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ABSTRACT
The purpose of the article is to compare the CSR perception level of the food service industry in Portugal and Ukraine. The main goal of the study is to find the attitudes in Portugal and in Ukraine towards CSR. Additionally, two hypotheses were formulated to understand the possible relation between (1) the financial situation and (2) the duration of the company with the awareness level about the CSR concept. A quantitative approach, using questionnaires, was adopted to survey using a sample of 201 representatives of SMEs in the foodservice sector (101 Portuguese and 100 Ukrainian), in 2019. Descriptive statistics and Spearman test were used to analyze the data. The present work demonstrates a significant correlation between the financial situation of the company and the CSR awareness level of leadership. Furthermore, the research shows that activities related to the economic sphere and CSR workplace policy among food sector companies in Ukraine and Portugal are developed to a great extent. From this point of view, we can state, that the publication of the article is one of the results and supported by grant project KEGA 005SPU-4/2019 “Theory and Practice of the International Management and Entrepreneurship in Multicultural Environment”. In this study, two different countries were chosen (Portugal and Ukraine), which are different in culture, history, and economic background. The paper focused on the food service industry and contributes to the ongoing debate about the SMEs and its involvement with CSR. It also helps schools to identify plans in CSR policy and topics for future research.
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INTRODUCTION
The social orientation of the world economy requires the development of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) activities to ensure the free entry of domestic companies into world markets (Van Marrewijk, 2003) and to increase cooperation with foreign investors, maintaining a worthy competitive position in the international arena (Secchi, 2007; Lee, 2008). The understanding of CSR importance pushes business to assume not only the behavior of law-abidingness but also to carry out voluntary participation in the implementation of corporate contribution to the development of the community, the territory, and establishing social partnership relations with the state (Burja and Mihalache, 2010).

Although there has been an increase in CSR interest at a global scale trying to define CSR is not an easy task (Nyarku and Ayekple, 2019; Afländer, 2011). First of all, there are different economic traditions in Europe and the US, which results in different interpretations of corporations’ responsibilities. The American perspective of the concept is mainly oriented on ethical responsibility and corporate philanthropy (besides economic and legal obligations), while the European perspective sees such responsibilities as the task of government (Kasych, 2014). As defined in ISO 26000 (2010), social responsibility is the responsibility of an enterprise for the impact of its decisions and actions on society, the environment, through transparent and ethical behavior. Moreover, it is necessary to increase the number of studies related to CSR and SMEs (Sweeney, 2007). Companies often lack a clear understanding and conscious recognition that CSR is not something exceptional, caused by special circumstances, but a norm that stems from the very essence of business, the philosophy of management (2016; Kasych, 2014; Kravchenko, 2013). Furthermore, considering the new trend in the literature of describing the relationship between CSR and geography (John et al., 2011; Loughran and Schultz, 2005; Sorenson and Baum, 2003), one can agree with the importance of showing the influence of company’s geographical position on the process of CSR strategy formation. Thus, comparative researches on CSR development are needed not only within the neighboring countries but also within the countries which are located in different geographic areas (Branco and Delgado, 2011).
Thus, this study aims to compare the CSR perception level in the food service sector in Portugal and Ukraine. Given the different geographic location and business environment, both countries, Ukraine and Portugal, are ideally suited for the study. It is also worth mentioning that the selected countries have a different cultural, historical, and economic background, which can affect the level of the CSR perception. However, the dynamic development of the food service market in Ukraine and Portugal is a common feature of the studied countries. For example, from the end of 2015 – beginning of 2016, the Portuguese public foodservice market has started to emerge from the crisis, driven by the growth of the tourism industry (GIRA Foodservice, 2017). During the last years, the Ukrainian food service market is as well trying to recover after the political and economic crisis of 2014 – 2015. There is an increase in the competition between food establishments, the emergence of new formats, and the use of innovative approaches in the activities of food service enterprises of the country (Hirnyak and Glagola, 2018). The food service market was chosen as the main field of our research based on the before-mentioned facts. Specifically, the main goals of the study are to find the attitudes in Portugal and Ukraine towards CSR: identifying what does motivate food service SMEs to implement the instruments of CSR; what are the main hindrances that food service SMEs face to implement the CSR principles into their business strategies; and what kind of CSR-related activities are commonly carried out by the food service companies in environmental, economic and social pillars of the triple bottom line approach. Additionally, two hypotheses were formulated to understand the possible relation between (1) the financial situation and (2) the duration of the company with the awareness level about the CSR concept.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. The second section reviews the literature on the context of CSR, including sub-sections to describe the current CSR development in Portugal and Ukraine. The hypotheses are presented in the third section, followed by the methodological issues in terms of the research design and sample identification included in the fourth section. The results are presented in the fifth and are discussed in the sixth section, followed by the conclusion and limitations along with suggestions for further research avenues.

### CSR and the specific cases of CSR in Portugal and Ukraine

Nowadays, the development of a CSR strategy for an enterprise is one of the crucial components for its success. The implementation of CSR principles into company activities leads to a higher level of interconnection with the society. Moreover, it is necessary to underline that CSR is one of the most important factors on the way to economic development for an enterprise, as well as for the country (Ebner and Baumgartner, 2006) and contributes to sustainable development (Behringer and Szegedi, 2016; Kolk and Van Tulder, 2010; Aras and Crowther, 2008).

Even though the concept of CSR has emerged within the last century, there is no universal definition of CSR (Duman, Giritli and McDermott, 2016; Ness, 1992). There are already several models in understanding the concept of CSR, like the models of Carroll (1979), Wartick and Cochran (1985), Wood (1991), or Clarkson (1995), the study of Elkington (1999) on the Triple Bottom Line (TBL), measures the three pillars of CSR, economic, environmental and social performance of the organization (Elkington, 1999). The three Ps: (i) profit (i.e., financial), (ii) people (i.e., social), and (iii) the planet (i.e., the environment) are still perceived as synonymous of CSR (Fauzi, Svensson and Rahman, 2010; Rubenstein, 2003).

CSR is being widely developed in the European Union, where companies make voluntary commitments to labor protection, waste management, business ethics while presenting similar requirements to their foreign units and partners (Marushchak, 2012). Portugal, being a member of the European Union, is promoting the development of CSR initiatives, leading to responsible business behaviour, improving the situation on the labour market, the quality of products and services provided by companies, as well as sustaining the development of the country as a whole. CSR in Portugal is determined at a national level and is a policy component of the different ministries (Shevchenko, 2014).

Recent studies using Portuguese samples and context showed that employees who are aware of their company’s CSR investment activities tend to make an additional effort in their work and even agree with the fact that CSR practices can lead to more effective company performance (Story and Neves, 2015), for Portuguese consumers environmental CSR practices are much more important than social activities and practices Loureiro et al. (2012). Thus, Portuguese scholars are making a huge research effort into the CSR field. However, there are still great opportunities for expanding and promoting the development of broader and more detailed research in this field and to explore different geographic contexts (Branco and Delgado, 2011).

The Ukrainian scientific literature has been increasing the number of publications related to the CSR sphere annually (Kasych and Yakovenko, 2014; Kostyrko, 2014), despite, in Ukraine, social responsibility is at an early stage of development (Kolyanko and Myronov, 2016). As a result, domestic enterprises often take foreign companies’ experience and practice as an example when trying to implement a CSR strategy. The main obstacles to the development and promotion of social responsibility are lack of funds, high tax burden, lack of interest in social responsibility has been suggested in the study of Burkovska and Lunkina (2016). The main trends, barriers, and prospects for CSR developing in Ukraine has been explored as well (Zinchenko and Saprykina, 2017), recommending several steps for the future development in the CSR field, such as the development of a regulatory framework that would facilitate CSR activity, raising the awareness of the National Contact Center for Responsible Business Behavior, implementation of CSR courses into university programs, among others.

### Scientific hypothesis

Social responsibility of business should be considered not only as a way of social stabilization but as an instrument for improving the financial situation of the company. However, the relationship between CSR and the company's financial situation can be positive, negative, or
neural. Some studies have concluded a positive correlation between CSR and the company's financial performance (Fauzi and Idris, 2009; Orlitzky and Benjamin, 2001; Simpson and Kohers, 2002; Yefimenko et al., 2014), others show a negative relationship (Patten, 2002) and some neutral relationship as well (McWilliams and Siegel, 2001; Moore, 2001).

Thus, the following hypothesis is suggested:

Hypothesis 1: There is a significant correlation between the financial situation of the company and the awareness level about the CSR concept. The duration of the company's existence affects the level of CSR development among its’ business units (Okhrimenko and Ivanova, 2015). Researchers believe that companies that are operating for a long time have more experience in the business environment and therefore better understand how to properly implement and use the CSR method. Consequently, newly formed companies demonstrate the lack of CSR management experience and skills. Mostly it happens because business owners do not have a clear understanding of the CSR in the process of strategic development. Moreover, the lack of fast, obvious results from the implementation of the CSR strategy is the barrier of CSR activities development among newly formed companies (Sardak and Shmyhovska, 2017). Thus, the following hypothesis is suggested:

Hypothesis 2: There is a significant correlation between the duration of the company's existence and the awareness level about the CSR concept.

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

In the present study, it is compared to the development of CSR activities among Portugal a Ukraine food service companies. The objective to identify what does motivate food service SMEs to implement the instruments of CSR, what are the main hindrances that food service SMEs face to implement the CSR principles into their business strategies, and what kind of CSR related activities are commonly carried out by the food service companies in environmental, economic and social pillars of the triple bottom line approach. Additionally, who hypotheses were formulated to understand the possible relation between (1) the financial situation and (2) the duration of the company with the awareness level about the CSR concept, as presented in the previous section.

The research was conducted among the companies of the food sector of Portugal and Ukraine operating in three cities of each country (Portugal: Lisbon, Porto, Faro; Ukraine: Kyiv, Lviv, Odesa). The selection can be explained by the fact that according to Statistics Portugal – Web Portal (INE, 2018) and State Statistics Service of Ukraine (UKRSTAT, 2018), the before-mentioned cities are the most visited ones. A questionnaire method was used to collect data in January – February 2019 (Ukraine) and March- April 2019 (Portugal). The questionnaire forms were fulfilled by representatives of executive positions. The communication with the respondents was conducted by sending out an online sample of the questionnaire via e-mail and, in some cases, through personal meetings with the respondents. The questionnaire was developed from a thorough literature review (Zinchenko and Saprykina, 2017), which consisted of 15 questions grouped into 6 parts. The questionnaire includes closed and semi-closed questions:

- Part I: represents formal questions about company activities, such as financial status, the duration of the firm's existence, company size;
- Part II: includes questions that allow estimating level of leadership awareness of CSR notion. This part of the questionnaire allows us to find out factors which hinder CSR implementation and factors which, on the other hand, encourage companies to implement and develop activities in this area;
- Part III, IV and V: allow to evaluate the company's activities in three main CSR pillars: economic pillar; environmental pillar and social pillar;
- Part VI: includes questions regarding the company's plans for further CSR development activities.

The questionnaire adopted Likert scales, in which the respondent expressed the extent of his/her agreement or disagreement with a certain statement, ranging from 1 – "strongly disagree" to 5 – "strongly agree" or 1 – "not dealing with" to 5 – "dealing a lot". To analyze the data, Excel and XLSTAT version 2019.1.3 were used. In order to test the hypotheses, the Spearman correlation coefficient was used.

Statistical analysis

A total of 201 responses were collected: 101 (in Portugal) and 100 (in Ukraine), from representatives of executive positions in Portuguese and Ukrainian companies. Concerning the Portuguese sample companies, the majority was formed by the small-sized enterprises (80.2%) and medium-sized (19.8%). The company's existence duration was the following: 8.9% less than 1 year, 24.8% from 1 to 5 years, 51.5% from 5 to 10 years and 14.9% more than 10 years. The majority (88.1%) has a domestic or local owner and 11.9% of the respondents have a domestic owner with a foreign investor. 31% of respondents declared to have a very good financial situation, 32.7% – above average, 22.8 % – average and 12.9% – below average. In the case of 100 Ukrainian enterprises, most of them are small-sized (60%), and the medium-sized companies (40%). The company's existence duration was the following: 5% less than 1 year, 28% from 1 to 5 years, 47% from 5 to 10 years and 20% more than 10 years. 79% of respondents declared to have a domestic owner, 21% have a domestic owner with a foreign investor. The majority (40%) has a very good financial situation, 22% – above average, 20% – average, and 18% – below average.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first questions were aimed to explore the general attitude of the respondents toward CSR. 63.4% of Portuguese and 61% Ukrainian respondents declared that they are familiar with the term of CSR. Consequently, it can be stated that the CSR concept is well known for most of the enterprises in the investigated market.

The Likert scale helped us with further investigation of the food service companies. Table 1 explicitly shows the extent to which companies agree or disagree with the following statement: “The representatives of executive positions have a good knowledge of CSR concept and the
ways of CSR implementation into company’s activities”. Based on the results, only 16.8% of Portuguese and 19% of Ukrainian respondents strongly agree that the company’s leadership has a good knowledge of the CSR concept. However, it is worth mentioning that 48.5% of Portuguese and 35% of Ukrainian respondents see themselves as a socially responsible enterprise.

Table 2 shows that regardless of the country, almost all respondents indicate moral-ethical reasons (97% of Portuguese and Ukrainian respondents), improvement of economic indicators (96% of Portuguese and Ukrainian respondents), maintaining/increasing of the company’s reputation (98% of Portuguese and 100% of Ukrainian respondents), increasing of the employee motivation (98% of Portuguese and 97% of Ukrainian respondents), increasing/maintaining the level of customers’ loyalty (99% of Portuguese and 95% of Ukrainian respondents) as the main motivating factors for CSR implementation. It is also worth noting that third-party pressures do not motivate enterprises to implement CSR activities in their businesses.

A weak CSR legislation is one of the main barriers to implement/improve CSR activities among Ukrainian food service companies (78% of respondents). In turn, the majority of Portuguese respondents (75.2%) noted that for them the lack of time is one of the biggest obstacles for CSR implementation. The positive fact is that only a few firms have mentioned that CSR activities are not important for their company (5% of Ukrainian and 4% of Portuguese respondents) (Table 3).

**Economic Pillar**

Table 5 shows that most of the respondents (851% of Portuguese and 85% of Ukrainian companies) implement principles of fair trade while doing their business. Moreover, the majority tends to provide information to the stakeholders (consumers, suppliers) (64.4% of Portuguese and 73% of Ukrainian companies) and tends to carry out transparent activities (62.4% of Portuguese and 72% of Ukrainian companies). From the other side, considering the ethical principles of trade the sales business innovations related activities are not present among the foodservice companies’ activities.

---

**Table 1** Respondents’ agreement/disagreement with statements toward CSR.

| Statement                                                                 | Portugal | 1 – strongly disagree | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5 – strongly agree |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------------|
| The representatives of executive positions have a good knowledge of the CSR concept and the ways of its implementation in the company’s activity | Portugal | 0%                    | 3%    | 37.6% | 42.6% | 16.8%             |
| Our company is a socially responsible company                              | Ukraine  | 0%                    | 9%    | 29.0% | 43.0% | 19.0%             |
| Our company is a socially responsible company                              | Portugal | 0%                    | 0%    | 21.8% | 29.7% | 48.5%             |
| Our company is a socially responsible company                              | Ukraine  | 0%                    | 1%    | 32.0% | 32.0% | 35.0%             |

**Table 2** Factors that motivate/do not motivate a company to implement CSR.

| Factors                                                                 | Portugal | Do not motivate | Motivate | Ukraine | Do not motivate | Motivate |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|----------|
| Moral-ethical reasons                                                   | 3%       | 97%             | 3%       | 97%     | 4%              | 96%      |
| The improving of economic indicators                                    | 4%       | 96%             | 4%       | 96%     | 33.7%           | 66.3%    |
| Better relationships with investors                                     | 5%       | 9%              | 26%      | 74%     | 50%             | 50%      |
| The maintaining/increasing the company’s reputation                      | 2%       | 98%             | 0%       | 100%    | 2%              | 98%      |
| The increasing employee motivation                                       | 2%       | 98%             | 3%       | 97%     | 21.8%           | 78.2%    |
| The desire of the environment protection                                 | 88.1%    | 11.9%           | 95%      | 5%      | 1%              | 99%      |
| The increasing/maintaining the level of customers’ loyalty               | 1%       | 99%             | 5%       | 9%      | 4%              | 9%       |

**Table 3** The main barriers to implement/improve company's CSR activities.

| Factor                                          | Portugal | Ukraine |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| Shortage of knowledge                           | 24.8%    | 47%     |
| Resources shortage                              | 39.6%    | 39%     |
| Weak legislation dealing with CSR              | 2%       | 78%     |
| Weak employees’ motivation                      | 1%       | 6%      |
| Lack of human resources                         | 39.6%    | 24%     |
| Time shortage to implement                      | 75.2%    | 43%     |
| It is not important for the company             | 4%       | 5%      |
### Table 4 The evaluation of the environmental pillar.

| Activity                                      | Mark Country | 1 – not dealing with | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 – dealing a lot |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---|---|---|------------------|
| Energy saving                                 | Portugal     | 0%                   | 27.7% | 57.4% | 14.9% | 0%               |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 23% | 50% | 27% | 0%               |
| Environmentally friendly work processes       | Portugal     | 0%                   | 5% | 44.5% | 50.5% | 0%               |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 2% | 31% | 54% | 13%              |
| Increase employees’ knowledge about           | Portugal     | 0%                   | 20.8% | 59.4% | 19.8% | 0%               |
| environmental protection                      | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 27% | 48% | 22% | 3%               |
| Minimization of environmental impacts         | Portugal     | 1%                   | 1% | 2% | 30.7% | 65.3%           |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 1% | 41% | 58%              |
| Promoting cooperation with other              | Portugal     | 26.7%                | 49.5% | 22.8% | 1% | 0%               |
| companies in the environmental field          | Ukraine      | 27%                   | 36% | 35% | 2% | 0%               |
| Purchase of environmentally friendly          | Portugal     | 0%                   | 17.8% | 66.3% | 13.9% | 2%               |
| machinery and equipment                       | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 15% | 36% | 46% | 3%               |
| Waste sorting                                 | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 2% | 31.7% | 66.3%           |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 6% | 35% | 59%              |
| Reducing material and energy-intensive        | Portugal     | 0%                   | 12.9% | 68.3% | 17.8% | 1%               |
| processes                                     | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 7% | 41% | 50% | 2%               |
| Research and development in the field of      | Portugal     | 60.4%                | 34.6% | 5% | 0% | 0%               |
| environmental protection                      | Ukraine      | 59%                   | 32% | 9% | 0% | 0%               |
| Transport optimization                        | Portugal     | 0%                   | 1% | 41.6% | 50.5% | 6.9%            |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 7% | 23% | 60% | 10%              |
| Waste minimization                            | Portugal     | 0%                   | 1% | 41.6% | 50.5% | 6.9%            |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 1% | 16% | 50% | 33%              |
| Water saving                                  | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 25.7% | 53.5% | 20.8%           |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 22% | 54% | 24%              |

### Table 5 The evaluation of economic pillar.

| Activity                                      | Mark Country | 1 – not dealing with | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 – dealing a lot |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|---|---|---|------------------|
| Ethical principles in trade                   | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 1% | 50.5% | 48.5%           |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 4% | 42% | 54%              |
| Fair trade                                    | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 0% | 14.9% | 85.1%           |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 0% | 1% | 85%              |
| Innovations in sales business activities      | Portugal     | 52.4%                | 42.6% | 3% | 2% | 0%               |
| taking into account the ethical principles of trade |          |                     |                    | | | |
| Processing of invoices on time                | Portugal     | 2%                   | 0% | 0% | 50.5% | 47.5%           |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 1% | 49% | 50%              |
| Providing benefits to disabled customers      | Portugal     | 23.8%                | 37.6% | 35.6% | 2% | 1%               |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 17%                   | 23% | 34% | 26% | 0%               |
| Providing customer service after the sale of  | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 5% | 44.5% | 50.5%           |
| products and services                         | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 2% | 47% | 51%              |
| Providing information to stakeholders         | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 1% | 34.6% | 64.4%           |
| (consumers, suppliers, …)                    | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 0% | 27% | 73%              |
| Solving complaints with shareholders, suppliers| Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 16.8% | 37.6% | 45.6%           |
| and business partners                         | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 1% | 10% | 53% | 36%              |
| Transparency of company’s activities          | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0% | 0% | 37.6% | 62.4%           |
|                                               | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0% | 2% | 26% | 72%              |
Social Pillar
Our study results show that most of the workplace policy activities listed in the questionnaire are being implemented to a high extent (Table 6). Regarding the overall view of the workplace policy (social pillar), respondents, regardless of the location, are trying to implement a considerable number of such activities: compliance with labour standards (Portuguese respondents – 95%, Ukrainian respondents – 95%); respect for human rights and freedoms (Portuguese respondents – 73.3%, Ukrainian respondents – 88%); safety and protection of health at the workplace (Portuguese respondents – 80%, Ukrainian respondents – 93%).

Another area of the social pillar (policy towards community) has a paradoxically low development level. Ukrainian companies cooperate with the public community only through non-financial contributions supporting the local community (38%). On the other hand, Portuguese companies support the community by helping in the organization of different events (33.7%) (Table 7).

Concluding Questions About CSR
The basic aim of this part is to examine the plans in CSR policy of the investigated companies. The vast majority of the companies (79.2% of Portuguese and 91% of Ukrainian) is planning to increase CSR related activities in the next 3 years. It should be noted that none of the respondents expressed a desire to reduce the number of CSR related measures.

Testing the hypotheses
Analyzing the correlation between the financial situation of the company and the CSR concept awareness level among Portuguese and Ukrainian foodservice companies,

Table 6 The evaluation of the workplace policy (social pillar).

| Activity                                                                 | Mark Country | 1 – not dealing with | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5 – dealing a lot |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|------------------|
| Anti-corruption and bribery standards                                    | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0%  | 10.9% | 63.4% | 25.7% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 13%  | 57%  | 30%  |
| Awareness of employees about important matters relating to the company  | Portugal     | 0%                   | 1%  | 33.7% | 54.4% | 10.9% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 26%  | 59%  | 15%  |
| Compliance with labour standards                                        | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 5%   | 95%  |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 8%   | 92%  |
| Development of qualification, skills, and long-lasting career of its employees | Portugal | 0%                   | 0%  | 37.6% | 37.6% | 24.8% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 1%  | 30%  | 42%  | 27%  |
| Employee loyalty to the company                                          | Portugal     | 0%                   | 5%  | 43.6% | 35.6% | 15.8% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 1%  | 47%  | 47%  | 5%   |
| Fair wage                                                                | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 33.7% | 66.3% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 39%  | 61%  |
| Helping workers and their families                                       | Portugal     | 1%                   | 33.7% | 56.4% | 8.9%  | 0%   |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 1%                   | 21%  | 60%  | 18%  | 0%   |
| Protection of an intellectual property                                   | Portugal     | 0%                   | 39.6% | 59.4% | 1%   | 0%   |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 1%                   | 34%  | 64%  | 1%   | 0%   |
| Protection of specific groups of employees (as disabled, …)              | Portugal     | 5%                   | 37.6% | 55.4% | 2%   | 0%   |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 1%                   | 20%  | 64%  | 15%  | 0%   |
| Providing space for mental hygiene (as rest, nutrition, regeneration area, …) | Portugal | 0%                   | 0%  | 9%   | 45.5% | 45.5% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 1%   | 63%  | 36%  |
| Respect for human rights and freedoms                                    | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 26.7% | 73.3% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 12%  | 88%  |
| Safety and protection of health in the workplace                          | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 20%  | 80%  |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 0%   | 7%   | 93%  |
| Work benefits (e.g. Home office, additional insurance, …)               | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0%  | 20.8% | 29.7% | 49.5% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 1%  | 22%  | 44%  | 33%  |
| Work-life balance of employees                                          | Portugal     | 0%                   | 0%  | 14.8% | 31.7% | 53.5% |
|                                                                          | Ukraine      | 0%                   | 0%  | 11%  | 42%  | 47%  |
The respondents’ answers to “what are the main hindrances that foodservice SMEs face to implement the CSR principles into their business strategies?” help us to understand that Portuguese and Ukrainian respondents have different perceptions of the barriers on the way of implementing/improving CSR activities. Limited implementation time is the main barrier on the way of the CSR activities implementation among the majority of Portuguese respondents. On the other hand, Ukrainian respondents pointed out that weak CSR legislation and low awareness of CSR are the main barriers to CSR realization. These facts support previous literature that claimed that the lack of knowledge on CSR is the most significant barrier for CSR implementation/improving activities (Kovach, 2013). Also, other authors are dealing with this problem from several sides like Janošková and Csikósová (2019) and Halasi et al. (2019).

The respondents’ answers “what does motivate foodservice SMEs to implement the instruments of CSR?” give a possibility to figure out which factors motivate/do not motivate companies to implement CSR policy. Almost all respondents indicated that moral-ethical reasons,
economic indicators improvement, better relationships with the community, maintaining/increasing of the company's reputation, increasing employees' motivation, increasing/maintaining customers' loyalty level are the most common factors that motivate companies to implement CSR activities. It is also worth saying that third-party pressures do not motivate enterprises to implement CSR activities in their business. These results support previous literature findings that claimed that the possibility of economic indicators improvement is a strong motivator for companies in CSR implementation activities (Simpson and Kohers, 2002; Yefimenko et al., 2014).

The respondents' answers to “what kind of CSR-related activities are commonly carried out by the food service companies?”, show that minimization of the impact on the environment and waste sorting is the most common activity from the listed in the questionnaire. As for the economic pillar of CSR, the respondents show the high implementation level of almost all measures in this direction. This situation can be explained by the fact that such kind of activities help the companies to increase the profit, to improve financial and economic indicators, which is the main task for any enterprise. The study shows that companies have a well-developed policy at the workplace. Most respondents have a fair salary system, demonstrate compliance with labor standards, human rights, and freedom protection. Based on this we can sum up that companies understand that a successful business can be built only with the help of skilled workers who need to have a proper working condition. On the other hand, the social pillar (policy towards community) has a paradoxically low development level. In general, Portuguese and Ukrainian foodservice companies are usually engaged in the implementation of similar activities in all three CSR directions. This study also confirmed that enterprises choose activities that are low-priced or easy to implement (Kolyanko and Myronov, 2016). Only measures that are regulated by law are implemented on a high level (such as fair trade, compliance with labor standards, etc.).

The present study claims that there is a significant correlation between the financial situation of the company and the awareness level of executive positions representatives about the CSR concept. Indeed, companies with a better financial situation demonstrate a higher level of awareness of the CSR concept and the ways of its implementation in the company's activities, corroborating our hypothesis 1. This conclusion supports previous literature findings that claimed a positive relationship between awareness of the importance of the CSR activities and the financial situation of the company (Fauzi and Idris, 2009; Orlitzky and Benjamin, 2001; Simpson and Kohers, 2002; Yefimenko et al., 2014). On the other hand, results disprove the fact that the duration of the company's existence influences the company's leadership awareness of CSR concept (Okhrimenko and Ivanova, 2015; Sardak and Shmyhovska, 2017), not corroborating our Hypothesis 2.

CONCLUSION

This study shows evidence that CSR practices in the environmental and social pillars are poorly developed compared to the other activities of the companies of our sample. Here the attention could be paid more to the privileges or so-called bonuses for companies that are trying to save energy, reduce the materials used, implement energy-intensive processes. Furthermore, the creation of the database on the local level that will clearly show regional requirements for social investments, promote social responsibility and help community development would be a great effective solution on the way of CSR implementation.

The empirical research findings of this study confirm a significant part of the literature review and help us to make important assumptions. The present work demonstrates a significant correlation between the financial situation of the company and the CSR awareness level of leadership. Furthermore, the research shows that activities related to the economic sphere and CSR workplace policy among food sector companies in Ukraine and Portugal are developed to a high extent.

Some limitations can be pointed out. Firstly, the sample is focused on one single industry, the food sector. Future studies could explore CSR perception and awareness in other business sectors with distinct features. Secondly, this study was conducted in Ukraine and Portugal only. Future research could focus on the CSR perception level of companies from countries located in different geographical areas, as outside of Europe. Thirdly, the questionnaire sample has a limited list of measures related to each of the CSR pillars. We suggest that future studies try to explore other CSR activities related to the environmental, economic and, social areas.
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