Inhibition of TMEM16A Expression Suppresses Growth and Invasion in Human Colorectal Cancer Cells
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Abstract

Metastasis leads to poor prognosis in colorectal cancer patients, and there is a growing need for new therapeutic targets. TMEM16A (ANO1, DOG1 or TAOS2) has recently been identified as a calcium-activated chloride channel (CaCC) and is reported to be overexpressed in several malignancies; however, its expression and function in colorectal cancer (CRC) remains unclear. In this study, we found expression of TMEM16A mRNA and protein in high-metastatic-potential SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells, but not in primary HCT8 and SW480 cells, using RT-PCR, Western blotting and immunofluorescence labeling. Patch-clamp recordings detected CaCC currents regulated by intracellular Ca²⁺ and voltage in SW620 cells. Knockdown of TMEM16A by short hairpin RNAs (shRNA) resulted in the suppression of growth, migration and invasion of SW620 cells as detected by MTT, wound-healing and transwell assays. Mechanistically, TMEM16A depletion was accompanied by the dysregulation of phospho-MEK, phospho-ERK1/2 and cyclin D1 expression. Flow cytometry analysis showed that SW620 cells were inhibited from the G1 to S phase of the cell cycle in the TMEM16A shRNA group compared with the control group. In conclusion, our results indicate that TMEM16A CaCC is involved in growth, migration and invasion of metastatic CRC cells and provide evidence for TMEM16A as a potential drug target for treating metastatic colorectal carcinoma.
Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignancy worldwide [1, 2], and metastasis is a crucial factor for the poor prognosis of CRC patients [3]. Alterations of multiple gene, such as activation of oncogenes and inactivation of tumor suppressor genes, are involved in the progression of normal colonic epithelium into adenoma and into malignant adenocarcinoma [4, 5]. However, there is limited information about the molecular changes that confer to the colorectal cancer metastasis [6, 7]. Therefore, it is necessary to identify metastasis-related genes and their molecular pathways, which may provide new targets for the treatment of metastatic CRC.

The chromosomal band 11q13 amplicon is one of the most frequently amplified regions in human malignancies, such as head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) and breast, bladder and esophageal cancer [8]. The analysis of the differential expression of genes located in this region led to the identification of TMEM16A, which is also called ANO1 (anoctamin-1), DOG1 (discovered on gastrointestinal stromal tumors protein 1), ORAOV2 (oral cancer overexpressed 2) and TAOS2 (tumor-amplified and overexpressed sequence 2) [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. TMEM16A is composed of 26 exons and contains eight transmembrane segments with the N- and C-termini facing the cytoplasm and a reentrant loop located between TM5 and TM6 possibly forming the pore region [14].

TMEM16A has recently been shown to be a calcium-activated chloride channel [14, 15, 16] and is widely expressed in various tissues, including secretory epithelia, smooth muscle, sensory neurons and other tissues [17, 18]. TMEM16A plays many important physiological roles in the control of epithelial fluid transport, vascular smooth muscle contraction, saliva production and gastrointestinal tract motility [19, 20, 21, 22]. Dysregulation of TMEM16A causes human diseases, including cystic fibrosis, hypertension, pulmonary diseases and diarrhea [23, 24, 25]; knockout of TMEM16A is embryonically lethal because of tracheomalacia [26].

The expression of TMEM16A is up-regulated in several cancers, including HNSCC and esophageal, breast and prostate cancer. Its overexpression is also correlated with the development of distant metastasis and poor prognosis of cancer patients with HNSCC [27, 28, 29]. Recently, TMEM16A has been found to promote HNSCC tumorigenesis and invasion via activating the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway. In addition, TMEM16A has been reported to contribute to cancer progression by inducing the activation of epithelial growth factor receptor (EGFR) and calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CAMK II) and subsequently activating AKT and MAPK signaling in breast cancer and HNSCC [30, 31]. Although TMEM16A is ubiquitously expressed in gastrointestinal stromal tumors [32], its role in CRC metastasis is little investigated.

In the present study, we first demonstrated the expression of TMEM16A calcium-activated chloride channels (CaCCs) in different metastatic potential
colorectal cancer cell lines. We further investigated role of TMEM16A in SW620 cells metastasis and its possible molecular mechanism by using short hairpin RNAs in vitro.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture**

The human colorectal carcinoma cell lines HCT8, SW480, SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). SW480 and SW620 were cultured in L15 Medium (sigma, USA). HCT8 and HCT116 were grown in RPMI medium 1640 (sigma, USA). LS174T cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Sigma, USA). Fisher rat thyroid (FRT) cells and FRT cells transfected stably with human TMEM16A were obtained from Alan Verkman (University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA) [33] and were cultured in Coon’s modified F12 medium. All media was supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin. Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air.

**RNA extraction and RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s introductions. The concentration, purity and integrity of the RNA were measured using a NanoDrop2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Five hundred nanograms of total RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the reverse transcriptase with the PrimeScriptTM RT reagent kit (TaKaRa). The cDNA product was used as a template for PCR amplification in a total volume of 30 μl, and the PCR conditions were as follows: 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s; 60°C for 30 s; and 72°C for 60 s. For TMEM16A cDNA amplification, the following primers were used: sense primer, 5′ –AACGGGA CCATGCACGGCTT-3′; antisense primer, 5′ -TGTTGTGGTGGTTGCAGGC-3′. The PCR products (424 bp) were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and β-actin served as an endogenous control to normalize the expression data.

**Western blotting**

Cells were washed twice with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and dissolved in lysis buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 5 mM EDTA, pH 7.5, 1% Triton X-100 and supplemented with 1 mM PMSF). After protein quantification, samples containing 100 μg proteins were denatured and electrophoresed using 10% SDS-PAGE and then transferred onto PVDF membranes. After blocking with 5% (w/v) nonfat milk and washing with Tris-buffered saline–Tween solution (TBST), the membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary anti-TMEM16A polyclonal antibody (Abcam, ab53212; 1:100) and mouse anti-β-actin.
antibody (Cell Signaling; 1:500), respectively. All other primary antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. After washing, the blots were incubated with secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Sigma; 1:5,000) for 1 h at room temperature and visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Amersham, Little Chalfont, UK) on X-ray film (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, USA).

Immunofluorescence analysis

Immunofluorescent staining was conducted to localize the expression of TMEM16A. Colorectal cancer cells were cultured overnight on preferred glass coverslips (Fisher, USA) and fixed for 15 min in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (PFA). The cells were then rinsed 3 times with PBS for 5 min, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100/PBS for 20 min and then incubated for 1 h in 3% BSA/PBS blocking solution. To detect TMEM16A, cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 h with mouse anti-human DOG1 monoclonal antibody (zhongshanjinqiao, China; 1:50), followed by exposure to a Cy3-conjugated anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (Sigma; 1:1,000) at 37°C for 1 h. To visualize nuclei, cells were stained with DAPI (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA; 40,6- diamidino-2-phenylindole), and the coverslips were mounted on slides with antifade solution (Vector Laboratories, Inc. Burlingame, CA). Fluorescent images were examined and photographed under a confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Patch clamp recordings

Human colorectal cancer cells were seeded on glass coverslips, and patch clamp recordings were performed using an EPC10 amplifier (HEKA, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany) in combination with Patchmaster (HEKA) at room temperature. The pipettes were prepared from borosilicate capillary glass using a micropipette puller (PC-10, NARISHIGE, Japan) and then fire-polished with a microforge (MF-900, NARISHIGE, Japan). The resistance of the pipettes in the bath solution was 2–5 MΩ. Cells were perfused with a bath solution containing: 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM glucose, 5 mM HEPES and 20 mM sucrose (pH 7.4 with NaOH). For the whole-cell configuration, the zero Ca²⁺ intracellular solution contained the following: 146 mM N-methyl-D-glucamine chloride (NMDG-Cl), 2 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EGTA and 8 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with NMDG). The high-Ca²⁺ pipette solution contained 5 mM Ca²⁺-EGTA instead of EGTA (free Ca²⁺ approximately 25 μM). Different free [Ca²⁺] solutions were made by mixing the zero-Ca²⁺ and high-Ca²⁺ solutions. The cell was clamped from the holding potential (0 mV) to voltages between −100 to +100 mV at 20 mV increments. For the inside-out configuration, the pipette solution contained: 140 mM NMDG-Cl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM CaCl₂ and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with NMDG); and the perfusion solution contained: 150 mM NMDG-Cl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM EGTA, 8 mM Tris and 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.4 with NMDG). After the seal resistance reached >40 GΩ, the membrane was
excised, and the membrane potential was held at $-50 \text{ mV}$. The data were filtered at 100 Hz with an eight-pole Bessel filter (LPF-8, Warner Instruments, LLC, Hamden, CT, USA), and digitized using a computer at a sampling rate of 500 Hz. Niflumic acid (NFA) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All inside-out patch experiments were performed using a fast solution exchange perfusion system (SF-77B, Warner Instruments). The dead time of solution change was 30 ms, and data analysis was performed for recordings containing whole cells in a single channel using the Igor software as described previously [34].

RNA interference
TMEM16A/ANO1 short hairpin RNA (shRNA) and negative-control shRNA plasmid were constructed by GeneChem Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The site for siRNA targeting of the human TMEM16A/ANO1 gene (GenBank NM_018043) was as follows: #1, CGTGTACAAAGGCCAAGTA (1077–1095 nt); and #2, CGAAAGAAGA TGTACCACAT (837–855 nt). RNA interference was conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Cell proliferation assay
Cell proliferation rates were measured using the MTT assay. Briefly, 5000 cells were seeded in each 96-well plate for 24 h, transfected with TMEM16A shRNA or scrambled shRNA and further incubated for 1, 2, 3 and 4 d, respectively. MTT reagent (10 μL; 500 μg/mL) was added to each well, and the cells were further incubated for 4 h. Subsequently, 150 μL DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. The number of viable cells was quantified using the absorbance measured at 570 nm with a microplate reader (Thermo Scientific, USA).

In vitro wound healing
Cell mobility was assessed by a scratch wound assay in vitro. Cells were seeded in a 6-well plate until confluent, scraped with a sterile, 200-μL tip and washed twice with PBS. After incubation with L15 medium containing 1% fetal bovine serum the cells were photographed at 0 h, 24 h, 48 h or 72 h under an inverted microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at a magnification of 100×. These experiments were carried out in triplicate. The distances between the wound edges were measured with a graduated ruler, and relative scratch breadth was determined by a ratio of average breadth in treatment cells versus the average breadth in control cells.

Cell migration and invasion assays
In vitro cancer cell migration and invasion activities were evaluated in transwells, as described previously, with modifications [23]. SW620 cells were cultured in L15 medium with 10% FBS until confluence in 6-well plates, transfected with the TMEM16A shRNA or scrambled shRNA for 24 h, and then trypsinized, washed
and counted. For cell migration, 1 × 10^5 cells in 200 μl of medium with 1% FBS were seeded in the upper transwell insert chamber containing a polycarbonate filter (6.5-mm diameter, 8-μm pores; Corning Costar, Corning, NY, USA). L15 medium (600 μl) with 10% FBS (chemoattractant) was added to the lower chamber, and the plates were incubated for 72 h at 37°C in 5% CO_2. For cell invasion, the transwells were coated with Matrigel. The cells that did not migrate were removed from the top of the transwell filters by scraping. The penetrated cells were fixed with paraformaldehyde, stained with Coomassie blue and counted under an inverted microscope (100 × magnification). The cell number represented migration activity.

Cell-cycle analysis
After transfecting the cells with TMEM16A or scrambled shRNAs for 3 d, the cells were detached using trypsin, resuspended in growth medium and counted. Then, 1 × 10^6 cells were washed with PBS and fixed overnight with 70% (vol/vol) ethanol at 4°C. After washing twice with PBS, the cells were stained with a solution containing 50 μg/mL of PI and 100 μg/mL RNase A for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. The stained cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (Beckman Coulter, Epics XL).

Statistical analysis
The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS statistics (version 17.0) with a two-tailed Student’s t-test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The data are expressed as the mean ± SD.

Results
Expression of TMEM16A in CRC cell lines
In this study, we first detected TMEM16A mRNA levels by RT-PCR in the colon cancer cell lines HCT8, SW480, SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells. As shown in Fig. 1A, a 424-bp fragment of human TMEM16A was amplified from SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells and positive-control FRT cells transfected stably with human TMEM16A, but not from HCT8, SW480 cells and negative-control null-FRT cells. Immunoblot analysis using anti-TMEM16A polyclonal antibody identified an approximately 110-kDa protein band in SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells (Fig. 1B). In contrast, TMEM16A protein band was absent in SW480, HCT8 and negative control null-FRT cells. We further examined the expression and subcellular localization of the TMEM16A protein in CRC cells using immunofluorescent staining. Immunofluorescence labeling revealed that TMEM16A was highly expressed on the cellular membrane and plasma of SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells (S1 Fig.), whereas TMEM16A was hardly observed in HCT8 and SW480 cells (Fig. 2). A cellular, isogenic model (SW480 and SW620 cell lines) of human CRC metastasis transition was selected for subsequent study.
A

Fig. 1. Expression of TMEM16A in CRC cell lines, HCT8, SW480, SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells. A, RT-PCR reveals TMEM16A mRNA expression in SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells, but not in HCT8 and SW480 cells. B, TMEM16A protein expression in HCT8 and SW480, SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells was detected by Western blotting. Null FRT cells acts as negative control. FRT cells transfected with human TMEM16A serve as positive control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443.g001

B

Fig. 2. Immunofluorescence labeling of TMEM16A in CRC cell lines. Cells were grown on coverslips and stained with anti-TMEM16A antibodies. Left column, anti-TMEM16A immunofluorescence (Cy3, red). Middle column, DAPI staining to visualize nuclei. Right column, merged images are composites of anti-TMEM16A immunofluorescence and DAPI staining (200×).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443.g002
Functional expression of the TMEM16A channel in CRC cells

To validate the functional expression of the TMEM16A channel in the CRC cell lines, we performed whole-cell patch clamp recordings of SW480 cells and inside-out patch clamp recordings of SW620 cells. Fig. 3A shows a family of currents in response to the voltage steps of stimuli in the presence of 1 μM Ca from a SW480 cell. The current-voltage curve (Fig. 3B) showed that the reversal potential ($V_{rev}$) of this current is approximately $-50$ mV, but the $V_{rev}$ of the chloride channel was estimated to be approximately 0 mV using the Nernst equation according to the extracellular and intracellular Cl$^-$. This result demonstrates that the currents recorded from the SW480 cell are not from chloride channels.

Inside-out patch clamp experiments of SW620 cells manifested that the membrane current was dependent on calcium and the voltage, which are typical characteristics of endogenous CaCCs (Fig. 3C–F). In addition, the detected currents were strongly inhibited by the Cl$^-$ channel blocker niflumic acid (NFA) (Fig. 3G) and the TMEM16A -specific inhibitor T16Ainh-A01 (Fig. 3H).

We also added TEME16A specific inhibitor T16Ainh-A01 to SW620 cells and SW480 cells separately, and observed growth change of these cells after 24 h. The results demonstrated that T16Ainh-A01 could specifically decrease the proliferation of SW620 cells but not SW480 cells (Fig. 4).

Knockdown of TMEM16A inhibited the proliferation of SW620 cells

To disclose the functions of TMEM16A in colon cancer cells, we transfected two TMEM16A shRNA sequences (#1 and #2) into SW620 cells to silence the expression of TMEM16A. Western blot results showed that TMEM16A shRNA #1 caused 63.4% reduction in TMEM16A protein expression and TMEM16A shRNA #2 caused 71.7% reduction, as compared with the control shRNA. TMEM16A knockdown led to a significant change in whole-cell calcium-activated chloride currents and immunofluorescence density (Fig. 5).

To investigate the effects of TMEM16A on the proliferation of SW620 cells, we used two shRNA sequences to interfere the expression of TMEM16A. MTT analysis showed that TMEM16A knockdown greatly suppressed cell growth in a time -dependent manner compared with control shRNA-expressing SW620 cells in vitro (Fig. 6).

Suppression of migration and invasion of SW620 cells by silencing endogenous TMEM16A

To further observe the effects of TMEM16A on cell motility, we performed wound-healing assays after silencing endogenous TMEM16A. Wound-healing images demonstrated that wound closure of TMEM16A shRNA #1 and #2 cells was slower than in the control shRNA-treated cells after scratching the cells (Fig. 7). This result showed that deregulation of TMEM16A delayed wound healing compared with the control.
Fig. 3. Patch-clamp recording of SW480 and SW620 cells. A, Representative whole-cell currents in SW480 cells were activated by 1 μM Ca. The currents were recorded at a holding potential of 0 mV followed by pulsing voltages between ±100 mV in steps of 20 mV. B, Current-voltage curve from panel A indicating that the currents are not from chloride channels. C, A representative current trace recorded from an inside-out patch of a SW620 cell. A CaCC current was elicited with 1 μM Ca²⁺, as marked. Dashed lines represent zero current. The membrane voltage was held at −50 mV; and upward deflections represent channel opening. D, A stable current trace after a CaCC current from panel C run down. E, A continuous current recording showing activation of CaCCs and applications of voltage ramps in the absence (a and c) or presence (b) of Ca. Voltage ramps: +50 to −100 mV for a duration of 2000 ms. F, Current-voltage curves from panel E showing typical outward rectification characteristics of CaCCs. Note that minimal conductance was observed in the absence of Ca. G and H, CaCCs currents were decreased separately by 100 μM NFA and 50 μM T16Ainh-A01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443.g003
We next examined the roles of TMEM16A in the metastasis in SW620 cells. Transwell migration and invasion assays of TMEM16A knockdown cells were carried out. In the transwell migration assay, the numbers of cells transfected with TMEM16A shRNA that penetrated the membrane largely decreased compared with SW620 cells transfected with the negative control. The results also showed that SW620 cells transfected with TMEM16A shRNA #1 and #2 exhibited significant impairment of migratory ability. Similarly, the corresponding role of TMEM16A shRNA #1 and #2 for invasive ability was also observed in a parallel invasive assay (Fig. 8).

Depletion of TMEM16A inhibited activation of MAPK signaling

To elucidate the molecular mechanisms by which TMEM16A affects human CRC growth and metastasis, we explored the correlation of TMEM16A with cyclin D1 and MAPK signaling pathway. As shown in Fig. 9, knockdown of TMEM16A significantly decreased the expression of cyclin D1 and phosphorylation of MEK and ERK1/2, whereas it did not affect the total MEK or ERK1/2 expression levels.

Depletion of TMEM16A caused retardation of cell-cycle procession

We further measured the cell cycle distribution by flow cytometry to investigate the possible mechanism of TMEM16A in regulating CRC cell proliferation. As Fig. 10 showed, an increase in the cell number at G0/G1 phase and decrease in the cell number at G2/M phase was observed after endogenous TMEM16A was knocked down. The percentage of G1-phase cells in TMEM16A-shRNA #1 cells (50.3% ± 1.83) and TMEM16A-shRNA #2 cells (51.8 ± 1.13) were significantly higher than in control cells (33.95 ± 2.05) (P<0.01). These results verified that TMEM16A knockdown led to retardation of cell-cycle progression in SW620 cells.
Fig. 5. Knockdown of TMEM16A in SW620 cells. 
A, Western blot analysis of TMEM16A protein expression in SW620 cells that were transfected with shRNA #1 and #2. B, The bar graph summarizes the relative expression level of TMEM16A protein from panel A. Expression of TEMEM16A protein was normalized with the expression level of β-actin (n=3). C, Representative immunofluence images of SW620 cells are shown after TMEM16A is knockdown by shRNA #1 and shRNA #2, compared with control shRNA (200×). D, The bar graph summarizes the peak currents recorded at 100 mV voltage in SW620 cells after TMEM16A was knocked down, compared with control shRNA. **p<0.01. All data are shown as mean ± SD. E, Whole-cell currents were recorded from SW620 cells transfected with control shRNA, shRNA #1 and #2 at a holding potential of 0 mV followed by pulsing voltages between ±100 mV in steps of 20 mV.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443.g005
by arresting cells at Go/G1 phase, which caused the growth inhibition of TMEM16A shRNA cells.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that depletion of TMEM16A suppressed the activation of MAPK signaling pathway and retarded progression of the cell cycle.

Discussion

TMEM16A proteins were recently found to form Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated Cl\(^{-}\) channels that were transiently activated by intracellular calcium [15, 16, 26, 35]. These channels play an important role in epithelial Cl\(^{-}\) secretion, smooth muscle contraction and olfactory signal transduction [17, 36, 37, 38, 39]. Previous studies showed that TMEM16A is frequently over-expressed in several malignancies, including SCCHN, esophageal cancer and gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) [28, 36, 40]. However, the expression of TMEM16A in CRC remains unclear.

Our finding provides the first evidence that TMEM16A is amplified and highly expressed in SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells but not in HCT8 and SW480 cells.
We found that the TMEM16A protein was approximately 110 kD and located in the cellular membrane and plasma of SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells, which is consistent with previous reports [39, 41]. Colon cancer cell line SW480 originates from primary adenocarcinoma of the colon from a 50-year-old male patient, and SW620 cells are derived from a metastatic mesenteric lymph node of the same patient. So SW620 cells have high metastasis potential compared to SW480 cells [42]. LS174T and HCT116 have higher metastasis potential than HCT8 cells.
These results demonstrate that up-regulation of TMEM16A was associated with increased potentiality of metastasis in the five CRC cell lines. We speculate that alteration of TMEM16A expression was associated with the acquisition of more aggressive properties in CRC cell lines, which induces tumors to become metastatic. Relationship between TMEM16A expression and CRC
tumor progression needs to be further investigated in more CRC cell lines and in another clinic study.

Owing to their isogenic feature, SW480 and SW620 cell lines serve as a valuable model for studying the genetic alternations in colon cancer metastatic progression [46]. Therefore, we choose this pair of cell model for subsequent study.

Electrophysiological evidence validated that TMEM16A functions as CaCCs in SW620 cells. First, the current is dependent on the concentration of calcium ion in the cytoplasm and voltage (Fig. 3C–F). Moreover, both the chloride channel blocker NFA and TMEM16A-specific inhibitor T16A inh-A01 [47] can effectively suppress the currents recorded from a SW620 cell patch (Fig. 3G, H).

Interestingly, we observed that after a cell patch was excised, the CaCC current decreased quickly at first and then became relatively stable (Fig. 3C, D). This phenomenon demonstrated that other proteins, such as calmodulin or calmodulin-dependent kinase, might be involved in the regulation of TMEM16A CaCCs in SW620 cells.

There is evidence that chloride channels contribute to tumorigenesis and tumor progression [48, 49, 50, 51]. TMEM16A CaCCs have recently been reported to promote growth and metastasis in HNSCC, prostate cancer and breast cancer [27, 30, 31]. Consistent with these findings, we found that TMEM16A knockdown resulted in the suppression of proliferation, migration and invasion of SW620

| Ctrl shRNA | + | - | - |
|------------|---|---|---|
| TMEM16A shRNA #1 | - | + | - |
| TMEM16A shRNA #2 | - | - | + |

**Fig. 9.** TMEM16A knockdown decreased activation of the MEK-ERK1/2 pathway and expression of cyclin D1. Western blot results show inhibition of TMEM16A led to a decrease in phospho-MEK, phospho-ERK1/2 and cyclin D1. Ctrl, control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443.g009
cells. Our data provide evidence that TMEM16A likely contributes to tumorigenesis and metastasis in the high-metastatic-potential CRC. Small molecular inhibitors that can decrease the expression of TMEM16A may serve as novel therapeutic drugs for metastatic CRC.

To date, the mechanism by which TMEM16A affects colon cancer remained relatively unexplored. Numerous studies showed that the ERK pathway not only controls cellular proliferation and survival but also influences tumor cell migration, invasion and progression [52, 53, 54]. Recent studies reported that TMEM16A promotes HNSCC tumorigenesis and cancer progression by activating MAPK signaling pathway [30]. Therefore, we focused on studying the relationship between TMEM16A and MEK-ERK1/2 pathway in CRC. Changes in this pathway were investigated after TMEM16A expression was inhibited using western blotting. Our results demonstrate that knockdown of TMEM16A significantly inhibited the activation of MEK and ERK1/2. On the basis of this result, we further investigated the expression of cyclin D1, a cell-cycle regulatory protein that is associated with pERK1/2 expression. The results showed that expression of cyclin D1 is positively associated with pERK1/2 and TMEM16A expression. In addition, flow cytometry (FCM) assays showed that the cell cycle progression

Fig. 10. Flow cytometric analysis of the cell cycle distribution in SW620 cells. A, B and C, Flow cytometric analysis of the cell cycle distribution of SW620 cells transfected with control shRNA, TMEM16A shRNA #1 and #2 respectively for 72 h. D, Bar graphs showing the relative percentage of cells in the indicated phases of the cell cycle after knockdown of TMEM16A. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD; n=3; * P<0.05.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443.g010
from G1 to S phase was inhibited, which was consistent with deregulation of cyclin D1 expression after TMEM16A was depleted. These results provide a possible explanation for the observed inhibition of cell proliferation, migration and invasion of CRC when TMEM16A was suppressed and indicated that TMEM16A contributes to the growth and metastasis of CRC possibly by regulating the MAPK pathway.

In conclusion, we showed that expression of TMEM16A is related to metastatic potential of CRC cell lines. It promotes tumor progression through cell proliferation, migration and invasion. Knockdown of TMEM16A leads to attenuated activation of MEK and ERK1/2 and dysregulation of cyclin D1. These findings highlight the importance of TMEM16A in CRC progression and provide evidence for TMEM16A as a potential therapeutic target of CRC metastasis.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Location of TMEM16A in SW620, HCT116 and LS174T cells. Cells were grown on coverslips and stained with anti-TMEM16A antibodies. First column, anti-TMEM16A immunofluorescence (Cy3, red). Second column, DAPI staining to visualize nuclei. Third column, images from bright field. Fourth column, merged images from immunofluorescence labeling and bright field. SW620 and HCT116 (200×), LS174T (400×).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443.s001 (TIF)

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Alan Verkman (University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA) for the T16A inh-A01 inhibitor compound. The authors are grateful to Prof. Tyzh-Chang Hwang (Dalton Cardiovascular Research Center, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, MO, USA) for helpful instruction for the patch clamp experiments. The authors also thank Nong Zhang (Department of Pathology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai, China) for helpful suggestions.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YJS THM XDF GZZ. Performed the experiments: YJS MYS WHD LFY LLZ ZMM JHZ FW. Analyzed the data: YJS THM MYS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YJS THM. Wrote the paper: YJS.

References

1. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, et al. (2011) Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 61: 69–90.
2. Center MM, Jemal A, Smith RA, Ward E (2009) Worldwide variations in colorectal cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 59: 366–378.

3. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, Ward E (2010) Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin 60: 277–300.

4. Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B (1996) Lessons from hereditary colorectal cancer. Cell 87: 159–170.

5. Fearon ER, Vogelstein B (1990) A genetic model for colorectal tumorigenesis. Cell 61: 759–767.

6. Karpinski P, Sasiadek MM, Blin N (2008) Aberrant epigenetic patterns in the etiology of gastrointestinal cancers. J Appl Genet 49: 1–10.

7. Cai K, Mulatz K, Ard R, Nguyen T, Gee SH (2014) Increased diacylglycerol kinase zeta expression in human metastatic colon cancer cells augments Rho GTPase activity and contributes to enhanced invasion. BMC Cancer 14: 208.

8. Akervall JA, Jin Y, Wennerberg JP, Zatterstrom UK, Kjellen E, et al. (1995) Chromosomal abnormalities involving 11q13 are associated with poor prognosis in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. Cancer 76: 853–859.

9. Bulley S, Neeb ZP, Burris SK, Bannister JP, Thomas-Gatewood CM, et al. (2012) TMEM16A/ANO1 channels contribute to the myogenic response in cerebral arteries. Circ Res 111: 1027–1036.

10. Sheridan JT, Worthington EN, Yu K, Gabriel SE, Hartzell HC, et al. (2011) Characterization of the oligomeric structure of the Ca(2+)-activated Cl- channel Ano1/TMEM16A. J Biol Chem 286: 1381–1388.

11. Katoh M (2004) Identification and characterization of TMEM16E and TMEM16F genes in silico. Int J Oncol 24: 1345–1349.

12. Chenevert J, Duvvuri U, Chiosea S, Dacic S, Cieply K, et al. (2012) DOG1: a novel marker of salivary acinar and intercalated duct differentiation. Mod Pathol 25: 919–929.

13. Huang X, Godfrey TE, Gooding WE, McCarty KS Jr, Gollin SM (2006) Comprehensive genome and transcriptome analysis of the 11q13 amplicon in human oral cancer and syntenomy to the 7F5 amplicon in murine oral carcinoma. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 45: 1058–1069.

14. Yang YD, Cho H, Koo JY, Tak MH, Cho Y, et al. (2008) TMEM16A confers receptor-activated calcium-dependent chloride conductance. Nature 455: 1210–1215.

15. Caputo A, Caci E, Ferrera L, Pedemonte N, Barsanti C, et al. (2008) TMEM16A, a membrane protein associated with calcium-dependent chloride channel activity. Science 322: 590–594.

16. Schroeder BC, Cheng T, Jan YN, Jan LY (2008) Expression cloning of TMEM16A as a calcium-activated chloride channel subunit. Cell 134: 1019–1029.

17. Ferrera L, Caputo A, Galiotta LJ (2010) TMEM16A protein: a new identity for Ca(2+)-dependent Cl(−) channels. Physiology (Bethesda) 25: 357–363.

18. Huang F, Rock JR, Harfe BD, Cheng T, Huang X, et al. (2009) Studies on expression and function of the TMEM16A calcium-activated chloride channel. Proc Nat Acad Sci U S A 106: 21413–21418.

19. Dutta AK, Khimji AK, Kresge C, Bugde A, Dougherty M, et al. (2011) Identification and functional characterization of TMEM16A, a Ca2+-activated Cl- channel activated by extracellular nucleotides, in biliary epithelium. J Biol Chem 286: 766–776.

20. Romanenko VG, Catalan MA, Brown DA, Putzier I, Hartzell HC, et al. (2010) Tmem16A encodes the Ca2+-activated Cl- channel in mouse submandibular salivary gland acinar cells. J Biol Chem 285: 12990–13001.

21. Ousingsawat J, Martins JR, Schreiber R, Rock JR, Harfe BD, et al. (2009) Loss of TMEM16A causes a defect in epithelial Ca2+-dependent chloride transport. J Biol Chem 284: 28698–28703.

22. Rock JR, O'Neal WK, Gabriel SE, Randell SH, Harfe BD, et al. (2009) Transmembrane protein 16A (TMEM16A) is a Ca2+-regulated Cl- secretory channel in mouse airways. J Biol Chem 284: 14875–14880.

23. Forrest AS, Joyce TC, Huebner ML, Ayon RJ, Wiwchar M, et al. (2012) Increased TMEM16A-encoded calcium-activated chloride channel activity is associated with pulmonary hypertension. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol 303: C1229–1243.

24. Wang M, Yang H, Zheng LY, Zhang Z, Tang YB, et al. (2012) Downregulation of TMEM16A calcium-activated chloride channel contributes to cerebrovascular remodeling during hypertension by promoting basilar smooth muscle cell proliferation. Circulation 125: 697–707.
25. Ousingsawat J, Kongsuphol P, Schreiber R, Kunzelmann K (2011) CFTR and TMEM16A are separate but functionally related Cl- channels. Cell Physiol Biochem 28: 715–724.

26. Rock JR, Futtner CR, Harfe BD (2008) The transmembrane protein TMEM16A is required for normal development of the murine trachea. Dev Biol 321: 141–149.

27. Liu W, Lu M, Liu B, Huang Y, Wang K (2012) Inhibition of Ca(2+)-activated Cl(–) channel ANO1/ TMEM16A expression suppresses tumor growth and invasiveness in human prostate carcinoma. Cancer Lett 326: 41–51.

28. Carneiro A, Isinger A, Karlsson A, Johansson J, Jonsson G, et al. (2008) Prognostic impact of array-based genomic profiles in esophageal squamous cell cancer. BMC Cancer 8: 98.

29. Carles A, Millon R, Cromer A, Ganguli G, Lemaire F, et al. (2006) Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma transcriptome analysis by comprehensive validated differential display. Oncogene 25: 1821–1831.

30. Duvvuri U, Shiwarski DJ, Xiao D, Bertrand C, Huang X, et al. (2012) TMEM16A induces MAPK and contributes directly to tumorigenesis and cancer progression. Cancer Res 72: 3270–3281.

31. Britschgi A, Bill A, Brinkhaus H, Rothwell C, Clay I, et al. (2013) Calcium-activated chloride channel ANO1 promotes breast cancer progression by activating EGFR and CAMK signaling. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110: E1026–1034.

32. West RB, Corless CL, Chen X, Rubin BP, Subramanian S, et al. (2004) The novel marker, DOG1, is expressed ubiquitously in gastrointestinal stromal tumors irrespective of KIT or PDGFRA mutation status. Am J Pathol 165: 107–113.

33. Kumar S, Namkung W, Verkman AS, Sharma PK (2012) Novel 5-substituted benzyloxy-2-arylbenzofuran-3-carboxylic acids as calcium activated chloride channel inhibitors. Bioorg Med Chem 20: 4237–4244.

34. Guan RJ, Ford HL, Fu Y, Li Y, Shaw LM, et al. (2000) Drg-1 as a differentiation-related, putative metastatic suppressor gene in human colon cancer. Cancer Res 60: 749–755.

35. Terashima H, Picollo A, Accardi A (2013) Purified TMEM16A is sufficient to form Ca2+-activated Cl- channels. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

36. Namkung W, Thiagarajah JR, Phuan PW, Verkman AS (2010) Inhibition of Ca2+-activated Cl- channels by gallotannins as a possible molecular basis for health benefits of red wine and green tea. FASEB J 24: 4178–4186.

37. Namkung W, Yao Z, Finkbeiner WE, Verkman AS (2011) Small-molecule activators of TMEM16A, a calcium-activated chloride channel, stimulate epithelial chloride secretion and intestinal contraction. FASEB J 25: 4048–4062.

38. Dauner K, Lissmann J, Jeridi S, Frings S, Mohrlen F (2012) Expression patterns of anoctamin 1 and anoctamin 2 chloride channels in the mammalian nose. Cell Tissue Res 347: 327–341.

39. Tian Y, Schreiber R, Kunzelmann K (2012) Anoctamins are a family of Ca2+-activated Cl-- channels. J Cell Sci 125: 4991–4998.

40. Gaur S, Chen L, Ann V, Lin WC, Wang Y, et al. (2014) Dovitinib synergizes with oxaliplatin in suppressing cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells regardless of RAS-RAF mutation status. Mol Cancer 13: 21.

41. Thomas-Gatewood C, Neeb ZP, Bulley S, Adebiyi A, Bannister JP, et al. (2011) TMEM16A channels generate Ca(2)(+) activated Cl(–) currents in cerebral artery smooth muscle cells. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 301: H1819–1827.

42. Hewitt RE, McMarlin A, Kleiner D, Wersto R, Martin P, et al. (2000) Validation of a model of colon cancer progression. J Pathol 192: 446–454.

43. Gaur S, Chen L, Ann V, Lin WC, Wang Y, et al. (2014) Dovitinib synergizes with oxaliplatin in suppressing cell proliferation and inducing apoptosis in colorectal cancer cells regardless of RAS-RAF mutation status. Mol Cancer 13: 21.

44. Fiorelli G, Picariello L, Martineti V, Tonelli F, Brandi ML (1999) Estrogen synthesis in human colon cancer epithelial cells. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 71: 223–230.

45. Rajput A, Dominguez San Martin I, Rose R, Beko A, Levea C, et al. (2008) Characterization of HCT116 human colon cancer cells in an orthotopic model. J Surg Res 147: 276–281.

TMEM16A Promotes Colorectal Cancer Metastasis

PLOS ONE | DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0115443 December 26, 2014 19 / 20
46. Stein U, Walther W, Arlt F, Schwabe H, Smith J, et al. (2009) MACC1, a newly identified key regulator of HGF-MET signaling, predicts colon cancer metastasis. Nat Med 15: 59–67.

47. Davis AJ, Shi J, Pritchard HA, Chadha PS, Leblanc N, et al. (2013) Potent vasorelaxant activity of the TMEM16A inhibitor T16A(inh)-A01. Br J Pharmacol 168: 773–784.

48. Leanza L, Biasutto L, Manago A, Gulbins E, Zoratti M, et al. (2013) Intracellular ion channels and cancer. Front Physiol 4: 227.

49. Chiang PC, Chou RH, Chien HF, Tsai T, Chen CT (2013) Chloride intracellular channel 4 involves in the reduced invasiveness of cancer cells treated by photodynamic therapy. Lasers Surg Med 45: 38–47.

50. Knowles LM, Zewe J, Malik G, Parwani AV, Gingrich JR, et al. (2013) CLT1 targets bladder cancer through integrin alpha5beta1 and CLIC3. Mol Cancer Res 11: 194–203.

51. Li M, Wu DB, Wang J (2013) Effects of volume-activated chloride channels on the invasion and migration of human endometrial cancer cells. Eur J Gynaecol Oncol 34: 60–64.

52. Jin Q, Liu G, Domeier PP, Ding W, Mulder KM (2013) Decreased tumor progression and invasion by a novel anti-cell motility target for human colorectal carcinoma cells. PLoS One 8: e66439.

53. Chen H, Zhu G, Li Y, Padia RN, Dong Z, et al. (2009) Extracellular signal-regulated kinase signaling pathway regulates breast cancer cell migration by maintaining slug expression. Cancer Res 69: 9228–9235.

54. Peng C, Liu HY, Zhou M, Zhang LM, Li XL, et al. (2007) BRD7 suppresses the growth of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma cells (HNE1) through negatively regulating beta-catenin and ERK pathways. Mol Cell Biochem 303: 141–149.