**IntroductIon**

The rates of obesity and diabetes have increased rapidly over the last 20 years in the US as well as globally. It is not surprising that the incidence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is also increasing in parallel to the overall rise in obesity and type-2 diabetes. The adoption of new diagnostic criteria based on the recent HAPO study will increase the prevalence of GDM to approximately 18% of all pregnancies. In light of the fact that 80-90% of women with GDM can be managed with lifestyle therapy alone, universal screening for GDM is increasingly considered justified.

GDM is a serious complication of pregnancy that can increase the risks of several maternal-fetal disorders, including macrosomia, shoulder dystocia or birth injury, premature delivery, and preeclampsia. In addition to the increased risk of complications associated with gestation and delivery, there are also serious post-natal complications of GDM. About 5-10% of women with GDM are found to have diabetes immediately after pregnancy, and women who had GDM have a 10-fold higher chance of developing diabetes within the next 10-20 years. It is now apparent that children of mothers with GDM have an 8-fold higher risk of developing type-2 diabetes mellitus in later life. Thus, untreated GDM contributes to the overall diabetic population in both the short and long term.

Universal or even widespread GDM screening is hampered by the fact that the standard assessments of diabetes and pre-diabetes, such as fasting insulin/glucose and HbA1c, are not recommended for screening of GDM. Instead, the recommended parameter is an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), which is expensive and invasive, requiring a
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**A B S T R A C T**

The prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is increasing because of the worldwide obesity/diabetes epidemic. The complications of untreated GDM affect both the mother and baby and include complications during pregnancy as well as increased risk of subsequent type-2 diabetes in mothers and offspring. Standard tests for hyperglycemia in diabetes, such as fasting glucose and hemoglobin (HbA1c), are currently not recommended for GDM screening. Instead, an oral glucose tolerance test is specified, which is invasive, time-consuming, and not easily accessible to many at-risk populations. In this study, we describe a multi-analyte maternal serum profile test that incorporates novel glycoprotein biomarkers and previously described GDM-associated markers. In screening for GDM by multi-analyte panel, the detection rate was 87% at a false-positive rate of 1%.
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hospital visit and multiple blood draws. Therefore, improved methods and analytes for GDM screening are needed to increase diagnosis rates and prevent maternal and child risk of future diabetes. Specifically, the development of minimally invasive testing with robust analyte combinations will greatly aid in the identification of GDM and the institution of appropriate interventions, especially in at-risk and under-served populations. [16]

**Research Design and Methods**

Study recruitment and methods were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee, and informed consent was obtained from each participant. The details of this study population have been described previously. [10] Specifically, 1463 consecutive women within the second and third trimesters of pregnancy underwent a 75-g OGTT followed by a 2-hour plasma glucose determination. GDM was diagnosed as a 2-hour plasma glucose ≥7.8 mmol/l (140 mg/dl), consistent with WHO criteria. All remaining women were categorized as non-diabetic. The current study employed a case-control design, in which 14 non-diabetic and 15 GDM serum samples were randomly selected from the described population. Clinical characteristics of study subjects are described in Table 1. Serum samples were analyzed to obtain measures of sex-hormone binding globulin (SHBG), adiponectin, human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), placental lactogen, C-reactive protein (CRP), pregnancy-specific glycoprotein-1 (PSG-1), and fibronectin, as well as specific glycosylated forms of fibronectin and PSG-1 [Table 2]. Two-dimensional differential in-gel electrophoresis (2D-DIGE) and immunoaasays (ELISA) were performed as previously described. [11-15] Differential glycosylation of fibronectin and PSG-1 was determined by direct lectin-binding immunoassays.

T-tests were used for analysis of normally distributed continuous variables and the Wilcoxon nonparametric equivalent for variables with skewed distribution. Chi-square and Fisher's Exact tests were used for categorical variables. Parametric and Wilcoxon nonparametric T-tests were used to test differences across study groups for variables with normal and skewed distributions, respectively. Ratios of proteins were computed and tested across study groups using Wilcoxon nonparametric t-tests.

Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves generated from predicted probabilities from logistic regression modeling were used to evaluate the classification ability of individual and multiple analytic combinations. [16] The area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was computed from simple logistic regression to describe the classification ability of each protein, ratio, and glycosylated protein individually. Based on the AUROC results, individual proteins, ratios, and glycosylated proteins were added sequentially to build a multi-analyte model for improved classification performance. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS software version 9.22 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

### Results and Discussion

We previously reported the discovery and validation of novel biomarkers for intra-amniotic infection, Down syndrome, pre-term birth, preeclampsia, diabetic nephropathy, and type-2 diabetes in multiple body fluids using combinations of 2D-DIGE and tandem mass spectroscopy. [11-15]

| Table 1: Participant characteristics by GDM status |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---|
| **Participant characteristic** | **Study group (n)** | **P value** |
| **Mean (SD)**                  | **Non-diabetic (14)** | **Gestational diabetes (15)** |
| Age (years)                    | 24.2 (3.7)          | 24.6 (3.5) | 0.78 |
| Pre-pregnancy body mass index (kg/m²) | 19.6 (3.4) | 20.9 (3.2) | 0.32 |
| Percent weight change (%)      | 15.2 (4.9)          | 14.2 (3.7) | 0.54 |
| Blood pressure (mmHg)          | 106 (11)            | 110 (11)   | 0.38 |
| Systolic                       | 71 (6)              | 69 (7)     | 0.45 |
| Diastolic                      | 213 (44)            | 190 (28)   | 0.11 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl)      | 120 (41)            | 104 (30)   | 0.23 |
| Low-density lipoprotein (mg/dl) | 51 (3)             | 49 (4)     | 0.11 |
| High-density lipoprotein (mg/dl) |                |           |     |
| Median (IQR)                   |                   |           |     |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl)          | 222 (178, 238)      | 189 (133, 227) | 0.36 |
| Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) | 80 (77, 85)         | 84 (79, 90) | 0.20 |
| Glycated hemoglobin (%)        | 5.2 (5.0, 5.4)      | 5.4 (5.1, 5.8) | 0.23 |
| C-peptide (ng/ml)              | 0.9 (0.6, 1.2)      | 0.7 (0.4, 1.1) | 0.25 |

GDM: Gestational diabetes mellitus; SD: Standard deviation, IQR: Interquartile range

| Table 2: Differences in serum analyte levels between normal and women with gestational diabetes |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---|
| **Protein concentration**       | **Study group (n)** | **P value** |
| **Median (IQR)**                | **Non-diabetic (14)** | **Gestational diabetes (15)** |
| C-Reactive protein (mg/l)       | 21 (1.0, 4.0)      | 5.7 (2.2, 9.0) | 0.05 |
| SHBG (mg/l)                     | 276 (252, 304)     | 240 (173, 278) | 0.12 |
| Adiponectin (μg/ml)             | 4.1 (3.3, 5.0)     | 3.4 (2.3, 5.2) | 0.28 |
| hCG placental hCG ratio         | 1.6 (1.0, 2.3)     | 3.2 (1.6, 4.3) | 0.03 |
| PSG-1 (AU)                      | 1.16 (0.96, 1.52)  | 1.21 (0.8, 1.4) | 0.95 |
| Fibronectin (mg/l)              | 96.0 (78.8, 151.9) | 151.5 (55.4, 238.6) | 0.33 |
| Protein glycosylation           |                   |           |     |
| PSG-AAL (AU/ml)                 | 52.5 (46.7, 71.0)  | 85.7 (69.9, 99.9) | 0.004 |
| Fibronectin-SNA (AU/ml)         | 51.0 (45.8, 55.1)  | 67.0 (53.5, 84.0) | 0.006 |

PSG: Pregnancy-specific glycoprotein, ALL: Aleuria aurantialectin, SNA: Sambucus nigralecti, SHBG: Sex-hormone binding globulin, hCG: human chorionic gonadotropin, AU: Arbitrary units, IQR: Interquartile range
Subsequent proteomic studies on maternal serum demonstrated increased glycosylation of serum proteins in GDM. This is illustrated in Figure 1, which shows a 2D-DIGE comparison of the total glycoprotein fraction of pooled control and GDM maternal serum, in which protein spots that were differentially abundant in control compared with GDM samples appear as green or red spots, while proteins present at similar levels appear as yellow. The arrows point to individual protein spots that correspond to differentially abundant putative biomarkers.

For the present study, we selected two specific maternal serum glycoproteins, fibronectin and PSG-1 for assessment of potential changes in glycosylation status. Lectin reactivity profiling revealed that fibronectin glycosylation associated with Sambucus nigra lectin (SNA) binding and PSG-1 glycosylation associated with Aleuria aurantia lectin (AAL) binding were significantly elevated in GDM maternal serum compared with control serum. Therefore, these two protein–lectin pairs, fibronectin-SNA and PSG-AAL, were selected for inclusion in a multi-analyte panel with additional biomarkers previously demonstrated to exhibit differential abundance in GDM, including adiponectin,[17‑20] sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG),[18,21‑23] and CRP[24,25] as well as the ratio of hCG to placental lactogen. These analytes were evaluated singly and in combination in a set of control and GDM maternal serum samples from the cohort described in Table 1.

The mean participant age and pre-pregnancy BMI were 24.4 ± 3.5 years and 20.3 ± 3.3 kg/m², respectively. Glycated hemoglobin measures did not differ between non-diabetic (5.2%; IQR: 5.0–5.4%) and GDM participants (5.4%; IQR: 5.1–5.8%). Fasting plasma glucose measures were also similar between groups 80 mg/dl (IQR: 77–85 mg/dl) and 84 mg/dl (IQR: 79–90 mg/dl); P = 0.20. In addition, no statistically significant difference was observed between study groups for any other clinical parameter that was measured.

Although fasting plasma glucose and glycated HbA1c measures were not different between groups, the levels of PSG-AAL, fibronectin-SNA and the hCG/placental lactogen ratio were significantly elevated in the GDM group (P = 0.004, P = 0.006 and P = 0.03, respectively), as shown in Table 2. The difference in maternal serum CRP levels demonstrated borderline significance (P = 0.05), with a median concentration of 2.1 mg/l in non-diabetics and 5.7 mg/l in GDM participants. Placental lactogen and hCG exhibited altered levels in GDM maternal serum in previous studies.[26,27] These data suggest that combining these proteins in a ratio may improve discrimination ability. ROC curves utilizing fibronectin-SNA and PSG-AAL and the combination of these two analytes are shown in Figure 2. While the ability to detect GDM using both analytes is good (AUROC: 0.85), their use in conjunction with the other analytes described Table 2 within a multi-analyte model [Figure 3] demonstrated clearly superior performance (AUROC: 0.97). Specifically, the combination of fibronectin-SNA and PSG-AAL alone had a detection rate of 74% at a false positive rate of 6%, while the multi-analyte model had a marked increase in the detection rate (87%) at a false positive rate <1% [Figure 3]. Alternately, a single marker test with fibronectin-SNA (AUROC: 0.81) is likely to be cost-effective in preventing GDM and in reducing the increased costs associated with its complications.
CONCLUSIONS

These studies demonstrate that a multi-analyte test profile, comprising individual proteins, their ratios, and specific protein glycosylation patterns in maternal serum, can identify GDM patients independently classified by OGTT. These analytes are all amenable to analysis in dried bloodspots, which will enable the development of a minimally invasive, convenient, and cost-efficient screening test for GDM that will be particularly useful for evaluation of underserved populations that suffer significant disparities in diabetes care. Fibronectin-SNA is an early predictor before clinical hyperglycemia sets in. For cost considerations, a single marker fibronectin-SNA can also predict early GDM, and women positive for fibronectin-SNA can monitor fasting blood glucose and follow medical nutritional intervention to prevent GDM.
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