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ABSTRACT

This article relies on the reinforcement project of the Mulinghe cable-stayed bridge to explore the changes in the mechanical properties of the reinforced concrete cable-stayed bridge before and after reinforcement. The bridge has large cable spacing, large internal force of a single cable, and the main beam is mainly Reinforced Concrete. Therefore, structural calculation, disease analysis, and damage state simulation are carried out. Afterwards, the bridge's commonly used reinforcement methods were compared, and suitable reinforcement schemes were selected. After the reinforcement, the field test was carried out on the cable-stayed bridge, the main beam deflection, the strain of the main beam and the main tower, and the increase in the cable force of the cable-stayed were analyzed. The results show that external prestressed reinforcement is the best way, which can significantly reduce the vertical displacement of the main beam and the horizontal displacement of the main tower, and also improve the stress on the upper and lower edges of the main beam. After the external prestressed reinforcement, the ratio of the observed value to the observed value in the filed load test of the cable-stayed bridge's decreased significantly. It is up to 31% increase in bending capacity of main beams and up to 24% increase in stiffness. This article is instructive for the reinforcement of the early-built sparse-cable reinforced concrete cable-stayed bridge, while also expanding the scope of implementation of external prestressed reinforcement technology.
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INTRODUCTION

The increase in traffic density and vehicle load are unavoidably damaged and aging, resulting in a considerable part of the in-service bridges with early construction time, especially cable-stayed bridges, can no longer meet the current safety and durability requirements. If these conditions are not controlled and strengthened, it will further seriously affect the safe use of the bridge. Therefore, considering the structural safety and economic benefits of the cable-stayed bridge, timely and effective maintenance and reinforcement work has become an important research topic in front of the majority of researchers.

Chen Hao [1] took a prestressed concrete cable-stayed bridge with a span of (38.5+71+38.5) m as the research object, analyzed the damage of the superstructure of the cable-stayed bridge and established a finite element model, which was strengthened by section and adjusted by cable force. In the reinforcement method, the tension and compression stresses of the main beam and the tower are reduced, and the reinforcement effect is obvious. Pan Zhulan et al [2] conducted a cable...
replacement study for cable-stayed bridges based on disease detection. After the cable replacement of the full bridge was completed, a field load test way was designed. The results show that the strength and rigidity of the bridge have been significantly improved. Lei Jinsheng et al. [3] analyzed the cable force adjustment of 15 pairs of stay cables in the main technical steps. The study shows that the process of cable replacement and reinforcement has a great influence on the stress of the main beam of the bridge and the cable force of the linear and diagonal cables. After the reinforcement, the safety performance of the bridge structure meets the design requirements. Zou Zexi [4] used cross-section reinforcement and cable force adjustment to strengthen a PC cable-stayed bridge. Through the finite element simulation of the cable-stayed bridge damage and reinforcement construction phase, the main girder alignment reached the expected goal after reinforcement and maintenance, and the cable force tended to be more uniform. J. Guo [5] applied the simulated annealing algorithm and the B-spline curve interpolation method to the cable force optimization of a three-span curved cable-stayed bridge. The cable force after the cable force adjustment was further optimized, and through the field load test the effectiveness of this method is verified. Wu Zhongxin [6] used the comparison and mutual verification of the two finite element calculation software for the Tianjin Yonghe Bridge cable replacement project to monitor the parameters of the main beam linear and cable force during the cable replacement process and change the cable. The influence matrix method is used to optimize the cable force after cable replacement so that the reinforced cable-stayed bridge can reach the original design state. Qiao Changjiang [7] proposed the reinforcement way of the main beams with carbon fiber cloth and steel plates and replacement of stay cables based on the disease inspection, and focused on the cable replacement technology of the cable-stayed bridge. The geometry of the bridge changed slightly after changing the cable, and the stress state of the tower-beam consolidation is improved.

At present, domestic and foreign researchers on the reinforcement of cable-stayed bridges mainly focuses on the replacement of cable-stayed cables and the adjustment of cable strength. However, for the cable-stayed bridges built early in China, due to the large spacing of the cables, the large internal force of the single cable, and the main beam being mainly bent, in the general cable adjustment and cable replacement way, a backup cable must be set to prevent the stress of the main beam from exceeding the limit during the cable replacement process and causing cracks. During the reinforcement process, the stress on the main tower of the original bridge must be strictly monitored and strengthened, and the local compressive stress in the rear main beam span has not increased significantly. In the face of the general cable adjustment and cable replacement method, the construction process is complicated and the reinforcement effect is not good, it is more necessary to find a reinforcement method with good reinforcement effect and convenient construction without cable replacement. In this paper, taking a cable-stayed bridge in China as the research background, analysed of the bearing capacity of the cable-stayed bridge before and after reinforcement, and the filed load test is carried out to evaluated the bearing capacity of the cable-stayed bridge. This paper provides technical data for the subsequent operation, detection and maintenance of this bridge, provides references for the same type of construction methods, and improves the bridge reinforcement theory.

BACKGROUND

The cable-stayed bridge studied in this paper is a reinforced concrete T-beam cable-stayed bridge across Muling River in the eastern part of Heilongjiang Province. The bridge structure is a tower-pier consolidation and tower-beam separation system. The twin towers are 14 meters high, the bridge has a total length of 106.4 m, the span combination is 28 m+50.4 m+28 m, and the full bridge width is 9 m. The upper structure is reinforced concrete T beam, each bridge tower is provided with 4 pairs of stay cables, and 16 pairs of stay cables are arranged on the upstream and downstream sides, and the anchor spacing on the main beam is 5.6 m. The lower structure is a
gravity bridge abutment, and the tower foundation uses a caisson foundation. The bridge panoramic photo is shown in Figure 1. The bridge was completed in October 1995, with a design load rating of car-20 and trailer-100.

Fig. 1 - Elevation drawing of the cable-stayed bridge

During the 25 years of operation, there are multiple vertical cracks in the main beam web of each hole due to environmental effects and increasing vehicle load. The width of the crack is between 0.13 ~ 0.5 mm, which exceeds the specified limit, there are more cracks in the mid-span area, and the main beam is severely deflected; The top and bottom beams have long longitudinal cracks, and the crack width is between 0.1 ~ 0.4 mm, which exceeds the specified limit, and the cracks are irregularly distributed; The protective tube at the anchor end of the stay cables were damaged and the anchors were corroded. The apparent typical diseases are shown in Figure 2. Based on pathogen analysis, the reinforcement way proposed in this article.

T beam reinforcement: one longitudinal external prestressed beam is set on each side of each T beam, the steel beam is made of three high-strength low-relaxation steel strands of Φ15.24 mm, the standard strength is $f_{pk} = 1860$ MPa, and the elastic modulus is $E_p = 1.95 \times 10^5$ MPa, the tensile control force of a single steel strand is 168.05 kN, and the tensile control stress of the prestressed steel strand is 1209 MPa. The external prestressed steel beams are tensioned at both ends, using OVM15-3 anchors, which are respectively bent and anchored at the corresponding webs at both ends of the T beam.

Cross beam reinforcement: the cross beam between the side beam and the middle beam is pasted with N1 steel plate with a size of $1500 \times 300 \times 10$ mm, the beams between the middle beams are pasted with N2 steel plates and the size is $2400 \times 300 \times 10$ mm to strengthen the shear strength and suppress the crack development. The size of the N3 steel plate pasted at the bottom of each beam is $8400 \times 400 \times 10$ mm in order to increase the bending resistance of the bottom plate. N1 and N2 steel plates are fixed with 10.9 grade φ22 high strength bolts, and N3 steel plates are fixed with M12 high strength bolts. In order to ensure the bonding quality, the steel plate should also be scrubbed with gasoline to completely remove floating dust and oil stains, and then the steel plate should be pasted with an adhesive and fixed with high-strength bolts. Finally, in order to prevent the corrosion of the steel plate and delay the aging of the adhesive, the surface of the pasted steel plate should be sealed, waterproofed and rust-proofed after removing the surface oil stains and rust. The main beam and cross beam reinforcement are shown in Figure 3.
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Fig. 2 - Apparent disease of cable-stayed bridge
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Fig. 3 - Reinforcement drawing of main beam and cross beam

METHODS

Comparison of reinforcement design schemes based on finite element analysis

A total of five reinforcement ways, including external prestress reinforcement of the main girder by changing the parameter values and optimization and adjustment of the cable tension of the stay cables are proposed according to the analysis of the bridge disease on site. The reinforcement ways are shown in Table. 1. MIDAS / CIVIL was used to establish a finite element model considering disease damage simulation and theoretical calculation of five reinforcement ways. The model
building process is divided into damage simulation stage and structural reinforcement simulation stage. Both the main beam and the main tower are simulated using plane beam elements, and the setting of the cross-sectional dimensions is consistent with the actual situation. Each cable adopts a truss unit with only a tensile structure and is divided into one unit. The whole bridge finite element model of the cable-stayed bridge includes 607 main beam elements and 128 main tower elements, and there are 32 tension-only truss (cable-stayed cable) units with a total of 800 nodes.

Damage simulation (the stiffness of the main beam section is reduced by 20%, the shrinkage and creep coefficient is changed by 50%, the environmental humidity is simulated, the cable tension is reduced by 3%, and the cable damage is simulated) and reinforcement simulation (external restraint of main beam, steel plate pasted on beam, cable adjustment of stay cables) is carried out in the finite element model. Reinforcement simulation is to simulate the external beam of the main beam, the steel plate pasted to the beam, and the cable adjustment of the stay cable. The external beam is simulated by using the steel beam type as "external" and the friction coefficient and deviation coefficient of the pipeline are "0", the steel plate reinforcement is simulated by the method of converting the elastic modulus of the beam, the aim is to find the most reasonable line shape and internal force state of the cable-stayed bridge for cable force optimization. The model of the cable-stayed bridge after reinforcement is shown in Figure 4.

Tab. 1 - Reinforcement way based on disease analysis

| Type of reinforcement | Way number | Reinforcement way |
|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|
| External prestress    | Way1       | Both sides of the main beam are reinforced with a bundle of Φ15.24 mm prestressed steel beams, the tensile control stress is 651 MPa, which is 35 % of the standard strength. Maintenance of the cable stay at the anchor end of the stay cable and the rust removal of the anchor. |
|                       | Way2       | Both sides of the main beam are reinforced with a bundle of Φ15.24 mm prestressed steel beams, the tensile control stress is 930 MPa, which is 50 % of the standard strength. Maintenance of the cable stay at the anchor end of the stay cable and the rust removal of the anchor. |
|                       | Way3       | Both sides of the main beam are reinforced with a bundle of Φ15.24 mm prestressed steel beams, the tensile control stress is 1209 MPa, which is 65 % of the standard strength. Maintenance of the cable stay at the anchor end of the stay cable and the rust removal of the anchor. |
| cable force optimization | Way4      | Change the stay cable from long to short. |
|                       | Way5       | Change the stay cable from short to long. |
Fig. 4 - Finite element model of reinforced cable-stayed bridge

Static test to verify the actual reinforcement effect

The cable-stayed bridge sets 3 test sections according to the calculation results of the model: A-A section, B-B section, and C-C section. In order to prevent the damage caused by excessive transient load applied during the static behavior test of the cable-stayed bridge, and at the same time, it can intuitively and deeply understand the change trend of the main beam and cable-stayed structure of the cable-stayed bridge with the load, this static filed load test adopts 2-level loading mode, and the test cross-section is shown in Figure 5. The test content is shown in Table 2.

Fig. 5 - Schematic diagram of test section

| Static load conditions | Test section | Test items | Test contents |
|------------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|
| 1                      | A-A          | Maximum positive bending moment of the (2#spans) | Deflection, strain, tower deflection, cable force increment |
| 2                      | C-C          | Maximum negative bending moment of the 2# Pier top section | Strain |
| 3                      | B-B          | Maximum positive bending moment of the side span (3#spans) | Deflection, strain, tower deflection, cable force increment |
Measuring point arrangement: The vertical displacement measuring points of 2# span and 3# span are arranged at the bottom section of the mid-span beam, the strain measuring points of 2# span and 3# are arranged at the mid-span section, and the negative bending moment measuring points of the 2# pier fulcrum point, the cross section of the main beam on the right is evenly arranged, the strain measurement points of the cable tower are arranged at the root section of the 2# cable tower, and the offset measurement points of the cable tower are arranged at the top section of the tower, the arrangement of measuring points is shown in Figure 6.

![Fig. 6 - Layout of measuring points](image)

In this static filed load test, four 300kN three-axle trucks were selected, the test loads before reinforcement were 303.6kN, 306.0kN, 300.2kN, and 302.8kN, and the test loads after reinforcement were 301.8kN, 302.4kN, 301.2kN, and 303.8kN. The on-site loading situation is shown in Figure 7. Table 3 shows the static filed load test efficiency.

![Fig. 7 - On-site loading situation](image)
Tab. 3 - static filed load test efficiency

| Static load conditions | Test items     | Design bending moment (kN m) | Loading bending moment (kN m) | static filed load test efficiency |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1                      | A-A section    | 3246.1                      | 3278.6                      | 1.01                             |
| 1                      | Partial load   |                             |                             |                                  |
| 2                      | B-B section    | -2214.8                     | -2259.1                     | 1.02                             |
| 2                      | Partial load   |                             |                             |                                  |
| 3                      | C-C section    | 3096.7                      | 3003.8                      | 0.97                             |
| 3                      | Partial load   |                             |                             |                                  |

RESULTS

Reinforcement effect based on finite element analysis

Aiming at the above five kinds of reinforcement schemes, theoretical analysis, data comparison and scheme comparison are carried out under the basic load combination specified in the document "Code for Design of Highway Reinforced Concrete and Prestressed Concrete Bridges and Culverts". In consideration of the displacement of the main beam, the main tower deflection, the cable force of the stay cable, the stress on the upper and lower edges of the main beam, and finally determine two different types of reinforcement schemes represented by Way 3 and Way 4, the changes of the stress characteristics of the bridge before and after the two ways are strengthened are shown in Figure 8.
The above two reinforcement schemes will reduce the vertical displacement of the main beam of the cable-stayed bridge, the horizontal displacement of the main tower and cable force of the cable stay, and the stress on the upper and lower edges of the main beam. In the deflection analysis, the reinforcement effect of Way 3 is more significant, after reinforcement, the maximum vertical displacement of the mid-span main beam is reduced by 11.49% compared with Way 4, and the maximum vertical displacement of the side-span main beam is reduced by 11.89%. The effect of Way 3 on the deflection of the main tower is more significant, after the reinforcement of Way 3, the maximum horizontal displacement of the main tower is reduced by 3.89% compared with Way 4. In the cable force analysis, the reinforcement effect of Way 3 and Way 4 is close, after reinforcement, the cable force values of 2# ~ 4#, 6# ~ 11#, 13# ~ 15# in the cable stay are lower than those in Way 4, 1#, 5#, 12#, 16# cable stay cable strength value has been improved compared with the Way 4. In the stress analysis, the reinforcement effect of Way 3 is more significant, after the reinforcement of Way 3, the maximum stress of the upper edge of the mid-span main beam is reduced by 9.7% more than that of Way 4, and the maximum stress of the upper edge of the side span main beam is reduced by 9.71%, the maximum stress of the lower edge of the beam is reduced by 12.8% more than that of Way 4, and the maximum stress of the lower edge of the main beam of the side span is reduced by 12.83%.

In summary, the final reinforcement way adopted for the cable-stayed bridge is Way 3, which can significantly reduce the vertical displacement of the main beam and the horizontal displacement of the main tower. It also has an improvement effect on the stress of the upper and lower edges of the main beam and can meet the highway-II vehicle load Usage requirements.

**Reinforcement effect static on load test analysis**

**Analysis of the vertical displacement of the main beam before and after reinforcement**

The vertical displacement of the structure is a parameter that can reflect the structural stiffness macroscopically and is an important control index of the static test. This main beam vertical displacement measurement uses a dial indicator and a magnetic table base for detection. Table 4 is the comparison of vertical displacement before and after reinforcement of 2# span and 3# span under partial load.
### Tab. 4 - Comparison of vertical displacement before and after reinforcement

| Conditions | Point Number | Level 1 Loading Measured Value | Level 2 Loading Measured Value | Level 1 Loading Measured Value | Level 2 Loading Measured Value | Measured Value/Theoretical Value (c) | Theoretical Value (e) | Measured Value/Theoretical Value (f) | Bearing Capacity Promotion (e-d)/(e- (b-a)/b) |
|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 1          | D1           | 22.64                         | 39.09                         | 32.79                         | 14.82                         | 34.82                               | 14.82               | 14.82                               | 0.86                          |
|            | D2           | 25.46                         | 43.78                         | 36.05                         | 16.66                         | 30.12                               | 16.66               | 16.66                               | 0.89                          |
|            | D3           | 28.26                         | 48.46                         | 42.45                         | 21.82                         | 35.02                               | 21.82               | 21.82                               | 0.92                          |
|            | D4           | 30.26                         | 51.79                         | 45.02                         | 24.19                         | 38.24                               | 24.19               | 24.19                               | 0.94                          |
| 2          | D1           | 8.06                          | 16.48                         | 14.81                         | 11.06                         | 12.02                               | 11.06               | 11.06                               | 0.91                          |
|            | D2           | 9.36                          | 19.52                         | 16.89                         | 13.16                         | 15.12                               | 13.16               | 13.16                               | 0.95                          |
|            | D3           | 10.39                         | 21.82                         | 18.63                         | 15.12                         | 17.12                               | 15.12               | 15.12                               | 0.98                          |
|            | D4           | 11.27                         | 23.06                         | 19.97                         | 16.49                         | 18.49                               | 16.49               | 16.49                               | 0.96                          |

Under the condition 1, the ratio of the measured value and the theoretical value of the vertical displacement loading at the measuring point is 0.86~0.89, with an average of 0.875; Under the condition 3, the ratio of the measured value of the vertical displacement of the measuring point to the theoretical value is 0.91~0.94, with an average of 0.925; After the reinforcement of the main beam under the load of each working condition, the measured vertical displacement values of each measurement point are less than the theoretical value, and the stiffness of the bridge after reinforcement is satisfied, and the ratio between the measured value and the theoretical value of the main span loading is increased by 27%, and the bearing capacity is increased by 33%. The ratio between the measured value of the side span and the theoretical value can be increased by up to 20%, and the bearing capacity can be increased by up to 24%. The results show that the external prestressed reinforcement method significantly reduces the vertical displacement of the main beam and effectively improves the load-bearing capacity and stiffness of the cable-stayed bridge.

**Analysis of strain of main beam before and after reinforcement**

Strain is also an important data that intuitively reflects the stress on the bridge. A comprehensive tester is connected to the pre-embedded concrete strain gauge to detect strain. The strain comparison of the main components before and after reinforcement is shown in Figures 9-11.
Strain comparison of 2# span main beam before and after reinforcement(με)

Fig. 9 - Comparison of the measured strain values before and after the reinforcement of the main beam

The ratio of the measured value and the theoretical value of the Level 2 loading of the main span strain measurement point is 0.85~0.96, with an average of 0.91; The side span ratio is 0.84~0.92, the average is 0.880.

It can be seen from Figure 9 that after reinforcement, the measured strain value at each measuring point is less than the theoretical calculation value under each condition, the strength of the bridge after reinforcement is satisfied. After the main span is strengthened, the ratio between the measured value and the theoretical value is increased by up to 34%, and the load capacity is increased by up to 28%; After the reinforcement of the side span, the ratio between the measured value and the theoretical value is up to 26%, and the bearing capacity is up to 31%, indicating that the external prestressed reinforcement has a significant improvement effect on the stress level of the main beam.

Strain comparison of 3# span main beam before and after reinforcement(με)

Fig. 10 - Comparison of strain of partial load on the top of 2# pier before and after reinforcement

The ratio of the measured and theoretical values of the strain on the top of the pier under the test load is 0.41~0.54, with an average of 0.475; It can be seen from Figure 10 that the measured strain value is less than the theoretical value under the load of each condition after reinforcement, and the strength of the bridge after reinforcement meets the design requirements. After reinforcement, the ratio between the measured value and the theoretical value of the 2 level loading is up to 32%, and the load capacity is up to 25%, indicating that the external prestressed reinforcement significantly increased the stress of the main beam.
Comparison of measured strain of cable tower before and after reinforcement of 2# spans

Fig. 11 - Comparison of measured strains of cable tower before and after reinforcement

The ratio of the measured and theoretical values of the cable tower strain under level 1 loading is 0.41~0.54, with an average of 0.475; The ratio of the measured and theoretical values of the strain under level 3 loading is 0.69~0.77, with an average of 0.73.

After reinforcement, the ratio between the measured value and the theoretical value of the level 2 loading is up to 10%, and the load capacity is up to 8%, indicating that the external prestressed reinforcement significantly increased the stress of the cable tower.

**Offset analysis of main tower before and after reinforcement**

Set a measuring point on the top and bottom of the D-D section of the top of the tower, and use a prism-free total station to test the deflection of the top of the tower after the static conditions are fully loaded and stable. Table 5 shows the detection offset results of the main tower, the positive values in the table represent the northwest direction, and the negative values represent the southeast direction.

**Tab. 5- Comparison of measured offset of main tower before and after reinforcement(mm)**

| conditions | point number | Level 1 loading measured value | Level 2 loading measured value | Measured value/theoretical value | Level 1 loading measured value | Level 2 loading measured value | Measured value/theoretical value | Bearing capacity promotion (e-d) /e-(b-a) /c |
|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 1          | P1           | 5.1                           | 9.4                            | 11.49                           | 0.82                          | 3.9                            | 7.3                             | 0.72                                    | 0.10                                    | 0.12                                    |
|            | P2           | 6.7                           | 12.3                           | 15.36                           | 0.80                          | 5.5                            | 10.2                            | 13.69                                  | 0.75                                    | 0.06                                    | 0.07                                    |
| 3          | P1           | -2.5                          | -4.9                           | -6.27                           | 0.78                          | -2.1                           | -4.0                            | -5.52                                  | 0.72                                    | 0.06                                    | 0.07                                    |
|            | P2           | -3.7                          | -7.2                           | -8.97                           | 0.80                          | -2.8                           | -5.5                            | -7.84                                  | 0.70                                    | 0.10                                    | 0.13                                    |
It can be seen from Table 5 that under eccentric loading, the measured value of the main tower's deflection is smaller than the theoretical value, and the main tower's deflection trend is consistent. After reinforcement, the ratio between the measured value and the theoretical value is increased by 13%, and the load capacity is increased by up to 10%, indicating that the stiffness of the main tower of the cable-stayed bridge after reinforcement is qualified.

**Incremental analysis of cable force before and after reinforcement**

The cable force test is carried out using a cable force tester, the measured and calculated cable force increment of the typical cable near the test cross-section are shown in Figure 12.

**Condition1: Change of cable force before and after reinforcement**

**Condition3: Change of cable force before and after reinforcement**

*Fig. 12 - Change of cable force before and after reinforcement*

The ratio of the measured and calculated values of each typical cable force of the cable-stayed bridge is 0.40 to 0.89, and the trend of the measured cable force and the calculated cable force is generally consistent. But the ratio of the measured value to the calculated value of the stay cable is generally low. The analysis shows that the stay cable is a parallel steel wire with a sheath outside the cable. At the same time, the sag effect of the stay cable has an influence on the determination of the cable force value.

The cable force increases of the cable stay on the upstream side of the cable-stayed bridge is significantly smaller than the cable force increases of the cable stay on the downstream side. The
asymmetry amplitude of the cable force increment ranges from 17% to 43%, maximum asymmetry amplitude is in condition 3.

**CONCLUSION**

(1) External prestressed reinforcement technology can greatly increase the bending capacity of the main beam, reduce the main tensile stress, and increase the safety reserve of compressive stress.

(2) The maximum vertical displacement of the main beam of Way 3 is 11.49%~11.89% lower than that of Way 4; the maximum horizontal displacement of the main tower of Way 3 is 3.89% lower than that of Way 4; the maximum stress of the upper edge of the main beam of Way 3 is lower than that of Way 4 decreased by 12.80% to 12.83% more than Way 4; the reinforcement effect of Way 3 and Way 4 in the cable force analysis was close. The final reinforcement way adopted for the cable-stayed bridge is Way 3, which can significantly reduce the vertical displacement of the main beam and the horizontal displacement of the main tower, and also improve the stress on the upper and lower edges of the main beam.

(3) Based on the comparison of the static filed load test data of the actual bridge before and after the reinforcement of the cable-stayed bridge, the measured strain and displacement of the main beam main tower are less than the calculated value after the reinforcement, and the change trend of the measured cable force is consistent with the calculated cable force. The application of external prestressed reinforcement technology to the cable-stayed bridge can significantly improve the strength, stiffness and safety reserve of the structure, and the bearing capacity of the bridge has improved significantly.
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