Evaluation of Femoral Neck Bone Mineral Density and Radiographic Hand and Knee Osteoarthritis in a Korean Elderly Population
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Background: Reports on the relationship between osteoporosis and osteoarthritis (OA) have tended to disagree, especially in non-weight bearing joints such as the hand joints. We aimed to investigate the relationship between bone mineral density (BMD) and hand and knee OA in a general Korean elderly population.

Methods: We evaluated femur neck BMD and the hand and knee radiographs of 143 men and 123 women over 65 years of age who participated in a population-based cohort study. The Kellgren-Lawrence criteria for grading OA were implemented, and grade 2 or higher were categorized as radiographic OA. BMD was compared according to the existence of radiographic OA in the hand and knee using analysis of covariance, and correlation analyses were performed to explore the relationship between BMD and radiographic OA grade.

Results: After controlling for age and body mass index, there was no significant difference in BMD between participants with and without hand OA (p = 0.717 in male and p = 0.862 in female), between those with and without knee OA (p = 0.974 in male and p = 0.563 in female), and between those with only hand OA and those with only knee OA (p = 0.920 in male and p = 0.961 in female). Furthermore, there was no significant correlation between BMD and the radiographic OA grade of the hands (p = 0.182 in male and p = 0.897 in female) and knees (p = 0.245 in male and p = 0.098 in female).

Conclusions: In our cohort of the general Korean elderly population, no association was found between osteoporosis and OA, regardless of the weight bearing status of the joints.
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Osteoporosis and osteoarthritis (OA) are common conditions in the elderly, and the incidences of both increase with age. However, it has been suggested that the simultaneous occurrence of these two conditions in the same patient is uncommon, and that there may be an inverse relationship between osteoporosis and OA.¹⁻³ Several epidemiologic studies have confirmed that high bone mineral density (BMD) is observed in patients with OA in the weight bearing joints, such as the hip and knee.⁴⁻⁸ As a possible mechanism, Radin et al.⁹ hypothesized that an increase in subchondral bone density would increase its stiffness, which may lead to an overload of the overlying cartilage to induce OA. Another hypothesis for the association of high BMD and OA is that the two conditions share common risk factors, such as more weight bearing activities.¹⁰

In the non-weight bearing joints such as the hand,
however, reports on the relationship between osteoporosis and OA have tended to disagree.  

Some earlier epidemiologic studies reported results similar to the hip and knee joints. However, recent studies suggested a contradictory relationship between BMD and OA in the hand, 6,12-20. As for such opposite results, some authors suggested different resistance to mechanical loading in the hand joints, 16,18 while others proposed that inflammatory pathways involved in hand OA might induce osteoporosis, as in systemic OA. 17 The partly contradictory data between the different studies may be due to differences in the diagnostic criteria regarding OA, presence of multiple digits and joints in the hand, or ethnic or genetic differences in the study participants.

There have been only a few studies that simultaneously analyzed the relationship between BMD and OA of both the weight bearing and non-weight bearing joints in the same population. 6,14,15 In one epidemiologic study about premenopausal women, authors reported that a high BMD was associated with OA in both the hand and knee. 6 In contrast, another epidemiologic study found that higher bone loss was observed only in hand OA of women, not in knee OA. 15 However, in a study done on Japanese women, the association of peripheral bone mass with knee and hand OA was less clear. 16 No study looking at BMD and OA of both the hand and knee has been performed in Koreans as of yet. As such, we conducted this population based cross-sectional study in elderly Koreans, and examined the relationship between BMD and hand and knee OA.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics and Measurements of the Participants

| Variable                        | Overall (n = 266) | Male (n = 143) | Female (n = 123) | p-value* |
|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|
| Mean age (yr)                   | 70.8 ± 4.4       | 70.8 ± 4.4    | 70.7 ± 4.5      | 0.614†   |
| BMI (kg/m²)                     | 24.5 ± 2.9       | 24.4 ± 2.8    | 24.7 ± 3.0      | 0.234†   |
| Mean T-score of femoral neck BMD| −1.13 ± 1.04     | −0.09 ± 1.11  | −1.32 ± 0.98    | 0.035†   |
| Hand K-L grade ≤ 1              | 105              | 62            | 43              | 0.162‡   |
| Hand K-L grade ≥ 2              | 161              | 81            | 80              |          |
| Prevalence of hand OA (%)       | 60.5             | 56.6          | 65.0            |          |
| Knee K-L grade ≤ 1              | 186              | 117           | 69              |          |
| Knee K-L grade ≥ 2              | 80               | 26            | 54              |          |
| Prevalence of knee OA (%)       | 30.1             | 18.2          | 43.9            |          |

BMI: body mass index, BMD: bone mineral density, K-L: Kellgren-Lawrence, OA: osteoarthritis.  
*Comparison between male and female. †Using Student t-test. ‡Using Fisher exact test.

METHODS

Subjects
This study was conducted as a sub-study of a population-based prospective cohort study of health, aging, and common geriatric diseases in elderly Koreans, and baseline data were collected from September 2005 to August 2006 on residents of Seongnam city, South Korea. The baseline data set were used for this cross-sectional study. Candidates were randomly drawn from a roster of all individuals aged 65 years or older in Seongnam city using a computer-generated list of resident registration numbers. A total of 1,118 residents were invited to participate in the study by letter and telephone, of which 696 residents agreed to participate (a response rate of 62.3%). Among them, participants with a past history of trauma or surgery around the hand, knee, and hip joints were excluded. Participants with history of rheumatoid arthritis were also excluded. Finally, the remaining 266 subjects were enrolled, and had completed hand and knee radiographs and BMD measurement. The mean age was 70.8 ± 4.4 years (range, 65 to 87 years), and the study population was composed of 143 men (53.8%) and 123 women (46.2%). There were no significant differences in the age and body mass index (BMI) distribution between the men and women (Table 1). This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the authors’ hospital, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Radiographic Assessments of Hand and Knee OA
A standard posteroanterior radiograph of the dominant
hand was taken for all participants and evaluated for hand OA using a picture archiving and communication system (IMPAX, Impax: Agfa, Antwerp, Belgium). Fifteen joints of the dominant hand (4 distal interphalangeal joints, 4 proximal interphalangeal joints, 5 metacarpophalangeal joints, the first interphalangeal joint, and the first carpometacarpal joint) were graded from 0 to 4 for OA using the Kellgren-Lawrence (K-L) criteria. According to this grading scale, grade 0 indicates no OA; grade 1 (doubtful OA), possible osteophytes only; grade 2 (minimal OA), definite osteophytes and possible cysts; grade 3 (moderate OA), moderate osteophytes with definite joint space narrowing and some sclerosis; grade 4 (severe OA), large osteophytes and bony sclerosis with severe joint space narrowing. The worst grade overall among the fifteen joints of the dominant hand was chosen as the radiographic hand OA grade for each participant, and those participants with grade 2 or higher were categorized as having radiographic hand OA. Two kinds of radiographs for both knee joints (a weight bearing anteroposterior radiograph and a postero-anterior radiograph made with the knee in 45° of flexion) were evaluated for knee OA. The radiographs of each knee were graded separately according to the K-L criteria, and the worse grade of the two was chosen as the radiographic knee OA grade. Participants with grade 2 or higher were categorized as having radiographic knee OA.

Radiographs were evaluated by two of the authors, who are orthopedic surgeons and were blinded to all other subject information, such as age, sex, BMI, status of the other joints, and the value of BMD. Intraobserver reliability was evaluated by having the examiner repeat all radiographic assessments after 3 weeks. Interobserver reliability was evaluated by having all radiographs assessed by two examiners. The intraobserver and interobserver reliabilities were tested by Cohen kappa coefficients. The kappa coefficient for the intraobserver reliability was 0.899 ($p = 0.031$), and for interobserver reliability was 0.831 ($p = 0.029$). Thus, the radiographic assessments of only one examiner were used in the analysis, given the high interobserver reliability.

### Measurements of BMD

Femoral neck BMD was measured in the all participants by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA; GE Lunar Prodigy, Prodigy encore software ver. 10.50.086, Madison, WI, USA). T-scores were calculated by using the difference between the measured BMD and the mean BMD of healthy young adults matched for sex and ethnicity, divided by the standard deviation (SD) of the young adult population.

### Data Analysis

A minimum sample size of 84 participants was required to detect a correlation of greater than $r = 0.3$ between the T-score of the BMD and the radiographic OA grades of the hand and knee, with a power of 80% (meaning that the chance that a true correlation would be overlooked is less than 20%) at a significant level of $p < 0.05$.

Baseline demographic data, such as age and BMI, were compared according to the presence or absence of radiographic OA of the hand and knee using the Student t-test. Analysis of covariance, adjusting for age and BMI, was performed to compare the BMD according to the presence or absence of radiographic OA of the hand and knee. The adjusted BMD was also compared between those with OA only in the hand, and those with OA only in the knee. Partial correlation analysis was performed to evaluate the relationship between the BMD and the radiographic OA grade of the knee and hand, where age and BMI were adjusted for. A $p$-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

### RESULTS

#### Grade and Prevalence of Radiographic OA

According to the K-L criteria, the OA scores of the hand in the participants were determined at the following frequencies: grade 0 in 0.4% (1/266), grade 1 in 39.1% (104/266), grade 2 in 45.9% (122/266), grade 3 in 11.7% (31/266), and grade 4 in 3.0% (8/266). The overall prevalence of radiographic hand OA (K-L criteria ≥ 2) was 60.5% (161/266). It occurred in 56.6% (81/143) of male participants and 65.0% (80/123) of female participants, but the difference was not statistically significant ($p = 0.162$). OA scores for the knee, according to the K-L criteria, were determined in the following frequencies: grade 0 in 18.8% (30/266), grade 1 in 51.1% (136/266), grade 2 in 9.4% (25/266), grade 3 in 10.9% (29/266), and grade 4 in 9.8% (26/266). The overall prevalence of radiographic knee OA (K-L criteria ≥ 2) was 30.1% (80/266). The prevalence was 18.2% (26/143) in male participants and 43.9% (54/123) in female participants, which represented a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.001$) (Table 1). Thirty-two percent (85/266) of participants had neither radiographic hand nor knee OA. Thirty-eight percent (101/266) had radiographic OA only in the hand, and 7.5% (20/266) had radiographic OA only in the knee. The remaining 22.6% (60/266) had radiographic OA in both the hand and knee.
Comparison of BMD According to Radiographic OA Status

In male participants, the mean T-score was \(-0.82 \pm 1.01\) in those with radiographic hand OA and \(-0.59 \pm 1.06\) in those without radiographic hand OA, which had no significant difference after adjustment for age and BMI \((p = 0.717)\). In female participants, the mean T-score was \(-1.62 \pm 0.87\) in those with hand OA and \(-1.58 \pm 0.74\) in those without OA, also with no significant difference \((p = 0.862)\) (Table 2).

In male participants, the mean T-score was \(-0.70 \pm 1.24\) in those with radiographic knee OA and \(-0.73 \pm 0.99\) in those without radiographic knee OA, which had no significant difference in the age and BMI adjusted analysis \((p = 0.974)\). In female participants, these values were \(-1.71 \pm 0.76\) and \(-1.48 \pm 0.67\). There was no significant difference in the mean T scores between those with OA in only one of the locations \((p = 0.920\) in male and \(p = 0.961\) in female) (Table 2).

| Variable | No. | Mean age (yr) | BMI (kg/m²) | Mean T-score of femoral neck BMD |
|----------|-----|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Male hand OA + | 81 | 71.8 ± 4.6 | 24.2 ± 3.1 | \(-0.82 \pm 1.01\) |
| Male hand OA − | 62 | 69.4 ± 3.5 | 24.8 ± 2.3 | \(-0.59 \pm 1.06\) |
| p-value | | | | 0.001* |
| Female hand OA + | 80 | 71.5 ± 4.6 | 25.2 ± 3.0 | \(-1.62 \pm 0.87\) |
| Female hand OA − | 43 | 69.3 ± 4.2 | 23.6 ± 2.7 | \(-1.58 \pm 0.74\) |
| p-value | | | | 0.015* |
| Male knee OA + | 26 | 72.9 ± 4.5 | 25.6 ± 3.1 | \(-0.70 \pm 1.24\) |
| Male knee OA − | 117 | 70.3 ± 4.2 | 24.2 ± 2.6 | \(-0.73 \pm 0.99\) |
| p-value | | | | 0.010* |
| Female knee OA + | 54 | 71.4 ± 4.4 | 25.8 ± 2.9 | \(-1.53 \pm 0.91\) |
| Female knee OA − | 69 | 70.2 ± 4.6 | 23.8 ± 2.8 | \(-1.67 \pm 0.77\) |
| p-value | | | | 0.148* |
| Male OA only Hand | 62 | 71.2 ± 4.6 | 23.8 ± 3.0 | \(-0.86 \pm 0.97\) |
| Male OA only Knee | 7 | 70.3 ± 4.0 | 26.2 ± 3.1 | \(-0.63 \pm 1.52\) |
| p-value | | | | 0.947* |
| Female OA only Hand | 39 | 71.1 ± 4.8 | 24.4 ± 2.8 | \(-1.71 \pm 0.76\) |
| Female OA only Knee | 13 | 70.0 ± 4.3 | 24.9 ± 2.7 | \(-1.48 \pm 0.67\) |
| p-value | | | | 0.148* |

BMD: bone mineral density, OA: osteoarthritis, BMI: body mass index.
*Student t-test. †Analysis of covariance adjusting for age and BMI.

Table 3. Correlation between BMD and Radiographic OA Grade

| Radiographic OA grade | BMD |          |          |          |
|----------------------|-----|----------|----------|----------|
|                      | Male (n = 143) | Female (n = 123) |
|                      | r   | p-value  | r   | p-value  |
| Hand                 | \(-0.113*\) | 0.182* | \(-0.012*\) | 0.897* |
| Knee                 | 0.098* | 0.245* | 0.150* | 0.098* |

BMD: bone mineral density, OA: osteoarthritis.
*Partial correlation analysis adjusting for age and body mass index.
**Correlation between BMD and Radiographic OA Grade**

Radiographic hand OA grades were not found to be correlated with the T-scores of femoral neck BMD in male participants ($r = -0.113, p = 0.182$) nor in female participants ($r = -0.012, p = 0.897$). Furthermore, radiographic knee OA grades were not found to be correlated with the T-scores of femoral neck BMD in male participants ($r = 0.098, p = 0.245$) nor in female participants ($r = 0.150, p = 0.098$) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study done on a community-dwelling elderly Korean population, we examined the relationship between BMD and OA in the hand and knee. The results of this study indicated that there is no association between BMD and radiographic OA of the hand and knee.

Our results do not correspond with most of the earlier studies on Caucasians, which reported the relationship between osteoporosis and OA. After Foss and Byers first reported abnormally high BMD in the forearm of patients with hip OA, this association between high BMD and OA, especially in the hip and knee joints, has been confirmed by several studies. Regarding hand OA, there have been several contradictory reports about the relationship between osteoporosis and OA. For example, a Finnish population based cross-sectional and longitudinal study demonstrated that distal interphalangeal and basal joint OA is directly related with low cortical bone mineral mass, in proportion to the severity of OA. Another case-control study indicated that phalangeal BMD is significantly reduced in Caucasian women with hand OA, and is related to radiologic severity.

Meanwhile, there have been relatively few studies performed in Asians. Moon and Lee studied 297 Korean women with knee osteoarthritis and found that hip BMD and radiographic knee OA were negatively correlated, although the mechanism remains unclear. Another study done on 180 Korean women with hand joint pain and swelling found that the BMD levels of the distal radius were significantly lower in those with hand OA compared to those of controls. However, these two studies were done on a hospital-based population. In a study done in 567 community-dwelling Japanese women, similar to our study population, the authors reported that appendicular BMD was not associated with OA of the knee, distal interphalangeal and proximal interphalangeal joints, which is consistent with our findings. Although only a few studies are available, we speculate that there may be differences between Asians and Caucasians, and between hospital-based and community-based populations, which caused the different epidemiologic results.

More than half of the participants in this study were male (53.8%). Many studies have focused primarily on women, while relatively few have been performed on men. One epidemiologic study reported that men with hand OA have a higher BMD, while women with hand OA have lower BMD. Another study also suggested that men with hand OA do not lose their BMD, although women with hand OA experience BMD loss over time. In our study, we could not find such an association between BMD and OA. However, by including the male participants, we believe this study explored the relationship between OA and osteoporosis more comprehensively.

In this study, the T-scores of femoral neck area were used as BMD measurements. The formation of osteophytes and subchondral bone remodeling occurring near osteoarthritic joints may alter BMD measured around local bones. Spinal BMD measurements were also excluded, because relatively high BMD may be a false measure, caused by the presence of osteophytes, joint space narrowing, and sclerosis in elderly subjects. Femoral BMD has been known to be much less affected by osteophytes, because the regions of interest usually studied are distal to the sites of hip joint OA. Moreover, it has been reported that proximal femur BMD measurements consistently outperform lumbar spine measurements for global fracture prediction, which is the most concerning factor in the management of osteoporosis.

The strengths of our study are that we analyzed the association between BMD and OA of both weight bearing and non-weight bearing joints simultaneously, focused on aged people including male participants in a community-based population, and measured femoral neck BMD. However, several limitations of the present study should be noted. First, although age and BMI were adjusted as covariates, other possible confounding factors were not included due to the lack of information. Hormonal status has been well known as one of the determinants of BMD, especially in elderly women. In addition, degree of physical activity, which might play an important role between osteoporosis and OA, was not adjusted for either. Second, although the sample was large enough for correlation analysis between BMD and radiographic OA grade, analysis of covariance for comparison of the BMD according to OA status was under-powered. In comparison of BMD according to hand OA status in male participants, the mean difference of BMD between two groups was 0.23, and the SDs were 1.01 and 1.06, respectively. As a meaningful difference of mean BMD with a power of 80%, the adequate
sample size would be 638, with 319 subjects in each group. In conclusion, in our cohort of the general Korean elderly population, we found no association between osteoporosis and OA, regardless of the weight bearing status of the joints. Further studies with a larger population and more relevant variables are required to further examine the relationship between osteoporosis and OA.
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