Introduction

OS, the most common malignant bone tumor, posing great impact on the health of children and adolescents (1,2). Although survival rate of OS patients was improved after the improvement of combined chemotherapy and surgery, fatal metastasis was still a significant factor in reducing survival rate of OS patients (3). Currently, the underlying mechanism of OS are still not completely clarified. Furthermore, there is no effective method to monitor OS metastases early. Therefore, it is imperative to find the robust prognostic biomarkers of metastatic OS (4,5). Active intervention and treatment can be applied to those patients with a higher risk after initial diagnosis to improve their prognosis, according to high expression of certain biomarkers. So far, positive expression of Livin has been considered as a OS prognosis-related biomarker (6).
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Background: Previous studies have shown that various apoptotic related factors were closely related to osteosarcoma (OS), and the correlation between apoptotic inhibitory protein Livin and OS has also been confirmed. Despite of the abundant surveys focusing on the effects of Livin positive expression on overall survival and metastasis of OS, the results were remained obscure.

Methods: A meta-analysis was conducted to explore the relationship of Livin expression in prognosis of OS. We searched CBM, Chinese VIP database, Wanfang database, CNKI, Springer, ISI Web of Knowledge, the Cochrane library, Embase and NCBI PubMed from the establishment to November 17, 2018 for the full retrieval of Livin positive expression and OS prognosis. We used Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) to evaluate the quality of enrolled studies.

Results: There were 10 studies involving 439 OS patients analyzed in this research. The results of meta-analysis revealed the meaningful association between positive expression of Livin and OS metastasis (OR =8.62, 95% CI: 4.08–18.21, P<0.0001), low 3-year survival rate with the pooled OR was 5.82 (95% CI: 3.34–10.13, P<0.0001). No significant publication bias or heterogeneity or were found in this meta-analysis.

Conclusions: The results of the present study indicated that Livin expression was related to OS low 3-year survival rate and metastasis, which suggested that Livin may be a potential biomarker indicating poor prognosis of OS.
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correlated with the malignancy level of many epithelial cell carcinoma (6). Tumors with high expression of Livin are characterized by strong migration and high invasiveness. These tumors have poor sensitivity to chemotherapy drugs with poor prognosis. Apoptosis-related protein Livin was a newly recognized member of inhibition apoptosis protein (IAP) discovered in recent years. The human IAP family was comprised of 8 members, and Livin was an essential member of IAP family. Its gene is located on human chromosome 20q13.3 with full length of 4.6 kb, containing 6 introns and 7 exons, and it contains a single baculovirus IAP repeat domain and a carboxy terminal structure (6), which is an important molecule with anti-apoptotic effects. The anti-apoptotic mechanisms mainly through caspases-dependent and non-caspases-dependent pathways. Livin can bind to caspases-3 and caspases-7 to inhibit its activity (10). In addition, When Livin binds to TAB1, it can activate TAK1, which in turn activates JNK1 and then activates anti-apoptotic effects via the TAK1/JNK1 pathway. Livin wasn’t expressed in tissues of healthy adults. On the contrary, it is specifically expressed in certain solid tumor tissues, such as, gastrointestinal tumors and even most melanoma cell lines. The research data showed that Livin was highly expressed in OS tissues. Livin was not only involved in tumor proliferation and apoptosis, but also closely related to tumor cell invasion, metastasis and sensitivity to chemotherapeutic drugs. To investigate the relationship between Livin and metastasis as well as survival, here we performed this meta-analysis of reports about association between Livin positive expression and OS.

Methods

Search methods and report selection

A systematic search using CBM, Chinese VIP database, Wanfang database, CNKI, Springer, ISI Web of Knowledge, the Cochrane library, Embase and NCBI PubMed was conducted to further explore the clinical relevance of Livin positive expression and prognosis of OS. The last search was conducted on November 17, 2018. The search was executed by two investigators and a combination the keyword terms: (Livin) and (OS, osteosarcoma or osteogenic tumor) were used in the search methods for each database.

Exclusion and inclusion criteria

Exclusion studies: (I) editorials, letters, expert opinions, talks, correspondences, case reports, cell or animal experiments and reviews with no original research data; (II) reports with non-dichotomous Livin expression levels; (III) paper without cut-off value; (IV) the same author’s similar studies; (V) earlier and smaller sample data excluded for many duplicate data; (VI) studies without survival outcome were excluded; (VII) OS was not confirmed by biopsy.

Inclusion studies: (I) papers with confirmation of OS in patients based on pathological diagnosis (gold standard); (II) reports indicating Livin positive expression measured with commercial reagents; (III) the research must provide enough information to construct 2×2 contingency table; (IV) papers published in Chinese or English.

Data extraction

Two investigators assessed whether all retrieved studies were qualified and independently extract relevant data. And then they examined the other’s extracted databases to rule out any differences. We extracted the information included first authors’ name, published date, methods of Livin measurement and the cut-off definition of Livin positive expression. The corresponding authors of each trial were contacted if the information we need was not mentioned on published papers. The study was excluded in case further information is not available to ensure the accuracy of the results.

Evaluation of included publications

We performed quality assessment on each included study using NOS as described in our previous study (1).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted as described in our previous study (1).

Ethics statement

Not applicable.

Results

Eligible studies

Forty-seven potentially relevant papers were retrieved from our initial literature search. After deleting all unqualified
records, review papers and items lacking necessary information by manual check, 10 studies comprised of 439 patients were retained for our qualitative research (7, 11-19). The detailed screening process is shown in Figure 1. Finally, there are 27 to 64 patients with OS in each included publications (median: 45.5). The characteristics of enrolled studies for OS metastasis and survival were summarized in Tables 1 and 2 respectively.

**Qualitative evaluation**

In the present study, we assessed the quality of enrolled...
Table 2 Characteristics of studies included in the 3-year survival meta-analysis

| Ref. | Study          | Year | No. of patients | Age (mean or median) | Method | Assay kit | Livin cut-off | Livin positive Death ≥3-year survival | Livin negative Death ≥3-year survival | NOS score |
|------|----------------|------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| (11) | Fu et al.      | 2016 | 64              | 19.8                 | IHC    | MaxVisionTM | A1×B1 ≥3     | 37                                   | 6                                    | 4 17 7    |
| (17) | Sun et al.     | 2016 | 48              | 22.6                 | IHC    | Abnova     | A2+B2 >2     | 14                                   | 14                                  | 4 16 8    |
| (12) | Ji et al.      | 2015 | 39              | 20.5                 | IHC    | Max Vision | A3+B3 >2     | 17                                   | 6                                    | 6 10 7    |
| (14) | Zhang et al.   | 2015 | 58              | 19.4                 | IHC    | MaxVisionTM | A4+B4 ≥3     | 20                                   | 15                                  | 7 16 7    |
| (13) | Li et al.      | 2014 | 39              | 25.5                 | IHC    | MaxVisionTM | A5+B3 >2     | 17                                   | 6                                    | 6 10 8    |
| (19) | Nedelcu et al. | 2007 | 29              | 22.3                 | IHC    | Alpha      | A1 >2        | 3                                    | 0                                    | 7 19 7    |

Reported by NOS. The quality scores of included papers ranged from seven to eight points (median: 7.42). The quality assessment scores were indicated in Tables 1, S1.

Meta-analysis

I² test was used to assess the heterogeneity of enrolled publications. I² values close to zero represent homogeneity and low heterogeneity was identified as I² ≤50%. Significant heterogeneity was identified if I² >50%. In a meta-analysis to evaluate the relationship of Livin positive expression and OS prognosis, the result showed no significant heterogeneity (I² ≤50%). Therefore, fixed effect model was adopted to calculate the combined OR and 95% CI. As pooled OR for all enrolled publications indicating, metastasis was more likely to occur in OS patients with Livin positive expression (OR =8.62, 95% CI: 4.08–18.21, P<0.0001), and it was logical that these patients tend to have lower 3-year survival rates (OR =5.82, 95% CI: 3.34–10.13, P<0.0001). In total, Livin positive expression presented higher risk of metastasis and lower 3-year survival rates of OS patients (Figure 2).

Sensitivity analysis

We conducted a sensitivity analysis to evaluate the stability of obtained results, showing that combination of the OR was stable, and the heterogeneity didn’t vary significantly when a single study was removed. We assessed the robustness of the results by eliminating one study at a time and recalculating the overall OR. A one-time sensitivity analysis was performed to indicate that our analysis was less dependent on the study and that the conclusions were stable (Figure 3). These data suggested that Livin positive expression might be a reliable prognostic factor in OS patients.

Publication bias

In order to detect publication bias of studies included in the present study, we used Begg funnel plot. According to the result, the funnel plot had no obvious evidence of asymmetry among 10 papers (Figure 4). In addition, Egger test also showed no significant publication bias in this meta-analysis (P>0.05).

Discussion

OS, a common life-threatening primary malignant bone tumor among adolescents (20), is characterized by poor prognosis and insensitivity to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. The disease-free survival rate improved from <20% to about 60% with the introduction of effective chemotherapy (21). However, this situation is not satisfactory for the tumor are prone to relapse and metastasis. Because of low sensitivity to chemotherapy and
radiotherapy, the OS prognosis remains poor. Although five-year survival rate of patients with OS has increased significantly over the past few decades, metastasis, especially in the lungs, is still the leading cause of OS patient's death (22). The treatment of OS is currently at a bottleneck stage. OS is easy to metastasize, relapse and early metastasis of OS is a major factor affecting if a patient’s cure rate can be improved. So that, it is essential to investigate novel prognostic biomarkers to identify at-risk patients and aid clinical decision-making. At present, it is well-documented that positive expression of biomarkers indicates the poor prognosis of OS. Livin is one of the potential prognostic indicators of OS. The reduction of anti-apoptotic factors may provide a reasonable basis for the development of new strategic targets for the treatment of OS (23). IAPs are the only known endogenous proteins

### Figure 2

Forest plot indicating the association between *Livin* positive expression and prognosis of OS patients. (A) Metastasis; (B) overall survival. OS, osteosarcoma.

| Study ID | OR (95% CI)   | Weight |
|----------|---------------|--------|
| An et al. (2008) | 18.46 (2.15, 158.88) | 10.96 |
| Liu et al. (2008) | 11.59 (2.21, 60.89) | 18.55 |
| An et al. (2007) | 18.46 (2.15, 158.88) | 10.96 |
| Xie et al. (2010) | 3.60 (0.70, 18.56) | 28.11 |
| Zhang et al. (2015) | 4.50 (1.08, 18.69) | 31.41 |
| Overall (I-squared=0.0%, P=0.562) | 8.62 (4.08, 18.21) | 100.00 |

| Study ID | OR (95% CI)     | Weight |
|----------|-----------------|--------|
| Fu et al. (2016) | 26.21 (6.53, 105.16) | 7.05 |
| Ji et al. (2015) | 4.72 (1.19, 18.68) | 17.35 |
| Li et al. (2014) | 4.72 (1.19, 18.68) | 17.35 |
| Sun et al. (2016) | 4.00 (1.07, 15.01) | 21.93 |
| Nedelcu et al. (2007) | 18.20 (0.84, 396.21) | 2.27 |
| Zhang et al. (2015) | 3.05 (1.00, 9.27) | 34.03 |
| Overall (I-squared=26.7%, P=0.235) | 5.82 (3.34, 10.13) | 100.00 |
that regulate the activity of promoters and effector caspases (24). Livin, a novel member of IAP family, was found in many types of cancer, including breast and prostate cancer, OS, melanoma, and lymphoma cells (24).

According to many studies, Livin antagonizes death receptor and mitochondrial apoptosis signaling pathways by inhibiting caspase-3 and other caspase enzymes, leading to inactivation and degradation (25). Livin with
the anti-apoptotic potential indicated that the regulation of Livin expression can be used as a new target for tumor therapy (26). Previous study found that the high expression of Livin in tumor tissues was positively correlated with chemotherapy resistance of tumors (27). Therefore, selective Livin inhibitors have potential applications in preventing OS. These papers indicated that Livin play a significant role in tumor metastasis and invasion. Livin may be a potential biomarker indicating prognosis of OS.

Meta-analysis is a quantitative method that combines information from different research-related topics to facilitate the evaluation of cancer-related prognostic indicators (28). In order to accurately assess the value of prognosis of Livin-positive expression in OS, we conducted a meta-analysis with 10 published papers included. The results showed that the positive expression of Livin in OS predicted that Livin was statistically significant in OS metastasis (OR =8.62, 95% CI: 4.08–18.21, \(P<0.0001\)) and OS survival (OR =5.82, 95% CI: 3.34–10.13, \(P<0.001\)). In addition, we performed a sensitivity analysis to detect the stability of obtained results. The pooled OR was stable and did not change significantly after removing a single study. This meta-analysis showed that Livin was a potential biomarker for guiding OS clinical treatment.

Nonetheless, our finding is preliminary and there are certain limitations to our study that have to be addressed. First, there is no publication bias in these included studies, but manuscripts with expected results are more likely

Figure 4 Funnel plot of association between Livin positive expression and prognosis of OS patients. (A) Metastasis; (B) overall survival. OS, osteosarcoma.
to be published, which can contribute to bias in overall accuracy. Second, articles included in this study were only published in English or Chinese, which is likely to impact on the results. Third, the total sample size involved in this study was small with an average of 44. In addition, 255 OS patients were positive for Livin and only 184 patients were negative for Livin. Due to the relatively small size, random errors and sample deviations are inevitably generated.

Conclusions

In summary, we synthesized the related research by means of a systematic review and meta-analyses to assess the relationship of positive expression of Livin and OS prognosis. The obtained results showed that Livin was an effective biomarker and have the potential for clinical application. Livin positive expression was significantly related to increased risk of metastasis and worse survival outcomes in patients with OS. However, in order to evaluate the prognostic value of Livin-positive expression, there is still a need for more elaborate studies with larger sample sizes.
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## Table S1 Qualitative assessment of included study

| Column                  | Entries                                                                 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| Section                 | Is the definition adequate                                              | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨  |
|                         | Representativeness of the cases                                          | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨  |
|                         | Selection of controls                                                   | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨  |
|                         | Definition of controls                                                 | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨  |
| Comparability           | Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design and analysis | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨✨ | ✨   |
| Exposure                | Ascertainment of exposure                                              | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨  |
|                         | Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls                     | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨  |
|                         | Non-response rate                                                       | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨ | ✨  |
| Total scores            |                                                                         | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7   |

1: An et al. 2008; 2: Liu et al. 2008; 3: An et al. 2007; 4: Xie et al. 2010; 5: Zhang et al. 2015; 6: Fu et al. 2016; 7: Sun et al. 2016; 8: Ji et al. 2015; 9: Li et al. 2014; 10: Nedelcu et al. 2007.