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In this paper we study equations of magnetic hydrodynamics with a stress tensor. We interpret this system as the generalized Euler equation associated with an abelian extension of the Lie algebra of vector fields with a non-trivial 2-cocycle. We use the Lie algebra approach to prove the energy conservation law and the conservation of cross-helicity.

1. Introduction.

Magnetic hydrodynamics (MHD) describes evolution of a fluid or plasma, carrying a magnetic field. This theory is used to model the processes in the Solar corona [17], as well as to design tokamaks [10]. There are numerous books treating various aspects of the subject, see e.g., [8], [15].

The MHD equations are derived from the Euler equation of motion of an incompressible fluid and the Maxwell’s electrodynamics equations, and describe evolution of a fluid with the velocity vector field \(v\) and the magnetic field \(B\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -(v \cdot \nabla)v + (B \cdot \nabla)B - \nabla p, \\
\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} &= -\{v, B\}, \\
\text{div}(v) &= 0, \quad \text{div}(B) = 0.
\end{align*}
\] (1.1)

In this paper we study another system of PDEs, where we add extra terms into the evolution equation for the velocity:

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -(v \cdot \nabla)v + (B \cdot \nabla)B + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \nabla \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} - \nabla p. \tag{1.2}
\]

The additional terms here are of the third degree in derivatives and one can draw certain parallels between (1.2) and the Korteweg - de Vries equation

\[
u_t = uu_x + u_{xxx}. \tag{1.3}\]

We interpret the extra terms in (1.2) as a contribution of a stress tensor

\[
T_{ki} = \sum_j \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k}. \tag{1.4}
\]

This stress tensor is not symmetric, which indicates that the particles of the fluid should possess electric or magnetic momentum.
In spite of the additional higher-order terms, the new system of PDEs retains many features of the original system. In particular, we show that it still admits the Alfvén wave solutions.

We follow an approach developed by Arnold to give an interpretation of the MHD equations with the stress tensor as a generalized Euler equation. A generalized Euler equation is an equation for the geodesics on a (possibly infinite-dimensional) Lie group supplied with a Riemannian structure. This equation describes the evolution of a tangent vector of the geodesic in the Lie algebra of the Lie group (see Section 3 for details).

The Lie algebra that corresponds to the MHD equations with the stress tensor is an abelian extension $\mathfrak{g}(\tau)$ of the Lie algebra of the divergence zero vector fields twisted with a non-trivial 2-cocycle $\tau$. This Lie algebra was studied in the framework of the representation theory of the toroidal Lie algebras and the cocycle plays a prominent role there.

Infinite-dimensional groups associated with abelian extensions of the Lie algebra of vector fields are discussed in [7].

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\tau)$ has nice properties and this translates into nice properties of the PDEs. In particular we establish the energy conservation law and the cross-helicity conservation for MHD with the stress tensor.

Ovsienko and Khesin showed in [16] that the generalized Euler equation for the Lie algebra of vector fields on a circle yields the non-linear wave equation $u_t = uu_x$, while incorporation of the Virasoro cocycle into the Lie algebra leads to the Korteweg - de Vries equation (1.3). In a way, the present paper may be viewed as a higher-dimensional generalization of [16].

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we discuss the properties of the system (1.2), derive the expression for the stress tensor, list the conservation laws and describe the Alfvén wave solutions. In Section 3 we review the generalized Euler equation for an arbitrary Lie algebra and we apply this method in Section 4 to an abelian extension of the Lie algebra of the divergence zero vector fields, deriving (1.2), and establishing the conservation laws in a purely algebraic way.

2. Magnetic hydrodynamics with a stress tensor and its properties.

Evolution of an incompressible fluid carrying a magnetic filed is given by the equations of magnetic hydrodynamics (MHD):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} & = -(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{B} - \nabla p, \\
\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} & = - [\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{B}], \\
\text{div}(\mathbf{v}) & = 0, \; \text{div}(\mathbf{B}) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)

Here $\mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic field, $\mathbf{v}$ is the velocity vector field of the fluid and $p$ (pressure) is an auxiliary function which is chosen in such a way that the equation $\text{div}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ is satisfied. The formal dot product $\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla$ represents the differential operator

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla = \sum_j v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$
The Poisson (Lie) bracket \( \{v, B\} \) of two vector fields \( v = \sum v_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \) and \( B = \sum B_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \) is given by

\[
\{v, B\} = \text{ad}(v)B = (v \cdot \nabla)B - (B \cdot \nabla)v = \sum_j \left( v_j \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_j} - B_j \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} \right).
\]

In the three-dimensional space, the first equation in (2.1) may be also written as

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = v \times \text{curl}(v) + \text{curl}(B) \times B - \nabla p.
\]

For a conducting medium, the curl of the magnetic field is equal to the electric current (Ampère's law), and so the expression \( \text{curl}(B) \times B \) represents the Lorentz force, with which the magnetic field acts on the current.

The configuration space for a flow of an incompressible fluid is the group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms. In his remarkable paper [2], Arnold interpreted the Euler equation for an ideal fluid as the geodesic equation on this Lie group. The geodesic equation describes the evolution of the tangent vector of the geodesic curve, and this tangent vector belongs to the Lie algebra, which is the Lie algebra \( \text{SVect} \) of the divergence zero vector fields, in case of the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms.

Using Arnold’s method, Vishik and Dolzhanskii [19] (see also [12]) showed that the MHD equations (2.1) also may be interpreted as a geodesic equation for a certain infinite-dimensional Lie group. The Lie algebra that is used to write this equation is the semidirect product

\[
\text{SVect} \oplus \Omega^1/d\Omega^0
\]

of the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields \( \text{SVect} \) with its dual space – the factor \( \Omega^1/d\Omega^0 \) of the differential 1-forms modulo the exact 1-forms. We review this construction in detail in Section 3.

The Lie algebra that is associated with the MHD equations,

\[
g = \text{SVect} \oplus \Omega^1/d\Omega^0,
\]

has recently attracted much interest in representation theory. It turns out that representations of \( g \) may be used for constructing modules for toroidal Lie algebras (see e.g., [4], [5], [9]). It was also discovered that this Lie algebra has an important deformation – the Lie bracket in \( g \) may be twisted with a Virasoro-like 2-cocycle \( \tau \). The twisted Lie algebra \( g(\tau) \) still has nice properties, and its representation theory is even better than of \( g \) itself.

In this paper we study the system of PDEs that comes from the geodesic equation for \( g(\tau) \). In Section 4 we show that this Lie algebra yields the following system of PDEs:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= -(v \cdot \nabla)v + (B \cdot \nabla)B + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_j} \nabla \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_i} - \nabla p, \\
\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} &= -\{v, B\}, \\
div(v) &= 0, \quad div(B) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2)
Let us discuss the properties of this system of PDEs.

First of all, we note that the new term

\[ \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \nabla \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (B_i \nabla v_j) \tag{2.3} \]

can also be written as

\[-\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \nabla \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j}, \tag{2.3'} \]

since the difference of the two expressions is the gradient of \( \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \) and may be absorbed into \( \nabla p \).

It is curious to note here that in the one-dimensional case the passage from the Lie algebra of vector fields to the Virasoro algebra leads to the transition from the non-linear wave equation

\[ u_t = uu_x \]
to the Korteweg-de Vries equation

\[ u_t = uu_x + u_{xxx}, \]
as shown by Ovsienko and Khesin in [16].

Just like the dispersion term \( u_{xxx} \) in the KdV, the new term \( \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \nabla \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \) that we get in (2.2) has a triple derivative in \( x \).

Next we are going to show that (2.2) describes magnetic hydrodynamics with a stress tensor. Indeed, for a stress tensor \( T_{ki} \), the equations on the velocity field in (2.1) will become (see e.g. Section 1.7 in [18])

\[ \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)v_k + (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)B_k + \sum_i \frac{\partial T_{ki}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_k}. \tag{2.4} \]

**Proposition 1.** The system (2.2) describes magnetic hydrodynamics with a stress tensor. The stress tensor \( T_{ki} \) may be written as

\[ T_{ki} = \sum_j \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k} \tag{2.5} \]
or as

\[ T_{ki}' = -\sum_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_k} \tag{2.5'} \]
or as a linear combination \( \alpha T_{ki} + \beta T_{ki}' \) with \( \alpha + \beta = 1 \).
Proof. We will prove the statement of the Proposition for tensor $T_{ki}$ given by (2.5). We write the contribution in (2.4) from the stress tensor (2.5):

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial T_{ki}}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 B_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_i \partial x_k}.$$ 

Since $\text{div}(B) = 0$, the first term in the right hand side vanishes, and we get precisely the first equation from (2.2).

The stress tensor (2.5') will yield the additional term written in the form (2.3'). The proof in this case is completely analogous.

We point out that the stress tensors we obtain here are not symmetric: $T_{ki} \neq T_{ik}$. Asymmetric stress tensors occur when the particles of the fluid are polar, i.e., possess electric or magnetic momentum (see Chapter 8 in [18]). The derivation of the stress tensor from the first principles is rather delicate (see e.g., Chapters 7 in [18]), and I am unable to describe precisely the physical situations when the stress tensors (2.5) or (2.5') would occur.

Introduction of the term (2.3) which has the 3rd order in derivatives, into the equations will clearly change the behaviour of the solutions in a substantial way. I view of that, it is quite surprising that the conservation laws of magnetic hydrodynamics still hold for the system (2.2).

Our next goal is to study the conservation laws for magnetic hydrodynamics with the stress tensor (2.2). However before we do that, let us discuss the class of solutions that we consider here.

We require that the functions $v_i(x), B_i(x)$ are defined in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and belong to the intersection of the Sobolev spaces $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} H^k_0(D)$. We recall that the space $H^k_0(D)$ is the closure in the Sobolev space $H^k(D)$ of the functions of class $C^k$ with compact support (see e.g. [1]). This will ensure that all functions and their partial derivatives of all orders are square-integrable (belong to $L^2(D)$) and satisfy the vanishing conditions on the boundary of $D$ (if $D$ is unbounded this means that at infinity the functions go to zero faster than the inverse of any polynomial). Choosing this class of functions will allow us to carry out integration by parts with the boundary term vanishing.

Alternatively, we may consider periodic boundary conditions, as it is often done in turbulence theory.

There are several conserved quantities for the MHD system – mass, momentum, magnetic helicity, as well as energy and cross-helicity. It turns out that all of these are also conserved for the MHD with the stress tensor. Since we consider the case of an incompressible fluid, the conservation of mass (volume) holds trivially. The derivation of the conservation of magnetic helicity involves only the evolution equation on magnetic field $B$, and is exactly the same for both systems. Let us prove the conservation of momentum for the new system.

**Proposition 2.** The total momentum is a conserved quantity for the MHD system with the stress tensor (2.2):

$$\int_D \textbf{v}(x)dV \equiv \text{Const.}$$
Proof. With the help of Proposition 1, we can write the first equation using the divergence operator:

\[
\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} = \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (-v_i v_k + B_i B_k + T_{ki} - p \delta_{ki}).
\]

By the divergence theorem, we get

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_D v_k(x) dV = \oint_{\partial D} R_{ki} e_i \cdot d\mathbf{n},
\]

where \( R_{ki} = -v_i v_k + B_i B_k + T_{ki} - p \delta_{ki} \), \( \mathbf{n} \) denotes the unit outward normal vector, and \( e_i \)'s are the standard basis vectors. Since the vector fields we consider vanish on the boundary of \( D \), the last integral is zero.

Next we state the corresponding theorem for the conservation of energy and the cross-helicity conservation:

**Theorem 3.** The system (2.2) of magnetic hydrodynamics with stress tensor (2.5) in a domain \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) with appropriate boundary conditions (see discussion above) has the following two first integrals:

\[
\int_D \sum_i v_i(x)^2 + \sum_i B_i(x)^2 dV \equiv \text{Const} \quad \text{(energy conservation)} \quad (2.6)
\]

and

\[
\int_D \sum_i v_i(x) B_i(x) dV \equiv \text{Const} \quad \text{(cross-helicity conservation)}. \quad (2.7)
\]

We will give the proof of this theorem in Section 4. These conservation laws will be derived from the properties of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g}(\tau) \).

It is interesting to note that unlike the case of the Navier-Stokes equation, introduction of the stress tensor in (2.2) does not lead to the dissipation of energy, and the energy conservation law still holds.

For the topological interpretation of helicity and cross-helicity, see [13], [14].

In conclusion of this section we are going to show that system (2.2) admits Alfvén wave solutions.

Alfvén waves solutions are obtained as a perturbation of a steady-state constant solution \( \mathbf{v}(x) = 0, \mathbf{B}(x) = B_0 \). If we take an expansion \( \mathbf{v} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}(x), \mathbf{B} = B_0 + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}(x) \) near this equilibrium state, we will get the following system:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial t} & = - (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{v}} + (B_0 \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{B}} + (\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{B}} + \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial \tilde{B}_i}{\partial x_j} \nabla \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i}{\partial x_j} - \nabla p, \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t} & = - (\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{B}} + (B_0 \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{v}} + (\tilde{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \\
div(\tilde{\mathbf{v}}) & = 0, \quad div(\tilde{\mathbf{B}}) = 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

(2.8)
Next we set $\tilde{B} = \tilde{v}$. In this case the term $\sum_{i,j} \partial \tilde{B}_i \nabla \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_j}{\partial x_i}$ is a gradient of $\sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_j}{\partial x_i}$, and we can eliminate it by setting

$$p = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_j}{\partial x_i}. \quad (2.9)$$

Now the first two equations in (2.8) reduce to a single equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{v}}{\partial t} = (B_0 \cdot \nabla) \tilde{v}. \quad (2.10)$$

Finally, by taking an arbitrary divergence zero vector field $w(x)$, we can construct a solution of (2.8):

$$\tilde{v}(x, t) = w(x + B_0 t).$$

This traveling wave solution is called the Alfvén wave. The only difference with the classical MHD system (2.1) is the change of the pressure term (2.9).

### 3. Generalized Euler equation.

In this section we are going to review the geodesic equation approach to hydrodynamics developed by Arnold. In the key paper [2], Arnold gave an interpretation of the Euler equation for an incompressible ideal fluid

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -(v \cdot \nabla)v - \nabla p \\
\text{div}(v) = 0,
\end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

from the perspective of infinite-dimensional Lie groups. He showed that the Euler equation may be interpreted as the geodesic equation on the group of diffeomorphisms, where the Riemannian structure on the group is given by the energy functional.

We will describe this approach here following the book [3].

Let $G$ be a Lie group (possibly infinite-dimensional), and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Consider a map from $\mathfrak{g}$ to its dual

$$A : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \quad \text{(inertia operator),}$$

such that it defines a positive-definite symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$< X | Y > = \frac{1}{2} A(X)Y + \frac{1}{2} A(Y)X, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The corresponding quadratic form $< X | X > = A(X)X$ is called the energy functional.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on its dual space via the coadjoint action:

$$(\text{ad}^*(X)u)(Y) = -u([X, Y]) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$
We assume that the space $A(g)$ is invariant under the coadjoint action, and we make a convention that in what follows $g^*$ stands for $A(g)$ (this is a slight abuse of notations since in the infinite-dimensional case $A(g)$ is typically smaller than the formal dual of $g$). Since the kernel of $A$ is trivial (otherwise, the quadratic form $<X|X> = A(X)X$ is not positive-definite), then with the above convention the operator $A$ is invertible.

The bilinear form $<·|·>$ may be left-translated from $g = T_e(G)$ to the tangent spaces at all points of $G$. This gives a Riemannian structure on $G$, and allows us to consider the geodesics on this group.

Next we are going to write the equation for the geodesics on $G$, which describes the evolution of the tangent vector $X \in g$ to the geodesic curve. It turns out however, that it is easier to write the evolution equation for the covector $u = A(X) \in g^*$ rather than for $X$ itself. The generalized Euler equation is the evolution equation for $u = A(X)$ which is written using the coadjoint action (see (6.4) in [3]):

$$u_t = -\text{ad}^* (A^{-1}u) u.$$ (3.2)

When $G = SO(3)$ this equation turns into the equations of motion of a rigid body with a fixed point.

Let us discuss equation (3.2) in the context of fluid dynamics. Evolution of an incompressible fluid in domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ from time 0 to time $t$ is given by a volume-preserving diffeomorphism of $D$. Thus the group of the volume preserving diffeomorphisms $G = SDiff(D)$ is the configuration space for this motion. The Lie algebra of the group $SDiff(D)$ is the Lie algebra of the divergence zero vector fields $SVect(D)$. As the energy functional we take the kinetic energy:

$$\left< \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \bigg| \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right> = \int_D \sum_i v_i(x)^2 dV. \quad (3.3)$$

The dual space for the divergence zero vector fields is the factor space of differential 1-forms on $D$ by exact 1-forms:

$$SVect(D)^* = \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D).$$

The pairing between $SVect(D)$ and $\Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D)$ is given by the integral:

$$\sum_j w_j(x)dx_j \left( \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \int_D \sum_j w_j(x)v_j(x)dV. \quad (3.4)$$

It is easy to check that exact 1-forms vanish on the divergence zero vector fields, and so the value of the integral on the right is independent of the choice of a representative in a class of 1-forms modulo $d\Omega^0(D)$.

The inertia operator

$$A : SVect(D) \rightarrow \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D)$$
that corresponds to the energy functional (3.3) is written as follows:

$$A \left( \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \sum_i v_i(x) dx_i.$$  

It is possible to check that in these settings, equation (3.2) turns into the Euler equations of motion of an ideal fluid.

The equations of the magnetic hydrodynamics (2.1) can also be obtained as a special case of the generalized Euler equation (3.2). To construct the corresponding Lie algebra we take the Lie algebra $\text{SVect}(D)$ together with its dual space:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{SVect}(D) \oplus \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D).$$

The Lie bracket of two 1-forms is set to be zero. The Lie bracket of two vector fields is defined in the usual way:

$$\left[ \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \sum_j w_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right] = \sum_{i,j} v_i \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - w_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad (3.5)$$

and the Lie bracket of a vector field with a 1-form is given by the Lie derivative:

$$\left[ \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \sum_j w_j(x) dx_j \right] = \sum_{i,j} v_i \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i} dx_j + \sum_j w_j d(v_j). \quad (3.6)$$

It is easy to see that the space $d\Omega^0(D)$ is invariant under the Lie derivative action, so the above formula may be taken modulo $d\Omega^0(D)$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a semidirect product of $\text{SVect}(D)$ with its module $\Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D)$. The space $\Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D)$ forms an abelian ideal in $\mathfrak{g}$.

A really important feature of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the existence of an invariant symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form. In contrast, the Lie algebra $\text{SVect}$ does not possess such a form. The invariant form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined as follows (cf. (3.4)):

$$\left( \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \bigg| \sum_j w_j(x) dx_j \right) = \int_D \sum_i v_i(x) w_i(x) dV, \quad \left( \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \bigg| \sum_j w_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) = 0, \quad \left( \sum_i v_i(x) dx_i \bigg| \sum_j w_j(x) dx_j \right) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

One can verify that the bilinear form (3.7) satisfies the invariance property:

$$([X, Y] \mid Z) = (X \mid [Y, Z]) \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$ 

We can use this form to identify each element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ with a linear functional $(X \mid \cdot)$ in $\mathfrak{g}^*$. It is well-known that when the bilinear form that is used to identify $\mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathfrak{g}$,
is invariant and non-degenerate, the coadjoint action becomes isomorphic to the adjoint action. In this case the generalized Euler equation takes form:

\[ X_t = -\text{ad}(A^{-1} X) X, \]  

(3.8)

where now \( X \in \mathfrak{g} \) and the inertia operator \( A \) now maps \( \mathfrak{g} \) to \( \mathfrak{g} \).

The generalized Euler equation (3.8) yields the equations of magnetic hydrodynamics (2.1) if we choose \( A \) to be the following involution on \( \mathfrak{g} \):

\[ A \left( \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \sum_i v_i(x) dx_i, \]

(3.9)

\[ A \left( \sum_i w_i(x) dx_i \right) = \sum_i w_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}. \]

Note that in the second equality we choose a (unique) representative in a class modulo \( d\Omega^0 \) satisfying \( \sum_i \frac{\partial w_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \), so that the right hand side is a divergence zero vector field.

We see that the inertia operator (3.9) satisfies \( A^{-1} = A \), and the energy functional \( (AX|X) \) for \( X = \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_i w_i(x) dx_i \in \mathfrak{g} \) is given by the integral

\[ \int_D \sum_i v_i(x)^2 + \sum_i w_i(x)^2 dV. \]

(3.10)

The Lie algebra

\[ \mathfrak{g} = \text{SVect} \oplus \Omega^1/d\Omega^0 \]

appears in the study of toroidal Lie algebras ([4], [5], [6], [9], [11]). The representations of \( \mathfrak{g} \) are an essential ingredient for constructing the representation theory of toroidal Lie algebras. It was discovered however that \( \mathfrak{g} \) admits a non-trivial deformation with a \( \Omega^1/d\Omega^0 \)-valued 2-cocycle on SVect, and one gets a better representation theory for the deformed algebra than for \( \mathfrak{g} \) itself.

In the next Section we will describe this deformation of \( \mathfrak{g} \) and study the associated generalized Euler equation.

4. Abelian extensions of the Lie algebra of vector fields.

In the previous Section we have constructed a semidirect product \( \mathfrak{g} \) of the Lie algebra of divergence zero vector fields with \( \Omega^1/d\Omega^0 \). It turns out that on the same vector space

\[ \mathfrak{g} = \text{SVect} \oplus \Omega^1/d\Omega^0 \]

we may deform the Lie bracket in a non-trivial way. When we define the Lie bracket of two vector fields, we are going to add to the right hand side of (3.5) a correction term which has value in \( \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D) \):

\[ \left[ \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \sum_j w_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right] \]
\[
\sum_{i,j} \left( v_i \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - w_j \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) + \tau \left( \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \sum_j w_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right). \tag{4.1}
\]

In order to get a Lie bracket, \( \tau \) has to be a 2-cocycle on \( \text{SVect}(D) \) with values in \( \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D) \).

The following cocycle plays an important role in the representation theory:

\[
\tau \left( \sum_i v_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \sum_j w_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} d \left( \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial x_i} \right). \tag{4.2}
\]

This cocycle may be viewed as a higher-dimensional generalization of the Virasoro cocycle. Just as the Virasoro cocycle, it has a triple derivative in \( x \), and in fact (4.2) reduces to the Virasoro cocycle for the Lie algebra of vector fields on a circle.

We will denote the Lie algebra with the Lie bracket deformed by the cocycle \( \tau \) by \( g(\tau) \). Note that \( g(\tau) = \text{SVect}(D) \oplus \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D) \) is no longer a semidirect product, but the subspace \( \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D) \) still forms an abelian ideal. As before, the action of \( \text{SVect}(D) \) on \( \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D) \) is given by the Lie derivative formula (3.6).

**Proposition 4.** The bilinear form on \( g(\tau) \) given by (3.7) is invariant.

**Proof.** We need to establish the invariance property:

\[
([X,Y]|Z) = (X|[Y,Z]).
\]

There are three non-trivial cases to be considered:

(i) \( X, Y \in \text{SVect}(D), Z \in \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D) \),
(ii) \( X, Z \in \text{SVect}(D), Y \in \Omega^1(D)/d\Omega^0(D) \),
(iii) \( X, Y, Z \in \text{SVect}(D) \).

We will verify the invariance only for the last case, since only this case will involve the cocycle \( \tau \), and leave the first two cases as an exercise to the reader.

Suppose \( X = \sum_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, Y = \sum_j v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, Z = \sum_k w_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \). Since \( (\text{SVect}|\text{SVect}) = 0 \), then we get that \( ([X,Y]|Z) = (\tau(X,Y)|Z) \) and \( (X|[Y,Z]) = (X|\tau(Y,Z)) \).

We have

\[
([X,Y]|Z) = \left( \sum_{i,j,s} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_s} dx_s \right) \sum_k w_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}
\]

\[
= \int_D \sum_{i,j,k} w_k \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} dV.
\]

Integrating by parts and using the fact that \( \sum_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \), we get

\[
([X,Y]|Z) = - \int_D \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k} dV.
\]
On the other hand,

$$(X|\tau (Y,Z)) = \left( \sum_i u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \mid \sum_{j,k,s} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 w_k}{\partial x_j \partial x_s} dx_s \right)$$

$$= \int_D \sum_{i,j,k} u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 w_k}{\partial x_j dx_i} dV = -\int_D \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial w_k}{\partial x_i} dV.$$ 

This proves the invariance property $([X,Y] \mid Z) = (X \mid [Y,Z])$ in case (iii).

Now we are going to prove the following

**Theorem 5.** The generalized Euler equation

$$X_t = -[AX, X] \quad (4.3)$$

for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\tau)$ with the inertia operator $A$ given by (3.9) yields the equations of magnetic hydrodynamics with asymmetric stress tensor (2.2).

**Proof.** We write $X = \sum B_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum v_j dx_j$. We will fix representatives of classes of 1-forms modulo $d\Omega^0(D)$ by imposing a condition $\sum_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} = 0$. Then we have

$$AX = \sum_j v_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \sum_i B_i dx_i$$

and

$$[X, AX] = \sum_{i,j} B_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sum_{i,j} v_j \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} dx_k$$

$$+ \sum_{i,j} B_i \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_i} dx_j + \sum B_i d(B_i) - \sum v_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} dx_j - \sum v_i d(v_i).$$

Note that the terms $B_i d(B_i) = \frac{1}{2}d(B_i^2)$ and $v_i d(v_i) = \frac{1}{2}d(v_i^2)$ are full differentials and thus may be dropped.

Substituting the obtained expression into the generalized Euler equation (4.3) and collecting terms at $dx_j, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, and taking into account that equality of 1-forms is taken modulo $d\Omega^0(D)$, we get the following system of PDEs:

$$\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t} = -\sum_i v_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} + \sum_i B_i \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i,k} \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial^2 v_k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j},$$

$$\frac{\partial B_j}{\partial t} = -\sum_i \left( v_i \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_i} - B_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right).$$
\[ \sum_j \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j} = 0, \quad \sum_j \frac{\partial B_j}{\partial x_j} = 0. \]

Rewriting this system in a vector form with the vector fields we get (2.2).

Finally let us prove Theorem 3 and establish the energy and the cross-helicity conservation laws for MHD equations with the stress tensor (2.2). We will in fact obtain Theorem 3 as a corollary of the following general

**Theorem 6.** Let \( g \) be a Lie algebra with a non-degenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form \( (\cdot | \cdot) \). Let \( A \) be an involution of \( g \) preserving the invariant form,

\[ A : g \to g, \quad A^2 = \text{Id}, \quad (AX|AY) = (X|Y) \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in g. \]

Then the generalized Euler equation \( X_t = -[AX, X] \) has the following two first integrals:

\[ (AX|X) \equiv \text{Const} \quad (4.4) \]

and

\[ (X|X) \equiv \text{Const}. \quad (4.5) \]

**Proof.** Let us evaluate \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (AX|X) \):

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (AX|X) = (AX_t|X) + (AX|X_t). \]

Taking into account that \( (X|Y) = (AX|AY) \) and \( A^2 = \text{Id} \), we get that \( (AX_t|X) = (A^2 X_t|AX) = (X_t|AX) \). Thus

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (AX|X) = 2 (AX|X_t). \]

Substituting the right hand side of the generalized Euler equation for \( X_t \) we obtain

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (AX|X) = -2 (AX|[AX, X]). \]

By invariance of the form we get

\[ (AX|[AX, X]) = ([AX, AX]|X) = 0. \]

Thus \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (AX|X) = 0 \) and (4.4) is established.

The second conservation law (4.5) is obtained in a similar way:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (X|X) = 2 (X_t|X) = -2 ([AX, X]|X) = -2 (AX|[X, X]) = 0. \]

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Note that for (4.5) we may drop the requirements that \( A \) preserves the invariant form and is an involution.
We obtain Theorem 3 as an immediate corollary to the previous Theorem, noting that the bilinear form (3.7) on $g(\tau)$ is invariant by Proposition 4 and the inertia operator (3.9) is an involution and preserves this form.

We can see that for $X = \sum B_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum v_j dx_j$, the first integral (4.4) becomes the energy conservation law:

$$(AX|X) = \int_D \sum v_i(x)^2 + \sum B_i(x)^2 dV \equiv Const,$$

and (4.5) becomes the cross-helicity conservation:

$$(X|X) = 2 \int_D \sum v_i(x)B_i(x)dV \equiv Const.$$ 
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