Double resonance Raman modes in monolayer and few-layer MoTe₂
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We study the second-order Raman process of mono- and few-layer MoTe₂, by combining ab initio density functional perturbation calculations with experimental Raman spectroscopy using 532, 633, and 785 nm excitation lasers. The calculated electronic band structure and the density of states show that the resonance Raman process occurs at the M point in the Brillouin zone, where a strong optical absorption occurs due to a logarithmic Van Hove singularity of the electronic density of states. The double resonance Raman process with intervalley electron-phonon coupling connects two of the three inequivalent M points in the Brillouin zone, giving rise to second-order Raman peaks due to the M-point phonons. The calculated vibrational frequencies of the second-order Raman spectra agree with the observed laser-energy-dependent Raman shifts in the experiment.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have been intensively studied over the past decades, and have recently attracted tremendous attention for a number of applications [1–5], since TMDs have a finite energy band gap which is more advantageous than graphene. Among the TMDs, MoTe₂ has a stronger spin-orbit coupling than other Mo-related TMD materials (MoX₂, X = S, Se), which leads to a longer decoherence time both for valley and spin freedom [5], and thus MoTe₂ is considered to be used for ideal valleytron devices [6,7]. Unlike other TMDs, MoTe₂ has unique structural properties, such as a thermally induced structural phase transition at around 900 °C from the α-phase diamagnetic semiconductor to the β-phase paramagnetic metal [8,9]. MoTe₂ has the smallest direct energy band gap (∼1.1 eV) at the K point (hexagonal corner) in the Brillouin zone (BZ) among all semiconducting TMDs, and the band gap is similar to the indirect gap (∼1.1 eV) of silicon [10,11]. MoTe₂ is thus suitable for nanoelectronics devices [4,5] and for studying exciton effects at the K points [12]. Raman spectroscopy is a useful tool for characterizing TMDs since we thus get much information [13–15], such as the number of layers, and the electronic and phonon properties of TMDs. Recent Raman spectroscopy studies of monolayer and few-layer MoTe₂ using visible light (∼1.9–2.5 eV) show that there exists some unassigned Raman spectral peaks which cannot be assigned to a first-order Raman process [5,16], and the assignment of these Raman spectra is the subject of the present paper.
From our electronic band structure calculation, we have found a saddle point of the energy dispersion at the \( M \) points in the BZ, which gives rise to a two-dimensional Van Hove singularity (VHS) in the electronic density of states. The energy separation between two VHSs (2.30 and 2.07 eV) are matched to the 2.33 eV (532 nm) and 1.96 eV (633 nm) laser excitation energies in our experiment in which resonant optical absorption occurs at the \( M \) points. Consequently, an intervalley electron-phonon scattering process occurs between two of the three inequivalent \( M (M', M'') \) points, giving rise to a double resonance Raman process. We propose that this double resonance process occurring at the \( M \) points is essential for the interpretation of the observed second-order Raman peaks of MoTe\(_2\). The experimental second-order Raman frequencies as a function of laser excitation energy confirm our interpretation of the double resonance Raman spectra.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Secs. II and III, we briefly show computational details and experimental methods, respectively. In Sec. IV, both experimental and theoretical results for mono- and few-layer MoTe\(_2\) Raman spectra are given. We assign second-order Raman peaks by comparing the calculated results with the observed Raman spectra. In Sec. V, a summary of the paper is given. Further information such as layer-number dependence is discussed in the Supplemental Material [42].

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

We performed the electronic and phonon energy dispersion calculations on monolayer and few-layer MoTe\(_2\) by using first-principles density functional theory within the local density approximation (LDA) as implemented in the QUANTUM-ESPRESSO code [43]. The structures of monolayer and few-layer MoTe\(_2\) that are used in the present experiment are \( \alpha \)-MoTe\(_2\) [16]. The \( AA' \) and \( AA''A' \) stacking geometries are used in the computational study for bilayer and trilayer MoTe\(_2\), respectively, since these stacking geometries are more stable than the other possibilities [44]. \( AA' \) stacking refers to the geometry which has a Mo (Te) atom of one layer on top of a Te (Mo) atom of the other layer. The few-layer MoTe\(_2\) separation from one unit cell to another unit cell was taken as 25 Å in the calculation to eliminate the inter-few-layer interaction. We used projector augmented-wave (PAW) pseudopotentials [45] with a plane-wave cutoff energy of 65 Ry to describe the interaction between electrons and ions. The spin-orbit split electronic band structures were calculated by relativistic pseudopotentials derived from an atomic Dirac-like equation. The atomic coordinates are relaxed until the atomic force is less than \( 10^{-5} \) Ry/Bohr. The lattice parameters used in this paper are listed in Table S1 of the Supplemental Material [42] and agree well with the experiment and other calculations [32,46,47]. The Monkhorst-Pack scheme [48] is used to sample the Brillouin zone (BZ) over a \( 17 \times 17 \times 1 \) k mesh for MoTe\(_2\). The phonon energy dispersion relations of MoTe\(_2\) are calculated based on density functional perturbation theory [49]. In order to visualize the vibrational modes at the \( M \) point, we use a \( 2 \times 2 \times 1 \) supercell in which the \( M \) point is zone folded to the \( \Gamma \) point in the folded BZ.

The optical absorption spectrum is calculated by the real \( (\epsilon') \) and imaginary \( (\epsilon'') \) parts of the dielectric function as a function of photon energy, respectively, based on the PAW methodology [50] and the conventional Kramers-Kronig transformation. The absorption coefficient \( \alpha \) is described by \( \alpha = 4\pi \kappa E_\gamma / (hc) \), where \( E_\gamma \) is the incident laser excitation energy, \( h \) is the Planck constant, \( c \) is the speed of light, and \( \kappa \) is the extinction coefficient [51], that is, \( \kappa = \sqrt{(\epsilon''^2 + \epsilon'^2 - \epsilon'\epsilon'')/2} \).

III. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Bulk crystals of \( \alpha \)-MoTe\(_2\) were prepared through a chemical-vapor transport method [52]. Atomically thin crystals of MoTe\(_2\) were mechanically exfoliated from the bulk crystals onto silicon substrates with a 90-nm-thick oxide layer on top. The thicknesses of the atomic crystals were identified using optical microscopy and first-order Raman spectroscopy [16]. Raman spectroscopy measurements for monolayer MoTe\(_2\) were performed using 532, 633, and 785 nm excitation lasers for discussing the observed phonon dispersion due to double resonance Raman theory [53]. Raman spectroscopy studies of few-layer MoTe\(_2\) under 532 nm laser excitation were also performed and the results are discussed in the Supplemental Material [42]. The grating sizes were 1200, 1800, and 2400 lines/mm for the 785, 633, and 532 nm laser excitation measurements, respectively. The magnification of the objective lens was 100×. The accumulation times were 150–200 seconds. The laser power was kept below 0.1, 0.2, and 3.0 mW for the 532, 633, and 785 nm excitation lasers, respectively, in order to avoid damage to the samples. All measurements were performed at room temperature in the backscattering configuration. Since this paper gives greater emphasis to a theoretical understanding of the second-order Raman scattering processes, we do not present many experimental details. However, more details about the characterization of the number of layers in our MoTe\(_2\) samples can be obtained in one of the previous works on MoTe\(_2\) synthesis and characterization, based on the first-order Raman spectra [16].

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1(a) shows Raman spectra of mono- to trilayer MoTe\(_2\) under the 532 nm (2.33 eV) laser excitation. The Raman spectra show three strong peaks, including the in-plane \( \Gamma \)-point \( \Gamma_1 \) mode and the out-of-plane \( \Gamma_1g \) mode for monolayer and few-layer MoTe\(_2\), as well as the \( E_{1g} \) mode and the \( B_{1g} \) mode for bi- and trilayer MoTe\(_2\), which were observed previously [5,16,54]. These spectra are assigned to the first-order Raman spectra of the \( \Gamma \)-point phonons [16]. However, we observe additional peaks with relatively small intensities, which cannot be assigned as first-order Raman spectra [16]. In Fig. 1(a), we denote the weak Raman spectra as \( \omega_i \) (\( i = 1,2,\ldots,6 \)).

Since the second-order Raman spectra are dispersive as a function of excitation energy [53], we performed Raman spectroscopy measurements using different excitation laser energies. In Fig. 1(b), we show the Raman spectra of monolayer MoTe\(_2\) under 2.33 eV (532 nm), 1.96 eV (633 nm), and 1.58 eV (785 nm) laser excitation energies. Here the Raman intensities are normalized using the nonresonant Si peak intensity at 520 cm\(^{-1}\) [not shown in Fig. 1(b)]. We find that the peak positions of each \( \omega_i \) either upshift or downshift...
FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Raman spectra of mono-, bi-, and trilayer MoTe$_2$ under 2.33 eV (532 nm) laser excitation energy, showing the small intensity peaks $\omega_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$) and an additional calibration peak from Si besides the typical Raman peaks of $A_{1g}$, $E_{1g}$, and $B_{1g}$ that are frequently observed in MoTe$_2$ [5,16]. (b) Raman spectra of monolayer MoTe$_2$ under 2.33 eV (532 nm), 1.96 eV (633 nm), and 1.58 eV (785 nm) laser excitation energies.

by up to several cm$^{-1}$ for different laser excitation energies, suggesting that these peaks are associated with a second-order Raman process [53].

Considering that the second-order process is usually connected to the electronic properties by double resonance Raman theory [53], we calculate the electronic band structure, the electronic density of states (DOS), and the optical absorption spectra of monolayer MoTe$_2$, as shown in Fig. 2. Our calculated energy dispersion reproduces the main experimentally observed features [11,32,56] such as the direct electronic band

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Electronic energy band structure $E(k)$ and the density of states (DOS), (b) the real ($\epsilon'$) and imaginary ($\epsilon''$) parts of the dielectric function and the optical absorption coefficient $\alpha$ as a function of photon energy $E_{\gamma}$, and (c) an equienergy contour plot of the two conduction bands [(c1) and (c2)] with the same spin for monolayer MoTe$_2$. Energy bands are split by spin-orbit interaction; the conduction bands (c1) and (c2) and the valence bands which have the same spin [6,55] are highlighted in red solid lines in (a). When we consider the energy separation between two Van Hove singularities (VHSs) of the DOS, the peak at 1.80 eV in the absorption spectrum corresponds to the optical transition at the $M$ point [black double-headed arrow in (a)]. (c) The equienergy contour plot for VHS $E_{\text{band}} = 1.52$ and 1.57 eV (left) and $E_{\text{band}} = 1.72$ eV (right). All equienergy contours appear around the $M$ point. The $E_{\text{band}}$ energies of 1.52, 1.57, and 1.72 eV [horizontal lines in (a)] correspond, respectively, to the experimental laser energies (1.58, 2.33, and 1.96 eV) in the blue, pink, and orange colors.
gap (1.00 eV) and the spin-orbit splitting of the valence band (∼230 meV) at the K point which are close to 1.10 eV and 250 meV, respectively, obtained from the optical absorption measurements [11]. It is noted that the energy band gap is underestimated by the local density functional method. In order to fit the experimental data, the conduction bands in the calculation are upshifted by 0.10 eV, as are the DOS and optical absorption spectrum. In Fig. 2(a), we show the calculated electronic energy band and DOS. From the parabolic bands around the K point, the two-dimensional (2D) DOS gives constant values, while at the M point, we have found saddle points of the energy dispersion in the electronic band; the corresponding DOS gives a logarithmic ln |E − E₀| divergence, which is known as a Van Hove singularity (VHS) in 2D systems.

In Fig. 2(b), we show the calculated real (′) and imaginary (′′) parts of the dielectric constant and the optical absorption coefficient as a function of photon energy. Here we see that has a nonzero value above the photon energy , which corresponds to the energy gap, and a peak at . A strong optical absorption at 1.80 eV from our calculation agrees well with the large peak at around 1.83 eV from the optical absorption measurement by Ruppert et al. [11] on monolayer MoTe₂. Moreover, the electronic excitation energy of 2.30 eV (2.07 eV) from the third (top) valence band to the lowest (third-lowest) conduction band at the M point matches very well with the laser energy 2.33 eV (1.96 eV) used in our experiment, as indicated by pink (orange) arrows in the band structure of Fig. 2(a). Thus resonant optical absorption at these laser lines is expected.

In Fig. 2(c), we plot the equienergy contour for the conduction band energies which correspond to the laser excitation energies . More specifically, is 1.52 and 1.57 eV for (c1) and 1.72 eV for (c2) correspond to the , 1.58, 2.33, and 1.96 eV, respectively, as indicated by blue, pink, and orange arrows in Fig. 2(a). The conduction bands (c1) and (c2) and the valence bands which have the same spin [6,55] are highlighted in red solid lines in the band structure. The equienergy contour lines and starting from the M point monotonically decreases (increases) along the M-K direction, whereas this band energy increases (decreases) along the M-Γ direction. When we decrease the laser excitation energies from 2.33 to 1.58 eV, the contour lines in Fig. 2(c), left plot, change their shapes around the M point, suggesting that the area inside the contour line for each M point decreases with increasing laser excitation energy. This situation is opposite to the case of graphene for which the equienergy contour is almost circular and the area increases with increasing energy.

If the laser excitation energy matches the optical transition energy at the M point, we expect that many photoexcited electrons are scattered inelastically by emitting phonons and make intervalley (i.e., , , and ) transitions, as shown by the solid double-end arrows in the first BZ. The related phonon vectors measured from the Γ point are also given by the six dashed arrows. (b) The schematics of the double resonance process (electron-photon and electron-phonon processes). This process generates two phonons, ( ) and ( ).
for \( E_Y = 1.58 \text{ eV} \) appears in the vicinity of the \( M \) point along the \( M'M'' \) (or \( MM'' \)) direction approximately with lengths of \( 10\%MM'' \) (or \( 6.5\%MM'' \)) away from the \( M'' \) point.

In Fig. 4(b), we show the calculated phonon dispersion relations of monolayer MoTe\(_2\). The symmetry for each optical phonon band [54], such as \( E_{2g}^1 \) and \( E_{1g} \), is labeled in Fig. 4(b).

Here LA, TA, andZA refer to the longitudinal, transverse, and out-of-plane acoustic modes, respectively. We assign the second-order Raman modes at the \( M \) point for the laser energy of 2.33 eV. The strategy for the assignment is to choose any two phonon modes at the \( M \) point as one overtone or (difference) combination mode and to list in Table I those that have frequency values within the experimental \( \omega_i \pm 6 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). These assignments are marked in Fig. 4(b) using color arrows. The red, green, blue, purple, magenta, and cyan arrows represent overtone or combination Raman modes of \( \omega_i \) (\( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6 \)), respectively.

For example, \( \omega_1 \) is assigned to either the \( \omega_1^i = \{E_{2g}^1(M) - LA(M)\} \) or \( \omega_2^i = \{2TA(M)\} \). \( \omega_2 \) to \( \omega_2^3 = \{E_{2g}^3(M) - TA(M)\} \), \( \omega_3 \) to either \( \omega_3^3 = \{2LA(M)\} \) or \( \omega_3^1 = \{E_{1g}(M) + TA(M)\} \), \( \omega_4 \) to either the \( \omega_4^2 = \{2A_{1g}(M)\} \) or \( \omega_4^2 = \{E_{1g}^2(M) + TA(M)\} \) and \( \omega_6 \) to the \( \omega_6^1 = \{E_{2g}^1(M) + LA(M)\} \) mode. Using the same phonon assignment, we obtain the second-order Raman frequencies for \( E_Y = 1.96 \text{ eV} \) both at the \( M \) point and near the \( M \) point with a length of \( \frac{1}{2}MK \), and for \( 1.58 \text{ eV} \) at one point away from the \( M \) point with \( 10\%MM'' \).

In Table I, we list phonon frequencies of the first-order (upper) and the second-order (lower) Raman spectra for both the experiment and the calculation and also the phonon assignments. The first-order experimental Raman spectra (\( A_{1g} \) and \( E_{2g}^1 \)) do not change the frequency for the laser energies (2.33 and 1.96 eV) within the experimental error (1 cm\(^{-1}\)) and agree with the calculations. The small frequency downshift of the first-order spectra (1.7 cm\(^{-1}\) at most) from 2.33 to 1.58 eV laser excitation is checked to be not from the laser-induced thermal effect, as discussed in the Supplemental Material [42].

Although we did not specify the origin of the downshift precisely, the origin of the change for the first order can be neglected for simplicity. The experimental second-order peak positions of \( \omega_i \) are either upshifted or downshifted with the laser energy \( E_Y \) by a small magnitude of about 1–3 cm\(^{-1}\). It is pointed out that the frequency of \( \omega_1 \) is upshifted from 2.33 to 1.58 eV even though both of the first-order spectra are downshifted. This can be understood by double resonance Raman theory in which the resonance phonon frequency changes along the phonon dispersion near the \( M \) point. In the right of Table I, the second-order phonon frequencies for 2.33 and 1.58 eV laser excitations are obtained at \( q_{MM} = \Gamma M \) and \( \sim 10\%MM'' \) (denoted by “off M”), respectively. For \( E_Y = 1.96 \text{ eV} \), the phonon assignments are obtained either at \( q_{MM'} = \Gamma M' \) (at \( M' \)) and \( \sim 10\%MM'' \) (denoted by “off M’’), respectively. For \( E_Y = 1.96 \text{ eV} \), a relatively large (small) intensity of the Raman spectra \( \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_6 \) \( (\omega_1, \omega_4, \text{and } \omega_5) \) in Fig. 1(b) may arise from the large (small) PhDOS at the \( M \) point (off \( M \) point). It is therefore reasonable to assign the \( \omega_2, \omega_3 \), and \( \omega_6 \) (\( \omega_1, \omega_4, \text{and } \omega_5) \) at the \( M \) point (off the \( M \) point), as underlined in Table I. Second, it is noted that the experimental spectra of \( \omega_4 \) (at the three laser energies) are too weak to determine the frequency values. The \( \omega_4 \) is assigned as a combination mode related to the \( A_{1g}(M) \) as listed in Table I. Then it is interesting to discuss why the \( \omega_4 \) becomes weaker and broader when
TABLE I. Phonon frequencies of the first- and second-order Raman spectra of monolayer MoTe$_2$ are listed for three different laser energies $E_y$. A comparison for first- and second-order Raman spectra is given between the monotonically unassigned modes $\omega_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$) and the calculated phonon frequencies both at the $M$ point and near the $M$ point (denoted by “off $M$”) whose $\vec{q}$ lies around $\vec{q}$ and $10\% M M$ for $E_y = 1.96$ eV and 1.58 eV, respectively. The consistent dispersion behavior for the second-order Raman spectra between the experiment and the theory is underlined. The frequency is given in units of cm$^{-1}$. NA: not available.

| $E_y$          | 2.33 eV | 1.96 eV | 1.58 eV |                          | 2.33 eV | 1.96 eV | 1.58 eV |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|               | Experiment | Calculation | Phonon assignments | |       |       |       |
| $E_{3g}$      | NA       | NA       | NA       | 116.9                    | first-order |
| $A_{1g}$      | 171.1    | 171.3    | 170.0    | 172.2                    | first-order |
| $E_{2g}^1$    | 235.8    | 236.2    | 234.1    | 236.9                    | first-order |
| $\omega_1$    | 138.2    | 140.7    | 139.0    | 137.4                    | 137.4/146.6 | 140.1 | $2TA(M)$ |
| $\omega_2$    | 183.7    | 183.6    | 181.5    | 178.3                    | 178.3/177.2 | 177.8 | $E_{2g}^1(M) - LA(M)$ |
| $\omega_3$    | 205.5    | 205.3    | 205.9    | 197.9                    | 197.9/208.2 | 200.5 | $E_{1g}(M) + TA(M)$ |
| $\omega_4$    | $\sim$264.0 | 260–270 | 260–270 | 263.0                    | 263.0/256.6 | 261.0 | $A_{1g}(M) + LA(M)$ |
| $\omega_5$    | 329.0    | 333.2    | $\sim$328.0 | 323.3                    | 323.3/317.2 | 321.6 | $2A_{1g}(M)$ |
| $\omega_6$    | 347.4    | 348.4    | 346.5    | 348.3                    | 348.3/348.5 | 348.0 | $E_{1g}(M) + LA(M)$ |

In Fig. 5, we show the normal modes of the phonons at the $M$ point in which the phonon vibration amplitude is visualized in the $2 \times 2$ supercell. As seen from Fig. 4(b), the two groups of optical phonon modes ($E_{2g}$ and ($E_{1g}$ or $A_{1g}$)) are well separated by about 50 cm$^{-1}$. The visualization of these $M$-point phonon modes shows that the longitudinal and transverse optical (LO and TO) $E_{2g}(M)$ modes ($\sim$230–300 cm$^{-1}$) and the LA($M$) and TA($M$) modes ($\sim$60–100 cm$^{-1}$) are bond-stretching modes of the Mo-Te bond. The LO $A_{1g}(M)$ and TO $E_{1g}(M)$ modes ($\sim$120–180 cm$^{-1}$) arise from the relative motion of two Te atoms occupying the same sublattice. The six vibrational modes in Fig. 5 are Raman active, since the volume or the area of the supercell under vibration gives a nonzero deformation potential. Thus all the modes can contribute to the second-order overtone, combination, and difference modes. Furthermore, the vibrational magnitude of the TA modes is no less than that of the LA modes, suggesting that the TA modes may induce as large a deformation potential as the LA modes in the electron-phonon interaction. Usually, the TA modes around the $\Gamma$ point do not contribute to the electron-phonon interaction. Nevertheless, this seems not to be the case at the $M$ point.

So far we have only considered the electron-involved double resonance process for MoTe$_2$ and have not discussed the first-order $A_{1g}(\Gamma)$ mode becomes stronger by changing the laser excitation energy from 2.33 eV to 1.96 eV/1.58 eV. We expect that the photoexcited electron may choose either an intravalley scattering process $[A_{1g}(\Gamma)]$ or an intervalley scattering process $[A_{1g}(M)]$, so that $A_{1g}(M)$ competes with $A_{1g}(\Gamma)$, resulting in the alternative Raman intensity of $A_{1g}(\Gamma)$ and $\omega_4$ in the experiment. The $\omega_4$ [$A_{1g}(M)$] has no VHS of PDOS compared with all the other $\omega$’s at the $M$ point, as seen from Fig. 4(b), which is relevant to the weak intensity of $\omega_4$, too.

FIG. 5. (Color online) Visualization of the possible Raman-active modes at the $M$ point for the second-order process suggested in Fig. 4. Both the top and side views are given; red arrows represent the vibrational directions and amplitudes of the motion of individual atoms.
TABLE II. Laser energies that are used in the Raman spectra of TMDs. $E_g(K)$ and $E_g(M)$ are, respectively, the optical transition energies at the $K$ and $M$ point, which are obtained by LDA calculations. The details are discussed in the text.

| TMDs      | Laser (eV) | $E_g(K)$ | $E_g(M)$ |
|-----------|------------|----------|----------|
| MoS$_2$   | 1.83–2.41  | 1.7      | > 3.0    |
| WS$_2$    | 1.83–2.41  | 1.9      | > 3.0    |
| WSe$_2$   | 2.41       | 1.7      | > 3.0    |
| MoTe$_2$  | 1.96, 2.33 | 1.1      | 1.8      |

Finally, we briefly comment on the exciton effect on the double resonance Raman spectra of MoTe$_2$. Since the excitonic states appear at the direct energy gap at the $K$ point of the BZ [58,59], we expect that the excitonic energy is around 1.1 eV for MoTe$_2$, which is much smaller than the three laser energies we used (1.58–2.33 eV). Since we consider only MoTe$_2$, we can safely neglect the exciton effect at the $K$ point. However, in the case of other TMDs (MoS$_2$ [26], WS$_2$ [27,28,41,60], and WSe$_2$ [36,37]), energy gaps at the $K$ point [$E_g(K)$] lie in the range of 1.7–1.9 eV, as shown in Table II. The laser energies used in the experiments lie in a range from 1.83 to 2.41 eV. Thus the exciton effect needs to be considered for some laser energies. Nevertheless, when we discuss the $M$-point phonon of the other TMDs, we expect that the second-order Raman spectra do not appear, since the optical transition energies at the $M$ point are larger than 3.0 eV. It would be interesting if an ultraviolet laser could be used for detecting the $M$-point phonon modes for other TMDs, which will be a future work. In the case of MoTe$_2$, on the other hand, since $E_g(M) \sim 1.8$ eV, we expect the double resonance Raman process for conventional laser energies. Although we did not consider the exciton effect at the $M$ point explicitly as Mak et al. [61] did in graphene, we believe that the present discussion of the double resonance Raman effect should work well because only optical transition matrix elements that are common in the resonant Raman process are enhanced by the exciton effect, and the relative Raman intensity thus obtained does not change much for the $M$ point [62,63].

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have investigated the second-order Raman spectra of MoTe$_2$ systems, based on an ab initio density functional calculation and compared these results with the available experimental Raman spectroscopy results. The calculated electronic bands, the Van Hove singularities in the density of states, and the absorption spectra indicate a strong optical absorption at the $M$ point in the BZ of MoTe$_2$. Using double resonance Raman theory, a combination/difference or overtone mode of phonons at the $M$ point is assigned to identify the experimental Raman modes of $\omega_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$). This study facilitates a better understanding of the electron-phonon interaction and the second-order Raman processes in TMD systems.
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