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INTRODUCTION

Frei’s comment Frei (2021) argues that we selected an inappropriate mass balancing method in our paper (Andreasen and Thomsen, 2021), and that our conclusions therefore are unsupported. We maintain that our mass balance and our conclusions are sound.

Our mass balance is based on well-constrained time series measurements of soil strontium content in 2014, measured just after the liming experiment in 2012–2013 and 5 years later in 2019. The field was fallow in the interim, with no anthropogenic addition of strontium and no removal through crop yield, providing an excellent opportunity to see how much of the strontium was left after 5 years. The results show that a significant amount of strontium (>3.9 g/m²) was lost from the soil, compared with the amount of strontium added during the liming experiment (3.6-4.8 g/m²) (Jessen et al., 2014), such that 80–100% of the strontium added during the liming experiment was leached from the soil over the next 5 years.

By contrast, Frei’s comment Frei (2021) and Frei et al. (2020) present mass balances of the strontium inventory of the soil vs. the estimated total of added strontium over the period the soil has been farmed—~100 years. The drawbacks of this approach are that accurate records of the timing and amount of added lime and fertilizer do not go back that far, and the amount of bioavailable strontium in the soil at the onset of farming is unknown.

MASS BALANCE METHODS

In the calculations presented in Frei’s comment, it is assumed that the lime added to the soil during the liming experiment in 2012–2013 was dolomitic lime, as was the lime added during the ~100 years of farming before. This assumption is incorrect. The lime added during the liming experiment is calcitic chalk (Jessen et al., 2014), and remaining pieces from Profile B contain ~1,000 ppm strontium. Further, the landowner states that he has never used dolomitic limestone in the field; and as dolomite outcrops do not exist in Denmark and did not become available to farmers.
commercially until ∼1995, it is highly unlikely that dolomite has been added to the soil. The bioavailable Mg/Ca value of 0.04 in Profile C (2014), which the comment suggests indicates the use of dolomite is exactly the same as the bioavailable Mg/Ca in farmland profiles A and F and lower than in heathland profile N, and is thus unrelated to liming. The main source of magnesium in farmland is manure. Cattle and pig manure, commonly used in the area, have Mg/Ca ratios of ∼0.5 (Sager, 2007).

Another problem with Frei’s calculations is that these assume there was no bioavailable strontium in the soil when farming commenced. This is clearly incorrect. Our studies of heathland soils of similar thickness from the area suggest natural bioavailable strontium levels of 1.2–1.4 g/m² in the unlimed organic-rich top layers of the soil. Finally, Frei’s calculations assume that agricultural lime is the only source of strontium added to the soil. Whereas, agricultural lime is the main contributor of anthropogenic strontium to the soil, it is not the only one. Significant levels of strontium added to this type of soil (∼20% of the total) come from the use of manure and fertilizers (Thomsen and Andreasen, 2019). Ignoring this leads to further underestimating the amount of strontium added to the soil, over the time it has been farmed.

**DISCUSSION**

In Figure 1, we present models of a hypothetical soil profile, based on Frei’s and our assumptions, which accounts for these factors. These assume that agricultural lime is added every 4 years, manure and fertilizer, every year, and strontium is removed via crop yield every year. The primary assumption of the model presented in Frei et al. (2020) and in the comment is that there is no release of strontium from the topsoil, leading to a steady increase in strontium content forever (Figure 1A). By contrast, the empirically-derived models of Thomsen and Andreasen (2019) and Andreasen and Thomsen (2021) account for the removal of strontium through the vadose zone to the groundwater, resulting in a much slower rate of accumulation of strontium in the top soil (Figure 1A). The green bar shown at present day in Figure 1A, indicates the range of strontium levels measured in farmland Profiles A and F—the bioavailable strontium (ammonium nitrate extract) and bioavailable + acetic acid extract, which were taken from separate aliquots. The latter value is thus an overestimation of the total level, as some strontium is extracted in both aliquots, and so is counted twice, and serves as an estimate of the maximum possible strontium level. It is clear that Frei’s model overshoots the strontium levels measured in the soils, where appropriate input parameters are used. By contrast, our model matches the observed levels of bioavailable strontium, resulting in an 85% loss of strontium from agricultural lime to ground water. Likewise, Frei’s model is unable to reproduce the observed strongly lime-dominated $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios measured in the soil (Figure 1B) as our model does. With loss, the strontium isotopic composition quickly becomes lime-dominated despite the lower strontium content.

**FALSE PREMISES**

Most significantly, however, we wish to state that we agree with this comment’s assessment that “profile C, likewise profile B, with a similarly extreme over-liming are not representative of common farmland agricultural liming practice.” This is the very reason why these samples, obtained 6 months after the test site had received 36 T/ha of agricultural lime should not have been used by the same author, for a study described as having “investigated a soil profile from farmland that experienced long-term liming,” and which concludes that “strontium added via agricultural liming in a representative site in the West Jutland sandy outwash plain is efficiently retained” (Frei et al., 2020).
We concur with the comment that the archeological community is poorly served by the debate regarding strontium mobility. Fortunately, independent research has also reached the conclusion that anthropogenic strontium is problematic (e.g., Seltzer et al., 2021; Zieliński et al., 2021), such that this topic is no longer one for debate.
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