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Abstract

Objectives: To describe the association between duration of antimicrobial prophylaxis (AMP) and 30-day surgical site infection (SSI), 7-day acute kidney injury (AKI), 90-day Clostridioides difficile infection (CDI), prolonged hospitalization, and 30-day reoperation after lumbar spine surgery for noninfectious indications, and to report adherence to current guidelines.

Design: Survey.

Participants and setting: The study cohort comprised 6,198 patients who underwent lumbar spine surgery for noninfectious indications across 137 Veterans’ Health Administration surgery centers between 2016 and 2020.

Methods: Used univariate and multivariate logistic regression to determine the association between type and duration of AMP with 30-day SSI, 7-day AKI, 90-day CDI, prolonged hospitalization, and 30-day reoperation.

Results: Only 1,160 participants (18.7%) received the recommended duration of AMP. On multivariate analysis, the use of multiple prophylactic antimicrobials was associated with increased odds of 90-day CDI (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 5.5; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.1–28.2) and 30-day reoperation (aOR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.2–4.4). Courses of antimicrobials ≥3 days were associated with increased odds of prolonged hospitalization (aOR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.4–2.3) and 30-day reoperation (aOR, 3.5; 95% CI, 2.2–5.7). In univariate analysis, increasing days of AMP was associated with a trend toward increasing odds of 90-day CDI (cOR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.0–1.8 per additional day; P = .056).

Conclusions: Longer courses of AMP after lumbar spine surgery were associated with higher odds of CDI, prolonged hospitalization, and reoperation, but not with lower odds of SSI. However, adherence to the recommended duration of AMP is very low, hinting at a wide evidence-to-practice gap that needs to be addressed by spine surgeons and antimicrobial stewardship programs.

(Received 22 September 2021; accepted 17 December 2021; electronically published 15 February 2022)

Surgical site infections (SSIs) complicate ~3% of spine surgeries.1 Antimicrobial prophylaxis (AMP) has been shown to decrease SSIs after spine surgery, but the duration of AMP remains a frequent subject of debate between antimicrobial stewardship programs (ASPs) and spine surgeons.

To date, most of the available evidence has not shown a difference in the frequency of SSIs after short and long courses of prophylactic antimicrobials, but some studies have yielded conflicting results.2-9 Consequently, despite recommendations to limit prophylactic antimicrobials to a single dose or a single day, in practice, the duration of prophylactic therapy ranges from 1 to 10 days2-4 and many patients receive antibiotic courses that may be unnecessarily long and lead to increased acute kidney injury (AKI), Clostridioides difficile infection (CDI), and healthcare costs.10,11

Our primary aim was to determine the association between the duration of AMP and 30-day SSI, 7-day AKI, 90-day CDI, prolonged hospital length of stay (LOS), and 30-day reoperation after lumbar spine surgery for noninfectious indications. Additional aims included determining the effect of antimicrobial selection on these outcomes and describing the degree of adherence to guidelines regarding selection and duration of prophylactic antimicrobials.

Methods

Data sources and study population

The Veterans’ Health Administration (VHA) is the largest integrated healthcare system in the United States, offering surgical care at 109 inpatient and 28 ambulatory surgery centers.12 For this study, we matched perioperative variables from the Veterans’ Affairs Surgical Quality Improvement Program (VASQIP)
database to bar-code medication administration (BCMA) records from a database created by the VA Informatics and Computing Infrastructure (VINCI) team. The VASQIP uses a validated sampling algorithm to manually review 105 perioperative variables from ~70% of all major surgical cases performed within the VHA. The database created by VINCI is a relational database that contains detailed clinical, pharmacy, and laboratory data abstracted directly from the VHA integrated electronic health record (EHR). We included all veterans from the VASQIP database who underwent lumbar spine surgery (primary CPT-4 codes 22224, 22533, 22534, 22558, 22587, 22612, 22630, 22633, 22862, 22865, 63005, 63017, 63012, 63030, 63042, 63047) between January 1, 2016, and September 30, 2020, and received at least 1 day of AMP with cefazolin, clindamycin, and/or vancomycin at any time starting on the date of surgery up to, and including, 7 days after the date of surgery. For patients who underwent multiple spine surgeries within the study period, we included only the first episode of care in this analysis. We excluded individuals who received other antibiotics and/or had postoperative International Classification of Disease, Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes for epidural abscess (G06., G07.), osteomyelitis, discitis, other infectious spondylodiscitis (M46.1, M46.2, M46.3, M46.4, M46.5), or infection and inflammatory reaction due to prosthetic material (T84.5, T84.6).

### Antimicrobial prophylaxis

Individuals were categorized by type (cefazolin, clindamycin, vancomycin, and multiple antimicrobials) and duration (1, 2, 3, and ≥4 days) of prophylactic antimicrobials. The duration of therapy was defined as the total sum of days for which any amount of cefazolin, clindamycin, and/or vancomycin was administered between the date of surgery and 7 days after the date of surgery. If a patient received >1 of the specified prophylactic antimicrobials, their duration of therapy was the sum of the days of therapy of all administered agents.

### Outcomes

The primary outcome of interest was 30-day SSI, which was defined as the development of superficial, deep, or organ-space SSI in the 30 days after the date of surgery. The VASQIP reviewer used the National Healthcare Safety Network (NHSN) definitions to categorize the different SSI categories. We defined 7-day AKI according to Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN) criteria (postoperative serum creatinine increase of 0.3 mg per dL or ≥50% of the preoperative value) or as determined by the VASQIP reviewer. Only 1,155 patients had enough data for the 7-day AKI outcome. The 90-day CDI outcome was defined as the presence of a positive *C. difficile* laboratory result in the 90 days following the date of surgery. Prolonged hospital length of stay (LOS) was defined as an LOS greater than the mean LOS for the entire cohort (4 days). The 30-day reoperation outcome was defined as a return to the operating room for any reason in the 30 days after the date of surgery.

### Covariates

Covariates were selected prior to data collection based on published risk factors for our outcomes of interest. These included demographic details (age, sex, race, ethnicity), comorbid conditions (ie, body mass index [BMI] in m/kg², history of diabetes mellitus, history of hypertension, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification), substance or medication use (ie, tobacco use <1 year prior to the surgery date, corticosteroid use <30 days prior to the surgery date), surgical details (ie, surgery type, anesthesia type, duration of surgery, heavy blood loss defined as blood loss requiring ≥4 units of packed red blood cells [pRBCs] in the 3 days after the date of surgery), and postoperative infections.

Postoperative sepsis was defined as documentation of sepsis in the EHR by the primary physician or the presence of infection plus ≥2 of the following in the immediate postoperative period: temperature >38°C or <36°C, heart rate >90 beats per minute, respiratory rate >20 breaths per minute, PaCO₂ <32 mmHg, leukocyte count >12,000 or <4,000 cells per mm³, or ≥10% immature (band) forms with or without hypotension. Postoperative pneumonia and urinary tract infection (UTI) were defined according to NHSN criteria.

### Statistical analysis

Clinical and surgical characteristics were summarized for the entire cohort and by duration of prophylactic antimicrobials. We used univariate and multivariate logistic regression to test the association between different types and durations of AMP with our outcomes of interest (30-day SSI, 7-day AKI, 90-day CDI, prolonged hospital LOS, and 30-day reoperation). Our multivariate logistic regression model adjusted for age, BMI, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ASA classification, tobacco use, corticosteroid use, surgery type, duration of surgery, and antimicrobial type or duration. For the 7-day AKI, 90-day CDI, and prolonged hospital LOS outcomes, we also adjusted for other postoperative infections (sepsis, pneumonia, UTI). Lastly, we stratified our analysis of the impact of duration on these outcomes by selected antimicrobial agent. Analyses were conducted in Stata version 15.1 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX). All tests were 2-sided, and *P < .05* was considered statistically significant.

### Ethical statement

The study was approved by the institutional review board of the Veterans’ Affairs Puget Sound Healthcare System. The requirement for informed consent was waived.

### Results

We included 6,198 veterans who underwent lumbar spine surgery: 2,041 (32.9%) underwent arthrodesis or fusion, 858 (13.8%) underwent discectomy, and 3,299 (53.2%) underwent laminectomy. Of all participants, 5,384 (86.9%) received the recommended agent (cefazolin), but only 1,160 (18.7%) received the recommended duration (≤1 day) of prophylactic antimicrobials.

The average age of this patient cohort was 60.9 years (SD ±12.6), 5,748 (92.7%) were men, 4,543 (73.3%) were classified as ASA class 3, and 2,010 (32.4%) had used tobacco <1 year prior to the surgery date. The average duration of surgery was 3.1 hours (SD ±1.7). The rate of 30-day SSI was 1.3% (n = 83), including 44 (0.7%) superficial SSIs, 30 (0.5%) deep SSIs, and 9 (0.1%) organ-space SSIs. The rate of 7-day AKI was 7.1% (n = 82 of 1,155); the rate of 90-day CDI was 0.3% (n = 18), and the rate of 30-day reoperation was 3.6% (n = 223). The average hospital LOS was 3.9 days (SD ±9.4) (Table 1).

The use of multiple antimicrobials increased the odds of 90-day CDI (crude odds ratio [cOR], 8.2; 95% CI, 1.8–36.4; adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 5.5; 95% CI, 1.1–28.2) and 30-day reoperation (cOR, 4.9; 95% CI, 2.7–8.7; aOR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.2–4.4). Additionally,
| Variable                  | Total (N = 6,198) | 1 Day (N = 1,160) | 2 Days (N = 4,163) | 3 Days (N = 381) | ≥4 Days (N = 494) | P Value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| **Age, mean y (SD)**     | 60.9 (12.6)       | 6,198             | 61.3 (12.6)        | 1,160            | 6.163            | 6.163   |
| **Sex, male**            | 92.7              | 5,748             | 92.5               | 1,073            | 92.5             | 92.5    |
| **Race**                 |                   |                   |                    |                  |                  |         |
| White                    | 74.3              | 4,606             | 75.2               | 872              | 74.7             | 73.2    |
| Black                    | 17.0              | 1,054             | 18.7               | 217              | 16.6             | 18.1    |
| Other                    | 2.4               | 148               | 1.6                | 19               | 2.3              | 3.7     |
| **Ethnicity**            |                   |                   |                    |                  |                  |         |
| Hispanic or Latino       | 5.5               | 343               | 4.8                | 56               | 5.5              | 230     |
| **BMI, mean kg/m² (SD)** | 30.4 (5.7)        | 6,196             | 30.4 (5.4)         | 1,160            | 30.5 (5.9)       | 4,162   |
| **Diabetes mellitus**    | 30.2              | 1,874             | 29.2               | 339              | 30.0             | 1,250   |
| **Hypertension**         | 69.8              | 4,327             | 71.1               | 825              | 69.1             | 2,876   |
| **Tobacco**              | 32.4              | 2,010             | 31.0               | 360              | 33.7             | 1,403   |
| **Corticosteroids**      | 2.3               | 41                | 2.7                | 31               | 2.2              | 91      |
| **ASA Class**            |                   |                   |                    |                  |                  |         |
| 1                        | 0.8               | 52                | 0.7                | 8                | 1.0              | 41      |
| 2                        | 22.6              | 1,398             | 20.8               | 241              | 23.9             | 997     |
| 3                        | 73.3              | 4,543             | 75.3               | 873              | 72.0             | 2,997   |
| 4                        | 3.3               | 205               | 3.3                | 38               | 3.1              | 128     |
| **Surgery details**      |                   |                   |                    |                  |                  |         |
| Arthrodesis/Fusion       | 32.9              | 2,041             | 33.3               | 386              | 31.9             | 1,327   |
| Discectomy               | 13.8              | 858               | 14.9               | 173              | 13.1             | 544     |
| Laminectomy/Laminotomy   | 53.2              | 3,299             | 51.8               | 601              | 55.1             | 2,292   |
| **Duration of surgery (mean, std), hours** | 3.1 (1.7) | 6,198 | 3.1 (1.8) | 1,160 | 3.1 (1.5) | 4,163 | 3.1 (1.6) | 381 | 3.7 (2.2) | 494 |
| **Required ≥ 4 pRBCs**   | 0                 | 2                 | 0                  | 1                | 0                | 1       |
| **Antimicrobial details**|                   |                   |                    |                  |                  |         |
| Duration of AMP (mean, std), days | 2.1, 1.0 | 6,198 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| Cefazolin                | 86.9              | 5,384             | 77.9               | 904              | 89.8             | 3,737   |
| Clindamycin              | 4.1               | 256               | 4.7                | 55               | 4.4              | 184     |
| Vancomycin               | 7.5               | 462               | 16.8               | 195              | 4.9              | 204     |
| Multipled                | 1.5               | 96                | 0.5                | 6                | 0.9              | 38      |
| **Postoperative infections** |           |                   |                    |                  |                  |         |
| Sepsis                   | 0.5               | 29                | 0.7                | 8                | 0.4              | 15      |
| Pneumonia                | 0.1               | 8                 | 0                  | 0                | 0.1              | 5       |
| UTI                      | 0.5               | 33                | 0.5                | 6                | 0.5              | 22      |
| **Postoperative outcomes**|                   |                   |                    |                  |                  |         |
| 30-day SSI               | 1.3               | 83                | 1.6                | 19               | 1.3              | 56      |
| Superficial SSI          | 0.7               | 44                | 0.9                | 10               | 0.7              | 29      |
| Deep SSI                 | 0.5               | 30                | 0.5                | 6                | 0.5              | 22      |
| Organ space SSI          | 0.1               | 9                 | 0.3                | 3                | 0.1              | 5       |
| 7-day AKI                | 7.1               | 82/1,155          | 7.9                | 15/190           | 6.4              | 48/746  |
| 90-day CDI               | 0.3               | 18                | 0.3                | 4                | 0.2              | 9       |
| Hospital LOS, mean d (SD) | 3.9, 9.4          | 5,812             | 4.2 (15.5)         | 1,093            | 3.5 (7.7)        | 3,851   |
| 30-day reoperation       | 3.6               | 223               | 3.0                | 35               | 2.3              | 94      |

Note: SD, standard deviation; BMI, body mass index; ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists; pRBCs, packed red blood cells; AMP, antimicrobial prophylaxis; UTI, urinary tract infection; SSI, surgical site infection; AKI, acute kidney injury; CDI, Clostridioides difficile infection; LOS, length of stay.

*a390 (6.3%) participants declined to answer or did not report race.
*b116 (2.6%) participants declined to answer or did not report ethnicity.
cBMI results were available for 6196 participants.
dAny combination of cefazolin, clindamycin, and vancomycin.
e7-day acute kidney injury results were available for 1,155 participants.
'9Hospital LOS results were available for 5,812 participants.
patients who received >1 agent also had a higher rate of prolonged hospital LOS in univariate analysis only (cOR, 3.1; 95% CI, 2.0–4.7) (Table 2).

We did not find a significant association between the duration of prophylactic antibiotics and the odds of 30-day SSI, 7-day AKI, and 90-day CDI. However, we identified a trend toward increasing 90-day CDI with increasing antimicrobial days on univariate analysis (cOR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.0–1.8 per additional antimicrobial day; \( P = .056 \)) (Fig. 1). Additionally, patients who received \( \geq 3 \) days of antibiotics had significantly increased odds of prolonged hospitalization (cOR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.3–2.0; aOR, 1.8; 95% CI, 1.4–2.3) and 30-day reoperation (cOR, 3.6; 95% CI, 2.2–5.7; aOR, 3.5; 95% CI, 2.2–5.7) (Table 3). The size of this effect increased as days of therapy increased (Fig. 1). Stratifying by prophylactic agent did not affect this relationship (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 online).

Table 2. Crude and Adjusted Odds Ratios for Postoperative Outcomes Based on Type of Antimicrobial Prophylaxis

| Postoperative outcomesa,b | Crude Odds Ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                          | Clindamycin | Vancomycin | Multiple | Clindamycin | Vancomycin | Multiple |
| 30-day SSI               | 2.0 (0.9–4.7) | 2.0 (1.1–3.9) | 1.8 (0.4–7.3) | 2.1 (0.9–4.9) | 1.8 (0.9–3.5) | 2.3 (0.5–10.0) |
| 7-day AKIc               | 0.4 (0.1–1.7) | 0.9 (0.3–2.2) | 0.8 (0.1–6.4) | 0.4 (0.8–1.6) | 0.5 (0.2–1.4) | 0.8 (0.9–6.2) |
| 90-day CDIc              | 1.5 (0.2–11.5) | 0.8 (0.1–6.3) | 8.2 (1.8–36.4) | 1.5 (0.2–12.1) | 0.6 (0.8–5.2) | 5.5 (1.1–28.2) |
| Prolonged hospital LOSc | 1.0 (0.7–1.3) | 1.2 (1.0–1.5) | 3.1 (2.0–4.7) | 1.1 (0.8–1.4) | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) | 1.4 (0.9–2.3) |
| 30-day reoperation       | 0.6 (0.2–1.4) | 1.4 (0.9–2.1) | 4.9 (2.7–8.7) | 0.6 (0.3–1.6) | 1.2 (0.7–1.9) | 2.3 (1.2–4.4) |

Note. CI, confidence interval; SSI, surgical site infection; AKI, acute kidney injury; CDI, Clostridioides difficile infection; LOS, length of stay; UTI, urinary tract infection; \( P < 0.5 \) for values in bold.
aReference group is cefazolin.
bAll multivariate models adjusted for age, BMI, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ASA classification, tobacco use, corticosteroid use, surgery type, duration of surgery, and duration of prophylactic antimicrobials (1, 2, 3, and \( \geq 4 \) days).
cThe multivariate models for 7-day AKI, 90-day CDI, and prolonged hospital LOS are also adjusted for other postoperative infections (sepsis, pneumonia, UTI).

Fig. 1. Crude and adjusteda odds ratiosb for prolonged length of stay and 30-day reoperation based on days of antimicrobial prophylaxis as a continuous variable. Note. cOR, crude odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CDI, Clostridioides difficile infection; LOS, length of stay. aAll multivariate models adjusted for age, BMI, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ASA classification, tobacco use, corticosteroid use, surgery type, duration of surgery, and type of prophylactic antimicrobials (ie, cefazolin, clindamycin vancomycin, and multiple antimicrobials). The multivariate models for 90-day CDI and prolonged hospital LOS are also adjusted for other postoperative infections (ie, sepsis, pneumonia, UTI). bOdds ratio of outcome per additional day antimicrobial prophylaxis.
Discussion

In this study of 6,198 veterans undergoing lumbar spine surgery for noninfectious indications in 137 surgery centers across the United States, the duration of AMP did not affect the odds of 30-day SSI or 7-day AKI, but longer courses of antibiotics were associated with a trend toward increased odds of 90-day CDI and significantly increased odds of prolonged hospitalization and 30-day reoperation. Additionally, the use of multiple agents increased the odds of 90-day CDI, prolonged hospitalization, and 30-day reoperation. Only 1,160 patients (18.7%) received the recommended duration of AMP (≤1 day).

Current guidelines recommend a single dose of prophylactic antimicrobials for spine surgery because most of the available evidence shows that increasing the duration of therapy beyond 24 hours does not decrease the frequency of SSI.13 In a study of 1,579 patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery, no differences were detected in the rate of SSI after a single dose or 5–7 days of AMP.5 This finding has also been replicated in studies of patients requiring implantation of prosthetic material and temporary drains.11,18,20 More recently, however, in a study of 1,922 patients undergoing instrumented spine surgery, patients who received a single dose of cefazolin had a significantly higher frequency of SSI compared to patients who received 3 days of cefazolin (5.3 vs 2.3%; P < .01).9 In another recent study of 336 individuals requiring temporary drain placement after cervical and lumbar spine surgery, continuing AMP for as long as the drain remained in place was associated with a trend toward decreased SSI.9 Our findings support the conclusion that continuing prophylactic therapy beyond 24 hours is unlikely to result in a meaningful decrease in SSI for most patients undergoing spine surgery. Admittedly, since the VASQIP database does not classify patients according to whether they required implantation of prosthetic material or a temporary drain, we could not evaluate the association between duration of AMP and SSI in these specific populations. We did, however, control for potential correlates of instrumentation in our multivariate analysis, including surgery type and duration of surgery.

Evidence regarding the effect of duration of prophylactic antibiotics on the rates of AKI and CDI after spine surgery is limited. However, these relationships have been investigated in other perioperative settings. A study of 79,058 veterans undergoing cardiac, colorectal, orthopedic joint replacement, and vascular surgery, found that increased duration of AMP significantly increased the odds of 7-day AKI (aOR after ≥ 3 days 1.79; 95% CI, 1.27–2.53) and 90-day CDI (aOR after ≥3 days 3.65; 95% CI, 2.40–5.55).10 In contrast, in our study, additional days of antimicrobials only led to a trend toward increased 90-day CDI. This discrepancy may be due to our focus on procedures and antibiotics that do not independently increase the odds of AKI (eg, cardiac surgery and aminoglycosides) and CDI (eg, fluoroquinolones). Notably, our study did not find an association between duration of vancomycin and 7-day AKI or clindamycin and 90-day CDI as in the Branch-Elliman et al10 study, but this may be due to the small number of these events in these subgroups.

In our study, patients who received prophylactic therapy for ≥3 days had increased odds of prolonged hospitalization. In a study of 135 patients undergoing lumbar spine study, patients who received 9 days of AMP had significantly longer average hospital LOS than patients who received 2 days of AMP (27.9±4 days vs 20.7±3 days; P < .05).18 The increased LOS may simply be a reflection of a longer duration of prophylactic therapy, or a result of complications stemming from the surgery itself or from inappropriate antimicrobial use (eg, allergic reactions, AKI, CDI).

Interestingly, patients who received ≥3 days of AMP had significantly increased odds of returning to the OR within 30 days of surgery. Because we do not know the indications for reoperation, we cannot draw any conclusions regarding the directionality of this finding. Possibly, a longer course of prophylactic antimicrobials led to a complication that required surgery (eg, access for hemodialysis, colectomy for megacolon). Conversely, it is possible that patients with an SSI that eventually required revision received longer courses of antibiotics as part of their initial management.

The effect of antibiotic use on CDI risk is cumulative.21 So, it is not surprising that the use of multiple prophylactic agents was associated with increased odds of CDI. However, because it was unclear which antibiotics were used and whether they were used concurrently or sequentially, we could not determine why this association occurred in our study. Similarly, the effect of multiple antimicrobial use on prolonged hospitalization and reoperation was difficult to interpret. These outcomes may have been due to using multiple redundant agents or switching from one agent to another due to an adverse reaction.

Continuing prophylactic therapy beyond 24 hours is the most common cause of inappropriate postprocedural antimicrobial use.22 In our study, only 1,160 patients (18.7%) received the recommended duration of prophylactic therapy. Notably, this figure may overestimate the frequency of appropriate postprocedural infection control measures by including antibiotic therapy beyond the recommended duration.

In this study, we found that the use of more than two prophylactic antibiotics only led to a trend toward increased 90-day CDI. This discrepancy may be due to the small number of these events in these subgroups. It is possible that patients with an SSI that eventually required revision received longer courses of antibiotics as part of their initial management. Additionally, the use of multiple agents increased the odds of 90-day CDI, prolonged hospitalization, and 30-day reoperation. This may be due to the small number of events in these subgroups. It is also possible that patients with an SSI that eventually required revision received longer courses of antibiotics as part of their initial management.

Table 3. Crude and Adjusted Odds Ratios for Postoperative Outcomes Based on Duration of Antimicrobial Prophylaxis

| Postoperative Outcomesa,b | Crude Odds Ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% CI) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                           | 2 days                   | 3 days                      | ≥4 days                   | 2 days | 3 days | ≥4 days |
| 30-day SSI                | 0.8 (0.5–1.4)            | 0.5 (0.1–1.6)               | 0.6 (0.2–1.7)             | 0.9 (0.5–1.5) | 0.5 (0.1–1.7) | 0.6 (0.2–1.7) |
| 7-day AKIc                | 0.8 (0.4–1.5)            | 1.3 (0.5–3.0)               | 1.0 (0.4–2.3)             | 0.9 (0.5–1.8) | 1.7 (0.7–4.4) | 0.7 (0.3–1.8) |
| 90-day CDIc               | 0.6 (0.2–2.0)            | 1.5 (0.3–8.4)               | 1.8 (0.4–7.9)             | 0.6 (0.2–1.9) | 1.3 (0.2–7.8) | 1.2 (0.2–5.9) |
| Prolonged hospital LOSc  | 0.9 (0.8–1.0)            | 1.6 (1.3–2.0)               | 6.9 (5.4–8.9)             | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) | 1.8 (1.4–2.3) | 7.1 (5.4–9.2) |
| 30-day reoperation        | 0.7 (0.5–1.1)            | 3.6 (2.2–5.7)               | 4.1 (2.7–6.4)             | 0.8 (0.5–1.1) | 3.5 (2.2–5.7) | 3.7 (2.3–5.7) |

Note. CI, confidence interval; SSI, surgical site infection; AKI, acute kidney injury; CDI, Clostridioides difficile infection; LOS, length of stay; P < 0.5 for values in bold.
aReference group is 1 day.
bAll multivariate models adjusted for age, BMI, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, ASA classification, tobacco use, corticosteroid use, surgery type, duration of surgery, and type of prophylactic antimicrobials (cefazolin, clindamycin, vancomycin, and multiple antimicrobials).
cThe multivariate models for 7-day AKI, 90-day CDI, and prolonged hospital LOS are also adjusted for other postoperative infections (sepsis, pneumonia, UTI).

In a study of 135 patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery, patients who received 9 days of AMP had significantly longer average hospital LOS than patients who received 2 days of AMP (27.9±4 days vs 20.7±3 days; P < .05).18 The increased LOS may simply be a reflection of a longer duration of prophylactic therapy, or a result of complications stemming from the surgery itself or from inappropriate antimicrobial use (eg, allergic reactions, AKI, CDI).
antimicrobial use since current guidelines recommend adminis-
tering prophylactic antimicrobials immediately before surgery
and every 4 hours during surgery, rather than for a full day.2,3
Studies regarding the drivers of inappropriate antimicrobial use
in spine surgery are limited. Surveys of cardiothoracic and general
surgeons cite contradictory guidelines and use of alternate sources
of information (eg, primary literature, practice taught during
training).2,3,24 Both, the Surgical Infection Society (SIS) and the
National Association of Spine Specialists (NASS) recommend
limiting prophylactic antimicrobials to a single dose or a single
day. However, the NASS suggests that a prolonged duration of
therapy may be reasonable for certain patients, including obese
and diabetic patients and patients who require prolonged or instru-
mented surgery.2,3 Recent studies suggesting that prolonged anti-
microbial therapy may decrease SSI in patients requiring
implantation of prosthetic material or temporary drains may also
confound surgeon attitudes about the duration of prophylactic
therapy.2,5 Additional drivers may be the paucity of surgeons in
antimicrobial stewardship roles, and the perception that anti-
microbials are a relatively risk-free intervention.25,26 Regardless, this
is an area of opportunity for ASPs.

Our study has several strengths. To our knowledge, this is the
largest study of the association between type and duration of AMP
and postoperative outcomes after spine surgery and the first to
describe adherence to published guidelines among spine surgeons
in a large integrated healthcare system. Additionally, because the
VASQIP database is manually validated by trained reviewers
and has been utilized in similar analyses, we can be relatively confi-
dent about the accuracy of our variables.10,13,17

Our study also had several limitations. First, there is the
inherent bias and confounding introduced by our retrospective
design. However, since SSI, AKI, and CDI are rare after spine
surgery, a randomized trial would need to enroll a prohibitively
large number of patients to be sufficiently powered to detect
differences in these outcomes.9 Second, the follow-up for our
SSI outcome was limited to 30 days. In most studies, however, a
diagnosis of SSI was typically made within 30 days of surgery.4,5,8
Third, because we focused exclusively on patients who underwent
lumbar spine surgery and received 1 of only 3 potential prophyl-
actic antimicrobials, we could not account for the effect of surgery
at other spinal levels or different antibiotics on our outcomes.
However, the incidence of SSI does not vary significantly according
to spinal level (cervical 3.4%, thoracic 3.7%, and lumbar 2.7%) and
cefazolin, dindamycin, and vancomycin are the recommended and
most widely prescribed prophylactic agents.1,2,5–9,11,18–20

Additionally, because the individuals in our study were generally
older and harbored more risk factors for SSI, our findings may not
be generalizable to other populations. Lastly, we were unable
to stratify patients according to whether they required implanta-
tion of prosthetic material or temporary drains.

In conclusion, among individuals undergoing lumbar spine
surgery, continuing prophylactic antimicrobials beyond 24 hours
does not decrease the odds of SSI. However, a longer duration of
prophylactic therapy may increase the odds of CDI, prolonged
hospitalization, and reoperation. Furthermore, administering
multiple agents also increases these outcomes. These findings
add to the growing body of evidence that continuing prophylactic
antibiotics beyond 24 hours offers minimal benefits and may,
in fact, contribute to suboptimal clinical outcomes after spine
surgery. Notably, our 18.7% rate of adherence to the recommended
duration of prophylactic antibiotics hints at a large evidence-
to-practice gap that needs to be addressed by spine surgeons
and ASPs. To help bridge this gap, future studies should focus on
patients undergoing instrumented spine surgery and implement
ment of stewardship interventions that address the concerns of
our surgical colleagues.
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