Duplication of Inferior Vena Cava with Left Inferior Vena Cava Draining into Right Inferior Vena Cava - A Case Report
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INTRODUCTION

Double inferior vena cava is a rare anomaly with incidence rate of 0.2 - 3 %. It occurs due to non-regression of both right and left supracardinal veins during embryonic development. Here, we present a case with double inferior vena cava diagnosed in a patient who underwent routine computed tomography for abdominal pain. In our case, both right and left inferior vena cava are of same caliber and we believe that duplication of IVC in our case was a result of non-regression of anastomosis between left supra subcardinal, posterior subcardinal and intersubcardinal veins resulting in persistence of left subcardinal vein. The knowledge of this anatomical variation is clinically important during retroperitoneal surgeries and vascular and radiological interventional procedures.

Inferior vena cava anomalies are rare and incidentally found in asymptomatic patients who undergo radiological imaging for some other diseases. The reported incidence of duplicated inferior vena cava is 0.2 to 3 %. Among all the inferior vena cava anomalies, inferior vena cava duplication and left inferior vena cava are most commonly found.¹²

Vascular anomalies often get encountered in computed tomography (CT) scans of abdomen and pelvis obtained with contrast injection. Familiarity with these variations is essential for correct interpretation. Here, we present a case of duplication of inferior vena cava with left IVC draining into right IVC at upper border of L2 vertebral level.

PRESENTATION OF CASE

A 52-year-old female came to our Outpatient department with complaints of fever and pain in left flank radiating to back. On ultrasound examination, pyelonephritis with left renal abscesses with rupture of one of them was noted. Patient underwent contrast enhanced CT abdomen for further evaluation. On CECT abdomen, along with renal pathology, we also noted an incidental finding of duplicated inferior vena cava (Figs. 1(a), Fig. 2). In our case, both the common iliac veins were formed by the joining of external iliac veins and internal iliac veins at S1 vertebral level. These two common iliac veins did not join and were seen on either side of descending abdominal aorta. Right common iliac vein continued as right IVC whereas left common iliac continued as left IVC.⁴
At the level of upper border of L2 vertebra, the left IVC was seen passing anteriorly to the abdominal aorta to join the inferior vena cava on right side to form a single right sided IVC (Fig.1(b)). The two IVCs and their arched part had the same caliber. We also noted accessory renal arteries on both sides.

**Embryology of Double Inferior Vena Cava**

Inferior vena cava is divided into four segments based on its embryological development. These segments include Hepatic, supra-renal, renal and infra-renal. IVC develops between 6th and 8th week of gestation through a series of complex anastomoses and regressions of posterior cardinal veins, subcardinal, supracardinal and vitelline veins.\(^6,7\) Intrahepatic segment of IVC develops from right vitelline vein. The pre-renal segment develops from the right subcardinal vein and renal segment develops from sub-supracardinal anastomosis. A non-regressed segment of right supra-cardinal vein forms its post renal segment.\(^8,9\) The distal segments of posterior cardinal vein remain in pelvis to form the common iliac veins. Left subcardinal and left supracardinal vein regress completely. Any alteration in these steps lead to wide range of developmental anomalies. Non-regression of both right and left supracardinal veins during embryonic development leads to occurrence of double inferior vena cava.

In our case, the left IVC was not draining into the left renal vein, instead, it was continuing as a pre-aortic trunk to empty into the right IVC. We believe that duplication of IVC in our case was a result of non-regression of anastomosis between left suprasubcardinal, posterior subcardinal and intersubcardinal veins resulting in persistence of left subcardinal vein.

Natsis K et al.\(^10\) described a case of complete bilateral duplication of IVC with left IVC draining into right IVC at L2 vertebral level. Our case is similar to this case. The knowledge of this anatomical variant is important for surgical and vascular interventional procedures such as retroperitoneal lymph nodal dissection, retroperitoneal surgeries as well as for IVC filter placement to prevent pulmonary embolism.\(^11\) Phlebography is indicated by many authors as the gold standard for diagnosing duplicated inferior vena cava although it is an invasive procedure. Some authors suggested combination of ultrasound and computed tomography for adequate diagnosis.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Non-regression of both right and left supracardinal veins with persistence of the left suprasubcardinal, post subcardinal anastomosis during embryonic development leads to occurrence of double inferior vena cava. It has a clinical importance in retroperitoneal surgical procedures and for placement of IVC filter to prevent pulmonary thromboembolism in patients who have deep vein thrombosis and cannot be given anti-coagulants. This kind of anatomical variation should be studied with phlebography as it is capable of identifying as well as revealing the anatomy of relevant vessels. The knowledge of this anomaly plays an important role in resection of abdominal aortic aneurysms as well as in left lumbar sympathectomy procedure as it may conceal the sympathetic trunk.
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