Efficacy and Safety of Modified Dual Therapy as the First-line Regimen for the Treatment of Helicobacter pylori Infection

A Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials
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Background: In an era of antibiotic resistance, modified dual therapy has been paid much attention because of simple drug composition and low resistance of amoxicillin. However, its eradication rate as a first-line regimen remains controversial. This study is to evaluate the efficacy and safety of modified dual therapy for the initial treatment of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection compared with mainstream first-line therapies.

Methods: PubMed, the Cochrane Library, and Embase were searched for randomized clinical trials evaluating the efficacy and safety of modified dual therapy as the initial treatment for H. pylori eradication compared with guideline-recommended first-line therapies. A meta-analysis was conducted using Review Manager 5.3 and dichotomous data were estimated by the risk ratio (RR) with the 95% confidence interval (CI). We also performed subgroup analysis according to control groups and studies with antibiotic susceptibility tests.

Results: Eight studies including 1672 patients with H. pylori infection met the selection criteria and were assessed. The meta-analysis demonstrated that modified dual therapy achieved similar efficacy [85.83% vs. 86.77%, RR 0.99 (95% CI, 0.95-1.03), intention-to-treat analysis; 89.53% vs. 90.45%, RR 0.99 (95% CI, 0.96-1.02), per-protocol analysis] and compliance [95.77% vs. 95.56%, RR 1.00 (95% CI, 0.98-1.02)] compared with recommended first-line regimens. In addition, there were no significant differences in comparing the eradication rate of modified dual therapy with clarithromycin triple therapy, bismuth quadruple therapy, and concomitant therapy, respectively. Subgroup analysis based on the studies with antibiotic susceptibility tests also confirmed a similar efficacy. However, modified dual therapy showed fewer adverse effects [8.70% vs. 22.38%, RR 0.39 (95% CI, 0.28-0.54)], with a significant difference (P < 0.00001).

Conclusion: Modified dual therapy achieved equal efficacy and compliance compared with recommended first-line regimens for H. pylori infection, and generally modified dual therapy showed fewer side effects.
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Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) is highly adapted for the colonization of the human stomach and has infected nearly half of the world’s population, including ~700 million individuals in China.1 H. pylori infection plays an important role in upper digestive diseases, such as gastritis, peptic ulcers, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma, and deadly gastric adenocarcinoma.2,3 Successful eradication of H. pylori could markedly improve the patient’s symptoms, attenuate the course of these diseases, and prevent gastric cancer.4

Traditional triple therapy consisting of clarithromycin, amoxicillin (or metronidazole), and a proton-pump inhibitor (PPI) has been used worldwide as the first-line regimen to eradicate H. pylori for decades and achieved eradication rates of >90% in the 1990s.5 However, due to increasing resistance to antibiotics especially clarithromycin, metronidazole, and levofloxacin,6,7 the success of clarithromycin triple therapy as well as several alternative regimens has rapidly declined.8,9 Toronto Consensus has opposed the use of sequential and hybrid therapies and did not recommend levofloxacin therapy as first-line therapies.10 At present, Maastricht V/Florence Consensus, Toronto Consensus, and American College of Gastroenterology Clinical Guideline still all recommended clarithromycin triple therapy as one of the first-line regimen, limited to areas where clarithromycin resistance is <15%. For areas of high clarithromycin resistance, bismuth quadruple therapy and concomitant therapy (when bismuth is not available such as Taiwan11) were favored.10,12,13 In China, resistance rates of clarithromycin range from 20% to 50%, therefore, bismuth quadruple therapy has been highly recommended as first-line therapy for H. pylori eradication according to the Fifth Chinese National Consensus Report.6,14 Despite the improvements
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in the eradication rate, quadruple therapy has limitations, including complex pharmaceutical composition, increasing side effects, and high cost.

In an era of high resistance, *H. pylori* resistance to amoxicillin has remained rare worldwide, including in China. Indeed, dual therapy consisting of amoxicillin (1 g, twice daily) and omeprazole (20 mg, once or twice daily) has been proposed since the 1980s. It was gradually forgotten and abandoned because of the unsatisfactory eradication rate. However, nowadays, the critical drug resistance remarkably limited the choice of antibiotics, and quadruple therapy could hardly be strengthened by adding new drugs or prolonging duration. Dual therapy has regained people’s attention. As is well known, amoxicillin is a pH-dependent and time-dependent antibiotic. Thus, primary dual therapy was modified by increasing both the dose and administration frequency of amoxicillin and PPI to improve the eradication rate. A meta-analysis showed that modified (or high-dose) dual therapy was comparable to recommended rescue therapies for the treatment of *H. pylori* infection. According to the American College of Gastroenterology Clinical Guideline, high-dose dual therapy consisting of amoxicillin and a PPI for 14 days has been suggested as a salvage regimen.

An increasing number of studies have been conducted to investigate the efficacy and safety of modified dual therapy as an initial treatment for *H. pylori* infection. A small-sample multicenter clinical study in Italy showed that high-dose dual therapy with esomeprazole and amoxicillin might be effective and safe as a first-line regimen. However, modified dual therapy has not yet been recommended as a first-line regimen, mainly because of the conflicting eradication rates among different studies. An open-labeled study showed that high-dose amoxicillin-PPI dual therapy was ineffective as the first-line therapy for eradicating *H. pylori* in Korea. Hu and colleagues also reported that high-dose amoxicillin-PPI dual therapy failed to achieve high cure rates in China. Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis to investigate the efficacy and safety of modified dual therapy as primary therapy for *H. pylori* infection, compared with mainstream first-line regimens, including traditional clarithromycin triple therapy, bismuth quadruple therapy, and concomitant therapy.

**METHODS**

**Search Strategy**

This meta-analysis was conducted according to the reporting guidelines of PRISMA protocol. We searched PubMed, the Cochrane Library, and Embase for studies published up to February 26, 2020, without language and publication status restriction. The following terms and algorithms were used as retrieval strategies. PubMed: “[Helicobacter pylori” (Mesh) OR *H. pylori* AND (dual therapy) AND “randomized controlled trial” (Publication type) OR randomized], the Cochrane Library: [Helicobacter pylori” (Mesh) OR *H. pylori* AND (dual therapy)], Embase: (“Helicobacter pylori” exp OR *H. pylori* AND (dual therapy) AND “randomized controlled trial” exp)

**Selection Criteria**

Endnote X9 (Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA) was used to create an electronic library of articles identified in the literature retrieval. Literature was then screened according to the selection criteria. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) studies evaluated the efficacy and safety of modified dual therapy as the first-line regimen to eradicate *H. pylori*; (b) the control groups included clarithromycin triple therapy, bismuth quadruple therapy, and concomitant therapy; (c) the study design was RCT. Exclusion criteria were set as below: (a) studies on animals, case reports or case series, retrospective study, cohort study, and studies without controls or the control group was not appropriate; (b) studies not reporting tests used to diagnose *H. pylori* infection and eradication; (c) regimen contained herbs, probiotics, or other supplements; (d) amoxicillin was not administered at a dosage >2.0 g daily and either amoxicillin or PPI was given more than twice daily. According to current clinical guidelines, the duration of treatment with clarithromycin triple therapy has been increased from 7 to 14 days. Therefore, Yang et al’s study who used clarithromycin triple therapy for 7 days as a control group was excluded.

**Data Extraction**

Two authors (Q.H. and H.Y.) extracted data independently, and disagreement was resolved by discussion with the third author (Z.S.). The following information was extracted: (a) the first author, year of publication, country or region, and patients’ demographic characteristics; (b) tests used to confirm *H. pylori* infection and eradication; (c) therapeutic regimens, duration of treatment, number of patients in each group; (d) the results of antibiotics susceptibility tests and Cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C19 genotype; (e) eradication rate by intention-to-treat (ITT) and per-protocol analyses, the compliance of patients and number of patients with side effects as defined within each included RCTs.

**Outcome Assessment**

The primary outcome for the meta-analysis was overall eradication rates of modified dual therapy compared with established first-line therapies. And we compared the eradication rates of modified dual therapy with clarithromycin triple therapy, bismuth quadruple therapy, and concomitant therapy, respectively. To avoid interference of antibiotic resistance on the control groups, a subgroup analysis of studies with antibiotic susceptibility tests was conducted. The secondary outcomes were the incidence of adverse effects and compliance of patients in modified dual therapy versus other first-line therapies.

**Risk of Bias and Sensitivity Analysis**

The risk of bias of the included studies was assessed using the domain-based risk of bias tables. Two authors (Q.H. and H.Y.) evaluated the risk of bias independently. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus. A funnel plot was constructed to evaluate the risk of publication bias. We also performed a sensitivity analysis to test the stability of the results.

**Statistical Analysis**

Meta-analysis was conducted by Review Manager 5.3 (Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark). Dichotomous outcomes were determined using the Mantel-Haenszel method and summarized as the risk ratio (RR) with the 95% confidence interval (CI). P < 0.05 was considered a significant difference. The heterogeneity of the included studies was evaluated by the inconsistency index ($I^2$) statistic and the $\chi^2$ test. $P > 50\%$ or $P < 0.1$ for the $\chi^2$ test.
indicated statistical heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{25} If there was significant heterogeneity in included RCTs, we used the random-effects model. When no significant heterogeneity was found, the fixed-effects model was selected to pool the data.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Selected Studies

A total of 390 studies were obtained during the literature search, of which 202 studies were excluded because of duplicates. After abstract retrieval, 116 studies were excluded because they were irrelevant to the current analysis or not RCTs. A total of 72 articles were retrieved by reading the full text, and 22 were further excluded because modified dual therapy was not a primary treatment or the control group was not appropriate. Then, 42 studies were excluded because either amoxicillin or PPI was given less than thrice daily, or amoxicillin was administered at no more than 2.0 g/d in the modified dual therapy. Finally, 8 prospective RCTs including 1672 participants (833 with modified dual therapy and 839 with the control therapy) met the selection criteria and there was no publication bias (Fig. S1, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/JCG/A616). The flowchart of the study selection was displayed in Figure 1, and the characteristics of the 8 included RCTs were summarized in Table 1 and Table S1 (Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/JCG/A616).

Overall Eradication Rate

The eradication rates of \textit{H. pylori} were evaluated in all 8 studies. No significant difference was observed between modified dual therapy and the control therapies. In terms of ITT analysis, the pooled eradication rate was 85.83\% for the modified dual therapy compared with 86.77\% for the control therapies [RR = 0.99, 95\% CI (0.95-1.03), \(P = 0.57\)], without significant statistical heterogeneity (\(P = 0.75\), \(I^2 = 0\%\), Fig. 2). As for per-protocol analysis, the pooled RR was 0.99 [95\% CI (0.96-1.02), \(P = 0.51\)], with no evidence of heterogeneity (\(P = 0.38\); \(I^2 = 6\%\), Fig. 3). The overall eradication rate was 89.53\% for the modified dual therapy compared with 90.45\% for the control groups.

Subgroup Analysis According to Control Groups

Control groups differed among the 8 RCTs, namely clarithromycin triple therapy recommended by Kim et al\textsuperscript{26} and Leow and Goh,\textsuperscript{30} bismuth quadruple therapy recommended by Hu et al,\textsuperscript{27} Hu et al,\textsuperscript{23} Sapmaz et al,\textsuperscript{28} Gao et al,\textsuperscript{29} and Yang et al,\textsuperscript{32} and concomitant therapy recommended by Tai et al.\textsuperscript{31} Whether it is compared with clarithromycin triple therapy, bismuth quadruple therapy, or the concomitant therapy, the modified dual therapy has achieved a similar eradication rate without significant statistical heterogeneity [RR = 0.95, 95\% CI (0.86-1.05); RR = 0.99, 95\% CI (0.95-1.03); RR = 1.05, 95\% CI (0.96-1.14); respectively, Fig. 2]. Clarithromycin was contained in most of the regimens, including all clarithromycin triple regimens, concomitant therapy, and bismuth quadruple therapy in some RCTs. To exclude the influence of clarithromycin on the result, we removed regimens containing clarithromycin and there were 2 studies remaining. The subgroup analysis showed that the efficacy of modified dual therapy was comparable [91.04\% vs. 89.55\%, RR = 1.02, 95\% CI (0.96-1.07), \(P = 0.56\)] to the control therapies, without significant statistical heterogeneity (\(P = 0.2\), \(I^2 = 40\%\); Fig. S2, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/JCG/A616).

Subgroup Analysis According to Studies With Antibiotic Susceptibility Tests

Three studies reported the results of antibiotic susceptibility test (Table S2, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/JCG/A616). The subgroup analysis also demonstrated that modified dual therapy achieved similar efficacy [91.87\% vs. 89.41\%, RR = 1.03, 95\% CI (0.98-1.07), \(P = 0.23\)] compared with mainstream first-line therapies, without significant statistical heterogeneity (\(P = 0.47\), \(I^2 = 0\%\); Fig. 4).

Compliance and Adverse Effects

Both therapies achieved a high compliance rate, with 95.77\% for the modified dual therapy and 95.56\% for the control therapies without statistical heterogeneity (\(P = 0.75\); \(I^2 = 0\%\), Fig. 5). And there was no significant statistical difference [RR = 1.00, 95\% CI (0.98-1.02), \(P = 0.83\)]. Five studies reported the specific number of patients with adverse effects in the treatment. The overall adverse effects rate was 8.70\% for the modified dual therapy and 22.38\% for the control therapies, and we found no statistical heterogeneity (\(P = 0.56\); \(I^2 = 0\%\), Fig. 6). Notably, modified dual therapy was associated with a significantly decreased risk of adverse effects [RR = 0.39, 95\% CI (0.28-0.54), \(P < 0.00001\)].

Risk of Bias and Sensitivity Analysis

We assessed the potential bias of each study through several aspects, including selection bias, performance bias, detection bias, attrition bias, and reporting bias. The risk of bias was shown in Figure 7. The sensitivity analysis did not change either the direction or the statistical significance of any of the RR.

DISCUSSION

\textit{H. pylori}'s eradication is facing a critical challenge due to antibiotic resistance. Guidelines and experts recommend that regimens based on antibiotic susceptibility test results are optimal; however, due to the invasiveness of endoscopy, the difficulty of \textit{H. pylori}'s culture, and cost concerns,
antibiotic susceptibility test is not performed routinely in clinical practice. Fortunately, the global prevalence of primary and acquired *H. pylori* resistance to amoxicillin is still generally rare. Therefore, amoxicillin can be used empirically without the need for susceptibility testing. Both triple therapy and quadruple therapy require the continuous use of 2 or 3 antibiotics, which increases the patient’s antibiotic load and drug cost, leading to more side effects. Once eradication failed, antibiotic choices become trickier. Modified dual therapy, which uses only 1 antibiotic, amoxicillin, has the advantage of reducing antibiotic use, reducing cost, and providing room for eradication failure. In addition, elderly patients and patients with multiple complications cannot afford such a complex treatment. A regimen with fewer medications was demanded especially for them. Therefore, it is of great importance to identify the efficacy and safety of modified dual therapy as the first-line regimen. We conducted this meta-analysis and the results showed that modified dual therapy was equally effective as the recommended first-line regimens for *H. pylori* infection and safer than those therapies.

However, from the perspective of eradication rate, modified dual therapy was not superior to the currently recommended first-line therapies, and few studies with eradication rate > 90% (the definition of eradication success by Graham et al15) have been done. Studies with eradication rates above 90% pointed the key to success: the concentration of amoxicillin and proper intragastric acid environment. As is known, amoxicillin is time-dependent penicillin, and plasma levels over the minimum inhibitory concentrations could be maintained for 6 to 8 hours; thus, plasma concentrations of amoxicillin cannot be achieved by the traditional administration of 1 g twice daily. Increasing the dosage and administration frequency of amoxicillin simultaneously could maximize the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects and achieve a satisfactory eradication rate. The eradication rate of Kim’s study (750 mg amoxicillin 90% pointed the key to success: the concentration of amoxicillin and proper intragastric acid environment. As is known, amoxicillin is time-dependent penicillin, and plasma levels over the minimum inhibitory concentrations could be maintained for 6 to 8 hours; thus, plasma concentrations of amoxicillin cannot be achieved by the traditional administration of 1 g twice daily. Increasing the dosage and administration frequency of amoxicillin simultaneously could maximize the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects and achieve a satisfactory eradication rate. The eradication rate of Kim’s study (750 mg amoxicillin 90% pointed the key to success: the concentration of amoxicillin and proper intragastric acid environment. As is known, amoxicillin is time-dependent penicillin, and plasma levels over the minimum inhibitory concentrations could be maintained for 6 to 8 hours; thus, plasma concentrations of amoxicillin cannot be achieved by the traditional administration of 1 g twice daily. Increasing the dosage and administration frequency of amoxicillin simultaneously could maximize the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects and achieve a satisfactory eradication rate. The eradication rate of Kim’s study (750 mg amoxicillin 90% pointed the key to success: the concentration of amoxicillin and proper intragastric acid environment. As is known, amoxicillin is time-dependent penicillin, and plasma levels over the minimum inhibitory concentrations could be maintained for 6 to 8 hours; thus, plasma concentrations of amoxicillin cannot be achieved by the traditional administration of 1 g twice daily. Increasing the dosage and administration frequency of amoxicillin simultaneously could maximize the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects and achieve a satisfactory eradication rate. The eradication rate of Kim’s study (750 mg amoxicillin 90% pointed the key to success: the concentration of amoxicillin and proper intragastric acid environment. As is known, amoxicillin is time-dependent penicillin, and plasma levels over the minimum inhibitory concentrations could be maintained for 6 to 8 hours; thus, plasma concentrations of amoxicillin cannot be achieved by the traditional administration of 1 g twice daily. Increasing the dosage and administration frequency of amoxicillin simultaneously could maximize the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects and achieve a satisfactory eradication rate. The eradication rate of Kim’s study (750 mg amoxicillin
3 times daily) was hardly satisfactory and lower than that of other studies (750 mg amoxicillin 4 times daily), showing that the latter regimen was a better choice. Lu and colleague’s study conducted in Shanghai also achieved a satisfactory eradication rate. They used 1 g amoxicillin 3 times daily, which is an alternative method of administration.

The intragastric pH value is mainly associated with the type, dosage, and administration frequency of PPIs. Second-generation PPIs (esomeprazole, rabeprazole) may be more effective than first-generation PPIs (omeprazole, lansoprazole) because they have been demonstrated to be less dependent on the genetic variability of CYP2C19. Ren and colleagues compared the eradication rate of an R10A (amoxicillin 1 g thrice daily plus rabeprazole 10 mg twice daily) group and an R20A (amoxicillin 1 g thrice daily plus rabeprazole 20 mg twice daily) group. The results showed that the eradication rate reached 89.8% in the R20A group by ITT analysis, which was significantly higher than that reached in the R10A group. Sugimoto and colleagues compared the effects of different frequencies of administration at the same total daily dose. The
results demonstrated that increasing the dosing frequency of rabeprazole effectively increased the pH value. The appropriate dosage and administration frequency of various PPIs should be tested to achieve optimal intragastric pH. Besides, vonoprazan is a novel potassium-competitive acid blocker which is more potent and long-acting than traditional PPIs. Pharmacokinetics demonstrated that vonoprazan was mainly metabolized by CYP3A4, accordingly less affected by CYP2C19 polymorphism. Furuta et al’s study showed that vonoprazan-based dual therapy with amoxicillin is effective and safe for the eradication of *H. pylori*. The efficacy of amoxicillin-vonoprazan dual therapy is worth further study. However, there were no suitable RCTs comparing the efficacy of amoxicillin-vonoprazan dual therapy with mainstream first-line therapies, therefore vonoprazan-amoxicillin dual therapy was not included.

Other factors including dietary management and smoking also affected gastric acid environment. In Yang et al’s study, who reported a high eradication rate, patients were instructed to avoid acidic foods to minimize the impact of ingested foods to intragastric acidity. According to the latest study by Lu and colleagues, smokers had a markedly reduced eradication rate (7/10) compared with nonsmokers (66/66) with high-dose amoxicillin-esomeprazole dual therapy. Same therapy plus bismuth could only improve treatment effectiveness among smokers. In addition, compliance is also a key factor for the eradication rate, especially when the regimen contains multiple medications that need to be taken several times. Studies have found that improved compliance through enhanced visits and patient education could effectively improve eradication rate. The new drug Talicia has inspired us to develop amoxicillin and PPI combined sustained-release capsules that may improve

---

**FIGURE 4.** Subgroup analysis of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication rate according to studies with antibiotic susceptibility tests. CI indicates confidence interval; MDT, modified dual therapy.

**FIGURE 5.** Forest plot of compliance. CI indicates confidence interval; MDT, modified dual therapy.
compliance and efficacy, which remains to be studied. In a word, how to improve the eradication rate of *H. pylori* is the challenge we are facing.

In terms of adverse effects, modified dual therapy was superior to the recommended first-line therapies. Overall, modified dual therapy reported no serious adverse reactions. The main adverse events included nausea, abdominal pain, diarrhea, and rash. Most adverse effects disappeared spontaneously after treatment. The result is reasonable: modified dual therapy uses only 1 antibiotic and does not contain bismuth, resulting in lower overall side effects. As for compliance, modified dual therapy was comparable to mainstream first-line therapies. Although the modified dual therapy increased the dosage and dosing frequency of amoxicillin and PPI, it reduced the number of medications and has fewer side effects, leading to high compliance.

There are some limitations in our meta-analysis. First, the participants enrolled in RCTs are mainly from Asia, typically China. Therefore, further research needs to be conducted among people of different populations to avoid interference from ethnic differences in PPI metabolism. Second, most studies were not blinded, which may lead to bias in reporting side effects. Indeed, blindness is not necessary for the primary outcome, eradication rate. Last, due to the lack of included studies and related reports (Table S3, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/JCG/A616), subgroup analysis according to CYP2C19 genotype was not possible. However, Yang’s study showed that high dose and administration frequency of esomeprazole ameliorates the influence of CYP2C19 genotype and there was no significant correlation between the treatment outcomes and CYP2C19 genotype. It means modified dual therapy (proper PPI) could be effective regardless of CYP2C19 genotype and be used widely.

In conclusion, our meta-analysis showed that modified dual therapy could achieve similar eradication rates and compliance compared with recommended first-line therapies as well as lower side effects. Since most of the RCTs were conducted in China and there is an increasing resistance to clarithromycin and metronidazole, the result may have a great significance for China to optimize regimens. More high-quality RCTs are needed to confirm the hypothesis that modified dual therapy could be an alternative first-line regimen for the treatment of *H. pylori* infection.
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