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Abstract: Elastic optical networks (EONs) based on orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) are considered a promising solution for the next optical network's generation. These networks make it possible to choose an adequate portion of the available spectrum to satisfy the requested capacity. In this paper, we consider the impact of spectrum fragmentation along the optical single/multipath routing transmission on the efficiency of the EONs. This involves reducing the fragmentation effects by dynamically updating and controlling the minimum bandwidth allocation granularity (g). We adopt linear and nonlinear dynamic mechanisms, which are denoted as \( LDA_g \) and \( NLD\_A_g \), respectively, to choose proper bandwidth granularities that are proportional to the optical link/path bandwidth fragmentation status. In order to avoid either splitting the capacity request over many routing paths, which would increase the management complexity, or encouraging single path transmission, the proposed schemes aim to choose a proper bandwidth allocation granularity \( g \) for a predefined set of suggested values. Simulation results show that varying the bandwidth granularity based on the optical path fragmentation status can offer an improved performance over fixed granularity with respect to the bandwidth blocking probability, the number of path splitting actions, the throughput, and the differential delay constraint issue in terms of: the network bandwidth utilization and multipath distribution.

Keywords: optical OFDM-based networks; networks; routing and assignment algorithms; fragmentation; off-line and on-line computation problems

1. Introduction

The current optical transport network based on wavelength optical networks (OTN-WDM) suffers from serious limitations because of the expected capacity crunch in the physical capacity of the current optical fibers [1–3]. Moreover, in addition to the dramatic increase in the network services for new generations of bandwidth consuming applications (in the presence of fixed rate and the rigid frequency grid of the wavelength-routed optical networks 50 GHz), the mismatch of granularity between the cooperative layers (Client-layer & WDM-layer) results a degradation of the efficiency of the spectral utilization over the current OTN [4]. Usually, most of the service requests are provided with more than the necessary spectral resources, due to the mismatch of granularity. In order to tackle these limitations, a new generation of flexible or Elastic Optical Networks (EONs) need to be adopted [5].

The concept of EON was first presented in [6], in which the operators manage the OTNs by adaptively allocating spectral resources. In EONs, the principle of optical orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (O-OFDM) has been considered a promising technique for the optical network future because of its helpful characteristics [7], and a possible implementation of elastic networking. Using OFDM for EON offers a finer granularity mechanism, which makes it...
possible to slice off the right amount of available spectrum resources to save more resources in order to serve other clients [8]. In this technique, all subcarriers are overlapping in the frequency domain, where each frequency subcarrier represents the smallest frequency slot (FS) that can be sliced from the available bandwidth [9].

The problem of routing and wavelength assignment (RWA), in a conventional optical network, has been changed to routing and spectrum allocation (RSA), which is also extended to be routing, modulation, and spectrum allocation (RMSA). Both RSA and RMSA are considered NP-hard problems [10,11]. To achieve an optimum solution and reduce the complexity, this problem can be separated into two sub-problems: routing and spectrum allocation [12].

Various RSA mechanisms over EONs have been investigated as single path and multipath routing [10,13,14]. For instance, in [13], a novel approach including an RSA algorithm was proposed, based on a distance adaptive modulation technique. A BW-efficient RMSA has also been considered, where the lowest required number of available contiguous slots on the shortest path is allocated to accommodate the light path request. In [14], Zuqing et al. presented a HSMR-OPC (hybrid single-/multi-path routing using online path computation). Fixed bandwidth allocation granularities (g) are used in their algorithms. Their results showed that choosing an appropriate value of the BW allocation granularity (g) is an important parameter that the operator can control, in order to reduce the number of rejected service requests.

Although, the EON is considered a promising solution for conventional rigid optical network limitations, a serious fragmentation problem arises. After some time, the spectrum on the optical links/paths will be fragmented because of the non-uniform spectrum usage [15], which results in the degradation of the spectrum utilization, a decrease in the spectrum efficiency that would achieve elasticity benefits, and an increase in the blocking probability. Minimizing fragmentation and defragmentation methods is considered a serious challenge in RSA problems, as well as when considering RMSA.

In addition, spectrum fragmentation makes it very difficult to control the spectrum allocation. Fragmentation is a purely unwanted random process that leaves many resources unused and reduces the spectrum efficiency. Choosing a proper bandwidth allocation granularity (g) for multipath provisioning is considered one of the most important parameters to mitigate the tradeoff between management complexity and blocking probability (BP).

In this paper, we aim to reduce the fragmentation’s problem effect by dynamically controlling and updating the bandwidth granularity (g) of each candidate optical path in a single and multipath provisioning routing mechanism. A tradeoff between management complexities (multipath routing) and reducing the blocking probability (single path routing) has been taken into account. The details of this work are described in the next sections.

2. Dynamic Minimum Bandwidth Allocation Granularity and Fragmentation

2.1. Dynamic Minimum Bandwidth Allocation Granularity

The minimum spectrum that can be assigned over an optical path represents the minimum bandwidth allocation granularity (g). That is to say, the optical path is only considered an acceptable candidate path if it has at least the granularity size of the predefined bandwidth. The g is defined as a block of slots which is an optical spectrum of contiguous subcarriers. In EONs, these subcarrier frequency slots (FSs) should meet the spectrum contiguity, spectrum continuity, and non-overlapping constraints along the requested optical paths.

In our presented model, we refer to g as a variable size of the minimum bandwidth that could be assigned, based on the spectrum availability and the fragmentation status over the candidate optical path. Hybrid single/multipath routing (HSMR) is activated to choose a proper optical path(s) by either assigning a single path or splitting the request among multipath paths. To avoid service request blocking, the g is updated dynamically, to make sure that each fragmented spectrum can be utilized efficiently. At the same time, the service request capacity is not split over many paths: $C = \sum_i C_i$, where C denotes the total requested capacity and $C_i$ is the sub-capacity that can be assigned for
an optical candidate path. Assigning a small bandwidth granularity, for example $g = 1$, at the transponders to meet the minimum required unit of slots, would lead to a spreading out of the requested capacity over many paths. This would increase the management complexity at the receiver side. On the contrary, selecting a large $g$ value would lead to a single path transmission scenario, which would increase the blocking probability.

In terms of fragmentation, a high fragmentation ratio ($\gamma$) over an optical path would reduce the probability of finding an adequate number of continuous subcarrier slots that obey the routing constraints. Meanwhile, the low fragmentation ratio would increase the probability of finding more of the consecutive subcarriers.

2.2. Fragmentation

In an operational network, as the time passes, some of the light path requests will depart as soon as their lifetime is finished, and new requests will arrive and will need to be accommodated. The available spectrum would be highly fragmented in the case of highly dynamic service provisioning. Each one of the new arrivals will need a certain amount of spectrum in the form of contiguous and continuous frequency slots (FSs); otherwise, it will be rejected (blocked).

The connection arrivals and disconnections are a purely random process, which means that the spectrum distribution over the optical paths is also random. This randomness of the fragmentation makes it hard to determine the optimum value of the best BW allocation granularity. However, due to the fragmentation over optical links/paths, the non-aligned or isolated contiguous subcarriers in the spectrum domain of EON, are considered useless resources. To increase the spectrum utilization in the network, the network’s operator is also able to utilize the fragmentation status over the network.

Bandwidth fragmentation assessment has been widely investigated in literature, generally in terms of the bandwidth blocking probability (BBP) [14,16–19]. Prior research aims at reducing the fragmentation effect by either proposing spectral defragmentation algorithms, or managing the demand’s connections over the network. For instance, in [14], the results illustrated the importance of choosing a proper BW allocation granularity ($g$), which impacts both the blocking BBP and the number of path splitting actions per request. In [16], simulations and mathematical modeling showed that there is a strong relationship between the bandwidth fragmentation and the bandwidth allocation granularity ($g$). Other researchers have attempted to calculate the bandwidth fragmentation ratio ($\gamma$), which has been formulated in various research papers, such as [18]. In [18], a link, a path, and network utilization entropy ($UE_{\text{path}}$) for assessing resource fragmentation were proposed, as indicated in Equation (4).

To mitigate the fragmentation’s effect along the optical link ($e$) and the candidate optical path ($P_i$), which belongs to the main sets $E$ and $P$, respectively, we need to optimize the spectrum utilization and the spectrum efficiency by taking into account the following objective:

\[
\text{Minimize} \quad \sum_{e \in E, p \in P} FS_{ij}
\]  

(1)

Minimizing the equation above, based on routing constraints, requires managing the bandwidth granularity ($g$) to allocate a proper spectrum along the optical routing path. We believe that serving the network with certain bandwidth granularities needs to be managed carefully in terms of the optical path fragmentation status.

In this work, we focus on the role of granularity ($g$) that can be considered, in general, as a control parameter for increasing the spectral efficiency, thereby reducing the blocking opportunity, the number of path splitting occurrences, and other networks’ parameters.
3. Proposed Mechanism

3.1. Dynamic BW Granularity Adaptation Mechanisms

We propose two algorithms in order to adaptively change the minimum BW granularity ($g$). Both of these factors depend on the currently available spectrum and the fragmentation status over the optical candidate’s path ($P_i$). The first is the linear dynamic adaptation mechanism denoted as $LDA_g$; meanwhile, the other is a non-linear dynamic adaptation granularity, denoted as $NDA_g$. To evaluate the performances, we adopted the following two equations:

Non-Linear adaptation ($m \geq 2$):

$$NDA_g(i) = g_{max} - (g_{max} - g_{min}) \cdot \gamma_i^m$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Linear Adaptation ($m = 1$):

$$LDA_g(i) = (1 - \gamma_i) \cdot g_{max} + \gamma_i \cdot g_{min}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In Equation (2), $m$ represents the $m$th order of the curve, $m \geq 2$, see Figure 1. Increasing $m$ results in maintaining a slow adaptation for a low fragmentation ratio ($\gamma$). Because the fragmentation ratio is usually small at this early stage, it is not necessary to assign a small granularity or activate the multipath provisioning, which reduces the management complexity. This factor would be left to the operator to determine, based on the network specifications. We used $m = 2$ for evaluation purposes. In order to reduce the unlimited choices of $NDA_g(i)$ and $LDA_g(i)$, we constrained the range of $g$ into five integer values, which are $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, as indicated in [14,20,21]. In Equations (2) and (3), $\gamma_i$ stands for the fragmentation ratio over the optical path $P_i$. The fragmentation ratio is calculated by the path utilization entropy in [18], using the following equation:

$$UE_{path} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{UE_{path\_slot\_i}}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$N$ is the number of total frequency slots in link $e$, and $\gamma_i \in [0,1]$. $i$ represents the candidate path among the $P$ possible paths in multipath provisioning for a light path request $LR(s, d, C)$, where $P_i \in P$ and $P = \{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_i\}$.

We used the HSMR-OPC/FPS (Hybrid Single/Multipath Routing-Online Path Computation-First Path Set) schemes that are proposed in [14] to investigate our suggested adaptation mechanisms. The requested capacity ($C_i$) of the light path request ($LR$) splits over multiple paths if no one path can accommodate the requested capacity. The distributed sub-capacities should satisfy the following equation:

$$\sum_{P_i \in P} N S_j \geq C_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

![Figure 1. Dynamic minimum BW granularity ($g$) selection based on the fragmentation ratio ($\gamma_i$).](image-url)
Each \( C_i \) requires \( NS_i \) contiguous slots for each \( P_i \) candidate path. In terms of \( NS, NG \) (guard band), and the slot’s bandwidth as a function of the modulation level format, the \( C_i \) can be as follows:

\[
C_i = (NS_i - NG) \cdot BW_{\text{slot}}
\]

\[
BW_{\text{slot}} = M_i \cdot C_{\text{slot}}
\]

where \( M_i \) represents the digital modulation level format of the optical transmission reach, which is given as follows:

\[
M_i = \text{Length}(P_i)
\]

The maximum number of paths of the set \( P \) is limited by \(|k|\), max (number of candidates \( P \)) \( \leq |k| \); however, \( k \) is the \( k \)-shortest fiber optical path between the source \( (s) \) and the destination \( (d) \). To reduce the complexity of the computations and to make this approach feasible in practice, we limited \(|k| \) to be the five shortest candidate paths. This complexity includes checking the \( k \)-shortest path, the subcarrier slots (FS), and the fiber links (E), so that the computational complexity of applying the algorithm is in \( O(|k| \cdot |FS| \cdot |E|) \).

The network topologies have been represented by graph \( G \) of \( G(V, E, B, D) \), where, \( V, E, B, \) and \( D \) are the node set, the fiber link set, the bandwidth, and the length of \( e \) (where \( e \) belongs to \( E \) set), respectively. While the network is operational and updated after each operation, the \( G \) topology is converted to a new virtual auxiliary topology \( G'(V, E, D') \). In \( G' \), any link that doesn’t have the minimum bandwidth granularity (g) will be omitted. Each link in \( G' \) will be re-weighted as follows:

\[
d_e = a \cdot S_{\text{usage}}
\]

where \( a \) is a modulation constant and \( S_{\text{usage}} \) is the spectrum usage with respect to the total spectrum. These parameters were defined as mentioned in [14]:

\[
a = M_{\text{max}} - M_i + 1
\]

\[
S_{\text{usage}} = \frac{\text{Sum}(b_e) + 1}{B}
\]

where \( M_{\text{max}} \) represents the maximum modulation level format, \( \text{Sum}(b_e) \) is the spectrum usage over the link \( e \), and \( B \) is the total bandwidth. In our research, the minimum available \( g = 1 \) over any link should be 1, in order to remain in the updated auxiliary graph.

3.2. Routing and Spectrum Allocation Constraints

3.2.1. Contiguosness of Spectrum Constraint

The allocated subcarrier slots (FSes) for the whole demand in a single path transmission or for part of the demand in a multipath transmission, need to be located beside each other on the candidate optical path(s). To ensure that this occurs, \( S_{p,e,i} \) is defined as a binary variable which equals 1 if the \( FS_i \) of the link \( e \) of the path \( (P) \) is used, and otherwise equals 0. \( T_e \) is defined as an integer variable representing the number of an available free spectrum (gaps). To make sure that the link has at least one free available slot, we determine the total number of gaps along the \( e \), using the following equation:

\[
T_e = \sum_{i=1}^{P-1} \left| S_{e,i} - S_{e,i+1} \right|
\]

\( \forall f_{S_i}, f_{S_{i+1}} \in FS, e \in E, p \in P. \)

If \( T_e \geq 1 \), then based on the counted gaps and their locations over the link \( e \), a mask \( W_e \) is created, and this can be defined as follows:
\[ W(S_{e,i}) = \begin{cases} 1, & F_{S_i}; \text{occupied}, \\ 0, & F_{S_i}; \text{vacant}, \end{cases} \]

Then, we create another mask \( W_{p,i} \) for a candidate path. \( W_{p,i} \) is used to determine the spectrum pattern over the candidate path depending on the \( W \) and includes the location of the gaps along the optical path. Following this, the locations of the proper consecutive spectrums are identified. \( W \) and \( W_{p,i} \) are used to define the spectrum locations, in addition to preventing the spectrum from overlapping.

### 3.2.2. Continuity of Spectrum Constraint

There is no frequency conversion or regeneration over the network. Each allocated frequency for any service demand over the link \((e)\) should be allocated over the same locations and over all the links that belong to the assigned optical path. Each allocated frequency will not be released until the expiration of the service time. To guarantee spectrum continuity, we used the following equations:

\[
\sum_{e=1}^{\text{|E|}} - \sum_{i=1}^{j} |S_{p,e,i} - S_{p,e+1,i}| = 0, \forall e \in LR_s, d, e \in E, p \in P,
\]

where \( \sum \) represents the AND operator and \( \sum \) is used to calculate the sum of all the elements.

### 3.2.3. Spectrum Non-Overlapping Constraint

It is critical to ensure that there are assigned subcarrier slots over a physical link \((e)\), where \( e \in E \) and \( e \) work as part of the assigned optical path \((p)\), which can’t be assigned to another spectrum optical path, even though the latter would share the same physical link \( e \). Therefore, we applied the same idea that is mentioned in [14] in order to avoid overlapping, as follows:

\[
\text{sum} \left( W_p \cap W_e \right) = 0, \forall e \in LR_{s,d,e} \in E, p \in P
\]

where \( \cap \) represents the AND operator and sum is used to calculate the sum of all the elements.

### 3.2.4. Differential Delay Constraint

The differential delay issue during multipath provisioning is due to the nature of fiber optics, in which the transmitted signals experience a differential delay effect [22]. We investigated the NDAg under the presence and the absence of the differential delay issue. \( DD \leq \tau; \forall P_i, P_j \in P \)

\[
DD = |D(P_j) - D(P_i)|
\]

\[
DD = \left( \sum_{(i,j) \in P_i} d_{i,j} - \sum_{(i,j) \in P_j} d_{i,j} \right) \cdot r_1
\]

Equation (18) represents the propagation delay in terms of the path’s lengths. \( r_1 \) stands for a propagation delay in \( \mu s/km \), which is considered \( 5 \mu s/km \) as mentioned in different works, such as [23,24]. The delay that is caused by the equipment is beyond the scope of this work.

### 3.3. Network Topologies and Simulation Parameters of the Test Networks.

To test the performance of our approaches for dynamic bandwidth granularity adaptations, NSFNET and US backbone network topologies are used, as shown in Figure 2a,b. These topologies have been employed as a test bed by other works, such as in [14,20,21]. NSFNET has 14 stations.
indexed from 1 to 14 with 22 links, and the US backbone network has 24 stations, from 1 to 24 with 43 links.

These network topologies have been represented by the $G(V, E, B, D)$. The light path request is represented by $LR(s, d, C, \Delta t)$, where $s$, $d$, $C$, and $\Delta t$ are the source, the destination, the capacity, and the service time, respectively. The arrivals of rate $\lambda$ requests that the per time units are generated by Poisson processes. The service time $\Delta t$ of each request followed the negative exponential distribution with mean $\mu$ time units [25], and the traffic load in Erlangs can be considered as $(\lambda*\mu)$.

We propose heuristic algorithms for online path computations in Algorithms 1 and 2, respectively. The algorithms show the procedures of the proposed dynamic adaptation schemes. Algorithm 1 proposes the dynamic adaptation mechanism where we can use either the LDA or NDA granularity that is given by Equations (2) and (3). Table 1 shows the parameters which have been used in the simulations.

Algorithm 2 is considered an extension of Algorithm 1, where the differential delay constraint is activated. Algorithm 3 is used for fixed path calculations. We use these algorithms to investigate the adaptation’s effect on the overall network performance, mainly in terms of BBP and other parameters such as network fragmentation, throughput, the distribution of path splitting per request, and bandwidth network utilization.

**Algorithm 1**: Proposed Dynamic Adaptation Mechanism Using Online Path Computation.

**Input**: $G(V, E, B, D)$, $LR(s, d, C, \Delta t)$.

**Output**: Single or HSMR for $LR$.

1. receive the incoming service request $LR(s, d, C, \Delta t)$;
2. while the network is operational. Update $G(V, E, B, D)$ to
be a virtual auxiliary topology $G \setminus (V, E, D)$ using (Equation (9));
3. sort the calculated $k$-shortest routing path from $s$ to $d$ in $G$
   based on $\sum d_e$
4. for all candidate paths do
5. choose the modulation level $M$ for real distance, using (Equation (8));
6. calculate $U_\gamma (\gamma)$ for each path using (Equation (4));
7. determine the $NDA (i)$ using (Equation (2));
8. for all consecutive slots with size $\geq NDA (i)$ do
9. use (Equation (6)) to allocate capacity $C_i$ as in (Equation (5));
10. if $C$ is satisfied in Equation (5)
11. break for-loops in step 4 and step 8;
12. end if
13. end for
14. end for
15. if $\sum_i C_i < C$ then
16. assign the request as blocked request;
17. end if
18. end while

Algorithm 2: Multipath Assignment Under Differential Delay Adaptation Constraint.
Input: $G \setminus (V, E, D)$, LR $(s, d, C, \Delta t)$, and a differential delay threshold ($\tau$)
Output: All candidate paths that satisfy $\tau$. Condition: no available single short path
1. while the network is operational do
2. for $k = 1$ to $K$, $K \in P$ do
3. sort all available paths in ascending order of
4. delay in new path set $P$
5. end for
6. for $k = 1$ to $K$, $k \in P_i$ do
7. If $DD = \left| t_{P_i} - t_{P_j} \right| \leq \tau$, using (Equation (18)) then
8. $P_i, P_j$ as paths can be assigned
9. end if
10. end for
11. use (Equation (6)) to allocate capacity $C_i$ as in (Equation (5));
12. if $\sum_i C_i \geq C$
13. assign the request as blocked request
14. end if
15. end while

Table 1. The parameters which have been used in the simulation.

| Simulation Parameters          | Value          |
|-------------------------------|----------------|
| Frequency slots number per link, $B$ | 300 slots     |
| Frequency slot Bandwidth, $B_{\text{slot}}$ | 12.5 GHz     |
| Capacity of a frequency slot, $C_{\text{slot}}$ | 12.5 GHz     |
| Requested Capacity ($C$) | Range: 12.5–200 Gb/s |
| Slots number for guard-band, $N_{\text{GB}}$ | 1             |
| $g$, bandwidth allocation granularity | 1–5          |
| Transmission Reach of: 9600 km, $B_{\text{PSK}} (M = 1)$, 4800 km, $QPSK (M = 2)$, 2400 km, $8 QAM (M = 3)$, and 1200 km, and, $16 QAM (M = 4)$ |
| Path candidates number, $K$ | 5             |
| Propagation delay ($r$) | 5 $\mu s/km$  |
| DD: Differential Delay. | 10 ms         |
Algorithm 3: Proposed Dynamic Adaptation Mechanism Using Fixed Path Computation

Input: $G(V, E, D^i)$, LR $(s, d, C, \Delta t)$.
Output: Single or HSMR for LR
1. for all LR$s$ that ask for service do
2. find $k$-shortest routing path and Implement a set of path $P$
3. end
4. while the network is operational. Update $G(V, E, B, D)$
5. sort the calculated $k$-shortest routing path from s to d based on $\sum d_e$
6. for all candidate paths do
7. choose the modulation level $M_i$ for real distance, using (Equation (8));
8. calculate $U_{IE\_path}(\gamma)$ for each path using (Equation (4));
9. determine the the $NDAg(i)$, using (Equation (2));
10. for all consecutive slots with size $\geq NDAg(i)$, do
11. use (Equation (5)) to allocate capacity $C_i$ as in (Equation (6));
12. if $C$ is satisfied in (Equation (5))
13. break for-loops in step 4 and step 8;
14. end if
15. end for
16. end for
17. If $\sum_i C_i < C$ then assign the request as blocked request;
18. end if
19. end while

4. Validation and Numerical Simulation Results

To evaluate the dynamic granularity adaptation performance of both $LDAg(i)$ and $NDAg(i)$ under some of the network’s parameters, we pursued the online path computation of a hybrid single/multipath routing, based on dynamic RMSA (HSMR-OPC). We also used fixed path sets with dynamic RMSA, using HSMR-FPS-LSoSHF (LSoSHF: Largest slots-over-square-of-hops first) to investigate the number of path splitting occurrences per request. We simulated the spectrum allocation policy for $g_{max} = 5$ and $g_{min} = 1$, which are static values. Our adaptation mechanisms are embedded, and the multipath provisioning part is activated when there is no single path that can accommodate the light path request $LR(s, d, C)$. Figure 3 shows that the bandwidth blocking probability is significantly improved by using a dynamic BW granularity adaptation for both test beds, compared to using a fixed $g_{max} = 5$ and, therefore, approaches that of $g_{min} = 1$.

![Figure 3. Bandwidth blocking probability varying with load in (a) NSFNET and (b) US Backbone.](image-url)
Figure 4 illustrates the simulation results with respect to the average throughput. The HSMR-RMSA-OPC of $g = 1$, 5, LDA$g$, and NDA$g$, are presented. The average throughput of the LDA$g$ and NDA$g$ are enhanced, compared to the fixed minimum granularity; $g = 1$. It is evident that LDA$g$ and NDA$g$ show improvement for all traffic loads in terms of traffic throughput. The average throughput is greatly improved for NDA$g$ compared to $g_{\text{max}} = 5$. This improvement, of about 5 Tb/s, is beneficial for the performance of optical networks.

![Graph showing average throughput vs. varying load in US Backbone](image)

**Figure 4.** Average throughput vs. varying load in US Backbone.

In terms of path splitting, as in Figure 5, NDA$g$ offers an improvement for the distribution percentage when compared to $g_{\text{min}} = 1$. Simulation data shows that 80% of the total requests are still served by a single routing path by using the NDA$g$, compared to 75% using $g_{\text{min}} = 1$ and 93% for $g_{\text{max}} = 5$. The largest number of path splitting occurrences per request for $g_{\text{max}} = 5$, NDA$g$, and $g_{\text{min}} = 1$ are 5, 6, and 12, consecutively. The simulation results showed that using a larger BW allocation granularity of $g$ (i.e., $g = 5$) forces the HSMR scheme to become a single path routing scenario, which explains the increase in BBP.

![Graph showing distribution in percentage vs. number of path splitting per request](image)

**Figure 5.** Distribution in percentage vs. number of path splitting per request.

Figure 6 presents the simulation results on the average network bandwidth utilization as a function of traffic load in Erlangs for HSMR-OPC-NDA$g$ with/without differential delay constraint
(DD), fixed bandwidth granularity (MP-FFSA with $g = 5$) with DD, and the single path first fit spectrum allocation (SP-FFSA). It can be seen that $NDAg$ without the DD scheme achieves the best network bandwidth utilization compared to the others. However, in spite of a 10% reduction (on average) in utilization (at high traffic load) occurring when adding the DD constraint, $NDAg$ is also able to maintain a higher utilization than both MP-FFSA and SP-FFSA.

![Network Bandwidth Utilization](image)

**Figure 6.** Network Bandwidth Utilization.

Also, it is clear that at traffic loads less than 300 Erlangs, there is no noticeable differentiation among the schemes, possibly because there are a lot of gaps which reflect the spectrum availability along the links and the paths. At 1000 Erlangs, $NDAg$ achieves values that are 12.5% and 30% better than MP-FFSA and SP-FFSA, respectively.

Figure 7 shows a multipath distribution based on path-distance using $NDAg$ with DD, as a function of a traffic load for different differential delay constraints. The path distance differences were considered as up to 1000 km, 2000 km, and 3000 km, which are 0 ms, 5 ms, and 10 ms, respectively. For example, at a traffic load of 1000 Erlangs, 87% of the multipath routing is served by zero differential delays. In [26], well-controlled management is achieved within 3000 km or within 15 ms; meanwhile, the $NDAg$ achieves a better result under a well-controlled management within 2000 km or within 10 ms.

![Multipath Distribution](image)

**Figure 7.** Multipath distribution based on path-distance difference using $NDAg$. 
The BBP for $NDAg$ and other schemes with or without $DD$ constraints are shown in Figure 8. It can be noticed that employing the $DD$ increased the BBP.

![NSFNET Topology](image)

**Figure 8.** Bandwidth blocking probability with/without differential delay constraint.

When the load traffic increases, the BBP also rises. Table 2 illustrates the percentage increase with and without $DD$ constraints for different traffic loads. With or without the fixed $g = 1$ and $g = 5$, the BBP increases by about 8% and 23% on average. Meanwhile, the $NDAg$ with or without the $DD$ constraint increases by around 14%.

**Table 2.** Percentage increase in BBP for with/without $DD$ constraints.

| Traffic Load (Erlangs) | $g = 1$ | $NDAg$ $g = 5$ |
|------------------------|---------|---------------|
| 400                    | 0       | 0             |
| 500                    | 0       | 4.76          |
| 600                    | 0       | 5             |
| 700                    | 0       | 12.7          |
| 800                    | 10.3    | 19            |
| 900                    | 13.75   | 20.69         |
| 1000                   | 13.75   | 20.92         |
| 1100                   | 14.67   | 21.47         |
| 1200                   | 15.52   | 21.21         |
| **Average (%)**        | **8**   | **14**        |

Comparisons for a traffic load of 1000 Erlangs using the simulation results show that $NDAg$ with $DD$ is about 21% higher than $NDAg$ without $DD$, as well as 14% and 29.5% for $g = 1$ and $g = 5$, respectively. For $g = 1$, there is no significant differentiation for traffic loads less than 800 Erlangs. The last column shows the calculated average for each bandwidth granularity mechanism $g = 1$, $NDAg$, and $g = 5$.

5. Conclusions

In sum, dynamic BW allocation granularity adaptation mechanisms, denoted as $LDAg$ and $NDAg$, were proposed and defined. The performance of these schemes was tested and validated using MATLAB simulation software. They were investigated under dynamic path algorithms to show the effect of granularity adaptation on the EON’s performance metrics, specifically the BBP performance. The simulation results show that $LDAg$ and $NDAg$ schemes allow a better performance than using a fixed minimum BW allocation granularity scheme that employs fixed granularities such as $g = 1$ and $g = 5$. A fixed-path selection (FPS) algorithm was also investigated to show the $NDAg$ effect on the path splitting per request. The dynamic adaptation reduces the number of path
splitting occurrences per request, compared to the scheme that uses the smallest fixed granularity (g = 1).

Differential delay effects on the multipath service provisioning were also discussed. The simulation results showed that the adaptation of NDAg offers an improved performance in terms of the “distribution of the path-distance differences”, compared to a single path service.

For future analysis, it would be a good idea to improve these mechanisms by taking into account other network metrics. A promising step towards realizing a fully-optimized BW allocation is considering defragmentation optimization and differential delay during multipath provisioning.

**Author Contributions:** We introduce the concept of “bandwidth allocation granularity adaptation” linear and nonlinear adaptation mechanisms for multipath provisioning in elastic optical OFDM networks. Simulation results show that granularity adaptation improves bandwidth blocking probability, throughput, the number of path splitting per request, and distribution of the path-distance differences.
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