Time-fractional Schrödinger equation
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Abstract. We propose a time-fractional extension of the Schrödinger equation that keeps the main mechanical and quantum properties of the classical Schrödinger equation. This extension is shown to be equivalent to another well identified time first-order PDE with fractional Hamiltonian.

1. Introduction

We would like to address the issue of what are suitable time-fractional extensions of the Schrödinger equation. Our approach consists in, firstly, selecting some (important) properties of the Schrödinger equation and, secondly, finding a time-fractional equation which preserves these properties.

We have selected two properties: (i) the conservation of the $L^2$-norm of wave functions. That point is central w.r.t. the probabilistic character of quantum mechanical objects and (ii) the dynamics of the Schrödinger equation, i.e., the time reversibility of solutions which is related to the fact that the related solution operator is a group. Indeed, in any reasonable mechanical theory, trajectories of autonomous systems must be described by equations generating a group.

It is clear that the use of time-fractional operators as $D^{\alpha}_{0,t}$ does not allow to match the above requirements. We refer to Remark 4.1 for details and to [3] or [14] for more information on fractional derivatives. Regarding the dynamics of time-fractional equations, we refer to [4].

In this paper, the real number $\alpha$ is always supposed to range between 0 and 1.

In order to recover the above properties, we consider time-fractional operators with lower bound $-\infty$, i.e., $D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t}$. More precisely, if, for simplicity, we restrict our attention to the Hamiltonian $\hat{H} := -\Delta$, then we will show that the problem

$$D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t} u = -i^\alpha \Delta u, \quad u(0) = v,$$

admits a unique solution $u$ in some suitable function space. Theorem 4.1 states that the solution to (1.1) is given by

$$u(t) = e^{it(-\Delta)^{1/\alpha}}v,$$
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hence the $L^2$-norm of $u$ is conserved and the solution operator of (1.1) is a group. Then, according to Corollary 4.3, (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt} u = i(-\Delta)^{1/\alpha} u, \quad u(0) = v. \quad (1.2)$$

The coefficient $i^\alpha$ in (1.1) is not surprising since we know from the work of Naber, in [11], that the operator $D_0 + i\Delta$ has a parabolic behavior (see Remark 4.1).

In this paper, we consider more generally abstract time-fractional Schrödinger equations of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} u = i^\alpha A u, \quad (1.3)$$

where $A$ is a nonnegative self-adjoint operator.

The outline of the paper is as follows: The forthcoming section is dedicated to preliminaries regarding fractional derivatives, in particular time-fractional weak derivatives. In Sect. 3, we solve (1.3) in the case where the underlying Hilbert space in $\mathbb{C}$. That turns out to be the corner stone of our work since, in Sect. 4, we solve (1.3) by diagonalization using spectral theory.

2. Fractional derivatives with lower-bound $-\infty$

We start with the convolution of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$, with the fractional kernel, whose definition is as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** For $\beta \in (0, \infty)$, let us denote by $g_\beta$ the function of $L^1_{loc}([0, \infty))$ defined for a.e. $t > 0$ by

$$g_\beta(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} t^{\beta-1}.$$

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|$.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X)$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the function

$$(-\infty, t) \to X, \quad y \mapsto g_\alpha(t - y)u(y)$$

is integrable on $(-\infty, t) \cap X$ and

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^t g_\alpha(t - y)\|u(y)\|dy < \infty.$$

**Proof.** For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^t g_\alpha(t - y)\|u(y)\|dy = \int_{-\infty}^{t-1} g_\alpha(t - y)\|u(y)\|dy + \int_{t-1}^t g_\alpha(t - y)\|u(y)\|dy \leq g_\alpha(1)\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}; X)} + g_{\alpha+1}(1)\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X)}.$$  

$\square$
Under the assumptions and notation of Proposition 2.1, we put, for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \),

\[
g_\alpha * u(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{t} g_\alpha(t - y)u(y)dy = \int_{0}^{\infty} g_\alpha(y)u(t - y)dy.
\]

Also, we define

\[
g_\alpha *' u(t) := \int_{t}^{\infty} g_\alpha(y - t)u(y)dy = \int_{0}^{\infty} g_\alpha(y)u(t + y)dy.
\]

In some sense, these convolutions are adjoint to each other. More precisely, we have the following result, whose easy proof is left to the reader.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), \( u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \) and \( \psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \). Then,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_\alpha * u(t) \psi(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) g_\alpha *' \psi(t) dt.
\]  

(2.1)

Then, we may give the following definition of fractional derivatives.

**Definition 2.2.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \). We say that \( u \) admits a (forward) derivative of order \( \alpha \) in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \) if

\[
g_{1-\alpha} * u \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; X).
\]

In this case, its (forward) derivative of order \( \alpha \) is the function of \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{D}_{-\infty, t}^\alpha u := \frac{d}{dt}\{g_{1-\alpha} * u\}.
\]

**Definition 2.3.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( u \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}; X) \). Then, we say that \( u \) admits a backward derivative of order \( \alpha \) in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \) if

\[
g_{1-\alpha} *' \frac{d}{dt} u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X).
\]

In this case, its backward derivative of order \( \alpha \) is the function of \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{D}_{t, \infty}^\alpha u := g_{1-\alpha} *' \frac{d}{dt} u.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \) denote the Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing complex functions defined on \( \mathbb{R} \).

**Proposition 2.3.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), \( u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}; X) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \) and \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \). Assume that \( u \) admits a fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \) in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \). Then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{-\infty, t}^\alpha u(t) \varphi(t) dt = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) \mathcal{D}_{t, \infty}^\alpha \varphi(t) dt.
\]  

(2.2)
Proof. Integrate by parts and use Proposition 2.2.

We will now introduce fractional derivatives in the sense of distributions. The following result makes possible such a definition.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \). Then \( D^\varphi_{t,\infty} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \) and

\[
\|D^\varphi_{t,\infty}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\varphi'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}),
\]

where \( \varphi' := \frac{d}{dt} \varphi \) and the constant \( C \) depends only on \( \alpha \).

**Proof.** For any fixed time \( t \) in \( \mathbb{R} \), let \( v(y) := \varphi(y + t) - \varphi(t) \). Integrating by parts, we get

\[
\alpha \int_0^\infty y^{-\alpha-1}v(y)dy = \int_0^\infty y^{-\alpha} \varphi'(y + t)dy.
\]

Hence,

\[
D^\varphi_{t,\infty}(t) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\alpha)|} \int_0^\infty y^{-\alpha-1}(\varphi(y + t) - \varphi(t))dy,
\]

and

\[
|\Gamma(-\alpha)D^\varphi_{t,\infty}(t)| \leq \int_0^1 y^{-\alpha} \left| \frac{\varphi(y + t) - \varphi(t)}{y} \right| dy + \int_1^\infty y^{-\alpha-1} |\varphi(y + t) - \varphi(t)| dy =: I_1(t) + I_2(t),
\]

with obvious notation for \( I_1(t) \) and \( I_2(t) \).

Let us show that \( I_1(t) \) is integrable on \( \mathbb{R} \). For, since

\[
\frac{\varphi(y + t) - \varphi(t)}{y} = \int_0^1 \varphi'(ys + t) ds, \quad \forall y \in (0, 1],
\]

we derive

\[
\int_\mathbb{R} |I_1(t)| dt \leq \int_0^1 y^{-\alpha} dy \int_0^1 ds \int_\mathbb{R} |\varphi'(ys + t)| dt = \frac{\|\varphi'\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{1 - \alpha}.
\]

Regarding \( I_2(t) \), we have

\[
\int_\mathbb{R} |I_2(t)| dt \leq \int_1^\infty y^{-\alpha-1} dy \int_\mathbb{R} |\varphi(y + t)| + |\varphi(t)| dt = \frac{2}{\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})},
\]

Hence, \( (2.3) \) follows.

That estimate allows us to define fractional derivatives in the sense of distributions. Indeed, \( (2.3) \) shows that, for each \( u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; X) \), the linear map

\[
\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \to X, \quad \varphi \mapsto \int_\mathbb{R} u(t)D^\varphi_{t,\infty}(t) dt
\]

is a tempered distribution. The set of tempered distributions with values in \( X \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}; X) \). That allows us to set the following definition.
Definition 2.4. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $X$ be a complex Banach space and $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}; X)$. Then, the weak derivative of order $\alpha$ of $u$ is the $X$-valued tempered distribution, denoted by $D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t}u$, and defined, for all $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$, by

$$\langle D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t}u, \varphi \rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)D^{\alpha}_{t,\infty}\varphi(t)\,dt.$$ 

If we want to highlight the duality taking place in the above bracket, we will write

$$\langle D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t}u, \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R};X),S(\mathbb{R})} \text{ or } \langle D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t}u, \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R};X)}$$

instead of $\langle D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t}u, \varphi \rangle$.

In this paper, we will need to compute $D^{\alpha}_{-\infty,t}u$ for bounded functions $u$. For such functions, the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} g_{1-\alpha}(t-y)u(y)\,dy$$

is, in general, not absolutely convergent. That point turns out to be a major drawback, and we will explain the reason in the sequel.

Works on fractional calculus with lower terminal $-\infty$ and not absolutely convergent integrals go back, at least to 1938 with the smart paper [9]. The difficulties when dealing with non-absolutely convergent integrals are illustrated by Theorem 5 in [9], which states, roughly speaking, that each $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{0}^{t} u(y)\,dy \right| < \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

satisfies, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the following (hard to prove) identity

$$g_{1-\alpha} * (g_{\alpha} * u)(t) = g_{1-\alpha} * (g_{\alpha} * u)(0) + \int_{0}^{t} u(y)\,dy.$$ 

That result is quite surprising since, extending $g_{\alpha}$ by 0 outside $(0, \infty)$, we have

$$g_{1-\alpha} * g_{\alpha}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0 \end{cases};$$

so that the convolution

$$(g_{1-\alpha} * g_{\alpha}) * u(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} u(y)\,dy$$

is not defined in general.

In this paper, we deal with bounded functions satisfying typically (2.4). However, Love’s approach (see also [2]) allowed us to obtain only partial results. That is, we must assume that $\alpha = 1/n$ for some positive integer $n$. 
3. Time-fractional equations in $\mathbb{R}$

We will use the framework of Sect. 2 with $X = \mathbb{C}$. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we consider this equation:

$$\begin{cases}
\text{Find } u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that} \\
D_{-\infty, t}^\alpha u = (ia)^\alpha u,
\end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Of course, in (3.1), $D_{-\infty, t}^\alpha u$ is understood in the sense of Definition 2.4.

For $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we denote by $\mathcal{F}\psi$ or $\hat{\psi}$ its Fourier transform. More precisely, for $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\hat{\varphi}(\sigma) = c_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\sigma t} \varphi(t) \, dt, \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi(t) = c_{\mathcal{F}^{-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\sigma t} \varphi(\sigma) \, d\sigma, \quad (3.2)$$

where $c_{\mathcal{F}}, c_{\mathcal{F}^{-1}}$ denote reader’s favorite constants of normalization, whose product equals $\frac{1}{2\pi}$.

**Remark 3.1.** Solving the fractional equation

$$D_{-\infty, t}^\alpha u = (ia)^\alpha u \quad (3.3)$$

is a touchy business.

First, it is known that the function $u_1 : t \mapsto \exp(iat)$ satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty g_{1-\alpha}(y)u_1(t-y) \, dy = (ia)^{\alpha-1}u_1(t).$$

Thus, $u_1$ solves (3.3) in some “classical sense”. However, the above integral is not absolutely convergent. Thus, it is not clear how to get uniqueness for (3.3) in a space containing $u_1$ (typically $C_b(\mathbb{R})$). This is the reason why we use time-fractional derivatives in the sense of distributions to solve (3.3).

But then, existence for (3.1) is tricky since we have not been able to show directly that $u_1$ solves (3.1). Indeed, Fubini’s theorem being not applicable, the path from

$$\int_\mathbb{R} e^{iat} D_{t, \infty}^\alpha \varphi(t) \, dt = \int_\mathbb{R} e^{iat} \int_t^\infty g_{1-\alpha}(y-t) \varphi'(y) \, dy$$

to

$$\int_\mathbb{R} \varphi'(y) \, dy \int_{-\infty}^y e^{iat} g_{1-\alpha}(y-t) \, dt$$

is not clear. We overcome this difficulty by using Fourier transforms.

The last difficulty is technical and concerns the use of Fourier transforms. More precisely, starting from (3.3), we obtain formally by Fourier transform,

$$(i\sigma)^\alpha \hat{\varphi} = (ia)^\alpha \hat{\varphi}.$$
However, if \( u = u_1 \), then
\[
(i \sigma)^{\alpha} \hat{u} = \frac{1}{c^{\alpha}} (i \sigma)^{\alpha} \delta_a,
\]
which has no meaning since the function \( \sigma \mapsto (i \sigma)^{\alpha} \), being not smooth at \( \sigma = 0 \), cannot multiply \( \delta_a \), the Dirac mass at the point \( a \).

In order to overcome this difficulty, we first determine the support of \( \hat{u} \), which turns out to be discrete, as expected, and then compute \( u \) by inverse Fourier transform.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( a \in \mathbb{R} \), \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \) and \( u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \). Then, \( u \) is a solution of (3.1) iff there exists \( k \in \mathbb{C} \) such that
\[
u(t) = k \exp(\text{i} a t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

The following lemma will be useful in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}) \). Then, for all \( \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \),
\[
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}_{-\infty, t}^\alpha \varphi)(\sigma) = (i \sigma)^{\alpha} \hat{\varphi}(\sigma) \quad (3.4)
\]
\[
\mathcal{D}_{\sigma, \infty}^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(\sigma) = -\mathcal{F}((i \sigma)^{\alpha} \varphi)(\sigma). \quad (3.5)
\]

**Proof.** For the proof of (3.4), we refer to [14, Section 7.1]. We establish (3.5) by a duality argument. Indeed, for all \( \varphi \) and \( \psi \) in \( S(\mathbb{R}) \), there holds, by Proposition 2.3,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma, \infty}^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(\sigma) \psi(\sigma) \, d\sigma = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\varphi}(\sigma) \mathcal{D}_{-\infty, \sigma}^\alpha \psi(\sigma) \, d\sigma
\]
\[
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\sigma) \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}_{-\infty, t}^\alpha \psi)(\sigma) \, d\sigma,
\]
by Plancherel’s formula. Thus with (3.4) and Plancherel’s formula once again, we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{D}_{\sigma, \infty}^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(\sigma) \psi(\sigma) \, d\sigma = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(\sigma) (i \sigma)^{\alpha} \hat{\psi}(\sigma) \, d\sigma
\]
\[
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}((i \sigma)^{\alpha} \varphi)(\sigma) \psi(\sigma) \, d\sigma.
\]
This proves (3.5). \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** (i) Let us show that the function \( u : t \mapsto e^{i a t} \) is solution to (3.1). For, we compute
\[
\langle \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}_{-\infty, t}^\alpha u), \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}), S(\mathbb{R})} = \langle \mathcal{D}_{-\infty, t}^\alpha u, \hat{\varphi} \rangle
\]
\[
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(\sigma) \mathcal{D}_{\sigma, \infty}^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(\sigma) \, d\sigma
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i a \sigma} \mathcal{F}((i \sigma)^{\alpha} \varphi)(\sigma) \, d\sigma,
\]
by (3.5). Besides, the Fourier transform of $t \mapsto (it)^\alpha \varphi(t)$ belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ by (3.5) and Proposition 2.4. Then, the latter integral is equal, by inverse Fourier transform [see (3.2)], to

$$\frac{1}{c_F^{-1}} (ia)^\alpha \varphi(a) = (ia)^\alpha \left( \frac{\delta_a}{c_F^{-1}}, \varphi \right) = (ia)^\alpha (\mathcal{F}(e^{iat}), \varphi) = (ia)^\alpha (\mathcal{F}u, \varphi).$$

Thus by invertibility of the Fourier transform in $S'(\mathbb{R})$, we deduce that $t \mapsto e^{iat}$ is solution to (3.1).

(ii) Conversely, let us show that each solution $u$ to (3.1) is proportional to some exponential function. Computing as above, we get, for each test function $\varphi$ in $S(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\langle \mathcal{F}(D_\alpha^\alpha u), \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}), S(\mathbb{R})} = \int_\mathbb{R} u(\sigma) \mathcal{F}((it)^\alpha \varphi)(\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

Thus, since $u$ solves (3.1),

$$0 = \int_\mathbb{R} u(\sigma) \mathcal{F}((it)^\alpha - (ia)^\alpha) \varphi(\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

Now, set

$$I_a := \begin{cases} 
\{0\} & \text{if } a = 0 \\
\{0, a\} & \text{if } a \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

Then, for each $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ with support, denoted by $\text{supp}\psi$, in $\mathbb{R} \setminus I_a$, we may find a function $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ so that

$$\psi(t) = ((it)^\alpha - (ia)^\alpha) \varphi(t), \quad \forall t \in \text{supp}\psi.$$

This results in

$$\langle \hat{u}, \psi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}), S(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

Thus, the support of the distribution $\hat{u}$ lies in $I_a$. By standard results in the theory of distributions, we infer that there exists some integer $n \geq 0$ and $c_k, d_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ($k = 0, \ldots, n$) such that

$$\hat{u} = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k \delta_{0}^{(k)} + d_k \delta_{a}^{(k)},$$

where $\delta_a$ denotes the Dirac mass at $a$. Hence, for other constants still labeled $c_k$ and $d_k$,

$$u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^k + d_k t^k e^{iat}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$
Since $u$ is bounded, $u(t) = c_0 + d_0 e^{iat}$. Finally, according to the existence part (i) of this proof, we have
\[ D_{-\infty,t}^\alpha (c_0 + d_0 e^{iat}) = d_0 (ia)^\alpha e^{iat} \quad \text{in} \quad S'(\mathbb{R}). \]
On the other hand, since $u$ solves (3.1), there holds
\[ D_{-\infty,t}^\alpha (c_0 + d_0 e^{iat}) = (ia)^\alpha (c_0 + d_0 e^{iat}). \]
Thus, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $u(t) = d_0 \exp(iat)$. \qed

4. Time-fractional Schrödinger equation

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $d \geq 1$ be an integer and $A : D(A) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with domain $D(A)$. For each $v \in D(A)$, we consider the following time-fractional Schrödinger equation
\[
\begin{cases}
\text{Find } u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, D(A)) \text{ such that} \\
D_{-\infty,t}^\alpha u = i^\alpha A u, \quad \text{in} \quad S'(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \\
u(0) = v.
\end{cases}
\tag{4.1}
\]
In (4.1), $C_b(\mathbb{R}, D(A))$ is the space of bounded and continuous functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with values in $D(A)$, endowed with the graph norm.

**Remark 4.1.** There are many ways to extend the free Schrödinger equation, i.e.,
\[
\frac{d}{dt} u = -i \Delta u, \tag{4.2}
\]
into a time-fractional equation (see, for instance, [8,10,11]). First, we may consider
\[
D_{0,t}^\alpha u = -i^\alpha \Delta u.
\]
However, as pointed out in [5], that equation has regularizing effect and dissipative properties, in a sharp contrast to (4.2). In order to recover a hyperbolic behavior, it is better to extend (4.2) by
\[
D_{0,t}^\alpha u = -i^\alpha \Delta u. \tag{4.3}
\]
Indeed, according to [5, Theorem 4.4 & Example 4.6], this equation has no regularizing effect and possesses an asymptotic conservation law. The drawback of (4.3) regarding the dynamics is that it does not generate a semigroup. However, (4.1) does, as we will show in the sequel.

**Remark 4.2.** The equation of (4.1) holds equivalently in $C_b(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. In any case, $D_{-\infty,t}^\alpha u$ is understood in the sense of Definition 2.4.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $A : D(A) \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\psi \in D(A)$. Then, (4.1) has a unique solution $u$. Moreover, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$u(t) = e^{itA^{1/\alpha}} \psi, \quad \text{in } D(A). \quad (4.4)$$

Before we prove this theorem, let us make precise the meaning of (4.4). According to the spectral theorem in multiplication form (see [12, Theorem VIII.4] or [6, Theorem 10.10]), there exist a measure space $(X, \mu)$ with finite measure $\mu$, a unitary map $\mathcal{U} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L^2(X, \mu)$ and a measurable real-valued function $h$ on $X$ which is finite $\mu$-a.e., such that

$$\mathcal{U}(D(A)) = \{ \psi \in L^2(X, \mu) \mid h\psi \in L^2(X, \mu) \} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}AU^{-1}(\psi) = h\psi, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{U}(D(A)). \quad (4.6)$$

Under the assumption of Theorem 4.1, we claim that

$$h \geq 0 \quad \mu\text{-a.e. on } X. \quad (4.7)$$

Indeed, let us denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(X, \mu)}$ the standard inner product of $L^2(X, \mu)$. We put, for each positive integer $n$,

$$X_n := \{ \xi \in X \mid -n < h(\xi) < 0 \},$$

and denote by $1_{X_n}$ the indicator function of $X_n$ (i.e., $1_{X_n} = 1$ on $X_n$ and 0 elsewhere). Then, $h1_{X_n}$ lies in $L^2(X, \mu)$ since $\mu$ is finite. Hence,

$$(h1_{X_n}, 1_{X_n})_{L^2(X, \mu)} = (\mathcal{U}AU^{-1}(1_{X_n}), 1_{X_n})_{L^2(X, \mu)} \quad \text{(by (4.6))}$$

$$= (AU^{-1}(1_{X_n}), U^{-1}(1_{X_n}))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{(since $\mathcal{U}$ is unitary)}$$

$$\geq 0 \quad \text{(since $A$ is non negative)}.$$ 

Thus, $\mu(X_n) = 0$; since $h$ is finite $\mu$-a.e., we obtain (4.7).

We are now in a position to define the operator $e^{itA^{1/\alpha}}$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, denote by $f_t$, the function

$$f_t : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto e^{itx^{1/\alpha}}.$$ 

Then $e^{itA^{1/\alpha}}$ is defined through bounded functional calculus for self-adjoint operators (see [12, Theorem VIII.5] or [7, Corollary 4.43]), by

$$e^{itA^{1/\alpha}} := f_t(A) := U^{-1} M_{f_t \circ h} \mathcal{U}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $M_{f_t \circ h} : L^2(X, \mu) \to L^2(X, \mu)$ is the multiplication operator defined by

$$M_{f_t \circ h} \psi = f_t(h)\psi, \quad \forall \psi \in L^2(X, \mu).$$
Proof of Theorem 4.1. (i) Uniqueness of the solution $u$. By linearity, it is enough to show that $v = 0$ in (4.1) implies $u = 0$. For each $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$, one has
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{U}(D_{-\infty, i}^{\alpha} u, \varphi)_{S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &= -\mathcal{U}(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t) D_{i, \infty}^{\alpha} \varphi(t) \, dt) \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{U}(u(t)) D_{i, \infty}^{\alpha} \varphi(t) \, dt \\
&= \langle D_{-\infty, i}^{\alpha} \hat{u}, \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))},
\end{align*}
according to Definition 2.4 and with the notation $\mathcal{U}$.

On the other hand,
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{U}(i^{\alpha} A u, \varphi)_{S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} &= \mathcal{U}(\int_{\mathbb{R}} i^{\alpha} A u(t) \varphi(t) \, dt) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} i^{\alpha} h \hat{u}(t) \varphi(t) \, dt \\
&= \langle i^{\alpha} h \hat{u}, \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}.
\end{align*}

Since $u$ solves (4.1), we get
\[ D_{-\infty, i}^{\alpha} \hat{u} = i^{\alpha} h \hat{u}, \quad \text{in } S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)). \]

In particular, for $\mu$-a.e. $\xi \in X$, there holds $h(\xi) \geq 0$ and
\[ D_{-\infty, i}^{\alpha} \hat{u}(\cdot, \xi) = i^{\alpha} h(\xi) \hat{u}(\cdot, \xi), \quad \text{in } S'(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.9) \]

Besides, $\hat{u}(0, \xi) = \mathcal{U}(u(0)) (\xi) = 0$ for $\mu$-a.e. $\xi \in X$. Thus, Theorem 3.1 leads to $\hat{u}(\cdot, \xi) = 0$, so that $u = 0$. That completes the uniqueness part of the proof.

(ii) Existence. Let us show that the function
\[ u : t \mapsto e^{it A^{1/\alpha}} v \]
solves (4.1). For, let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. With the notation $\hat{w} := \mathcal{U}(w)$ for $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_d)$, we get in view of (4.8)
\[ \hat{u}(t) = f_t(h) \hat{v}. \quad (4.10) \]

Since $f_t$ is bounded $\mu$-a.e. on $X$, we deduce that $\hat{u}$ belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Then, thanks to Definition 2.4, we infer that
\[ \langle D_{-\infty, i}^{\alpha} \hat{u}, \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{u}(t) D_{i, \infty}^{\alpha} \varphi(t) \, dt, \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mu). \]

Thus for $\mu$-a.e. $\xi$ in $X$,
\begin{align*}
\langle D_{-\infty, i}^{\alpha} \hat{u}, \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))}(\xi) &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_t(h(\xi)) \hat{u}(\xi) D_{i, \infty}^{\alpha} \varphi(t) \, dt \\
&= \langle D_{-\infty, i}^{\alpha} \{ f_t(h(\xi)) \hat{u}(\xi) \}, \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R})} \\
&= \langle i^{\alpha} h(\xi) \hat{u}(\cdot, \xi), \varphi \rangle_{S'(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{(by Th. 3.1)}.\]


Hence, we have proved that, for $\mu$-a.e. $\xi$ in $X$,
\[ D^\alpha_{-\infty}, \hat{u}(\cdot, \xi) = i^\alpha h(\xi) \hat{u}(\cdot, \xi), \quad \text{in } S'(\mathbb{R}). \quad (4.11) \]

Moreover, by (4.10),
\[ \|h\hat{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(X, \mu)}^2 = \int_X h^2|\hat{v}|^2 \, d\mu < \infty, \]
since $\hat{v}$ lies in $\mathcal{U}(D(A))$— see (4.5). Hence, $t \mapsto h\hat{u}(t)$ belongs to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}, L^2(X, \mu))$, so that, with (4.11), we derive that
\[ D^\alpha_{-\infty}, \hat{u} = i^\alpha h\hat{u}, \quad \text{in } S'(\mathbb{R}, L^2(X, \mu)). \quad (4.12) \]

Let us go back in the direct space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and write down the expected equation for $u$. In view of (4.6), we have
\[ h\hat{u}(t) = UAu(t), \quad \text{in } L^2(X, \mu). \]

Also (see above, the uniqueness part of the proof)
\[ D^\alpha_{-\infty}, \hat{u} = U(D^\alpha_{-\infty}, u), \quad \text{in } S'(\mathbb{R}, L^2(X, \mu)). \]

Thus, with (4.12)
\[ D^\alpha_{-\infty}, u = i^\alpha Au, \quad \text{in } S'(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))). \]

Finally, $\hat{u}(0) = \hat{v}$; thus, $u(0) = v$. Hence, $u$ is a solution to (4.1), which completes the proof of the theorem. \qed

Theorem 4.1 allows us to define the solution operator associated with (4.1). Indeed, under the assumptions of that theorem, for each $t$ in $\mathbb{R}$, we put
\[ S_\alpha(t) := e^{itA^{1/\alpha}}. \quad (4.13) \]

Then, for each $v$ in $D(A)$, $t \mapsto S_\alpha(t)v$ is the solution to (4.1), according to Theorem 4.1. Thus, by definition, $S_\alpha$ is the solution operator associated with (4.1).

With these notations, we may give some properties of $S_\alpha$.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $A$ be a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, $S_\alpha$ defined by (4.13) is a strongly continuous unitary group on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, its infinitesimal generator is $iA^{1/\alpha}$.

Above, $A^{1/\alpha}$ is defined through unbounded functional calculus. More precisely, denoting by $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ the space of linear and continuous maps from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into itself, Theorem 10.4 in [6] states that there exists a unique projection-valued measure $\mu^A$ on $[0, \infty)$ with values in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ such that
\[ \int_0^\infty \lambda \, d\mu^A = A. \]
Then,

\[ A^{1/\alpha} := \int_0^\infty \lambda^{1/\alpha} \, d\mu^A. \]

The domain of \( A^{1/\alpha} \) is

\[ D(A^{1/\alpha}) := \{ v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \mid \int_0^\infty \lambda^{2/\alpha} \, d\mu_v^A(\lambda) < \infty \}, \tag{4.14} \]

where \( \mu_v^A \) is the Borel measure on \([0, \infty)\) defined for each Borel set \( E \subseteq [0, \infty) \) by

\[ \mu_v^A(E) = (v, \mu^A(E)v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \tag{4.15} \]

We recall that \( \mu^A(E) \) is an orthogonal projection of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \).

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Recalling that \( f_t(x) := e^{it \lambda^{1/\alpha}} \), it is clear from (4.8) that \( S_{\alpha}(t) \) is bounded on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Also, \( S_{\alpha}(0) \) is equal to \( Id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \), the identity operator of \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Moreover, for each real numbers \( t, s \), the properties of the functional calculus featured in [12, Theorem VIII.5] lead to

\[ S_{\alpha}(t)S_{\alpha}(s) = f_t(A)f_s(A) = f_{t+s}(A) = S_{\alpha}(t+s). \]

Let us show that \( S_{\alpha}(t) \) is unitary. For, again by functional calculus, we have

\[ S_{\alpha}(t)S_{\alpha}(t)^* = f_t(A)f_t^*(A) = |f_t|^2(A) = Id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \]

since \( |f_t(x)| = 1 \) for \( x \geq 0 \). In the same way, there holds \( S_{\alpha}(t)^*S_{\alpha}(t) = Id_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \), so that \( S_{\alpha}(t) \) is unitary.

Furthermore, by (4.6) and (4.8), for each \( v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[ \| S_{\alpha}(t)v - v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \| M_{f_t \circ h} \hat{v} - \hat{v} \|_{L^2(X, \mu)}^2 = \int_X |e^{it \lambda^{1/\alpha}} - 1|^2 |\hat{v}(\xi)|^2 \, d\mu(\xi) \xrightarrow{t \to 0} 0, \]

by the Lebesgue-dominated convergence theorem. Hence, \( S_{\alpha} \) is strongly continuous.

Finally, let us compute its infinitesimal generator. According to [12, Theorem VIII.6], there holds

\[ S_{\alpha}(t) = \int_0^\infty f_t(\lambda) \, d\mu^A. \]

Hence, for each \( v \in D(A^{1/\alpha}) \), Theorem 5.9 in [13, Chap 5] leads to

\[ \| \frac{S_{\alpha}(t)v - v}{t} - iA^{1/\alpha}v \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{e^{it \lambda^{1/\alpha}} - 1}{t} - i\lambda^{1/\alpha} \right|^2 \, d\mu^A(\lambda). \]

Using the fact that \( \lambda \mapsto \lambda^{2/\alpha} \) lies in \( L^1(0, \infty; \mu_v^A) \) (by (4.14)), we obtain with the dominated convergence theorem, that the latter integral goes to zero as \( t \to 0 \). That is to say \( iA^{1/\alpha} \) is the infinitesimal generator of \( S_{\alpha} \). \( \square \)
The next result states that the time-fractional problem (4.1) may be reduced to a
time first-order PDE. Notice that the latter PDE is obtained formally by raising the
differential operators involved in (4.1) to the power \(1/\alpha\).

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\), \(A\) be a nonnegative self-adjoint operator on \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\) and \(v \in D(A^{1/\alpha})\). Then, (4.1) is equivalent to the following problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
\text{Find } u & \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C(\mathbb{R}, D(A^{1/\alpha})) \text{ such that} \\
\frac{d}{dt} u & = iA^{1/\alpha} u, \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \\
u(0) & = v.
\end{aligned}
\]

(4.16)

**Proof.** If \(u\) solves (4.1), then \(u(t) = \exp(itA^{1/\alpha})v\) by Theorem 4.1. Then, \(u\) is a solution to (4.16) according to Theorem 4.2. Moreover, the functional calculus tells us that \(A^{1/\alpha}\) is self-adjoint on \(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\). Thus (see, for instance, [13, Proposition 6.5]), (4.16) has a unique solution. Consequently, (4.1) and (4.16) are equivalent. \(\square\)

Finally, as an example, let us consider the case

\[
A := -\Delta + V,
\]

where \(V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\) is nonnegative a.e. on \(\mathbb{R}^d\), and \(D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\). Then, for \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\) and \(v\) in \(H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\), the time-fractional Schrödinger problem

\[
D_{-\infty,t}^\alpha u = i^\alpha (-\Delta + V)u, \quad u(0) = v,
\]

has a unique solution \(u\), according to Theorem 4.1. Moreover,

\[
u(t) = S_\alpha(t)v = e^{it(-\Delta + V)^{1/\alpha}v}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

(4.17)

The requirements given in the introduction are satisfied, namely (i) the \(L^2\)-norm of \(u(t)\) is conserved, i.e.,

\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},
\]

and (ii) \(S_\alpha\) is a group, i.e.,

\[
S_\alpha(t)S_\alpha(s) = S_\alpha(t+s), \quad \forall t, s \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Also, if \(v\) belongs to the domain of \((-\Delta + V)^{1/\alpha}\), then Corollary 4.3 yields that \(u\) given by (4.17) is the unique solution to

\[
\frac{d}{dt} u = i(-\Delta + V)^{1/\alpha}u, \quad u(0) = v.
\]
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