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Abstract

Background The inflammatory response after aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (aSAH) has been associated with early brain injury, delayed cerebral ischemia, poor functional outcome, and case-fatality. In experimental SAH studies, complement C5 antibodies administered shortly after SAH reduced brain injury with approximately 40%. Complement component C5 may be a new therapeutic target to reduce brain injury and hereby improve outcome after aSAH. We aim to investigate the pharmacodynamic efficacy and safety of eculizumab (complement C5 antibody) in patients with aSAH.

Methods A randomized, controlled, open-label, phase II clinical trial with blinded outcome assessment. Eculizumab (1200 mg) is administered intravenously <12 hours, on day 3 and on day 7 after ictus. Patients in the intervention group receive prophylactic antibiotics for 4 weeks, and those with a central line or an external ventricular shunt and a positive fungal or yeast culture also receive prophylactic antifungal therapy for 4 weeks. The primary outcome is C5a concentration in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) on day 3 after ictus. Secondary outcomes include the occurrence of adverse events, inflammatory parameters in blood and CSF, cerebral infarction on magnetic resonance imaging, and clinical- and cognitive outcomes. We aim to evaluate 26 patients with CSF assessments, 13 in the intervention group and 13 in the comparator group. To compensate for early case-fatality and inability to obtain CSF, we will include 20 patients per group.

Discussion The CLASH trial is the first trial to investigate the pharmacodynamic efficacy and safety of eculizumab in the early phase after aSAH. Trial registration Netherlands Trial Register: NTR6752, https://www.trialregister.nl/trial/6579. Registered on 27 October 2017. European Clinical Trials Database: EudraCT 2017-004307-51.

Introduction

Background and rationale

Early brain injury and delayed cerebral ischemia are important determinants of poor outcome after aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage (aSAH).[1] No treatment exists to reduce early brain injury and the effects of current strategies to prevent delayed cerebral ischemia are only modest.[2] The inflammatory response is considered to play a key role in the pathogenesis of early brain injury and delayed cerebral ischemia after aSAH.[3–6] Previous studies found that the complement cascade is activated in patients with SAH and associated with poor functional outcome.[7,8] Complement components C3a and C5a are proinflammatory anaphylatoxins that can induce vasoconstriction and activate coagulation,[9–11] processes that have been implicated in the pathophysiology of early brain injury and delayed cerebral ischemia.[1,12] C5 specific antibodies, which prevent the formation of C5a, have been shown to reduce microglia activation and cell death by 40% in an SAH mouse model.[13] C5 antibodies (eculizumab) are already used for other inflammatory diseases such as neuromyelitis optica and Anti-AChR Antibody-Positive generalized Anti-AChR Antibody-Positive generalized myasthenia gravis.[14,15] The aim of this trial is to investigate the pharmacodynamic efficacy (proof-of-concept) and safety of eculizumab in patients with aSAH. As this is the first trial to investigate the use of eculizumab in aSAH patients, the effective dosing regimen for aSAH patients is unknown. In our previous study, we found that
the C5a concentration in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of aSAH patients is highly increased (>1400 times) compared to the C5a concentration in CSF from patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms [13]. We therefore decided upon administration of a high dose of eculizumab (1200 mg) with repeated drug administration to prevent a wash-out effect.

Objectives
The aim of this trial is to investigate the pharmacodynamic efficacy (proof-of-concept) and safety of eculizumab in patients with aSAH.

Trial design
The CLASH trial is a randomized, controlled, open-label, phase II clinical trial with blinded outcome assessment (PROBE) to assess pharmacodynamic efficacy and safety of eculizumab in patients with aSAH.

Methods: Participants, Interventions And Outcomes

Study setting
This study will be conducted at the University Medical Centre Utrecht (UMC Utrecht), a tertiary referral centre.

Eligibility criteria
The inclusion and exclusion criteria are presented in Table I.

Who will take informed consent?
The physician on call will contact the investigators if an eligible patient is admitted. Written informed consent to participate in the study will be asked from the patient or legally authorized representative after the diagnosis is discussed with the patient and/or the legally authorized representative. Consent is asked by the physician on call or investigators. If the physician on call is too busy, one of the investigators will come to the hospital to ask for consent. The consent form includes information about the study’s rationale, study procedures, and possible benefits and risks. If it will not be possible to obtain consent and administer eculizumab <12 hours after ictus, the patient and/or legally authorized representative will not be approached for trial participation. The patient or representative will receive as much time as
needed to decide about trial participation. However, it is noted during the conversation with the patient and/or family member that trial participation can only take place if inclusion <12 hours after ictus is possible.

**Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens**

Informed consent is asked for the collection and use of participant data and biological specimens. No ancillary studies will be conducted.

**Interventions**

**Explanation for the choice of comparators**

The comparator group in our study are patients with SAH who receive care as usual. No placebo treatment and no standard antibiotics or antifungal therapy is administered to the comparator group unless clinically indicated. Although we would have preferred to make use of a placebo comparator for eculizumab, it was not possible to manufacture placebo eculizumab, ciprofloxacin and fluconazole infusions in our hospital within a reasonable time window and reasonable costs. In addition, because this trial is a phase II proof-of-concept trial with a primary outcome based on laboratory parameters, the absence of a placebo treatment in the comparator group will not affect our primary outcome.

**Intervention description**

**Intervention**

The intervention consists of intravenous infusion with eculizumab 1200 mg at three different time points: <12 hours, on day 3, and day 7 after ictus (Figure 1). The day of ictus is defined as day 1. To decrease the risk of (meningococcal) infection due to eculizumab treatment, patients in the intervention group receive prophylactic treatment with ciprofloxacin during the first 4 weeks after ictus. During the recruitment phase, after inclusion of the 6th patient, we changed our protocol based on a serious adverse event (SAE) that occurred (cerebral fungal infection in patient with external ventricular shunt). After the amendment, patients in the intervention group with a central line or an external ventricular shunt and a positive fungal or yeast culture receive prophylactic ciprofloxacin and fluconazole for the first 4 weeks after ictus. Throat and rectal swabs are performed weekly in the intervention group during in-hospital stay to test for fungus or yeast carriership/colonisation and (multi-) drug resistance. In consultation with the microbiologist and infectious disease specialist, prophylactic treatment will be switched if: 1) swabs are positive for microorganisms that require treatment and are not covered by our prophylactic regimen and; 2) resistant microbial phenotypes are found.
Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions

Treatment with eculizumab will be discontinued in the following events:

- Anaphylactic shock after infusion of eculizumab. In case of a mild allergic reaction the infusion rate can be slowed down.
- Patients with Neisseria meningitidis meningitis, CSF culture proven.
- Patients with Neisseria meningitidis sepsis, blood culture proven.
- Other medical reasons for which the treating physician or investigator deem it necessary to discontinue treatment.

No modifications to the dosing schedule will be performed except when a mild allergic reaction occurs. If treatment with eculizumab is halted, other study procedures will continue according to study protocol.

Strategies to improve adherence to interventions

The investigator visits the trial participants regularly during their in-hospital stay to explain all study procedures, provided the clinical condition allows such an explanation. If the trial participant is discharged, the participant receives an explanation about the importance of adherence to the study protocol and a safety card to inform other physicians about trial participation. After discharge, trial participants are seen at the outpatient clinic by the same investigator, who then again explains all study procedures.

Relevant concomitant care permitted or prohibited during the trial

All concomitant care or interventions are allowed except for the concomitant care listed in the exclusion criteria (e.g. immunosuppressive medication, chemotherapy).

Provisions for post-trial care

Trial participation will be insured by the UMC Utrecht clinical trial participant insurance.

Outcomes

The primary outcome is C5a concentration in CSF on day 3 after ictus. The difference in C5a
concentrations between the intervention and comparator group will be assessed (either the difference in mean or median concentrations, depending on the distribution of the data). CSF is obtained by either lumbar puncture or sampling from an external lumbar- or ventricular drain. Secondary outcomes are listed in Table 2. Mean or median scores between the intervention and comparator group will be assessed at various time points (Figure 2) for all secondary outcomes except for the World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS) score and modified Ranking Scale (mRS) score for which the proportion of patients with a specific score will be compared.

Participant timeline

The time schedule of enrolment, intervention, assessments, and visits for participant can be found in Figure 2.

Sample size

The sample size calculation is based on a previous study with eculizumab in patients with neuromyelitis optica.[14] In that study, C5 concentration in CSF was measured in 11 patients before and after treatment with eculizumab. In six patients, C5 was undetectable after treatment was started and in the remaining five patients the mean C5 concentration in CSF decreased with 58%. For the CLASH trial, we conservatively assumed an overall reduction of C5 concentration in CSF with 55% and extrapolated this to a similar reduction in C5a concentration in CSF. Based on $\alpha=0.05$ and $\beta=0.20$ and a standard deviation of 50%, 13 patients are needed in each group. The group size will be increased to 20 patients per group, taking into account an assumed mortality rate of 25% and 2 patients per group who refuse a lumbar puncture in a later phase despite giving informed consent earlier.

Recruitment

Eligible study participants are identified by the physician on call. Because consent for trial participation is asked after the diagnosis is discussed, the information about the diagnosis and the trial can be overwhelming for the patient and family. We developed a lay-men 3D medical animation explaining what a subarachnoid haemorrhage is. The medical animation is shown to the patient and/or family on an iPad and facilitates a conversation about trial participation. In addition, the subarachnoid haemorrhage patient association endorsed our clinical trial and information about this trial was posted on their website.

Assignment of interventions: allocation

Sequence generation
A computer-generated block randomization is used to randomize patients. No stratification factors were used.

**Concealment mechanism**

Not applicable, this trial is an open-label trial with blinded outcome assessment.

**Implementation**

Patients are randomized by the investigators via a centralized secured website after informed consent from the patient or legally authorized representative is obtained. Assignment occurs computer generated. Directly after randomization, the investigators receives an email with the allocation. Patients are allocated 1:1 to either: 1) the intervention arm; or 2) care as usual.

**Assignment of interventions: Blinding**

**Who will be blinded**

The use of coded samples will allow blinded measurement of C5a concentration by the laboratory technician. In addition, functional outcome measured by the mRS score will be conducted by a qualified person who is blinded for allocation. Blinded assessment of infections will be performed by an expert panel consisting of a microbiologist and an infectious disease specialist. Data analysts will not be blinded to allocation, because this trial is a proof-of-concept trial with a primary outcome based on laboratory parameters.

**Procedure for unblinding if needed**

Not applicable.

**Plans for assessment and collection of outcomes**

Data collection is the same for the intervention- and comparator group (Figure 2). Each patient is assigned a code upon randomization by the computer. Data are collected from the electronic patient system and then transferred to an Electronic Case Report Form (E-CRF) by the investigator under the code assigned upon randomization. If data are not available in the electronic patient system, a paper form is
used after which the data are transferred to the E-CRF, also under the code assigned upon randomization. The electronic forms are a mix of pre-existing forms (e.g. GCS, NIHSS, mRS, and EQ-5D-5L) and forms designed by the investigator. Data quality checks are performed by a contract research organisation according to the approved monitor plan (25% of all data entered data will be checked). In addition, the E-CRF makes use of reference values where applicable. All data will be stored on a secured server.

**Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up**

As specified in 11c the participant receives a face-to-face explanation about the importance of adherence to the protocol. In addition, participants will be asked if their phone number is valid. Study visits to the outpatient clinic are planned on the same day as the patient’s standard of care appointment at the rehabilitation centre. Participants who choose to leave the trial are asked whether the collected data can be used for this research. If permission is provided, their data will be handled as data from other trial participants. If permission is not provided, their data will be deleted from the E-CRF and the paper forms will be destroyed.

**Data management**

The decryption key will be stored securely and is only accessible by the investigators. Data management procedures can be found in the data management plan provided as Additional File 4.

**Confidentiality**

Data will be pseudonymized to guarantee confidentiality. Pseudonymized patient data will only be accessible for the investigators, the study monitor, and the health authorities, if required. The personal data collected and stored for the purpose of this study will be treated in accordance with the provisions of the General Data Protection Regulation (GPDR: Regulation EU 2016/679). Samples are de-identified and stored under UNI EN ISO 9001: 2015 regulations.

**Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in this trial/future use**

The CSF and blood sampling, processing, storage and immunoassays are described in Additional File 3.

**Statistical methods**
Statistical methods for primary and secondary outcomes

Primary analysis will be based on the per-protocol principle in which patients with CSF assessments will be included. Patients in the intervention group with CSF assessments are included if they received the first eculizumab infusion.

Primary analysis
Groups will be compared with an independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test, dependent on the distribution of data. If the proportion of patients categorized according to the Prognosis on Admission of Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Haemorrhage (PAASH) scale and the median Hijdra score differ between the intervention and comparator group, a multivariable logistic regression analysis with adjustment for these variables will be performed.

Secondary analyses
Inflammatory parameters in the blood and daily Glasgow Coma Score (GSC) will be analysed with a linear mixed model. CSF inflammatory parameters in both groups will be compared by means of an independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U-test, dependent on the distribution of the data. To compare the NIHSS score, cognition, and quality of life, a Chi-square or Fisher’s exact test will be applied. A proportional odds model will be used to assess the effect of eculizumab on WFNS score and mRS score.

Interim analyses
An interim analysis will be performed based on SAE reporting, outcome, or case-fatality after inclusion of 20 patients. The DSMB can advise early termination of the trial if there is evidence of severe harm based on SAE reporting, outcome, or case-fatality.

Methods for additional analyses (e.g. subgroup analyses)
Not applicable.

Methods in analysis to handle protocol non-adherence and any statistical methods to handle missing data
Missing data will not be imputed.
Plans to give access to the full protocol, participant level-data and statistical code

The dataset of this study is not publicly available, but is available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Oversight and monitoring

Composition of the coordinating centre and trial steering committee

This is a monocentre trial. The trial steering committee consists of multiple experts in the field of subarachnoid haemorrhage, infectious diseases, and experts who have experience with treatment of patients with eculizumab. The trial steering committee provides guidance for general trial conduct.

Composition of the data monitoring committee, its role and reporting structure

An independent data safety monitoring board (DSMB) will oversee safety and overall conduct of the CLASH trial. The DSMB consists of two neurologists and one clinical epidemiologist. The chair has previous experience in serving on DSMBs and experience in chairing meetings.

Adverse event reporting and harms

Safety will be examined by ongoing monitoring of SAEs, and Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction (SUSARs), and by an interim analysis. Listings of infections will be reported every two months to the DSMB chairman. The DSMB can advise early termination of the trial if there is evidence of severe harm based on SAE reporting, outcome, or case-fatality. SAEs that can be expected based on the patient population include rebleeding, per-procedural aneurysm rupture, hydrocephalus, hyponatremia, delayed cerebral ischemia, nosocomial infections, Terson’s syndrome, epilepsy, and delirium. All AEs, SAEs, and SUSARs will be collected systematically by reviewing the electronic patient system and by reporting of the patient spontaneously or at 4 weeks after ictus. All adverse events will be reported in the supplementary material of the trial’s publication.

Frequency and plans for auditing trial conduct

A contract research organisation will audit trial conduct following the approved monitor plan. This includes 2-3 visits a year in which the in- and exclusion criteria, informed consent forms, source data, and SAEs reporting are verified.
Plans for communicating important protocol amendments to relevant parties (e.g. trial participants, ethical committees)

The coordinating investigator will submit amendments for review to the ethics committee of the UMC Utrecht. Upon approval, all parties involved will be informed about the amendment and the protocol in the clinical trial registry will be updated.

Dissemination plans

After the clinical trial is completed, an article will be written for publication in an international journal. The results will also be presented at an international conference.

Discussion

In aSAH patients, an inflammatory response occurs in the subarachnoid space shortly after the bleeding. This inflammatory response has been associated with early brain injury, delayed cerebral ischemia, poor functional outcome, and case-fatality.[1,3–5] In experimental SAH studies, treatment with complement C5 antibodies shortly after SAH reduced brain injury with approximately 40%.[13] Complement component C5 may be an important target to reduce brain injury and hereby improve outcome after aSAH. The CLASH trial is the first phase II trial to investigate the pharmacodynamic efficacy and safety of eculizumab in aSAH patients.

We chose an open-label design with blinded outcome assessment for several reasons: 1) we deemed it unethical to subject patients in the comparator group to 4 weeks of prophylactic treatment with ciprofloxacin and fluconazole; 2) it was not possible to manufacture placebo ciprofloxacin infusions at our hospital within a reasonable time window and reasonable costs; and 3) this trial is a proof-of-concept trial with a primary outcome based on laboratory parameters. We do not expect that ciprofloxacin or fluconazole will influence the C5a concentration in the CSF. However, the outcomes that are self-reported and assessed by clinicians not blinded to allocation can be biased by the open-label design.

The CLASH trial is designed as a proof-of-concept trial and is not powered to assess effectiveness of treatment with eculizumab. Safety is an important outcome of this trial. Eculizumab treatment increases the risk of infection. Central lines or external drains can provide a point of entry for microbes. aSAH patients will therefore be closely monitored during in-hospital stay and receive prophylactic antibiotics, antifungal therapy if necessary, and a patient safety card with instructions. If our trial demonstrates efficacy and safety of eculizumab in aSAH patients, the next step will be to plan a phase III trial.
Trial status

8 July 2019 – version 11.0

The first patient has been recruited in October 2018. In September 2020 20 patients have been enrolled. The recruitment is anticipated to be completed by 1 April 2021, with a follow-up period until 1 July 2021. Protocol modifications will be communicated to relevant parties. The results of this trial will be published in a peer-reviewed scientific journal.
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**Tables**

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria
### Inclusion criteria

SAH confirmed by CT and aneurysm by CTA or DSA  
Admission to the UMC Utrecht <12 hours after ictus  
Age 18 years and older

### Exclusion criteria

Life expectancy < 10 days  
Pregnant or breast-feeding women  
Participation in another clinical therapeutic study  
History of splenectomy or asplenia  
Hematologic malignancy  
Patients receiving chemotherapy  
Patients who will undergo or underwent an organ transplantation  
Patients with myasthenia gravis, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency, or tuberculosis  
Patients who are or will be treated by plasmapheresis or hemodialysis  
Patient with a creatinine clearance of <30 or serum creatinine levels of >169 μmol/l  
Patients with a known hereditary complement deficiency  
Patients allergic to eculizumab, proteins derived from mouse products or other monoclonal antibodies  
Patients allergic to (prophylactic) antibiotic treatment for Neisseria meningitidis (quinolones or ceftriaxone)  
If on admission it is likely that the aneurysm can only be treated with extracranial-intracranial bypass surgery  
If based on head imaging, it will be unlikely that CSF can be obtained at day 3 after ictus  
Patients with an ongoing infection on admission which is not appropriately treated  
Patients who were treated >4 times with antibiotics during the last year  
Patients on immunosuppressive therapy
Legends: SAH= subarachnoid haemorrhage; CT= computed tomography; CTA= computed tomography angiography; DSA= digital subtraction angiography; UMC Utrecht= University Medical Centre Utrecht; CSF= cerebrospinal fluid.

Table 2. Secondary outcomes

| The occurrence of AEs and SAEs. Blinded assessment of infections will be performed by an expert panel consisting of a microbiologist and an infectious disease specialist |
|---|
| Blood and CSF parameters of inflammation |
| Eculizumab concentration in blood and CSF |
| Daily neurological condition measured by the GCS |
| Neurological condition measured by the NIHSS- and WFNS score on day 14 after ictus. If the patient is discharged earlier, the NIHSS- and WFNS score will be performed before discharge |
| Cerebral infarction defined as infarction identified on brain MRI after exclusion of procedure-related infarctions[17] |
| Cognition measured by the MoCA |
| Quality of life measured by the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire |
| Functional outcome measured by the mRS score. Telephone interviews will be conducted by a qualified person who is blinded for allocation. |

Legends: AEs= adverse events; SAEs= serious adverse events; CSF= cerebrospinal fluid; GCS= Glasgow Coma Score; NIHSS= National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; WFNS= World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies; MRI= Magnetic Resonance Imaging; MoCA= Montreal Cognitive Assessment; mRS= modified Ranking Scale.
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