Doppler ultrasound for prediction of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding: a prospective cohort study
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Abstract

Background: Previous studies reported changes in the local vasoactive substance production within the endometrium with a subsequent increase in vascularity as a possible mechanism of intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD)-induced heavy menstrual bleeding. This research investigates the role of power Doppler velocimetrics of the uterine arteries, endometrium, and sub-endometrium vascularization as a predictor of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding.

Results: Endometrium flow index (FI) and vascularization flow index (VFI) significantly increased in both groups: women with heavy menstrual bleeding (group A) and women without heavy menstrual bleeding (group B), with significantly higher increase in group A. Endometrium VFI ≥ 0.18 had the highest significant diagnostic characteristics in the prediction of heavy menstrual bleeding, followed by sub-endometrium VI ≥ 3.75.

Conclusion: The results of this study suggest an increase in uterine blood flow in women with IUCD-induced menorrhagia. Endometrial and sub-endometrial Doppler vascular indices can be used for the prediction of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding.
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Background

Copper intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD) is the most commonly used method of long-acting reversible contraception worldwide [1]. The most important copper IUCD-related side effect is increased menstrual bleeding approximately 30–50%, often combined with cramping. The menstrual blood may be excessive to the extent of causing iron deficiency anemia [2]. These changes are responsible for a removal rate of 4–15% during the first year after IUCD insertion [3].

The effect of the copper IUCD on uterine hemodynamics and its relationship with the pathophysiology of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding is still not well clarified [4]. By using three-dimensional (3D) Doppler analysis, only few studies have demonstrated an increase in sub-endometrial vascularization in women with IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding [5]. Using power Doppler provides the possibility of quantifying vascularization within a given volume of interest.

This study was conducted to assess the role of power Doppler velocimetrics of the uterine arteries, endometrium, and sub-endometrium vascularization as a predictor of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding.

Methods

This prospective cohort study was conducted during the period from September 2018 till November 2019. The study was approved by the institutional Ethical and Research Committee. One hundred and ten women, candidate for copper T 380 IUCD insertion, were included in the study. Pregnant women, nulligravida, women with a history of heavy menstrual bleeding, history of unexplained amenorrhea,
congenital or acquired uterine anomalies (e.g., septate uterus, uterine polyp or fibroid), current pelvic inflammatory disease, history of use of oral contraceptives, hormonal medication or IUCD within 3 months before the study, women with a bleeding tendency (e.g., thrombophilia or anticoagulant disorders), and sensitivity to copper were excluded from the study.

**Study procedure**

Women included in the study had copper T380 IUCD (Silver Line 380°, PREGNA INTERNATIONAL LTD., India, imported by DKT Egypt LLC—Egypt) insertion at day 5 to day 12 of their menstrual cycles. Doppler ultrasound was done to all women before IUCD insertion using SAMSUNG MEDISON CO, LTD, Korea MODEL H60 or Voluson E6 Expert ultrasound machine (General Electric®, Fairfield, CT, USA), transvaginal probe 7–9 MHz, 2D color Doppler, and again was repeated after 3 months from insertion. Pulse wave Doppler was applied at a sampling gate of 2 mm with the angle of insonation at less than 30. Uterine artery pulsatility index (PI) and resistance index (RI) were determined automatically following three similar waveforms (Figs. 1 and 2). The ultrasound machine was switched to 3D mode with power Doppler and was used to measure the endometrium (excluding the IUCD) and sub-endometrial region (1 mm parallel to the original defined myometrial-endometrial contour). Vascularization index (VI) measures the ratio of the number of color voxels to the total number of voxels (%) and represents the presence of blood vessels (vascularity). Flow index (FI) measures the mean power Doppler signal intensity (0–100) and represents the average intensity of blood flow. Vascularization flow index (VFI) is calculated by multiplying VI and FI (0–100) and represents a combination of vascularity and flow intensity (Figs. 3, 4, 5, and 6). Ultrasound examinations were carried out between days 5 and 12 of the cycle to exclude a possible effect of the menstrual cycle phase and between 9:00 and 10:00 AM to avoid circadian rhythm variation.

Women were assessed before enrollment in the study using pictorial blood assessment system scoring (PBAC) [6] to exclude women with heavy menstrual bleeding, and again, women included in the study were assessed using PBAC where they were either allocated to group A, women with IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding, or group B, women without heavy menstrual bleeding.

Statistical analysis was performed using Stata® version 14 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA) and XLSTAT© version 2014.5.03 (Addinsoft, Inc., Brooklyn, NY, USA). Descriptive statistics were done for quantitative data as minimum and maximum of the range as well as mean ± SD (standard deviation) for quantitative normally distributed data, while it was done for qualitative data as number and percentage. Inferential analyses were done for quantitative variables using the Shapiro-Wilk
test for normality testing, independent t test in cases of two independent groups with normally distributed data, and paired t test in cases of two dependent groups. For qualitative data, inferential analyses for independent variables were done using the chi-square test for differences between proportions. ROC curve was used to evaluate the performance of different tests to differentiate between certain groups. The level of significance was taken at P value < 0.05.

Results
Out of 158 women assessed for eligibility, 110 women were included in the study (Fig. 7). The mean age of women was 27.6 ± 3.1 (20–35) years, mean BMI was 24.2 ± 1.9 kg/m², and median parity was 2 (1–3). According to the PBAC score, 42 women (38.2%) developed heavy menstrual bleeding (group A), and the menstrual flow significantly increased after 3 months from IUCD insertion in all the 110 studied women (49.7 ± 8.5 before, 100.5 ± 45.6 after, P < 0.001). The PBAC score was significantly higher in group A than in group B (Table 1). Uterine artery, endometrium, and sub-endometrium vascular indices showed significant change 3 months after IUCD insertion (Table 2). Baseline vascular indices of all, uterine artery, endometrium, and sub-endometrium, differed significantly in women who later developed IUCD-induced menorrhagia from those who did not (Table 3). Endometrium VFI had the highest significant diagnostic performance for the prediction of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding, followed by sub-endometrium VI (Tables 4 and 5).

Discussion
An intrauterine contraceptive device (IUCD) is one of the most frequently used methods for birth control around the world. However, menorrhagia is among its side effects. Menorrhagia may cause iron deficiency anemia and usually ends by removing the IUCD in the first year after its insertion in many cases [7].

This prospective cohort study aimed to assess uterine artery, endometrial, and sub-endometrial micro-vascular indices in relation to heavy menstrual bleeding as a predictor of the risk of bleeding before IUCD insertion. Among our studied 110 cases, 42 (38.2%) developed heavy menstrual bleeding.

Basal PBAC score was comparable between women who later developed heavy menstrual bleeding and those who did not, while in follow-up, the PBAC score was significantly higher in heavy menstrual bleeding cases.

In the current study, uterine artery PI and RI significantly decreased with time in heavy and non-heavy menstrual bleeding cases. Still, uterine artery PI and RI (basal and after 3 months) were significantly lower in heavy menstrual bleeding cases and their reduction was significantly higher in heavy menstrual bleeding cases.
Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain IUCD-induced menorrhagia: increased endometrial prostaglandins with subsequent increased capillary permeability and vascularity with decreased platelet activity, and also IUCD induces an inflammatory reaction which causes increased nitric oxide production, a potent vasodilator [8]. Other vascular abnormalities due to abnormal angiogenesis have been proposed; abnormal vasculature resulting from abnormal angiogenesis can have poor contractility and hemostatic dysfunction leading to heavy bleeding and decreased uterine artery vascular impedance [9].

Indeed, there is some controversy regarding uterine artery PI and RI in IUCD users. Some studies suggest no difference in RI and PI before and after insertion [10–13], and others demonstrate a PI increase in the midluteal phase, yet still agreed with this study and suggested decreased uterine artery PI after IUCD insertion [14]. Some studies reported no statistical difference between women with IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding and those using IUCD with normal menstruation [15, 16]. Still, many studies found uterine artery RI and PI to be much lower in women with IUCD-induced menorrhagia compared to both: women without IUCD-induced menorrhagia and control women [2, 17–20].

Some of the studies reporting no difference in uterine artery vascular indices measured them after a relatively short period from IUCD insertion, only 30 days [10]; this short duration might be insufficient to detect vascular changes by Doppler. This was emphasized in another study which found even increased PI in the participants’ first visit, yet was significantly decreased in their second visit especially in women with increased bleeding scores [19].

In the current study, sub-endometrium PI and RI significantly decreased with time in heavy and non-heavy menstrual bleeding cases. Sub-endometrium PI and RI (basal and month 3) were significantly lower in heavy menstrual bleeding cases. On the other hand, sub-endometrium VI, FI, and VFI significantly increased with time in heavy and non-heavy menstrual bleeding cases. Sub-endometrium VI, FI, and VFI (basal and month 3) were significantly higher in heavy menstrual bleeding cases. These results are in agreement with several studies [5, 21].

Also, this study showed increased endometrium FI and VFI in both IUCD-induced heavy and non-heavy menstrual bleeding cases which was more evident in women phase.
with heavy bleeding. These results are in agreement with previous studies examining the effect of copper IUCD on uterine hemodynamic, but disagreed in women without menorrhagia, where there was no significant difference in the endometrial VI, FI, and VFI before and after IUCD insertion [5, 10, 12, 14, 16, 22]. In the current study, endometrium VFI (≥ 0.18) had the highest diagnostic performance in the prediction of heavy menstrual bleeding, followed by sub-endometrium VI (≥ 3.75). This higher diagnostic value of endometrium VFI might be explained by several proposed hypotheses for the mechanism of IUCD-induced bleeding. Among these theories, the low-grade endometrial inflammatory reaction associated with increased prostaglandin synthesis and local vascular changes [8].

Still, it is difficult to attribute the bleeding only to the presence of lower Doppler indices because low PI values can also be detected in patients without IUCD, and PI values lower than 2 can be detected at various phases of the menstrual cycle as well. Nevertheless, PI values lower than 2 detected at the early phase of the menstrual cycle raise the possibility of an increased bleeding risk. Adding 3D Doppler indices with the suggested cutoff values of both endometrium VFI (≥ 0.18) and sub-endometrium VI (≥ 3.75) might increase the predictability of women liable to IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding. Still, the relatively small number of participants included in this study and the 3-month follow-up might be considered limitations of this study; accordingly, further studies with larger sample size and with longer duration of follow-up, as some cases develop menorrhagia after a longer duration, are needed to further validate this predictive value and its possible clinical application. Also, other studies

| Table 2 | Uterine artery, endometrium, and sub-endometrium vascular indices |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Basal | Month 3 | #Change |     |
| Uterine artery |       |        |         |     |
| PI       | Mean ± SD | 2.20 ± 0.63 | 2.09 ± 0.61 | −0.11 ± 0.03 | < 0.001 |
| RI       | Mean ± SD | 0.85 ± 0.25  | 0.81 ± 0.25  | −0.04 ± 0.01  | < 0.001 |
| Sub-endometrium |       |        |         |     |
| PI       | Mean ± SD | 1.72 ± 0.35  | 1.63 ± 0.34  | −0.09 ± 0.03  | < 0.001 |
| RI       | Mean ± SD | 0.70 ± 0.16  | 0.67 ± 0.16  | −0.03 ± 0.01  | < 0.001 |
| VI       | Mean ± SD | 4.45 ± 2.39  | 4.71 ± 2.59  | 0.26 ± 0.21   | < 0.001 |
| FI       | Mean ± SD | 31.47 ± 5.17 | 33.11 ± 5.65 | 1.64 ± 0.71   | < 0.001 |
| VFI      | Mean ± SD | 1.45 ± 0.90  | 1.62 ± 1.05  | 0.17 ± 0.15   | < 0.001 |
| Endometrium |       |        |         |     |
| VI       | Mean ± SD | 0.77 ± 0.34  | 0.81 ± 0.37  | 0.04 ± 0.03   | < 0.001 |
| FI       | Mean ± SD | 22.61 ± 6.92 | 23.81 ± 7.34 | 1.21 ± 0.59   | < 0.001 |
| VFI      | Mean ± SD | 0.18 ± 0.11  | 0.20 ± 0.12  | 0.02 ± 0.02   | < 0.001 |

| Table 3 | Baseline uterine artery, endometrium, and sub-endometrium vascular indices |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Group A (N = 42) | Group B (N = 68) |     |
| Uterine artery |       |        |     |
| PI       | Mean ± SD | 1.77 ± 0.47  | 2.46 ± 0.56  | < 0.001 |
| RI       | Mean ± SD | 0.63 ± 0.16  | 0.99 ± 0.20  | < 0.001 |
| Sub-endometrium |       |        |     |
| PI       | Mean ± SD | 1.63 ± 0.35  | 1.78 ± 0.34  | 0.030 |
| RI       | Mean ± SD | 0.56 ± 0.12  | 0.79 ± 0.11  | < 0.001 |
| VI       | Mean ± SD | 6.87 ± 1.91  | 2.96 ± 1.07  | < 0.001 |
| FI       | Mean ± SD | 33.71 ± 5.32 | 30.10 ± 4.60 | < 0.001 |
| VFI      | Mean ± SD | 2.33 ± 0.80  | 0.90 ± 0.39  | < 0.001 |
| Endometrium |       |        |     |
| VI       | Mean ± SD | 1.06 ± 0.32  | 0.59 ± 0.20  | < 0.001 |
| FI       | Mean ± SD | 24.38 ± 6.37 | 21.51 ± 7.06 | 0.034 |
| VFI      | Mean ± SD | 0.26 ± 0.12  | 0.13 ± 0.06  | < 0.001 |

| Table 4 | Diagnostic performance of basal Doppler findings for the prediction of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Indices | AUC | SE | P | 95% CI | Cutoff |
| Uterine A. PI | 0.761 | 0.040 | < 0.001 | 0.673–0.849 | ≤ 2.20 |
| Uterine A. RI | 0.725 | 0.029 | < 0.001 | 0.678–0.772 | ≤ 0.88 |
| Sub-end. PI | 0.602 | 0.054 | < 0.001 | 0.495–0.709 | ≤ 1.94 |
| Sub-end. RI | 0.920 | 0.027 | < 0.001 | 0.867–0.973 | ≤ 0.69 |
| Sub-end. VI | 0.959 | 0.024 | < 0.001 | 0.895–1.000 | ≥ 3.75 |
| Sub-end. FI | 0.707 | 0.054 | < 0.001 | 0.602–0.813 | ≥ 3.20 |
| Sub-end. VFI | 0.948 | 0.022 | < 0.001 | 0.904–0.991 | ≥ 1.32 |
| End. VI | 0.908 | 0.027 | < 0.001 | 0.856–0.961 | ≥ 1.71 |
| End. FI | 0.606 | 0.054 | < 0.001 | 0.501–0.712 | ≥ 16.00 |
| End. VFI | 0.971 | 0.015 | < 0.001 | 0.940–1.000 | ≥ 0.18 |
might investigate the value of uterine arteries and endometrial Doppler blood flow in IUCD-associated pain.

**Conclusion**

The results of this study confirm the hypothesis of increased uterine blood flow in women with IUCD-induced abnormal uterine bleeding. Endometrium and sub-endometrial vascularity is markedly increased in women with IUCD-induced menorrhagia. Endometrial and sub-endometrial Doppler vascular indices can be used for the prediction of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding.
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**Table 5** Diagnostic characteristics of basal Doppler findings’ cutoff points for the prediction of IUCD-induced heavy menstrual bleeding

|               | Uterine A. PI, cutoff ≤ 2.20 | Uterine A. RI, cutoff ≤ 0.88 | Sub-end. PI, cutoff ≤ 1.94 | Sub-end. RI, cutoff ≤ 0.69 | Sub-end. VI, cutoff ≥ 3.75 |
|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Sensitivity** | 88.1%                         | 97.6%                        | 88.1%                       | 85.7%                       | 97.6%                       |
| **Specificity** | 60.3%                         | 69.1%                        | 36.8%                       | 80.9%                       | 82.4%                       |
| **DA**        | 70.9%                         | 80.0%                        | 56.4%                       | 82.7%                       | 88.2%                       |
| **Younen’s index** | 48.4%                         | 66.7%                        | 24.9%                       | 66.6%                       | 80.0%                       |
| **PPV**       | 57.8%                         | 66.1%                        | 46.3%                       | 73.5%                       | 77.4%                       |
| **NPV**       | 89.1%                         | 97.9%                        | 83.3%                       | 90.2%                       | 98.2%                       |
| **LR+**       | 2.22                          | 3.16                         | 1.39                        | 4.48                        | 5.53                        |
| **LR−**       | 0.20                          | 0.03                         | 0.32                        | 0.18                        | 0.03                        |
| **LR**        | 11.24                         | 91.76                        | 4.30                        | 25.38                       | 191.33                      |

|               | Sub-end. FI, cutoff ≥ 3.20 | Sub-end. VFI, cutoff ≥ 1.32 | End. VI, cutoff ≥ 1.71 | End. FI, cutoff ≥ 16.00 | End. VFI, cutoff ≥ 0.18 |
|---------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| **Sensitivity** | 76.2%                       | 97.6%                        | 100.0%                 | 97.6%                  | 95.2%                 |
| **Specificity** | 72.1%                       | 75.0%                        | 73.5%                  | 26.5%                  | 86.8%                 |
| **DA**        | 73.6%                       | 83.6%                        | 83.6%                  | 53.6%                  | 90.0%                 |
| **Younen’s index** | 48.2%                       | 72.6%                        | 73.5%                  | 24.1%                  | 82.0%                 |
| **PPV**       | 62.7%                       | 70.7%                        | 70.0%                  | 45.1%                  | 81.6%                 |
| **NPV**       | 83.1%                       | 98.1%                        | 100.0%                 | 94.7%                  | 96.7%                 |
| **LR+**       | 2.73                        | 3.90                         | 3.78                   | 1.33                   | 7.20                  |
| **LR−**       | 0.33                        | 0.03                         | 0.00                   | 0.09                   | 0.05                  |
| **LR**        | 8.25                        | 123.00                       | > 100.0                | 14.76                  | 131.11                |

CI: confidence interval, DA: diagnostic accuracy, PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value, LR+: positive likelihood ratio, LR−: negative likelihood ratio, LR: diagnostic odds ratio
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