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Biogeochemical Processes in the Active Layer and Permafrost of a High Arctic Fjord Valley
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Warming of ground is causing microbial decomposition of previously frozen sedimentary organic carbon in Arctic permafrost. However, the heterogeneity of the permafrost landscape and its hydrological processes result in different biogeochemical processes across relatively small scales, with implications for predicting the timing and magnitude of permafrost carbon emissions. The biogeochemical processes of iron- and sulfate-reduction produce carbon dioxide and suppress methanogenesis. Hence, in this study, the biogeochemical processes occurring in the active layer and permafrost of a high Arctic fjord valley in Svalbard are identified from the geochemical and stable isotope analysis of aqueous and particulate fractions in sediment cores collected from ice-wedge polygons with contrasting water content. In the drier polygons, only a small concentration of organic carbon (<5.40 dry weight%) has accumulated. Sediment cores from these drier polygons have aqueous and solid phase chemistries that imply sulfide oxidation coupled to carbonate and silicate dissolution, leading to high concentrations of aqueous iron and sulfate in the pore water profiles. These results are corroborated by δ³⁴S and δ¹⁸O values of sulfate in active layer pore waters, which indicate the oxidative weathering of sedimentary pyrite utilising either oxygen or ferric iron as oxidising agents. Conversely, in the sediments of the consistently water-saturated polygons, which contain a high content of organic carbon (up to 45 dry weight%), the formation of pyrite and siderite occurred via the reduction of iron and sulfate. δ³⁴S and δ¹⁸O values of sulfate in active layer pore waters from these water-saturated polygons display a strong positive correlation (R² = 0.98), supporting the importance of sulfate reduction in removing sulfate from the pore water. The significant contrast in the dominant biogeochemical processes between the water-saturated and drier polygons indicates that small-scale hydrological variability between polygons induces large differences in the concentration of organic carbon and in the cycling of iron and sulfur, with ramifications for the decomposition pathway of organic carbon in permafrost environments.
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INTRODUCTION

Permafrost regions account for 24% of the land area of the Northern Hemisphere and store immense quantities (1330 to 1580 Pg) of organic carbon (Tarnocai et al., 2009; Hugelius et al., 2014). Permafrost ecosystems are currently a net sink of carbon, due to the drawdown of carbon dioxide exceeding emissions of methane and carbon dioxide (Schaefer et al., 2011; Kirschke et al., 2013; Parmentier et al., 2013). As rapid climate change occurs in the high Arctic, rising permafrost temperatures and progressively deeper active layers are exposing previously frozen soil organic carbon to microbial decomposition (Romanovsky et al., 2010; Koven et al., 2011; Schuur et al., 2015). This releases carbon dioxide and methane to the atmosphere and is predicted to cause permafrost ecosystems to become a net carbon source by the mid-2020s (Lee et al., 2012; Elberling et al., 2013; Schuur et al., 2015).

To predict the impact of permafrost thaw on biogeochemical cycling, it is necessary to understand the spatial heterogeneity of biogeochemical processes in Arctic soils. The distribution of stored organic carbon varies across the permafrost landscape, and with depth (Kuhr et al., 2010). Organic carbon accumulation and storage in permafrost is maximised in regions where waterlogged conditions dominate, as under these conditions, net primary production exceeds decomposition (Kolka et al., 2015). Hydrology exerts a powerful influence on the type of gaseous products released from decomposing permafrost organic carbon. For example, drained soil allows previously frozen organic carbon to decompose rapidly under oxic conditions, producing carbon dioxide (Liljedahl et al., 2012; Elberling et al., 2013), whereas water saturation limits the oxygen supply and enhances anaerobic respiration and methane production (Turetsky et al., 2008; Lipson et al., 2012; Olefeldt et al., 2013). However, under anaerobic conditions, alternative electron acceptors such as nitrate, manganese, iron and sulfate may be used preferentially in the microbial respiration of organic carbon, and inhibit the production of methane (Kristjansson and Schönheit, 1983; Díse and Verry, 2001). The use of alternative electron acceptors increases carbon dioxide production relative to methane, and hence the coupling between hydrology and the availability of alternative electron acceptors plays a crucial role in determining the magnitude of permafrost carbon emissions.

Hodson et al. (2016) conducted hydrological monitoring that demonstrated how lowland runoff draining the reactive, fine-grained sediments of Svalbard’s Central Tertiary Basin (i.e., Adventdalen, the site of the present study) acquires reactive iron from pyrite oxidation. Pyrite oxidation exerted a major control upon the composition of this runoff, as evidenced by the presence of high sulfate and catonation concentrations (Hodson et al., 2016). This process has also been documented in several nearby catchments (Fardalen, Bolterdalen and Longyeardalen; Yde et al., 2008; Rutter et al., 2011; Hindshaw et al., 2016). Therefore, sediments and water in Adventdalen and the surrounding area have an abundance of alternative electron acceptors, such as ferric iron and sulfate, for the microbial oxidation of organic carbon. The abundance of ferric iron and sulfate in the waters draining through Adventdalen is important in the context of greenhouse gas emissions because Fe(III)- and sulfate-reduction are thermodynamically favourable, and therefore competitive, relative to methanogenesis. The availability of Fe(III) has been reported to decrease methanogenesis in the permafrost landscape of Barrow, Alaska (Lipson et al., 2012; Miller et al., 2015) and the availability of sulfate can decrease methane production in wetlands (e.g., Pester et al., 2012). Hodson et al. (2016) used the decrease in concentrations of sulfate and *Fe* (i.e., dissolved and colloidal iron that passed through a 0.45 µm filter) at low flow to infer removal by sulfate reduction and precipitation of pyrite (FeS₂), iron monosulfide (FeS) and possibly elemental sulfur in ground waters draining through an alluvial sediment fan in Endalen (a tributary to Adventdalen). However, the processes removing *Fe* and sulfate from these waters were hypothesised to be limited by a lack of organic matter (e.g., Raiswell and Canfield, 2012). A scarcity of organic matter has also been observed to limit the removal of *Fe* and sulfate from pore water in fjord sediment cores in Svalbard (Wehrmann et al., 2014). From these findings, it is clearly necessary to understand if the accumulation of organic carbon in such environments results in enhanced removal of iron and sulfate from solution.

The objective of this study is to determine how the vertical and lateral heterogeneity of organic carbon accumulation in a high Arctic fjord valley influences the biogeochemical processes in the active layer and shallow permafrost sediments and pore water. This study presents and discusses geochemical analyses of pore water and sediment sampled from cores collected from the active layer and shallow permafrost of ice-wedge polygonal terrain in a high Arctic fjord valley.

FIELD SITE DESCRIPTION

Adventdalen (78°19’N, 15°93’E) is a 12 km × 4 km valley, oriented NW-SE, in central Svalbard. The van Mijenfjord and Adventdalen Groups, which contain sedimentary rocks (sandstones, shales, and carbonates), comprise the lithology of the Adventdalen catchment. The sandstones and shales contain iron-bearing mineral phases, such as pyrite, siderite and glauconite (Dallmann et al., 1999; Riber, 2009; Svinth, 2013). There is also a small amount of iron in biotite and chlorite (chamosite; Hodson et al., 2016).

Glacial retreat and postglacial isostatic rebound influence landscape evolution (Milne and Shennan, 2007). Consequently, permafrost aggradation in many high Arctic fjord valleys has involved the freezing of reactive, fine-grained sediment originally deposited on the fjord floor via glacio-marine sedimentation and delta progradation throughout deglaciation (Haldorsen et al., 2010; Gilbert et al., 2018). During the Last Glacial Maximum, Adventdalen was filled with an erosive ice stream; this caused permafrost to thaw (Humlum et al., 2003; Humlum, 2005; Landvik et al., 2005). After retreat of the ice sheet, the glacial isostatic rebound of Svalbard meant that relative sea level fell, and a Gilbert-type delta prograded into Adventfjorden (Lønne and Nemec, 2004). In Adventdalen, the deeper permafrost is...
epigenetic, as it formed after the progradation of the delta (Gilbert et al., 2018). The shallower syngenetic permafrost in the aeolian terraces of Adventdalen aggraded concurrently with aeolian sedimentation (Gilbert et al., 2018). Although permafrost only aggraded since deglaciation, it is continuous in Svalbard, where it reaches a thickness of 80–100 m near the coast (Brown et al., 1997; Humlum, 2005). The seasonally thawed layer, or “active layer,” can be 0.4–6 m thick in Svalbard (Christiansen, 2005). In Adventdalen, the active layer thickness is around 1 m (Christiansen et al., 2010). This study focuses on the zone 0–2 m depth, which involves sampling the active layer and shallow permafrost.

The climate of Svalbard is polar tundra (Kottek et al., 2006) and in Longyearbyen between 1981 and 2010, the mean annual air temperature (MAAT) was −4.6°C (Førland et al., 2011). Between 1989 and 2011, MAAT increased by 1.25°C per decade (Førland et al., 2011) and in 2018, the MAAT was −1.8°C (eklima.met.no). The mean annual ground temperature (MAGT) ranges from −5.6°C to −3.2°C in the Adventdalen area (Christiansen et al., 2010) and the rising air temperatures have caused a recent increase in permafrost temperatures (Isaksen et al., 2019). The vegetation cover in the valley varies between 1.3 g m⁻² and 27.2 g m⁻² (moss) and 2.8 g m⁻² and 9.6 g m⁻² (vascular plants), with the amount of moss dependent on the soil moisture (Sjögersten et al., 2006).

The study sites (Figures 1A–C) were selected with the aim of sampling the heterogeneity of permafrost environments in the fjord valley. Table 1 lists the sample locations with details of the sampling methods. The sites are covered with Late Holocene loess (aeolian) deposits overlying alluvial and deltaic deposits (Cable et al., 2017; Gilbert et al., 2018). The aeolian deposits and alluvial fans dominate the syngenetic permafrost aggradation, whilst the permafrost beneath constitutes the epigenetic permafrost aggradation (following downward freezing; Gilbert et al., 2018; Toft-Hornum et al., In Review). The study sites are situated in areas of low-centred ice-wedge polygons, which are common in Adventdalen (Sørbel and Tolgensbakk, 2002). Ice Wedge North (Figure 1B) is a water-saturated wetland, mainly fed by springs, whereas Ice Wedge South (Figure 1C) is mainly precipitation-fed and only sporadically inundated by local snowmelt and rainfall.

### Pore Water Extractions

A pore water extraction method was adapted from Spence et al. (2005). Vials containing samples were transferred to a Coy Vinyl Anaerobic chamber with a N₂ atmosphere (0 ppm oxygen). Each vial was weighed to determine the sample mass. Nitrogen-sparged de-ionised water (Milli-Q) was added to fill each vial. The vials were reweighed to determine the mass and volume of water added. A 3 ml volume of water was subsequently removed from the top of the vial to create a headspace. The vials were crimp-capped, inverted and stored for 5 days at 4°C whilst submerged in water (to prevent gas diffusion across the septa). This storage time enabled the de-ionised water to equilibrate with the sediment pore water (e.g., Spence et al., 2005). 7 days after first saturation of the sample, the vials were centrifuged at 7750 rpm for 5 min and transferred back to the anaerobic chamber. The equilibrated supernatant was filtered (0.22 µm nylon syringe filter) for chemical analysis and the sediment remaining in the vials was weighed after drying at 105°C for 24 h (Kokelj and Burn, 2003; Ernakovich et al., 2017). The vials were then reweighed to determine the initial moisture content of the samples.

Major ions (Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Na^+, K^+, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, and SO₄^{2−}) were determined by ion chromatography ( Dionex ion chromatograph, DX 90; limit of detection or “LOD” = 0.02 mg l⁻¹ for the lowest, undiluted analysis; precision <5% for the mid-range standards). Trace metals (Fe, Mn) were determined on a 5 ml sample acidified with 50 µl reagent grade HNO₃ (Fisher Scientific Trace Metal Grade), using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry or ICPMS (PerkinElmer Elan DRC II, MA, United States). The precision errors for repeat analyses of mid-range standards were <5%, and the detection limits were 1.0 µg l⁻¹. Analyte concentrations were corrected for blank analyses and corrected for the dilution during the pore water extraction.

### Solid Phase Analyses

Carbon and nitrogen elemental abundance were determined by drying sediment samples at 105°C, acidifying in 6 M HCl, rinsing, drying, homogenising, weighing between 25 and 50 mg of each sample into a tin capsule and analysing on an Elementar vario EL cube (Animal and Plant Sciences Department, University of Sheffield). Elemental concentrations were checked with acetonitrile standards (C₆H₅NO₂; Merck; n = 28, % C = 70.96 ± 0.67, % N = 10.34 ± 0.10), with 2 blanks and 2 acetonitrile standards run every 15 samples.

Acid-volatile sulfur (AVS) and chromium-reducible sulfur (CRS) were determined at the University of Leeds. A two-step distillation method was applied to freeze-dried and milled sediment samples, first using 6 M HCl and then boiling 3 M CrCl₂ solution (Canfield et al., 1986; Fossing and Barker Jørgensen, 1989). In each extraction, H₂S was precipitated as Ag₂S, filtered, dried, and sulfide was determined gravimetrically. The stoichiometry of the phase was used to convert the mass to weight percent (FeS for AVS; FeS₂ for CRS). Different operationally defined iron mineral phases were targeted with a four-step sequential extraction procedure applied to 100 mg freeze-dried and milled sediment samples. To extract amorphous and nanoparticulate iron (oxyhydr)oxide phases...
(Fe<sub>ascorbate</sub>), each sample was shaken for 24 h with 10 ml ascorbate solution (50 g l<sup>−1</sup> sodium citrate, 50 g l<sup>−1</sup> sodium bicarbonate and 10 g l<sup>−1</sup> of ascorbic acid; buffered at pH 7.5; Raiswell et al., 2008). To target iron bound in carbonates (Fe<sub>acetate</sub>), the residual sample was shaken for 48 h at 50°C with 10 ml sodium acetate solution (1 M sodium acetate solution buffered with acetic acid to pH 4.5; Poulton and Canfield, 2005). To target crystalline iron (oxyhydr)oxides (Fe<sub>dithionite</sub>), the residual sample was then shaken for 2 h with 10 ml dithionite solution (50 g l<sup>−1</sup> sodium dithionate buffered to pH 4.8 with acetic acid.

**FIGURE 1** (A) The Svalbard archipelago (inset) and Adventdalen, with a white circle indicating each site where ice-wedge polygons were sampled. (B) overlooking polygon N1, facing east, and (C) overlooking polygon S1, facing north. Photo credit: E.L. Jones.
TABLE 1 | Sampling locations, in UTM zone 33X.

| Site Name         | Site Code         | Latitude (UTM) | Longitude (UTM) | Sample Type | Sampling Date       | Shipping Date |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Ice Wedge South   | S1                | 8679400        | 521010          | 1 core      | 15.06.15            | 28.09.15      |
| S2a               | 8679343           |                | 521042          | 8 water samples | 26.08.17 & 27.08.17 | 29.09.17      |
| S2b               | 8679343           |                | 521042          | 1 core      | 12.02.17            | 19.06.17      |
| Ice Wedge North   | N1                | 8680446        | 522541          | 1 core      | 02.05.16            | 10.05.16      |
| N2                | 8681819           | 519780         | 1 core          | 15.04.14    | 29.04.14            |               |
| N1w               | 8680446           | 522541         | 9 water samples | 31.08.17 & 01.09.17 | 29.09.17      |

The sample types are cores of the active layer and shallow permafrost and waters from the active layer extracted via MacroRhizon samplers. All samples were extracted from the polygon centre.

and sodium citrate; Poulton and Canfield, 2005). Lastly, for magnetite (Fe$_{\text{oxy}}$), the residual sample was shaken with 10 ml ammonium oxalate solution (0.2 M ammonium oxalate/0.17 M oxalic acid buffered with ammonium hydroxide to pH 3.2) for 6 h (Poulton and Canfield, 2005). These extractions were performed at room temperature (except for Fe$_{\text{carb}}$ at 50°C). After centrifugation (4000 rpm for 4 min at 21°C), the supernatant from each extraction was stored at 4°C until analysis by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). Recent research has shown that mineralogical associations based on sequential chemical extractions need to be treated with caution (Oonk et al., 2017; Slotznick et al., 2020; Hepburn et al., 2020). For instance, the efficacy of the Fe$_{\text{acetate}}$ stage in extracting iron bound in carbonates ranges from 3 to 85% (Oonk et al., 2017; Hepburn et al., 2020). The solubility of the targeted minerals depends upon their grain size, crystallinity and mineralogical association (Slotznick et al., 2020). Therefore, this study draws only tentative links between the extraction steps and the specific minerals represented by each step.

**In situ Pore Water Sampling and Analyses**

In late summer 2017, pore waters from the S1 polygon at Ice Wedge South and the N1 polygon at Ice Wedge North were sampled *in situ* using MacroRhizon soil moisture samplers (Van Walt Ltd.). Water samples for the analysis of $\delta^{34}$S-SO$_4$ and $\delta^{18}$O-SO$_4$ were collected to fill 50 ml centrifuge tubes and were stored at 4°C until processing and analysis at Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, United Kingdom. Water samples for the analysis of $\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O were collected to fill Eppendorf tubes and were stored at 4°C until analysis at the University of East Anglia (UEA). Water samples for the analysis of $\delta^{18}$O-SO$_4$ and $\delta^{34}$S-SO$_4$ were loaded onto ion exchange resins (Supelite$^\text{TM}$ DAX-8 for removal of dissolved organic matter; Dowex 50 W-X8 for removal of cations; and Dowex AG2 x 8 for removal of anions). Anions were eluted from the Dowex$^\text{®}$ AG2 x 8 using aliquots of 1 M ultrapure HCl to a total volume of 1.5 ml. A 0.2 ml volume of 1 M BaCl$_2$ was added to the eluted sample, and the samples were left for 48 h at 4°C to allow BaSO$_4$ to precipitate. Each sample was rinsed three times with Milli-Q de-ionised water using centrifugation and re-suspension to remove any interfering products (e.g., chlorides). The samples were dried at 40°C.

The dry samples were weighed into tin or silver capsules for analysis of $\delta^{34}$S-SO$_4$ and $\delta^{18}$O-SO$_4$, respectively. Isotopic analysis was undertaken by Elemental Analyser (Elementar Pyrocube) linked to a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime 100 with dual inlet capability for injection of monitoring gases), following methods in Wynn et al. (2015). Combustion of BaSO$_4$ within tin capsules yielded SO$_2$ for determination of $\delta^{34}$S. Analytical conditions demanded the use of vanadium pentoxide as an oxidizing agent and a combustion temperature of 1120°C. Pyrolysis of BaSO$_4$ at 1450°C within silver capsules and in the presence of carbon black, yielded CO for the determination of $\delta^{18}$O. $\delta^{34}$S values were corrected against Vienna Cañon Diablo Troilite (VCDT) using within run analyses of international standards NBS-127 and SO5. $\delta^{18}$O values were corrected to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) using within-run analyses of NBS-127 and SO6. Internal standard MLSG (a subglacial meltwater precipitate of BaSO$_4$) was used to monitor drift and precision within each run as well as external precision between analytical sequences. Within-run standard replication (1SD) was better than ± 0.3‰ for both sulfur and oxygen isotope values.

Water samples for the analysis of $\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O$_4$ were analysed at the University of East Anglia (UEA) with a Picasso 1102i analyser, by direct injection of 2.6 µl of water. Samples were measured together with two United States Geological Survey (USGS) standards: USGS 64444 and USGS 67400 and a UEA Norwich Tap Water (NTW) internal laboratory standard. Using the calibration line defined by the USGS standards, the true isotopic composition of the samples was calculated, relative to VSMOW.

**Precipitation Correction**

Pre-melt snowpack chemistry data from Svalbard were compiled (Hodgkins et al., 1997; Wynn et al., 2006; Tye et al., 2007; Yde et al., 2008) to calculate the mean X/Cl ratios (where X is a major anion or cation). The following ratios in the snowpack were linked to a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime 100 with dual inlet capability for injection of monitoring gases), following methods in Wynn et al. (2015). Combustion of BaSO$_4$ within tin capsules yielded SO$_2$ for determination of $\delta^{34}$S. Analytical conditions demanded the use of vanadium pentoxide as an oxidizing agent and a combustion temperature of 1120°C. Pyrolysis of BaSO$_4$ at 1450°C within silver capsules and in the presence of carbon black, yielded CO for the determination of $\delta^{18}$O. $\delta^{34}$S values were corrected against Vienna Cañon Diablo Troilite (VCDT) using within run analyses of international standards NBS-127 and SO5. $\delta^{18}$O values were corrected to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) using within-run analyses of NBS-127 and SO6. Internal standard MLSG (a subglacial meltwater precipitate of BaSO$_4$) was used to monitor drift and precision within each run as well as external precision between analytical sequences. Within-run standard replication (1SD) was better than ± 0.3‰ for both sulfur and oxygen isotope values.

Water samples for the analysis of $\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O$_4$ were analysed at the University of East Anglia (UEA) with a Picasso 1102i analyser, by direct injection of 2.6 µl of water. Samples were measured together with two United States Geological Survey (USGS) standards: USGS 64444 and USGS 67400 and a UEA Norwich Tap Water (NTW) internal laboratory standard. Using the calibration line defined by the USGS standards, the true isotopic composition of the samples was calculated, relative to VSMOW.

The dry samples were weighed into tin or silver capsules for analysis of $\delta^{34}$S-SO$_4$ and $\delta^{18}$O-SO$_4$, respectively. Isotopic analysis was undertaken by Elemental Analyser (Elementar Pyrocube) linked to a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Isoprime 100 with dual inlet capability for injection of monitoring gases), following methods in Wynn et al. (2015). Combustion of BaSO$_4$ within tin capsules yielded SO$_2$ for determination of $\delta^{34}$S. Analytical conditions demanded the use of vanadium pentoxide as an oxidizing agent and a combustion temperature of 1120°C. Pyrolysis of BaSO$_4$ at 1450°C within silver capsules and in the presence of carbon black, yielded CO for the determination of $\delta^{18}$O. $\delta^{34}$S values were corrected against Vienna Cañon Diablo Troilite (VCDT) using within run analyses of international standards NBS-127 and SO5. $\delta^{18}$O values were corrected to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) using within-run analyses of NBS-127 and SO6. Internal standard MLSG (a subglacial meltwater precipitate of BaSO$_4$) was used to monitor drift and precision within each run as well as external precision between analytical sequences. Within-run standard replication (1SD) was better than ± 0.3‰ for both sulfur and oxygen isotope values.

Water samples for the analysis of $\delta^{18}$O-H$_2$O$_4$ were analysed at the University of East Anglia (UEA) with a Picasso 1102i analyser, by direct injection of 2.6 µl of water. Samples were measured together with two United States Geological Survey (USGS) standards: USGS 64444 and USGS 67400 and a UEA Norwich Tap Water (NTW) internal laboratory standard. Using the calibration line defined by the USGS standards, the true isotopic composition of the samples was calculated, relative to VSMOW.
The residual \( fX_{npd} \) represents the fraction of each anion or cation in pore water derived from weathering (Equation 2):

\[
fX_{npd} = 1 - fX_{pd}
\]

The \( \delta^{34}\text{S-\text{SO}_4} \) values were corrected for snow inputs, following Equation (3) (from Hindshaw et al., 2016) and using the mean snowpack \( \delta^{34}\text{S-\text{SO}_4} \) value of 17.5\% from the compilation of pre-melt snowpack chemistry from Svalbard.

\[
\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{npd}} = \frac{\delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{pw}} - f \cdot \delta^{34}\text{S}_{\text{snow}}}{(1 - f)}
\]

Where \( f \) is the fraction of sulfate derived from snowmelt. The \( \delta^{18}\text{O-\text{SO}_4} \) values were corrected in the same way as in Equation (3), using the mean snowpack \( \delta^{18}\text{O-\text{SO}_4} \) value of 9.28\% from the compilation of pre-melt snowpack chemistry from Svalbard.

**Bedrock Sulfide \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) Sampling and Analyses**

Bedrock samples (sandstones, siltstones, shales) from each geological formation in the Adventdalen Group and the Carolinefjellet Formation were obtained from cores 13/2013 (33X E522859 N8685197, Dirigenten), BH9/05 (Urdkollbreen, 33X E528365 N8647669) and outcrops in Adventdalen. Rock samples were cut into small blocks using a lapidary trim saw (Lortone, United States) and weathered surfaces removed using a grinding surface (Saphir 330, ATM, Germany). Rocks were washed with de-ionised water in an ultrasonic bath before being dried at \( 50^\circ \text{C} \). Dried rocks were pulverised in a steel pestle and mortar into small chips which were further crushed to a fine powder in an agate disc mill (Tema, United Kingdom). Crushing equipment was washed with water, dried with compressed air and cleaned with ethanol between samples to prevent contamination. Chromium-reducible sulfur (CRS) was extracted from ground rock using the method previously described (Section “Solid Phase Analyses”). The resultant AgS precipitates were weighed into tin capsules for \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) analysis via combustion in an Elementar Pyrocube elemental analyser coupled to an Isoprobe continuous flow mass spectrometer at the University of Leeds. Samples were combusted at 1150°C to SO\(_2\) in the presence of pure oxygen (N5.0) into a stream of helium (CP grade). The SO\(_2\) produced flowed through tungstic oxide packed into the combustion column to ensure quantitative conversion. Excess oxygen was removed by reaction with hot copper wires at 850°C and water was removed in a Scipent trap. Duplicate aliquots of silver sulfide were prepared because of a small sulfur isotope memory effect incurred during SO\(_2\) processing in the pyrocube. \( \delta^{34}\text{S} \) values were corrected to the VCDT scale using international standard IAEA S-3 (−32.06\%) and an inter-lab chalcopryte standard CP-1 (−4.56\%). Reproducibility of a within-run check standard (BaSO\(_4\)) was ±0.24\% (1 SD).

**Data Analyses**

Data analyses were performed in Microsoft Excel and R Core Team (2017) and graphics were prepared with Excel or the ggplot2 R package. Prior to testing correlations between variables, Shapiro Wilk’s method was used to test whether data were normally distributed. Many variables were not normally distributed and their inter-relationships were non-linear. Therefore, monotonous relationships between the variables were tested using Spearman correlation, which is appropriate for non-normally distributed data and is particularly robust for dealing with skewed distributions and outliers (du Pree et al., 2010).

**RESULTS**

Figure 2 shows that the Ice Wedge North cores had a significantly higher gravimetric water content (g water g\(^{-1}\) dry sediment) than the Ice Wedge South cores according to Welch’s t-test (Table 2). The mean gravimetric water content in the N1 core was 2.79 g g\(^{-1}\) (maximum was 9.14 g g\(^{-1}\) and highest both at the surface and below 90 cm depth, whereas N2 had a mean gravimetric water content of 6.05 g g\(^{-1}\), with no clear patterns with depth. In S1, however, the mean water content was 0.57 g g\(^{-1}\), with peaks near the surface, and at 105, 141 and 169 cm, and a max water content of 1.32 g g\(^{-1}\). The mean gravimetric water content of S2a and S2b was similar (0.58 and 0.45 g g\(^{-1}\), respectively). Both these cores had an increased water content near the surface.

The concentration of chloride was higher in the cores from Ice Wedge South, compared to those from Ice Wedge North (Figures 2F–J). The concentration of chloride peaked close to the base of the active layer in cores from both sites (Figures 2F–J). There was also a peak in chloride concentration near the ground surface at N1 (Figure 2F). There was an accumulation of chloride in the underlying permafrost of cores N2, S2a and S2b (Figures 2G–J).

The sedimentary organic carbon content for the two sites also differed significantly according to Welch’s t-test (Table 2). Figure 2 and Table 2 show that Ice Wedge North had a higher organic carbon content than Ice Wedge South. The surface of N1 had a high organic carbon content (29.7 w.t.%). In the permafrost, the organic carbon content was high, but variable (low at 30–70 cm depths, peaking at 100–140 cm depth). S1, however, had a more uniform and lower organic carbon content (mean of 2.38 wt.%), but with a peak near the surface (5.40 w.t.) and at 61 cm depth (4.65 w.t.%).

The concentrations of Fe\(_{\text{aq}}\) and sulfate in pore waters differed significantly between the two sites, according to Welch’s t-test (Table 2). Figures 3A,B show that the Fe\(_{\text{aq}}\) in N1 and N2 was <3.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\). In N1, peaks in aqueous iron occurred at 31, 67, 93, and 155 cm depth. In N2, the peaks were broader and at depths of 15–73 cm, 109–139 cm, and 197 cm. Figures 3E,G show that while N2 had a sulfate concentration <1.3 mmol L\(^{-1}\), N1 had up to 4.7 mmol L\(^{-1}\) in the peak at the active layer base. N1 had a clear pattern in sulfate, increasing from the surface toward the base of the active layer, and then decreasing into the permafrost (with a small peak ~150 cm).

Figures 3C–E show that the concentration of Fe\(_{\text{aq}}\) was low (<1.5 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) in the active layer of all the Ice Wedge South cores. Fe\(_{\text{aq}}\) in S1 peaked at 75 cm and 123 cm depth. The Fe\(_{\text{aq}}\) concentration in the permafrost of S2a and S2b had less distinct
peaks, but increased with depth, reaching over 7.5 mmol L$^{-1}$.

Figures 3H–J show that sulfate followed similar depth trends to Fe(aq) for all three Ice Wedge South cores, reaching >20 mmol L$^{-1}$ in core S2b. The sulfate concentration in the active layer was higher than the Fe(aq) concentration.

Figures 4A–F show that N1 had only low concentrations of calcium and magnesium in pore water (<6 mmol L$^{-1}$), with the highest values of both cations in the uppermost core sample. N2 had similarly low concentrations of calcium and magnesium (Figures 4B,G). S1 displayed distinct peaks in all cations at the base of the active layer and >1 m depth in the permafrost (Figures 4, 5C,H). S2a and S2b show the greatest range in concentrations of calcium and magnesium (reaching ∼15 mmol L$^{-1}$), with higher concentrations in the permafrost...
TABLE 2 | Results of Welch’s t-tests comparing organic carbon, gravimetric water content, Fe(aq), sulfate, CH₄(aq), CRS, Fe₃⁺, Fe₄⁺, Fe°, Fe⁺, and Fe₂⁺ for Ice Wedge South and Ice Wedge North.

| Variable          | t  | df | p-Value | Ice Wedge South | Ice Wedge North | Units of mean |
|-------------------|----|----|---------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
|                   |    |    |         | mean           | mean            | SD            |
|                   |    |    |         | n       | n       | Dry wt.% | g g⁻¹ | mmol l⁻¹ | μmol l⁻¹ |
| Organic carbon    | -7.9 | 60.8 | ***     | 2.30 | 0.86 | 8 | 30 | 12.5 | 9.94 | 60 |
| Gravimetric water content | -7.4 | 72.5 | ***     | 0.53 | 0.34 | 113 | 6 | 4.68 | 73 | 9 |
| Fe(aq)            | 8.7 | 127.8 | ***     | 2.92 | 2.76 | 113 | 6 | 0.60 | 73 | 9 |
| Sulfate           | 14.6 | 125.9 | ***     | 7.49 | 4.81 | 113 | 7 | 0.97 | 73 | 9 |
| CH₄(aq)           | -10.3 | 70.1 | ***     | 1.24 | 2.13 | 113 | 7 | 0.04 | 73 | 9 |
| CRS               | -3.1 | 6.2 | *        | 0.01 | 0.01 | 9 | 1.0 | 0.36 | 30 | 9 |
| Fe₃⁺              | -4.1 | 11.6 | **       | 0.47 | 0.14 | 10 | 1.0 | 0.10 | 10 | 9 |
| Fe₄⁺              | 7.5 | 17.7 | ***     | 0.44 | 0.09 | 10 | 1.0 | 0.10 | 10 | 9 |
| Fe°               | -3.5 | 10.2 | **       | 0.50 | 0.11 | 10 | 1.0 | 0.10 | 10 | 9 |
| Fe⁺               | 5.1 | 17.4 | ***     | 0.76 | 0.17 | 10 | 0.3 | 0.21 | 10 | 9 |

t is the t statistic, df is the degrees of freedom, p is the significance level, SD is the standard deviation of the mean and n is the number of samples. Asterisks indicate level of significance: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; and ***p < 0.001.

(Figures 4D,E,1,J). The concentration of potassium was generally <2.5 mmol L⁻¹ in N2, S1, S2a and S2b (Figure 5), but reached >20 mmol L⁻¹ in N2. The sodium concentration was <10 mmol L⁻¹ in all the cores (Figures 5F–J).

The concentration of solid phase iron species was variable in the N1 core, with concentrations: Fe₃⁺ < 1.6 wt.%, Fe₄⁺ < 0.35 wt.%. Fe° was the dominant extracted iron phase at N1, reaching a maximum of 1.57 wt.% (Table 3 and Supplementary Figures S1A,C,E). Fe₂⁺ at N1 was < 0.71 wt.% (Supplementary Figure S1G). N1 had the highest CRS at 15 cm depth and at 115 cm depth (Supplementary Figure S1K), which tracked the organic carbon content. AVS was detected at 15 cm in N1, and also in all three samples measured between 114 and 156 cm (Supplementary Figure S1I). Compared with the porewater Fe(aq) and sulfate profiles, the concentration of solid phase iron species was constant with depth in S1 (Supplementary Figures S1B,D,F,H,J,L). Fe₃⁺ was < 0.8 wt.%, Fe₄⁺ was < 0.6 wt.%, Fe° was < 0.8 wt.%, Fe⁺ was < 1 wt.%, CRS was < 0.05 wt.%, and no AVS was detected in this core (Table 3).

Overall, N1 had less Fe₃⁺ and Fe⁺ than S1. N1 contained an order of magnitude more CRS than S1 (Table 3).

Table 4 summarises the δ³⁴S-SO₄ results obtained from samples of water within the active layer. Overall, water from Ice Wedge North water was more enriched in ³⁴S than water from Ice Wedge South. The Ice Wedge North δ³⁴S-SO₄ was increasing depleted in ³⁴S with increasing depth. At Ice Wedge South, the water δ³⁴S-SO₄ was most enriched in ³⁴S at 30 cm depth, whereas the δ³⁴S-SO₄ was more depleted in ³⁴S at depths of 9 cm and 60 cm.

DISCUSSION

Since emergence from the sea during the Holocene epoch, permafrost aggradation and ongoing organic carbon accumulation have most likely caused significant changes in the biogeochemical processes and mineral precipitation reactions within this high Arctic floodplain. The two sites described above help understand these changes because their contrasting hydrological regimes result in marked differences in the accumulation and decomposition of permafrost organic carbon, in spite of their proximity within the same valley. Below, we describe how the quantity of organic carbon regulates the consumption of alternative electron acceptors used for microbial oxidation of organic carbon, thus causing a switch in the water-saturated areas of the floodplain to iron- and sulfate-reduction, with net iron and carbon storage via increased CRS and Fe₂⁺ precipitation. In contrast, the drier areas store far less organic carbon and instead remain dominated by the biogeochemical signatures of pyrite oxidation. These processes seem most likely during the earlier stages of floodplain development, when they play a dominant role in the weathering of fresh mineral surfaces, as expressed by the composition of glacial meltwaters in the nearby Endalen, Bolterdalen and Longyeardalen catchments (Yde et al., 2008; Rutter et al., 2011; Hodson et al., 2016, respectively). In the following discussion, we therefore explore first of all the dominant weathering processes that are linked to pyrite oxidation, before examining the other processes associated with sulfur and iron biogeochemistry that better explain the later stages of biogeochemical evolution, once more organic carbon has become available.

Dominant Weathering Reactions

The pore water profiles in Figures 2–5 represent the following attributes of both the active layer and the underlying permafrost: (1) the in situ distribution of extractable or adsorbed solutes, reactive mineral phases, and gases, and (2) the products of additional rock-water-microorganism interactions following thaw. Across both sites, except for N1 where potassium dominated, calcium and magnesium were the dominant cations (Figures 4, 5), indicating that carbonate dissolution prevails over silicate dissolution, despite a low carbonate content in the sediments (< 0.8 dry wt.% at Ice Wedge South) and low volumetric carbonate contents (~0.3 to 1.8%, but up to 10.7%) in rocks from the Todalen and Endalen endmembers (Svinth, 2013).
This contrasts with a study in a nearby unglaciated catchment (Fardalen) that found a relatively high proportion of silicate weathering, suggested to be the result of a combination of relatively rapid leaching of carbonate phases from the active layer and low rates of physical weathering in the sediments, failing to expose fresh carbonates to weathering (Hindshaw et al., 2016). However, carbonate weathering has previously been shown to control the water chemistry of both glacial and non-glacial watersheds, even where the bedrock is predominantly silicate, with only trace amounts of carbonate (Blum et al., 1998; Horton et al., 1999). This strongly suggests that although the low carbonate content coupled with the potential for active layer leaching might limit the importance of carbonate weathering in Adventdalen to some degree, the reactivity of the carbonate phases (compared to silicates) compensated for these factors.

The weathering processes responsible for the acquisition of Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) in the sediment pore water are most likely to be
(2) Sulfide oxidation coupled to carbonate weathering (where \(x\) is equal to 1 or 0):

\[
4\text{FeS}_2 + 16\text{Ca}_{1-x}(\text{Mg}_x)\text{CO}_3 + 15\text{O}_2 + 14\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\rightarrow 4\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3 + 16(1-x)\text{Ca}^{2+} + 16x\text{Mg}^{2+} + 16\text{HCO}_3^- + 8\text{SO}_4^{2-}
\]

(5)

The covariance between ions is used here to identify the dominant weathering processes in the entire active layer and permafrost. Evidence for dolomite weathering as a source of both \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) and \(\text{Mg}^{2+}\) was therefore provided by the strong positive correlation between these ions at Ice Wedge South (\(p < 0.0001\), \(\rho = 0.95\)) and a regression slope close to unity (0.89). When corrected for precipitation inputs (rain and snow) of both cations, the slope remained unchanged (Figure 6), but the intercept decreased significantly toward zero (0.24 mmol L\(^{-1}\)). Therefore dolomite represents a credible, common source for both ions.

Although \((\text{Ca} + \text{Mg})_{\text{npd}}\) was strongly correlated with \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}_{\text{npd}}\) \((p < 0.0001\), \(\rho = 0.81\)), the regression slope was 0.73, which suggests that some of the sulfate was associated with other processes. Given the presence of silicates in the catchment, sulfide oxidation coupled to silicate dissolution may play a role in making up the deficit. Since silicates in the catchment are mainly present as Na- and K-feldspars, they may be represented by the formulae: \(\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8\) and \(\text{KAlSi}_3\text{O}_8\) in the following reactions (Tranter et al., 2002; Hindshaw et al., 2016):

1. Silicate dissolution with carbonic acid (where \(x\) is equal to 1 or 0):

\[
2\text{Na}_{(1-x)}\text{K}_x\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8 (s) + 22\text{CO}_2 (aq) \\
+ 112\text{H}_2\text{O} (l) = 2(1-x)\text{Na}^+ (aq) \\
+ 2x\text{K}^+ + 2\text{HCO}_3^- 2\text{HCO}_3^- (aq) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \\
+ \text{Al}_2\text{Si}_{23}\text{O}_{58}(\text{OH})_4 (s) + 4\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4
\]

(6)

2. Sulfide oxidation coupled to silicate dissolution (where \(x\) is equal to 1 or 0):

\[
16\text{Na}_{1-x}\text{K}_x\text{AlSi}_3\text{O}_8 (s) + 4\text{FeS}_2 (aq) \\
+ 15\text{O}_2 (aq) + 86\text{H}_2\text{O} (l) \\
= 16(1-x)\text{Na}^+ (aq) + 16x\text{K}^+ + 8\text{SO}_4^{2-} (aq) \\
+ 4\text{Al}_4\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{10} (\text{OH})_8 (s) \\
+ 4\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3 (s) + 32\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4(aq)
\]

(7)

When silicate and carbonate dissolution are both driven by sulfide oxidation, the total base cation (\(\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{Na}^+ + \text{K}^+\)) ratio to \(\text{SO}_4^{2-}\) tends toward unity when precipitation inputs are insignificant (Fairchild et al., 1994; Tranter et al., 2002; Wadham et al., 2010). However, this characteristic signature can be overprinted or masked by gypsum dissolution, ion exchange reactions
or mineral precipitation reactions that remove base cations from solution. Of these, gypsum may be ignored, because it is absent from the bedrock (Svinth, 2013). Prior to correction for precipitation inputs, the total base cation ratio to sulfate at Ice Wedge South was 0.98 ($r^2 = 0.68$). The standard correction for precipitation inputs resulted in a lower regression slope of 0.76 ($r^2 = 0.70$; Figure 7A). Of the base cations, sodium was particularly affected by the above masking effects, with non-precipitation inputs appearing negative, similar to that which may be inferred from pore water data for Adventdalen sediment cores presented by Cable et al. (2017). This is indicative of ion exchange reactions or mineral precipitation (e.g., albite) and hence precludes the use of the non-precipitation ion ratios to estimate the importance of sulfide oxidation coupled to silicate dissolution.

Since the concentration of $SO_4^{2-}$ derived from pyrite oxidation was high and developed within a sometimes-anoxic environment, alternative processes of pyrite oxidation to those shown by Equations 5 and 7 require identification. For instance, under acidic conditions, the $Fe(OH)_3$ produced via Equations 5 and 7 dissociates to form $Fe^{3+}$, the reduction of which could result in a significant contribution of ferrous iron to the total cations in solution (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012; Hodson et al., 2016; Raiswell et al., 2018).

$$14Fe^{3+} + 2Fe(OH)_3 + FeS_2 + 82H_2O \rightarrow 153Fe^{2+} + 2SO_4^{2-} + 160H^+ \quad (8)$$

However, the reduction of iron (oxyhydr)oxide need not be achieved in combination with sulfide oxidation (Equation 9).

$$4Fe(OH)_3 + CH_2O + 8H^+ \rightarrow 4Fe^{2+} + 11H_2O + CO_2 \quad (9)$$

In contrast to Ice Wedge South, Figure 7B shows that the Ice Wedge North pore waters generally do not plot on the 1:1 line, and so there is no relationship between sulfate and total cations for these. Despite this, some samples from this site have a sulfate to chloride ratio greater than the snowpack sulfate to chloride ratio. This indicates that sulfate oxidation has enhanced the sulfate concentrations. The absence of a 1:1 relationship between total cations and sulfate at this site therefore strongly suggests that the sulfate produced by sulfide oxidation is removed in part by sulfate reduction during respiration of organic matter (Equation 11).

---

**TABLE 3** Summary statistics calculated for the length of each core for the solid phase data of the cores S1 and N1 from Ice Wedge South and Ice Wedge North, including AVS, CRS, $Fe_{ascorbate}$, $Fe_{dithionite}$, $Fe_{ascorbate}$, and organic carbon.

| Unit            | Ice Wedge North |                | Ice Wedge South |        |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|
|                 | N1              | N2             | S1              | S2a    | S2b   |
| AVS (dry wt.%)  | mean 0.02       | na             | 0               | na     | na    |
|                 | (min-max) 0.00–0.05 | na             | (0–0)           | na     | na    |
| CRS (dry wt.%)  | mean 0.12       | na             | 0.02            | na     | na    |
|                 | (min-max) 0.02–0.27 | na             | (0.00–0.05)     | na     | na    |
| $Fe_{ascorbate}$ (dry wt.%) | mean 0.97       | na             | 0.44            | na     | na    |
|                 | (min-max) 0.32–1.64 | na             | (0.30–0.77)     | na     | na    |
| $Fe_{dithionite}$ (dry wt.%) | mean 0.13       | na             | 0.44            | na     | na    |
|                 | (min-max) 0.05–0.32 | na             | (0.24–0.52)     | na     | na    |
| $Fe_{ascorbate}$ (dry wt.%) | mean 1.00       | na             | 0.53            | na     | na    |
|                 | (min-max) 0.38–1.57 | na             | (0.39–0.79)     | na     | na    |
| $Fe_{dithionite}$ (dry wt.%) | mean 1.58       | na             | 4.11            | na     | na    |
|                 | (min-max) 0.55–3.43 | na             | (2.48–5.78)     | na     | na    |
| Organic Carbon  (dry wt.%) | mean 8.55       | 16.7           | 2.41            | 1.9    | 2.34  |
|                 | (min-max) 1.84–30.8 | 4.83–45.6       | (1.44–5.41)     | (1.71–2.08) | (2.06–2.66) |

**TABLE 4** $^{34}S$-SO$_4^-$ in water from the active layer in polygons S1 and N2.

| Site | Depth (cm) | $^{34}S$-SO$_4^-$ |
|------|------------|------------------|
|      | mean       | min–max          | n    |
| S1   | 9          | −6.90–6.95       | 2    |
| S1   | 30         | −2.23–2.74       | 3    |
| S1   | 60         | −6.78–8.37       | 3    |
| N1   | 9          | 15.1             | 3    |
| N1   | 30         | 5.19             | 2    |
| N1   | 60         | −2.83–4.03       | 3    |
The major ion ratios show that sulfide oxidation coupled to carbonate dissolution can contribute substantial quantities of sulfate to the sediment pore water at Ice Wedge South. Here, the weathering mechanisms are therefore analogous to those reported in glacial catchments of the areas, which is intuitive when the source of the sediments is considered (i.e., the aeolian deposition of glacioluvial sediments desiccated during early winter). The sulfuric acid produced by sulfide oxidation may further act as a weathering agent in this system, akin to in glacial catchments, potentially producing carbon dioxide during weathering of carbonates (e.g., Torres et al., 2017). In contrast, processes removing sulfate from the sediment pore water at Ice Wedge North preclude the use of major ion ratios to determine the significance of sulfide oxidation. In spite of this, sulphide oxidation is still very likely to occur because the provenance of the sediments is the same as that at Ice Wedge South.

### Sources and Sinks of Sulfate

Sulfur and oxygen isotopic values of sulfate in waters provide compelling evidence for the identification of the sources and sinks of sulfate (e.g., Wynn et al., 2006, 2015; Turchyn et al., 2013; Hindshaw et al., 2016). Pre-melt snowpacks in Svalbard at Midtre Lovénbreen (Wynn et al., 2006) and near Ny Ålesund (Tye and Heaton, 2007) suggest a δ³⁴S range of +17 to +18‰ and a δ¹⁸O range of +8.6 to +9.7‰ for the precipitation-derived sulfate contribution to the active layer pore water (i.e., SO₄²⁻pd).

A study of dissolved organosulfur compounds in a raised peat bog showed that atmospheric sulfur in surface water sulfate is also taken up by plants (plant δ³⁴S was 0.1‰ and 4.2‰) and released when they decay, producing humic organosulfur with δ³⁴S values reflecting the precipitation-derived origin of the sulfate (Bottrell et al., 2010). Other sources of sulfate to the pore water include the oxidative weathering of pyrite (OWP), as in Equations 5, 7, and 8. The mass-weighted mean sulfide δ³⁴S values in the geological formations of the study region vary widely, from −40.6‰ in the Grumantbyen Formation to 1.78‰ in the Aspelintoppen Formation (Table 5). In the geological formations measured closest to the sites, the range in mass weighted mean sulfide δ³⁴S values is narrower, from −13.8‰ in the Carolinefjellet Formation to −2.01‰ in the Firkanten Formation. These nearby δ³⁴S values are therefore used to discriminate the rock-derived sulfate from the snowpack-derived sulfate.

The δ¹⁸O of sulfate depends on the mineralogy of the parent rock, with oxygen atoms in the sulfate can originate either from atmospheric oxygen (+23.5‰) or from the surrounding water (−11‰ to −14‰ at our sites). In sulfate produced by OWP via Fe³⁺ (Equation 8), the oxygen atoms are derived solely from the surrounding water molecules. Experiments have demonstrated that there is no isotopic discrimination during the incorporation of oxygen atoms from water molecules into sulfate (Lloyd, 1968). In contrast, the incorporation of oxygen atoms from O₂ molecules into sulfate molecules during OWP via O₂ causes an isotopic fractionation of −8.7‰. Consequently, sulfate produced by OWP via O₂ is depleted in δ¹⁸O by −8.7‰ compared with atmospheric O₂, which is strongly enriched in δ¹⁸O at +23.7‰ (Bottrell and Tranter, 2002). However, during OWP via O₂,
there can be isotopic exchange between water and oxygen atoms in sulfoxy anions of intermediate valency, obscuring the isotopic signal of atmospheric oxygen (Balci et al., 2007). Hence, even in OWP via O$_2$, three out of four oxygen atoms in the sulfate molecule could show an isotopic signal from water (Bottrell and Tranter, 2002).

To elucidate whether the sulfate in the samples from Adventdalen could derive from OWP only via O$_2$, the approach of Bottrell and Tranter (2002) was applied to the $\delta^{18}O$ values of sulfate from the pore waters in the active layer. This conservative approach assumed that only the final oxygen atom incorporated into sulfate will still carry an isotopic signature indicative of its
TABLE 5 | The mean quantity of sulfide (wt. %) in bedrock, the numerical mean sulfide δ34S (%ε) in bedrock and the mass-weighted mean sulfide δ34S (%ε) in bedrock.

| Formation          | n | Mean S  | Numerical mean δ34S | Mass weighted mean δ34S |
|--------------------|---|---------|---------------------|------------------------|
|                    |   | wt. %   | %ε                  | %ε                     |
| Aspelintoppen      | 4 | 0.09    | 0.44                | 1.78                   |
| Battfjellet        | 3 | 0.12    | 0.70                | 1.49                   |
| Frøsjoadden        | 4 | 0.15    | −4.48               | 1.34                   |
| Grumantbyen        | 3 | 0.10    | −32.35              | −40.60                 |
| Basilika           | 4 | 1.71    | 8.45                | 2.04                   |
| Firkanten (Endalen)| 3 | 0.24    | −6.22               | −7.88                  |
| Firkanten (Todalen)| 3 | 3.55    | −0.76               | −2.01                  |
| Carolinefjellet    | 3 | 0.15    | −13.27              | −13.79                 |

TABLE 6 | Oxygen isotopes of weathering-derived sulfate (δ18O-SO4−2, npd) and water (δ18O-H2O) compared to the threshold for anoxic oxidation of pyrite (δ18O_THRESH).

| Site            | Depth (cm) | δ18O-SO4−2, npd | δ18O-H2O | δ18O_THRESH | OWP |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Battfjellet     | 9          | −5.36           | −11.4    | −4.78       | anoxic |
| Battfjellet     | 30         | 3.47            | −12.3    | −5.46       | oxic |
| Firkanten (Endalen) | 3     | 3.48            | −12.3    | −5.45       | oxic |
| Firkanten (Todalen) | 3      | 2.54            | −12.4    | −5.57       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 3.95            | −12.1    | −5.30       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 6.38            | −13.1    | −6.05       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 5.66            | −12.4    | −5.57       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 9.16            | −13.0    | −6.00       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 9          | 5.65            | −13.0    | −6.01       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 1.40            | −13.0    | −5.96       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 30         | 5.06            | −13.7    | −6.56       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 30         | 6.12            | −13.5    | −6.34       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 5.33            | −14.1    | −6.79       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 5.39            | −14.1    | −6.79       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 4.32            | −13.8    | −6.59       | oxic |
| Carolinefjellet | 60         | 4.32            | −13.5    | −6.38       | oxic |

The threshold for OWP via Fe3+ (Table 6), indicating that SO4−2 in this sample originates from OWP via Fe3+. In the rest of the samples, SO4−2 could have originated from OWP via Fe3+, but the isotopic data do not require that and it is probable that SO4−2 in the remaining samples instead originated from OWP via O2. This is a surprising result, as these samples are from between 9 and 60 cm below the ground surface and it was anticipated that oxygen penetration would decrease with profile depth. However, it is possible that radial oxygen loss from the roots of wetland plants may have provided an oxygen source to this deeper pore water (e.g., Johnston et al., 2014). In addition, ice-wedge cracking and shallower cracking restricted to the active layer (O’Neill and Christiansen, 2018) provide a route for ingress of oxygenated rain and meltwater.

Figure 8 shows how plotting sulfate δ18O and δ34S in sulfur and oxygen isotopic space can provide a unique solution to elucidating the sulfate sources. The stoichiometric stage of pyrite oxidation results in sulfate isotopic fractionation between pyrite and sulfate (δSO4−pyrite) of −1.3‰ to −0.6‰ (Balcı et al., 2007; Pisapia et al., 2007; Brunner et al., 2008). The mass-weighted mean sulfide δ34S of the nearby Firkanten and Carolinefjellet Formations, combined with the sulfur isotopic fractionation during the stoichiometric stage of sulfide oxidation, indicates that any pore water sample with a δ34S-SO4−2, npd value between −15.1‰ and −2.6‰ is likely to have derived all of its sulfur from the stoichiometric oxidation of pyrite. Figure 8 shows that half of the pore water samples from Adventdalen contain SO4−2, npd within this δ34S-SO4−2, npd range, indicating that the stoichiometric oxidation of pyrite is an important process contributing sulfate to active layer pore water in Adventdalen. This corroborates the evidence from the δ18O-SO4−2 results presented earlier.

Although all samples except for the most 34S-enriched sample have a δ34S range between that of the bedrock and snowpack δ34S values (Figure 8), the origin of sulfate in these samples in 34S and 18O cannot be explained purely as a mixing of sulfate derived from these two sources. There are two lines of evidence for this. Firstly, if mixing between (relatively 34S-enriched) precipitation-derived sulfate and (relatively 34S-depleted) weathering-derived sulfate were solely responsible for the δ34S values of pore water sulfate in the active layer, a negative linear correlation between the concentration and δ34S values of sulfate would result. There is no such negative linear correlation in the pore water samples from Adventdalen (R2 < 0.2). Secondly, a negative correlation between δ34S-SO4−2 and the sulfate-to-chloride molar ratio (SO4−2/Cl−) would result from mixing between weathering-derived sulfate (high SO4−2/Cl−, relatively 34S-depleted) and precipitation-derived sulfate (low SO4−2/Cl−, relatively 34S-enriched). In fact, there is a positive correlation between δ34S-SO4−2 and SO4−2/Cl− (R2 = 0.49). Neither line of evidence supports mixing between snowmelt and pyrite oxidation as the sole reason for the δ34S-SO4−2 values observed in the pore water samples from Adventdalen. Hence, these statistical tests indicate that sulfate removal from the pore water by sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) may be a factor in enriching...
FIGURE 8 | Water samples from the active layer of Ice Wedge South and Ice Wedge North in $\delta^{18}$O-SO$_4^-$ and $\delta^{34}$S-SO$_4^-$ isotope space. The blue box represents the isotopic range of pre-melt snowpacks in Svalbard at Midtre Løvenbreen (Wynn et al., 2006) and near Ny Ålesund (Tye and Heaton, 2007). The solid blue line is a regression line illustrating reduction of sulphate by SRB ($R^2 = 0.98$). The nearby bedrock range is of the mass-weighted mean sulfide $\delta^{34}$S in the Firkanten and Carolinefjellet Formations.

the remaining sulfate in these samples. SRB preferentially reduce the lighter isotopes of sulfur and oxygen, leading to isotopic enrichment of the residual sulfate. It is common for the product H$_2$S to be incorporated into iron sulfides or organic matter (Brown, 1985, 1986; Blodau et al., 2007). This causes both $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{18}$O values in the remaining sulfate to increase, producing a positive correlation between them (Mandernack et al., 2003). Since there is a positive correlation ($R^2 = 0.98$) between $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{18}$O in four samples from Ice Wedge North, and $\delta^{34}$S in one sample exceeds the snowpack $\delta^{34}$S, it seems highly likely that SRB are active in the pore water of the active layer at Ice Wedge North.

Iron and Sulfur Mineral Precipitation

The $\delta^{34}$S and $\delta^{18}$O values of pore water sulfate in the active layer are indicative of sulfate reduction at Ice Wedge North. The sulfate concentration was relatively low at Ice Wedge North ($< 4.7$ mmol l$^{-1}$; Figures 3F,G), supporting the isotopic evidence for sulfate reduction. To produce a distinctive $\delta^{18}$O-$\delta^{34}$S signature of sulfate reduction, the reduced sulfur must be sequestered in the solid phase (iron sulfide or carbon-bonded sulfur). The reactions of iron with hydrogen sulfide can be expressed with the following simplified equation scheme, where Equation 12 represents hydrogen sulfide reacting with iron oxides to form iron monosulfide (AVS) and sulfur, and Equation 13 represents the formation of the more stable pyrite (CRS) from the metastable iron monosulfide and sulfur (Raiswell and Canfield, 2012):

1. $2\text{FeOOH} + 3\text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow 2\text{FeS} + \text{S}_0 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (12)
2. $\text{FeS} + \text{S}_0 \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2$ (13)

The AVS formed in Equation 12 consists mainly of the iron sulfide minerals mackinawite, greigite and amorphous FeS. These phases are usually only stable for short periods before their
As the thaw front reaches its maximum depth, concentrations in the Ice Wedge North sediments were low, but detectable, in just over half the samples, reaching a maximum of 0.05 dry weight percent (equivalent to 6.02 μmol g⁻¹ dry sediment; 115 cm depth). The low concentrations of AVS indicate that AVS is not a significant long-term store of the products of iron and sulfate reduction. The CRS includes pyrite, which is the most thermodynamically stable iron sulfide (Bern, 1967). Concentrations of CRS are higher than AVS, reaching 0.27 dry weight percent (equivalent to 22.4 μmol g⁻¹ dry sediment; 115 cm depth) at Ice Wedge North (Table 3 and Supplementary Figure S1K).

The Ice Wedge North sediments contain abundant iron in $\text{Fe}_\text{ascorbate}$, $\text{Fe}_\text{dithionite}$, $\text{Fe}_\text{oxalate}$, $\text{Fe}_\text{acetate}$, and CRS. Ferrous iron in the porewaters is probably derived from the dissimilatory reduction of iron (oxyhydr)oxides (Equation 9), as well as the oxidation of allogenic and authigenic pyrite (Equation 8). In addition to reaction with hydrogen sulfide to form AVS or CRS, the dissolved ferrous iron reacts with bicarbonate ions to form $\text{Fe}_\text{acetate}$ (iron bound in carbonates). Siderite (FeCO₃) is an iron carbonate, and tends to occur in reducing, CO₂-rich, hydromorphic environments, such as peatlands (Chesworth, 2008). Table 3 shows that $\text{Fe}_\text{ascorbate}$ reaches > 1.5 dry weight% in the sediments at Ice Wedge North, indicating that it is a more significant sink of ferrous iron than CRS. As the precipitation of $\text{Fe}_\text{ascorbate}$ dominates over the precipitation of CRS, it is possible that an additional sink for the hydrogen sulfide is carbon-bonded sulfur (CBS), which has previously been shown to be an important sink for reduced sulfur in peat soils (Spratt and Morgan, 1990; Blodau et al., 2007). Although CBS was not measured in these cores, a strong positive correlation between organic carbon content and CRS ($p = 0.9; p < 0.001$) and also $\text{Fe}_\text{ascorbate}$ ($p = 0.67; p < 0.01$) indicates that where the organic carbon content is high, sulfate reduction, CRS precipitation and $\text{Fe}_\text{ascorbate}$ precipitation occur. Given the high concentration of sedimentary organic carbon, it seems likely that CBS exists and is forming at this location.

In contrast to Ice Wedge North, the $^{34}$S and $^{18}$O values of sulfate in pore water from the drier active layer of S1 indicate primarily OWP via O₂, and some OWP via Fe³⁺. Evidence for a mostly oxidised active layer at S1 is in the mostly low Fe$_{\text{aq}}$ concentration in the pore water from the active layer and the low water table (summer 2017). CRS and AVS concentrations at this site are low, corroborating the isotopic evidence that sulfate reduction is negligible at this site. In addition, the concentration of iron bound in carbonate ($\text{Fe}_\text{acetate}$) is lower than at Ice Wedge North. Finally, Figures 3C,H show that aqueous iron and sulfate co-vary at this site, which is indicative of pyrite oxidation (e.g., Hodson et al., 2016).

The quantity of sedimentary organic carbon exerts a strong control on biogeochemical processes and mineral precipitation across both sites. Firstly, the organic carbon content is strongly positively correlated with CRS ($p = 0.90; p < 0.001$), $\text{Fe}_\text{acetate}$ ($p = 0.67; p < 0.01$), and $\text{Fe}_\text{ascorbate}$ ($p = 0.75; p < 0.001$). In addition, the sedimentary organic carbon is negatively correlated with pore water sulfate ($p = -0.68; p < 0.001$) and aqueous iron ($p = -0.61; p < 0.001$). This further supports the mechanisms discussed above, whereby in organic carbon-rich, the oxidation of pyrite produces aqueous iron and sulfate that are not reduced to form authigenic CRS (primarily pyrite) and $\text{Fe}_\text{acetate}$ (primarily siderite). In contrast, in organic carbon-rich sediment, the dissolved iron and sulfate are reduced, forming $\text{Fe}_\text{acetate}$ and CRS. Finally, sedimentary organic carbon content appears to influence the formation of $\text{Fe}_\text{dithionite}$ (primarily crystalline iron (oxyhydr)oxides) and $\text{Fe}_\text{oxalate}$ (primarily magnetite). Organic carbon content was negatively correlated with $\text{Fe}_\text{dithionite}$ ($p = -0.85; p < 0.001$) and $\text{Fe}_\text{oxalate}$ ($p = -0.89; p < 0.001$). A plausible explanation is a combination of cycling redox conditions and dissolved oxygen levels at Ice Wedge South, which increase the crystallinity of ferricydrite, coupled with an inhibition of the change from $\text{Fe}_\text{ascorbate}$ (primarily poorly crystalline ferricydrite) to $\text{Fe}_\text{dithionite}$ (primarily crystalline goethite) by organic compounds at Ice Wedge North (e.g., Schwertmann and Murad, 1988; Thompson et al., 2006; Amstaetter et al., 2012; Herndon et al., 2017).

These significant relationships demonstrate that the quantity of sedimentary organic carbon exerts a landscape-scale control on the active layer and permafrost biogeochemistry.

### Variations in Pore Water Geochemistry Due to Physical Processes

The distribution of chemical species described above is partly governed by a set of complex physical processes, including hydrological inputs to the active layer (precipitation, advection and ground ice melt; Throckmorton et al., 2016), hydrological outputs from the active layer (evaporation, freezing and advection) and the diffusion of ions from regions of high concentration to regions of low concentration. Each of these physical processes can influence the distribution of chemical constituents in the active layer and their signatures commonly overlap or mask one another. For instance, both evaporation of water and ion freeze-out from the active layer concentrate the pore water chemistry and enrich the $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta$D of the remaining water (Throckmorton et al., 2016). However, these physical processes are secondary to the ion ratio interpretations discussed earlier.

The sediments in this study were dominantly fine-grained, with the median grain size in the cores at Ice Wedge South ranging from 34 to 60 μm. In fine-grained sediments, migration of unfrozen water (and solutes) occurs along temperature-induced pressure gradients toward colder ground (Kokelj and Burn, 2003, 2005), and forms segregated ice lenses behind the freezing front. During active layer freezeback, the migration is upward toward the freezing front descending from the surface, and downward toward the permafrost table during upward freezing from the permafrost table (Cheng, 1983). This results in the formation of ice lenses and the concentration of solutes close to the ground surface, in the transient layer and at the top of permafrost, whereas the middle of the active layer becomes desiccated (Mackay, 1983). During thawing of the active layer in summer, unfrozen water and solute migration occurs downward into frozen ground below the advancing thaw front (Cheng, 1983). As the thaw front reaches its maximum depth,
moisture and solutes can migrate into the top of permafrost. The downward migration into the top of permafrost in summer is greater than the upward migration out in winter when the temperature and pressure gradients are reversed, because the unfrozen water content and hydraulic conductivity are greatly reduced at lower temperature (Cheng, 1983). Consequently, there is a net annual downward migration that enriches the top of permafrost with segregated ice and solutes following repeated freeze-thaw cycles (Cheng, 1983; Kokelj and Burn, 2003, 2005).

There are multiple lines of evidence that indicate that these mechanisms contribute to redistributing solutes at the study sites. Segregated ice lenses were observed at the base of the active layer in cores from Ice Wedge South, and the top of permafrost was enriched with segregated ice at Ice Wedge North, indicated by the elevated water contents (Figures 2A,B). Assuming that chloride behaves conservatively, without participating in dissolution or precipitation reactions, its concentration profile in each core can be used to establish the net effect of moisture distribution by repeated freeze-thaw cycles in the sediments (Jessen et al., 2014). The peak in the concentration of chloride close to the base of the active layer in cores from both sites coincides with the presence of ice lenses and is likely to have been caused by unfrozen water and solute migration during upward freezing of the active layer (Figures 2F,G,H,J). The peak in chloride concentration, coincident with an increase in water content near the ground surface at N1, may be indicative of upward moisture migration during active layer freezing.

The upper permafrost at Ice Wedge South formed syngenetically as the permafrost table advanced in conjunction with sediment deposition (Gilbert et al., 2018), which means that the present-day permafrost is comprised of material formerly in the active layer. The accumulation of chloride in the permafrost is thus probably due to a combination of moisture migration into the top of permafrost at the end of summer (Cheng, 1983) and incorporation into permafrost of solutes from the base of the active layer during permafrost aggradation (Figures 2H–J). Cores S2a and S2b have significantly more concentrated chloride in the permafrost compared with the active layer (Table 7), and the chloride concentration in the active layer is greater than in the other cores. The formation of efflorescent salts on the ground surface at Ice Wedge South (Mora et al., 2015) further highlights the role of solute migration along potential gradients at the site.

The higher concentration of chloride in the cores from Ice Wedge North, could be the result of diffusion of chloride from the underlying deltaic sediments. Nearby cores display an increase in chloride concentration from a mean of ~1 mmol L\(^{-1}\) in the loess sediments to a mean of ~68 mmol L\(^{-1}\) in the underlying deltaic sediments (Cable et al., 2017). Diffusion upward from these marine sediments may enhance the pore water chloride concentration at shallower depths in some locations, although the reasons for the location-specific diffusion are not clear. Additionally, it is likely that the topography of the sites has changed over time with the development of depositional landforms and ice-wedge polygons (Gilbert et al., 2018; O’Neill and Christiansen, 2018). Changes in topography are likely to have influenced patterns of water movement through the active layer, while variations in active layer thickness changed the amount of ground subject to advection over time. The data do not enable enhanced chloride concentration due to upward diffusion to be distinguished from enhanced chloride concentration due to low advection rates. However, greater rates of advection most likely occur at Ice Wedge North due to the spring-fed hydrologic regime and high water content.

In summary, unfrozen water and solute migration along potential gradients contribute to water content and solute variations with depth observed in the cores. The complex depositional and periglacial history at the sites makes further interpretation of chloride concentration patterns difficult. Ice Wedge South cores display evidence of chloride diffusion from underlying sediments and/or variations in rates of advection as the aeolian terrace aggraded. Despite the complexity introduced by these physical processes, the use of covariance between ions has enabled the dominant biogeochemical processes in Adventdalen to be elucidated.

**CONCLUSION**

This study highlights the importance of landscape evolution and demonstrates that permafrost aggradation and organic carbon accumulation have caused significant changes in the biogeochemical processes and mineral precipitation reactions within this high Arctic floodplain. The contrasting hydrological regimes of the study sites result in marked differences in the accumulation and decomposition of permafrost organic carbon. The drier areas of the floodplain store little organic carbon and
are representative of the earlier stages of floodplain development. These areas are dominated by the biogeochemical signatures of pyrite oxidation and the weathering of fresh mineral surfaces, similar to glacial meltwaters in nearby catchments. In contrast, the water-saturated areas of the floodplain represent the later stages of floodplain development, where the accumulation of organic carbon causes a switch to iron- and sulfate-reduction, with net iron and carbon storage via increased CRS (FeS₂) and Fe acetate (siderite) precipitation. In addition, contrasting chloride concentrations demonstrate the geochemical contrast between sediments of marine and aeolian origin. As air temperatures continue to rise in the high Arctic and as thaw progresses deeper into the permafrost, there are likely to be major changes in the iron, sulfur and carbon cycling in this valley, depending on how permafrost thaw impacts the geomorphology and hydrology of the ice-wedge polygonal terrain.
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