A first-in-class polymerase theta inhibitor selectively targets homologous-recombination-deficient tumors
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DNA polymerase theta (POLθ or POLQ) is synthetic lethal with homologous recombination (HR) deficiency and is thus a candidate target for HR-deficient cancers. Through high-throughput small-molecule screens, we identified the antibiotic novobiocin (NVB) as a specific POLθ inhibitor that selectively kills HR-deficient tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. NVB directly binds to the POLθ ATPase domain, inhibits its ATPase activity and phenocopies POLθ depletion. NVB kills HR-deficient breast and ovarian tumors in genetically engineered mouse models and xenograft and patient-derived xenograft models. Increased POLθ levels predict NVB sensitivity, and HR-deficient tumor cells with acquired resistance to poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARPi) inhibitors (PARPi) are sensitive to NVB in vitro and in vivo. Mechanistically, NVB-mediated cell death in PARPi-resistant cells arises from increased double-strand break end resection, leading to accumulation of single-stranded DNA intermediates and non-functional foci of the recombinase RAD51. Our results demonstrate that NVB may be useful alone or in combination with PARPi for treating HR-deficient tumors, including those with acquired PARPi resistance.

Despite initial sensitivity to PARPi, resistance to these drugs is emerging as the major obstacle to their clinical effectiveness in patients with HR-deficient tumors. In addition, resistance to PARPi often correlates with platinum resistance, which remains the backbone therapy for most BRCA1/2-mutated tumors. The absence of alternative therapeutic options for patients with tumors with innate or acquired resistance underlines the urgency to develop additional therapeutics. While several mechanisms of PARPi resistance have been described, an effective method for overcoming such resistance is still lacking.

POLθ recently emerged as a new promising drug target for the treatment of HR-deficient tumors. POLθ expression is particularly high in subtypes of breast and ovarian tumors with defects in HR, where it mediates backup DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair, compensating for the loss of HR. As a result, POLθ is synthetic lethal with HR, and POLθ inhibition in HR-deficient tumors induces cell death. In addition, POLθ depletion synergizes with PARPi in the killing of HR-deficient tumors.

Synthetic lethality between HR deficiency and POLθ inhibition hinges on several functions that POLθ exhibits in maintaining genomic stability and preventing tumorigenesis. POLθ was first described as a translesion DNA polymerase (TLS) and this activity was recently shown to prevent the onset of skin cancer. POLθ is also a crucial enzyme in the mutagenic microhomology-mediated end-joining (MMEJ) repair of DSBs, a pathway that plays a critical role in genomic stability. Inhibiting PARPi, a key enzyme in MMEJ, prevents POLθ recruitment to sites of laser micro-irradiation. Because POLθ depletion and PARPi have additive effects on HR-deficient cells, these data suggest that functions of POLθ outside PARP-mediated MMEJ are also key to the survival of HR-deficient cells.

POLθ is a large protein that contains three domains and is structurally and functionally distinct from other polymerases. The N terminus contains a helicase-like ATPase domain that can unwind several types of DNA structures, while the central domain binds...
RAD51, displaces replication protein A (RPA) from resected DSBs and antagonizes HR repair in an ATP-hydrolysis dependent manner. Finally, the C terminus contains a nuclease domain that trims DNA ends and a polymerase domain that fills in nucleotides during MMEJ. Both ATPase and polymerase domains are required for MMEJ repair.

POLQ has several functions that preserve genomic stability, and POLQ-mediated MMEJ repair can compensate for the loss of HR. It remains unclear which of the many functions of POLQ underlie synthetic lethality with HR. Nevertheless, POLQ exhibits unique features of druggability, providing a strong rationale for developing POLQ inhibitors.

Here, we performed a small-molecule screen for inhibitors of POLQ ATPase activity and identified the antibiotic NVB as a specific and potent inhibitor of human POLQ. NVB binds to purified POLQ protein, prevents its recruitment to DNA damage and inhibits MMEJ repair. Importantly, we show that NVB selectively kills HR-deficient (BRCA1- and BRCA2-deficient) cells over wild-type (WT) cells and potentiates the cytotoxic effect of PARP1 in HR-deficient tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, NVB also kills HR-deficient, PARP1-resistant tumor cells. Our results demonstrate that NVB can be used alone or in combination with PARP1 for treating HR-deficient tumors, even after they acquire PARP1 resistance.

Results

A small-molecule screen identifies NVB as a specific POLQ inhibitor. The ATPase activity of POLQ is required for the survival of HR-deficient cells. We took advantage of the strong ATPase activity of purified POLQ in vitro (Extended Data Fig. 1a) and performed a large-scale small-molecule screen to identify POLQ inhibitors based on the ADP-Glo luminescent assay (Fig. 1a,b). We screened a total of 23,513 small molecules across multiple libraries (enriched with known bioactive compounds, Supplementary Tables 1 and 2) in duplicate and identified 72 compounds that significantly (Z score < −4) reduced POLQ ATPase activity (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Table 3). These compounds account for only 0.3% of the total small molecules screened, arguing for a low rate of false-positive hits. A secondary screen using the 72 initial hits was performed in the presence and absence of single-stranded (ss)DNA to confirm the initial screen results and to exclude compounds that directly interact with ssDNA (Extended Data Fig. 1b). Among the 72 small molecules, ten hits were identified that inhibited POLQ ATPase activity more than 50% both with and without ssDNA (±15% difference in inhibition) (Supplementary Table 4). Aurintricarboxylic acid, reactive blue 2, suramin, and NVB were the four most potent POLQ inhibitors.

These top hits were individually verified in a 32P-based radiometric ATPase assay with POLQ and another known DNA repair-related ATPase, SMARCAL1 (ref. 3). Only NVB showed high specific inhibition of POLQ with little effect on SMARCAL1, while other compounds inhibited both ATPases (Extended Data Fig. 1c). We thus focused our future studies on NVB. Next, we performed a dose-response experiment of NVB on POLQ ATPase activity using the ADP-Glo assay, along with six other DNA repair-related enzymes, heat shock protein 90 alpha family class A member 1 (HSP90AA1), thyroid receptor interacting protein 13 (TRIP13), helicase BLM, RAD51, SMARCAL1 and chromodomain helicase DNA-binding protein 1 (CHD1) (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 1d). NVB inhibited POLQ with a half-maximum inhibitory concentration (IC50) of 24 μM, but it did not significantly inhibit other ATPases, supporting the specific inhibition of POLQ by NVB.

To further evaluate the specificity of NVB for POLQ, we analyzed its binding capacity. NVB-conjugated beads pulled down the purified ATPase domain of POLQ but not purified SMARCAL1, CHD1, BLM or RAD51, suggesting that NVB directly and specifically binds to the POLQ ATPase domain in vitro (Extended Data Fig. 1d–f). NVB-conjugated beads also pulled down POLQ–GFP expressed in cells, and this binding was competed by free NVB (Extended Data Fig. 1g,h). Thermal shift assays using cell lysate of GFP–POLQ–expressing HEK293T cells showed that NVB stabilized GFP–POLQ (Extended Data Fig. 1i). Thermal shift assays with the purified POLQ ATPase domain showed that NVB increased POLQ stability in a dose-dependent manner, further suggesting a direct ligand–protein binding of NVB to POLQ (Extended Data Fig. 1j). As controls, NVB did not increase the thermal stability of BLM or meiotic recombination 11 homolog A (MRE11) (Extended Data Fig. 1k,l). Finally, we performed molecular docking to identify the NVB-binding site using the published crystal structure of the POLQ ATPase domain. Computational docking results revealed a deep pocket within the POLQ ATPase domain that could accommodate NVB, which is located next to the ATP-binding pocket (Fig. 1d). In sum, these data demonstrate that NVB binds directly and specifically to the POLQ ATPase domain in vitro.

We next assessed whether cellular exposure to NVB has the same consequences as POLQ depletion. Both the ATPase and the
polymerase domains contribute to efficient MMEJ repair activity\textsuperscript{27}, and PARP-dependent recruitment of POL\textsubscript{0} to DSB sites is a crucial step in this function\textsuperscript{14,16}. Phenocopying the ATPase mutant of POL\textsubscript{0}, inhibition of POL\textsubscript{0} by NVB prevented the recruitment of POL\textsubscript{0} to laser micro-irradiated DNA-damage sites in human cells (Fig. 1c). Consequently, NVB inhibited MMEJ activity in U2OS cells, as
POLQ

3 independent experiments. = , IR sensitivity of WT and θ inhibitor NVB. Survival is normalized to the values from the untreated sample. Data shown are mean ± s.e.m., from n = 10 mice in each group. Statistical analysis was performed by Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test. EV, empty vector. b, Representative images of TOV21G xenograft tumors at the end of NVB treatment. c, Clonogenic survival of BRCA1+/− and WT RPE1 cells with increasing concentrations of the POLQ inhibitor NVB. Survival is normalized to the values from the untreated sample. Data shown are mean ± s.e.m., from n = 3 independent experiments. d, IR sensitivity of WT and POLQ−/− RPE1 cells in clonogenic assays. e, NVB sensitivity of WT and POLQ−/− RPE1 cells. Data shown in c–e are mean ± s.d. from n = 6 biological replicates. Significance levels in c–e were determined by two-tailed paired t-tests. f, Clonogenic survival of BRCA1+/− and WT RPE1 cells with increasing concentrations of the PARPi (rucaparib) alone or in combination with NVB. Quantification of the surviving fraction (means from n = 2 independent experiments), representative images and calculated IC50 values are shown.

Fig. 2 | NVB kills HR-deficient tumors in vivo and in vitro and synergizes with PARPi. a, Tumor growth in the xenograft model with TOV21G (FANCF-deficient ovarian cancer) or FANCF-complemented TOV21G cells. Tumor-bearing mice were treated with vehicle or 100 mg per kg NVB via i.p. injection twice a day for 4 weeks. Fold change of tumor size was calculated using the formula fold change = T − T0, where T is the tumor size at a given time and T0 is the initial tumor size. Data are mean ± s.e.m. from n = 10 mice in each group. Statistical analysis was performed by Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test. EV, empty vector. b, Representative images of TOV21G xenograft tumors at the end of NVB treatment. c, Clonogenic survival of BRCA1+/− and WT RPE1 cells with increasing concentrations of the POLQ inhibitor NVB. Survival is normalized to the values from the untreated sample. Data shown are mean ± s.e.m., from n = 3 independent experiments. d, IR sensitivity of WT and POLQ−/− RPE1 cells in clonogenic assays. e, NVB sensitivity of WT and POLQ−/− RPE1 cells. Data shown in d–e are mean ± s.d. from n = 6 biological replicates. Significance levels in d–e were determined by two-tailed paired t-tests. f, Clonogenic survival of BRCA1+/− and WT RPE1 cells with increasing concentrations of the PARPi (rucaparib) alone or in combination with NVB. Quantification of the surviving fraction (means from n = 2 independent experiments), representative images and calculated IC50 values are shown.

measured by the GFP-based alternative end joining (EJ2) reporter assay, but it had little effect on HR activity measured by the direct repeat (DR)-GFP reporter assay (Fig. 1f). POLQ also functions as an anti-recombinase that antagonizes HR, and depletion of POLQ increases RAD51 (ref. 14)- and phosphorylated histone γH2AX (ref. 15)-foci assembly. Similarly, more RAD51 foci and γH2AX foci were observed after gamma irradiation (IR) in the presence of NVB, and has already been used in anti-cancer trials, although treated patients were not preselected to have HR-deficient cancers12–35. As depletion of POLQ is synthetically lethal in HR-deficient tumor cells14,16, we next directly tested whether NVB can kill HR-deficient tumor cells in animal models. First, we evaluated the efficacy of NVB in tumors derived from the K14-Cre-Brca1+/−;Trp53+/− genetically engineered mouse model (GEMM) of triple-negative breast cancer16,37. Tumors from this model were transplanted into immunocompetent FVB/129P2 syngeneic mice that were subsequently treated with NVB. NVB strongly suppressed the growth of these BRCA1-deficient GEMM-derived tumors (Fig. 1g and Extended Data Fig. 3a). NVB-treated tumors were significantly smaller than those from vehicle-treated mice after 7 d of treatment and beyond. NVB treatment prolonged the overall survival of tumor-bearing mice by threefold compared to vehicle treatment, with median survival times of 29 and 10 d for NVB- and vehicle-treated mice, respectively (Fig. 1h).

Next, we tested whether the efficacy of NVB in vivo was specific to HR-deficient tumors. FA complementation group F (FANCF)-deficient TOV21G cells are human ovarian cancer cells with reduced HR capacity, which grow well as tumor xenografts in mice36,39. We thus performed a mouse xenograft
Fig. 3 | NVB kills HR-deficient tumors and overrides PARPi resistance in vivo in PDX models. a,b. Efficacy of NVB in the PDX model DF83 (RAD51C deficient). NSG mice (n=7 mice per group) bearing luciferized DF83 cells derived from a patient with ovarian cancer were treated with 50 mg per kg olaparib (daily, orally), 75 mg per kg NVB (i.p., twice daily) or both for 4 weeks. Tumor growth was monitored weekly by BLI (p, photons). Representative images of tumor burden on day 0 and day 28 are shown, and quantifications shown are mean ± s.e.m. for n=6 mice. log2 (fold change in tumor size) values were calculated using the formula log2(T0−Tf), where T0 is the initial tumor volume and Tf is the initial tumor volume. c,d. Efficacy of NVB in the PARPi-resistant PDX model DF59 (BRCA1-deficient with 53BP1 loss), n=6 mice per group. The same conditions and analysis were applied as described in a,b, Olap, olaparib. e,f. Efficacy of NVB in the PARPi-resistant PDX model DF149 (WT BRCA1, HR proficient), n=7 mice per group. The same conditions and analysis were applied as described in a,b.

We also tested the top initial hits from our screen for their ability to differentially affect the survival of BRCA1−/− and WT cells. Among these, NVB had the highest efficacy in selectively killing BRCA1−/− cells (Extended Data Fig. 4d).

To demonstrate the cellular outcome of NVB exposure, we next showed that NVB exposure induces apoptosis in BRCA1−/− but not WT cells in a dose-dependent manner (Extended Data Fig. 5a). NVB induced chromosomal aberrations and radial chromosomes (a marker of genomic instability) in BRCA1−/− cells, even in the absence of the cross-linking agent mitomycin C (Extended Data Fig. 5b–d), confirming that NVB increases DNA damage. These results further demonstrate that NVB phenocopies POLQ depletion, and they indicate that NVB-mediated POLQ inhibition is synthetically lethal with HR deficiency and specifically induces cell death in HR-deficient tumor cells.

POLQ is the major target of NVB in human cells. Off-target effects are major concerns of biological small inhibitors. To further evaluate whether POLQ is the specific target of NVB and to demonstrate the specificity of NVB in cells, we knocked out the POLQ gene in RPE1 cells using CRISPR–Cas9 and asked whether the loss of study with FANCF-deficient (TOV21G with empty vector) and FANCF-complemented (TOV21G with FANCF cDNA) cell lines (Fig. 2a,b). In vitro, TOV21G cells with empty vector but not TOV21G cells complemented with FANCF cDNA were sensitive to olaparib and NVB (Extended Data Fig. 3b,c). In vivo, while vehicle treatment had no impact on the growth of FANCF-deficient or FANCF-complemented tumors, NVB specifically impaired the growth of FANCF-deficient tumors, with no effect on FANCF-complemented tumors (Fig. 2a,b). We also measured RAD51 foci as a pharmacodynamic biomarker and showed that RAD51 foci were strongly induced in treated tumors (Extended Data Fig. 3d–f). These results demonstrate that NVB strongly and specifically suppresses the growth of HR-deficient tumors in vivo.

To confirm and strengthen the in vivo results, we studied isogenic pairs of HR-deficient and HR-proficient cells in vitro. We generated BRCA1−/− and BRCA2−/− knockdown RPE1 cells (referred to as BRCA1−/− and BRCA2−/−) in a TP53−/− background, which were sensitive to PARPi (Extended Data Fig. 4a,b). Consistent with our in vivo data, clonogenic survival assays showed that NVB significantly reduced the survival of BRCA1−/− and BRCA2−/− cells, compared to isogenic WT cells (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 4c).
POLQ alleviates the cytotoxicity of NVB, POLQ-knockout cells were confirmed by genomic sequencing and their increased sensitivity to irradiation (Fig. 2d). Importantly, RPE1 POLQ−/− cells showed higher tolerance to NVB than WT cells (Fig. 2e). In addition, POLQ−/− U2OS cells were also more resistant to NVB than WT cells (Extended Data Fig. 6a–e). These data indicate that POL0 is a major target of NVB in human cells.

NVB is a known coumarin antibiotic that inhibits DNA gyrase in bacteria40. NVB was reported to inhibit the ATPase of HSP90 and that of the DNA gyrase homolog topoisomerase II (TOP2) in eukaryotes41,42, suggesting that these enzymes may be off-target enzymes of NVB. However, other reports suggest that NVB does not inhibit TOP2 in eukaryotic cells43. Additionally, reported IC50 values of these targets are very high (approximately 700 μM for HSP90 and 300 μM for TOP2), compared with the IC50 of POL0 (24 μM by the ATPase inhibition assay, Fig. 1c). Nevertheless, we next examined whether the cytotoxic effect of NVB in HR-deficient cells resulted from off-target inhibition of HSP90 or TOP2. Unlike the well-characterized HSP90 inhibitor PU-H71, NVB treatment at a concentration that killed HR-deficient cells (that is, 100 μM) did not induce the degradation of HSP90 clients, such as kinase AKT1, BRCA1 or kinase CDK6, or increase the expression of HSP70 (Extended Data Fig. 7a–c). These results suggest that the cytotoxic effect of NVB is not related to HSP90 inhibition. Furthermore, the combination of NVB and the TOP2 inhibitor etoposide exhibited additive cytotoxicity in TOV21G and CAPAN1 cells (Extended Data Fig. 7d,e), suggesting that NVB and etoposide do not share TOP2 as a target and that NVB cytotoxicity is unrelated to TOP2 inhibition.

NVB potentiates the effect of PARPi in HR-deficient tumors. PARPi are currently being evaluated in different combination therapy settings with cytotoxic chemotherapy, radiation, targeted therapies and immunotherapies44. We explored whether the combination of NVB and a PARPi may be more effective than PARPi inhibition alone in killing HR-deficient tumors. Indeed, NVB further sensitized PARPi inhibition alone in killing HR-deficient tumors. No significant toxicity to normal therapy settings with cytotoxic chemotherapy, radiation, targeted therapies and immunotherapies44. We explored whether the combination of NVB and a PARPi may be more effective than PARPi inhibition alone in killing HR-deficient tumors. Indeed, NVB further sensitized PARPi inhibition alone in killing HR-deficient tumors. No significant toxicity to normal

POL0 inhibition by NVB overcomes acquired PARPi resistance. Multiple PARPi, including olaparib, niraparib, rucaparib and talazoparib, have received approval for the treatment of ovarian and breast tumors with HR deficiency46. Despite the remarkable initial tumor response and improved progression-free survival in patients with HR deficiency46,47,48, acquired PARPi resistance is emerging as an unmet medical need49.

To evaluate whether POL0 inhibition could represent a new therapeutic option for PARPi-resistant tumors, we first tested the efficacy in vivo of NVB in the patient-derived xenograft (PDX) model DF83, generated from an HR-deficient (loss of RAD51C expression), human high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma48, NOD SCID gamma (NSG) mice bearing tumors of the HR-deficient DF83 ovarian cancer PDX model were treated with olaparib, NVB or a combination of the two, and tumor growth was monitored by bioluminescence imaging (BLI) (Fig. 3a). To determine the combined effect with NVB, olaparib was delivered at a submaximal dose (50 mg per kg daily), and we observed only a small degree of tumor growth inhibition. Treatment of DF83 with NVB alone (75 mg per kg, twice a day) led to tumor regression, while tumors in vehicle-treated mice showed exponential growth (Fig. 3b). Strikingly, when DF83-tumor-bearing mice were given NVB and olaparib in combination, we observed complete tumor regression, and few tumor cells were detectable via BLI on day 28 in this group (Fig. 3a,b). These in vivo results suggest that NVB may be useful in combination with PARPi to achieve better efficacy and prevent drug resistance in HR-deficient tumors. No significant toxicity to normal mouse tissue was observed from the drug combination.

Next, we evaluated the efficacy of NVB alone and in combination with olaparib in the PARPi-resistant PDX model DF59, which was isolated from a patient with a germline BRCA1 mutation and acquired PARPi resistance. This model harbors biallelic mutations in the TP53BP1 locus, providing a mechanism of the acquired PARPi resistance49. In the DF59 PDX model, there was no response to olaparib monotherapy, but NVB monotherapy substantially reduced tumor growth, indicating a lack of cross-resistance between PARPi inhibition and NVB. Importantly, the combination of NVB and olaparib further inhibited DF59 tumor growth, with tumor regression in the first 2 weeks (Fig. 3c,d). In contrast to DF83 and DF59 models, the BRCA1-WT, HR-proficient PDX model DF149 was resistant to both drugs and to the combination (Fig. 3e,f). These data demonstrate that NVB can inhibit the growth of BRCA1-mutated, PARPi-resistant tumors in vivo and that the combination of NVB and a PARPi is particularly effective in treating at least some PARPi-resistant tumors.

NVB induces excessive DSB end resection and RAD51 foci. We next studied the mechanism of cell killing by NVB. Previous studies demonstrated that the ATPase domain of POL0 counteracts RPA

Fig. 4 | NVB induces excessive DSB end resection and non-productive RAD51 foci. a, DSB end resection assay in U2OS cells with or without NVB. A scheme of the AssI resection assay is shown. Cells were incubated with 100 μM NVB for 18 h and then with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-OHT) for 4 h. Genomic DNA was isolated to analyze the percent of ssDNA flanking the AssI DSB site at indicated positions, by digestion with restriction endonuclease BrsG and qPCR quantification. Mean ± s.e.m., n = 3 independent experiments, two-tailed unpaired t-tests. Chrs, chromosome. b, Western blot analysis of phospho-RRAP32 (p-RRAP32) in samples of the DF59 PDX model, 52 h after the first dose. Tumor-bearing mice were dosed as described for the efficacy study in Fig. 3. c,d, Representative immunohistochemical images of RAD51 foci in DF59 and DF83 PDX models. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections of the isolated tumor cells (as described in b) were stained with an anti-RAD51 antibody. Percentages of RAD51 foci in PARPi- and PARPi-resistant cells (>4 foci per cell) in three random x40 fields were estimated in DF59. No RAD51 focus was observed in the DF83 model. Data shown are mean ± s.d., n = 3 tumors, ordinary one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s multiple-comparisons test. e,f, CellTiter-Glo cell viability assay in parental MDA-MB-436 (BRCA1 mutated) and PARPi-resistant MDA-MB-436-R cells with increasing concentrations of olaparib (e) or NVB (f). Mean ± s.e.m. are shown, with n = 6 independent cultures. Two-tailed paired t-test. g, RAD51-focus assay with DMSO or NVB in MDA-MB-436 or MDA-MB-436-R cells. Cells with more than five foci were counted as positive. Data are means from two independent experiments. h, A scheme shows the mechanism of NVB cytotoxicity in PARPi-naive and -resistant cell lines. In PARPi-naive HR-deficient cell lines, cells rely on MMeJ to repair DSBs. NVB inhibits MMeJ repair and causes unrepaird DSBs, leading to cell death. In HR-deficient PARPi-resistant settings, cells rely on MMeJ to repair DSBs. NVB inhibits the counteracting function of POL0 on RPA and RAD51, leading to enhanced DSB resection, accumulation of nonfunctional RAD51 foci, unrepaird DSBs and eventually cell death. POLQ, POLQ inhibitor.
binding at resected DSBs to facilitate their repair by MMEJ\textsuperscript{25}. We therefore hypothesized that DSBs would be excessively resected when POL\textsubscript{θ} is inhibited. We measured DNA resection at DSBs, generated by restriction endonuclease AsiSI, in U2OS cells in the presence or absence of NVB. In this assay, DSBs were induced by 4-hydroxytamoxifen, and the percentage of ssDNA was measured.
by quantitative (q)PCR. The amount of ssDNA around the DSB was significantly higher when cells were treated with NVB versus DMSO (Fig. 4a). Consistent with this result, NVB-treated tumor samples from the DF59 PDX model exhibited higher levels of phospho-RPA32, a measure of ssDNA accumulation, than did vehicle-treated samples (Fig. 4b). These data suggest that POLQ inhibition by NVB can promote DSB end resection.

We previously showed that depletion of POLQ in HR-deficient tumors causes RAD51 accumulation, perhaps resulting from excessive DSB end resection. Similarly, tumor suppressor P53-binding protein 1 (53BP1) and POLQ are synthetic lethal, and Trp53bp1;Polq-double-knockout cells also have increased end resection and elevated RAD51 accumulation. We reasoned that NVB may induce nonfunctional RAD51 foci in HR-deficient tumors with PARPi resistance in vivo. To test this hypothesis, we collected tumor cells from PARPi and/or NVB-treated PDX models and evaluated RAD51 foci by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 4c). As DF83 is an HR-deficient and PARPi-sensitive model, RAD51 foci were not observed. The HR-restored and PARPi-resistant DF59 model, with biallelic mutations in the sequence encoding 53BP1, exhibited a strong increase in RAD51 staining when treated with NVB alone or in combination with olaparib (Fig. 4c,d). Similarly, TOV21G xenograft tumors exhibited increased numbers of RAD51 foci by immunohistochemistry in vivo after NVB treatment, which were further increased by olaparib treatment (Extended Data Fig. 3d–f). Next, we evaluated the effect of NVB on a PARPi-resistant clone (MDA-MB-436-R) of the BRCA1-mutated breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-436 (ref. 9). Similar to the DF59 PDX model, this resistant cell line restored HR by two mechanisms, namely the stabilization of mutant BRCA1 protein and the loss of 53BP1. While highly resistant to olaparib, MDA-MB-436-R cells were sensitive to NVB, similar to the parental cells (Fig. 4c,d). Importantly, NVB induced RAD51 accumulation in MDA-MB-436-R cells but not in the parental cells (Fig. 4g). Because the parental and MDA-MB-436-R cells are both sensitive to NVB, elevated RAD51 levels appear to be nonfunctional for HR, consistent with the increased resection observed in the presence of NVB. In sum, our data suggest that elevated DSB end resection, as well as the subsequent accumulation of ssDNA intermediates and nonfunctional RAD51 loading, are a plausible mechanism of cell killing by NVB in PARPi-resistant HR-deficient tumor cells (Fig. 4b).

NVB overcomes multiple PARPi-resistance mechanisms. To better understand the mechanism by which NVB overcomes PARPi resistance, we generated several PARPi-resistant clones from BRCA1−/− RPE1 cells by gradually exposing them to increasing concentrations of PARPi (Fig. 5a). We selected four clones (named R1–R4), all of which acquired resistance to olaparib (Extended Data Fig. 8a–c). Multiple PARPi-resistance mechanisms were identified in these clones, corresponding to published mechanisms. Replication fork stabilization was evident in the R1 and R2 clones (Extended Data Fig. 8d). The R1, R3 and R4 clones had restored RAD51 foci, suggesting restored HR activity in these clones (Extended Data Fig. 8e). The restoration of HR repair in these clones may have resulted in part from downregulation of the Shieldin complex and subsequent downregulation of NHEJ repair. Indeed, the R3 clone had decreased expression of REV7, a component of the Shieldin complex, and the R4 clone had decreased 53BP1 expression (Extended Data Fig. 8f,g). None of the clones re-expressed BRCA1, thereby excluding somatic reversion of BRCA1 as an underlying PARPi-resistance mechanism (Fig. 5b and Extended Data Fig. 8h). Strikingly, all four PARPi-resistant clones remained sensitive to NVB to a similar extent as the parental BRCA1+/− RPE1 cells (Fig. 5c,d), suggesting that NVB may overcome multiple mechanisms of acquired resistance to PARPi. To demonstrate that genetic inhibition of POLQ also causes cell death in the PARPi-resistant BRCA1+/− clones, we depleted POLQ in R1, R2 and the parental cells and measured cell survival. Upon POLQ depletion, the parental BRCA1+/− cells and the PARPi-resistant clones but not WT RPE1 cells showed reduced survival, suggesting that POLQ inhibition was the mechanism for NVB cytotoxicity in those cells (Extended Data Fig. 8i).

We also examined more clinically relevant PARPi-resistant, HR-deficient models, using tumor cells derived from patients. MDA-MB-436-R cells, with stabilized mutant BRCA1 protein and loss of 53BP1, were sensitive to NVB (Fig. 4c,e,f). In addition, we studied the PARPi-resistant clone UWB1.289-YSR12 (derived from the BRCA1-null human ovarian cancer cell line UWB1.289), which acquired resistance to PARPi via stabilization of replication forks. These cells also remained sensitive to NVB, although they were highly resistant to olaparib (Extended Data Fig. 9a,b), again suggesting that acquired resistance to PARPi does not determine cross-resistance to NVB. In sum, these data show that NVB may overcome multiple mechanisms of acquired resistance to PARPi.

POLQ expression is a predictive biomarker for NVB sensitivity. POLQ mRNA expression is increased in HR-deficient tumors and in several other cancers associated with poor prognosis. We asked whether increased POLQ mRNA and POLQ protein expression may serve as a predictive biomarker for NVB sensitivity. As expected, CRISPR-mediated knockdown of BRCA1 in RPE1 cells resulted in higher POLQ expression than in the parental cells (Fig. 5e and Extended Data Fig. 9c). Interestingly, all selected PARPi-resistant clones (R1–R4) retained high levels of POLQ expression, revealing a direct correlation between POLQ expression and NVB sensitivity (Fig. 5e). This correlation was also present in BRCA1-deficient MDA-MB-436 cells. MDA-MB-436-R cells with acquired PARPi resistance exhibited elevated levels of POLQ mRNA expression and retained NVB sensitivity, while complementation of the parental cells with WT BRCA1 cDNA decreased POLQ expression and NVB sensitivity (Fig. 5f). The direct correlation of POLQ expression and NVB sensitivity was further observed in...
HR-deficient PDX models, in which elevated \( POLQ \) expression in DF83 and DF59 correlated with high sensitivity to NVB in vivo (Fig. 5g and Fig. 3a–f).

Another known mechanism of PARPi resistance in \( BRCA1/2^{-/-} \) tumors in the clinic is somatic reversion of \( BRCA1/2 \) genes\(^4\). We determined whether NVB could overcome PARPi resistance caused...
by BRCA2 reversion. Unlike other PARPi-resistant cells that we analyzed, BRCA2-deficient tumor cells with near-perfect somatic reversion or CRISPR-edited reversion of BRCA2 (PEO4 and CAPAN1-CR)32,35 were not only resistant to PARPi but also to NVB, when compared to their HR-deficient parental cell lines (PEO1 and CAPAN1) (Fig. 5h,i and Extended Data Fig. 9d,e). These data suggest that NVB can overcome some but not all mechanisms of PARPi resistance. Importantly, low levels of POLQ expression were a predictive biomarker for NVB resistance. For PARPi-resistant cell lines with BRCA2 reversion mutations (PEO4 and CAPAN1-CR), resistance to NVB correlated with low levels of POLQ protein expression by western blot (Fig. 5j and Extended Data Fig. 9f). The resistance of the DF149 PDX model in vivo correlated with its low levels of POLQ expression (Fig. 5g). Also, the loss of POLQ in RPE1 cells correlated with resistance to NVB (Fig. 2e). In sum, in all cases we examined, POLQ expression levels correlated with cellular sensitivity to NVB, suggesting that POLQ expression in a tumor biopsy could provide a convenient predictive biomarker for patient enrollment in future clinical trials with NVB or other PARPi inhibitors.

**Discussion**

In summary, NVB is a specific and potent POLQ inhibitor that pharmacologically depletion and is synthetic lethal in HR-deficient tumors. In the clinic, many tumors with acquired PARPi resistance are likely to remain sensitive to NVB, depending on their mechanism of resistance. Tumors that acquire PARPi resistance by downregulating NHEJ and partially restoring HR repair remain sensitive to NVB. For these tumors, the persistent high level of POLQ expression is a predictive biomarker for their NVB responsiveness, and NVB-induced RAD51 accumulation provides a convenient pharmacodynamic biomarker for clinical trials.

Our work provides mechanistic insights into the cause of synthetic lethality induced by NVB. BRCA1-2-deficient, HR-defective tumor cells are hyperdependent on POLQ, which is recruited for MMEJ by PARP at DSBs and by repair protein XRCC1 along with MRE11 at replication forks40,41. Accordingly, NVB kills these HR-defective cells by blocking the tumor-critical MMEJ activity of POLQ. On the other hand, BRCA1-2-deficient tumor cells that have acquired PARPi resistance, resulting from the downregulation of 53BP1 or other Shieldin components, appear to require POLQ activity for limiting end resection. Accordingly, NVB kills these tumor cells by enhancing end resection, leading to toxic levels of ssDNA intermediates and nonfunctional RAD51 loading.

Finally, our findings may translate to a potential new therapy for treating HR-deficient tumors in addition to PARPi inhibition. Importantly, NVB is an oral and well-tolerated drug, and it has previously been investigated in phase 1 cancer trials32,35. Some partial responses were documented, even though the enrolled patients were not preselected for HR-deficient cancer32. Other previous clinical studies showed that the serum concentration at which NVB specifically kills HR-deficient cells (that is, 100 μM) is readily achievable without causing adverse side effects in humans33,35. In addition, the half-life of NVB in humans (6.0 h) is substantially longer than its half-life in mice (82 min)37, suggesting that NVB may be even more efficacious in humans than in our mouse models. In summary, results in this study provide a strong rationale for future clinical studies of NVB alone or in combination with PARPi to prevent or overcome PARPi resistance.

**Methods**

**Compounds, inhibitors and antibodies.** Chemical compounds, including PARPi olaparib (S1060) andrucaparib (S1098), NVB (S2492), etopside (S1225) and PU-H71 (S58039) were purchased from Selleckchem. Chemicals were dissolved in DMSO and kept as working aliquots at −80 °C. The key sources of antibodies are anti-POLQ (Sigma, SAB1402530), anti-βH2AX (Millipore, 05-635), anti-RAD51 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, SCB, sc-8349), anti-CDK6 (SCB, sc-7961), anti-AKT1 (SCB, sc-5298), anti-HSP70 (SCB, sc-24), anti-HSP90 (SCB, sc-13119) and anti-FANCF (Everest Biotech, EB06112).

**Protein purification.** DNA encoding a POLQ fragment (ΔPOL) containing the ATPass domain with a RAD51-binding site (amino acids 1–987) was cloned into pFastBac-C-Flag and purified from baculovirus-infected SF9 insect cells. POLQ-expressing cells were lysed in lysis buffer (500 mM NaCl, 0.1% NP-40, 0.2 mM EDTA, 20% glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 20 mM Tris (pH 7.6)) supplemented with Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher) and Calpain I Inhibitor (Roche). Cell lysates were incubated with M2 anti-FLAG beads (Sigma) for 3 h at 4 °C on a spinning wheel. Beads were washed three times with lysis buffer, and protein was then eluted in buffer supplemented with 0.2 mg mL−1 FLAG peptide (Sigma). The protein was then concentrated in lysis buffer using 10-kDa centrifugal filters (Amicon). SMARTCAI, CHD1, BLM, RAD51 and TRIP13 were purified in house using a similar protocol. The ATPass domain of BLM and the MRE11 catalytic domain were purified by affinity followed by gel-filtration chromatography. Purified HSP90αA1 protein was purchased from Abcam (ab78425).

**High-throughput small-molecule screen.** The ATPass activity-based high-throughput small-molecule screen was performed at the ICCB-Longwood Screening Facility at Harvard Medical School. On the day of screening, a Combi machine was used to dispense 10 μl aliquots of a master mix containing 600 nM of 30-mer ssDNA substrate and 10 nM POLQ protein (ΔPOL) into each well of a 384-well plate (Corning, 3820). Small molecules were added to wells in the 384-well plates with a Seiko Pin transfer robot. Plates were covered with aluminum seals and centrifuged at 1,000 r.p.m. and sat for 1 h to allow small molecules to bind protein. Ultra-pure ATP (5 μl, 333.3 μM) was added to every well except for no-substrate control wells. Plates were stored overnight (16 h) in a humidified plastic chamber. The next day, 6.5 μl ADP-Glo reagent (Promega) was added to every well using the Combi machine. After a 1-h incubation, 13 μl Kinase Detection Reagent (Promega) was added to every well, and plates were incubated for another hour. Data were collected using the EnVision plate reader by measuring luminescent signals. More information about the screen is available in Supplementary Table 1.

**Statistical analysis of the high-throughput screen.** The inhibition strength of screened compounds was evaluated using a Z-score analysis. As most compounds did not affect POLQ ATPass activity, data for all compounds was used to calculate plate mean (μ) and standard deviation (σ) values. Every compound was then assigned a Z score using the equation $Z = \frac{(signal with compound) - \mu}{\sigma} \times 10^{1}$. Percent inhibition of compounds was determined by normalizing to values from the DMSO control. Values from wells with DMSO were averaged to find the average signal without inhibitor, and a different average of values from DMSO controls was calculated for each plate. Percent activity was calculated using the following formula: percent activity = [(signal with compound) × (average signal with DMSO)] − 1 × 100.

**ATPass activity assay.** The ATPass activity of POLQ was measured using the ADP-Glo kinase assay (Promega). Reactions were carried out in 50 μl reaction buffer (40 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.6), 20 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mg mL−1 BSA and 1 mM dithiothreitol) in black 96-well plates. Final concentrations were 600 nM of 30-mer ssDNA substrate, 50 nM of purified POLQ ΔPOL protein and 100 μM of ATP, with DMSO or NVB. The sequence of the 30-mer ssDNA used in the assay was 5’-CAGTGAATTGTTGCTCGGTACCTGCTAAC-3’ and the order for adding the components was 10 μl of ATP, 10 μl of NVB or DMSO (incubate at room temperature for 15 min) and finally 10 μl of 10X ATP, and all compounds were prepared in 1X reaction buffer. Controls with DMSO represented 0% inhibition, while wells with no enzyme represented 100% inhibition. Plates were covered with an aluminum seal and incubated at room temperature overnight (16 h). The following day, 50 μl ADP-Glo reagent (Promega kit) was added to each well reaction and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Next, 100 μl kinase detection reagent (Promega kit) was added to the wells, and plates were incubated for another hour. Finally, ATP hydrolysis was quantified by luminescence measured on a plate reader. Measurements of other ATPases were carried out using the same conditions and protocol. In some cases, as indicated, a 1P-based ATPass activity assay was also performed as previously described42.

**Thermal stability assays.** The POLQ helicase domain was expressed using the POLQ-A construct (Addgene, 74645) in HF insect cells and purified as described previously43. Thermal stability of the POLQ helicase domain in the presence of NVB was measured using Prometheus with NanoDSF (NanoTemper). Given that the intrinsic fluorescence emission from the protein coincides with the chromophore core in NVB, we monitored scattering to measure protein stability with temperature. The POLQ helicase domain (0.5 mg mL−1 in 25 mM HEPES (pH 8) and 200 mM NaCl) was incubated with the indicated concentration of NVB for 30 min before the sample was loaded into capillaries for scattering measurements with increasing temperature at 1 °C per minute, starting from 25 °C until 90 °C. Shifts in the maximum peak of scattering were estimated from the first derivative of the scattering data. All data points were an average from three replicates and were plotted in GraphPad Prism 8.

**Preparation of NVB–Sepharose 6B beads.** NVB–Sepharose 6B beads were prepared similarly as previously described44. Epoxy-activated Sepharose 6B (0.5 g)
TP53 was first knocked out (RPE1−/−) using another clone of RPE1 cells (named here RPE.1b). A 608 bp knockout was generated in RPE1 cells as well. Cas9 was introduced into U2OS cells by lentiviral infection using the lentivector pLenti6-Cas9-GFP (Addgene, 52962), and stable Cas9−/− expressing U2OS cells (U2OS-Cas9) were generated after antibiotic selection. sgRNA oligonucleotides designed to target the genomic sequence GATGCTTGTGGAGTGGGCTG were used to generate the indicated knockout cells. GFP-positive cells were quantified by flow cytometry (Beckman).

GFP reporter-based DNA repair assays. U2OS cells containing the DR-GFP (for HR) and EJ2 (for MMEJ) repair substrate gift vectors were transfected with GFP-G1–GFP-G2 (Addgene, 48138) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen; Invitrogen). Fluorescent foci were counted under a fluorescence microscope.

Cloning, PiggyBac constructions and cell line generation. WT full-length POLQ cDNA was assembled by PCR with a FLAG-P2A-BLAST cassette and subsequently cloned by Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs, E2611L) in the PiggyBac cDNA expression vector. PCR products were cleaned with the QuickPure LC PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) and subsequently cloned by Gibson assembly in the indicated cloning sites.

Cloning, PiggyBac constructions and cell line generation. WT full-length POLQ cDNA was assembled by PCR with a FLAG-P2A-BLAST cassette and subsequently cloned by Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs, E2611L) in the PiggyBac PBCAG-eGFP vector (Addgene, 40973) using Berryl and SbfI sites to obtain the PiggyBac-eGFP-POLQ-FLAG-P2A-BLAST vector (referred to here as POLQ-WT). Point mutations were introduced using PCR mutagenesis and Gibson assembly in the indicated cloning sites (K121A and SbfI sites). Cells were plated in a 6-well plate, transduced the day after with 1 μg of PiggyBac vector and 0.5 μg PiggyBac transposase (Sybil Biosciences, PB-DNA Mini kit (Qigene). PCR was performed to amplify the gRNA-targeted region (216 bp) using the following primers: forward, GAGAGCCTTGGGAGTGGG; reverse, CTGCAGCTGCCGCTTACG. PCR products were cleaned with the QuickPure LC PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) and subsequently cloned by Gibson assembly in the indicated cloning sites.

Cloning, PiggyBac constructions and cell line generation. WT full-length POLQ cDNA was assembled by PCR with a FLAG-P2A-BLAST cassette and subsequently cloned by Gibson assembly (New England Biolabs, E2611L) in the PiggyBac PBCAG-eGFP vector (Addgene, 40973) using Berryl and SbfI sites to obtain the PiggyBac-eGFP-POLQ-FLAG-P2A-BLAST vector (referred to here as POLQ-WT). Point mutations were introduced using PCR mutagenesis and Gibson assembly in the indicated cloning sites (K121A and SbfI sites). Cells were plated in a 6-well plate, transduced the day after with 1 μg of PiggyBac vector and 0.5 μg PiggyBac transposase (Sybil Biosciences, PB-DNA Mini kit (Qigene). PCR was performed to amplify the gRNA-targeted region (216 bp) using the following primers: forward, GAGAGCCTTGGGAGTGGG; reverse, CTGCAGCTGCCGCTTACG. PCR products were cleaned with the QuickPure LC PCR purification kit (QIAGEN) and subsequently cloned by Gibson assembly in the indicated cloning sites.
caliper, and tumor volumes were calculated by using the formula \(L \times W \times W - 2\), where \(L\) is length and \(W\) is width.

Genetically engineered mouse models. Pieces from breast tumors generated in K14-Cre;BrdUf/f;Trp53R172H female mice were transplanted into the mammary fat pad of FVB/129P2 recipient females that were at least 6 weeks old. FVB/129P2 recipients were generated by breeding FVB females (Jackson Laboratory) and 129P2 males (Enviyo) and using the first-generation litters for experimentation. When tumors reached approximately 150 mm³ in volume, mice were randomized into treatment groups (vehicle and NVB). Treatment continued until tumors reached 20 mm in any direction, at which point mice were euthanized. NVB was prepared fresh from powder in PBS each time before i.p. injection and administered at 100 mg per kg twice a day for 35 d. Tumors were measured every 3–4 d using an electronic caliper, and tumor volumes were calculated by using the formula \(L \times W \times W - 2\).

Patient-derived xenograft studies. PDx models were established, luciferized and propagated at the DFCI and were described previously. Approximately 2–10x10⁶ luciferized PDX cells derived from mouse ascites were injected i.p. into 8-week-old female NsG mice. Tumor burden was measured by BLI using a Xenogen IVIS 200 system (Xenogen). Mice were grouped according to BLI signals from tumors so that each group would have similar initial average BLI values. NVB was diluted in saline and administered via i.p. injection at 75 mg per kg twice daily for 4 weeks. Olaparib (ChemExpress) was formulated in PBS containing 10% DMSO and 10% (w/v) hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin (Sigma-Aldrich) and administered orally at 50 mg per kg daily for 4 weeks.

Quantitative RT–PCR. Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen), and cDNA was generated using the SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher). Total DNA was isolated using the PowerWater UP SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher) reagent was used to run qPCR on a QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Two sets of POLQ primers were used, which gave the same POLQ mRNA measurements: POLQ primer set 1 (forward, 5′-TATCTGCTGG AACCTTGTGA-3′; reverse, 5′-CTCACACATTCTTTGATGGA-3′); POLQ primer set 2 (forward, 5′-CTACAACTGGAAGGGATGAG-3′; reverse, 5′-TCAGAGGGTTTCACCAATCC-3′). Internal control primers were ACTB (forward, 5′-ACCATTGTTGGAGATGGTC-3′; reverse, 5′-AGGTCTTGGCGATGTCCACGT-3′) or GAPDH (forward, 5′-GTCTCCTGCTAGTCAACAGGG-3′; reverse, 5′-ACACCGCTCTGGTGACCAGCA-3′).

Immunohistochemistry. NSG mice bearing DF59 and DF83 tumors were killed as described in the efficacy study, with 75 mg per kg NVB twice a day and with 50 mg per kg olaparib daily. Four hours after the last dose (52h after the first dose), tumor cells were isolated from the peritoneum of the mice, cleaned, washed with PBS and fixed in 10% normal buffered formalin for 10 min at room temperature. Next, tumor cells were washed with PBS three times and embedded in Histogel (Richmond Allan Scientific). Histogel cores were processed using standard histology methods and embedded in paraffin. RAD51 staining was performed on paraffin sections (4μm) using a previously standardized protocol (https://doi.org/10.1185/1358-7445.AMA2017-2796). Images of stained slides were acquired on an Olympus BX41 microscope equipped with a digital camera at X40 magnification. The percentage of RAD51-foci positive cell fields was estimated.

Molecular docking. We used the AMP-PNP-Mg²⁺-bound POLO-HD (PDB 5AGA) structure for our docking studies, as it has the best resolution (2.9Å) among the reported structures in the PDB. For docking studies, the protein structure was prepared using the Protein Preparation Wizard from the Schrödinger suite. AMP-PNP-Mg²⁺ was stripped from the structure as well. Using the minimized structure, a 25-Å grid created around the Asn451 residue, given its close proximity to the ADP and AMP-PNP binding site in the helicase domain, was used to search for a potential binding site for NVB. The LigPrep Wizard from the Schrödinger suite was used to prepare NVB ligand in different protonated states for docking. All minimizations in the Protein Prep and LigPrep wizards were performed using the OPLS3 force field. The Glide Extra Precision docking module of the Schrödinger workflow was used to produce NVB and POLQ 1.06 complex models 358 dependent DNA repair. Nature 560, 117–121 (2018).
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 1 | Characterization of POLθ inhibitors obtained from the small-molecule screen, with biochemical assays and cell-based assays. 

a, ADP-Glo assay for quantification of POLθ ATPase activity in various experimental conditions. N = 1 experiment. b, A secondary screen using the 72 initial hits in reducing POLθ ATPase activity (below 70 %, z-score < -4). The screen was done in the presence and absence of ssDNA. The four most promising hits advancing for further analysis are labeled (see Table S4 for analysis). Aurintričarboxylic acid (ATA), Reactive Blue 2 (RB), Suramin (SUR), and Novobiocin (NVB). Data shown are mean of n = 2 independent experiments. c, Quantification of POLθ and SMARCAL1 ATPase activity with indicated small molecules. Data shown are mean ± SD, n = 3 independent experiments. Statistics were performed using two tailed t-test with Welch’s correction. *** p < 0.001, ** p < 0.01. d, Coomassie blue stained gels showing purified ATPase that were used in this study. e, Conjugation of NVB to epoxy-activated Sepharose-6B (Sigma). NVB conjugated beads showed light yellow color. The structure of NVB is shown. f, Pulldown experiments with NVB-conjugated beads and purified POLθ, SMARCAL1, CHD1, BLM, and RAD51. POLθ, SMARCAL1, CHD1, and BLM were detected by Western blot using anti-Flag antibody (Sigma #F1804) in this assay. RAD51 was detected by anti-RAD51 antibody (SantaCruz #398587). g, Pulldown experiments with NVB-conjugated beads and cell lysate from HEK293T cells expressing GFP-tagged full-length POLθ. Cell lysates were incubated with empty beads (EB), NVB-beads (NVB) or anti-GFP-beads (GFP) to assay for direct POLθ binding. GFP-POLθ bound to beads and input of each group were subjected to Western blot analysis, using an anti-GFP antibody. h, Competition assay between NVB-conjugated beads and free NVB when incubated with GFP-tagged full-length POLθ extracted from HEK293T cells. i, Thermal shift assay using GFP-POLQθ expressing HEK293T cell lysate incubated with NVB at the indicated temperatures. Supernatants after heat treatment were subjected to Western blot using anti-GFP and anti-Actin antibodies. j–l, Titration of indicated NVB (or DMSO) concentration with POLθ (j), BLM (k), or MRE11 (l) in the thermal shift assay to measure the effect of NVB on the protein stability. Average first derivative of the scattering profile is shown. Scattering peak max values are shown as mean ± SD, from n = 3 independent experiments.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Novobiocin phenocopies POLθ depletion in human cells. a, Images and quantification of RAD51 foci in U2OS cells under increasing concentrations of NVB with or without gamma-irradiation (IR). b, Images and quantification of γH2AX foci in U2OS cells under increasing concentrations of NVB with or without IR. Data in (a) and (b) were pooled from two independent experiments. Each dot represents foci numbers in one cell. Scale bar = 10 μm.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Efficacy of NVB in BRCA1−/− GEMM and RAD51 pharmacodynamic study in TOV21G xenograft models.  

**a**, NVB efficacy in the GEMM model (Tp53−/− Brca1−/− TNBC). Response of each tumor in each individual mouse is shown. Tumor chunks from GEMM mice were implanted in syngeneic FVB/129P2 mice, which were treated with PBS or 100 mg/kg NVB twice a day via IP for 5 weeks.  

**b**, Olaparib sensitivity of TOV21G (+ EV) or FANCF-complemented TOV21G (+ FANCF cDNA).  

**c**, Novobiocin sensitivity of TOV21G (+ EV) or FANCF-complemented TOV21G (+ FANCF cDNA). Mean ± SD of n = 6 biologically independent samples are shown in **b** and **c**.  

**d-f**, Immunohistochemical (IHC) study of the pharmacodynamic biomarker RAD51 after NVB and/or olaparib treatment in TOV21G tumors.  

**d**, Representative images of RAD51 IHC staining in xenografted TOV21G tumor cells. Tumor bearing NU(NCr)-Foxn1nu mice were treated with indicated drugs for 18 days before tumors were taken. FFPE tissue sections of the tumors were stained using an anti-RAD51 antibody and representative images (40X) are shown.  

**e**, Zoom-in of the IHC images in (**d**) to show RAD51 foci in detail.  

**f**, Quantification of RAD51 foci positive cells in TOV21G tumors. Cells with three or more RAD51 foci were counted as positive cells. Tumor samples from each group were processed and analyzed, and Mean ± SD are shown, n = 4 for Olaparib and n = 6 for other groups. The total number of cells counted were shown in the graph (N). Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA in Prism, *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Effects of novobiocin in BRCA1−/− and BRCA2−/− cells. a, Clonogenic survival of BRCA1−/− and WT RPE1 cells under increasing concentrations of the PARPi rucaparib. b, Clonogenic survival of BRCA2−/− and WT RPE1 cells under increasing concentrations of PARPi rucaparib. c, Clonogenic survival of BRCA2−/− and WT RPE1 cells under increasing concentrations of POLθ inhibitor. The survival fraction in a–c is normalized to the untreated samples. Data shown are Mean ± SEM, n = 3 independent experiments. d, Cell viability assay (CellTiter-Glo) in BRCA1−/− and WT RPE1 cells treated with indicated POLθ inhibitors (50 µM) or with the PARPi Olaparib as control. Cells were treated twice on days 1 and 4 and cell viability was measured on day 7. Data were mean ± SD, n = 3 biological replicates. Statistics analyses were two tailed t-test. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001, ns, not significant (p > 0.05).
Extended Data Fig. 5 | NVB induces apoptosis and chromosome aberrations in RPE1 BRCA1<sup>−/−</sup>. a, Quantification of apoptosis in BRCA1<sup>−/−</sup> and WT RPE1 cells under increasing concentrations of NVB. Mean ± SD, n = 3 independent experiments. b, Representative images of chromosomal aberrations (blue arrows) including radial figures (red arrows) in RPE1 (WT or BRCA1<sup>−/−</sup>) cells treated with NVB. c-d, Quantification of radial figures (c) and total chromosome aberration (d) in BRCA1<sup>−/−</sup> and WT RPE1 cells treated with NVB alone or in combination with mitomycin C (MMC). Data in c-d are mean ± SD of n = 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance was determined by one-way ANOVA multiple comparisons using uncorrected Fisher’s LSD test. ***, p < 0.001.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | POLQ−/− U2OS cells were resistant to NVB. a–d. Verification of the U2OS-POLQ−/− cell line. a, Mapping the genetic alteration (deletions) in the U2OS POLQ knockout clone generated by CRISPR-Cas9. The targeted region was sequenced by Next Gen sequencing (number of reads are shown), and the deletions were mapped to POLQ locus of human genome on chromosome 3. b, A Western blot showing POLθ protein expression in WT and POLQ−/− U2OS cells. c, RAD51 foci assay in WT or POLQ−/− U2OS cells before and after (4 h) of 4 Gy IR. Mean of n = 2 independent experiments is shown. d, IR sensitivity of WT and POLQ−/− U2OS cells in clonogenic assays. Mean ± SD of n = 3 independent experiments are shown. e, NVB sensitivity of WT and POLQ−/− U2OS cells in clonogenic assays. Mean ± SD of n = 6 biologically independent samples are shown.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | NVB inhibits POLθ but not HSP90 or TOP2 in human cells. a, HSP90 client degradation assay in RPE1 and RPE1-BRCA1−/− cells. Cells were treated with a potent HSP90 inhibitor PU-H71 or NVB for 48 hours, and then cells were collected for Western blot analysis of the HSP90 client AKT1. b-c, HSP90 client degradation assay in MCF7 cells. Cells were treated with the potent HSP90 inhibitor PU-H71 or NVB for 24 hours, and then cells were collected for Western blot analysis of the HSP90 client proteins AKT1, CDK6 (b) and BRCA1 (c). Levels of HSP90 and HSP70 were also analyzed after NVB or PU-H71 treatment (c). d-e, Combination effect of etoposide and novobiocin in killing TOV21G cells (d) and CAPAN1 cells (e), showing their non-epistatic effects. Mean ± SD of n = 3 independent experiments are shown. f, CellTiter-Glo cell viability assay of empty vector (EV) and FANCF-complemented TOV21G cells, in the presence of olaparib, novobiocin or both. Mean ± SD, n = 4 biological replicates are shown. g, IC50 values of olaparib in TOV21G cells with or without NVB, derived from data in f. Mean ± SD of IC50 were shown, from n = 4 biological replicates.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | See next page for caption.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Characterization of PARP inhibitor resistant clones derived from RPE1-BRCA1−/−. a–c, Olaparib (a-b) and cisplatin (c) sensitivity of WT and BRCA1−/− RPE1 cells and the PARPi resistant clones of BRCA1−/− RPE1. Mean ± SD of biological replicates are shown, with n = 8 in A, n = 3 in B, and n = 8 in C. d, DNA fiber assay to measure the replication fork stability of the PARPi resistant clones. Mean ± 95%CI are shown from 3 independent experiments are shown, with n = number of fibers scored labeled. e, RAD51 foci analysis in WT and BRCA1−/− RPE1 cells and the PARPi resistant clones after irradiation (5Gy), to determine restoration of RAD51 foci in R clones. RAD51 was stained 4 hours after IR. Scale bar = 10 μm. f, A Western blot of WT and BRCA1−/− RPE cells and the PARPi resistant clones using a REV7 antibody. g, Immunofluorescence staining of 53BP1 in WT and BRCA1−/− RPE1 cells and the PARPi resistant clones after irradiation. Clone R4 showed much reduced 53BP1 foci after IR. h, Immunofluorescence staining of BRCA1 in WT and BRCA1−/− RPE1 cells and the PARPi resistant clones after irradiation. No BRCA1 foci was observed except in WT RPE1 cells. i, CellTiter-Glo assay to determine survival of PARPi-resistant and parental BRCA1−/− RPE1 cells upon CRISPR knockout of the POLQ gene. Data are mean ± SEM, with n = 4 biological replicates. Statistical analysis was t-test, *, p < 0.05; ns, not significant.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | PARPi resistant MDA-MB-436 and UWB1.289 cells are sensitive to NVB, and NVB has synergy with PARPi in TOV21G cells. 

a-b, Olaparib (a) and NVB (b) sensitivity of UWB1.289 and a PARPi resistant UWB1.289 clone (UWB1.289-YSR12) in CellTiter-Glo assays. Data shown are mean ± SD, n = 4 biological replicates. 

c, Western blot analysis of POLQ expression levels in WT and BRCA1−/− RPe1 cells and the PARPi resistant BRCA1−/− clones. 

d, Olaparib sensitivity of PEO1 (BRCA2 mutated) and PEO4 cells (BRCA2 restored). 

e, NVB sensitivity of PEO1 and PEO4 cells. Data shown in d and e are Mean ± SD, n = 3 biological replicates. 

f, A Western blot shows POLQ expression in BRCA2-deficient cells lines (PEO1) and their counterparts with BRCA2 reverted to wild type (PEO4).
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- RAD51 (Cell Signaling Technology #8875)
- CDK6 (SCB #sc-7961)
- AKT1 (SCB #sc-5298)
- HSP70 (SCB #sc-24)
- HSP90 (SCB #sc-13119)
- Vinculin (SCB # sc-25336)
- FANC (Everest Biotech, #EB06112)
- Goat anti-Rabbit Secondary Antibody, Alexa-488 (Life Technologies A11034)
- Goat anti-mouse Secondary Antibody, Alexa 594 (Life Technologies A11005)
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Results using the antibodies were shown in the current manuscript.
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Female FVB/129P2 mice, at least 6 week at time of experiments.
Female NSG mice, 8 week at time of experiments.

Wild animals No wild animals were used in the study.

Field-collected samples No field collected samples were used in the study.

Ethics oversight Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) and the Animal Care and Use Committee (ACUC), Dana-Farber Cancer Institute. All animal experiments were conducted in accordance with Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee-approved protocols at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Dana-Farber Cancer Institute

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.