Reliability and Profit Analysis of a Two-unit Non-identical Standby System in Snowstorm Weather Conditions
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Abstract This paper express reliability measures of a cold standby system which have two units. In cold standby system one unit operative and other unit kept as a spare. In the system both the unit kept as non-identical. The each operative unit fails due to snowstorm with different failure rate. The system completely failed when the both two units are failed. The failed unit cannot be operative directly by the repairman. The failed unit under the snow, first digging out from the snow then hospitalize (repair) the unit after that the unit becomes operative. Some properties of reliability system such as mean time to system failure, availability and profit have been computed. At last particular cases have been taken to explain the model.
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1. Introduction

Today in modern industry, reliability has an important role for the system. Reliability models of two-unit standby systems have been analysed by various research including [1,2,3]. Taneja and Tuteja [4,5] discussed various systems with different types of failure and repair rates. Comparative analysis of two-unit standby systems are studied by Singh and Taneja [6] and Malhotra and Taneja [7]. Chandrasekhar P. et al. [8] focused on two unit system with erlangian repair time. Manocha and Taneja [9] worked on such systems with arbitrary distributions.

Reliability of a system most affected by abnormal weather conditions such as heavy rain snowstorm, dense fog, high temperature etc. Therefore, many researchers including Goel, Sharma and Gupta [10], Gupta and Goel [11] and Goel, Kumar and Rastogi [12] have explained reliability measures of systems with various weather conditions. Singh et al. [13] analyzed availability of warm standby systems failure due to heavy rain. Nailwal and Singh [14] analysed reliability and sensitivity in different weather conditions.

In this paper, we consider the two-unit non-identical units system. The system has only one repairman as a rescue team. The operative unit failed due to snow storm and some people or systems were trapped under the snow. In such situation repair of the system is very difficult. So after the snowstorm is over, first the failed unit digging out by the repairman. after the digging out then hospitalize the such unit and after that the unit becomes operative.

This paper describes the following subsections and sections.
- Model with mean sojourn times and transition probabilities
- Mean time to system failure
- Steady state availability
- Repairman’s busy period analysis during digging out
- Repairman’s busy period analysis during hospitalization
- Repairman’s expected visits
- Cost-Benefit analysis
- Special case
- Graphical Explanation

2. Notations

$\lambda_1$: failure rate of first unit due to snow storm
$\lambda_2$: failure rate of second unit due to snow storm
$G_1(t), G_2(t)$: cumulative density function of first unit as repair rate of digging out and hospitalization of failed unit respectively.
$G_3(t), G_4(t)$: cumulative density function of second unit as repair rate of digging out and hospitalization of failed unit respectively.
$g_1(t), g_2(t)$: probability density function of first unit as the repair rate of digging out and hospitalization of failed unit respectively.
$g_3(t), g_4(t)$: probability density function of second unit as the repair rate of digging out and hospitalization of failed unit respectively.
Op: operative unit
cs: spare unit or cold standby unit  
Fd: failed unit is under digging out  
FD: failed unit is under digging out continuing on the unit  
Fh: failed unit is under hospitalization after snow removing  
FH: failed unit is under hospitalization continuing after snow removing  
Fwd: waiting for digging out

2.1. Model and Transition Probability:

In the state transition diagram (Figure 1) states 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9 are regenerative states and 3, 6, 7, 10 and non-regenerative states.

\[ p_{01} = 1, \]
\[ p_{12} = g_1(\lambda_2), p_{13} = (1 - g_1(\lambda_2)), p_{14} = (1 - g_1(\lambda_2)) \]
\[ p_{20} = g_2(\lambda_2), p_{25} = (1 - g_2(\lambda_2)), p_{26} = (1 - g_2(\lambda_2)) \]
\[ p_{45} = 1, p_{59} = g_3(\lambda_1), p_{58} = (1 - g_3(\lambda_1)). \]

By these transition probabilities, it can be verified that
\[ p_{01} = 1 \]
\[ p_{12} + p_{14}^{(3)} + p_{13} = 1 = p_{59} + p_{58} \]
\[ p_{20} + p_{26}^{(6)} + p_{25} = 1 = p_{90} + p_{91} \]
\[ p_{45} = 1 = p_{91}. \]

If T represents the sojourn then mean sojourn time (\( \mu_i \)) at the regenerative state ‘i’ discussed as:
\[ \mu_i = E(T) = \text{Pr}(T > t) \]
\[ \mu_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \]
\[ \mu_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} (1 - g_1(\lambda_2)) \]
\[ \mu_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} (1 - g_2(\lambda_2)) \]
\[ \mu_3 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} (1 - g_3(\lambda_1)) \]
\[ \mu_4 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} (1 - g_4(\lambda_1)). \]

Figure 1.
The unconditional mean time $m_{ij}$ mathematically defined as

$$m_{ij} = \int_0^\infty q_{ij}(t)\,dt = -q_{ij}^*(0)$$

$$m_{01} = \mu_0$$

$$m_{12} + m_{34}^2 = -g^i(0) = k_1\text{(say)}, m_{12} + m_{14} = \mu_1$$

$$m_{20} + m_{25}^2 = -g^i(0) = k_2\text{(say)}, m_{20} + m_{26} = \mu_2$$

$$m_{45} = k_3, m_{90} + m_{58}^2 = -g^i(0) = k_4\text{(say)},$$

$$m_{81} = k_4, m_{90} + m_{51}^2 = -g^i(0) = k_4\text{(say)}.$$  

### 3. Mean Time to System Failure

Mean time to system failure (MTSF) regarding the failed states ($i=3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10$) as absorbing states and applying arguments for regenerative process, the we get the recursion relation for $\pi_i(t), t>0$ \(\pi_i(0)\) as the probability in the state ‘i’ at \(t=0\) is operation and gives service when we want.

$$N(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i(0).$$

By using the theory of regenerative point process, we get the recursion relation for $\pi_i(t)$, $\pi_i(t) = \pi_i(0) + \pi_i(t)$, $\pi_i(t) = \pi_i(0) + \pi_i(t) + \pi_i(t)$.

### 4. Availability Analysis

System availability is the probability that it is in operation and gives service when we want.

By using the theory of regenerative point process, availability $A_0(t)$ as the probability in the state ‘0’ at $t=0$ is seen to satisfy these recursive relation are obtained.

$$A_0(t) = M_0(t) + q_{01}(t) \cdot A_1(t)$$

$$A_1(t) = M_1(t) + q_{12}(t) \cdot A_2(t) + q_{15}(t) \cdot A_5(t)$$

$$A_2(t) = M_2(t) + q_{20}(t) \cdot A_0(t) + q_{25}(t) \cdot A_5(t)$$

$$A_4(t) = q_{45}(t) \cdot A_5(t)$$

$$A_5(t) = M_5(t) + q_{50}(t) \cdot A_0(t) + q_{58}(t) \cdot A_8(t)$$

$$A_8(t) = q_{81}(t) \cdot A_1(t)$$

$$A_9(t) = M_9(t) + q_{90}(t) \cdot A_0(t) + q_{91}(t) \cdot A_1(t)$$

Where $M_0(t) = e^{-\lambda_1t}dt$, $M_1(t) = e^{-\lambda_2t}G_1(t)dt$, $M_2(t) = e^{-\lambda_2t}G_2(t)dt$, $M_5(t) = e^{-\lambda_1t}G_1(t)dt$, and $M_9(t) = e^{-\lambda_1t}G_1(t)dt$.

By applying Laplace Transformations on these relation and solving for $A_0^*(s)$ we get,

$$A_0^*(s) = \frac{N_1(s)}{D_1(s)}$$

availability of system in steady state is

$$A_0 = \lim_{s \to 0} (sA_0^*(s)) = \lim_{s \to 0} \left( \frac{N_1(s)}{D_1(s)} \right) = \frac{N_1(0)}{D_1(0)} = \frac{N_1}{D_1}$$

$$N_1 = \mu_0 - p_{12}, p_{25}P_{91} - p_{12}p_{25}P_{58} - p_{14}p_{90} - p_{14}p_{59} + \mu_1 + \mu_2p_{12} + \mu_5 + \mu_4)(p_{12}p_{25} + \mu_4)$$

$$D_1 = k_1 + k_2 + \mu_0(p_{12}p_{20} + p_{12}p_{25}p_{59}p_{90} + p_{14}p_{59}p_{90} + k_3 + k_4(p_{12}p_{25} + p_{14})$$

Where, $k_1, k_2, k_3$ and $k_4$ is already defined.

### 5. During Digging out Repairman Busy Period Analysis

$B_0^0(t)$=The system entered from regenerative state ‘i’ at time $t=0$ is under repair during digging out.

$$B_0^0(t) = q_{01}(t) \cdot B_1^0(t)$$

$$B_1^0(t) = W_1(t) + q_{12}(t) \cdot B_2^0(t) \cdot q_{15}(t) \cdot B_5^0(t)$$

$$B_2^0(t) = q_{20}(t) \cdot B_0^0(t) + q_{25}(t) \cdot B_5^0(t)$$

$$B_4^0(t) = q_{45}(t) \cdot B_5^0(t)$$

$$B_5^0(t) = W_5(t) + q_{59}(t) \cdot B_9^0(t) + q_{58}(t) \cdot B_8^0(t)$$

$$B_8^0(t) = q_{81}(t) \cdot B_1^0(t)$$

Where $W_2(t) = e^{-\lambda_2t}G_2(t)dt + \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2t}G_2^2(t)dt$, $W_4(t) = e^{-\lambda_1t}G_1(t)dt + \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1t}G_1^2(t)dt$, $W_6(t) = G_3(t)dt$ and $W_4(t) = G_2^2(t)dt$.

By using Laplace Transforms then solving system of equation for $B_0^H(t)$ we get,

$$B_0^H(s) = \frac{N_3(s)}{D_3(s)}$$

Where

$$N_3(s) = q_{01}(s) \cdot q_{14}(s) H_4^*(s)$$

$$+ q_{45}(s) q_{58}(s) W_8^*(s) + q_{01}(s) q_{14}(s)$$

$$+ q_{45}(s) q_{59}(s) W_9^*(s)$$

$$+ q_{01}(s) q_{12}(s) W_8^*(s) + q_{01}(s) q_{14}(s)$$

$$+ q_{45}(s) q_{59}(s) W_9^*(s)$$

$$+ q_{01}(s) q_{12}(s) W_8^*(s) + q_{01}(s) q_{14}(s)$$

Where $D_1(s)$ defined already.
During digging out the total time for which the system is under repaired.

\[ B_0^D(t) = \frac{N_3(t)}{D_1(t)} = \frac{N_3}{D_1} \]

Where,

\[ N_2 = W_1 + (p_{12}p_{25} + p_{14}p_{45})W_5 \]

Where \( W_1 = W_1^*(0) \) and \( D_1 \) is already defined.

6. During Hospitalization Repairman Busy Period Analysis

\( B_0^H(t) = \) The system entered from regenerative state ‘i’ at time \( t=0 \) is under repair during digging out.

\[ B_0^H(t) = q_{01}(t) \circ B_0^H(t) + q_{12}(t) \circ B_0^H(t) + \cdots + q_{15}(t) \circ B_0^H(t) \]

During hospitalization the total time for which the repairman is busy in \([0, t]\) is defined already.

\[ B_0^H(t) = \int_0^t (q_{20}(t) + q_{25}(t)) N_2(t) \, dt + q_{15}(t) \circ B_0^H(t) \]

By using Laplace Transforms then solving system of equations for \( V_0^*(s) \), we get

\[ V_0^*(s) = \frac{N_3(s)}{D_1(s)} \]

7. Expected Number of Visits by the Repairman

When the system started from the regenerative state ‘i’ at \( t=0 \), the expected number of visits by the repair man in \([0, t]\) is defined already.

\[ V_0(t) = q_{01}(t) \ast (1 + V_1(t)) \]

\[ V_1(t) = q_{12}(t) \ast V_2(t) + q_{15}(t) \ast V(t) \]

\[ V_2(t) = q_{20}(t) \ast V_0(t) + q_{25}(t) \ast V_5(t) \]

\[ V_4(t) = q_{45}(t) \ast V(t) \]

\[ V_5(t) = q_{59}(t) \ast V_2(t) + q_{58}(t) \ast V_8(t) \]

\[ V_6(t) = q_{14}(t) \ast V_1(t) \]

\[ V_0(t) = q_{90}(t) \ast V_0(t) + q_{91}(t) \ast V_1(t) \]

8. Cost-benefit Analysis

The total profit of the system in steady state is given by

\[ P = C_0A_0 - C_1B_0^H - C_2V_5 \]

\[ C_0 = \text{Expected revenue in up time}(t) \]

\[ C_1 = \text{Expected total repair cost when repairman is busy under digging out} \]

\[ C_2 = \text{Per visit cost of the repairman} \]

9. Particular Cases

Numerical result for the particular cases the following case is considered:

\[ g_1(t) = \alpha_1e^{-\alpha_1t}, \quad g_2(t) = \alpha_2e^{-\alpha_2t}, \]

\[ g_3(t) = \alpha_3e^{-\alpha_3t}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_4(t) = \alpha_4e^{-\alpha_4t} \]
$p_{01} = 1, p_{12} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_2 + \alpha_1}, p_{14} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 + \alpha_1}, p_{14}^{(3)} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 + \alpha_1}$

$p_{20} = \frac{\alpha_2}{(\lambda_2 + \alpha_2)}, p_{26} = \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2 + \alpha_2)}.$

$p_{25}^{(6)} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 + \alpha_2}, p_{45} = 1, p_{59} = \frac{\alpha_3}{(\lambda_1 + \alpha_3)}, p_{58}^{(7)} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \alpha_2}, p_{81} = 1, p_{90} = \frac{\alpha_4}{(\lambda_1 + \alpha_4)}, p_{91}^{(10)} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \alpha_4}.$

10. Graphical Interpretation

**Figure 2.**

MTSF Versus $\lambda_2$

**Figure 3.**

Availability Versus $\lambda_2$

**Figure 4.**

Profit Versus $C_2$
11. Conclusion

For the particular case discussed above when the system is fails due to snow storm the reliability measures of the system such as mean time to system failure, availability, profit are computed. For the particular case discussed above the graphical interpretation are drawn in figures [2-4]. From the Figure 2 and Figure 3 it is observed the the MTSF and availability decreases as the failure rate increases respectively. Also from the Figure 4 profit is decreases as per visit repair rate of the repairman is increases and from the Figure 5 profit is increases as the revenue cost of the per unit is increases.

Acknowledgements

All authors acknowledge MD university, Rohtak for providing facilities and infrastructure. Further NS acknowledge UGC, New Delhi for their financial support to carry out research work.

References

[1] Osaki, Reliability Analysis of a Two-Unit Standby-Redundant System with Preventive Maintenance IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 21, 24-29, 1972.

[2] Gupta, P.P and Agarwal, S.C A Parallel Redundant Complex System with Two Types of Failure under Preemptive–Repeat Repair Discipline Microelectronics Reliability. 24(3), 395-409, 1984.

[3] Gupta, P.P and Sharma, M.K. Reliability and MTTF Evaluation of a Two Duplex Standby System with Two Types of Repair Microelectronics Reliability, 33(3), 291-305, 1993.

[4] Tanega, G., Tuteja, R.K., and Arora, R.T., Analysis of Two-Unit System with Partial Failures and Three Types of Repair Reliability Engineering and System Safety, 33,199-214,1991.

[5] Tanega, G., Tuteja, R.K., Cost-benefit analysis of a two-server, two-unit, warm standby system with different types of failure Microelectronics Reliability, 32, 1353-1359, 1992.

[6] Singh, D. and Tanega G. Comparative of a Power Plant comprising one steam turbine with respect to two types of inspection IJSCE, 6, 331-338, 2014.

[7] Malhotra R., Tanega G. Comparative study between a single unit system and a two –unit cold standby system with varying demand, Springer Plus, 4, 1-17, 2015.

[8] Chandrasekhar P., Natrajan R. A study on a two-unit standby system with Erlangian repair time Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research, 21(3), 271-277, 2015.

[9] Manocha A. and Tanega G. Stochastic Analysis of a two-unit standby system with arbitrary distributions for life, repair and waiting times 11(3), 293-299, 2015.

[10] Goel L.R., Sharma G.C., Gupta R. Cost analysis of a two-unit cold standby system under different weather conditions Microelectronic Relia, 25(4), 655-659, 1985.

[11] Gupta, R., and Goel, R. Profit analysis of a two-unit cold standby system with abnormal weather condition Microelectronics Reliability, 31(1), 1-5, 1991.

[12] Goel L.R., Kumar A. and Rastogi A. K. Stochastic Behaviour of Man-Machine Systems Operating Under Different Weather Conditions Microelectron Relia, 25(1), 87-91, 1985.

[13] S.N., S.D and Saini A.K., Cost-Benefit analysis of two identical warm standby system subject to under heavy rain with partially operative after repair International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 114(3), 503-514, 2017.

[14] Nailwal B. and Singh S.B. Reliability and Sensitivity analysis of an operating system with inspection in different weather conditions International Journal of Reliability and Safety Engineering, 19(2), 1250009 (36 pages), 2014.