Several tens of hypervelocity stars (HVSs) have been discovered escaping our Galaxy. These stars share a common origin in the Galactic center and are distributed anisotropically in Galactic longitude and latitude. We examine the possibility that HVSs may be created as the result of supernovae (SNe) occurring within binary systems in a disk of stars around Sgr A* over the last 100 Myr. Monte Carlo simulations show that the rate of binary disruption is $\sim 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, comparable to that of tidal disruption models. The SN-induced HVS production rate ($\Gamma_{\text{HVS}}$) is significantly increased if the binaries are hardened via migration through a gaseous disk. Moderate hardening gives $\Gamma_{\text{HVS}} \approx 2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and an estimated population of $\sim 20$ HVSs in the last 100 Myr. SN-induced HVS production requires the internal and external orbital velocity vectors of the secondary binary component to be aligned when the binary is disrupted. This leaves an imprint of the disk geometry on the spatial distribution of the HVSs, producing a distinct anisotropy.

**Key words:** binaries: general – Galaxy: center – stars: kinematics and dynamics – supernovae: general

**Online-only material:** color figure

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, several tens of stars have been discovered moving through the Galaxy with large radial velocities (Brown et al. 2005, 2007). They are usually called “hypervelocity stars” (HVSs), although the term is not precisely defined. Brown et al. (2012b) define an HVS as a star with a Galactic rest-frame radial velocity $v_{\text{rf}} > 275 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, with a sub-population of unbound HVSs having $v_{\text{rf}} > 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Most HVSs are B-type stars with ages $t_{\text{HVS}} \lesssim 200 \text{ Myr}$ (Brown et al. 2012a). The difference between the stellar age and the travel time from the Galactic center (GC, called the “arrival time”) has been determined for a few HVSs and lies between several tens–100 Myr (Brown et al. 2012a).

The HVSs follow a statistically significant anisotropic distribution in galactic longitude and latitude and seem to form an extended, thick disk (Brown et al. 2009, 2012b). HVS velocities and orbits (e.g., Brown et al. 2005) strongly suggest an origin in the GC close to Sgr A*. Few proper motion measurements of HVSs exist; two HVSs seem to originate in the Galactic disk (Heber et al. 2008; Tillich et al. 2009) and one seems to be of HVSs exist; two HVSs seem to originate in the Galactic disk confirmed as ejected from the GC (Brown et al. 2010b, see also Irrgang et al. 2013 for a claim that the star’s origin in the LMC cannot be ruled out).

The dominant model explaining HVS ejection is the tidal disruption of binaries by Sgr A*, the supermassive black hole (SMBH) at the center of our Galaxy. This model, and associated assumptions, can explain the production rates and velocities of the observed HVS population. An alternative model with a Galactic disk origin, the disruption of binary stars by supernova (SN) explosions, does not produce large enough velocities. We discuss HVS production mechanisms in more detail in Section 2 below. We note that there is another definition of HVS based on their production mechanism, with stars created via tidal disruption of binary stars (see below) termed HVSs and those produced by other channels termed “runaway” or “hyper-runaway” stars. In this paper, we define HVSs only by their radial velocities.

In this paper, we develop the idea that HVSs can be produced via SN-induced disruption of binaries in the GC (Baruteau et al. 2011). We show this model to be consistent with the GC origin, anisotropic spatial distribution, and arrival times of the observed HVS population. We propose that a disk of stars analogous to the disks of young stars currently observed in the central parsec (Paumard et al. 2006) formed during extended GC star formation over the last $\sim 10^8 \text{ yr}$ (Blum et al. 2003). Core-collapse SNe will have disrupted many of these binaries, ejecting some secondary (less massive) stars with a range of terminal velocities. When the orbital velocities of binaries around Sgr A* align with the internal orbital velocities of secondary stars within the binaries, the terminal velocity can reach as much as $> 600 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, potentially explaining most of the known HVSs. The original stellar disk provides a natural plane for the HVS spatial distribution. We find HVS production rates comparable to tidal disruption models and arrival times consistent with observations.

We begin by outlining the commonly investigated HVS production scenarios and highlighting their major features in Section 2. The physical basis for the model and simulations is presented in Section 3. Section 4 presents the expected HVS production rates, spatial distribution, and arrival times. We discuss the results in Section 5 and conclude in Section 6.

2. HVS PRODUCTION MECHANISMS

The earliest and most popular mechanism proposed for the ejection of HVSs is the tidal disruption of stellar binaries by the SMBH in the GC. In this scenario, originally proposed by Hills (1988) and later studied by Yu & Tremaine (2003), stellar binaries on low angular momentum orbits come close enough to the SMBH to be tidally disrupted in a three-body interaction. As a result, one star acquires a large velocity, possibly becoming an HVS, while the companion remains bound to the SMBH in a very eccentric orbit. Such bound stars may represent the progenitors of the S stars (e.g., Gillessen et al. 2009; Perets et al. 2009). Models show that the S stars and the HVSs can be
produced from the same parent population (Zhang et al. 2013). Because of the large abundance of binaries in dense stellar environments, this process is considered to be a natural occurrence in galactic nuclei hosting SMBHs. The discovery of the first HVS star (Brown et al. 2005) helped to validate the scenario. The tidal disruption model predicts a continuous ejection of stars from the GC and an isotropic distribution of HVSs in the halo, unless the original stellar binaries are prevalently found in flattered systems like stellar disks (Lu et al. 2010). In the presence of a binary black hole, encounters with single stars also result in the acceleration of stars to hypervelocities, with a somewhat higher rate. Yu & Tremaine (2003) predict an ejection rate of the order of $10^{-5}$ yr$^{-1}$ for a single SMBH and $10^{-4}$ yr$^{-1}$ for a black hole binary, in agreement with available observations. However, production rates depend on several assumptions about the binary fraction in the GC, the state of the loss cone, and the number of massive perturbers, such as giant molecular clouds (GMCs) or star clusters (Perets et al. 2007), and are uncertain within two or three orders of magnitude. Possible difficulties of the tidal disruption model include the observed anisotropic distribution of HVSs in the halo and the existence of HVSs with measured proper motion not originating from the GC (Heber et al. 2008; Tillich et al. 2009).

High-velocity stars, generally called runaways, are produced in the Galactic disk by the disruption of massive binaries due to either SN explosions or dynamical encounters. In the binary SN mechanism, a stellar binary is unbound when the primary star explodes as a SN (Blauw 1961), due to mass loss and a natal kick if the explosion is asymmetric. In this case, the companion star is ejected with a velocity approximately equal to the binary orbital velocity (Hills 1983), i.e., no more than ~200–300 km s$^{-1}$ (e.g., Portegies Zwart 2000; Dray et al. 2005; Gualandris et al. 2005). In the dynamical ejection mechanism, stars are ejected as a result of close encounters between stars and binaries in dense stellar regions (Leonard 1991), and ejection velocities can be somewhat higher, being limited by the escape velocity of the most massive star. In addition, if runaways are ejected in the direction of Galactic rotation, then their velocity is incremented by the orbital speed at their location. In both scenarios, runaways tend to be concentrated close to the disk plane, with the typical vertical height dependent on mass (Bromley et al. 2009). While most runaway stars are bound to the Galaxy, a few have been discovered to be unbound (Przybilla et al. 2008; Irgang et al. 2010), and hence are hyper-runaways, though some argue they should be considered HVSs. The production of unbound runaway stars has been confirmed by numerical simulations (Gvaramadze et al. 2009; Gvaramadze & Gualandris 2011).

An alternative mechanism for the production of HVSs is the tidal disruption of dwarf galaxies in the galactic potential (Abadi et al. 2009), though the contribution to the observed sample may be small (Piffel et al. 2011).

3. SUPERNOVAE IN GALACTIC CENTRE BINARIES

3.1. Supernova Rates

Figure 1 shows the expected number of SNe within $10^8$ yr of a star formation event per $10^6 M_\odot$ of initial stellar mass and its dependence on the initial mass function (IMF) power-law index $\Gamma$ (where $dN(M)/dM \propto M^{-\Gamma}$) and the stellar mass limits. The number of SNe is assumed to be equal to the number of stars more massive than $8 M_\odot$, the SN limit (by 100 Myr all of these stars will have undergone SNe; Woosley et al. 2002). Observations (Kollmeier et al. 2009) and theory (Nayakshin et al. 2006) constrain the IMF of stars close to Sgr A* to be normal or top-heavy (i.e., $\Gamma > -2.3$), so that we expect $\sim 1–2 \times 10^5$ SNe per $10^6 M_\odot$ of stars formed in the GC 100 Myr ago.

The star formation history of the GC is poorly constrained, but observations (Blum et al. 2003) indicate that $\geq 3 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ of stars formed within $r = 2.5$ pc of Sgr A* in the last $10^8$ yr and a similar or higher mass formed between 1 Gyr and 100 Myr ago. We investigate initial stellar masses in the range $\sim 3–10 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ with a high binary fraction $f_{\text{bin}} = 0.7–1$ (e.g., Kobulnicky & Fryer 2007), giving GC SN rates $\sim 2 \times 10^{-5}–2 \times 10^{-4}$ yr$^{-1}$. We use a fiducial value of $10^{-4}$ yr$^{-1}$ when presenting results.

3.2. Effects of a Supernova Kick

Observations of isolated radio pulsars (Hobbs et al. 2005; Arzoumanian et al. 2002) suggest that many core-collapse SNe produce remnants with kick velocities

$$30 \text{ km s}^{-1} \lesssim v_k \lesssim 1200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$$ (1)

(corresponding to $1\sigma$ deviations from the two peaks in the distribution found by Arzoumanian et al. 2002). For binaries with equal mass, 10 $M_\odot$ components and typical orbital periods 1–300 days (Ragužova & Popov 2005), the orbital velocities of the members around their common center of mass (the “internal” orbit) range between

$$50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \lesssim v_{\text{int}} \lesssim 320 \text{ km s}^{-1}.$$ (2)

Clearly, the kick velocities can be sufficient to unbind massive binaries in this period range.

The velocities of binaries in circular orbits around Sgr A* (the “external” orbit) follow

$$v_{\text{ext}} \simeq 130 R_{\text{pc}}^{1/2} \text{ km s}^{-1},$$ (3)

where $R_{\text{pc}}$ is the radius of the external orbit in parsecs. These external orbital velocities are of comparable magnitude to the internal orbital velocities and their vector sum determines the subsequent orbits of ejected binary members. If the internal and external orbital velocity vectors of a secondary star are aligned
when the binary is disrupted, then the secondary may be ejected from the GC as an HVS. The natal kick helps unbind the binary, but it is the combination of the internal and external orbital velocities that produces HVs. This alignment requirement naturally results in an anisotropic distribution of HVs, with a higher density of objects in the plane of the stellar disk. Since the disk alignment is unknown (the present-day disks are not aligned with any larger structures in the Galaxy), the observed plane in the HVS distribution could represent a fossil imprint of the orientation of a proposed stellar disk.

3.3. Model Description

We use Monte Carlo simulations of binary SNe in the GC to constrain HVS production rates and possible orientations of the proposed stellar disk.

Binaries are initially distributed in a thin disk (external orbits at \( z = 0 \)) extending from \( r_{\text{in}} = 0.04 \) pc to \( r_{\text{out}} = 2.5 \) pc from a \( 4 \times 10^6 \) \( M_\odot \) point mass. The radial stellar density profile is a power law with \( \Sigma \propto r^{-0.5} \). Calculations assume circular, Keplerian external orbits, appropriate for \( r_{\text{out}} \lesssim 3 \) pc. Primary masses range between \( M_{\text{p,min}} = 8 \) and \( M_{\text{p,max}} = 100 \) \( M_\odot \) and follow a Salpeter IMF. Secondary masses are selected from the range \( M_{\text{s}} \sim 10^6 \) \( M_\odot \) to \( 8 \) \( M_\odot \). Binary mass ratios are uniformly distributed.

Following Sana et al. (2012), binary internal orbital periods are selected from the range 1–300 days, following a \((\log P/\text{days})^{-0.55}\) distribution. Internal orbital planes are randomly oriented and uncorrelated with the disk plane. We also consider the effects of binary hardening (evolution to shorter internal orbital periods), which may happen in a few tens of external orbits as binaries migrate through a gaseous disk (Baruteau et al. 2011). We examine the cases of no hardening, weak hardening (binary separation reduced by 10), and strong hardening (separation reduced by 10), with circular orbits assumed in all cases. In each case, we reject binary systems which, after hardening, would have separation smaller than the sum of the two stellar radii. The latter are calculated using Equation (2) in Gvaramadze et al. (2009).

Pre-SN evolution is governed by mass loss from the primary. We adopt the pre-explosion–zero-age main-sequence mass relation of Limongi & Chieffi (2009). SN mass loss is assumed to be instantaneous and remnant masses are taken from Limongi & Chieffi (2009). Kick velocities are drawn from the bimodal distribution of Arzoumanian et al. (2002) and follow an isotropic spatial distribution. These are added to the remnant orbital velocities. We do not consider the effects of the SN ejecta on the secondary.

For disrupted binaries, we calculate the velocities of the secondaries after they escape the remnant. For those secondaries with velocities greater than the escape velocity from the SMBH, we calculate their terminal velocities. (Real velocities would be somewhat smaller since we do not consider the extended background potential.) If the terminal velocity is greater than 500 km s\(^{-1}\), then we label the star as an HVS.

Each calculation samples \( N_{\text{tot}} = 10^7 \) SNe for each case of binary hardening. The results were divided into 20 subsamples to examine statistical variations. Statistical convergence was tested by varying \( N_{\text{tot}} \), with \( N_{\text{tot}} = 10^7 \) being well converged.

4. RESULTS

Table 1 presents the results of the Monte Carlo calculations. The models differ in the extent of binary hardening. All production probabilities are given per binary SN.

| Model | Hardening | \( p_{\text{disr}}^{a} \) | \( p_{\text{unb}}^{b} \) | \( p_{\text{term}}^{c} \) | \( p_{\text{eject}}^{d} \) |
|-------|-----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| HI    | None      | 96.6% ± 0.1%  | 3.92% ± 0.15% | 0.37% ± 0.10% | 0.06% ± 0.03% |
| H0.5  | Weak      | 95.5% ± 0.1%  | 7.26% ± 0.11% | 0.75% ± 0.09% | 0.19% ± 0.04% |
| H0.1  | Strong    | 93.5% ± 0.1%  | 21.66% ± 0.28% | 5.12% ± 0.18% | 1.09% ± 0.08% |

Notes.

\(^a\) “Hardening” refers to a reduction in binary separation caused by migration (see the text).

\(^b\) Probability that a supernova disrupts a binary.

\(^c\) Probability that a secondary star becomes unbound from the SMBH.

\(^d\) Probability that a secondary has terminal velocity \( >275 \) km s\(^{-1}\).

\(^e\) Probability that a secondary has terminal velocity \( >400 \) km s\(^{-1}\).

4.1. Binary Disruption Rate

The probability that an SN disrupts a binary is \( p_{\text{disr}} \geq 93\% \). This result is higher than earlier models (e.g., Hills 1983, where \( p_{\text{disr}} \sim 70\%–80\% \)) because we consider SN progenitors with masses down to \( 8 \) \( M_\odot \). Low-mass progenitors lose a larger fraction of their mass during the explosion and so are more easily unbound. Hence, top-heavy IMFs give lower binary disruption rates. We ran model H0.5 with an IMF slope of 1.3 and found \( p_{\text{disr}} = 90\% \), with a negligible effect on the other probabilities (see below). Disruption rates are independent of external orbital radii.

The high disruption probabilities yield a fiducial SN-induced binary disruption rate for the GC \( \Gamma_{\text{disr}} \sim 10^{-4} \) yr\(^{-1}\), with uncertainties giving a range \( 2 \times 10^{-5}–2 \times 10^{-4} \) yr\(^{-1}\). This is comparable to the tidal disruption rates of binaries as found by Perets et al. (2007). In that model, tidal disruption rates are enhanced by rare encounters with massive perturbers, such as GMCs. We find similar disruption rates as a natural result of SNe in massive binaries without recourse to external encounters.

4.2. Stellar Ejection Rate

Although most secondary stars remain bound to the SMBH even after binary disruption, a fraction attains a large enough velocity to escape from the sphere of influence. We give the secondary star ejection probability per SN explosion in the fourth column of Table 1; it ranges from \( \sim4\% \) for unhardened
gravitational interactions with massive perturbers such as GMCs ("P+07, highest rate" refers to their model GMC1, which gives the highest binary disruption rate and largest number of HVSs, while "P+07, S star match" refers to model GMC2, which provides the number of S stars in the central ~0.04 pc around Sgr A* consistent with observations). The two vertical dashed lines represent the velocity cut at 275 km s\(^{-1}\) used by Brown et al. (2012b) to identify HVSs and the 400 km s\(^{-1}\) Galactic escape velocity used by the same authors.\(^3\) We also give the production probabilities per SN explosion for stars with velocities higher than the two thresholds in Table 1.

In 10\(^8\) yr, our models H1, H0.5, and H0.1 predict 37, 75, and 512 stars ejected with terminal velocities \(v_{\text{term}} > 275\) km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. These values are somewhat optimistic, because we do not consider deceleration while escaping the Galactic gravitational potential. The latter can be crudely approximated as \(\Delta \Phi \sim \sigma^2 \ln (R/R_{\text{inf}})\), with \(\sigma = 100\) km s\(^{-1}\) the velocity dispersion in the Galaxy and \(R_{\text{inf}} \approx 2\) pc the radius of influence of Sgr A*. Taking \(R = R_{\odot} = 8\) kpc, we find that only stars with \(v_{\text{term}} \geq 400\) km s\(^{-1}\) have radial velocities above the cut at the solar circle. For these, our models predict 6, 19, and 109 such stars. Therefore, a binary orbit hardening by slightly more than a factor of two is enough to produce HVS numbers consistent with observations. In addition, the ratio of unbound to bound HVSs is ~0.3 in all our models, slightly lower than the observationally derived ~0.5 (Brown et al. 2012b).

The highest velocities we expect to find in 10\(^8\) yr are ~500, ~600, and ~700 km s\(^{-1}\) for models H1, H0.5, and H0.1, respectively. Accounting for the deceleration while escaping the galaxy, these velocities are reduced to ~400, ~530, and ~640 km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Such velocities cannot explain the fastest known HVSs, for example, US708 with \(v_{\text{eff}} \sim 750\) km s\(^{-1}\) (Hirsch et al. 2005), but the vast majority of currently known ones are moving slower than these maximal radial velocities.

### 4.3. Spatial Distribution of HVSs

Brown et al. (2012b) present observational evidence for anisotropy in the spatial distribution of HVSs (see their Figures 4 and 5). They find a strong excess of HVSs in the northern galactic hemisphere at longitudes 240° < \(l\) < 300° and a mild excess at latitudes 45° < \(b\) < 60°. Overall, their result provides a \(3\sigma\) confirmation that the HVS distribution is not spherically symmetric.

We produce plots equivalent to Figure 5 from Brown et al. (2012b) in Figure 3 for models H1 and H0.5. We apply a similar a priori cut as in Brown et al. (2012b): we only consider stars with |\(b| > 20°\) and reduce the statistical weight of stars with \(b < 0\) by a factor three. We only take into account stars that have \(v_{\text{term}} > 400\) km s\(^{-1}\); in Section 4.2 above, we showed that these stars would have \(v_{\text{radial}} \sim 275\) km s\(^{-1}\) at the solar circle, equivalent to the velocity cut Brown et al. (2012b) used to define HVSs. We compare the HVS distribution obtained from an thin disk with an inclination \(i = 45°\) to the Galactic plane and line-of-nodes direction \(\Omega\) pointing toward Galactic longitude \(l = 120°\) with an initially spherically symmetric distribution in the left panels. We find a clear anisotropy caused by the disk. The middle panels show the effects of disk inclination. Angles \(i \lesssim 60°\) yield a longitude distribution similar to that observed, while \(i \approx 45°\) produces a comparable latitude distribution. The effects of the line-of-nodes direction \(\Omega\) are shown in the right

\(^3\) The precise value of the Galactic escape velocity depends on the assumed parameter of the dark matter halo and can be larger than 400 km s\(^{-1}\).
panels and the longitude distribution can be seen to have a strong peak at $\Omega + \pi/2$ and a weaker one at $\Omega - \pi/2$. The anisotropy is weaker in the H0.5 model than in H1 because hardened binaries have larger internal orbital velocities, weakening the effect of correlated external motions (i.e., the disk). Nevertheless, anisotropy is still present in H0.5. The anisotropy would be amplified if the internal orbits aligned with the disk due to the same torques that cause binary hardening.

4.4. Arrival Times

Brown et al. (2012a) recently put constraints on the difference between the stellar age and the travel time from the GC for five HVSs (the “arrival time”). In our model, the arrival time is approximately equal to the main-sequence lifetime of the primary star. We plot the fraction of HVSs as a function of the lifetime of the primary star in Figure 4. The main-sequence lifetime is given by $t_{\text{MS}} \simeq 1.2 \times 10^{10} (M/M_\odot)^{-2.5}$ yr and is a very steep function of mass. Stars with $M \simeq 8 M_\odot$ survive for $\sim 70$ Myr and will have been the companions of HVSs with the longest arrival times.

We find $\sim 5\%-10\%$ of HVSs have companions with main-sequence lifetimes $t_{\text{MS}} > 50$ Myr, within $3\sigma$ of the arrival time results of Brown et al. (2012a). It is also worth noting that massive stars tend to have companions of similar masses (Halbwachs et al. 2003), such that the companions of the most massive stars are more likely to have undergone SNe explosions themselves, reducing the fraction of observable HVSs with short arrival times.

5. DISCUSSION

Our results suggest that SN-induced disruption of binaries in the GC can eject stars with rates high enough to explain the majority of the observed HVSs, provided that binaries are hardened compared to their counterparts in the field. We now discuss the processes that potentially lead to binary hardening.
the influence of Type Ia SNe on our results, and the fate of undisrupted binaries.

5.1. Binary Hardening

Baruteau et al. (2011) present an analysis of binary evolution and migration through gaseous disks. One of the findings is that the disk exerts a torque on the migrating binaries, which hardens them. Typical hardening timescales are a few tens of external orbital times. In our case, the external orbital timescale is \( t_{\text{orb}} \approx 7500 R_{\text{pc}} \) yr and binaries are expected to harden on a timescale \( t_{\text{harden}} \approx 10^5 \text{ yr} \), much shorter than the lifetime of even the most massive stars. This validates our assumption of binary orbits remaining circular after hardening.

Since the hardening torque acts perpendicular to the disk plane, it also works to align the binary internal orbit with its binary orbits remaining circular after hardening.

Another potential binary hardening mechanism is the common envelope phase at the end of the primary’s life. This phase of binary star evolution may occur when the binary separation is of the same order as the primary star’s radius so that the secondary star is engulfed by the envelope of the primary. The binary orbit is then hardened as (internal) orbital energy is used in unbinding the primary’s envelope. The efficiency with which orbital energy is extracted by this process is very uncertain (see, e.g., Ivanova et al. 2013) and we do not include its effects in our model. However, we note that common envelope evolution would be more frequent in a binary population with orbits hardened via migration through a disk and may play an important role in HVS production.

5.2. Type Ia Supernovae

In our calculations, we have considered only core-collapse SN explosions. White dwarfs in binary systems can explode as Type Ia SNe; since there is no remnant in that case, the binary disappears and the secondary star can also be ejected from the GC. It is difficult to estimate the rate of these SNe, because it depends on many parameters of the system, so we cannot constrain the rate of ejection of low-mass stars. Their dynamics, however, are very similar to those presented in this paper. Although the binary components have lower masses, the orbital separations can also be lower due to one binary component being compact, therefore the internal orbital velocities should be comparable. Furthermore, since the secondary star does not need to escape the gravity of the SN remnant, it loses less orbital energy while leaving the system. Pan et al. (2013) recently interpreted the HVS US708 (Hirsch et al. 2005) as a possible former companion of a Type Ia SN progenitor.

5.3. Pulsars and XRBs in the Galactic Center

Undisrupted binaries may remain visible as pulsars or X-ray binaries (XRBs) until the secondary star dies. Using an approximate 5% non-disruption probability (see Table 1), we estimate an XRB creation rate \( \Gamma_{\text{puls}} < 10^{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1} \). Typical XRB lifetimes \(<10^7 \text{ yr} \) (King et al. 2001) lead us to predict a population of <100 GC XRBs at any time. This number may be significantly reduced as undisrupted binaries are likely to have eccentric orbits and possibly be prone to tidal disruption. The fate of XRBs and the remnants in general is complicated by stellar dynamics within the GC, binary evolution, and accretion physics, and we intend to examine these issues in future work.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have used a Monte Carlo model to examine the effects of core-collapse SNe explosions in binary stars in orbit around Sgr A*. We calculate the post-SN orbits of the binary members and find that most binaries get disrupted, with some secondary stars escaping the gravity of Sgr A*. The binary disruption rate is \(<10^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1} \), comparable to tidal disruption models. Stellar ejection rates depend on the degree of binary hardening, which may occur during migration in the gaseous disk from which the binary stars formed. Three cases—no hardening, moderate hardening (binary separation reduced by a factor 2), and strong hardening (reduction by 10)—give ejection rates of 4.7, and \( 22 \times 10^{-6} \text{ yr}^{-1} \), respectively. Of these stars, a fraction would have terminal velocities large enough to be classified as HVSs observationally. For the three models, we estimate HVS populations of 37, 75, and 512 stars, respectively, although these numbers are certainly optimistic.

Our models also preserve the spatial anisotropy of the original stellar population. However, stronger binary hardening increases the HVS production rate at the expense of dampening the spatial anisotropy. Taking these factors together requires massive binaries formed in the few central parsecs of the GC to have had typical separations a factor two less than those in the field at the point just prior to the SN explosion. This constraint would be significantly weakened if the hardening process also caused the binary orbital planes to become somewhat correlated with the plane of the stellar disk, thus helping to preserve the spatial anisotropy of the ejected HVSs. We note that the similar HVS production rates predicted by the SN-induced and tidal disruption models mean that both mechanisms likely contribute to the observed HVS population.

We thank Sergei Nayakshin and Richard Alexander for helpful discussions. Astrophysics research at the University of Leicester is supported by the STFC.
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