Effect of Age, Duration of Exposure, and Dose of Atrazine on Sexual Maturation and the Luteinizing Hormone Surge in the Female Sprague–Dawley Rat
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INTRODUCTION

The chlorotriazine herbicide atrazine (2-chloro-4-[ethylamino]-6-[isopropylamino]-s-triazine) is utilized in agriculture for weed control in corn and sugarcane (Bridges, 2008). Atrazine (ATZ) inhibits electron transport in the photosynthetic pathway (photosystem II) of plants by reversibly binding to the D1 protein (Devine et al., 1993; Trebst, 2008). Oral (gavage) administration of ATZ suppressed the estrogen-induced (Simpkins et al., 2011) or estrogen-plus-progestosterone-induced (McMullin et al., 2004) luteinizing hormone (LH) surge in ovariectomized adult female Sprague–Dawley (SD) (Simpkins et al., 2011), Long Evans (Cooper et al., 2000, 2007), and Wistar (McMullin et al., 2004; Foradori et al., 2009a) rats. ATZ also suppressed the endogenous LH surge that occurs on the afternoon of proestrus in intact, normally cycling female SD rats when administered as a gavage bolus dose of 50 mg/kg/day, but not when administered as a temporally distributed dose in feed (500 ppm) at equivalent daily doses (Foradori et al., 2014). Gavage doses of 50 mg ATZ/day administered to weanling female SD (Ashby et al., 2002) or Wistar rats (Laws et al., 2000) delayed the onset of sexual maturation as indicated by a prolongation in the number of days needed to achieve vaginal patency.
In the conduct of risk assessments it is often assumed, based upon scientific considerations (Dourson et al., 2002; Scheuplein et al., 2002) or legal mandate (Food Quality Protection Act [FQPA]; U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 1996), that developing and prepubertal children are more sensitive to the effects of chemicals than are adults. In this study, we investigated whether female SD rats exposed to ATZ in utero were more sensitive to the effect of ATZ on sexual maturation or the estrogen-induced LH surge compared to rats exposed to ATZ only postweaning (PW). These data are critical for determining whether the default 10-fold FQPA uncertainty factor should be retained in the regulation of exposure of children to ATZ.

In this study, the effect of ATZ on sexual maturation and on the LH surge was evaluated in a cohort of female offspring that were exposed during gestation, lactation, and PW (G/L/PW) compared to a cohort of females that were exposed only PW. We found that neither developing fetuses, nor animals administered ATZ PW until sexual maturation and the commencement of the estrous cycle were more sensitive to the effect of ATZ on the LH surge than were young adult, 19-week-old females. The no observed effect level (NOEL) based upon sexual maturation delay observed in this study was greater in F1 progeny administered ATZ only PW (NOEL = 25 mg/kg) compared to animals exposed to ATZ during gestation, lactation, and PW (NOEL = 6.5 mg/kg/day). However, these NOELs were similar to those reported by other investigators who evaluated the effects of ATZ on sexual maturation when administered either during gestation, lactation, and PW (NOEL = 20 mg/kg/day) (Davis et al., 2011) or only PW (NOEL = 10–12.5 mg/kg/day) (Laws et al., 2000; Ashby et al., 2002).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study assessed the effect of ATZ on sexual maturation and the estrogen-induced LH surge in female SD rats exposed to ATZ in utero and/or PW. The in-life portion of this study was conducted at WIL Research, LLC, according to the USEPA Good Laboratory Practices regulations (40 CFR Parts 160 and 792), the WIL Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, and the study director-approved protocol.

#### Experimental Design

The study was composed of two cohorts of animals (Fig. 1). The G/L/PW cohort (top panel, Fig. 1) was composed of groups of F0 generation females and their F1 generation offspring that were exposed to ATZ from Gestation Day 0 (GD 0) through to the end of lactation on Postnatal Day 21 (PND 21). Nested within the G/L/PW cohort were two subgroups (Groups 1 and 2), each composed of a vehicle control group and three ATZ-treated groups. F1 generation pups in Group 1 were administered the vehicle control or ATZ by gavage PW from PND 21 until 5 days after they attained sexual maturation, whereas Group 2, F1 generation females were treated PW until PND 133.

F1 generation females in the PW cohort (bottom panel, Fig. 1) were untreated during gestation and lactation, but the F1 generation females received ATZ or the vehicle daily by gavage from PND 21 until 5 days after sexual maturation (Group 3), or from PND 120 to PND 133 (Group 4). Two additional groups of animals, one group each in the G/L/PW (Group 2R) and the PW cohort (Group 4R), were included in the study to assess recovery from the effect of treatment on LH. The LH data from Groups 2R and 4R are not provided because there was no effect of treatment on LH. However, for the purpose of statistical analyses, data on body weight, food consumption, sexual maturation, and vaginal smears, collected from control animals in these two groups, were combined with their respective controls in the G/L/PW and PW cohorts. Data from ATZ-treated groups in Group 2 were combined with the corresponding data from Group 2R, and likewise, data for dose groups in Group 4 were combined with data from Group 4R, as shown in Table 1.

#### Animals

Two hundred and twenty-five young adult (13 weeks of age) female SD rats (Crl:CD(SD)) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Raleigh, NC), and were maintained in AAALAC-approved animal facilities at WIL (Ashland, OH). Animals were housed individually in clean, suspended wire-mesh cages (Allentown Inc., Allentown, NJ) in an environmentally controlled room (22 ± 3°C; 50 ± 20% humidity) on a 14-hr light/10-hr dark photoperiod (lights-on at 0500 h, lights-off at 1900 h). Water and food (Rodent LabDiet, PMI Nutrition International, LLC) were available ad libitum. Twenty-five F0 generation females were randomly assigned to control and each of three treatment groups in the G/L/PW or the PW-exposed cohorts, based on stratified body weights on GD 0. A group of young adult males that was not treated with ATZ was obtained from Charles River and housed in the same animal room as the F0 generation females. These males were used to inseminate the F1 generation females and were sacrificed after PND 21. F1 generation female offspring (15–25 litters; 1–2 pups/litter) were assigned to their respective control or treated groups prenatally in the G/L/PW (Groups 1 and 2) cohort and postnatally in the PW (Groups 3 and 4) cohort; F1 generation male offspring were sacrificed after PND 21. The numbers of litters in the control group and in each of the three treatment groups are provided in Table 1 for Cohort 1 (Groups 1 and 2) and Cohort 2 (Groups 3 and 4), as defined in Figure 1.

#### Atrazine Treatment

ATZ was supplied by Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC, as an analytically certified, 98.8% pure, white powder that was stable for use during the period of the study. ATZ was prepared approximately weekly as a suspension in 1% methylcellulose and deionized water at concentrations of 1.3, 5, or 10 mg/ml. Suspensions were stored refrigerated (at 2–8°C) until use. Sample storage stability and sample homogeneity were assessed by high-pressure liquid chromatography before study conduct and were within ±10% of target. The concentration of ATZ, which was assessed in every dose suspension by high-pressure liquid chromatography, ranged from of 94.7 to 101% of target.

ATZ (6.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg/day) was administered daily by gavage to three groups of 25 mated, F0 generation, female SD rats, at a dose volume of 5 ml/kg approximately 7 to 8.45 hr (1200–1345 h) after lights-on (0500 h), during
gestation and lactation (G/L/PW cohort: Groups 1 and 2; Fig. 1). The PW cohort of F_1 generation females (Groups 3 and 4; Fig. 1) was untreated during gestation and lactation. Control group animals received 5 mL/kg of the vehicle (1% methylcellulose in water).

F_1 generation female offspring were administered either ATZ or the vehicle by gavage approximately 6 to 9 hr after lights-on from PND 21 until 5 days after the attainment of sexual maturation (vaginal opening [VO], Group 1 and Group 3). To assess whether developmental exposure to ATZ increased the sensitivity of F_1 generation females to the effects of ATZ, treatment continued for an additional 5 days and the LH surge was evaluated. The effect of ATZ on the LH surge was assessed on PND 133.
in young adult F_1 generation females that were exposed to ATZ from GD 0 until PND 133 (Group 2) or from PND 120 to PND 133 (Group 4).

Reproduction and Development

F_1 generation females were placed in the home cage of an untreated male in the evening. If evidence of mating (i.e., the presence of a vaginal plug and or evidence of sperm in a vaginal lavage) was noted the following morning, which was then designated GD 0, the dam was returned to an individual plastic maternity cage (Allentown Inc., Allentown, NJ and LabProducts Inc., Seaford, DE) and provided with ground corn cob nesting material (Bed-O’Cobs®; The Andersons, Cob Products Division, Maumee, OH). If there was no evidence of mating, the female remained paired until mating was confirmed. Individual maternal body weight and food consumption were measured on GD 0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, and 21, as well as on PND 0 (when possible), 1, 4, 7, 10, 14, 17, and 21. All pregnant females were allowed to deliver their offspring and rear their litters until PND 21. The number of neonates was counted on PND 1, and individual neonates were weighed, sex was determined, and the litters were then standardized to eight pups (four males, four females) when possible. Parental animals and their offspring were examined twice daily for evidence of toxicity or effects of treatment on nursing or survival. Individual pup body weights were recorded on PND 1, 4, 7, 10, 14, 17, and 21.

Litter parameters were defined as follows:

\[
\text{Mean live litter size} = \frac{\text{Total number of viable pups on PND 0}}{\text{Number of litters with viable pups on PND 0}}
\]

Postnatal Survival

\[
\text{Number of viable pups/litter on PND /No. pups/litter} = \frac{\text{Number of litters/group}}{	ext{Number of litters/group}}
\]

Sex Ratio and Sexual Maturation (Vaginal Patency)

The pup’s sex was determined on PND 0, 1, 4, 14, and 21 by evaluating the anogenital distance (Tyl and Marr, 2006). The day that the female rat became sexually mature was defined as the postnatal day when the vaginal lumen was first observed to be completely open (day of VO). Evidence of vaginal patency was first assessed on PND 25 according to the procedures of Adams et al. (1985).

Estrous Cycle

Vaginal lavages were performed daily for F_1 females in Groups 2 and 4, beginning on PND 113 and continuing until PND 130, the day the animals were ovariec-

tomized in preparation for LH determination. The slides were air dried, stained with Wright–Giemsa stain, and evaluated microscopically. The daily vaginal smears were examined and categorized as being in the proestrus [P], estrus [E], diestrus [D], or metestrus [M] stage of the estrous cycle, based on standard cytological characteristics (Freeman, 1988). Estrous cycle stages determined from vaginal smears are known to be highly correlated with estrous cycle stages based on histological examinations (Yener et al., 2007; Westwood, 2008).

Blood Sample Collection

Three days before the scheduled day of blood collection, each animal was anesthetized with isoflurane, and a polyurethane catheter was implanted into the femoral vein. The opposite end of the cannula was tunneled subcutaneously to exit the skin in the scapular region. The catheter was attached to a SAI Quick Connect™ harness with an injection cap and a jacket adaptor. A bilateral ovariectomy (OVX) was performed, and a 12 to 14 mm Silastic® capsule (0.062" ID x 0.125" OD) containing estradiol benzoate (4 mg/ml in sesame oil) was implanted subcutaneously in the right flank region. This procedure was performed in the morning (0600–1200 h). Implanted estradiol capsules resulted in estradiol blood levels comparable to levels observed in intact animals on the morning of proestrus, resulting in a daily afternoon surge in plasma LH levels (Foradori et al., 2009a). Blood samples (approximately 0.5 ml/animal/interval) were collected from each rat via the femoral vein access port 3 days after surgery (ovaries removed and femoral cannula implanted). Blood samples were collected within 10 min of the target collection times at 1100, 1400, 1600, 1800, 2000, and 2300 h (i.e., 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 18 hr after lights-on; lights were turned on at 0500 h and turned out at 1900 h). Samples were collected under red light conditions after 1900 h. Blood samples (0.5 ml/animal/interval) were collected into prechilled, uniquely labeled tubes containing sodium heparin as the anticoagulant. Blood samples were centrifuged, and plasma was put into two uniquely labeled polypropylene vials and stored at −70°C. All plasma samples were shipped on dry ice via FEDEX overnight to the University of Arizona, College of Medicine—Phoenix. Upon receipt, the samples were catalogued and stored at −80°C until analysis of LH concentrations by radioimmunoassay (RIA).

RIA for LH

RIAs of LH were conducted according to good scientific practices under the direction of the investigator (R.J.H.) at the University of Arizona. Plasma LH concentration was measured using reagents provided by the National Hormone and Peptide Program (NHPP) (Harbor UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA). Rat LH-RP3 was used to create a standard curve (range: 0.0049–10.0 ng). Tracer ovine LH (oLH, NHPP) was iodinated using the chloramine-T method by the Colorado State University peptide assay core and shipped to the University of Arizona within 3 days of iodination. For assay, plasma samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with antisera (NIDDK-AntiR-LH-SII; diluted 1:3000). Following incubation, iodinated oLH was added to each tube (~10,000 cpm/tube) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Bound LH was separated from free LH by incubation with goat anti-rabbit γ globulin (NHPP, Harbor UCLA Medical Center, 1:1000) in a 5% polyethylene glycol (Fisher Biotech; BP233-1) solution followed by centrifugation in a Beckman J6B centrifuge.
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progeny survival indices were assessed.

Female offspring were reduced from PND 1 to generation 0.

Generation 1 females at F 1 generation reproductive indices were reduced in the Group 1, 50 mg/kg/day ATZ-treated group. The survival index, calculated on PND 21, was also significantly reduced in the 50 mg/kg ATZ-treated group.

Mean body weights of the 50 mg/kg/day, ATZ-treated group were not statistically different from controls (Fig. 2B). There were no effects of ATZ treatment on body weight or food consumption in groups administered ATZ at doses of 25 mg/kg/day or less, either during gestation or lactation. Other than the effects noted on body weight and food consumption, there were no treatment-related symptoms of toxicity in F 0 generation females at any dose.

RESULTS

Maternal Body Weight, Food Consumption, and Clinical Signs

There was no statistically significant effect of ATZ on body weight during gestation of F 0 generation females at doses up to 50 mg/kg/day (Fig. 2A; Supporting Information Table S1 provides group mean, SEM, and N plotted in Figs. 2–5). Food consumption in the 50 mg/kg/day F 0 generation females was intermittently reduced or elevated above control levels during gestation (Fig. 2B). During lactation, group mean body weight in the 50 mg/kg ATZ group was not statistically different from controls (Fig. 2C). Food consumption was significantly less than controls in the 50 mg/kg ATZ-treated group during lactation during the PND intervals from 1 to 4, 7 to 10, 10 to 14, 14 to 17, and 17 to 21 days (Fig. 2D). There were no effects of ATZ treatment on body weight or food consumption in groups administered ATZ at doses of 25 mg/kg/day or less, either during gestation or lactation. Other than the effects noted on body weight and food consumption, there were no treatment-related symptoms of toxicity in F 0 generation females at any dose.

F 0 Generation Fertility and F 1 Generation Survival, Body Weight, and Food Consumption

Administration of ATZ by gavage during gestation at doses up to 50 mg/kg/day had no effect on mating, although the fertility index, the percent of sperm-positive females that delivered live pups (gestation index), and the number of live born fetuses were significantly lower in the 50 mg/kg dose group (Group 1) compared to the controls (Table 2). There were no differences between the control and ATZ-treated groups in the number of F 1 generation pups born alive. However, by the end of PND 0, the mean number of pups alive per litter (i.e., live birth index) was significantly reduced in the 50 mg/kg ATZ-treated group. The survival index, calculated on PND 21, was also significantly reduced in the high-dose ATZ-treated group. The sex ratio was unaffected by treatment (Table 2).

Mean body weights of the 50 mg/kg/day, ATZ-treated F 1 generation males were significantly less than those of controls from PND 1 to PND 17 (Fig. 3A). Body weights of the F 1 female offspring were reduced from PND 1 to PND 21 (Fig. 3C), and body weights of the F 1 generation females were reduced in the Group 1, 50 mg/kg/day ATZ animals on PNDs 25, 28, 32, and 35 (Fig. 3B). There was no significant effect of ATZ on the body weight of F 1 generation females (Group 3) administered ATZ from PND 21 until 5 days after VO (Fig. 3D).

The group mean body weight of F 1 generation 50 mg/kg ATZ-treated G/L/PW females that continued treatment until PND 133 (Group 2) was significantly lower than controls.
less than the control group throughout the entire period (Fig. 4A). Average food consumption also tended to be less in this group, but it was intermittently significantly reduced from PND 28 to PND 130 (Fig. 4B). Although food intake in F_1 generation females administered 50 mg/kg ATZ from PND 120 to PND 133 (Group 4) was significantly less than controls from PND 120 to PND 130 (Fig. 4D), body weight was not significantly affected (Fig. 4C). There were no treatment-related clinical signs in F_1 generation females during the period from PND 21 to PND 133.

**Sexual Maturation**

The litter mean number of days required to attain vaginal patency (sexual maturation) was 33.5 days for F_1 generation, untreated females (Fig. 5, left panel). The litter mean number of days required to achieve sexual maturation was significantly longer (34.5 and 35.4 days, respectively) in the G/L/PW 25 and 50 mg/kg ATZ-exposed groups (Fig. 5, Groups 1 and 2 combined, middle panel) and 34.2 days in the PW 50 mg/kg ATZ-treated group (Fig. 5, Group 3, right panel).

An inverse relationship between litter mean body weight gain from PND 21 to PND 28 and the litter mean day on which VO was observed in the control group ($y = -0.1475x + 38.111; R^2 = 0.19; p < 0.01; $ Fig. 6A) and in the 50 mg/kg ATZ-treated, G/L/PW cohort ($y = -0.2985x + 43.381; R^2 = 0.39; p < 0.01$). A similar relationship existed for the high-dose litters of the PW Cohort ($y = -0.1549x + 39.11; R^2 = 0.09; p > 0.05; $ Fig. 6B), although $R^2$ was not statistically significant.

**LH Surge**

Exposure to ATZ at doses of 50 mg/kg/day during gestation, lactation, and for 5 days after the onset of puberty (Group 1; Fig. 7A), or only during the prepubertal period (Group 3; Fig. 7B), had no statistically significant effect on peak LH (Fig. 8, top panel) or LH AUC (Fig. 8, bottom panel). LH levels were reduced 9 hr after lights-on in Group 1 and Group 3, but the reduction was only statistically significant in Group 1 (Fig. 7). There were no effects on any LH parameters in the G/L/PW groups exposed to ATZ at dose of ≤25 mg/kg/day.

There were no effects of ATZ on peak LH or LH AUC in F_1 females exposed to ATZ from conception until PND 133 (Group 2; Figs. 7C, 8) or dosed for 2 weeks from PND 120 to PND 133 (Group 4; Figs. 7D, 8). There were statistically significant reductions in LH (0900 h in Group 2 females exposed to 25 or 50 mg/kg ATZ from gestation until 5 days after VO, and also in the 50 mg/kg Group 4 females that received ATZ from PND 120 to PND 133.

**Estrous Cycle Evaluation**

The percentages of days spent by F_1 G/L/PW female offspring exposed to ATZ daily by gavage at doses up to 50 mg/kg/day from PND 1 to PND 130 (Group 2,
Fig. 3. Group mean body weights (g) of ATZ-treated F₁ generation male and female offspring during lactation and F₁ generation females from PND 21 until the day of VO in animals in the G/L/PW (Group 1) or the PW (Group 3) cohorts. An asterisk "*" indicates that the group mean is significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from the control group.

Fig. 4. Group mean body weight (gm) and food consumption (gm/day) of F₁ generation, female SD rats exposed to ATZ via their dams during gestation and lactation and by gavage from PND 21 to PND 133 (Group 2) or administered ATZ daily by gavage from PND 120 to PND 133 (Group 4). An asterisk "*" indicates that the group mean is significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from the control group (SEMs are not shown because they overlapped and prevented viewing the group mean symbols).
Table 2  
Fertility and Reproduction

| Dose (mg/kg/day) | 0      | 6.5    | 25     | 50     |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| F₀ females       | 125    | 25     | 25     | 25     |
| No. cohabitated with males | 125    | 25     | 25     | 25     |
| No. with evidence (sperm positive) of mating | 124    | 25     | 25     | 25     |
| No. pregnant     | 124    | 25     | 25     | 25     |
| No. with live pups | 124    | 25     | 25     | 25     |
| Mating index (%)b | 99.2   | 100    | 100    | 92†    |
| Fertility index (%)b | 99.2   | 100    | 100    | 92†    |
| Gestation index (%)c | 100    | 100    | 100    | 92†    |
| F₁ offspring     |        |        |        |        |
| Mean no. born with SE | 15.1 ± 0.19 | 14.7 ± 0.59 | 15.6 ± 0.51 | 15.6 ± 0.47 |
| Animals born     | 1868   | 368    | 389    | 359    |
| Litters          | 124    | 25     | 25     | 25     |
| Percentage males | 49.7   | 51.0   | 50.1   | 53.4   |
| Sex ratio M/F    | 0.99   | 1.04   | 1.00   | 1.15   |
| Live birth index (%)d | 99.1 ± 0.25 | 97.7 ± 1.08 | 98.7 ± 0.63 | 95.4 ± 1.03b,h |
| Litters          | 124    | 25     | 25     | 25     |
| Survival index (%) PND 21e | 97.6 ± 0.69 | 96.3 ± 1.84 | 95.8 ± 1.63 | 79.6 ± 6.71b,h |
| Litters          | 119    | 20     | 24     | 19     |

a Mating index = (number of sperm-positive females / number of females cohabited with males) × 100.
b Fertility index = (number of pregnant females / number of females cohabited with males) × 100.
c Gestation index = (number of females with live born / number of sperm-positive females) × 100.
d Live birth index = mean percentage of pups per litter alive on PND 0 with SEM.
e Survival index = mean percentage of live pups surviving PND 1 to PND 21 per litter with SEM.
†Significantly different from control; p < 0.05.
‡Significantly different from control; p < 0.01.
hStatistically significant dose trend (Mantel, 1963); p < 0.01.

Fig. 9, left panel) were comparable to those observed in controls (Group 2) and in F₁ females administered ATZ from PND 120 to PND 133 (Group 4, Fig. 9, right panel). The percentage of animals that displayed at least one episode of prolonged diestrus (i.e., diestrus lasting for 4 days or more) was increased in the 25 mg/kg/day (52%), and 50 mg/kg/day (45%) Group 2 animals compared to the control group (31%). In Group 4, the corresponding values were 28.6 and 54%, respectively, compared to 33% in the control group. These differences were attributed to a few animals that displayed prolonged periods of diestrus (Supporting Information Table S2). Very few ATZ-treated or vehicle control group animals displayed persistent estrus in either Group 2 (Supporting Information Table S2A) or Group 4 (Supporting Information Table S2B). The detections of proestrus or metestrus were infrequent and no differences among groups were apparent.

**DISCUSSION**

The purpose of this study was to isolate the effects of gestational/lactational exposure to ATZ on sexual maturation (VO) and the LH surge from the effect of exposure during the peripubertal period. The effects of 50 mg/kg ATZ on body weight and food consumption, in dams exposed to ATZ during gestation (Groups 1 and 2), are consistent with the results reported by Scialli et al. (2014). The effects of 50 mg/kg ATZ on F₁ progeny body weights of dams treated during lactation, compared to F₁ pups that were not exposed to ATZ during this period, are consistent with results reported by Davis et al. (2011). DeSesso et al. (2014) also reported that maternal body weights and food consumption (F₀ and F₁ generations) were significantly reduced in the 500 ppm (38.7 mg/kg/day) group for approximately 10 weeks before mating, during gestation, and during lactation in a multigeneration reproduction feeding study. It is difficult to determine in these studies whether the effect of treatment on pup body weight was due to a direct effect on the pup, or whether it was secondary to weight gain reductions in the dams or decreased milk production by the dams via an effect of ATZ on prolactin (Stoker et al., 2000).

The effect of ATZ on fertility and decreased pup survival in Groups 1 and 2 animals administered ATZ at doses of 50 mg/kg/day are consistent with effects observed in other studies where high doses of ATZ were administered by gavage (Foradori et al., 2014; Scialli et al., 2014). Rapid absorption and elimination of ATZ and its chlorometabolites (McMullin et al., 2004) likely account for the absence of effects of ATZ on fertility and pup survival in multigeneration studies, where the daily dose of ATZ (38.7 mg/kg/day) was distributed over the day as determined by infrequent feeding bouts during the day, with most food consumption occurring after lights-out (DeSesso et al., 2014).

The results from this study confirm previous reported findings of delayed sexual maturation in male (Stoker et al., 2000; Rosenberg et al., 2008) and female rats (Laws et al., 2000; Ashby et al., 2002) following high bolus dose administration of ATZ. The lowest observed effect level observed in F₁ generation females in the present study was 25 mg/kg/day, although others have reported effects at doses as low as 12.5 mg/kg/day.
Fig. 5. Effect of ATZ (6.5, 25, and 50 mg/kg BW) on the number of days to vaginal opening (VO) in F₁ generation female SD rats exposed to ATZ via dams treated during gestation and lactation and then administered ATZ by gavage from PND 21 until 5 days after vaginal opening (Groups 1 and 2 combined, middle panel) or administered ATZ by gavage from PND 21 until 5 days after vaginal opening (Group 3, right panel). The control group mean (left panel) was statistically compared to the ATZ group means (±SEM) by using a Dunnett’s test after an ANOVA test. *p < 0.05.

The onset of puberty in both males and females is dependent on the peripubertal initiation of GnRH pulses in rodents (Ojeda and Skinner, 2006), primates (Plant and Witchel, 2006), and humans (Grumbach, 2002). Because high doses of ATZ (200 mg/kg) reduced the frequency of LH pulses and concomitantly increased pulse amplitude in young adult females while not affecting GnRH biosynthesis (Foradori et al., 2009b), it is possible that delayed sexual maturation, observed in animals administered high doses of ATZ, may be due to an effect on the GnRH pulse generator. However, it is also known that length of time needed to reach sexual maturation is inversely proportional to body weight rather than to chronological age (Kennedy and Mitra, 1963; Odum et al., 2004). Delayed puberty in girls has been associated with reduced body fat (Kaplowitz, 2008) or small weight for gestational age at birth (Ibanez and de Zegher, 2006), whereas trends toward an increased prevalence of precocious puberty (Kaplowitz, 2006) have been associated with overnutrition (Burt Solorzano and McCartney, 2010). Early-life nutritional effects have also been reported to alter puberty onset and reproductive function postpubertally (Chan et al., 2015).

Although analysis of covariance was used in this study to remove the effect of ATZ on body weight gain in pups from PND 21 to PND 28, this analysis was unable to fully compensate for body weight gain suppression that occurred during gestation and lactation in the mid- and high-dose groups. The results suggest that caution must be taken when interpreting effects of development in toxicity studies in the presence of body weight gain reduction. Paired feeding studies conducted to parse out the effects of gavage doses of ATZ on body weight gain from effects on sexual development in males (Trentacoste et al., 2001) and females (Laws et al., 2000) have been inconclusive, possibly because forced food restriction in the pair-fed group acts like a stressor, thereby activating the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and subsequently altering developmental parameters.

The LH surge data clearly indicate that female SD rats are not more sensitive to the effect of ATZ on the LH surge when exposed during gestation, lactation, and through to the onset of sexual maturity, compared to young adults exposed to ATZ beginning on PND 21 until the onset of sexual maturity, or for 14 days from PND 120 to PND 133. The estrogen-induced peak LH (7 ng/ml) in the ovariectomized 7-week female controls was approximately twofold greater than peak LH observed in the 20-week females (3.5–4.0 ng/ml). This level was comparable to peak LH observed in 32-week-old female SD controls (Simpkins et al., 2011). It has been suggested that neuroendocrine aging of the hypothalamus–pituitary–gonadal (HPG) axis in female SD rats accounts for increased estrous cycle impairment, decreased LH titer during the LH surge, and increased vulnerability to the effect of ATZ (Simpkins et al., 2011).

It has been previously shown that high doses of ATZ accelerate reproductive aging in female SD rats, as
Fig. 6. (a) Correlation between the litter mean body weight gain from PND 21 to PND 28 and litter mean day to vaginal opening in control, Group 1 and Group 2 ATZ-treated (6.5, 50, or 100 mg/kg/day) female SD rats exposed to ATZ during gestation, lactation, and from PND 21 until VO. The deviations of the regression equations and correlation coefficients from null were statistically evaluated by two-sided t-tests. (b) Correlation between the litter mean body weight gain from PND 21 to PND 28 and litter mean day to vaginal opening in control, Group 1 and Group 2 ATZ-treated (6.5, 50, or 100 mg/kg/day) female SD rats exposed to ATZ during gestation only from PND 21 until VO. The deviations of the regression equations and correlation coefficients from null were statistically evaluated by two-sided t-tests.

indicated by the appearance of persistent estrus, commencing after approximately 6 to 9 months of treatment (Simpkins et al., 2011). Estrous cycle data collected in this study after 3 months of treatment indicate that there were no effects of treatment on the estrous cycle at doses up to 50 mg/kg/day; 2 weeks of ATZ treatment, beginning on PND 120, also had no effect. The slightly increased proportions of animals displaying prolonged periods of diestrus at doses of ≥25 mg/kg/day are consistent with published studies that indicate ATZ induces
Fig. 7. The estradiol-induced LH surge in ovariectomized, female SD rats exposed to 0, 6.5, 25, or 50 mg/kg ATZ from GD 0 to 5 days after the day of VO (Panel B); from PND 21 to 5 days after the day of VO (Panel A); from PND 21 to PND 133 (Panel C); or PND 120 to PND 133 (Panel D). Blood samples were collected at 6, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 18 hr after lights-on. Each point represents the mean ± SEM of 9 to 15 rats. *p < 0.05.

constant diestrus before it changes over to constant estrus (Cooper et al., 1996). It is known that the reproductive aging processes in rodents are unlike those observed in non-human primates and in women. Reproductive senescence in women is attributed to a depletion of ovarian follicles and not to an impairment of the hypothalamic control of the LH secretion during ovulation (Simpkins et al., 2011). The control of the LH surge in women is also mediated by mechanisms that are uniquely different from rodents (Plant, 2012).

The results from the developmental studies on SD rats also raise the possibility that ATZ may be acting simultaneously on both the HPA and the HPG axes. Thus the ATZ-induced reduction in the frequency of pulsatile LH release depends on the presence of the adrenal gland, whereas the effect of ATZ on the preovulatory LH surge does not (Foradori et al., 2011). This separation of effects of ATZ on LH appears to be maintained in peripubertal exposed animals in that the LH surge mechanism is resistant to relatively high doses of ATZ. In contrast, these same animals displayed delayed sexual maturation, which as discussed previously, may in part be due to an effect of ATZ on pulsatile LH.

The mechanism whereby ATZ alters LH secretion is unknown (Cooper et al., 2000). The possibility exists that the acute effect of ATZ on the HPA axis could mediate the effect of ATZ on the HPG axis, resulting in a suppression of the LH surge. ATZ and deisopropylatrazine induced a rapid increase in plasma progesterone and corticosterone secretion within minutes following gavage dosing (Fraites et al., 2009) and corticosterone levels remained elevated for up to 24 hr following large bolus doses of ATZ (Foradori et al., 2011). Elevated plasma corticosterone is known to suppress the LH surge (Kamel and Kubajak, 1987; Gore et al., 2006). However, the administration of diaminochlorotriazine (DACT), a metabolite of ATZ, did not increase plasma progesterone and corticosterone levels (Fraites et al., 2009), whereas DACT suppressed the LH surge in female SD rats when administered by gavage daily for 4 days (Cooper et al., 2000), 1 month (Minnema,
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Fig. 8. Mean (± SEM) peak LH (top panel) and LH AUC (bottom panel) in estrogen-primed F1 generation females in the G/L/PW (Groups 1 and 2) and PW (Groups 3 and 4) cohorts.

Fig. 9. Mean percent days (± SEM) estrus (E), diestrus (D), proestrus (P), or metestrus (M) calculated from PND 113 to PND 130 in animals exposed to ATZ during gestation, lactation, and daily by gavage from PND 1 to 133 (Group 2). For animals in Group 4, the mean percent days in E, D, P, or M were calculated from the beginning of treatment on PND 120 to PND 130, the day when animals in both Groups 2 and 4 were ovariectomized in preparation for LH surge determination on PND 133.

2001), or 6 months in diet (Minnema, 2002). Thus, the absence of an effect of DACT on plasma progesterone and corticosterone levels is inconsistent with the hypothesis that these adrenal hormones mediate the effect of DACT on the LH surge. The rapid conversion of ATZ to its mono- and didealkylated metabolites also suggests that parent ATZ is unlikely to play a major role in the effect of the chlorotriazines on the LH surge.

It is known that progesterone, when delivered at the appropriate time of day, can augment the LH surge (Kempers and Ryan, 1977). However, progesterone treatment can also inhibit a daily signal that drives LH surges and thus is thought to prevent repeated LH surges in response to elevated levels of estradiol (Freeman et al., 1976; Attardi, 1984). The timing of the progesterone feedback to the HPG axis also appears to be critical to the effect of ATZ on the LH surge. Goldman et al. (2013) showed that a single dose of 100 mg/kg ATZ administered 8 hr after lights-on enhanced peak LH in estrogen-primed, ovariectomized female Long Evans rats, whereas the LH surge was suppressed after the fourth daily dose of ATZ. In contrast, in an unpublished study from our laboratory,
female SD rats administered 100 mg/kg ATZ immediately after lights-on in a 14:10 light/dark cycle, displayed no effect on the LH surge on Day 1, indicating that ATZ administered before the “critical window of time” was incapable of enhancing the LH surge via HPA activation. Successive daily doses of ATZ progressively suppressed the LH surge in subgroups of animals tested after two or three doses and resulted in statistically-significantly reduced peak LH after the fourth dose. These results are consistent with Foradori et al. (2009), who showed that recovery from the effect of ATZ on the LH surge required 4 days.

Collectively, these data suggest that there may be dual and perhaps opposing processes that influence the effect of ATZ on the LH surge; a rapid, adaptable, progesterone-mediated effect resulting from the activation of the HPA axis and a delayed, more sustained inhibitory effect mediated through a direct action on the HPG axis. This interpretation is consistent with Foradori et al. (2011), who reported that adrenalectomy did not block the effect of ATZ on the LH surge yet eliminated the effect of ATZ on pulsatile LH. Similarly, in the present study, ATZ had no effect on the LH surge in sexually mature animals, yet delayed the onset of puberty, a process that relies on the activation of the LH pulse generator.

Overall, this study indicates that high bolus doses of ATZ may differentially affect the mechanisms controlling pulsatile LH versus the mechanisms controlling rodent LH surge in developing animals because sexual maturation was delayed, yet estrogen-primed LH surge was unaffected. The study also illustrates the difficulty of separating the effect of ATZ on sexual maturation from its effect on either maternal or fetal/peripubertal body weight development. The absence of an effect of ATZ on the LH surge in female SD rats exposed to ATZ at doses up to 50 mg/kg/day through their dams during gestation, lactation, or exposure only during the postlactation period indicates that developing rats are not more sensitive than young adult female rats. This suggests that it may be inappropriate to retain the 10-fold “FQPA uncertainty factor” that is predicated on the default assumption that developing animals are inherently more sensitive to the effects of chemicals than are adults.
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