INTRODUCTION

Some rodent species, referred to as commensal rats, thrive near humans. This proximity makes quick access to their vital needs, such as food and habitat [1, 2]. Many rodents are known as the primary reservoir of some life-threatening pathogens, and along with the arthropods that infest the animals, e.g., fleas, lice, play a critical role in spreading pathogenic agents [2]. Some species of rodents harbor parasite of public health importance such as leishmaniasis, toxoplasmosis, babesiosis, and hymenolepiasis [3, 4], among which some zoonoses such as leishmaniasis and toxoplasmosis are regarded as the most harmful parasites to humans especially in immunocompromised individuals [5]. Human infection with some parasites like Hymenolepis nana occurs through accidental ingestion of foods and drinking water contaminated with rodent droppings containing the parasite eggs [6-8]. The diversity of parasite fauna in rodents species and infection rates depend on the environmental and geographical conditions [9-12]. In commensal species like Rattus rattus and Rattus norvegicus, human behaviors and their leftovers are critical factors. Caspian Sea littoral in the north of Iran with temperate weather and dense vegetation has provided suitable habitats for commensal rodents such as rats [13,14]. This area is also endemic for leptospirosis [15]. An investigation of rodent reservoir host of leptospirosis in Caspian Sea littoral provided us the opportunity to investigate the helminthic infections among these animals in this area.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study area comprised parts of three provinces in Northern Iran, Mazandaran, Gilan and Golestan (Fig. 1). In 2014, we received gastrointestinal tracts (GITs) of 132 rodents belonging to the genus Rattus. The animals were captured by live traps during an investigation of rodent reservoirs of leptospirosis in Caspian sea littoral, i.e., Mazandaran, Gilan and Golestan Provinces. The rodents have been identified onsite based on morphological features. The GIT of animals were preserved individually in 10% formalin and transported to the Helminthology Laboratory of School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. 

Keywords: Rattus spp., Intestinal parasites, Caspian Sea, Iran.

Received Jul. 01, 2018; Accepted Jul. 07, 2018
Intestinal Helminths of Rattus spp.

We cut the upper and lower parts of GITs longitudinally and examined their contents for helminths under a binocular and a microscope with different magnifications. The recovered helminths were stained with Azocarmine Lactophenol, and the drawings with measurements were prepared under an equipped camera lucida microscope. The helminths were identified based on morphology and morphometric features reflected in the valid taxonomic keys [16-18]. Also, the formalin-ether sedimentation technique was performed on intestinal contents, and wet smears were prepared and examined for the presence of helminths egg under a light microscope with magnifications 100X to 400X.

RESULTS

Rodent species. In this survey, we examined 54 rats from Gilan, 36 from Mazandaran, and 42 from Golestan Provinces. All the animals belonged to the genus Rattus and included 58.3% males and 41.7% females. Due to the on-site identification of the animals, the accurate identification of the specimens at the species level was not possible.

Helminth infections. Out of the 132 rats examined, 83 (62.87%) had helminth parasites, among which 75% harbored zoonotic helminths of public health importance. Eleven helminths species were identified including seven nematodes (Heterakis spumosa, Syphacia muris, Syphacia obvelata Trichuris muris, Streptopharagus kuntzi, Aspicularis tetrapetra, and Capillaria annulosa), three cestodes (Hymenolepis diminuta, Hymenolepis nana, and Skerjabinotaenia abnormalis) and one trematode (Euparyphium murinum) (Figs. 2 and 3). The cestodes showed the highest prevalence (48.48%), and the zoonotic H. diminuta (29.5%), and H. nana (18.18%) were the most common species. The prevalence of other helminths were H. spumosa (25%), S. muris (13.63%), T. muris (3.76%), S. kuntzi (2.27%), A. tetrapetra (1.51%), E. murinom (1.51%), S. abnormalis and C. annulosa (0.75%) (Table 1). In this study, we report E. murinom and S. abnormalis for the first time from Iran. Among the infected rats, 58 (69.87%) harbored one helminth species, 24 (28.91%) and 1 (1.20%) animals showed co-infection with two and three species, respectively. The formalin-ether sedimentation technique merely revealed only the eggs of H. nana.

Table 1. The helminths recovered from Rats in Gilan, Mazandaran and Golestan Provinces, northern Iran

| Parasite species | Gilan (No. of infected rodents and Infection rate (%)) | Mazandaran (No. of infected rodents and Infection rate (%)) | Golestan (No. of infected rodents and Infection rate (%)) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| H. nana         | 11 (20.37%) 24 (18.18%)         | 11 (30.55%) 39 (29.54%)         | 2 (4.76%) 33 (25%)              |
| H. diminuta     | 18 (33.3%) 39 (29.54%)          | 7 (19.4%) 33 (25%)              | 14 (33.3%) 18 (13.63%)          |
| H. spumosa      | 22 (40.74%) 33 (25%)            | 7 (19.4%) 18 (13.63%)           | 4 (9.52%) 18 (13.63%)           |
| C. annulosa     | 1 (1.85%) 0 (0.75%)             | 0 (0.75%) 1 (0.75%)             | 0 (0.75%) 1 (0.75%)             |
| S. obvelata     | 6 (11.11%) 18 (13.63%)          | 6 (16.6%) 18 (13.63%)           | 4 (9.52%) 18 (13.63%)           |
| S. muris        | 2 (3.70%) 2 (1.51%)             | 0 (0.75%)                      | 0 (0.75%) 1 (0.75%)             |
| A. tetrapetra   | 1 (1.85%) 1 (0.75%)             | 0 (0.75%)                      | 0 (0.75%) 1 (0.75%)             |
| T. muris        | 4 (7.4%) 5 (3.78%)              | 0 (0.75%)                      | 1 (2.38%) 3 (2.27%)             |
| S. kuntzi       | 1 (1.85%) 3 (2.27%)             | 0 (0.75%)                      | 2 (4.76%) 3 (2.27%)             |
| S. abnormalis   | 1 (1.85%) 1 (0.75%)             | 0 (0.75%)                      | 1 (2.38%) 3 (2.27%)             |
| E. murinom      | 0 (0.75%) 2 (1.51%)             | 2 (5.55%)                      | 0 (0.75%) 2 (1.51%)             |
| Total Infected rodents | 40 (74.07%) | 23 (63.88%) | 20 (47.61%) |

Zoonotic 68.47% 66.57% 47.58%

Fig. 1. Map of Iran, the study area in gray shows Gilan, Golestan, and Mazandaran Provinces.
Fig. 2. The intestinal helminths species identified in the gastrointestinal contents of the Rattus spp. from Caspian Sea littoral, northern Iran (magnification 400X). (A) the anterior end of S. abnormalis, (B) the cervical alae of A. tetraptera, (C) the anterior end of S. kuntzi, (D) C. annulosa egg. (E) T. muris egg. (F) H. diminuta egg. (G) H. nana egg. (H) S. kuntzi egg. (I) H. spumosa egg. (J) S. obvelata egg. (K) S. muris larva; (L) S. muris egg.

Fig. 3. Prevalence of trematodes, cestodes, and nematodes in rats of Gilan, Mazandaran and Golestan Provinces, northern Iran.
DISCUSSION

Some rats live in close association with humans and take the advantages of urban environments such as food and shelter [7]. There is evidence that human disturbance of the ecosystem like forest clearing is providing new habitat for commensal rodents [2]. In our study, *Rattus* spp. was the most dominant entrapped rodents in the studied area. The results of this study revealed an infection rate of 74.07% in rats of Gilan province, and 63.88% and 47.61% in rats of Mazandaran and Golestan provinces, respectively. We identified a relatively wide range of intestinal helminths in the rat specimens, and the zoonotic species *H. nana* and *H. diminuta* were the most prevalent helminths. In Iran, human infection of *H. nana* is still prevalent, and reports of this helminth infection are available from different parts of the country like Kermanshah, Semnan, Ahvaz, and Hormozgan, while *H. diminuta* rarely infects humans [19]. Previously, a similar study on *R. rattus* of Mazandaran Province revealed a helminth infection rate of 47.3% comprising four species with the *H. nana*, as the most prevalent worm (9.4%) [17]. In our study, the infection rate with *H. nana* was much higher (30.55%) in this animal, which is close to the result of a report from Tehran, where the *H. nana* infection rate in *R. rattus* was reported to be 35.8% [7]. The infection rate with trematodes was relatively low, and only the two animals from Mazandaran Province had an infection with a single *E. murinum* fluke. Infection of rats with this trematode can be an indication of suitable weather conditions for the presence of the intermediate snail hosts [14]. Previous studies in Caspian Sea littoral demonstrated a high helminthic infection rate among wild rodents. In Maraveh Tappeh of Golestan Province, of 77 *Rhombonyx opimus* specimens, 81.8% were naturally infected with various helminth species [11], and the 5.2% prevalence of *H. nana* infections among them was approximately similar to the rate (4.76%) we found here in rats. Examination of rodents (*R. rattus, R. norvegicus,* and *Mus musculus*) in Kermanshah revealed helminths species similar to our findings. The survey reported *T. muris* as the most prevalent species, and surprisingly no infection of *H. nana*, the most prevalent zoonotic helminth of Iran [20]. The rat tapeworm, *H. diminuta*, is primarily a parasite of rats and rarely infect humans. In Iran, there are reports of *H. diminuta* human infections from Mashhad and the area along the Persian Gulf, with the highest infection rate in Minab of Hormozgan Province [21, 22]. Infection with this worm is usually asymptomatic, but in some cases, mild diarrhea, abdominal pain, irritability, pruritus, and eosinophilia were among the symptoms [21-23]. In the present survey, this zoonotic helminth was the most prevalent species in rats of Gilan and Golestan Provinces. Our findings are in agreement with the results of the similar studies in Ardabil and Hamadan, where *H. diminuta* was the most prevalent intestinal parasite in *R. norvegicus* and *Meriones persicus* [10, 24]. Although the parasites such as *H. diminuta* are highly expected to be carried by rats, some other rodent species might harbor them as well. In our study, the rodents were identified on-site; hence, there might be chances that some animals were misidentified for the members of the genus *Rattus*. In the present study, the high prevalence rate of *H. nana* and *H. diminuta* among the rodents might be attributed to the favorable climatological and environmental condition in the Caspian Sea littoral, which facilitates the survival of parasite eggs in the environment.

Our study demonstrated a heavy burden of helminths infection among rats in Caspian Sea littoral that comprised 11 species. The high prevalence of zoonotic helminths of public health concern, e.g., *H. diminuta* and *H. nana* reflect the pivotal role of rats in spreading these parasitic agents.
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