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I. INTRODUCTION

Thermoelectric materials with high efficiency is a major research area in condensed matter physics and materials science for several decades now. Due to recent advances in nano-fabrication, these materials promise next generation devices for conversion of thermal energy to electrical energy and vice versa. A measure for the performance or efficiency of a thermoelectric material is the dimensionless figure of merit, usually denoted as $ZT$, where $T$ is the temperature. It depends on the thermopower or Seebeck coefficient, and the electric and thermal conductivities. However, the Wiedemann-Franz law has not been fully met in increasing the performance of bulk materials, since it directly relates electric and thermal conductivity whereas the figure of merit is proportional to the quotient of both. The Seebeck coefficient of a material measures the magnitude of an induced thermoelectric voltage in response to a temperature difference across that material. If the temperature difference $\Delta T$ between the two ends of a material is small, then the thermopower, $S$, of the material is defined as $S = -\Delta V/\Delta T$, where $\Delta V$ is the voltage difference across a sample.

In general, thermoelectric devices are used as converters for either electrical power into heating/cooling (Peltier effect) or sources of different temperature into electricity (Seebeck effect). These devices are usually smaller, especially without moving parts, than conventional devices, e.g. two-phase compressors for cooling, and therefore more reliable. However, for both effects the materials need to have good electrical conductivity to minimize ohmic heating and at the same time to be bad thermal conductors to avoid thermal equilibration of the temperature gradient. Therefore, the aim is to create materials which optimize these parameters together with the thermopower. Currently thermoelectric devices based on p- and n-type-doped semiconductor junctions archive only about 12% of the maximal theoretical efficiency (as compared to 60% in conventional cooling systems).

To be competitive compared with conventional refrigerators, one must develop thermoelectric materials with large $ZT$. The highest figure of merit for bulk thermoelectric materials is about 1, but in order to match the efficiency of mechanical systems, $ZT \sim 9$ is needed. However, for $ZT \gtrsim 2$ thermoelectric applications become economically competitive. Although it is possible in principle to develop homogeneous materials with that large figure of merit, there are no concrete devices on the horizon. Especially promising for further improvement in efficiency are inhomogeneous/granular thermoelectric materials in which one can directly control the system parameters. In Ref. [11] a figure of merit at 300K of 2.4 for a layered nanoscale structure and later in Ref. [12] a $ZT$ of 3.2 at about 600K for a bulk material with nanoscale inclusions were reported.

Overall, recent years have seen a remarkable progress in the design of granular conductors with controllable structure parameters. Granules can be capped with organic (ligands) or inorganic molecules which connect and regulate the coupling between them. Altering the size and shape of granules one can regulate quantum confinement effects. In particular, tuning microscopic parameters one can vary the granular materials from being relatively good metals to pronounced insulators as a function of the strength of electron tunneling couplings between conducting grains. This makes granular conductors a perfect exemplary system for studying thermoelectric and related phenomena.

All these experimental achievements and technological prospects call for a comprehensive theory able to provide quantitative description of not only the electric but also thermoelectric properties of granular conductors, which can in future serve as basis for a clever design of devices for a new generation of nano-thermoelectrics.

Most theoretical progress so far was archived by numerical solution of phenomenological models. How-
ever, no analytical results obtained from a microscopic model for coupled nanodot/grain systems is available up to now. Thus, the fundamental question that remains open is how thermoelectric coefficient and thermopower behave in nanogranular thermoelectric materials. Here, we make a step towards answering this question for granular metals at intermediate temperatures by generalizing our approach recently developed for the description of electric and heat transport. In particular, we will answer the question to what extend quantum and confinement effects in nanostructures are important in changing $ZT$.

In this paper we investigate the thermopower $S$, thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$, and the figure of merit $ZT$ of granular samples focusing on the case of large tunneling conductance between the grains, $g_T \gg 1$. Without Coulomb interaction the granular system would be a good metal in this limit and our task is to include charging effects in the theory. We furthermore restrict our considerations to the case of intermediate temperatures

$$E_c \gg T/g_T > \delta,$$

where $\delta$ is the mean level spacing of a single grain and $E_c$ the charging energy. The left inequality means that the temperature is not high enough such that Coulomb effects are pronounced. It also allows us to perform all calculations up to logarithmic accuracy. The right inequality allows us to consider the electronic motion as coherent within the grains, however this coherence does not extend to scales larger than the size of a single grain. In Ref. [21] we presented a few major results which we extend here and describe the derivations in much more detail.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we summarize our main results and discuss their range of applicabilities, in Sec. III we introduce the model, and in Sec. IV we outline the derivation of thermoelectric coefficient of granular metals in and without the presence of interaction which is the main result of this paper. In the following section we discuss the behavior of the Seebeck coefficient and figure of merit (Sec. V) as a function of sample parameters. Finally, in Sec. VI we discuss our findings and present possible further applications of our method. Important details of our calculations are presented in several comprehensive Appendices: in Appendix A we calculate the thermoelectric coefficient of homogeneous disorder metals in the absence of interaction. In Appendix B we derive the heat and electric currents of granular metals, and in Appendices C and D we calculate the thermoelectric coefficient of granular metals without and in the presence of interaction, respectively.

## II. RESULTS AND SUMMARY

In this section we summarize our results and discuss their range of applicabilities. The main results of our work are as follows: (i) We derive the expression for the thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$ of granular metals that includes corrections due to Coulomb interaction at temperatures $T > g_T \delta$, where $\delta$ is the mean level spacing of a single grain

$$\eta = \eta^{(0)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4g_Td} \ln \frac{g_T E_c}{T} \right).$$

Here

$$\eta^{(0)} = -\left(\frac{\pi^2}{3}/\epsilon F\right),$$

is the thermoelectric coefficient of granular materials in the absence of electron-electron interaction with $\epsilon$ being the electron charge, $a$ the size of a single grain, $d = 2, 3$ the dimensionality of a sample, $\epsilon_F$ being the Fermi energy, and $E_c = e^2/a$ is the charging energy.

The condition for the temperature range of our theory ensures that the argument of the logarithm in Eq. (2a) is much larger than 1, such that all numerical prefactors under the logarithm can be neglected. Furthermore, it also defines a critical lower limit for the grain size when the charging energy $E_c$ becomes of order of the mean energy level spacing $\delta$.

At the temperatures under consideration, the electron motion is coherent within the grains, but coherence does not extend to scales larger than the size $a$ of a single grain. Under these conditions, the electric conductivity $\sigma$ and the electric thermal conductivity $\kappa$ are given by the expressions

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{(0)}} = 1 - \ln(g_T E_c/T)/(2\pi d g_T),$$

$$\frac{\kappa}{\kappa^{(0)}} = 1 - \ln(g_T E_c/T) + \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g_T} \left\{ 3\gamma, \quad d = 3 \quad (3b) \right.$$

where

$$\sigma^{(0)} = 2e^2 g_T a^{2-d} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa^{(0)} = L_0 \sigma^{(0)} T, \quad (3c)$$

are the electric (including spin) and thermal conductivities of granular metals in the absence of Coulomb interaction with $L_0 = \pi^2/3e^2$ being the Lorentz number. We mention that at temperature $T > g_T \delta$ the correction to the thermoelectric coefficient, Eq. (2a), has a $T \ln T$ dependence in both $d = 2, 3$ dimensions which is similar to the result for the electric conductivity, Eq. (3a), having a $\ln T$ dependence in all dimensions as well.

(ii) Using the above results, we obtain the expression for thermopower $S$ of granular metals

$$S = S^{(0)} \left(1 - \frac{\pi - 2}{4\pi g_T d} \ln \frac{g_T E_c}{T} \right),$$

where

$$S^{(0)} = -\left(\pi^2/6\right)/(T/\epsilon F),$$

is the thermopower of granular metals in the absence of Coulomb interaction.
(iii) Finally, we find the figure of merit to be:

$$Z/Z^{(0)} = 1 - \pi - \frac{2}{2\pi g_T d} \ln \frac{g_T E_c}{T} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2 g_T} \left\{ 3\gamma, d = 3 \right\} \ln \frac{2\pi E_c}{T}, \quad (5a)$$

where

$$Z^{(0)} T = (\pi^2/12)(T/\varepsilon_F)^2, \quad (5b)$$

is the bare figure of merit of granular materials and $\gamma \approx 0.355$ is a numerical coefficient. In Sec. we present plots of $Z$ in dependence of various sample parameters. We find, that the influence of granularity is most effective for small grain sizes and the presence of Coulomb interaction decreases the figure of merit.

At this point we remark that all results are obtained in the absence of phonons which become relevant only at higher temperatures. At the end of this paper we will briefly discuss their influence.

### III. MODEL

We start our considerations with the introduction of our model. We consider a $d$-dimensional array of metallic grains with Coulomb interaction between electrons. The motion of electrons inside the grains is diffusive, i.e., the electron’s mean free path $\ell$ is smaller than the grain size $a$, and they tunnel from grain to grain. We assume that the sample would be a good metal in the absence of Coulomb interaction. However, we also assume that the tunneling conductance $g_T$ is still smaller than the grain conductance $g_0$, meaning that the granular structure is pronounced and the resistivity is controlled by tunneling between grains.

Each grain is characterized by two energy scales: (i) the mean energy level spacing $\delta$, and (ii) the charging energy $E_c = e^2/a$ (for a typical grain size of $a \approx 10\text{nm}$ $E_c$ is of the order of 2000K) and we assume that the condition $\delta \ll E_c$ is fulfilled.

The system of coupled metallic grains is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i H_i, \quad \text{where the sum is taken over all grains in the system and}$$

$$\hat{H}_i = \sum_k \xi_k a_{ik}^\dagger a_{ik} + \sum_{j \neq i} e^2 \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \frac{C_{ij}}{2} + \sum_{j, p, q} (t_{ij}^{pq} a_{jp}^\dagger a_{jq} + \text{c.c.}). \quad (6)$$

The first term on the right hand side (r. h. s.) of Eq. describes the $i$-th isolated disordered grain, $\hat{a}_{ik}^\dagger (\hat{a}_{ik})$ are the creation (annihilation) operators for an electron in the state $k$ and $\xi_k = k^2 / 2m - \mu$ with $\mu$ being the chemical potential.

The second term describes the charging energy, $C_{ij}$ is the capacitance matrix and $\hat{n}_i = \sum_k \hat{a}_{ik}^\dagger \hat{a}_{ik}$ is the number operator for electrons in the $i$-th grain. The Coulomb interaction is long ranged and its off-diagonal components cannot be neglected. Note that, since metallic grains have an infinite dielectric constant, the effective dielectric constant of the whole sample can be considerably larger than the dielectric constant of its insulating component. Thus the effective single-grain charging energy can be much less than the electrostatic energy of a single grain in vacuum.

The last term in Eq. (6) is the tunneling part of the Hamiltonian where $t_{ij}$ are the tunnel matrix elements between grains $i$ and $j$ which we consider to be random Gaussian variables defined by their correlators:

$$t_{ij}^{pq} = t_{ij}^2 \delta_{p_1 p'_1} \delta_{q_2 q'_2}, \quad (7)$$

$$t^2_{ij} = t^2_0 = \text{const.} \quad \text{The dimensionless tunneling conductance is related to the average matrix elements as}$$

$$g_T = 2\pi (t_0^2/\delta^2). \quad (8)$$

The conductance $g_T$ is defined per one spin component, such that, for example, the high temperature (Drude) conductivity of a periodic granular sample is $\sigma^{(0)} = 2e^2 g_T a^{d-2}$.

### IV. THERMOELECTRIC COEFFICIENT

Having introduced our model in the previous section, we come now to the main methodical part of our work, the derivation of the thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$. In general the three kinetic coefficients are: the electric conductivity $\sigma$, the thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$, and the thermal conductivity $\kappa$. They are related to the Matsubara response functions $L^{(\alpha\beta)}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \{e, h\}$ as

$$j^{(e)} = -L^{(ee)}/(e^2 T) \langle \nabla (eV) - (L^{(eh)}/(eT^2)) \nabla T \rangle,$$

$$j^{(h)} = -L^{(eh)}/(eT) \langle \nabla (eV) - (L^{(hh)}/T^2) \nabla T \rangle. \quad (9a)$$

Here $j^{(e)}$ ($j^{(h)}$) is the electric (thermal) current and $V$ the electrostatic potential. From Eq. (9a) one finds that

$$\sigma = L^{(ee)}/T, \quad \eta = L^{(eh)}/T^2, \quad S = -\Delta V/\Delta T = L^{(eh)}/(T L^{(ee)}), \quad (9b)$$

where the response functions are given by Kubo formulas

$$L^{(\alpha\beta)} = -\frac{i T \partial}{a^2 \partial \Omega} \left[ \int_0^{1/T} d\tau e^{i\Omega_m \tau} \langle T_{\tau} j^{(\alpha)}(\tau) j^{(\beta)}(0) \rangle \right] \left. \right|_{\Omega_m = -i \Omega + \delta}, \quad (10)$$

with $T_{\tau}$ being the time ordering operator for the currents with respect to the imaginary time $\tau$. Thus, to calculate the thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$ and thermopower $S$ of granular metals one has to know the explicit form of the electric $j^{(e)}$ and thermal $j^{(h)}$ currents.

The electric current $j^{(e)}_i$ through grain $i$ is defined as

$$j^{(e)}_i = \sum_j \beta^{(e)}_{ij} = e d\hat{n}_i/dt = ie[\hat{n}_i, \hat{H}]. \quad (11a)$$
FIG. 1: (color online) Vertices corresponding to the thermal current operator, Eqs. (12b): vertex (a) corresponds to $b_{(h,0)}^{ij}$ and (b) to $b_{(h,1)}^{ij}$. The solid lines denote the propagator of electrons, the thick wavy line describes Coulomb interaction, the tunneling vertices are described by the circles, $\omega_n = \pi T(2n+1)$ and $\Omega_m = 2\pi mT$ are Fermionic and Bosonic Matsubara frequencies respectively ($n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Straightforward calculations (see Appendix B) lead to

$$\hat{j}_{ij}^{(h)} = \sum_j \hat{j}_{ij}^{(h)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12a)

can be obtained as follows. The energy content of each grain changes as a function of time, such that $d\hat{H}_i/dt = i[\hat{H}_i, \hat{H}]$. Energy conservation requires that this energy flows to other grains in the system, $d\hat{H}_i/dt = \sum_j \hat{j}_{ij}^{(h)}$.

Calculating the commutator $[\hat{H}_i, \hat{H}]$, we obtain (for details see Appendix B)

$$\hat{j}_{ij}^{(h,0)} = \hat{j}_{ij}^{(h,0)} + \hat{j}_{ij}^{(h,1)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12b)

$$\hat{j}_{ij}^{(h,0)} = i \sum_{k,q} \frac{\xi_k + \xi_q}{2} \left[\hat{a}_{ik} \hat{a}_{ik} \hat{a}_{jq} - \hat{a}_{qj} \hat{a}_{jq} \hat{a}_{ik}\right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (12c)

$$\hat{j}_{ij}^{(h,1)} = -\frac{e^4}{4} \sum_m \left[\left\{\hat{n}_i; \hat{j}_{jm}^{(e)}\right\}_+ + \left\{\hat{n}_j; \hat{j}_{im}^{(e)}\right\}_+ \right]/C_{im} - \left\{\hat{n}_i; \hat{j}_{jm}^{(e)}\right\}_+ /C_{jm},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12d)

where $\{\hat{A}; \hat{B}\}_+$ denotes the anti-commutator. The contribution $\hat{j}_{ij}^{(h,0)}$ is the heat current in the absence of electron-electron interaction, while the second term $\hat{j}_{ij}^{(h,1)}$ appears due to Coulomb interaction. Equation (12b) implies that the thermal current operator must be associated with two different vertices in diagram representation, Fig. 1. We remark that Eq. (6) suggests also a finite contribution to $\hat{j}_{ij}^{(h)}$ proportional to $t^2$ – which indeed exists. However, it vanishes when summed over the sample (for details see Appendix B).

For large tunneling conductance, the Matsubara thermal current - electric current correlator can be analyzed perturbatively in $1/\gamma T$, using the diagrammatic technique discussed in Ref. [18] that we briefly outline below.

The self-energy of the averaged single electron Green’s function has two contributions: The first one corresponds to scattering by impurities inside a single grain while the second is due to the process of scattering between the grains. The former results only in a small renormalization of the relaxation time which depends in general on the electron energy $\omega$ as

$$\tau_0^{-1} = \tau_0^{-1} [1 + (d/2 - 1)\omega/\varepsilon_F],$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

which is a result of the renormalization of the density of states at the Fermi surface [see Eqs. (18) and (19)].

In the following we outline the calculation of thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$ in the non-interacting case and its correction due to Coulomb interaction. A detailed derivation of both can be found in Appendices [18] and [19] respectively.

A. non-interacting case

First, we consider the thermoelectric coefficient $\eta^{(0)}$ of granular metals in the absence of interaction. The expression for the thermoelectric coefficient in linear response theory is

$$\eta^{(0)} = \frac{1}{a^2 T \partial \Omega} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \tilde{Q}^{(0)}\right]_{\Omega=0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Here $a$ is the grain size and $\tilde{Q}^{(0)}$ the correlator of the heat current, $\tilde{j}^{(h,0)}$ (see Fig. 1b), and electric current, $\tilde{j}^{(e)}$, shown in Fig. 2a. Notice, that there is an important difference between calculations of the thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$ and thermopower $S$ and the calculations of the electric $\sigma$ and thermal $\kappa$ conductivities in Eqs. [58].
Indeed, to calculate $\sigma$ and $\kappa$ it was sufficient to approximate the tunneling matrix element $t_{pq}$ as a constant $t$ which is evaluated at the Fermi surface and neglect variations of $t_{pq}$ with energy which occur on the scale $T/\varepsilon_F$. However, this approximation is insufficient for calculating the thermoelectric coefficient $\eta$ and thermopower $S$ since the dominant contribution to these quantities vanishes due to particle-hole symmetry such that both quantities are proportional to the small parameter $T/\varepsilon_F$. Since it is necessary to take into account terms of order $T/\varepsilon_F$ in order to obtain a nonzero result for $\eta$ and $S$, the corresponding expansions must be carried out to this order for all quantities which depend on energy.

For granular metals the important element of the diagram is the tunneling matrix elements $t_{ij}^{kq}$ describing the coupling between grains $i$ and $j$. Therefore we derive an expression for $t_{ij}^{kq}$ in the following, assuming that $i$ and $j$ are nearest neighbor grains and $t_{ij}^{kq}$ is independent of the position in the sample. In order to calculate the energy dependence of these elements we assume the tunneling barrier between grains to be a delta potential. For the one-particle Hamiltonian $H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} \partial^2 / \partial x^2 + \lambda \delta (x)$, with $\lambda$ being the strength of the potential, the transmission rate $T$ is

$$ T = \frac{1 + \frac{m}{2h^2 \varepsilon_F}}{1 + \frac{m}{2h^2 \varepsilon_F} \left(1 - \xi_p/\varepsilon_F\right)^{-1}} = T_0 \left(1 + T_0^{-1} - \xi_p/\varepsilon_F\right)^{-1}. $$

Here $T_0 = \frac{m}{2h^2 \varepsilon_F}$ is the transmission rate at the Fermi level and we use the fact that $\xi_p \ll \varepsilon_F$. Next, we consider the case of large barriers, in this regime $T_p \approx T_0 \left(1 + \xi_p/\varepsilon_F\right)$. In granular systems we have many channels and have to consider tunneling processes with energy $\xi_i$ in grain $i$ and with $\xi_j$ in the neighboring grain $j$: $t^2 \propto N \left(T_{p_1} + T_{p_2}\right)$. Thus, the final expression for tunneling matrix element is

$$ t^2(\xi_i, \xi_j) = t_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{\xi_i + \xi_j}{\varepsilon_F}\right), $$

where $t_0$ is the constant tunneling matrix element evaluated at the Fermi surface. For convenience we use $i = 1$ and $j = 2$ in the following. Using Eq. (16) we obtain the following expression for the correlation function in Eq. (14)

$$ Q(0) = -set_0^2 T(\tilde{\alpha}_c \cdot \tilde{n}_h) \sum_{\omega_n} a^{2d+2} \left[ \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{\varepsilon_F} \right] \left[ \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{\varepsilon_F} \right] \times G(p_1, \omega_n)G(p_2, \omega_n + \Omega_m), $$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_0^{(0)}$ is the unit vector in direction of the current $\alpha \in \{e, h\}$, $\langle \tilde{\alpha}_0^{(0)} \cdot \tilde{n}_h^{(0)} \rangle = 1/d$ is the result of averaging over angles, the summation goes over Fermionic Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = 2\pi T(n + 1/2)$, and $G(p, \omega_n)$ is the Matsubara Green’s function

$$ G(p, \omega_n) = \left[\omega_n - \xi_p \pm \imath (2\tau_\omega)\right]^{-1}, $$

with $\xi_p = \varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_F$ being the electron energy with respect to the Fermi energy $\varepsilon_F$ and the (energy) relaxation time $\tau_\omega$ is defined in Eq. (13).

To shorten the notation, we neglect the momentum argument in the following and attach the grain index to the Green’s function $G$. The two $\xi$-factors under the integration in (17a) arise from the heat current, Eq. (12b), and the energy correction of the tunneling element, Eq. (10). The momentum integrals in Eq. (17a) are transformed into energy integrals taking into account first order corrections in $\xi/\varepsilon_F$ of the Jacobian [see Eq. (A2) of Appendix A].

We first perform the analytical continuation over the Fermionic Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = 2\pi T(n + 1/2)$ in Eq. (17a). In order to accomplish that, the analytical structure of diagram (a) in Fig. 2 needs to be analyzed, which gives rise to three different regions for the Matsubara summations: $I_1 = [-\infty; -\Omega_m], I_2 = [-\Omega_m; 0], I_3 = [0; \infty]$, in which we can determine whether the Green’s function is retarded, $G^{-}(\omega)$, or advanced, $G^{+}(\omega)$:

$$ S_1 = \sum_{\omega_n \in I_1} G_{1}^{-} (\omega_n) G_{2}^{-} (\omega_n + \Omega_m) $$

$$ = \int \frac{-d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right) G_{1}^{-} (-\imath \omega + \imath \Omega) G_{2}^{-} (-\imath \omega), $$

$$ S_2 = \sum_{\omega_n \in I_2} G_{1}^{+} (\omega_n) G_{2}^{-} (\omega_n + \Omega_m) $$

$$ = \int \frac{-d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right) \left[ G_{1}^{+} (-\imath \omega) G_{2}^{+} (-\imath \omega - \imath \Omega) - G_{1}^{-} (-\imath \omega + \imath \Omega) G_{2}^{-} (-\imath \omega) \right], $$

$$ S_3 = \sum_{\omega_n \in I_3} G_{1}^{+} (\omega_n) G_{2}^{+} (\omega_n + \Omega_m) $$

$$ = \int \frac{-d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right) G_{1}^{+} (-\imath \omega) G_{2}^{+} (-\imath \omega - \imath \Omega). $$

To calculate $\eta^{(0)}$ in Eq. (14) we now consider the derivative of $S_1 + S_2 + S_3$ with respect to Bosonic frequencies $\partial^2 / \partial \Omega^2 |_{\Omega = 0}$. For brevity we omit arguments $-\imath \omega$ of Green’s functions, leading to

$$ \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega^2} \bigg|_{\Omega = 0} (S_1 + S_2 + S_3) = \int \frac{-d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau_\omega} G_{1}^{-} G_{2}^{-} G_{1}^{+} G_{2}^{+} \right]. $$

Next, one can perform the integration over variables $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ using Eqs. (17) and (20) and the residuum theorem

$$ \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g_{12}(\xi_1, \xi_2) G_{1}^{-} G_{2}^{+} G_{1}^{-} G_{2}^{+} \left[ \frac{2}{\tau_\omega} + \frac{d}{2\varepsilon_F} \left(2\omega + \imath \tau_\omega\right)^2 \right]. $$

$$ = 4\pi^2 \tau_\omega^2 \left[ 2\omega + \imath \tau_\omega + \frac{d}{2\varepsilon_F} \left(2\omega + \imath \tau_\omega\right)^2 \right]. $$
where the diagrams \( Q \) with \( \nu / \epsilon \) of granular metals are presented in Appendix D. However, here we outline the main steps of this derivation. These three diagrams include the effect of elastic scattering of electron at impurities described by diffusions \( D^{-1} = \tau \omega (|\Omega| + \epsilon q \delta) \), \( \epsilon_q = 2gr \left[ 2d - \sum_a \cos(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{a}) \right] \), and the effect of the dynamically screened Coulomb potential \( \vec{V}(q, \Omega) = D \nu (q, \Omega) D \)

Each diagram in Fig. 2 has also two types of renormalized interaction vertices: (i) the inter-grain vertex

\[
\Phi^{(1)}(\Omega) = \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2E_c(q) 2d}{\tau^2 \Omega^2 |\Omega + 4E_c(q)| |\Omega + \epsilon q \delta|} \] (28)

and (ii) the intra-grain vertex

\[
\Phi^{(2)}(\Omega) = \int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{2E_c(q) 2d}{\tau^2 \Omega^2 |\Omega + 4E_c(q)| |\Omega + \epsilon q \delta|} \] (29)

Explicitly, the contribution \( Q^{(1)} \) in Eq. (25) [diagram (b) in Fig. 2] is given by

\[
Q^{(1)} = -\frac{s}{2d} \epsilon_T T^2 a^d d^d \left( \nu_d(0)^2 \right)^2 \times \sum_{\omega_n, \Omega_i} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g_{12} F_1^{(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4)} \Phi^{(1)}(\Omega_i), \] (30a)

where the function \( g_{12} \) is defined in Eq. (20b) and we use the notation

\[
F_1^{(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4)} = G_{s_1}^{s_1} (\omega_n + \Omega_m) G_{s_2}^{s_2} (\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i) \times G_{s_3}^{s_3} (\omega_n) G_{s_4}^{s_4} (\omega_n + \Omega_i), \] (30b)

with \( s_i = \pm \) denote the analytic structure of the Green’s functions implying restrictions on the frequency summation.

For the contribution \( Q^{(2)} \) in Eq. (25) [diagram (c) in Fig. 2] we have the following expression

\[
Q^{(2)} = -\frac{s}{2d} \epsilon_T T^2 a^d d^d \left( \nu_d(0)^2 \right)^2 \times \sum_{\omega_n, \Omega_i} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g_{12} F_2^{(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4)} \Phi^{(2)}(\Omega_i), \] (31a)

where we use the notation

\[
F_2^{(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4)} = |G_{s_1}^{s_1} (\omega_n + \Omega_m)|^2 G_{s_2}^{s_2} (\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i) G_{s_3}^{s_3} (\omega_n), \] (31b)
in the right hand side of Eq. \([12a]\), and has therefore a different structure in comparison with contributions \(Q^{(1)}\) and \(Q^{(2)}\):

\[
Q^{(3)} = -\frac{s}{2d} e^2 T^2 g^{2d+2} \left( \mu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 
\times \sum_{\omega_n, \Omega_i} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g_3 F_3^{(s_1 s_2 s_3)}(\Omega_i, \omega),
\]

where

\[
F_3^{(s_1 s_2 s_3)} = G_{1i}^{s_1}(\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i) G_{1s}^{s_2}(\omega_n + \Omega_m) G_{s_3}^{s_3}(\omega),
\]

\[g_3 = 2 \left( 1 + \frac{d}{2 \varepsilon_F} (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \right).\]  

The main contribution to \(\eta^{(1)}\) from diagram \(Q^{(3)}\) is of the order of \((T/\varepsilon_F)^2\), whereas \(Q^{(1)}\) and \(Q^{(2)}\) have \(1/\varepsilon_F\) contributions. Therefore we will not consider diagram \(Q^{(3)}\) any further, but keep contributions of order \(T/\varepsilon_F\) only.

Thus, the first order interaction corrections to the thermoelectric coefficient are only generated by diagrams (b) and (c) in Fig. 2. Substituting Eqs. \([31a]\) and \([31a]\) into Eq. \([25]\) after summation over Fermionic, \(\omega_n\), and Bosonic, \(\Omega_i\), frequencies and analytical continuation we obtained (see Appendix D for details)

\[
\eta^{(1)} = -\frac{\eta^{(0)}}{2\pi g_T} \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)^d \int d^d q \ln \left[ \frac{2E_0(q)e_a}{T} \right],
\]

where the \(q\)-integration goes over the \(d\)-dimensional sphere with radius \(\pi/a\). Integrating over \(q\) in Eq. \([33]\) we obtain the following expressions, neglecting all constants under the logarithm, in two (2D) and three (3D) dimensions:

\[
\eta^{(1)}_{2D} = -\frac{\eta^{(0)}}{8g_T} \ln \frac{E_c g_T}{T}, \quad \eta^{(1)}_{3D} = -\frac{\eta^{(0)}}{12g_T} \ln \frac{E_c g_T}{T},
\]

which lead to Eq. \([2a]\).

V. THERMOPOWER AND FIGURE OF MERIT

Now we have the expressions for all three kinetic coefficients \(\sigma\), \(\kappa\), and \(\eta\) for granular metals to order \(T/\varepsilon_F\). Based on these, we can derive other thermodynamic quantities – in particular we discuss the thermopower (Seebeck coefficient) and figure of merit in this section. Both quantities are relevant parameters for thermocouples, the thermopower is a measure the change of voltage due to a temperature gradient and the figure of merit a measure for the performance of the device.

The thermopower is related to the kinetic coefficients as (see Eq. \([68]\))

\[
S = \eta/\sigma.
\]

Again, we only consider terms up to order \(T/\varepsilon_F\) and obtain the expression

\[
S = \frac{\eta^{(0)}}{\sigma^{(0)}} \left( \frac{\eta^{(1)}}{\eta^{(0)}} - \frac{\sigma^{(1)}}{\sigma^{(0)}} \right),
\]

which results in Eq. \([43a]\).

The dimensionless figure of merit is related to the kinetic coefficients as

\[
ZT = T\eta^2/(\sigma\kappa) = S^2\sigma T/\kappa.
\]
In Eq. (36b) \( \kappa T / \varepsilon \) resulting in Eq. (5a), which has lowest order (pears squared in the definition of the Seebeck coefficient due to Coulomb interaction, respectively. The numerical coefficient two in front of the last term reflects the fact that the Seebeck coefficient, \( \sigma \). The origin of the third term in the right-hand-side of Eq. (5a) originates due to correction to the Seebeck coefficient, \( \kappa \). last term on the right hand side of Eq. (3b). We mention that the second term on the right hand side of Eq. (3b) cancels with the correction to the electrical conductivity, \( \kappa \), after the substitution into Eq. (36b).

In Fig. 3 the dependence of \( Z \) on the grain size \( a \) and in Fig. 4 on the tunneling conductance \( g_T \) for two- and three-dimensional samples are shown. Fig. 3 shows the temperature dependence of the figure of merit. These plots show that the correction term to \( Z \) is most effective for small grain at not very high tunneling conductance and at low temperatures.

VI. DISCUSSIONS

In the presence of interaction effects and not very low temperatures \( T > g_T \delta \), granular metals behave differently from homogeneous disorder metals. However, in the absence of interactions the result for \( \eta^{(0)} \) below Eq. (2a) or Eq. (C15) coincides with the thermoelectric coefficient of homogeneous disordered metals (see Appendix A),

\[
\eta_{hom}^{(0)} = -(2/9) e p_F (\tau_0 T),
\]

with \( p_F \) being the Fermi momentum. One can expect that at low temperatures, \( T < g_T \delta \), even in the presence of Coulomb interaction the behavior of thermoelectric coefficient and thermopower is similar to the behavior of \( \eta_{hom} \) and \( S_{hom} \), however this temperature range is beyond the scope of the present paper. Our results for thermopower \( \eta^{(0)} \) and figure of merit \( Z^{(0)} \) show that the influence of Coulomb interaction is most effective for small grains. The thermopower \( S^2 \) decreases with the grain size which is a result of the delicate competition of the corrections of thermoelectric coefficient \( \eta \) and the electric conductivity \( \sigma \). In particular, if the numerical prefactor of the correction to \( \eta \) were slightly smaller, the sign of the correction to \( S \) would change.

Above we only considered the electron contribution to the figure of merit. At higher temperatures \( T > T^* \), where

\[
T^* \sim \sqrt{g_T e^2 l_{ph}^2 / c_{ph} a},
\]

is a characteristic temperature with \( l_{ph} \) and \( c_{ph} \) being the phonon scattering length and phonon velocity respectively, phonons will provide an independent, additional contribution to thermal transport,

\[
\kappa_{ph} = T^3 l_{ph} / c_{ph}^2.
\]

However, the phonon contribution can be neglected for temperatures

\[
g_T \delta < T < T^*.
\]

A detailed study of the influence of phonons at high temperatures, including room temperature, will be subject of a forthcoming work.

So far, we ignored the fact that electron-electron interactions also renormalize the chemical potential \( \mu \). In general, this renormalization may affect the kinetic coefficients: the thermal current vertex, Fig. 1 as well as the electron Green’s functions depend on \( \mu \). In particular one needs to replace \( \nabla (e V) \rightarrow \nabla (e V + \mu) \) in Eq. (1a). To first order in the interactions, the renormalization of \( \mu \) only leads to corrections to diagram (a) in Fig. 4. As it can be easily shown, for this diagram the renormalization of the heat and electric current vertices is exactly canceled by the renormalization of the two electron propagators. Therefore, the renormalization of the chemical potential does not affect our results in the leading order.

Finally, we remark that the bare figure of merit \( Z^{(0)} / T \) for granular metals at \( g_T > 1 \) and 100K is of the order of only 10^-4. Therefore these materials are not suitable for solid-state refrigerators, but should be replaced by granular semiconductors with \( g_T < 1 \). However, the case of granular metals is still relevant for low temperature applications in, e.g., thermocouples. Therefore we conclude this paragraph discussing the dimensionless figure of merit \( Z T \) of granular materials at weak coupling between the grains, \( g_T \ll 1 \). In this regime the electronic
FIG. 6: (color online) Lowest order diagram for the heat-electric current correlator for homogeneous disordered metals. The external Bosonic frequency is denoted by $\Omega$ (wavy lines) and the internal Fermionic frequency by $\omega$ (straight lines). The electric and heat current vertexes are $\gamma_e$ and $\gamma_h$, respectively.

The electric and heat current vertexes are $\rightarrow - \leftrightarrow$.

The external Bosonic frequency is denoted by $\Omega$ (wavy lines) and the internal Fermionic frequency by $\omega$ (straight lines). The momentum integral in Eq. (A3) is transformed into integrals $\int d^d p \int d^d p_h \, \bar{p}_e \cdot \bar{p}_h = \int d^d p_e \int d^d p_h \, | \bar{p}_e|^2 \langle \bar{n}_e \cdot \bar{n}_h \rangle \bar{\delta} (\bar{p}_e - \bar{p}_h) (\frac{2}{\Omega})^d,$ where $\bar{n}_\alpha$ is the unit vector in direction of the current $\alpha \in \{e, h\}$. Averaging over angles gives $\langle \bar{n}_e \cdot \bar{n}_h \rangle = 1/d$, and therefore:

$$A^{(0)}(k, \Omega_m) = \frac{s}{d} \frac{e}{m^2} T \sum_{\omega_n} \int d^d p \int d^d p \, \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} f(\sqrt{2m\omega}) \xi_e G(p + k, \omega_n + \Omega_m) G(p, \omega_n), \quad (A3)$$

where $s$ is the spin degeneracy factor, $\Omega_m$ an external bosonic Matsubara frequency, and $G(p, \omega_n)$ the momentum dependent Matsubara Green’s function. In the following we only consider the case of zero external momentum, $k = 0$. For the advanced (in $C^+$) and retarded (in $C^-$) Green’s function, we use

$$G^\pm (p, \omega_n) = [i\omega_n - \xi_p \mp i/(2\tau_\omega)]^{-1}, \quad (A4)$$

where $\xi_p = \varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_F$ is the electron energy with respect to the Fermi energy $\varepsilon_p = p^2/(2m)$ and the (energy) relaxation time $\tau_\omega$ depends on the (real) frequency $\omega$.

The momentum integral in Eq. (A3) is transformed into an energy integral as follows

$$\int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} f(|\bar{p}_{\xi}|) = \Omega_d \frac{2^{d-1} - 1}{2^d} \int_0^\infty f(\sqrt{2m\xi}) \xi^{d-1} d\xi, \quad (A5)$$

where $\Omega_d$ is the value of the angular integral ($\Omega_{1,2,3} = \{2, 2\pi, 4\pi\}$). Since the Green’s function depends on $\xi$, we need to rewrite this integral using the $d\xi = d\xi$ and $\varepsilon^{d/2-1} = \varepsilon_F^{d/2-1} (1 + \xi/\varepsilon_F)^{d/2-1}$

$$\int_0^\infty \xi^{d/2-1} d\xi = \varepsilon_F^{d/2-1} \int_{-\varepsilon_F}^{\infty} (1 + \xi/\varepsilon_F)^{d/2-1} d\xi = \varepsilon_F^{d/2-1} \int_{-\infty}^{d/2 - 1} [1 + (d/2 - 1)/\xi/\varepsilon_F] d\xi. \quad (A6)$$

Combining Eqs. (A3) and (A6) we obtain

$$\int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} f(|\bar{p}_{\xi}|) \approx \nu_d^{(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{d}{2} - 1 \right) \frac{\xi}{\varepsilon_F} \right] \times f\left( \sqrt{2m(\xi - \varepsilon_F)} \right) d\xi, \quad (A7)$$

with $\nu_d^{(0)} = \frac{2^{d/2-1} \varepsilon_F^{d/2-1}}{(2\pi)^d} \eta^{(0)}$ being the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi surface.
The DOS (and $\tau_\omega$) depends on $\omega$ via
\[
\nu_d(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{d\nu}{(2\pi)^d} \Im G^- \quad \text{(A8)}
\]
\[
= \frac{\nu_d^{(0)}}{\pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{\omega}{\bar{\varepsilon}_F} \right] \frac{d-1}{2} \int \frac{(1 + x/\bar{x})^{d-1}}{x^2 + 1} dx ,
\]
with $\bar{x} = 2\tau_\omega \varepsilon_F (1 + \omega/\varepsilon_F)$ and the symbol $\Im$ stands for imaginary part. However, the term $x/\bar{x}$ is neglected in the integral, hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (x^2 + 1)^{-1} dx = \pi$.

For conveniences we drop the momentum argument of $G$ in the following, as well as the $-i\omega$ argument.

Using all the above equations we finally obtain
\[
\eta^{(0)} = -\frac{2s}{m} \nu_d^{(0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \left|_{\Omega=0} \sum_{\xi} \int d\xi \right.
\]
\[
\times \left[ 1 + (d/2 - 1)\xi/\varepsilon_F \right] \xi (\xi - \varepsilon_F)
\]
\[
\times \frac{1}{\varepsilon (\omega_n + \Omega_m) - \xi + \text{sgn}(\omega_n + \Omega_m)/(2\tau)}
\]
\[
\times \frac{1}{\omega_n - \xi + i\text{sgn}(\omega_n)/(2\tau)}.
\]

Here the derivative by the real external frequency, $\Omega$, requires the analytic continuation of the Matsubara expression. For the following calculation of the $\omega_n$ sum and energy integral, it is convenient to introduce the notation
\[
g_\xi = \left[ 1 + (d/2 - 1)\xi/\varepsilon_F \right] \xi (\xi - \varepsilon_F)
\]
\[
= -\xi \varepsilon_F + (2 - d/2)\xi^2 + O \left[ \xi^3/\varepsilon_F \right].
\]

Next, we split the sum over the Fermionic Matsubara frequencies $\omega_n = 2\pi T (n + 1/2)$ into three intervals $I_1 = [-\infty; -\Omega_m], I_2 = [-\Omega_m; 0], I_3 = [0; \infty]$ such that we can specify the analytical structure of the Green’s function, i.e.
\[
\eta^{(0)} = -\frac{2s}{m} \nu_d^{(0)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \left|_{\Omega=0} \int d\xi g_\xi \right.
\]
\[
\times \left[ \sum_{n \in I_1} G^- (\omega_n + \Omega_m) G^- (\omega_n) + \sum_{n \in I_2} G^+ (\omega_n + \Omega_m) G^- (\omega_n)
\]
\[
+ \sum_{n \in I_3} G^+ (\omega_n + \Omega_m) G^+ (\omega_n) \right].
\]

Now, we perform the analytical continuation of the sums to real frequencies and at the same time of the external frequency:

\[
S_1 = \sum_{n \in I_1} G^- (\omega_n + \Omega_m) G^- (\omega_n) \quad \text{(A12)}
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-d\omega}{4\pi i T} \tanh(\frac{\omega}{2T}) G^- (\omega_n) G^- (\omega_n) \tanh(\frac{\omega}{2T}) \text{(A13)}
\]
\[
\times \left[ G^+ (-\omega - i\Omega) G^+ (-\omega) - G^+ (-\omega) G^+ (-\omega + i\Omega) \right],
\]
\[
S_2 = \sum_{n \in I_2} G^+ (\omega_n + \Omega_m) G^- (\omega_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{-d\omega}{4\pi i T} \tanh(\frac{\omega}{2T}) \text{(A13)}
\]
\[
\times \left[ G^+ (-\omega - i\Omega) G^- (-\omega) - G^+ (-\omega) G^+ (-\omega + i\Omega) \right],
\]
\[
S_3 = \sum_{n \in I_3} G^+ (\omega_n + \Omega_m) G^+ (\omega_n) \quad \text{(A14)}
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\omega}{4\pi i T} \tanh(\frac{\omega}{2T}) G^+ (-\omega - i\Omega) G^+ (-\omega).
\]

Next, we rewrite the $\Omega$-derivative of the integrant in terms of $\omega$-derivatives, (arguments $-i\omega$ are omitted):
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \left|_{\Omega=0} G(-i\omega + i\Omega) \right. = \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G, \quad \text{(A15)}
\]
which is valid for both, advanced and retarded functions, and therefore we can simplify.
Using Eqs. (A15) and (A16) we can write the following
\[
\frac{d}{d\Omega}\bigg|_{\Omega=0} [S_1 + S_2 + S_3] = \int d\omega \frac{8\pi t}{\omega} \frac{\omega d\xi}{\partial \omega} \left( \frac{G^+ - (\omega - i\pi)^2}{\tau} \right)^2. \tag{A17}
\]
We notice that at this point the \( \omega \)-integration should not be done by parts, since the boundary term is important. We can now do the \( \xi \)-integration using the fact that \( G^+ - G^- = -\left( i/\tau \right) G^+ G^- \)
\[
\Xi(\omega) = \int d\xi \left( \frac{G^+ - G^-}{\tau} \right)^2. \tag{A18}
\]
\[
= -\int \frac{d\omega}{\tau} \frac{\omega d\xi}{\partial \omega} \left( \frac{G^+}{\omega} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega - \xi}{\partial \omega} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2\omega - \xi}{\partial \omega} \right)^2 \left( \frac{4\omega}{\partial \omega} - 2\omega \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\partial \omega} \right)^2. \tag{A19}
\]
Here, the higher order terms in \( g_\xi \) are neglected. In Eq. (A18) we need to keep the terms proportional to \( \omega^2 \) only, therefore after \( \omega \)-expansion of \( \tau = \tau_\omega \) we obtain:
\[
\Xi(\omega) = -2\pi \left[ \tau_\omega \omega^2 (4 - d) + \omega^2 \tau_\omega (d - 2) \right] = -4\pi \tau_\omega \omega^2. \tag{A19}
\]
And, finally
\[
\eta^{(0)} = -\frac{s \nu e^{(0)}}{d m} \int d\omega \frac{8\pi t}{\omega} \frac{\omega d\xi}{\partial \omega} \Xi(\omega)
\]
\[
= -\frac{s \nu e^{(0)}}{2d m} \frac{\tau_\omega}{T^2} \int d\omega \frac{\omega^2 d\omega}{\cosh^2(\omega/2T)}
\]
\[
= -\frac{2\pi^2 s \nu e^{(0)}}{3d m} \frac{\tau_\omega}{T}. \tag{A20}
\]
To perform the last integration we used the integral \( \int \frac{x^2 dx}{\cosh^2(x)} = \pi^2/6 \). In \( d = 3 \) the density of states has the form \( \nu^{(0)}_{d=3} = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \), leading to
\[
\eta^{(0)}_{3D} = -\frac{s}{g e^2 \nu_3}(\tau_\omega T). \tag{A21}
\]

**APPENDIX B: HEAT AND ELECTRIC CURRENT OPERATORS OF GRANULAR METALS**

In this Appendix we derive an expression for the heat and electric current operators of granular metals in the presence of Coulomb interaction. The Hamiltonian for the granular system is \( \hat{H} = \sum_i \hat{\xi}_i \) where
\[
\hat{\xi}_i = \sum_k \xi_k \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,k} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \sum_j \hat{n}_i \hat{C}_i^{-1} \hat{n}_j
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k,q} \left[ \hat{\tau}_{k,q} \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,q} + \hat{\tau}_{j,q} \hat{a}_{i,j}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,k} \right]
\]
\[
\equiv \hat{\xi}_{i\xi} + \hat{\xi}_{i\tau} + \hat{\xi}_{i\tau}^\dagger. \tag{B1}
\]
Here we introduce the notation \( \hat{n}_i = \sum_k \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,k} \) for the number of electron within a grain \( i \). The creation (annihilation) operators \( \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger (\hat{a}_{i,k}) \) satisfy the anti-commutation relations \( \{ \hat{a}_{i,k}, \hat{a}_{i,q} \} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kq} \) and \( \{ \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{i,q}^\dagger \} = 0 \).

The electric current through grain \( i \) is
\[
-\frac{d}{dt} \hat{n}_i = \left[ \hat{n}_i, \hat{H} \right] \equiv -\frac{e}{c} \sum_j \hat{j}_{ij}. \tag{B2}
\]
And the heat current through grain \( i \) is
\[
-\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\xi}_i = \left[ \hat{\xi}_i, \hat{H} \right] \equiv -\sum_j \hat{j}_{ij}^\tau. \tag{B3}
\]
Accordingly the total current operators are given by
\[
\hat{j}_{e,h} = \frac{\tau_\omega}{4} \sum_{i,j} \hat{j}_{ij}^{e,h}, \tag{B4}
\]
where \( \hat{j}_{e,h} \) are the unit directions of the current (electric field, \( E \), gradient) or heat (temperature, \( T \), gradient) flows.

1. **electric current operator**

First, we calculate the electric current. Since the number operator of electrons \( \hat{n}_i \) commutes with the first two terms of \( \hat{H} \) in Eq. (B2), i.e. \( [\hat{n}_i, \hat{H}] = [\hat{n}_i, \hat{H}^{(0)}] \), we only need to calculate
\[ \left[ \hat{n}_i, \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(t)} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l, j, q} \left[ \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \left( k' q' \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{j, q} + t_{j q} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) - \left( t_{l j} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + t_{q k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{j, q} \right) \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right] \]

\[ = \sum_{j, k, q} \left( t_{j q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{j, q} - t_{q j} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) = \hat{C}_i^{(nt)} \]  

(B5)

As a result we get the following expression for the electric current operator

\[ \hat{j}^{(e)}_{ij} = e \sum_{k, q} \left( t_{j q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{j, q} - t_{q j} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right). \]  

(B6)

2. heat current operator

Second we turn to the heat current, for which we need to calculate nine commutators (see Eq. (B3))

\[ \hat{C}_i^{(\alpha \beta)} = \left[ \hat{C}_i^{(\alpha)}, \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{(\beta)} \right], \]  

(B7)

where \( \alpha \in \{ e, c, t \} \) and operator \( \hat{C}_i^{(\alpha)} \) was defined in Eq. (B3). Since operators \( \hat{n}_i \) commute with each other, four of the commutators in Eq. (B7) vanish:

\[ \hat{C}_i^{(cc)} = \hat{C}_i^{(cc)} = \hat{C}_i^{(ee)} = \hat{C}_i^{(ee)} = 0. \]  

(B8)

\[ \hat{C}_i^{(ct)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k, q} \xi_k \left( \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \left( k' q' \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{j, q} + t_{j q} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) - \left( t_{i j} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + t_{q k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{j, q} \right) \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{j, k, q} \xi_k \left( k' q' \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \]  

(B9)

\[ \hat{C}_i^{(ct)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k, q, l} \xi_l \left( k' q' \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k, q} \xi_k \left( k' q' \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \]  

(B10)

\[ \hat{C}_i^{(ct)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k, q, l} \xi_l \left( k' q' \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k, q} \xi_k \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + \xi_l \left( k' q' \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} + \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right) \hat{a}_{i, k} \hat{a}_{i, k} \]

(B11)

Using Eqs. (B10) and (B11) we obtain for the sum

\[ \hat{C}_i^{(ct)} + \hat{C}_i^{(ct)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, k, q} \left( (\xi_k + \xi_l) a_{j, q} a_{i, k} \right) \]

\[ - (\xi_k + \xi_l) \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \]

(B12)

As a result the non-interacting part of the heat current operator of granular metals has the form

\[ \hat{J}^{(h, 0)}_{ij} = \sum_{k, q} \frac{\xi_k + \xi_l}{2} \left( t_{j q} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} - t_{q j} \hat{a}_{j, q} \hat{a}_{i, k} \right). \]  

(B13)
b. *interacting part of heat current operator*

To obtain the expression for the interacting part of the heat current operator $\hat{J}_{ij}^{(h,1)}$ we need to consider the commutators of the form $[\hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)}, \hat{n}_i]$, where $\hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,q} \left[ t_{ij}^{kq} a_{i,k}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{j,q} + t_{ji}^{kq} a_{j,q}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{i,k} \right]$. Using the calculation for $\hat{C}_i^{(ct)}$ [Eq. (B15)] we get

$$2 \left[ \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)}, \hat{n}_i \right] = \sum_{k,k',q} \left( t_{ij}^{kq} \left( \delta_{ij} \delta_{kk'} \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \hat{a}_{j,k'} - \delta_{ii'} \delta_{kk'} \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{i,k'}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,k'} \right) + t_{ji}^{kq} \left( \delta_{ii'} \delta_{kk'} \hat{a}_{j,q}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{j,q}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,k'} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{kk'} \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{i,k'}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,k'} \right) \right)$$

$$= \left[ \delta_{ij} - \delta_{ii'} \right] \sum_{k,q} \left( t_{ij}^{kq} \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{j,q} + t_{ji}^{kq} \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{j,q} \right) = - \frac{1}{e} \left[ \delta_{ij} - \delta_{ii'} \right] \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)}. \tag{B14}$$

For the following steps of the calculation of $\hat{C}_i^{(ct)}$ and $\hat{C}_i^{(ct)}$, we need the commutator $[\hat{n}_m, \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)}]$

$$- \frac{1}{e} [\hat{n}_m; \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)}] = \sum_{k,q} \left( \hat{n}_m \left( t_{ij}^{kq} \hat{a}_{i,k}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{j,q} - t_{ji}^{kq} \hat{a}_{j,q}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{i,k} \right) - \left( t_{ij}^{kq} \hat{a}_{i,k} \tilde{a}_{j,q} - t_{ji}^{kq} \hat{a}_{j,q} \tilde{a}_{i,k} \right) \hat{n}_m \right)$$

$$= - \left( \delta_{mi} - \delta_{mj} \right) \sum_{k,q} \left( t_{ij}^{kq} \hat{a}_{i,k} \tilde{a}_{j,q} + t_{ji}^{kq} \hat{a}_{j,q} \tilde{a}_{i,k} \right) = 2 \left( \delta_{mi} - \delta_{mj} \right) \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)}. \tag{B15}$$

To calculate the interacting part of the heat current operator $\hat{J}_{ij}^{(h,1)}$ we need the following commutators

$$\frac{4}{e^2} \hat{C}_i^{(ct)} = 2 \sum_{j, j', j''} \left( \hat{n}_i C_{ij}^{-1} \hat{n}_j \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)} - \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)} \hat{n}_i C_{ij}^{-1} \hat{n}_j \right) = \frac{4}{e} \sum_j C_{ij}^{-1} \left( \left[ \delta_{jj'} - \delta_{j'i'} \right] \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j + \left[ \delta_{jj'} - \delta_{j'i'} \right] \hat{n}_j \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \right) \tag{B16}$$

$$= \frac{4}{e} \sum_j C_{ij}^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i} \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j - \sum_{j'} \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j' + \sum_{j'} \hat{J}_{ij'}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j - \sum_{j'} \hat{J}_{ij'}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j' \right]$$

$$= \frac{4}{e} \sum_{j, j', j''} C_{ij}^{-1} \left[ \left( \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} - \hat{J}_{ij'}^{(e)} \right) \hat{n}_j + \hat{n}_i \left( \hat{J}_{ij'}^{(e)} - \hat{J}_{ij'}^{(e)} \right) \right],$$

$$\frac{4}{e^2} \hat{C}_i^{(ct)} = 2 \sum_{j, j', j''} \left( \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)} \hat{n}_j C_{ij}^{-1} \hat{n}_j' \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)} - \hat{n}_j C_{ij}^{-1} \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)} \hat{n}_j' \hat{c}_{ij}^{(t)} \right) = - \frac{4}{e} \sum_j C_{ij}^{-1} \left( \left[ \delta_{jj'} - \delta_{j'i'} \right] \hat{n}_j \hat{c}_{ij}^{(e)} + \left[ \delta_{jj'} - \delta_{j'i'} \right] \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j' \right) \tag{B17}$$

$$= - \frac{4}{e} \sum_j \left[ \sum_{i} C_{ij}^{-1} \hat{c}_{ij}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j + \sum_{j'} C_{ij'}^{-1} \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j - \sum_{i} C_{ij}^{-1} \hat{n}_j \hat{c}_{ij}^{(e)} - \sum_{j'} C_{ij'}^{-1} \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \hat{n}_j' \right]$$

$$= - \frac{4}{e} \sum_{j, j'} \left[ \left( C_{ijj'}^{-1} - C_{ijj'} \right) \hat{n}_j \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} + \left( C_{ijj'}^{-1} - C_{ijj'} \right) \hat{n}_j \hat{J}_{ij}^{(e)} \right].$$
Using the symmetry relations: $\hat{J}_{mi}^e = -\hat{J}_{im}^e$ and $C_{mj}^{-1} = C_{jm}^{-1}$, and $\left[ \hat{n}_m; \hat{j}_{ij}^e \right] = 2ie (\delta_{mi} - \delta_{mj}) \epsilon_{ij}^e$ [Eq. B15] we obtain

\[
\hat{C}_i^{(ct)} + \hat{C}_i^{(tc)} = \frac{ie}{4} \sum_{j,m} \left( C_{mj}^{-1} \left[ \left( \hat{j}_{mi}^e - \hat{j}_{jm}^e \right) \hat{n}_j + \hat{n}_i \left( \hat{j}_{mi}^e - \hat{j}_{jm}^e \right) - \left( C_{mj}^{-1} \hat{n}_m \hat{j}_{ij}^e + (C_{jm}^{-1} - C_{im}^{-1}) \hat{j}_{ij}^e \hat{n}_m \right) \right) - \frac{ie}{4} \sum_{j,m} \left( 2C_{ij}^{-1} \left[ \hat{j}_{mi}^e \hat{n}_j + \hat{n}_i \hat{j}_{mj}^e \right] - \left[ C_{mj}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{n}_m; \hat{j}_{ij}^e \right\} + C_{mi}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{j}_{ij}^e; \hat{n}_m \right\} \right] \right) - \frac{ie}{4} \sum_{j,m} \left( C_{ij}^{-1} \left[ \hat{j}_{mi}^e \hat{n}_j + \hat{n}_i \hat{j}_{mj}^e \right] - 2ie (\delta_{jm} - \delta_{ij}) \epsilon_{ij}^e + \hat{n}_i \hat{j}_{mj}^e + \hat{j}_{mj} \hat{n}_i + 2ie (\delta_{jm} - \delta_{ij}) \epsilon_{mj}^e \right) - \frac{ie}{4} \sum_{j,m} \left( C_{ij}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{n}_i; \hat{j}_{mj}^e \right\} + C_{mj}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{j}_{mj}^e; \hat{n}_i \right\} \right) \right)
\]

As a result for the interaction part of the heat current operator of granular metals we obtain

\[
\hat{J}_{ij}^{(h,1)} = -\frac{e}{4} \sum_m \left( C_{jm}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{n}_i; \hat{j}_{mj}^e \right\} + C_{mj}^{-1} \left\{ \hat{j}_{mj}^e; \hat{n}_i \right\} \right).
\]

So far we have omitted the last commutator $\hat{C}_i^{(tt)}$ in Eq. [B27], which would be an additional contribution to the non-interacting part of the heat current operator, $\hat{J}_{ij}^{(h,0)}$ in Eq. [B13]. However, if this term is summed over $i$ it vanishes and does not contribute to $\hat{J}_{ij}^{(h,0)}$. However, for completeness we present the calculation of $\hat{C}_i^{(tt)}$ here as well.

We define the commutator $\hat{C}_i^{(tt)}$ as

\[
\hat{C}_i^{(tt)} = \sum_{j,i',j'} \left( \epsilon_{ij}^{(t)} \epsilon_{i'j'}^{(t)} - \epsilon_{i'j'}^{(t)} \epsilon_{ij}^{(t)} \right) \equiv \hat{A} - \hat{B},
\]

and simplify first the expression for operator $4\hat{B}$.

\[
4\epsilon_{i'j'}^{(t)} \epsilon_{ij}^{(t)} = \sum_{k,q,k',q'} \left( k'q' \epsilon_{i'j'}^{kq} \hat{a}_{i',k'} \hat{a}_{j',q'} + \epsilon_{i'j'}^{kq} \epsilon_{ij}^{k'q'} \hat{a}_{i,k} \hat{a}_{j,q} \right) \left( \epsilon_{ij}^{kq} \epsilon_{i'j'}^{k'q} \hat{a}_{i,k} \hat{a}_{j,q} + \epsilon_{ij}^{kq} \epsilon_{i'j'}^{k'q' \epsilon_{i,k} \hat{a}_{i',k'}} \hat{a}_{i',k'} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k,q,k',q'} \left( k'q' \epsilon_{i'j'}^{kq} \left[ \delta_{i',j'} \delta_{q,k} \hat{a}_{i,k} \hat{a}_{j,q} - \delta_{i',j'} \delta_{q,k} \hat{a}_{i,k} \hat{a}_{j,q} \right] + \epsilon_{i'j'}^{k'q'} \epsilon_{ij}^{kq} \left[ \delta_{i,j} \delta_{q,k} \hat{a}_{i,k} \hat{a}_{j,q} - \delta_{i,j} \delta_{q,k} \hat{a}_{i,k} \hat{a}_{j,q} \right] \right) + \hat{A}.
\]
And the final step is:

$$\mathcal{C}_i^{(tt)} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k,q} \left[ \sum_{i,i',k'} \left( t_{ij}^k t_{ij'}^{k'} a_{ij,k} a_{ij',k'} - t_{ij}^k t_{ij'}^{k'} a_{ij,k} a_{ij',k'} \right) - \sum_{j',q'} \left( t_{ij}^q t_{ij'}^{q'} a_{ij,k} a_{ij',q'} - t_{ij'}^{q'} a_{ij,k} a_{ij',q'} \right) \right]$$

The underlined terms cancel since $t_{mi}^k = t_{im}^k$, which is also used in the last step. If this expression is summed over $i$, we can exchange indices $m$ and $i$ (and $k$ and $q$) in the second summand. As a result we obtain

$$\sum_{i} \mathcal{C}_i^{(tt)} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (B23)

i.e. there is no additional contribution to the full heat current operator $\mathcal{J}_h$ in Eq. (14) from this term.

3. summary: electric and heat current operators

To summarize this appendix we explicitly write the expressions for electric $j_{ij}^{(e)}$ and heat $j_{ij}^{(h)}$ current operators

$$j_{ij}^{(e)} = i e \sum_{k,q} \left( t_{ij}^k a_{ij,k} a_{ij,q} - t_{ij}^q a_{ij,k} a_{ij,q} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (B24)

$$j_{ij}^{(h)} = j_{ij}^{(h,0)} + j_{ij}^{(h,1)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (B25)

$$j_{ij}^{(h,0)} = i \sum_{k,q} \frac{\xi_k + \xi_q}{2} \left[ t_{ij}^k a_{ik} a_{jq} - t_{ij}^q a_{ik} a_{jq} \right],$$

$$j_{ij}^{(h,1)} = -\frac{e}{4} \sum_{m} \left[ \left\{ \mathcal{C}_m^{(e)} j_{jm}^{(e)} + \mathcal{C}_m^{(e)} j_{jm}^{(e)} \right\} + \left\{ \mathcal{C}_m^{(h)} j_{jm}^{(h)} + \mathcal{C}_m^{(h)} j_{jm}^{(h)} \right\} \right].$$

APPENDIX C: THERMOELECTRIC COEFFICIENT OF GRANULAR METALS IN THE ABSENCE OF INTERACTION

In this appendix we consider the thermolectric coefficient $\eta^{(0)}$ of granular metals in the absence of interaction in analogy to Appendix A. The expression for the thermolectric coefficient in linear response theory is

$$\eta^{(0)} = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \left. Q^{(0)} \right|_{\Omega=0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (C1)

Here $a$ is the grain size and $Q^{(0)}$ the correlator of the heat current, $\mathcal{J}_h^{(0)}$ (see also Fig. 4b), and electric current, $\mathcal{J}_e$, shown in Fig. 7.

For granular metals the important element of the diagram is the tunneling matrix elements $t_{ij}^{kj}$ describing the coupling between grains $i$ and $j$. Therefore we derive an expression for $t_{ij}^{kj}$ in the following, assuming that $i$ and $j$ are nearest neighbor grains and $t_{ij}^{kj}$ is independent of the position in the sample. In order to calculate the energy dependence of these elements we assume the tunneling barrier between grains to be a delta potential. For the one-particle Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \lambda \delta (x)$ the transmission rate for a single particle with energy $\omega_n$ is

$$Q^{(0)} = \cdots$$

![Diagram](attachment:image.png)

FIG. 7: (color online) Lowest order diagram for the heat-electric current correlator of granular metals. The external Bosonic frequency is denoted by $\Omega$ (wavy lines) and the internal Fermionic frequency by $\omega$. The electric and heat current vertexes are $\mathcal{F}_e$ and $\mathcal{F}_h$, respectively. The $\pm$ denote the possible analytical structure of the Green’s functions (straight lines).
\[ \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_F + \xi_p \] is

\[ T_p = \left(1 + \frac{m\lambda^2}{2\hbar^2 \varepsilon_p} \right)^{-1} \approx \left(1 + \frac{m\lambda^2}{2\hbar^2 \varepsilon_F} (1 - \xi_p/\varepsilon_F) \right)^{-1} \] (C2)

\[ = T_0 \left(1 + \frac{2\hbar^2 \varepsilon_F - \xi_p/\varepsilon_F}{} \right)^{-1}. \]

Here \( T_0 \left(1 + \frac{m\lambda^2}{2\hbar^2 \varepsilon_F} \right)^{-1} \) is the transmission rate at \( \varepsilon_p = \varepsilon_F \) and we use the fact that \( \xi_p \ll \varepsilon_F \). Next, we consider the case of large barriers, in this regime \( T_p \simeq T_0(1 + \xi_p/\varepsilon_F) \). In granular systems we have many channels and have to consider tunneling processes with energy \( \xi_1 \) in grain \( i = 1 \) and with \( \xi_2 \) in grain \( j = 2 \): \( t^2 \propto N (T_{p_1}^2 + T_{p_2}^2) \). So, we obtain

\[ t^2(\xi_1, \xi_2) \simeq t_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{\varepsilon_F} \right). \] (C3)

Therefore we have the following expression for correlation function in Eq. (C4)

\[ Q^{(0)} = -A t^2_0 \left(\overline{\alpha}^{(0)} \cdot \overline{\alpha}^{(0)} \right) \sum_{\alpha} d^{2d+2} \int \frac{dp_1}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{dp_2}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{G(\xi_1 + \xi_2) G(\xi_1 - \xi_2)}{\varepsilon_F} G(p_1, \omega_n) G(p_2, \omega_n + \Omega_m) \]

\[ \simeq \frac{8}{2^d} t_0^2 T_0 d^{2d+2} \left(\nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 \sum_{\omega_n} \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g(\xi_1, \xi_2) G(\xi_1, \omega_n) G(\xi_2, \omega_n + \Omega_m), \] (C4)

where \( \overline{\alpha}^{(0)} \) is the unit vector in direction of the current \( \alpha \in \{e, h\} \). \( (\overline{\alpha}^{(0)} \cdot \overline{\alpha}^{(0)}) = 1/d \) is the result of averaging over angles, the summation goes over Fermionic Matsubara frequencies \( \omega_n = 2\pi T(n + 1/2) \), and \( G(p, \omega_n) \) is the Green’s function defined in Eq. (A4) of Appendix A with momenta/energies \( p_1/\xi_1 \) of grain \( i \). To shorter the notation in the following we neglect the momentum argument and attach the grain index to \( G \). In Eq. (C4) we introduce the notation

\[ g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \left(1 + \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2}{\varepsilon_F} \right) \]

\[ \times \left(1 + \left[\frac{d}{2} - 1\right] \frac{\xi_1}{\varepsilon_F} \right) \left(1 + \left[\frac{d}{2} - 1\right] \frac{\xi_2}{\varepsilon_F} \right). \]

The factors in this order arise from: the heat current vertex Eq. (12c), the energy correction to tunneling elements Eq. (16), and corrections to the DOS due to finite Fermi energy Eq. (A7). In the linear order in \( \xi/\varepsilon_F \) we obtain

\[ g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1 + \xi_2 + \frac{d}{2} \frac{(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2}{\varepsilon_F}. \] (C6)

We first perform the analytical continuation in Eq. (C4) (for convenience the grain index is written as index to the Green’s functions)

\[ \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g(\xi_1, \xi_2) \left[ \sum_{n \in I_1} G^\dagger(\omega_n) G_2^\dagger(\omega_n + \Omega_m) + \sum_{n \in I_2} G^\dagger(\omega_n) G_2^{-}(\omega_n + \Omega_m) + \sum_{n \in I_3} G^\dagger(\omega_n) G_2^{\dagger}(\omega_n + \Omega_m) \right]. \] (C7)

After analytical continuation we obtain

\[ S_1 = -\int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi i T} \tanh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T} \right) G_1^\dagger(-i\omega + \Omega) G_2^-(-\omega), \] (C8)

\[ S_2 = -\int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi i T} \tanh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left[ G_1^\dagger(-\omega) G_2^{-}(\omega + \Omega) - G_1^\dagger(-\omega + \Omega) G_2^{-}(\omega) \right], \] (C9)

\[ S_3 = -\int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi i T} \tanh \left(\frac{\omega}{2T} \right) G_1^\dagger(-i\omega) G_2^{\dagger}(\omega - \Omega). \] (C10)

Now we consider the derivative of the sum of \( S_1 + S_2 + S_3 \) with respect to Bosonic frequency \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \bigg|_{\Omega=0} \). For brevity
we omit arguments $-i\omega$ of Green’s functions
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \bigg|_{\Omega=0} (S_1 + S_2 + S_3) = \int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_1^+ \right) (G_1^- - G_1^+) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_2^+ \right) (G_2^- + G_2^+) \right]
\]
\[
= \int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_1^+ \right) (G_2^- - G_2^+) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} G_2^+ \right) (G_1^- - G_1^+) \right]
\]
\[
= \int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left( G_2^- - G_2^+ \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left( G_1^- - G_1^+ \right) + \frac{i}{2} \left( (G_2^- - G_2^+) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (G_1^- - G_1^+) + (G_1^- - G_1^+) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} (G_2^- - G_2^+) \right)
\]
\[
= \int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left( G_1^- - G_1^+ \right) (G_2^- - G_2^+) \right] = \int \frac{d\omega}{4\pi iT} \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau_0} G_1^+ G_2^- G_2^+ \right]. \quad (C11)
\]

Now one can perform the integration over variables $\xi_1$ and $\xi_2$ using Eqs. (C7), (C11), and the residuum theorem
\[
\int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \, g(\xi_1, \xi_2) G_1^+ G_2^- G_2^+ = \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \, \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \frac{4(\xi_1 + \xi_2)^2}{\xi_F}}{(\omega - \xi_1 - i/(2\tau)) (\omega - \xi_1 + i/(2\tau)) (\omega - \xi_2 - i/(2\tau)) (\omega - \xi_2 + i/(2\tau))}
\]
\[
= 2\pi i \int \frac{d\xi_2}{\xi_2} \frac{\omega + i/(2\tau) + \xi_2 + \frac{d}{2\tau} (\omega + i/(2\tau) + \xi_2)^2}{(-i/\tau) (\omega - \xi_2 - i/(2\tau)) (\omega - \xi_2 + i/(2\tau))} = 4\pi^2 \tau^2 \left[ 2\omega + i/\tau + \frac{d}{2\xi_F} (2\omega + i/\tau)^2 \right],}
\]

where the $\xi_{1,0}$-values below the braces denote the poles in the complex plane used to perform the integration. As a result we obtain the following expression for the derivative of correlation function
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \bigg|_{\Omega=0} Q^{(0)} = \frac{s}{2d} \epsilon_0^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 \int \frac{d\omega}{8\pi iT} \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left[ 4\pi^2 \left[ 2\omega + i/\tau + \frac{d}{2\xi_F} (2\omega + i/\tau)^2 \right] \right]
\]
\[
= \frac{\pi s}{4d} \epsilon_0^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 \int d\omega \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \left[ \frac{d}{2\xi_F} (2\omega + i/\tau_0 [(d/2 - 1)\omega/\xi_F])^2 \right]
\]
\[
= -\frac{\pi s}{4d} \epsilon_0^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 (2T)^{-1} \frac{d}{2\xi_F} \int d\omega \frac{(2 + (d/2 - 1)i/\tau_0 \xi_F)^2 \omega^2}{\cosh^2 (\omega/(2T))}
\]
\[
= -\frac{\pi s}{4d} \epsilon_0^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 (2T)^{2d} \frac{4d^2 \pi^2}{2\xi_F} \frac{\delta}{6}.
\]

In the second line in Eq. (C13) the derivative is taken into account (removing the boundary terms of the partial integration) and the contributions of order $1/\varepsilon_F^2$ or smaller are neglected in the last line.

Finally, we obtain the following expression for non-interacting thermoelectric coefficient of granular metals
\[
\eta^{(0)} = -\frac{8s^2}{3} \epsilon_0^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \quad \text{(C14)}
\]

One can re-write this expression using the relations: $\nu_d^{(0)} \tilde{D}_d = g a^{2-d}$; $\nu_d^{(0)} = (\delta a^d)^{-1}$; $\tilde{t}_0^2 = g \delta^2 / (2\pi)$, where $\tilde{D}_d$ is the diffusion constant, $g$ the tunneling conductance, and $\delta$ the mean level spacing, giving
\[
\eta^{(0)} = -\frac{8s^2}{6} e \gamma d a^{2-d} (T/\varepsilon_F). \quad \text{(C15)}
\]

### APPENDIX D: THERMOELECTRIC COEFFICIENT OF GRANULAR METALS WITH INTERACTION

In this appendix we consider the correction $\eta^{(1)}$ to the thermoelectric coefficient of granular metals due to electron-electron interaction, i.e.,
\[
\eta = \eta^{(0)} + \eta^{(1)}, \quad \text{(D1)}
\]
where \( \eta^{(0)} \) was calculated in Appendix C, Eq. (C4). The structure of the diagrams \( Q^{(1)}, Q^{(2)}, Q^{(3)} \) contributing to \( \eta^{(1)} \) are shown in Fig. 8, and we can write

\[
\eta^{(1)} = \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial a^T \partial \Omega} \bigg|_{\Omega=0} \left( Q^{(1)} + Q^{(2)} + Q^{(3)} \right) \tag{D2}
\]

These diagrams include the effect of elastic scattering of electron at impurities described by diffusons, \( D^{-1} = \tau_{\omega}(\tilde{|\Omega|} + \epsilon_q, \delta) \), and the effect of the dynamically screened Coulomb potential \( \tilde{V}(q, \Omega_i) = D V(q, \Omega_i) D \):

\[
\tilde{V}(q, \Omega_i) = \frac{2 E_c(q)}{\tau_{\omega}(\tilde{|\Omega|} + 4 E_c(q) \epsilon_q) \tilde{|\Omega_i|} + \epsilon_q} \tag{D3}
\]

\[
V(q, \Omega_i) = \left( \frac{1}{2 E_c(q)} + \frac{2 \epsilon_q}{\tilde{|\Omega_i|} + \epsilon_q} \right)^{-1} \tag{D4}
\]

The renormalized interaction vertices are: (i) inter-grain

\[
\Phi^{(1)}(q, \Omega_i) = a^d \int \frac{d q}{(2 \pi)^d} \tilde{V}(q, \Omega_i) \sum_a \cos(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{a}) \tag{D5}
\]

and (ii) intra-grain:

\[
\Phi^{(2)}(q, \Omega_i) = a^d 2 d \int \frac{d q}{(2 \pi)^d} \tilde{V}(q, \Omega_i) \tag{D6}
\]

\[
\Phi^{(3)}(q, \Omega_i) = a^d 2 d \int \frac{d q}{(2 \pi)^d} \tilde{V}(q, \Omega_i) \tag{D7}
\]

with \( \epsilon_q = 2 g_F (2d - \sum_a \cos(\vec{q} \cdot \vec{a})) \) where \( \sum_a \) stands for summation over all directions and orientations \( \{ \pm a \} \), and

\[
E_c(q) = \frac{2 C(q)}{2 C(q)} = \frac{e^2}{a^d} \begin{cases} -\ln(qa), & d = 1 \\ \frac{\pi}{2a}, & d = 2 \\ 2 \pi / q^2, & d = 3 \end{cases} \tag{D8}
\]

Explicitly, the contribution \( Q^{(1)} \) in Eq. (D2) is given by

\[
Q^{(1)}(s_1, s_2) = \frac{8}{2d} e^{2 + T_2} a^{2d + 2} \left( \nu_d \right)^2 \tag{D9}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{\omega_n, \Omega_i} \int d \xi_1 d \xi_2 d \xi_3 \Phi^{(1)}(\Omega_i) \tag{D10}
\]

\[
\times \Phi^{(2)}(\Omega_i) \tag{D11}
\]

FIG. 8: (color online) Diagram describing the correction to the thermoelectric coefficient due to electron-electron interaction corresponding to the term \( Q^{(1)} \) in Eq. (D2). The external Bosonic frequency is denoted by \( \Omega \) (wavy lines) and the internal Fermionic frequency by \( \omega \) (straight lines). The electric and heat current vertexes are \( \tilde{\gamma} \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \) (without Coulomb contribution), respectively. The (red) triangles denote the diffusons \( D \) and the thick wavy line the screen Coulomb interaction.

\[
Q^{(1)} = \frac{8}{2d} e^{2 T_2} a^{2d + 2} \left( \nu_d \right)^2 \tag{D12}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{\omega_n, \Omega_i} \int d \xi_1 d \xi_2 d \xi_3 \Phi^{(1)}(\Omega_i) \Phi^{(2)}(\Omega_i) \tag{D13}
\]

\[
\times \Phi^{(3)}(\Omega_i, q) \tag{D14}
\]

FIG. 9: (color online) Diagram describing correction to the thermoelectric coefficient due to electron-electron interaction corresponding to term \( Q^{(2)} \) in Eq. (D2). All notations are the same as in Fig. 8.
Since the linear part of function $F$ or the final approximation we used the fact that low the underbraces.

We can simplify the expression for this dia-

$\Omega = 4 − ξ^2/π^2$.

Using the result of integration over $ξ$ we introduce the function $g_3(ξ_1, ξ_2)$ has a factor

$$Q^{(s_1 s_2 s_3)} = \frac{\omega_n + \Omega_m}{\Omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i}$$

where in the last line we introduce the function

$$g(z) = z + [d/(2\varepsilon_F)]z^2.$$ (D17)

For the final approximation we used the fact that $|\Omega_i/\tau| \ll 1$. The poles for the residual are written be-

Using the result of integration over $ξ_1$ and $ξ_2$ in Eq. (D16), we can simplify the expression for this dia-

$$Q^{(s_1 s_2 s_3)} = G^{s_1}_1(\omega_n + \Omega_n + \Omega_i)G^{s_2}_1(\omega_n + \Omega_m)G^{s_3}_2(\omega_n),$$ (D12)

and

$$g_3(ξ_1, ξ_2) = 2 \left( 1 + \frac{ξ_1 + ξ_2}{\varepsilon_F} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(d - 1) ξ_1}{\varepsilon_F} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{(d - 1) ξ_2}{\varepsilon_F} \right)$$

$$= 2 \left( 1 + \frac{d}{2\varepsilon_F}(ξ_1 + ξ_2) \right) + O(ξ^2/\varepsilon_F^2).$$

Since the linear part of function $g_3(ξ_1, ξ_2)$ has a factor

$$\int dξ_1 dξ_2 \frac{1}{(\omega_n + \Omega_m - ξ_1 + i/(2\tau))(\omega_n + \Omega_m + Ω_i - ξ_1 - i/(2\tau))(\omega_n - ξ_2 + i/(2\tau))(\omega_n - ξ_2 - i/(2\tau))}$$

$$= -\frac{4π^2}{(\Omega_i - 1/τ)g(ia(2\omega_n + \Omega_m + Ω_i))} \approx 4π^2τ^2 g(ia(2\omega_n + \Omega_m + Ω_i)),$$ (D16)

1. Calculation of contribution $Q^{(1,±±)}$ in Eq. (D7).

Here we discuss the contribution $Q^{(1,±±)}$ introduced in Eq. (D7). The analytical structure of this diagram (Fig. 8), defined by indexes $s_1$ to $s_4$ in Eq. (D7), demands

$$\omega_n + \Omega_m > 0, \quad \omega_n + \Omega_m + Ω_i < 0$$ (D14)

$$\omega_n > 0, \quad \omega_n + Ω_i < 0.$$ (D15)

These inequalities define the limits of frequency summa-

First, we calculate the $ξ$-integrals in Eq. (D7) using the residue theorem:

where

$$g(z) = z + [d/(2\varepsilon_F)]z^2.$$ (D17)

2. Calculation of contribution $Q^{(1,±+)}$ in Eq. (D7).

Here we discuss the contribution $Q^{(1,±+)}$ defined in Eq. (D7). The analytical structure of this diagram demands

$$\omega_n + \Omega_m < 0, \quad \omega_n + \Omega_m + Ω_i > 0$$ (D19)

$$\omega_n < 0, \quad \omega_n + Ω_i > 0,$$

which defines the limits of the frequency summations:

$$−Ω_i < ω_n < −Ω_m$$ and $Ω_i > Ω_m$. (D20)

We first perform the $ξ$-integrals in Eq. (D7).
Substituting the result of Eq. (D21) back into Eq. (D7) we obtain

\[ Q^{(1,\mp \mp)} = -\frac{\pi^2 s}{g} \left[ a^2 b - \frac{a^2}{a} \right] \] (D22)

\[ \times \sum_{-\Omega < \omega < \Omega} \Phi \left( \Omega, \xi \right) g \left( i (2\omega + \Omega + \zeta) \right) \]

3. Calculation of contribution \( Q^{(2,\pm \pm)} \) in Eq. (D9)

Here we discuss the contribution \( Q^{(2,\pm \pm)} \) introduced in Eq. (D9). The analytical structure of this diagram demands

\[ \omega_n + \Omega_m > 0, \quad \omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i < 0 \]

\[ \omega_n > 0 \] (D23)

which defines the limits of frequency summations:

\[ 0 < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_i < -\Omega_m. \] (D24)

For symmetry reasons we write both versions of the integrals (diffusons on grain 1 & 2) and therefore already take the factor 2 in front of the \( Q^{(2)} \) subdiagrams into account in the right hand side of Eq. (D9). Furthermore we introduce the short notation for the Green’s functions:

\[ a_{\pm}(\xi) \equiv i(\omega_n + \Omega_m) - \xi \pm i/\tau, \]

\[ b_{\pm}(\xi) \equiv i(\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i) - \xi \pm i/\tau, \]

\[ c_{\pm}(\xi) \equiv i(\omega_n - \xi \pm i/\tau). \] (D25)

In the following we need only the poles of functions \( b_{\pm}(\xi) \) and \( c_{\pm}(\xi) \): \( \xi^{(b)}_{\pm} = i(\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i) \pm i/\tau \in \mathbb{C}^\pm \) and \( \xi^{(c)}_{\pm} = i\omega_n \pm i/\tau \in \mathbb{C}^\pm \). We also use the function \( g_{12} \) introduced in Eq. (D9).

Therefore the \( \xi \)-integrals in Eq. (D9) can be written as:

\[ \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g \left( \xi_1 + \xi_2 \right) \left[ (a_{\pm}^2(\xi_1) b_{\pm}(\xi_2) c_{\pm}(\xi_2))^{-1} + (a_{\pm}^2(\xi_2) b_{\pm}(\xi_1) c_{\pm}(\xi_1))^{-1} \right] \]

\[ = \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g \left( \xi_1 + \xi_2 \right) \frac{a_{\pm}^2(\xi_1) b_{\pm}(\xi_2) c_{\pm}(\xi_1) + a_{\pm}^2(\xi_2) b_{\pm}(\xi_1) c_{\pm}(\xi_2)}{a_{\pm}^2(\xi_1) b_{\pm}(\xi_2) c_{\pm}(\xi_2)} \]

\[ = 2\pi i \int d\xi_1 \frac{1}{a_{\pm}^2(\xi_1) b_{\pm}(\xi_1) c_{\pm}(\xi_1)} \left[ g \left( \xi_1 + \xi^{(c)}_{\pm} \right) \frac{c_{\pm}(\xi_1)}{2} - g \left( \xi_1 + \xi^{(b)}_{\pm} \right) \frac{a_{\pm}^2(\xi_1) b_{\pm}(\xi_1)}{a_{\pm}^2(\xi^{(b)}_{\pm})} \right] \]

\[ = 2\pi i \int d\xi_1 \left[ g \left( \xi_1 + \xi^{(c)}_{\pm} \right) \frac{1}{2a_{\pm}^2(\xi_1) b_{\pm}(\xi_1) c_{\pm}(\xi_1)} - g \left( \xi_1 + \xi^{(b)}_{\pm} \right) \frac{a_{\pm}^2(\xi_1) b_{\pm}(\xi_1)}{a_{\pm}^2(\xi^{(b)}_{\pm})} \right]. \] (D26)

Here we executed the \( \xi_2 \)-integral and used the fact that the first term in the second line has only one pole in \( \mathbb{C}^+ \) (factor \( \pi i \)). We are left with two term where the second one has only a single \( \xi_1 \)-pole in \( \mathbb{C}^+ \) which gives another factor \( \pi i \). However, both terms give the same result

\[ 4\pi^2 g \frac{\left( \xi^{(c)}_{\pm} + \xi^{(b)}_{\pm} \right)}{a_{\pm}^2(\xi^{(b)}_{\pm})} = \frac{4\pi^2 g \left( i(2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i) \right)}{-\pi i(\Omega_i + i/\tau)^2} \approx -4\pi^2 \tau g \left( i(2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i) \right). \] (D27)
Substituting the result of Eq. (D27) into Eq. (D9) we obtain

\[ 2Q^{(2,\pm)} = \frac{2\pi^2 s}{2d} e^{\int_0^T t^2} r^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \psi_d^{(0)} \right)^2 \]

\[ \times \sum_{0 < \omega_n < -\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \Phi^{(2)}_\omega (\Omega_i) g (i (2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i)). \]

The notation introduced in this Appendix allows us to write down the \( Q^{(2,\pm)} \) and \( Q^{(2,\pm)} \) contributions in Eq. (D9) by just changing the +/- indices.

4. Calculation of contribution \( Q^{(2,\mp)} \) in Eq. (D9)

Here we discuss the contribution \( Q^{(2,\mp)} \) introduced in Eq. (D9). The analytical structure of this diagram demands

\[ \omega_n + \Omega_m < 0, \quad \omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i > 0, \]

\[ \omega_n < 0, \]

which defines the limits of frequency summations:

\[ -\Omega_m - \Omega_i < \omega_n < -\Omega_m \text{ and } \Omega_i > 0. \]

5. Calculation of contribution \( Q^{(2,\pm)} \) in Eq. (D9)

Here we discuss the contribution \( Q^{(2,\pm)} \) introduced in Eq. (D9). The analytical structure of this diagram demands

\[ \omega_n + \Omega_m > 0, \quad \omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i < 0, \]

\[ \omega_n < 0, \]

which defines the limits of frequency summations:

\[ -\Omega_m < \omega_n < 0 \text{ and } \Omega_i < -\Omega_m, \]

and the disjunct region

\[ -\Omega_m < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i \text{ and } -\Omega_m < \Omega_i < 0. \]

Using notations introduced in Eq. (D25) the \( \xi \)-integrals in Eq. (D9) for this diagram can be calculated as:

\[ \int \psi_1 \psi_2 \left( (a_2^+ (\xi_1) b_+ (\xi_1) c_- (\xi_2))^{-1} + (a_2^- (\xi_2) b_+ (\xi_2) c_- (\xi_1))^{-1} \right) \]

\[ = \int \psi_1 \psi_2 \frac{1}{a_2^+ (\xi_1) b_+ (\xi_1) c_- (\xi_1)} \left[ -g (\xi_1 + \xi_+^b) c_- (\xi_1) + g (\xi_1 + \xi_+^b) a_2^- (\xi_1) \right] \]

\[ = 2\pi^2 \int \psi_1 \psi_2 \left[ \frac{g (\xi_1 + \xi_+^b)}{a_2^- (\xi_1) c_- (\xi_1)} + \frac{g (\xi_1 + \xi_+^b)}{a_2^- (\xi_+^b) c_- (\xi_1)} \right] = -4\pi^2 r^2 g (i (2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i)). \]
\[ \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \left[ \left( a_+^2(\xi_1)b_-(\xi_1)c_-(\xi_2) \right)^{-1} + \left( a_+^2(\xi_2)b_-(\xi_2)c_-(\xi_1) \right)^{-1} \right] \]

\[ = \int d\xi_1 d\xi_2 g (\xi_1 + \xi_2) \frac{a_+^2(\xi_2)b_-(\xi_2)c_-(\xi_1) + a_+^2(\xi_1)b_-(\xi_1)c_-(\xi_2)}{a_+^2(\xi_1)b_-(\xi_1)c_-(\xi_2)a_+^2(\xi_2)b_-(\xi_2)c_-(\xi_1)} \]

\[ = 2\pi i \int d\xi_1 \frac{1}{2a_+^2(\xi_1)b_-(\xi_1)c_-(\xi_1)} \left[ -g \left( \xi_1 + \xi_1^e(c) \right) + g \frac{\xi_1 + \xi_1^e(b)}{2} \right] \]

\[ = 2\pi i \int d\xi_1 \left[ \frac{g \left( \xi_1 + \xi_1^e(c) \right)}{2a_+^2 \xi_1^e(c) c_-(\xi_1)} - \frac{g \left( \xi_1 + \xi_1^e(b) \right)}{a_+^2 \xi_1^e(b)} \right] = -4\pi^2 g \frac{\xi_1^e(c) + \xi_1^e(b)}{a_+^2 \xi_1^e(b)} \approx -4\pi^2 g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i - 1/\tau \right). \]

Substituting the result of Eq. (D36) into Eq. (D9) taking into account the two disjunct regions for the frequency summations, we obtain

\[ 2Q^{(2;\pm)} = \frac{2\pi^2 s}{d} e^t_0 T^2 \tau^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{-\Omega_m < \omega_n < 0} - \sum_{\Omega_m - \Omega_i < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i} \right) \Phi^{(2)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i - 1/\tau \right). \]

\[ \text{(D37)} \]

6. Analytical continuation

Here we combine all five contributions to calculate correction \( \eta^{(1)} \): \( Q^{(1,\pm\pm)}, Q^{(1,\mp\mp)}, Q^{(2,\pm\pm)}, Q^{(2,\mp\pm)}, \) and \( Q^{(2,\pm\mp)} \) introduced in the right hand sides of Eqs. (D7), (D9) and discussed in the previous subsections (D1) through (D5). We focus in particular on the analytical continuation of the Matsubara to real frequencies, both for the Fermionic and Bosonic frequencies. Using Eqs. (D18), (D22), (D28), (D32), and (D37) one can write

\[ Q^{(1)} + Q^{(2)} = Q^{(1,\pm\pm)} + Q^{(1,\mp\mp)} + 2 \left( Q^{(2,\pm\pm)} + Q^{(2,\mp\pm)} + Q^{(2,\pm\mp)} \right) \]

\[ = \lambda T \left[ - \sum_{0 < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i} \tau^2 \Phi^{(1)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i \right) - \sum_{-\Omega_i < \omega_n < -\Omega_m} \tau^2 \Phi^{(1)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i \right) \right. \]

\[ + \sum_{0 < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i} \tau^2 \Phi^{(2)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i \right) + \sum_{-\Omega_m - \Omega_i < \omega_n < -\Omega_m} \tau^2 \Phi^{(2)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i \right) \]

\[- \sum_{-\Omega_m < \omega_n < 0} \tau^2 \Phi^{(2)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i - 1/\tau \right) \left. - \sum_{-\Omega_m < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i} \tau^2 \Phi^{(2)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) g \left( 2\omega_n + \Omega_m + \Omega_i - 1/\tau \right) \right], \]

where we introduced the notation \( \lambda = \frac{2\pi^2 s}{d} e^t_0 T^2 a^{2d+2} \left( \nu_d^{(0)} \right)^2 \) and the functions \( \Phi^{(1)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) \) and \( \Phi^{(2)}_{\omega} (\Omega_i) \) were defined in Eqs. (D4) and (D5) respectively.

Next, we perform the summation over Fermionic frequencies \( \omega_n \) in Eq. (D38) by shifts and the corresponding
Here the function $f(\omega_n, \Omega_i)$ is the product of the functions $\tau^2 \Phi_0^{(\alpha)}(\Omega_i)$ and $g$. For the analytic continuation we need to consider the $\omega$-dependence of function $\Phi_0^{(\alpha)}(\Omega_i)$, in particular we can use the fact that (which follows directly from the definition of functions $\Phi_0^{(\alpha)}$ in Eqs. (D39) and (D3))

$$\Phi_0^{(\alpha)}(\tau_0^2) = \Phi_\omega^{(\alpha)}(\tau_\omega^2),$$

(D40)

where $\Phi_0^{(\alpha)}$ is the same as $\Phi_\omega^{(\alpha)}$ but with $\tau_\omega$ replaced by $\tau_0$ and is therefore $\omega$-independent. Using Eq. (D40), we obtain

$$\sum_{0 < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i} f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \left[ \sum_{\omega_n > 0} - \sum_{\omega_n = 0} \right] f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \sum_{\omega_n < 0} \left[ f(\omega_n - \Omega_m - \Omega_i, \Omega_i) \right], \quad \text{(D39)}$$

$$\sum_{-\Omega_i < \omega_n < -\Omega_m} f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \left[ \sum_{\omega_n < 0} - \sum_{\omega_n > 0} \right] f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \sum_{\omega_n > 0} \left[ f(\omega_n - \Omega_m, \Omega_i) - f(\omega_n - \Omega_i, \Omega_i) \right],$$

$$\sum_{-\Omega_m < \omega_n < 0} f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \left[ \sum_{\omega_n < 0} - \sum_{\omega_n > 0} \right] f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \sum_{\omega_n > 0} \left[ f(\omega_n - \Omega_m - \Omega_i, \Omega_i) - f(\omega_n - \Omega_i, \Omega_i) \right],$$

$$\sum_{-\Omega_m < \omega_n < -\Omega_m - \Omega_i} f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \left[ \sum_{\omega_n < 0} - \sum_{\omega_n > 0} \right] f(\omega_n, \Omega_i) = \sum_{\omega_n > 0} \left[ f(\omega_n - \Omega_m - \Omega_i, \Omega_i) - f(\omega_n - \Omega_m, \Omega_i) \right] - \sum_{\omega_n < 0} \left[ f(\omega_n - \Omega_m - \Omega_i, \Omega_i) - f(\omega_n - \Omega_m, \Omega_i) \right].$$
\[
Q^{(1)} + Q^{(2)} = Q^{(1,\pm\pm)} + Q^{(1,\mp\mp)} + 2 \left( Q^{(2,\pm\pm)} + Q^{(2,\mp\mp)} + Q^{(2,\pm\mp)} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{\lambda T}{4\pi i T} \left[ -\sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \left[ \Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) - \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \right] \tau_0^2 \int d\omega \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \int \frac{d\omega}{\tau} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \right. \\
+ \sum_{\Omega_i > \Omega_m} \Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) \tau_0^2 \int d\omega \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left[ g (2\omega + i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) - g (2\omega - i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) \right] \\
- \sum_{\Omega_i < 0} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \tau_0^2 \int d\omega \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left[ g (2\omega + i (-\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) - g (2\omega - i (-\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) \right] \\
+ \sum_{\Omega_i < \Omega_m} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \tau_0^2 \int d\omega \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left[ g (2\omega - i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) - g (2\omega + i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) \right] \\
- \sum_{\Omega_i < 0} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \tau_0^2 \int d\omega \tanh \left( \frac{\omega}{2T} \right) \left[ g (2\omega - i (-\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) - g (2\omega + i (-\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) \right]. 
\]

Next, we consider the integrands in Eq. (D41) and introduce the short hand notations

\[
a_1 \equiv g (2\omega + i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) - g (2\omega - i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) = 2i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i) (1 + 2d\omega/\varepsilon_F),
\]
\[
a_2 \equiv g (2\omega + i (-\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) - g (2\omega + i (\Omega_m - \Omega_i)) = 2i (\Omega_i - \Omega_m) (1 + 2d\omega/\varepsilon_F),
\]
\[
a_3 \equiv g (2\omega + i (-\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) - g (2\omega - i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i)) = 2d\Omega_i + 2d\Omega_m (2\omega + \Omega_m)/\varepsilon_F,
\]
\[
a_4 \equiv g (2\omega + i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i - 1/\tau)) - g (2\omega + i (-\Omega_m + \Omega_i - 1/\tau)) = 2\Omega_m i + 2\Omega_m d/\varepsilon_F (1/\tau_m + 2\omega - \Omega_i),
\]
\[
a_5 \equiv g (2\omega - i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i + 1/\tau)) - g (2\omega + i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i - 1/\tau)) = -2d\Omega_i - 2d\Omega_m /\varepsilon_F (1/\tau_m + 2\omega - \Omega_m).
\]

Now, we extract only terms which are linear in \(\omega\) in Eq. (D42) and of order \(1/\varepsilon_F\) (thus the \(\tau_m\) in \(a_4\) and \(a_5\) does not give a contribution). Therefore we obtain

\[
a_1 \simeq 2\omega i (\Omega_m + \Omega_i) 2d/\varepsilon_F
\]
\[
a_2 \simeq 2\omega i (\Omega_i - \Omega_m) 2d/\varepsilon_F
\]
\[
a_3 \simeq 2\omega i \Omega_i 2d/\varepsilon_F
\]
\[
a_4 \simeq 2\omega i \Omega_m 2d/\varepsilon_F
\]
\[
a_5 \simeq -2\omega i \Omega_i 2d/\varepsilon_F.
\]

Substituting the result of Eqs. (D43) back into Eq. (D41) we obtain
\begin{align}
Q^{(1)} + Q^{(2)} &= Q^{(1, \pm \pm)} + Q^{(1, \mp \mp)} + 2 \left( Q^{(2, \pm \pm)} + Q^{(2, \mp \mp)} + Q^{(2, \pm \mp)} \right) \\
&= \frac{2\tau_0^2 \omega d\lambda T}{4\pi T \varepsilon_F} \left[ - \sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \left[ \Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) - \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \right] \int d\omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \omega (\Omega_m + \Omega_i) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\Omega_i > \Omega_m} \Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) \int d\omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \omega (\Omega_i - \Omega_m) - \sum_{\Omega_i > 0} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \int d\omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \omega \Omega_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{\Omega_i < \Omega_m} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \int d\omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \omega \Omega_i - \sum_{\Omega_i < 0} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \int d\omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \omega \Omega_i \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \left[ \Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) - \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \right] (\Omega_m + \Omega_i) + \sum_{\Omega_i > \Omega_m} \Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) (\Omega_i - \Omega_m) - \sum_{\Omega_i > 0} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \Omega_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \Omega_m - \sum_{\Omega_i < 0} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \Omega_i + \sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \Omega_i \right].
\end{align}

In the last two lines of Eq. (D44) we introduced the notation \( \bar{\lambda} = \frac{2\tau_0^2 \omega}{\pi T \varepsilon_F} \int d\omega \omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \) where we use the fact that \( \int d\omega \omega \tanh(\omega/2T) \sim -\frac{\pi T^2}{4} \), neglecting the infinite boundary terms of the partial integration.

Using the fact that the functions \( \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \) in Eq. (D44) depend only on the absolute value of the Bosonic frequency \( \Omega \), we finally obtain

\begin{align}
Q^{(1)} + Q^{(2)} &= -2\lambda_1 \sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \left[ \Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) - \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) \right] (\Omega_m + \Omega_i),
\end{align}

where we use the notation \( \lambda_1 = \frac{2\tau_0^2 e}{\pi T} \left( \tau_0 d \nu_d^{(0)} a d + 1 \right) \frac{2\pi^3}{\varepsilon_F} \). The internal frequency summation is also done by analytical continuation, but for Bosonic frequencies: \( \Omega_i \sim -\Omega + \eta \). Before the final integration we should take the external frequency derivative and finally calculate the \( \Phi_0 \)-integrals of the \( \Phi_0 \)-functions. Using Eqs. (D41) and (D53) we have

\begin{align}
\Phi_0^{(1)} (\Omega_i) - \Phi_0^{(2)} (\Omega_i) &= a d \int \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \\
&\times \frac{2E_c(q)}{r_0^2 (|\Omega_i| + 4E_c(q)\epsilon_q)} (|\Omega_i| + \epsilon_q \delta).
\end{align}

Using Eq. (D46) one can calculate the sum over internal bosonic frequencies \( \Omega_i \) in Eq. (D47)

\begin{align}
S_{\Omega} &= \sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \frac{\Omega_m + \Omega_i}{(|\Omega_i| + 4E_c(q)\epsilon_q) (|\Omega_i| + \epsilon_q \delta)} \\
&= \sum_{\Omega_i < -\Omega_m} \frac{\Omega_m + \Omega_i}{(-\Omega_i + 4E_c(q)\epsilon_q) (-\Omega_i + \epsilon_q \delta)} \\
&= \sum_{\Omega_i < 0} \frac{\Omega_i}{(-\Omega_i + \Omega_m + 4E_c(q)\epsilon_q) (-\Omega_i + \Omega_m + \epsilon_q \delta)} \\
&= \int \frac{[-4\pi T]^{-1} (-\Omega) \coth(\Omega/2T) d\Omega}{([\Omega + \Omega_m + 4E_c(q)\epsilon_q][\Omega + \Omega_m + \epsilon_q \delta]}
\end{align}

Only the terms proportional to the external frequency \( \Omega_m \) in Eq. (D47) contribute to the correction to the thermoelectric coefficient \( \eta^{(1)} \) in Eq. (D2). Taking the derivative of both sides of Eq. (D47) we obtain
Thus, for Eq. (D48) we obtain
\[
x \coth(x) \approx \begin{cases} 1, & |x| < 1 \\ \frac{1}{|x|}, & |x| \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{D49}
\]
and obtain for the integral \( I_{a,b} \) in Eq. (D48) the following result
\[
I_{a,b} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[ \frac{(1 + a^2) / (1 + b^2)}{(a - b)} \right]. \tag{D50}
\]
For \( a \gg 1 \gg b \)
\[
I_{a,b} = \frac{2 \ln a}{a} = \ln \frac{[2E_c(q)\epsilon_q/T]}{E_c(q)\epsilon_q}. \tag{D51}
\]
Thus, for Eq. (D48) we obtain
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \bigg|_{\Omega=0} S_\Omega = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \ln \frac{[2E_c(q)\epsilon_q/T]}{E_c(q)\epsilon_q}. \tag{D52}
\]
Substituting Eq. (D52) into Eq. (D46) we obtain for the correction to the thermoelectric coefficient the following result
\[
\eta^{(1)} = -\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{4\pi^2(2\pi)^d \pi \tau_0^2} \times \int dq \left[ 2d - \sum_a \cos(q \cdot a) \right] \ln \frac{[2E_c(q)\epsilon_q/T]}{\epsilon_q}. \tag{D53}
\]
7. Final integration and results

In Eq. (D53) we are left with the final integration over internal momenta \( q \). Therefore we need the functional dependence of \( \epsilon_q \) and \( E_c(q) \) on \( q \), which were introduced around Eq. (D6) as
\[
\epsilon_q = 2gT \left( 2d - \sum_a \cos(q \cdot a) \right) \tag{D54}
\]
\[
E_c(q) = \frac{e^2}{2C(q)} = \frac{e^2}{a^d} \begin{cases} -\ln(qa), & d = 1 \\ \pi/q, & d = 2 \\ 2\pi/q^2, & d = 3 \end{cases}. \tag{D55}
\]
The final \( q \)-integral can therefore be written as
\[
\eta^{(1)} = -\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{4\pi^2(2\pi)^d \pi \tau_0^2} \int dq \ln \left[ \frac{[2E_c(q)\epsilon_q/T]}{\epsilon_q} \right]. \tag{D56}
\]
The \( q \)-integral is cutoff at \( q = \pi/a \). In \( d = 3 \) the log argument is finite at \( q = 0 \). In \( d = 2 \) the volume element makes the integrand at \( q = 0 \) finite. The coefficient in Eq. (D56) can be simplified to
\[
\eta^{(1)} = -\frac{\tilde{\lambda}}{2\pi gT} \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)^d, \tag{D57}
\]
such that in \( d = 3 \) we obtain:
\[
\eta^{(1) \Delta D} = -\frac{\eta^{(0)}}{2\pi gT} \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)^3 \int dq \ln \left[ \frac{[2E_c(q)\epsilon_q/T]}{\epsilon_q} \right]. \tag{D58}
\]
Here \( c_3 = \int dq \ln \left[ (3 - \cos(q_\pi x) - \cos(q_\pi y) - \cos(q_\pi z)) / (\pi q) \right] \]
and integration is over the unit sphere. However, this numerical constant (and all other inside the logarithm) can be neglected, since \( E_c/T \gg 1 \) and \( gT \gg 1 \).

For \( d = 2 \) we obtain
\[
\eta^{(1) \Delta D} = -\frac{\eta^{(0)}}{2\pi gT} \left( \frac{a}{2\pi} \right)^2 \int dq \ln \left[ \frac{8e^2 gTr}{Ta} (3 - \cos(q_\pi x) - \cos(q_\pi y) - \cos(q_\pi z)) / (aq) \right]. \tag{D59}
\]
with \( c_2 = \int dq \ln \left[ 2 - \cos(\pi q_x) - \cos(\pi q_y) \right] \]
a. Final results

Now, we can summarize our final results in \( d = 2, 3 \)
for the thermoelectric coefficient (only the functional dependencies of the correction terms are kept under the
logarithms):

\[ \eta^{(0)} = -\frac{sT^3}{3a} \left( \nu^{(0)}_d \right)^2 \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}, \tag{D60} \]

\[ \eta^{(1)} \]

\[ \eta^{(1)}_{3D} = \frac{s\pi^3}{54} \frac{ea^5}{gr} \left( \nu^{(0)}_d \right)^2 \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \ln \left( \frac{e^2 gr}{Ta} \right), \tag{D61} \]

\[ = -\frac{\eta^{(0)}}{12gr} \ln \left( \frac{E_c gr}{T} \right), \]

\[ \eta^{(1)}_{2D} = \frac{s\pi^3}{24} \frac{ea^4}{gr} \left( t_0 \nu^{(0)}_2 \right)^2 \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \ln \left( \frac{e^2 gr}{Ta} \right), \tag{D62} \]

\[ = -\frac{\eta^{(0)}}{8gr} \ln \left( \frac{E_c gr}{T} \right), \]

or combined in a compact way (valid for \( d = 2, 3 \)) we obtain the following final result for the thermoelectric coefficient of granular metals

\[ \eta = \eta^{(0)} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi gr} \ln \frac{gT E_c}{T} \right). \tag{D63} \]
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