EXAMPLES OF LATTICE-POLARIZED K3 SURFACES WITH AUTOMORPHIC DISCRIMINANT, AND LORENTZIAN KAC–MOODY ALGEBRAS

VALERY GRITSENKO AND VIACHESLAV V. NIKULIN

Dedicated to É. B. Vinberg on the occasion of his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT. Using our results about Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras and arithmetic mirror symmetry, we give six series of examples of lattice-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant.

1. Introduction

Using results of our recent paper [13] and our previous papers, we construct a series of examples of even hyperbolic lattices \( S \) such that \( S \)-polarized complex K3 surfaces \( X \) have an automorphic discriminant.

We remind the reader that for an \( S \)-polarized K3 surface \( X \) a primitive embedding \( S \subset S_X \) is fixed where \( S_X \) is the Picard lattice of \( X \). We say that such \( X \) is degenerate (or it belongs to the discriminant) if there exists \( \delta \in (S)_{S_X}^\perp \) such that \( \delta^2 = -2 \). By geometry of K3 surfaces, it then follows that \( X \) has no polarization \( h \) from \( S \). By the Global Torelli Theorem [25] and epimorphicity of the period map for K3 surfaces [17], moduli of such K3 surfaces are covered by the corresponding hermitian symmetric domains, and algebraic functions on moduli are the corresponding automorphic forms on these domains. A holomorphic automorphic form is called discriminant if the support of its zero divisor is equal to the preimage of the discriminant of moduli of such K3 surfaces. If a discriminant automorphic form exists, the discriminant is then called automorphic.

For example, for \( S = Zh \) of the rank one with \( h^2 = n \) where \( n \geq 2 \) is even (that is, for usual polarized K3 surfaces), it is well known that the discriminant automorphic form exists for \( n = 2 \). Borcherds constructed the discriminant automorphic form for \( n = 2 \) explicitly (see [2, pp. 200–201]). It was shown in [24] that for an infinite number of even \( n \geq 2 \) the discriminant automorphic form does not exist (probably, it was the first result in this direction). Later, Looijenga [18] showed that the discriminant automorphic form does not exist and the discriminant is not automorphic for all \( n > 2 \).

Here, we find examples of automorphic discriminants for \( S \)-polarized K3 surfaces with \( \operatorname{rk} S \geq 2 \). See some related finiteness results in Ma [19].

In Section 2 we give necessary definitions for \( S \)-polarized K3 surfaces and their discriminants and automorphic discriminants.

In Section 3 we prove the main Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 which give six series of even hyperbolic lattices \( S \) of \( \operatorname{rk} S \geq 2 \) such that \( S \)-polarized K3 surfaces have an automorphic
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discriminant. They are given in Tables 1–6. All these examples are related to Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras constructed in [13], which are hyperbolic automorphic Kac–Moody (Lie super) algebras. The corresponding discriminant automorphic forms are given in [13]. They define such Kac–Moody algebras \( g \) and give their denominator identities.

It would be interesting to understand the geometric meaning of these automorphic forms and Kac–Moody algebras for the geometry of the corresponding K3 surfaces. For example, we know that if the weight of the discriminant automorphic form is larger than the dimension of the moduli space, then the moduli space is at least uniruled (see Theorem 3.4 in §3).

A preliminary variant of this paper was published as a preprint [14].

2. Lattice-polarized K3 surfaces and their moduli and discriminants

We refer to [21] about lattices. We recall that a lattice \( M \) (equivalently, a non-degenerate integral symmetric bilinear form) means that \( M \) is a free \( \mathbb{Z} \)-module \( M \) of a finite rank with symmetric \( \mathbb{Z} \)-bilinear non-degenerate pairing \( x \cdot y \in \mathbb{Z} \) for \( x, y \in M \). By signature of \( M \), we mean the signature of the corresponding real form \( M \otimes \mathbb{R} \) over \( \mathbb{R} \) (that is, the numbers \( (t_{+}), (t_{-}) \) of positive and negative squares respectively).

A lattice \( M \) of the signature \( (1, \text{rk} \, M - 1) \) is called hyperbolic. A lattice \( M \) is called even if \( x^2 = x \cdot x \) is even for any \( x \in M \). By \( O(M) \), we denote the automorphism group of a lattice \( M \). Each element \( \delta \in M \) with \( 0 \neq \delta^2 \) and \( \delta^2|2(\delta \cdot M) \) (called the root) defines the reflection \( s_\delta : x \mapsto x - [2(x \cdot \delta)/\delta^2] \delta \) for \( x \in M \). Evidently, \( s_\delta \in O(M) \), \( s_\delta(\delta) = -\delta \) and \( s_\delta \) is identical on \( \delta^2 M \). By \( W^{(2)}(M) \subset O(M) \), we denote the subgroup generated by reflections in all elements \( \delta \in M \) with \( \delta^2 = -2 \) (they are all roots).

Let \( S \) be a hyperbolic lattice. Let

\[
V(S) = \{ x \in S \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 > 0 \}
\]

be the cone of \( S \). It has two connected components \( V^+(S) \) and \( V^-(S) = -V^+(S) \). We fix one of them, \( V^+(S) \), and the corresponding hyperbolic space \( \mathcal{L}(S) = V^+(S)/\mathbb{R}_{++} \). Here \( \mathbb{R}_{++} \) denotes all positive real numbers, and \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) denotes all non-negative real numbers. Let \( \text{Amp}(S), \text{Amp}(S)/\mathbb{R}_{++} \) be the interior of a fundamental chamber for the reflection group \( W^{(2)}(S) \) in \( V^+(S) \) and \( \mathcal{L}(S) \) respectively. We fix one of them. Thus, we fix the pair \( (V^+(S), \text{Amp}(S)) \). It is defined uniquely up to the action of \( O(S) \). We call the pair \( \text{the ample cone of } S \). It is equivalent to \( \text{Amp}(S) \) or \( \text{Amp}(S)/\mathbb{R}_{++} \).

Let \( X \) be a Kählerian K3 surface (for example, see [4, 17, 25–27] about such surfaces); that is, \( X \) is a non-singular compact complex surface with trivial canonical class \( K_X \) (equivalently, \( 0 \neq \omega_X \in H^{2,0}(X) = \Omega^2[X] \) has the zero divisor) and such that the irregularity \( q(X) \) is equal to 0 (equivalently, \( X \) has no non-zero holomorphic 1-dimensional holomorphic forms). Then \( H^{2,0}(X) \cong \mathbb{C} \omega_X \) and \( H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) with the intersection pairing is an even unimodular (that is, with the determinant \( \pm 1 \)) lattice \( L_{K3} \) of the signature \((3, 19)\). The primitive sublattice

\[
S_X = H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{1,1}(X) = \{ x \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \mid x \cdot \omega_X = 0 \} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})
\]

is the Picard lattice of \( X \) generated by the first Chern classes of all line bundles over \( X \). Here primitive means that \( H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})/S_X \) has no torsion. By the definition, \( S_X \) can be either negative definite or semi-negative definite or hyperbolic lattice. By Kodaira, the last case is exactly the case when \( X \) is projective algebraic.

Further, we assume that \( X \) is algebraic. We denote by \( V^+(S_X) = V(X) \) the half cone of \( S_X \) which contains a polarization of \( X \), and by \( \text{Amp}(X) \subset V(X) \) the ample cone of \( X \). Then \( \text{Amp}(S_X) = \text{Amp}(X) \) gives an ample cone of \( S_X \); see [25].

Further, we fix an even hyperbolic lattice \( S \) and its ample cone \( \text{Amp}(S) \).
We remind the reader (e.g., see [6], [7], [20]) that a K3 surface $X$ is called $S$-polarized if a primitive embedding $S \subset S_X$ of lattices is fixed such that $\text{Amp}(S) \cap \text{Amp}(X) \neq \emptyset$.

If instead of the last condition only the conditions $\text{Amp}(S) \cap \text{Amp}(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{Amp}(S) \cap \text{Amp}(X) = \emptyset$ are satisfied, then we say that $X$ is a degenerate $S$-polarized K3 surface; equivalently, $X$ belongs to the discriminant of moduli of $S$-polarized K3 surfaces. By geometry of K3 surfaces (see [25]), it happens only if there exists $\delta \in (S)^*_{S_X}$ such that $\delta^2 = -2$.

By the Global Torelli Theorem for K3 surfaces [25] and epimorphicity of the period map [17] for K3 surfaces, each point of $\text{Mod}(S)$ and $\text{Mod}(\text{Amp}(X))$ has elements $\delta$ with $\delta^2 = -2$ and $\text{Amp}(X) \cap \text{Amp}(S) \neq \emptyset$, and for degenerate $S$-polarized K3 surfaces $X$ the $(S)^*_{S_X}$ has elements $\delta$ with $\delta^2 = -2$ and only $\text{Amp}(S) \cap \text{Amp}(X) \neq \emptyset$ is valid; equivalently, $X$ belongs to the discriminant of moduli of $S$-polarized K3 surfaces.

For an $S$-polarized K3 surface $X$, let us consider periods

$$H^{2,0}(X) = \mathbb{C} \omega_X \subset T_X \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset T \otimes \mathbb{C},$$

where $T_X = (S_X)^*_{H^2(X,\mathbb{Z})}$ is the transcendental lattice of $X$ and $T = (S)^*_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the transcendental lattice of the $S$-polarization. The periods give a point in the IV type Hermitian symmetric domain

$$\Omega(T) = \{ \mathbb{C} \omega \subset T \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid \omega \cdot \omega = 0 \text{ and } \omega \cdot \overline{\omega} > 0 \}^+, \tag{2.1}$$

where $+$ means a choice of one of two connected components. This point belongs to the complement of the discriminant

$$\text{Discr}(T) = \bigcup_{\beta \in T^{(2)}} D_\beta,$$

where $D_\beta = \{ \mathbb{C} \omega \in \Omega(T) \mid \omega \cdot \beta = 0 \}$ is the rational quadratic divisor which is orthogonal to $\beta \in T$ with $\beta^2 < 0$; we recall that $\beta^2 = -2$ for $\beta \in T^{(2)}$. Of course, $D_\beta = D_{-\beta}$, and we identify $\pm \beta$ in this definition. Further,

$$O^+(T) = \{ g \in O(T) \mid g(\Omega(T)) = \Omega(T) \}$$

is the group of automorphisms of $T$ which preserve the connected component $\Omega(T)$.

By considering all possible isomorphism classes $T_1, \ldots, T_n$ of the transcendental lattice $T$ for all primitive embeddings $S \subset L_{K3}$, we correspond to an $S$-polarized K3 surface $X$ a point in

$$\text{Mod}(S) = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} G_k \backslash (\Omega(T_k) - \text{Discr}(T_k)),$$  

where $G_k \subset O^+(T_k)$ is an appropriate finite index subgroup. By the Global Torelli Theorem [25] and epimorphicity of the period map [17] for K3 surfaces, each point of $\text{Mod}(S)$ corresponds to some $S$-polarized K3 surface $X$.

We recall that a holomorphic function $\Phi$ on the affine cone

$$\Omega(T)^* = \{ \omega \in T \otimes \mathbb{C} \mid \omega \cdot \omega = 0 \text{ and } \omega \cdot \overline{\omega} > 0 \}^+$$

over $\Omega(T)$ is called an automorphic form on $\Omega(T)$ of a weight $d \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\Phi$ is homogeneous of the degree $(-d)$ with respect to the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$, and it is symmetric with respect to a subgroup $H \subset O^+(T)$ of finite index.

Finally, we can give a definition:

**Definition 2.1.** We fix an even hyperbolic lattice $S$.

We say that $S$-polarized K3 surfaces have an **automorphic discriminant** if for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ in (2.2) there exists a holomorphic automorphic form on $\Omega(T_k)$ such that the
support of its zero divisor is equal to \( \text{Discr}(T_k) \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). Then we call this automorphic form a **discriminant automorphic form**.

The **stable orthogonal group**

\[
\tilde{O}^+(T) = \{ g \in O^+(T) \mid g|_{T^*/T} = \text{id} \}
\]

is a subgroup of finite index of \( O^+(T) \). For a primitive embedding \( S \subset L_{K3} \) and \( T = (S)\frac{1}{L_{K3}} \), the group \( \tilde{O}^+(T) \) consists of automorphisms from \( O^+(T) \) which can be continued to an element of \( O(L_{K3}) \) identically on \( S \). Thus, we can assume that \( \tilde{O}^+(T_k) \subset G_k \).

3. **Lattice-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant related to Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras with Weyl groups of 2-reflections**

Below, we use the following notation for lattices. We use \( \oplus \) for the orthogonal sum of lattices. By \( tM \), we denote the orthogonal sum of \( t \) copies of a lattice \( M \). By \( A_k, k \geq 1, D_m, m \geq 4, E_l, l = 6, 7, 8 \), we denote the standard root lattices with Dynkin diagrams \( A_k, D_m, E_l \) respectively and the roots with square \( (-2) \). For a lattice \( M \), we denote by \( M(t) \) the lattice which is obtained from \( M \) by multiplication by \( t \in \mathbb{Q} \) of the bilinear form of the lattice \( M \) if the form of \( M(t) \) remains integral. By \( \langle A \rangle \), we denote the lattice with the symmetric matrix \( A \). Thus,

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

is an even unimodular lattice of the signature \((1, 1)\). For example, \( L_{K3} = 3U \oplus 2E_8 \).

We recall that for an integer lattice \( M \) we have the canonical embedding \( M \subset M^* = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z}) \). It defines a (finite) discriminant group \( A_M = M^*/M \). By continuing the symmetric bilinear form of the lattice \( M \) to \( M^* \), we obtain a finite symmetric bilinear form \( b_M \) on \( A_M \) with values in \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \) and a finite quadratic form \( q_M \) on \( A_M \) with values in \( \mathbb{Q}/2\mathbb{Z} \) if \( M \) is even. These are called the **discriminant forms of the lattice** \( M \).

If there are no other conditions, by \( (M)_{\perp}^+ \) we mean an orthogonal complement to a lattice \( M \) in a lattice \( L \) for some primitive embedding \( M \subset L \). For most cases of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 below, the orthogonal complement is unique up to isomorphism. For other cases, it does not matter which isomorphism class we shall take.

We have the following six series of examples of even hyperbolic lattices \( S \) of \( \text{rk} S \geq 2 \) such that \( S \)-polarized K3 surfaces have an automorphic discriminant.

**Theorem 3.1.** For hyperbolic lattices \( S \) which are given in the last columns of the Tables 1–6 below, \( S \)-polarized K3 surfaces have an automorphic discriminant. We also give the discriminant quadratic form \( q_S \) of \( S \) in notation of [5]. The even hyperbolic lattice \( S \) is defined by its rank and \( q_S \) uniquely up to isomorphism (see proofs below).

For all these cases, the transcendental lattice \( T = (S)\frac{1}{L_{K3}} \), where \( L_{K3} = 3U \oplus 2E_8 \), is unique up to isomorphism, and its isomorphism class is equal to \( T = U(m) \oplus S_{\text{mir}} \), where the hyperbolic lattice \( S_{\text{mir}} \) is shown in the first column and \( m \) is shown in the second column of the table in the same line as \( S \).

**Theorem 3.2.** For all cases of Theorem 3.1 the discriminant automorphic form \( \Phi(z) \) has the Fourier expansion with integral coefficients at the zero dimensional cusps defined by the decomposition \( T = U(m) \oplus S_{\text{mir}} \) (see [13]), \( z \in S_{\text{mir}} \otimes \mathbb{R} \pm \sqrt{-1} V^+(S_{\text{mir}}) \). The Fourier coefficients define a Lorentzian (hyperbolic and automorphic) Kac–Moody superalgebra \( g \), which is graded by the hyperbolic lattice \( S_{\text{mir}} \). The \( \Phi(z) \) has an infinite product (Borcherds) expansion which gives multiplicities of roots of this algebra. See [1], [2], [15], [16].
The divisor of $\Phi(z)$ is the sum of rational quadratic divisors $D_\alpha$, $\alpha \in T^{(2)}$, with multiplicities one.

The $S^{\text{mir}}$-polarized K3 surfaces can be considered as mirror symmetric to $S$-polarized K3 surfaces by mirror symmetry considered in [8, 7, 11, 12]. They have the remarkable property that there exists $\rho \in S^{\text{mir}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\rho \cdot E = 1$ for each irreducible non-singular rational curve $E \subset X$ with $S_X = S^{\text{mir}}$ (for $\rho^2 > 0$ and $\text{rk} S^{\text{mir}} = 4$, such that $S^{\text{mir}}$ are in the list of 14 lattices which were found by É. B. Vinberg in [28]; about other $S^{\text{mir}}$ see [22] and [23]).

Proof. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are mainly reformulations of the results of [13] using the discriminant forms technique for integer lattices which was developed in [21].

Let $S$ be a lattice of one of Tables 1–6. By results of [21], we have $T = (S)^{3U \oplus 2E_8}_3 \cong U(m) \oplus S^{\text{mir}}$, where $S^{\text{mir}}$ and $m$ are shown in the same line of the table as $S$. Here, it is important that the discriminant quadratic forms $q_T$ and $q_S$ are related as $q_T \cong -q_S$ since $T \perp S$ in the unimodular lattice $3U \oplus 2E_8$. Vice versa, $(S)^{3U \oplus 2E_8}_3 = T$ and $(T)^{3U \oplus 2E_8}_3 = S$ for some primitive embeddings $S \subset 3U \oplus 2E_8$ and $T \subset 3U \oplus 2E_8$ if signatures of $T$, $S$ and $3U \oplus 2E_8$ agree and $q_T \cong -q_S$. The signature $(t_{(+)}, t_{(-)})$ together with the discriminant quadratic form $q$ defines the genus of an even lattice. Theorem 1.13.1 in [21] (which uses results by M. Kneser) gives conditions when an even indefinite lattice with the invariants $(t_{(+)}, t_{(-)}, q)$ is unique up to isomorphism.

For all $S^{\text{mir}}$ and $m$ which are shown in Tables 1–6, the automorphic form $\Phi(z)$ with the properties mentioned in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 is constructed in [13]. For lattices of Table 1, it is done in [13] Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.1; of Table 2, in [13] Theorem 4.4; of Table 3, in [13] Example 6.1 and Theorem 6.1]. The last case $S^{\text{mir}} = U \oplus E_8(2)$ and $m = 2$ of this table is related to Enriques surfaces and was considered by Borcherds in [7] and also in [8]. For lattices of Table 4, the automorphic form $\Phi(z)$ is constructed in [13] Theorems 6.2 and 6.3; of Table 5, in [13] Lemma 6.4; of Table 6, in [13] Theorem 6.5.

By results of [21] which were mentioned above, we have that $S = (T)^{3U \oplus 2E_8}_3$ is unique up to isomorphism, and $S$ is shown in the tables.

These considerations give the proof. \hfill \square

In many cases, existence of the automorphic discriminant tells us that the moduli space of the corresponding $S$-polarized K3 surfaces has a special geometry. We recall that an algebraic variety $V$ is called uniruled if there exists a dominant rational map $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \to V$ where $Y$ is an algebraic variety with $\dim Y = \dim V - 1$. The following criterion is valid.

**Theorem 3.3** (See [9] Theorem 2.1]). Let $\Omega(T)$ be a connected component of the type IV domain associated to a lattice $T$ of signature $(2, n)$ with $n \geq 3$ and let $\Gamma \subset O^+(T)$ be an arithmetic subgroup of finite index of the orthogonal group. Let $\tilde{B} = \sum_r D_r \in \Omega(T)$ be the divisorial part of the ramification locus of the quotient map $\Omega(T) \to \Gamma \backslash \Omega(T)$. (This means that the reflection $s_r$ or $-s_r$ belongs to $\Gamma$.) Assume that a modular form $F_k$ with respect to $\Gamma$ of weight $k$ with a (finite order) character exists, such that $\{F_k = 0\} = \sum_r m_r D_r$ where the $m_r$ are non-negative integers. Let $m = \max\{m_r\}$ ($m > 0$ by Koecher’s principle). If $k > m \cdot n$, then $\Gamma \backslash D$ is uniruled for every arithmetic group $\Gamma'$ containing $\Gamma$.

Using this criterion, we obtain

**Theorem 3.4.** The moduli space of $S$-polarized K3 surfaces is at least uniruled if $S$ is any lattice of Table 1 and Table 2, a lattice from the first five lines of Table 3 (till the lattice $\langle 2 \rangle \oplus 5A_1$), the first two lines of Table 4, and the first two lines of Tables 5 and 6.
Table 1. S-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant.

| $S^{mir}$ | $T = U(m) \oplus S^{mir}$ | weight of $\Phi(z)$ | $S = (T)^{-1}_{3U \oplus 2E_8}$ | $qs$ |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| $U \oplus A_1$ | $m = 1$ | 35 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_7$ | $2^1_1$ |
| $U \oplus 2A_1$ | $m = 1$ | 34 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus D_6$ | $2^1_2$ |
| $U \oplus A_2$ | $m = 1$ | 45 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_6$ | $3^1_1$ |
| $U \oplus 3A_1$ | $m = 1$ | 33 | $U \oplus E_7 \oplus D_6$ | $2^1_3$ |
| $U \oplus A_3$ | $m = 1$ | 54 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus D_5$ | $4^1_3$ |
| $U \oplus 4A_1$ | $m = 1$ | 32 | $U \oplus D_6 \oplus D_6$ | $2^1_4$ |
| $U \oplus 2A_2$ | $m = 1$ | 42 | $U \oplus E_6 \oplus E_6$ | $3^2_2$ |
| $U \oplus A_4$ | $m = 1$ | 62 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus A_4$ | $5^1_1$ |
| $U \oplus D_4$ | $m = 1$ | 72 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus D_4$ | $2^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus 2A_3$ | $m = 1$ | 48 | $\langle 2 \oplus E_8 \oplus D_4 \rangle$ | $4^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus A_6$ | $m = 1$ | 75 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus \langle -2 \oplus \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{matrix} \rangle$ | $7^1_1$ |
| $U \oplus D_6$ | $m = 1$ | 102 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus 2A_1$ | $2^1_2$ |
| $U \oplus E_6$ | $m = 1$ | 120 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus A_2$ | $3^1_1$ |
| $U \oplus A_7$ | $m = 1$ | 80 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus (-8)$ | $8^1_3$ |
| $U \oplus D_7$ | $m = 1$ | 114 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus (-4)$ | $4^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus E_7$ | $m = 1$ | 165 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus A_1$ | $2^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus 2D_4$ | $m = 1$ | 60 | $U \oplus 2D_4$ | $2^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus D_8$ | $m = 1$ | 124 | $U \oplus D_8$ | $2^1_{12}$ |
| $U \oplus E_8$ | $m = 1$ | 252 | $U \oplus E_8$ | $0$ |
| $U(2) \oplus 2D_4$ | $m = 1$ | 28 | $U(2) \oplus 2D_4$ | $2^1_{16}$ |
| $U \oplus 2E_8$ | $m = 1$ | 132 | $U$ | $0$ |

Table 2. S-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant.

| $S^{mir}$ | $T = U(m) \oplus S^{mir}$ | weight of $\Phi(z)$ | $S = (T)^{-1}_{3U \oplus 2E_8}$ | $qs$ |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------|
| $U$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_8$ | $0$ |
| $U \oplus A_1(2)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus D_7$ | $2^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus A_1(3)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus E_6 \oplus A_1$ | $2^1_{11}, 3^1$ |
| $U \oplus A_1(4)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus A_7$ | $8^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus 2A_1(2)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus D_7 \oplus D_7$ | $4^1_{12}$ |
| $U \oplus A_2(2)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus D_4 \oplus A_2$ | $2^1_{11}, 3^1$ |
| $U \oplus A_2(3)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus (A_2(3))_{E_8}$ | $3^1, 9^1$ |
| $U \oplus A_3(2)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus (A_3(2))_{E_8}$ | $2^1_{11}, 8^1_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus D_4(2)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8 \oplus D_4(2)$ | $2^1_{11}, 4^1_{12}$ |
| $U \oplus E_8(2)$ | $m = 1$ | 12 | $U \oplus E_8(2)$ | $2^1_{11}^5$ |
Table 3. $S$-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant.

| $S^{mir}$ | $T = U(m) \oplus S^{mir}$ | weight of $\Phi(z)$ | $S = (T)_{3U \oplus 2E_8}^1$ | $qs$ |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----|
| $(2) \oplus A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 12 | $U(2) \oplus E_8 \oplus E_7 \oplus A_1$ | $2_0^+T_4$ |
| $(2) \oplus 2A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 11 | $U(2) \oplus E_7 \oplus E_7 \oplus A_1$ | $2_1^+T_5$ |
| $(2) \oplus 3A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 10 | $U(2) \oplus E_7 \oplus D_6 \oplus A_1$ | $2_2^-T_6$ |
| $(2) \oplus 4A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 9 | $U(2) \oplus D_6 \oplus D_6 \oplus A_1$ | $2_3^-T_7$ |
| $(2) \oplus 5A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 8 | $U \oplus D_6 \oplus 6A_1$ | $2_4^-T_8$ |
| $(2) \oplus 6A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 7 | $U(2) \oplus D_6 \oplus 5A_1$ | $2_5^-T_9$ |
| $(2) \oplus 7A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 6 | $U(2) \oplus D_4 \oplus 6A_1$ | $2_6^-T_{10}$ |
| $(2) \oplus 8A_1$ | $m = 2$ | 5 | $U(2) \oplus E_8(2) \oplus A_1$ | $2_7^-T_{11}$ |
| $U \oplus E_8(2)$ | $m = 2$ | 4 | $U(2) \oplus E_8(2) \oplus A_1$ | $2_8^-T_{12}$ |

Table 4. $S$-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant.

| $S^{mir}$ | $T = U(m) \oplus S^{mir}$ | weight of $\Phi(z)$ | $S = (T)_{3U \oplus 2E_8}^1$ | $qs$ |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----|
| $U(2) \oplus D_4$ | $m = 1$ | 40 | $U(2) \oplus E_8 \oplus D_4$ | $2_0^-T_4$ |
| $U(2) \oplus D_4$ | $m = 2$ | 24 | $U \oplus 3D_4$ | $2_1^-T_5$ |
| $U(4) \oplus D_4$ | $m = 4$ | 6 | $U(4) \oplus (U(4) \oplus D_4)_{\hat{U} \oplus 2E_8}$ | $2_2^-T_{16}$ |

Table 5. $S$-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant.

| $S^{mir}$ | $T = U(m) \oplus S^{mir}$ | weight of $\Phi(z)$ | $S = (T)_{3U \oplus 2E_8}^1$ | $qs$ |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----|
| $U(4) \oplus A_1$ | $m = 4$ | 5 | $U(4) \oplus (U(4) \oplus E_8)_{\hat{U} \oplus 2E_8}$ | $2_0^+T_{14}$ |
| $U(4) \oplus 2A_1$ | $m = 4$ | 4 | $U(4) \oplus (U(4) \oplus D_6)_{\hat{U} \oplus 2E_8}$ | $2_1^+T_{15}$ |
| $U(4) \oplus 3A_1$ | $m = 4$ | 3 | $U(4) \oplus (U(4) \oplus A_1)_{\hat{D}_4 \oplus 2A_1}$ | $2_3^+T_{16}$ |
| $U(4) \oplus 4A_1$ | $m = 4$ | 2 | $U(4) \oplus (U(4) \oplus A_1)_{\hat{D}_4 \oplus 2A_1}$ | $2_4^+T_{17}$ |

Table 6. $S$-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant.

| $S^{mir}$ | $T = U(m) \oplus S^{mir}$ | weight of $\Phi(z)$ | $S = (T)_{3U \oplus 2E_8}^1$ | $qs$ |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----|
| $U(3) \oplus A_2$ | $m = 3$ | 9 | $U(3) \oplus (U(3) \oplus E_6)_{\hat{D}_4 \oplus 2A_2}$ | $3^{-5}$ |
| $U(3) \oplus 2A_2$ | $m = 3$ | 6 | $U(3) \oplus (U(3) \oplus 2A_2)_{\hat{D}_4 \oplus 2A_2}$ | $3^{-6}$ |
| $U(3) \oplus 3A_2$ | $m = 3$ | 3 | $U(3) \oplus (U(3) \oplus 2A_2)_{\hat{D}_4 \oplus 2A_2}$ | $3^{-7}$ |

**Proof.** The moduli space of $S$-polarized K3 surfaces is defined in [22]. For any lattice $S$ in Tables 1–6, there is only one isomorphism class of the corresponding lattices $T$; i.e., there is only one term in (2.2). The modular group $G = G_1$ of the moduli space always contains the stable orthogonal group $\hat{O}^+(T)$ acting trivially on the discriminant quadratic form of $T$. The divisor $D_r$ with $r^2 = -2$, $r \in T$, always belongs to the ramification divisor since $s_r \in \hat{O}^+(T)$. Remark that $\hat{O}^+(T)$ is generated by $-2$-reflections for the most part of the lattices from Tables 1 and 2 (see [10]). By construction (see [13, §4]), any discriminant automorphic form from Tables 1 and 2 is a modular form with respect to $\hat{O}^+(T)$ with the
character det, and with the simplest possible divisor \( \text{Discr}(T) \) of multiplicity one. The weight of the discriminant automorphic form is shown in the tables. If the dimension \( n \) of the moduli space is larger than 2, we apply Theorem 3.3. If \( n = 1 \) or 2, the corresponding modular varieties are at least unirational.

The construction of the discriminant automorphic forms of Table 3 uses the isomorphism

\[
O(U(2) \oplus (\langle 2 \rangle \oplus (k + 1)(-2))) \cong O(U \oplus (1 \oplus (k + 1)(-1))) \cong O(U \oplus U \oplus D_k)
\]

(see [13, Lemma 6.1]). Moreover, reflections with respect to \(-2\)-elements of \( \langle 2 \rangle \oplus (k + 1)(-2) \) correspond to reflections with respect to \(-4\)-roots of \( U \oplus D_k \) or \(-1\)-roots of \( U \oplus D_k^* \). If \( k \neq 4 \), then all \(-1\)-roots of \( 2U \oplus D_k^* \) belong to a unique \( \widetilde{O}^+(2U \oplus D_k) \)-orbit which is equal to the set of \(-1\)-elements in \( 2U \oplus k(-1) \). If \( k = 4 \), then there are three such \( \widetilde{O}^+(2U \oplus D_4) \)-orbits, and each of them coincides with the \(-1\)-elements in \( 2U \oplus k(-1) \).

The discriminant automorphic forms of Table 3 (see [13, §6]) are modular with respect to the full orthogonal group \( O^+(2U \oplus D_k) \) if \( k \neq 4 \) and with a subgroup \( \widetilde{O}^+(2U \oplus D_4) \) containing \( \widetilde{O}^+(U(2) \oplus (\langle 2 \rangle \oplus (5\langle -2 \rangle)) \). If \( k \leq 5 \), then the weight of the discriminant automorphic form is strictly larger than the dimension of the moduli space.

Similar arguments work for the remaining cases of the modular forms constructed in [13, §§6.3–6.5].

Remark. In each table 3–6, there exists one discriminant automorphic form with weight which is equal to the dimension of the homogeneous domain. It follows that the Kodaira dimension of a finite quotient of the corresponding moduli space is equal to 0. (See the criterion in [8] and [9, Theorem 1.3].) We hope to consider these cases in detail later.

References

[1] R.E. Borcherds, Generalized Kac–Moody algebras. J. Algebra 115 (1988), 501–512. MR0943273
[2] R.E. Borcherds, Automorphic forms on \( O_{s+2,2}(R) \) and infinite products. Invent. Math. 120 (1995), 161–213. MR1323986
[3] R.E. Borcherds, The moduli space of Enriques surfaces and the fake monster Lie superalgebra. Topology 35 (1996), 699–710. MR1396773
[4] D. Burns and M. Rapoport, On the Torelli problem for Kählerian K-3 surfaces. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4° ser. 8 (1975), 235–274. MR0447635
[5] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Sphere packings, lattices and groups. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 290. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988. MR0920309
[6] I.V. Dolgachev, Mirror symmetry for lattice polarized K3 surfaces. Alg. Geom., 4, J. Math. Sci. 81 (1996) (see also arXiv:alg-geom/9502005). MR1420220
[7] I. Dolgachev, V. Nikulin, Exceptional singularities of V.I. Arnold and K3 surfaces. Proc. USSR Topological Conference in Minsk, 1977.
[8] V. Gritsenko, Reflective modular forms in algebraic geometry. arXiv:math/1005.3753, 28 pp.
[9] V. Gritsenko and K. Hulek, Uniruledness of orthogonal modular varieties. J. Algebraic Geometry 23 (2014), 711–725. MR3263666
[10] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, and G.K. Sankaran, Abelianisation of orthogonal groups and the fundamental varieties. J. of Algebra 322 (2009), 463–478. MR2529099
[11] V.A. Gritsenko and V.V. Nikulin, K3 surfaces, Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras and mirror symmetry. Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996) (2), 211–229 (see also arXiv:alg-geom/9510008). MR1386841
[12] V.A. Gritsenko and V.V. Nikulin, The arithmetic mirror symmetry and Calabi–Yau manifolds. Comm. Math. Phys. 210 (2000), 1–11 (see also arXiv:alg-geom/9612002). MR1748167
[13] V.A. Gritsenko and V.V. Nikulin, Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras with Weyl groups of 2-reflections. Preprint, 2016, arXiv:1602.08359, 75 pages.
[14] V.A. Gritsenko and V.V. Nikulin, Examples of lattice-polarized K3 surfaces with automorphic discriminant, and Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras. Preprint, 2017, arXiv:1702.07551, 15 pages.
[15] V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990. MR1044408
[16] V. Kac, Lie superalgebras. Adv. Math. 26 (1977), 8–96. MR0486011
[17] Vic. S. Kulikov, Degenerations of K3 surfaces and Enriques surfaces. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 41 (1977), no. 5, 1008–1042; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 11 (1977) no. 5, 957–989. MR0506296

[18] E. Looijenga, Compactifications defined by arrangements II: locally symmetric varieties of type IV. Duke Math. J. 119 (2003), no. 3, 527–588 (see also arXiv:math/0201218). MR2003125

[19] Shouhei Ma, On the Kodaira dimension of orthogonal modular varieties. arXiv:1701.03225, 47 pp.

[20] V.V. Nikulin, Finite automorphism groups of Kähler K3 surfaces, Trudy Mosk. Mat. ob-va V. 38 (1979), 75–137; English transl. in Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. V. 38 (1980), 71–135. MR544937

[21] V.V. Nikulin, Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 43 (1979), 111–177; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 14 (1980). MR525944

[22] V.V. Nikulin, On the quotient groups of the automorphism groups of hyperbolic forms by the subgroups generated by 2-reflections. Algebraic-geometric applications. Current Problems in Math. Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Techn. Informatsii, Moscow 18 (1981), 3–114; English transl. in J. Soviet Math. 22 (1983), 1401–1476. MR633160

[23] V.V. Nikulin, Surfaces of type K3 with finite automorphism group and Picard group of rank three. Proc. Steklov Math. Inst. 165 (1984), 113–142; English transl. in Trudy Inst. Steklov 3 (1985). MR752938

[24] V.V. Nikulin, A remark on discriminants of moduli of K3 surfaces as sets of zeros of automorphic forms. Algebraic Geometry–4, Itogi nauki i techn. Ser. contemporary mathematics and applications. 33, VINITI, Moscow, 242–250; English translation in J. Math. Sci., 81:3 (1996), 2738–2743 (see also arXiv:alg-geom/9512018). MR1420226

[25] I.I. Pjatetski-Šapiro and I.R. Šafarevič, A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type K3. Izv. AN SSSR. Ser. mat. 35 (1971), no. 3, 530–572; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 5 (1971), no. 3, 547–588. MR0284440

[26] Y. Siu, A simple proof of the surjectivity of the period map of K3 surfaces. Manuscripta Math. 35 (1981), no. 3, 311–321. MR636458

[27] A. Todorov, Applications of the Kähler–Einstein–Calabi–Yau metric to moduli of K3 surfaces. Invent. Math. 61 (1981), no. 3, 251–265. MR592693

[28] E.B. Vinberg, Classification of 2-reflective hyperbolic lattices of rank 4. Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs. 68 (2007), 44–76; English transl. in Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (2007), 39–66. MR2429266

Laboratoire Paul Painlevé et IUF, Université de Lille 1, France – and – National Research University “Higher School of Economics”, Russian Federation

Email address: Valery.Gritsenko@math.univ-lille1.fr

Steklov Mathematical Institute, ul. Gubkina 8, Moscow 117966, GSP-1, Russia – and – Department of Pure Mathematics, The University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

Email address: nikulin@mi.ras.ru, vvnikulin@list.ru, vnikulin@liv.ac.uk

Translated by THE AUTHORS

Originally published in Russian