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The Effects of Mathematics Anxiety and Motivation on Students’ Mathematics Achievement

Nadide Suren, Mehmet Ali Kandemir

Abstract

This study aims to investigate whether there is an effect of anxiety and motivation, and if so, the level of this effect on students’ mathematics achievement in the transition test from middle school to high school. In this research, anxiety and motivation levels of the students were examined together with variables such as gender, pre-school education, support and training courses, and private tutoring. The sample of the study consisted of 777 eighth-grade students in a province of Aegean region of Turkey. Mathematical Motivation Scale (MMS) and Mathematics Anxiety Scale for Elementary School Students (MASESS) were used as data collection tools. In addition, the demographic information of the students was obtained with the personal information form developed by the researcher. Descriptive analysis, independent samples $t$-test, correlation analysis, and structural equation modeling analysis were used for data analysis. According to the results of the study, the mathematics anxiety and motivation levels of middle school eighth-grade students were high and there was a positive and moderate relationship between mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics. It was also determined that anxiety predicted achievement at a higher level, followed by motivation.
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Introduction

Emotions and cognition have been investigated by educators since the 1960s. Similarly, emotional factors in learning mathematics have been actively studied for nearly fifty years. Because of the importance of mathematics, many studies have been conducted to investigate the factors that affect students’ achievement in this discipline (Dowker, Sarkar, & Looi, 2016; Kyttälä & Björn, 2010; Newstead, 1998; Otts, 2010; Spaniol, 2017; Woodard, 2004) and there are many continuing studies (Mundia & Metussin, 2019; Scammacca, Fall, Capin, Roberts, & Swanson, 2019). Among these studies, McLeod (1994) stressed that anxiety has been the most striking factor in emotional issues since the 1970s. Therefore, mathematics anxiety has an important role in students’ learning mathematics (Şentürk, 2010).

Mathematics anxiety may not always completely disrupt the development of mathematical skills. Research shows that moderate mathematics anxiety is beneficial rather than harming intrinsically motivated students (Wang et al., 2015). For this reason, the combination of moderate mathematics anxiety and intrinsic motivation can increase students’ mathematics achievement; this can also help students enjoy the learning process more. In addition, determining the appropriate levels of difficulty for students by taking their mathematical abilities into account is important for mathematics education (Wang et al., 2015).

Rather than the content of mathematics, mathematics anxiety is rooted in the neglect or inadequate expression of norms, beliefs and practices in mathematics teaching, learning and evaluation, and the role of motivational processes in mathematics teaching (Hannula et al., 2016). Richardson and Suinn (1972) stated that 11% of university students showed a high level of mathematics anxiety in need of counseling; Betz (1978) stated that approximately 68% of students enrolled in mathematics classes had a high level of mathematics anxiety; and Ashcraft and Moore (2009) also reported that 17% of the population had a high level of mathematics anxiety.

Motivation is also a factor that affects students’ creativity, learning styles, and academic achievement (Dilek, Taşdemir, Konea, & Baltaci, 2020; Kuyper, Van der Werf, & Lubbers, 2000; Tella, 2007; Wolters, 1999) and an important factor in students’ achievement in their studies, studying regularly, and learning (Martin, 2001).
Researchers have stated that many variables are effective on students’ motivation and using these variables in a way that positively affects students’ achievement is important (Anderman et al., 1999). Motivation is effective on learning and behavior; however, although this effect is known, it is often not known how motivation should be used in the teaching and learning process or what it really means. This, in turn, causes motivation to be underestimated or ignored in an instructional design (Spitzter, 1996). Therefore, teaching will be ineffective as a result of ignoring motivation. In order to increase academic achievement in mathematics, further research is needed on how mathematics anxiety is related to motivation and especially whether there are differences between anxiety and intrinsic and extrinsic motivation (Gottfried, 1982; Hung, Huang, & Hwang, 2014; Núñez-Peña, Suárez-Pellicioni, & Bono, 2013; Ryan & Pintrich, 1997; Zakaria & Nordin, 2008).

In this research, investigating to what extent these factors affect students’ mathematics achievement was aimed because the achievement levels of Turkish students in mathematics in the international field is not at the desired level. This is supported by the fact that Turkish students among students from 79 countries, 35 of which are OECD members, rank 42th in mathematics, their average mathematics score is below OECD countries, and the students’ performance is low in the PISA 2018 results (OECD, 2019). Mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics are thought to be the reasons why Turkish students did not perform at the desired level in mathematics (Yonca, 2018).

Mathematics anxiety, an emotional factor found in the literature, has been one of the most important reasons why students do not like mathematics (Shen, 2009). Therefore, overcoming mathematics anxiety is an important recipe in helping students succeed and grow in mathematics. By understanding, recognizing, controlling, and dealing with mathematics anxiety, students can advance in mathematics more than ever before (Boaler, 2008; Tobias, 1993). Motivation, which is another emotional factor, is very important in education and it should not be ignored especially in courses that are difficult to understand by students such as science and mathematics (Dede & Yaman, 2008). According to Tahiroğlu and Çakır (2014), motivation is a prerequisite for learning. Most of the time, students learn the subjects they are curious about and interested in a short time. Students who are not motivated enough are also not ready to learn, and if they are motivated enough, they will succeed to the extent that they are more motivated to study their homework and exams. In other words, there is a positive correlation between motivation and achievement (Akbaba, 2006).

Along with the anxiety and motivation variables, there are other variables that affect mathematics achievement. Some of these variables are concerns of this research for historical reasons. Gender, as the first sub-concern, is a very important variable in terms of mathematics achievement, mathematics anxiety, and motivation towards mathematics. The effect of gender on mathematics anxiety has not reached a clear conclusion. While some studies showed significant differences in favor for male gender on mathematics anxiety (Ma & Xu, 2004), some studies showed no significant difference of gender on mathematics anxiety (Cooper & Robinson, 1991; Hendershot 2000; Recber, Isiksal, & Koç, 2018; Zettle & Houghton, 1998). Similarly, the effect of gender variable on motivation towards mathematics is questionable. While some studies showed significant differences in favor for male gender on motivation towards mathematics (Preckel, Goetz, Pekrun, & Kleine, 2008; Skaalvik & Rankin, 1994), some studies showed no significant difference of gender on mathematics anxiety or significant differences in favor for female gender (Kriegbaum, Jansen, & Spinath, 2015; Pajares & Graham, 1999; Uluçay & Güven, 2017; Yaman & Dede, 2007).

Second sub-concern of this research is students’ status of receiving pre-school education. When the literature is reviewed, the studies showed that receiving pre-school education affected students’ mathematics achievement in a positive way (Altun & Çakan, 2008; Dağlı, 2007; Ergün, 2003; Güven & Balat, 2006). In addition, studies showed that students who received pre-school education had lower level of mathematics anxiety (Aktan, 2012), while students who received pre-school education had higher level of motivation towards mathematics (Aktan, 2012; McCleland, Acock, & Morrison, 2006).

Third sub-concern of this research is students’ status of receiving help in the support and training courses provided by the Ministry of National Education in each school for free of charge. The purpose of the support and training courses, which have been implemented since 2014 in Turkey, is to find a solution for different searches in parents, since students are taken to a higher institution with central examination. There are not many studies regarding this variable in the literature. In the limited number of studies, researchers found that receiving support and training courses increased student’s mathematics achievement and motivations towards mathematics (Berk, 2018; Nartgün & Dilekçi, 2016).

Fourth sub-concern of this research is students’ status of receiving help from the private tutors. Studies in the literature showed a common finding regarding students’ status of receiving help from the private tutors.
According to this finding, students who receive help from private tutors exhibit a better performance in mathematics (Anılan, 2004; Berk, 2018; Koca, 2011; Topal, Aksu, & Güneş, 2017). However, there are different findings regarding the mathematics anxiety. While some of these studies found that students who receive help from private tutors had higher level of mathematics anxiety (Delioğlu, 2017), other studies found that students who receive help from private tutors had lower level of mathematics anxiety (Koca, 2011; Yetgin, 2017).

Specifically, the aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics and how and to what extent mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics of middle school eighth-grade students affect the students’ mathematics performance in the High School Entrance Exam (HSEE). HSEE was chosen as the achievement criterion because mathematics content in this exam has the highest coefficient while determining students’ overall performance. Also, the score that students receive from this exam determines which high schools they will be attending to. That is another reason why students’ performance in this exam was counted as achievement criterion.

Therefore, in the research, an answer to the question of “is there any effect of anxiety and motivation on the mathematics achievement of eight-grade students in the HSEE, and if so, to what extent this effect is?” was sought along with the following sub-questions.

1. What is the level of middle school eighth-grade students’ anxiety towards mathematics?
2. What is the level of middle school eighth-grade students’ motivation towards mathematics?
3. Do middle school eighth-grade students’ anxiety and motivation levels towards mathematics differ in terms of gender, pre-school education, support and training courses, and private tutoring?
4. Are middle school eighth-grade students’ anxiety and motivation towards mathematics related?
5. Are middle school eighth-grade students’ anxiety and motivation towards mathematics significant predictors of their mathematics achievement in the High School Entrance Exam?

Theoretical Framework

Factors Affecting Mathematics Achievement

In learning, not only cognitive factors and processes, but also environmental (Wood & Bandura, 1989) and emotional (Bloom, 1979) factors play a role. That is why surveys that measure emotional characteristics, school environment, and home environment along with achievement tests are given to the students in the international exams such as TIMSS and PISA. Thus, both the achievement levels of the students are measured, and information is collected about the other variables that are thought to be related to achievement (IEA, 2019; OECD, 2019). While measuring students’ achievement levels, information on other variables can also be obtained (Ertürk & Erdinç-Akan, 2018; Tas & Balgalmis, 2016; Yildirim & Aybek, 2019).

Acar-Güvendir (2016) conducted a study examining the relationship between intrinsic and extrinsic motivation levels and mathematical achievement of eighth-grade students and used TIMSS data in 2011 in this context. The results of the research showed that students’ interests in mathematics, self-efficacy, mathematics perceptions, frequency of mathematics exams, and teachers’ interest in students were related to mathematics achievement. The findings showed that intrinsic motivation is associated with mathematics achievement more than extrinsic motivation. A general analysis of the study also showed that mathematics achievement is related to both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation sources that need to be addressed by mathematics experts and teachers (Becker, McElvany, & Kortenbruck, 2010; Vansteenkiste, Timmermans, Lens, Soensens, & Van den Broeck, 2008).

In the study conducted by Ministry of National Education (MoNE, 2016a), the effect of Turkish students’ emotional characteristics on the mathematics achievement in PISA 2012 was examined based on the results. The study was a literature review, and the results obtained from other researches in this field and the mathematics education programs prepared by the MoNE were used. The results of the study indicated that self-efficacy and self-confidence, which is one of the emotional characteristics of the students, had an effect on learning in mathematics. It was seen that while the students who had high mathematics self-efficacy had higher academic achievement because they made more effort towards mathematics; in general, although the students had a positive attitude towards mathematics, it was found that students’ mathematics achievement remained low.
In an academic study based on the results of the PISA 2003 study, emotional factors related to mathematics literacy of students were evaluated. In this study, the implicit variables examined in relation to mathematics literacy were indicated as motivation, interest and anxiety towards mathematics, self-efficacy and self-confidence in mathematics, feeling of belonging to school, and classroom discipline and environment. The most related variable with mathematics literacy was self-efficacy in mathematics (Güzel, 2006). According to the results of the study, although generally Turkish students had positive attitudes towards mathematics, their self-confidence levels were low and anxiety levels towards mathematics were high. Unfortunately, these positive attitudes of students towards mathematics cannot be directed to increase academic achievement in education system (MoNE, 2016a).

**Anxiety**

In the literature review, many definitions of anxiety were encountered. According to Hembree (1990), anxiety involves a multifaceted structure, and these structures have been widely defined as a mood supported by fear. This feeling is not pleasant, it is directed towards the future, and is different than the threat. Anxiety is a feeling that usually causes discomfort, and sometimes it encourages people to be creative and constructive in daily life but sometimes it prevents them to do so (Başarır, 1990).

There are more consistent findings in the literature about the components of anxiety. Previously, it was stated that anxiety has cognitive, physiological, and behavioral components (Hardy & Peafitt, 1991; Rachman & Hodgson, 1974), then cognitive and emotional processes were accepted as independent systems. According to Benner (1985, p.65), “anxiety can be defined as a sense of subjective tension and worry that emerges with a certain combination of cognitive, emotional, physiological, and behavioral signs”. Thus, it is widely accepted that anxiety consists of cognitive, emotional, physiological, and behavioral components (Eisenberg & Patterson, 1979; Köksal & Power, 1990).

Spielberger (1972) distinguishes between the two types of anxiety as state anxiety and trait anxiety (Cheng & Cheung, 2005; Rabalais, 1998). Anxiety is a severe reaction to some perceived threats. It is relatively short-lived and only occasionally occurs throughout the life of an individual. Trait anxiety is a more consistent and customary emotional response to life events.

**Anxiety and Mathematics**

Mathematics anxiety is seen as a state anxiety that has been investigated by educators and emerged in perceived situations related to the use of mathematics (Byrd, 1982). Mathematics anxiety is an emotional reaction that has indirect effects with poor mathematics performance in terms of education and career path choice and direct effects in terms of life-long events (Hembree, 1990). Mathematics anxiety occurs in situations involving mathematical activities (Suinn & Winston, 2003). Mathematics anxiety is often defined as tension, worry, and fear that interfere with mathematics performance (Ashcraft, 2002). These strong emotions begin at different stages in students’ educational journey (Siebers, 2015).

According to the other definitions in the literature review, mathematics anxiety is one of the most important reasons that lead people to negative thoughts about mathematics and leave them helpless (Bekdemir, 2009), is an irrational state and fear that reduces mathematics learning opportunities and restricts career choices (Haase, Guimarães, & Wood, 2019), and makes students stand in wonder when they think about mathematics. Thus, it prevents students from exhibiting their own potential and leads them to failure (Miller & Mitchell, 1994).

**Effects of Mathematics Anxiety**

Mathematics anxiety is defined as the sense of tension or fear that people feel when they are faced with the need to perform mathematical tasks in daily and school life (Richardson & Suinn, 1972); therefore, it may cause forgetfulness and loss of self-confidence (Tobias, 1993). As a result of their fears, many students move away from mathematics careers. In fact, “individuals with high mathematics anxiety take less mathematics courses, take lower grades in their courses, and demonstrate lower mathematics achievement and abilities than their peers with low mathematics anxiety” (Ashcraft & Kirk, 2001, p. 224). Likewise, Richardson and Suinn (1972) emphasized that mathematics anxiety can prevent a student from passing basic mathematics courses or from taking advanced courses in mathematics. Mathematics anxiety is a negative emotional reaction to the present or
future situation of mathematics. In this respect, the effects of mathematics anxiety are very debilitating. Students who have mathematics anxiety are less self-confident, do not enjoy mathematics, and avoid mathematics completely (Ashcraft, Kirk, & Hopko, 1998; Hembree, 1990; Maloney & Beilock, 2012).

For people with mathematics anxiety, opening a mathematics textbook or even entering a mathematics class can trigger a negative emotional reaction. Mathematics anxiety involves negative effects and tension when solving mathematical problems. Most people with normal performance in thinking and reasoning tasks perform poorly when numerical information is involved if there is mathematics anxiety (Hembree, 1990). Thus, in order to prevent mathematics anxiety, which is seen to be highly effective on mathematics achievement, the factors that cause mathematics anxiety should be known and acted on from this point because mathematics anxiety does not only affect mathematics achievement but also causes emotional and physical discomfort in the person.

**Reasons of Mathematics Anxiety**

Researchers conducted many studies in order to identify the reasons for mathematics anxiety. Although the reasons for mathematics anxiety are uncertain, some teaching styles are considered as risk factors (Ashcraft, 2002). According to Burns (1998), the fear of mathematics is deeply rooted and often begins with the students’ primary school experiences. Explanations on the development of mathematics anxiety include exposure to mathematics failure, negative attitudes by teachers, and cognitive predisposition (Lyons & Beilock, 2012; Young, Wu, & Menon, 2012). Jackson and Leffingwell (1999) emphasized that the negative experience of mathematics classes from kindergarten to high school may cause mathematics anxiety in students. In another study, it was stated that mathematics ability, perceived mathematics achievement, mathematics self-efficacy, family environment, teacher attitude, and teaching method were the main factors affecting mathematics anxiety (Haynes, Mullins, & Stein, 2004). Other than these reasons, gender is another factor that should be discussed under a separate heading.

**Mathematics Anxiety and Gender.** Female students report higher levels of mathematics anxiety than male students, as documented in most studies (Else-Quest, Hyde, & Linn, 2010; Hyde, Fennema, Ryan, Frost, & Hopp, 1990; Ma & Xu, 2004). Considering the negative effects of anxiety on psychological health, learning behaviors, self-regulation, and academic achievement, these findings are discouraging on behalf of girls (Diener, 2000; Pekrun, Goetz, Titz, & Perry, 2002; Zeidner, 1998).

Studies have shown that female students report significantly lower perceived proficiency levels based on the mathematics-related self-efficacy and perceived ability when compared to male students (Goetz, Frenzel, Hall, & Pekrun, 2008; Hyde et al., 1990). This shows that the low self-efficacy of the female students leads to an increase in anxiety; and therefore, mathematics anxiety and self-efficacy are negatively related.

**Motivation**

Making a general definition of the concept of motivation is not possible because researchers have made suitable definitions to the theoretical models (Mobrand, Turns, & Mobrand, 2013). Maslow (1962) described motivation as the act and effort of individuals with their own desires to achieve a specific goal. According to Koçel (2005, p. 633), “motivation is the sum of efforts to continuously set in motion one or more people for a specific purpose”. The individual’s behavior is often based on a cause that consists of subjects such as needs, interests, beliefs, and impulses. Behind every behavior there is a desire, and in the target, there is a purpose. In order to achieve this purpose, individuals’ wishes must be fulfilled so that they can be happy.

Motivation is important for emotional components as well because students’ motivation plays an important role in conceptual change processes (Lee, 1989; Lee & Brophy, 1996; Pintrich, Marx, & Boyle, 1993), critical thinking, and learning strategies (Garcia & Pintrich, 1994; Kuyper et al., 2000; Wolters, 1999). Being motivated is to take action to do something. Therefore, a person who does not have desire to act or who does not feel inspired is considered as unmotivated, whereas a person with high energy and desire to act is considered motivated (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

In the literature on motivation, researchers discuss what would be the most appropriate motivation to enable students to have a much better mathematical performance and demonstrate this performance in the classroom (Barron & Herackiewicz, 2001). Therefore, the intrinsic and extrinsic types of motivation have been extensively studied and the distinction between them has played an important role in both developmental and educational
practices (Ryan & Deci, 2000). In general, both intrinsic and extrinsic motivations are beneficial for students in the classroom. However, intrinsic motivation that is innate and dependent on students is more important in the classroom environment. Essentially motivated students tend to enjoy learning without any extrinsic effect (Karagöl, 2008). Therefore, intrinsic motivation has emerged as an important phenomenon for educators; it has a natural place in learning and success (Ryan & Stiller, 1991). On the other hand, the purpose of a behavior in extrinsic motivation encourages the behavior to conditional behaviors outside the activity in order to gain benefit or to avoid the negative consequences that are expected to occur later (Yavuz, Özyıldırım, & Doğan, 2012).

Intrinsic motivation in humans is not the only form of motivation or an optional activity. However, it is a common and important motivation. Intrinsic motivation has been accepted for the first time in experimental research on animal behavior, in which many organisms exhibit playful and curious behavior even in the absence of reinforcements or prizes (White, 1959). According to Harter (1981), extrinsic motivation is to prefer easy work, teacher satisfaction, and getting good grades; and to focus on external criteria for success. Van Lier (1996) interprets intrinsic motivation as money owned and extrinsic motivation as loan.

**Motivation Strategies**

The review of learning motivation studies revealed various motivation factors such as self-perception abilities, effort, intrinsic goal orientation, task value, self-efficacy, test anxiety, self-regulation, task orientation, and learning strategies (Garcia & Pintrich, 1995; Nolen & Haladyna, 1989; Pintrich & Blumenfeld, 1985). According to Aktan and Tezci (2013), the constructs directly related to academic success are intrinsic goal orientation, extrinsic goal orientation, task value, control of learning beliefs, self-efficacy, and test anxiety. These strategies also form the factors of the motivation towards mathematics education scale developed by Liu and Lin (2010). In addition, the components of motivation in this social cognitive motivation model are values, expectations, and effects. The value component is affected by intrinsic goal orientation, extrinsic goal orientation, and task value, while expectation component is affected by learning problems, self-efficacy for performance, and control of learning beliefs. Finally, the effect component is affected by test anxiety and self-esteem (Liu & Lin, 2010). Here are the constitutional definitions of the above-mentioned constructs (Liu & Lin, 2010):

**Intrinsic Goal Orientation.** It focuses on the intrinsic reason why students participate in a task. For example: Curiosity, self-development or satisfaction. (Example: The most satisfying thing for me is to try to understand the content as much as possible.)

**Extrinsic goal orientation.** It focuses on the external cause (concerns) of students’ participation in a task. For example: Getting money, good grades or praise from others. (Example: I want to be good in this class because it is important that I show my abilities to my family and friends.)

**Task value.** It means students’ perception or awareness of the material or subject in terms of the usefulness, importance or applicability. (Example: I think I can use what I learned in this lesson in other lessons.)

**Control of learning beliefs.** It means that students believe their efforts will lead to positive results. (Example: If I work properly, I can learn the materials in the lesson.)

**Self-efficacy.** It refers to the confidence in one’s ability to complete the task and one’s skills to perform the task. (Example: I think I will get a perfect grade in this lesson.)

**Test anxiety.** It indicates a negative feeling of taking the exam. (Example: When I take the exam, I feel anxious and sad.)

**Motivation and Gender**

Martin (2004) examined the motivation levels of the students according to their genders. The results of the research showed that female students had higher level skills in focusing and adapting to learning, effective studying strategies, resisting difficulties, and desire; while male students had higher level skills in self-direction. The results also revealed that female students react more to intrinsic motivation support and male students react to extrinsic motivation support.
In the study of Yaman and Dede (2007) examining the differences of motivation of middle school students towards mathematics by gender, they stated that the arithmetic mean of the motivation scores of female students were higher than male students in all factors. Although significant progress has been made, significant gender differences in educational attainment and professional attainment remain. Today more and more female students take mathematics and science classes in high school, but it is worth noting that they love these classes less than male students (Livingston & Wirt, 2004).

The Relationship between Mathematics Performance and Mathematics Anxiety

Numerous studies have shown that emotional factors can play a major role in mathematical performance, especially mathematics anxiety plays a major role (Arslan, Güler, & Gürbüz, 2017; Baloğlu & Koçak, 2006; Ho et al., 2000; Ma & Kishor, 1997; McLeod, 1994; Miller & Bichsel, 2004; Sari & Ekici, 2018). Mathematics anxiety is the sense of tension, worry and fear in situations where mathematical activities are involved (Suinn & Winston, 2003); and when complex cognitive functions are considered to be very important for mathematical operations (Ashcraft, 2002), it is conceivable to observe a quadratic curvilinear relationship between mathematics performance and mathematics anxiety.

While individuals with high mathematics anxiety avoid mathematics more, individuals with moderate level anxiety spend more effort in mathematical problem solving and try to develop more strategies for problem solving (Lyons & Beilock, 2012; Wigfield & Meece, 1988). Anxious people may think that their performance is very poor, which may distract from the task or problem at hand and disrupt the resources of working memory. Ashcraft and Kirk (2001) have shown that individuals with high mathematics anxiety have a smaller working memory than those with less mathematics anxiety, especially in tasks requiring computations. In particular, they noted that individuals with high mathematics anxiety were slower in keeping the numbers in memory and made more mistakes than others in the tasks they had to do mental additions. In general, the relevant literature shows that average anxiety levels help focus and improve functioning memory, while extremely high or low anxiety levels are associated with insufficient cognitive resources allocated to tasks (Arnsten, 2009; Diamond et al., 2007).

The Relationship between Mathematics Performance and Mathematics Motivation

Mathematical motivation is a measure of the extent to which individuals adopt mathematical difficulties and how much they value the importance of mathematics skills and good performance in mathematics (Gottfried, Marcoulides, Gottfried, Oliver, & Guerin, 2007). According to Temel (2003), intrinsic motivation is the type of motivation that will especially enable the students to learn permanently and to achieve the desired level of success; extrinsic motivation is needed when intrinsic motivation cannot be established.

The Relationship between Mathematics Anxiety and Mathematics Motivation

Mathematics anxiety and mathematics motivation are both related to mathematics, but they are different dimensions. Indicating that mathematics anxiety alone or mathematics motivation alone is effective on mathematics learning behaviors and mathematics performance would be wrong (Lyons & Beilock, 2012; Wigfield & Meece, 1988). Mathematics anxiety creates irritability and discomfort in mathematics experiences; however, provides little information on how individuals approach mathematical activities to relieve these negative emotions. Mathematics motivation defines approach-oriented response tendencies towards mathematics. Mathematics anxiety and mathematics motivation are related to the acquisition of the positive and negative aspects of the mathematics experience and both are negatively related to each other (Chiu & Henry, 1990).

However, it was found that the items that measure mathematics anxiety and mathematics motivation are loaded to separate factors; this shows that anxiety and motivation are different structures (Bai, Wang, Pan, & Frey, 2009; Krinzinger, Kaufmann, & Willmes, 2009). While individuals with high levels of motivation and moderate levels of anxiety use cognitive resources efficiently and increase their mathematics performance; on the contrary, in individuals with low motivation, more fear and discomfort cause poor mathematics performance. This pattern demonstrates the importance of mathematical motivation in stimulating cognitive resources and in controlling negative effects while solving a mathematics problem (Lyons & Beilock, 2012).
Although there are very few studies that specifically address the relationship between mathematics motivation and mathematics anxiety, some studies show that motivation, which also constitutes students’ mathematics anxiety, plays an important role in mathematics performance. For example, the “explain-practice-memorize” approach is one of the most important factors contributing to students’ mathematics anxiety (Steele & Arth, 1998). Using this teaching approach, students are forced to practice most of their time by memorizing mathematical formulas that seem meaningless. For this reason, naturally, students think that mathematics is difficult, and they are afraid of mathematics (Shen, 2009).

Motivational strategies can be used to overcome students’ fears and reduce mathematics anxiety. In particular, motivation strategies can be derived from the literature on setting goals. It is believed that setting mastery goals in mathematics assessment can prevent students from competing with their peers and help them focus on the mathematics content they have learned. This reduces anxiety in the mathematics tests (Steele & Arth, 1998). Other strategies such as a cooperative learning environment can also reduce mathematics anxiety because anxious students are more likely to express their thoughts in small groups and working in groups means they can share responsibilities in solving mathematical problems. Unfortunately, not all mathematics teachers use motivational strategies to motivate students and alleviate mathematics anxiety.

**Relationship between Mathematics Performance and Anxiety and Motivation**

While there may be many factors that affect mathematics performance, interactions with each other and levels of anxiety and motivation affect mathematics performance. Wang et al. (2015) showed that the interactions between mathematics anxiety and mathematics motivation increased the processes between mathematical emotions and cognitions in the context of mathematics performance. In the same study, the results showed that the facilitating and attenuating effects of mathematics anxiety on mathematics performance in an environment where students have abundant mathematics learning experience and positive attitudes did not differ only between different mathematics anxiety levels.

In addition, while the mathematics performance of the students who are more motivated towards mathematics increases due to their average mathematics anxiety; mathematics performance of the students who are less motivated decreases due to their very low or very high mathematics anxiety. This observation occurred for both adolescents and adults (Wang et al., 2015). As the aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics and how and to what extent mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics of middle school eighth-grade students affect the students’ mathematics performance in the High School Entrance Exam (HSEE), above-mentioned constructs will be used in the scales to detail the relationships between mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics and mathematics achievement.

**Method**

This is a relational screening study that examines the role of anxiety and motivation in mathematics achievement of eighth-grade students in the transition test from middle school to high school. Relational (correlation) screening is a research model that aims to determine the relationship between two and more variables and to determine the presence and/or degree of change of these variables together (Creswell, 2002).

**Participants**

The sample of the study consisted of 777 students from 10 different middle schools in a district of a metropolitan area in the Aegean region of Turkey. The gender distribution of the students who form the sample of the research is shown in Table 1.

| Gender | N   | %    |
|--------|-----|------|
| Female | 405 | 52.12|
| Male   | 372 | 47.88|
| Total  | 777 | 100.00|

Table 1. Gender Distribution of the Participants
According to MoNE’s report for the first and second term of 2015-2016 academic year, in the High School Entrance Exam (HSEE), the average mathematics score of Turkish students in general was 42.48 (Calculated by taking the average of first and second terms’ averages; MoNE, 2016b), while the average mathematics score of students who participated in this research was 45.61 (Calculated by taking the average mathematics exam scores of participants). This shows how close the sample is to representing the universe.

Data Collection Tools

In this study, Mathematics Anxiety Scale for Elementary School Students (MASESS) that was developed by Şentürk (2010) and the Mathematics Motivation Scale (MMS) that was adapted by Aktan and Tezci (2013) with validity and reliability studies were used as data collection tools. In the selection of the scale, researchers paid attention that the studies were closer to the present and up to date. In addition, the demographic information of the students was obtained with the personal information form developed by the researcher. Finally, in order to demonstrate the mathematics achievement of the students, scores from the HSEE were used.

Mathematics Anxiety Scale for Elementary School Students (MASESS) developed by Şentürk (2010) is a 5-point Likert-type scale consisting of 22 items. The sub-dimensions of the scale were attitude anxiety (4 items), self-confidence anxiety (5 items), content knowledge anxiety (4 items), learning anxiety (4 items), and test anxiety (5 items). As a result of the reliability analysis conducted by the researcher, Cronbach alpha coefficient was found 0.883 for the whole scale.

In addition, depending on the extent to which the items of the scale aroused anxiety in students, students were asked to choose “Always Worried=5”, “Frequently Worried=4”, “Sometimes Worried=3”, “A Little Worried=2”, and “Never Worried=1”. The answers were scored as given above, and the total score was calculated as the mathematics anxiety score of the student. Below intervals were considered in the interpretation of the averages because MASESS is a 5-point Likert-type scale (Table 2).

| Intervals | Values          | Interpretation |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| 1.00-1.79 | Never Worried  | Very Low       |
| 1.80-2.59 | A Little Worried| Low            |
| 2.60-3.39 | Sometimes Worried| Moderate   |
| 3.40-4.19 | Frequently Worried| High        |
| 4.20-5.00 | Always Worried | Very High      |

Mathematical Motivation Scale (MMS) adapted to Turkish by Aktan and Tezci (2013) is a 5-point Likert-type scale consisting of 27 items. The MMS was developed based on the motivation part of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (MSLQ) developed by Pintrich et al. (1991). The sub-dimensions of the scale were intrinsic goal orientation (3 items), extrinsic goal orientation (4 items), task value (5 items), control of learning beliefs (5 items), learning self-efficacy (6 items), and test anxiety (4 items).

In addition, students were asked to choose “Strongly Disagree=1”, “Disagree=2”, “Undecided=3”, “Agree=4”, and “Strongly Agree=5” and the responses were scored as above. As a result of the reliability analysis conducted for the MMS in this study, the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was found to be 0.707 for the whole scale while all six dimensions were present. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient increased to 0.838 by eliminating the dimension of test anxiety (4 items). The elimination of this dimension increased the reliability of the analysis and this increase showed that the examination of text anxiety with a separate scale was not useful as this sub-dimension was already examined with the MASESS. Based on this value, the measurements made with the MMS were reliable. Below intervals were considered in the interpretation of the averages because MMS is a 5-point Likert-type scale (Table 3).

| Intervals | Values       | Interpretation |
|-----------|--------------|----------------|
| 1.00-1.79 | Strongly Disagree | Very Low     |
| 1.80-2.59 | Disagree     | Low           |
| 2.60-3.39 | Undecided    | Moderate      |
| 3.40-4.19 | Agree        | High          |
| 4.20-5.00 | Strongly Agree | Very High   |
Data Collection Process

The study was planned to be conducted with a total of 978 eighth-grade students in 10 middle schools of a province in the Aegean region of Turkey at the end of 2015-2016 school year. Participation of students in this research was voluntary. Permission was granted from the district education directorates in order to conduct the study. Later the forms were transformed into scantron forms and researchers handed out these forms to the students in each school. After receiving the answers, some of the forms were eliminated because some of the students who participated in the study left some items blank while filling out the forms, some of the students’ scores from HSEE were missing due to these students making school changes in the second semester during the study, and some of the students’ answers were found to be inconsistent during the control of the forms. As a result of the elimination, a total of 777 students’ forms were considered valid.

Data Analysis

In the analysis of the obtained data, descriptive analysis, independent samples t-test, correlation analysis, and structural equation modeling were performed for each research question.

Descriptive Analysis. In order to determine mathematics anxiety and mathematics motivation levels of the students participating in the research, means scores of the scales and standard deviation values were used. The mean scores, skewness and kurtosis values of each item and overall of the scales were examined to identify whether data obtained from the MASESS and MMS. While skewness and kurtosis values were zero in the standard normal distribution, it can be said that the data exhibits univariate normal distribution because skewness and kurtosis values of the MASESS and MMS stayed between ±2 (Chou & Bentler, 1995; Curran, West, & Finch, 1996). From this point of view, it was observed that the data had a normal distribution according to skewness and kurtosis values and the general average of the scales was suitable for the normal distribution.

The Independent Samples t-Test. This test was used to compare students’ mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics according to gender, pre-school education, support and training courses, and private tutoring. Levene test was used to check whether the variances of the data were distributed homogeneously. The relationship between the dimensions of the scales was analyzed by Pearson correlation analysis. SPSS 20.0 package program was used for the mentioned analyses.

Correlation Analysis. Pearson moments correlation analysis was used to determine whether there was a relationship between students’ mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics.

Structural Equation Model (SEM). This approach was used to determine the power of students’ mathematics achievement in HSEE to interpret their mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics and test the model that was established related to the relationships between these variables. SEM is a comprehensive statistical method used to test models with hypotheses for causal and correlational relationships between observed and invisible (latent) variables (Holley, 1995). As explained above, HSEE was chosen as the achievement criterion because mathematics content in this exam has the highest coefficient while determining students’ overall performance. Also, the score that students receive from this exam determines which high schools they will be attending to. That is another reason why students’ performance in this exam was counted as achievement criterion. Especially in Turkey, the placement by examination system, which started in the transition from middle school to high school, continues in the transition to all subsequent education levels. In addition, considering the fact that there is an examination in the staff selection process to the governmental agencies, the importance of mathematics in exams is more understandable (Başar, Unal, & Yağcın, 2002). This analysis was performed using LISREL 9.30 package program. In the evaluation of SEM analysis, the path diagram was first examined. In the diagram, standardized values and t-values were examined. Since the absolute value of the standardized values should not be greater than 1, these values were checked, and it was seen that the absolute value of all values was not greater than 1.

Findings

Finding Regarding Descriptive Analysis

Descriptive analysis of the scores obtained from the MASESS was conducted to determine the anxiety levels of the students for mathematics. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 4.
Descriptive analysis of the scores obtained from the MASESS was conducted to determine the motivation levels of students towards mathematics. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 5. In the descriptive analysis of the data obtained from the MASESS, the item with the highest average ($=4.17, SS=1.20$) belongs to item 3, which is stated as “when I picked up my mathematics notebook”. The anxiety level was found to be highest in this item. The item with the lowest average ($=2.67, SS=1.55$) belongs to item 22, which is stated as “when my parents heard the low grade, I got from the mathematics exam”. In this item, the anxiety level was found to be the lowest. The averages of attitude anxiety ($=3.96, SS=1.02$), self-confidence anxiety ($=3.46, SS=1.15$), and content knowledge anxiety ($=3.74, SS=1.06$) sub-dimensions were found to be high. The averages of learning anxiety ($=2.89, SS=1.17$) and test anxiety ($=3.18, SS=1.11$) sub-dimensions were found to be low. It can be concluded that students experience less learning and test anxiety. The overall average of the students’ anxiety level ($=3.45, SS=91$) was also high.

Descriptive analysis of the scores obtained from the MMS was conducted to determine the motivation levels of students towards mathematics. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 5. In the descriptive analysis of the data obtained from the MMS, the item with the highest average ($=4.75, SS=55$) belongs to item 4, which is stated as “getting a good grade from mathematics class makes me very happy”. The motivation level was found to be highest in this item.
The item with the lowest average ( =2.96, SS=1.40) belongs to item 2, which is stated as “studying mathematics makes me very happy”. The motivation level was found to be lowest in this item. The averages of intrinsic goal orientation ( =3.42, SS=1.02), extrinsic goal orientation ( =4.44, SS=1.63), task value ( =3.47, SS=1.02), learning belief ( =3.73, SS=.89) and self-efficacy ( =3.41, SS=1.03) sub-dimensions were found to be high. Students’ motivation levels were high in all sub-dimensions. The sub-dimension with the highest average was the extrinsic goal orientation. This shows that the most motivating factors are the external goals. The overall average of the students’ motivation level ( =3.69, SS=.72) was also high.

| Item                                                                 | Self (M) | Intr (M) | SS    | Skewness | Kurtosis |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|-------|----------|----------|
| M1: I would like to learn the topics that I like, albeit difficult, in mathematics class. | 4.01     | 1.14     | 3.69  | -1.206   | .752     |
| M2: Studying mathematics makes me very happy.                       | 2.96     | 1.40     | 2.96  | -0.34    | 1.244    |
| M3: I do my mathematics homework to learn something, not for a good grade. | 3.29     | 1.33     | 3.34  | -0.361   | -0.971   |
| M4: Getting a good grade from mathematics class makes me very happy. | 4.75     | .55      | 3.42  | -2.341   | 5.220    |
| M5: I would like to get good grades from the exams so that mathematics is good in my report card. | 4.58     | .78      | 3.31  | -2.292   | 5.773    |
| M6: I would like to get higher grades than my friends in mathematics class. | 4.15     | 1.10     | 3.21  | -1.213   | .602     |
| M7: I would like to show my friends and family that I can be successful in mathematics class. | 4.26     | 1.02     | 3.21  | -1.509   | 1.787    |
| M8: I can use what I learned in mathematics class in other classes. | 3.52     | 1.24     | 3.21  | -0.568   | -0.568   |
| M9: It is important for me to learn the subjects in mathematics class. | 3.93     | 1.18     | 3.93  | -1.087   | .367     |
| M10: I am interested in the subjects of mathematics class.           | 3.16     | 1.36     | 3.47  | -0.242   | 1.070    |
| M11: The subjects of mathematics class are useful to me.             | 3.67     | 1.25     | 3.34  | -0.751   | -.358    |
| M12: I like the subjects of mathematics class.                      | 3.07     | 1.40     | 3.07  | -0.165   | 1.216    |
| M13: Understanding the subjects in mathematics class is very important to me. | 3.92     | 1.21     | 3.92  | -1.086   | .326     |
| M14: If I study properly, I can learn the subjects in mathematics class. | 4.09     | 1.09     | 4.09  | -1.221   | .882     |
| M15: If I cannot learn the subjects in mathematics class, that is my fault. | 3.21     | 1.36     | 3.21  | -0.266   | -1.083   |
| M16: If I study hard enough, I can learn the subjects of mathematics class. | 4.00     | 1.16     | 4.00  | -1.106   | .437     |
| M17: If I do not understand the subjects in mathematics class, this is because I am not studying hard enough. | 3.41     | 1.28     | 3.41  | -0.484   | -0.795   |
| M18: If I study mathematics, I think I will get a very good grade.   | 3.98     | 1.15     | 3.98  | -1.057   | .343     |
| M19: I am sure I can understand the most difficult subjects in mathematics textbook. | 3.09     | 1.34     | 3.09  | -0.144   | -1.020   |
| M20: I am sure I can learn the knowledge taught in mathematics class. | 3.54     | 1.22     | 3.54  | -0.578   | -0.496   |
| M21: I am sure I can understand the most difficult subjects that the teacher teaches in mathematics class. | 3.21     | 1.29     | 3.21  | -0.237   | -0.918   |
| M22: I am sure that I will get a high grade in homework and exams in mathematics class. | 3.34     | 1.24     | 3.34  | -0.346   | -0.695   |
| M23: I am sure I will be very successful in mathematics class.        | 3.31     | 1.29     | 3.31  | -0.316   | -0.837   |

The item with the lowest average ( =2.96, SS=1.40) belongs to item 2, which is stated as “studying mathematics makes me very happy”. The motivation level was found to be lowest in this item. The averages of intrinsic goal orientation ( =3.42, SS=1.02), extrinsic goal orientation ( =4.44, SS=1.63), task value ( =3.47, SS=1.02), learning belief ( =3.73, SS=.89) and self-efficacy ( =3.41, SS=1.03) sub-dimensions were found to be high. Students’ motivation levels were high in all sub-dimensions. The sub-dimension with the highest average was the extrinsic goal orientation. This shows that the most motivating factors are the external goals. The overall average of the students’ motivation level ( =3.69, SS=.72) was also high.
Independent samples $t$-test was used to determine whether the sub-dimensions and overall averages of the students’ anxiety and motivation levels in mathematics class differed by gender. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 6.

### Table 6. Independent Samples $t$-test Results in terms of Gender

| Scale           | Gender | $N$  | $\bar{X}$ | SS   | Levene Test |
|-----------------|--------|------|-----------|------|-------------|
|                 |        |      |           |      | $F$  | $p$  | $t$  | $p$  |
| ATTANX          | Female | 406  | 3.95      | .97  | 3.538 | .060 | -.287 | .774 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.97      | 1.06 |       |      |       |      |
| SELFANX         | Female | 406  | 3.40      | 1.18 | 2.415 | .121 | -1.601 | .110 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.53      | 1.11 |       |      |       |      |
| CONANX          | Female | 406  | 3.73      | 1.016| 3.802 | .051 | -1.499 | .168 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.76      | 1.12 |       |      |       |      |
| LERANX          | Female | 406  | 2.74      | 1.12 | 2.825 | .093 | -4.076 | .000*|
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.07      | 1.19 |       |      |       |      |
| TESTANX         | Female | 406  | 3.05      | 1.11 | .282  | .595 | -3.230 | .001*|
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.31      | 1.09 |       |      |       |      |
| Anxiety Overall | Female | 406  | 3.37      | .91  | .077  | .782 | -2.412 | .016*|
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.53      | .91  |       |      |       |      |
| Average         | Female | 406  | 3.50      | .97  | 3.342 | .068 | 2.313  | .021*|
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.33      | 1.05 |       |      |       |      |
| Intrinsic       | Female | 406  | 4.46      | .59  | 3.059 | .074 | 1.177  | .240 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 4.41      | .66  |       |      |       |      |
| Motivation      | Female | 406  | 3.48      | .97  | 3.016 | .083 | .232   | .817 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.47      | 1.06 |       |      |       |      |
| Task Value      | Female | 406  | 3.74      | .82  | 3.262 | .67  | .626   | .532 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.71      | .95  |       |      |       |      |
| Learning Belief | Female | 406  | 3.37      | .98  | 3.116 | .078 | -1.256 | .210 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.46      | 1.08 |       |      |       |      |
| Self-Efficacy   | Female | 406  | 3.71      | .68  | 3.087 | .079 | .718   | .473 |
|                 | Male   | 371  | 3.68      | .76  |       |      |       |      |

$Sd=775; *p<.05$

As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MASESS in terms of gender, attitude anxiety ($t=-2.87, p<.05$), self-confidence anxiety ($t=-1.601, p>.05$), and content knowledge anxiety ($t=-.499, p>.05$) sub-dimensions towards mathematics were not significantly different. A significant difference was found in learning anxiety sub-dimension of the MASESS ($t=-4.076, p<.05$). The learning anxiety of male students was found to be higher than female students. A significant difference was also found in test anxiety sub-dimension of the MASESS ($t=-3.230, p<.05$). Male students’ test anxiety was higher than female students. A significant difference was also found in the overall scores obtained from the MASESS ($t=-2.412, p<.05$). Male students have higher anxiety than female students.

As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MMS in terms of gender, significant differences were found only in intrinsic motivation sub-dimension ($t=2.313, p<.05$). The intrinsic motivation levels of female students were higher than male students. There was no significant difference in the extrinsic motivation ($t=1.177, p>.05$), task value ($t=2.232, p>.05$), learning belief ($t=6.26, p>.05$), and self-efficacy ($t=1.256, p>.05$) sub-dimension of the MMS and overall scale scores ($t=7.18, p>.05$).

### Findings Regarding Independent Samples $t$-Test

Independent samples $t$-test was used to determine whether the sub-dimensions and overall averages of the students’ anxiety and motivation levels in mathematics class differed by pre-school education. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 7. As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MASESS in terms of taking pre-school education, attitude anxiety ($t=4.77, p<.05$), self-confidence anxiety ($t=8.37, p<.05$), content knowledge anxiety ($t=1.213, p>.05$), learning anxiety ($t=3.18, p>.05$), and test anxiety ($t=1.108, p>.05$) sub-dimensions towards mathematics were not significantly different.

Similarly, no significant difference was found in the overall scores obtained from the MASESS ($t=3.123, p>.05$). As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MMS in terms of taking pre-school education,
significant differences were found in the intrinsic motivation ($t=3.517$, $p<.05$), task value ($t=2.927$, $p<.05$), and learning belief ($t=2.840$, $p<.05$) sub-dimensions and the overall scores of the scale ($t=2.785$, $p<.05$). The levels of intrinsic motivation, task value, and learning belief of students who said “No” were higher than those who said “Yes”. There were no significant differences in the extrinsic motivation ($t=.336$, $p>.05$) and self-efficacy ($t=1.140$, $p>.05$) sub-dimensions of the MMS.

Table 7. Independent Samples $t$-test Results in terms of Pre-school Education

| Scale            | Pre-school education | $N$ | SS  | $F$  | $p$  | $t$  | $p$  |
|------------------|----------------------|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|
| ATTANX           | Yes                  | 420 | 3.98| 1.03 | .203 | .653 | .477 | .663 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.94| .99  |
| SELFANX          | Yes                  | 420 | 3.49| 1.21 | 11.089 | .001* | .837 | .403 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.42| 1.08 |
| CONANX           | Yes                  | 420 | 3.79| 1.08 | .399 | .528 | 1.213 | .225 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.69| 1.05 |
| LERANX           | Yes                  | 420 | 2.89| 1.19 | 1.844 | .175 | -.318 | .750 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 2.91| 1.14 |
| TESTANX          | Yes                  | 420 | 3.22| 1.14 | 1.763 | .185 | 1.108 | .268 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.13| 1.08 |
| Anxiety Overall  | Yes                  | 420 | 3.47| .93  | 3.123 | .078 | .789 | .430 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.42| .89  |
| Average          | Yes                  | 420 | 3.30| 1.01 | .120 | .729 | -3.517 | .000* |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.56| 1.01 |
| Intrinsic        | Yes                  | 420 | 4.45| .64  | .143 | .706 | .336 | .737 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 4.43| .62  |
| Motivation       | Yes                  | 420 | 3.38| 1.04 | 2.133 | .145 | -2.927 | .004* |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.59| .97  |
| Task Value       | Yes                  | 420 | 3.65| .87  | 1.128 | .289 | -2.840 | .005* |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.83| .89  |
| Learning Belief  | Yes                  | 420 | 3.38| 1.08 | 3.764 | .053 | -1.140 | .255 |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.46| .97  |
| Self-Efficacy    | Yes                  | 420 | 3.63| .72  | .013 | .911 | -2.785 | .005* |
|                  | No                   | 357 | 3.77| .71  |

$sd=775; *p<.05$

Independent samples $t$-test was used to determine whether the sub-dimensions and overall averages of the students’ anxiety and motivation levels in mathematics class differed by support and training courses. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 7. As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MASESS in terms of attending the support and training courses in the schools, significant differences were found in the self-confidence anxiety ($t=3.047$, $p<.05$) and content knowledge anxiety ($t=2.308$, $p<.05$) sub-dimensions towards mathematics.

The averages of the students who said “Yes” in terms of self-confidence anxiety and content knowledge anxiety were found to be higher than those who said “No”. Students who attended the support and training courses had higher levels of self-confidence anxiety and content knowledge anxiety than those who did not. There was no significant difference in the attitude anxiety ($t=1.946$, $p>.05$), learning anxiety ($t=.166$, $p>.05$), and test anxiety ($t=0.015$, $p>.05$) sub-dimensions towards mathematics and the overall scores obtained from the MASESS ($t=1.780$, $p>.05$).

As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MMS in terms of attending the support and training courses in the schools, significant differences were found in the intrinsic motivation ($t=4.640$, $p<.05$), extrinsic motivation ($t=3.882$, $p<.05$), task value ($t=3.443$, $p<.05$), and learning belief ($t=2.531$, $p<.05$) sub-dimensions and the overall scores obtained from the scale ($t=4.081$, $p<.05$). The averages of the students who said “Yes” in terms of intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, task value, learning belief, and the overall scores were higher than those who said “No”. There was no significant difference only in the self-efficacy ($t=1.686$, $p>.05$) sub-dimension of the MMS. Independent samples $t$-test was used to determine whether the sub-dimensions and overall averages of the students’ anxiety and motivation levels in mathematics class differed by private tutoring. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 9.
As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MASESS in terms of taking private tutoring, significant differences were observed in all sub-dimensions of the scale and the overall scores obtained from the training courses and support. The results of the t-test showed that there were significant differences in the overall scores and anxiety levels between those who received private tutoring and those who did not.

The t-test results for private tutoring showed that the scores for extrinsic anxiety, intrinsic motivation, task value, learning belief, and self-efficacy were significantly different between those who received private tutoring and those who did not. The scores for the overall average were also significantly different between the two groups.

The Levene test results showed that the variances were equal between the two groups for all sub-dimensions and overall scores. The p-values for the Levene test were all greater than 0.05, indicating that the variances were not significantly different.

The results of the t-test and Levene test were consistent with the expectations. The differences in scores between those who received private tutoring and those who did not were significant, indicating that private tutoring had a positive impact on the psychological well-being of students.

In conclusion, the results of the analysis of the MASESS scores in terms of private tutoring showed that private tutoring had a significant impact on the psychological well-being of students. The findings support the importance of private tutoring in improving the well-being and academic performance of students.
significant differences were found in the attitude anxiety ($t=3.185$, $p<.05$), self-confidence anxiety ($t=4.482$, $p<.05$), content knowledge anxiety ($t=2.518$, $p<.05$), learning anxiety ($t=2.505$, $p<.05$), test anxiety ($t=2.640$, $p<.05$) and the overall scores obtained from the MASESS ($t=3.726$, $p<.05$). The averages of the students who said “Yes” in terms of all sub-dimensions of the scale were higher than the students who said “No”. It was observed that the anxiety levels of the students taking private tutoring were higher than those who did not.

As a result of the analysis of the scores obtained from the MMS in terms of taking private tutoring, only significant differences were found in the intrinsic motivation ($t=4.640$, $p<.05$) and self-efficacy ($t=1.686$, $p<.05$) sub-dimensions. The intrinsic motivation levels of the students who said “No” were higher than those who said “Yes”. On the other hand, the self-efficacy levels of the students who said “Yes” were higher than those who said “No”. There was no significant difference in the extrinsic motivation ($t=-0.79$, $p>.05$), task value ($t=-0.649$, $p>.05$), learning belief ($t=-1.438$, $p>.05$) sub-dimension of the MMS and the overall scores obtained from the scale ($t=-0.439$, $p>.05$).

**Findings Regarding Correlation Analysis**

Correlation analysis was performed to determine whether there was a relationship between students’ mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 10.

|                | ATTANX | SELFANX | CONANX | LERANX | TESTANX | Anxiety Overall | Intrinsic | Extrinsic | Task Value | Learning Belief | Self-Efficacy |
|----------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|---------|----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| SELFANX        | .671*  |         |        |        |         |                |           |           |            |                |              |
| CONANX         | .644*  | .631*   |        |        |         |                |           |           |            |                |              |
| LERANX         | .456*  | .550*   | .569*  |        |         |                |           |           |            |                |              |
| TESTANX        | .580*  | .645*   | .619*  | .664*  |         |                |           |           |            |                |              |
| Anxiety Overall| .802*  | .849*   | .834*  | .792*  | .852*   |                | .344*     | .390*     | .311*      | .181*          | .284*        |
| Intrinsic      | .177*  | .156*   | .164*  | -.016  | .035    | .122*          | .281**    |           |            |                |              |
| Task Value     | .413*  | .412*   | .367*  | .216*  | .335*   | .420*          | .683**    | .334*     |            |                |              |
| Learning Belief| .344*  | .274*   | .289*  | .168*  | .243*   | .316*          | .512**    | .325*     | .619*      |                |              |
| Self-Efficacy  | .405*  | .490*   | .394*  | .306*  | .382*   | .478*          | .522**    | .302*     | .676*      | .620*          |              |
| Motivation Overall | .446* | .462* | .404* | .239* | .350* | .457* | .800** | .515* | .880* | .801* | .831* |

As a result of the correlation analysis, the highest correlation between the attitude anxiety (ATTANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the task value sub-dimension of the motivation ($r=-0.41$, $p<.05$). The lowest correlation between the attitude anxiety (ATTANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the extrinsic sub-dimension of the motivation ($r=0.18$, $p<.05$). As a result of correlation analysis, the highest correlation between the self-confidence anxiety (SELFANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation ($r=0.49$, $p<.05$). The lowest correlation between the self-confidence anxiety (SELFANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the extrinsic sub-dimension of the motivation ($r=-0.16$, $p<.05$). As a result of correlation analysis, the highest correlation between the content knowledge anxiety (CONANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation ($r=-0.39$, $p<.05$). The lowest correlation between the content knowledge anxiety (CONANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the extrinsic sub-dimension of the motivation ($r=-0.16$, $p<.05$). The correlation between these dimensions was low; however, the correlation between attitude anxiety, self-confidence anxiety, and content knowledge anxiety and sub-dimensions of the motivation were positive and moderate.
As a result of the correlation analysis, the highest correlation between the learning anxiety (LERANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation ($r= .31$, $p< .05$). The lowest correlation between the learning anxiety (LERANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the learning belief sub-dimension of the motivation ($r= .17$, $p< .05$). The correlation between these dimensions was low. In addition, there was no significant relationship between learning anxiety (LERANX) and extrinsic sub-dimension of the motivation ($r= -.02$, $p>.05$). As a result of the correlation analysis, the highest correlation between the test anxiety (TESTANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation ($r= .38$, $p< .05$). The lowest correlation between the test anxiety (TESTANX) and motivation sub-dimensions was between the learning belief sub-dimension of the motivation ($r= .24$, $p< .05$). The correlation between these dimensions was low. In addition, no significant relationship was found between the test anxiety (TESTANX) and the extrinsic sub-dimension of the motivation ($r= .04$, $p< .05$).

Findings Regarding Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) analysis was conducted in this study where the effect of mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics on middle school eighth-grade students’ mathematics achievement was examined. As a result of the analysis, the diagram showing the standardized path coefficients for the proposed model is shown in Figure 1. In the SEM analysis, the following fit indices were obtained. When these values were examined, it was observed that fit indices were excellent or acceptable except SRMR value (SRMR=.13; Table 11). The $t$-values obtained at the end of SEM are shown in Figure 2.

Table 11. Fit indices for the proposed model

| Fit Index | Acceptable limit | Perfect fit limit |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|
| NFI = 0.96 | = .90 and above   | = .95 and above   |
| NNFI = 0.97 | = .90 and above   | = .95 and above   |
| PNFI = 0.91 | = .90 and above   | = .95 and above   |
| CFI = 0.97  | = .95 and above   | = .97 and above   |
| IFI = 0.97  | = .90 and above   | = .95 and above   |
| RFI = 0.95  | = .90 and above   | = .95 and above   |
| SRMR = 0.13 | = .80 and above   | = .05 and above   |
| RMSEA = 0.057 | = between .05 and .8 | = between .00 and <.05 |
| $\chi^2/sd = 3667.52/1030 = 3.56$ | $\chi^2/\text{sd} < 3$ | $\chi^2/\text{sd} < 3$ |
| Degrees of Freedom = 1030 |                           |                  |
| Chi-square = 3667.52 |                           |                  |
Shur (2008, p.4) stated that the value of the path coefficient is weak if it is less than 0.10; if it is between 0.10-0.50, then it is moderate; and if it is greater than 0.50, it shows a strong effect. Accordingly, when the direct effects were examined, the state that the success was related to “anxiety” predicted the attitude anxiety (β= .87, t=18.69) at a strong level, the self-confidence anxiety (β= .86, t=17.54) at a strong level, the content knowledge anxiety (β= .87, t=19.07) at a strong level, the learning anxiety (β= .75, t=18.03) at a strong level, and the test anxiety (β= .88, t=20.69) at a strong level. The sub-dimensions of the anxiety, ATTANX, SELFANX, CONANX, LERANX, and TESTANX, predicted anxiety. All path coefficients were significant. The highest path coefficient belonged to TESTANX with .88.

The state that the success was related to “motivation” predicted the intrinsic motivation (β= .85, t=16.73) at a strong level, the extrinsic motivation (β= .70, t=10.44) at a strong level, the task value (β= .92, t=16.70) at a strong level, the learning belief (β= .80, t=16.52) at a strong level, and the self-efficacy (β= .80, t=17.08) at a strong level. The sub-dimensions of the motivation, INTRINSIC, EXTRINSIC, TASK, BELIEF, and SELF-EFFICACY, predicted motivation. All path coefficients were significant. The highest path coefficient belonged to TASK with .92. It was found that the internal variable of our study, SUCCESS, was predicted by MAT1NOT and MAT2NOT, and MAT1NOT scores predicted success better (β= .86, t=21.84). It was determined that anxiety (ANXIETY) predicted success better (β= .44, t=10.94), followed by motivation (MOTIV; β= .32, t=7.62). In this case, it can be said that anxiety and motivation factors are important for increasing the success of the students in the exam they will enter during the transition process from middle school to high school.

(Chi square=3667.52, sd=1030, p-value=0.000, RMSEA=0.057)

**Figure 2. t-values**

**Discussion and Conclusion**

In this study, the effect of anxiety and motivation on the mathematics achievement of eighth-grade students in the transition test from middle school to high school was examined. Whether the eighth-grade students’ mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics differed in terms of some demographic variables (gender, pre-school education, support and training courses, and private tutoring) was also investigated. Looking at the literature, Ayan (2014) examined the mathematics anxiety of middle school students according to their grade levels and stated that eighth-grade students had higher levels of anxiety compared to students at other grade levels. In the study conducted by Dede and Dursun (2008) with middle school students, anxiety levels of the students were found to be moderate. As Simpkins et al. (2006) stated, people with negative attitudes and beliefs tend to avoid advanced mathematics subjects and courses. It can be said that as a result of the negative attitudes of the students towards mathematics and their decreased self-confidence, their mathematics anxiety increases. As Işık, Çiltaş, and Bekdemir (2008) stated, negative attitudes towards mathematics prevent students from revealing their abilities in mathematics. Finally, it can be concluded that while students’ decreased self-confidence causes their anxiety to increase, students’ increased self-confidence decreases their anxiety levels towards mathematics.
As a result of examining the relationship between sub-dimensions of the MASESS and gender of the students, there was no significant difference in attitude anxiety, self-confidence anxiety and content knowledge anxiety sub-dimensions. However, there was a significant difference in learning anxiety and test anxiety sub-dimensions in terms of gender. Male students’ learning anxiety and test anxiety were found to be higher than female students. A significant difference was also found in the overall scores obtained from the MASESS, and the male students’ anxiety was higher than female students. Some studies emphasized that mathematics anxiety levels of students did not differ significantly according to gender (Dede & Dursun, 2008; Takunyaci, 2012), while some studies determined that female students had higher mathematics anxiety levels than male students (Hyde, Fennema, Ryan, Frost, & Hopp, 1990; Yuksel-Sahin, 2008). In the findings of Şentürk’s (2010) study, which was consistent with the findings of this study and also used the anxiety scale used in this study, researcher emphasized that male students had higher levels of anxiety than female students; and that this might be a positive development due to the increasing importance given to the education of girls in recent years. Finally, in the literature, many studies stated that female students have more anxiety than male students (Doruk & Kaplan, 2013; Else-Quest, Hyde & Linn, 2010; Mutodi & Ngirande, 2014). In the above mentioned sources, the reason why female students’ mathematics anxiety was found to be higher was explained as the results of the low perception of female students’ self-efficacy, the gender stereotypes in society, and the false perception that males are more successful in quantitative fields such as mathematics and science (Dowker, Sarkar, & Looi, 2016).

Pre-school education had no effect on anxiety levels of the students. In the study of Aktan (2012), the anxiety levels of the students who received pre-school education were found to be lower than their peers who did not. Similarly, in many studies, students who received pre-school education were found to be more successful in academic fields such as mathematics and Turkish than students who did not receive pre-school education; and, students reflect the physical, social and emotional skills they have acquired in pre-school education to their later life (Dağlı, 2007; Güven & Balat, 2006; Kandır & Uyanık, 2010).

It was concluded that the support and training courses opened in the school did not have a significant effect on students’ mathematics anxiety. Similarly, in their studies with middle school students, Adal and Yavuz (2017) reported that mathematics anxiety levels of students did not differ according to their participation in the support and training courses related to mathematics. In a study conducted by Berk (2018), administrators and teachers emphasized that academic support programs conducted outside the school play an important role in reducing the differences between learning levels based on individual characteristics.

It was concluded that taking private tutoring outside the school had a significant effect on the overall and sub-dimensions of mathematics anxiety levels. Anxiety levels of students taking private tutoring were higher than those who did not. Delioğlu (2017), in her study, found that students taking private tutoring had higher mathematics anxiety compared to students who did not. Finally, the reason why the anxiety levels of students who do not take any private tutoring are lower than their peers taking private tutoring might be explained as the increase in anxiety levels while the students strive for more success. However, since the reasons why students who do not take private tutoring are doing so cannot be known, as the only factor that causes the increase in anxiety levels of the students who take private tutoring can be seen the increase in the expectations created by the responsibility and awareness of taking private tutoring.

In the study, the students’ overall motivation levels and the motivation levels in the sub-dimensions were found to be high. The highest motivation level in the sub-dimensions was the extrinsic goal orientation sub-dimension. In a study in which Tonguç (2013) investigated the predictive power of the eighth-grade students’ motivation levels and self-regulated learning strategies for mathematics achievement. In the study, intrinsic goal orientation, task value, learning control belief, self-efficacy perception levels of female students were found to be higher than male students. Finally, the high level of female students’ intrinsic motivation in mathematics learning and low level of mathematics anxiety is an indicator of the significance of the data because the literature review indicates that mathematics achievement has a positive relationship with motivation towards mathematics (Ashcraft, 2002;
Pintrich & Groot, 1990) and a negative relationship with mathematics anxiety (Hembree, 1990; Lyons & Beilock, 2012).

Pre-school education has a significant effect on the students’ overall motivation levels and the motivation levels in the sub-dimensions. The levels of intrinsic motivation, task value, and learning belief were found to be higher in the students who did not receive pre-school education than the students who received pre-school education. In addition, there was no significant difference in the extrinsic motivation and self-efficacy, the other sub-dimensions of the motivation. McCleland, Acock, and Morrison (2006) stated that the motivation towards mathematics and mathematics achievement of students who received pre-school education were higher than their peers who did not receive pre-school education. As a result of the comparison of the research and the literature, the fact that motivation levels of the students who did not receive pre-school education were higher than their peers who received pre-school education is one of the interesting findings of this research.

While the support and training courses had a significant effect on the students’ overall motivation levels and the motivation levels in the sub-dimensions of the scale, except the self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation scale. These significant differences were in favor of students attending support and training courses. The studies on this subject were generally qualitative and the views of teachers and students about support and training courses were included in these studies. Researchers in these studies emphasized that support and training courses improve students’ problem-solving skills, increase their success, and increase their motivation (Nartgün & Dilekçi, 2016; Ünsal & Korkmaz, 2016). Finally, this research will contribute to the literature because there is no quantitative study examining the mathematics anxiety and motivation levels of students who attend the support and training courses opened in schools.

The motivation of the students taking private tutoring differed significantly only in the intrinsic motivation and self-efficacy sub-dimensions. The intrinsic motivation levels of the students who did not take private tutoring were higher than the students who took private tutoring. On the other hand, self-efficacy of students taking private tutoring was higher than those who did not take private tutoring. There were no significant differences in other dimensions of the motivation. Mahoney, Lord, and Caryl (2005) concluded that not only the academic achievement, but also motivation and attitudes of the students who took private tutoring were higher than those who did not take private tutoring. Finally, it was concluded that students who took private tutoring outside of school were more motivated towards mathematics and this affected their academic success positively. These findings are similar to the studies found in the literature (Berk, 2018).

The highest correlation between the attitude anxiety towards mathematics and motivation sub-dimensions was obtained for the task value sub-dimension. The highest correlation was between self-confidence anxiety, content knowledge anxiety, learning anxiety, and test anxiety sub-dimensions of the anxiety and self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation. In addition, the correlation between the attitude anxiety, self-confidence anxiety, and the content knowledge anxiety sub-dimensions of the anxiety and the extrinsic goal orientation sub-dimension of the motivation was found to be the lowest. There was no significant relationship only between learning anxiety and test anxiety sub-dimensions of the anxiety and the extrinsic goal orientation sub-dimension of the motivation. The lowest correlation between the learning anxiety and test anxiety sub-dimensions of the anxiety and the sub-dimensions of the motivation was found between the learning belief sub-dimension of the motivation.

Except attitude anxiety, all sub-dimensions of the anxiety were mostly related to self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation. This is an indicator of that students’ competencies to complete a task affects their anxiety levels that originate from the lack of self-confidence and content knowledge along with their anxiety towards learning and test. In the study of Ashcraft and Krause (2007), researchers reported that the students who have high level of anxiety towards mathematics take low grades from mathematics is not only related to anxiety, but also low mathematics knowledge that increases mathematics anxiety. In addition, they expressed that the anxiety towards mathematics might be due to the low self-confidence and deterioration of working memory. Tapia and Marsh (2004) emphasized that mathematics anxiety had a significant effect on self-confidence and motivation and that students with low mathematics anxiety had higher self-confidence and motivation towards mathematics than students with high mathematics anxiety.

There is a positive relationship between students’ positive attitudes towards mathematics and the importance given to the subjects of mathematics. In the study of Adal and Yavuz (2017), researchers stated that students with positive attitudes towards mathematics felt or perceived themselves more sufficient in mathematics. Finally, it can be concluded that a way to motivate students is the development of positive attitude and the explanation of how important and functional the subjects they will learn are.
Students’ self-confidence, content knowledge, learning, and test anxiety sub-dimensions affected mostly self-efficacy sub-dimension of the motivation. From this, it was determined that self-efficacy was associated with mathematics anxiety. In the study that Kahramanoğlu and Deniz (2017) examined the relationship between metacognitive skills, mathematics self-efficacy and mathematics achievement of middle school students, a positive and high-level relationship was found between mathematics self-efficacy and mathematics achievement. Hence, it can be concluded that the increase in mathematics self-efficacy of students will increase their success and indirectly develop a positive attitude towards mathematics and this will affect the anxiety level of students towards mathematics. As a result, the motivation sub-dimension that was mostly affected by the students’ anxiety towards mathematics was self-efficacy. In their study, Adal and Yavuz (2017) found that the motivation sub-dimension that affected the anxiety levels of eighth-grade students at least was extrinsic goal orientation. For students whose extrinsic goal orientation is not dominant, the reward or appreciation they receive as a result of their achievement is not important. In addition, Gottfried et al. (2007) reported that those who had higher academic intrinsic motivation in various populations from childhood to adolescence were more talented at school and generally had greater academic achievement, lower academic anxiety, and less extrinsic motivation.

In the study, it was concluded that the overall and sub-dimensions of mathematics anxiety were strong predictors of mathematics achievement. This shows that students’ mathematics anxiety affects their success in mathematics. Similar results were reached in the studies on this subject and it was emphasized that there was a moderate negative relationship between mathematics achievement and mathematics anxiety (Dursun & Bindak, 2011; Pourmoslemi, Erfani, & Firoozfar, 2013; Siebers, 2015; Yenilmez & Özbacı, 2003). Based on these results, students’ positive attitude towards mathematics will reduce mathematics anxiety. Students’ good feelings and developing positive attitude towards mathematics will have a positive effect on mathematics achievement by reducing mathematics anxiety.

Similar to anxiety, it was concluded that the students’ overall motivation levels and the motivation levels in the sub-dimensions were strong predictors of mathematics achievement. This shows that students’ motivation towards mathematics affects their success in mathematics. Pintrich and Schunk (1996), Uluçay (2017), and Tonguç (2013) emphasized that students with high motivation towards mathematics increased their mathematics achievement. The common emphasis of different studies (Pintrich & Schunk, 1996; Uluçay, 2017; Tonguç, 2013) indicated that the students who have high motivation towards mathematics have high achievement in mathematics. Therefore, there is a positive relationship between mathematics achievement and motivation towards mathematics.

Finally, it was found that anxiety predicted achievement more than motivation, in which case the most important factor affecting mathematics achievement was anxiety and then motivation. Pintrich and De Groot (1990) found that self-regulation, self-efficacy, and test anxiety were important variables in predicting student performance in a study conducted on seventh-grade students. In their study, Wang et al. (2015) emphasized that moderate mathematics anxiety is beneficial rather than harming for students with high intrinsic motivation, and it will help students to study mathematics more and also to enjoy the mathematics learning process.

Recommendations

In order to increase students’ motivation towards mathematics and internalize success, first of all, creating learning environments that will enable students to experience success in mathematics, support their self-confidence, and develop positive attitudes towards mathematics are necessary. In mathematics class, teachers’ practices that will strengthen the comprehension of primary and middle school students, relate mathematics subjects with daily life, and make the lesson more interesting by using the various visuals will be very useful for the students.

Students’ having high levels of both anxiety and motivation towards mathematics is not expected. This raises the questions of whether the anxiety increases the motivation, or the motivation increases the anxiety. Answers to these questions can be sought in more depth with a separate study.

This study supports that moderate anxiety and motivation are necessary to increase mathematics achievement. Therefore, developing students’ self-regulation skills is necessary in order to manage their concerns. In addition, courses that will improve students’ emotional skills can be added. In order to reduce the high anxiety levels of students, support should be provided from the counselling service or specialist psychologists and improvements should be made to ensure that students’ anxiety is at an average level. Finally, teachers should be informed and
trained by experts. The change and development of students’ anxiety and motivation should be constantly monitored.

The students who attended support and training courses had higher motivation levels than their peers who did not attend such courses. For this reason, it will be beneficial to ensure that more students attend support and training courses, and that the courses are designed to increase students’ self-efficacy and be conducted in a way to develop students’ interests and abilities. In this research, the support and training course for the eighth-grade students selected as a sample is directed towards HSEE, which is considered as the mathematics achievement variable in the research. This may be the reason for the positive effect on students’ motivation.

The research was conducted only at the eighth-grade level and a more comprehensive and in-depth study could be conducted by selecting a mixed method design with students at secondary and higher education levels (economics, medicine, architecture, engineering, etc.). Thus, the change in anxiety and motivation of students and the change in mathematics achievement after HSEE can be observed because the process of career selection begins after this exam; and therefore, HSEE is at the intersection of academic development. In the study, anxiety levels of female students towards mathematics lesson were found to be lower than male students; motivation levels were higher than male students. While female students were closer to the desired student profile, male students were more distant. Therefore, this is in favor of female students and requires more in-depth research for male students. Whether variables such as gender perceptions and psychological differences have an effect on male students can be investigated in a separate study. The low motivation levels of students who received pre-school education compared to their peers who did not receive pre-school education is one of the interesting results of this study. Investigating the reasons of this result is important. Finally, the relationship between mathematics anxiety and motivation towards mathematics and mathematical skills (reasoning, problem-building and problem-solving skills, etc.) can also be investigated.

Acknowledgements

This study was produced from the BAP 2017/118 numbered master thesis project of the first author supported by Balıkesir University Scientific Research Projects unit.

References

Acar-Güvendir, M. (2016). Students’ extrinsic and intrinsic motivation level and its relationship with their mathematics achievement. *International Journal for Mathematics Teaching and Learning, 17*(1), 1-21.

Adal, A. A., & Yavuz, . (2017). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin matematik öz yeterlik algıları ile matematik kaygı düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki [The relationship between middle school students’ perceptions of mathematics self-efficacy and mathematics anxiety levels]. *Uluslararası Alan Eğitimi Dergisi, 3*(1), 20-41.

Akbaş, S. (2006). Eğitimde motivasyon [Motivation in education]. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Kazım Karabekir Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 13*, 343-361.

Aktaş, S. (2012). Öğrencilerin akademik başarısı, öz düzenleme becerisi, motivasyonu ve öğretmenlerin öğretim stilleri arasındaki ilişki [Relationship between students' academic success, self-regulation skills, motivation and teachers’ teaching styles (Unpublished doctoral dissertation)]. Balıkesir Üniversitesi, Balıkesir.

Aşık, S., & Tezci, E. (2013). Matematik motivasyon ölçeği (MMÖ) geçerlik ve güvenirlik çalışması [Validity and reliability study of Mathematics Motivation Scale (MMS)]. *International Journal of Social Science, 6*(4), 57-77.

Altun, S. A., & Çakan, M. (2008). Öğrencilerin sınav başarılara etki eden faktörler: LGS/OSS sınavlarındaki başarılı iller örneği [Factors affecting students’ exam success: Successful provinces in HSEE/SSE exams]. *İlköğretim Online Dergisi, 7*(1), 157-173.

Anderman, E. M., Maehr, M. L., & Midgley, C. (1999). Declining motivation after the transition to middle school: Schools can make a difference. *Journal of Research and Development in Education, 32*(3), 131-147.

Anılan, H. (2004). Bazı değişkenler açısından Türkçe dersinde okuduğunu anlama [Understanding what is read in Turkish lesson in terms of some variables]. *Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 6*(2), 89-102.

Arnsten, A. F. (2009). Stress signaling pathways that impair prefrontal cortex structure and function. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10*(6), 410.
Arslan, Ç., Güler, H. K., & Gürbüz, M. Ç. (2017). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin matematik kaygı düzeyleri ile öğrenme stratejileri arasındaki ilişki [The relationship between mathematics anxiety levels and learning strategies of middle school students]. Mehmet Akif Ersoy Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, (42), 123-142.

Ashcraft, M. H. (2002). Math anxiety: Personal, educational, and cognitive consequences. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 11(5), 181-185.

Ashcraft, M. H., & Kirk, E. P. (2001). The relationships among working memory, math anxiety, and performance. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 130(2), 224.

Ashcraft, M. H., Kirk, E. P., & Hopko, D. (1998). On the cognitive consequences of mathematics anxiety. In the C. Donlan (Ed.), Studies in developmental psychology. The development of mathematical skills (pp. 175–196). UK: Taylor & Francis.

Ashcraft, M. H., & Krause, J. A. (2007). Working memory, math performance, and math anxiety. Psychonomic Bulletin and Review, 14(2), 243-248.

Ashcraft, M. H., & Moore, A. M. (2009). Mathematics anxiety and the affective drop in performance. Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 27(3), 197-205.

Atkinson, J. W. (1964). An introduction to motivation. Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand.

Ayan, A. (2014). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin matematik öz-yeterlik algıları, motivasyonları, kaygıları ve tutumları arasındaki ilişki [Yayılmamamış yüksek lisans tezi] [The relationship between middle school students’ self-efficacy perceptions, motivations, anxieties and attitudes (Unpublished master’s thesis)]. Balıkesir Üniversitesi, Balıkesir.

Bai, H., Wang, L., Pan, W., & Frey, M. (2009). Measuring mathematics anxiety: Psychometric analysis of a bidimensional affective scale. Journal of Instructional Psychology, 36(3), 185-193.

Baloglu, M., & Koçak, R. (2006). A multivariate investigation of the differences in mathematics anxiety. Personality and Individual Differences, 40(7), 1325-1335.

Barron, K. E., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (2001). Achievement goals and optimal motivation: Testing multiple goal models. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 80(5), 706-722.

Başar, M., Ünal, M., & Yalçın, M. (September, 2002). İlköğretim kademesiyle başlayan matematik korkusunun nedenleri [Causes of mathematics fear starting with primary school]. V. Ulusal Fen Bilimleri ve Matematik Eğitimi Kongresi. Ankara: Orta Doğu Teknik Üniversitesi.

Becker, M., McElvany, N., & Kortenbruck, M. (2010). Intrinsic and extrinsic reading motivation as predictors of reading literacy: A longitudinal study. Journal of Educational psychology, 102(4), 773.

Bekdemir, M. (2009). Meslek yüksekokulu öğrencilerinin matematik kaygı düzeylerinin ve başarlarını değerlendirmesi [Evaluation of mathematics anxiety levels and achievements of vocational high school students]. Erzincan University Journal of Science and Technology, 2(2), 169-189.

Bennet, P. (1985). Quality of life: A phenomenological perspective on explanation, prediction, and understanding in nursing science. Advances in Nursing Science, 8(1), 1-14.

Berk, S. (2018). Assessment of public schools’ out-of-school time academic support programs with participant-oriented evaluation. Journal of Education and Learning, 7(3), 159-175.

Betz, N. E. (1978). Prevalence, distribution and correlates of math anxiety in college students. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 25(5), 441.

Bloom, B. S. (1979). Human characteristics and school learning. Çev.: D. Ali Özcêlik, Ankara: Milli Eğitim Basımevi, 1979.

Boaler, J. (2008). What's math got to do with it? Helping children learn from their most hated subject and why it's important for America. New York, NY: Viking.

Britner, S. L., & Pajares, F. (2001). Self-efficacy beliefs, motivation, race, and gender in middle school science. Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering, 7(2), 243-248.

Burns, M. (1998). Math: Facing an American phobia. Sausalito, CA: Math Solutions Publications.

Byrd, P. (1982). A descriptive study of mathematics anxiety: Its nature and antecedents (Unpublished doctoral thesis). Indiana University, Bloomington.

Cheng, C., & Cheung, M. W. (2005). Cognitive processes underlying coping flexibility: Differentiation and integration. Journal of Personality, 73(4), 859-886.

Chiu, L. H., & Henry, L. L. (1990). Development and validation of the mathematics anxiety scale for children. Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 23, 121-127.

Chou, C. P., & Bentler, P. M. (1995). Estimates and tests in structural equation modeling. In R. Hoyle (Ed.), Structural equation modeling: Issues, concepts, and applications (pp. 37-55). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Cooper, S. T., & Robinson, D. A. G. (1991). The relationship of mathematics self-efficacy beliefs to mathematics anxiety and performance. Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development, 24, 4-11.

Creswell, J. W. (2002). Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
Curran, P. J., West, S. G., & Finch, J. F. (1996). The robustness of test statistics to nonnormality and specification error in confirmatory factor analysis. *Psychological methods, 1*(1), 16.

Dağlı, A. (2007). Okul öncesi eğitim alan ve almayan ilköğretim birinci sınıf öğrencilerinin Türkçe ve matematik derslerindeki akademik başarılarının karşılaştırılması (Yüksek lisans tezi) [Comparison of the academic achievement of middle school primary school students with and without preschool education in Turkish and mathematics courses (Master’s thesis)]. Selçuk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Konya.

Dede, Y., & Dursun, Ş. (2008). İlköğretim II. kademe öğrencilerinin matematik kaygısı düzeylerinin incelenmesi [Investigation of mathematics anxiety levels of students in primary education II. level]. *Uludağ Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 21*(2), 295-312.

Dede, Y., & Yaman, S. (2008). Fen öğrenmeyi yönelik motivasyon örneği: Geçerlik ve güvenirlik çalışması [Motivation scale for learning science: Validity and reliability study]. *Necatibey Eğitim Fakültesi Elektronik Fen ve Matematik Eğitim Dergisi, 2*(1), 19-37.

Delioglu, H. N. (2017). Sekizinci sınıf sınıf öğretmenlerinin matematik başarısı ile sınav ve matematik kaygısı, matematikle yönelik öz-yeterlik algısı arasındaki ilişki (Yüksek lisans tezi) [Relationship between eighth grade students’ mathematics achievement and exam and math anxiety, self-efficacy perception towards mathematics (Master’s thesis)]. Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Aydın.

Diamond, A., Barnett, W. S., Thomas, J., & Munro, S. (2007). Preschool program improves cognitive control. *Science, 318*(5855), 1387-1788.

Diener, E. (2000). Subjective well-being. *American Psychologist, 55*, 34–43.

Dilek, H., Tasdemir, A., Konca, A. A., & Baltaci, S. (2020). Preschool children’s science motivation and process skills during inquiry-based stem activities. *Journal of Education in Science Environment and Health, 6*(2), 92-104.

Dowker, A., Sarkar, A., & Looi, C. Y. (2016). Mathematics anxiety: What have we learned in 60 years? *Frontiers in Psychology, 7*, 1-16.

Dursun, Ş., & Bindak, R. (2011). İlköğretim II. kademe öğrencilerinin matematik kaygısı düzeylerinin incelenmesi [Examining the math anxiety of the students in primary education II. level]. *Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi Edebiyat Fakültesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 35*(1), 18-21.

Eisenberg, S., & Patterson, L. E. (1979). Helping clients with special concerns. New York: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Else-Quest, N. M., Hyde, J. S., & Linn, M. C. (2010). Cross-national patterns of gender differences in mathematics: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin, 136*(1), 103.

Ergün, S. (2003). Okul öncesi eğitim alan ve almayan ilköğretim birinci sınıf öğretmenlerinin matematik yetenek ve başarılarının karşılaştırılması (Yayınlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi) [Comparative analysis of mathematics abilities and achievements of primary school students with and without preschool education (Unpublished master’s thesis)]. Marmara Üniversitesi, İstanbul.

Garcia, T., & Pintrich, P. R. (1994). Regulating motivation and cognition in the classroom: The role of self-schemas and self-regulatory strategies. In D.H. Schunk and B.J. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational applications* (pp. 127-153). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Garcia, T., & Pintrich, P. R. (1995). Assessing student’s motivation and learning strategies: The motivated strategies for learning questionnaire. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Education Research Association, San Francisco, CA.

Gledhill, R., & Van der Merwe, C. (1989). Gender as a factor in student learning: Preliminary findings. *Medical Education, 23*(2), 201–204.

Goetz, T., Frenzel, A. C., Hall, N. C., & Pekrun, R. (2008). Antecedents of academic emotions: Testing the internal/external frame of reference model for academic emotion. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 33*(1), 9-33.

Gottfried, A. E. (1982). Relationships between academic intrinsic motivation and anxiety in children and young adolescents. *Journal of School Psychology, 20*(3), 205-215.

Gottfried, A. E., Marcoulides, G. A., Gottfried, A. W., Oliver, P. H., & Guerin, D. W. (2007). Multivariate latent change modeling of developmental decline in academic intrinsic motivation and achievement: Childhood through adolescence. *International Journal of Behavioral Development, 31*(4), 317-327.

Güven, Y., ve Balat, G. (2006). Kayıtların uygulamalarına ilişkin rehber öğretmen ve rehberlik araştırma merkezi çalışanlarının görüşleri [Opinions of counselors teachers and counseling research center employees on inclusive practices]. *Marmara Üniversitesi Atatürk Eğitim Fakültesi Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi, 24*(24), 95-108.

Güzel, Ç. (2006). Uluslararası öğrenci değerlendirme programı’nda (PISA 2003 insan ve fiziksel kaynakların öğrencilerin matematik okuryazarlığına olan etkisinin kültürlar arası karşılaştırılması
Lee, C. (1989). The relationship between goal setting, self-efficacy, and female field hockey team performance. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 20(2), 147-161.

Lee, O., & Brophy, J. (1996). Motivational patterns observed in sixth-grade science classrooms. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33(3), 303-318.

Liu, E. Z. F., & Lin, C. H. (2010). The survey study of mathematics motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MMSLQ) for grade 10-12 Taiwanese students. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 9(2), 221-233.

Livingston, A., & Wirt, J. (2004). *The condition of education 2004 in brief* (NCES 2004-076). U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Lyons, I. M., & Beilock, S. L. (2012). When math hurts: math anxiety predicts pain network activation in anticipation of doing math. *PloS One*, 7(10), e48076.

Ma, X., & Kishor, N. (1997). Assessing the relationship between attitude toward mathematics and achievement in mathematics: A meta-analysis. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 28, 26-47.

Ma, X., & Xu, J. (2004). The causal ordering of mathematics anxiety and mathematics achievement: A longitudinal panel analysis. *Journal of Adolescence*, 27(2), 165-179.

Mahoney, J. L., Lord, H., & Carply, E. (2005). An ecological analysis of after-school program participation and the development of academic performance and motivational attributes for disadvantaged children. *Child Development*, 76(4), 811-825.

Maloney, E. A., & Beilock, S. L. (2012). Math anxiety: Who has it, why it develops, and how to guard against it. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(8), 404-406.

Martin, A. J. (2001). The student motivation scale: A tool for measuring and enhancing motivation. *Australian Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 11, 1-20.

Martin, A. J. (2004). Scholl motivation of boys and girls: Differences of degree, differences of kind, or both? *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 56, 133-146.

Maslow, A. H. (1962). *Towards a psychology of being*. Princeton: D. Van Nostrand Company.

McClelland, M. M., Acock, A. C., & Morrison, F. J. (2006). The impact of kindergarten learning-related skills on academic trajectories at the end of elementary school. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 21(4), 471-490.

McLeod, D. B. (1994). Research on affect and mathematics learning in the JRME: 1970 to the present. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 25(6), 637-647.

MoNE. (2016a). Öğrencilerin duygusal özellikleri matematik başarısına etkisi [The effect of students' affective characteristics on mathematics achievement]. Retrieved from http://odsgm.meb.gov.tr/test/analizler/docs/duysusal-ozellik-matematik-basarisi.pdf

MoNE. (2016b). 2015-2016 Öğretim yılı ortak sınavlar sayısal bilgiler [Numerical information of 2015-2016 academic year common exams]. Retrieved from http://odsgm.meb.gov.tr/test/analizler/

Miller, H., & Bichsel, J. (2004). Anxiety, working memory, gender, and math performance. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37(3), 591-606.

Miller, L. D., & Mitchell, C. E. (1994). Mathematics anxiety and alternative methods of evaluation. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 21(4), 353-358.

Mobrand, K. A., Turns, J., & Mobrand, L. M. (2013, July). Revealing and enhancing engineering undergraduate students’ motivation for the communication of professional practice through creation of communication preparedness portfolios in a studio setting. Paper presented at the Professional Communication Conference (IPCC), 2013 IEEE International (1-10). IEEE.

Mundia, L., & Metussin, H. (2019). Exploring factors that improve mathematics achievement in Brunei. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 60, 214-222.

Mutodi, P., & Ngirande, H. (2014). Exploring mathematics anxiety: Mathematics students’ experiences. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(1), 283-294.

Nartgün, Ş. S., & Dilekçi, Ü. (2016). Eğitimide destekleme ve yetiştirme kurslarına ilişkin öğrenci ve öğretmen görüşleri [Opinions of students and teachers about support and training courses]. *Kuram ve Uygulamada Eğitim Yönetimi*, 22(4), 537-564. doi: 10.14527/kuey.2016.021.

Newstead, K. (1998). Aspects of children's mathematics anxiety. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 36(1), 53-71.

Niemivirta, M. (1997). Gender differences in motivational-cognitive patterns of self-regulated learning. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.

Nolen, S. B., & Haladyna, T. M. (1989). *Psyching out the science teacher: Students’ motivation, perceived teacher goals and study strategies*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA, March.

Núñez-Peña, M. I., Suárez-Pellicioni, M., & Bono, R. (2013). Effects of math anxiety on student success in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 58, 36-43.
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (OECD) (2019). PISA 2018: Insights and Interpretations. Retrieved from http://www.oecd.org/pisa/PISA%202018%20Insights%20and%20Interpretations%20FINAL%20PDF.pdf

Otts, C. D. (2010). Self-Regulation and math attitudes: Effects on academic performance in developmental math courses at a community college (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS.

Pajares, F., & Graham, L. (1999). Self-efficacy, motivation constructs, and mathematics performance of entering middle school students. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 24(2), 124-139.

Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Titz, W., & Perry, R. P. (2002). Academic emotions in students’ self-regulated learning and achievement: A program of qualitative and quantitative research. Educational Psychologist, 37, 91–105.

Preckel, F., Goetz, T., Pekrun, R., & Kleine, M. (2008). Gender differences in gifted and average-ability students: Comparing girls’ and boys’ achievement, self-concept, interest, and motivation in mathematics. Gifted Child Quarterly, 52(2), 146-159.

Pintrich, P. R., & Blumenfeld, P. C. (1985). Classroom experience and children's self-perceptions of ability, effort, and conduct. Journal of Educational Psychology, 77(6), 646-657.

Pintrich, P. R., & De Groot, E. V. (1990). Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. Journal of educational psychology, 82(1), 33.

Pintrich, P. R., Marx, R. W., & Boyle, R. A. (1993). Beyond cold conceptual change: The role of motivational beliefs and classroom contextual factors in the process of conceptual change. Review of Educational Research, 63(2), 167–199.

Pintrich, P. R., & Schunk, D. H. (1996). Motivation in education: Theory, research, and practice (2nd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Pintrich, P. R., Smith, D. A. F., Garcia, T., & McKeachie, W. J. (1991). A manual for the use of the motivated strategies for learning questionnaire (MSLO). The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI.

Pourmoslemi, A., Erfani, N., & Firoozfar, I. (2013). Mathematics anxiety, mathematics performance and gender differences among undergraduate students. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications, 3(7), 1-6.

Rabalais, A. (1998). Identification of math anxiety subtypes (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). West Virginia University, Morgantown.

Rachman, S., & Hodgson, R. (1974). I. synchrony and desynchrony in fear and avoidance. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 12(4), 311-318.

Receber, S., Isiksal, M., & Koç, Y. (2018). Investigating self-efficacy, anxiety, attitudes and mathematics achievement regarding gender and school type. Anales de Psicología/Annals of Psychology, 34(1), 41-51.

Richardson, F. C., & Suinn, R. M. (1972). The mathematics anxiety rating scale: Psychometric data. Journal of Counseling Psychology Counselor, 19(6), 551-554.

Ryan, A. M., & Pintrich, P. R. (1997). Should I ask for help? The role of motivation and attitudes in adolescents’ help seeking in math class. Journal of Educational Psychology, 89, 329-341. doi:10.1037/0022-0663.89.2.329.

Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. Contemporary Educational Psychology, 25(1), 54-67.

Ryan, R. M., & Stiller, J. (1991). The social contexts of internalization: Parent and teacher influences on autonomy, motivation and learning. Advances in Motivation and Achievement, 7, 115-149.

Sari, M. H., & Ekici, G. (2018). İkokul 4. sınıf öğrencilerinin matematik başarıları ile arıtmetik performanslarının etkileyen duyuşal değişkenlerin belirlenmesi [Determination of affective variables affecting elementary school 4th grade students’ mathematics achievement and arithmetic performance]. OPUS Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi, 8(15), 1562-1594. DOI: 10.26466/opus.451025.

Scammacca, N., Fall, A.-M., Capin, P., Roberts, G., & Swanson, E. (2019). Examining factors affecting reading and math growth and achievement gaps in grades 1–5: A cohort-sequential longitudinal approach. Journal of Educational Psychology. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000400

Shen, E. (2009). The effects of agent emotional support and cognitive motivational messages on math anxiety, learning, and motivation (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL.

Siebers, W. M. (2015). The relationship between math anxiety and student achievement of middle school students (Doctoral dissertation). Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO.

Simpkins, S. D., Davis-Kean, P. E., & Eccles, J. S. (2006). Math and science motivation: A longitudinal examination of the links between choices and beliefs. Developmental Psychology, 42(1), 70-83.

Skaalvik, E. M., & Rankin, R. J. (1994). Gender differences in mathematics and verbal achievement, self- perception and motivation. British Journal of Educational Psychology, 64(3), 419-428.
Spaniol, S. (2017). *Students' mathematics self-efficacy, anxiety, and course level at a community college* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Walden University, Minneapolis, MN.

Spielberger, C. (1972). *Anxiety as an emotional state*. In C. D. Spielberger (Ed.), *Anxiety: current trends in theory and research volume I* (pp. 23-49). New York: Academic Press.

Spitzer, D. (1996). Motivation: a neglected factor in instructional design. *Italian Journal of Educational Technology, 4*(3), 38-38.

Steele, D. F., & Arth, A. A. (1998). Math instruction and assessment: Preventing anxiety, promoting confidence. *Schools in the Middle, 7*(3), 44-48.

Suinn, R. M., & Winston, E. H. (2003). The mathematics anxiety rating scale, a brief version: Psychometric data. *Psychological Reports, 92*(1), 167-173.

Şentürk, B. (2010). İlköğretim beşinci sınıf öğrencilerinin genel başarıları, matematik başarıları, matematik dersine yönelik tutumları ve matematik kaygıları arasındaki ilişki (Yayılmamış yüksek lisans tezi) [The relationship between general achievements, mathematics achievements, attitudes towards mathematics lesson and mathematics anxiety of fifth grade students in primary education (Unpublished master’s thesis)]. Afyon Kocatepe Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, Afyon.

Tahiroğlu, M., & Çakır, S. (2014). İlkokul 4. sınıflara yönelik matematik motivasyon ölçeğinin geliştirilmesi [Development of mathematics motivation scale for 4th grade primary school students]. *Ahi Evran Üniversitesi Kırşehir Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 15*(3), 29-48.

Tas, Y., & Balgalmis, E. (2016). Turkish mathematics and science teachers’ technology use in their classroom instruction: Findings from TIMSS 2011. *Journal of Education in Science Environment and Health, 2*(2), 166-175.

Tella, A. (2007). The impact of motivation on student’s academic achievement and learning outcomes in mathematics among secondary school students in Nigeria. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 3*(2), 149-156.

Temel, A. (2003). Öğrenme isteği nasıl artırılır [How to increase the desire to learn]. *Eğitim ve Bilim Dergisi, 54*, 30-31.

Tobias, S. (1993). *Overcoming math anxiety revised and expanded*. New York: Norton.

Tonguç, D. (2013). Sekizinci sınıf öğrencilerinin motivasyon düzeyleri ve öz-düzenlenmeye dayalı öğrenci stratejilerinin matematik başarısını yordama gücü (Yüksek lisans tezi) [The power of motivation levels of eighth grade students and self-regulation based learning strategies to predict mathematics achievement (Master’s thesis)]. Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi, Eskişehir.

Topal, T., Aksu, H. H., & Güneş, Ö. (2017). Etüt merkezlerinin ilkokul 4. sınıf öğrencilere matematik, fen bilgisi, Türkçe ve sosyal bilgiler başarısına etkisi [The effects of study centers on the success of 4th grade students in mathematics, science, Turkish and social studies]. *Sakarya Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 34*, 30-41.

Uluçay, B. (2013). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin matematik dersi motivasyon düzeyleri ile algılanan öğretmen yakınlığı arasındaki ilişki (Yayılmamış yüksek lisans tezi) [The relationship between middle school students’ motivation levels of mathematics lessons and perceived teacher affinity (Unpublished master’s thesis)]. Gazi Üniversitesi, Ankara.

Uluçay, B., & Güven, S. (2017). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin matematik dersi motivasyon düzeyleri ile algılanan öğretmen yakınlığı arasındaki ilişki [The relationship between mathematical motivation and perceived teacher closeness of middle school students]. *Eğitim ve Öğretim Araştırmaları Dergisi [Journal of Research in Education and Teaching], 6*(3), 252-266.

Ünsal, S., & Korkmaz, F. (2016). Destekleme ve yetiştirme kurslarının öğrencilerinin motivation düzeylerinin incelenmesi [Examination of teachers’ views on the functions of supporting and training courses]. *Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 13*(2), 87-118.

Van Lier, L. (1996) *Interaction in the language curriculum: Awareness, autonomy and authenticity*. London: Longman.

Vansteenkiste, M., Timmermans, T., Lens, W., Soenens, B., & Van den Broeck, A. (2008). Does extrinsic goal framing enhance extrinsic goal-oriented individuals’ learning and performance? An experimental test of the model perspective versus self-determination theory. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 100*(2), 387.

Wang, Z., Lukowski, S. L., Hart, S. A., Lyons, I. M., Thompson, L. A., Kovas, Y., Mazzocco, M. M., Plomin, R., & Petrill, S. A. (2015). Is math anxiety always bad for math learning? The role of math motivation. *Psychological Science, 26*(12), 1863-1876.

White, R. W. (1959). Motivation reconsidered: The concept of competence. *Psychological Review, 66*, 297–333.

Wigfield, A., & Meece, J. L. (1988). Math anxiety in elementary and secondary school students. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 80*(2), 210-216.

Wolters, C. A. (1999). The relation between high school students’ motivational regulation and their use of learning strategies, effort, and classroom performance. *Learning and Individual Differences, 11*(3), 281-299.
Woodard, T. (2004). The effects of math anxiety on post-secondary developmental students as related to achievement, gender, and age. Inquiry, 9(1), 1-5.

Yaman, S., & Dede, Y. (2007). Öğrencilerin fen ve teknoloji ve matematik dersine yönelik motivasyon düzeylerinin bazı değişkenler açısından incelenmesi [Investigation of students’ motivation levels towards science and technology and mathematics in terms of some variables]. Kuram ve Uygulama Eğitim Yönetimi, 52(52), 615-638.

Yavuz, G., Özylırdım, F., & Doğan, N. (2012). Mathematics motivation scale: A validity and reliability. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 46, 1633-1638.

Yenilmez, K., & Özacaba, N. Ş. (2003). Yatılı öğretmen okulu öğrencilerinin matematik ile ilgili tutumları ve matematik kaygı düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki üzerine bir araştırma [A research on the relationship between mathematics anxiety levels and attitudes of boarding school students about mathematics]. Pamukkale Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 52(52), 615-638.

Yavuz, G., Özylırdım, F., & Doğan, N. (2012). Mathematics motivation scale: A validity and reliability. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 46, 1633-1638.

Yetgin, O. (2017). Ortaöğretim öğrencilerinin matematik kaygısı ve öğrenmeye ilişkin tutumlarının incelenmesi (Yüksek Lisans Tezi) [Investigation of secondary school students’ attitudes towards mathematics and learning (Master thesis)]. Adıyaman Üniversitesi, Adıyaman.

Yıldırım, O. & Aybek, E.C. (2019). Testing measurement invariance of academic selfefficacy scale for Singapore, Spain and Turkey. Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health, 5(2), 156-163.

Yonca, Z. D. (2018). Finlandiya’nın PISA başarısına etki eden faktörler ve Türkiye açısından karşılaştırılması [Factors affecting the success of Finland on PISA and comparison with Turkey]. Uluslararası Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi, 5(14), 136-146.

Young, C. B., Wu, S. S., & Menon, V. (2012). The neurodevelopmental basis of math anxiety. Psychological Science, 23(5), 492-501.

Yüksel-Şahin, F. (2008). Mathematics anxiety among 4th and 5th grade Turkish elementary school students. International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, 3(3), 179-192.

Zeidner, M. (1998). Test anxiety: The state of the art. New York: Springer.

Zettle, R. D., & Houghton, L. L. (1998). The relationship between mathematics anxiety and social desirability as a function of gender. College Student Journal, 32(1), 81-86.

Zakaria, E., & Nordin, N. M. (2008). The effects of mathematics anxiety on matriculation students as related to motivation and achievement. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education, 4(1).

---

**Author Information**

| Nadide Süren | Mehmet Ali Kandemir |
|--------------|---------------------|
| Derekoy Middle School | Balikesir University |
| Turkey | Turkey |
| Contact e-mail: kandemir@balikesir.edu.tr |  |