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Abstract

The novel coronavirus (COVID-19) has unleashed havoc across different countries and was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization. Since certain evidences indicate a direct relationship of various viruses with the weather (temperature in particular), the same is being speculated about COVID-19; however, it is still under investigation as the pandemic is advancing the world over. In this study, we tried to analyze the spread of COVID-19 in the Indian sub-continent with respect to the local temperature regimes from 9 March, 2020, to 27 May, 2020. To establish the relation between COVID-19 and temperature in India, three different eco-geographical regions having significant temperature differences were taken into consideration for the analysis. We observed that except Maharashtra, Rajasthan and Kashmir showed a significantly positive correlation between the number of COVID-19 cases and the temperature during the period of study. The evidences based on the results presented in this research lead us to believe that the increasing temperature is beneficial to the COVID-19 spread, and the cases are going to rise further with the increasing temperature over India. We, therefore, conclude that the existing data, though limited, suggests that the spread of COVID-19 in India is not explained by the variation of temperature alone and is most likely driven by a host of other factors related to epidemiology, socio-economics and other climatic factors. Based on the results, it is suggested that temperature should not be considered as a yardstick for planning intervention strategies for controlling the COVID-19 pandemic.

Introduction

World Health Organization (WHO), on 11 March, 2020, declared COVID-19 as a pandemic (WHO 2020). The novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) disease first appeared in Wuhan city of China and laid down its global footprints since 2019 as a result of its highly contagious nature (Lu et al. 2020; Chen et al. 2020; Bogoch et al. 2020). The virus belongs to a category of viruses called severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and is associated with a wide range of human respiratory diseases (Huang et al. 2020; Xu et al. 2020; Van der Hoek et al. 2020). The symptoms of the viruses are flu-like that are least transmissible in hot and humid environments (Lowen et al. 2007; Barreca and Shimshack 2012). Due to the familial relationship of COVID-19 with SARS-CoV and other flu viruses, health officials have repeatedly claimed that higher temperatures in the coming seasons will slow down COVID-19 infections as the breakdown of the lipid layer of the viruses occurs at higher temperatures (Chan et al. 2011; Schoeman and Fielding 2019). Some studies have linked the transmission and survival time of the coronavirus on surfaces to temperature (Van Doremalen et al. 2013). However, studies aimed at determining the exact range of temperatures and time of exposure in that range, for the virus to cease functionality and ability to survive, is yet to be established (Lauer et al. 2020).

This paper tries to statistically understand the spread of COVID-19 in selected regions of India, having significant variation in mean annual temperatures. To establish the relationship between the changing temperature and the contagion of COVID-19, three ecologically and climatically distinct regions were
considered; the sub-tropical Kashmir valley (Romshoo et al. 2020), desertic Rajasthan (Sikka 1997), and coastal Maharashtra (Naik et al. 2007). Since these three different regions have significant variations in temperature; therefore, these were considered ideal sites for validating the hypothesis of the temperature-COVID-19 dependency.

Fig. 1 shows the location of the study sites. The three regions have distinct climatic regimes. In the case of the Kashmir valley, the climate is mainly governed by its unique location between the two mountain ranges, Pir-panjal and Greater Himalaya. Having an average altitude of 1545 m, the temperature of the valley varies from - 9 °C in winter months to 38 °C in summer months. The mean maximum and minimum temperatures of the Kashmir valley are 19.27 and 7.29 °C, respectively (Meraj et al. 2015; Gujree et al. 2017). Mumbai has a wet and dry, tropical climate which is moderately hot and significantly humid. Bounded by the Arabian Sea from the west, and located at tropics, temperatures in Mumbai do not fluctuate much throughout the year. The mean maximum and minimum temperatures of the Mumbai are 31°C and 21.5°C, respectively (Saha et al. 2017). While in the case of Jaipur, Rajasthan, the climate is semi-arid that characteristically has scorching summers and short spans of mild-warm winters (Mathew et al. 2017). Fig. 2 shows the variation of temperature and the growth curve of the COVID-19 among the selected regions from 9 May, 2020, until 27 May, 2020.

All the three studied regions of India have witnessed the highest number of the COVID-19 cases (MOHFW 2020), and the numbers are soaring. In the backdrop of the various studies that have linked the spread of the various viruses to the weather (Sajadi et al. 2020; Tosepu et al. 2020), particularly temperature, it was thought scientifically logical to test, if, there is any significant linkage between the temperature and COVID-19 spread in these three regions. It must be emphasized here that this work only analyses the relationship between temperature and the number of cases of COVID-19 in these three regions. However, this study did not consider the other factors that are reported to influence the spread of virus related to other weather parameters, epidemiology, and demography (Tian et a. 2020; Borjas 2020; Zhong et al. 2020). There can be scores of unknown factors and processes that govern the pandemic's spread but are yet to be researched out due to the lack of credible data (Batista et al. 2020; Peng et al. 2020; Tang et al. 2020). Hence any assumption about the factors that determine the spread of COVID-19 would lack any prudence. In light of the differences of opinion about the role of temperature on COVID-19 spread, it is too early to assume that the increasing temperature might check the spread COVID-19 in India and other tropical countries that are in the scorching summers. Only a longer time series of the temperature data at the end of the summer shall provide an insight into the impact of the temperatures on the pandemic spread.

Methods And Materials

The temperature data was obtained from the Indian Meteorological department from 9 March, 2020, to 27 May, 2020, for time series analysis in this study. Mumbai meteorological station data, the capital of Maharashtra, is used as a representative for Maharashtra region, the station data of Jaipur, the capital city of Rajasthan, and Srinagar station data, the capital of Kashmir for the Kashmir region. The choice of
the meteorological stations is based on the fact that these three regions have marked the maximum no. of COVID cases and COVID hotspots in the country (MOHFW 2020). A significant variability of temperature exists among the regions; however, temperature data that is representative of a whole region has been used in similar other correlation studies by various authors (Pirouz et al. 2020; Ujiie et al. 2020). Data regarding the confirmed COVID-19 cases for each region was taken from the official websites; arogya.maharashtra.gov.in (Maharashtra), http://www.rajswasthya.nic.in/ (Rajasthan) and www.diprjk.nic.in (Kashmir). We analyzed the weather data (Tmax) only of all the three study regions to find out any pattern and relationship between COVID-19 and the temperature. Tmax was used as an indicator to establish any relation between temperature and COVID-19 contagion.

Results And Discussion

Statistical analysis

The lowest, highest, and mean value of the Tmax for the three regions during the observation period is provided in Table 1. From the data provided in the table, it is clear that the mean value of Tmax for Maharashtra, Rajasthan, and Kashmir valley is 34°C, 36°C, and 21°C respectively. The rate of change of the temperature for Maharashtra is minimum and almost flat ($R^2 = 0.05$). While as for the Rajasthan and Kashmir region, temperature shows a significant increasing trend, and the average rate of change of the temperature is 0.19°C and 0.16°C per day, respectively, during the period of study (Fig. 2). Maharashtra is showing an increase in the number of confirmed cases from 2 on 9 March, 2020 to 56948 on 27 May, 2020 with observed temperature range of 29°C to 38°C, an increase of 23.68% during the period. Rajasthan shows an increase of 3 cases on 9 March, 2020 to 7816 on 27 May, 2020 with the observed temperature range 25°C to 45°C, an increase of 44.44% during the period and Kashmir shows an increase of 0 cases on 9 March, 2020 to 1535 cases on 27 May, 2020 with the observed temperature range of 10°C to 32°C, an increase of 68.75% during the period (Table 1). Fig. 2 shows the graph representing the daily increase of the new COVID-19 cases and temperature for the three regions during the period of study.

For Maharashtra, there is no statistically significant correlation between the increase in maximum temperature and the total no. of daily confirmed cases of COVID-19 ($r = 0.09331$, fig. 3a). Table 2 shows the correlation representing the relationship between the temperature and COVID-19 cases in Maharashtra. Since the onset of COVID-19, temperature has not changed much in Maharashtra ($R^2 = 0.0552$, fig. 3b). Therefore, in the case of Maharashtra, the dependency of COVID-19 on temperature is not established in any significant manner.

To investigate further, we chose regions where the temperature has changed significantly from the onset of a pandemic, and thus Rajasthan and Kashmir were selected. In both regions, temperatures have increased substantially since the beginning of the pandemic. Fig. 4(b) shows the Tmax variation during the pandemic in Rajasthan. The temperature in Rajasthan has increased significantly during the
pandemic, as is depicted by very high $R^2 = 0.7393$. The Tmax variation during the pandemic in Kashmir is illustrated in fig. 5(b) ($R^2 = 0.5801$).

For Rajasthan, there is a statistically significant correlation ($r = 0.7623$) between the increase in the temperature and the total no. of daily confirmed cases of COVID-19, and the relationship is positive also (Table 2, Fig. 4a). Hence it implies with an increase in temperature in Rajasthan; the number of positive COVID-19 cases has also increased significantly.

In the case of Kashmir, a significantly positive correlation ($r = 0.7558$) was found between the increase in temperature and total no. of daily confirmed cases of COVID-19, as is shown in table 2, fig. 5a. These results indicate that increase in COVID-19 cases has been accompanied by the rise in temperatures of Rajasthan and Kashmir. These results are contrary to what has been witnessed in Maharashtra, which showed no influence of temperature on pandemic spread.

**Major inferences**

We observed that with an increase in Tmax during the pandemic period, confirmed COVID-19 cases have increased in the case of Rajasthan and Kashmir. However, the incidence of the pandemic has risen significantly in Maharashtra without a corresponding rise in Tmax observed during the study period. The observed temperatures during the pandemic in the case of Maharashtra and Rajasthan were comparatively similar, as is shown in fig. 2. The temperatures of the first day of the analysis period in Maharashtra and Rajasthan were $30^\circ C$ and $27^\circ C$, respectively. Hence, the assumption that at higher temperatures, the COVID-19 lethality, survival, and spread may lessen is not valid. In contrast, the pandemic temperatures of Kashmir are relatively lower, while the temperatures of Maharashtra and Rajasthan are high, soaring, and almost in the same range, as is shown in table 1.

The primary conclusion drawn from this investigation is that the temperature alone cannot be taken as a parameter governing COVID-19 spread and there is a complex set of parameters related to other weather parameters, demography, socio-economics, immunology and epidemiology that need to be considered as more data becomes available with the control of the pandemic. The study shows that Maharashtra's COVID-19 spread is entirely independent of the temperature regime. Though in this state, temperatures have not increased considerably since the inception of the cases of COVID-19; however, the reported cases increased significantly. Moreover, the maximum no. of cases are observed on those days when the Tmax was $34^\circ C$ (Table 1, Fig. 3a). It clearly shows that in Maharashtra, COVID-19 cases occurred irrespective of the temperature regime of the state. This finding is in tune with that of Prata et al., 2020 which suggested that the relationship between the average temperature and COVID-19 confirmed cases was approximately linear in the range of less than $25.8^\circ C$, which became flat above $25.8^\circ C$.

Contrary to Maharashtra, Rajasthan and Kashmir have shown that with increasing temperatures, COVID-19 cases have also increased in a statistically significant manner. This observation is again in contravention of the theory predicting the decrease in the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic with the
increase in temperature up to a specific limit (Prata et al., 2020; Chan et al., 2011). We observed that the increasing temperature within the given range observed during the period for Rajasthan and Kashmir sites might provide a beneficial environment for the coronavirus to grow and spread as evident by the increasing trend of the reported COVID-19 cases with the increasing temperature (Fig. 4a, 5a).

These evidences based on the results from the three eco-geographically distinct regions of India lead us to believe that the assumption, that temperature is negatively influencing and harmful to the virus contagion (Livadiotis 2020), is not supported by the observed COVID-19 cases and temperature rise in the given range and pattern. Therefore, we suggest that the COVID-19 cases are not going to see any decline or stability with the expected increasing temperature over India, as evident from the results over the three study sites. Instead, the cases shall continue to rise, as is being witnessed, with or without any changes in the temperatures. However, the availability of the more extended time series of data along with other meteorological parameters would provide a more in-depth insight into the pattern and behavior of the virus under changing weather conditions.

We also know that one of the vital parameters that govern the reporting of the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases in any day is the testing rate (Wang et al. 2020). In India, the frequency of COVID-19 daily testing has also increased between 9 March, 2020, till 27 May, 2020 (Singhal et al. 2020). Up to 15 May, India carried out COVID-19 tests of around 1,540 people per million, which is very high against the 94.5 per million population in late-March (Sharma 2020). MOHFW reports it in its 30 April bulletin that India has conducted 758 tests per million people with a total of 33,050 positive COVID-19 cases in India until then. Therefore, testing has increased manifold day by day. Epidemiologists explain the need for increased testing rates in terms of the non-declination of the prevalence of the COVID-19 infection. These COVID-19 testing numbers are very high compared to the early days of the onset of the reporting of COVID-19 infections in the country. Hence one of the possibilities could be that the cases were very high in the beginning, too.

We conclude that, to precisely determine the relationship of temperature and COVID-19, factors such as testing, structure, and dynamics of the social community, policies of the government, demographic profile, epidemiological data, and reproduction rate of the virus need to be evaluated in order to determine the one-on-one dependency (Jia et al. 2020). Information regarding many of these factors are still emerging or are under investigation. So far from this analysis, it has been observed that the temperature dependency of COVID-19 is not similar to that of SARS-CoV, whose survival at higher temperatures is meager (Paynter 2015). Hence we conclude that public health strategies should not wait for higher temperatures to control COVID-19. Adoption of holistic COVID pandemic control requires strict social distancing, strengthening of the health care infrastructure, robust health care policies that have been observed to have a more direct impact on the spread and control of the virus.

**Recommendations**

In India, unless vaccine or possible medications are available, the only means to restrict the COVID-19 spread is through adopting the ‘flatten the curve’ strategy (Thunström et al. 2020). It will immediately
alleviate the liability on its weak healthcare sector. For that, the severity of risk-based prioritization of areas needs to be formulated along with the social distancing so that economy does not suffer irreparably (Kanga et al. 2020). Various activities that can be allowed in different risk zones have been described by Kanga et al. 2020. Further, India is already prone to various types of natural disasters. Therefore it is prudent to prepare the COVID-19 contingency plans in the wake of the eventuality of any sharp rise in the confirmed cases as observed in the USA and some European countries.

Conclusion And Limitations

This study focussed on understanding the relationship of the maximum temperatures and the spread of COVID-19, statistically in three different eco-geographical regions of India. Contrary to the reported findings, the results showed that the increasing temperature is not harmful to the spread of the COVID-19 as commonly understood. While in the case of Maharashtra, no relationship was found between the temperature regime and increasing COVID-19 cases in the state. In the case of Rajasthan and Kashmir, an increase in COVID-19 cases showed a significantly positive correlation with the changing temperatures in these regions, indicating that the increasing temperature shows a beneficial impact on the spread of the virus. Hence, we conclude that unless several other influencing factors are not taken into cognizance, temperature alone cannot be used as a criterion for devising policy-making for curbing COVID-19 spread. We recommend the use of risk-based COVID-19 mapping for flattening the COVID-19 contagion curve, which at present seems to be the only viable solution available for containment of the virus as has been proposed by Kanga et al. 2020.

One of the limitations of this study is that we have used only three regions. Due to data and time constraints, it was deemed better to analyze three distinct eco-geographical regions to represent India. However, the conclusions would have been more robust if other regions and more extended time series of temperature and other parameters were also analyzed. Nevertheless, because of the widespread reports of the harmful impacts of the rising temperatures on the virus spread, it was expedient to do a quick study using the temperature alone to test the hypothesis and was aimed so that the health care strategies do not wait for higher temperatures to control COVID-19 and instead focus on the adoption of robust and holistic COVID pandemic control measures requiring strict social distancing, strengthening of the health care infrastructure, and robust health care.

It has been proclaimed by the leading health organizations of the world that the pandemic is not going to end sooner. Hence our aim in this study was to guide the stakeholders that unless many other factors are not known, using temperature alone as a criterion for developing decisions regarding COVID-19 is ill-advised. We recommend the development of a comprehensive COVID-19 contagion model that takes into consideration maximum possible parameters governing the virus lethality and mobility. Unless that is not imbued, any hope and strategy based on the COVID-19 and temperature relationship is not going to yield anything positive.
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Tables

| Table 1 Minimum, maximum and mean of Tmax of the three regions along with the total number of confirmed COVID-19 cases during the period of study |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Maharashtra** | **Rajasthan** | **Kashmir** |
| 0°C | 0°C | 0°C |
| **COVID-19 Cases** | **COVID-19 Cases** | **COVID-19 Cases** |
| 29 | 25 | 10 |
| 29 | 25 | 10 |
| 38 | 45 | 32 |
| 38 | 45 | 32 |
| 34 | 36 | 21 |
| 34 | 36 | 21 |
| 50089 | 404 | 58 |
| 50089 | 404 | 58 |
| 56948 | 7816 | 1535 |
| 56948 | 7816 | 1535 |
Table 2 Results of the correlation and regression analysis between the Tmax and No. of confirmed positive cases of the COVID-19 during the period of study for the three selected regions

| Correlation indices                        | Maharashtra | Rajasthan | Kashmir |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Number of XY Pairs                         | 80          | 80        | 80      |
| Pearson r                                  | 0.09331     | 0.7623    | 0.7558  |
| 95% confidence interval                    | -0.1291 to 0.3068 | 0.6517 to 0.8412 | 0.6428 to 0.8366 |
| P value (two-tailed)                       | 0.4104      | < 0.0001  | < 0.0001|
| P value summary                            | ns          | ***       | ***     |
| Is the correlation significant? (alpha=0.05)| No          | Yes       | Yes     |
| R²                                         | 0.008707    | 0.5811    | 0.5713  |

Figures

Figure 1
Location of the different eco-geographical regions of India. (a) Union of India, (b) Maharashtra, (c) Rajasthan, and (d) Kashmir (Source: Google Earth)

Figure 2

Graph representing the daily increase of the COVID-19 cases and temperature for the three regions from 9 May, 2020, until 27 May, 2020.

Figure 3

Graph representing the cumulative increase of the COVID-19 cases and temperature over a lag of 0-12 weeks for the three regions.
(a) Scatterplot showing the statistical relationship between the COVID-19 cases and Tmax in Maharashtra for the period of analysis. (b) Trend analysis of the variation of Tmax with COVID-19 cases for the period of analysis in Maharashtra.

Figure 4

Trend analysis of the variation of Tmax with COVID-19 cases for the period of analysis (a) in Rajasthan, (b) in Kashmir.

Figure 5

Scatterplot showing the correlation and regression between the COVID-19 cases and Tmax for the period of analysis (a) in Rajasthan, (b) in Kashmir.