A detailed computational investigation on the structural and spectroscopic properties of propolisbenzofuran B
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ABSTRACT
This investigation deals with some structural and spectroscopic aspects of propolisbenzofuran B molecule as one of the most important bioactive molecules which exists in the bee propolis composition. FT-IR vibrational analysis carried-out at B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) level of the theory. 1H and 13C NMR chemical shift have been predicted with GIAO method. TD- DFT calculations have been established to predict the UV-Vis spectral analysis for propolisbenzofuran B molecule. The detailed structural analysis such as electronic characterization, HOMO and LUMO, DOS plot, Molecular Electronic Potential (MEP), Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) are performed and discussed for studied molecule.

1. Introduction
Propolis is one of the honeybee's products which has a wide range of biological properties [1]. It is a resinous material provided by the honeybees to close the cracks and to maintain the temperature and moisture always constant in the hive. Usually, propolis is consisted of 50% plant resins, 30% waxes, 10% essential oils, 5% pollens and 5% other organic compounds [2]. There are some reports about collection of propolis from resins of birch, poplars, alder, conifers, willow, pine and palm [2]. Propolis has some medical effects such as treatment of wounds, diabetes colds, rheumatism and heart disease [3, 4, 5, 6]. Various biological effects such as anti-inflammatory [7, 8, 9], antimicrobial, antioxidant and antitumor [10] have been reported for propolis in literature. Because of these vast medical applications, exploring the chemical structures of propolis constituents have attracted attention of chemists in recent years [2, 11, 12, 13].

Propolisbenzofuran A and B are two main important chemical compounds in the propolis (Fig. 1). Among these two chemical compounds, the unrivaled tricyclic core skeleton in propolisbenzofuran B and its biological activity is topic of main interest for organic chemists and biologists.

Banskota et. al., in 2000 reported the isolation and purification of propolisbenzofuran B as a new natural benzofuran from Brazilian propolis [15]. The scientists have reported that the propolisbenzofuran B have cytotoxic effects toward human HT-1080 brosarcoma cells and murine colon 26-L5 carcinoma. The 1-aryl-2,3-dihydrodibenzo[\(b,d\)]furan-4(1H)-one core of propolisbenzofuran B has special therapeutic effects amongst known natural products [16]. Thus, the total synthesis of propolisbenzofuran B has attracted by organic chemists in recent years. The first report about total synthesis of propolisbenzofuran B was published in 2014 by Thomson's group [17]. They suggested a novel tandem reaction involving of cyclocondensation of a dihydrobenzoquinone with a cyclohexenone to build the central benzofuran ring. Their synthesis pathway goes through silicon-tether controlled oxidative ketone–ketone cross-coupling and a novel benzofuran-generating cascade reaction in order to prepare a background for its subsequent biological assessment. Srinivas et al. reported the total synthesis of propolisbenzofuran B via Rh-catalyzed intramolecular olefin hydroacylation [14]. At their synthesis approach, the olefin intermediate was synthesized by using gold-catalyzed allenyl ether [1, 3]O→C rearrangement.

In recent years, the computational and theoretical methods have attracted attention of chemists as powerful, effective and helpful gadgets. Density functional theory (DFT) is a main section of theoretical methods which is used by computational organic chemists [18].

In this research, DFT calculations were carried-out with Gaussian 03 with Becke's three parameter exchange functional [19] (B3) as somewhat improved by Stephens et al. [20], assembled with the correlation functional of Lee et al. [21] B3LYP along with triple 6–311++G(d, p) basis set.
is very useful for most chemical structure analyses [22, 23, 24]. Based on our restricted knowledge, there is no reported paper about DFT studies of structural and spectroscopic analysis of propolisbenzofuran B molecule in the literature yet. Herein, in the continuation of our studies in computational organic chemistry [25, 26, 27, 28] especially DFT application of structural analysis of natural bioactive complex moleculaes [29], we wish to report structural computational analysis for propolisbenzofuran B molecule as a most unique core structure in propolis composition. The electronic characterization, atomic charges, density of states (DOS), NMR and IR spectroscopies, molecular electrostatic potential (MEP), natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis, are discussed with details.

2. Calculation

We used from Gaussian 03 in an Intel® Core™ i3-2350M processor 3M Cache, 2.30 GHz under Windows 7 for initial optimization of the propolisbenzofuran B chemical structure (and also all the other calculations) [30]. B3LYP computational method [25] with the 6–311++G(d,p) basis set [31] was utilized for executing all the calculations. Also, for providing the potential energy distribution (PED), the VEDA program was used [32, 33].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electronic characterisation

It is possible to get the precise and accurate information about the chemical structure of molecules using quantum chemical techniques. For the first step, the detailed Frontier Molecular Orbital (FMO) analysis was performed on propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) computational level [29]. The obtained amounts for each parameter are shown in Table 1. The energy level of the highest occupied molecular orbital (EHOMO) and energy level of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (ELUMO) are calculated -6.075 eV and -2.343 eV, respectively. It is assumed that in a molecule, HOMO can act as an electron donor and LUMO can act as electron acceptor. Eg is defined as the energy difference between HOMO and LUMO levels of energy. For propolisbenzofuran B molecule, Eg amount was obtained 3.732 eV. Eg amount represents the chemical activity of a chemical molecule [18]. Moreover, ionization potential (I), electron affinity (A), chemical potential (μ) and global hardness (η) and global electrophilicity (ω) are demonstrated in Table 1. Dipole moment (μD) for propolisbenzofuran B molecule is calculated as 10.487 D which represents its high dipolar nature. This considerable polarity can be attributed to the presence of numerous C=O and C–O bonds as well as the asymmetric chemical structure of propolisbenzofuran B molecule which prevents from neutralization of the polar effects of the mentioned bonds.

The calculated HOMO and LUMO patterns are shown in Fig. 2. It can

| Parameter                        | Value       |
|----------------------------------|-------------|
| Point group                      | C3          |
| Energy (Hartree-Fock)            | -1529.57    |
| μD (Deby)                        | 10.487      |
| EHOMO (eV)                       | -6.075      |
| ELUMO (eV)                       | -2.343      |
| Ionisation potential (I – EHOMO) (eV) | 6.075 |
| Electron affinity (A – ELUMO)    (eV) | 2.343 |
| Chemical potential (μ – (I + A)/2) (eV) | 1.866 |
| Global hardness (η)              | 1.866       |
| Global electrophilicity (ω)      | 4.746       |

![Fig. 1. Propolisbenzofuran A (left) and B (right) [14].](image1)

![Fig. 2. Calculated HOMO (right) and LUMO (left) patterns for propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) computational level.](image2)
be seen that the HOMO has been deployed on the downward phenyl ring. However, the LUMO almost has been developed on the benzofuran rings as well as their adjacent C=O bonds. It can be concluded that the propolisbenzofuran B molecule can react with electrophiles through its down phenyl ring. On the other hand it will prefer to react with nucleophiles via the ketone C=O bonds.

The Density of States (DOS) plots are used for studying about electronic structure of the molecule through the population analysis of orbitals which represents the character of each orbital at the specified level of energy [34]. The calculated DOS plot for propolisbenzofuran B molecule shows the $E_{\text{HOMO}}, E_{\text{LUMO}}$ and $E_\text{g}$ which is in accurate agreement with the previous calculated values.

The Molecular Electronic Potential (MEP) pattern was calculated for propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) computational level (Fig. 3). A color pattern describing various amounts of the electrostatic potential in rising sequence at the surface is as follows: red < yellow < green < light blue < blue. Red colour displays nucleophilic area while blue displays electrophilic area [35, 36, 37, 38]. The yellow, green and light blue colours characterized somewhat electron rich; neutral and somewhat electron defective areas, respectively [39, 40]. It can be seen that oxygen atoms in C=O and C=O bonds have maximum negative electrostatic potential due to their considerable intrinsic electronegative characteristic. The remained carbon atoms are represented with almost uniform blue color. Some of these carbon atoms have negative charges, however, in the MEP sketch they are seen with blue color. Therefore, it should be mentioned that the precise electrostatic charge characterization of the propolisbenzofuran B was done with NBO analysis which is represented in the next sections.

### 3.2. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis

The concept of Natural bond orbital (NBO) valency [41] stands on basically distinct bases than those suggested by London and Heitler [42] Pauling [43] Hund and Mulliken [44] which were precursors of the initial age of quantum chemistry theory. Also, this concept is different from those concepts which later suggested by Bader entitled “quantum theory of atoms in molecules” (QTAIM) [45]. NBO methodology stands on the quantum wavefunction \( \Psi \) and its practical assessment via novel computational methods. NBO theory makes no presumption about the mathematical form of \( \Psi \). Instead, the NBO bonding derived from density functional theoretic (DFT) approximations of optional form and correctness, up to and involving the precise \( \Psi \) [46]. Table 2 demonstrates the obtained information from NBO analysis of propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) computational level which represents the diverse intramolecular interactions in propolisbenzofuran B.

The following equation has been used for expressing the intra-molecular interactions (Eq. 1).

\[
E(2) = q_i F_{ij}^2 / \epsilon_{ij} \tag{1}
\]

In Eq. (1) \( E(2) \), \( q_i \), \( F_{ij} \), \( \epsilon_{ij} \) and \( \epsilon \) represent the second order perturbation energy, electron occupancy in the donor orbital, off diagonal NBO Fock matrix element and diagonal elements in orbital energies (i = donor and j = acceptor), respectively. The second order perturbation energy \( E(2) \) is an effective parameter for realizing the electron current from the filled NBOs (as donors) to the empty ones (as acceptors) [47]. On the basis of NBO analysis, there is an extensive spectrum of intramolecular interactions in propolisbenzofuran B molecule. However, only remarkable interactions (with \( E(2) \geq 20 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \)) are shown. It can be seen that, the most considerable interaction belongs to the anti-bonding orbital BD\(^*\) (2) C28–C29 as a donor to anti-bonding orbital BD\(^*\) (2) C27–C32 as an acceptor which involves the resonance energy 303.64 kcal mol\(^{-1}\). Furthermore, the next strongest intramolecular interaction belong to anti-bonding orbital BD\(^*\) (2) C28–C29 to anti-bonding orbital BD\(^*\) (2) C30–C31 with resonance energy 275.60 kcal mol\(^{-1}\).

### 3.3. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) Analysis

The computational NMR analysis is exhibited for the prediction of \(^{13}C\)
and 1H NMR chemical shifts of a broad spectrum of organic molecules. The density functional theory (DFT) method has been represented to gain both the precision and scalar performance to let for NMR chemical shift calculations on a routine foundation [48, 49, 50, 51, 52]. The computed shielding constants can be turned to chemical shifts in three ways: (i) by reducing them from the shielding constant of tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference (ii) by intermediate references or multi-standards, reducing them from the shielding constant of tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference (ii) by intermediate references or multi-standards, reducing them from the shielding constant of tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference (iii) by means of (linear) regression [53] (see Fig. 4).

Table 3 represents the 13CNMR and 1HNMR data for propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) computational level. If tetramethyl silane (TMS) has considered as a standard. The values of the calculated shielding constants for TMS are 31.92 and 182.52 for hydrogen and carbon, respectively. On the basis of 13CNMR, it can be seen that the C21 and C3 (carbon atoms in ketone groups) have the highest chemical shifts among the other carbon atoms. However, due to the existence of a considerable resonance between theses carbonyl groups and adjacent conjugated double bond, their δ have been shifted to the stronger fields (δC21 = 173.26 ppm and δC3 = 169.26 ppm). C43 as an esteric carbon atom with δiso = 32.50 occurs in δC43 = 149.95 ppm. In contrast, C44 and C23 are more shielded carbon atoms with δiso = 17.27 and 160.10, respectively. Their chemical shifts were calculated as δC44 = 11.18 ppm and δC23 = 22.35 ppm. The main reason for shielding of the C44 and C23 is being far from electronegative groups, thus, their chemical shifts are moved to the stronger fields. The 1HNMR data for propolisbenzofuran B molecule, represents that H15 is the most deshielded hydrogen atom (δiso = 24.00). The chemical shift for this proton is calculated 7.87 ppm. This observation can be described by the optimized chemical structure of the propolisbenzofuran B. As it can be seen in Fig. 5, H15 is chemically located in electron deficient area due to the electronegativity effects of O17 and O22 atoms. It is worthy to mention that in the experimental NMR spectrum, the equivalent hydrogens occur in the same peak because of existence of the free rotations around the simple chemical bonds. In contrast, in computational NMR spectrum, the molecule is assumed as a rigid one and therefore, the equivalency of hydrogens is calculated on the basis of degeneracy. For example, in the practical NMR spectrum, it is expectable to see H45, H46 and H47 as a unique peak in the same chemical shift. However, the calculated data show that H45 occurs in 1.04 ppm and H46 and H47 occur in 1.15 ppm, equivalently. The computational NMR analysis is based on the solving of a 3 × 3 array from chemical shift tensor. The tensor represents the absence of alignment of induced field (B_{ind}) with external used field (B_{0}). The correlation between the induced field and external field can be expressed as follow (Eq. 2):

$$B_{ind} = \sigma B_0$$

(2)

Therefore, a 3 × 3 array will be obtained for each atom in the molecule and Gaussian program derives the NMR spectrum on the basis of calculation of mentioned matrix. When the three diagonal elements of the matrix have considered equivalent, the tensor of chemical shift is called σiso and when at least one of them is different, is called σaniso. Due to the necessity of a reference for identifying all the results, TMS has defined for system as a standard. In this situation, δ is used instead of σiso and σaniso.

![Fig. 4.](image-url) The calculated DOS plot for propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) computational level.

![Fig. 5.](image-url) The optimized chemical structure of propolisbenzofuran B at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) computational level.

| C no. | δiso (ppm) | δaniso (ppm) | δ (ppm) | C no. | δiso (ppm) | δaniso (ppm) | δ (ppm) |
|-------|-------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| 21    | 9.20        | 145.43       | 173.26 | 15    | 24.00       | 7.24         | 7.87   |
| 13    | 140.42      | 169.26       | 51     | 25.43 | 4.65        | 6.44         |
| 43    | 32.50       | 67.54        | 149.95 | 34    | 25.51       | 8.08         | 6.36   |
| 12    | 42.84       | 122.90       | 139.61 | 33    | 25.84       | 9.76         | 6.03   |
| 4     | 43.24       | 91.62        | 139.21 | 16    | 26.07       | 13.63        | 5.80   |
| 9     | 45.59       | 103.86       | 135.87 | 36    | 27.07       | 12.17        | 4.80   |
| 50    | 50.33       | 121.64       | 132.12 | 20    | 27.73       | 18.15        | 4.15   |
| 29    | 51.68       | 110.16       | 130.77 | 39    | 28.14       | 8.76         | 3.74   |
| 5     | 62.30       | 124.97       | 120.16 | 54    | 28.30       | 7.81         | 3.57   |
| 14    | 63.00       | 148.94       | 119.45 | 41    | 28.46       | 7.56         | 3.38   |
| 27    | 64.58       | 162.47       | 117.87 | 53    | 28.50       | 3.42         |
| 11    | 72.24       | 131.73       | 110.21 | 40    | 28.50       | 7.84         |
| 17    | 75.50       | 156.83       | 106.96 | 8     | 28.58       | 4.12         | 3.29   |
| 10    | 78.39       | 151.84       | 104.06 | 50    | 25.43       | 4.65         | 2.80   |
| 31    | 79.55       | 137.76       | 102.90 | 49    | 29.64       | 2.16         | 2.23   |
| 28    | 83.79       | 123.92       | 96.67  | 24    | 29.79       | 7.62         | 2.06   |
| 53    | 85.19       | 124.44       | 98.27  | 26    | 29.80       | 4.34         |
| 52    | 121.50      | 57.13        | 60.96  | 7     | 29.84       | 6.14         |
| 38    | 137.01      | 67.35        | 45.45  | 25    | 30.19       | 4.66         | 1.69   |
| 1     | 140.17      | 31.43        | 42.29  | 46    | 30.70       | 8.46         | 1.15   |
| 6     | 144.16      | 21.58        | 38.30  | 47    | 30.73       | 4.14         |
| 2     | 147.57      | 28.15        | 34.89  | 45    | 30.84       | 9.15         | 1.04   |
| 23    | 160.10      | 43.33        | 22.35  |       |             |              |        |
| 44    | 171.27      | 26.68        | 11.18  |       |             |              |        |
3.4. Calculated atomic charges

The population analysis provides the calculation of atomic charges by dividing molecular wave functions into atomic contributions usually determined by the coefficients of atomic orbital basis functions in molecular orbitals = Mulliken charges [54]. Löwdin suggested the orthogonalization of atomic orbital basis functions former to the population analysis and generate more stable atomic charges as a function of basis set [55, 56]. In this section, the atomic charge distribution was calculated for propolisbenzofuran B molecule via NBO analysis. The NBO analysis is a useful tool for understanding of concepts of electron delocalization and various inter/intramolecular interactions [57]. The total charge for propolisbenzofuran B was calculated zero (Fig. 6). Fig. 6 shows that the most positive atom belongs C43 = +5.92 which is attached to O42 and O48. The acidic hydrogens H20 = +0.429 and H36 = +0.427 which are attached to the oxygen atoms also are very positive. This observation can be attributed to the strong electronegativity effect of oxygen atoms, obviously. On the other hand, NBO analysis demonstrates oxygen atoms of the hydroxyl groups are the most negative atoms (O19 = -0.655 and O35 = -0.647). Furthermore, C23 and C44 have significant negative charges due (C23 = -0.609 and C44 = -0.567). This observation can be attributed to the significant interaction between bonding orbital σ\(_{\text{C-H}}\) and anti-bonding orbital π*\(_{\text{C-O}}\). It seems that the NBO analysis has more precision than MEP analysis, because NBO analysis gives atomic charges localization with higher resolution and quality.

3.5. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis

The maximum number of active fundamentals of a typical non-linear molecule with having N atoms, is equal to (3N-6). The number 6 represents three rotational and three translational degrees of freedom [58, 59, 60]. Propolisbenzofuran B with C\(_{24}\)H\(_{22}\)O\(_{8}\) consists from of 54 atoms, which has 156 normal modes of vibration. All detailed vibrational modes were calculated for propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6 311++G(d,p) computational level (Table 4). The PED represents the potential electronic distribution and is calculated and assigned for each vibrational mode using VEDA program and. The used scaling factor was 0.960 in the high wavenumbers region and 0.988 in the low wave-numbers region (below 1800 cm\(^{-1}\)) for B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) computational level [61]. The symbols \(\nu\), \(\beta\) and \(\tau\) are ascribed to stretching, in plane bending and torsional vibrational modes, respectively.

The O–H group has three stretching, in-plane bending and out-of-plane bending major vibrations [62, 63]. There are two computational wavenumbers of O–H stretching vibrations in IR spectrum of propolisbenzofuran B. The scaled wavenumbers are 3663 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3552 cm\(^{-1}\) (mode nos. 1–2). These two peaks are shown as the net O–H stretching vibrations due to their PED values are 100%. The mode no. 1 is related to the O–H stretching of the O–H group which is located on the benzene ring of the benzofuran. On the other hand, the mode no. 2 is related to the stretching of the other O–H group which has an intramolecular hydrogen bonding. Therefore, its frequency is shifted to the lower wavenumbers (3552 cm\(^{-1}\)). It seems that this is the only significant intramolecular hydrogen bond in this molecule. The O37···H36–O35 hydrogen bond length is 2.08 Å and the O37···H36–O35 angle is 114.92°. The NBO analysis shows that there is a considerable electron delocalization from LP (O37) to σ* (H36–O35) with the second order perturbation energy (E(2) = 3.30 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) which confirms existence of considerable intramolecular hydrogen bond. The O–H in plane and out of plane bending vibrations were observed in 1409 and 1408 cm\(^{-1}\) also 914 and 924 cm\(^{-1}\)
Table 4
The calculated IR wavenumbers, related assignments and PED (%) of propolißenforan B at B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) computational level.

| Mode nos. | B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) | Unscaled Freq. | Scaled Freq. | IR int. | Assignments, PED (%) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|
| 1         | 3816              | 3663           | 130.65      | uOH 100 |                      |
| 2         | 3701              | 3552           | 95.90       | uOH 100 |                      |
| 3         | 3249              | 3119           | 6.72        | CH 47 CH 53 |                |
| 4         | 3228              | 3098           | 1.77        | CH 46 CH 53 |                |
| 5         | 3218              | 3089           | 4.74        | CH 27 CH 68 |                |
| 6         | 3210              | 3081           | 6.28        | CH 38 CH 57 |                |
| 7         | 3183              | 3055           | 8.57        | CH 38 CH 57 |                |
| 8         | 3183              | 3055           | 11.07       | CH 44 CH 42 CH 21 CH 11 |                |
| 9         | 3166              | 3039           | 21.94       | CH 47 CH 44 |                |
| 10        | 3162              | 3035           | 6.34        | CH 55 CH 38 |                |
| 11        | 3156              | 3029           | 18.12       | CH 10 CH 40 CH 39 CH 10 |                |
| 12        | 3127              | 3001           | 7.40        | CH 54 CH 46 |                |
| 13        | 3104              | 2979           | 6.79        | CH 44 CH 53 |                |
| 14        | 3099              | 2975           | 31.90       | CH 48 CH 52 |                |
| 15        | 3093              | 2969           | 8.02        | CH 37 CH 56 |                |
| 16        | 3074              | 2951           | 28.21       | CH 36 CH 13 CH 40 |                |
| 17        | 3067              | 2944           | 28.21       | CH 17 CH 16 CH 51 |                |
| 18        | 3066              | 2943           | 3.14        | CH 90 |                |
| 19        | 3062              | 2939           | 7.34        | CH 65 CH 25 |                |
| 20        | 3043              | 2921           | 21.81       | CH 35 CH 39 |                |
| 21        | 3036              | 2914           | 34.67       | CH 91 |                |
| 22        | 3027              | 2905           | 8.99        | CH 39 CH 27 |                |
| 23        | 3020              | 2899           | 6.83        | CH 87 |                |
| 24        | 3013              | 2892           | 2.40        | CH 24 CH 17 CH 16 CH 15 |                |

(continued on next page)
respectively. It can be seen that the O-H in-plane bending vibration was mixed with the C-H in-plane and C-C stretching vibrations [64]. The aromatic rings usually show the C-H stretching bands in the range of 3100–3000 cm⁻¹ which are insensible to any substituents on the benzene ring [65, 66, 67, 68]. In propolisbenzofuran B molecule, the CH vibrations were observed at 3119, 3098, 3089, 3081, 3055, 3039, 3035, 3029 and 3001 cm⁻¹ (mode no. 3–12). Furthermore, all these vibrational modes were assigned to be pure C-H stretching with the high PED amounts. The asymmetric CH₃ and CH₂ stretching were observed at the scaled frequencies of 2979 cm⁻¹ (mode 13), 2975 cm⁻¹ (mode 14), 2969 cm⁻¹ (modes 15), 2951 cm⁻¹ (mode 16), 2944 cm⁻¹ (mode 17), 2943 cm⁻¹ (mode 18), 2939 cm⁻¹ (mode 19), 2921 cm⁻¹ (mode 20), 2914 cm⁻¹ (mode 21), 2905 cm⁻¹ (mode 22), 2899 cm⁻¹ (mode 23) and 2892 cm⁻¹ (mode 24). The studies have shown that the symmetric and asymmetric deformation wavenumbers for methyl group were usually revealed in the ranges 1470-1440 cm⁻¹ and 1380-1370 cm⁻¹ [69]. These peaks were observed for propolisbenzofuran B at 1527 cm⁻¹ (mode 33), 1514 cm⁻¹ (mode 34), 1508 cm⁻¹ (mode 35), 1502 cm⁻¹ (mode 36), 1500 cm⁻¹ (mode 37), 1498 cm⁻¹ (mode 38), 1496 cm⁻¹ (mode 39), 1489 cm⁻¹ (mode 40), 1479 cm⁻¹ (mode 41) and 1403 cm⁻¹ (mode 47). Moreover, peaks at 1041 cm⁻¹ (mode 84), 1036 cm⁻¹ (mode 85), 1010 cm⁻¹ (mode 86) and 1004 cm⁻¹ (mode 87) can be attributed to the methyl rocking vibrations [70]. Some torsion modes related to the methyl group were observed below 400 cm⁻¹. The strongest bond in the theoretical IR spectrum of the propolisbenzofuran B can be attributed to the C=O stretching vibration which has been observed at 1778 cm⁻¹ (mode 25), 1762 cm⁻¹ (mode 26) and 1741 cm⁻¹ (mode 27) [71]. The % PED demonstrates very high purities for these vibrational modes (90%, 91% and 88%, respectively) and the peak intensities were considerable (401.42, 168.13 and 197.52, respectively). The scaled frequencies at 1305 cm⁻¹ (mode 57), 1268 cm⁻¹ (mode 61), 1201 cm⁻¹ (mode 69) and 1131 cm⁻¹ (mode 76) can be assigned as C-O vibration in FT-IR spectrum [72]. Finally, the C-C stretching vibrations are observed 1141 cm⁻¹ (mode 75), 1107 cm⁻¹ (mode 77), 1061 cm⁻¹ (mode 80), 992 cm⁻¹ (mode 88), 959 cm⁻¹ (mode 89) and 953 cm⁻¹ (mode 90) [73].

### 3.6. Ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) analysis

The TD-DFT calculations have been carried-out to obtain the UV-Vis spectral analysis of propolisbenzofuran B (Fig. 7) involving the major vertical excitations, their energy (E/eV), wavelength (λ/Å), oscillator strength (f), along with their assignments at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) computational level (Table 5). It can be seen that the electronic transition from the ground state to the first excited state is mostly related the HOMO to LUMO excitation at 341.76 nm (29260.18 cm⁻¹) and f = 0.104. Table 5 demonstrates that strongest peak belongs to the excitation no. 13 with f = 0.196. This excitation state involves mainly HOMO to LUMO+4 with 53% contribution and also HOMO-1 to LUMO+3 with 16%, HOMO-5 to LUMO with 10% and HOMO to LUMO+6 with 8% contributions. The next strong peak belongs to excitation state 12 with f = 0.148 which includes mainly HOMO-5 to LUMO with 71% contribution, and also HOMO to LUMO+4 with 16%, HOMO-4 to LUMO with 6% and HOMO-1

![Fig. 7. TD-DFT calculated simulated UV-Vis spectrum of propolisbenzofuran B molecule at B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) computational level.](image)
Table 5

TD-DFT/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated major vertical excitations, their energy E/eV, wavelength λ/nm and oscillator strength (f) for propolisbenzofuran B molecule.

| Excited state no. | Energy (cm⁻¹) | Wavelength (nm) | oscillator strength (f) | Contributions |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 1                | 29260.18      | 341.76          | 0.104                   | H–L (96%)     |
|                  | 31210.43      | 320.40          | 0.000                   | H–3–L (92%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–4–L (2%)    |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–3–L–6 (2%)  |
| 3                | 32470.26      | 307.97          | 0.000                   | H–2–L (30%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–1 (63%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–5–L–1 (3%)  |
| 4                | 32560.60      | 307.11          | 0.004                   | H–1–L (99%)   |
| 5                | 36141.70      | 276.68          | 0.001                   | H–2–L (11%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–2–L–1 (46%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–5–L–1 (34%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–1 (4%)  |
| 6                | 37708.83      | 265.18          | 0.006                   | H–2–L (85%)   |
| 7                | 38700.90      | 258.39          | 0.004                   | H–L–1 (2) (69%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L (6%)    |
| 8                | 38918.67      | 256.94          | 0.005                   | H–1–L–1 (88%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–2 (7%)    |
| 9                | 39612.30      | 252.44          | 0.004                   | H–1–L–2 (12%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–3 (76%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–4–L (5%)    |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–4–L–3 (2%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–1 (3%)  |
| 10               | 39817.17      | 251.14          | 0.011                   | H–4–L (38%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–2 (29%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–3 (22%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–4–L–3 (6%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–1 (3%)  |
| 11               | 40501.93      | 246.90          | 0.057                   | H–4–L (47%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–2 (31%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–5–L (8%)    |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–4–L–3 (7%)  |
| 12               | 40983.45      | 244.00          | 0.148                   | H–5–L (71%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–4 (16%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–4–L–6 (6%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–2 (2%)  |
| 13               | 43833.01      | 227.87          | 0.196                   | H–5–L (10%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–3 (16%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–4 (53%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–6 (8%)    |
| 14               | 44215.31      | 226.16          | 0.006                   | H–6–L (94%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–3 (3%)  |
| 15               | 44325.00      | 225.60          | 0.083                   | H–1–L–1 (3%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–4 (10%)   |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–6–L–3 (2%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–5–L–3 (3%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–4–L–2 (8%)  |
| 16               | 44797.64      | 223.22          | 0.000                   | H–1–L–6 (3%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–5 (96%)   |

Table 5 (continued)

| Excited state no. | Energy (cm⁻¹) | Wavelength (nm) | oscillator strength (f) | Contributions |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| 17               | 45481.60      | 219.86          | 0.000                   | H–6–L–1 (92%) |
|                  | 45815.51      | 218.26          | 0.021                   | H–5–L–1 (69%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–3–L–1 (16%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–2–L–1 (8%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–4 (2%)    |
| 19               | 46191.37      | 216.49          | 0.000                   | H–5–L–1 (14%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–3–L–1 (72%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–3–L–4 (3%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–4 (7%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–1–L–4 (88%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–5–L–1 (2%)  |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–3–L–1 (5%)  |
| 20               | 46526.06      | 215.86          | 0.017                   | H–6–L–1 (96%) |
|                  |               |                 |                         | H–L–4 (2%)    |

to LUMO+2 with 2% contributions. HOMO and LUMO domains are utilized to show the areas being significant to realize in where the electronic transitions of a molecule have happened between molecular orbitals and also to predict its chemical reactivity [74]. It was mentioned that in the propolisbenzofuran B the HOMO is located on the downward alone phenyl ring. However, the LUMO almost has been developed on the benzofuran rings as well as their adjacent C=C–O bonds. So it is reasonable to say that the lowest energy electronic transition from HOMO to LUMO is mostly related to the π/π* interactions. In the better word, it can be said that all-electronic transitions are related to the π/π* and n/π* interaction with high transition coefficient in the UV-Vis area.

3.7. Molecular geometry

The calculated molecular geometry parameters for propolisbenzofuran B are shown in Table 6. It should be mentioned that in the practical analysis, the bond lengths mainly do not show significant difference with calculated values. However, the bond angles and especially dihedral angles differ slightly from calculated amounts. These deflections are due to the fact that calculations are dependent to the gaseous phase and the experimental results are dependent to the solid phase. The crystal field in the solid state as well as the intermolecular interactions has interlocked the molecules together and therefore, the results in bond and dihedral angles may differ between the experimental and calculated values[74, 75].

4. Conclusion

In this investigation, structural and spectroscopic analysis i.e. electronic characterizations, HOMO and LUMO energies, molecular electronic potential (MEP), density of states (DOs) plots, natural bond orbital (NBO), NMR, FT-IR and UV-Vis analysis for propolisbenzofuran B as one of the most significant ingredients of bee propolis have been studied using B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) level.

All the vibrational modes in FT-IR spectrum and significant excitation states in UV-Vis spectrum have been calculated and are demonstrated with details. All the calculated chemical shifts are represents for both ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR analysis. This investigation can be an appropriate source for comparison with experimental analysis.
Table 6
Selected geometrical parameters of propolisbenzofuran B molecule by theoretical calculation at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of theory.

| Bond lengths (Å) | Bond angles () | Dihedral angles () |
|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| C1-C2            | 1.54           | C1-C2-C13         | 1.39 |
| C1-C6            | 1.56           | C1-C6-C19         | 1.36 |
| C1-C52           | 1.53           | C1-C52-C14        | 1.39 |
| C2-C3            | 1.53           | C2-C3-C14         | 1.39 |
| C3-O18           | 1.21           | C3-O18-C27        | 1.40 |
| C4-C4            | 1.46           | C4-C4-C29         | 1.29 |
| C4-C5            | 1.37           | C4-C5-C30         | 1.40 |
| C5-C4            | 1.37           | C5-C4-C30         | 1.40 |
| C6-C9            | 1.36           | C6-C9-C3         | 1.37 |
| C9-C14           | 1.44           | C9-C14-C10        | 1.39 |
| C9-C5            | 1.51           | C9-C5-C6          | 1.36 |
| C9-C6            | 1.52           | C9-C12-C19        | 1.46 |
| C9-C10           | 1.37           | C9-C10-C13        | 1.42 |
| C10-C11          | 1.40           | C10-C11-C5        | 1.35 |
| C11-C12          | 1.43           | C11-C12-C4        | 1.20 |
| C11-C21          | 1.50           | C11-C21-C4        | 1.20 |
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