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Neutrino oscillations in matter

$$\Delta_0 = \frac{2E}{\Delta m^2} \approx \frac{2E}{m_s^2}$$

$$\Delta_m = \Delta_0 \sqrt{\sin^2(2\theta_0) + (\cos(2\theta_0) - V_\alpha/\Delta_0)^2}$$

$$\sin^2(2\theta_m) = \frac{\sin^2(2\theta_0)}{\sin^2(2\theta_0) + (\cos(2\theta_0) - V_\alpha/\Delta_0)^2}$$

The sterile neutrino production rate $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_s$

$$\Gamma_{\nu_\alpha\rightarrow\nu_s} = \frac{\langle \sin^2\left(\frac{t}{2t_m}\right)\sin^2(2\theta_m)\rangle_{t_{coll}}}{2t_{coll}} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(2\theta_m) \frac{\Gamma_\alpha}{2}$$

$$t_m \ll t_{coll} \ll t_{exp} \iff \Delta_m \gg \Gamma_\alpha \gg H$$

$$\frac{|\dot{\theta}_m|}{\Delta_m} \ll 1$$
The Boltzmann equation: $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_s$

\[ \nu_e \rightarrow \nu_s \Gamma_e \approx 1.27 \cdot G_F^2 y T^5 \]

\[ V_e \approx -\frac{14 G_F y T^5}{45 \alpha_w} (2 + \cos^2 \theta_W) \]

\[-HT \left. \frac{\partial f_s}{\partial T} \right|_{y=\text{const}} = \frac{\sin^2(2\theta_m) \Gamma_e}{4} (f_d - f_s) \]

The Dodelson-Widrow formula

\[ \left\langle p \right\rangle = 3.15 T \]

\[ \frac{f_s}{f_d} = \frac{2.9}{\sqrt{g_*}} \left( \frac{\theta^2}{10^{-6}} \right) \left( \frac{m_s}{1 \text{ keV}} \right) \int_x^\infty \frac{ydx'}{(1 + y^2 x'^2)^2} \approx \frac{2.9}{\sqrt{g_*}} \left( \frac{\theta^2}{10^{-6}} \right) \left( \frac{m_s}{1 \text{ keV}} \right) \frac{\pi}{4} \]

\[ x \equiv 148 \left( \frac{1 \text{ keV}}{m_s} \right) \left( \frac{T}{1 \text{ GeV}} \right)^3 \quad y \equiv \frac{E}{T} \]
Cosmological bounds

\[ \Gamma_{\nu_s \rightarrow 3\nu} = \frac{G_F^2 m_s^5}{96\pi^3} \sin^2 \theta \]

\[ \theta^2 < 1.1 \cdot 10^{-7} \left( \frac{50 \text{ keV}}{m_s} \right)^5 \]

\[ \Gamma_{\nu_s \rightarrow \gamma \nu_e} = \frac{9\alpha G_F^2}{256 \cdot 4\pi^4} \sin^2(2\theta) m_s^5 \]

\[ \Omega_s \sin^2(2\theta) \lesssim 3 \cdot 10^{-5} \left( \frac{1 \text{ keV}}{m_s} \right)^5 \]

The abundance of sterile neutrinos today

\[ n_s(T_{\nu,0}) = 2 \cdot \left[ \int_0^\infty f_s(y, \frac{m_s}{y}) 4\pi y^2 dy \right] \cdot \frac{4}{11} T_0^3, \]

\[ \Omega_s h^2 = \frac{m_s n_s}{\rho_c/h^2} = \frac{1}{10.5} \left( \frac{m_s}{1 \text{ keV}} \right) \left( \frac{n_s}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) < \Omega_{dm} h^2 \approx 0.12 \]
Experimental bounds on $\theta_{\alpha s}$
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An instant phase transition at $T_{h,c} = \xi T_c$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{f}{2} \phi \bar{N}c N + \text{h.c.} + \mathcal{L}_{DS}(\phi)$$

$$\langle \langle \phi \rangle \rangle|_{T_h > \xi T_c} = 0, \quad M = 0$$
$$\langle \langle \phi \rangle \rangle|_{T_h < \xi T_c} = \nu_\phi, \quad M = f\nu_\phi$$

**Oscillations $\nu_s \rightarrow \nu_e$ in $T < T_c$**

$$\frac{f_N}{f_e} = \frac{2.9}{g_*^{1/2}} \left( \frac{\theta^2}{10^{-6}} \right) \left( \frac{M}{\text{keV}} \right) \int_x^{\infty} \frac{y \, dx'}{(1 + y^2 x'^2)^2} \rightarrow 0.13 \theta^2 \left( \frac{10.75}{g_*} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{T_c}{\text{MeV}} \right)^3 y$$

**The admixture of right handed $\nu_s$ to $\nu_e$ in $T > T_c$**

$$\frac{f_{N,\text{in}}}{f_e} \approx \frac{m_D^2}{4y^2 T_c^2} \rightarrow 0.25 \times 10^{-6} \theta^2 \left( \frac{M}{\text{keV}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\text{MeV}}{T_c} \right)^2$$
The overall abundance of $\nu_s$ today

$$h^2 \Omega_{N,osc} \approx 4.3 \times \theta^2 \left( \frac{10.75}{g_*} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{T_c}{\text{MeV}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{M}{\text{keV}} \right)$$

$$h^2 \Omega_{N,in} \approx 10^{-6} \theta^2 \left( \frac{M}{\text{keV}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{\text{MeV}}{T_c} \right)^2$$

$$T_{c, \text{min}} \approx 0.05 \text{ MeV} \left( \frac{M}{\text{keV}} \right)^{2/5}$$

The absolute minimum of sterile neutrino abundance today

$$h^2 \Omega_{N,\text{min}} \approx h^2 \Omega_{N,osc} + h^2 \Omega_{N,in} \approx 0.9 \times 10^{-3} \theta^2 \left( \frac{M}{\text{keV}} \right)^{11/5}$$
The momentum distribution of $\nu_s$ [F. Bezrukov et al., 2017]
The numerical results for $\Omega_N/\Omega_{dm}$ [F. Bezrukov et. al, 2017]
The numerical results for $T_{c, \text{max}}$ [F. Bezrukov et. al, 2017]
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Phenomenology of the dark sector

\[ \mathcal{L} = i \bar{N} \hat{\partial} N + \frac{M}{2} \bar{N}^c N + y_\nu H \bar{\nu}_a N + \frac{f}{2} \phi \bar{N}^c N + \text{h.c.} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{DS}}(\phi) \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{DS}} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \]

Hubble friction regime:

- \( m_\phi < H \)
- \( \phi_i \sim M_{\text{Pl}}, \ M_{N,i} = f \phi_i \)

Oscillating field regime:

- \( m_\phi > H \)
- \( |\phi| \propto a^{-3/2}, \ M_N = M_{N,i} \left( \frac{a_i}{a} \right)^{3/2} \)

The scalar setup

\[ \rho_{\phi,0} = \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi_i^2 \left( \frac{T_0}{T_{\text{osc}}} \right)^3 \]

where \( T_{\text{osc}} = \frac{T_0}{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^{1/4} \left( \frac{m_\phi}{H_0} \right)^{1/2}} \)

\[ \epsilon_\phi \equiv \frac{\rho_{\phi,0}}{\Omega_{\text{DM}} \rho_c} \leq 1 \]
The main assumptions

The condition \( f_\phi_0 < M \) can be consistent with \( T_{\text{osc}} > 100 \text{ eV} \) which allows sterile neutrinos to compose all dark matter today!

\[
\left( \frac{T_{\text{osc}}}{100 \text{ eV}} \right) \left( \frac{2.73 \text{ K}}{T_0} \right) \gtrsim \left( \frac{M_i}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{2/3} \left( \frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M} \right)^{2/3}
\]

Assumptions.

- \( M_{N,i} > T_{EW} \) suppresses the active-sterile oscillations in the region \( T \lesssim T_{EW} \).
- \( m_\phi < 2M \) forbids the perturbative decay \( \phi \to NN \) kinematically.
- \( m_\phi \gtrsim (1 - 2) \times 10^{-21} \text{ eV} \) is consistent with the Ly-\( \alpha \) clumping.
- For \( m_\phi > m_{\text{sol}} \approx 0.01 \text{ eV} \) we require \( \frac{\Gamma_{\phi \to \nu_a \bar{\nu}_a}}{H_0} \equiv \theta^4 \times \frac{f^2}{16\pi} \frac{m_\phi}{H_0} \ll 1 \).
Different production mechanisms

$T > T_{EW}$: generation by thermal Higgs boson decay

$$\Gamma_{H \rightarrow \nu_a N} \frac{y^2 H}{16\pi} \lesssim T < 1$$

$T_* < T < T_{osc}$: nonperturbative production until $f|\phi| = M$

$$n_N \approx \frac{2}{6\pi^2} (Mm_\phi)^{3/2} \quad \rho_N(T_*) = \frac{M}{3\pi^2} (Mm_\phi)^{3/2} \quad \text{where } T_* = T_{osc} \left( \frac{M}{M_{N,i}} \right)^{2/3}$$

$$h^2\Omega_{N,\phi} = \frac{\rho_N(T_*)}{\rho_c/h^2} \left( \frac{T_0}{T_*} \right)^3 \approx 0.21 \times \left( \frac{f}{0.1} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{M}{1 \text{keV}}} \sqrt{\frac{0.01 \text{eV}}{m_\phi}} \times \epsilon_\phi \times \Omega_{DM} h^2$$

$M < T < T_c$: oscillations from active neutrinos

$$h^2\Omega_{N,osc} \approx 4.3 \times \theta^2 \left( \frac{10.75}{g_*} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{T_c}{\text{MeV}} \right)^3 \left( \frac{M}{\text{keV}} \right) \quad \text{where } T_c = T_{osc} \left( \frac{T_{osc}}{M_{N,i}} \right)^2$$
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$\theta^2 = 10^{-3}$, $M = 0.3 \text{ keV}$, $\epsilon_\phi = 1$
$\theta^2 = 10^{-3}, \ M = 3 \text{ keV}, \ \epsilon_\phi = 1$
\[ \theta^2 = 10^{-3}, \quad M = 20 \text{ keV}, \quad \epsilon_\phi = 1 \]
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\[ \epsilon_\phi = \frac{1}{2}, \quad f = 0.2 \left( \frac{m_\phi}{0.01 \text{ eV}} \right)^{1/4} \left( \frac{1 \text{ keV}}{M} \right)^{1/4} \]

The diagram shows the X-ray bound for \( \Omega_{N,\phi} = \Omega_{\text{DM}} / 2 \).

- Black line: X-ray
- Red line: \( f = 10^{-2}, m_\phi = 10^{-2} \text{ eV} \)
- Blue line: \( f = 10^{-3}, m_\phi = 10^{-2} \text{ eV} \)
- Dashed line: Seesaw values for active neutrino masses 0.009 eV and 0.2 eV.
Conclusion

- The model with phase transition let us simply alleviate different cosmological and astrophysical bounds by shifting the onset of oscillations to later times.
- The model with the feebly interacting scalar is able to suppress the sterile neutrino production in the early Universe to the level which makes the direct laboratory searches the strongest ones in the scalar dominated Universe.
- Super-cool sterile neutrinos produced by the oscillating background contributing significantly to DM help to escape the bounds of $M > 8 \text{keV}$ from the structure formation in the Lyman-$\alpha$ forest and of $M > 5.7 \text{keV}$ from phase space density.
- keV sterile neutrinos can naturally explain small masses of active neutrinos within different hierarchies via the See-Saw mechanism.
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Bounds from the "Troitsk nu-mass" spectrometer
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- Mainz 2013
- Troitsk 2013
- Troitsk expectations
Expectations of the KATRIN