DIVERSITY AND PHENETIC STUDY ON SYCONIUM OF FICUS L. (MORACEAE) FROM KERALA, INDIA REVEALING NATURAL CLASSIFICATION ALONG WITH AN IDENTIFICATION KEY
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ABSTRACT
NAIR, S. S., BACHAN, K. H. A. & EBIN, P. J. 2021. Diversity and phenetic study on syconium of Ficus L. (Moraceae) from Kerala, India revealing natural classification along with an identification key. Reinwardtia 20(1): 27–36. — Ficus L. commonly called ‘figs’ is one of the most complex genera among the angiosperms with its specialised inflorescence called syconium that looks like a fruit. Syconium of 33 species of Ficus reported from Kerala were observed here to develop a novel key, solely based on syconium morphology. Numerical taxonomic methodology for syconium morphological characters were standardised, considering 22 characters with 104 character states and analysed using similarity clustering. The floral features of the genus are very much complex and all the existing keys for the species identification relays on both vegetative as well as floral features. Hence, the present key will be practical in use when syconium is the only available part. The numerical analysis of the syconium features well clustered and separated the trees with cauliflorous inflorescence, hemi epiphytic-epiphytic life forms and independent trees similar to the natural classification of the figs as “Atthi, Itthi and Aal”, indicating that phenetic analysis using the syconium characters alone provided a grouping similar to the natural grouping based on the habit. Preliminary phylogenetic analysis of figs also provided a similar clustering. This gives an insight into the fact that the separation of figs into these natural groups is reflecting phylogenetic trait. Detailed studies including more morphological traits and molecular analysis could establish the phylogenetic relation of figs in relation to the evolutionary history of climate and vegetation.
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ABSTRAK
NAIR, S. S., BACHAN, K. H. A. & EBIN, P. J. 2021. Penelitian keanekaragaman dan fenetik bentuk sikonium Ficus L. (Moraceae) dari Kerala, India, mengungkap klasifikasi alami dan kunci identifikasinya. Reinwardtia 20(1): 27–36. — Ficus L. biasa disebut 'buah ara' adalah salah satu marga paling kompleks di antara angiospermae dengan perbungaan khusus yang disebut sikonium, yang terlihat seperti buah. Sikonium dari 33 jenis Ficus yang dilaporkan dari Kerala diamati di sini untuk mengembangkan kunci baru, hanya berdasarkan morfologi sikonium. Metodologi taksonomi numerik untuk karakter morfologi sikonium distandarisasi, dengan mempertimbangkan 22 karakter dengan 104 status karakter dan dianalisis menggunakan penggugusan keserupaan. Ciri-ciri bunga dari marga sangat kompleks dan semua kunci yang ada untuk identifikasi jenis bergantung pada ciri vegetatif dan juga ciri-ciri bunga. Karenanya, kunci sekarang akan praktis digunakan jika sikonium adalah satu-satunya bagian yang tersedia. Analisis numerik fitur sikonium mengelompok dengan baik dan memisahkan pohon dengan perbungaan kauliflorus, bentuk kehidupan hewani epifitik-epifik dan pohon independen yang mirip dengan klasifikasi alami buah ara sebagai "Atthi, Itthi dan Aal", menunjukkan bahwa analisis fenetik menggunakan karakter sikonium sendiri memberikan pengelompokan yang mirip dengan pengelompokan alami berdasarkan perawakan. Analisis filogenetik awal dari buah ara juga memberikan pengelompokan yang serupa. Ini memberikan wawasan tentang fakta bahwa pemisahan buah ara ke dalam kelompok alami ini mencerminkan sifat filogenetik. Studi terperinci termasuk lebih banyak sifat morfologi dan analisis molekuler dapat menetapkan hubungan filogenetik buah ara dalam kaitannya dengan sejarah evolusi iklim dan vegetasi.

Kata kunci: Fenetik, Ficus, keanekaragaman, klasifikasi alami, kunci identifikasi, sikonium.
INTRODUCTION

The genus *Ficus* L. is the most advanced member in the family Moraceae with a worldwide distribution of about 750 species (Berg & Corner, 2005; Chaudhary et al., 2012). They are commonly called ‘fig’ plants. The plant is characterised by the typical hypanthodium inflorescence and the infructescence, a syconium generally referred as ‘fig’ or ‘receptacle’. Fig plants are considered as a keystone species (Vanitharani et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2011) in many ecosystems, with nutrient rich figs being eaten by reptiles, birds and mammals the year around. In India, the genus has about 115 species including 24 infraspecific taxa (Chaudhary et al., 2012). Thirty one species of figs have been so far reported from Kerala (Sasidharan, 2004). In general, there is a natural classification of the figs (Matthew, 1995) as “Atthi” (trees with cauliflorous inflorescence), “Itthi” (hemi epiphytic and epiphytic trees, stranglers) and “Aal” (independent trees), which were the vernacular names used by Hendrik van Rheede in Hortus Malabaricus (Rheede, 1678).

The presence of minute microscopic flowers within the hypanthodium make the identification of the taxa highly problematic. The keys currently in practice are not solely based on syconium characters but rather based on vegetative and floral morphology (Gamble, 1925; Corner, 1965). Development of a key based on syconium features with very detailed diagnosis can make the identification of figs much easier, since the syconium being a very characteristic feature and could be easily collected.

In addition to molecular data, morphological characters can also be used for establishing phylogenetic relationship (Scotland et al., 2003; Wiens, 2004). Consideration of more morphological characters with detailed character states can provide a clear segregation of a genetically related group (Henderson & Ferreira, 2002; Sonibare et al., 2004; Bolourian & Pakravan, 2011; Rahman et al., 2013) and this could be closer towards phylogenetic segregation when compared to the traditional morpho taxonomic method.

The present study focuses to provide the diversity of syconium and a key based on the syconium morphology alone for the identification of *Ficus* species. Also, to understand whether the clustering of figs based on syconium morphology have any similarity with the habit based natural classification of figs into three groups, ‘Atthi’, ‘Itthi’ and ‘Aal’. Thus, this paper uses the numerical taxonomic techniques for phenetic segregation of figs based on syconium features for developing a strong foundation of syconium based key as well as for testing the similarity with natural classification.

If the natural classification is reflected in the phenetic syconium character segregation, this could be taken as first level confirmation towards the hypothesis that the natural grouping in figs are reflecting the phylogenetic affinity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Extensive field studies were conducted for the collection of specimens. Syconium of the figs were collected and were preserved in FAA solution (Bowles, 2004). Morphological characters of syconium were observed including the vestiture and the images were taken using Coolpix Digital Microscope. The species were identified using regional floras (Gamble, 1925; Sasidharan, 2004) and the identified species were confirmed by herbarium consultation in the herbariums of CALI, KFRI, TBGT and MH. Herbarium specimens and floras were consulted for the analysis of syconium of the species whose fresh materials were unavailable.

The syconium characters were enumerated in a data sheet prepared specifically for syconium features following the terminologies used by Simpson (1953). Multiple samples were analysed for the conformity of the characters. Numerical taxonomic methodology for syconium characters were developed and standardised (Sneath & Sokal, 1973). Thirty three taxa were diagnosed and entered into the data base with 22 characters covering 104 character states (Table 1). The development of the different character state is based on the range of each character and suitable for entry into a numerical matrix representing 0 and 1 for absence and presence respectively (Fasila et al., 2020). The data was analysed using PAST Software for similarity-based clustering, giving equal weight age for all the character states studied.

A novel key was prepared from the observations of syconium characters and also based on the clustering provided by dendrogram. The habit specificity of the species was confirmed according to Berg (2003). The similarity clustering dendrogram obtained by analysing 22 morphological characters of syconium was used to test the relatedness of habit based natural grouping in figs with the clustering of figs based on syconium morphology and thereby to understand whether they have any phylogenetic relation.

RESULTS

Syconium of 33 species of figs studied shows significant character dissimilarity, that are enough to differentiate them into separate species (Fig. 2). Out of the 33 species studied, 27 species had axillary inflorescence and 6 species had cauliflorous inflorescence. Syconium of 12 species were sessile.
Fig. 1. Study area, Kerala State, India.

and 21 were pedunculate. Among the 12 species with sessile syconium, 10 species were having outer bracts, while two species were devoid of outer bracts. Within the 21 pedunculate species, 15 species had outer bracts and 6 species lack outer bracts (Fig. 3). Vestiture on the surface of the syconium were characteristic and were consistent in all the species studied. Glabrous or hairy vestiture of the syconium were also used to separate the species.

Numerical taxonomic analysis of the syconium characters also showed species level separation with significant similarity and dissimilarity coefficient. The maximum dissimilarity was observed for *F. auriculata* Lour (44%). *Ficus rigida* var. *bracteata* (Corner) Bennet & *F. superba* Miq and *F. microcarpa* L.f & *F. binnendijkii* (Miq.) Miq. were the most similar species with a 92% similarity.

The dendrogram developed through similarity clustering using PAST software is given (Fig. 4). The species were clustered into three major groups based on the similarity of syconium morphology. Habit preference of the species plotted on the dendrogram and it showed a similar grouping pattern with the syconium morphology-based clustering.

**DISCUSSION**

The morphological features of the syconium were well segregated providing a syconium based identification key for 33 species. This could be very useful in practise, since the syconium is the most available part of a fig during any kind of field study. This can help field botanists as well as ecologists and people from other disciplines. The numerical analysis provided more clarity for preparing such a practical key based on syconium features.

Natural grouping in figs based on habit divides them into three groups as ‘Atthi, Itthi and Aal’ in Malayalam, which include the trees with cauliflorous inflorescence, hemi epiphytic-epiphytic life forms and independent trees respectively. Similarity clustering based on 104 character states of the syconium morphology alone separated the species significantly and also clustered them into three groups similar to the natural grouping based on habit, strengthening relatedness between clustering based on syconium morphology and natural grouping. The only exceptions in the clustering were *F. superba* and *F. hispida*, two cauliflorous species ware grouped along with the independent
Table 1. Character and character states of *Ficus* species studied.

| Sl. No. | Character                      | Character States                                                                 |
|---------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1       | Inflorescence position         | Axillary, cauliflorous                                                            |
| 2       | Inflorescence clustering       | Solitary, paired, clustered                                                       |
| 3       | Basal bracts                   | Present, absent                                                                  |
| 4       | Syconium length maximum        | 1–10 mm, 11–20 mm, 21–30 mm, 31–40 mm, 41–50 mm, 51–60 mm, 61–70 mm, 71–80 mm, 81–90 mm, 91–100 mm |
| 5       | Syconium length minimum        | 1–10 mm, 11–20 mm, 21–30 mm, 31–40 mm, 41–50 mm, 51–60 mm                       |
| 6       | Syconium width maximum         | 1–10 mm, 11–20 mm, 21–30 mm, 31–40 mm, 41–50 mm, 51–60 mm, 61–70 mm              |
| 7       | Syconium width minimum         | 1–10 mm, 11–20 mm, 21–30 mm, 31–40 mm, 41–50 mm                                  |
| 8       | Syconium shape                 | Obovoid, globose, turbinate, oblong, ovoid                                        |
| 9       | Syconium pubescence            | Glabrous, hairy, hairy near ostiole                                              |
| 10      | Syconium texture               | Glabrous, pubescent, pilose, scars, scabrid, strigose, puberulent, tomentose     |
| 11      | Peduncle                       | Present, absent                                                                  |
| 12      | Peduncle length maximum        | 1–10 mm, 11–20 mm, 21–30 mm, 31–40 mm                                            |
| 13      | Peduncle length minimum        | 1–10 mm, 11–20 mm, 21–30 mm, 31–40 mm                                            |
| 14      | Peduncle width maximum         | 1–5 mm, 6–10 mm, 11–15 mm                                                       |
| 15      | Peduncle width minimum         | 0–1.0 mm, 1.1–2.0 mm, 2.1–3.0 mm, 3.1–4.0 mm                                    |
| 16      | Peduncle shape                 | Terete, angular                                                                  |
| 17      | Peduncle pubescence            | Glabrous, hairy                                                                  |
| 18      | Peduncle texture               | Glabrous, pubescent, pilose, scars, scabrid, strigose, puberulent, villous       |
| 19      | Syconium colour at young stage | Green, pale green                                                                |
| 20      | Syconium colour on maturity    | Green, dark green, pale brown, yellow, pale yellow, orange, pale red, purple, white, grey |
| 21      | Syconium colour on ripening    | Green, dark green, pale brown, yellow, pale yellow, orange, pale red, purple, brown |
| 22      | Lenticels                      | Present, absent                                                                  |
Fig. 2. Syconium of figs. A. F. amplissima. B. F. anamalayana. C. F. arnottiana. D. F. auriculata. E. F. beddomei. F. F. benjamina. G. F. benghalensis. H. F. callosa. I. F. drupacea. J. F. asperata. K. F. heterophylla. L. F. hispida. M. F. microcarpa. N. F. nervosa. O. F. pumila. P. F. racemosa. Q. F. religiosa. R. F. talbotii. S. F. tinctoria ssp. gibbosa. T. F. tinctoria ssp. parasitica. U. F. tsjahela. V. F. virens. W. F. amplocarpa. X. F. binnendijkii. Y. F. caulocarpa. Z. F. costata. A1. F. dalhousiae. A2. F. elastica. A3. F. guttata. A4. F. krishnae. A5. F. mollis. A6. F. rigida var. bracteata. A7. F. superba.
Syconium Based Key for the Identification of *Ficus* species

1a. Syconium sessile, peduncle absent ................................................................. 2
1b. Syconium not sessile, peduncle present .......................................................... 3
2a. Surface of the syconium hairy ........................................................................ 4
2b. Surface of the syconium glabrous .................................................................. 5

4a. Receptacles paired, surface with puberulent hairs, basal bracts absent ........... *F. krishnae*
4b. Receptacles clustered, surface with pubescent or tomentose hairs, basal bracts present ............................................. 6

6a. Figs with pubescent hairs, 1.5–2.5 cm in size, red coloured on ripening ........ *F. benghalensis*
6b. Figs with tomentose hairs, 0.8–1.0 cm in size, brown coloured on ripening .......... *F. mollis*

5a. Surface of the syconium with scars, syconium solitary in arrangement ............. *F. talbotii*
5b. Surface of the syconium glabrous without scars, syconium not solitary in arrangement, clustered ........................................................................................................ 7

7a. Basal bracts present ...................................................................................... 8
7b. Basal bracts absent ...................................................................................... 9

8a. Figs arranged solitary or in pairs ................................................................... 10
8b. Figs arranged in clusters ..............................................................................

9a. Syconium obovoid in shape, arranged solitary ............................................. *F. amplissima*
9b. Syconium not obovoid in shape, arranged in pairs ........................................ 11

11a. Shape of the receptacle oblong .................................................................... 12
11b. Shape of the receptacle not oblong, globose or ovoid .................................. 13

12a. Figs up to 4 cm, red coloured on ripening ............................................... *F. drupacea*
12b. Figs up to 1.5 cm, yellow coloured on ripening ......................................... *F. elastica*

13a. Receptacle globose in shape, 0.8–1.0 cm in size, orange coloured on ripening ................................................................. *F. microcarpa*
13b. Receptacle ovoid in shape, 0.3–0.5 cm in size, red coloured on ripening .......... *F. binnendijkii*
10a. Syconium 0.4–1.0 cm in size, red coloured on ripening ......................... *F. religiosa*
10b. Syconium 0.5–0.6 cm in size, pale brown coloured on ripening .................. *F. tsjakela*
3a. Figs cauliflorous .................................................................................... 14
3b. Figs axillary ............................................................................................ 15
14a. Receptacles in pairs, arising from long hanging racemes, rarely axillary ........... *F. hispida*
14b. Receptacles in clusters, arising from the main trunk only .......................... 16
16a. Turbinate shaped syconium, surface of the syconium hairy ..................... *F. auriculata*
16b. Globose shaped syconium, surface of the syconium glabrous .................... 17
17a. Figs less than 1.5 cm across, purple coloured in ripening ....................... *F. superba*
17b. Figs above 2.0 cm across, red coloured in ripening ................................. 18
18a. Receptacles in clusters of many, on long racemes (up to 15 cm) ................. *F. racemosa*
18b. Receptacles in clusters of few, not on long racemes .............................. 19
19a. Figs large, 3.0–6.5 cm in size, peduncle up to 1.0 cm in length, mature figs without ooze of resin ................................................................. *F. amplocarpa*
19b. Figs small, up to 2.5–3.5 cm in size, peduncle above 1.0 cm in length, mature figs with ooze of resin ............................................................... *F. guttata*
15a. Syconium arranged as clusters on the axis ............................................. 20
15b. Syconium arranged in pairs or solitary on the axis ................................... 21
20a. Peduncle and figs hairy, peduncle up to 0.2–0.4 cm long ......................... *F. caulocarpa*
20b. Peduncle and figs glabrous, peduncle up to 0.1–0.2 cm long .................... *F. arnottiana*
21a. Figs paired ........................................................................................... 22
21b. Figs solitary ......................................................................................... 23
22a. Basal bracts present ............................................................................... 24
22b. Basal bracts absent ................................................................................ 25
24a. Globose shaped receptacles .................................................................. 26
24b. Obovoid shaped receptacles .................................................................. 27
26a. Peduncle long, 0.5–1.0 cm, figs less than 1.8 cm across ............................. 28
26b. Peduncle short, 0.1–0.3 cm, figs 2.0 cm and above ................................. *F. virens*
28a. Receptacles 1.2–1.6 cm in size, peduncle 0.5–0.8 cm long, yellow–red coloured on ripening ................................................................. *F. costata*
28b. Receptacles 0.8–1.2 cm in size, peduncle 0.5–1.0 cm long, pale brown–purple coloured on ripening ....................................................... *F. rigida* var. *bracteata*
27a. Syconium above 3.5 cm, surface glabrous with scars, peduncle angular in shape ............................................................. *F. beddomei*
27b. Syconium below 2.5 cm, surface hairy without scars, peduncle terete in shape .......... 29
29a. Figs and peduncle hairy, figs 1.0–1.6 cm across, peduncle 0.8–1.0 cm ........ *F. dalhousiae*
29b. Figs hairy near ostiole and peduncle glabrous, figs 1.6–2.3 cm across, peduncle 0.5–1.2 cm ............................................................................. *F. anamalayana*
25a. Length of the peduncle above 1.0 cm, receptacles red coloured on ripening ... *F. exasperata*
25b. Length of the peduncle below 0.8 cm, receptacles yellow coloured on ripening .... 30
30a. Surface of the syconium hairy, below 0.8 cm in size, peduncle 0.4–0.6 cm in length ..................................................................................... *F. tinctoria* ssp. *gibbosa*
30b. Surface of the syconium glabrous, above 1.0 cm in size, peduncle 0.5–1.0 cm in length ..................................................................................... *F. tinctoria* ssp. *parasitica*
23a. Receptacle obovoid in shape, hairy, with basal bracts ............................. *F. pumila*
23b. Receptacle globose in shape, glabrous, without basal bracts .................. 31
31a. Syconium with scars on the surface, 2.8–3.5 cm across, peduncle hairy ........ *F. heterophylla*
31b. Syconium without scars on the surface, glabrous, below 3.0 cm across, peduncle glabrous .................. 32
32a. Receptacles 2.5 cm and above, peduncle length above 1.0 cm .............. *F. callosa*
32b. Receptacles below 2.0 cm, peduncle length 0.8–1.0 cm ....................... *F. nervosa*
Fig. 4. Dendrogram showing the clustering of figs based on syconium morphology and habit preference.
trees. *Ficus superba* might have grouped among them due to the close similarity in the syconium morphology with other species in the group. *Ficus hispida* shows cauliflorous inflorescence as well as axillary inflorescence. Along with this, the close similarity in syconium morphological characters could be the reason for the exceptional clustering of this species with other members. Phylogenetic studies on figs also provided a similar grouping (Sreehari & Bachan, 2020).

Morphometric studies were used to establish the genetic relatedness in many genera (Rahman et al., 2013). Since the phenetic analysis of the syconium and preliminary phylogenetic analysis shows a clustering, similar to the natural grouping of figs, this could be taken as first level confirmation towards the hypothesis that the natural grouping in figs is reflecting the phylogenetic affinity.

**CONCLUSION**

The syconium based numerical analysis showed that the morphological variations in syconium characters alone is significant to separate the species. Hence, the syconium morphology-based identification key will be practical in use, even when the syconium is the only available part. The syconium morphology-based clustering was much similar to the natural grouping of figs into trees with cauliflorous inflorescence, hemi epiphytic-epiphytic life forms and independent trees, indicating phylogenetic affinity. Detailed phenetic analysis incorporating more morphological characters, molecular studies including a greater number of taxa and their ecological association within a geographical enclosure can provide more light on the phylogeny of figs in relation to the evolutionary history of climate and vegetation.
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