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Abstract
In this article, we propose a method for the analysis of regime shifts in frequency data. This method identifies those points in the development of a process for which deviations are most extreme. Based on a statistical model, functions are estimated that describe the process. This description can represent either the entire series of scores or the series before and after a shift point. The shift point can be either given a priori or estimated from the data. The method is hybrid in that it first uses standard models for the estimation of parameters of the process that is examined and then, in a second step, elements of Configural Frequency Analysis. Uni- and multivariate versions of the method are proposed. In data examples, road traffic data from California and Germany are analyzed before and after particular shift points. Extensions of the proposed method are discussed.
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A regime is defined as a characteristic behavior of a system that is stable over time. Examples of regimes often considered time-stable include personality in humans and the climate of the earth. When these characteristics change to the extent that it is statistically detectable, one observes a regime shift. Examples of regime shifts include changes in personality that are caused by traumatic events such as accidents, and changes in climate that are caused by global warming.

The statistical analysis of regime shift phenomena can focus on just any parameter that is used to describe the behavior of a system over time. These include, for instance, means, trend parameters, covariance structures, or higher order moments. Overview articles that summarize such methods have been published by, for example, Liu, Wan, and Gu (2016) and Rodionov (2005). The latter author classifies existing methods for the detection of regime shift into the following eight categories:

1. Non-parametric methods. Among other tests, this category includes the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test. It can also be used for the detection of changes in means (for a discussion of tests of changes in means, see Wiedermann and Alexandrowicz, 2007).

2. Parametric methods. The best known of these methods is the t-test. It requires the assumption that the data are normally distributed and homoscedastic. It allows one to identify shifts in means over time.

3. Curve-fitting methods. These methods capture the curvature of a series of measures instead of the mean. A regime shift in curvature occurs when the shape parameters of a curve cease to describe the curve after a particular point in time.

4. Bayesian analysis. Bayesian methods can also be used to estimate parameters of time series. They differ from the methods listed under 1 – 3, in that researchers need to specify prior distributions. With respect to these distributions, posteriori distributions are estimated. Uncertainty estimates of change points and parameters after the change can be calculated.

5. Cumulative sum methods. These methods focus on cumulative deviations from a mean. After a regime shift, cumulative deviations increase when the mean from before the shift is still used as reference.

6. Regression-based methods. Most popular are linear, curvilinear, and autoregression methods, including vector-autoregression models (originally proposed by Granger,
An overview of CFA

CFA is a method that allows one to identify local, that is, cell-wise deviations from a model that is used to describe the frequency distribution in a cross-classification of two or more categorical variables. In most cases, the distribution in such tables is multinomial or product-multinomial. Suppose that the cross-classification is spanned by \( d \) variables. Variable \( i \) has \( c_i \) categories, with \( i = 1, \ldots, d \), and the cells are numbered \( 1, 2, \ldots, r, \ldots, R \), where

\[
R = \prod_{i=1}^{d} c_i
\]

is the total number of cells of the cross-classification. Let \( m_r \) be the frequency of observations in cell \( r \). When sampling is multinomial, \( M_r \) is binomially distributed with

\[
P(M_r = m_1, \ldots, M_r = m_r \mid N, \pi_1, \ldots, \pi_R) = \frac{N!}{m_1! \ldots m_R!} \sum_{i=1}^{R} \pi_r^{m_r},
\]

where the \( M_i \) indicate the configurations (cells), with \( i = 1, \ldots, R \), the \( \pi_i \) are the binomial cell probabilities, \( \Sigma \pi_i = 1 \), and \( \Sigma m_i = N \) the sample size. In both cases, the summation goes over all cells of the cross-classification (cf. von Eye, & Gutiérrez-Peña, 2004). Now, let \( m'_r \) be the expected frequency of Cell \( r \), under some base model. Then, the null hypothesis, \( H_0 : E[m_r] = m'_r \) is rejected either because the binomial probability for \( m_r \) is

\[
B_{N,\pi_r}(M_r - 1) \geq 1 - \alpha
\]

that is, Cell \( r \) constitutes a CFA type, or because

\[
B_{N,\pi_r}(m_r) \leq \alpha,
\]

that is, Cell \( r \) constitutes a CFA antitype (for more detail, see von Eye, & Gutiérrez-Peña, 2004; for more CFA tests, see von Eye, 2002). Evidently, CFA needs information to generate expected cell frequencies. In virtually all applications, this information stems from two sources. The first source is the observed frequency distribution. The second source is given by the model that is used to estimate the expected cell frequencies (cf. von Eye, 2004). As was indicated above, most of the probability models used for CFA are log-linear models of the form \( \log m = X\lambda \), where \( X \) is the design matrix and \( \lambda \) is the parameter vector. Sampling for these models is binomial or multinomial, and the models specify the effects considered in a particular CFA. Alternatively, a priori probabilities can be used.

CFA and regime shifting

In the present context, we propose a CFA model that differs from the ones discussed in the literature thus far in one
major respect. Specifically, the model uses neither log-linear modeling nor a priori probabilities. Instead, a function is specified that describes ordered data. This function describes the data of either the entire series or a segment of this series. This function is used to estimate the expected frequencies of the series of observations. The CFA model that is proposed can be applied to both single and multiple variables that are observed over time.

Thus far, almost all CFA models required that two or more variables be crossed. The only exception to this rule is constituted by unidimensional CFA in which univariate time series were compared with expectations of stability (see Ch. 9.7 in von Eye, 2002); that is, the model used was an intercept-only model. In the following sections, we describe regime shift CFA from an algorithmic perspective.

Let \( M_r = f(x) \) be the function that describes the relation of the observed series of frequencies to time. There are no limits to the selection of this function, except those that are natural for frequency data. For example, functions must be avoided that estimate negative frequencies, frequencies larger than the sample size, frequencies for scores outside the range of the scale, or functions that impose characteristics on the scale of \( X \) that are not compatible with the scale level of \( X \).

Under most conditions, for example in unidimensional CFA, the parameters of these functions are estimated for the entire range of \( X \). In contrast, in regime shift CFA, functions are estimated just for the range that ends (and begins) at the shift point. In other words, a function is estimated for a segment of the data. For the following segment, a different function may apply, or no function is estimated at all. Therefore, the functions that we use in regime shift CFA can be given by \( M_r = f(x) \) \( r \leq s \), where \( s \) is the shift point on \( X \).

For the following considerations, we require that this function describe the data well, all the way to the shift point, \( s \). This point can either be estimated based on the data (cf. Zhang & Siegmund, 2007; von Eye, & Schuster, 1998), or it is given based on theory, or by some event. The CFA-specific element of regime shift detection is that the function does not necessarily describe the data well after the regime shift point. That is, the function that describes the data well up until \( s \) might fail to represent the data after \( s \), and CFA types or antitypes may emerge after \( s \). These are defined with respect to the function that describes the section of the data before \( s \). They indicate where exactly in the series of observations the function from before \( s \) does not apply any more, and the direction in which the deviation goes (this is the definition of CFA types and antitypes). In the following section, we present a data example, from traffic statistics.

**Data example**

In this section, we present a data example from traffic statistics. This example may seem a little radical. We refrain, however, from taking sides or from proposing any changes in traffic laws. We just discuss data.

**Data Example: Brock Yates’ death rates and seat belt statistics.** In 2001, Yates published, in the magazine Car and Driver, an article about death rates in car accidents before and after seat belts were required in California. The author concluded that, after seat belts were required in California, “... the death rates should have trended downward following the seat belt law implemented in 1986. But, in fact, the rate trended upward ...” (Yates, 2001, p. 3). The author also reports that, in Tennessee and in Washington DC, death rates went upwards as well.

In the present context, we ask the following, related question. Given the downward trend in traffic accident death rates in California that was observed before 1986, did the enactment of the seat belt law result in a regime shift such that this trend was broken? More specifically, one would have hoped that the introduction of seat belts would have broken the trend such that it accelerated or, in other words, that the reduction in traffic accident deaths accelerated.

To answer this question, we apply regime shift CFA in tandem with ordinary least squares (OLS) regression analysis. We proceed in three steps. First, we estimate a function that describes the death rates data before 1986. Then, we ask whether the same function validly describes the data after 1986. Third, we apply the estimates from this function, and ask where, in the years after 1986, deviations were most pronounced and in which direction they went. For the first step, we apply simple, linear regression methods. In the second step, we apply piecewise linear regression methods. In the third step, we apply regime shift CFA.

Figure 1 displays the data. The smoothed curves are linear OLS approximations for the observed and expected frequencies (to be explained later).

Figure 1. Observed and expected accident frequencies for California from 1980 through 1998 (observed frequencies from Yates, 2001).
Figure 1 shows that the number of deadly traffic accidents in California decreased steadily over the observation period, with no visible acceleration or deceleration after 1986, the year in which seat belts were made mandatory. We now ask whether the same linear regression describes the data well over the entire observation period, or whether the period before 1986 requires a separate regression line. To answer the first question, we estimate a simple linear regression model in which we regress accident numbers on year. Table 1 summarizes results.

The results in Table 1 show clearly that a single regression line would be sufficient to describe the development of deadly traffic accidents in California for the observation period from 1980 through 1998. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) is 0.940, an exceptionally large value. Still, the visual inspection of Figure 1 and the residual plot in Figure 2 suggest that the regression residuals increase over the range of predicted scores. Therefore, we now estimate two piecewise linear regression models (see von Eye, & Schuster, 1998). These models split the regression line at a particular point on $X$ in two, and separate parameters are estimated for the sections before and after this point. The point can be either given by the data analyst or estimated, thus optimizing fit.

![Figure 2. Residual plot of the OLS regression in Figure 1.](image)

In the first run, we set 1986 as the shift point. Piecewise regression results are summarized in Table 2. Regression is linear and estimation was performed under least squares. In the Appendix, we present the SYSTAT code for this run.

| Parameter | Estimate | Asymptotic Standard Error (ASE) | Parameter/ASE | Wald 95% Confidence Interval |
|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Intercept | 14,157.816 | 1,944.127 | 7.282 | 9,988.078 | 18,327.553 |
| Year before 1986 | -135.410 | 23.331 | -5.804 | -185.451 | -85.370 |
| Year after 1986 | 39.687 | 32.603 | 1.217 | -30.240 | 109.615 |

| Parameter | Estimate | ASE | Parameter/ASE | Wald 95% Confidence Interval |
|-----------|----------|-----|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Intercept | 11,895.115 | . | . | . |
| Year before 1990 | -106.411 | 53.493 | -1.989 | -220.429 | 7.606 |
| Year after 1990 | -1.889 | 60.542 | -0.031 | -130.932 | 127.153 |
| Shift point | 10.401 | . | . | . |
The results in Table 2 support the results from Table 1 and our conclusion from visual inspection of the data. The regression parameter for the time period after 1986 fails to be significant. This suggests that linear regression for the development of accidents after 1986 is no different than the regression before this point in time. The overall $R^2$ for this model is 0.946, only minimally better than for the model of the entire data set.

One reason why the improvement is only minimal might be that drivers respond to the new seat belt law only after some adaptation period, that is, not immediately (enforcing the law several months after enacting it may have played a role; see Cohen & Einav, 2003). Therefore, we now re-estimate the piecewise regression model, but we estimate the possible shift point instead of setting it to 1986. Results of this run are summarized in Table 3, and the SYSTAT code appears in the Appendix. As in the first piecewise regression run, we opted for linear regression and least squares estimation.

The overall $R^2$ for this model is 0.946 again, no better than for regression of the entire data set. In this model, the regression parameter for neither observation period is significant. The estimated shift point is 10.4. This corresponds to about Year 1991 (10.4 is after the tenth year in the observation period, that is, after 1990), and points to a possibly long delay in drivers’ responses to the 1986 seat belt law.

Considering the extremely high $R^2$ values, one might be tempted to conclude that the introduction of the seat belt law in California had no effect on the development of deadly road accidents. If this is the conclusion, one wonders how Yates would justify his statement that “the rate trended upward ...” (2001, p. 3). To illuminate this issue, we now apply configural regime shift analysis.

The question we strive to answer with regime switch CFA concerns the development of numbers of deadly traffic accidents before and after the seat belt law was enacted. If there is no regime shift, the decrease in death toll continues unchanged after 1986. Regime shift CFA was performed as follows. First, we calculated the average decrease from the data for the years 1980 through 1986. This number was 180. Using this number, we, then, calculated the expected number of fatal road accidents for all observations, that is, for the entire series of frequencies, after 1980; and the $z$-scores for the differences between the observed and the expected numbers of deadly traffic accidents. A total of 17 comparisons was made. The Bonferroni-protected significance threshold for the nominal $\alpha = 0.05$ is, for 17 tests, 0.00294. The corresponding $z$-score is 2.7543. Every $z > \pm 2.7543$ points to a CFA type or an antitype. Table 4 displays the results from regime shift CFA.

### Table 4. Regime shift CFA of Yates’ traffic deaths data, assuming that the seat belt law did not change the development of the number of deadly traffic accidents.

| Year | Observed number of deadly accidents | Rate of decrease | Expected number of deadly accidents | $z$ | Type/Antitype? |
|------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----|----------------|
| 1980 | 3,500                             | -               | 3,500                             | -   |                |
| 1980 | 3,450                             | 180             | 3,320                             | 2.256 |                |
| 1982 | 2,950                             | 180             | 3,140                             | -5.596 | A              |
| 1982 | 2,750                             | 180             | 2,960                             | -3.391 | A              |
| 1984 | 2,700                             | 180             | 2,780                             | 0.480 |                |
| 1986 | 2,600                             | 180             | 2,600                             | 0    |                |
| 1988 | 2,550                             | 180             | 2,420                             | 2.643 |                |
| 1988 | 2,350                             | 180             | 2,240                             | 0.836 |                |
| 1990 | 2,250                             | 180             | 2,060                             | 1.324 |                |
| 1990 | 2,100                             | 180             | 1,880                             | 1.605 |                |
| 1992 | 1,700                             | 180             | 1,700                             | 0    |                |
| 1992 | 1,750                             | 180             | 1,520                             | 1.866 |                |
| 1994 | 1,850                             | 180             | 1,340                             | 4.406 | T              |
| 1994 | 1,750                             | 180             | 1,160                             | 5.478 | T              |
| 1996 | 1,700                             | 180             | 980                               | 7.273 | T              |
| 1996 | 1,450                             | 180             | 800                               | 10.062 | T              |
| 1998 | 1,400                             | 180             | 620                               | 9.906 | T              |
Table 4 shows traffic fatalities from 1980 through 1998, in raw numbers. The table shows that, from 1980 through 1986, there were only two significant deviations from expectancy. In the year 1982, significantly fewer fatal road accidents were observed than expected, for both measures. Of central importance to the evaluation of Yates’ statement about the increase in the trend in number of deadly traffic accidents is the development of number of accidents after 1986. We can first note that, if Yates’ statement is applied to raw frequencies, it does not find support in the data. Every year, the raw number of deadly traffic accidents decreased. This is in contradiction to Yates’ statement, even if one interprets this statement as trend in development instead of trend in absolute numbers.

However, Table 4 suggests that this trend is not stable. In the years 1994, 1996, and 1998, significantly more deadly traffic accidents were observed than suggested from the trend from the years before the seat belt law. In addition, the deviation in 1996 is the strongest deviation from expectancy in the entire table. Evidently, this counters the trend in development. It is outside the scope of this article to ask questions concerning the causes for this trend and the deviations from it.

Still, we note that, for the first 5 years after the law was enacted, the effect of the seat belt law on the number of deadly road accidents was, in California and for the observation period, minimal at best. Over the years, the number of traffic victims did go down, simple linear regression models can capture this development, and regime shift CFA identified the observation points in time where deviations from the overall trend are most pronounced. The direction of these deviations supports Yates’ trend statement, in part.

**Multivariate regime shift**

In the following sections, we discuss and illustrate multivariate regime shift CFA. Specifically, we consider the case in which two or more series of frequency scores are analyzed that were created over the same observation span. In addition, we use the same shift point for both series of observations.¹

For multivariate regime shift, we can estimate multivariate regression models of the form \( Y = XB + E \) where \( Y \) is the \( n \times s \) matrix of \( s \) series of \( n \) frequency scores, \( X \) is the design matrix, \( B \) is the vector of \( m + 1 \) regression parameters, and \( E \) is the \( n \times s \) matrix of regression residuals. This model can be applied to the entire series, to the series before the shift point, and to the series after the shift point. The usual constraints apply. For example, \( n \) must be larger than \( s \).

Similarly, when log-linear modeling is employed for the estimation of expected cell frequencies, the model \( \log m = \lambda + \lambda^2 + \lambda^R + \lambda^{SxR} \) can be used, where \( m \) indicates the model frequencies, \( R \) indicates the observation points and \( S \) indicates the series of scores. Assuming no time-related change of frequencies, the interaction term is omitted. Covariates and special contrasts can be incorporated in this model.

**Data Example**

In the following section, we illustrate multivariate configurational regime shift analysis using a real-world data set. The data, downloaded from the web site of the Deutscher Verkehrssicherheitsrat (2019), describe the development of fatal traffic accidents (D) and injuries in traffic accidents (I) on German highways (autobahns) from 1992 through 2017 (Y). For each year, the number of occurrences is reported. Table 8 below displays the year by type of event 26 * 2 frequency table. The table clearly suggests that the number of traffic deaths decreases over the observation period, and so does the number of injuries, but less evenly.

We analyze these data in four ways. First, we perform standard multivariate regression analysis of D and I on Y, the predictor. This analysis is used to answer the question whether there is a systematic, linear change in occurrence rates of fatal traffic accidents and injuries in traffic accidents over the observation period. Second, we perform piecewise regression separately for D and I. The year 2007 is used as shift point. The reason for the selection of this point is that, in 2007, the blood alcohol level was lowered in Germany above which driving under the influence is punishable. These two analyses are used to answer the questions of whether this new law changed the general trend to the better, that is, whether traffic deaths and injuries decreased in numbers even more than before, and whether there are deviations from these trends.

The two regression analyses are followed by one log-linear (Agresti, 2007; von Eye, & Mun, 2013) and one configurational analysis (von Eye, 2002; von Eye, & Gutiérrez Peña, 2004). The log-linear analysis is performed to answer the question whether, in the 26 * 2 cross-tabulation of type of event and year, the independence model can be retained. The configurational analysis is performed to identify those years in which particularly strong deviations are observed when a base model is used in which expected cell frequencies are estimated separately for the periods before and after the shift point, the year 2007.

**Regression analyses.** In the multivariate regression model D and I were the dependent variables, and Y the independent variable. The F-tests for this model are given in Table 5. Table 5 shows that both dependent variables, D and I, are strongly dependent on Y. This suggests that,

---

¹ Here and, as we assume, in most applications, the same shift point is implemented for the multiple observed series. However, there may be reasons why shift points differ over the multiple series.
over the observation period, systematic change occurs that can be captured by linear regression analysis. The adjusted squared multiple correlations ($R^2 = 0.943$ for D and $R^2 = 0.675$ for I) show that these relations are strong, and that they are slightly stronger for D than for I. The Wilks Lambda = 0.048 ($F_{2, 23} = 229.881; p < 0.01$) indicates that the regression model, overall, explains 95.2% of the variance of the dependent measures.

Table 5. Multivariate regression with D and I as the dependent and Y the independent variables.

| Source | Type III SS | df   | Mean Squares | $F$   | $p$   |
|--------|-------------|------|--------------|-------|-------|
| DEATHS | 1,640,001... | 1    | 1,640,001... | 414.634 | <.001 |
| Error  | 94,927.218  | 24   | 3,955.301    |       |       |
| INJ    | 4.566E+008  | 1    | 4.566E+008   | 52.805 | <.001 |
| Error  | 2.075E+008  | 24   | 8,647,543... |       |       |

Considering that accident counts come with rather small errors, we hypothesize that, maybe, even more variance can be explained by more detailed analysis. To explore this hypothesis, we first perform piecewise regressions separately on both dependent variables, D and I. As was explained above, the shift point was set to the year 2007. Table 6 displays the estimated parameters for D.

Of the three parameters in Table 6, B0 is the constant of the model, B1 is the slope parameter for the period before 2007, and B2 is the slope parameter for the period after 2006. The table suggests that both slope parameters are significant. In addition, the model explains a large portion of the variance of D (mean-corrected $R^2 = 0.960$, a slight improvement over the multivariate model). Figure 4 displays the slopes of this piecewise regression run.

Figure 3 displays the regression slopes. The figure suggests that, for injuries, the shift point of 2007 may have led to a regime shift, but not for the development of traffic deaths on German autobahns.

![Figure 3. Regression of Traffic Death and Injury Rates on Time.](image)

Table 6. Piecewise regression for autobahn accident deaths (D: shift point is the year 2007).

| Parameter | Estimate | Asymptotic standard error (ASE) | Wald 95% Confidence Interval |
|-----------|----------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
|           |          | Parameter/A SE                  | Lower                     | Upper                     |
| B0        | 80,622.293 | 5,171.307   | 69,924.629               | 91,319.957               |
| B1        | -39.889   | 2.585        | -45.237                  | -34.541                  |
| B2        | 17.920    | 6.032        | 5.443                    | 30.398                   |
Figure 4 sheds more light on the results of the piecewise regression. For the period before the shift point, we observe a steady decline in fatal traffic accident rates on German autobahns. After the enactment of the new alcohol limit law, this decline seems to level off. Discrepancies between observed frequencies and the regression line are small throughout. Still, we conclude that, to do justice to the effects of the change in law, more detailed analysis may be needed.

Before performing this analysis, we examine the results for injuries in autobahn accidents. Table 7 presents the results of the corresponding piecewise regression analysis for I. As for D, the shift point was set to 2007 again.

Table 7 suggests that both of the parameters of interest are significant. The mean-corrected $R^2$ is 0.813, a clear improvement over the multivariate model above. Although high, this value suggests that there may be variance that, in more detailed analyses, can be explained. Figure 5 displays the slopes of this piecewise regression run.

![Figure 5. Piecewise regression of Injuries in traffic (shift point is 2007).](image)

Figure 5 suggests that, for the period before the new driving under the influence law was enacted, there is a steady decline in injuries in autobahn traffic accidents. After that juncture, this trend is reversed. The number of injuries seems to increase.

**Log-linear and configural analyses.** Considering that we here analyze frequency data, we can estimate log-linear models. Observed and expected frequencies for accident deaths and injuries are summarized in Figure 6. The first model is a base model that can be used for purposes of obtaining an overview and comparison. The model we estimate is the log-linear main effect model. Specifically, we estimate the model $\log m = \lambda + \lambda^\text{Year<2007} + \lambda^\text{Event 1 Year<2007}$ $+ \lambda^\text{Year>2006} + \lambda^\text{Event 1 Year>2006}$.

Second, when these log-linear models still suggest significant model-data discrepancies, relations between year of observation and type of accident must exist. However, instead of modeling these relations, we perform a configural analysis to identify the years with the biggest change and, thus, regime shift. Table 9 and Figure 6 display the results of the two-element log-linear model.

The two-element model with separate parameter estimation for the periods before 2007 and after 2006 does not describe the frequency distribution well either. There are large standardized residuals. Relations between year and type of accident must exist. We now ask where the discrepancies from the two-element base model and the data are largest and whether they display an interpretable pattern.

Table 9 will now be analyzed using configural frequency analysis (CFA; Lienert, & Krauth, 1975; von Eye, & Gutiérrez Peña, 2004). CFA allows one to identify those patterns that contradict a base model. Instead of considering a base model simply rejected, these patterns are interpreted with respect to the characteristics of the base model and a priori hypotheses (for more detail on CFA base models and significance testing in CFA, see von Eye, 2004).

In the present example, the standardized residuals in the last two columns in Table 9 are examined with reference to the limits posed by a Holland-Copenhaver-protected significance threshold (Holland & Copenhaver, 1987). For a nominal significance threshold $\alpha$, the protected threshold $\alpha_i$ is, for the $i$th out of $r$ tests on the same sample,

$$\alpha_i = 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{r-i+1}}$$
where the tail probabilities of the individual tests are arranged in ascending order. The Holland-Copenhaver procedure is more powerful than the often-used Bonferroni procedure. In the present analysis, we select the nominal \( \alpha = 0.05 \). The first protected threshold is \( \alpha_1 = 0.00197 \).

Inspecting the residuals in Table 9, we notice that they exhibit two interesting characteristics. First, not a single residual in the injuries list exceeds the protected limits (see also Figure 6, right panel). The base model can, therefore, be retained in the domain of injuries. Second, and in contrast, there are extreme discrepancies in the fatal autobahn accident list.

Specifically, in the period before the new alcohol law, there are eight significant discrepancies. Four of these are located in the first half of this period, the other four in the second half. Interestingly, each of the first four constitutes a CFA type. That is, more cases were observed than expected. Each of the second four constitutes a CFA antitype. That is, fewer cases were observed than expected. We conclude that, in the space of autobahn accidents that are either fatal or result in person injuries, fatal injuries decrease in number more rapidly than expected under the assumption of independence of the first time period and type of event.

Looking at the development of type of event after enactment of the new alcohol law, a similar picture emerges. There are six CFA types and antitypes. The first three of these are observed in the first half of this period, and the second three in the second half. As before, the first three discrepancies are all CFA types, and the second three are all CFA antitypes. After enactment of the new law, the number of deadly autobahn accidents thus first increased and then decreased faster than expected under the assumption of independence of the second time period and type of event.

From the perspective of regime shift analysis, this suggests that, under consideration of the main effects of the observation points in time, there is no regime shift in autobahn accident injuries. In contrast, there is a regime shift in the development of fatal autobahn accident numbers. Specifically, these numbers discontinue their smooth decline. Instead, the resume their development at a level significantly higher than expected, and then decline at a rate that is, toward the end of the observation period, more rapid than expected.

On first look, this result could be viewed as contradicting the data table and regression results above. However, differences between the models that were estimated in the analyses of the accident data can be used to explain the two sets of results. In the regression models, separate slopes are estimated for the two series of data that, in a least squares sense, minimize the differences between observed and expected accident frequencies (see Figures 4 and 5). Distortions and biases can occur, mostly because of the correlation between the two series of autobahn accidents. In the present log-linear main effect models, the expected frequencies are estimated such that the raw differences between the observed and the expected values are about the same, over the years and for each series of frequencies. This applies to both the sections before and after the shift point (see Table 9). Considering that the numbers of fatal autobahn accidents are smaller than the numbers of autobahn accidents with injuries, the same difference results in larger standardized deviates, as can be seen in Table 9.

This result is both model-specific and data-specific. This can be illustrated by simply dividing the numbers of severe injuries by 10. The resulting main effect models will not fit, but after this transformation the raw differences between the observed and the expected values are about the same, over the years and for each series of frequencies. This applies to both the sections before and after the shift point (see Table 9). Considering that the numbers of fatal autobahn accidents are smaller than the numbers of autobahn accidents with injuries, the same difference results in larger standardized deviates, as can be seen in Table 9.

Figure 6. Observed (solid lines) and expected frequencies of accident deaths and injuries based on log-linear main effects (dotted lines) and piecewise models (dashed lines). The shift point for the piecewise models is 2007.
Table 7. Piecewise regression for autobahn accident injuries (I: shift point is the year 2007).

| Parameter | Estimate  | ASE       | Parameter/ASE | Wald 95% Confidence Interval |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| B0        | 1,874,627... | 220,119,400 | 8.516        | 1,419,275...                  |
|           |           |           |               |                               |
| B1        | -918.789  | 110,038   | -8.350        | -1,146,420                    |
|           |           |           |               |                               |
| B2        | 1,007,697 | 256,741   | 3.925         | 476,589                      |

Table 8. Observed and expected cell frequencies of the log-linear main effect model of the 26 x 2 cross-tabulation of year and type of event.

| YEAR | Death | Injury | Death | Injury | Death | Injury |
|------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
|      | Observed | Expected |      | Standardized Residuals |
| 1992 | 1,201 | 41,586 | 840,909 | 41,946,091 | 12,418 | -1.758 |
| 1993 | 1,109 | 41,322 | 833,912 | 41,597,088 | 9,526 | -1.349 |
| 1994 | 1,105 | 42,142 | 849,949 | 42,397,051 | 8,748 | -1.239 |
| 1995 | 978  | 41,010 | 825,206 | 41,162,794 | 5,319 | -0.753 |
| 1996 | 1,020 | 39,796 | 802,172 | 40,013,828 | 7,691 | -1.089 |
| 1997 | 933  | 39,332 | 791,343 | 39,473,657 | 5,036 | -0.713 |
| 1998 | 803  | 38,619 | 774,775 | 38,647,225 | 1,014 | -0.144 |
| 1999 | 911  | 41,910 | 841,577 | 41,979,423 | 2,393 | -0.339 |
| 2000 | 907  | 40,198 | 807,852 | 40,297,148 | 3,488 | -0.494 |
| 2001 | 770  | 41,069 | 822,277 | 41,016,723 | -1,823 | 0.258 |
| 2002 | 857  | 38,625 | 775,954 | 38,706,046 | 2,909 | -0.412 |
| 2003 | 811  | 35,250 | 708,720 | 35,352,280 | 3,842 | -0.544 |
| 2004 | 694  | 33,027 | 662,731 | 33,058,269 | 1,215 | -0.172 |
| 2005 | 662  | 32,366 | 649,111 | 32,378,889 | 0,506 | -0.072 |
| 2006 | 645  | 31,437 | 630,519 | 31,451,481 | 0,577 | -0.082 |
| 2007 | 602  | 31,340 | 627,768 | 31,314,232 | -1,028 | 0,146 |
| 2008 | 495  | 28,280 | 565,526 | 28,209,474 | -2,966 | 0,420 |
| 2009 | 475  | 28,398 | 567,452 | 28,305,548 | -3,881 | 0,550 |
| 2010 | 430  | 28,873 | 575,903 | 28,727,097 | -6,080 | 0,861 |
| 2011 | 453  | 28,681 | 572,581 | 28,561,419 | -4,997 | 0,708 |
| 2012 | 387  | 27,948 | 556,878 | 27,778,122 | -7,199 | 1,019 |
| 2013 | 428  | 29,202 | 582,329 | 29,047,671 | -6,395 | 0,906 |
| 2014 | 375  | 30,770 | 612,104 | 30,532,896 | -9,584 | 1,357 |
| 2015 | 414  | 32,374 | 644,395 | 32,143,605 | -9,076 | 1,285 |
| 2016 | 393  | 33,945 | 674,857 | 33,663,143 | -10,850 | 1,536 |
| 2017 | 409  | 33,692 | 670,200 | 33,430,800 | -10,090 | 1,429 |
Discussion

In this article, we present a hybrid, configural method for the analysis of regime shifts. In contrast to existing methods, this method does not focus on parameters that describe the developmental curve of observations before the shift point, after it, or the entire curve. This method focuses on local deviations from a trend model. Thus, the proposed method allows the researcher to identify when exactly, in the observed time period, deviations occur, in which direction they go, and where they are strongest.

Several extensions of the proposed method can be considered. First, covariates can be included in the model. In the examples with the road accidents, type of vehicle, type of road (rural or high way), location of accident (city, outside of city), age of driver, weather conditions, and many other covariates are meaningful. In European traffic accident analyses, location of accident and type of vehicle are routinely counted. For example, the French journal Le Figaro reports on October 18, 2018, that the number of traffic deaths increased in raw frequencies in the month after the speed limit on rural roads was reduced from 90 to 80 kilometers/hour, and that this change was the result mostly of an increase in motorcycle accident deaths.

Second, more complex multivariate trends can be considered. For example, all kinds of accident deaths could be counted, including, for instance, accidents in households, at work, in sports, or in public transportation. Trend variables can be crossed. Models could be devised that represent such multivariate trends and shift CFA can be applied to detect significant deviations from these trends with respect to shift points that are given or derived in a data-driven way.

Third, multiple shift points could be considered. For example, in July of 2018, the speed limit for rural roads was decreased in France. In February 2019, in response to nation-wide protests that were spearheaded by the gilets jaunes, this law was softened, and French departments are now allowed to set the speed limits on an individual, road-specific basis. So, July of 2018 and February of 2019 could be used as two shift points. Similarly, marriages and divorces could be used as two shift points in an investigation of respondents’ happiness (cf. Lukas, 2007).

Table 9. Two-element log-linear model of the data in Table 8 (separate parameters estimated for the periods before 2007 and after 2006).

| YEAR | Event | Observed | Expected | Standardized Residuals |
|------|-------|----------|----------|------------------------|
|      | Death | Injury   | Death    | Injury                | Death      | Injury   |
| 1992 | 1201  | 41586    | 970.407  | 41816.593             | 7.402      | T        | -1.128   |
| 1993 | 1109  | 41322    | 962.333  | 41468.667             | 4.728      | T        | -0.720   |
| 1994 | 1105  | 42142    | 980.839  | 42266.161             | 3.964      | T        | -0.604   |
| 1995 | 978   | 41010    | 952.285  | 41035.715             | 0.833      | -        | -0.127   |
| 1996 | 1020  | 39796    | 925.704  | 39890.296             | 3.099      | T        | -0.472   |
| 1997 | 933   | 39332    | 913.208  | 39351.792             | 0.655      | -        | -0.100   |
| 1998 | 803   | 38619    | 894.089  | 38527.911             | -3.046     | 0.464    |          |
| 1999 | 911   | 41910    | 971.178  | 41849.822             | -1.931     | 0.294    |          |
| 2000 | 907   | 40198    | 932.259  | 40172.741             | -0.827     | 0.126    |          |
| 2001 | 770   | 41069    | 948.906  | 40890.094             | -5.808     | A        | 0.885    |
| 2002 | 857   | 38625    | 895.449  | 38586.551             | -1.285     | 0.196    |          |
| 2003 | 811   | 35250    | 817.861  | 35243.139             | -0.240     | 0.037    |          |
| 2004 | 694   | 33027    | 764.790  | 32956.210             | -2.560     | A        | 0.390    |
| 2005 | 662   | 32366    | 749.073  | 32278.927             | -3.181     | A        | 0.485    |
| 2006 | 645   | 31437    | 727.618  | 31354.382             | -3.063     | A        | 0.467    |
| 2007 | 602   | 31340    | 458.885  | 31483.115             | 6.681      | T        | -0.807   |
| 2008 | 495   | 28280    | 413.387  | 28361.613             | 4.014      | T        | -0.485   |
| 2009 | 475   | 28398    | 414.795  | 28458.205             | 2.956      | T        | -0.357   |
| 2010 | 430   | 28873    | 420.972  | 28882.028             | 0.440      | T        | -0.053   |
| 2011 | 453   | 28681    | 418.544  | 28715.456             | 1.684      | T        | -0.203   |
| 2012 | 387   | 27948    | 407.066  | 27927.934             | -0.995     | 0.120    |          |
| 2013 | 428   | 29202    | 425.670  | 29204.330             | 0.113      | T        | -0.014   |
| 2014 | 375   | 30770    | 447.435  | 30697.565             | -3.424     | A        | 0.413    |
| 2015 | 414   | 32374    | 471.038  | 32316.962             | -2.628     | 0.317    |          |
| 2016 | 393   | 33945    | 493.306  | 33844.694             | -4.516     | A        | 0.545    |
| 2017 | 409   | 33692    | 489.901  | 33611.099             | -3.655     | A        | 0.441    |

* T indicates a CFA type and A indicates a CFA antitype
A fourth domain for which extensions of models and their application can be considered concerns the shift point variable. Shift points certainly exist on other variables than time as well. For example, the characteristics of materials change with temperature, or the human skin responds differently depending on the amount of sunlight it is exposed to. To the best of our knowledge, shift points outside of time have not been investigated extensively. The methods proposed in this article can certainly be used in all of the domains discussed in the present context.

Finally, generalized linear models (GLM) for the analysis of count data could be considered. One of the benefits from using GLM approaches is that possible overdispersion issues can be taken care of. In the present data example, we recalculated the regression models using Poisson and quasi Poisson regression. Results were largely unchanged. Similarly, partial least squares regression resulted in almost identical residual plots. These results do not necessarily generalize to other data situations.

Whenever new methods are proposed, one can ask whether existing methods can answer similar questions. In the present context, methods that allow one to identify the moment at which a trend has changed are of particular interest. One group of such methods is popular in contexts of stock trading where changes in trends can have major implications for sell/buy decisions. Most popular is Sperandeo’s (1993) 3-step method that is propagated as being capable of predicting a change in trend in 80% of the time. This method requires the following observations:

1. Drawing a trend line and checking when it is broken such that the observed scores cross this line; this line can be the line for the regression of observed data points on time, parallel-shifted to lie completely above (for downward trends) or below (for upward trends) the observed data points; when this line is crossed, the trend is broken;
2. Retest and failure: check whether a stock that has an upwards trend exhibits, in its oscillations, increasingly higher highs and higher lows (or lower highs and lower lows for a downwards trend); when this sequence is interrupted, the trend is broken;
3. A low falls below the low prior to it (for an upwards trend; the inverse for a downward trend); when this is the case, the trend is broken.

This and other methods are certainly interesting and have been employed with success. There are clear differences to the methods proposed here that suggest that the new methods can answer different questions than the methods that are based on Sperandeo’s approach. Here, we focus on four differences.

The first difference is that, as presented, Sperandeo’s methods are descriptive. There is no stochastic element that would allow the scholar (or the trader) to come up with a probability statement as to whether one point is lower than some other, or whether a line has indeed been crossed. In the methods proposed here, type and antitype decisions are, in contrast, decisions based on statistical inference.

The second difference is that Sperandeo’s methods allow one to follow the ongoing development of a trend. This can certainly be important in stock trading or in psychotherapy. The methods proposed here require, in contrast that a complete series be available. Based on this, log-linear or configurational models are estimated, one or several shift points are determined and the points in time are identified at which significant deviations from the two or more trends can be observed. It can be discussed whether the methods proposed here can also be used to track ongoing developments. If this is considered, it may be necessary to re-estimate the models whenever a new data point becomes available.

The third difference is that the methods proposed here are not restricted at all to just one shift point. This was discussed in the context of the second data example, above, where traffic rules changed twice. In contrast, Sperandeo’s methods focus on identifying the one shift point that may be decisive for trading decisions or decisions on the course of a therapeutic intervention.

Finally, the fourth difference is that, in contrast to Sperandeo’s methods, the methods proposed here can be employed in multivariate contexts, covariates can be considered, moderator analysis (group comparisons) is conceivable, and pre-set shift points can be considered. We conclude that the methods proposed here and Sperandeo’s methods serve purposes that overlap only in part.

In sum, shift point analysis as proposed here is a promising approach when abrupt events occur that can lead to abrupt changes that are not meaningfully captured by smooth curves.
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