Process Simulation and Optimization of Anaerobic Co-Digestion
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Anaerobic digestion (AD) is an established method that has been extensively utilized for waste management, waste treatment, and biogas production. Anaerobic co-digestion (AcoD) is regarded as a practical approach to address substrate characteristics and system optimization issues. The distinction between AcoD and mono-digestion is that AcoD has a higher organic loading and significant substrate composition variation. There are many factors involved in AcoD which affect the biogas plant’s production ability and performance. Using response surface methodology (RSM) to forecast the optimal conditions for maximum biogas output, this article provides an overview of the different operational parameters in the AcoD process, modeling of the AcoD process, and overall process optimization. Standard software used for AcoD process simulation are Aspen Plus, SuperPro Designer, BioWin, CFD, and MATLAB. Review addresses design, development, and optimization frameworks for biogas production systems that take numerous aspects into account. The most significant AcoD optimization parameters include temperature, co-substrate concentration, inoculum ratio (percent), and C/N ratio.
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INTRODUCTION

Sustainable and renewable energies are significantly connected, available in abundance and considered sustainable like solar, wind, biomass, and geothermal. Sustainable energy is a form of energy that is free of cost and doesn’t support the fear of expiry (Lu et al., 2020). The clean energy produced from non-depleted sources or can be replenished and has low emission of greenhouse gases is called renewable energy. All sources of renewable energy depend on the sun directly or indirectly. Biomass energy is a source of energy from living organisms like wood, agricultural wastes, and manure. Biomass can be converted into biofuels via different methods of conversion: thermal, chemical and biological (Mofijur et al., 2013a; Mofijur et al., 2013b; Yu et al., 2021). Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a biological process that is carried out naturally through decomposition with respect to no oxygen presence; it allows microorganisms to digest organic matters to produce biogas which consists of hydrocarbon products (methane), leaving a stabilized solid product (digestate). Anaerobic digestion is commonly used for sewage treatment to stabilize the wastes (Hanum et al., 2019). Moreover, it has been used to treat industrial and farm waste lately (Uddin et al., 2021). Managing animal wastes has become essential for sustainable development and economic and environmental facts (Sakar et al., 2009).

Anaerobic co-digestion (AcoD) is a process that enhances the production of biogas by mixing more than substance in a digester with wastewater. Because of the diversity of waste available...
worldwide, it is regarded as one of the most active sources of renewable energy in the world (Neshat et al., 2017). The AcoD process supports waste management by using it to produce clean energy to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Using animal manure and organic wastes as feedstocks for co-digestion to produce biogas is an attractive solution (Ahmed et al., 2021). It can be implemented by two methods of the agricultural biogas plants (Holm-Nielsen et al., 2009). The phenomena of joint biogas plants are pretty beneficial. It is conducted by collecting animal manure from different farms and co-digesting it with organic residues from various places such as feed industries. The digester capacities range from a few hundred up to several thousand cubic meters. The farm-scale plants are similar to the joint co-digestion plants, where the animal manure and wastes are collected from one or two neighboring farms. In addition, the AcoD process is used to enhance the biogas yield (Fraizer, 2011).

Several experimental studies have been conducted for biogas production using AcoD approach (Bond et al., 2012; Xie et al., 2018; Hallaji et al., 2019; Chow et al., 2020). An experimental work using a batch reactor has been reported for biogas production using sewage sludge and cow dung (Rao and Baral, 2011). It is also found that kitchen waste and poultry manure are potential candidates for biogas production (Rahman et al., 2021). Researchers showed that the AcoD of animal manure and food waste could produce a high amount of methane yield using batch and semi-continuous processes (Zhang et al., 2013).

In the best AcoD performance, numerous factors must come together. To optimize the co-digestion system’s for higher methane yield, many factors such as temperature, pH, C/N ratio, particle size, substrate concentration and hydrolytic retention time will be critical (Siddique and Wahid, 2018). Studying different factors in a controlled environment is important to let the bacteria work at their maximum capacity for biogas generation. Applying mathematical and simulation models with these factors enables the co-digestion system to optimize and maximize methane production. As a result, research must be carried out to determine which simulation models can boost AcoD’s performance for on-demand bioenergy production. A lack of information in the present models is also recognized, and the development of new models may result in the buildup of knowledge gaps as well. The AcoD optimization of variables is critical for better process performance and the identification of an energy-efficient and cost-effective system. According to the findings, the AcoD procedure and all of its aftereffects may be fully reproduced. For scientists and engineers interested in the fundamental principles of AcoD, this article is a valuable resource.

FACTORS AFFECTING ACOD

Several parameters affect the overall performance of the anaerobic digestion process. The wastewater chemical properties, temperature, pH value, particle size, C/N ratio, organic loading rate, hydraulic retention time, alkalinity have to be tested for the Co-digestion system to get the optimum methane generation (Xie et al., 2016). To choose the suitable wastewater for AcoD, it is helpful to know the chemical properties of different wastewater. It is hard to find the chemical compositions of substrates for the wastewater because there are different wastewater sources. For example, the sugars decomposed with acidogenesis forming volatile fatty acid have a high concentration of sugar, which enhances the concentration of volatile fatty acid and leads to low pH in digestion. Moreover, the substrates rich in protein can produce a large amount of methane from organic wastes like ethanol industry waste and farmhouse waste. Vapor fatty acids and/or excessive levels of ammonia can impede and reduce the anaerobic digestion process if the carbon-to-nitrogen ratios are not appropriate. In addition, the rate of biogas generation can be enhanced by mixing the rich carbohydrate materials with a fat-rich material (Siddique and Wahid, 2018; Chow et al., 2020; Tait et al., 2021).

The temperature has an impact on the AD process’ microorganism function. As a result, it has an impact on biogas production. There are three types of temperature processes that may carry out AD: psychrophilic, thermophilic, and mesophilic. The gas production rate increases with raised temperature and the number of methane increases, so maintaining the temperature at constant value is required during the digestion process. The temperatures between 32 and 35°C make the production of methane most stable and continuous. Thus, the mesophilic process is more stable compared to others (Meegoda et al., 2018; Muthudineshkumar and Anand, 2019). The solubilization of organic matters and the fermentation to produce biogas are significantly affected by the pH concentration in the AD system. Even though most microorganisms prefer neutral pH concentrations between 6.8 and 7.2, different microorganisms need different values of optimal pH. For example, the preferred value of pH for hydrolyzing and acidogenic microorganisms is within the 5.5–6.5 range. Moreover, as mentioned before, the pH concentration decreases with the accelerated production of volatile fatty acid. This affects biogas production. To solve this problem, an alkali chemical like CaCO3 is recommended to be added (Abdelgadir et al., 2014; Muthudineshkumar and Anand, 2019; Zhou and Wen, 2019).

The particle size of waste influences the digestion process for biogas production. The smaller the particle size, the easier and faster it is to process, whereas the more prominent the particle, the more complex and dangerous the process becomes since it may clog. Moreover, decreasing the particle size of food waste from 8 to 2.5 mm increases gas production by 10–29% (Agyeman and Tao, 2014). C/N reflects the amount of carbon to the amount of nitrogen ratio present in an organic matter. The carbon is essential to provide a suitable substrate for AD and the nitrogen is necessary to feed the microorganisms on the proteins that are formatting from nitrogen (Meegoda et al., 2018). If the ratio of C/N is low, that means nitrogen will be released and accumulated to form ammonia, leading to an increase in the value of pH; therefore, biogas production will be reduced. Likewise, a high C/N ratio will rapidly consume the nitrogen by methanogenic bacteria to meet their protein requirement. It will not react with carbon thus, causing a decrease in biogas production. However, for
efficient biogas production C/N ratio is usually adjusted by mixing waste of low ratio with those of high ratio (Alexandra et al., 2019).

Organic loading rate (OLR) presents the number of dry organics injected to a digester per unit time; high OLR desired less energy for heating, boosts different microbial species and decreases digester size and cost. At the same time, low OLR causes inefficient AD technology. However, there are limitations in increasing the OLR where higher ORL can damage the circulating pump, lower the heat transfer, and create highly volatile fatty acid and ethanol (Nkuna et al., 2021). The volume of the digester over the flow rate of the digester is considered the hydraulic retention time (HRT) and it means the length of time needed to consume microorganisms inside the digester. The HRT and OLR have an inversely proportional relation between each other.

The microorganisms might die because of a shortage of nutrients when the HRT is long thus, short HRT is recommended for industrial application to decrease the digester volume, lower cost, and maximize the production of biogas and production of net electrical energy (Meegoda et al., 2018; Shaojie et al., 2020). One of the most important parameters for the AD is alkalinity and it measures the capacity of an AD to neutralize the acid. It happens because of the salts of weak acids. For AD, the maximum alkalinity as CaCO₃ is between 1,000 and 5,000 mg/L. Alkalinity increases with high HRT because at low HRT operation, the organic material has higher input. Even though alkalinity increases at the startup period until it reaches a steady-state, it will decrease in all reactors at the end, and this decrease can be controlled by adjusting the pH value (Lerdrattranataywee and Kaosol, 2015; Xuemei et al., 2018).

**MAIN AND CO-SUBSTRATE IN ACOD**

Cattle manure production in large-scale farms has increased around the world. Many environmental problems are caused by manure, such as greenhouse gas emissions, odor problems, the release of fecal coliform bacteria and pathogens, surface and ground contamination, rodents, insects, flies and other pests (Tuhaner and Avşar, 2016). Anaerobic digestion of cattle manure has been used for around 25–30 years (Callaghan et al., 2002), especially in the rural sector. This helps to decrease the negative outcomes of manure and produce valuable energy. However, the use of manure itself for biogas production was usually reported to have poor methane yield; thus, anaerobic co-digestion of manure with other biomass is recommended as it would be a cost-effective solution that would improve the efficiency of the process (Banks et al., 2011; Frigon et al., 2012).

Manure is a complex substrate made up of a large amount of dissolved and undissolved organic matter, such as proteins, lipids, and volatile fatty acids (VFA), and an abundant number of inorganic compounds (Hublin and Zelić, 2013). Furthermore, cattle manure contains complex lignin leftover from the fodder that the cattle intake, which is very resistant to AD process, thus limiting the biogas production. Manure is found to have a low C/N ratio which causes the ammonia concentrations to be higher than the requirement for microbial growth, where this could be restrictive to methanogens (Wang et al., 2012). Hence, co-substrate high in C/N ratio, or C-rich substrate, are needed for the AcoD with manure to overcome the problems, keep the pH level stable, and finally increase methane production. Several studies have been conducted about the AcoD of cattle manure with other biomass wastes as co-substrates (Atandi and Rahman, 2012; Hagos et al., 2017; Ambaye et al., 2020).

Fruit and vegetable wastes (FVW) have been used in several studies as a digester feedstock (Ji et al., 2017). Yet, it was also found that the nitrogen and phosphorus contents can be low; thus, FVW is suggested for co-digestion rather than monodigestion (Bouallagui et al., 2009). Callaghan et al. (2002) showed that varying the ratio of manure to FVW affects the methane yield. Their results showed that increasing FVW from 20 to 50% increased methane yield from 0.23 to 0.45 m³ CH₄/kg VS. Moreover, the waste-water residue found in the dairy industry has high biodegradability. When is co-digested with low biodegradable manure would increase the methane yield, rather than when manure alone is used. Labatut et al. (2011) investigated pure manure and manure with co-substrate using different ratios for methane production. Results showed the methane yields were 252.4 ml CH₄/g VS using Cheese whey as co-substrate compared to pure manure methane yield of 242.7 ml CH₄/g VS. The literature review showed that co-digestion of manure waste with other biomass waste could enhance the methane production, especially with easily-degradable carbohydrate-containing substrates. Table 1 showed the list of substrates used with cow manure in the AcoD process for methane production.

**SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF ACOD**

**Simulation of AcoD**

Experimental procedures can sometimes be expensive and time-consuming, and results would be inaccurate; thus, simulations are usually preferred. Process simulations would result in incorrect results for real-life applications if appropriate assumptions and software were used. However, minimal studies have been done on the simulations of AcoD. Literature showed that many researchers used anaerobic digestion model no 1 (ADM1) to simulate the AcoD process (García-Gen et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2014). Fezzani and Cheikh (2009) simulated the AcoD process of

| Substrates | Mixing ratio | Reference |
|------------|--------------|-----------|
| Olive mill waste | 3:1 | Gobena et al. (2010) |
| Fruits and Vegetable waste | 80:20 | Callaghan et al. (2002) |
| Grass silage | 90:10 | Lehtomäki et al. (2007) |
| Sugar beet by-products | 50:50 | Fang et al. (2011) |
| Corn gluten | 95:5 | Robra et al. (2010) |
| Wheat straw | 50:50 | Hashimoto. (1983) |
| Cheese whey | 35:65 | Comino et al. (2012) |
phenol compounds, olive mill wastewater, and solid waste using ADM1. There are few simulations performed for the AcoD process using municipal solid waste organic fractions and sewage sludge via the ADM1 modeling approach (Derbal et al., 2009; Esposito et al., 2011).

Simulation studies are available for AD using Aspen Plus software (Rajendran et al., 2014; Aguilar et al., 2017; Ravendran et al., 2019), but limited studies were found in which simulation was used to evaluate AcoD (Nduse and Oladiran, 2016; Inayat et al., 2019; Ankathi et al., 2021). Aspen Plus (AP) software is a chemical process simulator that allows users to design and simulate a process model or improve existing designs using complex equations. Various industrial modules are built in the system, by which different process flow sheets can be conducted and simulated by interconnecting modules. The advantage of the AP software is that it can simulate the actual plant behavior, as it applies fundamental engineering relationships for mass and energy balances and phase and chemical equilibrium (Nduse and Oladiran, 2016).

Inayat et al. (2019) used Aspen Plus to simulate the AcoD for biogas production using wastewater and animal manure as main substrates and compared results from using five cases of different ratios of co-substrates. The results obtained were the mole fractions of CO2 and CH4 in the biogas and sludge produced for all cases. It was found that using date tree leaves as a co-substrate with manure and wastewater at a ratio of 25% M: 50% WW: 25% DTL would result in a high yield of CH4 of around 50.55 mol%, but the CO2 emission was high; thus, the ratio of CH4/CO2 was low. On the other hand, it was also found that using date seeds as a co-substrate with a ratio of 25% M: 50% WW: 25% DS achieved 47.85 mol% of CH4, yet its CH4/CO2 ratio was much higher, which means that the CO2 emission is lower and this is more appealing and recommended. Figure 1 shows the simple simulation model using Aspen Plus software.

Nduse and Oladiran (2016) used another method known as the stoichiometry method, mainly using the degradation paths as the reaction phases. The paths only included carbohydrates and proteins and excluded fats since the fats do not have CH4 or CO2 in their end products. In addition, the mass composition of the carbohydrates and proteins of the feedstock are required to carry out the simulation. The simulation results were done for three cases, kitchen waste only, cow dung only, and co-digestion of both kitchen waste and cow dung. On the other hand, (Kovalovszki et al., 2017) presented a systematic approach for simulation using MATLAB as software for the simulation of AcoD process using manure, wastewater and different organic substracts. Simulation results showed agreement with the experimental data.

The simulation method can be the simplest way to conduct the parametric study for AcoD using Aspen Plus software for efficient results. Nevertheless, the software has several modules in the library that can be used; it depends on the complexity and precision that the user wants to have. Furthermore, a variety of different software can also be used to simulate anaerobic digestion, such as Aquasim, BioWin, Simba, STOAT (Sewage Treatment Operation Analysis over Time), and WEST (Worldwide Engine for Simulation, Training and Automation).

**Optimization of AcoD**

To maximize biogas production, process parameter optimization is critical. Optimization of AcoD for the process parameters serves additional study opportunities: ways to improve the efficiency and time spent on the process and opportunities to explore new possibilities. During optimization, several process parameters should be taken into consideration to check the possibility of a higher yield of biogas and an efficient and cost-effective process. The optimization and prediction of the anaerobic process can be significantly aided by artificial intelligence approaches such as neural networks, fuzzy logic, and expert systems.

More work needs to be done on optimizing AcoD under different digestion circumstances. Optimization of process parameters using the Response surface methodology (RSM) approach is considered an effective tool for AcoD process. Optimization using RSM is a set of mathematical and statistical techniques for modeling and analyzing the effects of multiple variables in a single variable. Furthermore, RSM is a time-saving approach for designing experiments used by predicting the coefficients in a mathematical model. Several studies have been conducted for AcoD optimization using RSM. Table 2 showed the list of optimization studies for AcoD using RSM. The maximum yield of biogas (or methane)
to the optimum parameters has also been summarized in Table 2. Different parameters are used for optimization, but temperature, co-substrate concentration, inoculum ratio (%) and C/N ratio are the most critical parameters for AcoD optimization. Results showed that methane yield interacted significantly with the chosen parameters. By increasing the number of volatile solids accessible, high substrate-to-inoculum ratios lead to high methane outputs. It is also observed that the high substrate to inoculum ratios might limit methanogenic bacteria and effect the methane production. Cow dung co-substrate has a carbon-to-nitrogen ratio of 15–35, according to recent research. The AcoD process’s performance is also influenced by pH. Despite the fact that research has proven that a pH of 7.0 is optimal for the AcoD process.

**CONCLUSION**

Producing biogas by using AcoD technology is considered a convenient source of energy rather than fossil fuel. Different factors that affect the AcoD process were stated. The RSM approach discussed simulation and optimization for the AcoD system to predict optimum parameters for maximum methane production. One of the important factors leading to improvements in AcoD technology is the interdependence of many components. When only one of these components is researched, the results may not be trustworthy. Biogas output may be boosted and the co-digestion process optimized with online measurements of key parameters. One of the primary future goals is to reduce capital expenditures. Optimization methods should be used to modify the ratio, concentration, and particle size of feedstock as well as mix various residues most efficiently. Investigations about sulfur, phosphorus, and nitrogen should have more focus, and a continuous fed digestion process must be studied to understand the interactions between AcoD factors. In addition, using the AcoD for the industrial application requires an appropriate simulation model using advanced software.
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**TABLE 2 | AcoD parameters optimization using RSM.**

| Substrates | Variables for optimization | Optimum conditions | Max. Methane at optimum conditions (ml CH₄/g VS.) | Ref |
|------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|
| Cow dung and flower waste | Temperature (°C) | 50 | 568 | Lakshmi et al. (2021) |
| | pH | 7.2 | | |
| | Substrate concentration (kg) | 100 | | |
| | Agitation time (s) | 5 | | |
| Dairy manure (DM), chicken manure (CM) and wheat straw | C/N ratio | 26.31 | 394 | Wang et al. (2013) |
| | Feeding composition | 42.96:57.04 | | |
| | Initial loading (g VS/L) | 15.90 | | |
| | Inoculum-to-substrate ratio | 2.34 | | |
| Peanut hulls and swine manure | TS% | 5.85 | 686.06 | Deng et al. (2019) |
| | C/N ratio | 34.06:1 | | |
| | Inoculum ratio (%) | 30 | | |
| Rice straw and food waste | C/N ratio | 30 | 323.78 | Jyoti et al. (2020) |
| | Food/microorganisms | 1.87 | | |
| | Initial pH | 7.32 | | |
| Dairy manure (DM), chicken manure (CM) and wheat straw | DM/CM ratio | 40.3:59.7 | 247.5 | Xiaojiao et al. (2012) |
| | C/N ratio | 27.2:1 | | |
| Poultry manure and food waste | Solid concentration | 7.38 | 6,344 ml of biogas | Deepannraj et al. (2021) |
| | pH | 7 | | |
| | Temperature (°C) | 48.43 | | |
| | Co-digestion (%) | 29 | | |
