Controlling the influence of Auger recombination on the performance of quantum-dot light-emitting diodes
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Development of light-emitting diodes (LEDs) based on colloidal quantum dots is driven by attractive properties of these fluorophores such as spectrally narrow, tunable emission and facile processibility via solution-based methods. A current obstacle towards improved LED performance is an incomplete understanding of the roles of extrinsic factors, such as non-radiative recombination at surface defects, versus intrinsic processes, such as multicharrier Auger recombination or electron-hole separation due to applied electric field. Here we address this problem with studies that correlate the excited state dynamics of structurally engineered quantum dots with their emissive performance within LEDs. We find that because of significant charging of quantum dots with extra electrons, Auger recombination greatly impacts both LED efficiency and the onset of efficiency roll-off at high currents. Further, we demonstrate two specific approaches for mitigating this problem using heterostructured quantum dots, either by suppressing Auger decay through the introduction of an intermediate alloyed layer, or by using an additional shell that impedes electron transfer into the quantum dot to help balance electron and hole injection.
Colloidal quantum dots (QDs) exhibit narrow-band, highly efficient and widely tunable emission. Further, they can be fabricated and processed via low-cost solution-based techniques compatible with lightweight, flexible substrates. Owing to these features, QDs have been actively investigated for use in optoelectronic devices ranging from down-converters in backlit displays and light-emitting diodes (LEDs) to lasers, photodetectors and solar cells. Among these potential applications, the realization of high-performance electrically pumped QD-based LEDs (QD-LEDs) has been of particular interest due to its potentially immediate and significant impact in display and lighting technologies. Since the first demonstration of QD-LEDs, there have been numerous attempts to improve the device performance by optimizing both the materials synthesis and the device architecture. An impressive result of these efforts is the recent demonstration of QD-LEDs with performance exceeding that of fluorescent organic LED (OLEDs), which have been under intense investigation for at least two decades.

In recent years, the understanding of the physics underlying the operation of QD-LEDs, and specifically the factors that limit their performance, has greatly improved. However, the role of multielectron effects including Auger recombination in QD-LEDs is still largely unclear. It has been established that in traditional quantum-well-based LEDs, Auger recombination is responsible for efficiency roll-off (also known as droop) at high-driving currents. Some recent studies have suggested that a similar effect is also responsible for efficiency roll-off in QD-LEDs. However, this view is not universally accepted, as other works have attributed it to the effect of the applied electric field, which leads to spatial separation between electrons and holes, and thus reduces the rate of radiative recombination due to reduced electron-hole overlap.

Here we analyse the role of Auger recombination in QD-LEDs by conducting a systematic characterization of device performance in conjunction with studies of the dynamics of photoexcited carriers directly within the device structure. In our LEDs, we use a series of structurally engineered core/shell QDs that are characterized by similar band gaps ($E_g$ of ca. 2 eV) and valence/conduction-band positions, but distinctly different rates of non-radiative Auger recombination. By correlating device performance with the photophysical properties of the QDs, we find that both LED efficiency and the onset for efficiency roll-off are strongly influenced by multielectron dynamics. Specifically, time-resolved photoluminescence (PL) measurements indicate that a large contribution to QD emission originates from negatively charged excitons (that is, negative trions) that are formed as a result of an imbalance between electron and hole injection. We further show that device efficiency can be improved by use of either of two distinct types of specifically engineered heterostructured QDs. The first type of QDs features an alloyed core-shell interface, which leads to suppressed Auger recombination and increased emission efficiency of charged species. In the second, the conduction-band edge of the shell is intentionally raised in order to moderately impede electron injection and thus improve the charge-injection balance within the QD active layer. Use of either type of QDs enhances peak emission efficiency, and significantly increases the threshold current of efficiency roll-off.

**Results**

**Structural and spectroscopic properties of QD emitters.** In our studies, we use an inverted LED design in which electrons are injected into QDs using an indium tin oxide (ITO) cathode coated with a 50-nm thick inorganic charge-transport layer (CTL) made of ZnO colloidal nanoparticles (Fig. 1a; see also Supplementary Fig. S1 for optical spectra of various device components). Holes are injected via an organic CTL made of 4,4’-bis(N-carbazolyl)-1,1'-biphenyl (CBP, 60 nm) separated from an Al anode by a MoO$_x$ (10 nm) spacer. Sandwiched between the CTLs is the emitting layer of one-to-two monolayers of QDs. We have selected this LED architecture as a test platform for our studies for two major reasons. First, to date, this type of LED with hybrid inorganic/organic CTLs has offered the best overall performance by combining a low turn-on voltage and high device efficiency for use in optoelectronic devices ranging from down-converters in backlit displays and light-emitting diodes (LEDs) to lasers, photodetectors and solar cells.

![Figure 1](image_url) - Device structure, performance characteristics and emission spectra of a QD-LED. (a) Schematic illustration and cross-sectional TEM image (scale bar, 100 nm), and (b) energy band-diagram of a QD-LED with an inverted device architecture: ITO/ZnO nanoparticles (50 nm)/QDs (1-2 MLs)/CBP (60 nm)/MoO$_x$ (10 nm)/Al. (c) Current-voltage ($J$-$V$) and luminance-voltage ($L$-$V$) characteristics of a typical QD-LED studied in this work (inset: expanded $L$-$V$ characteristics showing the turn-on voltage, $V_{on}$, of 2 V; (d) normalized EL spectra of the same device under varied current densities (Inset: photograph of a QD-LED with pixel area of 1.2 x 1.2 cm$^2$ under a 2.5 V bias; $J = 4.7$ mA cm$^{-2}$); (e) same as in (d) with the EL intensity shown on a log scale to demonstrate the lack of any contribution of CBP emission to device EL (inset: a PL spectrum of CBP).
efficiency and brightness with good operational stability\textsuperscript{11-15}. Second, unlike other types of QD-LEDs that utilize complex excitation mechanisms (for example, exciton injection via Förster-type transfer from one of the CTLs)\textsuperscript{22,23}, these inverted LED structures rely on direct injection of charges into QDs from adjacent CTLs\textsuperscript{11-13}, which allows us to more easily relate the results of our spectroscopic studies to the overall device performance in the regime of electrical injection.

To probe the role of single-exciton versus multicarrier dynamics in QD-LEDs, we use a new class of structurally engineered CdSe/CdS core/shell (C/S) and CdSe/CdSe\textsubscript{0.5}S\textsubscript{0.5} alloy core/shell (C/A/S) QDs\textsuperscript{22}. Specifically, we fabricated a series of QD samples having the same CdSe core radius (r = 1.5 nm) and a varying thickness of the CdS shell (H); the thicknesses of the interfacial CdSe\textsubscript{0.5}S\textsubscript{0.5} alloy layer (L) in the C/A/S sample is 1.5 nm (see Supplementary Fig. S2 for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and optical spectra of QD samples). All of these samples have similar band-gap energy (~2 eV) and positions of conduction- and valence-band edges (Fig. 1b). Due to the small conduction-band offset between CdSe and CdS, the electron wavefunction in these QDs is delocalized over the entire core/shell structure, while the hole wavefunction is tightly confined to the core due to the large confinement-potential in the valence-band at the core/shell interface. Increasing the shell thickness leads to a reduction in the electron-hole overlap, which should result in a lengthening of single-exciton lifetimes (\(\tau_x\)). This trend is indeed observed experimentally (Table 1): as the thickness of the shell in the C/S QDs is increased from 2.0 to 5.5 nm, \(\tau_x\) increases from 20 to 40 ns.

The negative-trion (\(X^-\)) and biexciton (\(XX^-\)) lifetimes (\(\tau_x^-\) and \(\tau_{XX^-}\), respectively) also become longer for C/S samples with thicker shells (Table 1; see Methods section for details of the measurements). In QDs, charged exciton and biexciton decays are dominated by Auger recombination where the electron-hole recombination energy is transferred to a third charge carrier (an electron in the case of negative trions; an electron or a hole in the case of bieexcitons). As indicated by numerous previous studies, Auger lifetimes scale linearly with the QD volume for simple, mono-component QDs\textsuperscript{24,25}. This statement can be generalized for heterostructured QDs by considering the effective volumes occupied by the carriers involved in Auger recombination instead of simply total QD volume. For the C/S structures, delocalization of the electron wavefunction into the shell leads to a significant increase in the effective volume specifically of the electron, which is the main factor responsible in the C/S structures for the increase of \(\tau_x^-\) and \(\tau_{XX^-}\) with increasing H\textsuperscript{2}.

The insertion of a 1.5-nm-thick CdSe\textsubscript{1-x} alloy layer between the CdSe core and CdS shell does not have a significant effect on a single-exciton lifetime, but leads to an appreciable increase in both negative trion and bieexciton lifetimes as compared with C/S QDs of the same volume (lines 3 and 4 in Table 1), indicating a reduction in the Auger decay rate. Interestingly, the larger relative change in bieexciton lifetime is consistent with an even stronger suppression of the hole-mediated (or ‘positive trion’) Auger recombination. Previously, this suppression of Auger recombination in C/A/S QDs was attributed to a ‘smoothing’ of the core-shell interface potential\textsuperscript{22}, which according to calculations\textsuperscript{26,27} reduces the overlap between wavefunctions of the initial and the final states of the carrier excited in the course of Auger decay. Thus, the use of the C/S and C/A/S samples that exhibit similar single-exciton dynamics but distinctly different rates of Auger decay allows us to selectively probe the influence of multicarrier recombination on the performance of QD-LEDs.

### Table 1 | Photophysical properties of QDs and device characteristics of corresponding QD-LEDs.

| QD sample | r/L/H/B (nm) | Solution PL QY (%) | \(\tau_x\) (ns) | \(\tau_x^-\) (ns) | \(\tau_{XX^-}\) (ns) | \(q_x\) (%) | \(V_{on}\) (V) | Peak EQE (%) | \(J_{1/2EQE}(\text{mA cm}^{-2})\) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|
| (1) C/S   | 1.5/0/2.0/0    | 80                   | 20             | 0.54           | 0.1            | 5.4        | 2.0       | 0.9         | 337            |
| (2) C/S   | 1.5/0/3.5/0    | 60                   | 28             | 1.6            | 0.29           | 11.4       | 2.0       | 0.8         | 442            |
| (3) C/S   | 1.5/0/3.5/0    | 40                   | 49             | 5.0            | 0.35           | 20.4       | 2.0       | 1.3         | 555            |
| (4) C/A/S | 1.5/1.5/4.0/0  | 40                   | 40             | 7.0            | 1.0            | 35.0       | 2.0       | 1.8         | 1,500          |
| (5) C/S   | 1.5/0/0/0.5/15 | 80                   | 20             | 0.5            | 0.1            | 5.0        | 2.0       | 7.5         | 970            |

EQE, external quantum efficiency; PL, photoluminescence; QD, quantum dot; QY, quantum yield.

\textsuperscript{1}Relative PL QY of a negative trion: \(q_x = \frac{q_x}{q_0} \times 100\) (%).

\textsuperscript{1}\(J_{1/2EQE}\) is the current-density at which the EQE of a QD-LED becomes half of its peak EQE.
currents. Interestingly, there is no direct correlation between the value of the peak EQE and the solution PL quantum yield (QY) of the QD solutions within the series of studied samples (Table 1). For instance, although the C/A/S 7.0 nm QDs and the C/S 7.0 nm QDs (lines 3 and 4 in Table 1) exhibit the lowest solution PL QYs, QD-LEDs based on these QDs yield higher peak EQEs than those of devices based on 3.5 and 5.0 nm C/S QDs (lines 1 and 2 in Table 1). This implies that even at low current, and hence low-exciton densities, the QD-LED efficiency is not solely dependent on single-exciton PL QY. This assessment is confirmed by the observation of an appreciable improvement in the EQE for the 7 nm C/A/S sample (1.3%) compared with the EQE of 7 nm C/S sample (1.8%), which occurs despite identical single-exciton PL QYs measured for solution samples and similar single-exciton lifetimes (lines 3 and 4 in Table 1). All of these observations suggest that factors other than single-exciton properties have a considerable effect on LED performance.

**Spectroscopic signatures of QD charging in QD-LEDs.** To gain a better understanding of the physics underlying the operation of QD-LEDs, we studied the recombination processes within the QD layer of our devices using time-resolved PL spectroscopy (Fig. 2b). One striking observation is that the QDs within the LED, even without applied bias, show much faster PL decay compared with the same QDs in either a solution sample or in films assembled on a ‘passive’ glass slide. This observation cannot be attributed to mechanisms such as exciton quenching by energy transfer to CTIs because the QD band-gap \( E_g \) is much smaller than that of the adjacent CTIs \( E_{g\text{ZnO}} \) \( \approx 3.3 \text{ eV} \) for ZnO and 3.2 eV for CBP; Supplementary Fig. S2). Instead, a more feasible explanation is in terms of activation of Auger recombination due to electrons injected into the QDs from the cathode. Indeed the PL lifetime \( \tau_{\text{PL}} \) measured for the 7 nm C/A/S QDs within the devices is 8.6 ns, which is very close to the Auger recombination time of a negative-trion \( q_{X^-} \) inferred from spectroscopic studies of QD solutions (7 ns; Table 1, line 4).

We can rationalize these observations by considering the alignment of electronic states in different device layers. Specifically, for the inverted architecture used in our LEDs, the QD conduction-band level is located in energetic proximity to the Fermi level of the cathode, which can result in spontaneous (that is, at zero bias) electron injection into the QDs. On the other hand, hole injection is inhibited by a sizable energetic barrier in the valence band (almost equal to \( E_g \) of the QDs), and therefore, it requires the assistance of an external electric field. This injection asymmetry can easily cause charging of the QDs with excess electrons even without bias, as well as under a small forward bias when the LED is operated in the low current-density regime (Fig. 2c).

That the observed change in the QD PL decay upon incorporation into the devices is indeed due to excess electrons is confirmed by an analysis of variations in the PL lifetime under applied voltage (inset of Fig. 2b). In the case of reverse bias, which makes electron injection less favourable, the PL decay actually becomes slower, as expected for the situation in which QDs fluctuating between the charged and the neutral states spend an increasing amount of time in the neutral state\(^{29}\). On the other hand, the PL dynamics get progressively faster under direct bias due to increasing contribution from doubly negatively charged excitons (Fig. 2c; lower panel).

The above analysis suggests that during LED operation a significant fraction of the QDs in the emitting layer are negatively charged, and therefore the EL efficiency is likely more closely related to the PL QY of a negative-trion \( q_{X^-} \) rather than of a neutral exciton \( q_{X^+} \). To characterize different samples used in this study in terms of their trion emission efficiency, we define a relative PL QY of \( X^- \) as \( q_{X^-} = q_{X^-}/q_{X^+} \). Based on statistical arguments\(^{30}\), the purely radiative lifetime of a trion is twice as fast as that of a neutral exciton, and therefore, \( q_{X^-} \) can be expressed via the measured (that is, total) \( \tau_{X^-} \) and \( \tau_{X^+} \) lifetimes as

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{X^-}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{X^+}} + \frac{1}{\tau_{X^-}}.
\]
$q_{X^-} = 2\tau_{X^-}/\tau_X$. In the absence of Auger recombination, $q_{X^-}$ should be unity; however, because $\tau_{X^-}$ is essentially determined by Auger decay, it is much smaller. The actual value of $q_{X^-}$ is therefore a measure of how dramatically Auger recombination reduces the effective PL QY of the negative-trion relative to that of the neutral exciton, which is not affected by Auger decay. Using this expression, we find that $q_{X^-}$ is only about 5% in the C/S dots with the thinnest shell ($H = 2 \text{ nm}$; Table 1, line 1). As the shell thickness is increased, $q_{X^-}$ also increases (as a result of a reduced rate of Auger decay) and in dots with $H = 5.5 \text{ nm}$ it approaches 20% (Table 1, line 3). A further increase in $q_{X^-}$ (up to 35%) occurs for QDs with an interfacial alloy layer introduced for additional suppression of Auger decay. Assuming a significant contribution from trions to EL, we might anticipate that the peak EQE will increase from sample 1 to 4, in correlation with the estimated values of $q_{X^-}$. This is indeed observed in our experiments (Table 1). As was pointed out earlier, single-exciton PL QYs show an opposite trend, suggesting once again the importance of emission from charged species in these LED devices.

Efficiency roll-off and Auger recombination. The degree of charging as well as the role of multicarrier non-radiative Auger recombination are expected to increase with increasing driving current, which is a likely reason for the observed EQE roll-off (Fig. 2a; see also Supplementary Fig. S4). This explanation is consistent with the fact that the onset of the roll-off ($J_{1/2EQE}$; defined here as the current-density at which the EQE drops by 50% from its peak value) shifts to higher currents for QDs with decreased rate of Auger recombination, and, respectively, increased emission QY of charged excitations (Fig. 2d and Table 1, compare rows 7 and 10).

Some previous studies have suggested that in certain cases the EQE roll-off can be attributed to a reduction of the QD emission rate due to field-induced spatial separation between electrons and holes\textsuperscript{20,21}. Our observations are inconsistent with this explanation, as it implies that CdSe/CdS QDs with thicker CdS shells should show a more dramatic decrease in the single-exciton PL QY as a function of applied bias, and therefore earlier EQE roll-off than samples with thinner shells, while we observe the opposite behaviour. Further, a distinct asymmetry in the effect of negative versus positive biases on PL lifetimes discussed earlier in this work (inset of Fig. 2b) also argues against field-induced changes in carrier dynamics and EQEs, as the effect of an electric field should be independent of field direction. All of these considerations along with our experimental observations strongly suggest that the efficiency roll-off in our LEDs is due to an increasing degree of QD charging, which leads to enhanced carrier losses due to Auger recombination. A similar mechanism for the EQE roll-off has been previously shown to be operative in traditional LEDs based on epitaxial quantum-well emitters\textsuperscript{18,19}.

Consideration of the band-diagram of our LEDs along with the results of spectroscopic studies of carrier dynamics suggest that QDs in these devices are negatively charged under zero bias, and that the degree of negative charging further increases under forward bias. This is opposite to the assessment of a previous report by Mashford et al.\textsuperscript{13}, which proposed that when QDs are brought in contact with a ZnO layer, they may acquire a net positive charge under zero bias, while application of increasing forward bias leads to restoration of charge balance (that is, charge neutrality) due to an increasing number of electrons injected into the emitting layer. Although this scenario seems consistent with their observations\textsuperscript{13}, it is clearly not applicable to our devices. First of all, we observe a lengthening of PL lifetime indicative of QD ‘discharging’ not under forward but rather reverse bias (Fig. 2b; inset), which tends to remove electrons from the QDs. Further, using the measured $X^-$ and $XX$ Auger time constants, we can estimate the $X^+$ lifetime from $\tau_{X^+} = 2\tau_{X^-} \cdot \tau_{XX}/(\tau_X - 2\tau_{XX})$, which yields $\tau_{X^+} = 2.2 \text{ ns}$ for the C/A/S dots in Fig. 2b. This value is considerably shorter than the PL lifetime measured for these dots on the ITO/ZnO electrode.

![Figure 3](image_url)  
**Figure 3** | Signatures of multcarrier states in electroluminescence spectra of QD-LEDs. Normalized EL spectra of QD-LEDs based on (a) C/S 3.5 nm and (b) C/A/S 7.0 nm under varied current densities. (c) EL peak shift in QD-LEDs with different types of QDs as a function of current-density. (d) EL peak shift (red circle) and relative EQE (blue square) of QD-LEDs at 255 mA cm$^{-2}$ as a function of relative PL QY of negative trions ($q_{X^-}$).
(8.6 ns), while as was pointed out earlier, $\tau_{\text{PL}}$ is close to the $X^-$ lifetime (7 ns). All of these points support our conclusion that the excess charges in the QDs within our devices are of negative sign.

**Signatures of multielectron states in EL.** In addition to dynamical signatures of charging, we also see spectral signatures of multielectron states in EL that become especially pronounced at high-current densities. In Fig. 3a,b, we compare devices based on C/S 3.5 nm and C/A/S 7.0 nm QDs that exhibit the greatest contrast with regard to emission efficiencies of charged species (Table 1, lines 1 and 4). QD-LEDs with the C/S QDs show a gradual EL spectral shift to lower energy with increasing current (Fig. 3a), in line with expectations for Joule heating (see Supplementary Figs S5 and S6 that illustrate the effect of increasing temperature on PL of QD films). In sharp contrast, in QD-LEDs made of the C/A/S QDs, the EL shifts in the opposite direction, that is, to higher energies (Fig. 3b). This is a signature of increasing contribution from multielectron emission. As was pointed out before, in core/shell CdSe/CdS QDs, electrons and holes are partially separated in space, which results in Coulombic repulsion in the case of multielectron states. As a result of this repulsion, the total energy of a multielectron system is higher than the sum of energies of noninteracting carriers, which leads to a blue shift of the corresponding emission band.

In a QD-LED, the competing trends from heating and Coulombic repulsion coexist; however, the relative contribution from the latter increases when the emission QY of multielectron states is increased. The swing in the competition in favour of Coulomb repulsion with increasing efficiency of multielectron emission is evident in Fig. 3c, in which we plot the EL spectral shift as a function of driving current for the C/S samples with different shell thicknesses along with the C/A/S sample. For the devices based on the C/S QDs, the EL shift is dominated by Joule heating. However, its magnitude decreases with increasing shell thickness as a result of increasing contribution from multielectron emission as the rate of Auger decay decreases (which can be correlated to an increased negative-trion QY, as in Fig. 3d). For the C/A/S sample, the EL shift is of opposite sign, indicating that it is primarily due to Coulombic repulsion; this suggests that LED emission at high currents is likely primarily due to multielectron states.

**QD engineering for improved charge balance.** A clear additional step for achieving better performance in QD-LEDs is to improve the charge-injection balance within the QD active layer. One option would be to completely redesign the device architecture by changing the composition of the CTLs to alter the band alignments. However, a more practical approach that would allow us to retain the advantages of this proven combination of materials once again involves a subchange in the QD structure. Specifically, to partially impede electron injection into the QD we have added a thin outer shell of Zn$_{0.5}$Cd$_{0.5}$S. In Fig. 4a, we compare QD-LEDs made of CdSe ($r = 1.5$ nm)/CdS ($H = 0.5$ nm)/Zn$_{0.5}$Cd$_{0.5}$S ($B = 1.5$ nm) (C/S/S, line 5 in Table 1 and Supplementary Fig. S7) QDs to devices based on reference C/S 3.5 nm QDs (line 1 in Table 1), which have the same overall size and similar optical properties (for example, PL QY of 80%, $E_g = 1.95$ eV, $\tau_{\text{X}} = 20$ ns, $\tau_{\text{XX}} = 100$ ps, and $\chi_{\text{X}} = -5$%). The peak EQE of the QD-LED with C/S/S QDs reaches 7.5%, over eight times higher than the C/S device (0.9%). Moreover, the C/S/S LEDS demonstrate substantially improved roll-off behaviour with a $J_{\text{roll-off}}$ of 970 mA cm$^{-2}$ (as compared with 337 mA cm$^{-2}$, Fig. 4a, lines 1 and 5 in Table 1). Importantly, spectroscopic analysis of QDs in devices shows that the PL decay of C/S/S QDs is not affected by applied bias (Fig. 4b), in contrast to a rapid shortening of PL lifetimes with increasing direct bias for reference QDs (Fig. 2b). Further, we observed that the PL lifetime (6–6.5 ns) is considerably longer than that of the negative-trion (0.5 ns), indicating that the Zn$_{0.5}$Cd$_{0.5}$S shell layer indeed suppresses QD charging, much in the same way that a similar shell has been shown to suppress photocharging, resulting in significant improvements in both device efficiency and the roll-off characteristics. Importantly, these improvements were achieved without measurably affecting the turn-on voltage (2 V, as for dots without the Zn$_{0.5}$Cd$_{0.5}$S shell; Table 1), suggesting that even further enhancements may be attainable through refinement of these methods.

**Discussion**

We have studied the role of multielectron states and specifically the role of multielectron Auger recombination in QD-LEDs. For these studies, we have utilized an inverted LED architecture, wherein a QD emitting layer is sandwiched between an inorganic, metal-oxide electron transport layer and an organic hole transport layer based on conjugated molecules. A key feature of this work is use of a series of structurally engineered heterostructured QDs in
which the rate of Auger decay is systematically varied by either changing the shell thickness and/or by introducing an intermediate alloyed layer at the core/shell interface. Using these structures, we observe a direct correlation between the device performance and the rate of Auger decay. Specifically, LEDs, requiring very high brightness will likely need to apply both of electron injection, which leads to higher efficiency and better roll-off at high currents. Ultimately, QD-LEDs for applications off behaviour in devices. We observe that even at zero bias the QDs are negatively charged, and the degree of charging increases under application of forward bias. This suggests that EL from these LEDs has a significant contribution from charged excitons and other multi-carrier states of higher multiplicities, which leads to a significant impact of Auger on EQEs of these devices as well as the efficiency roll-off at high currents.

These results suggest that further improvements in the performance of the devices should be possible by using dots with an even greater suppression of Auger decay and/or by applying measures that promote a more balanced injection of electrons and holes. In support of this latter assertion, we show that rational modification of the QD structure to incorporate an additional shell of a semiconductor with a higher conduction-band edge can improve the charge balance within the QDs by impeding electron injection, which leads to higher efficiency and better roll-off behaviour in devices. Ultimately, QD-LEDs for applications requiring very high brightness will likely need to apply both of these methods in concert, as the contributions from neutral multie exciton emission will become increasingly important at high-driving currents even if charge balance is strictly maintained.

Methods

General materials considerations. Cadmium oxide (CdO, 99.999%), Se (200 mesh, 99.999%), zinc acetate (ZnAcetate), 99.9%), S (powder, 99%), 1-dodecanethiol (TOP, 97%), tri-octylphosphine oxide (TOP, 97%), tri-octylamine (TOA, 95%), zinc acetate dihydrate (ZnAc2·2H2O, 98%), potassium hydride (KOH, 99 %) and butanol (99%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Other organic solvents were purchased from Fisher Chemical. LD 690 perchlorate, used as a reference dye in PL QY measurements, was synthesized under inert atmosphere using standard Schlenk-line and glovebox techniques. 0.5 M cadmium oleate [Cd(OA)2] and zinc oleate [Zn(OA)2] solutions were prepared by heating 5 mmol of CdO or Zn(Ac)2 with 5 ml of OA at 300 °C for 1 h, and then diluting with TOA to a total volume of 10 ml. Tri-octylphosphine selenium (1 M; TOPSe) or sulphur (TOPS) solutions were prepared by stirring 1 mmol of Se or S with 1 ml of TOP at room temperature overnight.

Synthesis of CdSe/CdS and CdSe/CdS0.08S0.92/CdS QDs. Detailed synthesis and structural characterization was reported previously22. CdSe QDs with radius of 1.5 nm were synthesized by a previously reported method24. Prepared CdSe QDs were repeatedly purified by precipitating with ethanol and redispersing in toluene, and then dispersed in ODE at a concentration of 0.015 M. For the synthesis of CdSe/CdS0.08S0.92/CdS QDs, this CdSe core solution (2 ml) was added to a flask containing 8 ml of TOA and 0.6 ml of 0.5 M Cd(OA)2 at 300 °C. A mixture of TOPSe (0.15 ml of 1 M solution) and DDT (0.15 mmol) diluted with TOA (total volume: 1 ml) was slowly injected into the reaction flask over 30 min. Aliquots were taken during the reaction for characterization. Fabrication of a CdS shell was carried out via continuous slow injection of Cd(OA)2 (0.5 M) and DDT (0.5 M, diluted with TOA) at a rate of 2 ml per h into the reaction vessel containing either CdSe or CdSe/CdS0.08S0.92 QDs diluted with TOA (total volume: 10 ml). The reaction temperature was maintained at 300 °C throughout the formation of the complete CdS shell. Synthesized QDs were purified by repeated precipitation/redispersion using ethanol and hexane before further characterization.

Synthesis of CdSe/CdS/ZnO0.6CdS0.4S QDs. ZnO0.6CdS0.4S shell-growth was performed in the same reaction vessel used for CdSe/CdS QD synthesis without isolation or purification. In a typical synthesis, Zn(OA)2 (2 ml, 0.5 M) and Cd(OA)2 (1 ml, 0.5 M) were injected into the CdSe (r = 1.5 nm)/CdS (H = 0.5 nm) QD growth solution. TOPS (1 ml, 1 M) was then injected at 300 °C, and the reaction proceeded for 10 min. Product QDs were purified by repeated precipitation/dis-
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