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Abstract

We study the stability properties of a susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) diffusion model, so-called the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model, over arbitrary directed network topologies. As in the majority of the work on infection spread dynamics, this model exhibits a threshold phenomenon. When the curing rates in the network are high, the all-healthy state is the unique equilibrium over the network. Otherwise, an endemic equilibrium state emerges, where some infection remains within the network. Using notions from positive systems theory, we provide conditions for the global asymptotic stability of the equilibrium points in both cases over strongly connected networks based on the value of the basic reproduction number, a fundamental quantity in the study of epidemics. When the network topology is weakly connected, we provide conditions for the existence, uniqueness, and global asymptotic stability of an endemic state, and we study the stability of the all-healthy state. Finally, we demonstrate that the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model can be viewed as a best-response dynamical system of a concave game among the nodes. This characterization allows us to cast new infection spread dynamics; additionally, we provide a sufficient condition for the global convergence to the all-healthy state, which can be checked in a distributed fashion. Several simulations demonstrate our results.
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1 Introduction

Epidemiological models for disease spread among humans constitute important classes of spread dynamics, as they can potentially provide models for many engineering related phenomena such as the spread of viruses in computer networks [9,10,12,25]. There is a vast literature on various aspects of epidemiological models and the study of infection propagation over networks; we refer the reader particularly to [12,18,26] and the references therein. Characterization of the stability properties of such diffusion dynamics is a crucial first step towards designing efficient algorithms for controlling their evolutions. Most dynamical epidemiological models, including the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model [24,25] studied here, can possess two equilibrium points, under certain conditions: an all-healthy state at which the network is cured, and an endemic state at which the infection persists in the network [5,16,22]. A threshold called the basic reproduction number, whose value depends on the curing and infection rates across the network as well as the network topology, determines to which equilibrium point the state of the network will converge [5].

For the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model, the basic reproduction number, introduced as a critical threshold in [24,25], characterizes this threshold phenomenon. In particular, when the basic reproduction number is less than or equal to 1, the unique equilibrium is the all-healthy state; otherwise, the endemic state emerges. Our aim in this paper is to fully characterize the stability properties of this model over networks with directed topologies.

Literature review

A sufficient condition for the stability of the all-healthy state over strongly connected digraphs has been established in [19]. For compartmental susceptible-infected-susceptible (SIS) models, a necessary and sufficient condition for the global asymptotic stability of this equilibrium was presented in [6] using a linear Lyapunov function. For the same model, the global asymptotic stability of the endemic state over strongly connected directed graphs has been studied in [1,6,22]—see [22] for a summary of other approaches to establish...
this result. The results in [1,6] rely on the assumption that the state of the model will evolve in the strictly positive quadrant when the state of the network is initialized away from the origin. The result in [22] was established using a non-quadratic Lyapunov function. In contrast, in this paper, using the theory of positive systems, we establish the global asymptotic stability of the endemic state using a quadratic Lyapunov function. This allows us to provide novel results for the stability properties of epidemic dynamics over weakly connected topologies; in all the aforementioned results, the underlying graphs were assumed to be strongly connected (or connected when the graph is undirected). Nonetheless, weakly connected directed graphs are common in practice, and characterizing the equilibrium points as well as their stability properties over these graphs present new challenges in studying epidemiological networks.

Statement of Contributions

The main contributions of this paper are as follows. First, using tools from the theory of positive systems, we fully characterize the stability properties of the all-healthy and endemic state equilibrium points of the $n$-interwined Markov model over strongly connected digraphs. In particular, we show that the all-healthy state is globally asymptotically stable (GAS) if and only if the basic reproduction number is less than or equal to 1. When the basic reproduction number is greater than 1, we show that the endemic state is locally exponentially stable, and when the network is not initialized at the all-healthy state, we show that the endemic state is GAS. Unlike [1,6], the proof we present here does not make any assumption on the evolution of the state, and unlike [22], the stability properties are established using a quadratic Lyapunov function. Using this key construction, our next contribution is to study the existence, uniqueness, and stability properties of the all-healthy and endemic states over weakly connected digraphs. By studying the input-to-state stability of the network, we provide conditions for a GAS endemic state to emerge over weakly connected digraphs. Unlike endemic states over strongly connected digraphs, we show that at the endemic states emerging over weakly connected graphs a subset of the nodes could be healthy while the rest become infected.

Finally, we provide a game-theoretic framework that can prescribe more general classes of infection dynamics. Using this model, we show that the $n$-interwined Markov model prescribes the best-response dynamics of a concave game. This allows us to provide a new condition for the stability of the all-healthy state, which can be checked in a distributed way by the nodes.

Organization

Section 2 establishes some mathematical preliminaries required in this paper. In Section 3, we recall the $n$-interwined Markov model, and discuss a connection with a game-theoretic formulation. Sections 4 and 5 contain our results on the stability of the $n$-interwined Markov model over, respectively, strongly and weakly connected digraphs. Numerical studies are provided in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 collects our conclusions and ideas for future work. An Appendix contains technical results that are used in proving some of our main results.

2 Mathematical Preliminaries

We start with some terminology and notational conventions. All the matrices and vectors in this paper are real valued. For a set of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ elements, we use the combinatorial notation $[n]$ to denote $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The $(i, j)$-th entry of a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ is denoted by $x_{ij}$. For two real vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, we write $x \succ y$ if $x_i > y_i$ for all $i \in [n]$, $x \succ y$ if $x_i \geq y_i$ for all $i \in [n]$ but $x \neq y$, and $x \succeq y$ if $x_i \geq y_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. We say a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is strictly positive if $x \succ 0$. For any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $x_{\min} := \min_{i \in [n]} x_i$ and $x_{\max} := \max_{i \in [n]} x_i$. The absolute value of a scalar variable is denoted by $|.|$. We also denote the cardinality of a finite set by $|.|$, and the purpose this operator is being used for will be clear from the context. The set of eigenvalues of a matrix $X$ is denoted by $\sigma(X)$. The spectral radius of a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by $\rho(X) = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(X)} |\lambda|$, and its abscissa is given by $\mu(X) = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(X)} \Re(\lambda)$. When the eigenvalues of a matrix $X$ are real, we denote the largest eigenvalue by $\lambda_1(X)$ and the smallest eigenvalue by $\lambda_n(X)$. The Euclidean norm of a vector is denoted by $\|.|\|_2$. The induced 2-norm of a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by

$$\|X\|_2 = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|Xy\|_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_1(X^TX)}.$$  

We use the operator $\text{diag}(.)$ for two purposes. When applied to a square matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\text{diag}(X)$ returns a column vector that contains the diagonal entries of $X$. For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $X = \text{diag}(x)$, or $X = \text{diag}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, is a diagonal matrix with $X_{ii} = x_i, i \in [n]$. When a diagonal matrix has positive diagonal entries, we call it a positive diagonal matrix. The identity matrix is denoted by $I$, and the all-ones vector is denoted by $1$. We assume both $I$ and $1$ have the appropriate dimensions whenever used.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuously differentiable function that defines a dynamical system $\dot{x} = f(x)$, and let $\overline{\sigma}$ be an equilibrium point of this system, i.e., $f(\overline{\sigma}) = 0$. The Jacobian matrix of $f$, $J(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, is given by $J(x) = \frac{df}{dx}(x)$. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a compact domain where the trajectories of the dynamical system $\dot{x} = f(x)$ lie. A continuously differentiable function $V : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Lyapunov function if, $V(\overline{\sigma}) = 0$ and $V(x) > 0$ for all $x \in D \setminus \{\overline{\sigma}\}$. The Lie derivative of $V$ along $f$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_fV(x) := \frac{d}{dx}V(x)^Tf(x).$$

Matrix Theory

We call two matrices $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ similar if there exists a nonsingular matrix $T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $Y = T^{-1}XT$. An
important property of similar matrices is that they share the same set of eigenvalues [11]. Some of our results rely on properties of Metzler and irreducible matrices. A real square matrix $X$ is called Metzler if its off-diagonal entries are nonnegative. We say that a matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is reducible if there exists a permutation matrix $T$ such that

$$T^{-1}XT = \begin{bmatrix} Y & Z \\ 0 & W \end{bmatrix},$$

where $Y$ and $W$ are square matrices, or if $n = 1$ and $X = 0 [3]$. A real square matrix is called irreducible if it is not reducible. A survey on Metzler matrices and their stability properties can be found in [3,4,7]. Hurwitz Metzler matrices have the following equivalent characterizations.

**Proposition 1 ([20])** For a Metzler matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the following statements are equivalent:

(i) The matrix $X$ is Hurwitz.
(ii) There exists a vector $\xi \succ 0$ such that $X\xi \preccurlyeq 0$.
(iii) There exists a vector $\nu \succ 0$ such that $\nu^T X \preccurlyeq 0$.
(iv) There exists a positive diagonal matrix $Q$ such that

$$X^T Q + Q X = -K,$$

where $K$ is a positive definite matrix.

The last characterization is often referred to as diagonal stability [3,17].

The Perron-Frobenius (PF) theorem is a fundamental result in spectral graph theory that characterizes some of the properties of the spectra of Metzler and nonnegative matrices, i.e., matrices whose entries are all nonnegative. We first state the PF theorem for irreducible Metzler matrices [7, Theorem 17].

**Theorem 1 (PF – Irreducible Metzler Case)** Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an irreducible Metzler matrix. Then

(i) $\mu(X)$ is an algebraically simple eigenvalue of $X$.
(ii) Let $v_F$ be such that $X v_F = \mu(X) v_F$. Then $v_F$ is unique (up to scalar multiple) and $v_F \succ 0$.
(iii) If $v \succ 0$ is an eigenvector of $X$, then $Xv = \mu(X)v$, and, hence, $v$ is a scalar multiple of $v_F$.

For irreducible nonnegative matrices, the following version of the PF theorem applies [11, Theorem 8.2.11].

**Theorem 2 (PF – Irreducible Nonnegative Case)** Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an irreducible nonnegative matrix. Then

(i) $\rho(X) > 0$.
(ii) $\rho(X)$ is an algebraically simple eigenvalue of $X$.
(iii) If $Xv = \rho(X)v$, then $v \succ 0$.

**Graph Theory**

A directed graph, or digraph, is a pair $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of nodes and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$ is the set of edges. Given $G$, we denote an edge from node $i \in \mathcal{V}$ to node $j \in \mathcal{V}$ by $(i,j)$. We say node $i \in \mathcal{V}$ is a neighbor of node $j \in \mathcal{V}$ if and only if $(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}$. When $(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $(j,i) \in \mathcal{E}$, we call the graph undirected. For a graph with $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ nodes, we associate an adjacency matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with entries $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, where $a_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $(i,j) \notin \mathcal{E}$. For undirected graphs, the adjacency matrix is symmetric, i.e., $A = A^T$.

In a digraph, a directed path is a collection of nodes $\{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, such that $(i_{k-1},i_k) \in \mathcal{E}$ for all $k \in [1, k]$. A digraph is strongly connected if there exists a directed path between any two nodes in $\mathcal{V}$. A strongly connected component (SCC) of a graph is a subgraph which itself is strongly connected. A path in an undirected graph is defined in a similar manner. We call an undirected graph connected if it contains a path between any two nodes in $\mathcal{V}$. A digraph is called weakly connected if when every edge in $\mathcal{E}$ is viewed as an undirected edge, the resulting graph is a connected undirected graph. We call a graph, whether it is directed or undirected, disconnected if it contains at least two isolated subgraphs. Throughout this paper, when the graph $G$ is directed, we assume that it is either strongly or weakly connected. When $G$ is undirected, we assume that it is connected.

A directed acyclic graph (DAG) is a digraph with no directed cycles. A node $i \in \mathcal{V}$ is called a source node if $\sum_{j \neq i} 1\{a_{ji} > 0\} = 0$, and it is called a sink node if $\sum_{j \neq i} 1\{a_{ij} > 0\} = 0$, where $1\{a_{ij} > 0\} = 1$ if and only if $a_{ij} > 0$, and is zero otherwise. A DAG can have multiple sources and multiple sinks. For a given graph $G$, let $\mathcal{S}_{\text{source}}$ denote the set of source nodes, and let $\mathcal{S}_{\text{source}}$ be the set of all nodes $i$ in $G$ such that $a_{ji} \neq 0$ for some $j \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{source}}$.

### 3 The n-Intertwined Markov Model

In this section, we recall the heterogeneous $n$-intertwined Markov model that has recently been proposed [24,25]. This model is related to the so-called multi-group SIS model that was proposed earlier in [16]; see also [6,22]. We prescribe the infection model over a directed graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ with $n$ nodes, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of nodes, and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of edges. Each node in the network has two states: infected or cured. The curing and infection of a given node $i \in \mathcal{V}$ are described by two independent Poisson processes with rates $\delta_i$ and $\beta_i$, respectively. Throughout the paper, we assume that $\delta_i > 0$ and $\beta_i > 0$. The transition rates between the healthy and infected states of a given node’s Markov chain depend on its curing rate as well as the infection probabilities among its neighbors. A mean-field approximation is introduced to “average” the effect of infection probabilities of the neighbors on the infection probability of a given node. This approximation yields a dynamical system that describes the evolution of the probability of infection of node $i \in \mathcal{V}$, and is central to our upcoming developments. We briefly review this dynamical system next.

Let $p_i(t) \in [0,1]$ be the infection probability of node $i \in \mathcal{V}$ at time $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, and let $p(t) = \{p_1(t), \ldots, p_n(t)\}^T$. Also, let $D = \text{diag}(\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_n)$, $P(t) = \text{diag}(p(t))$, and $B = \text{diag}(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$. The $n$-intertwined Markov model is
prescribed by the mapping \( \Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \), where
\[
p(t) = \Phi(p(t)) := (A^T B - D)p(t) - P(t)A^T B p(t).
\]
(2)
It can be shown that when \( p(0) \in [0, 1]^n \), \( p(t) \in [0, 1]^n \), for all \( t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) [25]. Hereinafter, for most parts, we will drop the time index for notational simplicity.

3.1 Equilibrium States of the \( n \)-Intertwined Markov Model

We next focus on characterizing the set of equilibria of the dynamical system (2). We give this characterization using the so-called basic reproduction number, denoted by \( R_0 \), which is defined as the expected number of infected nodes produced in a completely susceptible population due to the infection of a neighboring node [5]. For the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model, the basic reproduction number was found in [24], where it was called the “critical threshold”, to be equal to
\[
R_0 = \rho(D^{-1}A^T B).
\]
For connected undirected graphs, it is shown in [24] that the all-equilibrium is the unique equilibrium for the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model when \( R_0 \leq 1 \). When \( R_0 \geq 1 \), in addition to the all-equilibrium, an endemic equilibrium, denoted by \( p^* \), emerges. In fact, it is shown that \( p^* \gg 0 \). We call a strictly positive endemic state strong. When \( p^* \gg 0 \), we call it a weak endemic state. A recursive expression for the endemic state \( p^* \) is provided in [24], which is shown to depend on the problem parameters only: \( A, \delta_i, \beta_i, i \in V \). To arrive at this expression, consider the steady-state equation
\[
0 = (A^T B - D)p - P A^T B p.
\]
(3)
Define \( \xi_i := \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ji} \beta_j p_j \) and \( \xi^*_i := \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ji} \beta_j p^*_j \), \( i \in V \). We can then write \( p^*_i \) as
\[
p^*_i = \frac{\xi^*_i}{\delta_i + \xi^*_i} = 1 - \frac{\delta_i}{\delta_i + \xi^*_i}, \quad i \in V.
\]
(4)
Since we assumed that \( \delta_i > 0 \), we conclude that \( p^*_i < 1 \), for all \( i \in V \). We can then re-write (3), evaluated at \( p^* \), in the following form:
\[
A^T B p^* = (I - P^*)^{-1} D p^*,
\]
(5)
where \( P^* = \text{diag}(p^*) \).

3.2 The \( n \)-Intertwined Markov Model as a Concave Game

In this subsection, we demonstrate that the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model can be cast as the best response dynamical system associated with a noncooperative game. An important by-product of this study is the development of a larger class of infection dynamics with reasonable convergence properties. Further, our exposition provides a decision-based interpretation to virus spread models, which are often based on the theory of Markov chains. Although our focus here is the study of virus spread, our model can be applied to other diffusion phenomena such as the spread of spam in computer networks.

To this end, consider a digraph \( \mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}) \) with \( n \) nodes, and let \( 0 \leq x_i \leq 1 \) be the rate with which node \( i \) sends messages. We associate an objective function, denoted by \( f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \), to node \( i \) that is comprised of a local utility function \( U_i : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \), and a component that encapsulates the influence of the neighboring nodes. The influence of node \( j \) on node \( i \) is described via the function \( \tilde{g}_{ji} : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \), where \( \tilde{g}_{ji} = 0 \) if and only if \((j, i) \notin \mathcal{E} \). We can then write the objective function of node \( i \) as
\[
f_i(x_i, x_{-i}) = U_i(x_i) + \sum_{j \neq i} \tilde{g}_{ji}(x_i, x_j).
\]
(6)
Each node is interested in maximizing its own objective function \( f_i \). Formally, we can write the problem of the \( i \)-th agent as
\[
\max_{0 \leq x_i \leq 1} f_i(x_i, x_{-i}), \quad \text{for each fixed } x_{-i}.
\]
(7)
When \( f_i \) is concave in \( x_i \), and because the objective function of each player depends also on the actions of other players, problem (7) describes a concave game [2, 21].

The solution concept we are interested in studying here is the pure-strategy Nash equilibrium (PSNE).

Definition 1 ([2]) The vector \( x^* \in [0, 1]^n \) constitutes a PSNE if, for all \( i \in V \), the inequality
\[
f_i(x_i^*, x_{-i}^*) \geq f_i(x_i, x_{-i}^*)
\]
is satisfied for all \( x_i \in [0, 1] \).

Note that under the PSNE, no agent has any incentive to unilaterally deviate from the solution \( x^* \). The next proposition establishes the existence and uniqueness of the PSNE for the game in (7), when the game is concave.

Proposition 2 ([21]) For each \( i \in V \), let \( f_i(x_i, x_{-i}) \) in (6) be strictly concave in \( x_i \in [0, 1] \), for every \( x_{-i} \in [0, 1] \), \( j \in V, j \neq i \). Then the resulting concave game in (7) admits a unique PSNE under the following diagonal dominance condition:
\[
2 \left| \frac{\partial^2 U_i(x_i)}{\partial x_i^2} \right| > \sum_{j \neq i} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \tilde{g}_{ji}(x_i, x_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \tilde{g}_{ji}(x_i, x_j) \right|.
\]
(8)
The following lemma establishes a relationship between virus spread in networks and concave games. In the virus spread case, the probability of infection \( p_i \) plays the role of the transmission rate \( x_i \).

Lemma 1 The dynamics of the \( n \)-intertwined Markov model are best-response dynamics of a concave game among the
nodes, where the decision variable of node $i \in V$ is $p_i \in [0, 1]$, and its objective function is given by

$$f_i(p_i, p_{-i}) = -\frac{\delta_i}{2} p_i^2 + p_i \left( 1 - \frac{p_i}{2} \right) \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ji} \beta_j p_j. \quad (9)$$

**PROOF.** Recall the objective functions defined in (6). Let $U_i(p_i) = -\frac{1}{2} p_i^2$ and $\delta_{ji}(p_i, p_j) = p_i \left( 1 - \frac{p_i}{2} \right) a_{ji} \beta_j p_j$, $i \in V$. We then obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_i^2} f_i(p_i, p_{-i}) = -\delta_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ji} \beta_j p_j < 0, \quad i \in V,$$

which shows that the $f_i$’s are strictly concave in self variables. It is now not hard to see that the dynamics of the $n$-intertwined Markov model (2) correspond to the gradient flow dynamics when the agents aim at maximizing their own objective functions (9). \qed

## 4 Stability of Epidemic Dynamics over Strongly Connected Graphs

We start by studying the stability properties of the $n$-intertwined model over directed graphs with strongly connected topologies.

### 4.1 Stability of the All-Healthy State

As a stepping stone, we first provide an alternative proof for the necessary and sufficient condition for the global asymptotic stability of the all-healthy state, see [6, 19], using the theory of positive systems. As we will see shortly, the proof strategy provided here is essential in some of our upcoming results.

**Proposition 3** Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is a strongly connected digraph. The origin is GAS if and only if $R_o \leq 1$.

**PROOF.** Note that the matrix $A^T B - D$ is Metzler, because the entries of $A^T B$ are nonnegative. Using the convergent regular splitting property of Metzler matrices, it can be shown that $R_o < 1$ if and only if $\mu(A^T B - D) < 0$, and $R_o = 1$ if and only if $\mu(A^T B - D) = 0$ [3, Theorem 2.3].

As a result, when $R_o < 1$, the matrix $A^T B - D$ is Hurwitz. Since it is also Metzler, by Proposition 1(iv), there exists a positive diagonal matrix $R_1$ satisfying $(A^T B - D)^T R_1 + R_1 (A^T B - D) = -K$, where $K$ is a positive definite matrix. Consider the Lyapunov function $V_1(p) = p^T R_1 p$. Using (2), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_p V_1(p) = p^T ((A^T B - D)^T R_1 + R_1 (A^T B - D)) p - 2p^T R_1 P A^T B p \leq -p^T K p \leq \lambda_1(-K)\|p\|^2_2 < 0, \quad p \neq 0, \quad (10)$$

where the first inequality follows because $p^T R_1 P A^T B p \geq 0$, for all $p \in [0, 1]^n$, and (10) follows because $K$ is positive definite. This implies that the all-healthy state is GAS.

When $R_o = 1$, we have $\mu(A^T B - D) = 0$. Since $G$ is strongly connected, it follows that $A^T B - D$ is irreducible [3]. Recalling that $A^T B - D$ is also Metzler, we conclude from Lemma A.1 that there exists a positive diagonal matrix $R_2$ such that $(A^T B - D)^T R_2 + R_2 (A^T B - D)$ is negative semidefinite. Using the Lyapunov function $V_2(p) = p^T R_2 p$, we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_p V_2(p) = p^T ((A^T B - D)^T R_2 + R_2 (A^T B - D)) p \leq -2p^T R_2 P A^T B p,$$

where we next prove that $p^T R_2 P A^T B p = 0$ if and only if $p = 0$. Since $R_2$ is a positive diagonal matrix, we have that $p^T R_2 P A^T B p = 0$ if and only if

$$p_i \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ji} \beta_j p_j = 0, \quad (11)$$

for all $i \in V$. Assume that there is a solution $p$ that satisfies $p^T R_2 P A^T B p = 0$ at some time $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and let $p_i(t_0) \neq 0$ for some $i \in V$. Then, by continuity of the state $p$, there exists an interval $\tau = [t_0, t_0 + \delta]$, $\delta > 0$, such that $p_i(t) \neq 0$, for all $t \in \tau$. Using (11), we hence conclude that for all $j \in V$ that are neighbors of $i$, i.e., $a_{ji} \neq 0$, we must have that $p_j(t) = 0$ and $p_j(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \tau$, for all $j \in V$ with $a_{ji} \neq 0$. Then, for some $j \in V$ such that $a_{ji} \neq 0$, we have $p_j(t) = \sum_{k \neq j} a_{kj} \beta_k p_k(t)$, for all $t \in \tau$. This implies that $p_k(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \tau$ and for all $k \in V$ such that $a_{kj} \neq 0$. By repeating this argument, we conclude that $p_i(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \tau$ for any node $i \in V$ from which there is a directed path to node $j$. Since $G$ is strongly connected, there is a directed path from node $i$ to node $j$, and we must then have $p_i(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \tau$, which contradicts our initial hypothesis. It then follows that $p^T R_2 P A^T B p = 0$ if and only if $p = 0$. Hence, the all-healthy state is GAS. This proves the sufficiency part.

We will show necessity by proving the contrapositive. The Jacobian matrix of the vector field in (2) evaluated at the origin is given by $J(0) = A^T B - D$. If $R_o > 1$, we have $\mu(A^T B - D) > 0$, and we conclude by Lyapunov’s indirect method that the original nonlinear system is not stable. This proves that $R_o \leq 1$ is also necessary for the origin to be asymptotically stable. \qed

It is worth noting that, when $R_o < 1$, the proof of the global asymptotic stability of the all-healthy state does not rely on the strong connectivity assumption. This is also true for the instability proof, when $R_o > 1$. We only used the strong connectivity of the graph to prove global asymptotic stability when $R_o = 1$.

### 4.2 Existence and Stability of an Endemic State

In this section, we use notions from positive systems theory to prove the local and global asymptotic stability of an endemic state over strongly connected digraphs. We first note that the existence of a unique endemic state for (2) over
where the last strict inequality follows because $B$ is a positive diagonal matrix, and matrix $J$ is Metzler, because its off-diagonal entries are nonnegative. Then, using Proposition 1(ii), we conclude that $J(p^*)$ is Hurwitz.

We are now in a position to state the following result.

**Theorem 3** Suppose that $G = (V, E)$ is a strongly connected digraph and that $R_o > 1$. Then, the strong endemic state $p^*$ is locally exponentially stable.

**Proof.** We invoke Lyapunov’s indirect method. Since $G$ is strongly connected, $A$ is irreducible. From (5), we deduce that $Dp^* = (I - p^*)A^T B p^*$. We can then write

$$J(p^*)p^* = -A^TBp^* + (I - p^*)A^TBp^* = -p^* A^TBp^* \ll 0,$$

where the last strict inequality follows because $p^* \gg 0$, $B$ is a positive diagonal matrix, and $A$ is irreducible. The matrix $J(p^*)$ is Metzler, because its off-diagonal entries are nonnegative. Then, using Proposition 1(ii), we conclude that $J(p^*)$ is Hurwitz. $\square$

We now proceed to prove the following result.

**Theorem 4** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a strongly connected digraph, and assume that $p(0) \neq 0$. If $R_o > 1$, then the strong endemic state $p^*$ is GAS.

**Proof.** Recall that $p(t) \in [0, 1]^n$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. When $R_o > 1$, Proposition 3 implies that the origin is unstable. Therefore, under this condition, the set $W = [0, 1]^n \setminus \{0\}$ is invariant under the evolutions of (2).

Next, define the state $\tilde{p} = p - p^*$. Let $\tilde{P} = \text{diag}(\tilde{p})$. The dynamics of $\tilde{p}$ can then be written as follows:

$$\dot{\tilde{p}} = (A^T B - D)(\tilde{p} + p^*) - (\tilde{P} + p^*)A^T B(\tilde{p} + p^*) = (-D + (I - p^*)A^T B)p - \tilde{P}A^T Bp.$$

Define the matrix $\Lambda(p^*) := -D + (I - p^*)A^T B$, and note that the off-diagonal entries of $\Lambda(p^*)$ are nonnegative; hence, $\Lambda(p^*)$ is a Metzler matrix. Since $G$ is strongly connected, the matrix $\Lambda(p^*)$ is also irreducible. From (5), it follows that $\Lambda(p^*)p^* = 0$, and since $p^*$ is strictly positive, it follows from Theorem 1 that $\mu(\Lambda(p^*)) = 0$. Thus, it follows from Lemma A.1 that there exists a positive diagonal matrix $R$ such that the matrix $\Lambda(p^*)^T R + RA(p^*)$ is negative semidefinite.

Consider the Lyapunov function $V(\tilde{p}) = \tilde{p}^T (\Lambda(p^*)^T R + RA(p^*))\tilde{p} - 2\tilde{p}^T \tilde{P}RA^T Bp$

$$\leq -2\tilde{p}^T R\tilde{P}A^T Bp = -2\tilde{p}^T \tilde{P}RA^T Bp,$$

where the inequality follows because $\Lambda(p^*)^T R + RA(p^*)$ is negative semidefinite, and the last equality follows because $\tilde{P}$ and $R$ commute, since they are both diagonal matrices.

We next prove that $\tilde{p}^T R \tilde{p} = 0$ and only if $p = p^*$. Since $R$ is a positive diagonal matrix, we have $\tilde{p}^T \tilde{P}RA^T Bp = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{p}_i^2 \sum_j a_{ij} \beta_j = 0$, for some $i \in V$. We then must have $\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \beta_j = 0$, which implies that $p_j = 0$ for all $j \in V$ such that $a_{ij} \neq 0$. Then, for some $j \in V$ for which $a_{ij} \neq 0$, we must also have $\sum_{k \neq j} a_{jk} \beta_k = 0$, because $p_j = 0 < p^*_j$. By repeating this argument, we conclude that $p_i = 0$ for any node $i \in V$ from which there is a directed path to node $j$. Since $G$ is strongly connected, there is a directed path from node $i$ to node $j$, and we must have $p_i = 0$. This implies that $p = 0$, which contradicts our initial assumption. Therefore, since the set $W$ is invariant under (2), we have that $V(\tilde{p}) = 0$ if and only if $p = p^*$. $\square$

**Remark 1** The novelty in our proof lies at the utilization of notions from positive systems theory, which enables us to construct a quadratic Lyapunov function. A proof for a weaker statement is established in [1, 6], where it is assumed that for $p(0) \neq 0$, there exists a time $T \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $p(t) \in [0, 1]^n$ for all $t \geq T$. An alternative proof that utilizes a logarithmic Lyapunov function has recently appeared in [22].

In addition to the useful characteristics of using a quadratic Lyapunov function for studying additional properties such as convergence rates, our proof allows for establishing the stability properties of the equilibrium points over weakly connected digraphs in the next section.

### 4.3 A Simplified Stability Condition through a Game-Theoretic Perspective

The game-theoretic connection we established in Lemma 1 enables us to provide a simplified condition for the global asymptotic stability of the all-healthy state. In particular, by applying the diagonal dominance condition in (8) to (9), we obtain the following sufficient condition:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \beta_j < 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in V. \quad (13)$$
Recall that the conditions $R_0 < 1$ and $\mu(A^TB-D) < 0$ are equivalent. Note the similarities between the conditions $\mu(A^TB-D) < 0$ and (13). The two conditions are related by the Gershgorin Circle Theorem. While (13) is more restrictive than $\mu(A^TB-D) < 0$, it is linear and easier to compute. More importantly, condition (13) can be checked in a distributed fashion, which makes it more suitable for the design of distributed algorithms.

5 Stability of Epidemic Dynamics over Weakly Connected Graphs

In this section, we study the stability properties of the $n$-intertwined Markov model over weakly connected graphs. This class is of great importance, since it is conceivable that in many practical scenarios there exist connected components that collectively serve as an infection source, but are not affected by the rest of the nodes. Such scenarios cannot be captured by strongly connected topologies.

We start by introducing some notations. When the graph $G$ is weakly connected, its adjacency matrix can be transformed into an upper triangular form using an appropriate labeling of the nodes. Assuming that $G = (V, E)$ contains $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ strongly connected components, we can write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \ldots & A_{1N} \\ 0 & A_{22} & A_{23} & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & 0 & A_{NN} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_{ii}$ are irreducible for all $i \in [N]$, and, hence, correspond to SCCs in $G$ [3]. For notational simplicity, we will use $A_i$ instead of $A_{ii}$. The matrices $A_{ij}, j \neq i$ are not necessarily irreducible. We denote an SCC of $G$ by $G_i = (V_i, \mathcal{E}_i)$, $i \in [N]$, where $\bigcup_{i=1}^N V_i = V$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}$. For each $i \in [N]$, we introduce the positive diagonal matrices $D_i, B_i$ which contain, respectively, the curing and infection rates of the nodes in $V_i$ along their diagonals. We introduce the partial order `$\prec$' among SCCs, and we write $G_i \prec G_j$, for some $i, j \in [N]$, if there is a directed path from $G_i$ to $G_j$ but not vice versa.

For a given $i \in [N]$, we denote the state of the nodes in $G_i$ by $q_i \in \mathbb{R}^{|V_i|}$ and the state of the $k$-th node in $V_i$ by $q_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}$. The state, $p$, of the entire network is given by $p = [q_1^T, \ldots, q_N^T]$. Let $c_i = \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ji}^T B_j q_j \in \mathbb{R}^{|V_i|}, i \in [N]$, be the input infection from the nodes in $G \backslash G_i$. We can now write the dynamics of the nodes in $G_i, i \in [N]$, given by the mapping $\tilde{G}_i : \mathbb{R}^{|V_i|} \times \mathbb{R}^{|V_i|} \to \mathbb{R}^{|V_i|}$, as

$$q_i = \tilde{G}_i(q_i, c_i) := (A_i^T B_i - D_i)q_i - Q_i A_i^T B_i q_i + (I - Q_i)c_i,$$

where $Q_i = \text{diag}(q_i)$. When an SCC comprises a single node, $A_i^T B_i - D_i$ is equal to $-\delta_i$. In what follows, we say $G_i$ is stable to mean that the dynamics (14) are stable. When an endemic state $p^*$ emerges over the graph $G$, we call the steady-state of $q_i$ an endemic state of $G_i$, and we denote it by $q_i^*$. Hence, the endemic state emerging over the entire network is given by $p^* = [q_1^*, \ldots, q_N^*]^T$.

We first state some results about the special case where the network topology is given by a DAG.

**Proposition 5** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a DAG and suppose $\delta_i > 0$ for all $i \in V$. Then the origin is the unique equilibrium. Moreover, this equilibrium is GAS.

**Proof.** Let us denote the steady-state of (2) by $p(\infty)$. The steady-state equation for the source nodes of the DAG is of the form $0 = -\delta_i p_i(\infty), i \in S_{\text{source}}$, which implies that $p_i(\infty) = 0$ for all source nodes. For a node $i \in S_{\text{source}}$, its steady-state equation can be written as

$$0 = -\delta_i p_i(\infty) + (1 - p_i(\infty)) \sum_{j \in S_{\text{source}}} a_{ij} \beta_j p_j(\infty).$$

The sum evaluates to zero, and again we obtain $p_i(\infty) = 0$. By repeating this argument, we conclude that $p_i(\infty) = 0$, for all $i \in S_{\text{source}}$. By propagating this argument all the way to the sink nodes, we conclude that zero is the unique solution of the steady-state equation.

Next, we prove the second statement. In a DAG, the dynamics of the source nodes become $\dot{p}_i = -\delta_i p_i, i \in S_{\text{source}}$. Hence, all source nodes are globally exponentially stable. Let $v_i := \sum_{j \in S_{\text{source}}} a_{ij} \beta_j p_j$, and define the following linear dynamical system for all $i \in S_{\text{source}}$

$$\dot{\tilde{p}}_i = -\delta_i \tilde{p}_i + v_i, \quad \tilde{p}_i(0) = p_i(0).$$

Then, we have from (2) that $\dot{\tilde{p}}_i \leq \tilde{p}_i, i \in S_{\text{source}}$. By the comparison lemma, it follows that $p_i \leq \tilde{p}_i, \forall t$, and all $i \in S_{\text{source}}$. It is well-known that if the input of an exponentially stable linear system converges to zero, its state converges to zero. Thus, since $v_i$ converges to zero, $\dot{\tilde{p}}_i$ must also converge to zero, for all $i \in S_{\text{source}}$. Since $p_i \geq 0$, we conclude that $p_i$ converges to zero for all $i \in S_{\text{source}}$. The proposition follows by repeating this argument for the remaining nodes in the graph.

We begin by studying the existence, uniqueness, and the stability properties of an endemic state over a weakly connected digraph consisting of two SCCs; the generalization to multiple SCCs is straightforward.

**Proposition 6** Let $G_i = (V_i, \mathcal{E}_i)$ be an SCC, $i \in [N]$, and let $q_i^*$ be its endemic state equilibrium. If $q_{i^*i}^* > 0$ for some $i_1 \in V_i$, then $q_{i_2}^* > 0$.

**Proof.** Let $i_1 \in V_i$ be a node with $q_{i_1j}^* > 0$. Since $G_i$ is strongly connected, for any node $i_m \in V_i$, where $m$ is an integer satisfying $m \leq |V_i|$, there exists a directed path from node $i_1$ to node $i_m$. Let $i_2 \in V_i$ be a node along this path such that $(i_1, i_2) \in \mathcal{E}_i$. It follows from (4), that $q_{i_2i}^* > 0$. By the same argument, it follows that $q_{i_ki}^* > 0$ for every node $i_k \in V_i$ along the directed path from $i_1$ to $i_m$, including $i_m$. Since nodes $i_1$ and $i_m$ were arbitrary, the proof is complete.
Let $\mathcal{R}_o^i := \rho(D^{-1}_i A_i B_i)$ be the basic reproduction number corresponding to $G_i$. We have the following existence and uniqueness result.

**Theorem 5** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weakly connected digraph consisting of two SCCs $G_1, G_2$ such that $G_1 \prec G_2$. Assume that $q_i(0) \neq 0$ for all $i \in [2]$. Then the following statements hold:

(i) If $\mathcal{R}_o^1 > 1$, and $\mathcal{R}_o^2$ being arbitrary, then $p = 0$ and $p^* = [q_1^*, q_2^*]^T$ are the only possible equilibrium points over $G$, where $q_1^*$ and $q_2^*$ are unique strong endemic equilibrium points over $G_1$ and $G_2$, respectively.

(ii) If $\mathcal{R}_o^1 \leq 1$ and $\mathcal{R}_o^2 > 1$, then $p = 0$ and $p^* = [0^T, q_2^*]^T$ are the only possible equilibrium points over $G$, where $q_2^*$ is a unique strong endemic equilibrium point over $G_2$.

(iii) If $\mathcal{R}_o^i \leq 1$, $i \in [2]$, then $p = 0$ is the only possible equilibrium over $G$.

**PROOF.** In all the cases, the fact that $p = 0$ is an equilibrium point follows directly from the structure of the dynamics. Since $G_1 \prec G_2$, we have $c_1 = 0$, i.e., the dynamics of the nodes in $G_1$ are not affected by those in $G_2$.

We first prove (i). First, consider the case when $\mathcal{R}_o^2 > 1$. Since $\mathcal{R}_o^1 > 1$ and $G_1$ is an SCC, we conclude by Theorems 4 and 4 that there exists a strong endemic state $q_1^* > 0$ over $G_1$, which is GAS, assuming that $q_1(0) \neq 0$. Hence, $c_2$ converges to $c_2^* := A_{12}^T B_2 q_1^*$, which is a nonnegative vector. We can now write the steady-state equation for $G_2$ as

$$A_{22}^T B_2 - D_2) q_2 - Q_2 A_{22}^T B_2 q_2 + (I - Q_2)c_2^* = 0,$$

or

$$A_{22}^T B_2 q_2 - \text{diag}(A_{22}^T B_2 q_2) q_2 - (D_2 + C_2) q_2 + c_2^* = 0,$$

where $C_2 = \text{diag}(c_2^*)$. Define $G_2 = D_2 + C_2$, and note that this is an invertible diagonal matrix because $D_2$ is a strictly positive diagonal matrix. We then conclude that

$$G_2^{-1} A_{22}^T B_2 q_2 - (I + \text{diag}(G_2^{-1} A_{22}^T B_2 q_2)) q_2 + G_2^{-1} c_2^* = 0,$$

or

$$q_2 = (I + \text{diag}(G_2^{-1} A_{22}^T B_2 q_2))^{-1} G_2^{-1} (A_{22}^T B_2 q_2 + c_2^*).$$

Since $G_2$ is an SCC, $A_2$ is irreducible, and therefore $G_2^{-1} A_{22}^T B_2$ is irreducible as well. Furthermore, we have $G_2^{-1} c_2^* \ll 1$ by construction. It then follows by Theorem A.4 in the Appendix that there exists a unique strong endemic state $q_2^*$ over $G_2$. From (5), it follows that the steady-state of any node in $G_2$ that is connected to a node in $G_1$ is strictly positive. Then, it follows from Proposition 6 that $[q_1^*, 0]$ cannot be an equilibrium over $G$, and $[q_1^*, q_2^*]^T$ is the unique equilibrium over $G$ in this case.

When $\mathcal{R}_o^2 \leq 1$, it follows from (5) that the steady-state of any node in $G_2$ that is connected to a node in $G_1$ is strictly positive. Hence, by Proposition 6, there exists a strong endemic state $q_2^*$ over $G_2$. Finally, and because the steady-state equation over $G_2$ is given by (16), it follows from Proposition A.3 in the Appendix that $q_2^*$ must be unique.

For (ii), since $c_1 = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_o^1 \leq 1$, it follows by Proposition 3 and Theorem 4 that the only valid equilibrium over $G_1$ is $q_1 = 0$, which is GAS. Hence, in steady-state, $G_2$ can be viewed as an isolated irreducible graph, and it follows from Theorems 4 and 4 that there exists a unique strictly positive equilibrium $q_2^*$ over $G_2$.

Finally, for (iii), and similar to (ii), the only possible equilibrium over $G_1$ is $q_1 = 0$, which is GAS. This in turn leads to having $c_2^* = 0$, and since $\mathcal{R}_o^2 \leq 1$, the only possible equilibrium over $G_2$ is $q_2 = 0$. $$\square$$

From (ii), we conclude that a weak endemic state could emerge over weakly connected graphs. A strong endemic state could emerge in case (i), and the all-healthy state is the only possible equilibrium in case (iii). It is important to note that the endemic state $q_2^*$ resulting in cases (i) and (ii) are not necessarily the same.

Next, we study the stability properties of weak and strong endemic equilibria.

**Theorem 6** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a weakly connected digraph consisting of two SCCs $G_1, G_2$ such that $G_1 \prec G_2$. Assume that $q_i(0) \neq 0$ for all $i \in [2]$. Then, $G_2$ is input-to-state stable (ISS). Further, the equilibrium over $G$ is GAS.

**PROOF.** First, note that the dynamics over $G_1$ are not affected by $G_2$. Hence, the global asymptotic stability of the equilibrium (all-healthy or strong endemic, depending on the value of $\mathcal{R}_o^1$) over $G_1$ follows immediately. We will start by proving that $G_2$ is ISS for different values of $\mathcal{R}_o^1$ and $\mathcal{R}_o^2$. Consider the following cases.

(i) $\mathcal{R}_o^2 < 1$: In this case, we have $\mu(A_{22}^T B_2 - D_2) < 0$, and therefore the matrix $A_{22}^T B_2 - D_2$ is Hurwitz. Since it is also Metzler, it follows from Proposition 1 that there exists a positive diagonal matrix $R$ which satisfies

$$(A_{22}^T B_2 - D_2)^T R + R(A_{22}^T B_2 - D_2) = -K,$$

where $K$ is a positive definite matrix. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3, consider the Lyapunov function $V_R(q_2) = q_2^T R q_2$. We have

$$\mathcal{L}_{q_2} V_R(q_2) = q_2^T ((A_{22}^T B_2 - D_2)^T R + R(A_{22}^T B_2 - D_2)) q_2 - 2q_2^T R Q_2 A_{22}^T B_2 q_2 + 2q_2^T R (I - Q_2) c_2$$

$$\leq -q_2^T K q_2 + 2q_2^T R c_2,$$

where the inequality follows because $q_2^T R Q_2 A_{22}^T B_2 q_2 \geq 0$, for all $q_2 \in [0, 1]^n$, and $q_2^T R Q_2 c_2 \geq 0$, for all $c_2, q_2 \in$
where (17) follows from the steady-state equation (15) evaluated at \( q_2 = q_2^* \), and (18) follows because \( \hat{Q}_2c_2 = C_2^T \hat{q}_2 \).

Next, define the matrix \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*) = -D_2 - C_2^T + (I - Q_2^*)A_2^TB_2 \), which is Metzler since its off-diagonal entries are nonnegative. Since \( G_2 \) is an SCC, the matrix \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*) \) is also irreducible. We wish to study the sign of \( \mu(\hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)) \). Using the steady-state equation (15) evaluated at \( q_2 = q_2^* \), it follows that \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)q_2^* = -c_2^* \), where we recall that \( c_2^* \geq 0 \). Consider the following two cases.

(iii.a) \( R_0^1 \leq 1 \) and \( R_0^2 > 1 \): In this case, the all-healthy state is GAS over \( G_1 \); see Proposition 3. Then, \( c_2^* = 0 \), and \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)q_2^* = 0 \). Since \( q_2^* \) is strictly positive, it follows from Theorem 1 that \( \mu(\hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)) = 0 \). Thus, it follows from Lemma A.1 that there exists a positive diagonal matrix \( R \) such that the matrix \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)TR + R\hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*) \) is negative semidefinite. Consider the Lyapunov function \( V_\rho(\hat{p}) = \hat{p}^T R \hat{p} \). We have

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\phi}_2} V_\rho(\hat{q}_2) = q_2^T \hat{Q}_2\hat{Q}_2^T + 2q_2^T S \hat{c}_2 \\
\leq q_2^T \hat{Q}_2 \hat{Q}_2 S \hat{A}_2 B_2 q_2 + 2q_2^T S \hat{c}_2 \\
\leq q_2^T \hat{Q}_2 \hat{Q}_2 S \hat{A}_2 B_2 q_2 + 2\sqrt{n} |S| \|c_2\|_2,
\]

where the last inequality follows from using the bound \( \|q_2\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \). Define the function \( \rho : R \rightarrow R \) as

\[
\rho(\|c_2\|_2) = 2\sqrt{n} |S| \|c_2\|_2,
\]

and note that \( \rho \in \mathcal{K}_\infty \) since it is linear in \( |c_2|_2 \). Define the function \( g : R_{\geq 0} \rightarrow R \) as

\[
g(q_2) = q_2^T \hat{Q}_2 \hat{Q}_2^T + 2q_2^T S \hat{c}_2.
\]

Following similar steps to those in the proof of Proposition 4, we can show that \( g(q_2) = 0 \) if and only if \( q_2 = 0 \). Note that \( g(q_2) > 0 \) for all \( q_2 \in R_{\geq 0} \) such that \( q_2 \neq 0 \). Furthermore, the function \( g \) is continuous and radially unbounded. Hence, it follows by [13, Lemma 4.3] that there exists a class \( \mathcal{K}_\infty \) function \( \alpha : R \rightarrow R \) such that \( g(q_2) \geq \alpha(\|c_2\|_2) \). We therefore have

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\hat{\phi}_2} V_\rho(\hat{q}_2) \leq -\alpha(\|q_2\|_2) + \rho(\|c_2\|_2).
\]

As a result, it follows from [23, Remark 2.4] that the system \( G_2 \) is ISS when \( R_0^1 \leq 1 \) and \( R_0^2 > 1 \) is arbitrary.

(iii)b) \( R_0^1 > 1 \) and \( R_0^2 > 1 \): In this case, the endemic state is GAS over \( G_1 \); see Theorem 4. Then, \( c_2^* > 0 \), and \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)q_2^* < 0 \). Since \( q_2^* \) is strictly positive, it follows from [6, Theorem 2.4] that \( \mu(\hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)) < 0 \); therefore, \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*) \) is Hurwitz. Thus, it follows from Proposition 1(iv) that there exists a positive diagonal matrix \( S \) such that the matrix \( \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*)^T S + S \hat{\Lambda}(q_2^*) \) is negative definite. Hence, using \( V_\rho(\hat{p}) = \hat{p}^T S \hat{p} \), one can derive the same bound as in (19), with \( R \) replaced with \( S \), and by repeating the same steps as above, one can show that \( \hat{q}_2 \) is input-to-state stable when \( R_0^1 > 1 \) and \( R_0^2 > 1 \).

Since \( G_1 \) is GAS and \( G_2 \) is ISS, it follows from [13, Lemma 4.7] that the equilibrium of the cascaded system is GAS. In particular, when \( R_0^2 \leq 1 \) and \( R_0^1 > 1 \), it follows from Theorem 5(iii) that the all-healthy state is GAS. When \( R_0^2 < 1 \) and \( R_0^1 > 1 \), it follows from Theorem 5(i) that the strong endemic equilibrium \( [q_{1i}^*, q_{2i}^T]^T \) is GAS, assuming that \( q_i(0) \neq 0 \) for all \( i \in [2] \). When \( R_0^2 > 1 \) and \( R_0^1 < 1 \), it follows from Theorem 5(ii) that the weak endemic state \( [0^T, q_{2i}^T]^T \) is GAS, assuming that \( q_2(0) \neq 0 \). Finally, when \( R_0^2 > 1 \) and \( R_0^1 > 1 \), it follows from Theorem 5(i)
that the strong endemic state $[q_1^T, q_2^T]^T$ is GAS, assuming that $q_i(0) \neq 0$ for $i \in [2]$. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorems 5 and 6.

Corollary 1 Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ be a weakly connected digraph consisting of $N$ SCCs ordered as $\mathcal{G}_1 \prec \ldots \prec \mathcal{G}_N$. Assume that $q_i(0) \neq 0$ for all $i \in [n]$.

(i) If $R_{o_i} \leq 1$ for all $i \in [N]$, then the all-healthy state is GAS.

(ii) If $R_{k}^i > 1$ for some $k \in [N]$, and $R_{o_i} \leq 1$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, then the endemic state $p^* = [0, \ldots, 0, q_k^T, \ldots, q_N^T]^T$ is GAS.

6 Numerical Studies

We demonstrate the emergence of a weak endemic state over the Pajek GD99c network [8], which is a weakly connected directed network shown in Fig. 1. The network consists of 105 nodes and it contains 66 SCCs. The nodes marked “red” in Fig. 1 constitute an SCC, which we refer to as $\mathcal{G}_1$. We will select the curing rates over $\mathcal{G}_1$ to be low in order to make $R_{o_i} > 1$. For the remaining nodes, we will set $\delta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} \beta_j + 0.5$, which is a sufficient condition to ensure $R_{o_i} < 1$ [14]. The infection rates $\beta_i$ and the weights $a_{ij}$ are all selected to be equal to 1. There are only 4 nodes for which there is no directed path from $\mathcal{G}_1$, and they are marked “black” in Fig. 1. The initial infection profile is selected at random.

Fig. 1. The Pajek GD99c network. The “red” nodes belong to $\mathcal{G}_1$ for which $R_{o_i} > 1$. The “black” nodes are the only ones with no direct path from $\mathcal{G}_1$.

Next, we will demonstrate the global asymptotic stability of $p^*$ over connected undirected graphs, which follows from Theorem 4. The infection rates, the edge weights, and the initial infection profile were generated randomly. The curing rates were selected such that $R_{o_i} > 1$.

Fig. 2 plots the state trajectories. By examining the histogram of the values to which the state converges, we notice that there are 13 nodes with high infection probabilities, and those are the nodes comprising $\mathcal{G}_1$. Note that $\mathcal{G}_1$ is asymptotically stable even though it takes input from other SCCs, as shown in the figure, and $R_{o_i} > 1$. There are 4 nodes that become healthy, and those are the “black” nodes which are not reached by a directed path from $\mathcal{G}_1$. The remaining nodes all have positive infection probabilities with varying levels depending on their distance from $\mathcal{G}_1$, with the nodes that are farthest from $\mathcal{G}_1$ enjoying the lowest infection probabilities.

Fig. 2. Infection probabilities of the nodes.

Fig. 3. A histogram of the endemic state values across the network.

Fig. 4 shows the state of a ring graph with 20 nodes. The figure also plots the Lyapunov function $V(\tilde{\pi}) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\pi}^T \tilde{\pi}$. As claimed, the system converges to the strictly positive state $p^*$, and the Lyapunov function decays monotonically to zero.

Fig. 5 shows the same simulation for a connected undirected random graph with 100 nodes. The probability that an edge occurs in the graph was selected to be $\frac{1}{1704}$. The specific graph realization used in this experiment contained 1704 edges. Again, we observe that the state converges to $p^*$. It is interesting to note that convergence here is faster than the case of the ring graph.
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A Appendix

In this Appendix, we collect and prove some results pertinent to the development in the main body of the paper. We start with the next result which is key in proving some of the results in Sections 4 and 5.

Lemma A.1 Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an irreducible Metzler matrix such that $\mu(X) = 0$. Then, there exists a positive diagonal matrix $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that the matrix $X^TR + RX$ is negative semidefinite.

**Proof.** From Theorem 1, it follows that there exists a vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\nu \gg 0$ and $X\nu = 0$. Since $\sigma(X) = \sigma(X^T)$, we have $\mu(A^T) = 0$. Using Theorem 1 again, we conclude that there exists a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\xi \gg 0$ and $X^T\xi = 0$. Let $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a positive diagonal matrix defined with $R_{ii} = \xi_i / \nu_i$, for all $i \in [n]$. Consider now the matrix $X^TR + RX$. The matrix $RX$ is Metzler, since $R$ is a positive diagonal matrix. For the same reason, and because $X$ is irreducible, we conclude that $RX$ is irreducible. By a similar argument, $X^TR$ is also an irreducible Metzler matrix. Since the sum of two Metzler matrices is Metzler, the matrix $X^TR + RX$ is Metzler. Also, because both $RX$ and $X^TR$ are Metzler and irreducible, the matrix $X^TR + RX$ is also irreducible. Further, from construction, we have $(X^TR + RX)\nu = X^TR\nu = X^T\xi = 0$. Since $X^TR + RX$ is symmetric, it has real eigenvalues, and since $\nu$ is strictly positive, it follows from Theorem 1 that $X^TR + RX$ is negative semidefinite. □

Next, we prove an instrumental result, which can be thought of as a non-homogeneous extension of a result of [6]. We start by providing two key properties of the continuous mapping $T : [0, 1]^n \to [0, 1]^n$ defined as

$$T(p) := (I + \text{diag}(Xp))^{-1}(Xp + y).$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

**Proposition A.2** Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a nonnegative matrix, and let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector satisfying $0 \leq y \ll 1$. Then, the mapping $T$ is monotonic.

**Proof.** Let the vectors $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $p \preceq q$. For $i \in [n]$, we have

$$T_i(p) = \frac{(Xp)_i + y_i}{1 + (Xp)_i} = 1 - \frac{1 - y_i}{1 + (Xq)_i} = T_i(q),$$

where the inequality follows because $X$ is nonnegative. This implies that the mapping $T$ is monotonic. □

**Proposition A.3** Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a nonnegative matrix, and let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector satisfying $0 \leq y \ll 1$. If the mapping $T$ has strictly positive fixed point, then it must be unique.

**Proof.** We will prove the claim by contradiction. Assume that there are two fixed points $p^*, q^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $p^* \neq q^*$. We will first show that $p^* \preceq q^*$. To this end, define

$$\eta := \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{p^*_i}{q^*_i}, \quad k := \arg \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{p^*_i}{q^*_i}.$$ 

Note that $p^* \preceq \eta q^*$. For $p^* \preceq q^*$ to hold, we must have $\eta \leq 1$; assume that, to the contrary, $\eta > 1$. Then, using Proposition A.2, we have

$$p^*_k = T_k(p^*) \leq T_k(\eta q^*) = \eta (Xq^*)_k + y_k \leq 1 + \eta (Xq^*)_k < \eta (Xq^*)_k,$$

where the strict inequality follows from the assumption that $\eta > 1$, and the last equality follows because $q^*$ is a fixed point. By definition, we have $p^*_k = \eta q^*_k$. Hence, if $\eta > 1$ were true, we would have $p^*_k < \eta q^*_k = p^*_k$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we must have $\eta \leq 1$ and $p^* \preceq q^*$. By switching the roles of $p^*$ and $q^*$, and repeating the above steps with $\eta = \max_{i \in [n]} \frac{q^*_i}{p^*_i}$ instead of $\eta$, we conclude that $p^* \preceq q^*$. Thus, $p^* = q^*$, and the fixed point is unique. □

We are now ready to prove the main result.

**Theorem A.4** Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a nonnegative irreducible matrix such that $\rho(X) > 1$, and let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector satisfying $0 \leq y \ll 1$. Then, the mapping $T : [0, 1]^n \to [0, 1]^n$ has a unique fixed point, which is strictly positive.

**Proof.** We will prove that there exists a closed subinterval of $(0, 1)^n$ which is invariant under $T$. By Theorem 2, it follows that $X$ has an eigenvector $v \gg 0$ satisfying $Xv = \rho(X)v$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $v \leq 1$, which can be achieved by an appropriate scaling of the eigenvector corresponding to $\rho(X)$. 12
Define $\pi := \sqrt{\frac{\rho(X) + y_{\text{max}}}{1 + \rho(X)}}$, and note that $\pi < 1$. Let us choose $\tau > 0$ such that $\pi < \tau v_{\text{min}}$. Note that with such a choice of $\pi$, we can guarantee, for all $i \in [n]$, that $\tau v_i < 1$, since $v_i \leq 1$ and $\pi < 1$. This choice of $\pi$ implies that $\tau v_i \geq \pi$ or $(\tau v_i)^2 \geq \rho(X) + y_{\text{max}}$, for all $i \in [n]$. This in turn implies, for $i \in [n],$

$$\tau v_i \geq \frac{1}{\tau v_i} \frac{\rho(X) + y_i}{1 + \rho(X)} = \frac{\tau \rho(X) v_i + y_i}{1 + \tau v_i \rho(X)} = T_i(\tau v_i), \quad (A.2)$$

where the last inequality follows since $\tau v_i < 1$. We therefore have $T(\tau v) < \tau v$.

Define $\kappa := \frac{\rho(X) + y_{\text{min}} - 1}{1 + \rho(X)}$, and note that $\kappa < 1$, as $y_{\text{min}} < 1$. Let us choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < \epsilon v_{\text{max}} \leq \kappa$. Then, for all $i \in [n]$, we have

$$\epsilon v_i \leq \frac{(\rho(X) + y_i - 1)}{\rho(X) + 1} \leq \frac{(\rho(X) + y_i - 1)}{\rho(X)}.$$

We thus have $\epsilon \rho(X) v_i + 1 < \rho(X) + y_i$, for all $i \in [n]$. Equivalently, for all $i \in [n]$, we can write

$$\epsilon v_i < \epsilon v_i \frac{\rho(X) + y_i}{\rho(X) v_i + 1} < \epsilon \rho(X) v_i + y_i \frac{\rho(X) v_i + y_i}{\epsilon \rho(X) v_i + 1} = T_i(\epsilon v), \quad (A.3)$$

where the second strict inequality holds since $\epsilon v_i < \kappa < 1$. We therefore have $T(\epsilon v) > \epsilon v$.

Since $v \gg 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\epsilon v \gg 0$. We also have that $\tau > \epsilon$ because

$$\tau \geq \frac{\pi}{v_{\text{min}}} > \frac{\pi^2}{v_{\text{min}}} \frac{\rho(X) + y_{\text{max}}}{v_{\text{min}} (1 + \rho(X))} \geq \frac{\rho(X) + y_{\text{min}} - 1}{v_{\text{max}} (1 + \rho(X))} = \frac{\kappa}{y_{\text{max}}} \geq \epsilon,$$

where the first strict inequality follows because $\pi < 1$. This implies that $\epsilon v \ll \tau v$. Further, by construction, we have $\tau v_i < 1$, for all $i \in [n]$, and therefore $\tau v \ll 1$. To summarize, we have the following bounds: $0 \ll \epsilon v \ll \tau v \ll 1$.

We can now define the closed and bounded set

$$K := \{ p \in [0, 1]^n : \epsilon_1 v \leq p \leq \epsilon_2 v \} \subset (0, 1)^n.$$

By (A.2) and (A.3), and since $T$ is monotonic as proved in Proposition A.2, we conclude that $T : K \to K$. Since $T$ is continuous, it follows from Brouwer’s fixed-point theorem that there exists a strictly positive fixed point $p^* \in K$ such that $T(p^*) = p^*$. Finally, it follows from Proposition A.3 that $p^*$ must be unique. □