IMMUNOTROPIC EFFECTS OF NITROGENOUS METABOLITES IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC PYELONEPHRITIS
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Abstract

Background. We have previously shown that nitrogenous metabolites have immunomodulatory effects in healthy rats and humans as well as in patients with encephalopathia. The purpose of this study is their immunotropic activity in patients with chronic pyelonephritis. Materials and Methods. The object of observation were 24 men (aged 23-76 years) with chronic pyelonephritis in remission. The plasma levels and urinary excretion of uric acid, urea and creatinine and parameters of immunity twice (on admission and after 10 days of balneotherapy at the Truskavets’ Spa) was performed. Results. Judging by the multiple correlation coefficient uricemia exhibits maximal immunotropic activity (R=0,772), followed by creatininemia (R=0,643), urea plasma (R=0,584) and creatinineuria (R=0,506) instead, urea and uric acid excretion correlate with immune parameters insignificantly (R=0,327 and 0,262 respectively). Nitrogenous metabolites together upregulate most parameters of phagocytosis by neutrophils Staph. aureus and E. coli, the level in the blood of CD8 T-lymphocytes, CIC, IgM, components of leukocytogram as well as entropy of leukocytogram and immunocytogram. Instead, they downregulate the relative level of lymphocytes in general and of CD4 T-lymphocytes in particular. Conclusion. Nitrogenous metabolites exhibit immunotropic activity in both healthy humans and in patients with chronic pyelonephritis in remission. Both common and distinctive features of immunomodulation were revealed.
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INTRODUCTION

We have previously shown that nitrogenous metabolites have immunomodulatory effects, both in healthy rats [3,4,16] and humans [10] as well as in patients with encephalopatia [9]. With regard to uric acid, it is known that the magnitude and even the sign of the correlation coefficients with the parameters of the human body depend on the state of adaptation, the presence or absence of chronic pyelonephritis, as well as its phase [5-7,18]. Therefore, the aim of this study is immunotropic activity of nitrogenous metabolites in patients with chronic pyelonephritis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The object of observation were 27 men (aged 23-76 years) with chronic pyelonephritis in remission. The plasma and urinary levels of uric acid (by uricase method), urea (by urease method by reaction with phenolhypochlorite) and creatinine (by Jaffe's color reaction by Popper's method) and parameters of immunity twice (on admission and after 10 days of balneotherapy at the Truskavets’ Spa) was performed.

The biochemic analyzes were carried out according to the instructions described in the manual [2]. The analyzers “Pointe-180” (“Scientific”, USA) and “Reflotron” (Boehringer Mannheim, BRD) were used with appropriate sets.

Immune status evaluated on a set of I and II levels recommended by the WHO as described in the manuals [11,12]. For phenotyping subpopulations of lymphocytes used the methods of rosette formation with sheep erythrocytes on which adsorbed monoclonal antibodies against receptors CD3, CD4, CD8, CD22 and CD56 from company "Granum" (Kharkiv) with visualization under light microscope with immersion system. Subpopulation of T cells with receptors high affinity (T-active) determined by test of “active” rosette formation. The state of humoral immunity judged by the concentration in serum of Circulating Immune Complexes (by polyethylene glycol precipitation method) and Immunoglobulins classes M, G, A (ELISA, analyser “Immuochem”, USA). In addition, the level of IL-1 was determined (by the ELISA with the use of analyzer “RT-2100C” and corresponding set of reagents from “Diaactone”, France).

The set of immune parameters of saliva was IgG, IgA, secretory IgA (ELISA, analyser “Immuochem”, USA) and Lysozyme. The activity of the latter was evaluated by the bacteriolysis test Micrococcus lysodeicticus (nephelometric method) [8,15].

Parameters of phagocytic function of neutrophils estimated as described by SD Douglas and PG Quie [1] with moderately modification by MM Kovbasnyuk [6]. The objects of phagocytosis served daily cultures of Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC N 25423 F49) as typical specimen for Gram-positive Bacteria and Escherichia coli (O55 K59) as typical representative of Gram-negative Bacteria. Both cultures obtained from Laboratory of Hydro-Geological Regime-Operational Station JSC “Truskavets’kuort”. Take into account the following parameters of Phagocytosis: activity (percentage of neutrophils, in which found microbes - Hamburger’s Phagocytic Index Phi), intensity (number of microbes absorbed one phagocytes - Microbial Count MC or Right’s Index) and completeness (percentage of dead microbes - Killing Index KI). On the basis of the recorded partial parameters of Phagocytosis, taking into account the Neutrophils (N) content of 1 L blood, we calculated the integral parameter - Bactericidal Capacity of Neutrophils (BCCN) by the formula [15]:

\[
\text{BCCN} \left(10^9 \text{Bact/L}\right) = N \left(10^9/\text{L}\right) \cdot \Phi\text{I} \cdot \text{MC} \left(\text{Bact/Phag}\right) \cdot \text{KI} \cdot 10^{-4}
\]
In portion of capillary blood we counted up Leukocytogram (LCG) (Eosinophils, Rod-shaped and Polymorphonuclear Neutrophils, Lymphocytes and Monocytes). On the basis of these elements, IL Popovych’s Strain and Adaptation indices were calculated [13].

We calculated also the Entropy (h) of Immunocytogram (ICG) and Leukocytogram (LCG) using IL Popovych’s formulas [13,14] derived from classical CE Shannon’s formula [17]:

\[ h_{ICG} = -\frac{CD4 \cdot \log_2 CD4 + CD8 \cdot \log_2 CD8 + CD22 \cdot \log_2 CD22 + CD56 \cdot \log_2 CD56}{\log_2 4} \]
\[ h_{LCG} = -\frac{L \cdot \log_2 L + M \cdot \log_2 M + E \cdot \log_2 E + PMNN \cdot \log_2 PMNN + RSN \cdot \log_2 RSN}{\log_2 5} \]

Results processed by using the software package "Statistica 64".

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Following the accepted algorithm, we first created a matrix of correlations between nitrogenous metabolites and immune parameters (Table 1).

In the next step of the analysis, a regression model was constructed for each nitrogenous metabolite by stepwise exclusion until the maximum level of adjusted $R^2$ was reached.

As expected, based on the previous results, uric acid showed the maximum immunotropvic activity among nitrogenous metabolites (Table 2).
Table 1. Matrix of correlations between nitrogenous metabolites and immunity parameters in patients with chronic pyelonephritis

| Variable       | Correlations (n=48) |
|----------------|---------------------|
|                | CrP | UAP | CrE  | UAE | Urea E | Urea P |
| Cr P           | 1.00| 0.07| -0.33| -0.23| -0.23  | 0.51   |
| UA P           | 0.07| 1.00| 0.08 | 0.08 | -0.14  | -0.01  |
| Cr E           | -0.33| 0.08| 1.00 | 0.32 | 0.46   | -0.07  |
| UA E           | -0.23| -0.14| 0.32| 1.00 | 0.68   | 0.12   |
| Urea E         | -0.23| -0.01| 0.46| 0.68 | 1.00   | 0.11   |
| Urea P         | 0.51| 0.00| -0.07| 0.12 | 0.11   | 1.00   |
| IL-1           | -0.00| -0.38| 0.09| -0.06| -0.05  | 0.11   |
| SIgA           | 0.08| 0.06| 0.19| -0.09| -0.19  | -0.04  |
| IgG Saliva     | -0.09| -0.13| 0.03| -0.01| 0.09   | -0.12  |
| Lysoz Sal      | 0.02| 0.02| 0.15| -0.17| -0.28  | -0.09  |
| IgA Saliva     | -0.03| -0.17| 0.13| -0.05| -0.17  | -0.10  |
| Phl vs St au   | 0.06| 0.37| 0.06| -0.17| -0.05  | -0.32  |
| MC St aur      | 0.05| 0.40| 0.05| -0.17| 0.01   | -0.34  |
| Killing St au  | -0.33| 0.26| 0.23| -0.00| 0.13   | 0.04   |
| Phl E coli     | 0.11| 0.24| 0.04| -0.14| -0.29  | -0.08  |
| FNE            | 0.04| 0.34| -0.00| -0.05| 0.11   | -0.30  |
| IKE            | -0.21| 0.32| 0.06| -0.05| 0.04   | 0.07   |
| HL             | 0.33| 0.21| 0.08| 0.08 | 0.12   | 0.20   |
| BCA            | -0.24| 0.36| 0.24| 0.03| 0.15   | -0.18  |
| BCE            | -0.25| 0.44| 0.14| 0.06| 0.15   | -0.12  |
| LEU            | 0.15| 0.13| -0.05| 0.12| 0.10   | 0.11   |
| SNN            | -0.36| -0.10| 0.24| 0.14| 0.09   | -0.15  |
| BNN            | 0.15| 0.02| 0.24| 0.10| 0.13   | 0.11   |
| EO             | 0.24| 0.18| -0.06| -0.01| 0.14   | 0.31   |
| MON            | -0.02| 0.27| 0.21| 0.04| 0.03   | -0.15  |
| L%             | 0.32| 0.00| -0.32| -0.17| -0.15  | 0.11   |
| INL            | -0.15| 0.05| 0.15| -0.16| -0.13  | -0.11  |
| INL2           | 0.08| 0.12| 0.01| -0.14| -0.03  | 0.21   |
| IAP            | -0.35| -0.09| 0.16| 0.12| -0.05  | -0.26  |
| IAP2           | -0.25| 0.01| 0.21| 0.04| -0.04  | -0.27  |
| TH             | -0.06| -0.28| 0.04| 0.11| 0.03   | -0.05  |
| TS             | -0.05| 0.40| -0.19| 0.09| 0.08   | -0.11  |
| CD22           | -0.27| -0.05| -0.12| 0.09| -0.02  | -0.08  |
| TA             | 0.08| -0.03| -0.11| 0.15| -0.09  | 0.00   |
| CIC            | -0.15| 0.21| 0.10| 0.06| -0.03  | -0.02  |
| IGG            | -0.22| -0.08| 0.17| 0.04| -0.08  | -0.13  |
| IGA            | 0.18| 0.11| 0.12| 0.11| -0.11  | 0.04   |
| IGM            | -0.08| 0.08| -0.07| -0.15| -0.14  | -0.19  |
| CD56L          | 0.08| -0.18| 0.15| -0.16| -0.09  | 0.13   |
| OL             | 0.29| 0.08| 0.13| -0.15| -0.01  | 0.11   |
| HIM            | -0.33| 0.04| -0.07| 0.11| 0.02   | -0.14  |
Uricemia upregulates two parameters of phagocytosis of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria by neutrophils as well as levels of CIC and theophylline sensitive T-lymphocytes while downregulates level of theophylline resistance T-lymphocytes. Degree of determination of uricemia immune status is 60% (Table 2 and Fig. 1).

**Table 2. Regression Summary for Uricemia, µM/L**

| Variables                                      | Beta  | St. Err. of Beta | β  | SE of B | t_{(4)} | p-level |
|------------------------------------------------|-------|------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|
| Intercept                                      | -2.552| 0.147            |    | 1.714   | -3.57   | 0.001   |
| Bacterial Capacity vs E. coli, 10^9 B/L         | 0.44  | 0.107            | 0.0008 | 0.0003  | 2.64    | 0.012   |
| CD8 T-cytolytic Lymphocytes, %                  | 0.40  | 0.109            | 0.0056 | 0.0019  | 2.96    | 0.005   |
| Phagocytose Index vs Staph. aureus, %           | 0.37  | 0.107            | 0.0245 | 0.0074  | 3.31    | 0.002   |
| CIC Serum, units                               | 0.21  | 0.103            | 0.0011 | 0.0005  | 2.02    | 0.050   |
| Entropy of Leukocytogram                       | 0.21  | 0.106            | 0.2367 | 2.68    | 0.011   |
| CD4 T-helper Lymphocytes, %                     | -0.28 | -0.108           | -0.0070 | 0.0019  | -3.63   | 0.001   |

R=0.772; R^2=0.596; Adjusted R^2=0.536; F_{(6,4)}=10.1; p<10^{-5}

**Fig. 1. Scatterplot of canonical correlation between Uricemia (X-line) and the Immunity (Y-line) in patients with pyelonephritis**

Instead, multiple correlation between uricosuria and immune parameters are insignificant (Table 3).

**Table 3. Regression Summary for Uricosuria, mM/L**

| Variables                      | Beta  | St. Err. of Beta | β  | SE of B | t_{(45)} | p-level |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------------|----|---------|---------|---------|
| Intercept                      | -1.262| 0.148            | 0.039 | 0.026   | -1.46   | 0.151   |
| Lysozime Saliva, mg/L          | -0.17 | 0.216            | 0.045 | 0.031   | -1.47   | 0.148   |

R=0.269; R^2=0.072; Adjusted R^2=0.031; F_{(2,45)}=1.75; p=0.185
Creatininemia, like uricemia, upregulates entropy of Leukocytogram as well as level of Lymphocytes, while downregulates the level of Neutrophils and its killing activity against gram-positive bacteria as well as two information parameters. Degree of determination of creatininemia immune status is 41% (Table 4 and Fig. 2).

Table 4. Regression Summary for Creatininemia, µM/L

| Variables                      | Beta | St. Err. of Beta | B   | SE of B | t(41) | p-level |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----|---------|-------|---------|
| Intercept                      | -268.6| 311.5           | -0.86| 0.393   |       |         |
| Polymorphonuclear Neutrophils, %| -0.36 | 2.244           | 1.368| 3.853   | 2.348 | 1.64    | 0.108   |
| Popovych’s Adaptation Index, units| -0.35 | -0.460          | 0.166| 9.275   | 3.338 | -2.78   | 0.008   |
| Killing Index vs Staph. aureus, %| -0.33 | -0.302          | 0.128| -0.429  | 0.182 | -2.36   | 0.023   |
| Entropy of Immunocytogram      | -0.33 | -0.208          | 0.134| -119.3  | 76.71 | -1.56   | 0.128   |
| Entropy of Leukocytogram       | 0.33  | 0.902           | 0.465| 280.6   | 144.7 | 1.94    | 0.059   |
| Pan-Lymphocytes, %              | 0.32  | 1.918           | 1.120| 3.447   | 2.013 | 1.71    | 0.094   |

R=0.643; R²=0.413; χ²(6)=23; p=0.0008; Λ Prime=0.587
Fig. 2. Scatterplot of canonical correlation between Creatininemia (X-line) and the Immunity (Y-line) in patients with pyelonephritis

The immunomodulatory activity of creatinineuria is weaker than that of creatininemia, but still significant (Table 5).

Table 5. Regression Summary for Creatinineuria, mM/L

| Variables                      | Beta | St. Err. of Beta | B   | SE of B | t(41) | p-level |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----|---------|-------|---------|
| Intercept                      | 0.077| 7.44            | 0.01| 0.01    |       |         |
| Pan-Lymphocytes, %             | -0.32| -0.329          | 0.168| -0.1696 | 0.0865| -1.96   | 0.057   |
| Bactericidal Capacity vs St. aur, 10^9 B/L | 0.24  | -0.280          | 0.214| -0.0350 | 0.0267| -1.31   | 0.198   |
| Rod-shaped Neutrophils, %     | 0.24  | 0.249           | 0.144| 0.9491  | 0.5494| 1.73    | 0.092   |
| Killing Index vs Staph. aureus, %| 0.23  | 0.315           | 0.169| 0.1281  | 0.0687| 1.86    | 0.069   |
| Monocytes, %                  | 0.21  | 0.255           | 0.149| 0.5507  | 0.3218| 1.71    | 0.095   |
| Secretory IgA Saliva, mg/L    | 0.19  | 0.168           | 0.152| 0.0094  | 0.0085| 1.11    | 0.275   |
Immunotropic effect of urea was minimal among the studied nitrogenous metabolites (Tables 6 and 7, Fig. 3).

**Table 6. Regression Summary for Urea Plasma, mM/L**

R=0.584; R²=0.342; Adjusted R²=0.280; F(4,4)=5.6; p=0.001

| Variables                              | Beta | St. Err. of Beta | B  | SE of B | t(43) | p-level |
|----------------------------------------|------|-----------------|----|---------|-------|---------|
| Intercept                              | 0.09 | 1.19            |    | 8.46    | 10^*  |         |
| Microbial Count for St. aur., Bac/Phag | -0.34| -0.394          | 0.132 | -0.050 | 0.017 | -2.99   | 0.005   |
| Popovych’s Adaptation Index, units     | -0.26| -0.341          | 0.130 | -0.631 | 0.240 | -2.62   | 0.012   |
| IgM Serum, g/L                         | -0.19| -0.146          | 0.132 | -0.499 | 0.448 | -1.11   | 0.272   |
| Eosinophils, %                         | 0.31 | 0.288           | 0.125 | 0.223  | 0.097 | 2.30    | 0.026   |

**Fig. 3. Scatterplot of canonical correlation between Urea Plasma (X-line) and the Immunity (Y-line) in patients with pyelonephritis**

**Table 7. Regression Summary for Urea Excretion, mM/L**

R=0.327; R²=0.107; Adjusted R²=0.068; F(2,45)=2.70; p=0.078

| Variables                              | Beta | St. Err. of Beta | B  | SE of B | t(45) | p-level |
|----------------------------------------|------|-----------------|----|---------|-------|---------|
| Intercept                              | 0.09 | 1.19            |    | 8.46    | 10^*  |         |
| Phagocytose Index vs E. coli, %        | -0.29| -0.192          | 0.163 | -23.1  | 19.7  | -1.18   | 0.246   |
| Lysozime Saliva, mg/L                  | -0.28| -0.185          | 0.163 | -4.38  | 3.86  | -1.14   | 0.262   |

At the final stage, the canonical correlation between the parameters of nitrogenous metabolites, on the one hand, and the parameters of immunity, on the other hand, was analyzed. The program identified only one significant pair of canonical roots. Nitrogen root receives the maximum factor load from uricemia, much smaller, but unidirectional - from the
excretion of creatinine and urea, and similar in strength, but opposite in sign - from their plasma levels (Table 8).

### Table 8. Factor load on canonical roots of nitrogenous metabolites and immunity parameters in patients with chronic pyelonephritis

| Left set                        | Root  |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Uric acid Plasma               | 0.883 |
| Creatinine Excretion           | 0.305 |
| Urea Excretion                 | 0.265 |
| Uric acid Excretion            | 0.024 |
| Urea Plasma                    | -0.320|
| Creatinine Plasma              | -0.278|

| Right set                      | Root  |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Bactericidal Capacity vs E. coli, 10^9 B/L | 0.543 |
| Microbial Count for Staph. aureus, Bac/Phag | 0.504 |
| Bactericidal Capacity vs Staph. aur, 10^9 B/L | 0.496 |
| Phagocytose Index vs Staph. aureus, %       | 0.250 |
| CD8^+ T-cytolytic Lymphocytes, %            | 0.431 |
| Killing Index vs Staph. aureus, %           | 0.340 |
| Monocytes, %                               | 0.322 |
| CIC Serum, units                         | 0.226 |
| Phagocytose Index vs E. coli, %            | 0.155 |
| Entropy of Leukocytogram                 | 0.139 |
| Entropy of Immunocytogram                | 0.115 |
| IgM Serum, g/L                           | 0.106 |
| Eosinophils, %                           | 0.092 |
| Popovych’s Adaptation Index, units        | 0.022 |
| Polymorphonuclear Neutrophils, %          | 0.018 |
| Secretory IgA Saliva, mg/L               | 0.017 |
| Rod-shaped Neutrophils, %                | 0.009 |
| CD4^+ T-helper Lymphocytes, %             | -0.243|
| Pan-Lymphocytes, %                       | -0.117|
| Lysozyme Saliva, mg/L                   | -0.031|

\[ R=0.909; R^2=0.827; \chi^2_{(120)}=170; p=0.0017; \Lambda \text{ Prime}=0.0062 \]

Fig. 4. Scatterplot of canonical correlation between Nitrogenous Metabolites (X-line) and the Immunity (Y-line) in patients with chronic pyelonephritis
All but not three immune parameters are subject to upregulation. The degree of determination of the immune status of this sample of patients with nitrogenous metabolites is 83% (Fig. 4).

A more detailed analysis of this and previous samples in a comparative aspect will be the topic of the next article.
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