DOT1L inhibition enhances pluripotency beyond acquisition of epithelial identity and without immediate suppression of the somatic transcriptome
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SUMMARY

Inhibiting the histone 3 lysine 79 (H3K79) methyltransferase, disruptor of telomeric silencing 1-like (DOT1L), increases the efficiency of reprogramming somatic cells to induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). Here, we find that, despite the enrichment of H3K79 methylation on thousands of actively transcribed genes in somatic cells, DOT1L inhibition (DOT1Li) does not immediately cause the shutdown of the somatic transcriptional profile to enable transition to pluripotency. Contrary to the prevalent view, DOT1Li promotes iPSC generation beyond the mesenchymal to epithelial transition and even from already epithelial cell types. DOT1Li is most potent at the midpoint of reprogramming in part by repressing Nfx that persists at late stages of reprogramming. Importantly, regulation of single genes cannot substitute for DOT1Li, demonstrating that H3K79 methylation has pleiotropic effects in maintaining cell identity.

INTRODUCTION

Differential gene expression allows for functional diversity that translates to tissue specialization in multicellular organisms. In response to signaling and spatial cues, transcription factors engage with the epigenome to culminate in gene expression patterns that establish cell identity (Kelsey et al., 2017). The abundance of specific epigenetic modifications changes dynamically during development, right from the formation of the zygote (Dang-Nguyen and Torres-Padilla, 2015; Smith and Meissner, 2013). Fertilization of an oocyte triggers a decrease in histone 3 lysine (K) 79 dimethylation (H3K79me2) independent of genome replication (Ooga et al., 2008). H3K79me2 levels remain low during the pre-implantation phase until the blastocyst stage (Ooga et al., 2008). H3K79me2 is the most differential histone modification between somatic cells and embryonic stem cells (ESCs) that are derived from the blastocyst (Sridharan et al., 2013).

Disruptor of telomeric silencing 1-like (DOT1L) is the sole methyltransferase that performs all levels (me1, me2, and me3) of H3K79 methylation (called H3K79me henceforth; Black et al., 2012). Dot1l knockout (KO) mice are embryonic lethal between days 9.5 and 13.5, demonstrating the importance of H3K79me in development (Feng et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2008). Dot1l KO results in disorganized yolk sacs containing primitive erythrocytes. DOT1L is also necessary for development of the heart (Nguyen and Zhang, 2011), cerebral cortex (Franz et al., 2019), and chondrocytes (Castaño Betancourt et al., 2012) and normal CD8+ T cell differentiation (Kwesi-Maliepaard et al., 2020). H3K79me is not required for pluripotency, as ESCs continue to self-renew in the absence of DOT1L or DOT1L catalytic activity (Barry et al., 2009; Cao et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2008). We and others have shown that DOT1L is a barrier for transcription-factor-mediated reprogramming to induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) from mouse neural stem cells and human fibroblasts (Jackson et al., 2016; Onder et al., 2012).

Collectively, these phenotypes provide evidence for the importance of DOT1L in cell fate determination; however, the function of H3K79me in mammals has remained elusive. H3K79me2 is enriched on the bodies of rapidly elongating genes (Duffy et al., 2018; Veloso et al., 2014), implicating the modification as a positive regulator of transcription. In acute leukemia, fusion proteins between mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) and numerous DOT1L-associated proteins (ENL, AF9, and AF10) frequently drive oncogenesis (Mohan et al., 2010). MLL target genes, such as the HOXA cluster, are upregulated concurrent with the corresponding locus becoming H3K79 methylated as DOT1L is mislocalized via the MLL-DOT1L-interacting fusion protein. In contrast, H3K79me enrichment directly downregulates the expression of the epithelial sodium channel gene in mouse cells (Zhang et al., 2006), confounding the role of DOT1L in transcription.

Here, we use the dynamic system of somatic cell reprogramming from mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) to iPSCs to investigate DOT1L function in maintaining cell identity. We find that DOT1L inhibition enhances MEF pluripotency acquisition throughout the process but acts most potently at mid-reprogramming. This dramatic increase in reprogramming is accompanied by few steady-state transcriptional changes. Previous studies have reported that DOT1L inhibition (DOT1Li) enhances reprogramming by facilitating the mesenchymal to epithelial transition (MET) that occurs when reprogramming fibroblasts (Apostolou and Hochedlinger, 2013; Onder et al., 2012). However, with orthogonal
experiments, such as expressing Cdh1 during reprogramming, testing cells after MET, and reprogramming keratinocytes that are already epithelial, we conclusively demonstrate that DOT1Li enhances pluripotency far beyond the transition to the epithelial identity. Using a small interfering RNA (siRNA) screen, we identify Nfkx, which remains highly expressed throughout reprogramming, as a regulator that collaborates with DOT1Li to reduce iPSC formation. Therefore, DOT1Li increases pluripotency acquisition in part by preventing upregulation of reprogramming-associated genes, which contributes to the continued requirement of DOT1Li into the mid-phase of reprogramming. DOT1Li does not immediately switch off the somatic transcriptome to favor pluripotency and functions through pleiotropic effects.

**RESULTS**

**DOT1L enzymatic activity is a barrier for reprogramming**

We used a secondary reprogramming system to assess how DOT1L affects MEF pluripotency acquisition. MEFs isolated from mice that contain Oct4, Sox2, Klf4, and c-Myc (OSKM) (Sridharan et al., 2013) under a doxycycline-inducible promoter were reprogrammed with Dot1l knockdown using siRNA. With depletion of just half of the Dot1l transcript (Figure S1A), reprogramming was increased 2-fold (Figure 1A). Because DOT1L protein can function independent of catalytic activity (Cao et al., 2020), we next inhibited DOT1L using two extremely specific small molecules with no reported off-target effects (Figure 1B; Daigle et al., 2013; Kaniskan et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2012). SGC0946, hereafter called DOT1Li, disrupts DOT1L interaction with S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) by inducing a conformational change and is 10-fold more potent than the first-generation DOT1Li inhibitor, EPZ004777 (Yu et al., 2012). Both EPZ004777 (Nassa et al., 2019) and SGC0946 (Wu et al., 2021) were recently shown to reduce DOT1L occupancy at target genes. EPZ5676, known as pinometostat, is a highly selective DOT1L inhibitor that also prevents SAM interaction and has entered clinical trials (Campbell et al., 2017). Both chemical inhibitors decreased H3K79me2 with similar kinetics compared with control (Figure 1B) and comparably increased reprogramming of MEFs as measured by NANOG expression (Figures 1C, S1B, and S1C). Importantly, DOT1Li produced at least 9-fold more *bona fide* pluripotent colonies that maintained NANOG expression after removal of transgene expression by withdrawal of doxycycline (Figures 1C, S1B, and S1C, right). Similar concordance of increased reprogramming efficiency from human somatic cells has been reported when using RNAi-mediated depletion and catalytic inhibition with EPZ00477 (Onder et al., 2012).

To determine the temporal requirement for DOT1Li to enhance reprogramming, we performed a 6-day time course (Figure 1D, left). When reprogramming populations were exposed to DOT1Li in 2-day intervals, the greatest increase in reprogramming was observed with treatment between days 2 and 4 (Figure 1D, blue bars). Removing DOT1Li between days 2 and 4 resulted in fewer NANOG-positive colonies compared with 4 days of continuous DOT1Li treatment either early or late in reprogramming (Figure 1D, red bars). The greatest number of NANOG+ colonies formed when DOT1L was inhibited throughout reprogramming. Thus, DOT1Li activity is a barrier to reprogramming throughout the conversion process but has the greatest effect in the intermediate phase.

**Loss of H3K79me during reprogramming results in few steady-state transcriptional changes**

To elucidate how loss of H3K79me enhances iPSC generation, the starting MEFs, reprogramming populations on days 2 and 4, and ESCs were transcriptionally profiled using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Independent experiment replicate samples collected on day 4 were treated continuously with DOT1Li, treated “early” from day 0 to 2, treated “mid” from day 2 to 4, or treated continuously with vehicle control (Figures S1D and S1E) to capture how DOT1Li specifically increases mid reprogramming (Figure 1D). Continuous DOT1Li for 4 days resulted in the most transcriptional alterations compared with early or mid treatment (Figures 1E, S1G, and S1H). Three hundred fifty-two genes were differentially expressed (Figure 1E; experimental procedures), with 10-fold more genes upregulated than downregulated. The majority of differentially expressed (DE) genes had a modest change in expression (Figure 1F). This low-magnitude change in expression occurred in genes with low levels of absolute expression (Figure 1G). Mid treatment from day 2 to 4 with DOT1Li yielded the next highest number of changes, with 125 upregulated genes and 2 downregulated genes (Figure S1H), followed by day 2 DOT1Li (51 upregulated and 1 downregulated; Figure S1F). Removal of DOT1Li after 2 days (early treatment) resulted in only nine DE genes (Figure S1G). Accordingly, early treatment clustered closest with day 4 control-treated replicates (Figure S1D). At every time point, a far greater number of upregulated than downregulated genes were DE.

**H3K79me2 is enriched on numerous genes, yet few change their steady-state mRNA levels**

DOT1Li could promote iPSC generation by erasing the somatic program, enhancing pluripotent gene expression, or a combination of the two. To distinguish between these possibilities, significantly DE genes from all time points
(n = 438; “DOT1Li-DE”) were compared with the change in gene expression in ESCs versus MEFs. While about 50% of DOT1Li-downregulated genes were also decreased in ESCs, far fewer of the DOT1Li-upregulated genes were upregulated in ESCs, suggesting that most of the steady-state transcriptional change is aberrant to that observed in ESCs (Figure 2A).

We then asked whether the transcriptional changes were correlated with the presence of H3K79 methylation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) of H3K79me2 in MEFs derived from the same reprogrammable mouse line and ESCs was analyzed (Figure 2B; Chronis et al., 2017). As expected, highly expressed genes were enriched for
H3K79me2 in both MEFs and ESCs. Genes with “shared peaks” were highly expressed and predominantly housekeeping genes (Figure S2A). In addition, many low-expressed genes did not have a significant H3K79me2 peak, yet a subset of these genes were DE in ESCs versus MEFs (Figure 2B, “no peak”).

The majority of DE genes did not have an H3K79me2 peak (Figures 2C, S2B, and S2C). Thirteen percent of upregulated genes had a peak in ESCs, while only 2.7% had a peak in MEFs, and 1.2% had a shared peak (Figure 2C). Only 22% of downregulated genes had a peak in MEFs, 16% had a shared peak, and 1 gene had a peak in ESCs (Figure 2C). To capture whether transient gain in H3K79me2 during reprogramming affects gene expression, DE genes at day 2 or 4 of reprogramming overlapped with genes modified by H3K79me2 48 h after OSKM induction. Very few DE genes contained an H3K79me2 peak during reprogramming, and the majority were upregulated (Figure 2D).

Figure 2. H3K79me2 is enriched on numerous genes, yet few change transcriptionally in steady-state mRNA levels

(A) Genes upregulated (gold) or downregulated (blue) by DOT1Li treatment at any time point relative to the matched control (DOT1Li-DE list) plotted on expression calculated as log10 of ESC TPM (left) or MEF TPM (right) versus log2 FC in ESCs relative to MEFs.

(B) Genes with H3K79me2 called peaks in ESCs (red), in MEFs (green), shared in both cell types (orange), or with no peak (purple) were plotted onto the indicated expression calculated as log10 TPM versus log2 FC in ESCs relative to MEFs. H3K79me2 location data were analyzed from GEO: GSE90895 (Chronis et al., 2017).

(C) Bar graph of H3K79me2 called peaks at DOT1Li-DE genes. Significance calculated by Fisher’s exact test is shown.

(D) Overlap of genes with an H3K79me2 peak on day 2 of reprogramming (Chronis et al., 2017) with DE genes at the indicated time points. See also Figure S2.
DOT1L inhibition leads to transcriptional changes not observed in MEFs or ESCs

To ascertain the functional role of DOT1L during reprogramming irrespective of time point, all DOT1L-de genes were clustered and visualized on a heatmap relative to their expression in MEFs (Figure 3A). Genes in clusters I–III were changed by DOT1L to resemble ESC-like expression and included transcription factors involved in structure morphogenesis, epithelium, and proliferation genes (Figures 3A, 3B, and S3A). In contrast to genes in clusters I–III, the majority of genes (clusters IV and V) do not resemble the transcriptional profile of ESCs relative to MEFs (Figure 3A). Genes in cluster IV (n = 60) are a mixed population of aberrantly upregulated genes, or their down-regulation is prevented by DOT1L (Figures 3A and S3A) and they are not functionally related. Cluster V (n = 160) contains genes that are upregulated by DOT1L treatment yet are largely unchanged in ESCs relative to MEFs (Figures 3A and S3A) that function in transmembrane signaling (Figure 3B).

As an independent validation of these trends in transcriptional profile, we verified the expression changes in an siRNA-mediated depletion of Dot1l over a 6-day time course. Samples were collected on day 2 and day 4 and showed a greater than 2-fold decrease in Dot1l expression (Figure 3C). We selected at least three genes in each cluster that represented varying levels of change on day 2 and day 4 by DOT1L (Figure 3C, inset).
Figure 4. Inhibition of DOT1L enhances reprogramming of epithelial cells

(A) (Top) CDH1 immunofluorescence on day 4 of reprogramming of Cdhi-transduced cells. Scale bar represents 500 μm. (Bottom) Relative Cdhi expression measured on day 3 of reprogramming is shown. ESCs are set to 1. Cells were treated with control (white bars) or DOT1Li (gray bars).

(B) Fold NANOG+ colonies of empty-vector- (-) or Cdhi-transduced cells, treated with control (white bars) or DOT1Li (gray bars). Empty-vector-transduced cells treated with control are set to 1. Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiment replicates, each consisting of two technical replicates. ****p < 0.0001 and n.s., p > 0.05, by unpaired t test.

(C) Reprogramming scheme (top): cells were grown for 3 days in KnockOut serum replacement (KSR)-containing medium to accelerate CDH1 expression. On day 3.5, cells were sorted with flow cytometry for CDH1 surface expression, followed by DOT1Li or control treatment. RNA-seq samples were collected at day 5.5, and reprogramming was evaluated on days 8 to 9. (Bottom) NANOG+ colony formation of CDH1-sorted cells treated with DOT1Li (D) or control (C) is shown. Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiment replicates, each consisting of two or three technical replicates. *p < 0.05 and n.s., p > 0.05, by unpaired t test.

(D) Overlap of 1.5-FC DOT1Li downregulated genes in CDH1/C0 and CDH1+ cells with the downregulated genes from all time points of the DOT1Li time course (2-FC; Figure 3A) and genes downregulated in ESCs relative to MEFs (2-FC). A selection of genes is displayed.

(legend continued on next page)
the genetic knockdown cluster with DOT1L catalytic inhibition (Figure 3D). Another study using the first-generation EPZ004777 inhibitor and shDOT1L in breast cancer lines found a large overlap in gene-expression changes between the two methods of depleting DOT1L function (Nassa et al., 2019). However, it remains possible that some of the transcriptional alterations that we observe are due to off-target effects of the small-molecule DOT1Li.

Although the changes in clusters I–III (Figure 3A) position DOT1Li-treated cells closer to pluripotency acquisition than control-treated cells, Spearman correlation of DOT1Li-DE gene expression shows that all time points more closely resemble MEFs than ESCs (Figure 3E). Both day 2 samples cluster together and are more correlated to day 4 control compared with other day 4 samples, suggesting day 4 control treatment is kinetically behind the other DOT1Li treatments. Because there are few transcriptional alterations, all day 4 samples cluster closely together (Figure 3E). Of the day 4 samples, day 4 early (d0–2) and day 4 control treatments are most highly correlated, explaining why a removal of DOT1Li on day 2 of reprogramming barely increases NANOG+ colony formation relative to control treatment (Figure 1D).

To determine how the clusters may be regulated, we performed motif analysis and found that cluster III and, to a lesser extent, cluster II were enriched for E-boxes that can be bound by a cadre of proteins, depending on the motif context (Figure S3B). Mesenchymal E-box binding genes that repress expression are not DE by DOT1Li, with the exception of Twist2, which is 2-fold downregulated on day 4 with constant DOT1Li inhibition (Figure S3C). Of note, DOT1Li inhibition also activates Mycn (2.7-fold in day 4 DOT1Li) that binds E-boxes to promote gene activity. Thus, the balance of these two proteins may be responsible for the modest increase in expression of cluster III, which is much more significantly enriched for E-boxes compared with cluster II (Figure S3B). Cluster IV is enriched for TCF7 motifs, a transcriptional activator, which is upregulated by DOT1Li treatment. Finally, cluster V is enriched for HOXD12 and HIC1 motifs, both transcriptional regulators downregulated by DOT1Li treatment. Thus, DOT1Li may promote development of reprogramming intermediates expressing lineage genes (Polo et al., 2012) due to subtle changes in transcription factor levels. However, as the two clusters that most resemble ESCs (I and II) are devoid of motifs bound significantly by any of the DOT1Li DE genes, it is unlikely that DOT1L regulates pluripotency acquisition through such intermediates.

**Inhibition of DOT1L enhances reprogramming of epithelial cells**

To investigate whether individual genes in the upregulated cohort could replace DOT1Li, we first examined the epithelial genes. MET is an early phase of reprogramming when starting with MEFs (Apostolou and Hochedlinger, 2013). Cluster II genes (Figure 3A) that were most similar in their expression changes to ESCs (Figure S3A) are enriched for epithelial genes and E-box motifs (Figure S3B). We introduced Cdh1, which has previously been shown to be required for pluripotency (Chen et al., 2010; Hawkins et al., 2012), into reprogrammable MEFs using a lentivirus to achieve expression comparable to that in ESCs (Figure 4A). Although CDH1 was detected at the cell surface (Figure 4A), it did not enhance MEF reprogramming (Figure 4B). It is important to note that exogenous Cdh1 expression was incapable of causing mesenchymal gene downregulation (Figure S4A). This result supports the notion that mesenchymal gene downregulation and Cdh1 upregulation are regulated independent of each other from our co-expression analysis of single-cell (sc) RNA-seq data, where we found that reprogramming cells can simultaneously express genes from both programs (Tran et al., 2019). Given that expression of Cdh1 alone could not replace DOT1Li function (Figure 4B), we assessed how reduction of H3K79me affects reprogramming of cells that are already epithelial. Reprogramming MEFs were sorted on day 3.5 post-OSKM induction for cell-surface CDH1 expression (Figure 4C) to a level similar to that of ESCs (Figure S4B). Reprogramming of the CDH1− and CDH1+ sorted cells was then continued in the presence of DOT1Li. Interestingly, reprogramming of both populations of cells was increased.
to similar extents (6.8-fold) when exposed to DOT1Li (Figure 4C), indicating a response beyond the upregulation of the epithelial program.

To identify a transcriptional response after epithelial upregulation, we profiled the gene expression of CDH1∗ and CDH1∗ populations with DOT1Li. Independent experiment replicate transcriptional data from these sorted populations were highly reproducible (Figure S4C) and clustered with one another rather than with MEFs or ESCs (Figure S4D). Similar to the results from the unsorted time course (Figures 1 and 3), more genes were upregulated than downregulated by DOT1Li in both CDH1∗ and CDH1∗ populations. Hoxd12 was the only commonly downregulated gene in CDH1∗, CDH1∗, DOT1Li-DE days 2 and 4, and ESCs relative to MEFs (Figure 4D). Upregulated genes that were altered in the same direction as their expression in ESCs (Figure 4E) were enriched for the functional categories of stem cell population maintenance and epithelial cell development. The changes mediated by DOT1Li in unsorted populations were positively correlated with those in CDH1-sorted populations (Figure 4F). Interestingly, the changes in CDH1∗ cells more closely resemble day 2 of the unsorted time course, whereas the CDH1∗ cells are comparatively more correlated to day 4 alterations (Figure 4F). Indeed, irrespective of the status of CDH1 expression in the sorted population, addition of DOT1Li further enhances the expression of pluripotent genes, such as Nanog, albeit to a much lower extent than in ESCs (Figure S4E).

CDH1 is an important, but not the sole, determinant of epithelial identity. Given that DOT1Li increased reprogramming from MEFs that overexpressed or were induced to express CDH1, we next investigated the effect of DOT1L on an epithelial cell type. Keratinocytes are epithelial and, despite not having to undergo MET (Li et al., 2010; Samavarchi-Tehrani et al., 2010), still reprogram poorly compared with MEFs (Netzger et al., 2017). We isolated keratinocytes from reprogrammable mice and confirmed that they express similar levels of CDH1 on their surface compared with ESCs (Figure S4F). Because our mass spectrometry comparison of global histone modification levels was from MEFs (Sridharan et al., 2013), we performed an immunoblot for H3K79me2. Keratinocytes had over 5-fold more H3K79me2 globally than ESCs (Figure 4G, inset), confirming that high levels of this modification are a common feature of somatic cells. DOT1L applied during keratinocyte reprogramming increased both the efficiency and the kinetics with the appearance of tens of Nanog∗ colonies by day 8 of reprogramming (Figure 4G). No colonies were obtained in the control at this time point, likely due to their lower reprogramming efficiency compared with MEFs (Netzger et al., 2017). Thus, DOT1L promotes pluripotency beyond the upregulation of epithelial identity.

We next assessed whether DOT1Li increases reprogramming through upregulation of pluripotency factors. In our previous analysis of single-cell transcriptomic analysis, we found that the co-expression of a quartet of genes, Nanog, Sall4, Tdgf1, and Epcam, within the same cell predicted a more homogeneous transition to an iPSC state (Tran et al., 2019). DOT1Li upregulated the same genes but to a much smaller magnitude than that in ESCs (Figure 4H). We introduced exogenous human Nanog (Figures S4G and S4H) and found that it enhanced transgene independence in the presence of DOT1Li (Figure 4I). Thus, DOT1Li does not increase reprogramming through Nanog upregulation but collaborates with Nanog specifically to maintain pluripotent colonies.

To identify whether any other DOT1Li DE genes regulate pluripotency acquisition, they were overlapped with genes identified in a large short hairpin RNA (shRNA) screen starting with the same OSKM-inducible secondary MEF system (Borkent et al., 2016). Among the genes that affected reprogramming more than 2-fold from the screen (~2,300 genes), only 10 overlapped. However, seven barrier genes were upregulated and three enhancers were downregulated by DOT1Li, in the opposite direction of pluripotency promotion (Figure S4I). Thus, the DOT1Li-mediated increase in reprogramming is unlikely to be replaced by upregulating single-gene expression. In addition, DOT1Li treatment does not increase cellular proliferation (Figure S4J) or cause changes in the cell cycle (Figures S4K and S4L), indicating that it does not enhance pluripotency by increased cell number.

**Inhibition of DOT1L enhances reprogramming beyond modulation of single genes**

Because DOT1Li did not change the entire transcriptional landscape either away from a somatic program or toward a pluripotency program, we used a candidate approach to screen DOT1Li-DE genes for their contribution to pluripotency acquisition. As a higher percentage of downregulated genes were modified by H3K79me2 (Figures 2C and S3D), and DOT1L has previously been reported as a barrier to reprogramming by maintaining fibroblast gene transcription (Onder et al., 2012), we comprehensively ranked DOT1Li directly downregulated genes (Figure 5A, step 1). Among the DOT1Li genes that were downregulated in ESCs versus MEFs (step 2), we filtered those that had a significant H3K79me2 peak in MEFs or 48-h post-OSKM induction (step 3) that is reduced in ESCs (step 4; Figure 5B). A change in gene expression levels between MEFs and ESCs could occur in every single cell of the starting population or could reflect a population average. We have previously performed scRNA-seq of MEFs, ESCs, and a time course of reprogramming (Tran et al., 2019). Using these data, we then chose genes that were expressed in the entire population of...
Figure 5. Inhibition of DOT1L enhances reprogramming beyond modulation of single genes

(A) Schematic to identify DOT1L direct target genes. Genes were filtered for (1) at least 2-FC downregulation in expression by DOT1Li treatment at any time point, (2) at least 2-FC downregulation in expression in ESC versus MEFs, (3) a significant gene body H3K79me2 peak (p<4) with 3-fold enrichment over input in MEFs or 48 h OSKM (B), (4) a 2-fold reduction in H3K79me2 gene body reads in ESCs, and (5) expression in a substantial portion of MEFs or reprogramming cells by scRNA-seq (C).

(B) Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) tracks of H3K79me2 signal in MEFs, 48 h OSKM, and ESCs of potential DOT1L direct targets.

(C) Cells expressing the DOT1L target gene are labeled in red on the scRNA-seq t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE). MEFs, reprogramming cells (days 3, 6, 9, and 12), and ESCs are indicated and labeled. Day 3 of reprogramming is indicated, but the other days are mixed, as reprogramming becomes more heterogeneous as it proceeds (Tran et al., 2019).

(D) Expression (TPM) bar graph of candidate DOT1Li downregulated genes.

(E) NANOG+ colonies on days 6 to 7 of reprogramming (left) and stable colonies post-dox removal (right) of cells transfected with NT and siRNA against Nfix, treated with control (white bars) or DOT1Li (gray bars). Control-treated NT was set to 1. Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiment replicates, each consisting of two or three technical replicates. ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, and n.s., p > 0.05, by unpaired t test.

(F) NANOG+ colonies at day 6 of reprogramming (left) and stable colonies post-dox removal (right) of cells transduced with empty vector control (-) or NFIX, treated with control (white bars) or DOT1Li (gray bars). Error bars represent the SEM of three independent experiment replicates, each consisting of two or three technical replicates. ****p < 0.0001 and ***p < 0.001 by unpaired t test.

See also Figure S5.
starting MEFs or reprogramming cells (step 5; **Figure 5C**), narrowing to six potential targets that could be critical for the mechanism of DOT1Li (**Figure 5D**). Notably, all six targets were also downregulated by siRNA-mediated Dot1l depletion (Figure 3C).

Each target was reduced individually using siRNA-mediated knockdown in the presence or absence of DOT1Li. Depletion of Fosl1 resulted in cell death with three different siRNAs (data not shown) and was not analyzed further. For each of the other five targets, siRNA-mediated depletion was robust and resulted in a similar fold change compared with that obtained by DOT1Li (Figure S5A). When siRNA for each target was combined with DOT1Li, mRNA levels were further reduced (Figure S5A). Hic1, Hoxd12, and Twist2 depletion did not enhance reprogramming in the presence or absence of DOT1Li (Figures S5B and S5C). Msex2 depletion alone or combined with DOT1Li slightly decreased NANOG+ colony formation (Figure S5B) but did not affect bona fide colonies that remained after doxycycline withdrawal (Figure S5C). Depletion of Nfix alone increased the occurrence of NANOG+ colonies 2-fold compared with a 3-fold increase with DOT1Li (Figure S5E, left). However, Nfix depletion did not lead to a robust formation of bona fide colonies, as DOT1Li treatment increased transgene-independent colonies 11-fold, whereas only a 4.8-fold increase was observed with Nfix (Figure S5E, right). When combined with DOT1Li, Nfix depletion enhanced transgene-independent colony formation (Figure S5E, right). Thus, Nfix depletion acts in conjunction with DOT1Li to promote reprogramming.

To interrogate whether these genes are barriers of the process, we overexpressed MEOX2 and NFIX individually in MEFs prior to the induction of reprogramming (Figure S5D). Exogenous MEOX2 expression inhibited reprogramming on its own but did not affect DOT1Li-mediated reprogramming (Figure S5E, left). Surprisingly, MEOX2 increased stable colony formation in the absence of DOT1Li (Figure S5E, right). These contrary effects of Msex2 depletion and overexpression on reprogramming may be related to its heterogeneous expression in the reprogramming population (Figure 5C) and the increase in proliferation in MEFs overexpressing MEOX2 (data not shown). Ectopic expression of NFIX during reprogramming reduced DOT1Li-mediated NANOG acquisition below control levels and prevented stable colony formation (Figure 5F), suggesting it is a potent reprogramming barrier independent of DOT1L activity. Thus, the downregulation of Nfix acts in an additive manner to increase pluripotency by contributing to the DOT1Li phenotype.

By comparing the results of the depletion experiments (Figures 5E, S5B, and S5C) with the pattern of expression from single-cell analysis (Figure 5C), we find that DOT1L acts in a collaborative manner with Nfix that is still expressed at later stages of reprogramming. Hic1, Fosl1, and Twist2 are downregulated in most cells by day 3 of reprogramming, and Mex2 is upregulated in only a portion of reprogramming cells (Figures 5C and 5D). Taken together with the temporal effectiveness of DOT1Li at the mid stages of reprogramming (Figure 1D), DOT1Li sets the stage for enhancing reprogramming efficiency along with the downregulation of persistently expressed genes such as Nfix.

**DISCUSSION**

DOT1L is crucial for mammalian development, yet its role in cell-fate determination is still unknown. Here, we find that DOT1L is a barrier to pluripotency acquisition of MEFs throughout reprogramming but acts most strongly during mid-reprogramming (Figure 1). Stages of reprogramming when starting from MEFs include an early inactivation of the somatic program, an important component of which is the mesenchymal genes (Li et al., 2010; Samavarchi-Tehrani et al., 2010). Inhibition of DOT1L was reported to enhance human fibroblast reprogramming by facilitating MET (Onder et al., 2012). The reverse process, epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT), is prevented in other systems, such as renal (Liu et al., 2019) and breast cancer cell lines (Cho et al., 2015), by DOT1L. We demonstrate that DOT1L control of cell identity extends far beyond epithelial transitions. Although inhibition of DOT1L increases Cdh1 expression in our mouse reprogramming studies, it also enhances reprogramming of keratinocytes that do not have to undergo MET and MEF reprogramming post-MET (Figure 4). This corroborates our recent discovery that the epithelial and mesenchymal programs are independently regulated in the presence of DOT1Li during reprogramming using scRNA-seq (Tran et al., 2019).

In the course of assessing DOT1L transcriptional regulation, we identified contributions of the reprogramming barrier Nfix that maintain cellular identity with DOT1L (Figure 5). NFIX is a transcription factor important for neural (Pekarik and Belmonte, 2008) and muscle development (Pistocchi et al., 2013). In addition, NFIX is required to maintain murine hair follicle stem cell enhancer function (Adam et al., 2020), and its depletion increases the number of pluripotent colonies (Yang et al., 2011). From our previous analysis of reprogramming with scRNA-seq, we ordered single cells in a trajectory (Tran et al., 2019). We found a major branchpoint where cells stalled and did not complete the transition to iPSCs (Tran et al., 2019). Nfix was found to be a branchpoint gene such that downregulation was required to continue in the reprogramming trajectory, further suggesting that it may have a specific role in mid-
reprogramming. Of the identified DOT1L targets, Nfix continues to be expressed in cells later in reprogramming and gains H3K79me2 after OSKM induction (Figures 5B and 5C), suggesting DOT1Li may facilitate its downregulation by preventing the gain in H3K79me2 enrichment at later reprogramming time points. This result is in contrast to previous findings that DOT1Li enhances pluripotency acquisition by downregulation of the established transcriptional program (Onder et al., 2012). It is important to note that depletion of Nfix does not reach the reprogramming efficiency of DOT1Li, and the effect is additive with DOT1Li (Figure 5E). Thus, downregulation of reprogramming-associated factors may contribute but is not solely causal of the DOT1L reprogramming phenotype.

We find that modulation of DOT1L-DE genes does not substitute for DOT1L catalytic inhibition (Figures 4 and 5), suggesting that H3K79me may have a role in reprogramming beyond transcriptional regulation of single genes. During development, only four genes were DE in Dot1l KO mouse c-kit+ cells sorted from embryonic day 10.5 (E10.5) yolk sacs, where profound phenotypic alterations in vascular morphology and erythrocyte maturation were observed (Feng et al., 2010). These small transcriptional changes in key factors like Nanog during reprogramming or Gata2 during erythrocyte maturation suggest that loss of H3K79me may alter global epigenetic profiles rather than local expression profiles. For example, loss of H3K79me2 allowed for spread of H3K27me3 on downregulated genes in leukemia cells (Deshpande et al., 2014). H3K79me2/3 are enriched at certain intronic enhancers in leukemia cell lines and regulate future deposition of H3K27ac (Godfrey et al., 2019), a modification associated with enhancer activity. In neural differentiation, DOT1Li decreased accessibility of a subset of enhancers (Ferrari et al., 2020). In support of further epigenetic alterations, both upregulated and downregulated genes can be decorated by H3K79me2 before DOT1L depletion in development of the cerebral cortex (Franz et al., 2019). Thus, H3K79me may affect histone modification, depending on chromatin context.

We observed that many more genes are upregulated rather than downregulated in their steady-state expression by DOT1Li during reprogramming (Figures 1 and 4). Many of the upregulated genes are expressed in other lineages and are not modified by H3K79me2 in MEFs or ESCs (Figures 3 and S3D). Alternatively, these genes may be regulated indirectly by the binding of DOT1L-DE transcription factors, such as HOXD12 or HIC1. The aberrant expression of lineage-specific genes may promote reprogramming, a notion that can be further investigated by single-cell transcriptional analysis. Regardless, the boost to reprogramming cells by DOT1Li seems to outweigh the burden of spurious lineage gene expression. Taken together, our results demonstrate that DOT1L activity functions beyond steady-state alterations to the somatic transcriptome; rather it collaborates with reprogramming-associated factors to safeguard cell identity.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell isolation and culture**

Male and female MEFs were isolated on E13.5 from embryos that were homozygous for the Oct4-2A-Kif4-2A-ires-Sox2-2A-c-Myc (OKSM) transgene at the Col1a1 locus and either homozygous or homozygous for the reverse tetracycline transactivator (rtTA) allele at the Rosa26 locus, as previously described (Tran et al., 2019). MEFs were grown in DMEM, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1× non-essential amino acids, 1× GlutaMAX, 1× penicillin/streptomycin, and 2-mercaptoethanol (4 μL/500 mL). Feeder MEFs were maintained and isolated as above from DR4 mice genetically resistant to geneticin (G418), puromycin, hygromycin, and 6-thioguanine. Feeder cells were irradiated with 9,000 rad after three passages. ESCs V6.5 were grown on feeder MEFs in KO DMEM, 15% FBS, 1× non-essential amino acids, 1× GlutaMAX, 1× penicillin/streptomycin, 2-mercaptoethanol (4 μL/S25 mL), and leukemia inhibitory factor. Keratinocytes were isolated from reprogrammable mice 4 days postnatal as previously described (Li et al., 2017) and cultured in EpiLife medium with 60 μM calcium (Thermo Fisher Scientific MEP1500CA) with the EpiLife defined growth supplement (Thermo Fisher Scientific S0125). 293T cells were acquired from ATCC and grown in DMEM and 10% FBS. Mice were maintained according to the UW-Madison institutional animal care and use committee (IACUC)-approved protocol.

A detailed description of all materials and methods is provided in the supplemental information.
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