The brain neuropeptides and STAT3 mediate the inhibitory effect of 17-β Estradiol on central leptin resistance in young but not aged female high-fat diet mice
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Abstract
Aging and menopause effect on body composition and energy balance. Estrogen (E2) plays an important role in body’s metabolism. The aim of the present study was to determine changes in leptin function in young intact and ovariectomized (OVX) animals in comparison to the aged animals treated with E2. Young (Intact and OVX 4 months) and aged (19–21 months) female mice were fed High-fat diet (HFD) for 12 weeks and, then they were divided into eight groups including: Intact+OIL, Intact+E2, Intact+Pair body weight (PBW), OVX+OIL, OVX+E2, OVX+PBW, Aged+OIL, and Aged+E2. E2 was administered subcutaneously every four days for four weeks. Responsiveness to leptin was assessed by measuring energy balance components. Results showed that eating HFD increased weight and calorie consumption in young mice, and chronic treatment with E2 decreased both these variables in young animals. E2 only improved the sensitivity to leptin in young animals. Treatment with E2 resulted in increased α-MSH neuropeptide, reduced NPY and AgRP neuropeptides in the brain, and decreased serum leptin in the young animals. Also, treatment with E2 increased the expression of p-STAT3 molecular level in the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus (ARC) in the young animals. Our results indicated that response to E2 depended on age and E2 protects young HFD fed mice from obesity and improves leptin sensitivity.
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Introduction
The growing trend of obesity has made it one of the biggest health challenges in the world, as it increases the risk of type II diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and some types of cancer (Wu et al. 2014). Leptin is a 16 kDa polypeptide that is mainly secreted from fat tissue and plays an important role in controlling energy balance. The body’s response to leptin includes increasing metabolism and decreasing food intake (Dardeno et al. 2010). Plasma leptin levels are higher in women than men, and this difference may be due to the stimulatory role of estrogen in the production of leptin.
The onset of menopause and the changes that occur with age, the production of estrogen and its receptors will change, which can be associated with the emergence of age-related diseases such as leptin and insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome (Foster, 2012).

In general, obesity is the result of an imbalance between food intake, basal metabolism and energy expenditure. High-fat diets causes weight gain and type II diabetes in different breeds of rats and mice. In both rats and mice, there is a positive correlation between fatty foods, weight gain and obesity. This type of obesity is known as dietary obesity (Hariri and Thibault, 2010).

Some changes in cellular processes, such as leptin signaling and the production of appetite-controlling neuropeptides, will be exacerbated in the presence of obesity, leading to leptin and insulin resistance as well as lack of regulation in energy homeostasis (Filippi and Lam, 2014). The phenomenon of fat redistribution that occurs during aging will disrupt the functional regulation of fat cells, which is associated with leptin resistance during aging (Carter et al. 2013).

Previous studies have shown that E2 reduces leptin resistance in ovariectomized young obese animals, rather than older animals (Litwak et al. 2014) and also it has been reported that menopause and aging are associated with an increased prevalence of obesity and metabolic disorders in women. One of the reasons for that can be contributed to the changes that take place in leptin signaling and the production of appetite-controlling neuropeptides that eventually lead to leptin resistance (Filippi and Lam, 2014). Thus, the aim of present study was to determine whether these changes in leptin function observed in young obese and ovariectomized animals (the model of menopause) in comparison to the old animals treated with E2, are due to changes in brain neuropeptides levels that control appetite. Also, to investigate other mechanisms such as changes in tyrosine-phosphorylated signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (p-STAT3) signaling in response to leptin, and brain and plasma levels of leptin as an effective factor in different responses to E2 at different ages.

Material and methods

Animals

Young 4 months and aged 22 months female C57BL/6 J mice were housed in a temperature and humidity-controlled animal house with a 12-h light/dark cycle and free access to food and water. Animals consumed High-Fat Diet (HFD) in which 58.8%, 27.5%, and 14.7% of calories are from fat, carbohydrates, and proteins, respectively, a total caloric value of ~5.9 kcal/g, for 12 weeks. All experiments were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the
Kerman University of Medical Sciences Animal Ethics Committee (Permission No: 95/264 KA).

**Bilateral ovariectomy procedures**

Following anesthesia, a small incision was made in the abdomen in length. Skin, fascia and abdominal muscles were opened. Ovaries were appeared and removed. Then, 1–2 ml saline solution was poured in the abdomen and skins and muscles was stitched. In the sham surgery, similar incision was performed, but ovaries were not removed. Experiments started 2 weeks after ovariectomy (OVX) (Khaksari et al. 2015).

**Drugs**

Ketamine and xylazine were purchased from Alfasan In., Utrecht, Netherlands. 17-β estradiol (E2) and saline were obtained from Aburaihan Pharmaceutical (Tehran, Iran). Leptin and sesame oil were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). E2 and leptin were dissolved in sesame oil and saline, respectively (Khaksari et al. 2013; Litwak et al. 2014).

**E2 treatment**

At the end of 12 weeks of HFD feeding, animals received subcutaneous injection of E2 (2 µg/mice in sesame oil; 100 µl) (Litwak et al. 2014) or oil (100 µl) as a control for 4 weeks. This was repeated every 4 days to mimic the estrus cycle (Litwak et al. 2014).

**Measurement of plasma leptin**

At the end of E2 therapy period, blood was sampled from the cardiac ventricle while the mice were under deep anesthesia. Plasma leptin was assayed by ELISA kit (Hangzhou, Eastbiopharm, China).

**Central leptin sensitivity test**

The central leptin sensitivity test was performed as follows. Mice were anesthetized by mixture of Ketamine/Xylazine and placed in stereotaxic device (USA, Illinois, Stoelting Co). An intracerebroventricular (icv) cannula was implanted into the lateral ventricle (−0.5 mm posterior, 1 mm lateral to bregma, and 1.5 mm below the surface of the skull, in accordance with Franklin and Paxinos [Paxinos and Franklin, 2001]). Seven days after implantation the mice were fasted for 6 h, and either leptin (1 µL, 0.2 µg/µL; Sigma) (Litwak et al. 2014) or leptin's vehicle (saline) was injected into the lateral ventricle through the cannula. Body weight and energy intake were measured throughout the 48 h period following injection (Litwak et al. 2014). Energy intake (kcal/day) was calculated from the dry food consumption (g/day) x food energy content (kcal/g).

**Measurement of brain leptin and neuropeptides**

At the end of E2 therapy period, animals were sacrificed, and then the brains were quickly removed and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. The brains were weighed and homogenized in T-PER Tissue Protein Extraction Reagent with 0.5% Triton X-100, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 50 mmol/L Tris, and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Pierce). Following homogenization, the samples were centrifuged (4 °C and 4000 g) for 15 min. The homogenate supernatant was collected. The protein content of the supernatant was estimated using a BCA Protein Assay Reagent Kit to ensure that an equal amount of protein from each sample was used for the assay. Brain leptin and neuropeptides including, Neuropeptide Y (NPY), α-Melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α-MSH), and Agouti-related protein (AgRP) were also determined using commercially available ELISA kits (Hangzhou, Eastbiopharm, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Immunohistochemical analysis**

For immunohistochemical staining, 2 µm brain tissue sections were prepared from paraffin blocks and deparaffinized with xylene and hydrated by graded alcohols, washed by distilled water. Antigen retrieval was done by incubation with molar citrate buffer 1% (pH = 6) in a microwave oven for 12 min and transferred to Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). To quench endogenous peroxidase activity, the sections were placed in 3% oxygen peroxide in methanol solution for 10 min. Then, sections were incubated with primary p-STAT3 antibody (1:1000 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology), for 60 min followed by they were then incubated with secondary antibody and washed by PBS. Before being counterstained with hematoxylin, slides were incubated with DAB chromogen. Finally, slides were dehydrated in 70%, 90% and 100% ethanol, cleared with xylene and mounted.

**Experiment 1**

Figure 1 displays schematic representation of the experimental protocol. At the end of 12 weeks, to assess the effects of exogenous E2 on body weight and energy intake in young and aged animals that fed HFD, the animals were randomly assigned into six groups: 1) young intact E2-treated (Intact+E2), 2) young intact Oil-treated (Intact+OIL), 3) young OVX E2-treated (OVX+E2), 4) young OVX Oil-treated (OVX+OIL), 5) aged E2-treated (Aged+E2), and 6) aged Oil-treated (Aged+OIL) (Fig. 2).
Experiment 2

To assess whether E2 increases central sensitivity to leptin, or whether E2-induced weight loss is a factor in this increase, in addition to young E2-treated animals, a pair body weight (PBW) group was also designed. The PBW group included the Intact-PBW and OVX-PBW, which received the oil-treatment but their diet was adjusted so that, their weight at the end of the study was similar to that of E2-treated animals (Litwak et al. 2014), and finally, their body weight, energy intake, brain neuropeptides (NPY, α-MSH, AgRP) and p-STAT3 were measured 48 h after the injection of leptin (Litwak et al. 2014).

Experiment 3

At the end of the study, in order to assess the level of response to leptin in the presence of E2 and the changes in neuropeptides, leptin was administered to the animals of the first experiment and 48 h later, their body weight, energy intake, brain neuropeptides (NPY, α-MSH, AgRP) and p-STAT3 were measured.

Statistics

Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni multiple comparisons using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).
Results are presented as mean ± S.E.M and P ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**The effects of chronic E2 on the body weight in young and aged HFD mice**

Changes in body weight of animals in different study groups fed with HFD at the end of four weeks of E2 and oil injections are shown in Table 1. E2 treatment in young intact animals and young OVX animals reduced body weight compared to the oil group (P ≤ 0.001). However, this weight loss (22%) in the young OVX group was more than the young intact group (11%) (P ≤ 0.001). On the other hand, like the group treated with E2, the OVX + PBW group weighed less than the OVX + OIL group (P ≤ 0.001), also body weight in this group was not significantly different from the E2 group. In older animals, unlike young animals, E2 treatment did not change body weight compared to the oil group.

**The effects of chronic E2 on the energy intake in young and aged HFD mice**

Table 2 shows the daily energy intake in the various study groups fed with HFD at the end of four weeks of E2 and oil injections. E2 treatment in both intact and OVX young groups reduced the daily energy intake compared to the oil group (P ≤ 0.001, and P ≤ 0.01, respectively). The OVX + PBW group, like the group treated with E2, had lower daily energy intake than the OVX + OIL group (P ≤ 0.001), also the daily energy intake in this group was lower than the OVX + E2 group (P ≤ 0.01). In older animals, unlike young animals, E2 treatment did not change the amount of daily energy intake compared to the oil group.

In examining the hypothesis that states, E2 can inhibit leptin resistance in obese mice, the Table 3 shows percent weight changes 48 h after injection of leptin and saline in animals fed with HFD. Although central leptin injection resulted in a significant reduction in body weight in all young intact animals treated with E2, oil, and PBW animals compared to the saline group (P ≤ 0.001), the percentage of weight loss in the Intact + E2 group was higher than the Intact + OIL group (P ≤ 0.05), meaning that E2 had increased leptin sensitivity. On the other hand, there was no significant difference between E2 and PBW groups. Also, leptin injection resulted in weight loss only in the young OVX + E2 and OVX + PBW groups (P ≤ 0.001), which means that there was a leptin resistance in the OVX + OIL group. In the OVX groups, such as the Intact group, weight loss was greater in the E2 group than in the oil group (P ≤ 0.001), and E2 inhibited leptin resistance. Unlike intact animals, the percentage of weight loss in the OVX + E2 group was higher than the OVX + PBW group (P ≤ 0.001), which means that the reason for the decrease in leptin resistance in the OVX + E2 group was not due to weight gain inhibition, as E2 increased leptin sensitivity in the brain.

On the other hand, for aged animals, the results were similar to those of young intact animals, so that central leptin injection resulted in weight loss in both E2 and oil-treated groups (P ≤ 0.001), and unlike to those of young intact mice, there was no significant difference between the two groups in that regard. This means that, leptin sensitivity was not eliminated in aged animals and this effect of leptin was not associated with E2.

Table 4 shows the changes in energy intake 48 h after leptin and saline injection in animals fed with HFD. Central leptin injection resulted in a significant reduction in energy intake in all of young intact animals treated with E2 (P ≤ 0.01), oil and PBW (P ≤ 0.05) compared to the saline group. Also, leptin injections only reduced energy.
intake in the OVX + E2 (P ≤ 0.001) and OVX + PBW groups (P ≤ 0.05), which means that there was leptin resistance in the oil group. In the OVX groups, the reduction in energy intake in the E2 group was less than in the oil group (P ≤ 0.001), and E2 inhibited leptin resistance. Unlike intact animals, in OVX animals, the energy intake level in the E2 group was lower than the PBW group (P ≤ 0.001), which means that the reason for the decrease in leptin resistance in the E2 group was due to increased leptin sensitivity in brain.

On the other hand, just like changes observed in weight loss of aged animals in response to leptin, the level of energy intake in aged animals was similar to that of intact animals, so that central leptin injection reduced energy intake in both Aged + E2 (P ≤ 0.05) and Aged + OIL groups (P ≤ 0.01), and there was no significant difference between the two groups, meaning that there was no leptin resistance in older animals.

The effects of chronic E2 on brain NPY in young and aged HFD mice

As seen in the Fig. 3, leptin injection resulted in a reduction of brain NPY, in young intact mice fed with HFD, treated

Table 3 The effect of central leptin (0.2 μg/μl) injection on body weight change (%) in HFD fed mice treated by E2 and oil during 48 h after a single injection of leptin compared with saline

|                | OIL         | E2          | PBW         |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                | Leptin      | Saline      | Leptin      | Saline      | Leptin      | Saline      |
| Young Intact   | 0.597% ± 0.05 | -3.46% ± 0.35 *** | 0.8% ± 0.16  | -5.77% ± 0.72 *** # | 0.641% ± 0.07  | -3.99% ± 0.6 *** |
| Young OVX      | -1.12% ± 0.24 | -3.16% ± 0.38  | -0.51% ± 0.35  | -11.03% ± 1.11 *** ### +++ | -0.88% ± 0.27  | -5.12% ± 0.51 *** |
| Aged           | -1.2% ± 0.34  | -11.5% ± 1.52 *** | -0.71% ± 0.28  | -10.06% ± 1.78 *** |            |             |

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM., n = 8/group. ***P ≤ 0.001, vs. own saline. #P ≤ 0.05 and ###P ≤ 0.001, vs. Oil leptin. + + + P ≤ 0.001, vs. PBW leptin. E2: 17-β Estradiol, NPY: Neuropeptide Y, PBW: Pair body weight

Table 4 The effect of central leptin (0.2 μg/μl) on energy intake (kcal) in HFD fed mice treated by E2 and oil during 48 h after a single injection of leptin compared with saline

|                | OIL         | E2          | PBW         |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                | Saline      | Leptin      | Saline      | Leptin      | Saline      |
| Young Intact   | 14 ± 0.2    | 12.5 ± 0.4 * | 13.8 ± 0.09  | 12 ± 0.2 **  | 14 ± 0.1    | 12.6 ± 0.2 * |
| Young OVX      | 14.1 ± 0.1  | 13.7 ± 0.1  | 13.1 ± 0.2  | 11.6 ± 0.2 *** ### +++ | 14.2 ± 0.1  | 13.3 ± 0.1 * |
| Aged           | 9.9 ± 0.2   | 8.1 ± 0.3 ** | 9.8 ± 0.2  | 7.5 kcal ± 0.4 * |            |             |

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM., n = 8/group. *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, and ***P ≤ 0.001, vs. own saline. ###P ≤ 0.001, vs. Oil leptin. + + + P ≤ 0.001, vs. PBW leptin. E2: 17-β Estradiol, OXV: Ovariectomy, PBW: Pair body weight

Fig. 3 The effect of central leptin (0.2 μg/μl) injection on brain NPY in HFD fed mice treated by E2 or oil during 48 h after a single injection of leptin compared with saline. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM., n = 8/group. **P ≤ 0.01, #P ≤ 0.05, ##P ≤ 0.001, & & & P ≤ 0.001, + + P ≤ 0.05, + + + P ≤ 0.001, and ##P ≤ 0.01, E2: 17-β Estradiol, NPY: Neuropeptide Y, PBW: Pair body weight
with E2 (P ≤ 0.001) and PBW (P ≤ 0.01) compared to the saline group. Also, the amount of this neuropeptide in E2 group was less than the oil (P ≤ 0.001) and PBW (P ≤ 0.05) groups. Leptin injection, as in the young intact group, reduced brain NPY only in OVX + E2 and OVX + PBW groups (P ≤ 0.001), and the amount of this neuropeptide in the OVX + E2 group was lower than in the OVX + OIL (P ≤ 0.001) and OVX + PBW (P ≤ 0.05) groups (Fig. 3). This means that inhibiting effect of E2 on leptin resistance in HFD animals was not due to weight gain prevention, but was due to the central effect of E2. On the other hand, in aged animals, unlike young animals, leptin injection decreased brain NPY only in both E2 (P ≤ 0.001) and oil (P ≤ 0.05) groups (Fig. 3), meaning that in older animals, unlike young animals, leptin resistance for inhibition of NPY level was not created and E2 had no effect on aged animals.

The effects of chronic E2 on brain AgRP in young and aged HFD mice

Leptin injection resulted in a reduction of brain AgRP, in young intact mice treated with E2 compared to the saline group (P ≤ 0.001), and the amount of this peptide in the E2 group was lower than the oil and PBW groups (P ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 4). Also, as in the young intact group, leptin injection decreased brain AgRP only in the OVX + E2 group (P ≤ 0.01), and the level of this index was lower in the E2 group than in the other two groups (P ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 4). This means that the decrease in this neuropeptide, like the decrease in NPY in the E2-treated group, was due to the central effects of E2 in reducing leptin resistance. In addition, in aged animals, as observed for NPY, leptin sensitivity for the reduction of this peptide was maintained, because central leptin injections reduced brain AgRP in both Aged + E2 (P ≤ 0.001) and Aged + OIL (P ≤ 0.01) groups, and E2 had no effect on aged animals unlike the young animals (Fig. 4).

The effects of chronic E2 on brain α-MSH in young and aged HFD mice

In the Fig. 5, central leptin injection resulted in an increase in α-MSH in young intact animals fed with HFD in the E2 and oil groups compared to the saline group (P ≤ 0.01), and P ≤ 0.05, respectively), and there was no significant difference between the two groups in that regard, which means that E2 had no effect on the increase of α-MSH in young intact animals. This is while leptin had no effect on the PBW group, despite the fact that the weights of the PBW and E2 groups were the same. Ovariectomy eliminated the effects of oil, so that leptin injection resulted in an increase in α-MSH only in the OVX + E2 group (P ≤ 0.05), and the amount of this neuropeptide in the OVX + E2 group was higher than the OVX + OIL group (P ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 5). This means that, unlike young intact animals, E2 increased sensitivity to leptin for α-MSH increments in young OVX animals. On the other hand, contrary to what was observed for the previous two neuropeptides, resistance to the leptin response was created in aged animals (Fig. 5), which means no significant difference was observed between leptin and saline, and also E2 had no effect.

The effects of chronic E2 on serum leptin level in young and aged HFD mice

The effects of E2 and oil injections on serum leptin level in different study groups fed with HFD are shown in Fig. 6. Serum leptin levels in young intact animals did not differ significantly between different groups, but serum leptin levels in OVX + OIL group were higher than OVX + E2 and

**Fig. 4** The effect of central leptin (0.2 μg/μl) injection on brain AgRP in HFD fed mice treated by E2 and oil during 48 h after a single injection of leptin compared with saline. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM., n = 8/group. **P ≤ 0.01, #P ≤ 0.05, ##P ≤ 0.01, ###P ≤ 0.001, &&&P ≤ 0.001, and + + + P ≤ 0.001. E2: 17-β Estradiol, AgRP: Agouti-Related Peptide, PBW: Pair body weight.
OVX + PBW groups (P ≤ 0.001). This could be due to higher weight of animals in the oil group compared with other two groups, which further prove that there was no significant difference between the PBW group treated with oil and E2 group in terms of serum leptin levels. Injection of E2 in older animals did not cause significant changes in serum leptin levels (Fig. 6), possibly due to their low weight, as a significant reduction in their weight was observed compared to the ovariectomized animals fed with oil (P ≤ 0.001).

The effects of chronic E2 on brain leptin level in young and aged HFD mice

Brain leptin levels, similar to serum leptin levels, were higher in OVX young animals fed with HFD than in intact and aged animals (P ≤ 0.001), although in OVX animals, no significant difference was observed between the E2, PBW and oil groups, meaning that E2 had no effect on reducing brain leptin. Similar to serum leptin level, E2 injection in aged animals did not have effect on brain leptin levels (Fig. 7).

Table 5 shows the Comparison of brain and serum levels of leptin in young intact, young OVX, and age animals after four weeks of E2 treatment. As can be seen in this table, serum leptin levels in all study groups are higher than brain leptin levels (P ≤ 0.001), probably indicating the production of leptin in peripheral tissues such as fat tissue.

The effects of chronic E2 on the molecular level of p-STAT3 in young and aged HFD mice

In another part of this study, to confirm the activation of leptin signaling in arcuate (ARC) nucleus and changes in leptin resistance, the molecular level of p-STAT3 (a
known marker for leptin function in ARC nuclei) was examined in different groups receiving HFD. As shown in Fig. 8, leptin injection in young intact animals resulted in increase the number of cells expressing p-STAT3 compared to the saline group. Also, injection of leptin in young animals treated with E2 increased the number of cells expressing p-STAT3 compared to the oil group. A similar effect was observed in OVX young animals, which means that an increase in p-STAT3 expression was observed after leptin injection in E2-treated animals. This means that E2 stimulated the signaling pathway of leptin in the ARC nucleus of the hypothalamus in young animals, thereby causing sensitivity or reduced resistance to leptin.

In addition, in the present study, for the first time we showed that injection of oil in old animals fed with HFD receiving oil increased the number of cells that express p-STAT3. On the other hand, as shown in Fig. 8, E2 consumption did not alter p-STAT3 expression, confirming the lack of effect of E2 on weight as well as brain neuropeptides.

**Discussion**

Menopause and aging, which are associated with an increased prevalence of obesity and metabolic disorder in women, in part are due to changes in leptin signaling and the production of appetite-controlling neuropeptides, which eventually lead to leptin resistance (Foster, 2012). The aim of present study was to determine whether the observed changes in leptin function in menopause and aging among mice fed with HFD are due to the lack of female sex hormone (estrogen). The main findings are: 1- Chronic consumption of E2 (four weeks) led to weight loss and energy intake in young OVX animals compared to older animals. 2- E2 in young animals increased the effects of leptin on weight loss and energy intake, although this effect on the reduction of leptin resistance was not observed in older animals. 3- E2 in young animals increased the effects of leptin on weight loss and energy intake, although this effect on the reduction of leptin resistance was not observed in older animals. 4- Consumption of E2 in the presence of leptin only increased the neuropeptide of α-MSH in the young animals, and not the old ones, and decreased the neuropeptides of NPY and AgRP.
increased the p-STAT3-positive cell number in the ARC nuclei of the young animals’ hypothalamus. Serum leptin levels were higher than brain leptin levels, and serum leptin levels were lower in E2 group in young animals.

In line with the current study, which showed that the weight of young OVX animals on an HFD was higher than the young sham animals (Ludgero-Correia Jr et al. 2012). In addition, it has been reported that menopausal model in rodents directly cause fat accumulation, and ovariectomy in animals on an HFD exacerbates weight gain (Litwak et al. 2014). The possible mechanisms for this weight gain include decreased metabolism and increased visceral fat accumulation (Farhadi et al. 2020a). On the other hand, unlike young OVX animals, no weight gain was observed in old animals fed with HFD, although they consumed less energy than younger animals. It has been reported that obesity in mice increases until middle age and then begins to decrease, possibly due to decreased muscle mass (Lei et al. 2006), increased metabolism, and lack of increase in visceral and subcutaneous fat (Farhadi et al. 2020a) compared to the OVX animals.

It was shown that following chronic administration of E2, the weight of animals decreased in both young groups, and we saw that E2 decreased calorie consumption in both
young groups treated with E2. Similarly, it has been reported that estrogen reduces food intake by increasing anorexigenic signals and decreasing orexigenic signals (Argente-Arizón et al. 2017). In addition, other possible mechanisms for estrogen-induced weight loss include induction of fatty acid oxidation (Litwak et al. 2014), inhibiting inflammation in the hypothalamus, and the increase in ERα in ARC nuclei of OVX animals (Farhadi et al. 2020b). However, unlike young animals, E2 did not reduce weight in old animals, which is one of the possible reasons which could be due to the lack of reduction in energy consumption as observed in this study, although the mechanism, by which E2 does not increase ERα in the hypothalamus of old animals, has also been reported (Farhadi et al. 2020a).

In this study, the response to leptin in young and old animals in the presence or absence of E2 was investigated. Consumption of an HFD caused leptin resistance only in young OVX animals and chronic treatment with E2 in these animals reduced leptin resistance despite consuming an HFD. It is conceivable that E2 may have decreased body weight and food intake in the same way. One mechanism may be due to the normalization of leptin receptor expression in the brain after E2 injection (Kimura et al. 2002). Another possibility is the prevention of weight gain by E2, which was not confirmed in our study, because there was leptin resistance in young PBW animals, despite their weight being similar to that of E2 group. This finding refutes the hypothesis that states; no change in leptin sensitivity occurs by E2 in old animals due to lack of weight gain in these animals (Balaskó et al. 2014).

Chronic E2 therapy in our study increased brain levels of α-MSH (anorexigenic neuropeptide in the brain) in young animals receiving leptin and this did not happen in old animals. Also in young groups, since leptin only increased α-MSH levels in E2-treated groups, it can be concluded that prevention of weight gain by E2 could be the cause of reduction in leptin resistance. In agreement with us, it has been reported that ovariectomy reduces POMC expression and α-MSH concentration in ARC nuclei, all of which are reversed by E2 therapy (Pelletier et al. 2007; Enriori et al. 2007). POMC neurons in ARC nuclei are probably the E2 target cells (Pelletier et al. 2007) and the effects of E2 on these neurons and the reduction of food intake are mediated by ERα because removal of ERα from POMC neurons causes overeating in mice (Mauvais-Jarvis et al. 2013). Since our results showed no effect of E2 on the change of this neuropeptide in old animals, we can conclude that probably one of the reasons for the lack of E2 effect on weight loss and reduced food intake in aged animals was the lack of increase in α-MSH.

NPY is an orexigenic neuropeptide that is synthesized in the ARC nuclei of the hypothalamus and plays a role in regulating food intake and energy adjustment (Zhang et al. 2016). The results of our study showed that E2 injection in young animals receiving leptin reduced brain NPY level, while it had no effect on old animals. In line with our research, NPY has been reported to mediate overeating and reduce energy expenditure in ovx animals, and its reduction in expression and release is probably a key mechanism by which, E2 affects metabolism and food intake (Litwak et al. 2014). E2 therapy has also been reported to reduce NPY expression in OVX animals (Pelletier et al. 2007). However, it is not yet clear exactly how E2 affects NPY gene expression or affects which neurons and in which area of the hypothalamus. In the present study, although in aged animals, this neuropeptide decreased in the presence of leptin, E2 had no effect on the amount of this neuropeptide. Studies have not come to the same conclusion about NPY in old age, because old age causes a reduction in the expression of NPY gene, while in humans, the NPY level increases with age (Wysokiński et al. 2015). Moreover, present study reveals that one of the possible mechanisms, by which E2 reduced appetite and weight, is an increase in α-MSH and a decrease in NPY in the brain.

Unlike the other two neuropeptides, less information is available on the role of E2 on AgRP. The results of our study showed that E2 injection reduced AgRP levels not only in young animals but also in older animals receiving leptin. In agreement with the present study, E2 administration has been reported to suppress NPY and AgRP, which slows down feeding responses in animals (Litwak et al. 2014). However, its reduction in older animals does not mean the reduction of leptin resistance in the presence of E2 in these animals (Wysokiński et al. 2015). In aged mice, AgRP is severely reduced, and hunger-induced changes in AgRP gene expression are reduced in old age (Wolden-Hanson et al. 2004). However, so far no information is available on aging-related changes in human AgRP levels as well as the effects of E2 on this neuropeptide. Based on our study, it appears that a decrease in this neuropeptide, like a decrease in NPY or an increase in α-MSH, is brain mediation for the anorectic effect of E2, although this does not explain the lack of E2 effect in old animals.

In this study, we found that serum leptin level was higher in animals with higher body fat mass, so that these levels were lower in the E2-receiving and PBW groups. An increase in circulating leptin level, which is associated with an increase in body fat in OVX mice and obesity in humans, leads to leptin resistance by decreasing its receptors (Münzberg et al. 2004). Similar to our study that showed E2 injections in OVX animals reduced circulating leptin level, Matýšková R et al. (Matýšková et al. 2010), found that E2 injections reduced plasma leptin levels. Since one of the causes of obesity has been reported to be an increase in central resistance to leptin (Ainslie et al. 2001), another possible mechanism of E2 for restoration and maintenance of sensitivity to leptin in
OVX mice is the normalization or reduction of plasma leptin levels and reduction of body fat mass in comparison to total body weight (Matyšková et al. 2010). Moreover, a possible reason for the lack of E2 effect on plasma leptin level in old mice is their lack of weight gain during consumption of HFD and the lack of E2 effect on their weight.

Comparing the serum level of leptin with its brain level, we found that in all study groups, serum level was higher than brain level. It has been reported that the level of leptin in cerebrospinal fluid in obese people has been reduced, despite higher serum levels of leptin in them, which is probably due to the disruption in leptin transfer from blood–brain barrier (Carter et al. 2013). On the other hand, it has been shown that there is a positive and direct relationship between leptin level in cerebrospinal fluid and BMI of individuals (Ramadhinara et al. 2008). It has also been reported that the ratio of cerebrospinal fluid leptin to serum is higher in lean individuals than in obese individuals (Ramadhinara et al. 2008).

Preliminary studies have shown that peripheral injection of leptin in mice fed with HFD has resulted in the disruption of signaling pathway of leptin due to impaired binding of STAT3 to DNA and leptin resistance and reduced effects of leptin on weight loss occur (Münzberg et al. 2004). On the other hand, it has been reported that leptin sensitivity changes in women along with changes in sex hormones, and also high-fat food reduces E2 levels in female mice (Litwak et al. 2014). Therefore, in the last part of present study, we examined leptin resistance in mice fed with HFD treated with E2 through qualitative measurement of the p-STAT3 molecule expression in the ARC nucleus region. The results showed that E2 increased p-STAT3 in both young groups, but not in old animals. The results also showed that treatment of OVX animals with E2 increased the level of P-STAT3 compared to the oil group. However, the level of p-STAT3 in aged animals receiving oil was higher than OVX animals receiving oil. It is shown that in male animals (no study has been done in old female animals), increasing age is associated with an increase in p-STAT3 (Hosoi et al. 2005), and weight loss has an effective role in increasing leptin sensitivity. It suggested that the signaling of leptin and E2 overlap via phosphorylation of the STAT3 (Gao and Horvath 2008), and the signaling of these two hormones may affect STAT3 and Akt signaling by activating Shp2 (a stronger activation of the Erk pathway) (He et al. 2012). Also, ERO is able to bind to JAK2 (Janus kinase 2) and STAT3 (Binai et al. 2010), and interestingly, leptin-induced STAT3 phosphorylation is impaired in ERO-knockout animals. This evidence shows that crosstalk between leptin-induced STAT3 signaling and ERO (Park et al. 2011). However, a study, contrary to our study, showed that aging is associated with increased leptin resistance (Gabriely et al. 2002). One possible reason for this contradicting result could be due to differences in the species and age range of the animals.

Overall, the results of this study showed that eating an HFD increased weight gain in young mice, and chronic treatment with E2 decreased it in young animals. E2 probably in young mice decreases leptin resistance caused by HFD. The possible mechanism of E2 is to increase α-MSH and decrease NPY and AgRP neuropeptides in the brain. However, since E2 did not alter these neuropeptides in old animals, it can be concluded that the same mechanism is the possible reason for the lack of E2 effect on old mice. It is also possible that E2, by increasing the p-STAT3 molecular level, which was reduced in animals on HFD, intensified leptin signaling pathway. We suggest future studies to investigate E2 target neurons for changing these neuropeptides, and also to examine the effect of E2 on middle-aged animals. Also, genomic and non-genomic pathways of E2 should also be studied in young and aged animals for inducing a decrease in leptin resistance.
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