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**References**
Synthesis of \((S)\)-3-amino-4-mercaptobutanoic acid hydrochloride (\(\beta\)-cysteine, 5)

A synthesis of \(\beta\)-cysteine was described by Birkofe and Birkofe (1956) without spectroscopic characterisation data of the final compound. Below is a detailed description of the synthetic route chosen in the present work.

Reagents and conditions: a) \(N\)-methyl morpholine (1.3 equiv), ethyl chloroformate (1.2 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 30 min. then NaBH\(_4\) (2 equiv) in water, 0 °C, 30 min, 73 %; b) DIPEA (1.7 equiv), methanesulfonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), toluene, 0 °C, 2 h, 85 %; c) triphenylmethanethiol (1.8 equiv), KO\(\text{^t}\)Bu (1.6 equiv), THF, 0 °C, 30 min., 71 %; d) triethylsilane/TFA, DCM, 5 h, then anhydrous HCl/Et\(_2\)O, 71 %.

**tert-Butyl \((S)\)-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-hydroxybutanoate (2)**

Ethyl chloroformate (1.90 mL; 20 mmol) was added dropwise to a cooled (0 °C) solution of Boc-\(L\)-aspartic acid 4-tert-butyl ester (1) (5.00 g; 17.3 mmol) and \(N\)-methyl morpholine (2.40 mL; 22.0 mmol) in THF (150 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 minutes when it was pure into a cooled solution of NaBH\(_4\) (1.32 g; 35.0 mmol) in water (50 mL) with intensive stirring. The resulting emulsion was stirred intensively for 30 minutes and THF was subsequently removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between brine (100 mL) and EtOAc (200 mL). The organic phase was washed with aq KHSO\(_4\) (5 %; 2 x 100 mL), saturated aq NaHCO\(_3\) (100 mL) and brine (100 mL) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (mobile phase hexanes/EtOAc with gradient from 4/1 to 1/1). 3.46 g (73 %) of colourless amorphous solid was obtained. For \(^1\)H-NMR data, see Bergeron et al. (1998).

**tert-Butyl \((S)\)-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-(methylsulfonyl)oxy)butanoate (3)**

Methanesulfonyl chloride (1.1 mL; 14 mmol) was added dropwise to a cooled (0 °C) solution of tert-butyl \((S)\)-3-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-hydroxybutanoate (2) (3.25 g; 11.8 mmol) and DIPEA (3.5 mL; 20 mmol) in toluene (150 mL). The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 2
hours. TLC analysis showed complete conversion. The reaction mixture was partitioned between aq KHSO₄ (5 %; 150 mL) and EtOAc (150 mL). The organic phase was washed with aq KHSO₄ (5 %; 100 mL), saturated aq NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and brine (100 mL) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (mobile phase hexanes/EtOAc with gradient from 4/1 to 1/1). 3.56 g (85 %) of yellowish oil was obtained. For ¹H-NMR data, see Kim et al. (2014).

**tert-Butyl (S)-3-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-(tritylthio)butanoate (4)**

Potassium tert-butoxide (1.7g; 15 mmol) was added portionwise to a cooled (-20 °C) solution of triphenylmethanethiol (4.7 g; 17 mmol) in dry THF (150 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred until all solids dissolved and subsequently slowly cannulated into a cooled solution (0 °C) of tert-butyl (S)-3-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-((methylsulfonyl)oxy)butanoate (3) (3.40 g; 9.62 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for about 30 minutes. At this point TLC analysis indicated complete conversion of 3. The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aq NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and THF was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between brine (100 mL) and EtOAc (200 mL). The organic phase was washed with saturated aq NaHCO₃ (100 mL) and brine (100 mL) and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (mobile phase hexanes/EtOAc with gradient from 20/1 to 4/1). 3.62 g (71 %) of white foam was obtained.

**(S)-3-Amino-4-mercaptobutanoic acid hydrochloride (5)**

Trifluoroacetic acid (8 mL) was added to a cooled (0 °C) solution of tert-butyl (S)-3-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-4-(tritylthio)butanoate (4) (3.60 g; 6.75 mmol) and triethylsilane (5 mL) in DCM (30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature 5 h. LC-MS analysis indicated complete conversion. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL) and anhydrous HCl (2 M in ether; 6 mL) was added. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the HCl treatment was repeated 3 times. The glue-like residue was suspended in EtOAc (30 mL) and sonicated 30 minutes which promoted crystallization of the amorphous substance. The reaction product was collected by centrifugation and it was washed with additional EtOAc (2 x 5 mL). After drying under vacuum 1.05 g (91 %) of white microcrystalline solid was obtained.
Figure S1. Mass spectrometric and NMR analysis of (S)-3-amino-4-mercaptopbutanoic acid (β-cysteine). (a) HR-ESI mass spectrum of β-cysteine. The calculated mass is 135.18 Da. (b) and (c) show, respectively, the 1D $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum and $[^{13}$C,$^1$H]-HSQC spectrum recorded of a 5 mM sample of β-cysteine prepared in D$_2$O.
Synthesis of DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$

2,6-pyridinedicarbonitrile (41.0 mg, 0.317 mmol) and L-cysteine hydrochloride (100 mg, 0.634 mmol) were added to 2 ml MeOH/water (1:1). The pH was adjusted to 7 with KOH and the mixture was stirred for 3 days. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified using a 10 g silica gel cartridge (5–20 % water:EtOH) to yield the title compound (44.2 mg, 41 %) as an off-white crystalline powder.

LRMS (ESI) m/z: 338.0 [M+H]$^+$

Figure S2. NMR spectra of 0.6 mM DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$ in D$_2$O at 25 °C. Spectra recorded on a 600 MHz NMR spectrometer. (a) $^1$H-NMR spectrum with presaturation of the HDO signal. Small signals at about 7, 5.4 and 2.9 ppm are from a minor conformer that is in chemical exchange with the main species. (b) [$^{13}$C,$^1$H]-HSQC spectrum. Positive (black) and negative (red) cross-peaks are from CH$_2$ and CH groups, respectively.
**Figure S3.** Deconvoluted intact protein mass spectra of uniformly $^{15}$N-labelled GB1 Q32C. Left panel: before tagging reaction with FDCP. Centre panel: after reaction with FDCP. Right panel: after the complete assembly of the DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$ tag on the protein (expected mass increase 336 Da).

**Figure S4.** Deconvoluted intact protein mass spectra of calmodulin K148U. Left panel: before reaction with FDCP. The calculated mass is 16785.30 Da. Right panel: after the reaction. The reaction was carried out at 25 °C for 10 minutes. The expected mass increase is 128 Da.
**Figure S5.** Deconvoluted intact protein mass spectra illustrating the reactivity of the FDCP tag with cysteine residues of different solvent exposure. The spectra in the left and right panels show the whole-protein mass spectra before and after reaction with the tag, respectively. The expected mass increase upon addition of a single DCP tag is 128 Da. (a) *E. coli* PpiB. The protein contains two buried cysteine residues. The calculated mass of the untagged protein is 18976.32 Da. (b) N-terminal domain of *P. falciparum* Hsp90. The protein contains a single cysteine residue with limited solvent exposure. Calculated mass (without tag): 27016.40 Da. (c) Rat ERp29. The protein contains a single cysteine residue with partial solvent exposure. Calculated mass (without tag): 27415.20 Da. (d) Rat ERp29 G147C/C157S. The protein contains one highly solvent-exposed cysteine residue. Calculated mass (without tag): 26563.28 Da. (e) SARS-2 main protease (M\textsuperscript{pro}). The protein contains 12 cysteine residues, three of which are partially solvent exposed (including the active-site residue C145). Calculated mass (without tag): 33851.55 Da. (f) Intracellular domain of p75\textsuperscript{NTR}. The protein contains two highly solvent-exposed cysteine residues. Calculated mass (without tag): 16606.23 Da.
Figure S6. Deconvoluted intact protein mass spectra showing the reaction of the FDCP tag with cysteine residues in the protein (expected mass increase per DCP tag is 128 Da) and subsequent reaction of each DCP tag with two cysteine molecules (expected mass increase per DCP tag is 208 Da). Left panel: before reaction with the tag. Calculated masses are 42648.53 and 26591.96 Da respectively. Centre panel: after reaction with the FDCP tag. Right panel: after reaction with excess free cysteine to complete the metal binding tag. (a) MBP T237C/T345C. (b) ERp29 G147C/C157S.
Figure S7. UV/Vis absorption spectrum of DCP-(L-Cys)₂. The spectrum was recorded of the DCP-(L-Cys)₂ fraction during a HPLC-MS run, using an Agilent mass spectrometer equipped with a reverse-phase column, a gradient from 5 % MeOH:water to 90 % MeOH:water in the presence of 0.1 % TFA and a temperature of 30 °C. Using a separate sample of DCP reacted with excess L-cysteine, the molar extinction coefficient ε at 280 nm was determined to be 6850 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹. A sample of DCP reacted in the same way with excess L-penicillamine yielded ε₂₈₀ = 5400 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.
| Residue | PCS$_{\text{exp/ppm}}$ | Residue | PCS$_{\text{exp/ppm}}$ | Residue | PCS$_{\text{exp/ppm}}$ |
|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Thr2    | 0.500          | Tyr3    | 0.420          | Thr2    | -0.233         |
| Lys4    | 0.726          | Lys4    | 0.329          | Tyr3    | -0.408         |
| Leu5    | 0.905          | Leu5    | 0.442          | Lys4    | -0.291         |
| Ile6    | 0.538          | Ile6    | 0.298          | Leu5    | -0.423         |
| Leu7    | 0.489          | Leu7    | 0.302          | Ile6    | -0.325         |
| Asn8    | 0.188          | Asn8    | 0.162          | Leu7    | -0.374         |
| Gly9    | 0.093          | Gly9    | 0.125          | Asn8    | -0.297         |
| Gly14   | 0.350          | Gly14   | 0.248          | Gly9    | -0.285         |
| Glu15   | 0.727          | Glu15   | 0.408          | Lys10   | -0.202         |
| Val21   | 1.469          | Thr16   | 0.517          | Thr11   | -0.207         |
| Asp22   | 1.221          | Thr18   | 0.423          | Leu12   | -0.267         |
| Ala23   | 0.713          | Ala20   | 0.671          | Lys13   | -0.274         |
| Thr44   | 0.205          | Val21   | 1.091          | Gly14   | -0.334         |
| Tyr45   | 0.246          | Ala26   | 1.025          | Thr16   | -0.443         |
| Asp46   | 0.380          | Glu27   | 0.697          | Thr17   | -0.296         |
| Asp47   | 0.308          | Lys28   | 0.694          | Thr18   | -0.464         |
| Ala48   | 0.286          | Thr44   | 0.117          | Ala20   | -0.605         |
| Thr49   | 0.319          | Tyr45   | 0.109          | Val21   | -0.638         |
| Lys50   | 0.409          | Asp46   | 0.167          | Thr25   | -0.227         |
| Thr51   | 0.459          | Asp47   | 0.128          | Phe30   | -1.376         |
| Thr53   | 0.348          | Ala48   | 0.118          | Thr44   | -0.103         |
| Val54   | 0.274          | Thr49   | 0.137          | Thr49   | -0.090         |
| Thr55   | 0.056          | Lys50   | 0.175          | Asp46   | -0.097         |
| Thr51   | 0.202          | Lys50   | 0.175          | Asp46   | -0.097         |
| Phe52   | 0.300          | Thr51   | 0.140          | Thr51   | 0.078          |
| Thr53   | 0.180          | Thr53   | -0.176         | Thr53   | 0.083          |
| Val54   | 0.197          | Val54   | -0.293         | Val54   | 0.118          |
| Thr55   | 0.076          | Thr55   | -0.171         | Thr55   | 0.055          |
| Glu56   | -0.204         | Glu56   | -0.204         | Glu56   | 0.109          |
Table S2. PCSs of backbone amide protons generated with TbCl$_3$ and TmCl$_3$ in GB1 Q32C with DCP tags reacted with penicillamines of different chirality.

| Residue | PCS$^{\text{exp}}$/ppm | Residue | PCS$^{\text{exp}}$/ppm | Residue | PCS$^{\text{exp}}$/ppm | Residue | PCS$^{\text{exp}}$/ppm |
|---------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Met1    | 1.622                   | Met1    | -0.787                  | Thr2    | -0.856                  | Thr2    | 0.478                   |
| Thr2    | 1.033                   | Thr2    | -0.519                  | Lys4    | -0.845                  | Lys4    | 0.471                   |
| Tyr3    | 1.505                   | Tyr3    | -0.748                  | Leu5    | -1.065                  | Leu5    | 0.570                   |
| Lys4    | 0.935                   | Lys4    | -0.455                  | Ile6    | -0.696                  | Ile6    | 0.347                   |
| Ile6    | 0.429                   | Ile6    | -0.220                  | Leu7    | -0.686                  | Leu7    | 0.328                   |
| Leu7    | 0.289                   | Leu7    | -0.197                  | Asn8    | -0.507                  | Asn8    | 0.223                   |
| Glu15   | 0.387                   | Glu15   | -0.281                  | Gly9    | -0.432                  | Gly9    | 0.180                   |
| Thr18   | 1.436                   | Thr18   | -0.752                  | Lys10   | -0.297                  | Lys10   | 0.122                   |
| Gly41   | -0.409                  | Thr25   | -0.505                  | Thr11   | -0.279                  | Thr11   | 0.120                   |
| Trp43   | -0.250                  | Gly41   | 0.199                   | Leu12   | -0.351                  | Leu12   | 0.137                   |
| Tyr45   | 0.159                   | Trp43   | 0.127                   | Lys13   | -0.401                  | Lys13   | 0.155                   |
| Asp46   | 0.398                   | Asp46   | -0.162                  | Gly14   | -0.566                  | Gly14   | 0.249                   |
| Asp47   | 0.345                   | Asp47   | -0.521                  | Glu15   | -0.728                  | Glu15   | 0.331                   |
| Ala48   | 0.352                   | Ala48   | -0.153                  | Thr16   | -1.052                  | Thr16   | 0.516                   |
| Thr49   | 0.407                   | Thr49   | -0.186                  | Thr18   | -1.504                  | Thr25   | 0.421                   |
| Lys50   | 0.554                   | Lys50   | -0.254                  | Thr25   | -0.771                  | Gly41   | 0.117                   |
| Thr51   | 0.554                   | Thr51   | -0.254                  | Gly41   | -0.337                  | Asp46   | 0.145                   |
| Phe52   | 0.650                   | Phe52   | -0.300                  | Asp46   | -0.218                  | Asp47   | 0.074                   |
| Thr53   | 0.235                   | Thr53   | -0.101                  | Asp47   | -0.068                  | Ala48   | 0.099                   |
| Ala48   | -0.118                  | Thr49   | 0.137                   |
| Thr49   | -0.221                  | Lys50   | 0.188                   |
| Lys50   | -0.276                  | Thr51   | 0.219                   |
| Thr51   | -0.358                  | Phe52   | 0.350                   |
| Phe52   | -0.633                  | Thr53   | 0.188                   |
| Thr53   | -0.350                  | Glu56   | 0.129                   |
| Val54   | -0.529                  | Thr55   | -0.190                   |
| Glu56   | -0.315                  |
Table S3. $^1D_{HN}$ RDCs of backbone amides of GB1 Q32C with different DCP tags loaded with Tb$^{3+}$ ions.

| Residue | RDC/Hz | Residue | RDC/Hz |
|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Thr2    | 11.1   | Thr2    | -6.6   |
| Leu5    | -11.3  | Lys4    | -6.8   |
| Ile6    | -18.7  | Leu5    | 5.7    |
| Leu7    | -9.5   | Ile6    | 2.0    |
| Asn8    | -11.1  | Leu7    | 5.6    |
| Gly9    | -5.7   | Asn8    | 3.9    |
| Lys10   | 5.3    | Gly9    | 6.7    |
| Thr11   | 2.0    | Lys10   | 2.3    |
| Leu12   | -8.0   | Gly14   | 0.2    |
| Lys13   | -14.6  | Glu15   | -6.2   |
| Gly14   | -12.1  | Val21   | 2.0    |
| Glu15   | -13.4  | Thr44   | 8.3    |
| Thr16   | -17.5  | Tyr45   | -1.1   |
| Thr25   | -2.3   | Asp46   | 4.8    |
| Gly41   | 10.0   | Ala48   | 9.2    |
| Asp46   | -13.3  | Thr49   | -1.0   |
| Asp47   | -10.0  | Lys50   | -5.6   |
| Ala48   | 1.5    | Thr51   | -0.1   |
| Thr49   | 6.0    | Phe52   | 5.1    |
| Lys50   | -14.3  | Thr53   | 5.1    |
| Thr51   | -9.2   | Thr55   | 5.1    |
| Phe52   | -12.5  | Thr53   | -13.9  |
| Thr53   |        | Val54   | -9.9   |
| Thr55   | -9.9   | Glu56   | -2.9   |
| Gly56   |        |         |        |
Figure S8. Echo-detected W-band EPR spectra of ERp29 S114/C157S DCP-(β-Cys)$_2$-Gd (black), MBP T237U/T345U DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$-Gd (red) and MBP T237C/T345C DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$-Gd (blue). All samples targeted a metal-to-tag ratio of 1:1 but contained about 20% excess of Gd$^{3+}$ ions because the protein concentration was overestimated due to neglecting the contribution of the tag to the absorbance at 280 nm.
Figure S9. Primary DEER traces of Fig. 4 in the main text. The background decay is shown as grey lines. (a) ERp29 G147C/C157S with 1.2:1 metal-to-tag ratio. (b) MBP T237U/T345U with a metal-to-tag ratio of 1.2:1. (c) MBP T237C/T345C with a metal-to-tag ratio of 1.2:1. (d) Same as (c), but with a metal-to-tag ratio of 0.6:1.
Figure S10. Distance distributions of Fig. 4 analysed by DeerNet as implemented in DeerAnalysis2022 (Worswick et al., 2018), with colour coding of the reliability regions as defined in DeerAnalysis (Jeschke et al., 2006), corresponding to the DEER evolution time used (green: the shape of the distance distribution is reliable. Yellow: the mean distance and distribution width are reliable. Orange: the mean distance is reliable. Red: long-range distance contributions may be detectable but cannot be quantified). The solid lines represent the distributions with the best r.m.s.d. from the experimental data and the striped regions represent the variation of alternative distributions (±2 times the standard deviation) obtained by varying the parameters of the background correction and noise as calculated by the validation tool in the DeerAnalysis software package. The parameter ranges used for the validations were the default ones: white noise 0–1.5, background start 0.2*t_{max}–0.6*t_{max}, and background dimension 3–3.6.
Figure S11. Raw DEER traces of Fig. 5 in the main text. The background decay is shown as grey lines. (a) ERp29 S114C/C157S. (b) MBP T237C/T345C.

Figure S12. Distance distribution of MBP T237C/T345C (Fig. 5 of the main text) analysed by DeerNet (Worswick et al., 2018) with colour coding of the reliability regions as defined in Fig. S10.
Figure S13. Conformation of the DCP-(β-Cys)$_2$-Gd tag attached to cysteine as used for modelling distance distributions. Dihedral angles $\chi$ of rotatable bonds are labelled. Blue, red, yellow and magenta balls identify atoms of nitrogen, oxygen, sulfur and gadolinium, respectively. The conformation was modelled using ChemDraw, which placed the metal ion 1.9 Å from the pyridine nitrogen and 2.3 Å from the thiazoline nitrogens.
**Figure S14.** Gd$^{3+}$–Gd$^{3+}$ distance distributions calculated with the program PyParaTools (Stanton-Cook et al., 2014) using rotamer libraries of the DCP-Cys$_2$-Gd tag bound to cysteine, generated by varying the $\chi_1$ angle by $\pm 30^\circ$ around the staggered rotamers and allowing free rotation about the $\chi_2$ and $\chi_3$ angles. (a) ERp29 G147C/C157S. (b) MBP T237C/T345C.

**Figure S15.** Gd$^{3+}$–Gd$^{3+}$ distance distributions calculated with the program PyParaTools using rotamer libraries of the DCP-(β-Cys)$_2$-Gd tag bound to cysteine (see Fig. S13), generated by varying the $\chi_1$ angle by $\pm 30^\circ$ around the staggered rotamers and allowing free rotation about the $\chi_2$ and $\chi_3$ angles. (a) ERp29 S114C/C157S. (b) MBP T237C/T345C.
Figure S16. Diffusion experiment of DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$ in the presence of YCl$_3$. The spectrum was recorded of a 0.3 mM solution of DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$ in 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7) in D$_2$O with 0.5 equivalents of YCl$_3$, using a 800 MHz NMR spectrometer. The pulse sequence used a stimulated echo with bipolar gradients (Bruker pulse program stebpgg1s191d). Each pulsed field gradient was 2 ms and the duration of the diffusion delay $\Delta$ was limited to 10 ms to prevent chemical exchange from equilibrating the peak intensities. The spectra plotted with solid and dashed lines were recorded with weak (0.5 Gauss/cm) and strong (50 Gauss/cm) gradients, the latter resulting in about 11-fold signal attenuation. The loss in sensitivity was compensated by recording the attenuated spectrum with 8192 instead of 1024 scans. Scaling of the peaks D1 and D2 for closest superimposition, the signals of the free ligand (F1 and F2) and the 1:1 complex (M1 and M2) are smaller with strong than weak gradients, indicating more rapid diffusion as expected, if D1 and D2 correspond to the 2:1 ligand-to-protein complex. The signal labelled with a star showed no exchange cross-peaks (Fig. S17) and is unassigned. A corresponding diffusion experiment conducted with GB1 Q32C tagged with DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$ and titrated with 0.6 equivalents TmCl$_3$ yielded no measurable difference in peak attenuation between diamagnetic and paramagnetic signals.
Figure S17. EXSY spectrum of DCP-(L-Cys)$_2$ in the presence of YCl$_3$. The spectrum was recorded of the sample used to record the data of Fig. S16. The 1D NMR spectrum (see Fig. 6 of the main text) is shown at the top. The spectrum was recorded at 800 MHz with a mixing time of 25 ms, $t_{1\text{max}} = 40$ ms, $t_{2\text{max}} = 160$ ms. Negative contour levels associated with zero-quantum cross-peaks are plotted with dashed lines. Dividing the cross-peak intensities by the diagonal intensities and the mixing time yields an exchange rate between free and bound metal ion of about 10 s$^{-1}$. 
**Table S4.** Nucleotide sequences of the genes of ERp29 with TEV site preceding a C-terminal His$_6$ tag, GB1 preceded by a MASMTG tag and followed by a TEV site and C-terminal His$_6$ tag, and list of mutation primers used.

| Protein | DNA Sequence | Primers |
|---------|-------------|---------|
| ERp29_TEV_C-His$_6$ | ATGCTGCACACGAAGGGCGCCCTCCCTTGGAGCACAGTCACTTTCTACAAGGTCATTCCCAAAAGTCTCTGGTCAGAGGTGGGGATCTCAGACTATGCCTGGCTGAGAACGTAGCCTCCAGCGATGATCTCTTGGTGGCAGAGGTGGGGATCTCAGACTATACGATGCCCTGGCGGGCCAGTTCATCGAGGCC | Forward primer (for amplifying the pETMCSI backbone): GAATTCGAGCTCCCGGGTACCATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAGTTGAGGCCATACGGCTGGATACGCTCGTCGTACGATGCCCTGGCGGGCCAGTTCATCGAGGCCAGGAGGAGCTCGAAAACCTGTATTTTCAGGGCCACCATCACCATCACCATTA<br>Reverse primer (for amplifying the ERp29_TEV_C-His$_6$ gene): GTACCCGGGAGCTCGAATTCTTAATGGTGATGGTGATGAAAAAGTTTTCAAACAGTACGCGAACGACAACGGTGGTTGACGGTGAAATGGACCTACGACGACGCAGCAAAACCTTCACCGTTACCGAAGAAAACCCTGTATTTTCAGGGCCACCATCACCATCACCATTA |
| MASMTG GB1_TEV_C-His$_6$ | ATGGCTTCTTATGACCGGTATGACCTACAAACTGATCCTGAACGGTAAAACCCTGAAAGGTGAAACACCACCGAAGCGGTTGACGCGGCGACCGCGAAAAGTTTTCAAACAGTACGCGAACGACAACGGTGGTTGACGGTGAAATGGACCTACGACGACGCAGCAAAACCTTCACCGTTACCGAAGAAAACCCTGTATTTTCAGGGCCACCATCACCATCACCATTA | Forward primer (for Q32C mutation): GTTTTTCAAATGCTACGCGAACGACAACGGTGGTTGACGGTGAAATGGACCTACGACGACGCAGCAAAACCTTCACCGTTACCGAAGAAAACCCTGTATTTTCAGGGCCACCATCACCATCACCATTA<br>Reverse primer (for Q32C mutation): GCGTAGACCTTTGGAAAAACTTTTTTCCCGGCGTCGCG |

Forward primer (for amplifying the pETMCSI backbone): GAATTCGAGCTCCCGGGTACCATATGTATATCTCCTTCTTAAGTTGAGGCCATACGGCTGGATACGCTCGTCGTACGATGCCCTGGCGGGCCAGTTCATCGAGGCCAGGAGGAGCTCGAAAACCTGTATTTTCAGGGCCACCATCACCATCACCATTA<br>Reverse primer (for amplifying the ERp29_TEV_C-His$_6$ gene): GTACCCGGGAGCTCGAATTCTTAATGGTGATGGTGATGAAAAAGTTTTCAAACAGTACGCGAACGACAACGGTGGTTGACGGTGAAATGGACCTACGACGACGCAGCAAAACCTTCACCGTTACCGAAGAAAACCCTGTATTTTCAGGGCCACCATCACCATCACCATTA<br>Reverse primer (for Q32C mutation): GCGTAGACCTTTGGAAAAACTTTTTTCCCGGCGTCGCG
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