Use of routine and cohort data globally in exploring dementia care pathways and inequalities: A systematic review
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Abstract
Objectives: The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate studies which employed routine and cohort data sets to understand inequalities in dementia care pathways.

Methods: We identified 27 research papers using routine data sets to investigate inequalities in dementia care pathways through electronic and grey literature searches. Papers were independently assessed by two reviewers for inclusion based on defined criteria. Included papers were quality rated using the National Institutes of Health Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies. Data was extracted based on stage(s) in dementia care pathway and socio-economic factors investigated.

Results: Inequalities were noted across dementia care pathways. Socio-economic and protected characteristics were shown to impact the likelihood of people with dementia moving into institutional nursing care, the quality and consistency of their treatment, need for emergency and urgent healthcare, the rate of illness progression and their long-term survival. Research was often disparate ignoring the multiple parts of the dementia care pathway, or the impact of specific factors across multiple stages.

Conclusions: Our study highlights issues in dementia care pathways based on socio-economic or protected characteristics. Equitable service provision, more culturally appropriate services, improved health literacy and increased provision for both early diagnosis and care at home can help narrow the gap in dementia care inequalities. There is greater need for research investigating dementia care pathways as something greater than the sum of its parts; exploring the influence of socio-economic factors from a person’s entrance into the system and throughout.
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INTRODUCTION

The number of people diagnosed with dementia in the United Kingdom is set to increase in the next 20 years, which will exacerbate already strained models of health and social care provision. By 2040, Wittenberg et al. project the number of people with dementia (PwD) to double and the reflected cost of care to increase threefold.\(^1\) Crucially, the greatest proportional increase is expected to occur among people who will be diagnosed with severe dementia. Yet staff and services are already struggling to meet current demand. With further increases in the number of people with pressing needs, and their reflected costs, this will likely result in a greater levels of unmet care needs.\(^2\)

Currently, there are marked socio-economic and geographic inequalities in the prevalence of dementia, availability of informal care, access to and use of formal care: Socio-economic status\(^3\)–\(^5\) and place of residence\(^6,7\) influence access to a diagnosis, and aspects of care, treatment and the support a PwD may receive. Those most socio-economically disadvantaged are more likely to bear the brunt of these inequalities.

Existing socio-economic inequalities will exacerbate many current unmet needs in those affected by dementia, with post-diagnostic care often being underfunded and understaffed.\(^8\) People who are unable to afford their own care will endure worse outcomes relative to more affluent PwD.\(^4,9\) In a time of restricted finances, both individually and in the public provision and staffing for dementia care, it is imperative we better understand inequalities within dementia care pathways. We must provide evidence to inform policy and applied change in health and social care for PwD.

Routine data sets in dementia—such as the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center in the United States and SveDem in Sweden—are large databases containing standardised clinical and service interaction records for PwD. Such registries are developed with the aim of harnessing data to identify issues in access to, and quality of care, as a means to improve health and social outcomes. Other routine data sets, such as those focussing on hospital admissions, accident and emergency attendances, primary care records and social care interactions can also be used to uncover a wider picture of care pathways for PwD.

The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate studies which employed routine and cohort data sets to understand inequalities in dementia care pathways. Existing systematic reviews have explored specific socio-economic inequalities in dementia care pathways, including age, ethnicity, gender, deprivation and country of residence.\(^10\)–\(^14\) However, this is the first to explore how routine data sets have been used internationally to understand dementia care pathways, variance in care and inequalities in dementia care pathways. With continued restricted government funding for dementia care and an increase in the number of PwD reliant on state-funded care, the use and application of routine data is crucial to understand where and how inequalities emerge. This knowledge can enable improved person-centred care that generates a better quality of life for PwD and their carers, and attempt to reduce related inequalities in care.

METHODS

This systematic review was registered on the PROSPERO International prospective register of systematic reviews (ID: CRD42020162934).

Search strategy

We searched the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, CINAHL, Google Scholar, MEDLINE, PsycINFO, PubMed and Web of Science databases up to and including 2020. The search terms ‘dementia’ AND ‘care’ AND ‘routine data’ AND ‘cohort’ were used in combination. Initially, titles and abstracts of papers were read by JW and CG, retaining those which were relevant. Discrepancies over inclusion of papers were discussed between reviewers and an agreement reached. JW and CG read through the remaining papers in their entirety, to define against inclusion and exclusion criteria. Any ambiguity over inclusion of papers was discussed between reviewers until an agreement was reached.

A search of grey literature and snowballing of references from the papers already included were used to find further papers meeting the inclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria

The population used in the studies had to be dementia-specific and PwD need to have received a formal diagnosis of dementia. Only English language papers, published since 01 January 1990, looking at the use of routine or cohort data to quantitatively explore issues of
care pathways and care utilisation in people living with dementia and their family carers were included.

2.3 | Exclusion criteria

Papers published in any language other than English, published before 01 January 1990, using qualitative research methods, or quantitative papers not using routine or cohort data were excluded; reviews of any kind were also discounted. Papers with populations with no formal dementia diagnosis, non-dementia study populations or those with mixed diagnoses, for example, dementia and mild cognitive impairment were also excluded.

2.4 | Assessment of quality

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies was employed in this review; a 14-point checklist (see Table 3 footnotes). This tool has been used as it is a practical and pragmatic method of identifying potential flaws in the methodology of cohort studies which may increase bias. Such biases can undermine research strength and quality, casting potential doubt over subsequent results.

2.5 | Data extraction

To process the literature to conduct the data synthesis, the relevant findings were taken from the final research based on the aims and objectives of the systematic review. The following information was taken from each paper: Author name(s), research title, year of publication, country/countries of study, dementia population/subtype, socio-economic analysis in study (if yes, which socio-economic categories are included), outcomes and stages(s) in pathway.

2.6 | Data synthesis

A narrative summary of the evidence taken from the final included papers was conducted. This process involves drawing out specific findings from quantitative papers when a meta-analysis is not feasible. From the included papers we looked at: what their findings tell us and what part(s) of the dementia care pathway they related to—as defined in the four sub-headings of the results section: transitions in nursing care (in the United Kingdom, this includes both residential care homes—which provide support for people with personal care and provide accommodation—and nursing homes—which provide personal care, but also have a greater number of qualified nurses providing specific condition-related care; e.g., dementia or neurological conditions), anti-dementia medications, health and social care interactions, disease progression, mortality and survival.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Search outcomes

We identified 1506 studies via database (n = 1486) and grey literature searches (n = 20), 796 of which remained once duplicate records were removed (see Figure 1 for PRISMA flowchart). Screening of these records based on titles and abstracts led to the exclusion of 583 records; the remaining 113 records were reviewed as full-text papers. Sixty-seven papers were removed leaving a total of 46 studies which met the defined inclusion criteria.

At stage 1, JW and CG reviewed papers on their abstract and title, agreeing on the continued inclusion/exclusion of 677 of the 796 (85.1%) papers. At stage 2, JW and CG reviewed the remaining 113 papers based on the full-text, agreeing on the continued inclusion/exclusion of 74 (64.5%) of the papers.

3.2 | Characteristics of included studies

Table 1 shows the descriptive characteristics of the 46 included studies. The majority of these studies focused their analysis on one country, using one set of routine data. However, some analysed data from multiple routine or cohort data sets, and in some cases, different registries across a variety of countries. The vast majority of studies were conducted in the US (13), England/UK (12), or mainland Europe (29), with one study conducted in Australia, Hong Kong and Puerto Rico, respectively.

Twenty-seven studies have highlighted differences or inequalities in care and, or outcomes as a result of a protected characteristic—such as age, gender or ethnicity—income or deprivation, or personal circumstance—such as availability of informal care. These 27 studies go beyond merely stating, for example, the number of people of each age group, or who were male and female.

Of these 27 studies, seven specifically investigated socio-economic deprivation or geography as factors in care use and quality, and or health and social outcomes for PwD (see Table 2).

3.3 | Study outcomes/stage in care pathway

Literature investigated various areas of dementia care and support (transitions to nursing care, anti-dementia medication, health and social care interaction, and disease progression, mortality and survival). Several studies looked at different stages of the care pathway (i.e., disease progression, medication initiation and mortality), so that some studies were discussed across different areas.

3.4 | Transitions to nursing care

Nine studies investigated care transitions for PwD, primarily analysing the significance and degree to which socio-economic factors...
can influence the probability of moving into nursing care. Being older was associated with a significantly greater likelihood of admission to nursing care. Stevensborg et al.\textsuperscript{48} used data from three Danish health registries to demonstrate that the oldest PwD (those aged 70-79 and ≥80 years vs. to 60-69 years) were more likely to live in nursing care when first diagnosed with dementia. Korhonen et al.\textsuperscript{36} reported the same association between age and institutionalisation into long-term care, but discovered it was starker for women than men.

Gender was as a factor in care transitions in several studies, revealing differences in the likelihood of transitions to nursing care. Stevensborg et al.\textsuperscript{35} found women were significantly more likely to be diagnosed with dementia whilst living in nursing care, and Smith et al.\textsuperscript{7} discovered that men with dementia were significantly less likely to be admitted to nursing care generally. However, in the final 2 years of life, a greater proportion of men with dementia had care transitions, with a higher median number of transitions than women.\textsuperscript{16} The timing of transitions in disease progression was also found to differ by gender. Neumann et al.\textsuperscript{40} found Alzheimer’s disease severity to progress at a faster rate for men, with men being more likely to transition to nursing care if they had severe Alzheimer’s disease. Women, however, were more likely to be admitted to nursing care with mild Alzheimer’s, indicating they would enter institutional care earlier in their disease progression.

Knapp et al.\textsuperscript{35} investigated ethnicity as a factor in care transitions, finding people of Caribbean/African and East/South Asian ethnicities to be significantly less likely to be admitted to institutional care (hospital or nursing home). In Denmark, Stevensborg et al.\textsuperscript{48} compared native-born, Western and non-Western immigrant dementia populations, discovering non-Western immigrants were significantly less likely to be living in a nursing home when receiving their dementia diagnosis.

### 3.5 Anti-dementia medication

Eighteen studies investigated diagnostcs and use of anti-dementia medications, with nine analysing socio-economic variables as factors in likelihood of anti-dementia drug initiation.
| Author                  | Year published | Country  | Dementia population                                                                 | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes                                                                                           | Routine data set(s) used                        |
|------------------------|----------------|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Aaltonen et al.        | 2012           | Finland  | Dementia                                                                             | Age, Gender              | Care transitions: Difference in likelihood of transitions in care in last 2 years of life by accommodation (all, at home at baseline; in residential care) | Finnish National Registers                      |
| Bunn et al.            | 2016           | UK       | Dementia                                                                             | None                     | Health and social care interactions: People with dementia using hospital services in previous 4 weeks and in previous 12 months. | CFAS I and II                                   |
| Calvo-Perxas et al.    | 2012           | Spain    | Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, other secondary dementias, frontotemporal dementia, Parkinsonian syndromes, dementia with Lewy bodies, not specified dementias | Age, Gender, Marital status, Education, Residence | Diagnostics and medications: Prescription and consumption of antipsychotic drugs for various dementia subtypes. | Registry of Dementia of Girona (ReDiGi)          |
| Calvo-Perxas et al.    | 2014           | Spain    | Alzheimer's disease                                                                  | Age, Gender, Education, Marital status, Residence | Diagnostics and medications: Use of antipsychotics and other medications for the treatment of symptoms in Alzheimer's disease. | Registry of Dementia of Girona (ReDiGi)          |
| Cermakova et al.       | 2017           | Sweden   | Alzheimer's disease                                                                  | Age, Gender, Residence   | Diagnostics and medications: Use of antipsychotics in Alzheimer's disease.                                   | SveDem                                          |
| Connolly et al.        | 2012           | England  | Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia, mixed dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, frontotemporal dementia, other dementia, unspecified type dementia | Age, Gender, Residence   | Health and social care interactions: People with dementia receiving their annual dementia review, recorded discussions with carers and review of their social care. Diagnostics and medications: Prescription and review of antipsychotics | GP register (quality outcomes framework)         |
| Author                | Year published | Country       | Dementia population                      | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes                                                                 | Routine data set(s) used          |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cooper et al.         | 2016           | UK            | Dementia                                 | Age, Gender, Deprivation | Diagnostics and medications: Anti-dementia drug initiation rates.               | The Health Improvement Network (THIN) |
| Cooper et al.         | 2017           | UK            | Dementia                                 | Age, Gender, Deprivation | Diagnostics and medications: Prescribing and initiation of psychotropic, hypnotics and anxiolytics medications; Health and social care interactions: Likelihood of surgical consultations, blood pressure monitoring, weight monitoring and annual dementia reviews | The Health Improvement Network (THIN) |
| Donegan et al.        | 2017           | UK            | Dementia, Alzheimer’s disease            | Age, Gender             | Diagnostics and medications: Prescription of anti-dementia drugs in clinical practice research datalink (CPRD) sample of people with dementia. | Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) |
| Eriksson et al.       | 2014           | Sweden        | Alzheimer’s disease                      | Age, Gender             | Diagnostics and medications: Prescription of anti-dementia medications and difference in diagnostics used in early and late onset Alzheimer’s disease | SveDem                           |
| Fereshtehnejad et al. | 2014           | Sweden        | Dementia with Lewy bodies, Alzheimer’s disease | Age, Gender             | Diagnostics and medications: Prescription of various anti-dementia/medications for people with dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and Alzheimer’s disease. | SveDem and Swedish Patient Registry |
| Fereshtehnejad et al. | 2015           | Sweden; Denmark | Early and late onset, Alzheimer’s disease, dementia with Lewy bodies, frontotemporal dementia, Parkinson’s disease with dementia | Age, Gender, Residence, Geography | Diagnostics and medications: Differences in prescription of anti-dementia medications. Differences in use of diagnostics for people with dementia. Health and social care interactions: 6 indicators of care quality identified and analysed. | SveDem and Danish Dementia Registry |
| Fillenbaum et al.     | 2001           | US            | Alzheimer’s disease                      | Age, Gender, Ethnicity, Education, Marital status, Residence, Geography | Health and social care interactions: Outpatient visits based on residence (home or long-term care); cost of outpatient visits. | Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD) |
| Author                  | Year published | Country     | Dementia population                                      | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes                                                                 | Routine data set(s) used                                           |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Fong et al.            | 2012           | US          | Alzheimer’s disease                                       | Age, Gender, Ethnicity, Education | Health and social care interactions: Adverse outcomes for people with dementia who were: hospitalised with delirium, hospitalised without delirium and not hospitalised: (1) death; (2) institutionalised; (3) cognitive decline; (4) any adverse outcome | Massachusetts Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center (MADRC) patient registry |
| Frahm-Falkenberg et al.| 2016           | Denmark     | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, dementia not otherwise specified | Age, Gender, Marital status | Health and social care interactions: Cost and use of healthcare before and after dementia diagnosis for ‘patients’ and partners versus controls without dementia | Danish Patient Registry                                           |
| Gillette-Guyonnet et al.| 2011           | France      | Alzheimer’s disease                                       | Age, Gender, Education, Residence, Caregiver variables | Disease progression, mortality and survival: MMSE score change over years to end of study/mortality Diagnostics and medications: Use of Alzheimer’s disease medications throughout Health and social care interactions: Rate of institutionalisation | REAL.FR cohort                                                   |
| Gustavsson et al.      | 2009           | England     | Alzheimer’s disease, dementia with Lewy bodies            | None                       | Health and social care interactions: Rates of institutionalisation into full-time care for those using ChEI | Oxford Study                                                     |
| Hackett et al.         | 2019           | England     | Dementia                                                 | Age, Gender, Education, Deprivation | Health and social care interactions: Monthly interactions with family as a factor in occurrence of depressive symptoms among people with dementia. | English Longitudinal Study for Ageing (ELSA)                        |
| Hartz et al.           | 2012           | Germany     | Alzheimer’s disease                                       | None                       | Diagnostics and medications: Societal savings based on anti-dementia medications. Anti-dementia medications impact on caregivers’ QALYs. | Consortium to Establish a registry for Alzheimer’s disease (CERAD) |
| Huang et al.           | 1994           | US          | Alzheimer’s disease                                       | Age, Gender, Education      | Disease progression, mortality and survival: Survival rates for different living arrangements and availability of caregiver(s). | South Carolina Statewide Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Registry |
| Author | Year published | Country | Dementia population | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes | Routine data set(s) used |
|--------|----------------|---------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Johnell et al. | 2013 | Sweden | Alzheimer's disease, mixed Alzheimer's disease/vascular dementia, vascular dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, frontotemporal dementia, Parkinson's disease dementia, unspecified dementia, other dementia | Age, Gender, Residence, Cohabitation | Diagnostics and medications: Anti-dementia medication use in dementia subtypes. | SveDem |
| Kahle-Wroblewski et al. | 2017 | France, Germany, England | Alzheimer's disease | Age, Gender, Cohabitation, Caregiver variables | Disease progression, mortality and survival: Change in independence levels by disease severity impacts of various factors on progression of illness. | GERAS |
| Knapp et al. | 2016 | England | Alzheimer's disease | Age, Gender, Ethnicity, Caregiver variables, Cohabitation | Care transitions: Probability of care home admission and socio-economic and other factors impact; and their associated costs. Health and social care interactions: Probability of hospital inpatient admissions and mental health inpatient admissions, with influential factors and associated costs. | South London Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust (SLAM) and Clinical Record Interactive Search (CRIS) |
| Korhonen et al. | 2018 | Finland | Dementia | Age, Marital status, Deprivation | Care transitions: Probability of movement into long-term institutional care. Comparing those who died from dementia versus other causes and those who survived to the end of the study period | Finnish Death Register and national care registers |
| Miller et al. | 1998 | US | Alzheimer's disease | Age, Gender, Marital status, Education | Care transitions: Risk factors associated with time to nursing home admission. | Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) (Continues) |
| Author                | Year published | Country | Dementia population                                                                 | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes                                                                                                                                 | Routine data set(s) used                                                                 |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Moore et al.          | 2001           | US, Puerto Rico | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia                                                | Age, Ethnicity, Education, Marital status, Caregiver variables | Informal care costs: Broken-down into four aspects: Value of caregiving times, caregivers’ lost income, out-of-pocket expenditures for formal caregiving services, and caregivers’ excess healthcare costs. | National Longitudinal Caregiver Study (NLCS)                                           |
| Murman et al.         | 2002           | US      | Alzheimer’s disease, dementia with Parkinsonism, Huntington disease                   | Age, Gender, Ethnicity, Education, Marital status, Caregiver variables | Health and social care interactions: Over 3.5 years: Use, time spent in and frequency of use of long-term care, hospital care and paid home care.        | Michigan Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center (MADRC) registry                           |
| Neumann et al.        | 2001           | US      | Alzheimer’s disease                                                                  | Age, Gender             | Care transitions: Annual probability of care home transition. Annual probability of movement in severity of Alzheimer’s disease.                      | Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD)                       |
| Peterson et al.       | 2008           | US      | Alzheimer’s disease                                                                  | Age, Gender, Education, Marital status                          | Disease progression, mortality and survival: Survival in nursing homes: Socio-economic characteristics as predictive factors of survival with dementia.  | Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD)                       |
| Pujades-Rodriguez et al. | 2018          | England | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, rare dementias                              | Age, Gender             | Disease progression, mortality and survival: 10 years and lifetime risk split by age and gender (for all and Alzheimer’s disease). Dementia versus non-dementia mortality rates | Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)                                            |
| Author         | Year published | Country | Dementia population                                                                 | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes                                                                 | Routine data set(s) used                                      |
|---------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Ramsey et al. | 2018           | US      | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, frontotemporal    | Age, Gender, Ethnicity,  | Diagnostics and medications: Inappropriate prescription of medications in dementia  | National Alzheimer’s Coordinating Center (NACC)              |
|               |                |         | dementia, other dementias                                                           | Education, Residence,   | subtypes                                                                           |                                                             |
|               |                |         |                                                                                     | Cohabitation             |                                                                                  |                                                             |
| Rattinger et al. | 2016         | US      | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia (without AD), other dementia                  | Age, Gender, Ethnicity,  | Informal care costs: Changes in informal care costs over time and due to illness   | Cache country study on memory in Ageing                      |
|               |                |         |                                                                                     | Education                | progression.                                                                       |                                                             |
|               |                |         |                                                                                     |                          |                                                                                  |                                                             |
| Rudolph et al | 2010           | US      | Alzheimer’s disease                                                                  | Age, Gender, Ethnicity,  | Health and social care interactions: Risk factors for hospitalisation; frequency    | Massachusetts Alzheimer’s Disease Research Center (MADRC)    |
|               |                |         |                                                                                     | Education, Marital status| and number of hospitalisations, days in hospital per year and primary diagnoses    | patient registry                                           |
|               |                |         |                                                                                     |                          | for hospitalisation for people with dementia                                       |                                                             |
| Scalmana et al | 2013           | Italy   | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, mixed dementia, frontotemporal dementia,     | Age, Gender, Education,  | Health and social care interactions: Number of population using different social      | Unità valutativa Alzheimer                                  |
|               |                |         | Lewy body dementia, Parkinson’s dementia, other dementias                           | Caregiver variables      | care services Factors associated with use of services                               |                                                             |
| Sheng et al.  | 2009           | Hong Kong | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, frontotemporal    | Age, Gender, Education,  | Diagnostics and medications: Brain imaging and lab tests by subtype of dementia.    | Memory clinic data                                          |
|               |                |         | dementia, mild-cognitive impairment, undetermined dementia, other irreversible        | Residence, Caregiver    | Care transitions: Residence at first versus final visit in studyFamilial informal    |                                                             |
|               |                |         | dementia                                                                             | variables                | care availability at first and final visit in study.                                |                                                             |
|               |                |         |                                                                                     |                          | Health and social care interactions: Use of home help, meal delivery and other       |                                                             |
|               |                |         |                                                                                     |                          | services.                                                                          |                                                             |

(Continues)
| Author          | Year published | Country | Dementia population                                                                 | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes                                                                 | Routine data set(s) used                                      |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Smith et al.    | 2001           | US      | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, mixed dementia, other dementias             | Age, Gender, Education, Marital status, Residence | Care transitions: Time to nursing home placement. Factors that can impact on nursing home placement. | National Institute on Aging funded Alzheimer’s Disease Patient Registry (ADPR) |
| Sommerlad et al.| 2019           | England | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, dementia with Lewy bodies, other dementia, unspecified dementia | Age, Gender, Education, Marital status, Residency | Health and social care interactions: Predictive factors associated with probability of emergency and elective hospital admissions for people with dementia | South London Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust (SLAM) and Clinical Record Interactive Search (CRIS) |
| Stevnsborg et al.| 2016           | Denmark | Dementia                                                                            | Age, Gender, Marital status, Residence, Geography | Diagnostics and medications: Socio-economic factors in receiving anti-dementia medication after a diagnosis of dementia, for Danish-born, Western immigrants and non-Western immigrants living in Denmark. Care transitions: Likelihood of living in a nursing home when diagnosed with dementia for Danish-born, Western immigrants and non-Western immigrants | National patient registry; psychiatric central research registry; national prescription registry |
| Taipale et al.  | 2014           | Finland | Alzheimer’s disease                                                                  | Age, Gender              | Diagnostics and medications: Factors associated with discontinuation of AChEI therapy | MEDALZ-2005 (medication use and Alzheimer’s disease) |
| Thorpe et al.   | 2016           | US      | Alzheimer’s disease                                                                  | Age, Gender, Ethnicity   | Diagnostics and medications: Initiation and discontinuation of anti-dementia drugs. Rate of discontinuation and likelihood of initiation of anti-dementia drugs (for new users of AChEI) by ethnicity | Medicare |
| van de Vorst et al. | 2015         | Netherlands | Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, other dementia                  | Age, Gender              | Disease progression, mortality and survival: 1 and 5-year age-specific and sex-specific mortality risk for patients with dementia visiting a day clinic versus general population, and patients hospitalised with dementia versus those hospitalised with acute Myocardial infarction, heart failure or stroke | Dutch Hospital Discharge Register (HDR); Dutch Population Register (PR) |
| Author            | Year published | Country | Dementia population                                                                 | Socio-economic variables | Pathway: Outcomes                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Routine data set(s) used                                      |
|-------------------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| van de Vorst et al. | 2016           | Netherlands | Alzheimer's disease, vascular dementia                                                | Age, Gender, Deprivation  | Disease progression, mortality and survival: 1 and 5-year mortality risk for men and women stratified by age, income and setting of care (hospital, day clinic). 1 and 5-year mortality risk based on tertile of household income | Dutch Hospital Discharge Register (HDR); Dutch Population Register (PR); National Cause of Death Register; Regional Income Survey |
| van de Vorst et al. | 2019           | Netherlands | Alzheimer's disease, Vascular dementia                                                | Age, Gender, Geography    | Disease progression, mortality and survival: 1 and 3-year mortality risk for patients visiting a first day clinic or first admission to hospital with dementia. Health and social care interactions: Risk of hospital readmission with a year for patients visiting a first day clinic or first admission to hospital with dementia. | Dutch hospital discharge register (HDR); Dutch population register (PR); national Cause of death register |
| van Weel et al.    | 2019           | Australia | Dementia                                                                            | Cohabitation, Deprivation, Language | Health and social care interactions: Use of home care services, receiving nursing interventions, admitted to hospital, other service use and care outcomes for people with dementia | NGO cohort data set                                           |
| Verbeek et al.     | 2015           | England, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden                | Dementia                  | Geography                                                                                   | Health and social care interactions: Factors associated with risk of recently being admitted into and institutionalised long-term care | RightTimePlaceCare (RTPC)                                      |
| Wattmo et al.      | 2013           | Sweden   | Alzheimer's disease                                                                 | Age, Gender, Education, Cohabitation | Health and social care interactions: Time taken from commencement of ChEI treatment to receiving home help services and the amount of such services received.                                                                 | Swedish Alzheimer Treatment Study (SATS)                      |

Abbreviations: AChEI, acetylcholinesterase inhibitor; ChEI, cholinesterase inhibitor; MMSE, Mini–Mental State Examination; QALY, quality-adjusted life years.
Socio-economic deprivation and country of residence were shown to impact the likelihood of receiving anti-dementia medication. Using UK primary care records, Cooper et al. found areas of greatest deprivation had significantly lower rates of anti-dementia medication initiation than the most affluent. In the United Kingdom, there was a stepped-effect with each quintile from the most affluent quintile—which had the highest initiation—to the most deprived—which had the lowest initiation.

Taipale et al. discovered that among people with Alzheimer's disease using acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEI), older people were at a higher risk of AChEI discontinuation. However, evidence is somewhat conflicting regarding the impact of age on anti-dementia medication initiation. Although Cooper et al. found people aged under 70 years, and ≥80 years were less likely to be prescribed anti-dementia medication than people aged 7-79 years, several studies illustrate higher initiation for older people.

Several studies demonstrated females had an increased probability of receiving anti-dementia medications. However, the research available identified mixed evidence of the likelihood of having these medications discontinued. Thorpe et al. also investigated the impact of ethnicity on initiation and discontinuation of anti-dementia medication. Among Medicare beneficiaries in the United States who were non-users of anti-dementia medications at the beginning, Hispanic people were significantly more likely to initiate use than any other ethnicity, with Black and Hispanic ethnicities significantly more likely to have their anti-dementia medications discontinued. Being ‘non-native’ to a country can also have an impact on the likelihood of receiving anti-dementia medication.

| Author                  | Year | Deprivation type                                      | Analysis conducted using socio-economic variables                                                                 |
|-------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cooper et al.           | 2016 | Townsend score quintiles; Country of residence       | Initiation of anti-dementia drugs:  
  - Compared to England → Northern Ireland significantly more, and Wales significantly less likely initiate anti-dementia drugs  
  - Anti-dementia drug initiation → greatest in most affluent areas  
  - Anti-dementia drug initiation → reduction with each quintile to most deprived |
| Cooper et al.           | 2017 | Townsend score quintiles                             | Prescription prevalence for antipsychotics, hypnotics and anxiolytics:  
  - Most deprived areas → lowest prescription prevalence, but not significantly so |
| Korhonen et al.         | 2018 | Household income quintile                            | Probability of institutional LTC in 8 years before death/end of study:  
  - For men, increasing deprivation → increased institutionalisation in long-term care |
| Pujades-Rodriguez et al.| 2018 | Indices of multiple deprivation quintile             | Mortality differences between those with and without dementia:  
  - Greater proportion of deaths in deprived areas are of people with dementia |
| Sommerlad et al.        | 2019 | Indices of multiple deprivation decile               | Association of factors with hospital admissions a year after dementia diagnosis:  
  - Greater deprivation → increased risk of emergency admissions and reduced risk of elective admissions |
| van de Vorst et al.     | 2016 | Disposable household income tertile                  | 1 and 5-year mortality risk for people with a first hospitalisation or day clinic visit for dementia:  
  - Greater deprivation → increased mortality risk for men and women-deprivation and mortality more likely when visiting a day clinic versus hospital |
| Verbeek et al.          | 2015 | Country of residence                                 | Risk factors for recent admission to institutional long-term nursing care:  
  - Higher dependence for activities of daily living → increased move to long-term care in all countries  
  - Lower caregiver burden → lower admissions to long-term care all countries (not Spain)  
  - Having an informal carer who was a spouse → less admissions to long-term care all countries (not Estonia, France) |
medications. Stevnsborg et al. found that Western and non-Western immigrants to Denmark were significantly less likely to receive anti-dementia medications than people born in Denmark.

3.6 | Health and social care interaction

Of 17 studies exploring health and social care interactions, eight involved socio-economic variables. The greater number of socio-economic risk factors somebody has, the greater their likelihood of hospitalisation, for example. It was found that PwD living in the most socio-economically disadvantaged areas had a significantly higher risk of emergency hospital admission, and significantly lower probability of elective admissions.

Evidence varies on the exact impact that ethnicity can have on admissions to hospital for PwD. However, the variance in findings may be reflective of the country in which the studies were carried out, and their relevant health and social care systems.

Older PwD were at greater risk of hospitalisation. However, the type of admissions demonstrates that they may be at higher risk of emergency hospital admissions, but a significantly reduced risk of both mental health inpatient and elective admissions. However, among PwD, those who are older (aged ≥80 years) and younger have a reduced probability of coming into contact with health and social care services in a more elective capacity. Cooper et al. identified people aged under 70 years as less likely to come into contact with healthcare—even for annual dementia reviews—and those aged 80 and over were less likely to receive surgical consultations or weight monitoring checks. Furthermore, Wattmo et al. discovered that for PwD, younger people had a longer delay in accessing home help services.

Care quality can also be impacted by socio-economic factors. Connolly et al. found people living in the community had greater quality annual dementia reviews and overall care than those living in care homes, and Scalmana et al. discovered that people with less education were less likely to access health and social care services.

3.7 | Disease progression, mortality and survival

Nine studies investigated direct outcomes and illness progression for PwD, with seven studies focusing on socio-economic factors.

Both age and gender were found to correlate with disease progression and mortality risk. Older people and men with Alzheimer’s disease transitioned at a faster rate. Women and those who were younger when receiving a dementia diagnosis were shown to have better survival, with older people and men at greater risk of dying.

Greater support is indicative of better survival, long-term independence and condition maintenance among PwD. As well as noting that less education is associated with poorer survival for PwD, Huang et al. identified living in the community with a caregiver or in institutional care acted as a protective factor for survival among people with Alzheimer’s disease. Survival was worse for people living in a nursing home compared to those living at home, living in the community with a caregiver or in institutional care acted as a protective factor for people with Alzheimer’s disease and living with others and having multiple carers led to a greater likelihood of a PwD maintaining their dependence at the level at seen at study start.

Pujades-Rodriguez et al. compared people with and without dementia in retrospective analyses of mortality records. They illustrated PwD were more likely to live in deprived areas. Van de Vorst et al. identified mortality risk as significantly higher for PwD living in the most socio-economically disadvantaged areas.

3.8 | Study quality

Using the NIH Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies, some of the 14 points used to assess quality were not applicable (Table 3) and so had a maximum potential score of 10 or 11. One paper received a quality rating of 5 (out of 10), but the remainder received a rating of between 7 and 10. Thus, the studies included in this review are of moderate to high quality. As a whole, they were clear in their objectives and findings and tended to describe and deliver robust research methods.

4 | DISCUSSION

This is the first systematic review evaluating the use of routine and cohort data sets to investigate inequalities in dementia care pathways. Findings from this review highlight the advantage of using national longitudinal databases to explore inequalities in dementia care across the globe, highlighting numerous gaps and current inequalities in care which need to be addressed.

The most socio-economically deprived areas have higher rates of undiagnosed dementia. Deprivation can be reflective of wider, social and structural factors, such as income, employment, housing and transport. GP practices from such areas are more likely to only have one GP and therefore have less time to identify, diagnose and manage dementia. With greater unmet needs, people from deprived areas are more likely to present to emergency healthcare services later in their disease trajectory when their condition is less manageable, resulting in poorer management and treatment. Inequality in primary care provision needs to be addressed, and promoting earlier identification and diagnosis of dementia needs to be prioritised as a means to enabling support for PwD in more disadvantaged areas.

We found evidence of inequalities in care transitions and medication use between ethnic groups. However, it is important to note that the inclusion criteria for papers in this review was for papers that included only people with a formal diagnosis of dementia. Although people from BAME groups are more likely to have dementia, they are less likely to be diagnosed and are therefore underrepresented in services, and may therefore be
| Author                  | Year | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | Total | Applied checklist points |
|------------------------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|-------|--------------------------|
| Aaltonen et al.        | 2012 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 10 | 11 |      |                     |
| Calvo-Perxas et al.    | 2014 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 10  |      |                     |
| Connolly et al.        | 2012 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | na | na | 1  | 9  | 11  |      |                     |
| Cooper et al.          | 2016 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 10  |      |                     |
| Cooper et al.          | 2017 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 9  | 10  |      |                     |
| Donegan et al.         | 2017 | 0 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 0  | 5  | 10  |      |                     |
| Fillenbaum et al.      | 2001 | 0 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 10  |      |                     |
| Huang et al.           | 2001 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | na  | 1  | 8  | 10  |      |                     |
| Johnell et al.         | 2013 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 9  | 10  |      |                     |
| Kahle-Wrobleski et al. | 2017 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 0  | 9  | 11  |      |                     |
| Knapp et al.           | 2016 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 9  | 11  |      |                     |
| Korhonen et al.        | 2018 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 10  |      |                     |
| Miller et al.          | 1998 | 0 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | na | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 10  |      |                     |
| Neumann et al.         | 2001 | 0 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 0  | 8  | 11  |      |                     |
| Peterson et al.        | 2008 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 7  | 11  |      |                     |
| Pujades-Rodriguez et al.| 2018 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 0  | 7  | 11  |      |                     |
| Rudolph et al.         | 2010 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 11  |      |                     |
| Scalmana et al.        | 2013 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 9  | 11  |      |                     |
| Smith et al.           | 2001 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 10 | 11  |      |                     |
| Sommerlad et al.       | 2019 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 7  | 11  |      |                     |
| Stevnsborg et al.      | 2016 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 7  | 11  |      |                     |
| Taipale et al.         | 2014 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 7  | 11  |      |                     |
| Thorpe et al.          | 2016 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 10  |      |                     |
| van de Vorst et al.    | 2015 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 0  | 7  | 10  |      |                     |
| van de Vorst et al.    | 2016 | 0 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 8  | 11  |      |                     |
| Verbeek et al.         | 2015 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 10 | 11  |      |                     |
| Wattmo et al.          | 2013 | 1 | 1 | na | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | na | na | 1  | 9  | 11  |      |                     |

Abbreviations: na, not applicable; NIH, National Institutes of Health.

*a*Checklist points, NIH Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies: 1. Was the research question or objective in this paper clearly stated? 2. Was the study population clearly specified and defined? 3. Was the participation rate of eligible persons at least 50%? 4. Were all the subjects selected or recruited from the same or similar populations (including the same time period)? Were inclusion and exclusion criteria for being in the study prespecified and applied uniformly to all participants? 5. Was a sample size justification, power description, or variance and effect estimates provided? 6. For the analyses in this paper, were the exposure(s) of interest measured prior to the outcome(s) being measured? 7. Was the timeframe sufficient so that one could reasonably expect to see an association between exposure and outcome if it existed? 8. For exposures that can vary in amount or level, did the study examine different levels of the exposure as related to the outcome (e.g., categories of exposure or exposure measured as continuous variable)? 9. Were the exposure measures (independent variables) clearly defined, valid, reliable and implemented consistently across all study participants? 10. Was the exposure(s) assessed more than once over time? 11. Were the outcome measures (dependent variables) clearly defined, valid, reliable and implemented consistently across all study participants? 12. Were the outcome assessors blinded to the exposure status of participants? 13. Was loss to follow-up after baseline 20% or less? 14. Were key potential confounding variables measured and adjusted statistically for their impact on the relationship between exposure(s) and outcome(s)?

*b*na, not applicable (point on quality rating was not applicable to the research paper and so was not included in final total or maximum score; 0 = did not meet criteria, 1 = met criteria).
underrepresented in the studies included in our review. Diagnosis is a gateway to accessing care and support, compounding the disadvantage and barriers minority ethnic groups face in diagnosis and beyond. 

Lack of diagnosis leads to poorer care and makes it more difficult to manage dementia, which our review highlighted. Poor, inconsistent care results in accelerated disease progression, increased dependence and shortened lives. These differences emphasise need for increased awareness of dementia and more equitable service provision. We also need to better understand how to provide culturally appropriate services for PwD.

This review highlighted that lower educational attainment resulted in less care access and poorer survival outcomes. Higher educational attainment is associated with greater health literacy, which leads to increased access to both better quality and a wider breadth of treatment and care. People with lower educational attainment are less likely to know where to go, who to ask, and what treatment and care is available. They are therefore more likely to have delayed diagnosis and only receive care once their condition has worsened, resulting in faster disease progression, greater interactions with emergency and institutional care, leading to negative health and social outcomes. More needs to be done to improve health literacy. Awareness of dementia and the potential benefits of early diagnosis can help to maintain home care and independence for longer and provide a better quality of life for PwD and their carers.

We find that women receive better treatment and require less urgent care. Although they enter Institutional Long-Term Care (ILTC) earlier and use less elective healthcare and social care services, they tend to have a slower disease trajectory and better outcomes. This indicates variance in informal care provision and societal, gender-based caregiving expectations. Women provide more hands-on care, experiencing a greater caregiver burden. With men more likely to be given care at home for longer, but more likely to exhibit more difficult behavioural symptoms, a critical point may come when caring for someone at home becomes too difficult. This is reflected in greater use of urgent healthcare and later movements to ILTC for men, and their resultant poorer outcomes.

We have identified older PwD are more likely to live alone. PwD who live alone, are more often unmarried and older, require more urgent healthcare, move into ILTC, and have poorer outcomes. Maintaining independence improves survival, but a lack of informal care, greater comorbidities and frailty, poor prognosis from elective hospital treatments and heightened dementia severity, lead to older PwD being more likely to spend longer in hospital and encounter poorer outcomes.

We find that greater caregiver burden and less support increase emergency healthcare use and ILTC. PwD in ILTC have poorer primary healthcare experiences and worse survival. Carers feel a sense of duty and take on great responsibility. However, with substantial caregiver burden, PwD are less likely to use services that can aid longevity of care at home, leading to extensive informal home care becoming unfeasible, resulting in greater ILTC and urgent healthcare use.

Being able to manage and care for PwD at home is critical to the sustainability of the health and social care system. Lack of informal care, or having informal caregivers with greater burden, can generate adverse outcomes for PwD. We have highlighted that both are factors which differ for men and women with dementia and for older PwD. Better communication from primary care of what to expect when providing informal care for PwD, and increased community care provision could reduce caregiver strain, maintain independence at home for longer and reduce the need for emergency healthcare and ILTC. Informal carers need support and those without it, need to be cared for.

### 4.1 Strengths and limitations

To our knowledge, this is the first review to identify inequalities in dementia care pathways globally through the use of routine data. We have generated a detailed synthesis of the literature available for various socio-economic factors in dementia care pathways. We have searched multiple evidence bases for available literature and have assessed the quality of all included papers, judging the value of each paper based on its quality rating as measured and agreed on by two research team members.

Some limitations of our review include that we only included English-language studies. This may reduce the likelihood of this review appraising dementia care pathways and inequalities in non-English speaking countries, and therefore the generalisability of our findings to a greater range of geographic settings. In terms of limitations of the included literature, there was limited evidence comparing countries, potentially reducing generalisability of findings across geographic areas. Further to this, the majority of studies were based in the United Kingdom, United States and mainland Europe, highlighting potential issues with applying findings to other areas, particularly ‘non-Western’ countries. While some studies compared and contrasted different national settings (i.e., Verbeek et al.), these were all European countries. Moreover, the majority of studies investigated only one or two socio-economic outcomes, with most evidence on hospital use and ILTC. This highlights the need for greater research in all aspects of the dementia care pathway. No single measure is likely to account for the variance in experiences of PwD. Future research should look to widen the geographic scope and outcomes considered when investigating dementia care pathways and inequalities in care for PwD.

### 5 Conclusions and implications

This systematic review has identified clear inequalities in current dementia care pathways across the globe, and the advantages of using existing routine and cohort data sets to explore and highlight these. Whilst there is a burgeoning literature on inequalities due to some socio-economic factors, there is a dearth of research identifying the impact of such factors in combination and the specific pathways
through which they operate. Our findings however are important to guide the production of improved care plans to ensure that everyone living with dementia and affected by the condition receives the right care at the right time. Maintaining care at home is mutually beneficial and can narrow inequalities but requires informal carers to be supported—we need to identify ways to reduce carer burden, aid care at home and improve outcomes as a result. Moreover, there is a need to provide a more equitable service to PwD, improve the availability of culturally appropriate services and to provide services to PwD who are not in the position of being able to call on informal care from family or friends.
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