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Administrative health care databases contain valuable patient information generated by health care encounters. These “big data” repositories have been increasingly used in epidemiological health research internationally in recent years as they are easily accessible and cost-efficient and cover large populations for long periods. Despite these beneficial characteristics, it is also important to consider the limitations that administrative health research presents, such as issues related to data incompleteness and the limited sensitivity of the variables. These barriers potentially lead to unwanted biases and pose threats to the validity of the research being conducted. In this review, we discuss the effectiveness of health administrative data in understanding the epidemiology of and outcomes after acute kidney injury (AKI) among adults and children. In addition, we describe various validation studies of AKI diagnostic or procedural codes among adults and children. These studies reveal challenges of AKI research using administrative data and the lack of this type of research in children and other subpopulations. Additional pediatric-specific validation studies of administrative health data are needed to promote higher volume and increased validity of this type of research in pediatric AKI, to elucidate the large-scale epidemiology and patient and health systems impacts of AKI in children, and to devise and monitor programs to improve clinical outcomes and process of care.
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INTRODUCTION

Acute kidney injury (AKI), characterized by an abrupt deterioration of kidney function, is common in adults and children, and the incidence is increasing (1). The Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) definition incorporates rise in serum creatinine and decreased urine output to identify AKI and classify severity. Approximately 5% of hospitalized children develop AKI (2), and 20–50% of children in the intensive care unit (ICU) and those with cardiac surgery develop AKI (3, 4). Pediatric and adult AKIs are associated with adverse in-hospital outcomes, including...
mortality and prolonged hospitalization. Many studies among adults have shown that AKI is a strong risk factor for chronic kidney disease (CKD), end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), hypertension (HTN), cardiovascular disease, and mortality (5). Among children, available data suggest that AKI is also associated with worse long-term outcomes (6–9).

Administrative health care databases have enabled expansive observational studies because these data are pre-collected and easily accessible and provide a wealth of information regarding epidemiology, risk factors, and outcomes of AKI (10). The purpose of this review is to provide an overview of administrative health care data, as well as its strengths and limitations. In addition, we review validation studies to identify individuals with AKI using administrative health data. Finally, we provide an update on the incidence, risk factors, and outcomes after AKI, as learned from the studies conducted in the last 5 years using administrative health data.

**ADMINISTRATIVE HEALTH CARE DATA RESEARCH**

Administrative health care databases store large quantities of information that are routinely compiled and updated by provinces and/or countries on patients, health care providers, and institutions during various patient encounters (11, 12). Examples of data collected are summarized in Figure 1 and include physician billing codes, prescription claims, vital records, and hospitalization/discharge summaries (13). These data contained within the administrative health databases are often referred to as “big data,” which are distinguished by the large volume of information, speed at which it is generated, and the wide range of fields that it covers (14). Epidemiological studies, in particular, benefit greatly from the availability of administrative health care databases to evaluate and track the health of large populations over a period (12). For example, the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) diagnostic codes found in the databases have been established as an international standard for classification of diseases (15, 16). Using standardized ICD diagnostic codes as a main source of data allows clinical epidemiologists to study patterns of disease, patient care, and various health outcomes in an efficient way (17).

In recent years, the use of administrative health data as a powerful research tool has become increasingly established and extensively applied to various fields of epidemiological research (11, 15, 16). AKI, in particular, is an ideal disease to study using administrative health data (18) due to the high prevalence in hospitalized patients, increased risk for major morbidity (CKD, progression to ESKD, and death), financial costs, and recent research demonstrating that care of patients with AKI is suboptimal due to avoidable systemic issues (18). A series of statements from the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) consensus conference in 2015 outline the potential of large database research for AKI, including real-time prediction of risk for AKI, developing electronic alerts for quality improvement, and “tagging” AKI patients for longitudinal care and advancing our understanding of long-term outcomes following AKI (18–23). Currently, real-time AKI alerts using electronic systems notify health care providers using the KDIGO definition of AKI events (20). In the future, however, machine learning could incorporate real-time patient comorbidities, nephrotoxin exposure, and so on that would allow these electronic systems to provide prognostic data directly to health care providers managing the individual patient, even before an AKI event has occurred (20). The potential real-time application of “big data” to the management of AKI at the individual, organizational, and institutional level is summarized in Figure 2.

**STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEALTH DATA RESEARCH**

To effectively conduct and interpret outcomes of administrative health data research, it is important to understand the strengths and limitations (Table 1).

**Strengths**

One of the most significant advantages of administrative health care data is the very large sample size, which in turn reduces sampling error, increases statistical power, and increases validity (16, 24). The inclusion of a larger and more diverse population also increases generalizability of the study findings to a greater population (25). Having larger numbers of variables collected also allows researchers adjust for many types of variables in multivariable analyses (24).

Although prospective randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are considered to be the gold standard of scientific evidence in clinical medicine, it is often difficult to conduct them because of various logistical, financial, and ethical limitations (24). As administrative health care data are pre-collected and readily available, they allow studies to be less costly and much quicker to perform (24). In fact, cluster RCTs that obtain data through administrative health databases can act as a solution to allow for inclusion of a broad number of facilities and larger representative samples of patients (26). As with any cluster RCT, care would be required to ensure information about exposure status, and outcomes are generalizable and derived from data sources in a consistent manner across trial centers. The heterogeneity and accessibility of administrative health data also allow for easier identification of patients with rare diseases (27–29).

Administrative health care databases often allow patients to be followed over extended time periods (6, 30, 31). Unlike studies that require primary data collection, administrative health databases do not involve researchers having to contact individuals, and loss to follow-up is not a significant issue (unless the patients do not immigrate) when conducting longitudinal studies for outcomes. In fact, researchers can follow patients over a long period by linking their clinical research records to administrative databases with the patients’ consent (32). This, in turn, simplifies the follow-up process and eliminates any chances of non-response and recall bias (33).
Administrative health care data also can be supplemented and linked with other data sources, allowing for a larger and more comprehensive data set. This involves the easily computerized process of linking an individual using personal identifier variables across different databases without affecting patient privacy (16). Other data sources, such as census or citizenship data, allow researchers to gain more information about certain target groups’ socioeconomic status and lifestyle (34, 35). Linkage with electronic medical records, which includes more detailed information about a patient’s health, helps to increase the validity of research findings (36, 37).

**Limitations**

Because of health care databases being structured primarily for administrative and billing purposes, they often lack additional clinical information about the diseases of interest, health outcomes, and medications (38). Detailed data about some comorbidities, anthropometric measures, quality of life, education status, physical activity levels, and patient-reported outcomes are usually unavailable or recorded inconsistently and can negatively impact the research process (39–41). Additionally, changes in clinical diagnostic billing coding practices and different software systems that different physicians and institutions use may cause additional gaps in data (41). For example, the mandatory transition from ICD-9 to ICD-10 diagnostic codes in 2015 involved nearly four times more codes (42). Hence, it is important to consider the changing thresholds and other factors for diagnosing certain diseases to prevent overestimation or underestimation of cases when evaluating incidence and outcomes, which is mainly accomplished through regular and rigorous validation studies. To address this, it is essential for policymakers to devise a standard framework surrounding the coding and software system used within institutions to help bridge the data and communication gaps. This will help ensure that the collection of data is more consistent within and between countries and that the information can be used more effectively in research studies.

Patient identification in administrative health data research is usually made upon the basis of specific diagnostic or procedural codes of disease (11). Validation studies that evaluate the different
combination of codes and criteria, also known as “algorithms,” are essential when conducting administrative health research, but are currently very limited (11, 43). A scoping review for validation studies of administrative health data from 2014 was able to identify only a very limited number of pediatric validation studies for a wide range of diseases and revealed that most studies focused exclusively on adult populations (44).

When working with larger sample sizes in administrative data research, issues related to statistical analyses may occur. For instance, although associations between outcome variables and exposures present as statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) due to a large sample size and power, clinical significance is not always evident and should be critically evaluated when reviewing the results (25). The clustered nature of the data sets in administrative health databases may also result in overestimating associations, which may lead to incorrect conclusions, and ideally should be addressed through models that take into account the hierarchical nature of data present (11).

Just like any other type of study, administrative health data research has the potential to be affected by information bias. Misclassification of an outcome can result when there is unclear or unavailable clinical documentation or a range of definitions for a certain disease, as mentioned previously (16). To address this, adding additional data sources through data linkage can be performed (16). Confounding by indication (also known as indication bias) commonly occurs when people with certain
conditions are more likely to get certain tests or diagnoses attributed to them (45). For example, if someone already has AKI, they may be more likely to be diagnosed with CKD, but this does not necessarily mean they were originally at a higher risk for having CKD.

Additionally, selection bias may result when certain diagnostic codes do not selectively represent a condition under study as study samples will be restricted to a special population, especially when consent is required (11). Careful planning of studies should be done to avoid these biases. When carrying out different objectives during an administrative health data–based research study, using a multidisciplinary team of researchers that include statisticians with expertise in health data analysis and modeling of the specific database being used is essential (11, 39).

### VALIDATION STUDIES FOR ADMINISTRATIVE HEALTH CARE DATA IN AKI

Since the late 1990s, there has been increasing interest in validating the use of discharge and procedural billing codes from administrative health care data research on AKI, including severe AKI requiring kidney replacement therapy (KRT) (46). In 2011, Vlasschaert et al. published a systematic review on the validity of using administrative codes for AKI and CKD (47). The results of this review showed high specificity but lower sensitivity for identifying AKI using administrative data; of note, sensitivity is improved when administrative health care data are used to identify more severe AKI and/or AKI treated with KRT. We conducted a MEDLINE search with the following search terms: (1) acute kidney injury, aki, acute renal failure, or continuous renal replacement therapy, and (2) admin* adj3 data* to identify validation studies published since this systematic review, which identified an additional eight studies. A summary of the results of these studies (48–55) and a selection of those published in the systematic review (56–61) are included in Table 2. To our knowledge, this represents the most up-to-date summary of validation studies for AKI administrative health research.

We have summarized 14 studies that took place between 2004 and 2020. Validation studies have generally compared cases of AKI identified from administrative data, including diagnostic and/or procedure codes for AKI, to a reference standard. More recent validation studies tended to use ICD-10 codes (50, 52, 55, 59), although many studies utilized ICD-9 codes (51, 53, 54, 56–61). For the most part, the reference standard has consisted of either standardized chart review (48, 50–53, 56, 57, 59) or laboratory data linked to either an (1) electronic health record (49, 51) or (2) administrative database containing data from inpatient and outpatient laboratories (54, 55, 58, 60, 61). The source for the reference standard significantly impacted study size with full chart reviews tending to have much smaller study populations. Early studies used acute renal failure (ARF) (56–61) for the reference standard, but the definition of ARF was not always well-defined. Newer studies have tended toward using KDIGO criteria (62) for AKI using serum creatinine levels as the reference standard (50, 51, 53); two studies used serum creatinine or urine output criteria (51, 53). Several studies compared only patients with more severe AKI against the reference standard (48, 51, 53, 55). Most studies were done in hospitalized adults (48, 50–52, 54–61); two studies examined adults admitted to the ICU (48, 55), and two early studies examined adults post–coronary artery bypass graft (58) operation and post–acute myocardial infarction (59). Only two studies were done in children (49, 53); one study examined hospitalized pediatric patients exposed to nephrotoxins (49) and the other examined pediatric patients admitted to the ICU (53).

Most studies reported various parameters, such as sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) of the administrative data vs. reference data for identifying cases of AKI or ARF. Specificity of administrative data tended to be high (86.7–100%). Studies comparing administrative data to a standardized chart review, regardless of definition used, had a high specificity (≥94%) (48–53, 56, 57, 59–61). Two studies compared administrative data to another administrative database and reported reduced specificity (86.7–98.3%); however, these results are likely to be impacted by the quality of data in the administrative database used as a reference standard and are less reliable. However, sensitivity tended to be highly variable (5.9–94.5%). Studies with higher severity of AKI or AKI receiving KRT or ARF generally reported a higher sensitivity. When compared to standardized chart review as the reference standard (51, 53), administrative data identified patients with stage 3 AKI by KDIGO criteria with a sensitivity of 36.5% in hospitalized adults (51), 14.3% in pediatric cardiac surgery patients in ICU (53), and 42.2% for pediatric non-cardiac surgery patients in ICU (53). In one study of billing codes of adult hospitalizations, applying criteria for creatinine or urine output...
| References  | Validated Measure | Study Population | N | Administrative Health Data Source | Reference Standard | Study Findings | Limitations |
|------------|------------------|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------|
| (56)       | ARF              | Adults hospitalized in Canada in 1996–1997 | 1,200 (600 medical service, 600 general surgical service) | Discharge billing codes, including ICD-9 diagnostic codes for ARF | Standardized review of medical charts for ARF | Sensitivity-% (CI) | Specificity-% (CI) | PPV-% (CI) | NPV-% (CI) |
| Quan et al. | ARF              | Adults hospitalized in Canada in 1996–1997 | 1,200 (600 medical service, 600 general surgical service) | Discharge billing codes, including ICD-9 diagnostic codes for ARF | Standardized review of medical charts for ARF | 33.3 (CI NR) | 99.1 (CI NR) | 15.4 (CI NR) | 99.7 (CI NR) |
| (57)       | ARF              | Adults without ESRD hospitalized in USA in 2001 | 13,237 | NHDS administrative database, which provides discharge billing codes, including ICD-9 diagnostic and procedure codes for ARF | Standardized review of medical charts with ARF defined using variable change (104, 105) | Sensitivity-% (CI) | Specificity-% (CI) | PPV-% (CI) | NPV-% (CI) |
| Liangos et al. | ARF              | Adults without ESRD hospitalized in USA in 2001 | 13,237 | NHDS administrative database, which provides discharge billing codes, including ICD-9 diagnostic and procedure codes for ARF | Standardized review of medical charts with ARF defined using variable change (104, 105) | 19.2 (CI NR) | 99.6 (CI NR) | 87.6 (CI NR) | 90.1 (CI NR) |
| (58)       | ARF              | Adults hospitalized for CABG in USA from 2000 to 2001 | 38,230 | Discharge billing codes using ICD-9 diagnostic codes for ARF | CABG Mortality Reporting Program, which provides clinical registry data, including complications | Sensitivity-% (CI) | Specificity-% (CI) | PPV-% (CI) | NPV-% (CI) |
| Parker et al. | ARF              | Adults hospitalized for CABG in USA from 2000 to 2001 | 38,230 | Discharge billing codes using ICD-9 diagnostic codes for ARF | CABG Mortality Reporting Program, which provides clinical registry data, including complications | 22.4 (CI NR) | 98.3 (CI NR) | 31.7 (CI NR) | 97.3 (CI NR) |

(Continued)
| References       | Validated Measure | Study Population                                                                 | N     | Administrative Health Data Source                                                                 | Reference Standard                                                                 | Study Findings                                                                 |
|------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| So et al. (59)   | ARF               | Adults hospitalized with AMI in Canada from 1994 to 2004                       | 193   | Discharge billing codes using either ICD-9 (from 1994 to 2000) and ICD-10 (from 2001 to 2004) diagnostic and procedure codes for ARF | Standardized review of medical charts for ARF                                   | Sensitivity-% (CI)  | Specificity-% (CI)  | PPV-% (CI)  | NPV-% (CI)  |
|                  |                   |                                                                                  |       |                                                                                                  | ARF (ICD-10, 2001–2004)                                                         | 80.0 (51.91–95.67) | 95.5 (91.34–98.04) | 60.0 (38.05–80.88) | 98.3 (95.02–99.64) |
|                  |                   |                                                                                  |       |                                                                                                  | ARF (ICD-9, 1994–2000)                                                         | 80.0 (51.91–95.67) | 98.3 (95.15–99.65) | 80.0 (51.91–95.67) | 98.3 (95.15–99.65) |

- Small sample size, cohort with AMI may limit generalizability
- Reference standard was collected in a different province and definition of ARF is unclear
- Cohort admitted in 1994–2004; higher sensitivity may be apparent based on more current practices and increased AKI awareness

| Waikar et al. (60) | ARF               | Adults hospitalized in USA in 1994 and 2002                                    | 26,751| NIS administrative database, which provides discharge billing codes, including ICD-9 diagnostic and procedure codes for ARF | Linked laboratory and hospital administrative data with ARF defined as 100% increase between nadir and peak SCr | Sensitivity-% (CI)  | Specificity-% (CI)  | PPV-% (CI)  | NPV-% (CI)  |
|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                  |                   |                                                                                  |       |                                                                                                  | ARF (2002)                                                                       | 29.3 (CI NR) | 97.4 (CI NR) | 59.1 (CI NR) | 91.5 (CI NR) |
|                  |                   |                                                                                  |       |                                                                                                  | ARF (1994)                                                                       | 17.4 (CI NR) | 98.7 (CI NR) | 63.5 (CI NR) | 89.9 (CI NR) |

- Reference standard based on laboratory data may have resulted in misclassification of ARF as diagnostic standard
- Cohort admitted in 1994 and 2002; higher sensitivity may be apparent based on more current practices and increased AKI awareness
- Validation study done in two academic centres, which may limit generalizability

(Continued)
### TABLE 2 | Continued

| References                  | Validated Measure | Study Population                                                                 | N       | Administrative Health Data Source                                                                 | Reference Standard                                                                 | Study Findings                                                                 | Limitations                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Waikar et al. (61)           | ARF               | Adults hospitalized in USA in 2004                                               | 99,629  | Discharge billing codes, including ICD-9 diagnostic and procedure codes for ARF                   | Linked laboratory and hospital administrative data with ARF defined using nadir and peak Scr: (1) 100% change and (2) a variable change (104, 105); standardized review of 300 charts for ARF requiring dialysis | **Sensitivity-% (CI)**: 28.3 (CI NR) **Specificity-% (CI)**: 99.0 (CI NR) **PPV-% (CI)**: 80.2 (CI NR) **NPV-% (CI)**: 91.0 (CI NR) | - Reference standard based on laboratory data may have resulted in misclassification of ARF as diagnostic standard - Sampling strategy for chart review of ARF requiring dialysis may overestimate sensitivity |
| Blichert-Hansen et al. (48) | AKI-RRT           | Adults admitted to ICU in Denmark between 2005 and 2010                          | 50      | Danish National Patient Registry, which includes discharge procedure codes for AKI-RRT           | Standardized review of medical charts for ARF-RRT, including acute HD or CRRT | **Sensitivity-% (CI)**: NR **Specificity-% (CI)**: NR **PPV-% (CI)**: 98 (91.0–99.8) **NPV-% (CI)**: NR | - Small sample size limits generalizability - Study limited to AKI-RRT only - Sensitivity, specificity, and NPV could not be reported |
| Schaffzin et al. (49)        | AKI               | Children hospitalized in USA, exposed to 3+ nephrotoxins at once OR 3+ days of aminoglycoside therapy from 2011 to 2012 | 747     | Pediatric Health Information System, which includes medication and discharge billing codes for AKI | Standardized review of medical charts, merged with electronic medical record, using pRIFLE definition (using Scr criteria for R, I, and F strata) | **Sensitivity-% (CI)**: 23.2 (14.0–32.2) **Specificity-% (CI)**: 65.0 (93.1–96.6) **PPV-% (CI)**: 36.5 (23.6–51.0) **NPV-% (CI)**: 90.9 (88.6–93.0) | - Small sample size, single-center data may limit generalizability - pRIFLE criteria may still underestimate nephrotoxin-associated AKI without daily screening, as in the prospective cohort |

(Continued)
| References | Validated Measure | Study Population | N | Administrative Health Data Source | Reference Standard | Study Findings | Limitations |
|------------|------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|
| Tomlinson et al. (50) | AKI | Adults without ESRD hospitalized in England in 2005 and 2010 | 121 (58 in 2005, 63 in 2010) | Discharge billing codes, including ICD-10 diagnostic code for AKI | Standardized review of selected medical charts using KDIGO AKI definition (using SCr criteria) | | - Small sample size, single-center data may limit generalizability - One diagnostic code examined only - Sensitivity, specificity, and NPV could not be reported |
| | | | | | | Sensitivity-% (CI) | Specificity-% (CI) | PPV-% (CI) | NPV-% (CI) |
| | AKI | NR | NR | 95 (91–99) | NR |
| | AKI, 2005 Only | NR | NR | 95 (89–100) | NR |
| | AKI, 2010 Only | NR | NR | 94 (88–100) | NR |
| Grams et al. (51) | AKI | Adults without ESRD hospitalized in the US between 1996 and 2008, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study participants | 10,056 | Discharge billing codes, including ICD-9 diagnostic and procedure codes for AKI | Standardized review of selected medical charts using KDIGO AKI definition (using SCr criteria) Standardized review of electronic medical record using KDIGO AKI definition (using SCr or UO criteria) | | - Cohort admitted between 1996 and 2008; nearly 50% of hospitalizations used for chart review were between 1996 and 2002. Sensitivity significantly increased when comparing hospitalizations between 1996 and 2002 vs. 2002 and 2008. Age <65 vs. ≥65 years. This may reflect increased AKI awareness - Electronic medical record data is from one center, which may limit generalizability |
| | | | | | | Sensitivity-% (CI) | Specificity-% (CI) | PPV-% (CI) | NPV-% (CI) |
| | AKI (vs. Chart Review) | 17.4 (11.6–23.1) | 99.6 (99.3–99.9) | 92.0 (85.9–98.2) | 81.8 (78.5–87.2) |
| | Stage 2 or 3 AKI (vs. Chart Review) | 40.3 (CI NR) | NR | NR | 99.9 (CI NR) |
| | Stage 3 AKI (vs. Chart Review) | 36.5 (CI NR) | 99.9 (CI NR) | NR | NR |
| | AKI (vs. Electronic Medical Record) | 11.7 (8.8–14.5) | 98.9 (98.2–99.5) | 83.5 (75.4–91.7) | 69.3 (66.9–71.7) |
| References         | Validated Measure | Study Population                                                                 | N                  | Administrative Health Data Source                                                                 | Reference Standard                                                                                     | Study Findings                                                                                          | Limitations                                                                                           |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Maass et al.       | ARF               | Adults, aged 65 years or older, hospitalized in Germany between May-December 2010 | 3000 (of which 1500 were post-operative) | Discharge billing codes, including ICD-10 diagnostic and procedure codes for ARF                   | Standardized review of selected medical charts for ARF                                                 | Sensitivity-% (CI) 17.6 (12.7–24.1) Specificity-% (CI) 99.2 (98.7–99.4) PPV-% (CI) NR NPV-% (CI) 56.6 (CI NR) | - KDIGO criteria not applied                                                                             |
| D’Arienzo et al.   | AKI               | Children (aged 0–18 years) without ESRD admitted to pediatric ICU in Canada between 2003 and 2005 | 2051 (355 cardiac surgery, 1696 non-cardiac surgery) | Provincial health care administrative database using ICD-9 primary and secondary diagnostic and dialysis procedure codes | Standardized chart review using KDIGO AKI definition (using SCR or UO criteria) and severity           | Sensitivity-% (CI) Cardiac Surgery, Any AKI 5.9 (4.7–7.6) Specificity-% (CI) 100 (99.2–100) PPV-% (CI) 100 (88.2–100) NPV-% (CI) 49.4 (47.5–51.4) | - Small sample size for cardiac surgery cohort - Center-specific practices may limit generalizability - Cohort admitted between 2003 and 2005; higher sensitivity may be apparent based on more current practices and increased AKI awareness |
| Etzioni et al.     | ARF               | Adults hospitalized for surgical operations across 8 hospitals in USA between 2013 and 2015 | 41,432 surgical hospitalizations (37% general surgery, 20% orthopedic) | Intra-hospital administrative data using ICD-9 codes diagnostic and dialysis procedure codes | ACS’ NSQIP administrative data, including patient information, treatment, and complications | Sensitivity-% (CI) 42.2 (38.0–46.5) Specificity-% (CI) 98.0 (97.7–98.2) PPV-% (CI) 51.5 (46.7–66.2) NPV-% (CI) 97.1 (96.7–97.3) | - Absence of a “gold standard,” Reference standard did not use chart reviewed data and confirm AKI using SCR or UO data - Significant inter-hospital variability is likely contributory to poor concordance |

(Continued)
### Study Findings

| Administrative Health Data Source  | Clinical data from combined Winnipeg ICU database and Manitoba dialysis registry | Clinical data from single center databases and registries | Administrative databases and even chart review |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Reference Standard                | Clinical data from combined Winnipeg ICU database and Manitoba dialysis registry | Clinical data from single center databases and registries | Administrative databases and even chart review |
| Sensitivity-% (CI)                | Sensitivity 92.3 (90.3–93.9)                                                   | Sensitivity 94.5 (92.8–95.9)                            | Sensitivity did not improve using administrative data |
| Specificity-% (CI)                | Specificity 55.4 (52.8–55.3)                                                   | Specificity 63 (60.3–65.3)                             | Specificity did not improve using administrative data |
| PPV-% (CI)                        | PPV 24.1 (23.0–25.9)                                                          | PPV 21.4 (20.0–22.9)                                  | PPV did not improve using administrative data |
| NPV-% (CI)                        | NPV 99.7 (99.6–99.8)                                                          | NPV 99.6 (99.5–99.7)                                  | NPV did not improve using administrative data |

### Limitations

- Validated Measure: Sensitivity and Specificity
- PPV and NPV

### References

1. Garland et al. (16)
2. D'Arienzo et al. (49)
3. Schaffzin et al. (53)
4. Ulrich et al. (51)

### Table 2 (Continued)

| Study Population | Reference Standard | Administrative Health Data Source | Sensitivity-% (CI) | Specificity-% (CI) | PPV-% (CI) | NPV-% (CI) |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Adults (aged 40 years or older), requiring life support, admitted to 11 adult ICUs in Canada between 2007 and 2012 | Clinical data from combined Winnipeg ICU database and Manitoba dialysis registry | Clinical data from single center databases and registries | Sensitivity 92.3 (90.3–93.9) | Specificity 55.4 (52.8–55.3) | PPV 24.1 (23.0–25.9) | NPV 99.7 (99.6–99.8) |

There are additional challenges with validity when interpreting data based on physician billing codes. Physician billing practices change as our understanding of the significant morbidity and mortality associated with AKI evolves over time. For example, it is unclear whether practice changes are responsible for the increasing incidence of AKI observed from time. Another major issue is limited generalizability, or external validity, given the significant heterogeneity of these studies (16). For example, the study by D’Arienzo et al. suggests that sensitivity may be lower in pediatric patients with milder AKI and/or post-cardiac surgery (53). The study by Schaffzin et al. suggests that sensitivity is lower in pediatric patients with nephrotoxin-related AKI (49). These results reflect the significant heterogeneity in the populations studied, including age, disease severity, and etiology of AKI. Furthermore, practice standards are highly variable for the recognition and management of AKI, and this may be different across countries or academic vs. community centers. The reference standard is usually based on standardized

### Table 2

| References     | Study Population | Administrative Health Data Source | Sensitivity-% (CI) | Specificity-% (CI) | PPV-% (CI) | NPV-% (CI) |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|------------|
| Garland et al. (16) | Adults (aged 40 years or older), requiring life support, admitted to 11 adult ICUs in Canada between 2007 and 2012 | Clinical data from combined Winnipeg ICU database and Manitoba dialysis registry | Sensitivity 92.3 (90.3–93.9) | Specificity 55.4 (52.8–55.3) | PPV 24.1 (23.0–25.9) | NPV 99.7 (99.6–99.8) |
chart review at one to two academic centers; these results may not be generalizable to nationwide practice for patients with AKI. Finally, administrative databases may not capture certain segments of the population in countries where there is both universal public and private health care systems and/or large uninsured populations (16).

In summary, these validation studies continue to be limited by variable definitions for AKI, significant heterogeneity of data sources and population studies, and reduced generalizability. Future studies are needed to further validate subpopulations, particularly in the pediatric population; as well, standardized guidelines for defining AKI using discharge billing codes will be essential in improving the applicability of administrative data to AKI health research (19).

**Epidemiology and Outcomes of AKI**

Administrative health research has served as an important methodological source for a number of observational epidemiology studies, which have fundamentally changed our understanding of AKI. This section will focus on studies in AKI conducted using administrative health data in the past 5 years.

**Incidence**

AKI is common in hospitalized patients with particularly high incidence rates seen in critically ill, post–cardiac surgery, oncology, and nephrotoxin-exposed adults and children (1, 3, 62, 65, 66). However, there have been conflicting results regarding the changing temporal trends in AKI and AKI receiving KRT. One of the first studies used a national database to identify cases of AKI receiving KRT using ICD-9 codes and found that from 2000 to 2009, the incidence had increased by an average of 10% per year (67). Another study identified more than 18,000 adult patients with AKI receiving KRT using ICD-10 codes between 2000 and 2012 (68). The authors found that the crude incidence rate of AKI receiving KRT increased nearly 3-fold from 2000 to 2006; although the rate of growth remained stable between 2006 and 2012, the use of continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) increased throughout this period and especially in patients >75 years with high comorbid disease. In children, a similar trend of dialysis receiving AKI has been reported (69). Our group found an increasing trend in the incidence of AKI, as well as use of hemodialysis and CRRT among hospitalized children (1 month to 18 years) in Ontario, Canada (69). However, another large study, which compared the annual AKI incidence rate using data from an electronic health record surveillance tool and administrative data (70), found no difference in AKI incidence between 2006 and 2014 when adjusted for age and sex using either data source.

**Short-Term Outcomes**

Health administrative databases have improved our understanding that AKI is independently associated with increased hospital mortality and morbidity, including length of hospital and ICU stay, and increased health care costs. One study in Japan across more than 280 hospitals showed a decreasing trend in crude in-hospital mortality from 45% in 2007 to 36% in 2016 (71). An Italian study showed in-hospital mortality rate of nearly 30% with the highest risk being in patients with AKI receiving KRT [odds ratio, 2.7; 95% confidence interval (CI), 2.7–2.8] (72). Finally, another Canadian study showed mortality rates between 30 and 40% for adult patients with AKI receiving KRT, but no association with increased mortality in centers who manage a lower volume of patients requiring KRT (73). The Canadian study in children with AKI receiving KRT also examined 30-day mortality, finding an increased rate from 14 to 25% between 1996 and 2009, although this rate subsequently decreased to 19% by 2015 (69). Another study in Japan included pediatric patients (>12 years) and reported 50% in-hospital mortality rate for patients treated with CRRT (74).

Several studies have also described rates of renal recovery, as well as the recurrence risk after AKI, both of which reflect a growing appreciation for AKI as a dynamic process (75–78). A study of critically ill children in Canada showed that children with stage 3 AKI were more than 3-fold likely to have elevated serum creatinine at discharge (>1.5× baseline), relative to those without stage 3 AKI (79). A small study examined children who received ventricular assist devices (VADs) for heart failure and subsequently went on to undergo heart transplant (80). Those children without renal recovery (serum creatinine ≥1.5× baseline) 7 days after VAD implantation, relative to children who had full recovery, were not at increased risk for CKD at 1 year following heart transplant; however, those with reduced estimated glomerular filtration rate 1 month after VAD implantation were at increased risk for CKD.

There is also increased interest in the relative cost and burden associated with AKI. One study in Alberta, Canada, estimated the incremental cost of AKI to be more than Canadian $200 million per year (81). Patients with AKI receiving KRT had prolonged length of stay by more than 7 days and increased cost by up to $20,000, relative to patients without AKI. Another large study of adults from Alberta, Canada, undergoing cardiac surgery also showed increased length of stay and costs associated with increased AKI severity (82).

**Risk Factors**

Risk factors for AKI in hospitalized patients include extremes of age, underlying illness (i.e., sepsis, cardiovascular disease with or without bypass surgery, oncologic disease), nephrotoxin exposure, inflammatory mediators (i.e., cytokine release), and disease severity (62, 75, 83–89). A large study in the United States of 3.6 million postsurgical patients found that AKI was common postoperatively, affecting more than 10% of hospitalized patients (86). AKI in patients with CKD is also an important risk factor for subsequent progression to ESKD (88). One study highlighted that patients who progressed from stage 3 to stage 4 CKD also had a high risk for AKI (89). Other subpopulations noted to be at increased risk for AKI-related morbidity and mortality include adults with decompensated liver disease (90) and stroke (91).

A number of studies have examined the impact of nephrotoxic medications using administrative health data (92–98). One study in hospitalized children across six of the largest children’s hospitals in the United States found that combined use of vancomycin with piperacillin/tazobactam conferred more than 3-fold increased risk for antibiotic-associated AKI, relative
to vancomycin with another antipseudomonal antibiotic (93). Other nephrotoxins examined include non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in young, healthy adults (94), brands and dosing of immunoglobulin products (95), and statin use in elderly patients (96), all of which showed modest associations with AKI. Administrative data were also used to develop an evidence-based nephrotoxin medication list that could be used as part of a screening program for AKI in children (98).

### Long-Term Outcomes

AKI is associated with increased risk for development of cardiovascular disease, CKD, and ESKD in adults (1, 75, 78, 83, 99). A study in Northern California, including more than 43,000 patients, showed that AKI in adults is independently associated with HTN as early as 6 months after initial event (99). Another large study of more than 100,000 patients in the United States showed that more than 30% of hospitalized adults with AKI went on to develop CKD at 1-year follow-up (78).

The risks of long-term kidney and cardiovascular sequelae after pediatric AKI remain uncertain, at least partially due to the fact that studies have lacked comparator cohorts, have had high losses to follow-up, and/or have had short follow-up periods. Recent prospective cohort studies have conflicting results; TRIBE-AKI, FRAIL-AKI, and ASSESS-AKI all found that cardiac surgery–associated AKI survivors have similar 4–7-year kidney outcomes vs. children without AKI (24–26), whereas Benisty et al. found higher long-term risks of CKD and HTN among survivors of ICU-associated AKI (27). Although there is a clear signal of increased CKD risk among pediatric AKI survivors in other cohort studies (6, 13, 28–30), the long-term outcomes after episodes of dialysis-receiving AKI remain uncertain.

Health administrative databases provide a unique opportunity to follow children many years after an episode of AKI, even after the age of 18 years, to study their long-term outcomes. Moreover, CKD and HTN-related cardiovascular changes start early in life; therefore, it is essential to understand the timing and magnitude of the onset of these events after an episode of AKI, so that appropriate treatment can be initiated in time to address these risk factors and avoid or delay future cardiovascular disease (100). Current AKI guidelines in neonates and children do not provide recommendations for long-term follow-up, primarily due to a lack of studies with robust data.

Several studies have examined long-term outcomes in hospitalized children with AKI. A recent study from Ontario using administrative health data with median 10-year follow-up compared children surviving AKI receiving KRT with hospital-matched controls (101). The authors found that these children had significantly increased hazard for major adverse kidney events (composite outcome of kidney failure and all-cause mortality) [adjusted hazard ratio (HR), 4.97; 95% CI, 4.04–6.10], CKD (adjusted HR, 8.70; 95% CI, 6.68–11.34), and HTN (adjusted HR, 3.35; 95% CI, 2.59–4.33). Another cohort of critically ill children with AKI in Quebec was also found to have increased risk for mortality 5–7 years following hospital discharge, relative to children without AKI (adjusted HR, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.5–6.6) (6). Health care utilization was also increased for critically ill children with AKI, relative to children without AKI, including increased hospitalizations and physician visits at 5 years following hospital discharge (7). This cohort also had increased risk for HTN (adjusted HR, 2.19; 95% CI, 1.47–3.26) (9) and CKD (adjusted HR, 2.2; 95% CI, 1.1–4.5) (8) at 5-year follow-up, defined using administrative health care data. Another study following critically ill children with congenital heart disease showed that those who developed AKI within 5 days of cardiac surgery were at significantly increased risk for CKD at 5 years, relative to those who did not develop AKI (HR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.4–10.4) (102). We have also previously reported that, among children who underwent cardiac surgery for congenital heart disease, those who received dialysis for AKI during their index cardiac surgery admission were at a 5-fold higher risk of ESKD (crude HR, 5.0; 95% CI, 2.0–12.6) compared with those who did not receive dialysis during their cardiac surgery admission (103).

### CONCLUSION

Our understanding of AKI has undergone a significant transformation over the past 15 years partly due to methodological advances in which AKI has been studied by epidemiologists (1). Hand-in-hand with a veritable explosion of research demonstrating the morbidity and mortality related to AKI in adults and children, there has been significant attention paid to “big data” (18, 25, 37). Registries containing administrative health care data are readily available, efficient, and large (14, 19). Validation studies have been shown that administrative health care data are highly specific, despite having modest sensitivity, for the diagnosis of AKI. There are important limitations as well, including heterogeneity of data sources and inconsistent reporting on specific outcome measures (i.e., quality of life, patient-reported outcomes). The statements from the ADQI consensus conference recognized many strategies by which administrative health data could be applied to AKI-specific research studies and address knowledge gaps in the field (18–23).

Studies using administrative health care data have expanded our understanding of the incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of AKI in both adults and children. Importantly, we have demonstrated that these clinically relevant findings have been supported by results from traditional data sources. Continued progress in the application of large data sources to epidemiological studies will continue to further our understanding of important patient-related outcomes of adults and children with AKI.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EU drafted the manuscript, prepared the figures, and reviewed and revised the manuscript. GS also contributed to the manuscript and reviewed and revised the manuscript. RC supervised the manuscript preparation and reviewed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. MZ reviewed and revised the manuscript for intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted.
REFERENCES

1. Chawla LS, Bellomo R, Bihorac A, Goldstein SL, Siew ED, Bagshaw SM, et al. Acute kidney disease and renal recovery: consensus report of the Acute Disease Quality Initiative (ADQI) 16 Workgroup. Nat Rev Nephrol. (2017) 13:241–57. doi: 10.1038/nrneph.2017.2

2. Zappitelli M, Moffett BS, Hyder A, Goldstein SL. Acute kidney injury in non-critically ill children treated with aminoglycoside antibiotics in a tertiary healthcare centre: a retrospective cohort study. Nephrol Dial Transplant. (2011) 26:144–50. doi: 10.1093/ndt/fq375

3. Kaddourah A, Basu RK, Bagshaw SM, Goldstein SL. Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in critically ill children and young adults. N Engl J Med. (2017) 376:11–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611391

4. Li S, Krawczeski CD, Zappitelli M, thiessen-philbrook H, Coca SG, et al. Incidence, risk factors, and outcomes of acute kidney injury after pediatric cardiac surgery: a prospective multicenter study. Crit Care Med. (2011) 39:1493–9. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31821201d3

5. Sveigaard F, Fjellanger H, Bjercke M, et al. Early catch-up growth after acute kidney injury in critically ill children and young adults. JAMA Pediatr. (2015) 170:934–40. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.3803

6. Starling RE, Devarajan P, Thiessen-philbrook H, Coca SG, et al. Acute kidney injury in critically ill children and young adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. (2016) 315:676–85. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.5000

7. Mehta R, Bihorac A, Selby NM, Quan H, Goldstein SL, Kellum JA, et al. Establishing a continuum of acute kidney injury - tracing AKI using data source linkage and long-term follow-up: Workgroup Statements from the 15th ADQI Consensus Conference. Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:11. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0099-4

8. Mehta R, Bihorac A, Selby NM, Quan H, Goldstein SL, Kellum JA, et al. Impact of electronic-alerting of acute kidney injury: workgroup statements from the 15th ADQI Consensus Conference. Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:10. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0101-1

9. Hessey E, Perreault S, Roy L, Dorais M, Samuel S, Phan V, et al. Acute kidney injury in critically ill children and young adults. J Fam Pract. (2009) 58:487–93. doi: 10.2215/CJN.09350817

10. Misra PS, Silva ESV, Collister D. Roadblocks and opportunities of coding health information to administrative data: a qualitative study. Can J Hosp Pharm. (2016) 69:121–23. doi: 10.1484/JHP.3.20679

11. Mazzali C, Duca P. Use of administrative data in healthcare research. Intern Emerg Med. (2010) 15:107–14. doi: 10.1186/1555-2797-5-107

12. Cadarette SM, Wong L. An introduction to health care administrative data. J Polym Sci B Polym Phys. (2007) 45:1722–38. doi: 10.1002/polb.21010

13. Zappitelli M, Moffett BS, Hyder A, Goldstein SL. Acute kidney injury in critically ill children and young adults. JAMA Pediatr. (2015) 170:934–40. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.3803

14. Sutherland SM, Kane-Gill SL, Kramer AA, Goldstein SL, et al. Utilizing electronic health records to predict acute kidney injury risk and outcomes: workgroup statements from the 15th ADQI Consensus Conference. Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:13. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0102-0

15. Skull SA, Andrews RM, Byrnes GB, Campbell DA, Nolan TM, Brown D, et al. ICD-10 codes are a valid tool for identification of pneumonia in hospitalized patients aged ≥ or = 65 years. Epidemiol Infect. (2008) 136:232–40. doi: 10.1017/S0950268807008564

16. Gavriev-Yusim N, Friger M. Use of administrative medical databases in population-based research. J Epidemiol Community Health. (2014) 68:283–7. doi: 10.1136/jech-2013-202744

17. O’Malley KL, Cook KE, Price MD, Wildes KR, Hurdle JF, Ashton CM. Measuring diagnoses: ICD code accuracy. Health Serv Res. (2005) 40:1620–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-6773.2005.00444.x

18. Bagshaw SM, Goldstein SL, Ronco C, Kellum JA, Group AC. Acute kidney injury in the era of big data: the 15th Consensus Conference of the Acute Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI). Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:5. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0103-z

19. Siew ED, Basu RK, Wunsch H, Shaw AD, Goldstein SL, Ronco C, et al. Optimizing administrative datasets to examine acute kidney injury in the era of big data: workshop statement from the 15th ADQI Consensus Conference. Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:12. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0098-5

20. James MT, Hobson CE, Darmon M, Mohan S, Hudson D, Goldstein SL, et al. Applications for detection of acute kidney injury using electronic medical records and clinical information systems: workshop statements from the 15th ADQI Consensus Conference. Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:9. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0100-2

21. Hoste EA, Kashani K, Gibney N, Wilson FP, Ronco C, Goldstein SL, et al. Impact of electronic-alerting of acute kidney injury: workgroup statements from the 15th ADQI Consensus Conference. Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:10. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0101-1

22. Sutherland SM, Chawla LS, Kane-Gill SL, Hsu RK, Kramer AA, Goldstein SL, et al. Utilizing electronic health records to predict acute kidney injury risk and outcomes: workgroup statements from the 15th ADQI Consensus Conference. Can J Kidney Health Dis. (2016) 3:11. doi: 10.1186/s40697-016-0099-4
in Lombardy region, 2000 to 2012. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2016) 16:234. doi: 10.1186/s12913-016-1489-0

40. Sharabiani MT, Aylin P, Bottle A. Systematic review of comorbidity indices for administrative data. *Med Care.* (2012) 50:1109–18. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e31825f64d0

41. Peabody JW, Luck J, Jain S, Bertienthal D, Glassman P. Assessing the accuracy of administrative data in health information systems. *Med Care.* (2004) 42:1066–72. doi: 10.1097/00005650-200411000-00005

42. Fung KW, Richesson R, Smerek M, Pereira KC, Green BB, Patkar A, et al. Preparing for the ICD-10-CM transition: automated methods for translating ICD codes in clinical phenotype definitions. *EGEMS.* (2016) 4:1211. doi: 10.1366/2327-9214.1211

43. Cella D, Riley W, Stone A, Rothrock N, Reeve B, Yount S, et al. The Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) developed and tested its first wave of adult self-reported health outcome item banks: 2005-2008. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2010) 63:1179-94. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.04.011

44. Shiff NJ, Jama S, Boden C, Lix LM. Validation of administrative health data for the pediatric population: a scoping review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2014) 14:236. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-14-236

45. Brookhart MA, Stürmer T, Glynn RJ, Rassen J, Schneeweiss S. Confounding control in healthcare database research: challenges and potential approaches. *Med Care.* (2010) 48:S114–20. doi: 10.1097/01.mlr.0000215815.70506.b6

46. Geraci JM, Ashton CM, Kuykendall DH, Johnson ML, Wu L. International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification codes in discharge abstracts are poor measures of complication occurrence in medical inpatients. *Med Care.* (1997) 35:589–602. doi: 10.1097/00005650-199706000-00005

47. Vlasschaert ME, Beijalal SA, Hackam DG, Quinn R, Cuerden MS, Oliver MJ, et al. Validity of administrative database coding for kidney disease: a systematic review. *Am J Kidney Dis.* (2011) 57:29–43. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2010.08.031

48. Blichert-Hansen L, Nielsen MS, Nielsen RB, Christiansen CF, Norgaard M. Validity of the coding for intensive care admission, mechanical ventilation, and acute dialysis in the Danish National Patient Registry: a short report. *Clin Epidemiol.* (2013) 5:9–12. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S37763

49. Schaffzin JK, Dodn CN, Nguyen H, Schondelmayer A, Campanella S, Goldstein SL. Administrative data misclassifies and fails to identify nephrotoxin-associated acute kidney injury in hospitalized children. * Hosp Pediatr.* (2014) 4:159–66. doi: 10.1542/hpsds.2013-0116

50. Tomlinson LA, Riding AM, Payne RA, Abel GA, Tomson CR, Wilkinson IB, et al. The accuracy of diagnostic coding for acute kidney injury in England - a single centre study. *BMC Nephrol.* (2013) 14:58. doi: 10.1186/1471-2369-14-58

51. Grams ME, Waikar SS, MacMahon B, Whelton S, Ballew SH, Coresh J. Performance and limitations of administrative data in the identification of AKI. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2014) 9:682–9. doi: 10.2215/CJN.07650713

52. Maass C, Kuske S, Lessig C, Schrappe M. Are administrative data valid when measuring patient safety in hospitals? A comparison of data collection methods using a chart review and administrative data. *Int J Qual Health Care.* (2015) 27:305–13. doi: 10.1093/ijqhc/mvz045

53. D’Arienzo D, Hessey E, Ali R, Perreault S, Samuel S, Roy L, et al. A validation study of administrative health care data to detect acute kidney injury in the pediatric intensive care unit. *Can J Kidney Health Dis.* (2019) 6:2054358119827525. doi: 10.1177/2054358119827525

54. Etzioni DA, Lessow C, Bordeianou LG, Kunitake H, Deery SE, Carachman E, et al. Concordance Between Registry and Administrative Data in the Lombardy region, 2000 to 2012. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2012) 12:1059. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-12-1059

55. Kashani K, Shao M, Li G, Williams AW, Rule AD, Kremers WK, et al. Secular trends in incidence, modality and mortality with AKI. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* (2013) 24:34–42. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2012080800

56. Carlson N, Hommel K, Olesen JB, Soja AM, Vilboll T, Kamper AL, et al. Dialysis-Resolving acute kidney injury in Denmark 2000–2012: time trends of incidence and prevalence of risk factors-a nationwide study. *PLoS ONE.* (2016) 11:e0148809. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148809

57. Miyamoto Y, Iwagami M, Yasunaga H, Matsui H, Fushimi K, et al. Temporal change in characteristics and outcomes of acute kidney injury treated with dialysis. *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* (2015) 30:988–9. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfv069
Downes KJ, Cowden C, Laskin BL, Huang YS, Gong W, Bryan M, et al. Association of acute kidney injury with concomitant vancomycin and Piperacillin/Tazobactam treatment among hospitalized children. *JAMA Pediatr*. (2017) 171:e173219. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2017.3219

Nelson DA, Marks ES, Deuster PA, O’Connor FG, Kurina LM. Association of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug prescriptions with kidney disease among active young and middle-aged adults. *JAMA Netw*. (2019) 2:e197896. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.7896

Ekeuze BE, Sridhar G, Forsshe RA, Izurieta HS, Selvam N, Mintz PD, et al. Occurrence of acute renal failure on the same day as immune globulin product administrations during 2008 to 2014. *Transfusion*. (2017) 57:2977–86. doi: 10.1111/trf.14330

Tonelli M, Lloyd AM, Bello AK, James MT, Klarenbach SW, McAlister FA, et al. Statin use and the risk of acute kidney injury in older adults. *BMJ Nephrol*. (2019) 20:103. doi: 10.1186/s12828-019-1280-7

Renoux C, Liz LM, Patenaude V, Bressle LC, Paterson JM, Lafrance JP, et al. Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and the risk of AKI: a cohort study of eight administrative databases and meta-analysis. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. (2015) 10:1716–22. doi: 10.2215/CJN.11271114

Goswami E, Ogden RK, Bennett WE, Goldstein SL, Hackbart R, Somers MG, et al. Evidence-based development of a nephrotoxic medication list to screen for acute kidney injury risk in hospitalized children. *Am J Health-Syst Pharm*. (2019) 76:1869–74. doi: 10.1093/ajhp/zxx203

Hsu CY, Hsu RK, Yang J, Ordzone JD, Zheng S, Go AS. Elevated BP after AKI. *Am J Nephrol*. (2016) 27:914–23. doi: 10.1056/ASNA.201111114

Ingelfinger JR, Klantar-Zadeh K, Schaefer F. Averting the legacy of kidney disease—focus on childhood. *Am J Nephrol*. (2016) 43:58–64. doi: 10.11159/00444509

Robinson C, Jeyakumar N, Luo B, Wald R, Garg A, Nash D, et al. Long-term kidney outcomes following childhood acute kidney injury receiving dialysis: a population-based cohort study. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. (2021) 32:2005–19. doi: 10.1681/ASN.202011665

Madsen NL, Goldstein SL, Froslev T, Christiansen CF, Olsen M. Cardiac surgery in patients with congenital heart disease is associated with acute kidney injury and the risk of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. (2017) 92:751–6. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2017.02.021

Parikh CR, Greenberg JH, McArthur E, Thiessen-Philbrook H, Everett AD, Wald R, et al. Incidence of ESKD and mortality among children with congenital heart disease after cardiac surgery. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. (2019) 14:1450–7. doi: 10.2215/CJN.00690119

Hou SH, Bushinsky DA, Wish JB, Cohen JH, Harrington JT. Hospital-acquired renal insufficiency: a prospective study. *Am J Med*. (1983) 74(2):243-8.

Nash K, Hafeez A, Hou S. Hospital-acquired renal insufficiency. *Am J Kidney Dis*. (2002). 39(3):930-6.

Goldstein SL, Kirkendall E, Nguyen H, Schaffzin JK, Bucvalas J, Bracke T, et al. Electronic health record identification of nephrotoxin exposure and associated acute kidney injury. *Pediatrics*. (2013) 132:e756-67.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Ulrich, Sa, Zappittelli and Chanchlani. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.