Remifentanil Reduces Oxidative Stress and Inflammation and Attenuates Endothelial-Myocardial Injury in Patients Undergoing Mitral Valve Replacement Surgery
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Abstract

Background: Circulating endothelial cells (CEC) are sensitive markers and direct evidence of endothelial damage induced by cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). Remifentanil preconditioning (RP) has been demonstrated to attenuate CPB-induced organ damage, while whether the protection is related to reducing endothelial damage is unknown. This study aimed to investigate RP protection on vascular endothelial cells of patients undergoing open-heart surgery using CPB.

Methods: 50 patients undergoing mitral valve replacement surgery were randomly assigned either to the RP group (n=25) or the control group (C, n=25). Perioperative data were recorded and blood samples were obtained preoperatively (baseline, pre-CPB), 5 minutes, 1, 6, 12 and 24 hours post aortic-cross-clamping. CEC-release and parameters of endothelial function (vWillebrand-Factor (vWF), soluble-thrombomodulin (sTM)), inflammation (Interleukin-6, TNFα), oxidative stress (MDA), myocardial cellular injury (CK-MB, troponin-I) and cerebral injury (S-100β) were measured.

Results: Levels of CEC-release, parameters of myocardial (troponin-I, CK-MB) and cerebral injury (S-100β) were significantly increased after reperfusion compared to baseline or pre-CPB that were concomitant with significant increases in interleukin-6, TNFα and MDA (all P < 0.05). RP significantly attenuated the increase of all the above mentioned parameters as early as 5 minutes or 1 hour after reperfusion (aortic declamping) and onwards and improved post-operative cardiac function evidenced as significant enhancement of cardiac index (all P < 0.05 vs. control group).

Conclusion: Remifentanil attenuates endothelial-myocardial injury in patients undergoing mitral valve replacement surgery and the mechanism might be attributable to reduction in oxidative stress and inflammation during myocardial ischemia and reperfusion.

Keywords
Remifentanil preconditioning, Cardiopulmonary bypass, Circulating endothelial cells, Endothelial injury

Introduction

With the development of new techniques such as cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) to facilitate open-heart surgery the mortality rate due to myocardial infarctions, heart failure, or fatal arrhythmia after surgery has decreased significantly [1]. However, post-ischemic reperfusion injury occurred during CPB is a major cause of endothelial cell activation and injury [2-4] and may lead to multi-system organ dysfunction [5,6]. Vascular endothelial cell injury has been considered to be the initiating factor in cardiovascular disease [7,8]. Circulating endothelial cells (CEC) is endothelial cells detaching from the surface of tissue into the peripheral blood vessels and is a sensitive marker of endothelial damage with the value significantly elevated during CPB procedures. Thus, reducing CEC release is a key strategy in reducing CPB-induced endothelial cell damage and improving the long-term efficacy for patients during CPB.

Remifentanil preconditioning (RP), achieved by intravenous remifentanil infusion interspersed with infusion-free periods before ischemia, has been shown to attenuate post-ischemic reperfusion injury [9-13] in many organs. RP protection on CPB-induced myocardial [14] or cerebral [15] injury was also reported. However, very few study correlated RP effect on organ protection to the prevention of endothelial activation. Activation and injury of endothelial cells as a result of the contact of blood and artificial surfaces in the heart-lung machine during CPB has been considered as a key process in the manifestation of a whole body inflammatory reaction secondary to CPB [16] and oxidative stress initiated during myocardial ischemia-reperfusion injury [17].

In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the organ and endothelial protection of RP in patients un-
undergoing open-heart surgery with CPB and explore its potential association with systemic inflammation and oxidative stress.

**Material and Methods**

**Patients**

This study protocol was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee at YiChang Central People’s Hospital, YiChang, China (Clinical trial registration number: ChiCTR-IPR-15005829) and informed written consent was obtained from all study subjects. This clinical trial was performed in a total of 50 patients with American Society of Anesthesiologists physician status II to III and the same director of cardiothoracic surgery physician surgeon completed all the surgery. Patients were recruited according to the following criteria: All patients were scheduled for mitral valve replacement performed via CPB. Exclusion criteria included patients with cancer, liver and kidney dysfunction; patients taking immunosuppressants, cytotoxic drugs, hormone replacement therapy and patients using aspirin, warfarin and heparin before surgery. Sample size was estimated based on differences in CEC levels measured at 1 hour post-ACC in a pilot study. Sample size was calculated by PASS 11 software, with α = 0.05 and power = 0.8, which determined that the study would be adequately powered with n = 22 per group. We subsequently decided to recruit a total of 50 patients to allow potential drop off. Patients were randomly allocated to either the remifentanil preconditioning (RP, n = 25) or control group (C, n = 25) by opening an envelope.

**Anesthetic protocols and surgery**

All patients received standard premedication of scopolamine at 0.006 mg/kg and morphine at 0.1 mg/kg intramuscularly 30 min before surgery. In all groups, anesthesia was induced with midazolam at 0.05 mg/kg, etomidate at 0.3 mg/kg, fentanyl at 10 μg/kg and vecuronium at 0.15 mg/kg given intravenously. After induction, all patients received continuous infusions of fentanyl at rate of 0.6 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ and vecuronium at 2 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ during surgery. During anesthesia, patients were monitored by GE solor8000 with five-lead ECG, pulse oximetry, capnography, invasive arterial pressure and pulmonary artery pressure during the operation.

Surgery was conducted under conditions of Mild hypothermia CPB (patient Rectal temperature of 28-32 °C) with STOCKERT-III type cardiopulmonary bypass machine, which uses XiJing membrane oxygenator with no blood prefilled. 4:1 oxygenated blood intermittent cold crystalloid cardioplegia perfusion was applied. All patients during CPB received heparin at dosage of 3 mg/kg, priming 10 mg/L, and activated clotting time (ACT) during CPB was maintained above 480s during CPB. MAP (mean arterial blood pressure) was maintained between 50 and 70 mmHg during CPB. Heparin was neutralized with protamine after separation from CPB at a ratio of 1:1.

Remifentanil (4 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) was continuously infused for 5 min, repeated for three cycles at 5 min interval before CPB in the RP groups; the same volume of saline was infused in C group.

**Analysis of CEC frequency**

CEC frequency in the peripheral blood was determined by MACS [18]. Briefly, arterial blood samples were collected in 2, 7 ml EDTA tubes, and stored at 4 °C for a maximum of 24 h for later batch analysis. The monoclonal mouse anti-human CD146 antibody (Biocytex, Marseilles, France) was conjugated to anti-mouse IgG beads (Dynal M-450, Norway) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After cleaning the magnetic beads, they were resuspended and mixed with an anti-CD146 monoclonal antibody, then diluted to a final concentration of 1.4 × 10⁶ beads/ml using the PBS/BSA. 1 ml of whole blood was added into 20 ul cleaned antibody anti-CD146 beads, and samples were incubated on a rotator (10 rpm) for 30 min at 4 °C. An equal volume of buffer, placed in a magnetic rack for 2 min, and the remaining liquid was aspirated off the cells bound to magnetic beads, washing was repeated four times according to the instructions. Then, the cells were resuspended in 100 μl binding buffer and beads with acridine orange staining, fluorescence inverted phase contrast microscope counting. Another central venous 3.6 ml, 0.4 ml was added to plastic tubes containing sodium citrate in the mix, and samples were centrifuged on a rotator (3000 rpm) for 10 min at 4 °C, the upper plasma was drew to store at -20 °C. Criteria defining a CEC were: Fluorescein positive, 15-30 μm diameter of cell body and bound to at least 4 dynabeads [19]. The total number of CEC was normalized to a volume of one ml of peripheral blood.

**Hemodynamic evaluation**

All patients were monitored by invasive hemodynamic assessment using a pulmonary artery catheter. Data were recorded prior to CPB (pre-CPB), 5 min, 1 h, 6 h, 12 h and 24 h after aortic declamping.

Pumping dopamine or dobutamine (5 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) and milinone (0.375 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) before cardiac repulsion is our routine procedures for mitral valve replacement surgery.

Dopamine or dobutamine dosage was increased to treat a mean radial arterial blood pressure less than 65 mmHg despite optimization of preload, afterload and HR (heart rate). Using of dopamine or dobutamine (> 5 μg·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹) for a duration of 30 minutes or longer was
recorded as the need for inotropic support.

**Serological analyses**

Serological evaluation of patients’ blood was performed respectively prior to CPB (pre-CPB), 5 min, 1h, 6h, 12h and 24h after aortic declamping, which include cardiac enzymes creatine kinase -MB (CK-MB), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), troponin-I (cTnI) and S-100β protein. Additionally, von-Willebrand factor antigen (vWF) was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using the vWF ELISA kit (Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). For determination of soluble thrombomodulin concentration (sTM), a commercial solid phase sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbant assay kit was used (sTM ELISA kit. Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). S-100β protein was measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using the S-100β ELISA kit (Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China). Plasma malondialdehyde (MDA) and serum IL-6 were measured by commercial kits (Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China).

**Statistical analysis**

All data were analyzed by SPSS13.0 statistical software. Non-normal data are expressed as the median and analyzed after log conversion data. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± S.D. Descriptive statistics were computed for variables of interest and analyzed using univariate ANOVA. Continuous data were analyzed using ANOVA with repeated measures. Significance was assumed with a p-value < 0.05.

| Variable                                      | Group C | Group RP | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Gender (males/female)                         | 12/13   | 11/14    | NS      |
| Age (years)                                   | 50 ± 12 | 47 ± 13  | NS      |
| Weight (kg)                                    | 56 ± 7  | 55 ± 10  | NS      |
| LVEF (%)                                      | 51 ± 9  | 54 ± 8   | NS      |
| Diabetes (n)                                   | 2       | 3        | NS      |
| Hypertension (n)                               | 1       | 2        | NS      |
| COPD (n)                                      | 2       | 2        | NS      |
| Etiology of mitral diseases                   |         |          |         |
| Severe mitral stenosis (n)                    | 5       | 4        | NS      |
| Severe mitral regurgitation (n)                | 3       | 2        | NS      |
| Mixed valve disease (n)                       | 17      | 19       | NS      |
| Preoperative medication                       |         |          |         |
| Diuretics (n)                                  | 20      | 18       | NS      |
| β-Blockers (n)                                 | 9       | 10       | NS      |
| Digoxin (n)                                    | 12      | 14       | NS      |

| Variable                                      | Group C | Group RP | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| Intra-operative data                          |         |          |         |
| CPB time (min)                                | 75 ± 12 | 81 ± 14  | NS      |
| Aortic cross-clamp time (min)                 | 62 ± 12 | 61 ± 15  | NS      |
| Operative time (min)                          | 272 ± 31| 281 ± 29 | NS      |
| Rectal temperature (°C)                       | 29.6 ± 1.1| 29.2 ± 0.8 | NS      |
| Patients requiring cardioversion to restore rhythm after CPB (n) | 6       | 1        | < 0.05  |
| Postoperative data                            |         |          |         |
| Dopamine or dobutamine > 5 ug·kg\(^{-1}\)·min\(^{-1}\) (n) | 8       | 3        | < 0.05  |
| Transitory psychotic disorder syndrome        | 2       | 1        | NS      |
| Chest tube drainage (24h)                     | 449 ± 131| 356 ± 98 | < 0.05  |
| Transfusion of Red Blood Cells (u)            | 1.0 ± 1.2| 0.8 ± 1.1| NS      |
| Transfusion of Fresh Frozen Plasma (ml)       | 174 ± 152| 154 ± 130| NS      |
| Duration of ventilation (h)                   | 3.2 ± 0.8| 2.9 ± 0.8| NS      |
| Intensive care stay (h)                       | 24.2 ± 8.4| 22.8 ± 9.6 | NS      |
| Hospital stay (day)                           | 12 ± 4  | 11 ± 3   | NS      |
| Mortality (n)                                 | 0       | 0        | NS      |
| Stroke (n)                                    | 0       | 0        | NS      |
Results

General data

Patients’ perioperative demographics are summarized in Table 1 and Table 2. There was no statistical difference in patient characteristics in terms of age, gender, weight, aortic cross-clamp time, ventilation time, transfusion requirements and total intensive care stay between C and RP groups. Generally, no mortality, perioperative stroke was observed during the entire study period. Fewer patients in RP group required cardioversion to restore rhythm after CPB than in C group ($P < 0.05$). In addition, fewer patients in RP group required postoperative moderate inotrope support compared with the C group. Fewer overall chest tube drainage was showed in RP group ($P < 0.05$ vs. C). However, perioperative incidence of transient psychotic disorder syndromes was low and equally distributed in both groups.

Hemodynamic evaluation

Patients’ hemodynamics heart rate (HR), mean arte-
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**Figure 1:** Perioperative cardiac index (CI): Serial time course of CI measured by pulmonary artery catheter in Control group (C, red line) and Remifentanil preconditioning group (RP, blue line). Values are means ± S.D. $^*P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.01$ compared with Baseline and pre-CPB; $^#P < 0.05$ compared with C.
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**Figure 2:** Perioperative numbers of circulating endothelial cells (CEC): Serial time course of CEC values in Control group (C, blue line) and Remifentanil preconditioning group (RP, red line). Values are means ± S.D. $^*P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.01$ compared with Baseline and pre-CPB; $^#P < 0.05$ compared with C.
Circulating Endothelial Cells (CEC)

As showed in Figure 2, preoperative CEC numbers (cells per milliliter of blood) did not differ between two groups. After perfusion, CEC value was significantly elevated in both RP and C groups compared to baseline or pre-CPB ($P < 0.05$), at 5 min, 1h, 6h and 12h post-ACC and peaked at 1h after perfusion. CEC numbers in RP group was significantly less than that in C group ($P < 0.05$) during early reperfusion (5 min, 1h and 6h post-ACC).

Serology

As shown in Figure 3A–Figure 3C, TNFα, MDA and IL-6 concentrations didn’t differ between group C and group RP at baseline and pre-CPB. MDA and IL-6 steady increased till 1h post-ACC while TNFα concentration started to decrease at 6h post-ACC ($P < 0.05$ compared with Baseline and pre-CPB). After reperfusion, all parameters in group RP were significantly lower than that in group C till they reached peak value ($P < 0.05$).

Baseline and pre-CPB serum levels of CK-MB, cTnI, S-100β, vWF and sTM did not differ between groups, but concentrations of all the above parameters significantly increased upon reperfusion at 5 min post-ACC and onwards ($P < 0.05$ compared with Baseline and pre-CPB). Level of CK-MB peaked at 12h, S-100β peaked at 6h and cTnI, vWF and sTM peaked at 1h post-ACC, respectively in both RP and C groups. After reperfusion, plasma CK-MB, cTnI and S-100β concentrations in group RP were significantly lower than that in group C respectively ($P < 0.05$). Serum levels of CK-MB, S-100β and cTnI was significantly increased in both the RP group and C group starting from 5 min post-ACC while significant elevation of vWF and sTM levels were not apparent until after 1h post-ACC (All $P < 0.05$ vs. C, Figure 4) in both groups and the values of vWF and sTM in RP groups was significantly lower than that in C group.

Discussion

In the present study, we showed that patients with CPB had a significantly more pronounced endothelial activation/injury demonstrated by the numbers of circulating endothelial cells. Also, serum derived from patients after CPB exerted an increased concentration of cardiac injury indicators (TNFα, MDA, CK-MB and cTnI), inflammatory cytokine IL-6 and brain injury indicator S100β during early reperfusion (1h and 6h post-ACC) (Figure 1).
since these cells are very rarely found in the blood of healthy people, the increased number reflects the degree of endothelial activation or damage in patients after a period of CPB \[21\], \[22\]. RP successfully attenuated the levels of CECs from early reperfusion (5 min post-ACC) and the effect was most profound at 1h and throughout the observation period of 24 h. The effect of RP on the reduction

**Figure 4:** Perioperative serological evaluation of patients: Serial time course of CK-MB (A), cTnl (B), S100β (C), vWF (D) and sTM (E) in Control group (C) and Remifentanil preconditioning group (RP). Values are means ± S.D. *P < 0.05 or \#P < 0.01 compared with Baseline and pre-CPB; \*P < 0.05 compared with C.

significantly attenuated the CPB-induced myocardial and brain injury as well as systemic inflammation, and this protection may correlated with the effect on ameliorating endothelial activation/injury showed by less CEC release and lower vWF and sTM levels after RP treatment.

CEC may be the most direct marker of endothelial activation/injury [20]. Since these cells are very rarely found in the blood of healthy people, the increased number reflects the degree of endothelial activation or damage in patients after a period of CPB [21,22]. RP successfully attenuated the levels of CECs from early reperfusion (5 min post-ACC) and the effect was most profound at 1h and throughout the observation period of 24 h. The effect of RP on the reduction
of CEC release should be attributable to the effects of RP in attenuating myocardial and cerebral injury. This is evidenced as the increase of post-operative CI and the decreases in TNFα, MDA, CK-MB, IL-6, cTnI and S100β after reperfusion in patients with treated with RP. Of note, the effect of RP on ameliorating endothelial injury is postponed to 1h post-ACC and this may explained as that CEC acted as an endothelial injury initiating factor and the complete endothelial restoration by RP has not yet been accomplished at the time when CEC release dropped.

Remifentanil-based anesthesia has been shown to have significant advantages for fast-track cardiac surgery [23] given the rapid clearance of remifentanil [24]. In addition, the metabolism of remifentanil is not affected by plasma cholinesterase and anti-cholinesterase drugs and the regression of drug action is mainly due to rapid clearance of the drug without redistribution [25]. Thus, it avoids accumulation problems during anesthesia with drugs like fentanyl, alfentanil, sufentanil, etc. after a long time of intravenous infusion. The myocardial and cerebral protections of remifentanil make RP a promising and a novel avenue for the prevention of organ damage in patients undergoing cardiac surgery with CPB.

The study should be considered worthy of further investigation in larger studies, because the relatively small sample size might represent a limitation to our conclusions. However, the major finding of the present investigation is the fact that RP can reduce the release of CEC, reducing endothelial injury, resulting in effects of organ protective and less morbidity in open-heart surgery. It should be noted that due to the differences in different valve replacement procedures, including surgical methods, CPB time, and other hemodynamic characteristics, we conducted this study purposely in patients undergoing mitral valve replacement surgery. We believe that theoretically the remifentanil cardioprotective effects could also be achieved in other open heart surgeries under cardiopulmonary bypass, but this needs to be further confirmed.

Conclusion

Remifentanil preconditioning can reduce the release of CEC, reducing endothelial injury, resulting in effects of organ protective and less morbidity in open-heart surgery.
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