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Preface and Summary

These notes are about systems of 1st and 2nd order (non-)linear partial differential equations which are formed from a Lagrangian density $L_\psi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$,

Symbolically: $x \mapsto L_\psi(x) = L(\psi(x); \nabla \psi(x); x)$,

by means of the usual Euler-Lagrange variational rituals. The non subscripted $L$ will denote the 'proto-Lagrangian', which is a function of a finite number of variables:

$L : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \times \mathbb{C}_{N \times r \times c} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$.

In this $L$ one has to substitute matrix-valued functions $\bar{\psi} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$ and $\nabla \bar{\psi} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{N \times r \times c}$ for obtaining the Lagrangian density $L_\psi$. In our considerations the role and the special properties of the proto-Lagrangian $L$ are crucial.

These notes have been triggered by physicist’s considerations: (1) on obtaining the 'classical', that is the 'pre-quantized', wave equations for matter fields from variational principles, (2) on conservation laws and (3) on 'gauge field extensions'. For the humble mathematical anthropologist the rituals in physics textbooks have not much changed during the last four decades. Neither have they become much clearer. Compare e.g. [DM] and [W].

The underlying notes give special attention to the following

- In expressions (=‘equations’) for Lagrange densities often both $\bar{\psi}$ and its hermitean transposed $\bar{\psi}^\dagger$ appear. Are they meant as independent variables or not? Mostly, from the context the suggestion arises that ‘variation’ of $\bar{\psi}$ and ‘variation’ of $\bar{\psi}^\dagger$ lead
to the same Euler-Lagrange equations. Why? Our remedy is doubling the matrix entries in the proto-Lagrangian and thereby making the Lagrangian density explicitly dependent on both $\psi, \psi^\dagger$ and their derivatives: So for $L_\psi(x)$ we take expressions like

$$L_\psi(x) = L(\psi(x); \psi(x)^\dagger; \nabla \psi(x); \nabla \psi(x)^\dagger; x).$$

A suitable condition is then that the Lagrangian functional

$$L[\psi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{L}_\psi(x) \, dx$$

only takes real values (Thm 2.4).

- For 'free gauge fields' the situation is somewhat different. Now the dependent variables, named $A_\mu, 1 \leq \mu \leq N$, take their values in some fixed Lie-algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$. Although $\mathfrak{g}$ mostly contains complex matrices it is a real vector space in interesting cases. (Note that $u(1) = i \mathbb{R}$ is a real vector space!). Therefore it needs a separate treatment.

- The traditional conservation laws for quantities like energy, momentum, moment of momentum, ..., turn out to be based on External Infinitesimal Symmetries of the proto-Lagrangian. This means the existence of a couple of linear mappings $K : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}, L : \mathbb{C}^{N r \times c} \to \mathbb{C}^{N r \times c}$, together with an affine mapping $x \mapsto -sa + e^s A x$, such that for all matrices $P \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{N r \times c}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$L(e^{sK} P; e^{sL} Q; -sa + e^s A x) = L(P, Q; x) + O(s^2).$$

Of course the presented conservation laws are just special cases of Noether’s Theorem.

- For the construction of gauge theories one needs, in physicist’s terminology, a 'global symmetry of the Lagrangian'. To achieve this, an Internal Symmetry of the proto-Lagrangian $L$ is required here: For some fixed Lie-group $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$, the proto-Lagrangian satisfies

$$L(\mathcal{P} U; \mathcal{Q} U; x) = L(\mathcal{P}; \mathcal{Q}; x), \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{N r \times c}, \quad U \in \mathfrak{G}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$ 

Roughly speaking, a gauge theory for a Lagrangian based system of PDE’s is some kind of symmetry preserving extension of the original Lagrangian density with new (dependent) 'field'-variables $x \mapsto A(x) = [A_1(x), ..., A_N(x)]$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$ added, such that the original 'quantities' $\psi$ become subjected to the 'gauge fields' $A$ and viceversa. Since about a century, Weyl 1918, it is well known that, given the existence of some 'global symmetry group' $\mathfrak{G}$ of $L$, an extension of type

$$L_{\psi, A}(x) = L(\psi; \nabla \psi + \psi \cdot A; x) + G(A; \nabla A; x),$$

is often possible. This extension has to exhibit what physicists call, a 'Local Symmetry': The Lagrangian density remains unaltered if in $L_{\psi, A}$ the quantities $\psi$ and $A$ are, each in their own way, subjected to group actions taken from $\mathfrak{G}_{\text{loc}} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{G})$,
which is the group of smooth maps $\mathbb{R}^N \to \mathcal{G}$. The added 'gauge fields' $A$ have to take their values in the Lie Algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the symmetry group $\mathcal{G}$.

Summarizing, 'locally symmetric' means, symbolically,

$$L(\bar{\psi} U ; \nabla(\bar{\psi} U) + (\bar{\psi} U) \cdot (A \lhd U) ; x) + G(A \lhd U ; \nabla(A \lhd U) ; x) =$$

$$= L(\bar{\psi} ; \nabla \bar{\psi} + \bar{\psi} \cdot A ; x) + G(A ; \nabla A ; x), \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{loc}}.$$

The considerations in the underlying notes not only include the standard hyperbolic evolution equations of pre-quantized fields. Wide classes of parabolic/elliptic systems turn out to have gauge extensions as well. Note the subtle extra condition (5.14) in Thm 5.5 which is, besides internal symmetry of the proto-Lagrangian, necessary for gauge extensions. Its necessity lies in the fact that one has to reconcile the complex vector space, in which the $\psi$ take their values, with the real vector space $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie-Algebra. In the standard preludes to quantum field the requirement (5.14) is never discussed, but manifestly met with.

These notes do not contain functional analysis or differential geometry. The reader will find only bare elementary considerations on matrix-valued functions: The columns of the $x \mapsto \psi(x) \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$ might describe the 'pre-quantized wave functions' of individual elementary particles, whereas the 'components' of $x \mapsto A(x) \in \mathfrak{g}^N$, with $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$, might represent the pre-quantized gauge fields. For an elementary and very readable account on the differential geometrical aspects, see the contributions 3-4 in [JP].
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1 Foretaste: Some gauge-type calculations

For functions $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$ we consider, by way of example, the PDE

$$\Gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu) + M\Psi = f, \quad (1.1)$$

with prescribed matrix valued coefficients

$$\Gamma^\mu : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}, \quad A_\mu : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq N, \quad M : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r},$$

and prescribed right hand side $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$. All considered functions are supposed to be sufficiently smooth. The summation convention for upper and lower indices applies.

In physics each column of $\Psi$ may represent a 'classical-particle wave'. The $A_\mu$ may then represent 'gauge fields'.

**Theorem 1.1**

Let $U, V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$ and suppose them invertible with $U^{-1}, V^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$. The function $\hat{\Psi} = \Psi U : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times k}$, with $\Psi$ any solution of (1.1) is a solution of

$$\Gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \hat{\Psi} + \hat{\Psi} \hat{A}_\mu) + M\hat{\Psi} = \hat{f}, \quad (1.2)$$

if and only if we take the new coefficients $\hat{A}_\mu = U^{-1}A_\mu U - U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U)$ and $\hat{f} = fU$.

In addition we have $\hat{A}_\mu = (UV)^{-1}A_\mu(UV) - (UV)^{-1}(\partial_\mu(UV)) = V^{-1}A_\mu V - V^{-1}(\partial_\mu V)$.

**Proof**: Multiply (1.1) from the right by $U$ and rearrange.

In the next Theorem a 'transformation property' for matrix valued functions is derived.

**Theorem 1.2**

Let $A_\mu : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$ and $\hat{A}_\mu = U^{-1}A_\mu U - U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U)$. Define

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - (A_\mu A_\nu - A_\nu A_\mu). \quad (1.3)$$

Then

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \hat{A}_\mu - (\hat{A}_\mu \hat{A}_\nu - \hat{A}_\nu \hat{A}_\mu) = U^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}U. \quad (1.4)$$

**Proof**: First note that from $\partial_\mu(U^{-1}U) = \partial_\mu I = 0$ it follows that $\partial_\mu(U^{-1}) = -U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1}$. Calculate

$$\partial_\mu \hat{A}_\nu = \partial_\mu (U^{-1}A_\mu U - U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U)) =$$

$$= U^{-1}(\partial_\mu A_\mu)U - U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1}A_\mu U + U^{-1}A_\nu(\partial_\mu U) + U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U)U^{-1}(\partial_\nu U) - U^{-1}(\partial_\mu \partial_\nu U).$$

and

$$\hat{A}_\mu \hat{A}_\nu = \{U^{-1}A_\mu U - U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U)\} \{U^{-1}A_\nu U - U^{-1}(\partial_\nu U)\} =$$

$$= U^{-1}(A_\mu A_\nu)U - (U^{-1}A_\mu U)(U^{-1}(\partial_\nu U)) - (U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U))(U^{-1}A_\nu U) + (U^{-1}(\partial_\mu U))(U^{-1}(\partial_\nu U)).$$

Interchange the indices for two more terms and add according to (1.4). All rubbish terms cancel out.

We now look for sesqui-linear conservation laws which hold for suitable classes of $A_\mu$.
Condition 1.3
\[ K : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}, \text{ is such that} \]
\[ i: \ K \Gamma^\mu = (K \Gamma^\mu)^\dagger, \quad ii: \ \partial_\mu (K \Gamma^\mu) = 0, \quad iii: \ KM + M^\dagger K^\dagger = 0. \]

Here, the dagger \( \dagger \) denotes 'Hermitean transposition'.
Note that in the important special case that \( \Gamma^\mu = (\Gamma^\mu)^\dagger \), \( \Gamma^\mu \) is constant and \( M = -M^\dagger \), the condition is satisfied by \( K = I \), the identity matrix. In the case of the Dirac equation one could take \( K = \Gamma^0 \). Cf. [M], Messiah II pp. 890-899. \(^1\)

Theorem 1.4
Let \( K : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \) satisfy Condition 1.3.
Fix some \( J \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \).
Let \( A_\mu : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) satisfy \( A_\mu^\dagger J + JA_\mu = 0, 1 \leq \mu \leq N \).
Let \( U : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) satisfy \( U^\dagger(x)JU(x) = J, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \).

a. For any solution \( \Psi \) of (1.1) with \( f = 0 \), there is the conservation law
\[ \sum_{\mu=1}^N \partial_\mu \text{Tr}(J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \Psi]) = 0. \quad (1.5) \]

b. This conservation law is a gauge invariant local conservation law.
That means \( \text{Tr}(J^{-1}[\hat{\Psi}^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \hat{\Psi}]) = \text{Tr}(J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \Psi]) \), \( 1 \leq \mu \leq N \).

Proof
a. Take \( f = 0 \) in (1.1) and multiply from the left with \( \Psi^\dagger K \):
\[ \Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi) + \Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \Psi A_\mu + \Psi^\dagger KM \Psi = 0. \quad (1.6) \]
The Hermitean transpose reads
\[ (\partial_\mu \Psi)^\dagger (K \Gamma^\mu)^\dagger \Psi + A_\mu^\dagger \Psi^\dagger (K \Gamma^\mu)^\dagger \Psi + \Psi^\dagger M^\dagger K^\dagger \Psi = 0. \quad (1.7) \]
Multiply (1.6) from the right with \( J^{-1} \) and (1.7) from the left with \( J^{-1} \). Add those two identities and take the trace. Use Condition 1.3 and the properties \( \text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA) \), \( \text{Tr}(A + B) = \text{Tr}(A) + \text{Tr}(B) \) and \( \partial_\mu \text{Tr}(A) = \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu A) \). The sum of the 1st terms of (1.6), (1.7) result in
\[ \text{Tr}\{J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger (K \Gamma^\mu) \partial_\mu \Psi + (\partial_\mu \Psi)^\dagger (K \Gamma^\mu)^\dagger \Psi]\} = \]
\[ = \partial_\mu \text{Tr}\{J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger (K \Gamma^\mu) \Psi]\} - \text{Tr}\{J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger \partial_\mu (K \Gamma^\mu) \Psi]\} = \partial_\mu \text{Tr}\{J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger (K \Gamma^\mu) \Psi]\}. \]
The sum of the 2nd terms of (1.6), (1.7) is
\[ \text{Tr}\{\Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \Psi (A_\mu J^{-1} + J^{-1} A_\mu^\dagger )\} = 0. \]

\(^1\)In the non-covariant form, i.e. the original form, of Dirac’s equation one has \( \Gamma^0 = I, \Gamma^\kappa = \gamma^0 \gamma^\kappa, 1 \leq \kappa \leq 3 \), where the \( \gamma^\mu, 0 \leq \mu \leq 3 \) are Dirac-Clifford matrices, which make the Dirac equation covariant proof.
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The sum of the 3rd terms of (1.6), (1.7)

\[ \text{Tr}\{J^{-1}\Psi^\dagger(KM + M^\dagger K^\dagger)\Psi\} = 0. \]

Thus, we find (1.5)

b. By putting hats on \( \Psi \) and \( A_\mu \), our considerations can be rephrased for PDE (1.2). Remind that from \( U^\dagger JU = J \) it follows that \( J^{-1}U^\dagger = U^{-1}J^{-1} \). Finally

\[ \text{Tr}(J^{-1}U^\dagger[\Psi^\dagger K\Gamma^\mu \Psi]U) = \text{Tr}(U^{-1}J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger K\Gamma^\mu \Psi]U) = \text{Tr}(J^{-1}[\Psi^\dagger K\Gamma^\mu \Psi]). \]

\[ \Box \]

2 Stationary points of complex-valued functionals

In this section we pay some attention to the Euler Lagrange field equations in the complex field case. Most physics textbooks start, in a rather verbose way, with 18th century variational rituals. However most of them become suddenly very vague, or fall completely silent, when state functions involving complex variables come into play! In order to get some feeling for such Lagrangians, we first mention a finite dimensional toy result.

**Theorem 2.1**

Let

\[ f : C^n \times C^n \ni (z; w) \mapsto f(z, w) \in C \]

be an analytic function of 2n complex variables with the special property \( f(z, z^*) \in \mathbb{R}, \) for all \( z \in \mathbb{C}^n \). Here \( z = x + iy, \quad z^* = x - iy \).

a. Consider the function

\[ \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \ni (x; y) \mapsto g(x, y) = f(z, z^*) = f(x + iy, x - iy) \in \mathbb{R}. \]

The relations between the (real) partial derivatives of \( g \) at \((x, y)\) and the (complex) partial derivatives of \( f \) at \((z, z^*)\) are

\[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, z^*) + i\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(z, z^*) \]

\[ \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y) = -i\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, z^*) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(z, z^*) \]

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, z^*) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) - i\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y) \right) \]

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(z, z^*) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y) + i\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y) \right) \]

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(z, z^*) = \overline{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, z^*)} \quad (2.1) \]
b. For $g$ to have a stationary point at $(a; b) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ each one of the following three conditions is necessary and sufficient

\begin{align*}
\bullet \ & \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(a, b) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(a, b) = 0, \\
\bullet \ & \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a + ib, a - ib) = 0, \\
\bullet \ & \frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(a + ib, a - ib) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a + ib, a - ib)^\ast = 0. \\
\end{align*}

(2.2)

c. If the special property $f(x + iy, x - iy) \in \mathbb{R}$ is relaxed to $\phi(f(x + iy, x - iy)) \in \mathbb{R}$ for some non-constant analytic $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, then the 'stationary point result' b. still holds.

Proof: Straightforward calculation

In Theorem 2.4 an $\infty$-dimensional generalisation of this result is presented.

A special bookkeeping

In the sequel, for the above variable $z$, usually a matrix $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$ will be taken. In order to explain our bookkeeping and also for some special properties, we now consider an analytic function of 2 matrix variables

$$
\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \times \mathbb{C}^{c \times r} \to \mathbb{C} : (Z; W) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(Z, W).
$$

(2.3)

Because of Hartog's Theorem, see [H] Thm 2.2.8, it is enough to assume analyticity with respect to each entry of each matrix separately.

The (complex!) partial derivatives of $\mathcal{F}$ are gathered in matrices,

\begin{align*}
(Z; W) & \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{(1)}(Z, W) \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times r}, \\
(Z; W) & \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{(2)}(Z, W) \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c},
\end{align*}

with

\begin{align*}
[\mathcal{F}^{(1)}]_{ij} = \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z} \right]_{ij} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z_{ji}}, \\
[\mathcal{F}^{(2)}]_{kl} = \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial W} \right]_{kl} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial W_{lk}}.
\end{align*}

(2.4)

In our notation the $\mathbb{C}$-linearization of $\mathcal{F}$ at $(Z, W)$, for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \varepsilon \lvert small$, reads

$$
\mathcal{F}(Z + \varepsilon H, W + \varepsilon K) = \mathcal{F}(Z, W) + \varepsilon \text{Tr} \left\{ [\mathcal{F}^{(1)}]H \right\} + \varepsilon \text{Tr} \left\{ [\mathcal{F}^{(2)}]K \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).
$$

(2.5)

Notation: Sometimes, in order to avoid excessive use of brackets, it is convenient to write $\text{Tr} \{ [\mathcal{F}^{(1)}] H \}$ instead of $\text{Tr} \{ [\mathcal{F}^{(1)}] H \}$.

Also, without warning, in proofs sometimes Einstein's summation convention for repeated upper and lower indices will be used.
Next split $Z$ in real and imaginary parts $Z = X + iY$ and introduce the function

$$
\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{R}^{r \times c} \times \mathbb{R}^{r \times c} \to \mathbb{C} : (X; Y) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(X, Y) = \mathcal{F}(Z, Z^\dagger) = \mathcal{F}(X + iY, X^\dagger - iY^\dagger).
$$

(2.6)

The $\mathbb{R}$-linearization of $\mathcal{F}$ at $(X, Y)$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\varepsilon|$ small, can now be written

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(X + \varepsilon A, Y + \varepsilon B) = \mathcal{F}(X, Y) + \varepsilon \text{Tr}\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial X} A + \varepsilon \text{Tr}\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Y} B \} \} + o(\varepsilon^2),
$$

with

$$
\text{Tr}\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial X} A \} = \text{Tr}\{ [\mathcal{F}^{(1)}] A \} + \text{Tr}\{ [\mathcal{F}^{(2)}] A^\dagger \} = \text{Tr}\{ ([\mathcal{F}^{(1)}] + [\mathcal{F}^{(2)}]^\dagger) A \},
$$

$$
\text{Tr}\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Y} B \} = \text{Tr}\{ i[\mathcal{F}^{(1)}] B \} + \text{Tr}\{ -i[\mathcal{F}^{(2)}] B^\dagger \} = \text{Tr}\{ i([\mathcal{F}^{(1)}] - [\mathcal{F}^{(2)}]^\dagger) B \},
$$

(2.8)

where the matrices $X, Y, A, B$ are all real. The (complex) derivatives $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}, \mathcal{F}^{(2)}$ are taken at $(Z, Z^\dagger)$. In the usual (somewhat confusing) notation, this corresponds to

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial X} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z} + \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z^\dagger} \right]^\dagger, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Y} = i \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z} - i \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z^\dagger} \right]^\dagger,
$$

(2.9)

and, similarly sloppy,

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial X} - i \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Y} \right), \quad \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z^\dagger} \right]^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial X} + i \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Y} \right).
$$

(2.10)

If it happens that $Z \mapsto \mathcal{F}(Z, Z^\dagger)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-valued, the results of Theorem (2.1) can be rephrased.

**Theorem 2.2**

Let, as in (2.3),

$$
\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \times \mathbb{C}^{c \times r} \ni (Z; W) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(Z, W) \in \mathbb{C}.
$$

be analytic. Suppose $\mathcal{F}(Z, Z^\dagger) \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$. Write $Z = X + iY$. Denote $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{R}^{r \times c} \times \mathbb{R}^{r \times c} \to \mathbb{R} : (X; Y) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(X, Y) = \mathcal{F}(Z, Z^\dagger) = \mathcal{F}(X + iY, X^\dagger - iY^\dagger)$,

- We have

$$
\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(Z, Z^\dagger) = [\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(Z, Z^\dagger)]^\dagger.
$$

(2.11)

Further, for the function $\mathcal{F}$ to have a stationary point at $(A; B) \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times c} \times \mathbb{R}^{r \times c}$ each one of the following three conditions is necessary and sufficient

- $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial X}(A, B) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Y}(A, B) = 0$

- $\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(A + iB, A^\dagger - iB^\dagger) = \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z}(A + iB, A^\dagger - iB^\dagger) \right]^\dagger = 0$

- $\mathcal{F}^{(2)}(A + iB, A^\dagger - iB^\dagger) = \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial Z^\dagger}(A + iB, A^\dagger - iB^\dagger) \right]^\dagger = 0$. 

(2.12)
Proof: Is mostly a reformulation of the preceding theorem. It follows directly from (2.9)-(2.10). 

In order to build the concept of **Lagrangian density** we need an analytic function, named proto-Lagrangian,

\[ \mathcal{L} : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \times \mathbb{C}^{c \times r} \times \mathbb{C}^{N_{r \times c}} \times \mathbb{C}^{c \times N_{r}} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{C}, \]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
P & \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}, \quad R = \text{col}[R_1, \ldots, R_N], \quad R_{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times r}, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq N, \\
Q^{\top} & \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times r}, \quad S^{\top} = \text{row}[S_{1}^{\top}, \ldots, S_{N}^{\top}], \quad S_{\mu}^{\top} \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times r}, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq N.
\end{align*}
\]

Instead of (2.13) it will be convenient sometimes to denote the proto Lagrangian by

\[ \mathcal{L}(P; Q^{\top}; R; S^{\top}; x). \]

It will be required that \( \mathcal{L}(O; O^{\top}; O; O^{\top}; x) = 0. \)

The (complex) partial derivatives of \( \mathcal{L} \), cf. (2.4)-(2.5), with respect to its \( 2N + 2 \) matrix arguments are denoted, respectively,

\[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}, \mathcal{L}^{(\alpha \ast)}, \mathcal{L}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}^{(N)}, \mathcal{L}^{(1 \ast)}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}^{(N \ast)}. \]

The (real) partial derivatives of \( \mathcal{L} \), with respect to the vector variable \( x \) is denoted \( \mathcal{L}(\nabla) \).

For any given matrix-valued function \( \Psi : \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \), we define a **Lagrangian density** \( \mathcal{L}_{\psi} : \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{C} \), by substitution of \( \Psi \), its 1st derivatives \( \partial_{\mu} \Psi = \Psi_{,\mu}, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq N \), and the hermitean transposed of all those, in \( \mathcal{L} \):

\[ \mathcal{L}(\Psi; \Psi^{\dagger}; \nabla \Psi^{\dagger}; \nabla \Psi^{\dagger}; x) \]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \Psi(x) & = \text{col}[\partial_{1} \Psi(x), \ldots, \partial_{N} \Psi(x)] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{r \times c}}, \\
\nabla \Psi^{\dagger}(x) & = \text{row}[\partial_{1} \Psi^{\dagger}(x), \ldots, \partial_{N} \Psi^{\dagger}(x)] \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times N_{r}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Also the matrix-valued functions

\[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}(\Psi; \Psi^{\dagger}; \nabla \Psi^{\dagger}; \nabla \Psi^{\dagger}; x), \]

similarly \( \mathcal{L}^{(\mu \ast)} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \), and \( x \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\nabla) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \), will be used.

On a suitable space of functions \( \Psi : \mathbb{R}^{N} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \), it often makes sense to define the **Lagrangian functional**

\[ \Psi \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\Psi; \Psi^{\dagger}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(x); \Psi^{\dagger}(x); \nabla \Psi^{\dagger}(x); \nabla \Psi^{\dagger}(x); x) \, dx \in \mathbb{C}. \]
Remark 2.3 The Lagrangian functional $\mathcal{L}$ remains the same if we replace $\mathcal{L}$ by

$$\mathcal{L}(\Psi; \Psi^\dagger; \nabla \Psi; \nabla \Psi^\dagger; x) + \partial_\mu w^\mu(\Psi, \Psi^\dagger, x),$$

with $w^\mu$ a vectorfield which vanishes sufficiently rapidly at infinity.

Therefore the functional $\Psi \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\Psi, \Psi^\dagger)$ is $\mathbb{R}$-valued if

$$\mathcal{L}(\Psi; \Psi^\dagger, \nabla \Psi; \nabla \Psi^\dagger; x) - \mathcal{L}(\Psi; \Psi^\dagger, \nabla \Psi; \nabla \Psi^\dagger; x) = \partial_\mu W^\mu(\Psi, \Psi^\dagger, x),$$

i.e. the divergence of a vector field.

**Note** that $\mathcal{L}$ may be $\mathbb{R}$-valued while $\mathcal{L}_\psi$ is not !!

If we split $\Psi$ into real and imaginary parts: $\Psi = \Psi_{\text{Re}} + i\Psi_{\text{Im}}$ and $\Psi_{\mu} = \Psi_{\text{Re},\mu} + i\Psi_{\text{Im},\mu}$, the $\mathbb{R}$-directional derivatives with respect to $\Psi_{\text{Re}}$ and $\Psi_{\text{Im}}$ of the Lagrangian functional $\mathcal{L}$ are explained by

$$\langle D_{\Psi_{\text{Re}}} \mathcal{L}, A \rangle = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}(\Psi + \varepsilon A, \Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon A^\dagger)|_{\varepsilon = 0} =$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(x) + \varepsilon A(x); \Psi^\dagger(x) + \varepsilon A^\dagger(x); \nabla(\Psi(x) + \varepsilon A(x)); \nabla(\Psi^\dagger(x) + \varepsilon A^\dagger(x)); x) \, dx|_{\varepsilon = 0},$$

with $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times c}$, and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, |\varepsilon|$ small.

$$\langle D_{\Psi_{\text{Im}}} \mathcal{L}, B \rangle = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}(\Psi + \varepsilon iB, \Psi^\dagger - \varepsilon iB^\dagger)|_{\varepsilon = 0} =$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(x) + \varepsilon iB(x); \Psi^\dagger(x) - \varepsilon iB^\dagger(x); \nabla(\Psi(x) + \varepsilon iB(x)); \nabla(\Psi^\dagger(x) - \varepsilon iB^\dagger(x)); x) \, dx|_{\varepsilon = 0},$$

with $B : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times c}$, and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}, |\varepsilon|$ small.

When calculating the $\mathbb{C}$-directional derivatives $D_{\Psi} \mathcal{L}, D_{\Psi^\dagger} \mathcal{L}$, the variables $\Psi, \Psi^\dagger$ are considered to be independent. These derivatives are supposed to be elements in the ($\textit{complex}$) linear dual of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C}^{n \times c})$. They are explained by

$$\langle D_{\Psi} \mathcal{L}, H \rangle = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}(\Psi + \varepsilon H, \Psi^\dagger)|_{\varepsilon = 0} =$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(x) + \varepsilon H(x); \Psi^\dagger(x); \nabla(\Psi(x) + \varepsilon H(x)); \nabla \Psi^\dagger(x); x) \, dx|_{\varepsilon = 0},$$

with $H : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times c}$, and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, |\varepsilon|$ small.

$$\langle D_{\Psi^\dagger} \mathcal{L}, K \rangle = \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}(\Psi, \Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon K)|_{\varepsilon = 0} =$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{L}(\Psi(x); \Psi^\dagger(x) + \varepsilon K(x); \nabla \Psi(x); \nabla(\Psi^\dagger(x) + \varepsilon K(x)); x) \, dx|_{\varepsilon = 0},$$

with $K : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$, and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, |\varepsilon|$ small.

For $H, K, A, B$ vanishing sufficiently rapidly at $\infty$ a partial integration leads to the standard Euler-Lagrange expressions for the functional derivatives of $\mathcal{L}$. 
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Theorem 2.4
Assume that \( \mathcal{L} \) is \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued. (Cf. Remark 2.3). If \( \Psi \) satisfies any one of the following three Lagrangian systems

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_\Psi \mathcal{L} = \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\circ)} \right] - \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} \right] = 0, \\
\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^\dagger} \mathcal{L} = \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\ast)} \right] - \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\ast\mu)} \right] = 0, \\
\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^\dagger} \mathcal{L} = \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\ast\ast)} \right] - \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\ast\ast\mu)} \right] = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

with \( \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\Psi(x); \Psi^\dagger(x); \nabla \Psi(x); \nabla \Psi^\dagger(x); x) \), then it also satisfies the other two.

Proof: With the notation (2.8)-(2.10) we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_{\text{Re}}} = \mathcal{L}^{(\circ)} + \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\ast)} \right]^\top, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_{\text{Im}}} = i \mathcal{L}^{(\circ)} - i \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\ast)} \right]^\top,
\]

and, the other way round,

\[
\left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\ast)} \right]^\top = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_{\text{Re}}} + i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_{\text{Im}}} \right), \quad \mathcal{L}^{(\circ)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_{\text{Re}}} - i \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_{\text{Im}}} \right),
\]

and similar expressions with \((\circ), (\ast)\) replaced by \((\mu), (\ast\mu)\) and \(\Psi, \Psi_{\text{Re}}, \Psi_{\text{Im}}\) replaced by \(\Psi, \mu, \Psi_{\text{Re},\mu}, \Psi_{\text{Im},\mu}\). Then

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_\Psi \mathcal{L} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{D}_{\Psi_{\text{Re}}} \mathcal{L} - i \mathcal{D}_{\Psi_{\text{Im}}} \mathcal{L} \right), \\
\mathcal{D}_{\Psi^\dagger} \mathcal{L} &= \left[ \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^\dagger} \mathcal{L} \right]^\top
\end{align*}
\]

If we take into account that the entries of the matrix valued functions \(\mathcal{D}_{\Psi_{\text{Re}}} \mathcal{L}\) and \(\mathcal{D}_{\Psi_{\text{Im}}} \mathcal{L}\) are \(\mathbb{R}\)-valued, we find

\[
\left[ \mathcal{D}_{\Psi^\dagger} \mathcal{L} \right]^\top = \left[ \mathcal{D}_\Psi \mathcal{L} \right],
\]

from which the theorem easily follows.

Examples 2.5 (Matter Fields)

a) Let \(\Gamma^\mu\) and \(M\) be constant complex matrices with \(\Gamma^{\mu\dagger} = \Gamma^\mu\) and \(M = -M^\dagger\). Then the Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}_\psi = i \text{Tr} \left\{ \Psi^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi^\dagger M \Psi \right\}, \tag{2.20}
\]

for \(\Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}\), satisfies the condition of Theorem (2.4) and leads to (1.1) with \(\mathcal{A} = 0\).

b) Let \(\Gamma_\mu, 1 \leq \mu \leq N : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}\). Let \(\mathcal{A}_\mu, 1 \leq \mu \leq N : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}\). Let \(M : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}\).

Suppose both the existence of \(K : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}\), having inverse \(K^{-1}(x)\), for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^N\), and an invertible \(J \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}\) with \(J^\dagger = J\), such that:
\((KT^\mu)^\dagger = KT^\mu, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq N, \quad \mathcal{A}_\mu(x)J + J\mathcal{A}_\mu(x) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \mu \leq N, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\)

and \(KM + M^\dagger K^\dagger - \partial_\mu (KT^\mu) = 0.\)

Then the Lagrangian density
\[
\mathcal{L}_\psi = i \text{Tr} \left\{ \Psi^\dagger K (\Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi) J^{-1} + \Psi^\dagger K (\Gamma^\mu \Psi A_\mu) J^{-1} + \Psi^\dagger KM \Psi J^{-1} \right\},
\]

for \(\Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}\) satisfies \(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\psi}} = \partial_\mu w\) and hence the condition of Theorem (2.4).

It leads to the 'matter-field equation'
\[
\Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi + \Gamma^\mu \Psi A_\mu + M \Psi = 0
\]

**Indeed.** Taking suitable combinations we find respectively
\[
\text{Tr} \left\{ \Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi) J^{-1} + J^{-1}(\partial_\mu \Psi)^\dagger (\Gamma^\mu)^\dagger \Psi \right\} = \text{Tr} \left\{ J^{-1} \partial_\mu [\Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \Psi] \right\} + \text{Tr} \left\{ J^{-1} [\Psi^\dagger \partial_\mu (\Gamma^\mu \Psi)] \right\},
\]

\[
\text{Tr} \left\{ \Psi^\dagger K (\Gamma^\mu \Psi A_\mu) J^{-1} + J^{-1} A_\mu \Psi^\dagger (K^\mu)^\dagger \Psi \right\} = \text{Tr} \left\{ [A_\mu J^{-1} + J^{-1} A_\mu^\dagger] \Psi^\dagger (K^\mu \Psi) \right\} = 0.
\]

\[
\text{Tr} \left\{ \Psi^\dagger K M \Psi J^{-1} + J^{-1} \Psi^\dagger M^\dagger K^\dagger \Psi \right\} = \text{Tr} \left\{ J^{-1} \Psi^\dagger K M \Psi + J^{-1} \Psi^\dagger M^\dagger K^\dagger \Psi \right\} = \text{Tr} \left\{ J^{-1} [\Psi^\dagger (K M + M^\dagger K^\dagger) \Psi] \right\}.
\]

Ultimately we find
\[
\mathcal{L}_\psi - \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\psi}} = \partial_\mu \text{Tr} \left\{ J^{-1} [\Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \Psi] \right\} = \partial_\mu \text{Tr} \left\{ [\Psi^\dagger K \Gamma^\mu \Psi] J^{-1} \right\}.
\]

The Euler-Lagrange equations are
\[
K \left( \Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi + \Gamma^\mu \Psi A_\mu + M \Psi \right) J^{-1} = 0,
\]

from which \(K\) and \(J^{-1}\) can be cancelled.

c) The Lagrangian density
\[
\mathcal{L}_\psi = \text{Tr} \left\{ \partial_\mu \Psi^\dagger [\partial_\nu \Psi] + \Psi^\dagger R \Psi \right\},
\]

with \(\Theta^{\mu \nu}, R : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times r}\) and \([\Theta^{\mu \nu}]^\dagger = \Theta^{\nu \mu}, R^\dagger = R,\) is \(\mathbb{R}\)-valued. It leads to the 2nd order equation
\[
\sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \Theta^{\mu \nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \Psi - R \Psi = 0.
\]

d) The Lagrangian density for functions \(\Psi = \text{col} [\Psi_1, \Psi_2] : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{C}^2,\)
\[
\mathcal{L}_\psi = \text{Tr} \left[ \Psi^\dagger (i \partial_t \Psi + \Delta \Psi + V \Psi) \right], \quad \text{with} \quad x \mapsto V(x) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}, \quad V^\dagger = V,
\]

leads to a \(\mathbb{R}\)-valued Lagrangian functional \(\mathcal{L}\). Indeed
\[
\mathcal{L}_\psi - \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\psi}} = i \partial_t \text{Tr} \left[ \Psi^\dagger \Psi \right] + \partial_{x_1} \text{Tr} \left[ \Psi^\dagger (\partial_{x_1}) \Psi - (\partial_{x_1} \Psi)^\dagger \Psi \right] + \ldots + \partial_{x_N} \text{Tr} \left[ \Psi^\dagger (\partial_{x_N}) \Psi - (\partial_{x_N} \Psi)^\dagger \Psi \right].
\]

The \(\mathcal{L}_\psi\) of (2.27) leads to the Schrödinger equation for a particle with spin \(\frac{1}{2}\).
3 Free Gauge Fields

The 'field variables' to be considered in this section are smooth functions

\[ A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} : x \mapsto A(x) = \col[A_1(x), \ldots, A_\mu(x), \ldots, A_N(x)], \]  

(3.1)

with \( A_\mu(x) \in g \), with \( g \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) some fixed real Lie algebra. This means that \( g \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear subspace in \( \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) which is not necessarily a \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear subspace. On \( g \) we impose the usual 'commutator'-Lie product

\[ \{ A_\mu, A_\nu \} = (A_\mu A_\nu - A_\nu A_\mu). \]

Important examples are matrix Lie Algebras of type

\[ g_J = \{ X \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \mid X^J + JX = 0 \}, \]

with fixed invertible \( J \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \).

Note that \( g_J \) is always a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear subspace in \( \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \), but not necessarily \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear. However:

\[ \{ J^{-1} = J^\dagger \} \Rightarrow \{ X \in g_J \Rightarrow X^\dagger \in g_J \}. \]

Next, by \( P_g : \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \to g \), we denote the real orthogonal projection with respect to the real inner product \( X, Y \mapsto \Re \text{Tr}[X^\dagger Y] \).

Remarks 3.1

Consider \( \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) as a real vector space with standard real inner product \( X, Y \mapsto \Re \text{Tr}[X^\dagger Y] \).

By \( P_g : \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \to g \), we denote the real orthogonal projection with respect to this inner product.

- The Hermitean conjugation map \( X \mapsto X^\dagger \) is \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear symmetric and orthogonal.
- If \( \forall X \in g : X^\dagger \in g \), in short \( g^\dagger = g \), it follows that \( \forall X \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} : P_g(X^\dagger) = (P_g X)^\dagger \).
- For fixed \( K, L \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) the mapping \( X \mapsto KX^\dagger L \) is \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear. Its \( \mathbb{R} \)-adjoint is \( Y \mapsto LY^\dagger K \).
- For any fixed invertible \( J \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) the mapping

\[ Q_J : \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \to \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} : X \mapsto Q_J X = \frac{1}{2}(X - J^{-1}X^J) \]  

(3.2)

is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear mapping which reduces to the identity map when restricted to \( g_J \).
- \( Q_J \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear projection on \( g_J \) iff \( J = J^\dagger \).
- \( Q_J \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear orthogonal projection on \( g_J \) if \( J = J^{-1} = J^\dagger \).

In this special case \( Q_J = P_g \), with \( g = g_J \).

\(^2\)In physics textbooks one often denotes \( iA_\mu \), instead of \( A_\mu \), cf. [DM]. For resemblance with Electromagnetism, I suppose. Because of \( u(1) = i\mathbb{R} \)? To this author the factor \( i \) is not convenient in all other cases.
\begin{itemize}
  \item If we modify the standard real inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$ to $X, Y \mapsto \text{Re Tr}[X^\dagger J^2 Y]$, the projection $\mathcal{Z}_J$ is orthogonal iff $J = J^\dagger$.
\end{itemize}

**Proof**

\begin{itemize}
  \item $\text{Re Tr}[(X^\dagger)^\dagger Y] = \text{Re Tr}[XY] = \text{Re Tr}[X^\dagger(Y^\dagger)]$. Also $\text{Re Tr}[(X^\dagger)^\dagger(Y^\dagger)] = \text{Re Tr}[(X)^\dagger(Y)]$.
  \item Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is supposed to be an invariant subspace for $X \mapsto X^\dagger$ and the latter is symmetric, also $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger$ is invariant.
  \item $\text{Re Tr}[(KX^\dagger L)^\dagger Y] = \text{Re Tr}[KX^\dagger LY^\dagger] = \text{Re Tr}[X^\dagger(LY^\dagger K)]$.
  \item For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ holds $(I - 2J)X = 0$, iff $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
  \item $Q^2_J = Q_J$ iff $J = J^\dagger$.
  \item $\frac{1}{2}\text{Re Tr}[(X - J^{-1}X^\dagger)J^2 Y] = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re Tr}[X^\dagger J^2 Y] - \frac{1}{2}\text{Re Tr}[X^\dagger J^2 (J^{-1}Y^\dagger J^2 J^{-1})]$.
\end{itemize}

The 2nd term equals $-\frac{1}{2}\text{Re Tr}[X^\dagger J^2 (J^{-1}Y^\dagger J)$, for all $X, Y$, iff $J = J^\dagger$. \hfill \blackslug

Associated with $\mathcal{A}$, cf. (3.1), we introduce covariant-type partial derivatives

$$\nabla^A_\mu = \partial_\mu - \{\mathcal{A}_\mu , U\} = \partial_\mu - \text{ad}_{\mathcal{A}_\mu} U. \tag{3.3}$$

One has the Leibniz-type rules

$$\nabla^A_\mu (UV) = (\nabla^A_\mu U)V + U(\nabla^A_\mu V), \quad \text{Tr} \left[U(\nabla^A_\mu V)\right] = \partial_\mu \text{Tr}[UV] - \text{Tr}[(\nabla^A_\mu U)V]. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that if $U \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N: \mathfrak{g})$ then also $\nabla^A_\mu U \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N: \mathfrak{g})$.

Next, as in section 1, for given $\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N: \mathfrak{g})$, $1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq N$, define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \mathcal{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathcal{A}_\mu = \{\mathcal{A}_\mu , \mathcal{A}_\nu\} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N: \mathfrak{g}), \tag{3.5}$$

to which Theorem 1.2 applies.

For the construction of a $\mathbb{R}$-valued Lagrangian density $\mathcal{G}_A$ for the Gauge field(s) $\mathcal{A}$ we again employ a proto Lagrangian $\mathcal{G}$, which is now an analytic function of $N(N - 1)$ complex-matrix variables and just smooth in $N$ real variables:

$$\mathcal{G} : \frac{\mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}}{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1) \text{ times}} \times \frac{\mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}}{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1) \text{ times}} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}. \tag{3.6}$$

The 1st set of entries to this function is labeled by the ordered pairs $(\mu \nu), \ 1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N$. The 2nd set of entries is labelled by the ordered triple $(\theta \rho \star), \ 1 \leq \theta < \rho \leq N$. We denote

$$\{ \ldots, P_{\mu \nu}, \ldots; \ldots, Q_{\theta \rho \star}, \ldots; x \} \mapsto \mathcal{G}(\ldots, P_{\mu \nu}, \ldots; \ldots, Q_{\theta \rho \star}, \ldots; x) \in \mathbb{C},$$

with $1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N$ and $1 \leq \theta < \rho \leq N$. The 3 bunches of variables get their corresponding partial derivatives denoted by, respectively, cf. (2.4),

$$\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \nu)}(\ldots, P_{\theta \rho}, \ldots; \ldots, Q_{\theta \rho \star}, \ldots; x), \quad \mathcal{G}^{(\theta \rho \star)}(\ldots, P_{\theta \rho}, \ldots; \ldots, Q_{\theta \rho \star}, \ldots; x), \quad \mathcal{G}^{(\nabla)}.$$
Let the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) be fixed. On \( \mathcal{G} \) we put the condition, take \( Q_{\theta \rho} = P^\dagger_{\theta \rho} \),
\[
\forall \{ P_{\mu \nu} \}_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N} \subset \mathfrak{g} \quad \forall \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N : \mathcal{G}( \ldots , P_{\mu \nu} , \ldots , P^\dagger_{\theta \rho} , \ldots ; \underline{x} ) \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.7}
\]
The Lagrangian density we want to consider is found by replacing \( P_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow F_{\mu \nu} , Q_{\theta \rho} \rightarrow F^\dagger_{\theta \rho} \), \( x \mapsto G_A( \underline{x} ) = G_A( \ldots , F_{\mu \nu}(x) , \ldots , F^\dagger_{\theta \rho}(x) , \ldots ; \underline{x} ) \in \mathcal{R}. \tag{3.8}
\]

Note that if \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_J \), for some fixed \( J \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \), we have \( F^\dagger_{\theta \rho} = -J F_{\theta \rho} J^{-1} , \theta < \rho \). As in the previous section, a corresponding useful notation is
\[
x \mapsto G_A^{(\mu \nu)}(x) = G^{(\mu \nu)}( \ldots , F_{\mu \nu}(x) , \ldots , F^\dagger_{\theta \rho}(x) , \ldots ; \underline{x} ) \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}. \tag{3.9}
\]
The Lagrangian density \( G_A \) depends on the field variables \( x \mapsto A_\mu(x) , 1 \leq \mu \leq N \), and their derivatives. All being functions in a vectorspace over \( \mathbb{R} \). In the important special case \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_J \) the hermitean conjugate notation of the field variables \( A_\mu \) need not even occur.

Finally, note that, because of (2.11) and (3.8), we have
\[
G_A( \theta \rho ) = G_A^\dagger( \theta \rho ) \quad 1 \leq \theta < \rho \leq N . \tag{3.10}
\]

**Notation 3.2** In order to visually simplify the formulae to come, it is useful to extend the set of functions \( G_A^{(\mu \nu)} \), cf. (3.9), to 'full' labels \( 1 \leq \mu , \nu \leq N \) in the following way,
\[
G_A^{(\mu \nu)} = \begin{cases} 
G_A^{(\mu \nu)} & \text{if } 0 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N , \text{ as before,} \\
0 & \text{if } \mu = \nu , \\
-G_A^{(\nu \mu)} & \text{if } 0 \leq \nu < \mu \leq N .
\end{cases} \tag{3.11}
\]

**Theorem 3.3** Fix a matrix Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \). Consider the Lagrangian density \( G_A \) of (3.8).

A. The Euler-Lagrange equations for the free gauge fields \( A_\mu , 1 \leq \mu \leq N \), with values in the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \), read
\[
\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \mathcal{D}_\theta \left( (\nabla^A \theta \rho \mu \nu)^{\dagger} \right) = 0 , \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N , \tag{3.12}
\]
with \( \nabla^A \theta \mu \) as in (3.3).

B. In the special case \( \mathfrak{g}^\dagger = \mathfrak{g} \) the Euler-Lagrange equations simplify to
\[
\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \nabla^A \theta \mu \nu = 0 , \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N . \tag{3.13}
\]

C. If we take \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_J \), with \( J = J^\dagger = J^{-1} \), the latter becomes
\[
\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \nabla^A \theta \mu \nu \left( \mathcal{D}_J \mathcal{D}_J^{\dagger} \right) = 0 , \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N , \tag{3.14}
\]
where \( \mathcal{D}_J Z = \frac{1}{2} Z - \frac{1}{2} J Z J^\dagger , Z \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \).
Proof

A. In order to calculate the (directional) derivatives of the Lagrangian functional \( \mathcal{G} = \int \mathcal{G}_A \ d\mathbf{x} \) with respect to the free gauge fields \( A_\kappa, \ 1 \leq \kappa \leq N \), we first expand a perturbation of \( \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}(\mathbf{x}) \) by substitution of the gauge fields \( \mathbf{x} \mapsto A_\mu(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon \delta_{\mu \kappa} \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}), \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu; \kappa \lambda} = \left[ \partial_\mu (A_\nu + \varepsilon \delta_{\nu \kappa} \mathcal{H}) - \partial_\nu (A_\mu + \varepsilon \delta_{\mu \kappa} \mathcal{H}) - \{ A_\mu + \varepsilon \delta_{\mu \kappa} \mathcal{H}, A_\nu + \varepsilon \delta_{\nu \kappa} \mathcal{H} \} \right] = 
\]

\[
= \left[ \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - \{ A_\mu, A_\nu \} \right] + \varepsilon \delta_{\nu \kappa} \left[ \partial_\mu \mathcal{H} - \{ A_\mu, \mathcal{H} \} \right] - \varepsilon \delta_{\mu \kappa} \left[ \partial_\nu \mathcal{H} - \{ A_\nu, \mathcal{H} \} \right] = 
\]

\[
= \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} + \varepsilon \delta_{\nu \kappa} \nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H} - \varepsilon \delta_{\mu \kappa} \nabla^A_\nu \mathcal{H} .
\]

Consider the expansion

\[
\mathcal{G}(\ldots, \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu; \kappa \lambda}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\theta \rho; \kappa \lambda}, \ldots; \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{G}(\ldots, \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\theta \rho}, \ldots; \mathbf{x}) = 
\]

\[
= \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N} \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \nu)}_A] [\delta_{\nu \kappa} \nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H} - \delta_{\mu \kappa} \nabla^A_\nu \mathcal{H}] \right] + 
\]

\[
+ \varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq \theta < \rho \leq N} \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\theta \rho \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\delta_{\rho \kappa} \nabla^A_\theta \mathcal{H} - \delta_{\theta \kappa} \nabla^A_\rho \mathcal{H}] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 
\]

\[
= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \nu)}_A] [\delta_{\nu \kappa} \nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H} - \delta_{\mu \kappa} \nabla^A_\nu \mathcal{H}] \right] + 
\]

\[
+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{\theta, \rho = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\theta \rho \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\delta_{\rho \kappa} \nabla^A_\theta \mathcal{H} - \delta_{\theta \kappa} \nabla^A_\rho \mathcal{H}] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 
\]

\[
= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{\mu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A] [\nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H}] \right] + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{\nu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\kappa \nu)}_A] [\nabla^A_\nu \mathcal{H}] \right] + 
\]

\[
+ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{\theta = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\theta \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\nabla^A_\theta \mathcal{H}] \right] - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{\rho = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\kappa \rho \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\nabla^A_\rho \mathcal{H}] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 
\]

\[
= \varepsilon \sum_{\mu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A] [\nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H}] \right] + \varepsilon \sum_{\mu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H}] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 
\]

\[
= 2\varepsilon \text{Re} \sum_{\mu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H}] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 2\varepsilon \text{Re} \sum_{\mu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H}] \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 
\]

\[
= -2\varepsilon \text{Re} \sum_{\mu = 1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ \nabla^A_\mu \left( [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu \kappa \lambda)}_A] [\nabla^A_\mu \mathcal{H}] \right) \right] + \sum_{\mu = 1}^N \partial_\mu (\ldots) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) = 
\]
\[ -2\varepsilon \text{Re} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \mathcal{P}_{\theta} \left( \left( R_{\mu} \tilde{F}_{A}^{(\mu\nu)} \right)^{\dagger} \right) \right)^{\dagger} \right] \mathcal{H} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \partial_{\mu}(\ldots) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2). \quad (3.15) \]

In this derivation we used, respectively, the antisymmetry $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ of $[\tilde{F}_{A}^{(\mu\nu)}]$ and $[\delta_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu}^{A} \mathcal{H} - \delta_{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\nu}^{A} \mathcal{H}]$, the Leibniz rule (3.4), the fact that $\text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \ldots \right)^{\dagger} \mathcal{H} \right]$ expresses the real inner product on $\mathbb{C}^{c\times c}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\theta}$ the real orthogonal projection on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Also properties like $\text{Tr}[AB] = \text{Tr}[BA]$, $\text{Tr}[A\{B, C\}] = \text{Tr}[\{A, B\}C]$ play a crucial role. The result now follows by the usual variational practices.

**B.** If $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger = \mathfrak{g}$ the real linear mappings $\{,\}^\dagger$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\theta}$ commute, which greatly simplifies the result of A.

**C.** Use Remarks 3.1. ■

**Example 3.4**

**A.** For convenience we restrict to Lie-algebras with property $\mathfrak{g}^\dagger = \mathfrak{g}$. We will consider general Lagrangians which are (real) quadratic in $F_{\mu\nu}$. Here, in our summation expressions, we write $\mu < \nu$ instead of $1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N$. Start from the proto Lagrangian

\[ \mathcal{G} = \sum_{\mu<\nu, \theta<\rho} h(\mu\nu)(\theta\rho) \text{Tr}[P_{\mu\nu} Q_{\rho\theta}] \quad \text{with} \quad \overline{h(\mu\nu)(\theta\rho)} = h(\theta\rho)(\mu\nu) \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.16) \]

Note

\[ \sum_{\mu<\nu, \theta<\rho} h(\mu\nu)(\theta\rho) \text{Tr}[P_{\mu\nu} P_{\rho\theta}] \in \mathbb{R}. \]

For the derivatives of $\mathcal{G}$ we find,

\[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}(\ldots, P_{\mu\nu}, \ldots, Q_{\rho\theta}, \ldots) = \sum_{\alpha<\beta} h(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta) Q_{\alpha\beta*} \]

\[ \mathcal{G}^{(\theta\rho*)}(\ldots, P_{\mu\nu}, \ldots, Q_{\rho\theta}, \ldots) = \sum_{\alpha<\beta} h(\alpha\beta)(\theta\rho) P_{\alpha\beta*} \]

If we take $Q_{\rho*} = P_{\rho*}^\dagger$, one easily checks (3.8),

\[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)^\dagger}(\ldots, P_{\mu\nu}, \ldots, P_{\rho*}^\dagger, \ldots) = \sum_{\alpha<\beta} \overline{h(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)} P_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\alpha<\beta} h(\alpha\beta)(\mu\nu) P_{\alpha\beta*} = \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu*)}. \]

The Lagrangian density

\[ \mathcal{G}_{A} = \sum_{\mu<\nu, \theta<\rho} h(\mu\nu)(\theta\rho) \text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu} F_{\rho\theta}^{\dagger}], \quad (3.17) \]

can now be put in (3.13) to find the Euler-Lagrange equations. Note however, that $\mathcal{P}_{\theta}$ cannot be put 'through' the $h(\mu\nu)(\theta\rho)$ if those are non-real numbers!
So, let us restrict to \( g^\dagger = g \) and \( h_{(\mu\nu)\emptyset\emptyset} \in \mathbb{R} \). Anti-symmetrize \( h_{(\mu\nu)\emptyset\emptyset} \) to full labels:

\[
\hat{h}_{(\mu\nu)\emptyset\emptyset} = \begin{cases} 
  h_{(\mu\nu)\emptyset\emptyset} & \text{if } \mu < \nu, \emptyset < \emptyset \text{ or } \mu > \nu, \emptyset > \emptyset \\
  0 & \text{if } \mu = \nu \text{ and/or } \emptyset = \emptyset \\
  -h_{(\mu\nu)\emptyset\emptyset} & \text{if } \mu > \nu, \emptyset < \emptyset \\
  -h_{(\mu\nu)\emptyset\emptyset} & \text{if } \mu < \nu, \emptyset > \emptyset 
\end{cases}
\]

In this special case

\[
\mathcal{G}^\dagger_A(\mu\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \hat{h}_{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)} \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} ,
\]

and, since \( \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} \in g \), the E-L-equations (3.13) become

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \hat{h}_{(\mu\kappa)(\alpha\beta)} \left( \partial_\mu \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} - \{ A_\mu , \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\alpha\beta} \} \right) = 0 , \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N .
\] (3.18)

B. For gauge fields on Minkowski space, with coordinates \( x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3 \) and metric \([g^{\mu\nu}] = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)\), one usually takes, cf. [DM],

\[
h_{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)} = g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} = (1)^{1+\delta_{\mu0}} \delta_{\mu\alpha}(1)^{1+\delta_{\nu0}} \delta_{\nu\beta} = (1)^{\delta_{\mu0}+\delta_{\nu0}} \delta_{\mu\alpha} \delta_{\nu\beta} .
\]

Hence

\[
\hat{h}_{(\mu\nu)(\alpha\beta)} = \text{sgn}(\kappa - \mu) \text{sgn}(\beta - \alpha) (1)^{\delta_{\mu0}+\delta_{\nu0}} \delta_{\mu\alpha} \delta_{\kappa\beta} .
\]

In this special case the Lagrangian density (3.17) reads

\[
\mathcal{G}_A = \sum_{0 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 3} (1)^{\delta_{\mu0}+\delta_{\nu0}} \text{Tr} [ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\mu\nu} ] .
\] (3.19)

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are

\[
\sum_{\mu=0}^{3} (1)^{\delta_{\mu0}+\delta_{\kappa0}} \nabla^A_{\mu} \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\mu\kappa} = 0 , \quad 0 \leq \kappa \leq 3 .
\] (3.20)

For \( \text{dim} g = 1 \) the term \( \text{ad}_{A_\mu} \mathcal{F}^\dagger_{\mu\kappa} \) vanishes. This simplification, viz. \( \nabla^A_{\mu} = \partial_\mu \), leads to standard electromagnetism in Minkowski space. Indeed, if we put \( A^\dagger_0 = -\Phi \) and \( \text{col}[A^\dagger_1, A^\dagger_2, A^\dagger_3] = A \), then (3.20) turns into Maxwell’s equations ‘in potential form’

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{div} A + \Delta \Phi = 0 \\
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A - \Delta A + \text{grad} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi + \text{div} A \right) = 0
\end{cases}
\] (3.21)
If the pair $A, B$ satisfies (3.21), then the pair $E = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \text{grad} \Phi$, $B = \text{rot} A$, satisfies the classical Maxwell equations.

Finally, imposing the 'Lorenz-Gauge' $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi + \text{div} A = 0$, we find the usual wave equations $\partial_t^2 \Phi - \Delta \Phi = 0$, $\partial_t^2 A - \Delta A = 0$. For more details see Appendix B.

4 \hspace{1em} \textbf{Noether Fluxes}

'Infinitesimal symmetries' of the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ lead to local conservation laws for the solutions of the Euler Lagrange equations. So we are told by Emmy Noether’s famous theorem. First we have a short look at the needed concepts as formulated within our special (simple) context.

\textbf{Definition 4.1} A Conservation Law or Noether Flux is a vectorfield on $\mathbb{R}^N$, with components $\mathcal{V}^\mu_\psi$, $1 \leq \mu \leq N$, which arise from a set of functions of Proto-Lagrangian type, $\mathcal{V}^\mu$, $1 \leq \mu \leq N$, cf. (2.13), such that for all solutions $\Psi$ of the Euler Lagrangian system, cf. Th 2.4, we have

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \mathcal{V}^\mu_\psi(x) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{V}^\mu_\psi(x) = \mathcal{V}^\mu(\Psi(x), \Psi^\dagger(x), \Psi_{,\mu}(x), \Psi^{\dagger}_{,\mu}(x), x). \quad (4.1)$$

A conservation law can be named 'trivial' for several reasons: It may happen that for all solutions $\Psi$ the fluxes $\mathcal{V}^\mu_\psi = 0$. Another reason for triviality occurs if for all functions $\Psi$, whether they are solutions or not, the identity (4.1) is satisfied. For example if the components $\mathcal{V}^\mu_\psi$ arise from the curl of an arbitrary vector field depending on $\Psi$.

Two types of symmetries will be considered here: 'Internal symmetries' and 'External symmetries'. They can be formulated in terms of the proto-Lagrangian only.

External symmetries regard transformations of the spatial variables $x$. We restrict to affine transforms.

\textbf{Definition 4.2} (Internal symmetries)

A set of linear mappings $K, L^\lambda_\mu : \mathbb{C}^{r\times c} \to \mathbb{C}^{r\times c}, 1 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq N$, is said to generate an internal (local) symmetry of the proto-Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ if for all $P, Q_\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{r\times c}$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}, |s| \text{ small}$, one has

$$\mathcal{L}(e^{sK}P; (e^{sK}P)^\dagger; \ldots e^{sL^\lambda_\mu}Q_\lambda; \ldots (e^{sL^\lambda_\mu}Q_\lambda)^\dagger; \ldots x) =$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(P; P^\dagger; \ldots Q_\mu; \ldots Q^\dagger_\mu; \ldots x) + \mathcal{O}(s^2), \quad (4.2)$$

In many cases the $K, L^\lambda_\mu$ are realized by left and/or right multiplication with some fixed matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{r\times r}$ or $\mathbb{C}^{c\times c}$.
Many times there is a special type of internal symmetry which is related to a linear mapping $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ in the 'outside world',

$$\mathcal{L}(P; P^\dagger; \ldots; (e^{sA})^\lambda Q_\lambda \ldots; \ldots; ((e^{sA})^\lambda Q_\lambda)^\dagger \ldots; x) =$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(P; P^\dagger; \ldots; Q_\mu \ldots; \ldots; Q_\mu^\dagger \ldots; x) + \mathcal{O}(s^2), \quad (4.3)$$

**Definition 4.3 (External symmetries)**

The affine mapping $x \mapsto -sa + e^{sA}x$ on $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$, a linear mapping, is said to generate an external (local) symmetry of the proto-Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ if for all $P, Q_\mu \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$, all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $|s|$ small, one has

$$\mathcal{L}(P; P^\dagger; \ldots; Q_\mu \ldots; \ldots; (Q_\mu)^\dagger \ldots; -sa + e^{sA}x) =$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(P; P^\dagger; \ldots; Q_\mu \ldots; \ldots; Q_\mu^\dagger \ldots; x) + \mathcal{O}(s^2). \quad (4.4)$$

**Remarks 4.4**

- The order constant in $\mathcal{O}(s^2)$ may depend on all independent variables of $\mathcal{L}$.
- If in $(4.2)-(4.4)$ exponents like $e^{sK}$ are replaced by $I + sK$ we get equivalent conditions. However in many practical applications the terms $\mathcal{O}(s^2)$ are identically zero if exponentials are used.
- Local symmetry $(4.4)$ implies

$$\mathcal{L}((\nabla)(P; P^\dagger; \ldots; Q_\mu \ldots; \ldots; Q_\mu^\dagger \ldots; x) \cdot (A x - a) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

We now first consider two types of conservation laws in connection with affine transformations in space.

For any vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we define the *Translation operator* $T_a$ by

$$T_a \Psi(x) = \Psi(x - a).$$

For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ we define the *dilation operator* $R_A$ by

$$R_A \Psi(x) = \Psi(e^A x).$$

**Theorem 4.5**

Suppose that, for some $K : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$ and some $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the proto-Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ has internal local symmetry $(4.2)$ with $L_\mu^\lambda = \delta_\mu^\lambda K$ and external local symmetry $(4.4)$ with $A = O$. Then for any solution $\Psi$ of the Euler-Lagrange system one has the conservation law

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{L}_\Psi^{(\mu)}] \cdot (K \Psi - a^\lambda \partial_\lambda \Psi) + [\mathcal{L}_\Psi^{(\mu)\dagger}] \cdot (K \Psi - a^\lambda \partial_\lambda \Psi)^\dagger \right] + a^\mu \mathcal{L}_\Psi \right\} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$
Proof: By \( \cong \) we mean equality up to a term \( \mathcal{O}(s^2) \). We study

\[
\mathcal{L}(e^{sK}T_{s\bar{a}}\Psi, T_{s\bar{a}}\Psi e^{sK^\dagger}, \partial_x[e^{sK}T_{s\bar{a}}\Psi], \partial_x[T_{s\bar{a}}\Psi e^{sK^\dagger}], \bar{x} - sa).
\]

With our conditions it can be written

\[
\mathcal{L}(e^{sK}\Psi(\bar{x} - sa); \{e^{sK}\Psi(\bar{x} - sa)\}^\dagger; \ldots \partial_x[e^{sK}\Psi(\bar{x} - sa)]^\dagger; \ldots; \bar{x} - sa \cong \mathcal{L}(\Psi(\bar{x} - sa); \Psi(\bar{x} - sa))^\dagger; \ldots ; \Psi^\dagger(\bar{x} - sa))^\dagger; \ldots; \bar{x} - sa) = \mathcal{L}_\psi(\bar{x} - sa) = (T_{s\bar{a}}L_\psi)(\bar{x}).
\] (4.6)

Differentiate the first line of this at \( s = 0 \) and use \( \mathcal{L}(\nabla)_a = 0 \),

\[
\text{Tr}\{[\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(a)}](K\Psi - a^\lambda \partial_\lambda \Psi) + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\alpha*)}](\Psi^\dagger K^\dagger - a^\lambda \partial_\lambda \Psi^\dagger) + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu*)}](\partial_\mu \Psi^\dagger K^\dagger - \partial_\mu a^\lambda \partial_\lambda \Psi^\dagger)]\}. \quad (4.7)
\]

If \( \Psi \) is a solution we use (2.16) and replace \( [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(a)}] \) by \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}[\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(a)}] \), etc. Now (4.7) can be written as a divergence, which constitutes the left hand side of (4.5), apart from the last term inside \( \{ \} \). Together with the derivative \( a^\lambda \partial_\lambda L_\psi = \partial_\lambda (a^\mu L_\psi) \) at \( s = 0 \) of the final line of (4.6) we arrive at the wanted conserved current (4.5).

\[\blacksquare\]

Example 4.6 Let \( \Gamma^\mu \) and \( M \) be constant complex matrices with \( \Gamma^\mu = \Gamma^\mu \) and \( M = -M^\dagger \). Then the Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}_\psi = \text{Tr}\{i\Psi^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi^\dagger M \Psi\}, \quad (4.8)
\]

for \( \Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \) satisfies the condition of Theorem 4.1 for \( K = O \) and all \( a \in \mathbb{R}^N \). The conservation law reads

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\text{Tr}\{-a^\lambda \Psi^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \partial_\lambda \Psi + a^\mu \Psi^\dagger \Gamma^\lambda \partial_\lambda \Psi + a^\mu \Psi^\dagger M \Psi\} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\text{Tr}\{-a^\lambda \Psi^\dagger \Gamma^\mu \partial_\lambda \Psi\} = 0. \quad (4.9)
\]

This can be checked directly for solutions of the PDE: \( \Gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \Psi + M \Psi = 0 \). Observe that in this special case \( \mathcal{L}_\psi = 0 \) for solutions.

Also the Lagrangian of Example (2.5b), with constant matrices \( K, M, \Gamma^\mu, \Lambda_\mu \) leads to conservation laws of this type.

Theorem 4.7

Suppose that, for some \( K : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \) and some \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) with \( \text{Tr} A = 0 \), the proto-Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \) has internal local symmetry (4.2) with \( L_\mu^\lambda = K + [A]_\mu^\lambda I \) and external local symmetry (4.4) with \( a = 0 \). Then for any solution \( \Psi \) of the Euler-Lagrange system one has the conservation law

\[
\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}(K\Psi(x) + A_\beta^\alpha x^\beta \Psi_{,\alpha}(x)) + \right. \right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \left[ \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu*)}(K\Psi(x) + A_\beta^\alpha x^\beta \Psi_{,\alpha}(x))^\dagger \right] = 0. \quad (4.10)
\]
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Proof: We study

\[ \mathcal{L}(e^{sK}R_{sA}\Psi; R_{sA}\Psi; \ldots; \partial_{\mu}[e^{sK}R_{sA}\Psi]; \ldots; \partial_{\mu}[R_{sA}\Psi]; e^{sA}\varphi). \]

With our conditions it can be written,

\[ \mathcal{L}(e^{sA}\varphi); \Psi(e^{sA}\varphi); \ldots; \partial_{\mu}\Psi(e^{sA}\varphi); \ldots; \partial_{\mu}\Psi(e^{sA}\varphi)^{\dagger}; e^{sA}\varphi) \approx \]

\[ \approx \mathcal{L}(e^{sA}\varphi); \Psi(e^{sA}\varphi)^{\dagger}; \ldots; (e^{sA})_{\mu}^\lambda \Psi, (e^{sA})_{\mu}^\lambda \Psi; \ldots; e^{sA}\varphi) \approx \]

\[ \approx \mathcal{L}(e^{sA}\varphi); \Psi(e^{sA}\varphi)^{\dagger}; \ldots; \partial_{\mu}(e^{sA}\varphi) \ldots; \partial_{\mu}(e^{sA}\varphi)^{\dagger} \ldots; e^{sA}\varphi) = \]

\[ = \mathcal{L}(e^{sA}\varphi) = (R_{sA}\mathcal{L}_\psi)(\varphi). \] (4.11)

Differentiate the first line of this at \( s = 0 \) and use \( \mathcal{L}(\nabla).A_{\varphi} = 0 \):

\[ \text{Tr}\{[\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}](K\Psi(\varphi) + A_{\beta} x^\beta \Psi, x(\varphi)) + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}]\partial_{\mu}(K\Psi(\varphi) + A_{\beta} x^\beta \Psi, x(\varphi)) + \]

\[ + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}](K\Psi(\varphi) + A_{\beta} x^\beta \Psi, x(\varphi))^{\dagger} + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}]\partial_{\mu}(K\Psi(\varphi) + A_{\beta} x^\beta \Psi, x(\varphi))^{\dagger}\}. \] (4.12)

If \( \Psi \) is a solution we use (2.16) and replace \( [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}] \) by \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}[\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}] \), etc. Now (4.12) can be written as a divergence, which constitutes the left hand side of (4.10), apart from the last term between { }. Together with the derivative at \( s = 0 \) of the final line in (4.11):

\[ A_{\beta}^\mu \partial_{\mu}L_\psi = \partial_{\mu}(A_{\beta}^\mu x^\beta \mathcal{L}_\psi), \] use \( \text{Tr} A = 0 \), we arrive at the conserved current (4.10).

Next we deal with \textbf{internal symmetries} only. They play a crucial role in Gauge theories. A simple case first.

Theorem 4.8

Suppose that, for some linear \( K : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \to \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \) the proto-Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \) satisfies (4.2) with \( L_\mu^\lambda = \delta_\mu^\lambda K \). Then for any solution \( \Psi \) of the Euler-Lagrange system one has the conservation law

\[ \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \text{Tr}\{[\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}]K\Psi + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu*)}](K\Psi)^{\dagger}\} = 0, \] (4.13)

Proof: Calculate the derivative

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \mathcal{L}(e^{sK}\Psi; (e^{sK}\Psi)^{\dagger}; \partial_{\mu}[e^{sK}\Psi]; \partial_{\mu}[e^{sK}\Psi]^\dagger; e^{sA}\varphi), \text{ at } s = 0. \]

With the notation of (2.5) one finds

\[ \text{Tr}\{[\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}][K\Psi] + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu*)}][K\Psi]^{\dagger} + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)}][K\Psi,\mu] + [\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu*)}][K\Psi,\mu]^\dagger\} = 0. \]
If $\Psi$ happens to be a solution of the Lagrangian system, then with (2.16) this becomes

$$\text{Tr}\left\{ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)} \right] [K\Psi] + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu*)} \right] [K\Psi]^\dagger \right\} + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu)} \right] [K\Psi],_{\mu} + \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\mu*)} \right] [K\Psi]^\dagger,_{\mu} \right\} = 0,$$

which leads to the wanted 'conserved current', since $K$ is supposedly constant.

In gauge applications $K$ is often realized by a right multiplication by some $A \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$. In such cases $K\Psi$ in (4.13) should be replaced by $\Psi A$.

All previous considerations can be applied to matrix gauge fields as well if we replace $\Psi$ by $A = \text{col}[\ldots, A_\mu, \ldots]$. Some subtleties occur however because the range of the functions $A_\mu$ is not the whole of $\mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$ but some real linear subspace $\mathfrak{g}$ of it. See Appendix A for more details.

This section is concluded with conservation laws for non-commutative free gauge fields which come from the special Lagrangian density (3.8).

**Theorem 4.9**

Consider the proto-Lagrangian $\mathcal{G}$ of (3.6) with property (3.7) and Lagrange density as denoted in (3.8). For convenience restrict to $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^\dagger$ only.

a. Suppose $\mathcal{G} (\nabla) \cdot a = 0$, for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ then we have the conservation law

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{N} \text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G} (\mu\kappa) : (a \cdot \nabla) A_\kappa \right] - a^\mu \mathcal{G} A \right) = 0. \quad (4.14)$$

b. If for some $S = [S_{\mu}^\kappa] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, with $TrS = 0$, the assumptions

$$\mathcal{G} (\nabla) \cdot S\mathbf{x} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)} : \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} S_{\mu}^\alpha \partial_{\alpha} A_\nu \right] = 0, \quad (4.15)$$

hold, then we have the conservation law

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{N} 2\text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G} (\mu\kappa) (S\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) A_\kappa \right] - (S\mathbf{x} \cdot e_\mu) \mathcal{G} A \right) = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

**Proof**

a. Start from

$$\frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{G} \left( \ldots, F_{\mu\nu}(x - sa), \ldots; \ldots, F_{\mu\nu}^\dagger(x - sa), \ldots; x - sa \right) \bigg|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{G} A(x - sa) \bigg|_{s=0}.$$

Calculate the left hand side with the chain rule and use the assumptions

$$- \sum_{\mu<\nu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)} : (a \cdot \nabla) F_{\mu\nu} \right] - \sum_{\mu<\nu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)} : (a \cdot \nabla) F_{\mu\nu}^\dagger \right] - a \cdot \mathcal{G} \nabla =$$
\[ -2\text{Re} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla) F_{\mu \nu} \right]. \quad (4.17) \]

With
\[(\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla) F_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu) - \partial_\nu (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\mu) - \{A_\mu, \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu\} + \{A_\nu, \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\mu\}, \]
and the antisymmetries \( \mu \leftrightarrow \nu \), the expression (4.17) becomes, (mind the hat hat),
\[-\text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{G}}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : \partial_\mu (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu) - \{A_\mu, \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu\} \right] =
\[-\text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{G}}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu) \right] + \text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \partial_\mu \hat{\mathcal{G}}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu) + \hat{\mathcal{G}}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : \{A_\mu, \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu\} \right]. \]

The 2nd term is equal to
\[ \text{Re} \sum_{\nu = 1}^{N} \sum_{\mu = 1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \nabla_\mu \mathcal{G}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla A_\nu) \right] = 0, \]
because of the E-L-equations (3.13).
The right hand side of the 1st formula of this proof equals \(-\partial_\mu (\mathbf{a}^\mu \mathcal{L}_A)\). Hence (4.14).

b. Start from
\[ \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{G}(\ldots, F_{\mu \nu}(e^{sS} \mathbf{x}),\ldots; F_\theta^\dagger(e^{sS} \mathbf{x}),\ldots; e^{sS} \mathbf{x}) \bigg|_{s=0} = \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{G}_A(e^{sS} \mathbf{x}) \bigg|_{s=0}. \]

Calculate the left hand side with the chain rule and use \( \mathcal{G}_A^{(\nabla)} \cdot S \mathbf{x} = 0 \),
\[ 2\text{Re} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : (S \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) F_{\mu \nu} \right] =
\[ = \text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu = 1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \hat{\mathcal{G}}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : \partial_\mu ((S \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) A_\nu) - \{A_\mu, (S \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) A_\nu\} - S_\mu^\alpha \partial_\alpha A_\nu \right]. \]

Because of the assumption the very final contribution vanishes. Then we proceed as in part a.

Note: The orthogonality condition (4.15) is inspired by combining Thm 4.7 with Appendix A. Indeed, another way to obtain the preceding Theorem is to rewrite Thms 4.5, 4.7 in terms of \( \mathbf{A} \) with the aid of the table in Appendix A.

**Theorem 4.10**
Consider the proto-Lagrangian \( \mathcal{G} \) of (3.6) with property (3.7) and Lagrange density as denoted in (3.8). For convenience consider \( g = g^\dagger \) only. Suppose \( \mathcal{G} \) satisfies
\[ \mathcal{G}(\ldots, e^{sS} P_{\mu \nu} e^{-sS},\ldots; \ldots, e^{-sS} P_\theta^\dagger e^{sS},\ldots; \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{G}(\ldots, P_{\mu \nu},\ldots; \ldots, P_\theta^\dagger,\ldots; \mathbf{x}), \quad (4.18) \]
for all $P_{\mu\nu} \in \mathfrak{g} \subset C^\infty$, $1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N$, some fixed $B \in \mathfrak{g}$ and (small) $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for any solution $x \mapsto \ldots \mathcal{A}_\mu(x) \ldots$ of the Lagrangian system of Theorem 3.3 one has the conservation law

$$
\sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \text{Re} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] : \{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}_\nu\} \right] \right) = 0.
$$

(4.19)

**Proof** In (4.18) replace $P_{\mu\nu} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ and $Q_{\theta\rho} \to \mathcal{F}_{\theta\rho}^\dagger$ and put the derivative to $s$ equal to $0$ at $s = 0$,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N} \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] : (B \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} B) \right] + \sum_{1 \leq \mu < \nu \leq N} \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\theta\rho)}_A] : (-B^\dagger \mathcal{F}_{\theta\rho}^\dagger + \mathcal{F}_{\theta\rho}^\dagger B^\dagger) \right] = 0.
$$

(4.20)

Due to the anti-symmetry in $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ of

$$
B \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} B = \partial_\mu \{B, \mathcal{A}_\nu\} - \partial_\nu \{B, \mathcal{A}_\mu\} - \{B, \{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\}\}.
$$

applying convention (3.11), together with $\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)*}_A = [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A]^\dagger$, the 1st term of (4.20) equals the Re-part of

$$
\sum_{\mu=1}^N \sum_{\nu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] : (B \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} B) \right] =
$$

$$
= \sum_{\mu=1}^N \sum_{\nu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] \{B, \mathcal{A}_\nu\} \right] - \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] \{B, \mathcal{A}_\mu\} \right] +$$

$$
- \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\partial_\mu \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] \{B, \mathcal{A}_\nu\} \right] + \sum_{\mu=1}^N \sum_{\nu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\partial_\nu \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] \{B, \mathcal{A}_\mu\} \right] +$$

$$
- \sum_{\mu=1}^N \sum_{\nu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] \{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\} \right].
$$

(4.21)

On the 2nd line we apply the E-L-equations (3.13) together with $\partial_\nu \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A = -\partial_\nu \mathcal{G}^{(\nu\mu)}_A$. This together with the 3rd line leads to

$$
- \sum_{\nu=1}^N \sum_{\mu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ \{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A\} \{B, \mathcal{A}_\nu\} \right] + \sum_{\mu=1}^N \sum_{\nu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ \{\mathcal{A}_\nu, \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A\} \{B, \mathcal{A}_\mu\} \right] +$$

$$
- \sum_{\mu=1}^N \sum_{\nu=1}^N \text{Tr} \left[ [\mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_A] \{\mathcal{A}_\mu, \mathcal{A}_\nu\} \right].
$$

These 3 terms add up to $0$ because for each pair $\mu, \nu$ separately we can apply the identity

$$
- \text{Tr} \left[ \{M, G\} : \{B, N\} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[ \{N, G\} : \{B, M\} \right] = \text{Tr} \left[ G : \{B, \{M, N\}\} \right],
$$

(4.22)
for matrices \( G, B, M, N \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} \).

(Of course the two terms on the 3rd line of (4.21) are equal. But then, using that equality, the latter trick no longer works for each index pair \( \mu, \nu \) separately!)

Thus we found out that (4.20) corresponds to (4.19). \( \blacksquare \)

## 5 Static/Dynamic Gauge Extensions of Lagrangians

A basic ingredient for this section is a (fixed) Lie-group \( \mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \) of invertible \( c \times c \)-matrices. Its Lie-algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) is a \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear subspace of \( \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \). Important examples are (subgroups of) \( \mathcal{G}_J \), for some fixed invertible matrix \( J \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \). The relevant definitions are as in section 3,

\[
\mathcal{G}_J = \left\{ U \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \mid U^\dagger J U = J \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_J = \left\{ A \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \mid A^\dagger J + J A = 0 \right\}.
\] (5.1)

In the discussion to follow suitable subspaces of

the group \( \mathcal{G}_{\text{loc}} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{G}) \) and the \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear space \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g}) \)

will be used. It will be tacitly assumed that the behaviour at \( \infty \) of the considered subspaces is such that our formulae make sense. The \( \mathcal{C}^\infty \)-smoothness condition can often be relaxed. Neither of those assumptions will bother us.

The group action from the right of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{G}) \) on \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}) \) is naturally defined by

\[
\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}) : (\Psi U)(x) = \Psi(x) U(x).
\]

For each \( 1 \leq \mu \leq N \), a group action from the right of \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{G}) \) on \( \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g}) \) is defined by

\[
\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g}) \times \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g}) : (A_\mu \triangleright U)(x) = U^{-1}(x) A_\mu(x) U(x) - U^{-1}(x) (\partial_\mu U)(x).
\]

In the proof of Thm 1.2 it has been shown that this action ('gauge transform') is indeed a (inhomogeneous) group action. This means

\[
[A_\mu \triangleright U] \triangleright V = A_\mu \triangleright (U V) . \quad (5.2)
\]

As before, for given \( A_\mu, A_\nu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g}), 1 \leq \mu, \nu \leq N \), define

\[
F_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - \{ A_\mu, A_\nu \} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g}). \quad (5.3)
\]

Then

\[
U^{-1} F_{\mu \nu} U = \partial_\mu (A_\nu \triangleright U) - \partial_\nu (A_\mu \triangleright U) - \{ (A_\mu \triangleright U), (A_\nu \triangleright U) \} . \quad (5.4)
\]

**Theorem 5.1**

*Fix a matrix Lie-Group \( \mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \). Suppose a proto-Lagrangian \( \mathcal{L} \), cf. (2.13), to be \( \mathcal{G} \)-invariant, i.e.

\[
\forall U \in \mathcal{G} \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{C}^{r \times c} \quad \forall R \in \mathbb{C}^{N r \times c} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \quad \text{u.s.t.} \quad (5.5)
\]

\footnote{Property (5.5) is named *Global Gauge Invariance* by physicists. The conclusion of Theorem 5.1 is named, in physicists’ vernacular, the property of *Local Gauge Invariance*. In mathematicians’ jargon however, the usage of ‘global’, as opposed to ‘local’, usually refers to a more involved (more difficult) notion.}
\[
\mathcal{L}(PU; U^\dagger P^\dagger; RU; U^\dagger R^\dagger; x) = \mathcal{L}(P; P^\dagger; R; R^\dagger; x)
\] (5.5)

Then, for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}^N\), the **statically gauge extended** Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Psi, A}(x) = \mathcal{L}(\Psi; \Psi^\dagger; \ldots; \partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu, \ldots; \partial_\mu \Psi^\dagger + A_\mu^\dagger \Psi^\dagger, \ldots; x),
\] (5.6)

with any \(\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C}^{r \times c})\), \(A_\mu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g})\), \(1 \leq \mu \leq N\),
equals the **statically gauge extended** Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Psi U, A \triangleright U}(x) = \mathcal{L}(\Psi U; \Psi^\dagger U^\dagger; \ldots; \partial_\mu (\Psi U) + (\Psi U)(A_\mu \triangleleft U), \ldots; \partial_\mu (\Psi U)^\dagger + (A_\mu \triangleleft U)^\dagger (\Psi U)^\dagger, \ldots; x),
\] (5.7)

with any \(U \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{g})\).

In (5.6),(5.7) we wrote \(\Psi\) instead of \(\Psi(x)\), etc.

**Proof** Straightforward calculation. ■

**Example 5.2** Consider the proto-Lagrangian, cf. (2.13),

\[
\mathcal{L}(P; Q^\top; R; S^\top; x) = i \text{Tr}[Q^\top \left( \sum_{\mu} \Gamma^\mu R^\mu + MP \right)]
\]

with fixed \(\Gamma^\mu, M \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}\) and \([\Gamma^\mu]^\dagger = \Gamma^\mu, M^\dagger = -M\). Put \(\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{U}(c) \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}\), that is the unitary group \(\mathfrak{G}_I\), with \(I\) the identity matrix. Our proto-Lagrangian is \(\mathfrak{U}(c)\)-invariant

\[
i \text{Tr}[U^\dagger P^\dagger (\Gamma^\mu R^\mu U + MPU)] = i \text{Tr}[P^\dagger (\Gamma^\mu R^\mu + MP)], \quad U \in \mathfrak{U}(c),
\]

because \(U^\dagger = U^{-1}\) and the properties of \(\text{Tr}\).

Then the statically extended Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Psi, A}(x) = i \text{Tr}[\Psi^\dagger (\Gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu) + M \Psi)],
\] (5.8)

with any \(\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{C}^{r \times c})\), \(A_\mu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{u}(c))\), \(1 \leq \mu \leq N\),
equals the statically extended Lagrangian density

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\Psi U, A \triangleright U}(x) = i \text{Tr}[U^\dagger \Psi^\dagger (\Gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu (\Psi U) + \Psi U(U^{-1} A_\mu U - U^{-1} \partial_\mu U)) + M \Psi U)],
\] (5.9)

with any \(U \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathfrak{U}(c))\).

Note that, if \(M\) is replaced by the 'nonlinearity' \(i\Psi \Psi^\dagger\), the argument still holds. ■
Theorem 5.3

Suppose that the statically gauge extended Lagrange density $\mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}$, cf. (5.6) leads to an $\mathbb{R}$-valued Langrangian functional $\mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}$. The E-L-equations are

$$
\mathcal{L}^{(0)}_{\psi, A} - \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}_{\psi, A} - \left[ A_\mu, \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}_{\psi, A} \right] \right] \right) = 0, \\
\mathcal{P}_g \left( \Psi^\dagger \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}_{\psi, A} + \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa*)}_{\psi, A} \right) = 0, \quad \mathcal{P}_g \left( \frac{\Psi^\dagger \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}_{\psi, A} - \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa*)}_{\psi, A}}{i} \right) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.
$$

(5.10)

Here $\mathcal{P}_g : \mathbb{C}^{cxc} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{cxc}$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}$-orthogonal projection on $g$.

If it happens that $\mathcal{P}_g (iZ) = i\mathcal{P}_g^\dagger Z$, $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{cxc}$, the 2nd line in (5.10) reduces to

$$
\Psi^\dagger \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}_{\psi, A} + (\mathcal{P}_g - \mathcal{P}_g^\dagger) \Psi^\dagger \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa*)}_{\psi, A} = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.
$$

(5.11)

In the important special case $g = g_J$, with $J = J^\dagger = J^{-1}$, (5.11) can be written

$$
\mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}_{\psi, A} \Psi - J \Psi^\dagger \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa*)}_{\psi, A} J = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.
$$

(5.12)

Proof

The perturbed statically extended Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}$ reads

$$
\mathcal{L}(\Psi + \varepsilon H) ; \Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon^* K ; \ldots ; \partial_\mu (\Psi + \varepsilon H) + (\Psi + \varepsilon H) (A_\mu + \varepsilon_\kappa \delta_{\mu \kappa} H) ; \ldots ; \partial_\mu (\Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon^* K) + (A_\mu^\dagger + \varepsilon_\kappa \delta_{\mu \kappa} H^\dagger) (\Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon^* K), \ldots ; \bar{x}
$$

The results of $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\big|_{\varepsilon=0}$, $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon^*}\big|_{\varepsilon^*=0}$, $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon_\kappa}\big|_{\varepsilon_\kappa=0}$, $1 \leq \kappa \leq N$, being put to 0 are, for all functions $H, K, \mathcal{H}$,

$$
\text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(0)} : H \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} : \partial_\mu H \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} : HA_\mu \right] = 0, \\
\text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa*)} : K \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu*)} : \partial_\mu K \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu*)} : A_\mu^\dagger K \right] = 0, \\
\sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} : \Psi \delta_{\mu \kappa} \mathcal{H} \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu*)} : \delta_{\mu \kappa} \mathcal{H}^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \right] = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.
$$

The usual partial integration techniques applied to the first two lines lead to the E-L-equations for $\Psi$. Also use Theorem 2.4.

From the final line we arrive at (5.10) because of the trace identity

$$
\text{Tr} \left[ XZ + YZ^\dagger \right] = \text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( X^\dagger + Y \right)^\dagger Z \right] - \text{i} \text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( X^\dagger - Y \right)^\dagger Z \right].
$$

(5.13)

If for $X, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{cxc}$ one has $\mathcal{P}_g (X + Y) = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_g^\dagger (X - Y) = 0$, it follows that $X + (\mathcal{P}_g - \mathcal{P}_g^\dagger) Y = 0$ and also $Y + (\mathcal{P}_g - \mathcal{P}_g^\dagger) X = 0$.

In this special case $(\mathcal{P}_g - \mathcal{P}_g^\dagger) Y = -JY^\dagger J$ and $\mathcal{P}_g [Y^\dagger] = [\mathcal{P}_g Y]^\dagger$. ■
Examples 5.4
Note that in the E-L-equations (5.10) the $A_\mu$ occur only 'algebraically'. The $\partial_\mu A$ are not involved!

a. For the Lagrangian densities from examples 2.5a and 5.2 the 2nd set of E-L-equations (5.12) does not depend on $A$. If we choose $g = g_J$, the 2nd line reads

$$\Psi^\dagger \Gamma^\kappa \Psi = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.$$ 

It means that $\Psi$ can only take values in a cone in $\mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$. If one of the $\Gamma^\kappa = \Gamma^{\kappa\dagger}$ is strictly positive, the only solutions are $\Psi = 0$, the trivial ones. If a nontrivial choice for $\Psi$ is possible it can be substituted in the 1st E-L-equation and we are left with an algebraic equation for the $A_\kappa$.

b. For the Lagrangian densities from example 2.5c, again with $g = g_J$, the 2nd set of E-L-equations becomes

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{N} [\partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu]^\dagger \Theta^{\kappa\mu} \Psi - J \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} [\Psi^\dagger \Theta^{\kappa\mu} [\partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu]] \right) J = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N,$$

which is algebraic in the $A_\kappa$.

Finally we want to consider the dynamically gauge extended Lagrangian density or Gauge field extended Lagrangian density of type $L_{\psi,A}(x) + G_{A}(x)$.

**Theorem 5.5**
Fix a matrix Liegroup $G \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$ with Lie algebra $g \subset \mathbb{C}^{c \times c}$ and property $g^\dagger = g$.
Fix a proto Lagrangian of type (2.13)

$$(P; Q^T; R; S^T; x) \mapsto L(P; Q^T; R; S^T; x),$$

leading to a $\mathbb{R}$-valued Lagrangian functional $L$. Require the special property

$$\forall P \forall R \forall x : \mathcal{R}_g \left( \frac{P^\dagger \left[ L^{(\kappa)}(P; P^\dagger; R; R^\dagger; x) - L^{(\kappa\star)}(P; P^\dagger; R; R^\dagger; x) \right]}{i} \right) = 0. \quad (5.14)$$

Fix a second proto Lagrangian of type (3.6) and such that

$$\forall R_{\mu\nu} \in g : G(\ldots, R_{\mu\nu}, \ldots; \ldots, R^\dagger_{\theta\rho}, \ldots; x) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the dynamically extended Lagrangian density

$$L_{\psi,A}(x) + G_{A}(x) = L(\Psi; \Psi^\dagger; \ldots; \partial_\mu \Psi + \Psi A_\mu; \ldots; \partial_\mu \Psi^\dagger + A^\dagger_\mu \Psi^\dagger; \ldots; x) +

G(\ldots, F_{\mu\nu}(x), \ldots; \ldots, F^\dagger_{\theta\rho}(x), \ldots; x) \quad (5.15)$$

29
with any $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c})$, $A_\mu \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N : \mathfrak{g})$, $1 \leq \mu \leq N$.

- The Euler-Lagrange equations are, with $\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(0)}$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(1)}(\varphi)$, etc.,

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(0)} - \sum_{\mu=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_\mu} \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} - [A_\mu, \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(0)}] \right) = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \left( \Psi^\dagger \left( \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\kappa)} + \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\kappa*)} \right) \right) - 2 \sum_{\mu=1}^N \left( \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \{ \mathring{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A \} - \{ A_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \{ \mathring{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A \} \} \right)^\dagger = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.$$

(5.16)

Here $\mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} : \mathbb{C}^{r \times c} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r \times c}$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}$-orthogonal projection on $\mathfrak{g}$.

- In the special case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_J$, with $J = J^1 = J^{-1}$, the 2nd line in (5.16) can be rewritten

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\kappa)} \Psi - J \Psi^\dagger \mathcal{L}_\psi^{(\kappa*)} J - 2 \sum_{\mu=1}^N \left( \partial_\mu \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \{ \mathring{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A \} - \{ A_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \{ \mathring{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A \} \} \right) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.$$

(5.17)

Proof: The perturbed gauge supplemented Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L}(\Psi + \varepsilon \mathcal{H}; \Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon^* \mathcal{K}; \ldots ; \partial_\mu (\Psi + \varepsilon \mathcal{H}) + (\Psi + \varepsilon \mathcal{H})(A_\mu + \varepsilon \kappa \delta_\mu \mathcal{H}), \ldots ; \ldots ; \partial_\mu (\Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon^* \mathcal{K}) + (A^\dagger + \varepsilon \kappa \delta_\mu \mathcal{H}^\dagger)(\Psi^\dagger + \varepsilon^* \mathcal{K}), \ldots ; \varphi) +$$

$$+ \mathcal{G}(\ldots ; \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu, \varepsilon \kappa}, \ldots ; \ldots ; \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu, \varepsilon \kappa}^\dagger, \ldots ; \varphi), \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N,$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu, \varepsilon \kappa} = \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} + \varepsilon \kappa \delta_\mu \left[ \partial_\nu \mathcal{H} - \{ A_\nu, \mathcal{H} \} \right] - \varepsilon \kappa \delta_\mu \left[ \partial_\nu \mathcal{H} - \{ A_\nu, \mathcal{H} \} \right],$$

The results of $\left. \frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \right|_{\varepsilon = 0}$, $\left. \frac{d}{d \varepsilon^*} \right|_{\varepsilon = 0}$, $\left. \frac{d}{d \varepsilon \kappa} \right|_{\varepsilon \kappa = 0}$, being put to 0 are, respectively,

$$\text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(0)} : \mathcal{H} \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} : \partial_\mu \mathcal{H} \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} : H A_\mu \right] = 0,$$

$$\text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(0*)} : \mathcal{K} \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu*)} : \partial_\mu \mathcal{K} \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu*)} : A_\mu^\dagger \mathcal{K} \right] = 0,$$

$$\sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)} : \Psi \delta_{\mu \kappa} \mathcal{H} \right] + \sum_\mu \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu*)} : \delta_{\mu \kappa} \mathcal{H}^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \right] +$$

$$- 2 \sum_\mu \text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \partial_\mu \mathring{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A + \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \{ A_\mu^\dagger, \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{g} \mathring{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)}_A \} \right)^\dagger \mathcal{H} \right] = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.$$

With (5.13) the 3rd set of equations can be rewritten

$$\text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ (\Psi^\dagger ([\mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}]^\dagger + [\mathcal{L}^{(\kappa*)}]^\dagger) \mathcal{H} \right] + \text{iRe} \text{Tr} \left[ (\mathbf{i} \Psi^\dagger ([\mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}]^\dagger - [\mathcal{L}^{(\kappa*)}]^\dagger) \mathcal{H} \right] +$$
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\[-2 \sum_\mu \text{Re } \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{H}_A^{(\mu \kappa)} - \{ A_\mu, \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{H}_A^{(\mu \kappa)} \} \right)^{\dagger} \mathcal{H} \right] = 0, \quad 1 \leq \kappa \leq N.\]

Because of assumption (5.14) the \text{Re } \text{Tr}-term cancels. The assumption \( \mathfrak{g}^\dagger = \mathfrak{g} \) enables us to interchange \( \dagger \) and \( \mathcal{P}_\mu \).

- Finally (5.17) follows as in the proof of Thm (5.3).

Finally we want to find the conservation law of 'conserved currents'.

**Theorem 5.6**

Consider proto-Lagrangians \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( \mathcal{G} \) as in Theorem 5.5. Suppose for some \( \mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{g} \) they both have the invariance properties

\[
\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{P} e^{sB}; (\mathbb{P} e^{sB})^\dagger; \ldots; Q_\lambda e^{sB}; \ldots; (Q_\lambda e^{sB})^\dagger; \ldots; \mathbb{P}) = \\
= \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{P}^\dagger; \ldots; Q_\lambda; \ldots; Q_\lambda^\dagger; \ldots; \mathbb{P}) + \mathcal{O}(s^2),
\]

(5.18)

\[
\mathcal{G}(\ldots; e^{-sB} R_{\mu \nu} e^{sB}; \ldots; \ldots; e^{sB} R_{\mu \nu}^\dagger e^{-sB}; \ldots, \mathbb{P}) = \\
= \mathcal{G}(\ldots; R_{\mu \nu}; \ldots; R_{\mu \nu}^\dagger; \ldots, \mathbb{P}) + \mathcal{O}(s^2).
\]

(5.19)

Then, the solutions to the E-L-system (5.16) satisfy the conservation law

\[
\sum_{\mu=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}^{(\mu)} : \mathbb{P} \mathcal{B} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}^{(\mu *)} : \mathbb{P}^\dagger \mathcal{B} \right] + \sum_{\kappa=1}^N 2\text{Re } \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{P}_\kappa \mathcal{H}_A^{(\mu \kappa)} : \{ A_\kappa, \mathcal{B} \} \right] \right\} = 0.
\]

(5.20)

**Proof** Add the Lagrange densities \( \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A} \) and \( \mathcal{G}_A \) and put to 0 the \( \frac{d}{ds} \) of the expression

\[
\mathcal{L}(\psi e^{sB}; e^{sB} \mathbb{P}^\dagger; \ldots; \partial_\mu \psi e^{sB} + \mathbb{P} A_\mu e^{sB}, \ldots; e^{sB} \partial_\mu \psi^\dagger + e^{sB} A_\mu^\dagger \psi^\dagger, \ldots; \mathbb{P}) + \\
+ \mathcal{G}(\ldots; e^{-sB} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} e^{sB}, \ldots; e^{sB} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}^\dagger e^{-sB}; \ldots, \mathbb{P})
\]

One finds,

\[
\text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}^{(\mu)} : \mathbb{P} \mathcal{B} \right] + \sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}^{(\mu)} : \partial_\mu \mathcal{B} \right] + \sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}^{(\mu)} : \mathcal{B} \partial_\mu \psi \right] + \\
+ \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}^{(\mu *)} : \mathbb{P}^\dagger \mathcal{B} \right] + \sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}_{\psi, A}^{(\mu *)} : \mathbb{P}^\dagger \mathcal{B} \partial_\mu \psi \right] + \\
+ \sum_{\mu < \nu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}_A^{(\mu \nu)} : \{ \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}, \mathcal{B} \} \right] + \sum_{\theta < \rho} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}_A^{(\theta \rho *)} : \{ \mathcal{B}^\dagger, \mathcal{F}_{\theta \rho} \} \right] = 0.
\]

(5.21)
Rewrite the 3rd term and the 6th term:

\[
\sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}_{\psi,A} : \Psi A_{\mu} B \right] = \sum_{\kappa} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : \Psi \{ A_{\kappa} , B \} \right] + \sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ A_{\mu} \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}_{\psi,A} : \Psi B \right],
\]

\[
\sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : (\Psi A_{\mu} B)^\dagger \right] = \sum_{\kappa} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : (\Psi \{ A_{\kappa} , B \})^\dagger \right] + \sum_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ A_{\mu}^\dagger \mathcal{L}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : (\Psi B)^\dagger \right].
\]

These identities, together with the 1st E-L-equation of (5.16) turn the first 6 terms of (5.21) into

\[
\sum_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}_{\psi,A} : \Psi B \right] + \sum_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : B^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \right] + \\
+ \sum_{\kappa} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : \Psi \{ A_{\kappa} , B \} \right] + \sum_{\kappa} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\kappa\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : (\Psi \{ A_{\kappa} , B \})^\dagger \right]
\]

With Trace identity (5.13) and condition (5.14) the latter becomes

\[
\sum_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu)}_{\psi,A} : \Psi B \right] + \sum_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{L}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{\psi,A} : B^\dagger \Psi^\dagger \right] + \\
+ 2 \sum_{\kappa,\mu=1}^{N} \text{Re} \text{Tr} \left[ (\mathcal{P}_{\psi,\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{A} - \{ A_{\mu} , \mathcal{P}_{\psi,\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{A} \} : \{ A_{\kappa} , B \} \right]. \quad (5.22)
\]

Next, because of (anti)symmetry, \( B \in \mathfrak{g} \) being constant and the definition of \( \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \), the final 2 terms of (5.21) equal to

\[
\text{Re} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_{A} : \{ \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} , B \} \right] = \text{Re} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_{A} : \partial_{\nu} \{ A_{\mu} , B \} \right] + \\
- \text{Re} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_{A} : \partial_{\nu} \{ A_{\mu} , B \} \right] - \text{Re} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_{A} : \{ \{ A_{\mu} , A_{\nu} \} , B \} \right] = \\
= 2\text{Re} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_{A} : \partial_{\mu} \{ A_{\nu} , B \} \right] - \text{Re} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}^{(\mu\nu)}_{A} : \{ \{ A_{\mu} , A_{\nu} \} , B \} \right]. \quad (5.23)
\]

If we add (5.22), (5.23), we arrive at (5.20), up to a term

\[
- \text{Re} \sum_{\kappa,\mu=1}^{N} \left( 2 \text{Tr} \left[ \{ A_{\mu} , \mathcal{P}_{\psi,\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{A} \} : \{ A_{\kappa} , B \} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{P}_{\psi,\mu} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu\kappa)}_{A} : \{ \{ A_{\mu} , A_{\kappa} \} , B \} \right] \right).
\]
Split the first term in this summation. It becomes,

\[- \text{Re} \sum_{\kappa, \mu=1}^N \left( \text{Tr} \left[ \{ A_\mu, \mathcal{P}_g \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)} \} : \{ A_\kappa, B \} \right] - \text{Tr} \left[ \{ A_\kappa, \mathcal{P}_g \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)} \} : \{ A_\mu, B \} \right] + \\
+ \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{P}_g \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mu \kappa)} : \left\{ \{ A_\mu, A_\kappa \} , B \right\} \right] \right) .\]

Each term in this sum equals 0 because of the trace identity

\[\text{Tr} \left[ \{ M, G \} : \{ K, B \} \right] - \text{Tr} \left[ \{ K, G \} : \{ M, B \} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[ G : \{ \{ M, K \} , B \} \right] = 0.\]

Indeed, note that for any \( M, G, K, B \in \mathbb{C}^{c \times c} \),

\[\text{Tr} \left[ MGKB - GMKB - MGBK + GMBK - KGMB + GKMB + \\
+ KGBM - GKBM + GMKB - GKMB - GBMK + GBKM \right] = 0.\]

\[\blacksquare\]
A Addendum on Free Gauge Fields

If we put
\[
\mathcal{L}_A^{(o)} = \text{row } [\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots - \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \{ g_{A}^{[\mu \kappa]}, A_{\mu} \} \ldots \ldots ]
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_A^{(1)} = \text{row } [0 \quad g_{A}^{(12)} \quad g_{A}^{(13)} \ldots \quad g_{A}^{(1 \kappa)} \ldots \quad g_{A}^{(1N)}]
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_A^{(2)} = \text{row } [-g_{A}^{(12)} \quad 0 \quad g_{A}^{(23)} \ldots \quad g_{A}^{(2 \kappa)} \ldots \quad g_{A}^{(2N)}]
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_A^{(3)} = \text{row } [-g_{A}^{(13)} \quad -g_{A}^{(23)} \quad 0 \ldots \quad g_{A}^{(3 \kappa)} \ldots \quad g_{A}^{(3N)}]
\]
\[
\ldots = \text{row } [\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots]
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_A^{(k)} = \text{row } [-g_{A}^{(1 \kappa)} \quad -g_{A}^{(2 \kappa)} \quad -g_{A}^{(3 \kappa)} \ldots \quad 0 \ldots \quad g_{A}^{(kN)}]
\]
\[
\ldots = \text{row } [\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots]
\]
\[
\mathcal{L}_A^{(N)} = \text{row } [-g_{A}^{(1N)} \quad -g_{A}^{(2N)} \quad -g_{A}^{(3N)} \ldots \quad -g_{A}^{(kN)} \ldots \quad 0]
\]
(A.2)

With convention (3.15) the lower $N$ rows of this table simplify to
\[
\mathcal{L}_A^{(\mu)} = \text{row } [\ldots \{ g_{A}^{[\mu \kappa]} \}, \ldots], \quad 1 \leq \mu, \kappa \leq N.
\]
(A.3)

Table (A.2) enables to reduce the proof of Theorem 3.2 to an application of Theorem 2.4. Because of property (3.7) it is obvious that all ‘components’ of $\mathcal{L}_A^{(\mu*)}$, $0 \leq \mu \leq N$, are the hermitean transposed of the components of $\mathcal{L}_A^{(\mu)}$, $0 \leq \mu \leq N$. Only for $\mathcal{L}_A^{(\kappa*)}$ this is not immediately obvious. Let us check it in an ad hoc way by calculating the $\kappa$-th component of $\mathcal{L}_A^{(\kappa*)}$. In (A.1) replace $\{ A_{\mu}^{T}, A_{\nu}^{T} \}$ by the perturbation $\{ A_{\mu}^{T} + \varepsilon \delta_{\mu \kappa} H, A_{\nu}^{T} + \varepsilon \delta_{\nu \kappa} H \}$. Now differentiate the result to $\varepsilon$. At $\varepsilon = 0$ it becomes
\[
\sum_{1 \leq \mu < \kappa \leq N} \text{Tr} \left[ g_{A}^{(\mu \kappa*)} : \{ \delta_{\mu \kappa} H, A_{\mu}^{T} \} + \{ A_{\mu}^{T}, \delta_{\nu \kappa} H \} \right] =
\]
\[
= \sum_{\kappa < \mu \leq N} \text{Tr} \left[ g_{A}^{(\kappa \mu*)} : \{ H, A_{\mu}^{T} \} \right] + \sum_{1 \leq \mu < \kappa} \text{Tr} \left[ g_{A}^{(\mu \kappa*)} : \{ A_{\mu}^{T}, H \} \right] =
\]
\[
= \sum_{\kappa < \nu \leq N} \text{Tr} \left[ A_{\nu}^{T}, g_{A}^{(\kappa \nu*)} \right] : H \right] + \sum_{1 \leq \mu < \kappa} \text{Tr} \left[ g_{A}^{(\mu \kappa*)}, A_{\mu}^{T} \right] : H \right] = \text{Tr} \left[ \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \{ g_{A}^{(\mu \kappa*)}, A_{\mu}^{T} \} : H \right].
\]
Finally one finds
\[
\left[ \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \{ \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(\mu \kappa \ast)} , A_{\mu}^{\dagger} \} \right]^{\dagger} = - \sum_{\mu=1}^{N} \{ \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(\mu \kappa)} , A_{\mu} \} .
\]

**Remark on Thm 4.9-b:** If it happens that
\[
\mathcal{G}(\ldots , e^{S_{\mu} A_{\nu}} \partial_{\lambda} A_{\nu} - e^{S_{\nu} A_{\mu}} \partial_{\lambda} A_{\mu} - \{ A_{\mu} , A_{\nu} \} ; \ldots , e^{S_{\mu} A_{\nu}} \partial_{\lambda} A_{\nu} - e^{S_{\nu} A_{\mu}} \partial_{\lambda} A_{\mu} + \{ A_{\mu} , A_{\nu} \} ; \ldots ; \varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}(\ldots , \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} - \{ A_{\mu} , A_{\nu} \} ; \ldots , \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} + \{ A_{\mu} , A_{\nu} \} ; \ldots ; \varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(s^{2}),
\]
it follows that
\[
\text{Re} \sum_{\mu < \nu} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(\mu \nu)} : S_{\mu}^{3} \partial_{\lambda} A_{\nu} - S_{\nu}^{3} \partial_{\theta} A_{\mu} \right] = 0 .
\]

**B Electromagnetism**

Some more details on Example 3.4B:
\[
\mathcal{G}_{A} = \sum_{0 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 3} (-1)^{\delta_{\mu 0} + \delta_{\nu 0}} \text{Tr} \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}^{\dagger} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \right]
\]
\[
\mathcal{G}_{A}^{(01)} = - \mathcal{F}_{01}^{\dagger} \quad \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(02)} = - \mathcal{F}_{02}^{\dagger} \quad \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(03)} = - \mathcal{F}_{03}^{\dagger} \quad \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(12)} = \mathcal{F}_{12}^{\dagger} \quad \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(13)} = \mathcal{F}_{13}^{\dagger} \quad \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(23)} = \mathcal{F}_{23}^{\dagger}
\]

Now (3.19) reads, for \( 0 \leq \kappa \leq 3 , \)

\[
\kappa = 0 : \quad \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(01)} + \partial_{2} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(02)} + \partial_{3} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(03)} =
\]
\[
= - \partial_{1}(\partial_{0} A_{1}^{\dagger} - \partial_{1} A_{0}^{\dagger}) - \partial_{2}(\partial_{0} A_{2}^{\dagger} - \partial_{2} A_{0}^{\dagger}) - \partial_{3}(\partial_{0} A_{3}^{\dagger} - \partial_{3} A_{0}^{\dagger})
\]
\[
= - \partial_{0}(\partial_{1} A_{1}^{\dagger} + \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger} + \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger}) + \partial_{1} \partial_{1} A_{1}^{\dagger} + \partial_{2} \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger} + \partial_{3} \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger}
\]

\[
\kappa = 1 : \quad - \partial_{0} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(11)} + \partial_{2} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(12)} + \partial_{3} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(13)} =
\]
\[
= \partial_{0}(\partial_{0} A_{0}^{\dagger} - \partial_{1} A_{1}^{\dagger}) + \partial_{2}(\partial_{1} A_{2}^{\dagger} - \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger}) + \partial_{3}(\partial_{1} A_{3}^{\dagger} - \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger})
\]
\[
= \partial_{0} \partial_{2} A_{0}^{\dagger} + \partial_{1}(- \partial_{0} A_{0}^{\dagger} + \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger} + \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger}) - (\partial_{1} \partial_{1} + \partial_{2} \partial_{2} + \partial_{3} \partial_{3}) A_{1}^{\dagger}
\]

\[
\kappa = 2 : \quad - \partial_{0} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(02)} - \partial_{1} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(12)} + \partial_{3} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(23)} =
\]
\[
= \partial_{0}(\partial_{0} A_{0}^{\dagger} - \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger}) - \partial_{1}(\partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger} - \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger}) + \partial_{3}(\partial_{2} A_{3}^{\dagger} - \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger})
\]
\[
= \partial_{0} \partial_{2} A_{0}^{\dagger} + \partial_{2}(- \partial_{0} A_{0}^{\dagger} + \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger} + \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger}) - (\partial_{1} \partial_{1} + \partial_{2} \partial_{2} + \partial_{3} \partial_{3}) A_{2}^{\dagger}
\]

\[
\kappa = 3 : \quad - \partial_{0} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(03)} - \partial_{1} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(13)} - \partial_{2} \mathcal{G}_{A}^{(23)} =
\]
\[
= \partial_{0}(\partial_{0} A_{0}^{\dagger} - \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger}) - \partial_{1}(\partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger} - \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger}) - \partial_{2}(\partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger} - \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger})
\]
\[
= \partial_{0} \partial_{3} A_{0}^{\dagger} + \partial_{3}(- \partial_{0} A_{0}^{\dagger} + \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger} + \partial_{2} A_{2}^{\dagger} + \partial_{3} A_{3}^{\dagger}) - (\partial_{1} \partial_{1} + \partial_{2} \partial_{2} + \partial_{3} \partial_{3}) A_{3}^{\dagger}
\]
If we put $A_0^\dagger = -\Phi$ and \(	ext{col}[A_1^\dagger, A_2^\dagger, A_3^\dagger] = A\) we get Maxwell’s equations ’in potential form’

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{div} A + \Delta \Phi & = 0 \\
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A - \Delta A + \text{grad}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi + \text{div} A) & = 0
\end{align*}
\] (B.1)

If the pair $A, B$ satisfies this pair, then the pair $E = -\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \text{grad} \Phi$, $B = \text{rot} A$, satisfies the classical homogeneous Maxwell equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t B & = \text{rot} \partial_t A = \text{rot}(-E - \text{grad} \Phi) = -\text{rot} E \\
\partial_t E & = \partial_t \partial_t A - \text{grad} \partial_t \Phi = -\Delta A + \text{grad} \text{div} A = \text{rot} \text{rot} A = \text{rot} B
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, imposing the ’Lorenz-Gauge’ \(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi + \text{div} A = 0\), we find the usual wave equations for $\Phi$ and $A$.

Any solution to the system (B.1) can be reduced to a solution which satisfies the Lorentz condition, by means of a ’gauge transform’ $\Phi \mapsto \Phi - \partial_t \Lambda$, $A \mapsto A - \text{grad} \Lambda$, leading to the same $E, B$-fields. cf. Jackson [J], p.241.

Similar results can be found for more general free fields governed by

\[
\mathcal{G} = \sum_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \text{Tr} \left[ J F_{\mu\nu}^\dagger, J^{-1} F_{\rho\sigma} \right].
\]
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