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ABSTRACT

Objective: Our objective was to characterise the divergence of effort from outcome in congenital heart disease (CHD) care by measuring mortality-related resource utilisation fraction (MRRUF) for various CHD lesions across institutions of differing volumes.

Methods: Study design was observational analysis of an administrative database, the Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS). The setting was inpatient; 2004–2013. Patients were ≤21 years old with atrial septal defect (ASD), ventricular septal defect (VSD), tetralogy of Fallot (TOF), hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) or other single ventricle (SV). There were no interventions but diagnosis, institution (and volume), age, length of hospitalisation, billed charges and deaths were recorded. The main outcome measure was MRRUF, the ratio of investments during hospitalisations ending in fatality to investments during all hospitalisations.

Results: There were 50 939 admissions, 1711 deaths, 703 383 inpatient days, and $10 182 000 000 billed charges. MRRUF varied widely by diagnosis: highest in HLHS (21%), but present in ASD (2%) and VSD (4%). Highest among the very young, MRRUF also increased in HLHS and SV during adolescence. MRRUF increased with hospitalisation duration. MRRUF had no relation to institutional volume, and was static over the decade studied.

Conclusions: Even in the modern era we invest heavily in inpatient CHD care that does not produce the desired outcome. Although its magnitude varies by lesion and age, MRRUF is not limited to complex disease in the very young. MRRUF is not decreasing, and is not isolated to high or low volume institutions.

INTRODUCTION

Surgical and medical management of congenital heart disease (CHD) has made great progress in the past 50 years. Advances in CHD care have made survival to adulthood commonplace, and publicity surrounding these successes have altered public perceptions and expectations. Dramatic successes with surgical and catheter-mediated management of previously lethal cardiac defects are worthy of acclaim, but have had two key consequences: (1) have encouraged an aggressive investment of resources from the medical community to replicate these astounding successes case after case, and (2) fostered high expectations from the lay community. Despite this progress, much of inpatient CHD care remains intensive, unpredictable, and occasionally unsuccessful. Indeed, when we measured the mortality-related resource utilisation fraction (MRRUF) in Children’s Hospitals in the USA, we found 16% of hospital days and 21% of billed charges (BC) for hypoplastic left heart syndrome (HLHS) inpatient care occur during hospitalisations of patients who do not survive. It is not known whether these values represent an ideal MRRUF, in which resources are neither expended in futility nor withheld when they might have fostered a favourable outcome. Further context is required. Therefore, it is the purpose of this investigation to answer: (1) What is the MRRUF for other less severe
forms of CHD? (2) Are there institutional tendencies toward higher or lower MRRUF in the USA and if so, are they related to the size of the CHD programme? (3) Is MRRUF related to the age of the patient? (4) Is MRRUF increasing, decreasing or static over time?

METHODS

The Pediatric Health Information System (PHIS) database representing 47 children’s hospitals was queried for patients <21 years old with atrial septal defect (ASD), ventricular septal defect (VSD), tetralogy of Fallot (TOF), single ventricle (SV) and HLHS admissions receiving inpatient care during 2004–2013. These represent International Classification of Diseases Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes 745.2, 745.3, 745.4, 745.5 and 746.7. All patients were included regardless of whether or not a surgical procedure was performed. PHIS (managed by Children’s Hospital Association in Overland Park, Kansas, USA) is an administrative database containing comprehensive inpatient, observation, ambulatory surgery and emergency department data from 47 not-for-profit children’s hospitals belonging to an alliance of free-standing paediatric hospitals. Data are identified, and undergo quality and validity checks before inclusion in the PHIS database. Hospital charge data is submitted to a third party vendor who codes the data using a standard proprietary methodology to ensure comparability across hospitals; the data is then loaded on to the PHIS database. Resource use information collected for the present study included diagnosis using ICD-9 coding, admit age, number of cases, mortality, charges and average length of stay (LOS). Hospital characteristics, including centre and location, were also collected.

Institution, patient age at hospital admission, inpatient deaths, BC and LOS were recorded for further analysis. Measures of central tendency for the data were presented as mean, median and IQR. The mortality-related resource utilisation fraction (MRRUF) was calculated based on BC and on LOS:

1. LOS-based MRRUF=LOS for hospitalisations resulting in fatality/Total LOS
2. BC-based MRRUF=BC for hospitalisations resulting in fatality/Total BC

MRRUF was calculated for the total study population according to CHD lesion, age of patient, year of admission and institutional volume in the LOS and BC domains.

Volume of admissions for ASD, VSD, TOF, SV and HLHS and lesion-specific mortality rates were calculated for each institution. Comparisons of non-normally distributed continuous variables between groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U test. Least square regression analysis was used to assess for potential associations between continuous variables. Level of significance for all statistical tests was p<0.05 except for pairwise comparisons of MRRUF among the 5 cardiac lesions, in which the Bonferonni adjustment produced a level of significance p<0.005. Statistical analysis was performed with commercially available computer software (Minitab 16.0, Minitab Inc, State College, Pennsylvania, USA).

RESULTS

In all, 50,939 admissions were identified from 43 institutions (mean 1184.6±643.7 per institution), which accounted for total LOS of 703,783 inpatient days and $10,182,000,000 in BC. There were 1711 inpatient deaths (3.36%). Lesion by lesion, there were substantial variations in LOS, BC, MRRUF and institutional volumes (see table 1).

For each lesion, LOS, BC and MRRUF were significantly greater for hospitalisations ending in death than they were for non-fatal hospitalisations (p<0.001). MRRUF varied widely by cardiac lesion, with close to 20% found for HLHS, 8–10% for TOF and SV and about 2% MRRUF for secundum ASD (figure 1). Differences in MRRUF among diagnosis groups were all associated with p<0.005 (the criterion for statistical significance after Bonferonni adjustment for multiple comparisons) except for the comparison between SV and TOF, in which p>0.005. MRRUF calculated on the basis of BC was generally somewhat higher than when it was based on hospital days. When the five lesions were considered together (figure 2), MRRUF did not vary by institutional volume (p=0.277 charge based; p=0.095 LOS based). When each individual CHD lesion was analysed by institutional volume, no significant association of MRRUF with institutional volume was identified.

Considering the combined series of all five lesions, mortality rates and MRRUF were highest (p<0.001 for age <1 year vs all other) in the youngest patients (figure 3). For more complex diseases like HLHS and other single ventricles, there was a rise in MRRUF and mortality in late childhood and adolescence (p=0.001 for age >13 years vs age 1–13 years). With greater length of hospital stay, there was higher MRRUF (figure 4). However, even at the longest duration of stay, only about 30% of BC were accrued for care that failed to result in survival at hospital dismissal. When the entire population is considered together, there is no association (p=0.427 charge based; p=0.286 LOS based) between calendar year and MRRUF (figure 5). Similarly, for each lesion considered individually, MRRUF cannot be demonstrated to be increasing or decreasing during the decade 2004–2013.

Mortality rates fell significantly (p<0.001) during the years included in this analysis (figure 6, upper panel). Concurrently, BC per case in this period have increased both for survivors and for non-survivors (figure 6, lower panel). Although inflation is expected to cause unadjusted charges to rise over a 10-year period, it is notable that the rate of rise in BC for non-survivors is
diverging from that for survivors (p<0.001). Online supplementary figures S1 and S2 show the early trends in the lesion-wise MRRUF in the BC domain, and trends in MRRUF for each lesion by institutional volume.

**DISCUSSION**

Our data demonstrate that current practices result in measurable MRRUF for all CHD lesions analysed so far. Even for secundum ASD, acknowledged by many to be a condition that is very responsive to modern management,13 about 2% of the hospital days and charges are accrued among patients who do not survive their hospital stay. As expected, the MRRUF rises with the perceived complexity of the cardiac lesion: ASD>VSD>TOF and SV<HLHS. It is notable that MRRUF in SV is substantially less than that in HLHS, even though both lesions are managed with a univentricular surgical palliative strategy. The data available do not directly indicate the reason for this, but we speculate that because many SV are of left ventricular morphology and some do not require aortic reconstruction, on average these have fewer and less threatening clinical vulnerabilities than HLHS.14 SV MRRUF is actually much closer to that observed in TOF. This highlights the clinical complexities of TOF that can contribute to lengthy, expensive and unsuccessful inpatient care which evidently can rival SV. The association of TOF with chromosomal anomalies and VACTERL15 16 imply comorbidities which may have contributed to the complexity of care and the disappointing outcomes. To a lesser extent, VSD carries many similar associations17 and comorbidities. This could account for the observed MRRUF of roughly 4% despite the reputation VSD enjoys as a straightforward form of CHD for which treatment is well established and successful.18

Regardless of the lesion, there is much variation in MRRUF among institutions. This raises a natural

| Table 1 Lesion-specific findings |
|---------------------------------|
| **Lesion** | **ASD** | **VSD** | **TOF** | **SV** | **HLHS** |
| N (admissions) | 9926 | 14 200 | 12 891 | 2800 | 11 122 |
| N (deaths) | 28 | 102 | 344 | 92 | 1145 |
| **Age (years)** | | | | | |
| Mean | 4.76 | 1.23 | 1.14 | 2.23 | 1.13 |
| Median | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IQ range | 1–6 | 0–1 | 0–0 | 0–3 | 0–2 |
| **LOS—all cases (days)** | | | | | |
| Mean | 4.70 | 8.82 | 15.18 | 16.22 | 24.91 |
| Median | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| IQ range | 2–4 | 3–8 | 5–14 | 5–16 | 6–29 |
| **LOS—fatalities (days)** | | | | | |
| Mean | 43.7 | 41.83 | 47.13 | 46.09 | 38.62 |
| Median | 22 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 17 |
| IQ range | 2–75 | 8–56 | 7–57 | 8–71 | 6–48 |
| **MRRUF (LOS domain)** | | | | | |
| Mean | 0.028 | 0.035 | 0.077 | 0.096 | 0.146 |
| Median | 0 | 0.031 | 0.062 | 0.062 | 0.145 |
| IQ range | 0–0.022 | 0.002–0.056 | 0.040–0.10 | 0.004–0.126 | 0.090–0.200 |
| **BC—all cases (dollars)** | | | | | |
| Mean | 82 606 | 127 626 | 231 216 | 228 423 | 353 245 |
| Median | 59 429 | 87 414 | 120 572 | 129 380 | 174 624 |
| IQ range | 41 524–87 812 | 58 183–131 413 | 73 762–212 642 | 77 287–226 728 | 85 766–391 941 |
| **BC—fatalities (dollars)** | | | | | |
| Mean | 637 224 | 725 221 | 882 392 | 752 416 | 722 445 |
| Median | 288 147 | 339 421 | 509 875 | 465 827 | 409 312 |
| IQ range | 120 561–839 947 | 155 370–982 239 | 188 898–1 078 138 | 147 068–1 028 334 | 139 933–964 157 |
| **MRRUF (BC domain)** | | | | | |
| Mean | 0.029 | 0.041 | 0.096 | 0.112 | 0.20 |
| Median | 0 | 0.032 | 0.083 | 0.091 | 0.206 |
| IQ range | 0–0.018 | 0.002–0.066 | 0.057–0.117 | 0.008–0.170 | 0.140–0.261 |
| **Cases/institution/10 years** | | | | | |
| Mean | 230.8 | 330.2 | 299.8 | 65.1 | 258.7 |
| Median | 199 | 325 | 294 | 56 | 253 |
| IQ range | 136–277 | 250–423 | 212–356 | 29–84 | 136–354 |

ASD, atrial septal defect; BC, billed charges in dollars; HLHS, hypoplastic left heart syndrome; LOS, length of stay; MRRUF, mortality-related resource utilisation fraction; N, number; SV, single ventricle; TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; VSD, ventricular septal defect; All LOS, BC, MRRUF, and institutional volume data are expressed as mean, median, IQR.
question of whether there could be institutional factors at work such as institutional volume. While theoretical arguments could be advanced that high or low volume centres may bring greater efficiencies to CHD care resulting in lower MRRUF, our results show no such institutional volume related trends.

High MRRUF in CHD generally concentrates among the very young, where mortality risk and intensity of inpatient care is highest. For lesions like HLHS and SV, which are managed with palliative rather than definitive surgeries, MRRUF rises as adulthood approaches. This highlights increasing risk of mortality at this age, despite heavy utilisation of resources. Although outside the scope of this investigation, we speculate that beyond the age of 21 years, perhaps towards middle age, a similar phenomenon could be observed in TOF for which intracardiac repair is not entirely definitive.

The optimist would propose that as care for CHD continues to improve, it becomes more successful and more efficient, and MRRUF should fall. The pessimist would point to recent general trends within medicine to allocate greater resources to more desperate clinical circumstances, and expect that MRRUF must be rising.

Our findings do not support either view, and confirm that for the lesions investigated, MRRUF is constant from 2004 to 2013.
High MRRUF can imply many things, positive and negative. Among the positive, it implies a willingness to take on difficult clinical challenges, even those not guaranteed to produce a survivor, and persevere as an advocate for such patients who might survive if we invest enough resources in their care. The negative implication of high MRRUF is that of clinical management run amok, crossing the line from a good investment with a real possibility of producing success to becoming a poor investment that merely delays the proof of failure due to mortality. It is beyond the scope of this work to what level of MRRUF indicates that the line is being routinely crossed.

Regardless of whether it represents positive or negative clinical behaviour, a strong case can be made that high MRRUF takes a toll in at least three ways: (1) Stewardship of resources: By definition, MRRUF costs money and resources for a cause that ultimately fails. We regret this inefficiency, of course and wish we could have put our resources toward a successful purpose; (2) Impact on patients’ families: Patients, their families and workers caring for them must prospectively arrive at a shared understanding that a small portion of inpatients die with their CHD, and that high levels of resource utilisation does not always prevent this; (3) Effects on healthcare workers: Although this has not been formally studied, it seems highly likely that workers in a high MRRUF environment would be prone to experience ‘moral distress’. In recent years, the concept of moral

---

**Figure 4** Relationship of billed charges-based MRRUF and hospital stay. For the entire group of five lesions, there was a striking increase in billed charges-based MRRUF with longer hospital stay. MRRUF, mortality-related resource utilisation fraction.

**Figure 5** Year-wise trends in MRRUF. For the entire study population, whether analysed by billed charges (A) or length of hospitalisation (B), no trend is found when mortality-related resource utilisation fraction is examined year by year. MRRUF, mortality-related resource utilisation fraction.

**Figure 6** Composite mortality rate by year for five lesions (upper panel) and annual trends in charges per case by survivorship (lower panel).
distress has rightly received a great deal of attention. However, the MRRUF, normalised as it is to that BC and reimbursement are not easily convertible to over which they had no direct control. We also recognise that BC and reimbursement are not easily convertible to actual costs. However, the MRRUF, normalised as it is to total investment, does not suffer from the inaccuracies of conversion from BC to actual costs, nor is it subject to distortion from inflation. Nevertheless, as MRRUF is not a cost measure per se, it cannot be incorporated into a classic cost-benefit analysis. The study is limited to selected common CHD lesions, and cannot be extrapolated to other forms of CHD.

It is expected that hospitalisations that involve cardiac surgery would have higher mortality rates, and more expensive care than non-surgical hospital stays. This investigation is limited because it was not designed to test this hypothesis. The nature and extent of the surgeons for CHD are heterogeneous, even when the surgeries might share the same name in a database. Moreover, the circumstances under which they take place are diverse, and are not anticipated to be understood in detail from an administrative database. With the concern that confounding and easily misinterpreted information might be introduced, no attempt was made in this investigation to analyse surgery as a promoter of MRRUF. To better understand the influence of various cardiac surgeries on MRRUF, a prospective study designed to gather appropriate clinical detail would be warranted.

CONCLUSIONS
The limitations of this study do not diminish the importance of the main conclusions: (1) there is substantial investment of inpatient resources in fatal outcomes in CHD and while it is greater in the care of complex disease, there is also a high level of such investment in the care of simpler forms of CHD; (2) there is no consistent relationship between MRRUF and institutional volume; (3) MRRUF is high in the young and in patients with complex disease approaching adulthood; (4) MRRUF is neither increasing nor decreasing over the recent decade. We must acknowledge there will always be MRRUF, and that efforts to reduce MRRUF to zero would be misguided failures. However, we must ensure that the care MRRUF represents is delivered with transparency to the family, by caregivers without moral distress and orchestrated by physician leaders ever watchful that they are not crossing the line to futility.
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