Application prospects of MgB$_2$ in view of its basic properties
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Abstract

Seven years after the discovery of superconductivity in magnesium diboride, the fundamental superconducting properties of this compound are well known and the peculiar current transport in polycrystalline materials is essentially understood. Based on this knowledge the ultimate performance of wires or tapes at high magnetic fields will be predicted and compared to state-of-the-art materials and to other superconductors. The key parameter for high field applications is the upper critical field, which can be strongly enhanced by impurity scattering. This fundamental property might be further optimized in bulk materials, since higher values were reported for thin films. The MgB$_2$ grains are usually very small, if prepared by the in-situ technique. The resulting high density of grain boundaries leads to strong pinning, close to the theoretical limit. On the other hand, the connectivity between the grains is still rather poor and strongly reduces the achievable critical currents, thus leaving room for further improvements.
I. INTRODUCTION

The critical current density $J_c$ is the most important quantity for power applications. Its magnitude is given by the pinning strength and its field dependence is determined by the density and morphology of pins and by the upper critical field. At low magnetic fields, $J_c$ can be around 15–20% of the depairing current density $J_d$ in a type II superconductor with optimized pinning \[1\]. This limit is obtained from the balance of the maximum force a single pin can exert on a flux line and the Lorentz force acting on this ideally pinned vortex. As long as the density of such strong pins is significantly higher than the density of vortices, $J_c$ can meet this theoretical limit. At higher magnetic fields, not all flux lines are perfectly pinned anymore and $J_c$ starts to decrease. This is illustrated in Fig. 1 (open squares). The low field $J_c$ is given by the pinning force of an individual pin or, more precisely, by the sum of the forces of all pins acting on the same flux line. The field $B_{sv}$, where the plateau ends, is determined by the pin density. At higher fields, the field dependence is given by the morphology of the defects \[2\] and by the upper critical field $B_{c2}$. For the sake of simplicity, the critical currents are assumed to reach zero at $B_{c2}$, since thermal fluctuations are unimportant in MgB$_2$ \[3\].

The field dependence of the critical current densities plotted in Fig. 1 is predicted for grain boundary pinning \[2\] which is most important in MgB$_2$ \[4, 5\] and will be considered in the following. $J_c$ is given by

$$J_c(B) = A_{con} \eta_{pin} J_d \left(1 - \frac{B}{B_{c2}}\right)^2 \sqrt{B}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

for fields above $B_{sv}$. $A_{con}$ is one in the ideal case and describes the suppression of the current density due to a reduction of the effective cross section resulting from porosity, secondary phases or badly connected grains \[6\]. $\eta_{pin}$ characterizes the pinning efficiency. $A_{con}$ and $\eta_{pin}$ are not intrinsic to a certain material but given by the actual pinning centers or microstructure. With optimized values for these extrinsic parameter, $J_c(B)$ is entirely determined by the two intrinsic parameters $J_d$ and $B_{c2}$. The depairing current density scales the y-axis in Fig. 1, the upper critical field scales the x-axis. These fundamental parameters of MgB$_2$ are compared to those of other technologically important superconductors in Table I. All values refer to zero temperature. The depairing current density in MgB$_2$ is much higher than in NbTi and even comparable to that in high temperature superconductors. Only Nb$_3$Sn has
TABLE I: Depairing current densities and upper critical fields of various superconductors at zero temperature

|               | MgB$_2$ | NbTi | Nb$_3$Sn | HTS |
|---------------|---------|------|----------|-----|
| $J_d(10^{12}$ A m$^{-2}$) | 2       | 0.4  | 7        | 3   |
| $B_{c2}$(T)   | 14-70   | 15   | 30       | 150 |

a significantly larger depairing current density. Because of the two band nature of superconductivity in MgB$_2$ and the suppression of the $\pi$ gap at high magnetic fields, $J_d$ slightly decreases with magnetic field (to about $1.3 \times 10^{12}$ A m$^{-2}$ [5]).

The upper critical field at 0 K is rather low in clean MgB$_2$ (~14 T), comparable to NbTi. Note that the given value refers to the apparent $B_{c2}$ in polycrystalline MgB$_2$, which corresponds to $B_{c2}^{ab}$ (field parallel to the boron planes). Disorder enhances the upper critical field significantly, as discussed in Section III, and values of up to 74 T were reported [7].

These two fundamental parameters ($J_d$, $B_{c2}$) indicate that MgB$_2$ is a promising high field conductor. Unfortunately, the anisotropic magnetic properties of magnesium diboride complicate the current transport and reduce the critical currents at high magnetic fields significantly.

II. UPPER CRITICAL FIELD ANISOTROPY

The upper critical field anisotropy, $\gamma$, changes the field dependence of the critical current density [8] and of the corresponding volume pinning force [9] drastically. This is illustrated in Fig. [1]. The solid circles represent $J_c$ in a polycrystalline material with an anisotropy factor of 5, which is representative for clean MgB$_2$. The field, $B_{p=0}$, where the critical currents reach zero, decreases by a factor of $((\gamma^2 - 1)p_c^2 + 1)^{-1/2}$ [10] compared to the corresponding isotropic material and the field dependence of $J_c$ is enhanced. The percolation threshold $p_c$ denotes the minimum fraction of superconducting material for a continuous current path and is expected to be 0.2 to 0.3 [8]. In the following $p_c = 0.25$ is assumed, leading to a reduction of $B_{p=0}$ to 0.63$B_{c2}$ (for $\gamma = 5$). All calculations are based on the model proposed in [8], but with the anisotropic scaling approach [11], as described in [9].

The anisotropy is the third intrinsic parameter which influences the critical currents in
FIG. 1: Influence of the upper critical field anisotropy on the critical current densities. The field and current densities are normalized by the upper critical field and the depairing current density, respectively. Calculations are based on grain boundary pinning.

**MgB$_2$.**

### III. DISORDER IMPROVES THE HIGH FIELD PROPERTIES

The low upper critical field and the high anisotropy of clean MgB$_2$ restrict high currents to low magnetic fields (cf. Fig. 1). Impurity scattering helps to solve this problem by enhancing the upper critical field and reducing its anisotropy at the expense of a slight decrease in $T_c$. This reduction of the transition temperature is caused by interband scattering between the $\sigma$- and the $\pi$-bands and by intraband scattering within the $\sigma$-bands. Intraband scattering can reduce $T_c$ only in anisotropic superconductors or, indirectly, via a reduction of the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level, as observed in MgB$_2$ [12]. Since intraband scattering in the $\sigma$-bands is also responsible for the increase in the upper critical field, a decrease in $T_c$ is inherent in the enhancement of $B_{c2}$ by impurity scattering. The additional amount of interband scattering potentially depends on the actual defect structure and leads to sample to sample variations. The same holds for charge doping (e.g., by carbon or aluminium), which also reduces the DOS [13, 14, 15]. Nevertheless, a remarkable similarity in resistivity, transition temperature and upper critical field was found in samples with a totally different defect structure (as grown defects, SiC doping, neutron induced defects) [16]. Thus, the transition temperature turns out to be a useful disorder parameter.

The beneficial effect of the decrease of the mean free path of the charge carriers has to
compete with the decrease of $T_c$ leading to a maximum of $B_{c2}$ as a function of the transition temperature. $B_{c2}$ in most samples with a transition temperature above 33 K ($B_{c2} < \sim 40$ T) reasonably follows the relation $[5]$

$$B_{c2}(T_c) = 13.8(t_c^2 + 16.7t_c(1 - t_c)) \ T, \quad (2)$$

with $t_c := \frac{T_c}{T_{c\text{clean}}}$ and $T_{c\text{clean}} = 39.43$ K. $B_{c2}$ of samples with a smaller transition temperature normally deviates from this relation, as demonstrated by irradiation $[17, 18, 19]$ or doping $[20, 21, 22]$ experiments. Only carbon doped fibers $[23]$ follow (2) at lower transition temperatures, with $B_{c2} = 55$ T at $T_c = 28$ K, which is close to the predicted maximum of about 60 T at $T_c \sim 21$ K. The doped fibers and results obtained on thin films, where upper critical fields of up to 74 T were found $[7]$, suggest that the highest reported values in bulk samples (around 40 T) are not a fundamental limit and that the deviations from (2) at low transition temperatures are caused by an additional not inherent effect (e.g. strong interband scattering). However, the validity of (2) down to low transition temperatures will be assumed in the following, which is optimistic, since the maximum $B_{c2}$ at around 21 K was not yet achieved in bulk samples. On the other hand, many reports claim slightly higher upper critical fields at a certain transition temperature ($> 33$ K) than predicted by (2), which reflects the average behavior of data extracted from literature $[5]$. Ideal intraband scattering centers inducing only a minimum fraction of interband scattering could lead to higher values of the upper critical field at a given transition temperature than predicted by (2).

A further benefit of impurity scattering is the reduction of the upper critical field anisotropy $[19]$ which is also strongly correlated with the reduction of $T_c$ $[5, 19]$: \n
$$\gamma(T_c) = \frac{t_c^2 + 16.7t_c(1 - t_c)}{3.88 - 3.724t_c}. \quad (3)$$

The depairing current density is expected to decrease by the introduction of disorder, since $J_d \propto B_{c2}^2 \xi \propto T_c^2 \sqrt{B_{c2}}$. Both, the reduction of $T_c$ and the enhancement of $B_{c2}$, decrease $J_d$. The estimate $T_c \propto B_c$ is based on the proportionality between the thermodynamic critical field, $B_c$, and the energy gap, which was shown to scale with $T_c$ in the $\sigma$-band $[24, 25, 26]$. With this dependence of $J_d$ on $T_c$ and $B_{c2}$ and with the dependencies of $B_{c2}$ and $\gamma$ according to (2) and (3), the critical current density (at fixed field and temperature) is a unique function of the transition temperature for a given $A_{\text{con}}\eta_{\text{pin}}$. Figure 2 compares the field dependence of the critical currents in clean ($T_c = 39$ K) and disordered ($T_c = 34$ K) MgB$_2$. 


IV. OPTIMIZATION OF THE MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Since the improvements in $B_{c2}$ and $\gamma$ induced by impurity scattering have to compete with the reduction of $J_d$ and $T_c$, an optimal amount of disorder maximizes the critical current density at a certain field and temperature. This is illustrated in Figure 3 for 8 T and 4.2 K.

The only free parameter left is the product of $A_{\text{con}}$ and $\eta_{\text{pin}}$, which is temperature independent and can be chosen to fit the experimental data (solid line in Fig. 3). The overall agreement between the experimental data and the predicted behavior is quite good, given the fact that $A_{\text{con}}$ and $\eta_{\text{pin}}$ are expected to vary from sample to sample. The main increase of the high field $J_c$ was achieved by the introduction of scattering centers in the last few years.
which decreased $T_c$ to about 32–35 K. A further decrease of $T_c$ seems to be less beneficial, although the predicted maximum of $J_c$ is at around 27 K.

It is interesting to compare the experimental data to the expected maximum of $A_{\text{con}}\eta_{\text{pin}}$. $A_{\text{con}}$ is one in the ideal case, but it is difficult to estimate the theoretical limit of $\eta_{\text{pin}}$. As pointed out in the introduction, the maximum low field $J_c$ is expected to be around $0.2J_d$. Due to the divergence of $J_c$ in (1) for $B \to 0$, $A_{\text{con}}\eta_{\text{pin}}$ cannot be determined from the self field $J_c$ alone. The field, where the low field plateau ends, is crucial and depends on the density of strong pins. It should be pointed out that the low field behaviour of the critical currents is difficult to determine, since the self field of the currents becomes quite large at $0.2J_d \approx 4 \times 10^{11} \text{ A m}^{-2}$. Approximately 1 T can be estimated as the maximum self field for a 400 nm thick film (only weakly dependent on its lateral dimensions) and 200 T (!) for a cube of 1 mm$^3$. The latter is of course totally unrealistic, since the self field strongly reduces the currents leading in turn immediately to much smaller self fields. Nevertheless, the self field remains quite large in bulk samples and impedes the assessment of $J_c$ at low fields. Self field current densities of around $4 \times 10^{11} \text{ A m}^{-2}$ were reported in thin films indicating the presence of optimal pinning centers. $J_c$ is usually about one order of magnitude lower at 1 T which might result from a comparatively low density of such pins. The mean distance between two pins should be significantly smaller than the lattice parameter of the flux line lattice which is about 44 nm at 1 T. However, from $J_c(1 \text{T}, 4.2 \text{K}) = 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ A m}^{-2}$ one can estimate $A_{\text{con}}\eta_{\text{pin}}$ to be about 0.018 T$^{0.5}$. The dotted line in Fig. 3 is based on this value, which is about 5 times larger than the average experimental one in polycrystalline materials (solid line). It is in principle not possible to distinguish whether pinning is less efficient in such materials or whether the connectivity is reduced in comparison to thin films. The typical low densities of the filaments and the presence of secondary phases favor the latter, which is supported by electron microscopy. However, $A_{\text{con}}\eta_{\text{pin}} = 0.018 \text{T}^{0.5}$ is used in the following, which is not a theoretical limit, but corresponds to the highest reported critical current densities in well connected films. Nanometer sized grains were found not only in these “high $J_c$” films, but also in bulks, wires or tapes. It is interesting to note that two experimental points, lie above this “optimal” performance. Both samples were prepared by an internal magnesium diffusion process. (The data point at 39 K extracted from Ref. [41] was slightly extrapolated from lower field values. $T_c$ is given only approximately ($\approx 36 \text{K}$) in Ref. [35].) This gives hope that this prediction is still
FIG. 4: Optimum critical current densities at 4.2 K (open circles) and corresponding transition temperatures (solid squares). Horizontal lines indicate typical application criteria.

too pessimistic, although it might be simply a consequence of a slight overestimation of $T_c$ because of material inhomogeneity. In this case the grains or regions of the sample which determine the onset of the superconducting transition are not responsible for the high field behavior at low temperatures [5].

The optimal amount of scattering centers depends on the operating conditions. The potentially highest $J_c$ at each field was calculated and is presented in Fig. 4 (open circles). A clean material with optimum $T_c$ is favorable at low fields. With increasing field, disorder should increase and the optimum $T_c$ is predicted to be about 21 K for magnetic fields above about 20 T. This implicitly assumes a $B_{c2}$ of 47 T at 4.2 K ([2] and [11]), which was only realized in fibers [23] or films [7] so far (although at higher transition temperatures). If $B_{c2}$ cannot be improved beyond 40 T, the optimum high field $J_c$ is expected to be similar to that of the “34 K sample” in Fig. 2 (($A_{\text{con}}/\eta_{\text{pin}} = 0.018 T^{0.5}$ was assumed also in these calculations.)

Typical magnet applications require a current density $J_c$ of about $10^9$ A m$^{-2}$, for large magnets (e.g. in fusion power plants) $2 \times 10^8$ A m$^{-2}$ might be acceptable. At 4.2 K, these criteria are met at 14 T and 21 T, respectively.

MgB$_2$ could also be used at higher temperatures, thus the temperature dependence of the “application fields” was calculated. The results are shown in Fig. 5. The depairing current density was assumed to decrease with temperature as $J_d(T) \propto B_c(T)^2 \xi(T) \propto (1 - (T/T_c)^2)^2/\sqrt{B_{c2}(T)}$. The upper critical field was modeled by

$$B_{c2}(T) = B_{c2}(0)(1 - T/(T_c - 4 K)).$$

(4)
A linear dependence of $B_{c2}$ on temperature is often observed in MgB$_2$ at intermediate temperatures. This linear behavior does not extrapolate to zero at $T_c$, but at a slightly smaller temperature, therefore, 4 K are subtracted from $T_c$ in the denominator in $B_{c2}$. The usually observed tail in $B_{c2}(T)$ near $T_c$ is neglected, since the temperature range near $T_c$ is unimportant for applications. Note that the experimental data of $B_{c2}(0)$, on which $B_{c2}$ is based, were often obtained from a linear extrapolation of $B_{c2}(T)$ at intermediate temperatures, which makes the present estimation of $B_{c2}(T)$ consistent with these data.

The critical current density is above $10^9$ A m$^{-2}$ up to about 3.7 T at 20 K and above $2 \times 10^8$ A m$^{-2}$ up to about 5 T (Fig. 5). These values should not be taken too literally, because of the numerous assumptions.

The optimum transition temperature increases with the application temperature and depends only weakly on the application criterion. Only at low temperatures significant differences between the $10^9$ A m$^{-2}$ and the $2 \times 10^8$ A m$^{-2}$ curves are found (right panel in Fig. 5). The optimum transition temperature at liquid helium temperature is still much lower than in state-of-the-art materials (if $T_c$ could be realized). At 20 K the optimum $T_c$ is predicted to be around 32.5 K which is comparable to today’s materials. Thus, a further increase of impurity scattering is not expected to improve the properties at 20 K. This can be realized only by improving the connectivity or pinning.

Last we consider an even more optimistic scenario based on the best demonstrated thin film performance. An upper critical field of around 63 T at 4.2 K with an anisotropy of about 1.85 was found in a thin film with $T_c = 35$ K [7]. $B_{c2}$ of the same film was 27 T at 20 K with
FIG. 6: A more optimistic scenario: Calculations are based on the best thin film performance reported so far.

an anisotropy of about 2.2. With these parameters and $A_{\text{con}}\eta_{\text{pin}}$ as above (demonstrated at other films), $J_c(B)$ was calculated, assuming the same dependence of $J_d$ on $T$, $T_c$ and $B_{c2}$. The results are shown in Fig. 6. The application field is shifted to around 30 T at 4.2 K and to about 13 T at 20 K.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The optimum high field performance of MgB$_2$ was calculated based on its intrinsic parameters, namely upper critical field, depairing current density, anisotropy and transition temperature. It turns out that the optimal amount of impurity scattering depends on the operation conditions (field and temperature). The upper critical field and the anisotropy were extrapolated from literature data, which only reflect an average behavior. Therefore, further improvements can be expected by optimization of the inter-/intraband scattering rates. This is encouraged by results obtained on thin films. Other promising ways of enhancing the critical currents are an improvement in the connectivity between the grains and of the MgB$_2$ density in wires or tapes. At low temperatures, MgB$_2$ has certainly the potential to beat the high field performance of NbTi and even to approach that of Nb$_3$Sn. At 20 K intraband scattering seems to be nearly optimized in today’s state-of-the-art samples.

If the high upper critical fields observed in dirty thin films with rather high transition temperatures could be obtained also in polycrystalline MgB$_2$, the high field performance
would significantly improve.
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