Native entomopathogenic *Metarhizium* spp. from Burkina Faso and their virulence against the malaria vector *Anopheles coluzzii* and non-target insects
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Genetically enhanced *Metarhizium pingshaense* are being developed for malaria vector control in Burkina Faso. However, not much is known about the local prevalence and pathogenicity of this fungus, so we prospected mosquitoes and plant roots (a common habitat for *Metarhizium* spp.) for entomopathogenic fungi.

**Results:** Our investigations showed that *Metarhizium* spp. represented between 29–74% of fungi isolated from plant root rhizospheres in diverse collection sites. At low spore dosages (1 × 10⁶ conidia/ml), two mosquito-derived *M. pingshaense* isolates (Met_S26 and Met_S10) showed greater virulence against *Anopheles coluzzii* (LT₈₀ of ~7 days) than isolates tested in previous studies (LT₈₀ of ~10 days). In addition, the local isolates did not cause disease in non-target insects (honeybees and cockroaches).

**Conclusions:** Our work provides promising findings for isolating local *Metarhizium* strains for application in mosquito biological control and for future transgenic biocontrol strategies in Burkina Faso.
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plant growth. The plant-beneficial effects of *Metarhizium* species correlate with their association with roots and are mediated via plant hormones [6]. The second objective was to evaluate the pathogenicity of local *Metarhizium* isolates against wild-caught, insecticide-resistant *Anopheles coluzzii*. Finally, we also assessed the pathogenicity of the local isolates against American cockroaches and honeybees as representative non-target or beneficial species.

**Methods**

**Fungal collection, isolation and morphological identification**

Collections were carried out on a monthly basis during the 2015 rainy season (from July to September) from plant roots and wild-caught mosquitoes. Our three collection sites were the Kou Valley (11°23'N, 4°24'W), a rice crop area; Bana (11°9'41"N, 4°10'30"W), a savanna and forested area; and Soumousso (11°04'N, 4°03'W), a savanna and corn crop area (Fig. 1). One hundred and fifty-five plants were sampled from these three different agro-ecological sites. We followed the protocol described in [7] to collect rhizosphere soil and isolate fungi. The fungal selective medium contained 42 g potato-dextrose agar, 0.5 g chloramphenicol and 0.6 g cetyl trimethylammonium bromide per liter.

Overall, 300 mosquitoes were collected from 3 types of resting sites (inhabited houses, abandoned houses and outdoor piles of wood). Mosquitoes were brought to the IRSS/Centre Muraz insectary, where they were fed on 6% sterile glucose *ad libitum*. Approximately 22% of collected mosquitoes (67 mosquitoes) died within 2 weeks and were plated on selective medium for fungal isolations.

![Fig. 1 Rhizosphere and mosquito collection sites](image-url)
Fungal isolates from rhizospheres or mosquitoes were identified using macro-morphological characters, such as conidiogenesis, estimation of radial growth, spore color and mycelia texture of the isolates on PDA media according to Humber [8]. In addition, we used microscopic morphology to identify *Metarhizium* spp. spores as described by Fernandes et al. [9]. Met_S10 and Met_S26 were confirmed as *Metarhizium pingshaense* through amplification and Sanger sequencing of the intron-rich region of translation elongation factor 1-α [10].

**Fungal virulence on mosquitoes, honeybees and cockroaches**

Initial screens on mosquitoes revealed two promising isolates (Met_S10 and Met_S26) isolated from mosquito cadavers from Soumousso and Bana, respectively, that readily grew on PDA and were highly virulent (Additional file 1: Table S1): these strains were therefore chosen for further characterization.

**Bioassay on mosquitoes**

For bioassays, we used *An. coluzzii* adult mosquitoes reared from larval collections at the Kou Valley, Burkina Faso. Mosquitoes from this area are known to be highly resistant to multiple insecticides [5, 11]. We carried out bioassays with local *M. pingshaense* isolates Met_S10 and Met_S26. A *M. pingshaense* strain that has been used as the foundation for development of transgenic mosquito control technologies was used as a positive control; this strain was engineered to constitutively express red fluorescent protein (RFP) [5]. Expression of RFP provides a fluorescent tag for following infection processes without altering virulence. We used an atomizer protocol for infections, as described previously [12]. Three serial concentrations were used: $1 \times 10^8$; $1 \times 10^7$; and $1 \times 10^6$ conidia/ml. We confirmed that this inoculation technique was able to deliver a repeatable inoculating dose (mean ± SE): $276 ± 16$ spores per mosquito with $1 \times 10^8$; $211 ± 13$ spores per mosquito with $1 \times 10^7$ spores/ml; and $44 ± 3$ spores per mosquito with $1 \times 10^6$. Mortality was counted twice daily over two weeks.

**Bioassay on non-target insects**

We bioassayed Met_S10, Met_S26 and Met_RFP against a breeding line of honeybees, *Apis mellifera adansonii* (Latreille, 1804), as well as American cockroaches, *Periplaneta americana* (Linnaeus, 1758) caught in households from Soumousso. Spore doses were $1 \times 10^8$, $1 \times 10^7$ or $1 \times 10^6$ conidia/ml, as described previously [5]. Following treatment, insects were kept in our insectarium at $25.3 ± 1$ °C and $70 ± 10$% relative humidity. Mortality was counted twice daily over two weeks.

**Results and discussion**

*Metarhizium* spp. were isolated from rhizosphere soil samples across 3 sample sites: the Kou Valley, Bana and Soumousso. From the Kou Valley and Bana, we isolated 362 and 306 soil samples, respectively. *Metarhizium* spp. comprised 28.71% (n = 56) of the isolates from Bana and 30.72% (n = 94) of the total isolated fungi from the Kou Valley. We isolated 152 fungal strains from Soumousso; of these, 113 (74.34%) were *Metarhizium*, with a mean of 1.18 isolates/gram of soil (Additional file 2: Table S2). Soumousso is a savanna and corn crop area, and the higher proportion of *Metarhizium* fungi is consistent with previous studies that reported a strong association between *Metarhizium* spp. and soils from cultivated habitats, particularly field crops [13–15].

![Fig. 2 Survival curves of mosquitoes infected with Burkina Faso *Metarhizium pingshaense* isolates at different concentrations: C1, $1 \times 10^8$ conidia/ml; C2, $1 \times 10^7$ conidia/ml; C3, $1 \times 10^6$ conidia/ml](image-url)
Isolates of *Metarhizium* spp. represented ~1% (8/801; 3 isolates from *Culex* spp. and 5 isolates from *Anopheles gambiae* (sensu lato)) of the fungi isolated from mosquitoes. Fifteen colonies of *Beauveria* spp. were isolated on mosquitoes (5 isolates from *Aedes aegypti* and 10 isolates from *Anopheles gambiae* (s.l.) at Soumousoo). *Trichoderma* was the predominant genus at all sites being isolated from 56% (Vallée du Kou) to 79% (Soumousoo) of mosquitoes (Additional file 2: Table S2). However, two *Metarhizium* isolates (Met_S10 and Met_S26), collected from *Anopheles gambiae* (s.l.), in an inhabited house in Soumousoo and in a woodpile in Bana, respectively, were more virulent against mosquitoes than other isolates, including those from rhizospheres (Additional file 1: Table S1). At 1 × 10^8 and 1 × 10^7 conidia/ml, both strains achieved lower LT50 than Met_RFP (LT50 of ~6 days) [16]. At the highest concentration (1 × 10^8 conidia/ml), the LT80 of Met_S10 (5.67 ± 0.167 days; Welch t = -5.5, df = 3.2, P = 0.01) and Met_RFP (7.17 ± 0.17 days; Welch t = -6.364, df = 4, P = 0.003). At the lowest concentration (1 × 10^6 conidia/ml), Met_S10 still had a significantly (Welch t = -5.1962, df = 3.2, P = 0.011) lower LT80 (7.00 ± 0.29 days) compared to Met_S26 and Met_RFP, which both had LT80’s of 10 days (Fig. 2, Table 1). At intermediate concentrations, all strains achieved 80% mortality, which is the threshold value from the World Health Organization Pesticide Evaluation Scheme (WHOPEs) for successful control with insecticides [17]. Thus, our results revealed higher virulence for the native isolate Met_S10, against wild-caught, insecticide-resistant *Anopheles coluzzii*. The virulence of these isolates to mosquitoes is also higher than isolates from Benin and in Kenya where *Metarhizium anisoplae* strains were originally isolated from a white fly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* [16, 18].

We bioassayed honeybees and cockroaches with the local strains and Met_RFP. However, even at the highest spore dosage (1 × 10^8 conidia/ml), these fungi did not significantly increase mortality compared to controls containing no conidia (Table 2). Fewer than 5% of honeybees and cockroaches died during the bioassays, and no mycosis was observed on any cadavers. This is in agreement with previous studies that report Met_RFP is a specialist to Culicidae [5]. The host ranges of different *Metarhizium* strains are chiefly controlled by recognition events on the cuticle [19], and the cuticles of

### Table 1

| Concentration (conidia/ml) | Treatment | LT50 + SE (days) | Grouping LT50 |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------|
| C1 (1 × 10^6)              | Met_S10   | 5.67 ± 0.167    | a             |
|                            | Met_S26   | 7.50 ± 0.289    | b             |
|                            | Met_RFP   | 7.18 ± 0.167    | b             |
| C2 (1 × 10^7)              | Met_S10   | 6.67 ± 0.167    | a             |
|                            | Met_S26   | 8.67 ± 0.167    | b             |
|                            | Met_RFP   | 8.83 ± 0.167    | b             |
| C3 (1 × 10^8)              | Met_S10   | 7.00 ± 0.289    | a             |
|                            | Met_S26   | 10.00 ± 0.500   | b             |
|                            | Met_RFP   | 10.00 ± 1.041   | b             |

**Abbreviation:** SE standard error of the mean

*In 0.01% Tween80

| Pairwise comparison of LT50 values per spraying conidia suspension concentrations; treatments with no letters in common differ significantly at P < 0.05

### Table 2

| Non-target Insect | Concentration (conidia/ml) | Treatment | Survival + SE (%) | Grouping survival |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Honeybee          | C1 (1 × 10^6)               | Control   | 93.8 ± 1          | a                 |
|                   | Met_RFP                     | 98.2 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S10                     | 98.1 ± 2  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S26                     | 94.6 ± 2  | a                 |
|                   | C2 (1 × 10^7)               | Control   | 96.1 ± 2          | a                 |
|                   | Met_RFP                     | 97.3 ± 2  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S10                     | 98.3 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S26                     | 97.3 ± 0  | a                 |
|                   | C3 (1 × 10^8)               | Control   | 95.3 ± 1          | a                 |
|                   | Met_RFP                     | 99.1 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S10                     | 99.0 ± 0  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S26                     | 95.1 ± 2  | a                 |
| Cockroach         | C1 (1 × 10^6)               | Control   | 95.7 ± 2          | a                 |
|                   | Met_RFP                     | 97.8 ± 2  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S10                     | 98.8 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S26                     | 97.5 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | C2 (1 × 10^7)               | Control   | 96.1 ± 2          | a                 |
|                   | Met_RFP                     | 97.3 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S10                     | 98.7 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S26                     | 97.7 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | C3 (1 × 10^8)               | Control   | 96.0 ± 1          | a                 |
|                   | Met_RFP                     | 97.0 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S10                     | 97.0 ± 1  | a                 |
|                   | Met_S26                     | 96.0 ± 1  | a                 |

**Abbreviation:** SE standard error of the mean

*In 0.01% Tween80

| Pairwise comparison of survival mean values per spraying conidia suspension concentrations; treatments with no letters in common differ significantly at P < 0.05
honeybees, cockroaches and mosquitoes would likely have many topographical and chemical differences. Despite being more virulent than other WT *Metarhizium* strains, the Burkinabe *Anopheles*-derived isolates are still significantly less effective than transgenic strains expressing arthropod toxins [5]. However, our results suggest that these native Burkinabe *Metarhizium* strains would make attractive candidates for transgenic virulence enhancement and subsequent use as transgenic biocontrol agents.

**Conclusion**

Native fungal isolates may offer a superior alternative to introducing a foreign biocontrol strain, as they may be better adapted to both kill local mosquitoes and survive local conditions. There are also regulatory and ecological advantages to using strains already present in the country or in the ecosystem. This study provides a promising precedent for isolating local *Metarhizium* strains for application in mosquito biological control, and it lays a foundation for future transgenic biocontrol projects in Burkina Faso.
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Met_S10: *Metarhizium pingshaense* strain No. 10; Met_S26: *Metarhizium pingshaense* strain No. 26; Met_RFP: *Metarhizium pingshaense* expressing red fluorescent protein (RFP); LT50: Median (50%) lethal time after exposure to fungal infections; LT50: 50% lethal time after exposure to fungal infections; SE: Standard error

**Acknowledgements**

We are very grateful to Dr. Anne G. Vantaux for critical reading of the manuscript and to Dr. Ali Drabo, Gnada Kobo Daniel, El Kabeur, Arthur D. Djibougou, Jacques E. Gnamabani and Issiaka Saré for the technical assistance.

**Funding**

This work was supported by the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health (Grant RO1 AI106998 to Raymond St. Leger) under Subaward 2047801/Centre Muraz to Dr Abdoulaye Diabate.

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

**Authors’ contributions**

AD, BL, RKD, AS and EB designed the experiments. EB and BL performed the experiments and analysed the data. EB, BL, RSL and AD wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Ethics approval**

Ethical permissions were obtained through the Institutional Review of Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (IRSS) and Centre Muraz ethics committee (A012-2014-CE-CM). Prior authorization was granted from the Burkina Faso National Biosecurity Agency for sampling native fungi from rhizosphere of plants and mosquitoes (Ministerial Ordinance No. 2012-059/MRSI/SG/ANB). In addition, authorization was granted for importing and using both wild types and transgenic *Metarhizium* fungi for semi-field and lab work (Ministerial Ordinance No. 2012-061/MRSI/SG/ANB). All bioassays with mosquitoes and non-target insects were carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. In addition, the protocols followed the IRSS Animal Welfare Assurance AS926-01.

**Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher’s Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Author details**

1. Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé/Centre Muraz, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso. 2. Department of Entomology, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA. 3. Laboratoire d’Entomologie Fondamentale et Appliquée/UFR-SVT/Université Ouaga 1, Pr. Joseph Ni-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.

**Received:** 4 December 2017 **Accepted:** 15 March 2018

**Published online:** 27 March 2018

**References**

1. Farenhorst M, Knols BGJ. Fungal entomopathogens for the control of adult mosquitoes: a look at the issues. Proc Netherlands Entomol Soc Meet. 2007;183:1–9.
2. Thomas MB. Biological control of human disease vectors: a perspective on challenges and opportunities. BioControl. 2016;63:61–9.
3. Wang C, St Leger RJ. A scorpion neurotoxin increases the potency of a fungal insecticide. Nat Biotechnol. 2005;23:1455–6.
4. Fang W, Lu H-L, King G, St Leger RJ. Construction of a hypervirulent and specific mycoinsecticide for locust control. Sci Rep. 2014;4:7345.
5. Bilgo E, Lovett B, Fang W, Bende N, King GF, Diabate A, et al. Improved efficacy of an arthropod toxin expressing fungus against insecticide-resistant malaria-vector mosquitoes. Sci Rep. 2017;7:3433.
6. Liao X, Lovett B, Fang W, St Leger RJ. *Metarhizium robertsi* produces indole-3-acetic acid, which promotes root growth in *Arabidopsis* and enhances virulence to insects. Microbiology. 2017;163:980–1.
7. Wyrebek M, Huber C, Saisan RK, Bidochka MJ. Three sympathylocally occurring species of *Metarhizium* show plant rhizosphere specificity. Microbiology. 2011;157:2004–11.
8. Humber RA. Entomopathogenic fungal identification. 2005. Available at https://www.ars.usda.gov/ARSUserFiles/80620520/apswkshoprev.pdf. Accessed 17 Nov 2017.
9. Fernandes ÉKK, Keyser CA, Rangel DEN, Foster RN, Roberts DW. CTC medium: a novel dodine-selective medium for isolating entomopathogenic fungi, especially *Metarhizium acridum*, from soil. Biol Control. 2010;54:197–205.
10. Bischoff JF, Rehner SA, Humber RA. A multilocus phylogeny of the *Metarhizium anisopliae* lineage. Mycologia. 2009;101:512–30.
11. Namoutougou M, Simard F, Baldet T, Diabaté A, Ouedraogo JB, Martin T, et al. Multiple insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. populations from Burkina Faso, West Africa. PLoS One. 2012;7(11):e48412.
12. Fang W, Vega-Rodriguez J, Ghose AK, Jacobs-Lorena M, Kang A, St Leger RJ. Development of transgenic fungi that kill human malaria parasites in mosquitoes. Science. 2011;331:1074–7.
13. Keyser CA, De Fine Llicht HH, Steinwender BM, Mayling NV. Diversity within the entomopathogenic fungal species *Metarhizium flavoviride* associated with agricultural crops in Denmark. BMC Microbiol. 2015;15:249.
14. Sánchez-Peña SR, Lara JS-J, Medina RF. Occurrence of entomopathogenic fungi from agricultural and natural ecosystems in Sanlilito, México, and their virulence towards thrips and whiteflies. J Insect Sci. 2011;11:1.
15. Quesada-Moraga E, Navas-Cortés JA, Maranhao EAA, Ortiz-Urquiza A, Santiago-Alvarez C. Factors affecting the occurrence and distribution of
entomopathogenic fungi in natural and cultivated soils. Mycol Res. 2007; 111:947–66.

16. Scholte EJ, Takken W, Knols BGJ. Pathogenicity of five east African entomopathogenic fungi against adult Anopheles gambiae s.s. mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). Proc Exp Appl Entomol Soc. 2003;14:25–9.

17. World Health Organization. Guidelines for laboratory and field-testing of long-lasting insecticidal nets. Who/Htn/Net/Whopes/2013; 2013. www.who.int/about/licensing/copyright_form/en/index.html. Accessed 26 Nov 2017.

18. Howard AFV, Koenraadt CJM, Farenhorst M, Knols BGJ, Takken W. Pyrethroid resistance in Anopheles gambiae leads to increased susceptibility to the entomopathogenic fungi Metarhizium anisopliae and Beauveria bassiana. Malar J. 2010;9:168.

19. Wang C, St Leger RJ. Developmental and transcriptional responses to host and nonhost cuticles by the specific locust pathogen. Society. 2005;4:937–47.