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Abstract: Cholera toxin (CT), an AB2-subunit toxin, enters host cells by binding the ganglioside GM1 at the plasma membrane (PM) and travels retrograde through the trans-Golgi Network into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). In the ER, a portion of CT, the enzymatic A1-chain, is unfolded by protein disulfide isomerase and retro-translocated to the cytosol by hijacking components of the ER associated degradation pathway for misfolded proteins. After crossing the ER membrane, the A1-chain refolds in the cytosol and escapes rapid degradation by the proteasome to induce disease by ADP-ribosylating the large G-protein Gs and activating adenylyl cyclase. Here, we review the mechanisms of toxin trafficking by GM1 and retro-translocation of the A1-chain to the cytosol.
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1. Introduction

Cholera toxin (CT) is the causative agent for the massive secretory diarrhea seen in Asiatic cholera. CT is secreted by the gram-negative bacterium Vibrio cholerae in the intestinal lumen. From here, it passes into and across the barrier of polarized epithelial cells that line the intestine by hijacking endogenous pathways to induce toxicity (Figure 1). CT begins its journey into the host cell by binding
to the ganglioside GM1. The toxin then enters the cell by various modes of endocytosis, traffics retrograde from the plasma membrane (PM) to the trans-Golgi Network (TGN) and ultimately reaches the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Trafficking in this pathway is likely dependent on the ability of GM1 to associate with lipid rafts. Once in the ER, CT masquerades as a terminally misfolded protein to co-opt mechanisms for ER associated degradation (ERAD) and cross the ER membrane into the cytosol in a process termed retro-translocation. In the lumen of the ER, the enzymatically active portion of the A-subunit, the A1-chain, is unfolded by protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) [1]. How the A1-chain crosses the ER membrane is unclear. Once in the cytosol, the enzymatically active A1-chain refolds, avoids rapid degradation, gains access to its substrate, and induces toxicity. This paper will review current ideas for how the toxin gains access to the ER and hijacks the ERAD pathway. (Table 1 summarizes the key host cell factors involved.)

**Figure 1.** Current model for CT cell entry and intoxication. CT, via its B-subunit, binds to GM1 on the apical membrane of intestinal epithelial cells. It then traffics through early and recycling endosomes [2,3] to the TGN, perhaps bypassing the Golgi apparatus for eventual delivery to the ER [4,5]. From here, CT can recycle between the Golgi and ER (dotted arrows) [4]. Once inside the ER, the A1-chain is unfolded by PDI [1], recognized by the ER Hsp70 chaperone BiP (heavy chain binding protein) [6] and is presumably rendered a soluble substrate for retro-translocation by the ERAD-lumenal pathway [7]. Retro-translocation possibly involves the ER membrane proteins Hrd1, derlin-1 [8–10] and the Sec61 translocon [11]. Upon entry into the cytosol, the A1-chain refolds into its native conformation and activates adenylate cyclase (AC) by ADP-ribosylation of the heterotrimeric G-protein Gs. The increase in cAMP causes chloride secretion and the massive diarrhea that typifies cholera [12].

### 2. Structure and Function

CT belongs to the larger family of AB toxins [13]. These toxins are characterized by having an enzymatically active A-domain, responsible for inducing toxicity, and a cell binding B-domain,
responsible for cell entry. The AB toxins often consist of a single polypeptide chain that are cleaved into individual A and B components (e.g., ricin and diphtheria toxin), while others are comprised of individual A and B polypeptides that self assemble during the process of intoxication (e.g., anthrax toxin.) A subset of the AB family, the AB5 family of toxins, are comprised of six polypeptides, a single A-subunit and a homopentameric B-subunit that self assemble to form the holotoxin prior to secretion from the microbe. CT typifies this AB5 family of toxins. Other members include the closely related heat labile enterotoxins, as well as shiga toxin, the shiga-like toxins, and pertussis toxin.

The 27 kDa A-subunit of CT is comprised of an A2- and enzymatically active A1-chain, which is linked non-covalently to the B-subunit via the A2-chain (Figure 2). The A-subunit contains a serine-protease cleavage site located between residues 192 and 195 that allows for cleavage of the A-subunit into two polypeptides: the A2-chain and A1-chain. A disulfide bond between residues 187 and 199 bridges these chains together. Both the peptide and disulfide bonds must be broken before the A1-chain can enter the cytosol of host cells. The B-subunit consists of five 11.5 kDa peptides assembled non-covalently into a stable homopentamer that binds to the ganglioside GM1 on the PM. The B-subunit-GM1 complex carries the A-subunit into the ER [4].

**Figure 2.** Three-dimensional structure of CT [14,15]. The A-subunit non-covalently associates with the pentameric B-subunit. The A-subunit is further subdivided into A1- and A2-chains, which are separated by a protease cleavage site and are joined by a disulfide bond and further non-covalent interactions.

Following retro-translocation, the A1-chain enters the cytosol as an active ADP-ribosyltransferase that modifies the heterotrimeric G protein, Gsα. Modification of this G protein leads to the constitutive activation of adenylate cyclase and the rapid production of cAMP. In intestinal cells, this induces intestinal chloride secretion, which is accompanied by a massive movement of water and the diarrhea that is the hallmark of cholera.
3. Retrograde from the PM to the ER

3.1. Binding and Entry via the PM

Cholera toxin begins its intracellular journey by binding to the ganglioside GM1 located on the outer leaflet of apical membranes of intestinal epithelial cells. GM1 is the vehicle that transports the toxin all the way backwards in the secretory pathway from PM to ER. When clustered by binding to the B-subunit, GM1 shows preferential association with membrane microdomains, termed “lipid rafts,” composed of gangliosides, cholesterol, GPI-anchored proteins and sphingomyelin (Figure 3) [16,17]. Spatial and temporal information on these microdomain structures has proven difficult to obtain with conventional imaging methods due to resolution limits. Recent advances, however, have revealed they may be very small in size and highly dynamic, existing for 10-20 ms and having a diameter of less than 20–50 nm [18–20]. CT can bind up to five GM1 molecules at once by virtue of the ring-like pentameric structure of the B-subunit. This essentially clusters the ganglioside ceramide chains in the plane of the membrane and has been shown to increase the affinity of the GM1-CT complex with lipid rafts [16]. The association of CT with lipid rafts appears to be crucial for toxicity [4] and toxin clustering of GM1 likely increases the efficiency of retrograde trafficking to the ER, perhaps by stabilizing lipid raft microdomains, by inducing membrane curvature [21], or by simply enhancing the affinity for binding GM1 [22].

Figure 3. Retrograde Trafficking from the PM to the ER. CT binds to the ganglioside GM1 (blue) found in membrane microdomains (lipid rafts—green) on the plasma membrane of host cells, and can cluster five GM1 molecules at once. The toxin enters the cell by various endocytic mechanisms, including clathrin and caveolin-dependent, as well as caveolin and dynamin-independent mechanisms, and traffics to early and recycling endosomes [26–28,32]. Transport to the TGN involves many different proteins including V- and T-SNAREs [35,36]. From the TGN, the toxin traffics to the ER, apparently bypassing the Golgi-cisternae. A fraction of CT might be transported directly from endosome to ER. In the ER, the A1-chain is unfolded and retro-translocated to the cytosol. Available evidence indicates that the toxin B-subunit is bound to GM1 for the duration of the journey back to the ER.
The heterogeneous lipid composition of the PM has been shown to be important for CT function. In cells depleted of membrane cholesterol or sphingomyelin, downstream trafficking to the Golgi is inhibited and toxicity is attenuated [23,24]. Similar observations have been made for Shiga-like toxin [25]. Presumably, this reflects the dependence of CT and Shiga-like toxin on lipid raft microdomains.

3.2. Endocytosis and Trafficking Back to the ER

Toxin endocytosis en route to early endosomes from the PM can occur by various mechanisms [26–28]. Some pathways appear more or less prominent depending on the cell type studied. Early studies demonstrated a non-clathrin coated caveolar mechanism of endocytosis as the major route of entry in rat liver, HeLa, 3T3 and BHK cells [26,29,30]. Endocytosis has also been shown to occur via clathrin-mediated mechanisms in human intestinal Caco2 cells and pig enterocytes [27,31,32]. In enterocytes, caveolar endocytosis appeared to be a minor pathway. Non-clathrin/non-caveolar modes of endocytosis have also been observed with monkey kidney epithelial (BSC-1) cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) [28,33]. Simultaneous dominant-negative expression of mutant proteins in BSC-1 cells to inhibit clathrin-, caveolar- and ARF6-dependent endocytosis revealed a non-dynamin dependent mechanism for vesicle budding. Immunoelctron microscopy of MEFs lacking caveolin-1 have identified tubular and ring-like endocytic carriers termed GPI-enriched early endosomal compartments (GEECs) that originate from the PM and are involved with cdc42-mediated uptake mechanisms [33]. The results of these studies show that CT can enter the cell by many different means. Regardless of the mode of entry, however, the toxin is found in early endosomal vesicles containing the small GTPase Rab5. Perhaps, the decisive steps in sorting retrograde to the ER take place in this compartment.

Early endosome to Golgi transport for CT is not completely understood, but the toxins, in general, are thought to move from early endosomes to TGN, independent of the late endosome pathway [34–36]. The mannose 6-phosphate receptor uses the late endosome to TGN route involving Rab9, T-SNARES Syntaxin 10 (STX10), STX16 and V-SNARES VAMP3, and Vti1a. CT and Shiga, however, are transported directly to the TGN from the early endosome via STX6-, Rab6A-, and Golgin97-mediated vesicle docking to the TGN involving the aforementioned SNAREs, with exception of STX10 [36–38]. This pathway has been shown to be dependent on cdc42 and utilizes actin and microtubules (reviewed by Sandvig et al. [39]). Both CT and Shiga toxin require STX5, though Shiga appears to be more sensitive to depletion of this protein [35]. The retromer complex has also been identified as a key component in retrograde Shiga transport from endosomes to the TGN [40,41]. The retromer consists of sorting nexins SNX1, SNX2 and Vps26, Vps29 and Vps35, and functions by associating with membrane lipids in early and recycling endosomes to sense curvature and facilitate tubulation away from these organelles. Overall, it appears that Shiga and CT use a similar, though perhaps not identical, pathway from the PM to the TGN.

From the TGN, cholera toxin is transported to the ER via a COPI-independent pathway in human intestinal T84 cells [5]. Using the small molecule agent, Exo2, which collapses the Golgi stacks but does not affect the TGN, it was found that CT-GM1 might bypass the Golgi cisternae trafficking directly to the ER [5]. The pathway from TGN to ER in almost all cells is, however, sensitive to Brefeldin A, a fungal metabolite known to induce tubulation of the TGN and subsequent dispersion into endosomes and ER membranes [42]. The brefeldin block occurs before toxin entry into the TGN.
It is possible that a fraction of the toxin moves directly from endosomes to the ER, bypassing the TGN entirely (Saslowsky and Lencer unpublished data). Interestingly, the A-subunit, of CT harbors a conserved KDEL motif that is not essential for transport to the ER [43]. Rather, the KDEL-motif appears to allow for retention in the ER, by recycling CT between ER and cis-Golgi, and enhanced interaction with ERAD proteins for retro-translocation.

4. From ER to cytosol

4.1. The A1-chain Hijacks the ERAD Pathway

Proteolytic cleavage of the loop connecting the A1- and A2-chains of CT occurs following secretion from Vibrio cholerae in the intestinal lumen. The A and B-subunits, however, remain folded stably together; even after the disulfide bond linking the two chains is reduced [44]. The A1-chain must actively be released from the toxin complex. This is achieved within the ER lumen by the redox-dependent chaperone, protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) [1]. Upon entering the ER, the A-chain of CT (CTA) is recognized by the reduced form of PDI, which binds to and unfolds the A1-chain. At the same time, the PDI-like protein, Erp72, works in opposition to mediate the refolding and ER retention of CTA [45]. In the end, PDI succeeds in unfolding the A1-chain. The basis for this recognition is not known, but might be initiated by a relative instability of fold of the A-subunit [46,47,48], or perhaps by exposure of the hydrophobic C-terminal domain of the A1-chain upon unfolding by PDI, thus triggering the response for disposing of terminally-misfolded host cell proteins in the secretory pathway [49,50]. Recent NMR-based structural data as well as 4,4′-bis(1-anilinonaphthalene 8-sulfonate) fluorescence studies complement this hypothesis by illustrating disorder within the C-terminal A12 and A13 regions [51]. Nevertheless, the exact motif responsible for PDI binding remains unknown. The PDI-A1-chain complex is then presumably targeted to a protein on the luminal membrane of the ER [52], after which the ER oxidase, Ero1, likely oxidizes PDI to induce the release of the A1-chain [52]. PDI may not be the only chaperone responsible for unfolding of the A1-chain. Additional in vitro evidence suggests that the Hsp70 chaperone BiP (heavy chain binding protein) plays a major role in maintaining the A1-chain in a soluble, export-competent state [6]. Bip allows for proper folding of nascent peptide chains in the secretory pathway and plays an important role in regulating the unfolded protein ER stress response and in the retro-translocation of several ERAD substrates [53].

4.2. Escape from the ER into the Cytosol

Many groups speculated that CT, like other ERAD substrates [54,55], crossed the ER membrane through a protein-conducting channel initially thought to be the translocon Sec61 [56,57]. Evidence for this idea was reported by Schmitz et al. [11]. These experiments were performed in a cell-free export system using translocation-competent microsomes. The results show that CT co-immunoprecipitates with Sec61β and that blockade of Sec61 by ribosome binding inhibits retro-translocation of the A1-chain. There are problems, however, with the idea that Sec61 operates in this way [50], and other possibilities for the identity of the channel have emerged [8–10], including the idea that a channel is not required at all [58].
We presume the PDI-A1-chain complex is released to the ER lumen when dissociated from the B-subunit. In yeast, misfolded ER luminal proteins are processed for retro-translocation by a core multi-protein complex containing the Derlin-1 homologue Der1 and the ubiquitin E3 ligase Hrd1 (the ERAD-L pathway) [7,59]. In mammalian cells, the pathways for retro-translocation are more diversified [55,60], but the Derlin and Hrd1/gp78 proteins are required for retro-translocation of all luminal ERAD substrates so far tested [61,62]. Hrd1 and Derlin-1 are multi-spanning membrane proteins, both considered candidates for the protein-conducting channel responsible for retro-translocation of ER luminal or membrane substrates [55]. Most (but not all) ERAD substrates are ubiquitinated, primarily on Lys residues, during the retro-translocation reaction, and both Hrd1 and gp78 are E3-ligases known to play a role in this process [63].

Recently, Dixit et al. and Bernardi et al. have implicated a role for the ER membrane proteins Derlin-1 and Hrd1 in retro-translocation of the CT A1-chain [8–10]. Dixit et al. [8] showed that cells upregulated in the expression of Derlin-1 protein were more sensitive to intoxication by CT, and cells lacking Derlin-1 (by siRNA suppression) were resistant. Interaction between Derlin-1 and CT was supported by co-immunoprecipitation. Bernardi et al. found a role for Derlin-1 in the retro-translocation of CT using 293T cells transiently expressing dominant negative Derlin-1 [9]. Here, retro-translocation of the CT A1-chain was measured directly, and less A1-chain was found in the cytosol of cells expressing dominant negative Derlin-1. Toxicity was also inhibited. Again, direct interaction between the two proteins was suggested by co-immunoprecipitation.

Bernardi et al. [10] also showed a role for Hrd1 and another E3 ubiquitin ligase, gp78, both known to interact with Derlin-1 [64,65] and implicated in the retro-translocation of terminally misfolded (or otherwise targeted) luminal (soluble) and membrane protein ERAD-substrates [7,66,67]. Hrd1 functions as an oligomer in complex with USA1 [68], as a homodimer [69] and as a heterodimer in complex with gp78 [65]. Dominant negative Hrd1 as well as siRNA to suppress Hrd1 were used in a cell-based retro-translocation assay, and both were found to inhibit movement of CT from ER to cytosol. Hrd1 was found to co-immunoprecipitate with CT except when dominant negative Derlin-1 was over-expressed, suggesting that CT might interact with Derlin-1 before Hrd1. Dominant negative gp78 also inhibited retro-translocation of the A1-chain, and like Hrd1, gp78 was found to co-immunoprecipitate with CT. Because Hrd1 and gp78 are E3 ubiquitin ligases, this paper also studied the role of the E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, Ube2g2, and found it to be involved. In some ways, these results were not expected because the A1-chain, unlike most ERAD substrates [55], does not depend on the presence of any of the three available primary amines for retro-translocation [70]. Perhaps ubiquitination of other cellular components, rather than direct ubiquitination of CT itself is required [10].

The model emerging from these studies proposes that the CT-GM1 complex first interacts with Derlin-1 on the luminal side of the ER membrane [8–10], where PDI first binds the A1-chain [1]. Derlin-1 then facilitates transfer of the A1-chain to Hrd1, and/or Sec61, after release from PDI [10,11]. Our group has recently found, however, that Derlin-1 and -2 are likely dispensable for retro-translocation of the A1-chain in zebrafish and mammalian cells (Saslowsky and Lencer unpublished), and exactly how the A1-chain interacts with Hrd1 or Sec61 to cross the ER membrane remains unclear.
4.3. Cytosolic Factors

Endogenous ERAD substrates exit the ER and enter the cytosol for ubiquitination and degradation by the proteasome. CT [70] and the other AB toxins, however, escape this fate and are not rapidly degraded after retro-translocation, perhaps due to a paucity of lysine residues in their primary structures [49]. We have recently found, however, that a mutant form of the A1-chain undergoes retro-translocation but is rapidly degraded by the proteasome after being ubiquitinated at the two lysine residues present in the native toxin [71]. These results imply that other factors must be involved. It is possible that cytosolic chaperones or rapid refolding of the A1-chain upon entry into the cytosol allows CT to evade the ubiquitination machinery [71].

In a few cases, proteins not conjugated to ubiquitin are degraded by the proteasome [72]; but the majority of targets for proteasomal degradation are ubiquitinated. For many of these ubiquitinated ERAD substrates, interaction with the cytosolic AAA-ATPase p97 provides the driving force for retro-translocation [73–75]. One exception is the ubiquitin-independent ERAD substrate, pre-pro-alpha factor [76], which shows a lack of dependence on p97 in yeast [77]. Likewise, the bulk of evidence shows that p97 is dispensable for CT action in mammalian cells [9,78]. One study shows that over-expression of dominant negative p97 can inhibit CT-induced toxicity [80], but this result was not reproduced in our cell models [79]. McConnell et al., in separate studies using RNAi to suppress p97, also found no effect on CT-induced toxicity [78]. Perhaps p97 binds the A1-chain as it emerges from the ER, but this does not appear to be essential.

The lack of dependence on p97 [78,79], and the ability of the A1-chain to spontaneously refold when released from PDI in vitro [70,81], caused us to speculate that rapid refolding of the A1-chain as it emerged from the ER into the cytosol might act as a molecular ratchet to provide the driving force for retro-translocation [70]. Circular dichroism and fluorescence spectroscopy studies, however, show that the A1-chain is thermally unstable [48] and not likely to refold spontaneously in vivo. NMR as well as 4,4’-bis(1-anilinonaphthalene 8-sulfonate) fluorescence studies also demonstrate the partially unfolded state of the A1-chain in vitro [51]. Such instability challenges the idea of self-folding as a molecular ratchet and is proposed to explain why the A1-chain can be slowly degraded by the 20S proteasome in vivo, even in the absence of poly-ubiquitination [48,82]. Another important idea emerging from these studies is that the A1-chain might require binding to a cytosolic chaperone or other CT binding protein to stabilize its native conformation. In vitro, the active guanosine 5’-triphosphate (GTP) bound form of the eukaryotic ADP ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6), a cofactor for CT enzymatic activity in vivo, was found to do just that; it stabilized the A1-chain and protected it from degradation by the 20S proteasome [48,51]. Thus, binding of ARF6-GTP to the A1-chain restores order to the toxin in vitro, and this might explain how the toxin avoids rapid degradation (as discussed above) and induces toxicity in vivo.

A summary for how retro-translocation might progress is shown (Figure 4). Presumably, the last step in toxin action is a diffusion-limited reaction in the cytosol, where the A1-chain catalyzes the ADP-ribosylation of Gs to activate adenylyl cyclase.
**Figure 4.** Schematic of CT retro-translocation. It is still unclear exactly how retro-translocation proceeds. This figure shows the candidate proteins thought to be involved. Following entry into the ER, CT remains bound to GM1 and can interact with Derlin-1 [8,9] and Hrd1 [10]. PDI unfolds CT [1], while Erp72 works in opposition to maintain it in a folded conformation [45]. There is evidence that Sec61 is the retro-translocation channel [11], but these studies are not fully conclusive and Hrd1 and gp78 are also candidates [10] for forming the protein-conducting channel. Perhaps, Derlin-1, Hrd1, and Sec61 are located in close proximity and act together. Finally, upon entry into the cytosol the A1-chain might immediately bind Arf6 or another chaperone to refold rapidly into a stable enzymatically active conformation and to avoid rapid degradation by the 20S proteasome [48,51].

5. Summary

CT binds the ganglioside GM1 and co-opts a lipid-based sorting pathway to traffic retrograde from the PM into the ER where a portion of the toxin, the A1-chain, retro-translocates to the cytosol. Unlike most retro-translocation substrates, the A1-chain escapes degradation by the proteasome and refolds in the cytosol to induce disease. The mechanisms and host proteins involved (Table 1) in these diverse processes are fundamental to eukaryotic cell biology. They are just beginning to be understood in molecular detail, and much more is still to be discovered.
Table 1. Host proteins likely involved in CT trafficking and A1-chain retro-translocation.

| Host factor                  | Site of Action               | Cellular Function                                                                 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ** Trafficking factors       |                             |                                                                                  |
| Ganglioside GM1              | Host cell membranes: PM, endosomes, Golgi, ER | Lipid receptor for toxin binding and trafficking                                  |
| Lipid rafts                  | Host cell membranes         | Putative small dynamic membrane microdomains that self assemble by phase separation of membrane lipids to form structures with functions in trafficking and signal transduction |
| Clathrin                     | PM, endosome, Golgi         | Protein coat for some forms of endocytosis and vesicle budding                     |
| ARF 1–6                      | PM, endosome, Golgi         | Small GTPases involved in coat formation and membrane traffic. The ARF family was discovered by their ability to act as co-factors for the ADP-ribosylation activity of the CT A1-chain |
| Syntaxin 6 and 16            | early endosome              | Component of protein complex involved in fusion of vesicles moving from early endosome to TGN |
| Golgin 97                    | TGN                         | Tethering factor for vesicles moving from early endosome to TGN                   |
| Retromer                     | endosome                    | Complex of proteins involved in transport of vesicles form early endosome to TGN  |
| Rab 6a’                      | endosome, TGN               | Small GTPases involved in sorting vesicles retrograde from early endosome and TGN to ER |
| VAMP3, Vti1a                 | TGN                         | Components of protein complex involved in fusion of vesicles moving from early endosome to TGN |
| SNX1 and 2                   | early/recycling endosome     | Retromer components that contain phosphatidyl inositol binding and membrane curvature sensing BAR domains. |
| Vps26, 29 and 35             | early/recycling endosome     | Retromer components important for cargo selection, such as Shiga toxin and the mannose-6-phosphate receptor |
| ** ERAD factors              |                             |                                                                                  |
| Protein Disulfide Isomerase (PDI) | ER lumen                     | Disulfide bond isomerase and protein chaperone, unfolds and dissociates the A1 chain for the B-subunit |
| ER Protein 72 (Erp72)        | ER lumen                    | PDI-like molecule with counteracting function to refold the A1-chain              |
| ER oxidase 1 (Ero1)          | ER lumen                    | ER oxidase that oxidizes PDI to release the A1-chain                               |
| Heavy chain binding protein (BiP) | ER lumen                     | HSP70 chaperone with major functions in protein folding and ERAD                  |
| Sec 61 translocon            | ER membrane                 | Core component of the translocon that ribosomes dock with to allow for translocation of membrane and secreted proteins into the ER during biosynthesis. It is also a candidate for the protein conducting channel in ERAD. |
| Derlin-1                     | ER membrane                 | Component of the core Hrd1 complex required for retro-translocation of lumenal ERAD substrates. It is also a candidate for the protein conducting channel in ERAD. |
| Hrd1                         | ER membrane                 | ER membrane ubiquitin E3 ligase forming central component of a protein complex involved in retro-translocation of ER luminal and membrane ERAD substrates. It is also a candidate for the protein conducting channel in ERAD. |
| gp78                         | ER membrane                 | ER membrane ubiquitin E3 ligase forming central component of a protein complex involved in retro-translocation of ER luminal and membrane ERAD substrates. |
| Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (Ube) | Cytosol                 | Ubiquitin E2 ligase: Enzyme required before the E3 ligases in the pathway of conjugating ubiquitin to primarily lysine residues on proteins. |
| Ube2g2                       | Cytosol, ER associated      | Ubiquitin E2 ligase: Enzyme required before the E3 ligases in the pathway of conjugating ubiquitin to primarily lysine residues on proteins. |
| AAA-ATPase p97               | Cytosol                     | AAA-ATPase involved in chaperone function, proteasomal degradation. It is key for retro-translocation of most ERAD substrates, perhaps providing the driving force for the retro-translocation reaction itself. However, it is not required for retro-translocation of the CT A1-chain. |
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