A century ago Paul Ehrlich proposed that immune reactivity against self, which he called “horror autotoxicus” and which is now called autoimmunity, would be incompatible with life because of potentially devastating consequences for the host. But Ehrlich was proven wrong after the demonstration of autoantibodies and the emergence of a theoretical basis for autoreactivity [1]. Conceptually, autoimmunity is viewed as a defect of either B or T lymphocyte selection, with aberrant lymphocytic responses to autoantigens [2]. In recent years, an improved genetic understanding of both common and rare diseases, collectively associated with mutations reflecting immune system perturbations—ranging from the thymus, to B and T cells, to T regulatory cells—has vindicated the autoimmunity paradigm [3] (Table 1).

Problems with the Concept of Autoimmunity

Nevertheless, there are several difficulties with the autoimmunity concept when considering self-directed tissue inflammation. These difficulties include a lack of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) and autoantibody associations in many diseases, tentatively labelled as autoimmune. A gradual appreciation of these difficulties has led to revised definitions of autoimmunity, but this approach fails to define when self-directed tissue inflammation is not autoimmune in origin [4].

And there is yet another weakness in the concept of autoimmunity: the idea that the immune system functions by making a distinction between self and nonself has come under scrutiny for failing to explain a number of findings. For example, “Why do we fail to reject tumors, even when clearly express new or mutated proteins? Why do most of us harbor autoreactive lymphocytes without any sign of autoimmune disease, while a few individuals succumb?” [5].

To answer these questions, Polly Matzinger proposed the “danger signal theory,” which proposes that the immune system is not so much concerned with self/nonself discrimination but with mounting responses to danger signals, including exogenous pathogenic bacteria and endogenous damaged tissues [5]. However, the danger model does not account adequately for the exquisite specificity of the adaptive immune responses in autoimmune diseases. This article draws on recent advances from genetic and molecular studies and improved clinical insights into disease in order to propose a unified classification and theoretical framework for all immunological diseases.

Key Studies That Defined Autoinflammation as the Opposite of Autoimmunity

The recent elucidation of mechanisms underlying self-directed tissue inflammation independent of B or T cell abnormalities could potentially transform our understanding of immunological diseases (Table 1).

Box 1. Definitions of Autoimmunity and Autoinflammation

Generic Definition of Autoimmunity
Self-directed inflammation, whereby aberrant dendritic cell, B and T cell, responses in primary and secondary lymphoid organs lead to breaking of tolerance, with development of immune reactivity towards native antigens. The adaptive immune response plays the predominant role in the eventual clinical expression of disease. Organ-specific autoantibodies may predate clinical disease expression by years and manifest before target organ damage is discernible.

Proposal for a Definition of Autoinflammation
Self-directed inflammation, whereby local factors at sites predisposed to disease lead to activation of innate immune cells, including macrophages and neutrophils, with resultant target tissue damage. For example, disturbed homeostasis of canonical cytokine cascades (as in the periodic fevers), aberrant bacterial sensing (as in Crohn disease), and tissue micromodification predispose one to site-specific inflammation that is independent of adaptive immune responses.
Table 1. Genetic and Cellular Basis for Autoimmunity and Autoinflammation

| Type of Disease                  | Inflammatory Disorder | Gene/Protein          | Cellular Distribution/Function |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Monogenic autoimmune disease     | APS-1                 | AIRE/AIRE             | Thymic epithelium/negative T cell selection |
|                                  | IPEX                  | FOXP3/FOXP3           | Regulatory T cells/immunomodulation |
|                                  | ALPS                  | FAS/FAS               | Widespread/key role in lymphocyte apoptosis |
| Polygenic disease with a prominent autoimmune component | SLE, TID,AITD         | CTLA-4/CTLA-4         | Regulation of T lymphocytes activation |
|                                  | RA, SLE, TID          | PTPN22/PTPN22         | Regulation of T lymphocytes activation |
|                                  | Many disorders        | MHC associations      | Multiple T cell functions, including B cell help |
| Monogenic autoinflammatory disease | FMF                   | MECP/MECP            | Neutrophils, early monocyte lineage, stromal cells/regulation of inflammatory response |
|                                  | HIDS                  | MVK/mevalonate kinase | Widespread/cholesterol biosynthesis, prenylation |
|                                  | TRAPS                 | TNFRSF1A/TNFR1       | Widespread/TNF receptor/Regulatory role in inflammatory response |
|                                  | FCAS                  | CIAS1/NALP3/CIAS1/NALP3 | Monocytes, stromal cell lineage/regulation of inflammation |
|                                  | MWS                   | CIAS1/NALP3/CIAS1/NALP3 | Macrophage/regulation of inflammatory responses |
|                                  | CINCA                 | CIAS1/NALP3/CIAS1/NALP3 | Macrophage/regulation of inflammatory responses |
|                                  | PAPA                  | PSTPIP1/PSTPIP1      | Neutrophils and monocytes/regulation of inflammation |
|                                  | CRMO                  | PTPN2 (in humans)/unspecified | Widespread distribution/undefined |
|                                  |                      | PTPN2 (in mouse)/MAYP | Macrophage/regulation of inflammatory responses |
| Polygenic disease with a prominent autoinflammatory component | Blau syndrome         | NO2D/NO2D             | Macrophages, Paneth cells/bacterial sensing |
|                                  | Crohn disease         | NO2D/NO2D             | Macrophages, Paneth cells/bacterial sensing |

Paradoxically, the background to these discoveries is over a century old, with Eli Metchnikoff’s seminal observations that described how phagocytic cells, rather than serum factors (or antibodies), were responsible for inflammatory tissue reactions against foreign antigens.

Fifty years later came recognition of the clinical entities subsequently known as hereditary periodic fevers (HPFs) [6], which are now known to include tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor–associated periodic fever syndrome (TRAPS) [7], familial Mediterranean fever (FMF), hyperimmunoglobulinaemia D with periodic fever syndrome (HIDS), and several others (Table 1). The key breakthrough came in Daniel Kastner’s laboratory by using a candidate gene approach in families with a rare autosomal dominant HPF termed familial Hibernian fever, initially in the prototypic familial Hibernian fever family from Notingham, as well as in a series of families drawn from both Europe and the United States. Mutations in the TNF1 receptor, which is widely distributed on both immune and nonimmune cells, were shown in six families. This led the authors to propose the term TNF receptor–associated periodic syndrome (TRAPS) and to coin the term autoinflammation, in recognition of an immunopathogenesis that was distinct from autoimmunity [7].

It now appears that TRAPS and other monogenic periodic fever disorders share a common thread. They all show disturbances in pathways associated with innate immune cell function, encompassing abnormal signalling in key cytokine pathways that include TNF and interleukin-1 (IL-1β) (via adaptor molecules collectively termed the inflammasome [8]), as well as through mutations in proteins associated with bacterial sensing [9,10] (Table 2).

Jérôme Galon and colleagues proposed that polygenic diseases sharing clinical features in common with the HPFs and lacking autoantibody or MHC associations could, by default, be termed autoinflammatory in nature [10]. Indeed, the recognition of innate immune-related factors at target sites of disease, rather than adaptive immunity, has led to the idea of classifying some conditions (such as Crohn disease and Behçet syndrome) as being autoinflammatory [10,11]. However, this classification remains highly controversial, given that evidence for autoantibodies and autoinflammatory-like reactions is also a feature of these diseases [12]. Also, the logical consequence of this approach is a resulting two-tiered classification for some, but not all, immunological diseases.

Autoimmunity versus Autoinflammation

The issues pertaining to immunological disease classification are complicated by the absence...
of a precise definition of what constitutes autoinflammation in the common polygenic diseases. This is in contrast to polygenic autoimmune disease, where a broad consensus on a generic definition exists (Box 1). Autoinflammation may simply be defined as self-directed tissue inflammation, where local factors at disease-prone sites determine activation of the innate immune system. Such a definition would encompass autoinflammatory mechanisms across the spectrum of immunological disease. Indeed, several tissue-specific factors that could contribute to inflammation have been recognised (Text S1).

Based on this definition, many common diseases with strong inflammatory components could be classed as predominantly autoinflammatory in nature, although most of these conditions also have evidence for autoimmunity in the clinical setting (Figure 1). Importantly, this definition of autoinflammation allows for the establishment of specific boundaries for what constitutes self-directed inflammation. Furthermore, all immunological disease can then be conceptualised as being purely autoinflammatory or autoimmune, or being a combination of autoinflammatory–autoimmune mechanisms that variably interact in the phenotypic expression of disease (Figure 1). Thus, the boundaries for autoimmunity are set by mutations associated with the monogenic autoimmune diseases, which show an increased propensity towards adaptive immune responses and which are recognisable by the presence of autoantibodies. On the other hand, the boundaries of autoinflammation are defined by mutations in cells or molecules involved in innate immune responses at disease-prone sites, where disease expression cannot be explained by autoimmune mechanisms.

For example, Crohn disease is the first polygenic disease with a genetically defined autoinflammatory component, which was defined simultaneously by two groups who showed that the disease-associated mutation occurred in a protein involved in innate immune responses [13,14]. Specifically, the NOD2-associated mutations are thought to be linked to aberrant intracellular innate immune responses to bacterial peptidoglycan [15]. In addition to its expression on cells of the monocyte lineage, the NOD2 protein is also expressed on gut epithelial cells. Moreover, carriage of two copies of the NOD2 mutation is associated with site-specific involvement of the ileum and severe strictureing disease [16].

Gout is the first common polygenic condition with a molecular basis that is reminiscent of the monogenic autoinflammatory diseases. The causative urate crystals have a tendency for site-specific deposition in the joints, which may only periodically lead to inflammation, despite the continuous presence of crystals [17]. At a molecular level, attacks of gout are associated with activation of the IL-1β signalling cascade, via the NALP3 inflammasome, in a manner similar to some of the HPFs [18,19].

Clinical Studies That Helped Define Autoinflammatory Diseases

Crohn disease is closely associated with the seronegative spondyloarthropathies, which include ankylosing spondylitis, reactive arthritis, and psoriatic arthritis. Indeed, most patients with ankylosing spondylitis have subclinical Crohn disease. Of course some of these disorders show striking human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-B27 MHC associations, unlike Crohn disease, and immune reactivity against self has long been suspected as an underlying immunopathogenetic mechanism [20]. However, recent magnetic resonance imaging studies have shown that early disease localisation in ankylosing spondylitis, reactive arthritis, and psoriatic arthritis is maximal at, and adjacent to, sites of relatively high shear and tensile forces.
Bone inflammation adjacent to insertions may be seen in all of these conditions. However, when bone inflammation is extensive, it is often associated with carriage of the HLA-B27 gene, suggesting that local factors determine the degree of activation of the adaptive immune response at certain predisposed sites.

Collectively, Crohn disease and the seronegative arthropathies are associated with acneform lesions, skin pustulosis, and occasionally multifocal osteitis. All of these clinical features are variably shared with two recently identified monogenic autoinflammatory conditions: (1) pyogenic arthritis, pyoderma gangrenosum, and severe cystic acne (PAPA) syndrome and (2) a type of chronic multifocal recurrent osteomyelitis (CMRO) [24,25]. The molecular basis of these monogenic equivalents of more common polygenic clinical counterparts relates to mutations in proteins associated with innate immune cell functioning rather than with adaptive immunity. This suggests that tissue-specific factors in the bones, joints, or skin may lead to clinical disease expression at certain sites (Table 1).

In common with ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthropathy, Behçet disease also has MHC class I associations. However, in contrast to ankylosing spondylitis and psoriatic arthropathy, Behçet disease has clinical features that seem to be mostly autoinflammatory in nature (Table S1). Furthermore, particular variants of both the FMF gene (MEFV) and TNFRSF1A are more common in people with Behçet disease. There appears to be overlap between Behçet disease, inflammatory bowel disease, and MEFV mutations in general, and Ahmet Gül has postulated that poorly defined tissue-specific factors in Behçet disease may eventually lead to the development of secondary autoimmune responses [26].

In the case of autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA), studies by Ai Lyn Tan and colleagues [27] and by Laura Rhodes and colleagues [28] showed that the degree of joint inflammation, joint erosions, and therapeutic responses are variably affected by tissue-specific factors, including the position of joint ligaments. For instance, RA erosive changes are more pronounced adjacent to the site of maximal stress, as exemplified in the index finger compared with the ring finger of the dominant hand [27]. These studies show how secondary autoinflammatory mechanisms contribute to the clinical expression of RA. Based on magnetic resonance imaging observations in inflammatory arthritis, McGonagle and colleagues proposed a classification whereby RA is viewed as the archetypal autoinflammatory-mediated synovitis, and the seronegative arthropathies are considered from the perspective of tissue-specific factors related to joint insertions [29]. The implications of this dichotomous classification of joint disease can be extended to all immunological diseases.

**Implications for Autoimmunity**

The autoimmunity paradigm has dominated immunology for so long that our concepts of many disorders, including Crohn disease, have been moulded to fit the prevailing dogma. Placing immunological disease along this proposed continuum allows the relative contribution of different types of self-directed inflammation to be considered without assuming that an adaptive immune response is central to disease pathogenesis.

The case of vasculitis (blood vessel inflammation) illustrates the usefulness of a continuum view of immunological disease. The autoimmune-mediated vasculitides can be distinguished clinically by the presence of pathogenic autoantibodies, including...
antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA). It is of note, therefore, that the nonautoantibody-associated vasculitides, including Takayasu arteritis and giant cell arteritis, affect particular vascular territories in a patchy manner, thereby illustrating the contribution of local factors to disease pathogenesis (Table S1).

Thus far, evidence for tissue-specific factors influencing the expression of autoimmune diseases in humans, such as type 1 diabetes, is lacking, but the concept of secondary autoinflammation in autoimmunity offers an alternative perspective on how genetic or environmental factors affecting disease-prone sites could lead to, or alter, clinical disease expression.

The classification of MHC class I–associated diseases as autoimmune has been contentious given that these conditions lack specific autoantibody associations. The present classification places HLA-B27–related conditions and other MHC class I–associated diseases as intermediates—or “at a half-way house”—between autoinflammation and autoimmunity. As outlined earlier, tissue-specific factors at disease-prone sites appear to be instrumental in localisation of these conditions. While this article deals exclusively with self-directed tissue inflammation, immune-reactivity reactions against nonself (such as reactions to organ transplants) help illustrate the concept of MHC class I–associated diseases being “half-way houses.” In the transplantation field, renal rejection reactions are strongly associated with MHC class I antigens and with tissue-specific factors, especially the duration of organ ischemia. In fact, if organ ischemia is minimised, then MHC-mismatched grafts survive as well as matched grafts, thus showing how adaptive immunity and local tissue factors interact in disease expression [30] in the context of MHC class I associations.

In certain clinically defined autoimmune scenarios, including RA, it appears that some patients do in fact have a disease that is predominately autoinflammatory in nature. In a study of patients with benign polyarthritis of the elderly, which often meets diagnostic criteria for RA and has a good prognosis but lacks the autoantibody association, McGonagle and colleagues observed that the pattern of disease localisation was similar to the seronegative arthropathies. In other words, joint disease tended to involve the periarticular structures rather than the synovium [31]. The good prognosis in benign polyarthritis is similar to reactive arthritis, which is a type of seronegative arthritis. Thus, the variable prognosis in diseases such as RA and multiple sclerosis may be related to the predominance of either autoinflammation or autoimmunity, with the former generally equating to a better prognosis.

The formal recognition of autoinflammation also has implications for a better clinical understanding of the targeted therapy of the immunological diseases. For example, anticytokine therapy is especially effective in autoinflammatory disease; the interleukin-1 receptor antagonist anakinra shows good efficacy in some monogenic autoinflammatory disorders [32]. On the other hand, strategies to target lymphocytes are especially effective in autoimmune diseases, such as lupus. In some cases, both anticytokine and antilymphocyte strategies are effective in disorders that lie somewhere along the autoimmune–autoinflammatory disease continuum.

### Landmark Papers That Set the Scene for Proposing an Autoimmune–Autoinflammation Spectrum

1. **Burnet et al. [1]**
   
The seminal work of Burnet and others set the scene for understanding the nature of autoimmunity.

2. **McDermott et al. [7]**
   
   This article showed that some inflammation directed against self was due to mutations in the TNF receptor and introduced the concept of autoinflammation. Since TNF is pivotal in innate immune responses, the work confirmed that this disease process was very different from autoimmunity at the molecular level.

3. **Hugot et al. and Ogura Y et al. [13,14]**
   
   Until this work, Crohn disease was conceptualised in relationship to autoimmune mechanisms. These studies were published simultaneously, and showed that mutations in a protein associated with innate immune responses played a key role in a subgroup of patients with Crohn disease.

4. **Martinon et al. [18]**
   
   This paper showed that the molecular pathways associated with immune activation in gout and pseudogout were very similar to those associated with immune activation in some of the monogenic autoinflammatory diseases. This mechanistic link shows how multifactorial common diseases, without a clearly defined genetic basis, are linked to autoinflammation.

5. **Matzinger [34]**
   
   The author argued that the danger theory stood on the shoulders of the self/nonself discrimination theory, and thus explained autoimmunity. However, the danger theory nicely illustrates the role of innate immune responses, which are independent of self/nonself discrimination, as a mechanism for self-directed tissue inflammation.

---

**Figure 2. Recognition of Autoinflammation: Psoriatic Arthritis as an Example**

In early RA, joint disease localisation is to the synovium—in keeping with the concept of the synovium being the primary target organ. However, in early psoriatic arthritis, the inflammatory changes have a widespread distribution and appear to relate to patterns of joint stressing around ligaments, adjacent bone, and soft tissues, rather than a specific antigen territory. The figure shows a contrast-enhanced high-resolution magnetic resonance image of a distal interphalangeal joint optimised for showing sites of inflammation (pixel size, 100 ×100 microns). There are extensive inflammatory changes in all tissues. Asterisk, site of diffuse osteitis; arrowhead, synovial enhancement; solid arrows, joint ligaments that show florid inflammatory changes at insertions and within ligaments; open arrow, extracapsular soft-tissue enhancement.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030297.g002
from which danger signals emanate [34]; this hypothesis is closely allied with the proposed generic definition of autoinflammation. Looking at the pathogenesis of diseases from the autoimmunity perspective, several groups have drawn attention to the possible role of tissue-specific factors in autoimmunity [35].

A better clinical understanding of diseases may be achieved by purposefully looking for specific autoinflammatory and autoimmune features. For example, the idea of coeliac disease being autoimmune in nature is questionable given the exogenous nature of the causative gluten antigen. However, when viewed from the perspective of tissue-specific components, such as altered gut permeability following inciting infections and gut tissue transglutaminase-mediated gluten peptide modification, then a unifying basis can be conceptualised [36]. Conversely, the idea that Crohn disease and ulcerative colitis are autoinflammatory in nature is also questionable given their associations with p-antineutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies [37]. It remains to be determined whether the autoantibody association represents secondary autoimmunity or disease heterogeneity, with some cases being predominantly autoimmune and others autoinflammatory.

Conclusions
The formal recognition and genetic understanding of the autoinflammatory diseases has defined mechanisms of self-directed inflammation that are independent of adaptive immunity. If we adopt a “continuum model” of immunology, in which diseases lie on a spectrum from autoimmunity to autoinflammation, we can begin to define the relative contributions of both the innate and the adaptive immune responses to particular diseases. All noninfectious inflammatory disease can be accommodated within this classification.

Animal models of autoimmune disease have been very instructive for elucidating molecular pathways of many conditions. However, in order to adequately assess the role of individual tissue-specific factors, studies will need to focus on the role of site-specific factors in humans. Future clinical studies are needed to develop imaging strategies, including molecular imaging, as well as to determine the basis for inflammation at certain predisposed sites (Figure 2). Studies of tissues that are subject to autoinflammatory reactions in diseases such as multiple sclerosis need to explore autoinflammatory mechanisms in disease expression that have been neglected to date.

The autoinflammatory–autoimmune continuum offers an inclusive classification of immunological disease and a better understanding of the pathogenesis and treatment of self-directed inflammation.

Supporting Information

Table S1. Clinical Aspects of Pure Autoinflammation versus Pure Autoimmunity
This table represents key features that allow differentiation of a “pure autoinflammatory disease” from a “pure autoimmune disease” in the clinical setting. As outlined in the text, there is increasing evidence for an interaction between autoimmune and autoinflammatory mechanisms in the phenotypic expression of common polygenic diseases, where overlapping features may be evident.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0030297.s001 (34 KB DOC).

Text S1. Recognised Tissue-Specific Factors in Immune Disease Localisation

Found at DOI:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030297.s001 (20 KB DOC).
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