Overscreened Kondo effect, (color) superconductivity and Shiba states in Dirac metals and quark matter
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We study the interplay between the Kondo effect and (color) superconductivity in doped Dirac metals with magnetic impurities and in quark matter with colorful impurities. We first point out that the overscreened Kondo effect arises in the normal state of these systems. Next the (color) superconducting gap is incorporated as a mean field and the phase diagram for a varying gap and temperature is constructed nonperturbatively. A rich phase structure emerges from a competition of effects unique to a multichannel system. The Kondo-screened phase is shown to disappear for a sufficiently large gap. Peculiarity of quark matter due to the confining property of non-Abelian gauge fields is noted. We also investigate the spectrum of sub-gap excited states, called Shiba states. Based on a model calculation and physical reasoning we predict that, as the coupling of the impurity to the bulk is increased, there will be more than one quantum phase transition due to level crossing among overscreened states.

I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding various phenomena caused by impurities is one of the fundamental challenges in quantum many-body physics. In condensed matter physics, an impurity is often treated as a potential term in a Hamiltonian and causes significant phenomena such as the Friedel oscillation and the orthogonality catastrophe [1]. These phenomena are relevant to quantum dot systems [2] and X-ray absorption [1], and the essential ingredient is the existence of the Fermi sea. If an impurity has an internal degree of freedom and the interaction with the conduction fermions is non-Abelian, more intriguing phenomena occur. A celebrated example is the Kondo effect [3] signified by the increase of electrical resistance of alloys with dilute magnetic impurities as decreasing temperature. The problem of clarifying the whole crossover of an impurity spin from a doublet state at high temperature to a singlet state at low temperature in the case of antiferromagnetic coupling between the impurity and conduction electrons, has defied a straightforward perturbative solution and prompted the development of a variety of nonperturbative techniques, e.g., Anderson’s poor man’s scaling [4], the large-N slave boson approach [5, 6], and Wilson’s numerical renormalization group (NRG) [7], all of which have made a substantial contribution to the foundation of a modern theoretical framework for heavy fermion systems [7–11]. In recent years, interests in the Kondo effect were refueled by advances in nanotechnology and new discoveries continue to be made [12].

A necessary condition for the Kondo screening to occur is the existence of the Fermi surface. What happens if the Fermi surface is destroyed? This question has a long history of research: there are at least three distinct setups to address this question. First and foremost, magnetic impurities in fully gapped superconductors had attracted great interest [13]. Since the $s$-wave Cooper pairing induces a gap $\Delta$ in the fermion spectra, it competes with the Kondo effect and even eliminates it when $\Delta$ is sufficiently greater than $T_K$, the Kondo temperature. Conversely, a finite concentration of magnetic impurities tends to weaken or destroy superconductivity. In 1960 Abrikosov and Gor’kov developed a theory of impurities in superconductors as pair breakers [14], which treated impurity scattering in the Born approximation and was therefore limited to weak coupling. Subsequently, attempts to incorporate the Kondo effect have been made by many authors [15–19]. Shiba [20] showed in an exactly solvable model of a classical impurity that there is a mid-gap excited bound state whose energy crosses zero as the interaction strength is varied. Closely related analyses were performed by Yu [21] and Rusinov [22], and the mid-gap state is nowadays called the Yu-Shiba-Rusinov state, or simply, the Shiba state. Later, Sakurai [23] correctly interpreted the above result as a level crossing between the singlet state and the (unscreened) local moment state. A comprehensive NRG study [24, 25] has finally confirmed that the predicted quantum phase transition indeed occurs at $T_K/\Delta \sim 0.3$. Recently the Shiba state and its transition have been observed in experiments using scanning tunneling microscopy [26]. Realization of the Shiba state by ultracold fermionic superfluids is also proposed and actively investigated [27–29].

The second setup to study the competition between Kondo screening and a depleted Fermi surface is gapped Fermi systems, such as insulators and semiconductors, where the density of states (DoS) at the Fermi level vanishes. Although gapped Fermi systems share similarity with superconductors, there are important differences as well (e.g., a classical spin in gapped Fermi systems shows no analogue of the transition (level crossing) induced by a classical spin in superconductors [30–38]). So far, most of investigations were conducted on the gapped Anderson impurity model [30–38] and it turned out that, in the presence of exact particle-hole symmetry, an arbitrarily small nonzero gap is enough to quench the Kondo effect at $T \to 0$. When the particle-hole symmetry is explicitly broken, the gap must...
overcome a nonzero threshold of order $T_K$ to quench the Kondo effect.

The third class of systems where Kondo screening is suppressed is the so-called pseudogap Fermi systems. Withoff and Fradkin [39] considered a magnetic impurity coupled to electrons with a DoS $N(E) \propto |E - E_F|^{-r}$ (r > 0) that vanishes at the Fermi level. This model was introduced to describe an impurity in $d$-wave superconductors, in which quasiparticles obey relativistic dispersions near the node of the gap. The upshot of [39] was that the Kondo effect occurs only when the impurity’s coupling to the host exceeds a nonzero critical value that depends on $r$. Their conclusion was tested by subsequent studies [40–49], where the important role of particle-hole symmetry was pointed out. Dirty semiconductors with a Dirac cone were also investigated [50, 51].

In late years, a plethora of novel pseudogap Fermi systems have emerged: graphene [52], topological insulators (TI) with gapless surface states [53, 54], and Dirac/Weyl semimetals [55, 56].1 Superconductivity in Dirac materials has become a subject of intensive theoretical research [57–61]. While the Kondo effect in (doped) Dirac/Weyl semimetals has already been studied [62–65], the outcome of a gap opening at the Fermi energy due to Cooper pairing is not considered yet. Excited states induced by an impurity in the bulk of TI were also studied [66–68], where exotic properties unseen in conventional insulators were reported.2

Recently, the Kondo effect has attracted attention in the context of nuclear physics and Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) at finite baryon density [69–72]. Needless to say, Dirac fermions appear naturally in high-energy physics because relativistic effects are important. In QCD, there is a long history of research on heavy flavors such as charm and bottom quarks with a large Dirac mass compared to the typical QCD scale [73–75]. In nuclear medium or quark matter, heavy quarks or hadrons behave as impurities and may experience the Kondo effect through interactions with the medium (in the color or isospin channel) [69–72]. What makes the impurity problem in QCD unique is the interplay of multiple quantum numbers (chirality, color, flavor, and spin). In QCD at high quark density, the Fermi surface is destabilized by Cooper instability mediated by attractive interactions between quarks and the ground state at low temperature is believed to be a color superconductor [76, 77]. The presence of a BCS gap for quarks is detrimental to Kondo screening and understanding of their interplay is an intriguing nontrivial problem. However, color superconductivity has not been taken into account in the previous studies [69–72].

In this work, we embark upon a study of competition between (color) superconductivity and Kondo screening in systems in which the conduction fermions have relativistic dispersions. Since various sources on channel degrees of freedom such as chirality, color, flavor, and spin can be considered depending on setups, such systems in the absence of a superconducting gap are prone to provoke the overscreened Kondo effect whose realizations in condensed matter are few. In the presence of a superconducting gap, a phase structure in the system is shown to be rich due to competitions among different energy scales. In particular, we point out that the QCD Kondo effect is suppressed in the high-quark-density limit in which a large superconducting gap shows up and kills a flow towards the Kondo regime. At the same time, we show that a large superconducting gap rather leads to the presence of the mid-gap state localized in the vicinity of an impurity, i.e., Shiba states, which may be new excitations in color superconductivity. Overall, the impurity physics of relativistic fermions at weak coupling is in close similarity to that of nonrelativistic fermions, provided the chemical potential is nonzero and a finite DoS is available. The relativistic nature of fermions becomes visible only when the coupling between the impurity and host is sufficiently strong or the chemical potential is small so that the Dirac point is close to the Fermi surface. In addition, the chirality and color of quarks that are missing in nonrelativistic fermions catalyze the overscreened Kondo effect.

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section II.A reviews possible Kondo effects in the absence of a superconducting gap and presents a scaling analysis for several relativistic models. Section II.B discusses the phase structure in the presence of a gap based on the scaling and existing NRG analyses. Comments on the QCD Kondo effect are also given. Section III investigates a quantitative phase diagram of a Dirac superconductor by means of the slave-boson mean-field theory. By using the $T$-matrix method for a classical impurity, we derive the spectrum of Shiba states in a Dirac superconductor. In Sec. IV a similar analysis is performed for a color superconductor. Section V presents our conclusion and perspective. In Appendix the scaling functions of relativistic models are derived from Feynman diagrams up to two loops.

---

1 The Dirac/Weyl semimetals with a linear dispersion in $d$ spatial dimensions correspond to a pseudogap system with the aforementioned exponent $r = d - 1$.

2 In [66–68] the excitation gap in the bulk has the form of a Dirac mass, rather than a Majorana mass generated from Cooper pairing.
II. OVERSCREENED KONDO EFFECT IN DIRAC SYSTEMS

A. Scaling analysis in the normal state

Let us begin with a summary of known results. The most canonical setup of the Kondo effect consists of a spin-$1/2$ impurity and single-channel conducting electrons with spin $1/2$. When they are coupled via an antiferromagnetic interaction $g$, the impurity magnetic moment is exactly screened at temperatures below the Kondo scale $T_K$ in a way consistent with Landau’s Fermi liquid theory. This phenomenon reflects the asymptotic freedom of renormalized coupling as was shown by Anderson with poor man’s scaling [4]; the flow of the effective coupling $g(D)$ as a function of the band width $D$ is governed by a scaling function $\beta(g) \equiv \frac{dg}{d \log D} \propto -g^2$. This negative $\beta$ function implies that, as $D$ is lowered, $g$ flows to the strong-coupling fixed point at infinity that corresponds to the phase with a vanishing impurity moment. The typical scale at which this crossover transition occurs is given by $T_K$. In this exactly screened Kondo effect [Fig. 1(a)], both the residual entropy and the residual magnetic moment at $T = 0$ are zero.

There are also cases in which the impurity spin is not exactly compensated [78]. If the impurity spin is $S$ and the electrons have $M$ channels, we encounter qualitatively distinct phenomena depending on the relative magnitude of $S$ and $M/2$. When $S > M/2$, electrons are unable to screen the whole impurity moment, a situation called the underscreened Kondo effect [Fig. 1(b)]. After a RG step, the residual magnetic moment interacts with electrons at the next scale ferromagnetically, which becomes irrelevant at low energy and the system flows toward the free fixed point. The entropy and the local moment remain nonzero in the IR. The underscreened Kondo effect was experimentally confirmed in [79].

By contrast, for $S < M/2$ there are more electrons than is necessary to screen the impurity spin, hence the impurity moment is overcompensated [Fig. 1(c)]. This time the residual moment couples antiferromagnetically to electrons at the next scale, rendering the free fixed point unstable. As was first noted by Nozières and Blandin [78], the IR limit in this case is governed by a nontrivial intermediate fixed point and exhibits a non-Fermi-liquid behavior. A salient feature of overscreening is a vanishing magnetic moment and a nonzero entropy in the IR [80–82] (see Table I). A huge body of work has been developed for investigation of this overscreened Kondo effect, as reviewed in [83–85]. Solutions of the multichannel Kondo and Anderson models have so far been obtained with the Bethe Ansatz [80, 86], large-$N$ methods [87–89], conformal field theory [81, 90], and NRG [91]. The multichannel Coqblin-Schrieffer model was also solved with the Bethe Ansatz [92]. The multichannel pseudogap models, which are of direct physical importance to $d$-wave superconductors and graphene, were also investigated in [45, 47, 93, 94]. The two-channel Kondo effect was observed in a quantum-dot experiment [95].

Now we ask the main question in this section: in systems such as Dirac metals and quark matter that accommodate Dirac fermions, what kind of Kondo effect is caused by impurities? (For the moment, let us ignore the possibility of gap opening at the Fermi surface.) Recent studies [62–65, 69, 70, 72] showed (i) that when the Fermi level coincides exactly with the Dirac point, the vanishing DoS suppresses any kind of Kondo effects unless the coupling exceeds a nonzero threshold, and (ii) that the Kondo effect sets in when the host fermions are doped.

We would like to underline that it is actually the overscreened Kondo effect that takes place in Case (ii). The following is a natural outcome of a physical reasoning based on the standard Kondo and Anderson model with nonrelativistic fermions: In Dirac metals, a single 1/2 impurity would be screened exactly by a single Weyl fermion, and overscreened by a singlet Dirac fermion (equivalent to two degenerate Weyl cones). In quark matter with an impurity with $N_c$ colors, if the interaction only occurs through color, then a quark of one chirality would screen the impurity’s color exactly; if the interaction occurs through both color and spin, then exact screening would be achieved by quarks with a single flavor, while quarks with more than one flavor would cause overscreening.

To substantiate the above argument we employ two toy models. The first model is defined by the partition function

![Fig. 1: Illustration of distinct Kondo effects: (a) exact screening, (b) underscreening and (c) overscreening. See the main text and Table I for further details.](image-url)
We next consider a simpler model where the Dirac fermions and impurities have no color, interacting only through spin-dependent interaction, reflecting the fact that spins of heavy quarks are frozen in QCD \[73, 74, 97\]. This may be viewed as a relativistic analogue of the Coqblin-Schrieffer model \[96\]. The fermionic impurity \(\chi\) and \(\psi\) transform in the fundamental representation of \(\text{SU}(N_c)\). In addition, \(\psi\) has \(N_f\) flavors and spin \(1/2\); \(\chi\) has no spin. This is a crude model of a heavy quark immersed in a Fermi sea of light quarks in QCD. Analogous models were recently considered in \[69, 70, 72\]. As regards quark matter with \(N_f\) flavors and \(N_c\) colors and the model has \(\text{SU}(N_c)\) internal symmetry. Both \(\chi\) and \(\psi\) transform in the fundamental representation of \(\text{SU}(N_c)\). In addition, \(\psi\) has \(N_f\) flavors and spin \(1/2\); \(\chi\) has no spin. This is a crude model of a heavy quark immersed in a Fermi sea of light quarks in QCD.

The scaling of an interaction towards strong coupling in the IR was originally shown by Anderson with the poor man’s scaling \[4\]. Here we shall analyze the running of \(G\) in the model (1) for \(N_f \gg 1\) with modern field-theoretical methods \[98\]. As has been emphasized by Nozières and Blandin \[78\], it is mandatory to go to two loops to expose the existence of an intermediate fixed point. Namely, we determine the dependence of the renormalized dimensionless coupling \(G = \rho/4\pi\) on the infrared cutoff \(D\) at the two-loop level, where \(D\) regularizes the singularity of the Fermi surface and \(\rho = \mu^2/(2\pi^2)\) denotes the DoS at the Fermi surface. Our result is

\[
\beta(G) = \frac{dG}{d\log D} = \frac{1}{2} N_c G^2 (1 + N_f G). \tag{2}
\]

The derivation is briefly sketched in Appendix.\(^\_3\) The beta function has a nontrivial fixed point \(G = 1/N_f\) besides the trivial fixed point \(G = 0\). In the limit \(D \to 0\) the latter is apparently unstable, and the renormalization-group flow is attracted to the intermediate fixed point (Fig. 2). For \(N_f \gg 1\) the fixed point is at weak coupling and inside the domain of validity of the perturbation theory. Therefore, for many flavors, the coupling is expected to flow from the weak limit at high \(T\) to the intermediate fixed point at low \(T\) where thermodynamic quantities obey scaling laws with nontrivial critical exponents. The characteristic scale for this crossover transition is given by the Kondo temperature, which is readily obtained as a renormalization-group invariant \[8\]

\[
T_K \sim D \left[\frac{G(D)}{2N_f/N_c}\right]^{2N_f/N_c} \exp \left( -\frac{2}{N_cG(D)} \right). \tag{4}
\]

We next consider a simpler model where the Dirac fermions and impurities have no color, interacting only through spins just as in the conventional Coqblin-Schrieffer model. The Lagrangian is given by

\[
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \psi_f^\dagger(\partial_\tau + i\sigma \cdot \nabla)\psi_f + \sum_{s=1}^2 \xi_s^\dagger(\partial_\tau - \mu_s)\xi_s + G \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N_c} \psi_{fa}^\dagger\psi_{fb}\xi_a^\dagger\xi_b. \tag{5}
\]

\(^\_3\) We refer the reader to \[8, 82, 99–102\] for full technical details of the scaling analysis at the next-to-leading order in the Kondo and Anderson models.
with $G > 0$ and $\mu > 0$. Both $\xi$ and $\psi_f$ have spin 1/2. An analogous model was studied recently in [62] (see also [63–65] for related works). A three-dimensional Dirac metal with $K$ Dirac cones would correspond to the model (5) with $N_f = 2K$. The scaling function for the coupling can be obtained in the same manner as for the previous model,

$$\beta(G) = G^2 \left( -1 + \frac{N_f}{2} \right).$$

The Kondo scale reads $T_K \sim D e^{-1/G} G^{N_f}/2$. Therefore again the beta function has a behavior depicted in Fig. 2 and the low-energy physics of the impurity at $N_f \gg 1$ is governed by a nontrivial fixed point. Note that this is true only for an antiferromagnetic coupling ($G > 0$). The IR conformality in those two models offers an amusing prediction on the non-Fermi-liquid behavior of magnetic/colorful impurities in Dirac metals and quark matter and is worthy of investigation in future experiments. While we have so far considered interactions in either spin or color channels, the overscreened Kondo effect may well also be triggered by isospin-exchange interactions considered in [69, 71].

There are three important caveats on the present argument. First, since the fixed point moves to strong coupling for smaller $N_f$, we are unable to precisely locate the boundary of the overscreened Kondo phase within perturbation theory. As an educated guess we conjecture that, in both models considered above, the overscreened intermediate fixed point would always exist for $N_f > 1$, whilst the $N_f = 1$ case would undergo exact screening characterized by a strong coupling to the host material in the IR. This speculation is consistent with what is known for the SU($N$) Kondo model [87–89, 92, 101] whose interaction Hamiltonian shares essentially the same structure as (1) and (5). In the model (1) with $N_f = 1$, the ground state will be a color singlet $\psi_1 \cdots \psi_N$, formed by an impurity and $N - 1$ quarks of different colors; if $\xi$ belongs to the anti-fundamental representation, the singlet $\psi_1 \cdots \psi_N$ will form. More generally, the $N_f = 1$ case would always lead to exact screening when $\xi$ belongs to an antisymmetric tensor representation of SU($N$) [89]. By contrast, in all the $N_f > 1$ cases we will encounter overscreening, provided the flavor symmetry is kept intact; the intermediate fixed point is weak against channel anisotropy [78]. In dense QCD, however, the SU($N_f$) symmetry of light quarks is slightly violated by current quark masses and electromagnetic interactions, which may ultimately result in a suppression of the overscreened Kondo effect in the far-IR limit. This point deserves further study.

Secondly, in this work we neglect correlations between localized moments. In strongly correlated electron systems, the so-called Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction [103] between localized moments induces a variety of exotic phases and its importance in Dirac/Weyl systems has been recently explored (see e.g., [104]), but this goes beyond the scope of this paper.

As the third and last caveat, it should be remarked that the models (1) and (5) treat Dirac fermions as non-interacting. This is a poor approximation to QCD at low and intermediate density, where non-Abelian gauge interactions among quarks are so strong that quarks acquire a large Dirac mass dynamically and are permanently confined inside color-singlet nucleons. Neither effects are incorporated into (1). At best the model (1) would be a sensible analogy to quark matter only for the high-density region $\mu \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$, but nevertheless the gauge interaction between quarks at the Fermi surface will inevitably trigger color superconductivity [76, 77, 105] and brings about numerous changes into the above consideration of impurity dynamics. We will analyze the competition between Kondo screening and Cooper pairing in Dirac systems later in Secs. III and IV. Before delving into a quantitative analysis, we wish to briefly summarize our main conclusion in the next subsection.

### B. Taxonomy of impurity states in multichannel (color) superconductors

The Kondo effect rests on the availability of a nonzero DoS at the Fermi surface while both the (color-)superconducting gap and temperature spoil the Fermi surface. Naturally a competition among them arises and it has a long history of research as reviewed in Sec. I. It seems that most of the research conducted so far has focused on the case of exact screening, leaving the domain of overscreened Kondo systems much less explored (but see [106] for a recent attempt to fill the gap). To set a stage for later discussions, we begin with a summary of phases in Kondo systems (Table I). The emergence of such rich phases as in Table I has been revealed in studies of the Kondo effect in multichannel gapped/pseudogap Fermi systems [45, 47, 93, 94].

---

4. The possibility of overscreening by Dirac fermions in graphene has already been discussed in the literature [52]. We are unaware of a similar proposal in three dimensions.

5. In atomic nuclei, the small size of the system acts as an IR cutoff and stops the flow to the fixed point, which would make the observation of overscreening difficult.

6. Unlike quarks in high-energy physics, the dispersion relations of Dirac fermions in solid materials are not exactly linear [55, 56]. Although the nonlinearity is neglected in the present study we suspect it will not cause a qualitative difference on low-energy physics in the bulk.

7. The effect of isospin-symmetry breaking on the Kondo effect of nucleons was discussed in Sec. IV.A of [71].

8. The ASC is called LM' in [94].
TABLE I. Classification of phases in the multichannel Kondo model. (US: underscreened phase, K: normal Kondo phase with exact screening, OS-FP: overscreened fixed point, LM: local moment phase, ASC: asymmetric strong-coupling phase, SC: strong-coupling limit.) ASC and SC reduce to K in a single-channel model.

|                  | US | K  | OS-FP | LM | ASC | SC |
|------------------|----|----|-------|----|-----|----|
| Residual $T = 0$ | $>$ | 0  | $>$   | 0  | $>$ | $>$|
| Residual impurity spin | $>$ | 0  | 0     | $>$ | 0   | 0  |

Here the local moment phase denoted by “LM” is a free spin state with no Kondo screening. The asymmetric strong coupling phase labeled as “ASC”, which appears only when the particle-hole asymmetry is sufficiently strong [47], is a phase where the impurity spin is completely screened by a minimal number of electrons; for instance, in the $K$-channel Kondo model with a spin-1/2 impurity, ASC is a phase where only one of the $K$ electrons participates in the screening and the other $K - 1$ are decoupled, resulting in a $K$-fold degenerate ground state and a residual entropy $S = \log K$. This ASC phase, first identified in [47] with NRG, is absent in metallic Kondo and Anderson models but can exist stably in pseudogap models if the coupling is sufficiently strong. The “SC” is a phase where fermions in all channels couple to the impurity symmetrically. This corresponds to the strong-coupling limit of the $K$-channel $SU(N)$ Kondo model with an impurity in the fundamental representation of $SU(N)$: at the infinite-coupling fixed point of this model, $(N - 1)K$ electrons combine with the impurity spin to form a larger representation of $SU(N)$ [89, 92]. In models with a metallic DoS, this fixed point is unstable and the RG flow is attracted to the overscreened intermediate fixed point [78]; however, in a gapped model at sufficiently strong coupling this SC phase can be stable, as was numerically shown in [106]. The other phases (US, K and OS-FP) were described in the previous subsection.

As a quick guide, below we depict phases in a two-channel model, denoting the impurity spin $1/2$ by $\uparrow$ and the spin of electrons by $\uparrow$:

$|\text{LM}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$, $|\text{ASC}\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\text{SC}\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$.

Concerning the $N_f = 1$ case of the Dirac models (1) and (5), it is not difficult to estimate physical effects of a superconducting gap $\Delta$ for the host fermions $\psi$ on Kondo screening. On the basis of knowledge on Kondo impurities in gapped [30–38] and pseudogap [39–49] Fermi systems as well as in s-wave superconducting hosts [20, 23–25], one can draw a phase diagram for Kondo impurities in the $(T, \Delta)$-plane, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Here $\Delta$ is treated as an externally tunable parameter. Since $T_K$ is the only dynamical scale in this problem, the phase boundary should be roughly set by $T_K$ up to $O(1)$ numerical factors that depend on model details. At $T = 0$ a first-order transition occurs due to level crossing between a screened state and an unscreened state [23, 24]. This phase diagram will be revisited with a mean-field theory in Sec. III.

Next we turn to a more nontrivial question: what occurs in a multi-flavor system in the presence of a pairing gap? If $\Delta = 0$, physics at low $T$ is of course described by OS-FP. On the other hand, at $T = 0$ the intermediate fixed point tends to be washed out as soon as $\Delta \neq 0$ is turned on, giving place to ASC [47, 93, 94]. Therefore one expects OS-FP and ASC to dominate the phase diagram at low $T$ and small $\Delta$. When the condition $\Delta \ll T < T_K$ is met, the overscreening will not be affected by the tiny gap. As $\Delta$ grows, OS-FP would gradually be preempted by ASC. Assuming, as a simplest scenario, that the boundary between the two regimes extends along a straight line $T \propto \Delta$,

$\text{9 The zero-temperature transition occurs at } \Delta \simeq 3.3 T_K \text{ in the spin-1/2 single-channel Kondo model [24].}$
we arrive at the phase diagram in Fig. 3(b). This phase diagram, valid at weak coupling, is consistent with a recent NRG study of the two-channel Kondo model with a BCS gap [106], in which the noncommutativity of limits $T \to 0$ and $\Delta \to 0$ was clearly observed. To map out the phase diagram at strong coupling, we recall that the two-channel Kondo model has a strong-weak duality [107]. In this mapping, LM and SC are interchanged. This duality is implied by the fact that both LM and SC have spin 1/2 [cf. (7)]. In Fig. 3(c) we present a phase diagram at strong coupling, obtained from Fig. 3(b) by interchanging LM and SC. Notably, at $T = 0$ the quantum phase transition occurs between ASC and SC (instead of ASC and LM), which has been confirmed in a NRG study [106]. Since the duality above is specific to the two-channel problem, the task of mapping out a phase diagram for $N_f > 2$ is far more challenging. In that case, one has to take into account new states that are intermediate between $|\text{ASC}\rangle = |\uparrow \uparrow\rangle$ and $|\text{SC}\rangle = |\uparrow \downarrow \cdots \downarrow\rangle_{N_f}$, which may possibly lead to multiple quantum phase transitions.

Finally we wish to comment on the QCD Kondo effect [70]. In the high-density limit the renormalized gauge coupling $g$ is small and a weak-coupling computation can be used to estimate the leading behavior of observables [108]. It was demonstrated in [70] via a one-loop RG analysis for normal-state quark matter that the Kondo effect for colors of a heavy impurity does take place through a color-exchange interaction at the Kondo scale:\footnote{The Kondo scale in [70] is defined as the energy scale at which the one-loop scattering amplitude between light quarks and a heavy quark diverges. Note that, while the Kondo temperature in solids is usually a monotonically increasing function of the DoS at the Fermi energy, the dependence of $\Lambda_K$ on $\mu$ is nontrivial due to the running of the renormalized coupling $g$.}

\[ \Lambda_K \propto \mu \exp \left( -\frac{8\pi^2}{N_c} \right). \] \hfill (8)

Their analysis neglected interactions between light quarks. In reality, gluons mediate attractive interactions between quarks in a color-antisymmetric channel and inevitably induce color superconductivity with a BCS gap $\Delta$ for quarks. The dependence of $\Delta$ on $g$ has been computed as $\Delta \sim \mu g^{-5} e^{-c/g}$ with $c = 3\pi^2/\sqrt{2}$ for $N_c = 3$ and $c = 2\pi^2$ for $N_c = 2$ [109–113]. The hierarchy of scales $\Delta \gg \Lambda_K$ at $g \ll 1$ indicates that the QCD Kondo effect is suppressed by quark pairing in quark matter at asymptotically high density.\footnote{Here the impurity is assumed to be infinitely heavy. In reality, heavy flavors ($c,b$) carry a finite mass of order 1–4 GeV and they will be populated at asymptotically high baryon density. This physical limit is outside the consideration here.} If we start from the quark-gluon plasma phase at high $T$ and goes down in temperature, quarks will acquire a gap at $T \sim \Delta$ and hence the flow of the coupling is cut off far before the Kondo regime $T \lesssim T_K$ is reached.

A few supplementary remarks are in order.

1. The above argument alone does not preclude the QCD Kondo effect at intermediate quark density. We will take up this issue in Sec. IV in a mean-field theory.

2. Even when the Kondo screening is quenched, it leaves behind a fingerprint: the coupling of an impurity to host quarks gives rise to localized excited states, the so-called Shiba states, that lie inside the spectral gap of quasiparticles [13]. Detailed analyses will be given in Secs. III and IV below.

3. The suppression of Kondo screening will be robust in phases of quark matter where all quark species acquire a gap (Majorana mass), such as the color-flavor-locked (CFL) phase of three-flavor QCD [114], the high-isospin-density limit of two-flavor QCD [115, 116] and the color-spin-locked phase of one-flavor QCD [117, 118]; in all these phases the gap parametrically depends on $g$ as $\Delta \sim \mu g^{-5} e^{-c/g}$. By contrast, the two-flavor color-superconducting phase (2SC) is more subtle because quarks with one out of three colors remain gapless [119, 120]. Since the gapless quarks are neutral under the residual unbroken gauge group $SU(2) \subset SU(3)$, their interaction with gapped quarks and impurities is suppressed at low energy and will not modify our conclusion that the Kondo effect is eliminated by the pairing gap.

4. One may wonder if the Kondo effect based on a color-exchange interaction is actually well-defined in the CFL phase, where the color gauge group $SU(3)_C$ is completely broken by diquark condensates via the Anderson-Higgs mechanism. Note however that the diagonal subgroup $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ is unbroken in the CFL phase (as long as the strange quark mass can be neglected, of course). Quarks then transform in the singlet and octet representation of $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$, whereas a heavy quark belongs to the fundamental representation. Since host fermions transform in a larger representation of the symmetry group than the impurity, the overscreened Kondo effect will take place, provided the pairing gap is small. (A similar situation for $SU(2)$ spin was studied in [121, 122].) The Kondo effect we claim here to be suppressed should be interpreted as this overscreened Kondo effect in $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$.}
5. We have so far argued that the color moment of heavy quarks will not be Kondo-screened in a fully gapped phase of dense quark matter. This is not a full story if a non-Abelian subgroup of a gauge group is left unbroken after quark pairing; the color degrees must be permanently confined into color-singlet hadrons [123]. This issue arises in the 2SC phase of three-color QCD, the high-isospin-density phase of two-flavor QCD with any colors, and the superfluid phase of two-color QCD. Although both the Kondo effect and color confinement concern the screening of impurity’s color moment, they are intrinsically different mechanisms: Kondo screening is a Fermi surface effect, whereas quark confinement originates from strong-coupling dynamics of gluons and has no bearing on the Fermi surface. What happens to an impurity’s color moment if it is not subject to Kondo screening? As was shown in [124], the energy scale of confinement in the 2SC phase $\Lambda_{QCD}^2$ is significantly lowered from the strong-coupling scale in the QCD vacuum due to medium effects. Parametrically $\Lambda_{QCD}^2 \sim \Delta \exp[-\text{const.} \times \mu/(g\Delta)]$, which is even smaller than the Kondo scale $\Lambda_K$ in (8). This implies a separation of scales $\Lambda_{QCD}^2 \ll \Lambda_K \ll \Delta$ at $g \ll 1$. Therefore the impurity moment will be effectively free for the energy scale $E \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$ but screened via the confinement mechanism for $E \lesssim \Lambda_{QCD}^2$; the LM phase found in Fig. 3 and our model analysis in Sec. IV below should be considered as valid only at low, but not too low, energy scales.

III. IMPURITY IN DIRAC SUPERCONDUCTORS

A. Mean-field theory

1. Model setup

In this section we investigate the competition between superconductivity and Kondo screening in a model of three-dimensional Dirac fermions. We use the slave-boson mean-field theory for the Kondo problem, developed in [5, 6] and reviewed in [11, 125]. Early applications of the large-$N$ slave-boson technique to the Kondo problem in superconductors can be found in [40, 41]. More recently, the method was applied to the description of the Kondo effect in quark matter [72], albeit neglecting the effect of color superconductivity.

In this section we employ the model (5) with $N_f = 1$, i.e., just a single Weyl cone. In this benign case we need not incorporate the overscreened Kondo effect and the analysis is greatly simplified. Incorporating a Majorana mass $\Delta$ that represents the $s$-wave BCS gap, we have the action (in natural units $\hbar = k_B = 1$)

$$ S = \int d^3x \left[ \bar{\psi} (\partial_t - \mu + iv\sigma \cdot \nabla) \psi + \frac{\Delta}{2} (\bar{\psi}^T \sigma^2 \psi + \bar{\psi}^T \sigma^2 \psi^*) + \bar{\xi} \sigma^1 (\partial_t - \mu) \xi + G \bar{\psi}^T \psi \bar{\xi} \sigma^1 \xi \right], $$

where $v$ is the Fermi velocity and $G > 0$ as in Sec. II. Next we perform the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

$$ -G(\bar{\psi}^T \xi)(\xi \psi^*) \Rightarrow \frac{|V|^2}{G} + V^*(\bar{\psi}^T \xi \psi^*) + V(\bar{\psi}^T \xi \psi) $$

with an auxiliary complex scalar field $V$. In the mean-field approximation $V = \text{const.}$, one can rotate the global U(1) phase of $\xi$ so that $V \geq 0$ without loss of generality. Then

$$ S = \int d^4x \left[ \bar{\psi} (\partial_t - \mu + iv\sigma \cdot \nabla) \psi + \frac{\Delta}{2} (\bar{\psi}^T \sigma^2 \psi + \bar{\psi}^T \sigma^2 \psi^*) + \bar{\xi} \sigma^1 (\partial_t - \mu) \xi + V(\bar{\psi}^T \xi \psi) + \frac{|V|^2}{G} \right] $$

$$ = \int d^4x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\psi}^T \psi \xi T \xi^T \xi^T) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t - \mu + iv\sigma \cdot \nabla & \frac{\Delta}{2} (\bar{\psi}^T \sigma^2 \psi + \bar{\psi}^T \sigma^2 \psi^*) & \bar{\xi} \sigma^1 (\partial_t - \mu) \xi + V(\bar{\psi}^T \xi \psi) + \frac{|V|^2}{G} \end{pmatrix} \right] $$

The thermodynamic potential per unit volume is obtained with the Matsubara frequency $\omega_n \equiv (2n + 1)\pi T$ as

$$ \Xi = \frac{V^2}{G} - \frac{1}{2} T \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \log \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\Delta^2}{2} & i\omega_n + \mu - v\sigma \cdot k & 0 & -V \mathbb{I}_2 \\ i\omega_n + \mu - v\sigma \cdot k & \Delta^2 & V \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -V \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 & (i\omega_n + \mu) \mathbb{I}_2 \\ V \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 & (i\omega_n + \mu) \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $$
The magnitude of $\Lambda$ is essentially set by the band width of conduction electrons. The values of $V$ and $\mu_\xi$ are determined by solving the constraints

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \Xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_\xi} \Xi = n_\xi. \tag{19}$$

In natural units, all dimensionful quantities can be measured with $v$ and $\Lambda$. It is convenient to attach a hat $\hat{}$ to each dimensionless quantity as follows.

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\mu}{v\Lambda}, \quad \hat{\mu}_\xi = \frac{\mu_\xi}{v\Lambda}, \quad \hat{T} = \frac{T}{v\Lambda}, \quad \hat{\Delta} = \frac{\Delta}{v\Lambda}, \quad \hat{\tilde{V}} = \frac{V}{v\Lambda}, \quad \hat{\tilde{G}} = \frac{G\Lambda^2}{v}, \quad \hat{\tilde{\Xi}} = \frac{\Xi}{v\Lambda^4}, \quad \hat{\tilde{k}} = \frac{k}{\Lambda}, \quad \hat{B}_{1,2}^\pm = \frac{B_{1,2}^\pm}{(v\Lambda)^2}. \tag{20}$$

2. Quasiparticle spectra

The spectra of quasiparticles consist of 8 branches, $\omega = \pm \sqrt{B_1^+ (k)}$ and $\omega = \pm \sqrt{B_2^\pm (k)}$. They are plotted in Fig. 4 for three parameter sets. The two flat spectra that appear symmetrically about the abscissa in all cases are $\hat{\omega} = \pm \sqrt{\hat{B}_2^- (k)}$, which varies only weakly with $\hat{k}$ for $\hat{\mu} \gg \hat{\tilde{V}}$. It is instructive to consider various limits of (15).
FIG. 5. (a) Condensate $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$ and (b) thermodynamic potential $\tilde{\Xi}$ with $(\mu, \mu_\xi, \tilde{G}) = (0.5, 0, 10)$ at $\hat{\Delta} = 0$. Here $\Xi$ is normalized to 0 at the origin.

- When $V \to 0$,

$$ B_1^\pm(k) \to \frac{1}{2} \left[ (vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + \mu_\xi^2 \pm \sqrt{\left( (vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + \mu_\xi^2 \right)^2} \right] = \begin{cases} (vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2, \\ \mu_\xi^2. \end{cases} $$

$$ B_2^\pm(k) \to \frac{1}{2} \left[ (vk + \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + \mu_\xi^2 \pm \sqrt{\left( (vk + \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + \mu_\xi^2 \right)^2} \right] = \begin{cases} (vk + \mu)^2 + \Delta^2, \\ \mu_\xi^2. \end{cases} $$

The branches $(vk \pm \mu)^2 + \Delta^2$ are just the (squared) excitation spectra in superconductors, whereas $\mu_\xi^2$ is the (squared) energy level of a free impurity. The gap opening $\Delta > 0$ corresponds to the level repulsion between $\omega = \pm (vk - \mu)$, as can be seen in Fig. 4(a).

- When $\Delta \to 0$,

$$ B_1^\pm(k) \to \left\{ \frac{vk - \mu - \mu_\xi \pm \sqrt{(vk - \mu + \mu_\xi)^2 + 4V^2}}{2} \right\}^2, $$

$$ B_2^\pm(k) \to \left\{ \frac{vk + \mu + \mu_\xi \pm \sqrt{(vk + \mu - \mu_\xi)^2 + 4V^2}}{2} \right\}^2. $$

The gap opening $V \neq 0$ stems from the level repulsion between $\omega = vk - \mu$ and $\omega = -\mu_\xi$, and between $\omega = -vk + \mu$ and $\omega = \mu_\xi$, as seen in Fig. 4(b). Physically, it indicates the formation of a Kondo singlet, namely the hybridization of conduction bands and impurity [5, 6, 126]. The magnetic moment of the impurity is completely screened.

- When $\mu_\xi \to 0$,

$$ B_1^\pm(k) \to \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{(vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + 4V^2} \pm \sqrt{(vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2}}{2} \right\}^2, $$

$$ B_2^\pm(k) \to \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{(vk + \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + 4V^2} \pm \sqrt{(vk + \mu)^2 + \Delta^2}}{2} \right\}^2. $$

These formulae will be used later.

3. **Kondo effect at $\Delta = 0$**

Before discussing the effect of the pairing gap on the phase structure, we wish to study the Kondo effect at $\Delta = 0$ in this model for varying $T$. In the limit $T \to 0$ it is easy to evaluate $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$ in the weak-coupling limit $\tilde{G} \ll 1$. This quantity $[V_{T=\Delta=0}(G)]$ can be determined from the gap equation

$$ 0 = \left. \frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial V^2} \right|_{T=\Delta=0} = \frac{1}{G} - \int_0^\Lambda \frac{dk k^2}{2\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(vk - \mu)^2 + 4V^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(vk + \mu)^2 + 4V^2}} \right]. $$

(27)
This is conceptually similar to chiral symmetry breaking in the QCD vacuum, where the DoS of quarks vanishes but symmetry is broken strictly forbidden for Fermi systems [39–41, 43, 45, 62], it does not agree with NRG [42, 44, 46, 47] according to which the Kondo effect is the existence of such a critical coupling at \( T \) with \( \mu > 0 \).

The Kondo singlet is formed in the shaded region only. The transition across the boundary of the shaded region \( \Delta = 2\hat{V}_{\Delta=0}(G) \) is second order. As soon as \( \mu \xi \neq 0 \) or \( \hat{T} \neq 0 \), it becomes first order (Fig. 7). For comparison, we also show the phase boundary in the limit \( \mu \to 0 \) (thick dashed line).

Since for small \( \hat{G} \) the integral is dominated by contributions from the Fermi surface \( v k \approx \mu, k^2 \) in the integrand can be approximated by \( (\mu/v)^2 \) and the remaining integral can be done analytically. This leads to

\[
V_{T=\Delta=0}(G) \propto \exp \left( -\frac{\pi^2}{G\mu^2} \right). \tag{28}
\]

Thus the spin of the magnetic impurity is screened for an arbitrarily weak antiferromagnetic interaction \( G \to 0^+ \) at \( T = \Delta = 0 \), due to the nonzero Fermi surface [8]. This property holds regardless of the Dirac nature of the fermions, as long as \( \mu > 0 \).

By contrast, if the Fermi energy is exactly at the Dirac point (\( \mu = 0 \)), the DoS vanishes and the Kondo effect fades away unless the interaction is sufficiently strong, i.e., \( \hat{G} > 2\pi^2 \approx 19.74 \) in the present model [cf. Fig. 6(b)]. While the existence of such a critical coupling at \( \mu = 0 \) is consistent with earlier large-\( N \) slave-boson analysis of gapless Fermi systems [39–41, 43, 45, 62], it does not agree with NRG [42, 44, 46, 47] according to which the Kondo effect is strictly forbidden for any coupling in a particle-hole-symmetric Fermi system with the DoS \( N(E) \propto |E - E_F|^r \) with \( r > 1/2 \). This implies that the Kondo effect in our mean-field analysis at \( \mu = 0 \) is an artifact of the approximation used. We hasten to add, however, that this criticism only applies to the \( \mu = 0 \) limit and is irrelevant for our analysis at \( \mu > 0 \) in the following.

At finite temperature, the IR singularity originating from the sharp Fermi surface is excised and the Kondo effect is expected to be suppressed. To see this, we minimize the potential (18) for each \( T \) as a function of \( V \). Our numerical results are presented in Fig. 5, where one observes that the Kondo effect is weakened as \( T \) increases, and disappears at \( \hat{T} \approx 6.09 \times 10^{-4} \) at which the condensate drops to zero through a first-order transition. By considering that in reality the Kondo effect smoothly emerges as decreasing the temperature, the first-order transition should be corrected as the crossover.

### 4. Phase diagram at \( \Delta \neq 0 \)

We are now prepared to investigate the competition between the Kondo effect and superconductivity. We begin with \( T = 0 \), setting \( \xi = 0 \) for simplicity. Plugging (25) and (26) into (18) one can easily derive the gap equation

\[
0 = \frac{1}{\hat{G}} - \int_0^A \frac{dk k^2}{2\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + 4V^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{(vk + \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 + 4V^2}} \right]. \tag{29}
\]

\[\text{If the number of impurities is finite, there cannot be a phase which breaks the U(1) symmetry of } \xi \text{ spontaneously. In that case the symmetry-breaking condensate } V \neq 0 \text{ observed here should be taken as an artifact of the mean-field approximation and } V \to 0 \text{ if we could fully include fluctuations around the mean field [125, 127, 128]. Nevertheless it is worth an emphasis that occurrence of the Kondo effect at } T \sim T_K \text{ is well captured by this mean-field method in a qualitatively correct manner.}\]

\[\text{This is conceptually similar to chiral symmetry breaking in the QCD vacuum, where the DoS of quarks vanishes but symmetry is broken by strong-coupling effects [129].}\]
Comparing (29) with (27), one notices an intriguing relation

\[ \langle V \rangle_{T=0} = \sqrt{\frac{V^2_{T=\Delta=0}(G)}{4}} - \frac{\Delta^2}{4}, \]

which is valid for \(0 \leq \Delta \leq 2V_{T=\Delta=0}(G)\). As \(\Delta\) increases, \(\langle V \rangle\) drops continuously and vanishes at \(\Delta = 2V_{T=\Delta=0}(G)\). For \(\Delta > 2V_{T=\Delta=0}(G)\) there is no condensate. The second-order nature of this quantum phase transition is clear from the evolution of the potential in Fig. 6(a). In Fig. 6(b) we present a phase diagram at \(T = 0\). It shows that for \(\Delta > 0\), the Kondo screening occurs only when the coupling \(G\) is sufficiently strong. Thus the Kondo effect is suppressed by a pairing gap, confirming our statements in Sec. II. We remark that the same picture has been obtained long time ago concerning gapped Fermi systems [30–33] and non-Dirac fully gapped superconductors [15, 24, 25]. In [15] it was shown with a diagrammatic method, that unlike in normal metals where the antiferromagnetic coupling grows logarithmically \(\sim \log T\) as \(T \to 0\), it saturates at \(\sim \log \Delta\) in superconductors, reflecting that the Fermi-surface effect is cut off by \(\Delta\). We expect that an analogous perturbative calculation for a Dirac superconductor would be able to confirm our conclusion obtained in the mean-field approach. Summarizing, the ground state of a magnetic impurity at zero temperature is expected to be

\[ \begin{align*} &\text{a singlet for } 0 \leq \Delta < 2V_{T=\Delta=0}(G), \\
&\text{a doublet for } \Delta > 2V_{T=\Delta=0}(G). \end{align*} \]

The second-order phase transition found above immediately turns into first order as soon as we switch on small \(\mu_\xi \neq 0\) or \(T \neq 0\), as illustrated in Fig. 7. Therefore the transition between the two states (31) is generically first order, as was already emphasized in Fig. 3. Based on investigations so far, one can map out the full phase diagram with both \(\Delta \neq 0\) and \(T \neq 0\). The numerically obtained condensate \(\langle \hat{V} \rangle\) is plotted in Fig. 8.
The last term represents a potential due to the magnetic impurity. If potential scattering and will not be of interest to us. Let us take the following discuss properties of the Shiba state associated with a serious when studying dense quark matter where describing correlations at temperatures \[25].

In (32) the gap \(\Delta(T)\) while the dark area indicates the domain with a strong Kondo effect. Which of these possibilities is realized depends on microscopic details of the material and the impurity. The back reaction of impurities on the superconducting gap is neglected, assuming a sufficiently low density of impurities.

The previous sections dealt with ground state properties. In this section, we turn to the analysis of excited states. Magnetic impurities in superconductors are known to induce localized excited states in the gap \[13\]. In the case of a conventional s-wave superconductor, Shiba \[20\] demonstrated this by solving a model of a classical spin immersed in a superconductor to all orders in the interaction, where “classical” means that the impurity was treated as a spin-dependent external potential. The picture that emerged from \[20\] was qualitatively consistent with elaborate NRG studies \[24, 25\] across the whole range of \(T_K/T_c\) (\(T_c\): the superconducting transition temperature), including the presence of a quantum phase transition at an intermediate coupling. Similar analysis was performed also for anisotropic superconductors \[41, 130\]. By contrast, the slave-boson mean-field theory yields rather inaccurate predictions for the Shiba state especially in the regime \(T_K \lesssim T_c\) \[41\], because the slave-boson theory is not capable of describing correlations at temperatures \(\gtrsim T_K\) where the slave-boson expectation value vanishes. This is particularly serious when studying dense quark matter where \(T_K/T_c \sim T_K/\Delta \ll 1\) (cf. Sec. II). For this reason, we will in the following discuss properties of the Shiba state associated with a classical impurity in a Dirac superconductor employing the T-matrix method of \[20\].

We consider a Hamiltonian of a superconducting \(N_f = 1\) Weyl fermion in the presence of a localized classical impurity,

\[
\hat{H} \equiv \int d^3x \left[ \psi_i^\dagger (i\sigma \cdot \nabla - \mu) \psi - \Delta (\psi_i^\dagger \psi + \psi_i \psi_i^\dagger) + \psi^\dagger U(x) \psi \right].
\]

(32)

The last term represents a potential due to the magnetic impurity. If \(U(x)\) is independent of the spin, it is just a potential scattering and will not be of interest to us. Let us take \(U(x) = u \delta(x)\sigma^3\) where \(u\) is a parameter characterizing the strength of the potential; we assume \(u > 0\) without loss of generality. (The sign of \(u\) can be flipped by a spin rotation.) In (32) the gap \(\Delta\) is assumed to be spatially uniform, though \(\Delta\) may slightly vary near the impurity site \[25\].

The task is to obtain the mid-gap excitation level with the T-matrix method. In the Nambu basis \(\Psi_k \equiv (\psi_k^\dagger, \psi_{-k}^\dagger, \psi_{-k}^\dagger, \psi_{k}^\dagger)^T\), the Hamiltonian without an impurity reads

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Psi_k^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} -v k \cdot \sigma - \mu & \frac{i\sigma^2 \Delta}{2} \\ -i\sigma^2 \Delta & -v k \cdot \sigma^T + \mu \end{pmatrix} \Psi_k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Psi_k^\dagger \hat{H}_k \Psi_k,
\]

(33)

where we have omitted terms that arise from the anticommutator of \(\psi_{-k}\) and \(\psi_{-k}^\dagger\). The eigenvalues of \(\hat{H}_k\) are given by \(\pm \sqrt{(vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2}\) and \(\pm \sqrt{(vk + \mu)^2 + \Delta^2}\) with \(k \equiv |k|\). The Green’s function of a clean system is defined as

\[
\hat{G}_0(k, \omega) = \frac{1}{\omega - \hat{H}_k}.
\]

(34)
Since $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_k$ has a spectral gap at $\{-\Delta, \Delta\}$, $\hat{G}_0(k, \omega)$ has no pole at $|\omega| < \Delta$. The impurity part reads

$$\int d^3 x \psi^\dagger U(x) \psi = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} \Psi^\dagger_k \hat{U} \Psi_{k'}$$

with $\hat{U} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u\sigma^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -u\sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}$. (35)

Averaging over the impurity distribution (which restores translational invariance), one obtains the full Green’s function in terms of the $T$-matrix $\hat{T}(\omega)$ as $[1, 131]$:

$$\hat{G}(k, \omega) = \hat{G}_0(k, \omega) + n_i \hat{G}_0(k, \omega) \hat{T}(\omega) \hat{G}_0(k, \omega) + O(n_i^2),$$

where $n_i$ is the impurity density and

$$\hat{T}^{-1}(\omega) = \hat{U}^{-1} - \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{G}_0(k, \omega).$$

(36)

The impurity-induced mid-gap state manifests itself as a pole of $\hat{T}(\omega)$ at $|\omega| < \Delta$. Thus the equation to be solved is

$$\text{det} \left[ \hat{T}^{-1}(\omega) \right] = \text{det} \left[ \hat{U}^{-1} - \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{G}_0(k, \omega) \right] \not= 0.$$ (37)

The integral is evaluated as

$$\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \hat{G}_0(k, \omega) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\mathcal{E}^2 - 4\mu v^2 k^2} \begin{pmatrix} (\omega - \mu)\mathcal{E} - 2\mu v^2 k^2 & 0 & 0 & \Delta \mathcal{E} \\ 0 & (\omega - \mu)\mathcal{E} - 2\mu v^2 k^2 & -\Delta \mathcal{E} & 0 \\ 0 & -\Delta \mathcal{E} & (\omega + \mu)\mathcal{E} + 2\mu v^2 k^2 & 0 \\ \Delta \mathcal{E} & 0 & 0 & (\omega + \mu)\mathcal{E} + 2\mu v^2 k^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

(38)

where $\mathcal{E} \equiv \omega^2 - \mu^2 - \Delta^2 - v^2 k^2$. The $4 \times 4$ matrix above is a direct sum of two $2 \times 2$ blocks. For simplicity, we will hereafter focus on the central $2 \times 2$ block of (39). Then

$$\hat{T}^{-1}(\omega) \approx -u^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{|vk - \mu| < \delta} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{1}{(vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 - \omega^2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} (\omega - \mu)\mathcal{E} - 2\mu v^2 k^2 & -\Delta \mathcal{E} \\ -\Delta \mathcal{E} & (\omega + \mu)\mathcal{E} + 2\mu v^2 k^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

(39)

The divergent momentum integral was regularized by a cutoff $\Lambda$. If a weak superconductor $\Delta \ll \mu$ is considered, $\mathcal{E} \approx -\mu^2 - v^2 k^2$. If, furthermore, we restrict the domain of integration to a thin shell around the Fermi momentum as in the conventional BCS theory, then $\mathcal{E} \approx -2\mu^2$ and

$$\hat{T}^{-1}(\omega) \approx -u^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{|vk - \mu| < \delta} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \left( \frac{4\pi^2}{(vk - \mu)^2 + \Delta^2 - \omega^2} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -2\mu^2 \omega & 2\mu^2 \Delta \\ 2\mu^2 \Delta & -2\mu^2 \omega \end{pmatrix},$$

(40)

where in the last step the domain of integration over $E$ was extended to $\pm \infty$ to allow for exact integration. We have introduced the DoS at the Fermi energy in a normal phase, $\rho(\mu) \equiv \mu^2/(2\pi^2 v^3)$. Now the equation $\text{det}[\hat{T}^{-1}(\omega)] = 0$ can be solved analytically and yields the energy of the Shiba bound state, which resides in the gap $[-\Delta, \Delta]$ for an \textit{arbitrarily weak} interaction:

$$\frac{\omega}{\Delta} = 1 - \frac{[\pi \rho(\mu) u/2]^{-2}}{1 + [\pi \rho(\mu) u/2]^{-2}}.$$ (41)

This expression exactly agrees with Shiba’s formula [20] derived for fermions with a quadratic dispersion. Notably, the RHS of (44) does not depend on $\Delta$. No such mid-gap pole is found in the case of a spin-independent potential $U(x) \propto 1_2$. Equation (44) (plotted in Fig. 10 as “Shiba’s formula”) shows that the excitation energy becomes negative
The Shiba states in the present classical treatment do not distinguish between the spin, flavor, and color space, respectively, and their gapless quarks of third color that are neutral under the unbroken SU gauge group decouple, then one may adopt a toy model of two-color two-flavor Dirac fermions with a Majorana mass term in the 2SC phase. For technical simplicity we limit ourselves to the sector of a single chirality of quarks, which leads to the model

\[ S = \int d\tau d^3x \left[ \sum_{f,a} \psi_f^\dagger (\partial_\tau - \mu + i v \sigma \cdot \nabla) \psi_f + \frac{\Delta}{2} (\psi^T \sigma^2 \tau^2 \tau^2 \psi + \psi^1 \sigma^2 \tau^2 \tau^2 \psi^*) + \sum_{a,s} \xi^\dagger_a (\partial_\tau - \mu \xi) \xi_a \ight. \\
\left. + \frac{G}{4} \sum_f \sum_{\mu,A=0}^3 \left( \psi^j_f \sigma^\mu t^A \psi_f \right) (\xi^1 \sigma^\mu t^A \xi) \right]. \] (46)

Here \( s = 1, 2 \), \( f = 1, 2 \), and \( a = 1, 2 \) are spins, flavors and colors, respectively. \( \sigma^{1,2,3} \), \( \tau^{1,2,3} \) and \( t^{1,2,3} \) are Pauli matrices in the spin, flavor, and color space, respectively, and \( \sigma^0 = \sigma^3 = \mathbb{1}_2 \). An important distinction from the model (1) considered in Sec. II is that the impurity in (46) has spin, in addition to color. Our model is similar to the two-color approximation to the 2SC phase. For technical simplicity we limit ourselves to the sector of a single chirality of quarks, which leads to the model

\[ S = \int d\tau d^3x \left[ \sum_{f,a} \psi_f^\dagger (\partial_\tau - \mu + i v \sigma \cdot \nabla) \psi_f + \frac{\Delta}{2} (\psi^T \sigma^2 \tau^2 \tau^2 \psi + \psi^1 \sigma^2 \tau^2 \tau^2 \psi^*) + \sum_{a,s} \xi^\dagger_a (\partial_\tau - \mu \xi) \xi_a \ight. \\
\left. + \frac{G}{4} \sum_f \sum_{\mu,A=0}^3 \left( \psi^j_f \sigma^\mu t^A \psi_f \right) (\xi^1 \sigma^\mu t^A \xi) \right]. \] (46)

The Shiba states in the present classical treatment do not distinguish \( u > 0 \) from \( u < 0 \). Once quantum effects of the impurity spin are taken into account, the difference between a ferromagnetic/antiferromagnetic coupling becomes qualitatively important [13].
two-flavor Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model [132]. A Kondo-like model with an interaction analogous to (46) was studied in [100, 137]. The gap $\Delta$ breaks the U(1) symmetry of $\psi$ while preserving the SU(2) color and SU(2) flavor symmetry. These two groups are interchangeable, for the color SU(2) is realized as a global symmetry in this model.

The interaction in (46) with $G > 0$ models the color-current interaction mediated by gluons in QCD. It comprises four pieces,

$$
\sum_{\mu, A=0}^{3} (\psi_j^\dagger \sigma^\mu t^A \psi_f)(\xi^I \sigma^\mu t^A \xi) = (\psi_j^\dagger \psi_f)(\xi^I \xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\psi_j^\dagger \sigma^i \psi_f)(\xi_i \sigma^i \xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\psi_j^\dagger \sigma^i \psi_f)(\xi^I \xi^J) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (\psi_j^\dagger \sigma^i \psi_f)(\xi^I \sigma^i \xi^J).
$$

(47)

It is a sum of potential scattering, spin-exchange interaction, color-exchange interaction, and spin-color exchange interaction. In principle the coupling for each term may be chosen independently, though they mix under renormalization [100]. The model (46) makes all couplings equal to make the mean-field analysis easier. The exact screening of the impurity amounts to the screening of both color and spin. This is achieved by a single flavor of $\psi$. As there are two flavors of $\psi$ in the model (46) the impurity will be subject to the overscreened Kondo effect at $\Delta = 0$.

Using $\sum_{\mu=0}^{3} (\sigma^\mu)_{ij}(\sigma^\mu)_{kl} = \sum_{A=0}^{3} (t^A)_{ij}(t^A)_{kl} = 2\delta_{il}\delta_{jk}$ for the interaction part of (46), one obtains

$$
S = \int d^4x \left[ \psi_{fa} (\partial_\tau - \mu + i v \sigma \cdot \nabla) \psi_{fa} + \Delta \left( \psi^T \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \psi + \psi^\dagger \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \psi^* \right) + \xi_{as} (\partial_\tau - \mu_\xi) \xi_{as} - G (\psi_{fa}^\dagger \xi_a s)(\psi_{fa}^* \xi_a s') \right]
$$

(48)

where repeated indices are summed. Next, we perform a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation with a color-singlet auxiliary field $V_f$,

$$
- G (\psi_j^\dagger \xi)(\xi^I \psi_f) \Rightarrow \frac{|V_f|^2}{G} + V_f^\dagger \psi_f^\dagger \xi + V_f \xi^I \psi_f
$$

(49)

and apply a mean-field approximation, $V_1 = \text{const.}$ and $V_2 = \text{const.}$ Without loss of generality, one can rotate the mean field in the flavor space so that only the first flavor mixes with the impurity:

$$
g \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{|V_1|^2 + |V_2|^2} & 0 \\ 0 & |V_1|^2 + |V_2|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1^* \\ V_2^* \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SU}(2).
$$

(50)

This pattern of hybridization is indicative of the ASC phase (Sec. II, Table I), i.e., the ground state at $V \neq 0$ is a flavor doublet with no color and spin. We will come back to this point later. The values of $V$ and $\mu_\xi$ should be determined by solving (19).

In the Nambu basis, the action reads

$$
S = \int d^4x \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \sigma^1 \tau^2 t^2 & (\partial_\tau - \mu + i v \sigma \cdot \nabla) \otimes 1_4 \\ 0 & V(4) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \psi^* \\ 0 & -\hat{V}(4)^T/G \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \psi^* \\ 0 & -\hat{V}(4)^T/G \end{pmatrix} + V^2/G,
$$

(51)

where $\hat{V}(n) \equiv (V \, 1_n \, 0 \, 1_n).$ (Recall that $\psi$ has a flavor index but $\xi$ does not.) The thermodynamic potential per unit volume is obtained as

$$
\Xi = \frac{V^2}{G} - \frac{1}{2} T \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \log \det \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \sigma^1 \tau^2 t^2 & (i \omega_n - \mu - i v \sigma \cdot k) \otimes 1_4 \\ 0 & \hat{V}(4) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta \sigma^1 \tau^2 t^2 \\ 0 & -\hat{V}(4)^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi \psi^* \\ 0 & -\hat{V}(4)^T \end{pmatrix} + \frac{V^2}{G}.
$$

(52)

15 The dynamics of two-color QCD sensitively depends on the number of flavors. For an even number of flavors the phase structure at finite density is relatively well understood [133–136]. The case of odd flavors remains less explored.

16 Strictly speaking, heavy quarks in quark matter do not experience spin-dependent interactions [73, 74, 97].

17 A caution concerning (51): $1_4 = 1_2^{\text{color}} \otimes 1_2^{\text{flavor}}$ for $\psi$ and $1_4 = 1_2^{\text{color}} \otimes 1_2^{\text{spin}}$ for $\xi$. 


We used Mathematica 10.4 [138] to solve the equations for $E_\ell$. 

Let us denote this $24 \times 24$ matrix by $\star$. The structure of $\star$ can be simplified by noticing that $t^2$ can be diagonalized as $\hat{g}^\dagger t^2 \hat{g} = t^3$ with $\hat{g} \equiv (1_2 + it)/\sqrt{2}$. Then $\star$ becomes diagonal in the color space and a quick inspection shows

$$\det \star = (\det *) \times (\det \star \vert_{\Delta \to -\Delta}) = (\det *)^2,$$

(53)

where

$$\star \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 & (i\omega_n + \mu - n \sigma^T \cdot \mathbf{k}) \otimes 1_2 & 0 & -\hat{V}(2)^T \\
0 & \Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 & \hat{V}(2)^T & 0 \\
\hat{V}(2) & 0 & (i\omega_n + \mu \xi)1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

Its determinant can be factored as $(\det \star) = \prod_{\ell=1}^{6} [\omega_n^2 + E_\ell(k)^2]$ with $E_\ell(k) \geq 0$. The explicit formulas for $E_\ell(k)$ are quite lengthy and we refrain from reproducing them here.\(^{18}\) In Fig. 11 we display the quasiparticle dispersion relations. (The notation follows (20).) The obtained spectrum is essentially a superposition of Fig. 4 on top of a free dispersion with a gap $\Delta$. The latter represents the second flavor that does not hybridize with the impurity [cf. (50)].

Proceeding as before, we find the thermodynamic potential

$$\Xi = \frac{V^2}{G} - T \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{6} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \log[\omega_n^2 + E_\ell^2(k)] \quad (55)$$

$$= \frac{V^2}{G} - T \sum_{\ell=1}^{6} \int_{0}^{\Lambda} \frac{dk}{k^2} \log \cosh \frac{E_\ell(k)}{2T}. \quad (56)$$

2. Numerical results

The thermodynamic potential at low temperature is plotted in Fig. 12(a). The tendency towards symmetry restoration is clearly visible for larger $\Delta$. In Fig. 12(b) the $(\Delta, T)$-dependence of the condensate is shown. We observe a clear first-order transition line separating the Kondo-screened phase and the free color moment phase. The condensate has a quite similar magnitude to Fig. 8(a) despite that the interaction here $G = 5$ is just half the coupling $G = 10$ in Fig. 8(a). This is because the number of fermions that couple to the impurity is twice larger owing to the color degrees of freedom. At any rate, the Kondo effect is substantially quenched by both $T$ and $\Delta$. In the present treatment the low-$T$ and low-$\Delta$ region is occupied by the ASC phase alone; one cannot see the OS-FP region depicted in Fig. 3 because, not surprisingly, the mean-field approximation is too crude to describe the critical behavior of the intermediate fixed point.

\(^{18}\) We used Mathematica 10.4 [138] to solve the equations for $E_\ell$. 

FIG. 11. Dispersion relations of quasiparticles $\{\omega = \pm E_\ell(k), \ell = 1, \ldots, 6\}$ for $\mu = 0.5$ and $\mu _\xi = -0.1$. (a): $(\hat{\omega}, \hat{V}) = (0.05, 0)$, (b): $(\Delta, \hat{V}) = (0.0, 0.05)$, (c): $(\Delta, \hat{V}) = (0.05, 0.05)$. 

\(V^2/G\text{.} \)
The quantities we need to extract from the literature are the dynamical quark mass and the pion decay constant at the NJL model that are chosen so as to reproduce physical observables, such as the dynamical quark mass and the pion gap. The original parameters as well as those converted to dimensionless units are summarized below. The coupling $G/4$ in (46) was equated to $g (= g_E = g_M)$ in [139, Sec. 4.3.4].

| Quantities [139] (in units of $\Lambda$) | Quantities |
|-----------------------------------------|------------|
| $\Delta = 140 \text{ [MeV]}$            | $\Delta = 0.233$ |
| $\mu = 500 \text{ [MeV]}$              | $\hat{\mu} = 0.833$ |
| $G = 7.64 \text{ [GeV}^{-2}]$          | $G = 2.75$ |
| $\Lambda = 600 \text{ [MeV]}$          | $\hat{\mu} = 0$ |

Using the values of $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{G}$ in the second column, we have calculated the critical gap $\hat{\Delta}^{\text{crit}}$ in our model, beyond which the condensate $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$ vanishes. The result is given in the right column. The inequality $\hat{\Delta} > \hat{\Delta}^{\text{crit}}$ implies that the Kondo screening is strongly suppressed by quark pairing at this density. Considering that the Kondo effect is suppressed in the high-density limit, too (Sec. II), we conjecture that the range in which the QCD Kondo effect occurs is either non-existent or very narrow. Since our current understanding of the phase diagram of QCD is still far from complete, the analysis above only serves as a qualitative guide.

**B. Shiba states**

Mid-gap states induced by an impurity in the 2SC phase can be analyzed by a suitable extension of the treatment in Sec. III.B. Let us consider a toy model of two-color two-flavor Dirac fermions with a classical impurity potential,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} \equiv \int d^3x \left\{ \Psi \left[ i \left( \mathbf{v} \cdot \sigma - \mu \right) \psi - \frac{\Delta}{2} \left[ \psi^\dagger \left( \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \right)_{\alpha \beta} \psi_\beta + \psi^\dagger \left( \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \right)_{\beta \alpha} \psi^\dagger_\alpha \right] + \Psi \right] U(\mathbf{x}) \right\}. \quad (57)$$

The impurity-free part of this Hamiltonian is invariant under $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2) \times \text{SU}(2)$ that acts on the spin($\sigma$)-flavor($\tau$)-color($t$) indices. In the Nambu basis $\Psi_k = (\psi_k \quad \psi^\dagger_{-k})^T$ the Hamiltonian of a pure system reads

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Psi_k \left( \begin{array}{cc} -v \cdot \sigma - \mu \otimes I_4 & -\Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \\ -\Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 & (v \cdot \sigma^T + \mu) \otimes I_4 \end{array} \right) \Psi_k \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \Psi_k \hat{\mathcal{H}} \Psi_k, \quad (58)$$

with $I_4 = \frac{1}{2} \text{color} \otimes I_{2}^{\text{flavor}}$. The corresponding Green’s function is given by

$$\hat{G}_0(k, \omega) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega - \hat{\mathcal{H}}_k} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} (\omega + v \cdot \sigma + \mu) \otimes I_4 & \Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \\ \Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 & (\omega + v \cdot \sigma^T + \mu) \otimes I_4 \end{array} \right)^{-1} \quad (59)$$

FIG. 12. (a) Thermodynamic potential $\hat{\Xi}$ and (b) condensate $\langle \hat{V} \rangle$ in the two-color two-flavor model with $(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\mu}_c, \hat{G}) = (0.5, 0, 5)$. The phase transition is first order except when $\hat{T} = 0$. To gain insights into the Kondo problem in real quark matter, let us set the parameters of our model to those of the three-color two-flavor NJL model.
FIG. 13. Spectrum of mid-gap excited states for the potential $U = \delta(x)(u_1 t^3 + u_2 \sigma^3)$ in comparison with Shiba’s formula (45). Each level is doubly degenerate. Negative energy levels (not shown) appear symmetrically about the horizontal axis.

$$\begin{align*}
\omega/\Delta &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}^2 - 4\mu^2 v^2 k^2} \begin{pmatrix}
(\omega - \mu - v k \cdot \sigma)(\mathcal{X}^2 - 2\mu v k \cdot \sigma) & \Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2(\mathcal{X}^2 - 2\mu v k \cdot \sigma^T) \\
-\Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2(\mathcal{X}^2 + 2\mu v k \cdot \sigma) & (\omega + \mu - v k \cdot \sigma^T)(\mathcal{X}^2 - 2\mu v k \cdot \sigma^T) \end{pmatrix} \otimes 1_4 
\end{align*}$$

(60)

where $\mathcal{X} = \omega^2 - \mu^2 - \Delta^2 - v^2 k^2$, as already defined below (39). All the terms linear in $k$ vanish after integration:

$$\begin{align*}
\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \tilde{G}_0(k, \omega) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\mathcal{X}^2 - 4\mu^2 v^2 k^2} \begin{pmatrix}
[(\omega - \mu)\mathcal{X} - 2\mu v^2 k^2]1_8 & -\Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \mathcal{X} \\
-\Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \mathcal{X} & [(\omega + \mu)\mathcal{X} + 2\mu v^2 k^2]1_8 
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}$$

(61)

Evidently the above matrix structure is symmetric under the exchange of color/spin/flavor indices, implying that potentials proportional to $\sigma^3$ or $\tau^3$ or $t^3$ all lead to identical poles of the $T$-matrix (37). For instance, if a colorful potential $[U(x) = u \delta(x) t^3]$ is given, one can readily diagonalize $\sigma^2 \tau^2$ with a unitary transformation and obtain the same $T$-matrix as in Sec. III B, which can be seen by juxtaposing (61) with (39). Therefore the resulting energy levels of the intragap states coincide with (45), but this time each level of (45) becomes four-fold degenerate, giving rise to 8 intragap states in total. This has a simple interpretation. Let us denote the flavor, color and spin of the quark at the impurity site by $(u, d)$, $(\uparrow, \downarrow)$ and $(\uparrow, \downarrow)$, respectively. Then, the four-fold degeneracy originates from the screening of the impurity $(\uparrow)$ by one of $\{u^+_g, u^+_d, d^+_g, d^+_d\}$. The first-order transition at intermediate coupling (Fig. 10) means a transition from the LM phase to the ASC phase, both of which are four-fold degenerate.

In the present analysis of a classical impurity, there is only one phase transition, beyond which the ASC phase remains the ground state for an arbitrarily large coupling. This is due to a limitation of the classical treatment. We know from the discussion in Sec. II that the ground state at sufficiently strong coupling will be the SC phase in which all the four quarks $\{u^+_g, u^+_d, d^+_g, d^+_d\}$ participate in the screening of impurity’s color $(\uparrow)$. Moreover, between the ASC phase and the SC phase there can be two alternative screened phases in which two or three quarks couple to the impurity. Therefore we suspect that a heavy quark immersed in a color superconductor will experience more than one quantum phase transition if the coupling is swept from weak to strong coupling, or vice versa. A support to this conjecture comes from a recent finding [106] with NRG that the two- and three-channel Kondo model with a BCS gap undergoes multiple quantum phase transitions when the coupling is varied. In quark matter, of course the gauge conjecture comes from a recent finding [106] with NRG that the two- and three-channel Kondo model with a BCS gap undergoes multiple quantum phase transitions when the coupling is varied. In quark matter, of course the gauge

$$\begin{align*}
0 &= \det \begin{bmatrix}
(u_1 t^3 + u_2 \sigma^3) \otimes 1^2_{\text{flavor}} & 0 \\
0 & (-u_1 t^3 - u_2 \sigma^3) \otimes 1^2_{\text{flavor}}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1} - \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \tilde{G}_0(k, \omega) \\
\simeq \det \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{u^2_1 - u^2_2} & (u_1 t^3 - u_2 \sigma^3) \otimes 1^2_{\text{flavor}} \\
0 & (-u_1 t^3 + u_2 \sigma^3) \otimes 1^2_{\text{flavor}}
\end{bmatrix} - \frac{\pi \rho(\mu)}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 - \omega^2}} \begin{bmatrix}
-\omega 1_8 & \Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 \\
\Delta \sigma^2 \tau^2 t^2 & -\omega 1_8
\end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}$$

(63)

where in the last step we made the same approximations as for (43). In Fig. 13 we plot the spectrum obtained by numerically solving (63). The energy levels that were four-fold degenerate at $u_2 = 0$ now split into pairs of doubly degenerate levels at $u_2 \neq 0$, due to the spin-symmetry breaking by the potential. For a fixed $u_2$ there are two level
crossings. The first crossing at small $u_1$ is a transition from the LM phase to a flavor-doublet ASC phase in which the impurity ($\uparrow\uparrow$) is screened by either $u_\uparrow^d$ or $d_\uparrow^d$. This ASC remains the ground state beyond this transition. The second level crossing at larger $u_1$ occurs between the LM phase and another flavor-doublet ASC in which the impurity is screened by either $u_\downarrow^\uparrow$ or $d_\downarrow^\uparrow$. Since it is a level crossing between excited states, this does not correspond to a phase transition. The spectrum in Fig. 13 would be reliable at weak coupling but not at strong coupling due to the limitation of the current classical treatment; the SC phase is expected to emerge at strong coupling in a fully quantum treatment.

It should be noted that, contrastingly, no impurity-induced intragap state is found for a potential $U = u\delta(x)\sigma^3t^3$. This is because $U$ does not act as a pair breaker: $U$ preserves the degeneracy of energies of $u_\uparrow^\downarrow$ and $d_\uparrow^\downarrow$ that make up a Cooper pair ($u_\uparrow^d d_\uparrow^d$). Therefore the Kondo effect is not caused by this potential however large $u$ is made. This is consistent with a perturbative analysis [100] showing that the interaction involving the double-exchange of spin and pseudospin does not grow by itself at low energy in the absence of other couplings. It is implied that the Kondo effect (ASC phase) found in the mean-field theory in Sec. IV A 2 was actually an outcome of the two interactions in (47),

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} (\psi^i\sigma^i\psi_i)(\xi^i\sigma^i\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\psi^j\sigma^j\psi_j)(\xi^j\sigma^j\xi),
$$

with the other two pieces $(\psi^i\sigma^i\psi_i)(\xi^i\sigma^i\xi)$ and $\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} (\psi^i\sigma^i\psi_j)(\xi^j\sigma^j\xi)$ playing no decisive role.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the interplay between superconductivity and the Kondo effect in emergent and intrinsic relativistic systems. In the absence of superconductivity, the overscreened Kondo effect prevails in such systems due to the multichannel nature originating in the various degrees of freedom. Once a bulk superconducting gap shows up, we show in terms of the scaling and the existing NRG analyses that in addition to the OS-FP and LM phases, the ASC phase in which a minimal number of conduction fermions participates in screening is also allowed in the phase diagram in the weak-coupling limit. In the strong-coupling limit, the LM phase should be replaced by the SC phase in which all channels couple to an impurity in a symmetric manner.

We also performed the slave-boson mean-field analysis in two relativistic models. While this analysis cannot distinguish the OS-FP phase from the ASC phase, the transition between the Kondo and local moment phases can be directly captured by the presence or absence of a nonvanishing order parameter $V$. This way we showed the suppression of the Kondo screening at finite temperature and a pairing gap.

We pointed out that the QCD Kondo effect discussed in the high-density limit is suppressed by color superconductivity, since $\Delta \gg \Lambda_K$. Thus, the phase realized in this limit may be the LM one without the Kondo screening. At the same time, we demonstrated with the $T$-matrix and classical impurity methods that the Shiba states localized in the vicinity of an impurity are allowed in the LM phase. Thus, the excited states in color superconductors become nontrivial in the presence of an impurity. A future problem is to discuss a physical influence due to the Shiba state in color superconductivity. An unbiased analysis beyond the classical spin method is needed to directly show quantum phase transitions allowed for an impurity with multiple quantum numbers.
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Appendix: Scaling function to two loops

This appendix provides a brief derivation of scaling functions for the models (1) and (5) in Sec. II. The essential idea of Anderson’s scaling analysis [4] was that the effect of integrating out high-energy modes can be compensated by modifying parameters in the original model. Here we shall follow the same idea by introducing an IR cutoff around the Fermi surface, whose variation can be absorbed by redefinition of the coupling. To renormalize the model (1) we
introduce a bare coupling $G_B$ and a bare impurity field $\xi_B$ that are independent of the IR cutoff:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \sum_{a=1}^{N_c} \psi_{fa}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - \mu + i\sigma \cdot \nabla) \psi_{fa} + \sum_{a=1}^{N_c} \xi_{Ba}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - \mu_\xi) \xi_{Ba} + G_B \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N_c} \psi_{fa}^\dagger \psi_{fb} \xi_{Ba} \xi_{Bb}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

Let us introduce a renormalized coupling $G$ and a renormalized field $\xi$,

$$\xi_B = \sqrt{Z_\xi} \xi, \quad G_B = Z_\xi^{-1} Z_G G, \quad \delta_\xi \equiv Z_\xi - 1, \quad \delta_G \equiv Z_G - 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

in terms of which the Lagrangian is cast into the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \psi_{fa}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - \mu + i\sigma \cdot \nabla) \psi_{fa} + \xi_{a}^\dagger (\partial_\tau - \mu_\xi) \xi_a + G \psi_{fa}^\dagger \psi_{fb} \xi_a \xi_b + \delta_\xi (\partial_\tau - \mu_\xi) \xi_a + \delta_G G \psi_{fa}^\dagger \psi_{fb} \xi_a \xi_b$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.3)

where the summation over repeated indices is assumed. The resulting Feynman rules are summarized in Fig. 14 and relevant diagrams for the scaling of $G$ at $N_f \gg 1$ are listed in Fig. 15. Because Fig. 15(a) $\propto \delta^{ap} \delta^{rq} \delta^{br} \delta^{cd} = \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd}$, it is a potential scattering $\sim \psi_{fa}^\dagger \psi_{fa} \xi_{a}^\dagger \xi_{b}$ and does not contribute to the renormalization of $G$. The same holds for Fig. 15(c), since Fig. 15(c) $\propto \delta^{ap} \delta^{q} \delta^{c} \delta^{q} \delta^{d} = \delta^{ab} \delta^{cd}$. Let us consider Fig. 15(b). The amplitude is straightforwardly obtained as

$$\delta^{ad} \delta^{pq} \cdot \delta^{bc} \delta^{pq} : G^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{1}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu + k \cdot \sigma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.4)

One can use the relation

$$\frac{1}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu + k \cdot \sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu + |k|} + \frac{1}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu - |k|} \right] \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu + |k|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.5)

in which the last term odd in $k$ vanishes after integration. The first (second) term in the square bracket represents the particle (antiparticle) contribution. Then

$$\text{Fig. 15(b)} = \left[ \text{Fig. 15(b)} \right]^{(p)} + \left[ \text{Fig. 15(b)} \right]^{(ap)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.6)

$$\left[ \text{Fig. 15(b)} \right]^{(p)} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} N_c G^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{1}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu - |k|}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.7)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} N_c G^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(\mu - |k|)}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu + |k| + \mu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.8)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ad} \delta^{bc} N_c G^2 \int_{0}^{\mu - |k|} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{-i(k_0 + \omega) - \mu + k}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.9)
where we used \( \mu_\xi \leq 0 \) in performing the contour integration over \( k_0 \). To derive (A.9) we continued \( \omega \) to real frequency \( (i\omega \to \omega + i\varepsilon) \) and assumed that all external particles are on-shell, so that \( -i\omega + \mu_\xi = 0 \). The resulting integral is divergent due to the contribution from the Fermi surface \( k \approx \mu \), which is regularized by a cutoff \( D \). The density of states in the normal phase at the Fermi surface is denoted by \( \rho = \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{\mu^2}{2\pi^2} \). The logarithmic divergence in \( D \) is absorbed by a counterterm

\[
\delta_G = \frac{1}{2} N_c \mathcal{G} \log(D/\mu) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{G} \equiv \rho G .
\]

On the other hand the antiparticle contribution

\[
[\text{Fig. 15(b)\,}]^{(sp)} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} \delta^{bc} N_c G^2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{1 - i(\omega - k_0 + \mu_\xi) - i(\omega + k \cdot \sigma)}
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} N_c N_f G^2 \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(|\mu| - |p|) \theta(|\mu| - |p| + |k|)
\]

\[
\int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{1 - i(\omega - k_0 + \mu_\xi) - i(\omega - k_0 + \mu_\xi)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{1 - i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|) + i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{1 - i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|) + i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{1 - i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|) + i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{1 - i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|) + i(\omega - k_0 - \mu - |k|)}
\]

The second term in the square bracket has no IR singularity and is irrelevant to the Kondo effect. To determine the wave function renormalization we need to compute the derivative with respect to \( \omega \),

\[
\lim_{\omega + \mu_\xi \to 0} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\omega)} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} N_c N_f G^2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(|\mu| - |p|) \theta(|\mu| - |p| + |k|) \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} N_c N_f G^2 \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(|\mu| - |p|) \theta(|\mu| - |p| + |k|)}{(-|p| + |k|)^2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} N_c N_f G^2 \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\theta(|\mu| - |p|) \theta(|\mu| - |p| + |k|)}{(-|p| + |k|)^2}
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab} N_c N_f \mathcal{G} \log(D/\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G} \equiv \rho G .
\]

The \( \beta \) function for the dimensionless coupling \( \mathcal{G} \equiv \rho G \) can now be computed. Recalling that the bare coupling \( G_B \) does not depend on \( D \), one obtains (3) from (A.11) and (A.18).

The scaling function for the second model (5) can be obtained from that of the first model by just letting \( N_c = 2 \), with the caveat that one must divide the contribution of Fig. 15(d) by 2 to avoid double counting of color and spin. This yields (6).
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