Effect of Random On-Site Energies on the Critical Temperature of a Lattice Bose Gas
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We study the effect of random on-site energies on the critical temperature of a non-interacting Bose gas on a lattice. In our derivation the on-site energies are distributed according a Gaussian probability distribution function having vanishing average and variance $\nu_v^2$. By using the replicated action obtained by averaging on the disorder, we perform a perturbative expansion for the Green functions of the disordered system. We evaluate the shift of the chemical potential induced by the disorder and we compute, for $\nu_v^2 \ll 1$, the critical temperature for condensation. We find that, for large filling, disorder slightly enhances the critical temperature for condensation.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

The study of the effect of disordered potentials on quantum particles is, since decades, an important field of research, one of the main motivations being the understanding of how electrons in disordered systems localize \cite{1,2}. The investigation of disorder effects on bosonic systems, motivated by experiments of adsorption of $^4$He in porous media \cite{3,4}, has been also very active, especially in relation to the issue of understanding how superfluidity properties are modified in random environments \cite{5,6,7}. In this respect, ultracold bosonic trapped gases \cite{8,9} provide a good experimental setup to study the effects of disorder on bosonic systems. In an ultracold Bose gas, disorder can be induced both by a laser speckle \cite{10,11} or by an incommensurate bichromatic potential \cite{12} (i.e., an auxiliary, incommensurate, lattice added to an optical lattice). These techniques recently allowed for the experimental observation of Anderson localization for matter waves in a random potential \cite{13,14}.

An important resource to control the properties of ultracold bosons is provided by the possibility of superimposing on them optical lattices \cite{15}, allowing for a fine tuning of the ratio between kinetic and interaction energies. The effective Hamiltonian for bosons in a deep optical lattice is the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian \cite{5,16}, i.e., a tight-binding boson-hopping model, i.e., the Bose-Hubbard model \cite{5,16} without the interaction term. Random on-site disorder together with a strong interparticle interaction between lattice bosons is expected to induce a Bose glass phase \cite{5,17}, which has been recently studied both from a theoretical \cite{18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29} and experimental \cite{12} side. In the opposite limit of very weak interaction, at $T = 0$ the Anderson localization has been studied \cite{13}: the study of this limit is feasible thanks to the possibility of tuning the s-wave scattering length $a$ of a $^{87}$Rb Bose gas with high precision, and setting it almost to zero \cite{30}. When an optical lattice is superimposed to an ideal Bose gas, for large values of the laser power, the system is described by a boson-hopping model, i.e., the Bose-Hubbard model \cite{5,16} without the interaction term.

In \cite{31} the thermodynamic properties of a Bose gas in a disordered lattice have been analyzed and the shift of the critical temperature for condensation induced by the disorder was determined. For a three-dimensional lattice it has been found that the shift of the critical temperature depends on the filling, i.e., on the number of particles per lattice site. When disorder affects the hopping rates between neighbouring sites, the critical temperature $T_c$ is enhanced for large filling $f$ and the shift does not sensibly depend on $f$ \cite{31}; at variance, for small $f$, $T_c$ decreases. In presence of random on-site energies, $T_c$ increases for large filling, but much less than for for bond-disordered lattices, resulting in very small shift of $T_c$ for small disorder. These results should be compared with the findings for a continuous (i.e., without optical lattice) Bose gas in presence of a disordered potential \cite{32,33,34,33,35,36}, without any confining potential, it has been shown that the critical temperature decreases with disorder \cite{32}. In Refs. \cite{36,37} the properties, both at $T = 0$ and at finite temperature, of a continuous Bose gas in a random potential were investigated in the frame of a self-consistent mean-field approach. The shift $\delta T_c$ of the critical temperature has been computed in a Hartree-Fock approach by Lopatin and Vinokur \cite{32} as

\begin{equation}
\frac{\delta T_c}{T_c^{(0)}} = -K_c \frac{m^3 k_B T_c^{(0)}}{6\pi^2 \hbar \rho}
\end{equation}
where $\rho$ is the density, $m$ the mass of the bosonic atoms and $T_c^{(0)}$ the critical temperature for condensation in absence of disorder. In Eq. (1) $K$ is the strength of the disorder: the disorder potential $u(\vec{r})$ has averages $u(\vec{r}) = 0$ and $u(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = K \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$ (the bar denotes the average all disorder configurations). The result (1) has been confirmed in [33] by one-loop Wilson renormalization-group calculations. The shift of the critical temperature for the continuous Bose gas has been also recently computed in [35] using the Popov method, obtaining a value for the relative shift $\delta T^c / T_c^{(0)}$ which differs by a factor of 1/2 from the result (1). A discussion of the reasons for such difference is contained in [33]. In presence of an optical lattice, the decrease of the critical temperature is found for small filling, for which the result (1) is retrieved [31]: however, on the lattice, different behaviours are possible as a result of the interplay between discreteness and disorder [31].

The aim of this paper is to provide details of the results presented in [31], focusing on the physically relevant case of random on-site energies. We shall present a detailed derivation of the computation of the Green functions at the first order in the disorder parameter, and discuss the contributions from higher order terms. The plan of the paper is the following: in Section II the model Hamiltonian is presented and the results in absence of disorder are reviewed. In Section III the computation of the replicated action is presented. In Section IV, the shift of the chemical potential induced by random on-site energies is computed by a perturbative expansion of the Green functions and the resulting shift of the critical temperature is determined and discussed. Our conclusions are in Section V.

II. THE MODEL HAMILTONIAN

When there are random on-site energies, non-interacting bosons on a three-dimensional lattice are described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_i \right) + \sum_i \epsilon_i \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i. \quad (2)$$

In Eq. (2) $t$ is the tunneling rate between neighboring sites, the lattice sites are denoted by $i, j$, the operator $\hat{b}_i$ ($\hat{b}_i^\dagger$) destroys (creates) a boson in the lattice site $i$ and the sum is on all the distinct pairs of neighbouring sites. The number of sites is denoted by $N_S$: for a cubic lattice with of linear size $L$, $N_S = L^3$. The total number of particles is $N_T$ and the filling (i.e. the average number of particles per site) is given by

$$f = \frac{N_T}{N_S}. \quad (3)$$

In the Hamiltonian (2), the random on-site energies are accounted for by the $\epsilon_i$’s which are regarded as random variables with vanishing average and variance $v_0^2 \ell^2$. We shall consider a small disorder ($v_0^2 \lesssim 1$) and we assume that, at each site, the disorder has probability distribution $P(\epsilon_i)$ given by

$$P(\epsilon_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v_0^2}} e^{-\epsilon_i^2 / 2v_0^2}. \quad (4)$$

When there is no disorder ($\epsilon_i = 0$), the Hamiltonian (2) reads

$$\hat{H}^{(0)} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_i \right) \quad (5)$$

(hereafter, the superscript $^{(0)}$ is used to describe bosons when on-site disorder is absent). The critical temperature for condensation $T_c^{(0)}$ can be determined in the usual way [3, 4]: one introduces the chemical potential $\mu$ to enforce the conservation of the total number of particles and replaces $\hat{H}^{(0)}$ with $\hat{K}^{(0)} = \hat{H}^{(0)} - \mu \hat{N}$, where $\hat{N} = \sum_i \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i$ is the total number operator. Requiring $\langle \hat{N} \rangle = N_T$ and performing the thermodynamical limit ($N_S, N_T \to \infty$ at fixed filling $f$), one gets

$$\int_{BZ} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{\beta(E_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)} - 1} = f, \quad (6)$$

where the integral is on the first Brillouin zone and

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t (\cos k_x + \cos k_y + \cos k_z) \quad (7)$$
are the single particle energies (periodic boundary conditions have been assumed). The critical temperature $T_{c}^{(0)}$ is then determined by requiring $\mu(T_{c}^{(0)}) = \mu_{c}$, which yields

$$\int_{BZ} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{\beta \epsilon_{c}(E_{k} - \mu_{c})} - 1} = f$$

(8)

(where $\beta_{c}^{(0)} = 1/k_{B}T_{c}^{(0)}$).

In [31] it is shown that a reasonable estimate of $T_{c}^{(0)}$, valid for large filling, may be obtained by keeping only the lowest order of the Taylor expansion of the exponential in Eq. (8). One gets

$$k_{B}T_{c}^{(0)} \approx \frac{6tf}{W(1)},$$

(9)

where $W(1) \approx 1.516386$ is the value of the three-dimensional generalized Watson’s integral

$$W(z) \equiv \int_{BZ} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3z} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \cos k_{\ell}}$$

(10)

computed in $z = 1$ [38]. In [31] the value of $T_{c}^{(0)}$ given by Eq. (9) is compared with the numerical solution of Eq. (8), showing that this estimate is quite good also for intermediate values of the filling: e.g., for $f \gtrsim 5$, the relative error is less than 5%.

### III. EFFECTIVE REPLICATED ACTION

For the Hamiltonian (2) with random on-site energies, the partition function can be written as a path integral [39]

$$Z = \int \prod D\varphi_{i}(\tau) D\varphi_{i}^{*}(\tau) e^{-S}$$

(11)

($\hbar = k_{B} = 1$), where the action $S$ is given by

$$S = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left\{ \sum_{i} \varphi_{i}^{*} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \mu + \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \varphi_{i} \right) \varphi_{i} + \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} \varphi_{i}^{*} \varphi_{i} - t \sum_{(i,j)} \left( \varphi_{i}^{*} \varphi_{j} + \varphi_{j}^{*} \varphi_{i} \right) \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Of course, the partition function (11) depends on the on-site energies distribution, i.e., $Z = Z(\{\epsilon\})$. The fields $\varphi$’s describe Bose particles.

As it is usual when one deals with a disordered system, one introduces $N$ replicas of the system and, after averaging the action on the disorder, performs the limit $N \rightarrow 0$ [40]. This allows to write down a perturbative expansion of the Green functions. Labeling the $N$ replicas by $\alpha = 1, \cdots, N$, one has

$$Z^{N}(\{\epsilon\}) = \int \prod D\varphi_{i}^{\alpha}(\tau) D\varphi_{i}^{\alpha*}(\tau) \exp \left\{ - \sum_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left[ \sum_{i} \varphi_{i}^{\alpha*} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \mu + \epsilon_{i} \right) \varphi_{i}^{\alpha} - t \sum_{(i,j)} \left( \varphi_{i}^{\alpha*} \varphi_{j}^{\alpha} + \varphi_{j}^{\alpha*} \varphi_{i}^{\alpha} \right) \right] \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The averaged effective partition function is given by

$$\overline{Z^{N}(\{\epsilon\})} = \int \prod d\epsilon_{i} P(\epsilon_{i}) Z^{N}(\{\epsilon\}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $P(\epsilon_{i})$ is the Gaussian probability distribution [41]. After integrating over the on-site energies $\epsilon_{i}$’s, one gets

$$\overline{Z^{N}(\{\epsilon\})} = \int \prod D\varphi_{i}^{\alpha}(\tau) D\varphi_{i}^{\alpha*}(\tau) e^{-S_{eff}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)
where the effective replicated action $S_{\text{eff}}$ is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \left\{ \sum_{i} \varphi_{i}^{*\alpha}(\tau) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \mu \right) \varphi_{i}^{\alpha}(\tau) - t \sum_{i,j} \left( \varphi_{i}^{\alpha}(\tau) \varphi_{j}^{*\alpha}(\tau) + \varphi_{i}^{*\alpha}(\tau) \varphi_{j}^{\alpha}(\tau) \right) \right\}$$

- $S_{\text{eff}}$ is given by

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau' \varphi_{i}^{\alpha}(\tau) \varphi_{i}^{*\alpha}(\tau') \varphi_{j}^{\beta}(\tau') \varphi_{j}^{*\beta}(\tau').$$

As one can see from (16), in the replicated action the disorder enters as an effective attractive interaction between replicas with strength given by $v_{\sigma}^{2}t^{2}/2$.

### IV. SHIFT OF THE CRITICAL TEMPERATURE

In this Section we determine the shift $\delta T_{c} \equiv T_{c} - T_{c}^{(0)}$ of the critical temperature for non-interacting bosons in a three-dimensional lattice with random on-site energies. To do this, we use the replicated action (16) to write down a perturbative expansion in $v_{\sigma}^{2}$ of the Green functions of the disordered system: this allows to compute both the shift of the chemical potential induced by the disorder and the shift $\delta T_{c}$.

The relevant Green functions are

$$G_{ij}(z) = \langle \varphi_{i} \varphi_{j}^{*} \rangle_{v_{\sigma}=0} = \int_{BZ} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{e^{ik(i-j)}}{E_{k} - z}$$

$$G_{ij}(z) = \langle \varphi_{i} \varphi_{j}^{*} \rangle_{v_{\sigma}=0}$$

where $z = \mu + i\omega_{n}$ and $\omega_{n}$ are the bosonic Matsubara frequencies. With $\mathcal{G}$ ($G$) we denote the Green function when there is not (there is) disorder. Upon introducing the self-energy function $\Sigma(k, z)$, one may write the Dyson equation in Fourier space as

$$G^{-1}(k, z) = \mathcal{G}^{-1}(k, z) + [\Sigma(k, z) - \delta \mu],$$

where the Fourier transform of $\mathcal{G}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}^{-1}(k, z) = E_{k} - z$$

and $\delta \mu$ (the shift of the chemical potential) is defined as

$$\delta \mu = \Sigma(k = 0, z = \mu).$$

Bose-Einstein condensation occurs when

$$G(k, z)^{-1}\bigg|_{k=0,z=\mu} = -6t - \mu + [\Sigma(0, \mu) - \delta \mu] = 0$$

which, at zeroth order in $v_{\sigma}^{2}$, is solved by

$$\mu_{c} = -6t :$$

thus, at any order, the chemical potential at $T_{c}$ is given by $\mu_{c} + \delta \mu_{c} = -6t + \Sigma(-6t)$.

To compute Eq. (18) at the first order in $v_{\sigma}^{2}$, one needs to evaluate the first terms of the Taylor expansion of $e^{-S_{\text{eff}}}$. Using Wick’s theorem one gets

$$\left\{ \langle \varphi_{i}^{1} \varphi_{j}^{*1} \varphi_{i}^{*\alpha} \varphi_{i}^{\gamma} \varphi_{i}^{\gamma} \rangle_{0} \right\} = v_{\sigma}^{2}t^{2} \sum_{i,\alpha,\gamma} \left\{ \langle \varphi_{i}^{1} \varphi_{j}^{*1} \varphi_{i}^{*\alpha} \varphi_{i}^{\gamma} \varphi_{i}^{\gamma} \rangle_{0} \langle \varphi_{i}^{1} \varphi_{j}^{*1} \varphi_{i}^{*\alpha} \varphi_{i}^{\gamma} \varphi_{i}^{\gamma} \rangle_{0} \right\}.$$

The quantities

$$\langle \varphi_{i}^{\alpha} \varphi_{i}^{\gamma} \rangle_{0} = \delta_{\alpha\gamma} G_{ij}$$

are diagonal in the replica indices. From Eq. (23) one gets

$$G_{im} = G_{im} + v_{\sigma}^{2}t^{2} \sum_{i} (1 + N) G_{ii} G_{ii} G_{im} + O(v_{\sigma}^{4}),$$

(24)
which for $N \to 0$ leads to

$$ G_{lm} = G_{lm} + v_o^2 t^2 \sum_i G_{li} G_{il} G_{im} + O(v_o^4). $$

(25)

From Eq. (24) and using Eq. (17) one gets for the self-energy:

$$ \Sigma_i(k, z) = -v_o^2 t^2 G_{ii} = -v_o^2 t^2 \int_{BZ} \frac{dk'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{k'} - z} \equiv -v_o^2 t^2 F(z), $$

(26)

where the subscript $i$ denotes that we are including contributions up to the order $v_o^2$; furthermore

$$ F(z) = \frac{1}{z} W\left(-\frac{z}{6t}\right) $$

(27)

with $W(z)$ defined in Eq. (10). From Eq. (26) one may readily compute at the critical point the shift of the chemical potential $\delta \mu_{c1}$ at the first order in $v_o^2$: one finds

$$ \delta \mu_{c1} = -v_o^2 t^2 F(-6t) = v_o^2 t \frac{W(1)}{6} \approx 0.25 v_o^2 t. $$

(28)

As one can see from Eq. (22), due to the contribution given by the self-energy to the chemical potential, the filling fraction $f$ is changed in presence of disorder to $f + \delta f_1$, with $\delta f_1$ given by

$$ \delta f_1 = \lim_{\tau \to 0^-} T_c \sum_n e^{-i\omega_n \tau} \int_{BZ} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{E_k - z + \Sigma_1(z) - \delta \mu_{c1}} - 1 \right\} \Big|_{z = \mu_c + i\omega_n} $$

$$ \simeq -\lim_{\tau \to 0^-} T_c \sum_n e^{-i\omega_n \tau} v_o^2 t^2 \int_{BZ} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\Sigma_1(z) - \delta \mu_{c1}}{(E_k - z)^2} \Big|_{z = \mu_c + i\omega_n}. $$

(29)

Substituting

$$ \int_{BZ} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(E_k - z)^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} F(z) $$

(30)

in Eq. (29), one gets

$$ \delta f_1 = \lim_{\tau \to 0^-} T_c \sum_n e^{-i\omega_n \tau} v_o^2 t^2 \left\{ \left[ F(z) - F(\mu_c) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} F(z) \right\} \Big|_{z = \mu_c + i\omega_n}. $$

(31)

For large values of the filling $f$, the dominant contribution in Eq. (31) is given by the lowest Matsubara frequency, which yields

$$ \delta f_1 = v_o^2 t^2 T_c \lim_{z \to \mu_c} \left\{ \left[ F(z) - F(\mu_c) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial z} F(z) \right\}. $$

(32)

For an explicit computation of (32), it is most useful to define the dimensionless variable $\tilde{z} = z/t$ and the function $\tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) = -(1/\tilde{z}) W(-\tilde{z}/6)$. Since $\mu_c/t = -6$, one has

$$ \delta f_1 = A v_o^2 \frac{T_c}{t} $$

(33)

where the numerical coefficient $A$ is given by

$$ A = \lim_{\tilde{z} \to -6} \left\{ \left[ \tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) - \tilde{F}(-6) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) \right\}. $$

(34)

In order to compute $A$, one may use the expressions of $\tilde{F}$ and $W$ in terms of elliptic integrals given in [41]; it is

$$ W(\tilde{z}) = \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{1 - 2 x_1}{(1 - x_1)^2}} K(k) K(k_+). $$

(35)
where \( K(k) \) is the complete integral of the first kind \[^{42}\] \( x_1 = x_1(\tilde{z}) = 1/2 + 1/6\tilde{z}^2 - (1/2)\sqrt{1-\tilde{z}^2}(1-1/9\tilde{z}^2) \) and \( k_\pm^2 = k_\pm^2(\tilde{z}) = 1/2 \pm (1/4)x_2\sqrt{1-x_2} - (1/4)(2-x_2)\sqrt{-1-x_2} \) with \( x_2 = x_1/(x_1 - 1) \).

One sees that, although the function \( \partial \tilde{F}/\partial \tilde{z} \) diverges for \( \tilde{z} \to -6^- \), \([\tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) - \tilde{F}(-6)] \cdot \partial \tilde{F}/\partial \tilde{z} \), yields a finite value of \( A \). Using \(^{35}\), one may expand the functions \( \tilde{F} \) and \( \partial \tilde{F}/\partial \tilde{z} \) in terms of \( \eta^2 \equiv \tilde{z}^2 - 36 \to 0^+ \). One gets

\[
W(-\tilde{z}/6) = W(1) - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{36}{\tilde{z}^2}} + O(\eta^2),
\]

\[
\tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) = -\frac{1}{\tilde{z}} \left( W(1) - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{36}{\tilde{z}^2}} \right) + O(\eta^2)
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} \tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) = \left( \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \frac{36}{\tilde{z}^4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{36}{\tilde{z}^2}}} \right) + O(1).
\]

Inserting Eqs. \(^{37}\)-\(^{38}\) in Eq. \(^{34}\), one readily finds

\[
A = -\frac{1}{32\pi^2}.
\]

As a result of Eq. \(^{9}\), one notices that, for large \( f \), there is a linear relation between \( T_c^{(0)} \) and \( f \), which is given by

\[
\frac{W(1)}{6t} T_c^{(0)} = f.
\]

At the order \( v_o^2 \), taking into account the shift in the particle density given by Eq. \(^{39}\), one has

\[
\frac{W(1)}{6t} T_c + \delta f_1 = f.
\]

From Eqs. \(^{40}\) and \(^{41}\) and using Eq. \(^{39}\), one obtains

\[
T_c = T_c^{(0)} \left( 1 + \frac{3}{16\pi^2 W(1)} v_o^2 \right),
\]

from which

\[
\frac{\delta T_c}{T_c^{(0)}} = \frac{3v_o^2}{16\pi^2 W(1)} \approx 0.0125 v_o^2.
\]

To go beyond the first order results, one may be tempted to use the approach based on the momentum space renormalization of the vertex function: within ladder approximation, the renormalized vertex \( \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, z_1, z_2) \) can be written for \( z_1 = z_2 \equiv z \) as

\[
\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{k}, z) = \frac{v_o^2\tau_2}{1 - v_o^2\tau_2 \Pi(\mathbf{k}, z)},
\]

where the polarization function is given by

\[
\Pi(\mathbf{k}, z) = \int_{BZ} \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(E_{\mathbf{q}} - z)(E_{\mathbf{q+k}} - z)}.
\]

As a result, the self-energy \( \Sigma(z) \) is given by

\[
\Sigma(z) = -\int_{BZ} \frac{d\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathcal{V}(0, z)}{E_{\mathbf{k'}} - z}.
\]
and it contributes to the equation for the filling fraction as

$$f = \lim_{\tau \to 0} T_c \sum_n e^{-\omega_n \tau} \int_{BZ} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \frac{1}{E_k - z + \Sigma(z) - \delta\mu_c} \right\} \bigg|_{z = \mu_c + i\omega_n}.$$  (47)

At the first order in $v_0^2$, the vertex function $\Sigma(z)$ is simply given by $v_0^2 t^2$ and the corresponding self-energy is $\Sigma(z) = -v_0^2 t^2 F(z)$, as given by [23]. Using Eq. (47), one, of course, retrieves for the shift of the critical temperature the result [43]. If one attempts to compute the contribution to $f$ coming from higher powers in $v_0$, one is immediately faced with problems arising from infrared divergences. In fact,

$$\Pi(k = 0, z) = \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial z}$$

diverges at $z = \mu_c$. The situation is similar to what happens for the computation of the shift of the critical temperature due to weak repulsive interactions, where the perturbation theory also fails to give a finite expression for $\delta T_c$, as discussed in [43].

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we investigated the effect of random on-site energies on the critical temperature of a non-interacting Bose gas on a lattice. By using the replicated action obtained by averaging on the disorder, we performed a perturbative expansion for the Green functions of the disordered system. We computed, for $v_0^2 \ll 1$, the shift of the chemical potential induced by the disorder and the critical temperature for condensation. For large filling, the critical temperature is slightly enhanced with respect to the situation in absence of disorder: the relative shift $\delta T_c/T_c^{(0)}$ is given by $\delta T_c = av_0^2$, where the numerical coefficient $a$ is positive and small ($a \approx 0.0125$).
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