Nonsurgical management of partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction with oral therapy: a randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

Background: Patients with partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction are usually managed conservatively, receiving intravenous hydration and nothing by mouth. Previous studies have suggested that this approach is associated with longer hospital stays and an increased risk of delayed surgery. We conducted a randomized controlled trial to see if combining standard conservative treatment with oral administration of a laxative, a digestant and a defoaming agent would reduce the frequency of subsequent surgical intervention and reduce the length of hospital stay.

Methods: We identified 144 consecutive patients admitted between February 2000 and July 2001 with adhesive partial small-bowel obstruction and randomly assigned 128 who met the inclusion criteria to either the control group (intravenous hydration, nasogastric-tube decompression and nothing by mouth) or the intervention group (intravenous hydration, nasogastric-tube decompression and oral therapy with magnesium oxide, Lactobacillus acidophilus and simethicone). The primary outcome measures were the number of patients whose obstruction was successfully treated without surgery and the length of hospital stay. We also monitored rates of complications and recurring obstructions.

Results: Of the 128 patients, 63 were in the control group and 65 in the intervention group; the mean ages were 54.4 (standard deviation [SD] 15.9) years and 53.9 (SD 16.3) years respectively. Most of the patients were male. More patients in the intervention group than in the control group had successful treatment without surgery (69 [91%] v. 48 [76%), p = 0.03; relative risk 1.19, 95% confidence interval 1.03–1.40). The mean hospital stay was significantly longer among patients in the control group than among those in the intervention group (4.2 [SD 2.7] v. 1.0 [SD 0.7] days, p < 0.001). The complication and recurrence rates did not differ significantly between the 2 groups.

Interpretation: Oral therapy with magnesium oxide, L. acidophilus and simethicone was effective in hastening the resolution of conservatively treated partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction and shortening the hospital stay.

DOI:10.1503/cmaj.1041315

Shyr-Chyr Chen, Zui-Shen Yen, Chien-Chang Lee, Yueh-Ping Liu, Wen-Jone Chen, Hong-Shiee Lai, Fang-Yue Lin, Wei-Jao Chen

Small-bowel obstruction is usually caused by postoperative adhesions, which develop in about 95% of adult patients after abdominal surgery. 

Different factors, such as powder from surgical gloves and tissue retraction, have been reported to cause fibrous adhesions. 

Considerable controversy exists concerning the management of postoperative adhesive small-bowel obstruction. 

Traditionally, conservative management involves intravenous hydration, decompression with a nasogastric tube and giving the patient nothing by mouth. 

Such treatment is reported to be successful in 73%–90% of cases. 

However, surgical treatment may be required in one-third of patients because of significant complications, such as strangulation, which can develop when surgery is delayed for more than 48 hours. 

Patients with partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction managed conservatively have a long hospital stay (usually between 1 and 3 weeks), which is associated with increased hospital costs and an increased risk of delayed surgery. 

Speeding up the nonsurgical management may decrease the frequency of these problems. We performed a randomized controlled trial in which we compared the effects of standard conservative management with those of standard conservative management plus oral administration of a laxative, a digestant and a defoaming agent.

Methods

Our study was conducted in an emergency department of a tertiary care hospital in Taipei that serves about 100 000 patients annually. The hospital’s ethics committee for medical research approved the study protocol, and all of the patients provided their informed consent before inclusion in the study.

We considered all consecutive adult patients admitted between February 2000 and July 2001 with symptoms and signs suggestive of small-bowel obstruction to be eligible for inclusion in the study. The inclusion criteria were (a) a history of intra-abdominal surgery conducted more than 4 weeks before enrolment; (b) clinical symptoms and signs compatible with small-bowel obstruction, including abdominal pain, distension, nausea, vomiting and constipation; and (c) a plain abdominal radiograph taken with the patient upright that showed dilated loops of the small intestine, air fluid levels and gas in the colon, indicating a partial small-bowel obstruction.
We did not include patients with a complete small-bowel obstruction (no clear evidence of air in the large bowel on abdominal radiographs). Specifically, we excluded patients if they had one or more signs suggestive of intestinal strangulation or obstruction present at admission, including fever, intractable pain, leukocytosis or signs of peritonitis. In addition, we excluded patients with a partial small-bowel obstruction if the cause was something other than adhesions (e.g., inflammatory bowel disease, external hernia, malignant disease or prior abdominal irradiation), the abdominal radiograph showed no gas in the colon, the patient was less than 15 years old or the obstruction developed within the first 4 weeks after abdominal surgery.

Upon arrival to the emergency department, each patient was evaluated by a member of the emergency department staff. After taking a detailed history and performing a physical examination, the staff member drew a venous blood sample for complete blood cell count and took a plain abdominal radiograph with the patient in an upright position. If the abdominal radiograph showed no gas in the colon, the patient was less than 15 years old or the obstruction developed within the first 4 weeks after abdominal surgery.

The attending surgeon regularly assessed the patient’s condition during the hospital stay to ensure that the nonsurgical treatment was going well and that no symptoms or signs developed that would suggest the need for surgery. Surgical intervention in both groups was determined by the attending surgeon based on the presence of one or more toxic signs (e.g., fever, leukocytosis, intractable pain and peritonitis) or if the obstruction did not resolve spontaneously after 5 days.

Patients in both groups underwent plain abdominal radiography daily. The nasogastric tube was removed when oral intake of food was started. Oral intake was initiated with a liquid diet followed by a soft diet after resolution of the adhesive small-bowel obstruction was confirmed radiographically, the abdominal pain subsided or the patient passed stools or flatus.

Patients were discharged from the hospital when the following criteria were met: (a) the abdominal pain subsided and a solid diet was tolerated, and (b) a plain abdominal radiograph showed the absence of gas in the small bowel. The oral therapy was stopped at discharge in the intervention group. After discharge, patients were regularly followed up at an outpatient clinic for 6 months.

We recorded the patient’s age, sex and type of abdominal surgery as well as his or her symptoms and signs on admission. The primary outcome measures were the number of patients whose obstruction was successfully treated without surgery and the length of hospital stay, which included the time from surgery to discharge for patients who subsequently required surgical treatment. We also recorded complications and recurrence of symptoms during the 6-month follow-up period.

Using a significance level of 0.05 and a power of 80%, we determined that 50 patients were needed in each group to detect a difference in the reduction of hospital stay between the 2 groups of at least 2 days (since, in our experience, a typical length of hospital stay of patients with partial ad-
hesive small-bowel obstruction is usually 3–7 days). We used the \( \chi^2 \) test to compare differences in proportions and the Student t test to compare continuous variables.

**Results**

During the study period 144 consecutive adult patients with partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction were admitted to the emergency department. Sixteen met the exclusion criteria, and the remaining 128 patients agreed to participate in the study (Fig. 1). Patients in the 2 groups had similar characteristics, including age, sex and clinical presentation (Table 1). Most of the patients had abdominal pain, distension and constipation. Vomiting was noted in less than 50%. All of the patients had undergone previous abdominal surgery (Table 1), 7 of whom had undergone more than one operation.

Table 2 shows the outcomes for the 2 groups. The number of patients whose obstruction was successfully treated without surgery was significantly higher in the intervention group than in the control group (59 [91%] v. 48 [76%]; relative risk 1.19, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.03–1.40). The mean length of hospital stay was significantly longer in the control group than in the intervention group (4.2 v. 1.0 days, \( p < 0.001 \)). Of the patients in the intervention group, 28 had spontaneous passage of stool and 41 had improved abdominal distension.

Two patients in the control group had complications (nonsurgical treatment) but did not require surgical treatment. In the intervention group, 2 patients had complications (urinary tract infection and recurrent obstruction), and another patient who subsequently required surgical treatment had aspiration pneumonia as a postoperative complication. None of the complications in the intervention group was related to the investigated treatment with magnesium oxide, *L. acidophilus* and simethicone.

During the 6-month follow-up, partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction recurred in 4 patients in the control group (3 had been managed conservatively and 1 had required surgical treatment) and 3 patients in the intervention group (2 had been managed conservatively and 1 had required surgical treatment) (Table 2). None of these patients required surgery for the recurrence. Three patients were lost during the 6-month follow-up.

Of the 21 patients who required surgical treatment, 6 had segmental bowel resection for bowel ischemia and bowel injury, and 15 required adhesiolysis (Table 3). Surgery was performed on the third day after admission for 3 patients, the fourth day for 6 patients and the fifth day for 12 patients. The most common reason for surgery was peritonitis (\( n = 7 \)); other reasons included fever, failure of treatment (i.e., no improvement after 5 days), leukocytosis and intractable pain (Table 3). The postoperative courses were unremarkable, and no postoperative deaths occurred.

**Interpretation**

We found that adding oral therapy with magnesium oxide, *L. acidophilus* and simethicone to the standard nonsurgical treatment of partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction re-

---

**Table 1: Demographic characteristics of 128 patients with partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction receiving nothing by mouth (control) or oral therapy (intervention)**

| Characteristic                  | Group; no. of patients |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                | Control | Intervention |
|                                | 63     | 65           |
| Sex                            |         |              |
| Male                           | 34      | 36           |
| Female                         | 29      | 29           |
| Age, yr                        |         |              |
| Mean (SD)                      | 54.4 (15.9) | 53.9 (16.3)  |
| Median (range)                 | 56 (20–81) | 55 (18–83)  |
| Symptom                        |         |              |
| Abdominal pain                 | 62      | 63           |
| Distension                     | 53      | 54           |
| Constipation                   | 49      | 50           |
| Vomiting                       | 31      | 30           |
| Previous surgery               | 67      | 68           |
| Upper abdomen                  |         |              |
| Subtotal gastrectomy           | 11      | 11           |
| Truncal vagotomy and pyloroplasty | 8      | 10           |
| Cholecystectomy                | 5       | 4            |
| Hepatectomy                    | 3       | 2            |
| Splenectomy                    | 1       | 1            |
| Other                          | 3       | 2            |
| Lower abdomen                  |         |              |
| Appendectomy                   | 13      | 14           |
| Colectomy                      | 11      | 10           |
| Abdominal total hysterectomy   | 5       | 7            |
| Salpingectomy                  | 2       | 3            |
| Abdominal total hysterectomy   |         |              |
| with salpingectomy             | 3       | 2            |
| Cesarean section               | 1       | 1            |
| Other                          | 1       | 1            |

Note: SD = standard deviation.

*Oral therapy comprised magnesium oxide, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and simethicone.

†Unless stated otherwise.

**Table 2: Frequency of surgery, length of hospital stay, occurrence of complications and recurrence of small-bowel obstruction**

| Outcome                              | Group; no. of patients |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
|                                      | Control | Intervention |
|                                      | 63     | 65           |
| Surgery needed                       | 15      | 6            |
| Length of hospital stay, d, mean (SD)| 4.2 (2.7) | 1.0 (0.7)   |
| Complications                        | 2       | 3            |
| Recurrence of small-bowel obstruction| 4       | 3            |

*Unless stated otherwise.
The effect of the obstruction on the bowel is similar to that of constipation and abdominal distension, so we chose dosages for the 3 medications that were the same as those used to treat constipation and abdominal distension. Spontaneous passage of stool and improved abdominal distension in our intervention group may imply that the medications helped the recovery of bowel peristalsis and passage of gas.

Delayed surgery in patients with partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction managed conservatively may be a source of concern because of the increased risk of complications. However, neither the morbidity nor the mortality rate was increased in our intervention group, which indicates the safety of this therapy.

Our study has several limitations. First, although it was prospective and randomized, it was not double-blinded, which leaves room for potential investigator bias in patient management. Second, the cases of partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction were suspected on the basis of the patient’s clinical presentation and medical history and confirmed with the use of plain radiography, but they were not definitively proven with contrast radiography. Therefore, some of the cases successfully treated with the oral therapy may not have been caused by adhesion. Third, although the patients were randomly assigned to the groups and the attending surgeon was blinded to their allocation, the attending surgeon may have seen the clamp on the nasogastric tube when the oral therapy was being administered and learned to which group a patient was assigned. Fourth, because this study was conducted at only one institution, the generalizability of our findings to other institutions remains unknown.

Compared with the traditional conservative management of partial adhesive small-bowel obstructions, in which patients are given nothing by mouth, the addition of oral therapy with a laxative, a digestant and a defoaming agent leads to a quicker resolution and shorter hospital stay. Further trials with larger patient samples are needed to verify the value of oral therapy for partial adhesive small-bowel obstructions observed in this study.

**Editor’s take**

- Partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction is usually managed conservatively by giving patients nothing by mouth and waiting to see if the obstruction resolves spontaneously.
- In this study consecutive patients were randomly assigned to usual management or management with oral administration of a laxative, a digestant and a defoaming agent.
- Patients given the oral therapy were more likely than the control subjects to have spontaneous resolution without the need for surgery (relative risk 1.19, 95% confidence interval 1.03–1.40) and to have shorter hospital stays.
- There were no serious adverse events from the oral therapy.

**Clinical implications:** Oral therapy appears to be helpful in the management of patients with partial adhesive small-bowel obstruction.
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