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1. Introduction

Transition metal dichalcogenides (TMdCs, MX2 (M = Mo, W; X = S, Se)) have been highlighted as promising two-dimensional (2D) van der Waals materials due to their unique physical and chemical properties such as tightly-bound excitons, strong spin–orbit coupling, and gigantic magnetoresistance [1, 2]. In particular, their energy band gaps in visible range together with high carrier mobility enable various electronic and optoelectronic applications such as high speed switching device and solar cell [3–5]. Among TMdCs, molybdenum disulphide (MoS2) exhibits the highest carrier mobility with the lowest effective mass [6–8], and accordingly many researches have been carried out on its fundamental properties and device applications [9, 10]. However, most studies remain at the proof-of-concept level, owing to the absence of a reliable growth technique to produce large-area and high-quality MoS2 film.

Among several methods [11–14], chemical vapour deposition (CVD) is known to be the most promising method for the growth of large-area MoS2 films due to its high throughput, scalability, and low cost [15–20]. MoS2 growth in CVD proceeds in the following steps: (1) supply of precursors into CVD furnace, (2) adsorption and diffusion of precursors on the growth substrate, and (3) nucleation and growth of MoS2 [15–20]. To achieve high-quality and large-area MoS2, all growth procedures associated with kinetics and thermodynamics as well as precursor and substrate should be optimized. As an important variable for the growth of MoS2 films, diverse growth substrates have been investigated [21–24]. Insulating substrates...
such as silicon dioxide, hexagonal boron nitride, mica, glass, and sapphire are typically utilized rather than catalytic metal substrates because most metals except gold can react with sulphur to form metal-sulphur compound or with molybdenum to make metal-alloy [25–27]. Although gold is an ideal substrate for the growth of MoS$_2$ or other TMdCs, the high price of gold hinders its usage for commercial applications [28, 29]. Therefore, insulating substrates are inevitably used for the growth of MoS$_2$. Some studies have demonstrated direct growth of MoS$_2$ on insulating substrate. However, it needs high flux of precursor by evaporating solid phase precursors of molybdenum trioxides (MoO$_3$) and sulphur (S) powders via local heating which degrades reproducibility for MoS$_2$ growth due to the uncontrollable vapour pressure of precursors. Recently, reliable precursors of volatile molybdenum carbonyl and liquid diethyl sulphide have been developed. The problem is that low adsorption of precursor on the substrate extends growth time up to ~24 h to reach complete MoS$_2$ film [30]. To increase the adsorption of precursors on growth substrate, a seeding promoter such as perylene 3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic acid tetrapotassium salt (PTAS) or graphene oxide has been introduced. After deposition of the seeding promoter on the insulating substrate, reproducibility of the growth of MoS$_2$ film and its quality are much improved [31]. Moreover, the growth time dramatically decreases within few minutes, similar to that of other 2D family such as graphene and hexagonal boron nitride [32, 33]. The quality of MoS$_2$ is also influenced by the kind of seeding molecules [34]. For example, among various seeding promoters including PTAS, copper phthalocyanine and crystal violet (CV), MoS$_2$ grown on PTAS exhibits the highest electrical mobility and good optical property. However, while it is known that it facilitates the growth of MoS$_2$, detailed roles of the seeding promoters have not been revealed yet.

Here, adopting the triangular-shape crystal violet (CV) as the seed molecule and carrying out a combined experimental and theoretical analysis, we systematically study the role of seeding promoter for the growth of MoS$_2$. The CV molecule consists of nonpolar (hydrophobic) and polar (hydrophilic) parts, enabling the control of CV configuration on the substrate by using solvents with different polarity. To observe the growth behaviour of MoS$_2$, the following three configurations were prepared in different solvents: (1) polar parts concentrated to the centre of CV micelle, (2) nonpolar parts concentrated to the centre of CV micelle, and (3) randomly distributed CV molecules. After spin-coating of each CV solution onto SiO$_2$, we obtained markedly different growth modes of MoS$_2$. Monolayer MoS$_2$ domains were predominantly grown from the configuration (3) of randomly distributed CV molecules, whereas multilayer MoS$_2$ domains were synthesized from the configuration (1) of concentrated CV polar parts. On the other hand, growth of MoS$_2$ was not observed from the configuration (2) of concentrated CV nonpolar parts. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations are performed to clarify the detailed roles of CV for the growth of MoS$_2$. It is found that the polar part of CV provides a preferred site for S adsorption, initiating the nucleation for MoS$_2$ growth. Moreover, it is found that the binding of CV to the SiO$_2$ surface is mediated by the CV polar part and furthermore strongly enhanced in the lying-down CV configuration. We deduce that the lying-down and standing-up configurations of CV with their polar parts contacting the SiO$_2$ surface should result in the monolayer and multilayer MoS$_2$ growth, respectively. We thus demonstrate that the geometrical configuration of polar part in seeding promoters with respect to the substrate surface is a determining factor for the TMdCs growth behaviour.

2. Results and discussion

Triangular CV consists of hydrophobic nonpolar and hydrophilic polar parts (figure 1(a)). The polar part was induced by placing chloride ion (Cl$^-$) next to N$^+$ site in CV. We engineered the configuration of CV by varying the polarity of solvent with different ratios of water and isopropanol (IPA) [35]. Nonpolar and polar parts should be concentrated to the centre of CV micelle in water and IPA, respectively (denoted as water-CV and IPA-CV, respectively). On the other hand, individual CV molecules should be dissolved or polar and nonpolar parts should be randomly distributed in the bisolvent mixture of water and IPA (a volume ratio of 1:4 was adopted; denoted as bisolvent-CV) (figure 1(b)) [35]. The appearance of CV in different solvents was similar by showing violet colour (figure 1(c)). This indicates that CV in micelle or molecular forms are well dissolved regardless of the type of solvent.

After spin coating different CV solutions onto the SiO$_2$/Si substrate, we observed different surface morphologies depending on the ratio of water and IPA (figures S1(a)–(c), supplementary information (stacks.iop.org/TDM/7/015013/mmedia)). First, some CV stains with few particles were observed from water-CV solution, and this poor deposition indicates that CV micelles exposing hydrophobic nonpolar parts are weakly adsorbed on SiO$_2$. On the other hand, lots of hemisphere-shaped dots were observed from IPA-CV solution, indicating that CV micelles were well anchored on SiO$_2$ in monolayer configurations. Finally, the surface obtained from bisolvent-CV was much smoother than others, indicating randomly distributed and strongly adsorbed CV molecules. We will provide below more detailed analysis of CV adsorption conformations and their strengths based on theoretical calculation results.

To grow MoS$_2$ on different surface morphologies, conventional two-zone CVD method was exploited. Thermally evaporated MoO$_3$ film and S powder were
used as precursors, separately placing at upstream and adjacent front of the growth substrate (figure S2, supplementary information). Temperatures of two zones at S powder and MoO3 film/growth substrate regions were increased to 180 °C and 680 °C, respectively (see Method for details), while supplying Ar gas at a flow rate of 350 sccm. Subsequently, MoS2 growth was carried out at 680 °C. When we perform only thermal annealing at this temperature, noticeable water-CV on SiO2 surface was not observed. On the other hand, bisolvent-CV and IPA-CV left smooth stains and a few particles on SiO2 surface, respectively (figures S1(d)–(f), supplementary information). This indicates that lots of CV are evaporated over the melting point of CV (205 °C), and as discussed above the anchoring of CV micelles is particularly weak in the water-CV case. Regarding the actual MoS2 growth, from the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) measurement, we confirmed that MoS2 does not growth on the surface of water-CV (figure 1(d)). On the other hand, as shown in figures 1(e) and (f), Monolayer MoS2 domains predominantly grew on bisolvent-CV surface and multilayered MoS2 domains were found on IPA-CV surface, respectively (see also figures S1(g)–(i), supplementary information). The size of monolayer and multilayer MoS2 domains ranged from 5 to 20 µm with an average of 8 and 12 µm, respectively.

To analyse difference in the physical and optical properties of monolayer and multilayer MoS2 domains, several measurements were employed. After transfer of both MoS2 samples onto SiO2/Si substrate using PMMA method, clean surface morphologies were observed as shown in SEM and atomic force microscopy (AFM) images (figures 2(a), (e) and (b), (f), respectively). It is worth noting that the white dots located in the centre of all multilayer MoS2 domains as shown in figure 1(f) disappeared, indicating that residual coagulated CV molecules were dissolved during the wet-transfer process. Height profiles along white-dashed lines in AFM images of figures 2(b) and (f) demonstrated that the thickness of each MoS2 single layer is around ~0.7 nm, which is in a good agreement with a previous report [36]. In addition, measuring the Raman spectra of monolayer and multilayer MoS2, $E_{2g}$ and $A_{1g}$ phonon modes near 380 and 400 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, were clearly observed (figures 2(d) and (h)). The distance between $E_{2g}$ and $A_{1g}$ peaks is sensitive to the number of layers due to the van der Waals interlayer coupling, Coulombic interactions, and stacking-induced changes of intralayer bonding [37]. The $E_{2g}$ and $A_{1g}$ peak distances of 19.8 and 25.8 cm$^{-1}$ for the monolayer and multilayer MoS2 domains, respectively, are in a good agreement with those from previous reports [16, 19], confirming monolayer and thick MoS2 layers. The full width half maximum (FWHM) values of $E_{2g}$ peak, which is one of the indicators for the quality of MoS2, were obtained to be 3.3 and 4.0 cm$^{-1}$ for monolayer and multilayer MoS2, respectively. These values are similar to previously reported FWHM values of CVD-grown MoS2 (3.3 cm$^{-1}$ ~ 7.0 cm$^{-1}$), implying that the quality of MoS2 is comparable with typical CVD-grown MoS2 [38].

Chemical state and work function of MoS2 domain were evaluated by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS). Characteristic peaks of Mo 3d$_{3/2}$ (at 232.3 eV),
Mo 3d\textsubscript{5/2} (at 229.2 eV), S 2\textit{s} (at 226.3 eV), S 2p\textsubscript{1/2} (at 163.2 eV), and S 2p\textsubscript{3/2} (at 162.0 eV) in the XPS core level spectra of Mo 3d and S 2p for monolayer and multilayer MoS\textsubscript{2} domains were clearly observed (figures 3(a) and (b)) \cite{16}. Any peaks related to molybdenum oxide or metal sulphate were not detected. Moreover, XPS spectra for monolayer and multilayer MoS\textsubscript{2} domains were almost identical. These results further support that well-defined MoS\textsubscript{2} domains are grown with similar chemical states. On the other hand, work functions (Φ) of monolayer and multilayer MoS\textsubscript{2} domains (denoted as Φ\textsubscript{Monolayer} and Φ\textsubscript{Multilayer}, respectively) were measured by ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS). The work function Φ was determined from...
Φ = hν − (E_{cutoff} − E_F), where hν, E_F, and E_{cutoff} are the excitation photon energy of 21.2 eV, Fermi level edge of 0 eV in this work, and inelastic high-binding-energy cutoff, respectively [39]. The E_{cutoff} was obtained from the linear extrapolation of inelastic high-binding-energy cutoff of samples (figure 3(c)). As schematically summarized in figure 3(d), the obtained Φ value of monolayer MoS_2 domains is 4.45 eV, and is smaller than that of multilayer MoS_2 domains (4.98 eV). A similar trend of increasing Φ value with increasing MoS_2 thickness was previously observed in Kelvin probe force microscopy measurement, and was attributed to the reduction of interlayer screening effect with increasing layer thickness [40].

To further elucidate the role of seeding promoter CV in MoS_2 growth, we performed DFT calculations. Considering first the equilibrium configuration of triangular-shaped CV molecule, we found that the Cl^− ion is electrostatically stabilized next to one of the three N^+ sites within the CV base (figure S3 and table S1, supplementary information) [41, 42]. This placement of Cl^− breaks the D_3 symmetry of CV base and constitutes the polar part of CV. The spatial distribution of CV polarity identified according to Mulliken population charges are displayed in figure 4(a) [43]. Next, we consider the initial step of MoS_2 nucleation by exploring the adsorption of S or Mo atoms onto CV (figure S4, supplementary information). Considering the binding of a Mo atom to CV, we observed that the Mo atom is too strongly bound to CV and significantly distorts or destroys CV. Consequently, the initial Mo binding to CV was excluded from the possible scenario for the experimentally observed high-quality MoS_2 growth (figure S5 and table S2, supplementary information).

Considering the binding of a S atom to CV, we then find that the S atom is preferably bound to the polar part of CV, and particularly the most favourable S-CV binding is achieved when Cl^− and S are located at the opposite sides of the CV base plane (figure 4(b); see also figure S6 and table S2, supplementary information).

With the understanding of the S-CV as the initial step of MoS_2 growth, we now take into account the effect of the SiO_2 surface. In experiments, by varying the polarity of the various solvent medium, different configurations of CV on SiO_2 were induced. In view of this agenda, four representative CV-SiO_2 configuration models were considered (figures 4(c)–(e)): standing-up or vertical CV configurations with the polar side (where Cl^− was located) facing upward (VU) or downward (VD), and lying-down or horizontal CV configurations with Cl^− facing downward (HD). Note that the VU and VD conformations results from the unzipping of CV micelles and their adsorption on SiO_2 as interfacial monolayers. In forming a monolayer from water-CV (figure 1(b) middle panel and supplementary information figure S1(a)), the polar part of CV is exposed to water while the non-polar part binds...
to the SiO₂ surface (VU configuration; figure 4(c)). In this case, the calculated CV-SiO₂ adsorption energy was −0.97 eV (table S3, supplementary information), too weak to provide a stable framework for MoS₂ growth at the high experimental growth temperature. The CV molecules desorbed from the SiO₂ surface will then evaporate due to their low melting point of 205 °C, which explains well the experimental observation of no noticeable MoS₂ growth.

On the other hand, in forming an interfacial monolayer from IPA-CV (figure 1(b) right panel and supplementary information figure S1(c)), the non-polar part of CV is exposed to IPA medium and the polar part contacts the SiO₂ surface (VD configuration; figure 4(d)). The calculated CV-SiO₂ adsorption energy was increased to −1.71 eV (table S3, supplementary information), which should enable the MoS₂ growth at high temperature. The concentrated CV polar parts within monolayers then should provide coagulated S binding sites or induce multiple nucleation of MoS₂, explaining the experimental observations of multilayer MoS₂ growth. Here, rough morphology of realistic amorphous SiO₂ surface will likely result in a disordered self-assembly of standing-up CV molecules [44, 45], further contributing to non-uniform initial growth that leads to multilayer formation of MoS₂.

Moving to the bisolvent medium case, CV molecules are originally present in isolation within the solvent and will thus individually adsorb on the SiO₂ surface in lying-down configurations (figure 1(b) middle panel and supplementary information figure S1(b)). We then find that this will significantly strengthens the CV-SiO₂ binding (table S3, supplementary information), obtaining the adsorption energies of −3.90 eV and −3.20 eV for the HD and HU configurations, respectively. These strong CV-SiO₂ interactions will prevent the desorption or re-aggregation of CVs at high temperature. Regarding the preference of the HD configuration over its HU counterpart, the tendency results from the strengthening of CV binding to the SiO₂ surface through the Cl⁻ ion sandwiched between the CV base and SiO₂ (figure 4(e)). The CV HD configuration should then naturally promote the nucleation for MoS₂ growth by exposing the most favourable S binding sites toward depositing S atoms (figure 4(b)) and at the same time maintaining a strong CV-SiO₂ adsorption (figure 4(e)). Explicitly scanning for the favourable S adsorption site, we indeed confirmed that the S adsorption is stabilized by forming a Cl⁻-CV base-S complex with the angle between the SiO₂ surface and the ring plane of CV of ~20° as shown in figure 4(g) (figure S7 and table S4, supplementary information). We thus conclude that the polar part in CV serves several purposes by providing the nucleation site for the growth of MoS₂ and concurrently increasing the strength of CV binding onto SiO₂ surface. Overall, our results indicate that the coexistence of polar and nonpolar parts in aromatic seed molecules is beneficial for the growth of high-quality monolayer MoS₂ at high temperature, which may explain why PTAS consisted of two polar parts at each edge of the perylene and nonpolar part of perylene is a good seed promoter [31].

3. Conclusion

In summary, we systematically studied the role of the seeding promoter in the CVD growth of MoS₂ by employing the CV molecule. To understand the roles of polar and nonpolar parts of CV in the MoS₂ growth, the CV configuration on the SiO₂ surface was engineered by using solvents with different polarity. Multilayer MoS₂ domains were grown from coagulated standing-up CV configurations, whereas monolayer MoS₂ domains were predominantly synthesized from randomly distributed lying-down CV configurations. First-principles calculations revealed that the nucleation of MoS₂ begins from the S adsorption on the polar part of CV by forming the S-CV base-Cl⁻ complex, explaining the growth of multilayer MoS₂ domains from coagulated standing-up CV configurations with concentrated polar parts contacting the rough SiO₂ surface. On the other hand, it was found that the lying-down CV configuration not only provides an ideal configuration for the S adsorption but also achieves stronger CV-SiO₂ interactions. Thus, randomly distributed lying-down CV configurations, particularly with Cl⁻ placed between the CV base and SiO₂ surface should provide preferable conditions for the growth of high-quality monolayer MoS₂. Given the microscopic understanding on the effects of CV configurations on the SiO₂ surface and the multiple roles of polar CV parts for the MoS₂ growth, our combined experimental and theoretical considerations not only provide a general framework to understand the growth of monolayer MoS₂ from diverse aromatic seeding promoters [31, 46], but also open up new avenues for designing seeding promoters.

4. Experimental section

4.1. Synthesis of MoS₂ using crystal violet seeding promoter

As a seeding promoter, 125 mg of crystal violet (≥90.0%, anhydrous basis, Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 50 ml of DI water, bisolvent, and IPA, respectively. A silicon substrate with a 300 nm SiO₂ layer was cleaned by sonication in acetone for 20 min. After sonication, the SiO₂/Si substrate was cleaned by acetone, ethanol, and isopropyl alcohol (IPA). Prior to the coating of seeding promoter solution, the cleaned SiO₂/Si substrate was positioned under a UV ramp for 10 min to make hydrophilic surface. Seeding promoter solutions were coated on the SiO₂/Si substrate at 3000 rpm for 30 seconds. To evaporate solvent residues, CV-coated substrate was dried on a hot plate at 80 °C for 60 s.

For the synthesis of MoS₂, a 1-inch quartz tube was equipped with two furnaces (figure S2, supplemen-
tary information). As a sulfur precursor, an alumina boat containing 200 mg of sulfur powder was placed in the upstream furnace (furnace zone 1) while 50 nm-thick MoO3 thin film deposited on 5 × 5 mm² piece of alumina by using thermal evaporator (Thermal evaporation, Woosung Hivac) and a CV-coated SiO2/Si substrate were positioned on the downstream furnace (furnace zone 2). The quartz tube was purged by 1000 sccm of high purity argon for 5 min. After purging, temperatures of furnace zone 1 and zone 2 were ramped to 180 °C (−15 °C min⁻¹) and 680 °C (−32.5 °C min⁻¹), respectively, under Ar atmosphere at a flow rate of 350 sccm. These temperatures were maintained for 30 min and then naturally cooled down to the room temperature. The entire growth process was carried out under argon atmosphere at a flow rate of 350 sccm.

4.2. Characterization methods
Surface morphologies of CV-coated SiO2/Si substrates and as-grown MoS2 were analyzed by optical microscopy (Eclipse LV150, Nikon), scanning electron microscopy (Nova NanoSEM 450, FEI company), and atomic force microscopy (Dimension 3, Veeco). The thickness and the crystal quality of as-grown MoS2 flakes were characterized by Raman spectroscopy with a size of beam (inVia confocal Raman microscope, Renishaw) and Photoluminescence images.

4.3. Theoretical calculations
To study the adsorption of a S or Mo atom on CV, we adopted an isolated CV molecule composed of two parts, methylrosanilide (CV base) and chloride ion (Cl⁻). To study the adsorption of a S atom onto the CV placed on the silica substrate, a SiO2 model was based on the crystalline α-quartz structure was prepared [44,47]. Using a slab model made of two (0001)-direction α-quartz SiO2 unit layers and passivating the surface dangling bonds with hydrogen atoms, we constructed a 5 × 5 hexagonal supercell (lattice constant 2.52 nm) and relaxed the topmost SiO2 layer (figure S7, supplementary information). A 20 Å of supercell vacuum was introduced along the SiO2 surface-normal direction to avoid artificial interactions with periodic images.

Spin-polarized DFT calculations were carried out using the SIESTA code [48]. We adopted Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof parameterization of generalized gradient approximation augmented by the van der Waals correction proposed by Grimm (DFT-D2) [49, 50]. The core electrons were replaced by Troullier-Martins-type norm-conserving pseudopotentials [51], and wavefunctions were described by double-Cp plus polarization level of numerical atomic basis sets with confinement energy of 80 meV. Real-space integration was performed with a mesh cut-off energy of 300 Ry, and only the Γ-point of the Brillouin zone was sampled. All ground-state geometries were obtained by minimizing ionic forces until they all converge below 0.02 eV Å⁻¹. Adsorption energies (∆E_{ads}) were calculated with the following equation:

\[
\Delta E_{ads} = \Delta E_{total} - \Delta E_{substrate} - \Delta E_{adsorbate}
\]

where \(\Delta E_{total}\), \(\Delta E_{substrate}\), and \(\Delta E_{adsorbate}\) are the total energies of the substrate-adsorbate complex, substrate and adsorbate, respectively. Corresponding electronic structure changes were analyzed by the charge density difference and Mulliken population [43,52].
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