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Figure 9. A colour-based, nonnumeric, graphical presentation of the performance of day 1 forecasts at all UK observation sites that appeared on the Met Office App during the summer of 2017. (a) Displays the distribution of observed categories when ‘typical’ temperatures were forecast and (b) displays the distribution of forecast categories when ‘very low’ temperatures were observed.
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The major eruption of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai volcano at 0410 UTC on 15 January 2022 following lesser activity in the previous days, was so substantial that it sent a shock wave propagating around the globe. As was the case for the eruption of Krakatoa in August 1883 (Symons, 1888), the initial pressure changes associated with the shock wave were so large that they were detected around the world with barometers in routine meteorological use, and are well within the capability of inexpensive digital sensors (Harrison, 2021) bringing the prospect of a considerable amount of high quality data.

The Tonga pressure wave propagated outwards from the volcano, leading to its first appearance in the United Kingdom around 1800 UTC on 15 January having passed over the North Pole, with a sec-
second appearance at about 0200 utc on 16 January via the South Pole route. Figure 1 shows a preliminary analysis of pressure anomalies over the UK from roadside monitoring sensors, as used previously for the 2015 eclipse (Gray and Harrison, 2016). It shows the arrival of the first pressure pulse from the north and propagating southwards, and the second pressure pulse arriving from the south and propagating northwards at a similar speed to the first pulse. Both caused transient pressure anomalies of 0.5 to 1hPa, which were initially positive and then negative, and made more observable by reasonably settled anticyclonic conditions.

At Reading, the first sign of a pressure pulse was at about 1845 utc, 14.5 hours after the eruption. For the separation between Reading and Tonga of about 16 500km, this corresponds to a wave speed of 315ms⁻¹, which is close to the speed of sound at standard conditions. Figures 2(a) and (b) show the first two pressure pulses considered in Figure 1 arriving at Reading, observed using a Druck DPI140 barometer with ±0.01hPa resolution operating on the vibrating drum principle (Harrison, 2014), sampled at 1s intervals. The pulse shapes are reminiscent of the initial pressure pulses observed following the Krakatoa eruption (Figures 2c and d), with a slow increase to a ragged maximum followed by a rapid descent to a minimum. With the greater resolution present in the modern data, the first pulse at Reading can be seen to be followed by high frequency variability lasting several hours. Compared with the period before the first pulse, greater background variability continued after the second pulse, implying that the atmosphere remained disturbed.

As for Krakatoa, pairs of further pulses arrived at Reading with intervals of about 35 to 36 hours from the previous pair of pulses. Numbering the pulses individually, the first and subsequent odd-numbered pulses had initially taken the North Pole route, and the even-numbered pulses the longer South Pole route. Figure 3(a) shows a continuation of the pressure data from Figure 2(a), with the pressure pulses identified in Figure 3(b). For the later pulses, the amplitude decreased, making it dif-
A similar difference was found for pulse pairs in the Krakatoa event, which was attributed by Symons (1888) to an assisting or opposing contribution of the prevailing winds globally. The 5 ms\(^{-1}\) difference observed is consistent with this.

The passage of a large pressure disturbance might be expected to generate additional local atmospheric changes associated with fluid motion. Whilst the pressure was sampled with a rapid response instrument (Figure 4a), standard meteorological instruments respond less rapidly, so a straightforward comparison is difficult. The Vaisala CL31 laser ceilometer operating at Reading, however, provides one minute samples of pressure turbulence. During the passage of the first pressure pulse on 15 January, low stratus cloud was present, with a mean cloud base of 1200m between 1700 and 2300 UTC. Removing slow changes in the mean cloud base using a spline method, the variability generated in the cloud base during the passage of the pressure wave becomes apparent (Figure 4b). The sudden rise in the cloud base height just before 2000 UTC was also observed as a decrease in both the long-wave down flux and the surface potential gradient (which responds to charge at the cloud base changing its position), and an increase in the surface air temperature sensed by an aspirated thermometer able to respond within one minute.

The Krakatoa pressure wave was a defining event in atmospheric science, due to its detection in the early international measurement network through the impressively thorough activities of the Krakatoa committee. The 2022 Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha’apai pressure wave, which has been exquisitely sampled by modern instruments and satellite imagery in a way inconceivable in 1883, is likely to prove equally valuable.

**Acknowledgements**

I am grateful to David Bullock of Vaisala for providing the roadside station data used for Figure 1.

**Data availability statement**

Data used are available at: https://doi.org/10.17864/1947.000354.

### Table 1

**Pressure wave pulse timing and intervals at Reading**

| Pulse number | Day of January 2022 | Time of maximum (UTC) | Interval from previous odd or even pulse (hours) | Transit speed (ms\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| P1           | 15                  | 1920                  |                                               |                             |
| P2           | 16                  | 0237                  |                                               |                             |
| P3           | 17                  | 0735                  | 35.7                                          | 311                         |
| P4           | 17                  | 1355                  | 35.3                                          | 315                         |
| P5           | 18                  | 1923                  | 36.3                                          | 306                         |
| P6           | 19                  | 0118                  | 35.4                                          | 314                         |

Earth radius assumed \(R_e = 6371\) km.
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Solar energy and weather
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What is solar energy?
Countries are transitioning to a net-zero emissions focus for future electricity supply. The majority of the technologies used to achieve this are dependent on the weather, such as wind and solar farms. Consequently the weather will play a substantial role in the energy produced from these technologies. One type of solar technology involves generating electricity from solar photovoltaic (PV) panels. The Sun emits energy in the form of solar radiation, approximately 1361 W m⁻² annually at the top of the atmosphere, normal to the incoming rays. About 30% of this is reflected back to space with about 70% reaching the Earth's surface. This can be captured and turned into electricity, using PV panels. These convert sunlight into electricity through the process of a solar cell absorbing solar radiation to excite electrons into higher energy states.

How much solar energy is generated?
PV output is generated by shining light on a substance and creating a voltage. Power generation fluctuates with the variation of in-plane irradiance. PV panels are situated with optimised inclination angles to achieve maximum power generation over the year. The intensity of solar radiation depends on a number of factors including geographic location, season and time of day. Solar radiation input arrives in the form of both direct beam and diffuse radiation (Figure 1). Passing clouds are the main cause of blocking light from reaching the panels. The concentration of aerosols, water vapour and ozone in the atmosphere determine how much solar radiation is absorbed, scattered or reflected before reaching the ground. This is called diffuse radiation. When the Sun is lower in the sky, rays travel through a greater path length of the atmosphere and they become more scattered and diffuse, resulting in a greater component of diffuse radiation. Seasons control the amount of sunlight on any particular day, with up to 18h in summer and as little as 8h in winter around Ireland and the United Kingdom. During winter, the Sun is also lower in the sky. The diurnal cycle of sunlight means the greatest amount of solar energy is generated around solar noon and of course, none is generated during night time.

The quantity of energy produced depends on the type of PV module (i.e. the semiconductor material used in the modules), the system set-up (module orientation and tilt angle), along with the prevailing meteorological conditions. PV module efficiency is primarily influenced by the amount of solar radiation that arrives at the PV modules and the temperature of the PV modules. Module temperature in turn depends on the ambient air temperature, the intensity of the solar radiation and on the cooling effect due to local wind speed and direction. Power output decreases with an increase in module temperature and increases as a non-linear function of solar radiation.

The weather can affect PV output in other, less direct ways. PV panel efficiency decreases with the presence of dust and dirt (which can be washed away by rain or with regular cleaning), or by frost and snow on the solar panels. Beyond the panel itself,