ABSTRACT

Plasmids are extremely valuable as a source of DNA and for use in biotechnology. Our research goal was to develop plasmids with extremely high copy numbers for lab-scale plasmid preparations. Using the beverage method simplifies the process of obtaining a large quantity of plasmid DNA for various applications. Diet Coke as a beverage demonstrated that DNA recovery is highly adequate. Diet Sting with EcoRI and BamHI enzymes was used to detect restriction digestion with high sensitivity. However, for lab-scale preparative work, our findings show that plasmid yield can be significantly increased by using standard growth procedures and commonly used growth media.
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1. INTRODUCTION

For more than a decade, geneticists have been interested in inducing mutations with chemicals and radiations with the goal of discovering mutagenic compounds through their specific activity, which can likely provide some understanding and knowledge of the chemical basis of mutation and gene structure [1]. Plasmids are extremely valuable as a source of DNA vaccines and in biotechnology applications. Increasing plasmid yield would benefit molecular biology research by lowering reagent costs and increasing experimental throughput [2]. Plasmids are used as vectors in many expression systems to produce recombinant proteins or non-proteinous recombinant components [3]. Plasmids have a significant impact on productivity, it is necessary to conduct research on plasmid stability and colony forming units at both the industrial and research levels [4]. Plasmids can also perform additional functions, such as plasmid-encoded genes that can improve the host's survival by completely killing a different organism or synthesizing toxins that act as defensive mechanisms. [5]. A single organism may contain multiple plasmids, each with a distinct function [6]. Restriction digestion is a technique that involves cutting a specific region of genetic material and incubating the targeted sequence with a restriction enzyme that binds to specific DNA sequences and cleaves at specific nucleotides [7]. Restriction digestion allows for the ligation of genetic material fragments, which is required for biological research [8].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plasmid Preparation

Single-cell colonies were picked and streaked out on selective LB-agar plates and further transferred to 2 mL selective LB-medium for 24 h at 37°C. The master LB-agar plate was stored at 4°C, whereas the overnight culture was used for plasmid Mini-Prep (GeneJET Plasmid, Fermentas) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasmids were eluted in 40 μL 1 mM Tris/HCl pH 8, or sterile water [9].

2.2 Restriction Analysis

Analytical restriction digestions with specific restriction endonucleases (Fermentas or New England BioLabs) were performed for verifying the expected plasmid by means of the DNA fragments analyzed with gel electrophoresis. After visualizing the correct band pattern for the estimated plasmid size within one or more clones, the respective clone was used for inoculation of approximately 100 mL selective LB-medium and incubated overnight at 37°C under shaking [10].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Restriction Analysis

In this gel plasmid and genomic DNA is seen affected by the different types of beverages. By doing this we observed that only Diet Coke and Sting were affected so Sting and Diet Coke was undergone restriction digestion for specific identity with EcoRI, BamHI and HindIII [Fig. 1A]. In this gel, genomic DNA is seen affected by the different types of beverages. By doing this we observed that only the Diet Sting and Sting were affected so Sting and Diet Sting was undergone restriction digestion for specific identity [Fig. 1B]. We have observed only digestion in the Diet Sting EcoRI, BamHI and HindIII enzymes [Fig. 1C].

3.2 Quantification of Gel Band

The public domain Image J tool was used to analyse the ECL image (developed at the National Institutes of Health and available at http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/), using the “measure” functions. The results of the analysis are a value for each band which is proportional to the Integrated Density Value (IDV) of the band. All images were processed in such a way that no information was lost.

![Fig. 1. Gel electrophoresis of restriction digestion and bands of genomic DNA observed under UV-trans illuminator and photographed using gel-doc](image-url)
Table 1. Quantification of genomic DNA band intensity using ImageJ

| Sl.no | Label   | Area | Major | Minor | Int Den |
|-------|---------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 1     | Diet Coke | 627  | 169   | 115   | 150.3   |
| 2     | Coke     | 520  | 189   | 135   | 164     |
| 3     | Fanta    | 476  | 217   | 132   | 174     |
| 4     | Sprite   | 390  | 187   | 134   | 166     |
| 5     | 7up      | 486  | 166   | 118   | 144.4   |
| 6     | Wild     | 512  | 188   | 103   | 158.6   |

Table 2. Quantification of plasmid DNA band intensity using ImageJ

| Sl.no | Label   | Area | Major | Minor | Int Den |
|-------|---------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 1     | Diet Sting | 375  | 150   | 105   | 130     |
| 2     | Sting    | 450  | 148   | 99    | 129.3   |
| 3     | Fanta    | 361  | 194   | 94    | 126.9   |
| 4     | Sprite   | --   | --    | --    | --      |
| 5     | 7up      | 450  | 149   | 92    | 123.1   |
| 6     | Wild     | 391  | 133   | 99    | 118.3   |

Table 3. Quantification of restriction digestion DNA band intensity using ImageJ

| Sl.no | Label               | Area | Major | Minor | Int Den |
|-------|---------------------|------|-------|-------|---------|
| 1     | Wild (EcoRI)        | 2448 | 135   | 82    | 108.8   |
| 2     | Wild (HindII1)      | 2992 | 115   | 74    | 94.6    |
| 3     | Wild (BamH1)        | 2100 | 132   | 84    | 111.1   |
| 4     | Diet Coke (EcoRI)   | 2520 | 141   | 79    | 111.3   |
| 5     | Diet Coke (HindII1) | --   | --    | --    | ---     |
| 6     | Diet Coke (BamH1)   | 1564 | 125   | 84    | 106.1   |
| 7     | Sting (EcoRI)       | --   | --    | --    | ---     |
| 8     | Sting (HindII1)     | --   | --    | --    | ---     |
| 9     | Sting (BamH1)       | --   | --    | --    | ---     |

4. CONCLUSION

With the choice of several beverages in the isolation of genomic and plasmid DNA of bacterial cells, the choice of Diet Coke as a beverage proved that the recovery of DNA is highly adequate and however the role of these beverages to be further studied to understand the recovery process and any changes or modifications to be known if various other recombinant technology methods are carried out.

DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Witkin EM. Mutations in Escherichia coli induced by chemical agents. InCold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 1947Jan1;12:256-269.
2. Ferreira GN, Monteiro GA, Prazeres DM, Cabral JM. Downstream processing of plasmid DNA for gene therapy and DNA vaccine applications. Trends in biotechnology. 2000 Sep 1;18(9):380-8.
3. Friehs K. Plasmid copy number and plasmid stability. New trends and developments in biochemical engineering. 2004:47-82.
4. Vemuri PK, Anjum SM, Medida LS, Sai PH, Gummavajjala M, Panigrahi BK, Dash S, Senapati S. Studies on Physiological Effects and Plasmid Stability of JM109 Host System. Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International. 2020:102-8.
5. Gerdes K. Toxin-antitoxin modules may regulate synthesis of macromolecules during nutritional stress. Journal of bacteriology. 2000 Feb 1;182(3):561-72.
6. Couturier MA, Bex F, Bergquist PL, Maas WK. Identification and classification of bacterial plasmids. Microbiological reviews. 1988 Sep;52(3):375-95.
7. Roberts RJ, Murray K. Restriction endonuclease. CRC critical reviews in biochemistry. 1976 Jan 1;4(2):123-64.
8. Weber E, Engler C, Gruetzner R, Werner S, Marillonnet S. A modular cloning system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs. PloS one. 2011 Feb 18;6(2):e16765.
9. Humphreys GO, Willshaw GA, Anderson ES. A simple method for the preparation of large quantities of pure plasmid DNA. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Nucleic Acids and Protein Synthesis. 1975 Apr 2;383(4):457-63.
10. Nathans D, Smith HO. Restriction endonucleases in the analysis and restructuring of DNA molecules. Annual review of biochemistry. 1975 Jul;44(1):273-93.

© 2021 Vengili et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/80798