Resolving Chemical Gradients Around Seagrass Roots—A Review of Available Methods
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Steep geochemical gradients surround roots and rhizomes of seagrass and protect the plants against the harsh conditions in anoxic sediment, while enabling nutrient uptake. Imbalance of these gradients, due to e.g., low plant performance and/or changing sediment biogeochemical conditions, can lead to plant stress and large-scale seagrass meadow die-off. Therefore, measuring and mapping the dynamic gradients around seagrass roots and rhizomes is needed to better understand plant responses to human impact and environmental changes. Historically, electrochemical microsensors enabled the first measurements of important chemical species like O$_2$, pH or H$_2$S with high sensitivity and spatial resolution giving important insights to the seagrass rhizosphere microenvironment; however, such measurements only provide information in one dimension at a time. In recent years, the use of reversible optical sensors (in the form of planar optodes or nanoparticles) and accumulative gel sampling methods like Diffusive Gradients in Thin films (DGT) have extended the array of analytes and allowed 2-D mapping of chemical gradients in the seagrass rhizosphere. Here, we review and discuss such microscale methods from a practical angle, discuss their application in seagrass research, and point toward novel experimental approaches to study the (bio)geochemistry around seagrass roots and rhizomes using a combination of available techniques, both in the lab and in situ.
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INTRODUCTION

Seagrass meadows are important ecosystems that play an important role for marine biodiversity (Bertelli and Unsworth, 2014), coastal protection (Fonseca and Cahalan, 1992) and not the least sediment biogeochemistry and carbon sequestration (McLeod et al., 2011; Fourqueiran et al., 2012). Photo-assimilated carbon moves from the seagrass leaves to the roots, and into the anoxic sediment, where the anoxic conditions prevent rapid microbial mineralization (Duarte et al., 2005). Besides plant debris, organic carbon from plant excretions involving sugars and organic acids can have a major impact on the carbon sequestration capability (Wetzel and Penhale, 1979; Long et al., 2008).
Up to 40% of the dissolved organic carbon under seagrass meadows was recently estimated to be in the form of plant-derived sucrose that accumulates in the seagrass rhizosphere and seems to largely escape from microbial degradation due to the concomitant excretion of phenolic compounds (Sogin et al., 2021). However, the organic carbon can also find its way back to the atmosphere through re-mobilization, e.g., via the continuous emission of the greenhouse gas methane from seagrass meadows (Garcías-Bonet and Duarte, 2017) or via physical disturbance by humans that exposes the reduced sediment to O$_2$ and thereby stimulates aerobic mineralization processes with faster carbon turnover rates and complete oxidation of organic carbon to CO$_2$ (Brodersen et al., 2019). Nevertheless, plant-microbe and other microbial interactions in the seagrass rhizosphere are not well understood and it is important to resolve biogeochemical element cycling underneath seagrass meadows and its role for seagrass plant fitness.

Growth of seagrass roots into reduced, anoxic sediment relies strongly on gas-filled plant tissue layers, aerenchyma, which allows O$_2$ in the seagrass leaves, originating either via photosynthesis or via diffusion from the water column, to reach and ventilate the belowground tissue (Brodersen et al., 2018a). While the mature parts of the seagrass roots develop a gas-impermeable tissue layer—a Casparian band-like structure mainly composed of suberin—that enables efficient internal transport of O$_2$ and prevents radial O$_2$ loss (ROL) and influx of phytotoxins such as sulfide (H$_2$S) into the plant tissue (Barnabas, 1996; Colmer, 2003), O$_2$ eventually leaks from the growing root tips and the root/shoot junctions and oxidizes the rhizosphere (Jensen et al., 2005; Brodersen et al., 2015b; Koren et al., 2015). For instance, Jensen et al. (2005) reported that O$_2$ diffused from the roots 2 mm into the sediment. The extent of the radial O$_2$ loss varies with changing light conditions and root age resulting in heterogeneous and dynamic distributions of oxic zones underneath a seagrass meadow (Frederiksen and Glud, 2006). However, the extent of radial O$_2$ loss is not only determined by the capacity of the root to leak O$_2$ but also by the capability of the sediment to consume the released O$_2$ (i.e., the sediment O$_2$ demand). For example, if seagrass is transplanted into oligotrophic sediment with less bacterial density, the O$_2$ released from roots will diffuse further into the sediment before it is consumed by abiotic and biotic oxidation reactions than in highly reduced, sulfidic sediment with a high content of organic material and resulting high bacterial density. Taken together, the presence of organic carbon from plant debris and root exudates together with the spatially restricted oxic zones create hotspots of microbial activity below a seagrass meadow (Blaabjerg et al., 1998; Nielsen et al., 2001; Jensen et al., 2007; Brodersen et al., 2018b), which renders the seagrass rhizosphere into a diurnally changing, mosaic of steep geochemical gradients.

Despite their ability to sustain the harsh geochemical conditions of anoxic sediment, seagrasses are currently challenged by human impact such as coastal development and eutrophication, which lead to increased water turbidity and hypoxia, as well as, increased epiphyte growth on seagrass leaves (Ertfemeijer and Robin Lewis, 2006; Orth et al., 2006; Waycott et al., 2009). This results in prolonged, low-light availability for photosynthesis altering the balance of the chemical gradients that form around the roots protecting seagrasses and enabling nutrient uptake (Brodersen et al., 2015a, 2017a). The source of the stress originates from above the meadow through human impact and environmental changes, but the resulting danger often comes from underneath and that is H$_2$S (Holmer and Bondgaard, 2001). Under healthy conditions, the aeration of the below-ground tissues and oxygenation of the rhizosphere around the root tips, root/shoot junctions and the base of the shoot (i.e., the basal leaf meristem) facilitates the abiotic and microbial oxidation of the toxic H$_2$S (Brodersen et al., 2018b). However, during periods of low water-column O$_2$ content, e.g., during nighttime when photosynthesis-driven O$_2$ production is halted, the supply of O$_2$ to the below-ground tissues cannot counterbalance the H$_2$S intrusion. Thus, prolonged O$_2$ limitations initiate large-scale seagrass meadow die-off (Borum et al., 2005). Therefore, it is important to determine how human impact can change geochemical gradients in the seagrass meadow sediment and rhizosphere.

Vertical concentration gradients in sediments of a broad variety of analytes can be determined by analyzing extracted porewater (e.g., McGlathery et al., 2001). Typically, cylindrical push cores have been used to section the sediment horizons on the cm-scale (Toshihiro et al., 2001; Sogin et al., 2021). However, this technique provides average concentrations from large sample volumes; hence, steep gradients in the mm-range, as well as, small-scale heterogeneities are overlooked (Huang et al., 2019). To fully account for the pronounced structural and chemical heterogeneity of the seagrass rhizosphere it is thus necessary to employ tools for microenvironmental sensing and analysis at high spatio-temporal resolution. In the following, we review experimental tools for exploring the seagrass rhizosphere microenvironment ranging from one-dimensional measurements of chemical concentration gradients with different types of microsensors, to mapping of the two-dimensional distribution of chemical species in the rhizosphere using optode-based chemical imaging and gel-sampling techniques. We exemplify how these techniques have been used to study the seagrass rhizosphere and discuss future needs and possibilities for multidimensional sensing approaches to further resolve how the seagrass rhizosphere microenvironment modulates plant fitness and sediment biogeochemistry.

**ONE-DIMENSIONAL PROBING OF THE SEAGRASS RHIZOSPHERE MICROENVIRONMENT**

**Microsensors**
Electrochemical and fiber-optic microsensors enable measurements of concentration profiles in 1-D with high sensitivity and spatial resolution owing to the small tip sizes in the µm-range. The available gas, ion, bio and micro-optode sensors cover a wide range of analytes (Kühl and Revsbech, 2001; Revsbech, 2021), but electrochemical O$_2$ and H$_2$S microsensors (Revsbech and Jørgensen, 1986; Revsbech, 1989;
Brodersen et al., 2017a; Figure 1C. Microsensor measurements are cumbersome but feasible (e.g., Serves as a reference point during profiling. Anyhow, the sediment surface by using a dissecting microscope, which instance, in the laboratory the sensor tip can be positioned on in the laboratory (see an example setup in Figure 1A). For example, Schrameyer et al. (2018) carried out an in situ shading experiment and used microsensors to measure H2S and O2 in retrieved sediment cores in the laboratory, among others, to calculate the diffusive O2 uptake. It is more convenient to carry out microsensor probing on retrieved cores in aquaria in the laboratory (see an example setup in Figure 1A). For instance, in the laboratory the sensor tip can be positioned on the sediment surface by using a dissecting microscope, which serves as a reference point during profiling. Anyhow, in situ microsensor measurements are cumbersome but feasible (e.g., Brodersen et al., 2017a; Figure 1C). The analyst should then be experienced enough to handle the sensors and judge the sensor position in the sediment by following the signal response. In this way, microsensor tips can be positioned within the root tissue or in the base of the leaf under field conditions (Borum et al., 2005). The microsensor tips are typically robust enough to penetrate root and rhizome/leaf tissue but break if they hit a solid surface like a bivalve shell or larger, motile infauna. Using microsensors in un-sieved, natural sediment is thus risky, albeit microsensors often break due to incantuous handling during (dis)mounting.

One of the main challenges is to relate the position of the sensor tip in the sediment to the below-ground root structures if the roots cannot be visually seen. In order to know the sensor tip proximity to the roots during measurements, Jensen et al. (2005) placed a seagrass root horizontally on the sediment, positioned the microsensor tip at the root surface as reference point, and covered the roots again with sediment. However, this approach is very time-consuming as profiles can only commence when the geochemical gradients have re-established (often after more than 3 h depending on the analyte) and the connection between sensor and root is often lost during the sediment re-covering process. To accommodate this, artificial, transparent sediment can be used, for example, in a split flow chamber which enables approaching the roots with the microsensors from various angles (Brodersen et al., 2014, 2015b; Figure 1B). However, the use of non-natural sediment, with e.g., different redox conditions, microbial communities, and O2 demand, for laboratory incubations should be carefully considered as this can deviate the measured gradients from the ones which would be found in natural sediment. One way to alleviate this experimental limitation is, e.g., to utilize a reducing agent such as H2S, which can be applied to the artificial sediment to function as an O2 scavenger (Brodersen et al., 2014). Moreover, it is possible to perform spatial measurements of 2-D transects and 3-D grids of concentration profiles with microsensors using dedicated motorized microprofiling setups (e.g., Lichtenberg et al., 2017; Herschend et al., 2018), but such approach has limited applicability for rhizosphere studies, where the exact position of the plant biomass in the sediment is uncertain. Although this to some extent can be alleviated by employing artificial, transparent sediment it is a very time-consuming process, especially if the whole rhizosphere and/or several analytes are analyzed. Despite the fast response time (time that is needed for a stable read-out) of microsensors, typically in the range of seconds, recording one profile can take more than a few minutes. The time for acquiring one concentration profile will depend on the sensor response time, the amount of datapoints recorded, i.e., the given step size between data points, and the motor velocity used for positioning. The lowest possible step size is roughly the diameter of the sensor tip. During prolonged measuring periods roots can grow into new sediment regions (e.g., root growth of 5 mm day−1 for Z. marina; cf. Jensen et al., 2005) and thus can lead to difficulties to overlay the results from subsequently recorded profiles. Therefore, it is recommended to aim for chemical hotspots like the root tip region and root/shoot junction when analyzing the seagrass rhizosphere with detailed microsensor measurements.

In summary, microsensors cannot fully resolve the complex spatial heterogeneity of chemical gradients around seagrass roots but allow detailed, local measurements within the plant tissue, as well as local measurements of concentration profiles between the plant and surrounding sediment at very high spatio-temporal resolution. The commercial availability of microsensors for a wide array of analytes is additional benefits. In fact, for several analytes of interest microsensors represent the only option for microscale measurements (e.g., H2S, N2O, H2, CH4 and most ionic species).

**MULTI-DIMENSIONAL MAPPING OF THE SEAGRASS RHIZOSPHERE MICROENVIRONMENT**

**Optode-Based Techniques for Reversible, Chemical Imaging**

In contrast to microsensors, the use of planar optical sensors (planar optodes) in combination with imaging enables the measurement of analytes in 2-D and can therefore visualize dynamic changes in the chemical microenvironment and link them to small-scale heterogeneities around seagrass roots. For seagrass, planar optodes sensitive to O2 (Jensen et al., 2005; Frederiksen and Glud, 2006; Jovanovic et al., 2015) and pH (Brodersen et al., 2017b) have been used, whereas CO2-sensitive optodes have only been used for other aquatic and saltmarsh plants (Koop-Jakobsen et al., 2018; Lenzewski et al., 2018). The rectangular planar optode foils need to be brought in close contact with the below-ground plant tissue in the sediment. In order to ensure such close contact, planar optodes can be integrated in custom-made chambers with a flat, transparent wall. For instance, Martin et al. (2018) used narrow (2 cm wide) chambers that were placed in an aquarium and incubated in a tilted position to encourage root growth against the detachable...
FIGURE 1 | Overview of 1- and 2-D techniques to study the geochemistry in the seagrass rhizosphere microenvironment. (A) Microsensor probing on sediment cores retrieved from the field or seagrass transplanted into sediment in the laboratory. The conceptual microsensor profile of O2 is taken vertically through the upper layers of the sediment core and allows the calculation of, for example, the sediment diffusive O2 uptake and the O2 penetration depth. O2 excursions below the O2 penetration depth could be from radial O2 loss from roots/rhizomes or ventilated fauna burrows. (B) Artificial, transparent sediment in a custom-made split flow chamber (Brodersen et al., 2015b) allows to approach the roots with microsensors from different directions. (C) Microsensor probing under submerged conditions or when the seagrass plants are emerged during low tide have been proven challenging but feasible. (D) Gel probes or gel-sandwich (double) probes have been proven to be a robust field technique. (E) Example result of a sulfide-sensitive gel from a laboratory deployment. The image is modified from Martin et al. (2018) and white squares mark the root tips. (F) Gels can be mounted in between the sediment and planar optodes. (G) Example result of an O2-sensitive planar optode. An imaging system needs to be brought in position for the excitation in the dark and read-out of the planar optode. The image is modified from Martin et al. (2018) and white squares mark the root tips. (H) Optode nanoparticles in artificial, transparent sediment overcomes limitations of planar optodes, but cannot be done in natural sediment. Image is taken from Brodersen et al. (2016). (I) In situ instrument for planar optode measurements. Note that this has not yet been deployed in seagrass meadows. Image was modified from Glud et al. (2001). (J) O2 multi-fiber optode system for the simultaneous recording of 100 datapoints in the field. Note that this has not yet been deployed in seagrass meadows. Image was modified from Fischer and Koop-Jakobsen (2012). Micro-fiber optodes are more robust but with a lower resolution due to the bigger tip size than microsensors made out of glass.

glass wall mounted with a planar optode (Figure 1F). A practical video tutorial for the assembly of a similar incubation chamber has been published by Moßhammer et al. (2019b).

Planar optodes consist of an analyte-sensitive indicator dye dispersed in a polymer matrix and coated on solid supports such as plastic foils (Larsen et al., 2011). They are excited externally with a light source, and an image is taken quantifying either the emitted luminescence intensity or the decay time (Schäferling, 2012; Koren and Kühl, 2018), which change as function of the analyte concentration. Data acquisition can rely on simple ratiometric imaging (e.g., Larsen et al., 2011), or more advanced measurements, such as the luminescence decay time (e.g., Koren et al., 2019) and hyperspectral imaging (i.e., the recording of the continuous spectrum of light in each pixel of the image, which allows the simultaneous analysis of emissions from different analyte-sensitive indicators, see Zieger et al., 2021, for more details). The acquired images can be transformed into a calibrated, color-coded image of analyte concentration distributions, which can be aligned with the underlying root/rhizome structure. Hence, hotspots of enhanced biogeochemical reactions can be identified based on where distinct concentration variations are seen (e.g., Jensen et al., 2005;
and night cycles can be easily monitored due to the fullyTherefore, once the setup is ready, dynamic changes over daymeasurements. The imaging step itself only takes a few seconds.
and is usually carried out in sediment-free aquaria prior to
preparation of the imaging and incubation setup, calibration is
than one image per minute) is usually not needed. Besides the
preparation of the imaging and incubation setup, calibration is
one of the most laborious steps of planar optode measurements
and is usually carried out in sediment-free aquaria prior to
measurements. The imaging step itself only takes a few seconds.
Therefore, once the setup is ready, dynamic changes over day
and night cycles can be easily monitored due to the fully
 reversible response of the planar optodes to e.g., $O_2$ or pH. For
e.g., Frederiksen and Glud (2006) measured $O_2$ distributions
at regular time intervals around roots of Zostera marina over 44 h
and showed that $O_2$ release was reduced by 60% during darkness.
We note that the excellent long-term stability of $O_2$ optodes and
their temperature sensitivity, enabling temperature compensated
measurements during deployment, allows for measuring over
weeks and even months (e.g., Rickelt et al., 2014). Simple,
yet powerful planar optode systems can be assembled from
commercially available components (e.g., Larsen et al., 2011; Staal
et al., 2011) and are also commercially available as complete
systems. Instrumentation for underwater in situ imaging with
planar optodes has also been developed (Glud et al., 2001, 2005;
Figure 1I), but awaits first applications in seagrass meadows.

When assembling the planar optode–seagrass chambers close
contact of the optode with the root biomass is important,
because otherwise the true distribution of gradients cannot be
resolved. Another challenge during the assembly is to avoid air
bubbles trapped on either side of the optode foil. Furthermore,
the plant tissue and the microbial community around the
roots should be given time to recover after assembly of the
incubation chamber. While gradients from purely abiotic sources
to sinks establish relatively fast, the biotic components of
the rhizosphere can sometimes need several weeks to recover
from manipulation/disturbance (Wang et al., 2021). This could
e.g., be due to the recovery of root hairs that were broken
off during transplantation or the slow re-establishment of a
microbial network including filamentous bacteria (Scholz et al.,
2021) and fungal associations (Borovec and Vohník, 2018).

One constraint of planar optode-based imaging is that the
diffusive fluxes are cut off by the solid support on one side
which can distort the true analyte gradients around a 3-D
structure positioned against the planar optode (Li et al., 2019).
An alternative approach to coating the optode matrix on a
solid support is to use optode-nanoparticles (Moßhammer et al.,
2019a), e.g., in combination with magnetite to force the optode
particles against the seagrass leaves in a magnetic field (Brodersen
et al., 2020) or dispersed in a transparent, artificial sediment
embedding the below-ground tissues of a seagrass plant (Koren
et al., 2015; Brodersen et al., 2016; Figure 1H). Such dispersed
optical sensor nanoparticle approaches are read out by the same
imaging systems as planar optodes, but alleviate the limitations of
planar optodes by avoiding the sudden cut off of gradients at the
plastic foil on which the optode is coated and the need to ensure
close contact between the optode foil and the sample. Sensor
nanoparticles have, for example, been used to resolve radial $O_2$
loss from below-ground seagrass tissues on an entire rhizosphere
level and how this is affected by changing light and water-column
$O_3$ conditions (Koren et al., 2015).

In summary, optode-based imaging of the below-ground
chemical microenvironment with planar optodes or dispersed
sensor nanoparticles is fast and reversible, enabling time-series
measurements. The incubation chambers can be custom-made
and flexibly adjusted to fit the experimental needs, but challenges
are to ensure close contact of root/rhizome tissue with the
optode and to avoid air bubbles being trapped behind the
foil during assembly. Once the setup is ready, small-scale
heterogeneities and spatio-temporal dynamics can be visualized.
Optical nanoparticles to study $O_2$ dynamics around the below-
ground structure of seagrass circumvents drawbacks of the
planar optode technique, but requires the incubation in artificial,
transparent sediment. Currently, such reversible optode-based
imaging is limited to a handful of analytes (mainly $O_2$, pH,
$CO_2$). Novel optodes for important analytes like $NH_3$ are being
developed (Merl and Koren, 2020), but so far have not been
used in seagrass research. Also, multi-analyte optodes exist
(Moßhammer et al., 2016) and novel imaging approaches are
promising to push multi-parameter chemical mapping in the
rhizosphere even further (Koren and Zieger, 2021).

Irreversible Chemical Mapping With Gel
Probes

Gel-sampling methodologies using either Diffusive Gradients
in Thin-films (DGT) or Diffusive Equilibration in Thin-Films
(DET) enable irreversible, spatial 2-D mapping of chemical
species after sample exposure to the gel for a defined time interval
(see Davison et al., 2000; Santner et al., 2015 for a more detailed
account of these experimental methods). Both techniques rely on
passive diffusion of the sediment solutes from the target area into
a gel, where they are either continuously accumulated (DGT)
or in equilibrium with the porewater concentrations (DET).
Hence, similar experimental incubation chambers as explained
for the planar optode systems can be used (Figure 1F). But
in contrast to the planar optodes, the gels can relatively easily
be deployed in situ and subsequently analyzed after retrieval
(Figure 1D). One of the most targeted analytes for single-
analyte-binding DGT gel analysis in the seagrass rhizosphere

Figure 1G). In order to link the measured gradients to the
actual below-ground tissue, a photograph should be taken of the
sediment cross-section that faced the planar optode after
disassembly. If the optode foil is transparent enough, the
root structures and positions can also be followed directly
during measurement (Frederiksen and Glud, 2006; Martin et al.,
2018). Generally, planar optodes have high spatial resolution ($\mu$m$^2$-range), can be made in large sizes (> 400 cm$^2$) to cover
the entire area of interest, and have a response time in the range
of seconds to a few minutes depending on the type of planar
optode and the thickness of the sensor layer and/or the optical
isolation layer on top of the sensor layer (Santner et al., 2015).
The response time, however, is often not a critical factor in
seagrass studies, as the planar optode stays in the same position
during the experiment and high measuring frequencies (more
than one image per minute) is usually not needed. Besides the
preparation of the imaging and incubation setup, calibration is
one of the most laborious steps of planar optode measurements
and is usually carried out in sediment-free aquaria prior to
measurements. The imaging step itself only takes a few seconds.
Therefore, once the setup is ready, dynamic changes over day
and night cycles can be easily monitored due to the fully
 reversible response of the planar optodes to e.g., $O_2$ or pH. For
example, Frederiksen and Glud (2006) measured $O_2$ distributions
at regular time intervals around roots of Zostera marina over 44 h
and showed that $O_2$ release was reduced by 60% during darkness.
We note that the excellent long-term stability of $O_2$ optodes and
their temperature sensitivity, enabling temperature compensated
measurements during deployment, allows for measuring over
weeks and even months (e.g., Rickelt et al., 2014). Simple,
yet powerful planar optode systems can be assembled from
commercially available components (e.g., Larsen et al., 2011; Staal
et al., 2011) and are also commercially available as complete
systems. Instrumentation for underwater in situ imaging with
planar optodes has also been developed (Glud et al., 2001, 2005;
Figure 1I), but awaits first applications in seagrass meadows.

When assembling the planar optode–seagrass chambers close
contact of the optode with the root biomass is important,
because otherwise the true distribution of gradients cannot be
resolved. Another challenge during the assembly is to avoid air
bubbles trapped on either side of the optode foil. Furthermore,
the plant tissue and the microbial community around the
roots should be given time to recover after assembly of the
incubation chamber. While gradients from purely abiotic sources
to sinks establish relatively fast, the biotic components of
the rhizosphere can sometimes need several weeks to recover
from manipulation/disturbance (Wang et al., 2021). This could
e.g., be due to the recovery of root hairs that were broken
off during transplantation or the slow re-establishment of a
microbial network including filamentous bacteria (Scholz et al.,
2021) and fungal associations (Borovec and Vohník, 2018).

The plant itself can also experience stress during sampling and
separation into single shoots followed by transplantation into the
experimental chamber.

One constraint of planar optode-based imaging is that the
diffusive fluxes are cut off by the solid support on one side
which can distort the true analyte gradients around a 3-D
structure positioned against the planar optode (Li et al., 2019).
An alternative approach to coating the optode matrix on a
solid support is to use optode-nanoparticles (Moßhammer et al.,
2019a), e.g., in combination with magnetite to force the optode
particles against the seagrass leaves in a magnetic field (Brodersen
et al., 2020) or dispersed in a transparent, artificial sediment
embedding the below-ground tissues of a seagrass plant (Koren
et al., 2015; Brodersen et al., 2016; Figure 1H). Such dispersed
optical sensor nanoparticle approaches are read out by the same
imaging systems as planar optodes, but alleviate the limitations of
planar optodes by avoiding the sudden cut off of gradients at the
plastic foil on which the optode is coated and the need to ensure
close contact between the optode foil and the sample. Sensor
nanoparticles have, for example, been used to resolve radial $O_2$
loss from below-ground seagrass tissues on an entire rhizosphere
level and how this is affected by changing light and water-column
$O_3$ conditions (Koren et al., 2015).

In summary, optode-based imaging of the below-ground
chemical microenvironment with planar optodes or dispersed
sensor nanoparticles is fast and reversible, enabling time-series
measurements. The incubation chambers can be custom-made
and flexibly adjusted to fit the experimental needs, but challenges
are to ensure close contact of root/rhizome tissue with the
optode and to avoid air bubbles being trapped behind the
foil during assembly. Once the setup is ready, small-scale
heterogeneities and spatio-temporal dynamics can be visualized.
Optical nanoparticles to study $O_2$ dynamics around the below-
ground structure of seagrass circumvents drawbacks of the
planar optode technique, but requires the incubation in artificial,
transparent sediment. Currently, such reversible optode-based
imaging is limited to a handful of analytes (mainly $O_2$, pH,
$CO_2$). Novel optodes for important analytes like $NH_3$ are being
developed (Merl and Koren, 2020), but so far have not been
used in seagrass research. Also, multi-analyte optodes exist
(Moßhammer et al., 2016) and novel imaging approaches are
promising to push multi-parameter chemical mapping in the
rhizosphere even further (Koren and Zieger, 2021).
is $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ (Brodersen et al., 2017b; Martin et al., 2018; Figure 1E), which can e.g., be mapped with a silver iodide gel which continuously binds $\Sigma\text{H}_2\text{S}$ (i.e., $\text{H}_2\text{S}, \text{HS}^-, \text{and } \text{S}_2^-$) from the porewater through a precipitation reaction to silver sulfide (Teasdale et al., 1999). The precipitation reaction induces a dark coloration, which can then be analyzed after incubation by computer imaging densitometry (CID), e.g., using a common flatbed scanner. In contrast to DGT gels, the DET gels do not bind the analyte and hence concentrations within the gel represent porewater concentrations at the time of retrieval. One of the most common analytes for DET gels in seagrass beds is Fe(II) (Pagès et al., 2012; Kankanamge et al., 2017, 2020), where the analyte concentrations can be quantified by covering the gel with a ferrozine reagent staining gel after sampling to induce a colorimetric reaction (Jézéquel et al., 2007; Robertson et al., 2008; Bennett et al., 2012). This staining reaction is not necessary if the gels are directly analyzed after drying (in the case for DET gels, the analytes must be immobilized after sampling) using a beam technology such as laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometer (LA-ICP-MS), which allows to simultaneously analyze and map the distribution of several ionic species in the seagrass rhizosphere with a spatial resolution of around 100 $\mu$m (Brodersen et al., 2017b).

When the DGT and DET gels are deployed in incubation chambers (as described above for planar optodes) the following practical aspects should be considered: (1) close contact of the gel with the root/rhizome tissue, (2) avoiding entrapment of air pockets between gel and sediment, and (3) sufficient pre-incubation for the recovery of plant and microbial community, as well as, chemical gradients after transplanting. A defined onset of gel incubation can be accomplished by placing a retrievable, sterilized plastic foil in between the sample/sediment and the gel (Brodersen et al., 2017b), which can be removed to initiate sample contact to the gel when steady state biogeochemical conditions have been reached. While planar optodes allow continuous and dynamic recording of data over time using the same optode foil, measurements with DGT gels represent accumulated signals during a defined incubation time, i.e., a one-time irreversible readout. Another drawback of DET gels is the continuous removal of the analyte that can affect processes within the sediment, which is especially critical e.g., at low solute concentrations. Hence, careful planning of the cultivation/measuring period is required. This is not the case for DET gels, because the solutes are not bound and accumulated within gel. But therefore, it is also crucial to process the DET gels immediately after sampling, as otherwise the analyte diffuses within the gel in all directions and blurs the actual gradients and distributions.

These passive gel-sampling techniques can also be used in situ, as probes of single gels and even combined DET-DGT and DET-DGT gels can be pushed into the seagrass sediment when the meadow is exposed at low-tide (Simpson et al., 2018) or under water (Brodersen et al., 2017b; Figure 1D). The combination of multiple gels in one probe with in situ deployment enables the simultaneous measurement of multiple analytes over similar temporal and spatial scales, which can visualize the chemical heterogeneity below a seagrass meadow, including the contribution from multiple seagrass shoots and bioturbation. The in situ gel deployments and sandwich-gel approaches of combined DGT-DET or DET-DET gels also have several drawbacks, which should be considered when interpreting the imaging results. First, pushing the gel probes into the sediment can drag upper sediment layers deeper down causing artifacts (Santner et al., 2015). Second, no structural photographs can be taken from the measured cross-section of the sediment and hence correlations of solute distributions with below-ground structures such as roots or burrows are speculative. Third, DGT gels provide integrated and averaged concentrations over the entire deployment time, while DET gels represent the porewater concentrations over the last period of the deployment time. Therefore, the combination and deployment of DGT and DET gels at the same time may lead to artifacts depending on the change of gradients during the deployment time (Robertson et al., 2008). An alternative to circumvent this issue for $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ is a novel DET gel (Kankanamge et al., 2020), which allows to deploy a DET($\text{H}_2\text{S}$)-DET probe rather than a DGT($\text{H}_2\text{S}$)-DET-probe.

One simple but yet elegant approach was reported by Marzocchi et al. (2019), who investigated the $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ and $\text{NH}_4^+$ porewater concentrations around roots of the freshwater plant Vallisneria spiralis by assembling a sediment sandwich with 96-well microplates covered with a membrane on each side. The microtiter plates can be considered a variant of DET gels, where each well goes in equilibrium with the porewater concentrations. After deployment, the analyte concentrations were quantified by standard colorimetric reactions. With this approach, the spatial resolution is limited by the diameter of the wells (approximately 7 mm) and the spacing between the wells (approximately 1 mm).

In summary, gels are passive sampling techniques that extend the array of analytes for 2-D mapping. In contrast to reversible optodes, the gel-based approaches allow only the read-out of analyte distributions at a given time period. However, for several key analytes such as sulfide, metals and other ionic species these gel-sampling techniques are presently the only option for 2-D mapping in the seagrass rhizosphere. Advantages of these techniques include the versatility of the gels as they can be deployed in various configurations including as sandwich sensors and for in situ measurements.

**FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS**

A better understanding of the heterogeneous distribution of geochemical hotspots around seagrass roots/rhizome, and their dynamic changes over day/night and seasonal cycles is instrumental for enhancing our knowledge about how the seagrass plant is adapted to life in sediments and how it is affected by environmental stress. As mentioned above, the seagrass rhizosphere is a dynamic chemical environment where several chemical parameters exhibit changes at different time scales and with different interdependences. Hence, the analysis of multiple analytes in the same spatial area and time is needed. This can be achieved through various approaches.

For instance, Brodersen et al. (2017b) used a narrow sandwich chamber (width: 1 cm) design for the deployment of $\text{O}_2$...
and/or pH planar optodes on one side of the below-ground tissue structures and single- or multi-binding DGT gels on the other side. With this approach the authors could show that the release of O$_2$ and organic compounds from the roots and rhizome stimulate nutrient mobilization in the rhizosphere, where (i) protolytic dissolution (protons generated from protective sulfide oxidation stimulated through radial O$_2$ loss from the roots and rhizome) mobilized phosphate mainly in the light and (ii) reductive dissolution [reduction of insoluble Fe(III)oxyhydroxides to dissolved Fe(II) driven by sulfide generated by sulfate reducing bacteria that are stimulated by organic carbon exudations from the roots] mobilized phosphate and increases Fe(II) availability mainly in the dark. These are important seagrass-driven nutrient mobilization mechanisms that are further supported by the release of organic acids (Long et al., 2008) and microbial Fe(III) reduction linked to organic carbon oxidation. However, optodes can also be directly coated with a sampling gel (Figure 1F). By means of such a combined optode-gel-sensor, Martin et al. (2018) monitored the O$_2$ concentrations and ensured sediments remained anoxic during deployment of the H$_2$S-sensitive DGT gel. Other sensor combinations are the above-mentioned DGT-DET and DET-DET gel-sandwiches, which can also be applied in the field (Robertson et al., 2008; Pagès et al., 2012). Moreover, dual optode sensors for pH and O$_2$ measurements (Moßhammer et al., 2016) and the advances in hyperspectral imaging (Zieger et al., 2021) will facilitate further development and applications of multi-analyte optodes in future studies. A comprehensive model example of combining multidimensional techniques has been provided by Williams et al. (2014), who measured the O$_2$ or pH and metal distributions around rice roots by using combined optode-DGT gel sensors.

Microbial communities drive geochemical changes and conditions in the rhizosphere, but their specific associations with hotspots along the roots/rhizome and in different distances away from seagrass root/rhizome surfaces have only been poorly resolved. One approach for the sampling of microbial communities and correlation with geochemical gradients measured with microsensors has been reported by Brodersen et al. (2018b). The authors made use of artificial, transparent sediment with added porewater microbes in the previously reported, custom made split flow chamber (Brodersen et al., 2014). Moreover, Martin et al. (2018) used O$_2$-sensitive planar optodes to distinguish microbial communities on O$_2$-releasing and non-leaking roots with particular focus on cable bacteria, which are filamentous, cm-long bacteria that change their surrounding sediment geochemistry with major impact on the pH and sulfur-cycle (Pfeffer et al., 2012). The colonization pattern of cable bacteria and their geochemical impact in surface sediments and around worm tubes have been visualized using combinations of planar optodes and gels (Aller et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2021a,b). Interestingly, cable bacteria appear to be a common member of the root microbiome of various seagrass species (Scholz et al., 2021) but their potential geochemical impact in the seagrass rhizosphere has not yet been shown. The identification of hotspots by multidimensional imaging could also be used for targeted sampling for metabolomics or chemical analyses.

Last, not least, there is a strong need for better in situ mapping of the seagrass rhizosphere microenvironment. Microenvironmental analyses of the seagrass rhizosphere have mostly been done in the laboratory, with the exception of (i) diver-operated in situ microsensor measurements, typically positioned in seagrass leaf meristems to follow the O$_2$ and H$_2$S status of the plant over diel cycles (e.g., Brodersen et al., 2017a; Olsen et al., 2018), and (ii) the in situ deployment of gel samplers (e.g., Pagès et al., 2012; Brodersen et al., 2017b, see Supplementary Table 1 for an overview of selected key references). However, laboratory measurements cannot fully replicate the dynamic environmental conditions found in situ and often involve manipulation of the rhizosphere and surrounding sediment during assembly of the setup and sampling. This can potentially change redox conditions and other aspects of the in situ microenvironment including the spatial arrangement of microbes relative to the plant roots. Thus, it should be kept in mind that measurements in laboratory setups with sieved, homogenized sediment and mainly on a single shoot do not represent the natural environment below a seagrass meadow, where bioturbation, multiple shoots, and plant debris cause even more heterogeneous distributions of gradients (Edward and Mark, 1998; Simpson et al., 2018). While in situ mapping of chemical species over hours/days with planar optodes mounted on dedicated underwater imaging platforms and in mesocosms is well established in soil and sediment biogeochemistry (Glud et al., 2001; Askaer et al., 2010; Santner et al., 2015) such technologies have, surprisingly, not yet been applied in seagrass meadows. For instance, potential issues to be solved are how to avoid scratching and other damage of the planar optode surface when inserting it into the tough matrix of seagrass covered sediments. The insertion would also break the root/rhizome network and disrupt the gradients in a seagrass meadow. But this could be overcome if the instrument would be deployed at the fringe of a seagrass bed or in newly restored seagrass beds where seagrass seedlings were just transplanted. It should be noted that no perfect alignment of roots and rhizome to the measuring devices (planar optodes or gel probes) can be assured under in situ conditions, but rather the natural distribution of gradients will be determined. Also more long-term in situ measurements, e.g., of seasonal changes in the seagrass rhizosphere or long-term changes due to environmental impacts such as dredging, heat waves or hypoxia events, remain to be realized. A suitable starting point for such measurements could, e.g., be based on systems for distributed sensing with fiber-optic optode arrays (e.g., Fischer and Koop-Jakobsen, 2012; Figure 1J) that can be inserted into the sediment at various positions/depths to monitor rhizosphere oxygenation over hours/days (Koop-Jakobsen et al., 2017) or robust spears with defined measuring spots that are able to monitor, e.g., O$_2$ and temperature profiles in soils and sediment over several months (Rickelt et al., 2014). Adaptation and expansion of such techniques for optode-based, long-term in situ measurements of key parameters like O$_2$, pH and temperature in seagrass rhizospheres is a promising research direction, which...
will enhance insight to in situ characteristics of the seagrass rhizosphere and could become a valuable tool for environmental monitoring and management (e.g., as an early warning system for environmental stress in seagrass meadows).
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