Introduction
Since antiquity, fabrics have been dyed with extracts from minerals, plants and animals but in 1856, scientists discovered synthetic dyes and over the years its effects have been an issue of great concern for environmental and public health professionals.1,2 In Nigeria, most people engaged in dye industries are unskilled and are exposed to several hazards during waxing, bleaching and dyeing of fabrics due to their contact with chemicals and synthetic dyes.3

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) global strategy on occupational health 2004, 20-50% of textile workers are subjected to hazardous exposures in industrialized countries and the rate may even be higher in developing countries.4 In Nigeria, little is known about the knowledge of occupational hazards in textile dyeing though the workers are exposed to many hazards and risks that could be detrimental to their health.5 Due to insufficient knowledge on how to manage the risks, textile dye workers become vulnerable to occupational health hazards.6 Observing safe practices while using chemicals depend on appropriate attitude towards the associated risk factors which is dependent on the knowledge of the harmful effects of these chemicals.7 Nigeria is one of the countries in which industries are growing up and at the same time information concerning occupational safety to workers is minimal and as a result of this, the textile workers often fall victims of occupational health hazards.8 Though a lot of work has been done concerning textile workers mostly in Asia;9 Europe10 and in America, only scanty data is available in Africa, particularly Nigeria and in Sokoto state, no such study has been done among textile dye workers and hence the need arises to explore this area. This study aimed to assess the knowledge, attitude towards workplace hazards; safety practices and its determinants among textile dye workers in Sokoto metropolis, Nigeria.

Materials and Methods
The study was carried out in Sokoto metropolis, Sokoto State, North-western Nigeria. The State has 23 Local Government Areas and 3 senatorial districts namely Sokoto East, Sokoto South and Sokoto North.11 It was a descriptive and analytic study carried out in April 2016. The study population comprised of textile dye workers in Sokoto metropolis. Textile dye workers, who had worked for 6 months in the textile/dye shops were eligible to participate in the study while workers solely involved in the administrative work in the textile/dye shops (not directly involved in the dyeing process) were excluded from the study. Using a proportion of respondents that had knowledge that dyes; hydrogen sulfite and caustic soda could cause some poisonous gas from a previous study among resist dye workers (86.5%).2 200 textile dye workers were recruited for the study using the formula for determination of sample size for descriptive studies as $n = \frac{Z^2pq}{d^2}$ after correcting for 90% attrition. A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select the respondents. Using a simple random sampling technique, 2 senatorial districts (Sokoto East and Sokoto South) were chosen out of the 3 senatorial districts in Sokoto State. The wards (where textile dyeing was done) in each selected metropolitan LGA were listed and four wards were selected by simple random sampling through balloting in each case (a total of 8 wards in the 2 LGAs). Systematic random sampling was used to enroll textile dye workers in the 8 selected wards. To achieve this, a comprehensive list of textile dye workers in the selected wards was sought from the association of textile dye workers and this was used as the sampling frame. Proportional allocation (PA) of the textile dye workers to be enrolled in the 8 wards was done. A semi-structured interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to assess the knowl-
edge, attitude and safety practices of workers. The questions were adapted from previously validated tools with some modifications\(^5\text{,}^\text{,}12\text{-}^16\) and was translated to Hausa (the local language in the communities) and back translated to English by two different scholars. The questionnaire was administered to the textile dye workers in Hausa language by the researchers who were trained on the objectives of the study, data tools and interpersonal communication. There were 34 questions on knowledge addressing the subthemes: knowledge of chemicals used in workplace; knowledge of ways of exposure to chemicals; knowledge of organs affected by chemical use; knowledge of chemical and physical hazards; knowledge of safety practices and knowledge of personal protective equipment (PPEs). Correct answers to questions on knowledge were scored one (1) and incorrect ones and no response, nil (0) and converted to percentages and scores were graded as poor knowledge (<50%), fair knowledge (50-74%) and good knowledge (>75%). There were ten (10) questions on attitude which were assessed using Yes scored as 1 (one) and No and Don’t Know scored as 0 (zero), these were converted to percentages and graded as positive attitude (≥50%) and negative attitude (<50%). Univariate, bivariate and multivariate analysis were carried out using IBM SPSS version 20. Pearson’s chi square was used to test associations between predictor and outcome variables followed by binary and multiple logistic regression to determine the predictors. Binary logistic regression using forced entry was used to compute the determinants of knowledge, attitude and safety practices. The output of the regression analysis was presented as Odds Ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals. Results were presented in tables. The level of significance was set at \(P<0.05\). Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee of the Usmanu Danfodiyo University Teaching Hospital, Sokoto (UDUTH/HREC/2015/NO.302) and informed consent was obtained from the participants.

Results

All two hundred questionnaires were completely filled, returned and analyzed after validation (giving a response rate of 100%).

Section 1: Sociodemographic characteristics and work profile of respondents

The mean age of the respondents was 27.54±9.01 years. All the respondents were males; 140 (70%) were single and 93 (46.5%) had secondary level education. Of the 200 respondents, 138 (69%) were permanently employed; 144 (72%) had worked for less than 10 years; 105 (52.5%) worked for 6-7 days and 150 (75%) worked less than 8 hours per day.

Section 2: Knowledge of work place hazards

Majority of the respondents 177 (88.5%) knew that they were exposed to some hazards as textile dye workers; 149 (74.5%) knew that the eyes could be affected by chemical use while only 88 (44.0%) knew that ingestion was a route of exposure to chemicals in the workplace. One hundred and sixty-nine respondents (84.5%) knew that use of chemicals in the workplace could cause skin burns and only 59 (29.5%) did not know that they were exposed to excessive heat while working. A good proportion of the respondents 155 (77.5%) had knowledge on use of PPEs during the dyeing process and 78 (39%) knew that eye goggles could be used in textile dyeing. A high proportion of the respondents (74%) had good knowledge of workplace hazards and safety practices (Table 1).

Section 3: Attitude of respondents towards work place hazards

More than half of the respondents 103 (51.5%) felt that people worry more than necessary about the hazards associated in their workplace and 129 (64.5%) felt the risks associated with chemicals/dyes were sufficiently controlled in the workplace. A good proportion of the respondents 176 (88%) felt that given the opportunity they would use further measures to protect their health in the workplace. A good proportion of the respondents (81%) had positive attitude towards workplace hazards and safety practices (Table 2).

Section 4: Respondents’ safety practices

More than half of the respondents 102 (51.0%) read labels on containers of chemicals while a few of the respondents 27 (13.5%) did not wash their hands before eating or drinking. A good proportion of respondents 175 (87.5%) changed their clothes after work as a safety practice and only 20% observed all safety practices (Table 3). A high proportion 117 (60.6%) of the respondents always used hand gloves while eye goggles and facemasks were never used by a good percentage of the respondents (59.6% and 63.5%) respectively.

Section 5: Determinants of knowledge and safety practices

There was a relationship between knowledge of workplace hazards and age \((P=0.022)\); the level of education \((P=0.047)\); marital status \((0.038)\) and days worked per week \((<0.001)\) of the respondents in the study. The key determinants of knowledge in the study were days worked per week and level of education. Respondents who worked for less than 5

Table 1. Grading of Attitude towards workplace hazards (n=200).

| Knowledge grading | N. (%) |
|-------------------|--------|
| Poor knowledge (<50%) | 32 (16.0) |
| Fair knowledge (50-74%) | 20 (10.0) |
| Good knowledge (>75%) | 148 (74.0) |

Table 2. Grading of Attitude towards workplace hazards (n=200).

| Attitude grading | N. (%) |
|-----------------|--------|
| Negative attitude (<50) | 38 (19.0) |
| Positive attitude (≥50) | 162 (81.0) |

Table 3. Respondents’ workplace safety practices (n=200).

| Safety practices                      | N. (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Read labels on containers of chemical| 102 (51.0) |
| Wear gloves when working with chemicals| 163 (81.5) |
| Wash hands with soap and water after work| 172 (86.0) |
| Wash hands before eating or drinking | 173 (86.9) |
| Cover lid of container after chemical use | 106 (53.0) |
| Wash and bath after work              | 162 (81.0) |
| Change clothes after work             | 175 (87.5) |
| Keep worksite clean                   | 157 (78.5) |
| Store chemicals in a separate place   | 159 (79.5) |
| Use or wear PPEs                      | 183 (96.5) |
| Clean protective clothing             | 166 (83.0) |
days per week were 5 times more likely to have good knowledge compared to others (P=0.001) while those whose lowest level of education was primary school (formally educated) were 5 times more likely to have good knowledge compared to their counterparts (P=0.047) (Table 4).

There was a relationship between attitude towards workplace hazards and level of education (P=0.004); duration of work (P=0.015) and days worked per week (P=0.029). The key determinant of attitude was found to be level of education in the study. Respondents whose lowest level of education was primary school (formal education) were 17 times more likely to have a positive attitude than those without formal education (those with no form of education or quaranic education) (P=0.008) (Table 5).

There was no relationship between the ages of the respondents; level of education; work days per week; work hours per day and safety practices in the study.

Discussion

Millions of textile workers are occupationally exposed to dyes/chemicals in the world but little is known about their knowledge towards the effects of dye/chemicals on their health especially in the developing world, Nigeria inclusive. Over 80% of the respondents in the study knew they were exposed to hazards in the workplace. This is not surprising as a good percentage of the respondents had primary school education as their lowest level of education and this could account for the high knowledge of exposure to hazards. This is comparable with studies done in Abeokuta, Nigeria; India and Thailand which showed that the workers had knowledge about the hazards they were exposed to at work. When the respondents were questioned about the possible routes of exposure to chemicals in the workplace, over 70% of the workers claimed that the skin was the most common route of entry followed by the inhalation route, then the mouth. It is not surprising that the skin is the most common route as the chemical substances used by textile dye workers can cause acute exposures which readily manifest as skin burns and skin itchiness. A study from Pakistan in the textile industry also found that majority of the workers were exposed to chemicals through the skin (52.08%), while fewer (26.04%) workers were exposed to chemicals through the mouth. This is however dissimilar to a study in the Gaza strip where the workers identified inhalation as the most common route of exposure to chemicals in the workplace. Almost all of the respondents knew that the skin was affected by chemicals used in their workplace. This is similar to a study in India which found that all the textile workers agreed that dyes could affect the skin. Similarly, about half of the respondents knew that chemicals could affect their lungs and this is in consonance with a study in India where 51% of the textile workers claimed that dyes could affect the lungs. The study showed that over 80% of respondents knew that hand washing was an important preventive measure. A study in Nepal also found that 88.5% knew about the importance of hand washing agreeing with the findings of the study. Also, over 70% of the respondents had knowledge of use of PPEs. This finding is similar to that obtained in studies in Ethiopia and India where 72.3% and 63% of the respondents had knowledge of use of PPEs. Respondents had an above average overall knowledge of workplace hazards and safety practices (74.0% had good knowledge). This is encouraging considering that several studies have reported far lower proportion of good knowledge in Abeokuta (3.7%), Vietnam (3.72%), Iran (10.5%), Hong Kong (20.4%) and Thailand (34.1%).

Over 80% of the respondents in the study had overall positive attitude towards workplace hazards and safety practices. This is favorable as psychological research has shown that an individual’s attitude towards personal responsibility for safety is closely related to their likelihood of suffering a workplace accident or disease. Therefore, the likelihood of these workers suffering a workplace hazard can be said to be less as they have positive attitude towards workplace hazards and safety practices. This is in line with a study carried out in Iran 267 which showed that 75.7% of the organic chemical workers had positive attitude towards 268 occupational health and safety. Studies in Oyo State, Nigeria (16.7%), Hong Kong (38.4%), India (50%) and Vietnam (4.2%) have shown garment workers with a far lower positive attitude towards workplace hazards.

Of the safety practices, over 50% of the respondents read labels on containers of chemical, more than 80% wore gloves when working with chemicals and washed hands before eating or drinking while over 70% covered the lid of container after chemical use. This is in consonance with a study among printing workers in Hong Kong that found that 49.7% of the respondents read labels on containers; 75.6% used gloves; 56.1% washed hands before eating and drinking and 85% covered lids of containers after use. Despite this, only 20% of the respondents observed all the safety practices. This appears worrisome as maintaining a safe and healthy environment which is a fundamental right of every worker would be undermined if only few workers observed all the safety practices. A study in Hong Kong among printing workers also found that 22% of the respondents adopted all the safety practices. Even though over 90% of the respondents reported using PPEs, less than 20% of the workers always used any other form of protective device (eye googles, face mask and apron). This is comparable with studies done in Kwara and Edo states which indicated that less than 15% of the workers always used any form of protective device, while less than 5% of the workers wore face masks or protective clothing/boots at work. Also, a study among small industries in Saudi Arabia found that about 12% of the workers used personal protective measures all the time.

Table 4. Multinomial regression of factors associated with knowledge of workplace hazards and safety practices.

| Variables                                | OR       | 95% CI         | P-value |
|------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Age of respondent (≤25 years vs 25 years)| 1.19     | 0.35-4.12      | 0.780   |
| Educational level (formal vs informal)   | 5.23     | 1.02-26.84     | 0.047   |
| Employment type (permanent vs temporary) | 0.39     | 0.19-0.82      | 0.013   |
| Days worked per week (≤5 days vs ≥5 days)| 5.83     | 2.39-14.27     | 0.001   |

Table 5. Logistic regression of factors associated with Attitude towards workplace hazards and safety practices.

| Variables                                | OR       | 95% CI         | P-value |
|------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------|
| Age of respondent (≤25 years vs ≥25 years)| 1.73     | 0.81-3.69      | 0.16    |
| Educational level (formal vs informal)   | 17.03    | 2.09-139.00    | 0.008   |
| Duration of work (≤10 years vs >10 years)| 1.23     | 0.76-2.00      | 0.39    |
| Days worked per week (≤5 days vs ≥5 days)| 0.58     | 0.27-1.26      | 0.17    |
while 60% did not use any.13 Although a good proportion of the workers had good knowledge and positive attitude towards work place hazards, only 20% observed safety practices and this could be due to lack of supervision of workers by employees and lack of provision of basic amenities such as water for washing after work and lack of provision of PPEs for use at the workplace. Probably if these measures are put in place, the workers would begin to observe the safety practices.

Workers with formal education were found to be more knowledgeable about work place hazards. Education empowers workers to prevent work related hazards and without education they would not be able to understand and adopt the occupational health measures and practices.20 A study in Pakistan among textile workers also found that educated workers had more knowledge and there was a direct relationship between education of workers and occupational health and safety knowledge.21 This is similar to the findings in this study. Education was the only significant factor that determined positive attitude. Respondents who had primary school education as their lowest level of education (formal education) were 17 times more likely to have positive attitude. However, a study among printing workers found that only age was the significant factor found to be associated with appropriate attitude.15 No factors were found to be determinants of safety practices in this study.

Conclusions

Although the respondents had good knowledge and positive attitude, their lack of observance of safety practices brings to fore the need for direct safety instruction and training and retraining of textile dye workers on workplace hazards and safety practices.
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