Abstract

The idea behind this study is the use of Nanotechnological approaches in treatment of arthritic patients through targeting of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A (VEGFA) as angiogenic factor. Anti-Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor A/Gold nanoparticles (anti-VEGFA /GNPs) conjugate have been prepared and applied to serum of Osteoarthritis (OA) and Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) patients for scavenger of VEGFA antigen present in serum. The level of VEGFA in RA and OA patients has been estimated before and after incubation of serum of arthritic patients with (anti-VEGFA /GNPs) anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate. It was assumed that the anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate bind with VEGFA antigen so it will inhibit proliferation and angiogenesis in serum of arthritic patients as primary treatment of arthritis. The characterization of both anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate and gold nanoparticles (GNPs) such as particle size, zeta potential (ZP), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and FTIR were investigated. This anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate has been applied to “thirty three” serum samples of human patients with OA and RA in vitro. The Optimization of anti-VEGFA/GNPs gives that 100 ng/mL is an optimum concentration of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate. It can decrease the level of VEGFA in serum of human patients upon incubation for 2 h rat room temperature. The anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate gives cure percent 59% and 62% in OA and RA patients respectively through a single addition of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate to serum that was validated by ELISA method. This technique open new modality and hope for OA and RA patients using novel nanotechnological approach.
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Introduction

Nanotechnology has recent biological and medical applications especially in bioant-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate research. The anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate based on nanoparticles are introducing materials with new characteristics because sensing elements are biocompatible so it may be cells, proteins, or nucleic acids also the sensors are used to evaluate biological processes changes. Among the nanomaterials used as component in anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, gold nanoparticles (GNPs) have received greatest interests because they have various unique properties [1-6]. GNPs have been used in various medical applications due to its stability, controlled geometrical, optical, and surface chemical properties [7]. GNPs have high surface-to-volume ratio and high surface energy that provide a stable immobilization of a large amount of biomolecules retaining their bioactivity [8,9]. Nanoparticles have a unique characteristics as it not detected by immune system. Nanoparticles play an important role in treatment of some diseases for example, cancer; Osteoarthritis (OA) and Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) diseases through active targeting of angiogenic cells. Osteoarthritis (OA) or degenerative joint disease is the most common type of arthritis. It’s usually prevalent among the elderly people; recently it is becoming more common among younger people. The joints most commonly affected are hands, knees, ankles and spines. Often the cause of osteoarthritis is unknown but it may be due to a combination of the following risk factors a family tendency, obesity, a joint injury, repetitive destruction from activities and problems with bones in a joint not lining up properly [10]. Over many years these factors can wear away the articular cartilage, expose bony surfaces rub together. This along with the growth of bony projections causing swelling, pain and limited movement of the joint. Damage starts at the molecular scale and progressively spreads to the higher structural architecture of the articular cartilage that cushions the joints [11].

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is the second common type of arthritis and known as an autoimmune disease affecting approximately 1% of the worldwide population, characterized by the infiltration and accumulation of activated immune cells in the synovial joints. In RA the immune system attacks the joints and organ tissue. Subsequent chronic production of pro-inflammatory cytokines leads to destruction of the joint architecture and severe disability [12,13]. One of the earliest histopathology responses in RA is the generation of new blood vessels as it is known as Angiogenesis and inflammatory cell recruitment. This event is
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accompanying the transudation of fluid and the transmigration of both lymphocytes into the synovium and polymorph nuclear leukocytes into the synovial fluid [14,15]. In the mature RA synovium, the mass of tissue is too much for the multiple new capillaries to nourish leading to local tissue ischemia. Relative synovial hypoxia is associated with an increased production of the transcription factor hypoxia inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) which activates transcription of genes that are of fundamental importance for angiogenesis, including those for Vascular Endothelialal Growth Factor (VEGFA) and the VEGFA receptor [5,16].

The most known role for treatment of OA and RA are the use of angiogenesis inhibitors which interfere with various steps in the role of VEGFA binding to its receptor process such as bevacizumab (Avastin®), is a monoclonal antibody that binds to VEGFA antigen [17]. When VEGFA is attached to bevacizumab, it is unable to activate the VEGF receptor. Also sorafenib and sunitinib, bind to VEGF receptors and blocking their activities [18]. Recent progresses of the GNP’s labeled antibody or antigen for immunoassay researchers generally accept the theory that mechanisms related to the residues of the particular amino acids play an important role in binding proteins to gold particles. Appropriate active targeting ligand should be selected for designing RA-targeted particles, For example, there are certain biologic drugs, such as anti-Tumor necrosis factor agents or B-cell depleting agents, which target cells other than macrophages [19,20]. The objective of the present in vitro study is to introduce biocjugation technique (anti-VEGFA/GNP’s conjugate) as novel modality for inhibition of serum VEGFA level for treatment of OA and RA angiogenic diseases.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Gold chloride hydrate (HAuCl₃·H₂O), Sodium citrate trisodium diphosphate, Sodium hydrogen bicarbonate, sodium chloride, Bovine Albumin Serum (BSA), Tris buffer (20 mM), Anti-VEGFA polyclonal antibody (Pab) produced in goat (pH 7.3), sodium azide (NaN₃) and HUMAN VEGFA ELISA KIT have been purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Methods

Preparation of Anti-VEGFA/GNP’s conjugate

Synthesis of GNPs: Synthesis of gold nanoparticles (GNPs) was carried out by the reduction of Gold chloride hydrate by trisodium citrate according to Frens method as follow [21,22]. In brief; 50 mL aqueous solution of 0.01 mM HAuCl₃ in flask was heated until boiling with stirring. After that 1 mL of 1% trisodium citrate solution was added. The solution color turned from yellow to intrinsic bright red. The solution has been left to cool until reach room temperature with gentle shaking. The residual surface of the GNPs was blocked and stabilized by adding 10 µL of 1% BSA in 20mM tris buffer with stirring for 2hr. The optimum condition as follows; 10 mL of GNPs adjusted to pH 7.3 was mixed with 1 mL of anti-VEGFA (100 ng/mL) and allowed to react for 2hr.

The residual surface of the GNPs was blocked and stabilized by adding 1 mL of 1% BSA in 20mM Tris buffer with stirring for 30 min, and then stored at 4 °C for 2 hr. After that the anti-VEGFA/GNP’s conjugate has been centrifuged (SORVALL –MX-150 centrifuge, Hitachi, Japan) at 10,000 rpm at 4 °C for 40 min after that the Supernantant is discarded. The pellet was dispersed in 1 mL of 20 mM Tris buffer containing 1% BSA and 0.05 % NaN₃. The anti-VEGFA/GNP’s conjugate have been characterized by an UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer ( Cary5000 UV-Vis-NIR, Varian Instrument, U.K), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) NICOLET IS 10 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), Zetasizer Nanoseries (Malvern Instruments, U.K) and High resolution transmission electron microscopy Tecnai G20 (FEI, Netherlands) operating at 200 kV. The characterization procedures and experiments were done at Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials Central Lab, Agriculture Research Center, Egypt.

Participant

Forty three serum samples have been collected and divided into three groups according to the American College of Rheumatology /The European League Against Rheumatism (ACR/EULAR 2010) [25], classification criteria as follow: First group, 22 patients with RA disease. Second group, 11 patients with primary knee OA upon clinical and radiological criteria of primary OA. Third group, 10 healthy volunteers subjected as control group. All Patients had been selected from the outpatient’s clinic and inpatient of Rheumatology department at Ain Shams University Hospital.

Inclusion criteria

The patients of OA had symptoms and signs consistent with knee OA and fulfilled the American College of Rheumatology clinical radiological criteria of idiopathic knee OA. The patients after signing a consistent have been included and subjected to the following procedures: Complete medical history, physical examination including body mass index and X-rays of the knee joints.

Excluding criteria

Patients have been excluded if one at least of the following history were present: knee trauma, Intra-articular steroid of hyaluronate injection within 3 months prior to enrolment, Knee surgery and Arthroscopy and evidence of secondary knee OA.
Ethical consideration

The nature of the study was explained to all participants. The laboratories represent standard care and pose no ethical conflicts. All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study, which was approved by the Ain Shams medical ethics committee.

Laboratory Investigations.

Seven mL of venous blood were withdrawn from all groups and has been divided into three parts; 3mL has been putted in a tube with EDTA for complete blood count (CBC) using (BECKMAN COULTER HMXAL) automated differential cell counter, 2 mL has been putted in sodium citrate tube for estimation of 1st hour erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) using Westergren method [26], and 2 mL has been putted in a plain tube and left to be clotted then centrifuged at 9000 rpm for 5 min for separation of serum after that serum has been stored at -80 °C until use in estimation of VEGFA antigen level. VEGFA antigen assay was performed by sandwich ELISA kit. All practical details were operated strictly in accordance with the instructions in the manual of the ELISakit [27].

Treatment procedure

In vitro application of anti-VEGFA/GNPsc conjugate to both OA and RA patient serum samples for inhibition of VEGFA antigen, then estimation of human serum samples with and without addition of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate was carried as follow; 10 µL of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate has been incubated with 100µL of serum, without any dilution of serum, with gentle shaking at room temperature for 2 h. Estimation of the conjugation efficacy evaluated by ELISA kit [27]. At the same time 10 µL of anti-VEGFA antibody (100 ng/mL) has been incubated with 100µL of patient’s serum, without any dilution of serum, with gentle shaking at room temperature for 2 hr. Also, 10 µL of GNPs has been incubated with 100µL of patient’s serum with gentle shaking at room temperature for 2 hr. these procedures have been done to compare the effect of conjugate, anti-VEGFA antibody and GNPs alone on the VEGFA antigen concentration in patient’s serum.

Statistical analysis

Statistical presentation and analysis of the study has been conducted, using the mean, median standard deviation, unpaired student t-test, paired student t-test and linear correlation coefficient tests. Statistical data analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software.

Results

Characterization of GNPs and Anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate

New approach to arthritis inhibition include anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate has been designed for inhibition of VEGFA growth factor to serve as a starting point for inhibition of VEGFA-mediated blood vessel proliferation. The conjugation process between GNPs and anti-VEGFA antibody is concentration dependent therefore inadequate conditions will lead to precipitation and flocculation of GNPs. VEGFA antibody concentration has a great effect on the conjugation process, where the optimal antibody concentration was found to be 100 ng/ml as shown in Figure 1A, as these affect the absorbance intensity differences between 520 nm and 580 nm of GNPsanti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate (A520 – A580). In addition to that, at VEGFA antibody concentration of 100 ng/ml, the lowest optimum particle size with no aggregation and better stability of the anti-VEGFA /GNPsanti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate was achieved as observed in Figure 1B. The present study found that 100 ng/ml VEGFA antibody is the optimum minimum concentration of anti-VEGFA for a stable anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate with about 45 nm average size. Spectrophotometer measurements of gold nanoparticles GNPs showed sharp characteristic absorption peak at 520 nm which corresponding to Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) absorbance peak, while anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate showed slight red shift at 532 nm as shown in Figure 2A.

The measurements of particle size distribution based on dynamic light scattering technique. Figure 2B shows that average particle size of GNPs is 18.3±6.3 nm with narrow peak, indicating monodispersity and no aggregation. While, the average particle size of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate is 43±8.3 nm with narrow peak, indicating no aggregation formed upon conjugation which indicate particle size growth that support the formation of Anti-VEGFA antibody cap around the gold nanoparticles.
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Zeta potential measurements of GNPs and anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate represent a decrease in the net negative charge surround the GNPs upon conjugation with anti-VEGFA according to replace of citrate anions from the dielectric layer surround GPNPs with anti-VEGFA and keeping the anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate stable due to the repulsion between neighbor GNPs. The GNP's surface net charge has been -38.9 mV and the zeta potential for anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate showed a noticeable change in the surface net charge which decreased to the -25 mV as shown in Figure 2C which also confirm the formation of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate. HR-TEM imaging showed that the formation of spherical gold nanoparticles with average size around 20 nm, as shown in Figure 2D.

A more specific characterization tool that confirm the conjugation formation was FTIR spectrum. FTIR analysis of GNP's showed a broad absorption bands appearing at 623 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1635 cm\(^{-1}\) due to \(\text{CH}_2\text{-O}\) deformation and C-O stretching of citrate ligand in GNP's spectra. FTIR of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate shows presence of peak specific for \(\text{C=C}\) stretching of aromatic amine group which appear at 1116cm\(^{-1}\) and 1384cm\(^{-1}\) involve changing in both the band positions and redistribution of intensities, from FTIR spectra of anti-VEGFA alone which appear at 1118cm\(^{-1}\) and 1384cm\(^{-1}\) upon their adsorption onto GNPs (Figure 2E).

**Significance of clinical data**

**Laboratory investigations:** Serum samples were obtained from 43 patients, First group, 22 patients with RA disease. Second group, 11 patients with primary knee OA upon clinical and radiological criteria of primary OA. Third group, 10 healthy volunteers subjected as control group. The mean age at the time of the study was 35-55 years and the mean duration of the disease...
was not more than one year. For complete blood count (CBC) parameters, it shows that there is no differences than normal values in OA. In RA patients, the mean value of Hb (9±0.3 g/dL) were lower than the control patients (12.5±0.5 g/dL), the mean value of WBCs (7.825±0.6 103/mL) were higher than those of the control subjects (4.1±0.23 103/mL). In addition to that, the mean value of the Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate, ESR. In OA patients was (34.5 ± 3 mm/h) while that of RA patients was (54.5± 5 mm/h) higher than those of the control (7±0.15 mm/h), as shown in Table 1.

Correlations of VEGFA with clinical indicators

In osteoarthritis patient (OA), there was non-significant correlation in the level of VEGFA with complete blood count (Table 2). While in RA patients there was significant positive correlations in the level of VEGFA with WBCs, High-grade systemic inflammation observed in active RA and significant positive correlations in the level of VEGFA with ESR, useful for monitoring inflammatory diseases especially Rheumatoid Arthritis (Table 3).

Effect of treatment on level of VEGFA in patient serum.

Table 4 & 5 represent the individual concentrations of VEGFA in OA and RA patient’s serum, respectively, before and after treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, Anti-VEGFA and GNPs after incubation for 2 hr the results revealed that the treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate has the highest inhibitory effect on the serum VEGFA concentrations compared to treatment with Anti-VEGFA and GNPs. The inhibitory effect of the anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate was directly proportional to the concentration of VEGFA in patient serum irrespective to OA or RA patients. Where for OA patient with highest VEGFA level, 815 pg/ml before treatment, was inhibited to be 299 pg/ml, 600 pg/ml and 800 pg/ml after treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, Anti VEGFA and GNPs, respectively. While for OA patient with lowest VEGFA level, 68 pg/ml, before treatment, was inhibited to be 55 pg/ml, 50 pg/ml and 60 pg/ml after treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, Anti-VEGFA and GNPs, respectively. For RA patient with highest VEGFA level, 2500 pg/ml, before treatment, was inhibited to be 952 pg/ml, 1500 pg/ml and 2000 pg/ml after treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, Anti-VEGFA and GNPs, respectively. While for OA patient with lowest VEGFA level, 55 pg/ml, before treatment, was inhibited to be 27 pg/ml, 45 pg/ml and 50 pg/ml after treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, Anti-VEGFA and GNPs, respectively. It was found that the mean VEGFA concentration in reference group (control) was (30-100) pg/ml.

Table 5 summarizes the mean inhibitory effect anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate on the level of VEGFA in both OA and RA patient serum. Results indicate that, significant decrease in VEGFA level after incubation with anti-VEGFA/GNPs anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate. The mean concentration of VEGFA in OA patient’s serum was (407±77 pg/ml) and decreased after incubation of serum with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate to be (161±26 pg/ml). Similar results were obtained for RA patient’s serum, where the mean concentration of VEGFA was (424±115 pg/ml) and decreased after incubation of serum with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate to be (155±44 pg/ml). There is a significant decrease in level of VEGFA after incubation of serum with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate for 2 hr in both OA and RA patient’s serum at p value =0.001 and 0.002, respectively, with mean percent of cure reach to be more than 59 % and 62 % for OA and RA patient’s serum, respectively.

Table 1: Comparison between OA and RA regarding complete blood count parameters. (*Significant)

| Variable | RA (Group I, no=22) | OA (Group II, no=11) | Control | T-test | P-value |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| Hemoglobin (gm/dl) | 9 ± 0.3 | 11.36 ± 0.5 | 12.5±0.5 | t=0.8976 | 0.03768* |
| WBCs (103/ml) | 7.825 ± 0.6 | 6.673 ± 0.7 | 4.1±0.23 | t=1.784 | 0.2966 |
| ESR (mm/h) | 54.5 ± 5 | 34.5 ± 3 | 720.15 | t=2.237 | 0.05* > |

Table 2: Correlation coefficient results of VEGFA (pg/ml) in Osteoarthritis patients versus CBC (complete blood count) differentiation.

| Variable | OA | VEGFA (pg/ml) | R | P-value |
|----------|----|---------------|---|---------|
| PLT(103/mL) | 0.295 | 0.378 |
| Hb (g/dL) | 0.105 | 0.759 |
| RBC (106/mL) | 0.061 | 0.859 |
| WBC(103/mL) | 0.22 | 0.516 |
| ESR (mm/h) | 0.394 | 0.23 |
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Table 3: Correlation coefficient results of VEGF (pg/ml) in Rheumatoid arthritis patients versus CBC (complete blood count) differentiation. (* Significant)

| RA       | VEGFA (pg/ml) |  |  |
|----------|---------------|---|---|
|          | R            | P-value |  |
| PLT(10^3/mL) | 0.319        | 0.12 |  |
| HB (g/dL)      | 0.367        | 0.112 |  |
| RBC (10^6/mL)  | 0.153        | 0.519 |  |
| WBC (10^3/mL)  | 0.585        | 0.007* |  |
| ESR (mm/h)      | 0.58         | 0.07* |  |

Table 4: Inhibitory effect of GNPs, Anti-VEGFA and conjugate on the level of serum VEGFA in Osteoarthritis patients.

| Patient #. | VEGFA concentration in OA patient’s serum (pg/ml) |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|            | Before treatment | After treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate | After treatment with anti VEGFA | After treatment with GNPs |
| 1          | 815               | 299          | 600           | 800          |
| 2          | 68                | 55           | 50            | 60           |
| 3          | 136               | 55           | 100           | 100          |
| 4          | 136               | 55           | 100           | 100          |
| 5          | 408               | 218          | 320           | 390          |
| 6          | 218               | 55           | 118           | 200          |
| 7          | 408               | 218          | 300           | 400          |
| 8          | 612               | 218          | 400           | 600          |
| 9          | 612               | 218          | 400           | 590          |
| 10         | 340               | 190          | 240           | 320          |
| 11         | 734               | 190          | 500           | 600          |

Table 5: Inhibitory effect of treatment with GNPs, Anti-VEGFA and conjugate on the level of serum VEGFA in Rheumatoid Arthritis patients.

| Patient #. | VEGFA concentration in RA patient’s serum (pg/ml) |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|            | Before treatment | After treatment with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate | After treatment with anti VEGFA | After treatment with GNPs |
| 1          | 108              | 82            | 100           | 99           |
| 2          | 1223             | 299           | 800           | 1000         |
| 3          | 272              | 27            | 170           | 270          |
| 4          | 163              | 55            | 80            | 160          |
| 5          | 680              | 71            | 500           | 600          |
| 6          | 136              | 27            | 85            | 130          |
| 7          | 204              | 27            | 90            | 190          |
| 8          | 2500             | 952           | 1500          | 2000         |
| 9          | 218              | 55            | 118           | 190          |
| 10         | 408              | 109           | 300           | 390          |
| 11         | 340              | 109           | 240           | 350          |
| 12         | 612              | 299           | 414           | 550          |
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Table 6: Descriptive statistics of VEGFA in Osteoarthritis and Rheumatoid arthritis patients and compare the level of VEGFA before and after treatment (* Significant).

| Subgroups | OA | RA | T-test | P-value |
|-----------|----|----|--------|---------|
| Mean ± SD | Mean ± SD | T |       |
| VEGFA (pg/ml) | Before Treatment | 407 ± 77 | 424 ± 115 | 0.093 | 0.927 |
| | After Treatment | 161 ± 26 | 155 ± 44 | 0.085 | 0.933 |
| Paired t-test | <0.001* | | | 0.002* |

Discussion

The mean idea behind the present work is to find a new hope to relief the pain of arthritic patients through decreasing the level of VEGFA in serum. The affinity and sensitivity of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate is affected by antibody concentration so that insufficient amount of antibody can lead to aggregation and increased amount will lead to flocculation that have been confirmed by subtraction of absorbance intensity of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate at \((A_{520}-A_{580})\) as shown in Figure 1A [28]. Where \(A_{520}\) represent SPR absorbance peak of GNPs with no aggregation and \(A_{580}\) represent beginning of aggregation so as the difference between them be great as the optimum anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate was obtained without flocculation [29]. Variation of GNPs particle size distribution, (Figure 1B) with antibody concentrations shows that the anti-VEGFA concentration increases the stability of the GNPs and no aggregation affect the anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate until it reaches the minimum antibody concentration that stabilize GNPs, and then excess of the antibody will lead to increase of the size that reflects presence of aggregation and flocculation in the anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate leading to loss of affinity and sensitivity to antigen [30-34].

The functionalization of GNPs with anti-VEGFA antibody lead to mild red shift in the absorbance peak of GNPs from 520 nm to 532 nm as a function particles size growth due to antibody conjugation, (Figure 2A). Appearance of the characteristic antibody peak exhibited at 280 nm corresponding to tryptophan group, assure the formation of the anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate [35].

Zeta potential measurements of GNPs and anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, (Figure 2C) the antibody/gold nanoparticles conjugation process. Where the zeta potential of GNPs was -38.9 mV due to encompassing surface of GNPs with citrate carboxylic groups. Zeta potential of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate was -25 mV indicates the replacement of the negative charges of citrate ions with the positive charged VEGFA antibody. The type of interaction between anti-VEGFA and GNPs was not studied under this study but it may be electrostatic interaction rather than covalent interaction.

FTIR, particle size distribution and HRTEM characterization techniques confirm functionalization and formation of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate at optimum conditions without aggregation or loss of affinity and sensitivity.

It is known that VEGFA antigen is produced during angiogenesis by rheumatoid synovocytes and acts specifically on endothelial cells [36,37]. The angiogenesis is important in the development of new cartilage and mineralization in OA and the neoangiogenesis process contributes to synovitis, pannus formation and articular cartilage destruction in RA [38]. In RA and OA patients, the mean levels of VEGFA have been significantly higher than in healthy one.

Elevated Serum VEGFA was non-significant positively correlated with high WBCs in RA indicating high-grade systemic inflammation in active RA and OA has indeed been associated with the perpetuation of synovial angiogenesis including VEGFA-mediated neovascularization [14]. Normochromic and normocytic anemia is an extra-articular symptoms of RA. Anemia...
in RA is multi-factorial-disease activity, drug-induced, nutritional, bone marrow suppression, and ineffective erythropoiesis. Anemia correlates with the disease activity, particularly the degree of articular inflammation [39].

The nanoconjugation strategy of anti-VEGFA to GNP’s surface to which targeting VEGFA specifically over expressed in inflamed cells or in angiogenesis could reduce the side-effects due high level of VEGFA widespread in injured tissues in addition to healthy tissues and organs [40,41].

The comparison between OA and RA as regarding the effect of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate on VEGFA level antigen shows that a significant decrease in level of VEGFA compared to the anti-VEGFA and GNP’s alone as shown in Tables 4&5. The mean results are summarized in Table 6, where the mean value of VEGFA antigen have been significantly decreased in both RA and OA patients after incubation of serum with anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate with cure efficiency more than 60%. The obtained results support the idea of this study which postulate that, anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate is highly effective for targeting certain angiogenic factor which may be used for treatment of arthritis disease confirmed which the targeting of VEGFA is a feasible anti-inflammatory and antiangiogenic therapeutic strategy in arthritis, as well as in malignancies [14,41,42].

As the nanoconjugation improve the targeting and the efficacy of antibody in the serum make it more feasible to immune system. It was remarked that treatment efficacy was concentration dependent, for patients with high level of VEGFA, the efficacy of anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate was very high reach to more than 60% in contrast to that for patients with low level of VEGFA concentration the efficacy was not more than 20% that may be due to the loading capacity effect. The introduced anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate can be used with patients complain of arthritis and will not affect the normal function of VEGFA antigen in blood vessel proliferation in normal situation as a growth factor, that makes the anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate verifies the requirements of ideal drugs which works only at high antigen levels as in case of severe arthritis [42,43].

Conclusion

Targeting of VEGFA is a feasible anti-inflammatory and antiangiogenic therapeutic strategy in arthritis, as well as in malignancies and could reduce their side-effects. Anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate was highly effective for scavenging VEGFAantigen in serum suppressing its effect and inhibit its interaction with the VEGFA receptors on macrophages and blood vessels. The Anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate, represented by this work, reduced the level of VEGFAantigen in both OA and RA patients serum (in vitro) at percent 60%which is considered to be promising approach in arthritis treatment as the traditional treatments is just a combination of drugs for inflammations and relief of pain symptoms.

The work done in this study was on in vitro scale for standardization of the technique. The group welling to apply this product (Anti-VEGFA/GNPs conjugate) in future for in vivo on animal models as a new modality for treatment of OA and RA patients through decrease level of VEGFA antigen in circulating blood.
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