Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus vaccine based on a propagation-defective RNA replicon elicited sterilizing immunity in mice
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Self-amplifying RNA replicons are promising platforms for vaccine generation. Their defects in one or more essential functions for viral replication, particle assembly, or dissemination make them highly safe as vaccines. We previously showed that the deletion of the envelope (E) gene from the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) produces a replication-competent propagation-defective RNA replicon (MERS-CoVΔE). Evaluation of this replicon in mice expressing human dipeptidyl peptidase 4, the virus receptor, showed that the single deletion of the E gene generated an attenuated mutant. The combined deletion of the E gene with accessory open reading frames (ORFs) 3, 4a, 4b, and 5 resulted in a highly attenuated propagation-defective RNA replicon (MERS-CoVΔ[3,4a,4b,5,E]). This RNA replicon induced sterilizing immunity in mice after challenge with a lethal dose of a virulent MERS-CoV, as no histopathological damage or infectious virus was detected in the lungs of challenged mice. The four mutants lacking the E gene were genetically stable, did not recombine with the E gene provided in trans during their passage in cell culture, and showed a propagation-defective phenotype in vivo. In addition, immunization with MERS-CoVΔ[3,4a,4b,5,E] induced significant levels of neutralizing antibodies, indicating that MERS-CoV RNA replicons are highly safe and promising vaccine candidates.

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are a family of enveloped, positive-strand RNA viruses of the Nidovirales order. Specifically, the Orthocoronavirinae subfamily is divided into the Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta genera (1–3). Viruses of these four genera can infect a wide range of birds and mammals, including humans (4–7), producing different clinical signs depending on the targeted tissue and organ (5, 8–10). Seven coronaviruses infecting humans (HCoVs) have been identified. Of them, HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, HCoV-NL63, and HCoV-HUK1 cause a common cold that is rarely complicated (5, 11). In contrast, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) (12, 13), Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (2, 14), and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (15, 16) cause a severe respiratory infection that can be fatal. Since the appearance of SARS-CoV, the investigation of CoVs has gained great relevance, especially considering that these three highly pathogenic HCoVs have emerged in the human population through zoonosis in the last 20 y: SARS-CoV in 2002 (12, 13) (number of cases: 8,437, average mortality: 10%; ref. 17), MERS-CoV in 2012 (2, 14) (2,589 cases and a mortality of 35% as of April 2021; ref. 18), and SARS-CoV-2 (146 million cases, 3.0 million deaths, April 2021; ref. 19) (15, 16).

Although different strategies to protect against MERS-CoV have been developed (20, 21), no vaccines or treatments for MERS-CoV have been approved for human use. Nonetheless, at least four vaccines have been developed at present (three based on viral vectors and one on DNA) (22–27), and one monoclonal antibody (28) is being tested in clinical trials. Generally, vaccine candidates have been based on the Spike (S) protein since this protein is the major target of virus-neutralizing antibodies (29), and it is responsible for binding to the cell receptor, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) (30). Among the S-based MERS-CoV vaccine candidates, subunit, DNA, and viral vector vaccines have been developed. However, there are certain specific limitations to each of these vaccine types. Subunit vaccines frequently require more than one dose to elicit efficient immunity, which in general, is not long lasting (20), and require an adjuvant to enhance the immune response or to induce mucosal immunity (31, 32). DNA vaccines generally induce weak responses in large animals and humans (33–36), although SARS-CoV-2 messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccines have shown excellent efficacy in humans (37, 38) and have been authorized for use in the COVID-19 pandemic (39, 40). The main limitation of viral vector vaccines is that a preexisting or newly generated response against the vector may decrease the effectiveness of the vaccine (41–43).

Significance

Coronaviruses (CoVs) have the largest genome among RNA viruses and a proofreading exoribonuclease (nsp14) responsible for high-fidelity RNA synthesis. These properties make CoVs very attractive for the establishment of vaccine platforms or viral vectors since they can stably store large amounts of information without genome integration. Using Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) as a model, a propagation-deficient RNA replicon was generated by removing the envelope (E) gene (essential for viral morphogenesis and involved in virulence) and accessory genes 3, 4a, 4b, and 5 (responsible for antigenic variation of the innate immune response); MERS-CoVΔ[3,4a,4b,5,E]. This replicon is strongly attenuated and elicits sterilizing protection after a single immunization, making it a promising vaccine candidate and an interesting platform for vector-based vaccine development.
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RNA replicons are promising platforms for vaccine generation (44). To amplify these replicons, it is necessary to provide the deleted gene(s) in trans. RNA replicons can be classified as replication defective or replication competent but propagation defective. Self-amplifying RNA replicons may achieve the same degree of protection as synthetic mRNA vaccines but use 64-fold lower doses of RNA (45). The most popular replicons are based on ApDh viruses such as Semliki forest virus (46, 47), Sindbis virus (48), and Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (49, 50). However, there are also replicons derived from viruses like West Nile virus (WNV) (51); Kunjin virus, a subtype of WNV (52, 53); meselas virus (54–56); rabies virus (57, 58); vesicular stomatitis virus (59, 60); and bluetongue virus (61), among others (44).

In this manuscript, the development of CoV-based self-amplifying RNA replicons is described. These replicons have been generated by the deletion of the envelope (E) gene alone or together with up to four additional genes (3, 4a, 4b, 5). The in vivo evaluation of these RNA replicons demonstrated that they were safe and stable vaccine candidates that induced potent sterilizing immunity.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement. Animal experimental protocols were approved by the Environmental Council of Madrid (permit no. PROEX 112/14) and the Ethical Committee of Centro de Investigación en Sanidad Animal–Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria (CISINA-INIA) (Madrid, Spain) (permit nos. CBS 2014/005 and CEEA 2014/004) in strict accordance with Spanish National Royal Decree (RD) 53/2013 and International European Union Guideline 2010/63/UE about the protection of animals used for experimentation and other scientific purposes and Spanish National Law 32/2007 about animal welfare. All work with infected animals was performed in a Biosafety Level 3 laboratory of the CISA-INIA (Madrid, Spain). Infected mice were housed in a self-contained ventilated rack (Allentown, NJ).

Plasmids and Bacteria Strains. Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) pBeloBAC11 (62), provided by H. Shizuya, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, was used to assemble recombinant MERS-CoV and MERS-CoV mouse-adapted (MERS-MA30) infectious complementary DNA (cDNA) clones. This plasmid is a low-copy number plasmid (one, maximum two copies per cell) based on the Escherichia coli F factor (63) that allows the stable maintenance of large DNA fragments in bacteria. E. coli DH10B ( Gibco/BRL) cells were transformed by electroporation using a MicroPulser unit ( Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. BAC plasmid and recombinant BACs were isolated and purified using a large-capside kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s specifications.

Two plasmids were used for the expression of the MERS-CoV E protein: pcDNA3.1 for constitutive expression (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific) (64) and TRe-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T for inducible expression provided by A. Das and B. Berkhourt, Academisch Medisch Centrum Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (65).

Generation of Recombinant MERS-MA30 cDNA Clones. pBAC-MERSR56sMA (66) was used as the basis for engineering the mutated recombinant MERS-MA30 used in this report: rMERS-MA30-ΔE (deletion of the gene E), rMERS-MA30-Δ[5,5] (deletion of the ORF5 and E genes), rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5] (deletion of the ORF3, ORF4a, ORF4b, and ORF5 genes), and rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] (deletion of the ORF3, ORF4a, ORF4b, ORF5, and E genes). The pBAC-MERSR56sMA-Δ5,E has been described (66).

For the generation of the infectious cDNA of rMERS-MA30-ΔE and rMERS-MA30-Δ[5,5,E], a 502-bp fragment flanked by Kfl and Pfg231 restriction sites was chemically synthesized (GeneArt; Thermo Fisher Scientific). This fragment included MERS-MA30 mutations (66, 67) between nucleotides 27535 and 28236 of the viral genome, the deletion of the core sequence of the transcription-regulating sequence (TRS) of gene E, and the deletion of the first 197 nucleotides of gene E. The last 52 nucleotides of gene E were maintained since these sequences include part of the M gene TRS. The synthesized fragment was cloned into the intermediate plasmid pBAC-SA-F6 (positions 25841 to 30162 of the viral genome) to generate pBAC-SA-F6-MA-ΔE and into pBAC-SA-F6-MA-Δ5,E to generate pBAC-SA-F6-MA-Δ[5,5,E]. The Pfla-Rfll fragments from pBAC-SA-F6-MA-ΔE and from pBAC-SA-F6-MA-Δ[5,5,E], which include the Kfl-Pfg231 region, were cloned into pBAC-MERSR56sMA-ΔE to obtain pBAC-MERSR56sMA-ΔE and pBAC-MERSR56sMA-Δ[5,5,E], respectively.

For the construction of the infectious cDNA clone of rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] and rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5] of the viral genome between nucleotides 28092 and 28540, as well as the deletion of the ORF3 gene, this region, flanked by SwaI and PacI restriction sites, was cloned into pBAC-MERSR56sMA-ΔE and pBAC-MERSR56sMA-Δ5,E to obtain pBAC-MERSR56sMA-Δ3 and pBAC-MERSR56sMA-Δ[3,5,E]. These plasmids were digested with PacI and Kfl to delete the ORF4a, ORF4b, and ORF5 genes. The resultant fragments were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis and purified. Since the ends resulting from digestion were not cohesive with each other, blunt ends were generated with T4 phage DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). For this, 300 ng of each digested and purified plasmid was incubated with 1 U of enzyme for each microgram of DNA for 30 min at 37 °C in the presence of an excess of deoxyribonucleotides triphosphate. The enzyme was then inactivated at 75 °C for 20 min, and T4 DNA ligase (Roche) was added to ligate the ends, generating pBAC-MERSR56sMA-Δ[3,4a,4b,5] and pBAC-MERSR56sMA-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] plasmids.

Cells. Human hepatocyte-derived carcinoma (Huh-7) and baby hamster kidney (BHK-21) cells were provided by R. Bartenschlager, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany and H. Laude, Unite de Virologie et Immunologie Moléculaires, INRAE, Jouy-en-Josas, France, respectively. Cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 25 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (ECS), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1-glutamine, 5 g/L glucose (BioWhittaker; Lonza), supplemented with 4 mM glutamine, 1% nonessential amino acids (Sigma-Aldrich), and 10% vol/vol fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone; Thermo Scientific).

Viruses. Wild-type rMERS-CoV and rMERS-CoV-ΔE (EMC/2012 strain) (64) and parental virulent rMERS-MA30 (66) and rMERS-MA30-derived mutants were all rescued from infectious cDNA clones generated in a BAC. The viruses were then grown and titrated on Huh-7 cells using closed flasks or plates placed in sealed plastic bags, respectively. All the work was performed at Centro Nacional de Biotecnologia – Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CNB-CSIC, Madrid, Spain) biosafety level 3 facility (Madrid, Spain) following the security guidelines and standard procedures.

Recovery of Recombinant MERS-MA30 Mutants from the cDNA Clones. BHK-21 cells were grown to 95% confluence in 12.5-cm² flasks and transfected with 6 μg of each infectious cDNA clone and 18 μL of Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s specifications. Three independent cDNA clones were recovered of each mutant. At 6 h posttransfection (hpt), cells were trypsinized, added to confluent Huh-7 cell monolayers grown in 12-cm flasks and incubated at 37 °C for 72 h (passage 0). Cell supernatants were harvested and passed two times on fresh cells (passages 1 and 2). Viability, titer, and sequence of the mutants were analyzed to generate viral stocks for in vitro and in vivo evaluations.

To rescue viruses lacking the E gene, Huh-7 cells were transfected with pDNA3.1-E-MERS-CoV or with TRe-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV, while Huh-21 cells were cotransfected with infectious cDNA and E protein expression plasmid. At 6 hpt, the medium containing the plasmid-Lipofectamine complexes was removed from the transfected Huh-7 cells and washed, and fresh medium was added. For cells transfected with the TRe-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV plasmid, the medium was supplemented with doxycycline at a concentration of 1 μg/mL. The transfected BHK-21 cells were trypsinized, detached from the flask, added to the Huh-7 cells transfected with E protein expression plasmids, and incubated at 37 °C for 72 h (passage 0). Cell supernatants were harvested, passed once on fresh cells (passages 1 and 2). Viability, titer, and sequence of the mutants were analyzed to generate viral stocks for in vitro and in vivo evaluations.

Transmission Electron Microscopy. Huh-7 cells were seeded in 24-well plates. After 24 h, cells were infected with MERS-CoV and rMERS-CoV-ΔE at different multiplicities of infection (MOIs; 1.0, 0.1, and 0.01). At 17 h postinfection (hpi), medium was removed, and cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in situ for 2 h at room temperature (RT) with a solution of 4% w/vol paraformaldehyde and 2% w/vol glutaraldehyde in Sorenson phosphate buffer 0.1 M at pH 7.4. Prefixed cells were stored at 4 °C for 24 h. Cells were processed directly in plates. For this, fixative was removed, and cells were embedded in TAAB 812 epoxy resin (TAAB Laboratories). Using the resin blocks, ultrathin (70- to 80-nm) sections were produced with an Ultracut E ultramicrotome (Leica). These cuts were treated with a solution of 2% uranyl acetate in water and Reynolds lead citrate. Sections were examined at 80 kV.
Viruses Lacking the E Gene.

Results

Optimization of the Conditions for the Amplification of Recombinant Viruses Lacking the E Gene. Conditions for transient expression of E protein were optimized for the rescue and evaluation of RNA replicons derived from MERS-CoV mutants lacking the E gene. In order to select the appropriate rescue system, the expression levels of the E protein were compared in two different settings: constitutive expression using a pcDNA3.1-E-MERS-CoV plasmid (64) and an inducible expression system based on a plasmid containing a Tetracycline promoter (TRE-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV), which included a positive feedback loop (70). Huh-7 cells were transfected with similar amounts of each of the E protein expression plasmids. At 5 hpt, cells were infected at an MOI of 0.001 of MERS-CoV–wild type (WT) or the rMERS-CoV–ΔE plasmid (EMC/2012 strain) (64). The expression of E protein was provided in cells transfected with the inducible plasmid TRE-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV by adding doxycycline at a concentration of 1 μg/mL. In the absence of E protein, the rMERS-CoV–ΔE plasmid did not propagate (Fig. L4). In cells expressing E protein, virus production at 24 hpi was similar in cells transfected with pcDNA3.1-E-MERS-CoV and those transfected with TRE-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV. At 72 hpi, MERS-CoV–ΔE titers increased 100-fold in cells transfected with pcDNA3.1-E-MERS-CoV compared with nontransfected cells. However, in cells transfected with TRE-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV, the titers of rMERS-CoV–ΔE increased more than 1,000-fold, ΔΔCT method (2-ΔΔCT) method (60). To normalize differences in RNA sampling, the expression of mouse 18S ribosomal RNA was analyzed using a specific TaqMan Gene Expression Assay (Mm03928990_g1; ThermoFisher Scientific).

Histopathology. Mice were euthanized at the indicated day postinfection (dpi) or day postchallenge (dpc). The left lungs of infected mice were fixed in 10% zinc formalin for 24 h at 4 °C and paraffin embedded. Serial longitudinal 5-μm sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin by the Histology Service at CNB-CSIC (Madrid, Spain) and subjected to histopathological examination with a ZEISS Axioshot fluorescence microscope. Samples were obtained using a systematic uniform random procedure, consisting of serial parallel slices made at a constant thickness interval of 50 μm. Histopathology analysis was conducted in a blind manner by acquiring images of 50 random microscopy fields from around 40 nonadjacent sections for each of the three independent mice analyzed per treatment group.
one of the two expression plasmids did not result in a significant increase of virus titers over nontransfected cells (Fig. 1A). These results showed that the TRE-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV plasmid facilitated optimal production of large amounts of MERS-CoV mutants lacking the E gene.

**Virus-Like Particles Assembly by rMERS-CoV-ΔE Replicon in the Absence of the E Protein.** The morphogenesis of MERS-CoV-WT virus and the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon was studied in the absence of E protein supplementation in Huh-7 cells infected at two different MOIs (Fig. 1B). At 17 hpi, cells were embedded in resin, and sections were taken for analysis by transmission electron microscopy (Fig. 1B). The rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon led to the assembly of virions within the cell that resembled those of the MERS-CoV-WT virus. In cells infected at an MOI of one, MERS-CoV-WT virus showed greater morphological alterations, with vesicles full of virions with a spherical shape, while rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon vesicles were less frequent, were elongated, and included a lower number of virus-like particles (VLPs). A similar observation was made in cells infected at an MOI of 0.1, although alterations of cell structures and organelles in MERS-CoV-WT infection were less obvious compared with the samples infected at an MOI of one. Given the similarity in size and shape of the viral structures formed by the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon compared with MERS-CoV-WT virus, immunization with the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon may trigger mechanisms and responses like those of natural infection, highlighting its immunogenic potential.

---

**Fig. 1.** Characterization of viral growth and morphogenesis of MERS-CoV lacking the E gene. (A) MERS-CoV-WT (WT) virus and rMERS-CoV-ΔE (ΔE) replicon growth were compared in Huh-7 cells transfected with plasmids constitutively (CONS; pcDNA-3.1-E-MERS-CoV) or inducibly (IND; TRE-Auto-rtTA-V10-2T-E-MERS) expressing E protein. Nontransfected cells (E-/-/C0) were used as the control for viral growth in the absence of E protein expression. Results are expressed as the mean ± SD. (B) For morphogenesis studies, Huh-7 cells without E protein complementation were infected at two MOIs, and samples were processed 17 h after infection. Large vesicles with a high concentration of spherical virions can be seen in MERS-CoV-WT-infected cells, with greater morphological alterations observed in cells infected at an MOI of one. MERS-CoV-ΔE-infected cells showed the vesicles with virions are in both conditions and lower cytopathic effect.

---

**Fig. 2.** In vivo evaluation of the EMC/2012 rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon in a highly susceptible mouse model. K18-hDPP4 mice were infected with $5 \times 10^3$ FFU of MERS-CoV-WT virus or the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon and monitored for weight loss (A) and survival (B) for attenuation studies. After 21 dpim, nonimmunized and rMERS-CoV-ΔE-immunized mice were challenged with $5 \times 10^4$ FFU of MERS-CoV-WT per mouse, and (C) weight loss and (D) survival were monitored daily to evaluate the protection conferred by rMERS-CoV-ΔE against an MERS-CoV-WT lethal infection. Differences in weight loss are represented as mean ± SEM.
Evaluation of the rMERS-CoV-ΔE RNA Replicon (EMC/2012 Strain) In Vivo. The potential virulence of the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon in vivo was initially evaluated in K18-hDPP4 transgenic mice (68), 5 × 10³ FFU in 50 μL of each virus were intranasally inoculated (Fig. 2 A and B). All mice infected with MERS-CoV-WT virus lost weight and died between 6 and 9 dpi. In contrast, mice intranasally inoculated with the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon survived, and the small weight that was initially lost was quickly regained, indicating that the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon was attenuated.

At 21 dpi postimmunization (dpi), mice inoculated with the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon were challenged with 5 × 10³ FFU of rMERS-CoV-WT (Fig. 2 C and D). While all the unimmunized mice lost weight and died between 6 and 8 dpi, the mice immunized with rMERS-CoV-ΔE survived, and none of them suffered a significant weight loss. Together, these results demonstrated that a single immunization with 5 × 10³ FFU of the rMERS-CoV-ΔE replicon was sufficient to protect against lethal infection with MERS-CoV-WT (EMC/2012) in highly susceptible K18-hDPP4 mice.

Construction and Viability of rMERS-MA30 Deletion Mutants Missing Several Nonessential Genes. Next, we introduced additional safety features into the replicon by preparing three replicons (lacking the E gene) and two recombinant viruses (maintaining the E gene) on a MERS-MA30 background. These constructs combined the deletion of accessory genes (ORF3, ORF4a, ORF4b, or ORF5) with the deletion of gene E: 1) rMERS-MA30-ΔE; 2) rMERS-MA30-Δ[5,E]; 3) rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E]; 4) rMERS-MA30-Δ5; and 5) rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5] (Fig. 3A). Recombinant mutants were engineered on a MERS-MA30 background because infection of hDPP4-KI mice with this mouse-adapted virus better reproduces the clinical signs of human MERS (67) compared with K18-hDPP4 mice infected with MERS-CoV EMC/2012, in which brain disease occurred (68). All mutants were rescued and viable. Replicons lacking the E gene were rescued in the presence of E protein provided in trans by Huh-7 cells transiently transfected with the inducible expression plasmid (TRE-Auto-rT-A-V10-2T-E-MERS-CoV).

Growth of the deletion mutants was evaluated in Huh-7 cells. In the absence of E protein, MERS-MA30 (WT) and rMERS-MA30-Δ5 viruses followed similar growth kinetics (Fig. 3B). A growth reduction was observed at 24 hpi after infection with rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5] compared with MERS-MA30 and rMERS-MA30-Δ5 viruses (Fig. 3B), most likely due to the absence of accessory genes (71). rMERS-
MA30–ΔE and rMERS-MA30–Δ[5,E] (Fig. 3B) replicons behaved similarly to the rMERS-CoV–ΔE replicon (EMC/2012 strain) (Fig. 4A). In contrast, replication was substantially diminished at 24 and 48 hpi in cells infected with the replicon in which all five genes were deleted (rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E]) compared with rMERS-MA30–ΔE and rMERS-MA30–Δ[5,E] (Fig. 3B).

In the presence of the E protein provided in trans, MERS-MA30 (WT) and rMERS-MA30–Δ5 viruses grew similarly (Fig. 3C) to the same viruses grown in the absence of E protein (Fig. 3B). Likewise, titers of rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5] mutant were just two to four times higher in the presence of E protein and remained below the titers of MERS-MA30 and rMERS-MA30–Δ5 viruses (Fig. 3C). However, titers of rMERS-MA30–ΔE and rMERS-MA30–Δ[5,E] replicons were significantly increased in the presence of E protein provided in trans, reaching levels similar to those of MERS-MA30 and rMERS-MA30–Δ5 viruses at 72 hpi. rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] showed a slower growth than rMERS-MA30–ΔE and rMERS-MA30–Δ[5,E], even in the presence of E protein provided in trans. However, at late times postinfection, rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] reached titers similar to those of MERS-MA30 and rMERS-MA30–Δ5 in the presence of the E protein (Fig. 3C), indicating that the absence of the accessory proteins delayed but did not prevent maximal replication.

**MERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] Was Propagation Defective in the Absence of the E Protein Provided In Trans.** To confirm that the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon was propagation defective, we passed it serially five times in Huh-7 cells in the presence or absence of E protein. rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon efficiently propagated during passage in the presence of E protein provided in trans. However, in its absence, the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon was undetectable in the supernatant by passage 2 (Fig. 3D). Additionally, we assessed for but could not find any intracellular rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon, indicating that this RNA replicon requires E protein in trans to propagate and is not infectious when artificially released (Fig. 3D).

In order to examine the stability of the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon in cell culture and also assess whether it could recombine with the RNA encoding E protein transcribed from the expression plasmid, RNA from cell culture was harvested, and the region between the S and M genes within the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon was amplified by PCR and sequenced (Fig. 3F). After five passages in Huh-7, we found that it remained genetically stable with no evidence that rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] recombinant with the RNA encoding the E蛋白. In the absence of E protein, the amount of rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon RNA decreased during passage (Fig. 3E), in agreement with the titration results (Fig. 3D).

**Evaluation of the Pathogenicity of MERS-MA30 Deletion Mutants in hDPP4-KI Mice.** The pathogenicity of rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5] virus and the rMERS-MA30–ΔE, rMERS-MA30–Δ[5,E], and rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicons was evaluated in hDPP4-KI mice (67). rMERS-MA30 was used as the reference virulent virus (WT): 1 × 10^5 FFU of each virus or RNA replicon were intranasally inoculated into mice, and weight loss and survival were monitored for 13 d (Fig. 4A and B). All mice inoculated with rMERS-MA30 virus lost weight and died between 6 and 8 dpi. In contrast, none of the mice infected with rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5] virus or with rMERS-MA30–ΔE, rMERS-MA30–Δ[5,E], or rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicons lost weight, and all of them survived, indicating that all the deletion mutants were attenuated.

To further characterize infected mice, virus titer, replication (genomic RNA), and transcription (N gene) levels were analyzed in lungs at 3 and 6 dpi. High virus titers were detected at 3 and 6 dpi in the lungs of mice infected with MERS-MA30 virus, but no virus growth was observed in the lungs of mice inoculated with the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon (Fig. 4C). This result was consistent with previous in vitro results showing that in the absence of the E gene, MERS-CoV did not spread from cell to cell. Levels of rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] RNA were significantly lower than those of rMERS-MA30 virus (Fig. 4D and E). Overall, these data suggested that rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicated and transcribed sgRNAs in vivo without spreading.

No significant pathological changes were observed in the lungs of mice infected with rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] at 3 dpi (Fig. 4F). In contrast, the lungs of mice infected with MERS-MA30 showed clear alveolar wall thickening and peribronchial cuffing. By 6 dpi, examination of lungs of rMERS-MA30–infected mice revealed generalized infiltration and parenchyma consolidation, as well as edema in the airspace, whereas the lungs of mice infected with rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon remained similar to those of uninfected mice.

**Protection Elicited by MERS-MA30–Derived Deletion Mutants in hDPP4-KI Mice.** To assess whether rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] would be a useful vaccine, hDPP4-KI mice were immunized with the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon and challenged at 21 dpi with a lethal dose of MERS-MA30 (1 × 10^5 FFU per mouse) (Fig. 5A and B). Nonimmunized mice lost weight and died between 6 and 7 dpi. However, all mice immunized with any of the deletion mutants survived the challenge, and none of them suffered significant weight loss.

Samples were obtained at 2, 4, and 6 dpi from the lungs of rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E]–immunized, MERS-MA30–challenged mice to analyze viral titers, replication, and transcription. MERS-MA30 virus and genomic and subgenomic (N gene) RNA were detected in the lungs of the nonimmunized mice (Fig. 5 C–E). In contrast, levels of viral RNA in the lungs of mice immunized with rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] were significantly lower, and no infectious virus was detected at all times after challenge, indicating that rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] conferred sterilizing immunity (Fig. 5 C–E). In fact, while the lungs of nonimmunized mice showed cellular infiltrates and thickening of the interstitial membranes at 2 dpi, the appearance of edema at 4 dpi, and extensive cellular infiltration with edema and focal hemorrhages at 6 dpi, the lungs of immunized mice remained nearly normal in appearance (Fig. 5F).

Levels of neutralizing antibodies were determined in the serum of immunized mice at 0 and 21 dpi by neutralization assay. Titers were expressed as the highest dilution showing complete neutralization of the cytopathic effect in 50% of the wells (TCID50) (Fig. 5G). As expected, no neutralizing antibodies were detected in the serum of nonimmunized and rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E]–immunized mice at 0 dpi. However, at 21 dpi, mice immunized with the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon showed significant levels of neutralizing antibodies compared with nonimmunized mice after one single immunization.

Together, these results demonstrated that rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5] virus and rMERS-MA30–ΔE, rMERS-MA30–Δ[5,E], and rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicons induced protection in hDPP4-KI mice against a lethal dose of MERS-MA30 virus and that the rMERS-MA30–Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon promoted sterilizing immunity with significant levels of neutralizing antibodies.
Discussion

In this manuscript, we generated a series of MERS-CoV deletion mutants, many of which could not be propagated and showed that they were attenuated in vivo. The deletion of the E gene by itself resulted in a defect in propagation but not replication. Deletion of one or more accessory proteins should provide additional biosafety, as deletion of E or accessory ORFs (3, 4a, 4b, 5) attenuated the virus, increasing the safety profile of the designed vaccine candidate. Immunization with the nonpropagating constructs conferred full protection against a lethal dose of virulent MERS-MA30. We also showed that immunization with rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon remained healthy and looked similar to the lungs of the uninfected mice.

RNA replicons combine the advantages of two classic vaccine types. They are almost as safe as inactivated vaccines since they cannot propagate, and their ability to amplify their genomes generated a protective response as high as the ones elicited by live attenuated vaccines as shown in this manuscript. The attenuation and safety of the rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon can be improved by the introduction of partial deletions within the nsp1 nonstructural protein, as we have shown for SARS-CoV in which these deletions further attenuated the virus (72). Similarly, additional attenuation of the virus could be increased by mutations in the viral nsp16 protein, the 2'-O- methyl transferase, which is important for immune evasion (73).

The deletion of nonessential genes (3, 4a, 4b, 5) showed a reduction in viral replication in both the rMERS-CoV-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon complemented with E protein in trans and rMERS-CoV-Δ[3,4a,4b,5] virus. This reduction could be mainly due to ORF4ab deletion rather than ORF3 or ORF5 deletion, as previously reported (64, 74). Deletion of ORF3 and ORF5, along with ORF4ab and E gene, may reduce the possibility of recombination with other circulating MERS-like CoVs or human CoVs (75–81) or most importantly, with the E gene present in packaging cell lines since in the absence of homologous flanking sequences within the rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon genome, it would be very unlikely to restore the E gene. Furthermore, the accessory genes are implicated in virulence (74, 82–87), and their deletion resulted in increased attenuation by a loss of function as demonstrated in vivo experiments.
Fig. 5. Protection conferred by rMERS-MA30-derived mutants and replicons in hDPP4-KI mice. Mice were infected with the indicated viruses or replicons and challenged 21 d later with $10^5$ FFU of MERS-MA30 per mouse. (A) Weight loss and (B) survival were monitored. Differences in weight loss are represented as mean ± SEM. (C) Titers of MERS-MA30 challenge virus in the lungs of nonimmunized mice and mice immunized with the rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon. (D) Viral replication and (E) transcription in challenged mice. The results are expressed as mean ± SD. *Student's t test: significance level lower than 0.05; **Student's t test: significance level lower than 0.01. (F) Histopathology of immunized and nonimmunized mice challenged with MERS-MA30 virus. The lungs of the mice immunized with the rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon looked healthy throughout the experiment. In the lungs of nonimmunized mice, peribronchial cuffing and alveolar thickening (yellow arrows) could be seen at 2 dpc. At 4 dpc, edema (red asterisks) could be observed in some air spaces, while at 6 dpc, highly evident edema, general cell infiltration, and focal hemorrhage could be observed. (G) Levels of neutralizing antibodies in the serum of immunized mice. Blood samples were taken from nonimmunized and rMERS-MA30-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E]-immunized mice at 0 and 21 dpim to quantify neutralizing antibodies. Titers were expressed as the highest serum dilution showing complete neutralization of the cytopathic effect in 50% of the wells (TCID50). *Student's t test: $P$ value = 0.0102919.
The deletion of additional nonessential genes, such as 3 and 5, in the RNA replicon did not affect replicon titers but reduced the possibility of recombination with other human CoVs, such as 229-E, OC43, NL-63, HKU-5, or SARS-CoV-2, and MERS-like CoVs circulating in the field (75–81). In addition, the accessory genes are implicated in virulence (74, 82–87), and their deletion resulted in increased attenuation by a loss of function.

The deletion of the E protein is key for virus attenuation since rMERS-CoV-ΔE is propagation deficient (64, 88). This characteristic of E− replicons is a consequence of the role of this protein in intracellular transport, virus morphogenesis, and virion release from the cell (89–92). Another contribution of the deletion of E gene to biosafety is the elimination of several virulence motifs. One is related to the ability of E protein to form pentamers with ion channel activity (93). We have previously shown that the introduction of point mutations in the transmembrane domain of SARS-CoV E protein disrupts this activity (94). A second virulence factor present in E protein is the PDZ-binding motif (PBM), which maps at the end of the carboxy-terminal domain of E protein (72, 95). The PBM has a core sequence of four amino acids that can potentially bind to more than 400 cellular proteins, including syntenin (95, 96). Binding of SARS-CoV E protein to syntenin leads to the activation of p38MAPK by phosphorylation, activating the expression of several cytokines and exacerbating proinflammatory responses (95). The replacement of these four amino acids by glycine, or its deletion, leads to an attenuated virus (72, 95).

Recently, we described that a forkhead-associated binding motif, present in the carboxy-terminal domain of MERS-CoV E protein, is likely implicated in virulence (90).

A total of 10^4 FFU per mouse were intranasally inoculated in a final volume of 50 μL. This volume can easily reach lung lobes, where genomic and subgenomic RNAs of MERS-MA30-WT virus and the rMERS-CoV-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon were detected as shown in the manuscript (Fig. 4 D and E). Replication and transcription of MERS-MA30 propagation-defective replicons occurred in the lower respiratory tract. Initially, only cells infected with rMERS-CoV-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon would replicate and transcribe its genome and sgmRNAs, as no infectious virus can be released from these cells. Nevertheless, cells neighboring replicon-infected cells might form syncytia, as expression of the S gene by itself induced its formation as previously shown in cell culture (97), slightly enhancing the synthesis replicon’s RNA and sgmRNAs.

The safety of MERS-CoV-based RNA replicons was reinforced by the observation that VLPs (Fig. 1B) harboring RNA replicons in the absence of E protein complementation were noninfectious, even when these VLPs were artificially released from infected cells by freeze–thawing. However, they can induce syncytia formation in Huh-7 cells (90). This observation was expected, as it has been described that overexpression of MERS-CoV protein S induces syncytia formation in cell cultures (98). In addition, it has been shown that the membrane fusion peptide domain of the S2 subunit of the S protein is involved in the induction of syncytia formation (97). A tentative possibility to increase the safety of the rMERS-CoV-Δ[3,4a,4b,5,E] replicon would be mutation of this site responsible for syncytia formation, in order to inhibit replicon spread to the neighbor cells. Nevertheless, this potential modification of the replicon should take into consideration the deleterious effect on replicon infectivity, as prefusion conformation of S protein would not allow replicon infection, replication, and transcription, rendering a limited immunogen.

The insertion of transgenes or micro-RNAs may modulate or enhance the immune response elicited by the replicon (99), opening several possibilities for the design of advanced vaccines that may improve the immune response in those individuals with a weakened immune system, such as the elderly (99). We showed that certain miRNAs can modulate the growth of the virus and attenuate its pathogenicity in the context of SARS-CoV infection (100).

Viruses are the major generators of genetic variability in different species, including humans, by interchanging sequences (101, 102). CoVs, with the largest genome known among RNA viruses, have incorporated a proofreading system within their replication–transcription machinery (103) but still generate a high variability probably favored by the discontinuous RNA synthesis during the production of subgenomic RNAs, a process mediated by a high-frequency recombination event (104). This mechanism may facilitate the evolution of CoVs, generating a variety of novel animal and human pathogens. In fact, the emergence of novel animal and human highly pathogenic CoVs has been widely documented. Since different species of bats that fly across all continents act as a natural reservoir for these CoVs, the emergence or reemergence of deadly CoVs is a likely event for which we should be prepared by, among other things, the development of efficient vaccines. In this manuscript, it has been shown how through the application of reverse genetics procedures, the engineering of highly safe CoV-derived RNA replicons resulted in vaccine candidates inducing sterilizing immunity by a single intranasal dose administration. A similar strategy could be applied, in principle, to the development of vaccines against other highly pathogenic CoVs.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the main text.
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RNA replicon elicited sterilizing immunity in mice

Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus vaccine based on a propagation-defective RNA replicon elicited sterilizing immunity in mice