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Abstract: In this paper, we explore a new class of stochastic differential equations called anticipated generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equations (AGBDSDEs), which not only involve two symmetric integrals related to two independent Brownian motions and an integral driven by a continuous increasing process but also include generators depending on the anticipated terms of the solution (Y, Z). Firstly, we prove the existence and uniqueness theorem for AGBDSDEs. Further, two comparison theorems are obtained after finding a new comparison theorem for GBDSDEs.
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1. Introduction

Nonlinear backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs in short) were introduced by Pardoux and Peng [1] in 1990. Since then, BSDEs have been received considerable research attention due to their application in a lot of different research areas, for example, mathematical finance (see El Karoui et al. [2]), stochastic control, differential games and partial differential equations. Ref. [3] proposed a newly optimized symmetric explicit ten-step method with phase-lag of order infinity to numerically solve the Schrödinger equation. Pardoux and Zhang [4] introduced the following equation:

\[ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s)dK_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \]

where \( K_s \) is an increasing process, to obtain a probabilistic formula for solutions of semilinear partial differential equations (SPDEs) with a Neumann boundary condition. Ren and Xia [5] further investigated the above topic with reflection, then Ren and Otmani [6] extended this problem to Levy setting.

Pardoux and Peng [7] first presented a class of backward doubly stochastic differential equations (BDSDEs in short) to give a probabilistic representation for a class of quasilinear stochastic partial differential equations. Then Shi et al. [8] gave a comparison theorem for BDSDEs with Lipschitz condition on the coefficients. In this way, Boufoussi et al. [9] gave the following generalized backward doubly stochastic differential equation:

\[ Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s)dK_s + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s)d\bar{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \]

in which the equations not only involve a standard (forward) stochastic Itô integral \( dW_t \) but also a symmetric backward stochastic Itô integral \( d\bar{B}_t \). They first obtained the existence
and uniqueness for the above equation, then gave the viscosity solution to one kind of semilinear SPDE, a probabilistic representation. Hu and Ren [10] explored this problem with an integral driven by the Levy process. Aman and Mrhardy [11] investigated the Equation (1) with reflection.

Peng and Yang [12] introduced a new type of BSDE called anticipated BSDEs. The generator of these equations includes not only the values of solutions of the present but also the future. The authors found that these anticipated BSDEs have unique solutions under Lipschitz assumptions, a comparison theorem for their solutions, and a duality between them and stochastic differential delay equations. After the work of Peng and Yang [12], Zhang [13] dealt with the comparison theorem of one dimensional anticipated BSDEs under one kind of non-Lipschitz assumption. Xu [14] and Zhang [15] introduced the so-called anticipated GBSDEs (AGBSDEs) of the following form:

\[
\begin{aligned}
Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta(s)})\,ds \\
&\quad + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta(s)})\,dK_s - \int_t^T Z_s\,dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
Y_T &= \eta_T, \quad t \in [T, T+K],
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(\delta(\cdot)\) and \(\zeta(\cdot)\) are given \(\mathbb{R}^+\)-valued continuous functions and for \(\phi(\cdot) = \delta(\cdot), \zeta(\cdot)\) such that:

(A1) there exists a constant \(K \geq 0\) such that, for all \(t \in [0, T]\), \(t + \phi(t) \leq T + K\);

(A2) there exists a constant \(M \geq 0\) such that, for all \(t \in [0, T]\) and for all non-negative and integrable \(g(\cdot)\),

\[
\int_t^T g(s + \phi(s))\,ds \leq M \int_t^{T+K} g(s)\,ds, \quad \int_t^T g(s + \phi(s))\,dK_s \leq M \int_t^{T+K} g(s)\,dK_s,
\]

and for any interval \([a, b]\), \([a + u, b + u]\) \(\in [0, T+K], u > 0\), we have \(dK_a([a, b]) \leq dK_a([a + u, b + u])\), where \(dK_a\) is a measure generated by \(K\) on \([0, T+K]\).

In this paper, we are concerned with the following anticipated GBDSDEs:

\[
\begin{aligned}
Y_t &= Y_T + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_i(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta_i(s)})\,ds + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_i(s)})\,dK_s \\
&\quad + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_i(s)}, Z_{s+\zeta_i(s)})\,dB_s - \int_t^T Z_s\,dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
Y_T &= \eta_T, \quad Z_t = \eta_t, \quad t \in [T, T+K],
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(\delta_i(\cdot), \ z_i(\cdot)\) and \(\zeta_i(\cdot)\) are given \(\mathbb{R}^+\)-valued continuous functions such that (A1) and (A2). We will prove that the solution of the above AGBDSE exists uniquely under proper assumptions, and two versions of one dimensional comparison theorems are given. These results are the cornerstones of AGBDSEs applied to the obstacle problem for some SPDEs with the nonlinear Neumann boundary condition and some stochastic control problems with delay.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, some preliminaries, assumptions and definitions are given. In Section 3, we focus on the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of anticipated GBDSDEs. In Section 4, two comparison theorems are given, and in the last section, the conclusion and future work are presented.
2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we use $|x|$ and $\|A\| = \sqrt{Tr(AA^*)}$ to denote the norm of a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$, respectively, where $A^*$ is the transpose of $A$. Let $\{W_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ and $\{B_t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be two mutually independent standard Brownian motions, with values respectively in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{R}^l$ on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $T > 0, K > 0$ be fixed constants. Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the class of $P$-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$. For any $t \in [0, T + K]$, we define:

$$F_t \triangleq F_{0,t}^W \vee \mathcal{F}^B_{t, t+K},$$

where for any processes $\{\eta_t\}, \mathcal{F}_{s,t}^\eta = \sigma(\eta_r - \eta_t; s \leq r \leq t) \vee \mathcal{N}$. It is worth noting that $\{F_t, t \in [0, T + K]\}$ is not a filtration because $\mathcal{F}_{0,T}^W, t \in [0, T + K]$ is increasing and $\mathcal{F}_{t,T}^B, t \in [0, T + K]$ is decreasing. Let $K_t$ be a continuous, increasing and $F_t$-adapted process on $[0, T + K]$ with $K_0 = 0$. We will use the following notations: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(i) $M^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n) \triangleq \{\varphi: \Omega \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^n | \varphi$ is a $F_t$-progressively measurable process such that $\|\varphi\|_{M^2} = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T |\varphi_t|^2 dt\right) < \infty\}$;

(ii) $S^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \triangleq \{\varphi: \Omega \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{R}^n | \varphi$ is a continuous and $F_t$-progressively measurable process such that $\|\varphi\|_{S^2} = \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |\varphi_t|^2\right) < \infty\}$;

(iii) $L^2(F_T; \mathbb{R}^n) \triangleq \{\xi: \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n | \xi$is a $F_T$-measurable random variable with $\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 < \infty\}$.

Let $f(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \times M^2(t, T + K; \mathbb{R}^k) \times M^2(t, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}) \to L^2(F_T; \mathbb{R}^k)$,

$g(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \times M^2(t, T + K; \mathbb{R}^k) \times M^2(t, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}) \to L^2(F_T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$,

$h(t, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot): \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times d} \times M^2(t, T + K; \mathbb{R}^k) \times M^2(t, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}) \to L^2(F_T; \mathbb{R}^{k \times l})$. We make the following assumptions about $(f, g, h, k)$:

**Hypothesis 1 (H1).** For $\xi \in S^2([T, T + K]; \mathbb{R}^k), f_\mu \in M^2(T, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}), f_\mu \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^k), \theta(\cdot) \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d), \theta(\cdot) \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}), r, \sigma \in [s, T + K]$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{t \in [T, T+K]} e^{\mu^k_t} |\xi_t|^2\right] < \infty, \mathbb{E}\left(\int_T^{T+K} e^{\mu^k_t} |\xi_t|^2 dK_t\right) < \infty, \mathbb{E}\left(\int_T^{T+K} e^{\mu^k_t} ||\eta_t||^2 dt\right) < \infty.$$

**Hypothesis 2 (H2).** For all $s \in [0, T], y, y', z, z' \in \mathbb{R}^k, z', \theta(\cdot) \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^k), \theta(\cdot) \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d), \theta(\cdot), \theta'(\cdot) \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}), r, \sigma \in [s, T + K]$, we have

$$\left| f(s, y, z, \theta(r), \theta'(r)) - f(s, y', z', \theta'(r), \theta(r)) \right|^2$$

$$\leq C \left( |y - y'|^2 + |z - z'|^2 + E_{\mathbb{F}_r} [||\theta(r) - \theta'(r)||^2] \right),$$

$$\left| g(s, y, z, \theta(r), \theta'(r)) - g(s, y', z', \theta'(r), \theta(r)) \right|^2$$

$$\leq C \left( |y - y'|^2 + E_{\mathbb{F}_r} [||\theta(r) - \theta'(r)||^2] \right) + \alpha_1 ||z - z'||^2 + \alpha_2 E_{\mathbb{F}_r} [||\theta(r) - \theta'(r)||^2],$$

$$\langle y - y', h(s, y, \theta(r)) - f(s, y', \theta'(r)) \rangle$$

$$\leq C |y - y'|^2 + \beta |y - y'| E_{\mathbb{F}_r} [||\theta(r) - \theta'(r)||^2],$$

where $C > 0, C < 0, \beta > 0, 0 < \alpha_1 < 1, 0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M < 1$ are five constants.

**Hypothesis 3 (H3).** For any $s \in [0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}^k, z \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}, \theta(\cdot) \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^k), \theta(\cdot) \in M^2(s, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d), r, \sigma \in [s, T + K], \mu \in R^+$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_s^T e^{\mu^k_t} |\psi_1(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^T e^{\mu^k_s} |\psi_2(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^T e^{\mu^k_s} |\psi_3(s)|^2 ds \leq \infty\right).$$
where \( \psi_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \) are three adapted processes with values in \([1, +\infty)\) and \( K > 0 \) is a constant. The first and second inequalities on the above are the Lipschitz conditions for \( f \) and \( g \) with anticipated terms, respectively.

**Definition 1.** A solution for AGDSDE is a pair \((Y_t, Z_t) \in S^2([0, T + K]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{M}^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})\) such that for any \(0 \leq t \leq T\),

\[
\begin{align*}
Y_t &= \xi_T + \int_0^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_2(s)})ds + \int_0^T h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)})dK_s \\
&\quad + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_2(s)})d\overline{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
Y_t &= \xi_t, \quad Z_t = \eta_t, \quad t \in [T, T + K].
\end{align*}
\]

**3. Existence and Uniqueness Theorem**

In order to obtain the existence and uniqueness result, we need the following two priori estimates.

**Proposition 1.** Assume that (A1), (A2), (H1), (H2) and (H3) hold. If \((Y_t, Z_t); 0 \leq t \leq T + K\) is a solution of AGBDSDE (2) and \( \mu > 0, \lambda > \max \{\beta(1 + M) - |C|, 0\} \), we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{\lambda t}|Y_t|^2 + \int_0^T e^{\lambda s}|Z_s|^2ds + \int_0^T e^{\lambda s}d\mathbb{E}[|Y_s|^2dK_s] &\leq \tilde{C}\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T+K} e^{\mu t}|\xi_t|^2] \\
&+ \int_0^{T+K} e^{\mu s}|\xi_t|^2ds + \int_0^{T+K} e^{\mu s}d\mathbb{E}[|Y_s|^2dK_s] \\
&+ \int_0^T e^{\mu s}|\psi_1(s)|^2ds + \int_0^T e^{\mu s}|\psi_2(s)|^2ds + \int_0^T e^{\mu s}|\psi_3(s)|^2dK_s,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \tilde{C} \) is a constant.

**Proof.** In the following, we assume \( K_{T+K} \) is a bounded random variable, and then apply Fatto's lemma to obtain the general result. From Itô's formula, we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
e^{\mu t + \lambda K_t}|Y_t|^2 + \int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}|Z_s|^2ds + \lambda \int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}|Y_s|^2dK_s &= e^{\mu T + \lambda K_T}|\xi_t|^2 \\
&+ 2\int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}\langle Y_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_2(s)})\rangle ds \\
&\quad + 2\int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}\langle Y_s, h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)})\rangle ds \\
&\quad + 2\int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}\langle Y_s, g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_2(s)})d\overline{B}_s\rangle \\
&\quad - 2\int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}\langle Y_s, Z_s dW_s\rangle \\
&\quad - \mu \int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}|Y_s|^2ds + \int_0^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_t}\|g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_2(s)})\|^2ds.
\end{align*}
\]

According to the assumptions (H2), (H3) and Young's inequality, for any \( \theta > 0 \), we get:

\[
\begin{align*}
2\langle Y_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_2(s)})\rangle &\leq \theta |Y_s|^2 + \frac{2C}{\theta} (|Z_s|^2 + \|E_{\mathbb{F}_s}[|Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}|^2 + \mathbb{E}[|Z_{s+\delta_2(s)}|^2])] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\theta} |\psi_1(s)|^2, \\
2\langle Y_s, h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)})\rangle &\leq 2C |Y_s|^2 + 2\beta |Y_s| \mathbb{E}[|Y_{s+\delta_2(s)}|^2] + 2|Y_s| |\psi_3(t)| \\
&\leq (2C + |C| + \beta) |Y_s|^2 + \beta \mathbb{E}[|Y_{s+\delta_2(s)}|^2] + \frac{1}{|C|} |\psi_3(s)|^2, \\
\|g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_2(s)})\|^2 &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{\theta})(C(|Y_s|^2 + \|E_{\mathbb{F}_s}[|Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}|^2 + \mathbb{E}[|Z_{s+\delta_2(s)}|^2])] + a_1 \|Z_s\|^2 + a_2 \|E_{\mathbb{F}_s}[|Z_{s+\delta_2(s)}|^2] \\
&\quad + (1 + \theta) |\psi_2(s)|^2.
\end{align*}
\]
Consequently, we have:

\[
E[e^{\mu t + \lambda K_t} | Y_t|^2 + (1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M) - \frac{2C(1 + M) + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M}{\theta} \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + (\lambda + |C| - \beta(1 + M) \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} |Y_s|^2 dK_s) + (\mu + 3C(1 + M) + C(1 + M) - \mu) \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} |Y_s|^2 ds + \left(\frac{3CM}{\theta} + CM\right) \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} \|Y_s\|^2 ds + \left(\frac{2CM}{\theta} + (1 + \frac{1}{\theta})\alpha_2 M\right) \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} \|\eta_s\|^2 ds + \frac{2}{\theta} \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} |\varphi_1(s)|^2 ds + \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} |\varphi_2(s)|^2 ds] \leq E[e^{\mu T + \lambda K_T} | \xi_T|^2] \leq \hat{C} \hat{E}(e^{\mu T + \lambda K_T} | \xi_T|^2).
\]

Thus, choosing \( \theta = \frac{2C(1 + M) + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M}{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M} + 1 \), and from Grönwall’s inequality, we can obtain:

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,T]} E[e^{\mu t + \lambda K_t} | Y_t|^2 + \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} \|Y_s\|^2 dK_s] \leq \hat{C} \hat{E}(\sup_{T \leq t \leq T + K} e^{\mu s + \lambda K_s} | \xi_T|^2).
\]

Using the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy’s and above inequality, the desired result follows.  

**Proposition 2.** Denote \((\bar{Y}, \bar{Z}, \bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{\xi}_T, \bar{K}) = (Y - Y', Z - Z', \xi - \xi', \eta - \eta', f - f', g - g', K - K')\), then for any \( \mu > 2C + \beta(1 + M) \), there exists a constant \( \hat{C} > 0 \) such that:

\[
E[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} e^{\mu A_t} | Y_t|^2 + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \|Z_s\|^2 ds] \leq \hat{C} \hat{E}[e^{\mu K_T} | \xi_T|^2]
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \|\eta_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \|\varphi_1(s)\|^2 dK' + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} |h(s, Y_s, Y_{s + \xi(s)})|^2 \|\bar{K}\| ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} |f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z_{s + \xi(s)}) - f'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, Y'_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z'_{s + \xi(s)})| ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \|g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z_{s + \xi(s)}) - g'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, Y'_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z'_{s + \xi(s)})\|^2 ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} |h(s, Y_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}) - h'(s, Y'_s, Y'_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)})|^2 dK'_s,
\]

where \( A_t := \|\bar{K}\| + K'_t \|\bar{K}\| \) is the total variation for process \( \bar{K} \) on the interval \([0, t]\).

**Proof.** Similar to Proposition 1, we assume \( K_{T + k} \) is bounded random variable. From Itô’s formula, we have:

\[
e^{\mu A_t} |Y_t|^2 + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + \mu \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} |Y_s|^2 dA_s = e^{\mu K_T} |\xi_T|^2 + 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \langle Y_s, Z_s dW_s \rangle
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \langle Y_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z_{s + \xi(s)}) - f'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, Y'_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z'_{s + \xi(s)}) \rangle ds
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \langle Y_s, h(s, Y_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}) \rangle dK_s + 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \langle Y_s, h(s, Y_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}) \rangle dK'_s
\]

\[
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \langle Y_s, g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z_{s + \xi(s)}) - g'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, Y'_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z'_{s + \xi(s)}) \rangle d\bar{B} s
\]

\[
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_s} \|g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z_{s + \xi(s)}) - g'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, Y'_{s + \hat{\xi}(s)}, Z'_{s + \xi(s)})\|^2 ds.
\]
\[
= e^{\mu K_T}|\xi_T|^2 - 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \langle \eta_s, Z_s dW_s \rangle + 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \langle \eta_s, h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)}) \rangle dK_s
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \langle \eta_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) - f'(s, Y_s, Z_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) \rangle ds
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \langle \eta_s, f'(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) - f'(s, Y_s', Z_{s+\delta_1(s)}', Z_{s+\xi(s)}) \rangle ds
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \langle \eta_s, h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)}) - h'(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)}) \rangle dK_s'
+ 2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \langle \eta_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) - f'(s, Y_s, Z_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) \rangle d \overline{B}_s
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \langle g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) - g'(s, Y_s, Z_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) \rangle ds.
\]

Through the assumptions (A1), (A2), (H2) and Yong’s inequality, for any \( \theta > 0 \), we get

\[
\mathbb{E}[e^{\mu A_T} | \gamma_t]^2 + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + \mu \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 dA_s
\leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\mu K_T} | \xi_T]^2 + \mu \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 dA_s + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} |h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)})|^2 d\|K_s\|
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} |Z_s|^2 ds
+ \frac{4(M + 1)}{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 ds + \frac{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M}{4(M + 1)} \left( \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 ds \right)
+ \frac{1}{\beta} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 dK_s'
+ \frac{2C + \beta}{\theta} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 dK_s' + \beta \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 dK_s'
+ (1 + \frac{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M)}{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M}) \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) - g'(s, Y_s, Z_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)})\|^2 ds
+ (1 + \frac{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M)}{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M)}(1 + M) \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 ds + \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 ds)
+ \frac{1}{\theta} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{\beta} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 dK_s'
\]

Choosing \( \theta = \mu - |2C + \beta(1 + M)| \), we have:

\[
\mathbb{E}[e^{\mu A_T} | \gamma_t]^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M}{4} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_s\|^2 ds \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\mu K_T} | \xi_T]^2 + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} |h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)})|^2 d\|K_s\|
+ \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)}) - f'(s, Y_s, Z_{s+\delta_1(s)}, Z_{s+\xi(s)})|^2 ds
+ \frac{5 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M}{4} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|Y_s\|^2 ds
+ (1 + \frac{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M)}{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M)}(1 + M) \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|\eta_s\|^2 ds + \beta \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|\eta_s\|^2 dK_s'
+ \frac{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M}{2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M)} \alpha_2 \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} \|\eta_s\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{\theta} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} |h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)}) - h'(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)})|^2 ds
+ \frac{1}{\mu - |2C + \beta(1 + M)|} \int_t^T e^{\mu A_t} |h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)}) - h'(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_2(s)})|^2 dK_s'.
\]
\[
\frac{1 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 M}{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M} \int_0^T e^{\mu A_t} \| g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_3(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_3(s)}) - g'(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_3(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_3(s)}) \|^2 ds.
\]

From Gronwall’s lemma, we get:
\[
\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E[e^{\mu A_t}\|Y_t\|^2] + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} E[\int_0^T e^{\mu A_{t+\delta^3(s)}} \|Z_{s}\|^2 ds] \leq \hat{C} E[e^{\mu A_T}\|z_T\|^2 + \int_0^T e^{\mu A_t} h(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\delta_3(s)})^2 ds]
\]
\[
+ \int_0^T e^{\mu A_t} f(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_3(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_3(s)}) - f'(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_3(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_3(s)})^2 ds
\]
\[
+ \int_T^{T+K} e^{\mu A_t} \|Z_{s}\|^2 ds + \int_T^{T+K} e^{\mu A_{t+\delta^3(s)}} \|\bar{Z}_{s}\|^2 ds + \int_T^{T+K} e^{\mu A_{t+\delta^3(s)}} \|dK_s\|^2
\]
\[
+ \int_0^T e^{\mu A_t} \|g(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_3(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_3(s)}) - g'(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\delta_3(s)}, Z_{s+\delta_3(s)})\|^2 ds.
\]

Using the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy’s and above inequality, the desired result follows. \(\square\)

With the help of Propositions 1 and 2, we can establish the following existence and uniqueness theorem in this part.

**Theorem 1.** Assume that \((A1), (A2), (H1), (H2)\) and \((H3)\) hold. Then, \(AGDDSDE (2)\) admits a unique solution \((Y, Z) \in \mathcal{S}^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^k) \times \mathcal{M}^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^{k \times d})\).

**Proof.** The uniqueness is easily given by Proposition 2. We now turn to prove its existence. For \(\mu \geq 0\), let \(M^2(K)\) represent the set of progressively measurable processes \(\{X(t), 0 \leq t \leq T + K\}\), which satisfy:
\[
E \int_0^{T+K} e^{\mu K_t} |X_t|^2 dt + E \int_0^{T+K} e^{\mu K_t} dK_t < \infty,
\]
and \(M^2_p\) represents the space of progressively measurable processes \(\{X(t), 0 \leq t \leq T + K\}\) which are such that:
\[
E \int_0^{T+K} e^{\mu K_t} |X_t|^2 dt < \infty.
\]

We define
\[
\mathcal{B}^2_p = (M^2_p(K))^n \times (M^2_p)^{m \times d}.
\]

Giving \((U, V) \in \mathcal{B}^2_p\), by Theorem 2.1 in [9], we can define a map \(\Phi\) from \(\mathcal{B}^2_p\) to \(\mathcal{B}^2_p\) through the equation:
\[
\begin{align*}
Y_t = & \xi_T + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_{s+\delta_1(s)}, V_{s+\delta_3(s)}) ds + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s, U_{s+\delta_2(s)}) dK_s \\
& + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_{s+\delta_3(s)}, V_{s+\delta_3(s)}) d\bar{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
Y_t = & \bar{\xi}_T, \quad Z_t = \eta_t, \quad t \in [T, T + K].
\end{align*}
\]

In the following, we will use the Banach contraction principle to prove the existence. Let \((U, V), (U', V') \in \mathcal{B}^2_p, (Y, Z) = \Phi(U, V), (Y', Z') = \Phi(U', V'),\n\]
\[
\begin{align*}
U = & U - U', V = V - V', Y = Y - Y', Z = Z - Z', \\
\mathcal{J}(s) = & f(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_{s+\delta_1(s)}, V_{s+\delta_3(s)}) - f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, U'_{s+\delta_1(s)}, V'_{s+\delta_3(s)}), \\
\mathcal{V}(s) = & g(s, Y_s, Z_s, U_{s+\delta_3(s)}, V_{s+\delta_3(s)}) - g(s, Y'_s, Z'_s, U'_{s+\delta_3(s)}, V'_{s+\delta_3(s)}), \\
\mathcal{T}(s) = & h(s, Y_s, U_{s+\delta_2(s)}) - h(s, Y'_s, U'_{s+\delta_2(s)}).
\end{align*}
\]
Consider the following equations:

\[
\begin{aligned}
Y_t &= \int_t^T \mathcal{J}(s)\,ds + \int_t^T \mathcal{H}(s)\,dK_s + \int_t^T \mathcal{Y}(s)\,d\mathbf{B}_s - \int_t^T \mathbf{Z}_s\,dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
Y_0 &= 0, \quad Z_t = 0, \quad t \in [T, T + K].
\end{aligned}
\]

For any \( \lambda, \theta > 0 \), in view of Itô’s formula, we have:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda t + \mu K_t} | \mathcal{Y}_t|^2] &+ \lambda \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,ds + \mu \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,dK_s + \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathbf{Z}_s|^2 \,ds \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} \langle \mathcal{Y}_s, \mathcal{J}(s) \rangle \,ds + 2 \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} \langle \mathcal{Y}_s, \mathcal{H}(s) \rangle \,dK_s + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}(s)|^2 \,ds \right] \\
&\leq \left( \theta + \frac{C}{\theta} + C \right) \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,ds + \left( \frac{C M}{\theta} + CM \right) \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathbf{Z}_s|^2 \,ds \\
&\quad + \left( \frac{2C + \beta \theta}{\theta} + 2 \right) \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,ds + \left( \frac{C M}{\theta} + CM \right) \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,dK_s + \left( \frac{2C + \beta \theta}{\theta} + 2 \right) \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathbf{Z}_s|^2 \,dK_s.
\end{aligned}
\]

Choosing \( \theta = \frac{C(M+1)}{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 M} + 2 \beta M, \lambda = \theta + \frac{C}{\theta} + C + 2 \left( \frac{C M}{\theta} + CM \right) + 2, \mu = |2C + \beta \theta| + 2 \left( \frac{C M}{\theta} + CM \right) + 4, \) we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,ds &+ \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,dK_s + \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left( \frac{C}{\theta} + \alpha_1 \right) e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathbf{Z}_s|^2 \,ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,ds + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,dK_s + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \left( \frac{C}{\theta} + \alpha_1 \right) e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathbf{Z}_s|^2 \,ds.
\end{aligned}
\]

Thus, \( \Phi \) is a strict contraction on \( \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{Y}^2 \) equipped with the norm

\[
|| (Y, Z) ||_\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{Y}^2 = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,ds + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathcal{Y}_s|^2 \,dK_s + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left( \frac{C}{\theta} + \alpha_1 \right) e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s} | \mathbf{Z}_s|^2 \,ds.
\]

The proof of existence is complete. \( \Box \)

4. Comparison Theorems

In this section, we consider one dimensional AGBDSDEs, that is, \( k = 1 \). Let us first give a comparison theorem of GBDSDEs, which will play a key role in what follows. Assume that, for \( i = 1, 2, \mathcal{C} \in L^2(\mathbb{F}_T; \mathbb{R}) \) and \( f((t, y, z) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfies (H1) and (H3). Then, according to Theorem 2.1 in [9], the following GBDSDE,

\[
\begin{aligned}
Y_t^i &= \xi^i + \int_t^T f^i(s, Y_s^i, Z_s)\,ds + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s)\,dK_s + \int_t^T g^i(s, Y_s, Z_s)\,d\mathbf{B}_s - \int_t^T Z_s\,dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T],
\end{aligned}
\]

admits a unique solution \( (Y^i, Z^i) \in \mathcal{S}^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{M}^2(0, T; \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). We can assert the following comparison theorem, which generalizes the Theorem 2.2 in [11].

**Lemma 1.** Let \( (Y^1, Z^1) \) and \( (Y^2, Z^2) \) be solutions of GBDSDEs (3) respectively. We suppose that (1) \( \xi^1 \geq \xi^2 \), a.s.; (2) \( f^1(t, Y^1, Z^1) \geq f^2(t, Y^1, Y^2) \), \( h^1(t, Y^1) \geq h^2(t, Y^2) \), \( h^1(t, Y^2) \geq h^2(t, Y^2) \), \( a.s., \ a.e. \in \mathcal{F}_T \); (3) \( g^1(t, Y^1, Z^1) \geq g^2(t, Y^1, Z^1) \), \( g^1(t, Y^2, Z^1) \geq g^2(t, Y^2, Z^2) \), \( a.s., \ a.e. \in \mathcal{F}_T \); (4) \( g^1(t, Y^1, Z^1) \geq g^2(t, Y^1, Y^2) \), \( g^1(t, Y^2, Z^1) \geq g^2(t, Y^2, Y^2) \), \( a.s., \ a.e. \in \mathcal{F}_T \).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we assume that \( \xi^1 \geq \xi^2 \), a.s., and \( f^1(t, Y^1, Z^1) \geq f^2(t, Y^1, Z^1), h^1(t, Y^1) \geq h^2(t, Y^1) \), a.s., for all \( t \in [0, T] \). Denote
\[ \dot{Y}_t = Y_t^2 - Y_t^1, \quad \dot{Z}_t = Z_t^2 - Z_t^1, \quad \xi = \xi^2 - \xi^1. \]
\[ \dot{f}_t = f^2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) - f^1(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1), \quad \dot{g}_t = g^2(t, Y_t^2, Z_t^2) - g^1(t, Y_t^1, Z_t^1), \quad \dot{h}_t = h^2(t, Y_t^2) - h^1(t, Y_t^1). \]

Using Itô-Meyer’s formula and \( \xi^1 \geq \xi^2 \), a.s., we have:

\[
E|\dot{Y}_t^+|^2 + E \int_t^T |\dot{Z}_s|^2 ds = 2E \int_t^T \dot{Y}_s f ds + 2E \int_t^T \dot{Y}_s h ds + E \int_t^T 1_{\{\dot{\xi} \geq 0\}} |\dot{\xi}|^2 ds. 
\]

In view of (H3), Young’s inequality \( 2ab \leq \frac{1}{b}a^2 + \theta b^2 \) and Jensen’s inequality, for any \( \theta > 0 \), we have:

\[
2E \int_t^T \dot{Y}_s f ds \leq 2E \int_t^T \dot{Y}_s^+ (f^2(s, Y_s^2, Z_s^2) - f^1(s, Y_s^1, Z_s^1)) ds 
\leq \frac{2C}{1-\alpha_1} E \int_t^T |\dot{Y}_s^+|^2 ds + \frac{1-\alpha_1}{2C} \int_t^T 1_{\{\dot{\xi} \geq 0\}} |\dot{\xi}|^2 ds,
\]

and

\[
2E \int_t^T \dot{Y}_s^+ h ds \leq 2E \int_t^T \dot{Y}_s^+ (h^2(s, Y_s^2) - h^1(s, Y_s^1)) ds \leq 2C E \int_t^T 1_{\{\dot{\xi} \geq 0\}} |\dot{Y}_s|^2 ds,
\]

and

\[
E \int_t^T 1_{\{\dot{\xi} \geq 0\}} |\dot{\xi}|^2 ds = E \int_t^T 1_{\{\dot{\xi} \geq 0\}} |\dot{\xi}|^2 ds \leq CE \int_t^T |\dot{Y}_s^+|^2 ds + \alpha_1 E \int_t^T 1_{\{\dot{\xi} \geq 0\}} |\dot{Z}_s|^2 ds.
\]

Then, thanks to the above inequalities, we obtain:

\[
E|\dot{Y}_t^+|^2 \leq (C + \frac{2C}{1-\alpha_1} + \frac{1-\alpha_1}{2}) E \int_t^T |\dot{Y}_s^+|^2 ds.
\]

From the Gronwall’s inequality, we can obtain:

\[
E|\dot{Y}_t^+|^2 = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].
\]

Hence

\[
Y_t^1 \geq Y_t^2, \quad \text{a.s., for all } t \in [0, T].
\]

Now let us turn to the study of the comparison theorem for anticipated GBDSDEs. For \( i = 1, 2 \), we first consider the following anticipated BDSDE:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
Y_t^i &= \xi_t^i + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^i, Z_s^i, \gamma_s^i_{\delta(s)} + Z_{s+\delta(s)}, \xi^i + \xi^i_{\delta(s)}) ds + \int_t^T h(s, Y_s^i, Y_{s+\delta(s)}^i, Z_{s+\delta(s)}) dK_s \\
&\quad + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s^i, Z_{s+\delta(s)}^i, \gamma_{s+\delta(s)}^i) dB_s - \int_t^T Z_{s+\delta(s)} dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T],
Y_0^i &= \xi_0^i, \quad Z_0^i = \eta_0^i,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Let us assume that \( \delta^i(\cdot), \gamma^i(\cdot), \xi^i(\cdot), \xi^i_{\delta^i} \) satisfy (A1) and (A2), \( \xi^i \in S^2([T, T + K]; \mathbb{R}), \eta^i \in \mathcal{M}^2(T, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d) \), and \( (f^i, g^i) \) satisfies (H1) and (H3). Then, by Theorem 1, anticipated GBDSDE (4) admits a unique solution \((Y^i, Z^i) \in S^2([0, T + K]; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{M}^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d)\) for \( i = 1, 2 \).
Theorem 2. Let \((Y^1, Z^1)\) and \((Y^2, Z^2)\) be solutions of AGBDSDEs (4) respectively. We suppose that (1) \(\xi^i_1 \geq \xi^i_2\), a.s., for all \(t \in [T, T + K]\); (2) \(f^i(t, Y^1_t, Z^1_t, Y^1_{t+\delta_1(t)} Z^1_{t+\xi^i_1(t)}) \geq f^2(t, Y^2_t, Z^2_t, Y^2_{t+\delta_2(t)} Z^2_{t+\xi^i_2(t)})\) or \(f^i(t, Y^1_t, Z^1_t, Y^1_{t+\delta_1(t)} Z^1_{t+\xi^i_1(t)}) \geq f^2(t, Y^2_t, Z^2_t, Y^2_{t+\delta_2(t)} Z^2_{t+\xi^i_2(t)})\); (3) \(h^i(t, Y^1_t, Z^1_t, Y^1_{t+\delta_1(t)} Z^1_{t+\xi^i_1(t)}) \geq h^2(t, Y^2_t, Z^2_t, Y^2_{t+\delta_2(t)} Z^2_{t+\xi^i_2(t)})\) or \(h^i(t, Y^1_t, Z^1_t, Y^1_{t+\delta_1(t)} Z^1_{t+\xi^i_1(t)}) \geq h^2(t, Y^2_t, Z^2_t, Y^2_{t+\delta_2(t)} Z^2_{t+\xi^i_2(t)})\); (4) \(g^1(t, Y^1_t, Z^1_t, Y^1_{t+\delta_1(t)} Z^1_{t+\xi^i_1(t)}) = g^2(t, Y^2_t, Z^2_t, Y^2_{t+\delta_2(t)} Z^2_{t+\xi^i_2(t)})\), a.s., a.e. \(t \in [0, T]\). Then \(Y^1_t \geq Y^2_t\), a.s., for all \(t \in [0, T + K]\).

Proof. For \(i = 1, 2\), denote

\[
F^i(t, y, z) = f^i(t, y, z, Y^i_{s+\delta_i(s)} Z^i_{s+\xi^i(s)}), \quad G^i(t, y, z) = g^i(t, y, z, Y^i_{s+\delta_i(s)} Z^i_{s+\xi^i(s)}),
\]

\[
H^i(t, y) = h^i(s, y, Y^i_{s+\delta_i(s)}),
\]

then \((Y^i, Z^i)\) is the unique solution of the following GBDSDE,

\[
Y^i_t = \xi^i_1 + \int_t^T F^i(s, Y^i_s, Z^i_s)ds + \int_t^T H^i(s, Y^i_s)dB_s + \int_t^T G^i(s, Y^i_s, Z^i_s)dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

According to Lemma 1, we can get

\[
Y^1_t \geq Y^2_t, \quad \text{a.s., for all } t \in [0, T],
\]

which implies

\[
Y^1_t \geq Y^2_t, \quad \text{a.s., for all } t \in [0, T + K].
\]

Let us give an example.

Example 1. Let \(f^1(t, y, z, \theta(t), \theta'(t)) = \|y\| + \|z\| + \frac{\|\theta(t)\|}{1 + 1} + \|\theta'(t)\| \times \cos \theta(t)\|, \quad f^2(t, y, z, \theta(t), \theta'(t)) = y - \|z\| + \frac{\|\theta(t)\|}{1 + 1} + \|\theta'(t)\| \times \sin \theta(t)\|, \quad g^1(t, y, z, \theta(t), \theta'(t)) = g^2(t, y, z, \theta(t), \theta'(t)) = y + \|z\|, \quad h^1(t, y, \theta(t)) = \|y\| + \frac{\|\theta(t)\|}{1 + 1}, \quad h^2(t, y, \theta(t)) = y - 1\). Then by Theorem 2, we can obtain \(Y^1_t \geq Y^2_t, \quad \text{a.s., for all } t \in [0, T + K] \text{ as long as the assumption (1) of Theorem 2 holds.}\)

Next, we turn to the study of another comparison theorem for anticipated GBDSDEs. For \(i = 1, 2\), we consider the following anticipated GBDSDE:

\[
\begin{align*}
Y^i_t &= \xi^i_1 + \int_t^T f^i(s, Y^i_s, Z^i_s, Y^i_{s+\delta_1(s)} Z^i_{s+\xi^i(s)})ds + \int_t^T h^i(s, Y^i_s, Y^i_{s+\delta_i(s)})dB_s + \int_t^T g^i(s, Y^i_s, Z^i_s, Y^i_{s+\delta_1(s)} Z^i_{s+\xi^i(s)})dW_s - \int_t^T Z^i_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
Y^i_t &= \xi^i_1, \quad Z^i_t = \eta^i_1, \quad t \in [T, T + K].
\end{align*}
\]

We always assume that \((\delta(\cdot), \xi^i(\cdot), \xi^i_1(\cdot), \xi^i_2(\cdot))\) satisfy (A1) and (A2), \(\xi^i_1 \in S^2([T, T + K]; \mathbb{R})\), \(\eta^i \in \mathcal{M}^2(T, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d)\) and \((f^i, g^i)\) satisfy (H1) and (H3). Then, by Theorem 1, anticipated GBDSDE (5) admits a unique solution \((Y^i, Z^i) \in S^2([0, T + K]; \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{M}^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d)\) for \(i = 1, 2\).

Theorem 3. Let \((Y^1, Z^1)\) and \((Y^2, Z^2)\) be solutions of AGBDSDEs (5) respectively. We suppose that (1) \(\xi^i_1 \geq \xi^i_2\), a.s., for all \(t \in [T, T + K]\); (2) \(f^1(t, Y^1_t, Z^1_t, Y^1_{t+\delta_1(t)} Z^1_{t+\xi^i_1(t)}) \geq f^2(t, Y^2_t, Z^2_t, Y^2_{t+\delta_2(t)} Z^2_{t+\xi^i_2(t)})\), a.s., a.e. \(t \in [0, T]\); (3) \(h^1(t, Y^1_t, Y^1_{t+\delta_1(t)} Z^1_{t+\xi^i_1(t)}) \geq h^2(t, Y^2_t, Y^2_{t+\delta_2(t)} Z^2_{t+\xi^i_2(t)})\), a.s., a.e. \(t \in [0, T]\); (4) for any \((t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d\), \((g^1, g^2)\) is increasing, that is, \(f^2(t, y, z, y_2, \gamma) \geq f^2(t, y, z, y_1, \gamma), \quad \text{if } y_2 \geq y_1, \quad \text{with} \quad (t, y, z, \gamma) \in [0, T + K]\).


According to the assumptions (1), (2), (4) and Lemma 1, we can get

\( Y_t \in T_{1}^2, \) a.s., for all \( t \in [0, T + K] \).

Proof. For \( i = 1, 2 \), denote:

\[
F'(t, y, z) = F'(t, y, z, Y^i_{t+\xi_1(t)}), G'(t, y, z) = G'(t, y, z, Y^i_{t+\xi_1(t)}),
\]

\[
H'(t, y) = h'(s, y, Y^i_{s+\xi_2(s)})
\]

then \( (Y^i, Z^i) \) is the unique solution of the following GBDSDEs,

\[
\begin{cases}
Y^i_t = \xi^i_t + \int_t^T F'(s, Y^i_s, Z^i_s) ds + \int_t^T H'(s, Y^i_s) d\mathcal{K}_s + \int_t^T G'(s, Y^i_s, Z^i_s) d\mathcal{B}_s \\
- \int_t^T Z^i_s dW_s, \ t \in [0, T],
\end{cases}
\]

\[
Y^i_T = \xi^i_T, \ Z^i_T = \eta^i_T, \ t \in [T, T + K].
\]

Let \( f^2(t, y, z) = f^2(t, y, z, Y^1_{t+\xi_1(t)}), \) then the following GBDSDE admits a unique solution \( (Y^3, Z^3) \),

\[
\begin{cases}
Y^3_t = \xi^3_t + \int_t^T f^2(s, Y^3_s, Z^3_s) ds + \int_t^T H^2(s, Y^3_s) d\mathcal{K}_s + \int_t^T G^2(s, Y^3_s, Z^3_s) d\mathcal{B}_s \\
- \int_t^T Z^3_s dW_s, \ t \in [0, T],
\end{cases}
\]

\[
Y^3_T = \xi^3_T, \ Z^3_T = \eta^3_T, \ t \in [T, T + K].
\]

According to the assumptions (1), (2), (4) and Lemma 1, we can get \( Y^i_0 \geq Y^3_0, \) a.s., for all \( t \in [0, T] \), which implies \( Y^i_t \geq Y^3_t, \) a.s., for all \( t \in [0, T + K] \). Let \( (Y^4, Z^4) \) be the unique solution for the following GBDSDEs:

\[
\begin{cases}
Y^4_t = \xi^4_T + \int_t^T f^2(s, Y^4_s, Z^4_s, Y^1_{t+\xi_1(t)}, Z^2_{t+\xi_2(t)}) ds + \int_t^T H^2(s, Y^4_s) d\mathcal{K}_s + \int_t^T G^2(s, Y^4_s, Z^4_s) d\mathcal{B}_s \\
- \int_t^T Z^4_s dW_s, t \in [0, T],
\end{cases}
\]

\[
Y^4_T = \xi^4_T, \ Z^4_T = \eta^4_T, \ t \in [T, T + K].
\]

From Lemma 1 and assumptions (3), we can get \( Y^3_t \geq Y^4_t, a.s., \) for all \( t \in [0, T] \), which implies \( Y^3_t \geq Y^4_t, a.s., \) for all \( t \in [0, T + K] \). For \( j \geq 5 \), define:

\[
\begin{cases}
Y^j_t = \xi^j_T + \int_t^T f^2(s, Y^j_s, Z^j_s, Y^1_{t+\xi_1(t)}, Z^2_{t+\xi_2(t)}) ds + \int_t^T H^2(s, Y^j_s) d\mathcal{K}_s + \int_t^T G^2(s, Y^j_s, Z^j_s) d\mathcal{B}_s \\
- \int_t^T Z^j_s dW_s, t \in [0, T],
\end{cases}
\]

\[
Y^j_T = \xi^j_T, \ Z^j_T = \eta^j_T, \ t \in [T, T + K].
\]

According to Lemma 1 and by induction, we can get \( Y^4_t \geq Y^3_t \geq \cdots \geq Y^j_t \geq Y^j_t, a.s., \) for all \( t \in [0, T + K] \); hence, for all \( j \geq 3 \)

\[
Y^j_t \geq Y^j_t, a.s., \text{ for all } t \in [0, T + K]. \quad (6)
\]

Set

\[
\hat{Y}^j_t = Y^j_t - Y^{j-1}_t, \ \hat{Z}^j_t = Z^j_t - Z^{j-1}_t.
\]
\[ f^I_i = f^2(t, Y^I_i, Z^I_i, Y^{I-1}_{i+\delta_i(t)}Z^2_{i+\delta_i^2(t)}) - f^2(t, Y^I_i, Z^I_i, Z^2_{i+\delta_i^2(t)}), \]
\[ \hat{G}^I_i = G^2(t, Y^I_i, Z^I_i) - G^2(t, Y^{I-1}_i, Z^I_i), \]
\[ \hat{H}^I_i = H^2(t, Y^I_i) - H^2(t, Y^{I-1}_i). \]

Then for \( j \geq 5 \), \((\hat{Y}^I_j, \hat{Z}^I_j)\) satisfies

\[
\begin{aligned}
\hat{Y}^I_j &= \int^T_t f^I_i ds + \int^T_t \hat{H}^I_i dK_s + \int^T_t \hat{G}^I_i dB_s - \int^T_t Z^I_j dW_s, t \in [0, T], \\
\hat{Y}^I_j &= 0, \quad Z^I_j = 0, \quad t \in [T, T + K].
\end{aligned}
\]

For any \( \lambda, \mu, \theta > 0 \), apply Itô’s formula to \( e^{\lambda t + \mu K_t}|\hat{Y}^I_j|^2 \), in view of \( \text{H3} \), Young’s inequality, Jensen’s inequality, we have:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[e^{\lambda t + \mu K_t}|\hat{Y}^I_j|^2] &\leq \lambda \int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_j|^2 ds + \mu \int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_s|^2 dK_s + \int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Z}^I_j|^2 ds \\
&= 2\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_j|^2 ds + 2\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_s|^2 dK_s + \mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Z}^I_j|^2 ds \\
&\leq (\theta + C_\theta + C)\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Y^I_s|^2 ds + (C_\theta + a_1)\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Z^I_s|^2 ds \\
&\quad + C\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_j|^2 ds + 2C\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_s|^2 dK_s.
\end{aligned}
\]

Choosing \( \theta = \frac{2C}{\alpha_1} + 2CM, \lambda = \theta + \frac{C_\theta}{\theta} + C + 1, \mu = 1 \), we have:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_s|^2 ds + \int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Y}^I_j|^2 dK_s + \int^T_t (1 - \frac{C}{\theta} - a_1) e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|\hat{Z}^I_j|^2 ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Y^I_s|^2 ds + \int^T_t e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Y^I_j|^2 dK_s + \int^T_t (1 - \frac{C}{\theta} - a_1) e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Z^I_j|^2 ds.
\end{aligned}
\]

Thus, we have proved that \((Y^I, Z^I)\) is a Cauchy sequence in \( \mathcal{B}_6^2 \) with the norm

\[
\|(Y, Z)\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\int^T_0 e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Y_s|^2 ds + \int^T_0 e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Y_s|^2 dK_s + \int^T_0 (1 - \frac{C}{\theta} - a_1) e^{\lambda s + \mu K_s}|Z_s|^2 ds,
\]

so it is also a Cauchy sequence in \( M^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}) \times M^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d) \). Therefore, there exists \((Y, Z) \in M^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}) \times M^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}^d)\) such that \( Y_t = \xi^2_t, Z_t = \eta^2_t \) for \( T \leq t \leq T + K \) and

\[
\mathbb{E}\int^T_0 |Y_t - Y_i|^2 dK_t + \mathbb{E}\int^T_0 |Y_t - Y_i|^2 dt + \mathbb{E}\int^T_0 |Z_t - Z_i|^2 dt \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad j \to \infty.
\]

Hence, it is easy to check that, as \( j \to \infty \),

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int^T_0 |f^2(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y^{I-1}_{s+\delta}(s), Z^2_{s+\delta^2(s)}) - f^2(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y^{I-1}_{s+\delta}(s), Z^2_{s+\delta^2(s)})|^2 ds \to 0, \\
&\mathbb{E}\int^T_0 |g^2(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y^{I-1}_{s+\delta}(s), Z^2_{s+\delta^2(s)}) - g^2(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y^{I-1}_{s+\delta}(s), Z^2_{s+\delta^2(s)})|^2 ds \to 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\mathbb{E}\int^T_0 |Z_s - Z_i|^2 dW_s \to 0.
\]

Furthermore, we can prove \( Y \in S^2(0, T + K; \mathbb{R}) \) through Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality. So, we conclude that \((Y, Z)\) solves the following AGBDSDE:
\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
Y_t &= \xi_t^2 + \int_0^T f^2(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\xi_t(s)}, Z_{s+\xi_t(s)})ds + \int_0^T h^2(s, Y_s, Y_{s+\xi_t(s)})dK_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^T g^2(s, Y_s, Z_s, Y_{s+\xi_t(s)}, Z_{s+\xi_t(s)})d\bar{B}_s - \int_0^T Z_s dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
Y_T &= \xi_T^2 + \zeta, \quad t \in [T, T+K].
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Then the uniqueness part of Theorem 1 shows that $Y_t = Y_t^2$, a.s., for all $t \in [0, T + K]$. Finally, letting $j \to \infty$ in (6) yields $Y_1^1 \geq Y_1^2$, a.s., for all $t \in [0, T + K]$. \hfill \Box

Let us give an example.

**Example 2.** Let $f^1(t, y, z, \theta(r), \vartheta(r)) = |y| + |z| + E^{\mathbb{F}(t)}[|\vartheta(r)|] + E^{\mathbb{F}(t)}[\cos \vartheta(r)]$, $f^2(t, y, z, \theta(r), \vartheta(r)) = y + |z| + E^{\mathbb{F}(t)}[\vartheta(r)]$, $g^1(t, y, z, \theta(r), \vartheta(r)) = g^2(t, y, z, \theta(r), \vartheta(r)) = \arctan(y) + |z|$, $h^1(t, y, \vartheta(r)) = |y| + E^{\mathbb{F}(t)}[\arctan\vartheta(r)]$, $h^2(t, y, \vartheta(r)) = y - \frac{\vartheta(r)}{2}$. Then by Theorem 3, we can derive $Y_1^1 \geq Y_1^2$, a.s., for all $t \in [0, T + K]$ as long as the assumption (1) of Theorem 3 holds.

### 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we explore a class of anticipated AGBDSDEs. We proved the existence and uniqueness of the solutions of this kind of AGBDSDE. Moreover, two comparison theorems are also proved. In the coming future papers, we will focus on studying this topic and pay more attention to the applications of such equations.
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