Genomic profiles and clinical outcomes of de novo blastoid/pleomorphic MCL are distinct from those of transformed MCL
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Key Points
- AH-t has higher degree of aneuploidy and KMT2D and KMT2B mutations and inferior survival compared with AH-DN.
- Patients with blastoid/pleomorphic MCL with Ki-67 ≥50% identifies an ultra-high risk subset with a distinct mutation profile.

Blastoid and pleomorphic mantle cell lymphomas (MCLs) are variants of aggressive histology MCL (AH-MCL). AH-MCL can arise de novo (AH-DN) or transform from prior classic variant MCL (AH-t). This study is the first integrated analysis of clinical and genomic characteristics of AH-MCL. Patient characteristics were collected from diagnosis (AH-DN) and at transformation (AH-t). Survival after initial diagnosis (AH-DN) and after transformation (AH-t) was calculated. Regression tree analysis was performed to evaluate prognostic variables and in univariate and multivariate analyses for survival. Whole-exome sequencing was performed in evaluable biopsy specimens. We identified 183 patients with AH-MCL (108 were AH-DN, and 75 were AH-t; 152 were blastoid, and 31 were pleomorphic). Median survival was 33 months (48 and 14 months for AH-DN and AH-t, respectively; P = .001). Factors associated with inferior survival were age (≥72 years), AH-t category, Ki-67 ≥50% and poor performance status. AH-t had a significantly higher degree of aneuploidy compared with AH-DN. Transformed MCL patients exhibited KMT2B mutations. AH-MCL patients with Ki-67 ≥50% had exclusive mutations in CCND1, NOTCH1, TP53, SPEN, SMARCA4, RANBP2, KMT2C, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, and NSD2 compared with low Ki-67 (<50%). AH-t patients have poor outcomes and distinct genomic profile. This is the first study to report that AH-MCL patients with high Ki-67 (≥50%) exhibit a distinct mutation profile and very poor survival.

Introduction

Although treatment options for patients with mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) have significantly improved, relapses are frequent.¹ Detailed histopathologic evaluation of tissue biopsy specimens is pivotal for the diagnosis of MCL. Aggressive histology MCL² (AH-MCL) is generally dichotomized according to the World Health Organization classification into blastoid (medium sized, fine chromatin, round nuclei, and resemble lymphoblasts) or pleomorphic (large size, irregular nuclei, anaplastic cells resembling diffuse large B-cell lymphoma) morphologic variants.³ Intermediate forms⁴ of MCL have also been described. Patients with AH-MCL can present at the time of initial diagnosis of MCL (ie, de novo [AH-DN]) or at the
time of transformation from classical morphology (ie, AH-t). Transformation in MCL is clonally related to the original MCL clone.5 8

Previous studies have shown that patients with AH-MCL exhibit inferior survival6-9 and inferior response to intensive chemoimmunotherapy10-12 (despite the addition of cytosine arabinoside or autologous stem cell transplantation [SCT] as consolidation)13,14 or ibrutinib-based regimens15,16 compared with classic MCL. Frequency of central nervous system (CNS) relapses is higher in patients with AH-MCL.17,18 Few studies have reported that patients with AH-MCL exhibit the following features: loss or decreased expression of CD519; cyclin D1 alternative splicing lacking the 3' untranslated region20,21 (truncated cyclin D1); TP53,22-24 NSD2, NOTCH1,25 NOTCH2,26 and CDKN2A27 mutations; upregulated miR-15b28; additional chromosomal aberrations29 (deletions of 1p, 13q and 17p, 3q gains and alterations in 10p)30; complex karyotype31; high Ki-6732; c-MYC amplification33; or overexpression of MYC by immunohistochemistry.34 Recently, one study35 reported that the biochemical composition of AH-MCL is significantly different from that of classic MCL, with a higher degree of absorbance intensity of protein moiety in spectra using the Synchrotron Fourier transformed infrared micro-spectroscopy technique and principal component analysis of tissues. Another study36 performed to identify specific characteristics of AH-MCL that are associated with drug resistance and blastoid MCL.

Because an integrated analysis of genomic, clinical characteristics, outcomes, and treatments of AH-MCL has not been reported, we envisaged the current study to evaluate the prognostic factors, survival outcomes, and genomic characteristics from a large cohort of patients with AH-DN and AH-t MCL.

Patients and methods
This study included 183 patients with a confirmed diagnosis via biopsy results with AH-MCL (blastoid or pleomorphic) and treated at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center between the years 1992 and 2018. A retrospective study protocol (allowing molecular studies and chart review) was approved by the Institutional Review Board in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and a waiver of informed consent was obtained. Histopathology pattern (blastoid or pleomorphic or classic) was independently reviewed and confirmed by hematopathology collaborators. Only patients with available information regarding treatments, clinical characteristics, and response were included in the final survival analysis.

The primary objective of the study was to analyze the overall survival (OS), which was assessed from the date of diagnosis of AH-MCL until death or the date of last follow-up. For patients with AH-t, OS was calculated from the date of transformation to the date of death or the date of last follow-up. Failure-free survival (FFS) was assessed from the time of the initiation of first-line treatment of AH-MCL to the date of first disease recurrence, switch to second-line therapy, death, or last follow-up.

Statistical analysis
Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were performed to identify specific characteristics of AH-MCL that are predictive of survival outcome. Variables with $P \leq .25$ in the univariate analysis were entered into a multivariate model. The median survival and survival probabilities were analyzed by using the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences were calculated with the log-rank test. Classification and regression tree analyses were used to identify the optimal cutoff points for specific parameters associated with survival; we subsequently identified prognostic factors that could independently predict survival in patients with AH-MCL. $P < .05$ was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed by using STATA/SE statistical software version 14.1 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX).

Whole-exome sequencing and somatic mutation analysis
Whole-exome sequencing (WES) was performed on a total of 81 evaluable samples at the time of their histologic diagnosis (AH-MCL, $n = 39$; nonaggressive MCL, $n = 42$) and among these, germline matched controls were available for 32 patients. Details regarding the WES method and data analysis are given in the supplemental File and our previous study.37 Briefly, DNA was extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues. Indexed libraries were prepared from sheared DNA using the Agilent SureSelect Reagent Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Exome capture was then performed by using the Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon V3.0 kit. Library concentrations were normalized, and the libraries were multiplexed as 6 libraries per pool. Sequencing was performed on the HiSeq4000 Sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA), one capture (6 samples) per lane using the 76 bp paired-end configuration. Sequenced reads were aligned to the human genome reference hg19 by using Burrows-Wheeler Alignment. MuTect (v1.1.4)38 was applied to identify somatic point mutations, and Pindel (v0.2.4)39 was applied to identify small insertion and deletions. Platypus (v0.8.1) was used to call germline single-nucleotide polymorphisms. DNA copy number analysis was conducted by using ExomeLyzer followed by circular binary segmentation.

Results
Patient and disease characteristics
Patient and disease characteristics of 183 patients with AH-MCL at the time of initial diagnosis (AH-DN; $n = 108$) and at the time of diagnosis of transformation (AH-t; $n = 75$) were analyzed (Table 1). AH-MCL was either of blastoid ($n = 152$) or pleomorphic ($n = 31$) morphology (supplemental Figure 1). The median age of patients was 65 years (31-95 years), and 75% were male. Stage 4 disease was recorded in 72% of patients, and gastrointestinal involvement was noted in 17% of patients. The simplified Mantle Cell Lymphoma International Prognostic Index score was high risk in all patients. The median Ki-67 was 70% (range, 10%-100%), 60% had $k$ light chains, and 45% had lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels over the upper limit of normal. Thirty-eight patients had karyotype information available, and 74% had complex karyotype. SOX-11 expression was available in 37 patients, and 81% were positive. Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) testing for TP53 was positive in 11 patients, and MYC translocation was detected in 8 patients (FISH information not available in 81% of patients). Patients with AH-DN were previously untreated at diagnosis of AH-MCL, whereas AH-t patients had received prior therapies for classic variant MCL before transforming to AH-MCL (median, 2; range, 1-8).

Patients with AH-DN exhibited significant differences compared with those with AH-t, including lower median age (63 vs 67 years) in AH-t
and lower frequency of patients with a poor performance status, frequent leukemic phase, and bone marrow involvement (P = .001 in each group). Ki-67%, blastoid/pleomorphic histology, and other characteristics were not significantly different.

In subset analysis, patient characteristics between the blastoid and pleomorphic categories (Table 2) were similar. However, the frequency of AH-t category patients was higher with the pleomorphic variant than with the blastoid variant (52% vs 39%).

**Survival and prognostic factors associated with survival**

The median follow-up after the diagnosis of AH-MCL was 19.6 months (range, 1-168 months). Median survival after the diagnosis of AH-MCL was 33 months (95% CI, 20-48) (Figure 1A). At the time of last follow-up, 63% patients had died (70% due to progressive disease). Patients with the AH-t category had significantly inferior survival compared with those with AH-DN;
median survival was 14 vs 48 months, respectively ($P < .001$) (Figure 2A). In univariate analysis, patients with advanced age (Figure 1B), poor performance status (Figure 1C), presence of B symptoms, CNS involvement, higher Ki-67%, lower hemoglobin levels, higher LDH levels, higher $\beta_2$-microglobulin levels, and lower platelet count ($<63,000 \times 10^9/\mu L$) (Figure 1D) had a significantly increased risk of death (Table 3). The statistically validated optimal cutoff values for various prognostic variables were incorporated into the logistic regression model. We identified that age $\geq$ 72 years, LDH level $\geq$ 1519 IU/L, hemoglobin level $<14$ gm/dL, $\beta_2$-microglobulin level $<4$ mg/dL, platelet count $<63,000 \times 10^9/\mu L$, and failure to achieve complete remission (CR) after first-line treatment (Figure 1E) were significantly associated with an increased risk of death.

We also compared survival between the blastoid and the pleomorphic variants. There was no significant difference when blastoid MCL was compared with pleomorphic MCL (median, 34 vs 22 months, respectively; $P = .640$) (Figure 1F), and the difference was not significant when patients with blastoid morphology were compared with pleomorphic within the AH-DN and AH-t categories (supplemental Figure 2A-B).

Furthermore, patients with Ki-67 $\geq$50% ($n = 128$) (Figure 3A) exhibited a significantly shorter survival (median, 20 vs 118 months, respectively; $P < .001$) compared with those with low Ki-67 ($n = 33$; Ki-67 $<50$%). Using multivariate analysis, we then showed that patients with Ki-67 $\geq$50% (hazard ratio [HR], 2.49; 95% CI, 1.32-4.69; $P = .005$), AH-t category (HR, 3.62; 95% CI, 2.03-6.46; Table 2).
Figure 1.
Figure 2. Comparison of OS and genomic profile (oncoprint, copy number analysis, and mutation signature) of AH-MCL subgroups: AH-DN vs AH-t. (A) Median survival was significantly longer in AH-DN than in AH-t (48 vs 14 months; \( P < .001 \)). (B) Oncoprint showing pattern of somatic mutations in AH-DN (n = 31) and AH-t (n = 11) categories. Differences in the 2 groups were not statistically significant. CCND1, NOTCH1, NOTCH2, TP53, NSD2, SMARCA4, and RANBP2 mutations were predominant in the AH-DN group, whereas KMT2D, KMT2B, and CACNA1A were predominant in the AH-t group. (C) Copy number gain (blue) and losses (red) are shown between AH-DN and AH-t categories. More frequent copy number losses were noted at chromosome 17p in the AH-DN category. (D) Mutation signature and total mutation burden is shown between AH-DN and AH-t groups. Mutation signature 6 was predominant in the AH-t group, whereas mutational burden was higher in the AH-DN group compared with the AH-t group (\( P = \) not significant).

\[ P < .001 \], poor performance status (HR, 15.7; 95% CI, 4.16-59.51; \( P < .001 \)), and age \( \geq 72 \) years (HR, 1.97; 95% CI, 1.18-3.27; \( P = .009 \)) were independently associated with an increased risk of death (Table 3); platelet count \( \geq 63,000 \times 10^3 \) /\( \muL \) (HR, 0.39; 95% CI, 0.19-0.79; \( P = .009 \)) had decreased risk of death.

**Patient treatments, response, and FFS**

Patients received various treatments as a first-line therapy for AH-MCL, described in Table 1. Twenty patients did not have treatment information available and were excluded from FFS analysis. Among the 25 patients who received SCT in the first-line treatment, 16 were autologous and 9 were allogeneic. Overall response rate was 78%, and CR was 56%. Response rates according to the treatment type (excluding SCT) were 86%, 75%, 69%, and 50% in rituximab with hyperfractionated cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and dexamethasone alternating with high-dose methotrexate and cytosine arabinoside (R-HCVAD)–based regimens, R-chemotherapy, ibrutinib or other Bruton’s tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors, R- lenalidomide–based regimens, and miscellaneous regimens, respectively. Survival rates according to the treatment type are shown in supplemental Figure 3A (\( P = .179 \)).

Figure 1. OS in patients with AH-MCL, including AH-DN and AH-t. (A) Median survival after diagnosis was 33 months. This included all patients with AH-MCL. (B) Median survival was significantly longer in patients aged <72 years (43 months) compared with those aged \( \geq 72 \) years (11 months) (\( P < .001 \)). The cutoff point of 72 years was based on classification and regression tree analysis. (C) Median survival was significantly inferior in patients with poor Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status compared with patients with good performance status at the time of diagnosis of AH-MCL (\( P < .001 \)). (D) Median survival was significantly shorter in patients with a platelet count \( < 63,000 \times 10^3 \) /\( \muL \) (9 months) vs \( \geq 63,000 \times 10^3 \) /\( \muL \) (44 months) (\( P < .001 \)). The cutoff point of \( 63,000 \times 10^3 \) /\( \muL \) was based on classification and regression tree analysis. (E) Median survival was significantly longer in patients who achieved CR after first-line treatment following the diagnosis of AH-MCL (72 months) compared with those patients who did not achieve CR (13 months) after first-line therapy (\( P < .001 \)). (F) Median survival was not statistically different in patients with blastoid vs pleomorphic variants; however, there was a clear trend of better survival in the blastoid category (median survival, 34 vs 22 months in blastoid vs pleomorphic, respectively; \( P = .640 \)).
| Variable                          | n   | Events | Univariate* HR (95% CI) | P    | Multivariate† HR (95% CI) | P    |
|----------------------------------|-----|--------|-------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
| **Age, y**                       | 183 | 115    | 1.02 (1.01-1.05)        | .02  | 1.00 (1.00-1.01)         | .40  |
| **WBC, x10^9/L**                 | 177 | 111    | 1.01 (1.00-1.01)        | <.001| 1.00 (1.00-1.01)         | .40  |
| **Hemoglobin, g/dL**             | 178 | 111    | 0.85 (0.78-0.92)        | <.001| 0.99 (0.98-1.00)         | <.001|
| **Platelet count, x10^9/L**      | 178 | 111    | 1.00 (0.99-1.00)        | <.001| 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         | <.001|
| **LDH, IU/L**                    | 178 | 110    | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        | .003 | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         | <.001|
| **β₂-microglobulin, mg/L**       | 112 | 70     | 1.13 (1.06-1.20)        | <.001| 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         | <.001|
| **Ki-67, %**                     | 161 | 101    | 1.02 (1.01-1.02)        | <.001| 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         | <.001|
| **AH-MCL category**              |     |        |                         |      |                          |      |
| AH-DN†                           | 108 | 61     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| AH-t†                            | 75  | 54     | 2.15 (1.49-3.13)        | 3.62 | 2.03 (1.64-6.46)         | <.001|
| **AH-MCL subcategory**           |     |        |                         |      |                          |      |
| Blastoid-DN‡                     | 93  | 53     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Pleomorphic-DN                   | 15  | 8      | 1.17 (0.56-2.47)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| AH-t†                            | 75  | 54     | 2.20 (1.49-3.24)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| **PS-ECOG**                      |     |        |                         | <.001|                          |      |
| 0†                               | 40  | 18     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| 1                                | 103 | 63     | 1.40 (0.83-2.37)        | 2.80 | 1.40 (1.05-5.60)         | .004 |
| 2                                | 27  | 21     | 3.34 (1.77-6.30)        | 5.83 | 2.46 (1.38-4.35)         | <.001|
| 3                                | 5   | 5      | 7.00 (2.54-19.31)       | 4.82 | 1.15 (0.29-4.02)         | .322 |
| 4                                | 6   | 6      | 40.6 (14.30-115.28)     | 15.7 | 4.16 (0.19-95.61)        | <.001|
| **B symptoms**                   |     |        |                         | .007 |                          |      |
| No†                              | 147 | 90     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 35  | 24     | 1.69 (1.18-2.39)        | 1.11 | 0.55 (2.23)              | .77  |
| **CNS involvement**              |     |        |                         | .02  |                          |      |
| No†                              | 175 | 109    | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 8   | 6      | 2.59 (1.12-5.88)        | 2.36 | 0.64 (0.75)              | .19  |
| **LDH (above the ULN), IU/L**    |     |        |                         | <.001|                          |      |
| No                               | 96  | 50     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 79  | 60     | 2.35 (1.59-3.48)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| **Age ≥72 y**                    |     |        |                         | <.001|                          |      |
| No†                              | 141 | 85     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 42  | 30     | 2.00 (1.31-3.06)        | 1.97 | 1.18 (3.27)              | .009 |
| **Ki-67 ≥50%**                   |     |        |                         | <.001|                          |      |
| No†                              | 33  | 14     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 128 | 87     | 3.77 (2.09-6.81)        | 2.49 | 1.32 (4.69)              | .005 |
| **LDH ≥1519 IU/L**               |     |        |                         | <.001|                          |      |
| No†                              | 159 | 93     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 17  | 17     | 2.50 (1.03-6.77)        | 1.07 | 0.59 (3.93)              | .39  |
| **β₂-microglobulin ≥4 mg/L**     |     |        |                         | .006 |                          |      |
| No                               | 73  | 41     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 39  | 29     | 1.96 (1.20-3.30)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| **Hemoglobin ≥14 g/dL**          |     |        |                         | .004 |                          |      |
| No†                              | 149 | 98     | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)        |      | 1.00 (1.00-1.00)         |      |
| Yes                              | 29  | 13     | 0.44 (0.24-0.78)        | 0.89 | 0.44 (1.82)              | .75  |

Bold values are statistically significant.

*Factors not significant in univariate analysis are not shown: absolute monocyte count, absolute lymphocyte count, sex, histology type blastoid or pleomorphic, leukemic phase, bone marrow involvement, SOX-11, light chain type, and type of first-line treatment given.

†Variables with >25% of missing values were excluded from this analysis.

‡Reference in multivariate analysis.
When patients with AH-DN and AH-t were compared, there was a significant difference in the distribution of treatment types (P < .001) (Table 1). A higher proportion of patients with AH-DN received intensive chemoimmunotherapy or R-chemotherapy with SCT compared with patients with AH-t who received ibrutinib/BTK inhibitors. The CR rate was significantly higher in AH-DN (74% vs 50%) than in AH-t (P < .001).

We then analyzed the FFS with respect to various prognostic factors and response and type of first-line treatments in AH-MCL patients, summarized in supplemental Figures 3B and 4 and supplemental Table 1. Median FFS was 13 months, and patients with AH-DN had significantly longer FFS compared with those in the AH-t category (supplemental Figure 4B). Patients with Ki-67 ≥50% had significantly shorter FFS (P < .004) (Figure 3B).

When patients with blastoid variants were compared vs those with pleomorphic variants, there was no significant difference in terms of distribution of treatments and rate of CR (57% vs 50%, respectively), but FFS was significantly longer in the blastoid category compared with the pleomorphic category (supplemental Figure 4F). Within the AH-DN category, the blastoid variant had longer FFS compared with the pleomorphic variant but not among the AH-t category (supplemental Figure 2C-D).

In univariate analysis, factors associated with increased risk of failing first-line treatments were low hemoglobin, higher Ki-67, AH-t category, LDH level ≥1519 IU/L, CNS involvement, pleomorphic variant, age ≥72 years, platelet count < 63 000 × 10^9/μL, and lack of CR after first-line treatment (supplemental Figure 4C-E). In multivariate analysis, patients with Ki-67 ≥50%, AH-t category, and CNS involvement were associated with shorter FFS (supplemental Table 1).

**Genomic features of AH-MCL and its subcategories**

To demonstrate the genomic features of AH-MCL, we performed WES in evaluable samples (n = 42 [AH-DN, n = 31; AH-t, n = 11]) and compared these with a separate set of 39 patients with classic variant MCL which never transformed to AH-MCL (supplemental Table 2). Exclusively mutated genes in AH-MCL compared with the classic variant were NOTCH2, NOTCH3, and UBR5, whereas the frequency of other gene mutations was not significantly different (Figure 3C; supplemental Figure 5A).

CCND1 mutations were enriched in AH-MCL (10 times more frequent in AH-MCL; P = .07). Copy number analysis revealed a decreasing degree of aneuploidy in AH-t followed by AH-DN and classic variant (P = .002) (Figure 3D). Mutation signature 6, associated with defective DNA mismatch repair, was preferentially observed in AH-MCL (21.4%) compared with classic variant (12%) (supplemental Figure 5B).

On comparing AH-DN vs AH-t (Figure 2B-D), there was no statistically significant difference in the somatic mutation profile of AH-DN vs AH-t; however, CCND1, NOTCH1, TP53, NOTCH2, SMARCA4, RANBP2, UBR5, and NSD2 mutations were predominately observed in the AH-DN group and KMT2D, KMT2B, and CACN1A in the AH-t group. More frequent copy number losses were noted at chromosome 17p in the AH-DN category, and mutation signature 6 (associated with defective DNA mismatch repair) was predominant in the AH-t category, indicating higher degree of genomic instability.

Because Ki-67 is an important prognostic marker in MCL, we wanted to evaluate whether the genomic profile of AH-MCL differed based on this index. Patients with high Ki-67 (≥50%) showed high tumor mutation burden and exhibited exclusive mutations in CCND1, NOTCH1, TP53, SPEN, SMARCA4, RANBP2, KMT2C, NOTCH2, NOTCH3, and NSD2 compared with low Ki-67 (< 50%) (Figure 3E; supplemental Figure 5C). Because CCND1 and NSD2 mutations were enriched in high Ki-67 AH-MCL, we present the CCND1 protein and locations of point mutations in the CCND1 and NSD2 genes in Figure 3F. Interestingly, 8 of 9 CCND1 mutations were located in the N-terminal cyclin domain, and the NSD2 mutations were clustered at the c-terminal domain.

We also analyzed 1 patient with a paired sample (classic to AH-MCL) in supplemental Figure 5D. CDH19 and CHD1L truncating mutations emerged at transformation, whereas KMT2D and TRAF2 mutations were predominant at the transformation phase. Of note, we could not compare the genomic features of the blastoid vs pleomorphic variants due to lack of evaluable samples in the 2 groups.

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this analysis is the first and largest integrated study focusing on the clinical and genomic characteristics...
Figure 3. Comparative analysis of survival and mutation spectrum according to Ki-67 (low [<50%] vs high [≥50%]) in AH-MCL and genomic profile in patients with AH-MCL (AH-DN and AH-t) compared with nonaggressive MCL (classic variant). (A) Median survival was significantly longer in patients with low Ki-67 (118 months) vs high Ki-67 in AH-t (20 months) \( (P < .001) \). The cutoff point of 50% was based on classification and regression tree analysis. (B) Median FFS was significantly longer in patients with low Ki-67 (27 months) vs high Ki-67 (10 months; \( P < .001 \)). The cutoff point of 50% was based on classification and regression tree analysis. (C) Pattern of somatic mutation distribution in AH-MCL \( (n = 42) \) vs nonaggressive MCL \( (n = 39) \). All alterations were identified by using WES. Mutation frequencies are shown as nonaggressive (light blue) and aggressive (dark blue). Genes with nonsynonymous mutations or copy number alterations in \( ≥2 \) patients are listed. CCND1 gene was mutated more frequently in the aggressive group. Mutations of UBR5, NOTCH2, and NOTCH3 were exclusively observed in the aggressive group. (D) Violin plots depicting remarkable variation in the degree of aneuploidy in AH-t vs AH-DN vs nonaggressive MCL groups. Significantly higher degree of aneuploidy was observed in AH-t and AH-DN MCL, compared with the nonaggressive category \( (P < .0021) \). Kruskal-Wallis test. Boxes in the box plot indicate interquartile range and the center line the median. (E) Pattern of somatic mutations in high \( (n = 30) \) and low \( (n = 10) \) Ki-67 categories. Differences in the 2 groups were statistically significant with a distinct somatic mutation profile in
of blastoid/pleomorphic or AH-MCL. In general, patients with AH-MCL have inferior outcomes compared with those having the classic variant of MCL. Previous studies with a limited number of patients have identified some prognostic features associated with poor outcome (complex karyotype,\textsuperscript{31} TP53 mutations\textsuperscript{23}) in AH-MCL. Patients with classic MCL who transform to AH-MCL after ibrutinib treatment exhibit very poor outcomes.\textsuperscript{41} In addition, patients with AH-MCL or those with high Ki-67 continue to relapse after ibrutinib/rituximab therapy.\textsuperscript{16}

We have shown that the degree of aneuploidy and mutation burden were significantly higher in AH-MCL compared with classic MCL. Patients with AH-MCL frequently exhibited \textit{CCND1},\textsuperscript{21} \textit{NOTCH1}, and \textit{SMARCA4} gene mutations, which are associated with lack of response to ibrutinib/venetoclax.\textsuperscript{42} It is interesting to note that \textit{CCND1} cytoplasmic localization is associated with increased adhesion and invasiveness of MCL cells,\textsuperscript{43} and truncated cyclin D1 was associated with AH-MCL.\textsuperscript{21} We showed that \textit{CCND1} gene mutations were enriched in AH-MCL. Furthermore, the presence of \textit{NOTCH2}, \textit{UBR5}, and \textit{NOTCH3} was exclusive to AH-MCL.

We also found a preponderance of \textit{CCND1}, \textit{NOTCH2}, and \textit{NSD2} mutations in AH-DN, whereas \textit{KMT2B} mutations were predominant in AH-t MCL, suggesting that the epigenetic perturbations are predominant pathogenic mechanisms in transformed MCL. Patients with AH-t exhibit the highest degree of aneuploidy compared with AH-DN and the classic variant, and this difference could be related to previous treatments the patients received before transformation. Furthermore, it is highly interesting that mutation signature 6 associated with DNA mismatch repair deficiency was predominantly observed in AH-MCL and particularly in AH-t; this finding suggests that microsatellite instability status and checkpoint inhibition can be explored further in transformed MCL for potential therapeutic relevance.\textsuperscript{44}

In addition, our data indicate an “ultra-high-risk” subset of patients with AH-MCL, Ki-67 proliferation index \( \geq 50\% \) with poor survival carrying a distinct mutation profile (\textit{CCND1}, \textit{NOTCH1}, \textit{TP53}, \textit{SPEN}, \textit{SMARCA4}, \textit{RANBP2}, \textit{KMT2C}, \textit{NOTCH2}, and \textit{NSD2} mutations), compared with patients with low Ki-67 (<50%). We chose the cutoff of 50% Ki-67 after statistical validation with classification and regression tree analysis; however, almost 80% of patients with AH-MCL exhibited Ki-67 of 50%, and only 24% had mutation analysis data available. Moreover, we hypothesize that only those patients with Ki-67 \( \geq 50\% \) and more than one pathogenic mutation belong to ultra-high-risk MCL, and therefore these findings should be validated in prospective studies with a larger sample size. Unfortunately, none of these mutations was potentially targetable with the currently available agents, and we could not change treatment management in any of the patients with these data; however, our data provide further directions to study epigenetic perturbations and cell cycle dysregulatory pathways in AH-MCL. We are conducting dedicated studies for detailed dissection of epigenetic pathways in AH-MCL. Furthermore, we have systematically explored survival and prognostic factors in AH-MCL. Patients with AH-DN exhibited superior survival compared with those in the AH-t category. In addition, FFS and response rates after first-line treatments were inferior in AH-t. Some possible explanations for the superior survival of patients with AH-DN compared with AH-t MCL are because patients with AH-t MCL were previously treated with various lines of systemic therapies and therefore are expected to have poorer outcome; furthermore, AH-DN patients exhibited a preponderance of leukemic phase, higher frequency of patients undergoing SCT, higher CR rates after first-line therapy, and were previously untreated. Although we do not have \textit{IGHV} somatic hypermutation status results on patients in this study, it is generally believed that patients with leukemic phase MCL\textsuperscript{45} have mutated \textit{IGHV}\textsuperscript{46} and exhibit an indolent disease course. Previous studies have shown that cytarabine-based regimens and consolidation with an autologous SCT in AH-MCL can improve outcomes.\textsuperscript{13} Similar to OS, FFS was significantly longer in the AH-DN group, and this finding could be related to the higher CR rates attained after first-line treatment and frequent use of SCT in AH-DN group.

Among the first-line treatments received by these patients, it seems that the R-HCVAD-based regimen has a superior overall response rate of 86% compared with other treatments. The overall response rate of 86% is similar to results observed in a previous study in which cytarabine was added, alternating with rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone before autologous SCT.\textsuperscript{5} Patients with AH-MCL have inferior responses to ibrutinib-based treatments and frequently progress. Nevertheless, our data show that intensive chemoimmunotherapy followed by SCT as consolidation provides superior survival compared with other treatments; in the absence of SCT, however, the median FFS was similar in the ibrutinib-based regimen compared with R-HCVAD alone. One of the inherent limitations of analyzing response data from this study is that the treatments were heterogeneous, conducted at various time points, and response assessment was not uniform. For the ultra-high-risk category of MCL patients, early SCT or early administration of anti-CD19 chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy might prove beneficial, but this hypothesis requires further validation from ongoing clinical trials. Future clinical trials will address these questions; until then, intensive chemoimmunotherapy followed by autologous SCT consolidation remains an optimal choice to treat these patients. Finally, this study provides the first direct comparison of clinical characteristics of blastoid vs pleomorphic MCL from a large number of patients. Although the number of patients with pleomorphic histology \((n = 51)\) is much less than those in the blastoid category \((n = 152)\), we did not detect any significant differences in their clinical features. In one previous study\textsuperscript{5} with 62 patients with blastoid cytology (43 were pleomorphic and 19 were blastoid), study clarification among AH-t vs AH-DN was not described. It is generally hypothesized that patients with the blastoid variant have a poorer prognosis than those with the pleomorphic variant; however, we identified that patients with pleomorphic MCL have
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\caption{(continued) patients with high Ki-67. The bar graphs on either side show the accumulated counts of somatic alterations for each specific gene in their group. Almost all of the somatic mutations were exclusive in patients in the high Ki-67 group. \textit{CCND1} mutations were significantly higher in the high Ki-67 group. (F) The schematic diagram shows the \textit{CCND1} and \textit{NSD2} protein domains and the positions of specific mutations. The length of the line that connects the mutation annotation to the protein is directly proportional to the number of samples with the mutation. The most recurrent mutations are shown in the diagram.}
\end{figure}
a significantly inferior FFS and a trend for worse OS compared with those with blastoid MCL. CNS involvement was more frequent (10% in pleomorphic vs 3% in blastoid) and proportion of transformed patients was higher (52%) in the pleomorphic group. This suggests that patients with pleomorphic variant MCL have a much poorer prognosis than those with blastoid MCL. Limited number and poor quality of samples precluded us from performing genomic profiling in pleomorphic MCL; hence, a dedicated comparison of genomic features of pleomorphic vs blastoid was not possible.

Another limitation of this study is the lack of FISH cytogenetics, immunoglobulin somatic hypermutation data, SOX-11 expression data, and an inadequate number of evaluable biopsy specimens for detailed genomic characterization in subsets of AH-MCL. Our molecular data are therefore largely hypothesis generating and need to be validated in larger patient cohorts. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, this is the largest integrated study on this challenging aspect of MCL.

In conclusion, our analysis indicates that although outcomes are poor in blastoid/pleomorphic MCL, significant clinical and genomic heterogeneity exists within these patients. Our finding of CCND1 mutations in transformed MCL and ultra-high-risk MCL along with other epigenetic mutational perturbations requires further validation from larger patient cohorts. Blastoid/pleomorphic MCL patients remain a therapeutic challenge and therefore require dedicated prospective studies focusing on their disease biology and clinical trials with newer therapeutic modalities.
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