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Fully microscopic RPA/LDA calculations of the dipole plasmon for very large neutral and charged sodium clusters, Na$_N^Z$, in the size range 950 $\lesssim N \lesssim$ 12050 are presented for the first time. 60 different sizes are considered altogether, which allows for an in-depth investigation of the asymptotic behavior of both the width and the position of the plasmon.
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The surface dipole plasmon in large metal clusters is currently attracting significant experimental and theoretical attention, e.g., a time-resolved analysis of second harmonic generation via femtosecond pump-probe studies was recently successful in establishing an upper limit of about 25 nm for the validity of the 1/$R$-law (where $R$ is the cluster radius) associated with the width of the dipole plasmon in large Na$_N$ clusters. On the theoretical side, this Landau-damping-type width, $\Gamma = A h v_F / R$, in large metal clusters has been derived repeatedly with various analytical approaches, which necessarily utilize simplified approximations for the dipole matrix elements of the residual Coulomb force, but a microscopic justification of it is still lacking. In general, detailed microscopic studies (the most systematic among them using the matrix random phase approximation/local density approximation (matrix-RPA/LDA) version of the linear response theory) have been restricted to rather small clusters in the size range $8 \leq N \leq 338$.

In the absence of systematic matrix-RPA/LDA calculations above $N = 338$, various other theoretical approaches have been most recently introduced with the expressed purpose of providing a framework for microscopic studies of the dipole plasmon in large metal clusters; they include the in-real-time version of the time-dependant local density approximation, the modified-with-separable-residual-forces RPA and the separation-of-collective-coordinates approach. Due to the computational effort involved, however, these methods were in their turn restricted to a few (about 10) smaller sizes in the range $8 \leq N \lesssim 400$ (or even in the range $8 \lesssim N \lesssim 950$), and as a result they were unable to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the position and the profile of the plasmon. In addition, through an identification of the width with the variance of the RPA response, the authors of the recent Rapid Communication came via a semianalytical analysis to the conclusion that the plasmon width should exhibit an $1/\sqrt{R}$ asymptotic dependence, in sharp contrast with the 1/$R$ law.

In this paper, with the help of the new generation of powerful computers available today, I present systematic matrix-RPA/LDA investigations of the dipole plasma excitation for neutral and charged sodium clusters in the size range 950 $\lesssim N \lesssim$ 12050. In each case 30 different sizes were calculated, which allowed for the determination of the asymptotic size dependence of both the position and the profile of the plasma resonance.

The theoretical aspects of the matrix-RPA/LDA approach have been presented in Ref. [10]. Here I only discuss briefly how the matrix-RPA equations (see Eq. (5) of Ref. [10]) can be reduced to an equivalent problem with half the dimensions of the original matrix (such a reduction of dimensions is instrumental for the successful implementation of the matrix-RPA/LDA algorithm in the case of the very large metal clusters considered here). For such a reduction, it is sufficient to add and subtract the top and bottom rows of the original matrix equation. One then finds the equivalent equation

$$D(2A^\lambda - D)Z^\nu = E^\nu Z^\nu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the matrix $A^\lambda$ is given by Eq. (14) of Ref. [10]. In general is the multipolarity of the excitation ($\lambda = 1$ for the case of the dipole), $E^\nu$ are the RPA eigenvalues, and $D$ is a diagonal matrix depending exclusively on the unperturbed single particle-hole energies, i.e., $D_{\nu\nu'} = (\epsilon_{p}\pm\epsilon_{h})\delta_{\nu\nu'}\delta_{hh'}$. The usual forward- and backward-going RPA amplitudes $W^\nu_+ \equiv X^\nu_+$ and $W^\nu_- \equiv Y^\nu_-$, which are needed in order to calculate the oscillator strengths $f^\nu_+$ (see section II.C of Ref. [10]), are given through the eigenvectors $Z^\nu$ as follows:

$$W^\nu_+ = C \left( \frac{E^\nu}{D} \pm \mathbb{I} \right) Z^\nu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the proportionality constant $C$ is determined via the RPA-eigenvector normalization condition.

For each cluster Na$_N^Z$, the microscopic RPA calculation yields a discrete set of oscillator strengths $f^\nu_+$ (normalized to unity) associated with the RPA eigenvalues $E^\nu_+$ (see inset of Fig. 1). By folding the oscillator strengths with Breit-Wigner shapes normalized to unity, one can calculate a smooth photoabsorption cross section, $\sigma$, per valence electron as follows:

$$\sigma(E) = 1.0975 \ (eV \ A^2) \sum_\nu f^\nu_+ P_{BW}(E; E^\nu_+, \gamma^\nu_+),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\gamma^\nu_+$ denotes the intrinsic widths of the auxiliary Breit-Wigner profiles, $P_{BW}$. 
Fig. 1 displays the calculated $\sigma$'s for Na$_{952}$ and Na$_{12068}$, namely for the smallest and the largest size considered in the present paper (intrinsic widths of $\gamma_{\nu} = \gamma = 0.16$ and 0.076 eV were used for Na$_{952}$ and Na$_{12068}$, respectively). It is apparent that the FWHM (full width at half maximum) is smaller for Na$_{12068}$ ($\sim 0.147$ eV) compared to the case of Na$_{952}$ ($\sim 0.319$ eV) and that the maximum of the photoabsorption profiles for $N = 12068$ is blueshifted with respect to the case of $N = 952$.

In addition to the photoabsorption profiles, Fig. 1 also displays the variance $\Sigma$ (horizontal solid bar) associated with the RPA dipole response in the case of Na$_{12068}$ (in the RPA the variance is calculated via the relation $\Sigma^2 = (m_2/m_0) - (m_1/m_0)^2$, where the moments $m_k$ are given by $m_k = \sum_{\nu} \varepsilon_{\nu}^{k-1} f_{\nu}$). Even with the overestimation of the FWHM due to the folding procedure (see below), it is seen that the variance is substantially larger than the corresponding FWHM in the case of Na$_{12068}$: thus the variance should not be used as a substitute for the actual width of the dipole plasmon, as was done in Ref. 11. Furthermore, to correct for the overestimation due to the folding, one needs to subtract the intrinsic width $\gamma_{\nu}$ from the original FWHM (see Fig. 10 of Ref. 11 and accompanying discussion). With this correction, the plasmon widths are $\sim 0.16$ eV and $\sim 0.07$ eV for Na$_{952}$ and Na$_{12068}$, respectively; these values are even smaller than the uncorrected FWHM’s compared to the corresponding variances.

The method of folding described above has been used in most of the earlier publications in order to extract the FWHM of the photoabsorption profiles. This method, however, becomes cumbersome in the present study where the size changes substantially going from the smallest to the largest cluster. Indeed, smaller $\gamma_{\nu}$’s must be used the larger the cluster size, and such a procedure is difficult to be determined uniquely. Instead of the folding method, a more uniform procedure is needed. Using the fact that for large cluster sizes it can be analytically shown that the RPA response itself tends asymptotically to a well concentrated distribution of oscillator strengths $f_{\nu}$ exhibiting a Breit-Wigner profile, i.e.,

$$f_{\nu} \propto \frac{1}{\Gamma}/[(\varepsilon_{\nu} - \varepsilon)^2 + (\Gamma/2)^2], \quad (\Gamma)$$

this paper has opted for a method based directly on the distribution of the $f_{\nu}$'s; namely, the Landau-damping-type width $\Gamma$ is determined by the minimum interval around the RPA energy centroid $\varepsilon$ which contains 50% of the total oscillator strength, i.e., $\Gamma$ is such that $\sum_{\nu} f_{\nu} = 0.5$ with $\mathcal{E}_1 = (\varepsilon - \Gamma/2, \varepsilon + \Gamma/2)$. The value of 50% used here is precisely the percent of the total oscillator strength contained within the energy interval associated with the FWHM of the single Breit-Wigner profile [see Eq. (4)], and the RPA centroid is given by $\bar{E} = m_1/m_0$.

Fig. 2(a) displays the calculated (according to the latter method) RPA widths ($\Gamma$, open circles) and variances ($\Sigma$, open squares) for Na$_{N\nu}$ clusters in the size range $952 \leq N \leq 12068$ as a function of the inverse radius $(1/R)$ of the jellium background $(R = r_s N^{1/3})$, and the Wigner-Seitz radius for sodium was taken as $r_s = 4$ a.u. Fig. 2(b) displays the same quantities as a function of $1/\sqrt{R}$. Before proceeding further, it needs to be noticed that the corrected FWHM’s for Na$_{952}$ and Na$_{12068}$ (namely the values 0.16 and 0.07 eV) according to the folding method are in good agreement with the widths $\Gamma$ derived from the 50% method.

A first observation is that the variances are systematically larger than the widths (see also Fig. 1). Second, it can be seen that the widths are not proportional to $1/\sqrt{R}$, since the associated straight line does not pass through the origin of the axes [Fig. 2(b)]. On the contrary, in the case of Fig. 2(a), the straight dashed line that passes on the average through the points denoting the widths definitely passes through the origin of axes. This provides an unequivocal proof that $\Gamma \propto 1/R$. Notice that for the smaller sizes the precise values of the widths exhibit noticeable scattering around the average line specified by the $1/R$ law; however, such scattering becomes progressively smaller the larger the cluster sizes.

The solid line in Fig. 2(a) denotes the $\Gamma = \hbar \omega_p/R$ law according to the analytical result of Ref. 11, namely

$$\Gamma = \frac{A}{\hbar} \left( \frac{a_{\text{num}}}{A_{\text{ana}}} \right)$$

for the numerical RPA calculation, one finds $A_{\text{num}} = 0.58$, which is close to $A_{\text{ana}}$. The difference between the two slopes is due to the well approximation (and ensuing neglect of electronic spillout) which was invoked during the analytical derivation. To further test the $1/R$ dependence, I have also plotted in Fig. 2(a) the uncorrected FWHM’s of the photoabsorption profiles for Na$_{952}$ and Na$_{12068}$ (solid dots). Again, and in sharp contrast with the variances, even these uncorrected FWHM’s follow clearly an $1/R$ dependence.

Finally, it has been derived through semiclassical arguments that the size dependence of the variance should be proportional to $1/\sqrt{R}$. Fig. 2(b) does not indicate such a relation; much larger sizes are needed before the microscopic results for $\Sigma$ do converge to the expected $1/\sqrt{R}$ relation.

Fig. 3 portrays the size evolution of the quantities associated with the position of the RPA surface plasmon, namely, it displays the quantities $\mathcal{E}_1 = (m_3/m_{-1})^{1/2}$, $\mathcal{E}_3 = (m_3/m_1)^{1/2}$, and the centroid $\bar{E}$ as a function of the inverse cluster radius. Fig. 3(a) corresponds to the case of neutral Na$_N$ clusters, while Fig. 3(b) displays the case of multiply cationic Na$_{N+1}^+$ clusters. In all instances, the calculated RPA values honor the theoretically expected inequality $\mathcal{E}_1 \leq \bar{E} \leq \mathcal{E}_3$, but the centroid lies much closer to $\mathcal{E}_1$ than to $\mathcal{E}_3$. For the neutral clusters, it is seen that the calculated points for $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\bar{E}$ lie closely on two straight lines, which cross the energy axis ($R = \infty$) at about $3.403$ eV, in very good agreement with the expected classical Mie limit for sodium clusters, i.e., $\hbar \omega_{\text{Mie}} = \hbar \omega_{\text{bulk}}/\sqrt{3} = 3.4$ eV (for $r_s = 4$ a.u.). From the relation, $\bar{E} = 3.403$ eV $- \gamma/R$, one can determine $\gamma = 4.68$ (eV a.u.). The calculated points for $\mathcal{E}_3$ do not
fall on a straight line crossing the energy axis at about 3.4 eV, although such a behavior has been predicted from semiclassical sum-rules arguments. Apparently much larger sizes are needed before the size dependence of $E_3$ converges to this expected asymptotic behavior.

Comparing the RPA results in Fig. 3(b) with corresponding results in Fig. 3(a), one sees that the position of the dipole plasmon in the case of charged clusters is blueshifted with respect to that of the neutral clusters (all three quantities $E_1$, $E_2$, and $E_3$ exhibit this trend). The extent of this blueshift depends on the ratio $Z/N$, and naturally for the larger charged clusters the calculated positions tend to converge to the corresponding results for the neutral clusters; for $Z = 10$, this convergence is definitely recognizable for the largest sizes studied here.

Finally, Fig. 4 displays the size evolution of the RPA widths $\Gamma$ and variances $\Sigma$ of the charged Na$_{10+}$ clusters as a function of $1/R$. As was the case with the position, the charge state influences the values of $\Gamma$ and $\Sigma$; in particular a reduction in magnitude of these quantities can be seen compared to the case of neutral clusters. This reduction depends again on the ratio $Z/N$, and it is more pronounced the smaller the cluster size.

In conclusion, fully microscopic RPA/LDA calculations for neutral Na$_N$ and charged Na$_{N}^{10+}$ (950 $\lesssim N \lesssim$ 12050) clusters were presented. In each case, some 30 different sizes were considered, which allowed for an in-depth investigation of the asymptotic behavior of both the position and the width of the dipole plasmon. In particular, it was found that asymptotically the RPA width becomes proportional to the inverse cluster radius, and that for neutral clusters this trend is already well developed within the size range considered here.

This research is supported by the USDOE and was performed at the GIT Center for Computational Materials Science.

---

1. J.-H. Klein-Wiele et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 45 (1998).
2. D. Steimuller-Nethl et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 389 (1992).
3. P. Markowicz et al., Phys. Lett. A 236, 543 (1997).
4. K. Yabana and G.F. Bertsch, Phys. Rev. B 54, 4484 (1996).
5. H. Kurasawa et al., Phys. Rev. B Rapids 56, 10063 (1997).
6. V.O. Nesterenko et al., Phys. Rev. A 56, 607 (1997).
7. U. Kreibig and M. Vollmer, Optical Properties of Metal Clusters (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995); A. Kawabata and R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 21, 1765 (1966).
8. (a) C. Yannouleas and R.A. Broglia, Ann. of Phys. (NY) 217, 105 (1992); (b) C. Yannouleas, Nucl. Phys. A 439, 336 (1985).
9. C. Yannouleas et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 255 (1989); Phys. Rev. A 44, 5793 (1991).
10. C. Yannouleas et al., Phys. Rev. B 47, 9849 (1993).
11. C. Yannouleas, Chem. Phys. Lett. 193, 587 (1992).
12. C. Yannouleas et al., Phys. Rev. B 51, 4569 (1995).
13. Some matrix-RPA/LDA calculations for cluster sizes with $N > 338$ have also been reported, e.g., for the case of Na$_{952}$ and the case of Na$_{1032}$. F. Catara et al. [Z. Phys. D 33, 219 (1995)] have also reported matrix-RPA/LDA calculations for five Na$_N$ clusters in the size range 1300 $\lesssim N \lesssim$ 5500.
14. In the case of neutral (charged) clusters, Na$_{952}$ (Na$_{1032}$) was the smallest and Na$_{12068}$ (Na$_{12078}$) the largest cluster studied. In all instances, a spherical jellium background was assumed for simplicity. The energy-weighted sum rule was fulfilled with a better than 0.1% accuracy.
15. Due to the small number of points and the low size range, as well as to numerical inaccuracies, Catara et al. (see Ref. 13) were unable to study microscopically the asymptotic behavior of the plasmon width and position. In particular, the subject of the plasmon width was omitted altogether, while the study of the asymptotic position was based [see their Eq. (14)] on a sum-rules determination of the upper bound $E_3$ (see below) in conjunction with a Woods-Saxon-type estimate of the electronic spillout. Their numerical inaccuracies have been reflected in the fact that the plasmon peak positions become larger than the theoretical upper bounds $E_3$ ($E_1$ in their notation) above $N \sim 2654$ (see their Table 3).
16. In the derivation of Eq. (1), the relation $A^N - D = (-1)^N B^N$ (valid in the case of the Coulomb residual force) was used to eliminate the RPA submatrix $B^N$.
17. M. Brack, Phys. Rev. B 39, 3533 (1989).
18. Under the appearance of the Tomonaga theory, it seems that Ref. 2 has rediscovered the celebrated generalized Kohn theorem [L. Brey et al., Phys. Rev. B 40, 10647 (1989)], which states that, in the case of a perfect parabolic confinement, the center-of-mass motion of the electron gas separates completely from the intrinsic motions. Ref. 2 overlooked the fact that, although the absence of electronic spillout is a necessary condition whenever this theorem applies, it is by no means a sufficient one. For example, J. Dempsey et al. [Phys. Rev. B 47, 4662 (1993)] have shown that, in the case of an overfilled quantum well, Landau damping is present even though the electronic spillout is negligible due to the hard-wall potential utilized (see their Section III.B.1). Rather than the electronic spillout, the crucial factor for the appearance of Landau fragmentation is whether the electronic density feels the nonparabolic part of the confining potential. For the relevance of the generalized Kohn theorem to the case of highly cationic metal clusters, see Section II.B of Ref. 12.
19. See C. Yannouleas et al., Nucl. Phys. A 379, 256 (1982), in particular Sections 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4; see also Section 5 of Ref. 8(a).
20. For small clusters, e.g., Na$_8$, Na$_{20}$, and Na$_{40}$, the fragmentation of the oscillator strength can be irregular and can lead to the appearance of multiphoton absorption profiles (see Refs. 3-8).
21. $A_{uu}$ depends on the ratio of the surface-plasmon energy over the Fermi energy [see Eq. (66) of Ref. 8(a)].
22. Derived from general sum-rules arguments, see e.g., O. Bohigas et al., Phys. Rep. 51, 267 (1979).
No such closed semiclassical asymptotic behavior has been derived for $E_1$ and $\mathcal{E}$.

A similar dependence of the plasmon width (and of the position as discussed earlier) on the charge state was also found in the case of small clusters with $N - Z \leq 40$ (see Refs. [11,12]).

FIG. 1. RPA photoabsorption cross sections for Na$_{952}$ (dashed line) and Na$_{12068}$ (solid line). The solid horizontal bar denotes the RPA variance $\Sigma$ in the case of Na$_{12068}$. Inset: the oscillator-strength distribution for Na$_{12068}$.

FIG. 2. RPA widths $\Gamma$ (open circles) and variances $\Sigma$ (open squares) of the dipole plasmon for neutral Na$_N$ clusters ($952 \lesssim N \lesssim 12068$), as a function of (a) the inverse cluster radius, $1/R$ and (b) the inverse of the square root of the radius, $1/\sqrt{R}$. The solid line in (a) corresponds to the analytical result for $\Gamma$ according to Ref. [1]. The solid dots in (a) denote the uncorrected FWHM of the photoabsorption profiles (see Fig. 1) for Na$_{952}$ and Na$_{12068}$ generated with the folding method.

FIG. 3. The RPA positional quantities $E_1$ (open squares), $\mathcal{E}$ (centroid, solid circles), and $E_3$ (open triangles) of the dipole plasmon, as a function of $1/R$ for (a) neutral Na$_N$ and (b) charged Na$_{10^+}N$ clusters. The straight line in (b) is a duplicate of the lowest line in (a) and was drawn as a guide to the eye.

FIG. 4. RPA widths $\Gamma$ (open circles) and variances $\Sigma$ (open squares) of the dipole plasmon for charged Na$_{10^+}N$ clusters ($962 \lesssim N \lesssim 12078$), as a function of the inverse cluster radius, $1/R$. The straight lines are duplicates of dashed lines in Fig. 2(a) and were drawn as guides to the eye.
