (1.24–1.90)       | 20 1.50 (1.04–4.99)       | 0.295   |
| Child–Pugh score                               | 6 10.50 (9.00–12.00)     | 20 9.00 (6.00–13.00)      | 0.123   |
| Child–Pugh class A/B/C (%)                     | 6 0 (0.0%)/2 (33.3%)/4 (66.7%) | 20 3 (11.5%)/9 (45.0%)/8 (40.0%) | 0.415   |
| Model for end stage liver disease score        | 6 24.52 (9.30–34.07)     | 20 20.50 (9.66–39.31)     | 0.421   |
| Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy              |                          |                           |         |
| Peptic ulcer (%)                               | 3 0 (0.0%)               | 8 0 (0.0%)                |         |
| Portal hypertensive gastropathy (%)            | 3 2 (66.7%)              | 8 4 (50.0%)               | 0.621   |
at admission, blood transfusion ($P = 0.007$), both portal hypertension ($P = 0.002$) and non-portal hypertension ($P < 0.001$)-related GIB, but not in others (Table S1).

Table 3 continued

| Variables                                      | No. patients | Terlipressin ($n = 6$) | No. patients | No terlipressin ($n = 21$) | $P$ value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Esophageal varices (%)                         | 3            | 3 (100.0%)             | 8            | 8 (100.0%)                |           |
| Gastric varices (%)                            | 2            | 1 (50.0%)              | 6            | 6 (100.0%)                | 0.064     |

Endoscopic variceal treatment

| Endoscopic variceal ligation (%)               | 2            | 2 (100.0%)             | 7            | 5 (71.4%)                 | 0.391     |
| Endoscopic injection sclerotherapy (%)         | 2            | 0 (0.0%)               | 7            | 0 (0.0%)                  |           |
| Endoscopic glue injection (%)                  | 2            | 0 (0.0%)               | 7            | 3 (42.9%)                 | 0.257     |
| Blood transfusion (%)                          | 6            | 6 (100.0%)             | 21           | 13 (61.9%)                | 0.072     |
| Five-day treatment failure (%)                 | 6            | 0 (0.0%)               | 21           | 3 (14.3%)                 | 0.326     |
| Progression of AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 (%)     | 6            | 1 (16.7%)              | 15           | 4 (26.7%)                 | 0.627     |
| In-hospital death (%)                          | 6            | 0 (0.0%)               | 21           | 3 (14.3%)                 | 0.326     |

$AKI$ acute kidney injury, $ICA$ the International Club of Ascites

**Fig. 3** Comparison of cumulative survival rates between patients with and without renal dysfunction (a) and between renal dysfunction patients treated with and without terlipressin (b)

Effect of Terlipressin on Renal Dysfunction and In-Hospital Mortality

Among the 83 patients diagnosed with renal dysfunction, 28 received terlipressin and 55 did not receive terlipressin after a diagnosis of renal dysfunction (Table 4). Among them, 12 (14.5%) died during hospitalization. The in-hospital mortality was significantly lower in patients
Table 4 Characteristics and outcomes in patients diagnosed with renal dysfunction treated with and without terlipressin

| Variables                                         | No. patients | Terlipressin ($n = 28$) | No. patients | No terlipressin ($n = 55$) | $P$ value |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Age (years)                                       | 28           | 63.00 (39.00–74.00)     | 55           | 65.00 (40.00–85.00)         | 0.066     |
| Gender (male, %)                                  | 28           | 21 (75.0%)               | 55           | 44 (80.0%)                  | 0.601     |
| Etiology of liver cirrhosis                       |              |                          |              |                             |           |
| Hepatitis B virus (%)                             | 28           | 16 (57.1%)               | 55           | 21 (38.2%)                  | 0.100     |
| Hepatitis C virus (%)                             | 28           | 1 (3.6%)                 | 55           | 3 (5.5%)                    | 0.705     |
| Alcohol abuse (%)                                 | 28           | 8 (28.6%)                | 55           | 21 (38.2%)                  | 0.385     |
| History of gastrointestinal bleeding (%)          | 28           | 14 (50.0%)               | 55           | 31 (56.4%)                  | 0.582     |
| Ascites (%)                                       | 28           | 18 (64.3%)               | 55           | 38 (69.1%)                  | 0.659     |
| Hematemesis/coffee ground emesis at admission (%) | 28           | 18 (64.3%)               | 55           | 39 (70.9%)                  | 0.538     |
| Hepatic encephalopathy (%)                        | 28           | 2 (7.1%)                 | 55           | 6 (10.9%)                   | 0.583     |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (%)                      | 28           | 4 (14.3%)                | 55           | 15 (27.3%)                  | 0.183     |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHg)                     |              |                          |              |                             |           |
| Hemoglobin (g/L)                                  | 28           | 70.50 (32.00–124.00)     | 55           | 69.00 (41.00–142.00)        | 0.866     |
| Platelet count ($10^9$/L)                         | 28           | 80.00 (32.00–192.00)     | 55           | 86.00 (24.00–366.00)        | 0.799     |
| Total bilirubin (µmol/L)                          | 28           | 27.05 (11.90–449.00)     | 55           | 27.00 (7.00–447.00)         | 0.470     |
| Serum albumin (g/L)                               | 25           | 26.20 (11.00–40.60)      | 54           | 26.80 (17.40–37.60)         | 0.971     |
| Alanine aminotransferase (U/L)                    | 28           | 19.50 (10.00–172.00)     | 55           | 27.00 (9.00–110.00)         | 0.560     |
| Aspartate aminotransferase (U/L)                  | 28           | 38.50 (13.00–167.00)     | 55           | 38.00 (13.00–342.00)        | 0.832     |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/L)                         | 28           | 153.26 (103.00–372.80)   | 55           | 129.80 (57.00–306.00)       | 0.011     |
| Sodium (mmol/L)                                   | 27           | 135.80 (105.00–144.50)   | 55           | 137.40 (118.00–144.90)      | 0.127     |
| International normalized ratio                    | 28           | 1.40 (1.05–3.48)         | 53            | 1.46 (1.00–4.99)            | 0.548     |
| Child–Pugh score                                  | 25           | 9.00 (5.00–13.00)        | 52           | 9.00 (6.00–13.00)           | 0.830     |
treated with terlipressin than those treated without terlipressin [3.6% (1/28) vs. 20.0% (11/55), \( P = 0.044 \)]. Kaplan–Meier curve analysis also found a significant difference in cumulative survival rate between them (\( P = 0.040 \)) (Fig. 3b). Because sCr was not re-tested after a diagnosis of renal dysfunction in 13 patients, 70 patients were finally evaluable for the dynamic change of sCr. The rate of a decrease in sCr was significantly higher in patients treated with terlipressin than those treated without terlipressin [92.9% (26/28) vs. 59.5% (25/42), \( P = 0.002 \)].

**DISCUSSION**

Generally, renal impairment, such as AKI and renal failure, is a negative prognostic indicator in patients with liver cirrhosis [18–20]. In detail, it is significantly related to higher mortality in cirrhotic patients accompanied with different decompensation events, such as infection (i.e., spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, cellulitis, or other types of infection) [21–23], ascites [24–26], acute-on-chronic liver failure [27], and critical illness [28]. Recently, our meta-analysis confirmed that renal dysfunction significantly increased a 4.92-fold risk of death in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB [29]. In consistency
with previous studies, the present study has demonstrated that both ICA-AKI stages 1B-3 and renal dysfunction significantly increased the risk of in-hospital death. However, the impact of any stage ICA-AKI on the in-hospital death disappeared, suggesting that renal impairment reflected by any stage ICA-AKI might not be a favorite predictor for outcomes of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB.

The diagnosis of ICA-AKI depends on a dynamic change of sCr within a short period (48 h and 7 days), but does not consider the absolute sCr value at admission or dynamic change of sCr after 7 days [10]. Acute GIB is an urgent medical condition in which pre-admission sCr is often lacking and sCr at admission has to be defined as baseline value. Thus, some patients with massive GIB might have already developed AKI episodes before they were sent to hospital. Indeed, in our study, there were 51 patients with sCr ≥ 133 μmol/L (range 134.00–372.80) at admission, of whom 7 (13.7%) were diagnosed with ICA-AKI and the remaining 44 (86.3%) were not diagnosed with ICA-AKI. The reasons why the 44 patients were not diagnosed with ICA-AKI were as follows: (1) 27 (61.4%) patients had sCr values re-tested within 48 h, of whom 19 had an absolute increase in sCr < 26.5 μmol/L, 1 had an unchanged sCr, and 7 had a decrease in sCr; (2) 42 (95.5%) patients had sCr values re-tested within 7 days, of whom 14 had a percentage of increase in sCr < 50%, 1 had an unchanged sCr, and 27 had a decrease in sCr; and (3) 2 (4.5%) patients did not have sCr re-tested within 48 h or 3–7 days (Fig. S4). Additionally, in our study, there were 122 patients with a percentage of increase in sCr ≥ 50% during hospitalization, of whom 82 (67.2%) were diagnosed with ICA-AKI and the remaining 40 (32.8%) were not diagnosed with ICA-AKI due to a percentage of increase in sCr ≥ 50% after 7 days rather than within 7 days. These considerations regarding sCr at admission and change of sCr after 7 days are potentially helpful for improving the definition of ICA-AKI in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB.

By comparison, our study found that ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3, which had sCr > 133 μmol/L, and renal dysfunction, which was defined as sCr > 133 μmol/L at any time, significantly influenced the in-hospital mortality. Indeed, the cut-off value of sCr > 133 μmol/L has been supported by previous studies. Cirrhotic patients with infection and sCr > 133 μmol/L had an inferior survival rate compared with those with sCr ≤ 133 μmol/L (63% vs. 81%) [21, 30]. Furthermore, the sub-classification of AKI stage 1 was in favor of the prognostic importance of sCr > 133 μmol/L. Cirrhotic patients at AKI stage 1 with sCr < 133 μmol/L had a similar survival rate to those without AKI according to the Acute Kidney Injury Network criteria, but higher resolution and lower mortality than those at AKI stage 1 with sCr > 133 μmol/L [20, 24]. Similarly, cirrhotic patients at AKI stage 1 with sCr ≥ 133 μmol/L had significantly longer hospital stay and lower AKI resolution and survival rates as compared to those at AKI stage 1 with sCr < 133 μmol/L according to the ICA-AKI criteria [31]. In addition, the significant detrimental effect of sCr > 133 μmol/L on resolution and survival is also reported in cirrhotic patients with gastric variceal bleeding at any AKI stage [8]. Therefore, the identification of sCr > 133 μmol/L may be essential to evaluate the prognosis of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB.

Evidence from meta-analyses has confirmed that terlipressin is not superior to somatostatin or octreotide in cirrhosis in the terms of controlling bleeding or improving survival [32, 33]. In accordance with previous findings, our study also suggested that the mortality was not significantly different between cirrhotic patients with acute GIB who received terlipressin and those who did not receive terlipressin (somatostatin/octreotide). However, previous studies have never explored the effect of terlipressin on renal function parameters in such patients. Considering that terlipressin has been widely used for improving renal function in patients with HRS [34], it might be beneficial in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB and renal dysfunction [35]. By contrast, somatostatin and octreotide seemed to be ineffective or detrimental to renal function in healthy subjects and cirrhotic patients [12–15]. Our study found that terlipressin significantly decreased the in-hospital
mortality of cirrhotic patients with acute GIB who developed renal dysfunction. Thus, the theoretical advantage of telipressin over somatostatin and octreotide in such patients should be considered.

Our study had several limitations. First, the number of patients included varied among the participating centers. Because the deadline of data collection was pre-specified in our study, the investigators at each center collected the data as much as possible within the deadline and then filled the paper version case report forms and electronic tables. Second, the cause of GIB was not limited. Indeed, GIB from any source would lead to acute blood loss and pre-renal renal impairment. Additionally, the survival rate was similar between cirrhotic patients with variceal bleeding and acute peptic ulcer bleeding after a standard treatment strategy [36]. Third, due to the retrospective nature of this study, the etiology of AKI could not be accurately evaluated, which limited us to perform subgroup analysis according to the etiology of AKI. However, it should be noted that we have clearly excluded patients with a history of parenchymal nephropathy and those with ischemic hepatitis which may be potentially associated with acute tubular necrosis. Additionally, because all of our included patients had acute GIB, the use of diuretics is often delayed or abandoned in such patients. In this setting, HRS could not be evaluated, because the withdrawal of diuretics should be one of diagnosis criteria for HRS. Fourth, the time when sCr was re-examined during hospitalization was not restricted, despite patients with sCr values at admission and during hospitalization were considered eligible for our study. Fifth, the use of vasoactive drugs was not standardized, and a combination of vasoactive drugs was often employed. Sixth, endoscopy was not performed in all patients. Notably, a large-scale international study found that nearly one-third of patients manifested as hematemesis and/or melena did not undergo endoscopy examinations in real-world practice [37].

In conclusion, the management of ICA-AKI stages 1B, 2, and 3 and renal dysfunction should be considered in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB due to their effects on the in-hospital mortality. Additionally, terlipressin may be effective for the improvement of survival in cirrhotic patients with acute GIB and sCr > 133 μmol/L, probably because of its beneficial effect on renal function. Further well-designed prospective studies should be performed to compare the efficacy of terlipressin versus other vasoactive drugs in such patients.
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