Generation and detection of spin-orbit coupled neutron beams
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Spin-orbit coupling of light has come to the fore in nanooptics and plasmonics, and is a key ingredient of topological photonics and chiral quantum optics. We demonstrate a basic tool for incorporating analogous effects into neutron optics: the generation and detection of neutron beams with coupled spin and orbital angular momentum. The \textsuperscript{3}He neutron spin filters are used in conjunction with specifically oriented triangular coils to prepare neutron beams with lattices of spin-orbit correlations, as demonstrated by their spin-dependent intensity profiles. These correlations can be tailored to particular applications, such as neutron studies of topological materials.

Significance

Extensive interest has been placed on the techniques to prepare and characterize optical and matter wave orbital angular momentum (OAM) beams and spin correlated OAM beams. They have been shown to be useful in a wide range of applications such as microscopy, quantum information processing, material characterization, and communication protocols. Here we demonstrate an observation of spin-orbit beams and lattices of spin-orbit beams with neutrons. Neutrons, which do not possess a charge and have significant mass, are probes of nature that are complementary to photons and electrons. The techniques shown here enable neutron OAM applications in material characterization and fundamental physics.
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the coil arrangement differs from the Wollaston arrangement where 2 triangular coils with antiparallel fields are placed with their inclined sides facing each other (37, 38).

As shown in Fig. 1, there is a spatially dependent path difference between the second and the third triangular coils due to their inclined sides. Therefore, it is necessary to minimize the magnetic field in this region to avoid an unwanted phase gradient across the beam. In our setup, this was accomplished via a permalloy tube. Guide coils were placed between other triangular coils to provide a uniform magnetic field along the spin quantization axis.

The first $^3$He polarizer filters the neutrons with spin along the beam propagation axis, thereby setting the neutron wavefunction to

$$|\Psi_{in}(\theta, \phi)\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle.$$  

The triangular coils induce perpendicular phase gradients along the directions that are also perpendicular to the direction of the incoming spin state. Pairs of triangular coils then effectively act as LOV prism pairs, as described in ref. 33. In this particular case, their individual operators are given by

$$\hat{U}_y = e^{-i\frac{\pi a\sigma_y}{2}}, \quad \hat{U}_x = e^{-i\frac{\pi a\sigma_x}{2}},$$

where $\sigma_x$ and $\sigma_y$ are the Pauli spin operators, and $a$ is the spatial spin oscillation period. For the case of no beam divergence, the spatial quantization axis.

$$a = \frac{2\pi v_n}{\gamma_n |B| \tan(\theta)},$$

where $|B|$ is the magnetic field inside the triangular coils, $v_n$ is the neutron velocity, $\gamma_n$ is the neutron gyromagnetic ratio (39), and $\theta$ is the incline angle of the triangle coils. For example, for a field of $|B| = 0.005$ T inside the triangular coils, the corresponding period of a nondiverging beam would be $a = 3.8$ mm.

A pair of specifically orientated triangular coils, or a LOV prism pair, approximates the action of a quadrupole magnetic field (32). The state induced by a quadrupole acting on $|\Psi_{in}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle$ has the following form (24):

$$|\Psi_Q \rangle \approx \left[ \cos \left( \frac{2\pi r}{a} \right) |\uparrow\rangle + i e^{-i\phi} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi r}{a} \right) |\downarrow\rangle \right].$$

where $(r, \phi)$ are the cylindrical coordinates. It follows from Eq. 5 that 2 spin states possess a differing spatial amplitude profile and that there is an azimuthal phase difference between the 2 spin states which indicates the OAM difference between the 2 spin states of $\Delta \ell = \ell_1 - \ell_2 = 1$. In addition to approximating the quadrupole operator, LOV prism pairs possess a periodic structure which induces a 2-dimensional lattice structure in the output state (32). The state after $N$ sets of LOV prism pairs is given by

$$|\Psi_{LOV}^N\rangle = (\hat{U}_z \hat{U}_y)^N |\Psi_{in}\rangle.$$

The small transverse coherence length given by Eq. 1 indicates that we do not have a single eigenstate of OAM in the incoming beam but rather a distribution of OAM states. Therefore, for each lattice cell, if we assume symmetry in the incoming transverse momentum distribution, filtering $|\uparrow\rangle$ neutrons would result in an OAM distribution with a mean value of 0, while filtering $|\downarrow\rangle$ neutrons would result in an OAM distribution with mean value 1.

**Results and Discussion**

After passing through one of the triangular coils, the spin state varies sinusoidally along the direction of the coil incline.

---

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup which consists of a slit, a $^3$He spin polarizer and analyzer, guide field coils, 2 pairs of specifically oriented triangular coils that act as LOV prism pairs, a permalloy tube, and a neutron camera. The triangular coils induce perpendicular magnetic phase gradients onto the neutron wavefunction. LOV prism pairs prepare beams with a lattice of spin-orbit correlations where, in each lattice cell, the phase between the 2 spin states varies azimuthally. The simulated spin-dependent intensity profile after each triangular coil is shown in green, and the profile of the phase difference between the 2 spin states, given by $(\arg(|\downarrow\rangle |\Psi_{LOV}^N\rangle) - \arg(|\uparrow\rangle |\Psi_{LOV}^N\rangle))$, is shown in blue.
Therefore the intensity profile postselected on one spin state exhibits linear fringes with period $a$, as shown in Fig. 2A. After passing through a pair of perpendicular triangular coils, or an LOV prism pair, an $N = 1$ lattice of spin-orbit correlations is prepared. The intensity profile postselected on $|\downarrow_z\rangle$ is shown in Fig. 2B, and it resembles a checkerboard pattern. The spin direction before the postselection is overlaid on the intensity profile via the red arrows, and it elucidates why the $N = 1$ lattice is composed of a vortex antivortex structure.

Passing a polarized neutron beam through 2 pairs of LOV prism pairs prepares a beam with a lattice of spin-orbit correlations as described by Eq. 5. The spin-dependent intensity profile has the doughnut/ring structure as shown in Fig. 2C. This is a consequence of the cosine/sine amplitude terms in Eq. 5. The major features can be seen between the simulated and observed profiles in Fig. 2C. Note that the spin analyzer sets the spin filter direction, and the 2 profiles in Fig. 2C are from 2 separate setup configurations.

The slight differences between the simulated and observed profiles shown in Fig. 2A and B can be attributed to the interface region between the longitudinal field of the guide coils and the transverse field of the triangular coils. However, when triangular coils 2 and 3 are used to prepare the $N = 1$ lattice, the observed profile is significantly more distorted, indicating that the permalloy tube is not sufficiently removing the field between the triangular coils. A nonzero magnetic field in the permalloy tube results in a path gradient, due to the inclined sides of the triangular coils. Fig. 3 shows the distortions that arise when a uniform $B_z = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ T magnetic field is present beside the inclined sides of the second and third triangular coils. It can be observed that the doughnut profiles become stretched, and that, therefore, gradients are a possible cause of the distortions that are observed in Fig. 2C.

The phase difference between the 2 spin states of the $N = 2$ lattice is shown in Fig. 1. This phase structure can be mapped via the spin-dependent intensity profile after mixing the 2 spin states. That is, we require postselection of the spin along a direction that is perpendicular to the spin quantization axis, which, in our case, would be the $x$ and $y$ directions.

It can be noted that translating one of the triangular coils along its incline direction induces an additional uniform phase shift. This provides a convenient method of obtaining the $|\downarrow_x, y\rangle$-dependent intensity profiles without changing the $^3$He

![Fig. 2](image-url) The simulated and observed spin-dependent intensity profiles. A Gaussian filter as well as an intensity gradient was added to each observed image, to highlight the features of interest. The currents on the (first, second, third, and fourth) triangular coil were set to (A) (0, 0, 0, and 2.5 A), (B) (2.5, 2.5, 0, and 0 A), and (C) (5, 5, and 4 A). The spatially varying spin direction (before the spin filtering) is overlaid on the simulated intensity profiles via the red arrows. The $N = 1$ lattice exhibits a vortex antivortex structure, and its spin-dependent intensity profile resembles a checkerboard pattern. The $N = 2$ lattice appears as a lattice of doughnut/ring shapes. Good qualitative agreement is shown between the simulated and observed intensity profiles.

![Fig. 3](image-url) The simulated distortions when including a $B_z = 6 \times 10^{-4}$ T magnetic field beside the inclined sides of the second and third triangular coil. The magnetic field results in a gradient along the negative $y$ direction after the second triangular coil followed by a gradient along the negative $x$ direction before the third triangular coil. It can be observed that gradients are a possible cause of the distortions that are observed in Fig. 2C.
polarization direction (33). Fig. 4 shows the simulated and observed spin-dependent intensity profile as the first coil in the setup is translated along the y direction (see Fig. 1) for (A) 0, (B) 3, and (C) 6 mm. The profiles in A and C correspond to the spin-up and spin-down intensity profiles of a single cell of the N = 2 lattice, as shown in Fig. 2. The profile in B corresponds to the intensity profile after mixing the 2 spin states. The 2 regions that manifest out-of-phase azimuthal variation are pictorially bounded by the red and blue circles. It can be seen that the intensity varies azimuthally, indicating the phase structure of a single cell in the N = 2 lattice, as shown in Fig. 1.

The next set of experiments will focus on the preparation of spin-orbit correlations in which neutron beams with lattices of spin-orbit correlations in which the OAM of one spin state is different from the OAM of the other spin state. This was achieved via sets of specifically oriented triangular coils which acted as LOV prism pairs. The beams were characterized via their spin-dependent intensity profiles.

The triangular coils induced good quality magnetic phase gradients, as can be observed in Fig. 2 A and B. However, in our experiment, the permalloy tube did not sufficiently remove the magnetic field between the 2 sets of triangular coils. This resulted in distortions when all 4 coils were on simultaneously. For more pronounced results, a better mechanism of removing the field is required.

We expect the described techniques to be the forerunners of neutron OAM applications in material characterization and fundamental physics. Superconducting triangular coils with higher fields may be employed to prepare lattices with smaller periods. The next set of experiments will focus on the preparation of spin-orbit correlations over the coherence length of neutron wave packets and the characterization of these spin-orbit states via the correlations between spin and projected linear momentum.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out on the Polarized 3He And Detector Experiment Station (PHADES) (44) at the National Institute for Standards and Technology Center for Neutron Research. A monochromatic beam of neutrons with wavelength \( \lambda = 0.41 \, \text{nm} \) (\( \Delta \lambda / \lambda \approx 2\% \)) was directed into the setup, as shown in Fig. 1. The beam divergence was \( \sim 1^\circ \) in both x and y directions. The setup is composed of a slit, 2 \(^{3}\)He neutron spin filters, guide field coils, 2 pairs of specifically orientated triangular coils, a permalloy tube, and a neutron camera. The neutron camera has a 25-mm-diameter active area, a spatial resolution of 100 \( \mu \text{m} \), and a quantum efficiency of \( \sim 38\% \) (45).

Two \(^{3}\)He cells were polarized in an off-line lab using spin-exchange optical pumping (46), and they were changed 3 times during the experiment. Their initial \(^{3}\)He polarization at the beamline was measured to be between 73% and 82%, while their relaxation time was measured to be between 365 and 516 h. The polarization of the neutron beam would reduce from \( \sim 84 \) to \( \sim 90\% \) during a 2- to 3-day time period.

Photon spin-orbit coupling arises naturally in nanooptics, photonics, plasmonics, and optical metamaterials (40, 41) and is a core construct of chiral quantum optics (42) and topological photonics (43). Here we show spin-orbit coupling in the context of freely propagating beams in which spin and OAM degrees of freedom are correlated. We have prepared and characterized neutron beams with lattices of spin-orbit correlations in which the OAM of one spin state is different from the OAM of the other spin state. This was achieved via sets of specifically oriented triangular coils which acted as LOV prism pairs. The beams were characterized via their spin-dependent intensity profiles.

Fig. 5. The azimuthal variations in the integrated intensities of the observed image in Fig. 4B. The 2 regions of interest, the inner region and the outer region, are pictorially bounded by the red and blue circles in Fig. 4B. The fits (dashed lines) were computed from the simulated image of Fig. 4B. The one sinusoidal period is a signature of the OAM = 1 phase structure.
The triangular coils have side lengths of 8.5, 12, and 14.7 cm with an overall height of 7.3 cm. At an applied 10-A current, their inner magnetic field was \( \sim 0.014 T \) which provided a magnetic phase gradient of \( \sim 1.5 \) rad/mm. The triangular coils were run between 2.5 and 10 A throughout the experiment, and, in every configuration, the current in each coil was optimized to compensate for beam divergence. The permalloy tube was built from 15 layers of a 500-μm-thick nickel–iron soft ferromagnetic sheets. The sheets were wrapped around a thin-walled aluminum pipe with an inner diameter of 3.18 cm, whose ends were cut to match the angled prism faces.
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