Due to the emerging development in the energy industry, the demand for electricity consumption has sharply increased for each country. Therefore, a new recovery of energy resources is needed in consequence of the decreasing dependency on conventional energy resources, while sustaining energy security in the aspect of energy supply and climate change issues. The fuel cell is one of the most potential resources to be explored in order to overcome the constraints of the current energy generation. The aim of this paper is to discuss the entire cycle of the fuel cell system. It is starting from biogas production up to the recent studies related to life cycle assessment on fuel cell studies. Most of the researchers focused on the technical part of fuel cells; however, a comprehensive environmental assessment is essential to fully recognize the impact of fuel cells. Furthermore, this conceptual paper provided an idea on understanding the concept of fuel cell and referred to recently published articles related to life cycle assessment. Hopefully, this study can provide the guideline in determining the future energy for this country, in order to be less dependent on the current resources of energy supply.
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1. Introduction

Energy security and climate change issues encouraged the world to explore alternatives resources in electricity generation. Global energy security is one of the key concerns as it depends on the stability of energy supplies from energy exporters [1]. The fuel cell is one potential renewable energy (RE) system that can generate electricity via sustainable methods. Currently, Korea had already generated electricity using fuel cells for 59MW of electricity capacity [2]. In the United States, the largest fuel cell facility has already operated with a capacity of 14.9MW. Certain corporate buildings and commercial buildings in the US namely hospitals and shops have also begun operating using fuel cells from 200kW to 1MW. Meanwhile in Japan, about 120,000 fuel cell units have been installed for residential application. Singapore believes that the consumption of hydrogen technologies such as fuel cell can achieve its ambitious goal towards the Sustainable Singapore Blueprint [3]. Indonesia has already signed a memorandum of understanding (MOU) with fuel cell developer, AFC Energy...
which is based in the United Kingdom. Biomass resources are consumed to generate hydrogen gas for AFC Energy’s fuel cells producing electricity [4]. In Thailand, the feasibility study indicated that the potential of solid oxide fuel cell to generate is about 1,069.42 GWh/yr of electricity [5]. Even though fuel cell is quite new and still under the research and development phase in the ASEAN region, it is highly potential to become the future energy system. Nevertheless, in developed countries, the encouragement of fuel cells is heavily supported by the government through legislation and policy. Table 1 lists the development of worldwide fuel cell consumption.

| Table 1 | Development of worldwide fuel cell consumption [6] |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Country** | **Development** | **Target** |
| Austria | Implemented regulation increased the market in fuel cell consumptions. Currently, Austrian stakeholders have already implemented training of combined heat and power (CHP) systems that use fuel cell system. | Currently, the electricity supply is about 32.5%. By 2020, it is targeted to increase up to 34%. |
| Denmark | About 20% of government funding are channelled towards hydrogen and fuel cell research and development. | By 2050, the aim is to generate electricity totally from renewable energy. |
| Germany | Focus on the stationary power output of 5kW for residential supply. By 2015, 800 units were installed as a demo project, in energy and heating systems. 1000 MW of fuel cell CHP have already operated under 500,000 fuel cell heating appliances. | By 2050, it is targeted that the total energy supply will be 80% from renewable energy. |
| Italy | The Regional Energy Plan already contains training regarding fuel cell technologies specifically focusing on the consumption of hydrogen fuel and component system of generation up to distribution. | Improving energy security and achieve 20% of renewable energy consumption. |
| Japan | In 2009, Japan has turned into the world’s earliest country that commercialised residential fuel cell systems. Then in 2014, about 70,000 units of fuel cell were operated in Japan. | By 2030, it is targeted that 10% of the residential sector will consume energy from stationary fuel cells that are equivalent to 5.3 million units installed. |
| South Korea | Implemented the policies in terms of subsidies and renewable portfolio standard (RPS). These will motivate them to penetrate fuel cell to the industries. Consequently, companies like POSCO Energy and Hyundai Motors are moving towards fuel cell consumption. A subsidy is provided for installation costs up to 50%. | Target to consume 11% of RE by 2035. |
| Sweden | For the development of fuel cell and hydrogen projects, the government allocated EUR2 million a year. | By 2020, the aim for renewable energy consumption is 50%, which consists of 10% from the transportation sector, 20% from energy efficiency and 40% from greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reduction. |
| United States of America | 30% of tax credit for installed fuel cell system. | By 2023, to reduce 3 billion tons of cumulative carbon emissions. |
It seems that fuel cell technology has attracted many interests to be explored and utilised by combining it with available renewable energy resources. Therefore, this paper discusses the development of fuel cell including the type of fuel cell and biogas production in Malaysia that concentrates on technology and currently available biogas plants. Apart from that, it covers too about the life cycle assessment studies and recent studies on LCA that focus on the fuel cell.

2. Development of Fuel Cell

A fuel cell is an electrochemical component that provides electricity output from chemical energy that can be used in mobile and stationary applications. The inputs to the system are hydrogen gas or biogas and oxygen gas, while the outputs from the system are electricity and water. The type of fuel cell will determine the needed input gas and the output efficiency. There are seven types of the fuel cell, namely proton-exchange membrane fuel cell, direct methanol fuel cells, alkaline fuel cells, phosphoric acid fuel cells, molten carbonate fuel cells, solid oxide fuel cells, and reversible fuel cells based on Figure 1.

![Fig. 1. Concept of a fuel cell system](image)

The most common applications of fuel cell technologies are molten carbonate fuel cells (MCFC), solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC), and phosphoric acid fuel cells (PAFC). However, proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFC) and alkaline fuel cells (AFC) have a small installation percentage that has been deployed to date [7].

2.1 Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC)

SOFCs are given higher efficiency up to 60% when transformed from chemical energy to electrical energy [8]. SOFCs have diverse fuel feeding systems that can inject natural gas, ammonia, hydrogen, methanol, biogas, synthesis gas etc. as input gas [9]. When it allows biogas feeding as input to the system, the emissions in terms of carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (NOx), and sulfur oxide (SOx) are lower as compared to the conventional boiler [10]. Research that studied biogas feeding [11] showed the result of applicable factors that could affect the overall efficiency of SOFC power plants. There is also a study that integrated SOFC with the pyrolysis-gasification process that generated electricity using a green treatment technique of plastic waste [12]. Rationally, if the fuel gas has
carbon atoms, the fuel cell system output can emit carbon dioxide. However, the emission is called carbon-neutral if the fuel gas is originally from biomass resources [13]. The efficiency of the SOFC gasification system can go up to 43% based on experiments of commercialised gasification and the use of SOFC stack [14]. The fuel variety input of SOFCs permits flexible plants that can produce power with economically-friendly benefits that attract the market in terms of fuel price without any risk and impact to the environment [15].

2.2 Microbial Fuel Cell (MFC)

Microbial fuel cells (MFCs) are one of the technologies for the future due to the applicable systems that consume wastewater to generate electricity. Its design factor allows the biological process to be directly converted to electrical power [16]. MFCs is one of the most promising methods to generate green energy [17].

2.3 Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC)

This type of fuel cell is capable of establishing technology for combined heat and power plants [18]. Even though the study on fuel cell technology can be easily found in the journal (Table 2), the focus on life cycle assessment is still limited. Since fuel cell application in the Malaysian industries is still in its early stage, evaluating the entire life cycle of the system is probable to measure which component of the process offers the most significance towards environmental weight. Besides, it is needful to search for potential results towards achieving an environmental performance developments [21].

### Table 2

| Fuel Cell Type | Biomass Type                | Description                                                   | Ref  |
|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| SOFC           | Wood chips.                | Efficiencies up to 62% when used gasification with combined SOFC. | [14] |
| SOFC           | Sawdust, manure, solid waste. | Model of syngas fueled to the system.                         | [19] |
| SOFC           | Use ammonia as fuel to SOFC, generate 100 kg/day of hydrogen (equivalent to energy for fueling up to 20–30 fuel cell vehicles) as well as fast-charging electric vehicle and heat for local consumption. | [20] |

3. Biogas Production in Malaysia

Malaysia generates a huge potential in biogas production due to the abundance of biomass resources especially oil palm [22]. Palm oil waste is potentially proposed in biogas production among many other varieties of biomass types that exist. Figure 2 shows the variety of biomass production in Malaysia.
Figure 3 displays the waste production from palm oil mills in Malaysia. The type of wastes from mills such as palm oil mill effluent (POME), empty fruit bunch (EFB), palm fibre and palm shell are potentially applied in generating biogas. Therefore, an appropriate waste management system in palm oil mills can provide a clean and sustainable environment [23]. According to Shafie et al., [24], by 2030, Malaysia has the potential to generate about 1474MW of electricity by using biogas resources. This is expected to fulfil Malaysia’s National Renewable Energy Policy that targets 20% of renewable energy in mixing energy generation towards 2030. One way to achieve this is by enabling greater access to renewable energy sources. Other policies that encourage biogas production as energy resources are the Renewable Energy Act 2011 and Sustainable Development Energy Act 2011. Malaysia also has the National Biofuel Policy that aims to establish the consumption of biodiesel. In total, Malaysia has nine policies that are all related to the penetration of RE and strengthening energy security for sustainability purposes [25]. As the government strengthens the biogas industry, it is forecasted that this sector will contribute about RM20.2 billion to Malaysia’s bioeconomy by 2020. The price of biomass per tons in the National Biomass Strategy study is RM200 per dry ton or equivalent to USD56 per dry ton [26].
Biogas is a biofuel that is produced from the microbial fermentation of organic waste [23]. It is a gas that is produced by the organic substrates under the anaerobic environment, among the complicated organic matter that is decomposed by anaerobic bacteria. The components inside the biogas are methane (55% to 70%), carbon dioxide (30% to 45%), and other traces of gases such as oxygen, carbon monoxide, and hydrogen sulphide. The smell of biogas is like the stench of rotten eggs; nevertheless, after desulphurisation, the smell is not easily perceptible. The raw materials to produce biogas are organic wastes such as biomass, animal waste, and wastewater. There are several commercialised techniques to produce biogas like anaerobic digestion and gasification.

3.1 Anaerobic Digestion

Anaerobic digestion is one of the thermal processes. It is a biological method that turns biodegradable matters into methane and carbon dioxide by numerous microorganisms in the environment without oxygen [27]. There are four stages in anaerobic digestion namely hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanation [27]. Figure 4 shows the degradation steps of the anaerobic digestion process for palm oil waste [28].

The hydrolysis stage is a chemical decomposition process that uses water to decompose chemical bonds of organic matter [29]. The acidogenesis stage ferments soluble matter produced by hydrolysis and generates volatile fatty acids (VFAs), carbon dioxide, hydrogen gas, and alcohols [30]. Acetogenesis is the process in which acetate is formed by reducing carbon dioxide from an organic acid. As for methanation, it is the process of methane generation from carbon dioxide and hydrogen, formate, alcohols, and methylated C1 compounds [31].

Pecchi and Baratieri [32] stated that anaerobic digestion can recover energy from biomass which is completed by collecting the biogas from the waste stream produced by the bacteria. Besides, anaerobic digestion can produce valuable fertilisers which is the digest with improved properties
relative to untreated biomass. Anaerobic digestion can reduce agricultural and industrial wastes because they contain easily biodegradable materials to be decomposed. At the same time, it can decrease the usage of fossil fuels [33].

3.2 Gasification

Gasification is a conversion process from carbonaceous materials like coal and agricultural waste to syngas and can be used to generate electricity or other products such as chemical products, fuels and fertilisers [34]. Ng'andwe et al., [35] defined gasification as a thermochemical process that turns biomass into gases to be used for multiple purposes. Gasification does not have combustion inside the closed reactor, but it uses a low amount of oxygen to change carbon-based materials into syngas.

There are several reactions occurring simultaneously in the gasification process which are drying, pyrolysis, oxidation and reduction [36]. The first step of gasification is drying, in which the water leaves the coal particles in the form of steam [37]. Then, the pyrolysis step involves heating the fuel in the absence of air to produce charcoal and tar gases [38]. Oxidation adds air and combines oxygen with the gases to produce waste products such as vapour and carbon dioxide [38]. Finally, the oxygen will be removed from waste products at high temperature to produce flammable gas [38].

The gas produced by gasification can be used to start up gasoline or diesel engines once completed with the cleaning and purification process. Moreover, it can be used to produce biofuel or generate electricity through gas engine, gas or steam turbine [35]. Besides, gasification will decompose the carbonaceous materials to the molecular level. Therefore, the impurities such as nitrogen and sulphur will be removed and become valuable industrial products [33].

3.3 Current Biogas Plants in Malaysia

Table 3 indicates the current biogas mill production in Malaysia. Most of these mills are using anaerobic digestion to produce biogas. These techniques constitute the process of biodegradable organic wastes. It is currently being practice in the palm oil industry and commonly applied in Malaysia due to cost-effectiveness and attractiveness of the method in relation to waste management and treatment [23-39]. Output biogas is used in electricity generation up to 2 MW per power plant. In 2012, about 36 out of 58 palm oil mills had already registered for biogas recovery under Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) projects. Report regarding the registered mills can be referred on the National Key Economic Area (NKEA) [40]. The data showed an increasing pattern. In 2016, about 92 biogas plants were already in operation. Further, an additional 9 plants were under construction and 145 plants were in various planning stages [41]. Malaysia has the huge potential to be one of the major contributors of renewable energy through palm oil biomass and biogas production [42]. However, in biogas production for power generation, there are limitations in using biogas as fuel due to low caloric value and impurities constraint. Besides, some technologies are still under research and development in local universities, thus it directly reduces the confidences of the stakeholders to invest in biogas power plants here [43]. Furthermore, Malaysia is still lacking in local anaerobic digestion technology due to the challenges in the deployment of biogas upgrading and injection [44]. The most challenging process on plant performance is related to the power system design and pre-conditioning process.
### Table 3
Current biogas plants in Malaysia [45]

| Name | Description | Location | Capacity (MW) | Technology | Cost (RM Million) |
|------|-------------|----------|--------------|------------|------------------|
| Havys Oil Mill Sdn Bhd | Using REGEN System (Waste Recovery and Regeneration System [33]. The largest grid-connected palm oil mill effluent biogas plant in Malaysia is Cenergi. | Palong, Negeri Sembilan Pahang | 12.5 | Anaerobic digestion. | |
| Pantai Remis Biogas Power Plant, Perak | Carbon Savings: 1,153 tons. Typically, around 800m³ of raw biogas per hour. Total Households Powered: 2,600 households (500 KWh per household). | Perak | 1.5 | In-ground anaerobic digester. | 12 |
| Cheekah Biogas Power Plant, Malaysia. | Carbon Savings: 16,602 tons CO₂eq. Total Households Powered: 2,160 (540 MWh per month). The plant produced 600 – 750 m³ of raw biogas per hour. | | 1 | 8.6 |
| Sri Jelutung Biogas Power Plant, Malaysia. | Carbon Savings: 24,903 tons CO₂eq. Total Households Powered: 3,200 (800 MWh per month). | | 1.5 | 11 |
| Havys Biogas Power Plant, Malaysia Palm Oil Waste Energy Resources Sdn. Bhd. | Carbon Savings: 33,204 tons CO₂eq. Total Households Powered: 4,000 (1,080 MWh per month). The process produces biogas, which can be reused to generate electricity for the running of the plant. In progress to export electricity into a system grid with a capacity of 1MW. EPCC for In-Ground Biogas. Status: In progress. | Cheekah-Kemayan Palm Oil Mill (GLT Energy Sdn Bhd) Taclico Palm Oil Mill (GLT Bio Sdn Bhd) Kulim, Kedah Rompin | 2 | 18 |
| Rompin Palm Oil Mill (GLT Renewable Sdn. Bhd.) | In-ground biogas reactor. In progress. | Cheekah-Kemayan Palm Oil Mill (GLT Energy Sdn Bhd) Taclico Palm Oil Mill (GLT Bio Sdn Bhd) Kulim, Kedah Rompin | 1 | In-ground biogas reactor. | |
| Setia Kawan Palm Oil Mill (GLT Eco Sdn. Bhd.) | In-ground biogas. | | | Kulim, Kedah | 2 |
Table 3 (continued)

| Name                        | Description                                                                 | Location                  | Capacity (MW) | Technology                        | Cost (RM Million) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Sawira Makmur Palm Oil Mill | In progress to export electricity into a system grid with a capacity of 1MW. | Bandar Muadzam Shah, Pahang | 1             |                                   |                   |
| Felda Bukit Sagu Palm Oil Mill | In-ground biogas reactor. Status: In operation. Biogas storage of 25,000 m³ for power generation. | Kuantan, Pahang           |               |                                   |                   |
| Mukah Palm Oil Mill         | In-ground biogas reactor. Status: In operation. Biogas production of 1000 m³. | Mukah, Sarawak            |               |                                   |                   |
| Sri Jelutong Palm Oil Mill  | In-ground biogas reactor, engineering design and testing and commissioning consultancy. | Pekan, Pahang             | 1.2           |                                   |                   |
| Havys Palm Oil Mill         | In-ground biogas storage system. Status: In operation. Biogas storage of 1,200 m³. | Palong, Pahang            | 2             |                                   |                   |
| Rinwood Palm Oil Mill       | In-ground biogas storage system. Biogas storage of 17,000 m³. | Mukah, Sarawak            |               |                                   |                   |
| Sg Kahang Palm Oil Mill     | Anaerobic Reactor. Generating 1MW of electrical power for the mill's kernel crushing plant. | Sungei Kahang, Johor Bandar Seri Jempol, Negeri Sembilan | 1             |                                   |                   |

4. Life Cycle Assessment Studies

Based on previous studies on LCA of fuel cells, it is found that feeding biogas into fuel cells can reduce the environmental impact [21-46]. Recently, most literature related to the LCA of fuel cell systems only concentrated on the manufacturing process and limited studies focused on gas feeding in their system boundary [10]. According to Rillo et al., [10], a wider environmental assessment is requisite to completely comprehend the impact of the biogas-fed SOFC system and its application. Aziz et al., [23], stated that there is still no available LCA study that is conducted to specifically focus on biogas production in Malaysia. Therefore, this paper aims to review the available LCA that applies solid oxide fuel cell feed with biogas considering all the stage involved.

4.1 LCA Methodology Standard

Life cycle assessment or LCA is an established method in accessing the environmental impact of a product or service. According to Staffell and Andy [47], LCA is an instrument to analyse and determine the environmental impacts of services and products for the entire life cycle (“cradle-to-grave approach”). LCA starts from the material or fuel searching up to the production and disposal or recycling of the inspected objects. The international standards that mainly related to LCA are Environmental Management - Life Cycle Assessment - Principles and Framework (ISO 14040) and Environmental Management - Life Cycle Assessment - Requirements and Guidelines (ISO 14044). The main process related to LCA is (1) goal and scope, (2) life cycle inventory analysis, (3) impact assessment, and (4) interpretation. Figure 5 shows the LCA framework [48-49].
4.2 Goal and Scope

Goal and scope can be defined as the initial stage that decides the planning or target for the LCA study. These can be generated from the exact question, target audience and proposed request. The level of complexity of the LCA study entirely reflects the set goal. Meanwhile, the scope of the study is definite in terms of sequential, geographic and technical analysis.

4.3 System Boundary

Recent literature on the LCA of fuel cell systems focuses on the manufacturing phase [10]. Figure 6 shows the possible system boundary in the life cycle of a product [50].
Basically, there are three types of the application system boundary namely (i) cradle-to-gate up to production only; (ii) gate-to-grave from the production or manufacture to disposal or recycling; and (iii) cradle-to-grave from resources to disposal. As an example, the system boundaries applied in this paper contain biogas generation and fabrication of SOFC, the system operation and maintenance that is suited for the “cradle-to-gate” approach [9]. To study the life cycle of biogas feed fuel cell, it can be started with supplementing the feedstock, biogas production and biogas utilisation, which is feed to fuel cells. This is identical with the study on biogas production for power generation that used CHP (combined heat and power plant) concept [51]. This paper has also set its system boundary starting from feedstock, feedstock transport, fuel processing, fuel distribution, and electricity generation that consist of the SOFC system [52]. However, most of the papers were more focused on fuel cell manufacturer instead of biogas production. Inside the system boundary, biogas production was simplified to become only one process [9]. Rillo et al., [10] only focused on the digestion process.

4.4 Inventory Analysis

The purpose of inventory analysis is to define the production system such as setting the system boundaries, planning the flow diagrams of unit processes, data collection for each process, execution allocation stages for multifunctional processes, and finalising the calculations. The key result in an inventory table lists the quantified inputs from and outputs to the environment-related with the functional unit, for example in terms of kg of carbon dioxide, kg of iron ore, kg of iron ore, cubic metres of natural gas, mg of phenol, etc. There are a few papers that listed out the output and input for their LCA process and the examples are 5kW SOFC [53], 100 kW [54] and 250kW [9]. These data are applicable for SOFC manufacturing and balance of plant within the SOFC systems.

4.5 Impact Assessment

Inventory analysis is purely the inventory table that is managed and interpreted in relation to the environmental and societal impacts. The outcome from this is a list of impact categories. The selected impact categories related to the environmental interventions that suit the category indicators. The definite model results are planned in the characterisation step. Optional normalization aid to specify the part of the modelled results in a worldwide or regional total. Lastly, the category indicator results can be grouped and weighted to comprise societal preferences of the numerous impact categories. Table 4 indicates the impact assessment for different articles that focused on LCA of fuel cell [9-10-46-53-58]. Significantly, two indicators of mostly analysis impact categories are global warming potential (GWP) and acidification potential (AP) [55].
Table 4

Previous studies on LCA of solid oxide fuel cell

| Impact Assessment                  | References |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
|                                   | [10]      | [56] | [9]  | [55] | [54] | [57] | [58] | [46] | [53] |
| Climate change                    | ✓         | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    |
| Fossil depletion                  | ✓         | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    |
| Acidification potential           | ✓         | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    |
| Photochemical oxidant formation   | ✓         | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    |
| Particulate matter formation      | ✓         | ✓    |
| Ozone-depleting gases             | ✓         | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    |
| Eutrophication                    | ✓         | ✓    | ✓    | ✓    |
| Human toxicity                    | ✓         | ✓    | ✓    |
| Water depletion                   | ✓         |
| Ionising formation                | ✓         | ✓    |
| Eco toxicity                      | ✓         | ✓    |
| Agriculture land occupation       | ✓         |
| Metal depletion                   | ✓         |
| Abiotic depletion                 | ✓         |

4.6 Interpretation

Interpretation is the stage where the outcomes of the analysis, selection, and assumptions prepared through the development of the analysis, are assessed in terms of soundness and robustness leading to general decisions been drawn. The focal fundamentals of the interpretation phase are an evaluation of results, an analysis of outcomes and finally, designing the decision or conclusions which include recommendations of the study.

5. Recent Studies on Life Cycle Assessment of Fuel Cell

Most studies involved in Table 5 were specifically focused on fuel cell manufacturer by applying the gate-to-gate concept. None of the studies included the resources or production as the initial process in the setting of the system boundary. A majority of the LCA studies were related to solid oxide fuel cell due to the flexibility of fuel intake and its economic viability. Their wide range of applications in power generation included both stationary and portable aspects [59].
| Fuel Cell | Description | Goal and Scope | Functional Unit | System Boundary | Results | Ref |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-----|
| Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) | Input gas by natural gas, hydrogen, ammonia and methanol. | Generate electricity and heat with 1 kWh and 0.635 kWh. | 1 kWh electricity. | SOFC stack manufacture → SOFC Balance of Plant (Manufacture and Assembly) → SOFC Operation → Fuel Production /Supply and Maintenance. | Consuming wind power for hydrogen production can reduce the climate change impact up to 0.05 kg CO$_2$eq/kWh. | [9] |
| Considering biogas production and its use into fuel cell. | SOFC technology fuelled with biogas. | 1 kWh of electricity. | Biogas production→ SOFC manufacturing → operation→ maintenance. | | The GHG emission range between 0.2 kg CO$_2$eq/kWh (using AD system with sludge pre-thickening) to 0.36 kg CO$_2$eq/kWh (Current AD system without sludge pre-thickening). Reduction about 52%-55% of greenhouse gases. | [10] |
| Integration of SOFC with a conventional sugar ethanol power plant. | Nil | | Setting as (1) Sugar→ 86 ton/h, (2) Ethanol→2.195 ton/h, (3) Electricity → 847 kWh. | Sugarcane mill → Juice treatment→ Evaporation, Crystallisation & Centrifugation → Fermentation → Distillation Reforming → SOFC → Post Combustor. | | |
| Assessing the environmental aspects for its entire life cycle. | Nil | | | Manufacturing → Operation → Disposal. | Manufacturing and disposal stage contribute about 2.1%-9.5% and 0.1%-0.6%, while SOFC stack contribute about 70% to the total environmental impact. Reduce the climate change impact from 852 to 105 kg CO$_2$eq/MW h (87.6%). | [54] |
| Fuelled by gasified coal in bulk-scale solid oxide fuel cell power plants. | Nil | | | Upstream coal supply chain→ (SOFC Commissioning) → Coal SOFC Plant → Transmission Network. | Suggest using sodium bismuth titanate that provides the lowest environmental impact across all the impacts investigated. | [15] |
| Consume new materials for SOFC manufacture in order to reduce environmental impacts. | Nil | | | Raw material mining → SOFC fabrication process→ Use → End-of-life. | | [61] |
Table 5 (continued)

| Fuel Cell                  | Description                                                                 | Goal and Scope                                                                 | Functional Unit | System Boundary                                      | Results                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Ref  |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) | Focus on the interaction between the manufacturing stages of the life cycle. Fuelled with natural gas and fed with biogas is compared of the environmental impact. | Identifying the key manufacturing stages of the fuel cell system.               | 1 kW of electricity | Stack → System assembly ← Balance of plant.         | The whole supply chain of fuel cell system provides significant contribution towards the overall environmental impact.                                                                           | [62] |
| Molten carbonate fuel cell  | LCA of molten carbonate fuel cell, 2.5 kW focus on fuel cell operation.     | Nil                                                                            | 2.5 kW          | Assembly → Operation → life end                      | Emitted 440 g of CO₂eq/kWh.                                                                                                                                                                          | [63] |
|                            | A cross-scale assessment related to MCFC system of 500 Kw.                   |                                                                               | 1 kWh of electricity | Fuel production → MCFC Manufacturing → Operation → Maintenance | Need improvement in hydrogen production process.                                                                                                                                                      | [64] |
|                            | Nil                                                                         |                                                                               | 1 kWh of electricity | Fuel processor → Power section→ Power conditioning Raw material → Material manufacture → Product manufacture → Use → Disposal Micro-CHP production (Fuel cell and stack, fuel processor, balance of plant) → Use (production of replacement places and treatment of old ones) → End of life (treatment, recycled, landfill) | Positive outcomes achieve when the input gas is fuelled with biogas rather than natural gas.                                                                                                          | [21] |
|                            | Input gas is natural gas.                                                    |                                                                               | 1 kWh of electricity |                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                     | [65] |
|                            | Decentralised heat and electricity production.                               |                                                                               | 1 kWh of electricity |                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                     |      |
| Polymer electrolyte membrane type (PEM) | Analyse the commercial PEMFC micro-CHP system with the reforcell system and steam reformer. | Environmental burdens of the commercial PEMFC micro-CHP system.                | 5kW of electricity |                                                                                                          | Reformer and boiler contribute towards GHG emissions. The production, maintenance and end-of-life of the micro-CHP are small contributors towards the impacts, excluding human health. | [66] |

Figure 7 shows the result of recent number of publications related to fuel cell study. The result was obtained from the Elsevier Database with the keywords “type of fuel cell for all studies” and “type of fuel cell with LCA”. SOFC and PEMFC were the most interesting types of fuel cell that authors published from 2010 until 2020. Even though the number of fuel cell studies is more than a thousand, only 0.8% up to 3.4% of the publications focused on life cycle assessment.
6. Conclusion

The fuel cell provides great potential for the future generation to produces electricity. Malaysia, as the country that produces abundant palm oil, creates a huge opportunity to utilising this gas to feed fuel cells. However, more research is needed in terms of its impact on the environment and the impending cost that will occur. Even Malaysia has such resources in producing biogas, the pioneering technology is still absent though. Biogas as an input to the fuel cell system seems to provide the vast potential to be explored. Hopefully, this paper can serve as a detailed guideline for other researchers in studying the life cycle assessment of biogas feed into the fuel cell. It also might as well be a base in exploring fuel cells in Malaysia. The application of fuel cells in electricity generation can reduce the dependency on fossil fuel. Concurrently, it can assist the government's achieving the target to consume 20% of RE in the electricity mix.
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