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Abstract: Brittle shear failure should be avoided in beam-column joint of a reinforced concrete (RC) frame during the earthquake. Fiber-reinforced cementitious composite (FRCC) which presents a remarkable deformability especially under tensile and bending load with a large energy absorption capacity is expected to be used in the crucial part of a RC frame. With the development of polymer material, various synthetic fibers have become the best selection to improve concrete capacity and failure resistance without the corrosion of fibers. The objective of this study is to investigate the influence of fiber bridging effect on the shear capacity of FRCC beam-column joint. The main parameter of the test in the present study is the fiber types: aramid, polypropylene (PP) and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) fiber. To evaluate shear capacity quantitatively, a new calculation method using FRCC tensile characteristics confirmed by the uniaxial tension test is newly proposed. The difference of below 7% between calculated value and experimental value demonstrates that the calculation method is feasible.
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1. Introduction

Beam-column joint is the crucial part of a reinforced concrete (RC) frame, which is to ensure the ductility of the whole structure especially when the frame is subjected to huge earthquake. Under seismic response, major damage should be avoided in the beam-column joint. Even the beam and column step into inelastic state, the joint has to remain the ability to transfer actions. The reasons to avoid large damage in beam-column joint under seismic response are to keep transferring capacity of the gravity load in the panel zone, to accomplish large ductility and energy dissipation by other elements such as beam, and difficulty of repairing the joint after earthquake [1].

Shear failure in the panel zone causes a typical brittle damage in beam-column joint, so its shear capacity should be given gratefully. Shear capacity of beam-column joint is mainly supplied by the dimensions of the panel zone and concrete strength. An adequate amount of lateral confining reinforcement in the panel zone also provides non-shear failure. In general, however, the dimensions of the panel zone are limited by the dimensions of connecting columns and beams. In addition, increasing confining reinforcements will cause great construction difficulties in reinforcement cage and casting.

Using fiber-reinforced concrete into panel zone of beam-column joint to increase shear capacity is not a new attempt [2-12]. By the introduction of steel fibers in beam-column joints, steel fiber-reinforced concrete (SFRC) is an attractive alternative to inhibit the damage of joint panel and increase maximum load. However, decreased stress caused by steel fiber corrosion [13, 14] is a severe problem that has to be faced. With the development of polymer material, various synthetic fibers have become the best selection to improve concrete capacity and failure resistance without the corrosion of fibers [15, 16].

A newly cementitious-composite-based material named fiber-reinforced cementitious composite (FRCC) is cement composite with the mixture of short fibers to increase ductility of cement composite.
Comparing to the conventional concrete, FRCC has a remarkable deformability especially under tensile and bending load with a large energy absorption capacity due to the fiber bridging effect. After first cracking, fiber can transfer tensile force through crack which strongly affects the tensile performance of FRCC [17]. This remarkable bridging capacity makes it an appropriate choice for application in beam-column joint of RC structures to resist inelastic deformation. Until now, FRCCs can be constituted with a category of fibers, such as carbon [18], steel [19] and polymer fibers [20]. Whereas, most research in materials field has been focused on FRCC with a high modulus polyethylene (PE) fiber, and has been conducted by bending and tensile test [21]. Structural performance of beam-column joints using polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) [22, 23] and steel fiber [24] in panel zone with a fiber volume fraction of 1% has been confirmed. Fibers can restrain expansion of crack width, increase shear capacity and improve structural performance rather than the specimen without fiber. The previous research [25] has also revealed that PP-ECC (a sort of polypropylene fiber-reinforced cementitious composite) can serve as transverse reinforcements to carry the applied load.

Precast construction method which is widely used in RC buildings especially in high skyscraper in Japan becomes more and more popular by ensuring better quality, simplified install procedure and shorter duration. Until now, a new precast system which casts the joint panel combining with beam and separating column into two parts has been proposed. Even though the FRCC constructability is tough, by adopting this precast method, FRCCs can be used in practical engineering easily. Therefore, it is a smart way of enabling FRCC to play a better role in a beam-column joint.

In this research, various polymer fibers have been utilized in the FRCCs beam-column joint to increase shear capacity and reduce the damage of the panel zone. Compared with steel fiber, polymer fibers have a better durability in cement matrix. The loading test of FRCCs beam-column joints with a fiber volume fraction of 1% is conducted to make clear the influence of fiber type on shear capacity of panel zone. Tensile performance of FRCCs characterized from uniaxial tension test is also discussed. A new calculation method for evaluating shear capacity of FRCCs beam-column joint is proposed based on the standard of Architectural Institute of Japan (AIJ) [26].

2. Outline of Test

2.1 Material Properties

The target compressive strength of the FRCCs was set to 50 MPa. As listed in Table 1, water-cement ratio of 0.56 was adopted, which is based on the previous study [17], fiber volume fraction for each specimen was 1%. The mechanical properties of each polymer fiber are shown in Table 2 and Fig. 1. Aramid fiber and PP fiber are fibrillated with a same length of 30 mm, while 12 mm for PVA fiber. Diameter for aramid, PP and PVA is 0.5 mm, 0.7 mm and 0.1 mm independently. Aramid fibers having an extraordinary tensile strength of 3,432 MPa have been used in aircraft, military vehicles, bullet proof vests and many others. The used aramid was stranded from single fibers. Surface roughness embossing has been made on the PP fiber to improve the bond property.

2.2 Test Specimens

Two specimens (No. 30 and No. 31) were designed

| Fiber volume fraction (%) | Water-binder ratio | Sand-binder ratio | Unit weight (kg/m³) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Water | Cement | Fly ash | Sand |
| 1.0  | 0.39 | 0.50 | 380 | 678 | 291 | 484 |

Cement is high-early-strength Portland cement; fly ash is Type II of Japanese Industrial Standard (JIS A 6202); sand is size under 0.2 mm; high-range water-reducing admixture is binder × 0.6%.
Table 2  Mechanical properties of fiber.

| ID  | Fiber | Length (mm) | Diameter (mm) | Tensile strength (MPa) | Elastic modulus (GPa) |
|-----|-------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| No. 30 | Aramid | 30         | 0.5          | 3,432          | 73                   |
| No. 31 | PP     | 30         | 0.7          | 580           | 4.6                  |
| No. 32† | PVA   | 12         | 0.1          | 1,200          | 28                   |

† Specimen No. 32 is from the previous study [23].

Fig. 1  Aramid fiber and PP fiber used in this study.

Table 2 shows the mechanical properties of the fibers used in the study. The fibers include Aramid, PP, and PVA, each with different lengths, diameters, tensile strengths, and elastic moduli. The table includes specimen No. 32, which is from a previous study.

In order to evaluate the shear performance of the joint panel, FRCCs were only used in the panel zone. Dimensions of the FRCC beam-column joints are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 3. The column section was 500 mm × 500 mm and the beam section was 380 mm wide and 420 mm deep. The span of beam and column was 2,700 mm and 1,560 mm which is considered as a half scale of real RC structure. High strength rebars were adopted for main reinforcing bars in beam and column to ensure the priority of shear failure in panel zone.

2.3 Loading Method and Measurement

The reversed cyclic loading is applied to the beams by controlling story drift angles from $R = \pm 1/400$ to $\pm 1/20$ rad. Story drift angle was controlled by the actuators attached to the inflection points of beams, which is shown in Fig. 3. Oil jacks were used on the inflection points to support the columns. The measurements were the applied load on beams, story drift angle, deformations of beam and column. Setups of linear variable displacement transducers (LVDTs) are shown in Figs. 4 and 5.

3. Test Results

3.1 Failure Modes

Crack patterns of all specimens observed at maximum load are shown in Fig. 6. Except for No. 30, maximum loads of all specimens were observed at the story drift angle $R = 1/50$ rad. Since aramid fiber has a higher fiber bridging effect, maximum load of No. 30 was observed at the story drift angle $R = 1/33$ rad. For all specimens, shear crack occurred on panel zone first, and then flexural crack and shear crack on beam were observed in this order. Diagonal shear crack on panel zone developed more and more visibly due to crack opening and closing under the increased cyclic load. Large number of diagonal cracks appeared on the surface of panel zone during loading which leads to the shear failure. In comparison with No. 24, the cracks of all specimens with fibers have been inhibited due to the effect of fiber bridging. After maximum load, the cracks of specimens with fibers developed wider and deeper however if compared to No. 24, the change was not obvious. By using fibers into beam-column joint, the damage of panel zone can be improved.
Fig. 2  Specimen dimensions.

Table 3  Specimens list.

| ID No.  | Panel Parameter | Beam Reinforcing bar | Beam Stirrup | Column Reinforcing bar | Column Hoop |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|
| No. 24  | Without fiber   |                       |              |                        |             |
| No. 30  | Aramid          | 18-D22 (USD685)       | 6-D10@60 (SD785) | 16-D22 (USD685)       | 6-D10@60 (SD785) |
| No. 31  | PP              |                       |              |                        |             |
| No. 32† | PVA             |                       |              |                        |             |

* Specimen No. 24 is from the previous study [22].
† Specimen No. 32 is from the previous study [23].

Fig. 3  Loading method.
Fig. 4  Measurement of story drift angle.

Fig. 5  Measurement of local deformation.
3.2 Load-Story Drift Angle Curve

Fig. 7 shows the relationships of load and story drift angle obtained from the cyclic loading test. The peak loads are listed in Table 4. Specimen No. 30 has the highest value of 544 kN, which was mainly attributed by its higher fiber bridging effect. Since all the specimens were designed by failure of panel zone, peak loads of specimens with fibers were increased significantly compared to No. 24 due to the effectiveness of fiber bridging.

4. Evaluation of Shear Capacity

4.1 Outline of Tension Test

To grasp the tensile characteristics of FRCC, the uniaxial tension test was conducted. Aramid fiber, PP fiber and PVA fiber were used to test the tensile property. The fibers used for the tension test are the same as those used in the beam-column joint experiment. The mixture proportion of mortar matrix has already been presented in Table 1. The fiber volume fraction is 1%.

The details of the specimen and the loading method are shown in Fig. 8. The total length of the specimen is 510 mm with carbon fiber sheets attached at both ends to avoid peel-off of the steel plate. Since the increasing external moment caused by setup irregularity and local fracture caused by secondary moment will be an inevitable factor to the experiment, pin-fix ends were applied at the boundaries to minimize possible effects to the results. As shown in Fig. 9, a notch was being made in the middle of the specimen. The sectional area at ligament is 40 mm × 40 mm. Tensile load and deformation in the test region were obtained directly from the experiment. The crack width is the average value of two pi-type LVDTs.

4.2 Results of Tension Test

All specimens fractured at the ligament. Tensile stress is calculated by tensile load divided by sectional area at the ligament. Fig. 10 shows the example photographs of the fibers across the crack during loading. The relationships of tensile stress versus crack width are shown in Fig. 11. The tensile stress showed a significant drop after first cracking. Due to the fiber bridging effect, the tensile stress increased until to the second peak and then decreased gradually. The average tensile stresses of Aramid, PP and PVA specimens at the second peak are 3.31 MPa (crack width at 0.995 mm), 1.61 MPa (crack width at 1.199 mm) and 1.80 MPa (crack width at 0.680 mm), respectively.

4.3 Evaluation of Shear Capacity

By assuming that the shear stress in the panel zone is also carried by fiber bridging effect, shear capacity of beam-column joint is evaluated through the tensile characteristics of FRCC.

It is considered that the strut mechanism in FRCC beam-column joint keeps until to story drift angle of $R = 1/50$ rad due to the bridging effect of fibers which are across the diagonal crack on the surface of panel zone. After that, diagonal cracks start to move to shear sliding direction which leads to the maximum load. At the maximum load, by assuming failure of strut mechanism and disappearance of fiber bridging effect are occurred simultaneously, calculation method for
shear capacity of FRCC beam-column joint in Eq. (1) can be proposed. Eq. (1) is derived as the summation of the Eq. (2) given by “Design Guidelines for Earthquake Resistant Reinforced Concrete Buildings Based on Inelastic Displacement Concept” [26] to shear force carried by FRCC expressed by Eq. (3). As shown in Fig. 12, shear force is derived from tension force carried by fibers. The crack angle $\theta$ is observed directly from the surface of panel zone. The second peak load in uniaxial tension test is adopted for maximum tensile stress in each type of fibers.

Experimental and calculated values of shear capacity are converted to the shear force which is applied to beam. As listed in Table 5, the difference of below 7\% between calculated value and experimental value demonstrates that the calculation method is feasible. By adopting this method, shear capacity of FRCC beam-column joint can be calculated from uniaxial tension test.

$$V_{jn} = V_{jc} + V_{jf} \quad (1)$$

$$V_{jc} = \kappa \cdot \varphi \cdot F_j \cdot b_j \cdot D_j \quad (2)$$

$$V_{jf} = \sigma_{t,\text{max}} \cdot d_h \cdot b_j \quad (3)$$

Table 4  Peak load and story drift angle at peak load.

| No.  | Peak load | Story drift angle |
|------|-----------|------------------|
| 24 (no fiber) | 389 kN      | 1/50 rad        |
| 30 (aramid)   | 544 kN      | 1/33 rad        |
| 31 (PP)       | 495 kN      | 1/50 rad        |
| 32 (PVA)      | 461 kN      | 1/50 rad        |
Fig. 8  Tensile test specimen.

Fig. 9  Cross section of tensile test specimen.

Fig. 10  Fibers across the crack during loading.
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5. Conclusions

To investigate the influence of fiber bridging effect on shear performance of FRCC, beam-column joint test using various polymer fibers was conducted. Due to fiber bridging effect, the shear capacity of specimen with fiber in panel zone increased compared to specimen without fiber. A new proposed calculation method using FRCC tensile stress obtained from uniaxial tension test can predict shear capacity of FRCC beam-column joint accurately.
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