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Summary Points

- More than 12,000 patients were enrolled in 43 efficacy studies in 18 countries of Asia and Africa between 1996 and 2004, accounting for one fourth of the overall research output in these countries.
- This has provided extensive evidence on the efficacy of most drug regimens currently in use for uncomplicated malaria, which was often used for treatment policy changes by the concerned countries.
- The greatest contribution was in conflict-affected countries of sub-Saharan Africa, where studies represent the vast majority of available data and where “traditional” academic research institutions were not or barely represented.
- The vast majority of the studies were published in peer-reviewed journals, which shows that research performed in difficult settings can be of a high enough standard to ensure publication and to be useful in policy change.
- This work demonstrates the potential role of non-governmental agencies in collecting the necessary evidence to stimulate and inform policy change in international health.
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primary aim was optimising treatment strategies for MSF patients, results were often used to formulate national policy change. Studies followed World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations [4,5], were usually conducted in insecure or difficult-to-access sites where data were absent, and were generally supported by MSF’s epidemiological unit, Epicentre, in collaboration with national Ministries of Health, WHO, and other partners.

Here, we describe the output of MSF’s work in antimalarial efficacy assessment during the last decade, and place it within the broader context of studies leading to regimen change from monotherapies to mostly artemisinin-based combinations during a critical decade in malaria control. We also describe challenges and lessons learned whilst carrying out this research and discuss its role within antimalarial policy change.

**Descriptive Analysis of Efficacy Studies**

**Review methods.** We identified all malaria in vivo drug efficacy studies for which MSF was the main sponsor during 1996–2004, whether published in peer-reviewed journals or existing as unpublished reports in MSF operational centres (Brussels, Paris, Amsterdam, Barcelona, and Geneva; unpublished reports are available upon request from epimail@epicentre.msf.org). Only Pf studies were considered. For every study, we compiled country and site, year of completion, treatment group(s) tested, drug allocation method, length of post-enrolment follow-up (14 days or less; more than 14 days, i.e., at least 28-day follow-up), and failure rate with 95% confidence intervals. A treatment group is defined as the regimen tested. Multi-centric studies simultaneously evaluating several treatment groups within the same country were treated as single studies.

We calculated the proportion of MSF study treatment groups among all treatment groups tested against Pf per country during 1996 to 2004 by consulting the Global Malaria Program database (http://www.who.int/malaria/resistance.html). We reviewed the WHO Global Antimalarial Drug Policy Database (http://www.who.int/malaria/treatmentpolicies.html) and reference document [6] to establish the occurrence and timing of changes in treatment policy for uncomplicated malaria. We did not consider the introduction of CQ and SP in combination, as this was not a recommended option to replace either monotherapy [7]. We compared the new drug policy to findings and recommendations of the relevant MSF malaria studies and calculated the proportion of countries where recommendations made by MSF were concordant with subsequent national decisions regarding policy change.

To calculate the proportion of MSF papers among all published papers published in peer-reviewed journals, we searched PubMed to identify antimalarial studies published since 1996 until up to April 2007, performed in the countries in which MSF’s studies had taken place, and reporting original in vivo efficacy data for treatment of uncomplicated Pf malaria in non-pregnant populations. Keywords were “malaria” and country of intervention (e.g., “Angola”).

**Study output.** Between 1996 and 2004, MSF performed 43 efficacy studies or clinical trials in 18 countries, of which eight (17%) were in Asia (five countries) and 35 (83%) in Africa (13 countries) (Figure 1). Half of the studies took place in four African countries (21/43, 49%): Angola (n = 5), the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC, n = 6), Uganda (n = 5), and Sudan (n = 5). 12,145 patients were enrolled. Most studies (88%) were conducted between 2001 and 2004 and had a follow-up of 28 days or more (n = 34, 79%) with genotypic analysis of presumed failures/parasite recurrences to distinguish recrudescence from re-infection (Figure 2). Of studies with 28-day follow-up, 28/34 (82%) were published in peer-reviewed journals, and three out of 34 (9%) had been submitted to peer-reviewed journals at the time of writing; conversely, only three out of nine (33%) 14-day studies were published (Table 1).

Overall, nine (21%) studies were single arm, whereas 34 (79%) were comparative. Of the comparative studies, 22 (65%) were randomised. 117 treatment groups were investigated, of which 69 (59%) were monotherapies (SP, n = 27; CQ, n = 24; amodiaquine [AQ], n = 15; mefloquine [MQ], n = 3) and 48 (41%) were combinations. Most combinations (90%) were artemisinin-based (artesunate [AS]-SP, n = 14; AS-AQ, n = 12; AS-MQ, n = 12).
= 10, artemether-lumefantrine, \( n = 5 \); dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, \( n = 2 \); the remaining five were quinine-SP (\( n = 1 \)) or CQ-SP (\( n = 4 \)). The number of ACT studies increased over time, from one in 1998 to 20 (80% of total) in 2004 (Figure 2).

**Contribution of MSF Studies to the Evidence for Policy Change**

Within these 18 countries and during 1996–2004, 455 treatment groups were investigated, 112 (25%) by MSF (Table 2). The proportion of study groups investigated by MSF was higher for ACTs (46%) than monotherapies (19%), and higher in Africa than in Asia, both for monotherapies (23% versus 9%) and ACTs (57% versus 29%). Recommendations made by MSF were concordant with subsequent national decisions regarding policy change in 13/18 (72%) of the countries of intervention (Asia: two out of five [40%]; Africa: 11/13 [84%]). Of the 43 MSF studies, 31 (72%) were published in peer-reviewed journals. MSF studies accounted for 23% (31/137) of all articles published during this period in the 18 countries of intervention (Table 3).

MSF’s largest output was in conflict-affected countries of sub-Saharan Africa, including Angola, Burundi, Chad, Liberia, Sierra Leone, and southern Sudan, where its studies represent the vast majority of available data, and where “traditional” academic research institutions were not or barely represented. Overall, MSF’s study output accounted for one quarter of antimalarial drug efficacy research in 18 countries. Most studies were published in peer-reviewed journals.

**Challenges and Lessons Learned**

**Generalisability of results obtained in crisis settings.** With the exception of Sierra Leone, where a national multi-centric study was carried out, the MSF studies were not necessarily representative of national trends. Armed conflict, forced displacement in Maheba, Zambia and Kailahun, Sierra Leone (potentially leading to the introduction of more or less resistant strains), and conflict-induced nutritional crises (leading to lower immuno-competence and thus impaired host response) that had recently affected many of the study populations (Angola; Burundi; DRC; Lankien, Mapel, and Nuba, southern Sudan; Sierra Leone; Amudat and Bundibugyo, Uganda) may have resulted in systematic differences in local antimalarial efficacy compared to other regions of the country. Evidence suggests that malnourished children have an increased risk of treatment failure [8–10]. Organisations conducting studies in crisis-affected settings need to consider the generalisability of their data carefully in addition to the more general problem of extrapolating findings from individual sites to wider populations.

**Planning and organisational strategy.** MSF’s contribution was not systematically planned but rather ad hoc, driven by its programmes. In retrospect, MSF’s approach could have been more systematic, and more adherent to the standards of evidence-based medicine, in which randomised clinical trials are the primary tool by which inefficacious treatments are replaced once a more efficacious and equally safe alternative is identified. The approach shifted over time from measuring the efficacy of CQ and alternative potential partner drugs to combine with AS, to assuming that CQ was already inefficacious and focusing on head-on comparisons of different ACT combinations. MSF’s strategy thus wavered between building an evidence base to argue against continued CQ use, trying to establish the baseline resistance of typical partner drugs, and a more pragmatic approach of directly investigating combinations.

**Logistical and implementation challenges.** Carrying out field research in some of the remote settings proved extremely challenging. For example, Caala, Angola was under military siege and affected by a humanitarian emergency during the study period. In Bundibugyo, Uganda, security constraints due to rebel incursions necessitated innovative solutions to trace patients not attending follow-up, including field visits by clinicians and laboratory staff. Shabunda, DRC and most Sudanese sites were accessible only by plane or on foot. Laboratory facilities were usually established from scratch, without electricity and with the threat of possible sources of contamination and degradation of slides and blood samples. While most study personnel were from the country itself, it was necessary to either recruit qualified professionals from other areas of the country, or re-train local staff who had been unemployed for years.
## Table 1. MSF Pf Efficacy Studies by Site, Year of Completion, Drug Tested, and Result

| Country       | Site                  | Year | Drug | Failure Rate (%) | 95% Confidence Interval (%) | Reference |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|------|------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| **At least 28-day follow-up: 34 studies** | | | | | | |
| Myanmar       | Kachin                | 1998 | CQ   | 79.2             | 68.4–87.6                    | [16]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 80.8             | 69.9–89.1                    |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ   | 23.0             | 14.0–34.2                    |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS | 0.5            | 0.0–2.1                      |           |
| Laos          | Sekong                | 2000 | CQ   | 39.7             | 29.5–50.7                    | [17]      |
| Uganda        | Mbarara               | 2000 | SP   | 59.3             | 51.3–67.0                    | [18]      |
|               |                       |      | SP-AS | 5.4             | 4.4–63.3                     |           |
|               |                       |      | SP-AS | 22.3             | 15.3–30.7                    |           |
| South Sudan   | Kajo Keji             | 2001 | CQ   | 93.9             | 87.3–97.3                    | [19]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 69.9             | 60.0–78.3                    |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 25.2             | 17.7–34.5                    |           |
| Myanmar       | Rakhine               | 2001 | MQ   | 5.9             | 3.0–10.3                     | [20]      |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS | 3.6             | 1.5–7.4                      |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS | 3.9             | 1.7–8.2                      |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS | 0.0             | 0.0–2.1                      |           |
| India         | Assam & Karbi Anglong| 2001 | CQ   | 65.9             | 48.8–78.1                    | [21]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 39.0             | 25.3–54.0                    |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ   | 4.4              | 0.5–15.1                     |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS | 10.9            | 3.6–23.5                     |           |
| India         | Sonitpur              | 2001 | CQ   | 95.8             | 83.5–98.7                    | [21]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 57.1             | 42.2–71.2                    |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ   | 7.8              | 2.2–18.9                     |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS | 1.8             | 0.5–9.9                      |           |
| Sierra Leone  | Matru                 | 2001 | CQ   | 61.8             | 52.1–70.8                    | [22]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 22.4             | 14.1–32.7                    |           |
| Bangladesh    | Chittagong            | 2002 | Qui-SP | 12.9        | 8.1–17.7                     | [23]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 84.0             | 70.9–92.8                    |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ   | 69.7             | 57.1–80.4                    |           |
| Liberia       | Harper                | 2002 | AQ   | 23.5             | 14.8–34.2                    | [24]      |
| Zambia        | Maheba               | 2002 | SP-AS | 16.4         | 9.0–26.5                     | [25]      |
|               |                       |      | SP-AS | 36.6             | 26.2–47.9                    |           |
| Uganda        | Bundibugyo            | 2002 | SP   | 37.0             | 27.1–47.7                    | [26]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 20.6             | 11.7–32.7                    |           |
|               |                       |      | CQ-SP | 22.8        | 14.1–33.6                    |           |
| Chad          | Bongor                | 2002 | CQ   | 23.7             | 14.7–34.8                    | [27]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 16.3             | 9.4–25.5                     |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 6.4              | 2.1–14.3                     |           |
| Uganda        | Amudat                | 2003 | CQ-SP | 52.8        | 35.5–69.6                     | [28]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS | 14.3         | 4.0–32.7                     |           |
|               |                       |      | SP-AS | 7.9              | 2.6–17.6                     |           |
| Bangladesh    | Chittagong            | 2003 | CQ-SP | 37.6        | 48.8–73.4                     |           |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS | 0.9              | 0.0–3.5                      |           |
|               |                       |      | A-L   | 2.9              | 0.6–8.4                      |           |
| South Sudan   | Nuba                  | 2003 | SP-AS | 8.8             | 2.9–19.3                     | [29]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS | 7.3              | 2.0–17.6                     |           |
| Sierra Leone  | Freetown              | 2003 | CQ   | 67.8             | 54.4–79.4                    | [30]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 28.0             | 16.2–42.5                    |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 7.4              | 2.1–17.9                     |           |
| Sierra Leone  | Kabala                | 2003 | CQ   | 39.5             | 25.0–55.6                    | [30]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 23.2             | 13.9–34.9                    |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 18.2             | 9.8–29.6                     |           |
| Sierra Leone  | Kailahun              | 2003 | CQ   | 78.8             | 65.3–88.9                    | [30]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 46.1             | 35.4–57.0                    |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 29.8             | 20.3–40.7                    |           |
| Sierra Leone  | Makeni                | 2003 | CQ   | 70.0             | 55.4–82.1                    | [30]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 24.0             | 15.8–33.7                    |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 5.4              | 1.8–12.1                     |           |
| Sierra Leone  | Matru                 | 2003 | AQ   | 13.0             | 6.4–22.6                     | [30]      |
| North Sudan   | Malakal               | 2003 | AP-AS | 0.9             | 0.0–4.8                      | [31]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS | 1.0             | 0.0–5.6                      |           |
| Angola        | Caala                 | 2003 | CQ   | 83.5             | 74.1–90.5                    | [32]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ   | 17.3             | 10.0–27.2                    |           |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 25.3             | 16.7–35.8                    |           |
| Angola        | Kuito                 | 2003 | AQ   | 21.6             | 14.3–30.6                    | [32]      |
|               |                       |      | SP   | 38.8             | 28.4–30.6                    |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS | 1.2             | 0.0–6.4                      |           |
|               |                       |      | SP-AS | 1.2             | 0.0–6.4                      |           |
because of health system collapse. The vast majority had no prior experience in research. Research procedures had to be adapted to programmes hitherto dedicated solely to emergency health care provision. The greatest evidence gaps may well be in remote locations featuring the most difficult research conditions. Organisations must be prepared to be flexible, innovative, and resourceful. Investment in basic infrastructure, training, and human resources is essential.

Follow-up and rescue treatment were also problematic. The WHO assessment

### Table 1. Continued

| Country       | Site                  | Year | Drug      | Failure Rate (%) | 95% Confidence Interval (%) | Reference |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| South Sudan   | Mapel                 | 2003 | CQ        | 82.7             | 71.8–90.1                   | Bachy et al., Epicentre Internal Report 2003 |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 15.7             | 9.3–25.1                    |           |
| Chad          | Kourma                | 2003 | CQ        | 32.9             | 22.1–45.1                   | [27]      |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 4.3              | 1.2–10.5                    |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ        | 2.2              | 0.3–7.6                     |           |
| Cambodia      | Anglong Veng & Kav     | 2003 | Piperaq-DHA MQ-AS | 2.5    | 0.7–6.2                 | [33]      |
| Guinea        | Dabola                | 2004 | SP-AS     | 1.0              | 0.0–5.5                     | [34]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS     | 1.0              | 0.0–5.3                     |           |
| DRC           | Kabalo                | 2004 | SP        | 22.9             | 12.0–37.3                   | Bonnet et al., submitted |
|               |                       |      | SP-AS     | 0.0              | 0.0–7.3                     |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS     | 0.0              | 0.0–6.8                     |           |
| DRC           | Shabunda              | 2004 | SP-AS     | 19.7             | 10.9–31.3                   | [35]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS     | 6.8              | 2.2–15.1                    |           |
| Congo         | Kindamba              | 2004 | SP-AS     | 1.5              | 0.0–8.0                     | [36]      |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS     | 9.9              | 19.3–4.1                    |           |
|               |                       |      | A-L       | 0.0              | 0.0–5.2                     |           |
| Uganda        | Mbarara               | 2004 | A-Lsup    | 2.3              | 1.0–4.9                     | [37]      |
|               |                       |      | A-L       | 2.0              | 1.0–3.5                     |           |
|               |                       |      | A-L       | 0.0              | 0.0–5.8                     |           |
| Angola        | Caala                 | 2004 | AQ-AS     | 0.0              | 0.0–5.6                     | [38]      |
|               |                       |      | A-L       | 0.0              | 0.0–5.8                     |           |
| Sierra Leone  | Kailahun              | 2004 | AQ-AS     | 15.5             | 9.3–23.6                    | [39]      |
| Mali          | Kouman tou            | 2004 | CQ        | 90.5             | 84.8–96.2                   | [40]      |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 7.0              | 1.9–12.1                    |           |
| DRC           | Boende                | 2004 | SP        | 43.4             | 32.2–55.2                   | Bonnet et al., submitted |
|               |                       |      | AQ        | 25.8             | 16.1–38.2                   |           |
|               |                       |      | SP-AS     | 32.8             | 21.6–46.1                   |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS     | 19.0             | 10.3–31.8                   |           |
| DRC           | Kilwa                 | 2004 | SP-AS     | 9.8              | 3.6–22.2                    | Bonnet et al., submitted |
|               |                       |      | AQ-AS     | 18.2             | 9.5–31.3                    |           |
| Myanmar       | Rakhine               | 2004 | Piperaq-DHA MQ-AS | 0.6 | 0.2–2.5                   | [41]      |
|               |                       |      | MQ-AS     | 0.0              | 0.0–1.2                     |           |

### 14-day follow-up: 9 studies

| Country       | Site                  | Year | Drug      | Failure Rate (%) | 95% Confidence Interval (%) | Reference |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Angola        | Mbanza Congo          | 1997 | CQ        | 57.2             | 50.9–63.2                   | MSF, Internal Report 1997 |
| Uganda        | Mbarara               | 1998 | CQ        | 81.1             | 68.0–90.5                   | [42]      |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 25.0             | 15.0–37.4                   |           |
| Burundi       | Kayanza               | 2001 | CQ        | 100.0            | 91.8–100                    | Legros D, Dantoine F, Epicentre Report 2001 |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 74.8             | 65.7–82.2                   |           |
|               |                       |      | CQ-SP     | 56.9             | 46.7–66.5                   |           |
| Burundi       | Karuzi                | 2001 | CQ        | 97.8             | 86.8–99.9                   | Legros D, Dantoine F, Epicentre Report 2001 |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 89.7             | 80.8–94.9                   |           |
| DRC           | Pweto                 | 2001 | CQ        | 51.0             | 38.4–63.0                   | MSF, Internal Report 2001 |
| DRC           | Multi-centric         | 2001 | CQ        | 45.4             | 40.1–50.8                   | [43]      |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 7.5              | 5.0–11.0                    |           |
| South Sudan   | Lankien               | 2001 | CQ        | 11.5             | 6.1–19.3                    | [44]      |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 0.0              | 0.0–3.6                     |           |
|               |                       |      | AQ        | 5.9              | 2.2–12.5                    |           |
| Nigeria       | Bayelsa               | 2001 | CQ        | 70.5             | 61.8–78.2                   | Hardwiek et al., MSF Internal Report 2001 |
| Nigeria       | Bayelsa               | 2002 | CQ        | 8.6              | 4.0–15.6                    | [45]      |
| Angola        | Malange               | 2002 | CQ        | 79.7             | 69.2–87.9                   | Prinsen & Broeder R, MSF Internal Report 2002 |
|               |                       |      | SP        | 8.6              | 3.5–17.0                    |           |

aThese are usually 28-day PCR corrected failure rates, except in 6 studies: [16,20,21,28,31,33], where failure rates are given at day 42 or 63.

bUnpublished reports are available upon request from epimail@epicentre.msf.org.

cStudy done by Concern Worldwide/Sierra Leone Ministry of Health/WHO, with MSF-Epicentre supervision.

dIn this study, the follow-up was 7 days.

A-L, artemether-lumefantrine; AS, artemesone one day; AS3, artemesone three days; ASu, artesunate supervised; ASunsu, artesunate un-supervised; MQ, mefloquine 15 mg/kg; MQs, mefloquine 25 mg/kg; Piperaq-DHA, piperaquine-dihydroartemisinin; Quin, quinine.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050169.t001
protocol required investigators to withhold rescue treatment in case of asymptomatic parasite recurrence, which carries a high risk of avoidable morbidity [11]. Follow-up visits took place at one-week intervals, during which patients with known parasitaemia would remain without rescue treatment. On two occasions (two children treated respectively with AQ and CQ who were parasitaemic during follow-up and who did not come back for further visits), we believe this contributed to avoidable deaths from severe malaria. As a response, we instituted frequent home visits by field workers to detect any symptom progression.

Partly due to concerns about security-related interruptions to enrolment, a proportion of studies in the first half of the period were single arm or used sequential allocation procedures. Unplanned decisions to stop enrolment due to very high treatment failure were occasionally taken, thus exposing investigators to the criticism of having contravened the protocol; later, randomisation was introduced as the standard, along with systematic early stopping rules.

**Quality versus timeliness.** Efforts were made to improve the quality of the studies, including establishment of routine laboratory quality control procedures (internal controls and review of slides by reference laboratories) and standard protocols and operating procedures for clinical and laboratory work. From 2003, follow-up was systematically extended to 28 days, and PCR genotyping analyses were always done to adjust failure rates for re-infections. This is broadly considered an appropriate method for assessing drug efficacy and therefore yielded realistic estimates for each site [12].

However, these analyses required the involvement of partner institutions (usually academic laboratories in Europe). Due to the heavy workload of these laboratories, PCR genotyping sometimes yielded considerable delays in releasing final results. In situations where treatment failure was severe, this raised an ethical dilemma of balancing the release of final results with the need for action. A common approach was to release preliminary, unadjusted failure rates based on 14-day follow-up, especially when these already warranted an urgent change of the current first-line therapy. This however often led to confusion, especially when subsequent 28-day results overturned the initial impression that other cheap non-ACT regimens were still efficacious (particularly SP, for which failures tend to occur late). Furthermore, MSF field officers mediating between study investigators and local stakeholders did not always have the technical expertise to discuss genotyping adjustment. With more complex methods, the extra time involved in ensuring that data are accurate must be weighed against the urgency of action in each setting. However, our experience shows that in order to avoid confusion it is preferable to await final results, as long as the delay is acceptable (to be determined on a case-by-case basis).

**Ethical Issues**

These studies presented ethical dilemmas common to research in crisis-affected populations [13]. Local
clinicians had strong anecdotal evidence of poor drug efficacy, but lack of scientific evidence hindered advocacy to review treatment policies. Communities were particularly vulnerable to the effects of antimalarial drug resistance since they had poor access to health care, no recourse to efficacious second-line treatments, and limited civil rights and governance structures within which to voice their patient perspective. Furthermore, patients’ or caregivers’ ability to decide whether to participate in the study was severely constrained: the study venue was often their sole health care option, and their familiarity with biomedical concepts may have been insufficient to properly consider the risks and benefits of participation. Adhering to the strict follow-up schedule was also challenging, potentially entailing days of missed work.

In many countries there was no institutional review board (IRB), and protocols were approved by Ministry of Health directorates for research, as well as local health authorities. We considered that even in the absence of formal IRB review, generating evidence on antimalarial efficacy was essential to stimulate policy change. The assessment protocol was standardised and recommended by WHO; regimens were non-experimental; and patients’ risk of untoward outcomes was lower than in routine care due to the systematic follow-up and availability of second-line regimens in case of treatment failure. These considerations persuaded us that the harm–benefit ratio was favourable. This experience suggests that ethical risks of conducting research should always be weighed against the consequences of inaction. Measures to minimise risk include standardising protocols, ensuring locally appropriate, understandable consent procedures, developing alternative structures for ethics review, and involving the community.

**Future Research Challenges**

Recently, several players have become involved in antimalarial efficacy assessment, and there are global initiatives to co-ordinate studies [14]. Today’s challenges are different: (1) to detect resistance to ACTs as early as possible, best done by combining molecular and in vivo studies, and defining strategic surveillance sites where resistance is more likely to arise de novo or be introduced from other regions; and (2) to demonstrate that new regimens (e.g., dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine) are at least as efficacious as current first-line ACTs and carry additional benefits in terms of safety, cost, or feasibility: this would require non-inferiority rather than comparative trial designs [15].

**Conclusions**

Our analysis shows that research can be performed in difficult settings to a high enough standard to ensure publication and to be useful in policy change.

MSF’s work shows that non-governmental organisations (NGOs) can provide extensive evidence on the efficacy of most antimalarial regimens. This evidence probably affected malaria treatment policy decisions, as suggested by the high number of countries where recommendations and decisions were the same. However, this conclusion has to be drawn with caution: factors leading to policy change are many and difficult to measure, as are reasons for adopting one regimen over another.

As part of their mandate, medical NGOs should be prepared to fill gaps in evidence, including evaluating current tools to control tropical diseases in hard-to-reach populations, and demonstrating the effectiveness of alternatives. Dissemination of findings in peer-reviewed journals is crucial to bolster the validity of such research and inform international policy and advocacy. While operational research can successfully be undertaken by NGOs, national malaria control programmes, WHO, and other major international disease control partnerships hold the primary responsibility for initiating such studies. Had such institutions stimulated a systematic process of monitoring antimalarial efficacy from the onset of reports of drug resistance, change might have occurred earlier. Finally, it is important that complacency does not set in. Artemisinin resistance may well arise, and countries, international bodies, and NGOs need to prospectively monitor the situation to avoid history repeating itself.
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| Country   | Total Articles (n) | MSF Articles (n) |
|-----------|-------------------|------------------|
| Angola    | 3                 | 3                |
| Liberia   | 2                 | 2                |
| Sierra Leone | 2             | 2                |
| Chad      | 2                 | 2                |
| Bangladesh | 7                | 2                |
| Burundi   | 3                 | 0                |
| Uganda    | 24                | 4                |
| Sudan     | 15                | 4                |
| Myanmar   | 5                 | 3                |
| Guinea    | 1                 | 1                |
| DRC       | 2                 | 2                |
| Cambodia  | 5                 | 1                |
| India     | 15                | 1                |
| Laos      | 9                 | 1                |
| Congo     | 5                 | 1                |
| Mali      | 4                 | 1                |
| Nigeria   | 27                | 0                |
| Zambia    | 6                 | 1                |
| **Total** | **137**           | **31 (23%)**     |

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0050169.t003
during all these years.
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