Therapeutic Modalities of Exudative Age-related Macular Degeneration
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a leading cause of irreversible serious vision damage in persons over 50 years of age. In treating AMD many medicaments are applied such as inhibitors of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), have been very carefully included over the last few years after a series of study research. Aims: To analyze the past methods of treatment, discuss emerging therapies which could advance the treatment of exudative AMD. The past anti-VEGF therapies require frequent repetitions of administration, with uncertain visual acuity recovery, as not all patients react to anti-VEGF therapy. Consequently, there is a need to find out additional therapies which could improve the treatment of exudative AMD. The real aim in the treating of AMD is to prevent CNV development.

Results: There are many areas of research into new methods of the exudative AMD treatment. Conclusion: The future therapies for exudative AMD treatment have a potential not only to reduce the frequency of administration and follow-up visits, but also to improve effects of treatment by targeting additional ways of CNV development, increasing the aptitude of target binding and extending durability of treatment.
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1. INTRODUCTION

From the aspect of pharmaco-ophthalmology, currently the most important area of interest is the study of new medications in the therapy for age-related macular degeneration (AMD); so, we are witnessing emergence of many new therapeutic products being used in the treatment of the disease that are being very carefully included through a series of study research. Today AMD is a leading cause of severe, irreversible loss of vision after 50 years of age, and a reason for more than 46% of cases of severe vision loss, with visual acuity under 0.1. It is manifested in two basic forms: dry (atrophic, drusenoid, non exudative) and wet (exudative).

The aim of this study is to analyze the past methods of treatment, discuss emerging therapies which could advance the treatment of exudative AMD. The past anti-VEGF therapies require frequent repetitions of administration, with uncertain visual acuity recovery, as not all patients react to anti-VEGF therapy. Consequently, there is a need to find out additional therapies which could improve the treatment of exudative AMD. The real aim in the treating of AMD is to prevent CNV development.

2. THERAPEUTIC OPTIONS FOR DRY AMD

For almost two decades laser photocoagulation (LPC) was the only clinically proved way of treatment of exudative AMD prior to the emergence of photo-dynamic therapy (1, 2). LPC application is limited to extra foveal lesions and juxta-foveal CNV in which the scar should not affect the foveal avascular zone (FAZ) after treatment (3). Results were not good enough for the patients treated with LPC, damage was often considerably bigger than benefit; the efficient treatment by this method was attained in only 15% of cases, with irreversible loss of central vision and increase in scotoma of the central visual field as an adverse effect, not as a treatment complication (4).

Development of verteporfin photo-dynamic therapy (PDT-V) aroused a new hope in the treatment of exudative AMD (5, 6). The treatment was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) – American food and drug agency in April 2000. In PDT-V, the cold laser of a specific wave length of 689 nm affects verteporfin injected intravenously 15 minutes before (Visudyne®), photosensitive colour which prefers to be dispersed in capillary endothelial cells of CNV membranes by delivery of energy of 50 J/cm² intensity 600 mW/cm² lasting 83 s,
with a spot size of 1000 μm more than the largest CNV diameter. When the laser is activated, abnormal blood vessels are destroyed, while the healthy ones remain undamaged. Today, PDT treatment is significantly less used, in a combination with intravitreal triamcinolone (7, 8), or combined with inhibitors of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which improve treatment efficacy (9, 10). Additionally, as the frequency of predominantly classic membranes is 22% of total CNV number, that was another reason to look for a better medicine for the treatment of exudative AMD (11, 12).

After several dozens of studies, the medicaments from the VEGF inhibitor group were separated as particularly efficient compared to all other treatments. VEGF are promoters of neovascularisation and vascular permeability.

Back in 1997 when Kliffen et al. reported increased VEGF expression in wet AMD, that aroused special interest in new therapeutic procedures for AMD treatment (13). The first studies of this diffusible factor produced by the retina date back to 1948 when Michaelson published first papers related to X factor which participates in neovascularisation in the retina and iris in proliferative diabetic retinopathy and the central retinal vein occlusion (14).

Today we know that VEGF is of key importance in the pathogenesis of wet age-related macular degeneration and that it is responsible for increase in vascular permeability and neovascularisation simulation. Increased VEGF production is a consequence of oxidative cell stress, which is a defensive response of the body so that there is a proliferation of newly-formed blood vessels and formation of neovascular membrane. These newly-formed blood vessels are very fragile so that they easily bleed and let exudates through, which causes damage to the retinal tissues, especially to photo receptors. Exactly for this reason, one of possible strategies of prevention and treatment of the subretinal neovascular membrane is the VEGF inhibition and reduction in vascular permeability. A large multicentric study of inhibitors of angiogenesis in eye diseases accompanied with neovascularisation (VISION) (15) proved an unquestionable VEGF role in the development of subretinal neovascularisation and of VEGF inhibitors in the suppression of neovascularisation. VEGF inhibitors are medications that inhibit the formation and growth of newly-formed blood vessels by binding to VEGF-protein necessary for the formation and growth of the neovascular membrane.

Anti VEGF medicaments changed significantly paradigm in the treatment of AMD, enabling the essential opening of the door to a targeted therapy. At the very beginning of introduction in AMD therapy, they demonstrated clear effects as improvement of visual functions compared with other therapies, and thus became the front line of defense in the treatment of neovascular AMD (Table 1). Still today anti-VEGF therapy is the mainstay and gold standard in the treatment of exudative AMD (16). Efficient treatment of neovascular AMD began as of the first application of anti-VEGF with FDA’s approval of pegaptanib sodium (Macugen®, Eyetech Pharmaceuticals, Inc-Pfizer Inc.) in December 2004, and ranibizumab (Lucentis®, Genentech , Inc., South San Francisco, CA) in June 2006.

Macugen® (pegaptanib sodium) was the first antiangiogenic therapeutic product approved by FDA and the first medicine clearly taking effect on the basic pathologic substrate in wet AMD (17). It proved efficient in the stabilization of visual acuity in 70% of cases, but that was not sufficient as it did not show statistical significance in terms of recovery of visual acuity. Namely, only in 20% of patients discovered in the early stage of exudative AMD, visual acuity was better after the treatment with pegaptanib. Macugen® may be used for all kinds of exudative AMD membranes by intravitreal injections of 0.3 mg every six weeks, usually over a period of at least two years.

Lucentis® (ranibizumab) was approved in 2006 for intravitreal administration in the treatment of all subtypes of neovascular AMD, based on the results of three large-scale double-masked randomized controlled trials. Lucentis® works on the principle of competitive VEGF inhibition in extracellular space owing to penetration into all retinal layers and affinity to all VEGF isoforms. Ranibizumab is a fragment of recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody targeted against human vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A). It binds with high affinity to VEGF-A isoforms (e.g. VEGF110, VEGF121 i VEGF165), thus preventing binding VEGF-A to its receptors VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2. VEGF-A binding to its receptors leads to endothelial cell proliferation and neovascularisation, as well as to the increased vascular leakage, with a consequential exudative AMD progression. Lucentis® (48 kDa) is a Fab fragment of murine MAb Anti-VEGF-A (~150 kDa), which achieves 5–20 times higher affinity to VEGF compared to the antibody from which it derived. Penetration into all retinal layers increased, cytotoxicity and inflammatory potential decreased, while the shortening of half-life minimized system effects of this medication. Clinical safety and efficacy of Ranibizumab medicament were estimated through three randomized, double-masked, placebo or actively controlled studies during 24 months with patients having wet age-related macular degeneration (MARINA - Minimally Classic/Occult Trial of the Anti-VEGF Antibody Ranibizumab in the Treatment of Neovascular Age-Related Macular Degeneration) (18), ANCHOR (Anti-VEGF antibody for the treatment of predominantly classic choroidal neovascularisation in AMD) (19) and PIER (20) (A phase IIIb multi centric randomized double-masked sham injection-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of ranibizumab in subjects with subfoveal neovascularization with or without classic CNV). These studies covered a total of 1,323 patients (879 active and 444 control ones); it was concluded that the medication was efficient and could be applied for all wet AMD types. The studies showed that ranibizumab led to stabilization of visual acuity in over 90% of the patients (94.6% of patients in the MARINA study who were receiving ranibizumab in a 0.5 mg dosage), and to improvement in 41% of the patients (in the ANCHOR study). Effect of the therapy is better if wet AMD is established earlier and therapy administered according to the proposed protocol with at least three intravitreal injections of ranibizumab 0.5 mg (0.05 mL) in a four-week interval. Further therapy is administered monthly (about eight injections annually) with monitoring and continuation of the therapy according to
Table 1. Review of intravitreally applied anti-VEGF treatment modalities for wet AMD

| Drug               | Study | Number of involved patients | Study conclusions                                                                 |
|--------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lucentis® (ranibizumab) | MARINA | 714                         | Stabilisation of visual acuity in over 90% of the patients (94.6% of patients in the MARINA study who were receiving ranibizumab a 0.5 mg dosage) |
|                    | ANCHOR|                             | Improvement in 41% of the patients                                                 |
|                    | PIER  | 1323                        | A phase III multicenter randomized double-masked sham injection-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of ranibizumab in subjects with subfoveal neovascularization with or without classic CNV |
|                    | LUMINOUS | On going                | This study will describe the long-term safety and effectiveness, treatment patterns, and patient reported quality of life associated with ranibizumab treatment in routine clinical practice for all approved indication included in the local product label |
| Avastin® (bevacizumab) | CATT  | 1107                        | Bevacizumab and ranibizumab had almost identical effects on visual acuity and that dosing for under a month, i.e. as required, did not threaten sight |
| EYLEA® (aflibercept) | VIEW 1 | 2417                        | Well-tolerated and there are no obvious differences compared with ranibizumab concerning ocular and neo-ocular side effects |

The retinologist’s suggestion in the course of the second year of treatment (based on fluorescein angiography–FA and optical coherent tomography - OCT). It is usually necessary to administer eight injections in the first year of treatment and six in the second.

Intravitreal administration of ranibizumab had very promising results worldwide, with the absence of retinal toxicity, as well as nonexistence of serious system and local ophthalmological adverse effects related to the intravitreal administration of the medicament. Complications that can occur during the ranibizumab administration are rare, and they are locally related only to complications which can happen in all intravitreally administered medicaments, such as suffusion, anterior uveitis, briefly increased intra ocular pressure, cataract, and less frequently retinal detachment, haemophthalmos, and very rarely endophthalmitis. System complications are very serious, but fortunately rare (21). Described have been cardiovascular complications, acute myocardial infarction with a possibility of lethal outcome, cerebrovascular accidents ranging from mild transient ischemic attack to serious cerebrovascular insult (22, 23).

Avastin® (bevacizumab) has a similar effect as ranibizumab, humanized monoclonal antibody targeted at VEGF. It was designed for intravenous application and approved by FDA for the treatment of colon cancer. It was obtained from the same murine antibody as ranibizumab. The basic difference is molecular at the level of the chain length: ranibizumab is a 48-kD Fab fragment, while bevacizumab 149-kD is an antibody. The difference results in various possibilities of the medicament to reach the targeted part and stay longer in the eye after injection. Another difference is a low price of bevacizumab in comparison with ranibizumab (1mg intravitreal dose is about $5.5) (24). First results of Avastin administration to treat CNV in AMD were published in August 2005 (25, 26), while still waiting for FDA’s approval of Lucentis®. Very shortly after, many researchers all over the world started examining possibilities of intravitreal administration of the medicament. The original purpose of bevacizumab was to treat patients with metastatic colon and lung cancers in combination with the standard chemotherapy; in 2008 FDA approved it also for the treatment of patients with metastatic breast cancer. All past studies have shown that it is safe and efficient in the CNV treatment of exudative AMD, which is no less efficient than ranibizumab. However, perfectly clear results were obtained through a large randomized multi-centric study financed by the American National Eye Institute of the National Institute of Health. The study implied comparative study of safety and efficacy of ranibizumab (Lucentis®) and bevacizumab (Avastin®) in the treatment of AMD. The study was entitled Comparison of Age-Related Macular Degeneration Trials (CATT Study) and covered 1,107 patients with newly diagnosed exudative AMD, who were monitored for two years, randomly classified into one of the four groups in which bevacizumab or ranibizumab were administered every four weeks in the first year, and then in a variable dosage depending on findings, or one of the two tested medicaments was administered in varying doses over a two-year period. In May 2011, the authors submitted a report on one-year results ((27). This randomized clinical trial showed that bevacizumab and ranibizumab had almost identical effects on visual acuity and that dosing for under a month, i.e. as required, did not threaten sight. Both medicaments dramatically reduced the quantity of retinal and subretinal fluid, but it was ranibizumab which more often eliminated the fluid. Although there were no differences between the medicaments in mortality rates and occurrences of arterial thrombosis, there were some more serious adverse effects in the patients treated with bevacizumab (risk ratio 1.29). As none of these medicaments eliminated neovascularisation, treatment for the largest number of patients was continued over an undefined period of time. Thus, it is very important to establish long-term effects of these medicaments as well as the most appropriate dosage regimen. At the end of the two-year period of monitoring, it was concluded that both ranibizumab and bevacizumab had similar effects on visual acuity but higher rate of serious adverse effects in the patients treated with bevacizumab.

EYLEA® (aflibercept), known as “VEGF trap-eye”, is a fusion protein for VEGF which prevents VEGF binding to its foreseen aims on receptors. It was developed by Re-
A combination of anti-VEGF therapy and ionizing radiation offers another option to reduce treatment frequency. Ionizing radiation causes powerful inhibitory effects on the new blood vessels, inducing interruptions in double-stranded DNA structure, which results in antiangiogenic, anti-inflammatory, and antibioretic effects (33). Additionally, oncology trials have shown synergistic antiangiogenic effect when both radiation therapy and anti-VEGF agents are used. Although radiation was also used earlier for the treatment of AMD, it was never widely applied as it did not produce a significant effect on visual acuity, while difficulties in delivering targeted dosages led to the development of many complications in some patients.

However, new options, such as epimacular brachytherapy and precise robotic stereotactic radiation therapy, enable a safe targeted delivery of the most appropriate dosage, minimizing damage to the surrounding structures and improving results. Epimacular brachytherapy delivers targeted β radiation to CNV via 20 gauge sclerotomy after pars plana vitrectomy. In another trial covering 24 patients who knew nothing about the treatment, the subjects were treated with only one dosage of 24-Gy brachytherapy and two ranibizumab injections, followed by ranibizumab injections as required. After 12 months, great improvement of visual acuity was achieved, without any subsequent need for additional anti-VEGF therapy in as many as 70% of patients (34). However, results of the third phase of this study of safety and efficacy of epimacular brachytherapy in the treatment of neovascular AMD did not confirm superiority of this method over ranibizumab monotherapy.

3. CONCLUSION

Recent years have been the most exciting years for retinology and the treatment of neovascular AMD, with revolutionary discoveries which have completely changed the paradigm of neovascular AMD treatment. Nevertheless,
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...despite extraordinary progress made in the treatment of neovascular AMD with anti-VAGF therapy, patients still need many injections and many follow-up visits. In the future, tendency will be to improve the efficacy of AMD treatment through orientation to additional ways of CNV development that would be at the cellular and molecular levels, which would enable durability of treatment.

An ideal medicament for the treatment of AMD should: give the best possible visual acuity; be used through the least frequent administration, preferably once and possibly topically, in which case it should have excellent penetration to the last pole; have excellent bio-usability, no side or adverse effects; be inexpensive, easily available, simple to administer in any health institution. We are still rather far away from creating all these characteristics in only one medicament, but there are many preclinical and clinical studies underway which are well on the way to find out the „ideal one”.

Abbreviations: AMD – age-related macular degeneration, VEGF - vascular endothelial growth factor, CNV - choroidal neovascularisation, AREDS - Age-Related Eye Disease Study, RPE - retinal pigment epithelium, LPC - laser photoacoagulation, FDA - Food and Drug Administration, PED - pigment epithelial detachment
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