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Abstract

Within the genus Salix, there are approximately 350 species native primarily to the northern hemisphere and adapted to a wide range of habitats. This diversity can be exploited to mine novel alleles conferring variation important for production as a bioenergy crop, but also to identify evolutionarily important genes, such as those involved in sex determination. To leverage this diversity, we created a mapping population by crossing 6 Salix species (Salix viminalis, Salix suchowensis, Salix integra, Salix koriyanagi, Salix udensis, and Salix alberti) to common male and female Salix purpurea parents. Each family was genotyped via genotyping-by-sequencing and assessed for kinship and population structure as well as the construction of 16 backcross linkage maps to be used as a genetic resource for breeding and selection. Analyses of population structure resolved both the parents and F₁ progeny to their respective phylogenetic section and indicated that the population structure as well as the construction of 16 backcross linkage maps to be used as a genetic resource for breeding and selection.

Introduction

The establishment of genomic resources is an important step in developing a fully realized breeding program, reinforced by modern tools for trait mapping, candidate gene identification, and marker-assisted selection. Salix and Populus (poplars) comprise the majority of species in the family Salicaceae, which consists of dioecious trees, shrubs, and subshrubs that are highly heterozygous. Shrub willow (Salix spp.) are grown in northern latitudes as a sustainable, high-yielding, carbon neutral, bioenergy crop that can grow on marginal land and provide multiple ecosystem services (Smart et al. 2005; Stoof et al. 2014; Clifton-Brown et al. 2019; Fabio and Smart 2020). While shrub willow breeding in the United States has been active since the 1980’s, most of the nearly 350 species have yet to be tapped as a source of genetic diversity (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2014; Stanton et al. 2014).

Genomic resources developed for poplar were used in early genomic studies in Salix, because their genomes are largely colinear (Hanley et al. 2006; Berlin et al. 2010). As sequencing technologies became increasingly more affordable, more Salix genome sequencing was completed and now there are several high-quality assemblies. Genomic resources for Salix are currently centered around a few key species. In Europe, Salix viminalis is an important bioenergy crop species with a recently published, high-quality genome assembly (Almeida et al. 2020). Salix viminalis has been used in several QTL mapping studies for resistance to willow leaf rust (Melampsora larici-epitae) (Rönnberg-Wästljung et al. 2008; Samilis et al. 2011; Sulima et al. 2017), drought tolerance (Rönnberg-Wästljung et al. 2005), and growth and phenology (Hallingbäck et al. 2016; Hallingbäck et al. 2019). While in the United States, Salix purpurea is the model species for bioenergy willow breeding, genetics, and genomics. The US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute has produced the highest quality annotated Salix reference genomes assembled in the genus on male and female S. purpurea, available on Phytozome (Zhou et al. 2018; Zhou et al. 2020; https://phytozone-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/SpurpureaFishCreek_v3_1; last accessed 29 March 2022; https://phytozone-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/Spurpurea_v5_1; last accessed 29 March 2022). Using joint linkage and association mapping approaches focused on S. purpurea, Carlson et al. (2019) identified numerous QTL for a wide range of morphological, physiological, insect and disease resistance and biomass composition traits. A naturalized species in North America, S. purpurea is a potential...
donor of broad adaptability traits for species susceptible to pests and diseases in the Northeast United States. There is value in studying the genomes of less-characterized Salix species for phylogenomic analysis and to discover diverse sources of alleles for introgression into elite yielding cultivars.

Here, we introduce the Salix F1; hybrid common parent population (Salix F1; HCP). The parents, described in Fabio et al. (2019) and Crowell et al. (2020), represent a diverse selection of species from Salix subgenus Vertix (Dickmann and Kuzovkina 2014). Six Salix species (S. viminalis, Salix suchowensis, Salix integra, Salix kor- iyanagi, Salix udensis, and Salix alberti) were crossed to common male and female S. purpurea parents to form eight hybrid species families. Literature describing these species ranges from the high-quality reference genomes available for S. purpurea and S. viminalis to the scarcely studied S. alberti (Rosso et al. 2013). Salix suchowensis is native to China and has been used recently to generate a chromosome scale genome assembly (Wei et al. 2020). This species has been assessed for its response to drought stress (Jia et al. 2020) and was one of the first Salix species used to map the sex determination region (SDR) (Liu et al. 2013). Salix udensis, formally known as S. sachalinensis, has been described as a Japanese riparian willow species that acts as a natural nest cavity for fish owls (Niyama 2008; Slaght et al. 2018) and is suggested to have sexually dimorphic characteristics (Ueno and Saiwa 2003; Ueno et al. 2006). Some genomic resources are available for the Korean S. koriyanagi, as its chloroplast genome has been sequenced (Kim et al. 2019; Park et al. 2019) while S. integra has been assessed for its photoremediation potential (Cao et al. 2020; Yang et al. 2020b). Developed to interrogate the genetics of several understudied Salix species, the Salix F1; HCP is an important step in the development of genomic resources in Salix.

The Salicaceae represents an interesting family for the study of the evolution of dioecy and the mechanisms of sex determination. Genetic mapping of SDRs in the Salicaceae have revealed considerable intra- and inter-chromosomal variability and contrasting sex determination systems (Yang et al. 2021). Although Populus alba is female heterogametic (ZW) (Paolucci et al. 2010; Müller et al. 2020; Sabatti et al. 2020) and Populus trichocarpa is male heterogametic (XY) (Geraldes et al. 2015), both of their SDRs are located on chr19 while the SDR of Populus euphratica was identified on chr14 and also has an XY system (Yang et al. 2021). Conversely, the SDR of S. purpurea has been mapped to a large, pericentromeric region on Salix chr15, but has been found to maintain a few orthologous regions present within the P. trichocarpa chr19 SDR (Zhou et al. 2018). However, it is unclear whether dioecy evolved before or after their divergence (Hou et al. 2015). The sex systems of Salix also lack a consensus model. For instance, similar to S. purpurea, both S. suchowensis (Hou et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2016) and S. viminalis (Pucholt et al. 2015; Pucholt et al. 2017b; Hallingbäck et al. 2021) have female heterogametic (ZW) systems with SDRs located on Salix chr15, yet tree-form S. nigra has an XY system SDR on chr07 (Sanderson et al. 2021). Considering the variability in sex determination systems and SDR locations already discovered within Salix, elucidating the mechanisms of sex determination in more species could help to build a more cohesive understanding of SDR evolution. Further investigation could provide evidence to implicate SDR turnovers as a factor in the considerable species diversity within the genus.

To establish the Salix F1; HCP as a genetic resource, this study sought to: (1) describe the genetic relationships between the parent species, (2) develop female and male parent informative linkage maps for each family, and (3) define and compare their respective SDRs.

Materials and methods

Plant material

Females of S. viminalis, S. integra, S. alberti, and S. suchowensis were crossed with male S. purpurea clone ID 94001, while males of S. viminalis, S. udensis, S. koriyanagi, and S. suchowensis were crossed with female S. purpurea clone ID 94006 creating eight F1; species hybrid families (Fig. 1). The common S. purpurea parents, 94006 and 94001, were chosen based upon their differential resistance to willow leaf rust (Crowell et al. 2020), adaptation to the Northeastern U.S., and availability of high-quality reference genomes (Zhou et al. 2020). Crosses were made by forcing floral catkins of the parent genotypes from dormant shoots in a greenhouse. All crosses were made in isolation to prevent pollen contamination. When anthers began to dehisce, male catkins were excised and placed in falcon tubes for pollen extraction using toluene, as described in Kopp et al. (2002), then stored in 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes at −20°C until female catkins were receptive. Seedlings were established in a standard peat-based potting mix in a greenhouse, then transplanted to nursery beds near Cornell AgriTech (Geneva, NY, USA). Winter dormant cuttings from all parents and progeny were collected from 1-year-old stems and hand-planted in the field in a randomized complete block design with 3 plants per plot and 4 replicate blocks. Field trials were established with 1.83 m spacing between rows and 40.6 cm between plants within rows.

DNA extraction and genotyping-by-sequencing

Shoot tips for DNA extraction were collected from plants in nursery beds and stored in desiccant. Dried shoot tips were ground to a fine powder with a Geno/Grinder (SPEX SamplePrep, Metuchen, NJ, USA) before genomic DNA extraction using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA). After checking the DNA quality using gel electrophoresis, DNA quantity was estimated using the Invitrogen Qubit dsDNA Broad Range Assay kit on a Qubit Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Genomic DNA was submitted to the University of Wisconsin Biotechnology Center (Madison, WI, USA) for 96-plex Genotyping-by-Sequencing (GBS) library preparation using the ApeKI restriction enzyme and sequenced (1 × 100 bp) on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) platform.

Variant discovery and imputation

Initial variant discovery and filtering were performed using the TASSEL GBS v2 Discovery Pipeline (Bradbury et al. 2007). Sequence reads were trimmed to 64 bp and aligned using BWA mem (Li and Durbin 2009) under default parameters to the S. purpurea v5.1 reference genome (Zhou et al. 2020). As this genome includes both chr1SZ and 15W, chr1SZ was excluded to reduce mapping errors within the pseudoautosomal regions surrounding the SDR in S. purpurea. This process was repeated once for all eight families together and then for each of the eight F1 families separately to identify population-wide and family-specific SNPs. By calling variants on all eight families and then on each family separately, variants were based on inter- and intra- familial genetic differences, respectively. The resulting VCF files contained 684,412 SNPs for the full analysis and ranged from 174,762 to 266,797 SNPs depending on the family. On the eight F1 datasets, SNPs with >70% missing data and minor allele frequency <0.01 and F1 individuals with >80% missing data or determined to be outliers based on principal component analysis were dropped.
Missing genotype calls and low read depth are common in GBS (Elshire et al. 2011), therefore imputation was performed separately on each family to validate calls in haplotype blocks. Using LinkImputeR (Money et al. 2017), genotypes called with a read depth <5 were set to missing before filtering again for missingness >70%, resulting in 95,281 to 145,944 imputed SNPs with accuracies ranging from 84.3 to 93.1%.

Population structure
SNPs for the population-wide analysis were filtered to retain markers and individuals with ≤20% missing data and SNPs with a minor allele frequency >0.01, which resulted in 55,398 SNPs. Multiple sequence runs of each of the parents were included as technical replicates for greater confidence in GBS calls. Analysis of principal components, once with just the parents and then again with the full population, was performed using default parameters in Tassel 5 and visualized in R (R Core Team 2020). Using only the parents, Tassel 5 was also used to generate a distance matrix and subsequent unrooted neighbor-joining tree for phylogenetic analysis. The parental runs and 10 randomly selected F1 progeny were analyzed using fastSTRUCTURE (Raj et al. 2014). Only a subset of the F1 were used in this analysis to manage file size and computation requirements. Multiple analyses of “structure.py” were completed (K = 3–10). Using “choosesK.py,” K = 6 represented the model complexity that maximized the marginal likelihood and best explained the data structure, suggesting six separate populations.

Linkage map construction and analysis
Unless otherwise indicated, linkage map construction and analysis were performed using custom R code, available on Github (link in Data Availability). Multiple runs of each parent were used to form consensus genotypes for each SNP. In the absence of a clear consensus, the genotype was set to missing. If both parents were set to missing, the SNP was removed from the analysis. If only one parent was set to missing, its genotype was inferred based on the genotype of the known parent and the segregation of the F1. These parental consensus genotypes were used to identify the female informative (AB × AA) and male informative (AA × AB) markers used to generate backcross linkage maps for each parent using a combination of R/qtl (Broman et al. 2003) and ASMap (Taylor and Butler 2017). Co-located markers and those exhibiting extreme segregation distortion were removed using ASMap function “pullCross.” Linkage groups were then created using “mstmap” with default parameters except for objective.fun = “ML” and bychr = FALSE. The p-value for determining linkage groups varied between families, ranging from 1e-6 to 1e-12, depending on the demarcation of the 19 expected linkage groups. A custom R function was then used to perform simple error correction to reduce the number of double crossovers and deflate map distances before reforming linkage groups. Briefly, this function relies on the marker order determined after formation of linkage groups, identifying double crossovers of ≤2 SNPs, and correcting them. Map quality was checked using two strategies. The “heatMap” function in ASMap, which plots LOD linkage between markers on the upper triangle and estimated recombination frequency on the bottom, reveals markers that are problematic or out of phase. Then by comparing the physical position (Mb) based on alignment to the S. purpurea reference genome and the genetic distance (cM) within each linkage group shows issues with marker order or potential chromosomal rearrangements.

To delimit the SDR in each family, the sex of all F1 individuals was recorded by inspecting flowering catkins during two growing seasons, on plants with 2 and 3 years of post-coppice regrowth. Sex ratio bias was tested using a chi-square test for a 1:1 sex ratio. Using functions in R/qtl, genotype probabilities were calculated using “calc.genoprob” (step = 0, error.prob = 0.01, map.function = “kosambi,” stepwidth = “fixed”). Next, QTL mapping for individual sex was performed using “scanone”: model = “binary,” method = “em.” Genome-wide significance thresholds were determined based on the results of “scanone.perm” (n.perm = 1000). QTL positions were refined using “refineqtl” (method = “hk,” model = “binary”), then the 1.5 LOD support intervals were calculated using “lodint” with default parameters.

Results
Population structure
A combination of PCA, phylogenetic analysis, and fastSTRUCTURE were used to describe the population structure of the Salix F1, HCP. For clarity when referring to specific individuals, the plant species is abbreviated to its first letter and includes its sex, M or F, in parenthesis. A PCA of multiple sequence runs of the parents revealed three distinct clusters, formed by two PCs accounting for 36.8% and 22.5% of the total genetic variation (Fig. 2a). The two common parents, S. p(F) 94006 and S. p(M) 94001, formed a single group and were separated from the other parents by PC2. The S. viminalis parents, ‘Jorr’ (M) and 07-MBG-5027 (F), formed a cluster with S. u(M) 04-BN-051, which was...
differentiated from the remaining species by PC1. Including the F1 progeny from each family into the analysis, each PC accounted for 26% and 10.1% of the total variation (Fig. 2b). PC2 split S. udensis from the two S. viminalis parents and separated S. koriyanagi from S. suchowensis, S. integra, and S. alberti. As expected, the F1 individuals were intermediate between the common parent and family-specific species. The F1 progeny derived from the female parents S. s(F) P295, S. a(F) P294, and S. i(F) P336 (all crossed with S. p(M) 94001), co-localized.

Kinship analysis grouped the two S. viminalis parents with S. udensis, the two S. purpurea parents together, and S. koriyanagi with S. integra near S. suchowensis and S. alberti (Fig. 2c). These results closely mirrored the separation attributable to PC1 (Fig. 2a). In both PC and phylogenetic analyses, S.a(F) P294 grouped closely with both S. suchowensis parents. Admixture analysis included the parents and a subset of the F1 individuals of each family. The eight groups of F1 individuals were comprised of roughly half the genetic background of S. purpurea and half the other species parent, as expected (Fig. 2d). Salix viminalis, S. integra, S. koriyanagi, S. udensis, and S. purpurea formed distinct populations, while S. a(F) P294 grouped together with the two S. suchowensis parents.

**Linkage map construction and analysis**

Since there is considerable divergence between parents and pedigrees, variant discovery and marker filtration were performed for each family separately. Using consensus genotypes derived from multiple sequencing runs of the parents, markers were split into female (AB × AA) and male (AA × AB) informative backcross markers for linkage map construction, which resulted in 16
linkage maps (Fig. 3). Each linkage map consisted of 19 linkage
groups with total map lengths ranging from 3939.9–6957.3 cM
containing between 2035 and 3852 total markers (Supplementary
Table 1). Recombination frequency and genetic to physical dis-
tance plots generated for each linkage map revealed that marker
order and phase within each linkage group were reasonably lin-
ear (Supplementary Fig. 1). Sex phenotypes were used for QTL
mapping of the SDR. Six of the eight families displayed significant
sex ratio bias toward females based on a simple chi-square test
\((P < 0.05)\), with female to male ratios ranging from 1.4 to 1.6
(Table 1). Neither of the \(S. \text{ viminalis}\) families displayed sex ratio
bias, while the \(S. \text{ integra}/C2 S. \text{ purpurea}\) family was entirely female.

Single QTL for sex were identified on chr15 within seven of the
eight maternal maps, excluding \(S. i(F) P336\) of the \(S. \text{ integra} \times S. \text{ purpurea}\) family. Each QTL explained between 60.7% and 74.1% of
the total phenotypic variation (Table 2). LOD scores for the fe-
male and male linkage maps are in Supplementary Tables 2 and
3, respectively. Genome-wide LOD significance thresholds ranged
from 3.39 to 3.69, depending on the map. On the four maternal \(S.
p(F) 94006\) maps, the QTL as determined by the permuted
significance thresholds accounted for 10.3–12.03 Mb of the
roughly 15.5 Mb chr15 due to suppressed recombination (Fig. 4).
QTL refinement and 1.5 LOD support intervals narrowed this
down to span 1.17–7.31 Mb with peak markers located at 2.91,
7.33, and 8.65 Mb when \(S. s(M) P63, S. v(M) Jorr, S. k(M) 04-FF-
016,\) and \(S. u(M) 04-BN-051\) were the paternal parent, respectively
(Table 2; Fig. 4). Of the three remaining maternal maps, QTL de-
termined by LOD significance accounted for 12.3, 11.45, and
13.55 Mb of chr15 on the \(S. a(F) P294, S. s(F) P295,\) and
\(S. v(F) 07-
MBG-5027\) maps, respectively, reflecting suppressed recombina-
tion. Upon refinement, these ranges were narrowed to account
for 1.59, 6.91, and 6.41 Mb of the chromosome, with peaks cen-
tered at 9.73, 2.57, and 2.92 Mb.

**Discussion**

*Salix* is a very diverse genus, consisting of more than 350 species.
That diversity extends to variation between species even in traits
as evolutionarily important as sex determination (Yang et al.
2021). By generating mapping populations that include

---

**Table 1. Sex phenotype statistics for the eight families in the *Salix* F1 HCP.**

| Family       | Maternal species   | Paternal species  | N  | Females | Males | F:M Ratio | P-value |
|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|----|---------|-------|-----------|---------|
| \(S.p \times S.u\) | \(S. purpurea\)     | \(S. udensis\)    | 150| 91      | 59    | 1.6:1     | 0.01*   |
| \(S.p \times S.s\) | \(S. purpurea\)     | \(S. suchowensis\)| 88 | 53      | 33    | 1.6:1     | 0.03*   |
| \(S.p \times S.v\) | \(S. purpurea\)     | \(S. viminalis\) | 100| 60      | 40    | 1.5:1     | 0.05*NS |
| \(S.v \times S.p\) | \(S. viminalis\)    | \(S. purpurea\)  | 100| 53      | 47    | 1.1:1     | <0.001* |
| \(S.i \times S.p\) | \(S. integra\)      | \(S. purpurea\)  | 150| 150     | 0     | 1:0       | <0.001* |
| \(S.s \times S.p\) | \(S. suchowensis\)| \(S. purpurea\)  | 150| 91      | 58    | 1.6:1     | 0.01*   |
| \(S.a \times S.p\) | \(S. alberti\)      | \(S. purpurea\)  | 150| 87      | 62    | 1.4:1     | 0.04*   |

* indicates significance in chi-square test for sex ratio bias \((P < 0.05)\).
NS Not significant.
\(b\) Received as \(S. alberti\).
Table 2. Sex QTL associated with the SDR within the maternal linkage maps.

| Family    | Maternal Species | Chr | Peak (cM) | Peak LOD | Peak (Mb) | Low-LSI (Mb) | High-LSI (Mb) | VE (%) |
|-----------|------------------|-----|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|
| S. p × S. u | S. purpurea      | 15  | 85.3      | 29.3     | 8.65      | 4.51         | 9.22          | 73.7   |
| S. p × S. s | S. purpurea      | 15  | 81.1      | 16.1     | 2.91      | 2.61         | 9.92          | 61.8   |
| S. p × S. v | S. purpurea      | 15  | 114.2     | 18.6     | 2.92      | 2.88         | 4.05          | 60.7   |
| S. p × S. k | S. purpurea      | 15  | 70.1      | 35.1     | 7.33      | 2.63         | 8.29          | 71.1   |
| S. v × S. p | S. viminalis     | 15  | 86.0      | 21.1     | 2.92      | 2.92         | 9.36          | 66.0   |
| S. s × S. p | S. suchowensis   | 15  | 78.4      | 26.7     | 2.57      | 2.44         | 9.35          | 74.1   |
| S. a × S. p | S. albertii      | 15  | 145.8     | 35.6     | 9.73      | 8.63         | 10.22         | 70.3   |

LSI, 1.5 LOD support interval; VE, variation explained; cM, centiMorgan position within the linkage map; Mb, physical position based on alignment to *S. purpurea* reference genome.
*Received as *S. alberti.*

Fig. 4. Genetic (cM) and physical (Mb) distances of the *Salix F* HCP maternal parent’s chromosome 15 with mapped QTL for sex. Each parent has a set of maps, genetic on the top and physical on the bottom with lines connecting them indicating a marker’s relative position in both maps. On the genetic maps, each marker is represented by a gray vertical line while the 1.5 LOD support interval of the QTL is shown with a purple box. On the physical map, gray vertical lines are markers not associated with the QTL, yellow lines are markers whose LOD score was above the permuted significance threshold, purple lines are markers within the 1.5 LOD support interval, and red lines indicate the position of the peak marker. The cM distance of *S. i(F) P336’s* linkage map was artificially increased for figure clarity. *Received as *S. alberti.*
characterized species crossed with those less studied, we will increase the number of *Salix* species available for trait introgression in breeding programs. We developed the *Salix* F$_1$ HCP as a resource to characterize the interactions between alleles from different, but related species, and to map variation in important traits. Using GBS, we analyzed the population structure among the eight families, generated linkage maps of each of the parents using backcross markers and mapped the SDR in seven of the eight families using phenotypes collected from repeated field surveys. PCA predominately resolved the population by section with the F$_1$ clustering between the parents as expected. In both phylogenetic and fastSTRUCTURE analyses, *S. a(F)* P294 was found to be very closely related to the *S. suchowensis* parents. Given these results and the limited publicly available information about *S. alberti*, P294 is likely *S. suchowensis* and will be described as such.

Among the 16 linkage maps produced, all QTL for sex were detected on chr15 in seven of the eight families and only in the maternal maps. In a recent study mapping sex in *S. triandra* using backcross markers, Li et al. (2020) were also only able to detect QTL within the maternal map, which is indicative of a ZW sex determination system on chr15. While this had been known for *S. purpurea* (Zhou et al. 2018), *S. viminalis* (Pucholt et al. 2017b; Hallingbäck et al. 2021), and *S. suchowensis* (Chen et al. 2016), this is the first study to report that both *S. koriyanagi* and *S. udensis* have ZW SDR on chr15.

Although the location of the SDR has also not been reported in *S. integra*, the *S. integra × S. purpurea* family was entirely female and therefore was excluded in the linkage analysis. The chr15 map from *S. i(F)* P336 is considerably smaller than the other families, aligning to the 14.2–15.5 Mb region of the *S. purpurea* reference genome, while maps from the other families had near complete coverage. The region of *S. i(F)* P336’s chr15 that did have segregating markers was outside the SDR intervals of the other female maps and is likely in the pseudoautosomal region of the sex chromosome.

Six of the eight families were female biased—a feature prevalent in *Salix*. Of those studied here, *S. purpurea* (Gouker et al. 2021), *S. viminalis* (Alström-Rapaport et al. 1997; Pucholt et al. 2017a), *S. suchowensis* (Yang et al. 2020a), *S. udensis* (Ueno and Seiwa 2003), and *S. integra* (Tozawa et al. 2009) have documented cases of sex ratio bias, yet this is the first time it has been reported in *S. koriyanagi*. The genetic basis of sex ratio bias could be a result of secondary sex dimorphisms, such as higher mortality rates in males, increased herbivory and pathogen resistance in females, or the presence of a sex distorter locus (Pucholt et al. 2017a).

Mapping of the SDR in this study resulted in marker associations that covered a majority of chr15 prior to refinement. Of the four families with *S. p(F)* 94006 as the common female parent, refined QTL shared similar ranges of the SDR described by Zhou et al. (2020) (6.8 Mb in length, starting at 2.3 Mb) with the exception of the *S. purpurea × S. viminalis* family, which was 1.17 Mb in length. Carlson et al. (2019) mapped the SDR to 4.5–11.4 Mb on chr15 using a *S. purpurea* F$_1$ population while this study localized the SDR to the same pericentromeric region of chr15 in *S. purpurea* using F$_1$ hybrid families.

The most recent delimitation of the SDR in *S. viminalis* spanned roughly 3.4 Mb (approx. 2.3–5.7 Mb) of chr15 (Almeida et al. 2020) and through Hallingbäck et al. (2021), is most likely controlled by a single locus. Our mapping of the SDR overlaps this region by 2.75 Mb, including the position of our peak marker, even though we aligned markers to a different reference genome. Almeida et al. (2020) aligned the SDR to the chr15 of both *S. purpurea* v1.0 and *S. viminalis* reference genomes and found overall synteny between species, yet with several structural rearrangements. This contrasts with what is seen in our results, where the chr15 of *S.v(F)* 07-MBG-5027 showed only minor rearrangements, likely due to alignment to the high-quality *S. purpurea* v5.1 reference genome.

Based on annotations from the *P. trichocarpa* genome, differential gene expression analysis in *S. suchowensis* between male and female plants led to predictions that the SDR was originally on chr14 (Liu et al. 2013). However, later work repositioned the SDR to the centromeric region of chr15 when based on *Salix* alignment (Chen et al. 2016). Our study defined the physical distance of the SDR at 2.44–9.35 Mb in *S.v(F)* P295 and 8.63–10.22 Mb in *S.s(F)* P294 with an overlap of 0.72 Mb. These two linkage maps show the greatest amount of rearrangement on chr15 when aligned to the *S. purpurea* reference. It is fair to conclude that alignment to a future *S. suchowensis* reference genome would aid in improving the mapping resolution in this region.

Suppressed recombination is a hallmark of chromosomes containing an SDR. Comparing the map of chr15 from each family, the SDR extends across a region of sparse marker density, approximately 3–9 Mb and is flanked by regions with greater marker density. As described above, this centromeric region with suppressed recombination is often associated with the SDR in *Salix*. In all seven families with mapped SDR, the peak marker was located within this region although its position varied. In the two *S. viminalis* families and two of the three *S. suchowensis* families, the peak marker was located within a 0.38 Mb region (2.57–2.95 Mb), while the remaining three families were less consistent and located proximal to the centromere. The generation of additional reference genomes for use in mapping will add context to these results and further refine the structure of the SDR among variable species.

This study described the population structure among the eight families within the *Salix* F$_1$ HCP, constructed linkage maps for each parent, and mapped the SDR to the maternal chr15 in seven of the eight families. The introduction of the *Salix* F$_1$ HCP provides the opportunity to map QTL for phenotypic traits beyond sex determination, while the linkage maps could be used to anchor and scaffold contigs in the generation of new reference genomes for each of the parents. While all species have a ZW sex determination system with an SDR that maps to chr15, these genetic resources provide a foundation for further characterization of the mechanism of sex determination and mapping of other key traits in these related species.

### Data availability

The GBS data used in the population structure analysis and the eight family-specific files prior to linkage map construction are available in hapmap format through figshare at https://figshare.com at “GBS Data from Wilkerson et al.” (https://figshare.com/articles/dataset/GBS_Data_from_Wilkerson_et_al_2021_/16926043; last accessed 29 March 2022). R code used to format and create the linkage maps is available on the Willopedia Github site located at https://github.com/Willopedia/Wilkerson_et_al_SalixLinkageMaps; last accessed 29 March 2022. *Supplementary Table 1* contains statistics on each of the 16 linkage maps created in this study, including marker count and cM length for each linkage group and the total markers and cM length for each map. *Supplementary Fig. 1* is a PDF slide show that, one map per slide, shows the marker cM by physical position for each linkage group and a heatmap visualizing recombination frequency and linkage. *Supplementary Tables 2 and 3*
contain the cM distance and sex QTL LOD scores of markers within each female and male map, respectively. 
Supplemental material is available at G3 online.

Acknowledgments
The authors are grateful for the excellent technical support contributed by Lauren Carlson, Jane Petzoldt, Dawn Fishback, and Rebecca Wilk.

Funding
This work was supported by grants from the United States Department of Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture (USDA-NIFA) #2015-67009-23957 and #2018-68005-27925. DGW was supported by USDA NIFA predoctoral fellowship program grant #2019-67011-29701.

Conflicts of interest
None declared.

Literature cited
Almeida P, Proux-Wera E, Churcher A, Soler L, Dainat J, Pucholt P, Nordlund J, Martin T, Rönneberg-Wästljung A-C, Nystedt B, et al. Genome assembly of the basket willow, Salix viminalis, reveals earliest stages of sex chromosome expansion. BMC Biol. 2020; 18(1):78.

Alström-Rapaport C, Lascoux M, Gullberg U. Sex determination and sex ratio in the dioecious shrub Salix viminalis L. Theor Appl Genet. 1997;94(3-4):493–497.

Berlin S, Lagercrantz U, von Arnold S, Ost T, Ronnberg-Wästljung A-C. Genome wide associations of growth, phenology, and plasticity traits in willow (Salix viminalis (L.)). Front Plant Sci. 2019;10:753.

Hanley S, Mallott M, Karp A. Alignment of a Salix linkage map to the Populus genomic sequence reveals macrosynteny between willow and poplar genomes. Tree Genet Genomes. 2006;3(1):35–48.

Hou J, Ye N, Zhang D, Chen Y, Fang L, Dai X, Yin T. Different autosome evolved into sex chromosomes in the sister genera of Salix and Populus. Sci Rep. 2015;5(1):9076.

Jia H, Wang L, Li J, Sun P, Lu M, et al. Physiological and metabolic responses of Salix sinopurpurea and Salix suchowensis to drought stress. Trees. 2020;34(2):563–577.

Kim J, Kim Y, Park J. Complete chloroplast genome sequence of the Salix koriyamana Kimura ex Goerz (Salicaceae). Mitochondrial DNA B. 2019;4(1):549–550.

Kopp R, Maynard C, Rocha De Niella P, Smart L, Abrahamson L. A single gene underlies the dynamic evolution of the single-locus sex determination and female heterogamety in willow based on linkage analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11(2):e0147671.

Clifton-Brown J, Harfouche A, Casier MD, Dylan Jones H, Macalpine WJ, Murphy-Bokern D, Smart LB, Adler A, Ashman C, Awtrey-Carroll D, et al. Breeding progress and preparedness for mass-scale deployment of perennial lignocellulosic biomass crops switchgrass, miscanthus, willow and poplar. Glob Change Biol Bioenergy. 2019;11(1):118–151.

Crewell CR, Bekauri MM, Cala AR, McMullen P, Smart LB, Smart CD. Differential susceptibility of diverse Salix spp. to Melampsora americana and Melampsora paradoxa. Plant Dis. 2020;104(11):2949–2957.

Dickmann DI, Kuzovkina J. Poplars and Willows of the World, with Emphasis on Silviculturally Important Species. Poplars and Willows: trees for Society and the Environment. Rome (Italy): FAO; 2014.

Elshire RJ, Glaubitz JC, Sun Q, Poland JA, Kawamoto K, Buckler ES, Mitchell SE. A robust, simple genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approach for high diversity species. PLoS One. 2011;6(5):e19379.
Niyama K. Coexistence of Salix species in a seasonally flooded habitat. In: Sakio H, Tamura T, editors. Ecology of Riparian Forests in Japan. New York (USA): Springer, 2008. p. 165–174.

Paolucci I, Gaudet M, Jorge V, Beritognolo I, Terzoli S, et al. Genetic linkage maps of Populus alba L. and comparative mapping analysis of sex determination across Populus species. Tree Genet Genomes. 2010;6(6):863–875.

Park J, Kim Y, Xi H. The complete chloroplast genome sequence of male individual of Korean endemic willow, Salix koryanae Kimura ex Goerz (Salicaceae). Mitochondrial DNA. 2019;4(1):1619–1621.

Pucholt P, Hallingbäck HR, Berlin S. Allelic incompatibility can explain female biased sex ratios in dioecious plants. BMC Genomics. 2017a;18(1):251.

Pucholt P, Rönnberg-Wästljung AC, Berlin S. Recent sex chromosome divergence despite ancient dioecy in the willow Salix viminalis. Mol Biol Evol. 2017b;34(8):1991–2001.

R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2020.

Raj A, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. fastSTRUCTURE: variational inference of population structure in large SNP data sets. Genetics. 2014;197(2):573–589.

Rönnberg-Wästljung AC, Glynn C, Weih M. QTL analyses of drought tolerance and growth for a Salix dasyclados x Salix viminalis hybrid in contrasting water regimes. Theor Appl Genet. 2005;110(3):537–549.

Sambis B, Rönnberg-Wästljung AC-C, Stanlid J. QTL mapping of resistance to leaf rust in Salix. Tree Genet Genomes. 2011;7(6):1219–1235.

Sanderson BJ, Feng G, Hu N, Carlson CH, Smart LB, Keefover-Ring K, Yin T, Ma T, Liu J, DiFazio SP, et al. Sex determination through XY heterogamy in Salix nigra. Heredity (Edinb). 2021;126(4):630–639.

Slaght JC, Surmach SG, Kiseleiko AA. Ecology and conservation of Blakiston's fish owl in Russia. In: Nakamura F, editor. Biodiversity Conservation Using Umbrella Species. Singapore: Springer; 2018. p. 47–70.

Smart LB, Volk T, Lin J, Kopp RF, Phillips IS, Cameron KD, White EH, Abrahamson LP. Genetic improvement of shrub willow (Salix spp.) crops for bioenergy and environmental applications in the United States. Unasylva. 2005;56:51–55.

Stanton BJ, Serapiglia MJ, Smart LB. The domestication and conservation of Populus and Salix genetic resources. In: Richardson J, Isebrands JG, editors. Poplars and Willows: trees for Society and the Environment. Wallingford (UK): CAB International; 2014. p. 124–199.

Stoof CR, Richards BK, Woodbury PB, Fabio ES, Brumbach AR, Cherney J, Das S, Geohring L, Hornesky J, Mayton H, et al. Untapped potential: opportunities and challenges for sustainable bioenergy production from marginal lands in the Northeast USA. Bioenergy Res. 2014;8(2):482–501.

Sulima P, Przyborowski JA, Kuszewska A, Zaluski D, Jędrzyczka M, Irzykowski W. Identification of quantitative trait loci conditioning the main biomass yield components and resistance to Melampsora spp. in Salix viminalis x Salix schwerinii hybrids. Int J Mol Sci. 2017;18(3):677.

Taylor J, Butler D. R Package ASMap: efficient genetic linkage map construction and diagnosis. J Stat Softw. 2017;79(6):1–29.

Tozawa M, Ueno N, Seiwa K. Compensatory mechanisms for reproductive costs in the dioecious tree Salix integra. Botany. 2009;87(3):315–323.

Ueno N, Kanno H, Seiwa K. Sexual differences in shoot and leaf dynamics in the dioecious tree Salix sashalinensis. Botany. 2006;84(12):1852–1859.

Ueno N, Seiwa K. Gender-specific shoot structure and functions in relation to habitat conditions in a dioecious tree, Salix sashalinensis. J For Res. 2003;8(1):9–16.

Wei S, Yang Y, Yin T. The chromosome-scale assembly of the willow genome provides insight into Salicaceae genome evolution. Hortic Res. 2020;7(1):45.

Yang G, Xu Q, Li W, Ling J, Li X, Yin, T. Sex-related differences in growth, herbivory, and defense of two Salix species. Forests. 2020a;11(4):450.

Yang W, Wang D, Li Y, Zhang Z, Tong S, Li M, Zhang X, Zhang L, Ren L, Ma X, et al. A general model to explain repeated turnovers of sex determination in the Salicaceae. Mol Biol Evol. 2021;38(3):968–980.

Yang W, Wang Y, Liu D, Hussain B, Ding Z, Zhao F, Yang X. Interactions between cadmium and zinc in uptake, accumulation and bioavailability for Salix integra with respect to phytoremediation. Int J Phytoremediation. 2020;22(6):628–637.

Zhou R, Macaya-Sanz D, Carlson CH, Schmutz J, Jenkins JW, Kudrna D, Sharma A, Sandor L, Shu S, Barry K, et al. A willow sex chromosome reveals convergent evolution of complex palindromic repeats. Genome Biol. 2020;21(1):38.

Zhou R, Macaya-Sanz D, Rodger-Meilnicz E, Carlson CH, Gouker FE, Evans LM, Schmutz J, Jenkins JW, Yan J, Tuskan GA, et al. Characterization of a large sex determination region in Salix purpurea L. (Salicaceae). Mol Genet Genomics. 2018;293(6):1437–1452.