THE SIMPLEX OF TRACIAL QUANTUM SYMMETRIC STATES
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Abstract. We show that the space of tracial quantum symmetric states of an arbitrary unital C*-algebra is a Choquet simplex and is a face of the tracial state space of the universal unital C*-algebra free product of A with itself infinitely many times. We also show that the extreme points of this simplex are dense, making it the Poulsen simplex when A is separable and nontrivial. In the course of the proof we characterize the centers of certain tracial amalgamated free product C*-algebras.

1. Introduction and description of results

Quantum exchangeable random variables (namely, random variables whose distributions are invariant for the natural co-actions of S. Wang’s quantum permutation groups [11]) were characterized by Köstler and Speicher [6] to be those sequences of identically distributed random variables that are free with respect to the conditional expectation onto their tail algebra (that is, free with amalgamation over the tail algebra).

In [4], Dykema, Köstler and Williams considered, for any unital C*-algebra A, the analogous notion of quantum symmetric states on the universal unital free product C*-algebra \( \mathfrak{A} = \ast_{\infty}^{\ast} A \). The symbols QSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) denote the compact convex set of all quantum symmetric states on \( \mathfrak{A} \). The paper [4] contains a convenient characterization of the extreme points of QSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)). Also the compact convex set TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) ⊆ QSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) of all tracial quantum symmetric states on \( \mathfrak{A} \) was considered, and the extreme points of TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) were described. Question 8.10 of [4] asks whether TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) is a Choquet simplex (when \( \mathfrak{A} \) has a tracial state, for otherwise TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) is empty).

The main result of this note is that TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) is a Choquet simplex whose extreme points are dense. Thus, when \( \mathfrak{A} \) is separable and nontrivial, TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) is the Poulsen simplex [7], which is the unique metrizable simplex whose extreme points are dense. In showing this, we also see that TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) is a face of the simplex TS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)) of all tracial states on \( \mathfrak{A} \) and we obtain a better description of the extreme points of TQSS(\( \mathfrak{A} \)).

Along the way, we prove some technical results that we need and that may be useful in other contexts. In Section 2, we provide a proof (not readily found in the literature) of a well known fact that natural conditions are sufficient for an amalgamated free product to have a trace. In Section 3 we characterize the centers of certain tracial von Neumann algebra free products with amalgamation and we use

Date: 18 January, 2014.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L54.

Keywords and phrases. quantum symmetric states, amalgamated free product.

*Supported in part by ANR grant NEUMANN. †Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1202660. ‡Supported in part by CPDA grant of IITM.
this to characterize the set of conditional-expectation-preserving traces of von Neumann algebras. Section 3 is short and consists of a technical result about conditional expectations. Finally, in Section 5 we prove the main result.
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2. Amalgamated free products and tracial amalgamated free products

Let \( D \) be a von Neumann algebra, let \( I \) be a nonempty set and for every \( i \in I \) let \( B_i \) be a von Neumann algebra containing \( D \) by a unital inclusion of von Neumann algebras, and suppose \( E_i : B_i \to D \) is a normal conditional expectation with faithful GNS representation. Let \((M_0, F_0) = (\ast_D)_{i \in I}(B_i, E_i)\) be the von Neumann algebra amalgamated free product. In the case that the \( E_i \) are all faithful, details of this construction were given by Ueda [9], and he showed that then \( F \) is faithful (see p. 364 of [9]). Alternatively, and also when the conditional expectations \( E_i \) fail to be faithful but do have faithful GNS constructions, the free product construction may be performed by (a) taking the C*-algebra free product \((M_0, F_0)\) of the \((B_i, E_i)\) acting on the free product Hilbert C*-module \( V \), (b) taking any normal, faithful \(*\)-representation \( \pi \) of \( D \) on a Hilbert space \( H_\pi \), (c) letting \( M \) be the strong-operator-topology closure of the image of the resulting representation of \( M_0 \) on the Hilbert space \( V \otimes_\pi H_\pi \) and (d) letting \( F : M \to D \) be compression by the projection from \( V \otimes_\pi H_\pi \) onto the Hilbert subspace \( D \otimes_\pi H_\pi \). The fact that \( M \) is independent of the representation \( \pi \) follows from that fact that any two normal faithful representations of \( D \) are related by dilation and compression by a projection in the commutant.

The following result is well known, but since we rely on it, this seems like a good place to give a brief proof.

**Proposition 2.1.** Suppose \( \tau \) is a normal trace on \( D \) such that for all \( i \in I \), \( \tau \circ E_i \) is a trace on \( B_i \). Then \( \tau \circ F \) is a trace on \( M \) and is faithful if and only if \( \tau \) is faithful. Furthermore, every normal tracial state on \( M \) that is preserved by \( F \) arises in this fashion.

**Proof.** Since every tracial state \( \tau \) on \( M \) that is preserved by \( F \) must equal \( \tau\vert_D \circ F \), the last assertion of the proposition is clearly true. Moreover, suppose we know that \( \tau \circ F \) is a trace; if we assume also that \( \tau \) is faithful, then the GNS representation of \( \tau \circ F \) will be faithful; since it is a trace, if follows that \( \tau \circ F \) is itself faithful. Thus, we need only show that \( \tau \circ F \) is a trace.

Let \( B_i^o = B_i \cap \ker E_i \). Let \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( b_j \in B_{i(j)}^o \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq m \) and \( c_j \in B_{k(j)}^o \) for all \( 1 \leq j \leq n \), with \( i(j) \neq i(j + 1) \) and \( k(j) \neq k(j + 1) \). If \( d \in D \), then by freeness, we have

\[
F(d(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)) = 0 = F((c_1 \cdots c_n)d),
\] (1)
so the composition with \( \tau \) is also zero. We will show by induction on \( \min(m,n) \) that

\[
\tau \circ F((b_m \cdots b_2 b_1)(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)) = \tau \circ F((c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)(b_m \cdots b_2 b_1))
\]

and, furthermore, that the above quantity is zero unless \( m = n \) and \( i(j) = k(j) \) for all \( j \), in which case it equals

\[
\tau \circ E_{i(m)}(b_m E_{i(m-1)}(b_{m-1} \cdots E_{i(2)}(b_2 E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1) c_2) \cdots c_{m-1}) c_m)
= \tau \circ E_{i(1)}(c_1 E_{i(2)}(c_2 \cdots E_{i(m-1)}(c_{m-1} E_{i(m)}(c_m b_m) b_{m-1}) \cdots b_2) b_1).
\]

This will suffice to prove the lemma, because the span of \( \mathcal{D} \) and such elements \( b_1 \cdots b_m \) is dense in \( \mathcal{M} \).

By freeness, we have

\[
F((b_m \cdots b_2 b_1)(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)) = \delta_{i(1),k(1)} F((b_m \cdots b_2) E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1)(c_2 \cdots c_n)) \quad (4)
\]

If \( m = n = 1 \), then [2] and [3] follow from traciality of \( \tau \circ E_{i(1)} : B_{i(1)} \to \mathbb{C} \). If \( \min(m,n) = 1 \) and \( \max(m,n) > 1 \), then the right-hand-side of [4] is zero by [1], and by symmetry also \( F((c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)(b_m \cdots b_2 b_1)) = 0 \), as required.

We may, thus suppose \( \min(m,n) > 1 \) and \( i(1) = k(1) \). Then, using the induction hypothesis (and noting that \( \mathcal{D} c_2 \subseteq B_{i(1)}^{(2)} \)), we have

\[
\tau \circ F((b_m \cdots b_2 b_1)(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n))
= \delta_{i(1),k(1)} \tau \circ F((b_m \cdots b_2) E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1)(c_2 \cdots c_n))
= \delta_{i(1),k(1)} \delta_{m,n} \delta_{i(2),k(2)} \cdots \delta_{i(m),k(m)}
\cdot \tau \circ E_{i(m)}(b_m E_{i(m-1)}(b_{m-1} \cdots E_{i(2)}(b_2 E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1) c_2) \cdots c_{m-1}) c_m).
\]

If \( m \neq n \) or if \( m = n \) but \( i(j) \neq k(j) \) for some \( j \), then not only is the above quantity zero but, by symmetry, also \( \tau \circ F((c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)(b_m \cdots b_2 b_1)) \) vanishes.

We may, thus, suppose \( m = n > 1 \) and \( i(j) = k(j) \) for all \( j \). Treating \( E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1) c_2 \) as an element of \( B_{i(1)}^{(2)} \), by the induction hypothesis of [3], we get

\[
\tau \circ E_{i(m)}(b_m E_{i(m-1)}(b_{m-1} \cdots E_{i(2)}(b_2 E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1) c_2) \cdots c_{m-1}) c_m)
= \tau \circ E_{i(2)}(E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1) c_2 E_{i(3)}(c_3 \cdots E_{i(m)}(c_m b_m) \cdots b_3) b_2)
= \tau \circ E_{i(1)}(b_1 c_1 E_{i(2)}(c_2 E_{i(3)}(c_3 \cdots E_{i(m)}(c_m b_m) \cdots b_3) b_2))
= \tau \circ E_{i(1)}(c_1 E_{i(2)}(c_2 E_{i(3)}(c_3 \cdots E_{i(m)}(c_m b_m) \cdots b_3) b_2)b_1),
\]

where in the last equality we have used the traciality of \( \tau \circ E_{i(1)} \). Thus, we have proved the identity [3] and that this quantity equals \( \tau \circ F((b_m \cdots b_2 b_1)(c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)) \).

By symmetry, it is equal also to \( \tau \circ F((c_1 c_2 \cdots c_n)(b_m \cdots b_2 b_1)) \).

Of course, the result analogous to Proposition [2.1] for amalgamated free products of \( C^* \)-algebras, is true by the same proof.
3. Centers of certain amalgamated free products

Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a unital inclusion of von Neumann algebras with a normal conditional expectation $E : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ whose GNS representation is faithful. Suppose there is a normal, faithful, tracial state $\tau_\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\tau_\mathcal{B} := \tau_\mathcal{D} \circ E$ is a trace on $\mathcal{B}$. The GNS representation of $\tau_\mathcal{B}$ is an action of $\mathcal{B}$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{B}, \tau_\mathcal{B}) = L^2(\mathcal{B}, E) \otimes_D L^2(\mathcal{D}, \tau)$ by multiplication on the left and, thus, the GNS representation of $\tau_\mathcal{B}$ is faithful. Since $\tau_\mathcal{B}$ is a trace, it follows that $\tau_\mathcal{B}$ itself is faithful and, hence, $E$ must be faithful.

For an element $x$ of a von Neumann algebra, we will let $[x]$ denote the range projection of $x$. Thus, $[x]$ is the orthogonal projection onto the closure of the range of $x$, considered as a Hilbert space operator, and it belongs to the von Neumann algebra generated by $x$. The notation $Z(A)$ means the center of $A$.

**Lemma 3.1.** With $E : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ and trace $\tau_\mathcal{B}$ as above, let

$$q = q(E) = \bigvee \{ [E(b^* b)] \mid b \in \ker E \}.$$ 

Then $q \in \mathcal{D} \cap Z(\mathcal{B})$, and $(1 - q)\mathcal{B} = (1 - q)\mathcal{D}$.

**Proof.** If $b \in \ker E$ and $u$ is a unitary in $\mathcal{D}$ then $bu \in \ker E$, and

$$[E((bu)^* (bu))] = [u^* E(b^* b) u] = u^* [E(b^* b)] u$$

and we get $u^* qu = q$. Thus, $q \in Z(\mathcal{D})$.

If $q \notin Z(\mathcal{B})$, then there would be a partial isometry $v \in \mathcal{B}$ so that $0 \neq v^* v \leq 1 - q$ and $vv^* \leq q$. Since $q \in Z(\mathcal{D})$ we get $E(v) = qE(v)(1 - q) = 0$. But, since $E$ is faithful, $E(v^* v) \neq 0$ and $[E(v^* v)] \leq 1 - q$, contrary to the definition of $q$. Thus, we must have $q \in Z(\mathcal{B})$.

If $(1 - q)\mathcal{B} \neq (1 - q)\mathcal{D}$, then there would be $b \in (1 - q)\mathcal{B} \cap \ker E$ with $b \neq 0$. But again, this yields $0 \neq E(b^* b) = (1 - q)E(b^* b)$, contrary to the choice of $q$. Thus, we must have $(1 - q)\mathcal{B} = (1 - q)\mathcal{D}$.

Let

$$(\mathcal{M}, F) = (\ast_\mathcal{D})_{\infty}^\triangleright(\mathcal{B}, E)$$

be the von Neumann algebra free product with amalgamation over $\mathcal{D}$ of infinitely many copies of $(\mathcal{B}, E)$. Our main goal in this section is to show that the center of $\mathcal{M}$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}$.

Let $\tau = \tau_\mathcal{D} \circ F$. By Proposition 2.11, $\tau$ is a faithful trace on $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $(\mathcal{B}_i, E_i)$ denote the $i$-th copy of $(\mathcal{B}, E)$ in the construction of $\mathcal{M}$. We now describe some standard notation for $\mathcal{M}$ and related objects. The von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is constructed on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and we write $\mathcal{M} \ni x \mapsto \hat{x} \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for the usual mapping with dense range. For convenience, we will write the inner product on $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to be linear in the second variable and conjugate linear in the first variable. Thus, we have, for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\langle \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2 \rangle = \tau(x_1^* x_2).$$
where $\mathcal{H}_i^0$ is the Hilbert $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}$-bimodule $L^2(\mathcal{B}_i, E_i) \otimes \mathcal{D}$. We will denote by $\lambda$ the left action of $M$ on $L^2(M, \tau)$ and by $\rho$ the anti-multiplicative right action, $\rho(x) = J\lambda(x^*)J$, where $J$ is the standard conjugate linear isometry of $L^2(M, \tau)$ defined by $\hat{x} \mapsto (x^*)^\gamma$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\eta_1, \eta_2 \in L^2(\mathcal{D}, \tau_\mathcal{D}) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq N \atop i_j \neq i_{j+1}} \mathcal{H}_{i_1}^0 \otimes_\mathcal{D} \cdots \otimes_\mathcal{D} \mathcal{H}_{i_k}^0 \otimes_\mathcal{D} L^2(\mathcal{D}, \tau_\mathcal{D})$$

and let $b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{N+1}$. Let $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ be such that

$$c_1^*c_2 = E_{N+1}(b_1^*b_2), \quad d_2d_1^* = E_{N+1}(b_2b_1^*)$$

Then

$$(\lambda(b_1)\eta_1, \lambda(b_2)\eta_2) = (\lambda(c_1)\eta_1, \lambda(c_2)\eta_2),$$

$$(\rho(b_1)\eta_1, \rho(b_2)\eta_2) = (\rho(d_1)\eta_1, \rho(d_2)\eta_2).$$

**Proof.** We may without loss of generality assume $\eta_j = \hat{x}_j$ for $x_j \in W^*(\bigcup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{B}_j)$. Then

$$(\lambda(b_1)\eta_1, \lambda(b_2)\eta_2) = \tau(x_1^*b_1^*b_2^*x_2) = \tau_\mathcal{D}(F(x_1^*b_1^*b_2^*x_2)).$$

By freeness, we have

$$F(x_1^*b_1^*b_2^*x_2) = F(x_1^*F(b_1^*b_2)x_2) = F(x_1^*c_1^*c_2x_2),$$

from which we get

$$(\lambda(b_1)\eta_1, \lambda(b_2)\eta_2) = \tau(x_1^*c_1^*c_2x_2) = (\lambda(c_1)\eta_1, \lambda(c_2)\eta_2).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\rho(b_1)\eta_1, \rho(b_2)\eta_2) = \tau(b_1^*x_1^*x_2b_2) = \tau(x_2b_1^*b_2^*x_1^*) = \tau(x_2d_2d_1^*x_1^*) = (\rho(d_1)\eta_1, \rho(d_2)\eta_2).$$

□

**Theorem 3.3.** The center of $M$ lies in $\mathcal{D}$. In particular,

$$Z(M) = \mathcal{D} \cap Z(\mathcal{B}).$$

(6)

**Proof.** It suffices to show $Z(M) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, for then (6) follows readily.

Let $x \in Z(M)$. Let $\eta = \hat{x} - F(x)^\gamma$. Then

$$\eta \in \bigoplus_{k \geq 1 \atop i_1, \ldots, i_k \geq 1 \atop i_j \neq i_{j+1}} \mathcal{H}_{i_1}^0 \otimes_\mathcal{D} \cdots \otimes_\mathcal{D} \mathcal{H}_{i_k}^0 \otimes_\mathcal{D} L^2(\mathcal{D}, \tau_\mathcal{D}).$$
For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\eta_N$ be the orthogonal projection of $\eta$ onto the subspace
\[
\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq N \atop i_j \neq i_{j+1}} \mathcal{H}_{i_1}^o \otimes_D \cdots \otimes_D \mathcal{H}_{i_k}^o \otimes_D L^2(\mathcal{D}, \tau_D).
\]
Then $\eta_N$ converges in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to $\eta$ as $N \to \infty$. Suppose $b \in \mathcal{B} \cap \ker E$. Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $b_N$ denote the copy of $b$ in the copy $\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{B}$. Then $\lambda(b_N)\eta_{N-1}$ and $\rho(b_N)\eta_{N-1}$ are orthogonal to each other, because they lie in the respective subspaces
\[
\bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq N-1 \atop i_j \neq i_{j+1}} \mathcal{H}_{i_1}^o \otimes_D \cdots \otimes_D \mathcal{H}_{i_k}^o \otimes_D L^2(\mathcal{D}, \tau_D),
\]
and from (9), we get
\[
0 = (b_N x - x b_N) = (\lambda(b_N) - \rho(b_N)) \hat{x} = (\lambda(b_N) - \rho(b_N)) (\eta_{N-1} + F(x) + (\eta - \eta_{N-1}))
\]
and from the orthogonality relations noted above, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
\|\lambda(b_N)\eta_{N-1}\|^2_2 + \|\rho(b_N)\eta_{N-1}\|^2_2 \\
\leq \|\lambda(b_N)\eta_{N-1} - \rho(b_N)\eta_{N-1} + (\lambda(b_N) - \rho(b_N))F(x)\|^2_2 \\
= \|\lambda(b_N) - \rho(b_N)\| (\eta - \eta_{N-1})\|^2_2 \\
\leq 4\|b\|^2 \|\eta - \eta_{N-1}\|^2_2.
\end{align*}
\]
Consider the elements $d_1 = E(b^*b)^{1/2}$ and $d_2 = E(bb^*)^{1/2}$ of $\mathcal{D}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have
\[
\|\lambda(b_N)\eta_{N-1}\|_2 = \|\lambda(d_1)\eta_{N-1}\|_2, \quad \|\rho(b_N)\eta_{N-1}\|_2 = \|\rho(d_2)\eta_{N-1}\|_2
\]
and from (9), we get
\[
\|\lambda(d_1)\eta_{N-1}\|^2_2 + \|\rho(d_2)\eta_{N-1}\|^2_2 \leq 4\|b\|^2 \|\eta - \eta_{N-1}\|^2_2.
\]
Letting $N \to \infty$, we get
\[
\lambda(d_1)\eta = 0 = \rho(d_2)\eta.
\]
Let $q = q(E) \in \mathcal{D} \cap Z(\mathcal{B})$ be the projection associated to the conditional expectation $E : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ as described in Lemma 3.1. From (10) and letting $b$ run through all of $\ker E$, we get $\lambda(q)\eta = \rho(q)\eta = 0$. This yields $q(x - F(x)) = 0$, so $x - F(x) \in (1-q)\mathcal{B} = (1-q)\mathcal{D}$. But $x - F(x) \perp \mathcal{D}$, so we must have $x = F(x) = 0$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}$.

The aim of the remainder of this section (realized in Corollary 3.6 below) is to characterize the normal traces on a von Neumann subalgebra whose compositions with a given conditional expectation are traces on the larger von Neumann algebra. The result is quite natural and is perhaps known. It may also be possible to prove it.
directly using state decompositions or averaging techniques, rather than free products. However, as we get it from the results above with very little extra effort, it seems worth doing it here. Furthermore, it is clearly related to the proof of our main result, Theorem 5.1 and indeed to the improved characterization of extremality of elements of TQSS(A), though we don’t actually use it in the proof.

Let \( D \subseteq B \) be a unital inclusion of finite von Neumann algebras with a faithful conditional expectation \( E : B \rightarrow D \). Suppose there is a normal faithful tracial state \( \rho \) on \( D \) such that \( \rho \circ E \) is a trace on \( B \). Let
\[
C = Z(B) \cap D.
\]
(11)
Let \( (M, F) \) be the free product of infinitely many copies of \((B, E)\) with amalgamation over \( D \), as in (5). Due to the existence of \( \rho \), by Proposition 2.1, \( M \) is a finite von Neumann algebra. Let \( \eta \) be the center-valued trace on \( M \) and let \( \eta|_D \) denote its restriction to \( D \). By Theorem 5.3, the center of \( M \) is \( C \) as in (11).

Let \( \alpha \) be a permutation of \( \mathbb{N} \) that has no proper, nonempty, invariant subsets; thus, \( \alpha \) results from the shift on \( \mathbb{Z} \) after fixing a bijection from \( \mathbb{N} \) to \( \mathbb{Z} \). Let \( \hat{\alpha} \) be the automorphism of \( M \) that permutes the copies of \( B \) in the free product construction (5) according to \( \alpha \).

Lemma 3.4. We have \( \eta = \eta \circ \hat{\alpha} \).

Proof. Dixmier averaging says that for any \( x \in M \), \( \eta(x) \) is the unique element in the intersection of \( C \) and the norm closed convex hull of the unitary conjugates of \( x \). (See, for example, Section 8.3 of [5]). In symbols, this is
\[
\{ \eta(x) \} = C \cap \text{conv}\{uxu^* \mid u \in U(M)\}.
\]
Since \( C \subseteq D \), \( \hat{\alpha} \) leaves every element of \( C \) fixed. Thus,
\[
\{ \eta(x) \} = \hat{\alpha}(\{ \eta(x) \}) = \hat{\alpha}(C) \cap \hat{\alpha}(\text{conv}\{uxu^* \mid u \in U(M)\})
\]
\[
= C \cap \text{conv}\{u\hat{\alpha}(x)u^* \mid u \in U(M)\} = \{ \eta(\hat{\alpha}(x)) \}.
\]
□

Lemma 3.5. We have \( \eta = \eta \circ F \).

Proof. It is well known and not difficult to check that for all \( x \in M \), the ergodic averages
\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{\alpha}^k(x)
\]
converge in \( \| \cdot \|_2 \)-norm as \( n \rightarrow \infty \) and, thus, also in strong operator topology, to \( F(x) \). Because the center valued trace is normal, using Lemma 3.4, we get
\[
\eta(F(x)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \eta(\hat{\alpha}^k(x)) = \eta(x).
\]
□

For a von Neumann algebra \( \mathcal{N} \), we let \( \text{NTS}(\mathcal{N}) \) denote the set of normal tracial states on \( \mathcal{N} \).
Corollary 3.6. The map
\[
\tau \mapsto \tau \circ \eta |_D
\]  
(12)
is a bijection from \(\text{NTS}(Z(\mathcal{B}) \cap \mathcal{D})\) onto
\[
\{\rho \in \text{NTS}(\mathcal{D}) \mid \rho \circ E \text{ a trace on } \mathcal{B}\}.
\]  
(13)

Proof. It is clear that the map (12) is injective.

We view \(\mathcal{B}\) as embedded in \(\mathcal{M}\) by identification of \(\mathcal{B}\) with any of the copies arising in the free product construction (10). Since, by Lemma 3.5, \(\eta = \eta \circ E = \eta |_D \circ E\), if \(\tau \in \text{NTS}(\mathcal{C})\) and \(\rho = \tau \circ \eta |_D\), then \(\rho \circ E = \tau \circ (\eta |_\mathcal{B}) = (\tau \circ \eta) |_\mathcal{B}\) is a trace on \(\mathcal{B}\). Thus, the map (12) goes into the set (13).

To see that it is onto, suppose \(\rho\) belongs to the set (13). Since \(\mathcal{M}\) is a finite von Neumann algebra, by a standard theory (see, for example, Theorem 8.3.10 of [5]), the map \(\tau \mapsto \tau \circ \eta\) is a bijection from \(\text{NTS}(\mathcal{C})\) onto \(\text{NTS}(\mathcal{M})\). By Proposition 2.1 \(\rho \circ F\) is a normal tracial state on \(\mathcal{M}\), so equals \(\tau \circ \eta\) for some \(\tau \in \text{NTS}(\mathcal{C})\). Thus, \(\rho = \rho \circ F |_D = \tau \circ \eta |_D\), as required. \(\square\)

4. The conditional expectation onto the tail algebra in an amalgamated free product

The conditional expectation onto the tail algebra of a symmetric state (not necessarily quantum symmetric) was constructed in Section 4 of [4]. In this section, we observe the unsurprising fact that, for appropriate subalgebras of an amalgamated free product with respect to faithful conditional expectations and states, the conditional expectation onto the tail algebra is just the conditional expectation arising from the free product construction.

Proposition 4.1. Let \(\mathcal{D} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\) be a unital von Neumann subalgebra with \(\tilde{E} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathcal{D}\) a normal, faithful, conditional expectation. Let
\[
(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{F}) \cong (\ast_\mathcal{D})_1^\infty(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{E})
\]
be the amalgamated free product of von Neumann algebras. Suppose \(\rho\) is a normal faithful state on \(\mathcal{D}\). Suppose \(\mathcal{A}\) is a unital \(C^*\)-algebra and \(\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to \tilde{\mathcal{B}}\) is a unital \(*\)-homomorphism. Let \(\psi = \rho \circ \tilde{F} \circ (\ast_1^\infty \sigma) : \mathcal{A} = \ast_1^\infty \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}\). Of course, by Proposition 3.1 of [4], \(\psi \in \text{QSS}(\mathcal{A})\). Then \(\mathcal{M}_\psi\) (by definition the von Neumann algebra generated by the GNS representation of \(\psi\)) is included in \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\) with the tail algebra \(\mathcal{T}_\psi\) identified with a subalgebra of \(\mathcal{D}\) and with the conditional expectation \(E_\psi : \mathcal{M}_\psi \to \mathcal{T}_\psi\) being the restriction to \(\mathcal{M}_\psi\) of \(\tilde{F}\).

Proof. Note that under the hypotheses, \(\rho \circ \tilde{F}\) is a faithful state on \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\). Thus, the GNS Hilbert space \(L^2(\mathcal{A}, \psi)\) is a subspace of \(L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \rho \circ \tilde{F})\) and \(\mathcal{M}_\psi\) is realized as the strong operator topology closure in \(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}\) of \((\ast_1^\infty \sigma)(\mathcal{A})\). Now, by examining the free product structure of the Hilbert space \(L^2(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \rho \circ \tilde{F})\), we see that the tail algebra must lie in \(\mathcal{D}\). Since \(\rho \circ \tilde{F}\) is faithful, there is at most one \(\rho \circ \tilde{F}\)-preserving conditional expectation from \(\mathcal{M}_\psi\) onto \(\mathcal{T}_\psi\). Since both \(E_\psi\) and \(\tilde{F}\) are both \(\rho \circ \tilde{F}\)-preserving, we are done. \(\square\)
Remark 4.2. In the situation of the previous proposition, by the methods of Section 6 of [4], the tail algebra of $\psi$ is equal to the smallest von Neumann subalgebra $D_\infty$ of $D$ that contains

$$\tilde{F}(\sigma(a_1)d_1\sigma(a_2)\cdots d_{n-1}\sigma(a_n))$$

for every $a_1,\ldots,a_n \in A$ and every $d_1,\ldots,d_{n-1} \in D_\infty$. Thus, letting $D_0 = C1$ and for $p \geq 1$ letting $D_p$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by all expressions of the form (14) for $a_j \in A$ and $d_1,\ldots,d_{n-1} \in D_{p-1}$, we have that $D_\infty$ equals the von Neumann algebra generated by $\bigcup_{p=0}^{\infty} D_p$.

5. The simplex of tracial quantum symmetric states

Let $A$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra and let TQSS($A$) be the compact, convex set of tracial, quantum symmetric states on $\mathfrak{A} = *^\infty_1 A$. We assume that $A$ has a tracial state, so that TQSS($A$) is nonempty, and we assume that $A \neq C$.

In Corollary 6.4 of [4], TQSS($A$) was seen to be in bijection with the set of (equivalence classes of) quintuples $(B,D,E,\sigma,\rho)$ where $E : B \to D \subseteq B$ is a faithful conditional expectation of von Neumann algebras, $\sigma : A \to B$ is an injective, unital $*$-homomorphism and $\rho$ is a normal faithful, tracial state on $D$ such that $\rho \circ E$ is a trace on $B$, and certain minimality conditions are satisfied. These minimality conditions are that $B$ is generated by $D \cup \sigma(A)$ and $D$ is the smallest unital von Neumann subalgebra of $B$ that satisfies $E(d_0\sigma(a_1)d_1\cdots\sigma(a_n)d_n) \in D$ whenever $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_0,\ldots,d_n \in D$ and $a_1,\ldots,a_n \in A$. Given a quintuple $(B,D,E,\sigma,\rho)$, one constructs the amalgamated free product von Neumann algebra

$$(M,F) = (*^\infty D)_1^\infty(B,E)$$

of infinitely many copies of $(B,E)$ and one takes the free product $*$-homomorphism $*^\infty \sigma : A \to M$ arising from the universal property, sending the $i$-th copy of $A$ into the $i$-th copy of $B$. The tracial state $\psi = \rho \circ F \circ (*^\infty \sigma)$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is the tracial quantum symmetric state of $A$ that corresponds to $(B,D,E,\sigma,\rho)$ under the bijection referred to above. Then $D$ is the tail algebra, and $M$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the GNS representation of $\psi$ (see Theorem 6.3 of [4]).

The extreme points of TQSS($A$) were characterized in Theorem 8.5 of [4] as corresponding to the set of quintuples $(B,D,E,\sigma,\rho)$ so that $\rho$ is extreme among the set $R(E)$ of tracial states of $D$ so that $\rho \circ E$ is a trace on $B$. In fact, we arrive at a better characterization of the extreme tracial quantum symmetric states below.

Note that TQSS($A$) is a closed convex subset of the tracial state space, $TS(\mathfrak{A})$, of $\mathfrak{A}$. The tracial state space of any $C^*$-algebra is known to be a Choquet simplex (see, for example Theorem 3.1.18 of [8]) and the extreme points of it are the tracial states that are factor states.

Theorem 5.1. TQSS($A$) is a Choquet simplex and is a face of $TS(\mathfrak{A})$. Moreover, for $\psi \in$ TQSS($A$) with corresponding quintuple $(B,D,E,\sigma,\rho)$, the following are equivalent:

(i) $\psi$ is an extreme point of TQSS($A$)
(ii) $\psi$ is an extreme point of $TS(\mathfrak{A})$
(iii) $D \cap Z(B) = C1$.
Proof. The implication (i) \implies (ii), when proved, will imply that TQSS(A) is a face of \(TS(\mathfrak{A})\) and, thus, a Choquet simplex.

The implication (ii) \implies (i) is clearly true.

Letting \((\mathcal{M}, F)\) be as in (15), by Theorem 3.3 condition (iii) is equivalent to factoriality of \(\mathcal{M}\), and this is equivalent to condition (ii). Thus, conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent.

To finish the proof, it will suffice to show (i) \implies (iii). If (iii) fails to hold, then \(\mathcal{D} \cap Z(\mathcal{B})\) has a projection \(p\) equal to neither 0 nor 1. Let \(t = \rho(p)\). Since \(\rho\) is faithful \(0 < t < 1\) and we can write \(\rho = t\rho_0 + (1 - t)\rho_1\), where

\[
\rho_0(x) = t^{-1}\rho(px), \quad \rho_1(x) = (1 - t)^{-1}\rho((1 - p)x).
\]

Since \(p\) lies in \(\mathcal{D} \cap Z(\mathcal{B})\), we see that \(\rho_0\) and \(\rho_1\) are distinct normal tracial states on \(\mathcal{D}\) and that \(\rho_i \circ E\) is a trace on \(\mathcal{B}\) \((i = 0, 1)\). Thus, \(\rho\) is not an extreme point of \(R(E)\), and \(\psi\) is not extreme in TQSS(A). \(\square\)

In Theorem 5.3 we will use multiplicative free Brownian motion (see [2]) to show that every quantum symmetric state is a limit of extreme quantum symmetric states. This will show that TQSS(A) is the Poulsen simplex, when \(A\) is separable and not a copy of \(C\).

Multiplicative free Brownian motion is the solution \((U_t)_{t \geq 0}\) of the linear stochastic differential equation

\[
U_t = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t U_s ds + \int_0^t idS_s U_s = e^{-t/2} + \int_0^t idS_s e^{-(t-s)/2}U_s,
\]

where \((S_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is an additive free Brownian motion. Then each \(U_t\) is unitary (see [1]) and belongs to the von Neumann algebra \(W^*(S_t, t > 0)\), which is a copy of \(L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)\). We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a von Neumann algebra with normal, faithful, tracial state \(\tau\) and suppose \(\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}\) is a unital von Neumann subalgebra and \((U_t)_{t \geq 0}\) is a multiplicative free Brownian motion that is free from \(\mathcal{N}\) with respect to \(\tau\). Then for every unital \(C^*\)-subalgebra \(A \subseteq \mathcal{N}\) with \(\dim(A) > 1\) and for every \(t > 0\), we have

\[
(U_t^*AU_t)' \cap \mathcal{N} = C1.
\]

Proof. If \((U_t^*AU_t)' \cap \mathcal{N}\) is nontrivial, then it contains a projection \(p \notin \{0, 1\}\). Without loss of generality, we may assume \(A\) is a von Neumann subalgebra of \(\mathcal{N}\) and, thus, contains a projection \(q \notin \{0, 1\}\).

From Proposition 9.4 and Remark 8.10 of [10], the liberation Fisher information satisfies

\[
\varphi^*(U_t^*AU_t : \mathcal{N}) \leq F(U_t) < \infty,
\]

for any \(t > 0\), where \(F\) is the Fisher information for unitaries. Thus, from Remark 9.2(e) of [10], we have

\[
\varphi^*(W^*(U_t^*qU_t) : W^*(p)) \leq \varphi^*(U_t^*AU_t : \mathcal{N}) < \infty.
\]

As a consequence, the assumptions of Lemma 12.5 of [10] are satisfied and, therefore, \(U_t^*qU_t\) and \(p\) are in general position, i.e.,

\[
U_t^*qU_t \wedge p = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad U_t^*(1 - q)U_t \wedge (1 - p) = 0, \quad (16)
\]
and
\[ U_t^* (1 - q) U_t \wedge p = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad U_t^* q U_t \wedge (1 - p) = 0. \] (17)
But this is not compatible with the assumption that \( U_t^* q U_t \) and \( p \) commute. For example, if
\[ U_t^* q U_t \wedge p = U_t^* (1 - q) U_t \wedge p = 0, \]
then
\[ 0 = U_t^* q U_t p + U_t^* (1 - q) U_t p = p, \]
contrary to hypothesis, and similarly if other cases of (16) and (17) hold. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.3.** For every unital \( C^* \)-algebra \( A \) with \( \dim(A) > 1 \), the extreme points of TQSS(\( A \)) are dense in TQSS(\( A \)). Hence, if \( A \) is also separable, then TQSS(\( A \)) is the Poulsen simplex.

*Proof.* If \( A \) is separable, then the free product algebra \( \mathfrak{A} \) is also separable and, thus, TQSS(\( A \)) is second countable. By Urysohn’s metrization theorem, it is metrizable. Once the density of extreme points is shown, it will follow that TQSS(\( A \)) is the Poulsen simplex (see [7]).

We now show density of extreme points. Let \( \psi \in \text{TQSS}(A) \) and let \((B, D, E, \rho, \sigma)\) be its associated quintuple. We use the notation from the description at the beginning of this section. In particular, \( \psi = \rho \circ F \circ (\ast_1^\infty \sigma) \), and we let \( \hat{\psi} = \rho \circ F \) denote the normal extension of \( \psi \) to \( \mathcal{M} \). Let
\[ (\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tau) = (\mathcal{M}, \hat{\psi}) \ast (L(F_\infty), \tau_{F_\infty}) \]
be the free product of \( \mathcal{M} \) with a copy of \( L(F_\infty) \). Then, since \( (L(F_\infty), \tau_{F_\infty}) \cong *_1^\infty (L(F_\infty), \tau_{F_\infty}) \cong *_1^\infty (W^*(S_t, t > 0), \tau) \), for the von Neumann algebra of a free Brownian motion algebra \( W^*(S_t, t > 0) \cong L(F_\infty) \), letting
\[ (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \eta) = (B, \rho \circ E) \ast (W^*(S_t, t > 0), \tau) \]
and letting \( \tilde{E} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \to \mathcal{D} \) be the composition of the \( \eta \)-preserving conditional expectation \( \tilde{E} : B \to D \) arising from the free product construction with the conditional expectation \( E : B \to D \), we have that \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \) is isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra free product with amalgamation,
\[ (\tilde{\mathcal{M}}, \tilde{F}) \cong *_D^\infty (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \tilde{E}) \] (18)
and the trace \( \tau \) arises as \( \rho \circ \tilde{F} \).

Letting \((U_t)_{t \geq 0}\) be a multiplicative free Brownian motion in \( W^*(S_t, t > 0) \), from the free \( L^\infty \) version of the Burkholder-Gundy inequalities (Theorem 3.2.1 of [3]), we have the upper bound
\[ ||U_t - 1|| \leq (1 - e^{-t/2}) + 2\sqrt{2} \left( \int_0^t ||U_s||^2 e^{-(t-s)} ds \right)^{1/2} = (1 - e^{-t/2}) + 2\sqrt{2(1 - e^{-t})}, \] (19)
which tends to zero as \( t \to 0^+ \).
Let $\sigma_t : A \to \tilde{B}$ be the $\ast$-homomorphism $U_t \sigma(\cdot) U_t^*$. Then $\tilde{\ast}_1^\infty \sigma_t$ is a $\ast$-homomorphism from $\mathfrak{A}$ into $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. By freeness with amalgamation (see Proposition 3.1 of [4]), the state $\psi_t := \rho \circ \tilde{F} \circ (\tilde{\ast}_1^\infty \sigma_t) = \tau \circ (\tilde{\ast}_1^\infty \sigma_t)$ is a quantum symmetric state.

We will show that for every $t > 0$, $\psi_t$ is an extreme point of $\text{TQSS}(A)$. By Proposition 4.1, the tail algebra $T_{\psi_t}$ of $\psi_t$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}$, and the conditional expectation $E_{\psi_t}$ onto the tail algebra is the restriction of $\tilde{F}$. In particular, see Remark 4.2 for description of its generation. Let $(\mathcal{B}_t, \mathcal{D}_t, E_t, \rho_t, \sigma_t)$ denote the quintuple corresponding to the quantum symmetric state $\psi_t$. Then $\mathcal{D}_t = \mathcal{T}_{\psi_t} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{B}_t \supseteq \sigma_t(A)$. By Theorem 5.1, showing that $\psi_t$ is an extreme point of $\text{TQSS}(A)$ is equivalent to showing that $\mathcal{D}_t \cap Z(\mathcal{B}_t)$ is trivial. But $\mathcal{D}_t \cap Z(\mathcal{B}_t)$ is contained in $\mathcal{D}_t \cap (U_t^* \sigma(A) U_t)'$. By Lemma 5.2 the latter set is trivial, and we have proved that $\psi_t$ is an extreme tracial quantum symmetric state.

From the bound (19), we deduce that for every $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \psi_t(x) = \psi(x)$, starting with the case of $x$ in the algebraic free product. □

**Remark 5.4.** In contrast, the simplices $\text{ZQSS}(A)$ and $\text{ZTQSS}(A)$ of central quantum symmetric states and central tracial quantum symmetric states, respectively, (see [4]) are Bauer simplices, meaning that their respective sets of extreme points are closed. This follows from the proof of Theorem 9.2 of [4] and in particular the fact that the map $\phi \mapsto \ast_1^\infty \phi$ in equation (35) of [4] is a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{S}(A)$ onto the extreme boundary of $\text{ZQSS}(A)$ and, by restricting to the tracial state space, yields a homeomorphism from $\text{TS}(A)$ onto the extreme boundary of $\text{ZTQSS}(A)$.
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