Freezing Tolerance and Cold Acclimation in Guava (Psidium guajava L.)
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Abstract. Guava (Psidium guajava L.) is a tropical evergreen tree that tolerates a wide range of frost-free environments. In recent years, the American market demand for exotic and nutritious fruits, like guava, has been increasing, and, with a long harvest period, guava can be a potential alternative, high-value cash crop in the United States. However, the major limitation with commercializing guava cultivation in the United States is its low cold tolerance. In this article, we studied the physiology of freezing tolerance and cold acclimation in guava. Laboratory freeze–thaw tests (on leaves), shoot growth and leaf relative water content measurements, leaf anthocyanin content analyses, and leaf protein analyses were performed on nonacclimated and cold-acclimated guava cultivars Lucknow–49 and Ruby × Supreme. The leaf freezing tolerance (expressed as LT_{50} values) of nonacclimated tissues was ~−2.5 °C and significantly enhanced to ~−4.4 °C after an environmentally controlled cold acclimation regime for both cultivars. However, when compared based on actual injury sustained by leaves at various freezing temperatures in a freeze–thaw test, ‘Ruby × Supreme’ exhibited significantly less injury than ‘Lucknow–49’ at most temperatures. Growth and leaf relative water content reduced, whereas leaf anthocyanins accumulated during cold acclimation. Leaf protein analyses, which were performed after cold acclimation and drought stress, revealed that four proteins (69, 48, 23.5, and 17.4 kDa) accumulated in response to low temperatures, and two proteins (17.4 and 16 kDa) accumulated in response to drought stress. Antidehydrin immunoblots revealed that one common 17.4 kDa dehydrin accumulated in response to cold and drought stresses. Our data indicate that guava possesses leaf freezing tolerance, exhibits cold acclimation ability, and that ‘Ruby × Supreme’ leaves are relatively more freezing-tolerant than ‘Lucknow–49’ when compared up to −4 and −8 °C for nonacclimated and cold-acclimated tissues, respectively. Cold acclimation in guava appears to be a multifactorial process involving complex physiological and biochemical changes and also overlapping responses with drought stress.

Cold and drought stresses are acknowledged to be the two most dominant environmental stresses that affect the growth, productivity, and distribution of crops and horticultural plants (Boyer, 1982; Levitt, 1980). The plasma membrane of the cell has been considered the primary site of freezing injury in plants (Arora and Palta, 1991; Levitt, 1980; Steponkus, 1984). Freeze-induced damages of the membrane are primarily the result of severe cellular dehydration associated with freezing (Xin and Browse, 2000), which also links freezing with drought stress at the cellular level (Guy et al., 1992). Therefore, plants might exhibit at least partly overlapping responses to these two environmental stresses.

In plants, the major mechanism of freezing resistance is tolerance rather than avoidance because plants are essentially unable to avoid environmental freezing temperatures (Levitt, 1980). Exposure of plants to gradually increasing stress might initiate physiological and biochemical adjustment that protect them from injury when environmental stresses abruptly occur. Cold acclimation (CA) is a phenomenon that occurs when the freezing tolerance of plants increases after exposure to low, nonfreezing temperatures (Thomashow, 1999). Almost all temperate perennials and many annual and biennial plants can alter their freezing tolerance when exposed to low, nonfreezing temperatures (Wisniewski et al., 2003). CA is a complex process associated with physiological and biochemical changes in the plants, including modifications in membrane lipid composition; increases in soluble sugars, amino acids, and organic acids; synthesis and accumulation of antioxidants and protective proteins; changes in hormone levels; and alterations in gene expression (Thomashow, 1999; Xin and Browse, 2000).

Numerous electrophoretic studies have reported both quantitative and qualitative differences in protein content between non-acclimated and cold-acclimated tissues (Arora et al., 1992; Guy and Haskell, 1988; Wisniewski et al., 1996); most notable among these are dehydrin proteins (Lea D II family) (Arora and Wisniewski, 1994; Bassett et al., 2006; Muthalif and Rowland, 1994; Peng et al., 2008; Wisniewski et al., 1999). Dehydrins are encoded by a multigene family and accumulate in response to environmental stresses that lead to dehydration such as low temperature, drought, and high salinity (Close, 1997). Previous studies imply that the dehydrins may stabilize cellular structure during dehydration stress (Close, 1997; Danyluk et al., 1998; Peng et al., 2008; Rinne et al., 1999).

Guava (Psidium guajava L.), which belongs to the Myrtaceae family, is a small evergreen tree (Morton, 1987a; Yadava, 1996). Guava can tolerate a wide range of frost-free environments and flourishes in both humid and dry climates at elevations between sea level and 2100 m (Yadava, 1996). It exceeds most of the tropical and subtropical fruit trees in adaptability as a result of its chilling, drought, and salinity tolerance and can produce fruits continuously throughout the year in climates suitable for production (Yadava, 1996).

In recent years, the American market demand for exotic fruits, like guava, has been increasing, mainly because of increased immigration from Asia, Latin America, and other warm-climate countries (Yadava, 1996). With a long harvest period, guava can be a potential alternative, high-value cash crop in the United States (Yadava, 1996). Guava plants are cultivated in many countries, including India, Brazil, South Africa, Venezuela, Cuba, the Philippines, and New Zealand (Hawaii Agricultural Statistics Service, 1999); however, the majority of guava cultivation in the United States is limited only to a few favorable locations in California, Florida, and Hawaii (Yadava, 1996). The major limitation with expanding guava cultivation to further north in the United States is its low cold tolerance (Yadava, 1996). Yet, research on the freezing tolerance and CA ability of guava has received little attention. An understanding of the biology of freezing tolerance and CA in guava may provide the...
basis for potential frost-protection strategies and developing freezing-tolerant cultivars and may also trigger interest in the responses of other tropical and subtropical plants to cold stress.

We used two highly productive guava cultivars, Lucknow-49 and Ruby × Supreme (Morton, 1987a), in this study to examine the physiology of freezing tolerance in guava. The major objectives were 1) to determine whether guava, which is native to the tropics, possesses any freezing tolerance; 2) to determine whether freezing tolerance of guava can be enhanced by an environmentally controlled CA regime; and 3) to investigate the physiological changes such as growth, leaf water content, proteins, and so on, associated with CA.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions. Bulked seed collected from self-polinations of ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’ were obtained from Fort Valley State University (Fort Valley, GA). Seeds were germinated in Dec. 2006 in plug trays (52.5 × 26 × 6 cm; 50 plugs) with Peat-Lite mix (Sunshine LCI Mix; Sun Gro Horticulture Ltd., Bellevue, WA) in the greenhouse at the Department of Horticulture, Iowa State University. Three-month-old guava seedlings were transplanted to 21 × 20 cm (diameter × height) plastic pots and maintained in a greenhouse at 24 ± 2 °C with a natural photoperiod. The plants were fertilized and irrigated as needed.

Cold acclimation treatment. Three uniform 1-year-old plants (nonacclimated) of each cultivar were chosen randomly and transferred to a growth chamber for 4 weeks with a 15-h photoperiod under cool-white fluorescent lamps that provided photosynthetically active radiation of 60 ± 4 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹. Plants were exposed to a stepwise lowering of temperatures in the growth chamber as follows: 18/12 °C (day/night) for 1 week, then 15/9 °C (day/night) for 1 week, then 10/5 °C (day/night) for 1 week, and finally 7/3 °C (day/night) for 1 week. Another three uniform nonacclimated plants of each cultivar were maintained at 24 ± 2 °C in the greenhouse for 4 weeks as a control for growth analysis. This 4-week CA treatment was repeated three times in the same growth chamber for three independent experiments. Here, we present data from one such representative experiment.

Leaf water content and growth analysis. Growth parameters were measured for nonacclimated (control) and cold-acclimated plants before and during three repeated CA experiments. In each experiment, fresh weight, saturated fresh weight, and dry weight of leaves were determined for each cultivar. After measuring the fresh weight of freshly harvested leaves, they were placed into containers with distilled, deionized water for 24 to 30 h to a constant weight and saturated fresh weight was measured for each sample. Dry weight was obtained after drying these leaves at 70 °C in an oven for 48 to 72 h to a constant weight. In all measurements, fully expanded leaves of a similar age were used. Leaf relative water content was calculated as (leaf fresh weight − leaf dry weight)/ (leaf saturated − fresh weight − leaf dry weight) × 100 (Peng et al., 2007).

Shoot elongation was determined by measuring the distance between a reference mark and the shoot tip before and during CA treatments. The reference marking was made between the shoot tip and the first node with a piece of red thread before CA treatment. Measurements were made on three plants per cultivar for nonacclimated and cold-acclimated treatments.

Leaf freezing tolerance. Leaf freezing tolerance (LFT) was determined by measuring changes in electrical conductivity (EC) of freeze-thawed tissue (Palta et al., 1977) using the method described by Lim et al. (1998). The freezing tolerance of nonacclimated leaves was performed on the same day before the plants were transferred to the growth chamber for CA, whereas cold-acclimated leaves were evaluated for LFT at the end of the CA treatment. Leaves of similar age were sampled from three plants from each cultivar and cut into discs 1.9 cm in diameter. Three discs for each treatment temperature of each cultivar were placed separately into test tubes (200 × 25 mm, 70 mL) containing 100 μL distilled, deionized water. Test tubes with leaf discs were placed in a glycol bath (Model 3028; Fisher Scientific Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) for freezing treatment. Treatment temperatures were −0.5, −1, −1.5, −2, −2.5, −3, −3.5, and −4 °C for nonacclimated plants and −0.5, −1, −1.5, −2, −2.5, −3, −3.5, −4, −5, −6, −7, and −8 °C for cold-acclimated plants. Three unfrozen discs of each cultivar were placed at 0 °C on ice as the control. Samples in the glycol bath were ice-cooled at the rate of 0.5 °C every 30 min and equilibrated for 1 h. Thereafter, samples were cooled at the rate of 0.5 °C every 30 min to −4 °C (nonacclimated plants) and subsequently at the rate of 1 °C every 40 min to −8 °C (cold-acclimated plants). Tubes were removed from the glycol bath at each treatment temperature, first placed in ice for overnight thaw, and then transferred to 4 °C for 1 h. Samples were then incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Subsequently, 20 mL of distilled, deionized water was added to each tube and samples were vacuum-infiltrated at ≈100 kPa for 3 min and then shaken at 250 rpm on a platform shaker (Model Innova 2300; New Brunswick Scientific Co. Inc., Edison, NJ) for 1.5 h. EC of the leachate was measured with a conductivity instrument (Model 3100; YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH) at room temperature before [initial EC (IEC)] and after [final EC (FEC)] leaf discs were autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 min with a slow exhaust cycle of 7.56 g·s⁻¹. Percentage leakage (% IL) was calculated as the ratio of IEC to FEC and percentage injury (% injury) was calculated as follows: % injury = (% ILF − % ILC)/(100 − % ILC)×100. Here, % ILF and % ILC represent percentage ion leakage of each treatment temperature and control, respectively (Lim et al., 1998). LT₅₀, the temperature at which 50% injury occurred, was defined as LFT and 50% injury level was calculated as follows: (% injury max + % injury min)/2, where % injury max = the maximum percent injury and % injury min = the minimum percent injury (Sutinen et al., 1992). LT₅₀ was estimated by fitted sigmoidal curves with Gompertz function (Lim et al., 1998).

Leaf anthocyanin analysis. Leaf relative anthocyanin contents were determined following the protocols described in Neff and Chory (1998) and Klein et al. (2007). In brief, 0.1 g leaf tissue powder was incubated overnight in 300 μL acidified methanol (1% HCl). The next day, anthocyanin was separated from chlorophyll by adding 200 μL distilled, deionized water and 500 μL chloroform. Absorbance at 530 nm and 657 nm of the aqueous phase was determined using a spectrophotometer (Model DU-640; Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA). The relative anthocyanin contents per fresh weight were calculated as: A₅₃₀ − 0.25 × A₆₅₇ by compensating the contribution of chlorophyll and its degradation products to the absorbance of anthocyanins. 1% HCl methanol was used as the blank absorbance.

Drought stress treatment and water potential measurement. Four uniform, well-watered, 18-month-old plants were chosen from each cultivar. They were subjected to drought stress by withholding watering until wilting was observed and then allowing them to recover by resuming irrigation. The fully expanded youngest leaf with petiole was cut from each plant before the drought stress treatment (control), every 2 or 3 d during the water deficit, and finally during the recovery, and immediately after leaf collection at 0900 h, water potential (Ψleaf, MPa) was measured using a pressure bomb (Model 670; P.M.S. Instrument Company, Albany, OR). Control and drought-stressed leaves were freeze-dried by liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for subsequent protein analysis.

Leaf protein extraction and measurement. Leaf proteins were extracted by the method described by Gaspar et al. (1997) with minor modifications. Leaves from nonacclimated and cold-acclimated, well-watered (control), drought-stressed (20 and 18 d of water deficit for ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’, respectively) and drought-stress-recovered (5 d of recovery) plants were collected, lyophilized, and ground to a fine powder. A total of 0.3 g powder of each sample was washed at 4 °C with 20 mL solution (methanol:acetate acid:water = 10:1.9) and spun at 20,000 × g for 30 min. The pellet was then washed with 15 mL hexane and 15 mL acetone consecutively at 4 °C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was dried for a few hours at room temperature. Dry pellet was then treated with 1.5 mL extraction buffer [80 mm Tris-HCl buffer (pH 6.8), 0.1 M β-mercaptoethanol, 2% (w/v) SDS, and 15% (v/v) glycerol] and immediately heated at 100 °C for 10 min. The samples were
stored at 4 °C overnight after being cooled to room temperature. The next day, samples were centrifuged at 15,800 × g for 30 min, yielding supernatant as the source of leaf tissue protein for sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

Protein concentration was determined by a modification of the Esen protein assay (Esen, 1978). Equal aliquots (5 μL; triplicates) from various extractions and BSA standards (0 to 4 mg mL⁻¹; triplicates) were spotted on Whatman No. 1 chromatography paper and stained with 0.1% Coomassie brilliant blue dye R-250. After rinsing briefly with water and drying, the stained spots were eluted with 1% SDS and absorbance of the dye–protein complex was measured at 600 nm.

**SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.** Samples with equal amounts of protein (12 μg) were subjected to SDS-PAGE and visualized by Coomassie stain as described in Arora et al. (1992). In brief, discontinuous SDS-PAGE was performed with a PROTEAN II electrophoresis unit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA) using 4% stacking gel and a 12.5% separating gel. Gels were stained by Brilliant blue G-Colloidal (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO). Band intensities on SDS-PAGE were estimated using visual observations and densitometry (Quantity One 1-D Analysis Software; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.).

For immunoblots, separated proteins (4 μg) from an unstained gel were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes as described in Arora and Wisniewski (1994). Membranes were probed at 1:500 dilution with antidehydrin antibody (kindly provided by Dr. Timothy Close) directed against a synthetic peptide of a 15 residue consensus sequence (EKKGIMDKKEKLPQ), which is highly conserved at the C-terminus of dehydrin proteins (Close et al., 1993). Immunoreactive bands were detected by alkaline phosphatase assay using the ProtoBlot Western Blot AP Kit (Promega Corp., Madison, WI).

**Statistical analysis.** Results were expressed as mean values ± se. Comparisons between cultivars and treatment means, using a significance level of *P < 0.05*, were performed using the two-way analysis of variance of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

**Results**

**Effect of cold acclimation on growth and anthocyanins.** Shoot elongation of both guava cultivars was reduced by the CA treatment, significantly for ‘Lucknow-49’, whereas moderately for ‘Ruby × Supreme’ (Fig. 1A). Daily elongation rates (final shoot elongation/days) of cold-acclimated plants were less than that of nonacclimated plants, and shoots of ‘Lucknow-49’ elongated more than shoots of ‘Ruby × Supreme’ for both nonacclimated (significantly) and cold-acclimated plants (moderately). Mean elongation rates of nonacclimated plants were 374 ± 69 and 172 ± 17 nm d⁻¹ in ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’, respectively, whereas for cold-acclimated plants, rates were 152 ± 10 and 81 ± 22 nm d⁻¹, respectively. Leaf relative water content of the two cultivars was reduced after a 28-d CA treatment compared with nonacclimation (NA) levels, significantly for ‘Ruby × Supreme’, whereas nonsignificantly for ‘Lucknow-49’. ‘Ruby × Supreme’ had significantly higher leaf relative water content in NA state than ‘Lucknow-49’; however, it reduced more than ‘Lucknow-49’ during CA reaching roughly the same level as that of ‘Lucknow-49’ after 28 d of CA (Fig. 1B).

After subjecting plants to CA for 4 weeks, a color change from green (nonacclimated leaves) to mostly red in cold-acclimated leaves was visually observed in both cultivars (Fig. 2A). Subsequently, the anthocyanin contents were determined on nonacclimated and cold-acclimated leaves. Cold-acclimated leaves accumulated anthocyanin in both ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’ (Fig. 2B). Leaf relative anthocyanin contents increased by fourfold and threefold in ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’ respectively, compared with their nonacclimated levels. ‘Lucknow-49’ had a relatively higher level of anthocyanins than ‘Ruby × Supreme’ in both nonacclimated and cold-acclimated plants. Our observations indicate that particularly the older leaves (with or without anthocyanin) of cold-acclimated plants tend to abscise a few days after the plants are moved back to normal growth temperatures, whereas newly emerged leaves (with or without anthocyanin) continued to grow.

**Leaf freezing tolerance of nonacclimated and cold-acclimated plants.** Freezing toler-
assessed as LT50) increased after CA by at Table 1. Thus, LFT of both cultivars '49' and 'Ruby · achieved after 7/3 with no further gain in freezing tolerance /C176 the 10/5 for an additional week (data not shown). · 49' and 'Ruby LT50s were –2.3 and –2.7 injury (Fig. 4). For nonacclimated plants, point between the maximum and minimum the temperature corresponding to the mid- cold-acclimated cultivars by determining ance, was derived for nonacclimated and cultivars to –4.2 and –4.6 of 14 temperatures used in freeze–thaw tests less freezing injury than 'Lucknow-49' at 13 Figs. 3A and 3B). Both nonacclimated and acclimated plants of both cultivars (compare treatment temperatures, but the cultivar difference was smaller compared with the non- acclimated plants of both cultivars (compare Figs. 3A and 3B). Both nonacclimated and cold-acclimated ‘Ruby × Supreme’ showed less freezing injury than ‘Lucknow-49’ at 13 of 14 temperatures used in freeze–thaw tests and therefore was more freezing-tolerant. LT50, as a measurement of freezing toler- ance, was derived for nonacclimated and cold-acclimated cultivars by determining the temperature corresponding to the mid- point between the maximum and minimum injury (Fig. 4). For nonacclimated plants, LT50s were –2.3 and –2.7 °C for ‘Lucknow- 49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’, respectively. After CA, leaf LT50s decreased in both cultivars to –4.2 and –4.6 °C for ‘Lucknow- 49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’, respectively (Table 1). Thus, LFT of both cultivars (assessed as LT50) increased after CA by at least 1.9 °C, which was obtained by the end of the 10/5 °C (day/night) step of the CA regime with no further gain in freezing tolerance achieved after 7/3 °C (day/night) exposure for an additional week (data not shown).

Protein alterations during cold acclimation. Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE profiles of total proteins and their antidehydrin immunoblots for ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’ are presented in Figure 5AB. The SDS-PAGE profile (Fig. 5A) indicated that four of these proteins, with molecular weights of 69, 48, 23.5, and 17.4 kDa, accumulated in cold-acclimated leaf tissue from both cultivars. The extent of accumulation of these proteins (on SDS-PAGE) differed among cultivars; however, all four bands accumulated at a relatively higher level in ‘Lucknow-49’ than in ‘Ruby × Supreme’ (Fig. 5C). The levels of three proteins, with molecular weights of 65, 59, and 22 kDa, appeared to be reduced after CA in both cultivars with relatively greater reduction in ‘Lucknow-49’. Antidehydrin immunoblots of the leaf proteins revealed differing levels of accumulation of two dehydrins in nonacclimated and cold-acclimated plants (Fig. 5B). A 17.4 kDa dehydrin was present at high levels in the cold-acclimated tissues but for some unex- plained reasons was not detected on the

Leaf water potential and protein alter- ations during drought stress. Plants experi- enced reduced water potential from –0.44 MPa (control) to –1.4 and –1.55 MPa (fully stressed) for ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’, respectively, when continually exposed to drought stress (Fig. 6). ‘Luck- now-49’ apparently exhibited more drought resistance than ‘Ruby × Supreme’ because it required more time to become drought-stressed as indicated by the loss in turgor and leaf water potential: 20 and 18 d of water deficit for ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’, respectively. When watering was resumed to the control level for 2 d, the leaf water potential of stressed plants almost fully recovered in both cultivars (Fig. 6).

SDS-PAGE profiles of leaf proteins and their antidehydrin immunoblots for ‘Luck- now-49’ and ‘Ruby × Supreme’ for control, drought-stressed, and recovered plants are presented in Figure 7A–B. Various protein bands were observed to undergo quantitative changes after drought stress but reverted to the control level after recovery (Fig. 7A). Two of these proteins, with molecular weights of 17.4 and 16 kDa, accumulated in leaf tissue of drought-stressed plants in ‘Lucknow-49’, but no such change could be detected in ‘Ruby × Supreme’, at least from SDS- PAGE profiles (Fig. 7C). The levels of the 102 and 34 kDa proteins reduced after drought stress but reverted to approxi- mately to the control levels in recovered plants in both cultivars. Antidehydrin immunoblots revealed that the 17.4 kDa dehydrin was present in the fully drought-stressed plants, whereas it was unde- tected in both control and recovered plants for both cultivars. The level of the 17.4 kDa dehydrin was relatively higher in ‘Ruby × Supreme’ than ‘Lucknow-49’. Once again, this dehydrin appeared as a faint band on the Coomassie-stained gel.

Discussion
The results presented here provide infor- mation on the physiological responses of guava to freezing stress and CA. We investigated
the ability of guava leaves to tolerate freezing stress and exhibit CA. We also studied cold-induced changes in growth, relative water content, total proteins, and anthocyanin contents and the overlapping responses to cold and drought stresses.

Nonacclimated and cold-acclimated leaf freezing tolerance

There are very limited data in the literature on the cold tolerance of members of the Myrtaceae family to which guava belongs. However, Tibbits et al. (1991) showed that leaves of Eucalyptus cordata Labill. and Eucalyptus gunnii Hook.f. can tolerate frost down to \(-3^\circ C\) and to lower than \(-15^\circ C\), respectively, when subjected to artificial freezing, whereas, according to Morton (1987b), Feijoa sellowiana O.Berg can withstand temperatures as low as \(-10^\circ C\). Ours is the first laboratory study on freezing tolerance and CA in guava. Our LTI0 data indicate that guava leaves accrued freezing tolerance to a maximum of \(-2.7^\circ C\) at nonacclimated state (Table 1); however, actual LFT at respective subfreezing temperatures varied significantly between the two cultivars (Fig. 3A). Both cultivars also exhibited CA ability as evidenced by increased LFT (at various subfreezing temperatures) and a gain of \(\approx 2^\circ C\) in LTF in response to a CA regime (Fig. 3B; Table 1).

LTI0, estimated by electrolyte leakage, has been widely used as a parameter defining the freezing tolerance of plant tissues. Based on this parameter, however, the leaf tissue of ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby x Supreme’ did not show a significant difference in LFT (Table 1). In contrast, when comparing percent freezing injury of the two cultivars at various subfreezing treatment temperatures, ‘Ruby x Supreme’ exhibited significantly less injury than ‘Lucknow-49’ at all test temperatures for nonacclimated plants and at most temperatures for cold-acclimated tissues (Fig. 3A–B). For example, nonacclimated ‘Lucknow-49’ had almost twice the percentage injury at \(-3.5^\circ C\) and 1.5-fold injury at \(-4^\circ C\) compared with ‘Ruby x Supreme’ (Fig. 3A). This contrast was maintained even after CA because, at \(-4^\circ C\) and \(-5^\circ C\), ‘Ruby x Supreme’ suffered only \(\approx 75\%\) injury of that in ‘Lucknow-49’ (Fig. 3B). These data indicate that ‘Ruby x Supreme’ had greater LFT than ‘Lucknow-49’ and suggest that the LTI0 alone may not be a comprehensive parameter to discern small but significant differences in LFTs of the two cultivars used in this study.

Gradual exposure to increasing stresses may induce physiological adjustments that protect plants from injury after abrupt environmental stresses. It has been suggested that to obtain proper freezing tolerance and reach maximum tolerance, temperatures may need to be changed in sequence (Weiser, 1970). Moreover, if a plant cannot adjust cellular processes for proper function during long-term exposure to low, nonfreezing temperatures, it probably has reached its maximal freezing tolerance. Yadava (1996) suggested that the optimal growth temperature for guava is \(\approx 20\) to \(30^\circ C\). Therefore, in the present study, the final CA temperatures were approached gradually starting from \(18/12^\circ C\) (day/night) to stepwise cooler temperatures instead of abruptly exposing plants to \(4^\circ C\) (or lower), a widely used protocol in the literature. We also noted that freezing tolerance of guava leaf tissue did not further increase after exposure to \(7/3^\circ C\) (day/night) for an additional week compared with the LFT levels attained after \(10/5^\circ C\) (day/night) exposure (data not shown). This study provides, for the

Table 1. Leaf tissue freezing tolerance (LTI0) of Psidium guajava L. ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby x Supreme’ at nonacclimation (NA) and 28-d cold acclimation (CA) regimes.

| Cultivar       | NA LTI0 (°C) | CA LTI0 (°C) |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Lucknow-49    | \(-2.3\) a' | \(-4.2\) b  |
| Ruby x Supreme| \(-2.7\) a  | \(-4.6\) b  |

*Means followed by different letters are significantly different at \(P \leq 0.05\) according to the two-way analysis of variance.

---

**Fig. 3.** Leaf percentage freezing injury measured at different freezing treatment temperatures in Psidium guajava L. ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby x Supreme’ in (A) nonacclimation (NA) and (B) cold acclimation (CA) regimes. Vertical bars represent means ± se of three replicates.

**Fig. 4.** Leaf freezing tolerance (LTI0) of cold-acclimated Psidium guajava L. ‘Lucknow-49’ and ‘Ruby x Supreme’. Dotted lines show the maximum percent injury. Arrows show the LTI0 values. Percent injury at LTI0 = (% injury\(_{max}\) + % injury\(_{min}\))/2, where % injury\(_{max}\) = the maximum percent injury and % injury\(_{min}\) = the minimum percent injury (= 0). Values are means ± se of three replicates.
Physiological changes during cold acclimation

Growth. Comparison of structural components or metabolites from nonacclimated and cold-acclimated plants is a common way to investigate the biochemical basis of freezing tolerance (Xin and Browse, 2000). We observed reduced shoot elongation of guava plants that were subjected to the CA regime (Fig. 1A). CA involves a reduction or cessation of growth in many plants. In temperate woody plants, growth cessation is closely associated with the onset of CA (Pellett, 1998). Low temperature had a negative effect on the growth of poplar, which may be the result of the mobilization of carbohydrates for a putative cryoprotective process (Renaut et al., 2004). In addition, reduction of photosynthesis is also considered a cause for growth reduction in Hydrangea species during CA (Pagter et al., 2008). However, here, whether the observed reduction of shoot elongation could be considered a mechanism for guava to cope with low temperatures or if it was only a stress response to unfavorable conditions is unknown.

Leaf water content. The water status of a plant tissue usually reflects the potential degree of freezing injury incurred by the plant. Because the freeze-induced injury is primarily the result of the cellular dehydration...
associated with freezing (Thomashow, 1999; Xin and Browse, 2000; Wisniewski and Arora, 1992), tissues with a large amount of free water are expected to be more susceptible to freezing injury and the CA process is expected to involve reduction in cellular water content. Our data indicated that the leaf relative water content of guava decreased after CA (Fig. 1B), which is consistent with prior reports showing the reduction in tissue water content during CA in woody plants. The water content of the lateral buds of *Betula pendula* Roth decreased from spring to fall (Li et al., 2003). Additionally, short-day/low-temperature treatments lowered the leaf water content in silver birch (Li et al., 2002) and *Rhododendron* L. (Marian et al., 2004). ‘Ruby · Supreme’ showed relatively higher levels of leaf relative water content than ‘Lucknow-49’ in both NA and CA regimes, suggesting that ‘Ruby × Supreme’ may be more sensitive to freezing stress than ‘Lucknow-49’. However, concerning the opposite conclusion from the comparisons of percent freezing injury at different treatment temperatures showing that leaves of ‘Ruby × Supreme’ were indeed more freezing tolerant than ‘Lucknow-49’, it appears that CA response of guava genotypes may be somewhat different from those for temperate zone woody perennials in terms of the relationship between freezing tolerance and water status of tissues. On the other hand, greater adaptability of ‘Ruby · Supreme’ for the reduction of leaf water content may partly be associated with increased antioxidant system and photo-oxidation prevention from induced anthocyanins. Moreover, anthocyanin accumulation, particularly in young expanding guava leaves during CA (Fig. 2A), may be the result of more protection from photoinhibitory damage required by younger leaves.

**Leaf proteins.** CA of plants also involves the synthesis and accumulation of protective proteins (Thomashow, 1999). Although both qualitative and quantitative changes in protein content have been reported during CA in various woody perennials, research on tropical fruit trees is limited. Our results indicate that the guava leaves exhibited protein accumulation during CA. Four polypeptides (69, 48, 23.5, and 17.4 kDa) were found to accumulate in response to CA (Figs. 5A and C), which may be the result of increased protein synthesis and/or decreased turnover. It is interesting to obtain almost identical leaf protein profiles (SDS-PAGE) among the two guava genotypes in this study (Fig. 5A); however, two-dimensional
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