Delineating Corneal Elastic Anisotropy in a Porcine Model Using Noncontact OCT Elastography and Ex Vivo Mechanical Tests
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Purpose: To compare noncontact acoustic microtapping (AμT) OCT elastography (OCE) with destructive mechanical tests to confirm corneal elastic anisotropy.

Design: Ex vivo laboratory study with noncontact AμT-OCE followed by mechanical rheometry and extensometry.

Participants: Inflated cornea of whole-globe porcine eyes (n = 9).

Methods: A noncontact AμT transducer was used to launch propagating mechanical waves in the cornea that were imaged with phase-sensitive OCT at physiologically relevant controlled pressures. Reconstruction of both Young’s modulus (E) and out-of-plane shear modulus (G) in the cornea from experimental data was performed using a nearly incompressible transversely isotropic (NITI) medium material model assuming spatial isotropy of corneal tensile properties. Corneal samples were excised and parallel plate rheometry was performed to measure shear modulus, G. Corneal samples were then subjected to strip extensometry to measure the Young’s modulus, E.

Main Outcome Measures: Strong corneal anisotropy was confirmed with both AμT-OCE and mechanical tests, with the Young’s (E) and shear (G) moduli differing by more than an order of magnitude. These results show that AμT-OCE can quantify both moduli simultaneously with a noncontact, noninvasive, clinically translatable technique.

Results: Mean of the OCE measured moduli were E = 12 ± 5 MPa and G = 31 ± 11 kPa at 5 mmHg and E = 20 ± 9 MPa and G = 61 ± 29 kPa at 20 mmHg. Tensile testing yielded a mean Young’s modulus of 1 MPa – 20 MPa over a strain range of 1% to 7%. Shear storage and loss modulus (G’/G”) measured with rheometry was approximately 82/13 ± 12/4 kPa at 0.2 Hz and 133/29 ± 16/3 kPa at 16 Hz (0.1% strain).

Conclusions: The cornea is confirmed to be a strongly anisotropic elastic material that cannot be characterized with a single elastic modulus. The NITI model is the simplest one that accounts for the cornea’s incompressibility and in-plane distribution of lamellae. AμT-OCE has been shown to be the only reported noncontact, noninvasive method to measure both elastic moduli. Submillimeter spatial resolution and near real-time operation can be achieved. Quantifying corneal elasticity in vivo will enable significant innovation in ophthalmology, helping to develop personalized biomechanical models of the eye that can predict response to ophthalmic interventions. Ophthalmology Science 2021;1:100058 © 2021 by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Supplemental material available at www.ophthalmologyscience.org.

The cornea is one of the primary determinants of visual performance. Its structure of collagen fibrils embedded in a hydrated proteoglycan matrix forms a clear refractive component of the eye.1,2 If corneal shape is not optimal, then images formed on the retina are aberrated. Many methods assess corneal shape, but no clinical tools predict shape changes from interventions such as LASIK and collagen cross-linking therapies. Despite the overall success of these interventions over the last decades, outcomes remain unpredictable for an individual patient, and many procedures produce unexpected changes in visual acuity and can have additional side effects. To optimize outcomes, a personalized corneal biomechanical model based on quantitative maps of mechanical moduli and intraocular pressure (IOP)-induced changes in mechanical moduli is needed to predict final corneal shape.

Unfortunately, personalized biomechanical models have not been developed completely. Initial attempts have used technologies based on tonometry (e.g., the Ocular Response Analyzer and Dynamic Scheimpflug Analyzer) to estimate in vivo corneal mechanical properties as part of IOP measurements. Early results suggest that tonometry may be a screening tool for disease progression in common conditions related to elasticity, such as glaucoma and myopia.3,4
However, it cannot determine fundamental material parameters required for robust biomechanical models of corneal deformation. In particular, tonometry metrics depend on experimental conditions and often characterize deformation in response to a dynamic mechanical stimulus over a large region of the cornea and sclera. In addition, tonometry does not consider the highly nonlinear stress—strain relationship between corneal tissue and pre-load IOP, nor does it account for material anisotropy or variations in corneal thickness. Thus to date, no noninvasive tools can map corneal elasticity (accounting for its strong anisotropy) to provide the information needed for a personalized biomechanical model suitable for screening, surgical planning, and treatment monitoring.

Advances in the imaging speed and sensitivity of OCT helped to launch OCT elastography (OCE), a technique to measure elastic moduli in the cornea at various length scales. Recent advances in dynamic OCE produced technologies for noncontact excitation of propagating mechanical waves in the cornea and appropriate mechanical models for moduli reconstruction. Indeed, acoustic microtapping (AμT), which uses air-coupled ultrasound, is very effective at launching broadband, submillimeter-wavelength mechanical waves in OCE. In addition, a nearly incompressible transversely isotropic (NITI) medium model describing corneal anisotropy has been used to estimate both in-plane and out-of-plane shear moduli in the cornea by tracking propagating wavefields.

In a recent AμT-OCE ex vivo whole-globe study, we showed that the cornea is a highly anisotropic elastic material, with up to 3 orders of magnitude difference between the in-plane Young’s modulus E (mainly determining its deformation and shape) and the out-of-plane shear modulus G (mainly responsible for out-of-plane shearing). However, direct comparison between invasive mechanical tests and noncontact AμT-OCE, a necessary step in validating the method and helping to translate it into a clinical tool, was not performed.

In this article, we report noncontact AμT-OCE measurements of both elastic moduli in 9 inflated porcine corneas of freshly excised whole eye globes at physiologically relevant controlled pressures and directly compare these measurements with mechanical tests performed on the same corneas immediately after AμT-OCE measurements. Parallel plate rheometry was used to measure the cornea’s out-of-plane shear modulus


Methods

Porcine Cornea Samples

Nine (n = 9) porcine eyes were enucleated carefully from healthy adult animals (3–5 months of age; 36–75 kg) by a specialist immediately after euthanasia. All excess tissue was removed to expose the sclera, and the remaining whole globe was rinsed with an ocular hydrating solution (balanced saline solution [BSS]). Whole globes were placed in a mold containing a damp sterile cotton pad to stabilize samples and mimic in vivo boundary conditions. A 20-gauge needle connected to a bath filled with BSS was inserted through the temporal wall of the sclera to apply a controlled internal hydrostatic pressure (IOP). The IOP was controlled by raising and lowering the bath and was calibrated previously using porcine whole globes where an additional 20-gauge needle was inserted into the wall of the sclera and was attached to a digital hydrostatic pressure sensor. After calibration, only a single needle insertion was performed in all AμT-OCE experiments. Each sample was held at the corresponding pressure for 5 minutes before scanning, over which a single drop of BSS was applied to prevent corneal dehydration. Each sample was scanned at room temperature and imaging took no longer than 1 hour per sample.

After AμT-OCE, samples were removed from the imaging system. An incision was made approximately 2 mm from the limbus around the sclera to remove the cornea from the globe. The lens, iris, and ciliary body were removed carefully using tweezers. Corneal buttons were rinsed with BSS and then placed in a damp cloth and immediately transported for rheometry at room temperature. Rheometry measurements were repeated twice and took approximately 1 hour per sample. A drop of BSS was applied immediately after the scan to prevent dehydration before extension testing.

Each corneal button then was sectioned into strips approximately 6 mm wide along the long-axis of the cornea (consistent with the nasotemporal direction), leaving approximately 2 mm of sclera on each end. The strips were transported for tensile testing in the same damp cloth and were tested at room temperature within 1 hour of rheometry. Tensile loading and unloading was repeated 4 times. All data were acquired within 6 hours of animal euthanasia. No patient-level consent or institutional review board approval were required. All research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Acoustic Microtapping OCT Elastography

Inflated eyes were placed on a vertical translation stage and raised to the focal plane of the polarization-maintaining, phase-stable AμT-OCE system (detail provided in Supplemental Methods). A single AμT-OCE scan generated and tracked elastic waves using OCT operating in M-B mode. At the start of each M-B sequence, a trigger signal was sent to an air-coupled acoustic transducer providing a temporally and spatially focused acoustic push pulse to create a localized dipole which launches an acoustic wavefront in amplitude) at the cornea’s surface (Fig 1A) and to launch a pulsed elastic wave propagating along the cornea’s surface (Fig 1B). Five hundred twelve repeated OCT A-scans (referred to as an M-scan) were obtained a set distance from the AμT focus. The propagating elastic wave was tracked with OCT along the anterior corneal surface using a sequence of M-scans conducted at 256 spatial locations in the nasotemporal direction to form a 1.5 × 10-mm (axial × lateral) corneal scan (B-scan) for every time instant relative to the start of each sequence. The entire spatiotemporal scan took 1.33 seconds.

The AμT OCE system was tested first using isotropic phantoms to validate the performance with a simple mechanical model (detailed in Supplemental Methods). Cornea whole globes then were scanned, and a 2-dimensional Fourier transform was applied to measured wavefields. A solution to the dispersion relationship was found by varying the in-plane tensile and out-of-plane shear moduli to converge on a best-fit solution using an optimization routine applied to 2-dimensional spectra (frequency–wavenumber domain, detailed in Supplemental Methods). This approach iteratively converged on a quantitative estimate of both the in-plane shear modulus μ (which then can be converted to $E = 3\mu$ under the assumption of corneal tensile isotropy) and out-of-plane (G) shear moduli (Fig 1C). The corneal thickness (h) was
determined using automated segmentation of the OCT image and served as a constraint on solutions to the dispersion relation.

**Parallel Plate Rheometry**

A parallel compression plate matching the approximate size of the cornea (12-mm diameter) clamped the anterior and posterior surfaces of the corneal buttons using a pneumatic rheometer (Anton Paar MCR 301 Physica; Fig 1D). A relative twisting force (sinusoidal oscillatory shear stress) about an axis perpendicular to the surface was applied under a 5 N compressive preload, and the resulting shear strain was measured to calculate the out-of-plane shear moduli, $G$, assuming a homogenous cylindrical material (Fig 1E, F, corresponding equations in “Results” and additional detail provided in Supplemental Methods).

**Stress–Strain Extensometry**

Each cornea was cut into a strip and pneumatically clamped (2752-005 BioPuls submersible pneumatic grips, 250 N max load) at the corneal–scleral boundary (Fig 1G). A 50-mN axial preload was applied and the samples were stretched at 2 mm/minute (Instron model 5543) up to 10% strain. For each sample, 2 load–unload cycles were performed to precondition the tissue, followed by 3 rounds of force-elongation followed by relaxation. The Young’s modulus was determined assuming a beam-like structure (Fig 1H) and was defined by the tangential slope of the stress–strain curve (Fig 1I, corresponding equations in “Results” and additional detail provided in Supplemental Methods).
Results

Determination of Corneal Elastic Moduli with Acoustic Microtapping OCT Elastography

The cornea was modeled as a layer of a NITI material bounded by air on top and by water on bottom. As shown previously, the cornea can be treated as a flat NITI layer for wave propagation because its thickness is much smaller than its radius of curvature. Consequently, guided waves propagating in the cornea exhibit a unique dispersion relationship defined in the NITI model by the Young’s modulus $E = 3\mu$ (under the assumption of corneal tensile isotropy) and transverse shear modulus $G$ (detailed in Supplemental Methods). The stress response to an induced strain for such a material is described using Hooke’s law:
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where $\sigma_{ij}$ denotes engineering stress, $e_{ij}$ denotes engineering strain, $\tau$ denotes shear stresses, $\gamma_{ij} = 2\epsilon_{ij}$ denotes shear strains, and the subscripts $x, y,$ and $z$ refer to standard Cartesian axes (a detailed description of elastic waves in NITI materials can be found in Pitre et al.\textsuperscript{b}). Note that in nearly incompressible media (such as all soft biological tissues) the longitudinal modulus $\lambda$ does not influence medium deformation, although it is much larger than $\mu$ and $G$. Thus, functional biomechanical models do not require estimating $\lambda$.

The AµT-OCE system (see “Methods” and Supplemental Methods) was used to track propagating mechanical waves in porcine corneas. In all scans, wave energy was concentrated in a single dispersive wave mode (consistent with the A0-mode) and provided a reliable fit with the dispersion relationship for an NITI model. Measured wavefields were processed as described previously\textsuperscript{a} to reconstruct both corneal moduli $\mu$ and $G$ (Fig 1G).

Elastic moduli were reconstructed from OCE data for 9 corneas. On average (statistical mean), in-plane Young’s modulus ($E$) was $12 \pm 5$ MPa at 5 mmHg and $20 \pm 9$ MPa at 20 mmHg. The mean transverse shear modulus ($G$) was $31 \pm 11$ kPa at 5 mmHg and $61 \pm 29$ kPa at 20 mmHg. The inflation pressure placed the cornea in a state of pre-stress, which varied in magnitude according to the IOP. This result demonstrated that both in-plane tensile and out-of-plane shear moduli increase with increasing IOP, consistent with the nonlinear material properties of the cornea. Note that both Young’s and shear moduli changed by $98 \pm 29\%$ and $67 \pm 15\%$, respectively, relative to the value measured at 5 mmHg as the pressure increased to 20 mmHg (see Supplemental Methods). The mean ± standard deviation of corneal thickness (assuming refractive index $n = 1.389$) was $0.76 \pm 0.10$ mm at 5 mmHg and thinned on average by 50 $\mu$m as the pressure was increased. In all samples, the endothelium appeared intact.

Determination of the Out-of-Plane Shear Modulus with Parallel Plate Rheometry

Corresponding corneal buttons were deformed in a state of pure transverse shear strain according to:

$$
\gamma_{\theta z} (t) = \gamma_{\theta z0} e^{i\omega t} (2)
$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $t$ is time, and $\gamma_{\theta z0}$ is the peak shear strain amplitude. Collagen-rich tissue generally is linearly elastic at less than 1%; thus, 0.1% peak shear strain was applied during the frequency sweep. The shear stress ($\tau_{\theta z}$) is described by:

$$
\tau_{\theta z}(t) = \tau_{\theta z0} e^{i(\omega t + \theta)} (3)
$$

where $\tau_{\theta z0}$ is the shear stress amplitude and $\theta$ is the phase shift angle between applied stress and the corresponding shear strain. The shear stress and strain relationships can be described by:

$$
\tau_{\theta z}(t) = G^{\prime}(\omega) \gamma_{\theta z}(t) (4)
$$

where the complex shear modulus, $G^{\prime}(\omega) = G^{\prime}(\omega) + iG^{\prime\prime}(\omega)$ is defined by the in-phase (storage ($G^{\prime}$)) and out-of-phase (loss ($G^{\prime\prime}$)) stress-strain relationships. Frequency-dependent storage and loss moduli of the complex $G$ value in the NITI model (detailed in Supplemental Methods) were determined over a range of 0.16 to 16 Hz. Sample thickness was recorded based on the parallel plate gap distance.

The shear storage and loss modulus $G^{\prime}/G^{\prime\prime}$ measured in corresponding porcine corneal buttons subject to frequency-swept rotational shearing ranged from $82/13 \pm 12/4$ kPa to $133/29 \pm 16/3$ kPa for 0.16 to 16 Hz at 0.1% peak strain amplitude. Corneal buttons were tested using the smallest compressional preload that could be applied without tissue slippage and incorporated a portion of the scleral rim. The unconfined compression caused a portion of the tissue to splay beyond the custom-printed disk during testing. A single frequency sweep took approximately 30 minutes, over which each sample progressively thinned by approximately 27 $\mu$m. The mean value of the thickness was $0.80 \pm 0.09$ mm, averaged over the duration of each frequency sweep.

Determination of Corneal Young’s Modulus with Stress–Strain Extensometry

The engineering stress and strain were calculated using the cross-sectional area of the cornea (measured with a digital micrometer) and force-elongation recordings assuming a beam structure (detailed in Supplemental Methods). In this configuration, the tissue was subject to uniaxial tension along the nasotemporal ($xx$) direction and the corresponding stress–strain relationship described by:

$$
E = \frac{\sigma_{xx}}{\varepsilon_{xx}}, (5)
$$

where the strain-dependent Young’s modulus was defined as the instantaneous slope of the stress–strain curve. The
tangential (Young’s) modulus $E$ measured in tensile testing over a range of engineering strain from 1% to 10% was 1.4 to 40 MPa (Supplemental Methods). The orientation of the strip lay in the xy-plane and the direction of the loading stress was uniaxial along the nasotemporal axis. The thickness of each sample was measured before extension testing in each sample. Corneal strips on average were $0.77 \pm 0.09$ mm thick and $5.9 \pm 0.4$ mm wide, resulting in a cross-sectional area of $4.6 \text{ mm}^2$.

It can be shown that for the xx-loading direction in an NITI material, $E$ is sensitive only to the in-plane elastic modulus (detailed in Supplemental Methods). Based on this analysis, AµT-OCE provides a functionally equivalent measure of the elastic moduli probed in both shear rheometry ($G$) and tensile extension ($E$), but in a single, noncontact acquisition that can be performed noninvasively using intact whole-globe eyes.

### Comparison between Methods

A direct comparison between the mean and standard deviation of the moduli measured via OCE, shear rheometry, and strip extensometry is shown in Figure 2. Most notably, both the tensile elastic modulus $E$ and shear modulus $G$ differ by approximately an order of magnitude, consistent with previous results.\(^6\)

To compare OCE tests of inflated cornea with rheometry and extensometry, boundary conditions and equivalent strain effects were estimated. In rheometry, the scleral rim was retained to provide a surface to grip during tensile loading. To account for the effect of excess sclera, 3 separate cornea buttons were tested with the scleral ring and then immediately after removing the rim. The results (Fig 2; detailed in Supplemental Methods) indicate that the excess tissue induced an approximately 2-fold increase in the shear modulus compared with rheometry of the cornea alone. Consequently, a scaling factor was applied to rheometry results. Both the apparent (with sclera) and adjusted (without sclera) shear modulus range is displayed for comparison. Of note, the NITI model assumes a negligible viscosity. Thus, we compared the $G$ value from OCE with the storage modulus ($G^\prime$) in rheometry.

Because the cornea inflated via IOP is under a state of tension, an estimated strain offset was introduced to account for tensile strain resulting from IOP as well as compressive forces within the anterior portion of the cornea produced when flattening a curved structure (detailed in Supplemental Methods) during elongation. The strain offset first references the state where strips were exposed only to tension, and then internal pressure (within the tissue) was used to predict equivalent strain values within the samples loaded via inflation and strip extension. At an IOP of 5 to 20 mmHg, the equivalent engineering tensile strain was estimated to be between $4.0 \pm 0.2\%$ and $5.8 \pm 0.9\%$. The Young’s modulus estimate from OCE corresponded to an equivalent strain of between $5.8\%$ and $7.0\%$ in strip extensometry. In general, measurements obtained via different methods tracked each other, but were not highly correlated for the same samples.

### Discussion

Predicting corneal shape changes after medical interventions and longitudinal alterations from postprocedure performance is critically important to improve screening, surgical planning, treatment monitoring, and overall outcomes in vision correction therapies. Because of the structural complexity and mechanical nonlinearity (i.e., IOP-dependent moduli) of the cornea, a personalized mechanical model is required to optimize procedure outcomes. Such a model must include in vivo measurements of topography, IOP, and maps of corneal mechanical moduli. Because the IOP is not consistent and the corneal elastic moduli are nonlinear, moduli changes induced by variations in IOP also must be considered. To date, reliable measurements of ocular mechanical properties have been possible only on ex vivo samples and have not impacted the clinic directly.\(^10\) Although critical to our understanding of corneal mechanics, the destructive nature of most traditional techniques render these approaches impractical for clinical translation.

Anterior segment OCT can map corneal shape, and tonometry can estimate IOP. However, only recently a noncontact method has been shown to provide quantitative information on corneal elasticity. In a recent study, we showed that noncontact AµT-OCE can assess both corneal moduli, $E$ and $G$, simultaneously under physiologic loading conditions.\(^7\) It was very important that the AµT-OCE measured in-plane Young’s modulus, $E$, was in good correspondence with literature results obtained by destructive ex vivo inflation and tensile tests, whereas the out-of-plane shear modulus $G$, being a few orders of magnitude smaller than $E$, reasonably matched literature data on the shear modulus obtained by rheometry.

In this study, we performed 1-to-1 comparison of corneal moduli measured with AµT-OCE with 2 mechanical tests (parallel plate rheometry and tensile extensometry) performed on the same corneal samples. Nine fresh porcine corneas were measured to limit individual variations in the cornea’s mechanical properties. Although this study demonstrated markedly different corneal stiffness under shear versus tensile loading, some differences exist between AµT-OCE and destructive mechanical testing methods that can make direct comparisons difficult. Indeed, effects such as corneal curvature, its nonlinearity, boundary conditions in mechanical loading, loading direction, engineering strain, hydration, preconditioning, and others can influence moduli estimates in mechanical tests. Estimates provided by AµT-OCE should be more accurate representations of in vivo biomechanics because this noncontact and noninvasive measurement procedure was performed under well-controlled IOP. A key takeaway from this study is that moduli quantified from OCE data analyzed with the NITI model can be generalized across different systems.

In extension loading, corneal shape is different from inflation. The cornea preserved its curvature in OCE measurements, whereas the curvature was flattened in tensile testing. Axial extension, which causes the cornea to flatten, induces compressional forces and produces different strain distributions for cornea under inflation versus extension.
Additionally, the cornea was under biaxial tension in OCE and uniaxial tension in extension. The force is assumed to be equally distributed across the cornea considering a true cuboid shape (uniform thickness and cross-section). However, the central cornea thickness is thinner than the periphery and exhibits varied radius along the center line compared with the edge of the strip, complicating interpretation of results. Corneal samples were not uniform thickness, suggesting that the axial strain at the center of the sample may differ from that at the perimeter during loading. This effect was not adjusted for in this analysis. Nevertheless, our measurements of Young’s modulus acquired from both AmT-OCE and extension testing are consistent with those in porcine cornea strips subject to tensile loading (0.3 – 29 MPa).

The order of magnitude difference in the modulus measured under shear loading was higher than previously reported values of G (0.3 – 9 kPa). Because corneal buttons were loaded in unconfined compression, tissue at the corneal–scleral junction splayed outward beyond the probe. Rheometry was performed on an independent set of corneas both with and without the scleral rim attached (Supplemental Methods). The remaining tissue was shown to produce an approximately 2-fold increase in the measured modulus. This suggests that the tissue splayed beyond the probe provides additional resistance to shearing, overestimating the corneal modulus. Additionally, the corneoscleral boundary may contribute to residual stress within the cornea compared with the corneal button alone. The shear modulus of the cornea has been shown to increase with higher compressional preload, suggesting that internal forces resulting from both parallel-plate rheometry and increased residual stress may increase the measured transverse shear modulus. The hypothesis that residual stress within whole-globe samples may contribute to a higher transverse shear modulus likely warrants further study and may describe the discrepancy between the values measured via OCE and those reported in the literature.

Internal pressures estimated in the cornea resulting from flattening during tonometry do not seem to induce large structural changes within the tissue at low IOP, suggesting that flattening alone will have a small effect on the shear modulus. In this case, flattening resulting from the parallel-plate arrangement was assumed to have a small effect on the measured modulus. However, it should be noted that during rheometry, tissue at the center undergoes a smaller shear strain than that at the outer edge. The center of the tissue also may experience different compressive strain resulting from corneal thinning, an effect that was ignored in this study. Additionally, the frequency range used by OCE differed from that of rheometry. Although OCE functionally measures higher-frequency vibrations (range, 0.3 – 4 kHz), the relatively lower frequency (multiple hertz range) probed in rheometry suggests that frequency-dependent differences likely exist between the moduli measured by the 2 methods.
Direct mechanical tests performed in this study with different loading methods confirm corneal mechanical anisotropy. A difference of at least 1 order of magnitude in elastic moduli determined from tensile tests compared with rheometry on the same corneas (see Fig 2) cannot be described with the same modulus. The simplest assumption accounting for such difference is corneal transverse isotropy. Indeed, the elastic properties of collagen fibers have been shown to differ from those of corneal connective tissue,24–26 suggesting that the preferred collagen orientation results in asymmetric elastic properties. The NITI model used in this study to reconstruct mechanical moduli from AµT-OCE produces estimates of both elastic moduli, μ and G, which are in good agreement with moduli obtained with mechanical tests. However, as noted in the Supplemental Methods, the NITI model is simplified by assuming corneal tensile isotropy, that is, isotropic Young’s modulus (E), although tensile and shear deformations are driven by different moduli.

To account for tensile anisotropy, an additional parameter δ should be introduced. Supplemental Figure 3 (see Supplemental Methods) shows the level of the Young’s modulus anisotropy allowed by corneal symmetry, with the in-plane Young’s modulus possibly varying between 2μ (for the strongest tensile anisotropy) and 3μ (for tensile isotropy). Determining the parameter δ is not easy and is the subject of our future studies. In this study, we used δ = 0, and therefore Young’s modulus $E = 3\mu$. The comparison between tensile and AµT-OCE measurements for $E$ suggests that its OCE-based value may be overestimated. If the relationship $E = 2\mu$ (corresponding to δ = −2μ) had been used, both methods would have demonstrated very close agreement. Thus, the in-plane Young’s modulus of cornea is likely closer to its lower limit, rather than to its highest possible value, as presented here. However, additional studies should be performed to confirm this observation. Nevertheless, we emphasize that using a simplified NITI material model rather than a simple isotropic model is an important step in quantifying anisotropic properties in the cornea because it separates the effects of μ from G, which are much greater than any possible variations of $E$ introduced by $\delta \neq 0$.

Young’s and shear moduli were assumed to be non-varying in depth. Quantifying depth-dependent moduli in the cornea likely will require complex models of high frequency content or additional loading techniques. Viscous effects also were not included in this study. Recent models incorporating viscosity suggest a second-order effect in the frequency range of mechanical waves considered in this study. To account for viscosity, higher-frequency components of propagating elastic waves27 should be considered. This represents another direction to improve quantitation of corneal viscoelasticity.

This study suggests that robust and accurate measurements of corneal elastic moduli can be achieved using noncontact AµT-OCE. Although in-plane anisotropy (within the xy-plane) has been reported in some studies, the degree of anisotropy remains low at low IOP.16,28–31 This suggests that for normal IOPs (less than 25 mmHg in porcine cornea), corneal microstructure can be approximated with the NITI model (i.e., symmetric for any direction in the xy-plane).

It also should be noted that significant effort has been directed toward personalized biomechanical models suitable for screening, surgical planning, and treatment monitoring. Because corneal mechanical properties determine its shape, it is important that accurate moduli are input to biomechanical models to predict static deformation and shape.32 Although such static models remain largely in the development stage, advanced models assuming a transverse isotropic tissue structure seem most robust.33,34

Because AµT-OCE seem to quantify mechanical properties in the cornea accurately, whereas OCT can image its structure and shape simultaneously, an OCT-based technique is a very promising direction to develop personalized biomechanical models. Such personalized models potentially can be used to study disease progression and may play a role in treatment based on simulated interventions. Future studies to assess anisotropy in diseased cornea may improve our understanding of pathologies further and potentially may inform treatment. Additional studies using faster OCT imaging systems capable of B-M scanning (where a single acoustic push is tracked using repeated B-scans), as well as higher resolution OCE reconstruction methods, may increase the usefulness of AµT-OCE in the clinic. Assuming that in vivo OCE methods can measure moduli robustly and reliably, it is likely that truly noncontact methods will pave the way for clinical translation.
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