Recovery of gastrointestinal swine parasites in anaerobic biodigester systems
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Abstract

Solid and liquid wastes from livestock operations represent important challenges for animal production regarding their impact in the environment and public health. Parasitological tests performed on 80 samples of affluent and effluent waters from three anaerobic biodigestors with flexible structure from swine farms of Caldas - Colombia, showed the presence of *Isospora suis* (45%), *Eimeria suis* (42.5%), *E. espinosa* (35%), *Strongyloides ransomi* (28.8%), *E. perminuta* (12.5%), *E. cerdonis* (3.8%), and *E. porci* (2.5%). The additional finding of eggs of *Taenia* spp. in 10% of the samples was probably caused by a connection between the human sewage system and the biodigester. Although we observed a mean decrease of 65.6% of parasites, these levels were insufficient to meet the minimum requirement set by Engelberg’s guidelines regarding water quality. This study demonstrates the serious environmental impact that an inadequately treated animal wastewater represents, and has important implications for water resources and human health.
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abilities of the different pathogens, environmental conditions, and characteristics of the livestock production systems (Sobsey et al., 2006; Hölzle; Bauer, 2008).

Our studies present data concerning the retention levels of swine parasites (protozoa and helminths) in wastewater of three biodigester systems in Colombian farms, revealing the degree of environmental contamination by this waste and have important implications regarding the wastewater potential impact.

Materials and Methods

Were evaluated the parasite burden of flexible structure biodigesters of affluent and effluent wastewater from three swine farms with nursing and finishing production systems. The Colombian farms were located in Santa Rosa de Cabal – Risaralda (farms A and B) and Palestina – Caldas (farm C), altitudinal range of 1.050-1.885 m.s.l., temperature and relative humidity of 21 °C and 85%.

Eighty samples from these farms were collected and assessed fortnightly over six months. After a hydraulic retention period (15 days), four liters of samples were collected at the entrance and exit of the biodigester, in suitable plastic containers. Next, the material was sent to the Laboratory of Veterinary Parasitology of the University of Caldas, Manizales, Colombia.

After previous filtration and overnight sedimentation, about 60 mL of sediment was centrifuged in 15 mL conical tubes and the pellet was recovered for parasite observation by the Sheather and McMaster method as previously described (Zarlerga; Trout, 2004). Two grams from each sediment sample were fully examined using two slides and two McMaster cameras. Parasite count was performed considering all parasites stages observed in the fields at 40X magnification, and quantified as number of oocysts and eggs per liter of water, following the instructions proposed by the WHO (1983). Using SPSS v.10.0, data were analyzed by the Duncan multiple-range test. Statistical significance was accepted at P < 0.05 level.

Results and Discussion

Of the 80 samples evaluated for parasite burden (40 from affluent and 40 from effluent obtained from biodigesters at swine farms), The samples were subjected to sporulation of oocysts in potassium dichromate solution (2.5%) at room temperature until complete observation of sporocysts and sporozoites, and concentrated again by the Sheather and McMaster method and identified on the basis of morphological structures of the sporulated oocysts (Santos; Lopes, 1988).

We identified oocysts of Isospora suis (45%), Eimeria suis (42.5%), E. espina (35%), E. perminuta (12.5%), E. cerdisonis (3.8%), E. porci (2.5%), as well as eggs of Strongylodes ransomi (28.8%) and Taenia spp. (10%). Farm B showed a greater number of positive samples for E. espina and E. suis. Eggs of Taenia spp. were present only in farm C, while eggs of S. ransomi were predominant in farms A and B (Table 1).

The wide range of gastrointestinal parasites found by our study is in agreement with data obtained by other studies from both affluent and biosolids in swine feces (Cañon-Franco; Henao-Agudelo; Pérez-Bedoya, 2009). Although farm A presented a smaller number, effluent water from all farms contained sporulated oocysts of I. suis, indicating environmental contamination by infective forms. Likewise, other potential opportunistic pathogens such as E. perminuta, E. porci, E. cerdisonis, and E. espina were present in samples (Solaymani-Mohammadi; Petri Junior, 2006).

The methods used in this study allowed easy retrieval of helminth eggs. Similar results were obtained for Taenia saginata by zinc sulfate solution technique (Barbier et al., 1990). The recovery of tapeworms such as Hymenolepis diminuta in anaerobic systems under these conditions suggests that their viability was enhanced. In this study, the finding of eggs Taenia spp. in the sewage of swine farms suggesting an increased risk of infection and the likelihood of taeniasis in workers or residents near the pig farm, was caused by a connection of the human sewage system to the biodigesters in farm C. Moreover, the result indicates the unfitness of this water for recreational, agricultural, and human

Table 1. Number (N) of positive samples and recovered (%) of parasite forms (oocysts and eggs) by Sheather technique in affluent and effluent wastewaters samples collected from flexible structure digesters from three swine farms in Colombia (N = 80).

| Parasite          | Farm A Number of positive samples | Farm B | Farm C | Recovery N (%) | P     |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------|-------|
| Eimeria cerdisonis| 0                                 | 3      | 0      | 3 (3.8%)       | 0.0290|
| Eimeria espina    | 8                                 | 17     | 3      | 28 (35%)       | <0.0001|
| Eimeria perminuta | 2                                 | 8      | 0      | 10 (12.5%)     | <0.0001|
| Eimeria porci     | 0                                 | 2      | 0      | 2 (2.5%)       | 0.0692|
| Eimeria suis      | 6                                 | 20     | 8      | 34 (42.5%)     | <0.0001|
| Isospora suis     | 5                                 | 11     | 20     | 36 (45%)       | <0.0001|
| Strongylodes ransomi| 10                               | 10     | 3      | 23 (28.8%)     | 0.0440|
| Taenia spp.      | 0                                 | 0      | 8      | 8 (10%)        | <0.0001|

*aSignificance P < 0.05 Duncan’s test.*
use. Indeed, presence of *Taenia* spp. in these wastewaters may cause serious impact on public health (CLIVER, 2009).

Temperature is a determining factor for the biodigester systems in terms of biogas production, metabolism of substrates, and pH reduction, which are variables directly related with hydraulic retention time system (CASTILLO et al., 2006) and thus with the level of survival of biological organisms in the effluent. In this context, L3 larvae of *Haemonchus* spp., *Cooperia* spp., and *Oesophagostomum* spp. may survive for 35 to 40 days in effluents (AMARAL et al., 2004; MENTZ et al., 2004) and eggs of *Ascaris* spp., *Toxocara* spp., *Trichuris* spp., and *Hymenolepis* spp. remain viable for longer periods under laboratory conditions (O’DONNELL et al., 1984). Recovery of viable and pathogenic parasites was shown herein to be higher than that reported by others, probably because were conducted this study for a long period of six months, a longer time span than that employed previously. This result is in agreement with that reported for eggs *Toxocara canis* and *Ascaris suum* in anaerobic systems (PAPAJOVÁ et al., 2008).

Overall, was demonstrated that total parasite removal (protozoa and helminths) was inadequate in the three farms analyzed: 65.6%, 86.2%, and 10.6% for farms A, B, and C, respectively. The removal rates observed were lower than those reported by other studies ranging from 86.7% to 99.9% (REINOSO; BECARES, 2008) and from 78.6% to 100% (NÚÑEZ et al., 1987). Elimination of *Strongyloides ransomi* was found to be more efficient (e.g., farm A registered a level of 76.9%, see Figure 1) and may be due to the treatment system employed, that is, biodigesters with flexible structure. This finding is in accordance with the data published by Cruz et al. (2004) and suggests that this system is more effective than primary systems for this worm. The parasite burden is affected

Figure 1. Average parasites (oocysts and eggs)/liter of water samples from affluent and effluent obtained from continuous flow digesters from three pig farms in Colombia (McMaster technique). *Significance P < 0.05 Duncan’s test.
at temperatures of 35 °C, which completely eliminate protozoan oocysts and reduce helminth eggs (78.6%) (NÚÑEZ et al., 1987). Other factors such as ammonia concentration and anaerobic characteristics of the system may be accounted (HANSEN et al., 1999). Indeed, the operating conditions of the digesters, as well as their capacity, temperature, and pH, have a great effect on the decline and survival of the pathogen load (NÚÑEZ et al., 1987; HANSEN et al., 1999; SOBSEY et al., 2006; CHAE et al., 2008). In this work showed that the reduction of parasitic load of the farms was significantly inefficient; the conditions above mentioned for swine farming can leave parasitic residual loads in the water. Those contaminated water sources pose a risk to public health, since are used for other purposes in these farms.

The hydraulic retention time of 15 days employed in our study was lower than that reported in Brazil by Amaral et al. (2004). In both studies, survival of parasites was evident, indicating that retention periods between 15 and 20 days do not reduce worm viability, and suggest the need for periods longer than 30 days to inactivate 80% of the eggs (FURLONG; PADILHA, 1996). Indeed, even under laboratory conditions, periods of at least 45 days were shown to be necessary for an optimal removal of coccidia oocysts and eggs of Ascaris spp. (NÚÑEZ et al., 1987).

According to Engelberg’s guidelines, one parameter to assess whether treated water is within acceptable quality conditions is the finding of less than one viable intestinal nematode egg per liter of water (STRAUSS, 1985; SALGOT et al., 2006). In the light of this guideline, the minimum and maximum values of helminth eggs in the samples analyzed in this study, 2 to 26 eggs/liter respectively revealed that the water is inappropriate for residential, agricultural, aquaculture, and industrial use because it surpassed the minimum values allowed for helminth eggs.

Conclusions

The investigations on affluent and effluent wastewater from three pig farms and found a significantly poor elimination of parasite loads. Our data have important implications due to potential environmental and public health impact generated by the swine farming practices currently employed regarding wastewater management. Indeed, none of the samples demonstrated a minimum requirement according Engelberg’s guidelines, and were therefore considered unfit for agricultural use or human consumption.
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