Downregulation of MEG3 and upregulation of EZH2 cooperatively promote neuroblastoma progression
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Abstract
Neuroblastoma (NB), an embryonic tumour originating from sympathetic crest cells, is the most common extracranial solid tumour type in children with poor overall prognosis. Accumulating evidence has demonstrated the involvement of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) in numerous biological processes and their associations with embryonic development and multiple diseases. Ectopic lncRNA expression is linked to malignant tumours. Previous studies by our team indicate that MEG3 attenuates NB autophagy through inhibition of FOXO1 and epithelial-mesenchymal transition via the mTOR pathway in vitro. Moreover, MEG3 and EZH2 negatively regulate each other. In present study, we first collected 60 NB tissues and 20 adjacent tissues for Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) experiments and performed clinical correlation analysis of the results. At the same time, nude mice were used for subcutaneous tumour formation to detect the effect of MEG3 in vivo. Two NB cell lines, SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C, were overexpressed MEG3 and rescued with EZH2 and then were subjected to proliferation, migration, invasion, apoptosis and autophagy experiments. RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) and Co-Immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) experiments were performed to explore the molecular mechanism of MEG3 and EZH2 interaction. Q-PCR revealed that MEG3 expression was negatively correlated with INSS stage and risk grade of NB. Moreover, MEG3 overexpression was associated with inhibition of NB growth in vivo. MEG3 exerted an anti-cancer effect via stimulatory effects on EZH2 ubiquitination leading to its degradation. Conversely,
EZH2 interacted with DNMT1 and HDAC1 to induce silencing of MEG3. The EZH2 inhibitor, DZNep, and HDAC inhibitor, SAHA, displayed synergistic activity against NB. Combined treatment with DZNep and SAHA inhibited proliferation, migration and invasion of NB through suppression of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR/FOXO1 pathway. In conclusion, downregulation of MEG3 and upregulation of EZH2 forms a feedback loop that concertedly promotes the development of NB. Combined blockade of EZH2 and HDAC1 with the appropriate inhibitors may therefore present an effective treatment strategy for NB cases with low MEG3 and high EZH2 expression.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Neuroblastoma (NB) is an embryonal tumour arising in the developing sympathetic nervous system with typical presentation in adrenal glands and/or sympathetic ganglia.\(^1,2\) As the most common extracranial solid tumour type in children, NB is usually diagnosed in the first year of life with an average of 25–50 cases per million individuals, accounting for disproportionate morbidity and mortality among paediatric tumours.\(^3,4\) Although the outcomes of NB have improved owing to updated and effective interventions, long-term survival rates in children with high-risk NB remain relatively poor.\(^1,5\)

Accumulating studies on the mechanisms underlying pathogenesis suggest that abnormalities at the genome, epigenome and transcriptome levels are involved in the occurrence of NB.\(^6,8\) However, our understanding of the complex pathogenic pathways underlying tumorgenesis of NB is still evolving and further research is required for effective diagnosis and identification of therapeutic targets.

With the rapid development of high-throughput sequencing, in addition to abnormal expression of protein-coding genes, dysregulation of non-coding RNAs, in particular, long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), has been shown to play important roles in tumour development.\(^9-11\) Similar to protein-coding genes, lncRNAs possess oncogenic and tumour suppressive activities.\(^12,13\) However, limited studies to date have investigated the involvement of lncRNAs in the development of childhood tumours, including NB.

The lncRNA, maternally expressed gene 3 (MEG3), acts as a tumour suppressor in various cancer types, including liver, lung, nasopharyngeal and stomach cancer.\(^14,15\) Current research on MEG3 in relation to NB is mainly focused on genetic polymorphisms and genetic susceptibility. Xia and colleagues identified two MEG3 polymorphisms, rs7158663 G>A and rs4081134 G>A, in a study on 392 children with NB and 783 control subjects via the Taqman method. Stratified analysis revealed that subjects carrying the rs4081134 AG/AA genotype were susceptible to NB in subgroups older than 18 months and clinical stage III+IV. In a comprehensive investigation of MEG3 gene polymorphisms, children over 18 months of age simultaneously carrying both these risk genotypes were more likely to develop NB than those with only one or no risk genotype.\(^16\) However, the specific functions and mechanisms of action of MEG3 in NB remain to be established.

Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) comprises EZH2, EED and SUZ12, among which, EZH2 is the only active subunit with a critical role.\(^17-19\) The EZH2 catalytic SET domain catalysing histone 3 lysine 27 tri-methylation (H3K27me3) is reported to bind and silence specific tumour suppressor genes.\(^20,21\) Earlier, Kamijo and co-workers demonstrated upregulation of EZH2 in NB in association with poorer prognosis and overall survival. Furthermore, EZH2 was shown to affect NB differentiation through regulation of NTRK1.\(^22\) Another study by Bownes et al. revealed decreased NB proliferation and in vivo tumour growth following inhibition of EZH2.\(^23\)

The role of the lncRNA MEG3 in NB was previously explored by our team. Consistent with findings from other studies, our experiments supported a tumour suppressor role of MEG3, showing a negative correlation between MEG3 expression and development of NB in vitro. While our earlier results suggest that MEG3 and EZH2 mutually regulate and jointly promote progression of NB by forming a negative feedback loop, the precise pathways remain to be clarified.\(^24\) The main objective of the present study was to uncover the mechanistic pathways between MEG3 and EZH2 and explore the potential therapeutic utility of combinations of drugs targeting both genes against NB.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Cell culture

The human NB cell lines, SK-N-BE(2)-C and SK-N-AS, were kind gifts from Professor Kai Li of Children’s Hospital of Fudan University. SK-N-BE (2)-C and SK-N-AS cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 and DMEM (Biological Industries), respectively. For both cell lines, the culture medium was routinely supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (New Cell & Molecular Biotech) and incubated under a humidified atmosphere.
with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Cell culture dishes were purchased from Xinyou Biotechnology Company and CELLSAVING™ from New Cell & Molecular Biotech.

2.2 | Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

Sixty NB tissues and twenty control tissues were obtained from patients subjected to surgery at Children's Hospital of Fudan University. Informed consent was acquired from every patient. Total RNA was isolated from the above tissues or cell lines using TRIzol reagent (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was generated from reverse-transcribed RNA using a specific reverse transcription kit, in keeping with the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) was performed using Hieff UNICON® Universal Blue qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Yeasen) with a Roche instrument for determination of relative RNA levels. Results were calculated using the ΔΔCt method and normalized to the reference housekeeping gene GAPDH. The primers used were as follows: MEG3-forward, 5′-CTTACGCTCAATTTTCTTCA-3′, MEG3-reverse, 5′-TCCAGGAGTACACCTGATAAC-3′; GAPDH-forward 5′-GGAGCCGAGATCCCTAGGAAAT-3′, GAPDH-reverse, 5′-GGCTGTGTGTCTCATGATTG-3′. Final data were analysed as operated at room temperature. After washing with TBST three times, membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h. Immunoblot signals were obtained from an imaging system using an Enhanced Chemiluminescent Reagent kit (New Cell & Molecular Biotech). GAPDH or β-actin was selected as the loading control. All the antibodies used in this study are listed in Table S1.

2.5 | Immunohistochemical analysis

Human NB tumour and paired control tissues were collected from the Children's Hospital of Fudan University. Samples were soaked, embedded, dewaxed and incubated in citric acid antigen retrieval buffer, followed by 3% BSA for blocking. Slides were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies specific for Ki67 (1:200; Servicebio) and EZH2 (1:50, CST). On day 2, slides were washed three times and incubated with secondary antibody (1:200; Servicebio) for 1 h at room temperature. DAB colour developing solution was added, followed by haematoxylin staining. Finally, slides were dehydrated and mounted and images were obtained via microscopy (Thermo).

2.6 | Cell proliferation and colony formation

Cell proliferation ability was measured using Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Yeasen). SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 2 × 10⁴ cells and 4 × 10³ cells per well, respectively. CCK-8 (10 μl) was added to cells and incubated for 2 h at 37°C, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm daily for 4 consecutive days. A 5-ethyl-2-deoxyuridine (EdU) assay kit (Ribobio) was utilized to determine cell proliferation ability. Cells were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1 × 10⁵ cells each well, incubated in 50 μM EdU buffer for 2 h at 37°C, fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 0.5 h and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 for 20 min. Next, EdU solution was added to cultures, followed by staining of nuclei with Hoechst 33342, and results were visualized under a fluorescence microscope (Thermo). For the colony formation assay, 1.5 × 10³ SK-N-AS and 3 × 10³ SK-N-BE(2)C cells per well were seeded into 6-well plates and cultured in the appropriate medium for about 2 weeks. After 14 days of incubation, plates were washed twice with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 15–20 min and stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution for 10–15 min for further analysis.

2.7 | Migration and invasion assays

Migration and invasion assays were performed using 24-well plates containing 8 μm pore size transwell filter inserts with or without pre-coated diluted matrigel (1:5; Becton Dickinson). SK-N-AS cells at a density of 1 × 10⁵ (migration) and 2 × 10⁵ (invasion) and SK-N-BE(2)C cells at a density of 2 × 10⁵ (migration) and 4 × 10⁵ (invasion) were operated at room temperature. After washing with TBST three times, membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h. Immunoblot signals were obtained from an imaging system using an Enhanced Chemiluminescent Reagent kit (New Cell & Molecular Biotech). GAPDH or β-actin was selected as the loading control. All the antibodies used in this study are listed in Table S1.
diluted in serum-free medium were placed in the upper chamber and medium containing 30% FBS added to the lower chamber. After incubation for 48 h at 37°C, cells on the underside of the membrane were fixed with 4% PFA for 15 min and stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution within 20 min for further analysis. Penetrating cells from five random fields were counted under the microscope.

2.8 | Apoptosis detection

Cells were collected in a 6 cm culture dish, washed twice with PBS and digested with trypsin without EDTA. Next, 5 μl PE and 7-amino actinomycin D staining solution were added after fixation for 15 min in the dark. Samples were subjected to flow cytometry and analysed with FlowJo software.

2.9 | Plasmid construction

All short hairpin RNAs were designed using the website of Sigma. The target sequences of genes were as follows: EZH2, 5′-CCCCACATAGATGGACCAAAT-3′; UCHL1, 5′-CGGGTAGATGA CAAGGTGAAT-3′; DNMT1, 5′-GCCCAATGAGACTGACATCAA-3′; DNMT3A, 5′-CCACCAGAAGAAGAGAAGAAT-3′; DNMT3B, GCCT CAAGACAAATTGCTATA-3′; HDAC1, 5′-GCTGCTCAACTATGGTCA-3′; HDAC2, 5′-GCCAAATGAGACTGACATCAA-3′. EZH2 and ΔSET EZH2 plasmids were synthesized by Shanghai Generay Biotech Co., Ltd. DNMT1 and HDAC1 overexpression plasmids were purchased from Shanghai Genomeditech and Shandong WZ Biotech Co., Ltd, respectively.

2.10 | RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation

Cell pellets cultured in a 10 cm dish were collected, and the same volume of RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) lysis buffer was added to the tube. Samples were incubated overnight at -80°C after splitting on ice for 5 min. Next, protein A/G magnetic beads (Thermo) were washed five times with NT2 buffer and incubated with 5 μg antibody. After 2 h, samples were re-washed with NT2 buffer and mixed with supernatant fractions of the lysates overnight at 4°C. The next day, supernatants were washed five times with NT2 buffer and proteinase K buffer added for 30 min at 55°C. RNA was extracted with TRIzol reagent, and reverse transcription and Q-PCR analyses showed significant downregulation of MEG3 in NB compared to adjacent adrenal tissues (Figure 1A).

2.11 | Co-immunoprecipitation experiments

NP40 lysates (1 ml) were added to 10 cm dish cells and proteins extracted using the conventional WB method. After incubation with 2 μg antibody for 2 h at 4°C, 30 μl protein A/G agarose beads (Santa Cruz) was added and inverted overnight. The next day, samples were washed thoroughly with NP40 lysis buffer (Regal Biology) five times, incubated in 30 μl of 2xSDS-PAGE sample loading buffer (Yeasten) and boiled at 95°C for 5 min for subsequent WB experiments.

2.12 | ChIP-seq and RNA-seq

For ChIP-seq, cells in a 10 cm dish were resuspended in 10 ml medium. Next, 270 μl of 37% formaldehyde solution was added at room temperature for 10 min, followed by 540 μl of 2.5 mol glycine for 5 min. ChIP lysis buffer (1.5 ml) was added to samples on ice for 15–20 min, and DNA fragments were generated with a sonicator (200–1000 bp in size). Next, 10 μg antibody was added to samples and rotated overnight at 4°C. The next day, 50 μl magnetic beads were added and reacted for 4 h at 4°C. After thorough washing, DNA was eluted and sequenced following library construction. For RNA-seq experiments, RNA was extracted from a plate of 10 cm cells per sample with TRIzol and the cDNA library constructed. GO and KEGG analysis were performed after sequencing.

2.13 | Statistical analysis

Every assay was repeated independently at least three times. Results were presented as the mean ± SD. Groups were compared using t-test, and p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | MEG3 inhibits NB growth in vivo and EZH2 is highly expressed in NB

Previous experiments by our team support anti-tumour activity of MEG3 in NB. The present study was conducted on an expanded clinical sample size. Q-PCR analyses showed significant downregulation of MEG3 in NB compared to adjacent adrenal tissues (Figure 1A). In clinical correlation analysis, MEG3 was negatively correlated with INSS stage and risk grade of NB (Table 1, Table S2). Moreover, animal experiments revealed an association of MEG3 overexpression with NB growth inhibition in vivo (Figure 1B–D). Immunohistochemical analyses further disclosed that upregulation of MEG3 induces a decrease in Ki67 and EZH2 levels in vivo (Figure 1E).

To validate the expression of EZH2 in NB, tumour tissues and adjacent adrenal tissues were examined via Western blot and immunohistochemistry. Our results showed significantly higher levels of EZH2 in NB compared to adjacent normal tissues (Figure 1F,H). Moreover, higher expression of EZH2 was observed in MYCN amplified NB cells than MYCN non-amplified cells (Figure 1G). To ascertain whether EZH2 could rescue the tumour suppressor effect of MEG3, EZH2 or EZH2 depleted of the SET domain was overexpressed in
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3.2 Upregulation of $\text{EZH2}$ rescues the tumour inhibitory effects of MEG3

MEG3 has been shown to inhibit NB cell proliferation, migration, invasion and autophagy and promote apoptosis in our previous studies.\(^{24}\) In the CCK-8 assay, wild-type $\text{EZH2}$, but not $\Delta \text{SET} \text{EZH2}$ depleted of the SET domain, facilitated cell proliferation (Figure 2A,B). Similarly, $\text{EZH2}$, but not $\Delta \text{SET} \text{EZH2}$, rescued colony formation ability (Figure 2C–E). Flow cytometry showed that apoptosis induced by overexpression of MEG3 could be rescued by $\text{EZH2}$, but not $\Delta \text{SET} \text{EZH2}$ (Figure 2F–G; Figure S1A,B). In accordance with CCK-8 findings, EdU results validated the proliferative effect of wild-type $\text{EZH2}$ and the SET domain in NB cells (Figure 2H,I). To confirm these findings, we examined the effects of $\text{EZH2}$ and $\Delta \text{SET} \text{EZH2}$ on NB cell metastasis. Consistent with the above results, $\text{EZH2}$, but not $\Delta \text{SET} \text{EZH2}$...
TABLE 1  Correlation analysis of MEG3 expression and clinical characteristics

| Variables | MEG3 expression | p value |
|-----------|----------------|---------|
|           | High (n = 30) | Low (n = 30) |
| Gender    |               |           |
| Male      | 15            | 15       | 0.602   |
| Female    | 15            | 15       |         |
| Age       |               |           |
| ≥18 months| 22            | 23       | 0.500   |
| <18 months| 8             | 7        |         |
| INSS stage|               |           |
| I/II/4s   | 11            | 5        | 0.045   |
| III/IV    | 14            | 22       |         |
| Unknown   | 5             | 3        |         |
| Risk degree|               |           |
| Low/intermediate | 15 | 6 | 0.027 |
| High      | 13            | 19       |         |
| Unknown   | 2             | 5        |         |
| MYCN state|               |           |
| No amplification | 18 | 12 | 0.161 |
| Amplification | 4  | 7  |       |
| Unknown   | 8             | 11       |         |
| Metastasis|               |           |
| Yes       | 17            | 19       | 0.396   |
| No        | 13            | 11       |         |

EZH2, promoted migration and invasion of NB cells (Figure 2J–N). In addition, electron microscopy results indicated that autophagy inhibited by MEG3 is increased by ectopic EZH2 and that depletion of SET leads to loss of this function (Figure 2Q). Therefore, we propose that MEG3 exerts anti-cancer activity through negatively regulating EZH2 in NB cells. Furthermore, the SET domain appears indispensable for EZH2 to exert its oncogenic effects.

3.3 | EZH2 promotes FOXO1-mediated autophagy and mTOR mediates epithelial-mesenchymal transition

In addition to cell phenotype, we explored whether the signalling pathway inhibited by MEG3 could be reactivated by EZH2. Western blot experiments showed that EZH2, but not ΔSET EZH2, could reactivate FOXO1-mediated autophagy and mTOR-induced EMT (Figure 3A,C,E). However, EZH2 exerted no effect on the autophagy markers ATG3 and ATG12. Next, EZH2 was depleted via short hairpin RNA, which led to suppression of both autophagy and EMT. Notably, FOXO1 and mTOR pathways were also inhibited upon downregulation of EZH2. In accordance with data from EZH2 silencing experiments, DZNep, an EZH2 inhibitor, induced significant suppression of FOXO1 and mTOR pathways and decreased that of autophagy and EMT markers. Conversely, upregulation of EZH2 in NB cells increased autophagy and EMT markers and activated the FOXO1 and mTOR pathways (Figure 3B,D,F). The collective findings demonstrate that MEG3-mediated inhibition of autophagy and EMT through FOXO1 and mTOR is achieved via EZH2, in particular, the SET domain.

3.4 | RNA-seq and ChIP-seq experiments show association of MEG3 and EZH2 with the PI3K/AKT pathway

To further identify the potential downstream molecules, RNA-seq and ChIP-seq were performed. In MEG3 overexpression and control groups, 757 genes were differentially expressed, including 202 up-regulated and 555 downregulated genes. Between EZH2- rescue and control groups, 162 genes were downregulated and 194 were upregulated (356 differentially expressed genes in total). Among ΔSET EZH2 rescue and control groups, 683 genes were differentially expressed, including 565 upregulated and 118 downregulated genes. GO and KEGG analyses revealed a potential association of EZH2 with the PI3K/AKT pathway (Figure 4A–F). In addition, H3K27me3 ChIP-seq showed an association of EZH2 with several biological processes and signalling pathways, such as the Wnt, Hippo and PI3K/AKT (not ranked within the top 15 pathways) (Figure 4G,H). Western blot data suggested that MEG3 inhibited the PI3K/AKT pathway, which could be reactivated by EZH2 but not ΔSET EZH2 (Figure 4I,J). The histone methyltransferase, EZH2, promoted H3K27me3 and reduced H3K27ac expression through activity of the SET domain (Figure 4K). Conversely, inhibition of EZH2 led to H3K27me3 suppression and induction of H3K27ac (Figure 4L). To identify the genes dependent on and independent of PRC2, RNA-seq and ChIP-seq were conducted for detection of potential downstream molecules (Figure 4M,N).

3.5 | UCHL1 serves as a bridge mediating regulation of EZH2 by MEG3

Previously, we demonstrated that MEG3 promotes degradation of EZH2 via ubiquitination and interacts with the deubiquitinase UCHL1, based on CHIRP experiments (Figure 5A). UCHL1 is a thiol protease containing a total of 223 amino acids (among which aa 83–176 comprise the catalytic site) that hydrolyses a peptide bond at the C-terminal glycine of ubiquitin. Using information from the database, we speculated that MEG3 (31–92 bp) binds UCHL1 and MEG3 (Figure 5C,D). Notably, MEG3 lacking 31–92 bp lost the ability to bind UCHL1 (Figure 5E,F). Data from the Co-IP assay suggested that UCHL1 may bind the SET domain of EZH2 (Figure 5G,H) and immunofluorescence experiments revealed co-localization of EZH2 and UCHL1 in NB cells (Figure 5I). Downregulation of UCHL1 via short hairpin RNA or its inhibitor, LDN57444, induced a decrease in EZH2 and, conversely, its upregulation led to increased EZH2 expression (Figure 5I). Furthermore,
FIGURE 2   EZH2 promotes cell proliferation, colony formation, migration, invasion and autophagy while inhibiting apoptosis. A, B, CCK-8 analysis in control (MEG3 OC), MEG3 overexpression (MEG3 OE), EZH2 rescue (OE + R1) and ΔSET EZH2 rescue (OE+R2) groups of SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C cell lines; C, D, Colony formation assay in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C cell lines; F, G. Apoptosis detection via flow cytometry in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C cell lines; H, I. EdU assay in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C cell lines; J–N. Transwell assay in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C cell lines; O, Electron microscopy in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS and SK-N-BE(2)C cell lines (scale, 2 µm). ASS represents autolysosome, AP autophagosome, M mitochondria, N nucleus, Go golgi, LD lipid droplets, RER rough endoplasmic reticulum, R1 EZH2 rescue and R2 ΔSET EZH2 rescue (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001)
FIGURE 3  EZH2 activates autophagy, mTOR and EMT inhibited by MEG3. A, Autophagy marker detection in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS cells; B, Autophagy marker detection in EZH2 knockdown, 48 h EZH2 inhibitor DZNep (5 μM and 10 μM) treatment and EZH2 overexpression groups compared to control; C, mTOR signalling pathway protein detection in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS cells; D, mTOR signalling pathway protein detection in EZH2 knockdown, 48 h EZH2 inhibitor DZNep (5 μM and 10 μM) treatment and EZH2 overexpression groups compared with control; E, EMT marker detection in control, MEG3 overexpression, EZH2 rescue and ΔSET EZH2 rescue groups of SK-N-AS cells; F, EMT marker detection in EZH2 knockdown, 48 h EZH2 inhibitor DZNep (5 μM and 10 μM) treatment and EZH2 overexpression groups compared with control.
overexpression of MEG3 was associated with reduced levels of UCHL1 (Figure 5J). Based on the collective findings, we propose that MEG3 interacts with UCHL1 and inhibits its expression, thus suppressing the EZH2 level by promoting its degradation via ubiquitination.

### 3.6 EZH2, DNMT1 and HDAC1 collectively induce silencing of MEG3 in NB

Several CpG islands in the MEG3 promoter region are hypermethylated, inducing its downregulation. DNA methylation sequencing revealed that 5-Aza inhibits the methylation level of the MEG3 promoter, with 10 μm 5-Aza exerting a stronger inhibitory effect than 5 μm 5-Aza (Figure 6A,B). Moreover, 5-Aza promoted MEG3 expression through suppression of promoter methylation (Figure 6C). Data from DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) knockout experiments (including DNMT1, DNMT3A, and DNMT3B; Figure S1G,J,K,L) showed that DNMT1 exerts a negative regulatory effect on MEG3 (Figure 6D). Similarly, HDAC1 and HDAC2 silencing experiments were performed (Figure S1H,I,M,N). Downregulation of HDAC1 induced a significant increase in MEG3 expression (Figure 6E). Conversely, upregulation of DNMT1 and HDAC1 resulted in suppression of MEG3 (Figure 6F,G; Figure S1O,P). In view of the known interactions between epigenetic
FIGURE 5 UCHL1 acts as a bridge interacting with both MEG3 and EZH2. A, PPI (protein-protein interaction) of enriched proteins via MEG3 CHIRP; B, UCHL1-truncated body pattern diagram; C, Western blot of UCHL1-truncated body RIP assay; D, RIP-QPCR analysis of MEG3 and UCHL1-truncated body; E, Western blot of MEG3 (full-length) and MEG3 (31-92 bp deletion) RIP assay; F, RIP-QPCR analysis for MEG3 and MEG3 truncated body by UCHL1; G, H, Co-IP of EZH2 and UCHL1; I, Western blot for EZH2 with UCHL1 knockdown, LDN57444 (treatment with 5 or 10 μM UCHL1 inhibitor for 48 h) and UCHL1 overexpression; J, Western blot for UCHL1 in MEG3 overexpression and control cells (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).
factors and our earlier finding that EZH2 negatively regulates MEG3 expression, interactions of EZH2 with DNMT1 and HDAC1 were further validated in this study (Figure 6H,I,J,K). Furthermore, downregulation of EZH2 with short hairpin RNA or DZNep led to inhibition of the PRC2 complex, DNMT and HDAC molecules (Figure 6L). Following treatment of NB cells with 5 μm or 10 μm DZNep, SAHA and 5-Aza, EZH2 and HDAC1 were shown to exert a synergistic effect while 5-Aza specifically suppressed the expression of DNMT1, but not EZH2 or HDAC1. The inhibitory effect on DNMT1 could be replaced by DZNep and SAHA (Figure 6M). Our collective results suggest that EZH2, DNMT1 and HDAC1 form a complex that inhibits MEG3 expression (Figure 6N).

3.7 | Combined treatment with DZNep and SAHA inhibits the malignant biological behaviour of NB through the PI3K/AKT/mTOR/FOXO1 pathway

Compared with control and single-drug treatment groups, co-treatment with DZNep and SAHA induced a more significant decrease in EZH2, DNMT1 and HDAC1 expression (Figure 7A). Furthermore, DZNep and SAHA together inhibited the PI3K/AKT/mTOR and FOXO1 pathways to a greater extent than each agent alone (Figure 7B). CCK-8 and EdU assays revealed that both DZNep and SAHA induced a decrease in cell proliferation, with the combination treatment achieving a greater inhibitory
effect (Figure 7C–H). Flow cytometry analysis revealed an increased proportion of apoptosis in the combined treatment group (Figure S1Q–S). In the colony formation assay, the DZNep-SAHA combination decreased colony formation ability to a greater extent than DZNep or SAHA alone (Figure S1T). Moreover, data from transwell experiments showed that co-treatment with DZNep and SAHA suppressed cell migration and invasion to a greater extent than DZNep or SAHA alone (Figure 7I–M). Overall, the combination of DZNep and SAHA not only induced greater inhibition of cell proliferation, migration, invasion and colony formation but also promoted apoptosis through inactivating the PI3K/AKT/mTOR/FOXO1 pathway (Figure 8).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous experiments by our group showed that MEG3 inhibits NB autophagy through FOXO1 and EMT through mTOR in vitro.
Moreover, MEG3 and EZH2 function as mutual negative regulators of each other. Here, we further explored the anti-tumour effects of MEG3 in vivo and attempted to clarify the specific molecular mechanisms underlying the regulatory loop of MEG3 and EZH2.

In the current study, overexpression of MEG3 inhibited NB growth, consistent with previous in vitro results. Furthermore, up-regulation of EZH2 in MEG3-overexpressing cells decreased the anti-tumour effect of MEG3. Cell proliferation, colony formation, migration, invasion and autophagy were inhibited, and apoptosis was promoted by MEG3, which were reversed by EZH2. Moreover, the autophagy, EMT and FOXO1/mTOR pathways were rescued by EZH2. RNA-seq and ChIP-seq findings further suggested that MEG3 inhibits the PI3K/AKT pathway via EZH2, which is upstream of mTOR. We identified the SET domain as a key player in EZH2 activity based on the finding that its depletion led to loss of the rescue effects of EZH2 against MEG3. Importantly, UCHL1 was shown to serve as a bridge mediating MEG3 degradation via ubiquitination of EZH2. However, the specific mechanisms underlying MEG3-mediated inhibition of UCHL1 are currently unknown. Regulation of downstream target genes by EZH2 relies on both PRC2 and non-PRC2 pathways. Data from our experiments suggest that EZH2 regulates PIK3AP1 and FCRL5 in a PRC2-dependent manner. Additionally, 11 genes, including SLC7A8, H6ST2, LAT52, GNB4, PDIA2, DLX4, MET, SLC1A1, FAM66D and CAV2, appear to be regulated by EZH2 via non-PRC2-dependent pathways. However, the issue of whether these downstream genes contribute to NB and the underlying mechanisms remains to be established.

Conversely, EZH2 interacts with both DNMT1 and HDAC1 to induce MEG3 silencing in NB. Since MEG3 and EZH2 are mutually regulated in NB and expression of MEG3 is regulated by the epigenetic molecules EZH2, DNMT1 and HDAC1, expression of these molecules upstream of MEG3 was inhibited with a view to achieving suppression of NB. EZH2 is a key tumour-targeting molecule and DZNep is an effective histone methyltransferase inhibitor. Histone deacetylase is an enzyme that plays a key role in regulating gene expression by remodelling chromatin structure. Aberrant histone acetylation caused by an imbalance in expression and activity of HDACs is known to promote progression of a variety of tumours, including NB. HDACs (in particular, HDAC1 and HDAC2) can interact with the PRC2 complex to cooperatively regulate downstream genes.

In addition to EZH2, DNMTs are important epigenetic regulators involved in transcriptional inhibition. Among the DNMTs, DNMT1 maintains methylation while DNMT3A and DNMT3B function in de novo methylation. DNMTs modify CpG island cytosine methylation in the promoter region to inhibit gene expression and inhibition of methylation reactivates gene expression. Mutation or overexpression of DNMTs is closely associated with the occurrence and development of various cancer types, such as acute myeloid leukaemia, breast cancer and prostate cancer. Multiple CpG islands with hypermethylation exist in the promoter region which may be related to the low expression of MEG3 in NB. Using GST pulldown experiments, Vire et al. showed that EZH2 binds DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B. Additionally, DNMTs interact with the PRC2 complex component, EED, and activities of endogenous EED, SUZ12 and DNMTs are known to be interlinked. EZH2 recruits DNMTs to induce hypermethylation of CpG islands in the promoter of the target gene, leading to inhibition of its expression.

At present, the development of inhibitors of epigenetic-related factors as therapeutic agents is under extensive investigation, including DNMT inhibitors (such as 5-Aza), EZH2 inhibitors (such as DZNep and Tazemetostat) and HDAC inhibitors (such as SAHA). Clinical trials have revealed promising results in terms of anti-cancer efficacy. Early last year, the United States Food and Drug Administration accelerated the approval of the EZH2 inhibitor Tazemetostat (developed by Epizyme) for marketing. The indications are mainly for patients with advanced or extensive metastatic epithelioid sarcoma who are unable to undergo radical surgery, which is a landmark in
EZH2 inhibitor development.\textsuperscript{42} The HDAC inhibitor, Vorinostat (SAHA, developed by Merck) has not only been approved as a treatment agent for T-cell lymphoma but is also undergoing phase 2 and 3 clinical trials for breast cancer and non-small cell lung cancer.\textsuperscript{43} Since inhibitors of DNMTs, EZH2 or HDACs are all non-specific with regulatory effects on numerous genes, combinations of these drugs may effectively enhance efficacy and reduce side effects.\textsuperscript{44} In the present study, we showed that compared with the control and single inhibitor treatment groups, co-treatment with DZNep and SAHA may effectively enhance efficacy and reduce side effects.

Concomitant downregulation of MEG3 and upregulation of HDAC1, DNMT1 and PI3K/AKT/mTOR/FOXO1 signalling to a more significant extent. Moreover, the DZNep-SAHA combination induced greater inhibition of proliferation, migration and invasion of NB in vitro. However, further efficacy and safety experiments are warranted to validate the clinical utility of combination therapy.

In conclusion, MEG3 plays a tumour suppressor role in NB. Concomitant downregulation of MEG3 and upregulation of EZH2 promotes the occurrence and development of NB. Combined blockage of EZH2 and HDAC1 may be effective in treatment of NB cases with low MEG3 and high EZH2 expression.
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