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Abstract

A cross sectional study was carried out from November 2014 to April 2015 to determine the prevalence of bovine gastrointestinal tract (GIT) nematodes and associated risk factors by using flotation technique and fecal culture in and around Kombolcha and Dessie town. Out of 384 bovines examined for GIT nematodes, 160 (41.7%) animals were found positive for single or mixed GI nematode infection. The findings of fecal examination revealed eggs of Strongyloides spp. (11.5%), Bunostomum (7.0%), Trichuris (5.5%), Strongyloides (2.6%), Haemonchus (2.9%), Trichostrongylus (2.6%), Toxocara (1.6%) and Ostertagia (1.3%). The prevalence of gastrointestinal nematode infection showed a significant difference (p<0.05) between sex, age, breed, body conditions, management and origin of the animals. In conclusion, the present study has revealed the presence of GIT nematodes which have significant impact on the health and production of the cattle’s in the study area. Therefore, further studies on species identification and seasonal epidemiology of these parasites should be carried out.
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Introduction

Ethiopia is endowed with abundant livestock resources of varied and diversified genetic roles with specific adaption to its wide range of agro ecosystems. The country is claimed to have the largest livestock population of 47.5 million cattle, 26.1 million sheep 21.7 million goat, 7.8 million equines, 1 million camel, 39.6 million chickens [1].

Gastrointestinal parasite infections are a world-wide problem for both small and large scale farmers, but their impact is greater in sub-Saharan Africa in general and Ethiopia in particular due to the availability of a wide range of agro-ecological Factors suitable for diversified hosts and parasite species. Economic losses are caused by gastrointestinal parasites in a variety of ways: they cause losses through lowered fertility, reduced work capacity, involuntary culling, a reduction in food intake and lower weight gains, lower milk production, treatment costs, and mortality in heavily parasitized animals [2]. In addition, the diverse agro-climatic conditions, animal husbandry practice and pasture management largely determine the incidence and severity of various parasitic diseases in certain area. Furthermore, the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites, the genera of helmint parasites involved, species and the severity of infection also vary considerably depending on local environmental conditions such as humidity, temperature, rainfall, vegetation and management practices [3,4].

Some of the major nematodes responsible for gastrointestinal tract parasitosis in ruminants under tropical environment are: Haemonchus, Trichostrongylus, Nematodirus and Ostertagia spp. (Family: Trichostrongylidae); Bunostomum (Ancylostomatidae); Oesophagostomum spp. (Strongylidae); and Trichuris and Strongyloides spp. [5]. Despite the immense progress made to control parasitosis, farmers in Ethiopia continue to incur significant losses due to insufficient availability of information in the epidemiology of the parasites. Furthermore, parasites appear to be a major factor for lowered productivity of Ethiopian livestock sector [6]. To take the control measures; assessment and epidemiological surveillance of nematode parasite by different diagnostic methods like fecal examination, EPG, determination and identification of specific species nematode is important [7,8]. Emphasis must be placed on preventing the environment from becoming contaminated. This is achieved by production of safe pastures which intern achieved by a variety of means like silage and hay after mach, pasture resting, reseeding and burning of pasture and anthelmintic treatment [9].

The prevalence of gastro intestinal nematode in bovine in many African countries including Ethiopia was found to be high. For instance, Awaris et al. (27.57%), Hiko and Wondimu (54%) in Gondar town and Haramaya University dairy farm respectively [8,10] whereas Keyru et al. reported prevalence of 67%, 44.4% and 37% for traditional, large and small scale dairy cattle, respectively in Tanzania [11]. Furthermore, Pfiukenyi et al. and Waruiru et al. and Kabaka et al. reported that gastrointestinal parasites are among the constraints in cattle of Zimbabwe and Kenya, respectively [12-14].

Most of the studies conducted on the prevalence and distribution of GI nematodes in the country tended to be in the central and Northern highlands and semi-arid regions of Eastern Ethiopia. However, the information regarding status of GIT nematodes infecting cattle's in the study area is scanty. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were:

- To assess the prevalence of GI nematode parasite of cattle in and around Kombolcha and Dessie town.
• To investigate the main risk factors associated with the prevalence of GI nematode infestation in cattle.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted from November 2014 to April 2015 in Kombolcha and Dessie town which is found in Amhara regional state south Wollo zone, North east Ethiopia. They are found 380-401 Km away from Addis Ababa. It has a latitude and longitude of 1104 N 390 44 E and 11.060 N, 39.7330 E and its elevation is between 1500- 2600 meter above sea level (masl). The topography of south wollo zone is marked by the presence of numerous mountains, plateaus, hilly and sloppy areas, rivers and lakes. The area is characterized by bimodal rain fall with the average rain fall of 6000 mm and the minimum and maximum temperature varies 11.7°C to 24°C and the soil type of the area consists of vertisool and sandy type of soil with vegetation type which varies from larger tree to bushes [1].

Study population

The study animal were 384 cattle of two breed (150 cross and 234 local breeds), both sexes (194 male and 194 female), Body condition score was made according to Nicolson and butter worth and recorded as poor, medium or good [15]. The age of the animal was estimated by looking to the dentition pattern of the animals according to De-Lahunta and Habel and also by owners’ response. Based on this, study animals were classified as calf (<1 year), young (1 to 3 years) and adult (>3 years) [10].

Study design

A cross-sectional study was carried out from November 2014 to April 2015 to determine the prevalence of bovine nematodes.

Sampling method and sample size

Simple random sampling technique was employed to select the study animals and feces were collected from the individual animals. The total number of cattle required for the study was calculated based on the formula given by Thrusfield [16]. By rule of thumb where there is no information for an area, it is possible to take 50% prevalence. In this study 50% prevalence with 5% desired level of precision and 95% of confidence interval are used to calculate the sample size using the following formula.

\[ N = \frac{1.96^2 \times P_{exp} (1-P_{exp})}{d^2} \]

Where: N=sample size; \( P_{exp}= \)expected prevalence; \( D= \)Desired absolute precision; Then by taking \( P_{exp}=50\% \) and \( d=5\% \) \( N = 1.96^2 \times 0.5 (1-0.50)/0.05; N=384 \)

Study methodology

Fecal sample collection: Fecal sample was collected from rectum of each animal using sterile disposable plastic glove. The sample was placed in a labeled clean plastic container (universal bottle) and were transported to the parasitological laboratory of Kombolcha Animal Health and Diagnostic Center on the same day of collection and were preserved at refrigerator until processing within 48 hours of arrival. During every sampling of study animal information on sex, breed, and approximate age of individual animals, body condition, management, owners name, origin and date of collection were recorded.

Ceposcopical examination: For ceposcopical examination of the fecal samples, a simple test tube flotation technique was employed and the slides prepared were examined under binocular microscope (x10) by Hansen and Perry. Eggs of the different nematodes were identified on the base of morphological appearance and size of eggs [17].

Faecal cultures: Faecal samples from animals of the same species and herd whenever positive for nematode eggs was pooled and cultured for harvesting third stage larvae and identification of the most important genera of non-distinguishable nematode eggs in cattle according to Hansen and Perry [18]. Pooled faecal samples were broken up using stirring device, kept moist and crumbly; the mixtures transferred to petridishes and placed at 27°C for 7 to 10 days. The samples were kept humid, mixed occasionally and be aerated every 1-2 days. During this period the larvae was hatched from the eggs and developed into L3. Finally larvae were recovered using the Baermann technique. From each culture, the third-stage larvae (L3) was morphologically differentiated and identified according to keys provided [18].

Data analysis and management

Data collected from field were entered into Microsoft excel spread sheet (Microsoft Corp.) The data were analyzed by SPSS version 16 statistical packages for window7. Descriptive statistics and chi square were used to describe the prevalence of GIT nematodes and association of risk factors with GIT nematode infection and odd ratio was used to determine the strength. In all the analysis, confidence level held at 95% and P value is less than 0.05 considered as significant

Results

Overall prevalence

Out of 384 bovine examined 160 (41.7%) were found positive for GIT nematodes and 8 genera of nematodes in and around Kombolcha and Dessie towns. The highest and lowest percentage of GIT nematodes from different potential risk factors of cattle's were recorded (Table 1).

Association of risk factors with GIT nematodes

Association of potential risk factors for the occurrence of GIT nematodes were depicted on Table 1. Accordingly, there was a significant association (P<0.05) in the prevalence of GIT nematodes between sex, age, breed, body condition, management and origin of animals (Table 1).

| Variable | No of animals examined | No of animals infected with (%) | \( \chi^2 \) | P-value |
|----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------|
| Sex      |                       |                                 |          |        |
| Male*    | 184                   | 65(35.3%)                       |          |        |
| Female   | 200                   | 95(47.5%)                       | 5.843    | 0.016  |
| Calve*   | 30                    | 7(23.3%)                        |          |        |
| Age      |                       |                                 |          |        |
| Young    | 70                    | 21(30.0%)                       | 10.774   | 0.005  |
| Adult    | 284                   | 132(46.5%)                      |          |        |
Table 1: Analysis of the potential risk factors associated with the occurrence of GIT nematodes in cattle at Kombolcha and Dessie town.

| Variable | No of animals examined | No of animals infected with (%) | χ²   | P-value |
|----------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Sex      |                        |                                 |       |         |
| Male*    | 184                    | 65(35.3%)                       |       |         |
| Female   | 200                    | 95(47.5%)                       | 5.843 | 0.016   |
| Age      |                        |                                 |       |         |
| Calve*   | 30                     | 7(23.3%)                        |       |         |
| Young    | 70                     | 21(30.0%)                       |       |         |
| Adult    | 284                    | 132(46.5%)                      | 10.774| 0.005   |
| Breed    |                        |                                 |       |         |
| Cross    | 100                    | 28(28.0%)                       | 10.39 | 0.001   |
| Local    | 284                    | 132(46.5%)                      |       |         |
| BCs      |                        |                                 |       |         |
| Good     | 94                     | 36(37.3%)                       |       |         |
| Medium   | 158                    | 65(41.1%)                       |       |         |
| Poor     | 132                    | 59(44.8%)                       | 28.487| 0       |
| Origin   |                        |                                 |       |         |
| High land* | 234            | 50(35.3%)                       |       |         |
| Mid land | 150                    | 110(47.0%)                      | 7.033 | 0.008   |
| Mgt      |                        |                                 |       |         |
| Semi intensive* | 98 | 21(21.4%) |       |         |
| Extensive | 286               | 139(48.6%)                      | 22.173| 0       |
| Total    | 384                    | 160(41.7%)                      |       |         |

Table 2: Logistic regression analysis of the potential risk factors associated with the occurrence of GIT nematodes in cattle at Kombolcha and Dessie town.

| Variable | No of positive sample | Percentage |
|----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Sex      |                       |            |
| Male*    | 134                   | 83.75%     |
| Female   | 46                    | 28.80%     |
| Age      |                       |            |
| Calve*   | 27                    | 16.25%     |
| Young    | 66                    | 36.30%     |
| Adult    | 97                    | 51.25%     |
| Breed    |                       |            |
| Cross    | 26                    | 16.25%     |
| Local    | 132                   | 77.50%     |
| BCs      |                       |            |
| Good     | 35                    | 20.60%     |
| Medium   | 85                    | 48.40%     |
| Poor     | 59                    | 34.10%     |
| Origin   |                       |            |
| High land* | 234            | 144       | 79.30% |
| Mid land | 150                   | 94        | 49.40% |
| Mgt      |                       |            |
| Semi intensive* | 98 | 21        | 12.50% |
| Extensive | 286               | 139       | 78.75% |
| Total    | 384                   | 160(41.7%) |

Table 3: Frequency distribution of single gastro-intestinal Parasites infection identified.

| Parasites | No of positive sample | Percentage |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------|
| Trichostrongylus and Haemonchus | 3 | 0.80% |
| Oesophagostomum and Bunostomum 7 (1.8%), Strongyloides with Oesophagostomum 5 (1.3%), Oesophagostomum with Haemonchus spp 4 (1.0%), and Trichostrongylus with Bulanostomum spp 4 (1.0%) were identified (Table 4).
Discussion

The current study revealed an overall prevalence of 41.7%, Gastro intestinal nematode infection of cattle. This result is similar to reports in Zimbabwe by Pfkuenyi et al. reported closer but a little higher prevalence of (43%) as compared to the present study [12]. In contrast, the present study is lower than previous finding by Hiko and Wondimdu (54%) in Haramaya university dairy farm [8] and Waruru et al. (85.9%) in Kiambu district of Kenya but the this study is relatively higher than Awaris et al. (27.57%) and Kabaka et al. (13.8%) in Gondar town and Nakuru and Mukurweini districts of Kenya respectively [13,14]. This could be attributed to differences in management system, topography, de-worming practices, and climatic condition that favor the survival of infective stage of the parasite.

Statistical analysis has revealed that; there is a significant relation (P<0.05) between sex of animals and occurrence of GIT nematodes. Accordingly higher prevalence was recorded in females (47.5%) than in males (35.3%) which may be due to differences in exposure to infection as a result of the variation in stocking density (sex ratio), this present study agrees the previous reports of Degefu et al. [19] but contradict the result of Kkeyu et al. and Pfkuenyi et al. [11,12].

There was a strongly significant association (P<0.05) between the age of the animal with the risk of infection in which the prevalence of GIT Nematodiasis appeared to follow an age pattern whereby adult animal showed higher proportion of infection (46.5%) as compared to young animal (30.0%) and calve (23.3%). This concomitant increase in the prevalence with age of animal could be due to increase in the frequency of contact with age and management factors. This agrees with Bacha and Haftu [20], but disagrees with Awaris et al. [10].

A significant difference (P<0.05) was also found in variation of degree of infection among different breeds where a higher infection was recorded on local breed cattle (46.5%) compared to cross breed (28.0%) cattle which is in line with the finding of Awaris et al. [10]. This may be due to a difference in management system and sample size bias. However, it is in contrary to reports of Bacha and Haftu. But the prevalence of GIT nematode infection in relation to cross breed cattle was lower than the reports of Bacha and Haftu (64.15%) in west Arsi zone [20]. This variation may be due to the difference in management system between these two areas.

In this study, a significant difference(P<0.05) was observed in GIT nematode infection in relation to body condition where a higher prevalence of Nematodiasis was recorded in poor body condition (47.0%) as compared to highland (33.0%) which concur the report of Regassa et al. [6].

There was statistically significant association (P<0.05) between GIT nematode and origin of the animal, the prevalence is high in mid land (47.0%) as compared to highland (33.0%) which concur the report of Regassa et al. [6]. However, it contradicts the reports of Pfikuenyi et al. [12]. The explanation may be related to different management system in different districts.

In this study, there were strongly significant association (P<0.05) between GIT nematode and management in which animal reared, extensive management system (48.6%) accounts higher proportion of nematode than semi intensive (21.4%) management system. This study agrees with the reports of Keyyu et al. [11] and Kabaka et al. [14]. This might be due to the fact that cattle are more prone to gastro intestinal nematode infestation when extensively due to contamination of pasture by parasites.

Out of 384 samples examined 160 were found positive. Of these 134 (83.75%) had single and 26 (16.25%) had mixed infection with different nematode species. This low prevalence of mixed infection in the study area may be due to immunity of the host, season and Deworming practice. This result agrees with the results of Bacha and Haftu [20].

Among all parasites identified in the current study Oesophagostomum spp have the highest proportion (11.5%) and followed by Bunostomum (7.0%) and Trichuris (5.5%), This result dis agrees with the previous report of Kabaka et al. [14]. According to Kabaka et al. the highest prevalence were seen in Haemonchus (28.1%), followed by Trichostrongylus (19.5%), and Oesophagostomum (14.8%) [14]. This may be due to difference in agro climatic condition of the two areas and difference in the prevalence of this parasite.

In case of Oesophagostomum (11.5%), this result is similar with the result of Hiko and Wondimdu 11% at Haramaya University dairy farm [8]. This similarity of result due to uniform distribution of Oesophagostomum spp in these two areas. However this result is higher than the result of Bacha and Haftu [20] 1.3% and slightly lower than the reports of Kabaka et al. [14] and Belem et al. [21] 42.6% which might be due to difference in de-worming practices and agro climatic condition.

The existence of more than one nematode species in a host has an additive pathogenic effect on the host and the pathogenicity is usually high [22]. In this particular study the prevalence of mixed infection was (16.25%). Among mixed parasitic infestations observed in current study Oesophagostomum and Bunostomum (1.8%) were most dominant nematodes in cattle’s. This result disagree the previous reports of Bacha and Haftu. According to Bacha and Haftu the highest prevalence seen in west Arsi zone was Oesophagostomum spp with Trichostrongylus spp [20]. This difference could be due to difference in the two agro-ecological zones.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Gastro-intestinal Nematodiasis are important cattle health problems in the study area with prevalence of 160/384 (41.7%). The present study was based solely on coproscopic examination and fecal culture for detection of gastrointestinal nematode eggs and larvae; among the eight genera of GIT helminth parasites investigated, Oesophagostomum spp (11.7%) was found to be the most prevalent parasite in the study area, whereas Ostertagia spp (1.8%) was the least prevalent gastro-intestinal nematode. Most risk factors such as sex, age, breeds, body condition, origin and management of animal have significant relationship (p<0.05) with the presence of parasitic infestation. In the current work, the prevalence of mixed infestation with two parasite species in the same host was found to be 26/160 (16.25%). This high prevalence of gastro intestinal nematode in the study area indicates the disease has a great economic importance and requires a great control and preventive issue. So to minimize the wide spread prevalence of this parasitic problem in the study area the following actions should be taken:

- Biological control of nematodes should be practiced

• Biological control of nematodes should be practiced
• The use of communal grazing and watering points should have to be reduced as they are the principal means of transmission of parasites from one herd to the other.
• Awareness creation among the livestock owners on the proper management, feeding and use of anthelmintics should be performed.
• Further studies are needed to establish the seasonal epidemiology of these parasites.
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