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ABSTRACT: AIDS is now said to threaten humanity as a modern-day scourge. However, there is a group of scientists which maintains that this scare is unwarranted. Some arguments in favour of the re-evaluation of the AIDS hypothesis are presented in this article.

INTRODUCTION

AIDS is said to spread rapid by in all countries. May health authorities take steps to control the disease and tests are said to be available to detect carriers of the disease. The disease is said to have appeared in the early 1980s the cause of which is said to be a retrovirus even though hardly anybody really knows what that is supposed to be. The people who are called scientists even began to use terms like “intelligent” and “mysterious” in the rapidly growing number of hypothetical explanations needed to explain the contradictions arising with reference to the original AIDS hypothesis.

Scientific evidence in our times means consent of opinion rather than hard substantial proof. Despite the undeniable fact that modern technology can sometimes overcome personal prejudice, we have also often fallen victim to fraudulent scientific papers because of the inherent trust we place in our colleagues who are supposed to know better. Unfortunately we sometimes overlook that no matter how often a certain hypothesis is presented as fact it might still make absolutely no sense if looked upon under the light of simple common sense.

Some of the world’s most respected colleagues in the area of research on AIDS, among other the world’s foremost retrovirologist, Dr. Charles A. Thomas, Professor for Microbiology, Harvard, joined together and formed “The Group For The Reevaluation of the AIDS Hypothesis”.

AIDS is not an enigma. Our medical texts have clearly defined the causes of acquired immune deficiencies for over fifty years. Starvation is long recognized as one of the causes and sufficiently explains the symptoms of “AIDS” in Africa. Medical journals in the early 1960s described a then new disease called” Acquired Immune Deficiency syndrome” which was found to be caused by the over use of antibiotics! The doses in those days were much lower than today. Inhalation of organic solvents is long known to cause a breakdown of the immune system and the most effective destroyer of the immune system is certainly the wonder drug AZT!

If we add all factors together the enigma of AIDS suddenly becomes crystal clear. The “mysterious” and “intelligent” virus is what it always was, a hypothetical agent based on an unspecific immune complex.

None of the proposed explanations of the modus operandi of “HIV” nor the virus hypothesis itself are based on scientifically acceptable evidence. The available laboratory evidence speaks against the hypothesis as well. Not even the
epidemiological evidence when truthfully presented, proves any involvement of “HIV” in “AIDS”.

We are asked to believe that a single virus can cause two distinctly different disease complexes on the basis of geographical distribution and gender.

This single virus can even cause cell destructive diseases, e.g., pneumocystis carinii, and cell proliferative diseases, e.g Kaposi’s sarcoma at the same time!

In Africa, “AIDS” is nearly 100% fever, diarrhea and wasting. In the US “AIDS” is 25 to 35 specific diseases depending on how they are classified. In India however it looks like tuberculosis.

In Europe and in the USA, 86 to 90% of “AIDS” cases are males. In Africa, “AIDS” occurs evenly between sexes.

Laboratory rats, treated with cortisone and antibiotics, both immunosuppressive drugs developed pneumocystis pneumonia as already described in the medical journals of the early 1960s, the most common symptom of “AIDS”.

“HIV” was never found in “AIDS” cases in amounts large enough to cause disease despite the assumption that it supposedly kills the victim. Only 1 “Virus” per 100,000 lymphocytes can be found in as little as 20% of “AIDS” cases even when death is imminent. In many cases it is not found at all.

“HIV” (the virus) was never found in Kaposi’s sarcoma.

In order to isolate the “Virus” from the blood of an “AIDS” victim your have to culture at least 5 million leucocytes and it may need as many as 15 attempts to do so.

The “HIV” test is for the presence of antibodies, not the virus! “AIDS” is therefore the first disease in the history of medicine where immunity means you will die of the disease!

To become “HIV” positive it needs as little as a common cold. There is no evidence that the patient will ever get “AIDS” but it can easily happen that he or she is stigmatized to have a contagious deadly disease!

The pore size of a condom is 10 times larger than the published size of what is called the “AIDS Virus”. If such a sieve prevents the spreading of the disease it can only mean it is not contagious.

“HIV positive” does not mean that you have or will ever get “AIDS”, “HIV negative” does not mean that you do not have a breakdown of your immune system already. What is the use of such a test?

The Ultimate Deception

Robert E. Willner, M.D., Ph.D., author of the book THE ULTIMATE DECEPTION found the following most astounding discrepancies:

Since “HIV” came on the scene the median age of haemophiliacs has increased by 5 years.

The risk of :AIDS” in “HIV” positive non haemophiliacs is twice that of “HIV”
positive non-haemophiliacs is twice that of “HIV” – positive haemophiliacs.

The incidence of “AIDS” in the wives of “HIV” –positive haemophiliacs is 1/5 of the number predicted by the “AIDS” Hypothesis.

The incidence of “AIDS” haemophilic children tripled two years after the virus was filtered out of blood transfusions.

According to the official statistics “AIDS” had not spread for 7 years – until 5 more diseases were called “AIDS (1985-1992).

We are constantly being warned about the coming catastrophic epidemic yet there is undeniable evidence that “HIV” has existed for at least fifty years.

“AIDS” in non-drug using prostitutes is virtually non-existent.

Venereal diseases and unwanted pregnancies have increased in the past 8-10 years but not “HIV”.

Only 1 provirus, not the virus, was found out of 1 million cell in only 1 out of 25 “HIV” positive males.

Statistics indicate that if you want to get “AIDS” from a “HIV” positive male you have to be on drugs for a long time.

In the USA and Africa the evidence is conclusive that there is no difference in the incidence of “AIDS” disease between “HIV” –positive and “HIV” negative babies.

If “AIDS” was sexually transmitted, the perinatal transmission would make it a pediatric disease-the incubation period is supposedly two years. It is not a pediatric disease!

A report released by the US job corps and the US army which was based on millions of tests indicated that “HIV” – was evenly distributed between males and females in the age group from 17 to 24 However, the centre for disease control I USA reports, that 85% of the “AIDS cases in the same age group are males. A proportionality between “HIV” and “AIDS” exists only if starvation, transfusions and drugs, including AZT, are involved. Otherwise being “HIV – positive is meaningless.

10% of male and female heterosexuals prefer anal intercourse. The incidence of “HIV” and “AIDS” in those women is the same as compared to women who prefer vaginal intercourse. Yet the incidence of “AIDS” is 90% male.

Statistics show that in Africa it has to taken on average of 10,000 acts of intercourse to transmit “AIDS” as compared to the USA and Europe’s 1,000. that is 20 times a week.

It is claimed that 50% of “HIV” infected individuals are supposed to die over a ten year period. In Africa only 0.3% die each year period. In Africa only 0.3% die each year which means we will have to wait 50 years for 50% to die! In the first 10 years of “AIDS”, the predictions for the US and Europe were overestimated by 300%.
The annual conversion Rate from “HIV” – Positive to “AIDS” is published each year by the world Health Organisation. These figures indicate that if you are “HIV : Positive” your chances for survival are up to 300 times better if you live in Zaire rather than in Europe or in the USA!”

What is AIDS?

Let us now examine the real causes of “AIDS”. The first edition of the MARCK MANUAL (1952) list the causes of acquired immune deficiency in the order of occurrence: MALNUTRITION, DRUGS, RADIATION.

The symptoms of “AIDS” in Africa correlate nearly 100 % with the symptoms of malnutrition.

There is not one symptom I the European and US “AIDS” that was not listed as a possible symptom of syphilis. All the diseases now classified as “AIDS” were known before under another name.

A study in the US showed that 96% of “AIDS” Sufferers were using nitrite inhalants and 90% on marijuana, often together with multiple other poisonous agents and prescription drugs.

Shortly before the sudden, “unexpected” outbreak of “AIDS” in the gay community of manhattan , a hepatitis vaccination experiment, a hepatitis vaccination experiment had been conducted in the same community, Luckily the authorities had employed clairvoyants and when the first patients with the “now” disease appeared, the isolation wards are already prepared !

All vaccinations cause a breakdown of the immune system and the genetic material which contaminates every vaccine attaches to the genetic material of he body’s cells, making them alien to the immune system.

When louis Pasteur (an inorganic chemist) Postulated his theory that germs invade a healthy body and cause a disease he plagiarized nearly all his publications from the then famous microbiologist Antoine Bechamp. But even Pasteur himself denounced his germ theory later by saying. “ Bernard was right it is the soil and not the seed that makes the plant grow.”

History repeated itself when the supposed world authority on “AIDS” Gallo, plagiarized montaigner’s virus from the Pasteur Institute in Paris.

Gallo claimed to have observed “HIV” killing primary T-cells. Montaigner, his “Co-discoverer “ published a paper in the same year 1984, declaring exactly the opposite! This sounds very similar to the controversies between Pasteur and bechamp.

Evaluating the available data the following facts emerge.

There is no evidence of a virus causing “AIDS” (there is no evidence that any virus causes any disease). It is more likely that the disease (or better, the toxicity of the host) causes the formation of what is called a virus.

All symptoms of the various 25 to 35 distinct diseases labelled as “AIDS” are the symptoms of previously known diseases. IF you have tuberculosis and are “HIV” negative you have tuberculosis.

The most likely causes for a breakdown of the immune system are: malnutrition vaccinations immunosuppressive drugs (cortisone, antibiotics, AZT, etc.,) habit forming drugs, inhalants poisonous food
additives (colourings, artificial flavours, preservatives, etc..) and heavy metal poisoning (e.d lead from leaded petrol, benzpyrenes from lead – free petrol, benzpyrenes from lead –free petrol , mercury from amalgam tooth fillings (etc).

We should always be aware that “virus” I the Latin word for poison!

Television reports sometimes show people in terrible conditions dying like flies on what is assumed to be “AIDS”. These reports come from countries where enormous amounts of insecticides and pesticides are used (e.g Thailand or Africa) is it possible that they are dying because they were simply poisoned by chemicals designed to kill? In those countries they use the most deadly chemicals banned in all civilized countries without any protection and in doses much higher than originally recommended, A representative form the WHO once stated that in Africa 40% (!) of the agricultural land is permanently poisoned from insecticides, pesticides and herbicides and so nothing can be grown there any more. These deadly chemicals could not possibly poison the people living there could they?

Cures for AIDS are usually simple (exemptions are cases where deadly immunosuppressants like AZT or radiation were used). In case of the African AIDS proper food can be the solution. In other cases Ozone-Oxygen treatment of the blood is the answer avoiding of poisonous drugs can help to prevent the disease. Any ideas why this is not done?