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**Abstract**

*Theileria parva* is a tick-transmitted intracellular apicomplexan pathogen of cattle in sub-Saharan Africa that causes East Coast fever (ECF). ECF is an acute fatal disease that kills over one million cattle annually, imposing a tremendous burden on African small-holder cattle farmers. The pathology and level of *T. parva* infections in its wildlife host, African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*), and in cattle are distinct. We have developed an absolute quantification method based on quantitative PCR (qPCR) in which recombinant plasmids containing single copy genes specific to the parasite (apical membrane antigen 1 gene, *ama1*) or the host (*hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1*, *hprt1*) are used as the quantification reference standards. Our study shows that *T. parva* and bovine cells are present in similar numbers in *T. parva*-infected lymphocyte cell lines and that consequently, due to its much smaller genome size, *T. parva* DNA comprises between 0.9% and 3% of the total DNA samples extracted from these lines. This absolute quantification assay of parasite and host genome copy number in a sample provides a simple and reliable method of assessing *T. parva* load in infected bovine lymphocytes, and is accurate over a wide range of host-to-parasite DNA ratios. Knowledge of the proportion of target DNA in a sample, as enabled by this method, is essential for efficient high-throughput genome sequencing applications for a variety of intracellular pathogens. This assay will also be very useful in future studies of interactions of distinct host-*T. parva* stocks and to fully characterize the dynamics of ECF infection in the field.

**Introduction**

East Coast fever (ECF) is one of the most important livestock diseases in Africa, killing over 1 million cattle in eastern, central, and southern Africa, resulting in annual losses of over $160...
The biology and pathogenesis of T. parva pose considerable challenges to the generation of T. parva whole genome sequence data from a genomic DNA (gDNA) sample. Historically, T. parva genomic DNA has been isolated from the piroplasm stage [17], which requires in vivo infection of minimally one animal. Alternatively, purified gDNA from the macroschizont stage could be used, cultivated in vitro in host lymphocytes, resulting in a sample containing a mixture of bovine and parasite DNA. This raises the question of how to reliably quantify the relative abundance of host and parasite gDNA in these samples. The genome sizes differ between host and parasite, with the T. parva genome approximately 8.3 Mb [18] and the bovine genome close to 3 Gb [19]. T. parva divides in synchrony with the infected bovine lymphocytes [20], maintaining the ratio of the parasite to host DNA at a low level in cell cultures. Despite the synchronous division, estimation of the relative proportion of the DNA of the two species cannot be easily inferred even in cell culture, where it is considered likely that most bovine cells are infected. This is because the macroschizont stage of T. parva synthesizes DNA independently from the host resulting in a variable number of parasite nuclei per host cell [21]. In order to circumvent the issues associated with absolute quantification of T. parva and host DNA, we devised an absolute quantification method using qPCR in which the determination of the copy number of bovine and T. parva genes is combined with genome size information to determine the absolute amount of parasite and host DNA in a sample.

Materials and Methods

Samples

Four Theileria parva isolates, described originally in Morzaria et al. [22] were used: i) Muguga: A T. parva Muguga infected lymphocyte isolate originating from an experimentally infected Bos taurus animal (BV115). T. parva Muguga is also the source of the parasite clone from
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which the *T. parva* reference genome was determined [23]; ii) Uganda: A *T. parva* isolate from North West Uganda; iii) Marikebuni: *T. parva* isolate from the Kenya coast; iv) *T. parva* buffalo 7014: *T. parva* buffalo (also known as *T. parva* lawrencei) isolated from African Cape buffalo 7014 from the northern sector of the central highlands of Kenya. The origin of all four *T. parva* isolates is summarized elsewhere [22]. Bovine lymphocytes infected with the schizont stage of each isolate were propagated using established protocols [24]. DNA was extracted from schizont-infected lymphocyte cell line cultures using standard protocols, incorporating proteinase K digestion, phenol/chloroform extraction, and ethanol precipitation [25].

**Ethics Statement**

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) was established in 1993 to ensure that international standards for animal care and use are followed in all ILRI research involving use of animals. ILRI has complied voluntarily with the UK's Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/science-research/animal-research/) that contains guidelines and codes of practice for the housing and care of animals used in scientific procedures. The study reported here was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the standard operating procedures of the ILRI IACUC and adequate consideration of the 3R's (Replacement of animal with non-animal techniques, Reduction in the number of animals used, and Refinement of techniques and procedures that reduce pain and distress). Schizont-infected lymphocyte cultures were derived from lymph node biopsies taken from cattle experimentally infected with *T. parva* sporozoite stabi-

**Construction of the plasmid standards for the quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay**

We constructed a plasmid standard for a parasite locus, the apical membrane antigen 1 (*ama1*) gene of *T. parva* from the Muguga isolate grown in animal BV115, and another for a bovine locus, the hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (*hprt1*) gene amplified from gDNA from semen of a *B. taurus primigenius* animal, provided by the USDA, Agricultural Research Service, in Beltsville, Maryland. The primers were designed using NCBI's primer designing tool, PRIMER-BLAST. The expected size of the PCR product was 2,089 bp for *ama1* and 1,221 bp for *hprt1* and the fragments were amplified by end point PCR. The reaction mixture of 20 μL contained the following: 10μL of Taq 2X Master Mix (New England BioLabs), 1μL of the 10μM forward and reverse primers, 0.6 μL of 100% DMSO, 1μL of the DNA sample solution, and 6.4μL of PCR grade water. PCR was performed using a BIO-RAD DNA Engine Thermal Cycler under the following conditions: an initial denaturation at 95°C for 15 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 30 seconds at 95°C, 30 seconds at 60°C, and 2 minutes at 70°C. The final extension step was set for 5 minutes at 70°C.

In each case, the PCR product was purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and cloned into a TOPO TA vector (Invitrogen). The cloned plasmid was purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Inserts were confirmed with restriction digest and end point PCR (data not shown). Plasmid standards were denoted as TOPO-ama and TOPO-hprt. Plasmid constructs were individually amplified through transformation into One Shot TOP 10 chemically competent *E. coli* (Invitrogen), followed by overnight culture and plasmid extraction. Concentration of the plasmid standards was determined using Quant-it PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen).
Sequence of hprt1 and ama1 fragments

In order to validate the segment for hprt1 and ama1 upon which the qPCR is based, amplicons were obtained from the research samples and from the bovine control DNA (Bos taurus primigenius) using the primers designed for qPCR. Each amplicon was purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and cloned into a TOPO TA vector (Invitrogen). The cloned plasmid was purified using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Plasmid constructs were individually amplified through transformation into One Shot TOP 10 chemically competent E. coli (Invitrogen), followed by overnight culture. Five individual colonies were picked for each sample, DNA extracted using the GenElute Plasmid Miniprep Kit (Sigma). For each of the five clones for each sample, the insert was amplified using the M13 Forward and M13 Reverse (M13 FR) primers sites in the vector. For each sample, each of the five replicate amplicons was sequenced directly, using Sanger sequencing, with M13 FR primers.

qPCR assay using SYBR® Green dye

qPCR amplification and analysis were performed using Applied Biosystems 7900HT Real-Time PCR system with Sequence Detection Systems software version 2.4. The cycle of quantification (Cq) was determined by the amplification plot in this software.

The qPCR assays were optimized to match the optimal annealing temperatures of the primer sets. The optimal conditions were 60°C for both primer sets targeting the small fragments of ama1 and hprt1 amplified during the qPCR assay. The qPCR mixture of 20 μL was prepared using the QuantiTect SYBR® Green PCR Kit (Qiagen): 10 μL of 2X QuantiTect SYBR® Green Master Mix, 1 μL of 10 μM forward and reverse primers, and 1 μL of template DNA. The thermal cycling protocol was set as follows: initial denaturation for 15 minutes at 95°C, followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C, 15 seconds at 60°C, and 15 seconds at 72°C, measuring the fluorescence signal at the end of every step. After amplification, a melting curve analysis was performed to confirm the specificity of the reaction for this sub-section. Negative controls were included in the respective reactions to confirm specificity of the primers.

Estimation of gene copy number (GCN)

A fresh 10-fold serial dilution, ranging over seven logs of template concentration, was created from the TOPO-ama and TOPO-hprt constructs. The concentration of the plasmid preps was measured using Quant-it PicoGreen® DsDNA. The corresponding gene copy number (GCN) was calculated using the following equation, where DNA length stands for the combined length of plasmid and insert, in base pairs [26], and DNA amount equals the corresponding plasmid concentration times the volume used:

\[
\text{GCN} = \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ (copy/mol)} \times \text{DNA amount (g)}}{\text{DNA length (bp)} \times 660 \text{ (g/mol/bp)}}
\]

Cq values at each dilution were measured in triplicate using qPCR with the TOPO-ama and TOPO-hprt plasmid sets to generate the standard curves for ama1 and hprt1, respectively. The Cq values were plotted against the logarithm of their template quantities in nanograms. Each standard curve was generated by a logarithmic regression of the plotted points. The PCR amplification efficiency, E, was calculated according the slope of each standard curve using the following equation [27]:

\[
E = 10^{\frac{\text{Slope}}{2}} - 1
\]
The number of bovine cells was estimated as half the GCN of \textit{hprt1}, given that they are diploid. The estimated \textit{T. parva} copy number is that of \textit{ama1}, since the parasite is haploid during its propagation in host lymphocytes. GCNs were then used to estimate the total amount of host and parasite in a sample. The total amount of DNA, in grams, given the genome size and estimated copy number, was estimated by solving the Eq (1) above for "DNA amount". The proportion of parasite DNA in a sample was estimated by dividing the quantity of parasite DNA, in grams, by the sum of the quantity of parasite and host DNA.

### Results and Discussion

#### Specificity of the qPCR reaction

Given the difficulty in obtaining pure \textit{T. parva} DNA samples, free of bovine DNA, to use as quantification standards in the qPCR assay, we opted for a method involving the separate detection of parasite and host genetic markers, and their quantification in DNA samples based on standard quantification curves generated from plasmid constructs [28]. Accordingly, we generated two plasmid constructs, one that contained a fragment of the parasite gene apical membrane antigen-1 (\textit{ama1}), amplified from the \textit{T. parva} Muguga isolate [18], and the other a fragment of the bovine gene encoding hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (\textit{hprt1}), amplified from genomic DNA (gDNA) extracted from semen of a taurine bull, \textit{Bos taurus} [29].

The \textit{ama1} gene is commonly used for detection of piroplasm taxa (\textit{Theileria} and \textit{Babesia} species) both because it encodes an essential protein [30, 31], and because, unlike in \textit{Plasmodium} [32], in piroplasms it appears to be remarkably conserved within species [33–38]. These plasmid constructs were designated TOPO-ama and TOPO-hprt, respectively. The primers used in the qPCR assay are nested within each of these fragments (Table 1). A single amplicon was obtained by this qPCR with the expected sizes of 127 and 101 base pairs (bp), respectively, for the fragments amplified from \textit{ama1} and \textit{hprt1} (Fig 1).

| Table 1. Primer sequences for quantitative and conventional PCR. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|
| Target          | Accession no. | Goal     | Sequence 5’ – 3’ | Primer Position | Product size |
| \textit{ama1}   | NC_007344.1   | Plasmid  | F: GGAGCTAACTCTGACCCCTTCG | 0058–0078 | 2089 |
|                 |               |          | R: CCAAAGTAGGCCAATACGGC | 2128–2147 |
| \textit{ama1}   | NC_007344.1   | qPCR     | F: GCCCTCTACAAGCTTACTGTC | 1726–1746 | 127 |
|                 |               |          | R: GTTGGGTTCCTTCCTGTC | 1852–1834 |
| \textit{hprt1}  | NM_001034035  | Plasmid  | F: CCAGCCGGCTACGTGATGG | 0022–0040 | 1221 |
|                 |               |          | R: CACCAGAAATGATCTGAACAAGCA | 1243–1266 |
| \textit{hprt1}  | NM_001034035  | qPCR     | F: GTTGTGGCCAGCTGCTTA | 0714–0733 | 101 |
|                 |               |          | R: AGAGTCCGGAATGCAGCAA | 0795–0814 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150401.t001

The melting curves for the \textit{ama1} assay based on the TOPO-ama reference plasmid and the \textit{T. parva} schizont extracted DNA sample exhibited melting temperature peaks at 74.9°C and 74.9°C.
75.9°C, respectively. The hprt1 PCR amplicons were sequenced to confirm that the 1°C difference between the peaks in the melting curves was due to allelic differences in DNA sequence rather than to non-specific PCR amplification. We observed three single nucleotide differences between the cloned hprt1 allele, obtained from a Bos taurus primigenius animal from the United States, and the allele contained within the biological sample analyzed, BV115, which was obtained from a Bos taurus animal from Kenya (S1 Fig). These nucleotide differences are sufficient to account for the shift observed in the peak melting temperature [39].

Standard quantification curves and amplification efficiency

The DNA concentrations of the TOPO-ama and TOPO-hprt plasmid preparations were estimated using a PicoGreen® dsDNA assay kit (Invitrogen). Aliquots were prepared from each of the plasmid preparations to initial concentrations of 1 or 10 μg/μL. The plasmid constructs were then serially diluted 10-fold and the standard quantification curves for ama1 and hprt1 were generated over quantities of DNA input ranging from 10 to 10⁻⁵ ng (Fig 2). A Cₚ (quantification cycle) value was obtained at each concentration, corresponding to the PCR cycle at which the concentration of the target DNA crossed the arbitrary threshold determined by the software (Sequence Detection Systems software version 2.4) supplied with the PCR amplification platform (Applied Biosystems 7900HT). Three replicates were run for each concentration to document reproducibility and stability of the qPCR (Table 2). The average Cₚ values were plotted against the logarithm of the respective DNA template concentrations (Fig 2). The slopes for the standard quantification curves for ama1 and hprt1 were -3.45 and -3.34, corresponding to relative amplification efficiencies of 94.92% and 99.25%, respectively. The R² value was 0.99 in both cases.

Determination of sensitivity using mock infection samples

To determine the lowest level of detection and the linearity of the correlation between predicted and measured DNA, qPCR reactions were run using mixed solutions of the two plasmid constructs, in which T. parva DNA concentrations ranged from 0.5 μg/μL to 0.02 μg/μL. The accuracy was evaluated using regression analysis and resulted in R² values of 0.9971 for both

![Fig 1. Specificity of PCR reaction in biological sample.](https://example.com/fig1.png)

Fig 1. Specificity of PCR reaction in biological sample. Amplification specificity of ama1 (A) and hprt1 (B) was confirmed by comparing the average melting peaks for the respective primer sets using as template the plasmid DNA (colored line) or the DNA extracted from a biological sample consisting of a lymphocyte cell line, from bovine BV115, infected with the T. parva Muguga isolate (black line). Gel electrophoresis of the products was performed on a 2% agarose gel. The average peak melting temperature for hprt1 was 74.9°C and 75.9°C, respectively, for the plasmid and the biological sample used as the source of DNA; for ama1, they were 79.8°C and 79.5°C, respectively for plasmid and biological sample.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150401.g001
amplification of *ama1* and *hprt1* (S2 Fig). This represents a nearly perfect correlation between the actual and the estimated DNA concentrations.

**Ratio of *T. parva* to *B. taurus* DNA in four schizont-infected cultivated cell lines**

We quantified the amount of parasite DNA in samples of total DNA extracted from four bovine lymphocyte cell lines, each infected with a different *T. parva* isolate. Three of the

![Figure 2](https://example.com/fig2.png)

**Fig 2. Quantification of *T. parva* and bovine DNA using standard reference curves for *ama1* and *hprt1*.** The standard curves were constructed with seven serial 10-fold dilutions of TOPO-ama (A) and TOPO-hprt (B). Each of the plasmid dilution (n = 3 replicates per dilution; squares) and the four biological samples (n = 3 replicates per sample; circles) was amplified by qPCR. For each gene, the Cq was plotted against the logarithm of the concentration. The standard curve was generated by logarithmic regression of the average Cq value on the concentration of the dilutions. The original bovine and *T. parva* genome copy number of each biological sample was estimated by converting their respective Cq values into plasmid equivalents (ng/μL) using the respective regression equations and using Eq (1) in methods, to obtain the corresponding gene copy number.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150401.g002
*T. parva* isolates were originally obtained from cattle, namely *T. parva* Muguga (infected animal number BV115), *T. parva* Marikebuni, and *T. parva* Uganda. *T. parva* buffalo 7014 is a tissue culture isolate from a stabilate originally derived from African cape buffalo 7014, which was used to infect a *B. taurus* animal. The qPCR amplifications were run on dilutions of the four biological DNA samples and on the plasmid standards. Quantification of the *T. parva* and bovine DNA in each of the four biological samples was performed by comparing the Cq values for either *ama1* or *hprt1* genes with the respective standard curves generated from the plasmid construct templates, to determine the amount of plasmid equivalents (in ng per μL) using the regression equations (Fig 2). The gene copy number for each locus was then estimated by using the number of plasmid equivalents using Eq (1), described in Materials and Methods. Finally, the gene copy numbers were used to estimate the total amount of host and parasite in a sample, using Eq (1) and solving for “DNA amount”, and the proportion of *T. parva* DNA in the sample estimated (Fig 3).

Although the majority of the DNA is of bovine origin, *T. parva* parasite DNA was present in all four samples. The estimated proportion of parasite DNA in each sample was 1.94% for Muguga, 3.05% for Marikebuni, 0.92% for Uganda, and 1.72% for *T. parva* buffalo 7014 (Fig 3). The cell division of *T. parva* during its proliferation in bovine lymphocytes is synchronous with that of the host cell [13], with an estimated number of *T. parva* nuclei per lymphocyte ranging between 1:1 and 17:1 [20]. Since the parasite is multi-nucleated, this does not translate directly into parasite to host cell ratio. The results of the quantification approach described correspond to a ratio of *T. parva* to bovine nuclei between 3:1 and 10:1 for each sample. This is within the range expected for cultures in which viable, continuously proliferating host cells will necessarily contain at least one *T. parva* nucleus.

Previously described real time PCR assays for *T. parva* [2, 14, 15] were optimized for detection and to measure the relative quantity of *T. parva* DNA at different time points in the same infection, or between different infections. Here, we developed a novel approach based on single copy genes, each unique either to the parasite (*ama1*) or the host (*hprt1*). These two points make our assay ideal for the absolute quantification of parasite and host DNA, and for the direct comparison of those estimates, unlike other available assays. This approach will be critical for comparative analysis of *T. parva* infections in cattle originating from ticks that have acquired the *T. parva* parasite either directly from the African buffalo or from infected cattle.

### Table 2. Cq measurements to establish standard curves.

| Concentration | Cq1     | Cq2     | Cq3     | Mean   | SD    |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------|
| 1.00E+01      | 6.899761| 6.9102316| 6.874083| 6.894692| 0.01860|
| 1.00E+00      | 9.898174| 9.961115| 9.916024| 9.925104| 0.03244|
| 1.00E-01      | 12.814143| 12.723901| 12.686687| 12.74158| 0.06554|
| TOPO-hprt     | 1.00E-02| 16.18242| 16.16479| 16.212858| 16.18669| 0.02432|
| 1.00E-03      | 20.515137| 20.475208| 20.477428| 20.48926| 0.02244|
| 1.00E-04      | 24.50386| 24.678068| 24.561707| 24.58121| 0.08673|
| 1.00E-05      | 27.738077| 27.38037| 27.623898| 27.58078| 0.18271|
| 1.00E+01      | 6.338894| 6.364787| 6.357633| 6.353771| 0.01337|
| 1.00E+00      | 8.946024| 8.923476| 8.930173| 8.933224| 0.01158|
| TOPO-ama      | 1.00E-01| 11.84298| 11.68207| 11.80879| 11.77794| 0.08477|
| 1.00E-02      | 16.96814| 16.94328| 17.01416| 16.97519| 0.03597|
| 1.00E-03      | 19.80321| 19.77777| 19.87485| 19.81859| 0.05037|
| 1.00E-04      | 23.30352| 23.34199| 23.16798| 23.27116| 0.09141|
| 1.00E-05      | 26.55762| 26.42576| 26.73805| 26.57381| 0.15677|

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150401.t002
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The former infection exhibits a low level of schizont parasitosis in conjunction with rapid host death, while the latter exhibit higher level of schizont parasitosis, but host death usually does not occur as quickly. Whether the rapid death associated with buffalo-derived parasites is related to high parasite-to-host nuclei ratios is unknown and can be addressed with this novel assay. The preliminary data presented here from a single buffalo-derived parasite 7014 infecting a bovine cell line may suggest this not to be the case. However, additional buffalo-derived parasites that have transformed bovine infected cells need to be tested before this can be confirmed.

Conclusions

We adapted a simple, robust, accurate and precise qPCR approach to determine the ratio of *T. parva* to bovine DNA in a sample. The method is highly sensitive, allowing the detection of *T. parva* at parasite-to-host DNA ratios as low as $10^{-4}$ to $10^{-5}$. Since the quantification standard curves are based on plasmid constructs, this approach circumvents the need for pure *T. parva* DNA. Furthermore, this it is applicable to a wide range of research questions including, but not limited to, (i) the assessment of sample suitability for high-throughput sequencing, (ii) the evaluation of DNA extraction protocols, (iii) the determination of percentage schizont parasitaemia in tissue biopsies, (iv) monitoring the biology of in vitro cultivated bovine transformed cells lines, and (v) the interpretation of parasite surveillance data from the field.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Alignments for *ama1* and *hprt1* sequences obtained from the plasmid constructs and the biological samples. Sequence of the 127 base pair-long amplicons generated with the *ama1*-specific primers from the TOPO-ama plasmid construct and from all biological samples, aligned to the reference *T. parva ama1* gene (XP_766171) with Clustal W [40] (A). The 101 base pair PCR products generated with the *hprt1* primers were sequenced and aligned against the reference *hprt1* (NM_001034035, nucleotide positions 768–868). Allelic differences are highlighted in yellow (B).
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S2 Fig. Validation of quantification method using mock infection samples. Regression plot analysis of mock samples for (A) *ama1* and (B) *hprt1* were generated by incorporating various concentrations of TOPO-hprt and TOPO-ama to determine the accuracy of the standard curve.
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