Multiple-enzyme supplementation on digestive traits, carcass characteristics, blood lipid parameters and growth performance of broilers fed a wheat-based diet
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Objective: A trial was conducted from 11 to 42 d post-hatch to investigate the effectiveness of the supplementation of a multiple-enzyme preparation (Natuzyme Plus) in a wheat-based diet on digesta viscosity, pH and microbial population, villus morphology, feed passage time, nutrient retention, carcass characteristics, blood lipid parameters and growth performance of broiler chickens.

Methods: Three hundreds 10-d-old male Ross 308 chicks were allocated to three diets with five replicates of 20 birds per replicate. Dietary treatments were i) a wheat-based diet (W), ii) W+Natuzyme Plus (WN; 500 mg/kg of the diet), and iii) a corn-based diet (C).

Results: Birds fed on the C diet had higher average daily gain (ADG, p<0.01), villus height (VH, p<0.01), total tract apparent retention (TTAR) of nitrogen (NT, p<0.01) and ether extract (EE, p<0.01), nitrogen-corrected apparent metabolizable energy (AMEₙ, p<0.05), relative weight (RW, % of body weight) of carcass (p<0.05), blood concentration of triglyceride (TG, on d 40 [p<0.01]), total cholesterol (TC, on d 22 [p<0.05]) and low density lipoprotein (LDL, on d 22 [p<0.01] and 40 [p<0.05]), and also lower feed conversion ratio (FCR, p<0.01), digesta viscosity (p<0.01), count of coliforms (p<0.01) and Escherichia coli (p<0.01) and epithelium thickness (ET, p<0.05) than those fed on the W diet. ADG, FCR, VH, ET, TTAR of NT and EE, AMEₙ, count of Lactobacilli and coliforms and digesta viscosity (p<0.01). Compared to those of the W diet, the WN diet showed the higher count of Lactobacilli and lower count of coliforms (p<0.01) and digesta viscosity (p<0.01).

Conclusion: In general, the results of this study showed that Natuzyme Plus supplementation in a wheat-based diet can be appropriate to achieve a comparable growth performance in broiler chickens to those given the C diet probably through improving digesta viscosity, VH, ET, TTAR of NT and EE, AMEₙ, count of Lactobacilli and coliforms.
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INTRODUCTION

Wheat is commonly used as a major source of energy in poultry diets in some parts of the world [1,2]. However, it has lower energy content and provides more protein compared to corn. In addition, wheat has high soluble non-starch polysaccharides (S-NSP) content and one of the major challenges of feeding cereals with high level of S-NSP to chicks is the increase of viscosity in gut digesta and subsequent, slowing down the digesta passage rate, leading to proliferation of unfavorable fermentative organisms in the small intestine, which is detrimental especially to the villus [3]. In addition, increasing the digesta viscosity reduces the nutrient digestibility and growth performance of poultry [4,5]. Supplementation of the diet with exogenous enzymes [6,7] is the most common strategy recommended to minimize the negative effect of S-NSP.
Natuzyme Plus is one of the multiple enzyme preparations which contains cellulase, xylanase, β-glucanase, α-amylase, pectinase, phytase, proteases (acid and neutral) and lipase. Evaluation of the efficiency of multiple enzyme-based preparations is of practical use and relevant to poultry industry [8]. Lee et al [8] investigated the effect of Natuzyme supplementation on performance of laying hens. Sharifi et al [9] examined the dietary supplementation of Natuzyme in broiler chickens, but they only investigated intestinal morphology and performance of chicks. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of Natuzyme supplementation in a diet with a high level of wheat inclusion (i.e. 40%) on digesta pH and microbial population of the intestine, feed passage time, nutrients retention and nitrogen-corrected apparent metabolizable energy (AME, kcal/kg) of diet, blood lipid parameters, relative weight (RW) of carcass and digestive tract organs, digesta viscosity, villus morphology and growth performance of broiler chickens from 11 to 42 d of age.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Birds and husbandry

The experimental procedures described in this research were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Zanjan and were conducted in a manner that avoided unnecessary discomfort to the birds by the use of proper management and laboratory techniques.

Day old male Ross 308 broiler chicks were housed in an environmentally controlled room and given a commercial starter diet and water ad libitum. At 11 d of age, three hundreds chicks were randomly distributed in groups of 20 in 15 litter-floored pens (1.5×1.5 m). Mean body weight (BW) of the chicks in all pens was similar (380±5 g). The birds were given ad libitum access to water and diets and exposed to a 23L:1D cycle. Room temperature was kept at 32°C during the first three days of life and then, it was reduced gradually according to age until reaching 22°C at 24 d of age. This temperature was kept during 25 to 42 d of age.

Experimental diets

All diets were fed in mash form and met or exceeded the nutritional recommendations of Aviagen [10] for Ross 308 male broilers during 11 to 24 and 25 to 42 d of age (Table 1). Dietary treatments were i) a wheat-based diet (W), ii) W+Natuzyme Plus (WN) and iii) a corn-based diet (C). Natuzyme Plus Green Enzyme (Bioproton Pty Ltd, Sunnybank, Australia) was a powder form of cellulase (6,000,000 U/kg), xylanase (10,000,000 U/kg), β-glucanase (700,000 U/kg), α-amylase (700,000 U/kg), pectinase (70,000 U/kg), phytase (500,000 U/kg), proteases (acid and neutral; 3,000,000 U/kg) and lipase (30,000 U/kg) and used 500 mg/kg of the diet. Each treatment was replicated five times and the experimental unit was a pen with 20 chicks.

Growth performance

Table 1. Ingredient composition, nutrient content and particle size distribution of the experimental diets (% as-fed basis, unless otherwise indicated).

| Ingredient | 11-24 days of age | 25-42 days of age |
|------------|------------------|------------------|
|            | W    | C    | W    | C    |
| Wheat      | 89.4 | -    | 89.4 | -    |
|Corn        | 7.4  | 1.9  | 12.0 | 1.9  |
|Soybean meal| 3.8  | 1.9  | 3.8  | 1.9  |
|Soybean oil | 0.9  | -    | 0.9  | -    |
|Dicalcium phosphate| 1.6  | 2.4  | 1.6  | 2.4  |
|Calcium carbonate| 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.9  | 0.9  |
|Calcium carbonate| 1.6  | 2.4  | 1.6  | 2.4  |
|Calcium carbonate| 1.6  | 2.4  | 1.6  | 2.4  |
|Sodium bicarbonate| 0.3  | 0.3  | 0.3  | 0.3  |
|L-threonine | 0.8  | 0.8  | 0.8  | 0.8  |
|Lysine      | 0.8  | 0.8  | 0.8  | 0.8  |
|Vitamin premix | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  | 0.2  |
|Sodium      | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  | 0.1  |

| Determined analysis | 11-24 days of age | 25-42 days of age |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| DM                  | 91.8             | 92.5             |
| GE (kcal/kg)        | 3,980            | 4,013            |
| CP (N × 6.25)       | 21.07            | 21.0             |
| Ether extract        | 7.47             | 7.33             |
| Ash (NDF)           | 5.67             | 5.86             |
| Calcium             | 0.88             | 0.89             |
| Total phosphorus     | 0.71             | 0.71             |
| Available phosphorus | 0.345            | 0.345            |
| Total phosphorus     | 0.70             | 0.68             |
| Sodium              | 0.18             | 0.17             |

| Particle size distribution | 11-24 days of age | 25-42 days of age |
|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Screen size (μm)           | 1,750            | 3.1              |
| 250                        | 16.4             | 14.7             |
| 500                        | 22.1             | 21.4             |
| 1,000                      | 25.2             | 28.2             |
| 1,500                      | 11.1             | 13.5             |
| 2,000                      | 22.1             | 21.4             |
| W, wheat-based diet; C, corn-based diet; AME, nitrogen-corrected apparent metabolizable energy; CP, crude protein; DM, dry matter; GE, gross energy; NDF, neutral detergent fiber; AD, acid detergent fiber; S-NSP, soluble non-starch polysaccharides; I-NSP, insoluble NSP.
| 1) Provided the following per kilogram of diets: vitamin A, 9,000 IU (retinyl acetate); cholecalciferol, 2,000 IU; vitamin E, 36 IU; vitamin K3, 0.1 mg; niacin, 30 mg; riboflavin, 6.6 mg; thiamine, 1.8 mg; pantothenic acid, 7.3 mg; copper, 12 mg; iodine (K1-iodized NaI), 0.8 mg; choline, 250 mg.
| 2) Provided the following per kilogram of diets: manganese (MnSO4·H2O), 75 mg; zinc (ZnO), 85 mg; iron (FeSO4·H2O), 50 mg; copper (CuSO4·5H2O), 10 mg; selenium (Na2SeO3), 0.2 mg; sodium bicarbonate, 500 mg.
| 3) According to NRC [23].
The body weight of chicks and feed consumption were determined by pen at 11, 24 and 42 d of age, and average daily gain (ADG), average daily feed intake (ADFI), and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were determined from 11 to 24, 25 to 42, and 11 to 42 d of age. Before weighing, the chicks were fasted for six h to ensure a consistent gut fill among all birds. Feed intake was adjusted for mortalities and the birds that killed at 36 d of age and their ADG was included in the calculation of FCR.

**Microbial count of jejunum, villus morphology of jejunum**, **digesta viscosity of ileum and digesta pH of digestive tract**
At 36 d of age, three chicks/pen (15 chicks/treatment) were randomly selected, weighed individually, and killed by cervical dislocation. Jejunum was dissected and the contents of digesta were collected and pooled aseptically on the pen basis. The count of lactobacilli, coliforms and *Escherichia coli* (E. coli) were obtained by the plate culture method. Briefly, one g of jejunal digesta was sampled. The samples were serially diluted and plated on duplicate using Eosin Methylene-Blue agar media (Merck, Germany) to enumerate *E. coli*, MacConkey agar media (Merck, Germany) to enumerate coliforms, and Rogosa agar media (Merck, Germany) to enumerate lactobacilli. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h aerobically (for the count of *E. coli* and coliforms) and 41°C for 72 h anaerobically (for the count of lactobacilli).

A segment (one cm) at the midpoint of the jejunum was removed, washed in physiological saline solution, and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. The segments were embedded in paraffin, and a 2-mm section of each sample was placed on a glass slide and stained with haematoxylin and eosin for examination [11]. Histological sections were examined with a Nikon phase contrast microscope (Nikon Eclipse 80i, Nikon Corp., Tokyo, Japan). All sound views were measured in each intestinal section. Villus height (VH) was measured from the top to the base, excluding the intestinal crypt, and crypt depth (CD) was defined as the distance from the villus base to the muscularis layer, not including the intestinal muscularis. The VH:CD ratio was calculated. Epithelium thickness (ET) was measured from the basement membrane to the bottom of the striated border in the central part of the villus. The average value of three birds/pen was used for statistical analysis.

Ileum was dissected and the contents of digesta were collected and pooled on a pen basis. The viscosity (in centipoise) of ileal digesta was measured according to the method of Yasar and Forbes [12] by a viscometer (MVR V2L, Viscotech, Tarragona, Spain) which was equipped with Brookfield circulator (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories, Middleboro, MA, USA).

Digesta of gizzard, duodenum, ileum and cecum were also collected and pooled on a pen basis. Digesta pH of these organs and the remaining digesta of jejunum were measured according to the procedure of Pang and Applegate [13] by a pH meter (Metrohm 713, Herisau, Switzerland).

**Feed passage time, total tract apparent retention of nutrients**
At 37 d of age, white-color trays were placed under the cages and, in all pens simultaneously, the experimental diets were replaced by similar diets to which 0.3% chromic oxide (a green-color powder) was added. Two hours after feeding the marker-included diets, the detection of green excreta in all pens was performed by a team of people every minute. Feed passage time was estimated as the time of first visual appearance of green color in the excreta. In order to measure the total tract apparent retention (TTAR) of nutrients, representative samples of excreta were collected daily by replicate on d 40 and 41. The TTAR of N and ether extract (EE) was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
TTAR = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{Cr_{ex}}{Cr_{ad}}\times\frac{N_{fd}}{N_{ad}}\right)}{100}
\]

Where \(Cr_{ad}\) and \(Cr_{ex}\) represent chromium in feed and excreta and \(N_{ad}\) and \(N_{ex}\) are the nutrients in feed and excreta, respectively. The AME\(_n\) of the diets was calculated as indicated by Lázaro et al [7].

**Relative weight and relative length of digestive tract organs and relative weight of carcass**
On d 42, after the weighing the birds in all pens, three chicks/pen were randomly selected, weighed individually, and killed by cervical dislocation. The digestive tract was removed, and the crop, proventriculus, gizzard, pancreas, duodenum, jejunum, ileum, ceca, and colon were excised. The weight of the empty organs was expressed relative to live BW to obtain the RW of these organs. In addition, the RW of carcass, breast, thigh+drumstick, heart, liver, pancreas, abdominal fat pad was also measured. The length of duodenum, jejunum, ileum, cecum and colon was expressed relative to live BW to obtain the RL of these organs. The average value of three birds/pen was used for statistical analysis.

**Blood lipid parameters**
At 22 and 40 d of age, three chicks/pen were randomly selected to obtain serum samples. The concentration of total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride (TG), and low density lipoprotein (LDL) was analyzed in duplicate using an auto analyzer (Bio Systems S.A.-Costa Brava 30, 08030 Barcelona, Spain), following the kit instructions (Pars Azmon, Tehran, Iran). The average value of three birds/pen was used for statistical analysis.

**Analytical evaluation of ingredients, diets and excreta**
The ingredients and diets were analyzed for dry matter (930.15), total ash (942.05), calcium (968.08), total phosphorus (965.17) and crude fiber (978.10) concentrations according to the standard procedures of AOAC [14]. The ingredients, diets and excreta were analyzed for nitrogen (NT, 984.13) and EE (920.39) content according to the standard procedures of AOAC [14]. Neutral
The effect of dietary treatments on ADFI, ADG and FCR is presented in Table 2. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) of ADFI among treatments, while Sharifi et al [9] found that a wheat-based diet plus Natuzyme and a corn-based diet had higher feed intake than that of the wheat-based diet. This discrepancy may be related to the diet concentration: the nutrients level in the current study was higher than those of the diets used in the work of Sharifi et al [9]. The birds receiving the C diet had higher ADG (p<0.01) and also lower FCR (p<0.01) than those feeding on the W diet during 11 to 24, 25 to 42, and 11 to 42 d of age.

Table 2. Effect of Natuzyme Plus inclusion in wheat-based diet on growth performance of broiler chickens from 11 to 42 d of age

|       | W  | WN | C  | SEM | p-value |
|-------|----|----|----|-----|---------|
| ADFI (g) |
| 11-24 d | 56.4 | 58.2 | 56.6 | 1.34 | 0.45 |
| 25-42 d | 161.5 | 166.0 | 163.0 | 2.60 | 0.31 |
| 11-42 d | 115.5 | 118.8 | 116.5 | 1.82 | 0.27 |
| ADG (g) |
| 11-24 d | 32.9<sup>b</sup> | 38.7<sup>a</sup> | 36.6<sup>c</sup> | 0.95 | <0.01 |
| 25-42 d | 77.1<sup>b</sup> | 86.6<sup>a</sup> | 84.2<sup>c</sup> | 1.33 | <0.01 |
| 11-42 d | 57.7<sup>b</sup> | 65.5<sup>a</sup> | 63.4<sup>c</sup> | 1.02 | <0.01 |
| FCR (g:g) |
| 11-24 d | 1.72<sup>b</sup> | 1.50<sup>c</sup> | 1.55<sup>c</sup> | 0.015 | <0.01 |
| 25-42 d | 2.08<sup>a</sup> | 1.92<sup>b</sup> | 1.94<sup>c</sup> | 0.016 | <0.01 |
| 11-42 d | 2.00<sup>a</sup> | 1.81<sup>b</sup> | 1.83<sup>c</sup> | 0.015 | <0.01 |

W, wheat-based diet; WN, wheat-based diet+Natuzyme Plus; C, corn-based diet; SEM, Standard error of the mean; ADFI, average daily feed intake; ADG, average daily gain; FCR, feed conversion ratio.

<sup>1</sup> Natuzyme Plus was a powder form of cellulase (6,000,000 U/kg), xylanase (10,000,000 U/kg), β-glucanase (700,000 U/kg), α-amylase (700,000 U/kg), pectinase (70,000 U/kg), phytase (500,000 U/kg), proteases (acid and neutral; 3,000,000 U/kg) and lipase (30,000 U/kg) and used 500 mg/kg of the diet.

<sup>a</sup> Within a column, means without a common superscript differ (p<0.05).
WN and C diet in the present experiment, since the supplementation of Natuzyme Plus caused the lower digesta viscosity and coliform count and the increased population of Lactobacilli. The result of digesta viscosity was in agreement with the research of Sharifi et al [9] who reported the lower digesta viscosity in the ileum. The C diet showed a higher (p<0.01) VH than that of the W diet. The VH of the WN diet did not show a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) of the RL of duodenum, ileum and colon among treatments. However, the RL of whole intestine of the C diet was higher (p<0.01) as compared to those of the W diet. The ET was lower (p<0.05) for the C and WN diets tended (p = 0.1) to decrease this parameter compared to that of the W diet. The ET was lower (p<0.05) for birds fed the C diet than for birds fed the W diet with those fed the WN diet being intermediate. Montagne et al [20] reported that the elevated population of microflora enhances gut wall thickness. In the current research, the improvement in the VH and ET might be another explanation for the better growth performance and nutrients retention of the birds fed on the diet supplemented with Natuzyme Plus. The birds receiving the C diet had higher TTAR of NT (p<0.01) and EE (p<0.01) and AME\textsubscript{n} (p<0.05) than those feeding on the W diet. The TTAR of NT and EE and also AME\textsubscript{n} of the WN diet did not show a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to those of the C diet. It has been reported that high digesta viscosity reduces the diffusion rate of nutrients and digestive tract enzymes and obstructs their interaction at the mucosal surface [22] and subsequently decreases the nutrient digestibility [4,5]. The increased population of unfavorable microflora in the gut of the birds fed the high-viscous diets may be involved in the reduced availability of nutrients to the absorption area. For example, the unfavorable microflora can hydrolyze the bile salts and decrease fat digestibility. The measured AME\textsubscript{n} of the W diet was lower than that of the calculated one. This reduction can be due to the variety of wheat used in the current experiment. The calculated AME\textsubscript{n} of the diets was based on the data of NRC [23], but it has been indicated that different varieties of wheat have different energy content and characteristics, because they have different nutrient levels and S-NSP content [24].

The effect of dietary treatments on the RW of empty digestive tract organs and the RL of intestine and cecum are represented in Table 4. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) of the RW of crop, proventriculus, gizzard, duodenum, jejunum and colon among treatments. The C diet showed the decreased RW of crop, proventriculus, gizzard, duodenum, jejunum and colon among treatments. The C diet showed the decreased RW of crop, proventriculus, gizzard, duodenum, jejunum and colon among treatments. However, the RL of whole intestine of the C and WN diets tended (p = 0.1) to decrease this parameter com-

| Table 3. Effect of Natuzyme Plus\textsuperscript{1} inclusion in wheat-based diet on feed passage time, digesta pH of digestive tract, digesta viscosity, microbial count and villus morphology of jejunum, TTAR of nutrients, AME\textsubscript{n}, in broiler chickens |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| W               | WN             | C              | SEM            | p-value        |
| Feed passage time (min) | 263            | 248            | 239            | 11.5           | 0.15           |
| Digesta viscosity (cP)    | 5.92\textsuperscript{a} | 4.38\textsuperscript{b} | 3.14\textsuperscript{c} | 0.405          | <0.01          |
| Digesta pH of           |                 |                 |                |                |                |
| Gizzard                | 2.90\textsuperscript{a} | 2.62\textsuperscript{b} | 3.00\textsuperscript{c} | 0.076          | <0.01          |
| Duodenum                | 6.08            | 6.11            | 6.26            | 0.089          | 0.19           |
| Jejunum                 | 6.18            | 6.17            | 6.14            | 0.051          | 0.75           |
| Ileum                   | 6.17            | 6.48            | 6.35            | 0.115          | 0.08           |
| Cecum                   | 5.90\textsuperscript{a} | 5.81\textsuperscript{a} | 5.70\textsuperscript{a} | 0.041          | <0.01          |
| Microbial count of jejunum (log\textsubscript{10} cfu/g of digesta) |                 |                 |                |                |                |
| Lactobacilli            | 6.91\textsuperscript{a} | 7.46\textsuperscript{a} | 7.09\textsuperscript{a} | 0.174          | <0.05          |
| Coliforms               | 7.67\textsuperscript{a} | 7.37\textsuperscript{a} | 7.06\textsuperscript{a} | 0.121          | <0.01          |
| Escherichia coli        | 6.12\textsuperscript{a} | 5.86\textsuperscript{a} | 4.95\textsuperscript{a} | 0.194          | <0.01          |
| Villus morphology of jejunum |                 |                 |                |                |                |
| VH (µm)                 | 830\textsuperscript{a} | 921\textsuperscript{a} | 968\textsuperscript{a} | 30.4           | <0.01          |
| CD (µm)                 | 141             | 130             | 143             | 6.5            | 0.18           |
| VH:CD                   | 9.15            | 7.15            | 6.79            | 0.506          | 0.10           |
| ET (µm)                 | 31.2\textsuperscript{a} | 29.4\textsuperscript{a} | 28.2\textsuperscript{a} | 1.04           | <0.05          |
| TTAR (%) of             |                 |                 |                |                |                |
| Nitrogen                | 68.4\textsuperscript{b} | 75.0\textsuperscript{b} | 74.0\textsuperscript{b} | 1.25           | <0.01          |
| Ether extract           | 65.9\textsuperscript{a} | 72.9\textsuperscript{a} | 71.4\textsuperscript{a} | 1.14           | <0.01          |
| AME\textsubscript{n}, kcal/kg | 2,973\textsuperscript{a} | 3,177\textsuperscript{a} | 3,135\textsuperscript{a} | 58.3           | <0.05          |

\textsuperscript{1}Natuzyme Plus was a powder form of cellulase (6,000,000 U/kg), xylanase (10,000,000 U/kg), β-glucanase (700,000 U/kg), α-amylase (700,000 U/kg), pectinase (70,000 U/kg), phytase (500,000 U/kg), proteases (acid and neutral; 3,000,000 U/kg) and lipase (30,000 U/kg) and used 500 mg/kg of the diet.

Within a column, means without a common superscript differ (p<0.05).
Effect of Natuzyme Plus\textsuperscript{1} inclusion in wheat-based diet on the relative weight of empty digestive tract and the relative length of intestine and cecum in broiler chickens

|                | W    | WN   | C    | SEM  | p-value |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| **Relative weight (% live BW)** |      |      |      |      |         |
| Crop           | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.022| 0.23    |
| Proventriculus | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.51 | 0.013| 0.73    |
| Gizzard        | 2.29 | 2.20 | 2.23 | 0.091| 0.65    |
| Duodenum       | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.69 | 0.024| 0.17    |
| Jejunum        | 1.77 | 1.69 | 1.63 | 0.124| 0.59    |
| Ileum          | 1.28 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 0.076| <0.05   |
| Whole intestine\textsuperscript{1} | 5.20 | 4.73 | 4.61 | 0.187| <0.05   |
| Cecum          | 0.89 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.059| <0.05   |
| Colon          | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.011| 0.12    |
| **Relative length (cm/kg live BW)** |      |      |      |      |         |
| Duodenum       | 15.6 | 14.7 | 14.1 | 1.09 | 0.48    |
| Jejunum        | 37.9 | 36.3 | 34.1 | 1.13 | <0.05   |
| Ileum          | 23.7 | 24.2 | 23.5 | 0.79 | 0.72    |
| Whole intestine\textsuperscript{1} | 83.4 | 81.3 | 77.8 | 2.20 | 0.10    |
| Cecum          | 15.9 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 0.64 | <0.01   |
| Colon          | 6.2  | 6.2  | 6.1  | 0.13 | 0.87    |

\textsuperscript{1} Natuzyme Plus was a powder form of cellulase (6,000,000 U/kg), xylanase (10,000,000 U/kg), β-glucanase (700,000 U/kg), α-amylase (700,000 U/kg), pectinase (70,000 U/kg), phytase (500,000 U/kg), proteases (acid and neutral; 3,000,000 U/kg) and lipase (30,000 U/kg) and used 500 mg/kg of the diet.

The effect of dietary treatments on the RW of carcass, breast, thigh+drumstick, heart, liver and pancreas are represented in Table 5. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) of the RW of breast and thigh+drumstick among treatments. The birds receiving the C and WN diets showed the higher (p<0.05) RW of carcass compared to those feeding on the W diet, however, the WN diet did not show a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet. The C diet showed the lower RW of heart (p<0.05), liver (p<0.01) and pancreas (p<0.01) compared to those of the W diet, however, the WN diet did not have a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to those of the C diet.

The effect of dietary treatments on serum TG, TC, and LDL is represented in Table 6. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) of serum TG among treatments on d 22. At 40 d of age, the C diet showed a higher (p<0.01) serum TG than that of the W diet, however, the WN diet did not have a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet. On d 22, the serum TC was higher (p<0.05) for birds fed the C diet than for birds fed the W diet with those fed the WN diet being intermediate. However, at 40 d of age, the C and WN diets tended (p = 0.1) to increase the serum TC compared to that of the W diet. On d 22, the birds receiving the C diet showed the higher (p<0.01) serum LDL than those feeding on the W diet, however, the WN diet did not show a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet. At 40 d, the serum LDL was higher (p<0.05) for birds fed the C diet than for birds fed the W diet with those fed the WN diet being intermediate. However, at 40 d of age, the WN diet did not have a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet.

The result of TTAR of EE supports this idea, because the birds fed on the WN diet showed 10.6% improvement in the TTAR of EE compared to those feeding on the W diet, however, the WN diet did not show a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet. At 40 d, the serum LDL was higher (p<0.05) for birds fed the C diet than for birds fed the W diet with those fed the WN diet being intermediate. However, at 40 d of age, the C and WN diets tended (p = 0.1) to increase the serum TC compared to that of the W diet. On d 22, the birds receiving the C diet showed the higher (p<0.01) serum LDL than those feeding on the W diet, however, the WN diet did not show a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet. The C diet showed a higher (p<0.01) serum TG than that of the W diet, however, the WN diet did not have a significant (p>0.05) difference compared to that of the C diet.

The high viscous diet reduces the serum cholesterol and TG concentration in broiler chickens through the increased bile salts hydrolyzation and excretion into the faeces [25] and decreases the efficiency of bile salts to solubilize lipids in the gut [26]. The increase in the serum TG (at 40 d of age) and LDL (at 22 d of age) concentration in broilers fed the diet supplemented by the Natuzyme Plus may be related to hindering the anti-nutritive effect of S-NSP. The result of TTAR of EE supports this idea, because the birds fed on the WN diet showed 10.6% improvement in the TTAR.

Table 5. Effect of Natuzyme Plus\textsuperscript{1} inclusion in wheat-based diet on the relative weight (% live BW) of carcass, breast, thigh+drumstick, heart, liver, pancreas, abdominal fat pad in broiler chickens

|          | W      | WN     | C      | SEM   | p-value |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| **Carcass** | 72.7   | 74.0   | 73.9   | 0.45  | <0.05   |
| **Breast** | 27.6   | 27.2   | 27.3   | 0.49  | 0.83    |
| **Thigh+drumstick** | 25.0   | 25.4   | 24.9   | 0.38  | 0.46    |
| **Heart** | 0.45\textsuperscript{a} | 0.41\textsuperscript{b} | 0.42b  | 0.012 | <0.05   |
| **Liver** | 2.58\textsuperscript{a} | 2.36\textsuperscript{b} | 2.34b  | 0.059 | <0.01   |
| **Pancreas** | 0.30\textsuperscript{a} | 0.23\textsuperscript{b} | 0.22b  | 0.013 | <0.01   |
| **Abdominal fat pad** | 1.95   | 1.89   | 1.95   | 0.037 | 0.28    |

\textsuperscript{1} Natuzyme Plus was a powder form of cellulase (6,000,000 U/kg), xylanase (10,000,000 U/kg), β-glucanase (700,000 U/kg), α-amylase (700,000 U/kg), pectinase (70,000 U/kg), phytase (500,000 U/kg), proteases (acid and neutral; 3,000,000 U/kg) and lipase (30,000 U/kg) and used 500 mg/kg of the diet.

Table 6. Effect of Natuzyme Plus\textsuperscript{1} inclusion in wheat-based diet on blood lipid parameters (mg/dL) in broiler chickens

|          | W      | WN     | C      | SEM   | p-value |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| **TG**   | 22 d   | 96.0   | 89.0   | 95.0  | 8.42    | 0.72    |
|          | 40 d   | 103.8\textsuperscript{a} | 127.6  | 132.4 | 6.67    | <0.01   |
| **TC**   | 22 d   | 84.0\textsuperscript{a} | 92.6\textsuperscript{a} | 105.2\textsuperscript{a} | 7.45    | <0.05   |
|          | 40 d   | 135.8  | 152.0  | 147.2 | 6.65    | 0.10    |
| **LDL**  | 22 d   | 34.0\textsuperscript{a} | 48.2\textsuperscript{a} | 54.2\textsuperscript{a} | 4.99    | <0.01   |
|          | 40 d   | 45.2\textsuperscript{a} | 56.2\textsuperscript{a} | 65.4\textsuperscript{a} | 7.22    | <0.05   |

\textsuperscript{1} Natuzyme Plus was a powder form of cellulase (6,000,000 U/kg), xylanase (10,000,000 U/kg), β-glucanase (700,000 U/kg), α-amylase (700,000 U/kg), pectinase (70,000 U/kg), phytase (500,000 U/kg), proteases (acid and neutral; 3,000,000 U/kg) and lipase (30,000 U/kg) and used 500 mg/kg of the diet.
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of EE compared to those fed on the W diet.

The results of this study showed that Natuzyme Plus supplementation in a wheat-based diet can be appropriate to achieve a comparable growth performance in broiler chickens to those given the C diet probably through improving the digesta viscosity, VH, ET, TTAR of NT and EE, AME, the count of Lactobacilli and coliforms.
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