Generating high-order quantum exceptional points in synthetic dimensions
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Recently, there has been intense research in proposing and developing various methods for constructing high-order exceptional points (EPs) in dissipative systems. These EPs can possess a number of intriguing properties related to, e.g., chiral transport and enhanced sensitivity. Previous proposals to realize non-Hermitian Hamiltonians (NHHs) with high-order EPs have been mainly based on either direct construction of spatial networks of coupled modes or utilization of synthetic dimensions, e.g., of mapping spatial lattices to time or photon-number space. Both methods rely on the construction of effective NHHs describing classical or postselected quantum fields, which neglect the effects of quantum jumps, and which, thus, suffer from a scalability problem in the quantum regime, when the probability of quantum jumps increases with the number of excitations and dissipation rate. Here, by considering the full quantum dynamics of a quadratic Liouvillian superoperator, we introduce a simple and effective method for engineering NHHs with high-order quantum EPs, derived from evolution matrices of system operators moments. That is, by quantizing higher-order moments of system operators, e.g., of a quadratic two-mode system, the resulting evolution matrices can be interpreted as alternative NHHs describing, e.g., a spatial lattice of coupled resonators, where spatial sites are represented by high-order field moments in the synthetic space of field moments. Notably, such a mapping allows correct reproduction of the results of the Liouvillian dynamics, including quantum jumps. As an example, we consider a $U(1)$-symmetric quadratic Liouvillian describing a binodal cavity with incoherent mode coupling, which can also possess anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry, whose field moment dynamics can be mapped to an NHH governing a spatial network of coupled resonators with high-order EPs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the field of open quantum systems has attracted much interest. While dissipation is often seen as detrimental, there exists a whole class of processes which can never take place in Hermitian (i.e., non-dissipative) systems. In these systems, the existence of exotic spectral degeneracies called exceptional points (EPs) has attracted much attention [1–3]. At an EP, two or more eigenvalues, along with their eigenstates, coalesce. Since the eigenstates of a Hermitian operator are always orthogonal, EPs require non-Hermitian operators. Historically, EPs were first investigated in the context of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians (NHHs), primarily in the framework of parity-time ($\mathcal{PT}$)-symmetric systems, i.e., those for which an NHH commutes with the $\mathcal{PT}$ operator [4]. Note that non-Hermitian Hamiltonians do not lead to the violation of no-go theorems as explicitly demonstrated in Ref. [5]. The existence of EPs has been further generalized to any NHH exhibiting pseudo-Hermiticity [6], for which the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry is a particular case.

Beyond linear optical systems (see Refs. [1, 2] and references therein), EPs have been realized in various experimental platforms, e.g., in nonlinear optics [7–9], electronics [10], optomechanics [11–14], acoustics [15, 16], plasmonics [17], metamaterials [18], and ion trapped systems [19].

Many interesting and nontrivial effects are associated with the presence of EPs [20–34]. One of these is enhanced system sensitivity to external perturbations in the vicinity of EPs [35–44]. If $n$ eigenstates coalesce (so the order of an EP is $n$), the response of a system to a perturbation of intensity $\epsilon$ scales as $\sqrt{\epsilon}$. Although some recent studies (both theoretical and experimental) have questioned the presence of enhanced sensing at EPs [45–50], Refs. [48, 49, 51] have argued that EPs lead to enhanced sensitivity.

The interesting properties of high-order EPs ignited the search for methods which enable one to construct higher-order EPs [52–56]. For NHHs, the proposed techniques require the realization of complex networks of coherently coupled resonators. A major drawback is that one has to finely tune the system parameters, due to the incommensurate mode couplings arising from the form of the mode coupling in NHHs [52, 53, 55]. In a recent work [56], the authors proposed a novel approach for constructing tight-binding networks with higher-order EPs, based on chiral-mode coupling instead.
On the other hand, to avoid the experimentally demanding construction of complex spatial networks of coupled modes, one can also turn for help to synthetic dimensions, where a spatial dimension is mapped to a more abstract synthetic one [57–59]. That is, one assigns to the internal degrees of freedom of the modes the role of spatially arranged modes. For instance, considering a Fock state synthetic dimension [58, 59], each spatial optical mode is mapped to a photon number state, thus recovering all the relevant physics of a lattice system in the photon-number synthetic dimensions.

Alternatively, one can just map space to time and realize multimode NHHs in synthetic temporal lattices [23]. Nonetheless, these methods rely on the construction of effective NHHs describing classical or postselected quantum fields. The latter removes the effects of quantum jumps, and, thus, suffers from a scalability problem in the quantum limit, the advantage of the use of moments-based NHHs in the quantum domain, another problem arises concerning the interpretation of NHHs. While the wave functions of an Hermitian Hamiltonian have a clear physical meaning, the state vectors and inner product of Hilbert space spanned by such NHHs require finding a nontrivial metric in order to be compatible with conventional quantum mechanics [60].

Non-Hermiticity naturally emerges in the context of open quantum systems. Indeed, the Lindblad master equation of a Markovian open system, although Hermitian, has a well-defined arrow of time. Therefore, the Liouvillian superoperator associated with the master equation is non-Hermitian. With respect to an NHH, the Liouvillian also accounts for the presence of quantum jumps and has a well-defined inner product for its eigenstates. The extension of EPs of NHHs to those based on Liouvillians [61] has shown that quantum jumps can play a crucial role in the properties of EPs [44, 62–68]. Furthermore, the evolution of a density matrix of an open quantum system is described by a completely-positive and trace-preserving (CPTP) linear map. As such, an NHH cannot describe the evolution of an arbitrary quantum system.

Despite the fact that an NHH may not describe the time evolution of a Lindblad master equation (i.e., the eigenstates of a NHH do not reproduce those of the Liouvillian), the dynamics of some operators can be derived in terms of the action of an NHH [68]. This apparent contradiction results from the fact that the operators in the Heisenberg picture do not evolve under a CPTP map. In other words, the NHH can describe the evolution of operators even in the quantum limit.

In this article, we propose a method to properly define a new class of effective NHHs for quadratic Liouvillian systems. These NHHs are derived from evolution matrices governing the moments of system operators and as such are called moments-based NHHs. Most importantly, these moments-based NHHs can reveal higher-order quantum EPs, residing in the Liouvillian eigenspace [68]. That is, by quantizing system operators moments by mapping the corresponding evolution matrices to moments-based NHHs, one can engineer quantum systems with high-order EPs in the field moments space.

Such constructed moments-based NHHs with high-order EPs substantially differ from standard NHHs. Whereas the latter are constructed by expanding the Hilbert space of system operators [52, 53, 55, 58, 59], the former are constructed by rather expanding the space of system-operator moments. Indeed, if one uses the eigenstates of the standard NHH for low-order operator moments to express higher-order ones, the result would be unphysical and give different results compared to the full Liouvillian dynamics [68]. However, the moments-based NHHs are derived via the quantization of the system operator moments obtained from the Liouvillian, and, thus, correctly capture the dynamics of high-order system operators without approximations (i.e., including the effects of quantum jumps [61, 63]).

Note that the knowledge of operator moments allows one, in principle, to fully reconstruct a system state $\hat{\rho}$ [69]. The dynamics of such moments-based NHH can describe a spatial lattice, e.g., a spatial network of coupled resonators. In other words, by starting from a quadratic Liouvillian, describing, e.g., a two-mode system, the resulting evolution matrices, governing the higher-order field moments, can be cast to the new NHHs, which can describe a lattice of coupled cavities instead.

These newly obtained moments-based NHHs can reveal the presence of arbitrarily-high order quantum EPs in the Liouvillian dynamics. Physically speaking, one can witness the presence of high-order quantum EPs by means of the coherence and spectral functions [68] or by properly initializing the system. To put it another way, instead of considering spatial networks of $n$ resonators (where high-order EPs can be engineered), by considering higher-order moments of, e.g., two coupled resonators, one can obtain the same spectral degeneracies. Apart from a theoretical interest in defining the NHHs in the quantum limit, the advantage of the use of moments-based NHHs with respect to standard NHHs lies in its manifesting simplicity and in the possibility of preserving the commensurate character of modes coupling.

As an example, we implement our method for the $U(1)$-symmetric quadratic Liouvillian that describes a two-mode optical cavity with incoherent mode coupling. This model is also characterized by the anti-PT-symmetry [68, 70–75], as defined in Eq. (20). We show how the Liouvil- lian eigenspace of such a two-mode system, expressed via field moments, can be mapped to the eigenspace of an effective NHH of a multimode system. The benefit of considering anti-PT-symmetric systems, compared to their PT-symmetric counterparts with exclusively coherent mode coupling, is the absence of any active elements, and their scalability, which is seemingly easier to real-
ize. That is, one does not need to build up complex networks to achieve higher-order EPs, but only to excite additional modes in the anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric cavity, at the same time ensuring the incoherent character of the coupling between the newly excited modes. Recent studies show that such systems, with incoherent mode interactions, can be realized via incoherent mode backscattering in waveguide networks [76] and cavity-based photonic devices [77–81]. Moreover, the dissipative couplings can play a prominent role in the experimental realizations of photonic and quantum computing in time-multiplexed optical systems [82, 83].

As a byproduct of our method, we also highlight the rich structure of quadratic Liouvillians. Indeed, the correspondence between multimode systems and higher-order moments is a peculiarity of the Liouvillian space structure [44]. We argue that, although the correspondence between the Liouvillian evolution of higher-order correlation functions and that of lower-order correlation functions of more complex multimode systems is exact only for quadratic Liouvillians (i.e., describing Gaussian states), such a procedure should be valid also in the presence of weak nonlinearity, where a Gaussian state approximation can still be valid.

We note that our method can be implemented irrespective of the knowledge of the specific details of a given quadratic Liouvillian. That is, in order to realize a physical system with high-order EPs, initially one only has to have some physically realizable NHH (which of course can again be related to some quadratic Liouvillian), whose matrix mode representation reveals an EP of at least order 2. Then, by increasing the order of the EP, according to the method described here, one obtains a non-Hermitian model, which can be a guide to realize extended lattice systems whose NHH has a higher-order EP.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce a general model of quadratic Liouvillians. In Sec. III, we analyze the dynamics of higher-order moments of system operators in the model, expressed via the corresponding evolution matrices. Then, by performing a second quantization of the operator moments, we introduce a map between moments evolution matrices and the new class of NHHs, called moments-based NHHs, which can genuinely capture the quantum effects in a system. That is, the evolution matrices of the field moments can be assigned to the new NHHs, which can govern, e.g., the dynamics of spatial networks of coupled resonators. The latter procedure allows to map a spatial lattice to a synthetic space of field moments, where spatial sites are represented by high-order field moments. In Sec. IV, we explicitly demonstrate how the described method enables to engineer higher-order EPs determined from such moments-based NHHs. In Sec. V, we implement the proposed scheme on the example of the $U(1)$ and anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric cavity with incoherent mode coupling. The discussion of the proposed method and its comparison to existing methods along with conclusions are given in Sec. VI.

II. GENERAL MODEL OF A SYSTEM DESCRIBED BY A QUADRATIC LIOUVILLIAN

The evolution of a density matrix $\hat{\rho}(t)$ is described by the master equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\rho}(t) = \mathcal{L} \hat{\rho}(t), \quad (1)$$

which for a quadratic Liouvillian superoperator $\mathcal{L}$ in the Gorini-Kossakowski-Sudarshan-Lindblad form reads ($\hbar = 1$)

$$\mathcal{L} \hat{\rho}(t) = -i \left( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \hat{\rho}(t) - \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^\dagger \right) + 2 \sum_{jkl} \Gamma_{jk} \mathcal{L}^{(l)}(\hat{s}_j(\hat{s}_k)^\dagger), \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective NHH given by:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum k l m j \kappa_{jk} \hat{s}_j \hat{s}_k - i \sum j l m \Gamma_{jk} \mathcal{L}^{(l)}(\hat{s}_j \hat{s}_k)^\dagger. \quad (3)$$

In Eqs. (2) and (3), the indices $\{ j, k \}$ indicate the sites (modes) of a system, and $\{ l, m \} = \{ 1, 2 \}$ are such that $\mathcal{L}^{(1,2)} = \{ \hat{a}_q, \hat{a}_q^\dagger \}$, where $\hat{a}_q$ ($\hat{a}_q^\dagger$) is the annihilation (creation) operator of a particle at the $q$th site (e.g., a photon). The coefficients $\kappa_{jk}, \Gamma_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}$ describe the coherent and incoherent parts of the system evolution, respectively. Such a quadratic Liouvillian describes the dissipative dynamics of Gaussian states that, in optics, describes, e.g., linearly coupled waveguides (cavities) or nonlinear parametric processes [84].

Henceforth, we describe $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$ in Eq. (3) as the effective NHH to distinguish it from the moments-based NHH, which is associated with system operators moments of higher order and which we introduce in Sec. III C.

According to the quantum trajectory theory, the Liouvillian in Eq. (2) can be divided into two parts, namely, in a continuous nonunitary evolution described by the effective NHH $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$, and in the action of discrete random changes expressed by quantum jumps [85, 86]. The effective NHH is especially useful in the semiclassical limit, where the jump action can be neglected. It can also be used to describe systems where it is possible to determine whether a quantum jump took place, e.g., cavities with a small photon number and with a very high finesse [87] or postselected systems [88]. In other cases, the last term in Eq. (2), describing the quantum jump effects (in this case, the sudden creation or annihilation of a particle) is essential to faithfully capture the system dynamics at the quantum level. Indeed, for the case studied here of open linear systems, the effective NHH $\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}$ does not reflect the non-conservative character of dissipation [64].
III. DYNAMICS OF THE MOMENTS OF SYSTEM OPERATORS AND THE NON-HERMITIAN HAMILTONIAN

In the following, we assume that the dissipators in Eq. (2) induce no incoherent amplification. Otherwise, the dynamics of some moments is affected by an additional noise vector [89, 90], which is of no relevance here.

A. Field moments evolution for a quadratic $U(1)$-symmetric two-mode Liouvillian

We begin by considering the simplest case, i.e., that of a quadratic and $U(1)$ symmetric system describing two cavities (its generalization is provided in Sec. III B). We refer to the corresponding $\mathcal{L}$ as a linear Liouvillian because it emerges in the context of dissipative coupled linear systems, e.g., coupled waveguides or cavities.

Any Liouvillian for coupled bosonic systems is said to be $U(1)$-symmetric if it commutes with a phase rotation operator $\mathcal{U}$, defined as

$$\mathcal{U}\hat{\rho} = \exp\left(i\phi \sum_j \hat{a}_j \hat{a}^\dagger_j\right) \hat{\rho} \exp\left(-i\phi \sum_j \hat{a}_j \hat{a}^\dagger_j\right).$$

(4)

In other words, the master equation must be invariant under a simultaneous arbitrary phase shift $\hat{a}_j \to \hat{a}_j e^{i\phi}$.

For two coupled cavities, i.e., $j, k = 1, 2$ in Eq. (2), $\mathcal{U}$ constrains the rate equations for the field moments

$$\langle \hat{a}_1^m \hat{a}_2^n \hat{a}_1^p \hat{a}_2^q \rangle, \quad \text{for} \quad m, n, p, q = 0, 1, \ldots$$

Normally, the dynamics of the field moments relates all the possible combinations of $\{m, n, p, q\}$. However, in the presence of the $\mathcal{U}$ symmetry only moments that have the same order $(m+n-p-q)$ can be coupled. Since the considered Liouvillians here are also quadratic, one obtains $p+q = m+n$. Thus, the dynamics of the moments is captured by closed sets of coupled equations. For example, the first-order moment $\langle \hat{a}_1 \rangle$ ($\langle \hat{a}_1^\dagger \rangle$) would be coupled only to the moment $\langle \hat{a}_2 \rangle$ ($\langle \hat{a}_2^\dagger \rangle$).

Given the closed structure of the rate equations for the first-order moments we have:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{A} \rangle = M_A \langle \hat{A} \rangle,$$

(5)

where $\hat{A} = [\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2]^T$, and $M_A$ is a $2 \times 2$ evolution matrix.

The matrix $M_A$ is the building block to obtain the evolution matrix for higher-order field moments by constructing various Kronecker products of the vectors $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^\dagger \equiv [\hat{a}_1^\dagger, \hat{a}_2^\dagger]$. For instance, given the second-order moments

$$\langle \hat{B} \rangle = \langle \hat{A} \otimes \hat{A} \rangle = \langle [\hat{a}_1^2 \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2]^T \rangle,$$

(6)

the evolution matrix $M_B$, such that $\partial_t \langle \hat{B} \rangle = M_B \langle \hat{B} \rangle$, is the Kronecker sum of the same two matrices $M_A$.

$$M_B = M_A \otimes M_A = M_A \otimes I_2 + I_2 \otimes M_A.$$  

(7)

Here, the symbol $\otimes$ denotes a Kronecker sum, $\oplus$ is the Kronecker product, and $I_2$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix. Note that we keep the order between the products of the operators $\hat{a}_1$ and $\hat{a}_2$ in Eq. (6). Although, in this case, it is not relevant because $[\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2] = 0$, but in general the order should be preserved. We remark that a Kronecker sum naturally appears in problems when solving Lyapunov and/or Sylvester equations [91].

The same procedure can recursively be applied to obtain the evolution matrices for higher-order moments. The generalization of Eq. (7) is given by:

$$\langle \gamma \rangle = \langle \alpha \otimes \beta \rangle,$$

$$M_\gamma = M_\alpha \oplus M_\beta = M_\alpha \otimes I_\beta + I_\alpha \otimes M_\beta,$$  

(8)

where the vectors of operators, $\alpha$ and $\beta$, are the Kronecker products of the initial vectors $\hat{A}$ and/or $\hat{A}^\dagger$, while $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ are the corresponding evolution matrices. The resulting evolution matrix $M_\gamma$ of higher-order field moments is the recursive Kronecker sum of the matrices $M_A$. The dimension $N_\gamma$ of the matrix $M_\gamma$ is the product of the dimensions of the matrices $M_\alpha$ and $M_\beta$, i.e., $N_\gamma = N_\alpha N_\beta$. Moreover, due to the standard properties of the Kronecker sum, the symmetry of the matrix $M_A$ is retained by all the matrices $M_\gamma$.

B. Evolution of field moments for a generic quadratic Liouvillian

The previous derivation for a $U(1)$ quadratic two-mode system can be extended to generic quadratic Liouvillians, even if the form of $M_A$ is slightly more involved.

For an arbitrary quadratic Liouvillian (i.e., not necessarily $U(1)$-symmetric), describing an $n$-mode system, all field moments are generated by the tensor product of the $2n$-dimensional vector

$$\tilde{A} = [\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_1^\dagger, \ldots, \hat{a}_n, \hat{a}_n^\dagger]^T.$$  

(9)

The time evolution of $\langle \tilde{A} \rangle$ is given by $\partial_t \langle \tilde{A} \rangle = M_A \langle \tilde{A} \rangle$, which is the same as in Eq. (5).

Given the building block $M_A$, the previously detailed procedure, to obtain the evolution matrices for higher-order moments, remains valid. Similarly to the $U(1)$ case, the evolution matrices $M_\gamma$, which determine the dynamics of various higher-order moments, are obtained by taking a recursive Kronecker sum of the corresponding $2n \times 2n$ matrix $M_A$. That is, Eq. (8) is valid for any quadratic Liouvillian. Also, as it was stressed earlier, the operators order should be kept when constructing Kronecker tensors out of the vector of operators $\tilde{A}$ in Eq. (9). In other words, no permutations are allowed in the obtained products of the operators.
C. Second quantization of field moments and a definition of the moments-based non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

1. Moments-based non-Hermitian Hamiltonian of $U(1)$ quadratic Liouvillians

In the case of $U(1)$ quadratic Liouvillians, the evolution matrix $M_A$ for the first-order field moments becomes equivalent (up to the imaginary factor) to the matrix form of the corresponding NHH, i.e.,

$$M_A = -iH_{\text{eff}}, \quad \text{(10)}$$

where the matrix form of the NHH is defined as follows

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \left(\hat{A}\right)^\dagger H_{\text{eff}} \hat{A}, \quad \text{(11)}$$

and vector of operators $\hat{A}$, for a two-mode case, is given in Eq. (5). In other words, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the evolution of the operator $\hat{A}$ and its first-order moments $\langle \hat{A} \rangle$ for the $U(1)$ systems. This correspondence is quite intriguing, since it provides a clear physical meaning to the effective NHH of two coupled bosonic systems via the introduction of its first-order moments, and it has been intensively exploited in a number of previous works on quantum EPs [63, 92–94].

The above described correspondence, however, cannot be simply extended to higher-order field moments, since the evolution matrices governing the dynamics of the operators and their moments would in general be different. This stems from the fact that the dynamics of the field moments of any order is determined by the Liouvillian which includes quantum jump effects, whereas the effective NHH applied to the same moments (in Heisenberg picture) in general fails to incorporate them [68].

Nevertheless, one can assign to any evolution matrix $M_\gamma$ a new NHH in analogy to Eq. (10), which we thus call a moments-based NHH, by quantizing the corresponding higher-order field moments $\langle \hat{A} \rangle$ (see also Fig. 1). The determination of such moments-based NHHSs, however, requires an intermediate passage. Namely, in general, $\gamma$ contains terms which are identical [e.g., $\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 = \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1$ in Eq. (6)], and it cannot be straightforwardly quantized. The degeneracy of $M_\gamma$ can be eliminated by introducing the \textit{reduced} matrix $M_{\gamma}^{\text{red}}$. For instance, $M_\gamma$ of any non-Hermitian moment of the vector $\hat{A}$, i.e., $\gamma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \hat{A}$, has an “initial” dimension $N_\gamma = 2^m$. By collecting identical terms, the resulting matrix $M_{\gamma}^{\text{red}}$ has the dimension $N_{\gamma}^{\text{eff}} = m + 1$. The price paid for this reduction is, in general, the loss of some initial symmetry of the matrix $M_\gamma$. For instance, if $M_\gamma = M_T^\gamma$ then, in general, $M_{\gamma}^{\text{red}} \neq \left(M_{\gamma}^{\text{red}}\right)^T$. That is, if the mode coupling in the matrix $M_\gamma$ is symmetric, then that coupling in the effective evolution matrix $M_{\gamma}^{\text{eff}}$ is, in general, asymmetric.

Having eliminated the redundant variables, any $N$ dimensional vector $\gamma$ in Eq. (8) can be quantized as $\gamma \to \gamma'$, where $\gamma' = [\hat{b}_1, \ldots, \hat{b}_N]$ is the vector of the boson annihilation operators $\hat{b}_j$, which describe new fields, as shown in Fig. 1. The emerging physics is that a \textit{new linear dissipative system} is constructed. This new system is described by $N$ coupled fields $\hat{b}_j$ and it evolves under a moments-based NHH $\hat{H}_{\gamma}^{\text{mb}}$ given by [c.f. Eq. (10)]

$$H_{\gamma}^{\text{mb}} = iM_{\gamma}^{\text{red}}, \quad \text{(12)}$$

where $H_{\gamma}^{\text{mb}}$ is, as before, a matrix form of the moments-based NHH $\hat{H}_{\gamma}^{\text{mb}} = (\gamma')^\dagger H_{\gamma}^{\text{mb}} \gamma'$. This procedure is easily and recursively extended to any set of higher-order moments. Thus, moments-based NHHSs representing large systems can be constructed by considering an initial $U(1)$ two-mode system with an evolution matrix $M_A$ for the first-order field moments. Vice versa, one can obtain higher-order EPs by using the moments-based NHHSs as a guideline to realize dissipative lattices of coupled resonator, whose effective NHH will have higher-order EPs (see Fig. 1). Notably, the effective NHH of the lattice could be characterized by a lower decay rate of the observables, resulting in better visibility of the EP.

2. Moments-based non-Hermitian Hamiltonian of generic quadratic Liouvillians

In a general case, the correspondence between $M_A$ and the matrix form of the NHH $H_{\text{eff}}$, drawn in Eq. (10), no longer holds. Instead, one has:

$$H_{\text{eff}} \equiv i\eta_1 M_A + i\eta_2 M_A^\dagger \eta_3, \quad \text{(13)}$$

where

$$\eta_1 = \bigoplus_n \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta_2 = \bigoplus_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta_3 = \bigoplus_n \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(14)}$$

In Eq. (14), the symbol $\bigoplus$ means a direct sum (not the Kronecker one). In Eq. (13), without loss of generality, we have also dropped a constant term related to the field frequencies.

Equation (13) reads as follows. The first term on its right-hand side takes into account the dynamics of only the annihilation operators $\hat{a}_i$, i.e., the odd elements of the vector $\hat{A}$ in Eq. (9). This term is equivalent to the right-hand side of Eq. (10), when the system is $U(1)$ symmetric and linear. The second term in Eq. (13) accounts for the dynamics of the creation operators of the fields, i.e., the even elements of the vector $\hat{A}$.

Contrary to the case of linear systems, Eq. (13) implies that the evolution matrix $M_A$ and the NHH $H_{\text{eff}}$ are, in general, not simply related. Even though the critical points of the NHH and Eq. (13) coincide, the spectral properties of these matrices might differ. And it is
over, one can easily map the matrix resonators in the FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the procedure to define a moments-based NHH and its relation to a spatial lattice of coupled corresponding evolution matrix which describes optical nonlinear processes, the corre-sponding evolution matrix which depends on how a corresponding vector of opera-tors \( \gamma \rightarrow H^{\text{red}}_\gamma \). The mapping in Eq. (15), in general, might require ad-

\[ \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{a}_1^2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_2^2 \rangle 
\end{pmatrix} = M^{\text{red}}_{\gamma 1} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{a}_1^2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_2^2 \rangle 
\end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{a}_1^3 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1^2 \hat{a}_2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2^2 \rangle 
\end{pmatrix} = M^{\text{red}}_{\gamma 2} \begin{pmatrix} \langle \hat{a}_1^3 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1^2 \hat{a}_2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2^2 \rangle 
\end{pmatrix} \]

\( \{ \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \hat{b}_3 \} \)

\( \{ \hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \hat{b}_3, \hat{b}_4 \} \)

\( \bullet \)

the spectral degeneracies of the evolution matrices that determine the properties of the coherence and spectral functions, which are experimentally accessible via photocount or homodyne measurements.

Despite the fact that now there is no one-to-one correspondence between the evolution matrix for the first-order field moments and effective NHH in the case of generic nonlinear quadratic Liouvillians, according to Eq. (13), one still can map the evolution matrix for higher-order moments to a new moments-based NHH, i.e.,

\[ M_\gamma \rightarrow H^{\text{red}}_\gamma. \]  

The mapping in Eq. (15), in general, might require additional operations over the matrix \( M_\gamma \), compared to Eqs. (12) and (13), e.g., row and column permutations, which depends on how a corresponding vector of operators \( \gamma \) is constructed from the initial vector \( \hat{A} \).

As shown in Ref. [95, 96], even for a class of Hermitian quadratic Hamiltonians, i.e., without dissipation, and which describes optical nonlinear processes, the corresponding evolution matrix \( M_A \) can reveal an EP. Moreover, one can easily map the matrix \( M_A \) to a different NHH as in Eq. (10) [95]. Based on the latter, one then can straightforwardly apply Eq. (12) for the construction of different moments-based NHHs exhibiting higher-order EPs.

Within this description, we can properly define the moments-based NHH of composite systems of higher-order moments. The advantages of this procedure are manifold: (i) The moments-based NHH now correctly takes into account the effects of quantum jumps. (ii) These NHHs can now be correctly quantized, and the commutation rules emerging from the physical moments-based NHH can correspond to those of the original system. In other words, the computation of quantum-relevant variables is unaffected by the algebraic construction. (iii) The procedure can be easily implemented numerically, even for large systems.

We also stress that such constructed NHHs and their properties are meaningful only in the synthetic space of field moments, encoded in the very form of the moments-based NHH. Stemming from the evolution matrices of nonlinear quadratic systems, the NHH does not necessarily imply that the corresponding spatial lattice of coupled resonators exhibits, e.g., nonclassicality. Indeed, such nonclassicality, which can be revealed by the negative-
definite field moments matrices, can only be universally revealed by considering normally-ordered moments [89], which is not the case under consideration [c.f. Eq. (8)].

IV. ENGINEERING HIGHER-ORDER EXCEPTIONAL POINTS FROM QUADRATIC LIOUVILLIANS

In the previous discussion, we proved that it is possible to define a physical NHH using the full-Liouvillian description if one focuses on the dynamics of moments. Despite the lack of the correspondence between the eigenvectors describing the state and operators evolution (namely, the right- and left-hand-side eigenstates of the Liouvillian) there is a correspondence between their eigenvalues and their degeneracies. Indeed, if the states have an EP, so do the moments. Here, by considering the spectra of evolution matrices for higher-order field moments, and exploiting the above-described mapping, we show how to engineer moments-based NHHs with higher-order EPs in any quadratic Liouvillian systems.

A. High-order exceptional points

Due to the structure of the equations of motion of the moments, the spectral degeneracies of an evolution matrix $M_\gamma$ can be directly obtained from those of $M_A$. Given the Kronecker sum in Eq. (8), one has the following relation between the eigenvalues of the matrices [91]:

$$\lambda_{ij}(M_\gamma) = \lambda_i(M_\alpha) + \lambda_j(M_\beta),$$

with $i = 1, \ldots, N_\alpha$ and $j = 1, \ldots, N_\beta$. Therefore, with each new term $M_A$ in Eq. (8), the order of an EP of $M_\gamma$ increases by one. Note that, although the algebraic degeneracy of the eigenvalues in Eq. (16) increases proportionally to $N_\gamma$, its geometric multiplicity does not. Indeed, if $M_A$ has an EP of order 2, the evolution matrix $M_\gamma$ is $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_A$ has an EP of order $(m+1)$.

This result is an analogous proof to that in Ref. [68], where it was demonstrated that once the evolution matrix $M_A$ has an EP of second order, it immediately implies the presence of an EP of any higher-order $n \geq 2$ in the Liouvillian eigenspace. This eigenspace determines the evolution matrices $M_\gamma$ of field moments. Consequently, the quantized moments and the corresponding NHHs given in Eqs. (12) and (15) are characterized by the same degeneracy as that of $M_\gamma$.

The matrix $M_\gamma$ determines also the dynamics of higher-order correlation functions, according to the quantum regression theorem [90]. Wick’s theorem for Gaussian states (i.e., quadratic systems) indicates that correlation functions of any order can be expressed as a sum of products of correlation functions of lower orders. This implies that if the matrix $M_A$, which determines the first-order coherence function, exhibits an EP, higher-order coherence functions reveal a higher order EP [68]. The same conclusion can alternatively be drawn using the properties of the matrix exponential of a Kronecker sum [97].

Nevertheless, when constructing the moments-based NHH, the reduced matrix $M_\gamma^{\text{red}}$ is used instead, according to Eqs. (12) and (15). As a result, the order of an EP of the reduced matrix would correspond to its dimension, e.g., for the $U(1)$ case, $N(M_\gamma^{\text{red}}) = m + 1$, which is the same as the order of the EP. As a result, the corresponding moments-based NHH $H_\gamma^{\text{mb}}$, describing $(m+1)$ modes (resonators) would have an EP of the order $(m+1)$.

Moreover, from Eqs. (8) and (16) it is evident that the construction of the moments-based NHH $H_\gamma^{\text{mb}}$ for higher-order EPs can be realized with arbitrary matrices $M_\alpha$ and $M_\beta$. We also note that although our method implicitly assumes that the evolution matrix $M_A$ for first-order field moments already has an EP, there are methods which allow to construct a new matrix having an EP from a combination of generic complex matrices with no initial degeneracies [98].

V. EXAMPLE OF A $U(1)$ ANTI-$\mathcal{PT}$-SYMMETRIC CAVITY

In this section, we implement our scheme for the example of a $U(1)$-symmetric two-mode cavity with incoherent mode coupling, which additionally possesses the anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry. Namely, we show how a Liouvillian eigenspace of such a two-mode system, expressed via field moments and their evolution matrices, can be mapped to a new moments-based NHH representing a multimode system.

In other words, first we reveal EPs of any order arising from the evolution matrices of the bimodal system under consideration. Then, for any evolution matrix which exhibits an EP of order $n > 2$, we assign a new moments-based NHH, which can, thus, correspond to a new coupled $n$-mode system with higher-order EPs.

A. Model of an anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric bimodal cavity

The model under consideration is the same as in Ref. [68]. Namely, we consider the Lindblad master equation in Eq. (1) with the following Liouvillian superoperator [99–101]:

$$\mathcal{L}\rho = -i\left(\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}\rho - \rho\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^\dagger\right) + \sum_{j,k=1,2} \Gamma_{jk}\hat{a}_j\hat{a}_k^\dagger,$$

with the effective NHH:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{j=1,2} \omega_j\hat{a}_j^\dagger\hat{a}_j - i \sum_{j,k=1,2} \Gamma_{jk}\hat{a}_j^\dagger\hat{a}_k.$$

The Liouvillian in Eq. (17) describes a dissipative linear system of two incoherently coupled modes. A schematic
diagram of the model under study is shown in Fig. 2. In Eq. (17), \( \hat{a}_j \) (\( \hat{a}_j^\dagger \)) is the annihilation (creation) operator of mode \( j \) with a bare frequency \( \omega_j \); the diagonal damping coefficient \( \Gamma_{kk} \) denotes the inner \( k \)th mode decay rate, while the off-diagonal coefficient \( \Gamma_{jk} = \Gamma_{kj} \) (for \( j \neq k \)) accounts for the incoherent coupling strength between modes \( j \) and \( k \), due to the interaction of both modes with the environment [99]. That is, without loss of generality, we focus on a symmetric form of the decoherence matrix in Eq. (17), although, in general, \( \Gamma_{jk} \neq \Gamma_{kj} \). The latter case can also result into the chiral character of the interaction between modes.

### B. Second-order EP

In our previous study [68], we analyzed EPs, up to their third order, of such an anti-\( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric bimodal cavity. The evolution matrix for the first-order field moments \( \langle \hat{A} \rangle = [\langle \hat{a}_1 \rangle, \langle \hat{a}_2 \rangle]^T \) in Eq. (5) takes the form [68]:

\[
M_A = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta - i \Gamma & -\Gamma_{12} \\
-\Gamma_{12} & \Delta - i \Gamma
\end{pmatrix}, 
\tag{19}
\]

where \( \Delta = \omega_2 - \omega_1 \) is the frequency difference between the two modes, \( \Gamma = \Gamma_{11} = \Gamma_{22} \) is an inner loss rate of each mode, and \( \Gamma_{12} = \Gamma_{21} \) is an incoherent mode coupling strength (for details, see Ref. [68]).

According to Eq. (19), the corresponding NHH is anti-\( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric, since it anticommutes with the parity-time \( \mathcal{PT} \) operator, i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{PT} \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \mathcal{PT} = -\hat{H}_{\text{eff}},
\tag{20}
\]

which implies the \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetry of the evolution matrix \( M_A \). Moreover, by appropriately rotating the anti-\( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric NHH \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \), one can switch it to a passive \( \mathcal{PT} \)-symmetric system [68].

The eigenvalues of the matrix \( M_A \) in Eq. (19) read

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = -\Gamma \pm \sqrt{\Gamma_{12}^2 - \Delta^2}.
\tag{21}
\]

Thus, the EP of the system (which is of second order for the evolution matrix \( M_A \)) is observed at the point

\[
\Gamma_{12}^\text{EP} = |\Delta|.
\tag{22}
\]

As a consequence, at the EP, the matrix \( M_A \), and thus \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} \), acquire a Jordan form, i.e., they become non-diagonalizable.

### C. Third-order EP

According to Eqs. (6) and (7), the matrix \( M_B = M_A \oplus M_A \) written for the non-Hermitian second-order moments \( \langle \hat{B} \rangle \) [in the form given in Eq. (6)] reads as:

\[
M_B = \begin{pmatrix}
-2i\Delta - 2\Gamma & -\Gamma_{12} & -\Gamma_{12} & 0 \\
-\Gamma_{12} & -2\Gamma & 0 & -\Gamma_{12} \\
-\Gamma_{12} & 0 & -2\Gamma & -\Gamma_{12} \\
0 & -\Gamma_{12} & -\Gamma_{12} & 2i\Delta - 2\Gamma
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{23}
\]

would attain the same EP but of its third order. Indeed, the eigenvalues of this matrix are:

\[
\lambda_{1,2} = -2\Gamma \pm 2\sqrt{\Gamma_{12}^2 - \Delta^2}, \quad \lambda_{3,4} = -2\Gamma,
\tag{24}
\]

which at the EP in Eq. (22) become identical. The plots for these eigenvalues were presented in Ref. [68]. Although the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalues in Eq. (24) at the EP equals four, the geometric multiplicity equals three; thus, indicating the coalescence of three modes. The latter fact points that the EP is, indeed, of third order. Moreover, for the matrix \( M_B \) in Eq. (23), as mentioned above, one can effectively reduce its dimension to three, keeping the same order of the EP. That is, by reducing the vector of moments

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\langle \hat{a}_1^2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_2^2 \rangle
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix}
\langle \hat{a}_1^2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_2 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_1 \rangle \\
\langle \hat{a}_2 \rangle
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{25}
\]

one obtains the following effective matrix

\[
M_B \rightarrow M_B^\text{red} = \begin{pmatrix}
-2i\Delta - 2\Gamma & -2\Gamma_{12} & 0 \\
-\Gamma_{12} & -2\Gamma & -\Gamma_{12} \\
0 & -2\Gamma_{12} & 2i\Delta - 2\Gamma
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{26}
\]

By comparing Eqs. (23) and (26), one can see that the reduced matrix \( M_B^\text{red} \) has lost the symmetry of the initial matrix \( M_B \), i.e., \( M_B^\text{red} \neq (M_B^\text{red})^T \).

One can directly obtain a NHH from \( M_B^\text{red} \), according to Eq. (12). Namely, by additionally discarding the inner decoherence terms \( 2\Gamma \) in Eq. (26), the corresponding dissipative system for this model is a lattice of three bosonic cavity.
modes, interacting via the effective NHH

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = 2\Delta \left( \hat{b}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_1 - \hat{b}_2^\dagger \hat{b}_2 \right) - i\Gamma_{12} \left( \hat{b}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_2^\dagger + 2\hat{b}_1^\dagger \hat{b}_2 + \hat{b}_3^\dagger \hat{b}_2 + 2\hat{b}_3^\dagger \hat{b}_2 \right), \tag{27} \]

and whose explicit Liouvillian dynamics reads

\[ \mathcal{L}\hat{\rho}(t) = -i(\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}\hat{\rho}(t) - \hat{\rho}(t)\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}) + 2 \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{ij} \left( \hat{b}_i\hat{b}^\dagger_j \right), \tag{28} \]

where the decoherence matrix in Eq. (28) has only nonzero off-diagonal elements \( \gamma_{ji} = 2\gamma_{ij} = 2\Gamma_{12} \) with \( i = 1, 3, j = 2 \).

### D. Fourth-order EP

In a similar way, one can generate an NHH with an EP of the fourth order, whose matrix form reads as follows

\[ H_{\gamma}^{\text{mb}} = iM_C = i \bigoplus_{n=1}^{3} M_A, \tag{29} \]

where \( \gamma = \bar{A} \otimes \bar{A} \otimes \bar{A} \), and \( M_A \) is given in Eqs. (23) and (19), respectively. Note that the resulting matrix \( M_C \) has dimension \( 2^3 \times 2^3 \). In other words, the number of required modes increases to eight. Nonetheless, again, one can contract the resulting matrix to dimension four, but at the expense of losing the mode-coupling symmetry. Namely, the evolution matrix \( M_C \) can be defined by eight moments of the form \( \langle \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j \rangle \), where \( i, j, k = 1, 2 \). As such, there are two sets of three equivalent moments \( \langle \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_j \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_i \rangle = \langle \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{j}^\dagger \rangle \) for \( i, j = 1, 2 \) and \( i \neq j \). As a result, only four non-degenerate moments remain out of eight, which, thus, defines the \( 4 \times 4 \) reduced matrix \( M_C^{\text{red}} \), and which attains the following form

\[ M_C^{\text{red}} = \begin{pmatrix}
-3i\Delta - 3\Gamma & -3\Gamma_{12} & 0 & 0 \\
-\Gamma_{12} & -i\Delta - 3\Gamma & -2\Gamma_{12} & 0 \\
0 & -2\Gamma_{12} & i\Delta - 3\Gamma & -\Gamma_{12} \\
0 & 0 & -3\Gamma_{12} & 3i\Delta - 3\Gamma
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{30} \]

and its eigenvalues read

\[ \lambda_{1,2} = -3\Gamma \pm \sqrt{\Gamma_{12}^2 - \Delta^2}, \]

\[ \lambda_{3,4} = -3\Gamma \pm 3\sqrt{\Gamma_{12}^2 - \Delta^2}. \tag{31} \]

We plot these eigenvalues in Fig. 3.

### E. \((N+1)\text{th}-order EP\)

Clearly, one can obtain the effective evolution matrices for any dimension \((N + 1)\) as

\[ M_{N+1}^{\text{eff}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta_0 & -N\Gamma_{12} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
-\Gamma_{12} & \Delta_n & -(N-n)\Gamma_{12} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
-N\Gamma_{12} & \Delta_N & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{32} \]

where \( \Delta_n = i(-N + 2n)\Delta - N\Gamma \) for \( n = 0, \ldots, N \). Combining now Eqs. (16) and (21), the eigenvalues of this matrix can be written as

\[ \lambda_n = -N\Gamma \pm (N-2n)\sqrt{\Gamma_{12}^2 - \Delta^2}, \quad n = 0, \ldots, N. \tag{33} \]

Hence, a dissipative system described by the following effective NHH (expressed via matrix form):

\[ H_{\gamma}^{\text{mb}} = iM_{N+1}^{\text{red}} \tag{34} \]

which is defined for \((N + 1)\) modes \( \gamma \), exhibits the EP of order \((N + 1)\).

Therefore, by recursively repeating the same procedure, one can create new effective NHHS with higher-order EPs out of various evolution matrices, generated by the initial matrix \( M_A \) corresponding to a bimodal anti-\( PT \)-symmetric cavity. Importantly, the same conclusions can be drawn for any two-mode linear \( U(1) \)-symmetric open systems exhibiting an EP, including those with coherent mode coupling.

We note, when constructing moments-based NHH, according to Eq. (34), one can always rescale the inner decoherence rate \( \Gamma \) to ensure that the achieved resolution of a given high-order EPs via coherence function and spectra is maximal.
VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have proposed a method to properly define a new class of NHHs (so-called moments-based NHH) for quadratic Liouvillian systems, and which can exhibit higher-order quantum EPs. These moments-based NHHs are derived via a quantization of moments of system operators obtained via a given quadratic Liouvillian. This approach correctly captures the dynamics of high-order system operators without semiclassical approximations, i.e., including the effect of quantum jumps [61, 63]. In other words, we have proposed a simple and effective method for engineering higher-order quantum EPs based on the moments-based NHH.

The dynamics of the moments-based NHHs can be associated with networks of dissipative coupled resonators. That is, by starting from a quadratic Liouvillian, describing, e.g., a two-mode system, the resulting evolution matrices, governing the higher-order field moments, can be cast to the new NHHs, which can, thus, describe networks of coupled cavities instead. To put it another way, one can assign to moments-based NHHs a clear physical meaning by mapping the synthetic field of moment to spatial lattice of coupled resonators, which exhibits a similar Liouvillian dynamics. This can be prove also useful to design lattice of $U(1)$ resonators with higher-order EPs, which could have applications for transport properties [102].

Compared to other existing methods for constructing NHHs with high-order EPs, based on spatial lattices [52, 55, 56], the main advantage of our approach lies in its simplicity and preservation of the commensurate character of mode coupling strengths. Also, compared to other approaches based on synthetic dimensions realized, e.g., in Fock space [58, 59], the synthetic field moments space can precisely simulate a spatial lattice of cavities in the pure quantum regime, where quantum jumps can play the dominant role, and the postselection procedure becomes experimentally demanding [58, 59]. Moreover, when simulating such phenomenological or postselected NHHs in the quantum regime, one might require the implementation of a specific metric operator, in order to correctly determine the physical states and the averages of operators within the quantum NHH formalism [60].

On the other hand, the Liouvillian eigenspace genuinely incorporates the effect of quantum jumps, the averaged effect of which is captured in the dynamics of the field moments expressed via the corresponding evolution matrices. As such, the mapping between the evolution matrices, governing the moments of the system operators, and moments-based NHHs ensures that the latter has a clear physical meaning also in the quantum limit. Note that the presence of quantum jumps can profoundly affect the system dynamical spectra, e.g., in some finite dimensional systems, the jumps can even lead to a shift of an EP in the parameter space [64].

As an example, we have analyzed a $U(1)$-symmetric cavity with incoherent mode coupling, which can also possess anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry. The system studied in Sec. V can serve as a promising platform for implementing structures with high-order EPs. Indeed, such systems with incoherent mode coupling can combine features related to both $\mathcal{PT}$ and anti-$\mathcal{PT}$-symmetries, as has been demonstrated in Ref. [68]. The construction of the physical moments-based NHH allows to obtain such EPs without building complex networks of coupled cavities, compared to previous works [52, 53, 55, 56]. Instead, one can just excite additional modes in a multimode cavity, ensuring that the system modes interact incoherently. Moreover, according to the previous section, the incoherent mode coupling strengths in such systems are commensurate and do not require fine tuning as, e.g., in Ref. [52], where coupling constants have incommensurate irrational prefactors, due to the expansion of the Hilbert space of an effective NHH. This conclusion is also valid when the intermode interaction is coherent.

The method proposed here allows to construct moments-based NHHs which can be both symmetric and asymmetric.

Such asymmetrical mode coupling can be engineered via backscattering processes, as has been shown in Refs. [78, 79, 103]. Moreover, exploiting such asymmetric incoherent mode interactions, one can also implement a recently proposed scheme [56], which is based on the chiral nature of coherently coupled cavities, but rather using a much simpler single multimode cavity. Using our approach, one can also engineer block triangular moments-based NHHs highlighting the chirality in the mode coupling [56]. The latter can be obtained by combining Eq. (8) and Schur’s triangularization theorem [104].

Apart from the interest in exploiting higher-order quantum Liouvillian EPs for constructing new NHHs in synthetic space of field moments, it also prompts the question of a further utility of such EPs, which can be revealed by coherence and spectral functions for a given open quantum system [68]. After all, it is exactly the Liouvillian eigenspace which correctly captures the dynamics of the fields, not phenomenological NHHs, based on the semiclassical approximation or postselection procedure.

The proposed method is universal in its nature. According to Eqs. (8) and (13), it can be applied to any physically realizable matrices $M_\alpha$ and $M_\beta$, each exhibiting an EP of some order, and which can be related to arbitrary quadratic Liouvillians. For instance, matrices $M_\alpha$ and $M_\beta$ can represent a $Z_2$-symmetric quadratic Liouvillian which describes a nonlinear parametric dissipative process.

It is also noteworthy that other moments-based methods have found a wide range of applications (e.g., [92, 94, 105, 106]). Moreover, various sufficient and necessary criteria of nonclassical correlations can be derived from such matrices of moments. These include criteria of: (i) quantum entanglement (by applying the partial transposition [107, 108] or other positive maps [109] to the matrices of moments), (ii) quantum steering [110],
Bell nonlocality [111], as well as (iv) spatial [112] and spatio-temporal nonclassicality [113, 114]. Additionally, with the help of the technique described here, one can also analyze spectral degeneracies in systems with a weak nonlinearity, e.g., with Kerr-like interactions between modes. In this case, one can still invoke the Gaussian approximation for the fields fluctuations near the steady state, where the fields intensities are assumed to be fixed. As such, one can analytically derive and experimentally probe the system dynamical critical points by means of higher-order coherence and spectral functions of the fields fluctuations even in the presence of weak nonlinearities.
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