The new Olympus digital flexible ureteroscope (URF-V): Initial experience
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Abstract

Objective: Flexible ureterorenoscopes (FURSs) are considered important additions to urology armamentarium. One of the technical drawbacks is the poor optic image provided by fiberoptic endoscope as well as the fragility of this conventional fiberoptic endoscope. This study aim is to evaluate practical performances and functional durability of the new Olympus digital flexible ureteroscope (ODF-URS) (URF-V) in a single center clinical setting.

Materials and Methods: A number of 60 diagnostic and therapeutic procedures were performed over a period of 6 months in a single center (Tenon University Hospital), using a single ODF-URS (URF-V). This device provided a 275˚ maximal down-deflection (MDD) and 180˚ maximal up-deflection (MUD).

Results: ODF-URS (URF-V) was used for a total time of 90 h and 30 min, with average time duration of 90.5 min per procedure. After 60 procedures, MDD decreased from 275˚ to 217˚, while the MUD decreased from 180˚ to 161˚. During six procedures (10%), URF-V failed to access inferior calyx due to a narrow lower calyx infundibulum.

Conclusion: New ODF-URS (URF-V) is a reliable and durable device, with a good success rate and improved functional parameters. It is a superior device compared to predecessor generations of conventional fiberoptic endoscopes for the light source and the image quality; however, randomized comparative studies are necessary to evaluate performances and durability of this device.
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INTRODUCTION

During the past two decades, development of flexible ureteroscope (F–URS) provided major diagnostic and treatment advantages concerning most of upper urinary tract (UUT) pathologies, with reduction of associated morbidity. F–URS allowed us to perform a visual inspection of the entire collecting system. Significant progresses were obtained in terms of visibility, maneuverability, working channel, deflection, and design; thus, creating a new era of a successful therapeutic approach of virtually any (UUT) pathology regardless of location. Tendency towards miniaturization, introduction of laser therapy as well as effective accessory instruments (such as guide–wires, baskets, access sheaths and grasping forceps) constantly improved success rate of retrograde minimally invasive approach in a large variety of cases. Smaller and smoother F–URS also displayed increased fragility and higher rate of endoscope repair; therefore, posing difficult problems in terms of cost–efficiency and long–term maintenance. In the present study, we followed the practical performances and functional durability of the new Olympus digital flexible ureteroscope – ODF–URS – (URF–V) in a single center clinical setting over a period of 6 months.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Between September 2009 and February 2010, 60 consecutive diagnostic and therapeutic procedures were performed in 55 patients in our institute. Twenty three procedures were performed in 18 patients with known or suspected cases of upper urinary tract transitional cell carcinoma (UUT-TCC), and 37 procedures for 37 patients with renal lithiasis [Table I].

The same ODF-URS (URF-V) [Table 2] was used in all patients. It was replaced in six procedures (10%) by a thinner URF-P5 in cases that ODF-URS (URF-V) failed to access the entire renal collecting system (due to large diameter of the URF-V tip 8.4Fr compared to 6.9Fr for URF-P5). We used two stiff hydrophilic guidewires with a single floppy tip (“Terumo 0.035”), one to introduce the device into renal collecting system and the other one as a safety wire. All procedures were performed using an access sheath 12/14 Flexor TM (Cook). Stone Light Ho:YAG laser from AMS (American medical system) was used if needed in certain patients. Working tools that were used in this series (introduced through working channel of the endoscope, measuring 3.6Fr) were represented by Ho:YAG laser fibers from AMS (200, 273 and 365 µm), nitinol baskets (Boston Scientific Zero Tip 1.9 Fr to 2.4Fr), graspers (Boston Scientific Triceps 3.0 Fr) and biopsy forceps (Boston Scientific Piranha 3Fr). After each procedure, we evaluated maximal up- and down-deflection of the URF-V fixed in a straight alignment, with an empty working channel and a 100 cm H₂O irrigation flow. We carefully assessed each procedure concerning operation time, introduction method, working channel instruments, access to lower calyx, subjective impression of the urologist concerning procedure difficulty (1. very easy; 2. easy; 3. fair; 4. difficult; 5. very difficult) and ODF-URS (URF-V) maneuverability (1. very easy; 2. easy; 3. fair; 4. bad; 5. very bad).

A single urologist (O.T) with 10 years experience of flexible ureteroscopes and previous training on URF-V performed all procedures. Experienced personnel had done the cleaning (brush cleaning of the working channel and 20 min immersion in a detergent agent) and sterilization (30 min immersion in Steranios disinfectant) of this device.

RESULTS

From our data, we were able to achieve successfully completed 54 procedures. In each of the six cases (10%) of ODF-URS (URF-V) failures (impossible access to the lower calyx due to narrow infundibulum), URF-P5 successfully completed the task. Balloon dilation of distal ureter was necessary in seven procedures (11.6%). Double-J stent was previously placed in 32 cases (%). In 37 patients with renal lithiasis (61.6%), 37 procedures were performed and employed Ho:YAG laser lithotripsy in 31 cases (83.8%), followed by tipless nitinol basket removal of remaining stone fragments in 24 (77.4%), and only basketing of calculi in six cases (16.2%). In total, there were treated 64 calculi with a mean size of 14 mm. Lower calyx calculi lithotripsy was necessary in 16 cases (51.6% of the lithotriptic procedures). It was performed in situ in 9 patients (56.2%), and after calculus repositioning to a favorable position (renal pelvis or upper calyx) in 7 patients. In 18 patients, we performed 23 procedures for UUT-TCC. Nine procedures were carried out using Ho:YAG laser for the detected lesions, 5 of them in the lower calyx. Holmium laser was used in 40 procedures (66.6%), with a 200-µm fiber in 12 cases (30%), a 273-µm fiber in 19 cases (47.5%), and a 365-µm fiber in 9 cases (22.5%). ODF-URS (URF-V) remained in the urinary tract for a total period of 90 h and 30 min, emphasizing an average time per procedure of 1 h and 30.5 min. Therapeutic procedures were found to have longer use of this device compared to diagnostic procedures (101 versus 74 min per procedure). One to four instruments were introduced through

| Table 1: Treatment indications: (Stone/UUT-TCC) characteristics |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stone/tumor Indications                                      | Results                  |
| Stone procedures                                             | 37%                      |
| Stone Size (mean±SD) mm                                      | 14 ± 6.3%                |
| Stone site                                                   |                          |
| Pelvic                                                       | 5 (13.5%)                |
| Lower calyx                                                  | 16 (43.2%)               |
| Middle calyx                                                 | 1 (2.7%)                 |
| Upper calyx                                                  | 2 (5.4%)                 |
| Mixed pelvic and calyces                                     | 10 (27%)                 |
| Mixed calyces                                                | 3 (8.1%)                 |
| Stone number                                                 |                          |
| Isolated                                                     | 22 (59.5%)               |
| Multiple                                                     | 15 (40.5%)               |
| Tumor procedures                                             | 23%                      |
| Tumor size (mm)                                              |                          |
| <10                                                          | 16%                      |
| 11-20                                                        | 6%                       |
| Tumor site                                                   |                          |
| Pelvic                                                       | 12%                      |
| Lower calyx                                                  | 3%                       |
| Middle calyx                                                 | 2%                       |
| Upper calyx                                                  | 4%                       |
| Mixed pelvis-calycalal                                       | 2%                       |

| Table 2: URF-V specifications |
|-------------------------------|
| Working length (mm.)          | 670 mm                    |
| Outer diameter (Fr)           | 8.4Fr                     |
| Shaft tip                     | 9.9Fr                     |
| Channel inner diameter (Fr)   | 3.6Fr                     |
| Rotating working channel      | Yes                       |
| Optical system                |                            |
| View field (degrees in air)   | 90°                       |
| View direction (degrees)      | 0°                        |
| Field depth (mm.)             | 2.50 mm                   |
| Active deflection angulation range (degrees down/up) | 275°/180° |
| Image technology              | CCD                       |
| NBI technique                 | Yes                       |
| CCD: Charged coupled device   |                           |
the working channel during each procedure, resulting in a mean value of 2.8 per procedure. There were 168 instrument passages through working channel of ODF-URS (URF-V).

Active deflection deteriorated with time, describing a decrease of up and down deflections. Mean loss was 10.5% for up-deflection (loss of 19˚, from 180˚ to 161˚), and 21% for down-deflection (loss of 58˚, from 275˚ to 217˚) [Figure1]. Maneuverability of this device was rated at a mean value of 3.68 for first 30 procedures, and of 2.89 for last 30. Procedure difficulty described a mean score of 2.12 for first 30 procedures, and of 2.83 for last 30 procedures [Table 3]. Subjectively, quality of digital endoscopic image remained relatively constant throughout the 60 procedures, and no damages were recorded to working channel or to the endoscope sheath. ODF-URS (URF-V) failed to access inferior calyx during six procedures (10%) regardless of treatment indication due to failure of passing narrow infundibulum of lower calyx. During the 60th procedure, the device was ruptured in lower calyx during exploration in extremely acute infundibulo-pelvic angle (procedure was successfully completed by URF-P5), taking in consideration the fragility and fatigability of this endoscope after 59 procedure.

DISCUSSION

Poor quality of endoscopic images remains one of the most important technical limitations of conventional ureteroscope. In this regard, ODF-URS (URF-V) offers the largest image size currently available, about three times larger than that of conventional ureteroscope [Figure 2]. High-resolution quality of images enables close observation of upper tract lesions. Several studies evaluated durability of conventional ureteroscope, as well as their technical parameters’ alterations. There are three major categories of problems that may impede with use of conventional ureteroscope: reliability of optical system, fatigability and major malfunctions that usually require replacement of the device. Concerning optical damages issue, after using Storz Flex-X ureteroscope during 50 procedures, Traxer et al., reported six broken fibres, appearing as black dots on endoscopic field.[1] While evaluating four older models of 7.5Fr F-URS, Pietrow and coworkers reported an average number of 15.3 passages before registering breakdown of 20 optical fibres.[2] The chip-on-the-tip technology of ODF-URS (URF-V) based on image transmission from distal sensor to proximal processor through a single wire, successfully replaces fragile optical fibres system of conventional F-URS. After 60 procedures, no major alterations of endoscopic images were reported.

Fatigability phenomenon represents a major concern to the urologists and an important matter. Pietrow reported 50.3 passages before losing 25˚ of active deflection.[2] In this study, concerning ODF-URS (URF-V), 168 instrument passages performed before losing 58˚ and 19˚ of the active deflections (down and up), respectively, clearly demonstrate the superiority of this device. Afane et al. reported relatively unchanged luminosity and irrigation flow during consecutive applications as well as 2% to 28% active deflection deterioration per procedure. The need for repair remains extremely high, occurring after 3 to 13 h of use. Lower pole access was involved in up to 24% of cases.[3] Busby et al. emphasized the prolonged approach of lower calyx as a main cause for decrease in maximal active deflection.[4] In our series, 19 patients (31.6%) underwent successful treatment of upper tract tumors or calculi situated in lower calyx.

Major malfunctions occurred while using conventional flexible ureteroscopes usually result in the necessity to replace it, consisting most frequently in fractures, perforations, severe damages to optical system and major deflection losses. In a

Table 3: Indications and experience with (ODF-URS) URF-V

| Treatment indications (procedure) | Renal calculi | UUT-TCC |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Total hrs in UT                  | 90.5         |
| Laser utilization in lower pole  | Renal calculi | 16      |
|                                 | UUT-TCC      | 3       |
| Average No. used                 | 37           |
| Average time (minute)            | 32.2         |
| Loss of deflection                | 19           |
| Average maneuverability score     | 3.68         |
| First 30 procedures              | 2.89         |
| Second 30 procedures             | 2.12         |
| Average procedure difficulty      | 2.83         |
| 1st 30 procedures                | 2.12         |
| 2nd 30 procedures                | 2.83         |
| Visibility                        | No change    |
| Pre-operative DJ stent (overall)  | Yes          |
|                                 | 32 (53.3%)   |
|                                 | No           |
|                                 | 28 (46.7%)   |
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Prospective randomized study, Monga et al. evaluated durability of seven models of F-URS, and reported the fact that ACMI DUR-8 Elite displayed longest functioning period (494 min) and largest number of procedures (14.4) performed without any need for repair.\(^5\) In another study, User et al. compared six types of F-URS and found no statistically difference between them, in spite of the apparently more reliable ACMI DUR-8 Elite. They reported that between 10 and 34 procedures were performed before a malfunction requiring major repair occurred.\(^6\) Considering that ODF-URS (URF-V) was used for 5430 min (90 h and 30 min) during 60 procedures with no need of repair, progresses in terms of durability seems to be obvious. Main causes of flexible ureteroscope repair were represented by loss of deflection, perforation of inner lining by laser fiber, and breakdown of optical fibers.\(^5,6,7\) In our series, ODF-URS (URF-V) was broken while approaching a lower calyx stone at an extremely acute infundibulo-pelvic angle. Several technical tricks and accessory instruments were presented during a number of studies aiming to prolong F-URS functionality. Kourambas et al. reported that routine use of ureteral access sheath might reduce aggressions to F-URS, mean operative time as well as costs of the interventions.\(^8\) In our study, we used an access sheath (12/14 Fr) in all of the procedure. In order to protect working channel, Seto et al. proposed introducing 200-µm laser fiber through a previously inserted 2Fr catheter. Although deflection of F-URS did not seem to diminish, irrigation flow was however reduced.\(^9\)

In the present study, we registered the most significant loss of deflection and irrigation flow when inserting 365-µm laser fiber, used in only 9 cases (22.5%) of lithotripsy involving pelvic stones.

Main drawback of this work is being a mono-centric which was done in cross-sectional manner with several limitations, for example: all procedures were done by a single urologist, which might have an impact over loss of deflection and thereafter on durability. However, only a few reports have been published so far relating to overall durability and maneuverability of this device after use for clinical purposes, which is probably due to recent introduction in the market.

**CONCLUSION**

ODF-URS (URF-V) proved to be a reliable device during a six-month single center clinical trial, displaying a good image quality using (a) conventional F-URS compared to (b) URF-V.
diagnostic and therapeutic success rate in UUT-TCC and renal lithiasis. Despite slight decrease over the time, the active deflections remained excellent after 60 procedures; therefore, it showed the superior durability of this device. Although occasionally displaying accessibility limitations related to its larger caliber, ODF-URS (URF-V) generally demonstrated a good maneuverability. Due to digital technology, it provided high-quality endoscopic images, and consequently improved diagnostic and therapeutic abilities. Future studies will need to determine long-term performances and costs of this device.
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