Local Calcium Elevation and Cell Elongation Initiate Guided Motility in Electrically Stimulated Osteoblast-Like Cells
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Abstract

Background: Investigation of the mechanisms of guided cell migration can contribute to our understanding of many crucial biological processes, such as development and regeneration. Endogenous and exogenous direct current electric fields (dcEF) are known to induce directional cell migration, however the initial cellular responses to electrical stimulation are poorly understood. Ion fluxes, besides regulating intracellular homeostasis, have been implicated in many biological events, including regeneration. Therefore understanding intracellular ion kinetics during EF-directed cell migration can provide useful information for development and regeneration.

Methodology/Principal Findings: We analyzed the initial events during migration of two osteogenic cell types, rat calvarial and human SaOS-2 cells, exposed to strong (10–15 V/cm) and weak (≤5 V/cm) dcEFs. Cell elongation and perpendicular orientation to the EF vector occurred in a time- and voltage-dependent manner. Calvarial osteoblasts migrated to the cathode as they formed new filopodia or lamellipodia and reorganized their cytoskeleton on the cathodal side. SaOS-2 cells showed similar responses except towards the anode. Strong dcEFs triggered a rapid increase in intracellular calcium levels, whereas a steady state level of intracellular calcium was observed in weaker fields. Interestingly, we found that dcEF-induced intracellular calcium elevation was initiated with a local rise on opposite sides in calvarial and SaOS-2 cells, which may explain their preferred directionality. In calcium-free conditions, dcEFs induced neither intracellular calcium elevation nor directed migration, indicating an important role for calcium ions. Blocking studies using cadmium chloride revealed that voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs) are involved in dcEF-induced intracellular calcium elevation.

Conclusion/Significance: Taken together, these data form a time scale of the morphological and physiological rearrangements underlying EF-guided migration of osteoblast-like cell types and reveal a requirement for calcium in these reactions. We show for the first time here that dcEFs trigger different patterns of intracellular calcium elevation and positional shifting in osteogenic cell types that migrate in opposite directions.

Introduction

Guided cell migration is essential for embryonic development, tissue formation, inflammation and wound-healing [1–3]. In addition to chemical (chemotaxis) or mechanical (contact) mechanisms, guided cell migration is influenced by electric potential (electrotaxis) [4–7]. Exogenous dcEFs of physiological strength induce a variety of cellular responses [8–10]. Although the general effects of electrical stimulation on various cell types are well known, the exact cascades translating exogenous and endogenous electrical signals into a variety of intracellular responses are still poorly understood. One important candidate for this translation is calcium. Calcium is involved in maintaining cell physiology and is a pluripotent signaling molecule that holds a crucial place in many cell biological pathways [11–13]. In particular, elevation of cytoplasmic calcium serves as a rapid response to various factors including electrical stimulation. Thus, regulation of intracellular calcium ([Ca2+]i) levels via non-invasive electrical stimulation may be important for controlling cellular responses during migration [14]. To address this issue here, we observe cell morphological rearrangements and [Ca2+]i dynamics in response to dcEFs.

In this study we use two osteogenic cell types, rat calvarial and human SaOS-2 cells [15,16]. These are good models for directional migration studies since they prefer opposite movement directions in response to electrical stimulation. Immunofluorescence, vital staining, differential interference contrast (DIC) microscopy and time-lapse video microscopy techniques were used to analyze the short- and long-term cellular responses to electrostimulation. We offer novel observations of the initial cellular response to electrical stimulation, in terms of cytoskeletal reorganization and ion fluxes, and suggest an early role for intracellular calcium in directed cell migration.
Results

DcEF-induced elongation and reorientation are time- and voltage-dependent

We first observed the cell morphological changes induced by exposure to low and high voltage dcEFs for different durations. The field strengths used are consistent with those applied in similar studies on osteoblast cells [17–19]. After 5 h of exposure to a 5 V/cm dcEF, most of the calvarial and SaOS-2 cells elongated and orientated perpendicular to the field vector (Fig. 1E and F), in contrast to the control cells (Fig. 1A, B, C and D). Overall, the percentage of perpendicular-oriented calvarial osteoblasts significantly increased with the duration of dcEF stimulation, from 52±0.8% (Mean±standard deviation, start) to 36±2.2% (Mean±standard deviation, 5 h, Fig. 1G). The lower percentage of orientation to start was due to some cells remained round, did not have a significantly elongated axis and hence, were difficult to score. Increasing numbers of perpendicular-orientated SaOS-2 cells were also seen with longer durations of dcEF stimulation (Fig. 1H), but this effect became significant only after 3 h of exposure. In both cell types, the change in cell orientation became significant in a dcEF of 2 V/cm (21±2%, Mean±standard deviation) and highly increased at 5 V/cm (52±1.6%, Mean±standard deviation) (Fig. 1I and J). Overall, reorientation of the cells increased proportionally with both duration (Fig. 1G and H, ncell = 1200–1500) and strength (Fig. 1I and J, ncell = 1200–1500) of the dcEF. This experiment was done in the presence of Ca2+. DcEF-induced directional migration is Ca2+-dependent

To determine if Ca2+ is important for dcEF-induced directed migration, we compared cell behavior in the presence and absence of Ca2+. In the presence of Ca2+, the two osteogenic cell types migrated in opposite directions when exposed to a dcEF, calvarial cells to the cathode (Fig. 2C, Movie S1, Fig. S1) and SaOS-2 cells to the anode (Fig. 2D, Movie S2, Fig. S2). Unexposed cells moved randomly (Fig. 2A and B). Without Ca2+, both SaOS-2 (Fig. 2D') and calvarial (Fig. 2C') osteoblasts did not show a preferred direction, similar to the control cells (Fig. 2A' and B'). The data showing the values of directedness in degree has given in the supporting information (Dataset S1). The presence of Ca2+ increased the net linear displacement from 6.08 μm to 12.42 μm for SaOS-2 cells and from 10.96 μm to 14.72 μm for calvarial cells exposed to a 5 V/cm dcEF for 5 h (Fig. 2E). Net linear displacement was defined by the starting and end points connected by a straight line. Migration speeds calculated from the original trajectories were 20 μm/hr (calvarial) and 7.3 μm/hr (SaOS-2), for unexposed cells, and 32.1 μm/hr (calvarial) and 15.5 μm/hr (SaOS-2) for dcEF-exposed cells (Fig. 2F). DcEFs triggered an increase in distance and speed of calvarial osteoblast movement by 36±3% and 61±5.2%, while SaOS-2 cells increased their distance and speed by 104±8% and 111±10.5% respectively. Without Ca2+, dcEFs did not cause distinguishable differences in migration speed and distance compared to the control cells (Fig. 2E' and F'). Mean values were evaluated from at least 3 individual experiments for each case (n cells = 17–22). In total, this data suggests that dcEFs guide osteoblast migration via a Ca2+-dependent mechanism.

DcEF-induced redistribution of adhesion structures, elongation and de novo formation of membrane protrusions is Ca2+-dependent

To further understand the Ca2+-dependent mechanism by which dcEFs direct migration, we looked at indicators of morphological rearrangement. Control cells (Fig. 3A and B) displayed a relatively homogenous distribution of focal adhesions, as marked by vinculin, and randomly arranged actin filaments. In the presence of Ca2+, dcEF-exposed SaOS-2 and calvarial cells had concentrations of vinculin spots near the cell edges or in the direction of movement (Fig. 3C and D). Parallel organized filamentous actin and new membrane protrusions (lamellipodia or filopodia) were also more noticeable at the leading edge of both cell types after dcEF exposure (Fig. 3C–F). When Ca2+ ions were depleted from the media, none of these changes were observed (Fig. 3A'–F'). This indicates that Ca2+ is required for the

Figure 1. Time- and voltage-dependent cell shape alteration of osteoblast-like cells in a dcEF. DIC micrographs showing perpendicular orientation and elongation of calvaria (A,C,E) and SaOS-2 (B,D,F) cells before (A,B) and after (E,F) exposure to a dcEF of 5 V/cm for 5 h. Control cells (C,D; 5 h, no dcEF) displayed no major alteration in shape or orientation. Graphs indicating the percentage of perpendicular-orientated cells with or without Ca2+ ions are shown in (G,H). (I,J) parallel-orientated calvarial and perpendicular SaOS-2 cells displayed an increase in distance (I; 36±3%) and speed (J; 61±5.2%) in the presence of 5 V/cm and 35 h. Graphs giving the values of directedness in degree have been given in the supporting information (Dataset S1). The presence of Ca2+ increased the net linear displacement from 6.08 μm to 12.42 μm for SaOS-2 cells and from 10.96 μm to 14.72 μm for calvarial cells exposed to a 5 V/cm dcEF for 5 h (Fig. 2E). Net linear displacement was defined by the starting and end points connected by a straight line. Migration speeds calculated from the original trajectories were 20 μm/hr (calvarial) and 7.3 μm/hr (SaOS-2) for unexposed cells, and 32.1 μm/hr (calvarial) and 15.5 μm/hr (SaOS-2) for dcEF-exposed cells (Fig. 2F). DcEFs triggered an increase in distance and speed of calvarial osteoblast movement by 36±3% and 61±5.2%, while SaOS-2 cells increased their distance and speed by 104±8% and 111±10.5% respectively. Without Ca2+, dcEFs did not cause distinguishable differences in migration speed and distance compared to the control cells (Fig. 2E’ and F’). Mean values were evaluated from at least 3 individual experiments for each case (n cells = 17–22). In total, this data suggests that dcEFs guide osteoblast migration via a Ca2+-dependent mechanism.

DcEF-induced redistribution of adhesion structures, elongation and de novo formation of membrane protrusions is Ca2+-dependent

To further understand the Ca2+-dependent mechanism by which dcEFs direct migration, we looked at indicators of morphological rearrangement. Control cells (Fig. 3A and B) displayed a relatively homogenous distribution of focal adhesions, as marked by vinculin, and randomly arranged actin filaments. In the presence of Ca2+, dcEF-exposed SaOS-2 and calvarial cells had concentrations of vinculin spots near the cell edges or in the direction of movement (Fig. 3C and D). Parallel organized filamentous actin and new membrane protrusions (lamellipodia or filopodia) were also more noticeable at the leading edge of both cell types after dcEF exposure (Fig. 3C–F). When Ca2+ ions were depleted from the media, none of these changes were observed (Fig. 3A’–F’). This indicates that Ca2+ is required for the
redistribution of focal adhesions and the actin cytoskeleton that occurs as these osteoblasts undergo morphological change during migration.

Strong dcEFs elevate \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) by involving VGCCs

To address whether dcEF-induced cell migration involves regulating intracellular calcium levels, we monitored \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) dynamics in response to different strength dcEFs. After the onset of exposure to a 14 V/cm dcEF in the presence of \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), calvarial osteoblasts (Fig. 4A) had a detectable \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) increase at an average of 5.87 seconds (\( n_{\text{cell}} = 23, n_{\text{ROI}} = 90, n_{\text{exp}} = 10 \)), while SaOS-2 cells took 11.73 seconds (\( n_{\text{cell}} = 22, n_{\text{ROI}} = 73, n_{\text{exp}} = 6 \)).

The amplitude of the elevation calculated from the baseline was 143.82 ± 29.5% (Mean ± standard deviation, \( n_{\text{cell}} = 23, n_{\text{ROI}} = 90, n_{\text{exp}} = 10 \)) for calvarial osteoblasts and 41.16 ± 9.96% (Mean ± standard deviation, \( n_{\text{cell}} = 22, n_{\text{ROI}} = 73, n_{\text{exp}} = 6 \)) for SaOS-2 cells. In contrast, intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) levels were kept at steady state under low strength dcEF (5 V/cm) exposure under the same conditions (Fig. 4B, \( n_{\text{exp}} = 4 \)). Without \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), cells responded neither to a 5 V/cm (Fig. 4D, \( n_{\text{exp}} = 4 \)) nor a 14 V/cm (Fig. 4C, \( n_{\text{exp}} = 4 \)) dcEF. Thus, increased \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) could serve as a rapid response mechanism to regulate cell migration in response to strong dcEFs.

Depletion of intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) stores using 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) thapsigargin and the subsequent incubation of cells with 50 \( \mu \text{M} \) of the VGCC blocker cadmium chloride (CdCl\(_2\)) reduced the peak magnitude of \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \), by 78.03% (\( n_{\text{cell}} = 8 \)) in calvarial cells and by 43.44% (\( n_{\text{cell}} = 8 \)) in SaOS-2 cells (Fig. 4E).

Additionally, the SaOS-2 \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \), elevation in response to the applied dcEF was delayed by 2 ± 0.3 min (Mean ± standard deviation, \( n_{\text{cell}} = 8, \text{Fig. S3} \)). This suggests that dcEFs regulate \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) via VGCCs.

Strong dcEF induced \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) elevation on opposite sides in Calvarial and SaOS-2 cells

To determine if there is a relationship between local calcium kinetics and directional sensing, we visualized \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \) at the cellular level in both calvarial (Fig. 5A and a) and SaOS-2 cells (Fig. 5D and d) after exposure to a 14 V/cm dcEF. Different cellular regions showed \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) elevation at different time points (Fig. 5B, 17 cells scored from a total of 23, \( n_{\text{exp}} = 10 \)). A local rise in \( [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i \), appeared first at the anode-facing side of the calvarial cells, then spread until it reached the opposite, cathode-facing, extremity (Fig. 5C, Movie S3). Unlike calvarial osteoblasts, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) elevation in SaOS-2 initiated first at the cathode-facing end, then diffused through the cytoplasm until it reached to the anode-facing end of the cell (Fig. 5D–F, Movie S4, 15 cells scored from a total of 22, \( n_{\text{exp}} = 6 \)). Thus dcEFs trigger different patterns of intracellular \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) elevation in osteogenic cell types that migrate in opposite directions.

DcEFs induce cells to initially contract and relocate opposite to migration direction

We noticed that Calvarial (\( n = 17 \)) and SaOS-2 (\( n = 21 \)) cells (Fig. 6A and D) underwent a significant contraction within 1
Figure 3. Redistribution of adhesion proteins (vinculin and actin) and de novo formation of membrane protrusions during dcEF (5 V/cm, 5 h) exposure. Fluorescent images of calvaria and SaOS-2 cells stained with phalloidin, TRITC, vinculin and DAPI mark actin filaments, focal contacts and nuclei, respectively before (A,B) and after (C,D) dcEF exposure in the presence, (A',B') or (C',D') absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions. DIC micrographs of elongated calvaria and SaOS-2 cells with newly formed membrane protrusions (white arrows) on their leading edges in presence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions (E,F) or cells with randomly distributed protrusions absence of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (E',F').

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.g003

Discussion

Here we describe the initial events during dcEF-induced directional cell migration in osteoblasts and show that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} is essential (Fig. 7). This is in contrast to other cell types reported to be regulated independent of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, such as NIH 3T3 and SV101 murine fibroblastic cell lines [20]. In particular, we find that dcEFs require Ca\textsuperscript{2+} to induce morphological changes that enable migration. A Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent mechanism has previously been suggested to explain dcEF-induced cell shape changes, orientation and displacement of mouse embryo fibroblasts [21]. Inhibition of lamellipod formation and cell locomotion has also been reported in dcEF-guided fish keratinocytes exposed to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel antagonists [22].

Our data suggests that regulation of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels is a key for dcEF induction of cell migration responses. Notably, directed motility and intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} kinetics in osteoblast-like cells can be altered by different strength dcEFs. This effect might be related to VGCC activation occurring only at strong dcEFs in osteoblasts, as a similar situation has been described for hFOB 1.19 osteoblasts [23]. Inhibiting VGCCs using CdCl\textsubscript{2} significantly reduced [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} peak magnitude in calvarial and SaOS-2 cells. Thus, VGCCs may contribute to Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entry across the plasma membrane at strong dcEFs.

We show for the first time here that dcEFs trigger different patterns of intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} elevation in osteogenic cell types that migrate in opposite directions. In combination with our other data, this leads us to propose a model of how dcEFs affect local calcium kinetics to guide migration of a SaOS-2 cell. The resting potential of osteoblast-like cells is around –20 to –30 mV [24,25]. A dcEF of 10 V/cm will affect a 50 \mu m diameter (in average) SaOS-2 cell by hyperpolarizing the membrane facing the anode by approximately 50 mV and depolarizing the cathodal side by the same amount [26]. Increasing [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], can activate myosin light chain kinase, which in turn triggers actin-activated myosin ATPase, a major regulator of cell contraction [27]. As a consequence, the cathodal side will contract and propel the SaOS-2 cell towards the anode. A cathode-facing membrane depolarization may open VGCCs and the following Ca\textsuperscript{2+} influx will enhance the local [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], causing cell migration towards the anode. Stretch-activated cation channels, including calcium-related ones involved in mechanotransduction [28], are present in osteoblasts and are crucial for maintaining bone density [29]. Thus, stretch-activated calcium channels may especially contribute to the local [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], increase due to the mechanical strain induced by the EF, and cause a depolarization-activated calcium response [30] at the rear-end (cathode) of the SaOS-2 cell. Similar mechanism, but in an opposite direction, will translate the local increase in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], at the anode facing side of a calvarial cell into movement towards the cathode. Nevertheless, how and why [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], rises on the anodal side for calvarial and cathodal side in SaOS-2 cells is still an issue to be discussed. One possible basis for this opposite effect could be the status of VGCCs in the two cell lines used. Because of CdCl\textsubscript{2} being a non-specific VGCC inhibitor, its use can only address the VGCCs in general, but can not reveal VGCC subtypes involve in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], rise in two cell lines exposed to strong dcEF. Additionally, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-permeant mechanosensitive channels might be secondary modulators to VGCCs contributing to the differential directionalility of response.
However, it is likely that another mechanism keeps $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ in a steady state during weak (5 V/cm) dcEF-directed motility. Hereby, Ca$^{2+}$ ions, but not necessarily local Ca$^{2+}$ elevations, also seem to be involved in directed motility since the morphology and preferred migration direction of the cells was disrupted when Ca$^{2+}$ was depleted from the media during the exposure to weak dcEF. A mechanism involving the local activation of some other channels/proteins might modulate directed cell migration in weak dcEFs.

Figure 4. Fura-2AM kinetics showing $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ elevation during dcEF-induced migration is dependent on Ca$^{2+}$ and EF strength, and involves VGCCs. The dcEF (black arrows) was applied 30 seconds after starting the time-lapse recordings. Elevated and steady state Ca$^{2+}$ levels of calvaria cells exposed to strong (14 V/cm) or weak (5 V/cm) of dcEF in the presence of Ca$^{2+}$ (A,B). In the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, both strong (C) and weak (D) dcEF failed to stimulate intracellular Ca$^{2+}$. A scheme of two cells with marked ROIs is shown for B. Lines in each graphic correspond to different groups of ROIs from different cells and are listed in the legends. 20–23 cells with similar kinetics were scored from 3–6 individual experiments for each condition. (E) Inhibition of dcEF-induced $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ elevation by VGCC blocker CdCl$_2$ in both calvarial and SaOS-2 osteoblast-like cells. 8 cells from 5 individual experiments were analyzed for each condition. Means and standard deviations are shown. * indicates P<0.05 vs. the elevation in the normal conditions without the blocker.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.g004
Figure 5. Local and opposite $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ initials in Fura-loaded calvaria and SaOS-2 cells exposed to strong dcEF (14 V/cm). (A,a) and (D,d) DIC images of calvaria and SaOS-2 cells with ROIs within colored circles. (B) One-cell Fura kinetics representing the sequential elevation of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$, first at anode-facing side (ROI 6, grey circle) and its propagation through the cell (ROI 7, black circle) to the cathode-facing side (ROI 8, dark red circle) of a calvaria cell. (E) The same pattern but opposite direction in a SaOS-2 cell. (C,F) False color time-lapse frames showing the contrary initiation and propagation (white arrows) of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ elevation in calvaria and in SaOS-cells. 15–17 cells from a total of 22–23 were scored from 6–10 independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.g005
Interestingly, we observed a rapid contraction and a slight relocation with a preferred directionality within the first minutes post-application of dcEFs (1–14 V/cm) in both calvarial and SaOS-2 cells. Each cell type showed a positional shift that was opposite to its preferred migrational direction, a behavior that we term the refractory period. During this time it is possible that the cells mechanically resist the dcEF forces until they reach the appropriate orientation. Considering that both the morphological reorganization and the positional shift noted here have preferred directions, they may be predetermining the migration direction and hence, required for electrically-guided cell migration. We suggest that the contraction and the shifting may also be occurring in response to the local calcium elevations at rear-end of the cells since both events refer to the same cell side with regard to the migration direction for the given cell type.

Cell migration with a preferred directionality may involve the local activation of various charged receptors or ion channels that subsequently contribute to migratory processes at the leading edge of the cell [7]. Moreover, as many molecules have charges on them, it is likely that electrical stimulation directly induces the activity of e.g. membrane proteins participating in ion transport or other molecules acting as a voltage sensor such as cVSP, a recently identified voltage sensing phosphatase [31]. Therefore, investigating the roles of such proteins and associated mechanisms for translating electrical signals into cell biological events producing guided cell migration is our future aim.

In conclusion, the findings here provide insight into the involvement of cell- and dcEF-specific cues in guided migration. Our overview of the time scale of electrically-directed migration in osteoblast-like cells, presented for the first time here, helps to understand how physiological electrical signals can initiate directional sensing in the cells. This will contribute to our understanding of important biological events in which guided migration is crucial, such as bone remodeling.

Materials and Methods

Osteoblast cell culture

Primary osteoblastic cells were isolated from fetal rat calvaria as previously described [32]. Cultures were maintained in DMEM/Ham’s F12 medium ( Gibco BRL, Karlsruhe, Germany) containing 12% fetal calf serum, 2.3 mM Mg\(^{2+}\), 100 µg/mL penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin sulfate and 1.25% L-glutamine at 37°C and humidified 5% CO\(_2\). Passages between 5 and 7 were taken for experiments. Human osteosarcoma cells (SaOS-2), non-transformed cells with osteoblastic properties, were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC HTB 85) and cultured in McCoy’s 5A medium (Gibco BRL, Karlsruhe, Germany) containing 15% fetal calf serum and 1.25% L-glutamine. SaOS-2 cells were used up to passage number 50 since they are suspected to loose their osteoblast-phenotypic features in later passages [33]. 100 µL of 20000 cells/mL was seeded into the channel of an ibiTreat - µ Slide I (Ibidi, Munich, Germany) for EF applications.

Application of dcEFs

Direct current provided by an electrophoresis power supply (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Freiburg, Germany) was applied to the cells through two platinum electrodes (0.2 mm diameter, Agar scientific, Essex, UK) immersed in 0.9% NaCl-filled beakers that were connected to the media-filled reservoirs of the µ Slide by

Figure 6. DIC time-lapse frames showing opposing contraction forces induced by dcEFs. (A,D) Calvaria osteoblasts and SaOS-2 cells before dcEF exposure. (B,E) Calvaria and SaOS-2 cells with contracted cell bodies 1 min after switching the dcEF (10 V/cm applied here) on. (C,F) Merged images of a single calvaria and SaOS-2 cell, marked with a white rectangle in B and in E, representing contraction and positional shift (small white arrows on the cell periphery) towards anode and cathode, respectively. Big white arrow in C and in F indicates the direction of calvaria and SaOS-2 cell migration towards cathode and anode, respectively. n\(_{cell}\) = 17–21.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.g006
two approximately 20 cm long agar bridges (2% agar in PBS). HEPES buffered salt solution (HBSS; 10 mM HEPES, 135 mM NaCl, 1.2 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM KCl and 0.8% D-Glucose, pH 7.4) or cell culture media was used for ion imaging or migration assays, respectively. Agar bridges were used to avoid contact between electrode products and the cells [2]. Field strengths were measured during the experiment by a voltmeter (Voltcraft Meßtechnik, Hirschau, Germany). Cells were exposed to the different dcEF strengths ranging from 1 to 14 V/cm for 5 min up to 5 h at 37°C in a chamber (Solent Scientific, Segensworth UK) covering the whole inverted microscope or in the incubator. Same conditions were used for controls but without application of a dcEF.

**Quantification of cell orientation and migration**

Cells were monitored with an Olympus IX81 inverted microscope equipped with DIC components and an integrated vital microscopy chamber (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany). Cells

![Flow diagram showing dcEF-induced early and late events in a single calvarial or SaOS-2 osteoblast cell.](image)

**Figure 7.** Flow diagram showing dcEF-induced early and late events in a single calvarial or SaOS-2 osteoblast cell. Strong dcEF triggers a local elevation in intracellular calcium (in seconds) on the anode-facing cell side followed by a shift (in minutes) opposite to migration direction, towards the anode, and finally, directed migration (in hours) towards cathode in a calvarial cell (upper panel). Similar mechanism, but in an opposite direction, translates the local increase in [Ca²⁺] at cathode facing side of a SaOS-2 cell into the movement towards anode (lower panel). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.g007
with an angle between 70° and 110° to the EF vector were considered as perpendicular. A total of 1000–1500 cells were scored for each condition. To quantify cell migration, time-lapse DIC videos were processed in a detecting program, TrackIT. Diagrams indicating the direction of cell migration were evaluated in Microsoft Power Point from original cell trajectories detected by TrackIT. Graphics of speed and distance were prepared in Excel from the original data generated in TrackIT.

Fluorescence labeling of actin, vinculin, and nuclei

Cells were washed once with PBS (pH 7.4), fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 5 min at room temperature (RT), permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 for 6 min and then blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 20 min. To detect focal contacts, the cells were incubated with a mouse anti-human vinculin (1:20, Serotec, Martinsried, Germany) at 4°C overnight. After washing with PBS, the cells were incubated with a fluorescein-isothiocyanate (FITC)-coupled goat anti-mouse antibody (1:100, Dianova, Hamburg, Germany) for 1 h at RT. To visualize the actin cytoskeleton, cells were incubated with tetramethylrhodamine-isothiocyanate (TRITC)-conjugated phalloidin (1:20, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) for 1 h at RT. Nuclei were stained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) (1:50, Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) for 3 min at RT. 2% Dabco-glycerin in PBS was used as mounting solution.

Monitoring intracellular calcium

Fura-2 AM (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), a Ca2+-specific vital dye, was used to record intracellular calcium levels. Cells were washed once with HBSS and then loaded with 3 μM Fura-2 AM, 0.05% Phoronic F-127 (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) and 3% FCS in HBSS at 37°C for 15 min. After washing, cells were incubated further with HBSS containing 3% FCS for 10 min, then rinsed with HBSS and examined using an inverted microscope. Ca2+ free conditions were ensured by using 1.2 mM EGTA (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) and 10 μM Thapsigargin (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) to define the requirement of extracellular calcium ions and calcium ions released from the intracellular stores. For VGCC blocking studies, intracellular calcium stores were first depleted using Thapsigargin to ensure that an eventual elevation in [Ca2+]i induced by the dcEFs was only due to the influx through the cell membrane. Afterwards the cells were further incubated with 50 μM CdCl2 (Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany). A DcEF was applied approximately 30±1 sec after the start of the fluorescence recording during the experiments. The graphic legends with the number of series indicate the region of interests (ROIs) inserted in the cells in the given microscopic field. Data was recorded and analyzed using the imaging software Olympus Cell R.

Statistical analysis

Statistical calculations were performed either in Excel using Student’s t-test or in SPSS using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni test. P-values <0.05 were considered as significant (*), n0–10, n0–100 and n0–300 represent the total number of scored cells, total number of detected ROIs and the total number of independent experiments, respectively. Data were collected from at least three individual experiments.

Supporting Information

Movie S1  DeEF-directed motility in calvarial osteoblasts. DIC time-lapse video showing DeEF-directed motility of calvarial osteoblasts exposed to 5 V/cm for 5 h. Calvarial osteoblasts elongate perpendicular to EF vector and form membrane protrusions towards cathode (−) during EF-induced directional migration.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s001 (8.28 MB MOV)

Movie S2  DcEF-directed motility in SaOS-2 osteoblast-like cells. DIC time-lapse video showing dCEF-directed motility of SaOS-2 cells exposed to 5 V/cm for 5 h. SaOS-2 cells elongate perpendicular to EF vector and form membrane protrusions towards anode (+) during EF-induced directional migration.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s002 (9.06 MB MOV)

Movie S3  DeEF-induced local Ca2+ elevation in calvarial osteoblasts. Time-lapse video showing EF-induced elevation of Ca2+ first at anode-facing side and its propagation through the cell to the cathode-facing side of calvarial osteoblasts loaded with Fura-2 AM.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s003 (2.24 MB MOV)

Movie S4  DeEF-induced local Ca2+ elevation in SaOS-2 osteoblast-like cells. Time-lapse video showing EF-induced elevation of Ca2+ first at cathode-facing side and its propagation through the cell to the anode-facing side of SaOS-2 cells loaded with Fura-2 AM.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s004 (1.64 MB MOV)

Movie S5  DeEF-induced positional shift in calvarial osteoblasts. Dic time-lapse video showing contraction and positional shift of calvaria osteoblasts towards anode (+). EF was switched on and off for 5 and 1 minutes, respectively during the experiment. The relocation (positional shift) caused by contraction is opposite to the preferred migration direction, which is towards cathode (−) for these cells.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s005 (8.09 MB MOV)

Movie S6  DeEF-induced positional shift in SaOS-2 osteoblast-like cells. Dic time-lapse video showing contraction and positional shift of SaOS-2 cells towards cathode (−). EF was switched on and off for 5 and 1 minutes, respectively during the experiment. The relocation (positional shift) caused by the contraction is opposite to the preferred migratory direction, which is towards anode (+) for these cells.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s006 (9.14 MB MOV)

Figure S1  Migration direction of calvarial osteoblasts exposed to deEF. Original images showing directions, pathways and linear displacements of calvarial osteoblasts towards cathode (at 180°). Cells were exposed to 5 V/cm for 5 h. Data was generated using cell tracking program Olympus cell R-TrackIT.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s007 (1.69 MB TIF)

Figure S2  Migration direction of SaOS-2 osteoblast-like cells exposed to deEF. Original images showing directions, pathways and linear displacements of SaOS-2 cells towards anode (at 0°). Cells were exposed to 5 V/cm for 5 h. Data was generated using cell tracking program Olympus cell R-TrackIT.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s008 (1.58 MB TIF)

Figure S3  Inhibition of deEF-induced [Ca2+]i elevation by CdCl2. Graphics showing reduced [Ca2+]i elevation in response to CdCl2 recorded from calvarial and SaOS-2 osteoblast-like cells
10. Zhao M, Song B, Pu J, Wada T, Reid B, Tai G, et al. (2006) Electrical signals correspond to the directions towards cathodal- and those between 0°–90° or 270°–360° towards anodal side. Ncell = 15–22 for each condition. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006131.s010 (0.02 MB XLS)
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