The Impact of Spirometry on Diagnosis and Treatment: Asthma in Children
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Abstract: Introduction: Spirometry is a common method that is used to determine common lung respiratory diseases and lung capacity. It is also used to treat asthma, an extremely common lung disease. Aim of the study: The aim of this study is to assess the clinical improvement and changes in spirometric measurements with treatment in children with newly diagnosed asthma.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional prospective study was conducted in the Department of Paediatrics, of Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from January 2019 to December 2019. This prospective study included 50 children between 5-15 years of age who were newly diagnosed as cases of asthma based on symptoms and medical history. Baseline symptom score and spirometric measurements were determined at the first visit. The children were treated and followed up of 3 to 6 months of initiating treatment. Symptom scores and spirometric measurements were repeated at every visit.

Result: The majority of the patients were from the age group of 7-9 years, and gender-wise 2/3rd of the patients were male. The mean symptom score showed the greatest improvements after the 3-month follow up, and continued to show slow but steady improvements at the 6-month follow-up. Conclusion: Spirometry makes a great impact on recognizing and treating respiratory complications, and needs to be used more widely to recognize and remove its limitations.
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1. Introduction

Spirometry is known as the commonest lung function test done to date.¹ It measures what quantity of air is moved in and out of the lungs and the way and how fast the air moves. To induce the most effective results, your child will be asked to follow very specific instructions. Most youngsters can do spirometry by age 6, though some preschoolers are able to perform the test at a younger age.² Asthma could be a chronic disease of airways characterized by bronchial hyper-responsiveness and reversible airway obstruction.³ However, most asthmatic children, independent of the disease severity, are found to possess normal forced expiratory volume (FEV) values especially when asymptomatic.⁴-⁶ Hence, the essential role of pulmonary function tests in both short- and long-term evaluations of childhood asthma remains controversial so far.⁷ Another thing to notice is that consistent spirometric measurements depend heavily on the patient’s ability or inability to perform a forceful expiratory maneuver which is strenuous in young children. Hence, the clinical picture in terms of signs and symptoms is additionally of paramount significance.⁸ The lung function measurements give information about the patients’ physiology objectively, whereas symptoms give more information about how the disease affects the patient. It's known that with treatment there's improvement in both symptoms and lung function measures, the degree of improvement in each of the parameters aren't yet clear. The aim of this study is to see the clinical improvement and therefore the changes within the spirometric measurements with treatment in newly diagnosed cases of asthma in
children and to match the change within the symptom. This goal of this study was to gauge the quantifiable progress and changes in spirometric measurements with treatment in children who have newly been diagnosed with asthma and to check the deviations within the symptom score and spirometric measurements. [9]

2. Methodology and Materials

This was a cross-sectional prospective study and was conducted in the Department of Pediatrics, Dhaka Shishu Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from January 2019 to December 2019. This prospective study included 50 children between 5-15 years of age who were newly diagnosed with cases of asthma based on symptoms and medical history. Baseline symptom score and spirometric measurements were determined at the first visit. The children were treated and followed up after 3 and 6 months of initiating treatment. Symptom scores and spirometric measurements were repeated at every visit. The monthly patient profile was compiled, and data were presented in tables with the use of Microsoft Excel and SPSS.

3. Result

The age of the patients 5-7 years. 33 (66.67%) were males and 17 (33.33%) were females. The male: female ratio was almost 2:1 (Figure 1). At the time of the follow-up, the highest respondents found were in 7-9 years at 36% (n=18), Between the age group of 9-12 years, we found 26%, (n=13), in 5-7 age group, we found 22% (n=11) and the remaining 16% (n=8) were found within the age group of 12-15, Mean±SD found (11±3.56) (Table 1). 84% (n=42) of the patients belonged to the mild persistent type and 16% (n=8) were of the moderate persistent type of asthma. P<0.05 is considered significant compared to baseline. Values are mean±SD (n=50); where FEV1- Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second; FVC- Forc ed Vital Capacity; PEFR-Peak Expiratory Flow Rate. The mean symptom score, mean FEV1 was found to be (1.61±0.8) for 3 months and (1.79±0.83) for 6 months, FVC (1.74±0.83) for 3 months and (1.74±0.83) for 6 months, FEV1/FVC (90.67±8.96) for 3 months and (91.8±6.49) for 6 months and last mean of PEFR (2.79±0.76) for 3 months (3.32±0.92) values at each visit and the improvement in the various parameters when compared to baseline (Table 2). Significant improvement in the symptom score and lung function parameters, FEV1, and FVC was seen at three months. PEFR was found to show improvement at six months. FEV1/ FVC did not show significant improvement during the study period. Table 3 shows improvement in the various parameters during follow-up when compared to the previous visit. Improvement was noticed in all the spirometric parameters at six months follow up.

4. Discussion

Spirometry can be considered as a widely used method for determining the lung capacity and lung functions of a person. It is a uniquely valuable tool, often used to determine whether or not a patient has asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and other conditions that affect breathing, by measuring how much air one can inhale and exhale, and how quickly you can exhale. [9] But this can be an irritating process for younger patients who need to stay still for a prolonged time to get a proper reading. [1] Asthma and (COPD) are common diseases all around the world, which can cause patients and society considerable difficulties.
These are harmful diseases that can cause substantial difficulty in life and can also cause morbidity and death, as these diseases can affect both the young and the elderly. [10] This study was performed to understand the impact of spirometry in both diagnosis and treatment, focusing mainly on children with asthma.

The collected data shows that at the time of the study, the ratio of male to female patients undergoing spirometry is almost at 2:1. The average age of the patients can be seen as 11, although a large portion of the patients belonged to the age group of 7-9 years, with the number of patients above the age of 12 being the lowest in the sample size.

84% of the patients were under the category of mild persistent and the rest 8 patients fell under the moderate persistent category. These categories were determined using the guidelines set by NAEPP. [11]

The follow up was done 3 months and 6 months after the initial assessment, with inputs from both the patients themselves and their parents. This brought to attention an interesting fact that the parental reports and the patients differed depending on the age of the patients. This can be explained by examining another study, where the original report was more likely to correlate with the parents’ reports with the patient under the average age of 11, while parental and child’s reports correlated more strongly with the original reports. [12] This implies that in cases of patient-based information, it is more reliable to focus on parental reports with patients between the age of 6-11 years of age. And in the age group of 12 to 18 years, both parental and patients’ reports can be comparable with original reports. [12]

From the follow-up reports, we can see some initial positive outcomes starting from the 3rd month follow up, with the mean FEV1/FVC falling by 3 scores. This brings up the mean total symptoms score from 19.28±4.18 to 24.31±2.46. Further improvements can be seen at the time of the 6 months follow up. Mean FVC did not change any further after the initial follow up at 3 months. The 6 months follow shows the mean FEV1/FVC increasing by more than 1 point compared to the 3-month check-up, while still being below the initial baseline score. The change in other aspects at the 6-month follow-up is comparatively lower than the 3rd month follow up. The mean total symptoms score was at 26.09±2.14 after the 6th-month check-up.

When put into parameters, the results are more apparent from Table 3, where we can see that after 3 months checkup and 6 months checkup, the value of P has gone up at a rate of 0.02 at symptom score. Quality of life had also improved at each follow-up visit and at the termination of the study, determined by the value of P<0.05. The magnitude of improvement in QOL (Quality of Life) was similar to improvement in objective measures of pulmonary function; although these changes were not similar to changes in asthma symptom score. [13]

The whole study can conclude that spirometry is a valid method for treating patients with asthma, and can provide visible results.

Limitations of the study
Our study wasn’t blinded so patient bias was present along with observer bias in subjective recording and this was a single-center study with small sample size. The lack of prior knowledge regarding the study subject found in the guardians also played a role in manipulating the results. So, for the prior mentioned reasons, the study results might not be reflected in the whole community.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations
The study proved that spirometry is a valid and preferred method for treating patients with asthma, and is also a primary resort for most medical institutes to determine any lung related illness. It is recommended to increase knowledge regarding spirometry in both the general populace and the medical professionals to widespread the use of spirometry. Primary knowledge regrading spirometry and its proper uses should be taught to both doctors and nurses, and the number of spirometry machine should be increased. Patients need to be more aware of the complications and advantages of spirometry to make more knowledgeable decisions.
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