Molecular characterization and genetic diversity studies of Indian soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) cultivars using SSR markers
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Abstract

Background The genetic base of soybean cultivars in India has been reported to be extremely narrow, due to repeated use of few selected and elite genotypes as parents in the breeding programmes. This ultimately led to the reduction of genetic variability among existing soybean cultivars and stagnation in crop yield. Thus in order to enhance production and productivity of soybean, broadening of genetic base and exploring untapped valuable genetic diversity has become quite indispensable. This could be successfully accomplished through molecular characterization of soybean genotypes using various DNA based markers. Hence, an attempt was made to study the molecular divergence and relatedness among 29 genotypes of soybean using SSR markers.

Methods and results A total of 35 SSR primers were deployed to study the genetic divergence among 29 genotypes of soybean. Among them, 14 primer pairs were found to be polymorphic producing a total of 34 polymorphic alleles; and the allele number for each locus ranged from two to four with an average of 2.43 alleles per primer pair. Polymorphic information content (PIC) values of SSRs ranged from 0.064 to 0.689 with an average of 0.331. The dendrogram constructed based on dissimilarity indices clustered the 29 genotypes into two major groups and four sub-groups. Similarly, principal coordinate analysis grouped the genotypes into four major groups that exactly corresponded to the clustering of genotypes among four sub-groups of dendrogram. Besides, the study has reported eight unique and two rare alleles that could be potentially utilized for genetic purity analysis and cultivar identification in soybean.

Conclusion In the present investigation, two major clusters were reported and grouping of large number of genotypes in each cluster indicated high degree of genetic resemblance and narrow genetic base among the genotypes used in the study. With respect to the primers used in the study, the values of PIC and other related parameters revealed that the selected SSR markers are moderately informative and could be potentially utilized for diversity analysis of soybean. The clustering pattern of dendrogram constructed based on SSR loci profile displayed good agreement with the cultivar’s pedigree information. High level of genetic similarity observed among the genotypes from the present study necessitates the inclusion of wild relatives, land races and traditional cultivars in future soybean breeding programmes to widen the crop gene pool. Thus, hybridization among diverse gene pool could result in more heterotic combinations ultimately enhancing genetic gain, crop yield and resistance to various stress factors.
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Introduction

Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) is one of the world’s most important economic legume crops and second most important oilseed crop of India. It serves as a rich source of oil and protein (20% and 40%) for both human food and animal feed [1, 2]. Among the various soybean growing countries in the world, USA stands first in both production and productivity with 96.62 m.t. and 3157 kg/ha respectively from 306.03
lakh ha of area. Whereas, India in the fifth position produces 9.00 m.t. with productivity of 800 kg/ha from 112.5 lakh ha of area [3]. Although during past few decades, the trend observed with respect to production and productivity revealed remarkable and satisfactory increase in other major soybean growing countries, India is still lagging far behind in productivity due to stagnated yields [4]. In India, even though there is momentous increase in the area and production of soybean during last three decades through the adoption of new varieties, the crop yield potential remained static and becoming major concern among researchers [4, 5]. This could be attributed to the narrow genetic base of soybean cultivars that is either inherited from the crop genetic architecture (self-pollination) or due to the extensive use of selected genotypes as parental lines in the breeding programmes [6]. The targeted hybridization in consequence, led to genetic uniformity and further shrinks in the genetic base of the soybean germplasm, compromising the yield besides enhancing the susceptibility to several biotic and abiotic stresses [7]. Therefore, understanding the genetic diversity of Indian soybean germplasm is critical to explore the untapped valuable genetic traits for enhancing soybean production and productivity. Even though, genetic similarity may not necessarily turn into immediate epidemic, more divergent genetic background is always a key requisite to ensure defense against the unanticipated outbreak of pests and diseases [4, 8].

Diversity in plant genetic resources often enhances the opportunity of plant breeders to breed new and improved cultivars with desirable characteristics [9]. Thus, information on genetic diversity of soybean genotypes could obviously help breeders and geneticists to interpret the germplasm architecture, facilitate the selection of parents with higher levels of diversity, predict superior combinations that deliver best off-spring and accelerate in broadening the genetic base [10]. The assessment of genetic diversity within and between populations is routinely performed based on morphological characterization, biochemical markers and using various molecular marker techniques [11]. Among these, morphological and biochemical markers were identified to be profoundly influenced by environment and several other factors, hence the results are less reproducible with unreliable or biased estimates [12]. Deployment of DNA based marker systems serve as an alternative strategy for precisely discriminating closely related species and cultivars [13, 14]. They work by highlighting differences within the nucleotide sequence between different individuals and remain insensitive to environmental factors [15]. Molecular markers can be broadly classified into two groups based on (i) method of detection as (a) non-PCR derived or hybridization-based techniques (RFLP-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism, VNTRs-Variable Number of Tandem Repeats) (b) PCR-derived or amplification-based techniques (RAPD-Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA, AFLP-Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism, STMSs-Sequence Tagged Microsatellites, SCARs-Sequenced Characterized Amplified Regions, CAPS-Cleaved Amplified Polymorphic Sequences, SSLPs-Simple Sequence Length Polymorphisms, Microsatellites or SSRs-Simple Sequence Repeats) and (c) sequence-based markers (SNPs-Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, DArT-Diverse Array Technology), (ii) mode of gene action as (a) dominant marker (RAPD, AFLP etc.) and (b) co-dominant marker (CAPS, SCAR, SSR etc.) [16].

Among the various listed DNA markers-PCR based, SSRs have demonstrated highest rate of polymorphism and have much greater competence in identifying unique alleles among elite soybean germplasm compared to other marker systems [10–12]. SSRs contain sequences of short tandem repeats distributed over the genomes that are hyper-variable enabling them as an excellent tool for pedigree analysis, genotype differentiation, evaluation of genetic distances or relatedness among genotypes and varietal identification [17–19]. Nevertheless, SNPs have been widely reported as the most abundant class of DNA markers and possess low rates of recurrent mutations that make them evolutionarily stable. They serve as excellent markers for dissecting complex genetic traits and for studying the genomic evolution patterns [20]. In this view, SNPs could serve as an alternative to SSRs for analysis of genetic diversity; however their biallelic nature, low information content and high cost make SSRs still as markers of choice for conducting genetic diversity studies in many crop species [15]. Supporting this, study conducted on comparative genetic diversity analysis using SNPs, DArT and SSRs on sugar beet cultivars revealed that, the success rate was highest for SSR markers owing to their highly polymorphic nature [21, 22]. Precisely, many studies documented deployment of SSR markers to be highly productive for estimation of genetic diversity and relationships among soybean genotypes [12, 17–28]. However, beyond doubt this might be challenged in the coming future with the development of cheap methods for the assay of SNPs.

Annually, several breeding lines and varieties of soybean are developed through selection and hybridization programmes across the globe. Presently, there are more than 100 extant varieties of soybean cultivated in India. Nevertheless, the success of these high yielding and improved varieties largely relies on the availability of quality seed with greater genetic purity standards [29, 30]. The genetic purity of commercial seed lots is traditionally assayed by performing Grow Out Tests (GOT) based on morphological characters that is not only time taking and quite laborious, but also highly environmental responsive [24]. Hence, SSRs are widely deployed for rapid genetic purity assessment and identification of both varieties and hybrids in soybean [25–27]. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was
carried out with two objectives i) to study the genetic diversity among 29 genotypes of soybean using selected hyper-variable polymorphic SSR markers and ii) to explore unique and rare alleles that would be useful for genetic purity analysis and varietal identification of soybean.

**Materials and methods**

**Plant material**

A total of 29 improved and cultivated genotypes/varieties of soybean were obtained from different breeding centers across India and used in the present study. The varieties selected in this study represent a large range of varieties grown in India and most of them are notified and released for cultivation across different agro-climatic zones of India. Detailed information on pedigree and distinguishable characteristics of all the 29 genotypes are presented in Table 1.

**DNA isolation and PCR amplification**

Genomic DNA was extracted from seeds using DNeasy® Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, USA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration and quality of the DNA samples was estimated using NanoDrop 2000™ spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). All other chemicals used for DNA extraction and amplification were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany. Finally, all the genomic DNA samples were diluted to a final concentration of 20 ng µL⁻¹ with 1X TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0; 1 mM EDTA) and stored at − 20 °C for further use. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was conducted using 25 µL volume mixture containing 1X PCR assay buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris-Cl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂), 200 µM each of dNTPs, 0.2 µM each of forward and reverse primers, 0.6 U Taq DNA polymerase and 25 ng of genomic DNA. All PCR reactions were carried out in a thermal cycler AG 22331. Thermal profiling was set up with an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min followed by 33 cycles of denaturation (94 °C for 1 min), annealing (55 °C for 1 min), primer extension (72 °C for 2 min) and a final extension step (72 °C for 7 min).

Amplified PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on 3% (w/v) Metaphor™ agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide (1 mg/mL) and photographed under UV light using Image Lab™ software. The size of the amplified products was determined using 50 bp DNA ladder as size standard. SSR markers developed by Cregan et al. [32] were used in the present study. A total of 35 SSR markers representing all the 20 linkage groups of soybean were chosen for genotyping from SSR database (http://www.soysbase.org) and presented in supplementary Table 1.

**SSR allele scoring and data analysis**

The presence or absence of SSR fragment in each genotype was recorded for all the polymorphic SSR primers. Bands appearing without ambiguity were scored as 1 (present) and 0 (absent) for each primer pair. The size of the amplicon was calculated on the basis of band mobility relative to the molecular mass of the ladder. The polymorphic information content (PIC) and expected heterozygosity (H) values reflect the discriminating ability of the marker depending on the number of known alleles and their frequency distribution, thus being alike to genetic diversity; and calculated using the formula given by Botstein et al. [33] in Eq. 1 and Liu [34] in Eq. 2 respectively.

\[
PIC = 1 - \sum p_i^2 - \sum p_i^2 p_j
\]

\[
H = 1 - \sum p_i^2
\]

where, \( p_i \) and \( p_j \) denote the population frequency of the ith and jth alleles. The first summation is over the total number of alleles, whereas the two subsequent summations denote all the i and j where \( i \neq j \).

Phylogenetic tree was constructed from genotyping data of selected polymorphic SSR markers using DARwin software (version 6.0.21) [38] on the basis of genetic distances. The genetic similarity among genotypes was estimated from the dissimilarity (distance) matrix generated from simple matching coefficient. The resulting dissimilarity matrix was further analysed using the unweighted pair-group method arithmetic average (UPGMA) clustering algorithm for construction of a dendrogram. Similarly, neighbor-joining tree was also constructed based on the dissimilarity matrix using unweighed-neighbor joining algorithm from DARwin software (version 6.0.21) [38]. The robustness of the node of the neighbour-joining tree was assessed from 1000 bootstrap replicates and bootstrap values of > 50% were displayed.

**Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA)** is a multidimensional scaling (MDS) method used to explore and visualize similarities or dissimilarities in the dataset. It uses either similarity matrix or dissimilarity matrix obtained from
| Breeding center                                      | Variety         | Pedigree/characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya (JNKVV), Jabalpur | Kalitur         | Indian landrace                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                                                      | JS 335          | Early duration, high yielding variety, resistant to lodging and shattering                                                                                                                                                  |
|                                                      | JS 97-52        | PK 327 × L 129                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                                                      | JS 76-205       | Kalitur × Bragg                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                      | JS 95-60        | Selection from PS 73-22                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
|                                                      | JS 20-69        | JS 97-52 × SL 710                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                                                      | JS 80-21        | JS 75-1 × PK 73-94                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                                                      | JS 20-34        | JS 98-63 × PK 768                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                                                      | JS 93-05        | Secondary selection from PS 73-22                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|                                                      | JS 20-98        | JS 97-52 × SL 710                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Indian Institute of Soybean Research (IISR), Indore  | NRC 105         | Vegetable poded variety, high yielding                                                                                                                                                                                       |
|                                                      | NRC 130         | High yielding, moderately resistant to blight                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                      | NRC 131         | Moderately resistant to pod blight complex                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|                                                      | NRC 37          | Gaurav × Punjab 1                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                      | NRC 86          | RKS 15 × EC 481309                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|                                                      | NRC 7           | Selection from S 69-96                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwavidyalaya (RVS-KVV), Gwalior | RVS 2001-4      | JS 93-01 × EC 390981                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|                                                      | RVS 2001-18     | Moderately resistant to major pests                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Maharashtra Association for the Cultivation of Science (MACS), Pune | MACS 450        | Bragg × MACS 111                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|                                                      | Type 49         | Indian landrace                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Marathwada Agricultural University (MAU), Parbhani   | MAUS 71         | JS 71-05 × JS 87-38                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                      | MAUS 61         | JS 71-1 × PK 73-94                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology (GBPUPAT), Pantnagar | Shilajeeet      | Variant discovered from EC 9309                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                      | PS 1092         | PK 327 × PK 146                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                      | PK 472          | Hardee × Punjab 1                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Punjab Agricultural University (PAU), Ludhiana      | SL 525          | PK 416 × PK 1023                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|                                                      | University of Agricultural Sciences (UAS), Bengaluru     | Determinate type, resistant to major diseases especially YMV                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                      | Karune          | Vegetable poded variety                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Indira Gandhi Krishi Viswavidyalaya (IGKV), Raipur   | Indira Soya 9   | Secondary selection from JS 80-21                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Zonal Agricultural Research Station (ZARS), Kota     | RKS 24          | PK 472 × PK 1024                                                                                                                                                                                                               |

Table 1: List of soybean genotypes used in the study and their distinguishing characteristics.
original variables and assigns each variable a specific location in a low-dimensional space. In the present study, PCoA was performed to identify similarity indices between the varieties based on Euclidean distance using Past software (version 4.02) [39].

**Results**

**SSR polymorphism**

A total of 29 promising varieties of soybean were analysed in the present study using 35 crop specific microsatellite markers. Among the 35 SSR primer pairs, 14 primer pairs produced scorable and clear-cut bands and were found to be polymorphic. A total of 48 alleles were detected from these 14 primer pairs of which, 34 alleles were polymorphic with an average of 2.43 alleles per primer pair. The number of alleles generated from each primer pair ranged from 2 (nine primer pairs) to 4 (Satt440). The overall size of the PCR products amplified from these 14 primer pairs ranged from 10 to 180 bp. With respect to allelic frequency, among the 34 polymorphic alleles detected the frequency of 10 alleles (29.41%) was less than 0.25, whereas other 12 alleles (35.29%) had allelic frequency of more than 0.25 but not exceeding 0.5. While seven alleles (20.58%) had frequency of more than 0.50 but less than 0.75, rest of the five alleles (14.71%) had frequency ranging between 0.75 to 1.0. The highest allelic frequency of 0.965 was observed for a single allele using marker Satt288 and lowest frequency of 0.034 was detected for two alleles using Satt406 and Satt288. The highest PIC value (0.689) was observed in Satt440 and lowest value (0.064) was recorded for the primer Satt288 with average of 0.331. The H values for the markers ranged from 0.067 (Satt288) to 0.738 (Satt440) with an average of 0.401. The EMR values ranged from 0.80 (Satt243) to 4.0 (Satt440) with an average of 1.89. The MI values for the polymorphic markers varied from 0.055 (Satt243) to 0.955 (Satt264) with an average of 0.706. \( R_p \) values for the selected polymorphic primer pairs ranged from 1.793 (Satt431) to 2.206 (Satt440) with an average of 1.966. The respective values for overall genetic variability based on allelic diversity, PIC, H, \( R_p \), EMR and MI for all the genotypes are presented in Table 2.

**Genetic diversity and relatedness among genotypes**

Cluster analysis was performed to elucidate the relationship among the genotypes and the dendrogram is presented in Fig. 1. The pair-wise genetic dissimilarity indices revealed minimum of 0.029 and maximum of 0.676 dissimilarity index between the genotypes. The UPGMA based dendrogram grouped the 29 genotypes of soybean into two major clusters viz., I and II comprising of 15 and 14 genotypes respectively, indicating most of the varieties have parents in common. Cluster I is further divided into two sub-groups Ia and Ib with 13 and 2 genotypes, respectively. Among the 13 genotypes present in sub-group Ia, seven genotypes (JS 76-205, JS 97-52, JS 335, JS 20-69, JS 95-60, JS 20-34, Kalitur) of JNKVV, Jabalpur, four genotypes (NRC 37, NRC 105, NRC 131, NRC 130) of IISR, Indore and one genotype each from UAS, Bengaluru (Karune) and MACS, Pune (MACS 450) were included. The genotypes clustered under this sub-group comprised of land race, selection and hybridization-based varieties. In addition to this, both the vegetable podded soybean genotypes i.e., Karune, NRC 105 developed from Bengaluru and IISR, Indore were included within this sub-group. Sub-group Ib comprised of only two genotypes developed through hybridization and released from two different locations JNKVV, Jabalpur (JS 80-21) and MAU, Parbhani (MAUS 71).

The cluster II with 14 genotypes is also divided into another two sub-groups IIa and IIb comprising of 9 and 5 genotypes, respectively. Among the 9 genotypes in sub-group IIa, all the three genotypes (Shilajeet, PS 1092, PK 472) of GBPUAT, Pantnagar, one genotype each from PAU, Ludhiana (SL 525); IISR, Indore (NRC 7); IGKV, Raipur (Indira Soya 9); MAU, Parbhani (MAUS 61); MACS, Pune (Type 49) and JNKVV, Jabalpur (JS 20-98) were included that comprised of mutant, local land race, selection and hybridization-based varieties. The sub-group IIb with 5 genotypes comprised of one genotype (JS 93-05) developed through secondary selection from JNKVV, Jabalpur, whereas the other 4 genotypes were developed through hybridization and they belong to three different breeding centers viz., IISR, Indore (NRC 86); RVS, Gwalior (RVS 2001-18, RVS 2001-4) and ZARS, Kota (RKS 24).

A neighbor-joining tree (Fig. 2) displaying the genetic-relationships among soybean genotypes was also constructed based on the alleles detected from 14 SSR markers. The genetic distance-based results seen in the neighbor-joining tree revealed three major clusters, resembling the clusters of UPGMA-based dendrogram. The first cluster of neighbor-joining tree comprised of 14 genotypes (Shilajeet, PS 1092, PK 472, SL 525, NRC 7, Indira Soya 9, MAUS 61, Type 49, JS 20-98, JS 93-05, NRC 86, RVS 2001-18, RVS 2001-4, RKS 24), second cluster comprised of only two genotypes (MAUS 71, JS 80-21) and the third cluster had 15 genotypes (JS 76-205, JS 97-52, JS 335, JS 20-69, JS 95-60, JS 20-34, Kalitur, NRC 37, NRC 105, NRC 131, NRC 130, Karune, MACS 450).

PCoA was also performed to analyze multi-dimensional relationships that describe the proportion of genetic variance in the dataset used based on the similarity indices (Fig. 3). The scatter plot generated from PCoA clustered the 29 genotypes of soybean into four groups based on similarity
Table 2 Allelic status and polymorphism statistics for various polymorphic markers used in the study

| Primer     | Number of amplified bands | Number of polymorphic bands | Allele size Range | Difference | Highest frequency allele size (bp) | Allelic frequency | PIC    | H       | EMR     | MI      | RP   |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------|
| Satt406    | 3                         | 3                          | 100–150           | 50         | 150                               | 0.034, 0.172, 0.793 | 0.301  | 0.340   | 3.00    | 0.9030  | 2.0000|
| Satt440    | 4                         | 4                          | 70–120            | 50         | 80, 100, 120                      | 0.156, 0.281, 0.281 | 0.689  | 0.738   | 4.00    | 2.7560  | 2.2069|
| Satt562    | 3                         | 2                          | 10–30             | 20         | 10                                | 0.517, 0.482       | 0.375  | 0.499   | 1.33    | 0.5000  | 2.0000|
| Satt_243   | 5                         | 2                          | 120–140           | 20         | 120                               | 0.962, 0.367       | 0.069  | 0.071   | 0.80    | 0.0552  | 1.8621|
| Satt244    | 4                         | 2                          | 70–90             | 20         | 90                                | 0.482, 0.517       | 0.375  | 0.499   | 1.00    | 0.3750  | 2.0000|
| Satt245    | 5                         | 3                          | 70–100            | 30         | 100                               | 0.074, 0.481, 0.444 | 0.469  | 0.565   | 1.80    | 0.8442  | 1.8621|
| Satt264    | 4                         | 3                          | 100–180           | 80         | 180                               | 0.51, 0.444, 0.037 | 0.425  | 0.532   | 2.25    | 0.9563  | 1.8621|
| Satt269    | 2                         | 2                          | 100–180           | 80         | 170                               | 0.724, 0.275       | 0.320  | 0.400   | 2.00    | 0.6400  | 2.0000|
| Satt285    | 4                         | 2                          | 90–100            | 10         | 100                               | 0.071, 0.928       | 0.124  | 0.133   | 1.00    | 0.1240  | 1.9310|
| Satt288    | 2                         | 2                          | 80–100            | 20         | 80                                | 0.965, 0.034       | 0.064  | 0.067   | 2.00    | 0.1280  | 2.0000|
| Satt308    | 2                         | 2                          | 50–75             | 25         | 50                                | 0.517, 0.482       | 0.375  | 0.499   | 2.00    | 0.7500  | 2.0000|
| Satt337    | 2                         | 2                          | 10–50             | 40         | 50                                | 0.793, 0.206       | 0.274  | 0.328   | 2.00    | 0.5480  | 2.0000|
| Satt_366   | 4                         | 2                          | 80–90             | 10         | 90                                | 0.379, 0.620       | 0.360  | 0.471   | 1.00    | 0.3600  | 2.0000|
| Satt431    | 4                         | 3                          | 90–120            | 30         | 120                               | 0.115, 0.692, 0.192 | 0.421  | 0.470   | 2.25    | 0.9473  | 1.7931|
| Total      | 48                        | 34                         | –                 | –          | –                                 | –                 | –      | –       | –       | –       | –     |
| Average    | 3.43                      | 2.43                       | 10–180            | –          | –                                 | –                 | 0.331  | 0.401   | 1.89    | 0.706   | 1.966 |

*PIC* Polymorphic Information Content, *H* Expected Heterozygosity, *EMR* Effective Multiplex Ratio, *MI* Marker Index, *RP* Resolving Power
The first two principal coordinates (coordinate 1 and coordinate 2) accounted for 34.72% and 15.95% of variation, respectively (based on Eigen values) explaining 50.67% of total variation. Further, the grouping pattern of genotypes is concurrent to the phylogeny-based cluster analysis in the present study.

Fig. 1 UPGMA dendrogram representing clustering pattern of 29 soybean genotypes

Fig. 2 Neighbor-joining tree representing grouping pattern of 29 soybean genotypes [bootstrap values (blue color) of more than 50% are displayed]. The numbers 1 to 29 correspond to the soybean genotypes as follows: 1. Kalitur, 2. Karune, 3. NRC 105, 4. MACS 450, 5. NRC 130, 6. NRC 131, 7. JS 97-52, 8. JS 335, 9. JS 76-205, 10. JS 95-60, 11. JS 20-69, 12. JS 20-34, 13. JS 80-21, 14. MAUS 71, 15. NRC 37, 16. NRC 86, 17. RKS 24, 18. RVS 2001-4, 19. RVS 2001-18, 20. JS 93-05, 21. Type 49, 22. JS 20-98, 23. NRC 7, 24. SL 525, 25. Shilajeet, 26. PS 1092, 27. MAUS 61, 28. Indira Soya 9, 29. PK 472
Among the 34 polymorphic alleles identified, eight were detected to be unique alleles generated in specific varieties (Table 3). Satt406 generated unique allele of 100 bp specific to variety PK 472 (Fig. 4). Similarly, Satt288 produced amplicon size of 100 bp in variety SL 525. Satt285 produced two allelic variants of size 90 bp, 100 bp wherein 90 bp was specific for the identification of variety JS 93-05; and both the alleles (90 bp, 100 bp) were amplified in the variety JS 335. Similarly, Satt440 produced two alleles of size 70 bp, 80 bp that could inadvertently distinguish Kalitur from remaining soybean genotypes. Satt264 amplified one allele of size 180 bp that could visually distinguish MAUS 61 from other genotypes. Satt_243 amplified an allele of size 140 bp specific for the variety NRC 7. The eight unique alleles generated by these specific primer pairs are indistinguishable for the identification of seven soybean varieties.
**Rare alleles**

As per International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV) guidelines, the rare alleles are those present at a specific locus and appear with a frequency below an agreed threshold (commonly 5-10%) and hence they may also be employed in cultivar identification. In the current study, two rare alleles were detected which appeared in two to three varieties. Amplicon size of 70 bp generated from Satt245 appeared in two varieties Kalitur and Karune, whereas another allele of size 90 bp generated from Satt431 appeared in three varieties viz., Kalitur, NRC 105 and RKS 24.

**Discussion**

Deployment of SSR markers for assessment of genetic diversity has been widely adopted for screening of soybean germplasm [40, 41]. In the present study, 14 markers present on six linkage groups of soybean were found to be polymorphic and the high percentage of polymorphic loci (70.8%) detected were consistent with the previous reports [42, 43]. The identified polymorphic SSR primers amplified with an average number of 2.43 alleles per locus and PIC of 0.331. The level of genetic diversity obtained in the current study is in agreement with the findings of few authors, who reported average of 3.23 alleles with PIC value of 0.386 [41], 2.97 alleles with PIC of 0.447 [44], 2.85 alleles with PIC of 0.360 [45], 2.41 alleles with PIC value of 0.437 [31] and 2.22 alleles per SSR locus with PIC of 0.199 [43] in soybean.

However, other studies reported high rates of genetic diversity using SSR markers having 4.9 alleles with average PIC of 0.560 [42], 4 alleles with PIC of 0.580 [46], 4 alleles with PIC of 0.590 [47] and 5 alleles with PIC of 0.610 [48]. All these studies reported comparatively higher number of alleles per locus and average PIC values in comparison to the present study.

Most of the SSR markers (10/14) used in this study had PIC values ≥ 0.3 and one marker Satt440 had PIC value of >0.6 with highest number of alleles (4) that denotes a strong correlation between PIC and allele richness [49]. Therefore, it is anticipated that allelic richness serves as an effective index for diversity evaluation; nevertheless, it largely relies on the sample size [12]. The moderate level of allelic richness and PIC values observed in the present study could also be attributed to the narrow genetic base of the cultivars used for analysis. In the present study, average heterozygosity of 0.401 was reported that is in agreement with the findings of Zhang et al. [41] and Wang et al. [50] who reported average heterozygosity values of 0.460, 0.446 in vegetable and wild types of soybean respectively. Further, the results of MI, EMR and heterozygosity clearly emphasize that the SSR markers selected for the present study are moderately informative and could be utilized for diversity analysis of soybean genotypes.

Both UPGMA dendrogram and neighbor-joining tree are in similarity with each other and the clustering of genotypes is either based on homology in their origin or similarity in the parental material used for breeding programmes [6, 47]. The first cluster of neighbor-joining tree comprised of 14 genotypes resembling cluster II of UPGMA dendrogram. The second cluster comprised of only two genotypes, while the third cluster had 13 genotypes in the neighbor-joining tree. The two genotypes MAUS 71, JS 80-21 that emerged as a separate cluster (cluster II) in neighbor-joining tree were merged within the cluster I of UPGMA dendrogram. However, these two genotypes evolved as a separate sub-group (Ib) under cluster I of UPGMA dendrogram. The pattern of clustering of the remaining genotypes remained same for both UPGMA-dendrogram and neighbor-joining tree. In the present study, all the 29 genotypes of soybean were grouped into two major clusters and various sub-groups in the dendrogram on the basis of their genetic relationships. Similarly, Wang et al. [13] reported two clusters using ten SSR markers, Tantasawat et al. [49] identified four clusters using 11 SSR markers, Ghosh et al. [51] reported two clusters and six sub-clusters from 10 SSR markers, Chauhan et al. [47] obtained two clusters using 21 SSR markers and Hipparagi et al. [45] reported three clusters using 21 SSR markers in soybean.

The genotypes Kalitur, JS 335, JS 97-52, JS 76-205, JS 95-60, JS 20-69, JS 20-34 released from Jabalpur center and NRC 105, NRC 130, NRC 131, NRC 37 developed at Indore center were clustered under the sub-group (Ia) of cluster I of dendrogram on the basis of genetic affinity. Under cluster I, all the varieties developed through hybridization share close affinity with each other due to homology in parental material used for hybridization; and based on the degree of relatedness, the varieties were demarcated into different sub-groups (Ia and Ib) under a single cluster. In agreement to this, two varieties viz., JS 80-21 and MAUS 71 originated from different breeding centers although were grouped under cluster I, they diverged as a separate sub-group (Ib) due to the genetic distances in parental material utilized for hybridization. Interestingly, these two genotypes were demarcated as a separate cluster in both neighbor-joining tree and PCoA grouping.

In case of cluster II, varieties RVS 2001-4, RVS 2001-18, NRC 86, JS 93-05, RKS 24 developed at different breeding centers were clustered under sub-group (IIa) that could be assigned either to homology in parental material used for breeding programme or based on the origin of parent material. Likewise, all the varieties released from Pannagar viz., Shlajeet, PS 1092, PK 472 and one variety each viz., MAUS 61, NRC 7, Type 49, SL 525 and Indira Soya 9 developed...
from Parbhani, Indore, Pune, Ludhiana and Raipur centers respectively, clustered under same sub-group (IIb) of cluster II. The findings of this study are supported by the pedigree presented in Table 1. Apart from this, two sets of sister lines viz., JS 95-60, JS 93-05 (developed through selection from PS 73-22) and JS 20-69, JS 20-98 (developed through hybridization from JS 97-52 × SL 710), were grouped into different clusters since they had different genetic profile at these 14 polymorphic loci that clearly reinforce the effectiveness of SSR markers used in the study. The results obtained from the present study clearly demonstrated the potentiality of SSR markers in precise varietal identification, supporting the findings of Chotiyarnwang et al. [52], Tantasawat et al. [49] and Singh et al. [53].

To complement the information obtained from hierarchical cluster analysis, PCoA was performed that again clustered the genotypes into four groups exactly resembling the sub-groups of dendrogram. Comparable to the cluster analysis, PCoA separated the genotypes into four major groups corresponding to the four sub-groups of dendrogram. The PCoA also revealed that most of the soybean genotypes were intermixed into a large group (except MAUS 71, JS 20-81) and exactly corresponding to Ia, Ib, Iia and Iib sub-groups of dendrogram. The results obtained are consistent with the findings of previous reports [12, 13, 42, 47–49, 51, 52, 54, 55]. The results from the present study clearly epitomize that SSR markers could serve as an efficient tool for analysing the genetic diversity among the genotypes and also aid in determining the pedigree relationships in soybean.

The unique or rare alleles generated through natural mutation and selection [56] are often utilized for categorization of germplasm collections, breeding and genetic purity analysis that serve as unique markers [24]. This study reported eight unique alleles amplified from six primer pairs that are specific for the identification of seven varieties and could be potentially utilized for varietal identification and DNA fingerprinting. In congruity to this, Meesang et al. [25] and Zhang et al. [41] have validated the use of SSR markers for genetic purity analysis in different varieties and hybrids of soybean. Analogous to the present study, Tantasawat et al. [49], Sahu et al. [27] and Rani et al. [31] detected unique alleles from their study using SSR markers. Further, the study detected two rare alleles generated from two different markers indistinguishable for identification of 2–3 varieties. Similar results were reported by Rani et al. [31], wherein 11 rare alleles were identified that could potentially identify a set of 2–11 soybean cultivars.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, the extent of genetic diversity among the investigated genotypes of soybean was reported to be moderate and distributed over two major clusters as evident from the UPGMA dendrogram. The clustering of large number of genotypes in each single cluster indicated high genetic relatedness among the material used. Further, a good association between genetic divergence among the cultivars based on their origin and pedigree has been noticed. The present study also confirms the hypothesis that narrow genetic base exists among the soybean cultivars of India. In addition to this, the study could identify a set of 14 polymorphic markers that could be inadvertently used for diversity analysis of soybean. Besides, the information on unique and rare alleles obtained from the study could be positively utilized for cultivar identification and genetic purity control in soybean. To explore further the diversity of soybean, utilizing of more SSR markers that cover genome/chromosomes of soybean would be desirable for further studies. In summary, the results from this study make it imperative that widening of soybean genetic base is critically essential to exploit heterosis and overcome yield stagnation. This can be achieved by introduction of new alleles into the future soybean breeding programmes of India by inclusion of more landraces, wild relatives and exotic germplasm lines.
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