Expression of chemokine receptors on circulating tumor cells in patients with solid tumors
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Abstract

Background: The study was performed to investigate the expression of chemokine receptors (CR) on circulating tumor cells (CTC), which may be of importance for organ-specific metastases and cancer treatment since CR are potential drug-targets.

Methods: Blood samples from patients with metastatic carcinoma (MC) or melanoma (MM) were enriched for CTC and expression of CR (CXCR4, CCR6, CCR7 and CCR9) was evaluated by flow cytometry.

Results: CTC were detected in 49 of 68 patients (72%) [28 MC; 21 MM] with a median number of 3 CTC (range: 1-94)/10 mL of blood. CXCR4 was expressed on CTC in 82% (40/49) of patients [median number 1 CTC/10 mL blood; range 1-14] and CCR6 in 29 patients (59%; median 1, range: 1-14). In MM patients, CCR7 was expressed on CTC in 6 (29%) samples and CCR9 in 12 (57%). A positive correlation between surface expression of CR and organ-specific metastatic pattern was not observed.

Conclusions: CR were expressed on CTC of patients with solid tumors. Along with our findings, the observation that CR could be involved in CTC proliferation and migration of tumor cells appoints CTC as potential CR-antagonist therapeutic target.
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Background

The presence of circulating tumor cells (CTC) was first described in 1869 by Thomas Ashworth. Cells similar to the ones of the tumor were observed in the blood of a man with metastatic cancer [1]. Despite improvements in isolation and in characterization of CTC, the current understanding of their biological properties is very limited. It is in fact totally unclear, whether CTC are a fraction of cells transiently present in the blood stream as a prerequisite to potentially seed haematogenous metastases of the disease, or represent a unique subpopulation of tumor cells able to survive and circulate in the blood stream for an extended duration, perhaps with the potential to eventually home to peripheral tissues, where they may or may not be able to initiate formation of metastases (i.e. possess complete metastasis-initiating properties).

Presence of tumor cells in the circulation does not necessary end up with development of metastasis and its growth could be a phenomenon due to random survival of few tumor cells. In a mouse model it has indeed been shown that less than 0.1% of tumor cells of the primary tumor survived in blood to produce metastases [2]. However, although CTC might be simply shed in the circulation without having a clinical impact, a significant correlation between the presence of CTC and development of distant metastases and outcome has been observed by several groups [3-7].

Metastases show in the majority of cases an organ-specific pattern of spread and this specificity is independent from any anatomical factor. In 1889 Stephen Paget analyzed 735 autopsy records of women with breast cancer and a non-random pattern of organ metastases was observed. Paget’s results led to the formulation of the so called “seed and soil theory” [8]. The process of metas- tasis seemed therefore not due to chance, but to the fact that tumor cells (the ‘seed’) had a specific affinity for the microenvironment of certain organs (the ‘soil’). Different
organisms have special abilities to arrest, attract, and promote certain types of cancer cells which matched the specific microenvironment of the host tissue. A major mechanism in the seed concept is that metastatic cancer cells co-opt chemokine-mediated signalling (seed-factor), which normally controls leukocyte distribution.

Chemokines display pleiotropic effects in immunity, regulating angiogenesis, promoting proliferation of tumor cells and mediating organ-specific metastases [9]. Several different chemokine receptors (CR), including CXCchemokine receptors (CR), including CXCR4 [10-15], CCR7 [16-18], CCR9 [19] and CCR6 [20,21], have been suggested to mediate metastasis to specific target-organs and the presence of a specific CR on cancer cells has been associated with a definite metastatic pattern.

In particular, high levels of functional CXCR4 receptors have been observed on human breast cancer cells and correspondingly, the highest CXCR4 ligand expression, CXCL12, was detected in organs that are preferential destinations of breast cancer metastasis [10]. Other studies supported the idea that the CXCR4/CXCL12 axis is the principle mechanism for marrow homing of normal or malignant cells and may therefore regulate migration and metastasis of a variety of cancer types including melanoma and colon cancer [11-15]. Expression of CCR7 in tumor cells of patients with non-small-cell lung cancer or colon cancer has been correlated with the ability of the cells to spread to the lymph nodes [16,17]. In a previous study we observed a strong correlation between functional CCR9 expression in melanoma and the occurrence of intestinal metastases [19]. Similarly, we observed a striking correlation between CCR6 overexpression and synchronous liver metastasis in patients with colorectal carcinoma [20].

In this study we conducted an exploratory analysis to evaluate whether CTC enriched from patients with solid tumors expressed the CR CXCR4, CCR6, CCR7 and CCR9. Correlation between expression of CR and metastatic pattern was also evaluated.

Methods

Samples collection

The investigation was approved by the Ethic Committee at Charité. Sixty-eight consecutive patients receiving various forms of systemic chemotherapy at Charité (Berlin, Germany) were enrolled: 29 were affected by metastatic melanoma [MM] and 39 by metastatic carcinoma [MC]. Twenty mL blood anticoagulated with heparin was collected after informed consent from each patient. Blood was collected before treatment start irrespective of the line of treatment. Samples were drawn after discarding the first 2 mL of blood to avoid potential skin cell contamination from venipuncture and processed within 1 hour after sampling.

Enrichment (CD45 depletion) for CTC

Red blood cell lysis buffer (154 mM NH4Cl, 10 mM KHCO3 and 0.1 mM EDTA in deionized water) was used to lyse erythrocytes. Cells were subsequently washed with a buffer consisting of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.5% bovin serum albumin (BSA), and 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). Cells were counted and resuspended in the buffer at a concentration of 1 × 10^8 cells/mL and then enriched for tumor cells by CD45 depletion of the leukocyte fraction using a magnetic bead separation technique (EasySep®, Stem Cells Technologies, Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada) as previously described [22]. The remaining material was split in two fractions and stained with either a cocktail of specific antibodies or with the corresponding isotypic control antibodies purchased from the same manufacturer. All antibody batches were titrated to determine their optimal concentration. Specificity, recovery and linearity of the method have been previously reported [22-24].

Characterization of CTC for CR expression by flow cytometry

In case of carcinomas cells were stained on the surface with a cocktail containing EpCAM (0.006 mg/mL; clone EBA-1, BD Biosciences, San José, CA, USA), CXCR4 (0.002 mg/mL; clone 12G5; BD Biosciences); CCR6 (0.002 mg/mL; clone R6H1, eBiosciences San Diego, CA, USA) and CD45 (0.015 mg/mL clone TU116, BD Biosciences). For intracellular staining cells were fixed with 1% formaldehyde and thereafter permeabilized. Briefly, pellet was resuspended in 2 mL of a sterile solution containing 0.1% saponin, 0.05% NaN3 in Hanks’ Balanced Salt Solution (SAP buffer). Cells were centrifuged at 200 × g for 5 minutes; supernatant decanted ensuring that approximately 200 μL of SAP buffer remained in the tube. Cells were subsequently stained with antibodies specific for cytokeratin (CK) 7 and 8 (0.01 mg/mL clone CAM 5.2, BD Biosciences) and incubated for 20 minutes in the dark at 4°C.

In case of melanoma, cells were stained on the surface with melanoma-associated chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan (Miltenyi Biotec Inc., Auburn CA, USA, CD45 (clone TU116, BD Biosciences), CXCR4 (BD Biosciences); CCR6 (eBiosciences); CCR7 (0.002 mg/mL; Clone 150503, R&D System, Minneapolis, MN USA) and CCR9 (0.002 mg/mL; clone 112509, R&D System).

Cells were acquired on a FACSCanto II system (BD Biosciences) and the whole volume was evaluated. Potential epithelial cells were defined as EpCAM and CK double-positive and CD45 negative. Potential melanoma cells were defined as melanoma-associated chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan-positive and CD45
negative. Data were analysed with the use of FlowJo 7.2.5 software (Tree Star, Ashland, OR, USA).

Statistical analysis
Chi-squared or Fisher’s exact test tests were used where appropriate to evaluate any differences between the parameters examined. Two-sided p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The analysis was performed using SPSS Inc. Software (version 18.0).

Results
Patients and detection of CTC
CTC were detected in the blood of 49 patients (72%): 28 out of 39 (72%) MC patients and 21 out of 29 (72%) MM patients showed at least 1 CTC/10 mL blood. Characteristics of the patients included in the analysis on CR expression (positive for presence of CTC) are listed in Table 1.

The median number of CTC was 3 (range: 1-94)/10 mL. The median number of CTC was 2 (range: 1-21)/10 mL blood in the MC cohort and 4 (range: 1-94)/10 mL blood in the MM group.

Expression of chemokine receptors on CTC
Results were summarized in Table 2 and depicted in Figure 1. CXCR4 was expressed on CTC in 82% (40/49) of the patients and the median number of CXCR4-positive CTC was 1 (range: 1-14). CCR6 was expressed in 29 patients (59%) and the median number of CCR6-positive CTC was 1 (range: 1-14). Expression rates of CXCR4 and CCR6 did not significantly differ between MC and MM patients (Figure 1). CXCR4 was more frequently expressed on CTC than CCR6 (p = 0.015; Figure 1).

CCR7 was expressed on CTC in 6 MM patients (6/21 = 29%) and the median number of CCR7-positive CTC was 1 (range: 1-5). CCR9 was expressed on CTC in 12 MM patients (57%) and the median number of CCR7-positive CTC was 2 (range: 1-5).

Seventeen (61%) patients with MC presented CTC double positive for CXCR4 and CCR6; 7 (25%) patients showed CTC positive for CXCR4 or CCR6 and 4 (14%) had CTC negative for both the CXCR4 and CCR6. The median number of CTC without expression of CXCR4 and CCR6 was 1 (range: 1-18). Fourteen (66%) patients affected by MM presented CTC positive for at least two of the CR analysed; 3 (14%) patients showed CTC positive for only one CR and 4 (19%) resulted to have CTC negative for expression of all the CR evaluated. The median number of CTC negative for all the CR analysed was 6 (range 3-86).

Expression of chemokine receptors on CTC and metastatic pattern
We then asked if expression of the CR on CTC correlated with presence of liver metastasis or lung metastasis. Results are presented in Table 3. No positive correlation was observed between expression of CR on CTC and presence of liver or lung metastasis. Results did not change when MM and MC patients were analysed separately and when analyses were performed including only patients with solely liver metastases (n = 15) vs. patients without liver metastasis (data not shown). Presence of liver or lung metastasis was not

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the patients included in the analysis

| Total (n = 49) | MC (n = 28) | MM (n = 21) |
|---------------|------------|------------|
| Age, median (range) | 63 (25-85) | 63 (25-85) | 60 (25-85) |
| Gender (M:F) | 25:24 | 25:24 | 25:24 |
| Primary tumor | | | |
| Colon cancer | 9 | 8 | 1 |
| Breast cancer | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| NSCLC | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Cervix cancer | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| Ovarian cancer | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| SCCHN | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Pancreatic cancer | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Cutaneous Melanoma | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| Uveal melanoma | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| Number of metastatic sites | | | |
| 1 | 27 | 23 | 4 |
| 2 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| > 2 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| Liver metastasis | | | |
| Yes | 26 | 22 | 4 |
| No | 23 | 16 | 7 |
| Lung metastasis | | | |
| Yes | 22 | 16 | 6 |
| No | 27 | 12 | 15 |

MC: Metastatic carcinoma; MM: metastatic melanoma; NSCLC: non-small-cell lung cancer; SCCHN: Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma

Table 2 Median number of chemokine receptor-positive cells and positivity rates (PR)

| Total (n = 49) | MC (n = 28) | MM (n = 21) |
|---------------|------------|------------|
| CXCR4 PR | 82% (40/49) | 86% (24/28) | 76% (16/21) |
| Median [range] | 1 [1-14] | 1 [1-14] | 2 [1-8] |
| CCR6 PR | 59% (29/49) | 61% (17/28) | 57% (12/21) |
| Median [range] | 1 [1-14] | 1 [1-14] | 2 [1-8] |
| CCR7 PR | 29% (6/21) | | |
| Median [range] | 1 [1-5] | | |
| CCR9 PR | 57% (12/21) | | |
| Median [range] | 2 [1-5] | | |

MC: Metastatic carcinoma; MM: metastatic melanoma
Discussion

In the present study, we investigated a series of blood samples drawn from consecutive metastatic patients with different solid tumors, in order to evaluate the expression of the CR CXCR4, CCR6, CCR7 and CCR9 on CTC. CTC expressed CR in the majority of cases, with CXCR4 being the most frequently expressed CR and CCR6 often co-expressed.

The studies published so far about this topic are not directly comparable with our study due to profound differences in strategies employed for identification of CTC. These reports, although more homogeneous in terms of patients, did not enrich the blood specimens for CTC and they used a more extensive definition for CTC identification. Pituch-Noworolska et al. evaluated the immunophenotype of CK+PBMCs and of disseminated tumor cells (DTC) from bone marrow in patients with gastric cancer. CCR6 and CXCR4 expression was determined on CK+cells sorted out from blood (8 samples) or bone marrow (69 samples). CCR6 was expressed in a half of the CK+samples whereas the expression of CXCR4 was much lower [25]. We also observed expression of CCR6 in a half of our samples, but unlike Pituch-Noworolska we observed a much higher expression of CXCR4. High levels of CXCR4 expression (>90%) on CK+PBMCs were also observed by other

Table 3 Correlation between chemokine receptor expression and presence of liver or lung metastases

|                  | Liver metastasis | Lung metastasis |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                  | Yes (%) | No (%) | p       | Yes (%) | No (%) | p       |
| CXCR4            | 21/26   | 19/23  | 0.28 | 17/22  | 23/27  | 0.44 |
|                  | (81%)   | (83%)  |     | (77%)  | (85%)  |     |
| CCR6             | 14/26   | 15/23  | 0.42 | 13/22  | 16/27  | 0.84 |
|                  | (54%)   | (65%)  |     | (59%)  | (62%)  |     |
| CCR7 *           | 5/15 (33%)| 1/6 (17%)| 0.42 | 0/6 (0%)| 6/15 (40%)| 0.09 |
| CCR9 *           | 9/15 (60%)| 3/6 (50%)| 0.34 | 1/6 (17%)| 11/15 (73%)| 0.03 |

* only patients with metastatic melanoma

Figure 1 Expression positivity rates (number of samples in which CR-expressing CTC were observed/number of CTC-positive samples evaluated) of the chemokine receptors CXCR4, CCR6, CCR7, and CCR9 on CTC in the whole population or in patients with metastatic carcinoma (MC) or metastatic melanoma (MM). Significant differences are indicated by an asterisk (*).
authors in small pilot studies involving patients with non-small cell lung cancer [26] or patients with metastatic renal cancer [27]. For definition of CTC, these studies used only CK as epithelial marker. Although there is no reference standard, the definition of epithelial CTC as EpCAM +CK+CD45- we utilized, is the wider employed and the most accepted. This is indeed the same definition for identification of CTC applied by the Cell Search System which was cleared by the FDA for detection of CTC in some cancer entities. Albeit we agree that the actual definition might be too restrictive [22], it has been reported that there are 0-20% CK+ cells in blood samples from normal adults which might increase the rate of false positive samples and invalidate the analysis. As first exploratory investigation, we therefore decided not to focus on a single cancer type; on the contrary we preferred to evaluate a broader range of cancer and a larger spectrum of chemokine receptors.

Despite previous observations of an association between expression of specific CR in primary tumors and metastatic organ preference, we did not find any correlation between CR expression on CTC and specific metastatic pattern. In our study we evaluated the presence of CR on the surface of CTC (i.e. ready-to-home cells) without analysing their intracellular expression. Intracellular expression of CR (in particular nuclear expression of CXCR4) assessed at primary tissue level has been associated to the metastatic destination of tumor cells and to patient outcome in several different cancer entities [16-20,28-30]. It might be therefore possible that we underestimated the quote of CR-positive CTC. This implies that a further step is needed before specific cell-seeding: CR already present in the cell should migrate to the surface. Migration could be triggered by several mechanisms including unspecific adhesion [31] and hypoxia [32,33]. Another limitation of our study consisted in the cohort of patients we analysed. Blood samples were in fact drawn from patients with distant metastases affected by different solid tumors in a relative small number of cases. A substantial conclusion could not therefore be drawn. An eventual correlation between CR profile on CTC and metastatic pattern could have been better assessed in a cohort of patients with the same tumor entity and before development of distant metastasis. The biology of the CTC in patients with metastasis is poorly investigated, but recent data suggested they might be a source of further tumor foci. Massagué and colleagues showed that CTC from distant metastasis were able to re-infiltrate tumors at their organs of origin and even to promote the growth of the primary tumors [34]. However, studies which evaluate expression of more than one CR in patients with metastatic tumors both at primary and metastatic level demonstrated a more varied CR expression at primary level [35-37] compared to metastases and similar to the expression profile on CTC we observed. That might denote that the seed (CTC) is ‘equipped’ to migrate potentially anywhere and that the soil has the principal role in directing migration of the seed to specific sites. This statement is consistent with the fact that conditioned media obtained from distinct tumor types with unique patterns of metastatic spread redirected premetastatic niche formation, thereby transforming the metastatic profile, in Id3 knockout mice [38].

Presence of CR on CTC makes CTC a potential therapeutic target for CR antagonists. The recent approval of a CCR5 receptor antagonist in HIV paves in fact the way for further effective antagonists to other CR. Various strategies have been employed in preclinical models to target CXCR4 including inhibitory antibodies, small molecule antagonists, RNAi and small inhibitory peptides. CXCR4-directed antibodies suppressed lymph node metastasis in experimental breast cancer [10] and suppressed tumor growth and impaired the development of tumor endothelium in experimental models of colon and pancreatic cancer [39]. Administration of the specific small molecule CXCR4 antagonist AMD3100 to mice with intracranial glioblastoma effectively inhibited growth and increased apoptosis of the tumor cells [40]. Similarly, AMD3100 inhibited ascites accumulation in an experimental model of gastric cancer [41]. CTCE-9908 is a peptide analogue of SDF-1 that acts as a competitive antagonist of CXCR4. In experimental models, treatment with CTCE-9908 showed to reduce tumor burden, but did not reduce the frequency of metastasis suggesting that other mechanisms independent from the expression of CXCR4 are involved in metastasis development [42,43]. Although investigation of the role of CR inhibitors in clinical setting is still in the earlier phase [44], presence of CXCR4 at high frequency on CTC of patients with solid tumors makes CTC a potential therapeutic target for CXCR4 antagonists in a large number of patients. However, functional assays evaluating the role of CR in migration and proliferation of CTC are necessary to assess if a CR inhibition strategy could be taken into consideration.

Conclusions

CR are expressed on CTC of patients with metastatic solid tumors. Even though in this study we did not find a positive correlation between CR expression on CTC and metastatic pattern, these receptors could be involved in CTC proliferation and migration of cancer cells, which appoints CTC as potential CR-antagonist therapeutic targets.
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