Thermofield quantum electrodynamics in (1 + 1) dimensions at a finite chemical potential: a bosonization approach
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Abstract

The recent generalization of the Lowenstein–Swieca operator solution of quantum electrodynamics in (1+1) dimensions to a finite temperature in thermofield dynamics is further generalized to include a non-vanishing chemical potential. The operator solution to the Euler–Lagrange equations respecting the Kubo–Martin–Schwinger condition is constructed. Two forms of this condition and their associated solutions are discussed. The correlation functions of an arbitrary number of chiral densities are computed in the thermal $\theta$-vacuum.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Wx, 11.10.Kk, 11.15.Tk

1. Introduction

The bosonization of fermion fields is a very useful technique for solving models in (1+1) dimensions, and also provides a very instructive framework for studying non-perturbative aspects [1].

In [2], we have considered the bosonic operator representation of massless free fermions at a finite temperature (thermofield bosonization) within the formalism of thermofield dynamics [3–8]. It was shown that the well-known two-dimensional fermion–boson correspondences at zero temperature also hold at a finite temperature. Using this thermofield bosonization, we solved the massless Thirring model at finite temperature [2]. In [9], we then extended the thermofield bosonization approach of [2] to the case of massive free fermions, and computed the corresponding $N$-point correlation functions of chiral densities as a perturbative series in the mass. By working in the interaction picture, the infinite series in the mass parameter...
of the fermionic formulation was compared to the corresponding perturbative series in the interaction parameter of the bosonized thermofield formulation. In this way, we established in thermofield dynamics the formal equivalence of the massive free fermion theory to the sine-Gordon dynamics at a particular value of the sine-Gordon parameter [10].

The intimate relationship between the thermofield dynamics formalism and the algebraic formulation due to Haag–Hugenholtz–Winnink (HHW) of statistical mechanics [11] has been established in [7]. In this reference the relevance of tilde objects to the modular conjugation appearing in the algebraic formulation of statistical mechanics in the HHW formalism based upon the Kubo–Martin–Schwinger (KMS) condition [12] was clarified, and a revised version of the thermofield dynamics approach for fermions was presented. In [9], we have shown that this revised version was fundamental in order to establish the thermofield bosonization scheme for the free massless Fermi field.

Quantum electrodynamics in (1+1) dimensions (QED\(_2\)) has been solved on operator level in a classical paper by Lowenstein and Swieca [13]. In a recent paper [14], we have extended the Lowenstein–Swieca operator solution to the case of non-zero temperature using thermofield dynamics [4]. In particular, we have seen that despite the doubling of the Hilbert space required by this formalism, the infinity of ‘theta vacua’ characteristic of QED\(_2\) at zero temperature is not doubled.

In this paper, we extend the solution obtained in [14] to include a non-vanishing chemical potential. The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2, we begin by considering the free massless fermion field and compute in thermofield dynamics the expectation value of \(2^n\) point functions as the thermodynamic average with a Boltzmann distribution. The results show an oscillatory behaviour in the chemical potential and obey the KMS [12] condition. We also show what this implies on the bosonized level. Our results, obtained in thermofield dynamics, are found to be in agreement with those of [15], obtained in a functional approach. We further generalize these results to the case of independent chemical potentials for each chiral component.

In section 3, we turn to QED\(_2\), making use of the results of section 2 and imposing the KMS condition in order to introduce the chemical potential. Our conclusion is in agreement with the starting point of [16–18] and the prescription given in [19]. We then construct the corresponding operator solution of the Dirac and Maxwell equations. Besides the well-known fact that the latter can be satisfied only in the weak sense, a short distance calculation implying a non-vanishing vacuum expectation value of the current shows that the Maxwell equation can only be satisfied provided we introduce a constant background distribution in the Lagrangian, as witnessed already in [16, 17].

In section 4, we finally compute in thermal QED\(_2\) the correlation functions of products of chiral densities and compare the results with those of [18], obtained at zero temperature and a finite chemical potential from the functional point of view, when taking into account the topologically non-trivial gauge-field configurations. Our results obtained on operator level in thermofield dynamics display the relative simplicity of these calculations, and at the same time generalize the (implicitly) \(\theta = 0\) vacuum calculations at zero temperature of [18] to arbitrary ‘theta’ vacua at finite temperature.

2. Two-dimensional free massless Fermi theory at finite density in the thermofield dynamics approach

Within the thermofield dynamics approach [3–8], the formulation of quantum field theory at finite temperature in terms of operators requires doubling the number of field degrees of freedom. This is achieved by introducing a fictitious ‘tilde’ operator for each of the operators
describing the system under consideration, and thus entails a doubling of the Hilbert space. The fictitious ‘tilde’ system is a copy of the original system under consideration with ‘canonical’ commutation relations of opposite signature. To begin with, we consider the case of two-dimensional massless fermions at zero temperature and zero chemical potential, described by the doublets
\[
\psi^{(0)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^{(0)}(x^+) \\ \overline{\psi}^{(0)}(x^-) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\psi}^{(0)}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\psi}^{(0)}(x^+) \\ \psi^{(0)}(x^-) \end{pmatrix},
\]
where \(x^\pm = x^0 \pm x^1\), whose dynamics in the doubled Hilbert space is described by the total Lagrangian
\[
\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_0 - \mathcal{L}_0,
\]
with
\[
\mathcal{L}_0 = \overline{\psi}^{(0)}(x) i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \psi^{(0)}(x), \quad \mathcal{L}_0 = -\overline{\psi}^{(0)}(x) i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \overline{\psi}^{(0)}(x),
\]
and where the ‘tilde’ conjugation operation is defined for a general operator \(A\) by \(\tilde{A} = c^* \overline{A}\), with \(c\) being a complex number. At a finite inverse temperature \(\beta\) and chemical potential \(\mu\), the thermal averages of field operators \(A\) are given by
\[
\langle A \rangle = \frac{\text{Tr} A e^{-\beta (H_0 - \mu Q)}}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta (H_0 - \mu Q)}}, \quad (2.1)
\]
with \(H_0\) being the Hamiltonian:
\[
H_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp^1 |p^1| [b^\dagger(p^1)b(p^1) + d^\dagger(p^1)\overline{d}(p^1)],
\]
and \(Q\) the charge operator:
\[
Q = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp^1 [b^\dagger(p^1)b(p^1) - d^\dagger(p^1)\overline{d}(p^1)]. \quad (2.2)
\]
Here, \(b(p^1)\) and \(d(p^1)\) \((b^\dagger(p^1)\) and \(d^\dagger(p^1)\)) are the usual fermion and anti-fermion destruction (creation) operators appearing in the Fourier representation of the chiral components of the Fermion field \((p^1 = |p^1| = p^0)\)
\[
\psi^{(0)}(x^\pm) = \int_0^\infty dp \left[ f_p(x^\pm) b(\mp p) + f_p^*(x^\pm) d^\dagger(\mp p) \right],
\]
where
\[
f_p(x^\pm) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-ipx^\pm},
\]
with a corresponding expression for the tilde fields obtained by the tilde conjugation rule.

In order to compute the fermionic two-point function at finite temperature, we shall use the revised theromfield dynamics approach due to Ojima \([2, 7, 9, 14]\) modified to include the chemical potential. In this approach, the thermal average (2.1) of an observable can be expressed as the expectation value of the corresponding operator \(A\) in a thermal vacuum \(|0(\beta, \mu)\rangle\) obtained by the unitary transformation
\[
|0(\beta, \mu)\rangle = U_F [\theta_F (\beta, \mu)] |0, \emptyset\rangle, \quad (2.3)
\]
in the doubled Hilbert space, where the unitary operator \(U_F [\theta_F (\beta, \mu)]\) is given by
\[
U_F [\theta_F (\beta, \mu)] = e^{-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp^1 \left( b^\dagger(p^1)\overline{b}(p^1) + \overline{b}(p^1)b^\dagger(p^1) \right) \theta(p^1; \beta, -\mu) + d^\dagger(p^1)\overline{d}(p^1) + d^\dagger(p^1)\overline{d}(p^1) \theta(p^1; \beta, \mu) )}, \quad (2.4)
\]
\(^3\) Left and right moving fields are only distinguished by their arguments. Our conventions are the same as in \([14]\).
with the Bogoliubov parameters $\theta(\beta, \mu)$ implicitly defined by
\[
\cos \theta(p; \beta, \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + e^{-\beta(p+\mu)}}},
\]
\[
\sin \theta(p; \beta, \mu) = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}(p+\mu)}}{\sqrt{1 + e^{-\beta(p+\mu)}}},
\]
with $\mu = \mu_F \in \Re$ and the corresponding Fermi–Dirac statistical weight [5]
\[
\sin^2 \theta(p; \beta, \mu) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(p+\mu)}}.
\]

The transformed annihilation operators are given by
\[
b(p; \beta, \mu) = \mathcal{U}^{-1}_F[\theta(\beta, \mu)]b(p)\mathcal{U}_F[\theta(\beta, \mu)] = b(p) \cos \theta(p; \beta, -\mu) + i\tilde{b}^i(p) \sin \theta(p; \beta, -\mu),
\]
\[
d(p; \beta, \mu) = \mathcal{U}^{-1}_F[\theta(\beta, \mu)]d(p)\mathcal{U}_F[\theta(\beta, \mu)] = d(p) \cos \theta(p; \beta, \mu) + i\tilde{d}^i(p) \sin \theta(p; \beta, \mu).
\]
The operators $\hat{b}(p; \beta, \mu)$ and $\hat{d}(p; \beta, \mu)$ are obtained from the operators above by the tilde conjugation rule, i.e. $c\tilde{b}(p) = c^*\hat{b}(p)$ and $c\tilde{d}(p) = c^*\hat{d}(p)$. From here and (2.3), the non-vanishing diagonal statistical ensemble averages are found to be (to simplify the notation, $p$ and $k$ denote in the following $p^l$ and $k^l$, respectively)
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | b(p)b^\dagger(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k),
\]
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | b^\dagger(p)b(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = \frac{e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k),
\]
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | d(p)d^\dagger(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k),
\]
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | d^\dagger(p)d(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = \frac{e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k),
\]
and the same for the corresponding diagonal averages of the 'tilde' operators. For the off-diagonal non-vanishing statistical averages, we obtain
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | b(p)\tilde{b}(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}|p|+\mu}}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k),
\]
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | \tilde{b}(p)b(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = -\frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}|p|+\mu}}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k),
\]
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | d(p)\tilde{d}(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = \frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}|p|+\mu}}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k),
\]
\[
\langle 0, \beta, \mu | \tilde{d}(p)d(k) | 0, \beta, \mu \rangle = -\frac{e^{-\frac{i}{2}|p|+\mu}}{1 + e^{-\beta|p|+\mu}} \delta(p - k).
\]

Now, the Fermi thermostats are given by
\[
\psi^{(0)}(x^\pm; \beta, \mu) = \int_0^\infty dp\int_0^\infty dp f_p(x^\pm)(b(\mp p) \cos \theta(p; \beta, -\mu) + i\tilde{b}^i(\mp p) \sin \theta(p; \beta, -\mu)) + \int_0^\infty dp\int_0^\infty dp f_p(x^\pm)(d^\dagger(\mp p) \cos \theta(p; \beta, \mu) - i\tilde{d}(\mp p) \sin \theta(p; \beta, \mu)),
\]
\[ \tilde{\psi}^{(0)}(x^\pm; \beta, \mu) = \int_0^\infty dp \left( f_p(x^\pm) (\bar{b} \theta(p) + b^\dagger \theta(p)) \cos \theta(p; \beta, \mu) - ib^\dagger(\bar{\psi} p) \sin \theta(p; \beta, -\mu) \right) + f_p(x^\pm)(\bar{d}^\dagger(\bar{\psi} p) \cos \theta(p; \beta, \mu) + id(\bar{\psi} p) \sin \theta(p; \beta, \mu)) \].

(2.12)

With (2.9) and (2.10), we can now calculate the two-point functions of the fermionic doublet. As we shall see, the fact that the Fermi fields under consideration are massless will enable one to factorize the chemical potential dependence of the two-point functions into an exponential pre-factor. The diagonal two-point function is given by

\[ \langle 0_F(\beta, \mu) | \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta, \mu) \rangle = \langle 0, 0 | \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm; \beta, \mu) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm; \beta, \mu) | 0, 0 \rangle \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dp \left[ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} \cos^2 \theta(p; \beta, -\mu) + e^{ip(x^+ - y^+)} \sin^2 \theta(p; \beta, \mu) \right]. \]

(2.13)

Using equations (2.5) and (2.6) and performing a change of variables \( p - \mu \rightarrow p \), we obtain

\[ \langle 0(\beta, \mu) | \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0(\beta, \mu) \rangle \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left\{ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta(p - \mu)}} + e^{ip(x^+ - y^+)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta(p + \mu)}} \right\} \]

\[ = \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left\{ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta(p - \mu)}} \right\} 

\[ = e^{-i\mu(x^+ - y^+)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left\{ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta p}} \right\}. \]

(2.14)

The last integral in equation (2.14) corresponds to the diagonal thermal two-point function at zero chemical potential [2]:

\[ \langle 0_F(\beta) | \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta) \rangle = \frac{1}{2iS \sinh \frac{\beta}{2}(x^+ - y^+ - i\epsilon)}. \]

(2.15)

In this way, we conclude from (2.14) and (2.15) that for \( \mu \neq 0 \),

\[ \langle 0_F(\beta, \mu) | \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta, \mu) \rangle = e^{-i\mu(x^+ - y^+)} \langle 0_F(\beta) | \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta) \rangle. \]

(2.16)

Hence, the dependence on \( \mu \) factorizes in the form of phase factors. Equation (2.16) is in agreement with the expression for the fermionic two-point function obtained in [15] following a different approach.

In the same way, one finds for the diagonal two-point function of the tilde fields

\[ \langle 0_F(\beta, \mu) | \tilde{\psi}^{(0)}(x^\pm) \tilde{\psi}^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta, \mu) \rangle = e^{i\mu(x^+ - y^+)} \langle 0_F(\beta) | \tilde{\psi}^{(0)}(x^\pm) \tilde{\psi}^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta) \rangle. \]

(2.17)

For the off-diagonal thermal two-point function, one has

\[ \langle 0_F(\beta, \mu) | \tilde{\psi}^{(0)}(x^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta, \mu) \rangle = \langle 0, 0 | \tilde{\psi}^{(0)}(x^\pm; \beta, \mu) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm; \beta, \mu) | 0, 0 \rangle \]

\[ = - \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left[ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} \cos \theta(p; \beta, -\mu) \sin \theta(p; \beta, -\mu) + e^{ip(x^+ - y^+)} \cos \theta(p; \beta, \mu) \sin \theta(p; \beta, \mu) \right]. \]

(2.18)

Using that

\[ \sin \theta(p; \beta, \pm \mu) = e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}(p \pm \mu)} \cos \theta(p; \beta, \pm \mu), \]
one has
\[ \langle 0(\beta, \mu) | i \tilde{F}^{(0)}(\chi^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0(\beta, \mu) \rangle \]
\[ = - \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left\{ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} (p-\mu)} - e^{-ip(x^- - y^-)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} (p+\mu)} \right\} \]
\[ = - \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left\{ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} (p-\mu)} \right\} \]
\[ = - e^{-i\mu(x^+ - y^+)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dp}{2\pi} \left\{ e^{-ip(x^+ - y^+)} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta p}} \right\} , \]
which means that
\[ \langle 0_F(\beta, \mu) | i \tilde{F}^{(0)}(\chi^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta, \mu) \rangle = e^{-i\mu(x^+ - y^+)} \langle 0_F(\beta) | i \tilde{F}^{(0)}(\chi^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta) \rangle, \]
\[ (2.19) \]
where the thermal off-diagonal two-point function in the absence of the chemical potential is given by [2]
\[ \langle 0_F(\beta) | (\cdot - 1) \tilde{F}^{(0)}(\chi^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0_F(\beta) \rangle = \frac{1}{2i\beta \sinh \frac{\pi}{\beta} (x^+ - i\frac{\pi}{2} - y^+ + ie)}. \]
\[ (2.20) \]
As stressed in [2, 9, 14], the shift in the argument of the off-diagonal two-point function (2.20) can be understood in the context of the real-time formalism as the tilde field living on the lower branch of the ‘time’ integration contour localized at \( \text{Im}(t) = -i\frac{\pi}{2} \).

For the generalization to the \( 2n \)-point functions, one finds
\[ \langle 0(\beta, \mu) | \psi^{(0)}(x_1^\pm) \cdots \psi^{(0)}(x_n^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y_1^\pm) \cdots \psi^{(0)}(y_n^\pm) | 0(\beta, \mu) \rangle \]
\[ = \exp \left( -i\mu \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^+ - \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^+ \right) \right) \prod_{i,j}^n \Omega(x_i^+ - x_j^+; \beta) \prod_{i,j}^n \Omega(y_i^+ - y_j^+; \beta) \prod_{i,j}^n \Omega(x_i^+ - y_j^+ - ie(x_i^0 - y_j^0); \beta), \]
\[ (2.21) \]
and
\[ \langle 0(\beta, \mu) | i \tilde{F}^{(0)}(\chi^\pm) \psi^{(0)}(y^\pm) | 0(\beta, \mu) \rangle \]
\[ = (-1)^n \exp \left( +i\mu \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^+ - \sum_{j=1}^n y_j^+ \right) \right) \]
\[ \times \prod_{i,j}^n \Omega(x_i^+ - x_j^+ - i\frac{\pi}{2}; \beta) \prod_{i,j}^n \Omega(y_i^+ - y_j^+ - ie(x_i^0 - y_j^0); \beta) \times \Omega(x_i^+ - y_j^+ - i\frac{\pi}{2} - ie(x_i^0 - y_j^0); \beta), \]
\[ (2.22) \]
where
\[ \Omega(x; \beta) = 2i\beta \sinh \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta} x \right). \]

Although the Lorentz invariance is not manifest in a field theory at finite temperature and we are not dealing with genuine Wightman functions, but with statistical ensemble thermal averages, one can follow the philosophy of the reconstruction theorem [21] as a heuristic guideline to reconstruct from the two-point function the thermal Fermi field operator. From (2.21) and (2.22), one concludes that in the presence of temperature and a chemical potential the massless chiral Fermi thermofields effectively factorize as follows:
\[ U_F^{-1} [\theta_F(\beta, \mu)] \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm) U_F [\theta_F(\beta, \mu)] = \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm; \beta, \mu) = e^{-i\mu x^+} \psi^{(0)}(x^\pm; \beta), \]
\[ (2.23) \]
\[ U^{-1}_F [\theta_F (\beta, \mu)] \tilde{\psi}^{(0)} (x^\pm; \beta, \mu) = \tilde{\psi}^{(0)} (x^\pm; \beta, \mu) = e^{iux^\pm} \tilde{\psi}^{(0)} (x^\pm; \beta), \]  
(2.24)

and still obey the equations of motion of free massless fields since they are left or right moving:

\[ i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \psi^{(0)} (x; \beta, \mu) = i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu \tilde{\psi}^{(0)} (x; \beta, \mu) = 0. \]  
(2.25)

### 2.1. KMS conditions

Let \( A_K (t) \) and \( B_K (t) \) be the operators evolving with time according to the ‘Hamiltonian’ \( K = H_0 - \mu Q \) in (2.1):

\[ A_K (t) = e^{iKt} A(0) e^{-iKt} \]  
(2.26)

and similarly for \( B_K (t) \). Then, using the cyclical property of the trace, we have

\[ \text{Tr} (e^{-\beta K} A_K (t) B_K (t')) = \text{Tr} (e^{-\beta K} B_K (t') A_K (t + i\beta)). \]  
(2.27)

or in the form of the Boltzmann average (2.1):

\[ \langle A_K (t) B_K (t') \rangle = \langle B_K (t') A_K (t + i\beta) \rangle. \]  
(2.28)

This is the KMS condition [12]. Let us now translate this relation to the operators evolving with the Hamiltonian \( H \). With \([Q, H] = 0\), we have the following relation between the two pictures:

\[ A_K (t) = e^{-i\mu Q} A_H (t) e^{i\mu Q} = e^{-i\mu Q_A} A_H (t), \]  
(2.29)

where \( Q_A \) is the charge associated with the operator \( A \) as defined by \([Q, A] = Q_A A\). In terms of the operators \( A_H (t) \), the KMS condition reads

\[ \langle A_H (t) B_H (t') \rangle = e^{i\beta Q_A} \langle B_H (t') A_H (t + i\beta) \rangle. \]  
(2.30)

The fields \( \psi^{(0)} (x^\pm) \) evolve in time with \( H_0 \). From (2.16) and (2.15), one verifies that

\[ \langle 0| (\beta, \mu) \psi^{(0)} (x^+) \psi^{(0)} (y^+) |0(\beta, \mu) \rangle = e^{-\beta \mu} \langle 0| (\beta, \mu) \psi^{(0)} (y^+) \psi^{(0)} (x^+ + i\beta) |0(\beta, \mu) \rangle, \]  
(2.31)

which agrees with (2.30) since \([Q, \psi^{(0)} (x^\pm)] = -\psi^{(0)} (x^\pm)\).

Let us call \( \psi^{(0)} \) the two-component field evolving according to \( K \). From (2.23), we have

\[ \psi^{(0)} (x; \beta, \mu) = e^{iux^\pm} \psi^{(0)} (x^\pm; \beta, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-iux^\pm} \psi^{(0)} (x^+; \beta) \\ e^{iux^\pm} \psi^{(0)} (x^-; \beta) \end{pmatrix}. \]  
(2.32)

For these operators relation (2.31) reads

\[ \langle 0, \bar{0}| \psi^{(0)}_\alpha (x; \beta, \mu) \psi^{(0)}_\beta (y; \beta, \mu) |0, \bar{0} \rangle = \langle 0, \bar{0}| \psi^{(0)}_\beta (y; \beta, \mu) \psi^{(0)}_\alpha (x^0 + i\beta, x^1; \beta, \mu) |0, \bar{0} \rangle \]  
(2.33)

in agreement with condition (2.28). We observe that \( \psi^{(0)} \) satisfies the modified equations of motion

\[ (i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + \mu \gamma^0) \psi^{(0)} (x; \beta, \mu) = (-i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + \mu \gamma^0) \tilde{\psi}^{(0)} (x; \beta, \mu) = 0, \]  
(2.34)

in alignment with the prescription given in [19].
2.2. Bosonized formulation

From the factorization property (2.23)–(2.24), we can infer the bosonized form of the free, zero mass fermion field at a non-zero temperature and chemical potential. Indeed, as shown in [2, 9], the zero mass free fermion field at finite temperature and vanishing chemical potential has the boson representation in terms of the Wick ordered exponential (see [1], we omit the multiplicative phase factor which plays here no role)

\[
\psi(0; x^\pm; \beta) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}} e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \Phi(x^\pm; \beta)};
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}(0; x^\pm; \beta) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}} e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \tilde{\Phi}(x^\pm; \beta)},
\]

where \(\lambda\) is an infrared regulator. Here, \(\Phi(x^\pm; \beta)\) are the right- and left-moving components of a zero mass scalar field at inverse temperature \(\beta\):

\[
\Phi(x^\pm; \beta) = \frac{1}{U_B[\theta_B(\beta)]} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp e^{i\beta(p^1)} e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \Phi(x^\pm; \beta)} e^{-\frac{1}{2\beta} p^1 e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \Phi(x^\pm; \beta)}} e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \Phi(x^\pm; \beta)}.
\]

In particular, we have for the two-point function of \(\Phi(x^\pm; \beta)\) [9]:

\[
\langle 0, 0 | \Phi(x^\pm; \beta) \Phi(0; 0) | 0, 0 \rangle = D^{(0)}(x^\pm; \beta, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \left[ \frac{1}{\beta} \sinh \frac{\pi}{\beta} (x^\pm - i\epsilon) \right] + \frac{1}{2\pi} z(\beta \lambda),
\]

where\(^4\)

\[
z(\beta \lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp \frac{1}{p^2 e^{\beta p} - 1}.
\]

At a finite chemical potential \(\mu\), we thus have from (2.32) for \(\psi'(x^\pm; \beta, \mu)\) the representation

\[
\psi'(0; x^\pm; \beta, \mu) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}} \left( e^{-i\mu x^1} ; e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \Phi(x^\pm; \beta)} ; e^{i\mu x^1} ; e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \Phi(x^\pm; \beta)} \right),
\]

and for the bosonic thermal vacuum

\[
|0(\beta)\rangle = U_B[\theta_B(\beta)] |0, 0\rangle.
\]

Note that this vacuum does not depend on the chemical potential. This is not surprising since the bosonic excitations are neutral. Note also that the transition from \(\mu = 0\) to \(\mu \neq 0\) can be seen as a shift \(\Phi(x^\pm) \rightarrow \Phi(x^\pm) - \frac{i}{\sqrt{\pi}} x^\pm\) formally generated by the time-independent unitary operator\(^5\)

\[
U[\mu] = e^{-i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x^1 \partial_x \Phi(x)) dx^1 + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Phi(x) dx^1}.
\]

\(^4\) For the sake of economy of parameters, we choose the parameter \(\mu' = \mu\) (alias \(\lambda\)) in [9].

\(^5\) One has for the left- and right-moving components of a zero mass pseudoscalar field \(\Phi(x) = \Phi(x^+; \Phi(-)\)

\[
[\Phi(x^\pm), \partial_y \Phi(y^\mp)] = i \delta(x^\pm - y^\mp).
\]
2.3. Chirality-dependent densities

Let us present here a brief summary of the generalization of the above results to the case of independent chemical potentials for each chiral component. Instead of the Boltzmann average (2.1), we consider now

\[
\langle A \rangle = \frac{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta (H_0 - \mu \mathcal{Q})}}{\text{Tr} e^{-\beta (H_0 - \mu \mathcal{Q})}},
\]

where \( \mu, \mathcal{Q} = \mu \mathcal{Q} + \mu_5 \mathcal{Q}_5 \), with

\[
\mathcal{Q}_5 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp^1 [b^1(p^1)b(p^1) - d^1(p^1)d(p^1)] \varepsilon(p^1).
\]

Let us define \( \mu_{\pm} = \mu \mp \mu_5 \). The thermalized operators are obtained as in equation (2.2) with a change in the chemical potential according to the signal of \( \mu \). All we have to do is to replace \( \mu \) by \( \mu - \Theta(p^1) + \mu_5 \Theta(-p^1) \). When translated to the fermion components, this amounts the change \( \mu \to \mu_{\pm} \) for \( \psi(x^{\pm}) \), respectively. It is straightforward to derive the factorization property for the fermionic operators in this case:

\[
U_F^{-1}[\mathcal{F}(\beta, \mu_+, \mu_-)]\psi(0)(\pm)U_F[\mathcal{F}(\beta, \mu_+, \mu_-)] = \psi(0)(x^{\pm}; \beta, \mu_{\pm}) = e^{-i\mu_{\pm}x^{\pm}\psi(0)}(x^{\pm}; \beta),
\]

(2.38)

\[
U_F^{-1}[\mathcal{F}(\beta, \mu_+, \mu_-)]\bar{\psi}(0)(\pm)U_F[\mathcal{F}(\beta, \mu_+, \mu_-)] = \bar{\psi}(0)(x^{\pm}; \beta, \mu_{\pm}) = e^{+i\mu_{\pm}x^{\pm}\bar{\psi}(0)}(x^{\pm}; \beta).
\]

(2.39)

The operators satisfying the alternative KMS conditions evolving according to \( K \to H_0 - \mu \mathcal{Q} - \mu_5 \mathcal{Q}_5 \) are now given by

\[
\psi(0)(x; \beta, \mu_+, \mu_-) = e^{i\mu_{\mp}x^{\pm}\mu_5y^{\pm}x^{\mp}}\psi(0)(x; \beta, \mu_+, \mu_-) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\mu_{-}x^{+}}\psi(0)(x^{+}; \beta) \\ e^{+i\mu_{+}x^{-}}\psi(0)(x^{-}; \beta) \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(2.40)

The corresponding bosonized expression becomes

\[
\psi(0)(x; \beta, \mu_+, \mu_-) = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\mu_{-}x^{+}} : e^{\sqrt{\pi}\phi(x^{+}; \beta)} \\ e^{i\mu_{+}x^{-}} : e^{\sqrt{\pi}\phi(x^{-}; \beta)} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(2.41)

Note that the shift \( \phi(x^{\pm}) \to \phi(x^{\pm}) - \frac{\mu_{\mp}}{\sqrt{\pi}}x^{\pm} \) leads from \( \psi(0)(x^{\pm}; \beta) \) to \( \psi(0)(x^{\pm}; \beta, \mu_{\pm}) \).

3. QED\(_2\) at finite temperature and chemical potential

The Dirac and Maxwell equations for \( T = 0 \) read

\[
i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{e}{2} \gamma^\mu \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\Sigma(x)} A_\mu(x + \varepsilon) \psi(x) + \psi(x)A_\mu(x - \varepsilon) = 0,
\]

and

\[
\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon \mathcal{J}^\nu = 0,
\]

respectively. The above operators are in the representation \( A_\mu(x) \) in (2.30). For a non-zero temperature but a vanishing chemical potential, the solution to these equations in the Lorentz gauge has been shown to be given by [14]

\[
\psi(x; \beta) = e^{i\sqrt{\pi}y^{\nu}[\Sigma(x; \beta) + \eta(x; \beta)]} \psi(0)(x; \beta),
\]

(3.1)
The Bogoliubov parameter \( \vartheta(p; \beta) \) is intrinsically defined by
\[
\cosh \vartheta(p; \beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2\mu p^0}}} \quad p^0 = \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}.
\]

This heuristic argument can also be easily shown to follow from (2.1) with \( H_0 \) replaced by
\[
H = H_0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp^1 \sqrt{(p^2_1 + m^2)^2} a^\dagger(p^1) \bar{a}(p^1)
\]
and \( Q \) being the bare charge operator (2.2).
The additional term proportional to $\mu$ reflects the fact that the time development of the fermion operator is now determined by the operator $H - \mu \mathcal{Q}$. Remembering that $\gamma^1 \gamma^5 = \gamma^0$, we see that this equation is in agreement with the prescription given in [19], which has been the starting point in [16–18]. If we were to use $\psi^{(0)}$ instead of $\psi^{(0)}$, we would obtain a thermofield evolving according to $H$ satisfying the KMS condition in the form of (2.31).

It remains to examine the 'Maxwell equation' satisfied by the gauge field. The current associated with $\psi'(x; \beta, \mu)$ can be computed as the symmetrized, space-like short distance limit (we suppress the $\beta$ and $\mu$ arguments)

$$J'_\nu(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} Z^{-1}(\varepsilon) \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{\psi}'(x + \varepsilon) \gamma^\nu \frac{e^{i e}}{\sqrt{\pi}} A^\varepsilon \psi'(x + \varepsilon) + \bar{\psi}'(x) \gamma^\nu \frac{e^{i e}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{i e}}{\sqrt{\pi}} A^\varepsilon \psi'(x - \varepsilon) \right).$$

Using the representation given in equation (3.5), one finds after a multiplicative renormalization (for an analogous sample calculation the reader should consult [1], section 10.2.2)

$$J'_\nu(x) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu (\Sigma + \phi + \eta) + \frac{\mu}{\pi} \delta_{\nu\alpha},$$

so that $\langle J'_\nu \rangle = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta_{\nu\alpha}$. On the other hand, we have, from (3.2),

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \Sigma,$$

so that the Maxwell equation will be satisfied in a weak form on the subspace $|\Psi_1\rangle$ defined by $\partial_\mu (\eta + \phi) |\Psi_1\rangle = 0$, provided we add a term $\mu A^0$, representing a uniform density of background charges, to the Lagrangian, as has been done in [17]. Note that with the usual boundary conditions at $t = \pm \infty$, this term does not affect the gauge invariance of the corresponding action.

The consideration of an independent chemical potential for each chiral components would result in a term added to the left-hand side of equation (3.8) leading to $\langle J_\nu \rangle = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta_{\nu\alpha} + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\pi}} \delta_{\nu\beta}$. This leads to a persistent current, a phenomenon which has been noticed in [15].

4. Correlators of chiral condensates in the theta vacuum

In [18], the correlators of chiral densities in QED$_2$ have been computed at zero temperature but a finite chemical potential, using Euclidean functional integration methods, taking into account the non-trivial topological field configurations reflecting the existence of an infinitely degenerate vacuum, and allowing for the tunnelling between different topological vacua [20]. In this section, we shall address this problem at a finite temperature and chemical potential from an operator point of view within thermofield dynamics. As will be seen, the results can be presented in a compact way if one works in the so-called theta vacuum.

The details of the following calculations are contained in [14]. The reader is invited to consult this reference as well as chapters X and XII of [1].

The chiral densities $(\bar{\psi}'_\alpha(x; \beta, \mu) \psi'_\alpha(x; \beta, \mu)) (\alpha = 1, 2)$ are gauge invariant. For their calculation it is useful to make a convenient choice of gauge. The gauge transformations are generated by the longitudinal part of the, $\mu = 0$, current, [22]. We shall choose the so-called $\sqrt{\pi}$ gauge [13], where

$$A_\mu(x; \beta) = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{e} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \Sigma(x; \beta).$$

In this gauge, we shall denote the operator $\psi'$ by $\Psi'$. We have

$$\Psi'(x; \mu) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\sqrt{\pi} \gamma^\nu \Sigma(x)} e^{i\mu y^x \xi}.$$
where \( \sigma_\alpha (\alpha = 1, 2) \) are constant ‘spurious’ \( T = 0 \) operators merely carrying the conserved charge and chirality quantum numbers. These ‘spurionic constant’ operators commute among themselves as well with all observables, and their repeated application on the \( |0, \overline{0} \rangle \) vacuum leads to an infinite number of degenerate thermal vacua [14]. The \( \sqrt{\pi} \) gauge thus explicitly displays the massive sigma field as the only physical degree of freedom in an infinite ‘sea’ of vacua.

Consider now the chiral densities
\[
S_\pm = \Psi \frac{1 \pm y^5}{2} \Psi'.
\]
We have
\[
S_\pm (x) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^2 e^{\pm 2i\sqrt{\pi} \Sigma (x)} : e^{\pm 2i\mu x^1} \sigma_\pm
\]
with \( \sigma_+ = \sigma_2^1 \sigma_2 \) and \( \sigma_- = \sigma_1^1 \).

For the calculation of the correlators of these densities, we consider the following coherent superposition of vacuum states:
\[
|\theta, \beta \rangle = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i n \theta} \sigma^\theta_n |0(\beta)\rangle.
\]
This represents only a subspace of the full set of vacuum states, as can be seen from the discussion in [14]. Noting that
\[
\sigma_+ |\theta, \beta \rangle = e^{i \theta} |\theta, \beta \rangle, \quad \langle \theta, \beta | \sigma_- = \langle \theta, \beta | e^{-i \theta},
\]
we have
\[
\langle \Psi' \Psi' \rangle_\theta = \langle S_+ + S_- \rangle_\theta = 2 \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \cos (2\mu x^1 + \theta).
\]
Using further
\[
S_\pm (x_1) S_\pm (x_2) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sigma_\pm^2 e^{\pm 2i\mu (x_1^1 + x_2^1)} e^{-4\pi \Delta^\theta (x_1^1 - x_2^1); \beta, m} : e^{\pm 2i\sqrt{\pi} \Sigma (x_1)} \Sigma (x_2) :,
\]
and
\[
S_\pm (x_1) S_\mp (x_2) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^2 \sigma_+ \sigma_- e^{\pm 2i\mu (x_1^1 - x_2^1)} e^{4\pi \Delta^\theta (x_1^1 - x_2^1); \beta, m} : e^{\pm 2i\sqrt{\pi} \Sigma (x_1) - \Sigma (x_2)} :,
\]
one finds for the expectation values in the thermal theta vacuum \( |\theta, \beta \rangle \)
\[
\langle (\Psi' (x_1)) (\Psi' (x_2)) \rangle_\theta = \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \right)^2 2 \cos (2\mu (x_1^1 + x_2^1) + 2\theta) e^{-4\pi \Delta^\theta (x_1^1 - x_2^1; \beta, m)}
\]
\[
+ \cos (2\mu (x_1^1 - x_2^1)) e^{4\pi \Delta^\theta (x_1^1 - x_2^1; \beta, m)}.
\]
Let us note that the chemical potential breaks the invariance under translation due to the factors \( e^{i\mu x^1} \) appended to the fields. The solution thus depends on the choice of the origin. This dependence can be associated with a choice of the origin of the charge distribution in space. It is interesting to note that the \( \theta \) parameter emerging in the above formulae can be viewed as a redefinition of the origin of this charge distribution.

---

8 \( \sigma_\alpha \) without explicit argument \( \beta \) refers to temperature zero.

9 Since the total Hamiltonian \( H - \hat{H} \) commutes with \( U(\beta) \), this is a possible choice of zero energy eigenstates of the total Hamiltonian, particularly convenient for our calculations.

12
The above result may be easily generalized. It is not hard to see that for the correlator of $n$ chiral densities, we have

$$
\langle (\bar{\Psi}^{i}(x_{1})\Psi^{i}(x_{1})\cdots (\bar{\Psi}^{i}(x_{n})\Psi^{i}(x_{n}))_{\theta}\rangle
= 2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{n}\sum_{\epsilon_{i}=\pm 1}\left\{ \cos\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}(2\mu x_{i}^{1} + \theta)\right)e^{-4\pi\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\epsilon_{j}\Delta^{\alpha}(x_{i}-x_{j};\beta)}\right\}.
$$

For the particular value $\theta = 0$ (and zero temperature), this result agrees with that in [18]. Let us note the striking simplicity of this derivation in contrast to that using functional integral methods. Moreover, our result generalizes those of [18] to arbitrary theta vacua, as well as to a finite temperature.

The consideration of independent chemical potentials, $\mu_{\pm}$, does not impact the computation of the chiral densities discussed here.

5. Conclusion

The discussion of QED$_{2}$ at a finite temperature and chemical potential has made use in the past of bosonization correspondences [10] and functional techniques, combined with the prescription given in [19]. In this case, we have taken an operator approach based on thermofield dynamics, reminiscent of the operator techniques of Lowenstein and Swieca, but in a doubled Hilbert space. We have considered two forms of the solution, corresponding to two forms of the KMS relation which the vacuum expectation values of two-point functions should satisfy. The relation of these solutions to those discussed in the literature has been commented. In particular, we have shown that the dependence on the chemical potential is entirely contained in the free fermion part. For this reason, an entire section has been devoted to the discussion of the free fermion correlation functions in the presence of a chemical potential and to the construction of its bosonized representation. The $n$-point functions of chiral densities have been computed at a finite temperature and chemical potential in a general $\theta$-vacuum, and have in particular been shown to agree with those computed in [18] for zero temperature and $\theta = 0$ vacuum. For $\theta \neq 0$, the theta dependence of the chiral condensates presents itself as a shift proportional to $\theta$ of the origin of the coordinate system. This restores the translational invariance of the expressions, absent in the case $\theta = 0$.
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