Scale dependent dynamic capillary pressure effect for two-phase flow in porous media
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A B S T R A C T

Causes and effects of non-uniqueness in capillary pressure and saturation (P–S) relationship in porous media are of considerable concern to researchers of two-phase flow. In particular, a significant amounts of discussion have been generated regarding a parameter termed as dynamic coefficient (τ) which has been proposed for inclusion in the functional dependence of P–S relationship to quantify dynamic P and its relation with time derivative of saturation. While the dependence of the coefficient on fluid and porous media properties is less controversial, its relation to domain scale appears to be dependent on artefacts of experiments, mathematical models and the intra-domain averaging techniques. In an attempt to establish the reality of the scale dependency of the τ–S relationships, we carry out a series of well-defined laboratory experiments to determine τ–S relationships using three different sizes of cylindrical porous domains of silica sand. In this paper, we present our findings on the scale dependence of τ and its relation to high viscosity ratio (μi) silicone oil–water system, where μi is defined as the viscosity of non-wetting phase over that of the wetting phase. An order of magnitude increase in the value of τ was observed across various μi and domain scales. Also, an order of magnitude increase in τ is observed when τ at the top and the bottom sections in a domain are compared. Viscosity ratio and domain scales are found to have similar effects on the trend in τ–S relationship. We carry out a dimensional analysis of τ which shows how different variables, e.g., dimensionless τ and dimensionless domain volume (scale), may be correlated and provides a means to determine the influences of relevant variables on τ. A scaling relationship for τ was derived from the dimensionless analysis which was then validated against independent literature data. This showed that the τ–S relationships obtained from the literature and the scaling relationship match reasonably well.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

1. Introduction

A capillary pressure (P) and saturation (S) relationship is vital in the characterisation of immiscible two-phase flow phenomena in porous media (e.g., [2,12–14]). This relationship is non-linear in nature and it depends on several factors, e.g., flow dynamics (steady or dynamic), fluid and porous material properties, contact angles, medium heterogeneities (e.g., permeability heterogeneity, non-uniformity in particle size), scales of observation, etc. [2,5,15,24,32,36]). In general, the forces which influence dynamic immiscible flow in porous media act in a manner so as to drive the fluids to a state of static equilibrium, i.e., when the rate of change of saturation (∂S/∂t) is zero. During this process, the fluid/liquid interfaces in the porous medium move to re-establish a new state of equilibrium [3,11,21,34]. In practice, a state of stability in the system is utilised to obtain the equilibrium P–S relationship for the system. In pore-scale modelling, the pressure in one of the phases is allowed to increase and a succession of equilibrium fluid configurations is computed in the pore network to obtain the P–S relationship [3]. These are then applied to non-equilibrium conditions with fluids sometimes flowing at high flow rates. The implicit assumption in such an approach is that the disturbances to interfacial properties are rapidly dissipated [27].

At the equilibrium or quasi-static condition described above, the approach for the characterisation of the P–S relationship is typically coupled with an extended version (i.e., an equation of two-phase flow in porous medium) of Darcy’s law for single phase flow in porous medium [2,13]. In this case, the capillary pressure is defined as a function of saturation as expressed in Eq. (1).

\[ P_{nw} - P_w = P_{equ}(S) = f(S) \] (1)
where,

\[ P_{nw} = \text{average pressure for non-wetting phase (ML}^{-1}\text{T}^{-2}) \]

\[ P_w = \text{average pressure for wetting phase (ML}^{-1}\text{T}^{-2}) \]

\[ P_{c,eqw} = \text{equilibrium (steady state) capillary pressure (ML}^{-1}\text{T}^{-2}) \]

\[ S = \text{wetting phase saturation (–)} \]

In the last decade, it has been argued by a number of authors that the application of Eq. (1) to non-equilibrium condition will be inappropriate as the equation lacks the requisite parameters to address the dynamic characteristics of the flow prior to the attainment of flow equilibrium when the rate of change of saturation \( \frac{dS}{dt} \) may be high. The need for a modification of the traditional relation (i.e., Eq. (1)) was the conclusion of some authors (e.g., [25,26,31]) with a view to accommodating a more complete description of the system under non-equilibrium conditions. Ever since its proposition, a phenomenal factor in the description of attainment of flow equilibrium when the rate of change of saturation \( \frac{dS}{dt} \) increases as the square of \( S \).

The effect of scale/size of the domain has been linked to the extent of the scale dependencies of these factors to seem to vary from one case to another. According to previous authors (e.g., [15,27]) the dynamic effect is related to the dependence of capillary pressure–saturation relationship on the time derivative of saturation resulting from finite time needed by the fluid to neutralise the effect of the internal and external forces in order to establish flow equilibrium. Other reports have indicated that the length of time needed to attain flow equilibrium and, hence, the dynamic effect, is larger in less permeable medium than in more permeable sample. The same is true for porous medium with higher degrees of micro-heterogeneity. Thus, larger values of \( \tau \) have been reported in the literature for fine grained or low permeability medium [10,15,23,42] and domains with micro-heterogeneities [17,33,35]. Among the other factors that affect the magnitude of \( \tau \), strong impacts of fluid properties are well acknowledged [15,22,28,29].

The effect of scale/size of the domain has been linked to the value of \( \tau \) in their numerical investigation of dynamic effects in \( P-S \) relationship using a bundle-of-tubes model. Their model leads to a similar relationship as in Eq. (2) but with the inclusion of an intercept term, \( \beta \).

By determining a dimensionless grouping containing \( \tau \) and \( \beta \), respectively, they report that the dimensionless grouping shows a dependency on saturation and that \( \tau \) increases as the square of the averaging volume length, \( L \). This suggests a geometrical increase in \( \tau \) with the length scale of the domain and that its magnitude may become arbitrarily large as we move from core to the field scale. This square of length relationship [11] was however controverted by Bottero et al. [5] in their investigation of non-equilibrium effects at different averaging windows in a porous medium domain of 21 cm height. Bottero et al. [5] determined \( \tau \) experimentally and obtained averages at different windows of observation, namely, 11 and 18 cm sections, within the same porous domain of 21 cm height. An order of magnitude increase in \( \tau \) was found at an averaging window of 11 cm as compared to the local values.

A similar order of increase was obtained in \( \tau \) at an averaging window of 18 cm as compared to the values at 11 cm averaging window. Thus, it can be inferred from the work of Dahle et al. [11] and Bottero et al. [5] that \( \tau \) generally increases with the scale of observation. The proportion of this trend to domain scale is however difficult to affirm from the current studies and there are clear inconsistencies in this regard. Das and Mirzaei [16,17] and Mirzaei and Das [36] found that the functional \( \tau-S \) relation follows non-linear trends at different locations within the same domain using a saturation-weighted technique for averaging domain scale \( \tau \) where local \( \tau \) increases with decrease in \( S \). However, these authors did not find a clear relationship between the averaged and local values of \( \tau \). Furthermore, insignificant differences were observed between the local and average (domain scale) values of \( \tau \), which are in contrast to other findings where \( \tau \) is viewed as a parameter that increases with the scale of domain (e.g., [5,11]). Similarly, in the work of Camps-Roach et al. [8], an up-scaling procedure showed little or no change in \( \tau \) as its values remained almost identical for column scale as it was for the local measurements. Bottero et al. [5], who used averaging techniques such as those discussed by Nordbotten et al. [37–39] for averaging capillary pressure and relative permeability, found that the average values of \( \tau \) showed no dependency on water saturation within a water saturation range of 50% to 85%. This trend is contrary, within the similar saturation range, to what Das and Mirzaei [16,17] have observed on values of \( \tau \) and many other papers such as that by Dahle et al. [11]. Besides the above literature, there are a number of other relevant papers. For example, Bourgeat and Panfilov [7] reported a homogenisation method by which the dynamic capillary pressure effects were studied for oil–water flow in a heterogeneous porous domain. Cuesta et al. [10] reported a travelling wave solution for dynamic two-phase flow in porous media.

It is evident from the literature that a significant amounts of discussion has been made to discuss the dynamic capillary pressure equation (Eq. (2)). From these discussions it can be concluded that the existing publications on the determination of \( \tau \) use both experiments and numerical simulations at pore or and core scale [5,8,11,16,17]. They use various types of averaging techniques to determine averaged \( \tau \) at domain/larger scale and relate the local and averaged \( \tau \) values. While we acknowledge that the exact values of the coefficient may be different for specific two-phase system, the inconsistencies in the general trend in the results in the literature however raise many questions, e.g., are the observed behaviours of \( \tau \) an artefact of the averaging methods? Similarly, would the extent of the scale dependences of \( \tau \) be any different if they were measured at different and separate domain scales and not averaging windows within the same domain? Considering the above arguments, one may opine that the scale dependency of a parameter, such as \( \tau \) in this case, should be determined using separate domains for the same conditions, e.g., material properties, boundary conditions, etc. As far as we know, there is no experimental evidence to demonstrate the scale dependency of \( \tau \) in this fashion.
the local and domain scale are likely to be different. We discuss this point further in the paper by relating the local and domain scale values with the help of a $\tau$ scaling factor.

In the context of this work, fluid property effects are important as well. Stauffer [43] emphasises the importance of fluid properties on $\tau$ according to the following equation:

$$\tau = \frac{\alpha \phi \mu}{\lambda K} \left( \frac{p^d}{p^w} \right)^2$$

where $\tau$ is the relaxation parameter for unsaturated porous domain, $\alpha$ is a dimensionless parameter (defined to be 0.1), $\phi$ is the porosity, $K$ is the permeability, $p^d$ and $p^w$ are the Brooks and Corey parameters related to the pore and entry pressure, and particle size distributions of the materials, respectively, $\mu$ is the viscosity of the wetting phase, $g$ is the gravity constant, $\rho$, the fluid density of the wetting phase. However, the relation does not account for the effects of the non-wetting phase viscosity and density. In the light of recent publications (see, e.g., [15,21,22,28,29], it is strongly established with saturation. Nevertheless, the above relation draws the attention to the importance of fluid properties, particularly viscosity, as $\tau$ has direct relation to it.

Recently, Joekar-Niasar and Hassanizadeh [29] emphasised the dependence of $\tau$ on viscosity ratio as well as an effective viscosity which depends on the values of fluid viscosities of the wetting and non-wetting phases. It was pointed out that if this ratio is less than one under drainage or greater than one in imbibition, invading front can become unstable. Conversely, the ratio that is far higher than unity in drainage or far less in imbibition results into a stable front. Viscous fingering is also said to result into less dynamic effect while stable front regime does otherwise [29]. We later show in this paper how the stable fronts arising from high viscosity ratios of the silicone oil–water system, used in our experiments for drainage process, results in higher dynamic effect as the viscosity ratio increases. The effect of viscosity was further explained by Joekar-Niasar et al. [30] and Joekar-Niasar and Hassanizadeh [29] in terms of the redistribution time needed by the fluid–fluid interface to reach equilibrium which takes longer in larger viscosity. In the same vein, higher density ratio is found to result into higher dynamic capillary pressure effect [15]. It has been observed in the literature that this promotes instability of fluid/fluid interfaces leading to the formation of fingers (e.g., [18,45]). The occurrences of instabilities and overshoots during two-phase flow in porous media have been shown theoretically by means of travelling wave analysis by Van Duijn et al. [46]. Fingering is also discussed in detail by Kissling and Rohde [40] and DiCarlo [19]).

In the current work, the non-wetting fluids have significantly different viscosities but approximately the same densities. Thus, the effect of the density ratio will not be considered in the discussion. The experimental work of Goel and O’Carroll [22] reported the influence of viscosity ratio on the magnitude of $\tau$ which increases with increasing viscosity of the non-wetting phase. This work utilised low viscosity non-wetting fluids, namely, 5 and 0.65 cSt silicone fluids. The authors show that the $\tau$ values at various viscosity ratios collapse when normalised with effective viscosity especially for effective water saturations larger than 50%.

While the above discussion points to the importance of domain scales and fluid properties (especially, viscosity ratio) to determine the magnitude of $\tau$ and its relationship to other process and system parameters (e.g., permeability), the relationship of the scale dependency of the $\tau$ with viscosity ratio needs further studies. This is the main aim of this work. In particular, we aim to determine these relationships by a set of well-defined experiments with different domain scales and high-viscosity non-wetting phase fluids so as to increase the range of viscosity effects (200, 500 and 1000 cSt) studied so far. Indeed, this paper complements other published works on dynamic capillary pressure effects in relation to domain scales and fluid property. However, we expect that this work would provide a better understanding of the behaviour of the dynamic capillary pressure effect.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Porous medium, domain size and fluid property

The porous medium used in this work was prepared using sand particles (CH30) obtained commercially from Minerals Marketing Company (Cheshire, UK). The particles were compacted to prepare the porous samples following the same method of preparation and characterisation detailed in Das and Mirzaei [16]. The porous sample has an average porosity of 0.38. The intrinsic permeability of the sample is $5.66 \times 10^{-11}$ m² which was measured using a constant head permeameter [2]. Silicone oil of different viscosities, namely, 200, 500 and 1000 cSt (Basildon Chemicals, Abingdon, UK) were used as the non-wetting phase while distilled water was used as the wetting phase. Silicone oil is a good non-wetting model fluid. Although their viscosities may be different their densities are almost the same. Therefore, any effect of the fluid properties on the results can be mainly attributed to viscosity difference. Properties of the fluids and porous media are shown in Table 1.

| Table 1 | Fluid and material properties used in the experiments in this work. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Porous material | Silicone oil | Water |
|         | K (m²) | 5.66 $\times$ 10 $^{-11}$ | 1  | 2  | 3  |
| Porosity, $\phi$ (-) | 0.38 | | | | |
| Particle density, (g/cm³) | 2.66 | | | | |
| Average particle diameter, $D_p$ (µm) | 482.4 | | | | |
| Particle size range (µm) | 100 $<$ $D_p$ $<$ 900 | | | | |
| Viscosity, $\mu$ (cSt) | 200 $^a$, 500 $^a$, 1000 $^b$ | 21.0 $^c$, 21.1 $^c$, 21.1 $^d$ | 0.9 $^b$, 0.973 $^b$, 0.974 $^b$ | 1 $^c$, 72 $^c$ |
| Surface Tension, $\sigma$ (dynes/cm) @ 25 °C | 1$^c$ | | | | |
| Specific gravity, 25 °C | 0.972 $^b$, 0.973 $^b$ | 0.974 $^b$ | | | |
| Entry pressure (kPa) | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | |
| Pore size distribution index | 3.5 | | | | |

$^a$ www.baschem.co.uk (silicone oil–water system).
$^b$ Adamson and Gast [1] (water–air system).
$^c$ www.machinerylubrication.com.
Sample holders of different sizes were utilised to investigate the variation of $\tau$ with domain size. These domains were cylindrical in shape, 10.2 cm in diameter and had varying heights, namely, 4, 8 and 12 cm. Configurations of the domains are illustrated in Fig. 1.

2.2. Experimental methods

Depending on the size of the domain, varying numbers of pressure transducers (PTs), which were bought from WIKA Instruments Ltd (Redhill, UK), were positioned at different levels of the three domains chosen for this work. At each level, we insert one pair of PTs where one PT is aimed at measuring the wetting phase pressure while the second PT measures the pressure of the non-wetting phase pressure. The PTs installed in our experimental cells included one pair of PTs at the centre of the 4 cm cell, two pairs at the 2 cm (top) and 6 cm (bottom) points of the 8 cm cell and, 3 pairs at the 2 cm (top), 6 cm (middle) and 10 cm (bottom) points of the 12 cm cell, respectively, where all distances are measured from the top boundary of the porous domains.

Fig. 1 is a schematic diagram of the experimental set up. The figure illustrates the domain sizes, the configurations of the PTs as well as the TDR probes at different levels of the domain. The PTs are distinguished using hydrophilic nylon (pore size: 0.1 $\mu$m) and hydrophobic polytetrafluoroethylene, PTFE (pore size: 1 $\mu$m) membranes which were purchased from Porvair Filtration Group Ltd (Hampshire, UK) on the faces of the PTs to measure water and silicone oil pressures, respectively. They were held in place by capped fitting with a rubber seal. As the membranes are thin, they were supported by thicker Vyon filters approximately 5 mm thick (Porvair Filtration Group Ltd, Hampshire, UK). Prior to this, the membranes and filters were immersed in their respective fluid (water or silicone oil) and vacuumed for at least 24 hrs to remove any entrapped air. The assembly of the PTs was also made within the respective fluid to avoid any air trap. The PTs were calibrated using a portable pressure calibrator, DPI 610 (Druck Limited, Hampshire, UK). Good linearity was obtained in pressure-output voltage relationship and the linear equations of the fits obtained from Microsoft Excel (2010) were employed in the programming of the sensors. The PTs were connected to a data logger via a multiplexer (Campbell Scientific Ltd, Shepshed, UK).

Water saturation in the porous medium was determined using time domain reflectometry (TDR) probe (Campbell Scientific Ltd, Shepshed, UK). A TDR probe is positioned at the same level as with a pair of PTs. The TDR probes were earlier calibrated in a 4 cm cell and the results fitted with a polynomial function for in situ measurement of local water saturation corresponding to a level in a cell.

Transient average domain saturation is also determined using a graduated glass cylinder placed on a high precision weighing balance (±0.1 mg) which was connected to the computer and data logged in real time by the weighing balance software (A&D Company Limited, San Jose, USA). This method was adopted to determine average domain scale saturation as it represents the true saturation in the domain at any particular time from a material balance point of view. A similar approach was adopted by Bottero et al. [5] to determine the average (column-scale) fluid saturation over the whole sand column from the change of volumes of fluids in inflow and outflow burette. Having determined the porosity and the total amount of water in the porous domain with the dead volume of the system subtracted, the transient domain saturation was determined.

The sample holder was set on a metal base with wire gauze and hydrophilic filter at the base of the column. The fine wire gauze was used to prevent fine sand particles from slipping into the outflow line which might affect desaturation rate value while the hydrophilic membrane acts to prevent oil outflow. A predetermined amount of water was then poured into the cell to a certain position followed by pouring of sand through a metal sieve of appropriate size to ensure uniform sand deposition and prevent air trap. The cell was vibrated simultaneously to promote uniformity in the deposition of the sand particle. After the deposition of the sand particles was complete, the excess water was drained through the outflow valve. This gave an indication of the amount of water in the saturated domain. Hydrophobic filter was then placed over the sand to prevent water flow out through the top of the domain boundary. Finally, the column was sealed with a metal cap which provided the connection to silicone oil supply.

The silicone oil was supplied from a Marriote bottle which maintained a constant pressure on the fluid column irrespective of fluid volume. The Marriote bottle was directly connected to an air compressor (R.E.P. Air Services, Loughborough, UK) which provided the requisite pressure for injecting silicone oil in the experimental cells (also discussed in the next section).

2.3. Dynamic and equilibrium experiments on two-phase flow

As mentioned earlier, the two-phase flow experiments were conducted on 4, 8 and 12 cm cells. Pressure was supplied using...
an air compressor which imposed a set air pressure on a column of silicone oil in a Mariotte bottle (see Fig. 1). The bottle ensures that the oil invades the porous medium at a constant pressure throughout the experiment.

For the dynamic drainage, a set pressure was imposed on the oil which pushed it to the top of the domain through the inlet valve. When the outlet valve remains closed, the pressure simply transmits through the fluid phases without infiltration of oil into the sand domain. This was indicated by the readings of the PTs which rose gradually till it attained approximately the same magnitude as the imposed pressure at different levels in the domain.

For the dynamic drainage processes, 10, 15 and 20 kPa pressures were imposed on top of the domains. These provide us results for two scenarios. Firstly, the $\tau$ values can be calculated using a number of the pressure conditions (three values in this case) as has been done in a number of papers (e.g., [5,16,17,23]). Secondly, the chosen pressure boundary conditions provide us the possibility of obtaining $\tau$ values for selected cases where the pressure gradient is the same in two domains of different size. For example, the pressure gradients are the same in 4 and 8 cm cells when pressures of 10 and 20 kPa are imposed, respectively. Similarly, the pressure gradients in 8 cm and 12 cm cells are the same when 10 and 15 kPa are imposed. These issues are discussed again in a latter section (Section 3.2).

When the outflow valve was released in our experiments, drainage of the porous domain began and it gave noticeably different $P–S$ profiles for different boundary conditions. Replicate runs of some of the experiments were conducted to check repeatability of the experiments. The ambient laboratory temperature was at approximately 20 °C.

The experiments in the cells were repeated for 200, 500 and 1000 cSt silicone oil. The equilibrium or quasi static experiments were conducted by gradual increase in air pressure imposed on the silicone oil in the Mariotte bottle at less than 500 Pa in a single step. Sufficient time was allowed for the fluids to attain equilibrium pressure before the pressure was further raised. This was continued until the porous medium saturation could not be reduced anymore which we adopt as the final measured saturation. We adopt this approach so as to avoid any confusion of the final measured saturation with the typical definition of irreducible saturation in porous media. In our case, the final measured saturation is not necessarily the irreducible saturation and it simply reflects what final saturation has been obtained for the experimental conditions (e.g., pressure drop).

For each silicone oil and domain scale chosen, dynamic and equilibrium or quasi static drainage experiments were conducted. As stated earlier, the local saturation was determined using the TDR probe for the local level while an average domain saturation was determined from a graduated cylinder placed on a weighing balance whose software program was set at the same output rate (15 s) as the data-logger for sensors to ensure corresponding relation of generated data. The rate of change of saturation in this cylinder is taken to represent the whole domain desaturation rate while the capillary pressure for the whole domain was derived from saturation-weighting of the capillary pressures at different local levels in the system. Thus, at a particular output time, the domain saturation is determined from the balance measurement while the domain capillary pressure is determined from saturation-weighting of the TDR and PTs measurements at the local levels.

2.4. Calculation of dynamic coefficient ($\tau$)

The dynamic coefficients were determined for the different cases using Eq. (2). To do this, the equilibrium and dynamic drainage pressures of each phase were interpolated at selected saturations using the ‘Forecast’ function in Microsoft Excel (2010). The function calculates, or predicts, an unknown parameter by using known values on the basis of a linear regression. The predicted values are $y$-values for given $x$-values and the results can be plotted easily as a typical $x$-$y$ graph in Excel. This readily provides the corresponding points for the parameters in the equation, i.e., $P_{nw}$ and $P_s$ at a particular saturation, $S$, for both the dynamic and equilibrium drainage experiments. The differences in the equilibrium and dynamic $P$ data which are required for Eq. (2) were obtained at arbitrarily chosen saturation values but covering the entire saturation range, i.e., 0–1. Similarly, the desaturation rate data were interpolated using the same function. The plots of the differences between the dynamic and equilibrium capillary pressures against the desaturation rates at the respective water saturation for different imposed conditions were fitted with a straight line using Microsoft Excel (2010). The slope of this line gives the $\tau$ values. Most papers in the literature have used linear interpolation to determine the dynamic pressure difference at a specific saturation (e.g., [5]). Also, from the saturation and time data, desaturation rate $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ was determined using the central differencing scheme. This is the most popular approach in the literature (e.g., [5,15,36]). The capillary number, $\mu v/\gamma$, determined for the system at viscosity ratio of 200 was $1.14 \times 10^{-6}$ at the highest superficial velocity, which was determined from the highest flow rate recorded at the outflow volume collected in bottle on the weighing balance. $\mu$ is the viscosity of the oil, $v$ is the superficial velocity and the $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension between the oil and water.

As explained in Section 2.4, while the saturation at local levels was determined from calibrated TDR probe readings, that of the whole domain was determined from water outflow measured on a weighing balance. Taking density of water at ambient condition as 1 g cm$^{-3}$ the volume collected was readily determined and the $\tau$ values and the results can be plotted easily as a typical $x$-$y$ graph in Excel. This readily provides the corresponding points for the parameters in the equation, i.e., $P_{nw}$ and $P_s$ at a particular saturation, $S$, for both the dynamic and equilibrium drainage experiments. The differences in the equilibrium and dynamic $P$ data which are required for Eq. (2) were obtained at arbitrarily chosen saturation values but covering the entire saturation range, i.e., 0–1. Similarly, the desaturation rate data were interpolated using the same function. The plots of the differences between the dynamic and equilibrium capillary pressures against the desaturation rates at the respective water saturation for different imposed conditions were fitted with a straight line using Microsoft Excel (2010). The slope of this line gives the $\tau$ values. Most papers in the literature have used linear interpolation to determine the dynamic pressure difference at a specific saturation (e.g., [5]). Also, from the saturation and time data, desaturation rate $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ was determined using the central differencing scheme. This is the most popular approach in the literature (e.g., [5,15,36]). The capillary number, $\mu v/\gamma$, determined for the system at viscosity ratio of 200 was $1.14 \times 10^{-6}$ at the highest superficial velocity, which was determined from the highest flow rate recorded at the outflow volume collected in bottle on the weighing balance. $\mu$ is the viscosity of the oil, $v$ is the superficial velocity and the $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension between the oil and water.

As explained in Section 2.4, while the saturation at local levels was determined from calibrated TDR probe readings, that of the whole domain was determined from water outflow measured on a weighing balance. Taking density of water at ambient condition as 1 g cm$^{-3}$ the volume collected was readily determined and the $\tau$ values and the results can be plotted easily as a typical $x$-$y$ graph in Excel. This readily provides the corresponding points for the parameters in the equation, i.e., $P_{nw}$ and $P_s$ at a particular saturation, $S$, for both the dynamic and equilibrium drainage experiments. The differences in the equilibrium and dynamic $P$ data which are required for Eq. (2) were obtained at arbitrarily chosen saturation values but covering the entire saturation range, i.e., 0–1. Similarly, the desaturation rate data were interpolated using the same function. The plots of the differences between the dynamic and equilibrium capillary pressures against the desaturation rates at the respective water saturation for different imposed conditions were fitted with a straight line using Microsoft Excel (2010). The slope of this line gives the $\tau$ values. Most papers in the literature have used linear interpolation to determine the dynamic pressure difference at a specific saturation (e.g., [5]). Also, from the saturation and time data, desaturation rate $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ was determined using the central differencing scheme. This is the most popular approach in the literature (e.g., [5,15,36]). The capillary number, $\mu v/\gamma$, determined for the system at viscosity ratio of 200 was $1.14 \times 10^{-6}$ at the highest superficial velocity, which was determined from the highest flow rate recorded at the outflow volume collected in bottle on the weighing balance. $\mu$ is the viscosity of the oil, $v$ is the superficial velocity and the $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension between the oil and water.

As explained in Section 2.4, while the saturation at local levels was determined from calibrated TDR probe readings, that of the whole domain was determined from water outflow measured on a weighing balance. Taking density of water at ambient condition as 1 g cm$^{-3}$ the volume collected was readily determined and the $\tau$ values and the results can be plotted easily as a typical $x$-$y$ graph in Excel. This readily provides the corresponding points for the parameters in the equation, i.e., $P_{nw}$ and $P_s$ at a particular saturation, $S$, for both the dynamic and equilibrium drainage experiments. The differences in the equilibrium and dynamic $P$ data which are required for Eq. (2) were obtained at arbitrarily chosen saturation values but covering the entire saturation range, i.e., 0–1. Similarly, the desaturation rate data were interpolated using the same function. The plots of the differences between the dynamic and equilibrium capillary pressures against the desaturation rates at the respective water saturation for different imposed conditions were fitted with a straight line using Microsoft Excel (2010). The slope of this line gives the $\tau$ values. Most papers in the literature have used linear interpolation to determine the dynamic pressure difference at a specific saturation (e.g., [5]). Also, from the saturation and time data, desaturation rate $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ was determined using the central differencing scheme. This is the most popular approach in the literature (e.g., [5,15,36]). The capillary number, $\mu v/\gamma$, determined for the system at viscosity ratio of 200 was $1.14 \times 10^{-6}$ at the highest superficial velocity, which was determined from the highest flow rate recorded at the outflow volume collected in bottle on the weighing balance. $\mu$ is the viscosity of the oil, $v$ is the superficial velocity and the $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension between the oil and water.

As explained in Section 2.4, while the saturation at local levels was determined from calibrated TDR probe readings, that of the whole domain was determined from water outflow measured on a weighing balance. Taking density of water at ambient condition as 1 g cm$^{-3}$ the volume collected was readily determined and the $\tau$ values and the results can be plotted easily as a typical $x$-$y$ graph in Excel. This readily provides the corresponding points for the parameters in the equation, i.e., $P_{nw}$ and $P_s$ at a particular saturation, $S$, for both the dynamic and equilibrium drainage experiments. The differences in the equilibrium and dynamic $P$ data which are required for Eq. (2) were obtained at arbitrarily chosen saturation values but covering the entire saturation range, i.e., 0–1. Similarly, the desaturation rate data were interpolated using the same function. The plots of the differences between the dynamic and equilibrium capillary pressures against the desaturation rates at the respective water saturation for different imposed conditions were fitted with a straight line using Microsoft Excel (2010). The slope of this line gives the $\tau$ values. Most papers in the literature have used linear interpolation to determine the dynamic pressure difference at a specific saturation (e.g., [5]). Also, from the saturation and time data, desaturation rate $\left(\frac{dS}{dt}\right)$ was determined using the central differencing scheme. This is the most popular approach in the literature (e.g., [5,15,36]). The capillary number, $\mu v/\gamma$, determined for the system at viscosity ratio of 200 was $1.14 \times 10^{-6}$ at the highest superficial velocity, which was determined from the highest flow rate recorded at the outflow volume collected in bottle on the weighing balance. $\mu$ is the viscosity of the oil, $v$ is the superficial velocity and the $\gamma$ is the interfacial tension between the oil and water.
within our experimental domain, in principle the higher viscosity of the non-wetting phase may result in sharper saturation front of the interfaces. To take care of this possible situation in the calculation of domain averaged $P$ the following approach was taken. Prior to the arrival of the oil phase at the lower measurement points in 8 and 12 cm high columns, the first term of Eq. (4) is set to zero. At these points, the calculated $P$ values are negative and are discarded.

In general, a sharper fluid–fluid interface is thought to have greater influence on when the interface reaches the measurement points and, hence, it may affect the calculated $P$. However this was not a concern in this study. As evident from Eq. (4), the domain scale $P$ is calculated using the local water saturation in the porous medium determined from the TDR probes and not the saturation determined from outflows. Furthermore, the average $P$ is only determined when the oil phase reaches all the measurement points. In this way, we avoid any discrepancy that may arise in the equilibrium $P$–$S$ data at the domain and local levels. Such an approach has been adopted in a number of earlier studies (e.g., [5,16]) and we continue the same tradition in this paper.

In addition, the following central difference scheme was used to obtain the desaturation rate for the entire domain based on the outflow volume collected in the cylinder placed on the balance:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}|_{t_n} = \frac{S|_{t_{n+1}} - S|_{t_{n-1}}}{\Delta t}$$  \[ (5) \]

This is a well-accepted method and is frequently used in the literature [5,16].

3. Results and discussions

Determination of $\tau$–$S$ relationships for two-phase flow requires prior determination of $P$–$S$ and $\partial S/\partial t$–$S$ relationships for the system of interest. However, much like the $\tau$–$S$ curves, the $P$–$S$ and $\partial S/\partial t$–$S$ relationships are subject to the significance of domain scale and viscosity ratio effects. Imposition of the same boundary pressures on dissimilar domain scales lead to different impacts of pressure drop ($\Delta P$) across different domain scales with decreasing magnitude of $\Delta P$ as the domain length increases. On the other hand, different pressures can be imposed on top of different size domains to result in the same pressure gradient across the domain. The impact of these effects on the $P$–$S$ and $\partial S/\partial t$–$S$ relationships and the eventual $\tau$–$S$ relationships are the objects of the discussion in this section. At the end, the parameters of importance identified in this paper together with relevant papers from the literature form the basis for the development of a dimensionless correlation for $\tau$ using Buckingham's II-theorem.

In order to assess the repeatability of the experiments, replicate experiments were conducted which showed reproducibility under similar conditions for both the $P$–$S$ relationship as well as the desaturation rate. Fig. 2 shows a typical replicate $\partial S/\partial t$–$S$ curves for the 4 cm cell and 200 viscosity ratio oil at 20 kPa imposed pressure. The repeatability indicates consistent packing of the porous medium in the cell. Viscosity ratio ($\mu_v$) was earlier defined as the ratio of the viscosity of the non-wetting phase to that of the wetting phase. In this paper, viscosity ratio and viscosity can be used interchangeably since the kinematic viscosity of water is taken to be 1 cSt. Thus, the viscosity ratio has the same magnitude as the viscosity of the non-wetting phase.

From Fig. 2 it can be seen that the desaturation rate rises fast to a high value (in the absolute sense) and declines steadily until the saturation approaches the final measured saturation. Under dynamic drainage, as the outflow from the domain continues, the desaturation rate rises to the highest value at a high saturation. This is followed by a decline as the rate decreases henceforth towards the final measured saturation. Similarly, other equilibrium and dynamic drainage experiments conducted in duplicates showed good reproducibility. All of our results show that the dynamic $P$–$S$ curves lie above the equilibrium or quasi static curves and it is uppermost for the largest imposed pressure i.e., 20 kPa. This goes to affirm the presence of dynamic capillary pressure effects and it is consistent with the findings from other authors [9,15,16,41,44].

3.1. Scale dependency of $P$–$S$ and $\partial S/\partial t$–$S$ relationships for different fluid viscosity ratios

Prior to the determination of $\tau$, we discuss the $P$–$S$ and $\partial S/\partial t$–$S$ relationships briefly as they form the bases for the values of $\tau$.

3.1.1. $P$–$S$ relationships

The trends/patterns of the $P$–$S$ relationships in this work are consistent with what have been reported by other authors [2,15] and therefore, they are not discussed in length. As an example of the $P$–$S$ curves obtained in this work Fig. 3 is included, which provides a graphical picture of the relationships for different silicone oils for the 4 cm high porous domain. In consistence with other studies, the capillary pressure rises as the water saturation reduces and it becomes almost upright as the saturation approaches the final measured saturation. Also, the dynamic drainage $P$–$S$ curves for 10, 15 and 20 kPa lie above the quasi-static drainage curve. This is the reflection of dynamic effect and is in line with the desaturation patterns. Also, worthy of note is the increase in the $P$ with viscosity increase. The required entry pressure is seen to similarly increase with $\mu_v$. This is owing to the resistance of the porous domain to flow which increases with $\mu_v$. Thus, a $\mu_v$ of 200 has the least resistance to enter the medium while 1000 $\mu_v$ has the highest. At comparable saturation, 200 $\mu_v$ silicone oil–water system has capillary pressure that is lesser than 500 cSt while 1000 cSt has the highest. Similar patterns are observed for the bigger domains.

3.1.2. $\partial S/\partial t$–$S$ relationships: influences of fluid properties and porous domain characteristics

The desaturation rate ($\partial S/\partial t$) of the aqueous phase is one of the important factors, which determine the magnitude of $\tau$ [16,17]. Therefore, a good understanding of $\partial S/\partial t$ and its relationship with the saturation for different fluid properties (e.g., viscosity ratio) and domain scales are crucial in quantifying the scale dependency.
of r. In this paper, the time dependent saturation data corresponding to the dynamic r – S curves are used to determine the oS/oτ – S relationships. When oS/oτ = 0, the system reaches flow equilibrium for particular conditions (e.g., boundary conditions, porous medium properties). As a point corresponding to oS/oτ = 0 can be easily identified in the oS/oτ – S plots, these graphs can be used to distinguish between the equilibrium and non-equilibrium points in terms of saturation. In a number of previous studies oS/oτ – t curves prepared from dynamic S – t curves were adopted to differentiate the equilibrium and non-equilibrium points (e.g., [17,36]). However, we adopt oS/oτ – S curves in this work. We vary a number of parameters in this paper (e.g., pressure, domain size, viscosity) which affect both the saturation and time to equilibrium. However, it is the saturation values which are used later in this paper to discuss the saturation dependent r for different cases. In order to have a consistent framework for relating the oS/oτ to r where we have saturation as the common parameter we chose to use oS/oτ – S and not the oS/oτ – t curves in this paper. We have noted with interest that Hou et al. [28] have chosen to work with oS/oτ – S curves as well.

We find that oS/oτ decreases as the domain size increases with a visible effect of the imposed pressure on it along the depth of the domain. This is depicted in the typical results in Fig. 4(A–F) for μs of 200. Starting from 8 cm cell in Fig. 4(A), we find that the top section of the domain has the least rate of desaturation. The bottom section has a lower desaturation rate in comparison while the whole domain scale has the least rate of desaturation. It should be recalled that the 8 cm cell is subdivided into two sections of 4 cm each. Each has the TDR probe for in situ measurement of water saturation. Similar patterns are seen in Figs. 5 and 6(A) for 500 and 1000 μs, respectively. Furthermore, Fig. 4(B) presents the oS/oτ – S relationship in the 12 cm cell for 200 μs. Similar to the pattern in 8 cm cell, oS/oτ at a particular saturation decreases along the depth of the domain. The top section has the highest desaturation rate followed by the middle section. The oS/oτ is the least at the bottom section and remains the closest to that of the whole domain. The pattern remains similar in Figs. 5 and 6(B) for 500 and 1000 μs, respectively. It is noticeable that the oS/oτ – S profiles at the middle and bottom sections are close to each other.

The fact that oS/oτ changes along the depth of an experimental cell suggests that there are likely to be sharp saturation fronts and piston-like flow in the domain particularly at higher viscosity ratio. These issues were discussed recently by Hou et al. [28] using numerical simulation. Sharp saturation fronts, fingering and piston-like flow in the porous domains have been discussed also in a number of other studies as we have mentioned earlier in this paper. In our experimental studies, we do not explicitly monitor the saturation fronts in the domain, and therefore, we avoid a detailed discussion in this regard. We observe that the variations in the desaturation rates at particular saturation for different cell sizes generally reduce as the viscosity ratio increases. The possible effect of sharp saturation fronts and piston-like flow in the domain can still be noticed if we consider the results from the top compartments of all the cell sizes, i.e., 4, 8 and 12 cm as shown in Fig. 4(C) for 200 μs. Obviously, the 4 cm cell does not have more than one compartment; 8 cm cell has top and bottom sections of 4 cm each and the 12 cm cell has top, middle and bottom compartments of sections of 4 cm each. The closeness of the desaturation profiles, which almost overlie, for the same imposed boundary pressure is an indication of similar saturation front at these portions of the domains. For 500 and 1000 μs (Figs. 5 and 6(C) respectively) similar patterns were obtained with that of 4 cm cell for 500 μs having slightly higher rate of desaturation than the other cell sizes. At 1000 μs, the pattern is not found to be much different. Other comparable sections of different cell sizes can be further discussed with a thorough look at the bottom and middle sections of the 8 and 12 cm cells. Comparing the bottom sections of the 8 and 12 cm cells shown in Fig. 4(D) for 200 μs indicates partly overlapping profiles. Further comparison of the bottom section of 8 cm cell to the middle section of 12 cm cell in Fig. 4(E) depicts similar patterns.
For 500 and 1000 \( \mu_t \) (Figs. 5 and 6(E)), the middle section of the 12 cm cell almost completely overlapped the profiles of the bottom section of the 8 cm cell. This is not surprising as the two sections of different domain sizes are at the same distance from the injection point of their respective domains, and therefore, are likely to be subject to the same saturation fronts. Finally, comparison was made of the \( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \)–S patterns at the domain scales for the different cell sizes 4, 8 and 12 cm cells. 10, 15 at 20 kPa pressures are imposed respectively for dynamic conditions. The domain scale \( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \) curves are obtained from balance readings and the local \( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \) from TDR probe.

3.2. Domain scale dependency of \( \tau-S \) relationships for different fluid viscosity ratios

As earlier stated, the forecast function in Microsoft Excel (2010) was utilised for linear interpolation in order to establish corresponding points of saturation and capillary pressure. This was done for both the quasi-static and dynamic drainage conditions as well as to determine the desaturation rate.

The estimated differences in dynamic and equilibrium capillary pressures (\( \frac{P_{c, dyn} - P_{c, eq}}{K} \)) were fitted to a straight line against the desaturation rate \( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \) at chosen saturation values for different imposed boundary conditions. Two possible curve fitting approaches were tried. First, a direct fit of the data points which may generate an intercept on the y-axis was used. Secondly, an approach which force the fit through the origin by assuming an additional point at 100% saturation when \( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0 \) is chosen. Slopes of these straight lines represent the \( \tau \). The first approach is commonly used in the literature [11,16,33,36]. \( \tau \) results from the first approach (intercept fit) are presented in this work and the comparison of the two approaches is shown in Fig. 7.

It can be seen that the two approaches produced similar trends that only differ at some middle saturation range. In other words, the fitting method might not influence the calculated \( \tau \) at high
Fig. 5. Desaturation patterns at different sections and domain scales for 500 viscosity ratio: (A) 8 cm cell at 20 kPa, (B) 12 cm cell at 20 kPa, (C) top sections in each of 4, 8 and 12 cm cells at 20 kPa, (D) bottom sections in each of 8 and 12 cm cells at 20 kPa. (E) bottom of 8 cm cell compared to the middle of 12 cm cell at 20 kPa, (F) domain scales of 4, 8 and 12 cm cells at 20 kPa. Similar pressures imposed as in Fig. 3 for dynamic conditions. Domain scale \( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \) obtained from balance readings and local \( \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \) from TDR readings.
and low water saturation values. In any case, we use the first approach in this work to calculate the $\tau$ values in consistent with most studies, unless otherwise stated.

Fig. 8 presents our results for the $\tau$ as a function of saturation at different domain scales for different viscosity. The results in Fig. 8(A–C) show that the coefficient follows a rising trend as the saturation decreases. Also, it increases in magnitude as the viscosity ratio ($\mu_r$) increases. The dynamic coefficients are found to be in the range of $1.72 \times 10^5$ and $4.77 \times 10^5$ Pa s for the saturation values of 0.2 and 0.9 in the 4 cm cell for the viscosity ratio ($\mu_r$) of 200. For the same domain scale, $\mu_r$ of 500 results in the dynamic coefficients in the range of $1.26 \times 10^6$ to $7.40 \times 10^6$ Pa s while the $\mu_r$ of 1000 results in the coefficient in the range of $5.00 \times 10^6$ to $9.08 \times 10^6$ Pa s. This increase in the dynamic coefficients in the above cases is a result of the decrease in the desaturation rate as saturation decreases at a particular viscosity ratio or as $\mu_r$ increases.

**Fig. 6.** Desaturation patterns at different sections and domain scales for 1000 viscosity ratio: (A) 8 cm cell at 20 kPa, (B) 12 cm cell at 20 kPa, (C) top sections in each of 4, 8 and 12 cm cells at 20 kPa, (D) bottom sections in each of 8 and 12 cm cells at 20 kPa, (E) bottom of 8 cm cell compared to the middle of 12 cm cell at 20 kPa, (F) domain scales 4, 8 and 12 cm cells at 20 kPa. Similar pressures imposed as in Fig. 3 for dynamic conditions. Domain scale $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$ obtained from balance readings and local $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$ from TDR readings.
at a particular saturation. The interpretation/implication of increased or decreased $\tau$ values from the physical point of view has been discussed extensively [22,27,35], and the same holds true in this case. For example, when $\tau$ increases, the system requires higher capillary force (or time) to reach a state of flow equilibrium. A graphical comparison of the results for $\tau$–$S$ curves at different viscosities in 4 cm cell is shown in Fig. 8(D). As evident, the results show an order increase in $\tau$ as the $\mu_s$ increases from 200 to 500 and 1000 at the same domain scale. However, $\tau$ remains within the same order of magnitude at $\mu_s$ of 500 and 1000.

For the larger porous domains (8 and 12 cm) similar trends are observed with additional effect of the domain depth as the fluid front moves from the top of the domain during the drainage process. For example, Fig. 8(A) shows that in the 8 cm cell and 200 $\mu_s$, there are variations in the trends of $\tau$ values at the top and bottom compartments of the cell. As explained in Section 2.2, bigger cells of heights 8 and 12 cm are subdivided into multiple compartments of 4 cm with each compartment having a TDR probe and a pair of pressure transducers. These are hypothetical compartments but their size corresponds to the typical averaging volume over which a TDR carries out the measurements for water saturation. While there is similar magnitude of $\tau$ at the top of the domain in 8 cm cell compared to 4 cm cell, the bottom has $\tau$ magnitude close to the domain scale. Thus, for 8 cm scale, $\tau$ at the bottom measurement point and domain scale have an order increase in magnitude close to the final saturation. $\tau$ values ranging from $4.01 \times 10^5$ to $1.31 \times 10^6$ Pa s were obtained for 200 $\mu_s$ in 8 cm cell at the domain scale between 0.9 and 0.3 saturation. The desaturation rate for the entire domain was calculated based on the outflow collected in the cylinder on the balance while the top and bottom desaturation rate was determined from TDR probe readings. The capillary pressure for the domain scale was determined from saturation-weighted average of the local level capillary pressure in the cells.

Fig. 8(E) compares $\tau$ at the top section of the 8 cm cell with the domain scale values obtained at 4 cm scale. It can be seen that the two share similarities in the trend of $\tau$–$S$ curves. Similarly, for the 12 cm cell and 200 $\mu_s$, in comparison with 4 cm cell for the same viscosity ratio, higher value of $\tau$ ($1.653 \times 10^5$ Pa s) having an order of magnitude increase was obtained at saturation of 0.3 for the domain scale. Fig. 8(B) shows this trend as well as the other sections of the 12 cm cell. $\tau$ values of $1.08 \times 10^7$ and $1.25 \times 10^7$ Pa s representing an order increase in the values of $\tau$ were obtained at 12 cm high cell for 500 and 1000 $\mu_s$, in comparison with the respective $\tau$ values at 4 cm scale for the respective viscosities at 0.3 saturation. Fig. 8(C) shows the relationship at 12 cm scale for 500 $\mu_s$. The effect of pressure gradient is present but it seems to be lesser in impact than that for 200 $\mu_s$ as the domain scale values of $\tau$ lie close to the values at the bottom level. Similar effect of scale had earlier been expressed by Bottero et al. [5] where they affirmed an order of magnitude increase from local to upscaled or domain scale.

Fig. 8(D) compares the values and trends of $\tau$ for 200, 500 and 1000 $\mu_s$ at 4 cm scale. $\tau$ values continue to increase as the viscosity ratio increases and it is the highest at 1000 $\mu_s$. Also, Fig. 8(F) compares the domain scale values of $\tau$ for different scales, namely, 4, 8 and 12 cm cells where the highest $\tau$ values are found in 12 cm scale. $\tau$–$S$ relationships at different layers appear closer for 500 $\mu_s$ in Fig. 8(C) as compared to 200 $\mu_s$ in Fig. 8(A). This might be owing to the decreasing desaturation rate at the different layers of the domain for higher viscosity oil (500 $\mu_s$).

To further bring to light some of the trends seen in this work, our results are discussed in the context of what has been shown in the literature. Effect of viscosity ratio on $\tau$ was earlier reported by Goel and O’Carroll [22] for the viscosity ratios of 0.442 and 4.098 and it was reported that $\tau$ increases with viscosity ratio as obtained in this paper. In their results, average $\tau$ ranges from $1.2 \times 10^6$ to $2.74 \times 10^6$ Pa s for the 4.098 viscosity ratio while for 0.442 viscosity ratio $\tau$ values range between $4.85 \times 10^5$ to $2.16 \times 10^6$ Pa s. In comparison, the results in this paper show that $\tau$ values are in a fairly similar range as our 200 $\mu_s$ in the 12 cm cell with the average $\tau$ ranging between $7.18 \times 10^5$ to $1.65 \times 10^6$ Pa s at the domain scale. Even though the difference in the viscosity ratios is quite large (200 in our case assuming water has 1 cSt viscosity at ambient condition), the closeness of the results can be explained in the context of the domain size used. Goel and O’Carroll [22] used a pressure cell of 10 cm diameter similar to our cell of 10.2 cm diameter but the length of their cell was 20 cm compared to ours of maximum height 12 cm. This shows that the effect of height can be very significant on the results. Furthermore, intrinsic permeability of their medium ($1.53 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$) is lower than that of this work and this is known to influence the magnitude of $\tau$ [15]. In addition, the influence of $dS/dt$ can still be found in the reported values. For example, Goel and O’Carroll [22] reported $9.8 \times 10^{-4}$ and $2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ as the highest $dS/dt$ values for the 4.098 and 0.442 viscosity ratios, respectively, as compared to $4.1 \times 10^{-3}$ and $3.4 \times 10^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$ at 4 and 12 cm domain scale, respectively, for 200 $\mu_s$ in this work. While the values are closely of the same order, the gradient in the $dS/dt$ values which might result from the pressure gradient effect discussed above will be much higher in their work considering the length of the domain used in the work (20 cm). Thus, higher values of $\tau$ might be reported than expected.

Also, Camps-Roach et al. [8] reported $\tau$ in the range $3.7 \times 10^5$ to $10^6$ Pa s for air–water system for a cell of 10 cm diameter and 20 cm height. This can be compared with our results discussed above and the same reason can be adduced for the closeness of $\tau$ despite a large difference in viscosity values. In addition, Das and Mirzaei [16] utilised similar viscosity ratio (200) as in this paper and the same 12 cm height cell, their results for $\tau$ range between $10^6$ to $10^7$ Pa s. This is lower than the corresponding viscosity ratio and scale in this paper but the difference can be explained in the light of higher permeability medium used by Das and Mirzaei [16] which was $8.7 \times 10^{-10}$ m$^2$. By and large, our results in this paper are comparable to other studies although they are for different fluids pair and domain sizes.

In the light of the above discussion, one can discern the effects of scale and viscosity ratio on the trend in the magnitude of $\tau$. The rise in the value of $\tau$ with viscosity can be attributed to decrease in desaturation rate with increased viscosity of the non-wetting phase. In other words, $\tau$ value is inversely affected by the desaturation rate ($dS/dt$), i.e., the lower the desaturation rate, the higher the $\tau$. Thus, the value of $\tau$ rises as the $\mu_s$ increases from 200 to
We observed an order increase in the magnitude of \( \tau \) from 200 to 500 and 1000 \( \mu_r \) at the 4 cm scale. This trend repeats itself for viscosity values at 12 cm scale with \( \tau \) at 200, 500 and 1000 \( \mu_r \) experiencing an order increase in magnitude compared to their respective values at 4 cm scale. Considering different domain sizes, \( \tau \) showed an order increase in magnitude from 4 cm scale to 12 cm scale for all viscosities which goes on to say that there is a direct relationship between \( \tau \) and domain scale. Also, decrease in the desaturation rate with depth may be strongly connected to the pressure drop across the domain. This has the implication of raising \( \tau \) values considering the relation in Eq. (2). This is in contrast to the findings of Camps-Roach et al. [8] that \( \tau \) does not change with the averaging window considered in their work. However, our observation is similar to the report by Bottero et al. [5] with respect to the domain scale. They [5] reported an order increase in up-scaled values of \( \tau \) for their experimental domain in comparison to the local level. Similarly, they observed that magnitude of pressure difference at local scale (0.7 cm) was close to that at the averaging window of 11 cm while the desaturation rates were more apart with \( 4 \times 10^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \) and \( 4 \times 10^{-3} \) s\(^{-1} \) for the respective scales in their experiment. Thus, they concluded that the scale dependency of \( \tau \) is mainly because of the rate of change of saturation, whose magnitude decreases with the increase of the length scale. To explain this, we can refer to Eq. (2) where \( \tau \) is related to Fig. 8. Dynamic coefficients and saturation relationship for silicone oil–water system: (A) 8 cm cell, 200 viscosity ratio, (B) 12 cm cell, 200 viscosity ratio, (C) 12 cm cell, 500 viscosity ratio, (D) 200, 500 and 1000 viscosity ratio silicone oil in 4 cm cell, (E) top sections of 4 and 8 cm cells for 200 viscosity ratio, (F) domain scales for 4, 8 and 12 cm cells, 200 viscosity ratio. Domain scale \( \partial \mu_r / \partial t \) obtained from balance readings.
both the pressure difference and the desaturation rate. While the pressure difference can be fairly similar under different conditions the $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$ can become drastically low under many conditions, e.g., viscosity ratios of the two-phase system, distance from injection point, porous media properties and so on. In contrast to the observation of Dahle et al. [11], $\tau$ may not become arbitrarily large as the averaging length increases as observed in the above discussion.

In Fig. 8, three different pressures (10, 15, 20 kPa), which may impose the same or different pressure gradients ($\Delta P$) across the three domains for different domain sizes, have been used to determine the $\tau$-$S$ relationships as typically used in the literature [17]. In order to understand the results better and to further confirm that the $\tau$-$S$ relationship depend on the scale, the domain scale dependence of $\tau$-$S$ curves using similar $\Delta P$ across the domains are presented in Figs. 9 and 10. The calculation procedures for $\tau$ in this case follow the same approach as described by Goel and O’Carroll [22], Camps-Roach et al. [8], and Sakaki et al. [41]. Briefly, the approach involves the use of a single pressure head for dynamic drainage to obtain the $P_{c,\text{dyn}}$ in Eq. (2). The difference between the dynamic and the quasi-static $P_c (P_{c,\text{dyn}} / P_{c,\text{equ}})$ is then plotted against the $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$ values at corresponding wetting phase saturation ($S$). The slope of this straight line is then defined as the dynamic coefficient. This approach is different from that employed in other experiments including the results so far in this paper where three different pressure heads are imposed for dynamic drainage and the best linear fit is drawn through the plots of $P_{c,\text{dyn}} - P_{c,\text{equ}}$ against $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}$ at corresponding saturation (e.g., [17]). The results of our calculations with two similar pressure gradients are shown in Figs. 9 and 10 for the domain scale $\tau$-$S$ relationships. The figures demonstrate the results for 200 and 500 viscosity ratios. Figs. 9 and 10(A) show that there is an increase of $\tau$ as the domain size increases from 4 to 8 cm when viscosity ratios are 200 and 500, respectively. The increase in $\tau$ becomes more significant as the water saturation reaches the final measured water saturation. Similar observations are made in Figs. 9 and 10(B) where $\tau$-$S$ curves for 8 and 12 cm domains are presented for a pressure gradient of 1.25 kPa/cm. Figs. 9 and 10(C) show that as the pressure gradient across a domain is increased (doubled), $\tau$ increases again for a given domain size. The capillary force (or time) that a system needs to reach an equilibrium state is related not only related to the domain size or viscosity ratio but also the pressure gradient. As a result, we observe that as the pressure gradient across a domain is increased, $\tau$ is also increased provided all other factors remain the same (Figs. 9 and 10(C)). Furthermore, the results in Figs. 9 and 10 confirm the scale dependency of the $\tau$-$S$ curves and their relationships to viscosity ratio using results where the pressure gradients across different domains are similar.

3.3. Scaling factor ($\tau_r$): the ratio of $\tau$ at the local and domain scales

So far we have discussed our results on $\tau$ in terms of specific fluid properties. In particular, we have discussed the scale dependencies of $\tau$-$S$ curve, when one moves from a smaller to larger domain. However, the ratios of $\tau$ at a measurement point (local scale) to the $\tau$ value for the whole domain scale also provide important insights into the scaling dependency of $\tau$ and therefore they are discussed briefly in this section. Physically, the ratio of $\tau$ means the ratio of $(P_{c,\text{dyn}} - P_{c,\text{equ}})_{\partial S/\partial t}_{\partial S/\partial t}$ at two different
averaging scales and any term that affects \((p^{\text{dyn}} - p^{\text{eq}}})/\partial S/\partial t\) should also affect the ratio. As said before the local \(\tau\) value depends on the processes that occur at a measurement point in the domain. Further, it is the highest for the domain scale. Thus, this ratio should be equal to or smaller than unity at all saturation. As the observation volumes for the measurements sensors (i.e., TDR probes for saturation and the pressure transducers for capillary pressures) are significantly small as compared to the size of the full domain, there may be some differences in the measured equilibrium and dynamic capillary pressures at these two observation scales. The processes which dominate the values of \(\tau\) in the local or domain scales are likely to be different. For the local scale \(\tau\) one should expect that the pore scale processes (e.g., dynamic contact angles, inertia effects in the pores, viscous effects captured in capillary pressure) are the major influence in its values. In the averaged \(\tau\) at the domain scale one should expect to find the \(\tau\) values which are governed mostly from spatially averaging of non-linear processes (e.g., pressure and saturation distribution).

To indicate the relationship between the \(\tau\) values at the local and domain scales, we define a \(\tau\) scaling factor, \(\tau_r\), which is simply the ratio of \(\tau\) at a particular measurement point to that at the domain scale, both measured for the same porous domain, fluid pair and medium permeability. We then plot \(\tau_r\) as a function of \(S\). This is expected to provide a better understanding of the scaling dependency of \(\tau\) in relation to viscosity ratio. For example, when \(\tau_r\) is close to unity, it means that the significance of the dynamic capillary pressure effect at the local and the domain scale are similar. To obtain the \(\tau\) values for this section, we use all the pressures (10, 15, 20 kPa) as we have used to obtain the results in Fig. 8.

For the 4 cm high cell, there is only one measurement point at the centre of the domain, thus this scale is excluded from this discussion. For the 8 cm high cell with two pairs of measurement points, \(\tau_r\) is higher at the bottom, than the top measurement point for 200 \(\mu\)t. This is illustrated in Fig. 11(A). The difference between \(\tau_r\) at these two points appears to be nearly constant at higher water saturation but it becomes more pronounced as the saturation decreases. This implies that significance of the scale dependent \(\tau-S\) relationships may be different if compared at different measurement points within the same domain.

The relation of \(\tau_r\) for 500 \(\mu\)t for the same domain scale is shown in Fig. 11(B). It shows some alternation of higher and lower values of \(\tau_r\) between the bottom and the top measurement points. However, by and large, \(\tau_r\) at the bottom measurement points show higher values indicating that \(\tau\) at the bottom of the domain is closer to the values for the whole domain. Similar to the behaviour at 200 \(\mu\)t, the trend in \(\tau_r\) at the 1000 \(\mu\)t maintains clearly higher value for the bottom measurement point. The relationship is illustrated in Fig. 11(C). The \(\tau_r\) trend in the 12 cm high domain follows similar pattern as above. This therefore confirms the general observation we made for \(\tau_r\). In addition to the top and bottom measurement sections, 12 cm cell has a middle measurement point. Fig. 12(A) shows that \(\tau_r\) remains highest at the bottom section for 200 \(\mu\)t. This trend is further affirmed in Fig. 12(B) and (C) for 500 and 1000 \(\mu\)t respectively. However, it can be seen that the ratio is the highest for 500 \(\mu\)t. This is connected to the fact that \(\tau\) values at the measurement points for 500 \(\mu\)t in 12 cm high cell are closer in value to the \(\tau\) at the domain scale than other viscosity ratios for the same 12 cm high cell. Since \(\tau\) is related to how far or close to equilibrium is the two-phase system [15], above behaviours can be explained
Fig. 11. Scaling factor in 8 cm high cell for different viscosity ratios: (A) viscosity ratio of 200, (B) viscosity ratio of 500, (C) viscosity ratio of 1000.

Fig. 12. Scaling factor in 12 cm high cell for different viscosity ratios: (A) viscosity ratio of 200, (B) viscosity ratio of 500, (C) viscosity ratio of 1000.
in terms of the equilibration times [27] which becomes larger as the depth of the domain from the injection point increases. Since \( \tau \) is higher at the bottom of the domain, it implies higher equilibration time is required at a point far from the point of fluid injection (the bottom point in this case). However the highest equilibration time will still be required at the domain scale.

3.4. Non-dimensional analysis of dynamic coefficient using the Buckingham’s II theorem

As discussed in a number of other publications and this work, many factors are attributed to the presence of dynamic capillary pressure effect in two-phase flow in porous media. In the light of the results in this paper the influence of domain scales is elucidated while the effects of viscosity ratio are emphasised. Overall, it can be safely stated that it is a combined effect of these effects that determines the magnitude of \( \tau \) and not simply the scale of the domain or the viscosity ratio or the medium permeability. Therefore, relating these variables through a functional form provides the advantage of applying this relation to directly estimate \( \tau \) and in particular to quantify the interplay of variables in determining the \( \tau \) value in systems varying in scales or other properties. Such a functional relationship can be derived from a non-dimensional analysis of \( \tau \) and the relevant variables highlighted above. This can derive from the fact that all variables in the equation should have zero dimensions. By constructing non-dimensional groups of variables expressing a relationship among different variables, it is then possible to express empirical data in the form of a function. More importantly, the lumped behaviour of a parameter (i.e., \( \tau \) in this case) in domains of different size can be understood.

When a dimensional analysis is applied, if the relevant dimensionless groups are the same on two systems, e.g., a smaller and a bigger porous domain, the result of the smaller system would be applicable to the larger system or vice versa. Therefore, conceptually a non-dimensional analysis of the dynamic coefficient provides an attractive way to correlate the experimental results in this work and determine further how it behaves in combination with other variables.

To carry out the non-dimensional analysis of \( \tau \), the well-known Buckingham’s II-theorem was employed owing to its usefulness in determining sets of dimensionless parameters from chosen variables. In principle, the theorem reduces a dimensionally homogeneous relationship (i.e., every independent, additive term has the same dimension) involving \( n \) variables in \( m \) fundamental dimensions to a single relationship among \( n - m \) independent dimensionless products [20]. Thus, using the II’s notation to represent the dimensionless term, the theorem can be expressed as:

\[
\Pi_1 = \Phi(\Pi_2, \Pi_3, \ldots \Pi_{n-m})
\]

where II represents dimensionless term. In this work we applied a common method namely the ‘method of repeating variables’ to determine the II terms, where the following variables have been used based on our knowledge on the dynamic coefficient.

\[
f(\tau, g, K, P^d, V, \phi, \rho, \mu, S) = 0
\]

Here \( \tau \) is the dependent variable and \( g, K, P^d, V, \phi, \rho, \mu, S \) are the independent variables. In Eq. (6), \( g \) is the gravity, \( K \) is the isotropic intrinsic permeability, \( P^d \) the entry pressure, \( \phi \) the porosity, \( \lambda \) the pore size distribution index, \( V \) the domain volume representing domain scales, \( \rho \) and \( \mu \) are the fluid density and viscosity ratios, respectively, while \( S \) is the water saturation. The variables are chosen based on the most significant variables that have been reported to be important in determining the \( \tau \). We define that the effects that boundary conditions or any other parameters (e.g., pressure gradient) have on the results are directly captured by the values of \( \tau \) and \( S \).

In the analysis, it is necessary to use the dimensional terms for forming the dimensionless groups. Therefore, we begin the analysis by choosing the five dimensional variables in Eq. (6), namely, \( \tau, g, K, P^d \) and \( V \). We can incorporate the dimensionless variables (e.g., \( \phi, S \)) in the derived functional form as convenient but based on the knowledge of how a particular variable affect the \( \tau \).

Using the standard theorem procedures, it can be shown that two dimensionless groups of variables are needed to form a functional relationship between the above variables which are as follows:

\[
\Pi_1 = \frac{\tau \sqrt{g}}{K^{1/4} P^d}
\]

(7)

\[
\Pi_2 = VK^{2/3}
\]

(8)

\( \Pi_1 \) contains the dynamic coefficient, \( \tau \), and it can be interpreted as the dimensionless group of \( \tau \). \( \Pi_2 \) can be viewed as the non-dimensional volume of the domain (scale) which is made dimensionless with respect to the permeability of the porous domain. \( \Pi_2 \) can be modified to include the non-dimensional parameters in Eq. (6) without affecting the dimensionality of the group. This requires some user’s experience and knowledge of the relevant process. However, we have significant understanding of how the dynamic coefficient generally depends on these variables, i.e., \( \tau \) is directly proportional to viscosity and density ratios while it is inversely related to saturation, porosity and pore size distribution index. Using these general understanding, we modify Eq. (8) to include the non-dimensional independent parameters as follows:

\[
\Pi_3 = \frac{VK^{2/3} \rho \mu}{\phi \lambda S}
\]

(9)

\( \Pi_3 \) can still be viewed as a term that represents the non-dimensional form of the volume (scale) of the domain. Further, we can now state that

\[
\Pi_1 = f(\Pi_3)
\]

Expressing this in a non-linear form: \( \Pi_1 = a[\Pi_3]^b \) or

\[
\frac{\tau \sqrt{g}}{K^{1/4} P^d} = a \left[ \frac{VK^{2/3} \rho \mu}{\phi \lambda S} \right]^b
\]

(10)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are the correlation coefficients to be determined from experiments for specific case. Eq. (10) shows the dimensionless groupings which describe an interplay of variables that affects \( \tau \). Further, Eq. (10) shows a dependence of dimensionless \( \tau \) on a term representing the dimensionless volume of the domain. Plots of the relationship in Eq. (10) using the data in this paper are shown in Fig. 13 for the whole domain scales. To obtain the \( \tau \) values for this section, we have used all three pressures (i.e., 10, 15, 20 kPa) as we have used to obtain the results in Fig. 8. Fig. 13(A) shows the \( \Pi_1-\Pi_3 \) relationship for all the viscosity ratios. It shows a rising trend in this relationship for 200 \( \mu_t \) which is almost linear for some of the \( \tau \) values. It should be pointed out that the position of the saturation is reversed owing to its inverse relation in \( \Pi_3 \). So, instead of increasing saturation along the \( \Pi_3 \) axis, it reduces in value. Similarly, the relationship in 500 \( \mu_t \) in the same Fig. 13(A) follows similar pattern though with less-aligned scatter points resulting in lower \( R^2 \) value (0.7781). 1000 \( \mu_t \) exhibits similar trend. Large magnitude of \( \tau \) obtained in higher viscosities silicone oil–water system (500 and 1000 \( \mu_t \)) results in large values of \( \Pi_1 \) that dwarfs the \( \Pi_1-\Pi_3 \) relationships for 200 \( \mu_t \).

The influences of saturation and domain scale are not clearly discernible in Fig. 13(A) as each viscosity attains its final
saturation, expressed in $P_3$, differently irrespective of other terms. However, the impact of viscosity is visible as $P_1$ increases with increasing viscosity ratio for the same value of $P_3$. It is also visible at some points that $P_1$ for 500 $\mu_l$ lies higher than for 1000 $\mu_l$ at the same $P_3$. This may appear contrary to expectation, but its explanation lies in the fact that at the same $P_3$ the values of the two variables, $V$ and $S$, are not the same for each of the viscosities. At this same saturation point, $\tau$ value in 500 $\mu_l$ correspond to a certain $V$ and $S$ while in 1000 $\mu_l$, $\tau$ at this point correspond to lower $V$ and higher $S$, thus giving $P_1$ a lower value in 1000 $\mu_l$.

In order to calibrate the general scaling relationship between $P_1$ and $P_3$ (Eq. (10)) the data points for all viscosities and scales in this work are combined with $P_1$ and $P_3$ calculated from some typical literature data, namely, the data from Das and Mirzaei [16]. All of these data points are grouped together in Fig. 13(B). The best fitted curve through these points provides a scaling relationship as shown in Eq. (11).

$$\frac{\tau \sqrt{g}}{K^{1/4} \rho l^2} = 9e - 14 \left[ \frac{VK^2 \rho_1 \mu_2}{\phi S} \right]^{1.31}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Eq. (11) shows a power law correlation among different system variables, e.g., dimensionless $\tau$, dimensionless domain volume (scale) and the viscosity ratio for the system we considered. We appreciate that a scaling relationship such as the one shown in Eq. (11) may be derived using different forms (i.e., both power and non-power law behaviour). However, our observations on the data presented in Fig. 13 suggest the existence of an exponent and proportionality constant between the two dimensionless groups ($P_1$, $P_3$) in consistent with what one would expect in a power law function. Power law functions are well understood as they occur in most studies in some form and they are useful to interpret data easily, e.g., these functions can be plotted as straight lines using logarithmic scales. Keeping these points in mind, we have used a power-law function to determine the scaling relationship for the non-dimensional form of dynamic coefficient (Eqs.(10) and (11)).

To demonstrate the validity and applicability of the scaling relationship (Eq. (11)), a set of independent data from Bottero [4] were tested. Fig. 13(C) shows a plot of the dimensionless groups ($P_1$ and $P_3$) calculated from the data of Bottero [4]. As evident in Fig. 13(c) these data are reasonably well predicted by the scaling relationship for the same parameters used by Bottero [4]. In the figure, only one significant outlier could be found in comparison of the $P_1$–$P_3$ curve from Bottero [4] and the predicted $P_1$–$P_3$ relationship using Eq. (11). An attempt was also made to predict the $\tau$–$S$ relationship for the experimental data of Bottero [4] using Eq. (11). The comparison of the original [4] and predicted $\tau$–$S$ relationships are shown in Fig. 13(D) which shows a similar trend in the data. Again, only one significant outlier occurs at the saturation value of 0.8. These results seem to show that a scaling relationship such as the one in Eq. (11) has useful general application in the prediction of dynamic capillary pressure effect in terms of the dynamic coefficient.
This paper has demonstrated that the dynamic coefficient depends on a number of parameters (e.g., domain size, viscosity ratio). The paper further shows that by constructing non-dimensional group of quantities expressing a relationship among these different variables (i.e., $\Pi_1$ and $\Pi_2$), it is possible to summarise experimental results and determine their functional relationship. This approach has an advantage that it is possible to find out how many dimensionless groups are required to replace the variables which affect the dynamic coefficient. The empirical data obtained in this work along with the scaling relationship involving the two dimensionless groups suggest that it is possible to determine how significant the dynamic capillary pressure effect is in a particular situation in terms of the dynamic coefficient.

4. Conclusions

In this work, the effects of fluid viscosity ratio and scale of observation on the magnitude of dynamic capillary pressure (indicated by dynamic coefficients) have been elucidated. We have analysed two types of scale dependencies of the $\tau-S$ relationships in this work, which relate to (i) the scale dependencies between small and larger domain and, (ii) the scale dependencies between the local measurement point and domain scale. It is confirmed that $\tau$ increases with the scale of observation and the viscosity ratio of the fluid–fluid system. We also found that its value is inversely proportional to the scale of observation and the viscosity ratio of the local measurement point and domain scale. It is confirmed that $\tau$ increases monotonically with increase in $S$ while $\tau$ is found to decrease monotonically with increase in $S$ although we find some instances where the $\tau-S$ relationship is non-monotonic.

An order increase in magnitude of $\tau$ was observed as domain scale changes from 4 cm scale to region of low saturation in 8 cm domain scale. Similar order of increase with higher magnitude was recorded in 12 cm domain scale. Our results showed that the relationship between $\tau$ and domain scale depends further on viscosity ratio. Also, difference in pressure gradients in different domain sizes acts to reduce the desaturation rate which in effect causes a rise in the magnitude of $\tau$.

In addition, the fluid viscosity ratio together with the experimental scale results in an order increase in the value of $\tau$ as the scale increases from smaller to larger domain for the same viscosity. While a higher order increase is found with increase in viscosity ratio form 200 to 500, the change in $\tau$ while $\mu_s$ changes from 500 to 1000 is found to be of the same order. It can be reasonably inferred that the scale dependency of the dynamic coefficient is mainly because of the rate of change of saturation, whose magnitude decreases with the increase in depth of the domain from the injection point. This can also be said of $\tau$ dependency on viscosity ratios of the two-phase fluids. As the viscosity ratio increases, the desaturation rate reduces and hence $\tau$ increases.

The dimensionless correlations developed for the system showed the applicability of power law for the system and can be found useful in the first hand estimation of dynamic coefficients for system of interest provided the system parameters are available. In short, the magnitude of $\tau$ is similarly affected by the coupled effects of viscosity and domain scales and $\tau$ may not become arbitrarily large as the averaging length increases.
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