F. Pirvu et al.: Spatio-temporal variation of nitrate and nitrite levels in groundwater samples from Romania

Spatio-temporal variation of nitrate and nitrite levels in groundwater samples from Romania

https://doi.org/10.21698/rjeec.2020.208

Proceedings paper

FLORINELA PIRVU1,2, IULIANA PAUN1, FLORENTINA LAURA CHIRIAC1, MARCELA NICULESCU1, NICOLETA VASILACHE1, LUOANA FLORENTINA PASCU1, TOMA GALAON1*

1National Research and Development Institute for Industrial Ecology - ECOIND, 71-73 Drumul Podu Dambovitei, Sector 6, 060652, Bucharest, Romania
2University Politehnica of Bucharest, 313 Splaiul Independentei, sector 6, 060042, Bucharest, Romania
*Corresponding author (e-mail): toma.galaon@incdecoind.ro

Abstract
This study investigated the spatial and temporal distribution of nitrate and nitrite concentration in groundwater from different geographical regions, in Romania. A special emphasis was put on a descriptive statistical analysis of data, namely on the determination of seasonal indices during dry and wet seasons. Nitrate and nitrite concentrations were monitored in 24 groundwater sources situated in different areas, between January 2016 and February 2020. The obtained data showed that the values of nitrate concentrations were situated between 8.03 mg/L in the North-Eastern part of Romania, 6.37 mg/L in the South-Eastern part of the country, and 3.55 mg/L in the Western part towards the center of the country. Nitrite concentration values were situated under the national maximum admitted limit, 0.5 mg/L, in all the investigated areas. The obtained data shows small changes in water quality during the monitoring period, which leads to the conclusion that, in this long interval of time, there were no significant groundwater contaminations with nitrate and nitrite. For adequate control of water pollution and rigorous management of groundwater sources, seasonal indices were calculated.
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INTRODUCTION
About 33% of the human population, globally, uses groundwater as drinking sources [1-5]. However, groundwater quality may be altered by several anthropogenic sources, such as waste disposed of by faulty practices, industrial pollution, unplanned urbanization, correlated with natural geogenic processes [6-9]. Groundwater assessment is important for identifying possible sources of contamination and assessing these contaminants to properly use this groundwater [10,11]. Intensive use of fertilizers in agriculture has changed dramatically in the last ten years, groundwater and surface water pollution has occurred through the accumulation of nutrients (nitrates, nitrites, ammonium, phosphates) from agricultural activity [12, 13]. However, the use of large amounts of nitrogen fertilizers can contribute to anthropogenic nitrogen in groundwater around the world. Nitrogen fertilizers are quickly converted to NO3⁻ which is highly water-soluble and can be easily assimilated by plants. If the amount of nitrogen added to the soil exceeds the amount adsorbed by plants, excess NO3⁻ is probably slightly adsorbed by soil particles and drain from the root zone by percolating water through the soil profile and eventually accumulates in groundwater [14]. Recently, research has been conducted on nitrate pollution in groundwater from agriculture, which can cause algae flowering and eutrophication in aquifers as well as potential hazards to human health [15-17]. Exposure to high levels of nitrates has consequences for human health, by reducing the ability to carry oxygen in the blood by binding to hemoglobin or causing a condition called methemoglobinemia, which can cause asphyxiation mortality, especially in newborns. Infants under the age of six months are at high risk due to the presence of bacteria in their digestive systems that accelerate this process [18, 19]. Information on the health effects of nitrate and nitrite showed, an association between off-spring developing adverse effects and maternal nitrate intake in drinking water.
Experimental animal data show that reproductive toxicity could probably be associated with high levels of nitrate or nitrite exposure. Several cases of methemoglobinemia have been reported in infants in the United States using nitrate-containing water with a maximum concentration of 45 mg/L [15]. Nitrates under acidic conditions are reduced to nitrites (NO$_2^-$), which in turn can combine with amino compounds (amines or amides) and can form potentially carcinogenic compounds (nitrosamines) [20]. The association between nitrate contamination of drinking water and the increased rate of cancer is supported by physiological studies. Endogenously formed N-nitroso (N-nitrosopropylene) compounds can lead to different types of cancer: esophageal, gastric, nasopharyngeal [21-27].

This study presents an analytical investigation of the levels of nitrate and nitrite concentrations in 24 groundwater sources from several areas on the Romanian territory using the descriptive statistical analysis as well as seasonal indices for the dry and the wet seasons. The analytical results were compared with the maximum admissible values imposed at the national and international level for nitrate and nitrite in groundwater (Table 1).

### Table 1. Values of nitrates and nitrites in groundwater from literature data

| Parameters | Minimum | Maximum | WHO limits/National limits | References |
|------------|---------|---------|----------------------------|------------|
| Nitrates   | 1.45    | 30.8    | 50 mg/L                    | [28, 30, 31]|
| Nitrites   | 0.0126  | 0.128   | 0.5 mg/L                   | [29, 30, 32]|

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### The climate of the study area

The study was performed in several areas from Romania characterized by temperate-continental climate with oceanic, Scandinavian-Baltic, and sub-Mediterranean influences. Pontic influences are manifested in the South-Eastern part of Romania, with rare but torrential rains. In the eastern regions of the country, the continental character is more pronounced. In Bucovina, the effects of the Scandinavian-Baltic climate are manifested, which determines a humid and cold climate, with frozen winters. In the west part of the country, there are influences of low-pressure systems from the Atlantic zone with moderate temperatures and rich rainfall.

#### Groundwater sampling and chemical analysis

24 groundwater sampling points situated in different parts of Romania, as indicated in Table 2, were monthly monitored for nitrates and nitrites parameters, from January 2016 to February 2020. All selected sources were geographically located using a GPS (GARMIN Model Montana 610 navigation system, GPS/GLONASS navigator) (figure 1). Water samples were collected and transported in glass containers and stored at 4°C until appropriate analysis. Nitrate and nitrite parameters were determined using spectrophotometric methods according to the standards SR ISO 7890-3 [33] for nitrates and SR EN 26777: 02 and SR ISO 26777: 02/C91: 06 [34] for nitrites.

### Table 2. The areas on the Romanian territory from which the samples were taken

| Groundwater source | Period       | Sampling area                             |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
| S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S9, S11, S12, S13 | 01/2016-02/2020 | The south-Eastern part of Romania         |
| S8, S10           | 01/2016-02/2020 | In the West towards the central part of Romania |
| S14, S15, S16, S17, S18, S19, S20, S21, S22, S23, S24 | 01/2016-02/2020 | The north-Eastern part of Romania         |
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive statistical analysis
In this paper, nitrites and nitrates evaluation in groundwater was achieved, examining samples taken from rural, urban, and industrial areas situated in different regions from Romania. The physical-chemical characteristics of groundwater provide essential information on the prevailing pollution conditions in the monitored area. For all samples, descriptive statistical calculations were performed over four years.

| Groundwater source | Average | SD  | MIN | MAX  | Median |
|--------------------|---------|-----|-----|------|--------|
| S1                 | 1.82    | 0.61| 1.15| 2.63 | 1.47   |
| S2                 | 2.57    | 1.97| 0.76| 6.37 | 1.95   |
| S3                 | 1.29    | 0.71| 0.44| 2.01 | 1.35   |
| S4                 | 1.16    | 0.65| 0.13| 1.88 | 1.31   |
| S5                 | 1.57    | 0.57| 0.97| 2.33 | 1.42   |
| S6                 | 1.16    | 0.65| 0.13| 1.88 | 1.31   |
| S7                 | 0.77    | 0.42| 0.32| 1.28 | 0.74   |
| S9                 | 1.13    | 0.97| 0.44| 1.81 | 1.13   |
| S11                | 1.15    | 0.30| 0.61| 1.88 | 1.16   |
| S12                | 1.15    | 0.52| 0.29| 2.33 | 1.24   |
| S13                | 1.27    | 0.45| 0.26| 2.27 | 1.26   |

The highest average value of 2.57 mg/L, registered for nitrate, with a standard deviation value of 1.97 mg/L was detected in groundwater source S2, situated in the South-Eastern part of Romania (Table 3). For samples collected from sampling sites S14-S24, situated in the North-Eastern part of Romania, the nitrate concentration values varied from 0.05 to 4.94 mg/L, the highest value being determined in the S18 groundwater source, with a standard deviation of 1.70 mg/L (Table 4).
The data collected from the two groundwater sources located in the central area, were 0.88 mg/L nitrate for the S8, with a standard deviation of 0.42 mg/L and 1.98 mg/L with a standard deviation value of 1.54 mg/L for S10 (Table 5).

According to statistical evaluation of the NO$_3^-$ parameter, the maximum concentration values decrease in the South-Eastern part of the country in the sequence: S2 (6.37 mg/L) > S1 (2.63 mg/L) > S5 = S12 (2.33 mg/L) > S13 (2.27 mg/L) > S3 (2.01 mg/L) > S4 = S6 = S11 (1.88 mg/L) > S9 (1.81 mg/L) > S7 (1.28 mg/L), while in the North-East part of the country, the maximum concentrations decrease in the following order: S18 (8.03 mg/L) > S19 (6.01 mg/L) > S24 (5.14 mg/L) > S21 (4.55 mg/L) > S23 (2.75 mg/L) > S22 (2.64 mg/L) > S17 (1.88 mg/L) > S20 (1.35 mg/L) > S16 (1.33 mg/L) > S14 = S15 (0.09 mg/L). For S8 and S10 groundwater sources, the maximum nitrate concentrations were: 1.35 mg/L and 3.55 mg/L, respectively.

Regarding the nitrite parameter, all tested groundwater sources have concentrations of values situated below the maximum admitted value, which is 0.5 mg/L.

**Spatial variation**

The highest values determined in the studied areas were: 6.37 mg/L for the South-East part of the country, 4.94 mg/L for the North-East and 3.55 mg/L for the western area towards the central part of the country. Nitrate values in groundwater generally present a reduced variation in concentration level. Figure 2 shows the dispersion range of these concentrations as well as the statistical values for the quartile. Figures 2a, 2b, and 2c show a rather small dispersion of the nitrate concentration level, more precisely a median variation of the upper half, quartile on the dispersion range of the minimum and maximum values of those nitrate concentrations determined in all the evaluated areas. From Figures 2a and 2c a small variation of the dispersion range can be observed except for the groundwater source S2 as opposed to Figure 2b where a higher variability of the nitrate concentration values was observed.
Fig. 2. Dispersion of nitrate concentrations: a) South-Eastern area of Romania, b) North-Eastern area of Romania, c) The western area towards the central part of Romania

The data of the nitrate parameter was transformed into the standard unit (Z) (Eq. 1) and its variation was presented, for all the studied areas, in Figures 3, 4, and 5. For each sample collected during the evaluation period of this study, this parameter was calculated to observe the spatial variation for all 24 groundwater sources.

The standard unit of chemical concentrations in groundwater water [35], is defined as:

$$Z = \frac{X - U}{S}$$

where X is the raw concentration data, U is the mean values and S is the standard deviation.

Fig. 3. Spatial variation of standard units determined in the period Jan. 2016 - Feb. 2020 for groundwater sources: S1 - S9, S11 - S13

Fig. 4. Spatial variation of standard units determined in the period Jan. 2016 - Feb. 2020 for groundwater sources: S14 - S24
There is a significant spatial variability for the South-Eastern area of Romania for the assessed groundwater sources. In the North-Eastern part of Romania, the largest variations of the determined standard units are at the S16 and S23 groundwater sources.

**Temporal distribution**

To investigate the temporal distribution of these groundwater samples over four years, seasonal indices were calculated for each source, for the dry and wet seasons. In the case of dry season, the evaluated months were: May, June, July, August, September, October, November, and for the wet season, the evaluated months were: December, January, February, March, April.

**Fig. 5.** Spatial variation of standard units determined in the period Jan. 2016 - Feb. 2020 for groundwater sources: S8, S10

**Fig. 6.** Distribution of seasonal indices determined in the period Jan. 2016 - Feb. 2020

Seasonal indices were calculated according to the following equation:

\[
Si = \frac{Xi}{\bar{x}}
\]  

(2)
where $Si$ is the seasonal index, $Xi$ is the mean nitrate concentration in a month for each season, $x$ is the annual mean nitrate concentration, and $i$ is the numbers of the month ($i = 1–6$) [29].

The temporal distribution of nitrates from groundwater in the selected locations shows a minimal fluctuation depending on the values obtained for the two seasonal indices. The data obtained during the evaluated period could have been influenced by the amount of precipitation, by temperature variation as well as by the different climatic types from each area. As can be seen from the small variation in the values of seasonal indices, they can give us information due perhaps to changes in the volume of a river basin related to climatic events that occur near the assessed area and the water deficit represented by atmospheric evaporation. The results obtained in this study showed that the concentrations of nitrates and nitrates, from all the investigated areas, were situated below the maximum allowed limits.

CONCLUSIONS
In this paper, different types of statistical calculations were used to evaluate the spatio-temporal variation of groundwater quality in three geographical areas from Romania. From the obtained nitrate and nitrite concentration data there can be observed similar characteristics of groundwater. The determined nitrate concentration values were situated between the method limit of quantification (LOQ) and 8.03 mg/L, which are lower than maximum allowable concentrations regulated by Government Decision no. 53/2009. In the case of the nitrite parameter, the determinate concentrations were bellow method LOQ. Therefore, excessive use of chemical fertilizers used in agriculture, as well as other types of industrial and municipal waste, could influence the quality of groundwater used for drinking purposes.
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