INTRODUCTION

Cancer cachexia develops in 40% of all-stage cancer patients,\(^1\) while in the elderly and in patients with advanced cancers it is even more frequent.\(^2\) Cancer cachexia causes a decline in QOL and impairment of physical function.\(^3\) Possible determinants include cancer-related inflammatory cytokines,\(^4,5\) increased oxidative stress,\(^6\) increased catabolism,\(^7\) and anorexia.\(^8,9\)

**Abstract**

Skeletal muscle volume is associated with prognosis of cancer patients. Maintenance of skeletal muscle is an essential concern in cancer treatment. In nutritional intervention, it is important to focus on differences in metabolism between tumor and skeletal muscle. We examined the influence of oral intake of glucose (0%, 10%, 50%) and 2% medium-chain fatty acid (lauric acid, LAA, C12:0) on tumor growth and skeletal muscle atrophy in mouse peritoneal metastasis models using CT26 mouse colon cancer cells and HT29 human colon cancer cells. After 2 weeks of experimental breeding, skeletal muscle and tumor were removed and analyzed. Glucose intake contributed to prevention of skeletal muscle atrophy in a sugar concentration-dependent way and also promoted tumor growth. LAA ingestion elevated the level of skeletal muscle protein and suppressed tumor growth by inducing tumor-selective oxidative stress production. When a combination of glucose and LAA was ingested, skeletal muscle mass increased and tumor growth was suppressed. Our results confirmed that although glucose is an important nutrient for the prevention of skeletal muscle atrophy, it may also foster tumor growth. However, the ingestion of LAA inhibited tumor growth, and its combination with glucose promoted skeletal muscle integrity and function, without stimulating tumor growth. These findings suggest novel strategies for the prevention of skeletal muscle atrophy.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Cancer cachexia develops in 40% of all-stage cancer patients,\(^1\) while in the elderly and in patients with advanced cancers it is even more frequent.\(^2\) Cancer cachexia causes a decline in QOL and impairment of physical function.\(^3\) Possible determinants include cancer-related inflammatory cytokines,\(^4,5\) increased oxidative stress,\(^6\) increased catabolism,\(^7\) and anorexia.\(^8,9\)
As defined in the cachexia consensus conference in 2008, "cachexia, is a complex metabolic syndrome associated with underlying illness and characterized by loss of muscle with or without loss of fat mass." Thus, the skeletal muscle atrophy accompanying cancer cachexia is considered a major pathogenic factor. Importantly, a strong positive correlation has been reported between skeletal muscle mass and survival rate in patients with cancer. It was reported that survival is remarkably maintained when skeletal muscle mass was increased using an activin IIB receptor inhibitor in a mouse cachexia model. Despite no significant difference in tumor weight between the two groups, the survival rate was 80% in the mice in the skeletal muscle increase group whereas all the mice in the cachexia group become moribund.

Impaired tolerability to cancer treatments, such as chemotherapy, has been identified as one of the possible causes of decreased survival in sarcopenic patients. Therefore, therapeutic suppression of skeletal muscle atrophy during cancer cachexia is considered vitally important. Moreover, Bye et al. reported the effects of skeletal muscle mass on performance and quality of life in cancer patients. It has also been reported that skeletal muscle mass correlates with high physical function and retention of QOL; maintenance of skeletal muscle by nutritional intervention and resistance training has also been reported to improve the survival prognosis and QOL of cancer patients.

In the treatment of cachexia, measures for the management of reduced food intake and for tackling metabolic changes as a result of inflammation are considered necessary. In recent years, nutritional intervention has been proposed as a treatment for cancer patients with cachexia and malnutrition. However, some nutrients may promote tumors, such as linoleic acid and carbohydrates; therefore, the impact of nutritional intervention on both muscles and tumors should be carefully considered. However, few reports have addressed this problem in cancer patients.

In the present study, we focused on the distinct metabolic requirements of tumor and skeletal muscle cells and evaluated the impact of two types of nutrients, a sugar and a lipid, on skeletal muscle atrophy. Sugars are generally metabolized by glycolysis, whereas MCFA are promptly incorporated into mitochondria, stimulating oxidative phosphorylation. Carbohydrates have been reported to promote cell proliferation in both tumor and skeletal muscle cells in vitro. In contrast, MCFA were shown to inhibit the proliferation of tumor cells, while there is no evidence of any MFCA-related suppressive effect on skeletal muscle.

Several open questions remain on the mechanism of cancer cachexia, and clinical trials still lag behind. Therefore, animal models are an indispensable tool in research on cachexia. Syngeneic rodent models are thought to elicit reasonable immune and metabolic responses and are useful to study cachexia. Herein, a syngeneic mouse peritoneal dissemination model strongly inducing cachexia was used to examine the effects of carbohydrates and MCFA on tumor growth and skeletal muscle atrophy within the same individuals.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Cell culture

CT26 mouse colon cancer cell line was a kind gift from Professor I.J. Fidler (MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA). Human colon cancer cell line HT29 was purchased from Dainihon Pharmacy Co. The cells were cultured in DMEM (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd) supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co.).

### 2.2 Animals

Five-week-old male BALB/c mice were purchased from SLC Japan. The animals were maintained in a pathogen-free animal facility under a 12/12 hours light/dark cycle in a temperature (22°C)- and humidity-controlled environment, in accordance with the institutional guidelines approved by the Committee for Animal Experimentation of Nara Medical University, Kashihara, Japan, following current regulations and standards of the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare (approval nos. 11812, 11857, 11916, 12043 and 12262). Animals were acclimatized to their housing for 7 days before the start of the experiment.

For the subcutaneous tumor model, CT26 cancer cells (1 × 10^7 in 0.2 mL per mouse) were inoculated into the mouse scapular tissue. For the peritoneal dissemination tumor model, CT26 cancer cells (1 × 10^7 in 0.2 mL per mouse) were inoculated into the mouse peritoneal cavity.

To measure tumor weight, mice were killed and the subcutaneous tumors were excised, whereas the peritoneal tumors were dissected from the intestine, mesenterium, diaphragm, and abdominal wall, grossly removing non-tumoral tissues. For preparation of skeletal muscles, the QFM was cut at the muscle end on the upper edge of the patella, peeled off from the femur, and separated at the muscle origin on the frontal surface of the anterior lower iliac spine. The excised QFM was weighed immediately, avoiding drying. After measurement, QFM was stored frozen at −80°C.

### 2.3 Diet and drink

Glucose solution (50% glucose for injection, Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd) was used directly or diluted to 10% with distilled water for drinking. CE-2 diet (containing 5% crude fat, mainly derived from soybean oil; CLEA Japan, Inc.) was used as control diet. Lauric acid diet was prepared by mixing 0%, 2%, or 5% (w/w) LAA (12-carbon saturated MCFA; Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd) with control diet (CE-2). Dietary nutrients of LAA diets are indicated in Table 1. Intakes of food, LAA, and calories per mouse were calculated based on the total daily intake of three mice in each group.

### 2.4 Protein extraction

The muscle mass stored at −80°C was crushed with a hammer to remove tendons and fascia. Only the muscle tissue was washed with...
Next, the effect of glucose intake on skeletal muscle was examined. As shown in Figure 1G, the weight of the QFM was significantly lower in tumor-bearing (both normal diet and glucose loaded) than in non-tumor-bearing mice. Notably, glucose loading tended to increase muscle weight in non-cancer-bearing, but not in cancer-bearing mice. In order to examine the functional maturity of skeletal muscle, the concentration of SDS-soluble MYL1 was measured in the QFM (Figure 1H). Glucose was found to increase the MYL1 level in both non-tumor and tumor-bearing groups.

### 3.2 Effect of LAA intake on skeletal muscle in non-tumor-bearing mice

We examined the effects of LAA on the skeletal muscle of BALB/c mice (Table 2). No significant changes in body and QFM weight were observed after giving 2% LAA.

### 3.3 Mouse peritoneal dissemination model for cancer cachexia

As shown in Figure 2A, CT26 cells were inoculated i.p. into syngeneic BALB/c mice to induce peritoneal dissemination. As a result, after an average of 14 days, the mice became moribund and were killed. Macroscopically, tumor-bearing mice showed deterioration of coat and reduced level of exercise. Overall body weight was significantly decreased in tumor-bearing mice in comparison with that in non-tumor-bearing mice (Figure 2C). Loss of adipose tissue (Figure 2D) and ascites retention was more pronounced in tumor-bearing mice (Figure 2E). In non-tumor-bearing mice, ascites was not measurable. Moreover, the decrease in both skeletal muscle weight and mature (SDS-soluble) myosin was found in tumor-bearing in comparison with non-tumor-bearing mice (Figure 2G,H). Based on these findings, the CT26 colon cancer peritoneal dissemination mouse model proved to be a suitable system to explore cancer-related sarcopenia and cachexia.

### 3.4 Effects of giving LAA or LAA plus glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle in CT26 tumor-bearing mice

Next, the effects of giving dietary 2% LAA or 2% LAA + 10% glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle were examined in the CT26 mouse colon cancer cachexia model (Figure 2A,B). In the LAA group, body weight including ascites significantly decreased compared to the control diet (CD) group (Figure 2C). However, body weight excluding ascites did not differ among CD, LAA and LAA + glucose groups. Notably, the weight of adipose tissue decreased in the LAA group in comparison with the CD group (Figure 2D).

In the LAA group, ascitic fluid volume and tumor weight were significantly lower than in the CD group. In the LAA + glucose group, ascites was decreased in comparison with the CD group; however, tumor weight was not significantly different from the CD group (Figure 2E,F). Body weight excluding ascites and skeletal muscle

| TABLE 1 Dietary nutrients in lauric acid (LAA) diets |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Diet                        | Control | 2% LAA | 5% LAA |
| LAA (%)                     | 0       | 2       | 5       |
| Moisture (%)                | 8.83    | 8.6534  | 8.3885  |
| Crude protein (%)           | 25.13   | 24.6274 | 23.8735 |
| Crude fat (%)               | 4.92    | 4.8216  | 4.674   |
| Crude fiber (%)             | 4.42    | 4.3316  | 4.199   |
| Crude ash (%)               | 6.86    | 6.7228  | 6.517   |
| NFE (%)                     | 49.84   | 48.8432 | 47.348  |
| Energy (kcal)               | 344.2   | 355.316 | 371.99  |

NFE, nitrogen-free extract.

cold PBS and pelleted with a sonicator (QSONICA; WakenBtech Co. Ltd). Whole-cell lysates were prepared as previously described using 0.1% SDS-added RIPA-buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Protein assay was carried out using a Protein Assay Rapid Kit (Wako Pure Chemical Corporation).

#### 2.5 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

An ELISA kit was used to measure the concentration of myosin light chain 1/3 isoform, myosin light chain 1 (MYL1) (CSB-EL015305MO; Cusabio Biotech Co., Ltd), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

#### 2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was calculated using two-tailed Fisher’s exact test, $\chi^2$ test, unpaired Student’s $t$ test with Bonferroni correction, and ANOVA using InStat software (version 3.0; GraphPad Software, Inc.). Data are expressed as mean ± SD of three independent experiments. $P$ values <.05 (two-sided) were considered indicative of statistically significant differences.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Effect of glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle

First, we examined the effect of glucose intake on tumor growth and skeletal muscle atrophy in the syngeneic mouse subcutaneous tumor model (Figure 1). Glucose was given by free drinking as shown in Figure 1A. Glucose intake (Figure 1B) and mean body weight (Figure 1C) were not different between groups. Blood sugar levels were higher in tumor-bearing mice in each glucose concentration group (Figure 1D).

Tumor size increased by approximately threefold compared to control mice in either group of 50% and 10% glucose drinking. In addition, a significant difference was observed between the control group and the glucose-drinking groups (Figure 1E). Moreover, in tumor-bearing mice, a significant negative correlation was observed between the blood glucose level and tumor diameter, which suggests that tumor growth may result in a decrease in blood sugar (Figure 1F).

#### 3.2 Effect of LAA intake on tumor and skeletal muscle in non-tumor-bearing mice

We examined the effects of LAA on the skeletal muscle of BALB/c mice (Table 2). No significant changes in body and QFM weight were observed after giving 2% LAA.

#### 3.3 Mouse peritoneal dissemination model for cancer cachexia

As shown in Figure 2A, CT26 cells were inoculated i.p. into syngeneic BALB/c mice to induce peritoneal dissemination. As a result, after an average of 14 days, the mice became moribund and were killed. Macroscopically, tumor-bearing mice showed deterioration of coat and reduced level of exercise. Overall body weight was significantly decreased in tumor-bearing mice in comparison with that in non-tumor-bearing mice (Figure 2C). Loss of adipose tissue (Figure 2D) and ascites retention was more pronounced in tumor-bearing mice (Figure 2E). In non-tumor-bearing mice, ascites was not measurable. Moreover, the decrease in both skeletal muscle weight and mature (SDS-soluble) myosin was found in tumor-bearing in comparison with non-tumor-bearing mice (Figure 2G,H). Based on these findings, the CT26 colon cancer peritoneal dissemination mouse model proved to be a suitable system to explore cancer-related sarcopenia and cachexia.

#### 3.4 Effects of giving LAA or LAA plus glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle in CT26 tumor-bearing mice

Next, the effects of giving dietary 2% LAA or 2% LAA + 10% glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle were examined in the CT26 mouse colon cancer cachexia model (Figure 2A,B). In the LAA group, body weight including ascites significantly decreased compared to the control diet (CD) group (Figure 2C). However, body weight excluding ascites did not differ among CD, LAA and LAA + glucose groups. Notably, the weight of adipose tissue decreased in the LAA group in comparison with the CD group (Figure 2D).

In the LAA group, ascitic fluid volume and tumor weight were significantly lower than in the CD group. In the LAA + glucose group, ascites was decreased in comparison with the CD group; however, tumor weight was not significantly different from the CD group (Figure 2E,F). Body weight excluding ascites and skeletal muscle
FIGURE 1  Effect of glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle in mice. A, Effect of giving glucose on tumor growth and skeletal muscle atrophy was examined after ad libitum access to glucose water (0%, 10% and 50%) in BALB/c mice with or without subcutaneous tumors deriving from CT26 mouse colon cancer cells. Each group consisted of three mice. B, Total glucose intake in each group. C, Body weight; D, blood sugar; E, tumor diameter; and G, weight of quadriceps femoris muscle (QFM, two muscles per mouse) in each group at death. F, Correlation between blood sugar level and tumor diameter. The correlation was evaluated by Spearman regression test \((R = -0.5345, P < .05)\). H, Content of 1% SDS-soluble myosin light chain in QFM tissues was examined by ELISA. Error bar, standard error. Statistical significance was calculated by Student’s t test.

TABLE 2  Effect of lauric acid (LAA) intake on skeletal muscle of BALB/c mice

| Group | Food intake\(^b\) (g/d per mouse) | LAA intake\(^b\) (g/d per mouse) | Body weight (g) | Muscle weight (g) |
|-------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Control | 7                                 | 0                                | 26.5 ± 1.5       | 0.2 ± 0.01        |
| LAA 2%   | 6.8                               | 0.09                             | 27 ± 1.8         | 0.22 ± 0.01\(^*\) |
| LAA 5%   | 4.9                               | 0.18                             | 22 ± 1.6         | 0.18 ± 0.01       |

\(^a\)Each group contained three mice. \(^b\)The value per mouse was calculated from the intake in one cage (three mice). \(^*\)P < .05, calculated by ANOVA.
weight were higher in the LAA and the LAA + glucose groups compared to the CD group. There was no difference between LAA and the LAA + glucose groups (Figure 2G). Mature myosin (SDS-soluble MYL1) was significantly increased in the LAA and the LAA + glucose groups compared to the CD group (Figure 2H) and its level was higher in the LAA + glucose group than in the LAA group.

3.5 Effects of giving LAA or LAA plus glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle in HT29 tumor-bearing mice

Finally, the effects of giving dietary 2% LAA or 2% LAA + 10% glucose on tumor and skeletal muscle were examined in the
HT29 human colon cancer cachexia model (Figure 3A,B). In the LAA group, body weight including ascites significantly decreased compared to the control diet (CD) group (Figure 3C). However, body weight excluding ascites did not differ among CD, LAA and LAA + glucose groups. The weight of adipose tissue decreased in the LAA group in comparison with the CD groups (Figure 3D).

In the LAA group, ascitic fluid volume and tumor weight were significantly lower than in the CD group (Figure 3E,F). Body weight excluding ascites and skeletal muscle weight were higher in the LAA and the LAA + glucose groups compared to the CD group. There was no difference between LAA and the LAA + glucose groups (Figure 3G). SDS-soluble MYL1 was significantly increased in the LAA and the LAA + glucose groups compared to the CD group (Figure 3H).
In the present study, we used a mouse cachexia model to examine the ability of glucose and medium-chain fatty acids to suppress skeletal muscle atrophy. Although glucose had a strong muscle-protective action, it also promoted tumor growth, whereas medium-chain fatty acids inhibited muscle atrophy and exerted an antitumor effect.

Glucose loading caused an increase in skeletal muscle weight and SDS-soluble MYL1, which was indicative of skeletal muscle maturation. In mouse myoblasts and myotubes, glucose was reported to promote skeletal muscle growth by enhancing myoblast proliferation and differentiation in a concentration-dependent way. Conversely, glucose restriction suppresses skeletal muscle differentiation and results in muscle atrophy. Moreover, insulin is important for myocyte proliferation and differentiation along with glucose intake. In this study, as the mice showed normal blood glucose levels, it was thought that glucose was taken into skeletal muscle and metabolized for muscle remodeling. These findings also suggested that glucose was important for the suppression of skeletal muscle atrophy in tumor-bearing mice.

However, increased tumor growth was observed upon glucose loading. According to the Warburg effect, tumors are characterized by a shift in energy production towards glycolysis and lactate fermentation. Therefore, glucose is used for the growth of cancer cells. Interestingly, our data showed a negative correlation between tumor size and blood glucose levels. Decreased blood glucose in tumor-bearing mice is thought to be as a result of dysregulation of sugar utilization, which is excessive sugar intake by tumors. However, increased tumor growth was observed upon glucose loading alone but was suppressed by the LAA/glucose combination. Thus, glucose suppresses muscle atrophy, but it may promote tumor growth. This makes it hard to define the appropriate glucose dosage in cancer patients. This study did not examine glucose uptake into tumor and skeletal muscle and requires further exploration.

K-ras is mutated in CT26 mouse colon cancer cells. K-ras mutant tumors are characterized by enhanced Warburg effect: increased glucose uptake, enhanced glycolysis and glutaminolysis. Then the question arises whether the antitumoral effect of LAA can be seen in tumors with K-ras-specific or other driver genes. We then examined HT29 human colon cancer cells, which have a V600E B-raf mutation. Our data showed that HT29 tumors were reduced by LAA but enhanced by glucose as shown in CT26 tumors. V600E B-raf mutation also shifts energy metabolism to aerobic glycolysis from oxidative phosphorylation in melanomas. In spite of the differences in driver genes affecting energy metabolism, Warburg-deviated cancers might be impaired by LAA. As cancers generally show the Warburg effect, antitumoral effect of LAA is expected to be effective for cancers other than colorectal cancer.

Lauric acid resulted in an increase in skeletal muscle weight, as well as in SDS-soluble MYL1, albeit these effects were not as pronounced as with glucose. Medium-chain fatty acids are taken into mitochondria without carnitine shuttle, undergo β-oxidation, and are used for oxidative phosphorylation in the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle. Therefore, in skeletal muscle cells, medium-chain fatty acids lead to efficient ATP production by oxidative phosphorylation without increasing oxidative stress or inhibiting glycolytic metabolism. Thus, medium-chain fatty acids are considered an effective energy source for skeletal muscle.

Notably, LAA suppressed tumor growth. We have previously reported that LAA induces a marked increase in oxidative stress in CT26 cells in vitro, resulting in cell death. CT26 cells were found to have an imbalance in the expression of mitochondrial genes of the electron transport system, and this is thought to be the reason for LAA-induced oxidative stress through the promotion of oxidative phosphorylation. As imbalanced expressions in mitochondrial genes are frequently found in cancer cells, the antitumor effect of LAA is considered to be a general phenomenon. In skeletal muscle cells and other non-cancer cells, there are no mutations in mitochondrial genes and hence no imbalanced expression; thus LAA is unlikely to increase oxidative stress, and cytotoxicity is considered a tumor-specific event. However, we have reported that when a high concentration of 5% or 10% LAA diet, but not 2% LAA diet, is given to a normal myocardium, oxidative stress and myocardial atrophy occurs. Overload of long-chain fatty acids provided dysregulation of mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation in skeletal muscle. In the present study, 2% LAA increased skeletal muscle weight, whereas 5% LAA did not. Thus, an appropriate dosage should be established before applying LAA-supplemented diets to humans.

We further tested a combination of LAA and glucose loading. LAA/glucose combination resulted in a significant increase in both skeletal muscle weight and SDS-MYL1 level as compared to LAA alone. Tumor growth was increased by glucose loading alone but was suppressed by the LAA/glucose combination. Thus, a single combined administration of LAA and glucose resulted in skeletal muscle preservation without fostering tumor growth. Interestingly, one study reported that LAA promotes glycolytic myofiber formation by Toll-like receptor-4 signaling, and suggested that the combined use of LAA and carbohydrates may be beneficial for such a process.

The present study suggested that the combination of glucose loading and medium-chain fatty acids may alleviate cancerous muscle atrophy without promoting tumor growth. These findings are expected to result in future clinical application.
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