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ABSTRACT

We present results from a 100 ks XMM-Newton observation of galaxy cluster XLSSC 122, the first massive cluster discovered through its X-ray emission at $z = 2$. The data provide the first precise constraints on the bulk thermodynamic properties of such a distant cluster, as well as an X-ray spectroscopic confirmation of its redshift. We measure an average temperature of $kT = 5.0 \pm 0.7$ keV; a metallicity with respect to solar of $Z/Z_\odot = 0.33^{+0.17}_{-0.15}$, consistent with lower-redshift clusters; and a redshift of $z = 1.99^{+0.08}_{-0.06}$, consistent with the earlier photo-z estimate. The measured gas density profile leads to a mass estimate at $z = 2$ of $M_{500} = (6.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{15} M_\odot$. From CARMA 30 GHz data, we measure the spherically integrated Compton parameter within $r_{500}$ to be $Y_{500} = (3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12}$. We compare the measured properties of XLSSC 122 to lower-redshift cluster samples, and find good agreement when assuming the simplest (self-similar) form for the evolution of cluster scaling relations. While a single cluster provides limited information, this result suggests that the evolution of the intracluster medium in the most massive, well-developed clusters is remarkably simple, even out to the highest redshifts where they have been found. At the same time, our data reaffirm the previously reported spatial offset between the centres of the X-ray and SZ signals for XLSSC 122, suggesting a disturbed configuration. Higher spatial resolution data could thus provide greater insights into the internal dynamics of this system.
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1. Introduction

Galaxy clusters at redshifts $z > 1$ are now routinely being discovered in surveys at X-ray (Pacaud et al. 2007, 2016, hereafter XXL Paper II; Willis et al. 2013), IR (Papovich et al. 2010; Gobat et al. 2011; Brodwin et al. 2012, 2015, 2016; Stanford et al. 2012; Zeimann et al. 2012), and mm (Hasselfield et al. 2013; Bleem et al. 2015) wavelengths. This includes a small but growing number of clusters (and protoclusters) at $z > 1.75$ (Andreon et al. 2009, 2014; Gobat et al. 2011; Spitler et al. 2012; Brodwin et al. 2016; Hung et al. 2016).

* These results are based on observations obtained with XMM-Newton, an ESA science mission with instruments and contributions directly funded by ESA Member States and NASA; the Chandra X-ray Observatory; and the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA).
** The reduced images and spectrum (FITS files) are only available at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr/viz-bin/qcat?J/A+A/620/A2
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survey (XMM-LSS), as well as its extension, the XMM-XXL survey (Pierre et al. 2004, 2016). On the basis of follow-up imaging spanning the optical and IR spectrum, Willis et al. (2013) assigned XLSSC 122 a photometric redshift of \(z = 1.9^{+0.19}_{-0.21}\). Subsequent 30 GHz continuum observations with CARMA provided a significant detection of the SZ effect towards the cluster, confirming the presence of a hot ICM (Mantz et al. 2014, hereafter XXL Paper V). While the X-ray flux and Compton \(Y\) parameter of XLSSC 122 were shown to be broadly consistent with lower-redshift clusters under simple evolutionary assumptions, the XMM survey detection (Willis et al. 2013; Clerc et al. 2014) provided only \(\sim 100\) source counts, too few to measure more detailed X-ray properties. Here we present results from a deeper XMM observation of XLSSC 122, which allows us to, for the first time, obtain X-ray spectroscopic confirmation of its redshift\(^1\). We also present the analysis of a short \(Chandra\) observation of XLSSC 122, as part of a program to quantify the level of active galactic nucleus (AGN) contamination for the X-ray signal from \(z > 1\) XMM-LSS cluster candidates. In addition, we update the SZ effect measurements of XLSSC 122, incorporating CARMA data that were obtained after the initial reported detection. A companion paper by Horellou et al. (2018, XXL Paper XXXIV) presents \(Herschel\) IR and LABOCA sub-mm data covering XLSSC 122 and discusses star formation in this exceptional cluster.

Throughout this work, we assume a concordance \(\Lambda\)CDM cosmological model, with dark energy in the form of a cosmological constant, described by Hubble parameter \(H_0 = 70\) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), matter density \(\Omega_m = 0.3\) and dark energy density \(\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7\); in this model, at \(z \approx 2\), as well as an X-ray spectroscopic detection of its redshift\(^1\). X-ray background was enhanced was manually removed. There is no indication that any of the functioning MOS CCDs were in an anomalous state during the observation. The quiescent particle background (QPB) model generated by XMM-ESAS is spectrally consistent with the observed data at all energies \(\geq 6\) keV, indicating that the particle background was well behaved during the cleaned exposure. The final clean exposure times for PN, MOS1 and MOS2 are, respectively, 73.4, 84.1 and 83.5 ks.

Although XLSSC 122 is resolved in the XMM data, the point spread function (PSF) still has an impact on the observed cluster emission. In our imaging analysis and deprojection (Sects. 3.1 and 3.4), we account for this effect using a symmetric version of the Gaussian+beta model of the EPIC PSF from Read et al. (2011). In the determination of the deprojected density profile, this correction exceeds the 1\(\sigma\) statistical uncertainties only in the cluster centre (\(r < 100\) kpc). When fitting models to either the image or spectral data, we use the appropriate Poisson likelihood for the observed counts.

2.2. \textit{Chandra}

An 11 ks observation of XLSSC 122 was obtained with \textit{Chandra} (ObsID 18263) in order to constrain the level of any AGN emission that could have contributed to the original XMM detection. The data were reprocessed in the standard way using \textit{ciao} version 4.8 and \textit{caldb}\(^5\) version 4.7.2. No periods of background flaring were present in the data. In this work, we perform a preliminary analysis of these data; a complete analysis of the AGN contamination for full sample of high-\(z\) XMM-LSS clusters will be presented in future work.

2.3. \textit{CARMA}

XXL Paper V presents 30 GHz continuum observations of the SZ effect towards XLSSC 122, measured with the 8-element array of 3.5 m CARMA antennas (hereafter CARMA-8). The 3.5 m antennas were arranged with 6 elements in a compact configuration and two outlying elements, respectively providing baselines corresponding to uv radii of 0.3–9.7 k.l. The signals were processed by the CARMA wideband (WB) correlator in sixteen 500 MHz sub-bands, each consisting of 16 channels. These data were obtained between March 2012 and November 2013, with most of the observations being in those two months. The effective on-source exposure time (after data flagging) for the CARMA-8 data is 52.8 h.

Here we add to this data set observations that used the full 23-element CARMA array, comprising six 10.4 m, nine 6.1 m, and eight 3.5 m antennas (hereafter CARMA-23)\(^6\). These data were obtained in July 2014. The 10.4 m and 6.1 m antennas were arranged in the CARMA E configuration, with the 6.1 m elements maximally compact and the 10.4 m elements located around the periphery. The 3.5 m antennas were arranged as described above. The WB correlator was used to process signals from 8 of the 6.1 m antennas, since these provide the maximum collecting area at the short baselines where the cluster SZ signal is strongest, while the CARMA spectral line (SL) correlator processed 2 GHz of bandwidth from all 23 elements (with baselines

\(^1\) To date, we have been unable to verify the cluster redshift through optical/near-infrared spectroscopy of cluster members. However, near-infrared spectroscopic data for bright sources in this field that are not associated with the cluster are presented by Adami et al. (2018).

\(^2\) \url{http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton/sas}

\(^3\) \url{http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xmm/esas/cookbook/}

\(^4\) \url{http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/}

\(^5\) \url{http://cxc.harvard.edu/caldb/}

\(^6\) In fact, two of the 10.4 m elements and the two outlying 3.5 m elements were offline during these observations, significantly reducing our sensitivity at long baselines, but we nevertheless adhere to the convention of calling these CARMA-23 data.
3. Results

3.1. XMM image

A combined EPIC (PN+MOS1+MOS2), 0.4–3.0 keV image of XLSSC 122 is shown in Fig. 1, and an adaptively smoothed version appears in the left panel in Fig. 2. The right panel in Fig. 2 compares contours of X-ray surface brightness with the corresponding i/K image, with potential cluster members (galaxies with photo-z’s of 1.7–2.1) circled (Willis et al. 2013). The positions of the X-ray brightness peak, the X-ray centroid, and the putative brightest cluster galaxy (BCG; the brightest likely cluster member from Willis et al. 2013, in K band) all coincide to within ∼1″ (the MOS pixel size). We adopt the BCG position.

3.2. Chandra limits on point-source contamination

The modest spatial resolution of XMM makes the identification and removal of point sources such as AGN difficult for distant clusters. In contrast, Chandra’s higher resolution makes it straightforward to identify point-like emission, even given its smaller effective area. For this reason, we obtained an 11 ks Chandra observation of XLSSC 122—too short to detect the diffuse emission from the ICM, but sufficient to place interesting constraints on emission from discrete point sources in the field. No point sources are detected in the Chandra data within 35″ of the BCG position either using the ciao tool deblend, or by eye.

We estimated an upper limit on the 0.5–2.0 keV flux of a hypothetical point source at the position of the BCG, using the ciao tool aprates. In order to convert photon counts in the 0.5–2.0 keV band to flux, we assumed an absorbed power-law spectrum and an equivalent absorbing hydrogen column density of 2.02 × 10²⁰ cm⁻² (Kalberla et al. 2005). The resulting 68.3 and 95.4 per cent confidence upper limits respectively correspond to 8 and 20 per cent of the 0.5–2.0 keV flux measured within this radius.

Notes. Beam shapes are given as full-width-half-maximum minor and major axes, and position angles east from north of the major axes. Here the visibility data are divided into $uv$ radii < 2 k.l and > 2 k.l; the former include most of the sensitivity to the cluster SZ signal, while the latter are predominantly useful for constraining point-like radio emission in the field (in practice, all baselines are calibrated and analyzed simultaneously).

Also represented in the WB data removed in later analysis). The central frequency of the observations was 30.938 GHz, for which the array samples $uv$ radii of 0.3–7.5 k.l. The large range of baselines probed in both the CARMA-8 and CARMA-23 data critically allows the flux of contaminating, point-like radio galaxies to be measured contemporaneously with the cluster signal, with the latter being strongest on spatial scales corresponding to $uv$ radii ≤ 2 k.l. The CARMA-23 data set, the WB data are most sensitive to galaxy cluster scales (short baselines), while the $uv$ data are most sensitive to compact sources (long baselines). The effective source exposure times for the WB and SL data are, respectively, 4.3 h and 1.0 h.

The data reduction procedure for CARMA-8 observations is described by Muchovic et al. (2007); this includes flagging for weather, shadowing and technical issues, as well as bandpass and gain calibration using observations of bright quasars interleaved with the cluster observations. The reduction of the CARMA-23 data from a given correlator follows the same procedure. The absolute flux calibration is tied to the Mars model of Rudy (1987), which is accurate to better than 5 per cent. A common flux calibration for all antennas is obtained using periodic observations of Mars with the compact 3.5 m antennas (for which Mars is always unresolved), and bootstrapping to all antennas using observations of unresolved, bright quasars. Table 1 summarizes the noise levels and synthesized beams of the CARMA observations.

### Table 1. CARMA RMS map noise and synthesized beam shapes.

| Array         | $uv$ radii < 2 k.l | $uv$ radii > 2 k.l |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| CARMA-8       | 0.15 117 132 –1   | 0.17 18 25 +35    |
| CARMA-23 (WB) | 0.30 69 92 –19    | 0.50 29 89 –13    |
| CARMA-23 (SL) | 0.53 65 66 –50    | 0.21 24 27 –25    |

Notes. Beam shapes are given as full-width-half-maximum minor and major axes, and position angles east from north of the major axes. Here the visibility data are divided into $uv$ radii < 2 k.l and > 2 k.l; the former provide most of the sensitivity to the cluster SZ signal, while the latter are predominantly useful for constraining point-like radio emission in the field (in practice, all baselines are calibrated and analyzed simultaneously).

Given the high expected redshift of the cluster, we expect negligible emission at observer-frame energies ≥ 3 keV, as confirmed in Sect. 3.3.

---

http://cxc.harvard.edu/ciao/thread/aprates/
within $r_{500}$ from the XMM data (see Sect. 3.7), and are insensitive to the precise choice of photon index (in the range of 1.4–1.9) at the per cent level. This constraint is somewhat tighter than (and consistent with) the limits on contamination from unresolved AGN that we obtain spectrally in the next section.

### 3.3. XMM spectral analysis

To investigate the spectral properties of XLSSC 122, we focus on the region identified in Sect. 3.1 where the cluster surface brightness exceeds the astrophysical background, a circle of radius 35″ (293 kpc) centred on the BCG. We note that this radius is conveniently very close to the estimate of $r_{500}$ arrived at in Sect. 3.7. For each EPIC instrument, we generated spectra and response matrices for this region, as well as for an annulus spanning radii of 2.3″–5″ (1.16–2.51 Mpc; the outermost two bins in Fig. 3) to serve as an estimate of the local background. All spectra were grouped to have at minimum one count per channel. The background-subtracted spectra of the cluster are shown in the left panel in Fig. 4.

Our spectral analysis is performed using xspec9 (version 12.9.0o). We model thermal emission from the ICM as a sum of bremsstrahlung continuum and line emission components, evaluated using the apec plasma model (ATOMDB version 2.0.2). Relative metal abundances were fixed to the solar ratios of Anders & Grevesse (1989), with the overall metallicity allowed to vary. Photoelectric absorption by Galactic gas was accounted for using the phabs model, employing the cross sections of Balucinska-Church & McCammon (1992), and adopting a fixed value of $2.02 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ for the equivalent absorbing hydrogen column density (Kalberla et al. 2005). We fit models using the Cash (1979) statistic, as modified by Arnaud (1996, the $C_{\text{stat}}$ statistic), to properly account for the Poisson nature of the source and background counts. Confidence regions were determined by Markov Chain Monte Carlo explorations of the model parameter spaces10.

We perform a series of tests using the full energy band shown in the left panel in Fig. 4, 0.4–8.0 keV. First, we test for consistency of the PN and MOS responses by fitting a thermal emission model with Galactic absorption, with the cluster temperature, metallicity and redshift free, allowing the different normalisations to apply to the PN and MOS1+MOS2 detectors. These two normalisations are consistent at the 1σ level, and we henceforth assume a single, linked normalisation for all detectors. The resulting fit, shown in Fig. 4, has $C = 879.4$ for 1020 degrees of freedom; this corresponds to the 43rd percentile of $C$ values obtained from random data sets generated from the best-fitting model, indicating an acceptable goodness of fit.

We next test for the presence of AGN emission in the extracted cluster spectra, beyond that accounted for by the local background measurement. Multiple lines of evidence indicate that significant point source contamination is unlikely to be

---

9. http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xanadu/xspec/
10. We use the lmc code: https://github.com/abmantz/lmc
present, namely the lack of point-like emission detected within the cluster in the CARMA and *Chandra* data (Sects. 2.3 and 3.2), and the consistency of the BCG’s $J – K$ color with a passively evolving galaxy at $z \sim 1.9$ (Willis et al. 2013). Nevertheless, we test for the possibility of residual AGN contamination spectrally, by introducing a power-law emission component to the model. Given the lack of discrete sources detected by *Chandra*, we adopt a fixed photon index of 1.4, appropriate for a back- ground population of unresolved AGN, and compare the best- fitting $C$ statistic value for this model with that of the cluster-only model using an $F$ test. The resulting significance value is 0.16, indicating that there is statistically no improvement to the fit from including a power-law component. Conversely, constraints on the normalisation of the power-law model can be translated into limits on the fraction of the total flux that could be due to AGN contamination. At observer-frame energies of 0.5–2.0 keV, the primary band used for cluster detection in the XXL survey (Pacaud et al. 2006), the 1$\sigma$ and 2$\sigma$ upper limits on possible AGN contamination are, respectively, 19 and 30 per cent. Since it is statistically disfavored, and because of the considerations mentioned above, we do not include a power-law component in subsequent analysis, but we note that the constraints on the cluster temperature, metallicity and redshift arrived at in both cases are compatible (Table 2).

An emission feature is clearly visible in the PN spectrum (Fig. 4) at $z < 2.2$ keV. Given the presence of hot gas in the cluster (XXL Paper V) and the photometric redshift of $z = 1.9_{-0.1}^{+0.3}$ from Willis et al. (2013), we identify this feature as the rest-frame 6.7 keV Fe emission line complex, redshifted by a factor of $\sim 3$.

Comparing the best $C$ statistic from a fit with the temperature,

\[ C_{\text{fit}} = \frac{\text{count for the fact that the line centre is e}^{\text{picted above. These calculations account for the fact that the line centre is effectively a free parameter to be optimised (because the redshift is free). The right panel in Fig. 4 compares the combined EPIC spectrum with the best-fitting model, and shows residuals with respect to a zero-metallicity model with the same temperature.

We also performed a blind search for emission features by fitting a zero-metallicity thermal model plus a Gaussian line profile, where the line energy was allowed to vary from 1.5 to 3.0 keV (corresponding to redshifts $1.2 < z < 3.5$ if identified with Fe emission). The preferred solution for this model is an emission line centred at 2.2 keV, corresponding to the model discussed above. There are two additional local minima in the $C$ statistic, with line energies of 1.8 and 2.6 keV; however, the line normalisation at either of these energies is consistent with zero at 95 per cent confidence, and is nominally negative (i.e., if real they would correspond to absorption features). In contrast, the line normalisation when centred at 2.2 keV is positive at $>99$ per cent confidence, in agreement with the detection significance estimated above.

These cases are in principle handled by our use of a locally measured background.

12 To be precise, the model we compare to has its metallicity fixed to zero and its redshift fixed to 1.99 (but a free temperature). The reason for this is that the temperature and redshift are nearly perfectly degenerate for models with zero metallicity. Counting both of these parameters as free when determining the number of degrees of freedom for the $F$ test is therefore questionable. In our case, doing so does not affect the $C$-statistic of the zero-metallicity model (as expected), but would lead to the line-detection significance being estimated as $3.1\sigma$ rather than $2.6\sigma$.

---

11 It is unlikely that this feature is due to instrumental or astrophysical backgrounds. While there is an Au fluorescence line at 2.2 keV, it is typically faint and observed most easily in MOS data, whereas the observed line is clearly visible in the PN spectrum. Likewise, solar wind charge exchange typically does not produce lines at such a high energy, and we would expect to see even more prominent emission lines at lower energies in that case. Furthermore, even if they were present, both of metallicity and redshift free with the best fit when the metallicity is fixed to zero, we find an $F$-test significance of $9.4 \times 10^{-3}$, corresponding to a $2.6\sigma$ detection of the emission line. A similar significance is found by brute-force Monte Carlo, i.e. generating fake data sets from the best zero-metallicity model and comparing the $AC$ when fitting zero- and free-metallicity models to that obtained from the real data. These calculations account for the fact that the line centre is effectively a free parameter to be optimised (because the redshift is free). The right panel in Fig. 4 compares the combined EPIC spectrum with the best-fitting model, and shows residuals with respect to a zero-metallicity model with the same temperature.

We also performed a blind search for emission features by fitting a zero-metallicity thermal model plus a Gaussian line profile, where the line energy was allowed to vary from 1.5 to 3.0 keV (corresponding to redshifts $1.2 < z < 3.5$ if identified with Fe emission). The preferred solution for this model is an emission line centred at 2.2 keV, corresponding to the model discussed above. There are two additional local minima in the $C$ statistic, with line energies of 1.8 and 2.6 keV; however, the line normalisation at either of these energies is consistent with zero at 95 per cent confidence, and is nominally negative (i.e., if real they would correspond to absorption features). In contrast, the line normalisation when centred at 2.2 keV is positive at $>99$ per cent confidence, in agreement with the detection significance estimated above.

These cases are in principle handled by our use of a locally measured background.

12 To be precise, the model we compare to has its metallicity fixed to zero and its redshift fixed to 1.99 (but a free temperature). The reason for this is that the temperature and redshift are nearly perfectly degenerate for models with zero metallicity. Counting both of these parameters as free when determining the number of degrees of freedom for the $F$ test is therefore questionable. In our case, doing so does not affect the $C$-statistic of the zero-metallicity model (as expected), but would lead to the line-detection significance being estimated as $3.1\sigma$ rather than $2.6\sigma$.
Table 2. Results of X-ray spectral fits to the XLSSC 122 data within a radius of 35″.

| Band (keV) | AGN | C  | dof | kT (keV) | Z (solar) | z  |
|------------|-----|----|-----|----------|-----------|----|
| 0.4–8.0    | N   | 879.4 | 1020 | 5.1$^{+0.9}_{-0.5}$ | 0.32 ± 0.17 | 1.99$^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$ |
| 0.4–8.0    | Y   | 877.8 | 1019 | 4.1$^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$ | 0.36$^{+0.25}_{-0.22}$ | 1.99$^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$ |
| 0.4–8.0    | N   | 887.5 | 1022 | 5.8$^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ | 0.00 | 1.99 |
| 0.4–3.0    | N   | 606.5 | 721  | 5.0 ± 0.7 | 0.33$^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$ | 1.99$^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$ |

Notes. [1] Energy range used in the fit; [2] whether a power-law emission with a photon index of 1.4 component was included in the model; [3–4] modified Cash statistic corresponding to the best fit, and number of degrees of freedom for the model; [5–7] best-fitting values and 68.3 per cent confidence intervals for the cluster temperature, metallicity and redshift. Quantities without error bars were held fixed.

The posterior distribution for \( z \) from fitting the standard thermal model (temperature, metallicity and redshift free) is shown with blue shading in the inset in Fig. 4, and corresponds to \( z = 1.99^{+0.07}_{-0.05} \), in good agreement with the photometric redshift of \( z = 1.99^{+0.19}_{-0.21} \) (based on the galaxy redshift histogram shown in the figure; see Willis et al. 2013). The X-ray data thus provide a spectroscopic confirmation of the high redshift of this cluster.

Given that the observed spectra at hard energies are completely dominated by the background, we might expect more robust constraints from a fit to the data in a more limited energy band. The difference is small in practice, but we nevertheless adopt as the principal results in this section constraints obtained by fitting only the data at 0.4–3.0 keV. This fit yields a temperature of \( kT = 5.0 ± 0.7 \) keV, a metallicity in solar units of \( Z/Z_\odot = 0.33^{+0.07}_{-0.19} \), and a redshift of \( z = 1.99^{+0.07}_{-0.05} \). These values, and the results of the tests discussed above, are listed in Table 2. While both temperature and metallicity are degenerate with \( z \), this is subdominant to statistical uncertainties in determining the constraints.

3.4. Gas mass profile

In order to produce a three-dimensional profile of gas mass in the cluster, we extract spectra in annuli, corresponding to the radial bins shown in Fig. 3, out to a maximum radius of 75″ (628 kpc). As in Sect. 3.3, we use spectra extracted between radii of 2.3′ and 5′ to model the background. We model the emissive cluster gas as a series of concentric shells, with each shell corresponding in radius to one of the annuli where source spectra are extracted.

3.5. SZ signal

Constraining the SZ effect due to XLSSC 122 requires us to simultaneously model the cluster and any emissive sources in the field. Both types of source can be constrained simultaneously due to the wide range in baseline lengths represented in the CARMA data. Two radio point sources were detected in the original CARMA analysis (XXL Paper V), at angular separations of 3.6′ and 5.5′ from the cluster, and we account for them
here. No additional sources are apparent in the full CARMA data set. In particular, there is no evidence for a radio source associated with the BCG of XLSSC 122, and, if included, its flux is consistent with zero; correspondingly, our results in this section are not affected by whether we model such a source.

Although our quantitative results (see Sect. 3.7) are fit to the visibilities at all baselines, it is useful to visualise the short-baseline (i.e., cluster-scale) map after fitting and subtracting the point source contribution. Figure 6 shows maps made from the CARMA-8 and CARMA-23 data, using a maximum \( w \) radius of 2\( \text{ k}\lambda \). The cluster \( \text{SZ} \) signal is detected independently in each data set, formally at 6.6\( \sigma \) and 2.7\( \sigma \) significance, respectively; the combined detection significance is 7.6\( \sigma \).

Our procedure for fitting the cluster \( \text{SZ} \) signal is given in XXL Paper V. We model the cluster using a generalised NFW (GNFW) form for the three-dimensional, spherically symmetric ICM electron pressure profile, assuming values of the shape parameters given by Arnaud et al. (2010): \( (c_{500}, \gamma, \alpha, \beta) = (1.177, 0.3081, 1.0510, 5.4905) \). The remaining cluster parameters are the position of the model centre, an overall normalisation, and a scale radius, \( r_s = r_{500}/c_{500} \). The Compton \( Y \) signal is then obtained, modulo some physical constants, by integrating the electron pressure within a sphere. Since the CARMA data cannot simultaneously constrain the normalisation and scale radius of the pressure profile, in Sect. 3.7 we adopt a prior on \( r_{500} \) based on the \( X \)-ray data in order to measure a value of \( Y_{500} \) that is consistent with the "global" \( X \)-ray measurements.

As noted in XXL Paper V, the assumed slope of the pressure profile at large radii (\( \beta \)), which cannot be directly measured from these data, can have a significant influence on the inferred integrated \( Y \) parameter. The main results in that work used the pressure profile template obtained from Bolocam data by Sayers et al. (2013), which had a shallower outer slope than earlier published results (Arnaud et al. 2010; Planck Collaboration Int. V 2013). However, a more recent analysis combining Bolocam and Planck data revised this slope to be instead somewhat steeper than those works (Sayers et al. 2016). Here we adopt the Arnaud et al. (2010) template to simplify comparisons with other clusters and because its outer slope is intermediate between the empirical constraints of the Planck Collaboration Int. V (2013) and Sayers et al. (2016). Differences in the recovered Compton \( Y \) among these 3 pressure templates are at the \( \sim 7 \) per cent level, smaller than our statistical uncertainties (Sect. 3.7).

A puzzling feature of the \( \text{SZ} \) signal from XLSSC 122 is that symmetric models like those described above prefer to be centred \( \sim 35'' \) south of the \( X \)-ray peak and BCG of the cluster\(^{13} \). This was noted in XXL Paper V, and is visually apparent in both the CARMA-8 and CARMA-23 short-baseline maps in Fig. 6. Fitting the combined CARMA data set, we find an offset from the BCG of \( 35'' \pm 8'' \) (295\( \pm \)64 kpc at \( z = 1.99 \)). Compared to a model whose centre is fixed to the BCG position, this has \( \Delta \chi^2 = -20 \), corresponding to 3.8\( \sigma \) significance. The 68.3 and 95.4 per cent confidence regions for the \( \text{SZ} \) model centre are shown as magenta contours in Fig. 2; the best-fitting \( \text{SZ} \) centre is J02:17:44.036–03:46:06.15. Motivated by the possibility of a merging configuration, we investigated a series of elliptically symmetric and 2-component \( \text{SZ} \) models, but find that none are statistically preferred by the data. Given the strength of the preference for an offset \( \text{SZ} \) centre, our results for the Compton \( Y \) parameter in Sect. 3.7 are based on a fit with the cluster centre free, but we note that fixing the model centre to the BCG position would reduce the best-fitting \( Y_{500} \) value by \( \sim 13 \) per cent, comparable to the statistical uncertainty.

3.6. Galaxy profile

Although we do not have spectroscopic confirmations of galaxy membership, we can obtain a rough galaxy number profile from the photometric redshift assignments of Willis et al. (2013). Figure 7 shows the number of galaxies with photo-\( z \)-’s in the range 1.7–2.1 as a function of cluster radius, within 90'' of the BCG position. Thick and thin lines overlaid respectively show the 1\( \sigma \) and 2\( \sigma \) confidence expectations (reflecting shot noise) based on the background of redshift 1.7–2.1 galaxies measured from the same IR observations far from the cluster location.

\(^{13}\) The astrometry of the CARMA data appears good based on the positions of known point sources. This includes the 2 mJy source present in the cluster field, whose position is consistent with the corresponding 1.4 GHz FIRST detection within sub-arcsec uncertainties (see XXL Paper V).
There is a clear excess of potential member galaxies within ~40" of the BCG, corresponding well with the brightest X-ray emission.

3.7. Global properties and mass estimate

For sufficiently massive clusters, the gas mass fraction at intermediate-to-large radii is expected to be approximately constant, as simulations (including those that implement hydrodynamic heating and cooling processes) have verified (e.g. Eke et al. 1998; Nagai et al. 2007; Battaglia et al. 2013; Planelles et al. 2013; Barnes et al. 2017). Our gas mass profile can therefore be used to provide an estimate of the mass of XLSSC 122 that is arguably more secure than those based on extrapolating other scaling relations (all of which are necessarily calibrated at significantly lower redshifts) out to z ~ 2. We adopt the fiducial value of \( \frac{M_{\text{gas}}}{r_{500}} = 0.125 \), based on results from massive, X-ray selected clusters at z < 0.5 (and consistent with dynamically relaxed clusters at redshifts z < 1.06; Mantz et al. 2016a,b)\(^{14}\). This \( \frac{M_{\text{gas}}}{r_{500}} \) value follows from total masses measured from weak lensing shear (Applegate et al. 2014, 2016) and gas masses from Chandra (which are generally found to be in good agreement with XMM gas masses; Tsujimoto et al. 2011; Rozo et al. 2014; Schellenberger et al. 2015).

We arrive at an estimate of \( M_{500} \), and the corresponding radius \( r_{500} \), by solving the implicit equation

\[
M(r_{500}) = \frac{M_{\text{gas}}(r_{500})}{\frac{M_{\text{gas}}(r_{500})}{r_{500}}} = \frac{4\pi}{3} 500 \rho_{c}(z) r_{500}^{3}
\]

Propagating the uncertainties in the \( M_{\text{gas}}(r) \) profile forward, we find \( r_{500} = 295 \pm 23 \) kpc (35'' ± 3'') and \( M_{500} = (6.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{13} M_{\odot} \); correspondingly, \( M_{\text{gas},500} = (7.9 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{12} M_{\odot} \).\(^{15}\)

The right panel in Fig. 5 compares our gas mass profile, scaled in units of \( r_{500} \) and \( M_{\text{gas},500} \), with similarly scaled profiles from Mantz et al. (2016b); the similarity in shape between the profile of XLSSC 122 and those of the massive, z < 0.5 clusters is encouraging. Assuming a factor of \( \approx 1.5 \) relating \( r_{500} \) with \( r_{200} \) (Navarro et al. 1997), we have \( r_{200} = 443 \pm 35 \) kpc (53'' ± 3'').

The emissivity profile fit from Sect. 3.4 can be used to determine the unabsorbed, rest-frame cluster luminosity in a given energy band projected within \( r_{500} \), accounting for the statistical uncertainties in the gas temperature. In two commonly used reference bands, we find \( L(0.1-2.4 \text{ keV}) = (3.5 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \) and \( L(0.5-2.0 \text{ keV}) = (2.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \). Given that the constraint on \( r_{500} \) above is in good agreement with the outer radius used for the spectral analysis of Sect. 3.3, we take the temperature and metallicity results from that section as appropriate for the region \( r < r_{500} \). Incorporating the X-ray \( r_{500} \) constraint as a prior when fitting the CARMA SZ data, we find the spherically integrated Compton parameter within \( r_{500} \) to be \( Y_{500} \approx (3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-12} \), or \( \frac{Y_{500} d^{2}_{5}(z)}{(1.07 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-5} \text{ Mpc}^{2}} \) for \( z = 1.99 \) (where \( d_{A} \) is the angular diameter distance). All of these characteristic properties of the cluster are collected in Table 3.

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison with lower-redshift samples

In this section, we compare the global properties of XLSSC 122 with those of X-ray and SZ selected cluster samples at lower redshifts. We first compare to the sample of Mantz et al. (2016b), which comprises the most massive, X-ray selected clusters at 0.0 < z < 0.5, and thus provides a long lever arm in redshift. Another advantage of using this sample is that the procedure used to determine the global X-ray properties is nearly identical to the one employed here. In particular, the method for estimating \( r_{500} \) from the gas mass profile is identical. The principal difference between the analyses is the use of data from XMM rather than Chandra in the present work, and the consequent need to account for the effect of the PSF. We note that the temperature we obtain for XLSSC 122 is likely to be comparable to temperatures measured by Chandra despite the well publicised disagreements between the two telescopes at high temperatures; this is because the cluster emission in this case is redshifted to observer-frame energies ≤2.5 keV, where the instrumental responses of the two observatories are in good agreement (Tsujimoto et al. 2011; Schellenberger et al. 2015). Another issue is the use of centre-excised temperature by Mantz et al. (2016b), specifically, excising radii <0.15 \( r_{500} \), which we cannot easily replicate due to the small angular extent of XLSSC 122 compared with the XMM PSF. However, as we do not expect well-developed cool cores to exist in clusters at z ∼ 2, this is also likely to have a small impact on the comparison.

The left column in Fig. 8 compares our measurements of gas mass, temperature, and 0.1–2.4 keV intrinsic luminosity for XLSSC 122 (blue points) with the Mantz et al. (2016b) z < 0.5 sample (gray points). Also shown are the scaling relations derived in that work, with the width indicated by the dashed

---

\(^{14}\) Applying a typical mass accretion history (e.g. McBride et al. 2009) to our estimate of \( M_{500} \sim 6 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} \) for XLSSC 122 suggests that it will grow into an \( M_{500} \sim 2–6 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} \) cluster by z = 0. This range overlaps well with the sample used to calibrate our reference value of \( \frac{M_{\text{gas}}}{r_{500}} \) at low redshift (\( M_{500} \gtrsim 4 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} \); Mantz et al. 2016b), indicating that we can consistently make use of a gas fraction appropriate for cluster-scale halos. We note that extrapolating scaling relations for luminosity, temperature or \( Y_{500} \) would typically lead to a larger total mass estimate (see Figs. 8 and 9, and estimates in XXL Paper V).

\(^{15}\) In this procedure, we have assumed a fixed redshift of z = 1.99, but we note that, within the redshift constraint provided by the data, the dependence of critical density and angular diameter distance on z are subdominant to statistical uncertainties. The same applies to the constraints on luminosity and Compton Y, below.
lines primarily reflecting the intrinsic scatter in those relations. Considering both the statistical uncertainties and the intrinsic scatter, the agreement is good, despite the significant difference in redshift between the two data sets.

We next compare with the sample of 100 brightest (in flux) XXL-detected clusters (XXL Paper II), of which XLSSC 122 is not a member. The 100-brightest XXL sample spans redshifts of 0.04–1.05 and 0.5–2.0 keV luminosities of $\sim 9 \times 10^{41} - 3 \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (Giles et al. 2016, hereafter XXL Paper III) report temperatures and 0.5–2.0 keV luminosities measured in an aperture of 300 kpc radius for each cluster, similar to the our estimate of $r_{500}$ for XLSSC 122; this comparison is shown in the top-right panel in Fig. 8. The middle- and bottom-right panels compare the same luminosity and temperature measurements with gas masses from Eckert et al. (2016, hereafter XXL Paper XIII). For consistency with these authors, the gas mass for XLSSC 122 has been recomputed in these plots, using a value of $r_{500} = (406 \pm 81) \text{kpc}$ estimated from the mass-temperature relation of Lieu et al. (2016, hereafter XXL Paper IV). Again, the agreement with a significantly lower-redshift data set is good.

The final comparison, shown in Fig. 9, is to the SZ-selected sample of South Pole Telescope (SPT) clusters published by Andersson et al. (2011). This sample spans $0.32 < z < 1.07$, and has measured X-ray properties from Chandra or XMM. In addition to X-ray luminosity (shown in the 0.5–2.0 keV band), temperature and gas mass, for this sample we can form scaling relations involving the Compton Y parameter; here we show the $Y_{500} - X$ relation, where $X = M_{\text{gas,500}} K T$. The agreement of XLSSC 122 with the scaling relations derived from this cluster sample, now selected in an entirely different way to the previous cases, is again broadly good when considering the statistical and intrinsic scatter.

In all of these plots, self-similar evolution factors (Kaiser 1986) have been applied to luminosity and gas mass. While we cannot draw strong conclusions based on a single cluster, the results in this section suggest that departures from self-similar evolution may be relatively mild for massive clusters, even out to $z = 2$.

4.2. Metallicity

While the statistical uncertainties on the metallicity of XLSSC 122 from Sect. 3.3, $Z/Z_\odot = 0.33_{-0.17}^{+0.19}$, are not particularly constraining, the nominal metallicity is tantalisingly similar to values measured in massive clusters at much lower redshifts (excluding the cores of cool core clusters, where star formation is relatively efficient; e.g. Leccardi & Molendi 2008; Maughan et al. 2008; Baldi et al. 2012; Ettori et al. 2015; McDonald et al. 2016). This is particularly interesting in light of measurements of extremely uniform metallicities extending to very large radii in the Perseus and Virgo Clusters (Werner et al. 2013; Simionescu et al. 2015). Such a distribution is most easily explained by an early enrichment scenario, in which the intergalactic medium is enriched to a metallicity of $Z/Z_\odot \approx 0.3$ prior to the formation of massive clusters, at $z > 2$–3. This picture is reinforced by recent Chandra measurements showing the metallicity at intermediate cluster radii (core-excised) to be consistent with a constant out to $z \approx 1.5$ (McDonald et al. 2016). The measured metallicity presented here, if confirmed by more precise X-ray measurements for XLSSC 122 and similarly massive, high-redshift clusters, would provide a definitive confirmation of the enrichment of the ICM at early times.
Fig. 8. Comparison of the global properties of XLSSC 122 (blue point) with lower-$z$, X-ray selected cluster samples. Factors of $E(z) = H(z)/H_0$ encode self-similar evolution of the scaling relations. Left column: gray points show measurements from Mantz et al. (2016b, $z < 0.5$), and solid/dashed lines the corresponding scaling relations (accounting for X-ray flux-selection bias) and their uncertainty (including intrinsic scatter). These measurements were obtained from Chandra data, but otherwise the procedure for determining each observable (and $r_{500}$) is essentially the identical to the one used in this work. Luminosities correspond to the rest-frame 0.1–2.4 keV band. Temperatures from Mantz et al. (2016b) are centre-excised (excluding radii $<0.15r_{500}$), while the measurement for XLSSC 122 is not. Right column: gray points show measurements of the 100 brightest XXL clusters ($z < 1.05$; XXL Papers II, III and XIII). Luminosities correspond to the rest-frame 0.5–2.0 keV band, and luminosities and temperatures are measured in an aperture of radius 300 kpc. Gas masses are measured within $r_{500}$, as estimated from the mass-temperature relation of XXL Paper IV. In the centre-right panel, solid line shows the $M_{\text{gas}}-T$ relation of XXL Paper XIII.

At the same time, the diffuse X-ray emission visible in the current data, taken in isolation, appears regular, and is well aligned with the putative BCG. Higher spatial resolution X-ray and/or SZ data, revealing any small scale structure in the ICM, would provide the most straightforward means to address this question.

5. Conclusion

We present results from a 100 ks XMM observation of galaxy cluster XLSSC 122, the first massive cluster discovered through its X-ray emission at $z \approx 2$. The data allow us to, for the first time, measure global thermodynamic properties such as
temperature, metallicity, and gas mass for such a system. The rest-frame 6.7 keV Fe emission complex is detected in the EPIC data, providing an emission-weighted metallicity constraint of $Z/Z_\odot = 0.33^{+0.19}_{-0.17}$. The Fe emission line detection furthermore directly and spectroscopically confirms the high redshift of this cluster, $z = 1.99^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$, in agreement with the earlier photometric estimate of $1.91^{+0.19}_{-0.21}$. The temperature constraint of $kT = 5.0 \pm 0.7$ keV confirms that XLSSC 122 is indeed a massive cluster containing a hot ICM. Accounting for the PSF, we generate a gas mass profile for XLSSC 122, and use this to estimate a characteristic radius of $R_{500} = (295 \pm 23)\,\text{kpc}$ (corresponding to a mass of $M_{500} = (6.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{13} M_\odot$), assuming a constant gas mass fraction at $R_{500}$ of 0.125. We additionally provide measurements of the X-ray luminosity and the Compton Y parameter, the latter from CARMA SZ data.

These global properties of XLSSC 122 are in reasonably good agreement with measurements for large samples of clusters in various (lower) redshift ranges (spanning overall $0 \leq z \leq 1$) and with scaling relations fitted to those samples, when assuming self-similar evolution. While broad conclusions should not be drawn from a single high-redshift cluster, this good agreement suggests that, for sufficiently massive clusters, the evolution of the ICM is remarkably simple, even out to the highest redshifts. Similarly, the global metallicity measured from our XMM data is in excellent agreement with lower-redshift clusters, albeit with large statistical uncertainties, supporting a picture in which the ICM throughout most of the cluster volume is enriched at yet higher redshifts. Observations of additional clusters at comparable redshifts will be required to determine how representative, or not, XLSSC 122 is. While XLSSC 122 is a rare object, the prospects for studying the statistics of the cluster population at very high redshift are good, with SZ and IR surveys of thousands of square degrees now routinely discovering clusters out to redshifts $z \sim 1.7$; as these efforts scale up to larger areas, useful numbers of clusters at even higher redshifts will be uncovered.

In spite of its apparently simple scaling properties, XLSSC 122 presents a puzzle in the spatial offset between the centres of the X-ray and SZ signals. This speaks to the challenge of understanding the internal structure of very distant clusters, given that current X-ray observatories do not simultaneously provide high spatial resolution and high throughput. The SZ effect may provide a useful alternative for assessing the morphology of high-$z$ clusters, either through interferometry (e.g. ALMA) or large, single-dish telescopes coupled to sensitive detector arrays. Looking further ahead, the epoch of cluster formation is one for which large-area, high-resolution X-ray facilities such as Athena and Lynx are ideally suited.
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