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Geographic information systems (GISs) with emerging technologies are being harnessed for studying spatial patterns in vector-borne diseases to reduce transmission. To implement effective vector control, increased knowledge on interactions of epidemiological and entomological malaria transmission determinants in the assessment of impact of interventions is critical. This requires availability of relevant spatial and attributes data to support malaria surveillance, monitoring, and evaluation. Monitoring the impact of vector control through a GIS-based decision support system (DSS) has revealed spatial relative change in prevalence of infection and vector susceptibility to insecticides and has enabled measurement of spatial heterogeneity of trend or impact. The revealed trends and interrelationships have allowed the identification of areas with reduced parasitaemia and increased insecticide resistance thus demonstrating the impact of resistance on vector control. The GIS-based DSS provides opportunity for rational policy formulation and cost-effective utilization of limited resources for enhanced malaria vector control.

1. Introduction

In Sub-Saharan Africa, malaria remains a major cause of morbidity and mortality [1]. Its transmission is driven by a complex interaction of the vector, host, parasite, and the environment, and is governed by different ecological and social determinants [2, 3]. The survival and bionomics of malaria vectors are affected by climate variability, that is, rainfall, temperature, and relative humidity [4]. In this light, even minute spatial variations and temporal heterogeneities in the mosquito population can result in significant malaria risk [5, 6] and its endemicity [7–9].

Since malaria distribution is not homogeneous, much effort needs to be expended towards defining local spatial distribution of the disease [2] precedent to deployment of interventions [10]. In resource constrained environments, monitoring, and evaluation is often incomprehensive and irregular and tend to lack the actual spatial and temporal distribution patterns. If transmission determining parameters are to be harnessed effectively for decision-making and objectively plan, implement, monitor, and evaluate viable options for malaria vector control [11], they must be well organized, analyzed, and managed in the context of a geographical-information-system- (GIS-) based decision support system (DSS) [3, 12].

While vector control interventions are being deployed according to the World Health Organization-led Integrated Vector Management Strategy [10, 13, 14], prompt availability of relevant spatial and attribute data is vital to support malaria surveillance, management research, and policy initiatives. Different strategies coupled with new technologies such as mapping, GIS, and DSS, and spatial and temporal modelling are being harnessed to more effectively target limited surveillance, prevention, and control at research scale [15]. However, potential utilization of these approaches and their incorporation in the operational malaria vector control...
programmes remains a significant constraint and continues to receive limited attention [16, 17].

Until recently, very few malaria endemic countries had incorporated the GIS technology into operational malaria control programmes, that is, in South Africa and the Lubombo Spatial Development in Mozambique in Southern Africa, where it has been harnessed for case mapping and monitoring of vector control coverage [18, 19]. In India, it has been used to monitor malaria transmission attributes as well as social-economical and social cultural aspects of malaria [3]. To achieve enhanced utilization of mapping and GIS technologies in operational malaria control, sharing of experiences with GIS and emerging technologies by malaria control programmes is critical [15, 17]. Herein is provided a review of data related to the operational use of a GIS-based DSS [12, 20] for optimal deployment, monitoring, and evaluation of entomological interventions for malaria control in Zambia.

2. Materials and Methods

The integration of operational and logistical data for malaria control program planning with epidemiological data will serve to strengthen both the epidemiological analysis and the planning and execution of control programs. GIS facilitate the integration of quantitative malaria determination and control data with data obtained from maps, satellite images, and aerial photos. A comprehensive review of data collected through nationally representative malaria indicator surveys and insecticide resistance data in major malaria vectors: An. gambiae s.s., An. arabiensis, and An. funestus, including the comparative impact of main stream vector control interventions, has been conducted in Zambia.

2.1. Intervention. The intervention consists of scaled-up indoor residual spraying (IRS) in urban and periurban areas and insecticide treated nets (ITNs) in rural areas [14, 21–23]. Indoor residual spraying is implemented through annual campaigns with 85% coverage of eligible households using pyrethroids at 25 mg/m² (Syngenta and Bayer) and DDT at 2 g/m² (Avima) at the beginning of the peak malaria transmission period [24]. Pyrethroid-impregnated ITNs, that is, PermaNet (Verstargaard frandsen) and Olyset (Sumitomo Corporation), are deployed through antenatal and child clinics, equity programme, community mass distribution, and commercial sector and strive towards attaining 100% coverage in eligible areas [25]. This effort is coupled with effective case management by provision of definitive diagnosis, using rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) and microscopy, and treatment with artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT), and intermittent preventive treatment (IPT) to expecting mothers [21]. This is further augmented with interactive information, education, and communication (IEC) and behavioural change and communication (BCC) strategies to enhance utilization of interventions [26]. There is strong operations research feeding into and guiding implementation.

2.2. Spatial Decision Support System. Zambia is situated in the Southern African region with a population of approximately 12 million, 45% of whom are below the age of fifteen [27]. Malaria is endemic country-wide and transmission is throughout the year with peak in rain season. The disease is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality accounting for 40% of outpatient attendances, 45% of hospital admissions with 47% and 50% of disease burden among pregnant women, and children under five years of age, respectively. Current trends in the country indicate that malaria is responsible for at least 3 million clinical cases and about 6,000 recorded deaths annually, including up to 40% of the under five deaths and 20% of maternal mortality [28, 29]. Malaria stratification aids in the development of community-based malaria control programs, by accumulating past experiences with and solutions to different factors associated with malaria outbreaks. Stratification can also point to the existing inequalities in resources, allowing for a more equal and homogeneous distribution of available resources [30]. In this regard, to allow for adaptation of intervention policy, procedures and methods to better outcomes, nineteen GIS-based sentinel sites, distributed amongst nine districts within a 350 km radius of the capital Lusaka (Figure 1), were established for the continual monitoring and collation of key malaria data such as parasitaemia risk, insecticide resistance profiles in vectors and impact of interventions on malaria prevalence. The study region is characterized by reduced seasonality of transmission with extensive vector control through IRS at 6 sites and ITNs in all sites from 2003 to 2010 by the National Malaria Control Programme (Figure 1).

2.3. Spatial Monitoring of Interventions. The spatial and temporal impact of IRS and ITNs on human parasite prevalence and insecticide resistance status in major malaria vectors was monitored. At each sentinel site annual household surveys were carried out annually from 2008 to 2010 to measure Plasmodium falciparum prevalence in children aged 1 to 14 [31, 32]. In Zambia, three nationally representative malaria indicator surveys (MISs) were also conducted in children under five years of age in 2006, 2008, and 2010 [33]. The MIS have been used (i) to estimate an empirical high-resolution parasitological risk map in the country and (ii) to assess the relation between malaria interventions and parasitaemia risk [34]. By standard WHO protocol, spatiotemporal insecticide resistance profiles of major malaria vectors: Anopheles gambiae s.s., An. arabiensis, and An. funestus were determined at sentinel sites and were extended to other regions of the country [32, 35, 36]. More data on spatial distribution of insecticide resistance to bendiocarb (0.01%), DDT (4%), deltamethrin (0.05%), lambda-cyhalothrin (0.05%), malathion (5%), and permethrin (0.75%) have been collected by different partners and collated by the National Malaria Control Programme (Figures 4 and 5).

3. Results

3.1. Spatial Prevalence of Malaria Infection. Plasmodium falciparum accounts for 98% of all malaria infections in the country, causing the severest form of disease, with a low
frequency of infections from *P. malariae* and *P. ovale*, and no transmission of *P. vivax*. The national malaria indicator survey for 2010 in children under the age of five years shows great spatial heterogeneity in prevalence of infection [37]. This has resulted in stratification of the country in three epidemiological categories: Type 1 areas with very low transmission and parasite prevalence of <1%, Type 2 areas with low transmission and prevalence of under 10%, and Type 3 areas with persistent high transmission and prevalence exceeding 20% at peak transmission season [33]. Cross-sectional surveys at sentinel sites (Type 2 areas) in children between 1 and 14 years across the study area (Figures 2 and 3) showed a combined prevalence of infection with *P. falciparum* to be below 10% albeit with great heterogeneity between IRS and ITN areas [32].

3.2. Spatial Distribution of Insecticide Resistance Profiles. By standard WHO protocol, suspected and overt resistance to insecticides being harnessed for vector control, pyrethroids, and DDT, has been detected in all the key vectors in operational settings of both IRS and ITNs (Figures 4 and 5). High levels of insecticide resistance have been detected in both *An. gambiae s.l* and *An. funestus* to pyrethroids and DDT. There is great variation in the level of resistance between IRS and ITNs localities, with exceptionally higher level resistance being detected in IRS areas compared to ITNs areas (*P* < 0.0001). The west form of knockdown resistance (kdr) mutation has been detected in *An. gambiae s.s* in some areas of the country with crossresistance between pyrethroids and DDT [32].

3.3. Spatial Impact of Interventions on Malaria Prevalence. The overall prevalence of infection in children whose house had not been sprayed in the past year and did not sleep under a net the night before the survey was 6.8%. Children who slept under a net, but whose house had not been sprayed during the past year, had a prevalence of infection of 5.2%. Children whose house had been sprayed during the past year, but did not sleep under a net, had a significantly lower prevalence of infection of 3.2%. Children who slept under a net in a dwelling that had been sprayed had the lowest risk of infection with a prevalence of 2.6%. Thus incremental effect was observed for combined use of IRS and ITNs (Figure 6) [32].

4. Discussion

Given the spatial heterogeneity in the distribution of malaria vectors and variations in the inherent malaria risk, GIS has potential applications in deployment and monitoring of interventions. For resource-constrained malaria-endemic Sub-Saharan African countries, like Zambia, the need for a
GIS-based malaria information system cannot be overemphasized. Until recently, decisions in the malaria control programmes were taken on an *ad hoc* basis driven by limited empirical evidence and undoubtedly resulting in misdirection of the limited resources available.

Following the increased funding for malaria control [38] particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa [39, 40], insecticide-based malaria vector control interventions are being scaled up in most endemic countries [41] albeit with limited empirical evidence on their impact and amenability to local...
Zambia
Prevalence of infection with *Plasmodium falciparum* in children 1 to 14 years of age by district, observed during household surveys in 2008, 2009, and 2010

**Figure 3**: Prevalence of infection with *P. falciparum* in children 1 to <15 years as observed during the annual parasitaemia surveys from 2008 to 2010 by district.
Figure 4: The spatial distribution of insecticide resistance in *An. gambiae* s.l. in 1999 compared to 2009/10 in Zambia.

Figure 5: The spatial distribution of insecticide resistance in *An. funestus* in 1999 compared to 2009/10 in Zambia.
settings. Invariable monitoring, evaluation, and continuous surveillance of vector species abundance, infectivity, insecticide resistance status, and parasite prevalence in the population are imperative to ensure effective deployment of interventions and optimal utilization of limited resources [42]. The GIS-based decision support system is proving to be an invaluable tool to optimize impact assessment of malaria control interventions and thus rationalize resource utilization [1].

The use of GIS in Zambia has enabled detection of spatial trends of parasite prevalence following extensive deployment of front line vector control interventions. Cross-sectional prevalence surveys show continuous prevalence increase in children from 2008 to 2010 in Chongwe district. In Kapiri Mposhi, Mumbwa, Mazabuka and Kafue districts, prevalence dropped between 2008 and 2009 but increased in 2010. However, progressive reduction in malaria prevalence was detected in Monze, Kabwe, and Chibombo districts from 2008 through to 2010 (Figure 3).

The GIS has introduced new dimensions to the understanding, prediction, analysis, and dissemination of spatial relations between disease, time, and space [43, 44]. It allows the integration of geographical referenced data, together with local knowledge in relational databases to accurately display complex interactions in simple formats [3]. The use of these data sets in a GIS provides an opportunity to integrate up-to-date information, local knowledge, and historical trends in a manner that draws attention to areas of change-associated problems and options for action. This makes GIS a tool not only for data analysis, but also for information management and decision-making thus facilitating policy formulation [18].

There was great heterogeneity in prevalence of malaria at sentinel sites relative to detected insecticide resistance in malaria vectors. At Chibombo, prevalence has been reducing despite high pyrethroid resistance detected in An. funestus. At Myooye and Chimoto, ITN deploying sites with high kdr mediated crossresistance to pyrethroids and DDT in An. gambiae s.s, prevalence was reducing and remained at a low level across the three years. However, Rufuns, another ITN deployment area with high pyrethroid resistance in An. funestus, exhibited constant increase in parasitaemia despite high coverage of ITNs (Figure 2).

The usefulness of a GIS-based DSS for planning and managing control programmes is dependent on the availability of accurate and raw data on malaria transmission-related parameters. Monitoring and evaluation of malaria interventions and understanding of their true impact on disease burden is essential for measuring performance of a control programme. An effective system for monitoring and evaluation and continuous surveillance requires integration of spatially and temporarily explicit data for entomological and epidemiological outcome indicators. This allows for identification of disease prevalence, planning of effective interventions, assessments of reduction of vector exposure and malaria burden resulting from implemented control measures. Continuous surveillance capturing real time data enables routine monitoring and evaluation of programme to demonstrate goals and impact on malaria burden. This is essential to increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of malaria control efforts [42]. The effective control of malaria requires programme managers to have access to the most up-to-date information on the disease in order to best direct interventions efforts against the vectors.

Effective implementation and monitoring and evaluation of malaria control interventions have resulted in redefinition of stratification of the country in three epidemiological zones for malaria transmission potential in Zambia [33, 45]. This necessitates appropriate targeting of interventions guided by entomological and epidemiological evidence of active malaria transmission. Although the quality of data collection and archiving kept on improving, most data bases have been vertical. An excel spread sheet contained ITN data base capturing quantities distributed by district and year. The IRS database captured quantities of commodities and equipment, and spraying coverage per district and year. The ITNs have been monitored through a two component system: (1) compilation of information on number of ITNs distributed and (2) tracking ITN coverage and/or ownership and utilization rates by householders. Since 2000, IRS has been monitored based on generic reporting forms for formal spraying management introduced by World Health Organization. This set comprised daily spray operator record, team leaders record, supervisors report, a weekly report, and a spraying completion report. By 2005, a computerized data base developed by Booman et al. was adopted [18].

In this case, the GIS-based DSS has not only streamlined evidence-based implementation of interventions, but has improved the tracking of entomological indicators: species characterization and insecticide resistance status, including parasite prevalence and impact assessment of ITNs and IRS. It has been greatly valuable in enabling the display of heterogeneities in malaria risk areas within low transmission intensities [42, 46, 47]. The marked insecticide resistance problem in IRS (Mufweshya, Kabulongo, Kafue Estates, and Mukobeko) and LLIN (Rufuns, Myooye, Chipepo, Chibombo, and Chiawa) deploying sites (Figure 2), confirms other findings of resistance developing in the wake of extensive vector control [48–50]. This allows the malaria...
control programme manager to better utilize the limited resources on insecticides to which the malaria vectors are still susceptible. Detection of high resistance levels has facilitated the planning of rational insecticide resistance management strategies and introduction of alternative noninsecticide-based vector control interventions. Due to low levels of transmission, malaria vector control interventions amenable to focalized implementation, such as larval source management using larvicides [51, 52] in the context of integrated vector management [13, 14], are being implemented.

The impact of main thrust vector control interventions on parasite prevalence in children between 1–14 years of age has been monitored through annual malaria surveys for three consecutive years at 19 sentinel sites (Figure 2). The use of the GIS-based DSS has facilitated for the assessment of the efficacy of IRS and ITNs either in combination or singly (Figure 6). In areas with high parasitaemia, this has allowed for the identification of areas that require replenishment of torn nets or areas that may require IRS instead of ITNs. Therefore, the value of any surveillance system for infectious disease is measured by its ability to provide timely, accurate “data for action” to people responsible for effective prevention and control activities and its ability to provide ongoing feedback to the primary gatherers of information [53, 54].

Although routine surveillance data have proved inadequate for monitoring control programmes [55], and have presently been supplanted by parasite prevalence surveys, vector-borne diseases demonstrate decided geographical heterogeneities and therefore require special tools for analysis [56]. The GIS with an inherent ability to manage spatial data provides an exceptional tool for continuous surveillance [57, 58] and provides a framework for harmonizing surveillance data and parasitaemia survey data. At a regional level, the ability of GIS to display data in an intuitively understandable manner has been harnessed to establish a continental database in Africa of spatial distribution of malaria [59]. The DSS has been used to collate data on insecticide resistance in Africa [20].

The ability of GIS-based DSS to deal with large data sets and to incorporate satellite images increases the feasibility of studying transmission determinants of malaria and has resulted in prompt availability of data to support surveillance and policy formulation. The epidemiological mapping of high-risk areas of malaria transmission and insecticide resistance profiles of major vectors has facilitated the recognition of those populations and geographic areas where it is possible to identify the main determinants of malaria morbidity and mortality. The revealed trends and interrelationships have allowed the identification of high risk areas and facilitated decision making and rational utilization of limited resources in a cost-effective manner.

5. Conclusion

In Zambia, an evidence-based decision support has created a more focused and purposeful approach to directing resources to areas of most need with reasonable returns for effort and resources invested. Monitoring the impact of malaria vector control interventions through the GIS-based DSS on relative change in prevalence of infection and vector susceptibility to insecticides over time has enabled measurement of spatial heterogeneity of trend or impact. The revealed trends and interrelationships have allowed the identification of areas with reduced parasitaemia and increased insecticide resistance thus demonstrating the impact of vector control. Targeting interventions based on entomological and epidemiological evidence have not only contributed markedly to the success of the Zambian Malaria Control Programme, but also have provided opportunity for rational decision making in deployment of interventions and cost-effective utilization of limited resources for enhanced malaria control.

Authors’ Contribution

E. Chanda coordinated and participated in data collection, analyzed the data, and drafted the paper. V. M. Mukonka was responsible for management of project and contributed to the drafting of the paper and critically evaluated it. D. Mthembu and S. Coetzer participated in field survey data collection and mapped the sites. M. Kamuliwo was responsible for management of project and contributed to the drafting of the paper and critically evaluated it.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Ministry of Health, Zambia, and all the staff at the National Malaria Control Centre whose assistance was essential to this study. They would like to acknowledge Dr. Louise Kelly-Hope (LSTM) for developing the resistance maps and the anonymous reviewers for helpful comments on the previous versions of this manuscript. This work was financially supported by the MoH Zambia and the Health Services and Systems Programme (HSSP).

References

[1] WHO, “Roll back malaria: action or rhetoric? Round table discussion,” Bulletin of the World Health Organization, vol. 78, pp. 1450–1455, 2010.
[2] S. I. Hay, C. J. Tucker, D. J. Rogers, and M. J. Packer, “Remotely sensed surrogates of meteorological data for the study of the distribution and abundance of arthropod vectors of disease,” Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology, vol. 90, pp. 1–19, 1996.
[3] A. Daash, A. Srivastava, B. N. Nagpal, R. Saxena, and S. K. Gupta, “Geographical information system (GIS) in decision support to control malaria—a case study of Koraput district in Orissa, India,” Journal of Vector Borne Diseases, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 72–74, 2009.
[4] S. W. Lindsay, L. Parson, and C. J. Thomas, “Mapping the ranges and relative abundance of the two principal African malaria vectors, Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto and An. arabiensis, using climate data,” Proceedings of the Royal Society B, vol. 265, no. 1399, pp. 847–854, 1998.
[5] B. L. Sharp and D. Le Sueur, “Malaria in South Africa—the past, the present and selected implications for the future,” Medecine Tropicale, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 189–196, 1996.

[6] A. I. McMichael and A. Haines, “Global climate change: the potential effects on health,” British Medical Journal, vol. 315, no. 7111, pp. 805–809, 1997.

[7] R. W. Snow, J. A. Oomunbo, B. Lowe et al., “Relation between severe malaria morbidity in children and level of Plasmodium falciparum transmission in Africa,” Lancet, vol. 349, no. 9066, pp. 1650–1654, 1997.

[8] R. W. Snow and K. Marsh, “The consequences of reducing transmission of Plasmodium falciparum in Africa,” Advances in Parasitology, vol. 52, pp. 235–264, 2002.

[9] M. B. Walker, “High risk behaviours related to maternal and child health,” West African Journal of Medicine, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 203–209, 2001.

[10] WHO, Global Strategic Framework for Integrated Vector Management, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2004.

[11] D. L. Smith, J. Dushoff, R. W. Snow, and S. I. Hay, “The entomological inoculation rate and Plasmodium falciparum infection in African children,” Nature, vol. 438, no. 7067, pp. 492–495, 2005.

[12] J. Hemingway, B. J. Beaty, M. Rowland, T. W. Scott, and B. L. Sharp, “The innovative vector control consortium: improved control of mosquito-borne diseases,” Trends in Parasitology, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 308–312, 2006.

[13] J. C. Beier, J. Keating, J. I. Githure, M. B. MacDonald, D. E. Impoinvil, and R. J. Novak, “Integrated vector management for malaria control,” Malaria Journal, vol. 7, no. 1, article S4, 2008.

[14] E. Chanda, F. Masaninga, M. Coleman et al., “Integrated vector management: The Zambian experience,” Malaria Journal, vol. 7, article 164, 2008.

[15] L. Eisen, M. Coleman, S. Lozano-Fuentes, Eachen N. Mc, M. Orlans, and M. Coleman, “Multi-disease data management system platform for vector-borne diseases,” PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases, vol. 29, no. 5, article e10161, 2011.

[16] R. Carter, K. N. Mendis, and D. Roberts, “Spatial targeting of interventions against malaria,” Bulletin of the World Health Organization, vol. 78, no. 12, pp. 1401–1411, 2000.

[17] L. Eisen and R. J. Eisen, “Using geographic information systems and decision support systems for the prediction, prevention, and control of vector-borne diseases,” Annual Review of Entomology, vol. 56, pp. 41–61, 2011.

[18] M. Booman, B. L. Sharp, C. L. Martin, B. Manjate, J. J. La Grange, and D. N. Durrheim, “Enhancing malaria control using a computerised management system in southern Africa,” Malaria Journal, vol. 2, no. 1, article 1, p. 13, 2003.

[19] M. Coleman and J. Hemingway, “Insecticide resistance monitoring and evaluation in disease transmitting mosquitoes,” Journal of Pesticide Science, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 69–76, 2007.

[20] M. Coleman, B. Sharp, I. Secharan, and J. Hemingway, “Developing an evidence-based decision support system for rational insecticide choice in the control of African malaria vectors,” Journal of Medical Entomology, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 663–668, 2006.

[21] MoH, National Malaria Strategic Plan 2006–2011: A Road Map for RBM Impact in Zambia, Ministry of Health, Lusaka, Zambia, 2006.

[22] J. Keating, J. M. Miller, A. Bennett, H. B. Moonga, and T. P. Eisele, “Plasmodium falciparum parasite infection prevalence from a household survey in Zambia using microscopy and a rapid diagnostic test: Implications for monitoring and evaluation,” Acta Tropica, vol. 112, no. 3, pp. 277–282, 2009.

[23] E. Chizema-Kawesha, J. M. Miller, R. W. Steketee et al., “Scaling up malaria control in Zambia: progress and impact 2005–2008,” The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, vol. 83, no. 3, pp. 480–488, 2010.

[24] MoH, National Guidelines for Indoor Residual Spraying in Zambia, Ministry of Health, Luasaka, Zambia, 2009.

[25] MoH, Guidelines on the Distribution and Utilization of Insecticide Treated Nets for Malaria Prevention and Control, Ministry of Health, Luasaka, Zambia, 2008.

[26] MoH, Malaria Communication Strategy, Ministry of Health, Luasaka, Zambia, 2006.

[27] C. F. Curtis, “The case for malaria control by genetic manipulation of its vectors,” Parasitology Today, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 371–374, 1994.

[28] MoH, Zambia National Malaria Annual Report 2007, Ministry of Health, Luasaka, Zambia, 2007.

[29] P. Chanda, B. Hamainza, S. Mulenga, V. Chalwe, C. Msiska, and E. Chizema-Kawesha, “Early results of integrated malaria control and implications for the management of fever in under-five children at a peripheral health facility: a case study of Chongwe rural health centre in Zambia,” Malaria Journal, vol. 8, no. 1, article 49, 2009.

[30] V. S. Orlof, The Concept of Stratification of Territories and Its Practical Implications, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1986.

[31] WHO, Minutes MERG Anaemia Task Force Meeting, World Health Organization/Roll Back Malaria, Geneva, Switzerland, 2003.

[32] E. Chanda, J. Hemingway, I. Kleinschmidt et al., “Insecticide resistance and the future of malaria control in Zambia,” PLoS ONE, vol. 6, no. 9, article e24336, 2011.

[33] MoH, Zambia National Malaria Programme Performance Review 2010, Ministry of Health, Lusaka, Zambia, 2010.

[34] N. Riedel, P. Vounatsou, J. M. Miller et al., “Geographical patterns and predictors of malaria risk in Zambia: bayesian geostatistical modelling of the 2006 Zambia national malaria indicator survey (ZMIS),” Malaria Journal, vol. 9, no. 1, article 37, 2010.

[35] WHO, “Test Procedures for Insecticide Resistance Monitoring in Malaria Vectors, Bio-efficacy and Persistence of insecticides in treated surfaces,” Report of the WHO Informal Consultation WHO/CDS/CPC/MAL/98.12, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1998.

[36] C. Bass, M. S. Williamson, C. S. Wilding, M. J. Donnelly, and L. M. Field, “Identification of the main malaria vectors in the Anopheles gambiae species complex using a TaqMan real-time PCR assay,” Malaria Journal, vol. 6, article 155, 2007.

[37] Zambia National Malaria Indicator Survey Report, Ministry of Health, Lusaka, Zambia, 2010.

[38] R. Komatsu, D. Low-Beer, and B. Schwartlander, “Global Fund-supported programmes’ contribution to international targets and the Millennium Development Goals: an initial analysis,” Bulletin of the World Health Organization, vol. 85, no. 10, pp. 805–811, 2007.

[39] T. C. Nhinda, “Malaria: a reemerging disease in Africa,” Emerging Infectious Diseases, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 398–403, 1998.

[40] K. Marsh, “Malaria disaster in Africa,” Lancet, vol. 352, no. 9132, p. 924, 1998.

[41] WHO, World Malaria Report 2008, WHO/HTM/GMP/2008.1, 2008.
[42] C. A. Goodman, P. G. Coleman, and A. J. Mills, “Cost-effectiveness of malaria control in sub-Saharan Africa,” Lancet, vol. 354, no. 9176, pp. 378–385, 1999.

[43] S. J. Connor, S. Flasse, A. Erryman, and M. C. Homson, The Contribution of Satellite Derived Information to Malaria Stratification Monitoring and Early Warning, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 1997.

[44] R. W. Snow, E. Gouws, J. Oumumbo et al., “Models to predict the intensity of Plasmodium falciparum transmission: applications to the burden of disease in Kenya,” Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, vol. 92, no. 6, pp. 601–606, 1998.

[45] MoH, National Malaria Situation Analysis, Ministry of Health, Lusaka, Zambia, 2000.

[46] B. M. Greenwood, “The microepidemiology of malaria and its importance to malaria control,” Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, vol. 83, pp. 25–29, 1989.

[47] C. Castillo-Salgado, “Epidemiological risk stratification of malaria in the Americas,” Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, vol. 87, pp. 115–120, 1992.

[48] B. L. Sharp, F. C. Rill, D. Govender, J. Kuklinski, and I. Kleinschmidt, “Malaria vector control by indoor residual insecticide spraying on the tropical island of Bioko, Equatorial Guinea,” Malaria Journal, vol. 6, article 52, 2007.

[49] M. Coleman, S. Casimiro, J. Hemingway, and B. Sharp, “Operational impact of DDT reintroduction for malaria control on Anopheles arabiensis in Mozambique,” Malaria Journal, vol. 6, article 158, 2007.

[50] N. Protopopoff, W. Van Bortel, T. Marcotty et al., “Spatial targeted vector control in the highlands of Burundi and its impact on malaria transmission,” Malaria Journal, vol. 6, article 158, 2007.

[51] G. P. Singh, S. Chitkara, N. L. Kalra, K. B. Makepur, and M. V. Narasimham, “Development of a methodology for malariogenic stratification as a tool for malaria control,” Journal of Communicable Diseases, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 1990.

[52] T. Smith, J. D. Charlwood, W. Takken, M. Tanner, and D. J. Spiegelhalter, “Mapping the densities of malaria vectors within a single village,” Acta Tropica, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 1–18, 1995.

[53] S. B. Thacker, K. Choi, and P. S. Brachman, “The surveillance of infectious diseases,” Journal of the American Medical Association, vol. 249, no. 9, pp. 1181–1185, 1983.

[54] S. M. Teusch, “Considerations in planning a surveillance system,” in Principles and Practice of Public Health Surveillance, S. M. Teusch and R. E. Churchill, Eds., pp. 18–28, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1994.

[55] E. S. Some, D. K. Koech, J. O. Ochogo, F. Ocholla, and E. Mumbi, “An evaluation of surveillance of malaria at primary health care level in Kenya,” East African Medical Journal, vol. 74, no. 9, pp. 573–575, 1997.

[56] M. Booman, D. N. Durrheim, K. La Grange et al., “Using a geographical information system to plan a malaria control programme in South Africa,” Bulletin of the World Health Organization, vol. 78, no. 12, pp. 1438–1444, 2000.

[57] U. Kitron, “Landscape ecology and epidemiology of vector-borne diseases: tools for spatial analysis,” Journal of Medical Entomology, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 435–445, 1998.

[58] F. F. Nobre, A. L. Braga, R. S. Pinheiro, and J. A. Dos Santos Lopes, “GISEpi: a simple geographical information system to support public health surveillance and epidemiological investigations,” Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 33–45, 1997.