Local unitary invariants for multipartite states
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Abstract

We study the invariants of arbitrary dimensional multipartite quantum states under local unitary transformations. For multipartite pure states, we give a set of invariants in terms of singular values of coefficient matrices. For multipartite mixed states, we propose a set of invariants in terms of the trace of coefficient matrices. For full ranked mixed states with nondegenerate eigenvalues, this set of invariants is also the necessary and sufficient conditions for the local unitary equivalence of such two states.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement is one of the most extraordinary features of quantum theory [1]. The subtle properties of multipartite entangled states allow for many fascinating applications of quantum information, such as one-way quantum computing, quantum error correction and quantum secret sharing [2, 3]. Thus, one of the main goals in quantum information theory is to gain a better understanding of the non-local properties of quantum states. According to the properties of quantum entanglement of multipartite systems, there are many ways to classify the quantum states, such as local operations and classical communication (LOCC) and stochastic LOCC (SLOCC) [4–7].

An important classification of quantum states is based on the local unitary (LU) transformation. That is, given two states $\rho$ and $\rho'$, one asks whether $\rho$ can be transformed into $\rho'$ by LU operations. To solve this problem, many approaches to construct invariants under local unitary transformations have been presented in recent years. For example, in [8, 9] the authors developed a method which allows one to compute all the invariants of local unitary transformations in principle, though it is not easy to perform operationally. For multiqubit pure states, the local unitary equivalence problem has been solved in [10], which is then extended to the arbitrary dimensional case [11]. For two qubit mixed states, a complete set of 18 polynomial invariants is presented in [12]. For high dimensional bipartite mixed states, Zhou [13] has studied the nonlocal properties of quantum states and solved the local unitary equivalence problem by presenting a complete set of invariants. Besides, other partial results have also been obtained for three qubit states [14], some generic mixed states [16–18] and tripartite mixed states [19]. But it is still far away from understanding the nonlocal properties of multipartite states completely.

In this article, we study the invariants of arbitrary dimensional multipartite quantum states under local unitary operations. For multipartite pure states, we give a set of invariants in terms of singular values of coefficient matrices. For multipartite mixed states, we propose a set of invariants in terms of the trace of coefficient matrices, which is also the necessary and sufficient condition of local equivalence for full ranked mixed states with nondegenerate eigenvalues.
II. LOCAL UNITARY INVARIANTS FOR PURE STATE

First, we consider $n$ partite pure states $|\psi\rangle$ in Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes H_n$, $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s_1=0}^{d_1-1} \sum_{s_2=0}^{d_2-1} \cdots \sum_{s_n=0}^{d_n-1} a_{s_1s_2\cdots s_n} |s_1s_2\cdots s_n\rangle$, with $\dim(H_i) = d_i$, and $|s_i\rangle$ the basic vectors of $H_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$, $a_{s_1s_2\cdots s_n} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_{s_1=0}^{d_1-1} \sum_{s_2=0}^{d_2-1} \cdots \sum_{s_n=0}^{d_n-1} |a_{s_1s_2\cdots s_n}|^2 = 1$. Now we associate $(d_1d_2\cdots d_l) \times (d_{l+1}\cdots d_n)$ coefficient matrix $M(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)}$ to $|\psi\rangle$ by arranging $a_{s_1s_2\cdots s_n}$ in lexicographical ascending order, where we have viewed the indices with respect to the first $l$ qubits as the row ones and the rest indices as the column ones, $l = 1, 2, \cdots, \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. For fixed $l$, all the possible coefficient matrices can be derived by $M(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)}$ with permutations

$$\sigma = (r_1, c_1)(r_2, c_2)\cdots (r_k, c_k)$$

where $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < r_k \leq l$, $l < c_1 < c_2 < \cdots < n$, and $(r_i, c_i)$ represents the transposition of $r_i$ and $c_i$. The case $k = 0$ stands for identical permutation, denoted by $\sigma = I$. Each element in the set $\{\sigma\}$ gives a permutation of $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$. We denote $M_\sigma(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)}$ the coefficient matrix of $M(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)}$ under permutation $\sigma$.

For example, for three qubit pure state $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s_1, s_2, s_3=0}^{1} a_{s_1s_2s_3} |s_1s_2s_3\rangle$, we have

$$M^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{000} & a_{001} & a_{010} & a_{011} \\ a_{100} & a_{101} & a_{110} & a_{111} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(1)}_{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{000} & a_{001} & a_{100} & a_{101} \\ a_{010} & a_{011} & a_{110} & a_{111} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(1)}_{(1,3)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{000} & a_{010} & a_{100} & a_{110} \\ a_{001} & a_{011} & a_{110} & a_{111} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

For four qubit pure state $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4=0}^{1} a_{s_1s_2s_3s_4} |s_1s_2s_3s_4\rangle$, 

$$M^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{0000} & a_{0001} & a_{0010} & a_{0011} & a_{0100} & a_{0101} & a_{0110} & a_{0111} \\ a_{1000} & a_{1001} & a_{1010} & a_{1011} & a_{1100} & a_{1101} & a_{1110} & a_{1111} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(1)}_{(1,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{0000} & a_{0001} & a_{0010} & a_{0011} & a_{1000} & a_{1001} & a_{1010} & a_{1011} \\ a_{0100} & a_{0101} & a_{0110} & a_{0111} & a_{1100} & a_{1101} & a_{1110} & a_{1111} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^{(1)}_{(1,3)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{0000} & a_{0001} & a_{0010} & a_{0011} & a_{1000} & a_{1001} & a_{1010} & a_{1011} \\ a_{0100} & a_{0101} & a_{0110} & a_{0111} & a_{1100} & a_{1101} & a_{1110} & a_{1111} \end{pmatrix},$$
If two \( n \)-partite pure states \(|\psi\rangle\) and \(|\phi\rangle\) are LU equivalent, \(|\psi\rangle = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n |\phi\rangle\), where \( U_1, U_2, \cdots, U_n \) are local unitary operators in \( SU(d_1, \mathbb{C}), SU(d_2, \mathbb{C}), \cdots, SU(d_n, \mathbb{C}) \), respectively, then the coefficient matrices of \(|\psi\rangle\) and \(|\phi\rangle\) satisfy the relation

\[
M_\sigma(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)} = U_{\sigma(1)} \otimes U_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\sigma(l)} M_\sigma(|\phi\rangle)^{(l)} (U_{\sigma(l+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\sigma(n)})^T, \quad \forall \ l
\]

with superscript \( T \) the transpose. From (2) we have

(i) rank \( M_\sigma(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)} = \) rank \( M_\sigma(|\phi\rangle)^{(l)} \).

(ii) \( Tr[M_\sigma(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)\dagger}]^\alpha = Tr[M_\sigma(|\phi\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|\phi\rangle)^{(l)\dagger}]^\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, \min\{d_{\sigma(1)}d_{\sigma(2)} \cdots d_{\sigma(l)}, \ d_{\sigma(l+1)}d_{\sigma(l+2)} \cdots d_{\sigma(n)}\},\)

(iii) \( M_\sigma(|\psi\rangle)^{(l)} \) and \( M_\sigma(|\phi\rangle)^{(l)} \) have the same singular values, \( \forall \ l, \ \sigma \).

The three conditions above are necessary for determining whether two arbitrary multipartite pure states are local unitary equivalent or not. In view of the condition (i), if two pure states differ in the ranks of their corresponding coefficient matrices, then they belong to different local unitary equivalent classes. While from the aspect of (ii), if two coefficient matrices do not have the same trace relations, they are not local unitary equivalent. Condition (ii) is strictly stronger than condition (i) since two matrices with the same rank may have different trace relations. For example, the three qubit W state
One way to deal with the LU equivalence (3) is to use purification. After purification, an $M$-same rank, rank$(M)$ transformations if there exist unitary operators $U^i$ that transform the states $\rho^i$ into each other. Nevertheless, both condition (ii) and (iii) are only necessary for multipartite states. For instance, consider three qubit pure states $|\psi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|000\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |111\rangle)$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|001\rangle + |010\rangle + |100\rangle)$. Their coefficient matrices have the same trace relations and the same singular values. But they can not be transformed into each other neither by LU operations nor by SLOCC.

### III. LOCAL UNITARY INVARIANTS FOR MIXED STATE

Now we consider the local unitary invariants for mixed states. Two $n$-partite mixed states $\rho$ and $\rho'$ in $H_1 \otimes H_2 \cdots \otimes H_n$ Hilbert space are said to be equivalent under local unitary transformations if there exist unitary operators $U^i$ on the $i$-th Hilbert space such that

$$\rho' = (U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n) \rho (U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n)^\dagger. \quad (3)$$

One way to deal with the LU equivalence (3) is to use purification. After purification, an $n$-partite mixed state becomes an $(n+1)$-partite pure state. Ref. [20] has revealed the relations between the $n$-partite mixed states and their $(n+1)$-partite purified ones as follows,

**Lemma 1** If one of the $n$-partite reduced density matrices of the $(n+1)$-partite pure state $|\psi\rangle$ is local unitary equivalent to the corresponding $n$ partite reduced density matrices of the $(n+1)$-partite pure state $|\phi\rangle$, then two $(n+1)$-partite pure states $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ are also local unitary equivalent.

Employing this Lemma, we have the following result.

**Theorem 1** An $n$-partite mixed state $\rho'$ is LU equivalent to $\rho$ if and only if its purified state is LU equivalent to that of $\rho$.

**Proof:** Suppose $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i |v_i\rangle \langle v_i|$ and $\rho' = \sum_{i=1}^{K'} \lambda'_i |v_i'\rangle \langle v_i'|$ are the spectra decompositions of $\rho$ and $\rho'$ respectively, $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_i \lambda'_i = 1$, $\lambda_i, \lambda'_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $|\psi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{\lambda_i} |v_i\rangle |i\rangle$ be the purification of $\rho$ and $|\psi'_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{K'} \sqrt{\lambda'_i} |v_i'\rangle |i'\rangle$ the purification of $\rho'$. If $\rho'$ is LU equivalent to $\rho$, then by Lemma 1 and the relations $Tr_{n+1} [||\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0||] = \rho$ and $Tr_{n+1} [||\psi'_0\rangle \langle \psi'_0||] = \rho'$, we get...
that $|\psi'_0\rangle$ is LU equivalent to $|\psi_0\rangle$.

On the other hand, if $|\psi'_0\rangle = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes U_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{n+1} |\psi_0\rangle$, then $\rho' = Tr_{n+1}[|\psi'_0\rangle\langle \psi'_0|] = Tr_{n+1}[(U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes U_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{n+1}) |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0|(U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes U_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{n+1})^\dagger] = (U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n) Tr_{n+1}(|\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0|)(U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n)^\dagger$. Hence $\rho'$ is LU equivalent to $\rho$.

From Theorem 1 we see that the LU equivalence problem of $n$-partite mixed states can be transformed into the LU equivalence of $(n+1)$-partite pure states. The LU classification for arbitrary dimensional multipartite pure states has been studied in [11] by exploiting the high order singular value decomposition technique and local symmetries of the states. Employing the results in [11], the LU equivalence problem of mixed states can be solved further.

Besides purification, one may also deal with the LU equivalence of mixed states directly in terms of the LU invariants. Next we give a set of invariants in terms of the trace relations about the coefficient matrices.

**Theorem 2** For arbitrary $n$ partite nondegenerate mixed states $\rho$ with spectra decomposition, $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|$, $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following quantities are LU invariants,

(a) the rank $K$ of $\rho$;
(b) the eigenvalues $\lambda_i$ of $\rho$, $i = 1, \cdots, K$;
(c) $Tr[M_\sigma(|v_i\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v_j\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger} \cdots M_\sigma(|v_k\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v_m\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger}]$, $i, j, \cdots, k, m = 1, \cdots, K$, $\forall l$, $\sigma$.

**Proof** Let $\rho' = (U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n)^\dagger \rho (U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n)$, where $U_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, are arbitrary unitary operators. Since the eigenvalues of $\rho$ are nondegenerate, so the eigenvalues of $\rho'$ are $\lambda_i$ with the corresponding eigenvectors $|v'_i\rangle = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n |v_i\rangle$ up to a global phase, $i = 1, \cdots, K$. Equivalently, $M_\sigma(|v'_i\rangle)^{(l)} = (U_{\sigma(1)} \otimes U_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\sigma(l)}) M_\sigma(|v_i\rangle)^{(l)} (U_{\sigma(l+1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\sigma(n)})^T$. Therefore

$$M_\sigma(|v'_i\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v'_j\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger} = U_{\sigma(1)} \otimes U_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\sigma(l)} M_\sigma(|v_i\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v_j\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger} (U_{\sigma(1)} \otimes U_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\sigma(l)})^\dagger,$$

for $i, j = 1, \cdots, K$, which gives rise to $Tr[M_\sigma(|v'_i\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v'_j\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger} \cdots M_\sigma(|v'_k\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v'_m\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger}] = Tr[M_\sigma(|v_i\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v_j\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger} \cdots M_\sigma(|v_k\rangle)^{(l)} M_\sigma(|v_m\rangle)^{(l)^\dagger}]$. Therefore, the rank, the eigenvalues of $\rho$ and the trace of products of the coefficient matrices are invariant under local unitary transformations.

For example, for three qubit mixed states $\rho_1 = \lambda |W\rangle\langle W| + (1 - \lambda) |011\rangle\langle 011|$, 

\[ 6 \]
and \( \rho_2 = \lambda |GHZ\rangle\langle GHZ| + (1 - \lambda) |011\rangle\langle 011| \), one has, 
\[ \text{tr}[M_\sigma(|W\rangle\langle |W|^{(1)}M_\sigma(|W\rangle\langle |W|^{(1)})^\dagger]^2 \neq \text{tr}[M_\sigma(|GHZ\rangle|GHZ\rangle)^{(1)}M_\sigma(|GHZ\rangle)^{(1)})^2]. \] 
Thus they are not LU equivalent.

Generally the invariants in Theorem 2 are only necessary for LU equivalence. However, for some special sets of multipartite mixed states, the above invariants are complete.

**Theorem 3** For two arbitrary \( n \)-partite nondegenerate and full rank mixed states \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) with spectra decomposition, 
\[ \rho = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i |v_i\rangle\langle v_i|, \rho' = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda'_i |v'_i\rangle\langle v'_i|, \sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_i \lambda'_i = 1, \lambda_i, \lambda'_i \in \mathbb{R}^+, \] 
they are local unitary equivalent if and only if

(a) \( \lambda_i = \lambda'_i, i = 1, \cdots, K; \)

(b) \( Tr[M_\sigma(|v_i\rangle\langle v_j|)^{(l)}M_\sigma(|v_k\rangle\langle v_m|)^{(l)})^\dagger \cdots M_\sigma(|v_{k_m}\rangle\langle v_{m_m}|)^{(l)})^\dagger], \forall i, j, \cdots, k, m = 1, \cdots, K, \forall l, \sigma. \)

**Proof.** Here we only need to prove the sufficiency. If \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) satisfy conditions (a) and (b), then they are local unitary equivalent under bipartite partition by Ref. \[13\]. Namely,
\[ \rho' = V_{y_1} \otimes V_{y_2} \rho V_{y_1}^\dagger \otimes V_{y_2}^\dagger \] 
and
\[ |v'_i\rangle = V_{y_1} \otimes V_{y_2} |v_i\rangle, \forall i, \] 
for all possible bipartite partitions \((y_1, y_2)\) of the system, where \( V_{y_1} \) and \( V_{y_2} \) are unitary transformations. Since \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) are full ranked, \( \{|v_i\rangle\} \) and \( \{|v'_i\rangle\} \) constitute two orthonormal basis for the whole vector space, which implies that there exists a unique unitary transformation that maps \( |v_i\rangle \) to \( |v'_i\rangle, \forall i. \) The uniqueness of the unitary transformation in Eq. \[10\] makes the whole unitary transformation a tensor product one acting on the individual subsystems. In this case, \( |v'_i\rangle = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n |v_i\rangle, \forall i, \) and \( \rho' = U_1 \otimes U_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n \rho U_1^\dagger \otimes U_2^\dagger \otimes \cdots \otimes U_n^\dagger. \) □

**IV. CONCLUSION**

We have investigated the invariants of arbitrary dimensional multipartite quantum states under local unitary operations. We presented the set of coefficient matrices. The singular values of these coefficient matrices are just the LU invariants for multipartite pure states. For multipartite mixed states, the trace of the coefficient matrices are LU invariants, which give rise to the necessary and sufficient conditions for full ranked mixed states with nondegenerate
eigenvalues. As these LU invariants can be explicitly calculated, our approach gives a simple way in verifying the LU equivalence of given quantum states.
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