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The hot Neptune WASP-166 b with ESPRESSO II: confirmation of atmospheric sodium
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ABSTRACT

The hot Neptune desert, a distinct lack of highly irradiated planets in the size range of Neptune, remains one of the most intriguing results of exoplanet population studies. A deeper understanding of the atmosphere of exoplanets sitting at the edge or even within the Neptune desert will allow us to better understand if planetary formation or evolution processes are at the origin of the desert. A detection of sodium in WASP-166 b was presented previously with tentative line broadening at the 3.4σ with the HARPS spectrograph. We update this result with two transits observed with the ESPRESSO spectrograph, confirming the detection in each night and the broadened character of the line. This result marks the first confirmed resolved sodium detection within the Neptune desert. In this work, we additionally highlight the importance of treating low-SNR spectral regions particularly where absorption lines of stellar sodium and planetary sodium overlap at mid transit – an important caveat for future observations of the system.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Exoplanet science has firmly moved from the continuous stream of new detections to the connections between the existence and character of these worlds in exoplanet population studies. One of the earliest and most curious developments in the exoplanet population is the emergence of the so-called Neptune desert, the lack of strongly irradiated Neptune-sized planets in the radius-insolation space (Lecavelier Des Etangs 2007; Beaugé & Nesvorný 2013; Mazeh, Holczer & Faigler 2016). With the technological advancements of the last decade, it has become clear that this feature of the exoplanet population is not a detection bias (Mazeh et al. 2016), as these planets should, in theory, be observable with various techniques. Instead, the Neptune desert’s origin are most likely due to the increased atmospheric escape in these highly irradiated, but comparatively small planets (Owen & Lai 2018; Owen 2019). This makes planets within the desert, which are barely holding on to their tenuous atmospheres, perfect laboratories to study atmospheric escape in real time.

Recently, various groups have started to characterize the tenuous atmospheres within or at the edge of the Neptune desert with detections of atmospheric sodium, hydrogen, helium, and water vapour (e.g. Armstrong et al. 2020; Allart et al. 2020; Crossfield et al. 2020; Dravins & Crossfield 2020; Seidel et al. 2020; Murgas et al. 2021; Brande et al. 2022). In this sample of desert inhabitants, WASP-166 b remains a rare gem, a planet that has apparently retained its atmosphere which exhibits extreme bloating with a density of $\rho = 0.54 \pm 0.09$ g/cm$^3$ (Hellier et al. 2019; Bryant et al. 2020).

While planets at the edge of the Neptune desert are tantalizing targets, they remain challenging in an observational context with current technologies due to their size. Compared to Jupiter-sized targets, Neptune-like planets have a significantly lower atmospheric signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and need on average more transits for similar results. Here, we present the confirmation of the previous tentative sodium detection in Seidel et al. (2020b) in the atmosphere of WASP-166 b with the ESPRESSO spectrograph using two transits. First, we describe the observations and applied data reduction (Section 2), then, in Section 3, we show the techniques applied to create the transmission spectra, highlighting the influence of low-SNR remnants, and the calculation of the false positive detection probability. Lastly, we discuss the detection of sodium for WASP-166 b and the implications for the Neptune desert and future studies (Section 4).
Table 1. Log of ESPRESSO observations.

| Date       | #Spectra* | Exp. time (s) | Airmassb | Seeing | SNR #116 | SNR stellar line core |
|------------|-----------|---------------|----------|--------|----------|------------------------|
| Night 1    | 2020–Dec–31 | 292 (160/104*)| 100      | 2.6–1.20–1.15 | 0.6–0.8  | 30 – 37                | 7–8                   |
| Night 2    | 2021–Feb–18 | 278 (162/114*)| 100      | 1.20–1.10–1.70 | 0.7–1.3  | 22 – 35                | 4–8                   |

Note. *In-1 out-of-transit spectra after excluding exposures. Airmass at the beginning/centre/end of transit. 13 out-of-transit spectra rejected due to high airmass. 2 out-of-transit spectra rejected due to telescope vignetting.

Figure 1. Telluric correction using MOLECFIT in the wavelength range around the D2 sodium line at 5896 Å for night two. The master out spectrum before telluric correction (black) and after telluric correction (green) is shown in the observer’s rest frame, where telluric absorption lines are aligned in wavelength. A scaled model of the telluric lines calculated for mid-transit is shown in light blue, dotted-dashed lines.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We observed the bloated super-Neptune WASP-166 b during its transit on two separate nights (2020-Dec-31, 2021-Feb-18) with the ESPRESSO echelle spectrograph installed at ESO’s VLT telescopes in Paranal Observatory, Chile (Pepe et al. 2021) (ESO programme: 106.21EM, PI: Cegla). WASP-166 b orbits a bright F9-type star (Vmag = 9.36, distance = 113.0 ± 1.0 pc) in 5.44 days with a radius of 0.63 ± 0.03 R_J (Hellier et al. 2019). An overview of the observations can be found in Table 1.

This work focuses on the analysis of the planetary sodium doublet (spectral order 116 and 117, approximately at 5888 – 5898 Å), previously detected with the HARPS spectrograph in Seidel et al. (2020b). The observations span the time where the planet occults part of the stellar disk (in-transit spectra, from T1 to T4) and also before and after the transit (out-of-transit spectra). In night one (see Table 1), the observations were started at airmass 2.6, however the ESPRESSO ADC (Atmospheric Dispersion Corrector) is only calibrated for airmass <2.2. In consequence the first 13 out-of-transit spectra were rejected from the analysis. The last two spectra in night two were excluded due to telescope vignetting. The obtained spectra are corrected for instrumental effects and sky emissions are subtracted by the ESPRESSO pipeline (version 2.3.1) as implemented in DACE (https://dace.unige.ch). We monitored telluric sodium emission with the on-sky fibre B and found no contamination. Cosmic rays were rejected (5σ difference to the mean of all exposures in each wavelength bin) and replaced with the time averaged mean (as in e.g. Wytenbach et al. 2015; Seidel et al. 2019).

During both nights, the different velocities (barycentric velocity, stellar, and planetary) led to significant overlap between telluric H2O absorption lines and the sodium doublet. We corrected the telluric lines with MOLECFIT, version 1.5.1. (Smette et al. 2015; Kausel et al. 2015). Parameters were set as described in Allart et al. (2017). Because molecfit takes into account the atmospheric conditions in the specific observation night, it corrects microtellurics and also stronger telluric absorption lines. We controlled the telluric correction of MOLECFIT by comparing the sum over all spectra (master spectrum) before and after its application in the observer’s rest frame (ORF). Fig. 1 shows the master spectrum before and after correction, as well as an unscaled model of the telluric lines to indicate the position of the tellurics for night two. The telluric effects were reduced to the noise level and have no effect on our results. Our analysis uses the system parameters presented in Hellier et al. (2019).

3 TRANSMISSION SPECTROSCOPY OF WASP-166 B

We follow Tabernero et al. (2021), Borsa et al. (2021), Seidel et al. (2021) for the calculation of the transmission spectrum using ESPRESSO data. All spectra were weighted by their SNR and the errors propagated throughout the analysis. The telluric corrected spectra are shifted from the ORF to the stellar rest frame (SRF). In this rest frame all stellar lines are aligned in time and the planetary signal can be separated from the stellar signal by dividing each spectrum taken in-transit by the normalized sum of all out-of-transit spectra (master-out). The separated planetary spectra are then shifted into the planetary rest frame (PRF) where the normalized sum builds the final transmission spectrum. The respective shifts varied for the barycentric velocity between 21.12 – 20.53 km s⁻¹ in night one and 2.72 – 2.05 km s⁻¹ in night two. The system velocity was taken from literature as 23.63 km s⁻¹ (Hellier et al. 2019). The planet velocity ranges from –14.98 to 21.60 km s⁻¹ in night one and from –20.67 to 17.78 km s⁻¹ in night two, with the stellar velocity between 1.20 to 1.73 m s⁻¹ and 1.66 to –1.42 m s⁻¹ respectively.

The Coudé Train optics of ESPRESSO are known to create interference patterns, which manifest as sinusoidal noise (wiggles) in the transmission spectra of each order (Allart et al. 2020; Tabernero et al. 2021). We performed a sinusoidal fit on the transmission spectrum of each order leaving out the sodium doublet lines to obtain a straight continuum. We show both transmission spectra in Fig. 2 where we combine both spectral orders independently containing the sodium doublet (116 and 117). The Gaussian fit shown in red in Fig. 2 is obtained on the unbinned data, with the depth and width as free fitting parameters.

3.1 Stellar effects

Two important effects when assessing resolved lines are the Rossiter–McLaughlin (RM)-effect, where the Doppler-shift introduced from the movement of the stellar surface behind the planet influences the planetary detection [for details see Rossiter (1924), McLaughlin (1924), Louden & Wheatley (2015), Cegla et al. (2016)] and the center to limb variation in the stellar spectra. For WASP-166 b the surface radial velocity of the stellar surface occulted by the planet...
during transit ranges from $\sim -5$ km s$^{-1}$ to $\sim 5$ km s$^{-1}$. In Seidel et al. (2020b), the impact of the RM-effect on the transmission spectrum was estimated to be below 0.5 per cent at line centre with the conclusion that the RM-effect cannot mask the sodium feature for this particular planet. We applied the same numerical correction of the RM and CLV effects that was applied to the HARPS data set in Seidel et al. (2020b), following Wytenbach et al. (2020) with the local stellar RV model taken from Doyle et al. (2022).

Due to the different Doppler-shifts coming from the planetary and system movements, the planetary signal moves with respect to the stellar sodium absorption lines. At transit centre, when the planetary absorption is aligned with the stellar absorption, the SNR reduces significantly due to the magnitudes lower flux in the stellar line centre [see Fig. 3 and Barnes et al. (2016), Borsa & Zannoni (2018), Seidel et al. (2020b, c)]. For the data set presented here, this low SNR-remnant is particularly poignant due to the low exposure time [employed to study the system architecture, the main goal of the observing proposal, see Doyle et al. (2022)] in comparison to the HARPS data set. For the overall flux level of approximately 100 photons in the centre of the stellar sodium line, the signal of the transit would be less than one photon. In this scenario, we are not photon-noise limited anymore, but the signal is of the order of the detector red noise (Pepe et al. 2021). We have therefore masked the low-SNR remnant in the SRF for the FWHM of the stellar sodium lines (masking 3 and 5 km s$^{-1}$ around line centre for night 1 and night 2, respectively). This reduces the number of spectra with planetary signal by 63 (39 per cent) in night one and 88 (54 per cent) in night two.

### 3.2 Data quality assessment

To calculate the probability that a detection is a false positive, due to instrumental effects, stellar spots or observational conditions varying during the night, we performed a bootstrap analysis via empirical Monte Carlo (EMC). The false-positive probability is then taken into account in the calculation of the detection error. Especially for noisy observations, it is important to take into account this additional uncertainty, because the likelihood of a false-positive increases. During the bootstrap analysis the in- and out-of-transit spectra are treated like independent data sets and both the virtual in-transit and virtual out-of-transit spectra are randomly drawn from one data set only, following Redfield et al. (2008) with the same applied wavelength regions. Three scenarios are created, the ‘in-in’ (all spectra taken from the real in-transit data and randomly attributed to virtual in and out-of-transit data), the ‘out-out’ (drawn only from out-of-transit spectra), and the ‘in-out’ (likewise; for further details see Wytenbach et al. 2015; Seidel et al. 2019). If only the scenario

![Figure 2. ESPRESSO sodium doublet for night one (top) and nights 2 (bottom) in the PRF (planetary rest frame). Larger panels: in light grey, the transmission spectrum in full ESPRESSO resolution is shown, in black binned by 20× for visibility. The theoretical position of the doublet lines are shown as vertical, blue, dashed lines. The Gaussian fits to the unbinned data is shown as a red line. Smaller panels: Residuals of the red Gaussian fit in per cent.](https://academic.oup.com/mnrasl/article-abstract/513/1/L15/6550030/20)

![Figure 3. Spectrum Nr. 105 taken during night two with its original flux level and shape, corrected for telluric absorption lines. The order 116 is zoomed into the wavelength range of the stellar sodium doublet in the observer’s rest frame. The inset shows the centre of the stellar D$_2$ line, highlighting the extremely low stellar flux due to absorption of sodium in the star’s photosphere. The masked wavelength range corresponds to the FWHM of the stellar line.](https://academic.oup.com/mnrasl/article-abstract/513/1/L15/6550030/21)
Both the ‘in–in’ (purple) and ‘out–out’ (light blue) distributions show no sodium detection, but the ‘in–out’ distribution shows a detection (grey) for each night. Gaussian fits are shown as dashed lines. Because a different number of spectra were observed each night, the number of counts for the different randomization varies.

**Table 2. Sodium detection levels.**

|        | Detection (per cent) | σ  |
|--------|----------------------|----|
| Night 1 | D₂                  | 0.498 ± 0.082 | 6.0 |
|        | D₁                  | 0.349 ± 0.081 | 4.3 |
|        | D₂ and D₁           | 0.424 ± 0.058 | 7.3 |
| Night 2 | D₂                  | 0.389 ± 0.085 | 4.6 |
|        | D₁                  | 0.275 ± 0.086 | 3.2 |
|        | D₂ and D₁           | 0.332 ± 0.060 | 5.5 |

‘in–out’ results in a detection of any sort, the detection is unlikely to stem from spurious events.

The false positive likelihood is the standard deviation of the Gaussian fit to the ‘out–out’ distribution, given that no planetary signal can influence the distribution, but within the standard deviation, a false detection is possible. Because of the selection bias when taking only the out-of-transit distributions, the final false positive likelihood has to be scaled by the square root of the fraction of out-of-transit spectra to total spectra taken (Redfield et al. 2008; Astudillo-Defru & Rojo 2013).

The bootstrapping distributions for both nights are shown in Fig. 4, with 30,000 iterations each for conversion of the distribution. For both nights, the ‘in–in’ and ‘out–out’ distributions are centred at 0, which means no spurious features were detected. The ‘in–out’ distribution shows the absorption depth unambiguously not equal to zero, showing the planetary origin on the signal. The false positive likelihood for night one is 0.023 per cent and for night two 0.070 per cent.

**3.3 Atmospheric absorption depth**

The sodium doublet transmission spectra for WASP-166 b is shown in full for each night in Fig. 2 (in the PRF). The two peaks of the doublet are clearly visible and the line centres each highlighted as dashed, blue vertical lines. Both lines were jointly fitted with Gaussians, which are shown as red lines. The fitted amplitude of the Gaussians was used as the absorption depth and the uncertainty on the absorption as the sum of the false positive likelihood (calculated in Section 3.2), the uncertainty of the Gaussian fit, and the average uncertainty within the FWHM of the sodium doublets (see Hoeijmakers et al. 2020).

In both nights, a large fraction of the planetary signal was masked due to the low-SNR remnant (see Section 3.1), with a larger masking applied in night two. The lower SNR in night two is most likely a result from the increase in seeing during the second half of the transit and the after transit baseline by a factor of two. However, the detection levels differ for both nights and the doublet is not as well resolved in night two as it is in night one, which cannot be solely explained by the broader masking in night two. Possible impact factors in night two are the small spread in barycentric velocity, leading to a larger overlap between possible micro-telluric velocity and the sodium doublet as well as instrumental issues (ESPRESSO was used on UT4, which was not used as habitually at the time). The detection levels split for both nights and both lines can be found in Table 2. We chose to still present both data sets and hope that the
exact line shape of WASP-166 b can be resolved without any doubts with an additional observing night discriminating between the here presented night one and two.

4 INTERPRETATION OF THE OBTAINED SODIUM FEATURE

To give a tentative interpretation of the data, we combined both nights and both lines in a coadded sodium doublet. The FWHM of the fit to the coadded sodium doublet is $18.74 \pm 1.90 \text{km s}^{-1}$, corresponding to a broadening of $9.37 \pm 0.95 \text{km s}^{-1}$ in both directions from line centre. Comparing the ESPRESSO line spread function (shown in yellow in Fig. 5) indicates significant line broadening. However, the escape velocity of WASP-166 b at the surface is $23.83 \pm 0.81 \text{km s}^{-1}$, which means that the sodium, although accelerated to high velocities, is not escaping the planet’s gravity assuming the sodium doublet probes down to the surface. This result is below the initial estimation of line broadening as provided in the HARPS analysis from Seidel et al. (2020b). However, considering the significant uncertainties in the HARPS data, the results herein are not incompatible with the previous study.

Due to the nature of transmission spectroscopy, the knowledge of the continuum level is lost. The assumption that the continuum is consistent with the planetary surface therefore might not hold if the atmosphere is cloudy (e.g. Morley et al. 2013; Lavvas et al. 2019). We can, therefore, not assess with certainty if sodium is escaping the atmosphere of this significantly bloated hot Neptune without the study and modelling of water vapour in the red optical wavelength, which is out of the scope of this paper. As of now, no HST observations are published, however WASP-166 b is scheduled to be observed with the newly launched JWST which should provide more insight into this intriguing target (Mayo et al. 2021).

5 CONCLUSION

We analysed two transits of WASP-166 b observed with ESPRESSO, resulting in 570 exposures with 100 s exposure time each. Of these, thirty six of the out-of-transit baseline in night one were rejected, resulting in 322 in-transit exposures and 220 out-of-transit exposures. Due to the significant stellar sodium absorption, the resulting deep stellar sodium lines, and the comparatively short exposure time, low-SNR remnants were produced. We masked these low-SNR zones and subsequently confirmed the HARPS sodium detection at the $7.3 \sigma$ level and $5.5 \sigma$ level respectively in each night, the first resolved sodium detection for a planet within the Neptune desert achieved by two independent instruments.

We suggest further analysis of WASP-166b not only with space-based facilities like JWST, but also with ground based facilities to establish the true line shape of the sodium doublet. Due to the low-SNR remnants, we loose a significant part of the planetary signal for each transit. We hope rapid follow-up observations of this target will give us a clearer picture of the line shape, allowing us to gain a first observational constraint on the winds in the upper atmosphere of a bloated hot Neptune in the hot Neptune desert (i.e. Seidel et al. 2020a, 2021; Wytenbach et al. 2020).
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