Abstract

During low energy operations below the regular injection energy in the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider RHIC, significant beam lifetime reductions due to the beam-beam interaction in conjunction with large space charge tune shifts have been observed. We report on dedicated experiments aimed at understanding this phenomenon as well as preliminary simulation results, and propose alternative working points to improve the beam lifetime in future low energy RHIC runs.

INTRODUCTION

One of the major physics programs at RHIC for the next 5-10 years is the search for the critical point in the QCD phase diagram (Fig. 1), which is expected to occur at center-of-mass energies in the range of $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5 - 30$ GeV/n. This requires colliding gold beams with energies between 2.5 and 15 GeV/nucleon, which is well below the nominal energy range of 10-100 GeV/n in RHIC (Fig. 2). In conjunction with the circumference of 3.8 km, this low energy results in a significant direct space charge tune shift up to $\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.1$, which is more than ten times larger than the total beam-beam parameter $\xi_{\text{beam-beam}}$ encountered during low energy operation [1]. Experiments with large beam-beam parameter comparable with the space charge tune shift were performed using protons and are reported elsewhere [2]. Table 1 lists space charge and beam-beam parameters achieved in RHIC for different Au beam energies. However, in spite of the large difference in magnitude of these two effects, and the similar functional dependence of the associated forces on transverse particle coordinates, we have observed a significant deterioration of beam lifetimes once beams are brought in collision.

To gain a better understanding of this phenomenon, we have performed a series of beam experiments, and developed a simulation code. In the following sections, we describe our experimental observations during regular low energy operations with Au ions as well as those dedicated Au beam experiments. Furthermore, we report on first results obtained from simulations.

EXPERIMENTAL OBSERVATIONS

When RHIC was operated at a beam energy of $E = 3.85$ GeV/n, a tune scan was performed to maximize the beam lifetime. Starting at the regular RHIC heavy ion working point of $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.23/29.22)$, the tunes
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| $E \text{ [GeV/n]}$ | $\Delta Q_{sc}$ | $\xi_{beam-beam}$ | $\tau \text{ [sec]}$ |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 9.8             | 0.03        | 0               | 2000          |
| 9.8             | 0.03        | 0.002           | 600           |
| 5.75            | 0.05        | 0               | 1600          |
| 5.75            | 0.05        | 0.0015          | 400           |
| 5.75            | 0.09        | 0               | 700           |
| 5.75            | 0.09        | 0.0027          | 260           |
| 3.85            | 0.11        | 0               | 70            |
| 3.85            | 0.08        | 0.003           | 70            |

Table 1: Beam lifetimes $\tau$ with and without collisions at different energies in RHIC, with the corresponding space charge tune shifts $\Delta Q_{sc}$ and beam-beam parameters $\xi_{beam-beam}$.

Figure 3: Beam intensities (middle plot) in the Blue and Yellow RHIC rings during a tune scan at $E = 5.75 \text{ GeV/n}$ beam energy. The Yellow tunes are shown in the top part of the plot, the Blue tunes in the bottom third. The best Blue beam lifetime is achieved at a working point of $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.17/29.16)$.

were lowered and the beam lifetime in collision was observed, see Fig. 3. This resulted in a new working point of $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.17/29.16)$; during the course of the run this was further lowered to $(28.13/29.12)$. This latest working point was subsequently used at $E = 5.75 \text{ GeV/n}$ as well.

During the course of the run a strong effect of beam-beam interactions on the lifetime of the space charge dominated beams was consistently observed, as illustrated in Figs. 4 to 6. Fig. 4 shows the intensity of individual bunches in the Yellow RHIC ring at a beam energy of $E = 3.85 \text{ GeV/n}$. While the initial intensity drops rather quickly for the first couple of minutes while beams are not colliding, there is a sudden, sharp decrease in beam lifetime to roughly the same level as at the beginning of the store as soon as the two beams begin colliding. Since the Yellow bunch intensity at this time is only about half the initial value, which reduces the space charge tune shift by the same factor of 2, this lifetime deterioration cannot simply be explained by the total tune shift, i.e. the sum of space charge and beam-beam tune shift. Moreover, a significant beam-beam effect is observed for bunches with much smaller intensity, as can be seen in Fig. 4.

As a second example, we discuss the evolution of the total intensity of the Yellow beam at $E = 5.75 \text{ GeV/n}$ while the Blue ring is being filled, Fig. 5. In this case, there is no transverse separation of the two beams during the injection process, so the injection of each individual Blue bunch results in a Yellow bunch starting to experience beam-beam collisions. As a result, the total Yellow beam lifetime slowly deteriorates the more bunches undergo collisions with the newly injected Blue bunches.

Finally, we focus on the beam decay rate at the end of a Au store with $E = 5.75 \text{ GeV/n}$ beam energy, Fig. 6. When the beam-beam force on the Blue beam disappears
Figure 6: Beam decay rates during several Au beam stores at 5.75 GeV/n beam energy. The Blue beam decay rate improves dramatically as soon as the Yellow beam is dumped at the end of each store (see insert). Note that the algorithm to calculate the beam decay rate from the measured beam intensity has a time constant of 20 seconds. Hence, the actual drop in instantaneous beam decay is even more dramatic than suggested in this picture.

due to dumping of the oncoming Yellow beam, its decay rate improves dramatically.

Based on the operational experience and the desire to improve beam lifetimes and therefore integrated luminosities in future RHIC low energy runs, a dedicated beam experiment aimed at searching for a better working point was performed. Since the spacing of nonlinear resonances is largest in the vicinity of the integer resonance, fractional tunes below 0.1 were proposed as the most promising candidates. These studies were performed at the regular RHIC injection energy of 9.8 GeV/n, with a space charge tune shift of $\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.03$ and a beam-beam tuneshift parameter of $\xi_{beam-beam} = 0.003$.

As already observed during low energy operations, the beam lifetime deteriorated substantially when the two beams were brought into collision at the regular RHIC heavy ion working point of $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.23/29.22)$, Fig. 7. However, when the experiment was repeated at a near-integer working point of $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.08/29.09)$ in Yellow and $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.08/29.07)$ in Blue, there was no discernable effect on the Blue lifetime, while the Yellow lifetime still deteriorated somewhat when beams were brought into collision, as shown in Fig. 8.

The cause of the different behavior in the two rings is not yet understood. It may be attributable to parameters such as chromaticity, coupling control, or the different working point above the diagonal, which may have been less than optimal in the Yellow ring during the experiment. However, this result is very encouraging for future low energy operations, though the space charge tune shift during this injection energy experiment was a factor 2 to 3 smaller than at the lower energies.

SIMULATIONS

To investigate the root cause of the lifetime deterioration we performed tracking simulations with a space charge tune shift of $\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.06$ and a beam-beam parameter in each of the two RHIC interaction points of $\xi_{beam-beam} = 0.003$. Using these parameters, tune scans as well as a frequency map analysis at a fixed working point was applied.

The model

Space charge simulations are usually very CPU-time consuming because of frequent re-calculations of the particle distribution and the associated electromagnetic fields. In the particular problem studied here, however, we can take advantage of the fact that the evolution of the particle distribution is comparatively slow. This is indicated by the beam lifetime of several minutes to tens of minutes. Typical simulations track particles only over a number of
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\[ \tau_{\kappa}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} \]  

\[ |\Delta Q| = \sqrt{|Q_{x,1} - Q_{x,2}|^2 + |Q_{y,1} - Q_{y,2}|^2}. \]  

Figure 9: 4-D emittance growth rate \( \tau_{\kappa}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} \) as a function of tune, with the working point \( (Q_x/Q_y) \) chosen such that \( Q_y = Q_x - 0.01 \).

\[ Q_{x,y} = Q_x - Q_y \]  

\[ 2\sigma_x < A_x < 4\sigma_y \]

|Q_x| = Q_x - 0.01.

\[ 2\sigma_y < A_y < 4\sigma_y \]

|Q_y| = Q_x - 0.02.

The resulting tune footprint and tune diffusion is plotted in Fig. 10. While the tune footprint overlaps the coupling resonance \( Q_x = Q_y \) with as well without beam-beam interaction, the presence of the beam-beam force significantly enhances the tune diffusion around that resonance.

Plotting the same data in the amplitude space, Fig. 11, reveals that this enhanced tune diffusion occurs for am-
\[ \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
\langle xx \rangle & \langle x'x' \rangle & \langle xy \rangle & \langle x'y' \rangle \\
\langle x'x \rangle & \langle x''x'' \rangle & \langle x'y \rangle & \langle x'y' \rangle \\
\langle yx \rangle & \langle y'x' \rangle & \langle yy \rangle & \langle y'y' \rangle \\
\langle y'x \rangle & \langle y''x'' \rangle & \langle y'y \rangle & \langle y'y' \rangle 
\end{array} \right)^{1/2}, \]  

where \( \langle \cdots \rangle \) indicates the average over all particles. The emittance growth rate

\[ \tau_\kappa^{-1} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} \]  

is then computed as a function of tune by a linear fit to this 4-D emittance evolution.

For the initial tune scan, depicted in Fig. 9, we varied the
tunes in steps of \( \Delta Q_{x,y} = 0.01 \), with \( Q_y = Q_x - 0.01 \).
In the absence of the beam-beam interaction, the 4-D emittance growth rate at fractional tunes below 0.2 is significantly lower than above, which qualitatively agrees with experimental observations. Once beam-beam interactions are added the emittance growth rate increases over most of the tune range; however, the resulting growth rate is, within error bars, independent of tune.

To determine the tune footprint and tune diffusion fre-
quency map analysis [3, 4] was applied at a fixed working point of \( (Q_x/Q_y) = (28.13/29.12) \) for the two cases

\[ \tau_{\kappa}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{d\epsilon}{dt} \]  

is then computed as a function of tune by a linear fit to this 4-D emittance evolution.

For the initial tune scan, depicted in Fig. 9, we varied the
tunes in steps of \( \Delta Q_{x,y} = 0.01 \), with \( Q_y = Q_x - 0.01 \).
In the absence of the beam-beam interaction, the 4-D emittance growth rate at fractional tunes below 0.2 is significantly lower than above, which qualitatively agrees with experimental observations. Once beam-beam interactions are added the emittance growth rate increases over most of the tune range; however, the resulting growth rate is, within error bars, independent of tune.

To determine the tune footprint and tune diffusion fre-
quency map analysis [3, 4] was applied at a fixed working point of \( (Q_x/Q_y) = (28.13/29.12) \) for the two cases
We have studied the effects of beam-beam interactions in colliding beams with large direct space charge parameters up to $\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.1$ both experimentally as through simulations. During RHIC low energy operations as well as dedicated experiments we have consistently observed a strong effect of the beam-beam interaction on the lifetime of the stored beam, though the associated beam-beam parameter was about an order of magnitude smaller than the space charge tune shift.

To provide maximum tune space between nonlinear resonances, we have operated RHIC at a near-integer working point. In this case, we observed no discernable lifetime reduction in the Blue ring, while Yellow still suffered. The root cause of this difference between the two rings is still unknown, and may be related to parameters such as chromaticity and coupling control or the particular working point during the experiment. However, this result is very encouraging for future low energy runs, though the corresponding space charge tune shift of $\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.03$ was comparably modest.

Though a quantitative comparison of our simulation results with experimental observations in RHIC is difficult due to the lack of effects such as intrabeam scattering in the simulation code, the tracking model presented here qualitatively reproduces the main experimental result, namely the strong effect of the beam-beam interaction in the presence of a large space charge tune shift. Based on these simulation results, an alternative working point further away from the coupling resonance $Q_x = Q_y$, which appears to be the main source of emittance growth in our simulations, may be beneficial. However, it is of utmost importance to repeat these simulations after higher-order multipole errors have been added to the tracking model, which may lead to
Figure 12: 4-D emittance growth rate $\tau^{-1} = \frac{1}{\tau}$ as a function of tune, with the working point $(Q_x/Q_y)$ chosen at an increased tune split with $Q_y = Q_x - 0.02$.

Figure 13: Tune footprints at a nominal working point with increased tune split between the two planes, $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.14/29.12)$, without (top) and with (bottom) beam-beam interaction.

Figure 14: Tune diffusion in the amplitude space, as obtained from the frequency map analysis at a nominal working point with increased tune split between the two planes, $(Q_x/Q_y) = (28.14/29.12)$, without (top) and with (bottom) beam-beam interaction.

a further reduction of the usable tune space.
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