Experimental study on seismic behavior of shear wall with fiber reinforced polymer concrete
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Abstract. In order to improve the seismic performance of common concrete shear wall with concealed bracings, fiber reinforced polymer modified concrete instead of ordinary concrete was applied to the shear wall as described in this paper. In this paper, the experimental study on the seismic performance of two different types of shear walls under cyclic loading was carried out, and also the failure characteristics, bearing capacity, ductility, hysteretic curve, stiffness attenuation and energy dissipation performance of the proposed shear wall were analyzed systematically. The test results show that the seismic performance of fiber-reinforced polymer modified concrete shear wall is significantly improved because its the damping ratio, deformation capacity and energy dissipation capacity are greatly improved compared with ordinary concrete shear wall with concealed bracings, which ensures its better stiffness stability in the later stage.
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The reinforced concrete shear wall is a lateral resisting component formed by the organic combination of steel and concrete. Theoretically, there are two ways to improve the seismic ability of shear walls including modifying its steel structure and improving the concrete performance. In recent decades, modifying the steel structure of the shear wall as a new technology is coming to our sight. A large number of experiments have shown that for medium and high reinforced concrete shear walls[1][2][3], their seismic bearing capacity, energy dissipation and deformability can be remarkably improved by setting concealed bracings. The failure mode can be improved to some extent. However, the introduction of concealed bracings does not delay the cracking of the shear wall because of the brittle quality of concrete. Therefore, the cracking mode and failure mechanism of the reinforced concrete shear wall with concealed bracings still have room for improvement.

Fiber reinforced polymer concrete is a kind of composite material with high performance obtained by adding a certain proportion of polymer and fiber admixture into the concrete. Experimental research shows that compared with ordinary concrete[4]-[10], although with higher cracking resistance, fiber reinforced polymer concrete is characterized by higher energy dissipation, greater deformation capacity and better tenacity. Thus, the ductility and damping of the components which are made of it can be enhanced. In order to improve the seismic performance of the reinforced concrete shear wall with concealed bracings, this paper focuses on the application of fiber-reinforced polymer shear wall composed of fiber reinforced polymer concrete.

In order to prove the feasibility and rationality of the reinforcement scheme of fiber reinforced polymer concrete shear walls with concealed bracings and new low shear walls, this paper has completed two quasi-static tests on high shear walls (one ordinary concrete shear wall and one fiber reinforced polymer concrete shear wall) with concealed bracings. The failure modes, bearing capacity, hysteresis curve, stiffness degradation and energy dissipation capacity of two shear walls under low cycle reciprocating load were obtained. The effects of shear span ratio and axial compression ratio on the seismic performance of shear walls were also examined.

1 Overview.

1.1 Model design

In this paper, two shear walls were designed. They have the same reinforcement with the span ratio of 2 numbered as HSW, HDHSW. The thickness of the two shear walls is 100mm. Except for HSW composed of common concrete, the other is made of fiber-reinforced polymer concrete. Sizes and reinforcements of the shear walls are detailed in Figure 1 and Table 1.
1.3 Test equipment and loading system

The test included two parts: dynamic test and pseudo-static test. The dynamic test was carried out before and after the loading of the specimen, so as to get the change of frequency and damping of the specimens. The pseudo-static test is used in low cycle repeated loading. The test device is shown in Figure 2. The foundation beam was settled on the test platform through anchor beams and ground anchor; loading beam was connected to the end of a horizontal jack in one side through screw and end plate. The rigid distribution beam was placed at the top of the specimen, so that the pressure of the jack shaft is evenly distributed to the wall, thus the stress state of the specimen is closer to the engineering practice. A roller was set between the vertical jack and the beam to ensure that the vertical jack can move along with the top side of the test piece.

Horizontal loading process was in accordance with the force-displacement control method[11]: based on the force control, the loading process was divided into three levels with each cycled once in elastic stage: when the peak force displacement curve of the specimens appeared, we began the loading process step by step with displacement control. The displacement of mid-rise shear walls was 5mm while that of the two low shear walls was 3mm. In this stage, each load level was cycled twice. The test ended when the load level decreased to 85% of its peak.

1.4 Test content

The physical quantity of the specimens including load, displacement, relative deformation and strain were measured during the test. A displacement meter was settled in the center of the loading beam to measure the top displacement; one horizontal displacement meter and two vertical displacement meters were placed on the foundation beam to measure and revise the displacement of the specimens caused by the translation and rotation of the foundation beam; constant monitor of the vertical load was applied to keep the axial pressure steady. In addition, a number of strain gauges were arranged in the specimens to exam the plastic development of the walls.

### Table 1. Parameters of specimens

| Sample number | HSW | HDHSW |
|---------------|-----|-------|
| Shear span ratio | 2   | 2     |
| Wallboard height (mm) | 1400 | 1400 |
| Wallboard width (mm) | 700  | 700   |
| Concealed column (mm) | 100×100 | 100×100 |
| Reinforcement situation | See in Fig.1 | See in Fig.1 |
| Axial load (kN) | 233.8 | 233.8 |
| Axial compression ratio | 0.2 | 0.2 |

### Table 2. Properties of reinforcing bars

| Specifications | Yield strength (MPa) | Ultimate strength (MPa) | Modulus of elasticity (MPa) |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Φ6             | 458                 | 542                     | 2.01×10^5                  |
| Φ8             | 407                 | 477                     | 2.07×10^5                  |
| Φ10            | 416                 | 461                     | 2.03×10^5                  |
| Φ12            | 396                 | 547                     | 2.05×10^5                  |
| Φ14            | 385                 | 518                     | 2.03×10^5                  |
| Φ18            | 380                 | 527                     | 2.01×10^5                  |
2 Test results and analysis

2.1 Failure process and its patterns

The failure patterns of each shear wall are shown in Figure 3. After analysis, the failure process of each specimen has the following characteristics:

For two high shear walls, the horizontal cracks first appear on the bottom of the wall when the cracking load is reached. As the load increases, the cracks gradually move upward, and extend to sides. Keep increasing load results in several cracks. When the load reaches the limit, the slant cracks speed up. And the deformation rate gains its speed after the cracks reach the neutral axis. When it comes to the final failure, the concrete in the two sides of the root is crushed leaving the whole specimen destructed and bended. As the Figure 3 shows, when destructed, cracks mainly spread in the lower 1/3 part of the shear wall HSW with common concrete while the cracks distribute wider in the shear wall HDHSW with fiber-reinforced polymer concrete. At the same time, compared with HSW, the crushing zone at the bottom of HDHSW is smaller, indicating that the damage of the shear wall with fiber-increased polymer concrete is smaller.
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(a) HSW
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(b) HDHSW

Fig.3. Crack failure patterns of 2 specimens

2.2 Bearing capacity and ductility analysis

In Table 3, the measured values of the cracking load, the obvious yield load and the ultimate load of the two single-limb shear walls are given in this experiment. The top displacements and their ductility of two shear walls are given in Table 4. $F_c$ and $U_c$ are the mean cracking load and displacement; $F_y$ and $U_y$ are the sharp yield load and yield displacement calculated according to the principle of conservation of energy; $F_m$ is the maximum horizontal load; $U_d$ is the maximum elastic plastic displacement value before the load drop obviously; $\mu_{cm}$ is the ratio of cracking load to the ultimate load; $\mu_{ym}$ is the ratio of yield load to the ultimate load; $\mu$ is ductility factor.

| Sample number | HSW | HDHSW |
|---------------|-----|-------|
| $F_c/kN$      | positive | 25.2 | 30.1 |
|               | negative | -26.3 | -32.1 |
| $F_y/kN$      | positive | 100.5 | 113.4 |
|               | negative | -98.7 | -117.2 |
| $F_m/kN$      | positive | 163.5 | 175.1 |
|               | negative | -157.3 | -172.2 |
| $\mu_{ym}$  Mean value | $F_y/F_m$ | 0.16 | 0.18 |
| $\mu_{ym}$  Mean value | $F_y/F_m$ | 0.62 | 0.66 |

Table 3. Experimental results of bearing capacity of specimens

| Sample number | HSW | HDHSW |
|---------------|-----|-------|
| $U_c/mm$      | positive | 1.1 | 1.48 |
|               | negative | -1.2 | -1.52 |
| $U_y/mm$      | positive | 7.21 | 7.71 |
|               | negative | -7.45 | -7.94 |
| $U_d/mm$      | positive | 33.19 | 39.21 |
|               | negative | -33.55 | -40.3 |
| $\mu$ mean value | $U_d/U_y$ | 4.55 | 5.08 |

Table 4. Experimental results of displacements and ductility factors of specimens

It can be seen from the above two tables that:

Compared with common shear walls with concealed bracings, the cracking load, ultimate load, deformation capacity and ductility of fiber-reinforced polymer concrete shear walls are all been greatly improved by 8.3%, 30.4%, 19.2% and 11.6% respectively, which prove that the fiber reinforced polymer concrete has good effect on improving the seismic performance of shear walls.

2.3 Hysteresis analysis and comparison of skeleton curves

The hysteresis curves and skeleton curves of two shear walls are given respectively in Figure 4 and Figure 5. The hysteresis curve can reflect the strength, stiffness, deformability and energy dissipation of shear walls. From Figure 4, it can be seen that the hysteretic curve of shear wall HDHSW is plumper than that of HSW. In addition, the bearing capacity of the shear wall drops slightly when the structure of the wall is destroyed. This relatively better stability in bearing capacity proves that
the fiber-reinforced concrete shear walls have better energy dissipation capacity.

![Fig.4. The contrast diagram of hysteretic curves](image1)

**Fig.4.** The contrast diagram of hysteretic curves

![Fig.5. The contrast diagram of skeleton curves](image2)

**Fig.5.** The contrast diagram of skeleton curves

### 2.4 Analysis of energy dissipation capacity

The equivalent viscous damping coefficient and the area enclosed by skeleton curve and the coordinate axis can be used as the indicator of the energy dissipation capacity. The equivalent viscous damping coefficient mainly reflects the satiation of the hysteretic curve; the area enclosed by skeleton curve and the coordinate axis reflects the structure of total energy dissipation. In this paper, two indicators are adopted to evaluate the energy dissipation capacity of shear walls. Figure 6 is the equivalent viscous damping coefficient-loading cycle curve. The mean area values are as the follows: the energy dissipation values of shear wall HSW, HDHSW are 4178.2kN-mm, 5697.9kN-mm. Respectively, it’s not difficult to figure out that the energy dissipation value of shear wall HDHSW with fiber-reinforced concrete has been increased by 36.4% than that of the common shear wall.

![Fig.6. Equivalent hysteretic damping coefficient versus cycles](image3)

**Fig.6.** Equivalent hysteretic damping coefficient versus cycles

As can be seen from Figure 6, the equivalent viscous damping coefficient of the shear wall gains its increasing speed with the loading cycles. And the equivalent viscous damping coefficient of fiber-reinforced polymer concrete shear walls with concealed bracings is higher than that of ordinary shear walls under the same condition.

### 2.5 Analysis of stiffness degradation

The stiffness of shear walls in two stages can be seen in Tab.5. \( K_0 \) is the initial stiffness; \( K_c \) is the cracking stiffness; \( K_y \) is the yield stiffness; \( \beta_{00} \) is the stiffness attenuation from the initial stage to the cracking stage and \( \beta_{00} \) is the stiffness attenuation from the initial stage to the yield stage. In the initial stage, the stiffness of fiber reinforced polymer concrete shear wall HDHSW with concealed bracings is lower than that of ordinary shear wall HSW. But with the increase of displacement angle, its attenuation velocity is also slower than that of the latter, hence its stiffness in later stages is higher than that of HSW.

| Tab.5 Experimental results of stiffness of specimens |
|-------------------|---------|---------|
| Sample number     | HSW     | HDHSW   |
| \( K_0 \) kN.mm\(^{-1} \) | 65.7    | 61.5    |
| \( K_c \) kN.mm\(^{-1} \) | 22.41   | 20.73   |
| \( K_y \) kN.mm\(^{-1} \) | 13.59   | 14.73   |
| \( \beta_{00} \)     | \( K_c/K_0 \) | 0.34    | 0.34    |
| \( \beta_{00} \)     | \( K_y/K_0 \) | 0.21    | 0.24    |
2.6 Analysis of damping property

The ratios of frequency in the first stage to the modal damping were measured by hammering the two shear walls before and after the experiment. As the Tab.6 shows that in the elastic stage, fiber reinforced polymer modified concrete has an obvious effect on improving the damping capacity of shear wall, increasing by about 50% under the same conditions. Meanwhile, it can be seen from the frequency that although the fiber reinforced polymer concrete reduces the stiffness of shear walls in the initial stage, its stiffness in later stages is better than that of the ordinary concrete shear wall, which is favorable for earthquake resistance.

Tab.6 Variance of the frequency and damping ratio of undamaged and damaged specimens

| Sample number | Frequency/Hz | Damping ratio% |
|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| Before the test | 139.1 | 3.1 |
| After the test | 42.1 | 8.7 |

3 CONCLUSIONS

Through the experimental study and analysis of two different types of shear walls, conclusions can be drawn as follows:

1. Polymer added in concrete can improve the brittleness of common concrete. Fiber-reinforced polymer concrete shear walls with concealed bracings has higher damping ratio, better ductility, greater cracking strength, stronger deformation capacity and better energy dissipation capacity.

2. Compared with conventional shear walls, fiber-reinforced polymer concrete shear walls with concealed bracings have wider scope of crack development. And when the walls are destroyed, the crushing area and damage is smaller; the stiffness is also higher at later stages.
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