Small-mammal characteristics affect tick communities in southwestern Tennessee (USA)
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**ABSTRACT**

Life histories can influence the degree of parasite infestations on a host. Pressures exerted on hosts based on age and sex convey varying degrees of parasite prevalence due to differences in host lifestyles, but it is not known how interactions between different host traits affect tick numbers. The objective of this study was to determine if host characteristics (e.g., age, sex, weight, and their interactions) affect the mean number of ticks found on small mammals regardless of host species or habitat. Sherman live traps were placed in forest and grass/forb habitats representative of the southeastern United States. After capture, host characteristics were recorded, and hosts were then searched for ticks. A total of 281 small mammals (148 Peromyscus leucopus, 34 P. maniculatus, 76 Sigmomod hispidus, 16 Microtus pinetorum, and 7 Ochrotomys nutalli) and 610 ticks (488 Dermacentor variabilis, 114 Ixodes scapularis, 1 Amblyomma americanum, and 7 A. maculatum) were collected in this study. Host's age, sex, and weight affected the number of ticks collected from small mammals and significant interaction effects between host traits occurred (weight by sex, weight by age, and sex by age). For instance, female subadult rodents had significantly more ticks compared to female adults, male subadults had significantly fewer ticks compared to male adults, and the number of ticks on a host increased as host body mass increased. These results support the hypothesis that the number of ticks vary on rodent hosts based on life histories and trait interactions. Therefore, understanding the behavioral mechanisms of a host can aid in the management of parasites in the environment.

1. Introduction

Ticks are obligate parasites that cause direct damage to their vertebrate hosts by blood feeding, or indirectly when they transmit infectious agents that affect animal health (Guila-Nuss et al., 2016). Understanding host susceptibility to tick infestation is important to elucidate the links that underlie the ecology of tickborne disease (TBD) in human and animal populations. Variation in parasite burden has been reported for different host classes (Klein, 2004). Parasitic infection may result from influential factors such as host physiology (Moore and Wilson, 2002) or behavioral characteristics that increase or decrease host exposure (Nunn and Dokey, 2006).

Population dynamics of parasites are often formed by host dissimilarities (Morand et al., 1996). In many instances, males have been infested with more parasites than have females, such as male damselflies acquiring more parasites than did females (Córdoba-Aguilar and Munguía-Steyer, 2013) and male mountain ungulates having higher prevalence, richness, and intensity of parasites compared to females (Martínez-Guijosa, 2015). Other studies have found differences in male-biased parasitism where female mice were more parasitized than were male mice (Sciutto et al., 1991) and Daphnia magna females were more heavily parasitized compared to males (Duneau et al., 2012). In addition, host age has been shown to be significant in parasite burden (Hämäläinen et al., 2014; Izhar and Ben-Ami, 2015; Lesnja et al., 2017). Similar to host sex, studies have varied in the numbers of parasitic infestations between differing age groups; some have found parasites favoring older hosts, and others found preferences for younger hosts (Cichoński et al., 2003; Tinsley et al., 2012).

In addition, parasites can impose sex-specific consequences on fitness based on differences in selection between each sex; however, the entire impact of parasitism on fitness between the sexes is obscure (Vincent and Sharp, 2014). It was noted that certain life-history traits of...
hosts are shaped by parasitic infections (Fredensborg and Poulin, 2006). For bats there was no tendency for animals with poor body condition to have more parasites than did healthier bats (Zahn and Zahn, 2006). For bats there was no tendency for animals with poor body condition to have more parasites than did healthier bats (Zahn and Zahn, 2006). For bats there was no tendency for animals with poor body condition to have more parasites than did healthier bats (Zahn and Zahn, 2006).

Additional studies investigated differences in parasite abundances that vary between host weights. Sexual size dimorphism was investigated, and an association between male-biased parasitism was identified (Moore and Wilson, 2002). Another study conducted by Krasnov et al. (2005) found that fleas feeding on under-fed rodents produced larger clutches. Tseng and Myers (2014) noted that information is lacking in regard to food limitations on a host and its effect on parasite well-being. Parasites are inherently an important factor in terms of the membership processes in natural communities, but the mechanisms that form distributions of parasite occurrences across host populations are not well understood (Rodríguez and Valdivia, 2017).

Small mammals are known to be reservoir hosts of several *Borrelia* species and primary hosts for ticks (Oliver et al., 2003; Lynn et al., 2017). Therefore, understanding the quantitative and qualitative associations of tick occurrence or infestation of rodent hosts may be important for modeling maintenance, transmission, and prevention of TBD that influence human and animal health. Knowledge of relationships between hosts and tick species known to harbor TBD could provide crucial information for avoiding pathogen transmission (Sumner et al., 2007; Fritzlen et al., 2011; James et al., 2015; Scott et al., 2016).

The purpose of the present study was to assess the magnitude of ticks on small mammals based on host characteristics such as age, sex, and weight, and interactions between traits. Investigations that analyze the effect of parasite load on host life-histories are necessary for understanding pathogen transmission; therefore, this investigation adds new insight towards understanding TBD in relation to a host. We tested the hypothesis that tick infestations are associated with rodent host characteristics from sites in southeastern U.S.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Host and ectoparasite sampling

Rodents were collected with Sherman live traps baited with rolled oats. In the summer (June and July) of 2013, forest and grass/forb habitats at the Hobart Ames Plantation, a 7446.21-ha facility in Fayette and Hardeman counties near Grand Junction, Tennessee, were assessed. Transects were established and consisted of 20 traps spaced at 10-m intervals. Five transects were set in each of three habitats (pine forest, hardwood forest, grass/forb) resulting in 15 transects, which were trapped for 9 consecutive nights (3 nights per replicate) and resulted in 2700 trap nights.

All small mammals were handled following guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes and Gannon, 2011) and identified to species using keys from Schwartz and Schwartz (Schwartz and Schwartz, 2016). Methods for mammal capture were approved by The University of Memphis (IACUC #0729). For rodents captured, four standard external measurements (in millimeters), species, sex, age, and total weight (grams) were recorded. Each rodent was examined for ectoparasites and tick species. Ticks were removed from rodents and placed in labeled vials filled with 70% ethanol. Ectoparasite species and life stages (larvae, nymph, and adult) were identified using dichotomous keys (Yunker et al., 1986; Durden, 1996; Kierans and Durden, 1998).

#### 2.2. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis consisted of a two-tailed analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$) from Statistical Analysis Software (SAS, ver. 9.4) to test the effect of ectoparasite numbers on host life-histories (age, sex, and weight). Because we analyzed counts of infested and non-infested individuals, a generalized linear-mixed model (PROC GLIMMIX) with a Poisson distribution was used to analyze the number of ticks and rodent age, sex, and weight as main effects, with the addition of weight x sex, weight x age, and age x sex as two-way interactions.

### Table 1

| Rodent species | No. Captured | No. Infested | Host Weight (grams) | No. Males | No. Females | No. Adults | No. Subadults | Infested adults | Infested subadults |
|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| *S. hispidus*  | 75           | 23           | 83.77 ± 5.308       | 40        | 34          | 53         | 22           | 17             | 6                |
| *P. leucopus*  | 148          | 58           | 16.35 ± 0.847       | 86        | 61          | 141        | 7            | 53             | 5                |
| *P. maniculatus* | 34          | 9            | 14.90 ± 0.382       | 23        | 23          | 34         | 0            | 9              | 0                |
| *M. pinetorum* | 16           | 6            | 20.93 ± 0.835       | 6         | 10          | 15         | 1            | 5              | 1                |
| *O. nutalli*   | 7            | 4            | 13.78 ± 0.406       | 2         | 5           | 7          | 0            | 4              | 0                |
| Total          | 281          | 100          | 35.07 ± 2.354       | 145       | 133         | 250        | 30           | 88             | 12               |

* sex was not recorded on one specimen.

### Table 2

| Rodent Species | No. Ticks Collected | Mean Number of Ticks ± SE |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
|                |                     | All Hosts | Adult | Subadult | Male | Female |
| All Rodents    | 610                 | 2.17 ± 0.519 | 2.04 ± 0.512 | 3.16 ± 2.109 | 3.37 ± 0.992 | 0.81 ± 0.165 |
| *Sigmodon hispidus* | 395         | 5.19 ± 1.846 | 1.73 ± 1.434 | 13.77 ± 4.987 | 7.65 ± 2.922 | 2.61 ± 2.232 |
| *Peromyscus leucopus* | 160     | 1.09 ± 0.173 | 0.87 ± 0.145 | 3.38 ± 1.140 | 1.18 ± 0.227 | 0.98 ± 0.277 |
| *Peromyscus maniculatus* | 17       | 0.5 ± 0.185  | 0.5 ± 0.185  | 0 ± 0.000  | 0.36 ± 0.203 | 0.56 ± 0.257 |
| *Microtus pinetorum* | 25        | 1.56 ± 0.737 | 1.26 ± 0.635 | 0 ± 0.000  | 1.33 ± 0.988 | 1.7 ± 0.919  |
| *Ochrotomys nutalli* | 13        | 1.85 ± 0.961 | 1.85 ± 0.961 | 0 ± 0.000  | 0.5 ± 0.500  | 2.4 ± 1.288  |
| All Rodents    | 610                 | 2.17 ± 0.519 | 2.04 ± 0.512 | 3.16 ± 2.109 | 3.37 ± 0.992 | 0.81 ± 0.165 |

*Mean number (± SE) of ticks found on one specimen (R.A. Butler, et al., IJP: Parasites and Wildlife 12 (2020) 150–154).*
3. Results

A total of 281 rodent hosts (Table 1) were captured, and 610 ticks (Table 2) were collected from the rodent hosts. There were 108 larvae and 6 nymphs *Ixodes scapularis*, 458 larvae and 30 nymphs *Dermacentor variabilis*, 1 larva and 6 nymphs *Amblyomma maculatum*, and 1 larva *A. americanum* collected. Overall, more adult rodents were captured (N = 244) than subadult rodents (N = 36), there were slightly fewer female (N = 133) rodents than males (N = 145) collected, and most rodents (N = 180) did not have any ectoparasites present. The rodents represented five species (76 *Sigmodon hispidus*, 148 *Peromyscus leucopus*, 34 *P. maniculatus*, 16 *Microtus pinetorum*, and 7 *Ochrotomys nuttalli*) of which 100 were infested with ticks (35.58% prevalence; Table 1). Prevalence is the number of hosts infested divided by the number of hosts captured. The 610 ticks represented four tick species (1 *Amblyomma americanum*, 7 *A. maculatum*, 488 *D. variabilis*, and 114 *I. scapularis*). *Dermacentor variabilis* was not only the most abundant tick identified, but it was the only tick collected from all five rodent species examined. The least abundant tick species was *A. americanum*, and it was collected on a single *O. nuttalli*. The two most abundant rodents captured (S. hispidus and P. leucopus) were infested with different tick species, such that *S. hispidus* had *A. maculatum* and *D. variabilis* while *P. leucopus* had *A. maculatum* and *I. scapularis*. *Ixodes scapularis* also was collected from *P. maniculatus* and *O. nuttalli*.

The number of ticks per host was analyzed for relationships with life histories of hosts (Table 2). Number of ticks per host was significantly different based on host sex, age, weight, and their interactions. Although more adult rodents were captured than subadult rodents, subadults had an overall higher mean number of ticks per host than adults (F = 13.60; df = 1, 239; P = 0.0003) (Fig. 1). More ticks were collected from male hosts compared to female hosts (F = 94.06; df = 1, 239; P < 0.0001). Males of the two most frequently captured rodent species (S. hispidus and P. leucopus; Table 2) had a greater mean number of ticks than did females, whereas female *P. maniculatus*, *M. pinetorum*, and *O. nuttalli* had more ticks than did males. Heavier rodents also had more ticks compared to lighter rodents (F = 17.72; df = 1, 239; P < 0.0001).

Interaction effects between host sex and age, host weight and sex, and weight and age for the number of ticks per host also were statistically significant. Female subadult rodents had more ticks per host compared to male subadults and male adult rodents had more ticks per host than female adult rodents (F = 113.38; df = 1, 239; P < 0.0001). Relationships between weight x age and between weight x sex for the number of ticks per host (Fig. 2) showed tick numbers increased for adults and subadults as host weight increased (F = 45.23; df = 1, 239; P < 0.0001). Additionally, the number of ticks per host increased with male rodent weight, while the slope for the female hosts remained relatively stable (F = 61.15; df = 1, 239; P < 0.0001).

4. Discussion

Parasites represent principal constituents of natural communities; however, it remains unknown how parasite occurrence and influence form skewed distributions over host populations (Rodríguez and Valdivia, 2017). In the present study, male adult rodents had more ticks than female adult rodents. This difference could be due to contrasts in life histories between the two sexes. The male rodents in this study have larger home ranges for acquiring mates (Frafjord, 2016), which could lead to greater contact with ticks in the environment. Sex-specific life histories and affiliations between trade-offs stem from sex differences in immune responses between immune function and reproductive contributions (Hämäläinen et al., 2015). The grooming of offspring done by maternal rodents could promote decreases in parasite populations within the female rodent nest (Champagne et al., 2003).

There is contrasting literature concerning parasite occurrence on hosts based on host sex. In our study, males were infested with an overall greater number of ticks than were females and males had more ticks as weight increased (Fig. 1a). Klein (2004) noted that males are more resistant to some parasites contrary to females, but male parasitism occurs more frequently than female parasitism. Males may have more ticks than do females due to growth and reproduction (Moore and Wilson, 2002) or due to larger home ranges (Krasnov et al., 2005). Halliday et al. (2014) reported that yarrow’s spiny lizard males with higher testosterone levels have more ectoparasites due to immunosuppression. Alternatively, female bats have more ectoparasites due to females gathering in nursery colonies and while their immune system is suppressed during reproduction; whereas, males tend to occupy less dense or isolated areas (Christe et al., 2007). Kuris et al. (1980) noted host size could be a function of increased resource availability for parasites to avoid competition. The contrasting literature between host gender and parasite burden and among different taxa further exemplifies the need to understand how species life histories corresponds to ectoparasite prevalence and burden.

Analogue to sex, numbers of parasites based on host age indicated that subadult rodents supported larger parasite communities than did...
adults. Similar to our findings that subadults had more parasites than did adults (Fig. 1b), Hämmäläinen et al. (2015) found parasite burdens in older gray mouse lemur tended not to be as prevalent as in younger hosts and noted that the substantially higher ectoparasite burden on younger hosts could potentially stem from differences in host behavior, nutrition, or age-related immunity that differentiate them from older rodents. Alternatively, Ujvari and Madsen (2006) found decreases in immune response of tropical pythons with increasing age and an increased parasite burden.

Host size also showed differing effects on the number of ectoparasites in the present study, which is suggested to be indicative of overall health (Summerbell et al., 1993). Ectoparasite numbers increased as small-mammal weight increased for males, females, adults, and subadults. Similarly, Chu et al. (2019) found a trend in which louse prevalence was positively correlated with body mass of birds, and Rodríguez and Valdivia (2017) found increases in prevalence of the parasite *Prolixicollis altmani* in molecrabs. Also, Arneberg (2002) found increasing helminth parasite burdens as mammalian host weight increased and noted that the trend was notably due to larger hosts having longer lifespans and increased parasite survival. It was noted by Tseng and Myers (2014), while using the cabbage looper as a host to viral parasites, that weight is often considered a major determinant of host condition, and deficient food supplies often lead to diminishing host quality. In a study analyzing fleas on rodent hosts, Hawlena et al. (2007) noted parasite populations depend on host prosperity such as fecundity, offspring condition, and rate of survival. Tseng and Myers (2014) found virus fitness increased in response to sufficient food availability of their host; the virus benefitted from host resource availability. A similar situation could have occurred in our study where ectoparasites benefited by the favorable environment on their larger host.

Hammerschmidt and Kurtz (2005) noted that parasites performed better when they were able to avoid detection by their host's immune systems. It could be that parasites would more readily parasitize larger healthier hosts rather than unhealthy hosts with over-active immune systems. Here, subadult females had the most ticks, while subadult males had the fewest. Also, Hawlena et al. (2007) found large ectoparasite densities on juveniles and proposed that it was most likely a consequence of greater survival and reproductive output of the parasites on these rodents, noting that juveniles spent less time grooming than adults. Grooming is the most important animal behavior used to reduce the number of parasites present on a host (Mooring et al., 2004). The occurrence of subadult female rodents with the greatest number of ticks is not well understood. Polygynous parents devote more energy into male offspring than female offspring under favorable conditions (McGuire et al., 2014). Investments for male and female offspring in polygynous rodents shifted among litters, based on food availability and the mother's body weight (Shibata and Kawamichi, 2009). Because the majority of rodent species examined have promiscuous-mating systems, subadult female rodents in this study might have higher parasite burdens due to decreased rearing effort by parents (Ribble and Millar, 1996; Becker et al., 2012).

Differences in the number of ticks on a host are likely a product of the host's behavior and life-history characteristics. Further investigations, such as the addition of ecological factors and the rate at which hosts become infected following treatment, are needed to better understand this model. The present study provides insights into the occurrence of ticks on natural populations of rodent hosts.
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