INFLUENCE OF OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS OF MECHANIZED HARVESTING ON SUGARCANE LOSSES AND IMPURITIES
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ABSTRACT
Operational conditions of mechanized harvesting can affect the quality of harvested sugarcane. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the influence of the displacement speed and cutting height on the quality of the raw material during the mechanized harvest of sugarcane. Vegetable losses were accounted for in the field by manual collection of the fractions left after harvest. Vegetable and mineral impurities were determined at the processing stage in the laboratory. Both the displacement speed and cutting height did not affect vegetable losses. However, the increase in vegetal impurities occurred at higher displacement speeds, whereas lower cutting heights resulted in higher amounts of mineral impurities.

INTRODUCTION
Mechanized harvesting of sugarcane has been growing in production systems in Brazil, from 24.4% in the 2007/08 season to 91.6% in the 2018/19 season (CONAB, 2018). This is considered one of the most important processes in the agricultural production of sugarcane, as it controls the operational costs and influences the quality of the raw material (Santos et al., 2014).

During the harvesting process, several factors can cause crop quality reduction. These include operating conditions (operator, displacement speed, and cutting height), the crop (sugarcane size and variety), and the environment (work shift and declivity), which can lead to poor quality work (Voltarelli et al., 2018).

According to Neves (2015), the losses represent all the sugarcane parts that can be industrialized and remain in the field after harvest. The increase in the losses of raw material is related to the harvesting speed; an increase in the harvesting speed leads to an increase in vegetable losses (Ramos et al., 2016).

Another relevant aspect to be evaluated in the mechanized harvesting of sugarcane is the vegetal and mineral impurities. This is because when the impurities are taken to the processing unit with the harvested material, they interfere with the process of sugarcane juice extraction in the mills, clarification of the broth, and the formation of sugar crystals, reducing the color quality of the sugar produced (Cassia et al., 2015). In order to minimize the presence of impurities and losses during the harvesting process, adoption of technologies for the harvesters is essential, in addition to improving efficiency of the machines (Ma et al., 2015).

Therefore, since the harvest operational conditions can affect the quality of the raw material to be processed, the objective of this study was to assess the influence of displacement speed and cutting height on the quality of the raw material during the mechanized harvesting of sugarcane.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
The experiment was carried out in a sugarcane production unit in Bariri, São Paulo State, Brazil, within the geographical coordinates 22°5′ S and 48°50′ W. The local climate is classified as Aw according to Köppen’s classification.

For mechanized harvesting without prior burning, a John Deere™ model CH 670 harvester was used, with a rated engine of 279.49 kW (380 hp), driven by track, and with integrated control of the base cut (CICB). The base cutoff pressure, the primary extractor, and engine rotation were set at 1,000 psi, 14.3 Hz (860 rpm), and 36.6 Hz (2,200 rpm), respectively. The experimental area had the variety RB855156 planted on beds at a dense crop spacing of 1.50 m × 0.90 m, with average yield of 122 Mg ha⁻¹.

Three cutting heights, i.e., 3.0 cm (H1), 5.0 cm (H2), and 7.0 cm (H3), were selected based on the soil surface. Two speed conditions, i.e., 2.0 km h⁻¹ (S1) and 4.0 km h⁻¹ (S2), were compared in the study.
were used. The treatments were prepared in six replications, with a total of 36 plots arranged in a completely randomized design. Each plot was 100 m long in the direction of harvesting.

Vegetable losses were determined after passing through the sugarcane harvester in a demarcated area with dimensions of 2.4 m × 4.2 m, totaling a sample area of 10 m². Manual collection of the fractions left in the field was performed according to the methodology of the production unit. The losses were calculated in absolute form (Mg ha⁻¹), multiplying the final value by weight per 1,000 samples. The value obtained was divided by the productivity plus the value of losses to determine the percentage value, according to [eq. (1)].

$$Pc = \frac{(PF/P + PF)}{100} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where:

- $Pc$ is percentage of losses in the field (%);
- $PF$ is field losses (Mg · ha⁻¹);
- $P$ is sugarcane productivity (Mg · ha⁻¹),
- 100 is the conversion factor.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Average vegetal losses are presented in Table 1. There was no interaction between cutting height and displacement speed.

| Cutting height (cm) | Displacement speed (km h⁻¹) | Average (%) |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| H1                  | S1 8.9                      | 7.9 A       |
|                     | S2 7.0                      |             |
| H2                  | S1 6.7                      | 6.9 A       |
|                     | S2 7.1                      |             |
| H3                  | S1 6.7                      | 7.6 A       |
|                     | S2 8.5                      |             |
| Average (%)         | S1 7.4 a                    |             |
|                     | S2 7.5 a                    |             |

**ANOVA**

| F test (height) | F test (speed) | F test (interaction) | CV (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|
| 0.17NS          | 0.00NS*        | 0.57NS               | 36.60  |

Averages followed by the same uppercase letters in a column and lowercase letters in a row do not differ by Tukey’s test ($\alpha = 5\%$). CV - Coefficient of variation; * significant at 5% probability; NS not significant.

Both the displacement speed of the harvester and the cutting height did not show statistical differences in the vegetal losses (Table 1).

Other authors, such as Voltarelli et al. (2015), obtained lower values of vegetal losses by monitoring the losses in the mechanized harvesting of sugarcane by using control charts, with mean total losses of 2.5%. The highest amount of losses found in the present study may be due to the dense crop spacing, which results in a higher amount of plants when compared to other spacing levels (e.g., 1.5 m) adopted for sugarcane, as well as to the high productivity of the experimental area.

For the two working speeds analyzed (2.0 km h⁻¹ and 4.0 km h⁻¹), vegetal losses showed similar values, indicating that this variable had no influence on these parameters. This corroborates with Neves et al. (2006) who showed that high rates of raw material losses is not associated with the harvest speed of work.

However, Ramos et al. (2016) obtained mean values of 2.0% of vegetal losses with a velocity of 4.0 km h⁻¹, suggesting that the increase of speed during the harvesting process leads to an increase in vegetal losses. Silva et al. (2015), while evaluating visible losses during the mechanized harvesting of sugarcane, at 3.6 km h⁻¹ speed, also found that increasing the speed resulted in increased plant losses.

The average of vegetable impurities did not show statistical differences as a function of the cutting height during the harvest but showed statistical differences for the displacement speed (Table 2).
### TABLE 2. Average vegetable impurities (%).

| Cutting height (cm) | Displacement speed (km h⁻¹) | Average (%) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|                     | S1  | S2  |                |
| H1                  | 6.7 | 7.9 | 7.3 A         |
| H2                  | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.6 A         |
| H3                  | 7.7 | 10.9| 9.3 A         |
| Average (%)         | 7.5 a| 9.3 b|              |

**ANOVA**

| F test (height) | F test (speed) | F test (interaction) | CV (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|
| 2.42⁴⁰| 5.59*          | 0.84⁴⁰| 23.00 |

Averages followed by the same uppercase letters in a column and lowercase letters in a row do not differ by Tukey’s test (α = 5%). CV - Coefficient of variation; * significant at 5% probability; NS not significant.

According to Marques et al. (2014), after harvest, technical analyses during the processing of the sugarcane samples demonstrated that the presence of straw and impurities impairs its quality.

A higher harvest speed (4.0 km h⁻¹) generated a higher amount of vegetable impurities (9.3%), because there was no change in the primary extractor rotation of the harvester as a function of harvest speed. The large amount of sugarcane harvested at the highest speed may have led to a lag in the cleaning system.

In addition to the harvest displacement speed, other components should be regulated. As described by Martins et al. (2017), the primary extractor rotation influences the amount of vegetable impurities.

### TABLE 3. Average mineral impurities (kg TC⁻¹).

| Cutting height (cm) | Displacement speed (km h⁻¹) | Average (%) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
|                     | S1  | S2  |                |
| H1                  | 10.3| 9.1 | 9.7 A         |
| H2                  | 8.1 | 10.0| 9.1 AB        |
| H3                  | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.6 B         |
| Average (%)         | 8.4 a| 8.5 a|              |

**ANOVA**

| F test (height) | F test (speed) | F test (interaction) | CV (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------|
| 5.00*           | 0.00 NS        | 1.21 NS              | 25.64  |

Averages followed by the same uppercase letters in a column and lowercase letters in a row do not differ by Tukey’s test (α = 5%). CV - Coefficient of variation; * significant at 5% probability; NS not significant.

The highest value of mineral impurities (9.7 kg TC⁻¹) occurred at the lowest cutting height (3 cm). Due to the lower height, the cut-off knives are closer to the ground, and irregularity of the ground surface can lead to the collection of mineral materials such as soil. However, the increase in the cutting height tends to reduce these impurities, as observed in this study.

During the harvesting process, factors such as topography and slope of the area, among others, can lead to low quality of work (Voltarelli et al., 2018). Thus, leveling of the area is required to reduce mineral impurities.

Currently, technologies are invested in the machines to assist in the harvesting process, such as the use of a mechanism to control cutting height and reduce impurities in the harvested sugarcane. The absence of a floating cutting mechanism can increase the amount of mineral impurities in the harvested sugarcane, reducing the quality of the raw material supplied (Gray et al., 2009).

Alcantara et al. (2017) studied the harvesting methods for different work shifts and their influence on mineral impurities, and obtained higher values compared to the present study. The authors justified their results based...
on the lack of a floating mechanism on the base cut of the harvester and light conditions. As observed by Giachini et al. (2016) during night harvesting, owing to the absence of natural light, the content of mineral impurities was higher because of impaired visibility of operators.

CONCLUSIONS

The operational conditions of mechanized harvesting interfere with the quality of raw materials. The increase in harvesting speed leads to higher amounts of vegetable impurities, whereas a lower cutting height increases the amount of mineral impurities.
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