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Abstract: The newly developed vibration-compacting modular production technology of concrete with low water-cement ratio puts forward new requirements for the study of rheological mechanical model of concrete. The existing contact model of discrete element method cannot effectively express the complex dynamic relationship between concrete aggregate and mortar under vibration. In this article, an improved hard-core soft-shell contact model, which is suitable for the simulation of concrete with low water-cement ratio under vibration state, is proposed according to the vibration and compactness characteristics of the concrete. According to the rheological properties of the concrete mortar, the calculation method of soft-shell force is improved. The relationship between the shear stress and its displacement in the process of trying to solve the tangential soft-shell is clarified. In order to characterize the adsorption effect of mortar on aggregate, the gravitation is added in the normal soft-shell force calculation. Slump test under non-vibration state and L-box test under vibration state are carried out to verify the correctness of the improved hard-core soft-shell contact model. The improved hard-core soft-shell contact model can effectively simulate the dynamic response of the complex multiphase concrete fluids with low water-cement ratio, and accurately characterize the change in its rheological properties.
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1 Introduction

Under the background of building industrialization, adopting the new low water-cement ratio concrete vibration compaction modular production instead of the traditional high water-cement ratio concrete casting process can effectively reduce energy consumption and improve production efficiency. Low water-cement ratio concrete is a kind of discontinuous material [1]. Mortar is a non-Newtonian fluid, and coarse aggregate shows the property of discrete particles [2]. In the process of concrete flow, there is a very complex dynamic relationship between the components [3]. The traditional computational fluid dynamics method cannot analyze it. With the development of digital simulation technology, the technology is gradually widely used in the research of concrete characteristics. Gram and Silfwerbrand [4] divided concrete simulation methods into three categories: Discrete element method (DEM), Computational fluid dynamics, and the combination of DEM and computational fluid dynamics.

The DEM was originally proposed by Cundall and Strake [5] for the simulation of rock particles. Noor and Uomoto [6] used DEM to simulate concrete first and established a simulation model based on DEM. Concrete was divided into two parts: aggregate and mortar. Aggregate and mortar were represented by different sizes of particles, and different characteristics of aggregate and mortar were represented by different parameters. On the basis of previous studies, Chu and Machida [7] improved the original DEM model and proposed a modified DEM model. They believed that concrete was two-phase material, in which the aggregate was the core of round particles and the mortar was the bonding layer wrapped around the aggregate. The characteristics of the concrete are characterized by analyzing the interaction between the bond and the mortar. Cui et al. [8] used irregular particles as aggregate, and further analyzed the influence of particle size distribution on the flow performance of the concrete.
All the above studies used trial and error method to determine the parameters of the model, but the simulation results were affected by multiple parameters, and different parameter combinations may produce the same simulation results [9,10]. The mapping relationship between the model parameters and the rheological parameters of the concrete was established. Shyshko and Mechtcherine [11,12] put forward a DEM model suitable for concrete, and used this model to simulate the slump of the concrete. Combined with the simulated normal extrusion force curve and the theoretical curve of stress distribution, the mapping relationship between normal bond strength and yield stress was established. Zhang et al. [13] established the positive interaction constitutive relationship between the concrete particles to simulate the flow process of the concrete. Li et al. [14] established the slump model of concrete by using the DEM, measured the contact parameters between particles in the specific DEM model, and described the flow process of concrete in the slump test. Zhao et al. [15] established a new dynamic coupling discrete element contact model, studied different concrete, and verified the correctness of DEM model according to the results of the concrete rheological test.

Remond and Pizette [16] proposed the hard-core soft-shell model, and considered that concrete was composed of soft-shell made of mortar and hard-core made of aggregate. Bingham model [17] was used to calculate the tangential force between the particles. In order to verify the correctness of the model, the slump test was carried out. The test showed that the model could better explain the characteristics of the concrete. However, on the one hand, the characteristics of low water-cement ratio concrete are discontinuous, which is difficult to be analyzed by the traditional computational fluid dynamics, and the existing hard-core soft-shell model cannot model the rheological behavior of low slump. On the other hand, the different excitation parameters will affect the rheology of low water-cement ratio concrete, and the change in rheology will greatly affect the compaction effect of the concrete under excitation. Therefore, the key to solve the selection of excitation parameters is to study the rheology of concrete under excitation conditions. At present, there is lack of theoretical research on the rheology of low water-cement ratio concrete under excitation, and the existing theoretical models cannot analyze the rheology of concrete under excitation.

The research on the test methods of concrete working performance can be traced back to the 1920s. So far, there are many test methods to evaluate the working performance of concrete. Most test methods comprehensively evaluate the working performance of concrete by measuring the fluidity of concrete mixture, adding other performance test methods or combined with observation experience [18]. L-box test is a device proposed by Billberg to test the fluidity and gap carrying capacity of self-compacting concrete [19]. Nguyen et al. [20] studied the relationship between L-box test and rheological parameters of the homogeneous concrete. They believed that when the vertical baffle of L-box was opened in an instant, its final shape was the result of the joint action of rheological parameters and inertia of the concrete. When the vertical baffle was opened slowly, the final shape of L-box test only depends on the yield stress of the concrete.

In this article, concrete is divided into two phases: mortar and coarse aggregate. Round particles are used to represent the hard-core of the coarse aggregate. Mortar is regarded as a soft-shell wrapped in particles. Based on the hard-core soft-shell model proposed by Remond and Pizette [16], the calculation method of soft-shell force in the model is improved, and the discrete element model of low water-cement ratio concrete under non-vibration and vibration states is established and the model is verified by slump test and L-box test.

2 Basic theory

2.1 Hard-core soft-shell model

The hard-core soft-shell model is proposed by Remond and Pizette to simulate the rheological behavior of the concrete [16]. This section briefly summarizes the hard-core soft-shell model, and puts forward the limitations of the model under vibration.

2.1.1 Model assumptions

As shown in Figure 1, according to the composition of the concrete, the concrete is divided into coarse aggregate and mortar [16]. The coarse aggregate is abstracted as a round particle, referred to as “hard-core,” and the mortar is abstracted as a concentric adhesion layer wrapped outside the coarse aggregate, referred to as “soft-shell.”

Under the above assumptions, the hard-core phase is used to characterize the physical properties of the coarse aggregate, the interaction between hard-cores is called “hard-core force,” the soft-shell phase is used to characterize the rheological properties of the mortar, and the interaction between the mortar and particles is called
“soft-shell force.” The interaction between the two particles is the superposition of hard-core force and soft-shell force.

\[ F = F_{hc} + F_{ss} \]  

(1)

where \( F_{hc} \) is the hard-core force and \( F_{ss} \) is the soft-shell force.

### 2.1.2 Calculation of hard-core force

The hard-core force can be divided into normal component and tangential component.

\[ F_{hc} = F_{hc}^{n} + F_{hc}^{t} \]  

(2)

where \( F_{hc}^{n} \) is the normal hard-core force and \( F_{hc}^{t} \) is the tangential hard-core force.

The normal hard-core force can be calculated by the nonlinear Hertz model, and the calculation formula is shown in equation (3).

\[ F_{hc}^{n} = - \left[ \frac{2}{3} E \sqrt{R} \xi_{n}^{3/2} + \gamma_{n} E \sqrt{R} \sqrt{s_{n}} \xi_{n} \right] n_{12}, \]  

(3)

where \( \xi_{n} \) is the overlap of normal hard-core and its unit is m. \( \gamma_{n} \) is the normal damping coefficient and its unit is s. \( n_{12} \) is the normal unit vector. \( R \) is the equivalent radius and its unit is m, and the calculation formula is shown in equation (4). \( E \) is the equivalent stiffness and its unit is Pa, and the calculation formula is shown in equation (5).

\[ R = \frac{R_{1} r_{2}}{r_{1} + r_{2}}, \]  

(4)

\[ E = \frac{Y}{1 - v^2}, \]  

(5)

where \( r_{1}, r_{2} \) are the radius of the hard-core, as shown in Figure 2. \( Y \) is Young’s modulus and its unit is Pa. \( v \) is Poisson’s ratio.

### 2.1.3 Calculation of soft-shell force

Similar to the hard-core force, the soft-shell force can be divided into normal soft-shell force and tangential soft-shell force.

\[ F_{ss} = F_{ss}^{n} + F_{ss}^{t}. \]  

(8)

Remond and Pizette [16] simplified the normal soft-shell force as a spring model. In order to ensure a large amount of overlap between the soft-shell forces, normal soft-shell forces are generated only when the distance between the hard-cores is less than a specific value \( h_{min}. \) The normal soft-shell force is usually taken as \( h_{min} = w_{m}/2. \) \( w_{m} \) is the thickness of the soft-shell and its unit is m. The calculation formula of the normal soft-shell force is shown in equation (9).

\[ F_{ss}^{n} = \mu F_{hc}^{n} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{\xi_{max}} \right) \right] t_{12}, \]  

(6)

where \( \mu \) is the friction coefficient. \( \xi_{max} \) is the cumulative tangential displacement and its unit is m. \( t_{12} \) is the tangential unit vector.

\[ \xi_{max} = \xi_{0} \mu \left( \frac{2 - v}{2(1 - v)} \right). \]  

(7)
\[ F_{\text{ss}} = \begin{cases} k_n(r_1 + r_2 + h_{\text{min}} - d_{12}) n_{12}, & (r_1 + r_2 + h_{\text{min}} - d_{12}) \geq 0 \\ 0, & (r_1 + r_2 + h_{\text{min}} - d_{12}) < 0. \end{cases} \]

The tangential soft-shell force can be calculated according to Bingham model.

\[ F_{\text{ss}}^t = S(\tau_{0,m} + \mu_m \dot{\gamma}), \tag{10} \]

where \( S \) is the contact area of the soft-shell, and its size is \( \pi r_5^2 \). \( r_5 \) is the radius of the contact surface and its unit is m. \( \tau_{0,m} \) is the yield stress of mortar and its unit is Pa. \( \mu_m \) is the plastic viscosity of mortar and its unit is Pa s. \( \dot{\gamma} \) is the shear rate and its unit is m/s, and the calculation formula is shown in equation (11).

\[ \dot{\gamma} = \frac{2v_{1,2,t} n_{12}}{\max(d_{12} - r_1 - r_2, h_{\text{max}})}, \tag{11} \]

where \( v_{1,2,t} \) is the tangential relative velocity, and the calculation formula is shown in equation (12).

\[ v_{1,2,t} = v_d \left( \frac{r_1}{r_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2 - v_i \left( \frac{r_2}{r_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2 + r_{\text{eq}} \omega_1 \left( \frac{r_1}{r_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2 + r_{\text{eq}} \omega_2 \left( \frac{r_2}{r_{\text{eq}}} \right)^2 \times n_{12}, \tag{12} \]

where \( r_{\text{eq}} \) and \( r_{\text{eq}} \) are the equivalent soft-shell radii as shown in the Figure 2 and its unit is m. The calculation formulas are shown in equations (13) and (14). \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are the angular velocities of the particles and its unit is rad/s.

\[ r_{\text{eq}} = \frac{d_{12}^2 + (r_1 + w_m)^2 - (r_2 + w_m)^2}{2d_{12}}, \tag{13} \]

\[ r_{\text{eq}} = d_{12} - r_{\text{eq}}, \tag{14} \]

where \( d_{12} \) is the hard-core spacing as shown in Figure 2 and its unit is m.

### 2.2 Shear-vibration equivalent theory

Hattori-Izumi (HI) theory and modified HI theory are only applicable to concrete in shear state [21–23]. When concrete is subjected to vibration, HI theory and its modification cannot characterize vibration effect. To solve this problem, a shear-vibration equivalent theory [24] is proposed, which converts the vibration intensity into the shear rate. Combined with the modified HI theory, the rheological properties of the cement slurry and mortar under vibration condition can be calculated. The following are a brief summary of the shear-vibration equivalent theory.

Figure 3 shows the flow field in vibration state [25], and the shear rate can be calculated by equation (15).

\[ \dot{\gamma}_{A,B} = (v_a - v_b)/dr, \tag{15} \]

where \( v_a \) and \( v_b \) are the velocities of A and B, respectively, and \( dr \) is the distance between A and B. The velocity vector is divided into i and j directions, where i is perpendicular to the current flow field plane and j is perpendicular to the line between A and B. Thus, the shear rate can be expressed by equation (16).

\[ \dot{\gamma}_{A,B} = (v_{a,i} - v_{b,i}) \frac{i}{dr} + (v_{a,j} - v_{b,j}) \frac{j}{dr}, \tag{16} \]

where \( v_{a,i} \) and \( v_{b,i} \) are the relative velocities of A and B in i direction; \( v_{a,j}, v_{b,j} \) are the relative velocities of A and B in j direction.

Combined with the shear-vibration equivalent theory, the shear action of fluid can be expressed as follows.

\[ \dot{\gamma}_{\text{total}} = \dot{\gamma}_{\text{vibration}} + \dot{\gamma}_{\text{shear}}, \tag{17} \]

where \( \dot{\gamma}_{\text{total}} \) represents the shear rate at any point in the flow field. \( \dot{\gamma}_{\text{vibration}} \) represents the shear rate caused by the vibration, \( \dot{\gamma}_{\text{shear}} \) represents the shear rate caused by the rotation of the rotary viscometer rotor.
3 Improvement in hard-core soft-shell model

Aiming at the shortcomings of the hard-core soft-shell model and according to the rheological properties of the mortar, the calculation method of soft-shell force is improved.

3.1 Improvement in calculation method of tangential soft-shell force

When the shear stress of Bingham fluid is greater than the yield stress, Bingham fluid behaves as viscous fluid, and the shear stress is linearly related to the shear rate. When the shear stress is less than the yield stress, Bingham fluid behaves as an elastic body, and the shear stress is proportional to the tangential displacement. The formula is as follows [17].

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \tau_{0,m} + \mu \frac{\dot{y}}{G}, & \tau \geq \tau_{0,m}, \\ \mu \frac{\dot{y}}{G}, & \tau < \tau_{0,m}, \end{cases}$$

(22)

where $\tau$ is the shear stress and its unit is Pa. $G$ is the shear modulus of the fluid material and its unit is Pa. $\dot{y}$ is the tangential strain.

According to the above fluid characteristics, the calculation method of tangential soft-shell force is improved by author, and the calculation formula is shown in equation (23).

$$\tau = \begin{cases} S(\tau_{0,m} + \mu \frac{\dot{y}}{G}), & \tau \geq \tau_{0,m}, \\ k_{ss}^{\prime} \xi^{2}, & \tau < \tau_{0,m}, \end{cases}$$

(23)

where, $k_{ss}^{\prime}$ is the tangential soft-shell stiffness and its unit is N/m. $\xi$ is the tangential cumulative displacement and its unit is m.

In order to consider the attenuation of velocity in mortar, Remond multiplied a series of scaling coefficients such as $\left(\frac{n}{r_{eq}}\right)^2$ and $\left(\frac{n}{r_{eq}}\right)^2$; however, this calculation method has the following problems.

As shown in Figure 4, the soft-shell of the particles pass through the hard-core of the particles, which leads to $r_1 < r_{eq}$ and $\left(\frac{n}{r_{eq}}\right)^2 > 1$. The velocity of soft-shell in the mortar is enlarged, which goes against the actual situation. When the distance between the two particles is small enough, $r_{eq}$ decreases to 0, and then the scaling...
The coefficient \( n^2 \) tend to infinity, resulting in excessive tangential soft-shell force and divergence of simulation. In order to solve the above problems, the calculation method of tangential relative velocity is simplified. Because the thickness of soft-shell is relatively small, the attenuation of velocity in mortar is small and it can be ignored. In this case, the calculation method of tangential relative velocity can be modified as follows.

\[
v_{1,2,t} = v_1 - v_2 + (r_{1\text{eq}}\omega_1 + r_{2\text{eq}}\omega_2) \times n_{12}. \tag{24}
\]

### 3.2 Improvement in calculation method of normal soft-shell force

Shyshko and Mechtcherine [11] adopted two motion modes of the upper particles to carry out the test. One is that the upper particles are far away from and close to the lower particles at a constant speed. The other is to make the upper particles move intermittently at the position set in the test. By simplifying the test curve, the normal force contact model of the concrete particles is established, as shown in Figure 5. When the particles are far away from each other, their normal gravity increases to a limit value, which is defined as the normal bond strength of the particles by Shyshko. Mechtcherine and Shyshko [12] further simplified the above model, ignoring the repulsion force of the particles when they are close to each other, and established a new normal force contact model of the concrete particles, as shown in Figure 6. Mechtcherine and Shyshko [12] thought that the rising slope of normal force is equal to the stiffness of collision when the normal force is less than the yield force.

Considering that the concrete moves violently under the condition of vibration, the overlapping of particles should be considered. In this article, the calculation method of normal soft-shell force is improved.

As shown in Figure 7, when the hard-core spacing is less than 0, the normal force is calculated by Hertz-Mindlin model. When the hard-core spacing is greater than 0, the particles are subjected to normal soft-shell force, which is composed of elastic component and viscous component.

\[
F_{ss}^n = F_{ss,e}^n + F_{ss,d}^n. \tag{25}
\]
where $F_{\text{ss,e}}^n$ is the elastic component of normal soft-shell force and its unit is N. $F_{\text{ss,d}}^n$ is the viscous component of normal soft-shell force and its unit is N. The viscous component of normal soft-shell force is calculated by equation (26).

$$F_{\text{ss,d}}^n = -c_n^u \dot{y}_{12,t},$$  (26)

where $c_n^u$ is the damping coefficient of normal soft-shell and its unit is kg/s.

When the particles are far away from each other, the calculation of elastic component of normal soft-shell force is shown in equation (27).

$$F_{\text{ss,e}}^n = \begin{cases} 
  k_n^{\text{init}} \delta_n n_{12}, & 0 \leq \delta_n \leq \frac{F_{\text{yield}}}{k_n^{\text{init}}} \\
  \left(k_n^{\text{tens}} \delta_n + F_{\text{yield}} \left(1 - \frac{k_n^{\text{tens}}}{k_n^{\text{init}}} \right) \right)n_{12}, & F_{\text{yield}} / k_n^{\text{init}} < \delta_n \leq \delta_{\text{bond}} \\
  (-k_n^{\text{desc}} \delta_n + 2w_m k_n^{\text{desc}}) n_{12}, & \delta_{\text{bond}} < \delta_n \leq 2w_m, 
\end{cases}$$  (27)

where $\delta_n$ is the hard-core spacing between the particles and its unit is m. $k_n^{\text{init}}$ is the stiffness of the soft-shell at the initial far away stage and its unit is N/m, and the calculation formula is given in equation (28). $\delta_{\text{bond}}$ is the core distance when the normal soft-shell force reaches the normal bond strength $\text{bond}_n$ and its unit is m. Its calculation formula is shown in equation (29). $F_{\text{yield}}$ is the yield force, which is generally taken as $F_{\text{yield}} = 0.9 \text{bond}_n$. $k_n^{\text{tens}}$ is the stiffness of the second rising stage of normal soft-shell force and its unit is N/m. $k_n^{\text{desc}}$ is the stiffness of the normal soft-shell at the stage of force reduction and its unit is N/m, and the calculation formula is given in equation (30).

$$k_n^{\text{init}} = E \sqrt{R},$$  (28)

$$\delta_{\text{bond}} = \frac{\text{bond}_n}{k_n^{\text{tens}}} - \frac{F_{\text{yield}}}{k_n^{\text{init}}} \left(\frac{k_n^{\text{init}}}{k_n^{\text{tens}}} - k_n^{\text{tens}} \right),$$  (29)

$$k_n^{\text{tens}} = \frac{\text{bond}_n}{2w_m - \delta_{\text{bond}}},$$  (30)

When the particles are close to each other, in order to ensure enough overlap of particles, considering that the elasticity of normal soft-shell force is small, the elastic force of normal soft-shell is calculated only when the hard-core spacing between particles is less than 1.5$w_m$. The calculation formula is as follows.

$$F_{\text{ss,e}}^n = \begin{cases} 
  (k_n^{\text{comp}} \delta_n - 1.5w_m k_n^{\text{comp}}) n_{12}, & 0 \leq \delta_n < 1.5w_m \\
  0, & \delta_n \geq 1.5w_m, 
\end{cases}$$  (31)

where $k_n^{\text{comp}}$ is the extrusion stiffness of normal soft-shell force and its unit is N/m.

### 3.3 Parameter updating under vibration state

According to HI theory and Wallevik’s subsequent research [21–23], vibration destroys the internal structure of concrete and changes the rheological properties of the concrete. Combined with the shear-vibration equivalent theory, the effect of vibration on the concrete can be equivalent to that of shear rate. And the yield stress determines $\text{bond}_n$. Therefore, combined with the shear-vibration equivalent theory and the modified HI theory, the rheological parameters of the concrete under vibration conditions, i.e., yield stress and viscosity, can be updated to construct the concrete DEM contact model suitable for vibration state.

Combined with the calculation characteristics of DEM, it is necessary to use discrete method to calculate each calculation formula in the modified HI theory. The specific model parameter flow is as follows.

1. The simulation step size of the system is determined;
2. The shear rate and flocculation rate of the soft-shell at the current time are calculated;
3. Update $\alpha$ and $\beta$ memory functions;
4. According to the updated memory function, the memory modulus is updated;
5. Update model viscosity $\mu$ and $\tau$;
6. According to the relationship between yield stress and normal bond strength in equation (33), $\text{bond}_n$ parameters are updated.

The above process can simulate the concrete under vibration state through continuous iteration.

### 4 Test verification and analysis

In order to verify the correctness of the improved model, the slump test and L-box test under vibration state are simulated, and the accuracy of the simulation results is verified by the test results.

#### 4.1 Simulation verification of slump test

The purpose of slump test [16] is to check the workability of the newly made concrete, so as to check the difficulty
in concrete flow. In this section, the improved hard-core soft-shell contact model is used for slump simulation test in PFC3D software. The parameters of the contact model are set as shown in Table 1 according to the Remond test conditions. In the simulation process, the particle parameters are set as shown in Table 2.

For the improved soft-shell hard-core contact model proposed in this article, the normal bond strength $\text{bond}_n$ and yield stress $\tau_{0,n}$ play a major role in the simulation. Therefore, this article only changes the values of the above two parameters to study the influence of normal bond strength and yield stress on the simulation results. Because the mapping relationship between normal bond strength and yield stress is unknown, it is necessary to calibrate the mapping relationship between normal bond strength and yield stress. Combined with the relationship between the maximum shear stress of concrete elements and the concrete height proposed by Murata [26], as shown in equation (32), the yield height of concrete $h_0$ can be obtained, where the maximum shear stress of the concrete is equal to the yield stress of the concrete. According to the improved hard-core soft-shell contact model, the simulated force distribution curve is fitted, as shown in Figure 8.

Mechtcherine and Shyshko [12] pointed out that the extrusion force on the particles in each interval corresponds to the normal tensile force between the particles one by one. Therefore, by substituting $h_0$ into the force distribution curve, the normal bond strength $\text{bond}_n$ corresponding to the yield stress $\tau_0$ can be obtained.

$$\tau_0 = \frac{\rho g (H - Z)}{6} r_z (r_z^2 + r_z r + r^2).$$

(32)

The calibration process adopted in this article is opposite to that of Mechtcherine and Shyshko [12]. The yield height of concrete $h_0$ is obtained by the preset normal bond strength in the simulation stress distribution curve. Then, the yield stress corresponding to the normal bond strength is obtained by substituting $h_0$ into Murata’s theoretical curve. The calibration results are shown in Table 3.

The mapping relationship between normal bond strength and yield stress can be obtained by fitting the above values with the least square method.

$$\text{bond}_n = 4.364 \times 10^{-8} \tau_0^2 + 0.000107 \tau_0 + 0.02376.$$  (33)

Table 1: Parameters of slump test simulation model

| Model parameter | $Y$ | $\nu$ | $\mu$ | $\gamma_n$ | $\mu_m$ | $k_{ss}^I$ | $k_{ss}^{\text{tens}}$ | $k_{ss}^{\text{comp}}$ | $c_{ss}^n$ |
|-----------------|-----|-------|-------|------------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| Unit            | Pa  |       |       | N s/m      | Pa s   | N/m      | N/m            | N/m            | N s/m  |
| Value           | $2.6 \times 10^9$ | 0.3   | 0.5   | 0.0702     | 10     | 100      | 200            | 50             | 1.0    |

Table 2: Particle parameter setting of slump test

| Model parameter | Thickness of soft-shell | Hard-core radius | Density |
|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Unit            | m                       | m                | kg/m$^3$|
| Value           | 0.002                   | 0.006            | 2,300   |

Figure 8: Mapping relationship calibration flow diagram of Mechtcherine.
solutions of Roussel et al. [27] and Roussel and Coussot [28] are given. For each kind of yield stress, the profile shape of analysis and numerical prediction is very similar, which verifies the correctness of the model.

4.2 Vibration L-box test and simulation verification

L-box test is a device proposed by Billberg [19] to test the fluidity and clearance capacity of self-compacting concrete, as shown in Figure 13. The device is composed of a vertical box and a horizontal box. At the turning point, there are 3–4 steel bars with a diameter of 12 mm and a baffle that can slide up and down.

| Yield stress $\tau_0$ (Pa) | Normal bond strength bond$_n$ (N) |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0                         | 0                                |
| 25                        | 0.0047                           |
| 50                        | 0.008                            |
| 100                       | 0.0210                           |
| 200                       | 0.0577                           |
| 300                       | 0.1106                           |
| 400                       | 0.1796                           |

Table 3: Mapping table of normal bond strength and yield stress

Table 4: Concrete proportion in slump test

| Water | Cement | Fine aggregate | Coarse aggregate |
|-------|--------|----------------|------------------|
| Proportion I | 0.5 | 1 | 1.29 | 2.38 |
| Proportion II | 0.5 | 1 | 1.38 | 2.57 |

For low water-cement ratio concrete, its fluidity is poor, so it is difficult to flow freely under gravity. The whole test cannot be completed by using static L-box test. According to the vibration L-box test method proposed by Li et al. [29], the double motor unidirectional shaking table shown in Figure 14 is adopted. It can be considered that the amplitude remains unchanged within the frequency range of 30–50 Hz. The improved standard L-box was used in the test, which was fixed on the shaking table stably. Pour the concrete evenly mixed with aggregate, sand, cement, and water into the L-box vertical box three times. Tamp it with tamping rod and let it stand for one minute. Open the baffle of the L-box vertical box while starting to shake the table. When the concrete in the L-box reaches the far end of the horizontal box, stop shaking the table and measure the distance between the concrete vertical direction and the top of the horizontal box.

Table 4: Concrete proportion in slump test

Figure 9: Slump simulation test under different model parameters (a) $\tau_{0,m} = 100$ Pa, bond$_n = 0.021$ N, (b) $\tau_{0,m} = 600$ Pa, bond$_n = 0.366$ N, and (c) $\tau_{0,m} = 1,600$ Pa, bond$_n = 2.2676$ N.
The existing hard-core soft-shell model cannot characterize the decrease in viscosity and yield stress of low water-cement ratio concrete under vibration state, and cannot characterize the thixotropy of concrete. Juradin [21] thought that vibration changes the thixotropy structure of the concrete, which leads to the change in concrete fluidity. Particle flow interaction (PFI) theory explains the thixotropy of cement paste from the microlevel, so the effect of vibration on the concrete can be equivalent to its effect on shear rate. The yield stress determines the value of the bond. By updating the viscosity and yield stress of concrete with PFI theory, a discrete element contact model of concrete suitable for vibration state can be constructed.

In the process of vibration L-box simulation, it is necessary to determine the PFI parameters of the mortar model. In this article, combined with the modified HI theory and shear-vibration equivalent theory, using Brookfield-DV2TLB rotary viscometer, the constant speed test of the mortar is designed and carried out, and the PFI parameters
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Figure 10: Comparison between slump simulation results and experimental results. (a) Proportion I and (b) Proportion II.
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Figure 11: Concrete pie partition.
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Figure 12: Numerical and analytical prediction results of final shape of concrete cake in slump flow test under yield stress of 25, 50, and 100 Pa.
of the mortar are calibrated. The calibrated parameters are shown in Table 5.

The vibration frequencies selected in this article are 30, 40, and 50 Hz. Under this vibration intensity, the comparison between the test and simulation results is shown in Figure 14.

It can be seen from Figure 14 that with the increase in the excitation frequency, the consistency between the simulation results and test results continues to increase, and the simulation results are more consistent with test results at higher frequencies (40 and 50 Hz).

Using the mapping relationship of equation (33), the influence of normal bond strength and yield stress on vibration L-box simulation results is analyzed, as shown in Figure 15.

It can be seen from Figure 15 that with the increase in the yield stress and normal bond strength, the position where the concrete stops flowing is getting closer, which is consistent with the flow characteristics of the concrete itself. Therefore, through the vibration L-box test, it can be verified that the modified hard-core soft-shell model is suitable for the rheological analysis of low water-cement ratio concrete under vibration.

5 Results and discussion

In this article, the model assumptions and contact force calculation formulas of the hard-core soft-shell contact model proposed by Remond are introduced in detail, and the limitations of the model are pointed out. The rheological parameters in the model are fixed, so it cannot effectively simulate the rheological properties of concrete under vibration. In addition, the model cannot simulate the rheological behavior of low water-cement ratio concrete.

The application of low water-cement ratio concrete in building industrialization can reduce energy consumption and improve production efficiency. In order to effectively simulate the dynamic behavior of low water-cement ratio concrete under vibration, the existing models are optimized in this article. On the one hand, the hard-core soft-shell model proposed by Remond did not consider the problem of simulation divergence caused by excessive tangential soft-shell force when the granular soft-shell is in contact with the granular hard-core. Therefore, this article adds the relationship between the shear stress and its displacement when the shear stress is less than the yield stress, which simplifies the calculation process of shear rate. On the other hand, the concrete with low water-cement ratio moves violently under the condition of vibration, resulting in the overlapping of two particles, which will not occur in the concrete under static state. Therefore, according to the normal force contact model of concrete particles proposed by Shyshko and Mechtcherine [11,12], when the two particles overlap and the distance between hard-cores is less...
than 0, the normal soft-shell force can be calculated by Hertz-Mindlin model.

Due to the large amount of simulation calculation in the vibration process, according to the vibration shear equivalence theory proposed by Li et al. [24], the vibration equivalence is transformed into a shear action on the concrete, which can greatly shorten the simulation time of the concrete. In the simulation test, the mapping relationship between normal bond strength and yield stress is unknown. Combined with HI theory and its modification [21–23], the calculation formula is discretized, and the mapping relationship between normal bond strength and yield stress is calibrated.

In order to verify the correctness of the model, first, the slump test is carried out to calculate the slump of the concrete under different yield stress and normal bond strengths. The test results show that the slump of concrete decreases with the increase in yield stress and normal bond strength, which is consistent with the empirical formula between concrete yield stress and slump [27,28]. Second, the concrete section shape after simulation is analyzed. The results show that the simulation results can fit well with the numerical analytical solution proposed by Roussel and Coussot [27,28], which verifies the correctness of the model.

### 6 Conclusion

First, the model assumption and contact force calculation formula of the hard-core soft-shell model proposed by Remond are introduced in detail, and the shortcomings of the model are pointed out. In view of these shortcomings, the calculation method of soft-shell force is improved on the basis of the model. When calculating the tangential force of soft-shell, the relationship between the magnitude of shear stress and its displacement when the shear stress is less than the yield stress is added, and the calculation method of shear rate is simplified. In the calculation method of normal soft-shell force, a new force displacement calculation method is proposed, which adds the gravitational effect between the particles. For the improved hard-core soft-shell model, the slump test and L-box test under vibration state are carried out. At the same time, the mapping relationship between normal bond strength and yield stress and PFI parameters are calibrated. The experimental results show that the improved hard-core soft-shell model can better characterize the rheological properties of low water-cement ratio concrete, and further verify the correctness of the improved model. This model lays a foundation for the research of vibration compaction mechanism of concrete.

| Model parameter | \( \tau_0 \) | \( \eta_0 \) | \( m_0 \) | \( m_b \) | \( a_B m_1^{1/3} \) | \( a_B m_1^{2/3} \) | \( U_0 \) |
|------------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Value            | 16.52      | 1.91       | 15.08   | 76.18   | 3.08           | 13.81          | 2.89    |

**Figure 15:** Comparison of L-box test simulation results under different normal bond strengths and yield stress.

**Table 5:** Rheological parameters of mortar after calibration
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