The Dural Tube Continues to Expand after Muscle-Preserving Cervical Laminectomy
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Abstract:

Introduction: The purpose of this study was to elucidate the duration for which the dural tube continues to expand after muscle-preserving cervical laminectomy and the extent to which the expansion affects surgical outcomes.

Methods: We analyzed 83 patients with cervical myelopathy who underwent muscle-preserving selective laminectomy of three consecutive laminae between C4 and C6. On the lateral radiographs, parameters considered were C2-7 Cobb angles, range of flexion-extension neck motions, and C2-7 sagittal vertical axis. Neck alignment was classified into four types with lateral radiographs. Anteroposterior (AP) diameter of the dural tube was measured at mid-level of the C5 vertebral body on T2 sagittal image. Expansion ratio (ER) was defined as the extent of expansion at a particular time divided by the final extent of expansion of the dural tube diameter. Operative outcomes were examined using the Japanese Orthopaedic Association scores.

Results: The mean age was 62.3 years, and the mean follow-up period was 2 years and 9 months. AP diameter of the dural tube had been expanding until 1-year after surgery. ER in cases with kyphosis was lower at 6 months than that in cases without kyphosis, indicating that the speed of dural expansion was slower in cases with kyphosis. There was no correlation between the extent of expansion of the dural tube and neurological recovery.

Conclusions: The dural tube continued to expand for approximately 1-year after surgery. The dural tube of patients with kyphosis slowly expanded possibly because of the hardness of the dura mater. A small extent of dural expansion does not necessarily indicate bad surgical outcomes.
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Introduction

Expansion of the dural tube after cervical laminoplasty has been previously reported1-4. Post-laminectomy membrane was found to deteriorate during neurological recovery after a conventional laminectomy and therefore, was a reason for the invention of laminoplasty1,5,6. Since 1998, we have been performing muscle-preserving selective laminectomy using the anatomical muscle-preserving approach. This anatomical approach contributes to the formation of a small dead space after the surgery because post-operative muscle atrophy is minimal and anatomical structures are well preserved. We have reported that neurological recovery after this laminectomy is the same as that after laminoplasty3,7. Interestingly, we have sometimes observed late expansion of the dural tube after laminectomy. Our search of the literature revealed no reports at present that described the time-dependent dural expansion after cervical decompression surgeries. The aim of this study was to describe to what extent and till when the dural tube continues to expand after the muscle-preserving selective laminectomy.
Expansion ratio (ER)

\[
ER \text{ at a particular time} = \frac{b - a}{c - a} \times 100 \%
\]

**Figure 1.** Anteroposterior (AP) diameter and expansion ratio (ER) of the dural tube. AP diameter of the dural tube was measured at mid-level of the C5 vertebral body on T2 sagittal image.

### Materials and Methods

We retrospectively examined 83 patients who underwent muscle-preserving selective laminectomy of three consecutive laminae between C4 and C6 for cervical myelopathy, with a minimum of 2-year follow-up between 2012 and 2014. Sagittal alignment of the cervical spine was measured in a neutral position at C2-7 using the Cobb method on lateral radiographs. Neck range of motion (ROM) was assessed on the basis of differences in the C2-7 angles from flexion to extension. We measured C2-7 sagittal vertical axis (SVA), which was defined as the deviation of the C2 plumb line (extending from the centroid of the C2 vertebra) from the posterior-superior corner of the vertebral body of C7, with positive sagittal alignment defined as an anterior deviation. C2-7 angles, ROM, and C2-7 SVA were measured preoperatively and at final follow-up. All measurements were conducted by three spine surgeons.

Cervical alignment was determined on the basis of preoperative neutral radiographs using a classification system proposed by Kamata et al. Anteroposterior (AP) diameter of the dural tube was measured at the mid-level of C5 vertebral body on T2 sagittal image pre- and post-operatively. This measurement was conducted in the plane vertical to the axis of the dural tube (Fig. 1). Expansion ratio (ER) was defined as the extent of expansion at a particular time divided by the final extent of expansion of the dural tube diameter (Fig. 1). The time-dependent transition of ER was analyzed among five periods: pre-operative, within 6 months after the surgery, between 6 months and 1 year after the surgery, between 1 and 1.5 years after the surgery, and at final follow-up. Operative outcomes were examined using the Japanese Orthopaedic Association (JOA) scores.

### Statistical analysis

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. We used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for analyzing statistical differences in data obtained from radiographs. Repeated measures ANOVA was used to compare AP diameter of the dural tube, the extent of expansion of the AP diameter, and ER at different periods. After assessing the significance of ANOVA, pairwise comparisons with a Tukey-Kramer test were conducted. Correlations between AP diameter of the dural tube and radiological factors were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. The outcome of JOA scores was analyzed using Wilcoxon signed-rank test. All statistical analyses were conducted with a commercially available software program (SPSS 24.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

### Results

The mean age of patients was 62.3 years, and the average follow-up period was 2 years and 9 months. There were 57 male and 26 female patients. Pathogenesis of myelopathy was cervical spondylotic myelopathy in 62 patients, cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament in 16, cervical spondylotic amyotrophy in 4, and cervical disk herniation in 1. Numbers of cases classified by the cervical curvature were 28 for lordosis, 21 for straight, 11 for sigmoid, and 23 for kyphosis.

**C2-7 angle, ROM, and C2-7 SVA**

Averaged C2-7 angles were 9.4° ± 12.4° pre-operatively and 8.4° ± 13.0° (p = 0.2) at the final follow-up. Averaged ROM were 33.7° ± 11.9° pre-operatively and 30.4° ± 10.7° (p < 0.05) at the final follow-up. ROM significantly decreased after the surgery. Averaged SVA were 18.6 ± 11.0 mm pre-operatively and 20.8 ± 12.2 mm (p < 0.05) at the final follow-up. SVA significantly increased after the surgery.

**AP diameter of the dural tube**

Transition of parameters such as AP diameter of the dural tube, the amount of expansion of the dural tube, and ER are shown in Table 1. AP diameter of the dural tube and ER significantly increased until 1 year after surgery. ER classified according to the cervical curvature at 6 months after surgery is shown in Table 2. ER in cases with kyphosis at 6 months was significantly lower than that in cases without kyphosis. An illustrative case is represented in Fig. 2.

**Correlations between AP diameter of the dural tube and radiological factors**

Correlations between AP diameter of the dural tube and C2-7 angles, ROM, or C2-7 SVA are shown in Table 3. Final extent of the expansion of AP diameter and the final AP diameter of the dural tube were mildly correlated with pre- and post-operative C2-7 angles. ROM and C2-7 SVA were not correlated with the final extent of expansion of AP diameter. Final C2-7 SVA was mildly correlated with pre-operative AP diameter.
Figure 2. Illustrative case of dural expansion. The dural tube continued to expand over time. ER, expansion ratio; Pre-OP, pre-operative

Table 1. Anteroposterior Diameter of the Dural Tube and Expansion Ratio.

|                          | Pre-OP  | 6 months | 1 year  | 1.5 years | Final  | Repeated measures ANOVA |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|--------|------------------------|
| AP diameter of dural tube (mm) | 8.5±1.1* | 12.4±1.8* | 13.3±1.9* | 13.6±2.3 | 13.7±2.2 | p<0.001               |
| Extent of expansion of AP diameter (mm) | 2.8±1.8** | 4.7±2.0 | 5.2±2.2 | 5.1±2.0 | 100 | p<0.001                |
| Expansion ratio (%)       | 74.8±20.5** | 91.2±15.8 | 94.9±13.5 |          |        |                        |

*Statistically significant difference among three durations (Tukey-Kramer test, p<0.05)
**Statistically significant difference to the other durations (Tukey-Kramer test, p<0.05)
ANOVA, analysis of variance; AP, anteroposterior; pre-OP, pre-operative

Table 2. Expansion Ratio at 6 Months after the Surgery Classified with Cervical Curvature.

|                  | Lordosis (N: 28) | Straight (N: 21) | Sigmoid (N: 11) | Kyphosis (N: 23) | ANOVA   |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| ER (%) at 6 months | 76.0±16.9        | 80.0±19.4        | 85.7±13.6       | 65.1±24.3*       | p<0.001 |

*Statistically significant lower values than in straight and sigmoid (Tukey-Kramer test, p<0.05)
No significant difference in values between kyphosis and lordosis (Tukey-Kramer test, p=0.06)
ANOVA, analysis of variance; ER, expansion ratio

Illustrative case: a 63-year-old man

Pre-OP       | 6 months   | 1 year     | 1.5 years   

ER = 75%     | ER = 84%   | ER = 100%  

Surgical outcomes

Surgical outcomes classified according to the amount of the final expansion of AP diameter of the dural tube are shown in Table 4. There was no significant difference in the value of the recovery rate of JOA scores between the groups. Small dural expansion did not indicate bad surgical outcomes.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first report showing that the cervical dural tube continues to expand until 1 year after decompression surgeries. ER within 6 months after the surgery was approximately 75% and that within 1 year was approximately 90%. These findings suggest that the dural tube could expand until at least 1 year after muscle-preserving selective laminectomy. Decrease of scar tissue might lead to the expansion of the dural tube 6 months after surgery because one report on lumbar decompression surgery described that the scar size around the dura mater decreased between 6 and 24 months after surgery 9. Muscle-preserving selective laminectomy with an anatomical approach might facilitate the late expansion of the dural tube. Laminectomy with an anatomical approach decreased the dead space formation because preserved musculature could easily get back to its original position. A small amount of blood loss during the muscle-preserving selective laminectomy also contrib-
with kyphosis. Breig reported that the dural tube and spinal cating that the speed of dural expansion was slower in cases patients with kyphosis and 80% in the other patients, indi-
minimal after surgery.
laminectomy, the risk of harmful scar tissue formation is
of the dural tube after the muscle-preserving selective damage due to the disease. Considering the late expansion
membrane can negatively affect the recovery of neurological
duration. These results described that the more the neck cur-
7 angles had mild correlation with the final AP diameter of
seemed to take time to expand. However, further study is
the dural tube during the surgery because hard dural tube
that cerebrospinal pressure gradually expanded the dural
multisegmental cervical spondylotic myelopathy13,14). Wide
decompression sometimes causes C5 palsy because of exces-
sive spinal cord shift6,15,16). In contrast, insufficient decom-
will certainly lead to poor neurological recovery. Further studies are necessary to understand the proper extent
decompression required for cervical myelopathy.

**Limitations**

As with any study, this investigation had several limita-
tions. First, it was a retrospective study with a small number
number of subjects is warranted. Second, we did not
follow-up patients for a long time. A follow-up of >5 years
could possibly reveal an increased expansion of the dural
tube. To clarify the limitation of expansion, further studies
with longer follow-up periods and more patients are neces-
ary. Third, we only considered AP diameter of the dural
tube as a measurement of the dural tube expansion. Meas-
urement of the cross-sectional area of or calculation of the
exact volume might be more accurate because it provides
three-dimensional information of the dural tube.

**Conclusion**

The dural tube continues to expand for approximately 1
year after muscle-preserving selective laminectomy. The
dural tube of patients with kyphosis slowly expanded, prob-
ably indicating that the hardness of the dura matter affected
the dural tube expansion. Small dural expansion does not
necessarily indicate bad surgical outcomes.
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**Table 3.** Correlations between AP Diameter of the Dural Tube and Radiological Factors.

| Pearson’s correlation coefficient \( r \) (p value) | Pre-OP AP diameter | Final AP diameter | Final extent of expansion of AP diameter |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Pre-OP C2-7 angle \( r = -0.08 \) \((p=0.470)\) | 0.234 (p=0.034) | 0.276 (p=0.012) |
| Pre-OP ROM \( r = -0.065 \) \((p=0.561)\) | -0.054 (p=0.627) | -0.017 (p=0.876) |
| Pre-OP C2-7 SVA \( r = 0.173 \) \((p=0.117)\) | 0.083 (p=0.453) | -0.014 (p=0.899) |
| Final C2-7 angle \( r = -0.037 \) \((p=0.739)\) | 0.361 (p=0.001) | 0.378 (p<0.001) |
| Final ROM \( r = -0.108 \) \((p=0.339)\) | -0.101 (p=0.372) | -0.041 (p=0.719) |
| Final C2-7 SVA \( r = 0.244 \) \((p=0.026)\) | 0.142 (p=0.202) | 0.004 (p=0.972) |

AP, anteroposterior; pre-OP, pre-operative

---

**Table 4.** Clinical Outcomes Classified with the Final Extent of Expansion of the AP Diameter.

| Final extent of expansion of AP diameter | 0-3.9 mm (N: 24) | 4.0-5.9 mm (N: 30) | 6.0-mm (N: 29) |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Pre JOA score (full: 17)                | 12.0±2.6         | 11.4±2.2         | 12.6±2.4       | NS             |
| Post JOA score                          | 14.3±2.2         | 13.8±2.0         | 14.0±2.3       | NS             |
| Recovery rate (%) of JOA scores         | 50.7±26.8        | 44.3±23.5        | 34.7±30.7      | NS             |

AP, anteroposterior; JOA, Japanese Orthopaedic Association; NS, not significant

---

utated to the small dead space formation6,7). Post-laminectomy membrane can negatively affect the recovery of neurological damage due to the disease. Considering the late expansion of the dural tube after the muscle-preserving selective laminectomy, the risk of harmful scar tissue formation is minimal after surgery.

ERs at 6 months after surgery were approximately 65% in patients with kyphosis and 80% in the other patients, indicating that the speed of dural expansion was slower in cases with kyphosis. Breig reported that the dural tube and spinal cord were stretched because of neck flexion10). The dural tube with kyphosis seemed to be difficult to expand because it was stretched according to neck curvature. The manner of dural expansion in patients with kyphosis possibly suggested that cerebrospinal pressure gradually expanded the dural tube in the same manner that the dural pulsation expanded the dural tube during the surgery because hard dural tube seemed to take time to expand. However, further study is necessary to confirm this idea.

Neck alignment affected the dural expansion because C2-7 angles had mild correlation with the final AP diameter of the dural tube and the final extent of the expansion of AP diameter. These results described that the more the neck curvature was lordotic, the more the dural tube expanded. These results are consistent with previous reports and supported that a greater decompression achieved by lordotic alignment correlated with a better clinical outcome11,12). However, the amount of expansion of the dural tube did not have a great influence on neurological recovery. There was no difference in the recovery rate based on JOA scores among the three groups classified by the extent of expansion of the dural tube. Even when the final expansion of AP diameter was <4 mm, the neurological recovery was good, with an average recovery rate of approximately 50%. These results support the findings in a previous report, which described that extensive decompression was not always necessary for multisegmental cervical spondylotic myelopathy13,14). Wide decompression sometimes causes C5 palsy because of excessive spinal cord shift6,15,16). In contrast, insufficient decompression will certainly lead to poor neurological recovery. Further studies are necessary to understand the proper extent of decompression required for cervical myelopathy.
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