Potentiation of endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation of mesenteric arteries from spontaneously hypertensive rats by gemigliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor class of antidiabetic drug
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INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a well-established risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD). Diabetic patients have 2-to 4-fold greater risk of developing coronary artery disease (CAD) compared to non-diabetic patients [1]. Furthermore, diabetes is responsible for the rise of stroke incidence and the increased risk of heart failure [2]. Therefore, glucose lowering agent with CVD preventing effect is expected to provide additional benefit for diabetic patients.

Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4) is known as an intrinsic membrane glycoprotein and a ubiquitous serine peptidase that cleaves X-proline dipeptides from the N-terminus of polypeptides. DPP4 inhibitors would increase the concentration of GLP-1 by preventing the degradation in vivo [3]. GLP-1 promotes insulin secretion from the pancreatic β cells resulting decrease of blood glucose in diabetic patients. On this
background, DPP4 inhibitors, also called gliptins, are prescribed as a class of oral antidiabetic drugs.

It is well confirmed that DPP4 inhibitors reduce glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels with clinically equivalent efficacy compared to other oral diabetic drugs without significant adverse effects such as hypoglycemia, weight gain and gastrointestinal trouble [4]. Most DPP4 inhibitors are prescribed for the treatment of T2DM as monotherapy or in combination with other class agents, such as metformin. Along with the glucose lowering effect, DPP4 inhibitors have been suggested to exert beneficial effects on the cardiovascular risk factors, including dyslipidemia and hypertension [4,5]. In various cardiovascular disease animal models, DPP4 inhibitors show beneficial effects [6-9].

Diabetes is associated with endothelial dysfunction resulting in reduced NO release and excessive generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [10]. Thus, the beneficial effects of DPP4 inhibitors on cardiovascular diseases could be due to the anti-hyperglycemic effects. On the other hand, however, one cannot exclude the direct effect of DPP4 inhibitors on vascular functions, such as promoting the endothelium-dependent vasorelaxation. Such additional beneficial effect would be an interesting property of DPP4 inhibitors as therapeutic agents. The impaired function of endothelium could be measured as the reduced endothelium-dependent relaxation (EDR). Experimentally, the harmful effect of hyperglycemia on EDR can be demonstrated from the increased EC_{50} of ACh for the EDR of maximally contracted arteries.

Gemigliptin is an oral anti-hyperglycemic agent (anti-diabetic drug) of the new DPP4 inhibitor class of drugs approved in global markets [11,12]. However, it has not been investigated whether gemigliptin directly affect EDR in disease models as well as in normal control. The purpose of this study was to identify the effect of gemigliptin and other DPP4 inhibitors (sitagliptin and saxagliptin) on the EDR of isolated arteries exposed to hyperglycemic conditions and to chronic hypertensive states.

**METHODS**

**Animals**

Male Wistar-Kyoto rats (WKY, n=16) and male spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR, n=23) were used in this study. We purchased 12 weeks old WKY/Izm and SHR/Izm from Japan SLC Inc (Hamamatsu, Japan). This investigation was in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by US National Institutes of Health (8th Edition, revised 2011) and also conformed to the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Seoul National University (IACUC approval NO. SNU-170221-4). The rats were sacrificed by heart excision after full anesthesia using ketamine and xylazine (ketamine 90 mg/kg and xylazine 10 mg/kg mixture i.p. injection).

**Blood pressure measurement**

Blood pressures and heart rates were measured twice before sacrificed by using CODA non-invasive blood pressure system, tail-cuff method (Kent Scientific corporation, CT, USA). Rats were warmed at 32-34°C for 20 min before the measurement. Each value used in the analysis was the means of ten times recordings. The tail-cuff blood pressure monitoring showed significantly higher blood pressure in the SHR than WKY (Fig. 1A). Also, the SHR used in this study weighed less than WKY (Fig. 1B).

**Isolation of vessels**

After sacrificing the rats, the intestine was rapidly removed with omentum and submerged in cold Normal Tyrode (NT) solution. The NT solution for dissection contained (in mmol/l): 140 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 0.33 NaH2PO4, 10 HEPES, 10 glucose, 1.8 CaCl2 and 1 MgCl2, pH 7.4 titrated with NaOH. Mesenteric arteries (MA) were carefully dissected as 3 mm length of segments using micro-scissors under surgical stereomicroscope view.

**Fig. 1. Blood pressure and body weights of WKY (n=16) and SHR (n=23) rats.** (A) Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were measured by tail-cuff methods. The blood pressures were significantly higher in SHR than WKY rats (***(p<0.001). (B) SHR rats weighed less than WKY significantly (***(p<0.001).
Isometric tension measurement

The segments of MA were mounted on 25 μm wires in a dual-wire myograph system (620 M; DMT, Aarhus, Denmark) and stabilized in physiological salt solution (PSS) equilibrated with 21% O₂, 5% CO₂ and N₂ balanced at 37°C. The PSS to measure arterial tension contained (in mmol/l): 118 NaCl, 4 KCl, 0.44 NaH₂PO₄, 24 NaHCO₃, 1.8 CaCl₂, 1 MgSO₄ and 5.6 glucose. To confirm the viability of arteries, the response to 80 mM KCl-PSS (80K) was measured initially. In each vessel, EDR was evaluated by applying 10 μM ACh in the presence of 10 μM Phenylephrine (PhE). To exposure high glucose (HG) condition, the glucose concentration was elevated to 50 mM in PSS with gas bubbling during 2 h.

Drugs and chemicals

Gemigliptin were obtained from LG Chem, Ltd. Sitagliptin was purchased from AdooQ BioScience (Irvine, CA, USA) and saxagliptin was purchased from Combi-Blocks Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA). All other drugs and chemicals used in this study were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St.Louis, MO, USA). Gemigliptin and sitagliptin were dissolved in DMSO and a total amount of DMSO was set below 0.5%. Others were dissolved in distilled water.

Table 1. Summary of EC₅₀ values to ACh (nM)

| Conc. (μM) | Normal (5.6 mM) | High Glucose (50 mM) |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|
|           | Drug            |                     |
|           | Gemigliptin     | Sitagliptin         |
|           | Saxagliptin     |                     |
| -         | -               | 117±8.1             |
| 1 μM      | 37.1±3          | 66.4±1.1            |
| 10 μM     | 88.9±8.6        | 71.9±7.4            |

The effects of gemigliptin, sitagliptin, and saxagliptin on endothelium-dependent relaxation in the presence of normal (5.6 mM) and high (50 mM) glucose concentration in mesenteric arteries of WKYs.
**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as the mean values±SEM with number of tested arteries indicated as n. The relaxation to ACh or SNP was presented as a percentage of the 5 μM PhE precontraction (% Relaxation). Unpaired Student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA were used for statistical analysis. To calculate the EC50, the concentration-response curves were fitted to Logistic function by using OriginPro8 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA). A value of p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Effects of gemigliptin, sitagliptin, and saxagliptin on the EDR impaired by HG condition**

In each tested MA of WKY, near-maximum contraction was induced by 5 μM PhE. Then, increasing concentrations of ACh (0.1 nM-10 μM) were applied to induce endothelium dependent relaxations (EDR). With normal level of glucose (5.6 mM), the half relaxation concentration (EC50) of ACh was 37.1±3 nM (Fig. 2A, black line). When exposed to the HG condition (50 mM glucose, 2 h), EC50 of ACh-induced EDR (ACh-EDR) was increased, and the maximum relaxation level was also reduced in the MAs of WKY (Fig. 2A). When treated with 1 μM or 10 μM gemigliptin for 2 h along with HG, both the maximum relaxation and EC50
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**Fig. 3. More effective improvement of ACh-EDR by gemigliptin than sitagliptin and saxagliptin in SHR MA.** The normalized concentration-response curves to ACh were obtained. Statistical differences were analyzed between the tested groups at each concentration of ACh, and the significance was marked by asterisks of the color corresponding to each group symbol (*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001). The sensitivity to ACh was lower in SHR (n=23) than WKY (n=24) (A). Incubation with gemigliptin at both 1 (n=8) and 10 μM (n=8) similarly improved the sensitivity to ACh in SHR MA (B). However, pretreatment with 1 μM sitagliptin (n=8) or 1 μM saxagliptin (n=8) did not recover ACh-EDR in SHR MA (C). Treatment of 10 μM sitagliptin (n=8) improved ACh-EDR slightly in SHR MA, whereas the treatment of 10 μM saxagliptin (n=8) did not (D). n indicated the number of experiments.

**Table 2. Summary of EC50 values to ACh (nM)**

| Conc. (μM) | SHR  | Gemigliptin | Sitagliptin | Saxagliptin |
|------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| -          | 79.8±12.6    | -           | -           | -           |
| 1 μM       | -           | 29.7±5.5    | 50.6±3.5    | 36.2±12.6   |
| 10 μM      | -           | 28.7±5.8    | 43±5.7      | 47.3±5.5    |

The effects of gemigliptin, sitagliptin, and saxagliptin on endothelium-dependent relaxation in mesenteric arteries of SHRs.
were recovered while not perfect (Fig. 2B). The 10 μM gemigliptin alone did not significantly change the ACh-EDR in WKY MA (Fig. 2B, gray line). Different from gemigliptin, treatment of 1 μM sitagliptin or saxagliptin had no effect on the ACh-EDR in WKY MA under HG condition (Fig. 2C). Even the treatment with 10 μM sitagliptin or saxagliptin could not recover the ACh-EDR impaired by the HG condition (Fig. 2D). Table 1 showed summary of EC<sub>50</sub> values in WKY MA exposed to HG with or without DPP4 inhibitors.

**Effects of gemigliptin, sitagliptin and saxagliptin on EDR in MAs of SHR rats**

The ACh-EDR in MA from SHR were impaired when compared with the responses of WKY. The EC<sub>50</sub> of ACh in SHR was 79.8±12 nM (Fig. 3A, red line). Interestingly, the incubation with 1 μM or 10 μM gemigliptin for 2 h increased the sensitivity to ACh in SHR (Fig. 3B). However, the pretreatment with saxagliptin and sitagliptin at 1 μM did not improve the ACh-EDR in SHR (Fig. 3C). Nevertheless, 2 h incubation with 10 μM saxagliptin and sitagliptin could partly improve the ACh-EDR in SHR (Fig. 3D). Table 2 showed summary of EC<sub>50</sub> values in SHR MA with or without pretreatment with DPP4 inhibitors.

**Mechanisms of EDR improvement by gemigliptin**

To evaluate the contribution of NO-dependent mechanism in the improvement of EDR by gemigliptin, MAs from SHRs were also pretreated with NOS inhibitor, 100 μM L-NAME for 2 h. Both ACh sensitivity and maximum relaxation were significantly reduced by the L-NAME treatment (Fig. 4A, brown line). The L-NAME-resistant component of ACh-EDR was thought to be mediated by endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization (EDH) mechanisms. In the presence of L-NAME, however, 1 μM gemigliptin could not change the EC<sub>50</sub> of the ACh-EDR in SHR (Fig. 4A, green line).

To confirm whether gemigliptin affects mesenteric smooth muscles directly, endothelium removed MAs from SHRs by rub-

---

**Fig. 4. Pharmacological investigation of the mechanism underlying the EDR improvement by gemigliptin.** Cumulative concentration-response curves to ACh (A, C, D) or to SNP (B) in MAs of WKY (C) and SHR (A, B, D). (A) No effect of gemigliptin on ACh-induced EDR in the presence of L-NAME (n=12). (B) No difference of SNP-induced relaxation in the absence of functional endothelium. (C) No improving effect of gemigliptin on EDR under the pretreatment with 30 μM pyrogallol (n=12). (D) No improvement of ACh-EDR by 1 μM exendin-4 pretreatment in SHR MA (n=10). In each group of experiments, tonic contraction of MA was initially induced by 5 μM PhE. Statistical significance was marked by asterisks of the color corresponding to each group symbol. n indicated the number of experiments.
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bining were used as checking EDR response to ACh 10 μM with PhE precontraction. The dose response of SNP (0.1 nM-10 μM), a NO donor, was performed in endothelium denuded MAs from SHRs. The concentration-dependent relaxation by SNP were not affected by gemigliptin 1 μM, which suggest that gemigliptin did not directly affect the MA smooth muscle (Fig. 4B).

Excessive ROS generated under various pathological conditions could impair the effect of NO [13,14]. We tested whether gemigliptin 1 μM could play as an ROS scavenger. WKY MAs were pretreated a superoxide generator, pyrogallol (30 μM, 2 h) that induced EDR impairment. A combined treatment with gemigliptin did not show recovery of the sensitivity and maximum relaxation to ACh (Fig. 4C). These data showed that gemigliptin did not play a role as a ROS scavenger.

Although the present study was conducted ex vivo, i.e. in isolated MA, it was questionable whether the increased GLP-1 in vivo could directly affect the ACh-EDR. However, the pretreatment with 1 μM exendin-4, an analogue of GLP-1 [15] had no significant effect on the ACh-EDR in SHR MA (Fig. 4D).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we analyzed the effects of DPP4 inhibitors (gemigliptin, sitagliptin and saxagliptin) on the ACh-EDR of SHR MA and of HG-treated WKY MA. Among the three DPP4 inhibitors tested in the present study, gemigliptin was more effective in improving the ACh-sensitivity of EDR (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). The pharmacological tests suggest that the improvement of EDR by gemigliptin would be mediated by eNOS-dependent pathway (Fig. 4).

Since the SNP-sensitivity of endothelium-denuded MA was not altered by gemigliptin, endothelium but not the smooth muscle seems to be the target site. Interestingly, the treatment with gemigliptin alone did not enhance the ACh-EDR of the normal WKY MA (Fig. 2A). Thus, it is suggested that the beneficial effect of gemigliptin appears to be specific to the pathological conditions, such as hyperglycemia and hypertension.

It is generally accepted that endothelial dysfunction is one of the hallmark of diabetes and hypertension [16]. Even for the normal WKY MA, exposure to HG for 2 h significantly attenuated the ACh-EDR, which was recovered by 1 μM gemigliptin co-treatment (Fig. 2B) while not by sitagliptin or saxagliptin up to 10 μM (Figs. 2C and D). Similar to the previous study [16], ACh-EDR was impaired in the SHR MA (Fig. 3A). Interestingly the improving effect on gemigliptin on the ACh-EDR in the SHR MA was more potent than those of sitagliptin and saxagliptin (Figs. 3B-D).

To investigate the EDR unrelated with the NO production (e.g. endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization factor) mediated relaxation, the ACh-EDR of SRH MA were examined in the presence of NOS inhibitor, L-NAME. The sensitivity to ACh was reduced by treatment of L-NAME, and the remained EDR of SHR could not be recovered by gemigliptin. These results indicated that gemigliptin might have facilitated the NOS or NO-dependent signaling pathway in the SHR or HG-treated MA. To confirm whether gemigliptin directly affects the arterial smooth muscle cells, we examined the effect of gemigliptin in endothelium denuded MA from SHRs. Dose-response of NO donor, SNP, showed similar sensitivity between with and without gemigliptin. The results suggest that gemigliptin had no concern with smooth muscles.

Endothelial dysfunction in hyperglycemia or hypertension is often associated with pathological increase in ROS. In fact, the treatment with pyrogallol, a superoxide generator, significantly decreased the ACh-EDR in WKY MA. However, gemigliptin treatment did not prevent the EDR impairment by pyrogallol (Fig. 4C). Although previous studies with other DPP4 inhibitors (ex. linagliptin) suggested putative antioxidant effect [17], our present study indicate that gemigliptin might not be an effective antioxidant or ROS scavenger.

Some previous studies reported that the cardiovascular beneficial effects by DPP4 inhibitors were mediated by GLP-1 dependent mechanism [17-19]. GLP-1 itself may act on the vessels via their receptor (GLP-1R), producing cyclic AMP and activating PKA. In addition, GLP-1 dilates via release of nitric oxide (NO) from vascular endothelial cells [20]. In several previous studies, GLP-1 receptor agonist mimicked cardiovascular protective effect of DPP4 inhibitors showing that DPP4 inhibitors act on via GLP-1 pathway. However, our present study showed that the GLP-1R agonist, exendin-4, did not directly affect the ACh-EDR of SHR MA (Fig. 4D). Considering the ex vivo condition of present experiment, the results of gemigliptin would not be mediated by stimulating GLP-1R that are not expressed in the SHR MA myocytes or endothelium.

Despite the intriguing beneficial effects of gemigliptin on ACh-EDR, the present study has limitations: 1) since we applied DPP4 inhibitors only for 2 h, effects of more prolonged exposure on endothelial function is not known, 2) since the clinical route of application of DPP4 inhibitor is oral, the present ex vivo results might not be equivalent. Therefore, clinical implication need to consideration and further studies will be needed. Despite the limitations, our study indicates that gemigliptin shows more potent EDR enhancing effect than other DPP4 inhibitors in the arteries under hyperglycemic and hypertensive conditions.
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